Toward a Gender-Sensitive Approach of Psychiatric Rehabilitation in Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD): A Systematic Review of Women Needs in the Domains of Romantic Relationships and Reproductive Health
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Later age of diagnosis, better expressive behaviors, increased use of camouflage strategies but also increased psychiatric symptoms, more unmet needs, and a general lower quality of life are characteristics often associated with female gender in autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Psychiatric rehabilitation has shown small to moderate effectiveness in improving patients’ outcomes in ASD. Few gender differences have been found in the response to psychiatric rehabilitation. This might be related to the predominance of males in research samples, but also to the lack of programs directly addressing women’s unmet needs. The objectives of the present paper were: (i) to review the needs for care of autistic women in romantic relationships and reproductive health; (ii) to review the existing psychosocial treatments in these domains; and (iii) to evaluate the strengths and limitations of the current body of evidence to guide future research.

A systematic electronic database search (PubMed and PsycINFO), following PRISMA guidelines, was conducted on autistic women’s needs for care relating to psychiatric rehabilitation in romantic relationships and reproductive health. Out of 27 articles, 22 reported on romantic relationships and 16 used a quantitative design. Most studies were cross-sectional (n = 21) and conducted in North America or Europe. Eight studies reported on interventions addressing romantic relationships; no published study reported on interventions on reproductive health or parenting. Most interventions did not include gender-sensitive content (i.e., gender variance and gender-related social norms, roles, and expectations). Autistic women and autistic gender-diverse individuals may face unique challenges in the domains of romantic relationships and reproductive health (high levels of stigma, high risk of sexual abuse, increased psychiatric symptoms, and more
unmet needs). We discussed the potential implications for improving women’s access to psychiatric and psychosocial treatment, for designing gender-sensitive recovery-oriented interventions, and for future research.
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INTRODUCTION

Increasing research interest on potential sex/gender differences in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) has led to the description of a “female phenotype” of ASD characterized by similarities in core ASD symptoms (i.e., lifelong social impairment, communication deficits, and repetitive behavior), better expressive behaviors (e.g., sharing interests or more vivid gestures), increased use of camouflage strategies, later age of diagnosis, but also higher increased depression and anxiety, and a general lower quality of life (1). In the present study, we used the term sex to refer to biological characteristics and the term gender to refer to sociocultural norms, roles, expressions, and expectations (2). Given the high frequency of gender variance (i.e., gender identity or gender expression that does not conform to masculine or feminine gender norms) (3) in ASD, we used self-reported gender identity (i.e.,cisgender women, but also transgender or non-binary women) to define female gender in this study (4).

Psychiatric rehabilitation is a person-centered approach that aims to help people with serious mental illness (SMI) or ASD to “be successful and satisfied in the living, working, learning, and social environments of their choice” (5). Therapeutic tools are selected based on people's strengths, weaknesses, needs for care, and personal life goals as part of a customized recovery-oriented action plan (6, 7). The action plan can include strength- based case management, improvements in physical and mental health, peer support interventions, joint crisis plans, cognitive remediation (CR), cognitive behavior therapy (CBT), social skills training (SST), self-stigma reduction, family support, and supported housing and supported employment (SE) (6, 7). Psychiatric rehabilitation interventions have shown small to moderate effectiveness in improving patients’ outcomes in adults with ASD (8–11).

Although no gender differences were found in social participation and the employment rates, women were less likely than men to have long-standing friendships and to maintain post-secondary/employment over time (12–14). To date, three studies have looked for potential gender differences in the response to psychosocial treatment in adults with ASD (15, 16). McVey et al. (15) and Visser et al. (17) found no gender differences in treatment outcomes for SST, whereas Sung et al. (16) reported that men benefited more from vocational rehabilitation.

The predominance of males in research samples may induce gender-related biases affecting the development of psychosocial interventions (18). Two recent systematic reviews have reported a large predominance of males in studies on cognitive remediation or social skills training [up to 100% in some studies (8, 19)]. Similar proportions have been reported in studies targeting social skills in dating contexts (20, 21). Autistic women report more

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A stepwise systematic literature review (PRISMA guidelines) (28) was conducted by searching PubMed, MEDLINE, and PsycINFO for published peer-reviewed papers using the following keywords: “sex∗” OR “romantic relationship” OR “intimate relationship” OR “parent∗” OR “reproductive health” OR “mother∗” OR “pregn∗” AND “women” OR “gender diverse” OR “transgender” OR “non-binary” AND “autism” NOT “valproate” NOT “22q11.” No time restriction was set. Only published papers in English or French were included in the review. The reference list of seven literature reviews on sexuality and autism were screened for additional relevant articles. To be included in this review, the articles had to meet all of the following criteria: (a) have a main focus on women's outcomes; (b) concern a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder; (c) report on romantic relationships or parenting; and (d) use a quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods design. The first author applied the eligibility criteria and screened the records to select the included studies. The last author reviewed each decision. Disputed items were solved through discussion and by reading the paper in detail to reach a final decision. For each study, we extracted the following information: general information (author, year of publication, country, design, population considered, setting, total number of participants, and mean age or age range), outcome measure (scale), the main findings, and the variables relating to quality assessment. Quality assessment was performed using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) (29). This tool comprises five sets of criteria for: (a) qualitative, (b) randomized controlled trials, (c) non-randomized trials, (d) descriptive studies, and (e) mixed-methods studies. For the mixed-methods studies, raters assess the qualitative set, the quantitative set, and the mixed-methods set. An overall quality score (low, moderate, high, or very high)
was determined based on adequacy in the corresponding set of criteria.

RESULTS

Our search on January 13, 2021 found 672 articles on PubMed and 187 on PsycINFO. After manually removing all duplicates, there were 450 remaining references. Based on their titles and abstracts, 404 papers were excluded for lack of relevance. Most of these articles focused on other populations (e.g., parents of children or adolescents with autism, healthcare professionals, other neurodevelopmental conditions, and autistic traits in the general public) or other topics (e.g., biological sex differences, physical health, and vocational function). Our search strategy yielded 46 full-text articles. After conducting a full-text analysis of all these papers and excluding those that did not meet the inclusion criteria, we ended up with 27 relevant papers (Figure 1).

The 27 papers included were characterized by the heterogeneity of their samples, methods, and reported outcomes. Most papers reported on romantic relationships \( (n = 22, 81.4\%) \) and used a quantitative design \( (n = 16, 59.3\%) \). Ten studies were qualitative and one was mixed methods. Most studies used cross-sectional designs \( (n = 21, 77.8\%) \). Six studies reported on interventions \( (22.2\%) \). Two studies were a randomized controlled trials \( \text{(RCTs)} \) and four studies used quasi-experimental or non-controlled designs. Eleven studies were conducted in North America \( (40.8\%) \), nine in Europe \( (33.3\%) \), and seven in Australia \( (25.9\%) \). Most studies included adult participants \( (n = 22, 81.5\%) \). One study included only adolescents \( (3.7\%) \) and two studies had mixed samples \( (7.4\%) \). Three studies \( (11.1\%) \) included both parents and ASD participants. Of the studies using a quantitative or a mixed-methods design, most reported on sexuality-related outcomes (e.g., sexual desire, sexual awareness, sexual well-being, and sexual assertiveness; \( n = 10, 62.5\%) \). One study reported on social skills in the context of dating \( (21\%) \) and one on general social skills \( (30\%) \). Two quantitative studies used self-reported assessments for parenting-related outcomes \( (27, 31\%) \). The quality ratings of the included studies obtained using MMAT ranged from low to moderate. The results are shown in Table 1.

Romantic/Intimate Relationships

Loneliness, negative self-perceptions, self-stigma, sensory sensitivity, and impaired social communication related to dating situations have been identified as barriers to romantic relationships in ASD \( (33\%) \). Women with ASD may face unique challenges in their adolescence and during the transition to adulthood, such as decrypting dating situations (e.g., judging subtle social cues in dating situations such as flirting, aggression, or coercion) and adopting assertive behaviors in intimate relationships \( (34\%) \). Compared with non-autistic women, autistic women have less sexual interest, but had more experiences and received more unwanted sexual advances \( (24, 35, 36\%) \). They report lower sexual well-being and have an increased risk of sexual abuse \( (24, 34, 37\%) \). Engagement in sexual behaviors to reduce social exclusion and alcohol use to reduce social anxiety could increase the risk of victimization in women with ASD \( (24, 32, 34\%) \).

This risk might be higher for non-binary or transgender autistic women who may also face unique challenges in romantic relationships (e.g., relating to the intersection of ASD and the gender identity stigma) \( (25, 33, 38\%) \). Bargiela et al. \( (34\%) \) and Kanfiszer et al. \( (32\%) \) reported that, after being diagnosed with ASD, autistic women needed to reframe past negative experiences and to discuss gender-related social roles and expectations. Compared with typically developing women and autistic men, autistic women expressed less desire to live with a partner \( (32, 39, 40\%) \). Those living with a typically developing partner reported social and communications challenges, but also to have resources to deal with them \( (41\%) \). Marital satisfaction was higher for autistic women living with an autistic partner \( (40\%) \). Support from healthcare and social professionals was often described as inadequate \( (41\%) \). Table 2 presents the characteristics of the included studies on romantic relationships.

Four interventions addressed romantic relationships in women with ASD. One was a general SST program including social skills in dating (Program for the Education and Enrichment of Relationship Skills, PEERS) \( (15\%) \) and the other a social skills in dating program (Ready for Love) \( (21\%) \). Two programs were psychosexual trainings for autistic adolescents [socio-sexual training \( (43\%) \) and Tackling Teenage \( (17\%) \)]. Participants in these interventions were mostly male \( (>75\%) \). McVey et al. \( (15, 17, 21\%) \) and Visser et al. \( (17\%) \) reported no gender differences in treatment effects for PEERS and Tackling Teenage. One SST intervention was designed for autistic female adolescents (the Girls Night Out model) \( (30\%) \), but did not address dating or romantic relationships. Two qualitative studies assessed stakeholders' needs to design new interventions \( (38, 44\%) \). Common factors to these interventions were the emphasis put on: (i) group-based interventions to receive support from other participants; (ii) peer support; (iii) discussions about gender variance and gender-related social roles and expectations; (iv) improving social cognition and social skills; and v) interventions supporting the relatives \( (38, 43, 44\%) \). Descriptions of the interventions are shown on Table 3.

Reproductive Health/Parenting

To date, a few qualitative studies and two quantitative studies have investigated the experiences of motherhood in ASD. Kanfiszer et al. \( (32\%) \) reported that some autistic women identified motherhood as a potential trigger of stress more than a rewarding experience and reported to have “no maternal instinct.” In a sample comparing the experiences of 355 mothers with ASD with those of 132 non-autistic mothers, Pohl et al. \( (27\%) \) have found that autistic mothers had an increased frequency of perinatal depression. Although most autistic mothers described parenting as a rewarding experience, they were more prone than non-autistic mothers to find it also challenging and isolating \( (27\%) \). Autistic mothers were more likely to report parenting difficulties (e.g., with household responsibilities or with the multitasking demands of parenting) and to be dissatisfied with service provision during perinatal care (e.g., feeling judged in their parenting
abilities) (27, 46, 47). They described stressful and negative experiences in communicating with healthcare professionals and social workers about their child (27, 46, 47). Some women reported to have concealed their diagnosis to providers to avoid stigmatizing behaviors and child protective services implications (46, 47). Other factors associated with negative experiences in perinatal care included uncertain and stressful environment and sensory sensitivity (46–48). Sundelin et al. (31) have reported that, compared to children of non-autistic mothers, those of autistic mothers had poorer obstetrical and neonatal outcomes (e.g., pre-term birth, preeclampsia, and cesarean delivery). Table 4 shows the characteristics of the included studies on parenting.

According to Pohl et al. (27), autistic mothers were dissatisfied of the support they received during perinatal care and felt that they should be offered extra support because of their autism. Gardner et al. (46), Rogers et al. (47), and Pohl et al. (27) reported a need for clear and accurate information about normal pregnancy, potential complications, delivery, and post-partum care. To our knowledge, no published studies described interventions to improve reproductive health outcomes and to support parenting in autistic mothers. In the FondaMental Advanced Center of Expertise for ASD (FACE-ASD) of Grenoble, consultation with a trained midwife has been added to the diagnosis and functional assessment. The objectives were: (i) to assess the desire to become a parent; (ii) to answer questions relating to ASD, pregnancy, and perinatal health outcomes (e.g., concerns about passing on ASD to their child, information about the stay in the maternity ward, cesarean section, circadian rhythms, and lactation); (iii) to propose strengths-based case management and a shared recovery-oriented action plan to improve well-being and pregnancy-related outcomes; (iv) to provide individual and/or family psychoeducation; and (v) to improve the communication with perinatal health professionals and social workers. Actions addressing mothers and their partners’ needs or aiming to improve knowledge of perinatal health professionals about ASD and to reduce ASD-related stigma could be provided during the perinatal period (i.e., from preconception to 1 year after childbirth). A group-based program on reproductive health and parenting issues for women with ASD and their partners was also developed. It includes three 2-h sessions during which participants and facilitators discuss issues related to reproductive health and parenting (e.g., concerns about heredity or communication with healthcare professionals). A detailed description of these actions is presented in Table 5.
TABLE 1 | Research characteristics of the 27 studies included in the review.

| Studies about reproductive health/parenting (N = 6) | Studies about romantic relationships and sexuality (N = 22) | Total (N = 27*) |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Type of study**                                  |                                                          |                 |
| Quantitative study                                 | 2 (33.3%)                                                | 14 (63.6%)      | 16 (59.3%)     |
| Qualitative study/case study                       | 4 (66.7%)                                                | 7 (31.8%)       | 10 (37.0%)     |
| Mixed method                                       | 0 (0%)                                                   | 1 (4.6%)        | 1 (3.7%)       |
| **Design**                                         |                                                          |                 |
| Cross-sectional                                    | 6 (100%)                                                 | 16 (72.7%)      | 21 (77.8%)     |
| Longitudinal                                       | 0 (0%)                                                   | 6 (27.3%)       | 6 (22.2%)      |
| **Interventions**                                  |                                                          |                 |
| RCT                                               | 0 (0%)                                                   | 6 (27.3%)       | 6 (22.2%)      |
| Quasi-experimental                                 | 0 (0%)                                                   | 2 (9.0%)        | 2 (7.4%)       |
| Uncontrolled                                       | 0 (0%)                                                   | 1 (4.6%)        | 1 (3.7%)       |
| **Geographical area**                              |                                                          |                 |
| North America                                      | 2 (33.3%)                                                | 9 (40.9%)       | 11 (40.8%)     |
| Europa                                            | 3 (50%)                                                  | 7 (31.8%)       | 9 (33.3%)      |
| Australia                                         | 1 (16.7%)                                                | 6 (27.3%)       | 7 (25.9%)      |
| **Proportion of women**                            |                                                          |                 |
| 100%                                              | 6 (100%)                                                 | 6 (27.3%)       | 11 (40.8%)     |
| >50%                                              | 0 (0%)                                                   | 6 (27.3%)       | 6 (22.2%)      |
| <50%                                              | 0 (0%)                                                   | 7 (31.8%)       | 7 (25.9%)      |
| NR                                                | 0 (0%)                                                   | 3 (13.6%)       | 3 (11.1%)      |
| **Age of participants**                            |                                                          |                 |
| <18                                               | 0 (0%)                                                   | 1 (4.6%)        | 1 (3.7%)       |
| >18                                               | 5 (83.3%)                                                | 18 (81.8%)      | 22 (81.5%)     |
| Studies included people less 18                    | 0 (0%)                                                   | 2 (9.0%)        | 2 (7.4%)       |
| NR                                                | 1 (16.7%)                                                | 1 (4.6%)        | 2 (7.4%)       |
| **Quality of the studies**                         |                                                          |                 |
| *                                                 | 3 (50%)                                                  | 3 (13.6%)       | 6 (22.2%)      |
| **                                                | 2 (33.3%)                                                | 11 (50%)        | 12 (44.5%)     |
| ***                                               | 1 (16.7%)                                                | 8 (36.4%)       | 9 (33.3%)      |
| ****                                              | 0 (0%)                                                   | 0 (0%)          | 0 (0%)         |

*The total is not equal to the sum of the numbers in each category because one article (32) was included in both categories (romantic relationships/reproductive health). * means low quality, ** means moderate quality, *** means high quality, **** means very high quality.

DISCUSSION

Main Findings

Altogether, the results of this systematic review may be summarized as follows: (i) women report several unmet needs in the domains of romantic relationships and reproductive health; (ii) psychosocial treatment addressing skills related to romantic relationships remains underdeveloped and is often inadequate to women’s needs for care; (iii) research on reproductive health and parenting in autistic mothers is almost nonexistent, and there is a lack of interventions supporting future mothers or mothers with ASD; and (iv) stigma reduction could improve women’s outcomes in romantic relationships and reproductive health.

Interpretation of the Results

Access to Adequate Healthcare

While there are few gender differences in the pattern of service utilization, women report more unmet needs and more negative experiences with healthcare providers and social workers (22, 27). Compared with autistic men and typically developing women, women with ASD report more often comorbid physical health conditions (e.g., polycystic ovary syndrome, 7.8 vs. 3.5% in the general population) (49) and less utilization of women’s health services (OR = 0.59, 95% CI = 0.48–0.72) (50). The barriers to accessing adequate healthcare include providers’ lack of knowledge and dedicated training regarding autistic women’ needs for care and the lack of specific services for adults with ASD (22, 49, 50). Although it has been proposed that autistic women’s lower access to women’s health services could be explained by less frequent sexual experiences (50), several studies have reported the opposite (23, 24, 34). A higher motivation to engage in social relationships, a higher desire to fit in social contexts and to meet gender-related social expectations, preference for opposite-sex friendships, and increased use of camouflage strategies are characteristics often associated with female gender (32, 34, 51, 52).
TABLE 2 | Included studies reporting on romantic relationships/sexuality in autistic women.

| References          | Country          | Design           | Intervention | Sample participant details                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Scale                                                                 | Outcomes/findings                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Quality |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Quantitative studies |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
| Bejerot and         | Sweden           | Cross-sectional  | No            | ASD group: 50 adults with intelligence within the normal range (26 males and 24 females) Control group: 53 typically developing controls (28 males and 25 females) Age: 20–47                                             | - Swedish modification of the Bem Sex Role Inventory                  | - Higher gender variance and proportion of people reporting to have no experience of romantic relationships in the ASD group - Lower libido in the ASD group                                                | **      |
| Eriksson (35)       |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Questions on sexuality and gender designed for the purpose of the study |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
| Busch (36)          | USA              | Cross-sectional  | No            | N = 248 women with ASD (professional diagnosis or self-identified) N = 179 women without ASD Mean age: ASD group: 23.2 (18–30) Control group: 21.8 (18–30)                               | - Sexual History Questionnaire (SHQ)                                  | - Participants with ASD reported less sexual desire, fewer sexual behaviors, and less sexual awareness than those without ASD - Comparable sexual satisfaction in the ASD and control group                                  | ***     |
| Byers et al. (37)   | Canada and USA   | Cross-sectional  | No            | N = 141 (56 men and 85 women) with HFA/AS (professional diagnosis) 60% in romantic relationship Mean age 39.6 (21–73)                                                                                                       | - Sexual Desires Inventory (SDI)                                     | - Less ASD symptoms are associated with greater sexual well-being for autistic men                                                                                                                                  | **      |
| Cunningham et al. (21) | USA           | Quasi-experimental | Yes, Six-2h  | AD group N = 8 adolescent with ASD (2 females and 6 males) + one parent for each adolescent (six mothers, two fathers) Mean age of adolescents: 13.4 (12–16)                                                                  | - Global Measure of Sexual Satisfaction (GMSEX)                       | - Higher number of sexuality-related topics discussed between parents and adolescents at post-treatment - No difference in the comfort felt when discussing these topics at post-treatment                                      | *       |
|                     |                  |                  | sessions over the course of 3 months. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Self-Esteem Subscale of the Sexuality Scale                         |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
|                     |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Hurlbert Index of Sexual Assertiveness                             |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
|                     |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Sexual Arousalbility and Sexual Anxiety Inventory                  |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
|                     |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Sexual Desire Inventory                                            |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
|                     |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Sexual Activity Questionnaire                                      |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
|                     |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Sexual Functioning Questionnaire                                  |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
|                     |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Sexual Knowledge Questionnaire                                     |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
|                     |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Sexual Cognitions Checklist (SCC)                                  |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
| Corona et al. (42)  | USA              | Uncontrolled     | Yes. Six-2h  | N = 8 adolescent with ASD (2 females and 6 males) + one parent for each adolescent (six mothers, two fathers) Mean age of adolescents: 13.4 (12–16)                                                                  | - Sexual Behavior Scale (SBS)                                       | - Higher number of sexuality-related topics discussed between parents and adolescents at post-treatment - No difference in the comfort felt when discussing these topics at post-treatment                                      | *       |
|                     |                  |                  | sessions over the course of 3 months. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Adolescent Knowledge Questionnaire                                |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
|                     |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Parent Questionnaire                                               |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
|                     |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - Parent Satisfaction Questionnaire                                  |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
| Cunningham et al. (21) | USA           | Quasi-experimental | Relationship  | RE-AD group N = 19 (16 males and 3 females) RE group N = 19 (14 males and 5 females) Age>18 (76.3% between 18 and 29)                                                                                                           | - Dating and Assertion Questionnaire (DAQ)                          | - Improved parent-report social responsiveness in both groups - No significant difference in treatment effectiveness between the two groups                                                                              | **      |
|                     |                  |                  | Enhancement (RE) “Ready for Love” |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - EQ                                                                |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |
|                     |                  |                  |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | - SRS                                                               |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |         |

(Continued)
| References                  | Country       | Design               | Intervention                                                                 | Sample participant details                                                                 | Scale                                                                 | Outcomes/findings                                                                 | Quality |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Georges and Stokes (25)    | Australia     | Cross-sectional      | ASD group: N = 309 (219 females and 90 males) Control group: N = 261 (158 females and 103 males) Mean age ASD group: 31.01 Control group: 30.20 | - Self Scale of Sexual Orientation - Gender - Identity/ Gender - Dysphoria - Questionnaire for Adolescents and Adults (GIDYQ-AA) - Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale-21 (DASS-21) - Personal Well-being Index scale | - Higher psychiatric comorbidities and reduced well-being in autistic individuals compared to typically developing controls - Higher psychiatric comorbidities and reduced well-being in gender variant autistic individuals compared to other autistic participants | ***        |
| Hénault (43)               | Canada        | Uncontrolled program | N = 33 adolescents females (28 with ASD, 2 with learning disability, 3 with learning disability and significant social impairment) N = 22 parents Mean age: 15.97 (14–19) | - Social Skill Improvement System (SSIS) - Self Perception Profile for Adolescents (SPPA) - Youth Quality of Life-Research Version (YQoL-R) - satisfaction data from parents, participants, and peers. | Improvement in skills related to friendships and intimacy                        | *          |
| Jamison et al. Schuttler (30) | USA          | Uncontrolled         | The Girls Night Out Model N = 33 adolescents females (28 with ASD, 2 with learning disability, 3 with learning disability and significant social impairment) N = 22 parents Mean age: 15.97 (14–19) | - Social Skill Improvement System (SSIS) - Self Perception Profile for Adolescents (SPPA) - Youth Quality of Life-Research Version (YQoL-R) - satisfaction data from parents, participants, and peers. | Improvement in self-reported social competence, self-reported internalizing symptoms and quality of life - No significant improvements following intervention on the parent-report social competence scale | **        |
| McVey (15)                 | USA           | Randomized controlled trial | PEERS (sixteen 1.5h-session) N = 177 HFA (27 females and 150 males) Experimental group: N = 88 Control group: N = 89 Age > 18 | - SRS parent - SSIS-RS (variant of SSRS) - Empathy quotient - LSAS (social anxiety) - TVASSK: social knowledge - SELSA loneliness scale | - No gender differences in treatment effectiveness                           | ***        |
| Pecora et al. (24)         | Australia     | Cross-sectional      | ASD group: N = 231 (135 females and 96 males) (self-reported formal diagnosis of HFA or AS) Control group: N = 227 (161 females and 66 males) Mean age: ASD group: 25.13 Control group: 22.16 | Sexual Behavior Scale: Version 3 (SBS-III) | - Higher gender variance and non-heterosexual orientation in autistic women - More negative sexual experiences in autistic homosexual women compared to heterosexual autistic women - More unwanted sexual experiences in autistic homosexual women compared to non-autistic women | ***        |
| Pecora et al. (45)         | Australia     | Cross-sectional      | ASD group: N = 134 autistic females Control group: N = 161 females Mean age: ASD group: 26.2 (18–56) Control group: 22.0 (18–48) | Sexual Behavior Scale-III (SBS-III) | - Higher gender variance and non-heterosexual orientation in autistic women - More negative sexual experiences in autistic homosexual women compared to heterosexual autistic women - More unwanted sexual experiences in autistic homosexual women compared to non-autistic women | ***        |
| References | Country | Design | Intervention | Sample participant details | Scale | Outcomes/findings | Quality |
|------------|---------|--------|--------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| Strunz et al. (40) | Germany | Cross-sectional | No | $N = 229$ high-functioning adults with ASD (professional diagnosis) (137 females and 92 males) Three groups: Currently in relationship, History of relationship; Never been in relationship Mean age: 34.9 (18, 20–41, 43, 46–62) | Need for Social Support subscale of the Berlin Social Support Scales - Personal Distress subscale of the Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI) - Dyadic Adjustment Scale (DAS) - Original questionnaire items that assessed the desire for romantic relationships and the reasons for not being in a romantic relationship | - Higher marital satisfaction in people whose partner was also on the ASD spectrum - Reasons for being single: tiredness (65%), fear of not meeting the partner’s expectations (61%) and not knowing how to meet someone (57%) | ** |
| Turner et al. (63) | Germany | Cross-sectional | No | ASD group: $N = 96$ (40 females and 56 males) (professional diagnosis) Control group: $N = 96$ (59 females and 57 males) Mean age: ASD group: 39.2 Control group: 37.9 | International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) - Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) - Sexual Inhibition/Sexual Excitation Scales-Short Form (SIS/SES-SF) | - Higher sexual dysfunctions and reduced libido and less sexual satisfaction in autistic women compared to non-autistic women - No difference in sexual satisfaction between autistic men and autistic women | *** |
| Visser et al. (17) | Netherlands | Longitudinal randomized controlled trial | Yes | Tackling Teenage psychosexual training program | Intervention group: $N = 95$ (73 males and 25 females) Waiting-list control condition: $N = 94$ (79 males and 15 females) Full IQ $\geq 85$ Mean age: Intervention group: 14.4 (12.1–18.8) Control condition: 14.5 (12.0–18.5) | Sex Problems scale of the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) - Self-report and a parent-reported scale measuring specific ASD-related inappropriate sexual behavior | - Improvements in psychosexual knowledge at post-treatment - Higher treatment effects for younger adolescents - No gender differences in treatment effectiveness | *** |
| Qualitative studies | UK | Qualitative | No | $N = 14$ women with ASD (formal diagnosis) IQ $\geq 70$ Age: 26.7 (22–30) | NA | - Four main themes including “passive to assertive” et “forging an identity as a woman with ASD” - High incidence of sexual abuse (9 of 14 participants) - Difficulties to “read” other people’s intentions, and struggle to understand if a man was just being friendly or was sexually attracted to them - Experiences of peer rejection resulted in higher desire for social acceptance and thus increased risk of sexual abuse - Some reported not having known that they could say “no” when they had wanted to refuse sex or other people’s advances. Others reported that they had not known how to say “no” or how to leave a situation until it was too late | |
| References                  | Country | Design                  | Intervention | Sample participant details | Sample size | Scale | Outcomes/findings                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Quality |
|----------------------------|---------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Barnett et al. (64)        | USA     | Qualitative             | No           | N = 24 adults with ASD      | /           |       | - Women do not readily identify to gender-related stereotypes and report a sense of loss of identity when trying to meet gender-related social expectations
- High frequency of gender variance and non-heterosexual orientation
- Concerns: courtship difficulties, sensory sensitivity, difficulties in understanding non-verbal communication, difficulties in showing romantic interest, inappropriate sex education experiences
- Strategies: using sensory barriers; planning when and how to have sex; negotiating alternatives to sexual scripts predicated on non-disabled experience; and practicing explicit and intentional communication.                                                                 | **      |
| Dubreucq et al. (44)       | France  | Qualitative             | Yes          | N = 7 women with ASD        | /           |       | - Autistic women reported not to readily identify with gendered social norms, roles and expectations
- Autistic women reported the need to forge an integrated identity as women with ASD
- Challenges in romantic relationships/sexuality: fear to attract unwanted sexual attention (e.g., sending involuntarily non-verbal cues of seduction during social interactions); decoding dating contexts in general and when personally involved; knowing what is acceptable or not in romantic relationships; learning how to cope with dating situations/unwanted sexual attention; prevention of sexual abuse
- Autistic women do not readily identify to gender-related social norms, roles and expectations
- High frequency of sexual abuse (difficulty to know how to say no to an unwanted relation)                                                                                     | **      |
| Kantfiszer et al. (32)     | UK      | Multi-stage narrative analysis | No           | N = 7 women with ASD (professional diagnosis) | /           |       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | **      |

(Continued)
| References       | Country | Design | Intervention | Sample participant details | Scale | Outcomes/findings                                                                 | Quality |
|------------------|---------|--------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Sala et al. (33) | Australia | Qualitative | No | ASD group: N = 31 (14 females, 10 males and 7 "non-binary") Control group: N = 26 (20 females, 5 males and 1 "non-binary") Mean age: ASD group: 32.29 (19–54) Control group: 33.1 (20–57) | / | - Most of the enablers/barriers of intimacy were common to both groups - ASD-specific barriers included anticipated stigma, self-stigma, uncertainty about relationships and communication. | ***     |
| Smith et al. (41) | Australia | Qualitative | No | N = 13 persons in neurodiverse relationship Age: 25–>65 | / | - Challenges in neurodiverse relationships: communication, difficulties in reading and interpreting emotions - Facilitators: strengths-based roles of each partner; care and support for each other - Inadequacy of the existing counseling service provision | **      |
| Strang et al. (38) | USA | Qualitative | Yes | Autistic/neurodiverse gender-diverse (A/ND-GD) youth with gender dysphoria and ASD or social communication disorder (N = 31) Mean age: 15.92 (12–19) Parents of A/ND-GD youth (30 mothers and 16 fathers) (N = 46) | / | - Priorities for adolescents: importance of connecting with other gender diverse youth; experiencing a range of gender-diverse role models to make gender exploration and/or gender affirmation more concrete - Priority for parents: the need for ASD-related supports for their children (e.g., social skills training) as well as provision of an ASD-friendly environment that fosters exploration of a range of gender expressions/options. | ***     |
| Mixed method     | Australia | Cross-sectional Mixed-methods | No | N = 282 (82 females and 200 males) with HFA (Professional diagnosis) IQ> 70 Mean age: Women group: 32.7 (18–64) Men group: 33.2 (18–70) | 28 items divided across six main sections, namely, (1) health and well-being, (2) education, (3) employment, (4) social and community activities, (5) support needs and (6) future aspirations. | - 56% of those not already in a relationship included “dating and relationships” as one of the areas in which they would like more support. - 19% of these people listed marriage or a relationship as one of their top three hopes and aspirations for the future - Females were significantly less likely (19%) than males (40%) to list marriage or a romantic relationship as one of their top three hopes and aspirations for the future | **      |

AS, Asperger syndrome; ASD, autism syndrome disorder; HFA, high-functioning autism; IQ, intellectual quotient; NA, not applicable; NR, not reported. *means low quality, **means moderate quality, ***means high quality, ****means very high quality.
### TABLE 3 | Interventions targeting romantic relationships/sexuality.

| Name of the intervention                                                                 | Type of intervention                                                                 | Public                                                                 | Topics                                                                 | Main results                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PEERS® for Young Adults (Program for the Education and Enrichment of Relational Skill) | 16 –session Group-based Social Skills Training                                         | Adults with ASD (> 18 years old)                                       | 1. Trading Information and Starting Conversations                        | No gender differences in treatment effectiveness                           |
| “Ready for Love” (Relationship Enhancement/RE-ASD)                                      | Eight 2-h weekly group sessions Dating social skills program                           | Adults with ASD (> 18 years old)                                       | 2. Trading Information and Maintaining Conversations                      |                                                                           |
| Girls Night Out Model (30)                                                              | 12–16 2-h weekly group SST sessions                                                   | Adolescents females with ASD and peers without ASD                     | 3. Finding a Source of Friends                                           |                                                                           |
| Tackling Teenage psychosexual training program (17)                                     | 18 weekly individual sessions Psychosexual trainings for autistic adolescents          | Adolescents with ASD and their parents                                 | 4. Electronic Communication                                               |                                                                           |
| Asperger’s syndrome and sexuality (43)                                                   | 12 weekly group-sessions Psychosexual trainings for autistic adolescents               | Adolescents or adults with high-functioning autism                     | 5. Appropriate Use of Humor                                               |                                                                           |
| Program about sexuality and relationships (42)                                          | Six 2-h weekly group sessions                                                         | Adolescents with ASD and their parents                                 | 6. Entering Group Conversations                                            |                                                                           |
| Strang et al. (38)                                                                      | Qualitative study assessing parent and adolescents’ needs to design new interventions  | Gender diverse autistic adolescents                                    | Need for group-based sessions:                                           |                                                                           |

(Continued)
Camouflaging, Stigma, and Personal Identity: Implications for Psychiatric Rehabilitation

Qualitative research reported that women found social relationships challenging and felt exhaustion or identity loss feelings when using camouflage strategies (32, 34, 51). Camouflaging refers to the use of conscious or unconscious strategies to minimize or mask autistic characteristics during a social interaction (1). Reasons for camouflaging include the desire to fit in a non-autistic world, anticipated stigma, concerns about the impression made when not camouflaging, and self-stigma (53). Cooper et al. (3) have reported that autistic women identified less with their gender group and had lower in-group value (i.e., one's perception of his own group) compared to autistic men and typically developing women. Hull et al. (1) have found an increased use of camouflage strategies in non-binary autistic individuals and women compared to autistic men. The negative effects of camouflaging strategies on psychiatric symptoms (i.e., higher psychological distress, anxiety, and depression) (53) might be more pronounced in this population (1).

SST is a group-based intervention that encompasses a set of behavioral strategies for teaching new skills based on social learning theory (54). Compensation strategies refer to the use of alternative cognitive routes to compensate for ASD-related social-cognitive difficulties (e.g., impairments in theory of mind) (55). They have been associated with higher verbal abilities, preserved executive function, and improved social skills, but also poorer mental health (56, 57). Although it has been proposed that compensation could be an adaptive coping strategy contributing to SST effectiveness on social skills, it may partially overlap with camouflaging (1, 56). SST could increase the use of camouflage strategies and indirectly contribute to increased psychological distress and suicidal ideation (1, 4, 58). To our knowledge, existing SST interventions do not address the distinction between compensation and camouflaging and their respective consequences on treatment outcomes and mental health. Future programs should address this distinction to improve compensation while reducing camouflaging (56, 57). SST also carries the risk of reinforcing common gender stereotypes about how a person should act in a given sociocultural context (4, 59). The inclusion of gender-sensitive content (e.g., discussing gender variance and gender-related social roles, norms, and expectations) in SST programs could prevent the internalization of gender social norms and improve treatment effectiveness in autistic women and gender-diverse individuals (4, 38).

Camouflaging has been related to secrecy coping and could be used to avoid the stigma of being labeled with ASD (60). Experienced stigma, anticipated stigma, and self-stigma were identified as barriers to intimacy in ASD (33). This concurs with findings in serious mental illness where self-stigma has been associated with reduced capacity for intimacy and more negative parenting experiences (61). Self-stigma has been found to affect one in five people with ASD (7, 62). It has been associated with poorer recovery-related outcomes (i.e., reduced self-esteem and well-being and higher depression and suicide risk) (7). Gender-diverse autistic individuals are confronted with multiple sources of stigma (e.g., intersection of ASD and gender identity stigma) and may be at particular risk of self-stigma (33). Future research should further investigate perceived stigma, experienced stigma, anticipated stigma, and self-stigma in gender-diverse autistic individuals. Self-stigma reduction [e.g., narrative enhancement and cognitive therapy (65) or peer-delivered interventions (61)] might improve the clinical and functional outcomes in autistic women, although this remains to be investigated. A longitudinal examination is needed to investigate the potential relationships between camouflage strategies, stigma-related issues, capacity for intimacy, and parenting experiences.

Cage and Troxell-Whitman (53) have found that autistic women were more likely than men to endorse “conventional reasons” for camouflaging (e.g., fitting in university or work contexts). Nagib and Wilton (66) have reported that gender-related assumptions about stereotypical jobs to which they should aspire limited autistic women in their vocational insertions. This might contribute to the lower satisfaction and benefits from vocational support services in autistic women compared with men (16, 22). Future research should investigate whether the integration of gender-sensitive content (i.e., taking into account gender variance and discussing gender-related sociocultural norms, roles, and expectations) in psychiatric rehabilitation (e.g., in cognitive behavior therapy) and vocational support services improves treatment outcomes or not.
TABLE 4 | Included studies reporting on reproductive health/parenting in women with autism.

| References          | Country | Design                  | Intervention Samples                                                                 | Scale                  | Outcomes/findings                                                                 | Quality |
|---------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Pohl et al. (27)    | UK      | Cross-sectional         | ASD group: N = 355 autistic mothers (professional diagnosis and self-identified as autistic)  
Control group: N = 132 non-autistic mothers                                                                 | /                      | Compared to non-autistic mothers, autistic mothers reported: higher frequency of perinatal depression, higher parenting difficulties (e.g., multi-tasking or household responsibilities), more stressful interactions with professionals, more feelings of being misunderstood by professionals, more worries about others judging their parenting and less support in parenting. Motherhood was described more frequently as an isolating experience and less often as a rewarding experience | **     |
| Sundelin et al. (31)| Sweden  | Nationwide population-based cohort study | ASD group: N = 2,198 births to 1,382 women with autism  
Control group: N = 877,742 births to 503,846 women never diagnosed with autism | /                      | Women with autism were at increased risk of preterm birth. Maternal autism was also associated with an increased risk of elective cesarean delivery and preeclampsia | ***    |
| Donovan (48)        | USA     | Qualitative             | N = 24 women with ASD                                                                 | /                      | Three main themes: Having Difficulty Communicating, Feeling Stressed in an Uncertain Environment, and Being an Autistic Mother Challenges: difficulty to communicate with professionals, feelings of being misunderstood (e.g., pain when facial expression was not in adequacy with their internal feelings), fear of child protective services involvement; need for more time before answering and for explicit communication | *      |
| Gardner et al. (46) | USA     | Qualitative             | N = 8 women with ASD  
Mean age: 39 (27–52)                                                                 | /                      | Four major themes: Processing Sensations, Needing to Have Control, Walking in the Dark, and Motherhood on My Own Terms  
- Pre-natal needs: taking into account sensory sensitivity; need for direct answers and clear information  
- Delivery: sensory sensitivity and need for having control on the situation  
- Post-natal period: feelings of being judged in their parenting by professionals | *      |
| Kantfizer et al. (32)| UK     | Qualitative             | N = 7 women with ASD (professional diagnosis)  
Age: 20–69                                                                                       | /                      | Motherhood perceived as a “daunting” and stressful experience; diagnosis helpful in reframing past experiences for those who chose not to have children; the “daunting” nature of motherhood is a barrier to start a family. | **     |
| Rogers et al. (47)  | Australia | Case study              | N = 1 woman with ASD (professional diagnosis)  
Age: 26 years-old                                                                                 | /                      | Difficulties to communicate with professionals  
Sensory sensitivity  
Feeling of being judged in mothering ability                                                                                               | *      |

*means low quality, **means moderate quality, ***means high quality, ****means very high quality.

**Reproductive Health and Psychiatric Rehabilitation**
Compared to non-autistic mothers, autistic mothers had higher risks of perinatal depression and poorer obstetrical and neonatal outcomes (27, 31). Clinical implications from qualitative research included a need for clear and accurate information (e.g., about normal pregnancy, potential complications, delivery, and post-partum care), for stigma reduction (e.g., reducing the feeling of being judged by perinatal health providers), and for improved self-agency and empowerment (27, 46, 47). Awareness actions toward women’s health professionals could improve their understanding of autistic women’s needs (e.g., heightened sensory processing and medical examinations) and reduce the stigma associated with autism (27, 46, 47). Strategic disclosure programs result in people making empowered decisions about whether to disclose their diagnosis or not (67). A strengths-based case management by a trained midwife, shared decision-making, and shared action plans during the perinatal period (i.e., from preconception to 1 year after childbirth) could improve self-agency, empowerment, and maternal and children’s outcomes (68–70). Future studies should investigate...
TABLE 5 | Example of interventions to support autistic women in reproductive health (FondaMental Advanced Center of Expertise for ASD (FACE-ASD) center of Grenoble).

| Interventions toward women with ASD | Individual interventions | Group-based interventions |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| **Assessment by a trained midwife** | - Physical health<br>- Reproductive health history and needs for care<br>- History of childhood/domestic abuse<br>- Conception of gender social norms, roles, expectations and pressures (e.g., gender variance, desire to become mother or not, centrality of mothering…)<br>- Fears about becoming mother (e.g., fears about pregnancy, delivery or post-partum care, being an autistic mother, communication with healthcare and childcare professionals, etc…)<br>Strengths-based case-management from preconception to post-partum care by a trained midwife<br>- Assess strengths/Building on strengths<br>- Answer to questions relating to ASD, pregnancy and perinatal health outcomes (e.g., concerns about passing on ASD to their child, information about the stay in the maternity ward, cesarean section, circadian rhythms and lactation, fear of being judged by others on mothering, prevention of perinatal depression)<br>- Shared strengths-based action plan to improve well-being and pregnancy-related outcomes<br>- Making empowered decisions about whether to disclose or not the diagnosis of ASD<br>- Working on how to communicate with perinatal health professionals and social workers<br>- Coping with stigma<br>- Getting support | Four-session group-based psychoeducation:<br>- Factors influencing decision-making about becoming mother or not<br>- ASD and perinatal outcomes<br>- Getting support<br>- Making empowered decisions about disclosure |
| **Interventions toward perinatal professionals** | - Facilitate the communication between the mother and perinatal health professionals<br>- Provide support to help professionals to meet ASD-related needs for care<br>- Reducing stigma<br>- Prevent unnecessary child protective services involvement | - Awareness and training actions: ASD and perinatal outcomes, screening of perinatal depression, ASD-related needs for care in the maternity ward (e.g., reducing sensory sensitivity issues and improving communication with autistic mothers), stigma-related issues, ASD and parenting |

the potential effectiveness of these interventions in ASD. In other conditions such as serious mental illness, mothers report concerns about passing on their condition to their children (71). This might also be the case for autistic mothers, although this should be further investigated. Psychoeducation and family psychoeducation (e.g., ASD and pregnancy-related outcomes, heredity, risk factors for perinatal depression and how to deal with them, early detection, and intervention of ASD in children) provided during the perinatal period could prevent perinatal depression and improve maternal and children's outcomes.

Psychiatric rehabilitation interventions on reproductive health should also discuss the centrality of motherhood for autistic women (or, in contrast, their self-reported “absence of maternal instinct”) (32) and its impact on a person's sense of personal identity.

Gender Differences in Treatment Effectiveness: Implications for Designing Interventions

Among the psychosocial interventions addressing romantic relationships or reproductive health in autistic people, no gender differences were reported on treatment outcomes after SST or psychosexual training (15, 17). Although it has been proposed that autistic women and men had similar needs for care and could benefit from the same interventions (15), autistic women may face unique challenges when subjective aspects (e.g., impact of gender-related social norms, roles, and expectations on a person's sense of identity) (32, 34) and specific domains (e.g., romantic relationships and reproductive health) (24, 26, 27, 34, 45) are considered.

While recent epidemiological studies have found lower male-to-female ratios than previously reported (18), the predominance of males in research samples could have affected both the
development and the evaluation of psychosocial treatments (18, 19). It can be hypothesized that men, presumed to have poorer social function, are all referred to psychosocial treatment, whereas only the women with severe social communication impairments are referred (19). Another hypothesis could be that existing interventions (mostly developed in male samples) do not meet the unique needs for care of women and gender-diverse individuals (e.g., discussing gender variance and gender-related social norms, roles, and expectations, prevention of sexual abuse, and reproductive health) (4, 26, 44). The development of strengths-based, gender-sensitive (i.e., taking into account gender variance, autistic people’s lived experience, and women’s needs for care) interventions addressing romantic relationships and reproductive health is needed (4, 13).

LIMITATIONS

There are some limitations to this review due to the heterogeneity in samples, in the methods, scales, interventions, and the reported outcomes. Few studies reported longitudinal outcomes, and only a small number of psychosocial treatments addressed romantic relationships or reproductive health from the perspective of autistic women or autistic gender-diverse individuals. The low–moderate quality of the included studies also is a limitation. This review excluded studies where women’s outcomes in specific domains (i.e., romantic relationships and reproductive health) were not the main focus, which means that some needs for care in other domains (e.g., vocational function or leisure activities) might have been overlooked. However, by focusing on these domains using a broad definition of female gender (i.e., cisgender and gender-diverse individuals), this review provides a more accurate understanding of this population’s needs for care relating to psychosocial treatments. The underreporting of negative or non-significant results due to publication bias from this review might have limited the accuracy of the synthesis.
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