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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Iodine, selenium and iron are micronutrients essential for thyroid hormone synthesis causing their low plasma levels an additional risk of autoimmune thyroid diseases. A Portuguese TDS pilot study representative of diets in Portugal was carried out, since foods are the main natural sources of these micronutrients. Six hundred and twenty-four samples were collected based on local markets and later analysed in pools of ten meat samples, twenty-seven fish, nine chicken eggs and six cow dairy products. The iodine and selenium contents were determined using ICP-MS after alkaline (iodine) or acid digestion (selenium) and iron by ICP-OES after acid digestion. The highest content of three oligoelements was detected in fish. Meat had lower iodine content and the dairy products lower selenium and iron levels. Sardine presented significant different levels in summer and winter for iodine, and in summer and autumn for selenium, mackerel had diverse contents of iron in summer and autumn. The contribution of salmon and milk for iodine RNI was around 40\%, for children and adults. Shrimp is also the food with more selenium, exceeding 1.5 times the % RNI for children and adults females, while iron maximum contribution was observed in meat for children and adult males.

1. Introduction

Some trace elements are essential for the performance of thyroid hormones, namely iodine, selenium and iron (Zimmermann and Köhrle, 2002).

Iodine is a substrate of thyroperoxidases (TPO) to synthetize hormones thyrotoxine (T4) and triiodothyronine (T3) as well as monoiodotyrosine (MIT) and diiodotyrosine (DIT) (Rohner et al., 2014; Zimmermann, 2012). T4 and T3 are very important for the proper functioning of the thyroid, regulating cellular metabolism and an active participation in the growth and development of organs, especially the brain (Boyages, 1993). A poor thyroid performance can lead to hypothyroidism and brain damage, resulting in mental retardation. Other impairments associated with malfunction of thyroid are the goiter and hyperthyroidism multinodular (Rohner et al., 2014).

Thyroid is the organ with the highest concentration of selenoproteins (Hu and Rayman, 2017; Köhrle, 2013). Selenium deficiency can lead to an accumulation of peroxidases and damage the thyroid (Arthur et al., 1999; Rohner et al., 2014; Zimmermann and Köhrle, 2002) and Hashimoto’s Thyroiditis (Hu and Rayman, 2017; Yao et al., 2011).

Thyroperoxidases are iron-dependent (Rohner et al., 2014). The deficiency of this element leads to a reduction of the TPO activity, increasing disease risk (Hess et al., 2002; Zimmermann et al., 2000).

Deficiencies of iodine, selenium and iron, can be avoided by eating foods containing them in an available form.

One of the best ways to scrutinise nutrient contents in foods is through the Food Composition Databases (FCDB). Usually, FCDB are well-documented with more than 1000 foods and providing values from almost 40 nutrients representing consumed foods of a country. Their updates are critical to calculate real nutrient intake, to monitor nutrient status of population (Egan et al., 2007; Ottley, 2005), to study health/disease/relationship or to develop nutrient policies, since the level of certain nutrients has small variations among countries. In this study was performed a comparison of FCDB and for that, the same or similar food item was chosen. All values were categorised according to data types: original analytical values, imputed values, calculated values,
borrowed values or presumed values (Greenfield and Southgate, 1992).

The main goal of this work is the evaluation of I, Se and Fe contents in key foods collected during the Portuguese Total Diet Study and thus, as secondary goal, to determine the percentage of the recommended nutrient intake for each trace element.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sampling plan

The sampling plan of this work is representative of the Portuguese diet, as delineated in the Total Diet Study Exposure project (Dokkova et al., 2016; Elegbede et al., 2017). A 24-h dietary recall on two non-consecutive days to collect data of food consumption was applied, covering 3529 individuals of both sexes with 18–93 years from all regions of the country (continental Portugal and islands). In accordance with the food classification system adopted by EFSA (FoodEx 2), foods were categorised into four groups of protein-rich of animal origin: fish and seafood, cow milk and milk products, chicken eggs and meat, covering the most significant food items (European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), 2015). The shopping list was created based on food consumption data to collect the most consumed brands from all the regions. Samples were purchased from Portuguese supermarkets, raw or as consumed, during 2015 and 2016. The 624 foods collected were analysed, as consumed, in 52 pooled samples, each consisting of 12 identical foods. The characterization of pools is presented in Supplementary Table 1.

2.2. Chemicals

All reagents were of high analytical grade. The ultra-pure water level I for all purposes was obtained with a Milli-Q Element system from Millipore (Millipore Corporation, Saint-Quentin, France).

2.2.1. Iodine determination

Working standard solutions of iodine were prepared from single-element high purity ICP stock standard containing 1000 mg/L of iodine (Inorganic Ventures, Christiansburg, Virginia). Internal standard solutions of rhodium (10 mg/L) and tellurium solution (1000 mg/L) were purchased from Inorganic Ventures (Christiansburg, Virginia) and Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), respectively. Tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) (25% v/v) was from Fluka, Honeywell (Bucharest, Romania). Working standard solutions and blanks were prepared in a 0.5% (v/v) TMAH solution. Pancreatin from porcine pancreas was obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany). A solution with Triton® (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and ammonium hydroxide 30% (Avantor Performance Materials, Netherlands) was used to wash up the ICP-MS sample introduction system.

2.2.2. Selenium and iron determination

Nitric acid (65%) was distilled to ultrapure grade in an acid distillation system (Milestone SubPUR). Working standard, samples and blank solutions were prepared with a 2% (v/v) nitric acid solution, while selenium and iron from single-element high purity ICP stock standard containing 1000 mg/L of selenium and 1000 mg/L of iron, respectively (SCP Science, Marktoberdorf, Germany). Internal standards of germanium, indium and yttrium solutions (1000 mg/L) were from Inorganic Ventures (Christiansburg, Virginia). The ICP-MS and the ICP-OES sample introduction system were washed up with a 2% (v/v) nitric acid solution.

2.3. Sample preparation

2.3.1. Iodine analysis

The iodine content was determined with reference to EN 15111:2007 (European Committee for Standardization, 2007). A 48-well heating block (DigiPREP, SCP Science, Courtaboeuf, France) was used for sample extraction in alkaline media. 0.5–1 g samples that were weighed into 50 mL tubes and the extraction of iodine was performed by a graphite block system during 3 h at 90 °C with TMAH solution. After extraction, all samples were centrifuged and filtered through 0.45 µm filters. Some samples were pre-treated with a pancreatic solution overnight at 37 °C before TMAH extraction to eliminate starch in its constitution.

2.3.2. Selenium and iron analysis

The selenium and iron content were determined according to EN 15763:2009 and AOAC 984.27, respectively (AOAC, 2002; European Committee for Standardization, 2009). Samples were digested in a closed-vessel microwave digestion system (Milestone ETHOS 1 Series). Samples were weighed and digested in Teflon vessels with a mixture of concentrated nitric acid, hydrogen peroxide and deionised water. Subsequently, the vessels were closed and introduced into a microwave oven. The program of microwave was established and optimised (Nascimento et al., 2014). After cooled to room temperature, digested samples were diluted with deionised water.

2.4. Instrumentation

Iodine and selenium were determined by an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS), Thermo X series II, equipped with autosampler Cetac ASX-520, 1 mL min⁻¹ concentric glass nebuliser, air cooled quartz spray chamber, quartz 1.5 mm injector and nickel sample and skimmer cones. Operating conditions for ICP-MS were optimised as follows: Extraction: -220.0, Focus: 12.0 Pole Bias: -0.4, Hexapole Bias: -2.0, Nebuliser flow rate: 0.96 L min⁻¹, Forward Power: 1400 W, Cool gas flow rate: 13.9 L min⁻¹, Auxiliary gas flow rate: 0.95 L min⁻¹, Sampling Depth: 115, Standard Resolution: 132, High Resolution: 132, Analogue Detector: 1960, PC Detector: 3720. Measurements were performed using software Xseries PlasmaLab 2.5.

Iron was determined by an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES), Thermo iCAP 6000 series, with radial and axial configuration. Instrumental settings of ICP-OES were specified in (Nascimento et al., 2014).

2.5. Quality control

The results reported in Table 1 were obtained in triplicate analytical samples under conditions of quality assurance supported by the requirements described in NP EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 (European Committee for Standardization, 2005).

Precision and accuracy, limit of quantification (LoQ), selectivity, and an effective internal and external quality control program [Certified Reference Materials (CRM), spiked samples with chemical standards and participation in adequate Proficiency Testing (PT) Schemes] were carried out to ensure the analytical quality. Iodine, selenium and iron were quantified with a calibration curve for each and the correlation coefficient was ≥ 0.9995. For each element, an internal quality control was used, and the acceptance criterion was 10%. Matrix effects were monitored by adding an internal standard, and the results are discarded if the internal standard wasn’t between 70% and 130%, for iodine, and 80% and 120%, for selenium and iron, respectively.

2.6. Recommended nutrient intake

The contribution for recommended nutrient intake (RNI) for iodine, selenium and iron for all age groups (WHO/FAO, 2004) were calculated taking into account the consumption data of each food group of the Portuguese population (Dokkova et al., 2016). The RNI of iron varies with a dietary bioavailability of this nutrient, thus, for calculations values corresponding to a dietary iron bioavailability of 15%, were used, since the analysed samples are all protein-rich of animal origin.
sented in Table 2. The RNI for iodine, selenium and iron were pre-

3. Results and discussion

3.2. Nutrients contents of Portuguese food

3.2.1. Iodine content

Iodine content varies a lot, from < 2.03 μg/100 g in group of meat to 163 μg/100 g in fish group (Table 3) with the left-censored data of 8% (4 out of 52). Its high content is in bivalves and molluscs with 157 ± 6 μg/100 g and octopus are the lowest with 13.1 ± 0.5 μg/100 g. In subgroup of lean fish highest iodine content was for the Atlantic cod, 138 ± 1 μg/100 g and the lowest for the Atlantic salmon (12.3 ± 0.6 μg/100 g). Concerning the fat fish, the highest content was for the mackerel, 40.6 ± 1.1 μg/100 g. Other authors indicate fish group with the highest iodine content, as a relevant contributor to the intake of this nutrient (Haldimann et al., 2005; Leufroy et al., 2015; Rose et al., 2001). The results of this work are in good agreement with previously published data (Haldimann et al., 2005; Leufroy et al., 2015; Rose et al., 2001), namely, with regard to seafood as the subgroup with the highest concentration of iodine. On the other hand, Nile perch presented lower values with 13.1 ± 0.3 μg/100 g, since it is a freshwater fish, thus with less iodine available than seawater fish (Haldimann et al., 2005).

In dairy products the amounts of iodine are not so high than in the fish group. Flavoured milks are the food with more concentration of iodine, 19.9 ± 0.3 μg/100 g, while Acidophillus yoghurt with 17.2 ± 0.2 μg/100 g was the food with the lowest content in this group. Some authors have also reported identical values of dairy products from other European countries (studies without data of Portugal) (Cressey, 2003; van der Reijden et al., 2017).

The meat group had the lowest concentration of iodine among the groups studied without significant differences. The highest value within this group was found in the cold meat, a pool of various Portuguese dry sausages (13.4 ± 1.1 μg/100 g), probably, from added additives, for example iodinated salt. Rabbit meat is the white meat with higher content, 7.83 ± 0.39 μg/100 g; this high value can be related to the metabolism of an animal of fast mobility, since the thyroid is associated with energy requirements (Schoenmakers et al., 1992). As regards to the red meat, the higher iodine content was found in sheep meat, 7.48 ± 0.39 μg/100 g. Values below the limit of quantification (2.03 μg/100 g) were found in all the other samples of this sub-group (bovine, calf and pork). In the literature, similar results were published, for example, meats from Switzerland the iodine content are in the range 3.40 ± 1.40 to 33.5 ± 39.5 μg/100 g; game and processed meat, respectively (Haldimann et al., 2005). Other authors have reported for meat from Norway, as a food group with the lowest iodine content (Dahl et al., 2004).

### Table 2

Recommended nutrient intake for iodine, selenium and iron per age and sex group (World Health Organisation and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2004).

| Age Group | Nutrient | Males | Females |
|-----------|----------|-------|---------|
| 10-18 years | Iodine (μg/day) | 120 | 120 |
| | Selenium (pg/ day) | 32 | 26 |
| | Iron (mg/ day) | 11.1 | 21.3 |
| 19-65 years | Iodine (μg/day) | 150 | 150 |
| | Selenium (pg/ day) | 34 | 26 |
| | Iron (mg/ day) | 9.1 | 19.6 |

WHO/FAO (2004). The RNI for iodine, selenium and iron were presented in Table 2.

3.2.2. Selenium content

Selenium contents vary from 1.66 μg/100 g in dairy products to 138 μg/100 g in fish group (Table 3). In these food groups under this study all samples were quantified, so there is not left-censored data for selenium (Table 3).

Of all the foods analysed in this study, the highest concentration of selenium was for the European sardine with 132 ± 5 μg/100 g. The European conger was the lean fish that presented the highest content of this micronutrient, 81.6 ± 1.5 μg/100 g, and the shrimp with 69.4 ± 3.9 μg/100 g was the seafood with higher content of selenium. Studies in France show that, as this study, the fish and seafood is the group with the highest content of selenium, with levels close to Portuguese fish sample analysed (Bourre and Paquotte, 2008; Leblanc et al., 2005; Noël et al., 2012). In an extended Brazilian study, where fruits were also included, higher concentration of selenium was for Brazilian nut, followed by the fish group with close values of the Portuguese (dos Santos et al., 2017).

In the Portuguese foods of the meat group is the white meat that presented the highest content in selenium, more specifically chicken meat, 37.5 ± 3.7 μg/100 g. Pork is the red meat with a higher concentration of selenium, 21.7 ± 0.7 μg/100 g. Many authors only give importance to mammals in relation to the functions of selenium on terrestrial organisms (Lobanov et al., 2007). However, selenium may have unknown functions in the metabolism of poultry which may justify high selenium concentration in these animals (Sunde et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2017). Other explanation for these values is the different feedstuffs contents. In the literature the selenium values for the meat group are very variable, from 25 to 106 μg/100 g (dos Santos et al., 2017; Hoffman-Pennesi et al., 2015), and between 4.2 and 33 μg/100 g

### Table 1

Results of quality control for iodine, selenium and iron determination.

| Matrix | SRM/CRM/ QCM | Certified/Indicative value | Observed Value | Z-score |
|--------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Iodine (μg/kg) | | | | |
| Infant Formula | FAPAS | 1065 | 1064 | 0.00 |
| Ready-made dish with meat | BIFEA-3-4432-0007 | 926 | 877 | −0.48 |
| Fish | Spike | 1283 | 1272 | 0.04 |
| Egg | Spike | 854 | 952 | −0.58 |
| Selenium (μg/kg) | | | | |
| Infant Formula | FAPAS | 158 | 139 | −0.60 |
| Ready-made dish with meat | BIFEA-3-4432-0007 | 1128 | 1034 | −1.22 |
| Egg | Spike | 1430 | 1410 | 0.07 |
| Fish | Spike | 1931 | 1818 | 0.29 |
| Iron (mg/l) | | | | |
| Canned meat | Spike | 14.00 | 14.94 | −0.34 |
| Infant/adult Formula | Not 1849 | 17.71 | 17.45 | −0.20 |

SRM – Standard Reference Material; CRM - Certified Reference Material; QCM – Quality Control Material.
Table 3
Iodine, selenium and iron contents in samples of Portuguese foods.

| Food Group  | Number of collected samples | Number of analysed samples | Iodine content (μg/100 g fresh weight) | Selenium content (μg/100 g fresh weight) | Iron content (mg/100 g fresh weight) |
|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|             | Number of left-censored data | Mean ± SD | P95 | Range | Number of left-censored data | Mean ± SD | P95 | Range | Number of left-censored data | Mean ± SD | P95 | Range |
| Meat        | 120                        | 10           | 4   | 5.15 ± 4.92 | 14.0 | < 2.03 – 14.3 | 0 | 23.5 ± 9.1 | 36.1 | 10.6 – 40.8 | 0 | 1.21 ± 0.38 | 1.80 | 0.54 – 1.83 |
| Red meat    | 48                         | 4            | 3   | 2.67 ± 2.97 | 7.58 | < 2.03 – 7.93 | 0 | 15.5 ± 4.0 | 22.1 | 10.6 – 22.2 | 0 | 1.44 ± 0.32 | 1.82 | 0.97 – 1.83 |
| White meat  | 36                         | 3            | 1   | 3.56 ± 3.33 | 8.06 | < 2.03 – 8.22 | 0 | 32.4 ± 4.4 | 39.8 | 28.0 – 40.8 | 0 | 0.96 ± 0.17 | 1.21 | 0.77 – 1.22 |
| Cold meat   | 36                         | 3            | 0   | 10.0 ± 5.1  | 14.3 | 3.29 – 14.3   | 0 | 25.1 ± 8.6 | 33.5 | 13.7 – 33.5 | 0 | 1.14 ± 0.45 | 1.58 | 0.54–1.59 |
| Fish        | 324                        | 27           | 0   | 37.5 ± 39.3 | 138 | 5.74 – 163    | 0 | 64.5 ± 28.0 | 118 | 13.8 – 138  | 9 | 1.15 ± 2.07 | 2.51 | < 0.73–11.0 |
| Lean fish   | 120                        | 10           | 0   | 46.2 ± 45.6 | 137 | 12.8 – 138    | 0 | 55.1 ± 20.6 | 83.2 | 21.3 – 83.8 | 7 | 0.22 ± 0.34 | 0.94 | < 0.73–1.02 |
| Fat fish    | 144                        | 12           | 0   | 23.4 ± 7.1  | 39.8 | 11.9 – 41.5   | 0 | 80.7 ± 27.2 | 129 | 41.4 – 138  | 1 | 1.25 ± 0.61 | 2.30 | < 0.73–2.36 |
| Seafood     | 60                         | 5            | 0   | 54.0 ± 58.4 | 157 | 5.74 – 163    | 0 | 44.3 ± 21.0 | 71.4 | 13.8 – 72.3 | 1 | 2.80 ± 4.11 | 11.0 | < 0.36–11.0 |
| Eggs - Whole| 108                        | 9            | 0   | 46.1 ± 27.3 | 115 | 19.8 – 116    | 0 | 72.6 ± 24.9 | 106 | 32.4 – 108  | 0 | 1.63 ± 0.00 | 1.63 | 1.63–1.63 |
| Dairy products | 72                      | 6            | 0   | 22.1 ± 8.3  | 40.3 | 15.0 – 41.1   | 0 | 5.55 ± 5.12 | 15.9 | 1.66 – 16.0 | 2 | 0.16 ± 0.21 | 0.44 | < 0.06–0.44 |
| Milk        | 36                         | 3            | 0   | 19.5 ± 3.1  | 22.7 | 15.0 – 22.7   | 0 | 4.47 ± 2.57 | 7.97 | 1.66 – 8.42 | 1 | 0.23 ± 0.23 | 0.44 | < 0.06–0.44 |
| Cheese      | 12                         | 1            | 0   | 39.4 ± 2.0"| 41.0 | 37.2 – 41.1   | 0 | 15.7 ± 0.4"| 16.0 | 15.3 – 16.0 | 1 | 0.023 ± 0.012"| 0.032 | < 0.06 |
| Yoghurt and quark | 24                   | 2            | 0   | 17.5 ± 0.4  | 18.0 | 17.0 – 18.0   | 0 | 2.11 ± 0.31 | 2.48 | 1.79 – 2.51 | not determined |

" In these cases, calculations were performed with one sample analysed in triplicate.
One of the reasons for this variation is that the feeding of animals from different countries is diverse concerning selenium contents (dos Santos et al., 2017).

The food group with the lowest selenium content was dairy products, with highest level for cheeses in this group, 15.7 ± 0.4 μg/100 g. This is in agreement with the literature, although the selenium values for this group varies from a similar value up to more than 4 times (15 to 60 μg/100 g) (Leblanc et al., 2005; Noël et al., 2012).

3.2.3. Iron content
Iron contents vary from less than 0.06 mg/100 g in group of dairy products to 11.0 mg/100 g in fish group (Table 3) with left-censored data of 21% (11 out of 52).

The highest content of iron was found in the group of seafood, bivalve molluscs (10.5 ± 0.9 mg/100 g), but for shrimp contained much lower (0.494 ± 0.005 mg/100 g) value. Regarding the fat fish, canned sardines had highest iron concentration, 2.32 ± 0.07 mg/100 g and 0.967 ± 0.057 mg/100 g horse mackerel, the lean fish with the highest content of this oligoelement. A study from China reported that shellfish was the aquatic food with highest iron content (2.50 mg/100 g) (Jiang et al., 2015). However, this value is substantially lower than is reported in current study. These differences can result from contents in oceans as well as the feeding supplementation with iron. Iron in the oceans can be affected by, for example, the dust of the deserts. Hence, comparing the Atlantic Ocean and the Pacific Ocean, the latter has less iron because it has a higher extension and the dust takes longer to be deposited (Mahowald et al., 2005).

The second group that contributes to higher iron intake is the meat group. Beef, iron red meat, has a higher content, 1.81 ± 0.02 mg/100 g, since myoglobin is more abundant in this type of animals (Buzala et al., 2016; Moshe et al., 2013). The white meat with the highest concentration of iron is rabbit meat with 1.16 ± 0.09 mg/100 g and the lower in chicken (0.785 ± 0.018 mg/100 g). Frankfurter type sausages are the food belonging to the cold meat with the highest iron concentration, 2.32 ± 0.07 mg/100 g and 0.967 ± 0.057 mg/100 g horse mackerel, the lean fish with the highest content of this oligoelement. A study from China reported that shellfish was the aquatic food with highest iron content (2.50 mg/100 g) (Jiang et al., 2015). However, this value is substantially lower than is reported in current study. These differences can result from contents in oceans as well as the feeding supplementation with iron. Iron in the oceans can be affected by, for example, the dust of the deserts. Hence, comparing the Atlantic Ocean and the Pacific Ocean, the latter has less iron because it has a higher extension and the dust takes longer to be deposited (Mahowald et al., 2005).

The highest content of iron was found in the group of seafood, bivalve molluscs (10.5 ± 0.9 mg/100 g), but for shrimp contained much lower (0.494 ± 0.005 mg/100 g) value. Regarding the fat fish, canned sardines had highest iron concentration, 2.32 ± 0.07 mg/100 g and 0.967 ± 0.057 mg/100 g horse mackerel, the lean fish with the highest content of this oligoelement. A study from China reported that shellfish was the aquatic food with highest iron content (2.50 mg/100 g) (Jiang et al., 2015). However, this value is substantially lower than is reported in current study. These differences can result from contents in oceans as well as the feeding supplementation with iron. Iron in the oceans can be affected by, for example, the dust of the deserts. Hence, comparing the Atlantic Ocean and the Pacific Ocean, the latter has less iron because it has a higher extension and the dust takes longer to be deposited (Mahowald et al., 2005).

Table 4
Seasonal variability of the iodine, selenium and iron contents in fish.

|          | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mackerel |           |           |           |
| Spring   | 27.2 ± 1.1| 98.8 ± 2.3| 1.03 ± 0.01|
| Summer   | 40.6 ± 1.1| 117.0 ± 2.3| 1.13 ± 0.04|
| Autumn   | 30.8 ± 1.1| 83.0 ± 0.64| 1.15 ± 0.03|
| Winter   | 24.1 ± 0.9| 62.0 ± 1.1| n.d.      |
| European Sardine | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |
| Spring   | 17.4 ± 0.4| 132 ± 5   | 1.48 ± 0.02|
| Summer   | 22.4 ± 0.3| 61.0 ± 5.6| 1.69 ± 0.09|
| Autumn   | 23.7 ± 0.8| 64.2 ± 3.5| 1.84 ± 0.04|
| Winter   | 22.0 ± 1.0| 83.3 ± 4.0| n.d.      |

feeding of each fish as well as its level of growth or metabolic requirements (Julscham et al., 2006; Karl et al., 2001; Pehrsson et al., 2016), plausible explanations for the differences among seasons.

3.3.2. Selenium
The season with highest and the lowest content of selenium in mackerel, was the same for iodine, summer and winter, respectively, without significant differences at 95% confidence interval. Highest and lowest concentration of selenium for sardines were in spring and summer, respectively. Additionally, significant differences between summer and autumn were found. Similar results were previously published (Burger and Gochfeld, 2011; Saha et al., 2016). Summer or spring higher accumulation of selenium in fish may be from phytoplankton proliferation during this period. The decomposition of this biological species leads to an increase of selenium in the waters and consequent transfer to fish (Saha et al., 2016).

3.3.3. Iron
In relation to the iron content in mackerel autumn presented a higher concentration and the lower in spring, as well as significant differences between summer and autumn. In sardines, for iron content the seasons with higher and lower contents had the same pattern of iodine, without significant differences among seasons with a 95% confidence interval, as was published before (Dural et al., 2007; Ongeri et al., 2012), although with higher content in the summer (Mendil et al., 2010). Leaching of soils in rainy seasons and consequent dragging of ions to the oceans could be the case (Dural et al., 2007).

3.4. Food composition databases
In order to demonstrate the importance of updates in the food composition tables for each country, Table 5 compares the iodine, selenium and iron contents of this study with several food composition tables (ANSES, 2018; Food Standards Australia New Zealand, 2018; FRI, 2016; IFR, 2018; National Institute for Health and Welfare, 2018; RIVM, 2018). The Portuguese Food Database has data only for iron content, but not for iodine and selenium. So, this study can lead to an update of the Portuguese FCDDB concerning new oligoelements, as well as to improve health standards based on new information now available.

3.4.1. Iodine
Iodine content in foods of different food composition databases (FCDBs) have higher values in the fish and seafood, as expected, based on Finnish and Australian databases, although with highest values. Both countries have implemented a salt iodization program as well as fortification of cows feeding (Ershow et al., 2018; Nyström et al., 2016), a plausible explanation. The fish group have the biggest differences among databases, although in the meat group we highlight Finland case with a much higher value, probably as consequence of iodine spreading to hydrosphere after salt iodisation program and fortification cows feeding. Regarding eggs, the variation is not so great and in milk the
variation is practically insignificant. The iodine values found in this study are always among the three lowest values. This leads us once again to conclude that Portugal should implement programs to increase the iodine intake in the diet, taking into account the metabolic importance of this nutrient for humans.

3.4.2. Selenium

The differences in the food composition databases for selenium contents are not as high for iodine. The results obtained in this article are higher than those from databases except for beef and milk. However, for these two cases this study shows the second highest value among the four databases. Comparing databases it is verified that the same food has not the highest selenium levels in all countries. For example, in Finland and Australia the highest food content is for eggs, while in all other countries it is either salmon or shrimp. In the literature some geographical factors are pointed out as being responsible for the selenium variation in soils (dos Santos et al., 2017; Foster and Sumar, 1997; Hoffman-Pennesi et al., 2015). These variations may cause impoverishment of selenium in food.

3.4.3. Iron

In the case of iron contents there are no differences in the food composition databases analysed. However, in all the databases there is no food with a higher content. There are differences concerning iron content, for example, in case of beef iron concentration varies from 0.96 mg/100 g in Australia to 4.2 mg/100 g in Finland (Food Standards Australia New Zealand, 2018; National Institute for Health and Welfare, 2018). It is also possible to identify that herein the fish group and milk belong to the group with the lowest levels, while in the remaining foods the values are close of the average for all databases.

3.5. Recommended nutrient intake

The % RNI for iodine, selenium and iron of one or two foods were chosen from each of the four groups studied, corresponding to a total of six selected foods (salmon, shrimp, bovine, chicken, milk and egg), are shown in Fig. 1. The food that contributes most to the RNI of iodine and selenium is the shrimp, while for iodine 32.3% for female children and 38.4% for adult males. In the case of selenium, % RNI is much higher, ranging from 131% for children (males) to 178% for women adult. In the case of iron all foods studied have a contribution to RNI lower than 10%, with a exception of bovine in a range from 6.06% (children female) to 21.1% (men). Egg has the lowest RNI for iron and selenium, with 7.71% for selenium and 1.57% for iron. The % RNI for iodine was egg below than 1.14%. It was reported that fish was the food group with the highest content of iodine and selenium (Leblanc et al., 2005; Leufroy et al., 2015; Noël et al., 2012). Other useful source of iodine was dairy products and eggs. However, eggs contribute less to the intake of selenium (Leblanc et al., 2005; Leufroy et al., 2015; Noël et al., 2012). In the case of iron fish was a bigger contributor to iron intake (Jiang et al., 2015), however other authors report that sweetened foods contain a higher concentration of this micronutrient (Millour et al., 2012).

All of these contributions could be lower taking into account the bioavailability of each nutrient typically is not 100%. For example, iron just a low percentage is assimilated by organism (WHO/FAO, 2004) depending of its biological form.

4. Conclusions

The present study was designed to provide combined information on contents of iodine, selenium and iron in representative key foods of Portuguese diet. Fish and milk are the major sources of iodine. The selenium intake in shrimp is well over the % RNI. Although bovine have the highest iron content, however, their contribution to the iron RNI is low. Further studies are necessary to characterise iodine, selenium and iron intake by target groups, for example pregnant woman to define the adequate intake and prevent thyroid diseases.
Additionally, other sources of food, as vegetables and fruits, can supply significantly some of these oligoelements.
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