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A B S T R A C T

The field experiment was conducted during kharif (rainy) season of 2019 on “Effect of different levels of NPK and FYM on physico-chemical properties of soil of Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) var. Parbhani Karanti” on Central Research Farm Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The soil of experiment area falls in order Inceptisol and soil texture was sandy loam (sand % 61.20, silt % 23.20 and clay % 14.6). There were 9 treatments combination replicated thrice in 3 x 3 factorial randomized block design. It was observed that for post harvest, soil properties in treatment T8 (100% RDF @ N100 P60 K50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)) were improved significantly due to organic and inorganic use of inputs. The maximum values of pore space (60.1 %), water holding capacity (63.63 %), organic carbon (0.62 %), available nitrogen (297.56 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), available phosphorus (26.35 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and available potassium (202.55 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was with treatment T8 (100% RDF @ N100 P60 K50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)). The combination of T8 (100% RDF @ N100 P60 K50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\) showed slight decrease in pH (6.8), bulk density (1.18 Mg m\(^{-3}\)) and particle density (2.50 Mg m\(^{-3}\)), the same treatment EC (0.33 dS m\(^{-1}\)) was slightly increase in post harvest soil. It may be concluded from trial that the various level of NPK and FYM used from different sources in the experiment, the treatment T8 - (100% RDF @ N100 P60 K50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)) was found to be the best, for improvement in physical and chemical properties of soil.

Keywords
Okra, NPK and FYM, Physico-chemical Properties of Soil etc

Article Info
Accepted: 10 July 2020
Available Online: 10 August 2020

Introduction

Okra [Abelmoschus esculentus L.] is an important fruit vegetable crop cultivated in tropical, subtropical and mild temperate parts of the world and belongs to the family Malvaceae. In India, it is grown during summer and rainy seasons for its tender pod, which are cooked and consumed as a vegetable (Chattopadhyay et al., 2011). Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) originated in Ethiopia (Sathish & Eswar, 2013). Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus) is one of the most widely known and utilized species of the family Malvaceae (Naveed et al., 2009). Okra is most popular in India, Nigeria, Sudan, Pakistan, Ghana, Egypt, Benin, Saudi Arabia, Mexico and Cameroon. Largest area and production is in India followed by Nigeria. Total area under okra in India is reported to
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be 528.37 thousand hectare, production 6145.97 thousand tonnes and productivity 11.5 t ha\(^{-1}\) in 2018-19. West Bengal is the leading state of area and production of okra, which has area 77.40 thousand hectare and production 913.32 thousand tonnes. Highest productivity is 17.40 t ha\(^{-1}\) of Andhra Pradesh. Uttar Pradesh climate is good for okra that in total area 22.64 thousand hectare and production is 303.05 thousand tonnes in 2018-19 (NHB data base 2018-19).

Total area under okra in World is reported to be 2020528 hectare and production 9872826 tonnes in 2018. Okra is valued for its edible green pods (fruits), a capsule that contains many seeds. However, its leaves are also eaten as a vegetable. Okra seeds are used as a non-caffeinated substitute for coffee and also as a source of seed oil (FAO, 2018). Okra is said to be of economic importance because of its nutritional value that has the potential to improve food security (FAO, 2018). The significance of crop further enhances due to its multiple uses. The dry seed contains 13-22 percent good quality edible oil and 20-24 percent protein. The green fruits contain water 88.6 g, energy 36 kcal, protein 2.1 g, carbohydrate 8.2 g, fat 0.2 g, fiber 1.7g, Ca 84 mg, P 90 mg, Fe 1.2 mg, beta carotene 185 micro gram, riboflavin 0.08 mg, thiamin 0.04 mg, niacin 0.6 mg and ascorbic acid 47 mg per 100 g edible portion (Habtamu et al., 2014). Fresh pods also contain about 30% of recommended levels of vitamin C (16–29 mg), 10–20% of folate (46–88 mg) and about 5% of vitamin A (14–20 RAE) (Gemede et al., 2014).

The main challenge before India is to increase the production of quality food in a sustainable manner and feeding the country’s large population and increasing the income of the farmer. The requirements of fertilizers in okra are important for the early growth and total production of fruit yield. Use of organic and inorganic fertilizers can improve crop productivity (Mal et al., 2013). Organic fertilizer released all type of micro and macro nutrients that helps to plant elongation. Organic fertilizers improved soil physical properties and supplied of essential plant nutrients for higher growth of plant, protect soil against erosion, supply the cementing substance for desirable aggregate soil formation and loosen the soil. Application of FYM sustains cropping system through better nutrient recycling and provides all necessary nutrients, thereby improving the physical and biological properties of soil (Abou El-Magd et al., 2006).

The effects of fertilizer on the growth and yield of okra had been reported in various studies (Agbede and AAdikiya, 2012), (Uka et al., 2013). In the experiment conducted by (Firoz et al., 2009) on the relative effects of inorganic and organic fertilizer on the growth of okra, it was observed that both fertilizer types produced significantly higher value for plant height, fresh weight, leaf area and dry weight compared to the control without fertilizer.

Nitrogen plays a major role among cultural practices for increased crop production. However, blanket application of inorganic fertilizer to farmland soils without adequate knowledge of the nutrient status, often leads to increased soil acidity, particularly when nitrogen fertilizers are applied (Akande et al., 2010).

Phosphorus can influence fruiting and fruit developments of crops and regarded as key of life because it is directly involved in most living process. Phosphorus is a key constituent of ATP which transforms energy to the plant. Phosphorus take part in various physiological process and helps in nutrients uptake by promoting root growth and their by ensuring a good pod yield (Das et al., 2014).
Potassium plays a unique role in osmotic regulation, opening and closing of stomata and improves the color, flavours and size of fruits (Bhende et al., 2015). Application of FYM and poultry manure or in combination with chemical fertilizers improved the soil organic C, total NPK status. However, only organic manure or combined applications of organic manure with inorganic fertilizers increase soil microbial growth (Kaur et al., 2005). The organic manure FYM not only provides nutrient to the plant but also improves the soil texture by binding effect of soil aggregates. Organic manure increases cation exchange capacity, water holding capacity and phosphate availability of the soil beside improving the fertilizer use efficiency and microbial population of soil, it reduces nitrogen loses due to slow release of nutrients (Tadesse et al., 2013). FYM plus inorganic NPK applications in irrigated systems resulted in reduced bulk density, higher soil organic carbon and hydraulic conductivity and improved soil structure and microbial communities (Bhattacharya et al., 2007).

Materials and Methods

The investigation on Effect of Different Levels of NPK and FYM on Growth and Yield of Okra [Abelmoschus esculentus L.] var. Parbhani Karanti comprise of a field experiment which was carried out at the Soil Science Research Farm, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Science, Prayagraj during kharif season on 22th July to 18th October (2019-20).

The area is situated on the South of Prayagraj on the right side of the river Yamuna on the South of Rewa Road at a distance of about 6 Km from Prayagraj city. It is situated at 25024’23”N latitude, 81050’38”E longitude and at the altitude of 98 meter above the sea level. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 460C – 480C and seldom falls as low as 40C – 50C. The relative humidity ranged between 20 to 94 percent. The average rainfall in this area is around 1100 mm annually.

The Experiment was laid out in a 3 x 3 Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) (Fisher R. A. 1958) with 9 treatments and 3 replications. The treatment consisted of all combination, 3 levels of NPK fertilizer 0% @ N0 P0 K0 kg ha⁻¹, 50% @ N50 P30 K25 kg ha⁻¹ and 100% @ N100 P60 K50 kg ha⁻¹ and 3 levels of FYM 0%, 50% and 100% @ 0 t ha⁻¹, 12.5 t ha⁻¹ and 25 t ha⁻¹. The recommended dose of fertilizers i.e. nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (100%)and FYM (100%) was applied in the ratio of 100:60:50 kg ha⁻¹ and FYM 25 t ha⁻¹, respectively. The source of nitrogen was through urea (46% N), phosphorus through single super phosphate (16% P₂O₅), potassium through muriate of potash (60% K₂O) and FYM (0.5% N₂, 0.2% P₂O₅, and 0.5 % K₂O). Dose of fertilizer was applied in respective plots according to treatment allocation uniform furrows opened by about 5 cm. The plant distance R x R = 45 cm and P x P = 30 cm, and seed rate 8- 10 kg ha⁻¹. All the agronomic practices were carried out uniformly to raise the crop.

Results and Discussion

Bulk density (Mg m⁻³)

The data presented in shows the Bulk density (Mg m⁻³) of soil as influenced by N P K fertilizers and FYM. The response Bulk density (Mg m⁻³) of soil was found to be non-significant in levels of N P K and FYM. The maximum Bulk density (Mg m⁻³) of soil was recorded 1.31 Mg m⁻³ in treatment T₀ (control) and minimum Bulk density (Mg m⁻³) of soil was recorded 1.18 Mg m⁻³ in treatment T₈ (100% RDF @ N₁₀₀ P₆₀ K₅₀ kg ha⁻¹ +100% FYM @ 25 t ha⁻¹). Similar results were also
reported by Yadav et al., (2019) and Ola et al., (2017).

**Particle density (Mg m\(^{-3}\))**

The data presented in shows the particle density (Mg m\(^{-3}\)) of soil as influenced by N P K fertilizers and FYM. The response particle density (Mg m\(^{-3}\)) of soil was found to be non-significant in levels of N P K and FYM. The maximum particle density (Mg m\(^{-3}\)) of soil was recorded 2.62 Mg m\(^{-3}\) in treatment \(T_0\) (control) and minimum particle density (Mg m\(^{-3}\)) of soil was recorded 2.50 Mg m\(^{-3}\) in treatment \(T_8\) (100% RDF @ \(N_{100}\) \(P_{60}\) \(K_{50}\) kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)). Similar results were also reported by Ola et al., (2017).

**% Pore space**

The data presented in shows the % Pore space of soil as influenced by N P K fertilizers and FYM. The response % Pore space of soil was found to be significant in levels of N P K and FYM. The maximum % Pore space of soil was recorded 60.1 % in treatment \(T_0\) (control) and minimum % Pore space of soil was recorded 47.15 % in treatment \(T_8\) (100% RDF @ \(N_{100}\) \(P_{60}\) \(K_{50}\) kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)). Similar results were also reported by Lakra et al., (2017) and Salvi et al., (2015).

**Water holding capacity %**

The data presented in shows the water holding capacity % of soil as influenced by N P K fertilizers and FYM. The response water holding capacity % of soil was found to be significant in levels of N P K and FYM. The maximum water holding capacity % of soil was recorded 63.63 % in treatment \(T_8\) (100% RDF @ \(N_{100}\) \(P_{60}\) \(K_{50}\) kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)) and minimum water holding capacity % of soil was recorded 45.53 % in treatment \(T_0\) (control). Similar results were also reported by Salvi et al., (2015).

**pH (1:2) W/V**

The data presented in shows the pH of soil as influenced by N P K fertilizers and FYM. The response pH of soil was found to be significant in levels of N P K and FYM. The maximum pH of soil was recorded in treatment 7.26 \(T_0\) (control) and minimum pH of soil was recorded 6.88 in treatment \(T_8\) (100% RDF @ \(N_{100}\) \(P_{60}\) \(K_{50}\) kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)). Similar results were also reported by Bhambhu et al., (2016) and Solangi et al., (2015).

**EC (dS m\(^{-1}\))**

The data presented in shows the EC (dS m\(^{-1}\)) of soil as influenced by N P K fertilizers and FYM. The response EC (dS m\(^{-1}\)) of soil was found to be significant in levels of N P K and FYM. The maximum EC (dS m\(^{-1}\)) of soil was recorded 0.32 dS m\(^{-1}\) in treatment \(T_8\) (100% RDF @ \(N_{100}\) \(P_{60}\) \(K_{50}\) kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)) and minimum EC (dS m\(^{-1}\)) of soil was recorded 0.28 dSm\(^{-1}\) in treatment \(T_0\) (control). Similar results were also reported by Salvi et al., (2015) and Ray et al., (2005).

**Organic carbon %**

The data presented in shows the organic carbon % in soil as influenced by N P K fertilizers and FYM. The Organic carbon % in soil increased significantly with the increase in levels of N P K and FYM. The maximum organic carbon % in soil was recorded 0.62 % in treatment \(T_8\) (100% RDF @ \(N_{100}\) \(P_{60}\) \(K_{50}\) kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)) which was significantly higher than any other treatment combination and the minimum organic carbon % in soil was recorded 0.39 % in treatment \(T_0\) (control). Similar results were observed by Salvi et al., (2015) and Lakra et al., (2017).
Table.1 Treatment combination of different levels of N P K and FYM for okra trial

| Treatment | Treatment combination | Symbol |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------|
| T<sub>0</sub> | Control (Absolute control) | I<sub>0</sub> + F<sub>0</sub> |
| T<sub>1</sub> | 0% RDF + 50% FYM @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> | I<sub>0</sub> + F<sub>1</sub> |
| T<sub>2</sub> | 0% RDF + 100% FYM @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> | I<sub>0</sub> + F<sub>2</sub> |
| T<sub>3</sub> | 50% RDF @ N<sub>50</sub> P<sub>30</sub> K<sub>25</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0% FYM @ 0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> | I<sub>1</sub> + F<sub>0</sub> |
| T<sub>4</sub> | 50% RDF @ N<sub>50</sub> P<sub>30</sub> K<sub>25</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% FYM @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> | I<sub>1</sub> + F<sub>0</sub> |
| T<sub>5</sub> | 50% RDF @ N<sub>50</sub> P<sub>30</sub> K<sub>25</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 100% FYM @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> | I<sub>1</sub> + F<sub>1</sub> |
| T<sub>6</sub> | 100% RDF @ N<sub>100</sub> P<sub>60</sub> K<sub>50</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0% FYM @ 0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> | I<sub>2</sub> + F<sub>0</sub> |
| T<sub>7</sub> | 100% RDF @ N<sub>100</sub> P<sub>60</sub> K<sub>50</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% FYM @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> | I<sub>2</sub> + F<sub>1</sub> |
| T<sub>8</sub> | 100% RDF @ N<sub>100</sub> P<sub>60</sub> K<sub>50</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 100% FYM @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> | I<sub>2</sub> + F<sub>2</sub> |

Table.2 Physical analysis of soil before sowing of Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.)

| Particulars | Results | Method employed |
|-------------|---------|-----------------|
| Sand (%)    | 61.20   | Bouyoucos Hydrometer method (1927) |
| Silt (%)    | 23.20   |                  |
| Clay (%)    | 14.6    |                  |
| Textural class | Sandy loam |                  |
| Bulk density (Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) | 1.32 |                  |
| Particle density (Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) | 2.61 |                  |
| Pore Space (%) | 46.98  | Graduated Measuring Cylinder (Muthuval et al., 1992) |
| Soil Moisture (%) | 21.05 |                  |
| Water Holding Capacity | 47.12 |                  |

Table.3 Chemical analysis of soil before sowing of Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.)

| Parameters                   | Method employed                                      | results |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Soil pH (1:2)                | Digital pH meter (Jackson 1958)                      | 7.3     |
| Soil EC (dS m<sup>-1</sup>)  | Digital conductivity meter (Wilcox 1950)            | 0.27    |
| Organic Carbon (%)           | Repid titration method (Walkley and Black, 1947)    | 0.42    |
| Available Nitrogen (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) | Alkaline permanganate method (Subbigh and Asija, 1956) | 276.43 |
| Available Phosphorus (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) | Calorimetric Method (Olsen et al., 1954) | 16.51 |
| Available Potassium (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) | Flame photometric method (Toth and Price, 1949) | 169.11 |
Table 4 Effect of different levels of NPK and FYM on Physical parameters of soil in Okra

| Treatment | Bulk density (Mg m⁻³) | Particle density (Mg m⁻³) | Pore space (%) | Water holding capacity % |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| T₀        | 1.31                    | 2.62                      | 47.15          | 45.53                    |
| T₁        | 1.29                    | 2.60                      | 49.35          | 47.20                    |
| T₂        | 1.28                    | 2.59                      | 50.09          | 53.24                    |
| T₃        | 1.27                    | 2.57                      | 52.66          | 49.80                    |
| T₄        | 1.25                    | 2.56                      | 55.31          | 54.27                    |
| T₅        | 1.24                    | 2.55                      | 56.73          | 58.50                    |
| T₆        | 1.26                    | 2.52                      | 57.73          | 55.68                    |
| T₇        | 1.20                    | 2.52                      | 58.35          | 57.72                    |
| T₈        | 1.18                    | 2.50                      | 60.1           | 63.63                    |
| F-test    | NS                      | NS                        | S              | S                        |
| S. Em+    | 0.01                    | 0.00                      | 0.13           | 0.34                     |
| C.D. at 5%| 0.02                    | 0.01                      | 0.38           | 1.03                     |

Table 5 Effect of different levels of NPK and FYM on Chemical parameters of soil in Okra

| Treatment | pH (1:2) w/v | EC (dS m⁻¹) | Organic carbon (%) | Nitrogen (kg ha⁻¹) | Phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹) | Potassium (kg ha⁻¹) |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| T₀        | 7.26         | 0.28        | 0.39               | 216.50             | 14.69                | 131.14              |
| T₁        | 7.23         | 0.29        | 0.43               | 223.18             | 15.41                | 151.99              |
| T₂        | 7.09         | 0.30        | 0.47               | 232.48             | 16.67                | 173.20              |
| T₃        | 7.07         | 0.30        | 0.45               | 236.76             | 15.76                | 154.29              |
| T₄        | 7.01         | 0.31        | 0.48               | 247.39             | 17.31                | 171.84              |
| T₅        | 7.00         | 0.31        | 0.56               | 260.72             | 21.83                | 186.31              |
| T₆        | 6.98         | 0.32        | 0.49               | 277.73             | 19.68                | 163.51              |
| T₇        | 6.97         | 0.32        | 0.54               | 284.26             | 23.37                | 182.38              |
| T₈        | 6.88         | 0.33        | 0.62               | 297.56             | 26.35                | 202.55              |
| F-test    | S            | S           | S                  | S                  | S                    | S                   |
| S. Em+    | 0.01         | 0.13        | 0.01               | 0.40               | 0.31                 | 0.35                |
| C.D. at 5%| 0.02         | 0.40        | 0.02               | 1.20               | 0.93                 | 1.06                |

Fig. 1 Effect of different levels of NPK and FYM on Physical parameters of soil in Okra
Available Nitrogen (Kg ha\(^{-1}\))

The data presented in shows the available nitrogen in soil as influenced by N P K fertilizers and FYM. The available nitrogen in soil increased significantly with the increase in levels of N P K and FYM. The maximum available nitrogen in soil was recorded 297.56 (Kg ha\(^{-1}\)) in treatment T\(_8\) (100% RDF @ N\(_{100}\) P\(_{60}\) K\(_{50}\) kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)) which was significantly higher than any other treatment combination and the minimum available nitrogen in soil was recorded 216.50 (Kg ha\(^{-1}\)) in treatment T\(_0\) (control). Similar results were observed by Bhambhu \textit{et al.} (2016).

Available Phosphorus (Kg ha\(^{-1}\))

The data presented in shows the available phosphorus in soil as influenced by N P K fertilizers and FYM. The available phosphorus in soil increased significantly with the increase in levels of N P K and FYM. The maximum available phosphorus in soil was recorded 26.35 (Kg ha\(^{-1}\)) in treatment T\(_8\) (100% RDF @ N\(_{100}\) P\(_{60}\) K\(_{50}\) kg ha\(^{-1}\) +100% FYM @ 25 t ha\(^{-1}\)) which was significantly higher than any other treatment combination and the minimum available phosphorus in soil was recorded 14.69 (Kg ha\(^{-1}\)) in treatment T\(_0\) (control). Similar results were observed by Yadav \textit{et al.} (2019).
Available Potassium (Kg ha⁻¹)

The data presented in shows the available potassium in soil as influenced by N P K fertilizers and FYM. The maximum available potassium in soil was recorded 202.55 (Kg ha⁻¹) in treatment T₈ (100% RDF @ N₁₀₀ P₆₀ K₅₀ kg ha⁻¹ +100% FYM @ 25 t ha⁻¹) which was significantly higher than any other treatment combination and the minimum available potassium in soil was recorded 131.14 (Kg ha⁻¹) in treatment T₀ (control). Similar results were observed by Bhambhu et al. (2016).

It was concluded from trial that the different levels of N P K and FYM used for okra, the treatment combination T₈ – [100% N P K @ N₁₀₀ P₆₀ K₅₀ kg ha⁻¹ + 100% FYM @ 25 t ha⁻¹] was found to be the best treatment physico-chemical properties of soil. The slight decrease in soil pH 6.8, bulk density 1.18 Mg m⁻³ and particle density 2.50 Mg m⁻³ has resulted due to the application of T₈ @100% RDF @ N₁₀₀ P₆₀ K₅₀ kg ha⁻¹ + @100% FYM @ 25 t ha⁻¹). While maximum pore space 60.1 %, water holding capacity 63.63%, organic carbon 0.62 %, available nitrogen 297.56 kg ha⁻¹, available phosphorus 26.35 kg ha⁻¹ and available potassium 202.55 kg ha⁻¹, found with application of T₈ @100% RDF @ N₁₀₀ P₆₀ K₅₀ kg ha⁻¹ + @100% FYM @ 25 t ha⁻¹). It was also revealed that the application of NPK with FYM were excellent source for fertilization than fertilizers alone. It can be concluded that combined application of FYM and inorganic NPK fertilizers improved the physico-chemical properties of soil.
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