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Abstract

We examined radial variations in molecular-gas based star formation efficiency (SFE), which is defined as star formation rate per unit molecular gas mass, for 80 galaxies selected from the CO Multi-line Imaging of Nearby Galaxies project (Sorai et al. 2019). The radial variations in SFE for individual galaxies are typically a factor of 2 – 3, which suggests that SFE is nearly constant along galactocentric radius. We found the averaged SFE in 80 galaxies of \((1.69 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}\), which is consistent with Leroy et al. (2008) if we consider the contribution of helium to the molecular gas mass evaluation and the difference in the assumed initial mass function between two studies. We compared SFE among different morphological (i.e., SA, SAB, and SB) types, and found that SFE within the inner radii \((r/r_{25} < 0.3\text{, where } r_{25}\text{ is } B\text{-band isophotal radius at 25 mag arcsec}^{-2}\)) of SB galaxies is slightly higher than that of SA and SAB galaxies. This trend can be partly explained by the dependence of SFE on global stellar mass, which probably relates to the CO-to-H\(_2\) conversion factor through the metallicity. For two representative SB galaxies in our sample, NGC 3367 and NGC 7479, the ellipse of \(r/r_{25}\)
= 0.3 seems to cover not only the central region but also the inner part of the disk, mainly the bar. These two galaxies show higher SFE in the bar than in spiral arms. However, we found an opposite trend in NGC 4303; SFE is lower in the bar than in spiral arms, which is consistent with earlier studies (e.g., Momose et al. 2010). These results suggest diversity of star formation activities in the bar.
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1 Introduction

Star formation is one of the most fundamental processes in the evolution of galaxies. Stars are formed by the contraction of the molecular interstellar medium, which would be initiated by gravitational instability and/or cloud-cloud collision (e.g., McKee & Ostriker 2007). Thus the observational study of the physical relation between molecular gas and star formation is indispensable to understand the evolution of galaxies.

The activity of star formation in galaxies is quantified as star formation rate (SFR). Although the surface density of SFR is related to that of molecular gas via a power law (e.g., Kennicutt 1998), its scatter is not so small; at a given surface gas density, the scatter of SFR spreads up to ~ 1 dex even for nearby disk galaxies (e.g., Daddi et al. 2010). In order to understand the physical origin of such a scatter, star formation efficiency (SFE), which is defined as SFR per unit molecular gas mass, is often investigated. Many earlier studies pointed out that SFE differs among galaxies and even within a galaxy. For example, Young et al. (1996) reported that galaxies in disturbed environments (i.e., strongly interacting systems) show about four times higher SFE than in isolated spiral galaxies. Lisenfeld et al. (2011) confirmed that SFE is a factor of 5 higher for a strongly perturbed sample and about 2 higher for a weakly perturbed sample compared to isolated galaxies. For an individual galaxy, Muraoka et al. (2007) found that SFE is four times higher in the central region than in the disk of the barred spiral galaxy M 83. In addition, some earlier studies toward nearby galaxies reported that SFE is lower in bars than in spiral arms (e.g., Momose et al. 2010, Watanabe et al. 2011, Hirota et al. 2014, Yajima et al. 2019).

An extensive study of spatially-resolved SFE in galaxies has been conducted by Leroy et al. (2008). They measured SFE at 800 pc resolution in 23 nearby galaxies using HERA CO-Line Extragalactic Survey (HERACLES) data, and found that SFE based on H$_2$ is nearly constant at $(5.25 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-10}$ yr$^{-1}$ as a function of various variables; i.e., galactocentric radius, stellar mass surface
density, gas surface density, free fall and orbital timescales, midplane gas pressure, stability of the
gas disk to collapse, the ability of perturbations to grow despite shear, and the ability of a cold phase
to form, in H$_2$-dominated inner parts of spiral galaxies. A similar trend is reported by Bigiel et al.
(2011); they found a roughly constant molecular-gas depletion time, which is the inverse of SFE, of
$\sim 2.35$ Gyr (corresponding to SFE of $4.26 \times 10^{-10}$ yr$^{-1}$) at 1 kpc resolution in HERACLES sample.
Recently, Utomo et al. (2017) investigated the variation in molecular-gas depletion time on kpc-scales
in 52 galaxies based on EDGE-CALIFA survey. They found that 14 galaxies show a shorter depletion
time of $\sim 1$ Gyr (i.e., SFE of $10^{-9}$ yr$^{-1}$) in the center relative to that in the disk, $\sim 2.4$ Gyr (SFE
of $4.2 \times 10^{-10}$ yr$^{-1}$). They also found that the central increase in SFE is correlated with a central
increase in the stellar surface density, suggesting that a higher SFE is associated with molecular gas
compression by the stellar gravitational potential.

Currently, 147 CO($J = 1 - 0$) maps of nearby galaxies, which are obtained by CO Multi-line
Imaging of Nearby Galaxies (COMING) project (Sorai et al. 2019), have been available. COMING
is a project to map $J = 1 - 0$ emission of $^{12}$CO, $^{13}$CO, and C$^{18}$O molecules toward a 70% area of
the optical disks of nearby galaxies using the FOur-beam REceiver System on the 45 m Telescope
(FOREST: Minamidani et al. 2016) at Nobeyama Radio Observatory (NRO). Utilizing the initial CO
data of COMING, the quantitative relationship between molecular gas and star formation has been
examined for individual galaxies; NGC 2903 (Muraoka et al. 2016), NGC 2976 (Hatakeyama et al.
2017), and NGC 4303 (Yajima et al. 2019). In particular, a positive correlation between SFE and
molecular gas density is reported (Muraoka et al. 2016, Yajima et al. 2019).

We extend the study of the relation between molecular gas and star formation to many of
COMING sample. In particular, we focus on the difference in SFE both among galaxies and within
each galaxy. As a first step, we investigate radial variations in SFE of COMING sample. Since
COMING is the largest CO($J = 1 - 0$) imaging survey of nearby galaxies, we can obtain the \textit{general}
trend of variations in SFE with less bias.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we describe the selection of target
galaxies and the data utilized to calculate SFE. Then, we explain the derivation of radial profiles of
SFE in subsection 3.1, and show the results and their comparison to earlier studies in subsection 3.2.
In section 4, we firstly examine the dependence of SFE on the global stellar mass. In addition, we
investigate the difference in SFE among different morphological types of galaxies, i.e., SA, SAB, and
SB galaxies. Finally, we provide some implications regarding star formation in the inner part of disk
galaxies; in particular, star formation in the bar.
2 Sample selection and data

In this section, we describe selection criteria of sample galaxies from COMING and summarize the data utilized to calculate SFE.

2.1 Sample selection

In order to select appropriate galaxies for examining radial variations in SFE from COMING sample, we set three criteria as follows. (1) The inclination ($i$) is less than 75°. (2) The obvious interaction of galaxies is not observed. (3) SFR can be calculated by a combination of GALEX FUV (Gil de Paz et al. 2007) and WISE 22 μm (Wright et al. 2010) data. The first criterion is set because it is difficult to accurately derive azimuthally-averaged radial profiles of molecular gas mass and SFR toward highly-inclined galaxies. The second is set to avoid enhancement or suppression of star formation by the interaction of galaxies. The third is to obtain homogeneous SFR maps among selected galaxies, and to discuss the difference in SFE without systematic errors caused by different calibration methods of SFR. Note that we did not employ MIPS 24 μm data as a tracer of SFR because the number of corresponding 24 μm maps for COMING sample is smaller than that of WISE 22 μm maps and because some 24 μm maps suffer from saturation in bright regions.

Based on the three criteria, we finally selected 80 galaxies from COMING sample in total; 30 SA, 33 SAB, and 17 SB galaxies. Parameters for 80 selected galaxies, i.e., galaxy name, $B$-band isophotal radius at 25 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ ($r_{25}$), inclination $i$, position angle (P.A.), and global stellar mass ($M_*$) are summarized in table 1. Figure 1 shows histograms of $M_*$ for three galaxy types. Compared to SA and SAB galaxies, selected SB galaxies are less massive. This trend also appears in the original COMING sample (Sorai et al. 2019).

2.2 Data

We produce maps of the H$_2$ mass surface density $\Sigma_{H_2}$ and the SFR surface density $\Sigma_{SFR}$ to obtain radial profiles of SFE for selected galaxies.

2.2.1 H$_2$ mass

$\Sigma_{H_2}$ is calculated from $^{12}$CO($J = 1 - 0$) integrated intensities obtained by COMING at an angular resolution of 17″, which corresponds to the spatial resolution of 0.3 – 3 kpc (typically $\sim$ 1.5 kpc) for our sample. A detailed description of the COMING observations, data processing, and CO maps are presented in the COMING overview paper (Sorai et al. 2019). We uniformly assume the standard CO-to-H$_2$ conversion factor $X_{CO} = 2.0 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (K km s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$ (Bolatto et al. 2013) for all the
selected galaxies. In addition, no spatial variation in $X_{CO}$ is assumed within each galaxy. Using a factor of $\cos(i)$, $\Sigma_{H_2}$ are corrected (i.e., deprojected) for each galaxy. Note that the contribution of helium mass (a factor of 1.36) is not included in our $\Sigma_{H_2}$.

2.2.2 Star formation rate

$\Sigma_{SFR}$ is calculated from a linear combination of GALEX FUV and WISE 22 $\mu$m intensities using the following formula (Casasola et al. 2017):

$$
\frac{\Sigma_{SFR}}{M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \, kpc^{-2}} = \left[ 3.2 \times 10^{-3} \left( \frac{I_{22}}{\text{MJy sr}^{-1}} \right) + 8.1 \times 10^{-2} \left( \frac{I_{\text{FUV}}}{\text{MJy sr}^{-1}} \right) \right] \times \cos{i} \times 1.59
$$

(1)

where $I_{22}$ and $I_{\text{FUV}}$ are 22 $\mu$m and FUV intensities, respectively. A factor of 1.59 converts the obtained $\Sigma_{SFR}$ to those shown by Kennicutt (1998) assuming the truncated Salpeter (1955) initial mass function (IMF).

For FUV data, we firstly estimated the Galactic dust extinction at 1538.6 Å, which is the effective wavelength of FUV band, based on the Galactic $V$-band extinction $A_V$ (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011) and Cardelli et al. (1989) model, and corrected the dust extinction. Then, we convolved the FUV images at an angular resolution of 4$''$.2 to 17$''$ to match COMING data. Finally, we converted the unit of original FUV images, count pixel second (CPS), into MJy sr$^{-1}$.

For WISE 22 $\mu$m data, we individually determined the background level as an average of the intensity value at the blank sky, and subtracted it. Then, we converted the unit of original 22 $\mu$m images, DN, into MJy sr$^{-1}$. Note that we did not convolve 22 $\mu$m images because the effective angular resolution of 22 $\mu$m Atlas images is 16$''$.8 (Cutri et al. 2012), which is almost the same as that of COMING data.

We finally obtained the SFR map with an angular resolution of 17$''$. The details of treating FUV and 22 $\mu$m data and calculating $\Sigma_{SFR}$ are described in Yoda et al. (in preparation).

3 Radial profiles of SFE

3.1 Derivation of radial profiles

Using the maps of $\Sigma_{H_2}$ and $\Sigma_{SFR}$, we obtain radial profiles of SFE as described below. Firstly, both maps with a grid size of 6$''$ per pixel are regridded to 1$''$.2 per pixel. Secondly, we determine a tilted ring of a galaxy based on its position angle and inclination. Then, we set 6$''$ wide tilted rings as bins of a radial profile, and calculate azimuthally-averaged $\Sigma_{H_2}$ and $\Sigma_{SFR}$ in each bin. Finally, SFE in each bin is obtained by the division of $\Sigma_{SFR}/\Sigma_{H_2}$. We normalize the unit of galactocentric radius by $r_{25}$, and thus we show SFE as a function of $r/r_{25}$. For barred spiral (SAB and SB) galaxies, the bar-end
roughly corresponds to $r/r_{25}$ of 0.2 – 0.3.

We treated the uncertainties in $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$, $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$, and SFE for each bin in the manner of Leroy et al. (2008). They took the uncertainty $\sigma$ in a quantity averaged over a tilted ring to be

$$\sigma = \frac{\sigma_{\text{rms}}}{\sqrt{N_{\text{pix,ring}}/N_{\text{pix,beam}}}}$$

(2)

where $\sigma_{\text{rms}}$ is the r.m.s. scatter within the tilted ring, $N_{\text{pix,ring}}$ is the number of pixels included in the ring, and $N_{\text{pix,beam}}$ is the number of pixels included in the observing beam size. However, the obtained $\sigma$ for $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ seemed too small (typically $< 1\%$), and thus we considered the systematic uncertainties as $\sigma$ for $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$. According to Gil de Paz et al. (2007), the error in the FUV zero-point calibration is estimated to be 0.15 mag, which yields the uncertainty of $\sim 15\%$. In addition, Jarrett et al. (2011) reported that the rms scatter about the zero level is 5.7% for WISE 22 $\mu$m band. Considering that WISE 22 $\mu$m intensity is dominant in $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ (typically $> 80\%$) compared to FUV intensity, we estimated that the systematic uncertainty of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ is typically 8%.

We calculated $\sigma$ of $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$ and the systematic uncertainty of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ in each bin, and finally derived the uncertainty in SFE from the error propagation. Figure 2 shows an example of radial profiles of $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$, $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$, and SFE for NGC 4303. We display each measurement (data point) in bins where the calculated SFE is more than 3 $\sigma$.

3.2 Results

Figures 3, 4, and 5 show the radial profiles of SFE for individual SA, SAB, and SB galaxies, respectively. Most of galaxies do not show drastic variations in SFE except for three barred spiral galaxies (NGC 1087, NGC 2268, and NGC 4579); the radial variation in SFE is less than a factor of 5 (typically a factor of 2 – 3). This suggests that molecular-gas based SFE is nearly constant along galactocentric radius (see also figures 6 and 7), which is consistent with the finding by Leroy et al. (2008).

We found the averaged SFE in 80 galaxies of $(1.69 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-9}$ yr$^{-1}$, which seems significantly higher than that of $(5.25 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-10}$ yr$^{-1}$ reported by Leroy et al. (2008). This is because there are two differences in the calculation of SFE between the two studies; firstly, the contribution of helium mass (a factor of 1.36) is not included in our $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$. In addition, our $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ is systematically higher than that in Leroy et al. (2008) by a factor of 1.59 because they assumed a Kroupa (2001) IMF. Therefore, our SFE must be divided by 2.16 when it is compared with the SFE in Leroy et al. (2008). This yields the averaged SFE of $(7.82 \pm 5.0) \times 10^{-10}$ yr$^{-1}$ in this study, which is consistent with that of Leroy et al. (2008) within the error ranges.

In figure 4, NGC 3310 shows extremely high SFE $(> 10^{-8}$ yr$^{-1}$). This is partly because
of an intense starburst likely caused by a recent minor interaction (Miralles-Caballero et al. 2014), which yields an elevated SFR. In addition, NGC 3310 shows a moderately low metallicity ($0.2 - 0.4 \, Z_{\odot}$; Pastoriza et al. 1993), which suggests higher $X_{CO}$ than the standard value and thus the underestimation of $\Sigma_{H_2}$. For these reasons, such an extremely high SFE is observed in NGC 3310.

4 Discussion

In this section, we examine the general trend of radial variations in SFE for each galaxy type, i.e., SA, SAB, and SB galaxies. Then, we evaluate its difference among the three types. In particular, we discuss an unexpected trend of SFE; i.e., higher SFE within the inner radii of SB galaxies compared to SA and SAB galaxies.

4.1 General trend of SFE and its dependence on global stellar mass

Figure 6 shows the compiled radial profiles of SFE for each galaxy type. As described in subsection 3.2, SFE seems nearly constant along galactocentric radius. In order to evaluate how radial profiles are affected by the difference in spatial resolution among our sample, we examined radial profiles of SFE at a common spatial resolution of 3 kpc as shown in figure 7. Radial profiles shown in figures 6 and 7 seem closely similar to each other, and thus we concluded that the difference in spatial resolution does not affect the subsequent discussion.

We found a possibility that SFE in the inner radii of SB galaxies is higher than that of SA and SAB galaxies. To confirm this trend clearly, we examine averaged SFE over a 0.1 $r/r_{25}$ wide for every galaxy types as shown in figure 8. In the inner radii ($r/r_{25} < 0.3$), SFE of SB galaxies ($> 2.0 \times 10^{-9} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$) is slightly higher than that of SA and SAB galaxies ($\sim 1.4 \times 10^{-9} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$). On the other hand, in the outer radii ($0.3 < r/r_{25} < 0.5$), all the three types show similar SFEs of $(1.3 - 1.7) \times 10^{-9} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$. Note that the number of data points for SB galaxies in figure 6 is smaller than SA and SB galaxies due to the difference in sample size. This might cause the averaged radial profile for SB galaxies deviating from other types. In particular, such a deviation seems evident in the outermost radii ($r/r_{25} > 0.5$); SFE of SB galaxies becomes slightly higher than SA and SAB galaxies again at the radii, whereas this trend seems doubtful because there are few data points as shown in figure 6. Such an effect due to the difference in sample size is also discussed in subsection 4.2.

Here, we investigate why SB galaxies show higher SFE within the inner radii ($r/r_{25} < 0.3$) compared to SA and SAB galaxies. Firstly, we examine the dependence of SFE on global stellar mass $M_*$ because selected SB galaxies in this study are less massive compared to SA and SAB galaxies as described in subsection 2.1. Figure 9 shows the comparison between SFE within the inner radii
and $M_*$ in our sample. A trend that SFE decreases with the increase in $M_*$ can be seen. We obtain the Spearman rank correlation coefficient of $-0.52$ for this log SFE – log $M_*$ relation, suggesting a significant negative correlation. Such a negative correlation between SFE and $M_*$ in the stellar mass range of $10^9$ to $10^{11.5} \, M_\odot$ has been reported in earlier studies. For example, Saintonge et al. (2011) and Huang & Kauffmann (2014) found that molecular-gas depletion time becomes longer (i.e., SFE becomes lower) with the increase in stellar mass based on COLD GASS. In addition, Leroy et al. (2013) and Boselli et al. (2014) reported similar relationships based on IRAM HERACLES and Herschel Reference Survey, respectively. This correlation is presumably due to the relationship between stellar mass and metallicity, i.e., so-called mass-metallicity relation (e.g., Lequeux et al. 1979, Tremonti et al. 2004, Hughes et al. 2013). Both parameters can trace the integrated star formation history within a galaxy; star formation firstly increases stellar mass, and then, heavy elements are ejected from evolved stars into interstellar space. The increase in metallicity results in the decrease in $X_{\text{CO}}$ (e.g., Arimoto et al. 1996). Since we assume the standard $X_{\text{CO}}$ for all the sample, we may overestimate $\Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$ for galaxies with high metallicity, which eventually results in an underestimation of SFE. In this case, a negative correlation between SFE and $M_*$ may appear. In fact, the negative correlation between SFE and the metallicity is already found (e.g., Dib et al. 2011, Leroy et al. 2013).

Therefore, the obtained negative correlation between SFE and $M_*$ can be interpreted as an apparent variation in SFE due to the difference in $X_{\text{CO}}$ among our sample. Such an explanation is also reported by Leroy et al. (2013). However, we cannot rule out another possibility that SFE truly varies depending on galaxy mass. For example, Saintonge et al. (2011) suggest that smaller galaxies have more bursty star formation histories due to minor starburst events, and also suggest that quenching in high mass galaxies prevent the molecular gas from forming stars without destroying the gas. To clarify whether the negative correlation between SFE and $M_*$ is attributed to the change in $X_{\text{CO}}$ or that in true SFE, an independent determination of $X_{\text{CO}}$ (e.g., simultaneous solving the CO-to-$\text{H}_2$ conversion factor and dust-to-gas ratio proposed by Sandstrom et al. 2013) in each galaxy and its comparison with the observed SFE are necessary.

4.2 Is SFE within the inner radii of SB galaxies intrinsically high?

Since the dependence of SFE on $M_*$ has been confirmed, we try to cancel it and to evaluate the residual of SFE. We examined the log SFE – log $M_*$ relation by the ordinary least square power-law fit without uncertainties, and obtained the expression of log SFE$_{\text{fit}} = -0.424 \times (\log M_*) - 4.31$. Then, we define the residual of SFE within the inner radii ($r/r_{25} < 0.3$) as

$$\Delta(\log \text{SFE}) = \log \text{SFE}_{\text{obs}} - \log \text{SFE}_{\text{fit}},$$

(3)
where SFE\textsubscript{obs} is the observed SFE. Figure 10 shows the comparison between $\Delta$(log SFE) and $M_\star$. Most of galaxies are within the $\Delta$(log SFE) range of $\pm 0.5$. In order to make the distribution of $\Delta$(log SFE) more visible, we examine histograms of $\Delta$(log SFE) for each galaxy type as shown in figure 11. The frequency distributions of SA and SAB galaxies seem quite similar to each other, whereas that for SB galaxies seems offset to the high-SFE side compared to SA and SAB galaxies.

To evaluate whether the frequency distributions of $\Delta$(log SFE) are different among SA, SAB, and SB galaxies, we examine two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) tests. The K-S test between SA and SAB galaxies gives a $p$ value of 0.51, and thus the hypothesis that the two samples originate from the same distribution is not rejected. However, the K-S test between SAB and SB galaxies gives a $p$ value of 0.037\(^1\). This means the hypothesis that SAB and SB samples originate from the same distribution is rejected with 95% confidence interval, suggesting that SFE within the inner radii ($r/r_{25} < 0.3$) of SB galaxies is significantly higher than that of SA and SAB galaxies even though the dependence of SFE on $M_\star$ is cancelled.

Generally, stellar bars in galaxies can efficiently transport a large amount of molecular gas toward central regions (e.g., Matsuda & Nelson 1977, Simkin et al. 1980, Sakamoto et al. 1999, Haan et al. 2009), which invokes a nuclear star formation (e.g., Jogee et al. 2005, Schinnerer et al. 2006). Since SB galaxies typically have strong bars, high-SFE nuclear star formation (i.e., starburst) likely occurs in SB galaxies. However, the spatial scale of the nuclear star formation in nearby galaxies is typically in the range of a few $\times$ 100 pc to 1 kpc (e.g., Kohno et al. 1999, Schinnerer et al. 2006, Muraoka et al. 2009), which corresponds to $r/r_{25} \sim 0.1$ or less. It seems that such a bursty star formation should occur even in the disk region to explain the high SFE toward $r/r_{25} \sim 0.3$.

Note that increasing sample galaxies could affect our results. If only an SB galaxy with $\Delta$(log SFE) = 0 is added to our sample, the $p$ value of the K-S test between SAB and SB galaxies increases up to 0.058, which means that the null hypothesis is not rejected. Therefore, it is important to increase the number of SB galaxies for a more robust understanding of the difference in SFE among galaxy types.

4.3 High SFE in the bar of SB galaxies

In order to investigate the physical origin of the high SFE within the inner radii ($r/r_{25} < 0.3$) further, we examine SFE maps for two representative SB galaxies in our sample, NGC 3367 and NGC 7479, as shown in figure 12. The ellipse of $r/r_{25} = 0.3$ seems to cover not only the central region but also a part of the disk, mainly the bar. For NGC 3367, we found that SFEs are $(5 - 8) \times 10^{-9}$ yr$^{-1}$ in the

\(^1\) The K-S test between SA and SB galaxies gives a $p$ value of 0.024.
central region, $(2 - 4) \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at $r/r_{25}$ of $0.1 - 0.3$ (corresponding to the bar), and $(1 - 2) \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at $r/r_{25} > 0.3$ (corresponding to spiral arms) with the typical uncertainty of $0.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. This indicates that SFE in the bar is lower than that in the central region, but higher than in spiral arms. A similar trend is found in NGC 7479; SFEs are $(3 - 6) \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in the central region, $(3 - 6) \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at the northern bar, $(1 - 2) \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at the southern bar, and $(0.5 - 2) \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in spiral arms with the typical uncertainty of $0.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

At least some of SB galaxies in our sample show higher SFE in the bar than in spiral arms. However, earlier studies reported an opposite trend in nearby barred spiral galaxies; SFE is lower in the bar than in spiral arms (e.g., Momose et al. 2010, Watanabe et al. 2011, Hirota et al. 2014, Yajima et al. 2019). Here, we compare our SFE in NGC 4303 with that reported by Momose et al. (2010). They adopted the bar radius of $40''$ in NGC 4303, which corresponds to $r/r_{25}$ of 0.2. Thus we examine the averaged SFE separating NGC 4303 disk into the inner part ($r/r_{25} < 0.2$) and the outer part ($r/r_{25} > 0.2$). We obtain the averaged SFE in the inner part of $(8.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-10} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and that in the outer part of $(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (see figure 2); i.e., SFE in the bar is $\sim 1.4$ times lower than that in spiral arms. This trend is consistent with Momose et al. (2010), but opposite to NGC 3367 and NGC 7479. Eventually, our results suggest that some barred spiral galaxies surely show lower SFE in the bar than in spiral arms as reported by earlier studies, whereas this trend is not always true for other barred spiral galaxies. In other words, there might be diversity of star formation activities in the bar.

Some mechanism to suppress star formation in the bar has been already proposed. Generally, strong shocks and shear induced by the non-circular motion in the bar suppress the formation of dense molecular gas and massive stars, and thus SFE becomes lower in the bar compared to spiral arms (e.g., Reynaud & Downes 1998). In addition, recent numerical simulations suggest that star formation is enhanced by cloud-cloud collisions in moderate velocity ($15 - 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), which typically occur in spiral arms, but is rather suppressed by those in high velocity ($> 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), which often occur in the bar (Fujimoto et al. 2014). This eventually causes lower SFE in the bar than in spiral arms. In fact, the latest CO observations confirmed that the velocity dispersion of molecular gas, which would be an indicator of collision velocity of clouds, is larger in the bar than in spiral arms (e.g., Maeda et al. 2018, Yajima et al. 2019).

It is difficult to explain the physical origin of the high SFE in the bar, but a hint is provided by Zhou et al. (2015). They reported that barred galaxies with intense star formation in bars tend to have active star formation also in their bulges and disks. This suggests a possibility that a bar-driven process enhances SFE not only in the central region but also in the bar, although the specific physical mechanism to enhance star formation in the bar is unclear yet.
Note that we have to consider why SFEs of SA and SAB galaxies are similar to each other in all the radii although there is a distinct difference between the two types; existence or non-existence of the bar. According to the explanation for the higher SFE in SB galaxies than in SAB galaxies as described above, we likely observe higher SFE in SAB galaxies than in SA galaxies because SAB galaxies have bars. In fact, earlier studies reported that the degree of molecular-gas concentration to the central kiloparsec is higher in barred galaxies than in non-barred galaxies (e.g., Sakamoto et al. 1999, Kuno et al. 2007). This suggests that intense star formation with high SFE occurs in the central regions of SAB galaxies as well as SB galaxies, whereas our results do not support such a simple scenario.

In order to solve such complications regarding the difference in SFE within the inner radii among SA, SAB, and SB galaxies, formation processes of dense gas and massive stars in the bar should be investigated further. In particular, it is essential to understand dynamical effects such as cloud-cloud collisions, shocks, and shear because they play a key role in enhancement or suppression of star formation. In addition, the measurement of fractional mass of the dense gas in molecular gas ($f_{DG}$) is important. Torii et al. (2018) examined $f_{DG}$ in the Milky Way using $^{12}$CO and C$^{18}$O molecules, and found that $f_{DG}$ in the Galactic arms is as high as $\sim 4 - 5\%$, while it becomes quite small at $0.1 - 0.4\%$ in the Galactic bar and inter-arm regions. Such a study of $f_{DG}$ and its relation to dynamical effects can be conducted for external galaxies with high-sensitivity and high-angular resolution observations. The latest interferometers, such as Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array and the Northern Extended Millimeter Array, are certainly helpful for the further understanding of the star formation in the bar.

5 Summary

We examined radial variations in molecular-gas based SFE for 80 galaxies selected from COMING sample. A summary of this work is as follows.

1. We found that the radial variations in SFE for individual galaxies are typically a factor of 2 – 3, which suggests that SFE is nearly constant along galactocentric radius.
2. We found the averaged SFE in 80 galaxies of $(1.69 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which is consistent with Leroy et al. (2008) if we consider the contribution of helium to the molecular gas mass evaluation and the difference in the assumed initial mass function between two studies.
3. Within the inner radii ($r/r_{25} < 0.3$), SFE of SB galaxies ($> 2.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) is significantly higher.

---

2 In Sakamoto et al. (1999) sample, 9 out of 10 barred galaxies are classified into SAB type, and 24 out of 28 barred galaxies are SAB type in Kuno et al. (2007) sample. Thus we can read their studies as the comparison between SA and SAB galaxies.
Fig. 1. Histograms of stellar mass $M_*$ for selected SA, SAB, and SB galaxies. There are few SB galaxies with $M_*$ of more than $10^{10.5} M_\odot$.

than that of SA and SAB galaxies ($\sim 1.4 \times 10^{-9}$ yr$^{-1}$). This trend can be partly explained by the dependence of SFE on global stellar mass, which probably relates to $X_{\text{CO}}$ through the metallicity. According to the K-S test, however, we found that SFE within the inner radii of SB galaxies is still higher than that of SA and SAB galaxies even though the dependence of SFE on $M_*$ is cancelled.

4. For two representative SB galaxies in our sample, NGC 3367 and NGC 7479, the ellipse of $r/r_{25} = 0.3$ seems to cover not only the central region but also a part of the disk, mainly the bar. These two galaxies show higher SFE in the bar than in spiral arms. However, we found an opposite trend in NGC 4303; SFE is lower in the bar than in spiral arms, which is consistent with earlier studies (e.g., Momose et al. 2010). These results suggest diversity of star formation activities in the bar.

We thank the referee for invaluable comments, which significantly improved the manuscript. We are indebted to the NRO staff for the commissioning and operation of the 45-m telescope and their continuous efforts to improve the performance of the instruments. This work is based on observations at NRO, which is a branch of the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, National Institutes of Natural Sciences. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Nos. 17K14251 and 18J00508).
Fig. 2. An example of radial profiles of \( \Sigma_{H_2} \) (top), \( \Sigma_{SFR} \) (middle), and SFE (bottom) for NGC 4303.
Fig. 3. SFE as a function of the galactocentric radius in the unit of \( r/r_{25} \) for individual SA galaxies.
Fig. 3. (Continued)
Table 1. Parameters of selected SA galaxies

| Galaxy    | $r_{25}$ (arcmin.) | $i$ (°) | P.A. (°) | log $M_*$ ($M_\odot$) |
|-----------|--------------------|---------|----------|-----------------------|
| NGC 470   | 1.41               | 58.0    | 155.4    | 10.73                 |
| NGC 628   | 5.24               | 7       | 20       | 10.25                 |
| NGC 1084  | 1.62               | 57.2    | -141.6   | 10.58                 |
| NGC 1482  | 1.23               | 55.2    | -61.5    | 10.31                 |
| NGC 2742  | 1.51               | 59.9    | -93.5    | 10.42                 |
| NGC 2748  | 1.51               | 72.8    | -138.8   | 10.12                 |
| NGC 2775  | 2.14               | 35.4    | 163.5    | 10.71                 |
| NGC 2841  | 4.07               | 73.7    | 152.6    | 10.90                 |
| NGC 2967  | 1.51               | 16.5    | 64.0     | 10.21                 |
| NGC 2976  | 2.95               | 64.5    | -25.5    | 9.14                  |
| NGC 3147  | 1.95               | 35.2    | 142.79   | 11.27                 |
| NGC 3169  | 2.19               | 39.0    | -123.7   | 10.89                 |
| NGC 3338  | 2.95               | 60.9    | 97.1     | 10.48                 |
| NGC 3370  | 1.58               | 55.1    | -38.1    | 10.09                 |
| NGC 3655  | 0.78               | 23.5    | -100.3   | 10.68                 |
| NGC 3672  | 2.09               | 67.2    | 7.8      | 10.63                 |
| NGC 3675  | 2.95               | 67.8    | 176      | 10.89                 |
| NGC 3810  | 2.14               | 42.2    | -154.3   | 10.33                 |
| NGC 3813  | 1.12               | 68.2    | 83.1     | 10.26                 |
| NGC 3938  | 2.69               | 20.9    | -154.0   | 10.43                 |
| NGC 3949  | 1.44               | 52.9    | -58.2    | 10.20                 |
| NGC 4030  | 2.09               | 39.0    | 29.6     | 11.08                 |
| NGC 4041  | 1.35               | 23.4    | -138.7   | 10.68                 |
| NGC 4632  | 1.55               | 65.9    | 60.5     | 9.70                  |
| NGC 4750  | 1.02               | 40.0    | -50      | 10.62                 |
| NGC 5364  | 3.38               | 47.9    | -144.4   | 10.45                 |
| NGC 5676  | 1.99               | 59.8    | -131.9   | 10.94                 |
| NGC 6503  | 3.54               | 73.5    | -60.2    | 9.68                  |
| NGC 7625  | 0.79               | 37.4    | -151.4   | 10.19                 |
| NGC 7721  | 1.78               | 69.8    | -164.2   | 10.41                 |

All the parameters are based on Sorai et al. (2019). They estimated $M_*$ based on the WISE 3.4 μm band infrared luminosity (Wen et al. 2013).
Table 1. (continued) Parameters of selected SAB galaxies

| Galaxy  | $r_{25}$ (arcmin.) | $i$ (°) | P.A. (°) | log $M_*$ ($M_\odot$) |
|---------|---------------------|---------|----------|-----------------------|
| NGC 157 | 2.09                | 48.0    | -136     | 10.16                 |
| NGC 1087| 1.86                | 50.5    | 1.4      | 10.00                 |
| NGC 2268| 1.62                | 58      | -112     | 10.62                 |
| NGC 2276| 1.41                | 48      | -113     | 10.87                 |
| NGC 2715| 2.45                | 67.8    | -159.1   | 10.01                 |
| NGC 2903| 6.30                | 67      | -155     | 10.61                 |
| NGC 3166| 2.40                | 55.7    | -100.4   | 10.78                 |
| NGC 3310| 1.55                | 56      | 150      | 9.83                  |
| NGC 3344| 3.54                | 27.0    | -37.3    | 10.08                 |
| NGC 3368| 3.80                | 57.5    | 169.0    | 10.52                 |
| NGC 3437| 1.26                | 65.9    | -61.5    | 10.29                 |
| NGC 3627| 4.56                | 52      | 176      | 10.60                 |
| NGC 3888| 0.87                | 41.8    | 121.2    | 10.53                 |
| NGC 3893| 2.24                | 30      | -13      | 10.29                 |
| NGC 4045| 1.35                | 48.4    | -92.1    | 10.63                 |
| NGC 4088| 2.88                | 68.9    | -126.8   | 10.39                 |
| NGC 4258| 9.31                | 72      | -29      | 10.55                 |
| NGC 4303| 3.23                | 27.0    | -36.4    | 10.77                 |
| NGC 4433| 1.10                | 64      | 5        | 10.63                 |
| NGC 4527| 3.09                | 70      | 69.5     | 10.69                 |
| NGC 4536| 3.80                | 64.2    | -54.5    | 10.46                 |
| NGC 4559| 5.36                | 63.1    | -36.8    | 9.68                  |
| NGC 4579| 2.95                | 41.7    | 92.1     | 10.84                 |
| NGC 4602| 1.70                | 67.9    | 100.7    | 10.75                 |
| NGC 4666| 2.29                | 70      | -135     | 10.57                 |
| NGC 4818| 2.14                | 67.2    | -175.5   | 9.94                  |
| NGC 5005| 2.88                | 66.7    | 67.0     | 10.92                 |
| NGC 5248| 3.09                | 38.6    | 103.9    | 10.37                 |
| NGC 5665| 0.96                | 51.7    | 154.7    | 9.79                  |
| NGC 5678| 1.66                | 56.9    | -177.5   | 10.89                 |
| NGC 5713| 1.38                | 33      | -157     | 10.30                 |
| NGC 6574| 0.71                | 45      | 165      | 10.97                 |
| NGC 6951| 1.95                | 30      | 135      | 10.87                 |
Table 1. (continued) Parameters of selected SB galaxies

| Galaxy   | $r_{25}$ | $i$   | P.A. | log $M_*$ |
|----------|---------|-------|------|----------|
| (arcmin.) | (°)     | (°)   | ($M_\odot$) |
| NGC 150  | 1.95    | 59.3  | 108.9 | 10.42   |
| NGC 337  | 1.44    | 44.5  | 119.6 | 9.95    |
| NGC 660  | 4.16    | 72    | -138.5 | 10.44 |
| NGC 701  | 1.23    | 58.6  | 44.7  | 10.02 |
| NGC 1022 | 1.20    | 30    | 85    | 10.18 |
| NGC 1530 | 2.29    | 45    | -172  | 10.42 |
| NGC 2633 | 1.23    | 50.1  | -176.3 | 10.41 |
| NGC 3198 | 4.26    | 71.5  | -145.0 | 10.13 |
| NGC 3351 | 3.71    | 41    | -168  | 10.39 |
| NGC 3359 | 3.62    | 51    | -8    | 10.30 |
| NGC 3367 | 1.26    | 30    | 51    | 10.50 |
| NGC 3583 | 1.41    | 38.6  | 131.3 | 10.54 |
| NGC 3686 | 1.62    | 35.2  | 19.5  | 10.01 |
| NGC 4605 | 2.88    | 69    | -67   | 9.40   |
| NGC 5792 | 3.46    | 64    | -98.5 | 10.93 |
| NGC 7479 | 2.04    | 51    | -158  | 11.03 |
| NGC 7798 | 0.69    | 31.9  | 70.5  | 10.30 |
Fig. 4. SFE as a function of the galactocentric radius in the unit of \( r/r_{25} \) for individual SAB galaxies.
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Fig. 8. Same as figure 6, but SFE is averaged over a 0.1 \( r/r_{25} \) wide. Blue triangles, black circles, and red squares indicate SA, SAB, and SB galaxies, respectively. In order to make the difference in SFE among galaxy types more visible, data points for SA and SB galaxies in each bin are offset to the left and the right side, respectively. The error bars indicate the scatter of data points shown in figure 6.

Fig. 9. Comparison between SFE within the inner radii (\( r/r_{25} < 0.3 \)) and \( M_* \) in our sample. Open triangles, filled circles, and open squares indicate SA, SAB, and SB galaxies, respectively. The dashed line indicates the ordinary least square power-law fit for all the data points, which is expressed as \( \log \text{SFE}_{\text{fit}} = -0.424 \times (\log M_*) - 4.31 \).
Fig. 10. Comparison between $\Delta (\log \text{SFE})$ and $M_*$. The dashed line indicates $\Delta (\log \text{SFE}) = 0$. Most of galaxies are within the $\Delta (\log \text{SFE})$ range of $\pm 0.5$.
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Fig. 11. Histograms of $\Delta$(log SFE) for SA, SAB, and SB galaxies.

Fig. 12. Maps of SFE (color) superposed on the $^{12}$CO($J = 1 - 0$) integrated intensity obtained by COMING (white contour) in NGC 3367 (left) and NGC 7479 (right). The contour levels are 3, 6, and 10 K km s$^{-1}$ for NGC 3367 and 5, 10, 20, 30, and 50 K km s$^{-1}$ for NGC 7479, respectively. The blue ellipse indicates $r/r_{25} = 0.3$. The white circle at bottom-left corner indicates an angular resolution of the maps, 17$''$. The dashed magenta line in NGC 3367 indicates the position of the bar.
