Effect of Temperature, Concentration and Contact Time of Sodium Hypochlorite on the Treatment and Revitalization of Oral Biofilms
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Abstract

Background and aims. Increasing the temperature of sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) enhances its dissolution and antibacterial properties. However, the high resistance of multi-species biofilms could restrict the effect of the solution regardless of its temperature, enabling the long-term recovery of the surviving bacteria. The aim of this study was to investigate if the increase of temperature of NaOCl improves its antibacterial and dissolution ability on oral biofilms and if the post-treatment remaining bacteria were capable of growing in a nutrient-rich medium.

Materials and methods. Forty dentin blocks were infected intra-orally for 48 hours. Then, the specimens were treated with 1% and 2.5% NaOCl at room temperature (22ºC) and body temperature (37ºC) for 5 and 20 min. The percentage of live cells and the biovolume were measured pre- (control) and post-treatment and after the biofilm revitalization. Four confocal ‘stacks’ were chosen from random areas of each sample. Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn tests. Statistical significance was defined at P <0.05.

Results. All the NaOCl groups were effective in dissolving the biofilm at any temperature, concentration and contact time without statistical differences among them (P >0.05). The 1%-NaOCl for 5min was not able to significantly kill the bacteria, regardless of its temperature and contact time (P >0.05).

Conclusion. The temperature variation of the NaOCl was not relevant in killing or dissolving bacterial biofilms. Twenty-four hours of reactivation did not appear to be enough time to induce a significant bacterial growth.
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Introduction

In order to eliminate biofilms as far as possible from the root canal system during endodontic treatment, mechanical instrumentation and the applications of chemical solutions are used, such as NaOCl, chlorhexidine, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and others. Among all existing endodontic irrigants, NaOCl is the solution most recommended in endodontic therapy, due to its great tissue dissolution capacity and antibacterial effect.

In addition, although NaOCl is biologically acceptable when it is confined within the canal, it is highly caustic when it is extravasated beyond the apical region. This condition is aggravated as the solution concentration is increased. So, considering the caustic potential of NaOCl, it would not be advisable to use the solution in a high concentration. As an attempt to resolve this limitation, increasing the temperature of the NaOCl in low concentrations was proposed because it seems to enhance the dissolution and antibacterial properties of the irrigant. Furthermore, the toxicity of preheated NaOCl in a low concentration should be lower than the same solution in a high concentration at room temperature because the properties of both should be similar in the root canal when the solution achieves the body temperature. Although, there is almost a general consensus that increasing the NaOCl temperature improves its antibacterial and dissolution properties, there is little information in the endodontic literature on this subject.

At the time of conducting this research, it was possible to find nine studies on the antibacterial and dissolution effects of pre-heated NaOCl in PubMed search. Nonetheless, eight of them focused on evaluating either dissolution, or antibacterial effects, and only one analyzed both effects. In addition, all the publications mentioned above were carried out in laboratory conditions using human or bovine pulps, bovine muscle, rat dermal connective tissue and collagen matrices to study the NaOCl dissolution ability; and uni-species biofilms to study the antibacterial capacity of NaOCl. Therefore, the authors consider that analyzing the effect of NaOCl in different temperatures on poly-microbial biofilms formed in situ offers a significant approximation with the in vivo biofilms, such as those formed in a necrotic root canal.

On the other hand, the supply of nutrients to the bacteria in the oral cavity is one of the most abundant in comparison with other ecosystems because the host consumes food. However, in microenvironments such as root canals, the bacteria develop efficient metabolic adaptive mechanisms in order to survive under conditions of stress, nutrient scarcity or nutrient deprivation. These adaptive mechanisms alter the bacterial metabolism from biosynthesis and reproduction toward obtaining energy for its existing biological functions at that moment. Thus, when the nutrient supply is favorable again, the metabolic functions and cell division of the bacteria are resumed until exhaustion of the nutrients, then and once again; the bacteria begin their “hibernation period.”

The aim of this study was to investigate if the concentration, exposure time and preheating of NaOCl improved its antibacterial effect and dissolution ability on in situ infected dentin, and like wise test if the post-treatment biofilm was capable of growing again in a nutrient-rich medium.

Materials and Methods

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Human Ethical Committee (Protocol 166/2011). The irrigant solutions used in this study were 1% and 2.5% NaOCl (Farmacia Específica, Bauru, SP, Brazil). The temperatures tested were 22°C (room temperature) and 37°C (body temperature), and the exposure times were 5 and 20 min. To heat the NaOCl, disposable syringes containing 1 mL of solution were placed 30 min before being used in an incubator at 37°C. A new syringe was used every 5 min. The laboratory temperature was controlled using a mercury barometer. The temperature of the solutions was controlled using a pH meter with a temperature sensor (AlfaKitLtda, Florianopolis, SC, Brazil).

Bovine dentin blocks of 5×5×3 mm were obtained from freshly extracted bovine teeth according to a methodology previously published by the authors. Samples were autoclaved for 30 min at 121°C (Sercon-Modelo HS-Mogi das Cruzes, SP, Brazil) and then treated with 2.5% NaOCl for 15 min and 17% EDTA for 3 min. An in situ model was modified to induce the dentin infection.

The dentin blocks with enamel or irregular surfaces were discarded. The samples were fixed in the cavities of Hawley’s orthodontic device using sticky wax (Kota Ind. e Com. Ltda.; São Paulo, SP, Brazil). Five dentin blocks were used for each experimental procedure and four confocal ‘stacks’ were analyzed from random areas of each sample, totaling 40 samples throughout the experiment; this means that 480 images were recorded (20 pre-treatment, 20 post-treatment and 20 post-reactivation). The same samples were utilized to perform these procedures because the Live/Dead dye did not interfere with the
cellular viability. The opposite side to the infected dentinal surface was marked with nail varnish to facilitate the identification of the biofilm side.

A healthy single volunteer used the Hawley’s retainer for 48 hours. After this time, the samples were transferred to test tubes containing 5 mL BHI broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours to standardized the bacterial growth. The subject maintained recommended oral hygiene practices.

When the dentinal infection period was finalized, the samples were rinsed with distilled water to remove the non-adherent cells. Next, the biofilm was stained with Live/Dead BacLight Bacterial Viability (Live/Dead, BacLight, Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA); in accordance with Stojicic et al. The pre-NaOCl treatment samples were used as a control group. The images of the pre-treated samples were obtained and recorded using a Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (CLSM; Leica TCS-SPE, Mannheim, Germany). Four random areas of each block were scanned using a ×40 oil lens, 1.5 μm step-size, and a format of 512 × 512 pixels. The area of each image represented 275 × 275 μm. The rank of laser penetration into biofilm was 30-80 μm. The biofilm was measured from the outer biofilm layer to the dentinal surface.

The samples were then immersed in 24-well tissue culture plates, containing 2mL of the experimental solutions for 5 min (n=5) and 20 min (n=5). The solution of 20-min groups were refreshed every 5 min to simulate the clinical conditions (the old solution was sucked and the fresh solution was added). After the contact time tests, the samples were immersed in 2 mL of 5% sodium thiosulphate for 10 min to counter the NaOCl residual effect. After that, the samples were stained again and the post-treatment biofilms were analyzed in the CLSM. The dentin blocks were then irrigated with distilled water and incubated in 5 mL of BHI at 37°C for 24 hours. After the incubation period, the samples were stained and analyzed again to verify the bacterial reactivation. Representative images of the pre- and post-treatment samples and revitalized biofilm can be observed in Figure 1.

The total biovolume (expressed in μm³/μm²), and the percentage of viable cells were calculated using the Bioimage_L program.

The Prisma 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA) was utilized as the analytical software. Statistical analysis was performed using D’Agostino-Pearson omnibus normality test to verify the normal distribution of the data. The Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn tests (P < 0.05) were used for multiples comparisons because the data did not pass the normality test.

Results

Pre- and Post-treatment Biofilms

All the experimental solutions were able to decrease the ratio of the total biovolume (P<0.05) without statistical differences among the experimental groups (P>0.05). No statistical differences were found between the percentage of live cells of the control group and 1%-NaOCl-5 min at 22ºC (P=0.09) and heated at 37ºC (P=0.08). The medians and the 25-

Figure 1. Preoperative images (A) and (G). Treated biofilm with 1%NaOCl-5min at 22ºC (B) and 37ºC (H), where a substantial amount of biomass and live cells (green) were observed. Dissolution areas (×63) (C). The dissolution and antibacterial ability improved after 20min of being exposed to 1%NaOCl at 22ºC (D) and 37ºC (I). The biofilm treated with 2.5%-NaOCl-5min at 22ºC (E) and 37ºC (J). The best antibacterial effect was found after 2.5%-NaOCl-20min (F &K), regardless of its temperature. The revitalized biofilm after treatment with 2.5%-NaOCl-20min at 37ºC (L).
75% percentiles of the total biovolume and the live cells percentage after treatment with NaOCl at 22°C and 37°C are shown in Table 1.

**Biofilm Revitalization**

The total biovolume and percentage of live cells of the revitalized biofilm after treatment with 1% NaOCl for 5 min at room temperature showed no statistically significant differences in comparison with the control (P=0.08). Although the 1%-NaOCl at room temperature was able to significantly dissolve the organic matter (P=0.01), the remaining biofilm showed high percentages of bacterial viability (64.51%; P=0.12; Figure 2). The percentage of viable cells was not different from the control when the biofilm was exposed to 1%-NaOCl-5min at 37°C and when this group was revitalized (63.82%) (P=0.09).

**Discussion**

There is vast information in the literature showing the effect of endodontic irrigants on single- or dual-species biofilms.20,21 However, the bacterial growth of a species in its natural environment profoundly differs from cells of the same species when the bacterial growth is stimulated *in vitro*.22 These differences are determined by variations of environmental factors such as nutrients, pH, temperature, surface and salivary flow, which are not found in biofilms developed in laboratory conditions.23,24

Furthermore, mono-infections rarely occur in nature. The oral cavity is not the exception, because it presents dynamic associations of more than 500 bacterial species.25 Similarly, the root canal biofilm is formed by several bacterial species.26 In line with these statements, the pathogenic power of multispecies bacterial co-aggregations is higher than the single-species biofilms.27 Then, testing the efficacy of the endodontic solutions on biofilms formed *in situ* would provide an appropriate correlation with *in vivo* conditions, since several bacterial species are able to survive root canal treatment or alkaline stress.26

On the other hand, the dissolution and antimicrobial ability of NaOCl depends on factors such as concentration, contact time, temperature, renewal of the solution, and amount of the tissue.24,25 In the present study, all the room temperature and body temperature NaOCl groups were effective in dissolving the biofilm without statistical differences among them. These findings have similarities with a study previously published using oral biofilm formed *in situ*,2 in which the dissolution ability of NaOCl at room temperature was not statistically different between the 1% and 2.5% concentrations when the exposure time was 5 and 15 min. These results could be explained due to the multi-species biofilm interactions; one of them is the bacterial synergistic effect that favors the development of beneficial phenotypes such as the biofilm formation by co-aggregation.

Table 1. Medians and 25–75% percentiles of the total biovolume and the live cells percentage after treatment with NaOCl at room (22°C) and body (37°C) temperatures

| Study groups     | Total biovolume (μm³/μm²) | Live cells (%) |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Control          | 1.19 (0.50–3.06)          | 94.10 (84.42–96.49) |
| 1%-5min–22°C     | 0.05 (0.02–0.25)          | 69.26 (37.62–87.38) |
| 1%-20min–22°C    | 0.04 (0.00–0.14)          | 45.13 (13.71–84.03) |
| 2.5%-5min–22°C   | 0.03 (0.00–0.16)          | 49.73 (9.63–85.07) |
| 2.5%-20min–22°C  | 0.01 (0.00–0.04)          | 19.58 (3.02–62.94) |
| 1%-5min–37°C     | 0.04 (0.01–0.20)          | 73.82 (5.27–93.17) |
| 1%-20min–37°C    | 0.03 (0.00–0.19)          | 20.13 (1.70–63.16) |
| 2.5%-5min–37°C   | 0.05 (0.04–0.15)          | 54.96 (46.64–74.96) |
| 2.5%-20min–37°C  | 0.05 (0.02–0.07)          | 30.13 (16.31–52.09) |

Different superscript letters in each column represent significant differences (n=5/group). The percentage of live cells represents the cellular viability of the remaining biofilms after treatment.
metabolic cooperation among species, and increased resistance to antimicrobial agents. These interactions are not found in mono-species biofilms.²⁸

Although, in this study, statistical differences were not found among the groups, it is worth noting that the 2.5%-NaOCl-20min at room temperature showed the highest values of biovolume reduction and antibacterial effect. These results reinforce the statement that the dissolution ability of NaOCl is directly proportional to the concentration and exposure time.²,⁵ Even so, periods of time of 15, 20, and 30 min appear to be insufficient to completely dissolve tissue and kill bacteria.²⁹ Furthermore, it was observed that the dissolution of 1%-NaOCl-37ºC for 5 and 20 min were not different than 2.5%-NaOCl-22ºC in the same exposure times, which might vaguely suggest that heating a lower concentration of NaOCl at body temperature enhanced its ability to dissolve organic matter,⁵,¹⁰ but it is very important to take these results with caution. Currently, there is no information in the literature on the dissolution effect of increasing the temperature of NaOCl using multi-species preparations, the findings appear to be somewhat contradictory. Previous publications analyzed the antimicrobial effect of the NaOCl temperature variation on uni-species biofilm⁴,¹² showing that an increase of the NaOCl temperature did not enhance its dissolution or antibacterial ability. It has been demonstrated that the type of root canal disinfection technique, such as needle irrigation, diode laser, Photon-induced Photoacoustic Streaming, sonic/ultrasonic agitation, and mechanical preparation play an important role during the root canal cleaning and shaping.³³-³⁵ Consequently, these factors could interfere with our results and they represent the main limitations of the present study. Then, it should be considered for future investigations.

Although the literature provides some insight into chemical stability and antimicrobial ability of NaOCl preparations, the findings appear to be somewhat contradictory. Previous publications analyzed the antimicrobial effect of the NaOCl temperature variation on uni-species biofilm⁴,¹² showing that the increase of the NaOCl temperature enhanced its bactericidal ability. However, the findings of Gulsahi et al¹¹ showed that the irrigation time of NaOCl was more effective than the temperature (25ºC vs 37ºC) to eliminate Enterococcus faecalis. Similar results were observed in the present study, where the antimicrobial ability of NaOCl was more dependent on its concentration and contact time than its temperature. It is important to note that the 1%-NaOCl-5 min groups, regardless of their temperature, showed a similar percentage of live cells compared to the control group. The synergistic effects that promote bacterial biovolume, the resistance of bacteria to antimicrobial agents, and their invasion in multispecies biofilms,³⁶ could be the main causes of the differences between the NaOCl groups, regardless of their temperature, showed a similar percentage of live cells compared to the control group. The synergistic effects that promote bacterial biovolume, the resistance of bacteria to antimicrobial agents, and their invasion in multispecies biofilms,³⁶ could be the main causes of the differences among the present study and other published investigations. Anyhow, more studies on the effect of NaOCl on polimicrobial biofilms formed in situ are necessary.

Regarding the bacterial revitalization, statistical differences were not found in the present study among the NaOCl room temperature groups and their respective reactivations. The same situation was repeated in the NaOCl-37ºC groups. This would seem contradictory given that in all groups varying percentages of viable cells were found, but the slow growth rate of the bacteria within a biofilm is not necessarily due to the nutrient limitation. In fact, this slowed-down bacterial reproduction could be a response to stress conditions. This response to stress causes physiological changes that protect the bacteria from the effect of temperature and pH changes and many chemical agents.³⁷ In line with this statement, Chavez de Paz et al¹³ showed that Streptococcus anginosus biofilm cells were able to recover 78% of the dehydrogenase activity and 61% of the esterase activity and its biomass mm⁻² increased around 35% after 72 hours of reactivation. In addition, Shen et al³⁸ using the Live/Dead technique, found that the revitalized biofilm took 4 weeks to reach a 75% bacterial growth, similar to the 3 weeks biofilms with nutrient supplementation. Considering these findings, it is possible that 24 hours is an insufficient time for the biofilm to change its stress metabolism to a rich nutrient metabolism. Consequently, the bacteria in the biofilm remained in a stationary phase.

Based on the aforementioned, the authors concluded that an increase of the NaOCl temperature did not enhance its dissolution or antibacterial ability when it was tested on mixed-species biofilms.

**Conclusion**

Heating the NaOCl at 37ºC did not improve its dissolution ability; its antimicrobial effect is more dependent on the concentration and contact time than the temperature. The biofilm treated with NaOCl is not capable of achieving significant levels of bio-
mass and cell viability after 24 hours of exposure to nutrient-rich conditions, except when the solution is used in low concentrations and contact times.
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