Double emulsions as delivery systems for iron: Stability kinetics and improved bioaccessibility in infants and adults
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ABSTRACT
Iron deficiency is one of the main causes of anemia in the world, especially in children and women, so food fortification through microencapsulation is a viable alternative to combat this deficiency. The present work aimed to encapsulate iron in a water-in-oil-in-water double emulsion (W/O/W), which was formed with whey protein isolate and polyglycerol polyricinoleate as the emulsifying agents, tara gum as a thickening agent, and sucrose as an osmotic active substance. The double emulsion formed with 12% whey protein isolate, 0.8% tara gum, and 2% sucrose presented high encapsulation efficiency (96.95 ± 1.00%) and good stability (up to 7 days). Additionally, after the in vitro gastrointestinal simulations, the bioaccessibility was high for adults (49.54 ± 5.50%) and infants (39.71 ± 2.33%). Finally, the study show that double emulsions can form stable systems with high iron bioaccessibility even in infant gastric systems, which indicates the possibility of using double emulsions to fortify food with iron.

1. Introduction
Anemia is a clinical condition that presents a low serum hemoglobin concentration; among the main causes, iron deficiency can be highlighted. This condition affects many people, especially women and children. For example, approximately 40% of children in the world suffer from anemia (Shubham et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). To solve this health problem, food fortification using iron can be an alternative. However, direct food fortification with iron can generate unwanted results, such as changes in color, odor, and taste. In addition, iron has major inhibitors such as polyphenols, which can almost nullify its absorption in the human body, and calcium and calcium salts may also negatively affect the iron absorption (Hurrell et al., 1999; Lönnerdal, 2010; Gera et al., 2012; Cian et al., 2021). To minimize these inconveniences, it may be necessary to use technologies such as microencapsulation, where a substance is coated with an outer layer that protects it from various external factors such as light and temperature. The outer coating may also mask some organoleptic properties such as taste, odor, and sometimes color (Ye et al., 2018).

Several authors microencapsulated iron using different methods, including spray-drying (Brysiewska, 2019), hydrogels (Kazemi-Taskooh and Varidi, 2021), and simple (Prichapan et al., 2018) or double emulsions (Choi et al., 2009; Duque-Estrada et al., 2019; Ilyasoglu Buyukkestelli and El, 2019; Naktiniene et al., 2021). Emulsions can be defined as a homogeneous mixture of two immiscible liquids, where the dispersed phase is spread as a droplet in the continuous phase. Emulsions can be simple, such as water dispersed in oil or oil dispersed in water. They can also be multiples, e.g., double emulsion water-in-oil-in-water (W/O/W), where the first phase is a water-in-oil emulsion dispersed in the aqueous phase (Lu et al., 2016). In several studies, double emulsions are used as delivery systems in many liquid foods such as beverages (Aditya et al., 2015), milk and dairy products (Chang et al., 2016; Hernández-Marín et al., 2016).

Double emulsions are suitable for encapsulating water-soluble ingredients such as iron but are less stable than single emulsions (Muschiolik and Dickinson, 2017). Since they are thermodynamically unstable systems, emulsions tend to separate their phases by physico-chemical mechanisms such as gravity separations such as creaming and sedimentation, and aggregation processes such as flocculation and coalescence. Therefore, it is necessary to use stabilizers that can prevent
Among various components of emulsions, emulsifying agents are substances that adsorb at the oil-water interface and prevent drop aggregation. These agents can be hydrophobic or hydrophilic, depending on with which part they have more affinity (McClements and Jafari, 2018; Mettu et al., 2018). Proteins are highly effective emulsifying agents because they can adsorb on the surface. Additionally, they are electrically charged (away from the isoelectric point), which generates electrostatic stability in the emulsion drops (Dickinson, 2010). Whey proteins are a by-product of cheese production and commonly used as emulsifiers, foaming, and gelling agents. Whey protein isolate (WPI) consists mainly of α-lactalbumin, whey albumin, and β-lactoglobulin. These proteins have good nutritional qualities, are inexpensive, and have emulsifying properties similar to soy caseinate (Dickinson, 2011; Bai et al., 2019). As a hydrophobic emulsifier, polyglycerol polyricinoleate (PGPR) is formed by esterifying glycerol polymerization with condensated castor oil and used to form water-in-oil emulsions (Mettu et al., 2018; Okuro et al., 2019).

In addition to emulsifiers, polysaccharides can be used as thickening agents because they increase the viscosity of the continuous phase of an emulsion, hinder the interaction among the drops, and prevent the droplets from aggregating (Dickinson, 2010). Tara gum is a galactomannan extracted from Caesalpinia spinos, native to Peru. This polysaccharide presents a structure formed by mannose and galactose in a 3:1 ratio. Tara gum is a neutral polysaccharide; therefore, it does not have a positive or negative electrostatic charge, and there is no attraction or repulsion with other electrically charged biopolymers (Borzelleca et al., 1993; Santos and Garcia-Rojas, 2021). Tara gum can bind to water and increase the system viscosity without forming a gel; therefore, its use as a thickening agent can be considered satisfactory (Wu et al., 2009, 2018). However, few studies have studied galactomannan as a thickening agent in emulsions (Kaltsa et al., 2016).

Although studies show that iron can be encapsulated with good efficiency and stability, the effect of tara gum as a thickener in a double emulsion must be examined. In addition, studies on iron bioaccessibility are scarce, and no studies were found to identify the iron bioaccessibility in double emulsions in simulated infant gastrointestinal systems. Thus, this study aimed to form and characterize double emulsions for iron encapsulation and study their bioaccessibility for adults and infants through in vitro gastrointestinal simulation.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Material

Tara gum was obtained from El Sol SAC (Lima, Peru), PGPR was obtained from the local market (Sao Paulo, Brazil), Soybean oil was obtained from the local market (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), and whey protein isolate (WPI) was obtained from Glanbia Nutritionals (Fitchburg, USA). Sucrose, iron sulfate heptahydrate (215422), hydroxylamine hydroxide, acetic acid monosodium salt hydrate (160601), hydrochloric acid, sodium hydroxide, potassium chloride (310), sodium bicarbonate (302), and potassium phosphate (365) were obtained from Synth (Sao Paulo, Brazil). Magnesium chloride (310), sodium chloride (310), and potassium phosphate (365) were obtained from Isfar (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), Calcium chloride (CC06568RA) was obtained from Exodo Cetificfa (Sao Paulo, Brazil). All reagents were of analytical grade. The water used was ultrapure with a conductivity of 0.05 μS/cm (Master System P&D, Gehaka, Brazil).

2.2. Formation of double emulsion (W1/O/W2)

The double emulsion was formed in a two-step process adapted by Duque-Estrada et al. (2019) and Ilyasoglu Buyukkestelli and El (2019).

2.2.1. Formation of a simple emulsion (W1/O)

The W1/O emulsion was formed with an aqueous phase (W1) with 0.8% w/w of iron sulfate, and soybean oil (O) contained 5.0% w/w PGPR. Each phase was obtained by mixing the constituents at 200 rpm for 30 min at room temperature, followed by 30 min of rest. The emulsion was prepared by mixing the oil phase and aqueous phase at a ratio of 4:1 using an UltraTurrax T25 homogenizer (IKA, Germany) at 15,000 rpm for 4 min.

2.2.2. Formation of double emulsions (W1/O/W2)

The external aqueous phase (W2) was prepared with WPI and tara gum mixed solutions at different concentrations: 8, 12, or 16% w/w and 0, 0.4, or 0.8% w/w for WPI and tara gum. These solutions were prepared in the presence or absence of sucrose (0 and 2% w/w) as an osmotic active substance. Different samples evaluated from the external aqueous phase are shown in Table 1. To produce W1/O/W2 emulsions, the W2 and W1/O phases were mixed (4:1 ratio) and homogenized with UltraTurrax at 14,000 rpm for 3 min at room temperature. Sodium azide (0.01% w/w) was also added to W2 as a bacterial inhibitor.

2.2.3. Osmotic active substance

Sucrose was used as the osmotic active substance (OAS). Its concentration was used to equalize the osmotic pressures between the internal and external phases of water in the double emulsion, which prevented the transport of water and subsequent instability in the system. Thus, the ideal gas equation is adopted to identify the equilibrium osmotic pressure (Bonnet et al., 2009; Simiqueli et al., 2019) by Equation (1):

\[ C_{sw} = C_{Fe} + C_{SO4} \]  

where \( C_{sw} \) is the sucrose concentration, and \( C_{Fe} \) and \( C_{SO4} \) are the molar concentrations of iron sulfate.

2.3. Kinetic stability of double emulsions (W1/O/W2)

The kinetic stability of the emulsions was determined according to the methodology described by Ilyasoglu Buyukkestelli and El (2019). Ten milliliters of double emulsions were placed in transparent tubes and

### Table 1

| Sample* | WPI (%) | Tara Gum (%) | Sucrose (%) |
|---------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| A1      | 8.0     | 0.0          | 0.0         |
| A2      | 12.0    | 0.0          | 0.0         |
| A3      | 16.0    | 0.0          | 0.0         |
| A4      | 8.0     | 0.4          | 0.0         |
| A5      | 12.0    | 0.4          | 0.0         |
| A6      | 16.0    | 0.4          | 0.0         |
| A7      | 8.0     | 0.8          | 0.0         |
| A8      | 12.0    | 0.8          | 0.0         |
| A9      | 16.0    | 0.8          | 0.0         |
| A10     | 8.0     | 0.0          | 2.0         |
| A11     | 12.0    | 0.0          | 2.0         |
| A12     | 16.0    | 0.0          | 2.0         |
| A13     | 8.0     | 0.4          | 2.0         |
| A14     | 12.0    | 0.4          | 2.0         |
| A15     | 16.0    | 0.4          | 2.0         |
| A16     | 8.0     | 0.8          | 2.0         |
| A17     | 12.0    | 0.8          | 2.0         |
| A18     | 16.0    | 0.8          | 2.0         |

* The samples contain the same composition in the internal phase W1/O.
kept in a Shaker SL222 incubator (Solab, Brazil) at 25 °C for 7 days. The height of the transparent layer was observed at the bottom of the tube during the 7 days, and the creaming index of the emulsion was obtained by Equation (2):

$$CI(\%) = \left( \frac{H_2}{H_1} \right) \times 100$$

where CI (%) is the creaming index, $H_1$ is the height of the clear layer, and $H_2$ is the total height of the system.

### 2.4. Encapsulation efficiency of iron in double emulsions ($W_1/O/W_2$)

The encapsulation efficiency (EE) was measured in the samples with the best kinetic stability during 7 days of storage. EE was determined from the amount of iron in the outer aqueous phase ($W_2$), which was calculated by the adapted ferrozine chelation method (Choi et al., 2009; Prichapan et al., 2021). Ten milliliters of double emulsion was centrifuged with an 80-2B centrifuge (CentriBio, Brazil) at 4000 rpm for 20 min. Then, an aliquot of 0.5 mL was removed from the transparent layer at the bottom of the mixture. This aliquot was reduced with 0.5 mL of 10% w/w hydroxylamine hydrochloride in 0.25 mol/L HCl for 15 min at room temperature; then, 0.5 mL of 9 mmol/L ferrozine was added. After 10 min of reaction, the absorbance at 562 nm was measured using a Biomate 3S UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The percent of EE was calculated according to Equation (3):

$$EE(\%) = \left( \frac{(A_{max} - A)}{(A_{max} - A_{min})} \right) \times 100$$

where EE (%) is the percentage encapsulation efficiency; $A_{max}$ is the absorbance found in emulsions with the maximum iron in the $W_2$ phase; $A$ is the measured absorbance; $A_{min}$ is the measured absorbance when there is no iron in the emulsion.

### 2.5. Particle size and zeta potential

The hydrodynamic diameter in nanometers (d.nm) of the emulsion droplets and zeta potential of the emulsions were obtained using a Zetasizer Nano ZS90 (Malvern Instruments, UK) equipped with a He-NE laser at 25 °C. The samples (A7, A8, A16, and A17) were analyzed at time zero and 07 days of storage following the methodology from Simiqueli et al. (2019).

### 2.6. Microscopy

An aliquot of the double emulsion sample A17 was placed between the slides and observed under an optical microscope (K220, Kasvi, Brazil), which was coupled with a Moticam camera (5 MP, Kasvi, Brazil) at 40x magnification using immersion oil.

### 2.7. Interfacial tension

The interfacial tension between the continuous aqueous phase of samples A7, A8, A16, and A17 and the oil phase dispersed in the solution was determined by adapting the methodology reported by Fernandes and Garcia-Rojas (2021). The crescent drop technique was used in the Easytrack tensiometer (Teclis Scientific, France) with the Easytrack® software (Teclis Instruments, France), and the analysis was performed for 3000 s. The aqueous phase was diluted 1:7 in ultrapure water, and measurements were conducted at a controlled temperature of 25 ± 0.1 °C in a CD-BC4 thermostatic bath (Julabo, Germany). The model (Eq. (4)) proposed by Shi et al. (2004) was fitted to the data obtained from the interfacial tension between systems A7, A8, A16, and A17 and the dispersed oil phase.

$$\sigma(t) = \sigma_1 + \exp\left(-t/\tau_1\right)\left(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1\right) + \exp\left(-t/\tau_2\right)\left(\sigma_3 - \sigma_2\right)$$

where $\sigma_1$ is asymptotic interfacial tension (mN.m) for $t \to \infty$, $t$ is time in seconds, $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ are kinetic parameters of interfacial tension, $\tau_1$ is the migration time (s) of the emulsifier at the interface, and $\tau_2$ is the time(s) of reorganization and eventual changes in macromolecules in the interface.

### 2.8. In vitro gastrointestinal simulation of double emulsions

#### 2.8.1. Simulation of in vitro digestion in adults

Simulated salivary fluid (SSF), gastric fluid (SGF), and intestinal fluid (SIF) were prepared according to the INFOGEST 2.0 methodology (Brodkorb et al., 2019). All simulations were performed using a shaker TE-424 (Tecnal, Brazil) at 37 °C and 90 rpm.

The simulation of adult digestion started in the oral phase, where 0.7 g of emulsion sample A17 was mixed with 0.56 mL of SSF, 3.5 μL of 0.3 mol/L CaCl$_2$, 70 μL of amylase solution (75 U/mL), and 67 μL of water and incubated for 2 min.

Subsequently, for gastric digestion, 1.12 mL of SGF, 0.14 mL of pepsin (2000 U/mL), and 0.7 μL of 0.3 mol/L CaCl$_2$ were added to the oral digested mixtures. Then, the pH of the mixture was adjusted to 3 with HCl, and water was added to complete 2.8 mL. The gastric simulation was performed for 2 h.

Finally, intestinal digestion was performed for 2 h, and the simulated gastric digestion was mixed with 1.19 mL of SIF, 5.6 μL of 0.3 mol/L CaCl$_2$ solutions, 0.7 mL of 100 U/mL pancreatin solutions, and 0.35 mL of a solution of 10 mmol/L porcine bile. The pH was adjusted to 7.0, and water was added to obtain 5.6 mL of the total solution.

#### 2.8.2. Simulation of in vitro digestion of infants

The digestion simulation was performed using the protocol by Ménard et al. (2018), and simulated gastric fluid (SGF) and intestinal fluid (SIF) systems were formed using the INFOGEST 2.0 methodology (Brodkorb et al., 2019).

The infant digestion simulation started in the gastric phase. For that, 1.76 g of emulsion sample A17 was incubated with 0.76 mL of SGF and 0.14 mL of a pepsin solution (268 U/mL). The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 5.3 with 6 mol/L HCl, and water was added to form 2.8 mL of solution. Then, 0.66 mL of SIF, 5 μL of CaCl$_2$ (0.3 mol/L), 0.510 mL of pancreatin solution (90 U/mL), and 0.09 mL of porcine bile (3.1 mmol/L) were added to the intestinal sham, the pH was adjusted to 6.6, and water was added to form 4.52 mL of the total solution. All infant simulated digestion was performed in a shaker at 90 rpm and 37 °C for 2 h.

#### 2.8.3. In vitro release of iron

The in vitro release of iron after in vitro gastrointestinal simulation for infants and adults was determined by calculating its concentration at specific times (2, 10, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 210, and 240 min) according to the adapted ferrozine chelation methodology of Choi et al. (2009) and Prichapan et al. (2021). For that, aliquots of 0.2 mL of digested mixtures were centrifuged in a Digicen 21R centrifuge (OrtoAlresa, Spain) at 8000 g for 5 min. Afterwards, 0.1 mL was removed from the transparent layer and added to 0.4 mL of ultrapure water and 0.5 mL of 10% w/w hydroxylamine hydrochloride. This mixed solution was allowed to react for 15 min at room temperature. Then, 0.5 mL of ferrozine (9 mmol/L) was added to the solution; after 10 min, the absorbance of the solution was measured in a Biomate 3S spectrophotometer. The release was defined by Equation (5):

$$Release(\%) = 1 - \left( \frac{(A_{max} - A)}{(A_{max} - A_{min})} \right) \times 100$$

where $A_{max}$ is the absorbance in emulsions that were formed with iron at maximum concentration only in the $A_2$ phase, $A$ is the measured absorbance, and $A_{min}$ is the measured absorbance when there is no iron in the emulsion.
2.9. In vitro bioaccessibility of iron

The in vitro bioaccessibility of A17 iron content was determined according to the methodology of Ilyasoglu Buyukkestelli and El (2019). After complete digestion, all samples were centrifuged with a Digicen 21R centrifuge at 8000 g for 5 min to separate the aqueous phase and oil phase of the digested solution. Then, an aliquot of 0.5 mL of the aqueous phase was taken for absorbance reading according to the method described for the in vitro release of iron (Section 2.8.3). The bioaccessibility of iron (B*) was calculated using Equation (6):

\[
B* = \left( \frac{C_{\text{micelle}}}{C_{\text{digesta}}} \right) \times 100
\]

where \(C_{\text{digesta}}\) is the iron concentration in the digesta, and \(C_{\text{micelle}}\) is the iron concentration in the micellar fraction after every gastrointestinal simulation.

2.10. Statistical analysis

One-way analysis of variance was used to statistically analyze the results. Tukey’s test was used to verify differences between samples, which were considered significant when the p value was less than 0.05. The experiments were performed in triplicate; therefore, the results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Stability kinetics of the emulsion

Fig. 1 shows the creaming index of all double emulsions during 7 days of storage at 25 °C. Fig. 1 shows that the emulsions with the highest concentration of tara gum (A7, A8, A16, and A17) had the lowest creaming index during the evaluated seven days. There was no phase separation in samples A7, A8, A16 and A17 for five, six, seven and seven days, respectively. This behavior may be related to the action of tara gum as a thickening agent, since all of these samples presented a higher concentration of this gum. Tara gum has a high viscosity, which reduces the effects of gravitational instability, such as creaming (Oppermann et al., 2018; Saffarionpour and Diosady, 2021).

Emulsions A8 and A17 had a higher WPI concentration, so they formed more stable emulsions due to the interfacial action of the protein. Samples A16 and A17 did not show phase separation throughout the experiment, which may be related to the presence of sucrose, which is an OAS, improves the osmotic balance of the emulsion and prevents separation mechanisms such as Ostwald maturation (Kanouni et al., 2002; Dickinson, 2011; Klojdová et al., 2019).

Emulsions containing only WPI and sucrose (A1, A2, A3, A10, A11, and A12) had the highest creaming index, which indicates rapid phase separation. All samples with tara gum had lower creaming index values than those without tara gum, but all presented phase separation on the first day. Ilyasoglu Buyukkestelli and El (2019) found no changes in creaming index using sodium caseinate for three days in the same proportion of simple emulsion and external aqueous phase of the study. Simiqueli et al. (2019) did not obtain a creaming index greater than zero throughout the experiment for 31 days with emulsions refrigerated at 4 °C. Emulsions containing high concentrations of WPI and tara gum (A9 and A18) showed high creaming index rates, which can be explained by the hydrophilic characteristic of galactomannans, which at high tara gum concentrations (0.8%) may have contributed to the dehydration of proteins, favored their self-aggregation and consequently increased the creaming index. This effect was also reported by Dickinson and Golding (1997), who found that the creaming stability in O/W emulsions decayed with increasing concentration of sodium caseinate used as the emulsifier.

The study of kinetic stability shows that the tara gum concentration is an important factor for the stability of double emulsions. Since

2.5.2. Encapsulation efficiency of emulsion

Table 2 shows the encapsulation efficiency (EE) on the first day immediately after the formation of the emulsion and after 7 days of storage.
storage of the double emulsions with different concentrations of WPI and sucrose. Samples A7, A8, A16, and A17 were used to obtain the EE because they presented lower index creation after 7 days of storage. From Table 2, the emulsions with a higher concentration of WPI had a higher EE. The same behavior was presented by emulsions with higher sucrose concentrations, which also presented higher EE values than those without sucrose.

After the 7th day, all emulsions significantly lost EE, which implies a transport of iron from the internal phase of the double emulsion to the external aqueous phase. The A17 emulsion showed a higher EE in the seven days of storage than the other systems. The reason may be the transport of iron from the internal phase of the double emulsion to the sucrose concentrations, which also presented higher EE values than those without sucrose.

Table 2

| Sample | Day 0 (EE%) | Day 7 (EE%) |
|--------|-------------|-------------|
| A7     | 91.9 ± 1.21  | 66.1 ± 0.71 |
| A8     | 93.9 ± 0.56  | 67.1 ± 0.61 |
| A16    | 94.4 ± 0.90  | 66.0 ± 0.99 |
| A17    | 96.9 ± 1.00  | 70.7 ± 0.69 |

Values presented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3), values of columns followed by the same letters do not present statistically significant difference in the Tukey test for the significance level of 5%.

3.3. Particle size and zeta potential

The particle size distribution is shown from the mean size in Table 3. The values were obtained after the emulsion formation and after 7 days of storage at 25 °C. In this table, samples with higher WPI concentrations had significantly (p < 0.05) lower sizes (757.1 ± 45.7 d nm for A17 and 782.8 ± 15.5 d nm for A8) than those with lower WPI concentrations (842.9 ± 21.1 d nm for A16 and 876.7 ± 116 d nm for A7). This effect was also observed by Amine et al. (2014) in pea, potato, and casein proteins. This effect may be related to the higher protein concentration, which can bind to a greater surface area and consequently decrease the average diameter of a particle. After 7 days, there was a significant increase (p < 0.05) in mean diameter of all samples, which ranged from 845.2 ± 7.0 nm to 922.1 ± 55.5 nm and showed the beginning of the separation processes of the phases (coalescence and flocculation).

Table 3 shows the zeta potentials measured immediately after the formation of the systems and after 7 days of storage at 25 °C. It is possible to identify a high negative charge density in the systems, ranging from −44.53 ± 1.39 mV to −49.67 ± 0.15 mV on the first day. High charge densities demonstrate the high stability kinetics of the emulsion due to the repulsion among the internal emulsion droplets in the double emulsion. This phenomenon occurs because whey proteins are negatively charged, and when they bind the oil surface, they form structures that repel one another (McClements, 2004). High negative charges in double emulsions were also identified by Simiqueli et al. (2019), who found zeta potentials ranging from −20.9 mV to −50.1 mV in different samples. Prichapan et al. (2021) found a zeta potential of −46 mV when they formed double emulsions using quillaja saponin. After 7 days, the zeta potential of the samples ranged from −42.73 ± 0.86 mV to 41.3 ± 0.61 mV. This significant drop (p < 0.05) characterizes a reduction in the stability of the samples, which was also observed in the particle size distribution.

3.4. Interfacial tension

Fig. 3 shows the variation in the dynamic interfacial tension between the simple emulsion and the aqueous phases of systems A7, A8, A16, and A17 as a function of time. Table 4 presents the quantitative values of different parameters of adjustment of Equation (4) in different samples. The studied model presented a good fit to the experimental data of the evaluated samples with adjusted R² values close to 1.0, a low mean absolute deviation (AAD), a higher value of 0.53%, and a standard deviation (SD) not greater than 0.04 mN/m.

The A17 system obtained a lower interfacial tension (σ) of 3.31 ± 0.01 mN/m because of its higher protein concentration, which

Table 2

| Sample | Particle size (nm) | Zeta Potential (mV) |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
|        | Day 0             | Day 7             | Day 0             | Day 7             |
| A7     | 876.7 ± 11.6      | 922.1 ± 55.5      | −44.53 ± 1.39    | −42.73 ± 0.86     |
| A8     | 782.8 ± 15.5      | 845.2 ± 7.0       | −49.7 ± 0.35     | −42.23 ± 1.15     |
| A16    | 842.9 ± 21.1      | 891.2 ± 39.3      | −46.9 ± 0.75     | −42.8 ± 0.50      |
| A17    | 757.1 ± 45.7      | 856.8 ± 11.6      | −49.67 ± 0.15    | −41.30 ± 0.61     |

Values presented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3), values followed by the same letters do not present statistically significant difference in the Tukey test for the significance level of 5%. Lowercase letters match samples and uppercase letters match time.

![Fig. 2. Optical microscopy image of A17 system, double emulsion W/O/W composed of 12% WPI, 0.8% tara gum and 2% sucrose in the external aqueous phase.](image-url)

works by Ilyasoglu Buyukkolesti and El (2019) and Simiqueli et al. (2019).
generated a higher action at the oil-water interface and consequently a lower interfacial tension. The reason is that the protein-water and protein-oil interactions are thermodynamically more favorable, so they increase the system stability and hinder separation mechanisms such as Ostwald maturation (McClements, 2004). These results are consistent with the results of the kinetic stability of the emulsions (Section 3.1.), since the A17 emulsion did not present phase separation during 7 days of evaluation, while sample 7, which has a tension of 3.88 ± 0.01 mN/m, showed phase separation on its 5th day. Amine et al. (2014) also observed a decrease in interfacial tension with increasing concentrations of pea, potato, and sodium caseinate proteins.

Samples A8 and A17 have shorter emulsifier migration time to the interface (τ1) (48.77 ± 1.53 s and 48.91 ± 1.47 s, respectively) and shorter macromolecule reorganization time (τ2) (927.76 ± 28.14 s and 1050.11 ± 37.65 s, respectively), which can be explained by the higher protein concentrations of these systems. Mahfoudhi et al. (2014) also observed that with increasing concentrations of the emulsifier (almond gum), parameters τ1 and τ2 decreased. In another study, Donsi et al. (2012) observed that increasing the emulsifier Tween 80 at a certain concentration decreased the values of τ1 and τ2.

### 3.5. In vitro digestion of the double emulsion containing iron

Fig. 4 shows the iron release from the double emulsion (A17) during the simulation of the in vitro digestion of both adults and infants at different times. For adult digestion, 8.36% of the encapsulated iron was released in the oral phase, which may be attributed to the dilution (1:1 ratio) of the emulsion with saliva fluid.

In the gastric phase, the release increased and reached 38.56% at the end. The high release of iron in the gastric phase was attributed to the action of pepsin at a strong acid phase, which converted proteins in peptides and amino acids, affected the molecules of WPI that were linked to the interface, decreased the repulsion among the droplets of the emulsion, caused separation processes and released iron.

Finally, the intestinal phase ended with a total release of 51.47%; this release increased in the intestinal phase due to the presence of lipase, which breaks down fat molecules of the oil phase (soybean oil) into free fatty acids and monoacylglycerols to release iron (McClements, 2014). Tara gum is an indigestible polysaccharide; thus, it is not hydrolyzed by enzymes in the human body (Wüstenberg, 2014). Hosseini et al. (2019) observed a release of 55.62% of iron in emulsions after the intestinal phase.

Digestion in infants only occurs in the gastric and intestinal phases because the oral phase is not necessary, since it is a simulation of infants who cannot yet chew and directly pass all food into the esophagus. Therefore, 27.22% and 41.45% release of the encapsulated iron was observed at the end of the gastric and intestinal phases, respectively. The adult simulation showed a significantly (p < 0.05) higher iron release rate in the gastric and intestinal phases because digestion in infants is a much milder process than digestion in adults. Furthermore, pepsin and lipase activities are lower in infants, and the pH of the simulated gastric phase of the infants is also very different from that of the adult phase (5.3 and 3.0, respectively), which reduces the effectiveness of pepsin, which works better at more acidic pH values. Liu et al. (2018) also observed greater release in sham systems for adults than sham systems for infants using lactoferrin-loaded liposomes. These authors observed that after complete simulation, infant samples obtained 20.1% intact liposomes, while adult samples obtained less than 20% intact liposomes.

### 3.6. Bioaccessibility

After the in vitro stimulation of gastrointestinal digestion, the...
bioaccessibility of iron was 49.54% for adults and 39.71% for infants. Bioaccessibility indicates the amount of iron that is soluble and ready to absorb by the digestive system (Dima et al., 2020; McClements and Peng, 2020). Major factors that influence this solubility are the chemical form of iron and the presence of inhibitors (Da Silva et al., 2013; Bryszewska, 2019). Soluble iron is absorbed by the human body in the duodenum of the small intestine at neutral pH in both haem and non-haem forms, where the haem form is better absorbed. Ferrous iron is the only form of non-haem iron absorbed by the intestine and can be transformed to haem molecules, stored, or transported to sites where iron is required (Hunt, 2005; Shubham et al., 2020). Infants and adults had significantly different results (p < 0.05), and the bioaccessibility of infants was approximately 20% lower than that of adults. This difference can be explained by the pH values and enzymatic activity that affected digestion, as discussed in Section 3.5. Marques et al. (2021) also observed differences for infants and adults. The authors observed that the bioaccessibility of docosahexaenoic acid varied by 12-25% between treatments based on simulations for adults and simulations for infants, following the same methodologies of Menard et al. (2013) and INFOGF 2.0 (Brodkorb et al., 2019). In the case of bioaccessibility in adults, other studies were reported in the literature, such as that by Byrastos-Buyukkemal and El (2019), who obtained the bioaccessibility of iron ranging from 41.17% to 52.97% depending on the ratio between the simple emulsion in the double emulsion studied. Hosseini et al. (2019) reported that the bioaccessibility of iron in double emulsions was 37.28–42.94% depending on the heat treatment used.

4. Conclusions

In this study, it was possible to obtain stable double emulsions using WPI and PGPR as emulsifying agents and tara gum as a thickening agent. The presence of tara gum ensured an increase in general stability of the emulsion, which prevented gravitational separation mechanisms. Sample A17 (12% WPI, 0.8% tara gum, and 2% sucrose) formed stable double emulsions for 7 days with high iron encapsulation efficiency. The in vitro simulations of gastrointestinal digestion show that the ideal system obtained a high bioaccessibility of iron for adults and infants. This study identified that double emulsions could present themselves as stable solutions and with a high bioaccessibility for iron, even in mild digestive systems such as that of infants. These studied emulsions are presented as a potential alternative for use in iron-fortified food liquid formulations such as milk and other dairy products for both infants and adults.
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