INTRODUCTION
Skin is the largest organ in the human body, which protects all other tissues from the environmental challenges, infections, and mechanical stresses. Severe burn injuries of skin are difficult to manage due to multiple problems ranging from pain to infection and scars. When a burn affects the skin’s extended surface, the vital emergency is to restore the skin barrier to prevent sepsis and significant fluid loss.1 In this case, early surgical excision and autologous skin grafting remain the standard of care.2,3 However, the limit of this technique is the low availability of healthy skin.4 Allografts, taken from a living or deceased human donor, can be used as temporary wound coverage as they promote re-epithelialization and prepare the wound bed for autograft. Nevertheless, allograft rejection is likely to occur within 2 weeks5 if not replaced by an autograft. Conversely, cultured autologous epidermis assures permanent wound coverage, but requires specialized infrastructure and allows epidermis reconstruction but without dermis.

Bringing an innovative approach to the field, we developed and tested a concept of in vivo skin bioprinting. In this concept, a 6-axis robotic arm bioprinter is deployed into the surgery theater and used to print directly on skin wounds. The present report describes the conceptual and experimental steps, which led to the validation of the concept in a preliminary, preclinical pilot study. In this conceptual approach, a single cellularized bioink is printed over the entire wound surface and facilitates regeneration of the damaged dermis and epidermis layers. The bioink, previously published by our group,6,7 was shown to trigger fibroblasts and endothelial cell proliferation. This
property was used herein to accelerate deep burn wound in situ regeneration. The addition of dermal fibroblasts to the bioink was expected to bring a combined effect through the in situ generation of growth factors including VEGF, FGF, and platelet-derived growth factor, involved in the different stage of the wound healing (migration, proliferation, and extracellular matrix synthesis).

CONCEPTUAL APPROACH

Bioprinting on a living subject to reconstruct nonstandardized wounds or defects requires the bioprinting tool to have a high degree of movement freedom. Unlike commercially available bioprinters with three-axis coordinates, robotic arms (such as surgical robots) can be the ideal solution to print on irregular-shaped surfaces efficiently. Here, the BioAssemblyBot, with its six-axis robotic arm, from Advanced Solutions Inc. (Louisville, KY), is currently the only commercially available bioprinter with a high degree of necessary freedom for such in situ applications.

For the present pilot in vivo experiment, the BioAssemblyBot was customized to accommodate printing onto the skin surface of a subject outside the initial enclosure to operate directly on top of an operating table (Fig. 1A). A novel safety enclosure was designed, which enabled the secure operation of the robotic arm while reaching the much larger surgical arena created at the back side of the machine (Fig. 1B, C). Once the hardware modification concept was validated, a special arm displacement routine was implemented to bioprint safely outside of the initial enclosure.

The final surgery-ready BioAssemblyBot was then able to reach an area of 0.5 m² outside the initial enclosure while maintaining 20 µm precision. This area was large enough to facilitate in vivo bioprinting on a standard animal surgical core facility.

EXPERIMENTAL STRATEGY

After preparation of the subject, bioprinting on the target surface of the anesthetized subject was performed with the following steps: the printing-surface contour data were acquired, a digital model of the printing-surface was created, the skin patch to be printed was designed in the context of the subject-specific contours, and the skin patch was bioprinted.

An example of a typical bioprinting process may use a dispensing tool with a fixed, coordinate-system aligned orientation and target a precisely fabricated and calibrated target subject surface (e.g., an adjustable plate) that can then be modeled in software with an equation of a plane that shares an origin and orientation with the printer coordinate system. When working with more capable tooling, which allows for additional degrees of freedom in dispensing tool placement (when compared with a traditional three-axis printer) as well as targeting the printing surface of a living subject, a relative increase

Fig. 1. In vivo bioprinting using a modified BioAssemblyBot. A, The classic BioAssemblyBot configuration. B, The updated BioAssemblyBot with its surgery theater bioprinting capability. C, The updated BioAssemblyBot actual deployment. D, Workflow of the necessary intraoperative bioprinting steps.
in complexity of the target surface topology may suggest the use of a different modeling approach to facilitate an adequate level of accuracy in the alignment between the surface normal and tool orientation as well as the distance from the tooltip to the target surface during path planning and execution. For this experiment, structured elevation sampling and interpolation were used to measure and model the target surface topology.

Acquiring the surface elevation ("scanning") involved sampling the elevation of the surface with a displacement sensor placed at regular intervals along a planar structured grid aligned with the printer coordinate system X-Y plane. The machine user provides a region of interest, tool offset from the printer coordinate system X-Y plane, and grid spacing. The user may then execute a printer function that moves the displacement sensor around the perimeter of the given region of interest, allowing the user to review and correct errors before executing the scan. When scanning, the robotic arm moves the displacement sensor to a grid intersection and then waits to acquire a stable elevation sample before moving on to the next intersection. Each grid intersection is visited once, with the printer’s resulting data set until the user requests a download.

After downloading the surface data (Fig. 1D) to the computer-aided design tissue structure information modeling (TSIM) software used for this experiment, it is presented to the user in the form of a shaded triangulated mesh model. When working with a living subject, requirements may preclude mapping the scanned surface topology in the design software coordinate system to the real-world surface in the printer coordinate system. To do so, we were executing short printing operations to measure the difference between the coordinate systems. For this experiment, the specified high-resolution repeatability of the robotic manipulator carrying the displacement sensor tool was leveraged to create the mapping separately and before the living subject was interfaced with the printer. This mapping produced from a different subject provided an adequate accuracy level when executing the final printing operation.

After creating the mapping between the coordinate design system and the printer coordinate system, completing the interface between the printer and the living subject, and finally scanning and downloading the surface topology, the print design process begins. During the design process, the surface model was modified to remove areas of the surface mesh with high levels of noise and bound the surface to the region of interest used for creating the printed design. The surface model was exported from TSIM using the standard "STL" format and then cropped using a triangulated mesh editing software system before being reimported into TSIM (Fig. 1D). After importing the modified surface into TSIM, coordinate system mapping was used to adjust the placement. It was accurately positioned relative to the real-world surface in the printer coordinate system.

For this experiment, the designed skin patch consisted of a series of extruded adjacent tubes to create a continuous patch filling the wound’s volume on the subject (Fig. 1D). We used a tube patterning feature of the TSIM design software with the following parameters: tube diameter is 400 μm and tube spacing is 800 μm. This function also samples normal surface data from the model, which is later used by the printer to adjust the orientation of the printing tool so that it is closer to the real-world printing surface when following the printing tool path during a printing operation.

After completing the design that will be printed, TSIM was used to upload the printer’s design data. Printing parameters such as printing pressure and target temperature of the dispensing tool heater were adjusted via the human machine interface at the print time to accommodate environmental conditions. Standard operational parameters were a pneumatic extrusion tool loaded with a 10 cm³ cartridge (Nordson EFD, Chateau, France), equipped with a 400-μm-diameter (6.3 mm long) nozzle (Nordson EFD) and an applied extrusion pressure of 10 PSI.

Figure 2 depicts the bioink printability diagram, in which measured static yield stress (previously published method14) and calculated maximum shear stress (FlowTips Program, 3d.FAB, France)15 were plotted as a function of temperature. In this diagram, three zones can be identified, a first one above a 5000-Pa threshold where shear stress significantly impacts cell viability,16 a second one below a 300-Pa threshold where static yield stress is not sufficient to enable 3D bioprinting fidelity,17 and a third one between these two values where 3D bioprinting of living cells is efficient. As can be seen, in the present operational conditions (400-μm diameter, 6.3-mm long nozzle, 10 PSI), both curves were in the bioprintability zone for temperature in the 21°C–28°C interval. It is then expected that during the pilot in vivo study, regulating the printing tool temperature around 28°C shall enable the efficient bioprinting of the cell-laden bioink (fibroblasts concentration: 1 × 10⁶ cells/mL).

**PILOT STUDY EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Six circular burns of 4.5 cm in diameter (ie, an area of 15.9 cm²/burn) were carried out on the back of a pig, 2 cm from the spine, and spaced 5 cm from each other to avoid interference between the burns. Seven days after the burn injury procedure, surgical debridement of blisters was performed in all wounds. Of the six wounds, two were kept as self-healing controls without bioink [standard severe burn treatment using silver sulfadiazine (1%)], two were bioprinted with bioink alone, and two were bioprinted with fibroblast-laden bioink (250,000 cells/mL).

In the present experimental design, control wounds did not experience the presence of the bioprinting head above the wounds. Nevertheless, the bioprinting technique (extrusion) does not generate any contact between the bioprinting head and the surface since the head is accurately flying at 800 μm from the surface.

For each treatment, modality used to perform biopsy and histology at days 11 (postbioprinting), 21, 28, 35, and 42, whereas the second one was used for macroscopic evaluation.

The histological sections of the wounds were analyzed qualitatively 7 days after the burn to define the affected
The histological results clearly showed that the degree of burn obtained was a third-degree type, with the complete loss of the animal’s hair follicles. This profound tissue damage allowed for a full assessment of the effectiveness of the tested therapy. Figure 1D depicts the two robotic steps of the protocol, that is, scanning the surface and bioprinting of the bioink. Figure 2B presents the macroscopic observation follow-up of the wounds during healing.

A clear acceleration of the wound healing was observed when treated with cellularized bioink, leading to a 10-day shift of the wound status. Between D11 and D28, the surface of the wounds and the surface of the scars decreased faster in the presence of the cellularized bioink than in the presence of bioink alone or in the control treatment [silver sulfadiazine (1%)]. In addition, although bioink-treated and control surfaces no longer changed between D28 and D42, the scar surface in the presence of cellularized bioink continued to decrease, leading to a clear wound status difference at D42 in favor of the cellularized bioink treatment.

In fact, at D11 after the treatment, no changes were found. All wounds were raw, not epidermized and with equivalent surfaces. At D42, all wounds were healed with reduced scar tissue surfaces. Compared with D11, the percentage of scar tissue at D42 is 33% for the wound treated...
alcohol or saline solution, which ensures a sterile environment for the healing process.

On D11 following bioprinting, Ki67 positive cells in the dermis were numerous under all treatment conditions, and since no epidermis was yet formed, no positive cells were found in the epidermis. At D21, dermis Ki67 positive cell numbers strongly decreased in the control experiment while keeping a significant value under bioink and cellularized bioink conditions, indicating that epidermal cell proliferation was highly accelerated using cellularized bioink.

α-Smooth muscle actin (αSMA) immunofluorescent labeling was used to identify myofibroblasts present in the granulation tissue. The transformation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts leads to contractile activity necessary for wound closure. However, if their presence persists, the scar becomes hypertrophic or retractile, leading to nonaesthetic results and functional failures. Supplemental Digital Content 1C depicts the presence of the αSMA under the different conditions (http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/B893). Here again, 21 days after bioprinting, a clear difference between αSMA-positive cells at D21 in the different treatments was observed, in agreement with the macroscopic observations. Indeed, large numbers of myofibroblasts were still found in the control treatment wound which was, as shown in Figure 2B, not yet closed after 21 days. Also, in agreement with Figure 2C, a clear difference was found in myofibroblast content between bioink and cellularized bioink. In the case of bioink alone, a significant number of αSMA positive cells were still found at D21, leading to a hypertrophic scar, evidenced in Figure 2C by a high and stable scar tissue percentage after 28 days. Taken altogether, these findings explain why the wound closure and scar tissue percentage observed in Figure 2 were so drastically different in the presence of bioink seeded with dermal fibroblasts.

Finally, type IV collagen immunostaining identified neovascularization in the granulation tissue and collagen accumulation in the dermal–epidermal junction (See Supplemental Digital Content 1D, http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/B893). This antigen is mainly found in the basement membrane around the endothelium of the capillaries. During healing, blood vessels are generated by angiogenesis. This phenomenon is essential to provide the injured site with nutrients, oxygen, and inflammatory cells and allows cell proliferation and tissue regeneration. However, during the remodeling phase of the scar, an antiangiogenic state appeared leading to a capillary regression. At D11, type IV collagen was identified under all conditions but at different organization levels. In the control experiment, collagen was not organized in the microvessels basal membrane whereas in the presence of bioink, type IV collagen was located mainly in the vessel walls. Moreover, in the presence of fibroblasts in the bioink, the microvessels were found to have fully developed lumens. At D21, the dermal–epidermal junction appeared fully mature, but only under the cellularized bioink conditions. In the control experiment, no dermal–epidermal junction was visible and in the bioink experiment, only a nonorganized structure was found.

CONCLUSIONS

We described an intraoperative approach for the treatment of third-degree deep burns using in vivo bioprinting. The use of a six-axis robotic arm-based bioprinter was
an asset, bringing the necessary degrees of freedom and high precision to the bioink deposition. Customization of laboratory bioprinter platform to fulfill surgery theater requirements was readily performed, enabling the robotic arm and controls software to operate directly on breathing large animals. From a wound care point of view, the in situ bioprinting of a pharmaceutical-grade bioink laden with dermal fibroblasts, producing in situ growth factors (FGF-2), drastically improved healing, residual scar tissue formation, and vascularization. Bioprinted cellularized bioink accelerated healing by 10 of 42 total days of examination.
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