INTRODUCTION

Mandibular molars requiring endodontic therapy are frequently encountered in dental practice. The mesial root of a mandibular molar commonly presents a mesiobuccal (MB) and a mesiolingual (ML) canal, while the distal root more often contains one canal rather than two. A narrow connection which also contains pulp tissue, the isthmus, is present between two mesial/distal canals. This isthmus area leads to anatomical variations such as middle canals.¹

Abstract

Objectives: The purpose of this paper is to systematically review the various studies and case reports on the morphology and prevalence of middle canals in the mandibular molars.

Methodology: Electronic databases such as MEDLINE, PubMed, EBSCOhost, ScienceDirect and various journals were screened to identify published literature till March 2017 and earlier for articles related to middle canals in the human permanent mandibular molars. Obtained articles were categorized as original researches, case reports and review articles. Well-defined review questions were developed using the patient population, intervention, comparison and outcome framework to summarize the objectives: “Does middle canal vary in morphology and anatomic location? What is the prevalence of middle canals in mandibular molars? Does ethnicity affect the prevalence of middle canals in mandibular molars?” Morphology was studied and prevalence rates were determined from the evaluation of data extracted from the articles.

Results: The search strategy resulted in 87 articles, of which 36 were original research papers and 51 were case reports. The prevalence of middle canals in the various populations ranged from 0.26% to 53.8%. Middle canals were reported in Europeans, Asians, Africans and South and North American populations. The prevalence of middle mesial canal and middle distal canal in various races was reported as up to 53.8% and 10%, respectively. The orifice of middle canal exists below a dentinal projection in the groove between the two main canals. They were observed in fin, confluent and independent configuration. Out of these, confluent configuration was more prevalent.

Conclusion: Middle canal varies in morphology and anatomic location. Ethnicity affects the prevalence of middle canals in the mandibular molars.
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Barker et al. and Vertucci and Williams were the first researchers to demonstrate the presence of an extra and independent canal in this region of the mesial root of mandibular molars. Later, Martinez-Berna and Badanelli reported a middle canal in the distal root also. Since then, several researchers have reported middle canals in the mesial/distal root of mandibular molars. While this middle canal has been variously referred to as the intermediate canal, mesio-central canal, third mesial canal, accessory mesial canal and middle mesial canal (MMC). Apparently, the term MMC/middle distal canal (MDC) has found its common usage.

For root canal treatment to be successful, it is necessary to locate all root canals, debride them thoroughly and seal them completely with an inert root filling material. Thus, knowledge of the morphology of this middle canal in the mandibular molars is important. A number of studies have reported that root canal systems vary according to race. From the consistency of certain anatomical features in tooth type in different races, it is apparent that such features are genetically determined. With the evolution of dental operating microscope (DOM) and three-dimensional (3D) imaging technique, detailed information is available on the morphology of middle canal.

A systematic review uses defined methods to search, critically appraise and synthesize the available literature pertaining to a clinical question. The purpose of this systematic review was to study the morphology and prevalence of middle canals in the mandibular molars of different populations and whether ethnicity can influence the prevalence of middle canal.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Formulation of review questions**
This systematic review was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review statement. Well-defined review questions were developed using the patient population, intervention, comparison and outcome framework to summarize the objectives as follows:

a. Does MMC/MDC vary in morphology and anatomic location?
b. What is the prevalence of MMC/MDC in mandibular molars?
c. Does ethnicity affect the prevalence of MMC/MDC in mandibular molars?

**Selection criteria**
Studies included in the systematic review were only those published in English and original articles and case reports that reported on the morphology or prevalence of middle canals in mandibular molars, in which the sample size, population studied and technique for evaluation were mentioned.

**Literature search and data extraction**
An exhaustive search was undertaken through MEDLINE, PubMed, EBSCOhost and ScienceDirect research databases and various journals to identify published literature dated March 2017 and earlier for articles related to MMC/MDC in human permanent mandibular molars. Keywords used were middle mesial/distal canal and mandibular first/second molar, alone or in combination. The references of all selected articles were further scanned for potentially relevant articles. Obtained articles were categorized as original research articles and case reports.

Data pertaining to the morphology and prevalence of the middle canal were analyzed according to the population ethnicity, method of tooth analysis (clearing, radiographic, scanning electron microscope or 3D imaging such as computed tomography [CT], cone beam CT [CBCT], micro CT or clinical examination under DOM), sample size, prevalence of middle canal in the mesial/distal root, the number of root canals and root canal configuration.

**RESULTS**

Seventy-nine articles were selected, of which 34 were original research papers and 45 were case reports. Depending on methodology, original research articles were separately tabulated with mention of the population ethnicity, technique of evaluation, number of teeth examined and occurrence of MMC and MDC [Tables 1 and 2].

The prevalence of MMC in various populations ranged from 0.26% to 53.8%, whereas MDC was reported in eight studies only, with the prevalence ranging from 0.0% to 10%. The prevalence of double MMC (DMMC) was reported in one study to be 3.3%.

Few studies have drawn a distinction between the prevalence of the middle canal in the mandibular first and second molars. Pomeranz et al. reported an equal incidence of MMC in both mandibular first and second molars, while in most of the other studies, the prevalence was higher in the first than in the second molar. Only four studies reported a higher prevalence of MMC in the mandibular second molar.
Twenty-two research articles reported on the prevalence of the different configuration types (fin/confluent/independent) of the middle canal [Table 3]. Earlier studies have reported an increased occurrence of the independent configuration.\textsuperscript{1,2,14} With the introduction of the DOM and 3D imaging, the fin and
confluent configurations were also identified.\textsuperscript{[33‑35]} Hence, in contemporary studies, a greater prevalence of the confluent configuration compared to fin and independent configurations has been reported.\textsuperscript{[3,33‑35]}

In addition to research articles, 47 case reports were also reviewed in the present study, with mentions of populations, tooth number, number of root and canals and configuration type (independent/fin/confluent) in each root. These case reports were divided into two groups: non-Asian versus Asian population [Tables 4 and 5].

**DISCUSSION**

**Location of the middle canal orifice**

The middle canal orifice exists below a dentinal projection in the groove between the two main canals. The layer of dentin in this groove is lighter in color than adjacent dentin. Studies have reported the average length of the groove in mandibular first and second molars to be 1.07–2.81 mm\textsuperscript{[36]} and the average depth to be 1.05 mm\textsuperscript{[32]} and 0.17–7.66 mm.\textsuperscript{[36]} The difference in the observations between studies may be attributed to differences in the method of study, sample sizes and populations studied.

**Diameter and volume of the middle canal orifice**

Since extra canals are formed between two main canals, their diameter is less than that of the main canals. The mean minor diameter of the MMC orifice is reported to be 0.16 mm, which is three times less than the diameter of the two main orifices (0.50 mm).\textsuperscript{[30]} This also results in less mean volume of the MMCs. In mesial roots, the mean volume of the MMC and MB and ML canals is reported to be $0.20 \pm 0.10$, $0.75 \pm 0.20$ and $0.88 \pm 0.19$ mm$^3$ respectively.\textsuperscript{[36]} Hence, overzealous preparation of middle canals may lead to perforation.

**Middle canal configuration types**

Pomeranz et al.\textsuperscript{[8]} classified MMCs into three types [Figure 1a-d]. According to these authors, (i) this canal can run independently from the orifice to the apex (independent), (ii) the canal can join the MB or ML canals before exiting from the apex (confluent) or (iii) an isthmus may be present between the MMC/MDC and the MB or ML canal during any stage of its course from the orifice to the apex (fin). Thus, independent canals originated as a separate orifice and terminated from a separate foramen. Confluent canals originated as a separate orifice but joined the MB or ML canal before leaving the apex, while the fin type did not have a separate orifice and was usually a small linear extension between the MB and ML canals of very small length allowing free movement of the file between the main canal and the fin.

Versiani et al.\textsuperscript{[36]} described the confluent and fin variations further by evaluating CBCT images of extracted mandibular molars. According to them, in the confluent configuration, the MMC joins the MB and/or ML canal by transverse anastomoses, intercanal connections or isthmus during its trajectory to the apical foramen. Thus, the confluent type can be either without [Figure 2a] or with an isthmus [Figure 2b]. Further, the location of the union of two canals varied from mid-root to the apex.

In the fin configuration, the MMC orifice was connected in the coronal third to the MB and/or ML canal orifices.
by a groove, but the mesial canals left the root via three separate foramina [Figure 2c].

In the independent configuration, three independent canals extended from the pulp chamber to the apex [Figure 2d].

A classification similar to the one used for MMC has been used for MDC by replacing mesial with distal. Later, an additional configuration – double middle mesial/distal, i.e., four canals in one root, was also reported.[37,47,50,56,63,66,75-79] These four canals can be independent, exiting from four separate foramina[63] or confluent with one middle canal joining the ML and the other joining the MB and exiting as two canals from two foramina[66,76-79] or all four joining and exiting from one foramen.[50]

**Location of the middle canal with respect to the main canal**

Some research articles report the third canal to be located equidistant to both the main canals, while others report it to be closer to one of the main canals. Sherwani et al.[35] observed that, in 67% of cases from an Indian population, the MMC orifice was located in the middle of the MB and

Table 4: Case reports on middle canals in non-Asian countries

| Author               | Year | Population | Continent | Tooth number | Roots | Canals |
|----------------------|------|------------|-----------|--------------|-------|--------|
| Berthiaume[39]       | 1983 | US         | North America | 36           | M    | D      | 2       | M | D | Total |
| Stroner et al.[40]   | 1984 | US         | North America | 46           | 1    | 2      | 3       | 2 | 3 | 5     |
| Beatty and Interian[44] | 1985 | Florida    | North America | 46           | 1    | 2      | 3       | 2 | 3 | 5     |
| Martinez-Berna and Badanelli[3] | 1985 | Spain      | Europe   | 36           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3in | 3c | 6     |
| Martinez-Berna and Badanelli[3] | 1985 | Spain      | Europe   | 46           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3   | 3c | 6     |
| Quackenbush[42]      | 1986 | US         | North America | 36           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3in | 1 | 4     |
| Bond et al.[44]      | 1988 | US         | North America | 37           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3c  | 1 | 4     |
| Bond et al.[44]      | 1988 | US         | North America | 36           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3in | 2in| 5     |
| Jacobsen et al.[44]  | 1994 | US         | North America | 46           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3in | 2in| 5     |
| DeGrood and Cunningham[45] | 1997 | Florida    | North America | 46           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3c  | 2in| 5     |
| Ricucci[44]          | 1997 | US         | North America | 36           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3in | 2c | 5     |
| Reeh[47]             | 1998 | USA        | North America | 36           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 4-2 | 3-3| 7     |
| Mortman and Ahn[48]  | 2003 | USA        | North America | 36           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3c  | 2c | 5     |
| Baugh and Wallace[49] | 2004 | Caucasian | Europe   | 46           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3c  | 2c | 5     |
| Kontakiotis and Tzanetakia[50] | 2007 | Greece     | Europe   | 36           | 2    | 4      | 6       | 4c (4-1) | 2c (2-1) | 6     |
| Barletta et al.[51]  | 2008 | Brazil     | South America | 36           | 1    | 2      | 3       | 2-1 | 3-3| 6     |
| Yesilsoy et al.[52]  | 2009 | USA        | North America | 46           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3in | 2in| 5     |
| Ryan et al.[53]      | 2011 | USA        | North America | 36           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3in | 3c | 6     |
| de Paula et al.[54]  | 2013 | Brazil     | South America | 36           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3-3 | 2  | 5     |
| Maniglia-Ferreira et al.[55] | 2015 | Brazil     | South America | 36           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 3in | 3in| 6     |
| Martins and Anderson[56] | 2015 | USA        | North America | 36           | 1    | 2      | 3       | 3c  | 3  | 6     |
| Martins and Anderson[56] | 2015 | USA        | North America | 36           | 1    | 1      | 2       | 4c  | 2in| 6     |

M: Mesial, D: Distal, C: Confluent, in: independent
Middle canal and 20% had the orifice closer to the ML canal, while the remaining 12% had the orifices located closer to the MB canal. In contrast, Karapinar-Kazandag et al.\cite{33} reported a higher number of canals closer to either the MB or the ML canal in their study which employed magnifying loupes. Nosrat et al.\cite{33} in a study on a population from the USA, demonstrated that the MMC was located closer to the ML canal in a majority of the cases, followed by in the middle of the MB and ML canals. In a study by de Toubes et al.,\cite{33} more number of MMC was identified close to the MB (46%) than to the ML (31%) canal, while 23% were located at the center.

There are case reports also of variations in the location of the middle canal orifice. Deepalakshmi et al.\cite{60} reported four cases of MMC in mandibular first molars in which the middle canal was present at equidistance between the two mesial canals. De Moor Rj et al.\cite{60} presented two cases of MMC, one located almost equidistant from the two main canals of a left mandibular first molar and the other located very close to the MB canal of a right mandibular first molar.

**Geographical distribution of middle mesial canal**

Middle canals have been reported in Europeans, Asians, Africans and South and North Americans. The findings of studies have also pointed to geographical difference [Figure 3]. Nosrat et al.\cite{33} and Versiani et al.\cite{33} found significant differences in the incidence of MMC between White (12.2%) and non-White (29.4%) patients and Brazilian and Turkish populations, respectively.

**Relation of prevalence of middle mesial canal to number of distal canals**

Sherwani et al.\cite{33} observed that MMC is more prevalent in mandibular first molars which have two distal canals (45.4%) than in those with one distal canal (13.7%). In contrast, Nosrat et al.\cite{33} found no significant association between MMC and the presence of separate distal canals.

**Prevalence of configuration types**

The early studies reported only independent configurations of middle canals.\cite{1,2} However, with the introduction of the classification of configuration types by Pomeranz et al.,\cite{8} the other types were also observed. De Pablo et al.,\cite{8} reported an equal incidence of independent and confluent MMC at the apical third. In contrast, Pomeranz et al.\cite{8} identified more numbers of fin configuration (two MB fins and six ML fins) than confluent configuration. Fabra-Campos\cite{8} reported an increased frequency of confluent configuration compared to

| Author               | Year | Population | Continent     | Tooth number | Roots M | D | Total | Canals M | D | Total |
|----------------------|------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------|---|-------|---------|---|-------|
| Friedman et al.\cite{37} | 1986 | Israel     | Southwest Asia | 46           | 1       | 3 | 4     | 2       | 3 | 5     |
| Goel et al.\cite{57}  | 1991 | Indian     | South Asia    | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 4       | 1 | 5     |
| Holtzman et al.\cite{58} | 1997 | Israel     | West Asia     | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 2 | 5     |
| Takeda et al.\cite{54} | 1999 | Japan      | Asia          | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3c      | 1 | 4     |
| Min et al.\cite{39}   | 2004 | South Korea| East Asia     | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 1 | 4     |
| De Moor Rj et al.\cite{60} | 2004 | Japan      | Asia          | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 1 | 4     |
| Chang et al.\cite{61}  | 2006 | China      | Asia          | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3c      | 1 | 4     |
| Lee et al.\cite{62}   | 2006 | Korean     | East Asia     | 46           | 1       | 3 | 4     | 2       | 4 | 6     |
| Ghoddu et al.\cite{63} | 2007 | Iran       | West Asia     | 36           | 2       | 2 | 4     | 2       | 2 | 4     |
| Chandra et al.\cite{64} | 2009 | Indian     | South Asia    | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 2       | 3 | 5     |
| Kottoor et al.\cite{65} | 2010 | Indian     | South Asia    | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 2c      | 3 | 1     |
| Aminsohban et al.\cite{66} | 2010 | Iran       | West Asia     | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 4       | 2 | 1     |
| La et al.\cite{67}    | 2010 | Korean     | East Asia     | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 1 | 4     |
| Jain et al.\cite{68}  | 2011 | Indian     | South Asia    | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 2       | 3 | 5     |
| Mushtaq et al.\cite{69} | 2011 | India      | Asia          | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 2       | 3c | 5     |
| Deepalakshmi et al.\cite{70} | 2012 | Indian     | South Asia    | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 1 | 4     |
| Deepalakshmi et al.\cite{70} | 2012 | Indian     | South Asia    | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3c      | 1 | 4     |
| Deepalakshmi et al.\cite{70} | 2012 | Indian     | South Asia    | 46           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 1 | 4     |
| Deepalakshmi et al.\cite{70} | 2012 | Indian     | South Asia    | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 1 | 4     |
| Gupta et al.\cite{70}  | 2012 | Indian     | South Asia    | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 1 | 4     |
| Kumar et al.\cite{71}  | 2012 | Indian     | South Asia    | 37           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 1 | 4     |
| Aksel and Serper\cite{72} | 2013 | Turkey     | West Asia     | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 3 | 6     |
| Nayak and Singh\cite{73} | 2013 | India      | South Asia    | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 3       | 1 | 4     |
| Subbiya et al.\cite{74} | 2014 | Indian     | South Asia    | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 4c      | 4-2 | 1     |
| Baziar et al.\cite{75}  | 2014 | Iran       | Asia          | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 2       | 4c | 4-2 |
| Arora et al.\cite{76}  | 2014 | Indian     | South Asia    | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 4c      | 4-2 | 1     |
| Kottoor et al.\cite{77} | 2014 | Indian     | South Asia    | 47           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 4c      | 4-2 | 1     |
| Sinha et al.\cite{78}  | 2014 | Indian     | South Asia    | 36           | 1       | 1 | 2     | 2       | 3c | 3c   |

**Table 5: Case reports on middle canals in Asian countries**

M: Mesial, D: Distal, C: Confluent, in: independent

ML orifice and 20% had the orifice closer to the ML canal, while the remaining 12% had the orifices located closer to the MB canal. In contrast, Karapinar-Kazandag et al.\cite{33} reported a higher number of canals closer to either the ML or the MB canal in their study which employed magnifying loupes. Nosrat et al.\cite{33} in a study on a population from the USA, demonstrated that the MMC was located closer to the ML canal in a majority of the cases, followed by in the middle of the MB and ML canals. In a study by de Toubes et al.\cite{33} more number of MMC was identified close to the MB (46%) than to the ML (31%) canal, while 23% were located at the center.

There are case reports also of variations in the location of the middle canal orifice. Deepalakshmi et al.\cite{60} reported four cases of MMC in mandibular first molars in which the middle canal was present at equidistance between the two mesial canals. De Moor Rj et al.\cite{60} presented two cases of MMC, one located almost equidistant from the two main canals of a left mandibular first molar and the other located very close to the MB canal of a right mandibular first molar.

Geographical distribution of middle mesial canal

Middle canals have been reported in Europeans, Asians, Africans and South and North Americans. The findings of studies have also pointed to geographical difference [Figure 3]. Nosrat et al.\cite{33} and Versiani et al.\cite{33} found significant differences in the incidence of MMC between White (12.2%) and non-White (29.4%) patients and Brazilian and Turkish populations, respectively.
fin or independent configurations. Confluence to MB canal in the apical third was more prevalent (65%) than to ML canal in the same area. Prevalence of confluent configuration has also been reported by other researchers[9,33-35] to lie between 46.7% and 96%. Furri[83] observed a greater prevalence of confluent canals in teeth with MMC than in those with DMMC in both first and second mandibular molars. Karapinar-Kazandag et al.[7] reported all MMC to have confluent anatomy with no incidence of independent or fin anatomy, but with the confluency pattern differing between the first and second molars. In the former, the middle canal frequently crossed the midline and merged with the MB canal, whereas in the latter, it more frequently merged with the ML canal. de Toubes et al.[81] reported that a higher number of MMC connected to the MB (54%) than to the ML (38%) canal.

Confluence configuration in MDC has also been reported. In this case, the distobuccal and mid-distal canals joined at the middle third of the root and exited through a single apical foramen while the distolingual canal had a separate orifice and foramen. A case of MMC and MDC confluence configuration in both mesial and distal roots of a mandibular first molar has also been reported.[98]

Figure 3: Geographic distribution of prevalence of middle mesial canal

Location of confluence of the main and middle canals

Beatty and Interian[41] reported a case of confluence of MDC with the distolingual canal in its apical third and with the distobuccal canal in its coronal third in a mandibular first molar. Gupta et al.[71] reported that MMC and MDC were found confluent with their respective mesial/distal buccal canals at the junction of the middle and apical one-thirds, indicating the presence of three orifices and two apical foramina in each root. Versiani et al.[36] reported the MMC to be more frequently confluent with the MB (16.7%) than the ML (8.3%) canal in their Brazilian population.

Case reports also report confluence to either the MB[13,48,49] or the ML canal.[44,45]

Case reports of the prevalence of middle canals

• Mandibular first molar with MMC[3,42-46,48,49,52-56,59-61,67,70-74,80]
• Mandibular second molar with MMC[43,72]
• Mandibular first molar with MDC[51,57,64,65,68]
• Mandibular first molar with MMC and MDC[3,53,55,56,71,73,80]
• Mandibular first and second molar with double middle mesial[47,50,66,75,78]
• Mandibular first molar with double distal canal[63,76,77,79]
• Mandibular first molar with DMMC and MDC[47]
• Mandibular second molar with DMMC and MDC[78]
• Mandibular first molar with DMMC and double MDC[77]
• Mandibular first molar with one distal root with three canals at orifice exiting as two canals[94]
• Mandibular first molar with two distal roots, with one canal at the orifice and apex in one root and two canals at the orifice exiting as one canal at the apex in the other root[40,41]
that younger patients aged 30–40 years had a significantly occurrence of MMCs with patients’ ages and concluded canal in the mandibular molar. Azim age, there are more chances for development of middle canals at the cervical, middle and apical thirds in the mandibular molar, it is possible that the differentiation of middle canal at the cervical one-third near the cervical margin, while the distal roots were divided at a lower level in the middle one-third of the root.[28]

Isthmus versus middle canal
An isthmus is a narrow connection between two root canals that contains pulp tissue. Harris et al.[29] employed micro-CT to detect isthmuses and reported their presence in 100% of their specimens. The extension of the isthmus coronally from the apex has been reported to be up to 3–5 mm[85] and between 4 mm and 6 mm.[86,87] Mannocci et al.,[88] employing micro-CT, found isthmuses at all levels but also reported more prevalence at 3 mm from the apex than at 1 mm. Mortman and Ahn[40] suggested that the middle canal is not an extra canal; rather, it develops due to instrumentation in the isthmus area.

Correlation of middle mesial canals with age
Several authors[88,91] have suggested that third canals are more likely to be found in younger patients. This may be attributed to the fact that roots undergo different stages of development with age. The mandibular first molars erupt at 6–7 years of age; apical closure, however, is completed at 8–9 years of age. The completion of canal differentiation commences at about 3–6 years after root completion. Furthermore, ages ranging from 12 to 20 years had mixed patterns of canal morphology; therefore, these periods seem to be a transition period for canal differentiation. Hess[92] explained that differentiation of the root canals appears by deposition of secondary dentine within the canal at the cervical, middle and apical thirds in the mesiodistal direction. This causes canal separation. Hence, when the tooth shows only one mesial root canal in the mandibular molar, it is possible that the differentiation of root canals has not been completed. Peiris et al.[93] also confirmed that canal differentiation is completed at around 30–40 years of age in both first and second molars. At this age, there are more chances for development of middle canal in the mandibular molar. Azim et al.[94] correlated the occurrence of MMCs with patients’ ages and concluded that younger patients aged 30–40 years had a significantly higher incidence of an MMC. Nosrat et al.[33] reported that the incidence of negotiable MMCs overall and their frequency of identification were higher in younger patients, i.e., 32.1% in patients ≤30 years old, 23.8% in patients 30–40 years old and 3.8% in patients >40 years. Similar results were reported by Sherwani et al.,[35] who observed that there was a significant decrease in the incidence of MMCs with an increase in age in an Indian population.

Methodologies employed to study morphology and prevalence of middle canals
When comparing methodologies, the clearing technique was the most frequently used in-vitro technique,[1,2] although most contemporary research used 3D imaging systems such as CBCT and micro CTs. Of these, micro CT provided a better assessment of fine anatomical structures because of the possibility of using a higher exposure time and lower voxel sizes than CBCT. Another significant advantage was that a large number of sections were available for each mm.

Of the in-vitro methods, 2D periapical radiography was the most commonly used. The DOM was an important aid in locating additional canals. Karapinar-Kazandag et al.[7] reported that more number of MMC was detected with magnifying loupes or DOM, a finding that was corroborated by de Carvalho and Zuolo.[17] However, the evaluation of root canal systems was most accurate when the investigator explored clinically the interior of a tooth.

Various case reports also support the use of magnification for the detection of extra canals. Deepalakshmi et al.[30] reported four cases of MMC in the mandibular first molar detected using the DOM. They observed that enhanced lighting and visibility allows the identification of subtle color changes, a better understanding of the pulp floor map and fine instrumentation, while the coaxial illumination and magnification improves identification of extra canals.

Srinivasan and Ravishanker[60] have described the successful nonsurgical management of two cases of mandibular first molar with MMC under magnification and illumination. Chavda and Garg[14] reported that the sensitivity of magnification in detecting middle canals in mandibular molars is the same as that of CBCT analysis.

3D imaging such as spiral CT was also used to confirm the presence of middle canal after an extra canal was suspected in conventional radiography.[73] Newer technologies such as 3D digital reconstruction and computer-aided rapid prototyping were used in a case to visualize the bifurcation of the distal root in mandibular first molar.[60] In this technique, a physical model was prepared by selectively solidifying ultraviolet-sensitive liquid resin using a laser
beam. Paul and Dube\cite{84} supported the use of 3D imaging with CBCT as an adjunct to digital radiography for the identification of MMC. Nance et al.\cite{95} observed that tuned-aperture CT system of digital imaging was superior to conventional film for the detection of root canals that will probably be missed upon conventional X-ray examination. An advantage of CBCT over DOM was that it allowed the morphologic visualization of the canal trajectory, mainly in the mid- and apical-thirds of the roots, whereas visualization with DOM was limited to the straight portion of the canal.\cite{96}

An innovative technique was used in one study to observe the root canal confluence configuration. In this, after negotiating the root canal system, the “straightest” canal of each root was instrumented. A gutta-percha cone was placed in the canal and a small file (#08 or #10) was inserted to the working length and then removed in all other canals. The gutta-percha cone was removed and inspected for notches indicating the presence of confluence.\cite{97}

Clinically troughing the mesial pulpal groove in a mesio-apical direction away from the furcation is a significant factor in detection and negotiation of MMCs. A recent in-vivo study\cite{13} has demonstrated that 39.6% more MMCs were detected and explored after controlled troughing to within 2 mm depth using a 1 mm diameter round bur head as a depth guide. This observation is in agreement with that of Chavda and Garg,\cite{54} Ricucci\cite{56} and Barletta et al.\cite{58}

A literature search reveals various case reports on MDC with different configurations—one distal root with three canals at the orifice exiting as two canals,\cite{46,60} two distal roots with one canal at the orifice and apex in one root and two canals at the orifice exiting as one canal at the apex in the other root,\cite{36,37} two distal roots with two independent canals in each root\cite{49} and three distal roots with one canal in each root.\cite{18,39,39} Two distal roots with one canal.\cite{39}

CONCLUSION

According to morphological studies, a complete isthmus between the main canals results in a middle canal. Middle canal varies in morphology and anatomic location. Its orifice is smaller in diameter and may or may not be equidistant from the orifice of the main canals. During its course, it may exist independently or may be confluent with the main canal. A few studies have reported that ethnicity affects the prevalence of the middle canal.
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