Secondary Sulfates from the Monte Arsiccio Mine (Apuan Alps, Tuscany, Italy): Trace-Element Budget and Role in the Formation of Acid Mine Drainage
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Abstract: A suite of sulfate minerals from the Monte Arsiccio mine (Apuan Alps, Northern Tuscany, Italy), previously identified by using both X-ray diffraction and micro-Raman spectroscopy, was studied through inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), in order to determine their trace-element content. Several elements (Tl, Rb, As, Sb, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cr) were found above the detection limits. Among them, some are important from an environmental perspective and may reach relatively high concentrations (e.g., Tl = 1370–2988 µg/g; As = 505–1680 µg/g). Thus, these sulfates may act as transient sinks for some of these potentially toxic elements, as well as for sulfate ions and acidity. Indeed, dissolution experiments revealed the ability of these secondary minerals to produce a significant pH decrease of the solutions, as well as the release of Fe, Al, and K as major ions. This work discusses the relation between the budget of trace elements and the crystal chemistry of sulfate minerals and provides new insights about the environmental role played by the sulfate dissolution in controlling the quality of water in acid mine drainage systems.
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1. Introduction

The weathering of pyrite (FeS₂), the most abundant and widespread sulfide mineral on the Earth’s surface, is the dominant, yet very complex, process causing the acidification of natural waters and the release of many potentially toxic elements (PTEs) into the aqueous medium (e.g., [1,2]). The migration of the chemical components from pyrite (Fe, S, and a series of minor and trace elements), and from the minerals of the acid-altered country rocks to water may often be mediated and controlled by the formation of secondary minerals. These latter phases, dominated by hydrated sulfates (see [3,4]), are generally soluble in water, to a various extent, and can host variable amounts of PTEs as a function of their crystal-chemistry and the nature of the pyrite ore and the country rocks. The key role played by secondary minerals in acid mine drainage (AMD) systems is well-known [1,2,5]. Indeed, they can store or release sulfate ions, acidity, and PTEs, following the dry and wet season cycles [3]. In addition, the dissolution of sulfates containing Fe³⁺ can significantly enhance pyrite oxidation, as this ion is a faster oxidant than O₂ [6].

Geochemical data collected on the pyrite ores from the Southern Apuan Alps (Northern Tuscany, Italy) revealed the occurrence of high Tl, Sb, As, and Hg contents, as well as minor amounts of Zn, Cd, Pb, Ag, and Cu [7–9]. These results posed concerns about the release of these hazardous elements in the environment, as a consequence of pyrite weathering [10–12]. Indeed, pyrite ores from the Southern Apuan Alps are particularly prone to oxidation mainly due to the following factors: (1) their very fine grain size (usually <10 µm); (2) the very high rainfall of the area (>2000 mm/a) [13], coupled with the medium-to-high permeability of the rocks occurring in the hanging-walls of several pyrite ore bodies [14]; and (3) the widespread occurrence of populations of oxidizing bacteria...
inside the abandoned mining tunnels. The occurrence of sulfate assemblages, known since long time (e.g., [15]), has been overlooked up to the last decade, when a series of mineralogical studies, summarized in Biagioni et al. [16], were performed, highlighting the presence of complex sulfate associations.

Among the sulfate assemblages so far identified in the Apuan Alps ore deposits, our attention was focused on that recently discovered at the Monte Arsiccio mine [16]. This finding allowed the collection of a significant amount of sulfate samples, with euhedral crystals up to some cm in size, well-suited for a detailed description of their geochemistry. In addition, such a fully characterized material was used for a series of experiments permitting the assessment of the role played by sulfate dissolution in controlling the trace-element content and the pH of water in AMD systems, comparing these new data with those reported by previous authors (e.g., [17–20]).

2. Geological Background

The Monte Arsiccio mine (latitude 43°58′ N, longitude 10°17′ E) exploited one of the several small pyrite ± baryte ± iron oxide orebodies located in the southern sector of the Apuan Alps. These orebodies form a discontinuous mineralized belt of ~10 km with a NE–SW orientation (Figure 1). Their geological setting and geochemical features have been summarized in previous works (e.g., [8,9]). From northeast to southwest, the main mining sites were Fornovolasco, Buca della Vena, Canale della Radice, Monte Arsiccio, and Pollone mines.

![Figure 1. Simplified geological map of the Southern Apuan Alps, showing the location of the Monte Arsiccio mine and of the other abandoned mines of the area.](image-url)

The Monte Arsiccio mine exploited the economically most important pyrite ± baryte ± iron oxide deposit in the Apuan Alps. The orebodies are hosted within a Paleozoic metavolcanic–metasedimentary sequence, locally tourmalinized, close to the contact with
the overlying Triassic metadolostone ("Grezzonii" Formation), belonging to the Apuane Unit. The main exploited orebody at the Monte Arsiccio mine was represented by a lens-shaped body, having a size of 120 m × 90 m × 80 m [21]. The mineralogical study of Monte Arsiccio allowed the identification of more than one hundred mineral species [22]. Among them, 18 different species can be classified as secondary sulfates (Table 1). Crystal-chemical data, as well as some field observations, have been reviewed by Biagioni et al. [16]. An additional brief description of the sampled outcrop, as well as the sulfate associations observed at the Monte Arsiccio mine, are given below.

### Table 1. Secondary sulfate minerals from the Monte Arsiccio mine.

| Mineral          | Ideal Chemical Formula                  | Mineral          | Ideal Chemical Formula                  |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Alum-(K)         | KAl(SO₄)₂(H₂O)₁₂                          | Jarosite         | KFe³⁺₄(SO₄)₂(OH)₆                      |
| Alunogen         | Al₂(SO₄)₃(H₂O)₁₂ 5H₂O                     | Khademite        | Al(SO₄)F(H₂O)₈                         |
| Anhydrite        | Ca(SO₄)₂                                    | Krausite         | KFe³⁺₄(SO₄)₂(H₂O)                      |
| Coquimbite       | AlFe³⁺₃(SO₄)₆(H₂O)₁₂ 6H₂O                  | Magnanelliite    | K₃Fe³⁺₂(SO₄)₆(OF)(H₂O)₂                 |
| Epsomite         | Mg(SO₄)₂(H₂O)₆ ₂H₂O                        | Magnesiotripite  | MgFe³⁺₄(SO₄)₆(OH)₂(H₂O)₁₄ 6H₂O         |
| Giacoavazzoite   | K₂Fe³⁺₃O₃(SO₄)₆(H₂O)₁₂ 5H₂O                | Melanterite      | Fe³⁺₄(SO₄)₂(H₂O)₆ ₂H₂O                 |
| Goldichite       | KFe³⁺(SO₄)₂(H₂O)₁₂                          | Römerite         | Fe³⁺₄Fe³⁺₂(2SO₄)₁₂(H₂O)₁₄              |
| Gypsum           | Ca(SO₄)(H₂O)₂                                 | Scordarite       | K₈(Fe³⁺₀.₆7(II)₂₉.₃₃)[Fe³⁺₄SO₄(III)₁₂(H₂O)₁₁] |
| Halotrichite     | Fe²⁺Al₂(SO₄)₃(H₂O)₁₇ 5H₂O                    | Voltaite         | K₂Fe³⁺₅Fe³⁺₃Al(SO₄)₁₂(H₂O)₁₈          |

Note: minerals studied in this work are shown in bold.

### 3. The Monte Arsiccio Sulfate Assemblage

The sulfate assemblage occurring at the Monte Arsiccio mine is the result of the interaction between acid solutions derived from the pyrite oxidation and K-rich country rocks, mainly represented by quartz + muscovite + chlorite ± tourmaline schist, with quartzite and tourmalinite.

The main features of this sulfate assemblage are as follows:

1. The widespread occurrence of K-sulfates: it is worth noting that three new K-Fe³⁺ sulfate minerals were found in this assemblage (scordarite, magnanelliite, and giacoavazzoite [23–25]), along with all the other currently known species in the K₂O-Fe₂O₃-SO₃-H₂O system, with the only exception being represented by yavapaiite not yet identified at this locality:

2. The occurrence of the uncommon fluo-sulfate khademite [26];

3. The presence of outstanding specimens of coquimbite, observed in pseudohexagonal crystals up to 3 cm across [27], and voltaite [16].

The pyrite outcrop shows the pervasive growth of a complex assemblage of hydrated (Fe-Al-K)-bearing sulfates. One- to five-centimeter-thick veins of melanterite + halotrichite + alun-(K) ± alunogen pervade the surface of the pyrite ore body (Figure 2a). As described by Biagioni et al. [16], a zoning in the sulfate assemblage can be observed. Indeed, two small trenches (about 1 m by width × 0.5 m × 0.5 m in size) allowed a better description of the sulfate associations. In the inner portion of the ore body, masses of römerite associated to halotrichite, krausite, and coquimbite were observed (Figure 2b). In an intermediate zone between the external surface and the inner portion, abundant crystals of voltaite, along with römerite, goldichite, halotrichite, and melanterite (Figure 2c,d) were collected. Based on crystal size, rarity, and admixture with other phases, this study was focused on ten species.
Figure 2. The sulfate occurrence of the Monte Arsiccio mine. (a) Melanterite (green-blue) with halotrichite + alunogen (white) and jarosite (yellow); (b) masses of well-crystallized römerite (brown); (c) boulder of pyrite ore (gold-gray) enclosing well-crystallized voltaite (black) and halotrichite (white and light green); (d) boulder of pyrite ore (gold-gray) encrusted with voltaite (black), halotrichite + alunogen + alum-(K) (white), and römerite (brown).

4. Experimental
4.1. Samples

Table 1 shows the studied sulfates (in bold). A short description of the main physical features shown by these ten sulfate minerals is reported below; the selected samples are also shown in Figure 3.

Alum-(K) occurs as granoblastic colorless aggregates, formed by equant crystals, in some cases showing a rude octahedral morphology. Alunogen forms cleavable colorless masses, whereas the sample of coquimbite used in this work is represented by crystal fragments, purple to violet in color. Aggregates of prismatic crystals of purple goldichite were made available for this study. Halotrichite forms typical fibrous whitish aggregates, whereas the rare mineral khademite was observed as sugary aggregates of vitreous colorless crystals. The sample of krausite was represented by small clusters of tabular crystals, which were brownish greenish in color. Melanterite forms anhedral grains, which are light green in color, whereas fragments of euhedral crystals of römerite and voltaite were used for the study of these latter two minerals.

These phases were preliminarily identified on the basis of their physical properties; the identification was further confirmed through X-ray powder diffraction and micro-Raman spectroscopy.
Figure 3. The sulfates used for this study. Purified aliquots of these samples were selected for the geochemical analyses. The pictures were all taken at the same magnification.
4.2. X-ray Diffraction and Micro-Raman Spectroscopy

X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) analyses were performed by using a Bruker D2 Phaser diffractometer (30 kV, 10 mA), operating in Bragg–Brentano geometry (θ–θ scan mode) and equipped with a one-dimensional Linxeye detector. Nickel-filtered CuKα radiation was used. Data were collected in the scan range 5° – 65° in 2θ, with scan step of 0.02° and counting time of 0.1 s/step.

Micro-Raman spectra were collected on un-oriented samples, using a Horiba Jobin-Yvon XploRA Plus apparatus, with a 50× objective lens and the 532 nm line of a solid-state laser attenuated to 25% (i.e., 6.25 mW). The spectra were collected in the range between 100 to 4000 cm⁻¹, through multiple acquisitions (3), with counting times ranging between 60 and 120 s. Backscattered radiation was analyzed with a 1200 g/mm grating monochromator. Raman spectra were processed, using Fityk [28], subtracting the background and fitting the spectra to theoretical peak shapes, using Voigt functions. Experimental precision can be estimated at ±2 cm⁻¹.

Both analyses (XRPD and micro-Raman) were performed at the Pisa University’s Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra.

4.3. Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry

The dissolution of each sulfate was performed by dissolving about 50 mg of sample in 50 mL of 2% v/v HNO₃. Ultrapure water (Milli-Q, >18.2 MΩ·cm) and SupraPur HNO₃ (Romil SuperPure) were used for sample preparation. After appropriate dilution with ultrapure water, the sample solutions were analyzed for the elements Ag, As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Li, Mo, Ni, Pb, Sb, Sr, Tl, V, U, and Zn with a Perkin Elmer NexION 300X inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) at the Pisa University’s Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra. A solution containing 20 ng/mL of Rh, Re, and Bi (internal standards) was mixed on-line, with the sample solution, just before reaching the nebulizer. The instrument was calibrated with synthetic solutions made by diluting and mixing 10 µg/mL single element stock solutions (Inorganic Ventures). Analyte masses and detection limits are reported in Table 2.

Table 2. Analytical isotopes and detection limits (µg/g in the solid sample) for ICP-MS analyses.

| Element | Analyte Isotope (mass number) | Detection Limit (µg/g) |
|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Li      | 7                              | 0.5                   |
| V       | 51                             | 1                     |
| Cr      | 53                             | 1                     |
| Co      | 59                             | 0.1                   |
| Ni      | 60                             | 0.5                   |
| Cu      | 63                             | 1                     |
| Zn      | 66                             | 1                     |
| As      | 75                             | 0.5                   |
| Rb      | 85                             | 0.5                   |
| Sr      | 86                             | 1                     |
| Mo      | 98                             | 0.5                   |
| Ag      | 107                            | 0.1                   |
| Cd      | 111                            | 0.1                   |
| Sb      | 121                            | 0.5                   |
| Cs      | 133                            | 0.05                  |
| Tl      | 205                            | 0.05                  |
| Pb      | 206, 207, 208                  | 0.5                   |
| U       | 238                            | 0.05                  |

**Internal Standards**

|            |                   |
|------------|-------------------|
| Rh         | 103               |
| Re         | 187               |
| Bi         | 209               |
4.4. Dissolution Experiments

For the mineral dissolution experiments, hand-picked grains of the studied sulfates were grinded in an agate mortar and pestle, to <50 µm. For each mineral, 15.0 g of powders (7.5 g for alum-(K), alunogen, and goldichite, owing to the lack of more abundant pure material) was added to 75 mL of ultrapure water (Milli-Q, >18.2 MΩ·cm), in 100 mL glass beakers, set atop a stirring plate with a small Teflon stir bar. A flat piece of Styrofoam was placed between the base of the beaker and the stirring plate, to minimize the transfer of heat to the solutions. The pH and temperature of the solutions were monitored during the experiment. The pH electrode was calibrated at the beginning of each run, using a three-point pH buffer (pH = 1.67, 4.00, and 7.00), and the pH reading was corrected for temperature (Eutech Instruments pH/Ion 510 bench pH-meter coupled with Hamilton/Polylyte Lab 238403 electrode). All dissolutions were conducted at room temperature, which varied from 25 to 28 °C. The concentration of ferric iron in alunogen, halotrichite, alum-(K), and melanterite was determined by iodometry. Five grams of each mineral was dissolved in 50 mL of 1 M H₂SO₄. Five milliliters of sample solution was treated with a 50% m/v solution of potassium iodide (KI). Ferric iron is reduced to ferrous iron by iodide producing iodine according to the following reaction:

\[ 2\text{Fe}^{3+} + 2\text{I}^- \rightarrow \text{I}_2 + 2\text{Fe}^{2+} \]  

(I)

Iodine dissolves into the iodide-containing solution, giving triiodide ions (I₃⁻), which change the color solution to brown. The triiodide ion solution is then titrated against a 0.1 M sodium thiosulfate (Na₂S₂O₃):

\[ \text{I}_3^- + 2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^- \rightarrow 3\text{I}^- + \text{S}_4\text{O}_6^{2-} \]  

(II)

The end-point is revealed by the addition of some drops of starch solution. The detection limit of the used method is about 0.1 wt% Fe³⁺ in the solid sample.

5. Results

5.1. Mineralogy of the Studied Samples

Biagioni et al. [16] reviewed the main crystal-chemical features of the sulfates identified in the Monte Arsiccio assemblage. X-ray diffraction patterns confirmed that the selected samples used in this study were monophasic, within the detection limits of the XRPD (ca. <1 wt%). The identification was supported also by micro-Raman spectroscopy. Figure 4 shows the micro-Raman spectra of the studied phases. On the basis of their main structural features, the studied sulfates can be grouped into five groups, according to Hawthorne et al. [29]:

1. Sulfates characterized by unconnected SO₄ tetrahedra: alum-(K), alunogen, khademite, and melanterite (Figure 4a,b);
2. Sulfates with finite clusters of polyhedra: coquimbite, halotrichite, and römerite (Figure 4c,d);
3. Sulfates with infinite chains: krausite (Figure 4e,f);
4. Sulfates with infinite sheets: goldichite (Figure 4e,f);
5. Sulfates with three-dimensional framework: voltaite (Figure 4e,f).

Raman bands and their interpretation are given in Table 3. The interpretations are based on previous studies [26,27,30–39]. It is worth noting that the Raman spectra of goldichite and krausite have not been previously reported in the literature.
Figure 4. Raman spectra of the studied sulfates in the region between 100 and 1500 cm\(^{-1}\) and between 2500 and 4000 cm\(^{-1}\). (a,b) Alum-(K), alunogen, khademite, and melanterite; (c,d) coquimbite, halotrichite, and römerite; (e,f) goldichite, krausite, and voltaite.

Table 3. Raman bands (in cm\(^{-1}\)) and their assignments for the studied sulfates.

| Mineral      | Me–O and Lattice Modes | \(\nu_2\) (SO\(_4\)) | \(\nu_4\) (SO\(_4\)) | \(\nu_1\) (SO\(_4\)) | \(\nu_3\) (SO\(_4\)) | \(\nu\) (H\(_2\)O) |
|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Alum-(K)     | 146, 188, 313          | 450, 515              | 614                   | 972, 987              | 1102, 1130            | 2916, 3139, 3382, 3526 |
| Alunogen     | 133, 177, 280, 319     | 459, 524              | 602                   | 990                   | 1087, 1123             | 2979, 3241, 3441, 3526 |
| Coquimbite   | 148, 208, 281          | 457, 504              | 598                   | 1024                  | 1095, 1164, 1200      | 2944, 3180, 3405, 3577 |
| Goldichite   | 141, 155, 186, 203, 255, 291 | 414, 441, 467       | 591, 623, 632, 741, 771 | 951, 990              | 1017, 1120, 1147, 1232 | 1637, 3009, 3328, 3382, 3536, 3553, 3558 |
Table 3. Cont.

| Mineral      | Me–O and Lattice Modes | ν₂ (SO₄) | ν₄ (SO₄) | ν₁ (SO₄) | ν₃ (SO₄) | v (H₂O) |
|--------------|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Halotrichite | 212, 316, 350          | 423, 468 | 620      | 975, 995 | 1075, 1102, 1141 | 2895, 3049, 3264, 3423 |
| Khademite    | 127, 150, 300, 337     | 417, 432, 500, 514 | 567, 587, 602, 617 | 987 | 1079, 1129 | 2965, 3049, 3197, 3405 |
| Krausite     | 142, 196, 259, 291, 315| 422, 456, 472 | 635 | 953, 983, 1016, 1076 | 1141, 1219, 1265 | 3390 |
| Melanterite  | 140, 197, 243, 381     | 447      | 607      | 980      | 1101, 1142 | 1655, 3243, 3335, 3427, 3521 |
| Römerite     | 138, 173, 230, 260     | 463      | 608, 665 | 1011, 1036 | 1058, 1117, 1166, 1196 | 1653, 3249, 3352, 3486 |
| Voltaite     | 179, 263, 392          | 433, 464, 589 | 621, 650 | 988, 1025 | 1200, 1240 | 3204, 3437 |

Note: libration modes are shown in italic.

5.2. Trace-Element Content in Sulfate Minerals

The results of ICP-MS analyses of the studied sulfates are reported in Table 4. In general, the concentrations of the determined elements are relatively low and, in many cases, below the detection limit of the used method. The most interesting exceptions are the following (Figure 5):

1. Tl (1370–2988 µg/g) and Rb (140–252 µg/g) in the four K-bearing sulfates alum-(K), goldichite, krausite, and voltaite;
2. As (505–1680 µg/g) in coquimbite, goldichite, krausite, and voltaite;
3. Sb (41–81 µg/g) in khademite and voltaite;
4. Co, Ni, Cu and Zn in melanterite; Co, Ni, and Zn in römerite;
5. Cr (14–65 µg/g) in alum-(K), alunogen, coquimbite, halotrichite, and khademite.

Table 4. ICP-MS analyses (in µg/g) of the studied sulfates.

|          | Alum-(K) | Alunogen | Coquimbite | Goldichite | Halotrichite | Khademite | Krausite | Melanterite | Römerite | Voltaite |
|----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|--------------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------|---------|
| Li       | <0.5     | <0.5     | <0.5       | <0.5       | <0.5         | 3.8       | <0.5     | <0.5        | 3.8     |
| V        | <1       | <1       | 1          | 20         | <1           | 4         | 16       | <1          | <1      | 7       |
| Cr       | 31       | 65       | 21         | 1          | 52           | 14        | <1       | <1          | 7       | <1      |
| Co       | <0.1     | <0.1     | <0.1       | <0.1       | 2.2          | <0.1      | <0.1     | 15.8        | 10.5    | 6.8     |
| Ni       | <0.5     | <0.5     | <0.5       | <0.5       | 9.6          | <0.5      | <0.5     | 66          | 30.0    | 8.4     |
| Cu       | <1       | <1       | <1         | 6          | <1           | <1        | 4        | 15          | 72      | 12      |
| Zn       | <1       | <1       | <1         | 8          | 39           | 15        | 47       | 64          | 230     |
| As       | 10.4     | 1.4      | 1531       | 1094       | 12.3         | 5.8       | 1680     | <0.5        | 2.0     | 505     |
| Rb       | 140      | <0.5     | <0.5       | 252        | <0.5         | 1.4       | 236      | <0.5        | <0.5    | 140     |
| Sr       | <1       | <1       | <1         | <1         | <1           | <1        | <1       | <1          | <1      | <1      |
| Mo       | <0.5     | <0.5     | <0.5       | <0.5       | <0.5         | <0.5      | <0.5     | <0.5        | <0.5    | 0.8     |
| Ag       | 0.6      | <0.1     | <0.1       | <0.1       | 0.2          | 0.1       | 0.4      | 0.1         | <0.1    | <0.1    |
| Cd       | <0.1     | <0.1     | <0.1       | <0.1       | 0.2          | <0.1      | 0.2      | <0.1        | <0.1    | <0.1    |
| Sb       | 10.7     | <0.5     | 3.6         | 9.4        | 2.3          | 81        | 6.5      | 0.6         | <0.5    | 41      |
| Cs       | 4.5      | <0.05    | <0.05      | <0.05      | <0.05        | <0.05     | <0.05    | <0.05       | <0.05   | 0.36    |
| Tl       | 2501     | 3.5      | 3.9         | 1541       | 2.77         | 10.9      | 1370     | 0.92        | 6.8     | 2988    |
| Pb       | 14.3     | <0.5     | <0.5        | <0.5       | <0.5         | <0.5      | <0.5     | <0.5        | <0.5    | <0.5    |
| U        | <0.05    | <0.05    | <0.05       | <0.05      | <0.05        | <0.05     | <0.05    | <0.05       | <0.05   | 1.83    |
5.2. Trace-Element Content in Sulfate Minerals

The results of ICP-MS analyses of the studied sulfates are reported in Table 4. In general, the concentrations of the determined elements are relatively low and, in many cases, below the detection limit of the used method. The most interesting exceptions are the following (Figure 5):

1. Tl (1370–2988 μg/g) and Rb (140–252 μg/g) in the four K-bearing sulfates: alum-(K), goldichite, krausite, and voltaite;
2. As (505–1680 μg/g) in coquimbite, goldichite, krausite, and voltaite;
3. Sb (41–81 μg/g) in khademite and voltaite;
4. Co, Ni, Cu and Zn in melanterite; Co, Ni, and Zn in römerite;
5. Cr (14–65 μg/g) in alum-(K), alunogen, coquimbite, halotrichite, and khademite.

Figure 5. Concentrations of trace elements in the studied sulfates normalized to the Upper Continental Crust values of Taylor and McLennan [40]. Red stars represent the average values of 135 spot analyses by LA-ICP-MS of the Monte Arsiccio pyrite, taken from George et al. [9].

5.3. Dissolution Experiments

The results of the mineral dissolution experiments are shown in Figure 6, which reports the pH value vs. elapsed time. All experiments showed a significant decrease of pH during the first 30 s from the beginning of the dissolution (Figure 6a). This decrease (measured from the pH of the Milli-Q water) varied from 2.44 pH units for melanterite to 3.67 pH units for römerite. After 120 min, the pH of the solutions stabilized around a nearly constant value. Halotrichite and melanterite, iron sulfates nominally containing Fe$^{2+}$ and no Fe$^{3+}$, dissolved, completely giving a final solution with pH of 2.18 and 3.04, respectively. Frau [17] obtained very similar results dissolving melanterite (from the Genna Luas mine, Sardinia, Italy) and its synthetic analogue at a concentration of 200 g/L. Valente and Gomes [18] dissolved halotrichite and melanterite + rozenite from the Valdarcas mine (Portugal), at a concentration of 20 g/L obtaining pH values of ~ 3.3 and 3.6, respectively. Alunogen and alum-K) dissolved completely, giving a final solution with pH of 2.53 and 2.89, respectively. Coquimbite, goldichite, and krausite, sulfates nominally containing just Fe$^{3+}$ and no Fe$^{2+}$, dissolved only partially, giving a final solution with a pH of 1.41, 1.87, and 1.91, respectively. Römerite and voltaite, sulfates containing both Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$, dissolved completely, giving a final solution with pH of 1.28 and 1.56, respectively.

A single experiment aimed at evaluating the effect of increasing the mass of dissolved sulfate on the solution acidity was performed by using römerite. The results (Figure 6b) indicate a regular decrease of pH increasing the amount of dissolved römerite down to pH = 0.86, at a dissolved römerite concentration of 36.7% m/m (43.6 g römerite + 75.0 g water). At the end of this experiment, the solution was still undersaturated with römerite.
Figure 6. Relationships between measured pH and elapsed times in the dissolution experiments of the studied sulfates (a). In (b), the pH variation as a function of the dissolved mass of römerite is shown.

6. Discussion

6.1. From Geochemistry to Crystal-Chemistry: The Role of Sulfate Minerals in Sequestering PTE

Trace-element contents, as revealed by ICP-MS analyses, can be related both to the geochemistry of pyrite ores and their host rocks and to the crystal-chemistry of crystallizing sulfates. Since the exploratory study of Biagioni et al. [7], further geochemical investigations [8,9] have shown the main features of the Monte Arsiccio pyrite ores. In particular, as indicated in Figure 5, taking into account the average trace-element budget of pyrite, several elements are more abundant than in average crustal rocks: Ni, As, Mo, Ag, Cd, Sb, Tl, and Pb. These geochemical features are mirrored by the trace-element contents measured in sulfates. In addition, the composition of these latter phases is also influenced by the geochemistry of host rocks. No data are available for the Monte Arsiccio mine;
however, Vezzoni et al. [41] reported major- and trace-element contents in the Paleozoic formations cropping out in the Sant’Anna tectonic window, where the Monte Arsiccio mine is located. In particular, the host rocks are strongly enriched in K, resulting in the relatively high abundance of K-sulfates in the studied assemblage.

In these minerals, K can be easily replaced by Tl and Rb, owing to the similar ionic radii and the same formal charge. Indeed, alum-(K), goldichite, krausite, and voltaite are enriched in both these trace elements. The substitution of K by Tl was previously described by Biagioni et al. [31], in alum-(K) and voltaite from the Fornovolasco mine, where this substitution is even more pronounced, reaching some wt% in both sulfates. This is likely related to the higher Tl content shown by pyrite ores at Fornovolasco [7–9]. High Tl and Rb concentrations (377–1646 and 53–182 µg/g, respectively) were also found by Dimitrova et al. [4], in the voltaite efflorescences formed by oxidation at laboratory conditions of colloform pyrite (Chiprovtsi deposit, Bulgaria). While the high Tl contents in K-sulfates can be explained on the basis of crystal-chemical considerations, the values measured in the other phases are more difficult to be explained. Actually, these values are two to three orders of magnitude lower than those observed in K-sulfates. The higher contents were measured in khademite (10.9 µg/g) and römerite (6.8 µg/g). The crystal structure of both minerals does not show any site potentially able to host the large monovalent Tl$^+$ cation; on the contrary, they could potentially be able to host the smaller and high-charged Tl$^{3+}$ cation, but no data suggesting the oxidation of Tl from the monovalent to the trivalent state in the studied assemblage are currently available. In addition, taking into account the crystallization sequence observed at Monte Arsiccio [16], this explanation seems unlikely, because römerite is among the first formed phases. Another hypothesis could be related to the widespread occurrence of fluid inclusions observed in both khademite and römerite. Thallium is likely preferentially partitioned in the aqueous fluid and can enter in the crystal structure of crystallizing sulfates only late in the crystallization process. Finally, taking into account the analytical technique employed for the trace-element analysis, a contamination by other phases occurring as µm-sized inclusions, cannot be completely ruled out.

Arsenic is enriched in coquimbite, krausite, goldichite, and voltaite. It is very likely that As enters the crystal structure of these species as As$^{5+}$, replacing S$^{6+}$. The substitution mechanism is not yet clear. Indeed, it could involve the heterovalent double substitution S$^{6+}$ + O$^{2−}$ = As$^{5+}$ + OH$^{−}$, with the protonation of one oxygen atom belonging to the coordination tetrahedron centered by As, or through some kinds of heterovalent substitution involving other cation sites. The As-enriched nature of coquimbite has been reported from other occurrences. For instance, Romero et al. [42] observed a relation between the modal abundance of coquimbite and the As content of the sulfates from the abandoned mine site of La Peña del Hierro, in the Iberian Pyrite Belt, Spain; similarly, Nieva et al. [43] reported high As concentrations in sulfate efflorescences from the abandoned tailing dams at La Concordia mine, Argentina, with copiapite and coquimbite as the dominant crystalline phases. Halotrichite, khademite, römerite, and alunogen have a definitely lower, but still detectable, As content. Extremely high As contents (417–16,600 µg/g) were measured in efflorescent voltaite, halotrichite, and römerite grown on the arsenian colloform pyrite from the Chiprovtsi deposit, Bulgaria [4].

Antimony is another PTE enriched in the Monte Arsiccio assemblage, likely as Sb$^{5+}$ cation. It is particularly concentrated in khademite (81 µg/g) and voltaite (41 µg/g), but there is not a clear crystal-chemical mechanism allowing an explanation for this preferential partitioning.

On the contrary, the contents of Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn detected in melanterite, as well as those of Co, Ni, and Zn measured in römerite are easily explained on the basis of a simple homovalent substitution between Fe$^{2+}$ and the other divalent transition metals. In the melanterite group, species having Co (bieberite), Cu (boothite), and Zn (zinc-melanterite) as dominant divalent cation are known [3]. Currently, no Ni-dominant analogues of melanterite are known. Indeed, the maximum Ni content corresponds to the formula (Fe$_{0.54}$Ni$_{0.46}$)SO$_4$·7H$_2$O [3], whereas natural occurrences showed lower Ni contents [44].
Similar minor- to trace-elements contents in melanterite are commonly reported by other authors (e.g., [19,20]). Cobalt, Ni, and Zn can replace Fe$^{2+}$ in römerite; for instance, the Zn-analogue of römerite is known as lishizhenite [45]. Zinc is enriched also in voltaite (along with minor Cd, that likely follows the fate of Zn, owing to their geochemical affinity); this is not surprising, taking into account the existence of the Zn-analogue of voltaite, zincovoltaite [46].

Chromium (most likely as Cr$^{3+}$) is hosted in those phases characterized by Al$^{3+}$. Indeed, it occurs in alunogen, halotrichite, alum-(K), coquimbite, and khademite. Chromium-analogues of some of these phases are known, both natural and synthetic (e.g., [47,48]).

A last geochemical feature is represented by low amounts of V (up to 42 µg/g), likely in the trivalent state, occurring in goldichite, krausite, andoltaite. The V-rich nature of the Monte Arsiccio ore is known since the first identification of mannardite [49] and the subsequent finding of other V− or V-bearing phases (derbylite, graeserite, mapiquiroite, and stibivanite [50,51]).

### 6.2. Sulfate Dissolution and Acidity Production

The major metal ions occurring in the experimental solutions are Fe$^{3+}$, Al$^{3+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, and K$^+$. These positive ions attract, to variable extent, the electron cloud of their water of hydration, making their OH bond weaker and the water acidic, according to the following reaction:

$$\text{MOH}^{n+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{MOH}^{(n-1)+} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$$  (3)

The pK$a$ of Fe$^{3+}$, Al$^{3+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, and K$^+$ at 25 °C for the above reaction are 2.2, 5.0, 9.4, and 14.0, respectively [52]. The equilibrium constant for K$^+$ is very small; thus, this ion in a solution can induce just a minor change of water pH. The equilibrium constant of equation for Fe$^{3+}$ is 2.8 log units larger than that of Al$^{3+}$ and 7.2 log units larger than that of Fe$^{2+}$. Therefore, it could be expected that the acidity of the solutions of the sulfate minerals of this study will be mainly controlled by the concentration, even at low levels of Fe$^{3+}$, and by the concentration of Al$^{3+}$ in the Al-bearing phases. We determined the concentration of Fe$^{3+}$ in alunogen, halotrichite, alum-(K), and melanterite (four sulfates not containing Fe$^{3+}$ in their end-member formulae), finding 1.24, 0.94, 0.47, and 0.13 wt% Fe$^{3+}$, respectively. These data thus confirm the conclusions by Hurowitz et al. [53] concerning the considerable effect of Fe$^{3+}$, even when present as a minor component, on the acidity of mine waters.

### 6.3. Sulfate Mineralogy and AMD Geochemistry

As reported in the Introduction, the hydrogeological setting of the Monte Arsiccio mining area, coupled with the high rainfall of the Apuan Alps, favors an intense interaction between meteoric water and orebodies, promoting the formation of AMD. Acidic effluents come out from many adits of the Monte Arsiccio mine but are particularly abundant at the Sant’Erasmo tunnel [10]. Indeed, this is the lowest level (485 m a.m.s.l.) of the whole mining area collecting the majority of its internal waters. The geochemistry of the AMD flowing out of the Sant’Erasmo tunnel, along with other physicochemical parameters (e.g., temperature, pH, Eh, electrical conductivity, flow rate, etc.), is well constrained [10,12].

In Figure 7, the maximum, minimum, and average concentration (µg/L) of a series of PTEs, measured over the period 2014–2016, in the AMD from the Sant’Erasmo tunnel, are reported, along with the concentration (µg/g) of the same elements in the studied sulfates.
According to Perotti et al. [10], the AMD at the Sant’Erasmo tunnel can be classified as a high-acid/high-metal type. As stressed by these authors, almost 99.3% of the total metal and metalloids occurring in mine waters is represented by Fe (98.3%) and Al (1.0%), i.e., the two most abundant chemical components of the sulfate assemblage from the Monte Arsiccio mine. Moreover, from Figure 7, it may be argued that sulfates exert a control over the concentrations of Tl, As, Sb, and Cr in the studied AMD. Even if only a qualitative comparison between trace-element contents in sulfates and in AMD can be proposed, it is interesting to observe that, whereas, in sulfate minerals, Tl content is comparable or even slightly larger than As content, in AMD, As is enriched with respect to Tl, notwithstanding the well-known capacity of As to be adsorbed on Fe- and Al-oxy-hydroxides (e.g., [54]). This Tl depletion could be possibly related to the crystallization of Tl-bearing K-sulfates, in some cases showing low solubilities (e.g., krausite and goldichite). In this way, the sulfate assemblage of the Monte Arsiccio may play an active role as long-term sinks of Tl in the AMD system, similarly to what is suggested by Biagioni et al. [31] for the Fornovolasco mine, where Tl-bearing alum-(K) and voltaite have been observed. As a matter of fact, the occurrence of K-rich country rocks, favoring the crystallization of several kinds of K-sulfates (not only those studied in this work but also alunite supergroup minerals such as jarosite), seems to be the main factor promoting the Tl sequestration. In addition to these geochemical features, also the pH value of the AMD from Sant’Erasmo tunnel (maximum = 2.50, minimum = 1.46, average = 2.00 over the period 2014–2015 [10,12]) suggests it could be at least partially controlled by the dissolution of secondary sulfates, in agreement with the dissolution experiments carried out in this study.

7. Conclusions

This is a detailed mineralogical and geochemical study of an exceptional assemblage of well-crystallized hydrated (Fe-Al-K)-bearing sulfates from the Monte Arsiccio mine. Analytical data and dissolution experiments allowed us to draw the following conclusions in terms of partitioning of many PTE in the secondary sulfates and production of acid waters by sulfate dissolution:

1. The studied secondary sulfates show a widely variable ability to store PTE in accordance with their widely variable crystal-chemistry.
2. The four K-bearing sulfates store a significant quantity of Tl (and Rb), whereas coquimbite, goldichite, krausite, and voltaite are a repository of As. The Al-rich sulfates
alunogen, halotrichite, alum-(K), coquimbite, and khademite show an enrichment in Cr. The Fe-only sulfates melanterite and römerite are characterized by a modest enrichment in the base metals Cu, Zn, Co, and Ni. Finally, khademite and, less so, voltaite exhibit moderately high concentrations of Sb.

3. Dissolution experiments demonstrate that the dissolution of the studied sulfates always produces acidity. The acidity is ultimately generated by Fe\(^{3+}\) hydrolysis. Even the secondary sulfates not containing Fe\(^{3+}\) in their ideal chemical formula (alum-(K), alunogen, halotrichite, and melanterite) generate acidity upon their dissolution in water due to the measured occurrence of minor amounts of Fe\(^{3+}\). The highly soluble secondary sulfates containing high proportions of Fe\(^{3+}\) (e.g., römerite, coquimbite, and voltaite) can easily induce a decrease of the pH of the solutions down to values <1.0.

4. The geochemistry of the AMD flowing out of the Monte Arsiccio mine is the result of a very complex series of processes occurring inside the mineralized area. However, the comparison between the PTE concentrations of the AMD and those measured in the studied secondary sulfates suggests that the dissolution of the latter may exert a strong control over the concentrations of dissolved Tl, As, Sb, and Cr.
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