Correlation between equi-partition of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases and amino-acid biosynthesis pathways
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ABSTRACT

The partition of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) into two classes of equal size and the correlated amino acid distribution is a puzzling still unexplained observation. We propose that the time scale of the amino-acid synthesis, assumed to be proportional to the number of reaction steps ($N_E$) involved in the biosynthesis pathway, is one of the parameters that controlled the timescale of aaRSs appearance. Because all pathways are branched at fructose-6-phosphate on the metabolic pathway, this product is defined as the common origin for the $N_E$ comparison. For each amino-acid, the $N_E$ value, counted from the origin to the final product, provides a timescale for the pathways to be established. An archeological approach based on $N_E$ reveals that aaRSs of the two classes are generated in pair along this timescale. The results support the coevolution theory for the origin of the genetic code with an earlier appearance of class II aaRSs.

INTRODUCTION

Translation of the genetic codes to the amino-acid sequence of proteins is one of the most important events in every organism. The universality of the genetic code suggests that the code was established in its present form prior to the emergence of LUCA, the Last Universal Common Ancestor. Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain its origin and evolution (1–4). The coevolution theory postulates that prebiotic synthesis was an inadequate source of all twenty protein amino acids, and therefore some of them had to be derived from the coevolving pathways of amino acid biosynthesis. The latter would then represent the dominant factor shaping the code. The coevolution theory finds more and more additional support (5,6).

In all present organisms the aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) are responsible for defining the genetic code by pairing the correct amino acids to their cognate tRNAs. The aaRSs are believed to have origins that may predate the last common ancestor and as such can provide insights into the evolution and development of the genetic code (7). Their function is to attach the amino acid substrate to the CCA end of the cognate tRNA through a two-step reaction. To reveal the structural aspects of the reaction mechanisms several crystal structures of aaRSs in the apo state or in complex with substrates (amino acids, ATP and tRNA) have been solved and analyzed (8). A parallel investigation based on primary sequences analysis led to the partition of aaRSs into two classes based on mutually exclusive sets of sequence motifs (9). A functional correlation could be made that rationalized this unexpected discovery: Class I aaRSs charge the aminoacyl moieties at the 2’-OH of the terminal tRNA ribose while Class II enzymes charge their aminoacyl substrate at the 3’-OH. These are two different chiral centers which explain the necessity of the different structures for the related active sites. The experimental evidences for that functional and puzzling discrepancy were long available (10). Two main structural features corroborated the partition discovery. All Class I catalytic domains adopt the widespread Rossmann fold while Class II active sites exhibit a unique anti-parallel β-sheet fold with three signature motifs. Furthermore aaRS-tRNA interactions are also correlated so that Class I aaRSs bind to the minor groove side of the tRNA acceptor stem while Class II aaRSs bind the major groove side (11). The relationship between the two classes of aaRSs and the genetic code was then revisited (12). A model of co-evolution where the two classes would descend from opposite strands of the same ancestral gene was proposed by Rodin-Ohno (13,14). The earlier proposal for an operational RNA code for amino acids, associated to the acceptor stem helix was another suggestion of interest on the same line (15). A recent in depth analysis of the acceptor stems sustains and extends this hy-
pothesis (16). The interaction with tRNA would then be the main driving force for the class separation (17).

Which class came first is still an open question. The Rossmann fold is one of the most ancient and functionally diverse protein folds (18). The existence of several major Rossmann-fold enzymes classes, with different cofactors and catalytic chemistries, suggests a divergence from a common pre-LUCA ancestor (19). The class II catalytic domains have a unique alpha-beta fold similar to that of Biotin Ligase, BirA (7). Their evolution can be reconstructed from three peptidyl-hairpins. The origin of class II aaRSs has then be postulated at the transition between a thioester world of peptides and the phosphoester world of nucleotides (20,21). An earlier emergence of class II aaRSs was also proposed based on a correlation between aa biosynthetic pathways and aaRSs (22). Both classes bind ATP but so far the conformation observed in class II enzymes has been found in one other enzyme only (23). Backbone Brackets and Arginine Tweezers were identified as the most compact ATP binding motifs characteristic for each class and traced back to the proposed Protozymes and their more efficient successors, the Urzymes (24).

While glyRS and alaRS are generally accepted as the best candidates for the origin of class II enzymes, the relative position in the order of appearance of the other aaRSs, notably the Class I members is not yet established. The paper addresses that question and the related one of the equal ratio of class members, referred to as equi-partition (Figure 1). In any archaeological approach, a time stamp is needed to track the related traces of fossils, ruins etc. In bio-molecular archeology, it is generally difficult to estimate the history of molecules because their traces are missing. As for the aaRSs, if the specificity for a given aaRS appears through aa mutations of the enzyme scaffold, it cannot exert its function without the proper aa substrate. Therefore aaRS appearance and substrate production should be correlated. The time lags of aa appearances can be expected to vary depending on the number of reaction steps or the number of enzymes involved. It is then possible to count the number of enzymes (\(N_E\)) involved in the different pathways and correlate them to the time lags necessary for a given aa production. From that one might be able to construct correlations relevant to the origin of the respective aaRS. In this paper, we describe how to estimate each time lag and then examine whether a significant relationship exists between aa synthesis and aaRS appearances.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Simplified model of enzyme appearance**

In the aa biosynthesis, individual aas are synthesized along their own pathway, in which several specialized enzymes (\(X_i\), \(i = 1, N_E\)) are working through step-by-step reactions. For simplification, it is necessary to introduce the following assumptions:

1. Even if one of the members \(X_i\) with a specific function has appeared, when \(X_i\) has no target, it will be corrupted. When \(X_i\) is adopted for some purpose, it will be able to exert its function, and the amount of \(X_i\) will be magnified to maintain the homeostatic relation with other members.
2. To establish a pathway each \(X_i\) must appear in the order of the reaction steps. Accordingly, the sum of each appearance time of all enzymes involved in the pathway could be regarded as the pathway formation time.
3. In the same way, it is assumed that the new aaRS corresponding to an aa will appear after the pathway formation. Therefore, when all aaRSs are arranged in the order of a time series of the pathway formations, it could suggest a timescale of aaRS appearances.

The time scale thus obtained may suggest a new perspective for the translation machinery appearance. The present study examines what features will emerge when using the present organism pathways.

The number of mutations can be linearly related to the time \(D\) spent for appearance of a new enzyme, and its appearance must be synchronized with the reaction steps. In the aa biosynthesis, when the number of concerned enzymes or reaction steps is defined as \(N_E\), it is possible to estimate the time \(T\) spent for the formation of the pathway by the following equation, \(T = N_E \times D\). If \(D\) is assumed to be constant, the value of \(N_E\) itself becomes a measure of the appearance time of the pathway. Their values should be proportional to the complexity or difficulty of biosynthesis reaction. Therefore, the estimated \(N_E\) value could be expected as a useful parameter in order for investigation of the bio-molecular archeology.

**Estimation of appearance time**

In order to evaluate the \(N_E\) values, all aa biosynthesis pathways have been retrieved from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Pathway Database (25, see also http://www.genome.jp/kegg-bin/show_pathway?map01230) together with other related enzymes. A view of the pathways has been illustrated in Figure 2, in which the number of enzymes involved between the key metabolites is indicated as the local path (\(\Delta N_E\)),

### Table: Class I vs Class II aaRSs

| Class I | Class II |
|---------|----------|
| aaRS    | aa       |
| CysRS   | Cys      |
| ValRS   | Val      |
| IleRS   | Ile      |
| LeuRS   | Leu      |
| GluRS   | Glu      |
| GlnRS   | Gln      |
| MetRS   | Met      |
| ArgRS   | Arg      |
| TyrRS   | Tyr      |
| TrpRS   | Trp      |

![Figure 1](image-url). The two classes of aaRSs partitioned according to the structure characteristic of their active site domains and the corresponding aa.
Figure 2. Biosynthesis pathways of amino acids and nucleotide triphosphates. Red colored digits indicate the number of reaction steps between the key metabolites. This diagram has been illustrated from the KEGG Pathway Database (25). Broken lines indicate supply of reactants. PEP is essential for making the aromatic benzene ring of Phe, Tyr and Trp. In addition, PRPP joins to form the indole moiety of Trp. While His is synthesized from PRPP with the help of ATP to make the imidazole moiety. Dotted lines may be for bypasses formed in later. All the aa pathways developed from F6P are divided into three groups; GA is on a nearest short path from F6P to Pyrv, GB is branched from TCA as an enzymatic circuit, and GC is based from the PPP core (see Figure 3B for details).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The biosynthesis pathways

Figure 2 shows a whole view of the pathways with the number of concerned enzymes or synonymously with the number of the local reaction steps (ΔN_E) between the key metabolites. The two reaction circuits of urea cycle (UCycle) and PPP core are shown in details in Figure 3A and B, respectively. As all the pathways are commonly passing through F6P, the origin of pathways has been defined at this metabolite. The total N_E values of all aas, calculated by the sum of the local ΔN_E from F6P to the target aa, are shown in Figure 4. A broken line indicates supply of PEP for making aromatic six-membered moieties of Phe, Tyr and Trp. While 5-phospho-α-D-ribose-1-diphosphate (PRPP) extended from R5P of the PPP core is an essential metabolite for the Trp and His biosynthesis. At the same time, this PRPP is the key station to develop the nucleoside-triphosphate (NTP) and its deoxy derivative (dNTP) biosynthesis pathways, as shown in Figure 2. Dotted lines were ignored as bypasses in the present analysis because they might appear later. All the pathways can be clustered in three groups (GA, GB and GC) depending on the architectural constructs (linear, single circuit and multi-circuit).

Three characteristic groups of the aa biosynthesis pathways

The GA group which encompasses linearly from F6P to Pyrv is located at the beginning of a metabolic path after the glycolysis (see Figure 2). From the origin, the N_E values between F6P and Pyrv is counted to be seven by adding the four enzymes (6-phosphofructokinase 1, fructose-bisphosphate aldolase I, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase and phosphoglycerate kinase) from F6P to G3P, the two enzymes (2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-dependent phosphoglycerate mutase and enolase) from G3P to PEP and one enzyme (pyruvate kinase) between PEP and Pyrv. In this group, eight amino acids (Ser, Gly, Ala, Thr, Cys, Val, Ile and Leu) appear near the origin. These are limited to aas carrying small or medium hydrophobic groups or those with hydroxyl or thiol group.

The GB group extended from GA contains a TCA cycle which is an enzymatic circuit composed of eight kinds of enzymes (citrate synthase, aconitase, isocitrate dehydrogenase, α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, succinyl-CoA synthetase, succinate dehydrogenase, fumarase and malate dehydrogenase). Therefore, the TCA cycle (8-membered single
Figure 3. Two multiple circuits in the aa biosynthesis pathways. (A) UCycle formed at ornithin extended from Glu pathway. The triple circuits are composed of five enzymes to produce Arg and urea. (B) PPP (Pentose phosphate pathway) core composed of reversible reactions. The central hexagonal circuit is highly modified by adding several local paths to form a 3D architecture. This diagram has been illustrated from the biosynthesis pathway maps of the KEGG database.

Figure 4. Amino acids rearranged according to the number of enzymes \( N_E \) involved in the aa biosynthesis pathways. Amino acids are partitioned into the two classes to which the corresponding aaRSs belong, and divided into the three groups depending on the biosynthesis pathways (see Figure 2). \( N_{SA} \) indicates the number of the non-hydrogen atoms of aa side chain. The digits arrowed are the values of \( N_E \) compensated for the formation of multiple-reaction circuit. The ratios indicate the number of aaRSs in the box of each class.
alyze reversible reactions. In order to supply a sufficient amount of metabolite products to the four pathways, all enzymes must be controlled through reversible catalytic reactions. It suggests that they could be assembled as a complex. As shown in Figure 2, there are two key metabolites, erythrose-4-phosphate (E4P) and ribose-5-phosphate (R5P), which are linked to the GC dependent aa biosynthesis pathways. With the help of PEP, Phe and Tyr are synthesized from E4P. At the metabolite chorismate (Cr) of this pathway, the Trp pathway is branched, and by getting the help of PRPP the Trp pathway is completed. On the way from PRPP to His, ATP synthesized in the long ATP pathway (see Figure 2) is decomposed to supply the imidazole moiety as the side chain of His.

Estimated $N_E$ values

In Figure 4, the calculated $N_E$ values are separated in three groups (GA, GB and GC) and partitioned into the two classes of aaRSs, and then aas are rearranged in the order of the $N_E$ values as the timescales. Prior to the smallest amino acid Gly, Ser appears as the first aa through dehydrogenation-amination-dephosphorylation of G3P. After that, Ser is converted to Gly with the help of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate. On the other hand, Ser is converted to Cys by acetylation and thiolation using hydrogen-sulfide from the sulfur metabolism. On the other hand, Ala is produced directly from Pyr. From Pyr, other three hydrophobic aas are also synthesized. It suggests that the side chain size is proportional to the $N_E$ values. In other words, when the size of the side-chain is larger, its biosynthesis lag time is increased.

A similar trend can be seen for the GB aas, but the $N_E$ values of GB become larger than those of GA, reflecting the difference in the side-chain size or the complexity between the two groups. In GB, Glu appears directly from OXA and then amidated to produce Asn. In the same way, Glu appears from 2OG and then it is converted to Gln. The $N_E$ values are varied in parallel (correlatively) between the Asp and Glu pathways. Furthermore, the Met and Lys pathways are extended from Asp. The Lys pathway contains multiple paths. In a similar way, the Pro and Arg pathways are extended from Glu. As shown in Figure 3A, the Arg pathway contains UCycle to generate the guanidine moiety of Arg from ornithine. The correlation between the side-chain size and the $N_E$ values described in GA is more apparent in other groups. Nevertheless most observed values show a reasonable correlation with the size of the side chains, reflecting the difficulty of biosynthesis. This means that the $N_E$ value is a valid approach to evaluate the timescale of aa appearance. In the GC group, however, the $N_E$ values seem to be underestimated. To compensate it, it is necessary to introduce an additional time cost such as the PPP core for the multi-enzyme machinery formation. To form a cyclic pathway, both ends of a linear reaction chain must be linked together. For this reason, an additional time lag ($\Delta N_A$) will be required for the circuit formation, such as TCA cycle and UCycle. In the case of PPP core, however, the three hexagonal circuits are fused together, as shown in Figure 3B. In addition, one of the hexagons contains three square circuits. Formation of such complicated multiple circuits will add large time lag.

From E4P of the PPP core (Figure 2), Phe and Tyr are synthesized with the help of PEP, essential for benzene (aromatic) moiety formation. While PRPP extended from the PPP core can be regarded as the essential key metabolite to produce the aromatic heterocycle of the side chains since all the bases (A, G, C, T and U) of NTP and dNTP are synthesized from this PRPP. Therefore Trp and His might be the last aas. Here it is interesting to compare the two aas. In Trp biosynthesis, PRPP reacts with 2-aminobenzoic acid from E4P to produce indole-glycerol, from which the released indole is bound to Ser. His side chain looks simpler, but the imidazole formation is difficult. The His pathway requires the adenine moiety of ATP as a reactant and the ATP biosynthesis pathway is longer than the Trp pathway. The resulting effects on $N_E$ calculation is included in the compensation factor ($\Delta N_A$) of GC amino-acids.
It is difficult to accurately estimate the additional time lag ($\Delta N_A$). In order to form a circuit, each enzyme must be further modified by mutations so that it can collaborate with other enzymes working before and after the reaction series. In addition, it must be tuned to work repeatedly with high efficiency and to ensure the high quality. Here this time lag is assumed to be more than twice the $\Delta N_E$ value of the PPP core. So the $\Delta N_A$ value is roughly assigned to be 20. However, the pathways to Phe, Tyr and Trp extend from E4P just after F6P, suggesting that the three aas branch out before completion of the PPP core. Therefore, the $\Delta N_A$ values of these aas are assigned to be 10, half the $\Delta N_A$ value of the full PPP core formation. His is synthesized after the core formation with the help of ATP which is synthesized in the branch downstream. Therefore, the $N_E$ values of the GC aas should be compensated by these additional time lags. By adding $\Delta N_A$ to the net $N_E$ values of GC aas, we can obtain the following $N_E$ values ($N_E = 29, 29, 31$ and $39$ for Tyr, Phe, Trp and His, respectively), as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 5 shows a plot of the side-chain size (number of atoms except hydrogen) along the $N_E$ values throughout the three groups. The aas from the two classes are distributed along a same dotted line. The correlation coefficient containing both aas shows a high value ($CC = 0.83$ with $R^2 = 0.69$ and $P$-value $= 5.7 \times 10^{-8}$), and even in each class, the $CC$ value is 0.90 for class I and 0.87 for class II. This fact suggests that the compensation ($N_A$) applied to the GC aas is well approximated. On the left side of the line Ser occupies the first position in front of Gly and Ala, because Ser can be easily prepared from glycolysis metabolite G3P. At the other end, Trp and His deviate from the line in two directions opposite to each other. Although Trp has a largest side chain, the indole moiety is prepared with the help of PRPP. The imidazole moiety formation requires the help of additional enzymes and metabolites, as described above.

**Structural considerations**

The molecular clustering of the enzymes along the GA pathway could suggest a common ancestry. To confirm that, the molecular structures of all the enzymes on the pathways of the GA group were examined for similarity in the folding and in the higher order architecture (Figure 6). Only PurL and PurM in the PuTP biosynthesis pathway are homologous (26,27). Furthermore, it seems that the relative amounts of Ile and Val are controlled by supply of Thr in the first reaction of PyrV by acetoacetate synthetase, as shown in Figure 6.

Few exceptions to the functional and structural properties associated to the class partition can be observed, i.e. the existence of a class I lysRS instead of the canonical class II enzyme. The class I active site domains exhibit the Rossmann fold, an ancient pre-LUCA and highly abundant protein motif that could easily have adapted to different aa substrates. The existence in few archa of a class I lysRS could be explained by the long and flexible side chain, easily adaptable to the class I catalytic domain. See (7) for a deeper analysis of the evolutionary implications. Note that the coexistence of two lysRS in the same cell has not been observed.

The crystal structures of both TyrRS-tRNA$^{Tyr}$ and TrpRS-tRNA$^{Trp}$ show that the complex is a dimer and the binding mode of the tRNA somewhat similar to that of class II (28,29). In both cases, the symmetry of the enzyme dimers is however different from that of class II enzymes. The relative positions of the active sites with respect to the 2-fold axis explains the different tRNA binding modes. According to the timescale these enzymes are the last enzymes of the class I family to appear. A reconstruction of a pre-LUCA aaRS ancestor confirms the late addition of Trp to the genetic code (30). The observed differences could be the result of evolutionary constraints on the oligomeric structure of the protein. Note that structural and sequence comparisons suggest that this novel tRNA binding mode and recognition mechanism is very likely shared by other archa and eu-karyotic TrpRSs, but not by bacterial TrpRSs (29).

GlyRs provides another example of polyphyletic origin with a resulting partition of class II enzymes into four subgroups (a–d), bacterial GlyRS being part of subclass II (d) together with AlaRS (31). These different origins do not affect the ATP and aa binding mode. Whether the tRNA binding modes are affected remains to be seen but is unlikely since the dimeric structure of the active site domains is maintained.

PheRS chiral specificity is another puzzling observation. Unlike all other class II enzymes PheRS charge the aa to the 2’ hydroxyl group of the tRNA terminal adenine. The crystal structure of the T. thermophilus PheRS-tRNA$^{Phe}$ complex explains the 2’OH binding by a different relative position of the tRNA terminal adenosine (180° rotation) with respect to the canonical binding position of the intermediate adenylate (32). When compared to other class II complexes, the shift of the bound tRNA may explain the rotation of A76 to another binding pocket. Like in the case of TrpRS the additional domains and/or the particular oligomeric structure of PheRS could be the cause of the shift. Note that mitochondrial PheRS and human
Figure 6. Structural features of the enzymes involved in the aa biosynthesis pathways which belong to GA, and the enzyme locations. There is no homologues. The PDB codes of X-ray structures are shown in parentheses. A symbol ? means the structure is unknown.
PheRS differ markedly from heterodimeric eukaryotic cytosolic and bacterial analogs (33).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The first outcome of this study is that the equi-partition of aaRS between the two classes is kept at any times of the timescale through the three groups GA, GB and GC. It means that the aaRSs of the two different classes emerge in pairs along the timescale of aa expression. In order to accurately associate the proper aa and tRNA at the highest fidelity level, additional domains for editing, anticodon binding, etc, had to be attached. In order to construct the final most efficient aaRSs additional time lags were required. The maintained co-appearance despite these additional modifications and the evolution of the genetic code (34).
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