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**Abstract**

**Objectives:** Constipation is one of the most common issues at the time of pregnancy. Traditional Persian medicine (TPM) has always emphasized the use of safe laxatives to relieve constipation during pregnancy. The present study aimed to investigate the effect of *Rosa damascena* Mill. products on constipation and the quality of life during pregnancy.

**Materials and Methods:** This is a single-arm clinical trial study on 35 pregnant women (14-34 weeks) with constipation diagnosed on the basis of Rome IV criteria in Tehran and Qom, Iran during 2018-2019. The consumption of *R. damascena* products was recommended daily for 4 weeks. Then, the severity of constipation and the quality of life were assessed via the Rome IV criteria and the World Health Organization (WHO) quality of life questionnaires (WHOQOL-BREF), respectively.

**Results:** This study was performed on 35 pregnant women (14-34 weeks). The consumption of *R. damascena* products decreased the score of Rome IV criteria (Mean 9.4 to 1.1) while increasing the frequency of bowel movements and improving the overall quality of life (P < 0.001). The predominant constipation signs, including straining, lumpy and hard stools, a sensation of incomplete evacuation, a sensation of anorectal obstruction, manual facilitation maneuvers, and less than 3 spontaneous bowel movements per week significantly improved after the consumption of *R. damascena* products (P < 0.001).

**Conclusions:** *Rosa damascena* products can effectively treat constipation and enhance the quality of life.
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**Introduction**

Pregnancy is one of the most sensitive physiological and emotional phases in women's life (1) during which they may suffer from several complications including constipation (2). It is reported that approximately 11%-38% of women experience constipation as a decrease in bowel movements and difficult evacuation. It is more common in women with a history of pre-pregnancy constipation and multiparous (3,4). Physiological and anatomical changes during pregnancy include elevated progesterone levels in the second and third trimesters, reduced motilin, decreased muscle tone, increased bowel movement period, and increased intake of intestinal water and drying of stools that lead to constipation (2,4,5).

Constipation during pregnancy, which is evaluated via Rome IV criteria (3,6,7), is managed with the first line of treatments such as physical activity, increased fluid intake, dietary fiber volume, fruits and vegetables, and probiotic intake. Laxatives are considered as second-line treatment (6,8). The lack of peripheral absorption and no risk of fetal malformations make the short-term use of some laxatives safe with various side effects that may restrict their consumption (3,4,6).

Due to the prevalence of constipation through pregnancy and the side effects of current treatments, it is necessary to investigate safe and healthy therapies. Over the past two decades, complementary and alternative medicines have been significantly employed worldwide (9). According to reports from the World Health Organization (WHO), almost 80% of the world’s population is using traditional herbal medicine to diagnose, prevent, and treat diseases (10). Common pregnancy issues such as constipation are one of the main reasons for using herbal remedies (11).

From the perspective of traditional Persian medicine (TPM), as a complementary medicine, the use of safe laxatives has been emphasized for alleviating pregnancy constipation since it has an effective role in reducing the other complications of pregnancy. *Rosa damascena* Mill. (Rosaceae family) also known as Damask Rose (12,13), is one of the safest laxatives prescribed for constipation during pregnancy in TPM literature and has been introduced as an effective medicinal plant in strengthening and maintaining the fetus (3,14,15). *Damask Rose* has numerous pharmacological effects (12,16), and no report...
Constipation is a common complication during pregnancy. Products of \textit{R. damascena} can effectively treat the constipation during pregnancy. It is mentioned and experienced in TPM as an effective and safe laxative in pregnancy.

exists regarding the adverse effects of therapeutic doses (17,18).

The laxative and stimulating effect of \textit{R. damascena} on the intestines in recent in vivo studies (19-21), the omission of the side effects in routine consumption (3), convenient access and maintenance of this herb, and popular acceptance are important reasons behind the selection of \textit{R. damascena} products. This research is important as, to the best of our knowledge, it is the first clinical trial to determine the impact of \textit{R. damascena} products on constipation during pregnancy.

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

This study was a single-arm clinical trial to investigate the effect of \textit{R. damascena} products on pregnancy constipation in Tehran and Qom during 2018-2019. The study comprised 35 pregnant women suffering from constipation referring to gynecologists in Tehran and Qom, Iran.

Having qualified based on the inclusion criteria, the participants of this study were visited in person. After providing the necessary explanations by the practitioner, the written informed consent form was obtained from the patients. In this study, the severity of constipation was assessed via the Rome IV criteria at three time points before entering the study and after the second and fourth weeks, and quality of life was assessed using the WHO quality of life questionnaire (WHOQOL-BREF) at two times, namely, before entering the study and after the fourth week. The variables of Rome IV (7) and WHOQOL-BREF questionnaire (22) were considered as the primary outcome. The constipation questionnaire was designed based on the ROME IV criteria (7), including 6 sections of straining, lumpy or hard stools, a sensation of incomplete evacuation, a sensation of anorectal obstruction, manual facilitation maneuvers, and fewer than 3 spontaneous bowel movements per week. The baseline information questionnaire including week and number of pregnancies, previous delivery, supplementation, and the like were initially completed for each patient.

The inclusion criteria were healthy pregnant women with constipation based on Rome IV criteria (7), being over 18 years and under 45 years old, presenting informed patient consent to participate in the study, being in their 14-34 weeks of pregnancy, consuming no medication to relieve constipation, and having no history of anorectal disease. On the other hand, patients were excluded from the study in case of unwillingness to cooperate, drug sensitivity, pregnancy and midwifery problems (i.e., leaking amniotic fluid, preterm labor, placental abruption, and preeclampsia), the incidence of anorectal disease, and the use of other medications during the treatment.

Initially, 120 pregnant women were assessed for inclusion criteria, 48 of whom were enrolled in the study, and 35 of them completed the treatment to the end of the study (Figure 1).

According to Figure 1, 13 patients could not finish the treatment for different reasons including constipation treatment without medication (4 patients), personal issues (2 patients), ineffectiveness (2 patients), nausea (2 patients), flatulence (2 patients), and diarrhea and vomiting (1 patient). After selecting a group of 35 individuals, participants were asked to consume the \textit{R. damascena} products based on equal instructions. In this study, \textit{R. damascena} products were dissolved in 25 mL rose water and daily consumed by the patients half an hour after breakfast for 4 weeks. Due to the intestinal motility and bowel movements, patients were allowed to reduce their dose. There was no intervention in the lifestyle and diet. Patients were also asked to record and report to the researchers if they found any side effects of the drug.

\textbf{Preparation of Medicine}

First, \textit{R. damascena} flowers were purchased from Kashan. The sample was authenticated at the herbarium of the Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. The petals were separated, dried, and powdered, and 750 mg of the mentioned powdered was packaged with 1250 mg white rock candy. Then, 30 minutes after breakfast, the item was consumed with 25 cc of rose water.

\textbf{Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Content Assay}

The concentration of the phenolics content in the hydroalcoholic extract of the \textit{R. damascena} preparation was determined via the spectrophotometric method and the Folin-Ciocalteu’s reagent, NaHCO₃ solution, and gallic acid as the standard (23,24). Then, the content of phenolics in the extracts was expressed in terms of gallic acid equivalent (mg of GA/g of extract). Further, the spectrophotometry method via AlCl₃ solution and the catechin standard was conducted for the flavonoid content (24, 25). The content of flavonoids in the extracts was expressed in terms of the catechin equivalent (mg of CAT/g of the extract).

\textbf{Statistical Analysis}

In this study, the descriptive statistics (i.e., mean, standard deviation, correlation, frequency, and ratio) and inferential statistics (i.e., paired t-test, repeated measure design, Friedman test, and Wilcoxon test) were used to determine whether or not the response variable was normal via the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Data were analyzed using SPSS, version 21. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.
Statistically significant. In this study, the sample size was estimated as 30 individuals considering $\alpha = 0.05$, $\beta = 0.1$, and $d = 1$ and using the formula $n = 2 \left( \frac{Z_{(\alpha/2)} Z_{\beta}}{d} \right)^2$. Given the sample loss of 20%, 35 samples were considered in this study (26).

**Results**

**Drug Analysis**

Total phenols and flavonoid contents for *R. damascena* products were determined as follows 168 ± 15.9 mg gallic acid equivalent/g and 30.2 ± 3. mg catechin equivalent/g, respectively.

**Treatment**

In this study, 48 pregnant women with constipation were enrolled, 13 of whom did not remain until the end of the intervention (Figure 1). Table 1 presents demographic characteristics of the participants by age, education, number of pregnancies and previous deliveries, and a history of constipation and supplement use. Based on the information, most participants having a college education were housewives and experienced their first pregnancy. A history of constipation before pregnancy was reported in 69% of cases. The mean age of pregnant mothers was 27.6 years and their mean gestational age was 19.07 weeks.

Table 2 presents the mean and standard deviation (SD) of the total score of the Rome IV questionnaire with questions 1-6 and the frequency of bowel movements before the intervention and 2 and 4 weeks after the intervention. Based on the reported information, *R. damascena* products decreased the Rome IV criteria score while increased the number of the frequency of bowel movements. On average, 2 weeks after the last dose of *R. damascena* products, patients required no more laxatives (mean = 14.08, SD= 9.78).

Furthermore, based on the obtained data, using the *R. damascena* product significantly reduced the straining, lumpy or hard stools, a sensation of incomplete evacuation, a sensation of anorectal obstruction, and manual maneuvers to facilitate while significantly increasing the frequency of spontaneous bowel movements per week.

Table 3 provides the mean and SD of the quality of life score and its subscales before and 4 weeks after the intervention. Based on the obtained information, *R. damascena* products significantly affected the total score of the quality of life and the three subscales of the quality of life, namely, physical, mental, and environmental health.

**Discussion**

The prevalence of functional constipation in pregnant women is greater compared to the general population as a common medical issue (1). Similar to rising chronic diseases including osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes, and chronic allergies, constipation significantly affects the quality of life (27).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first clinical
In a retrospective clinical trial, the efficacy and safety of polyherbal laxative Laxisen, including Rosa damascena, Cassia senna, Ficus hispida, Vitis vinifera, Terminalia chebula, Operculina terpethum, and Convolvulus scammonia were investigated on 35 patients. For the acute constipation of 2 weeks and the chronic constipation of 6 weeks, this laxative significantly increased the frequency of bowel movements in patients. Statistically, it improved straining, difficulty during defecation, and the sensation of incomplete evacuation. No side effects were observed in patients and all patients had a laxative period of up to 7 days after the last visit. The results of this study verified the safety and efficacy of Laxisen in the treatment of constipation (28).

As a laxative, R. damascena products had the same effect as Laxisen. However, Laxisen is never recommended because of its harmful ingredients in pregnancy (18). R. damascena products are safe and effective during pregnancy (14, 15). On average, patients did not need other laxatives within 2 weeks after using R. damascena products.

The results of a clinical trial of 60 pregnant women with constipation based on Rome III criteria demonstrated that the consumption of probiotic yogurt is more effective than normal yogurt in increasing the frequency of bowel movements. The symptoms of constipation (e.g., straining, a sensation of anorectal obstruction, and the use of facilitation maneuvers) were significantly improved in both groups. Conversely, the sensation of incomplete evacuation significantly decreased with probiotic intake. However, the mental and physical quality of life did not differ significantly between the two groups (29).

In addition to revealing constipation, the consumption of R. damascena products had a significant effect on the quality of life. The quality of life score increased significantly after 4 weeks under the scales of physical, mental, and environmental health. There was no significant change in social health, but the consumption of R. damascena products has a significant effect on the overall quality of life.

Rosa damascena can help treat constipation and have positive effects on the quality of life in light of TPM. Accordingly, it can strengthen and protect the fetus (14,15), an observation which definitely requires more investigations.

A variety of studies have shown the laxative effect of R. damascena and its stimulatory effect on bowel

Table 1. Frequency Distribution and Percentage of Variables in Study Participants

| Discrete variables          | Number | Percent |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------|
| Previous delivery           |        |         |
| Gravid ones                 | 19     | 54.3    |
| Natural childbirth          | 11     | 31.4    |
| Cesarean section            | 5      | 14.3    |
| Education                   |        |         |
| Undergraduate               | 2      | 5.7     |
| Diploma                     | 14     | 40.0    |
| Master                      | 15     | 42.9    |
| Bachelor                    | 4      | 11.4    |
| Job                         |        |         |
| Housewife                   | 28     | 80.0    |
| Employed                    | 7      | 20.0    |
| Constipation before pregnancy | Yes    | 24 68.57 |
| Iron intake                 | Yes    | 16 45.71 |
| Acid folic intake           | Yes    | 24 68.57 |
| Calcium intake              | Yes    | 2   5.71 |
| Multivitamin intake         | Yes    | 10 28.57 |
| Vitamin D intake            | Yes    | 21 60.00 |
| Continuous variable         |        |         |
| Age of participants         | Year   | 27.6   5.0 |
| Pregnancy age               | Week   | 19.07 5.95 |
| Number of Pregnancy         | Number | 2.1 1.3  |

Table 2. Mean and Standard Deviation of the Scores of Criteria 1 to 6 of the ROME IV Questionnaire Before, 2 and 4 Weeks After the Intervention

| The Scores of Criteria 1 to 6 of the ROME IV Questionnaire | Before Intervention | 2 Weeks After Intervention | 4 Weeks After Intervention | P Value |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
|                                                           | Mean  | SD  | Mean  | SD  | Mean  | SD  | Mean  | SD  | <0.001 |
| Straining (range 0-4)                                     | 1.86  | 1.17 | 0.17  | 0.38 | 0.31  | 0.53 | <0.001|
| Lumpy or hard stools (range 0-4)                          | 1.83  | 1.10 | 0.11  | 0.32 | 0.17  | 0.38 | <0.001|
| Sensation of incomplete evacuation (range 0-4)            | 1.91  | 1.15 | 0.31  | 0.47 | 0.31  | 0.53 | <0.001|
| Sensation of anorectal obstruction (range 0-4)            | 1.46  | 1.22 | 0.11  | 0.32 | 0.23  | 0.49 | <0.001|
| Manual Maneuvers to facilitate (range 0-4)                | 0.94  | 1.19 | 0.06  | 0.24 | 0.06  | 0.24 | <0.001|
| Fewer than 3 spontaneous bowel movements per week (range 0-4)| 1.43  | 1.69 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.03  | 0.17 | <0.001|
| Criteria ROME IV overall score (range 0-24)               | 9.4   | 4.8  | 0.8   | 0.9  | 1.1   | 1.3  | <0.001|
| Frequency of bowel movements within a week                 | 3.7   | 2.5  | 8.0   | 2.9  | 8.4   | 3.1  | <0.001|
movements. Two studies investigated the laxative and stimulatory effects of *R. damascena* in rats. According to the results of one study, *R. damascena* significantly increased the amount of stool water and its frequency. It also significantly increased the volume of jejunal content compared to placebo. According to this study, by the mechanism of osmotic infiltration into the intestines, *R. damascena* functions as a laxative (20). *R. damascena* extracts had a dose-dependent stimulating effect on the ileum smooth muscle in rats. For constipation treatment, *R. damascena* electrolyte secretion and gastrointestinal smooth muscle contractions may be effective (30).

These laxative and stimulating effects have also been verified by other studies on guinea pigs, rabbits, and dogs. Affecting acetylcholine receptors and ileum contractions in guinea pigs, *R. damascena* can be considered as a mild laxative (31). Moreover, in the rabbit jejunum and guinea pigs ileum, it significantly increased the range of basal contractions which can be used to treat gastrointestinal movement dysfunctions (19). *R. damascena* is a dose-dependent laxative in dogs, thus causing diarrhea at 90 mg/kg low doses. During the intervention, there are no side effects, its laxative effect has been successfully performed, and it can also have a therapeutic value (21). No significant adverse events were observed in our study during the use of *R. damascena* products except for mild nausea, mild flatulence, and mild diarrhea in limited cases that did not disturb patient ingestion.

The use of herbal medicine during pregnancy has been varied across Europe, America, and Australia. In general, 7%-45% of pregnancy and constipation during pregnancy are treated by herbal remedies (11, 32) because herbs are believed to be safe medication for the fetus although there is insufficient evidence of the safety of herbal products during pregnancy (10). Given the changing the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approach in 2015 and replacing oral reports on the safety of medicines and products during pregnancy with the conventional classification, reports on plant and drug safety in Traditional Medicine sources are more valuable (3). It is recommended that clinical studies be designed on the basis of these sources since healthcare providers must advise pregnant mothers.

Further studies on the safety and efficacy of *R. damascena* products in pregnancy are recommended because of the numerous pharmacological effects of *R. damascena* products. It can be used to relieve other problems of pregnant women by proving the safety of this product in pregnancy. Clinical trials can also be performed to design other drug forms of this product which are easier to use.

### Limitations of the Study

The present study contained a number of limitations, including the sensitivity of pregnant mothers and their concerns toward participating in the research project on the consumption of *R. damascena* products and the restricted use of *R. damascena* products in pregnant women under 14 weeks due to common problems during this period such as nausea and vomiting. Finally, the other limitation included difficulties with the follow-up of participants during the intervention due to the specific conditions of pregnant mothers.

### Conclusions

According to the results, *R. damascena* products are therapeutically effective for the improvement of constipation in the pregnant women and accordingly improving their quality of life. Having no side effects in therapeutic doses, it can be recommended to help pregnant women with their constipation problems since it is mentioned and experienced in TPM as an effective and safe laxative in pregnancy.
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