Abstract: Analysis of Halbach array placed in open space by using finite element method involves substantial consumption of memory, time, and cost. To address this problem, development of a mathematical modeling and analytic analysis method for Halbach array can be a solution, but research on this topic is currently insufficient. Therefore, a novel mathematical modeling and analytic analysis method for Halbach array in open space is proposed in this study, which is termed as the Ampere model and the Biot–Savart law (AB method). The proposed AB method can analyze the Halbach array rapidly and accurately with minimal consumption of memory. The usefulness of the AB method in terms of accuracy and memory and time consumption is verified by comparing the AB method with finite element method in this paper.
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1. Introduction

Halbach array (HA) was proposed by K. Halbach to maximize the efficiency in a given volume of a permanent magnet (PM) via the combination of PMs, which are magnetized in diverse directions [1]. By combining PMs appropriately, an effective magnetic flux path can be obtained without using magnetic cores. The combination of the PMs is termed as HA.

By the appropriate arrangement of PMs, which are magnetized in different directions, it is possible to divert the magnetic flux in unnecessary areas to the required area. This renders enhancement of the magnetic flux in the required area and reduction of the same in the unnecessary area. Hence, maximization of the efficiency of a magnetic field system is possible with the usage of restricted volume of PMs [2–8].

A high permeability core material is commonly used to obtain an effective magnetic flux path. However, core losses, such as hysteresis loss and eddy current loss occur in the core and lower the efficiency of the magnetic field system. On the other hand, when the HA is used for the magnetic system, an effective magnetic flux path can be obtained without using the core, and the efficiency of the magnetic field system can be increased.

Nevertheless, the cost of an electric machine could be increased when HA is used due to the manufacturing difficulties of HA arising from factors such as the bonding difficulties of PMs, which are magnetized in different directions, etc. However, as there are many merits of HA, it is widely used for diverse kinds of electric machines, such as, motor [9–15], maglev [16,17], eddy current brake [18–22], actuator [23,24], magnetic bearing [25–27], energy harvester [28–31], etc.

Most studies on the analysis of HA have been focused on the case where the HA is placed with high permeability cores in a small air gap [9–29]. Moreover, HA was modelled and analyzed through the methods of the magnetic circuit method [9], image method [10,12], Fourier series [15], and Layer method [18,24]. The above methods are not applicable if the device contains no ferromagnetic core.
and has wide airgap. However, in some cases, the HA can be placed in open space and most of the magnetic flux can pass through a long distance in air such as when it is used in energy harvester around the transmission line [30,31].

The finite element method (FEM) can deal with the problem, but it needs much time and memory to analyze. These days, the use of more efficient computational methods is of extreme importance to reduce energy consumption in computing centers [32], and FEM cannot satisfy this issue.

To address this problem, a novel and useful mathematical modeling and analytic analysis method is proposed in this research for HA placed in open space. Specifically, the mathematical model is derived for PM and HA by considering the Ampere model, Gilbert model, and Ampere’s circuital law. The governing equations and analytical method for the analysis of HA are derived in this paper by applying the Ampere model and the Biot–Savart law. Hence, the proposed method in this study is termed as Ampere model and the Biot–Savart law (AB) method.

The usefulness of the proposed mathematical model and analysis method in terms of accuracy and time consumption is validated by comparison with the finite element method (FEM).

2. Structure and Working Principle of HA

There are diverse kinds of arrangements for HA depending on its applications. The arrangement of the HA used in this study is a basic one that is widely used, as shown in Figure 1c, which corresponds to the case that uses the magnetic flux flowing in the −z direction area.
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Figure 1. (a) PM arrays magnetized in the +z and −z direction; (b) permanent magnet (PM) arrays magnetized in +x and −x direction; (c) Halbach array (HA) through the combination of (a) and (b).

The model of Figure 1c is the combination of Figure 1a,b to maximize and utilize the magnetic flux in the −z direction. Figure 1a shows a combination of PM elements magnetized in +z and −z directions. Figure 1b shows the arrangement of PM elements magnetized in +x and −x directions.

By combining the PM elements demonstrated in Figure 1a,b, the magnetic fluxes generated by the models in Figure 1a,b are superimposed, as illustrated in Figure 1c. Hence, the magnetic flux in the +z direction is canceled out and the flux in the −z direction is augmented. In other words, by using the arrangement of HA shown in Figure 1c, it is possible to add the magnetic flux flowing in the +z direction, which is not utilized, to the −z direction where the magnetic flux is used.

A given PM has a limited amount of total magnetic flux. Therefore, it is helpful to use the HA structure to maximize the magnetic flux in the utilized area within a given PM volume. In other words, the cost and volume of PM can be minimized by applying the HA.

3. The Proposed AB Method for PM

This section may be divided by subheadings. It should provide a concise and precise description of the experimental results, their interpretation, as well as the experimental conclusions that can be drawn.
3.1. Mathematical Model of PM

There are two mathematical models of PM for the analysis of its magnetic characteristics: Ampere model and Gilbert model.

As shown in Figure 2a, in the Ampere model, the PM is assumed as an equivalent Amperian current or bound current flowing on the surface of PM, and the magnetic flux is generated by the Amperian current.

As shown in Figure 2b, the Gilbert model assumes the PM as an equivalent magnetic charge. The polarity of the magnetic charge in the Gilbert model corresponds to the North and South pole of PM. The magnetic charge is defined as a unipolar charge, not a dipole, and is distributed in the area of the corresponding polarity in the PM. Therefore, in the Gilbert model, the magnetic field generated by the PM can be calculated as done in the case of calculating the electric field of electric charge by Coulomb’s law.

The Gilbert model is reasonable for the demonstration of the magnetic field outside the PM. However, the magnetic field inside the PM of the Gilbert model is quite different from the actual magnetic field, as shown in Figure 2b.

Therefore, the Ampere model can be useful for the analysis and design of PM machine which uses magnetic field near PM. In this study, the Ampere model is used for the derivation of the analytic method for the analysis of PM.

3.2. Application of Amperian Current into PM

The Ampere model assumes the PM as an equivalent current loop. Therefore, in this paper, an analytic method for the analysis of the magnetic field generated by PM is proposed via the assumption of the equivalent current loop of PM and by using the Biot–Savart law.

The sum of the Amperian currents flowing through the surface of the PM can be determined using Ampere’s circuital law. In other words, the total current $I_m$, which is the summation of each Amperian current $i$ of PM in the Ampere model, can be obtained by integrating the magnetic field intensity $H$ over the length $l$ as shown in Equation (1).

$$I_m = \sum_{i} i = \int \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{l}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The total current $I_m$ can be calculated using an equivalent magnetic circuit model and by using the material property B-H curve of the PM.

Through the B-H curve of magnetic material, the linear relationship between the residual magnetic flux density $B_r$ and the coercive force $H_c$ can be found. Moreover, by modeling the permanent magnet
in the form of a Norton equivalent circuit, the magnetomotive force of the permanent magnet can be calculated [33].

Therefore, the total current \( I_m \) can be obtained by multiplying the coercive force \( H_c \) by the length \( L \) in the magnetization direction of the PM.

\[
I_m = H_c \cdot L
\]  

(2)

3.3. Amperian Current Distribution Modelling in HA

In this study, the most common and widely used arrangement of HA shown in Figure 1c is considered. For the verification of the proposed analysis method, the model of Figure 3a, which utilizes the minimum number of PMs (three PMs) for maximizing the \( -z \) direction magnetic flux, is used.

![Figure 3. (a) Arrangement of the HA for the verification of the proposed analytical method where the magnetized direction is represented by \( \hat{z} \); (b) Modeling of the HA with the distributed currents by applying the Amperian model.](image)

As shown in Figure 3b, the HA demonstrated in Figure 3a is converted into the Ampere model via current distribution flowing at a constant interval on the PM surface.

\( O \) means the origin with coordinates (0,0,0) in Cartesian coordinate system.

The mathematical modeling of a PM with the Ampere model can be derived using Figure 4. For the mathematical expression of \( i(k) \), Equation (3) is proposed in this paper by assuming the current distribution as a linear function of \( k \), as shown in Figure 5. The total number of Amperian currents is \( 2n + 1 \), \( n_0 \) and \( n_1 \) are constant coefficients, and \( I_m \) is the total sum of the currents.

\[
i_k = (n_0 + n_1 \cdot |k|) \cdot \frac{I_m}{2n + 1}
\]  

(3)

\( I_m \) can be obtained using Equation (2).

Because the sum of \( i(k) \) is equal to \( I_m \), we can obtain Equation (4).

\[
\sum_{k=-n}^{k=n} (n_0 + n_1 \cdot |k|) \cdot \frac{I_m}{2n + 1} = I_m
\]  

(4)

By rearranging Equation (4) for \( n_0 \) and \( n_1 \), we can derive Equation (5) for the constants \( n_0 \) and \( n_1 \).

\[
n_1 = \frac{(2n + 1)(1 - n_0)}{n(n + 1)}
\]  

(5)
3.4. Magnetic Field Analysis Using the AB Method

The magnetic flux density at a position that is at a distance $r$ from the current flowing through the differential length $dl$ can be obtained as Equation (6) by using the Biot–Savart law, where $\mu_0$ is the permeability of vacuum, $I$ is the intensity of the current, and $\hat{r}$ is a direction vector for an arbitrary position [34].

$$\frac{d\vec{B}}{dr} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{dl \times \hat{r}}{r^2}$$ (6)

Figure 6 illustrates the magnetic flux density $B(r_{line}, x_{line})$ at an arbitrary position that is at a vertical distance $r_{line}$ and height $x_{line}$ from the center point of the linear current $I$ having finite length $L$. Based on Figure 6, Equation (7) is derived for the calculation of the magnetic flux density $B(r_{line}, x_{line})$ generated by the line current $I$ through the application of Equation (6) [34].

$$\vec{B}(r_{line}, x_{line}) = \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi r_{line}} \left( \frac{x_{line} + L/2}{\sqrt{r_{line}^2 + (x + L/2)^2}} - \frac{x_{line} - L/2}{\sqrt{r_{line}^2 + (x_{line} - L/2)^2}} \right)$$ (7)
Figure 6. Illustration of the magnetic flux density \( \vec{B}(r_{\text{line}}) \) at the position \((r_{\text{line}}, x_{\text{line}})\) by the line current \(I\) with a finite length \(L\).

Figure 7 illustrates the vector \( \vec{B}_{\text{loop}}(x, y, z) \) of the magnetic flux density from the current loop at a point \((x, y, z)\) relative to the center point of the loop \(O\). The current \(I\) flows through the loop, and loop has the width \(A\) and the length \(B\). The function of \( \vec{B}_{\text{loop}}(x, y, z) \) in Figure 7 can be derived as Equation (8) by applying Equation (7).

\[
\vec{B}_{\text{loop}}(x, y, z) = B_x \cdot \hat{a}_x + B_y \cdot \hat{a}_y + B_z \cdot \hat{a}_z
\]

where

\[
B_x = \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{z}{(x - \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2} \left( \frac{y + \frac{B}{2}}{\sqrt{(x - \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2 + (y + \frac{B}{2})^2}} - \frac{y - \frac{B}{2}}{\sqrt{(x - \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2 + (y - \frac{B}{2})^2}} \right)
\]

\[
B_y = \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{x + \frac{L}{2}}{(x - \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{(x + \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2 + (y + \frac{B}{2})^2}}{\sqrt{(x - \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2 + (y + \frac{B}{2})^2}} - \frac{\sqrt{(x + \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2 + (y - \frac{B}{2})^2}}{\sqrt{(x - \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2 + (y - \frac{B}{2})^2}} \right)
\]

\[
B_z = \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{x - \frac{L}{2}}{(x - \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{(x + \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2 + (y + \frac{B}{2})^2}}{\sqrt{(x - \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2 + (y + \frac{B}{2})^2}} - \frac{\sqrt{(x + \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2 + (y - \frac{B}{2})^2}}{\sqrt{(x - \frac{L}{2})^2 + z^2 + (y - \frac{B}{2})^2}} \right)
\]

Equation (8)
By applying Equation (8) for the model of Figure 3b, the magnetic flux density vector \( \mathbf{B}(x,y,z) \) at the position that is at \((x,y,z)\) from the center of the bottom of PM can be derived as Equation (9).

\[
\mathbf{B}_{\text{Halbach}}(x,y,z) = \mathbf{B}_{\text{Left}}(x,y,z) + \mathbf{B}_{\text{Center}}(x,y,z) + \mathbf{B}_{\text{Right}}(x,y,z)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{loop}}(x + \frac{w_1+w_2}{2}, y, -z - \frac{l}{2} - \frac{tk}{2n}) + \\
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{loop}}(z + \frac{l}{2}, y, x + \frac{w_1}{2n}) + \\
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{loop}}(-x + \frac{w_1+w_2}{2}, y, z + \frac{l}{2} - \frac{tk}{2n})
\]

where \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{Left}}(x,y,z), \mathbf{B}_{\text{Center}}(x,y,z) \), and \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{Right}}(x,y,z) \) each represent the vector of the magnetic flux density from the left magnet, the center magnet, and the right magnet in Figure 3.

Equation (9) can be simplified to Equation (10) when the magnetic flux density is calculated in the condition that \(x\) and \(y\) are zero. The magnetic flux density through the line where \(x\) and \(y\) are zero is calculated simply, and it is reasonable as a metric for the performance of HA at the initial design stage.

Based on the applied methods, the proposed analysis method is termed as the Ampere model and the Biot–Savart law analysis method (AB method) in this paper.

\[
\mathbf{B}(z) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ z+t \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{z^2+(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{z+t}{(z+t)^2+(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(z+t)^2+(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2}} \cdot \frac{z+t}{\sqrt{z^2+(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{1}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{z^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{1}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{z^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+\frac{w_2}{2n}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}} + \\
\frac{2(z+t)^2+\frac{w_1}{2n}}{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{w_1}{2n})^2+(\frac{w_2}{2n})^2}}
\]

\]

---

**Figure 7.** Illustration of the magnetic flux density vector \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{loop}}(x,y,z) \) at the position \((x,y,z)\) by the rectangular current loop of the current \(I\).
4. Verification of the AB Method

This study proposes the mathematical model and analytical method for the calculation of the magnetic flux density generated by the HA at an arbitrary position in an open area. For the verification of the proposed mathematical model and analysis method, a comparison of the magnetic flux density calculated by using both the proposed AB method and the finite element method (FEM) was carried out, as shown in Figure 8. The widely used arrangement of the HA, shown in Figure 3, is used for the verification model with data tabulated in Table 1.

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** Comparison between the proposed AB method and the FEM via the calculated magnetic flux density data of the model demonstrated in Figure 3: (a) when \( z \) varies from 0 to 10 mm with \( (x,y) \) fixed at \((0,0)\); (b) when \( z \) varies from 0 to 10 mm with \( (x,y) \) fixed at \((5,-2.5)\); (c) when \( z \) varies from 0 to 10 mm with \( (x,y) \) fixed at \((0,-6)\); (d) when \( z \) varies from 0 to 10 mm with \( (x,y) \) fixed at \((10,0)\); (e) when \( y \) varies from \(-6\) to 6 mm with \( (x,z) \) fixed at \((0,5)\); (f) when \( x \) varies from \(-8\) to 8 mm with \( (y,z) \) fixed at \((0,5)\).
Table 1. Design variable and material properties of the HA for the verification of the AB method.

| Design Variable | Value     |
|-----------------|-----------|
| $w_1$           | 5 mm      |
| $w_2$           | 5 mm      |
| $l$             | 5 mm      |
| $t$             | 5 mm      |
| $\mu_r$        | 1.0998    |
| $H_c$          | $-890$ kA/m |

As shown in Figure 8, the difference of the calculated magnetic flux density between the proposed AB method and FEM is within 8%. However, the calculation times are approximately 144 s and 50 ms, when using the FEM and AB method, respectively. The AB method used 21 current loops to create an equivalent circuit model for each permanent magnet. The FEM analysis was performed with 67,857 triangular elements which warrant grid-independence of the calculation results.

The optimal design of HA requires extensive trial and error with high time- and cost-consumption. When the optimal design of the HA is carried out by using FEM, the time and cost increase exponentially as the model of the HA is complex.

The proposed AB method does not require a lot of memory and time because it calculates the magnetic flux density only at the required position. Hence, when the proposed AB method is used for the optimal design of the HA; the time and cost can be reduced dramatically compared to the FEM, regardless of the complexity of the HA.

Moreover, the induced function of magnetic flux density of the AB method is differentiable and is itself an evaluation function of the objective function for the optimal design of the HA. Therefore, the general function of magnetic flux density derived with the AB method can be used simply and directly for the objective function of the optimization through the differentiation and using the gradient method. This facilitates a significant reduction in time and cost in the optimal design of the HA.

Briefly, the proposed AB method can reduce the memory, time, and cost required in the analysis and optimal design of the HA in an open area. Moreover, the AB method can be directly used for the objective function via simple differentiation and using the gradient method in the optimal design of the HA.

5. Conclusions

The existing mathematical models and analysis methods for the analysis of the HA in open space are not sufficient due to the condition that the device has no ferromagnetic core and has wide airgap. Hence, this research is significant in that it proposes the AB method, a novel mathematical model and rapid and accurate analytical method for the HA.

The proposed AB method contains the function for magnetic flux density defined by the design variables of the HA. Therefore, it is remarkable in that the relation between the design variables and characteristics of the HA can be estimated mathematically and directly via the AB method, and it makes the HA design procedure simpler.

The magnetic flux density generated by the Halbach array was derived as a function of three-dimensional space, which gives a continuous solution at any position in the space around HA. In addition, the proposed equation can be applied to HA with more complex structures, as well as to HA consisting of three permanent magnets presented in the manuscript, along with modification of the function depending on the structure.

In the analysis of the HA in open spaces by using FEM, many problems with respect to memory, time, and cost arise due to the increase in the number of mesh elements. Therefore, the rapid and accurate analysis of the HA being made possible with little memory usage by using the proposed AB
method is noteworthy. These merits of the AB method compared to the FEM are augmented as the complexities of HA and open space are increased.

The optimal design requires many trial-and-error procedures. Hence, when the AB method is adopted in the optimization of HA, the memory, time, and cost decrease dramatically compared to when FEM is used. The derived magnetic flux density function of the AB method is differentiable, and it can offer additional indicators such as gradient and extremum to designer. Hence, its optimization can consider the magnetic characteristics of magnet array effectively and improve its optimization performance and efficiency.

Briefly, the proposed AB method is useful for reducing memory, time, and cost in the characteristic analysis and optimization of the HA. Hence, the AB method is useful and can be widely used in the analysis and optimal design of diverse types of electric machines, which use numerous arrangements and shapes of HAS. Moreover, the AB method can contribute to the development of diverse PM electric machines via the modification of its governing equations.
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