Mouse Model of Mutated in Colorectal Cancer Gene Deletion Reveals Novel Pathways in Inflammation and Cancer
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SUMMARY

A new Mcc-knockout mouse model shows impairment of DNA repair pathways in drug-induced inflammatory lesions leading to cancer development. The lesions show a strong interferon-γ response regardless of Mcc expression, including novel Irg genes not previously described in the mouse colon.

BACKGROUND & AIMS: The early events by which inflammation promotes cancer are still not fully defined. The MCC gene is silenced by promoter methylation in colitis-associated and sporadic colon tumors, but its functional significance in pre-cancerous lesions or polyps is not known. Here, we aimed to determine the impact of Mcc deletion on the cellular pathways and carcinogenesis associated with inflammation in the mouse proximal colon.

METHODS: We generated knockout mice with deletion of Mcc in the colonic/intestinal epithelial cells (MccΔIEC) or in the whole body (MccΔ/Δ). Drug-induced lesions were analyzed by transcriptome profiling (at 10 weeks) and histopathology (at 20 weeks). Cell-cycle phases and DNA damage proteins were analyzed by flow cytometry and Western blot of hydrogen peroxide–treated mouse embryo fibroblasts.

RESULTS: Transcriptome profiling of the lesions showed a strong response to colon barrier destruction, such as up-regulation of key inflammation and cancer-associated genes as well as 28 interferon γ–induced guanosine triphosphatase genes, including the homologs of Crohn’s disease susceptibility gene IRGM. These features were shared by both Mcc-expressing and Mcc-deficient mice and many of the altered gene expression pathways were similar to the mesenchymal colorectal cancer subtype known as consensus molecular subtype 4 (CMS4). However, Mcc deletion was required for increased carcinogenesis in the lesions, with adenocarcinoma in 59% of MccΔIEC compared with 19% of Mcc-expressing mice (P = .002). This was not accompanied by hyperactivation of β-catenin, but Mcc deletion caused down-regulation of DNA repair genes and a disruption of DNA damage signaling.
CONCLUSIONS: Loss of Mcc may promote cancer through a failure to repair inflammation-induced DNA damage. We provide a comprehensive transcriptome data set of early colorectal lesions and evidence for the in vivo significance of MCC silencing in colorectal cancer. (Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;7:819–839; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2019.01.009)
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The role of inflammation as a risk factor and promotor of colorectal cancer is under intense investigation, both in colitis-associated cancer (CAC) and in sporadic tumors. There are several mouse models that have shown valuable novel insights into the etiology of CAC, but the molecular mechanisms and early events by which inflammation promotes cancer still are poorly understood.1

The MCC gene was discovered as a result of its proximity to APC on chromosome 5, but its possible significance in carcinogenesis was overlooked until recently.2,3,4,9 In colorectal cancers, a common alteration is promoter methylation that causes MCC silencing.2,4,10 most frequently in cancers of the proximal colon (58%).11 The MCC defect appears early in premalignant tumors or lesions and is strongly associated with sessile serrated polyps (80%-86%).4,12,13 Mcc mutations were identified as drivers of colon or liver carcinogenesis in transposon-based genetic screens in mice,14,15 but it has not been reported whether mutated in colorectal cancer (MCC) deficiency predisposes to cancer in premalignant colon tumors or lesions.

To further determine the role of MCC in carcinogenesis in vivo, we generated new knockout mouse models for Mcc. We focused on the mouse colon and hypothesized that loss of MCC would have an impact on inflammation-associated carcinogenesis in the proximal colon. Therefore, we exploited the drug sulindac, which acts as an irritant in a short region of the mouse proximal colon.16–18 This highly localized side effect of sulindac is independent of its chemopreventive activity in experimental models of colon cancer.16,19–21 Initially, exposure to sulindac causes microscopic foci of surface tissue erosion. With long-term administration of the drug (10–20 wk), the foci develop into visible ulcerated lesions with chronic inflammation that are surrounded by normal tissue. Most of these lesions are benign but can develop into adenocarcinoma in strains of knockout mice with tumor-suppressor gene defects, such as Apc, p53, Msh2, and Mlh1.16,19,21,22 Here, we show that Mcc deficiency promotes carcinogenesis in this model through failure to repair inflammation-induced DNA damage.

Results

Generation of Mcc<sup>fl/fl</sup>, Mcc<sup>ΔIEC</sup>, and Mcc<sup>Δ/Δ</sup> Mice

A new Mcc-loxP (Mcc<sup>fl/fl</sup>) mouse line was developed using a gene-targeting approach (Figure 1A) and designated B6.Cg-Mcc<sup>tm1Maija</sup>/>Ausb (Mcc<sup>fl/fl</sup>). We generated both whole-body knockout (Mcc<sup>Δ/Δ</sup>) and conditional knockout mice Vilcre-Mcc<sup>fl/fl</sup>, with loss of Mcc expression in the colonic and intestinal epithelial cells (Mcc<sup>ΔIEC</sup>). The Vilcin-cre transgene expresses cre constitutively in the epithelial cells of the entire crypt-villus axis along the small and large intestine, but we focused on the effect of Mcc deletion in the colon.23 Mcc expression was reduced significantly in the mucosal layer throughout the colon in the homozygous Mcc<sup>ΔIEC</sup> mice (Figure 1B). Histopathology of major organs showed no gross abnormalities or tumors in the whole-body knockout Mcc<sup>Δ/Δ</sup> mice, and there was no reduced survival after a 12-month follow-up period. However, histopathology analysis of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation in vivo showed that there was a 10%-20% decrease in the proliferation rate of colon epithelial cells in Mcc<sup>Δ/Δ</sup> mice compared with their wild-type (WT) siblings (Figure 1C).

Mcc Deficiency Drives Inflammation-Associated Cancer

Mcc<sup>ΔIEC</sup>, Mcc<sup>ΔIEC/WT</sup>, and their genotype controls (Mcc<sup>fl/fl</sup> and Mcc<sup>fl/WT</sup>) were given feed containing sulindac or control feed for 20 weeks. As expected, sulindac exposure caused the development of macroscopic lesions in the mucosal folds of the proximal colon. Both Mcc-expressing and Mcc-deficient mice developed these drug-induced lesions and survival was not different in the size or number of the lesions, or the area of the colon affected, indicating a similar level of macroscopic tissue damage (Figure 1D). The lesions were mostly found in a 1-cm region of the proximal colon that previously was designated as P2 and is located 2–3 cm from the cecum.16 Microscopically, the lesions featured mucosal surface erosion or ulceration with mixed inflammatory cell infiltrate, resulting in mild-moderate to severe acute or chronic inflammation, similar to our previous reports on this model.16,18 A subset of up to 4 lesions/mouse developed mucinous or nonmucinous adenocarcinoma. The frequency of cancer was 59% (13 of 22) in the homozygous Mcc<sup>ΔIEC</sup> mice, 35% (7 of 20) in the heterozygous knockout Mcc<sup>ΔIEC/WT</sup>, and 19% (8 of 42) in the Mcc-expressing genotype controls (combined Mcc<sup>fl/fl</sup> and Mcc<sup>fl/WT</sup>). Loss of Mcc expression increased carcinogenesis (P = .002; Mcc<sup>ΔIEC</sup> vs Mcc-expressing controls). This was owing to an...
increase of cancer in Mcc<sup>ΔIEC</sup> males (10 of 12) compared with Mcc-expressing males (5 of 16; P = .009). Overall, cancer was more common in sulindac-treated males (21 of 41) than in females (7 of 43) (P = .001). This was statistically significant in the 13 Mcc<sup>ΔIEC</sup> mice with cancer (10 of 12 males vs 3 of 10 females; P = .03), but not in the Mcc-expressing group with only 8 cancers (5 of 16 males vs 3 of 26 females; P = .22). Representative adenocarcinoma is shown in Figure 1E.

**Drug-Induced Colon Lesions Show Up-Regulation of Proinflammatory Genes and Down-Regulation of β-Catenin Target Genes**

To determine gene expression changes in the earlier lesions we conducted transcriptome profiling after 10 weeks of sulindac exposure. The surface mucosa was harvested from proximal (P1, P2) and distal colon and micro-dissected separately from the macroscopic lesions. Hundreds of genes were up-regulated in the lesions
compared with matching normal tissue (Supplementary Tables 1–3). There were no significant differences between the genotypes for the most up-regulated genes listed in Table 1 (>12-fold in MccAEIC mice) when the lesions of MccF/F and MccAEIC mice were compared and data were corrected for multiple testing. Validation of selected genes was performed with quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) in different regions of the colon (Figure 2).

Many of the highly up-regulated genes identified in this study have been associated previously with inflammation or carcinogenesis24,25 and were found in both MccAEIC and MccF/F mice. They included S100A8/A9 (calprotectin), Mmp13 and other Mmps, Tgfβ3, Tnfa, Cox2 (Ptgs2), the interleukin 1 family of cytokines (Il1β, Il1α, Il1β, Il1γ), and other inflammatory or immunosuppressive factors (Cxc1/2/ Mip2, Il6, Cxcl1, Cxcl9, Cxcr2, Ido1, Pd-1/Gdt27), as well as the free radical nitric oxide–producing enzyme iNos2. Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-related factors included Tgm2, Hif1α, Plaur, Plau, Dcn, Hgf, Greml1, Vim, and Fn1. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of hallmark pathways26 showed up-regulation of several inflammation and cancer-associated pathways (Table 2) that overlap with the mesenchymal subtype of colorectal cancer known as consensus molecular subtype 4 (CMS4).27 The shared up-regulated pathways were EMT, inflammatory response, angiogenesis, complement, and transforming growth factor β signaling (Table 2).27

Another shared feature with CMS4 was the absence of β-catenin pathway hyperactivation in both genotypes. β-catenin target genes Lgr5 and Axin2 actually were down-regulated in the lesions compared with matching normal tissue from control-fed mice (Figure 2), similar to our previous report in Hif1α-deficient and WT mice.18 Lgr5 expression is a key marker of colon stem cells28,29 and loss of these cells was reported previously in dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced colitis.30 The cancers showed no evidence of β-catenin nuclear localization and both E-cadherin and β-catenin staining was strong in the membrane of the cancer cells in Mcc-expressing and Mcc-deficient mice (Figure 3A–D). Thus, cancer in this model is not driven by β-catenin hyperactivation, and down-regulation of Lgr5 expression suggests loss of colon stem cells and lack of regenerative potential in the inflammatory lesions.

Among the few highly down-regulated genes were Cyp2c67, Cyp2c68, Cyp2c40, and Cyp2c69 (5- to 12-fold) from the cytochrome P450 cluster on chromosome 19, which are orthologues of the human drug response gene CYP2C9. Expression of aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR), another important drug response gene, was not altered in the lesions. However, there was an increase of cytoplasmic aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) protein expression in the normal-appearing colon epithelium, which is known to absorb sulindac and its metabolites (Figure 3E-G).16

**Inflammation Is Associated With Suppression of DNA Repair Pathways in Mcc-Deficient Mice**

Although strong cancer-promoting genes and pathways were highly activated in the colonic lesions of both genotype groups (Tables 1 and 2), only 19% of Mcc-expressing controls developed cancer compared with 59% of Mcc-deficient mice. A significant difference between the 2 genotypes was in signatures of DNA repair, DNA replication, and cell-cycle regulation. GSEA analysis showed that the hallmark pathways G2M checkpoint, E2F targets, Myelocytomatisis oncogene cellular homolog (MYC) targets, and DNA repair were down-regulated in the lesions of Mcc-deficient mice compared with the lesions of Mcc-expressing mice (Table 2). This is consistent with the previously identified role of MCC in the DNA damage response.8

Further analysis of the DNA repair pathway data identified 29 genes that were down-regulated significantly in the lesions of Mcc-deficient mice (Figure 4A). These included the DNA mismatch repair (MMR) genes Msh3, Msh2, Mlh1, Mlh3, and Pms2. The baseline expression levels of MMR genes were similar between different regions of the colon within each group of control-fed mice (Figure 2). Msh2 expression was slightly higher in the colon of control-fed MccAEIC mice than in Mcc-expressing mice. We analyzed the lesions and cancers for microsatellite instability (MSI) of mononucleotide repeats, a known marker of complete MMR deficiency,31,32 but no instability was found (data not shown).
## Table 1. Altered Expression of the Most Highly Affected Genes Is Similar in Drug-Induced Colon Lesions of MCC^ΔIEC^ and MCC^{Δ/F} Mice

| Gene symbol and name | Direction | Absolute fold change^{ΔIEC} | Absolute fold change^{Δ/F} |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mptx1; mucosal pentraxin 1 | Down      | 184.9                      | 90.4                        |
| S100a8; calgranulin A | Up        | 176.9                      | 59.4                        |
| Mmp13; matrix metalloproteinase 13 | Up      | 165.5                      | 93.6                        |
| Il1b; interleukin 1β | Up        | 134.9                      | 64.8                        |
| Il1a; interleukin 1α | Up        | 122.4                      | 62.4                        |
| LincRNA identified by NONCODE; TC1300000114.mm.1 | Up      | 109.7                      | 54.8                        |
| S100a9; calgranulin B | Up        | 106.7                      | 29.1                        |
| Mptx2; mucosal pentraxin 2 | Down      | 101.2                      | 45.3                        |
| Mmp10; matrix metalloproteinase 10 | Up      | 87.6                       | 46.6                        |
| Ptgs2; prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 | Up      | 74.2                       | 38.4                        |
| Retnlg; resistin-like γ | Up        | 60.4                       | 10.4                        |
| Gp49a; glycoprotein 49 A | Up        | 56.9                       | 17.9                        |
| Llrb4; leukocyte Ig-like receptor, subfamily B, member 4 | Up | 47.8                      | 17.8                        |
| Clec7a; C-type lectin domain family 7, member a | Up | 46.0                      | 26.0                        |
| Cxcl9; chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 9 | Up | 41.9                      | 54.6                        |
| Tgtp2; T-cell-specific GTPase 2 | Up | 30.6                      | 35.7                        |
| Sfat2l1; stefin A2 like 1 | Up | 28.6                      | 9.0                         |
| Nos2; nitric oxide synthase 2, inducible | Up | 25.2                      | 17.5                        |
| Cxcl2; chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 2, Mip2 | Up | 24.8                      | 8.4                         |
| Steap4; STEAP family member 4 | Up | 23.6                      | 15.3                        |
| Otr1; oxidized low density lipoprotein (lectin-like) receptor 1 | Up | 23.2                      | 4.8                         |
| Gbp2; guanylate binding protein 2 | Up | 23.0                      | 18.6                        |
| Irga family member; F830016B08Rik | Up | 22.2                      | 17.5                        |
| Ly6c2; lymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus C2 | Up | 21.4                      | 17.4                        |
| Tgm3; transglutaminase 3, E polypeptide | Down | 20.9                      | 16.1                        |
| Mmp12; matrix metalloproteinase 12 | Up | 19.9                      | 8.2                         |
| F3; coagulation factor III | Up | 19.4                      | 11.2                        |
| Mrgpra2a; MAS-related GPR, member A2A | Up | 19.0                      | 4.0                         |
| Irg1; immunoresponsive gene 1 | Up | 18.5                      | 6.6                         |
| Irga6; ligp1, interferon inducible GTPase 1 | Up | 17.8                      | 21.3                        |
| Dcn; decorin | Up | 17.3                      | 13.6                        |
| Il1f9; interleukin 1 family, member 9 | Up | 17.3                      | 5.0                         |
| Akt3; thymoma viral proto-oncogene 3 | Up | 17.2                      | 19.5                        |
| Reg3b; regenerating islet-derived 3 β | Up | 16.9                      | 28.7                        |
| Igf6; immunoglobulin superfamily, member 6 | Up | 16.7                      | 9.2                         |
| Reg3g; regenerating islet-derived 3 γ | Up | 16.7                      | 22.1                        |
| Sema3e; semaphorin 3E | Up | 16.2                      | 6.7                         |
| Irgm3; Igtp, interferon γ induced GTPase | Up | 15.9                      | 15.2                        |
| LincRNA identified by NONCODE; TC07000002949.mm.1 | Up | 15.3                      | 4.6                         |
| LincRNA identified by NONCODE; TC1800000456.mm.1 | Up | 15.1                      | 21.0                        |
| LincRNA identified by NONCODE; TC1900000899.mm.1 | Up | 15.1                      | 6.8                         |
| Clec4e; C-type lectin domain family 4, member e | Up | 15.0                      | 5.4                         |
| Irgf family member; Gm12185 | Up | 14.3                      | 16.5                        |
| Osrm; oncostatin M receptor | Up | 13.9                      | 9.5                         |
| Il33; interleukin 33 | Up | 13.6                      | 5.4                         |
| NcRNA identified by NONCODE; TC07000004005.mm.1 | Up | 13.3                      | 10.3                        |
| Il1rl1; interleukin 1 receptor-like 1 | Up | 13.3                      | 9.1                         |
| Plek; pleckstrin | Up | 13.3                      | 5.4                         |
| Irgb10; Gm12250 | Up | 13.1                      | 15.7                        |
shown). This may indicate impairment but not a complete deficiency of MMR.

A further 12 of the down-regulated genes were associated with the homologous recombination repair (HR) pathway, such as Atm, Rpa2, and Rad. This profile of gene expression indicates a generalized defect of DNA repair involving different types of DNA damage. To understand how a lack of MCC is disrupting the response to inflammation, we used H2O2 to mimic the inflammation-induced free radical generation in mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) prepared from whole-body knockout Mcc−/− mice and their WT siblings. Mcc−/− and WT MEFs were treated with H2O2 for 1–6 hours and the cell lysates were examined for DNA or protein expression of DNA repair genes and markers of the DNA damage response. Expression of Msh3, Msh2, Mlh1, and Atm was reduced significantly in Mcc−/− MEFs compared with WT cells with 4- or 6-hour H2O2 exposure (Figure 4B). The WT MEFs showed phosphorylation of the checkpoint kinase (CHK)1 and CHK2 after H2O2 addition. This is consistent with activation of DNA damage response via the serine/threonine–protein kinases ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related (ATR) and ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) (Figure 4C). Mcc−/− MEFs also showed phosphorylation of CHK2, which may indicate additional signaling through other kinases apart from ATM.25 In contrast, the ATR-related signaling pathway, which leads to phosphorylation of CHK1 and the repair of DNA single-strand breaks (SSBs), was markedly suppressed. MCC expression itself was also induced by H2O2 exposure in WT MEFs (Figure 4C).

Surprisingly, we identified an increase in cell-cycle S-phase in Mcc−/− cells treated with H2O2 for 1–6 hours. There was also a sustained S-phase in cells allowed to recover in fresh medium after a 2-hour H2O2 exposure (Figure 4D). This led us to ask whether the increase in S phase after the DNA damage associated with MCC loss was owing to an active or arrested S-phase. We performed Western blot for the key cell-cycle regulator retinoblastoma (RB) and phosphorylated RB as a measure for an active S-phase. The WT MEFs responded to H2O2 with a loss of phosphorylation of RB within 1 hour. By contrast, Mcc−/− MEFs showed sustained, or a slight increase in, phosphorylation of phosphorylated RB after exposure to H2O2 (Figure 4C). Consequently, it appears that there is loss of DNA damage response signaling in Mcc−/− MEFs that may be accompanied by sustained proliferation. To confirm these observations, we analyzed whether MCC loss also leads to a relative increase of S-phase in UV-treated HCT116 cells, which express endogenous MCC (Figure 4D). Both non-targeted (NT) and MCC-knockdown (KD) cells showed a subtle increase in S-phase 24 hours after 10 J/m2 UV treatment. However, the MCC-KD cells showed a trend for a more persistent increase in S-phase fraction at 48 hours that continued until 72 hours after treatment.

Exposure to mutagens during an active S-phase means that there is a greater likelihood that the cells sustain DNA damage, which then may be integrated into the genome through low-fidelity repair mechanisms. To test whether MCC-knockdown cells sustain greater DNA damage in S-phase, we subsequently treated HCT116 cells with SN38, which is a metabolite of the chemotherapy drug irinotecan and causes SSBs. A comet assay showed that MCC-knockdown cells have greater DNA damage compared with NT cells after treatment with SN38 (Figure 4E). DNA damage also was increased in H2O2-treated Mcc−/− MEFs with defective CHK1 signaling. There was a strong increase of gamma histone 2AX (γH2AX), whereas in WT MEFs the increase was minimal (Figure 4C). γH2AX is a marker of double-strand break damage that can develop from SSB through DNA replication when SSB repair is deficient. Thus, a lack of MCC can lead to an increase in both SSB and double-strand break damage.

---

Table 1. Continued

| Gene symbol and name | Direction | Absolute fold changea MCCΔIEC | Absolute fold changea MCCΔIEC |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Ifi204; interferon activated gene 204 | Up | 12.8 | 7.3 |
| Sult1a1; sulphotransferase family 1A, phenol-prefering, member 1 | Down | 12.8 | 14.4 |
| Ms44c; membrane-spanning 4-domains, subfamily A, member 4C | Up | 12.8 | 10.6 |
| Gm10720; predicted gene 10720 | Up | 12.7 | 3.0 (NS) |
| Mnda; myeloid cell nuclear differentiation antigen | Up | 12.7 | 8.2 |
| Cyp2c68; cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily c, polypeptide 68 | Down | 12.6 | 12.4 |
| Ly6c1; lymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus C1 | Up | 12.3 | 11.9 |
| Sequence 49 from patent WO2005040187 | Up | 12.2 | 5.1 |
| Gpr110; G-protein-coupled receptor 110 | Up | 12.2 | 13.0 |
| Ly6g; lymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus G | Up | 12.1 | 9.8 |
| Adm; adrenomedullin | Up | 12.0 | 4.7 |

NOTE. The genes are ranked according to the absolute fold-change of expression (>12-fold) in the lesions vs matching normal tissue in MCCΔIEC mice. The range of significant Q values for these genes is 1.7 × 10−11.−0.0001 (complete data are shown in Supplementary Tables 1, 2, and 3).

*Fold change compared with control-fed mice of the matching genotype, with a significant Q value (<0.05).

Affymetrix probe set ID.
Drug-Induced Lesions Show a Strong Interferon γ-Induced Guanosine Triphosphatase Response to Colon Barrier Destruction

Because the impact of Mcc deficiency on carcinogenesis was shown only in the drug-induced lesions, we proceeded to investigate if sulindac-induced colon inflammation is similar or has some unique features compared with another model of colon inflammation. Search of the literature for a comparable gene profiling study identified a report on DSS colitis that develops in the mouse mid-distal colon. The gene profiles were strikingly similar between the 2 models, although the time scale of drug exposure was different, 6 days of DSS vs 10 weeks of sulindac (Figure 5A). Overall, the 2 studies were correlated positively ($r = 0.55$; $P < 2e-16$) and 1602 differentially expressed probe sets were highly correlated ($r = 0.82$). This is compatible with the concept that both models involve colon barrier destruction.

The top 2 GSEA hallmark pathways activated in our study were interferon γ (IFNγ) response and EMT (Table 2). These indicate strong responses to colon barrier destruction and bacterial invasion of the underlying tissue layers. A prominent feature of the colon lesions in both genotype groups was the induction of at least 28 IFNγ-induced guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) genes, usually thought to be associated with the host response to external pathogens and not previously recognized in the mouse colon. Several clusters of these genes were identified on chromosomes 3, 5, 7, 11, and 18, which also included long noncoding RNAs (Table 3). Sixteen of the up-regulated genes represent the Irg (p47-GTPase) subfamily, of which only the IRGM and IRGC genes have been retained through evolution in human beings. IRGM is a candidate for the Crohn’s disease susceptibility locus. We identified only 11 IFNγ-induced GTPase genes in the previous generation Affymetrix array (Santa Clara, CA) used for the DSS colitis study, but most of them also were up-regulated in that study (Figure 5A). Therefore, up-regulation of IFNγ-induced GTPases is not unique to sulindac-induced colon inflammation.

Two of the genes Irga6 (Iipp1) and Irgb6 (Tgtp2) were selected for validation by qPCR in different parts of the colon. The level of expression was variable but was increased in the sulindac-induced lesions (Figure 5B). To investigate the possibility that the high expression of these genes was related to an undetected pathogen infection in the animals, we analyzed colon specimens from 3 experiments over 4 years and found that Irgb6 and Irga6 genes were consistently up-regulated in sulindac-induced lesions, similar to the chemokine Mip2 (Figure 5C). We then treated CT26 mouse colon cancer cells in vitro with lipopolysaccharide, a component of the bacterial membrane. This treatment also induced the expression of Irgb6, Irga6, and Mip2 (Figure 6A), similar to the induction of proinflammatory signaling shown previously in vitro by sulindac sulfide. Therefore, the strong expression of multiple IFNγ-induced GTPases is a previously unrecognized feature of mouse colitis that is not necessarily related to an external pathogen infection, but can be triggered by gut bacteria invading the submucosa and causing inflammation after sulindac-induced initial injury. Proinflammatory factors also can induce the same response in vitro.

We analyzed the protein expression of 2 of the highly up-regulated genes Irga6 and Irgm3. There was no expression of Immunity-related GTPase A6 (IRGA6) in the normal colon of drug-treated or untreated mice, but it was present in most of the lesions. There was strong cytoplasmic expression in the mesenchymal cells, such as fibroblasts/myofibroblasts and macrophages, in the areas of acute inflammation associated with erosions or ulceration of the mucosa (Figure 6). There was also strong cytoplasmic expression of enterocytes in the colonic epithelium at the edges of these areas of ulceration, but very weak expression in the cancer cells. There was no expression of IRGA6 in the lymphocytes or neutrophils in the inflamed tissue. Immunity-related GTPase M3 (IRGM3) expression also mostly was absent in the normal colon apart from isolated plasma cells and endothelium of blood vessels. Inflammation induced IRGM3 expression in the colonic epithelium and also was seen in infiltrating plasma cells and macrophages (Figure 6).

Similar patterns of IRG protein expression were found in both MccΔIEC and Mcc0/F mice.

Discussion

This study shows that loss of MCC expression promotes inflammation-associated cancer in the mouse colon. Despite a strong cancer-promoting phenotype in the inflamed tissue in both genotypes, only 19% of the genotype controls (Mcc0/F) developed malignant tumors, compared with 59% of the MccΔIEC mice. The background cancer frequency is comparable with WT mice exposed to sulindac (5%-16%) or DSS (17%-22%). The gene profiles in the inflamed tissue showed a much wider spectrum of the signaling pathways than previously recognized, including novel coding and noncoding genes. The data implicate activation of cancer-promoting genes and pathways in producing a premalignant tissue microenvironment, which does not involve β-catenin hyperactivation. We found that β-catenin protein expression was mainly localized in the epithelial cell membrane in the tumors. The lack of nuclear β-catenin hyperactivity was shared by both Mcc0/F and MccΔIEC mice in our study and is similar to the mesenchymal CMS54 subtype in human beings, which may comprise up to 23% of colorectal cancers. There are also other important similarities between sulindac-induced inflammation and...
Table 2. Hallmark Pathways for DNA Repair, G2M Checkpoint, MYC Targets and E2F Targets Are Up-regulated in Mcc:F/F Mouse Lesions but Down-regulated in Mcc:IEC Mouse Lesions (shown in bold)

| GSEA hallmark pathway                      | MCC:F/F lesions vs normal tissue | FDR | Q value | MCC:IEC lesions vs normal tissue | FDR | Q value | MCC:IEC lesions vs MCC:F/F lesions | FDR | Q value |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|---------|---------------------------------|-----|---------|-----------------------------------|-----|---------|
| **Inflammation and cancer**                |                                 |     |         |                                 |     |         |                                   |     |         |
| Interferon γ response                      | 0.80                            | 0   | 0.78    | 0                               | 0.31| 0.004   |                                   |     |         |
| Epithelial mesenchymal transition          | 0.77                            | 0   | 0.78    | 0                               | 0.57| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| Allograft rejection                        | 0.76                            | 0   | 0.75    | 0                               | 0.47| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| Interferon α response                      | 0.80                            | 0   | 0.77    | 0                               | 0.24| 0.29 NS |                                   |     |         |
| Inflammatory response                      | 0.69                            | 0   | 0.74    | 0                               | 0.57| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| IL6 JAK STAT3 signaling                    | 0.76                            | 0   | 0.78    | 0                               | 0.61| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| TNFα signaling via NF-κB                   | 0.68                            | 0   | 0.75    | 0                               | 0.71| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| Angiogenesis                               | 0.68                            | 0   | 0.77    | 0                               | 0.53| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| TGFβ signaling                             | 0.57                            | 0   | 0.62    | 0                               | 0.55| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| Apical junction                            | 0.54                            | 0   | 0.61    | 0                               | 0.42| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| Apical surface                             | 0.59                            | 0   | 0.54    | 6.84E-04                        | 0.32| 0.087 NS|                                   |     |         |
| Apoptosis                                  | 0.56                            | 0   | 0.59    | 0                               | 0.46| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| KRAS signaling up                          | 0.55                            | 0   | 0.61    | 0                               | 0.47| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| IL2 STAT3 signaling                        | 0.55                            | 0   | 0.58    | 0                               | 0.43| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| Hypoxia                                    | 0.51                            | 0   | 0.60    | 0                               | 0.52| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| Complement                                 | 0.62                            | 0   | 0.66    | 0                               | 0.49| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| Coagulation                                | 0.60                            | 0   | 0.66    | 0                               | 0.49| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| PI3K Akt mTOR signaling                    | 0.44                            | 0   | 0.54    | 6.84E-04                        | 0.32| 0.087 NS|                                   |     |         |
| **DNA damage and checkpoints**             |                                 |     |         |                                 |     |         |                                   |     |         |
| G2M checkpoint                             | 0.53                            | 0   | -0.30   | 0.093 NS                        | -0.62| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| DNA repair                                 | 0.18                            | 0.94 NS| -0.39   | 0.006                          | -0.45| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| Mitotic spindle                            | 0.48                            | 0   | 0.36    | 0.013                          | -0.27| 0.043  |                                   |     |         |
| UV response down                           | 0.47                            | 0   | 0.51    | 0                               | 0.37| 4.1E-04 |                                   |     |         |
| **Cell cycling and cell growth**           |                                 |     |         |                                 |     |         |                                   |     |         |
| MYC targets v1                             | 0.66                            | 0   | -0.34   | 0.024                          | -0.70| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| MYC targets v2                             | 0.52                            | 1.8E-04| -0.40   | 0.037                          | -0.72| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| E2F targets                                | 0.55                            | 0   | -0.48   | 0                               | -0.73| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| **Metabolism**                             |                                 |     |         |                                 |     |         |                                   |     |         |
| mTORC1 signaling                           | 0.56                            | 0   | 0.39    | 0.004                          | -0.34| 6.0E-04 |                                   |     |         |
| Unfolded protein response                  | 0.43                            | 9.7E-04| -0.25   | 0.494 NS                       | -0.50| 0       |                                   |     |         |
| Adipogenesis                               | -0.37                           | 0.004| -0.45   | 0                               | -0.38| 4.5E-04 |                                   |     |         |
| Fatty acid metabolism                      | -0.45                           | 0   | -0.52   | 0                               | -0.40| 1.6E-04 |                                   |     |         |
| Peroxisome                                 | -0.47                           | 0   | -0.49   | 0                               | -0.29| 0.075 NS|                                   |     |         |
| Oxidative phosphorylation                  | -0.48                           | 0.001| -0.66   | 0                               | -0.66| 0       |                                   |     |         |

NOTE. Positive ES (maximum, 1) indicates up-regulation of pathway and negative values show down-regulation. Hallmark pathways for G2M checkpoint, DNA repair, MYC targets v1, MYC targets v2 and E2F targets are down-regulated in Mcc:IEC lesions compared to MCC:F/F lesions. ES, enrichment score; FDR, false discovery rate; IL, interleukin; JAK, Janus kinase; mTOR, Mechanistic Target of Rapamycin Kinase; NF-κB, nuclear factor-κB; PI3K, Phosphoinositide 3-kinase; STAT, Signal Transducers and Activators of Transcription; TGF, transforming growth factor.
CMS4 because many of the up-regulated gene expression pathways are the same (EMT, Inflammatory Response, Angiogenesis, Complement and TGF Beta Signaling). In addition, down-regulation of MYC target genes is characteristic for both MccDIEC mouse lesions and CMS4.

Loss of MCC causes a slight decrease in epithelial cell proliferation in the normal colon, which could be related to a small impairment of the DNA damage response to a background level of DNA SSBs occurring in the healthy colon, but has no significant phenotypic effect in the adult mouse or during development. In stressed inflammatory conditions a lack of MCC causes subtle but widespread down-regulation of pathways involved with DNA damage and replication, such as the G2M checkpoint and DNA repair (Table 2). In the same conditions, MCC-expressing mice showed up-regulation of these pathways. This up-regulation is a normal response to DNA damage and replication stress caused by reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, which are generated by the inflammation. Oxidative stress itself also is known to impair MMR protein function, which is thought to cause low-level MSI associated with chronic inflammation. The gene expression changes observed here were
A DNA repair pathway

| Gene     | Q value |
|----------|---------|
| Msh3     | 0.001   |
| Msh1     | 0.004   |
| Msh2     | 0.028   |
| Pms2     | 0.014   |
| Msh3     | 0.012   |
| Parp1    | 0.049   |
| Parp2    | 0.027   |
| Atm      | 0.011   |
| Abl1     | 0.008   |
| Chek2    | 0.020   |
| Nbn      | 0.018   |
| Rad1     | 0.022   |
| Rad51ap1 | 0.049   |
| Rad54b   | 0.011   |
| Rpa2     | 0.018   |
| Mcm8     | 0.027   |
| Mcm9     | 0.001   |
| Pex1p1   | 0.015   |
| G2h3     | 0.010   |
| Pol2     | 0.046   |
| Pol(2j)  | 0.007   |
| Lgi4     | 0.043   |
| Prkdc    | 0.018   |
| Xrcc5    | 0.020   |
| Dl       | 0.015   |
| Fancl    | 0.024   |
| Fanclb   | 0.033   |
| Fancl2   | 0.048   |
| Fancl    | 0.002   |

B Relative mRNA level

| Gene     | Relative mRNA level |
|----------|---------------------|
| Atm      | 0.0000              |
| Msh2     | 0.0005              |
| Msh3     | 0.0010              |
| Msh3     | 0.0015              |
| Atm      | 0.0020              |
| Msh2     | 0.007               |
| Msh3     | 0.010               |
| Atm      | 0.015               |
| Msh2     | 0.020               |
| Msh3     | 0.024               |
| Atm      | 0.027               |
| Msh2     | 0.033               |
| Msh3     | 0.046               |

C Relative protein level

| Gene     | Relative protein level |
|----------|------------------------|
| pRB      | 0.0000                 |
| pCHK1    | 0.0005                 |
| pCHK2    | 0.0010                 |
| pRB      | 0.0015                 |
| pCHK1    | 0.0020                 |
| pCHK2    | 0.007                  |
| pRB      | 0.010                  |
| pCHK1    | 0.015                 |
| pCHK2    | 0.020                  |

D Cell cycle (%)

| Time (hours) | WT KO WT KO WT KO WT KO WT KO WT KO |
|--------------|------------------------------------|
| 0            | 100 0 0 0 100 0 0 0 100 0 0 0 100 0 0 |
| 1            | 90 10 10 10 90 10 10 10 90 10 10 10 90 10 10 |
| 2            | 80 20 20 20 80 20 20 20 80 20 20 20 80 20 20 |
| 4            | 70 30 30 30 70 30 30 30 70 30 30 30 70 30 30 |
| 6            | 60 40 40 40 60 40 40 40 60 40 40 40 60 40 40 |
| 8            | 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 |

E Cell cycle (%)

| Time (hours) | NT KO NT KO NT KO NT KO |
|--------------|-------------------------|
| 0            | 100 0 0 0 100 0 0 0 100 0 0 0 100 0 0 |
| 4            | 90 10 10 10 90 10 10 10 90 10 10 10 90 10 10 |
| 24           | 80 20 20 20 80 20 20 20 80 20 20 20 80 20 20 |
| 48           | 70 30 30 30 70 30 30 30 70 30 30 30 70 30 30 |
| 72           | 60 40 40 40 60 40 40 40 60 40 40 40 60 40 40 |

F Relative mRNA level

| Gene     | Relative mRNA level |
|----------|---------------------|
| γH2AX    | 0.0000              |
| RB       | 0.0005              |
| pRB      | 0.0010              |
| γH2AX    | 0.0015              |
| RB       | 0.0020              |
| pRB      | 0.007               |
| γH2AX    | 0.010               |
| RB       | 0.015               |
| pRB      | 0.020               |

G Relative protein level

| Gene     | Relative protein level |
|----------|------------------------|
| γH2AX    | 0.0000                 |
| RB       | 0.0005                 |
| pRB      | 0.0010                 |
| γH2AX    | 0.0015                 |
| RB       | 0.0020                 |
| pRB      | 0.007                  |
| γH2AX    | 0.010                  |
| RB       | 0.015                  |
| pRB      | 0.020                  |

H Relative mRNA level

| Gene     | Relative mRNA level |
|----------|---------------------|
| γH2AX    | 0.0000              |
| RB       | 0.0005              |
| pRB      | 0.0010              |
| γH2AX    | 0.0015              |
| RB       | 0.0020              |
| pRB      | 0.007               |
| γH2AX    | 0.010               |
| RB       | 0.015               |
| pRB      | 0.020               |

I Relative protein level

| Gene     | Relative protein level |
|----------|------------------------|
| γH2AX    | 0.0000                 |
| RB       | 0.0005                 |
| pRB      | 0.0010                 |
| γH2AX    | 0.0015                 |
| RB       | 0.0020                 |
| pRB      | 0.007                 |
| γH2AX    | 0.010                 |
| RB       | 0.015                 |
| pRB      | 0.020                 |

J Relative mRNA level

| Gene     | Relative mRNA level |
|----------|---------------------|
| γH2AX    | 0.0000              |
| RB       | 0.0005              |
| pRB      | 0.0010              |
| γH2AX    | 0.0015              |
| RB       | 0.0020              |
| pRB      | 0.007               |
| γH2AX    | 0.010               |
| RB       | 0.015               |
| pRB      | 0.020               |

K Relative protein level

| Gene     | Relative protein level |
|----------|------------------------|
| γH2AX    | 0.0000                 |
| RB       | 0.0005                 |
| pRB      | 0.0010                 |
| γH2AX    | 0.0015                 |
| RB       | 0.0020                 |
| pRB      | 0.007                 |
| γH2AX    | 0.010                 |
| RB       | 0.015                 |
| pRB      | 0.020                 |
associated primarily with Mcc deletion in the inflamed colon tissue. It remains to be determined whether MCC silencing contributes to the MSI-low phenotype in chronic IBD. Complete MMR deficiency also can cause a loss of the G2/M checkpoint after N-methyl-N’-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine or tioguanine-induced DNA damage. However, it is unclear whether our observations in Mcc^{AIEC} mice are related to the same cellular process.

Curiously, we also observed down-regulation of E2F target genes by GSEA of Mcc^{AIEC} mice (Table 2). The E2F transcription factor family plays a central role in the sequential expression and repression of genes required for cell-cycle progression, DNA repair, and replication. For example, MMR and many other DNA repair genes are transcribed by E2F. Sustained E2F activity is a key cellular mechanism to allow cells to recover from DNA damage induced by replication stress and is mediated by activation of CHK1. Because we showed that the absence of MCC prevented the phosphorylation of CHK1 in MEF cells exposed to the DNA damaging agent H$_2$O$_2$, it is possible that the Mcc^{AIEC} mice failed to activate an E2F transcriptional cascade in response to excessive DNA damage and replication stress in the inflamed lesions. This suggests a role for MCC in the cell-cycle S-phase checkpoints that have evolved to respond to potential failures in DNA repair and replication. Defective E2F signaling contributes to genomic instability, a recognized hallmark of cancer.

Our study also described a previously unrecognized feature of mouse colitis, coordinated up-regulation of 28 members of the IFN-γ-induced GTPase superfamily that defends mammalian cells against a diverse group of invading pathogens, such as intracellular bacteria and protozoa. Here, this up-regulation was seen in the absence of external pathogen involvement in the inflamed colon and was restricted to immediate proximity of the damaged epithelial barrier that allows translocation of the gut microbiome. This feature has become evident in our study by using the latest generation microarrays that provide a much wider coverage of the genome. In the mouse there are 3 highly complex subfamilies, Irg, Gvin, and Ghp, each with different roles and functions. They have not been linked with carcinogenesis, although other GTPases, such as Ras, Rac, and Rho, are well-known oncogenes and EMT promoters when mutated or up-regulated.

In the human colon, overexpression of a germline variant of the IRGM gene has been associated with susceptibility to Crohn’s disease—associated chronic inflammation. In the mouse, IRG protein activation is more complex with 2 groups of factors, the regulatory GMS (IRGM1–3) and the effecter GSK subfamily (multiple IRGA and IRGB proteins), which have complementary roles. Expression of some of the IRG proteins has been characterized in vitro in the context of intracellular bacterial or parasite infections and activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome. Further studies are required to determine the functional consequences of the discordant expression of the Irg/noncoding RNA clusters in the inflamed tissue and whether there is any similarity to the defect of intracellular bacterial clearance in Crohn’s disease that increases inflammation. It also is possible that the composition of the gut microbiome can affect the level of expression/activation of the IRG proteins and the severity of inflammation. It is well known that the severity and timing of experimental colitis can vary depending on the dosage and duration of drug exposure, mouse strain, housing conditions, and composition of the gut microbiome. Therefore, these genes could be pursued further as potential markers of a pathogenic response to drug toxicity or severity of inflammation in the colon and its interplay with the gut microbiome.

It is likely that the strong inflammatory EMT and IFN-γ response observed in the 10-week lesions was caused by colon barrier destruction. However, sulindac exposure clearly was the trigger, possibly by causing the initial drug toxicity and erosion of the surface mucosa through increased AHR signaling. Sulindac is a ligand of AHR and can cause proinflammatory AHR, nuclear factor-κB (NFκB), and AP-1 Transcription Factor Subunit cJUN (cJUN) signaling in vitro that is reversed when AHR is knocked down.

Figure 4. (See previous page). MCC deficiency causes down-regulation of DNA repair genes in the inflamed colon and increases SSBs and double-strand breaks through compromised DNA damage signaling. (A) Transcriptome analysis of sulindac drug-induced (SUL) lesions and the matching tissue from control-fed mice (CON). A subset of DNA repair genes was down-regulated significantly in the lesions of Mcc^{AIEC} mice. Low and high expressed genes in the heatmap are shown in blue and red, respectively. Genes were assigned to the corresponding DNA repair pathways: MMR, mismatch repair; BER, base excision repair; HR, homologous recombination repair; TC-NER, transcription coupled nucleotide excision repair; NHEJ, nonhomologous end-joining; TLS, translesion synthesis; and FA, Fanconi anemia pathway. Statistical significance was determined using limma via the limmaGP tool in GenePattern. (B) MCC deletion causes down-regulation of Msh2, Msh3, and Atm gene expression in MEFs treated with 400 μmol/L H$_2$O$_2$. The graphs show values from 3 independent experiments with the same MEF cell lines. RNA expression was analyzed by triplicate qPCR and normalized to Gapdh. Statistical significance between WT and knockout (KO) was determined using the 2-tailed Kruskal–Wallis test. Error bars indicate the means with SD. (C) MCC deficiency causes loss of Chk1-S345 phosphorylation and an increase of γH2AX and RB phosphorylation in MEFs treated with 400 μmol/L H$_2$O$_2$. The graphs show quantification of protein expression from 3 independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using the 2-tailed Student’s t-test. Error bars indicate the means with SD. (D) MCC deficiency or KD causes an increase in cell-cycle S-phase in MEFs treated with 200 μmol/L H$_2$O$_2$ and in MEFs allowed to recover in fresh medium after a 2-hour H$_2$O$_2$ treatment (400 μmol/L), as well as in UV-treated HCT116 cells. Statistical significance was determined using the 2-tailed Mann–Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis tests (3–4 independent experiments). Error bars indicate means with SD. (E) SN38 treatment leads to higher levels of DNA damage in MCC-KD HCT116 cells than in NT vector control cells. Comets (N = 35–83) scored from 3 independent experiments were combined and plotted in a dot plot. Two-tailed unpaired t tests were performed (95% CI) to determine the statistical significance between the 2 groups. Error bars indicate the means with SD.
Figure 5. Gene expression profiles are similar between DSS colitis and sulindac-induced colon inflammation. (A) Global comparison of gene expression in sulindac-induced lesions (MccF/F mice) with the DSS colitis data reported by Fang et al in 2011. Log fold-change between the end points and controls were plotted for probe sets that were differentially expressed in both of the studies (blue, N = 1602), this study only (green, N = 702), or Fang et al study only (pink, N = 5637). IFNγ-induced GTPases that were common for both studies are highlighted in black. A total of 10,957 probe sets that were not differentially expressed in either study were not plotted. (B) Validation of up-regulated Irga6 and Irgb6 expression in the inflammatory lesions (3–6 mice per group). RNA expression was analyzed by triplicate qPCR and normalized to Gapdh. A 2-tailed Mann–Whitney test was used to determine statistical significance. Error bars indicate the means with SD. (C) Expression of Irga6, Irgb6, and the chemokine Mip2 (positive control of inflammation) in the lesions from 3 independent mouse experiments (3 mice/experiment; 3 technical replicates/lesion also shown). Lesions and proximal control tissue were analyzed from the same mice. P values are shown only for the differences in the P2 region. Gene expression was analyzed by qPCR, and normalized to Gapdh expression. A 2-tailed Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test was used to determine statistical significance. Error bars indicate the means with SD. Exp, Experiment; prox, proximal colon adjacent to lesions.
showed previously that small lesions become visible and show up-regulation of NFκB target genes after 1 week of sulindac exposure.16,17 The tissue toxicity caused by nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) is well documented in the human gastrointestinal tract.56 A germ-line variant of CYP2C9 causes low activity of the enzyme and susceptibility to NSAID bleeding.57 We observed a coordinated decrease in the expression of the drug response gene cluster Cyp2c67, Cyp2c68, Cyp2c40, and Cyp2c69, which are orthologues of human CYP2C9. Down-regulation of these genes also was found in DSS colitis34 and thus may be more widely associated with drug-induced colon barrier destruction rather than being a sulindac-specific alteration.

This study has shown widespread similarities in the gene expression profiles of sulindac and DSS-induced colitis. Furthermore, similar to the azoxymethane/DSS model of colon cancer, male mice are more susceptible than female mice to cancer associated with sulindac-induced inflammation.58 Although DSS colitis typically is diffuse and more
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severe in the lower colon, this varies according to the molecular weight of DSS. A lower molecular weight (5 kilodaltons) of DSS is absorbed in the proximal colon/cecum and causes patchy lesions. Therefore, the localization of sulindac-induced lesions in the mucosal folds of the proximal colon may be a combination of mechanical and biological factors.

Taken together, this study has provided evidence for the in vivo functional significance of MCC and has shown that the MCC knockout defect can drive carcinogenesis in the absence of β-catenin hyperactivity. It also has shown novel insights into the complexity of the inflammatory microenvironment that predisposes to malignancy in colorectal cancer.

Materials and Methods

Generation of New Mouse Lines

The Mcc-loxP mouse line (Mcc\(^{FP}\)) was generated by Ozgene (Bentley, WA, Australia) (Figure 1A) and designated B6.Cg-Mcc-ctm1Majia>/(Ausb. The 5' and 3' homology arms for the Mcc targeting vector were generated by PCR (Figure 1A). The first shared exon of the 2 main transcripts Mcc-201 (exon 4) and Mcc-202 (exon 2) was replaced by a partial complementary DNA (cDNA) modified from RIKEN clone E330037C1 (RIKEN, Saitama, Japan). This contained Mcc-201 exons 4–19, which correspond to Mcc-202 exons 2–17. The PGK-Neo selection, eGFP reporter, and STOP cassettes were inserted downstream of the cDNA. The targeting construct was electroporated into a C57BL/6 embryonic stem (ES) cell line, Bruce4. Homologous recombinant ES cell clones were identified by Southern hybridization and injected into blastocysts. Male chimeric mice were obtained and crossed to C57BL/6 females to establish heterozygous germline offspring on a C57BL/6 background. The germline mice were crossed to a ubiquitously expressed by the MccF/F background. The germline mice were crossed to a ubiquitously expressed by the MccF/F background. The germline mice were crossed to a ubiquitously expressed by the MccF/F background.

In Vivo Proliferation Assay

Mcc\(^{FA}\) and wild-type siblings (Mcc-WT) were obtained from heterozygous crossings. Twenty-week-old mice were injected intraperitoneally with 100 mg/kg BrdU (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and killed 2 hours after injection. The ileum and colon were harvested and fixed in 10% formalin for immunohistochemistry. Epitope retrieval was performed in a pressure cooker at 125°C with DAKO (Glostrup, Denmark) buffer S2367, pH 9.0 for 2 minutes. The primary antibody for BrdU (1:50, DAKO) was incubated for 60 minutes. The number of BrdU-positive cells in each crypt were counted and divided by the total number of cells in each crypt to obtain the percentage of BrdU positivity.

Figure 6. (See Inflammation page) Inflammation induces strong expression of Irg antimicrobial host defense genes in the colonic epithelium. (A) Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) treatment in vitro up-regulates the expression of Irga6, Irgb6, and the chemokine Mip2 in mouse CT26 cells. The cells were treated with 5–50 μg/mL LPS and harvested for RNA extraction and qPCR. mRNA levels were normalized to Gapdh. The graphs show a representative experiment with 3 replicates. Friedman’s analysis of variance with a post hoc test for significant means was used to determine statistical significance. Error bars indicate the means with SD. (B–E) Immunohistochemical images of Irga6 staining in the colon of a drug-treated Mcc\(^{FP}\) mouse. (B) Expression in the cytoplasm of isolated plasma cells and endothelium of blood vessels, but no expression in the normal colon. (C) Strong expression in the stromal and epithelial cells in an area of inflammation and erosion of the epithelial layer. (D) Strong expression in the stromal cells in an area of ulceration but weak focal expression in adenocarcinoma (arrows). (E) Strong expression of enterocytes in the colon epithelium (white arrow) next to inflammation. No Irga6 expression in the epithelial cells away from inflammation (purple arrow) or in the neutrophils and lymphocytes (black arrow). (F and G) Immunohistochemical images of Irga6 staining in the colon of a drug-treated Mcc\(^{FP}\) mouse. (F) Expression in the cytoplasm of isolated plasma cells and endothelium of blood vessels but not in the healthy colonic epithelium. (G) Inflammation induced Irga6 expression in the colonic epithelium. Expression also was seen in infiltrating plasma cells and macrophages. All images were taken with a Carl Zeiss Axio A2 Digital microscope with EC Plan-NEOFLUAR objectives and Carl Zeiss Axiocam HRC camera (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Original magnification, (E–G) ×400, (B–D) ×100.
Twenty crypts were counted for each individual mouse and colon region.

**Mouse Experimentation and Colon Tissue Analysis**

Sulindac (320 ppm; Sigma-Aldrich) was mixed with standard chow by Specialty Feeds (Glen Forrest, Western Australia). Six-week-old mice were given feed containing sulindac or standard chow and killed after 20 weeks of sulindac exposure. The number and size of visible lesions in the proximal colon were determined under a dissecting microscope. The colon lesions underwent a biopsy examination (2–13/mouse) together with control specimens (20–22 mice per group, both males and females). After formalin fixation, processing, and sectioning using routine diagnostic laboratory methods, at least 2 H&E-stained sections per animal were analyzed by a specialist anatomical pathologist (J.E.D.) in a blinded fashion. For each biopsy specimen the features assessed included the following: type of inflammation (acute and/or chronic) and depth and severity of inflammation (absent, mild, moderate, or severe). Mucosal ulceration was regarded as severe acute inflammation and was defined as loss of the colonic mucosa associated with an acute inflammatory reaction extending at least through the muscularis mucosae. Mucosal erosion was regarded as moderate acute inflammation and was defined as superficial ulceration that involved only the surface epithelium and superficial underlying lamina propria. Epithelial dysplasia was graded as negative, indefinite for low-grade dysplasia, low-grade, or high-grade dysplasia according to the Riddell classification. Only biopsy specimens that showed neoplastic glands within a desmoplastic stroma extending beyond the muscularis mucosae were regarded as invasive cancer (invasive adenocarcinoma).

For E-cadherin and β-catenin protein expression, heat-induced epitope retrieval of 3-μm tissue sections was performed for 25 seconds in a pressure cooker (total time, 30 min) using a citrate buffer at pH 6.0 (S1699; DAKO). The primary antibody was biotinylated with anti-mouse Fab fragment using the DAKO Animal Research Kit. The primary antibody for E-cadherin (1:500, 610181; BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) was incubated for 90 minutes, and for β-catenin (1:500, 610153; BD Biosciences) for 60 minutes. For AHR expression, heat retrieval of 3-μm tissue sections was performed for 48 minutes in CC1 buffer, with blocking for 8 minutes. Sections were stained with 1:50 dilution AHR antibody (ab84833; Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Ventana’s OptiView 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetra hydrochloride Immunohistochemistry Detection Kit was used to amplify and visualize the signals (Ventana, Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Omitting the primary antibody was the negative control and mouse prostate was the positive control. The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin.

For IRGA6 and IRGM3, heat-induced epitope retrieval of 3-μm tissue sections was performed for 10 minutes at 95°C using Tris-EDTA buffer at pH 9.0. Detection of the primary antibodies, the anti-IRGA6 serum (1:8000), and anti-IRGM3 (1:100, sc-136317; Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX), was achieved with the ImmPRESS system (MP-7401; Vector, Burlingame, CA). The horseradish-peroxidase color reaction was performed with the peroxidase substrate kit HistoGreen (E109; Linaris, WertheimBettingen, Germany). The nuclei were counterstained with Nuclear Fast Red.

**Mouse Transcriptome and Pathway Analysis**

A subset of mice (5–8 per group, all males) was killed after 10 weeks of sulindac exposure and the colon mucosa was harvested by light scraping and snap-frozen. RNA was extracted (74104, RNeasy mini kit; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Gene expression profiling was conducted using Mouse Transcriptome Array 1.0 (Affymetrix) at the Ramaciotti Centre for Genomics (Sydney, Australia). Quality control was performed using the Affymetrix Expression Console. Normalization and probe-set summarization was performed using the robust multichip average method of the Affymetrix Power Tools apt-probe set-summarize software (version 1.16.1) (using the -a rma option) resulting in 72,688 transcript clusters. Mouse gene symbols were assigned to each transcript cluster using Affymetrix NetAffx annotations (version na34.1 mm10). Differential expression between experimental groups was assessed using limma via the limma-GEO tool in GenePattern (Broad Institute, San Diego, CA). Mouse gene symbols were mapped to human orthologs using version 78 of the Ensembl database (EMBL-EBI, Hinxton, UK). The transcript profiling data have been deposited at NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE84391–GEO) and is freely available at [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?token=kzwlcasldsr&acc=GSE84391](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?token=kzwlcasldsr&acc=GSE84391).

Functionally associated gene sets were identified using GSEA on a ranked list of the limma moderated t statistics, from each pair-wise comparison, against the 50 Hallmark gene sets from v5.0 of the MSigDB (Broad Institute). The most significantly down-regulated genes (Q < 0.05) in recognized DNA repair pathways were further identified with network analyses of the MccIEC data (STRING, Cytoscape, KEGG) and pathway annotations in GeneCards.

**Comparison of Sulindac-Induced Colon Inflammation and the DSS Colitis Model**

Publicly available raw gene expression data from a previous DSS colitis experiment were downloaded from GEO (accession GSE22307). Robust Multi-array Average (RMA) values were generated and differential expression between days 0 and 6 of DSS treatment was determined using limma and compared with the data from this study (MccIEC). A probe set was considered differentially expressed in either study if Q < 0.05. The official gene symbol was used to map between array platforms, providing a total of 18,898 probe sets. Log fold-change between the end points and controls were plotted for probe sets that were differentially expressed in 1 or both of the studies. Correlations were calculated using the Pearson correlation coefficient (r).

**qPCR Analysis**

cDNA was prepared using the Quantitekt Reverse Transcription Kit (205311; Qiagen). Gene expression changes in
the mouse colon mucosa were validated with qPCR from 3–6 mice/group. In vitro validation of Irg gene expression was conducted using mouse colon cancer cells CT26.WT (CRL-2638; ATCC, Manassas, VA), which were treated with 5–50 μg/mL lipopolysaccharide (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA) for 2–6 hours. Expression of DNA damage response genes was analyzed in MEFs, treated with 400 μmol/L H₂O₂ (AJA260; ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) for 1–6 hours. The qPCR was always conducted in triplicate for each specimen.

The following TaqMan assays (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) were used: Mm01262684_m1 (Mcc), Mm01168399_m1 (Mmp10), Mm00439491_m1 (Mmp13), Mm00443610_m1 (Axin2), Mm00438889_m1 (Lgr5), and Mm99999915_g1 (Gapdh).

Universal Probe Library (UPL; Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) assay primers and probes were as follows: Tgtp2_forward (F) (cctgagacggtgccctctt), Tgtp2_reverse (R) (gagatgatccttctctctct), UPL probe 78; lip1_3_F (tttctttctctctctctctc), lip1_3_R (gtctccacgtgttctct), UPL probe 48; Atm_F (ccttggctgtgttttttttttt), Atm_R (ctctggctgtgttctct), UPL probe 106; R1_F (gacgaagc), UPL probe 100; and Mip2_left (aaacttcaccagaagatagt), Mip2_right (ctttgcgttttcgattgac), UPL probe 26.

**Cell Cycle and Protein Analysis**

MCC was stably knocked down in HCT116 (CCL-247; ATCC) colon cancer cells using the Mission short hairpin RNA Human Gene Family Set (SHCLNG-NM_002387; Sigma-Aldrich) and a lentiviral transduction method (MCC-KD cells). MCC-KD and NT cells were dosed with 10 nmol/L to 1 μmol/L SN38 (Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX) for 2 hours. High-resolution images of the comets formed were generated using the Leica DM 6000 Power Mosaic microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetlar, Germany). Tail length was quantified using the Open-Comet v1.3 ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) plug-in from comets generated from 3 independent experiments.

**Statistical Analysis**

Differences in messenger RNA/protein expression, epithelial proliferation, number/size of lesions, cell-cycle phases, and comet tail lengths were compared using t tests, analysis of variance or the corresponding nonparametric tests, and all frequencies with the Fisher exact test (GraphPad Prism, San Diego, CA). P < .05 was considered significant. In bioinformatics analyses the significance was corrected for multiple comparisons and Q < 0.05 was considered significant.

All authors had access to the study data and reviewed and approved the final manuscript.
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