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INTRODUCTION

Iatrogenic esophageal perforation caused by transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is a rare event with an associated high mortality rate. Compression of the esophagus by vertebral osteophytes is currently not a contraindication to TEE according to practice guidelines. We present the case of an elderly patient who developed an esophageal perforation after TEE secondary to prominent thoracic vertebral osteophytes compressing the esophagus during a transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) procedure. We suggest that significant anterior vertebral osteophytes that compress the esophagus, particularly in elderly patients under general anesthesia, should be considered a relative contraindication to TEE. Informed consent was obtained from a family member before the publication of this case report.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 79-year-old man with hypertension and ischemic heart disease was scheduled for elective TAVR for a severe symptomatic aortic stenosis. He had undergone coronary artery bypass graft surgery with mitral valve repair 11 years earlier without any complication. Preprocedural transthoracic echocardiography revealed a severe aortic stenosis (aortic valve area 0.6 cm², transvalvular maximal and mean gradients 61 and 33 mm Hg, respectively) with a left ventricular ejection fraction of 45%. After usual pre-TAVR investigations, a right transcarotid approach using fluoroscopic and transesophageal echocardiographic guidance was planned because of an unfavorable femoral vascular anatomy. After the induction of general anesthesia, a TEE probe (Vivid E95, probe model 6VT-D; GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom) using a sterile cover was easily placed. A SAPIEN 3 valve (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, California) was deployed without any incident during the 1-hour procedure. Postprocedural TEE showed a prosthesis in good position with no visible paravalvular leak. No trauma or blood was noticed during transesophageal probe insertion or removal, but gastric aspiration with an orogastric tube revealed blood-tinged secretions. The patient was extubated in the operating room by the attending anesthesiologist and transferred to the intensive care unit.

Two hours after surgery, the patient developed progressive chest pain and important shivering. Computed tomography (CT) with intravenous contrast of the neck, thorax, and abdomen was performed. CT revealed a pneumomediastinum and a right hydropneumothorax (Figure 1). An esophageal perforation was strongly suspected, and a right thoracic drain was inserted. Three hundred milliliters of a serosanguinous liquid was drained from the pleural space. Esophagogastroscope showed a 4-cm vertical perforation of the middle third of the esophagus.

The patient returned to the operating room 7 hours after his initial procedure to undergo a right thoracotomy and repair of an esophageal perforation. After lysis of extensive pleural adhesions, the esophageal wall was in two layers, and an intercostal muscular flap was mobilized to complete the closure. Three thoracic drains were left in place, and the patient was transferred to the intensive care unit. Unfortunately, the postoperative period was complicated by pneumonia, severe delirium, and congestive heart failure with pulmonary edema. Despite 1 month of optimal treatment, the patient died after withdrawal of care.

DISCUSSION

Iatrogenic esophageal perforation caused by TEE is a rare event, with an incidence estimated between 0.03% and 0.09% in cardiac surgery. Although it is an uncommon complication, esophageal perforation is associated with prolonged hospitalization and a mortality rate of 40%. Risk factors associated with esophageal perforation include, but are not limited to, preexisting esophageal pathologies such as esophageal strictures, Zenker’s diverticulum, fibrosis from prior chest radiation, and ulceration caused by medication. Severe cardiomegaly and large calcified lymph nodes have been reported as rare causes of esophageal perforation. Both conditions increase the mechanical...
In the thoracic region, the esophagus is vulnerable to extrinsic compression by anterior osteophytes, particularly at the tracheal bifurcation (T4-T5) and at the diaphragmatic hiatus (T9-T10) because the anatomic space between these structures and the vertebral column is particularly reduced. In the sitting position, the weight of thoracic organs exerts pressure on the esophagus standing next to the osteophytes predominantly in the supine position.

Before TEE, our patient had no previously mentioned risk factors for esophageal perforation, and no symptoms of dysphagia or odynophagia were reported. However, preoperative pulmonary radiography and CT revealed prominent anterior thoracic osteophytes. CT also clearly demonstrated the anatomic proximity of thoracic osteophytes to the esophagus (Figures 2A and 2B, Videos 1 and 2). Although the procedure was relatively short (1 hour), we think that direct pressure applied by the transesophageal probe against the sharp vertebral osteophytes led to esophageal laceration. This mechanism of esophageal perforation induced with a transesophageal probe seems to be underreported in the literature. In fact, in our review of the literature using specific search strategies in three databases (MEDLINE, Embase, and Web of Science), we located only one case of esophageal perforation due to thoracic osteophytes during TEE (Appendix A). Two cases of upper esophageal laceration possibly linked to cervical osteophytes have also been reported in the literature (Appendices B and C).

The GE 6VT-D probe for adults that we used has a maximal transverse diameter of 12 to 14 mm and a maximal anteroposterior diameter of 10 to 12 mm (Figures 3A and 3B). The thoracic osteophyte (T7-T8) was at 5 mm of the esophagus, which has a virtual lumen at that site (Figure 2A). With the manipulation of the transesophageal probe into the esophagus and the possibility to move in anteflexion (90°) and retroflexion (30°–45°), as well as laterally (4 cm to each side), we can certainly understand the mechanism of the laceration (Figures 3A and 3B). At T7-T8, for a midesophageal four-chambers view, the desired movement of the probe is retroflexion. It is easily a 3- to 4-cm backward movement of the probe.

As previously suggested by Chang et al., we recommend that cervical and thoracic vertebral osteophytes noticed on thoracic CT before procedures should be considered a relative contraindication to TEE, particularly in elderly patients who undergo interventional cardiologic procedures under general anesthesia (e.g., MitraClip implantation, left atrial appendage occlusion). In fact, these guide catheter–based procedures entail further probe manipulations, as the probe must be withdrawn during fluoroscopy to produce an unimpeded view. Repeated insertion and withdrawal of the probe usually does not occur during standard cardiac surgery. This repeated motion may well have played a central role in our patient’s esophageal injury. Longer procedural duration can also be associated with more extensive transesophageal probe manipulation. However, we do not think that the 1-hour duration of the procedure was a contributing factor in our case.

![Figure 1](A) Postoperative chest CT showing a new right pleural effusion (blue arrow). (B) Postoperative chest CT showing air bubbles in the mediastinum (yellow arrows).
Figure 2  (A) Preoperative axial view of chest CT showing a prominent anterior thoracic osteophyte at T7-T8 (blue arrow) in close proximity (5 mm) to the esophagus (yellow arrow).  (B) Preoperative sagittal view of chest CT.

Figure 3  (A) Lateral flexion of the GE 6VT-D probe. The probe can move 4 cm laterally to each side. (B) Retroflexion movement of the GE 6VT-D probe that can reach 4 cm.
CONCLUSION

We suggest that preoperative imaging performed as a routine investigation (chest CT) before TAVR or other cardiac procedures should be carefully reviewed before TEE to determine the presence and severity of vertebral osteophytes. It is important to determine their anatomic relation to the esophagus to safely perform TEE.
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APPENDIX A

MEDLINE Search Strategy

#1 “Echocardiography, Transesophageal” [MeSH]
#2 Transesophageal echocardiogra*
#3 #1 OR #2
#4 Complication*
#5 Adverse effect*
#6 Adverse event*
#7 Laceration*
#8 Rupture*
#9 Tear
#10 Injur*
#11 Perforation*
#12 #4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11
#13 “Osteophyte” [MeSH]
#14 Osteophyt*
#15 Hyperostosis
#16 Exostoses
#17 “Hyperostosis, Diffuse Idiopathic Skeletal” [MeSH]
#18 Diffuse Idiopathic Skeletal Hyperostosis
#19 “Spine” [MeSH]
#20 Vertebral Column
#21 #13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20
#22 #3 AND #12 AND #21

APPENDIX B

Flow Diagram

Citations identified
137 Identified through database search

112 Screened

25 Duplicates reports excluded

104 Titles and abstracts excluded

8 Full-text articles assessed for eligibility

3 Excluded
2 Reviews mentioning vertebral osteophytes as relative contraindication
1 Retrospective study mentioning osteophytes as a risk factor without details

5 included in qualitative synthesis
# Supplemental Table 1

Reported cases of esophageal lesions after TEE in patients with vertebral osteophytes

| Author       | Year | Age | Sex | TEE indication   | Pre-TEE GI symptoms | Comorbidities          | Type of lesion | Lesion localization | Diagnosis delay (days) | Presentation                  | Treatment                                                                 | Results                      |
|--------------|------|-----|-----|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Elsayed et al.  | 2013 | 81  | F   | Preoperative     | None                | NR                    | Laceration of 1 cm | T9 (site of the thoracic osteophyte) | 13                   | Septic shock             | Primary repair of esophagus, intercostal muscular flap, and resection of the osteophyte | Died POD 14 of sepsis and MOF |
| Chang et al.  | 2016 | 81  | M   | Endocarditis diagnosis | Chocking sensation while eating in the supine position | GERD                  | None, TEE canceled | DISH on CT | Cervical osteophytes prominent at C4-C5 | —                         | —                                                                                 | —                             |
| Popescu et al. | 2016 | 78  | M   | Routine TEE     | NR                  | NR                    | Tear on the posterior wall of the hypopharynx | Cervical osteophyte adjacent to the pharynx fistula | 1                   | Pain in the neck, dysphagia, and odynophagia Upper airway edema (day 3) | Conservative                                                                  | Alive, airway edema resolved at day 8 |
| Bavalia et al. | 2011 | 77  | F   | Cardiac myxoma diagnosis | Chronic corticotherapy Cervical and thoracic osteophytes | Perforation of 2.5 cm | At the level of the cricopharyngeus muscle | 0                       | Right-sided pleuritic chest pain | Single-layer closure repair                                                   | Alive at 6 wk                   |
| Ferraris et al. | 2001 | NR  | NR  | Preoperative     | NR                  | NR                    | Cervical osteophyte | NR                 | Postoperative dysphagia | NR                      | Alive                                                                        |                              |

*DISH*, Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis; *GERD*, gastroesophageal reflux disease; *GI*, gastrointestinal; *MOF*, multiple organ failure; *NR*, not reported; *POD*, postoperative day.