Background

Mulberry (genus Morus) is an economically important plant used for sericulture, as it is the sole food plant for the domesticated silkworm, Bombyx mori. The genus Morus, which is widely distributed in Asia, Europe, North and South America, and Africa, is cultivated extensively in East, Central and South Asia for silk production. A few species of mulberry are also valued for their edible fruit (M. alba, M. indica and M. laevigata), timber (M. laevigata and M. serrata). Whereas it has been widely believed that mulberry species originated on the low slopes of the Himalayas bordering China and India, the study of Hou...
suggests a multicentered origin [1]. Since the classification of the genus *Morus* is mainly based on morphological characteristics, considerable differences exist among systematists as to the number of species that exist in this genus [2-7]. So far, more than 150 species of mulberry have been cited in the *Index Kewensis*, but a majority of them have been treated either as synonyms or as varieties rather than species, and some have been transferred to allied genera. A study carried out by Koidzumi in 1917 [3] recognised 24 species and one variety under the genus *Morus* based on the style length in female flowers and the nature of the stigma in male flowers. In contrast, more than 60 years later by analyzing the electrophoretic patterns of seven enzymes and sap proteins in 131 varieties of three mulberry species, *M. bombycis*, *M. alba*, and *M. latifolia* Hirano categorised them into seven varietal groups, and affinities among them [8]. Because of environmental influence, phenotypic traits in many cases fail to serve as unambiguous markers for systematics and diversity analysis [9]. Moreover, most of the putative mulberry species are dioecious and can cross-pollinate among themselves to produce fertile hybrids, suggesting that they have relatively close genetic relationships. Such a high degree of cross-species reproductive success is not encountered often in nature, and has thus created considerable doubt with regard to the species status of mulberry.

Molecular markers successfully developed during the last two decades have largely overcome the problems that are associated with phenotype-based classification. Initially, isozymes [10-12] and Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms (RFLPs) [13-17] served as reliable markers for genetic analyses in plants. But PCR based techniques developed in recent years such as Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPDs) [18,19], Inter Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSR) [20], Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphisms (AFLPs) [21], and Simple Sequence Repeats (SSRs) [22], also called microsatellites, provide DNA markers that are dispersed throughout plant genomes [23] and are easier to reproduce and analyse. High levels of polymorphism and their co-dominant nature have made SSRs ideal markers for studying genetic diversity in plants [24-26]. However, the time and cost of identifying SSR motifs and designing primers for regions flanking SSRs have restricted the widespread use of microsatellites in plants [27,28]. ISSR markers, which show dominant inheritance, use SSR repeat-anchored primers and are being used as an alternate tool in diversity studies. ISSR markers are useful in detecting genetic polymorphisms among accessions by generating a large number of markers that target multiple microsatellite loci distributed across the genome. Further, they are simpler to use than the SSR technique as prior knowledge of the target sequences flanking the repeat regions is not required [20,29-31].

So far only a few attempts have been made to characterise the genetic diversity in mulberry by using molecular markers. These include AFLP based marker analysis [32], RAPD and DAMD profiles [33], ISSR based analysis [34], and genetic polymorphism estimation in mulberry hybrids using RAPDs [35]. In the current study we report the use of RAPD and ISSR markers for assessing the genetic diversity and relationships among 12 cultivated and 3 wild mulberry species.

**Results**

**RAPD analysis**

A total of 19 decamer oligonucleotide primers was used to investigate fifteen mulberry species. Each of the random primers produced distinct polymorphic banding patterns in all of the species examined. Typical results obtained with the primers OPY-13 and OPW-03 are shown in Fig. 1a and 1b, respectively. The size of the amplified products ranged from 500–3000 bp, with 3 – 9 bands per primer. A total of 119 RAPD polymorphic markers were generated by the 19 primers, at a rate of 6.26 markers per primer. The lowest number of polymorphic bands was obtained in a hexaploid, *M. tiliae folia* (42), whereas a diploid species, *M. sinensis*, gave the maximum number of polymorphic bands (81) with all of the polymorphic primers. Triploid species *M. laevigata* and *M. bombycis* produced 57 and 73 polymorphic bands, respectively.

Distance matrix analysis of the RAPD data was calculated using WINDIST software. The values obtained for each pairwise comparison of RAPD fragments are shown in Table 3. Genetic distances among different mulberry species ranged from 0.220 (between *M. rubra* and *M. bombycis*) to 0.728 (between *M. tiliae folia* and *M. alba*). The distance matrix based on RAPD data sets is graphically represented as a dendrogram using the UPGMA method shown in Fig. 3a.

RAPD analysis also revealed putative species-specific amplified products. One such band was observed in the species, *M. sinensis*, *M. latifolia*, and *M. serrata*, whereas two such bands were obtained in *M. tiliae folia* and *M. laevigata* (Table 4).

**ISSR analysis**

Out of six ISSR primers tested, four gave distinct polymorphic products. Two primers, a 5’ anchored (CA)7 and a 3’ anchored (GT)3, did not yield any amplification products. The results obtained with the primer (TG)3 are shown in Fig. 2. The four ISSR primers produced 93 polymorphic markers at an average of 23.25 markers per primer. The highest number of markers was obtained with the primers (TG)3 and (CA)Y (30), whereas the primer (TG)3Y resulted in the lowest number of markers (11). Among different species, *M. rotundiloba* showed the lowest
number of polymorphic bands (19), whereas *M. bombycis* resulted in the highest number of polymorphic products (35). ISSR analysis, like RAPD analysis, did not show any correlation between the number of amplified fragments and the mulberry ploidy level. The genetic distance values based on ISSR analysis are presented in Table 5. The highest value of 0.909 was between *M. rotundiloba* and *M. tiliaeolia*, and the lowest value was 0.419, between *M.

---

**Table 3: Distance matrix values based on RAPD data between 15 mulberry species.**

|                  | M. alba | M. tiliaeolia | M. lhou | M. rotundiloba | M. rubra | M. nigra | M. multicaulis | M. indica | M. latifolia | M. sinensis | M. laevigata | M. australis | M. serrata | M. cathayana |
|------------------|---------|---------------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| M. alba          | 0.000   |               |         |                |          |          |                |          |             |             |              |              |            |               |
| M. tiliaeolia    | 0.728   | 0.000         |         |                |          |          |                |          |             |             |              |              |            |               |
| M. lhou          | 0.346   | 0.618         | 0.000   |                |          |          |                |          |             |             |              |              |            |               |
| M. rotundiloba   | 0.346   | 0.685         | 0.339   | 0.000          |          |          |                |          |             |             |              |              |            |               |
| M. rubra         | 0.320   | 0.636         | 0.225   | 0.351          | 0.000    |          |                |          |             |             |              |              |            |               |
| M. nigra         | 0.405   | 0.667         | 0.244   | 0.345          | 0.220    | 0.000    |                |          |             |             |              |              |            |               |
| M. multicaulis   | 0.551   | 0.622         | 0.505   | 0.608          | 0.500    | 0.558    | 0.000          |          |             |             |              |              |            |               |
| M. indica        | 0.573   | 0.703         | 0.340   | 0.485          | 0.354    | 0.385    | 0.488          | 0.000    |             |             |              |              |            |               |
| M. latifolia     | 0.414   | 0.663         | 0.355   | 0.306          | 0.317    | 0.298    | 0.578          | 0.413    | 0.000       |             |              |              |            |               |
| M. sinensis      | 0.392   | 0.586         | 0.235   | 0.436          | 0.321    | 0.333    | 0.326          | 0.368    | 0.410       | 0.000       |              |              |            |               |
| M. laevigata     | 0.383   | 0.657         | 0.344   | 0.344          | 0.323    | 0.274    | 0.487          | 0.434    | 0.271       | 0.349       | 0.000        |              |            |               |
| M. australis     | 0.625   | 0.654         | 0.462   | 0.462          | 0.476    | 0.387    | 0.663          | 0.551    | 0.466       | 0.529       | 0.450        | 0.000        |            |               |
| M. serrata       | 0.371   | 0.600         | 0.310   | 0.416          | 0.339    | 0.300    | 0.388          | 0.429    | 0.376       | 0.315       | 0.271        | 0.486        | 0.000        |            |
| M. cathayana     | 0.516   | 0.650         | 0.476   | 0.301          | 0.471    | 0.455    | 0.477          | 0.545    | 0.391       | 0.446       | 0.412        | 0.474        | 0.442        | 0.475       | 0.000     

---

**Figure 1**

RAPD profiles of 15 mulberry species using a) OPY-13 and b) OPW-03 primers. M indicates λ-HindIII-EcoRI digest markers. Arrows indicate putative species-specific markers.
rubra and M. bombycis. The distance matrix based on ISSR data sets was used to construct a dendrogram, which is shown in Fig. 3b.

**Discussion**

Mulberry is a perennial, heterogeneous outbreeding tree, the leaves of which are the exclusive food of the silk secreting insect, B. mori. Outbreeding in cultivated mulberry species is common and inter-species hybridization is often observed. Because of phenotypic plasticity, the occurrence of interspecific hybridization, mutation, and the absence of an unambiguous set of criteria for designating a true species, there is confusion in the systematic classification of mulberry. The present work evaluates the genetic diversity and relationships among fifteen mulberry species using RAPD and ISSR markers.

The wide variation in genetic distance among the different mulberry species revealed by both RAPD and ISSR techniques reflected a high level of polymorphism at the DNA level. Earlier studies by Sharma et al. using the AFLP technique [32] also showed a large genetic variation among different Morus genotypes. Such a high level of polymorphism, especially in cultivated mulberry, reflects the out-

### Table 1: Morus species used in the present study.

| S. No | Species (Var. Acc. No) | Ploidy and chromosome number | Morphological Characters | Country of origin |
|-------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 1.    | M. alba Linn. (Var. S-1 Acc. No. ME-0065) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style absent or indistinct, stigma papilllose. Leaf ovate or wide ovate, lobed and unlobed, surface smooth without wrinkles, apex acute or acuminate, margin usually blunt serrate or dentate, few serrulate or denticulate, base indented or shallowly cordate. | Japan |
| 2.    | M. tiliaefolia Makino. | \(2n = 6x = 84\) | Style absent or indistinct, stigma densely pubescent. Leaf tomentose on the under surface. | Japan |
| 3.    | M. shou (SER) (Acc No. ME-0107) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style indistinct, stigma papilla. Leaf entire, comparatively large with surface slightly pubescent or smooth, glossy and shrivelled. Leaf margin serrate, base lobate. | China |
| 4.    | M. rotundifolia Koidz (Acc No. ME-0095) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style long, stigma lanceolate, minutely papilllose. Leaf margin dentato-serrate and denticels are nearly equal. Leaf is usually trilobate and apex of the lateral lobes is rotundate. | Thailand |
| 5.    | M. bombycis Koidz. (Acc No. ME-0028) | \(2n = 3x = 42\) | Female flower with distinct long style, stigma papilllose or pilose. Leaf mostly dentato-serrate, crenate-denicate rarely argudendenta-serrate margin. Bud scales glabrous. | Japan |
| 6.    | M. rubra Linn. (Acc No. ME-0110) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style absent or indistinct, stigma papilla, leaf ovate, margin crenate, apex acuminate, base cordate. Branches slightly drooping. | North America |
| 7.    | M. nigra Linn. (Acc No. ME-0008) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style absent, stigma pubescent. Leaf generally ovate or cordate, apex serrate, margin serrate having erose serra, base truncate or shallowly cordate. | West Asia |
| 8.    | M. multifida Perr. (Acc No. ME-0006) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style absent or indistinct, stigma papilla with protruberances inside. Leaf coriaceous generally unlobed, surface has wrinkled appearance, margin serrate, apex sharp obuse, base cordate. | China |
| 9.    | M. indica Linn. (Acc No. MI-0068) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style long, stigma hairy connate below. Leaf ovate margin sharply serrate often deeply lobed, scabrous, apex caudate to acuminate. Male sepals elliptic and hairy. Female spikes short ovoid, sepals obovate-outter keeled and inner flat. | India |
| 10.   | M. latifolia Poir. (Acc No. ME-0066) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style absent or indistinct, stigma papilla. Leaf broadly and thick, margin serrate with serrae being acute, apex short acute, base deeply cordate. | Japan |
| 11.   | M. sinensis Hort. (Var. MR-2 Acc No. MI-0025) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style short or indistinct, stigma short with blunt hair. Leaf narrow ovate smooth, entire, margin serrate, apex acuminate, base truncate. | Europe |
| 12.   | M.ionale Gal. * (Acc No. MI-0164) | \(2n = 3x = 42\) | Style very short, stigma papilla inside. Flower in spikes of 4–16 cm length. Leaf ovate or wide ovate, comparatively larger, no hairs on ventral part, margin serrulate, apex long cuspidate, base shallow cordate to truncate. | India |
| 13.   | M. australis Poir (Acc No. ME-0001) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style long, stigma pilose, shoot erect. Under surface of leaf light green and slightly hairy, leaf ovate, ovato-oblong or ovato-elliptical, apex caudate, margin serrate with short prickles. | Japan |
| 14.   | M. serrata Roxb. * (Acc No. ME-0081) | \(2n = 4x = 56\) | Style very short or absent, stigma densely pubescent. Leaf rough usually with hairs, margin typically serrate, apex cuspidate or caudate, base cordate. | India |
| 15.   | M. cathayana Hems. (Acc No. ME-0018) | \(2n = 2x = 28\) | Style absent, stigma pubescent. Leaf coriaceous and ventral side covered with thick hair, margin sparsely serrate, base cordate or truncate. | China |

Wild species are shown in asterisks (*)
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crossing nature of the species. Cluster analysis of RAPD and ISSR data using UPGMA revealed that the three wild species, namely, *M. laevigata*, *M. serrata*, and *M. tiliaefolia*, are genetically distant from the domesticated species studied here. The distinctness of the wild species as revealed by both RAPD and ISSR in the present study and that of Sharma et al. [32] can be attributed to their geographical isolation, which is in strong contrast to the outbreeding and high heterozygosity which have accompanied the long history of cultivation of domesticated mulberry species.

The ISSR profiles of mulberry generated by CA/TG repeat anchored primers showed that these repeats are abundant in the *Morus* genome. Vijayan and Chatterjee [34] also observed amplification of AC rich repeat based ISSR primers. However, this type of repeat is less abundant in plant genomes compared to other kinds of repeats [36], and the abundance of other classes of microsatellite repeats in the mulberry genome awaits to be demonstrated. The RAPD analysis revealed a close relationship between *M. cathayana* and *M. rotundiloba*, whereas these two species were found to be distinct in ISSR analysis. Such variation between RAPD and ISSR may be due to the fact that the PCR amplified profiles in the two marker assays originated from different repetitive and non-repetitive regions of the genomes, and the possibility that many co-migrating bands may be non-homologous, producing a background noise that could influence the results [37,38]. Similar to the RAPD analysis, the ISSR results showed no correlation to ploidy status or to the number of amplified products. For example, in the triploid species, *M. laevigata* and *M. bombycis*, 23 and 35 polymorphic products were observed, respectively, whereas the tetraploid species *M. serrata* revealed 20 ISSR products, and in the hexaploid, *M. tiliaefolia*, 25 products were amplified. These results are consistent with the earlier studies of RAPD patterns in Chrysanthemum by Wolf & Rijn [39], which show that the ploidy level of a plant does not appear to influence the number of fragments amplified per primer.

Classification of *Morus* based on phenotypic variations or isozyme patterns should be reconsidered in the context of molecular analyses by RAPD and ISSR as well as that of AFLP [32]. Hirano [8] studied 131 varieties of cultivated mulberry morphologically classified as belonging to three species, viz., *M. bombycis*, *M. alba*, and *M. latifolia*. Examining isozymes and several sap proteins, he found no significant difference among these three species, prompting him to conclude that all three species are the same. How-

| S. No | Primers | Sequence |
|-------|---------|----------|
| 1)    | (CA),Y  | (GCT)(AGT)(GCT)(CA),Y |
| 2)    | (TG),Y  | (CAT)(GCA)(CAT)(TG),Y |
| 3)    | (TG),    | (GCA)(CAT)(GCA)(TG),Y |
| 4)    | (GT),    | (GAT)(GCT)(GAT),Y    |
| 5)    | TCC      | (GT)(A/G)TCC         |
| 6)    | (CA),Y  | (GAT)(GCT)(GAT)(CA),Y |

R = Purine, Y = Pyrimidine

Table 2: List of the ISSR primers used in the present study.
ever, our study as well as the AFLP study unambiguously place *M. alba* as a separate species distinct from the other two, which are likely to be independent species. Thus, RAPD and ISSR based molecular markers were able to distinguish differences between the species which were indistinguishable by isozyme based markers. Similarly, Koidzumi [3,4] considered that *M. lhou*, *M. multicaulis*, and *M. latifolia* are similar and belong to a single species. However, their wide separation in the present study contradicts this observation. Additional phylogenetic studies using chloroplast or mitochondrial gene sequences or appropriate nuclear gene sequences can help to evaluate the systematic positions of these species.

**Conclusions**
The present study reveals that PCR based fingerprinting techniques, RAPD and ISSR, are informative for estimating the extent of genetic diversity as well as to determine the pattern of genetic relationships between different species of *Morus*, with polymorphism levels sufficient to establish informative fingerprints with relatively fewer primer sets. The information obtained from the present study could be of practical use for mapping the mulberry genome as well as for classical breeding. The genetic similarity of wild mulberry with other cultivated species is low as indicated by the separation of these two groups in both RAPD and ISSR analyses. The informative primers identified in our studies will be useful in genetic analysis of mulberry accessions in germplasm holdings. The putative species-specific bands can be used as probes to ascertain whether they are in low or high copy numbers in the mulberry genome, and such specific bands may be used for genotype characterization and grouping germplasm accessions. Further, putative species-specific RAPD markers could be converted to sequence characterized amplification regions (SCARs) after sequencing and

### Table 4: Putative species specific RAPD markers.

| Species       | Primer | Band size (bp) |
|---------------|--------|----------------|
| *M. lhou*     | OPY-13 | 2800           |
| *M. sinensis* | OPW-01 | 1900           |
| *M. latifolia*| OPW-03 | 1580           |
| *M. tiliaefolia* | OPY-02 | 1500           |
| *M. latifolia* | OPY-08 | 750            |
| *M. laevigata* | OPV-03 | 990            |
| *M. laevigata* | OPV-03 | 3000           |

Figure 3
Dendrogram derived from UPGMA cluster analysis using Dice coefficient of a) RAPD and b) ISSR based markers. Numbers on the nodes indicate the number of times a particular branch was recorded per 100 bootstrap replications.
designing primer pairs to develop robust species specific markers. The study also provides a basis for mulberry breeders to make informed choices on selection of parental material based on genetic diversity to help overcome some of the problems usually associated with a tree crop improvement program.

**Methods**

**Plant materials**

The wild and cultivated mulberry species were obtained from the Central Sericultural Germplasm Research Center, Hosur, India. The species used in the present study along with their key morphological characters and country of origin are listed in Table 1.

**DNA extraction**

DNA was extracted from fresh young leaves of five individuals of a species using a Nucleon Phytopure System (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, UK) according to the manufacturer's instruction and pooled. The genomic DNA was quantified on 0.8% agarose gels and diluted to uniform concentration (10 ng/µl) for RAPD and ISSR analysis.

**RAPD amplification**

PCR reactions were performed according to the protocol of Williams et al. [18]. Briefly, PCR amplifications were carried out in an MJ Research Thermal Cycler PTC-200, in a reaction volume of 20 µl containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 µM primer, 0.1 mM each of dATP, dTTP, dCTP and dGTP, 0.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Gibco BRL, USA) and 20 ng of template DNA. The 19 random primers used in the current study were obtained from Operon Technologies Inc. (Alameda, CA, USA); these included OPW-1-4, OPY-1-11, OPY-13-14, and OPY-16-17. Amplification reactions were carried out using the following cycle profile: Initial denaturation at 93 °C for 2 min followed by 45 cycles at 93 °C for 1 min, 35 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 2 min and a final 7 min extension at 72 °C. PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel according to Sambrook et al. [40] in 1X TBE buffer (89 mM Tris-borate, 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) and stained with ethidium bromide. The gel image was recorded using a Gel Documentation System (UVP, UK).

**ISSR amplification**

The ISSR primers were synthesized on an Applied Biosystems DNA synthesizer based on core repeats [20], anchored either at the 5’ or 3’ end (Table 2). Amplification reactions were carried out in 20 µl containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10 µM of each primer, 0.1 mM each of dCTP, dGTP, dTTP and 0.075 mM of cold dATP with 2 µCi of α-³²P dATP, (6000 Ci/mmol from BRIT, JONAKI, Hyderabad, India), 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Gibco BRL, USA) and 20 ng of template DNA. PCR reactions were performed using an MJ Research Thermal Cycler PTC-200 with following amplification conditions: Initial denaturation of 2 min at 94 °C, followed by 30 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, annealing at 52 °C for 45 s, extension at 72 °C for 2 min and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. PCR reactions were terminated by adding 13.2 µl of stop solution (95% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, 0.5% bromophenol blue and xylene cyanol). 4 µl of sample DNA was denatured at 75 °C for 2 min, chilled on ice and then run on a non-denaturing sequencing gel containing 6% polyacrylamide, 3 M urea and 1X TBE at 900 V for 20 hr. The gels were dried and exposed for 2–10 hr on X-ray film (Kodak-Biomax) for autoradiography.

**Table 5: Distance matrix values based on ISSR data between 15 mulberry species.**

|          | M. alba | M. tiliaefolia | M. lhou | M. rotundiloba | M. rubra | M. bombyxis | M. nigra | M. multicaulis | M. indica | M. latifolia | M. sinensis | M. laevigata | M. australis | M. serrata | M. cathayana |
|----------|---------|---------------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| M. alba  | 0.000   |               |         |                |         |             |         |                |         |             |           |             |            |            |             |
| M. tiliaefolia | 0.750     | 0.000         |         |                |         |             |         |                |         |             |           |             |            |            |             |
| M. lhou   | 0.544   | 0.729         | 0.000   |                |         |             |         |                |         |             |           |             |            |            |             |
| M. rotundiloba | 0.619     | 0.909         | 0.547   | 0.000          |         |             |         |                |         |             |           |             |            |            |             |
| M. rubra  | 0.725   | 0.623         | 0.452   | 0.617          | 0.000   |             |         |                |         |             |           |             |            |            |             |
| M. bombyxis | 0.544   | 0.627         | 0.500   | 0.698          | 0.419   | 0.000      |         |                |         |             |           |             |            |            |             |
| M. nigra  | 0.560   | 0.885         | 0.672   | 0.739          | 0.782   | 0.607      | 0.000   |                |         |             |           |             |            |            |             |
| M. multicaulis | 0.607    | 0.690         | 0.433   | 0.692          | 0.639   | 0.552      | 0.700   | 0.000          |         |             |           |             |            |            |             |
| M. indica | 0.714   | 0.765         | 0.633   | 0.822          | 0.593   | 0.733      | 0.698   | 0.627          | 0.000   |             |           |             |            |            |             |
| M. latifolia | 0.660    | 0.673         | 0.688   | 0.796          | 0.724   | 0.500      | 0.509   | 0.619          | 0.643   | 0.000      |           |             |            |            |             |
| M. sinensis | 0.733   | 0.702         | 0.679   | 0.854          | 0.680   | 0.679      | 0.673   | 0.673          | 0.583   | 0.731      | 0.000     |             |            |            |             |
| M. laevigata | 0.787   | 0.633         | 0.724   | 0.814          | 0.615   | 0.828      | 0.882   | 0.684          | 0.640   | 0.667      | 0.826     | 0.000      |            |            |             |
| M. australis | 0.522   | 0.792         | 0.509   | 0.619          | 0.765   | 0.509      | 0.560   | 0.679          | 0.837   | 0.472      | 0.778     | 0.830      | 0.000      |            |             |
| M. serrata | 0.814   | 0.733         | 0.815   | 0.846          | 0.750   | 0.852      | 0.872   | 0.774          | 0.826   | 0.840      | 0.714     | 0.636      | 0.860      | 0.000      |             |
| M. cathayana | 0.500   | 0.565         | 0.636   | 0.750          | 0.755   | 0.491      | 0.667   | 0.630          | 0.830   | 0.608      | 0.721     | 0.778      | 0.500      | 0.756      | 0.000      |
Data analysis
DNA banding patterns generated by RAPD and ISSR were scored for the presence (1) or for absence (0) of each amplified band. All RAPD and ISSR assays were repeated twice and only the reproducible bands were scored. For considering a marker as polymorphic, the absence of an amplified product in at least one species was used as a criterion. For genetic distance analysis, WINDIST software of the WIN BOOT package was used with the NTSYS format. Cluster analysis was based on similarity matrices using the unweighted pair group method analysis (UPGMA) program in the WIN BOOT software package [41]. The Dice coefficient was used for dendrogram construction with a sample number of 100.
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