Submental intubation: alternative short-term airway management in maxillofacial trauma
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Abstract

Objectives: To assess submental route intubation as an alternative technique to a tracheostomy in the management of the airway in cranio-maxillofacial trauma, along with an assessment of its morbidity and complications.

Materials and Methods: Submental intubation was performed in 17 patients who had maxillofacial panfacial trauma and management was done under general anesthesia during a period of one year from 2013 to 2014 at Departments of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Dentistry, the Malankara Orthodox Syrian Church Medical College, Kochi, India.

Results: In all 17 cases, the technique of submental intubation was found to be simple and reliable. Hypertrophic scars were noted in three cases, oro-cutaneous fistula and mucocele in one case each. All these complications were managed comfortably without significant morbidity to the patient.

Conclusion: Submental intubation is a good technique that can be used regularly in the management of the airway in cranio-maxillofacial trauma, but with some manageable complications.
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I. Introduction

Early reconstruction by open reduction and rigid internal fixation has become the standard of care in the management of panfacial fractures. Airway reconstruction and maintenance should be given equal importance during surgery. However, in cases of panfacial fracture, surgeons and anesthesiologists essentially compete for the same space. Surgeons require an unobstructed view for reconstruction and maxillo-mandibular fixation, if needed. In such cases, oral intubation cannot be performed, as it may interfere with maxillo-mandibular fixation. Previously, in situations where oral and nasal intubation are contraindicated, a cricothyrotomy or tracheostomy has been used for airway maintenance.

In 1986, Hernández Altemir introduced the submental route for intubation as an alternative technique for airway management in cranio-maxillofacial trauma. In this technique, a tube is passed through the anterior floor of the mouth in order to allow free intraoperative access to the oral cavity and nasal pyramid without compromising the airway in patients with skull base trauma. Submental intubation can be used for short-term airway control as an alternative to tracheostomy, but with minimal complications.

The purpose of this study was to investigate outcomes of submental intubation in a series of patients who underwent surgical intervention for management of panfacial trauma.

II. Materials and Methods

This was a prospective clinical study conducted at the...
border of the mandible. (Fig. 1, 2) The mouth was opened, and the tongue was elevated in a superoposterior direction with a towel clip. A 1-cm midline mucosal incision was made midway between the point of reflection of the mucosa from the mandible to the floor of the mouth and the submandibular ductal papillae. (Fig. 3) The incision was then deepened inferiorly between the geniohyoid, genioglossus, and the anterior bellies of the digastric muscles. A large curved hemostat was placed through the submental incision, and the pilot tube connector was grasped and pulled through the incision. (Fig. 4, 5) The connector on the endotracheal tube was removed and then exteriorized via the submental incision. After confirmation of its adequate tracheal position by capnography and bilateral auscultation of the lungs, the tube was reconnected and secured to the skin with 1-0 silk sutures. (Fig. 6) The tube was positioned intraorally between the mandible and the tongue just above the mucosa of the floor of the mouth.

After submental intubation was complete, anatomical reduction and reconstruction using rigid internal fixation were performed using miniplate osteosynthesis. At the end of the surgical procedure, the connector was removed. The pilot tube and the endotracheal tube were passed back through the incision into the mouth, reversing the original path. The submental wound was sutured using 3-0 silk sutures. None of the patients required postoperative ventilation. Patients were reviewed at 1 week and 1 month.

### III. Results

Demographic and patient clinical data are shown in Table 1.
The submental intubation procedure itself did not cause any complications. In all patients, submental intubation helped to synchronize treatment of fractures without any interference from tubes. There was no need to change the method of intubation during surgery for fracture management.

The total duration of the submental intubation procedure ranged from 5 to 8 minutes, with a mean of 6 minutes. No difficulty was encountered when the tube was passed through the floor of the mouth. Disconnection and reconnection from the standard intubation to the submental intubation were performed with ease; no complicating incidents, such as accidental extubation, exposure of the wires, or loosening of the connector after reattachment, were recorded during this procedure. The period of disconnection from the ventila-

---

### Table 1. Demographic data with complications

| Age (yr) | Sex | Diagnosis                                      | Intraoperative complication | Procedure time (min) | Ventilator disconnection time (min) | Postoperative complication |
|---------|-----|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 24      | M   | Symphysis and nasoethmoidal complex #          | Nil                        | 5                    | 1.5                                 | Nil                       |
| 35      | F   | Symphysis and nasoethmoidal complex #          | Nil                        | 6                    | 2                                   | Nil                       |
| 45      | F   | Parasympysis and nasal bone #                 | Nil                        | 6.5                  | 2                                   | Nil                       |
| 20      | M   | Body of mandible and nasoethmoidal complex # | Nil                        | 6.5                  | 1.5                                 | Nil                       |
| 22      | M   | Angle of mandible and nasal bone #            | Nil                        | 7                    | 2.5                                 | Mucocoele                 |
| 28      | M   | Parasympysis and nasoethmoidal complex #      | Nil                        | 6.5                  | 3                                   | Nil                       |
| 29      | M   | Angle of mandible and nasoethmoidal complex #| Nil                        | 8                    | 1                                   | Hypertrophic scars        |
| 46      | M   | Symphysis and nasal bone #                    | Nil                        | 5.5                  | 2.5                                 | Nil                       |
| 41      | M   | Subcondylar and nasoethmoidal complex #       | Nil                        | 6                    | 2.5                                 | Nil                       |
| 48      | M   | Body of mandible and nasoethmoidal complex   | Nil                        | 5                    | 1                                   | Nil                       |
| 35      | F   | Subcondylar and nasal bone #                  | Nil                        | 6                    | 1.5                                 | Nil                       |
| 27      | F   | Angle of mandible and nasoethmoidal complex #| Nil                        | 6                    | 2                                   | Hypertrophic scars        |
| 39      | M   | Parasympysis and nasal bone #                 | Nil                        | 5.5                  | 2.5                                 | Orocutaneous fistula       |
| 46      | M   | Body of the mandible and nasoethmoidal complex| Nil                      | 6.5                  | 2                                   | Nil                       |
| 28      | M   | Bilateral subcondylar and nasoethmoidal complex| Nil                      | 7                    | 1                                   | Hypertrophic scars        |
| 39      | M   | Angle of mandible and nasal bone #            | Nil                        | 5                    | 2.5                                 | Nil                       |
| 40      | M   | Right condyle and nasoethmoidal complex #     | Nil                        | 6.5                  | 2.5                                 | Nil                       |

(M: male, F: female, #: fracture, Nil: nothing)
tracheal intubations may interfere with the endotracheal tube while operating, which can be a potential source of infection. Nasotracheal intubation may also cause complications such as cranial intubation, epistaxis, trauma to the pharynx, pressure necrosis of the external nares, otitis media, sinusitis, sepsis, and inability to pass a tube through nasal passages\textsuperscript{10,12}. Nasotracheal intubation is often difficult due to deviation of the nasal septum and hypertrophy of nasal turbinates, and it can result in transfer of infection from the nose to the bronchial tree\textsuperscript{13,14}.

Cases of panfacial trauma occasionally call for an alternative to oral and nasal endotracheal intubation. The standard route of access has been with a tracheostomy approach for this subpopulation. However, tracheostomy can cause general, local, early, and late complications including cardiac arrest caused by stimulation of vagus nerve, post hypercapnic shock due to sudden lowering of carbon dioxide level, and aeroembolism\textsuperscript{15}. Other complications include hemorrhage, subcutaneous or mediastinal emphysema and recurrent laryngeal or tracheal stricture, hemorrhage from large blood vessels caused by decubitus of vessel walls, tracheo-esophageal fistula, extensive granulation, and inflammatory complications\textsuperscript{16}. Cricothyroidotomy may be a useful alternative to establish an emergency airway in adult patients; however, as it is associated with significant complications, it cannot be used when prolonged ventilation is required. Additionally, cricothyroidotomy is associated with both major (death, sub-glottic stenosis, subglottic granulation tracheomalacia) and minor (transient hoarseness, aspiration pneumonia, pain at stoma scar, stoma site bleeding, stoma site abscess, subglottic ulceration) complications, which limits its use\textsuperscript{17}.

There have been several attempts to achieve short-term airway maintenance in the literature. A simple technique of retromolar intubation was described by Martinez-Lage et al.\textsuperscript{18} and carries the advantage of no interference with occlusion in craniofacial, orthognathic, oncologic, and trauma surgery procedures. However, it has many disadvantages, including increased patient trauma, obstructive to the operative field, and long operative time.

Another alternative, the nasal tube switch technique, in which the endotracheal tube is intraoperatively shifted from the oral to nasal route, is described by González-Garcia et al.\textsuperscript{19}. It carries disadvantages including risk of aspiration due to posterior nasal bleeding and potential airway compromise with need for emergency tracheostomy or cricothyroidotomy. The floor of the mouth and submental region are attractive alternative choices. Hence, attempts have been made to
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\caption{Mucocele formation in the postoperative period on the floor of the mouth.}
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maintain the endotracheal tube in the midline or paramedian region. These two variations have become the techniques of choice to obtain restoration of traumatized soft tissues and bone fragments in proper approximation depending on laceration of the soft tissues and the bony architecture involved.

Endotracheal intubation with a submental route was first described by Hernández Altemir in 1986. This technique provides a secure airway, an unobstructed intra-oral surgical field, and allows maxillo-mandibular fixation, thereby avoiding the drawbacks and complications of oral and nasal intubation, as well as tracheostomy. This technique is also referred to in the literature as sub-mandibular intubation by Anwer et al. or as transmylohyoid intubation by Adeyemo et al. Despite the widespread use of submental intubation for other purposes, Bögi and Incze in 1996 recommended the use of submental intubation by a paramedian approach.

In our study, 17 patients were intubated through a submental route. The technique was found to be simple and reliable. Hypertrophic scar was found in three cases (17.6%), orocutaneous fistula in one case (5.9%), and mucocele in one case (5.9%). All postoperative complications were effectively managed. Various other complications described in the literature (e.g., trauma to the submandibular and sublingual glands or ducts, damage to the lingual and marginal mandibular nerve) were not experienced in our study. The findings of this study concur with the findings of Nayan et al. that submental intubation provides a better field of work for the oral and maxillofacial surgeon, avoids complications, and allows intraoperative maxillo-mandibular fixation in patients with nasoethmoidal complex fractures along with associated maxilla mandibular fractures without having to resort to tracheotomy.

Over time, several modifications to this procedure have been introduced. One modification introduced by Gadre and Waknis involves passage of the endotracheal tube anywhere through the mylohyoid muscle. Another modification involves the use of two endotracheal tubes for intubation, referred to as anterograde and retrograde approaches; this technique is believed to be superior because of the decreased chance of hypoxia. Nyárády et al. have suggested the “rule of 2-2-2”, which describes a 2-cm-long incision, 2 cm from the midline and 2 cm medial and parallel to the mandibular margin for placement of the endotracheal tube. Mahmood and Lello have performed submental intubation using a preformed Sheridan tube, which has a preformed curvature that helps in positioning by conforming to the anatomy of the region. Lima et al. have utilized a surgical gloved finger and Adeyemo et al. have used a nylon tube sac to cover the proximal end of the endotracheal tube, which helps prevent entry of blood and soft tissue during its passage through the orocutaneous tunnel.

V. Conclusion

The submental route for endotracheal intubation is a simple and reliable method for short-term maintenance of airways in panfacial trauma patients because the endotracheal tube does not interfere with occlusion during fracture reduction and fixation and has minimal or negligible postoperative complications.
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