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Abstract

Background: Cytokine mRNA quantification is widely used to investigate cytokine profiles, particularly in small samples. Real-time polymerase chain reaction is currently the most reliable method of quantifying low-level transcripts such as cytokine and cytokine receptor mRNAs. This accurate technique allows the quantification of a larger pattern of cytokines than quantification at the protein level, which is limited to a smaller number of proteins.

Results: Although fluorogenic probes are considered more sensitive than fluorescent dyes, we have developed SYBR Green real-time RT-PCR protocols to assay pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL1α, IL1β and IL6, TNFa), cytokine receptors (IL1-r1, IL1-r2, IL6-r, TNF-r2) and related molecules (IL1-RA, SOCS3) mRNA in rats. This method enables normalisation against several housekeeping genes (beta-actin, GAPDH, CypA, HPRT) dependent on the specific experimental treatments and tissues using either standard curve, or comparative C\textsubscript{T} quantification method. PCR efficiency and sensitivity allow the assessment of: i) basal mRNA levels in many tissues and even decreases in mRNA levels, ii) mRNA levels from very small samples.

Conclusion: Real-time RT-PCR is currently the best way to investigate cytokine networks. The investigations should be completed by the analysis of genes regulated by cytokines or involved in cytokine signalling, providing indirect information on cytokine protein expression.

Background

Cytokines are regulatory proteins, which play a key role in inflammatory responses either directly or by their ability to induce the synthesis of cellular adhesion molecules or other cytokines in numerous cell types. Knowledge of the local cytokine pattern is essential to elucidate the immune and pathological pathways involved in many inflammatory responses such as infectious diseases, autoimmune reactions, etc. However, cytokine protein detection, via techniques such as ELISA only allows the measurement of
a limited number of cytokines from a single sample. In addition, tissue samples are often too small to enable their quantification at the protein level. Until now, this point has been a critical one. Processing of rat samples with ELISA techniques is also impaired by the lack of sensitivity of currently commercialized ELISA kits. Fortunately, the development of quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reactions (RT-PCR) provides a highly sensitive tool. Thus, quantification of mRNA is widely used to investigate the cytokine profiles although mRNA is only an estimate of cytokine profiles at the protein level. This drawback can however be partially bypassed by studying the expression of genes regulated by cytokines and involved in cytokine signalling.

Though a variety of methods are used to measure mRNA expression, RT-PCR is the most sensitive thanks to the exponential amplification process. Development of real-time monitoring of the PCR has led to a large improvement in the reproducibility and rapidity of quantitative RT-PCR. Real-time PCR works equally well with a fluorescent dye (e.g., SYBR Green) as it does with fluorogenic sequence-specific probes (TaqMan™, molecular beacons, scorpions and hybridisation probes) and is currently the most accurate and sensitive method for quantifying the mRNA expression of cytokines, which are often expressed at very low levels [1].

Recent works have described real-time PCR quantification of Interleukin 1 alpha (IL1α) [2,3], Interleukin 1 beta (IL1β) [3-5], Interleukin 6 (IL6) [3] and Tumour Necrosis Factor alpha (TNFa) [2,5-7] in rat samples, essentially using fluorogenic probes. However, contrary to human and mouse species [8,9], no study has been carried out so far reporting real-time PCR quantification of an extended panel of pro-inflammatory cytokines and related molecules in the rat species.

Although fluorogenic probes are considered to be more sensitive than fluorescent dyes [10], we have developed a homogenous and reproducible SYBR Green RT-PCR assays which allow measurement of the basal expression of a wide panel of inflammatory cytokines as well as their receptors in many rat organs.

### Results

**Primer design and control of primer specificity**

Except IL1β primers previously described [4], primers were specially designed for this study. Primer design and optimisation concerning dimerization, self-priming and melting temperature were carried out using MacVector software (Accelrys, San Diego, USA). The default parameters of the program were applied, except for the following: i) product size 75–120 bp, ii) percent G+C 47–53, iii) bonds primer versus primer (any) 4 and iv) bonds primer versus primer (GC) 3. Primers with G-C stretches are avoided. If possible primer sets with identical size and G-C content were chosen (Tables 1, 2). The short amplicon length did not always allow designing intron-spanning primers. Primer sets amplifying genomic DNA are pointed out in Tables 1, 2. Thus, intron-specific primers or RT-minus controls were used to ascertain the absence of intronic sequences.

**Table 1: Primer sequences used for cytokine and cytokine receptor real-time PCR assays**

| Gene name | 5'-3' primer sequence | Position cDNA-gene | Accession Number |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| IL1α FW   | AAGACAAGCCTGTGTTGCTGAAGG | 663–747            | D00403          |
| IL1α RW   | TCCCCAGAAGAAAAGTAGGCTCGTGTC | Int. span. | NW_047658     |
| IL1β FW   | CACCTCTCAAGCAGACACAG | 793–871            | M98820          |
| IL1β RW   | GGGTTCCATGTAAGTCAAC | Exon               | NW_047658     |
| IL1RA FW  | AAGACCTCTACTCTTACAAG | 139–255            | N631011        |
| IL1RA RW  | GCCCAAGAAGACATCCTCGAAAGTC | Int. span. | NW_047651     |
| IL1R1 FW  | GTTTTTGGAACACCTCCATGC | 1209–1313           | M95578         |
| IL1R1 RW  | ACGAAGCAGTAGGACCGGATAGC | Exon | NW_047814.1 |
| IL1R2 FW  | CATTCTACCATCTCTCGAGTC | 328–443            | Z22812         |
| IL1R2 RW  | ACCAGAGCTATCATCCCATC | Exon               | NW_047814.1 |
| IL6 FW    | TCCATCCCCAACTTCATATGCTC | 532–610            | E02522         |
| IL6 RW    | TGTAGGCTTGTTGCTTCCTAGCC | Exon | M26745      |
| IL6R FW   | AAAGGACCTCGACGACAAATGTAAG | 696–812 | NM_017020 |
| IL6R RW   | CCAACTGACTTTGAAGCCAGGAGG | Int. span. | NW_047626.1 |
| TNFa FW   | AAATGGGCTCCCTTCTCATGTC | 195–305            | X66539         |
| TNFa RW   | TCTGCTTGTGTTGCTACGAC | Exon               | D00475         |
| TNF-r2 FW | TGGACAAAGACTTCAAGACACCGTG | 142–224 | AF420214 |
| TNF-r2 RW | AGGCTGATGTACAGATGTTGCG | Exon               | NW_047727.1 |

Note. FW forward primer; RW reverse primer; IL, interleukin; IL-r, interleukin receptor; TNFa, Tumor necrosis factor α; TNF-r2, Tumor necrosis factor receptor 2. • Position of amplification product within cDNA sequence (upper line) and within genomic sequences (lower line). Exon; both primers bound on the same exon, Int. span.: primers bound on different exons, , Genbank accession number of cDNA (upper line) and genomic sequences or contigs (lower line), available at [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).
genomic DNA, as described in “Methods”.

PCR were carried out both from RT-products and from specific recombinant DNAs overlapping PCR products. Specificities of the PCR amplification are always analysed with melting curve analysis. Melting peaks obtained either from RT-product or from specific recombinant DNA are identical. The melting temperatures of PCR products are shown in Table 3. In addition, products were controlled with high-resolution gel electrophoresis. To sum up, all PCR amplifications lead to a single and specific product.

Linearity and efficiency of PCR amplification

The accuracy of mRNA quantification depends on the linearity and efficiency of PCR amplification. Both parameters were assessed using standard curves generated by increasing amounts of cDNA. Relationship between the threshold cycle (Ct) and the logarithm of the cDNA concentration were studied according to i), the correlation coefficient and ii), the slopes calculated by LightCycler Software 3 (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany).

Correlation coefficients (r) confirm the linear relationship between the threshold cycle (Ct) and the logarithm of the cDNA concentration. Standard curves, using five points, diluted over a 100-fold range, always led to a high linearity (r ≥ 0.99) as observed with all primer sets (data not shown and Figure 1, Panel A and B).

The PCR efficiency (Ex) was calculated using the equation

\[ Ex = (10^{1/slope}) - 1 \]

A slope value of -3.32 implies a PCR efficiency of 1 (100%). The higher slope values mean that the PCR efficiency is less than 1. As PCR amplification depends on template preparation, i.e. RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis [11] the assay efficiency was checked on cDNA obtained with different RNA extraction methods and in different rat organs. As shown in Figure 1 (panel A), for TNFa amplification, there was no difference in PCR efficiency despite different template preparations (phenol and anion exchange resin RNA extraction). Similarly, there is no difference in PCR efficiency among different organs. Figure 2 presents the average slope for cytokine mRNAs of 14 independent assays (Ex = 0.965 ± 0.085) in two rat brain structures (hypothalamus, hippocampus) and five rat organs (ileum, liver, lung, spleen, skin) using...
phenol RNA extraction. When rat hypothalamus was used as a template (anion exchange resin RNA extraction) PCR amplification efficiencies were respectively $0.987 \pm 0.041$, $0.960 \pm 0.031$ and $0.959 \pm 0.028$ for cytokines (mean of 6 genes), cytokine receptors (mean of 5 genes) and housekeeping gene CypA (mean of 3 independent assays). Furthermore, only low intra-assay variations were observed as shown with SOCS3 mRNA quantification over a 1000-fold range of measurement (Figure 1, panel B).

In conclusion, the linearity and efficiency of amplification of PCR assays among different templates allowed an accurate quantification of different target genes. Moreover, there were slight differences in amplification efficiencies of PCR assays, which allow the use of the comparative $C_T$ ($\Delta C_T$) quantification method (cf. Quantification).

### Average crossing point of cytokines PCR assays in various organs in control rats

The sensitivity of the SYBR Green PCR assay was tested through its ability to measure basal levels of target mRNAs in control rats. Average $C_T$ of cytokines and SOCS3 PCR assays in control rats enable mRNA quantification in the PCR exponential amplification phase in all tested structures and organs (Figure 3, Panel A). Cytokine receptor $C_T$s are similar to those of cytokines as shown in control rat hypothalamus samples (Figure 3, Panel B). Thus, the sensitivity of these assays enables the measurement of cytokines mRNA using in vitro LPS or lectin-stimulated blood samples (data not shown).

### Normalization

A reliable quantitative RT-PCR method needs taking into account corrections for experimental variations in different samples, i.e. different amounts of cDNA and minor differences in PCR efficiency. Inter-sample differences in amplification efficiency are not a pitfall in real-time PCR as opposed to end-point quantification [1]. Indeed in real-time PCR, quantification is based on $C_T$ values, which are measured in the early stage of the exponential phase of the reaction, although variations in input RNA or in reverse transcription efficiency must be corrected. Normalization to a housekeeping gene is currently the best method of avoiding these discrepancies [1]. We generally use cyclophilin A (CypA) as the reference gene owing to its stability in many physiological and pathophysiological conditions. However, the reliability of the results depends on the choice of the most relevant housekeeping gene according to the specific experimental treatments and organs. So beside cyclophilin A [12], primer sets, able to quantify the most common housekeeping genes (beta-actin, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl
transferase (HPRT)) with the same amplification efficiencies as target genes described above, are shown in Table 2.

**Quantification**

As previously shown (Figures 1 and 3), amplification efficiency and linearity of PCR assays allow the quantification in a useful range in many tissues, i.e. with a higher C_T than that of cytokine mRNA in control rats. Linearity and efficiency of PCR allow the quantification of mRNA with both methods used, i.e. the standard curve method and the comparative threshold cycle (△C_T) method.

The internal standard curve quantification method is the most accurate of quantifying mRNA. Indeed, the precise efficiency of each individual amplification is taken into account for each mRNA measurement. Recombinant DNA, i.e. plasmid or overlapping PCR products can be used to generate standards although the PCR efficiency slightly changes with the chemical properties of the template. The assay’s accuracy is improved by using cDNA standards handled (RNA extraction and reverse transcription) in the same way as the samples. In our hands, the best results were obtained working with a pool of all tested samples. The standard pool was diluted over a 100-fold range, in a five point standard curve, centred on the concentration of the tested samples. Similar results were obtained with LPS-stimulated spleen cells prepared as described in materials and methods. This method is still time-consuming and, depending on the PCR efficiency, can be replaced with the easier △C_T method. Briefly, the C_T indicates the fractional cycle number for which the amount of amplified target reaches a fixed threshold. This amount is a constant depending on the primer set. The
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**Figure 1**

*Representative standard curves for TNFa and SOCS3 mRNAs using the LightCycler device.* RNA was isolated using spleen cell cultures stimulated with LPS. The primer sets are listed in Tables 1, 2 and amplification conditions are described in "methods". Slopes and statistical value are assessed using LightCycler 3 software. Data are means ± SEM. A) Variation of standard curve for TNFa mRNA quantification according to mRNA extraction: (blue diamond) anion exchange resin RNA extraction (RNeasy mini, QIAGEN), (red diamond) phenol extraction [26]. Each value is the average of four (phenol) or three (RNeasy) independent mRNA quantifications. Only a weak difference is observed in PCR efficiencies between the templates (-3.398 and -3.399). The C_T variation is probably due to the difference in mRNA purity between both extraction methods. B) Intra-assay variation for SOCS3 mRNA quantification. Each value is the mean of three repetitions in the same experiment.
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**Figure 2**

*PCR efficiency of cytokines and related molecules among rat organs and structures.* Slope values are related to PCR efficiencies (Ex) using the equation $Ex = (10^{-1/slope}) - 1$. The mean efficiency is $0.965 ± 0.085$. The red line matches PCR efficiency of 1 (slope = -3.32). Slope values are assessed using LightCycler 3 software (ROCHE), from templates coming from two brain structures (hypothalamus, hippocampus) and five organs (ileum, liver, lung, spleen, skin). RNA was isolated using phenol RNA extraction. The primer sets are listed in Tables 1, 2 and amplification conditions are described in "methods". Each value is the mean of fourteen independent experiments. Data are means ± SEM.
difference ($\Delta C_T$) between the $C_T$ of the target gene ($C_{Tt}$) and the reference gene ($C_{Tr}$) depends on the RNA relative copy number between the target and the reference gene. When the PCR have been properly optimized, the PCR efficiencies are close to one and the amount of target (XN), normalized to an endogenous reference is given by the equation: $X_N = K \times 2^{-\Delta C_T}$ where $K$ is a constant according to the target and reference primer sets [12]. The normalization to a calibrator allows to reduce the previous equation in removing the constant $K$. In these conditions, the amount of target normalized to an endogenous reference and relative to a calibrator ($X_{N,C}$) is given by the equation: $X_{N,C} = 2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ where $\Delta\Delta C_T$ is the difference between the $\Delta C_T$ of the sample and the $\Delta C_T$ of the calibrator. So the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method is based on i) similar amplification efficiency rates between target and reference genes and ii) PCR efficiency close to 1 [13]. This hypothesis should be taken into account by checking the influence of template dilution on the $\Delta C_T$ variation. Figure 4 (panel A) shows the results of an IL1b/CypA assay where a cDNA preparation was diluted over a 10,000-fold range in three independent experiments. The data were fit using least-squares linear regression analysis ($n = 3$). Data are means ± SEM. Panel B: The validity of the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method was controlled for each experiment: using a 10-fold range standard curve using sample pools as calibrators (green diamond) IL1a/CypA, (blue diamond) IL6/CypA, (red diamond) SOCS3/CypA, (purple diamond) TNFa/CypA.
obtained is close to zero. An absolute value of the slope less than 0.1 is adequate [14] although higher values are acceptable [15]. The validity of the $\Delta C_T$ method was controlled for each experiment: the PCR efficiency was controlled with a 10-fold range standard curve (two or three points) using sample pools as calibrators (Figure 4, panel B).

In the vast majority of the experiments, we chose the $\Delta C_T$ method due to the facility and speed for set up and analysis. The standard curve method was favoured with low-level mRNA samples.

**Low-level mRNA samples**

Although RT-PCR assays described above are able to assess basal mRNA levels in most rat tissues, quantification problems could appear in small samples such as isolated skeletal myofibres or small numbers of cells. At low copy number of target DNA the well-known problem of increased variability in target sampling is associated with other drawbacks: i) primer dimers and non-specific products are more readily generated [16], ii) slight decreases in PCR efficiencies are observed in standard curve for the lowest concentrations.

In order to avoid these difficulties, low mRNA expression can be detected using cycle-limited nested RT-PCR [16]. Another way is to allow the denaturation of unspecific products before reading the fluorescent signal. For this purpose, the fluorescence is measured at the end of a 2–3 seconds incubation at 5°C below the product melting temperature. This step is added at the end of elongation step at 72°C. However, if problems occur in proportion to low levels of total cDNA in templates (i.e. for small samples), quantification must be assessed using the standard curve method instead of the $\Delta C_T$ method. We either used an optimised curve-fit through the data of the standard, or we optimized the amplification conditions according to the specific template [11].

Real Quant software (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) allows the quantification using a two sections curve-fit, i) a linear section describing the upper concentration range and, ii) a curved section for the non-linear part, on the lower concentration range of the standard curve. As we previously described in isolated skeletal myofibres [17], use of a sample pool slightly enriched in recombinant DNA as a standard, strongly increases the accuracy of the PCR assay. A complementary way is to optimise the amplification conditions directly on a sample-pool i) by decreasing the primer annealing temperature in a 1–5°C range, ii) on a LightCycler (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) by decreasing the temperature ramping between annealing and elongation steps (from 20°C/ to 1–3°C/s) to reduce non specific hybridization. The specificity of each PCR product is controlled using melting curves. This method which lessens $C_T$ in a 1–3 cycle range, allowed us to quantify weakly expressed transcription factors in small amounts of CD34+ cells [18].

**Cytokine mRNA quantification in various physiopathological states**

We improved our PCR assay in numerous tissues in a broad range of physiopathological states such as γ-irradiation, thermal injury, contention stress, heat stroke and in *ex vivo* LPS or lectin total-blood stimulation (data not shown). This method enables numerous fold increases in cytokine and related molecule mRNAs (Figure 5.A) to be displayed as well as slight increases (Figure 5.B1,5.B3). Moreover, the sensitivity of the method ensures the detection of rare cases of decrease of basal levels of cytokine mRNA as shown in Figure 5.B.2.

**Discussion**

RT-PCR has proved to be a powerful method of studying gene expression in mammalian tissues. Cytokine mRNA quantification was one of the earliest examples using this method [19]. Indeed, RT-PCR is advantageous for cytokine transcript analysis because it can be used to quickly monitor the simultaneous expression of an array of cytokines from a single sample and requires only small quantities of template material. Up to now, such studies by techniques such as ELISA are not possible at the protein level, due to the lack of sensitivity of ELISA kits and the availability of high sensitivity ELISA kits for rats.

In this report we describe the application of a novel SYBR Green real-time RT-PCR assay for the quantification of a large panel of rat cytokines and related molecules. This method allows an accurate determination of basal cytokine and a related molecule pattern in most of the control rat organs and therefore, easily detects increased mRNA levels. Moreover, the assay sensitivity is adequate to analyse i) mRNA levels in small samples and ii) rare cases of decrease of basal cytokine levels in pathophysiological conditions. Experimental variations in different samples are corrected through normalization to a housekeeping gene. As the reliability of the results depends on the choice of the most relevant housekeeping gene according to the specific experimental conditions, we propose primer sets, able to quantify the most common housekeeping genes. Furthermore, the low differences in amplification efficiencies of PCR assays, allow the use of the fast $\Delta C_T$ quantification method.

Although mRNA quantification of cytokines and cytokine receptors is an essential tool, it is only a rough estimate of cytokine profiles at the protein level. Particularly post-transcriptional control is an important feature of cytokine
It may be useful to investigate the functionality of the cytokine network to overcome the impossibility of studying many cytokines at the protein level, by measuring mRNA encoding inducible proteins related to cytokine pathways, such as i) SOCS proteins, ii) cytokine-induced genes and iii) inducible transcription factors. SOCS proteins, in particular, which are inducible regulators of signalling are of great interest [20]. Specifically, quantification of SOCS 3 mRNA allows the investigation of IL-6 signalling [21]. IL1-b, in the same way, induces both nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS-2) and cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) gene expression [3,22,23]. Activation of transcription factors, such as AP1 and C/EBPβ, the rodent homologue of human NF-IL6, which play a critical role in gene regulation in response to inflammatory cytokines can be studied at the transcriptional level [24,25]. Primer sets, homogeneous with respect to PCR efficiency, designed for quantification of these cytokine-related mRNAs with real-time PCR assays are given in Tables 2, 3.

Conclusions

Herein we report an innovative SYBR Green real-time RT-PCR assay developed to detect rat pro-inflammatory cytokines and related molecules in a homogenous and reproducible manner. Due to its high sensitivity, this assay is suitable for, i) analyzing basal levels, and even physiopathological decreases below the basal level of target mRNA in most of rat organs, ii) quantifying mRNA from very small samples. Real-time RT-PCR is currently the best way to investigate the cytokine network, while waiting for the development of more sensitive assays for the detection of secreted cytokine and cytokine receptors in rats. In particular, real-time RT-PCR assays should be carried out to analyse i) genes involved in cytokine signal-ling as transcription factors or suppressors of cytokine signalling and ii) cytokine-induced genes.

Methods

Oligonucleotide primers

Oligonucleotide primers were synthesised at Eurogentec (Saraing, Belgium). Primer design and optimization concerning primer dimer, self-priming formation and primer melting temperature was done with MacVector software (Accelrys, San Diego, USA).

Total RNA isolation

Total RNA was isolated using either phenol or anion exchange resin. Phenol extraction was carried out using a protocol adapted from Chomczynski and Sacchi [26]. In short, samples were disrupted (5% weight/volume) in lysis buffer (4 M guanidine thiocyanate, 25 mM pH7.0 sodium citrate, 0.5% N-laurylsarcosine and 0.1 M β-mercaptoethanol) with a blender (Waring Blender, New Hartford, USA). RNA isolation was carried out from 900 μL of lystate with 90 μL of 2 M pH4.0 sodium acetate, 810 μL of phenol and 180 μL of 24/1 chloroform / isoamylc alcohol. Conversely, total RNA was isolated from 30 mg samples, using RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN S.A., Courtabeuf, France) following the manufacturer's instructions with the optional RNase-free DNase step to avoid contamination with genomic DNA.
Reverse transcription
Reverse transcription of mRNA was carried out in a 60 µL final volume from 4 µg total RNA using 300 U M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions with 500 ng oligo (dT)_{12–18} and 50 U ribonuclease inhibitor (RNase-OUT, Promega).

Real-time PCR
PCR was carried out with the LC Fast Start DNA Master SYBR Green kit (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) using 0.5 µL of cDNA, corresponding to 30 ng of total RNA in a 20 µL final volume, 3–4 mM MgCl\(_2\) and 0.4 µM each primer (final concentration). Detailed PCR conditions are displayed in Table 3. Briefly, quantitative PCR was performed using LightCycler (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) for 45 cycles at 95°C for 20 s, specific annealing temperature for 4–5 s and 72°C for 8 s. Amplification specificity was checked using melting curve following the manufacturer's instructions.

Result analysis and quantification
Results were analysed with LightCycler Software v.3.5 (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) using the second derivative maximum method to set CT. Quantification using either standard curves or the ΔCT method was carried out with Real Quant Software (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany).

Table 4: Primer sequences and detailed PCR conditions used to generate standard recombinant DNA.

| Gene name | 5'–3' primer sequence | Position (cDNA) | Annealing temperature\(^{b}\) |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| IL1a      | FW GTGGTGTGTTGCTAGCAACATCAAC | 275–862 | 56°C |
|           | RW GAAATCTATCATGAGGAGGCAGTCC |         |                |
| IL1b      | FW TGAAAGCTTCCACCTCAATGAGAC | 501–894 | 57°C |
|           | RW TGCAGGCACTTTTAGGAGAACACC |         |                |
| IL1RA     | FW AAGACCCCTACACTGGAGGAAAACC | 139–310 | 55°C |
|           | RW GTCTGTTGTCACTTCCAGACTTTG |         |                |
| IL1R1     | FW TGTCCTACTGGAGAATGTCGATTC | 1143–1500 | 56°C |
|           | RW GGGAAAGAAAACTACAGGACAGGATGTC |         |                |
| IL1R2     | FW CACCCAGTCTGGAGAAGACATTGAG | 226–598 | 57°C |
|           | RW TGGAGGAGAAGGCTGAGGATTGCGG |         |                |
| IL6       | FW TCTGAGATTTCCGGTTCTATTGAGG | 388–682 | 55°C |
|           | RW CATAGCAACACTTAGTTTGCGGAG |         |                |
| IL6R      | FW AGCAGGCAATGCTACCTCCTCAC | 264–873 | 57°C |
|           | RW GTCGGTATCGAAGCTGGAATTTG |         |                |
| TNFa      | FW AGCAGAACAGGCAATAGCTCGAG | 4–499 | 58°C |
|           | RW CCTGGTATGAAATGGCGAATTG |         |                |
| TNFR2     | FW TCAGATGTGCTGCTTAAATGCC | 93–512 | 58°C |
|           | RW GCCAGATGTCAAAATGCG |         |                |
| SOCS3     | FW ATGTCACCCACACAGCAAGTTC | 18–679 | 56°C |
|           | RW TACTGTCGCGGAAAATCTCGGCGGAATG |         |                |

Abbreviations: see Tables 1, 2. These primer sets allow generating recombinant DNA to ensure the specificity of the PCR amplification or to generate standard curves. \(^a\) Position of amplification product within cDNA sequence. Genbank accession number are given in Tables 1, 2. \(^b\) melting temperature of specific PCR product.

Standard preparation: LPS-stimulated spleen cells
Eight-week-old Wistar male rats were anesthetized under halothane. Spleens were aseptically removed and perfused with 5 mL of Hank's buffered saline (Sigma-Aldrich). Spleens were then prepared as single-cell suspensions in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated foetal calf serum and antibiotics, all purchased from Sigma. Suspensions were adjusted to 5 × 10⁶ viable cells/mL. Spleen cell cultures were carried out in 25 cm² tissue-culture flasks (Falcon, BD Biosciences) at 50 × 10⁶ cells in 10 mL of RPMI-FCS complete medium. They were stimulated with 1 µg/mL of lipopolysaccharide (LPS, Salmonella typhimurium, Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 and 10 h, at 37°C, in a 5% CO2-95% air atmosphere. At the end of the incubation time, cells were harvested and stored in 500 µL of RNA Later (Ambion, Austin, USA) before RNA extraction.

Standard preparation: specific recombinant DNA
Specific recombinant DNA standards were synthesized using PCR. Reactions were performed using LightCycler (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) for 45 cycles at 95°C for 20 s, specific annealing temperature for 4–5 s and 72°C for 8 s. PCR were carried out with the LC Fast Start DNA Master SYBR Green kit (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) using 0.5 µL of cDNA, corresponding to 30 ng of total RNA in a 20 µL final volume, 4 mM MgCl\(_2\) and 0.4 µM each primer (final concentration).
Primer sets and annealing temperature are described in Table 3. Standard curves were achieved from ten-fold dilutions of PCR product in a 10^{-7}-10^{-12} range. Moreover, comparison between PCR products melting peaks obtained either with cDNA sample or recombinant DNAs, ensured the specificity of the PCR amplification.

**DNA contamination**
Genomic DNA contamination of total RNA was controlled either using RT-PCR specific to the first intron of vasoressin gene (Genbank X59496) or RT minus control. Vasoressin gene amplification is carried out in the same reaction conditions as IL1a mRNA. 5’ to 3’ forward and reverse primers are as follows, ACCATGGTGCTT- GGGAAGGTG and TAGGCTCAAATCTGGTCAGGTCAC generating a 107 bp DNA fragment.
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