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Abstract

Using the framework of Nambu’s generalised mechanics, we obtain a new description of constrained Hamiltonian dynamics, involving the introduction of another degree of freedom in phase space, and the necessity of defining the action integral on a world sheet. We also discuss the problem of quantising Nambu mechanics.
I. INTRODUCTION

In 1973, Nambu introduced a dynamics similar to the classical Hamiltonian formalism, but generalised to a phase space of three dimensions [1]. Many authors have since investigated its application, and connection to standard mechanics [2]. Recently, Takhtajan [3] has generalised the concept to higher dimensional phase spaces, and obtained algebraic criteria for this form of dynamics, as well as a Principle of Least Action to give the equations of motion.

In this letter we aim to show how Hamiltonian dynamics with constraints can be written in terms of Nambu mechanics. In this formalism, it is possible to describe systems in which the constraints are incompatible with the Hamiltonian. In Section I we introduce the principles of Nambu mechanics, and we develop our application in Section II. In Section III we look at the problem of quantising Nambu mechanics, and consider the properties that the hypothetical algebra of operators must satisfy.

II. FUNDAMENTALS OF NAMBU MECHANICS

Nambu mechanics [1] on the three dimensional phase space $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{(x, y, z)\}$ is described by the pair of hamiltonian functions $H_1$ and $H_2$, with the motion of any function $F(x, y, z)$ given not by a Poisson bracket, but instead by the ternary Nambu bracket,

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = (F, H_1, H_2) = \frac{\partial(F, H_1, H_2)}{\partial(x, y, z)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The Nambu bracket is a trilinear map with the following properties:

1. it is antisymmetric under exchange of any of its arguments

$$(A, B, C) = -(B, A, C) = -(A, C, B) = -(C, B, A),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

2. it is a derivation (Leibniz rule)

$$(A, B, CD) = C(A, B, D) + (A, B, C)D.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
3. it satisfies Takhtajan’s Fundamental Identity \[3\] (analogous to the Jacobi identity for Poisson brackets)

\[
((A, B, C), D, E) + (C, (A, B, D), E) + (C, D, (A, B, E)) = (A, B, (C, D, E)). \tag{4}
\]

The velocity vector of the flow \(g^t\) in phase space is \(\ast(dH_1 \wedge dH_2) = \vec{\nabla}H_1 \times \vec{\nabla}H_2\), which means that the Nambu bracket can be written as

\[
(F, H_1, H_2)(x) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} F(g^t x) = dF(\ast(dH_1 \wedge dH_2)). \tag{5}
\]

The velocity vector is chosen to give the relation \((dH_1 \wedge dH_2)(\vec{\xi}_1, \vec{\xi}_2) = \omega^3(\vec{\nabla}H_1 \times \vec{\nabla}H_2, \vec{\xi}_1, \vec{\xi}_2)\), so that \(\omega^3 \equiv dx \wedge dy \wedge dz\) is an absolute integral invariant of the phase flow, ensuring Liouville’s theorem, the invariance of phase space volume under canonical transformations.

Takhtajan \[3\] has shown that the 2-form \(\omega^2 = xdy \wedge dz - H_1 dH_2 \wedge dt\) plays the role of a generalized Poincaré-Cartan integral invariant, from which can be constructed a Principle of Least Action, in which the action, defined as the integral of \(\omega^2\) over a 2-chain, i.e. the world sheet of a closed string, is extremal for a flow \(g^t\) satisfying the Nambu equations of motion.

If we use a parametrisation which introduces a string variable \(s\), \(0 \leq s \leq 1\), and a notation in which a dot represents the time derivative, and a prime represents differentiation with respect to \(s\), then the action can be written:

\[
I = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int_0^1 \left[ x(y' \dot{z} - \dot{y}z') - H_1 \left( \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial x} x' + \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial y} y' + \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial z} z' \right) \right] ds \, dt. \tag{6}
\]

**III. CONSTRAINED DYNAMICS IN NAMBU FORM**

To start with, we consider a two-dimensional phase space with Hamiltonian \(H(q, p)\), and one constraint, \(\phi(q, p) = 0\). Then the equations of motion are

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} + \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial p}; \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} - \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q}, \tag{7}
\]

where \(\lambda\) is the Lagrange multiplier. Solving these equations is a matter of finding the value for \(\lambda\) for which the constraint is independent of time, i.e. \(d\phi/dt = 0\).
To write this in a Nambu form, we need to introduce another phase space variable, \( r \). The two hamiltonians are then
\[
H_1(q, p, r) = H(q, p) - r; \quad H_2(q, p, r) = r + \lambda \phi(q, p).
\]
(8)

If we define the Nambu bracket as
\[
(F, H_1, H_2) = \frac{\partial (F, H_1, H_2)}{\partial (q, p, r)},
\]
(9)
we obtain the same equations of motion for \( q \) and \( p \), Eq. (7), together with
\[
\frac{dr}{dt} = \lambda \left( \frac{\partial H \partial \phi}{\partial q \partial p} - \frac{\partial H \partial \phi}{\partial p \partial q} \right) = -\lambda \frac{d\phi}{dt}.
\]
(10)

The variable \( r \) is an extra degree of freedom which we introduced artificially in order to construct our Nambu formalism, so ideally we would like it to decouple from the dynamics, i.e. we want \( dr/dt = 0 \). This requires either \( \lambda = 0 \), i.e. the constraint is removed from the system, which will certainly give a plausible result but not the one we were looking for, or instead \( d\phi/dt = 0 \), which is what we wanted.

We can extend this construction to a higher dimensional phase space, \( \{(q_i, p_i)\} \) \((i, j = 1, \ldots, n)\), with \( m \) constraints \( \phi_k(q_i, p_i) = 0 \) \((k = 1, \ldots, m)\), by Nambu mechanics with hamiltonian functions
\[
H_1(q_i, p_i, r) = H(q_i, p_i) - r; \quad H_2(q_i, p_i, r) = r + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \phi_k(q_i, p_i).
\]
(11)
The Nambu bracket is given by
\[
(F, H_1, H_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial (F, H_1, H_2)}{\partial (q_i, p_i, r)}.
\]
(12)
Once again, we try to decouple the extra degree of freedom by solving for \( dr/dt = 0 \), without putting the Lagrange multipliers equal to zero.

It is here that we find a use for this formalism. In most dynamical systems considered in physics the constraints are consistent with the Hamiltonian, and solving for the Lagrange multipliers is possible. This is not always the case however, and standard Hamiltonian
mechanics cannot cope with such systems. In the Nambu formalism, though, we can see
that this corresponds to a situation in which it is not possible to decouple the extra degree
of freedom. Thus the Nambu formalism combines the influence of both the Hamiltonian
$H(q_i, p_i)$ and the constraints, at the price of introducing an extra variable to phase space,
and having to define the action on a world sheet instead of a world line.

An example of such a case is that of the octonionic field theory with electromagnetic
duality that we have considered in an earlier paper [4]. This theory allows for both electric
and magnetic charges by using a nonassociative octonionic field, but the nonassociativity
prevents us from solving the constraint equations.

IV. ALGEBRAIC REQUIREMENTS FOR QUANTISATION

In quantising standard Hamiltonian mechanics, the Poisson bracket between observables
is replaced by the algebraically equivalent Lie bracket or commutator between operators.
For Nambu mechanics then, we require an algebra which possesses a ternary product satisf-
ifying the conditions 1–3 in Section I. Nambu has already shown that this cannot be done
completely with an associative algebra, so we look towards nonassociative structures [5].

Alternative algebras, such as the octonions, for which $x(xy) = x^2y$ and $(yx)x = yx^2$,
possess derivations, necessary to satisfy condition 2, of the form

$$D_{a,b}x = (ax)b - a(xb) + b(ax) - a(bx) + (xa)b - (xb)a,$$

where $a, b, x$ are all elements of the alternative algebra. This derivation is symmetric in $a$
and $b$, but not for an exchange of either with the argument $x$. The same applies for the
derivations of the Jordan algebras, which are commutative but not associative, and their
elements satisfy the property $(xy)x^2 = x(yx^2)$. The derivations of such algebras are of the
form

$$D_{a,b}x = (ax)b - a(xb).$$
There are few other nonassociative algebras that have been studied in much detail, and none have yet been found to possess the necessary properties.

The difficulty can perhaps be seen if we consider that a Poisson bracket \((A, B)\) is a linear transformation \(L_B A\), the Lie derivative of \(A\) in the direction of the phase velocity defined by the hamiltonian function \(B\). These Lie derivatives then, naturally enough, make up a Lie algebra. The Nambu bracket \((A, B, C)\) can be considered as a bilinear transformation on \((A, B)\), but it is not possible to make an algebra out of those transformations. Alternatively we could define the Nambu bracket as a Lie derivative of \(A\) in the direction \(\vec{\nabla} B \times \vec{\nabla} C\), but then it is not possible to have separate elements of the algebra for each of \(B\) and \(C\), which would be necessary if we were to treat them as separate operators in a quantum theory.

It is still possible though that there is a nonassociative algebra satisfying the necessary criteria, enabling a quantum Nambu mechanics on the same footing as the quantum Hamiltonian formalism.
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