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ABSTRACT

Background: Photothermal therapy (PTT) is a promising method in the field of cancer hyperthermia. In this method, interaction between laser light and photosensitizer material, such as plasmonic nanoparticles, leads into a localized heating. Recent efforts in the area of PTT aim to exploit targeting strategies for preferential accumulation of plasmonic nanoparticles within the tumor.

Objective: To investigate the impact of magneto-plasmonic (Au@Fe3O4) nanoparticles on temperature profile of CT26 tumor, bearing mice were irradiated by NIR laser.

Material and Methods: In this in vivo study, Au@Fe3O4 NPs were injected intraperitoneally to Balb/c mice bearing CT26 colorectal tumor. Immediately after injection, a magnet (magnetic field strength of 0.4 Tesla) was placed on the tumor site for 6 hours in order to concentrate nanoparticles inside the tumor. In the next step, the tumors were exposed with NIR laser source (808 nm; 2 W/cm²; 5 min).

Results: Tumor temperature without magnetic targeting increased ~7 ± 0.9 °C after NIR irradiation, whereas the tumors in magnetic targeted group experienced a temperature rise of ~12 ± 1.4 °C.

Conclusion: It is concluded that Au@Fe3O4 nanoparticle is a good candidate for therapeutic nanostructure in cancer photothermal therapy.

Keywords: Cancer; Nanomedicine; Nanoparticles; Laser Therapy; Hyperthermia

Introduction

Colon cancer is known as the third most prevalent cancer and the third cause of death from cancer in the United States. According to global statistics, 9 percent of men and 7 percent of women are involved with colon cancer in the world [1]. Since conventional cancer therapies, including surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy have not been efficient enough due to major constraints, new treatments such as hyperthermia have emerged to provide definitive or adjuvant therapy [2, 3]. Hyperthermia is referred to a method in which the desired tissue is exposed to high temperature. If the target temperature reaches 41-
48°C, hyperthermia can be used as auxiliary treatment for chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Beyond the temperature of 48°C, hyperthermia alone causes necrosis and cell death [4-6]. On the other hand, conventional hyperthermia due to temperature gradients (temperature drop from skin surface to depth) is not suitable method for in-depth lesions, because healthy tissues located between the external heat source and the lesion receive more temperature than the target tissue, resulting in serious side effects [3, 7]. Many efforts were made to resolve this problem. The use of nanoparticle as hyperthermia agents is a smart idea that can address this drawback. In this modern hyperthermia method, nanoparticles absorb energy from external source and convert it to excessive heat [8, 9].

Laser is one of the most used sources of hyperthermia for superficial treatments. Photothermal therapy (PTT) is one of the important branches of hyperthermia arising from interaction between laser and nanoparticle such as gold. In this method, gold nanoparticle receive incident light and convert it to heat based on Surface Plasmon resonance (SPR) phenomena [10]. As a result, with turning laser irradiation off, gold nanoparticles play the role of internal source making inverted temperature gradient (temperature drop from inside to the skin surface) [3]. The targeting subject of nanoparticles and their maximum accumulation within the tumor is another issue that is important in modern hyperthermia method. Todays, iron oxide nanoparticles with the capability of external targeting have attracted a lot of attention. These nanoparticles can be directed by the external magnetic field towards the desired target [3, 11, 12].

In the photothermal therapies, nano particles that are sensitive to light, are located in cancerous cells and exposed to laser light [13]. At the time of laser irradiation to the atom of nanoparticles, the atomic electrons absorb laser photons and are excited toward higher energy levels. Since electrons are unstable in this case, they tend to return to the base state (lower energy level). By turning these electrons back to base, that extra energy will turn into heat. The most important advantage of photothermal therapy in the presence of a nanoparticle is the dramatic reduction in laser power density required for cancer treatment [3]. Studies show that only 3 minutes of continuous infrared laser irradiation with a power of 3.5 W is enough to kill the tumor cells by heat after the intravenous injection of a gold nanoparticle [14]. While in order to produce the same result, in the absence of gold nanoparticles, 10-minute irradiation of a high-power 10 W laser is required [15].

According to the literatures, it may be stated that the recent efforts in the area of PTT aims to exploit targeting strategies for preferential accumulation of plasmonic nanoparticles within the tumor. Here, we report the effect of magneto-plasmonic (Au@Fe₃O₄) nanoparticles on temperature profile of CT26 tumor bearing mice irradiated by NIR laser.

Material and Methods

Material

In this in vivo study, iron (II) chloride tetrahydrate (>99%), iron (III) chloride hexahydrate (>99%), ammonia (32%), HCl, and HNO3 were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 were purchased from GIBCO (Invitrogen, Germany). Trypsin ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), penicillin-streptomycin solution, gold (III) chloride solution, all were prepared from Sigma–Aldrich (St Louis, MO).

Synthesis and characterization of Au@Fe₃O₄ core–shell NPs

The method of Au@Fe₃O₄ core–shell NPs preparation was reported, previously [16, 17]. Briefly, the mentioned NP was synthesized according to the modified Lyon’s iterative
hydroxylamine-seeding procedure through the deposition of AuNPs on Fe₂O₃ NPs [18]. Characteristics of nanoparticles were also reported completely in our previous publications [17]. The nanoparticles were spherical and the hydrodynamic size distribution of nanoparticles was ranged from 20-50 nm with the highest frequency around 33 nm. In addition, Zeta potential was reported -17.9 mV. Finally, we demonstrated that the Au@Fe₂O₃ core–shell NPs highly absorbed the NIR wavelengths [17].

**In vivo experimental procedure**

BALB/c mice (male; 5–8 weeks; 18–25 g) were purchased from the Pasteur Institute of Iran. Animals were firstly kept under controlled light, temperature, and humidity conditions for one week. 2×10⁶ CT26 cells suspended in 200 μl RPMI 1640 solution were injected subcutaneously on the right flank of the mice. When the tumor volume approximately reached 150 mm³, the experiment was started. We randomly divided the mice into three groups (n per group=3). The treatments included: Laser, Au@Fe₂O₃ + Laser, and Au@Fe₂O₃ + Magnet + Laser. Nanoparticles suspension (volume=200 μl; concentration=50 μg/ml) was intraperitoneally injected. The surface of the tumors was shaved before laser irradiation and then exposed to the laser beam (2 W/cm²; 5 min). In the combined treatment group, a time interval of 6 h was considered between NPs injection and laser exposure. Additionally, in the group, which the magnet was used, after nanoparticle injection, the magnet was placed on the tumor site for 6 hours immediately. During the laser irradiation, the temperature was monitored and recorded every minute by the Testo 875-1i infrared camera. Figure 1 shows the examples of mice received intraperitoneal injection (a), intraperitoneal in-
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**Figure 1:** The examples of mice received intraperitoneal injection (a), intraperitoneal injection + Magnet (b), and laser irradiation (c).
jection + Magnet (b), and laser irradiation (c).

**Statistical analysis**

We presented data as the mean values ± standard deviations. The SPSS software was utilized for data analysis (version 11). The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test as the post-hoc analysis were used to assess the differences between the treated and control groups. The value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

In order to evaluate the in vivo photothermal capability of nanoparticles, the temperature profile of the tumor with and without inclusion of the Au@Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles was recorded using infrared thermal imaging. Figure 2 shows the example of thermal maps of the mice in various groups. In Figure 2a, thermal distribution due to NIR laser irradiation is observable where the \( T_{\text{max}} \) changed from 35.5°C (before irradiation) to 41.5°C (after irradiation). Figure 2b shows that \( T_{\text{max}} \) was 36.5°C in tumor of the mouse injected by nanoparticles intraperitoneally. For this mouse, \( T_{\text{max}} \) increased to 44.1°C when irradiating with NIR laser. Then, the impact of magnetic targeting

---

**Figure 2:** The example of infrared camera images of various groups; laser (a), laser + intraperitoneal injection (b), laser + intraperitoneal injection + magnet (c).
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was investigated. Figure 2c shows the obtained results for the mouse received nanoparticles plus magnetic targeting and then irradiated by laser. According to this part of Figure 2, it is seen that the tumor experienced 12°C increase in temperature.

According to the example images shown in Figure 2, we made the Figure 3 to show the incremental temperature profile of the tumor during laser irradiation (2 W/cm², 5 min). Compared to the tumor without Au@Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles that showed a mild temperature rise under laser irradiation (ΔT= 6°C), the tumors treated with the Au@Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles prior to laser irradiation reached ΔT ~7.2°C for non-targeted group and ΔT ~12.3°C for magnetic targeted group.

Discussion

The cell uptake depends on various factors such as the size, shape, and surface charge of the nanoparticle. Some studies showed that the absorption of nanorods by the cells is greater than other forms of nanoparticles, but in sizes less than 100 nm, spherical nanoparticles are better absorbed. From point view of cell uptake, the best size of the nanoparticle is estimated to be 20-60 nm. The charge of nanoparticles also affects the cell uptake process. Due to negative charge of the cell membrane, the absorption of cationic particles will be higher than other particles [19, 20]. The nanoparticles used in this study were spherical and had a size range of 20-50 nm. Thus, the nanoparticles used in this study may have high level of cell uptake. Their zeta potential was measured -17.9 Mv, indicating the agglomeration of these nanoparticles were not at high level and had a good stability. Therefore, the synthesized nanoparticles had acceptable characteristics to be used in vivo study.

Many studies confirm the photothermal properties of gold nanoparticles. When a metal particle is exposed by light, the conduction layer electrons oscillate. This process, known as SPR, is very strong in metal based nanoparticles like gold. The SPR peak of AuNPs is occurred at 520 nm (visible range). Such a wavelength range for lasers is appropriate to treat the superficial tumors. To

![Figure 3: The variations in temperature caused by laser irradiation 808 nm for 5 minutes in three groups of mice; (i) laser, (ii) intraperitoneal injection + laser, and (iii) intraperitoneal injection + laser + magnet.](image)
treat the tumors located in deeper sites, the NIR lasers are usually utilized. Therefore, the nanoparticles activated in the NIR region are better choices in PTT. Changing the particle size, shape, structure and composition can change the SPR absorption wavelength of the nanoparticles. The use of a gold nanoshell displaces SPR wavelength into the NIR region. In this study, we have used Au@Fe$_2$O$_3$ core-shell NP as a photosensitizer of NIR laser. In fact, the wavelength peak of the Au@Fe$_2$O$_3$ was measured at ~580 nm, but its absorbance value is not dropped significantly after 580 nm and continued to 810 nm [21].

In the present study, three various groups of mice were exposed to laser as mentioned in previous section. In our studies, temperature of tumor surface in mice treated with laser alone increased from $35.5 \pm 1.6$ to $41.5 \pm 0.9$ °C in 5 minutes. For the group in which the mice treated with nanoparticles injected intraperitoneally and laser, the temperature changes were from $36.9 \pm 1.9$ to $44.1 \pm 1.4$ °C. For the group in which we put magnet on tumor site after intraperitoneally injection and then irradiated by laser, the temperature was enhanced from $34.5 \pm 1.3$ to $46.8 \pm 0.5$ °C.

The temperature rise did not change significantly when the tumor was only exposed to laser. The presence of gold-coated iron oxide nanoparticles played an important role in increasing the temperature. In other words, the overlapping of the nanoparticles SPR with laser wavelength caused the oscillation of nanoparticle electrons and increased tumor temperature locally [22]. These results are comparable with the studies reported by Chen and Liu [23, 24]. After injection of polypyrrole nanoparticles into Balb/c mice, Chen et al. exposed 808 nm laser to the tumor. Their results showed that the tumor temperature reached $60$ °C after 5 minutes irradiation, while in the laser group temperature rise of tumor surface was shown only $3$ °C. The reason for this temperature rise was the absorption of NIR light by the nanoparticles [23]. Liu et al. observed temperature changes of $21$ °C in the presence of manganese oxide nanoparticles and 808 nm laser irradiation for 4 minutes in mice, whereas there was no significant temperature increase in the mice received laser alone. They also observed that the temperature only increased in a small area around the tumor and other organs would not be affected due to the NIR laser spatial control [24]. There is a consistency between the results observed in this study and the data published by Liu et al. This is because the images recorded by the infrared camera (Figure 2) showed that the temperature enhancement was limited to the tumor area and did not occur in the surrounding organs.

**Conclusion**

The goal of current study was to determine the in vivo photosensitizing effects of Au@Fe$_2$O$_3$ core-shell NPs. Also, it was found that NPs can be highly accumulated in the tumor site using magnetic targeting method. Our findings demonstrated that the interaction of NIR laser and the accumulated nanoparticles caused remarkable temperature rise in the tumor. Consequently, it may be stated that Au@Fe$_2$O$_3$ core-shell NP is an appropriate and targetable photosensitizer agent useable in the process of cancer photothermal therapy.
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