Acute effects of cardiac contractility modulation stimulation in conventional 2D and 3D human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocyte models
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Cardiac contractility modulation (CCM) is a medical device therapy whereby non-excitatory electrical stimulations are delivered to the myocardium during the absolute refractory period to enhance cardiac function. We previously evaluated the effects of the standard CCM pulse parameters in isolated rabbit ventricular cardiomyocytes and 2D human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocyte (hiPSC-CM) monolayers, on flexible substrate. In the present study, we sought to extend these results to human 3D microphysiological systems to develop a robust model to evaluate various clinical CCM pulse parameters in vitro. HiPSC-CMs were studied in conventional 2D monolayer format, on stiff substrate (i.e., glass), and as 3D human engineered cardiac tissues (ECTs). Cardiac contractile properties were assessed at varying electrical doses using a commercial pulse generator. A robust CCM contractile response was observed for 3D ECTs. Under comparable conditions, conventional 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, displayed no contractile response. 3D ECTs displayed enhanced contractile properties including increased contraction amplitude (i.e., force), and accelerated contraction and relaxation slopes under standard acute CCM stimulation. Moreover, 3D ECTs displayed enhanced contractility in a CCM pulse parameter-dependent manner by adjustment of CCM pulse delay, duration, amplitude, and number relative to baseline. The observed acute effects subsided when the CCM stimulation was stopped and gradually returned to baseline. These data represent the first study of CCM in 3D hiPSC-CM models and provide a nonclinical tool to assess various CCM device signals in 3D human cardiac tissues prior to in vivo animal studies. Moreover, this work provides a foundation to evaluate the effects of additional cardiac medical devices in 3D ECTs.
1 Introduction

Conventional 2D monolayer human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes (hiPSC-CMs), on stiff substrate, have been demonstrated to be useful for the evaluation of drugs and other chemical compounds (Blinova et al., 2018; Burnett et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2022). However, such models may not be appropriate for medical device optimization or safety assessment where induced effects are intrinsically reliant on more complex culture conditions, which enable a 3D level tissue response (e.g., transmural lesion formation or physiological effects). Human 3D cardiac microphysiological systems, including hiPSC-CM engineered cardiac tissues (ECTs), are gaining significant interest for cardiac safety pharmacology assessment as more biotechnology companies explore and adapt this technology (Meyer et al., 2019; Majid et al., 2020; Qu et al., 2020). The 3D ECT model has been established for the detection of known and novel inotropic compounds as well as disease modeling (Feric et al., 2019; Veldhuizen et al., 2019; Qu et al., 2020). Yet, the current gold-standard, conventional 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs plated on stiff substrate (i.e., glass/plastic) for 7–14 days, remains popular on account of its reduced cost, high-throughput capabilities, technical ease, and numerous standardized plate-based applications. The move to more complex hiPSC-CM based models begs the question whether standard conventional 2D monolayer hiPSC-CM models, on stiff substrate, are sufficient to evaluate various cardiac contractility modulation (CCM) electrophysiological signals. Here, we do not intend to improve or develop new hiPSC-CM based models. Rather, we set out to characterize the potential utility of hiPSC-CM models to evaluate CCM device signals by comparing the performance of two well-established commercially-available models. As such, the work described here will focus on the comparison of the contractile response of conventional 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, and 3D ECTs to CCM.

CCM is a medical device wherein non-excitatory electrical stimulations are delivered to the myocardium during the absolute refractory period (Campbell et al., 2020; Feaster et al., 2021). The first CCM device, an implantable medical device with contact leads placed in the myocardium, was approved in the U.S. in 2019 to treat heart failure (HF) patients (NYHA III), with a left ventricular ejection fraction ranging from 25 to 45% (Campbell et al., 2020; FDA 2019). Cardiac electrophysiological medical devices, including CCM and cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT), have been developed to treat HF patients resistant to traditional pharmacotherapies. While CRT is the first-line treatment for HF patients displaying an abnormal sinus rhythm and a prolonged QRS duration, a significant population of HF patients (e.g., 60%–70%) present with normal sinus rhythm or QRS duration. CCM is indicated for such patients with prolonged QRS who are not eligible for CRT. Consequently, there is a significant gap for viable treatment options for this population and CCM is heralded as a potential solution (Campbell et al., 2020; Feaster et al., 2021). As a result, novel CCM devices are expected to be developed to address additional device functionalities and patient populations.

Lack of human nonclinical models to evaluate cardiac medical device safety and effectiveness currently hinders the regulatory review process and produces a significant burden on animal models (Harris et al., 2013; Strauss and Blinova, 2017; Feaster et al., 2021). Additionally, the direct effects of various CCM stimulation parameters on human cardiomyocyte physiology remains poorly understood. Previous studies have provided important insight into our understanding of CCM but are hindered due to species differences in cardiomyocyte biology. HiPSC-CMs are gaining interest for disease modeling, drug development, and safety pharmacology. However, their applicability for cardiac medical device assessment has not been thoroughly vetted. HiPSC-CMs are a useful in vitro model to assess the molecular and functional effects of CCM on human cardiac tissue (Feaster et al., 2021). However, contractile studies in hiPSC-CMs have been limited as a result of immature contractile properties when plated as conventional 2D monolayers on stiff substrates (i.e., glass or plastic) (Feaster et al., 2021; Korner et al., 2021; Huethorst et al., 2022; Narkar et al., 2022). We previously demonstrated that 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on flexible substrate, displayed increased contraction and calcium handling properties when acutely stimulated with standard CCM pulse. While significant, these effects were transient and attenuated relative to that of traditional papillary muscle models (e.g., rabbit) (Brunckhorst et al., 2006). Of the variety of culture conditions tested, we discovered that the 2D monolayer hiPSC-CM model required the combination of both a submaximal extracellular Ca concentration [0.5 mM] and a flexible substrate to elucidate the CCM response (Feaster et al., 2021). Using these conditions, we demonstrated contractile amplitude and kinetic enhancement and calcium dependance (Feaster et al., 2021). However, it is important to highlight that at a physiological extracellular Ca concentration (e.g., ~2 mM) there was no CCM contractile response (Feaster et al., 2021). To date, most hiPSC-CM studies rely on the standard conventional 2D monolayer on stiff substrate model. In such models, contractile properties are limited as a result of variable morphology, the lack of a dominant axis of myofibril alignment (Feaster et al., 2015), and a perceived glass stiffness in the GPa range (Ribeiro et al., 2015). This is contrary to 2D hiPSC-CM monolayers, on flexible substrate (i.e., Matrigel Mattress), which have an elastic modulus of approximately 5.8 kPa.
representing a physiologically relevant range for myocardium (i.e., 4.0–46.2 kPa) (Sun et al., 2012; Ribeiro et al., 2015).

Here, we extend these studies to acute CCM evaluation in 3D ECTs at physiological extracellular Ca concentrations. There is a significant need to understand the chronic effects of CCM (e.g., hours to days) on human biology but as a first step the acute effects must be characterized in a standardized human-based model (Narkar et al., 2022). We demonstrate that when cultured as 3D ECTs, hiPSC-CMs respond to acute electrical stimulation mimicking the standard CCM signal by an increase in contractile force. Moreover, unlike 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, 3D ECTs display a robust contractile response to various CCM stimulation signals. We further evaluated the complete range of clinical CCM pulse parameters in 3D ECTs and established a parameter-dependent contractile response while conventional 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, remained unaffected for each parameter tested. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first 3D hiPSC-CM study to elucidate the acute effects of CCM and may provide important insights on the effects of varying CCM pulse parameters at the bench, ahead of in vivo studies. This may improve decision making and support safety or effectiveness studies for future CCM devices. Here, we establish a standardized 3D ECT-based method to quantify and optimize acute CCM effects in vitro.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 2D human iPSC-CM derivation and culture

Cryopreserved hiPSC-CMs (iCell Cardiomyocytes2 01434, R1017 Fujifilm Cellular Dynamics, Inc.) were thawed and plated, as previously described, according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Ma et al., 2011; Blinova et al., 2017; Blinova et al., 2018; Blinova et al., 2019). All hiPSC-CMs used in this study were derived from the same hiPSC line, which was reprogrammed from fibroblast donor tissue, isolated from an apparently healthy normal Caucasian female, <18 years old (Ma et al., 2011; Feaster et al., 2021). Briefly, 116,000 viable cells were plated per well of a 48-well glass bottom plate (MatTek) P48G-1.5–6-F on Matrigel (1:60), 356,230 Corning (Feaster et al., 2015; Hwang et al., 2015).

iCell Cardiomyocytes Maintenance Medium (#M1003, Fujifilm Cellular Dynamic, Inc.) was changed every 48 h thereafter and cells were allowed to recover from cryopreservation for 7 days at 37°C before experiments were performed.

2.2 3D human iPSC-CM Biowire™ II tissue generation

Engineered cardiac tissues (ECTs) were generated as previously described (Feric et al., 2019; Qu et al., 2020). Briefly 100,000 hiPSC-CMs (iCell Cardiomyocytes2 01434, R1017 Fujifilm Cellular Dynamics, Inc.) and 10,000 normal human ventricular cardiac fibroblasts (Lonza) were embedded in a hydrogel of fibrin (Sigma-Aldrich), collagen (Sigma-Aldrich) and Matrigel (Corning). Each well of the Biowire II platform was seeded with cell/hydrogel suspension and exposed to a 7-week electrical conditioning stimulation protocol before experiments were performed. A total of three 3D ECTs were used for each contraction experiment, with repeated measurements taken after baseline was established. The average cross-sectional area for the Biowire II platform is 0.066 ± 0.001 mm² this area was used to calculate stress (Feric et al., 2019). During experiments, 3D ECTs were superfused with Tyrode’s solution as described below.

2.3 Electrical field (CCM) stimulation

2D and 3D hiPSC-CM models were stimulated with commercial pulse generators: single channel (Model 4100, A-M Systems, Sequim, WA) and multi-channel (Model 3800, A-M Systems, Sequim, WA). For 2D hiPSC-CMs, custom platinum electrodes (inter electrode distance 2.0 mm, and width 1.0 mm) compatible with standard 48-well glass bottom plates (MatTek), were placed in each well sequentially, as previously described (Feaster et al., 2021). For 3D ECTs, a 600 µl chamber fabricated with parallel platinum electrodes (inter electrode distance 1.2 mm, and width 2.0 mm) was used for each tissue. In this configuration, the tissues are in-line between the parallel electrodes. For both models, pacing (i.e., baseline) and CCM electrical pulses were delivered through these platinum electrodes, resulting in field stimulation as previously described (Blinova et al., 2014) (Figure 1C). At baseline, cells were paced at 1.5 times the capture threshold using monophasic square wave pulses. 2D hiPSC-CMs were paced at 1 Hz (2 ms pacing pulse duration) and approximately 14 V/cm 3D ECTs were paced at 1 Hz (2 ms pacing pulse duration) and approximately 10 V/cm. In both models, CCM stimulation was delivered as 1 to 3 biphasic pulses, 4.5–7 ms phase duration, 1–10 V pulse amplitude, and an interphase interval of zero. The range for the delay tested, 3–160 ms, was defined as the interval between the end of the baseline pacing pulse and the beginning of the CCM pulse. All parameters evaluated (i.e., pulse delay, pulse duration, pulse amplitude, and pulse number) were determined based on the clinical device parameter range (ImpulseDynamics, 2018; ImpulseDynamics, 2019; Campbell et al., 2020) (Supplementary Table S1).

2.4 Measurement of contractile properties

A contractility platform and software (CellOPTIQ, Clyde Biosciences), based on video pixel displacement, was used to measure 2D hiPSC-CM contractility (Sala et al., 2018; Saleem et al., 2019).
et al., 2020b; Huethorst et al., 2022). 2D hiPSC-CMs were imaged directly in plates by an inverted fluorescence microscope (Zeiss) using a ×40 objective. For 2D hiPSC-CMs, a region of interest (ROI) was selected near the center of the well and kept constant throughout the experiment. A camera connected to the front port of the microscope was used for contraction acquisition. Temperature, 37°C, and 5% CO₂ were maintained by an environmental control chamber (OKOLAB, Inc.). For 3D ECTs, contractile force measurements were obtained using a force transducer. Tissues were placed in a tissue bath (1 cm × 5 cm, 600 μl) and the polymer wires on one end of the tissue were cut and attached to a force transducer (AE801, Kronex Technologies, Oakland, CA) using a stainless steel wire fashioned into a basket. The other end of the tissue was immobilized using stainless steel wires attached to a micromanipulator (Supplementary Figure S1A; Supplementary Video S1). The AE801 is a silicon-based strain gauge with two piezoresistive elements. The AE801 was connected to a Wheatstone bridge amplifier in half bridge mode (Transbridge 4M, WPI, Sarasota, FL) which converts the resistance changes in the strain gauge to a voltage signal. The AE801 was pre-calibrated prior to experimentation with known weights and a relationship between voltage and force of 104.4 μN/mV was used to convert the voltage recording to force measurements. Signals were digitized using the Digidata 1322 A and recorded at 10 kHz with Axoscope Software (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA). Tissues were constantly superfused in the tissue bath with Tyrode’s solution at 4 ml/min and the temperature in the bath was maintained at 37°C.

All experiments were performed in Tyrode’s solution containing (in mmol/L): CaCl₂ 1.8, NaCl 134, KCl 5.4, MgCl₂ 1, glucose 10, and HEPES 10, pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH at 37 °C. To evaluate extracellular Ca concentration effects on CCM, we adjusted total extracellular Ca range from 0.5 to 4 mM (Feaster et al., 2015; Feaster et al., 2021). For each experimental group, a minimum 5 s recording was taken and analyzed, as previously described (Feaster et al., 2015; Feaster et al., 2021). The contractile baseline was established by allowing equilibration to a steady state before measurements. Contractile properties, including contraction amplitude or force, time to peak (the time from 10% peak height to
the peak of contraction), time to baseline at 90% (the time from peak of contraction to baseline 90% of peak height), contraction duration at 50%, CD 50% (the time from 50% peak height to peak of contraction and from peak to 50% peak height of relaxation), contraction slope, and relaxation slope were evaluated (Figure 1D, Supplementary Table S2). Contraction slope and relaxation slope were calculated as the maximum and minimum time derivative of the contractility amplitude, respectively.

2.5 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8 software (Prism 8, GraphPad Software, CA). Differences among the groups are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Differences were assessed as fold-change relative to baseline (i.e., pacing only) using a Two-Way ANOVA. Results were considered statistically significant if the p-value was less than 0.05, adjusted by Tukey correction for multiple comparisons.

3 Results

3.1 Comparison of 2D and 3D human CCM models

Commercially available, cryopreserved hiPSC-CMs were evaluated as conventional 2D monolayers, on stiff substrate (i.e., glass) (Feaster et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018), and as 3D ECTs (Figure 1A,B). For consistency and physiological relevance, an extracellular calcium concentration of 1.8 mM was used for both models. We first evaluated the effects of the standard acute CCM stimulation parameters in both hiPSC-CM models: two biphasic pulses, 7.5 V, 5.14 ms duration, and 30 ms delay (Figure 1C) (Stix et al., 2004; Kuschyk et al., 2017; Tint et al., 2019; Mastoris et al., 2021; Amiraslanov et al., 2022). Significantly enhanced contractile properties were observed in 3D ECTs including increased amplitude (i.e., force) and accelerated contraction and relaxation slopes (Figure 1B) (Table 1). Conventional 2D hiPSC-CM monolayers, displayed no CCM-induced contractile response (Figure 1A) (Table 1). Herein, we measure the acute effects of various clinical CCM "doses" (Figure 2) (Supplementary Table S1) on cardiac contractile properties (e.g., amplitude, time to peak and contraction slope) (Figure 1D) compared to that of baseline (i.e., standard field stimulation pacing, 1 Hz) (Figure 1C), to determine which model can best evaluate the effects of various CCM signals in vitro.

3.2 CCM is sensitive to extracellular calcium modulation in 3D ECTs

To determine the CCM dependance on extracellular Ca concentration, we evaluated the effects of CCM as a function of increasing levels of extracellular calcium concentration from 0.5 to 4 mM. Using the standard CCM stimulation settings (Figure 1C), 3D ECTs displayed significantly enhanced contractile amplitude (Figure 3B,C) relative to baseline at 0.5, 1 and 2 mM Ca. 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, displayed no CCM-induced response at any Ca concentration tested relative to baseline (Figures 2A,C). Consistent with previous studies, the 3D ECT response to CCM was blunted at higher extracellular calcium concentrations (Burkhoff et al., 2001; Brunckhorst et al., 2006; Feaster et al., 2021) (Figures 3B,C). The CCM-induced increase in 3D ECT contractile force was significantly more pronounced when extracellular calcium concentration was lowered from 4 to 2 mM. These results suggest that the CCM effects on contractile force in 3D ECTs, including increased amplitude and accelerated contraction and relaxation slopes, are dependent on the extracellular free Ca concentration.

3.3 CCM pulse delay enhances contractile properties

We next evaluated the effects of varying the duration of the delay between pacing and CCM stimulation on 2D and 3D hiPSC-CM models (Figure 2A). The range of delays tested

### Table 1 Standard CCM signal contractile characterization.

| Parameter                  | 2D monolayer hiPSC-CM CCM | 3D ECT CCM |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Peak Amplitude/Force       | −1% ± 0.01%                | 43 ± 3%*   |
| Contraction Duration 50%   | 1% ± 0.02%                 | 9 ± 2%*    |
| Contraction Slope          | 3% ± 0.03%                 | 25 ± 2%*   |
| Relaxation Slope           | −2% ± 0.02%                | 50 ± 3%*   |
| Time to Peak               | 1% ± 0.01%                 | 16 ± 1%*   |
| Time to Baseline 90%       | −1% ± 0.01%                | 0 ± 2%     |
| N                          | 16*                        | 12*        |

*16 independent wells
*3 total ECTs, 12 repeated measurements
was comparable to that of the clinical device (i.e., 3–160 ms). 3D ECTs exposed acutely to clinically relevant CCM pulse parameters exhibited enhanced cardiac contractility that subsided gradually when the CCM signal was eliminated. Specifically, 3D ECTs displayed enhanced contractile properties as a function of CCM delay timing including increased force amplitude and accelerated contraction and relaxation slopes (Figures 4B,C). Enhanced contractile force was observed with ≥30 ms delay. At the shortest delay tested (3 ms), 3D ECTs displayed a negative inotropic response that was reversed in a time dependent-manner as the pulse delay time increased into the refractory period. At the longest delay tested (160 ms), 3D ECTs displayed an increased contraction amplitude of 115.8 ± 11.4 µN (1.75 ± 0.17 mN/mm²) (Supplementary Table S3). Likewise, contraction and relaxation slopes were also slowed at 3 ms but accelerated in a time dependent manner as the pulse delay time increased. At the longer delays tested (120–160 ms), there was a significant prolongation of the contraction duration 50% and time to baseline 90%. For each CCM pulse delay investigated, 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, displayed a negligible CCM-induced response (Figures 4A,C). Taken together these data demonstrate that varying the CCM pulse delay of the acute CCM stimulation affects human 3D ECT contractile properties in vitro while 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, have a negligible contractile response.

3.4 CCM pulse duration increases contractile properties

To assess the acute effects of CCM pulse duration time on human cardiomyocyte contractility, we evaluated various clinical CCM pulse durations from 4.5 to 7 ms (Figure 2B). 3D ECTs displayed a significantly increased inotropic response as a function of increasing CCM pulse duration relative to baseline (Figures 5B,C). Similarly, contraction and relaxation slopes were
enhanced as CCM pulse duration increased (Figures 5B,C). At the longest duration tested (7 ms), 3D ECTs displayed increased contraction amplitude of $80.8 \pm 9.2 \mu N$ ($1.22 \pm 0.14$ mN/mm$^2$) (Supplementary Table S3). Varying the CCM pulse duration resulted in the widening of the contraction duration 50% at $\geq 5.14$ ms. 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, displayed no CCM-induced response at any of the pulse durations tested (Figures 5A,C). 3D ECT effects remained for the entire duration of CCM stimulation and returned to baseline when the CCM signal was eliminated. These results suggest that increasing the CCM pulse duration induces a "dose" dependent increase in the contractile properties of 3D ECTs.

3.5 CCM pulse amplitude modulates contractile properties

Next, we investigated the dependence of human cardiac contractile properties on acute CCM amplitude (i.e., voltage), from 1 to 10 V, in 2D and 3D hiPSC-CMs models (Figure 2C). HiPSC-CMs were stimulated with various CCM amplitudes. We found increasing the CCM amplitude resulted in a significantly increased contraction amplitude in 3D ECT relative to baseline (Figures 6B,C). Additionally, contraction and relaxation slopes were accelerated, and contraction duration 50% widened at higher voltages in 3D ECTs. At the highest amplitude tested (10 V), 3D ECTs displayed increased contraction amplitude of $111.8 \pm 5.8 \mu N$ ($1.69 \pm 0.08$ mN/mm$^2$) (Supplementary Table S3), whereas 2D hiPSC-CMs displayed no CCM-induced response (Figures 6A,C). These results suggest that increasing the CCM amplitude produces a voltage-dependent increase in contractile force and accelerated contraction and relaxation slopes in 3D ECTs.

3.6 CCM pulse number augments 3D ECT contractile properties

To investigate the effect of pulse number on the 2D and 3D hiPSC-CMs models, pulse number was increased from 1 to 3 pulses. 3D ECTs displayed a CCM pulse number dependent (Figure 2D) increase in contraction amplitude from 1 to 3 pulses.
Additionally, we observed significantly accelerated contraction and relaxation slopes and contraction duration 50% prolongation as a function of CCM pulse number (Figures 7B,C). At the highest pulse number tested (3 pulses), 3D ECTs displayed an increased contraction amplitude of 76.6 ± 5.2 µN (1.16 ± 0.08 mN/mm²) (Supplementary Table S3). On the other hand, 2D hiPSC-CMs displayed a negligible response to varying the number of CCM pulses (Figures 7A,C). These results demonstrate that 3D ECTs respond to increased CCM pulse number by increased peak contractile force and accelerated contraction and relaxation slopes.

4 Discussion

4.1 2D and 3D hiPSC-CM CCM models

In this study, we establish a robust in vitro method to quantify and optimize the effect of various CCM stimulation parameters in 3D ECTs to improve device developers decision-making capabilities. CCM is a cardiac therapy approved for HF patients with reduced ejection fraction. However, the ways in which various CCM signal parameters affect human cardiomyocyte contractile properties have not been completely defined in vitro. Video-based and force-based analyses were used to quantify the effects of a range (i.e., “doses”) of clinical electrical CCM parameters on human cardiomyocyte contractility (e.g., force) in conventional 2D hiPSC-CMs on stiff substrate, and 3D hiPSC-CM (ECTs) models. We demonstrated that 3D ECTs responded to various CCM signals with an increased peak contractile force in a pulse parameter-dependent manner. Moreover, to our knowledge, we have for the first time quantified the acute effects of CCM pulses on a 3D microphysiological system comprised of multiple cardiac cell types, specifically cardiomyocytes and cardiac fibroblasts. Here, utilizing a 3D ECT model, we demonstrate a sustained augmented CCM-induced contractility response, at physiological Ca concentrations (1.8 mM). On the other hand, standard 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, that were cultured for 7 days display no contractile response to CCM. The discrepancy between 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs models and 3D ECTs is likely the result of electrical conditioning, time in culture, uniaxial tension, and the contribution of non-cardiomyocytes (i.e., cardiac fibroblast) (Eng et al., 2016; Ronaldson-Bouchard et al., 2018).
4.2 Various CCM electrical signals modulate 3D ECT function

Clinically CCM has been associated with reduced HF hospitalization and improved quality of life (Campbell et al., 2020). Several studies have demonstrated an increased ejection fraction and accelerated dP/dtmax (i.e., maximum systolic upslope) with CCM treatment (Pappone et al., 2002; Lawo et al., 2005; Nagele et al., 2008). However, how various CCM parameters affect human cardiomyocyte biology is largely unknown. To understand how different CCM signal parameters affect cardiac contractility, we investigated the effects of various CCM pulse signals on human cardiac function in vitro. We found that various CCM parameters (amplitude, duration, delay, and pulse number) enhanced the contractile force of 3D ECTs in a parameter-dependent manner. Specifically, we demonstrate a parameter-dependent acceleration of contraction and relaxation slopes that is consistent with an accelerated dP/dtmax. Additionally, we observed a pulse number-dependent increase in contraction amplitude in 3D ECTs. By setting the total pulse duration time to a fixed interval, we demonstrated that these effects were likely the result of an increase in total duration time rather than absolute number of CCM pulses (Supplementary Figure S2). This is consistent with a comparable electric field (E) for 1 to 3 CCM pulses when total duration was fixed. One potential explanation of this could be prolonged channel activation by L-type calcium channels or intracellular SR calcium stores as the total CCM stimulation duration is increased. When evaluating CCM pulse delay we found the shortest delay between pacing and CCM pulse investigated (3 ms) resulted in reduced contractile amplitude, and a pulse delay of 120 ms induced a prolongation of contraction duration 50% and time to baseline 90%. Conversely, we did not investigate the effects of CCM pulse delay on action potential morphology, or if this translates to prolongation or triangularization of the action potential, as it was outside the scope of this work. However, it is important to note that significant prolongation or triangularization of the action potential may indicate a possible safety liability (Blinova et al., 2018; Gintant et al., 2020) or a proarrhythmic substrate. We have previously investigated patient-specific responses in 2D hiPSC-CMs, setting the stage for such a comparison in 3D ECTs. We compared clinical drug concentration-dependent QT prolongation with in vitro drug concentration-dependent action potential duration prolongation (Blinova et al., 2019). In the future, 3D ECT data may be leveraged...
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**FIGURE 5**
Effect of CCM pulse duration on 2D and 3D hiPSC-CM contractile properties (A) Representative contraction traces for baseline (i.e., field stimulation pacing, 1 Hz) and CCM for 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs and (B) 3D ECTs (C) Summary data graphs. Data are mean ± SEM, n = 3–6 per group. *p < 0.05 (3D vs. BL), †p < 0.05 (3D vs. 3D), ‡p < 0.05 (2D vs. BL).
to better understand CCM in patient-specific populations or cohorts using disease-specific models (e.g., clinical trial in a dish) (Strauss and Blinova, 2017; Fermini et al., 2018; Blinova et al., 2019), and to identify potential CCM super responders (Al-Ghamdi et al., 2017; Hesselson et al., 2022) before therapy is needed. Likewise, these data provide an in vitro tool to optimize CCM parameters and tailor said parameters to an individual patient using patient-specific 3D ECTs following clinical presentation.

4.3 Comparison of in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo CCM studies

Previous nonclinical CCM studies are challenging to correlate with each other because they apply a variety of CCM parameters, models, and species (Feaster et al., 2021). Consequently, there are conflicting reports of the effects of CCM on human CM contractility (Campbell et al., 2020; Burkhoff et al., 2001; Winter et al., 2014). Likewise, clinical translation of such results is complex. Despite this, the consensus is that CCM stimulation increases contractility, calcium handling, and enhances gene expression without negatively affecting mitochondrial function. One in vitro study using an isolated rabbit papillary muscle model demonstrated enhanced contractility in a manner dependent on the CCM pulse parameters (e.g., amplitude polarity) (Brunckhorst et al., 2006). However, this study used nonclinical CCM pulse parameters and a nonhuman animal model. We previously demonstrated CCM induced enhanced contractility and calcium handling using in vitro isolated rabbit CMs and 2D hiPSC-CMs, on flexible substrate (Blinova et al., 2014; Feaster et al., 2021). Still, the maximum response was transient in these two studies. In 2D hiPSC-CMs, on flexible substrate, a submaximal extracellular Ca concentration of 0.5 mM was necessary to reveal the CCM contractile response. Here, our goal was to use a physiological extracellular calcium concentration of 1.8 mM. However, in the presence of submaximal extracellular calcium concentrations, 3D ECTs maintained a superior contractile response relative to 2D hiPSC-CMs, on flexible substrate (Supplementary Figure S3). Several ex vivo whole heart ferret models demonstrated that CCM induced increased force and calcium handling as well as calcium dependance (Burkhoff et al., 2001; Mohri et al., 2002; Mohri et al., 2003). Similarly, an ex vivo whole heart rabbit model
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Effect of CCM pulse amplitude on 2D and 3D hiPSC-CM contractile properties (A) Representative contraction traces for baseline (i.e., field stimulation pacing, 1 Hz) and CCM for 2D hiPSC-CMs monolayer and (B) 3D ECTs (C) Summary data graphs. Data are mean ± SEM. n = 3–6 per group. *p < 0.05 (3D vs. BL), †p < 0.05 (3D vs. 3D), ‡p < 0.05 (2D vs. BL).
demonstrated increased contraction and shortened monophasic action potential duration along with a dependence on $\beta$-adrenergic signaling (Winter et al., 2014; Winter et al., 2011). We previously demonstrated, in an ex vivo whole rat heart model, that CCM enhanced left ventricular pressure and $\beta$-adrenergic signaling contributed to the CCM response (Blinova et al., 2014). A multitude of in vivo canine models (i.e., failing heart) demonstrate improved left ventricular function (enhanced ejection fraction) induced by CCM. While these seminal papers provide important insight into the effects and mechanisms of CCM, these studies are costly, time consuming, and rely heavily on large and small animal models (Sabbah et al., 2001; Mohri et al., 2002; Morita et al., 2003). As such, a robust human-based model to reproducibly evaluate CCM signals in vitro is needed to aid the development of novel devices and understand the effects of various signals on human cardiomyocyte biology. In this work we demonstrate the first nonclinical CCM study using the approved clinical range of CCM parameters (Campbell et al., 2020) in a human microphysiological system (3D ECTs). Although other methods, such as traditional papillary muscle models (e.g., rabbit) and in vivo canine models are amenable to CCM assessment, our 3D ECT method enables CCM evaluation in human cardiac tissue, ahead of costly animal testing, significantly assisting the 3Rs initiative (Schechtman, 2002). This 3D ECT CCM method can serve as a foundation for the development and optimization of novel cardiac medical devices and can be multiplexed to evaluate CCM effects on additional cardiac excitation-contraction coupling (E-C) readouts including electrophysiology (i.e., action potential) and calcium handling.

4.4 Study limitations

We recognize there are inherent differences in 2D and 3D models including time in culture and inclusion of cardiac fibroblasts. To ensure the most accurate comparison of conventional 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, to 3D ECTs, experimental conditions were unified in a number of important ways including: 1) selection of a physiological extracellular calcium concentration of 1.8 mM (Yee, 2008), an accepted standard for in vitro experiments (Saleem et al., 2020a; Bartolucci et al., 2020; Tsan et al., 2021); 2) we used commercially available hiPSC-CMs from the same manufacture in both 2D and
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3D models as well as comparable cell numbers for each 2D well and 3D ECT; 3) platinum electrodes were used in both systems to limit corrosion potential; 4) both 2D and 3D experiments were conducted at a physiological temperature. However, our study has several limitations. For example, conventional 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, display several features of immature cardiomyocytes including spontaneous beating. As a standard, conventional 2D hiPSC-CMs are routinely cultured for 7–14 days without electrical conditioning ahead of experiments. However, there are frequently stimulated during experimentation to eliminate potential rate-dependent effects (Patel et al., 2019). 3D ECTs were cultured for approximately 7 weeks with the addition of electrical conditioning. As such, we cannot exclude the impact of long-term culture or electrical conditioning on the 3D ECT CCM response. While technically feasible, culturing 2D monolayers for 7 weeks is not trivial and the nature of the 2D environment does not provide the optimal conditions for long-term pacing. In conventional 2D culture, unstable extracellular matrix and monolayer integrity are of prime concern. On the other hand, 3D ECTs benefit from supporting cells and a stable 3D environment, as the cells are embedded in the extracellular matrix gel. 3D ECTs represent a functionally enhanced hiPSC-CM model with intact isoproterenol-induced positive inotropy, a positive force-frequency, and post-rest potentiation, which likely augmented the CCM contractile response and enabled the shift in the experimental conditions to a physiologic extracellular Ca concentration (Feric et al., 2019). Direct force measurements were used for 3D ECTs for the evaluation of contraction amplitude. In conventional 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate, video-based pixel displacement was used to measure contractile properties due to a lack of cellular anisotropy and the limited cellular movement (i.e., shortening) of the model. While both contraction amplitude measurements (i.e., force and displacement) typically have a synergistic relationship, it is conceivable for contractile force to increase while cellular movement reduces or remains neutral as is the case for isometric contraction forces. Both 2D and 3D models lack a neuronal component necessary to elucidate the contribution of sympathetic stimulation through cardiac ganglion. Toward this goal, we are actively investigating the contribution of hiPSC-neurons to the CCM response (Narkar et al., 2022). Moreover, the contribution of non-cardiomyocytes (i.e., cardiac fibroblast) cannot be overlooked as well as the mixed population of hiPSC-CMs from each cardiac subtype (i.e., ventricular, atrial, and nodal) represented in both 2D and 3D models used here. Additionally, the commercial hiPSC-CMs used here represent an apparently ‘healthy’ cardiac model whereas CCM is indicated for HF patients. These models will be extended to diseased backgrounds including HF, DCM, and HCM. However, as a first step in this direction, demonstration of a CCM response on healthy cells is required. Clinical translation and the correlation of these data with human patient outcomes is of significant interest but is currently hindered due to limited access to human clinical data. In this study we focused primarily on the currently approved clinical range of CCM parameters. As such, we did not investigate minimum and maximum response. The CCM parameter range evaluated here was selected to span that of the clinical device capabilities where applicable. Experiments to test combinations of the most promising parameters that yield maximal contractile response with minimal pathological consequences are ongoing in our laboratories. Clinically the beneficial effects of CCM are achieved following prolonged stimulation and have been suggested to be related to slow tissue remodeling which is outside of the scope of this acute study (Butter et al., 2008).

5 Conclusion

This work lays the foundation for an in vitro CCM parameter evaluation tool and may support safety or effectiveness studies for future CCM devices as well as other cardiac electrophysiological medical devices in general. Here, we demonstrate several important findings. 1) 3D ECTs respond to acute clinical CCM stimulation parameters at physiological Ca concentrations. 2) 3D ECTs respond to the changes in various clinical CCM stimulation parameters (i.e., pulse delay, pulse duration, pulse amplitude, and pulse number), as a function of each parameter, by an increase in contractile force. This provides a nonclinical model to test and optimize various non-excitatory electrical signal parameters and combinations. 3) 3D ECTs display accelerated contraction and relaxation slopes when stimulated with CCM, which is consistent with an accelerated dP/dt max and may be beneficial in the context of systolic or diastolic dysfunction. 4) Conventional 2D monolayer hiPSC-CMs, on stiff substrate (e.g., glass/plastic), and cultured for 7 days do not respond to CCM. 5) CCM pulse number had a negligible effect on contractile response in human cardiomyocytes unlike the total pulse duration time, which was the driving factor for enhanced contractile force in situations where pulse number was increased. 6) In 3D ECTs, the CCM response is sensitive to changes in the extracellular Ca concentration resulting in a blunted effect at higher concentrations (i.e., 4 mM). Taken together, the current study demonstrated that the 3D ECT model can recapitulate CCM-induced contractility increase, consistent with the model being predictive of the effects of electrophysiological stimulation on human tissue. Thus, there is a significant need to evaluate the effects of additional cardiac electrophysiological medical devices (e.g., ablation, CRT, or ICD) in human models such as 3D ECTs. Toward that goal, we are actively evaluating cardiac medical devices in a variety of novel 3D in vitro hiPSC models to address regulatory science knowledge gaps.
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