Optimization of iron ore blending in the COREX shaft furnace
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Synopsis
To extend the variety of lump ores that are used in the COREX shaft furnace and to optimize iron ore blending, the original evaluation method for iron ores that was proposed by Voestalpine AG has been improved. Physicochemical and metallurgical properties of iron ores, including Sishen lump ore and CVRD pellets, which are currently used in the COREX process, and three other lump ores, were assessed and compared. Ore matching principles were proposed and five optimized iron ore blending schemes designed and verified by laboratory experiments to prove the effectiveness of the improved evaluation method. The replacement of Sishen lump ore by the alternative L2 and L3 lump ores without varying the proportion of CVRD pellets could improve the degree of reduction and rate of metallization, and decrease the bonding index. Based on the results of laboratory test work and industrial trials, an ore blending scheme of 60% CVRD, 20% L2, and 20% L3 is recommended for use in the COREX process.
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Introduction
In recent years, problems such as environmental pollution and a shortage of coke and coal resources for blast-furnace ironmaking have become increasingly apparent. Based on sustainable developments in the iron- and steelmaking industries, the environmentally friendly COREX smelting-reduction process with low energy consumption was developed and introduced (Zhou and Du, 2013; Song, Lv, and Yin, 2015; Xu et al., 2016; Kurunov, 2010). The evaluation system for lump ore that was proposed by Voestalpine AG recommends that only Sishen lump ore from South Africa be used in the COREX process, because of the stringent physicochemical and metallurgical property requirements. This situation significantly hampers improvements to the technical and economic aspects of the COREX ironmaking process, especially in China (Eberle, Siuka, and Bohm, 2006). No theoretical or technical basis has been provided for the evaluation system, and the assessment approach for iron ores also presents problems that need to be discussed and resolved.

The COREX process consists of two reactors: the prereduction shaft furnace and the melting gasifier as shown in Figure 1 (Wu et al., 2017). Pellets and lump ores which are charged to the prereduction shaft should have a good reducibility and metallization with a low degradation index (Li et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2009; Kang, Gupta, and Sahajwalla, 2007). The properties and microstructure of the iron ore pellets which are required to achieve a high productivity and a lower fuel rate in the COREX process have been investigated (Umadevi et al., 2011). Some efforts have also been made to investigate the behaviour of lump ores in the COREX shaft furnace, including the disintegration characteristics and the reduction degradation (Umadevi et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2013; Li, Feng, and Zou, 2013; Hou et al., 2014). However, few studies have focused on the optimization of ore blending for partial or total substitution of the expensive Sishen lump ore by other, cheaper lump ores to reduce the production cost. The technology for the utilization of blended lump ores in the COREX process is still in its early development stages (Zhou et al., 2017; You et al., 2017).

In this work, the original evaluation system for iron ores that was proposed by Voestalpine AG was modified and improved to approach the actual requirements for the production of hot metal in the COREX process. The properties of Sishen lump ore, CVRD pellets, and three alternative lump ores, including chemical compositions, size distribution, amount of adhesive powder, thermal cracking properties, reducibility, and reduction degradation and bonding properties, were investigated and compared. Ore
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blending principles are proposed and discussed based on the above physicochemical and metallurgical property results. Five schemes of iron ore blending were designed and verified by laboratory experiments. Finally, the industrial feasibility of the optimal blending scheme was verified through the COREX production practice.

Proposal for the improved evaluation of iron ores

Supplementary evaluation system
The original evaluation system as developed by Voestalpine AG targeted the physicochemical characteristics and static reduction properties of iron ores. The former include the chemical composition, size distribution, and bulk density, whereas the latter comprise the reduction degree, reduction degradation, and bonding properties at high temperature. However, according to previous work (Wu et al., 2011), a significant low-temperature reduction degradation of iron ore occurred in experiments and during production, which affected the furnace's smooth operation. Thus it was necessary to incorporate the low-temperature reduction degradation of iron ore into the evaluation system. In addition, because of thermal stress, thermal cracking probably occurs in the iron ores, especially lump ores, which reduces burden permeability and causes an uneven distribution of gas flow and a low degree of metallization. A measurement of the thermal cracking index should also be taken into account when assessing the properties of iron ores that are used in the COREX process. In addition, in the COREX production process, the large-size lump ores in the charge will gradually break down to small particles and powder due to thermal cracking and low-temperature reduction degradation during heating. However, before the lump ores are charged into the reduction shaft furnace, a certain amount of original ore powder, which is generated during mining and transportation due to interparticle impact and abrasion, adheres to the lump surfaces. The original ore powder will be charged into the reduction shaft furnace along with the lump ores and affect the permeability of the burden. Therefore, in order to comprehensively and accurately evaluate the properties of the lump ores, the inclusion of adhesive powder with an equivalent diameter below 1.0 mm is proposed in this study. The amount of adhesive powder was also measured and analysed, and will be further elaborated on later.

Modification of measurement approaches

Experimental temperature
In original measurements of the static loading reduction of iron ores, the highest experimental temperature was set at 1073 K, lower than the actual gas temperature, which was in the range 1073–1173 K at the furnace inlet during practical COREX production. In this study, the highest experimental temperature in the modified measurement approach was increased to 1123 K to study the behaviour of iron ores in the shaft furnace more practicably.

Temperature curve
During the COREX ironmaking process, the heating and reducing durations at the high temperature are approximately 3 hours and 2 hours, respectively. The original measurement for the static loading reduction of iron ores as proposed by Voestalpine AG requires only 1 hour for the iron ore sample to be heated from room temperature to the highest temperature, with the sample being maintained at that temperature for 5 hours. The temperature curve for the reduction test in this study was modified according to the practical parameters. Initially, the temperature was increased by 5 K/min from room temperature to 1123 K, and then maintained for 2 hours. The cooling rate was about 4 K/min after power off.

Gas flow rate
In the original measurement for the static loading reduction of iron ores, the gas flow rate per kilogram of iron ore was 6.7 L/min, compared with 20 L/min during COREX ironmaking production. Therefore, the gas flow rate in the experiments was increased to 20 L/min per kilogram of iron ore to simulate production in the revised measurement approach.

The comparison of the current and desired parameters in the reduction test is summarized in Table I.

| Parameter                      | Current value | Desired value |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Highest experimental temperature | 1073 K        | 1123 K        |
| Heating time                  | 1 h           | Approx. 3 h   |
| Reduction time                | 5 h           | 2 h           |
| Gas flow rate                 | 6.7 L/min     | 20 L/min      |

Physicochemical characteristics of iron ores used in the experiments

Chemical composition
Sishen lump ore and CVRD pellets were used initially in the COREX shaft furnaces in China. Alternative lump ores L1, L2, and L3 were imported from Brazil, Australia, and South Africa respectively. The dominant phase in the iron ores was haematite. The chemical compositions of the iron ore samples, measured by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry, are shown in Table II.

Size distribution
The particle size distributions and average sizes of the iron ore samples are shown in Table III. The CVRD pellets exhibited a narrower particle size distribution and a lower average size, which is beneficial for burden permeability and reduction efficiency. Sishen lump ore had a moderate size-distribution

![Figure 1 — Schematic diagram of the COREX process (Wu et al., 2017)](image-url)
uniformity and average size, whereas L1 lump ore had a relatively broad particle size distribution and a higher average size. For L2 and L3 lump ores, the particle size distributions were better than those of L1 and the Sishen lump ores. Iron ores are probably crushed into powder or small particles during production in the COREX prereduction shaft furnace because of the high pressure, so the larger average sizes of L2 and L3 benefited production.

### Amount of adhesive powder

As indicated before, in the processes of mining and transportation, some ore powder is generated and adheres to the surface of lump ores, which would probably affect the gas flow distribution, burden permeability, and the degree of reduction and metallization rate during COREX production. The amount of adhesive powder was calculated from the weight difference of the iron ore samples before and after brushing. Firstly, 1 kg portions of the original iron ore samples containing all size fractions were prepared and dried in a box furnace at 373 ± 5 K for 12 hours. The samples were then brushed with water, screened at a mesh size of 1 × 1 mm, dried again at 373 ± 5 K for 12 hours, and weighed. The proportion of adhesive powder (PAP) was obtained by Equation [1].

\[
PAP = \frac{W_0 - W_1}{W_0} \times 100\%
\]

where \(W_0\) is the mass of the original iron ore sample after drying, and \(W_1\) is the mass of sample (>1 mm) after brushing and drying.

This process was repeated for three times to obtain the average value. The results are shown in Table IV.

### Metallurgical properties of iron ores for experiments

#### Experimental procedures

**Thermal cracking**

The thermal cracking propensity of the iron ores was measured by a thermal impact method according to ISO 8371:2007 (ISO, 2017). The lump ore samples were crushed and sieved to a grain size of 20–25 mm before use, whereas a size of 12.5–16 mm was selected for the CVRD pellet experiments, which were conducted by using the static loading reduction equipment in Figure 2. The adhesive powder on the particles was brushed off with water, and then the large-size iron ores were dried in an oven at 373 ± 5 K for 12 hours. The reactor tube was heated from room temperature to 773 K, 873 K, 973 K, and 1073 K, respectively. Then, the samples were placed into a tube with a \(N_2\) gas flow of 15 L/min. After a 30-minute holding time, the heating and \(N_2\) gas flow were interrupted to cool the samples to room temperature.

To study the thermal cracking properties of the iron ore samples, the decrepitation indices \(DI_{10}, DI_{6.3},\) and \(DI_{3.15}\) were calculated by using the following equations (ISO, 2017):

\[
DI_{10} = \frac{W_1}{W_0} \times 100\%
\]

\[
DI_{6.3} = \frac{W_2}{W_0} \times 100\%
\]

\[
DI_{3.15} = \frac{W_3}{W_0} \times 100\%
\]

### Tables

#### Table I

**Chemical composition of iron ore samples (wt%)**

| Sample  | Fe (total) | CaO  | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | TiO₂ | P    | S    | K₂O  | Na₂O  | LOI  |
|---------|------------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| L1      | 65.79      | 0.07 | 2.88 | 1.57  | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.006| 0.010| 0.002 | 1.71 |
| L2      | 64.58      | 0.08 | 3.20 | 1.48  | 0.05 | 0.074| 0.011| 0.014| 0.005 | 2.66 |
| L3      | 65.24      | 0.06 | 3.39 | 1.47  | 0.07 | 0.019| 0.021| 0.180| 0.029 | 0.48 |
| Sishen  | 65.94      | 0.07 | 3.22 | 0.76  | 0.03 | 0.041| 0.018| 0.050| 0.003 | 0.61 |
| CVRD    | 65.73      | 2.65 | 2.37 | 0.80  | 0.04 | 0.039| 0.006| 0.019| 0.024 | 0.08 |

#### Table II

**Particle size distributions of iron ore samples (wt%)**

| Size fraction | L1     | L2     | L3     | Sishen | CVRD |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| >31.5 mm      | 5.01   | 39.55  | 0      | 0      | 0    |
| 25–31.5mm     | 14.33  | 30.03  | 47.88  | 5.23   | 0    |
| 20–25 mm      | 14.89  | 20.5   | 38.55  | 27.84  | 0    |
| 16–20 mm      | 33.23  | 7.04   | 12.35  | 35.21  | 20   |
| 12.5–16 mm    | 19.90  | 2.88   | 1.22   | 20.03  | 66.83|
| 10–12.5 mm    | 12.64  | 0      | 0      | 9.13   | 13.17|
| 8–10 mm       | 0      | 0      | 1.54   | 0      |      |
| 6.3–8 mm      | 0      | 0      | 1.12   | 0      |      |
| <6.3 mm       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      |      |

#### Table III

**Proportions of adhesive powder in the iron ore samples**

| Sample  | PAP (wt%) |
|---------|-----------|
| L1      | 8.11      |
| L2      | 5.02      |
| L3      | 0.52      |
| Sishen  | 4.13      |
| CVRD    | 1.91      |
where \( W_0 \) is the mass of the iron ore samples after heating at 773 K, 873 K, 973 K, and 1073 K, and \( W_1, W_2, \) and \( W_3 \) are the masses of particles that are smaller than 10 mm, 6.3 mm, and 3.15 mm, respectively.

**Reducibility and reduction degradation**

In the improved evaluation system for iron ores, as was done in the reduction test (Qiu et al., 2017, Li et al., 2016; Standardization Administration of the PR China, 2017, 2016; Li, 2008; Wu, Xu, and Zhang, 2008), lump ore samples were heated from room temperature to 1123 K under \( \text{N}_2 \) gas at a flow rate of 3 L/min. Reducing gas with a composition of 68% CO, 9% CO\(_2\), and 23% H\(_2\) was injected into the tube and the samples were reduced for 2 hours. After the reduction, the gas was changed to \( \text{N}_2 \) to cool the samples to room temperature. Reduction test procedures in the improved evaluation system are shown in Figure 3. Based on the standard GB/T13241-2017 (Standardization Administration of the PR China, 2017), the reduction products were weighed to calculate the reduction degree \( R \) and metallization rate \( M \) as follows (Standardization Administration of the People’s Republic of China, 2017):

\[
R = \frac{m_0 - m_R - m_{\text{LOI}}}{m_0} \times 10^4 \quad [5]
\]

\[
M = 100 - \frac{1.5}{1.05} (100 - R) \quad [6]
\]

where \( m_0 \) and \( m_R \) represent the initial mass of the iron ore sample before and after reduction at room temperature, respectively, \( m_{\text{LOI}} \) represents the loss on ignition, \( w_{(\text{Fe})} \) indicates the total iron content in the sample, and \( w_{(\text{FeO})} \) indicates the FeO content, which was obtained from the ferrous iron content as calculated from Equation [7]. The mass of Fe\(^{2+}\) was measured by the method proposed in the national standard GB/T 6730.8-2016 (Standardization Administration of the PR China, 2016).

\[
w_{(\text{FeO})} = \frac{56 + 16}{56} \cdot w(\text{Fe}^{2+}) = 1.286 \cdot w(\text{Fe}^{2+}) \quad [7]
\]

The procedures for the reduction degradation test were similar to those for the reduction test, except that the experimental temperature was 823 K and the composition of the reducing gas was 46% CO, 36% CO\(_2\), and 18% H\(_2\). After cooling to room temperature under \( \text{N}_2 \) gas, the samples were tested in a tumbling drum (130 mm diameter, 800 mm height) at a rotation speed of 30 r/min for 30 minutes. The samples were then sieved at 6.5 mm, 3.15 mm, and 0.5 mm mesh to determine the particle size distribution.

**Bonding property**

The procedures for the bonding property test were similar to those of the reduction test, except for an applied load of 0.1 MPa (Li, 2008; Wu, Xu, and Zhang, 2008). In this experiment, the degree of reduction and volume shrinkage were measured, which are important for determining the bonding characteristics of the iron ore. The bonding index \( SI \) was used as an indicator of the bonding property of iron ores as calculated from the following equation:

\[
SI = \frac{m_1}{m_R} \times 100\% \quad [8]
\]

where \( m_1 \) represents the sample mass after reduction, and \( m_R \) is the mass of particles that are larger than 12.5 mm after the drum test.

The volume shrinkage \( V_{IE} \) was determined from the height of the burden before and after reduction, as shown in the following equation (Li, 2008; Wu, Xu, and Zhang, 2008):

\[
V_{IE} = \frac{h_0 - h_E}{h_0} \times 100\% \quad [9]
\]

where \( h_0 \) and \( h_E \) represent the height of the burden before and after reduction, respectively.

**Results**

Figure 4 shows the experimental results for the thermal cracking property of the iron ore samples. No thermal cracking occurred in the CVRD pellets at the experimental temperatures because of their low degree of hydration during induration. With an increase in temperature, the thermal cracking indices, including \( DI_{-10}, DI_{-6.3}, \) and \( DI_{-3.15} \) showed an upward tendency for all lump ores. Compared with Sishen lump ore, L1 and L2 lump ores were considered to have higher thermal cracking indices according to the experimental results at various temperatures, especially the L2 lump ore, whereas the thermal cracking indices of the L3 lump ore were lower.

Figure 5 shows the degree of reduction and metallization rate of the samples after the reduction test at 1123 K. The degree of reduction and metallization rate of the CVRD pellets reached 91% and 87%, respectively, which were higher than the values for all the lump ores. The results indicate that the reduction degrees and metallization rates of the alternative lump ores L1, L2, and L3 were superior to, or at least similar to, those of Sishen lump ore in the improved reduction test at 1123 K. A probable explanation for this is that the mineral phases and pore structure affect the reduction properties of the iron ores. In this study, the dominant
phase in all the iron ores was haematite. Actually, with the increase in the amount of open pores in the ores, the reducing gas can permeate the particles more easily and the interfacial areas between the ore and reducing gas increases, which could promote the reduction reactions and improve the reduction degree and metallization rate of the ores (Wu et al., 2011). Based on the results shown in Figure 5, it is suggested that the apparent porosities of L1, L2, and L3 lump ores are higher than that of Sishen lump ore, but lower than that of CVRD pellets.

Figure 6 shows the degree of reduction and reduction degradation behaviour of the iron ore samples at 823 K. After reduction, L2 lump ore has the highest mass ratio of particles smaller than 0.5 mm and smaller than 3.15 mm, which means that the degradation behaviour of L2 lump ore was the most severe. The RDI of the L1 and L3 lump ores was close to that of the Sishen lump ore. The CVRD pellets had a higher RDI, despite the RDI of L3 being lower. Previous work has shown that the basic reason for the reduction degradation of iron ore is that, during the reduction of Fe$_2$O$_3$ at low temperature (673–873 K), the transformation of haematite to magnetite causes crystal lattice deformation and an increase in internal stress, which contributes to the degradation of the ore (Wu et al., 2017).

Figure 7 shows the bonding index, volume shrinkage, and degree of reduction of iron ore samples after the bonding property test. A marked bonding propensity would impair the reduction. In general, as shown in Figure 7, Sishen lump ore has the highest bonding index among all the samples. The bonding indices of the L2 lump ore and the CVRD pellets were lower than those of the L1 and L3 lump ores. L1, L2, and L3 lump ores exhibited a better bonding performance than the Sishen lump ore.

The bonding index correlated positively with the reduction degree and volume shrinkage of the lump ores. For the CVRD pellets, although the reduction degree was high with a large amount of metallic iron, the spherical shape and low volume shrinkage resulted in a point contact with a small bonding area and low bonding strength. Contact between the particles is also a critical factor in the bonding behaviour of iron ores.

Figure 4—Thermal cracking test results: (a) 773 K, (b) 873 K, (c) 973 K, (d) 1073 K

Figure 5—Reduction degree and metallization rate of iron ores at 1123 K

Figure 6—Reduction degree and reduction degradation index of iron ores at 823 K
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Substitutability of the alternative lump ores

The substitutability of the alternative lump ores was assessed by comparing the physicochemical and metallurgical properties of the Sishen lump ore and lump ores L1, L2, and L3 using the improved evaluation method.

Compared with the Sishen lump ore, the total Fe, S, and K₂O contents of the L1 lump ore were 0.15%, 0.012%, and 0.04% lower, respectively, whereas the P content and loss on ignition (LOI) were higher by 0.022% and 1.1%, respectively. After brushing, cleaning, and drying, the proportion of adhesive powder in the L1 lump ore was 3.98% higher than that in the Sishen lump ore. The L1 lump ore size distribution was broader. The thermal cracking at different temperatures, the reduction degree, and the metallization rate at 1123 K of the L2 lump ore were also relatively narrow and the average size was 6.43%. Larger than that of the Sishen lump ore. As mentioned previously, the thermal cracking indices of the L3 lump ore were the lowest among the four lump ores at various temperatures. The reduction degree and metallization rate of the L3 lump ore at 1123 K ore were similar to those of the Sishen lump ore, as well as the reduction degradation at 823 K. The bonding index of the L3 lump ore was lower than that of Sishen lump ore by 9%. So, L3 lump ore is also a reasonable choice for replacing Sishen lump ore in the COREX ironmaking process. However, the excess alkali in L3 lump ore should be avoided.

A rating system was established by using points to comprehensively evaluate the physicochemical and metallurgical properties of Sishen lump ore, CVRD pellets, and L1, L2, and L3 lump ores to study the substitutability. Due to space limitations, only some rating examples are shown in Table V. Besides the points, the weights for the physicochemical properties, thermal cracking propensity, reducibility and reduction degradation, and bonding property are also different, and were artificially set at 15%, 15%, 45%, and 25%, respectively. A higher point rating represents better comprehensive properties of the iron ore. Evaluation points for the L1, L2, L3, Sishen, and CVRD ores are shown in Figure 8. The comprehensive evaluation scores of the L1, L2, and L3 lump ores were all higher than that of Sishen lump ore, which indicated their substitutability. This was validated in the subsequent industrial experiments. CVRD pellets present the highest evaluation score of 4.24, as shown in Figure 8.

Table V

Examples in the rating system to evaluate the physicochemical and metallurgical properties of the iron ores

| Physicochemical properties (wt%) | Metallurgical properties |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Point                           | Fe total | P      | S      | K₂O   | Na₂O   | DI₁₀ at 450 K | Bonding index at 1123 K | R at 1123 K |
| 0                               | <62      | >0.08  | >0.05  | >0.18 | >0.04  | >0%          | Bonding index at 1123 K | R at 1123 K |
| 1                               | 62–65    | 0.05–0.08 | 0.03–0.05 | 0.10–0.18 | 0.02–0.04 | >3%          | Bonding index at 1123 K | R at 1123 K |
| 2                               | >65      | >0.05  | >0.03  | >0.03 | <0.03  | >2–3%        | Bonding index at 1123 K | R at 1123 K |
| 3                               |         |        |        |       |        | >1–2%        | Bonding index at 1123 K | R at 1123 K |
| 4                               |         |        |        |       |        | >0–1%        | Bonding index at 1123 K | R at 1123 K |
| 5                               |         |        |        |       |        | <5%          | Bonding index at 1123 K | R at 1123 K |
| 6                               |         |        |        |       |        | 0            | Bonding index at 1123 K | R at 1123 K |
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To improve the reduction performance, inhibit the bonding behaviour of the lump ores, and control the production cost, based on the physicochemical and metallurgical properties of the iron ores in this study, five alternative schemes for optimizing the iron ore blend have been proposed and tested in COREX production experiments. The degree of reduction, metallization rate, and bonding index were measured to evaluate these blending schemes. The experimental procedures are shown in Figure 9. The ore blending schemes are shown in Table VI.

The experimental results are shown in Table VII. Compared with the original scheme, the degree of reduction, metallization rate, and bonding performance of schemes I, II and III were improved, whereas the degree of reduction and metallization rate of schemes IV and V decreased. The improved bonding behaviour was due to the decrease in the proportion CVRD pellets to 50%. The reduction degrees for schemes I, II, and III were higher than 90% and the metallization rates were higher than 85%, with scheme III the highest. However, the bonding index of scheme III was low. Sishen lump ore could therefore be totally replaced by a blend of L2 and L3 lump ores, as shown in scheme III. The defects of a single variety of iron ore and a high bonding index can be overcome by optimized iron-ore blending. The results confirm the effectiveness of the improved evaluation method for iron ores.

**Industrial verification of iron ore blending scheme**

Due to the high metallization rate and low bonding index, blending scheme III was selected to conduct an industrial experiment to verify its effectiveness in COREX production. In order to maintain steady production without sudden changes to the burden structure, the proportion of blended L2 and L3 lump ores (in a 1:1 ratio) was increased progressively. Thus in the industrial trials, 20 and 40 mass% of Sishen lump ore were replaced by the L2 and L3 blend. The experiment results, including metallization rate and hydraulic pressure in the discharge equipment, for one month of production for original the scheme (60% CVRD, 40% Sishen), scheme A (60% CVRD, 20% Sishen, 20% blended L2 and L3), and scheme B (60% CVRD, 40% blended L2 and L3) are compared in Figure 10. It is shown that as the proportion of blended L2 and L3 increased from zero to 20% to 40%, the average metallization rate rose from 61.8% to 65.7% to 73.5%, indicating the improvement in productivity.

---

**Table VI**

| Scheme | Original | I  | II | III | IV  | V  |
|--------|----------|----|----|-----|-----|----|
| CVRD   | 60%      | 60%| 60%| 60% | 50% | 50%|
| Sishen | 40%      | 20%| -  | -   | -   | -  |
| L1     | -        | -  | 20%| -   | -   | -  |
| L2     | -        | -  | -  | 20% | -   | 30%|
| L3     | -        | 20%| 20%| 20% | 20% | 20%|

**Table VII**

| Scheme | Reduction degree (%) | Metallization rate (%) | Bonding index (%) |
|--------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Original| 89.2                  | 84.6                   | 7.2               |
| I      | 90.1                  | 85.8                   | 6.5               |
| II     | 90.2                  | 85.9                   | 6.1               |
| III    | 92.8                  | 89.8                   | 5.1               |
| IV     | 82.5                  | 75.0                   | 5.6               |
| V      | 85.4                  | 79.1                   | 4.9               |

---

**Figure 8**—Evaluation scores for the iron ores

**Figure 9**—Procedures for simulated COREX production experiments

**Figure 10**—Metallization rates of iron ores in industrial production
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| Scheme | Hydraulic pressure of screw equipment in the industrial experiment |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
|        | Hydraulic pressure of screw (MPa)                              |
|        | 1                | 2       | 3       | 4       | 5       | 6       | 7       | 8       |
| Original scheme | 3.11             | 2.75    | 2.66    | 3.10    | 3.33    | 2.76    | 2.70    | 2.97    |
| Scheme A | 2.87             | 2.71    | 2.80    | 3.07    | 2.69    | 2.55    | 2.49    | 2.71    |
| Scheme B | 2.75             | 2.60    | 2.60    | 2.65    | 2.40    | 2.39    | 2.84    | 2.54    |

Generally, the screw load in the lower part of the shaft furnace increases with the gradual bonding of the burden (Li et al., 2013; Hou et al., 2014). The average hydraulic pressure of each screw for one month in this study is shown in Table VIII. The hydraulic pressure decreased as the proportion of blended L2 and L3 increased, indicating that the bonding behaviour was inhibited, which benefited smooth production and reduced the amount of clean-up required. Both the production efficiency and safety were greatly improved. The laboratory and industrial experiments proved that blended L2 and L3 lump ores could effectively replace Sishen lump ore, which accounted for 40% of the burden weight, and be used in combination with CVRD pellets in COREX production.

Conclusions

> In line with the conditions of industrial-scale COREX production, the highest experimental temperature, reduction time, and gas flow rate in the original evaluation system were modified to 1075–1173 K, 2 hours for reduction, and 20 L/min per kilogram of iron ore, respectively. The amount of adhesive ore powder, low-temperature reduction degradation, and the thermal cracking propensity were included in the evaluation system.

> Thermal cracking indices DI$_{1.0}$, DI$_{1.3}$, and DI$_{3.1,15}$ of all lump ores increased with increasing experimental temperature, with those of the L3 lump ore being the lowest at various temperatures.

> The reducibility and bonding behaviour of all the alternative lump ores were better than or similar to those of Sishen lump ore. The RDI$_{3.1,5}$ of L1 was markedly lower, and the RDI$_{3.1,5}$ of L3 was similar to that of Sishen lump ore. The RDI$_{3.1,5}$ and RDI$_{3.1,5}$ of L2 were higher than those of the Sishen lump ore, whereas the bonding behaviour of L2 was much better than that of the Sishen lump ore.

> Based on a comprehensive consideration of the three alternative lump ores, five new schemes for iron ore blending were tested under conditions simulating industrial-scale production. The results showed that the reducibility and bonding behaviour of blending ore could be improved by substituting Sishen ore with an alternative lump ore, especially by a blend of L2 and L3, without altering the proportion of CVRD pellets. The substitutability of the new lump ore blend and the effectiveness of the improved evaluation method for iron ores in the COREX process were verified in an industrial test.

> Compared with the original blending scheme, the metallization rate and the bonding behaviour were improved with increasing proportions of blended L2 and L3 lump ore from zero to 20% and 40% in the industrial trials.
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