Influence of different surface treatments of fiberglass posts on the bond strength to dentin
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Abstract

Aim: To assess the influence of different fiberglass post surface treatments on the bond strength (BS) to root dentin. Methods: Thirty bovine root canals were endodontically treated and filled with gutta-percha and AH Plus sealer. At 24 h after the endodontic filling, the post spaces were prepared with Gates-Glidden drills and #3 drills of the DC White Post system, maintaining a 4 mm apical seal. The roots were randomly divided into three groups: S (fiberglass posts treated with silane), SA (fiberglass posts treated with silane and a hydrophobic adhesive system) and SHA (fiberglass posts treated with silane, followed by drying with hot air). The posts were cemented with a self-adhesive cement (RelyX U200). The specimens were stored in distilled water at 37 °C for 24 h and subjected to the push-out test (0.5 mm/min). Data were statistically analyzed using one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s test (α=0.05) Results: SA and SHA groups showed the highest BS mean values (11.29 and 10.85 MPa, respectively), while the S group presented the lowest BS mean value (7.21 MPa). S group was significantly different from SA and SHA groups. Conclusions: The surface treatment of fiberglass posts influenced BS values.
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Introduction

The use of intraradicular posts for improving retention of coronal restoration and enabling coronal reconstruction is guided by the amount of remaining tooth structure after removal of carious tissue and endodontic treatment¹-³. Posts also help supporting fixed partial dentures⁴, where they dissipate and absorb forces during mastication, in a way that avoids damage to the root and the cementing film.

Fiberglass posts have mechanical, clinical and esthetic advantages, including improved corrosion resistance, biocompatibility, easy removal for endodontic retreatment, rapid insertability and an elastic modulus close to that of dentin; thus, these posts provide a better distribution of occlusal stresses⁵. They can be cemented to the root dentin, which makes the stress on the root more uniform, resulting in fewer root fractures or at least more favorable fractures⁶.

Failure in fiber-reinforced posts results mainly from problems in the luting procedures⁷-⁹. Cementation of the posts has two functions: retention and stress distribution. Stress distribution is important for preventing fractures due to the potential functional stress transmission of load to the dentin via cement, which becomes a cushion against forces⁸.
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A disadvantage of fiber-reinforced posts is that they cannot achieve intimate adaptation in non-circular, wide or extremely tapered root canals, which may compromise their retention in comparison to customized posts.

In an attempt to provide better retention of fiberglass posts, various surface treatment techniques have been suggested, such as cleaning the post surface with alcohol, conditioning with phosphoric or hydrofluoric acid, sandblasting with aluminum oxide, silicatization, or applying hydrogen peroxide, silane or a hydrophobic adhesive (unfilled resin) on the surface.

Among the various surface treatments, silanization is one of the most widely used and has the advantage of being a simple procedure that can be performed by the dentist immediately prior to cementing the post, but its effect on the bond strength of the fiberglass post is inconclusive. Some studies have shown that the bond strength between the post and the resin cement may be improved by silanizing the post before cementation, while other studies reported that the use of silane alone did not increase bond strength.

Silanization may be considered a very sensitive technique. The bond strength of resin cement to the fiberglass posts may be affected by different silane compositions and by the air-drying temperature. Solvent evaporation also plays an important role. Different forms of solvent evaporation or unreacted excess silane may influence the bond strength of the fiberglass post. For feldspathic ceramics and glass ceramics, the use of a hot air silane may influence the bond strength of the fiberglass post.

The bond strength of resin cement to the fiberglass posts may be improved by silanizing the post before cementation, but its effect on the bond strength of resin cement to the fiberglass posts may be improved by silanizing the post before cementation. Some studies have shown that the bond strength between the post and the resin cement may be improved by silanizing the post before cementation and then the surface treatments were performed. In S group, the silane was applied with a microbrush for 60 s; in SA group, after silane treatment, a hydrophobic adhesive layer was also applied with a microbrush, followed by photoactivation for 10 s; in SHA group, silane, was applied followed by hot air drying for 60 s at 50 °C. The source of hot air was a hair dryer.

The aim of this study was to assess the influence of different surface treatments of fiberglass post on the push-out bond strength to root dentin.

Material and methods

Thirty freshly extracted bovine incisors from healthy cattle were obtained and kept under refrigeration (4°C) in distilled water changed weekly until the moment of use of the teeth.

The crowns were sectioned perpendicular to the long axis of the tooth, below the level of the cemento-enamel junction, using a diamond disc attached to a precision sectioning saw (Isomet 1000; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA), under constant refrigeration, in order to create standardized access to the root canal and obtain 14-mm-long root portions.

A single operator performed all root canal fillings. The working length was determined visually at 1 mm short of the root apex. Rotary instrumentation was performed with ProTaper Universal files (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) in the SX-S1-S2-F1-F2-F3 sequence, with 2.5 mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite irrigation during the preparation. The final irrigation was done with 17% EDTA for 3 min, followed irrigation with 2.5 mL 2.5% sodium hypochlorite and drying with tissue paper points (Dentsply Maillefer). The roots were filled with AH Plus sealer (Dentsply DeTreyKonstanz, Germany) and gutta-percha according to the Tagger’s hybrid technique.

Twenty-four hours after root canal filling, post spaces were prepared using Gates Glidden drills #1, 2 and 3, and the #3 drill of the DC White Post (FGM, Joinville, SC, Brazil) system, maintaining a 4 mm apical seal. The prepared post spaces were then irrigated with 2.5 mL 0.9% NaCl solution and dried with paper points (Dentsply Maillefer). After preparation, the roots were randomly divided into the following three groups (n = 10), according to the surface treatment of the fiberglass posts (#3, DC White Post, FGM): Group S (fiberglass posts treated with silane [Ceramic Primer, 3M ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA]), Group SA (fiberglass posts treated with silane and a hydrophobic adhesive system [Adper Scotchbond Multi-Purpose; 3M ESPE]) and Group SHA (fiberglass posts treated with silane, followed by drying with hot air [50°C for 60 s]).

All posts were cleaned with 37% phosphoric acid for 15 s (Scotchbond Etchant, 3M ESPE), washed and dried with air jet and then the surface treatments were performed. In S group, the silane was applied with a microbrush for 60 s; in SA group, after silane treatment, a hydrophobic adhesive layer was also applied with a microbrush, followed by photoactivation for 10 s; in SHA group, silane, was applied followed by hot air drying for 60 s at 50 °C. The source of hot air was a hair dryer.

The posts were cemented with a self-adhesive resin cement (RelyX U200; 3M ESPE), with manual pressure, and excess cement was immediately removed. The cement was light-cured for 40 s, with a LED curing unit at 1.100 mW/cm² (Poly Wireless, Kavo, Joinville, SC, Brazil). The specimens were stored in distilled water for 24 h at 37 °C, and were then subjected to the push-out bond strength test.

Two 1-mm thick slices of each root third of the specimens (coronal, medial and apical) were obtained using a diamond disc mounted on a precision sectioning saw (Isomet 1000; Buehler), under constant water cooling. The coronal side of each slice was identified, and each slice was subjected to a push-out bond strength test using a universal testing machine (EMIC DL 2000, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil) at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min. The load was applied in the apical-coronal direction until the dislodgment of the post. For all tests, the push-out pin on the center of the post surface was carefully placed and different sizes of punch pins were used to match the diameter of the post at the tested different root thirds.

Bond strength (MPa) was obtained by dividing the maximum force in N by the area of the bonded interface. The area of the truncated cone of each specimen was calculated by the equation:

\[
A_L = \pi (R+r) \sqrt{h^2 + (R-r)^2}
\]

Where \(AL\) is the lateral area of a truncated cone, \(\pi = 3.14\), \(R\) is the coronal post radius, \(r\) is the apical post radius, and \(h\) is the thickness of the root slice. The dimensions of the specimens were obtained with a digital caliper with an accuracy of 0.01 mm.

Fracture surfaces were analyzed using a 57 x stereomicroscope (SZX9, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) to determine the failure mode. Failures were classified as adhesive (between
post and cement or between cement and dentin), cohesive (on post or cement) or mixed.

The mean bond strength of each specimen (root) was determined by the bond strength mean value obtained by the six slices, two of each root third. The results of the bond strength values were statistically analyzed using one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s test with a significance level of 5%.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the mean bond strength values and standard deviations of the evaluated groups as determined by the push-out test (in MPa). Statistically significant differences were found in the bond strength values, according to the surface treatments performed on the fiberglass posts (p = 0.038). SA and SHA groups showed the highest bond strength values (11.29 and 10.85 MPa, respectively); these values were statistically similar to each other (p = 0.961). S group presented the lowest bond strength values (7.21 MPa), and was statistically different from the SA (p = 0.050) and SHA (p = 0.088) groups.

| Groups | Post treatment                      | Bond strength (MPa) |
|--------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| S      | Silane                             | 7.21±2.69 a         |
| SA     | Silane and adhesive                | 11.29±4.27 a        |
| SHA    | Silane and drying (hot air)        | 10.85±3.35 a        |

Values followed by the same superscript are statistically similar (p>0.05).

For all groups, mixed failures were the most frequent failure type (adhesive failures between the dentin and cement and cohesive failures in the cement (Figure 1).

**Discussion**

To mimic the restoration of endodontically treated teeth in this study were used fiberglass posts, which possess physical and mechanical properties similar to those of dentin. These posts are more aesthetic, can be rapidly inserted, have lower risk of radicular fractures, do not corrode and may be easily removed, allowing the operator more treatment options with greater efficiency and effectiveness. According to Lamichhane et al.

The results of the bond strength values found in this study agreed with the results described in the literature, and indicated that the use of hot air after application of silane may improve the strength of bonding of fiberglass posts to dentin.

About the use of silane and adhesive as a post-surface treatment, some studies have shown that there are no statistically significant differences in bond strength values using only silane or silane followed by adhesive, but the bond strength values are lower in the absence of silane.

In this study, the use of an additional adhesive layer after the silane treatment improved the strength of fiberglass post bonding to the root dentin, providing similar bond strength values to those of the group where the silane was hot air dried. Thus, before silane application, the surface of the posts should be treated to expose glass fibers.

In this study, the use of an additional adhesive layer after the silane application is the fact that this material presents mechanical interlocking and chemical reaction with fiberglass posts, improving bond strength. When silane is used alone, it can form a non-homogeneous layer on the post surface. When used in combination with an adhesive layer, both materials can infiltrate the post surface and reinforce the bond with resin cement.

Another possible explanation for the use of an additional adhesive layer after the silane application relies on the fact that it eliminates alcohol, water and other by-products of the reaction of silane with the substrate of alcohol evaporation may increase the local density of available connections. In addition, after heat-treating the silane, higher bond strength values may be achieved due to the removal of the upper layers of silane, leaving a more stable silane layer at the bonding interface.

Failure mode analysis showed that, in the three groups, mixed failure was predominant, indicating that there was a good interaction of the resin cement with the post and root dentin after the different surface treatments; thus, the adhesion process was effective. Previous studies have reported that clinically...
the most frequent failures are adhesive ones, which leads to the displacement of the post.\textsuperscript{2,13,17,43-46} As regards post retention in the root dentin, combined failures are considered favorable and even desirable when compared with adhesive failures, since it indicates that the adhesion of the retainer to the dentin was adequate.

It may be concluded that the surface treatment of fiberglass posts influenced bond strength values to dentin. Silane application followed by adhesive treatment and silane followed by hot air drying resulted in higher bond strength values.
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