Geometry of all supersymmetric four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity backgrounds

U. Gran$^1$, J. Gutowski$^2$ and G. Papadopoulos$^3$

$^1$ Fundamental Physics
Chalmers University of Technology
SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

$^2$ DAMTP, Centre for Mathematical Sciences
University of Cambridge
Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, UK

$^3$ Department of Mathematics
King’s College London
Strand
London WC2R 2LS, UK

Abstract

We solve the Killing spinor equations of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity, with four supercharges, coupled to any number of vector and scalar multiplets in all cases. We find that backgrounds with $N = 1$ supersymmetry admit a null, integrable, Killing vector field. There are two classes of $N = 2$ backgrounds. The spacetime in the first class admits a parallel null vector field and so it is a pp-wave. The spacetime of the other class admits three Killing vector fields, and a vector field that commutes with the three Killing directions. These backgrounds are of cohomogeneity one with homogenous sections either $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ or $AdS_3$ and have an interpretation as domain walls. The $N = 3$ backgrounds are locally maximally supersymmetric. There are $N = 3$ backgrounds which arise as discrete identifications of maximally supersymmetric ones. The maximally supersymmetric backgrounds are locally isometric to either $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ or $AdS_4$. 
1 Introduction

Four-dimensional supergravity coupled to vector and scalar multiplets with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry, four supercharges, is a minimal supersymmetric extension of the standard model. Because of this, it has widespread applications in particle physics phenomenology. The theory has been developed in stages beginning from the construction of pure supergravity [1, 2]. The couplings to the vector and scalar multiplets were added later, see e.g. [3] and references within.

In recent years and following the work of Paul Tod [4], there has been much interest in the systematic understanding of supersymmetric configurations of supergravity theories. In lower-dimensional supergravities, the focus has been on the classification of supersymmetric solutions of four- and five-dimensional theories with more than 8 supercharges, see e.g. [5, 6, 7]. Special supersymmetric solutions of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ four-dimensional theories are also known. These include the stringy cosmic strings [8, 9, 10], domain walls [11, 12, 13] and pp-waves.

In this paper, we solve the Killing spinor equations of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity coupled to any number of vector and scalar multiplets in all cases. For this we use the spinorial geometry approach of [14]. We find that there are backgrounds with $N = 1$, $N = 2$, $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ supersymmetry. The spacetime metric of backgrounds with $N = 1$ supersymmetry admits an integrable, null, Killing vector field. Adapting appropriate coordinates, the metric is given in (3.11) and (3.13). There are two kinds of $N = 2$ backgrounds. One admits a parallel null, Killing vector field and the metric is that of a pp-wave. The other admits three Killing vector fields and an additional vector field that commutes with the three Killing ones. The metric is given in special coordinates (4.18). These backgrounds are of cohomogeneity one with homogeneous sections either $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ or $AdS_3$. The $N = 3$ backgrounds are locally maximally supersymmetric. However, we have shown by adapting the results of [16] that there are $N = 3$ backgrounds which arise as discrete quotients of maximally supersymmetric ones. The maximally supersymmetric backgrounds are locally isometric to either $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ or $AdS_4$.

This paper has been organized as follows. In section two, we state the Killing spinor equations which arise from the supersymmetry variation of the fermions of the supergravity theory. In section three, we solve the Killing spinor equations of $N = 1$ backgrounds and describe the geometry of spacetime. In section four, we investigate the solution of the Killing spinor equations for $N = 2$ backgrounds. In section five, we show that the $N = 3$ backgrounds are locally maximally supersymmetric and that the $N = 4$ backgrounds are locally isometric to either $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ or $AdS_4$. In section six, we give an example of an $N = 3$ background which can be constructed as discrete identification of $AdS_4$ and in section seven we give our conclusions. In appendix A, we present the integrability conditions of the Killing spinor equations.

1 The theory has appeared in the literature in many different conventions. We shall mostly follow those of [3], page 212.
2 Killing spinor equations

The Killing spinor equations can be read off from the supersymmetry transformations of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity. There are three Killing spinor equations associated with the supersymmetry transformations of the fermions of the gravitational, gauge and scalar multiplets, respectively. After some apparent changes in notation from that of [3], the Killing spinor equations of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity can be written as follows:

The gravitino Killing spinor equation is

$$2[\nabla_\mu \epsilon_L + \frac{1}{4} (\partial_i K D_\mu \phi^i - \partial_i K D_\mu \bar{\phi}^i) \epsilon_L] + ie^2 \bar{W} \gamma_\mu \epsilon_R = 0 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

the gaugino Killing spinor equation is

$$F^a_{\mu \nu} \gamma^{\mu \nu} \epsilon_L - 2i \mu^a \epsilon_L = 0 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

and the Killing spinor equation associated with the scalar multiplet is

$$i \gamma^\mu \epsilon_R D_\mu \phi^i - e^2 \bar{W} G^i_{\bar{j}} D_{\bar{j}} W \epsilon_L = 0 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

where $\nabla$ is the spin connection, $\phi^i$ is a complex scalar field, $K = K(\phi^i, \bar{\phi}^\jmath)$ is the Kähler potential of the (Kähler) scalar or sigma model manifold $S$, $G_{\bar{i} j} = \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} K$, $W = W(\phi^i)$ is a (local) holomorphic function on $S$,

$$D_i W = \partial_i W + \partial_i K W , \quad D_\mu \phi^i = \partial_\mu \phi^i - A^a_{\mu} \xi^i_a ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

$\xi^i_a$ are holomorphic Killing vector fields on $S$, $A^a$ is the gauge connection with field strength $F^a$ and $\mu^a$ is the moment map, i.e.

$$G^i_{\bar{j}} \xi^\bar{j}_a = i \partial_i \mu^a .$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

We mostly follow the metric and spinor conventions of [3]. In particular, the spacetime metric has signature mostly plus, $\epsilon$ is a Majorana spinor and $\epsilon_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \gamma_5) \epsilon$, where $\gamma_5^2 = 1$. We have set the gauge coupling to 1.

The gravitino Killing spinor equation is a parallel transport equation for a connection which, apart from the Levi-Civita part, contains additional terms that depend on the matter couplings. The gauge group of the Killing spinor equations is $Spin_c(3,1) = Spin(3,1) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} U(1)$. The $Spin(3,1)$ acts on $\epsilon$ with the Majorana representation while $U(1)$ acts on the chiral component $\epsilon_L$ with the standard 1-dimensional representation and on the anti-chiral $\epsilon_R$ with its conjugate. The additional $U(1)$ gauge transformation is due to the coupling of the spinor $\epsilon$ to the $U(1)$ connection constructed from the Kähler potential $K$ associated with the matter couplings. In what follows, we use only the $Spin(3,1)$ component of the gauge group to choose the representatives of the Killing spinors. Incidentally, the holonomy of the supercovariant connection is contained in $Pin_c(3,1)$. This can be easily seen from the expression for the integrability condition of the gravitino Killing spinor equation in appendix A. The additional $U(1)$ component in the holonomy group is again due the the Kähler potential coupling mentioned above.
3 N=1 backgrounds

3.1 Killing spinor

The starting point in the spinorial geometry approach \[14\] to solving Killing spinor equations is the choice of a normal form for the Killing spinors up to gauge transformations. We have already mentioned that the gauge group is $\text{Spin}(3,1) = \text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$. It is known that $\text{Spin}(3,1) = \text{SL}(2\mathbb{C})$ and the chiral (Weyl) representation is identified with the standard representation of $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$. The Majorana representation which is relevant here is simply $2 \oplus \bar{2}$ with $\bar{2}$ the complex conjugate of 2. Using the explicit realization of spinors in terms of forms, the chiral representation is identified with even forms, $\Lambda^\text{ev}(\mathbb{C}^2)$, and the anti-chiral with odd ones, $\Lambda^\text{odd}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Introducing a Hermitian basis $(e_1, e_2)$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with respect to a Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, a basis in $\Lambda^\text{ev}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is $(1, e_1 \wedge e_2)$, $e_1 \wedge e_2 = e_2 \wedge e_1$, and a basis in $\Lambda^\text{odd}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is $(e_1, e_2)$. In particular, the gamma matrices act on $\Lambda^\text{ev}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\Lambda^\text{odd}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ as

$$
\Gamma_0 = -e_2 \wedge e_2 \wedge + e_2 \wedge , \quad \Gamma_2 = e_2 \wedge + e_2 \wedge ,
\Gamma_1 = e_1 \wedge + e_1 \wedge , \quad \Gamma_3 = i(e_1 \wedge - e_1 \wedge),
$$

(3.1)

where $\wedge$ is the adjoint operation of the form skew-product. For later use, we also adopt a light-cone Hermitian basis in the space of spinors as

$$
\gamma_+ = \sqrt{2} e_2 \wedge , \quad \gamma_- = \sqrt{2} e_1 \wedge ,
\gamma_1 = \sqrt{2} e_1 \wedge , \quad \gamma_1 = \sqrt{2} e_1 \wedge .
$$

(3.2)

There is one orbit of $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ on $\Lambda^\text{ev}(\mathbb{C}^2)$, and so the chiral component of $\epsilon$ can be chosen as 1. In this basis, the Majorana inner product is given by

$$
B(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \langle \Gamma_{12} \eta_1^*, \eta_2 \rangle ,
$$

(3.3)

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^2$ extended on $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{C}^2)$, and $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Lambda^*(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Observe that $B$ is a bi-linear. The spacetime forms constructed as spinor bi-linear are defined as

$$
\tau_{\mu_1...\mu_k} = B(\eta_1, \gamma_{\mu_1...\mu_k} \eta_2) , \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, 4 .
$$

(3.4)

The Dirac inner product in the (3.1) basis is $D(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \langle \Gamma_0 \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle$. Equating the Dirac and Majorana conjugates, one finds that the complex conjugation operation is imposed by the anti-linear map, $C = -\Gamma_{012}^* , \quad C^2 = 1$. Applying this to the spinor 1, one finds that a Majorana representative for the orbit is

$$
\epsilon = 1 + e_1 , \quad \epsilon_L = 1 , \quad \epsilon_R = e_1 .
$$

(3.5)

This can be chosen as the first Killing spinor of the theory. The isotropy group of the spinor 1 in $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ is $\mathbb{C}$. This will be used later to choose the second Killing spinor.
3.2 Solution to the Killing spinor equations

Evaluating the gravitino equation on the Killing spinor \( \epsilon = 1 + e_1 \), we find that

\[
- \Omega_{++,} + \Omega_{+,11} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i K D_+ \phi^i - \partial_i K D_+ \phi^i) = 0, \\
\Omega_{+,+1} = 0, \\
- \Omega_{-,-} + \Omega_{-,11} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i K D_- \phi^i - \partial_i K D_- \phi^i) = 0, \\
2\Omega_{-,+1} + \sqrt{2} i e^K W = 0, \\
- \Omega_{1,+} + \Omega_{1,11} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i K D_1 \phi^i - \partial_i K D_1 \phi^i) = 0, \\
\Omega_{1,1} = 0, \\
2\Omega_{-,-} + \Omega_{1,11} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i K D_1 \phi^i - \partial_i K D_1 \phi^i) + \sqrt{2} i e^K W = 0, \\
\]

(3.6)

where \( \Omega \) is the spin connection of the four-dimensional spacetime metric.

The gaugino equation (2.2) acting on \( 1 + e_1 \) gives

\[
F_{++} = F_{+-} = 0, \\
F_{11} - \mu^{(a)} = 0, \\
\]

(3.7)

and similarly the Killing spinor equation of the scalar multiplet (2.3) gives

\[
D_+ \phi^i = 0, \\
\sqrt{2} i D_i \phi^i = e^K G^{ij} D_j \bar{W}. \\
\]

(3.8)

The equations (3.6)-(3.8) is the linear system associated with the \( N = 1 \) supersymmetric backgrounds.

To solve the linear system, substitute \( D_+ \phi^i = 0 \) into (3.6) to find that the gravitino equations can be rewritten as

\[
\Omega_{++,} = \Omega_{++,11} = \Omega_{++,+1} = \Omega_{-,+-} = \Omega_{1,+,11} = \Omega_{1,+,+1} = \Omega_{-,+-1} + \Omega_{1,+-1} = 0, \\
\]

(3.9)

and

\[
\Omega_{-,-11} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i K D_- \phi^i - \partial_i K D_- \phi^i) = 0, \\
i \sqrt{2} e^K W + 2\Omega_{-,+1} = 0, \\
\Omega_{-,+1} + \Omega_{1,11} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i K D_1 \phi^i - \partial_i K D_1 \phi^i) = 0. \\
\]

(3.10)

In what follows, we explore the consequences of the above conditions on the geometry of spacetime.

3.3 Geometry

To proceed, write the metric in a light-cone Hermitian frame as

\[
ds^2 = 2e^{-e^+} + 2e^1e^\dagger. \\
\]

(3.11)
The spacetime form bilinears associated with the Killing sp inor, see (3.4), are propor-
tional to $e^-\wedge (e^i + e^\dagger i)$, and their spacetime duals. Setting $e^- = X_\mu dy^\mu$, it is easy to see that (3.9) implies that

$$\nabla_{(\mu} X_{\nu)} = 0, \quad e^- \wedge de^- = 0, \quad e^- \wedge e^\dagger \wedge de^1 = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)

Observe also that $e^- \wedge e^1 \wedge de^1 = 0$.

The first condition in (3.12) implies that the metric admits a null Killing vector field. While the second implies that the distribution defined by $X$ is integrable. As a result the metric can be written as in (3.11) with

$$e^- = H du, \quad e^+ = dv + V du + w_i dx^i, \quad e^1 = \beta_1 dx^1 + \beta_2 dx^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.13)

where $u, v, x^i, i = 1, 2$, are real coordinates, $H, V, w_i$ are real spacetime functions independent of $v$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2$ are complex spacetime functions. Substituting these into the last condition in (3.12), we find that the frame $e^1$ and so its complex conjugate $e^\dagger 1$ can be chosen independent of $v$.

In fact, the basis given in (3.13) can be simplified further; one can work in a gauge for which $w_1 = w_2 = 0$ in $e^+$. To see how such a gauge may be chosen, consider the Spin$(3,1)$ gauge transformation generated by $R\gamma_+ + \bar{R}\gamma_{+1}$ for $R \in \mathbb{C}$, which leaves invariant $1 + e_1$. It is straightforward to see that this gauge transformation corresponds to the following change of basis

$$e^- \rightarrow e^-, \quad e^+ \rightarrow e^+ - 4|R|^2 e^- - 2\bar{R}e^1 - 2Re^\dagger,$$

$$e^1 \rightarrow e^1 + 2Re^-,$$

$$e^\dagger \rightarrow e^\dagger + 2\bar{R}e^-.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.14)

By making such a gauge transformation, one can set $w_1 = w_2 = 0$ in $e^+$. Finally, a co-ordinate transformation in $x^1, x^2$ can be used to eliminate the $du$ term from $e^1$. The basis is then given by (3.13), with $w_1 = w_2 = 0$.

The last two conditions in (3.10) can be rewritten as

$$\sqrt{2} e^K We^- - * (e^1 \wedge de^-) = 0,$$

$$*d(e^- \wedge e^1) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^K \bar{W}e^- - \frac{i}{2} (\partial_i K \bar{D}_i \phi - \partial_i K \bar{D}_i \phi) e^- = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.15)

where the orientation of the spacetime is chosen as $\epsilon_{+11} = -i$. The first condition in (3.10) cannot be written in a more covariant form. However, if one takes the fields to be independent of $u$, then the connection part vanishes.

To solve (3.7), one can locally always choose the gauge $A_\mu^a = 0$. The first two conditions in (3.7) will then imply that the remaining components of $A$ are independent of $v$. There is no general procedure to give an explicit solution for the last condition (3.7).

Next turn into the conditions (3.8) that arise from the Killing spinor equations of the matter multiplet. In the gauge $A_\mu^a = 0$, the first condition in (3.8) implies that the scalar fields can be taken to be independent of $v$, $\partial_v \phi = 0$. The last condition in (3.8) can be interpreted as a holomorphic flow equation. The construction of explicit solutions will depend on the form of the Kähler potential and $W$, and so of the details of the model.
4 N=2 backgrounds

4.1 Killing spinors

The first Killing spinor is the same as that of the $N=1$ case investigated above. So we set $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon$, where $\epsilon$ is given in (3.3). To choose the second Killing spinor, consider the most general Majorana spinor

$$\epsilon_2 = a1 + be_{12} + C(a1 + be_{12}) \ , \ a, b \in \mathbb{C} \ . \ (4.1)$$

The isotropy group of $\epsilon_1$ in $\text{Spin}(3,1)$ is $\mathbb{C}$. This can be used to simplify the expression for $\epsilon_2$. There are two cases to consider. If $b = 0$, the $\mathbb{C}$ isotropy transformation leaves $\epsilon_2$ invariant and

$$\epsilon_2 = a1 + \bar{a}e_1 \ . \ (4.2)$$

Linear independence of $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$ requires that $\text{Im} \ a \neq 0$.

Next suppose that $b \neq 0$. After a $\mathbb{C}$ transformation with parameter $\lambda$, one has

$$\epsilon'_2 = (a + \lambda b)1 + be_{12} + C[(a + \lambda b)1 + be_{12}] \ . \ (4.3)$$

Setting $\lambda = -\frac{a}{b}$, one can choose the normal form of $\epsilon_2$ as

$$\epsilon_2 = be_{12} - \bar{b}e_2 \ . \ (4.4)$$

So the second Killing spinor can be chosen either as in (4.2) or as in (4.4) with $a, b$ promoted to complex spacetime functions.

4.2 Solution to the Killing spinor equations

4.2.1 $\epsilon_2 = a1 + \bar{a}e_1$

Consider first the case for which $\epsilon_2 = a1 + \bar{a}e_1$. The linear system is easy to read off from that of the $N=1$ case. In particular, the supercovariant connection along the $-\text{light-cone}$ direction gives

$$2a\Omega_{-,+1} + i\sqrt{2}\bar{a}e \hat{\Phi}W = 0 \ . \ (4.5)$$

Comparing this condition with those of the $N=1$ case, one concludes that either $W = 0$ on the field configurations $\phi$ of the solution or $a = \bar{a}$. If the latter is the case, then it turns out that $a$ is also constant and so $\epsilon_2$ is not linearly independent from $\epsilon_1$. It remains to choose $W = 0$. In such a case, one finds that the parameter $a$ is constant, i.e. $a \in \mathbb{C}$, and the additional conditions to those of $N=1$ are

$$\Omega_{-,+1} = 0 \ , \ D_1 \phi^i = 0 \ , \ W = 0 \ . \ (4.6)$$

\footnote{This does not imply that $W$ vanishes. It means that $W$ vanishes on the solution for $\phi$.}
Combining these with those of $N=1$ backgrounds, we find that the gravitino and matter Killing spinor equations give
\[ \Omega_{+,+} = \Omega_{+,11} = \Omega_{+,+1} = \Omega_{-,+} = \Omega_{1,+1} = \Omega_{-,11} = \Omega_{-,+1} = \Omega_{1,+} = 0 , \] (4.7)
and
\[ \Omega_{-,11} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i K \mathcal{D}_- \phi^i - \partial_i K \mathcal{D}_- \phi^{\bar{i}}) = 0 , \quad \Omega_{1,11} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_i K \mathcal{D}_1 \phi^i = 0 , \]
\[ W = \partial_j W = 0 , \quad \mathcal{D}_1 \phi^i = \mathcal{D}_+ \phi^{\bar{i}} = 0 . \] (4.8)

There are no additional conditions that arise from the gaugino Killing spinor equation apart from those that we have found in the $N=1$ case (3.7).

4.2.2 $\epsilon_2 = b e_{12} - \bar{b} e_2$

Next consider the case where $\epsilon_2 = b e_{12} - \bar{b} e_2$. The gravitino Killing spinor equation gives
\[ \partial_+ b = 0 , \quad b \Omega_{+,+1} + \bar{b} \Omega_{-,-1} = 0 , \]
\[ \partial_- b - \Omega_{+,11} b = 0 , \quad \Omega_{-,11} = 0 , \]
\[ \partial_1 b - b (\Omega_{1,+-} + \Omega_{+,11} + \Omega_{1,11}) = 0 , \quad \Omega_{1,11} = 0 , \]
\[ \partial_1 b - b \Omega_{1,11} = 0 , \quad \Omega_{1,11} = 0 , \] (4.9)
where we have used the $N=1$ relations to simplify the expressions. Moreover the gaugino Killing spinor equation gives
\[ F_{-1}^a = 0 , \quad F_{11}^a + i \mu^a = 0 . \] (4.10)

In addition, the Killing spinor equation associated with the matter multiplet gives
\[ \mathcal{D}_- \phi^i = 0 , \quad i \sqrt{2} \bar{b} \mathcal{D}_1 \phi^i + b e^\bar{f} \mathcal{G}^{\bar{f} \bar{g}} D_j W = 0 . \] (4.11)

4.3 Geometry

4.3.1 $\epsilon_2 = a l + \bar{a} e_1$

The geometric constraints (4.7) imply that $X = e^{-}$ is covariantly constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. So the spacetime admits a parallel null Killing vector field. Such a spacetime has an interpretation as a pp-wave. Note, however, that the cosmic string solutions [8] and their generalizations [9, 10] also admit a null parallel vector field and so belong to this class of solutions. In particular, one can choose co-ordinates $v, u$ such that $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ is a Killing vector, and $e^{-} = du$, i.e. the frame can be chosen as in (3.13) with $H = 1$. We have used the same symbol $X$ to denote the one-form and the dual vector field.

The investigation of remaining conditions is similar to that of the $N=1$ case. In particular the first condition in (4.8) does not have a straightforward interpretation unless one takes the fields to be independent of $u$. In such a case the connection term vanishes. The second condition in (4.8) can be written as
\[ \ast d(e^{-} \wedge e^1) - \frac{i}{2} (\partial_i K \mathcal{D}_1 \phi^i - \partial_i K \mathcal{D}_1 \phi^{\bar{i}}) e^{-} = 0 . \] (4.12)
The conditions on $W$ in (4.8) imply that the solution for the scalars should be chosen such that the superpotential $W$ and its first derivative vanish.

The restrictions on $\phi$ in (4.8) can be interpreted as light-cone pseudo-holomorphicity conditions. However notice that the light-cone almost-hermitian distribution $(e^+, e^1)$ is not integrable in general. However if one takes the fields to be independent of $u$, $(e^+, e^1)$ is integrable and $\mathcal{D}_+ \phi^i = \mathcal{D}_1 \phi^j = 0$ are light-cone holomorphicity conditions. Moreover in such a case, one can always choose a gauge locally such that $A^a_+ = A^a_1 = 0$, since $F_{+1} = 0$, and so write $\partial_+ \phi^i = \partial_1 \phi^j = 0$.

4.3.2 $\epsilon_2 = \beta e_{12} - \bar{\beta} e_2$

To analyze the conditions (4.9) which arise from the Killing spinor equations in this case, it is convenient to define the 1-forms

$$X = e^-, \quad Y = |b|^2 e^+, \quad Z = \bar{b} e^1 + b e^\dagger, \quad W = i b e^1 - i b e^\dagger. \quad (4.13)$$

Observe that $Z$ is orthogonal to $X, Y, W$, and $W$ is orthogonal to $X, Y, Z$. Then it is straightforward to show that the Killing spinor equations imply that $X, Y$ and $Z$ are all Killing vectors. Furthermore, $W$ is closed, $dW = 0$. In addition, one finds the following constraints on the vector field commutators:

$$[W, X] = [W, Y] = [W, Z] = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$[X, Y] = cZ, \quad [X, Z] = -2cX, \quad [Y, Z] = 2cY, \quad (4.15)$$

where $c = b(\Omega_{-,-1} - \Omega_{+,+1})$ and we use the same symbols to denote the vector fields their dual one-forms.

Consider the commutator $[X, Y] = cZ$. Since $W$ commutes with the other three vector field, the Jacobi identity implies that $Wc = 0$. Similarly, the Jacobi identity for $Z, X$ and $Y$ together with the linear independence of these three vector field imply that $Xc = Yc = Zc = 0$. So $c$ can be taken to be a constant.

Next, since $Z$ and $W$ commute one can choose coordinates $x, y$ such that $Z = \partial_x$ and $W = \partial_y$. Moreover, the rest of the commutators imply that there are additional coordinates $u, v$ such that

$$X = e^{2cx} \partial_v, \quad Y = e^{-2cx} \left( (c^2 v^2 + 2c \lambda(u) v + \nu(u)) \partial_v + (cv + \lambda(u)) \partial_x + \rho(u) \partial_y + \partial_u \right), \quad (4.16)$$

where $\lambda, \nu$ and $\rho$ are arbitrary functions of $u$. The functions $\lambda$ and $\rho$ can be eliminated using a $u$-dependent shift transformation in $v$ and $y$. The resulting expression for $Y$ is as in (4.16) with $l = \rho = 0$. The rest of the vector fields remain unchanged. Using (4.13), one can compute the frame in terms of the coordinates $x, y, v, u$ to find

$$e^- = e^{2cx} |b|^2 du, \quad e^+ = e^{-2cx} (dv - (c^2 v^2 + \nu) du), \quad e^1 = b [(dx - idy) - cvdu], \quad e^\dagger = \bar{b} [(dx + idy) - cvdu]. \quad (4.17)$$
Hence the spacetime metric can be written as

\[ ds^2 = 2|b|^2[ds^2(M_3) + dy^2] \, , \]  

(4.18)

where

\[ ds^2(M_3) = du(dv - (c^2v^2 + \nu)du) + (dx - cvdu)^2 \, , \]  

(4.19)

and \( \nu \) is a function of \( u \), \( \nu = \nu(u) \). However, by direct examination of the Riemann curvature tensor, we find that the 3-manifold with metric \( ds^2(M_3) \) is either \( \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \) if \( c = 0 \), or \( AdS_3 \) if \( c \neq 0 \).

The function \( b \) depends only on \( y \), satisfying

\[ \frac{db}{dy} = \sqrt{2}|b|^2e^{\frac{K}{2}}W + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\frac{K}{2}}b(\partial_i K G^{ij} D_j \tilde{W} - \tilde{b} \partial_i K G^{ij} D_j W) \, . \]  

(4.20)

If \( b \) is taken to be real, the above equation can be further simplified to write

\[ \frac{d \log b}{dy} = \sqrt{2}e^{\frac{K}{2}}Re W \, , \; i \text{Im} W + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i K G^{ij} D_j \tilde{W} - \partial_i K G^{ij} D_j W) = 0 \, . \]  

(4.21)

Clearly, the spacetime is of cohomogeneity one with homogenous section either \( AdS_3 \) or \( \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \). So this class of \( N = 2 \) solutions can be thought of as domain wall spacetimes.

The gaugino Killing spinor equation implies that

\[ F^a = 0 \, , \; \mu^a = 0 \, . \]  

(4.22)

So the gauge connection is flat and can locally be set to zero. The vanishing of the moment map restricts the scalars to lie on a Kähler quotient of \( S \).

The scalars \( \phi^i \) are independent of \( v \). Since we have set \( A = 0 \) locally, the additional constraints on \( \mathcal{D} \phi^i \) imply that \( \partial_v \phi^i = \partial_u \phi^i = 0 \). Moreover, the remaining Killing spinor equations of the scalar multiplet (4.11) gives

\[ \frac{d \phi^i}{dy} = -\sqrt{2}be^{\frac{K}{2}}G^{ij} D_j \tilde{W} \, . \]  

(4.23)

Observe that this expression depends on \( b \). This is again a flow equation driven by the holomorphic potential \( W \). One can change parameterisation to simplify the flow equations (4.20) and (4.23). The construction of explicit solutions depends on the details of the models.

5 \( N=3 \) and \( N=4 \) backgrounds

5.1 Killing spinors

To find the Killing spinors of \( N = 3 \) backgrounds, we use the gauge group to bring the normal to the Killing spinors to a canonical form as in [15]. Since there is a single orbit of \( \text{Spin}(3,1) \) on the space of Majorana spinors, we can always choose the normal direction to the three Killing spinors to be \( i(e_2 + e_{12}) \) with respect to the Majoranainner
product, \( A(\zeta, \eta) = \langle \Gamma_{12} \zeta^*, \eta \rangle \), where \( \langle, \rangle \) is the standard Hermitian inner product. The orthogonal directions to \( i(e_2 + e_{12}) \) are \( \{ \eta_r \} = \{ 1 + e_1, e_2 - e_{12}, i(e_2 + e_{12}) \} \). So the three Killing spinors can be chosen as

\[
\epsilon_r = \sum_s f_{rs} \eta_s, \quad r, s = 1, 2, 3,
\]

where \( (f_{rs}) \) is a real \( 3 \times 3 \) invertible matrix of spacetime functions. Schematically we write \( \epsilon = f \eta \).

In the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) backgrounds, the Killing spinors can again be written as a linear combination of the basis \( \{ 1 + e_1, i(1 - e_1), e_2 - e_{12}, i(e_2 + e_{12}) \} \) of Majorana spinors with real spacetime functions as coefficients. Next we shall solve the Killing spinor equations for both cases.

### 5.2 Solution to the Killing spinor equations

Let us begin with the \( \mathcal{N} = 3 \) case. We shall first solve the Killing spinor equations locally. To proceed observe that (5.1) implies that schematically \( \epsilon_L = f \eta_L \) and \( \epsilon_R = f \eta_R \). Substituting this into the gaugino (2.2) and chiral (2.3) Killing spinor equations, one finds that the dependence on \( (f) \) can be eliminated, because \( f \) is invertible. Moreover the conditions that one obtains are those of (3.7), (3.8), and (4.10) and (4.11) for \( b = 1 \) and \( b = i \). These imply that

\[
F^a_{\mu \nu} = D_\mu \phi^i = D_i W = \mu^a = 0.
\]

Since the gauge connection is flat, we can locally set the gauge potential to vanish, \( A_\mu = 0 \). As a result the second equation implies that \( \phi \) are constant. Substituting these data into the gravitino Killing spinor equation, and taking its integrability condition, we find that

\[
R_{\mu \nu, \rho \sigma} \gamma^{\rho \sigma} \eta_L + 2e^K W \bar{W} \gamma_{\mu \nu} \eta_L = 0.
\]

Clearly the integrability condition takes values in \( \text{spin}(3,1) \). Since the isotropy group of three linearly independent spinors in \( \text{Spin}(3,1) \) is the identity, (5.3) implies that

\[
R_{\mu \nu, \rho \sigma} = -e^K W \bar{W} (g_{\mu \rho} g_{\nu \sigma} - g_{\mu \sigma} g_{\nu \rho}) = 0.
\]

It is easy to see that (5.2) and (5.4) are precisely the conditions that one gets for backgrounds that admit \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) supersymmetries. So one concludes that \( \mathcal{N} = 3 \) backgrounds admit locally an additional supersymmetry and so are locally maximally supersymmetric. Furthermore (5.4) implies that the spacetime is either \( \mathbb{R}^{3,1} \) or \( \text{AdS}_4 \). In the former case, \( e^K |W|^2 = 0 \) and in the latter \( e^K |W|^2 \neq 0 \) when evaluated at the constant maps \( \phi \), respectively.

The moment map condition in (5.2), \( \mu^a = 0 \), together with the remaining constant gauge transformations imply that the constant maps \( \phi \) take values in a Kähler quotient of the sigma model target space \( S \). It remains to investigate \( D_i W = 0 \). Suppose that we have chosen some constant maps \( \phi = \phi_0 \). If \( W(\phi_0) = 0 \), then \( D_i W = 0 \) implies that \( \partial_i W(\phi_0) = 0 \). So \( W \) and its first derivative vanish at \( \phi = \phi_0 \). On the other hand if \( W(\phi_0) \neq 0 \), \( D_i W = 0 \) relates the value of the first derivative of \( W \) to that of the Kähler potential at \( \phi = \phi_0 \).
6 Supersymmetric Quotients

Supersymmetric solutions of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ four-dimensional supergravity theories can be constructed by taking quotients of maximally supersymmetric solutions with respect to a discrete subgroup of the isometry group. Here we shall not investigate all possible cases, instead we shall present an explicit construction of an $\mathcal{N} = 3$ background from a discrete quotient of $AdS_4$. A similar question has been raised in [16] in the context of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory. To proceed, consider the gravitino Killing spinor equation equation for an $\mathcal{N} = 3$ solution which is locally isometric to $AdS_4$. We take the gauge connection to be trivial and so the scalars to be constant. As $W$ and $K$ are constant, it is convenient to set

$$W = -iRe^{i\theta}$$

for real $R, \theta$, with $R > 0$. Furthermore, define $\ell$ by

$$\ell = \frac{e^{-\frac{i\theta}{2}}}{R}$$

and set

$$\hat{\epsilon} = e^{-i\theta} \epsilon_L + e^{i\theta} \epsilon_R.$$ (6.3)

Observe that $\hat{\epsilon}$ is Majorana. Then the Killing spinor equation implies that

$$\nabla_\mu \hat{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2\ell} \gamma_\mu \hat{\epsilon} = 0.$$ (6.4)

The general solution to this equation has been constructed in [16] using the same notation. In particular, one defines the following real basis for $AdS_4$:

$$e^0 = \ell \cosh \rho (dt + \frac{1}{2}r^2 dx),$$

$$e^1 = \frac{\ell}{2} r^2 \cosh \rho dx,$$

$$e^2 = \ell d\rho,$$

$$e^3 = \frac{\ell}{r} \cosh \rho dr.$$ (6.5)

for $x, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, $r > 0$. The smooth quotient is obtained by making the identification $x \sim x + 2k$. In order to demonstrate how taking this quotient breaks the supersymmetry from $N = 4$ to $N = 3$, it suffices to exhibit four Majorana spinors which are globally well-defined on $AdS_4$, such that three of these spinors remain globally well-defined in the quotient geometry, whereas the fourth fails to be globally well-defined. These Majorana spinors can be read off directly from equation (24) of [16]:

$$\hat{\epsilon}_1 = e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(2r(\cosh \frac{\rho}{2} - i \sinh \frac{\rho}{2})(1 + e_1 e_2) + 2r(\sinh \frac{\rho}{2} - i \cosh \frac{\rho}{2})(e_1 - e_2)\right),$$

$$\hat{\epsilon}_2 = 2e^{it}(\cosh \frac{\rho}{2} + i \sinh \frac{\rho}{2}) e_1 - 2e^{it}(\sinh \frac{\rho}{2} + i \cosh \frac{\rho}{2}) e_2$$

$$+ 2e^{-it}(\cosh \frac{\rho}{2} - i \sinh \frac{\rho}{2}) e_1 + 2e^{-it}(\sinh \frac{\rho}{2} - i \cosh \frac{\rho}{2}) e_1 e_2.$$
\[ \hat{\epsilon}_3 = 2ie^{it}(\cosh \frac{\rho}{2} + i \sinh \frac{\rho}{2})1 - 2ie^{it}(\sinh \frac{\rho}{2} + i \cosh \frac{\rho}{2})e_2 \]
\[ - 2ie^{-it}(\cosh \frac{\rho}{2} - i \sinh \frac{\rho}{2})e_1 - 2ie^{-it}(\sinh \frac{\rho}{2} - i \cosh \frac{\rho}{2})e_{12}, \]
\[ \hat{\epsilon}_4 = ie^{\frac{i\pi}{4}} \left( \frac{2}{r}(1 - ir^2x)(\cosh \frac{\rho}{2} - i \sinh \frac{\rho}{2})1 - \frac{2}{r}(1 + ir^2x)(\sinh \frac{\rho}{2} - i \cosh \frac{\rho}{2})e_1 \right. \]
\[ - \frac{2}{r}(1 - ir^2x)(\sinh \frac{\rho}{2} - i \cosh \frac{\rho}{2})e_2 - \frac{2}{r}(1 + ir^2x)(\cosh \frac{\rho}{2} - i \sinh \frac{\rho}{2})e_{12} \right). \quad (6.6) \]

Clearly, \( \hat{\epsilon}_1, \hat{\epsilon}_2 \) and \( \hat{\epsilon}_3 \) remain well-defined on making the identification \( x \sim x + 2k \). However, as \( \hat{\epsilon}_4 \) contains terms linear in \( x \), \( \hat{\epsilon}_4 \) fails to be globally well-defined in this quotient of \( AdS_4 \), and hence this solution is an \( N = 3 \) solution. It may worth re-investigating the number of supersymmetries preserved by this solutions after introducing appropriate flat but no trivial gauge and scalar fluxes.

7 Conclusions

We have solved the Killing spinor equations of \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) supergravity coupled to any number of vector and scalar multiplets. In particular, we have determined the geometry of spacetime in all cases. We have shown that there are backgrounds with any number of supersymmetries ranging from \( N = 1 \) to \( N = 4 \). \( N = 1 \) backgrounds admit a single null, integrable, Killing vector. \( N = 2 \) backgrounds admit either a single parallel, null, vector field or three Killing vector fields. In the former case, the spacetime has an interpretation as a pp-wave. In the latter, the metric can be written in special coordinates, and the spacetime is of co-homogeneity one with homogenous section either \( \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \) or \( AdS_3 \). Such backgrounds can be thought of as domain walls. \( N = 3 \) backgrounds are locally maximally supersymmetric. In addition there are backgrounds which admit \( N = 3 \) supersymmetry which can be constructed as discrete identifications of maximally supersymmetric ones. The maximally supersymmetric backgrounds are locally isometric to either \( \mathbb{R}^{3,1} \) or \( AdS_4 \).

We have not been able to solve explicitly all the equations. Supersymmetry imposes strong restrictions in all backgrounds which admit more than one supersymmetry, \( N > 1 \). Some of the remaining equations are either holomorphic flow or standard flow type of equations. So many qualitative results can be obtained by investigating the properties of the vector fields which generate the flow. In particular, the \( N = 2 \) domain wall backgrounds are associated with standard flow equations. Explicit solutions can be obtained for special models. Although, we have given an example of an \( N = 3 \) background which can be constructed as discrete identification of a maximally supersymmetric one based on [16], we have not investigated all \( N = 3 \) backgrounds that can be obtained in this way. It may be possible to construct all such backgrounds utilizing the results of [17].
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Appendix A  

Integrability conditions

There are three integrability conditions that can be derived from the Killing spinor equations in section 2. The first is obtained by commuting two gravitino variations,

\[
R_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\rho\sigma} + 2(\partial_i K D_{[\mu} D_{\nu]} \phi^i - \partial_i K D_{[\mu} \phi^j) + 2 e^K W \bar{W} \gamma_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_L + 4 i e^{K/2} D_i W D_{[\mu} \phi^i \gamma_{\nu]} \epsilon_R = 0 ,
\]

(A.1)

the second by commuting the gravitino and gaugino variations,

\[
2 \nabla_\mu (F^a_{\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\rho\sigma} - 2 i \mu^a) \epsilon_L - i e^{K/2} W (F^a_{\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\rho\sigma} - 2 i \mu^a) \gamma_\mu \epsilon_R = 0 ,
\]

(A.2)

and the third by commuting the gravitino and scalar variations,

\[
2 (D_\mu D_\rho \phi^j) \gamma^\rho \epsilon_R + e^K G^{i\bar{j}} (D_\bar{j} \bar{W}) W \gamma_\mu \epsilon_R + i e^{K/2} \bar{W} \gamma_\mu \epsilon_L
\]

\[+ 2 i e^{K/2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i K D_{\mu} \phi^j - \partial_i K D_{\mu} \phi^j) \gamma_\mu \epsilon_R \right]
\]

\[
+2 i e^{K/2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i K D_{\mu} \phi^j - \partial_i K D_{\mu} \phi^j) \gamma_\mu \epsilon_L \right]
\]

\[
+ D_\rho \phi^j \gamma^j \left( \gamma^\rho (D_\mu \phi^j - \partial_\mu K D_{\mu} \phi^j) \epsilon_R + i e^{K/2} \bar{W} \gamma_\mu \epsilon_L \right)
\]

\[+ 2 i e^{K/2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i K D_{\mu} \phi^j - \partial_i K D_{\mu} \phi^j) G^{i\bar{j}} D_\bar{j} W \right]
\]

\[+ \partial_i G^{i\bar{j}} D_\mu \phi^j D_\bar{j} W + \partial_\mu G^{i\bar{j}} D_\bar{j} \phi^j D_\bar{j} W + G^{i\bar{j}} D_\mu \phi^j D_\bar{j} W \right] \epsilon_L = 0 .
\]

(A.3)

It is clear from the integrability condition of the gravitino that the holonomy of the supercovariant connection is included in $Pin_c(3,1)$.
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