Comparative Proteomics of Ovarian Cancer Aggregate Formation Reveals an Increased Expression of Calcium-activated Chloride Channel Regulator 1 (CLCA1)*
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Background: Cancer spheroid formation is a hallmark during ovarian cancer progression.

Results: Quantitative proteomics were used to delineate proteomic alterations during aggregate formation.

Conclusion: CLCA1 is elevated in ovarian cancer cell line aggregates.

Significance: CLCA1 and chloride channels may serve as novel therapeutic targets for ovarian cancer treatment.

Ovarian cancer is a lethal gynecological disease that is characterized by peritoneal metastasis and increased resistance to conventional chemotherapies. This increased resistance and the ability to spread is often attributed to the formation of multicellular aggregates or spheroids in the peritoneal cavity, which seed abdominal surfaces and organs. Given that the presence of metastatic implants is a predictor of poor survival, a better understanding of how spheroids form is critical to improving patient outcome, and may result in the identification of novel therapeutic targets. Thus, we attempted to gain insight into the proteomic changes that occur during anchorage-independent cancer cell aggregation. As such, an ovarian cancer cell line, OV-90, was cultured in adherent and non-adherent conditions using stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture (SILAC). Anchorage-dependent cells (OV-90AD) were grown in tissue culture flasks, whereas anchorage-independent cells (OV-90AI) were grown in suspension using the hanging-drop method. Cellular proteins from both conditions were then identified using LC-MS/MS, which resulted in the quantification of 1533 proteins. Of these, 13 and 6 proteins were up-regulated and down-regulated, respectively, in aggregate-forming cells compared with cells grown as monolayers. Relative gene expression and protein expression of candidates were examined in other cell line models of aggregate formation (TOV-112D and ES-2), which revealed an increased expression of calcium-activated chloride channel regulator 1 (CLCA1). Moreover, inhibitor and siRNA transfection studies demonstrated an apparent effect of CLCA1 on cancer cell aggregation. Further elucidation of the role of CLCA1 in the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer is warranted.

For several years, ovarian cancer (OvCa) has been the most lethal gynecological malignancy among women in North America, where it accounts for 5% of all cancer-related deaths (1). Unfortunately, in most cases, the disease is not detected until advanced stages, at which point the cancer has progressed considerably. Despite recent efforts made toward the development of personalized treatment strategies for ovarian cancer, patient survival rates have barely improved since the arrival of platinum-based chemotherapy, with an overall average 5-year survival rate of 44% (1, 2). Meanwhile, the standard treatment for late stage patients continues to be cytoreductive surgery followed by chemotherapy (3). Although this approach may lead to a partial or complete remission, the probability that residual cancer cells not removed during surgery will develop resistance is high, and will eventually lead to recurrent disease (3). Beyond this point, the cancer is rendered incurable. Given that the majority of patients develop high-grade tumors, which are characterized by late stage presentation and intraperitoneal spread, there is an urgent need to understand OvCa metastasis and identify key molecules that drive tumor progression.

The formation of metastatic implants on the peritoneum relies on the detachment and spread of cancerous cells from the primary tumor (4). During this process, detached cells remain suspended in ascites fluid within the peritoneal cavity and form multicellular aggregates (MCAs), also known as spheroids, which obtain an anchorage-independent behavior that is resistant to apoptosis (4, 5). As such, recent emphasis has been placed on delineating the underlying biology of spheroid growth and formation given that these three-dimensional models are more representative of in vivo conditions, and share similar biological features to solid tumors (6). More importantly, it has been shown that MCAs facilitate ovarian cancer metastasis, by breaching the mesothelium and causing widespread peritoneal
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dissemination (7–10). Numerous studies have highlighted the ability of spheroids to acquire chemoresistant, and stem-like properties, both of which have major implications for disease outcome (6, 11). Thus far, it is believed that interactions between various cell adhesion molecules and extracellular matrix components contribute to the formation of MCA s, including integrins, fibronectin, and cadherins (5, 7, 8, 12). Interestingly, studies have also shown that gene and protein expression can differ between cancer cells grown in monolayers versus those within multicellular aggregates (11, 13). Thus, a global comprehensive proteomics analysis that compares the proteome of the two cell populations may add to our current understanding about OvCa progression, as well as aid in the identification of novel therapeutic targets.

Over the past decade, advances in proteomic technologies have led to the quantitative identification of proteins in various biological samples using different labeling and non-labeling approaches (14). Such strategies offer several advantages, as they allow for a direct quantifiable comparison of proteins between samples to be performed, rather than being restricted to a qualitative analysis. In this study, a quantitative proteomics-based approach using stable isotope labeling of amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) (15) coupled to mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was used to identify differentially expressed proteins in ovarian cancer cells (OV-90) cultured as aggregates (anchorage-independent, OV-90AI) compared with those cultured as monolayers (anchorage-dependent, OV90-AD). In total, 1533 proteins were quantified, as 13 and 6 proteins were overexpressed and underexpressed, respectively, in aggregate-forming cells compared with cells grown as monolayers. From our analysis, calcium-activated chloride channel regulator 1 (CLCA1) was significantly elevated during MCA formation, which was confirmed using other cell line models. By using chloride channel blockers, in addition to siRNA knockdown of (CLCA1), we further demonstrated that CLCA1 has an effect on cell aggregation. Taken together, our findings reveal novel proteins that facilitate MCA formation, which may serve as potential therapeutic targets for the treatment of OvCa.

Experimental Procedures

Cell Lines—The human ovarian cancer cell lines, OV-90 (CRL-11732), TOV-112D (CRL-11731), and ES-2 (CRL-1978) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). All cell lines were grown and maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% characterized fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo Scientific). All OvCa cells were maintained in adherent conditions. Briefly, heavy labeled cells were maintained in adherent conditions. Briefly, heavy labeled cells were suspended from the top of a Petri dish plate that contained 10–15 ml of PBS. Each condition was conducted in three experimental replicates. After a period of 2 days, cell pellets from each replicate were collected, washed twice with PBS, and stored at −80 °C. Monolayers and multicellular aggregates of OV-90, TOV-112, and ES-2 cells used in mRNA and Western blot analyses were generated in the same method as described above, with the exception that regular RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS was used.

Sample Preparation and Strong Cation Exchange of SILAC-labeled Cells for Mass Spectrometry—Cell pellets were resuspended and lysed in 250 μl of 0.1% RapiGest (Waters Inc., Milford, MA) in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate, vortexed, and then sonicated for 30 s. The resulting lysates were then centrifuged at 4 °C for 15 min at 10,000 × g to remove cellular debris. The total protein concentration of each sample was measured using a Coomassie (Bradford) protein assay and cell lysates were then denatured at 80 °C for 15 min, reduced with 10 mM dithiothreitol, and alkylated with 20 mM iodoacetamide for 1 h. Proteins were digested overnight at 37 °C with trypsin using a trypsin:total protein ratio of 1:50 (Sigma). Samples were acidified with 1% trifluoroacetic acid to cleave RapiGest, which was then removed by centrifugation.

Samples containing tryptic peptides were diluted with mobile phase buffer A (0.26 M formic acid, 10% acetonitrile) to a final volume of 500 μl. The entire sample was then loaded onto a PolySULFOETHYL A column containing an anionic polymer with poresizes of 0.02 μm and a diameter of 5 μm (The Nest Group Inc., MA). The column was connected to an Agilent 1100 high performance liquid chromatography system. Peptides were fractionated and eluted using a 1-h gradient of a buffer that consisted of mobile phase A with the addition of 1 M ammonium formate. The separation was monitored at a wavelength of 280 nm and fractions were collected every 2 min from 24 to 50 min with a flow rate of 200 μl/min. The last two fractions, which displayed the lowest peak absorbance, were pooled, resulting in a total of 12 fractions per replicate. Fractions were then diluted to achieve a final acetonitrile concentration of 5%.

Mass Spectrometry—Peptides from each fraction were purified and extracted using OMIX C18 Pipette Tips, and eluted in 70% MS Buffer B (90% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 10% water, and 0.02% trifluoroacetic acid) and 30% MS Buffer A (5% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 95% water, and 0.02% trifluoroacetic acid). Approximately 40 μl of each fraction was loaded onto an EASY-nLC system (Proxeon Biosystems, Denmark), which was coupled online to an LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were first loaded onto a 3-cm C18 trap column, and eluted peptides were then resolved using a 5-cm analytical C18 column with a 90-min gradient of...
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MS buffers A and B, using data-dependent mode. Peptides were subjected to one full MS1 scan (450–1450 m/z) in the Orbitrap (60,000 resolution), and six MS2 scans in the linear ion trap mass analyzer. Using charge state screening, only ions with charge states of +2 and +3 were selected to undergo MS2 fragmentation.

Data Analysis and Protein Quantitation—RAW files generated with XCalibur software (version 2.0.5, Thermo Fisher Scientific) that contained mass spectra of all identified peptides were analyzed using MaxQuant software (version 1.2.2.5) and searched against the International Protein Index human database (version 3.71). The maximum number of modifications per peptide was set to 5, along with a maximum of two missed cleavages. Both a peptide and protein false discovery rate of 1% were selected, and a minimum of 2 unique peptides were chosen for protein identification. Re-quantification and match between runs were specified. The resulting median normalized intensity protein expression in cell lysates.

Treatment with Chloride Channel Blocker, Niflumic Acid (NFA)—Niflumic acid (Sigma) was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide as a ×1000 stock solution, which allowed for the final concentration of dimethyl sulfoxide used in experimental stimulations to be low. Cells were grown in six-well plates and pretreated with 100 μM NFA for a period of 5 h before generating MCAs, whereas control cells were maintained in regular growth medium. After 5 h, treated and control cells were grown in anchorage-independent conditions for a period of 48 h in either regular medium or medium containing 100 μM NFA before the aggregates were collected and imaged.

Cell Viability Assay—To determine whether niflumic acid had an effect on cell viability, an alamarBlue assay (Invitrogen) was performed. Briefly, cells (OV-90, TOV-112D, and ES-2) were seeded into 96-well plates for 24 h, and different concentrations of NFA were used to treat the cells, which was performed in triplicates. After 24 h, 1/10th volume of alamarBlue reagent was added to cell culture medium, and the culture was incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. The reagent was detected by measuring absorbance at 570 nm using a plate reader, and differences in cell viability were assessed.

Cell Adhesion Assay—96-Well plates were coated with 10 μg/ml of fibronectin overnight at 4 °C. Afterward, the plates were incubated with 0.2% BSA for 1 h at 37 °C to block non-specific binding sites. Approximately 4 × 10^5 cells were plated in each well with RPMI medium that either contained or did not contain 100 μmol/liter of NFA and were allowed to attach for 1.5 h. Unattached cells were removed from the wells by aspiration, and the relative abundance of the adhered cells was assessed using alamarBlue. Changes in optical density were analyzed by measuring absorbance at 540 nm. All experiments were performed in technical triplicates.

siRNA Transfections for CLCA1—siGENOME SMARTpool siRNAs for CLCA1 (Dharmacon) and non-targeting siRNA pools were purchased from GE Healthcare and transfections were performed according to the recommended protocol. Briefly, cells (OV-90, TOV-112D, and ES-2) were seeded in six-well plates and transfected with target and non-target oligonucleotides using DharmaFECT1 Transfection Reagent with a final siRNA concentration of 50 nM. After 3 days, the cells were collected and the ability to form aggregates was examined by culturing cells in MCAs as described above. Transfected cells
were also collected to assess the protein expression of CLCA1 using Western blot analysis.

Statistical Analysis—All statistical significance tests on gene expression, Western blot, cell viability, and cell adhesion data were analyzed using independent \( t \)-tests (Minitab, version 14). Results were considered significant if the \( p \) value was less than or equal to 0.05.

Results

MS Identification of Proteins Differentially Expressed during MCA Formation—To identify proteins that displayed differential expression during ovarian cancer cell line aggregate formation, we conducted a comparative proteomics analysis, in which we quantitatively compared the expression of proteins identified in lysates of cells grown as monolayers to those grown as aggregates. As such, SILAC was used to label proteins in each condition by growing cells (OV-90) in media containing heavy and light arginine and lysine isotopes (Fig. 1, A and B). For each protein identified, a heavy/light (H/L) ratio was generated using MaxQuant software, which represents the abundance of a particular protein in each condition. Overall, our analysis resulted in the quantification of 1533 proteins with a minimum of two peptides. To identify candidates that were differentially regulated between the two conditions, we chose proteins whose \( q \) values were less than 0.05, which represent \( p \) values corrected for multiple testing (Benjamini-Hochberg, false discovery rate of 5%). As a result, with this definition, 13 and 6 proteins were overexpressed and underexpressed, respectively, in aggregate-forming cells compared with cells grown as monolayers, using cut-off ratios of 2.4 and 0.4 (Fig. 1C and Tables 1 and 2). Proteins that displayed ratios between 0.4 and 2.4 were not considered to show a significant change in protein expression. To confirm the accuracy of our ratios, we examined H/L ratios of housekeeping proteins, which are expected to display the same level of protein expression in both conditions. Overall, various control proteins displayed H/L ratios close to 1, including
GAPDH (0.98), PRL27A (0.98), and RPS20 (0.91). Mass spectra of peptides for promising candidates were further examined and six proteins (SLC1A5, SERPIND1, MAOB, CLCA1, FN1, and CES1) were chosen for further study (Fig. 2).

Gene Ontology annotations available through Protein Center software were used to classify candidate proteins based on their biological processes and molecular functions, which included metabolic processes and nucleotide and protein binding, respectively. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (Ingenuity® Systems) was also used to reveal top molecular and cellular functions related to our candidates, which included small molecule biochemistry, lipid metabolism, molecular transport, and cell death and survival (Table 3).

**Gene Expression Validation of Top Candidates Using in Vitro Models of Aggregate Formation**—Quantitative PCR was used to examine the mRNA expression of top SILAC candidates in three ovarian cancer cell line models of MCA formation, including OV-90, TOV-112D, and ES-2. Each cell line was grown in anchorage-dependent and -independent conditions as described above, and relative expression of the above genes was compared in cells grown as MCAs and monolayers (data not shown). Of the genes examined, both CLCA1 (Fig. 3A) and CES1 (data not shown) showed significant increases in mRNA expression when OV-90 and ES-2 cells were grown as MCAs (p < 0.05, independent t test), which indicates that they may play an important role in OvCa progression.

**Validation of CLCA1 Protein Expression in Cell Line Models of Aggregate Formation**—The top candidate identified in our proteomic discovery, CLCA1, displayed a 8-fold increase in protein expression of CLCA1 during aggregate formation in OV-90 cells that were grown in anchorage-independent conditions. To confirm the increase in protein expression of CLCA1 during the formation of multicellular aggregates, Western blotting was performed on three cell lines that were grown as monolayers and as aggregates (OV-90/OV-90S, TOV-112D/TOV-112DS, and ES-2/ES-2S). Overall, there was a significant increase in expression of CLCA1 during aggregate formation in two cell lines, OV-90 and ES-2 (p < 0.05, independent t test), with no difference observed in TOV-112D cancer cells (Fig. 3B).

**CLCA1 Blocker Affects Cell Adhesion and Multicellular Aggregation**—The effect that CLCA1 has on cancer cell adhesion to components of the extracellular matrix was examined using the CLCA1 inhibitor, NFA. NFA is a blocker of calcium-activated chloride channels and inhibits CLCA1 function (21–22). Briefly, cancer cells were added to fibronectin-coated plates in the absence or presence of NFA. Overall, cell adhesion of OV-90 and ES-2 cells was slightly reduced after 1.5 h when cells were treated with NFA compared with the control condition as illustrated in Fig. 4B (p < 0.05).

To assess the role of CLCA1 in aggregate formation, cancer cells were treated with NFA. Briefly, OV-90, TOV-112D, and ES-2 cells were pre-treated with 100 μM NFA for a period of 5 h along with untreated cells, before creating OvCa cell line aggregates. After a period of 48 h, it was shown that blocking chloride channels resulted in a reduced ability for cancer cells to form aggregates (Fig. 4C). To confirm that the observed effects on cell adhesion were due to the blocking ability of NFA and not due to decreased cell viability, an alamarBlue assay was performed. Cells were treated with the same concentration of NFA used in the above experiment for a period of 24 h, which resulted in no significant change in cell viability (Fig. 4D).
aggregation, CLCA1 siRNA-transfected and control cells were used to form aggregates as described above. After a period of 24 h, the ability to form compact aggregates was reduced (Fig. 5B).

Discussion

The formation of multicellular aggregates in ascites fluid of ovarian cancer patients plays a major role in ovarian cancer metastasis and has important implications for cancer therapy.
Recent studies have highlighted that cell behavior and gene expression profiles vary between cells grown in monolayers versus those that are grown as MCAs (11, 23). Given that these differences result in an increased invasiveness and chemoresistance, a better understanding of how these spheroids form could lead to more effective approaches to treat the disease. As such, unraveling proteomic alterations during the transformation of cancer cells into a more dominant and malignant phe-

FIGURE 3. A, relative CLCA1 mRNA expression in cell lines cultured as monolayers and MCAs. B, Western blot validation of CLCA1 in cells grown in anchorage-dependent and -independent conditions (*, p < 0.05, independent t test; error bars represent S.D.).

FIGURE 4. Treatment of cancer cell lines with NFA. A, cells did not display a significant change in cell viability when treated with 100 μM NFA for 24 h. B, OV-90 and ES-2 cell displayed a reduction in cell adhesion to fibronectin in the presence of 100 μM NFA after 1.5 h (*, p < 0.05, independent t test; error bars represent S.D.). C, cells displayed decreased cell aggregation when treated with NFA (48 h). All images are displayed at ×40 magnification.
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FIGURE 5. A, Western blot of control and CLCA1 siRNA-transfected OV-90, TOV-112D, and ES-2 cells. B, multicellular aggregates generated with transfected cells. All images are displayed at ×40 magnification.

notype may result in the identification of novel therapeutic targets that may improve patient survival.

In this study, we undertook a comparative mass spectrometry-based approach to identify changes in proteomic expression during anchorage-independent and -dependent growth of the ovarian cancer cell line, OV-90. This cell line was chosen as our model system because its ability to form MCAs has been well established (24). Overall, our analysis resulted in the identification of 1533 proteins, of which 13 were overexpressed and 6 were underexpressed in MCA-forming cells. The majority of proteins identified did not display differential expression as they fell between our chosen cut-off ratios. Interestingly, one of the top SILAC candidates, fibronectin 1 (FN1), has been previously described as a promoter of spheroid formation through its interaction with β1-integrin, which further strengthens our findings (25).

We do acknowledge some limitations of our study. For instance, we included one cell line for our proteomic discovery, which may result in cell line biases. Given the complexity of OvCa, it would be expected that proteomes would differ among various cell lines. To account for this drawback, we evaluated our top candidates in other cell line models. Furthermore, although MCAs are believed to reflect solid tumors, our in vitro model lacks the contribution of the surrounding tumor microenvironment, including external signals that would be present in ascites fluid.

To confirm our preliminary data, we analyzed the mRNA expression of our top candidate proteins in three cell line models (OV-90, TOV-112D, and ES-2), which revealed a significant elevation of CLCA1 in two of the three cell lines (OV-90 and ES-2) when cultured as multicellular aggregates. Furthermore, protein expression validation using Western blot analysis corresponded with our gene expression data, as CLCA1 also displayed increased protein expression in OV-90 and ES-2 MCAs, with little or no difference observed in TOV-112D cell line aggregates. These differences observed between cell lines may be due to disease heterogeneity, or could be attributed to the fact that the cell lines are representative of different ovarian cancer subtypes.

To determine whether CLCA1 has a role in cell aggregation, experiments that blocked its activity or reduced its expression were performed. In both cases, treating cells with a chloride channel blocker, NFA, or using siRNAs to knockdown CLCA1 resulted in a reduced ability of cells to form aggregates. Interestingly, decreased aggregation for siRNA experiments was observed after a period of only 24 h, as reduced cell aggregation was not observed after 48 h. This finding indicates that other proteins are also involved in OvCa cell line aggregate formation. Moreover, even though TOV-112D did not display differences in CLCA1 expression during anchorage-dependent or -independent growth, the inhibitor was also able to reduce cell aggregation of this cell line. This suggests that the activity or function of CLCA1 is important for anchorage-independent growth and adhesion, and may help cells resist anoikis.

Given that multicellular aggregates are formed within ovarian cancer ascites fluid, it is reasonable to expect that proteins identified in our analysis, particularly those that are extracellular or membrane bound, would be detected in these proximal fluids as well. As such, a couple of our top candidates were also discovered in previous proteomic analyses of ovarian cancer ascites fluid (26–29), including FN1 and SERPIND1. Although, CLCA1 was not found in these fluids, several chloride channel-related proteins, such as chloride intracellular channel protein (CLIC) 1, CLIC4, and CLIC5, were detected (27–29). These observations suggest that these proteins may have important roles in cancer progression.

CLCA1 is a transmembrane protein that belongs to a family of ion channels that regulate calcium-dependent chloride conductance, and has been implicated in numerous biological processes (30, 31). These include epithelial secretion, cell-cell adhesion, mucus production, and apoptosis (31). Various chloride channels have been implicated in playing a role in ovarian cancer tumorigenesis, by promoting tumor-stroma interactions, and facilitating cancer metastasis (32). For instance, in a recent study, it was shown that chloride channels blockers, including NFA, were able to decrease proliferation, adhesion, and invasion of an ovarian cancer cell line, A2780 (33). Therefore, we also examined the effect of CLCA1 on the adhesion ability of our cancer cell lines to fibronectin, in which the adhesion rate was slightly reduced by 5% after 1.5 h. Such findings support our results, and indicate that CLCA1 plays a major role in cancer metastasis. In addition to OvCa, aberrant expression has also been reported in colorectal cancer, as CLCA1 was shown to regulate the proliferation and differentiation of a colon cancer cell line (34).
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CLCA1 has also been implicated in other diseases, as studies have documented an increased level in inflammatory airway conditions, such as asthma, where it plays a role in mucin production (35). In particular, CLCA1 has been associated with the hypersecretion of mucin 5AC (MUC5AC), as both genes are induced in upper airway mucosal explant tissue upon stimulation with TNF-α (21, 22, 36). In these studies, treatment of bronchial epithelial cells with the chloride channel blocker, NFA, resulted in decreased MUC5AC mRNA expression; however, the mechanism by which CLCA1 regulates MUC5AC has yet to be elucidated (21, 36). We have previously reported an increased expression of MUC5AC during ovarian cancer-peritoneal interaction (37). Whether CLCA1 controls the expression of MUC5AC in ovarian cancer requires further investigation.

In summary, we have conducted a comparative proteomics analysis, which revealed several proteins that display differential expression during cancer metastasis. Our findings provide new insight into the mechanisms of MCA formation, as we have identified proteins that may contribute to ovarian cancer pathogenesis. Further investigation into the role of CLCA1 in ovarian cancer biology is needed, as future efforts should gear toward understanding the effects of CLCA1 on cell survival and cancer resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs, in addition to assessing its role in cell aggregation in vivo.
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