UNIQUENESS OF BESSEL MODELS: THE ARCHIMEDEAN CASE
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Abstract. In the archimedean case, we prove uniqueness of Bessel models for general linear groups, unitary groups and orthogonal groups.

1. Introduction

Let $G$ be one of the classical Lie groups

1. \( GL_n(\mathbb{R}), \ GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \ U(p,q), \ O(p,q), \ O_n(\mathbb{C}). \)

In order to consider Bessel models for $G$, we consider, for each non-negative integer $r$ satisfying

\[ n \geq 2r + 1, \quad p \geq r, \quad q \geq r + 1, \]

the $r$-th Bessel subgroup

\[ S_r = N_{S_r} \rtimes G_0 \]

of $G$, which is a semidirect product and which will be described explicitly in Section 2.1. Here $N_{S_r}$ is the unipotent radical and $G_0$ is respectively identified with

2. \( GL_{n-2r-1}(\mathbb{R}), \ GL_{n-2r-1}(\mathbb{C}), \ U(p-r,q-r-1), \ O(p-r,q-r-1), \ O_{n-2r-1}(\mathbb{C}). \)

Let $\chi_{S_r}$ be a generic character of $S_r$ as defined in Section 2.2. The main result of this paper is the following theorem, which is usually called the (archimedean) local uniqueness of Bessel models for $G$.

**Theorem A.** Let $G$, $G_0$, $S_r$ and $\chi_{S_r}$ be as above. For every irreducible representation $\pi$ of $G$ and $\pi_0$ of $G_0$ both in the class $\mathcal{FH}$, the inequality

\[ \dim \text{Hom}_{S_r}(\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi_0, \chi_{S_r}) \leq 1 \]

holds.

We would like to make the following remarks on Theorem A. The symbol “$\hat{\otimes}$” stands for the completed projective tensor product of complete, locally convex topological vector spaces, and “$\text{Hom}_{S_r}$” stands for the space of continuous $S_r$-intertwining maps. Note that $\pi_0$ is viewed as a representation of $S_r$ with the trivial $N_{S_r}$-action. As is quite common, we do not distinguish a representation with its underlying space.
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Recall that a representation of $G$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{FH}$ if it is Fréchet, smooth, of moderate growth, admissible and $Z(g_C)$-finite. Here and as usual, $Z(g_C)$ is the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(g_C)$ of the complexified Lie algebra $g_C$ of $G$. Of course, the notion of representations in the class $\mathcal{FH}$ fits all real reductive groups. The interested reader may consult [Cas] and [Wal, Chapter 11] for more details.

If $r = 0$, then $S_r = G_0$, and Theorem A is the multiplicity one theorem proved by Sun and Zhu in [SZ] (and independently by Aizenbud and Gourevitch in [AG] for general linear groups). If $G_0$ is the trivial group, then Theorem A asserts uniqueness of Whittaker models for $GL_{2r+1}(\mathbb{R})$, $GL_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, $U(r, r+1)$, $O(r, r+1)$ and $O_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C})$. See [Shl], [CHM] for local uniqueness of Whittaker models for quasi-split groups (or [JSZ] for a quick proof). Hence the family of Bessel models interpolates between the Whittaker model ($G_0$ is trivial) and the spherical model ($r = 0$).

It is a basic problem in representation theory to establish various models with good properties. In particular, this has important applications to the classification of representations and to the theory of automorphic representations.

Whittaker models for representations of quasi-split reductive groups over complex, real and p-adic fields and their local uniqueness property are essential to the Langlands-Shahidi method ([Shh]) and the Rankin-Selberg method ([Bum]) to establish the Langlands conjecture on analytic properties of automorphic $L$-functions ([GS]).

The notion of Bessel models originates from classical Bessel functions and it was first introduced by Novodvorski and Piatetski-Shapiro ([NPS]) to study automorphic $L$-functions for $Sp(4)$. For orthogonal groups, the Bessel models are essential to establish analytic properties of automorphic $L$-functions as considered in [GPSR]. The analogue for unitary groups is expected (see [BAS], for example). More recently, Bessel models are used in the construction of automorphic descents from the general linear groups to certain classical groups ([GRS]), as well as in the construction of local descents for supercuspidal representations of p-adic groups ([JS], [Sou], [JNQ08], and [JNQ09]). Further applications of Bessel models to the theory of automorphic forms and automorphic $L$-functions are expected.

We remark that the local uniqueness of the Bessel models is one of the key properties, which makes applications of these models possible. An important purpose of this paper is to show that the archimedean local uniqueness of general Bessel models can be reduced to the uniqueness of the spherical models proved in [SZ] (i.e. $r = 0$ case). The key idea in this reduction is to construct an integral $I_\mu$ (Equation (13) in Section 3.3), where $\mu$ is a (non-zero) Bessel functional. We note that for p-adic fields, the reduction to the p-adic spherical models (proved in [AGRS]) is known by the work of Gan, Gross and Prasad ([GGP]). The approach of this paper works for the p-adic local fields as well.
We now describe the contents and the organization of this paper. In Section 2, we recall the general set-up of the Bessel models. In Section 3, we outline our strategy, and give the proof of Theorem A, based on two propositions on the aforementioned integral $I_\mu$ (Propositions 3.3 and 3.4). This integral depends on a complex parameter $s$. Proposition 3.3 states that $I_\mu$, when evaluated at a certain point of the domain, is absolutely convergent and nonzero. On the other hand, Proposition 3.4 asserts that $I_\mu$ converges absolutely for all points of the domain when the real part of the parameter $s$ is large, and it defines a $G$-invariant continuous linear functional on a representation of $G' \times G$ in the class $FH$, where $G' \supset G$ is one of the spherical pairs considered in [SZ]. The proof of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 are given in Sections 4 and 6, respectively. Section 5 is devoted to an explicit integral formula (Proposition 5.4), as a preparation for Section 6.
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2. Bessel subgroups and generic characters

2.1. Bessel subgroups. In order to describe the Bessel subgroups uniformly in all five cases, we introduce the following notations. Let $K$ be a $\mathbb{R}$-algebra, equipped with an involution $\tau$. In this article, $(K, \tau)$ is assumed to be one of the pairs

$$\begin{align*}
(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_{\mathbb{R}}), 
(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, \tau_{\mathbb{C}}), 
(\mathbb{C}, -), 
(\mathbb{R}, 1_{\mathbb{R}}), 
(\mathbb{C}, 1_{\mathbb{C}}),
\end{align*}$$

where $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\tau_{\mathbb{C}}$ are the maps which interchange the coordinates, $\mathbb{C}$ is the complex conjugation, $1_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $1_{\mathbb{C}}$ are the identity maps.

Let $E$ be a hermitian $K$-module, namely it is a free $K$-module of finite rank, equipped with a non-degenerate $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear map

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E : E \times E \to K$$

satisfying

$$\langle u, v \rangle_E = \langle v, u \rangle_{E, \tau}, \quad \langle au, v \rangle_E = a \langle u, v \rangle_E, \quad a \in K, u, v \in E.$$  

Denote by $G := U(E)$ the group of all $K$-module automorphisms of $E$ which preserve the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$.

Assume that $E$ is nonzero. Let $r \geq 0$ and

$$0 = X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_r \subset X_{r+1}$$

be a flag of $E$ such that

- $X_i$ is a free $K$-submodule of $E$ of rank $i$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r, r+1$, 

\( X_r \) is totally isotropic, and
\( X_{r+1} = X_r \oplus \mathbb{K}v'_0 \) (orthogonal direct sum), with \( v'_0 \) a non-isotropic vector.

A group of the form
\[
S_r := \{ x \in G \mid (x - 1)X_{i+1} \subset X_i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, r \}
\]
is called a \( r \)-th Bessel subgroup of \( G \).

To be more explicit, we fix a totally isotropic free \( \mathbb{K} \)-submodule \( Y_r \) of \( v'_0 \perp := \{ v \in E \mid \langle v, v'_0 \rangle_E = 0 \} \) of rank \( r \) so that the pairing
\[
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E : X_r \times Y_r \to \mathbb{K}
\]
is non-degenerate. Write
\[
E_0 := v'_0 \perp \cap (X_r \oplus Y_r) \perp.
\]
Then \( E \) is decomposed into an orthogonal sum of three submodules:
\[
E = (X_r \oplus Y_r) \oplus E_0 \oplus \mathbb{K}v'_0.
\]

According to the five cases of \((\mathbb{K}, \tau)\) in (3), \( G \) is one of the groups in (1). By scaling the form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E \), we assume that
\[
\langle v'_0, v'_0 \rangle_E = -1,
\]
then \( G_0 := U(E_0) \) is one of the groups in (2). The Bessel subgroup \( S_r \) is then a semidirect product
\[
S_r = N_{S_r} \rtimes G_0,
\]
where \( N_{S_r} \) is the unipotent radical of \( S_r \).

2.2. Generic characters. Write
\[
L_i := \text{Hom}_\mathbb{K}(X_{i+1}/X_i, X_i/X_{i-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r,
\]
which is a free \( \mathbb{K} \)-module of rank 1. For any \( x \in S_r \), \( x - 1 \) obviously induces an element of \( L_i \), which is denoted by \([x - 1]_i\). Denote by \([x]_0\) the projection of \( x \) to \( G_0 \). It is elementary to check that the map
\[
\eta : S_r \to C_r := G_0 \times L_1 \times L_2 \times \cdots \times L_r,
\]
\[
x \mapsto ([x]_0, [x - 1]_1, [x - 1]_2, \ldots, [x - 1]_r)
\]
is a surjective homomorphism, and every character on \( S_r \) descends to one on \( C_r \). A character on \( S_r \) is said to be generic if its descent to \( C_r \) has nontrivial restriction to every nonzero \( \mathbb{K} \)-submodule of \( L_i \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \).
3. The strategy, and proof of Theorem A

3.1. The group $G'$. Introduce

$$E' := E \oplus \mathbb{K}v',$$

with $v'$ a free generator. View it as a hermitian $\mathbb{K}$-module under the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E'}$ so that

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E'}|_{E \times E} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E}, \quad \langle E, v' \rangle_{E'} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle v', v' \rangle_{E'} = 1.$$  

Then $E'$ is the orthogonal sum of two submodules:

$$E' = (X'_{r+1} \oplus Y'_{r+1}) \oplus E_0,$$

where

$$X'_{r+1} := X_r \oplus \mathbb{K}(v'_0 + v') \quad \text{and} \quad Y'_{r+1} := Y_r \oplus \mathbb{K}(v'_0 - v')$$

are totally isotropic submodules.

Write $G' := U(E')$, which contains $G$ as the subgroup fixing $v'$. Denote by $P'_{r+1}$ the parabolic subgroup of $G'$ preserving $X'_{r+1}$, and by $P_r$ the parabolic subgroup of $G$ preserving $X_r$. As usual, we have

$$P'_{r+1} = N'_{P_{r+1}} \rtimes (G_0 \times \text{GL}_{r+1}) \subset G' \quad \text{and} \quad P_r = N_P \rtimes (G'_0 \times \text{GL}_r) \subset G,$$

where $N'_{P_{r+1}}$ and $N_P$ are the unipotent radicals of $P'_{r+1}$ and $P_r$, respectively,

$$\text{GL}_{r+1} := \text{GL}_K(X'_{r+1}) \supset \text{GL}_r := \text{GL}_K(X_r),$$

and

$$G'_0 := U(E'_0) \supset G_0, \quad \text{with} \quad E'_0 := E_0 \oplus \mathbb{K}v'_0.$$

Write

$$N'_{r+1} = \{ x \in \text{GL}_{r+1} \mid (x - 1)X'_{r+1} \subset X_r, \ (x - 1)X_i \subset X_{i-1}, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \},$$

and

$$N_r = \{ x \in \text{GL}_r \mid (x - 1)X_i \subset X_{i-1}, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \},$$

which are maximal unipotent subgroups of $\text{GL}_{r+1}$ and $\text{GL}_r$, respectively.

We now describe other salient features of the Bessel group $S_r$. It is a subgroup of $P_r$:

$$S_r = N_P \rtimes (G_0 \times N_r) \subset P_r = N_P \rtimes (G'_0 \times \text{GL}_r).$$

Although $P_r$ is not a subgroup of $P'_{r+1}$, we have that $S_r \subset P'_{r+1}$ and the quotient map $P'_{r+1} \to G_0 \times \text{GL}_{r+1}$ induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\tilde{\eta}_r : S_r \to G_0 \times N_{r+1}.$$  

It is elementary to check that every character on $S_r$ descends to one on $G_0 \times N_{r+1}$, and it is generic if and only if its descent to $G_0 \times N_{r+1}$ has generic restriction to $N_{r+1}$, in the usual sense.
Let $\chi_{S_r}$ be a generic character of $S_r$, as in Theorem A. Write
\begin{equation}
\chi_{S_r} = (\chi_{G_0} \otimes \psi_{r+1}) \circ \tilde{\eta}_r,
\end{equation}
where $\chi_{G_0}$ is a character on $G_0$, and $\psi_{r+1}$ is a generic character on $N_{r+1}$. Throughout this article, we always assume that $\psi_{r+1}$ is unitary. Otherwise the Hom space in Theorem A is trivial, due to the moderate growth condition on the representation $\pi$.

3.2. Induced representations of $G'$. Let $\pi_0$ and $\sigma$ be irreducible representations of $G_0$ and $\text{GL}_{r+1}$ in the class $\mathcal{FH}$, respectively. Write
$$
\rho := \pi_0 \hat{\otimes} \sigma,
$$
which is a representation of $G_0 \times \text{GL}_{r+1}$ in the class $\mathcal{FH}$.

Put
$$
d_K := \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } K \text{ is a field, } \\
2, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
$$
and
$$
K_+^x = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{R}_+^x, & \text{if } d_K = 1, \\
\mathbb{R}_+^x \times \mathbb{R}_+^x, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$
Denote by
$$
|\cdot| : K_+^x \to K_+^x
$$
the map of taking componentwise absolute values. For all $a \in K_+^x$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}^{d_K}$, put
$$
a^s := a_1^{s_1} a_2^{s_2} \in \mathbb{C}^x, \quad \text{if } d_K = 2, \quad a = (a_1, a_2), \quad s = (s_1, s_2).
$$
If $d_K = 1$, $a^s \in \mathbb{C}^x$ retains the usual meaning.

We now define certain representations of $G'$ in the class $\mathcal{FH}$ which are induced from the parabolic subgroup $P'_{r+1}$. For every $s \in \mathbb{C}^{d_K}$, denote by $\pi'_s$ the space of all smooth functions $f : G' \to \rho$ such that
$$
f(n'gmx) = \chi_{G_0}(g)^{-1} |\det(m)|^s \rho(gm)(f(x)),
$$
for all $n' \in N_{P'_{r+1}}, g \in G_0, m \in \text{GL}_{r+1}, x \in G'$. (We introduce the factor $\chi_{G_0}(g)^{-1}$ for convenience only.)

By using Langlands classification and the result of Speh-Vogan [SV, Theorem 1.1], we have

**Proposition 3.1.** The representation $\pi'_s$ is irreducible except for a measure zero set of $s \in \mathbb{C}^{d_K}$.
3.3. **The integral** \(I_\mu\). Recall that \(\psi_{r+1}\) is the generic unitary character of \(N_{r+1}\) as in (12). Assume that the representation \(\sigma\) of \(\text{GL}_{r+1}\) is \(\psi_{r+1}^{-1}\)-generic, namely there exists a nonzero continuous linear functional

\[
\lambda : \sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
\]

such that

\[
\lambda(\sigma(m)u) = \psi_{r+1}(m)^{-1}\lambda(u), \quad m \in N_{r+1}, \ u \in \sigma.
\]

We fix one such \(\lambda\). Define a continuous linear map \(\Lambda\) by the formula

\[
\Lambda : \rho = \pi_0 \hat{\otimes} \sigma \rightarrow \pi_0 \ u \otimes v \mapsto \lambda(v)u.
\]

Let \(\pi\) be an irreducible representation of \(G\) in the class \(\mathcal{FH}\), as in Theorem A, and let

\[
\mu : \pi \times \pi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
\]

be a Bessel functional, namely a continuous bilinear map which corresponds to an element of

\[
\text{Hom}_{S_r}(\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi_0, \chi_{S_r}).
\]

**Lemma 3.2.** For every \(s \in \mathbb{C}^{d_K}\), \(u \in \pi\) and \(f \in \pi'_s\), the smooth function

\[
g \mapsto \mu(\pi(g)u, \Lambda(f(g)))
\]

on \(G\) is left invariant under \(S_r\).

**Proof.** Let \(x \in G\) and \(b \in S_r \subset P_{r+1}'\). Write

\[
b = n'gm, \quad n' \in N_{P_{r+1}'}, \ g \in G_0, \ m \in N_{r+1}.
\]

Then

\[
\Lambda(f(bx))) = \chi_{G_0}(g)^{-1}\Lambda(\rho(gm)(f(x)))
\]

\[
= \chi_{G_0}(g)^{-1}\psi_{r+1}(m)^{-1}\pi_0(g)(\Lambda(f(x)))
\]

\[
= \chi_{S_r}(b)^{-1}\pi_0(g)(\Lambda(f(x))),
\]

and therefore,

\[
\mu(\pi(bx)u, \Lambda(f(bx))) = \chi_{S_r}(b)^{-1}\mu(\pi(b)\pi(x)u, \pi_0(g)(\Lambda(f(x))))
\]

\[
= \mu(\pi(x)u, \Lambda(f(x))).
\]

The last equality holds as \(b\) maps to \(g\) under the quotient map \(S_r \rightarrow G_0\), and \(\pi_0\) is viewed as a representation of \(S_r\) via inflation. \(\square\)
Write
\begin{equation}
I_\mu(f, u) := \int_{S_r \backslash G} \mu(\pi(g)u, \Lambda(f(g))) \, dg, \quad f \in \pi'_s, \ u \in \pi,
\end{equation}
where \(dg\) is a right \(G\)-invariant positive measure on \(S_r \backslash G\). It is clear that
\[I_\mu(\pi'_s(g)f, \pi(g)u) = I_\mu(f, u)\]
for all \(g \in G\) whenever the integrals converge absolutely.

3.4. **Proof of Theorem A.** We shall postpone the proof of the following proposition to Section 4.

**Proposition 3.3.** If \(\mu \neq 0\), then there is an element \(f_\mu \in \pi'_s\) and a vector \(u_\pi \in \pi\) such that the integral \(I_\mu(f_\mu, u_\pi)\) converges absolutely, and yields a nonzero number.

Denote by
\[\text{Re} : \mathbb{C}^{d_K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_K}\]
the map of taking real parts componentwise. If \(a \in \mathbb{R}^{d_K}\) and \(c \in \mathbb{R}\), by writing \(a > c\), we mean that each component of \(a\) is \(> c\).

The proof of the following proposition will be given in Section 6 after preparation in Section 5.

**Proposition 3.4.** There is a real number \(c_\mu\) such that for all \(s \in \mathbb{C}^{d_K}\) with \(\text{Re}(s) > c_\mu\), the integral \(I_\mu(f, u)\) converges absolutely for all \(f \in \pi'_s\) and all \(u \in \pi\), and \(I_\mu\) defines a continuous linear functional on \(\pi'_s \hat{\otimes} \pi\).

We now complete the proof of Theorem A. We are given \(\pi, \pi_0\) and a generic character \(\chi_{S_r}\) of \(S_r\) as in Equation (12). As noted there, we may assume that the generic character \(\psi_{r+1}\) of \(N_{r+1}\) is unitary. As is well known, there exists an irreducible representation \(\sigma\) of \(\text{GL}_{r+1}\) in the class \(\mathcal{F}H\) which is \(\psi_{r+1}\)-generic (it follows from [CHM, Theorem 9.1], for example). For each \(\mu \in \text{Hom}_{S_r}(\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi_0, \chi_{S_r})\), we may therefore define the integral \(I_\mu\), as in Section 3.3.

Let \(F_r\) be a finite dimensional subspace of \(\text{Hom}_{S_r}(\pi \hat{\otimes} \pi_0, \chi_{S_r})\). By Proposition 3.4, there exists a real number \(c_{F_r}\) such that for all \(\mu \in F_r\) and all \(s \in \mathbb{C}^{d_K}\) with \(\text{Re}(s) > c_{F_r}\), the integral \(I_\mu(f, u)\) converges absolutely for all \(f \in \pi'_s\) and all \(u \in \pi\), and defines a continuous linear functional on \(\pi'_s \hat{\otimes} \pi\).

By Proposition 3.1, we may choose one \(s\) with \(\text{Re}(s) > c_{F_r}\) and \(\pi'_s\) irreducible. By Proposition 3.3, we have a linear embedding
\[F_r \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_G(\pi'_s \hat{\otimes} \pi, \mathbb{C}), \quad \mu \mapsto I_\mu.
\]
The later space is at most one dimensional by [SZ, Theorem A], and so is \(F_r\). This proves Theorem A. \(\square\)
4. Proof of Proposition 3.3

We continue with the notation of the last section and assume that \( \mu \neq 0 \). By absorbing the concerned characters into the representations \( \pi_0 \) and \( \sigma \), we may and we will assume in this section that \( \chi_{G_0} = 1 \) and \( s = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^d \).

Let \( \tilde{N}_P \) be the unipotent subgroup of \( G \) which is normalized by \( G' \times \text{GL}_r \) so that \( (G' \times \text{GL}_r) \tilde{N}_P \) is a parabolic subgroup opposite to \( P_r \). Then

\[
P_r \tilde{N}_P \text{ is open in } G.
\]

Recall that the Bessel group \( S_r \) is a subgroup of \( P_r \):

\[
S_r = N_P \rtimes (G_0 \times N_r) \subset P_r = N_P \rtimes (G'_0 \times \text{GL}_r).
\]

We shall need to integrate over \( S_r \setminus G \), and thus over the following product space

\[
N_r \setminus \text{GL}_r \times (G_0 \setminus G'_0) \times \tilde{N}_P.
\]

4.1. A nonvanishing lemma on \( G_0 \setminus G'_0 \).

Lemma 4.1. There is a vector \( u_\pi \in \pi \) and a smooth function \( f_{\pi_0} : G'_0 \to \pi_0 \), compactly supported modulo \( G_0 \) such that

\[
f_{\pi_0}(gg') = \pi_0(g)f_{\pi_0}(g'), \quad g \in G_0, \ g' \in G'_0,
\]

and

\[
\int_{G_0 \setminus G'_0} \mu(g' u_\pi, f_{\pi_0}(g')) \, dg' \neq 0.
\]

Proof. Pick \( u_\pi \in \pi \) and \( u_{\pi_0} \in \pi_0 \) so that

\[
\mu(u_\pi, u_{\pi_0}) = 1.
\]

Let \( A' \) be a submanifold of \( G'_0 \) such that the multiplication map \( G_0 \times A' \to G'_0 \) is an open embedding, and

\[
\text{Re}(\mu(\pi(a)u_\pi, u_{\pi_0})) > 0, \quad a \in A'.
\]

Let \( \phi_0 \) be a compactly supported nonnegative and nonzero smooth function on \( A' \). Put

\[
f_{\pi_0}(g') := \begin{cases} 
\phi_0(a)\pi_0(g)u_{\pi_0}, & \text{if } g' = ga \in G_0 A', \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

which clearly fulfills all the desired requirements. \( \square \)
4.2. **Whittaker functions on GL\(_r\).** Fix \(u_\pi\) and \(\pi_0\) as in Lemma 4.1. Set 
\[
\Phi(m, \bar{n}) := \int_{G_0 \backslash G'_0} \mu(\pi(mg'\bar{n})u_\pi, f_{\pi_0}(g')) \, dg',
\]
which is a smooth function on \(\text{GL}_r \times \bar{N}_r\). It is nonzero since \(\Phi(1, 1) \neq 0\) by (14). Note that \(\mu\) is \(\chi_{S_r}\)-equivariant, the representation \(\pi_0\) of \(S_r\) has trivial restriction to \(N_r\), and \(\chi_{S_r}\) and \(\psi_{r+1}\) have the same restriction to \(N_r\). Therefore, we have
\[
\Phi(lm, \bar{n}) = \psi_{r+1}(l) \Phi(m, \bar{n}), \quad l \in N_r, \, m \in \text{GL}_r, \, \bar{n} \in \bar{N}_r.
\]

Let \(W_r\) be a smooth function on \(\text{GL}_r\) with compact support modulo \(N_r\) such that
\[
W_r(m) = \phi_{\bar{N}}(\bar{n}) f_{\pi_0}(g'), \quad m \in \text{GL}_r.
\]

The following lemma is due to Jacquet and Shalika ([JaSh, Section 3], see also [Cog, Section 4]).

**Lemma 4.2.** For every \(W_r\) as above, there is a vector \(u_\sigma \in \sigma\) such that
\[
W_r(m) = \lambda(\sigma(m)u_\sigma), \quad m \in \text{GL}_r.
\]

Let \(\phi_{\bar{N}}\) be a smooth function on \(\bar{N}_r\) with compact support. Pick \(W_r\) and \(\phi_{\bar{N}}\) appropriately so that
\[
\int_{(N_r \backslash \text{GL}_r) \times \bar{N}_r} \delta_{P_r}^{-1}(m) \Phi(m, \bar{n}) W_r(m) \phi_{\bar{N}}(\bar{n}) \, dm \, d\bar{n} \neq 0.
\]

Here and as usual, we denote by
\[
\delta_H : h \mapsto |\det(\text{Ad}_h)|
\]
the modular character of a Lie group \(H\).

4.3. **The construction of \(f_\rho\).** Note that
\[
P'_{r+1} \cap G = N_{P_r} \rtimes (G_0 \times \text{GL}_r).
\]
By counting the dimensions of the concerned Lie groups, we check that the multiplication map
\[
P'_{r+1} \times G \to G' \text{ is a submersion.}
\]
From (17) and (18), we see that the multiplication map

\[
\iota_{G'} : (N_{P'_{r+1}} \rtimes \text{GL}_{r+1}) \times (G_0' \times \bar{N}_r) \to G'
\]
is an open embedding.

Put
\[
f_\rho(x) := \begin{cases} 
\phi_{\bar{N}}(\bar{n}) f_{\pi_0}(g') \otimes (\sigma(m)u_\sigma), & \text{if } x = \iota_{G'}(n', m, g', \bar{n}), \\
0, & \text{if } x \text{ is not in the image of } \iota_{G'},
\end{cases}
\]
where \(u_\sigma\) is as in Lemma 4.2. Then \(f_\rho \in \pi'_s\) (recall that \(s\) is assumed to be 0).
Finally, we have that
\[
I_\mu(f_\rho, u_\pi) = \int_{S_r \setminus G} \mu(\pi(x) u_\pi, \Lambda(f_\rho(x))) \, dx
\]
\[
= \int_{(N_r \setminus \GL_r) \times (G_0' \setminus G_0) \times N_{Pr}} \mu(\pi(mg' \tilde{n}) u_\pi, \Lambda(f_\rho(mg' \tilde{n}))) \delta^{-1}_{Pr}(m) \, dm \, dg' \, d\tilde{n}
\]
\[
= \int_{(N_r \setminus \GL_r) \times (G_0' \setminus G_0) \times N_{Pr}} \delta^{-1}_{Pr}(m) \lambda(\sigma(m) u_\pi) \phi_{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{n})
\cdot \mu(\pi(mg' \tilde{n}) u_\pi, f_{\pi_0}(g')) \, dm \, dg' \, d\tilde{n}
\]
\[
= \int_{(N_r \setminus \GL_r) \times \tilde{N}_{Pr}} \delta^{-1}_{Pr}(m) \Phi(m, \tilde{n}) W_r(m) \phi_{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{n}) \, dm \, d\tilde{n},
\]
which converges to a nonzero number by (16). This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.3.

5. Another integral formula on $S_r \setminus G$

This section and the next section are devoted to a proof of Proposition 3.4 in the case when $E'_0 := E_0 \oplus \mathbb{K} \nu_0'$ is isotropic, i.e., when $E'_0$ has a torsion free isotropic vector. The anisotropic case is simpler and is left to the reader. We first develop some generalities in the following two subsections.

5.1. Commuting positive forms. Let $F$ be a free $\mathbb{K}$-module of finite rank. By a positive form on $F$, we mean a $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear map
\[
[\cdot, \cdot]_F : F \times F \to \mathbb{K}
\]
satisfying
\[
[u, v]_F = \overline{v, u}_F, \quad [au, v]_F = a[u, v]_F, \quad a \in \mathbb{K}, u, v \in F.
\]
and
\[
[u, u]_F \in \mathbb{K}_+ \quad \text{for all torsion free } u \in F.
\]
Here $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{K}$ denotes the componentwise complex conjugation of $a$, for $a \in \mathbb{K}$.

Now further assume that $F$ is a hermitian $\mathbb{K}$-module, i.e., a non-degenerate hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_F$ (with respect to $\tau$) on $F$ is given. We say that the positive form $[\cdot, \cdot]_F$ is commuting (with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_F$) if
\[
\theta_F^2 = 1,
\]
where $\theta_F : F \to F$ is the $\mathbb{R}$-linear map specified by
\[
[u, v]_F = \langle u, \theta_F v \rangle_F, \quad u, v \in F.
\]

The following lemma is elementary.
Lemma 5.1. Up to the action of $U(F)$, there exists a unique commuting positive form on $F$.

Proof. We check the case of complex orthogonal groups, and leave other cases to the reader. So assume that $(\mathbb{K}, \tau) = (\mathbb{C}, 1_C)$. Then

$\left[ , \right]_F \mapsto$ the eigenspace of $\theta_F$ of eigenvalue 1 defines a $U(F)$-equivariant bijection:

\[ \{ \text{commuting positive forms on } F \} \leftrightarrow \{ \text{real forms } F_0 \text{ of } F \text{ so that } \langle , \rangle_{F_0 \times F_0} \text{ is real valued and positive definite} \} . \]

The assertion follows immediately. \qed

5.2. A Jacobian. Now fix a commuting positive form $[ , ]_F$, and denote by $K(F)$ its stabilizer in $U(F)$ (which is also the centralizer of $\theta_F$ in $U(F)$). Then $K(F)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $U(F)$. Write

$F = F_+ \oplus F_-$,

where $F_+$ and $F_-$ are eigenspaces of $\theta_F$ of eigenvalues 1 and $-1$, respectively.

With the preparation of the commuting positive forms, we set

$S_F := \{ u + v \mid u \in F_+, v \in F_-, [u, u]_F = [v, v]_F = 1, [u, v]_F = 0 \}$.

Assume that $F$ is isotropic, i.e., there is a torsion free vector of $F$ which is isotropic with respect to $\langle , \rangle_F$. This is the case of concern. Then $S_F$ is nonempty. It is easy to check that $K(F)$ acts transitively on $S_F$. According to [Sht], $S_F$ is in fact a Nash-manifold. Furthermore, it is a Riemannian manifold with the restriction of the metric

$\frac{1}{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{K}} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{R}} \left[ , \right]_F$.

Write

$\Gamma_{F,-1} := \{ u \in F \mid \langle u, u \rangle_F = -1 \}$,

which is a Nash-manifold. It is also a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with the restriction of the metric

$\frac{1}{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{K}} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{R}} \left( , \right)_F$.

Equip $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ with the invariant Riemannian metric so that the tangent vector $t \frac{d}{dt}$ at $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times$ has length 1. As a product of one or two copies of $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$, $\mathbb{K}_+^\times$ is again a Riemannian manifold.

Define a map

$\eta_F : S_F \times \mathbb{K}_+^\times \rightarrow \Gamma_{F,-1},$

$(w, t) \mapsto \frac{t-t^{-t}}{2} u + \frac{t+t^{-t}}{2} v,$

where

$w = u + v, \quad u \in F_+, v \in F_-.$
Note that the domain and the range of the smooth map \( \eta_F \) have the same real dimension. Denote by \( J_{\eta_F} \) the Jacobian of \( \eta_F \) (with respect to the metrics defined above), which is a nonnegative continuous function on \( S_F \times \mathbb{K}_+^\times \). Since \( \eta_F \) and all the involved metrics are semialgebraic, \( J_{\eta_F} \) is also semialgebraic (see [Sht] for the notion of semialgebraic maps and Nash maps). Note that \( K(F) \) acts transitively on \( S_F \) (and trivially on \( \mathbb{K}_+^\times \)), \( \eta_F \) and all the involved metrics are \( K(F) \)-equivariant. Therefore, there is a nonnegative continuous semialgebraic function \( J_F \) on \( \mathbb{K}_+^\times \) such that

\[
J_{\eta_F}(w, t) = J_F(t), \quad w \in S_F, \ t \in \mathbb{K}_+^\times.
\]

Denote \( C(X) \) the space of continuous functions on any (topological) space \( X \).

**Lemma 5.2.** For \( \phi \in C(\Gamma_{F,-1}) \), one has that

\[
\int_{\Gamma_{F,-1}} \phi(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{K}_+^\times} J_F(t) \int_{S_F} \phi(\eta_F(w, t)) \, dw \, dt^2,
\]

where \( dx, \ dw \) and \( dt^2 \) are the volume forms associated to the respective metrics.

**Proof.** For every \( t \in \mathbb{K}_+^\times \), write

\[
\langle t \rangle := \begin{cases} 
  t, & \text{if } d_{\mathbb{K}} = 1, \\
  t_1 t_2, & \text{if } d_{\mathbb{K}} = 2 \text{ and } t = (t_1, t_2).
\end{cases}
\]

Write

\[
\Gamma_{F,-1}(1) = \{ u \in \Gamma_{F,-1} | \langle [u, u]_F \rangle = 1 \},
\]

which is a closed submanifold of \( \Gamma_{F,-1} \) of measure zero. One checks case by case that \( \eta_F \) induces diffeomorphisms from both

\[
S_F \times \{ t \in \mathbb{K}_+^\times | \langle t \rangle > 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad S_F \times \{ t \in \mathbb{K}_+^\times | \langle t \rangle < 1 \}
\]

onto \( \Gamma_{F,-1} \setminus \Gamma_{F,-1}(1) \). The lemma then follows. \( \Box \)

**5.3. A preliminary integral formula on \( G_0 \setminus G'_0 \).** We recall the notations of Section 2. The isotropic condition on \( E'_0 = E_0 \oplus \mathbb{K}v'_0 \) (which we assume as in the beginning of this section) ensures that there is a vector \( v_0 \in E_0 \) such that

\[
\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle = 1.
\]

Denote by \( Z_0 \) its orthogonal complement in \( E_0 \). Then \( E \) is an orthogonal sum of four submodules:

\[
(21) \quad E = (X_r \oplus Y_r) \oplus Z_0 \oplus \mathbb{K}v_0 \oplus \mathbb{K}v'_0.
\]

Fix a commuting positive form \( [\ , \ ]_E \) on \( E \) so that (21) is an orthogonal sum of five submodules with respect to \( [\ , \ ]_E \). Recall that

\[
G := U(E), \quad G'_0 := U(E'_0), \quad G_0 = U(E_0).
\]

Put

\[
K := K(E), \quad K_0 := K(E'_0), \quad K_0 := K(E_0).
\]
For every $t \in \mathbb{K}^+_+$, denote by $g_t \in G'_0$ the element which is specified by
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
  g_t(v_0 + v'_0) &= t(v_0 + v'_0), \\
  g_t(v_0 - v'_0) &= t^{-1}(v_0 - v'_0), \\
  g_t|_{X_{r,\mathbb{R}}Y_{r,\mathbb{R}}Z_0} &= \text{the identity map}.
\end{cases}
\end{align}
(22)

We use the results of the last two subsections to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** For $\phi \in C(G_0 \setminus G'_0)$, we have
\[
\int_{G_0 \setminus G'_0} \phi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{K}^+_+} J_{E_0}(t) \int_{K'_0} \phi(g_t) \, dk \, d^x t,
\]
where $dk$ is the normalized haar measure on $K'_0$, and $dx$ is a suitably normalized $G'_0$-invariant positive measure on $G_0 \setminus G'_0$.

**Proof.** By first integrating over $K'_0$, we just need to show that
\[
\int_{G_0 \setminus G'_0} \phi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{K}^+_+} J_{E_0}(t) \phi(g_t) \, d^x t, \quad \phi \in C(G_0 \setminus G'_0/K'_0).
\]

We identify $G_0 \setminus G'_0$ with $\Gamma_{E'_0,-1}$ by the map $g \mapsto g^{-1}v'_0$. Note that $v_0 + v'_0 \in S_{E_0}$ and $G_0g_t$ is identified with $\eta_{E'_0}(v_0 + v'_0, t^{-1})$. The measure $dx$ is identified with a constant $C$ multiple of the metric measure $dy$ on $\Gamma_{E'_0,-1}$.

Let
\[
\phi \in C(G_0 \setminus G'_0/K'_0) = C(K'_0 \setminus \Gamma_{E'_0,-1}).
\]

Then the function $\phi(\eta_{E'_0}(w, t^{-1}))$ is independent of $w \in S_{E'_0}$. Also note that
\[
J_{E_0}(t) = J_{E'_0}(t^{-1}), \quad t \in \mathbb{K}^+_+.
\]

Therefore by Lemma 5.2, we have
\[
\int_{G_0 \setminus G'_0} \phi(x) \, dx = C \int_{\Gamma_{E'_0,-1}} \phi(y) \, dy
= \frac{1}{2} C \int_{\mathbb{K}^+_+} J_{E'_0}(t) \int_{S_{E'_0}} \phi(\eta_{E'_0}(w, t)) \, dw \, d^x t
= \frac{1}{2} C \int_{\mathbb{K}^+_+} J_{E'_0}(t^{-1}) \int_{S_{E'_0}} \phi(\eta_{E'_0}(w, t^{-1})) \, dw \, d^x t
= \frac{1}{2} C \int_{\mathbb{K}^+_+} J_{E'_0}(t) \int_{S_{E'_0}} \phi(\eta_{E'_0}(v_0 + v'_0, t^{-1})) \, dw \, d^x t
= \frac{1}{2} C \int_{\mathbb{K}^+_+} J_{E'_0}(t) \phi(g_t) \int_{S_{E'_0}} 1 \, dw \, d^x t.
\]
We finish the proof by putting
\[ C := 2 \left( \int_{S_{E_0'}} 1 \, dw \right)^{-1}. \]

\[ \square \]

5.4. The integral formula on \( S_r \setminus G \). Denote by \( B_r \) the Borel subgroup of \( \text{GL}_r \) stabilizing the flag
\[ 0 = X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_r. \]
For every \( t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_r) \in (\mathbb{K}_+^\times)^r \), denote by \( a_t \) the element of \( \text{GL}_r \) whose restriction to
\[ \{ v \in X_i \mid [v, X_{i-1}]_E = 0 \} \]
is the scalar multiplication by \( t_i \), for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \).

Proposition 5.4. For \( \phi \in C(S_r \setminus G) \), one has that
\[ \int_{S_r \setminus G} \phi(g) \, dg = \int_{(K_r^+)^r \times K_+} \phi(a_t g_l k) \delta_{F_r}^{-1}(a_t) \delta_{B_r}^{-1}(a_t) J_{E_0}(t) \, d^x t \, d^x t \, dk, \]
where \( dg \) is a suitably normalized right \( G \)-invariant measure on \( S_r \setminus G \).

Proof. Write \( K_r = K \cap \text{GL}_r \). Then we have
\[
\int_{S_r \setminus G} \phi(g) \, dg = \int_{(N_r, N_r G_0) \setminus (N_r, \text{GL}_r G_0') K} \phi(g) \, dg \\
= \int_{(N_r \setminus \text{GL}_r) \times (G_0 \setminus G_0') \times K} \phi(m g' k) \delta_{F_r}^{-1}(m) \, dm \, dk \\
= \int_{(K_r^+)^r \times K_r \times (G_0 \setminus G_0') \times K} \phi(a_t g' k) \delta_{F_r}^{-1}(a_t) \delta_{B_r}^{-1}(a_t) \, d^x t \, dl \, d^x t' \, dk \\
= \int_{(K_r^+)^r \times (G_0 \setminus G_0') \times K} \phi(a_t g' k) \delta_{F_r}^{-1}(a_t) \delta_{B_r}^{-1}(a_t) \, d^x t \, d^x t' \, dk \\
\text{(By Lemma 5.3)} \\
= \int_{(K_r^+)^r \times K_+ \times K} \phi(a_t g_l k) \delta_{F_r}^{-1}(a_t) \delta_{B_r}^{-1}(a_t) J_{E_0}(t) \, d^x t \, d^x t \, dk.
\]

\[ \square \]
6. Proof of Proposition 3.4

6.1. An Iwasawa decomposition. Recall that we have a hermitian \( \mathbb{K} \)-module

\[
E' = X_r \oplus Y_r \oplus Z_0 \oplus \mathbb{K} v_0' \oplus \mathbb{K} v_0''.
\]

Equip it with the commuting positive form \([ , ]_{E'}\) which extends \([ , ]_E\) and makes \(v'\) and \(E\) perpendicular. Also recall that \(G' := U(E')\). Put \(K' := K(E')\).

Write

\[
E_3 = \mathbb{K} v_0 \oplus \mathbb{K} v_0' \oplus \mathbb{K} v_0''.
\]

and denote by \(N_{E_3}\) the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup of \(U(E_3)\) stabilizing the line \(\mathbb{K}(v_0' + v'_0)\). For every \(t \in \mathbb{K}_+^\times\), denote by \(b_t \in U(E_3)\) the element specified by

\[
\begin{aligned}
b_t(v_0) &= v_0, \\
b_t(v_0' + v_0') &= t(v_0' + v_0'), \\
b_t(v_0' - v_0') &= t^{-1}(v_0' - v_0').
\end{aligned}
\]

For every \(t \in \{ t \in \mathbb{K}_+^\times \mid tt^r = 1 \}\), denote by \(c_t \in U(E_3)\) the element specified by

\[
\begin{aligned}
c_t(v_0) &= tv_0, \\
c_t(v_0') &= v_0', \\
c_t(v_0' + v_0') &= v_0' + v_0' = v_0'.
\end{aligned}
\]

Recall the element \(g_t \in G_0' \subset G'\) in (22). Note that it also stays in \(U(E_3)\). By Iwasawa decomposition, we write

\[
g_t = c_t^{-1} n_t b_t k_t, \quad n_t \in N_{E_3}, k_t \in K(E_3).
\]

Then both \(t'\) and \(t''\) are Nash functions of \(t\).

Lemma 6.1. One has that

\[
t' = 2(t^{-2} + t^{2r} + 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Proof. Note that \(v_0, v_0', v_0''\) is an orthonormal basis of \(E_3\) with respect to \([ , ]_{E'}\). We have that

\[
[g_t^{-1}(v_0' + v_0'), g_t^{-1}(v_0' + v_0')]_{E'} = [k_t^{-1} b_t^{-1} n_t^{-1} c_t^{-1}(v_0' + v_0'), k_t^{-1} b_t^{-1} n_t^{-1} c_t^{-1}(v_0' + v_0')]_{E'} = [t^{-1}(v_0' + v_0'), t^{-1}(v_0' + v_0')]_{E'} = 2t^{-2}.
\]

On the other hand,

\[
g_t^{-1}(v_0' + v_0') = \frac{t^{-1} - t^r}{2} v_0 + \frac{t^{-1} + t^r}{2} v_0' + v_0',
\]
and
\[
[g_t^{-1}(v'_0 + v'), g_t^{-1}(v'_0 + v') ]_{E'} = \left( \frac{t^{-1} - t^r}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{t^{-1} + t^r}{2} \right)^2 + 1 = \frac{t^{-2} + t^{2r} + 2}{2}.
\]

Therefore the lemma follows. \(\square\)

6.2. Majorization of Whittaker functions. We define a norm function on \(G'\) by
\[
||g|| := \max\{\langle|gu, gu|_{E'}\rangle^{1/2} | u \in E', \langle[u, u]_{E'}\rangle = 1\}, \quad g \in G',
\]
where \(\langle \cdot \rangle\) is as in (20).

For every \(t = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_r, t_{r+1}) \in (\mathbb{K}_+^*)^{r+1}\), write
\[
(28) \quad \xi(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1 + \frac{t_i}{t_{i+1}}), & \text{if } d_K = 1, \\
\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1 + \frac{t_i}{t_{i+1}}) \times \prod_{i=1}^{r}(1 + \frac{t_{i+1}^2}{t_i}), & \text{if } d_K = 2 \text{ and } t_i = (t_{i,1}, t_{i,2}).
\end{array} \right.
\]

Write
\[
a_i = a_t b_{r+1} \in \text{GL}_{r+1}, \quad \text{with } t = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_r).
\]

Recall that \(a_i\) is defined in Section 5.4 and \(b_t\) is defined in (26).

Following [Jac, Proposition 3.1], we have

**Lemma 6.2.** Let notations be as in Section 3.2. Let \(c_\rho\) be a positive number, \(\cdot|_{\pi_0}\) a continuous seminorm on \(\pi_0\), and \(\cdot|_{\rho,0}\) a continuous seminorm on \(\rho\). Assume that
\[
|\Lambda(\rho(g)u)|_{\pi_0} \leq ||g||^{c_\rho} |u|_{\rho,0}, \quad g \in G_0 \times \text{GL}_{r+1}, u \in \rho.
\]

Then for any positive integer \(N\), there is a continuous seminorm \(\cdot|_{\rho,N}\) on \(\rho\) such that
\[
|\Lambda(\rho(a_t u)|_{\pi_0} \leq \xi(t)^{-N} ||a_t||^{c_\rho} |u|_{\rho,N}, \quad \forall \tilde{t} \in (\mathbb{K}_+^*)^{r+1}, u \in \rho.
\]

**Proof.** To ease the notation, we assume that \(d_K = 1\). The other case is proved in the same way. For every \(i = 1, 2, \cdots, r\), let \(Y_i\) be a vector in the Lie algebra of \(\text{GL}_{r+1}\) so that
\[
\text{Ad}_{\tilde{t}} Y_i = \frac{t_i}{t_{i+1}} Y_i, \quad \tilde{t} = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_{r+1}),
\]
and
\[
m_i := -\psi_{r+1}(Y_i) \neq 0.
\]

Here \(\psi_{r+1}\) stands for the differential of the same named character. Similar notations will be used for the differentials of representations.

For every sequence \(N = (N_1, N_2, \cdots N_r)\) of non-negative integers, write
\[
\tilde{t}^{(N)} := \prod_{i=1}^{r} (t_i/t_{i+1})^{N_i}, \quad \tilde{t} = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_{r+1}) \in (\mathbb{K}_+^*)^{r+1}.
\]
Also write
\[ Y^N = Y_1^{N_1}Y_2^{N_2} \cdots Y_r^{N_r}, \]
which is an element in the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of \( GL_{r+1} \).

Then
\[
\Lambda(\rho(a_\tilde{t})\rho(Y^N)u) = \Lambda(\rho(\Ad_{a_\tilde{t}}Y^N)\rho(a_\tilde{t})u) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i^{N_i} \right) \tilde{t}(N) \Lambda(\rho(a_\tilde{t})u).
\]

Therefore
\[ (29) \quad \tilde{t}(N)|\Lambda(\rho(a_\tilde{t})u)|_{\pi_0} \leq |m|^{-N}||\rho(\text{Ad}_{a_\tilde{t}}Y^N)u||_{\rho,0}, \]

where
\[ |m|^{-N} := \prod_{i=1}^{r} |m_i|^{-N_i}. \]

Given the positive integer \( N \), write
\[ \xi(\tilde{t})^N = \sum_N a_N \tilde{t}(N), \]

where \( a_N \)'s are nonnegative integers. In view of (29), we finish the proof by setting
\[ |u|_{\rho,N} := \sum_N a_N |m|^{-N}||\rho(Y^N)u||_{\rho,0}. \]

\[ \square \]

### 6.3. Convergence of an integral.

**Lemma 6.3.** For any non-negative continuous semialgebraic function \( J \) on \( (\mathbb{K}_+^\times)^{r+1} \), there is a positive number \( c_J \) with the following property: for every \( s \in \mathbb{R}^{d_K} \) with \( s > c_J \), there is a positive integer \( N \) such that
\[ (30) \quad \int_{(\mathbb{K}_+^\times)^{r+1}} (t_1t_2 \cdots t_r, t_{r+1})^s \xi(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_r, t_{r+1})^{-N} J(t) d^\times \tilde{t} < \infty, \]

where
\[ \tilde{t} = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_r, t_{r+1}), \quad t_{r+1}' = 2(t_{r+1}^2 + t_{r+1} + 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \]

and \( \xi \) is defined in (28).

**Proof.** To ease the notation, we again assume that \( d_K = 1 \). Note that the change of variable
\[ \tilde{t} \mapsto \tilde{\alpha} := \left( \alpha_1 = \frac{t_1}{t_2}, \alpha_2 = \frac{t_2}{t_3}, \cdots, \alpha_{r-1} = \frac{t_{r-1}}{t_r}, \alpha_r = \frac{t_r}{t_{r+1}}, t_{r+1} \right) \]
is a measure preserving Nash isomorphism from \( (\mathbb{K}_+^\times)^{r+1} \) onto itself. So \( J \) is also a continuous semialgebraic function of \( \tilde{\alpha} \). It is well known that every continuous
semialgebraic function (on a closed semialgebraic subset of a finite dimensional real vector space) is of polynomial growth ([BCR, Proposition 2.6.2]). (This is the reason that we work in the semialgebraic setting in this article.) Therefore there is a positive number $c'_J$ such that

$$J(\tilde{\alpha}) \leq \left( \prod_{j=1}^r (\alpha_j + \alpha_j^{-1})^{c'_j} \right) \times (t_{r+1} + t_{r+1}^{-1})^{c'_j}, \quad \tilde{\alpha} \in (\mathbb{K}_+^\times)^{r+1}. $$

Take a positive number $c_J$, large enough so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{K}_+^\times} \alpha_j^{2s} \cdots \alpha_r^{2s} t_{r+1}^{(r+1)s} \prod_{j=1}^r (1 + \alpha_j)^{-N} J(\tilde{\alpha}) \ d^{\times} \tilde{\alpha}$$

is large enough so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{K}_+^\times} \alpha_j^{2s} \cdots \alpha_r^{2s} t_{r+1}^{(r+1)s} \prod_{j=1}^r (1 + \alpha_j)^{-N} J(\tilde{\alpha}) \ d^{\times} \tilde{\alpha} < \infty, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, r.$$

The integral (30) is equal to

$$\int_{(\mathbb{K}_+^\times)^{r+1}} \alpha_1^{s} \cdots \alpha_r^{s} \prod_{j=1}^r (1 + \alpha_j)^{-N} J(\tilde{\alpha}) \ d^{\times} \tilde{\alpha}$$

is large enough so that

$$\int_{(\mathbb{K}_+^\times)^{r+1}} \alpha_1^{s} \cdots \alpha_r^{s} \prod_{j=1}^r (1 + \alpha_j)^{-N} J(\tilde{\alpha}) \ d^{\times} \tilde{\alpha} < \infty, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, r.$$

6.4. End of proof of Proposition 3.4. Take a continuous seminorm $|\cdot|_{\pi,0}$ on $\pi$ and a continuous seminorm $|\cdot|_{\pi_0}$ on $\pi_0$ such that

$$|\mu(u,v)| \leq |u|_{\pi,0} \cdot |v|_{\pi_0}, \quad u \in \pi, v \in \pi_0.$$

Take a positive integer $c_\pi$ and a continuous seminorm $|\cdot|_{\pi,1}$ on $\pi$ such that

$$|\pi(g)u|_{\pi,0} \leq ||g||^{c_\pi} |u|_{\pi,1}, \quad g \in G, \ u \in \pi.$$

Take a positive integer $c_\rho$ and a continuous seminorm $|\cdot|_{\rho,0}$ on $\rho$ such that

$$|\Lambda(\rho(g)(u))|_{\pi_0} \leq ||g||^{c_\rho} |u|_{\rho,0}, \quad g \in G_0 \times GL_{r+1}, \ u \in \rho.$$

Now assume that

$$g = a_t g_t k, \quad t = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_r) \in (\mathbb{K}_+^\times)^r, \ t \in \mathbb{K}_+^\times, \ k \in K.$$

Write

$$g_t = c_{t} n_{t} b_t k_t.$$
as in \((27)\). Then for all \(s \in \mathbb{C}^{dk}, u \in \pi, f \in \pi'_s\), we have
\[
\mu(\pi(g)u, \Lambda(f(g))) = \mu(\pi(c_\nu n_b v a_k k)u, \Lambda(f(c_\nu n_b v a_k k)))
\]
\[
(\kappa \in U(E_n) \text{ commutes with } a_k \in \text{GL}_r)
\]
\[
= \mu(\pi(n_b v a_k k)u, \Lambda(f(n_b v a_k k)))
\]
(\text{By Lemma 3.2 and the fact that } c_\nu \in G_0 \subset S_r \)
\[
= \mu(\pi(n_b v a_k k)u, (t_1 t_2 \cdots t_r f(k) k))
\]
(\text{where } n_b \in N_{r_{+1}} \text{ and } a_k v \in \text{GL}_r \)

Therefore by \((31), (32)\) and the fact that the norm function \(\|\cdot\|\) on \(G'\) is right \(K'\)-invariant, we have
\[
|\mu(\pi(g)u, \Lambda(f(g)))| \\
\leq (\kappa_1 t_2 \cdots t_r f(k)) \|n_b v a_k\|^{c_\pi} \times |u|_{\pi, 1} \times |\Lambda(\rho(\pi v) f(k))|_{\pi_0}
\]

Let \(J\) be the (nonnegative continuous semialgebraic) function on \((\mathbb{K}^r_+)^{r+1}\) defined by
\[
J(t, t') := \|n_b v a_k\|^{c_\pi} \times |a_k v|^{c_\pi} \times \delta_{P_1}^{-1}(a_k) \delta_{B_1}^{-1}(a_k) J_{E_0}(t).
\]

Let \(c_\mu := c_f\) be as in Lemma 6.3, and assume that the real part \(\text{Re}(s) > c_\mu\). Let \(N\) be a large integer as in Lemma 6.3 so that
\[
c_{s, N} := \int_{(\mathbb{K}^r_+)^{r+1}} (t_1 t_2 \cdots t_r f(k)) \xi(t, t')^{-N} J(t, t') d^\pi t d^\pi t < \infty.
\]

Take a continuous seminorm \(|\cdot|_{\rho, N}\) on \(\rho\) as in Lemma 6.2. Then we have
\[
|\Lambda(\rho(\pi v) f(k))|_{\pi_0} \leq \xi(t, t')^{-N} |a_k v|^{c_\pi} \times f(k)_{\rho, N} \leq \xi(t, t')^{-N} |a_k v|^{c_\pi} \times |f|\]

where
\[
|f|_{\pi'} := \max\{|f(k')|_{\rho, N} | k' \in K'\},
\]

which defines a continuous seminorm on \(\pi'_s\). Combining \((34)\) and \((35)\), we get
\[
|\mu(\pi(g)u, \Lambda(f(g)))| \\
\leq |u|_{\pi, 1} \times |f|_{\pi'} \times (t_1 t_2 \cdots t_r f(k)) \times \xi(t, t')^{-N} \times |n_b v a_k|^{c_\pi} \times |a_k v|^{c_\pi}.
\]

Then by Proposition 5.4 and \((36)\), we have
\[
\int_{S_{\mu} \setminus \mathcal{G}} |\mu(\pi(g)u, \Lambda(f(g)))| dg
\]
\[
= \int_{(\mathbb{K}^r_+)^{r+1} \times \mathbb{K}} |\mu(\pi(a_k g_k u, \Lambda(f(a_k g_k)))| \delta_{P_1}^{-1}(a_k) \delta_{B_1}^{-1}(a_k) J_{E_0}(t) d^\pi t d^\pi t dk
\]
\[
\leq |u|_{\pi, 1} \times |f|_{\pi'} \times \int_{(\mathbb{K}^r_+)^{r+1}} (t_1 t_2 \cdots t_r f(k)) \xi(t, t')^{-N} J(t, t') d^\pi t d^\pi t
\]
\[
= c_{s, N} \times |u|_{\pi, 1} \times |f|_{\pi'}.
\]
Therefore the integral $I_\mu(f, u)$ converges absolutely. Finally,

$$|I_\mu(f, u)| \leq \int_{S \setminus G} |\mu(\pi(g)u, \Lambda(f(g)))| \, dg \leq c_{s,N} \times |u|_{\pi,1} \times |f|_{\pi'},$$

which proves the continuity of $I_\mu$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.4.
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