Cu-ZSM-5 Zeolites for the Formation of Methanol from Methane and Oxygen: Probing the Active Sites and Spectator Species
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Abstract A series of Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites was prepared by varying nature of the charge compensating cation, copper precursor, copper loading, and pH. The materials were tested for the oxidation of methane to methanol using oxygen. A linear relationship between the amount of methanol produced over Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites from methane and oxygen and a UV–Vis-NIR DRS charge transfer band at 22,700 cm$^{-1}$ is reported irrespective of the synthesis route used. The absolute intensity of the 22,700 cm$^{-1}$ band is always low, indicating a low number of active sites in the samples. In all studied Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites at least two copper species were present: (a) Cu–O clusters dispersed on the outer surface of ZSM-5 and (b) highly dispersed copper-oxo species inside the channels, a minority fraction in the sample. By relating catalytic activity to FT-IR data of adsorbed pivalonitrile, visualizing Cu–O particles on the outer surface of the zeolite, and subsequently adsorbed NO, indicative of the Cu–O species inside the zeolite channel, it was concluded that Cu–O species on the outer surface are not involved in the oxidation reaction, while copper inside the channels are responsible for the selective conversion of methane to methanol.
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1 Introduction

Direct partial oxidation of methane to methanol poses one of the greatest challenges in heterogeneous catalysis because the driving force to full combustion is high [1–5]. Methanol is currently produced via a multi-step process, i.e., methane $\rightarrow$ syngas $\rightarrow$ methanol [5–8]. Although this process is highly efficient, it has to be performed at large scale due to the high capital costs of syngas production [9]. Thus, for small-scale applications at e.g. remote locations, a new method is needed and a one step methane oxidation to methanol would be advantageous.

Metal-containing zeolites, in particular Fe-ZSM-5 and Cu-ZSM-5, hold great potential for the direct partial oxidation of methane to oxygenates. Fe-ZSM-5 was shown to be able to oxidize benzene to phenol and methane to methanol [10–15]. This indicates that these catalysts are able to activate hydrocarbons and form active oxygen species in such a way that complete oxidation of the reactants towards carbon dioxide and water is prevented. The use of N$_2$O as an oxidant was essential and claimed to lead to a special type of reactive surface oxygen species, often called $\alpha$-oxygen [10–12]. In a similar manner, Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites are able to convert benzene to phenol [16, 17] and methane to methanol [18, 19] using molecular oxygen as an oxidant. Recently, we showed that Co-ZSM-5 zeolites can convert methane to oxygenates using oxygen [20]. The activity and selectivity depended on the nature of cobalt species present in materials. Cobalt in ion-exchange position was selective towards formaldehyde; while cobalt oxide species (both CoO, Co$_3$O$_4$) were selective towards methanol [20].

Although Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites are selective towards methanol formation, the mechanism of the reaction is still under debate. The active site in these Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites is
considered to be represented by a UV–Vis-NIR diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) absorption band at 22,700 cm\(^{-1}\). Several assignments have been reported for this band. Some authors ascribed the band to the presence of \((\text{Cu–O–Cu})^{2+}\) species [21–23], while other groups assigned it to a bis(\(\mu\)-oxo) dicopper species [18, 19, 24, 25]. Recently, Woertink et al. [26] presented additional information on the origin of copper species using a combination of resonance Raman (rR) spectroscopy and density functional theory (DFT). It was concluded that the oxygen activated copper core is defined as a bent mono-(\(\mu\)-oxo) dicopper cluster. When true the intensity of this UV–Vis-NIR DRS band should be directly related to the methane oxidation activity of the Cu-ZSM-5 sample which has not been, to the best of our knowledge, shown before. This will be investigated in the current work.

From the existing literature [18, 19] it is clear that the Cu–O CT band has an unusual low intensity in comparison with the d–d transition band of the same sample. In general, a CT band is at least two orders of magnitude more intense [27], whereas for most Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites the CT band and the d–d transition have similar intensities. This lead us to hypothesize that the species related to the CT band are only a minority species in the sample and other species must be present. Therefore, we report here a characterization study of Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites with the aim of identifying the different copper species present and establishing a quantitative structure–performance relation. For this purpose, we have investigated a series of Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites varying in copper content and nature of copper precursor, pH and nature of the charge compensating cation to vary the intensity of the 22,700 cm\(^{-1}\) band and evaluated their activity for methanol production from methane and oxygen.

2 Experimental

2.1 Sample Preparation

\(\text{NH}_4\text{-ZSM-5 (ZEOLYST, Si/Al = 17.5)}\) was converted to Na-ZSM-5 via three times ion-exchange using 0.1 M sodium nitrate (98%, Acros Organics) at ambient temperature for 24 h. After ion-exchange the sample was washed and dried overnight at 333 K. Copper was introduced by ion-exchange using aqueous solutions (300 mL solution, 3 g zeolite) of copper acetate (98%, Acros Organics) of different concentrations (Table 1) at room temperature for 24 h (the pH of the ion-exchange solution was \(\sim 6\)). Next the samples were washed and dried at 393 K. The samples are denoted as Cu-A-L, where L is the copper loading in wt%. Similar procedures were used to introduce copper by ion-exchange using copper nitrate (98%, Acros Organics) and copper chloride (98%, Acros Organics) solutions (the pH of both solutions were \(\sim 4.5\)). All samples were washed and dried at 393 K. The samples are denoted as Cu-N-L and Cu-C-L, respectively, where L is the copper loading in wt%.

In addition, samples with varying nature of the charge compensating cations were prepared. First, X-ZSM-5 zeolites (X = Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Rb\(^+\), Ba\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and Sr\(^{2+}\)) were prepared starting from \(\text{NH}_4\text{-ZSM-5 (ZEO-}
\text{LYST, Si/Al = 17.5)}\) via three times ion-exchange at room temperature for 24 h using the corresponding metal nitrates (for Na, K, Sr, Ba, and Rb) or acetates (for Ca, Ba). Copper was introduced by ion-exchange using a 0.02 M copper acetate solution. These samples are denoted as Cu-A-X-L, where X is the charge compensating cation and L is the copper loading in wt%.

Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites were also prepared using copper acetate solutions of pH 3.5–5. Acetic acid was used to adjust the pH of starting 0.02 M copper acetate solution. After that Na-ZSM-5 was introduced into the solution and the ion-exchange procedure was performed; the pH of the slurry slightly decreased. The samples are denoted as Cu-A-L-P, where L is the copper loading in wt% and P is the pH of the solution used for ion-exchange.

2.2 Catalyst Characterization and Testing

Copper loadings were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) using a VARIAN Spectra AA-20 instrument. 10 mg of the Cu-ZSM-5 zeolite was dissolved in 0.1 M HF to prepare the solutions for AAS measurements. Surface areas and pore volumes were determined by \(\text{N}_2\)-physisorption using a Micromeritics ASAP 2400 instrument. Surface areas were calculated by using the BET model with micro- and macropores described by the Horvath-Kawazoe and BJH models, respectively. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns of the Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites were recorded using a Nonius PDS 120 powder diffraction apparatus with Co K\(\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 1.78897\) Å).

Catalytic activity, in batch mode, and UV–Vis-NIR DRS experiments were simultaneously performed in a specially designed quartz reactor equipped with a UV–Vis-NIR transparent window [28]. Before reaction the samples (1.0 g, grain size 0.2–0.5 mm) were mild calcined [29] in air (1000 mL/min) at 823 K (heating ramp of 0.5 K/min). After calcination the samples were cooled to RT and flushed with He. Subsequently, the samples were heated to 423 K (heating ramp of 10 K/min) in methane (8% in He; 25 mL/min) and kept at that temperature for 25 min.

After reaction with methane methanol was collected by extraction. 0.2 g of the sample was stirred in 0.5 mL solvent for 1 h. Different solvents were explored: acetonitrile dried over MgSO\(_4\) (99.99%, Acros Organics), an
acetonitrile–water mixture (50:50%), ethanol (99.9%, Merck), and hexane (99.9%, Merck), of which ethanol was the most efficient. The product composition was determined by a Shimadzu 2010 gas chromatograph equipped with a CP-WAX 52 CB column (50 m and 0.32 mm ID) and a liquid sampler AOC-20i.

The in situ UV–Vis-NIR DRS measurements were carried out using a Varian Cary 500 spectrometer in the range 5,000–50,000 cm\(^{-1}\) (200–2,200 nm). In order to eliminate the contributions of the zeolite material, a DR UV–Vis-NIR spectrum of a dehydrated ZSM-5 zeolite sample was subtracted from all Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites. DR UV–Vis-NIR data are reported in Kubelka-Munk (K-M) units [30].

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) was performed on a Tecnai 20 (FEI) equipped with a field emission gun and operated at 200 kV. A small amount of the sample was positioned on a carbon microgrid supported on nickel. Elemental composition was obtained by Energy Dispersive analysis of X-rays (EDX) performed through a LINK EDX system.

FT-IR spectra of adsorbed probe molecules were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 2000 FT-IR instrument. Samples were pressed in self-supporting wafers (around 20 mg) and activated by outgassing in vacuum at 623 K. Next the sample was exposed to pivalonitrile vapor (Acros Organics, 98%) at 323 K and 7 mbar for 20 min. Subsequently, adsorption of NO (10% in He; 9 mbar) was performed at 323 K for 20 min. Data were baseline-corrected and the intensity of a spectrum was normalized at the zeolite overtones (1,950–2,050 cm\(^{-1}\)).

### 3 Results and Discussion

Table 1 summarizes some details on the synthesis procedure and characteristics of the Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites under investigation. Cu loadings were the highest (>2 wt%) when copper acetate was used as precursor most likely as the result of the higher pH of the acetate solution (around six) as compared to that of the copper chloride or copper nitrate.

#### Table 1 Overview of the Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites under investigation and their preparation method and amount of methanol produced

| Copper precursor | Samples          | Conc prec. M | pH | Cu loading, wt%\(^a\) | CT intensity, K-M units | Methanol production, mmol/mol Cu |
|------------------|------------------|--------------|----|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Copper acetate   | Cu-A-2.2         | 0.01         | 5.5| 2.2                   | 0.9                    | 4.7                             |
|                  | Cu-A-2.4         | 0.02         | 5.5| 2.4                   | 1.0                    | 5.2                             |
|                  | Cu-A-2.6         | 0.05         | 5.5| 2.6                   | 1.4                    | 6.4                             |
|                  | Cu-A-2.5         | 0.1          | 4.5| 2.5                   | 1.2                    | 4.9                             |
|                  | Cu-A-1.4         | 0.005        | 5.0| 1.4                   | 0.          | 0                               |
|                  | Cu-A-0.9         | 0.002        | 4.0| 0.9                   | 0                      | 0                               |
|                  | Cu-A-1.7–5.0     | 0.02         | 4.0| 1.7                   | 0.5                    | 3.0                             |
|                  | Cu-A-1.6–4.5     | 0.05         | 3.5| 1.6                   | 0.2                    | 2.0                             |
|                  | Cu-A-1.6–4.0     | 0.1          | 3.5| 1.6                   | 0.15                   | 1.6                             |
|                  | Cu-A-1.5–3.5     | 0.02         | 3.5| 1.5                   | 0.1                    | 1.3                             |
|                  | Cu-A-H-2.0       | 0.02         | 5.5| 2.0                   | 0.6                    | 3.5                             |
|                  | Cu-A-NH\(_4\)-1.9| 0.01         | 5.0| 1.9                   | 0.6                    | 4.0                             |
|                  | Cu-A-Na-2.4      | 0.02         | 4.0| 2.4                   | 1.0                    | 5.2                             |
|                  | Cu-A-K-1.8       | 0.05         | 4.0| 1.8                   | 0.6                    | 4.3                             |
|                  | Cu-A-Rb-2.0      | 0.1          | 3.5| 2.0                   | 0.6                    | 3.8                             |
|                  | Cu-A-Mg-1.7      | 0.1          | 3.5| 1.7                   | 0.4                    | 3.4                             |
|                  | Cu-A-Sr-1.4      | 0.1          | 3.5| 1.4                   | 0.2                    | 1.8                             |
|                  | Cu-A-Ba-1.6      | 0.1          | 3.5| 1.6                   | 0.2                    | 2.0                             |
| Copper nitrate   | Cu-N-1.6         | 0.01         | 4.5| 1.6                   | 0.2                    | 2.7                             |
|                  | Cu-N-1.8         | 0.02         | 4.5| 1.8                   | 0.3                    | 1.7                             |
|                  | Cu-N-1.9         | 0.05         | 4.5| 1.9                   | 0.4                    | 2.0                             |
|                  | Cu-N-2.0         | 0.1          | 4.5| 2.0                   | 0.4                    | 2.2                             |
| Copper chloride  | Cu-C-1.7         | 0.01         | 4.5| 1.7                   | 0.3                    | 2.3                             |
|                  | Cu-C-1.7         | 0.02         | 4.5| 1.7                   | 0.3                    | 2.6                             |
|                  | Cu-C-2.0         | 0.05         | 4.5| 2.0                   | 0.4                    | 2.9                             |
|                  | Cu-C-1.9         | 0.1          | 4.5| 1.9                   | 0.4                    | 2.7                             |

\(^a\) At 2 wt% Cu loading and a Si/Al ratio of 17 results in a Cu/Al ratio of 0.4
solutions (for both 4.5). This was confirmed by the fact that the samples prepared at lower pH contained a lower amount of copper.

For the Cu-X-L zeolites elemental analysis revealed that zeolites exchanged with divalent charge compensating cations contain always less copper (about 1.5 wt% Cu) than the zeolite samples having monovalent cations (about 2 wt% Cu), though the intended weight loading was the same.

All copper-loaded zeolites had a BET surface area of around 320 m²/g with a micropore volume of about 0.12 mL/g, which is only slightly lower in comparison with the parent zeolite (360 m²/g, 0.13 mL/g, respectively).

The XRD patterns of all copper-loaded ZSM-5 zeolites after calcination were identical to and well represented by that of parent Na-ZSM-5, indicating no detectable damage of the ZSM-5 structure during the preparation. No additional peaks due to the presence of copper oxide phases were found within the experimental sensitivity of XRD.

Figure 1 shows the UV–Vis-NIR DRS spectra for Cu-A-L zeolites having different copper loadings. Absorption bands were found at 14,000 and 22,700 cm⁻¹. The band at 14,000 cm⁻¹ has been assigned to d–d transition of Cu²⁺ in (pseudo-) octahedral environment [21, 31, 32]. As mentioned above for the band at 22,700 cm⁻¹ several assignments have been reported. It is ascribed to the charge transfer band from Cu to O either in bis(μ-oxo) dicopper [18, 19] or in mono-(μ-oxo) dicopper species [21–23, 26]. However, as already discussed the intensity of the band at 22,700 cm⁻¹ is exceptionally weak for a CT band; usually a CT band should be observed which is confirmed here as well. For Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites with higher copper loadings (>1.4 wt%) intensity of the CT band increased linearly with increasing Cu loading suggesting a higher amount of potential active sites for the methane to methanol reaction for samples with a higher copper loading.

Since all samples follow the same trend irrespective of the used copper precursor, pH and the nature of the charge compensating cation, it was concluded that the nature of the copper species in all samples is the same. Only their amount varied among the samples. This observation also

Earlier studies of Groothaert et al. [18, 19, 26] showed that the CT band at 22,700 cm⁻¹ disappeared after exposing Cu-ZSM-5 to methane, after which methanol could be extracted. Based on that result it was proposed that this CT band was associated to the active site for methane oxidation to methanol over Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites. However, a quantitative relation between the intensity of the CT band and the amount of methanol produced has, to the best of our knowledge, not been reported before. When the CT band is indeed related to the active site, the intensity of the band should be linearly related to the catalytic activity. In Fig. 2 the intensity of the CT band at 22,700 cm⁻¹ as a function of Cu loading for all different Cu-ZSM-5 (Cu-A-L, Cu-N-L, Cu-C-L, Cu-A-X-L, and Cu-A-L-P) zeolites is plotted. Clearly, two regions can be distinguished. In region one no intensity of the CT was seen though Cu was present. In region two (above 1.4 wt% Cu) a linear increase of the CT band with Cu loading was observed. Smeets et al. [24] showed earlier that the samples with low Cu loading the distance between copper atoms is too large and thus, the formation of (μ-oxo) dicopper species is impossible, i.e., no CT band should be observed which is confirmed here as well. For Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites with higher copper loadings (>1.4 wt%) intensity of the CT band increased linearly with increasing Cu loading suggesting a higher amount of potential active sites for the methane to methanol reaction for samples with a higher copper loading.

Since all samples follow the same trend irrespective of the used copper precursor, pH and the nature of the charge compensating cation, it was concluded that the nature of the copper species in all samples is the same. Only their amount varied among the samples. This observation also
suggests that the nature of co-cation does not alter the electronic properties of active copper species. Since the CT band at 22,700 cm$^{-1}$ becomes visible only at higher copper loadings copper must be present in a different form for lower copper loadings.

To establish whether a relationship between the CT band intensity and catalytic activity exists, methanol was extracted from the samples after exposing the activated samples to methane. It is necessary to mention here that this methane to methanol process is not continuous (yet) and the operation of this catalyst cycle consists of three steps: (1) activation (calcination in oxygen or air) to deposit active oxygen, (2) reaction with methane, and (3) extraction of the formed products with a solvent.

The role of the extraction solvent on the methanol yield was investigated first. Extraction was performed immediately after reaction using different solvents. As an example, the amount of methanol extracted from Cu-A-2.4 using different solvents is shown in Fig. 3.

Clearly, more polar and protic solvents (ethanol, acetonitrile–water) yielded higher amounts of methanol produced. We propose that the role of the solvent is not only to dissolve the product, but also to provide protons to the reaction intermediate, most likely a methoxy species [33] formed on the catalyst surface to form methanol. Figure 4 displays the amount of methanol produced (ethanol was used as extraction solvent) as function of the CT band intensity. Thus, we observed a quantitative relation between the CT band and the amount of methanol produced for Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites, indicating that the CT band at 22,700 cm$^{-1}$ indeed represents the active site.

As mentioned at least two types of copper species were present in the different Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites. Combining the catalytic results and UV–Vis-NIR DRS data we suggest that only a minority species are present in the active form. In order to get more insight into the copper speciation, we used TEM to directly visualize copper particles. Figure 5a shows a typical TEM image of Cu-A-2.2. The black spots indicate the presence of nanosized copper oxide particles (2–5 nm). The size of the particles leads us to speculate that they are on the outer surface of the ZSM-5 zeolite. Figure 5b shows the presence of areas in the same sample without visible copper particles. Nevertheless in those areas EDX analysis did indicate the presence of copper. Therefore, this copper must be highly dispersed. It is tempting to assume that this highly dispersed copper is related to the 22,700 cm$^{-1}$ band in the UV–Vis-NIR DRS data.

It is necessary here to mention that the time of exposure of the sample to the electron beam had a significant influence on the appearance of copper particles in TEM. Figure 6 shows TEM images of Cu-A-2.2 directly after exposure to the electron beam (a) and the same part of the sample after several minutes of exposure (b). It is clear that prolonged exposure to the electron beam appears to cause migration and sintering of copper to 2–5 nm particles [34]. This is an additional prove that part of the copper is highly dispersed within the channels of the ZSM-5 zeolites, which apparently migrated to the surface after long time exposure.

Summarizing, from TEM results it can be concluded that at least two types of copper species are present; i.e., nanosized copper oxide particles on the outer surface of the
ZSM-5 zeolite and highly dispersed copper most probably inside the ZSM-5 channels.

To obtain additional information about the copper speciation and to correlate the amount of these species to catalytic activity, FT-IR measurements of adsorbed pivalonitrile and NO were performed. Pivalonitrile does not fit the pores of ZSM-5, but does adsorb on copper oxide [35, 36]. Therefore, its adsorption will be indicative for copper oxide on the outer surface of the zeolite (see also Supporting information for more details on the chosen approach). Next, NO is adsorbed to visualize copper inside the channels, if present. NO is a sensitive molecular probe for both Cu$^+$ and Cu$^{2+}$, so it can be used to explore the valence and amount of copper in copper-exchanged zeolites [36]. Figure 7a shows FT-IR spectra of Cu-A-2.4 both after outgassing and after subsequent contact with pivalonitrile vapor. Bands at 2,239, 2,245, 2,280, and 2,296 cm$^{-1}$ in the CN-stretching region were formed after pivalonitrile adsorption. The former two bands have been assigned to the perturbation of the CN vibration by interaction of pivalonitrile with the terminal silanol groups and were also observed for the adsorption of pivalonitrile on a parent Na-ZSM-5. The band at 2,296 cm$^{-1}$ has been associated to interaction of pivalonitrile with Lewis Al$^{3+}$ site [35, 36], while the band at 2,280 cm$^{-1}$ has been assigned to Lewis acid–base complex of pivalonitrile with Cu$^{2+}$ [35, 36].

Figure 7b shows the FT-IR spectra of adsorbed pivalonitrile on Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites with varying metal loadings. In order to estimate the intensity of the absorption band at 2,280 cm$^{-1}$ all spectra were deconvoluted (an example of such a deconvolution is included in the Supporting information). To investigate whether this FT-IR band relates to the active site the methanol yield was plotted as a function of the intensity of 2,280 cm$^{-1}$ band. The result is shown in Fig. 8. There is no linear relationship between the 2,280 cm$^{-1}$ IR band and the amount of methanol produced. It is concluded that the copper species on the outer surface that are probed by pivalonitrile are not involved in the methane to methanol reaction.

Subsequent to pivalonitrile, NO was adsorbed on the samples. Figure 9a shows a FT-IR spectrum of Cu-A-2.4 after adsorption of NO. Two intensive bands developed at
1,813 and 1,907 cm$^{-1}$, which have been assigned to NO adsorbed on Cu$^{+}$ and Cu$^{2+}$, respectively [37–41]. Figure 9b shows the FT-IR spectra of NO adsorbed on Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites with different metal loadings. Apparently, the intensity of these bands increases with copper loading. The spectra were deconvoluted to determine the intensities of these two absorption bands. It was observed that Cu$^{+}$ was oxidized to Cu$^{2+}$ during NO adsorption, therefore we used the total intensity of the bands at 1,813 and 1,905 cm$^{-1}$ in further discussion (see also Supporting information for more details).

When the amount of methanol produced is plotted as a function of the total intensity of both NO IR-absorption bands, a linear relationship is observed (Fig. 10), indicating that NO (after pivalonitrile adsorption) is representative for the active sites, involved in methane oxidation.

Summarizing, also the IR adsorption data indicate that there are at least two copper species present in Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites under investigation: i.e., copper on the outer surface, which is not active for methane oxidation; and highly dispersed copper inside the channels of ZSM-5, which is involved in methane oxidation.

4 Conclusions

For activated Cu-ZSM-5 zeolites the CT band at 22,700 cm$^{-1}$ is linearly related to the metal loading and to the activity of the samples for the production of methanol from methane and oxygen. Thus, a quantitative structure–performance relationship between the CT band intensity and the amount of methanol produced has been established. This relationship is valid irrespective of the used copper precursor, pH, and the nature of charge compensating cation. The presence of at least two copper species was observed and confirmed by UV–Vis-NIR DRS, TEM, and FT-IR measurements. Part of the copper is present as Cu–O nanoclusters on the outer surface. Based on catalytic experiments and FT-IR measurements of adsorbed pivalonitrile showed that Cu–O species on the outer surface are inactive for methanol production. A minor part of copper is present inside the microporous channels as visualized by FT-IR of adsorbed NO after pivalonitrile adsorption, which most likely gives rise to the CT band at 22,700 cm$^{-1}$. 

Fig. 7 a FT-IR spectra of Cu-A-2.4 after outgassing at 623 K for 1 h (A) and after adsorption of PN (7 mbar) at 323 K (B). b FT-IR spectra of Cu-ZSM-5 after adsorption of PN: A Cu-A-2.4, B Cu-A-2.5, C Cu-N-1.8, B Cu-N-1.8, and E Cu-A-0.9

Fig. 8 Catalytic activity as a function of IR intensity of the absorption band at 2,280 cm$^{-1}$ after pivalonitrile adsorption on the Cu-ZSM-5 samples under investigation.
These copper species inside the channels are involved in methane oxidation to methanol.
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