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Abstract

Introduction: Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) is one of the main choices in non-invasive therapy in urolithiasis. The success of ESWL therapy can be predicted from internal stone factors (size, location, number) and on the anatomy and abnormalities of the congenital urinary tract. Researchers wanted to know the assessment and characteristic of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) success rate in urolithiasis as a consideration for clinicians for urinary tract stones management.

Methods: This study used a retrospective descriptive design. Secondary data were taken from the medical records of urinary tract patients who received ESWL therapy at dr. Kariadi General Hospital, Semarang, Indonesia during the period 2012-2017. The variables seen were sex, age, size, session time, shock energy, unilateral/bilateral, opacity and anatomy location. After the data collected, we analyzed the prevalence of each variables with SPSS 21.0 for Windows.

Results: The incidence of urinary tract stones in male was 1.8 times higher than women.

- Most incidences were in 41-60 years-old group (64.7%).
- Average stone size in men 12.34 + 2.96 mm while in women 12.47 + 3.06 mm.
- ESWL sessions averaged 1.8 sessions / patient.
- The use of shock waves between 615 - 5000 shock counter and energy 344 - 1500 Joule.
- Unilateral stones were found to be highest in both sexes (64.3% in male and 64.7% in female).
- The most sites of stone was middle calyx (36.9%).
- Radiopaque stones were found to be highest in both sexes (88.2%).
- The lowest success rate of ESWL on the stone located on the lower calyx (73.8%).

Conclusion: ESWL is safe and effective for urolithiasis but before choose on ESWL procedure it is important to consider the location, size and density of the stone that will influence the success rate of the therapy.
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Introduction

Urinary stones are the third most urological problem after urinary tract infections and pathological conditions in the prostate [1]. Data from five European countries, Japan, and the United States showed that the incidence and prevalence of stone disease has increased over time worldwide. It was mentioned that the increase was associated with increased detection of asymptomatic urinary tract stones through the use of radiographic imaging, mainly from computed tomography [2]. Stone incidence varies with age with low incidence rates in childhood and elderly and peaks between the 4th decade and the 6th decade of life [1-3].
There are several studies that found that urinary tract stones are more common in working adults and then decline in older individuals, this may be related to diet, occupation, and lifestyle changes [3]. Overall, men always predominate in the prevalence, mentioned men two to three times more affected than women [2,3]. Other literature also mentions men’s 1.5-2.5 times more than women worldwide [4].

Data from the Basic Health Research 2013 also showed that in Indonesia the prevalence of urinary tract disease increases with age. Nationally, the prevalence of urinary tract stones is 0.6%, the highest in the 55 to 64 age group (1.3%) and the prevalence is higher in males (0.8%) than in females (0.4%) [5]. The fact that there are more than 2/3 of these cases is a recurrent disease within the next 20 years. The disease is more common in men and it is believed that 5% of women and 12% of men during life have at least one colic occurrence due to urinary tract stones. Stone can be found in various areas of the urinary tract. The location of the stone is determined by several factors, including demographic characteristics [6].

The management of urinary tract stones consists of medicamentous, conservative, open surgery and minimally invasive. One of the commonly used minimally invasive methods is the Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) [6]. In February 1980, thanks to the work of doctors from the Division of Neurology, University of Munich, ESWL was introduced into clinical practice. ESWL dramatically alters how to treat stones in the urinary tract and without exaggeration can be said to be a revolutionary event in the treatment of this disease. This method is simple, safe and effective to break down stones and "granules" by 1-2 mm in size, then spontaneously removed in urine excretion [6,7].

The success of ESWL therapy can be predicted from stone size, stones location, number of stones, anatomy and congenital urinary tract abnormalities [8]. The purpose of this study was to show the assessment and characteristic of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) success rate in dr. Kariadi General Hospital, Semarang, Indonesia in period 2012 - 2017. The benefits of this research can be used as a consideration for clinicians for urinary tract stones management.

**Methods**

This study used a retrospective descriptive design. Secondary data were taken from the medical records of urinary tract patients who received ESWL therapy at dr. Kariadi Hospital, Semarang, Indonesia during the period 2012-2017 with EDAP Sonolith i-sys. Patients are excluded when there was a congenital anomaly of the urinary tract. The variables seen were gender, age, location of stone, stone size, urinary side involvement, ESWL session count, total shock and given energy, and opacity of the stones. We also divide patients by <20 years-old, 21-40 years-old, 41-60 years-old, and >60 years-old categories based on the literature that mentions the incidence of urinary tract stones peaks between the 4th and 6th decades. After the data collected, we analyzed the prevalence of each variables with SPSS 21.0 for Windows.

**Results**

From 1927 patients enrolled in the study, 1241 male patients (64.4%) and 686 female patients (35.6%) with a ratio of 1.8: 1 were found. Most age categories were 41-60 years-old as 1246 patients (64.7%), followed by groups of 21-40 years-old as many as 333 patients (17.3%). The least was in the <20 years-old group of 17 patients (0.9%) (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Patients distribution based on age categories and gender.

| Age Categories | Male | Female | Total | %  |
|----------------|------|--------|-------|----|
| < 20 years-old | 10   | 7      | 17    | 0.9|
| 21 – 40 years-old | 219  | 114    | 333   | 17.3|
| 41 – 60 years-old | 790  | 456    | 1246  | 64.7|
| > 60 years-old  | 222  | 109    | 331   | 17.2|
| Total           | 1241 | 686    | 1927  | 100|

**Table 2:** Patients distribution based on sessions and gender.

| Session | Male | Female | Total | %  |
|---------|------|--------|-------|----|
| 1       | 773  | 419    | 1192  | 61.9|
| 2       | 247  | 130    | 377   | 19.6|
| 3       | 96   | 64     | 260   | 8.3 |
| 4       | 52   | 34     | 86    | 4.5 |
| 5       | 35   | 15     | 50    | 2.6 |
| 6       | 18   | 8      | 26    | 1.3 |
| 7       | 12   | 4      | 16    | 0.8 |
| 8       | 6    | 6      | 12    | 0.6 |
| 9       | 0    | 2      | 2     | 0.1 |
| 10      | 1    | 2      | 3     | 0.2 |
| 11      | 1    | 2      | 3     | 0.2 |
| X = 1.8 | 1241 | 686    | 1927  | 100|

The number of ESWL therapy sessions varied between groups of men and women ranging from 1 session to 11 sessions with an average of 1.8 sessions / patients. In this research we get the use of shock wave between 615 - 5000 shock counter and energy between 344 - 1500 Joule (Table 2).

Based on urinary tract involvement, both male and female groups were dominated by unilateral stones with a percentage of 64.3% in male and 64.7% in female, respectively. Average stone size in the male group was 12.34 ± 2.96 mm while in the female group was 12.47 ± 3.06 mm (Figure 1). From this study found the most stone sites are middle calyx (36.9%), followed by lower calyx (26.3%) and renal pelvis (11.2%). The location of the fewest stones is the proximal ureter (4.7%) (Table 3).
From the data obtained, both in the male and female group found the most stone types are radiopaque (88.2%), followed by radiolucent (11.2%) and semi-opaque (0.6%) (Table 4).

### Figure 1: Patients distribution based on stone duplexity.

| Location         | Male | Female | Total | %  |
|------------------|------|--------|-------|----|
| Upper Calyx      | 134  | 65     | 264   | 10.0|
| Middle Calyx     | 524  | 308    | 972   | 36.9|
| Lower Calyx      | 370  | 188    | 558   | 26.3|
| Renal Pelvic     | 122  | 75     | 295   | 11.2|
| Proximal Ureter  | 37   | 12     | 123   | 4.7 |
| Distal Ureter    | 54   | 38     | 92    | 3.8 |

### Table 3: Stone location distribution based on gender.

Based on the calculation, the lowest success rate of ESWL was on the stone located in the lower calyx (73.8%), followed by the middle calyx (75%) and the renal pelvic (78.6%). While the highest success rate on stones located in the distal ureter (91%), followed by proximal ureter (88.6%) and upper calyx (85.2%) (Figure 2).

### Discussion

Management of urinary tract stones with ESWL is safe, effective and minimally invasive. Once we find the location of the stone, ESWL machines will send thousands of shock waves in the area causing the decomposition of the stone to become a bunch. After therapy, the stone fragments will come out through the urine [6].

Previous clinical and epidemiological studies have shown that the indication of ESWL treatment depends on several factors, including size, localization, consistency and other histologic characteristics of the stone [9]. This study found the incidence of urinary tract stones in men greater than women (Table 2). This finding is in accordance with the literature and some previous studies [1-4].

The most urinary tract stones incidence happened in age categories 41-60 years-old (Table 1). This finding is also in accordance with the literature that the highest incidence of urinary tract stones between the 4th decade and the 6th decade [2]. There have been several studies that found that urinary tract stones are more common in working adults and then decline in older individuals. Increased incidence in middle age group may be associated with diet, occupation, and lifestyle changes [4].

The number of ESWL therapy sessions varied between male and female groups with an average of 1.8 sessions / patients (Table 2). This is because some patients have more than one stones for unilateral side or there are stones on both sides / bilateral. In some cases, patients still want to keep ESWL even though it has been explained that with multiple stone sites will require more therapy sessions and longer time, although other modalities had been offered.

Based on the involvement of the urinary tract, both in the male and female groups dominated by unilateral stones with the most locations are the middle calyx (Figure 1, Table 3). But other studies mentioned the most location of the stone is the lower calyx [10]. The relationship between location and stone formation remains unclear but it is explained that narrowing of the urinary tract increases the risk of sedimentation of urine [2].

The average stone size in the male group is $12.34 \pm 2.96$ mm whereas in the female group is $12.47 \pm 3.06$ mm, this stone size fits perfectly with the guideline stating that ESWL is effective for stones of size 10 - 20 mm [10,11].

From the data obtained, both in the male and female group found the most stone types are radiopaque (Table 4), this is in accordance with the literature and previous research [1-4,10]. Based on the calculation, the lowest success rate of ESWL is on the stone located in the lower calyx (Figure 2). This is affected by the
anatomy of the infundibulo-pelvic angle, the infundibulum length, and the width of the infundibulum. Steep infundibular-pelvic angle, long and narrow infundibulum can impair successful stone treatment by ESWL [11,12].

The results of this study contradict previous studies which show that renal stone localization has better therapeutic ESWL results than the lower portion, such as ureter [6].

Conclusion

ESWL is safe and effective for urinary tract stones but before deciding on ESWL it is important to consider the location, size and density of the stone that will influence the success of the therapy.
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