Differences in endosomal Rab gene expression between positive and negative COVID-19 patients
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Abstract

Objective: SARS CoV-2, the etiologic agent of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) is well-known to use ACE2 to begin internalization. Some viruses enter the host cell through the endocytosis process and involve some endocytosis proteins, such as the Rab family. However, the relationship between SARS CoV-2 infection with endocytic mRNA RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B is unknown. This study aims to compare the expression of RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B between positive and negative COVID-19 patient groups.

Results: Both viral and human epithelial RNA Isolation and RT-PCR were performed from 249 samples. The genes expression was analyzed using appropriate statistical tests. We found the Median (inter-quartile range/IQR) of RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B expression among the COVID-19 patient group was 2.99 (1.88), 0.17 (0.47), 0.47 (1.49), and 1.60 (2.88), 1.05 (2.49), 1.10 (3.96) among control group respectively. We proceeded with Mann Whitney U Test and found that RAB5 expression was significantly increased (P < 0.001), and RAB7 and RAB11B expression was significantly decreased (P < 0.001 and P = 0.036) in the COVID-19 patient group compared to the control group. This first report showed significant differences in RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B exist between COVID-19 positive and negative patients.
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Introduction

Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) is an infectious viral disease caused by a novel coronavirus called severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1–3]. In less than 3 months, the condition has already gained pandemic status from WHO [4]. This alarming rate of spread is supported by the fact that this disease can spread quickly from person to person by respiratory droplet, either directly from inhalation of the infected droplet or indirectly by touching a surface contaminated by this virus and then touching the face, mouth, or nose [3]. Together with SARS CoV and MERS CoV, which also caused an outbreak in 2002 and 2012, SARS CoV-2 can bring severe lung injury and respiratory pathologies [3, 5]. SARS CoV-2 is a single-strand positive sense enveloped RNA virus with genome arrangement as follows: 5'-orf1ab-Spike (S)-Envelope (E)-Membrane (M)-Nucleocapsid (N)-3'. ORF1ab is the first open reading frame that encodes either replicase polyprotein pp1a or pp1ab. This region cleaves proteolytically into 16 non-structural proteins (NSPs). On the other side, nucleocapsid protein, together with spike, envelope, and membrane protein are structural proteins [3, 6].

As an obligate intracellular parasite, viruses must deliver their genome to the nucleus of host cells to replicate and ultimately cause disease. Coronavirus entry, therefore, plays an integral role as it connects the viral receptor binding phase and viral fusion and uncoating phase of its life cycle [5, 7]. Most viruses utilize the host...
endocytic pathway to enter the cells [8]. Influenza A virus utilises this pathway to gain entry into its host cells. It has six steps to enter target cells that start with attachment to target cells and are followed by internalization into cellular compartments, trafficking through endosomal network, fusion, uncoating, and finally viral genome entry into the nucleus [9]. There are vast arrays of proteins to regulate endocytic pathways, and Rab proteins are one of them. Rab protein belongs to the Ras superfamily of Small GTPase. Rab5 is known to have a role in the early endosome. The Rab5 regulates the formation of clathrin-coated vesicles (CCV), the fusion of CCV with early endosomes, and homotypic fusion between early endosomes [10, 11]. Rab7 regulates the early endosome to late endosome by a process called Rab5 to Rab7 switch. It also regulates the endocytic process downstream of the late endosome, transported from the late endosome to the lysosome, lysosome biogenesis, and fusion of the late endosome with a lysosome. In addition to endocytosis, Rab7 is also known to have a role in several other cellular functions, like retrograde trafficking, phagocytosis, autophagy, mitophagy and apoptosis [10, 12]. Rab11b regulates the endocytic process in recycling endosomes [10]. Rab11b, alongside Rab11a and Rab25 are the three members of the Rab11 subfamily. Generally, the Rab11 subfamily plays a role in the plasma membrane recycling system and cell polarity, with each subfamily member having a specific role [13]. Rab11a plays an essential role in localising the intestinal apical protein, Rab11b in the recycling of transferrin, and Rab25 in the apical recycling endosome [13, 14].

It is well known that SARS CoV-2 Spike protein interacts with ACE2 in the receptor binding phase to begin internalization [15]. This is supported by the fact that leukaemia patients, which have increased ACE2 expression levels, have an increased risk of COVID-19 infection and more severe disease manifestation [16]. However, the differences in endosomal RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B mRNA expression between positive and negative COVID-19 patients are still unknown. Therefore, this study aims to compare the expression of RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B between the COVID-19 positive and negative groups as categorized by the SARS CoV-2 ORF1ab and N-gene expression.

**Main text**

**Materials and methods**

**RNA samples collection**

This study used the stored biological material from 249 human nasopharyngeal swab samples collected in Jatinangor and Bandung, West Java, Indonesia, from May 2020 to October 2020. The samples were considered positive or negative according to the guidelines of the RT-PCR kit. 199 and 50 COVID-19 positive and negative, respectively, stored biological materials were used.

**RNA isolation**

Total RNA Isolation was performed for all stored biological materials using Zybio Nucleic Acid Extraction Kit in four steps, including pretreatment, lysate, rinsing, and elution. First, a working solution was made by mixing 500 μL Isolation Reagent I, 4 μL Magnetic Beads Solution, and 15 μL Proteinase K. To make the lysate, 500 μL of the working solution was mixed well with 200 μL sample, lysed at 55 °C for 4 min, absorbed by magnetic separator for 1 min, and discarded the supernatant. The solution is then rinsed by adding 600 μL of Isolation Reagent II, mixed well, absorbed by a magnetic separator for 1 min, and discarded the supernatant. Finally, to elute the sample, 50 ~ 100 μL Elution Buffer was added.

**RT-PCR of ORF1ab and N-gene**

RT-PCR for viral ORF1ab and N-gene RNA was performed using the Detection Kit for 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) RNA, (PCR-Fluorescence Probing) from Da An Gene Co., Ltd. of Sun Yat-sen University. The temperature and time used for thermal cycling were as follows: 1 cycle of reverse transcription at 50 °C for 15 min, one cycle of DNA Polymerase activation at 95 °C for 15 min, and then 45 cycles of melt and anneal/extend at 95 °C and 60 °C for 15 s and 1 min each. We were run qPCR using LightCycler® 96 System, Roche.

**RT-qPCR of RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B**

RT-PCR for RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B were performed using Promega (GoTaq® 1-Step RT-qPCR System). GAPDH was used to normalize the Ct values of RAB5, RAB7 and RAB11B in the quantification using the Modified 2-ΔΔCt (Livak) method [17]. Primers used for RAB5, RAB7, RAB11B, and GAPDH RT-PCR were as follows: primer ‘RAB5f’, 5′-TGG GAT ACA GCT GGT CAA GA-3′; primer ‘RAB5r’, 5′-GGA CTT GCT TGC CTC TGA AG-3′ [18]; primer ‘RAB7f’, 5′-AAG CCA CAA TAG GAG CTG AC-3′; primer ‘RAB7r’, 5′-CAA TCT TGT TTC CCA ACA CA-3′; primer ‘RAB11Bf’, 5′-AAC GAG TTC AAC CTG GAG AG-3′; primer ‘RAB11Br’, 5′-ATG ATG ATG ACG ATG TTG CTG TC-3′ [19]; primer ‘GAPDHf’, 5′-GAA GAT GGT GAT GGG ATT TC-3′. Fluorescent DNA-binding dye, BRYT Green® Dye was used as a fluorescent detection system. Temperature and time used for thermal cycling were as follows: 1 cycle of reverse transcription at 37 °C for 15 min, 1 cycle of reverse transcriptase inactivation and GoTaq® DNA Polymerase activation at 95 °C for 10 min, and then 40 cycles of denaturation, annealing and data collection, and...
extension at 95 °C, 60 °C, and 72 °C for 10 s, 30 s, and 30 s each.

Data analysis
The expression of ORF1ab, N-gene, RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B from each sample was analysed using statistical software IBM SPSS Statistics 25. First, the normality of data distribution was analysed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. If the data is distributed normally, the difference between RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B from the COVID-19 positive and negative groups will be analysed using an independent t-test. But if the data did not distribute normally, the test used will be the Mann–Whitney U test instead. A significance level of 0.05 is used for all statistical tests.

Results
From 249 samples, some data were cut out during data cleaning due to invalid values. Some flaws in the preparation process of RNA isolate might be the cause. For the RAB5 expression, 196 positive and 49 negative samples were available to be analysed. For RAB7, 190 positive and 48 negative samples were available, and for RAB11B 190 positive and 49 negative samples were available. Using Kolmogorov–Smirnov Test revealed that the distribution of RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B was not normal, and the Mann–Whitney U test was performed to test the difference in the median value of RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B between COVID-19 positive group and negative group.

We showed that the 196 positive subjects had a relatively similar median for both CT values SARS CoV-2 genes in RT PCR examination. The median values were 31.67 and 30.67 for ORF1ab and N genes, respectively (Table 1).

Beginning with the regulator of early endosome, we found that the median (inter-quartile range/IQR) of RAB5 expression among the COVID-19 positive group was 2.99 (1.88) and 1.60 (2.88) among the negative group. As expected, the COVID-19 positive group reported a significant increase in RAB5 expression than the negative group ($P<0.001$) (Table 2).

Further, we then proceed with RAB7 and RAB11B analysis to determine the difference between late and recycling endosomes between SARS CoV-2 positive and negative patients. We found that the median (IQR) of RAB7 expression among the COVID-19 positive group was 0.17 (0.47) and 1.05 (2.49) among the negative group. Strikingly, COVID-19 positive group reported a significant decrease in RAB7 expression than the negative group ($P<0.001$). The same also goes for RAB11B. COVID-19 positive group reported significant decrease in RAB11B expression than negative group ($P=0.036$), from 1.10 to 0.47 (Table 2).

**Table 1** Characteristics of viral CT Value in COVID-19 positive

| Gene     | Median | Inter-quartile range |
|----------|--------|----------------------|
| ORF1ab   | 31.67  | 12.80                |
| N-gene   | 30.67  | 13.06                |
| IC       | 24.65  | 3.04                 |

Data showed from 196 positive subjects

**Table 2** Difference of RAB5, RAB7, and RAB11B expression between COVID-19 positive and negative patients

| Gene     | Group (n) | Median | IQR | P-value  |
|----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| RAB5     | Positive (196) | 2.99   | 1.88 | ($P<0.001$) |
|          | Negative (49) | 1.60   | 2.88 |          |
| RAB7     | Positive (190) | 0.17   | 0.47 | ($P<0.001$) |
|          | Negative (48)  | 1.05   | 2.49 |          |
| RAB11B   | Positive (190) | 0.47   | 1.49 | ($P=0.036$) |
|          | Negative (49)  | 1.10   | 3.96 |          |

Due to either undetected or out of range gene expression, 3 ORF1ab, 5N-gene, 4 RAB5, 11 RAB7, and 10 RAB11B expression data were cut out. Double measurement was performed to obtain Ct values of each RAB mRNA.

Discussion
This study showed RAB5 expression was significantly increased in COVID-19 patients, as proved by Mann–Whitney U Test. Rab5 is known to have an extensive function in the early endocytic pathway. It participates in forming clathrin-coated vesicles, the fusion of clathrin-coated vesicles with early endosomes, and homotypic fusion between early endosomes [10, 11]. Following the theory, this study’s findings predictably show that SARS CoV-2 entry into host cells might be utilizing host early endosome and provide a basis for the development of Rab5 antagonist as an anti-SARS CoV-2 drug (Fig. 1).

On the other side, the decreased expression of RAB7 in COVID-19 patients was not so easily predicted. In the endocytic pathway, Rab5 to Rab7 switch is a hallmark process in maturing the early endosome to the late endosome [10–12, 20, 21]. At a glance, this could explain the decreased expression of RAB7 in COVID-19 patients with the assumption that the endosomes of infected cells are still in the early endosome phase and not yet matured into late endosomes. DNA damage is another possible explanation for the decreased expression of RAB7 and RAB11B, as a previous study shows that SARS CoV-2 is known to induce a DNA damage response in Vero E6 cells [22].
The SARS CoV-2 fusion and uncoating and cytosol penetration phase that happens in early endosomes is another possible explanation for decreased expression of \textit{RAB7} together with an increase of \textit{RAB5} expression in COVID-19 patients. Viral particles entry into the cytosol could happen in various locations within the endocytic pathway, from the early endosome, late endosome, lysosome, and macropinosome, to the endoplasmic reticulum [7]. Viral particles entry into the cytosol of coronavirus is proposed to take place following endosomal maturation [23]. In certain circumstances where furin-like protease activates the virus, entry to cytosol in early endosome can also happen as active furin is known to present in early endosome (Fig. 1) [23, 24]. Interestingly, previous studies show that SARS CoV-2 has a furin cleavage site in its spike protein [25, 26].

This study cannot justify when the Rab5 to Rab7 switch happens, and so we still have a missing link between the decreased \textit{RAB7} expression and Rab5 to Rab7 switching. Using DNA Damage theory, we also cannot find why the damage occurs only in \textit{RAB7} and \textit{RAB11B} genes but not in \textit{RAB5}. Even the viral fusion in early endosome theory fails to explain why \textit{RAB7} expression decreased, either unchanged. To further elucidate this study result, in the near future, we will perform in vitro study to ensure that those endocytic proteins are really involved in SARS CoV-2 endocytic uptake by creating \textit{RAB5}, \textit{RAB7}, and \textit{RAB11B} knockdown epithelial cells and infecting them with SARS CoV-2.

Finally, we conclude that \textit{RAB5} expression was significantly increased and \textit{RAB7} and \textit{RAB11B} expression was significantly decreased in the COVID-19 positive group compared to the negative group. Rab5 to Rab7 switch and DNA damage might explain this difference in \textit{RAB5} and \textit{RAB7} expression. DNA damage might explain the decreased expression of \textit{RAB11B}. This result also shows a possibility that the SARS CoV-2 fusion and uncoating phase happens in an early endosome. This result can be used as a basis for developing a Rab5 antagonist that can be used as an anti-SARS CoV-2 drug.

\textbf{Limitation}

This study cannot perform the protein detection method to observe the expression of Rab5, Rab7, and Rab11b due to the minuscule amount of each swab sample. We also could not show the specific reason to explain the
decreased expression of RAB7, and RAB11B, as each of the theories still left some questions unanswered.
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