GDF11 enhances therapeutic functions of mesenchymal stem cells for angiogenesis
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Abstract

Background: The efficacy of stem cell therapy for ischemia repair has been limited by low cell retention rate. Growth differentiation factor 11 (GDF11) is a member of the transforming growth factor-β superfamily, which has multiple effects on development, physiology and diseases. The objective of the study is to investigate whether GDF11 could affect the efficacy of stem cell transplantation.

Methods: We explored the effects of GDF11 on proangiogenic activities of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) for angiogenic therapy in vitro and in vivo.

Results: Mouse bone marrow-derived MSCs were transduced with lentiviral vector to overexpress GDF11 (MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11}). After exposed to hypoxia and serum deprivation for 48 h, MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} were significantly better in viability than control MSCs (MSC\textsuperscript{vector}). MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} also had higher mobility and better angiogenic paracrine effects. The cytokine antibody array showed more angiogenic cytokines in the conditioned medium of MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} than that of MSC\textsuperscript{vector}, such as epidermal growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor BB, placenta growth factor. When MSCs (1 × 10\textsuperscript{6} cells in 50 μl) were injected into ischemic hindlimb of mice after femoral artery ligation, MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} had higher retention rate in the muscle than control MSCs. Injection of MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} resulted in better blood reperfusion and limb salvage than that of control MSCs after 14 days. Significantly more CD31\textsuperscript{+} endothelial cells and α-SMA + smooth muscle cells were detected in the ischemic muscles that received MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11}. The effects of GDF11 were through activating TGF-β receptor and PI3K/Akt signaling pathway.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrated an essential role of GDF11 in promoting therapeutic functions of MSCs for ischemic diseases by enhancing MSC viability, mobility, and angiogenic paracrine functions.

Keywords: GDF11, Ischemia, Mesenchymal stem cells, Endothelial cells, Angiogenesis

Introduction

Stem cell transplantation is a promising method for tissue repair and organ regeneration [1]. Stem cell-based therapies assume to promote angiogenesis in the ischemic tissues for regeneration [2]. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are one of the most used cells for treatment of cardiovascular diseases [3, 4] because they have the advantages of immune privilege, stemness, and ease of handling relative to other cell types [5, 6]. However, MSC-mediated angiogenic therapy has been limited by poor cell survival, especially in the hostile microenvironment of ischemic tissue [7]. Many different approaches have been attempted to improve the efficacy of MSC therapy, including gene editing [8], pretreating MSCs with various chemicals or polypeptides [9, 10], preconditioning MSCs with physiological stimuli such as hypoxia [11], or combined with other cells including endothelial progenitor cells and endothelial cells (ECs) [12]. Nevertheless, optimal conditions have not been achieved.
Angiogenesis, the formation of capillaries from pre-existing blood vessels, occurs in a variety of physiological and pathological settings, including embryonic development, wound healing, and tumor growth [13]. Angiogenesis is modulated by a number of cytokines and growth factors. Among them, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF-β1) play prominent roles [14, 15]. VEGF and TGF-β1 are often co-expressed in tissues in which angiogenesis occurs, notably in a variety of tumors [16].

Growth differentiation factor 11 (GDF11) is a member of the TGF-β superfamily. GDF11 is expressed in many tissues, including pancreas, intestine, kidney, skeletal muscle, heart, developing nervous system, olfactory system, and retina [17]. GDF11 plays an important regulatory role in early embryonic development [18]. Recent studies have shown that GDF11 level in plasma is closely associated with the establishment of animal axial skeleton, formation and development of appendage skeleton, and cardiovascular diseases [19–22]. GDF11 was found to have positive effect on the differentiation of bone marrow-derived MSCs (BM-MSCs) into EC-like cells [23] and has a role of preserving mitochondrial morphology and functions in cardiac MSCs [24]. However, the potential angiogenic effect of GDF11 on MSCs has still not been fully elucidated yet. Here, we explored the angiogenic potential of MSCs after overexpression of GDF11 in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Animal protocol was approved by Zhejiang University according to the Chinese Guidelines for Laboratory Animal Care and Use. Bone marrow was isolated from femurs and tibias of 8-week-old C57BL/6J male mice, and BM-MSCs were obtained as described previously [25] and cultured in DMEM medium (HyClone, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bioind, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 10 U/mL streptomycin (HyClone, USA). Cells were sub-cultured to 80–90% confluence and passed after dissociation with 0.25% Trypsin with 0.02% EDTA (Genom, China). For normal oxygen conditions (21% O2, 5% CO2, 37 °C), cells were incubated in a standard humidified CO2 incubator. All experiments were performed using cells at passage between 3 and 5 (Additional file 1: Fig. S1A).

Normal human umbilical cords were obtained with written consent from healthy donors with the approval of the Human Subjects Ethics Committee of Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were isolated from umbilical cords by enzymatic detachment using collagenase. Briefly, umbilical cords were washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) 3 times and then digested using 1 mg/ml collagenase I (Gibco, USA) for 30 min. The enzymatic detachment was neutralized with medium M199 containing 10% FBS, and detached cells were collected and washed with PBS. Cells were cultured in endothelial cell medium (ECM, ScienCell, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS and EC growth supplement (EGCS, ScienCell, USA). All experiments were conducted with HUVECs in passages 3–6.

Characterization of MSCs

MSCs were characterized by flow cytometric analysis of surface markers and were positive for CD44, CD105, and CD90, and negative for CD34, CD45 (Additional file 1: Fig. S1B). At room temperature, cells were dissociated, resuspended in PBS, and incubated with antibodies against following markers in dark for 30 min: CD44 (Ebioscience, 5550546), CD105 (Ebioscience, 553004), CD90 (Ebioscience, 553504), and CD45 (Ebioscience, 553098). Non-specific mouse IgG-APC and IgG-APC (Ebioscience) were used as controls. After incubation, the cells were washed twice with PBS and analyzed by flow cytometry (BD Biosciences). The data were analyzed by FlowJo software.

The ability of MSCs to differentiate into osteocytes and adipocytes was examined by culturing MSCs at passage 3 with osteogenic medium: DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, 50 μM ascorbate-2-phosphate, and 0.1 μM dexamethasone (all from Sigma-Aldrich), or adipogenic medium: DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 5 μg/ml insulin, 5 mM isobutyl methylxanthine, 60 μM indomethacin, and 1 μM dexamethasone (all from Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 weeks. Medium was changed every 3 days. After 2 weeks, cells were stained with Alizarin Red S (Solarbio, Life science) or Oil red O (Solarbio, Life science) to detect osteocytes and adipocytes, respectively (Additional file 1: Fig. S1C).

Lentiviral vector transduction

Lentiviral vectors carrying genes for GDF11 and GFP (LV-GDF11-GFP), or control vectors (LV-GFP) and Luciferase (LV-Luc) were prepared by Genechem (Shanghai, China). MSCs were seeded at 1 × 10^5 cells per well onto 12-well plates one day before transduction. Medium was changed with fresh serum-free DMEM medium (500 μl/well). Viral vectors (~2.5 μl) premixed with 20 μl HiTransG P transfection reagent (Genechem) were added to each well to reach a multiplicity of infection (MOI) at 50 for all transduction. Culture medium was changed 12 h after transfection with DMEM containing 10% FBS. After 48 h, cells were observed under fluorescent microscope for GFP+ cells. The successful-transduced cells were selected with puromycin. Expression of GDF11
at levels of protein (Additional file 1: Fig. S2A, B) and mRNA (Additional file 1: Fig. S2C) was detected by real-time RT-PCR and Western blot, respectively. The cells transduced with LV-GDF11-GFP/Luc were abbreviated as MSCsGDF11, control vectors (LV-GFP/Luc) were abbreviated as MSCsVector, and the untreated cells were used as negative control and abbreviated as MSCsNC. GDF11 in the conditioned medium from MSCsNC, MSCsVector, and MSCsGDF11 was detected by ELISA (Additional file 1: Fig. S2D). There was no significant difference between non-transduced MSCs (MSCsNC) and MSCsVector on cell viability, mobility, and paracrine function (Additional file 1: Figs. S3 and S4). Thus, only MSCsVector and MSCsGDF11 were used in the following experiments.

**Recombinant factor treatment**

The cells were treated with recombinant factor GDF11 (PEPROTECH, #120-1120, USA) at different concentrations (10, 50, 100 ng/mL) under serum deprivation or 2% low serum culture conditions for 24 or 48 h.

**Cell viability assay**

For in vitro cell viability assay, GDF11-overexpressed and negative control-MSCs were plated on 96-well plates (2 × 10³ cells/well) and cultured in serum-free medium under hypoxia (0.1% O2, 5% CO2) at 37 °C for 4 h. For control, MSCs were plated in complete culture medium with normoxia (21% O2, 5% CO2) condition for the same period. Then, 10 μl of Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Japan) in 90ul medium was added and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C, and the absorbance was determined at a wavelength of 450 nm. MSC viability was evaluated using OD value as described above. The cells proliferation was also measured using 5-ethyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Ribobio) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. EdU-labeled cells were counted manually in five fields of view randomly selected from each well and percentages were calculated.

**Cell apoptosis analysis**

For in vitro cell apoptosis assay, MSCsGDF11 and MSCsVector were plated on 24-well plates (2 × 10⁴ cells/well) and cultured in serum-free medium under hypoxia (0.1% O2, 5% CO2) at 37 °C for 48 h. After 48 h, cell apoptosis was analyzed using a TUNEL Cell Apoptosis Assay Kit (Beyotime, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells on plates were washed twice with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, followed with PBS wash twice. Then, cells were incubated with TUNEL reagent for 1 h. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst for 5 min. The cells were then washed three times and viewed using a fluorescence microscope.

In another way, the cell apoptosis rate was determined by a FITC-Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit (Thermo, USA) using flow cytometry according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were detached and washed twice with PBS, resuspended in 300 μL binding buffer containing 5 μl FITC-Annexin V and 5 μl PI and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. The fluorescence intensity was measured by using a FACS flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, USA).

**Cytokine antibody arrays**

Cytokines in the conditioned medium were detected using the Human Angiogenesis Antibody Array C1 kit (AAH-ANG-1-2, RayBiotech, USA), which is an antibody array that measures 21 angiogenesis-related proteins. Briefly, MSCsVector and MSCsGDF11 were plated on 6-well plates (5 × 10⁵ cells/well) and cultured in serum-free medium under hypoxia at 37 °C for 48 h. Then, the conditioned medium was collected and condensed with a 3-kDa cutoff membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) using centrifugation at 4 °C and 6000 g for 1 h. After 1 h of incubation with blocking buffer, each well was overlaid with 100 μL samples. After overnight incubation at 4 °C and extensive washing, the biotin-labeled detection antibody was added for 90 min at room temperature and the array was washed to remove unbound detection antibody. Streptavidin was then added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The signals were scanned and extracted using an InnoScan 310 scanner (Innopsys, Carbone, France). And the raw data were used with RayBioTech Software Tools to analyze the differential proteins and Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) analysis.

**Exosome isolation and characterization**

Cells were grown to sub-confluence in growth media containing exosome-depleted FBS (prepared by overnight ultracentrifugation at 110,000 × g at 4°C) for 48 h. Conditioned medium (CM) was then collected and centrifuged at 300 × g for 10 min, at 2000 × g for 10 min, and 10,000 × g for 30 min to remove cells and cell debris. The supernatant was then concentrated with 10-kDa molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) hollow fiber membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) at 2500 × g for 1 h. The supernatant was further filtered by a 0.22-μM filter (Millipore). The concentrated supernatant was then ultra-centrifuged at 110,000 × g for 70 min (Optima L-90 K; Beckman Coulter, USA). Exosomes were then collected and washed 1 time with PBS by centrifugation at 110,000 × g for 70 min. Finally, exosomes were resuspended by 300 μl PBS.

The protein content of the concentrated exosomes was determined using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein
assay kit (Thermo, USA). Particle size of the purified exosomes was analyzed using NanoSight LM10 (Malvern, UK). Exosomes were diluted with PBS (1:250) and injected into the NanoSight instrument to determine the concentrations of exosomal particle using nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA). The antibodies for exo-
omal markers Alix (Abcam, ab117600), TSG101 (Abcam, ab125011) and CD9 (Abcam, ab92726) were used for Western blot analysis of exosomes.

**Tube formation assay**

Tube formation assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Matrigel (50 µl) (Corning, USA, #356231#) was added to each well of a 96-well plate and allowed to polymerize. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) (1 × 10^5 cells) were suspended in culture medium as mentioned above containing 2% FBS and plated on Matrigel. After culture for 2–6 h, images were taken using an Olympus microscope. The tube formation was quantified by analyzing the total tube length in each well with Image-Pro Plus (MediaCybernetics, USA).

**Cell mobility assay**

MSCs<sub>GDF11</sub> and MSCs<sub>Vector</sub> were plated on 24-well plates (2 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells/well) and cultured in serum-free medium under hypoxia (0.1% O<sub>2</sub>, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) at 37 °C for 48 h. Then, the cell suspensions (2 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells in 500 µl DMEM medium with no FBS per well) were seeded onto the apical surface of the inserts (in triplicate of Falcon FluoroBlok 24-multiwell insert plates (6.5 mm diameter) with 8 µm pores (BD Biosciences)). In each basal chamber, 500 µL DMEM with 10% FBS were added. Following 10 h incubation at 37 °C 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, the non-migrating cells attached to the upper side of the filter were carefully removed with a cotton swab and the migrating cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde then stained with Crystal Violet Staining Solution (Solarbio, China, G1061) for 20 min, followed with PBS wash three times. Photography was done with an inverted fluorescence microscope. Five random visual fields were captured for each insert, and cell number was counted using Image-Pro software.

**Western blot assay**

Cell lysates were prepared using radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (Beyotime, China, P0013B). Total protein was quantified by BCA protein assay (Bio-Rad, Berkery). Each sample was adjusted to equal amount of protein using 5× loading buffer for loading. The samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane and immunoblotted with the following antibodies: GDF11(19581,R&D Systems, Emeryville, CA, USA), VEGF (ab46154, Abcam, USA), HGF (ab83760, Abcam, USA), phospho-AKT (#4060, Ser473, Cell Signaling Technology), AKT (#4685, following Abs are all from Cell Signaling Technology), Phospho-P13 Kinase (p85 (Tyr458)/p55 (Tyr199), #4228), P13K (#4257), BCL2 (#2827), BAX (#14796), Cleaved Caspase3 (#9661), anti-β-actin (#3700), Cleaved Caspase3 (#9661), at 4 °C overnight. After incubation of the membranes with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology), bands were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (Bio-Rad, USA).

**Real-time RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitro- gen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA (1 µg) was used for reverse transcription to synthesize cDNA using PrimeScript™ 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China), and SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ (Tli RNaseH Plus) (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) was applied for real-time RT-PCR process on an ABI PRISM 7500 Fast Detection System (Applied Biosys-
tem, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the standard method. Each sample was performed in triplicated, and all results were normalized to the expression of normalized to β-actin (ACTIN). Fold expression relative to the reference ACTIN gene was calculated using the comparative method 2<sup>−ΔΔCt</sup>. The sequences of PCR primers are listed in Additional file 1: Table S1.

**Animal model and cell delivery**

The animal study was complied with the Collaborative Approach to Meta-Analysis and Review of Animal Data from Experimental Studies (CAMARADES) checklist [26]. Male C57BL/6 mice (10-week-old, weighting 22–25 g) were used for the hind limb ischemia model by ligation of femoral artery as described previously [10]. All mice were housed in stainless steel cages with sawdust bedding. They were kept at 23 ± 1 °C, humidity 55 ± 5%, under a 12-h dark/light cycle and were allowed unlimited food and water. The mice were anesthetized with pentobarbital (1%) and placed on a thermostatic heating blanket to maintain their body temperature. A longitudinal incision from the groin to the knee-joint was used to expose the vessels after removing the hair. The femoral artery was separated from the vein and nerve, ligated by 6-0 silk (Ethicon, Sommerville, NJ), and excised. The skin incision was closed with 4-0 silk (Ethicon). No mice died during the surgery procedure. Immediately after surgery, the animals were randomly divided into four groups. Mice of the Sham group (n = 5) received excision without artery ligation and phosphate buffer saline (PBS) injection. The Control group (n = 7) received PBS (50 µl). Cells (1 × 10<sup>6</sup>) in MSC<sub>Vector</sub> (n = 8) and MSC<sub>GDF11</sub> (n = 8) groups were
suspended in 50 μl PBS and were directly injected into the gastrocnemius muscle at five points with a 29gauge needle.

For the in vivo tracking of MSCs, MSCs were transduced with lentiviral vectors containing luciferase to generate a stably transfected cell line (Luc-MSCs) by purimycine selection. Luc-MSCs were further transduced with lentiviral vectors containing GFP with/without GDF11 through FACS selection to generate Luc-MSCs\textsuperscript{vector} and Luc-MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11}. Luc-MSCs\textsuperscript{vector} (n = 5) or Luc-MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} (n = 6) (1 × 10\textsuperscript{6} cells) were suspended in 50 μl PBS and injected into ischemic muscles. Bioluminescence imaging (BLI) was carried out using a Xenogen system (IVIS spectrum, Perkin Elmer, USA) on day 0, 1, 3 and 7 post surgery and injection. After sodium fluorescein substrate was added, the BLI was carried out, and the reading of interesting (ROI) values were measured by the Xenogen software. Different amount of Luc-MSCs per well (0, 1 × 10\textsuperscript{3}, 1 × 10\textsuperscript{4}, 1 × 10\textsuperscript{5}, 2.5 × 10\textsuperscript{5}, 5 × 10\textsuperscript{5}, 1 × 10\textsuperscript{6}, 2 × 10\textsuperscript{6}) were plated into a 96-well plate. ROI was measured by a Xenogen system and plotted against the cell number with a linear regression. The correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) is 0.96. (Additional file 1: Fig. S5).

As a primary outcome, reperfusion in the ischemic limb was measured using a Laser LDPI analysis (Peri-Cam PSI, PerimedAB, Sweden) on day 0, 3, 7, 14, and 21 following surgery. All mice were kept in a 37 °C heating pad to maintain the body temperature. Perfusion index was defined as the ratio of the ischemic (right) to non-ischemic (left) limb’s blood flow using LDPI win 3.13 program (Perimed AB). 21 mice were killed on D21, and gastrocnemius muscles were collected for further histological analysis. All animal experiments were conducted in a randomized manner with a blind-mind operator.

**Immunohistochemistry staining**

After 3, 7, and 21 days, mice were killed and the gastrocnemius muscle was harvested and embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (Sakura Finetek USA Inc., CA). Frozen sections were cut at 7 μm thickness. To examine the capillary and arteriole densities and progenitors in ischemic muscles, sections were stained with rat anti-mouse CD31 (562939, BD bioscience), goat anti-rabbit smooth muscle α-actin (α-SMA) (ab32575, Abcam), rat anti-rabbit luciferase (ab185924, Abcam), and rat anti-rabbit GFP (ab290, Abcam), respectively. Sections were further stained with Alexa fluor 488 or 549 conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen). After being mounted with Hoechst (33342, Thermo) mounting medium, the samples were analyzed using a fluorescence confocal microscope (Leica). Results were presented as arterioles/mm\textsuperscript{2} and capillaries/mm\textsuperscript{2}. For morphometric analysis, cryo-sections of ischemic limb were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. All images were taken under 200/400 magnification in 7 or 8 vision fields/section.

**Quantification of retained cells in ischemic muscle**

MSCs were harvested from young male C57BL/6J mice and cultured as described above. Male MSCs were transplanted into ischemic hind limbs of female mice at 1 × 10\textsuperscript{6} cells per mouse. Mice were killed at day 3 and day 7, and gastrocnemius muscles were collected. For detecting GFP positive cells in ischemic muscles, mice were killed 3 and 7 days after surgery.

**Transfection of siRNA**

Small interfering RNA (siRNA) specific for GDF11 gene expression (siGDF11) and control scrambled siRNA were synthesized by GenePharma Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Cells were seeded at 1 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cells per well into 12-well plates one day before transfection. The siRNAs (final 50 nM) were mixed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA) (2 μl/ml) in medium OptiMEM (Invitrogen, USA) and then added into each well (1 ml per well). Medium was changed into DMEM containing 10% FBS 8 h after transfection and cultured for further analysis. Expression of GDF11 at levels of mRNA and protein was detected by RT-PCR and Western blot, respectively.

**Cell culture with inhibitor**

MSCs were seeded in 12-well plates (1 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cells/well) for 24 h at 37 °C under an atmosphere of 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. The cells were then incubated with fresh medium containing 0.5 μM LY294002 (an inhibitor of AKT phosphorylation) or vehicle for 45 min prior to the addition of 50 ng/ml rGDF11. After 12 h, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then extracted protein.

**Statistics analysis**

For comparison of two groups with equal number of sample size, formular \( n = \left(\frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{1-\beta}}{\sigma/\delta}\right)^2 \times 0.5^{-1} + 0.5^{-1} \) is used to estimate the animal sample size which could meet the confidence level to 95%. All data analysis was conducted by a person with blinded mind. Results were expressed as means ± SD (standard deviation). Continuous variables were compared by the Student T test, and multiple comparisons were performed by one-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni correction. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 8 (GraphPad Software Inc, USA). A value of \( p < 0.05 \) was accepted as statistically significant.
Fig. 1  GDF11 enhanced retention of MSCs in vivo. MSCs were transduced with lentiviral vectors carrying genes for luciferase and GDF11 (MSCGDF11) or no GDF11 (MSCVector). A Bioluminescence images of mice injected with MSCs expressing luciferase show the difference of cell survival rate between MSCVector and MSCGDF11 at day 0, 1, 3, 7 post-cell injection. B Quantitative analysis of relative luminescence intensity on mice to represent the retained MSCs in the ischemic muscles. Relative intensity was obtained by comparing with the intensity of GDF11vector at day 0. N=5 for MSCVector and 6 for MSCGDF11. C Engrafted MSCs were identified by staining for GFP expression in sections of muscle tissue obtained from animals killed at day 3 after artery ligation and cell administration. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33258. D Retention rates were quantified as the number of GFP+ cells out of the total number of cells (nuclei). (n=5 in each group). **p < 0.05 MSCVector versus MSCGDF11. E Apoptotic cells were identified on day 3 after ischemic surgery via TUNEL staining (red) in the ischemic limb sections of mice treated differently, and nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33258 (blue); bar = 100 μm. F Apoptotic cells were quantified as the percentage of cells that were positive for TUNEL staining. (n=5 in each group). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001
Results

GDF11 augmented survival of MSCs in vivo

GDF11 overexpressing MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} had higher survival and less apoptosis than the control MSC\textsuperscript{Vector} when they were cultured under serum deprivation and hypoxic conditions (Additional file 1: Fig. S6), which confirms our previous results showing that GDF11 protected MSCs from hypoxia-induced apoptosis in vitro [23]. Furthermore, when MSCs were treated with external recombinant GDF11 (rGDF11), similar protective effect of GDF11 on MSCs was observed (Additional file 1: Fig. S7).

In vivo, after MSCs were injected into the ischemic muscles, more MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} than MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector} were retained in the muscles using luciferase imaging assay (Fig. 1A, B) and immunofluorescence staining of GFP (Fig. 1C, D). MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} were still detectable under luciferase imaging 7 days post-injection, while MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector} were barely visible (Fig. 1A, B), which could indicate that there were at least $1 \times 10^5$ MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} retained in the ischemic muscles 7 days after the implantation as the in vitro data showed that the minimal detectable cell number was $1 \times 10^4$ on a culture plate (Additional file 1: Fig.S5).

Less apoptotic cells were detected in the recovered ischemic muscles 3 days post-injection of MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} than that of MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector} (Fig. 1E, F). The data demonstrated that overexpression of GDF11 in MSCs improved MSC survival in ischemic muscles.

Overexpression of GDF11 enhanced MSC therapy for hindlimb ischemia by promoting angiogenesis and muscle regeneration

Reperfusion at the ischemic hindlimbs was measured by using laser Doppler perfusion imaging (LDPI) after femoral artery ligation (Fig. 2A). Mice that received MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} reperfused significantly better than control groups (injected with PBS or MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector}) (Fig. 2B). Administration of MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} also significantly increased the number of regenerating myofibers in ischemic gastrocnemius muscles 14 days after surgery than the control groups (MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector}) (Fig. 2C, D, \(p < 0.001\)).

To investigate whether the recovery of blood flow was associated with angiogenic activity, the density of vessels in ischemic muscles was analyzed by immunostaining (Fig. 2E). Both CD31\textsuperscript{+} capillary (Fig. 2F) and αSMA\textsuperscript{+} arteriole (Fig. 2G) densities were significantly higher in mice that received MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} as compared with those received PBS or MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector} on day 14 after injection. The mRNA levels of proangiogenic cytokines vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGFA), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), and stromal-derived factor-1 (SDF-1) were increased in the ischemic hind limb muscle receiving preconditioned MSCs (Additional file 1: Fig. S8). However, no direct differentiation of MSCs into ECs was observed (Additional file 1: Fig. S9).

Angiogenic paracrine activity of MSCs was enhanced by GDF11

To understand the mechanism under which GDF11 enhances the pro-angiogenic activity of MSCs, angiogenesis-related proteins from MSCs were analyzed by a cytokine antibody array (Fig. 3A). There were significantly more angiogenic cytokines in the conditioned medium of MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} than that of MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector} (Fig. 3B), such as epidermal growth factor (EGF), platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB), placenta growth factor (PLGF). Furthermore, the increased VEGF and HGF were detected by ELISA (Fig. 3C) and Western blot analysis (Fig. 3D, E).

Since the pro-angiogenic effect of MSCs is largely mediated by exosomes [7], the exosomes from the supernatant of MSCs were analyzed. The number of exosomes was increased in MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} as compared with that of MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector} (Fig. 3F). When GW4869, an inhibitor which impairs cells exosome synthesis, was added into the cells culture, the exosome production of MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} became similar to that of MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector} (Fig. 3F). The protein concentrations per particle were similar between two groups: 7.4 ± 2.3 μg/10\textsuperscript{8} particle for MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} and 8.5 ± 2.6 μg/10\textsuperscript{8} particle for MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector} (Fig. 3G). The proangiogenic cytokines (VEGF and HGF) were increased in exosomes of MSCs\textsuperscript{GDF11} as compared with that in MSCs\textsuperscript{Vector}. After exosomes were eliminated, VEGF and HGF in the supernatant were
Fig. 2  (See legend on previous page.)
Fig. 3  GDF11 enhanced angiogenic paracrine activity of MSCs. A The angiogenesis-related proteins from MSC\textsuperscript{Vector} and MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} were analyzed by a cytokine antibody array. B Quantitative analysis of protein levels in A. C Quantification of VEGF in the conditioned medium of MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} and MSC\textsuperscript{Vector} by ELISA. D Western blot analysis of VEGFA and HGF proteins in MSCs after MSCs was transduced with vectors carrying gene for GDF11 or control (vector). E Quantitative analysis of protein levels in E. F Quantification of exosomes form MSC\textsuperscript{Vector}, MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11}, MSC\textsuperscript{Vector} + GW4869, and MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} + GW4869 by NTA. N = 5. G The protein concentration per 10^8 particles in MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} and MSC\textsuperscript{Vector}. N = 5. H Western blot analysis of exosomal proteins and proangiogenic cytokines in exosomes from MSCs and their conditioned media in which exosomes were eliminated. VEGF and HGF were higher in both exosomes and media from MSC\textsuperscript{GDF11} than from MSC\textsuperscript{Vector}. 
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still higher in medium from MSCGDF11 than that from MSCVector (Fig. 3H).

GDF11 improved paracrine effects of MSCs on HUVEC

To confirm the positive effect of GDF11 on the paracrine functions of MSCs, the conditioned media of MSCs were used to treat HUVEC. More migrated HUVECs (Fig. 4A, B) and greater tube formation (Fig. 4C, D) were observed when medium from MSCGDF11 was used than that from MSCVector, suggesting that the medium from MSCGDF11 contained more factors to accelerate proangiogenic functions of HUVEC. To examine the possible direct effect of GDF11 on ECs, HUVECs were treated with rGDF11 protein. Cell viability, tube formation, and migration of HUVECs were not significantly altered by external rGDF11 (Additional file 1: Fig.S10). In addition, MSCGDF11 had higher mobility than the control MSCVector (Fig. 4E, F).

Functions of MSCs were impaired when GDF11 was knocked down with siRNA

To verify the protective effect of GDF11 on MSCs, GDF11 expression in MSCs was knocked down by transfection with siRNA (MSCGDF11−siRNA and MSCNC−siRNA). Both GDF11 mRNA (Fig. 5A) and protein (Fig. 5B) were significantly reduced in MSCGDF11−siRNA as compared with MSCNC−siRNA. Along with the reduced expression of GDF11, apoptosis-related proteins BCL2/BAX (Fig. 5B, C) and cell viability (Fig. 5D) were also decreased in MSCGDF11−siRNA as compared with MSCNC−siRNA. After
Fig. 5 Functions of MSCs when their GDF11 was knocked down with siRNA. A GDF11 mRNA levels in MSC after the cells were transfected with siRNA NC or siRNA GDF11 (n = 3). B The viability of MSC was examined by CCK-8 assay after the GDF11 was knocked down with siRNA (n = 5). C Proteins GDF11, VEGFA, HGF, BAX, and Bcl2 were detected by Western blot after MSCs were transfected with siRNA. D Quantification of proteins in C. E Representative images showing tube formation of MSCs after the GDF11 was knocked down with siRNA. Scale bars: 500 μm. F Quantification of tube formation in E by measuring branch lengths of formed tube. Only length > 200 μm was counted (n = 5 in each group). G Representative images of migration of MSCs in a transwell assay for siRNA NC or siRNA GDF11. Scale bars: 200 μm. H Quantification of migrated MSCs to the lower chamber (n = 3 in each group). I The representative pictures display tube formation of HUVECs on Matrigel cultured with conditioned media from MSC NC or MSC GDF11 siRNA. Scale bars: 200 μm. J Quantification of tube formation in I by measuring branch lengths of formed tube. Only length > 200 μm was counted (n = 5 in each group). K Transwell assay showed the migration capacity of HUVEC after 48 h culture with conditioned media from MSC NC or MSC GDF11 siRNA. L Quantification of migrated cells to the lower chamber in K (n = 3 in each group).
GDF11 knockdown, the ability of tube formation (Fig. 5E, F) and migration (Fig. 5G, H) of MSCs were tremendously deteriorated in MSC<sup>GDF11−siRNA</sup> as compared with MSC<sup>NC−siRNA</sup>. The paracrine capacities of MSCs to promote tube formation (Fig. 5I, J) and migration (Fig. 5K, L) of HUVEC were also significantly impaired in MSC<sup>GDF11−siRNA</sup> as compared with MSC<sup>NC−siRNA</sup>.
Effect of GDF11 is through binding with TGF-β receptor and activating PI3K/AKT

The cytokine antibody arrays and KEGG analysis indicated that the PI3K/AKT signal pathway was one of the most significantly altered by GDF11-overexpression among the top-20 annotation enrichment pathways ($p < 0.00005$ and gene count $> 8$) (Fig. 6A). Therefore, to determine the molecular mechanism underlying the effects of GDF11 on MSCs, PI3K/AKT signaling pathway was examined. Phosphorylation of both PI3K and AKT was detected in MSCsGDF11 as compared with MSCVector (Fig. 6C, D), while a substantial decrease in phosphorylation of both PI3K and AKT was detected in MSCGDF11−siRNA as compared with MSCNC−siRNA (Fig. 6D, E). When phosphorylation of AKT was blocked by adding PI3K inhibitor LY294002, the protective effects of GDF11 on MSCs were diminished. The expressions of Bcl2 and VEGFA in MSCsGDF11 were reduced to the similar level as the control (Fig. 7A, B). Such effect was verified by TUNEL immunofluorescent staining (Fig. 7C, D). Higher production of VEGF in MSCsGDF11 was also blocked by LY294002 (Fig. 7E), indicating that GDF11-mediated pro-angiogenic effect is partially dependent on Akt. The enhanced tube formation of HUVECs by the MSCGDF11 media was also blocked by LY294002 (Fig. 7F, G). These results demonstrate that the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway was essential for GDF11-induced enhancement of MSC anti-apoptosis and proangiogenic functions.

Discussion

Our study shows that GDF11 protected BM-MSCs in the hostile microenvironment with less apoptosis rate, enhanced cell mobility, and increased production of angiogenic paracrine factors, which could promote EC tube formation. MSCGDF11 retained better in the ischemic muscles, improved angiogenesis, and blood reperfusion in vivo. These functions of MSCs were diminished when GDF11 was knockdown in MSCs. The roles of GDF11 in MSC-mediated vascularization were through binding with TGF-β receptor and activation of downstream PI3K/AKT signaling pathway (Fig. 8).

At present, the functions of GDF11 in aging and cardiovascular diseases are still controversial [21, 27]. The contradiction may be contributed by the differences on the study subject, gender, detection methods, genetic background, and so on.

Nevertheless, an increasing number of studies have found that GDF11 plays an important role in angiogenesis and cardiac protection [28, 29]. GDF11, similar with the proteins of TGF-β superfamily such as TGFβ1, plays a quite vital role in promoting angiogenesis [13]. In our previous reports, we have shown that GDF11 promoted MSC differentiation into ECs for angiogenesis [23] and preserved mitochondrial morphology and functions of MSCs [24]. In the present study, we found that overexpression of GDF11 in MSCs increased mobility and viability of MSCs in vitro (Fig. 4E, F and Additional file 1: Fig. S6) and enhanced cell retention rate in vivo after MSCs were injected into the ischemic muscles (Fig. 1), confirming the anti-apoptosis effect of GDF11 on protecting MSCs from serum deprivation and hypoxia stress in vitro [23, 24].

Furthermore, we found that GDF11 not only enhanced MSC survival, but also increased MSC paracrine effects (Figs. 3, 4) and promoted angiogenesis and recovery for the ischemic limbs (Figs. 1, 2). There were more cytokines like EGF, PDGF-BB, PLGF, and VEGF that were produced from MSCGDF11 (Fig. 3A–E). The conditioned medium from MSCGDF11 had much better effects on promoting HUVEC migration and tube formation (Fig. 4A–D). In contrast, decreased tube formation of HUVECs was observed when they were cultured with the conditioned medium from MSCsiRNA as compared with control MSCNC (Fig. 5I–L). The enhanced paracrine effects by GDF11 were achieved by more production of exosome particles and their protein contents (Fig. 3F–H) along with the higher cytokine concentration in the exosome-eliminated conditioned medium from MSCGDF11 (Fig. 3H). All these secretomes from MSCs contributed the therapeutic functions of injected MSCs in promoting angiogenesis and blood reperfusion to protect tissue from ischemic state.

Moreover, we found that the functions (proliferation, tube formation, and migration) of HUVECs were not improved by rGDF11 (Additional file 1: Fig. S9), indicating that MSC-secreted GDF11 had no direct effect on HUVECs, which was consistent with previous reports.
Fig. 7 (See legend on previous page.)
These findings confirmed that one of GDF11 functions in MSCs is to promote angiogenesis via paracrine effect on ECs. It has been reported that GDF11 in diabetic rat was significantly reduced, and GDF11 restoration increased circulating EPCs and promoted perfusion recovery in the diabetic hindlimb ischemia model [31]. However, they found that GDF11 had no effect on promoting angiogenesis in hindlimb ischemia model of nondiabetic rats. Here, we used MSCs as the target to overexpress GDF11 and found that GDF11 enhanced the effect of transplanted MSCs on promoting angiogenesis in the nondiabetic mice. GDF11 markedly improved MSC survival and retention in ischemic limbs after cell transplantation (3–7 days) (Fig. 1). However, it is important to note that in our hands, the improved short-term survival by GDF11 treatment was not sustained over a longer period (more than 14 days) (Additional file 1: Fig. S9). Moreover, we failed to detect any MSC-derived ECs 14 days after transplantation (Additional file 1: Fig. S9).

Although our previous study has showed that GDF11 enhanced differentiation of MSCs into ECs in vitro, the in vivo differentiation of MSCs into endothelial-like cells was only observed in an angiogenesis assay with Matrigel plug [23]. Therefore, we hold the opinion that MSC transdifferentiation may not contribute significantly to the therapeutic effect in limb ischemia model, consistent with the current mainstream view. Rather, the effects of MSCs are primarily paracrine with higher expression of proangiogenic cytokines from MSCs, affecting both cell activity and recruitment of progenitor cells.

TGF-β receptor consists of Activin receptor I (ActRI) and II (ActRII). Mature GDF11 binds first to ActRII and then recruits ActRI including ActRIIA and ActRIIB and then recruits ActRI including ALK4, ALK5, and ALK7 [20, 32]. Binding GDF11 to the TGF-β receptor activates the downstream pathway, which is mainly divided into two types: canonical Smad and non-canonical non-Smad signaling pathways [33]. GDF11 can activate Smad2/3 signaling pathway in different tissues or cells, and the activated Smad2/3 form heteromeric complex with Smad4 and then transfer to the nucleus to regulate gene expression. GDF11 also activates other non-Smad signals like MAP kinases (p38, ERK, and JNK), Rho-like GTPase, and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) /AKT [34, 35]. Our KEGG analysis of data from the cytokine antibody arrays indicated that the PI3K/AKT signal pathway was one of the most significantly altered by GDF11-overexpression among the top-20 annotation enrichment pathways (Fig. 6A), although activation of SMAD transcription factors was also detected in MSCs [33]. GDF11 can activate Smad2/3 signaling pathway in different tissues or cells, and the activated Smad2/3 form heteromeric complex with Smad4 and then transfer to the nucleus to regulate gene expression. GDF11 also activates other non-Smad signals like MAP kinases (p38, ERK, and JNK), Rho-like GTPase, and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) /AKT [34, 35]. Our KEGG analysis of data from the cytokine antibody arrays indicated that the PI3K/AKT signal pathway was one of the most significantly altered by GDF11-overexpression among the top-20 annotation enrichment pathways (Fig. 6A), although activation of SMAD transcription factors was also detected in MSCs [33]. GDF11 can activate Smad2/3 signaling pathway in different tissues or cells, and the activated Smad2/3 form heteromeric complex with Smad4 and then transfer to the nucleus to regulate gene expression. GDF11 also activates other non-Smad signals like MAP kinases (p38, ERK, and JNK), Rho-like GTPase, and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) /AKT [34, 35]. Our KEGG analysis of data from the cytokine antibody arrays indicated that the PI3K/AKT signal pathway was one of the most significantly altered by GDF11-overexpression among the top-20 annotation enrichment pathways (Fig. 6A), although activation of SMAD transcription factors was also detected in MSCs [33]. GDF11 can activate Smad2/3 signaling pathway in different tissues or cells, and the activated Smad2/3 form heteromeric complex with Smad4 and then transfer to the nucleus to regulate gene expression. GDF11 also activates other non-Smad signals like MAP kinases (p38, ERK, and JNK), Rho-like GTPase, and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) /AKT [34, 35]. Our KEGG analysis of data from the cytokine antibody arrays indicated that the PI3K/AKT signal pathway was one of the most significantly altered by GDF11-overexpression among the top-20 annotation enrichment pathways (Fig. 6A), although activation of SMAD transcription factors was also detected in MSCs [33]. GDF11 can activate Smad2/3 signaling pathway in different tissues or cells, and the activated Smad2/3 form heteromeric complex with Smad4 and then transfer to the nucleus to regulate gene expression. GDF11 also activates other non-Smad signals like MAP kinases (p38, ERK, and JNK), Rho-like GTPase, and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) /AKT [34, 35]. Our KEGG analysis of data from the cytokine antibody arrays indicated that the PI3K/AKT signal pathway was one of the most significantly altered by GDF11-overexpression among the top-20 annotation enrichment pathways (Fig. 6A), although activation of SMAD transcription factors was also detected in MSCs [33].
was through activation of PI3K/AKT signaling pathway (Fig. 6). It has been shown that Akt signaling pathway is important for vascular growth and paracrine effects by regulating cell growth, viability, and differentiation [38]. Our results demonstrated that GDF11-activated PI3K/AKT signaling pathway to stimulate expression of proangiogenic cytokines in MSCs, resulting in stronger paracrine effect of MSCs to promote angiogenesis.

Conclusion
Our study found that GDF11 enhances the survival of MSCs, increases the secretion of proangiogenic factors, and augments the therapeutic potential of MSCs to promote angiogenesis in ischemia tissue. We demonstrated an essential role of GDF11 in promoting therapeutic functions of MSCs for ischemic diseases, which can be used to develop new therapeutic strategy for ischemic cardiovascular diseases. The efficacy of stem cell therapy for ischemia repair has been limited by low cell retention rate. Strategies to ameliorate stem cells therapy are urgently needed. It is possible that treatment with GDF11 may be applied in diabetic patients with ischemic vascular diseases.

Abbreviations
GDF11: Growth differentiation factor 11; MSCs: Mesenchymal stem cells; ECs: Endothelial cells; VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor; TGF-B: Transforming growth factor-beta 1; HUVECs: Human umbilical vein endothelial cells; Bcl2: B-cell lymphoma-2 protein; BAX: Bcl2-associated X protein; HGF: Hepatocyte growth factor; rGDF11: Recombinant GDF11; LDPI: Laser Doppler perfusion imaging.

Supplementary Information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-021-02519-y.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declared that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1 Department of Cardiology, Second Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, 88 Jiefang Rd, Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang Province, People’s Republic of China. 2 Cardiovascular Key Laboratory of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang Province, People’s Republic of China. 3 Department of Obstetrics, Women’s Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310006, Zhejiang Province, China. 4 Department of Vascular Surgery, Hangzhou Third People’s Hospital, Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang Province, China.

Received: 1 April 2021 Accepted: 18 July 2021

Available data and materials
All other relevant datasets have been uploaded as part of additional files.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The animal protocols used in this study and the research proposal for use of human samples were approved by the Second Affiliated Hospital Research Ethics Committee of Zhejiang University and in accordance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. TheHUVEC collection and the related experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of National Engineering Research Center of Cell Products, State Key Laboratory of Experimental Hematology, Institute of Hematology. Animal study protocols were designed in accordance with guidelines for the use of laboratory animals and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Zhejiang University.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declared that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1 Department of Cardiology, Second Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, 88 Jiefang Rd, Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang Province, People’s Republic of China. 2 Cardiovascular Key Laboratory of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang Province, People’s Republic of China. 3 Department of Obstetrics, Women’s Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310006, Zhejiang Province, China. 4 Department of Vascular Surgery, Hangzhou Third People’s Hospital, Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang Province, China.

Published online: 12 August 2021

References
1. Parekkadan B, Milwid JM. Mesenchymal stem cells as therapeutics. Annu Rev Biomed Eng. 2010;12:87–117.
2. Weil BR, Suzuki G, Leiker MM, Fallavollita JA, Canty JM. Comparative efficacy of intracoronary allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells and cardiomyocyte-derived cells in swine with hibernating myocardium. Circ Res. 2015;117:634–44.
3. Hare JM, Traverse JH, Henry TD, Dib N, Strumpf RK, Schulman SP, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation study of intravenous adult human mesenchymal stem cells (prochymal) after acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54:2277–86.
4. Choudhery MS, Badowski M, Muiase A, Harris DT. Utility of cryopreserved umbilical cord tissue for regenerative medicine. Curr Stem Cell Res Ther. 2013;8:370–80.
5. Qazi TH, Duda GN, Ort MJ, Perka C, Geissler S, Winkler T. Cell therapy to improve regeneration of skeletal muscle injuries. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2019;10:501–16.
6. Gremmels H, Teraa M, Quax PH, den Ouden K, Redderus JO, Verhaar MC. Neovascularization capacity of mesenchymal stromal cells from critical limb ischemia patients is equivalent to healthy controls. Mol Ther. 2014;22:1960–70.
7. Zhu D, Cheng K. Cardiac cell therapy for heart repair: should the cells be left out? Cells. 2021;10:641.
8. Samak M, Hinkel R. Stem cells in cardiovascular medicine: historical overview and future prospects. Cells. 2019;8:1530.
9. Copland IB, Lord-Dufour S, Quemers J, Coutou DC, Annabi B, Wang E, et al. Improved autograft survival of mesenchymal stromal cells by plasmogen activator inhibitor 1 inhibition. Stem Cells. 2009;27:667–77.
10. Ma Q, Xia X, Tao Q, Lu K, Shen J, Xu Q, et al. Profound actions of agonist of growth hormone-releasing hormone on angiogenic therapy by mesenchymal stem cells. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2016;36:663–72.
11. Hu X, Xu Y, Zhong Z, Wu Y, Zhao J, Wang Y, et al. A large-scale investigation of hypoxia-preconditioned allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells for myocardial repair in nonprimate paracrine activity without remuscularization. Circ Res. 2016;118:970–83.

12. Steiner D, Lampert F, Stark GB, Finkenzeller G. Effects of endothelial cells on proliferation and survival of human mesenchymal stem cells and primary osteoblasts. J Orthop Res. 2012;30:1682–9.

13. Cook BD, Ferrari G, Pintucci G, Mignatti P. Tgf-beta1 induces rearrangement of flk-1-cadherin-beta-catenin complex at the adherens junction through vegf-mediated signaling. J Cell Biochem. 2008;105:1367–73.

14. Ferrara N. Vascular endothelial growth factor: basic science and clinical progress. Endocr Rev. 2004;25:581–611.

15. Sproul EP, Argraves WS. A cytokine axis regulates elastin elastase and degradation. Matrix Biol. 2013;32:86–94.

16. Pardali K, Moustakas A. Actions of tgf-β as tumor suppressor and pro-metastatic factor in human cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer. 2007;1775:21–62.

17. Harper SC, Brack A, MacDonnell S, Franti M, Olwin BB, Bailey BA, et al. Is growth differentiation factor 11 a realistic therapeutic for aging-dependent muscle defects? Circ Res. 2016;118:1143–50 (discussion 1150).

18. Zhang Y, Wei Y, Liu D, Liu F, Li X, Pan L, et al. Role of growth differentiation factor 11 in development, physiology and disease. Oncotarget. 2017;8:81604–16.

19. Katsimpardi L, Litterman NK, Schein PA, Miller CM, Loffredo FS, Wojtkiewicz GR, et al. Vascular and neurogenic rejuvenation of the aging mouse brain by young systemic factors. Science. 2014;344:630–4.

20. Rochette L, Zeller M, Cottin Y, Vergely C. Growth and differentiation factor 11 (gdf11): functions in the regulation of erythropoiesis and cardiac regeneration. Pharmacol Ther. 2015;156:26–33.

21. Loffredo FS, Steinhauser ML, Jay SM, Gannon J, Pancoast JR, Yang X, et al. Growth differentiation factor 11 is a circulating factor that reverses age-related cardiac hypertrophy. Cell. 2013;153:828–39.

22. Sinha M, Jang YC, Oh J, Khong D, Wu EY, Manohar R, et al. Altering systemic gdf11 levels reverses age-related dysfunction in mouse skeletal muscle. Science. 2014;344:649–52.

23. Zhang C, Lin Y, Liu Q, He J, Xiang P, Wang D, et al. Growth differentiation factor 11 promotes differentiation of mscs into endothelial like cells for angiogenesis. J Cell Mol Med. 2020;24:7803–17.

24. Zhao Y, Zhu J, Zhang N, Liu Q, Wang Y, et al. Gdf11 enhances therapeutic efficacy of mesenchymal stem cells for myocardial infarction via yme1l-mediated opa1 processing. Stem Cells Transl Med. 2020;9:1257–71.

25. Eslaminejad MB, Nadir S, Mushtaq M. Mesenchymal stem cell isolated and expanded in low and high density culture system: stem surface antigen expression and osteogenic culture mineralization. Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim. 2009;45:451–9.