Abstract
Iron oxide-based nanomagnets have attracted a great deal of attention in nanomedicine over the past decade. Down to the nanoscale, superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles can only be magnetized in the presence of an external magnetic field, which makes them capable of forming stable colloids in a physio-biological medium. Their superparamagnetic property, together with other intrinsic properties, such as low cytotoxicity, colloidal stability, and bioactive molecule conjugation capability, makes such nanomagnets ideal in both in-vitro and in-vivo biomedical applications. In this review, a chemical, physical, and biological synthetic approach to prepare iron oxide-based nanomagnets with different physicochemical properties was illustrated and compared. The growing interest in iron oxide-based nanomagnets with multifunctionalities was explored in cancer diagnostics and treatment, focusing on their combined roles in a magnetic resonance contrast agent, hyperthermia, and magnetic force assisted drug delivery. Iron oxides as magnetic carriers in gene therapy were reviewed with a focus on the sophisticated design and construction of magnetic vectors. Finally, the iron oxide-based nanomagnet also represents a very promising tool in particle/cell interfacing in controlling cellular functionalities, such as adhesion, proliferation, differentiation, and cell patterning, in stem cell therapy and tissue engineering applications.
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A brief history of iron oxide research

Iron oxides are a collective term for oxides, hydroxides, and oxy-hydroxides composed of Fe(II) and/or Fe(III) cations and O$_2^-$ and/or OH$^-$ anions. The understanding and applications of iron oxide as an important mineral originated thousands of years ago (1). In ancient China, magnetic compass was invented and used by many navigators to determine the direction of north pole of Earth. The term ‘magnetite’ originated from the district of Magnesia in Asia Minor, where plenty of such mineral exist.

Nowadays, there is widespread research on iron oxides across many scientific disciplines, including both fundamental research and applications, such as mineralogy, biology, geology, chemistry, and medicine, as shown in Fig. 1. In modern mineralogy, for instance, the crystal structures, properties, and formation of iron oxide nanoparticles are well characterized. In industrial chemistry technology, iron oxide applications in painting pigments, catalysts, and magnetic recording are explored and refined. Interestingly, bio-mineralization of crystalline magnetite in Magnetobacterium sp. attracted enormous attention in nanomedicine due to its narrow size distribution and magnetic properties (2). Such multidisciplinary research has led to a very fruitful and much deeper understanding of iron oxides and many potential applications have been proposed and studied, from which this work originated and benefited. In this review, we focus on the synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticle-based multifunctional nanomagnets in nanomedicine, to illustrate how the advanced nano-device benefits the development of nanomedicine and how fundamental biomedical research with nanoparticles influences nanotechnology.

Synthesis of nanomagnets

Chemical-based synthesis

Coprecipitation

Alkaline coprecipitation of Fe(III) and Fe(II) salts in aqueous media is the most universally adopted synthetic approach to produce iron oxide nanoparticles, due to its versatility, relatively low budget, feasibility to scale up, and the hydrophilic surface character of the resultants. It is possible to fabricate pure-phase magnetite by controlling the reaction factors, resulting in controlled particle size and morphology (3). Kang et al. (4) reported the preparation of monodispersed magnetite nanoparticles with an average size of 10 nm in aqueous solution. The reaction of magnetite in aqueous media can be written as follows:

$$2\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{Fe}^{2+} + 8\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
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In general, the coprecipitation method was established by ‘trial and error’ approaches in the past decades; only a few research groups reported the systematic study of the chemistry of the reaction system. Babes et al. (5) performed a comprehensive quantitative analysis of different reaction parameters, including composition of the alkaline media, pH, temperature, concentration of iron species, etc. Kim et al. (6, 7) took a step forward to perform a thermodynamic modeling study of the reaction equilibrium involved in the coprecipitation of magnetite in aqueous solution, with theoretical considerations of changes in free energy and redox potential of the iron species. The thermodynamic modeling diagram is shown in Fig. 2.
According to these reports, it is possible to obtain magnetite by oxidation of Fe(II) in solution, as the reaction can be written as follows:

$$3\text{Fe}^{2+} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 6\text{H}^+ + 6\text{H}_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}_5\text{O}_4 + \text{H}^+$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

It is essential to adjust the stoichiometric ratio of Fe(II)/2Fe(III) at the initial stage because it is quite difficult to control the oxidation kinetics of Fe(II) afterward. Oxygen also plays an important role in the formation of single-phase magnetite; thus, bubbling the nitrogen gas directly into the media prior to reaction is efficient enough for removal of oxygen. Kang et al. deliberately transformed magnetite nanoparticles into maghemite by aeration (oxidation) at 100°C, which can be written as follows (4):

$$4\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 6\gamma - \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Interestingly, the morphologies of the particle could be tuned by different types of alkaline media: Babes et al. reported the preparation of well-faceted particles using the weak alkaline of tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAOH) (5); while Kang et al. prepared spherical magnetite particles using the strong alkaline of ammonium hydroxide or sodium hydroxide (4). Babes et al. demonstrated that the formation of spherical particles is because the nucleation rate per unit area is isotropic at the interface of the iron oxides and reactant solution (5). Therefore, particle shape can be tuned by controlling the rate of nucleation per unit area with the alkaline ionic strength.

Better size, morphology, and colloidal dispersion was realized based on a modified coprecipitation synthesis, by applying the principle of nucleation in highly constrained domains. Kim et al. (8) prepared spherical superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) with an average size of 7.2 nm within a polymeric starch matrix, in contrast with uncoated SPIONs with an average size of 12 nm. Lin et al. reported completely separated dextran-coated magnetic nanoparticles by chemical cleavage of the dextran structure with diamine molecules, resulting in monodispersed SPIONs with an average diameter of 4.5 nm in aqueous media (3).

**Microemulsion/nanoemulsion (nE)**

Microemulsion (µE) is defined as the thermodynamically stable isotropic dispersion of two immiscible liquids, stabilized by a monolayer of surfactant at the interface of the two liquids. In the water/oil (w/o) µE (or referred to as inverse µE) system, small aqueous nanodroplets are dispersed in the organic phase, and vice versa. The nano-sized water droplets containing iron ions and the alkaline source, act as confined reactors that undergo rapid coalescence and mixing to allow the chemical reaction. Attributed to the confinement of particles nucleation and growth within nano-sized droplets, the µE approach is capable of providing good control over particle size and size distribution. Santra et al. (9) performed the parametric study on the effect of different surfactants and alkalines in the µE reaction system and reported synthesis of SPIONs as small as 1–2 nm with very narrow size distribution. They had speculated that the absorption of the surfactant onto the particle surfaces was based on weak hydrogen bonding between the terminal hydroxyl group of the non-ionic surfactant and oxygen atoms on iron oxide surfaces. However, the hydrophobic tail of the surfactant often causes interconnection and aggregation of particles in an ordered fashion due to hydrophobic interaction with each other (9).

The µE approach has been explored as a polymerization process for coating polymer or silica onto pre-synthesized iron oxide cores to produce magnetic
spheres with tunable shell thickness. Dresco et al. (10) demonstrated the fabrication process of magnetic hydrogel particles consisting of iron oxide cores and poly(methacrylic acid)-co-poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PMA-PHEMA) copolymer shells, with an average size of 80–320 nm, by a two-stage µE method. Yi et al. (11) reported the synthesis of mesoporous silica-coated SPIONs by a single-step reverse µE process, taking advantage of the small size and spherical nature of nanodroplets to produce magnetic silica spheres with tunable silica shell thickness of 1.8–30 nm.

**Thermal decomposition**

Inspired by the advanced fabrication of semiconductor and metallic nanocrystals (12), the decomposition of organometallic complexes at high temperature was adopted to fabricate high quality and monodispersed metal oxide nanocrystals. Rockenberger et al. (13) reported fabrication of near-monodispersed γ-Fe₂O₃ nanocrystals by thermal decomposition of iron cupferron complex (FeCup₃) in octylamine. Hyeon et al. reported the synthesis of highly crystalline and monodispersed γ-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles with one-nanometer-scale size control from 4 to 16 nm, by oxidative decomposition of iron pentacarbonyl (Fe(CO)₅) in the presence of oleic acid (OA) as a capping agent and trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) as an oxidative agent in octyl ether as a non-coordinating solvent without size selection process (14, 15). Sun and Zeng (16) succeeded in producing monodispersed magnetite nanocrystals with a controllable size, from 4 to 16 nm, by thermolysis of iron acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)₃) in the presence of OA, oleylamine, and alcohol in phenyl ether. Jana et al. (17) reported fabrication of near-monodispersed metal oxide nanocrystals. Rockenberger et al. (13) adopted to fabricate high quality and monodispersed metallic nanocrystals (12), the decomposition of organometallic complexes at high temperature was inspired by the advanced fabrication of semiconductor and metallic nanocrystals.

---

**Fig. 2.** Thermodynamic modeling diagram showing: (a) the pH-pE predominance diagram of the Fe-Cl-H₂O system at 25°C; (b) the distribution of the fraction of [Fe^{2+}]÷[Fe^{2+} + Fe^{3+}] vs. pH calculated for 0.3 M Fe^{2+} and 1 M HCl solution; E = 0 V; and (c) E = −0.4 V. Reprinted from Scripta Mater., Vol. 44, Kim DK et al., Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for bio-medical applications, 1714, Copyright (2001), with permission from Elsevier. Reprinted from Chem Mater., Vol. 15, Kim DK et al., Starch-coated superparamagnetic nanoparticles as MR contrast agents, 4350, Copyright (2003), with permission from ACS Publications.
biocompatibility by adding monocarboxyl-terminated poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) (23).

Physical-based synthesis

**Lithography and sputtering**

The definition of ‘top-down approach’ in nanomaterials synthesis is to reduce the starting block materials to a desirable nanoscale by controlled etching, elimination, and layering of the materials, which mainly involves lithography (24). Despite being a well-developed method in semiconductor microchip fabrication, lithography techniques are far from satisfactory in the fabrication of nanomaterials. Firstly, such a top-down approach often results in surface crystallographic defect of the prepared nano-patterns, which may seriously affect their physico-chemical properties. Secondly, lithography has its own dimensional limitations because of the limited wavelengths of the ‘light source.’ A nano-feature less than 100 nm is difficult to produce by conventional photolithography, and nano-patterns smaller than 10 nm cannot be produced even by electron beam lithography.

Fig. 4 illustrates a typical step-wise fabrication of a magnetic disk by photolithography and sputtering techniques (25, 26). Firstly, a photoresist layer with a thickness of 1 µm was spin-coated onto a silicon wafer, followed by placement of a mask (1 µm diameter circular dot-arrayed patterns) in contact with the pre-packed photoresist layer. Secondly, UV light was illuminated through the mask for development, and the unexposed photoresist layer was dissolved and removed by the addition of an organic solvent. Finally, magnetron sputtering was used to deposit 5 nm underlayered gold, followed by 60 nm of permalloy (Fe20Ni80), and topped with another 5 nm of gold layer. The disks were released from the wafer by the lift-off process via acetone wash. This approach allows low-cost production of uniformly sized microdisks (MDs) with magnetic spin state in remanence (26).

Biological-based synthesis

**Magnetosome in magnetotactic bacteria**

Magnetotactic bacteria (MTB) and magnetosomes have attracted much attention across many disciplines in the past decade and have been intensively studied as a model system in bio-mineralization mechanism and evolutionary bacteriology (27). Magnetosome, intracellular magnetite (Fe3O4) or greigite (Fe3S4) nanocrystals surrounded by lipid membranes in MTB are of particular interest in bio-nanomedicine, because of their strain-dependent shape and size, intrinsic high saturation magnetization, inherent biocompatibility, and perfect dispersion in biological medium (24). Interestingly, within certain bacteria strains, the particle morphology and size is

---

**Fig. 3.** TEM images and HR-TEM of iron oxide nanocrystals prepared from thermolysis of iron (III) oleate complexes in non-coordinating solvent with average diameters of (a, f) 5 nm; (b, g) 9 nm; (c, h) 12 nm; (d, i) 16 nm; and (e, j) 22 nm, respectively. Reprinted from Nat Mater, Vol. 3, Park J et al., Ultra-large-scale synthesis of monodisperse nanocrystals, 892, Copyright (2004), with permission from Nature Publishing Group.
highly uniform. It has been proposed that the interaction of the magnetosome membrane with the growing nanocrystals facilitates crystal growth in a certain direction, while crystal growth in other directions is retarded, resulting in cuboctahedral, hexagonal, or bullet-shaped magnetosomes (27, 28).

The bio-mineralization process of magnetosomes has been intensively studied and reported by several groups. To generalize the magnetosomes formation procedures, iron ions are firstly transported into the cell via both specific siderophores and/or non-specific mechanisms and then actively deposited within the magnetosome membrane to form a saturated iron ion solution, followed by the redox reaction of iron within the magnetosome membrane (24, 27). It is important to note that Fe(III)/Fe(II) were strictly controlled at a stoichiometric molar ratio of 2/1 to yield magnetite nanocrystals. Although certain redox mechanisms are unknown, it is well accepted that the cellular uptake of Fe(III) was firstly reduced to Fe(II) to be transported into magnetosome membrane vesicles, followed by the redox process into hydrous Fe(III) oxides. Afterward, one third of Fe(III) in hydrous oxides was reduced to Fe(II) to form magnetite followed by dehydration.

Recently, to overcome the magnetic softness problems of magnetite magnetosomes in nanomedicine applications, an *in-vivo* cobalt-doping method has been introduced to increase the coercive field that is needed to reverse the magnetization without significant loss in saturation magnetization of magnetosomes (29). Fig. 5 shows transmission electron microscopic images and hysteresis loops of undoped and cobalt-doped magnetosomes within three different MTB strains (*Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* MG, *M. magnetotacticum* MS1, and *M. magneticum* AMB1). It is possible to expand this method into other metal ions, such as titanium, copper, and nickel, to improve significantly the biologically controlled synthesis of magnetic particles with tunable physico-chemical properties *in vivo*.

**Nanomagnets in nanomedicine**

When the particle size reduces to a certain size (a few nanometers), the formation of a domain wall is not favorable, hence the particle only contains a single magnetic domain in which all atomic magnetic moments align with each other. Even at ambient temperature, the thermal energy is still comparable to the magnetic anisotropy to change the direction of the magnetic moment of each individual particle (1). The fundamental

---

**Fig. 4.** The fabrication of microdisks (MDs) by optical lithography and magnetron sputtering. (a,b) The process starts with photoresist spin coating on a silicon wafer; (c) a mask is placed in contact with the layer of pre-packed photoresist and illuminated with UV light. (d) An organic solvent dissolves and removes unexposed photoresist. (e) Finally, magnetron sputtering is used to deposit 5 nm underlayer gold, followed by 60 nm of permalloy, and topped with another 5 nm of gold layer. (f) The disks are released from the wafer by lift-off process. Reprinted from Nat Mater, Vol. 9, Kim D-H et al., Biofunctionalized magnetic-vortex microdiscs for targeted cancer-cell destruction, supplementary information P3. Copyright (2010), with permission from Nature Publishing Group.
Criteria of magnetic nanoparticles in nanomedicine are superparamagnetism. In the absence of an external magnetic field, the magnetic moment of each particle is randomly oriented due to thermal fluctuation, resulting in zero net magnetization; in the presence of an external magnetic field, they tend to align with the field and exhibit a very strong magnetization in the direction of the external field, hence they can be targeted by an external magnetic field in an on-off fashion.

Nanotoxicology

Safety issues of nanoparticles in any clinical applications are a major concern. Although iron oxides are relatively less toxic compared to other transition metal or semiconductor nanomaterials, concerns regarding toxicity still remain in iron oxide-based nanomedicine, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and magnetic force drive drug/gene delivery. The term ‘non-invasive’ is controversial, because originally it implied that ‘neither surgical procedures nor scissions were involved,’ however, recent studies have shown the possibilities of iron oxide nanoparticles affecting normal cell functionalities, instead of simply being carriers (30, 31). It has been widely accepted that uncoated iron oxide nanoparticles elicit massive cellular internalization associated with significant cell death, however, iron oxide nanoparticles coated with...
hydrophilic and biocompatible substances have shown less cytotoxicity in a range of cell lines (32). Gupta (33) demonstrated a dose-dependent reduction in cell adhesion and viability of bare iron oxide nanoparticles, while pullulan, lactoferrin, and ceruloplasmin (32) coated nanoparticles showed no significant cell detachment or morphology changes on human fibroblasts.

Although the mechanism of nanoparticle-mediated cytotoxicity is not fully understood, it has been proposed that it is attributed to the generation of reactive oxidative species (ROS) and cellular internalization (30). Transition metal or transition metal oxide nanoparticles can generate ROS as catalysts in a Fenton-type reaction, in which hydrogen peroxide is reduced by ferrous ions to form extremely active hydroxyl-free radicals and lead to biological damage within the diffusion range (30).

\[
\cdot\text{O}_{2} + \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{2}^{\text{Fe(II)/Fe(III)}} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{OH}^{\cdot} + \text{O}_{2}
\]  

(5)

Studies of the intracellular destination of iron oxide nanoparticles are particularly important in determining the cytotoxicity of such nanomaterials and designing effective and bio-safe nano-devices for biomedical applications. Most of the work has focused on receptor-mediated internalization and the endocytic pathway of such nanostructures, including the effect of the physico-chemical properties of nanoparticles, i.e. size, shape, chemical composition, surface-to-volume ratio, and surface charges, on the cellular response of different cells (34). It has been established that receptor-mediated internalization strongly depends on the size of the particles (31). Both clathrin- and caveolae-mediated endocytosis has been reported, however, controversial results on the effect of particle size and surface coating on the endocytosis pathway has also been reported (31).

Nanomagnets in cancer diagnostics and therapy

The excellent features of nanomagnets are their multi-functional properties and the potential combination of neoplastic diagnostics and therapeutics (35). In target-oriented drug delivery systems (DDS), more sophisticated designs are required to achieve targeted delivery by both physical and biochemical means and controlled release if necessary. Fig. 6 illustrates the various approaches of cancer-specific DDSs. Drugs such as protein toxins are transported to intracellular sites via receptor-mediated endocytosis (shown in the center). On the left, immunomodulators (e.g. cytokines) are coupled to a tumor-specific ligand (e.g. antibodies, folic acid) and localized on the cell surface to elicit an immune response. On the right, prodrug-activating enzymes are concentrated on the cell surface and can subsequently convert the prodrug into an active drug (36). Stimuli-activated drug release, once combined with the nanomagnet, is of particular interest in anti-cancer drug delivery. Qin et al. (37) recently developed a system consisting of hydrophobic nanocrystals and amphiphilic thermosensitive copolymers, which exhibit a sharp and reversible low critical solution temperature. An MRI technique with tumor-specific nanomagnets as contrast agents was used to locate the diseased site prior to magnetic fluid hyperthermia (MFH) treatment, which is also mediated by nanomagnets and triggered by an external AC magnetic field or active drug release from the nanomagnet carriers via an external stimuli, such as pH or temperature change, and enzymatic cleavage (38). Jian et al. tested the dual functionalities of Pluronic-stabilized magnetic particles as doxorubicin and/or paclitaxel carriers and MR contrast agent to show the high clinical significance of the multifunctional magnetic carrier in cancer treatment (35). Similarly, Jarzyna et al. (39) developed oil-in-water emulsions encapsulating magnetite nanoparticle cores as a dual-functional platform, in which magnetite particles act as MR probes and hydrophobic drugs can be loaded and released in the soybean oil core. Julian-Lopez et al. (40) reported facile fabrication of the hybrid silica–spinel iron oxide composite with

![Fig. 6. Schematic presentation of targeted delivery of therapeutic proteins and peptides to antigen-positive tumor cells or tumor vasculature. Reprinted from AAPS J, Vol. 8, Lu Y et al., Issues related to targeted delivery of proteins and peptides, E476, Copyright (2006), with permission from SpringerLink.](image-url)
magnetite for hyperthermia and MRI and the mesoporous matrix enabling the transport of therapeutic molecules \textit{in vivo}. Despite potential toxicity, metallic iron nanoparticles coated with carboxyl-terminated PEG with a diameter of 10 nm have also been reported to exert local hyperthermia under an oscillating magnetic field and have a stronger T2 shortening effect as another possible multifunctional nano-platform (41). Both the outer coating substances and the interaction between the polymeric coating and particle surface often significantly influence the characteristics of the resulting MR probes. Jain et al. (42) reported that the Pluronic F127 stabilized magnetic particles sustained and enhanced accumulation in tumor tissues compared with commercial Feridex IV. Muhammed et al. also reported the use of Pluronic F127 as a phase transfer agent for hydrophobic magnetic nanocrystals prepared by thermolysis; and Pluronic F127 modified nanoparticles had shown a significant T2 shortening effect as MR contrast agents and controlled released behavior of hydrophobic anti-cancer drugs (43, 44). However, the translation of nanomagnets from laboratory into clinical setting remains complicated. The major issue regarding magnetic force assisted DDS and MFH is the relatively high concentration of magnetic carriers required for sufficient accumulation of anti-cancer drugs (magnetic force-based DDS) or induction of heat-induced apoptosis, which may result in undesirable side effects and the requirement for a strong external magnetic field generated by coil/power supply systems (38, 45).

Sufficient delivery of local hyperthermia and anti-cancer drugs is strongly dependent on the cellular internalization competence of magnetic nanoparticles. Active cancer targeting can be achieved by further conjugations with particular targeting ligand on nanoparticles, as shown in Table 1. Numerous potential malignancy targeting ligands have been identified as considerable improvements have been made in cancer biology in the past decade, among which the Arginine–Glycine–Aspartic acid (RGD) peptide sequence is of particular concern in active cancer targeting, attributed to the abundance of integrin receptors in various carcinomas. Fig. 7 shows the binding effects of magnetic nanoparticles to cancer cell via RGD-integrin interaction, which leads to receptor-mediated endocytosis of RGD-modified magnetic nanoparticles (46, 47). Folic acid, also called vitamin B9, has also been widely used to target different types of cancer; Fig. 8 shows confocal microscope images of the cellular uptake of magnetic nanoparticles functionalized with folic acid (48).

**Nanomagnets in stem cell therapy**

Stem cells are characterized by their self-renewal capability via mitotic cell division and differentiation into different specialized cell types. Transplantation of stem cells is the administration of stem cells \textit{in vivo} to regenerate, repair, or restore the functionality of various organs or tissues. It has attracted enormous attention for the treatment of various diseases, such as leukemia, neural degenerative diseases, cancer, spinal cord injuries,

| Active ligand | Surface receptors | Cancer cells | Applications |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| RGD peptide   | Integrin \(\alpha_\nu\beta_3\) | Breast carcinomas | siRNA delivery (47) |
|               |                  | Glioblastoma | Brain tumor diagnosis by MRI and near-infrared fluorescent (NIRF) imaging (79) |
| CTX (chlorotoxin) | MMP-2 (matrix | Activated platelets | Thrombus visualization by MRI (80) |
| Herceptin     | HER2 (human epidermal | Gliomas medulloblastomas | Brain tumor diagnosis by MRI (81, 82) |
| Anti-TIR (transferrin receptor) MAb | TIR (transferrin receptor) | Breast cancer | Platin delivery (83, 84) |
| TF (transferrin) | LHRH (luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone) | Hematopoietic and neural progenitor cells | MR tracking of cell differentiation and migration (86, 87) |
| LHRH (luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone) | LHRHR (luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone receptor) | Gliosarcomas | MR detection of gene expression (88) |
| FA (folic acid) | FAR (folic acid receptor) | Cervical cancer | Gene delivery (89) |
|               |                  | Breast cancer | Targeted Fe-induced apoptosis in cancer treatment (90) |
|               |                  | Ovarian cancer | Breast tumor and metastases detection by MRI (91, 92) |
|               |                  | Breast cancer | Early diagnosis by MRI (93, 94) |

Table 1. Active cancer-targeting strategies of magnetic nanoparticle biomedical applications
and muscle damage, etc. It is essential to understand the fundamental and practical aspects of stem cell transplantation, thus non-invasive tracking of final destination and differentiation of administered stem cells is important in stem cell research. Among the existing cell labeling and monitoring techniques, such as with cell membrane dyes, fluorescent dyes, and positron emission tomography (PET) scanning, MRI has been focused as a potential technique for tracking the transplanted stem cells due to its non-invasive and real-time cellular tracking abilities with high spatial resolution (49). It has been proved that the magnetic labeling of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) and CD34^+ hematopoietic stem cells with iron oxide nanoparticles allows tracking of labeled cells by MRI at single cellular level (50).

The prerequisite for achieving the MR signal of administered objective cells in vivo is loading sufficient contrast agents without influencing cell viability, proliferation, and functionalities (including differentiation ability). The effective and selective magnetic labeling of stem cells can be achieved by sophisticated surface conjugation on nanomagnets. Dunning et al. (51) reported the successful magnetic labeling and in-vivo MR tracking of Schwann cells and olfactory ensheathing cells (OEC) after transplantation into the central nervous system (CNS). Arab et al. (52) reported an evaluation of the loading efficiency, cell toxicity, capability of differentiation, and phenotypic changes of hMSCs and CD34^+ hematopoietic stem cells, after labeling with ferumoxides complexed with protamine sulfate and conjugated with a polycationic peptide for transfection. Lewin et al. (53) demonstrated the effective endocytosis of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) derived membrane translocation signal Tat peptide-linked iron oxide nanoparticles into CD34^+ hematopoietic and neural progenitor cells. Matuszewski et al. also studied the effect of lipophilic transfection medium and particle size on labelling efficiency of cells, which shed some light on rational design of nanomagnets (49). Magnetic labeling of stem cells not only enables non-invasive tracking and quantification of cells, but also facilitates the targeted deposition of stem cells into certain targeted areas in vivo by magnetic resonance fluoroscopy. Alexander et al. (54) demonstrated the precisely guided administration of magnetic labeled MSCs into the area between the myocardial infarcted and normal tissue by a clinical magnetic resonance fluoroscopy procedure.
Gene therapy involves the insertion of genetic materials, including plasmid DNA, small interference RNA (siRNA), double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), messenger RNA (mRNA), and oligonucleotides (ODNs), into target cells or tissues to express heterogeneous gene products, knockdown mutated deleterious genes, and replace with functional ones. Although gene therapy has shown promising results for the treatment of hereditary diseases, such as cystic fibrosis, thalassemia, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, inherited color blindness, etc., development of effective non-viral vectors remains one of the biggest challenges to replace potentially toxic and immunogenic viral vectors. For this reason, magnetofection was established to take advantage of both the biochemical (cationic lipids or polymers) and physical means (magnetic forces) for accelerated nucleic acid (NA) delivery to the target cells (55).

Li et al. (56) reported that transfection efficiency of PEI/DNA polyplexes, which are covalently linked to dextran-modified magnetic beads (200 nm), shows 35- to 85-fold higher values under magnetic field. Similarly, Xenariou et al. (57) demonstrated reporter gene expression of Lipofectamine 2000/pDNA lipoplex increase 300-fold under a magnetic field, when complexed with TransMAG\textsuperscript{PEI} (consisting of iron oxide cores and PEI shell) at suboptimal pDNA concentrations. Mykhaylyk et al. (58) recently described self-assembly of DNA and cationic lipid or polymer-associated magnetic nanoparticles for \textit{in-vitro} magnetic force-assisted transfection of both adherent and suspended cells.

Huth et al. (59) systematically investigated the mechanisms of magnetofection of PEI-based magnetic nanoparticles. The cellular uptake pattern of PEI-modified magnetic nanoparticles is virtually the same as polyplexes via the endocytic pathway. Fig. 9 illustrates the mechanisms of the proton sponge effect, in which genetic materials are released from cationic lipid- or polymer-coated magnetic particles in acidic endosomes. Cationic magnetic particles are endocytosed in the tight-fitting vesicles, attributed to electrostatic interaction between negatively charged cell membrane and cationic magnetic particles. Once the particles are endocytosed in the endosomal environment, the unsaturated amino groups (e.g. PEI coating) sequester the pumped-in-protons by the ATPase residing on the endosomal membrane, which leads to retention of Cl\textsuperscript{−} ions and water molecules in the endosome. As the water retention builds up, the endosomes swell and burst, resulting in the release of genetic material and magnetic particles into the cytoplasm (60). It has been reported that the accelerated sedimentation of DNA is the main driving force for
increased transfection efficiency when it is complexed with magnetic vectors (59). Namiki et al. (61) reported effective magnetic field-guided siRNA delivery with LipoMag®, which consists of an OA-coated iron oxide core and cationic lipid shells, in mice gastric tumor models. Cohorny et al. (62) reported polylactide-induced small clusters of OA-coated magnetic nanoparticles and subsequent PEI/oleate ion pair by emulsion-evaporation method.

Subcellular targeting with magnetic gene carriers represents another avenue for gene therapy. The discovery of HIV Tat protein transduction domains (PTD) and nucleus localization signals (NLS) has opened up a new approach to using cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) to direct in-vitro and in-vivo delivery of therapeutic agents on target sites (63, 64). Lewin et al. (53) labeled CD34+/C27 neural progenitor cells with Tat peptide-derivative magnetic NPs for in-vivo MR tracking and recovery of progenitor cells. During the past decade, tremendous progress has been made in protein transduction study, various CPPs and peptidomimetics have been indentified for subcellular and specific delivery of proteins, DNA, drugs, and imaging probes; however, the internalization mechanism of CPPs are not well investigated (65). A common feature of such CPPs is the high incidence of basic amino acid residues, such as Arg and Lys (Fig. 10); it can be considered that the guanidine groups of Arg is a critical component for the biological activity of CPPs, and hydrogen bonding between highly basic Arg (or Lys) and phospholipids bi-layer may be involved in transduction into cells (66, 67). Fig. 10 shows a list of molecular structures and amino acid sequences of CPPs from different origins.

**Nanomagnets in tissue engineering**

In the early 1990s, Langer and Vacanti (68) first gave the definition of tissue engineering: ‘an interdisciplinary field that applies the principles of engineering and life science toward the development of biological substitute that restore, maintain, or improve tissue function or a whole organ.’ Significant achievements are reported in the construction of bio-functional tissues inside the scaffold matrices in-vitro via a combination of the development in biological active scaffold, tissue-culturing techniques (e.g. bio-reactors), and in-depth understanding of cell biology, including the physiology of the tissue-scaffold microenvironment and the ability to induce of cellular functionality of some bioactive molecules.

Several researches have demonstrated the feasibility of constructing 2D or 3D tissue integrities by orientating the cells under an external magnetic field. Ito et al. reported the construction of monotypic and heterotypic cell multilayer sheets of liver endothelial cells, skeletal muscle cells, and mesenchymal stem cells by magnetic-induced forces (69–71). The same group also demonstrated increased cell-seeding density via magnetic forces, resulting in the formation of bone-like tissues within 3D scaffold matrices (72, 73). Recently, micro-patterning of
target cells with relatively precise spatial control on the cell-adhesive surface in vitro has been achieved by selectively labeling the target cells with peptide-modified magnetite liposome with a computer-aided precise positioning of the steel plate under an external magnetic field (47). Such magnetic force-based micro-patterning techniques show promising results in skin regeneration (74).

Advanced magnetic actuation of cells
Remote manipulation of cells and cellular components in vitro and in vivo provides an important tool to understanding the cell functional and cellular signaling pathway. In the past few years, significant efforts have been made in magnetic force targeting for the mechanosensitive ion channels of the cells in vitro, the ligand-receptor binding, receptors activation and clustering, downstream signaling pathway, and subsequent phenotype changes have been investigated with a variety of cell lineages (75–77). Compared to other remote control techniques, such as optical tweezers, the magnetic manipulation via nanomagnets allows ‘action at distance’ while maintaining the precise localization of

Fig. 10. Molecular structure and peptide sequence of common CPPs: (a) HIV-derived TAT 49–57; (b) SV40 Large T NLS; (c) adenoviral NLS; and (d) myristoylated polyarginine peptide. [Figure adopted from References (63–65).]
nanomagnets interfacing the target cells (78). Moreover, such distance between the external magnetic field and the nanomagnet represents the possibility of overcoming interfering with the tissue structures by remote cell control in vivo (78).

Recently, a top-down approach by photolithography and etching has been reported to fabricate 2D magnetic MDs with a spin-vortex ground state. Such ferromagnetic MDs have two advantages in nanomedicine applications: zero remanance and the ability to respond external frequency. Kim et al. (25) reported the induced apoptosis of several cancer cells by the mechanical forces induced by the spin-vortex at very low frequency (tens of Hz) for a short time (10 min). Fig. 11 schematically shows that the antibody functionalized magnetic MDs selectively bind to the cancer cells, followed by transduction of the mechanical force to the phospholipids membrane, causing membrane destruction and eventually DNA damage (25).

Future perspective and outlook
In the past decade, nanomedicine in nanotechnology has been rapidly growing as an active research field because of its adaptable application in targeting, drug delivery, diagnosis, and treatment of various diseases and ailments. In this paper, several advanced fabrication techniques for the synthesis of multifunctional nanomagnets with novel physico-chemical properties have been reviewed, together with their promising applications in nanomedicine, such as cancer treatments, stem cell tracking and therapy, gene therapy, and tissue engineering. The challenge remains to translate nanomagnets from the laboratory into clinical applications. One of the major obstacles in magnetic drug/gene delivery is that the currently available magnets are not strong enough to magnetize the magnetic nanoparticles to counterbalance the blood flow for effective accumulation of nanomagnets at the target site. The development of high-quality magnetic nanoparticles with a strong magnetization and powerful external magnetic field is equally essential in potential magnetic drug/gene delivery applications. Moreover, iron oxide-based nanomagnets have been described as 'non-invasive' contrast agents as MR probes, more practical confirmation on the effect of such particles on normal cellular functionalities have been reported in recent years. Therefore, the direction of future research should be highlighted to elevate the techniques into other areas, such as nanoarticulation and nanomodulation of cells. The combination of advanced synthetic technology of nanomagnets and drug delivery techniques will enable researchers to explore the cellular events under certain conditions, such as magnetic-vortex-mediated apoptosis and controlled release of therapeutic agents in a controlled manner.
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