Latest Miocene restriction of the Mediterranean Outflow Water: a perspective from the Gulf of Cádiz
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Abstract
The Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange provides the ideal setting for deciphering the role of gateway evolution in ocean circulation. However, the dynamics of Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW) during the closure of the Late Miocene Mediterranean-Atlantic gateways are poorly understood. Here, we define the sedimentary evolution of Neogene basins from the Gulf of Cádiz to the West Iberian margin to investigate MOW circulation during the latest Miocene. Seismic interpretation highlights a middle to upper Messinian seismic unit of transparent facies, whose base predates the onset of the Messinian salinity crisis (MSC). Its facies and distribution imply a predominantly hemipelagic environment along the Atlantic margins, suggesting an absence or intermittence of MOW preceding evaporite precipitation in the Mediterranean, simultaneous to progressive gateway restriction. The removal of MOW from the Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange reorganized the Atlantic water masses and is correlated to a severe weakening of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) and a period of further cooling in the North Atlantic during the latest Miocene.

Introduction

Gateway evolution plays a significant role in the reorganization of global ocean circulation (Berggren 1982; Knutz 2008; Straume et al. 2020). The Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange provides the ideal setting for such research. At present, the Mediterranean-Atlantic exchange is characterized by an anti-estuarine circulation (Wüst 1961) involving a surficial Atlantic inflow and a deeper outflow, known as the Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW), through the Strait of Gibraltar (Sánchez-Leal et al. 2017). The MOW is predominantly sourced from the intermediate water mass of the Mediterranean, known as the Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW) (Millot et al. 2006). Upon exiting the Strait of Gibraltar, the dense MOW cascades onto the Gulf of Cádiz continental slope, entraining or mixing with Atlantic ambient water to form the Atlantic Mediterranean Water (AMW) (Rogerson et al. 2012b). The entrained ambient water is mainly sourced from the Azores Current, which originates at the Azores Front, the boundary between the European and African surface water masses (Rogerson et al. 2004). The resulting AMW water mass settles along the middle continental slope (~1000–1500 m) and flows northwards above the North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) (O’Neill-Baringer and Price 1997; Hernández-Molina et al.)
MOW input into the Atlantic has a significant impact on the formation of the NADW and on the thermohaline circulation (Pérez-Asensio et al. 2012), known as the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC). Removal or interruption of MOW would significantly impact the AMOC by ~ 15% and reduce sea surface temperatures by up to 1 °C (Rogerson et al. 2012b). The AMOC plays a vital role in the earth’s climate through the northward transport of heat and CO₂, which bears an impact on the Arctic sea ice volume (Liu et al. 2020) and the ocean-terrestrial carbon cycle (Zickfeld et al. 2008).

The Mediterranean-Atlantic exchange was also active through the Late Miocene gateways (Fig. 1; ~11.6–6.9 Ma; Krijgsman et al. 2018), which include the Betic and Riffian Corridors currently exposed onshore southern Spain and northern Morocco, respectively (Capella et al. 2017), and possibly the Strait of Gibraltar (Krijgsman et al. 2018). It is thought to have ceased or become reduced during the latest Miocene, causing the isolation of the Mediterranean Sea from the Atlantic Ocean, hence the Messinian salinity crisis (MSC; 5.97–5.33 Ma; Krijgsman et al. 1999; Manzi et al. 2018). However, since formulating the MSC concept, Selli (1960) also argued for the early Messinian (~7.25 Ma) as the actual beginning of the MSC; it is marked by dystrophic faunal elements in the Mediterranean as the earliest indication of an ongoing restriction of the exchange. Yet restriction of MOW from the Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange is one of the prerequisites for hypersaline conditions to deposit MSC evaporites (Flecker et al. 2015). In the Mediterranean, an inferred base-level fall and erosion of its margins during the MSC acme, and subsequent refilling by an open marine connection with the Atlantic, respectively resulted in a regional Messinian erosional surface (MES; 5.61 Ma) and a sharp lithological and paleontological change across the Miocene-Pliocene boundary (5.33 Ma) (Roveri et al. 2014). In turn, the onset of the MSC (5.97 Ma) shows no correlation to glacio-eustatic change and was thought to

Fig. 1 Dataset including post-stack, time-migrated multichannel two-dimensional (2D) seismic reflection surveys from ONHYM, Repsol S.A. and TGS-Nopec; borehole data from exploration wells and IODP Expedition 339 scientific sites. Coordinate system: WGS 84 UTM 29 N. (Inset) Geographic location of the Gulf of Cádiz and the Late Miocene and present-day gateways.
be controlled dominantly by tectonics (Flecker and Ellam 2006; Hodell et al. 2001; Krijgsman et al. 2004).

In the Atlantic domain, open marine conditions have prevailed throughout the Miocene to the present (Flecker et al. 2015). Locally, the continental margins surrounding the Gulf of Cádiz were affected by gravitational processes due to rapid regional uplift and tectonic instability after the Middle to Late Miocene Betic-Rif Orogeny (Duggen et al. 2003). The Betif-Rif Orogeny, due to convergence between Africa and Eurasia, transformed a wider Middle Miocene gateway (~ 15 Ma) into several Late Miocene narrow and shallow corridors (~ 8 Ma) affecting MOW distribution, namely the North Betic Strait, Guadix Basin, Zagra Strait, Guadalhorce Strait and the North and South Riffian Corridors (Fig. 1; Capella et al. 2019; Krijgsman et al. 2018). The continuous constriction and closure of these corridors and the reduction of the water mass exchange are collectively known as the Mediterranean-Atlantic gateway restriction (Krijgsman et al. 2018; Pérez-Asensio et al. 2012). The distribution of contourite depositional systems within these corridors serves as evidence of bottom current influence from the MOW as it exits the Mediterranean (de Weger et al. 2020; Martín et al. 2009). The increase in bottom current velocities as a consequence of the ongoing restriction of the corridors, and the initiation of an overflow setting for the MOW across the Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange, resulted in the deposition of sandy contourites in the Betic and Riffian Corridors during the late Tortonian to early Messinian (7.8–7.25 Ma; Capella et al. 2017; de Weger et al. 2020; Martín et al. 2009) but has yet to be described for the Gulf of Cádiz. During this period, the Gulf of Cádiz would have represented the downstream continuation of the Betic and Riffian Corridors for MOW circulation after exiting the Mediterranean. Relocation of the contourite depositional system to the Gulf of Cádiz, within the Deep Algarve, Doñana, Sanlúcar and Cádiz basins (Fig. 1), occurred from Pliocene to the present (5.33 Ma onwards), with the MOW flowing through the Strait of Gibraltar (Hernández-Molina et al. 2014, 2016). However, the Strait of Gibraltar might have opened earlier in the early Messinian (Krijgsman et al. 2018). Meanwhile, the Guadalquivir and Onshore Gharb basins (Fig. 1), located at the western end of the Betic and Riffian Corridors, became marine embayments after the closure of these corridors (Capella et al. 2017; Pérez-Asensio et al. 2012). Despite extensive outcrop studies carried out onshore, the timing of the final closure of the gateways remains unknown, due to erosional hiatuses in the sedimentary record (Capella et al. 2017; Hüsing et al. 2010). Some outcrop studies suggest that the closure of the gateways occurred well before the onset of the MSC (Kouwenhoven et al. 1999; Martin et al. 2002), whereas modeling studies suggest that a narrow (~ 1 km) and shallow (~ 10 m) connection is sufficient to supply the salt for the MSC evaporites (Meijer and Krijgsman 2005).

Here, we define the middle to late Messinian sedimentary evolution and its relationship with its upper and lower bounding stratigraphic units in the Neogene basins (Offshore Gharb, Deep Algarve, Doñana, Sanlúcar, Cádiz and Alentejo basins), located on the upper to middle continental slope of the Northwest Moroccan (NWMM), the Southwest Iberian (SWIM) and the southern part of the West Iberian (WIM) margins (Fig. 1) using seismic stratigraphic analysis, correlated to chronology and lithology from borehole data (Fig. 2). We compared the distribution of the middle to upper Messinian succession with the Guadalquivir and Onshore Gharb basins (Fig. 1), based on the literature, to evaluate its significance for the Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange and its implications for sedimentary and paleoceanographic processes in the Atlantic. For the first time, we investigated the paleoceanographic scenarios for the Late Miocene Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange through a hypothetico-deductive method, using a simple quantitative representation of the system together with seismo-stratigraphic evidence and observations.

Materials and methods

Seismic analysis and borehole correlation

We compiled a regional database of seismic reflection and borehole data in the Gulf of Cádiz to the southern part of the West Iberian margin (Fig. 1). We carried out seismic interpretation of the database, which entailed the identification of seismic facies and boundaries, focusing on the middle to late Messinian unit. We utilized the nomenclature for seismic facies description and interpretation of Prather et al. (1998) based analogously on an intraslope basin setting in the Gulf of Mexico, which characterized three primary seismic facies categories (chaotic, convergent and draping). The seismic stratigraphy was correlated to borehole data (Fig. 2) consisting of lithological and chronological information (Table S1). They include five wells available from the literature: Algarve-2 (Hernández-Molina et al. 2016), Atlantida-3 and Golfo de Cádiz B-3 (GCB-3; Ledesma 2000), Golfo de Cádiz Mar Profundo C-1 (GCMPC-1; Hernández-Molina et al. 2014) and U1387 (van der Schee et al. 2016); three additional wells: Anchois-1, Deep Thon-1 and Merou-1, were acquired from an internal report for petroleum exploration by Repsol S.A., titled “Tanger-Larache Sedimentological study” (hereinafter referred to as Repsol S.A. 2013). The chronological information is based on bio- and cyclo-stratigraphic dating acquired from boreholes with top-only penetration of the middle to late Messinian unit in U1387 (van der Schee et al. 2016) and Algarve-2 (Hernández-Molina et al. 2016).
et al. 2016), while its base is reached in Atlantida-3 and GCB-3 (Ledesma 2000), GCMPC-1 (Hernández-Molina et al. 2014), Anchois-1, Deep Thon-1 and Merou-1 (Repsol S.A. 2013) (Table S1).

2.2. Quantitative exploration of past flow conditions

We adopted simple quantitative representations below to test three possible scenarios through a hypothetico-deductive method, based on the climatic, paleogeographic and paleoceanographic conditions during the middle to late Messinian, where a two-way water mass exchange between the Atlantic and the Mediterranean could be active. These experiments directly test hypotheses drawn from seismic and borehole analysis, which is limited to determining the presence or absence of flow on the slope, and the bottom velocity thresholds required for muddy and sandy bedform deposition. Key to the latter is the threshold at which sandy contourite deposition commences, as we expect deposits of this nature to be resolved in seismic data as packages with variable impedance. Field and experimental works (e.g. Culp et al. 2020; McCave et al. 2017; McCave and Hall 2006) indicate that winnowing of muddy marine sediments and construction of muddy bedforms occurs at flow velocities higher than 0.15 m s⁻¹, while winnowing, reworking and accumulation of sandy marine sediments occur at flow velocities higher than 0.2 m s⁻¹. Consequently, the maximum MOW or AMW plume velocity on the Gulf of Cádiz upper to middle slope for the middle to late Messinian, where no contourite deposition is observed within the seismic resolution of our dataset, would be 0.2 m s⁻¹, while the physical oceanography of the exchange must be consistent with generating flow no faster than this value. We also investigated a MOW or AMW plume velocity of 0.15 m s⁻¹ for the threshold of silt-rich muddy contourite formation by bottom currents. Three scenarios allowing for a continuing MOW are explored here:

- Scenario A: The flux of exchange (and thus the size of the plume) was so small that the area impacted on the slope could not be resolved by the seismic analysis;
- Scenario B: The flow was slower during the middle to late Messinian than it was during the late Tortonian to early Messinian, where contourite deposition can be observed; and
• Scenario C: The seismic analysis does not cover the region over which the MOW or AMW was flowing during the middle to late Messinian.

Following previous intensive research into these relationships (Rogerson et al. 2012a; Simon and Meijer 2015), we used Eqs. (1)–(4) to represent the system,

\[
\frac{Q_{\text{MOW}}}{Q_{\text{inflow}}} = \frac{1}{\Phi} \left( \frac{S_M}{S_A} \right)
\]

(1)

\[
Q_{\text{AMW}} = Q_{\text{MOW}} / \Phi.
\]

(2)

\[
\Phi = 1 - \frac{1}{B_{\text{geo}} U_{\text{geo}}}.
\]

(3)

\[
B_{\text{geo}} = \frac{H_{\text{MOW}} U_{\text{MOW}} g'}{(1 + 2K_{\text{geo}} x/w_{\text{MOW}})}
\]

(4)

\[
U_{\text{geo}} = g' a / f
\]

(5)

\[
g' = \frac{\rho_{\text{AMW}} - \rho_{\text{ATL}}}{\rho_{\text{AMW}}}
\]

(6)

where \(Q_{\text{inflow}}, Q_{\text{AMW}}\) and \(Q_{\text{MOW}}\) (Sv) are respectively the fluxes of Atlantic inflow, AMW and MOW; \(S_M\) and \(S_A\) (PSU) are the salinities of the Mediterranean and Atlantic water, respectively; \(\Phi\) is the mixing coefficient of AMW and MOW; \(B_{\text{geo}}\) and \(U_{\text{geo}}\) (m s\(^{-1}\)) are geostrophic buoyancy flux and velocity, where \(B_{\text{geo}}\) is measured by \(H_{\text{MOW}}\) (m), \(U_{\text{MOW}}\) (m s\(^{-1}\)) and \(w_{\text{MOW}}\) (m), which are the height, velocity and width of pure MOW at the gateway, \(K_{\text{geo}}\) (m s\(^{-2}\)), which is the geostrophic Ekman number (assumed 0.2) and \(x\) (m), which is the distance downstream from which mixing or entrainment occurs (assumed 100,000 m, while \(U_{\text{geo}}\) is measured by \(g'\), which is the density anomaly of the plume within the ambient water, \(\alpha\), which is the slope the water is moving over and \(f\), which is the acceleration due to Coriolis force (assumed 0.000084 m s\(^{-2}\)); \(g'\) is determined from \(\rho_{\text{AMW}}\) and \(\rho_{\text{ATL}}\) (kg m\(^{-3}\)), which are respectively the densities of AMW and ambient Atlantic water (Rogerson et al. 2012b). The lower velocities in the distal part of the system reflect water almost completely mixed to produce AMW (Atlantic Mediterranean Water) composition and thus relates to the density of the plume of water that lies at neutral density in the Atlantic.

## Results

### Seismic analysis

Seismic interpretation of the Gulf of Cádiz continental slope shows three main sedimentary intervals above a basin-wide erosional unconformity known as the basal foredeep unconformity (BFU; ~8.2 Ma, sensu Maldonado et al. 1999). The BFU is juxtaposed against a thick chaotic body pinching out northwards, known as the Allochthonous Unit of the Gulf of Cádiz (AUGC; Fig. 2; sensu Medialdea et al. 2004). Above the BFU, an upper Tortonian-lower Messinian (~8.2 to ~6.4 Ma) succession consisting of high-amplitude convergent-by-baseup facies (Fig. 3b) is observed along the Southwest Iberian margin. In the Northwest Moroccan margin and the southern part of the West Iberian margin, the upper Tortonian-lower Messinian succession consists of cyclical alternations of low-to-high-amplitude reflections in sheeted or mounded geometries adjacent to basin margins (Figs. 3a and c). The top of the upper Tortonian-lower Messinian succession is bounded by, or locally truncated against, an unconformity.

Overlying the upper Tortonian-lower Messinian succession is a middle-upper Messinian seismic unit of transparent seismic facies or with seismic reflections of very low amplitude, locally underlain by high-amplitude reflections (Figs. 2 and 3). It has a relatively homogenous reflection configuration, in sheeted or draped geometries. The base is erosional at basin margins with locally high-amplitude chaotic facies (Fig. 3b) with a “gull-wing” geometry (sensu Wynn et al. 2007) and onlap to downlap terminations (Fig. 3c). The basal boundary could also be conformable on older successions in the basin centres (Fig. 2b). Within the Offshore Gharb basin, the transparent reflections are interrupted by intervals of high-amplitude convergent-by-thinning facies in the basin centre and high-amplitude chaotic facies on the basin margin (Fig. 2b and 3c), where away from the basin this unit can be observed as draping facies at the margins (Fig. 3c). Across the upper to middle slope of the Gulf of Cádiz, the tabular distribution of the middle to upper Messinian unit is also punctuated by structural highs (Fig. 2a) and areas of erosion (Fig. 4), or depocenters with the presence of high-amplitude chaotic or convergent facies, most prominently the eastern section of the Deep Algarve basin and the Offshore Gharb basin (Figs. 2b and 3a).
Fig. 3 Seismic profiles indicating the distribution of the middle-upper Messinian unit across southern West Iberian margin (WIM): a PD00-608; b Southwest Iberian margin (SWIM): S81-N27 and S81-N25 and c Northwest Moroccan margin (NWMM): GHR10-6 (uninterpreted seismic profiles in Fig. S3 — supplementary material)
The top boundary of the middle-upper Messinian seismic unit is generally conformable with a change from transparent to a relatively continuous seismic facies (Figs. 2b and 3b) or locally unconformable as truncation surfaces against younger successions (Fig. 3a), represented by the Miocene-Pliocene boundary (MPB; 5.33 Ma; sensu Hernandez-Molina et al. 2016). The Pliocene–Quaternary (5.33 Ma–present) succession above consists of lowermost Pliocene low- to moderate-amplitude parallel continuous facies in the basin centres or lower Pliocene high-amplitude chaotic facies on the basin margins truncating the lowermost Pliocene units. They are overlain by upper Pliocene–Quaternary cyclical alternation of low- to high-amplitude sequences in sheeted to mounded geometries associated with alongslope channels (Fig. 3a and c) or prograding clinoforms in the north- and southeast originating from lower Guadalquivir and Onshore Gharb basins, respectively (Fig. 3b).

The contrast in seismic facies between the middle-upper Messinian unit with the upper Tortonian-lower Messinian and Pliocene–Quaternary succession below and above, respectively, provides a distinctive signature for regional stratigraphic correlation across the Gulf of Cádiz towards the southern part of the West Iberian margin (Figs. 2 and 3) and can be considered as a regional marker horizon or stratigraphic unit. The middle to upper Messinian unit has an average thickness of 100 ms TWT (with a range of 50–150 ms TWT), distributed uniformly across the upper to middle continental slope, as shown by the time thickness map of the middle to late Messinian unit (Fig. 4). An exception is observed for the Offshore Gharb basin in the Northwest Moroccan margin, with the distribution of the middle to upper Messinian unit reaching up to 1200 ms TWT in thickness (Figs. 2b and 4). Likewise, a thicker distribution for the upper Tortonian-lower Messinian succession is observed for the Northwest Moroccan margin and the southern part of the West Iberian margin (500–1000 ms TWT), in contrast to the Southwest Iberian margin (250–500 ms TWT).

**Borehole correlation**

Correlation of the middle to upper Messinian unit to borehole data indicates fossiliferous marls to clays with distinct bioturbation, containing few lithic coarser particles (Hernandez-Molina et al. 2014; van der Schee et al. 2016), with a mean interval velocity ($V_{int}$) of ~2290–2570 m s$^{-1}$ based on checkshot data from Algarve-2 and GCMPC-1. In the Offshore Gharb basin, this interval is recorded in Anchois-1, Deep Thon-1 and Merou-1 as very shaly or dirty unconsolidated sands with intercalations of clays (Repsol S.A. 2013). Bio- and cyclo-stratigraphic dating (Figs. 2, 5 and 6; Table S1) shows deposition of the unit spanning an interval of ~1.1 Ma (> 6.37–5.33 Ma), with the base predating the First Occurrence (FO) *Globorotalia margaritae*, Last Regular Occurrence (LaO) or Last abundant Occurrence (LaO) *Globorotalia miotumida* events dated 6.31 to 6.35 Ma, and the sinistral to dextral coiling change of the *Neogloboquadrina acostaensis* event dated 6.37 Ma (Krijgsman et al. 2004).

**3.3. Quantitative constraint on changes in the oceanographic system**

Assuming an absence of contourite deposition within the seismic resolution of our dataset, the critical requirement for...
a continuing MOW in the Gulf of Cádiz is the flow velocity threshold of 0.2 m s$^{-1}$ for the onset of winnowing and accumulation of sands (McCave and Hall 2006). Additionally, the 0.15 m s$^{-1}$ threshold where winnowing and silty bedform construction begins (Culp et al. 2020; McCave et al. 2017) is considered. Three scenarios would permit MOW to continue across the Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange but are invisible under the resolution of our seismic analysis: (A) a very small plume (due to considerably reduced flux), (B) a plume flowing very slowly and (C) the plume has moved out of the analyzed area. All three possibilities are investigated. Unless one of these scenarios is capable of producing the sedimentary features observed above, the exchange must have been different from that found today.

Two critical constraints on the system are revealed by Eqs. (1)–(4) (presented in Material and methods), which reflect two inverse relationships: firstly between flux and salinity and secondly between salinity and ambient water entrainment. During the salinification of the Mediterranean, small fluxes of the Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange at the sill correlate to high salinity in the outflowing Mediterranean water (via Eq. (1)). This relationship is explored in detail in Simon and Meijer (2015), and we adopt their conclusions here. Accordingly, a high salinity or density in the outflowing Mediterranean water would result in a high-velocity MOW (via Eqs. (6) and (6)), an intense frictional mixing with ambient water as it passes over the sill of the Mediterranean-Atlantic gateways (via Eq. (3)) and hence a vigorous formation of AMW (Rogerson et al. 2012b). Consequently, as the flux of MOW ($Q_{MOW}$) falls, its mixing or entrainment behaviour ($\Phi$) also changes (via Eq. (2)). Where $Q_{MOW}$ is below modern values (~0.68 Sv), these influences balance, providing the counter-intuitive result that the flux of AMW ($Q_{AMW}$) is almost invariable. The behaviour is best illustrated via the relationship between $\Phi$ (Phi) and velocity (see Fig. S1 in supplementary material). Consequently, a very small flux of very saline water would, under a first approximation, generate a geostrophic current similar to today and hence a contourite depositional system in the Gulf of Cádiz.

This inverse and non-linear relationship between MOW salinity and entrainment of ambient Atlantic water complicates the relationship of the Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange at the sill and the expected response in both the Gulf of Cádiz and the Mediterranean to changes in other
oceanographic conditions. Under freshening scenarios, a reduced salinity or density, and hence velocity (via Eqs. (5) and (6)), for the MOW flowing into the Atlantic would suppress entrainment (via Eq. (3)), resulting in an almost unchanged AMW compared to pure MOW post-mixing. This control essentially acts as a negative feedback to changes in the system, which maintains the physical size of the flux. Consequently, a slower outflow would reflect a less dense water mass in the Mediterranean. Overall, the resulting dynamics between the physical properties of the Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange described above are significant for constraining scenarios to discuss the possibility of a continuing outflow across the Mediterranean-Atlantic gateways, complementary to our observations in the seismic and sedimentary interpretation presented in this study.

**Discussion**

**Stratigraphic and sedimentary interpretation**

The middle to upper Messinian unit (~6.4–5.33 Ma) is equivalent to the previously described lower section of Marismas Sequence in Riaza and Martínez del Olmo (1996), Unit M3 in Maldonado et al. (1999), Unit MW3’ in Roque (2007), Subunit U1B in Rodrigues (2017) and Subunit E2 in Lopes et al. (2006). Similar successions are found in the subsurface of the onshore Guadalquivir basin as Gibraleón clays (Sierro et al. 1996) or Unit C in Ledesma (2000) and in the Onshore Gharb basin (Capella et al. 2017) when they were marine embayments following gateway closures (Martín et al. 2009; Ivanovic et al. 2013). These previous works interpreted similar seismic and sedimentary characteristics for the middle to upper Messinian unit (e.g. transparent zones and thick clay deposits) and confirm its stratigraphic position in the margin.

The seismic and sedimentary facies, and the widespread uniform and tabular distribution of the middle to upper Messinian unit across the continental margins (Figs. 2 and 3), imply dominant deposition of hemipelagic settling in the absence of alongslope transport. A higher estimated rate of accumulation (5.2–17.5 cm ka⁻¹) compared to the average hemipelagic sedimentation rate (2 cm ka⁻¹, McCave and Hall 2006) could be due to a higher influx of allochthonous terrigenous material on the continental slopes (Henrich and Hüneke 2011). Higher sedimentation rates within the intraslope basins, such as the eastern Deep Algarve (Fig. 3b) and Offshore Gharb (Figs. 2b and 3c) basins, are due to...
interruption in the background sedimentation by interbedded deposition of deep-water turbidite channel levees and lobes or debrites by gravity flow originating from the adjacent margins or from the Guadalquivir and Onshore Gharb basins, respectively (Ledesma 2000). This is indicated by the interpretation of adjoining development of local intervals of high-amplitude chaotic to channel facies on the basin margin and high-amplitude convergent facies locally within the basin centres, as opposed to the transparent draping facies observed regionally across the margin. In the Offshore Gharb basin, the middle-upper Messinian unit consists of a distal turbidite depositional environment of deep-water channels or lobes interbedded with hemipelagic drapes, as interpreted in the Anchois-1, Deep Thon-1 and Merou-1 wells (Repsol S.A. 2013).

Underlying the middle to upper Messinian unit, contourite deposits are distributed onshore and offshore Morocco (Capella et al. 2017; de Weger et al. 2020) as well as in the southern part of the West Iberian margin (Rodrigues 2017) due to the MOW. This indicates an active exchange through the Riffian Corridor until early Messinian, whereas synchronous MOW influence in the Deep Algarve and Guadalquivir basins has not been reported to date. The fact that the upper Tortonian-lower Messinian sequence in the Northwest Moroccan margin is thicker than in the Southwest Iberian margin could also reflect higher sedimentary input with an active MOW through a connected Riffian but a dormant Betic Corridor. However, because of the absence of field evidence onshore Morocco for a marine connection above Lower Messinian deposits (Capella et al. 2019), a shift in MOW circulation through the Strait of Gibraltar cannot be excluded (Krijgsman et al. 2018) with currently available drilling information.

Meanwhile, the top of the middle to upper Messinian unit relates to the end of the MSC, marking a lithological shift from middle to late Messinian hemipelagic conditions into lowermost Pliocene contourite deposits formed by the initiation of weak MOW through the Strait of Gibraltar from 5.33 to 3.2 Ma (Hernández-Molina et al. 2016), with the presence of contourite bigradational sequences directly above the Miocene-Pliocene boundary and an abrupt change in sedimentation rate from 10 to 27 cm ka⁻¹ (van der Schee et al. 2016). This change is in agreement with the instantaneous return to open marine connection observed in the Mediterranean (Roveri et al. 2014). The middle to late Messinian and lowermost Pliocene sediments could also be truncated by younger lower Pliocene gravity flow deposits, either channel-filled turbidites, debrites or slope fans originating from the margins (~5.2–3.8 Ma) (Ducassou et al. 2016; Sierro et al. 2008). They are caused by compressional tectonics superimposed upon glacio-eustatic variation (Pérez-Asensio et al. 2018; Sierro et al. 2008).

Quantitative testing of paleoceanographic conditions through the hypothetico-deductive method

The finding that contourite deposition is not evidenced within the Gulf of Cádiz at seismic scale during the deposition of the middle to upper Messinian unit can be interpreted in several ways. It is even possible that MOW flow did continue, despite not being resolved in our body of data. Here, the viability of continuing but changed along slope flow is explored quantitatively using the simple representation of the plume outlined in the “Materials and methods” section, and the physical constraints on the behaviour of the system described in the “Results” section. Below we discuss the three scenarios put forth in the “Results” section and possible no-analog exchanges as an explanation for our observations at the end of this section.

Could there be a very small flux?

Given the necessity for the conservation of salt and mass in marginal basin exchanges like the Mediterranean-Atlantic connection at the Strait of Gibraltar, a reduced flow of water must result in greater density (Bryden et al. 1993). The absolute magnitude of the fluxes would then reflect the underlying control from the net freshwater export flux for the Mediterranean basin, which is 0.05 Sv (Bethoux and Gentili 1999). This control has been modelled for the Messinian context (Simon and Meijer 2015), and a small flux exchange is highly consistent with the evolution of a more saline Mediterranean water mass. Under halite-depositing conditions (Roveri et al. 2014), the flux could be orders of magnitude less than today. As described in the “Results” section, the small flux would lead to a high density and velocity MOW with more intense mixing and the subsequent vigorous formation of AMW, generating a geostrophic current capable of forming a contourite depositional system in the Gulf of Cádiz similar to that observed during the Pliocene and Quaternary (Hernández-Molina et al. 2014; 2016). Thus, while superficially attractive, it is not likely that the absence of contourite deposits in the cores and seismic presented in this study can be explained by a very small flux at the gateway.

Could there be a slower flow?

In terms of the paleo-AMW plume, the maximum flow velocity in the region during the middle to late Messinian consistent with our observations (absence of contourite depositional system) is 0.2 m s⁻¹ (McCave and Hall 2006), which represents the maximum geostrophic velocity (U_geo) experienced on the slope (O’Neill-Baringer and Price 1997).
This is considerably lower than velocities observed in the region today (Sánchez-Leal et al. 2017), which are ~ 1 m s\(^{-1}\) where sandy contourites are forming and in excess of 1.4 m s\(^{-1}\) in regions of abrasion (O’Neill-Baringer and Price 1999). As shown in the “Results” section, a low-velocity MOW or AMW would directly reflect a less dense Mediterranean water mass. This implies that the Mediterranean was much less salty during the middle to late Messinian than in the late Tortonian to early Messinian, which is at odds with the empirical evidence. Conceptually, some loss of density could be traced to the warming of the Mediterranean relative to the Atlantic, but such a regional difference would have to be unrealistically large (up to several degrees) and could still not account for the latest Miocene when halite or gypsum was deposited in the Mediterranean. Moreover, a slower maximum flow velocity threshold (0.15 m s\(^{-1}\)) would mean a more significant reduction of salinity in the Mediterranean. Hence, we find no conditions under which our seismic observations are explained by a very slow-moving plume.

**Could the plume have moved?**

As the position of the gateway moved, the contourite deposition downstream of it must have moved as well. The data covers the most northerly (Figs. 2a and 3b) to the most southerly gateway recognized (Figs. 2b and 3c), fully capturing the possibility of a change in gateway position, thus eliminating this possibility. It might be that the MOW plume moved deeper down the slope, coming to lie basinward of the seismic data presented. For the Late Quaternary, such alterations have been documented (Schönfeld et al. 2003; Llave et al. 2006; Rogerson et al. 2012a). Given the operations of mixing and/or entrainment to counter changes in the density of outflowing water (see discussion above), such settings would not arise from changes in the Mediterranean basin itself but rather from a weakening of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (Rogerson et al. 2012a). In order for the AMW to reach water depths beyond the range of the seismic data presented here, the AMOC would have had to weaken to approximately its conditions during the Last Glacial Maximum (Rogerson et al. 2005). A slow AMOC may have occurred in warm periods of the Early Miocene, but there is no evidence in favour of this hypothesis for the Late Miocene (Steinhorsdottir et al. 2020), and the relatively low volume of northern polar ice at the time, as compared to the Late Quaternary Heinrich Events, makes a “thermohaline crisis” scenario in the Atlantic difficult to envisage. We therefore do not speculate about a sustained period of very low AMOC throughout the deposition of the middle to upper Messinian unit (up to several hundreds of thousands of years) in support of a deepwater flow at this time (Steinhorsdottir et al. 2020). Unless such evidence of sustained low Atlantic overturning during the middle to late Messinian is presented, the AMW plume could not be expected to lie lower on the slope than the region of the seismic data we examined.

**Was the Mediterranean-Atlantic water mass exchange different from that of today?**

Recent consensus regarding the evaporite-depositing phases in the latest Miocene evokes extremely stratified Mediterranean basins (Yoshimura et al. 2016), with exceptionally dense brines occupying deep areas below sills connecting with the Atlantic. However, these deep brine bodies would remain within a Mediterranean overturning circulation, where quantitative representations of the basin demonstrate that deep brines would have continued to mix into overlying waters (Simon and Meijer 2017) and as part of that advection were likely directly drawn up to sill depth by frictional Bernoulli aspiration (Rogerson et al. 2012b). Advection of deep waters is required to balance the water volume provided to finite deep basins by convection (Simon and Meijer 2017); without the Mediterranean overturning circulation, the mass of salt held in water within the deep basins could rapidly be exhausted and evaporite deposition would be replaced by sapropel deposition. The extreme stratification scenarios provided by Simon and Meijer (2017) are therefore consistent with the quantitative representation of the AMW plume used here and do not suffice to explain the lack of a contourite depositional system in the Gulf of Cádiz.

By discarding other reasonable scenarios through this hypothetico-deductive method, we find that the most likely explanation for our seismic observations in the Gulf of Cádiz and the non-desiccation of the Mediterranean during the deposition of the middle to upper Messinian unit is inflow-outflow. This implies that the interface between the surficial and intermediate (e.g. LIW) water masses in the Mediterranean had already been drawn to or below sill depth, while the Atlantic sea surface remaining above it provided a continuous unidirectional eastward flow of relatively freshwater into the Mediterranean, balancing evaporation. Still, we are unable to affirm whether there were sporadic outflows across the Mediterranean-Atlantic gateway during the middle to upper Messinian, which may be required to maintain salinity below evaporitic saturation (Roveri et al. 2014), as the primary impact would be deep scouring close to the strait (Siddall et al. 2004) later masked by Pliocene erosion (Fig. 4). We likewise find no positive indication of changes in seismic facies in the middle to upper Messinian unit within the seismic resolution of our dataset that could account for sporadic outflows in parts of the margins with a more conformable succession (Fig. 2). Further research is necessary to determine whether this period of apparently no MOW nor AMW plume activity is punctuated by shorter returns to two-way water mass exchange or whether salt was
lost from the Mediterranean basin by other means, such as an earlier-than-anticipated onset of evaporite deposition in the deep Eastern Mediterranean basins.

**Implications of the Late Miocene Mediterranean-Atlantic gateway restriction**

The basal unconformity of the middle to late Messinian unit (~6.4 Ma) is linked to an onset of dominantly hemipelagic depositional environment. This seismic and lithologic change suggests absent or intermittent bottom currents without the influence of MOW through the Late Miocene paleo-gateways before the onset of MSC evaporite precipitation and deposition in the Mediterranean. The reduced MOW in the Gulf of Cádiz during the middle to late Messinian was probably due to the shallowing of the sill in the paleo-gateway by tectonic uplift (Krijgsman et al. 1999). Accordingly, the threshold depth allowed solely Atlantic inflow (Capella et al. 2019; Flecker et al. 2015) or intermittent outflow incapable of significantly reworking sediments on the slope of the Gulf of Cádiz since at least 6.37 Ma, ~400 kyr preceding the onset of the MSC (Manzi et al. 2018). This scenario is consistent with a shift from paleo-MOW to paleo-Atlantic bottom waters in the Onshore Gharb basin between ~6.64 and 6.44 Ma, based on Neodymium (Nd) isotope values in the Bou Regreg valley succession in the Riffian Corridor (Salé Briqueterie, Ain El Beida and Oued Akrech; Fig. 7; Ivanovic et al. 2013). Regional uplift and tectonism (Duggen et al. 2003) could also have driven the formation of the turbidites observed at the base of the middle to upper Messinian unit, with turbidite channels scouring into the lower Messinian unit. The reduction of MOW we report at the ~6.4 Ma mark also coincides with a 400-kyr eccentricity minima of the orbital solution by Laskar et al. (2004) (Fig. 5) and supports a stepwise nature for the progressive restriction of the Mediterranean-Atlantic gateway, further consolidating the 400-kyr periodicity orbital forcing superimposed on a gradual tectonic trend as the mechanism behind gateway closure (Hilgen et al. 2007) and changes in the dominant depositional style. An astronomical link to the long eccentricity orbital forcing has been proposed for the evolution of stratigraphic events in this region leading up to the MSC (Roveri et al. 2014), namely the Tortonian salinity crisis (7.8–7.6 Ma) (Krijgsman et al. 2000), the stepwise restriction of Mediterranean-Atlantic connection (~7.2 and ~6.8 Ma) (Kouwenhoven et al. 2003), the onset of MSC (5.97 Ma) (Manzi et al. 2018) and the “Messinian Gap” or MSC acme event (5.6–5.55 Ma) (Krijgsman et al. 1999). The gateway restriction, along with paleoceanographic changes, could explain the concurrent phylogenetic divergence of Mediterranean-New World monk seals around 6.3 Ma, similar to the effect of the Central American seaway closure on Caribbean-Hawaiian monk seal divergence (Scheel et al. 2014).

In the Mediterranean, the gateway restriction was also recorded progressively, leading up to the weakening of the MOW at ~6.4 Ma, firstly by a significant reduction of deep-water ventilation immediately after the Tortonian-Messinian boundary (7.15 Ma), followed by an intensification of bottom water stagnation and water stratification (6.7 Ma; Blanc-Valleron et al. 2002; Kouwenhoven et al. 1999; 2003). These changes were accompanied by a lesser diversity of calcareous planktons (Sierro et al. 2003). Then, deposition of aplanktic levels related to more adverse conditions of restriction with increased salinity (>50 g/L) took place since 6.4 Ma (Sierro et al. 2008). This period (6.45–6.29 Ma) is furthermore characterized by more negative and unstable δ18O values in the Mediterranean, suggesting stronger dilution by continental waters, pointing to a severe isolation of the Mediterranean basin, which was no longer regulated by oceanic input but by climatic fluctuations (Blanc-Valleron et al. 2002). The widespread precipitation of authigenic calcite, dolomite and/or aragonite in the Mediterranean between 6.3 and 5.97 Ma, prior to the deposition of MSC evaporites, moreover indicates an increasingly restrictive and supersaturated environment (Blanc-Valleron et al. 2002; Sierro et al. 2003). A continuous but reduced surficial Atlantic inflow into the Mediterranean could contribute to varying salinity levels around the upper tolerance limit of foraminifera (50 g/L) but below the threshold of gypsum deposition (130 g/L; Flecker et al. 2015) in the Mediterranean marginal basins; meanwhile, the absence of outflow to the Atlantic requires salt being lost from the Mediterranean basin through other means, from the initiation of the transparent unit until the onset of MSC (~6.4–5.97 Ma). In the deeper basins, euxinic shales in the pre-MSC interval (Roveri et al. 2014) extending into the first stage of MSC (de Lange and Krijgsman 2010) indicate anoxic and sulphidic bottom water conditions that could impede the precipitation of gypsum even where salinity was strongly enhanced. Reduced conditions in the deep basin would also explain the synchronous onset of marginal gypsum and basal halite precipitation, reaching saturations of 130 and 350 g/L, respectively (Meijer et al. 2018). Such a scenario still requires a sink of salt from the basin, however, either via sporadic outbursts into the Atlantic too subtle for seismic reflection data to resolve or owing to an earlier-than-anticipated onset of salt deposition in the deep Mediterranean basins. Either hypothesis will need to be tested via new coring and research.

The transition from contouritic to hemipelagic sedimentation in the Miocene would give rise to changes in the Atlantic paleoceanographic regime (Fig. 6). A restricted Mediterranean-Atlantic connection and an absence or intermittence of MOW during the middle to late Messinian would have significantly reduced Atlantic water entrainment and halted
the formation of the AMW (Roberson et al. 2012b). This would alter the strength, structure and possibly the position of the Azores Front (Ozgokmen et al. 2001) and destabilize the AMOC (Ivanovic et al. 2014; Pérez-Asensio et al. 2012; Sierrro et al. 2020). Assuming that the buoyancy export during the early Messinian was similar to that existing today, a loss of MOW would reduce the buoyancy loss within the North Atlantic by $8.53 \times 10^5$ kg s$^{-1}$, which is a change of the same magnitude as that arising from Anthropocene Arctic sea ice loss (Liu et al. 2019). A unidirectional loss of salt into the Mediterranean via an inflow-without-outflow scenario at Gibraltar during the Messinian would also differ from a small net inflow of salt relative to the total inflow-outflow budget under the present two-way exchange scenario. This would likely result in anomalously low salinity in the adjacent Northeastern Atlantic and possibly the weakest AMOC since the closure of the connection between the Mediterranean and the Indian Ocean during the middle Miocene (de la Vara et al. 2013). A revised astronomical age model for ODP Site 982 in the North Atlantic (Fig. 6; Drury et al. 2018) correlated to the Ain El Beida section in the Bou Regreg Valley (Fig. 6; van der Laan et al. 2005) indicates benthic excursions of $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{13}$C in the Atlantic intermediate water depths (500–1500 m; sensu Emery and Meincke 1986) around $6.4$ Ma (Drury et al. 2018). The higher average $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{13}$C values suggest colder conditions (Drury et al. 2018) and could testify to the removal of warm and saline AMW and glacial shoaling of the NADW (Gebbie 2014; Fig. 6b). This major paleoceanographic change is responsible for the enhanced subsurface and atmospheric cooling in the mid-latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere (Boulton et al. 2014; Ivanovic et al. 2014), which coincides with dynamic ice sheet expansion and strengthening of the cryosphere-carbon cycle coupling between 6.4 and 5.4 Ma (Drury et al. 2018; Herbert et al. 2016).

Conclusions

The widespread distribution of a predominantly hemipelagic middle to upper Messinian unit along the continental slope in the Gulf of Cádiz and the southern part of the West Iberian margin can be viewed as the sedimentary response to an absence or intermittence of intermediate circulation. This implies no continuous outflow of the Mediterranean-Atlantic exchange at least $\sim 400$ kyr preceding the MSC, simultaneous to progressive gateway restriction, and correlates to AMOC weakening and North Atlantic cooling in the latest Miocene. An alternative interpretation is that the AMOC was severely reduced throughout this period, causing the MOW plume to settle at great depth. As this is not consistent with existing reconstructions of the Atlantic during the Late Miocene, we support the former scenario.

Either as a means of explaining the transparent unit via deep settling or as a consequence of inflow-without-outflow causing major paleoceanographic changes throughout the North Atlantic, the evolution of the Mediterranean at this time seems to be inextricably bound to the evolution of AMOC. One important outcome of this study is the recognition of the disadvantages of considering the two basins in isolation and of the need to integrate conceptual models of Atlantic paleoceanography with Mediterranean geological models for the latest Miocene.
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