Establishment of Transgenic Lines for Jumpstarter Method Using a Composite Transposon Vector in the Ladybird Beetle, *Harmonia axyridis*
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Abstract

In this post-genomic era, genome-wide functional analysis is indispensable. The recent development of RNA interference techniques has enabled researchers to easily analyze gene function even in non-model organisms. On the other hand, little progress has been made in the identification and functional analyses of cis-regulatory elements in non-model organisms. In order to develop experimental platform for identification and analyses of cis-regulatory elements in a non-model organism, in this case, the ladybird beetle, *Harmonia axyridis*, we established transgenic transposon-tagged lines using a novel composite vector. This vector enables the generation of two types of insertion products (jumpstarter and mutator). The jumpstarter portion carries a transposase gene, while the mutator segment carries a reporter gene for detecting enhancers. The full-composite element is flanked by functional termini (required for movement); however, the mutator region has an extra terminus making it possible for the mutator to remobilize on its own, thus leaving an inmobile jumpstarter element behind. Each insertion type is stable on its own, but once crossed, jumpstarters can remobilize mutators. After crossing a jumpstarter and mutator line, all tested G2 females gave rise to at least one new insertion line in the next generation. This jumping rate is equivalent to the P-element-mediated jumpstarter method in *Drosophila*. These established transgenic lines will offer us the ideal experimental materials for the effective screening and identification of enhancers in this species. In addition, this jumpstarter method has the potential to be as effective in other non-model insect species and thus applicable to any organism.
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Introduction

For the last two decades, our knowledge of gene function in non-model organisms has dramatically increased [1]. Methodological advances in RNA interference (RNAi) enabled researchers to address various biologically interesting phenomena via gene knock down even in non-model organisms [2], [3]. On the other hand, there are very few studies on gene function via over expression or ectopic expression in non-model organisms [but see [4]]. Transgenic techniques have often been used for over/ectopic expression analyses [3], [5], [6]. However, limited knowledge of cis-regulatory elements including enhancers in non-model organisms prevents studies from further progress [3], [6], [7]. Enhancer trapping is an excellent method to detect enhancers in the genome following the expression pattern of a reporter gene [8]. Although the generation of enhancer trap lines by microinjection is time-consuming and labor-intensive, the jumpstarter method allows transposon-tagged lines to be generated by simply crossing two lines, thereby enabling genome-wide assays. In *Drosophila*, thousands of enhancer-trap lines have been established based on this method [9], [10]. Thus, an essential technique for the genome-wide analysis of gene function is the jumpstarter method, which was initially developed for insertional mutagenesis in *Drosophila* [11], [12]. This approach allows transposon-tagged lines to be generated en mass by simply crossing a jumpstarter line, containing a transposase gene, with a mutator line, containing a transposable element consisting of two inverted terminal repeat (ITR) sequences (5' and 3'). The transposon requires both elements—the transposase and two ITRs—for mobilization. However, this valuable method has so far been confined to a few model organisms, for example, in ascidians [13], insects [11], [12], [14], [15], [16], vertebrates [17], [18], [19] and plants [20], because of difficulties associated with the generation of a jumpstarter strain. In particular, an immobilized jumpstarter element has generally thought impossible to generate with a single transposon, with the exception of a special case in which an exogenous DNA fragment without a transposable element was integrated into a mouse genome. Furthermore, even in *Drosophila*, immobilized jumpstarter elements have been produced accidentally through imprecise excision by the P element itself [11], [12]. To overcome these
problems, we applied the transgene stabilization method [21] to a composite piggyBac vector that simultaneously generates two elements that can be used for the jumpstarter technique. This transposon-based transformation vector has an additional 5' ITR [21]. When 5' and 3' ITRs at both ends of the vector are used for transposition, the entire vector is integrated into the genome. By contrast, when the ITR in the middle of the vector is used, only one-half of the vector is integrated; in this case, generating a

Figure 1. Schematic of composite vector and overview of genomic integration. (A) The left half of the composite vector contains a 5' ITR, a transposase gene under the control of the Dmhs70 promoter and the 3xP3-ECFP transformation marker, while the right half contains both 5' and 3' ITRs, and is marked by both an EGFP gene under the control of the Dmhs27 minimal promoter for enhancer trapping and a 3xP3-DsRed transformation marker. The transposase gene can be immobilized by the precise excision of the sequence between the central 5' ITR and the 3' ITR; in this case, larvae expressed ECFP alone. (B) Transformation markers expressed in the CNS of first instar larvae of H. axyridis indicate vector integration. From left to right, bright field, DsRed, ECFP and EGFP are presented in wild-type, composite vector (DsRed and ECFP are expressing), Jumpstarter (only ECFP is expressing) and Mutator (only DsRed is expressing). EGFP expression is not detected in all of strains. All panels display a ventral view (anterior uppermost).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100804.g001
mutator designed for enhancer trapping. After the integration of the entire vector, the transposase acts between the 5’ and 3’ ITRs, and one-half of the vector is excised leaving the transposase gene with only one 5’ ITR, thereby immobilizing it.

In order to develop an experimental platform for future identification of various enhancers, here we established transgenic transposon-tagged lines in ladybird beetle, Harmonia axyridis. By crossing two established lines (jumpstarter line and mutator line) we will be able to generate various enhancer-trap lines in an efficient manner.

Materials and Methods

Insects

Laboratory stocks of Harmonia axyridis were derived from field collections in Aichi, Japan. They were reared as described by [22].

Construction of piggyBac Vector

A Bgl II-Ase I double digested fragment containing EGFP with the nuclear localization signal (NLS) under the Drosophila heat shock protein 27 (Dmhs27) minimal promoter was isolated from pSL11806[etO-lsp27mp-NLS-EGFP] as described in [23] and was filled in with a Klenow fragment, cloned into the filled-in site of Asl I of pBac[3xP3-DsRed] [24] and named pBac[3xP3-DsRed, hsp27mp-NLS-EGFP]. A Sac I-Xba I double digested fragment containing 3xP3-ECFP was isolated from pBac[3xP3-ECFPafm] [25] and was filled in with a Klenow fragment, cloned into the filled-in-site of EcoRI of pBac[3xP3-DsRed, hsp27mp-NLS-EGFP] and named pBac[3xP3-DsRed, hsp27mp-NLS-EGFP, 3xP3-ECFP]. An Ase I fragment containing the piggyBac transposase under Drosophila heat shock protein 70 (Dmhs70) promoter from phsp-P [26] was filled in with a Klenow fragment, cloned into the filled-in-site of Asl I of pBac[3xP3-DsRed, hsp27mp-NLS-EGFP, 3xP3-ECFP]. This composite vector was named pBac[hsp70-transposase]::(3xP3-ECFP;::hsp27-EGFP;::3xP3-DsRed).

Generation of Transgenic Ladybird Beetles

Transgenic ladybird beetles were generated by microinjection of 500 ng/μl composite vector without a helper plasmid into the posterior pole of embryos during the syncytium stage of development as described by [27]. The G0 adults were crossed with non-injected wild type adults. The G1 newly hatched larvae were examined under a fluorescent stereomicroscope. ECFP, DsRed and EGFP fluorescence was observed using a fluorescent stereomicroscope (MZ FLIII, Leica) equipped with a CFP, a DsRED and a GFP2 filter (Leica), respectively. Detected fluorescent red colors were converted to magenta using DPC controller software (Olympus Optical Co.) in order to increase visibility for potential color blind readers.

Inverse PCR

Inverse PCR for a mutator strain was performed as described by [27]. Genomic DNA were digested with HaeIII or MspI. PCR was performed using the primers described in [28].

Cloning

Total RNA was extracted from 0 to 2-day-old Harmonia axyridis with Trizol (Gibco BRL) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The first-strand cDNA was synthesized with SMART PCR cdNA Amplification Kit (Clontech) using 1 μg of total RNA. Harmonia axyridis ribosomal protein 49 (Ha-rp49) cDNA fragments were amplified using rp49-1 and rp49-2 primer sets. The following degenerate primers were designed corresponding to highly conserved amino acid sequences found in Rp49 among D. melanogaster Rp49 (U92431), mouse Rp49 (M23453), rat Rp49 (X06483) and yeast Rp49 (Y13134).

Degenerate primers for rp49.

rp49-1: 5’-ACIAARMAITTYATIMGICA-3’
rp49-2: 5’-TGICCIATYTCSRCARTA-3’
(R, A and G; Y, T and C; S, C and G; M, C and A; I, inosine).

PCRs were performed using 2.5 μl of the 10-fold diluted first-strand cdNA, a pair of primers from the list above, and AmpliTaq Gold (Perkin Elmer).

Sequencing and Sequence Analysis

The PCR product was subcloned into the EcoRI V site of the pBluescript KS+ vector (Stratagene). The nucleotide sequences of the PCR products and the flanking regions around the restriction enzyme-digested fragments, which were inserted into the vectors during cloning procedures, were confirmed using the dyeoxy chain-termination method by an automatic DNA sequencer (CEQ 2000XL; Beckman Coulter or DNA sequencer 3130 genetic analyser; Applied Biosystems). Sequence analysis was carried out using a DNASIS system (Hitachi Software Engineering).

Sequence Accession Number

DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank accession numbers for Ha-rp49 cDNA is AB552923.
Figure 3. Jumpstarter method in *Harmonia axyridis*. (A) Crossing scheme for jumpstarter method. A mutator line and a jumpstarter line are crossed (G₁). Newly hatched larvae expressing both ECFP and DsRed transformation markers in the CNS were selected from the progeny (G₂). Larvae carrying both the mutator and jumpstarter elements were heat shocked to induce transposase expression. Newly hatched larvae expressing only the DsRed transformation marker were selected from the progeny (G₃), and analyzed by PCR for mobilization of the mutator (i.e. integration elsewhere in the genome). Each unique insertion line was used to establish a new mutator line. (B) Schematic representation of PCR method to analyze mobilization of the original mutator element. Primer set HaG₁ and PLR was used to detect the original non-mobilized mutator element. If the original mutator element is remobilized, no PCR product will be detected. Primer set HaG₁-HaG₃ was used as a positive control to detect the homologous, mutator element-free chromosome. (C) Red circles denote progeny with apparent remobilization events. PCR amplification with the PLR-HaG₁ primer set was not detected in progeny marked with a red circle, because the original mutator element was mobilized to other genomic sites. These larvae were established as new mutator lines. Each G₂ female gave at least one newly mobilized progeny. (D) Comparison of DsRed fluorescence between
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original mutator line (middle) and two new mutator lines (left and right). Compare to original mutator line (middle), two new mutator line larvae show higher (left) or lower (right) expression of DsRed. (E) PCR analysis of mutator element mobilization. Newly hatched G3 larvae strongly or weakly expressing DsRed marker compared with original mutator line were selected and subjected to PCR analysis. PCR amplification with the PLR-HaG1 primer set was not detected in progeny marked with a red circle. In this case, detection efficiency of remobilized mutator element is increased compared with random selection (see result in C). (F) An enhancer-trap line of transgenic ladybird beetles. During the generation of mobilized mutator lines using the jumpstarter method, an enhancer expressing GFP throughout the body was detected (left); a wild-type control larva is also shown on the right. Panel displays a ventral view (anterior uppermost).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100804.g003

Results and Discussion

The composite vector is designed to produce an immobilized jumpstarter element and a mutator (Fig. 1A). The left half contains a 5’ ITR and a transposase gene; the right half contains both 5’ and 3’ ITRs. First, the composite vector is integrated into a chromosome. Transposase acts to remobilize the right half of the vector (5’ and 3’ ITRs) and integrate it elsewhere in the genome. This part is used as a mutator. The remainder, the left half with the 5’ ITR and transposase gene, are no longer mobile and remain in the original insertion site. This part is used as a jumpstarter.

In this study, we constructed a piggyBac-based transposon vector, pBac(hsp70-transposase)::3xP3-ECFP::hsp27-EGFP::3xP3-DsRed, containing the piggyBac transposase gene under the control of Drosophila heat shock protein 70 (Dm-hsp70) promoter [26] as the jumpstarter element and the Enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein (EGFP) gene under the hsp 27 minimal promoter as the mutator element (Fig. 1B) to detect a genomic enhancer. The Enhanced Cyan Fluorescent Protein (ECFP) gene and Discosoma Red Fluorescent Protein (DsRed) gene under the control of the 3xP3 element, which promotes expression in visual systems and the central nervous system (CNS) [29], were used as transformation markers for the jumpstarter and mutator, respectively. Two types of integration into the genome in G1 were obtained by microinjection of the vector into early embryos (G0): G1 larvae expressing both DsRed and ECFP confirmed integration of the entire composite element using the left end of the 5’ and 3’ ITRs, and larvae expressing DsRed alone indicated integration of the right half of the vector using the middle of the 5’ and 3’ ITRs.

To examine the utility of the vector carrying the composite element, we generated transgenic ladybird beetles. The transformation efficiency of the vector was 2.3% (Table 1) and five G0 individuals produced offspring that expressed both DsRed and ECFP (Fig. 1B). Three individuals also gave rise to progeny expressing DsRed alone (Fig. 1B), which were used as a mutator. Single transgenic G1 individuals that expressed both ECFP and DsRed markers were crossed with wild-type. In the G2 generation, larvae expressing ECFP alone were obtained and used as the potential jumpstarters (Fig. 1B). The precise excision of the right half of the vector was confirmed using inverse polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (data not shown). The jumpstarter element was very stable and has been subsequently maintained for 17 generations over eleven years. Insertions possessing the full composite element could possibly move as a single unit, or because of the preference for local movement of piggyBac, even if the jumpstarter and mutator are no longer a single unit, they could be too close together to detect remobilization via our selection method.

Figure 4. Results of inverse PCR for confirming remobilization of mutator. We performed inverse PCR using extracted DNA from original mutator individual and G4 individuals. Each G4 individual was derived from G2 individuals (2–5, described in Fig. 3E). Each mutator inserted to different position in each genome.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100804.g004
transposase marker.

Both elements were selected according to the presence of crossed to wild-type males (Fig. 3A, G2 cross) and G3 progenies mediated jumpstarter method used in at least one new insertion line in G3 progeny (Fig. 3C). In total, any such progeny should carry only the mutator element and were selected for expression of DsRed, but absence of CFP expression. Any such progeny should carry only the mutator element and were selected for expression of DsRed, but absence of CFP expression.

Since all adult males were sterile, mostly owing to the heat sensitivity of spermatogenesis, five females were individually crossed to wild-type males (Fig. 3A, G2 cross) and G3 progenies selected for expression of DsRed, but absence of CFP expression. Any such progeny should carry only the mutator element and were randomly selected for PCR transposition analysis (Fig. 3B). Jumping rate was 100%, that is, all five G2 females gave rise to at least one new insertion line in G3 progeny (Fig. 3C). In total, 27% of progeny without a jumpstarter element contained a new insertion of the mutator element; this is on par with the P-element-mediated jumpstarter method used in Drosophila [30].

Further, to increase the efficiency of establishing new mutator lines, we tested the possibility of selecting larvae with new insertions according to the strength of DsRed fluorescence. The position effect acting on genome insertion sites affects expression of the transformation marker, so by selecting larvae with higher or lower DsRed marker expression compared with that of the original mutator (Fig. 3D), we increased the efficiency of selection to 62% (Fig. 3E). In addition to this, we also confirmed remobilization by inverse PCR (Fig. 4). Furthermore, an enhancer-trap line expressing EGFP fluorescence throughout the body was generated (Fig. 3F). Such newly established enhancer-trap line with easily detectable marker also can be used as new mutator line.

However, our examination of several larval stages did not detect EGFP expression in other mutator lines. This suggests that the minimal promoter used in this study was not suitable for enhancer detection in Harmonia, as the choice of minimal promoter is crucial in Bombyx [31].

As shown in this study, we have successfully established stable transgenic mutator and jumpstarter lines. The standard methods for establishing jumpstarter and mutator lines requires researchers to perform two independent transformations. The strength of the method described here is the ability to generate both jumpstarter and mutator lines with a single transformation. In addition, we demonstrated the potential for the development of various enhancer-trap lines by crossing both transformed lines. This is the first report of a single transformation vector being used to generate separate helper and donor elements for functional analyses in non-model insects. Especially, ladybird beetles are used as study material in both of basic natural science (e.g. color pattern polymorphism) [32], [33] and applied sciences (e.g. biological control) [34]. Also, this method can be applied to the transgenic analyses of any organism using any two-component system.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Effect of differential heat shock temperature on piggyBac transposase expression. A reaction without reverse transcriptase (-RT) was performed with cDNA synthesis as a negative control. Harmonia axyridis ribosomal protein 49 (Ha-rp49) was used as an internal control.
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