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Vaccine informatics is an emerging research area that focuses on development and applications of bioinformatics methods that can be used to facilitate every aspect of the preclinical, clinical, and postlicensure vaccine enterprises. Many immunoinformatics algorithms and resources have been developed to predict T- and B-cell immune epitopes for epitope vaccine development and protective immunity analysis. Vaccine protein candidates are predictable in silico from genome sequences using reverse vaccinology. Systematic transcriptomics and proteomics gene expression analyses facilitate rational vaccine design and identification of gene responses that are correlates of protection in vivo. Mathematical simulations have been used to model host-pathogen interactions and improve vaccine production and vaccination protocols. Computational methods have also been used for development of immunization registries or immunization information systems, assessment of vaccine safety and efficacy, and immunization modeling. Computational literature mining and databases effectively process, mine, and store large amounts of vaccine literature and data. Vaccine Ontology (VO) has been initiated to integrate various vaccine data and support automated reasoning.

1. Introduction

While the history of vaccines is relatively short, vaccines have contributed to dramatic improvements in public health worldwide. Jenner’s description of smallpox prevention in 1796 [1] is the most commonly recognized “start” of vaccine research in European historical documents, although variolation had been practiced in Asia centuries earlier. Critical advances in vaccine science took place in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, by scientists such as Pasteur, Koch, von Behring, Calmette, Guérin, and Ehrlich [2]. Discoveries by these early vaccine researchers contributed to the development of antiseraums, antitoxins, and live, attenuated bacterial vaccines. The discovery of tissue culture methods for viral and bacterial propagation in vitro during the period from 1930 to 1950 was a technical advance that enabled the development of vaccines against many viruses including measles and polio. Further advances in cell culture techniques, carbohydrate chemistry, molecular biology, and immunology have led to the modern era of “subunit” vaccine development. The recombinant hepatitis B vaccine, one of the first subunit vaccines, was licensed in 1986 [2]. This marked the beginning of the molecular biology phase of vaccine development. At present, human vaccines are used in the prevention of more than thirty infectious diseases. Due to the success of the smallpox eradication campaign in 1960s and 1970s, the powerful impact of vaccines on human health is universally recognized [3]. In addition, there exist a large number of animal vaccines [4].

With the advent of computers and informatics, new approaches have been devised that facilitate vaccine research and development. Immunoinformatics targets the use of mathematical and computational approaches to address immunological questions. Since the 1980s, many immunoinformatics methods have been developed and used to predict T-cell and B-cell immune epitopes [5]. Indeed, many predicted T- and B-cell immune epitopes are possible epitope vaccine targets. Experimentally verified immune epitopes are
now stored in web-based databases which are freely available for further analysis [6]. Immune epitope studies are crucial to uncover basic protective immune mechanisms.

A new era of vaccine research began in 1995, when the complete genome of *Haemophilus influenzae* (a pathogenic bacterium) was published [7]. In parallel with advances in molecular biology and sequencing technology, bioinformatics analysis of microbial genome data has allowed *in silico* selection of vaccine targets. Further advances in the field of immunoinformatics have led to the development of hundreds of new vaccine design algorithms. This novel approach for developing vaccines has been named reverse vaccinology [8] or immunome-derived vaccine design [9]. Reverse vaccinology was first applied to the development of vaccines against serogroup B *Neisseria meningitides* (MenB) [10]. With the availability of multiple genomes sequenced for pathogens, it is now possible to run comparative genomics analyses to find vaccine targets shared by many pathogenic organisms.

In the postgenomics era, high throughput-omics technologies—genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and large-scale immunology assays enable the testing and screening of millions of possible vaccine targets in real time. Bioinformatics approaches play a critical role in analyzing large amounts of high throughput data at differing levels, ranging from data normalization, significant gene expression detection, function enrichment, to pathway analysis.

Mathematical simulation methods have also been developed to model various vaccine-associated areas, ranging from analysis of host-pathogen interactions and host-vaccine interactions to cost-effectiveness analyses and simulation of vaccination protocols. The mathematical modeling approaches have contributed dramatically to the understanding of fundamental protective immunity and optimization of vaccination procedures and vaccine distribution.

Informatics is also changing postlicensure immunization policies and programs. Computerized immunization registries or immunization information systems (IIS) are effective approaches to track vaccination history. Bioinformatics has widely been used to improve surveillance of (1) vaccine safety using systems such as the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS, http://vaers.hhs.gov/) [11] and the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) [12] project and (2) vaccine effectiveness for each of the target vaccine preventable diseases via their respective public health surveillance systems. Computational methods have also been applied to model the impact of alternative immunization strategies and to detect outbreaks of vaccine preventable diseases and safety concerns related to vaccinations as well.

With the large amounts of vaccine literature and data becoming available, it is not only challenging but crucial to perform vaccine literature mining, generate well-annotated and comprehensive vaccine databases, and integrate various vaccine data to enhance vaccine research. Computational vaccine literature mining will allow us to efficiently find vaccine information. To effectively organize and analyze the huge amounts of vaccine data produced and published in the postgenomics and information era, many vaccine-related databases, such as the VIOLIN vaccine database and analysis system (http://www.violinet.org/) [13] and AIDS vaccine trials database (http://www.iavireport.org/trials-db/), have been developed and are available on the web. However, relational databases are not ideal for data sharing since different databases may use different schemas and formats. A biomedical ontology is a consensus-based controlled vocabulary of terms and relations, with associated definitions that are logically formulated in such a way as to promote automated reasoning. Ontologies are able to structure complex biomedical domains and relate the myriads of data accumulated in such a fashion as to permit shared understanding of vaccines among different resources. The Vaccine Ontology (VO; http://www.violinet.org/vaccineontology/) is a novel open-access ontology in the domain of vaccine [14]. Recent studies show that VO can be used to support vaccine data integration and improve vaccine literature mining [15, 16].

In summary, vaccine informatics is an emerging field of research that focuses on the development and applications of computational approaches to advance vaccine research and development (R&D) and improve immunization programs. Vaccine informatics plays an important role in every aspect of pre- and postlicensure vaccine enterprises (Figure 1). This paper summarizes the history of vaccine informatics developments in advancing vaccine research and development and immunization programs.

## 2. Immunoinformatics and Vaccine Design

This section describes immunoinformatics and how it is used for vaccine design and to study protective immune responses to vaccines.

### 2.1. Brief History of Immunoinformatics Approaches for Vaccine Design

The first immunoinformatics tools for vaccine design were developed in the 1980s by DeLisi and Berzofsky and others [17]. Chief among vaccine design informatics tools are epitope-mapping algorithms. Since the T-cell epitopes are bound in a linear form to the human leukocyte antigen (HLA), the interface between ligands and T-cells can now be modeled with accuracy. A large number of T-cell epitope-mapping algorithms have consequently been developed [18, 19]. These tools now make it possible to start with the entire proteome of a pathogen and rapidly identify putative T-cell epitopes. Such information is immensely valuable for the development of new vaccines, diagnostic purposes, and for studying the pathology of infectious diseases [5, 20–27].

Several different routes for vaccine development have been pursued. One method, which has been used by De Groot and Martin [24, 28], is to synthesize the putative T-cell epitopes and screen peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) isolated from human subjects infected with the target pathogen (or have a target cancer) for immune response to the epitopes. A T-cell *in vitro* response to a specific peptide epitope (typically measured by ELISA or ELISpot assay) served as an indicator that the protein from which the peptide was derived was expressed, processed, and presented to the immune system in the course of a “natural” immune response. This approach, often considered a means of
making epitope-based vaccines (see below), can also be used to identify proteins for use in vaccine development. This approach, described by De Groot and Martin's group as “fishing for antigens using epitopes as bait”, has been used to discover new vaccine antigens for *F. tularensis* (a bioterror agent) [28], tuberculosis [24], smallpox [29], and *H. pylori* [30].

The proteome of *M. tuberculosis* (*Mtbd*), the etiologic agent of TB, contains almost 4,000 proteins. Evaluating each one using the straightforward but expensive and laborious approach of synthesizing and testing overlapping peptides could take decades. Using epitope mapping tools, it is now possible to screen a whole proteome *in silico*, followed by a finer focus on the resulting sets of peptides [5].

The ability to accurately predict T-cell epitopes from raw genomic data is fundamental to the development of novel vaccines, and serves as the starting point for a number of research projects. Freeing the researchers from the constraints of predetermined sets of “virulence genes” has resulted in some remarkable discoveries. McMurry and De Groot [24] found extraordinary diversity of human immune responses to proteins in the *Mtbd* genome that have yet to be ascribed a function, suggesting that human immune response is omnivorous and is not focused on recognition of a single “immunodominant” protein. In addition, these investigators have found a remarkable similarity between *Francisella tularensis* (the etiologic agent for Tularemia) and (human) self, at the epitope level [28]. Thus informatics, starting at the genome, may reveal potential antigenic relationships between human proteins and pathogens, or even commensal organisms, which might predetermine individual immune response, that is, prior exposure to a given pathogen may tune immune response to a second pathogen [31].

An alternative approach, “Reverse Vaccinology,” a term coined by Rappuoli, starts with predicting putative vaccine candidates by *in silico* genomics analysis based on yet different criteria. The predicted vaccine candidates (e.g., bacterial surface proteins) are thought to stimulate protective immunity. Candidate proteins can be evaluated experimentally by demonstrating an immune response that correlates with *in vivo* protection [10]. The Reverse Vaccinology approach is well discussed later on (see below).

### 2.2. MHC Polymorphism, Epitope Variations, and Vaccine Design

The success rate of vaccine development decreases with the increasing variability of the surface antigens of pathogens and the decreasing ability of antibodies to confer protective immunity [32]. Fortunately, vaccine informatics
tools are being developed that increase the accuracy of vaccine target prediction for variable pathogens and help vaccinologists triage antigens. T-cells are activated by direct interaction with antigen presenting cells (APCs). On the molecular level, the initial interaction occurs between the T-cell receptor and peptides derived from endogenous and exogenous proteins that are bound in the cleft of MHC class I or class II molecules. In general, MHC class I molecules present peptides 8–10 amino acids in length and are predominantly recognized by CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs). Class I peptides usually contain an MHC I-allele-specific motif composed of two conserved anchor residues [33–35]. Peptides presented by class II molecules are longer, more variable in size, and have more complex anchor motifs than those presented by class I molecules [36–38]. MHC class II molecules bind peptides consisting of 11–25 amino acids and are recognized by CD4+ T helper (Th) cells.

MHC class I molecules present peptides obtained from proteolytic digestion of endogenously synthesized proteins. Host- or pathogen-derived intracellular proteins are cleaved by a complex of proteases in the proteasome. Small peptide fragments are then typically transported by ATP-dependent transporters associated with antigen processing (TAPs) and also by TAP-independent means into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where they form complexes with nascent MHC class I heavy chains and beta-2-microglobulin. The peptide-MHC class I complexes are transported to the cell surface for presentation to the receptors of CD8+ T-cells [39–41].

MHC class II molecules generally bind peptides derived from the cell membrane or from extracellular proteins that have been internalized by APCs. The proteins are initially processed in the MHC class II compartment (MIIC). Inside the MIIC, MHC is initially bound to class II-associated invariant chain peptide (CLIP) which protects the MHC from binding to endogenous peptides. Peptides generated by proteolytic processing within endosomes replace CLIP in a reaction catalyzed by the protein HLA-DM [42, 43]. The class II molecules bound to peptide fragments are transported to the surface of APCs for presentation.

To complicate matters further, HLA molecules bind different peptides due to the configuration of their HLA binding pockets. This is the source of genetic diversity of immune responses [34]. Fortunately, there is some conservation between HLA pockets, and both DeLisi and Sette have addressed the issue of HLA coverage for epitope predictions by demonstrating that epitope-based vaccines containing epitopes restricted by selected “supertype” Class I and Class II HLA can provide the broadest possible coverage of the human population [44, 45]. De Groot and Martin have constructed an algorithm, Aggregatrix, which uses the “set cover” method to identify the best set of peptides from a pathogen that would yield the broadest coverage of HLA if included in a vaccine. The Aggregatrix algorithm selects optimized epitope sets which, in terms of immunogenicity and genetic conservation, collectively “cover” a wide variety of known circulating strain variants of a given pathogen and a majority of the common human HLA types [46]. The Conservatrix algorithm is used to identify highly conserved peptide segments contained within multiple isolates of variable pathogens such as retroviruses [47]. The amino acid sequences of protein isolates are parsed into 9mer frames overlapping by eight amino acids. The resulting peptide set yields a list of unique segments and appearance frequencies. Highly conserved sequences are thought to be important in the evolutionary “fitness” of pathogens and thus are unlikely to change in an attempt to evade the immune system. Conserved sequences can be analyzed using epitope prediction software.

2.3. T-Cell Epitope Mapping. Although textbooks teach that protective immune response is attributed to the development of protective antibodies, the immune response to attenuated intact viruses and subunit vaccines is to a very large degree dependent on T-cell recognition of peptide epitopes bound to MHC. Thus targeting antigens that contain many CD4+ T helper epitopes may lead to the selection of good B-cell antigens as well as immunogens for effective CD8 responses—this is because CD4+ T helper cells are critically important to the development of memory B-cell (antibody) and memory CTL (cytotoxic T-cell) responses, in addition to being active against pathogens on their own. T helper cells have been called the “conductors of the immune system orchestra” [20]. CTLs generally play a role in the containment of viral and bacterial infection [48], and the prevalence of CTLs usually correlates with the rate of pathogen clearance. Regulatory T-cells are also represented among CD4+ T-cells, although some CD8+ Tregs have been described.

T-cell epitope algorithms now achieve a high degree of prediction accuracy (in the range of 90 to 95% Positive Predictive Value). For example, epitope mapping tools can now be compared to other available tools, using the Immune Epitope Database “gold standard” as described by Wang et al. [49]. A list of epitope mapping tools, ancillary algorithms, and their comparative features is provided in Table 1. A number of the epitope mapping tools are available to researchers via the web. These include the tool available at the SYFPEITHI website [50] and an HLA binding prediction tool available on at the National Institutes of Health (BIMAS) [51]. A recently developed set of tools has now been made available through the Immunome Epitope Database. Each of these tools has been described and validated [49]. One such proprietary algorithm, EpiMatrix, is in active use in the pharmaceutical industry [52]. While none of these sites yield exactly the same predictions, all predictions are quite accurate, especially when compared to results obtained with early epitope mapping tools (e.g., SYFPEITHI and BIMAS) [49, 52]. In general, the newer and more actively maintained algorithms tend to outperform the older more static predictive methods.

With many machine learning techniques developed since early 1990s for T-cell epitope predictions, it is possible to comparatively evaluate them through prediction performance assessments [49, 53–55]. Lin et al. compared 30 servers developed by 19 groups that can predict HLA-I binding peptides [53]. Their benchmarking study showed that predictions of six out of seven of HLA-I binding peptides achieved excellent classification accuracy. In general, nonlinear predictors outperform matrix-based predictors,
Table 1: List of online tools for T-cell epitope prediction.

| Tool         | Website                                                                 | Type                        | Class | Refs |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|
| ANNPRED      | http://www.imtech.res.in/raghava/nhlapred/neural.html                   | ANN                         | I     | [234]|
| Bimas        | http://www-bimas.cit.nih.gov/molbio/hla_bind/                           | QM                          | I     | [51] |
| EpiLen       | http://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/epijen/EpiLen/epilren.htm                   | Multi-step algorithm        | I     | [235]|
| EPIMHC       | http://imed.med.ucm.es/epimhc/                                           | user made Profiles         | I, II | [236]|
| EpiMatrix    | http://www.epivax.com/                                                  | Matrix-based and pocket     | I, II | [237]|
| HLABIND      | http://atom.research.microsoft.com/hlabinding/hlabinding.aspx            | Adaptive Double Threading   | I     | [238]|
| IEDB         | http://tools.immunoeptope.org/analyze/html/mhc_binding.html             | ARB-QM, SMM-QM, ANN-regression | I    | [239]|
| KISS         | http://cbio.ensmp.fr/kiss/                                               | SVM                         | I     | [240]|
| MHC2PRED     | http://www.imtech.res.in/raghava/mhc2pred/                              | SVM                         | II    | [241]|
| MHC Pred     | http://www.jenner.ac.uk/MHCPred                                         | Partial least-squares-based multivariate statistical method | I, II | [242]|
| MULTIPRED    | http://antigen.12r.a-star.edu.sg/multipred/                             | ANN, pHMM                   | I, II | [243]|
| MOTIF_SCAN   | http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/immunology/motif_scan/motif_scan/       | Sequence Motifs             | I, II | —    |
| NetCTL       | http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetCTL/                                  | Multi-step algorithm        | I, CTL| [60] |
| NetCTLspan   | http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetCTLpan/                                | Multi-step algorithm        | I, CTL| [62] |
| NetMHC       | http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetMHC/                                  | ANN                         | I     | [244]|
| netMHCII     | http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetMHCII/                                | SMM-QM                      | II    | [245]|
| netMHCpan    | http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetMHCpan/                               | ANN-regression              | I     | [246]|
| netMHCIIpan  | http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetMHCIIpan/                             | ANN-regression              | II    | [247]|
| PEPEVAC      | http://imed.med.ucm.es/PEPVEAC/                                         | Profiles or PSSM           | I     | [248]|
| PREDEP       | http://margalit.huiji.ac.il/Teppred/mhc-bind/index.html                 | Threading                   | I     | [249]|
| POPI         | http://iclub.life.nctu.edu.tw/POPI/                                     | SVM                         | I, II | [250]|
| PROPREDI     | http://www.imtech.res.in/raghava/propred1/                              | QM                          | I     | [251]|
| PROPRED      | http://www.imtech.res.in/raghava/propred/                               | QM                          | II    | [252]|
| RANKPEP      | http://imed.med.ucm.es/Tools/rankpep.html                               | Profiles or PSSM           | I, II | [253]|
| SVMHC        | http://abi.inf.uni-tuebingen.de/SVMHC                                  | SVM                         | I, II | [254]|
| SVRMHC       | http://svrmhc.biolead.org/                                              | SVM-regression              | I, II | [255]|
| SYFPEITHI    | http://www.syfpeithi.de/                                                | Motif matrices             | I, II | [50] |
| TEPITOPE     | http://www.vaccinome.com/                                               | QM                          | II    | [256]|
| Vaxign       | http://www.violinet.org/vaxign/                                         | PSSM                        | I, II | [110]|

Abbreviations: ANN: artificial neural networks; PSSM: position-specific scoring matrix; QM: quantitative matrices; SMM: stabilized matrix method; SVM: support vector machine; multistep algorithm: integrating predictions of proteasomal cleavage, TAP transport efficiency, and MHC class I affinity.

and most predictors can be improved by non-linear transformations of their raw prediction scores [53]. While good performance has been achieved for MHC class I predictions, there is still limited success for prediction of epitopes for HLA class II [54, 55]. The low prediction accuracy of HLA-II binding peptides is due to several factors: (a) insufficient or low-quality training data, (b) difficulty in identifying 9-mer binding cores within longer peptides used for training and lack of consideration of the influence of flanking residues, and (c) relative permissiveness of the binding groove of HLA-II molecules for peptide binding, which limits the stringency of binding [54]. Adequate predictors are lacking for predicting epitopes for HLA-C, HLA-DQ, and HLA-DP. However, Wang et al. have made a significant effort in peptide binding predictions for HLA DR, DP, and DQ molecules [56]. Their research with a large-scale datasets of over 17,000 HLA-peptide binding affinities for 11 HLA DP and DQ alleles found that prediction methodologies developed for HLA DR molecules perform equally well for DP and DQ molecules.

The generation of an MHC class-I epitope starts with the degradation of endogenous proteins into oligomeric fragments by cytosolic proteases, mainly the proteasome. These oligomeric fragments may escape from the attack of amino peptidases by entering the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) by the transporter associated with antigen presentation (TAP) [57]. The prediction algorithms for TAP binding and proteasomal cleavage have been developed [58, 59]. For
example, Peters et al. used a stabilized matrix method to predict TAP affinity of peptides [58]. This scoring method took advantage of the fact that binding of peptides to TAP is mainly determined by the C terminus and three N-terminal residues of a peptide. Predictions of the MHC class I pathway can be improved by predictions of proteasomal cleavage, TAP transport efficiency, and MHC class I binding affinity [58, 60–62].

While many successes have been made in the area of T-cell epitope prediction, the limitations of all these predictors should be noted. Our goal is to identify good vaccine targets that will induce productive immune responses. However, our ability to measure is usually done indirectly: peptide-binding assays, induction and measurement of immune responses ex vivo, use of animal models, and so forth. Only a small number of HLA-binding peptides are good targets. In clinical vaccine trials, wrong peptides were often selected using indirect methods and tested [63]. For example, the virulence and tumor maintenance capacity of high-risk Human Papillomavirus 16 (HPV-16) is mediated by two viral oncoproteins, E6 and E7. Of 21 E6 and E7 peptides computed to bind HLA-A*0201, 10 were confirmed through TAP-deficient T2 cell HLA stabilization assay. By testing their physical presence among peptides eluted from HPV-16-transformed epithelial tumor HLA-A*0201 immunoprecipitates, only one epitope (E7(11–19)) highly conserved among HPV-16 strains was detected. This 9-mer serves to direct cytolyis by T-cell lines. However, a related 10-mer (E7(11–20)), previously used as a vaccine candidate, was not detected by immune-precipitation or cytolyis assays. These data underscore the importance of precisely defining CTL epitopes on tumor cells and offer a paradigm for T-cell-based vaccine design [63].

2.4. B-Cell Epitope Mapping. It is important to clarify that limited immunoinformatics tools are currently available to identify B-cell antigens (recognized by antibodies). While humoral, or antibody-based, response represents the first line of defense against most viral and bacterial pathogens, the protein target of this arm of defense is usually too complex to model in silico. Antibodies that recognize B-cell epitopes, composed of either linear peptide sequences or conformational determinants, are present only in the three-dimensional form of the antigens. Several B-cell epitope prediction tools, including 3DEX, CEP, and Pepito, are at various stages in development and are in the process of being refined [64–67]. IEDB has collected a list of web prediction tools for B-cell epitope prediction (http://tools.immunepipe.org/main/html/bcell_tools.htm). Unfortunately, the computational resources and modeling complexity required to predict B-cell epitopes are enormous. This complexity is due, in part, to the inherent flexibility in the complementarity-determining regions (CDR) of the antibody and, in part, attributable to posttranslational modifications such as glycosylation, all of which can result in modification of B-cell epitopes.

B-cell epitopes include linear and discontinuous epitopes. Linear epitopes comprise a single continuous stretch of amino acids within a protein sequence. An epitope whose residues are distantly separated in the sequence but have physical proximity through protein folding is named a discontinuous epitope. Although most epitopes are discontinuous [68], experimental epitope detection is primarily for linear epitopes. Tools for prediction of linear B-cell epitopes exist but in general are not predictive [69, 70]. The benchmarking B-cell epitope prediction by Blythe and Flower [69] found that with the best set of scales and parameters, amino acid propensity profiles can predict linear B-cell epitopes only marginally better than random. Such a conclusion has been confirmed by another study where the dismal performance of five predictors was tested against a set of reported linear B-cell epitopes [70].

Although devising accurate B-cell epitope mapping tools remains difficult, the selection of potent B-cell antigens can be accelerated using T-cell epitope mapping tools. When considering B-cell antigens as potential subunit vaccines, it also may be important to also consider their T-cell epitope content since the quality and kinetics of the antibody response is dependent upon the presence of T help. B-cell antigens that contain significant T help may outperform B-cell antigens lacking cognate help. In some cases, an identified T-cell epitope may also contain a B-cell epitope. Different epitopes activate T and B-cells. Despite this observation, it has been widely reported that B-cell epitopes may colocalize near, or overlap, Class II (Th, CD4+) epitopes [71, 72].

2.5. Immunoinformatics-Based Vaccine Design Strategies. Different epitope-based vaccine design strategies exist, for example, mosaic vaccines [73], consensus [74, 75], centralized or ancestor immunogen [76, 77], or COT+ [78]. Mosaic vaccines are comprised of “mosaic” proteins that are assembled from fragments of natural sequences via a computational optimization method [73]. Many immunogens, such as HIV envelope proteins, have high amino acid sequence divergences. To minimize the genetic differences between vaccine strains and contemporary isolates, immunogenic consensus sequences can be detected and used in vaccine design [74, 75]. Computer programs can also be developed to generate “centralized” vaccine that consists of consensus, ancestor, or center of the tree, modeled from phylogenetic trees. These “centralized” sequences can decrease the genetic distances between the “centralized” and wild-type gene immunogens [76, 77]. In an effort to develop antigens that capture both consensus and mutation sequences among strains, Nickle et al. reconstructed COT+ antigens by including the ancestral state sequence at the center of phylogenetic tree (COT) and extending the COT immunogen through addition of a composite sequence that includes high-frequency variable sites preserved in their native contexts [78]. These epitope-based vaccine designs have proven effective and provided vaccine researchers with different options in rational vaccine design. It is promising to combine various epitope methods to improve target discovery [56, 60, 79].

Integrated systems and workflows for computational vaccinology are likely to be key for automation of vaccine target discovery [80–82]. For example, Sollner et al. introduced the
pBone/pView computational workflow that supports design and execution of immunoinformatics workflow modules, results visualization, and knowledge sharing and reuse [80]. Pappalardo et al. developed ImmunoGrid, an integrative environment for large-scale simulation of the immune system for vaccine discovery, design, and optimization [81]. Feldhahn et al. developed FREED, an extendable, open source software framework for T-cell epitope detection that integrates many prediction methods and supports implementation of custom-tailored prediction pipelines [82]. The effectiveness of these systems has been demonstrated with different applications.

The EpiVax vaccine design tools (EpiMatrix, ClustiMer, VaccineCAD, EpiAssembler, BlastiMer) are available to researchers through a portal at the Institute for Immunology and Informatics (the iVAX toolkit) [9]. The team of De Groot, Moise, and Martin have implemented the iVAX toolkit to develop four vaccines, a multiepitope TB vaccine [24], a cross-clade HIV vaccine [74], a prototype H. pylori vaccine [83], and a tularemia vaccine [84]. In collaboration with the TRIAD (Translational Immunology Research and Accelerated [vaccine] Development) program at the University of Rhode Island, iVAX is now being used to design additional vaccines including a multipathogen biodefense vaccine against Tularemia and Burkholderia spp, an epitope-based vaccine for HCV, and a vaccine derived from the deer tick saliva to prevent the acquisition of Tick-borne pathogens. In addition, iVAX has recently been used to scan the entire genome of Salmonella typhi for vaccine candidates. This program is also accessible to researchers working on Neglected Tropical Diseases through the immunome website http://immunome.org/.

3. Reverse Vaccinology

3.1. Basic Principles of In Silico Antigen Prediction. Initially, when Reverse Vaccinology (RV) was developed, prediction of putative vaccine candidates was based solely on in silico analysis of the genome of a single strain. Now that selection criteria have been implemented, however, in silico analysis remains the central step in an RV project (see Figure 2).

The first step in the process of genome interpretation, usually referred as gene finding, consists in the prediction and localization of genes onto the chromosome. This is accomplished using prediction programs, which scan the sequence in search of regions that are likely to encode proteins. In prokaryotic systems, the identification of potential coding regions or open reading frames requires implementation of a few basic rules. In the simplest formulation, open reading frames (ORFs) are identified as segments of the same frame comprised between one of the three standard start codons (ATG, TTG, GTG) and one of the three standard stop codons (TAA, TAG, TGA). It is generally accepted that there is approximately one gene for every 1000 DNA base pairs. This suggests that significantly long start-to-stop segments are likely to encode for proteins.

Genome annotation procedures can be automated to different extents. Automated methods for prokaryotic gene finding such as GLIMMER [85], ORPHEUS [86], and GeneMark [87] have been used in genome sequencing projects [88–91]. GLIMMER uses interpolated Markov models, GeneMark uses hidden Markov models, and ORPHEUS is mainly based on codon usage and ribosome binding site statistics derived from annotated genes.

An exhaustive summary of software tools and websites that can be used to obtain bacterial genome annotations was presented by Stothard and Wishart [92].

The annotation procedure allows the translation of the bacterial genome sequence into a list of all the proteins that a bacterium virtually expresses at any time in its life cycle. Each of these amino acid sequences is then compared to the content of public databases of proteins or DNA sequences in an attempt to identify related sequences. When there exist obvious sequence similarities, it is reasonable to transfer this information on the filed sequence to the query. The functional annotation of a protein is sometimes sufficient for the selection of the protein as vaccine candidate, especially when the prediction of protein subcellular localization is uncertain, for example, a protein annotated as fibronectin binding protein may be a good vaccine candidate even when localization algorithms classify it as cytoplasmic. A critical aspect is represented by sequences that lack homologues or contain only remote homologues filed in the databases. ORFs having 20% or less of amino acid identity to any amino acid sequence found in the databases are generally considered to have unreliable homologues. These could represent novel uncharacterized proteins or random open reading frames misidentified as genes. Although homology searches can identify to a limited extent ORFs that are likely to encode functional proteins, experimental authentication by proteomic techniques is usually a more powerful approach for distinguishing genes from random ORFs.

The ensemble of hypothetical proteins can be processed with software programs dedicated to deduce their possible cellular localization. One of the basic assumptions utilized for candidate searches is that a good antigen will be located on the cell surface of a bacterium, where it is readily available for antibody recognition. Several algorithms have been developed that predict the subcellular localization of proteins based solely on the amino acid sequence and composition (see Table 2). The basic assumption made is that the N-terminal sequence of the protein predicts its cellular destination. The presence of a “leader sequence” provides evidence that the proteins will be exported to extra-cytoplasmic compartments. Additional signatures may also be exploited such as the presence of a cleavage site immediately after the leader peptide. Such sites imply that the protein is released into the extra-cellular environment of Gram-positive bacteria or into the periplasmic space of Gram-negatives. Similarly, proteins that contain an LXXC motif, where X is any amino acid, positioned at the end of the protein is released into the extra-cellular environment of Gram-positive bacteria or into the periplasmic space of Gram-negatives. Similarly, proteins that contain an LXXC motif, where X is any amino acid, positioned at the end of the leader peptide are often lipoproteins. Anchoring of proteins to the Gram-positive bacterium cell wall often requires a specific carboxy-terminal sorting sequence. This sequence is identified by an LPXTG motif followed by approximately 20 hydrophobic amino acids and a charged tail. In Gram-negative bacteria, additional secretion pathways exist that promote the passage of extracellular proteins across the outer
membrane. At least six distinct extracellular protein secretion systems have been reported in Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterium (type I–VI, T1SS–T6SS) that can deliver proteins through the multilayered bacterial cell membrane and in some instances pass directly into the target host cell [93]. The six secretion systems exist in Gram-negative bacteria and the common Gram positive bacteria. Gram positive bacteria contain an additional specific secretion system (type VII) [94]. This increases the variety and complexity of secretion signals, making the identification of outer membrane and secreted proteins yet more challenging [95, 96].

Several computational methods have been generated to predict extracellular proteins in Gram-negative microorganisms [97]. PSORTb is the most widely used tool for predicting subcellular multiple localizations of organelles in Gram-negative bacteria. This program uses biological knowledge to elaborate “if-then” rules, combining information on amino acid composition, similarity to proteins of known subcellular localization, presence of signal peptides, transmembrane helices, and motifs diagnostics of specific subcellular localization. Recently, two predictive methods CELLO [98] and Proteome Analyst [99] have been proposed for Gram-negative bacteria. These programs are providing comparable performances in terms of accuracy and recall with respect to PSORTb [97].

Despite the recent progress, identification of secretion systems components in silico and their effectors still mainly relies on the detection of amino acid sequence [94] and the structural [100] similarities of selected proteins. Caution is necessary in applying these predictions, as sequence similarities can be very weak and do not necessarily imply any functional analogy.

In conclusion, by knowing the genome sequence it becomes possible to select using bioinformatics tools to generate a list of potential antigens without cultivating the microorganism. This methodology has a huge advantage over conventional vaccinology approaches for two major reasons. First of all, in silico analysis is very fast and cheap, and secondly, proteins not expressed in vitro are also identified. However, this approach only provides a prediction of a protein’s subcellular localization and it cannot reveal if a protein is expressed and under what conditions. Therefore, use of a bioinformatics approach may need to be complemented with other techniques, for example, a Mass Spectrometry-based approach to aid vaccine candidate prediction. The first RV project employed a single genome. Indeed, at that time there was only one genome available for N. meningitidis. Nowadays in most cases, there are more than five genomes available for

---

**Figure 2**: A schematic demonstration of integrative reverse vaccinology strategy towards vaccine development.
### Table 2: Tools used for reverse vaccinology.

| Tool name                              | Website URL                        | Comment                                      | Refs |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------|
| ORF prediction and genome annotation   |                                    |                                              |      |
| GLIMMER                                | http://www.cbcb.umd.edu/software/glimmer/ | Interpolated Markov models                  | [85] |
| ORPHEUS                                | http://pedant.gsf.de/orpheus/ (not working now) | Codon usage and ribosome binding statistics | [86] |
| GeneMark                               | http://exon.gatech.edu/GeneMark/   | HMM                                          | [87] |
| Bacterial protein localization prediction|                                    |                                              |      |
| PSORTb                                 | http://www.psort.org/psorth/       | Multicomponent                               | [97] |
| Proteome Analyst                       | http://webdocs.cs.ualberta.ca/~bioinfo/PA/Sub/ | Annotation keywords                          | [99] |
| SubLoc                                 | http://www.bioinfo.tsinghua.edu.cn/SubLoc/ | SVM                                          | [257]|
| CELLO                                  | http://cello.life.nctu.edu.tw/      | SVM                                          | [98] |
| PSLpred                                | http://www.imtech.res.in/raghava/pslpred/ | SVM                                          | [258]|
| LOCtree                                | http://cubic.bioc.columbia.edu/cgi/var/nair/loctree/query | SVM                                          | [259]|
| SignalP                                | http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/ | NN, HMM                                      | [260]|
| Sequence conservation                  |                                    |                                              |      |
| BLAST                                  | http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/      | Best reciprocal BLAST hit                    | [261]|
| OrthoMCL                               | http://www.orthomcl.org/cgi-bin/OrthoMclWeb.cgi | SVM                                          | [262]|
| Transmembrane domain prediction        |                                    |                                              |      |
| HMMTOP                                 | http://www.enzim.hu/hmmtop/        | HMM                                          | [263]|
| PRED-TMBB                              | http://biophysics.biol.uoa.gr/PRED-TMBB/ | HMM (β-barrel)                              | [264]|
| TBBpred                                | http://www.imtech.res.in/raghava/tbbpred/ | NN, SVM (β-barrel)                          | [265]|
| PROFtmb                                | http://cubic.bioc.columbia.edu/services/proftmb/ | HMM                                          | [266]|
| Bacterial adhesin prediction           |                                    |                                              |      |
| SPAAN                                  | ftp://203.195.151.45               | NN                                           | [112]|
| Reverse vaccinology software           |                                    |                                              |      |
| NERVE                                  | http://www.bio.unipd.it/molbinfo/ReverseVaccinology-NERVE.html | Multicomponent                              | [113]|
| Vaxign                                 | http://www.violinet.org/vaxign/    | Web-based, multicomponent                    | [110]|

Abbreviations: HMM: hidden Markov model; NN: neuron network; SVM: support vector machine.

any human pathogen. Therefore, the in silico analysis can take advantage of comparative genomics.

3.2. Comparative Genomics and the Pangenome Concept. Today, the number of fully sequenced microbial genomes exceeds 1000 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/) (Many are not from pathogens). It is clear that microbial diversity has been vastly underestimated, and a single genome does not exhaust the genomic diversity of any bacterial species [101, 102]. In many cases, an extensive genomic plasticity exists. For example, completion of the genome sequence of *E. coli* O157:H7 revealed that it contains >1,300 strain-specific genes compared to *E. coli* K12, which encode proteins that are involved in virulence and metabolic capabilities [103, 104]. Additional reports have revealed the occurrence of an extensive amount of genomic diversity among the strains of a single species [105–107].

These early findings were formalized with the definition of the bacterial pangenome, as the sum of the genes present in each individual species. This concept was originally introduced during study of the genome variability in eight isolates of *Streptococcus agalactiae* (also known as Group B streptococcus or GBS). It was found that each new genome had an average of 30 genes that were not present in any of the previously sequenced genomes. Not every bacterial species has the same level of complexity as GBS. For instance, the pangenome for *Bacillus anthracis* can be adequately described by four genome sequences. Hence, scientists refer to certain species as having an “open” and others a “closed” pangenome. In species with an open pangenome, there
are an unlimited number of new genes found for every genome. In closed pangenomes, there are only a limited number of strain-specific genes. The differences in the nature of the pangenome reflect several factors: differing lifestyles of two organisms, the number of closely related species in the same environment and physiological state, the ability of each species to acquire and stably incorporate foreign DNA (an advantage in niche adaptation from the acquisition of laterally transferred DNA), and the recent evolutionary history of each species. It should be noted that the imperfection of our definition of a bacterial species, for example, B. anthracis and B. cereus, can be considered the same species by some criteria, may render pangenome analysis more complicated. As the definition of a pangenome improves, the coverage of strains included in a bacterial species will change and thus alter the analysis results.

A pangenome can be divided into three elements: (1) a core genome that is shared by all strains (2) a set of dispensable genes that are shared by some but not all isolates, and (3) a set of strain-specific genes that are unique to each isolate. For S. agalactiae, the core genome encodes the basic aspects of S. agalactiae biology and was as such predicted to rapidly converge to 80% of the genome in each isolate. Conversely, dispensable and strain-specific genes, which are largely composed of hypothetical, phage-related and transposon-related genes [108], contribute to its genetic diversity. The concept of the pangenome and comparative genomics has practical applications in vaccine research. In fact, while obviously the ideal vaccine candidate is a conserved protein encoded by a gene present in every isolate, the level of distinction and the function played by carrier versus virulent strains of each isolate. For example, since outer membrane proteins containing more than one transmembrane helix are difficult to clone and purify [10], the number of transmembrane domains of a candidate protein is often used as an additional filtering criterion. Bacterial adhesins play critical roles in adherence, colonization, and invasion of microbial pathogens to host cells [112]. Therefore, adhesins are essential for bacterial survival and are possible targets for vaccine development. Two RV software programs, NERVE [113] and Vaxign [110], utilize these criteria. Since RV focuses on predicting antigens using protein sequences, immune epitope prediction based on amino acid sequences can also be considered as a criterion for RV vaccine design [110].

Vaxign (http://www.violinet.org/vaxign/) is the first web-based vaccine design program based on genome sequences species that only rarely result in disease, there exist multiple virulence factors and toxins that are uniquely associated with infection. Therefore, comparative genomics can be used to identify the “pathogenicity signature” associated with the most virulent bacterial strains or the strains that are successful in colonization. Comparative genomics can also be used to compare various strains that exhibit different virulence levels, for example, commensal nonpathogenic strains versus virulent ones, to find specific vaccine candidates [110]. The advantages include making a vaccine against commensal strains and narrowing down the pool of vaccine candidates. It is anticipated that most virulence factors will be found in accessory genomes, at least the ones that determine increased pathogenicity. However, presently comparative genomics is not able to identify expression variability that contributes to the different manifestations of pathogenicity of bacterial strains. Hence, functional studies are still critically needed to shed light on the relevance of specific virulence factors.

Another potential application could be studies of certain species of bacterial symbionts such as Mycoplasma, Rickettsiae, and Chlamydiae. These species, instead of acquiring genes during evolution, have actually lost significant levels of their genetic information [111]. Primarily biosynthetic pathway genes have been lost because intracellular bacteria have a relatively constant environment with access to much of what they require for survival. By applying the concept of pangenomics to these species, we would obtain a “microgenome” representative of the set of genes necessary to live in the intracellular niche. Comparing this “microgenome” with the pangenome for free-living species will likely simplify the identification of genes necessary for the microorganism to survive in varying and unfavorable environments. Housekeeping genes specific to the pathogen are considered vaccine candidates.

In comparison to a half decade ago, comparative genomics studies have become incredibly easy to perform. For the most important human pathogens, the average number of genomes for the different available strains is above five. Therefore, for new RV studies, the conservation level of selected antigens can be determined. Antigen conservation level is important since conserved antigens can be used to develop a broad strain protective vaccine [32].

In addition to the basic mechanisms of the RV strategy described above, additional criteria can be added. For example, since outer membrane proteins containing more than one transmembrane helix are difficult to clone and purify [10], the number of transmembrane domains of a candidate protein is often used as an additional filtering criterion.
utilizing the RV strategy. Predicted features in the Vaxign pipeline include protein subcellular location, transmembrane helices, adhesin probability, conservation to human and/or mouse proteins, sequence exclusion from genome(s) of nonpathogenic strain(s), and epitope binding to MHC class I and class II. Vaxign has been demonstrated to successfully predict vaccine targets for Brucella spp. [114, 115] and uropathogenic Escherichia coli [110]. Currently, more than 100 genomes have been precomputed using the Vaxign pipeline and available for query in the Vaxign website. Vaxign also performs dynamic vaccine target prediction based on input sequences.

The availability of three-dimensional structure may facilitate epitope prediction and antigen discovery [116, 117]. It would be ideal to also consider inclusion of analysis of high throughput transcriptomics and proteomics data to aid in complementary identification of vaccine candidates.

4. Transcriptomics and Proteomics Data Analysis for Vaccine R&D

Beside genomics methods in vaccine studies (described above), high-throughput transcriptomics and proteomics technologies (i.e., microarray) have been used for vaccine target design and analysis of vaccine-induced host immune responses. These assay systems are able to measure the expression pattern of thousands of genes in parallel, permitting the generation of large amounts of gene expression data. Bioinformatics techniques will play a critical role in analyzing such data and in making novel discoveries. In general, bioinformatics analysis of transcriptomics and proteomics data includes the following: (1) data preprocessing such as data quality controls and normalization, (2) statistical analysis of significantly regulated genes, (3) gene grouping and pattern discovery analyses, and (4) inference of biological pathways and networks [118, 119]. Depending on the specific research goals of any given project, different informatics tools may be applied individually or in combination.

Data processing is important in minimizing the effects of experimental artifacts and random noise. Companies that market microarrays usually provide their own methods for raw data processing and data quality control. For example, the GeneChip Operating Software (GCOS) expression analysis software provided by Affymetrix (Santa Clara, CA) can be used to process image data and the signals from the Affymetrix DNA microarrays [120]. The probe sets of Affymetrix microarray data are labeled present (P), absent (A), or marginal (M) based on the default P values set up in the GCOS system. Such labeling provides a useful approach for gene filtering. Commonly used microarray normalization methods include the Affymetrix MicroArray Suite MAS 5.0 (implemented in GCOS), the Robust Multichip Analysis (RMA) method [121], and the method of Li and Wong [122]. The software programs implementing these methods can be downloaded from the BioConductor (http://www.bioconductor.org/), a repository for open source and open development software programs developed specifically for the analysis and comprehension of omics data [123].

A common task in analyzing microarray data is to identify up- or down-regulated gene lists [124]. Fold changes of gene expression values between treatment group and nontreated controls were first used by biologists. However, this method may miss biologically important genes that exhibit small fold changes but have statistical significance. It also overemphasizes those genes with large fold changes but have little or no statistical significance [119]. Frequently used statistical methods for the determination of significantly changed genes include analysis of variance (ANOVA) [125], significance analysis of microarrays (SAM) [126], and the BioConductor package Linear Models for Microarray Data (LIMMA) [127]. ANOVA is a highly flexible analytical approach and is used in various commercial and open-source software packages [125]. SAM identifies genes with statistically significant expression changes by assimilating a set of gene-specific t-tests [126]. LIMMA uses linear models and empirical Bayesian methods to assess differential expression in microarray experiments [127].

Once the lists of up- or down-regulated genes are determined, they can be grouped into expression classes to identify patterns of gene expression and to provide greater insight into their biological functions and relevance. “Unsupervised and supervised” computational methods can be used for gene clustering analysis [128]. “Unsupervised” methods arrange genes and samples in groups or clusters based solely on the similarities in gene expression. Examples of unsupervised clustering methods include hierarchical clustering [129], self-organizing maps [12], and model-based clustering (e.g., CRCView [130]). “Supervised” methods, for example, EASE [131] and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) [132], use sample classifiers and gene expression to identify hypothesis-driven correlations. The Gene Ontology program (GO) is frequently used for gene enrichment analysis by many software programs, such as DAVID [133] and GOStat [134]. Additional GO-based microarray data analysis approaches can be found at http://www.geneontology.org/GO.tools.microarray.shtml.

The next level of DNA and protein array data analysis is the inference of biological pathways and networks [135, 136]. Several methods have been explored to model gene expression data including simple correlation [137], differential equations [138], neural networks [139], and Bayesian networks [140, 141]. These methods have different advantages and disadvantages [135, 136]. Simple correlation assumes linear and typically pairwise relationships. These limitations render it difficult for the investigator to identify multidimensional relationships between variables [142]. While methods utilizing differential equations are accurate, they are often “hand created” and as such are limited to the use of a small number of variables [142]. In contrast, neural networks make accurate predictions by mapping the data onto a high-dimensional polynomial. This allows the variables to influence each other in complex ways [139]. However, the use of neural networks assumes that everything is affected by the changing variable. This renders it difficult to identify such mechanisms. Bayesian networks (BN) represent a powerful method for identifying causal or apparently causal patterns in gene expression data. A key advantage
of Bayesian networks is that they are relatively agnostic to the complexity of the relationships predicted and can model linear, nonlinear, combinatorial, stochastic, and other types of relationships among variables across multiple levels of biological organizations [143]. However, current Bayesian network approaches are also subject to limitations. For example, the expression levels must be discretized, leading to varying degrees of loss of information [135].

The combined application of transcriptomics and proteomics experiments in conjunction with specialized informatics analyses has many applications in the field of vaccine research and development. First, these “omics” methods can be used to discover vaccine targets for many microorganism-induced diseases as well as cancers [144, 145]. For example, the sexual stages of malarial parasites are essential for transmission of the disease by the mosquito and as such are the targets for malaria vaccine development. To better understand how genes participate in the sexual development process, Young et al. utilized microarrays to profile the transcriptomes of high-purity stage I-V Plasmodium falciparum gametocytes [146]. An ontology-based pattern identification algorithm was applied to identify a 246 gene sexual development cluster. Some of the genes have the potential of being used for vaccine development. Sturniolo et al. [147] developed a matrix-based computational algorithm when applied to DNA microarray experiments all data was used successfully to predict human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class II ligands and differentially expressed colon cancer genes. A list of peptides uniquely associated with colon cancer was identified. These are potentially immunogenic. These peptides provide a basis for rational vaccine development against colon cancer.

One practical problem in vaccine investigation is that for most diseases, no immune response correlates well with protection. To solve this issue, systems biology (Omics and bioinformatics) approaches have also been used to detect gene signatures induced in vaccinated hosts (e.g., humans) that correlate and even predict protective immunity. For example, two recently published studies examined early gene signatures induced in humans vaccinated with the attenuated yellow fever vaccine YF17D [148, 149]. Each study analyzed total peripheral-blood mononuclear cells from different cohorts of human volunteers at various time points following vaccination with YF17D. Early effects (3 and 7 days postvaccination) on gene expression were determined using microarrays and were analyzed using bioinformatics approaches. Many genes involved in innate immune response (e.g., Toll-like receptor signaling and inflammasome) were discovered. Gaucher et al. [149] identified a group of transcription factors, including interferon-regulatory factor 7 (IRF7), signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1), and ETS2, as key regulators of the early immune response to the YF17D vaccine [149]. YF17D was found to trigger the proliferation of several leukocyte subtypes including macrophages, dendritic cells, natural killer cells, and lymphocytes [149]. Definition of this “baseline” innate immunity response subsequently allowed detection of defective hyperrespons (excessive CCR5 activation) in a YF17D vaccinee who had developed a serious viscerotopic adverse event [150]. In another study, Querec et al. [148] discovered gene signatures that correlate with the magnitude of antigen-specific CD8+ T-cell responses and antibody titers [148]. EIF2AK4, a key gene in the integrated stress response, was found among most of the predictive signatures. The actual predictive capacity of a gene signature was verified using the signatures for CD8+ T-cell responses from the first trial to predict the outcome of the second trial and vice versa. Another distinct early gene signature that included TNFRSF17 (a receptor for B-cell-activating factor) was found to predict the neutralizing antibody titers as late as 90 days following vaccination [148].

Microarray-based methods have also been used to investigate vaccine safety [151]. For example, McKinney et al. used protein microarrays to compare 108 serum cytokines and chemokines in vaccine recipients before and one week after smallpox vaccination [151]. Among 74 individuals studied, 22 experienced systemic adverse events. Machine-learning and statistical analyses identified six cytokines that accurately discriminate between individuals on the basis of their adverse event status. A DNA microarray-based system has also been developed to evaluate the genetic signatures of the toxicity of many vaccines including pertussis vaccine [152] and influenza vaccines [153].

5. Mathematical Simulations for Vaccine R&D

Integrative research, development, and uses of vaccines follow a cyclical fashion where mathematical and computational simulations are connected with experimentation leading to improved accuracy and reduced cost in vaccine R&D [154]. Many mathematical simulations have been developed to support different areas of vaccine research and development (R&D). These studies support various vaccine-associated aspects including vaccine discovery and development, vaccine production and stockpiling, vaccination protocol optimization, vaccine distribution, and vaccine regulation. Here we introduce some striking examples.

Mathematical models have been developed to study the dynamics of host-pathogen and host-vaccine interactions [155]. For example, Kirschner et al. integrate information over relevant biological and temporal scales to generate a model for major histocompatibility complex class II-mediated antigen presentation [156]. This multiscale mathematical model simulates molecular, cellular, tissue, and organ/organism, and the interactions between different levels. This model has been used to answer questions about mechanisms of infection and new strategies for treatment and vaccines. The same group has developed a multifaceted approach to modeling tuberculosis-induced granuloma, a self-organizing structure of immune cells forming in the lung and lymph nodes in response to bacterial invasion [157–159]. Many mathematical models have been developed to understand the mechanisms and limitations of HIV control by humoral and cell-mediated immunity [160]. These studies suggest that CD8+ T-cells do “too little too late” to prevent the establishment of HIV infection. However, passively administered antibody acts very early to reduce the initial viral count and slow HIV growth [160]. Cell culture-based
Influenza virus manufacturing is of growing importance. Influenza virus is able to replicate and induce apoptosis in host cells. Combined with experiments, Schulze-Horsel et al. have formulated a mathematical model to describe changes in the concentration of uninfected and influenza A virus-infected adherent cells, dynamics of virus particle release, and the time course of the percentage composition of the cell population [161]. This model can be used to characterize and maximize viral titer yield in the bioreactors meant to produce virus for use in influenza vaccines.

Cost-effectiveness analyses (CEA) of vaccination programs can be performed using mathematical modeling [162]. For effective evaluation of cost effectiveness, a model is generally required which considers the relevant biological, clinical, epidemiological, and economic factors of a vaccination program. CEA modeling methods have been categorized based on three main attributes: static/dynamic, stochastic/deterministic, and aggregate/individual based. The modeling methods for CEAs of vaccination programs can be improved in the areas of model choice, construction, assessment, and validation [162]. CFA has been applied to study different vaccination programs such as human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination [163], influenza vaccination [164], and vaccine with pneumococcal conjugate vaccine [165].

Mathematical modeling can be used to simulate and optimize vaccination protocols. The combination of in silico and in vivo studies has the ability to reduce the time, effort, and cost of vaccine studies by orders of magnitude [166, 167]. For example, Pappalardo et al. designed and implemented SimTriplex, an agent-based model specifically tailored to simulate the effects of tumor-preventive cell vaccines in HER-2/neu transgenic mice prone to mammary carcinoma development [168]. The SimTriplex mathematical model combined with genetic algorithm has been used to search for new vaccination schedules to prevent tumors in HER-2/neu transgenic mice [166, 169, 170]. It has been found that the computational model can be used for simulation of immune responses (“in silico” experiments), leading to optimization of vaccine protocols. Pennisi et al. also developed MetastaSim, a hybrid Agent Based-ODE model for the simulation of the Triplex cell vaccine-elicited immune system response against lung metastases in mice [167]. MetastaSim simulates the main features of the immune system. Both innate and adaptive immune responses are covered. This model includes different cell types and molecules, such as dendritic cells, macrophages, cytotoxic lymphocytes, antibodies, antigens, IL-12, and IFN-γ. Their study with MetastaSim demonstrated that it is possible to obtain in silico a 45% reduction in the number of vaccinations [167].

Mathematical modeling plays an important role in postlicensure vaccine informatics and in assessing the impact of immunizations against target diseases. For example, Blower et al. developed a mathematic model to predict the tradeoff between efficacy and safety of live attenuated HIV vaccines [171]. More details in this topic are introduced in the following section.

6. Postlicensure Vaccine Informatics

Successful vaccine immunization induces protective immunity in the individual. Equally important for most infectious diseases, when a sufficiently high threshold of a group of individuals is immunized, a “herd effect” is observed at the population level where the incidence of the disease in the remaining unimmunized members of the group is lower than it would be otherwise [172]. Due to the large societal benefits of immunizations, almost all governments (generally at the state/provincial or national levels) organize formal targeted immunization programs to maximize vaccine coverage. The impact of the immunization programs is to reduce the incidence of the targeted disease. For some infectious diseases where the characteristics permit [173] (e.g., smallpox, polio, measles, neonatal tetanus), regional or global initiatives to eliminate or eradicate the targeted disease may be organized. Routine or special immunization programs are increasingly complex to initiate. Ongoing endeavors not uncommonly require careful orchestration and planning for sustained and repeated immunizations of millions of persons annually in most jurisdictions. Vaccine informatics is critical to providing accurate data and facilitates the smooth planning, organization, implementation, and monitoring of almost every aspect of such complex immunization programs. The introduction of each new recommended vaccine into an already crowded pediatric immunization schedule adds to this complexity [174]. We describe next some of the better known postlicensure vaccine informatic systems: tracking immunization history in computerized immunization information systems (IIS) or registries, informatics methods for improving surveillance of vaccine safety and efficacy, and modeling impact of alternative immunization strategies against target diseases.

6.1. Computerized Immunization Information Systems (or Immunization Registries). Accurate tracking of vaccination history is essential to ensure proper completion of the primary immunization schedule and subsequent booster doses. This seemingly straightforward task is nontrivial system-wide when compounded by an increasingly mobile population, immunization schedules of increasing complexity, multiple vaccine manufacturers of the same vaccine, multiple health care providers and/or health insurance for the same individual (a problem in the U.S.), multiple individual with same name, and so forth. Add in small vaccine vials with hard to read small fonts in a busy pediatric clinic serving many crying babies simultaneously, the opportunities for inaccurate or nonrecording of an administered vaccination is substantial in developed and developing countries.

Computerized immunization information systems (IIS) provide an obvious potential solution to these challenges. In the U.S., the first large IISs were organized in Delaware in the early 1970s [175]. This action was followed by several health maintenance organization (HMOs) with the dual purpose of linking the IIS to medical visits for rigorous studies of vaccine safety [176]. The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation funded the All Kids Count I and II programs in the 1990s in multiple communities. This provided an important
impetus to the field [175]. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) now provide some financial and technical assistance for public sector IIS in almost every state (http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/programs/iis/default.htm) Th-
is work is aided by partners such as the American Immuni-
ization Registry Association (http://immregistries.org/) and
the Public Health Informatics Institute (http://phi.org/).
Internationally, Australia [177], Canada [178], and Norway
[179] are some of the other countries with active IIS.
IISs also have the potential to provide a foundation of
child health registries [175] and electronic health records
[180]. While initially focused on routine pediatric immu-
nizations, registries in many locations have been expanded
to meet other needs, including adolescent and adult immu-
nizations [181], disasters [182], targeting of at risk populations
[182, 183], study vaccine refusal [184], and facilitating
accurate and timely reporting of vaccine adverse events
[185]. Substantial progress has also been attained in the pro-
tection of privacy and confidentiality; in ensuring participa-
tion of all immunization providers and recipients, to ensure
appropriate functioning of registries, and to ensure sustaina-
ble funding for registries [186]. However, challenges rem-
ain in exchanging information among different IISs, and ac-
ross state lines. The National Vaccine Advisory Commit-
tee has issued recommendations on how to overcome
these challenges (http://www.hhs.gov/nvpo/nvac/IISRecolm-
mandationsSep08.htm).

6.2. Informatics Methods for Improving Surveillance of Vaccine Safety and Efficacy. Before a vaccine is licensed, it undergoes
rigorous testing in preclinical (laboratory and animal) and
phased human clinical trials for safety and efficacy [187].
Due mainly to cost (intensive monitoring perprotocol is
expensive) and ethical (once a vaccine is determined to
be safe and effective, it is no longer ethical to withhold it
from others in need) considerations, however, the sample
size and duration of followup in prelicensure trials are
usually limited. This means surveillance for both vaccine
safety and effectiveness [188] in larger immunized popu-
lation postlicensure and postmarketing is needed. This is
challenging because trial conditions (e.g., double-blinding,
randomization) that permit straightforward comparison
between vaccinated and unvaccinated groups no longer hold.
Substantial data collection and adjustments on possible
confounders, when possible, are needed to fully analyze and
interpret such observational studies.

Post-licensure monitoring for vaccine safety can gener-
ally be divided into hypothesis generating and hypothesis
testing. Since vaccine coverage for many vaccines can be
close to universal, by definition, anyone with a medical
adverse event will have previously been vaccinated. Spont-
aneous reporting or passive surveillance systems like the
U.S. Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS,
http://vaers.hhs.gov/) in the U.S. [189] and elsewhere [190],
where medical problems suspected to be caused by the
vaccination can be reported to health authorities, provide
the bulk of new vaccine safety hypotheses. Due to the
large number of reports (>20,000 annually to VAERS), data
mining techniques are beginning to be applied to triage
reports worthy of further attention [191].

Once a vaccine safety concern is provisionally identified,
based on our understanding of likely pathophysiology and
nonrandom clustering of cases in onset time after vacci-
nation, a formal study is usually needed to (1) confirm
whether the etiologic link with vaccination is real and not
coincidental, and (2) identify the magnitude of the risk (to
assist in risk-benefit determination for the immunization).
Since these safety concerns are likely to be rare (otherwise
they would have been detected pre-licensure), confirmatory
pharmacoepidemiologic studies of large vaccinated popu-
lations are usually needed to “test the hypothesis”. Large
national (e.g., Denmark) or population (e.g., Managed
Care Organization (MCO)) health care systems, where
members have unique personal identifiers and most of the
care for both vaccinations (exposure) and medical visits
(outcome) are automated, provide an efficient platform for
piggy-backing vaccine safety pharmacoepidemiologic studies
[192]. The Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) project in the
US, a consortium of 8 MCO’s representing ~3% of the
population, has been used as a prototype of how such large
linked databases can be used for rigorous vaccine safety
studies [176, 193]. Examples include rotavirus vaccine and
intussusception [194], thimerosal and neurologic adverse
events [195], and vaccinations and central demyelination
[196]. Similar large-linked vaccine safety databases have been
created in England [197] and Vietnam [198].

Safety issues cannot be assessed directly and can only
be inferred from the relative absence of multiple adverse
events. Therefore, standardizing the case definitions used
to assess adverse events is needed to allow for mean-
ful comparison of vaccine safety data in various set-
tings. Recognizing this need, the Brighton Collaboration
(https://brightoncollaboration.org/public) was formed in
1999 as a voluntary global collaboration to facilitate the
development, evaluation, and dissemination of high quality
information about the safety of human vaccines in both
pre- and post-licensure settings. To date, 28 guidelines and
case definitions have been developed and are freely available
to users. The case definitions are tiered by the level of
evidence available and will differ based on whether the
data are gathered in prospective clinical trials or passive
postmarketing surveillance and on the level of resource
availability (e.g., developed versus developing countries).
Since its inception, the Collaboration has helped to form a
critical mass of experts interested in vaccine safety that can
potentially be convened or accessed as new vaccine safety
issues arise. The Brighton Collaboration Viral Vector Vaccine
Safety Working Group is exploring using the “wiki” model of
mass collaboration for completing and maintaining standard
templates on characteristics of various viral vectors [199].

Post-licensure monitoring for vaccine effectiveness is
usually done by examining the impact on targeted diseases.
For reasonable sensitivity and specificity for monitoring
trends of the disease, this usually requires the establishment
of some type of public health surveillance system. For exam-
ple, the recent reintroduction of rotavirus vaccine in the US
has resulted in delayed onset and diminished magnitude of
rotavirus activity [200]. A decline in invasive pneumococcal disease was observed after the introduction of conjugate pneumococcal vaccine [201]. Similar data was obtained in developing countries, as was done with introduction of conjugate Haemophilus influenza type b vaccine in Mali [202]. When disease remains high or an outbreak occurs despite high vaccine coverage, a special epidemiologic study to assess vaccine effectiveness may be needed. This type of action was undertaken after a posthoneymoon period measles outbreak in Burundi [203], the resurgence of diphtheria in the former Soviet Union [204], and the introduction of a monovalent oral type 1 poliovirus vaccine in India [205].

6.3. Modeling of Impact of Immunizations against Target Diseases. The cyclical nature of epidemics of many infectious diseases such as plague and smallpox in humans (and other animals) was noted by ancient historians prior to the introduction of immunization in modern times [206]. This periodicity was described as a mathematical relationship between susceptible and immune individuals in a population over time that interacted with an external infectious force by Ronald Ross and Anderson Gray McKendrick at the beginning of the 20th Century [207]. It was not until the early 1980’s, however, that Anderson and May systematically organized and effectively organized disparate works in population biology, ecology, and epidemiology into mathematical models of infectious diseases that linked the theory with practical translation into public health policy (e.g., vaccinations) [208]. Their 1991 textbook “Infectious Diseases of Humans: Dynamics and Control” [209] has helped to create a cohort of mathematical modelers that have furthered our understanding of transmission of infectious agents within human communities and design programs for their control. As Geographic Information Systems (GISs) that integrate and analyze spatial information become increasingly available for linkage with public health databases [210], this should aid continued refinements in various assumptions used in mathematical models of infectious diseases.

Irrespective of the model or the target disease, a key concept in any mathematical model is the basic reproductive rate or $R_0$ of a microorganism—the average number of secondary infections produced when one infected individual is introduced into a totally susceptible population. The goal of any control program (e.g., immunizations) is to reduce the $R_0$ as much as possible. For disease elimination or eradication programs, it must be <1 [211]. Another key concept is "herd immunity"; the indirect effect of some vaccines on reduction of disease transmission beyond the protection in actual vaccine recipients [172]. Most mathematical models attempt to describe as accurately as possible the flow of a human population from susceptibility (usually at birth or with the waning of maternally derived immunity), infected (by wild disease or vaccination), and immune states (adjusting for various variables such as mixing) transmission coefficient, vaccine effectiveness, and duration of protection. Each of these variables in turn can be further modeled (e.g., mixing can differ with age-classes or other subpopulations).

Historically, one of the more successful integrations of mathematical modeling of vaccine-preventable diseases and immunization program policies has been for measles [203, 211, 212] and rubella [213]. Mathematical models have also been critical for understanding how best to (a) introduce newly licensed vaccines like human papillomavirus vaccine [214], (b) control new emerging public health problems, such as pandemic influenza [215], (c) how best to optimize control of a vaccine-preventable disease (such as impact of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine on emergence of penicillin-resistant strains) [216], or (d) how spatio-temporal variation in birth rates may explain the observed patterns of rotavirus disease after the introduction of new rotavirus vaccine [200].

7. Vaccine Literature Mining, Databases, and Data Integration

Vaccine informatics is dedicated to the acquisition, processing, storage, distribution, analysis, and interpretation of vaccine-associated data by means of computing methods and tools. Advanced DNA sequencing, molecular, cellular, and immunological methods have provided a huge amount of vaccine-related data. These data have been processed and analyzed by exponentially expanded computational power and new algorithms. To facilitate advanced vaccine research and development, the large amounts of vaccine literature data need to be processed and mined. Different types of vaccine databases are also needed to store various vaccine data. Eventually, all these data need to be integrated within the vaccine domain and with other biomedical data for computational reasoning and discovery of new knowledge.

7.1. Vaccine Literature Mining. The papers and authors related to vaccine/vaccination have increased exponentially. Only six vaccine-related papers were published and recorded in PubMed before 1900. In the first half of the 20th century, 1,210 vaccine-related papers were published. This number has increased almost 100-fold in the second half of the last century. In addition, the numbers of vaccine publications have increased exponentially (Figure 3). For example, 6,399 vaccine-related papers were published during the period of 1951–1960, and 96,938 in 2001–2010. Therefore, the number of papers published annually in PubMed has increased more than 15-fold during the past 50 years.

It has become increasingly challenging to retrieve useful vaccine data for research purposes from the huge amount of vaccine literature. Literature mining has been used to facilitate the discovery and analysis of potential vaccine targets. For example, cross-matching and analysis of the literature and in silico-derived data allowed the selection of 189 putative vaccine candidates from the entire Mycobacterium tuberculosis genome [217]. In this study, the first step towards the selection of vaccine candidates was to accumulate published experimental data from a literature scan of documented studies with a focus on global analyses. The literature sources were then grouped based on different categories (e.g., macrophage). This literature mining approach detected 189 potential vaccine candidates. These were studied further through in silico functional analysis and immunoinformatics epitope prediction. A qualitative score
was designed based on a total of 14 criteria and used to rank and prioritize the gene list [217].

Literature mining can also be used to analyze vaccine-associated host immune response networks. For example, Ozgur et al. recently applied a literature mining and network centrality analysis [218] to analyze the IFN-γ and vaccine-associated gene networks [219]. Among approximately 1,000 genes found to interact with IFN-γ, 102 genes were predicted to be vaccine-associated and 52 of them were verified by manual curation. The production of IFN-γ is crucial for successful immune response induced by vaccines against various viruses and intracellular bacteria. For example, these include HIV [220], *M. tuberculosis* [221], *Leishmania* spp. [222], and *Brucella* spp. [223]. The discovery of the IFN-γ and vaccine-mediated gene network provides a comprehensive view of the vaccine-induced protective immune network and generates new hypotheses for further experimental testing.

Two literature mining programs presented in the Vaccine Investigation and Online Information Network (VIOLIN; see next section) were developed for general vaccine literature searching and analysis [13]. Vaxpresso (http://www.violinet.org/textpresso/cgi-bin/home) is a vaccine literature mining program using natural language processing (NLP) and ontology-based literature searching [224]. For a list of selected pathogens, Vaxpresso contains all possible vaccine-related papers extracted from PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed). Vaxpresso is able to retrieve and sort article sentences that match specific keywords and ontology-based categories. Vaxmesh (http://www.violinet.org/litersearch/meshtree/meshtree.php) is a vaccine literature browser based on the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH). MeSH is a controlled vocabulary of medical and scientific terms that is used for indexing PubMed articles in a consistent way supporting PubMed literature mining. Vaxmesh enables users to locate articles using MeSH terms in a hierarchical MeSH tree structure.

### 7.2. Web-Based Vaccine Databases and Online Resources

Many publicly available vaccine databases and online resources exist (Table 3). For example, the USA CDC Vaccine Information Statements (VISs) system (http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/vis/) provides information sheets that explain to vaccine recipients, their parents, or their legal representatives both the benefits and risks of a vaccine. Federal law in the US requires that VISs be handed out for all vaccines before their use. The licensed vaccine information is provided by the U.S. FDA (http://www.fda.gov/Biologics-BloodVaccines/Vaccines/default.htm). The Vaccine Resource Library (VRL, http://www.path.org/vaccines/vis/) offers various high quality, scientifically accurate documents and links to specific diseases and topics in immunization.

These databases focus primarily on the clinical uses and regulations of existing vaccines for vaccine users. To store and analyze research data concerning commercial vaccines and vaccines under clinical trials, or in early stages of development, the Vaccine Investigation and Online Information Network (VIOLIN, http://www.violinet.org/) was developed. VIOLIN is a web-based vaccine database and analysis system primarily targeted for vaccine researchers [13]. The VIOLIN vaccine database currently contains more than 2,700 vaccines, or vaccine candidates, for more than 160 pathogens through manual curation from >1500 peer-reviewed papers or other reliable sources. The stored vaccine data includes vaccine preparation, pathogen genes used and gene engineering, vaccine adjuvants and vectors, vaccine-induced host immune responses, and vaccine efficacy in host after virulent challenge. VIOLIN curates more than 500 protective antigens (http://www.violinet.org/protegen/) [225]. Vaccine-related pathogen and host genes are also annotated and available for searching through customized BLAST programs. VIOLIN also stores and processes all possible vaccine literature through different text mining programs [13]. Vaxign, a web-based vaccine design program based on reverse vaccinology strategy [110], is also a program in VIOLIN.

Besides the above databases which focused on vaccine awareness and vaccine research, many other databases are available that are useful for vaccine research and development. For example, more than 65,000 antibody and T-cell epitopes have been deposited in the Immune Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (http://www.immuneepitope.org/) since the database was established in 2004 [6]. These immune epitopes cover a broad range of species including humans, nonhuman primates, rodents, and other animal species as related to all infectious diseases [6]. AntigenDB is an immunoinformatics database of pathogen antigens and store sequences, structures, origins, and epitopes [226].

### 7.3. Development of a Community-Based Vaccine Ontology (VO)

Although public vaccine databases provide help with different aspects of vaccine knowledge and research, it remains a challenge to integrate this disparate body of information on vaccines. Data integration is hampered since the data are often collected using incompatible or poorly described methods for data capture, storage, and
dissemination. Integration is also complicated as investigators use independently derived local terminologies and data schemas. These problems can be alleviated through the use of a common ontology, that is, a consensus-based controlled vocabulary of terms and relations, with associated definitions that are logically formulated in such a way as to promote automated reasoning. Ontologies are able to structure complex biomedical domains and relate a myriad of data to allow for a shared understanding of vaccines. The collaborative, community-based Vaccine Ontology (VO; http://www.violinet.org/vaccineontology/) was recently initiated to promote vaccine data standardization, integration, and computer-assisted reasoning. VO can be used for different applications, including vaccine data integration and

| Resource name                                      | Website URL                                                                 | Comment                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Vaccines and Immunization                          |                                                                            |                                                                        |
| WHO Immunization/vaccines                          | http://www.who.int/immunization/en/                                        | WHO vaccine site                                                       |
| Immunization Action Coalition                       | http://www.immunize.org/                                                   | Vaccination Information for Healthcare Professionals                    |
| USA CDC Vaccine Information Statements             | http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/vis/                                     | Benefits and risks of vaccines                                          |
| USA CDC listed vaccines                            | http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd-vac/vaccines-list.htm                      | Vaccines used in USA                                                   |
| US FDA licensed vaccine information                | http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBlood-Vaccines/Vaccines/default.htm           | Licensed vaccines used in USA                                            |
| Canada licensed vaccine information                | http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/dpg-eng.php#vaccines                           | Canada                                                                 |
| DH Immunization at UK                               | http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publichealth/Immunisation/index.htm                | Official UK vaccination site                                            |
| PATH Vaccine Resource Library                       | http://www.path.org/vaccineresources/                                    | Collection of vaccine resources                                          |
| NNii: National Network for Immunization Information | http://www.immunizationinfo.org/vaccines                                  | Scientific valid information                                            |
| GAVI Alliance (Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunisation) | http://www.gavialliance.org/                                             | Goal: save children's lives                                             |
| Vaccine Clinical Trials                             |                                                                            |                                                                        |
| Nonhuman primate HIV/SIV vaccine trials database   | http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/vaccine/home.html                         | Vaccine studies of HIV/SIV using nonhuman primates                      |
| AIDS vaccine trials database                        | http://www.iavireport.org/trials-db/Pages/default.aspx                    | AIDS vaccine clinical trials                                             |
| Clinical trials database (USA NIH)                 | http://clinicaltrials.gov/                                                | Vaccine or other trials                                                 |
| Vaccine Safety                                      |                                                                            |                                                                        |
| WHO Immunization Safety                            | http://www.who.int/immunization_safety/en/                                | WHO vaccination safety site                                              |
| VAERS: Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (USA FDA & CDC) | http://vaers.hhs.gov/index                                                | USA vaccine adverse event reporting website                            |
| USA CDC-Vaccine Safety                              | http://www.cdc.gov/vaccinesafety/index.html                               | USA official vaccine safety site                                        |
| The Brighton Collaboration                          | https://brightoncollaboration.org/public                                  | Setting standards in vaccine safety                                     |
| Vaccine Research Database                           |                                                                            |                                                                        |
| VIOLIN                                             | http://www.violinet.org/                                                  | Comprehensive vaccine data                                              |
| Vaccine Manufacturers                               |                                                                            |                                                                        |
| EVM: European Vaccine Manufacturers                | http://www.efpia.org/Content/Default.aspx                                 | Collection of European vaccine companies                                |
| List of vaccine manufactures                        | http://www.ontobee.org/browser/rdf.php?o=VO&iri=http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/VO_0000299 | Collected in Vaccine Ontology                                           |
Vaccine informatics still faces many challenges. Many infectious diseases, including HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria, still lack effective and safe vaccines. Although extensive progress has been made towards the genetic structure and pathogenesis of HIV and other infectious pathogens, significant gaps in our understanding of host-pathogen interactions still remain [232, 233]. These gaps are attributable to imperfect and nonstandardized animal models, the absence of precise immunological correlates of protection, and the prohibitive cost of confirmatory clinical trials. The development of vaccines against many noninfectious diseases including cancer, autoimmune diseases, and allergy remains a challenge. While many vaccine adverse events are likely genetically determined (and thus predictable), it remains challenging to predict possible vaccine adverse events with available genotype data and possibly design personalized vaccine. These challenges will undoubtedly be met with improved rational vaccine design and a better understanding of fundamental protective immunity mechanisms obtained with improving vaccine informatics technologies.

New bioinformatics technologies are constantly being devised and applied to address various vaccine-related questions using high throughput sequencing, gene expression data, and experimental results from experimental and clinical studies. Efforts during the 21st century vaccinology will witness more successes of application of vaccine informatics in vaccine research.
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