Design and Analysis of a Linear Memory Machine for Ocean Wave Power Generation
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Abstract: In this paper, a permanent magnet (PM) linear memory machine is proposed for ocean wave power generation. A notable feature of this machine is its online tunable mnemonic flux. This enables it to operate efficiently in a wide speed range and makes it suitable for the variable-speed wave-power generation. Moreover, this machine has both the PMs and the windings arranged in its stator so that it does not need slip rings or brushes. The proposed machine is also robust and cost-effective because it has a simple translator of slotted steel. In this paper, the configuration and working principle of the linear memory machine are firstly introduced. The results of a parametric analysis are presented to investigate the effects of the proposed machine’s geometric parameters. The performance of the proposed machine is then analyzed using time-stepping finite element method (TS-FEM).
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1. Introduction

Among the various energy resources, ocean wave energy is very promising since it is renewable, green, enormous, and widely accessible. Wave energy also has a higher density than many other popular renewable energy resources, such as wind or solar energy [1]. These merits have motivated people to develop energy converters to exploit wave energy. In the literature, many energy converters have been proposed for wave power generation. Most early wave energy converters first convert the wave energy into other forms such as potential, hydraulic, or pneumatic energy, and then generate electrical power using rotary generators [2–7]. These systems are often bulky and less robust due to the dual/multistep conversion process. In recent years, more and more direct-drive energy converters, which extract power directly from the reciprocating wave motion, have been proposed. In these direct-drive energy converters, the linear-to-rotary conversion process can be eliminated, improving the system performance.

A direct-drive wave energy converter can be realized by connecting the translator of a linear machine to a floating buoy, as shown in Figure 1 [8,9]. The relative motion between the stator and the translator is used to extract energy from the ocean waves. This relative motion needs to be maximized for effective power extraction. The machine is therefore installed on the seabed. As the wave speed is usually about 1 m/s, the thrust force of the converter needs to be large. The permanent magnet (PM) linear machines are a good choice for these systems since they have the inherent merits of high force density and high efficiency. However, wave energy has significant seasonal variability [10,11]. The average power from a wave energy device in winter can be 6 times more than the average power from the same device in summer [12]. Conventional PM machines are not as good in variable-speed
operations because their airgap flux is hard to adjust. The wave motion varies from time to time, and the application of PM linear machines in wave energy converters is therefore limited.

![Figure 1. A buoy-type direct-drive wave energy converter.](image)

Recently, memory machines have been drawing attention because of their impressive flux controllability [13]. Unlike the conventional PM machines that have constant PM fields, memory machines have variable flux with online de- and re-magnetization. Memory machines usually use AlNiCo alloy as the PM. Its magnetization level can be changed by a short excitation current pulse. In conventional PM machines, the flux weakening operation requires a continuous demagnetization current and causes additional losses. In comparison, the current pulse for flux adjustment in a memory machine does not cause significant losses. The machine can therefore be power-efficient in a wide speed range.

The mnemonic flux concept is hard incorporate into conventional rotor/translator PM machines due to the airgap between the PMs and the windings. If the magnetization winding is placed in the rotor/translator, slip rings and brushes will be required. In [14,15], flux mnemonic doubly salient machines are proposed. In these machines, both the PMs and the windings are embedded in the stator so that they do not need slip rings or brushes. However, the doubly salient structure has relatively low torque/force density and large cogging.

In this paper, a novel linear memory machine is proposed for ocean wave power generation. A key point of the machine is the so-called flux modulation (FM) effect, which refers to its ability to perform energy conversions via a harmonic magnetic field. Utilizing the FM effect, this machine managed to embed the PMs, AC windings, and DC windings in its short stator. Its flux can be easily tuned without needing slip rings or brushes. The relatively long translator has a simple structure of slotted silicon steel laminations, making the machine robust and cost-effective. The low-coercivity AlNiCo alloy was used for its PM in order to realize mnemonic flux. The machine can operate effectively in a wide speed range, making it suitable for wave energy conversion in which the wave velocity varies from time to time. In this paper, the structure and working principle of the proposed machine are explained first. The design considerations are then introduced. The performance of the proposed machine as well as the flux regulation operation are analyzed and showcased using time-stepping finite element method (TS-FEM).
2. Proposed Machine Configuration and Operation Principle

2.1. Flux Modulation

The configuration of the proposed machine is shown in Figure 2. The stator has two sets of windings, namely the AC armature winding and the DC magnetizing winding. Both windings are housed in the open slots of the stator. The AlNiCo PMs are mounted on top of every other stator tooth, and they are magnetized perpendicular to the airgap. The translator has a simple structure of slotted steel. As neither windings nor PMs are placed on the relatively long translator, the machine is robust and cost-effective. The main parameters of the proposed machine are listed in Table 1.

![Figure 2. The proposed machine configuration. (a) 2-D view. (b) 3-D partial view.](image)

| Parameter                          | Value         |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Number of phases                  | 3             |
| Stator length                     | 230 mm        |
| Stator tooth pitch                | 230/24 mm     |
| Stator height                     | 30 mm         |
| Translator pole pitch             | 10 mm         |
| Translator height                 | 10 mm         |
| Airgap length                     | 0.6 mm        |
| Stack length                      | 100 mm        |
| Number of active translator teeth | 23            |
| AC winding pole-pairs             | 11            |
| DC winding poles                  | 12            |
| PM poles                          | 12            |
| PM material                       | AlNiCo        |
| PM remanence                      | 1.2 T         |

The key working principle of the proposed machine is the flux modulation (FM) effect, which was first used in magnetic gears and then introduced to electric machines [16–20]. Basically, FM means to generate field harmonics by making the airgap uneven. The energy conversion can therefore
be realized via certain harmonic components of the magnetic field. In the proposed machine, the fundamental component of the PM magnetomotive force (MMF) can be represented by

\[ F \approx F_0 + F_m \cos(N_{pm} \theta + \phi_m) \]  

(1)

where \( F_0 \) is the average value of \( F \); \( F_m \) is the magnitude of the alternating component of \( F \); \( N_{pm} \) is the number of the PMs on the stator; \( \theta \) is the angular position; \( \phi_m \) is the initial phase angle of \( F_m \). We assume that the translator is moving at a speed of \( v \) in reference to the stator. Due to the existence of the stator teeth and translator teeth, the uneven airgap permeance can be represented by

\[ \lambda \approx \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \cos[N_t(\theta - vt) + \phi_1] + \lambda_2 \cos(N_s \theta + \phi_2) \]  

(2)

where \( \lambda_0 \) is the average value of \( \lambda \); \( \lambda_1 \) is the magnitude of the alternating component of \( \lambda \) due to the translator teeth; \( \lambda_2 \) is the magnitude of the alternating component of \( \lambda \) due to the stator teeth; \( N_t \) and \( N_s \) are the active translator teeth number and stator teeth number, respectively; \( t \) is the time; \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) are the initial phase angles of \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \), respectively.

The airgap flux density can be calculated by multiplying \( F \) and \( \lambda \):

\[
B \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\lambda_0 F_0 + \lambda_1 F_0 \cos[N_t(\theta - vt) + \phi_1] + \lambda_2 F_0 \cos(N_s \theta + \phi_2) \\
+ \lambda_0 F_m \cos(N_{pm} \theta + \phi_m) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 F_m \cos[(N_t + N_{pm})(\theta - \frac{N_{pm} \phi}{N_t + N_{pm}}) + \phi_1 + \phi_m] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 F_m \cos[(N_t - N_{pm})(\theta - \frac{N_{pm} \phi}{N_t - N_{pm}}) + \phi_1 - \phi_m] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 F_m \cos[(N_s + N_{pm}) \theta + \phi_2 + \phi_m] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 F_m \cos[(N_s - N_{pm}) \theta + \phi_2 - \phi_m] 
\end{array} \right. 
\]  

(3)

It can be seen that the flux density in the airgap has several significant components whose pole-pair numbers are \( N_{pm}, N_t, N_s, N_t-N_{pm}, N_s-N_{pm}, N_t + N_{pm}, \) and \( N_s + N_{pm} \). By designing the pole-pair number of the AC armature winding to be \( N_t-N_{pm} \), effective voltage can be induced in the armature winding. The frequency of the induced voltage can be calculated using the formula

\[ f = \frac{N_{tv}}{L_t} \]  

(4)

where \( L_t \) is the effective length of the translator. Clearly, the frequency of the induced voltage in the armature winding corresponds to the pole number of the effective translator teeth. This is a notable difference between the proposed machine and a conventional PM machine. In conventional PM machines, the voltage frequency corresponds to the pole-pair number of the PMs or the armature winding.

2.2. Mnemonic Flux

In conventional PM machines, the AlNiCo PM has been widely superseded by other materials due to its low coercivity and nonlinear demagnetization curve. However, these characteristics make it possible for AlNiCo to have mnemonic flux. The low coercivity makes it easy to be magnetized or demagnetized. The nonlinear demagnetization curve enables it to remain at a different magnetization level after being magnetized or demagnetized.

For simplicity, a piecewise linear hysteresis model was used for the analysis as shown in Figure 3. It was assumed that all hysteresis loops had the same coercivity, but that their remanence values could be different. In an electric machine, as the structure and the geometries are fixed, the reluctance of the magnetic circuit is constant. As a result, the load line is straight. In the initial magnetization of the PM, if a magnetic field with a strength larger than \( H_1 \) is applied, the state of the PM will go along the initial magnetization line to working point \( P \) in Figure 3, where \( P \) is the crossing point of the load line and the major hysteresis loop. If a demagnetization field with a strength of \(-H_2\) is applied after that, the state
of the PM will go along the demagnetization line to working point $Q$. The PM state can be moved to working point $R$ along the remagnetization line by applying a field of $H_3$. Using this piecewise linear hysteresis model, the magnetization level and working point can be easily obtained.

**Figure 3.** Piecewise linear hysteresis model of AlNiCo PM.

The features of the proposed machine structure can be concluded as follows. (1) The PM magnetization level can be regulated online, making it suitable for variable-speed wave power generation. (2) The PMs are located in the stator together with the windings, making the magnetization or demagnetization easy to implement. The machine is also more reliable as it does not need brushes or slip rings. (3) The long translator has a simple structure of steel laminations, making it robust and cost-effective.

### 3. Design Considerations

To investigate the influences of the geometric parameters on the machine performance, a parametric analysis was conducted using the Maxwell 2D® package (Ansys Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, USA). The electromagnetic force component parallel to the airgap was used as an indicator of the machine performance. The analysis was conducted under the following considerations:

1. The current density in the winding conductors was $4 \text{ A/mm}^2$ and the slot fill factor was 50%. As the magnetization current pulse was very short and its copper losses can be ignored, the DC winding needed only a small part of the slot area (10% in this design).
2. The stator yoke height $Y_S$, the stator teeth width $S$, the PM length $L_{pm}$, the translator teeth width $W_t$, and the translator teeth height $H_t$ were considered in the analysis, as shown in Figure 4. The initial values were as follows: $Y_S = 4 \text{ mm}$, $S = 5 \text{ mm}$, $L_{pm} = 2 \text{ mm}$, $W_t = 5 \text{ mm}$, and $H_t = 5 \text{ mm}$. When one variable was under analysis, the other variables were fixed at their initial values.

The parametric analysis results are shown in Figure 5. It can be seen that $Y_S$ can be neither too large nor too small, for the sake of the thrust force. A large $Y_S$ compressed the slot area and, hence, decreased the armature current; a small $Y_S$ increased the reluctance of the flux path. The analysis result for $T_S$ was similar to that of $Y_S$. The value of $L_{pm}$ influenced both the MMF and the FM effect. The increase of $L_{pm}$ increased the MMF of the magnetic circuit, and it also increased the equivalent airgap length. In other words, $L_{pm}$ affected the magnitudes of both $F$ and $\lambda$ in Equation (3). The width of the translator teeth $W_t$ also influenced the magnetic reluctance and the FM effect. The analysis result of $W_t$...
showed that the thrust force was relatively large when $W_t$ was about half the slot pitch. The value of $H_t$ had an influence on the airgap permeance $\lambda$. The increase of $H_t$ benefited the force performance until it reached around 5 mm. The designed values for these variables were as follows: $Y_s = 2$ mm, $T_s = 3$ mm, $L_{pm} = 7$ mm, $W_t = 5$ mm, and $H_t = 5$ mm.

Figure 4. The analyzed geometric variables.

Figure 5. Parametric analysis of geometric variables.
4. Performance Analysis

4.1. Field Analysis

Using TS-FEM, the distribution of the PM flux was analyzed as shown in Figure 6. The flux density in the airgap was plotted as shown in Figure 7. It can be seen that the fundamental component of this flux had a pole-pair number of 12, which was $N_{pm}$. To further investigate the flux density, a fast Fourier transform (FFT) was conducted. The corresponding harmonic spectrum of the PM flux is shown in Figure 8. Besides the fundamental component of the PM field with $N_{pm}$ pole-pairs, the harmonic components with $N_{t}-N_{pm}$, $N_{t}$, $N_{t}+N_{pm}$, and $N_{s}+N_{pm}$ pole-pairs were also significant, which corresponded well with the analysis in Section 2.1. Among these harmonic components, $N_{t}-N_{pm}$, $N_{t}$, and $N_{t}+N_{pm}$ were alternating components when the translator moved, which meant that they could be used for the energy conversion.

![Figure 6. Distribution of the PM flux.](image)

![Figure 7. The PM flux density in the airgap.](image)

![Figure 8. Harmonic spectrum of the airgap flux density.](image)

4.2. Flux Regulation

Flux regulation is key for the proposed machine to improve its performance in variable-speed operation. With the mnemonic AlNiCo PM used for its field excitation, the airgap flux of the machine can be regulated online by current pulses when the translator velocity varies. In wave energy conversion, as the translator velocity varies with the waves, it is possible to apply different PM magnetization levels in different translator velocity ranges. In this way, the output voltage of the converter can operate more effectively. When the mover speed was 0.5 m/s, the frequency of the back-EMF was 50 Hz, which agrees with the theoretical analysis result in Equation (4).
levels in different translator velocity ranges. In this way, the output voltage of the generator can be kept relatively high or close to the rated voltage of the power electronic converter over a wider speed range.

Here we propose two flux regulation strategies. The first is to regulate flux between waves, changing the PM magnetization level when the wave height or maximum velocity changes. For example, if the PM flux is regulated according to the strategy listed in Table 2, the back electromotive force (back-EMF) at different translator velocities is shown in Figure 9. The back-EMF without flux regulation is also presented for comparison. With flux regulation, the amplitudes of the output voltage are almost the same at three different velocities. In this way, the corresponding electronic converter can operate more effectively. When the mover speed was 0.5 m/s, the frequency of the back-EMF was 50 Hz, which agrees with the theoretical analysis result in Equation (4).

Table 2. Flux regulation strategy.

| Translator Velocity | Magnetization Level | PM Remanence |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| <0.5 m/s            | 100%                | 1.2 T        |
| 0.5–1 m/s           | 50%                 | 0.6 T        |
| >1 m/s              | 33%                 | 0.4 T        |

Figure 9. Back-EMF under different translator speeds: (a) without flux regulation and (b) with flux regulation.

The second strategy is to regulate flux within wave cycles. Considering that the translator velocity varies within a single wave, the PM flux can be tuned within wave cycles for generator performance improvement. We assume a sinusoidal wave of 1.5 m in height and 4 s in period. As the proposed machine is used in a direct-drive energy conversion system, the translator motion is similar to the wave motion [8,21]. For simplicity, we assumed these two motions to be the same. If the PM magnetization is online-tuned according to Table 2, the back-EMF in the armature windings is shown in Figure 10. It is observed that the back-EMF in the low-velocity range was improved by the flux regulation. The
A generator with flux regulation can output more power in a wave cycle, and it better utilized the wave energy.
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The second strategy is to regulate flux within wave cycles. Considering that the translator velocity varies within a single wave, the PM flux can be tuned within wave cycles for generator performance improvement. We assume a sinusoidal wave of 1.5 m in height and 4 s in period. As the proposed machine is used in a direct-drive energy conversion system, the translator motion is similar to the wave motion [8,21]. For simplicity, we assumed these two motions to be the same. If the PM magnetization is online-tuned according to Table 2, the back-EMF in the armature windings is shown in Figure 10. It is observed that the back-EMF in the low-velocity range was improved by the flux regulation. The generator with flux regulation can output more power in a wave cycle, and it better utilized the wave energy.

4.3. Electromagnetic Force and Efficiency

The electromagnetic force performance affects the dynamic performance of the generator system. When the translator was driven at a constant speed of 0.5 m/s and a resistive load was connected to the ends of the armature winding, the electromagnetic force along the translation direction was characterized as shown in Figure 11. A force ripple with a period of 20 ms was observed. The periodic distance of the force ripple was, hence, 10 mm, which is exactly equal to the pole pitch of the translator. Figure 11 also plots the cogging force of the generator when the winding is open-circuited. It can be deduced that the force ripple was mainly due to the cogging. In practice, the cogging force can be further reduced by skewing the translator slots.

A comparative study was conducted between the proposed linear machine and a comparison machine without flux regulation. These two machines had the same geometric parameters and used the same materials. The flux of the proposed machine was regulated according to Table 2. We assumed that the two machines were connected with a resistive load and that the translator had a sufficient driving force. The induced voltages and the iron loss at different translator velocities were computed using TS-FEM. The copper loss was calculated according to Joule's law. The comparison results are listed in Table 3. Although the proposed machine had higher losses than the compared machine at 1.5 m/s, their power outputs were similar. At lower velocities, the proposed machine output much more power than the compared machine. In one wave cycle, as the translator velocity varied, the machine with flux regulation still converted more energy than the one without flux regulation.
distance of the force ripple was, hence, 10 mm, which is exactly equal to the pole pitch of the translator. Figure 11 also plots the cogging force of the generator when the winding is open-circuited. It can be deduced that the force ripple was mainly due to the cogging. In practice, the cogging force can be further reduced by skewing the translator slots.

Figure 11. Electromagnetic force along the moving direction.

A comparative study was conducted between the proposed linear machine and a comparison machine without flux regulation. These two machines had the same geometric parameters and used the same materials. The flux of the proposed machine was regulated according to Table 2. We assumed that the two machines were connected with a resistive load and that the translator had a sufficient driving force. The induced voltages and the iron loss at different translator velocities were computed using TS-FEM. The copper loss was calculated according to Joule’s law. The comparison results are listed in Table 3. Although the proposed machine had higher losses than the compared machine at 1.5 m/s, their power outputs were similar. At lower velocities, the proposed machine output much more power than the compared machine. In one wave cycle, as the translator velocity varied, the machine with flux regulation still converted more energy than the one without flux regulation.

Table 3. Performance comparison at different translator velocities.

| Translator Velocity | Parameter               | Proposed Machine with Flux Regulation | Comparison Machine without Flux Regulation |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                     | PM remanence (T)        | 0.4                                    | 1.2                                       |
| 1.5 m/s             | Induced voltage (V)     | 35.3                                   | 32.7                                      |
|                     | Output power (W)        | 211.8                                  | 215.8                                     |
|                     | Copper loss (W)         | 36.2                                   | 8.1                                       |
|                     | Iron loss (W)           | 11.9                                   | 12.1                                      |
|                     | Efficiency (%)          | 81.5                                   | 91.4                                      |
|                     | PM remanence (T)        | 0.6                                    | 1.2                                       |
| 1.0 m/s             | Induced voltage (V)     | 33.9                                   | 12.6                                      |
|                     | Output power (W)        | 203.4                                  | 52.9                                      |
|                     | Copper loss (W)         | 34.7                                   | 3.3                                       |
|                     | Iron loss (W)           | 6.2                                    | 7.8                                       |
|                     | Efficiency (%)          | 83.3                                   | 82.6                                      |
|                     | PM remanence (T)        | 1.2                                    | 1.2                                       |
| 0.5 m/s             | Induced voltage (V)     | 33.2                                   | 4.2                                       |
|                     | Output power (W)        | 199.2                                  | 8.82                                      |
|                     | Copper loss (W)         | 34.0                                   | 0.8                                       |
|                     | Iron loss (W)           | 2.3                                    | 3.4                                       |
|                     | Efficiency (%)          | 84.6                                   | 67.7                                      |
|                     | Coil turns              | 30                                     | 10                                        |

Overall, the flux regulation ability enabled the proposed memory machine to better utilize the variable-speed wave energy. This is even more significant considering the significant seasonal
variability of wave energy. It can be expected that the proposed memory machine has promising potential for use in wave energy conversion.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a PM linear machine with mnemonic flux is proposed for ocean wave energy conversion. The excitation flux can be online-tuned by current pulses, enabling the machine to achieve relatively high induced voltages over a wide speed range. The overall efficiency of the energy conversion system can therefore be improved. The machine has a special structure, with both the windings and the PMs being in a short stator, making it robust, reliable, and cost-effective. A parametric analysis was conducted to investigate the influences of the geometric variables of the machine. The machine performance with flux regulation was analyzed using TS-FEM.

It should be noted that this paper mainly focused on the working principle and electromagnetic performance of the electric machine. As for the whole wave energy harvesting system, only a preliminary study on the conceptual design is presented. The main limitations of this paper can be concluded as follows. (1) The differences between the translator motion and the wave motion were not considered in the analysis. These differences may lead to reductions in the extracted power as well as the system efficiency. (2) The performance of the proposed machine was studied under specific operation conditions in this paper. The pros and cons of the design can be better illustrated if the year-round performance is studied, which requires taking the wave climate into consideration.
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