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Abstract

Different types of users require different functions in NLP software. It is difficult for a single platform to cover all types of users. When a framework aims to provide more interoperability, users are required to learn more concepts; users’ application designs are restricted to be compliant with the framework. While an interoperability framework is useful in certain cases, some types of users will not select the framework due to the learning cost and design restrictions. We suggest a rather simple framework for the interoperability aiming at developers. Reusing an existing NLP platform Kachako, we created an API oriented NLP system. This system loosely couples rich high-end functions, including annotation visualizations, statistical evaluations, annotation searching, etc. This API do not require users much learning cost, providing customization ability for power users while also allowing easy users to employ many GUI functions.

1 Introduction

A platform type of NLP software tends to provide rich GUI functions for easy users to help avoiding burdensome tasks that are not essential for their purposes. However, power users require customization ability in an API oriented way. There has been many efforts to create interoperable NLP systems, including GATE (Cunningham et al., 2002), Taverna (Hull et al., 2006), Galaxy (Blankenberg et al., 2010), Langrid (Ishida, 2006), Heart of Gold (Schäfer, 2006), PANACEA (Bel, 2010), etc.

UIMA (Unstructured Information Management Architecture) is an interoperability framework originally developed by IBM (Ferrucci et al., 2006), currently an open source project in Apache UIMA. Most of UIMA related works are UIMA component implementations, including OpenNLP, JulieLab (Hahn et al., 2008), CCP BioNLP (Baumgartner Jr. et al., 2008), U-Compare (Kano et al., 2009), UIMA-fr (Hernandez et al., 2010), DKPro (Müller et al., 2008), cTAKES (Savova et al., 2010), etc.

Among the UIMA based systems, Kachako (Kano, 2012b) provides many generic features useful for developers to analyze and improve their applications. Unfortunately, these features are parts of a large integrated system which are not easy for the developers to partially reuse. Furthermore, certain number of developers avoid learning UIMA due to UIMA’s rich but complex higher interoperability concepts.

We suggest a simplified interface that just requires the so-called stand-off annotation style data structure. In order for developers to more easily reuse Kachako’s features, we built an API oriented NLP system based on Kachako, changing to the simplified interface discarding its UIMA compliancy.

We describe points of the UIMA framework (Section 2), the features of the Kachako platform (Section 3), and our suggested simple framework with actual API oriented system (Section 4), finally concluding this paper (Section 5).

2 UIMA

We introduce the basic architecture of UIMA briefly in this section. We refer to the Java implementation of Apache UIMA as UIMA here.

A tool is represented as a component in UIMA. A component is a processing unit of UIMA. In UIMA, components are combined in a workflow. Processing order of components can be programmable, while most workflows are simple pipelines. UIMA’s data structure, CAS (Common Analysis Structure), is in the so-called stand-off format, which consists of a raw (text) data part and an annotation part. The Java version of CAS is called JCas. An annotation should be typed by a type system, a user defined type
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hierarchy. A component receives a CAS, may update the CAS and returns the CAS. A component may have its input and output types specified. These types are defined in a UIMA’s type system descriptor XML file by the user. Each type is also defined in a corresponding Java class. Basically, CAS should include everything in UIMA. A CAS has one or more sofa (Subject Of Analysis, or sometimes called view) to hold multi-modal information such as text and audio, original and translated text, etc.

As a whole, in order to use UIMA for combining various NLP tools, researchers should create workflows for combining tools and define types for connecting the input and output of these tools. When running a UIMA workflow, users normally use UIMA’s workflow API where CAS creation and disposal are controlled by the UIMA framework side, not by Java VM. A CAS is passed from component to component, then disposed from the Java heap memory after returned by a final component of a workflow. Therefore, developers need to pay attention not to hold any reference to content of a CAS after the CAS finished a workflow, else memory leak occurs even this is a Java API. Its reason is explained as an efficient memory usage by the Apache UIMA documentation. This makes a pitfall to developers.

3 Kachako Platform

The Kachako platform aims to provide automation features on top of the UIMA framework (Kano, 2012a)(Kano, 2012b). If the users can complete their tasks by GUI operations, most UIMA things are obscured in Kachako. However, users are required knowledge of some UIMA concepts.

Roughly speaking, Kachako has four features: automatic workflow creation, automatic workflow execution, Annotation Viewer GUI for result analysis with statistical table for comparison and evaluation, Annotation Searcher to index and search the results. We explain each feature below.

As Kachako aims to provide automation features, installation, update and execution of the platform itself is automatic in a web-based way. Kachako has its tens of own UIMA components that are also ready-to-use by automatic installation feature. Users can also register their own UIMA components to create a UIMA workflow using these UIMA components. Users can create a UIMA workflow automatically or manually by selecting UIMA components. Figure 1 shows the Kachako’s workflow creation GUI. Each round-bordered box corresponds to a UIMA component, which input ports are shown in the right, output ports are shown in the right. These I/O ports are specific to Kachako, which ensures connections between components. The automatic workflow creation feature uses these I/O information to calculate (partial) workflow candidates when a start component and an end component are specified by users. These ports may belong to different sofas, shown as thick coloured labels in Figure 1. Each component may have configuration parameters, whose setting panel is available in this GUI.

Figure 1. A screenshot of workflow creation GUI. This complex example shows a workflow of the History examination solver for the Todai Robot project (Kano, 2014).
Once a workflow is created, installation and execution of the workflow and its components are available automatically. As this is a UIMA workflow, workflow results are stored as CASes. Figure 2 shows a screenshot of the Annotation Viewer GUI that fully visualizes contents of a CAS. The leftmost panel shows the visualization result where each annotation is displayed as an underline with the raw text part. Users can filter which annotation types to show in the middle panel. The rightmost panel shows all of field values of annotations in a sortable way. Users can select a specific annotation to jump and highlight.

**Figure 2.** A screenshot of Annotation Viewer GUI.

Kachako has a comparison and evaluation feature where users can plug their own evaluation metrics as UIMA components. Figure 3 shows an example of a comparison workflow. Purple boxes in the right are evaluation components that receives a pair of input ports of same type, performs comparisons.

**Figure 3.** A screenshot of a comparison/evaluation workflow.

**Figure 4.** A screenshot of the Annotation Viewer for a comparison/evaluation workflow.
Figure 4 shows a result window of such an evaluation workflow. The upper-left panel shows statistics for each CAS, e.g. numbers of annotations. The upper-right panel shows statistics of evaluation metrics, e.g. precision, recall, and F-score while these metrics could be customized as shown in the figure. Comparison/evaluation components should be implemented by developers as there could be variety of different metrics depending on the individual developers’ purposes. The lower-left panel is the Annotation Viewer, while sofa selector is shown in the left as this is a multi-sofa case. The Annotation Viewer shows rather a complex example, where many inter-annotation links are shown as arcs. The lower-right panel shows the feature values of annotations. Users can also highlight which specific annotation was matched with respect to a given metric.

Annotation Searcher is an optional function in Kachako to enable indexing and searching of CAS contents. As the example screenshots illustrate, annotations could be very complex, whose number can be huge. It is not realistic to manually check interested patterns of annotations. However, existing search engines assume to index textual information and simple values in their default. Annotation Searcher provides a special function to search by region algebra (Clarke et al., 1995)(Jaakkola et al., 1999)(Masuda et al., 2009) which can cover most of required complex queries, including AND/OR operators, inter-annotation relationships of overlap, follow, include, etc., and inter-annotation links while mixing with the normal textual search conditions. The Annotation Searcher is built on top of Apache Solr/Lucece search engine, adding this region algebra feature in an efficient way, allowing automatic parallel indexing/searching. The Annotation Searcher can be called by just checking an option, receiving any CAS content to index its text and annotations. Search result can be shown in the Annotation Viewer to highlight corresponding annotations.

4 Simplified Interoperability and Kachako API

We suggest a simplified interface for interoperability in order for the developers to more easily employ the functions of Kachako platform. We built an API version of Kachako based on this interface.

Firstly, we discard functions related to the workflow construction. Discarded functions include the automatic workflow creation and its GUI.

Secondly, we designed a pseudo JCas interface which is similar to the original UIMA JCas but just a normal Java class. This pseudo JCas has a text part and an annotations part as same as the original JCas. The annotations part assumes to hold instances of a pseudo TOP type or descendants we define. That is, the original type system is defined as a Java class hierarchy. Developers are simply required to produce this pseudo JCas to use the Kachako API functions.

By these designs, we could reuse the Kachako platform functions without much changes. Most of the functions are now available as API by these changes. For example, if developers generate any pseudo JCas, they can simply call the Annotation Searcher API to index and search the content of the pseudo JCas. The Annotation Viewer GUI is available just reading the pseudo JCas. The comparison and evaluation features of the Annotation Viewer GUI is also available by storing groups of annotations.

Modifying existing components into this simplified API is straightforward, because we used similar names for corresponding classes and methods. We discarded the original JCas’s index feature, because the pseudo JCas could be extended to store any field, while the annotations part could be used as the original indexed annotations. We have already modified a couple of Kachako UIMA components into this new interface style, which simply required to change several lines of codes per component.

5 Conclusion and Future Work

Interoperability framework is useful but we need different level of interfaces depending on the types of users. UIMA provides a good framework for NLP users but it also restricts users’ design due to its workflow management system. Kachako, a UIMA based NLP platform, also suffers the same problem just because it is compliant with UIMA. We build a new API oriented system reusing the Kachako platform, where the interoperability interface is simplified to be only stand-off annotations. This new system allows developers to use its functions without learning costs. Future work includes a new feature to employ machine learning features.
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