Spatio-Temporal Variations of High and Low Nucleic Acid Content Bacteria in an Exorheic River
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Abstract

Bacteria with high nucleic acid (HNA) and low nucleic acid (LNA) content are commonly observed in aquatic environments. To date, limited knowledge is available on their temporal and spatial variations in freshwater environments. Here an investigation of HNA and LNA bacterial abundance and their flow cytometric characteristics was conducted in an exorheic river (Haihe River, Northern China) over a one year period covering September (autumn) 2011, December (winter) 2011, April (spring) 2012, and July (summer) 2012. The results showed that LNA and HNA bacteria contributed similarly to the total bacterial abundance on both the spatial and temporal scale. The variability of HNA on abundance, fluorescence intensity (FL1) and side scatter (SSC) were more sensitive to environmental factors than that of LNA bacteria. Meanwhile, the relative distance of SSC between HNA and LNA was more variable than that of FL1. Multivariate analysis further demonstrated that the influence of geographical distance (reflected by the salinity gradient along river to ocean) and temporal changes (as temperature variation due to seasonal succession) on the patterns of LNA and HNA were stronger than the effects of nutrient conditions. Furthermore, the results demonstrated that the distribution of LNA and HNA bacteria, including the abundance, FL1 and SSC, was controlled by different variables. The results suggested that LNA and HNA bacteria might play different ecological roles in the exorheic river.

Introduction

In natural aquatic environments, planktonic bacteria tend to cluster into two distinct subgroups, namely high nucleic acid content (HNA) bacteria and low nucleic acid content (LNA) bacteria, by flow cytometry (FCM) measurement in combination with nucleic acid staining [1].
This classification based on cellular size and fluorescence intensity of bacteria is widely observed in marine environments [2–6]. When first observed by FCM, LNA bacteria were regarded as inactive, dead or dying cells [7,8]. However, recent studies demonstrated that LNA bacteria were metabolically active [1,5,9]. It was found that LNA bacteria can survive and grow in oligotrophic environments due to their high affinity and binding-protein dependent uptake system [10]. Meanwhile, certain special cellular membrane constitutions could protect LNA bacteria from oxidation [11]. It was reported that LNA bacteria could adopt a dormancy strategy to overcome unfavorable environmental conditions [12].

In terms of ecological function, LNA bacteria were reported to play at least an equal niche role as HNA bacteria in the ecosystems [9,10,13–15]. Hence, information on the relation and variance between LNA and HNA bacteria are important to a better understanding of these two widespread groups of bacterioplankton in aquatic environments, and provide important foundation for further exploration of their environmental applications. The data on LNA and HNA bacterial abundance and activities have mainly come from marine environments [2,3]. It was found that the abundance and flow cytometric characteristics of LNA and HNA bacteria were strongly regulated by environmental variables, e.g. temperature, salinity, chlorophyll-α and nutrient conditions [5,6,16,17]. However, whether their variations on abundance and cytometric characteristics have similar pattern and how the variations respond to environmental factors are still unclear.

The distribution of LNA and HNA bacteria was found to change seasonally [18,19]. It was reported that although the growth rate of HNA bacteria in Lake Biwa generally exceeded that of LNA bacteria, LNA bacteria grew faster than and were grazed as fast as HNA bacteria in late August, when nutrients were severely limited [20]. Gomes and colleagues reported that HNA bacteria were apparently more responsive to the winter-spring phytoplankton bloom than LNA bacteria [21]. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, information on the distribution and variations of LNA and HNA bacteria in freshwater environments is very limited, especially for the gradient along the river to the ocean.

The aims of the present study were 1) to analyze the temporal and spatial variations of the LNA and HNA bacterial abundance and cytometric characteristics along an exorheic river (the Haihe River); and 2) to estimate the effects of the driving factors on the distribution pattern of these two subgroups by multivariate analysis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

Water samples were taken from the Haihe River, where no specific permission is required. The Haihe River is a public river running through Tianjin, China. It is not privately owned or protected. The current study did not involve endangered or protected species. Water sampling procedures were reviewed and followed the Chinese Standard of Collection and Preservation of Water Samples (GB/T 5750.2–2006).

**Sampling sites**

The samples were collected at nine sampling stations along the Haihe river, which inflows into the Bohai Sea and is the largest river in Northern China (Fig 1) [22]. Water samples were collected from each station in four seasons: the autumn (September, 2011), winter (December, 2011), spring (April, 2012), and summer (July, 2012). At each sampling station, 2 L water samples were collected in clean and sterile bottles from a depth of about 0.5 m below the water surface. Samples were stored at 4°C during transportation and processed immediately within 24 hours after sampling.
Flow cytometry analysis

Flow cytometry analysis was performed as described in Ma et al. [22]. One milliliter water sample was stained with 10 μL/ml SYBR Green I (1:100 dilution in dimethyl sulfoxide as the working solution; Invitrogen, USA), and incubated in the dark for 15 min at room temperature before measurement. The FCM (CyFlow Space instrument, Partec, Germany) specific instrumental gain parameters settings were as follows: SSC = 369 (log3), FL1 = 380 (log4), FL3 = 750 (log4). Bacterial communities were gated through the two-parameter dot-plot of green fluorescence (FL1) and side scatter (SSC), then the LNA and HNA bacterial concentrations were counted separately and the respective geometrical means of FL1 and SSC of LNA and HNA were calculated. All samples were measured in triplicate. Water samples were diluted in Milli-
Q water (cell-free) so that the bacterial concentration was always less than $2 \times 10^5$ cells/mL during the FCM measurement. The instrument detection limit was below 500 cells/s with an average standard deviation of 5%.

**Water environmental parameters**

The temperature, pH and salinity of sampling waters were measured using YSI EC300 Water Quality Sonde. Water physical and chemical properties e.g. total suspended solids (TSS), total nitrogen (TN), nitrate ($\text{NO}_3$), total phosphorus (TP), total dissolved phosphorus (TDP), total organic carbon (TOC), and chlorophyll-$\alpha$ (Chl-$\alpha$) were measured as described in our previous studies [22, 23].

**Statistical Analysis**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to test the significance of differences in measured or calculated parameters by using R statistical software (http://www.r-project.org/). A multivariate redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed by Canoco software (Canoco for
Fig 3. Variations of LNA and HNA bacterial concentration (A) and percentage of HNA bacteria (B) along the Haihe River. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicate measurements.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153678.g003
Results and Discussion

Temporal and spatial variations of LNA and HNA bacterial abundance and FCM characteristics

As shown in the flow cytogram, LNA and HNA bacteria in the Haihe River could be clearly discriminated on the basis of their side scatter (SSC) and fluorescence intensity (FL1) in all four seasons (Fig 2). It showed that the bimodal distribution phenomenon based on nucleic acid content and cell size was commonly present in the planktonic bacteria from river ecosystems to oceans irrespective of seasonal shifts. Both LNA and HNA bacterial concentrations in spring and summer were significantly higher than those in winter and autumn ($P < 0.05$) (Fig 3).

Although there were similar trends in temporal distributions between HNA and LNA bacteria, the one-way ANOVA analysis of HNA and LNA bacterial abundance showed that the variability in HNA ($F = 9.04, P < 0.001$) was greater than the variability in LNA ($F = 7.99, P < 0.001$) in different seasons (Fig 3A). Clear spatial variations were observed for both HNA and LNA bacteria along the river to the Bohai Sea (Fig 3). Meanwhile, the results showed that LNA bacteria had an equal share with HNA bacteria in all seasons except spring. In contrast, HNA bacteria dominated the community in spring (64.8%) (Fig 3). This is consistent with previous reports on variations of LNA bacterial abundance in marine environments. For example, Calvo-Diaz and Moran investigated seasonal dynamics of picoplankton in the central Cantabrian Sea (southern Bay of Biscay), where it was observed that HNA bacteria dominated the community in winter and spring (64%), while the proportion of LNA and HNA bacteria was almost equal in autumn and summer [26]. The results suggested that both HNA and LNA bacteria could potentially dominate the community and were important components in the microbial community in both freshwater and marine ecosystems.

With respect to flow cytometric characteristics, both green fluorescence intensity (FL1) (Pearson’s $R = 0.850, P < 0.01$) and side scatter (SSC) (Pearson’s $R = 0.600, P < 0.01$) of HNA and LNA were significantly correlated along the river. In comparison, no significant correlation was found between the FL1 and SSC within each subgroup (HNA: Pearson’s $R = 0.371, P < 0.05$, LNA: Pearson’s $P > 0.1$). In terms of temporal variation, both FL1 and SSC of HNA as well as FL1 of LNA showed significant changes between seasons (Fig 4A and 4B). Meanwhile, similar to the abundance variation, the variabilities of FL1 ($F = 15.2, P < 0.0001$) and SSC ($F = 9.81, P < 0.0001$) in HNA were greater than that in LNA (FL1: $F = 10.2, P < 0.0001$; SSC: $F = 7.41, P < 0.0001$) in HNA.
SSC: $F = 2.16, P > 0.1$). In addition, based on the differences in cytometric parameters (FL1 and SSC) between HNA and LNA, the derived variable (FL1_{HNA}/FL1_{LNA} and SSC_{HNA}/SSC_{LNA}) was used to figure out the relative distances between HNA and LNA in FCM. Such ratios could complementally represent variance within these two subgroups on FL1 and SSC, then further explain what and how different factors affect these variations [27]. The results showed that the relative distance of FL1 between HNA and LNA (median(min-max): 4.4(2.5–10.9)) was significantly higher than that of SSC (2.2(0.8–4.0)) ($P < 0.01$) in each season (Fig 4C). Furthermore, the relative distance of SSC between HNA and LNA showed significant temporal variation ($F = 14.88, P < 0.001$) (Fig 4C). The reason may lie in the seasonal variation of HNA and LNA bacterial activity which would result in the seasonal changes in cell size [28]. It was reported that the cell size of LNA bacteria became larger than that of HNA bacteria in the NW Mediterranean in March [21]. In contrast, no significant temporal variation was observed for the relative distance of FL1 ($F = 1.13, P > 0.1$) (Fig 4C). The different variability of FL1 and SSC suggested these two cytometric parameters would be related to different factors.

**Partition of driving factors on LNA and HNA bacteria**

Redundancy analysis (RDA) was conducted to estimate how environmental variables influenced LNA and HNA bacteria (Fig 5). The Monte Carlo test showed that RDA axes 1 and 2 were significant to elucidate the correlations between bacterial properties and environmental variables ($F = 18.26, P = 0.004$). RDA revealed that salinity was the main driving factor for LNA and HNA bacteria distribution patterns, accounting for 20% variance ($F = 8.26, P < 0.01$), followed by temperature (explain 11% variance, $F = 5.51, P < 0.01$), conductivity (explain 8% variance, $F = 4.10, P < 0.05$), total phosphorus (explain 6% variance, $F = 3.64, P < 0.05$) and total suspended solids (explain 6% variance, $F = 3.27, P < 0.05$) (Fig 5A). The salinity primarily controlled the variation of LNA and HNA in the Haihe River, which indicated the geographical gradient along freshwater river to oceans have considerable influence on the distribution of these two subgroups. While most samples were seasonally clustered together, samples from S8 and S9 were separated from the others in each season; station S8 is close to the estuary and S9 is located in the estuary of Bohai Sea (the salinity was higher than other stations). The changes in temperature reflect the seasonal dynamics and also remarkably affect the characteristics of LNA and HNA, which coincide with the significant temporal variability on the abundance and cytometric parameters (Figs 3 and 4). Overall, these two major factors shaped the variation of LNA and HNA (Fig 5B).

It has been reported that HNA bacteria tend to grow in eutrophic and mesotrophic environments, while LNA bacteria reside in oligotrophic environments [29–31]. Our results showed that HNA and LNA bacterial abundance was significantly correlated to different environmental variables (Fig 5A). Specifically, LNA was negatively related to nitrate (NO$_3$) and positively related to total suspended solids (TSS), while HNA was significantly related to total nitrogen (TN). A similar pattern between FL1 and SSC was observed, where the ordination of both cytometric parameters were divided in Fig 5A. The results revealed that the effects of geographical distance and temporal changes, which were characterized as salinity and temperature gradient respectively in RDA, were stronger than the effects of nutrient controls (e.g. TOC, TN and TP).
on the LNA and HNA distribution (Fig 5A). The results are consistent with previous studies that seasonal changes in environmental variables have a more significant effect on microbial community patterns than trophic interactions [17,32]. Contemporary contingencies, e.g. local climate events, could drive the changes of biotic and abiotic factors on short time scales, then affects the microbial community dynamics [33–35].

Furthermore, response analysis was performed to graphically compare the differentiation on the changes of LNA and HNA bacterial abundance and cytometric parameters (FL1 and SSC). The response of HNA showed more variation than that of LNA (Fig 6A), which indicated the changes in HNA abundance maybe more sensitive to environmental variation in comparison to LNA. Meanwhile, response analysis showed that the variations of FL1 and SSC significantly correlated to axis 2 (Fig 6B) and axis 1 (Fig 6C), respectively. Different variation was observed in SSC and FL1 within and between LNA and HNA. The results demonstrated that these two cytometric parameters represented dissimilar characteristics in bacterial cells and could be regulated differently [27]. The dissimilarity along gradients within an ecosystem suggested that LNA and HNA bacteria might play different ecological roles.

Conclusions

In summary, LNA and HNA bacteria make similar contributions to the total microbial abundance in an exorheic river on both spatial and temporal scale. The variability in HNA bacterial abundance and flow cytometric characteristics was greater than that of LNA bacteria. Meanwhile, the relative distance of SSC between HNA and LNA showed more variability than that of FL1. The present study demonstrated that the effects of geographical distance (salinity gradient along river to ocean) and temporal changes (temperature variation by seasonal succession) were stronger than the effects of nutrient conditions on the variations of LNA and HNA. Furthermore, the distribution of LNA and HNA bacteria, including the abundance and flow cytometric characteristics, were under the control of different environmental variables. The heterogeneity between LNA and HNA suggested those two subgroups may play different niche ecological roles in the microbial loop of aquatic ecosystems.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: YW MB. Performed the experiments: JL LM YJ. Analyzed the data: YW MB ZH JL YJ. Wrote the paper: YW MB ZH JL LM.

References

1. Wang YY, Hammes F, Boon N, Chami M, Egli T. Isolation and characterization of low nucleic acid (LNA)-content bacteria. ISME J. 2009; 3(8):889–902. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2009.46 PMID: WOS:000268741300003.

2. Vila-Costa M, Gasol JM, Sharma S, Moran MA. Community analysis of high- and low-nucleic acid-containing bacteria in NW Mediterranean coastal waters using 16S rDNA pyrosequencing. Environ Microbiol. 2012; 14(6):1390–1402. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02720.x PMID: WOS:000304866000004.

3. Van Wambeke F, Catala P, Pujo-Pay M, Lebaron P. Vertical and longitudinal gradients in HNA-LNA cell abundances and cytometric characteristics in the Mediterranean Sea. Biogeosciences. 2011; 8 (7):1853–1863. doi: 10.5194/bg-8-1853-2011 PMID: WOS:000294183700008.

4. Schattenhofer M, Wulf J, Kostadinov I, Gloeckner FO, Zubkov MV, Fuchs BM. Phylogenetic characterisation of picoplanktonic populations with high and low nucleic acid content in the North Atlantic Ocean.
16. Palesse S, Colombet J, Ram ASP, Sime-Ngando T. Linking host prokaryotic physiology to viral lifestyle
15. Bouvier T, Maurice CF. A single-cell analysis of virioplankton adsorption, infection, and intracellular
17. Salcher MM, Pernthaler J, Posch T. Seasonal bloom dynamics and ecophysiology of the freshwater
12. Roesel S, Grossart H-P. Contrasting dynamics in activity and community composition of free-living and
13. Gozdereliler E, Boon N, Aamand J, De Roy K, Granitsiotis MS, Albrechtsen H-J, et al. Comparing met-
19. Nishimura Y, Kim C, Nagata T. Vertical and seasonal variations of bacterioplankton subgroups with dif-
20. Ma L, Sun R, Mao G, Yu H, Wang Y. Seasonal and spatial variability of virioplanktonic abundance in
22. Santic D, Sestanovic S, Solic M, Krstulovic N, Kuspilic G, Ordulj M, et al. Dynamics of the picoplankton
18. Santic D, Krstulovic N, Solic M, Ordulj M, Kuspilic G. Dynamics of prokaryotic picoplankton community
14. Williams TJ, Ertan H, Ting L, Cavicchioli R. Carbon and nitrogen substrate utilization in the marine bac-
11. Ramseier MK, von Gunten U, Freihofer P, Hammes F. Kinetics of membrane damage to high (HNA)
9. Lebaron P, Servais P, Baudoux AC, Bourrain M, Courties C, Parthusiot N. Variations of bacterial-spe-
8. Lebaron P, Servais P, Agogué H, Courties C, Joux F. Does the high nucleic acid content of individual
7. Lebaron P, Servais P, Baudoux AC, Bourrain M, Courties C, Parthusiot N. Variations of bacterial-spe-
5. Santic D, Krstulovic N, Solic M, Kuspilic G. HNA and LNA bacteria in relation to the activity of heterotro-
4. Santic D, Boon N, Aamand J, De Roy K, Granitsiotis MS, Albrechtsen H-J, et al. Dynamics of the picoplankton
3. Santic D, Krstulovic N, Solic M, Ordulj M, Kuspilic G, Ordulj M, et al. Dynamics of the picoplankton
2. Longnecker K, Sherr BF, Sherr EB. Activity and phylogenetic diversity of bacterial cells with high and
1. Santic D, Krstulovic N, Solic M, Kuspilic G. Dynamics of the picoplankton community from coastal waters to the open sea in the Central Adriatic. Mediterranean Marine Science. 2015; 18(1):181–190. doi: 10.3354/amm02536-12 PMID: WOS:000312931600040.
10. Salcher MM, Pentthaler J, Posch T. Seasonal bloom dynamics and ecophysiology of the freshwater sister clade of SAR11 bacteria 'that rule the waves' (LD12). ISME J. 2011; 5(8):1242–1252. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.8 PMID: WOS:000295782200002.
9. Longnecker K, Sherr BF, Sherr EB. Activity and phylogenetic diversity of bacterial cells with high and low nucleic acid content and electron transport system activity in an upwelling ecosystem. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001; 67(4):1775–1782. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.4.1775–1782.2001 PMID: 11282632.
8. Lebaron P, Servais P, Agogué H, Courties C, Joux F. Does the high nucleic acid content of individual bacterial cells allow us to discriminate between active cells and inactive cells in aquatic systems? Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001; 67(4):1775–1782. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.4.1775–1782.2001 PMID: 11282632.
7. Lebaron P, Servais P, Baudoux AC, Bourrain M, Courties C, Parthusiot N. Variations of bacterial-spe-
6. Huete-Stauffer TM, Moran XAG. Dynamics of heterotrophic bacteria in temperate coastal waters: simi-
5. Longnecker K, Sherr BF, Sherr EB. Activity and phylogenetic diversity of bacterial cells with high and low nucleic acid content and electron transport system activity in an upwelling ecosystem. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001; 67(4):1775–1782. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.4.1775–1782.2001 PMID: 11282632.
4. Longnecker K, Sherr BF, Sherr EB. Activity and phylogenetic diversity of bacterial cells with high and low nucleic acid content and electron transport system activity in an upwelling ecosystem. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001; 67(4):1775–1782. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.4.1775–1782.2001 PMID: 11282632.
3. Santic D, Boon N, Aamand J, De Roy K, Granitsiotis MS, Albrechtsen H-J, et al. Dynamics of the picoplankton
2. Longnecker K, Sherr BF, Sherr EB. Activity and phylogenetic diversity of bacterial cells with high and low nucleic acid content and electron transport system activity in an upwelling ecosystem. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001; 67(4):1775–1782. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.4.1775–1782.2001 PMID: 11282632.
1. Santic D, Boon N, Aamand J, De Roy K, Granitsiotis MS, Albrechtsen H-J, et al. Dynamics of the picoplankton

**Spatio-Temporal Variations of HNA and LNA Bacteria**

Syst Appl Microbiol. 2011; 34(6):470–475. doi: 10.1016/j.syapm.2011.01.008 PMID: WOS:000295743800013.

5. Santic D, Krstulovic N, Solic M, Kuspilic G. HNA and LNA bacteria in relation to the activity of heterotrophic bacteria. Acta Adriatica. 2012; 53(1):25–40. PMID: WOS:000308008600002.
6. Huete-Stauffer TM, Moran XAG. Dynamics of heterotrophic bacteria in temperate coastal waters: similar net growth but different controls in low and high nucleic acid cells. Aquat Microb Ecol. 2012; 67(3):211–223. doi: 10.3354/ame01590 PMID: WOS:000310730700003.
7. Lebaron P, Servais P, Baudoux AC, Bourrain M, Courties C, Parthusiot N. Variations of bacterial-specific cell with cell size and nucleic acid content assessed by flow cytometry. Aquat Microb Ecol. 2002; 28(2):131–140. doi: 10.3354/ame028131 PMID: WOS:000176872200003.
8. Lebaron P, Servais P, Agogué H, Courties C, Joux F. Does the high nucleic acid content of individual bacterial cells allow us to discriminate between active cells and inactive cells in aquatic systems? Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001; 67(4):1775–1782. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.4.1775–1782.2001 PMID: 11282632.
23. Ma L, Mao G, LIU J, Gao G, Zou C, Bartlam MG, et al. Spatial-temporal changes of bacterioplankton community along an exhorheic river. Front Microbiol. 2016; 7:250. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00250 PMID: 26973627

24. Ramette A. Multivariate analyses in microbial ecology. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2007; 62(2):142–160. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00375.x PMID: WOS:000250262600003.

25. Liu J, Wang J, Gao G, Bartlam MG, Wang Y. Distribution and diversity of fungi in freshwater sediments on a river catchment scale. Front Microbiol. 2015; 6:329. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00329

26. Calvo-Díaz A, Moran XAG. Seasonal dynamics of picoplankton in shelf waters of the southern Bay of Biscay. Aquat Microb Ecol. 2006; 42(2):159–174. doi: 10.3354/ame042159 PMID: WOS:000237261900006.

27. Bouvier T, del Giorgio PA, Gasol JM. A comparative study of the cytometric characteristics of High and Low nucleic-acid bacterioplankton cells from different aquatic ecosystems. Environ Microbiol. 2007; 9 (8):2050–2066. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01321.x PMID: WOS:000248451600015.

28. Worden AZ, Nolan JK, Palenik B. Assessing the dynamics and ecology of marine picophytoplankton: The importance of the eukaryotic component. Limnol Oceanogr. 2004; 49(1):168–179. PMID: WOS:000188381100019.

29. Jochem FJ, Lavrentyev PJ, First MR. Growth and grazing rates of bacteria groups with different apparent DNA content in the Gulf of Mexico. Marine Biology. 2004; 145(6):1213–1225. doi: 10.1007/s00227-004-1406-7 PMID: WOS:000224888100014.

30. Solci M, Krstulovic N, Villicic I, Bojanic N, Kuspljic G, Sestanovic S, et al. Variability in the bottom-up and top-down controls of bacteria on trophic and temporal scales in the middle Adriatic Sea. Aquat Microb Ecol. 2009; 58(1):15–29. doi: 10.3354/ame01342 PMID: WOS:000237359500002

31. Andrade L, Gonzalez AM, Rezende CE, Suzuki M, Valentin JL, Paranhos R. Distribution of HNA and LNA bacterial groups in the Southwest Atlantic Ocean. Braz J Microbiol. 2007; 38(2):330–336. doi: 10.1590/s1517-838220070002000028 PMID: WOS:0002528884000028.

32. Gilbert JA, Steele JA, Caporaso JG, Steinbrueck L, Reeder J, Temperton B, et al. Defining seasonal marine microbial community dynamics. ISME J. 2012; 6(2):298–308. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.107 PMID: WOS:000300984200008.

33. Carlson CA, Morris R, Parsons R, Treusch AH, Giovannoni SJ, Vergin K. Seasonal dynamics of SAR11 populations in the euphotic and mesopelagic zones of the northwestern Sargasso Sea. ISME J. 2009; 3(3):283–295. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2008.117 PMID: WOS:000263914100002.

34. Eiler A, Hayakawa DH, Church MJ, Karl DM, Rappe MS. Dynamics of the SAR11 bacterioplankton lineage in relation to environmental conditions in the oligotrophic North Pacific subtropical gyre. Environ Microbiol. 2009; 11(9):2291–2300. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01954.x PMID: WOS:000269539700011.

35. Salter I, Galand PE, Fagervold SK, Lebaron P, Obernosterer I, Oliver MJ, et al. Seasonal dynamics of active SAR11 ecotypes in the oligotrophic Northwest Mediterranean Sea. ISME J. 2015; 9(2):347–360. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.129 PMID: WOS:000348213600007.