The biology and clinical potential of circulating tumor cells
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Background. Tumor cells can shed from the tumor, enter the circulation and travel to distant organs, where they can seed metastases. These cells are called circulating tumor cells (CTCs). The ability of CTCs to populate distant tissues and organs has led us to believe they are the primary cause of cancer metastasis. The biological properties and interaction of CTCs with other cell types during intravasation, circulation in the bloodstream, extravasation and colonization are multifaceted and include changes of CTC phenotypes that are regulated by many signaling molecules, including cytokines and chemokines. Considering a sample is readily accessible by a simple blood draw, monitoring CTC levels in the blood has exceptional implications in oncology field. A method called the liquid biopsy allows the extraction of not only CTC, but also CTC products, such as cell free DNA (cfDNA), cell free RNA (cfRNA), microRNA (miRNA) and exosomes.

Conclusions. The clinical utility of CTCs and their products is increasing with advances in liquid biopsy technology. Clinical applications of liquid biopsy to detect CTCs and their products are numerous and could be used for screening of the presence of the cancer in the general population, as well as for prognostic and predictive biomarkers in cancer patients. With the development of better CTC isolation technologies and clinical testing in large prospective trials, increasing clinical utility of CTCs can be expected. The understanding of their biology and interactions with other cell types, particularly with those of the immune system and the rise of immunotherapy also hold great promise for novel therapeutic possibilities.
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Introduction

The pathologist Thomas Ashworth first described tumor cells found in the circulation of a deceased patient in 1869. By comparing these cells to cells extracted from the patient’s various malignant masses he considered a mutual origin of cancer in the patient.¹ We now know tumor cells can shed from the tumor and enter the circulation and travel to distant organs, where they can seed metastases. These cells are called circulating tumor cells (CTCs). CTCs can also enter the bone marrow and stay in a dormant state for different length of time. These cells are called disseminated tumor cells (DTCs).² The ability of CTCs to populate distant tissues and organs has led us to believe they are the primary cause of cancer metastasis. Considering a sample is readily accessible by a simple blood draw, monitoring CTC levels in the blood has exceptional implications for the treatment of cancer patients. However, due to small numbers and short half-life of CTCs in the blood, the detection and identification remains a big diagnostic challenge. Additionally, CTCs experience constant genotypic and phenotypic changes, which make their identification even more challenging. Understanding
CTC biology, tumor heterogeneity and metastatic spread on the one hand and improvement of detection methods and evaluation of prognostic and predictive value of CTCs on the other hand are one of the main objectives of cancer research as demonstrated by the enormous amounts of published literature in the recent years.

**CTC biology**

CTCs represent an intermediate step of the metastatic cascade. A tumor cell first leaves the primary or metastatic tumor site, invades into a blood or lymphatic vessel and circulates in the bloodstream before successfully forming a new tumor at a distant organ site. As determined in rat tumor model, millions of tumor cells break out of the primary tumor each day. However, the clearance of CTCs from the blood is fast as only a few of them can survive in the bloodstream due to a combination of physical stress (shear forces), anoikis (a form of cell death that occurs in anchorage-dependent cells when they detach from the surrounding extracellular matrix), immune surveillance and the lack of growth factors. The number of CTCs in the bloodstream is extremely small (1 to 10 cells per 10 ml of blood). They can be found in the form of individual cells or cell clusters. Aceto et al. have demonstrated that breast cancer CTC clusters arise from oligoclonal tumor cell groupings held together through plakoglobin-dependent intercellular adhesions and not from intravascular aggregation of tumor cells. Similar polyclonal collective dissemination of keratin 14-expressing tumor cell clusters was observed in breast cancer mouse tumor model. It has also been demonstrated that cell clusters have 23 to 50-fold increased metastatic potential compared to individual CTCs, which could be mediated through increased viability of cancer cells within a cluster. CTC clusters can extravasate faster, therefore they have a shorter half-life in the circulation as individual CTCs (6–10 min for clusters vs. 25–30 min for single cells) that also aids in their survival and outgrowth. Due to emerging evidence on the importance of CTC clusters in metastatic cascade, molecular mechanisms of cell cluster formation and migration are being investigated. The study of Giampiery et al. demonstrated that transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) signaling is involved in determination of the motile state of breast cancer cells. Two distinct modes of motility were observed: collective and as single cells. TGFβ1 switched cells from collective to single cell motility through a transcriptional program involving a Smad family co- mediator protein (Smad4), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), neural precursor cell expressed developmentally down-regulated protein 9 (Nedd9), myosin phosphatase Rho-interacting protein (M-RIP) and Ras homolog gene family, member C (RhoC). When TGFβ signaling was blocked, only collective migration was observed. These findings are important in the scope of targeted therapy, as signaling pathways that contribute to the formation and migration of CTC clusters could be targeted.

**Epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity of CTCs**

In the early stages of the metastatic cascade, epithelial cells lose their apical-basal orientation, cell-to-cell junctions and cell-to-matrix interactions, gaining the ability to separate from the primary tumor in the process of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), which is a fundamental physiological phenomenon that occurs during embryogenesis and wound healing. Factors that trigger EMT can be extracellular factors such as TGFβ, epidermal growth factor (EGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), insulin-like growth factor (IGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), Notch and homologous wingless (wg) and Int-1 (Wnt) protein family and others, as well as mechanical factors such as extracellular matrix density. These extracellular factors usually activate the transcription factors Twist family BHLH transcription factor 1 (TWIST1), Zinc finger protein SNAI1 (SNAIL), Zinc finger e-box binding homeobox 1 (ZEB1), Zinc finger e-box-binding homeobox 2 (ZEB2) (SIP1) and others. During EMT, the cell loses its epithelial markers (such as E-cadherin, epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM), cytokeratins and others) and obtains mesenchymal markers (such as vimentin and N-cadherin). The newly obtained mesenchymal phenotype allows the cells to migrate and invade through the basement membrane into the blood vessels. After extravasation, cells circulate in the bloodstream as CTCs, until they exit the vessel at a distant site to seed micro metastases. In order to successfully seed and form a secondary tumor, the cells must regain their epithelial phenotype, hence they undergo a reverse process of EMT - mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET). EMT and MET thus enable tumor cells of epithelial origin to disseminate and colonize distant organs. Therefore, CTCs show high level of epithelial-mesenchymal plastic-
Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) can enter the blood vessel via active intravasation involving epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) or by passive shedding due to compromised tumor vasculature. CTCs can exist in different phenotypes—epithelial, mesenchymal, or both—hybrid epithelial/mesenchymal phenotype (hybrid E/M). CTCs can be found in the form of individual cells or cell clusters; the latter show increased metastatic potential compared to individual CTCs. Platelet-CTC interaction in the blood vessel acts as a shield against the shear stress of blood flow, immune attack, and also enables the adhesion to the blood vessel wall and extravasation. After the arrest of CTCs in the bone marrow or distant organ, they can extravasate and remain in the target tissue in the form of disseminated tumor cell (DTC).

CTCs in the circulation

Tumor cells can enter the circulation through a blood or lymphatic vessel, depending on a number of factors including their accessibility, physical restrictions, and active mechanisms for attracting cells to specific types of vasculature. Lymphatic intravasation is also a pathway by which tumor cells can enter the blood vessels, since lymph vessels eventually drain into the blood in the major thoracic duct. However, there is little evidence that lymphatic vessels do indeed enable further passage of significant numbers of cancer cells to the bloodstream, which indicates lymph vessel deposits are probably simply dead ends for cancer cells and may reveal the extent of parallel, concomitant dissemination from the primary tumor. On the other hand, direct hematogenous transport is likely the main route of distant metastatic colonization. Along the way, lymphatic fluid is filtered through a series of lymph nodes, which are often the first sites of metastasis. Intravasation into a blood vessel can be an active or passive event, which depends on the tumor type, tumor microenvironment, and blood vessel integrity. Active intravasation includes the invasion of tumor cells or cell clusters with increased migratory potential ac-
quired through EMT into the blood vessel, whereas individual cells or cell clusters can also be shed passively into the blood stream due to compromised tumor vasculature (Figure 1). Once in the circulation, CTCs are exposed to the shear stress of blood flow, which together with anoikis and immune surveillance may be enough to destroy a large proportion of CTCs entering into the bloodstream. It has also been demonstrated that CTCs in the blood stream have a short half-life; in patients with localized prostate cancer who had detectable CTCs, most of them no longer have evident CTCs at 24 hours following surgical resection of the primary tumor. However, some of the CTCs are capable of surviving the journey through circulation and forming distant metastasis. There is increasing evidence that interaction of CTCs with other blood components is crucial for their survival and metastatic potential. The most studied is indeed the interaction of CTCs with platelets, Platelet-CTC interactions may occur soon after the entry of CTCs into the circulation. One of the first interactions is the formation of platelet-rich thrombi around tumor cells, which is triggered by the platelet tissue factor (factor III/CD142) expressed by the tumor cells. This interaction acts as a shield against the shear stress of blood flow, immune attack and also enables the adhesion to the blood vessel wall and extravasation (Figure 1). It has been demonstrated that activated platelets can transfer the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) to CTCs, which enables the CTCs to mimic host cells and escape from immune surveillance. Furthermore, platelets can prevent tumor cell recognition and lysis by natural killer cells (NK cells). It has also been demonstrated that platelets can promote EMT of tumor cells within the circulation via the release of TGFβ, also enhancing metastatic potential of CTCs. CTCs may also interact with various types of leucocytes; neutrophils, monocytes and macrophages, which could promote CTC survival and promote the interaction of CTCs with endothelial cells and extravasation.

Interaction of CTCs with immune cells in the bloodstream

In tumor progression, tumors eventually go through the immunoediting process, which enables the tumor to establish an immunosuppressive microenvironment and escape from the immune surveillance. In the escape phase, tumor cells evade immune recognition through different mechanisms, including immune suppression mediated by immunosuppressive cells (regulatory T cells - Tregs) and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), reduction of immune recognition by down-regulation of antigen processing machinery affecting the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) I pathway, release of immune suppressive mediators (cytokines such as TGFβ, vascular endothelial growth factor [VEGF] and expression of immunoregulatory molecules such as indoleamine-pyrrole 2,3-dioxygenase [IDO], programmed cell death protein 1 [PD-1] / programmed death ligand 1 [PD-L1], T cell Ig domain and mucin domain 3 [Tim-3] / galectin-9, lymphocyte-activation gene 3 [LAG-3]). Once the tumor cell leaves the immunosuppressive microenvironment of the primary tumor and enters the bloodstream, it interacts with several different types of immune cells, which can destroy the CTC in the bloodstream before its extravasation at distant site. On the other hand, interaction of tumors cells with immune cells can also promote tumor progression with the generation of hospitable microenvironment for metastatic growth or by maintaining CTC viability in the bloodstream and facilitating extravasation. Therefore, immune cells can hinder or favor the dissemination of CTCs.

CTCs interact with the components of the innate immune system in different ways. Natural killer cells (NK cells) in the bloodstream can intercept CTCs and destroy them before extravasation, thus preventing metastasis (Figure 1). Preclinical studies have shown that hosts with high NK cell activity (adult mice) are very resistant to metastasis compared to hosts with low NK cell activity (young mice) and that direct perforin-dependent killing by NK cells is more effective than indirect killing with apoptosis-inducing factors. It has also been demonstrated in metastatic breast, colorectal, and prostate cancer patients that NK cell cytotoxic activity was decreased in patients with a relatively high number of CTCs in peripheral blood compared to patients with a relatively low number of CTCs. Therefore, the increase of NK cell cytotoxic activity should be considered in future research as a treatment option in patients with relatively high numbers of CTCs.

There is increasing evidence that CTCs in the bloodstream can also associate with neutrophils. It has recently been demonstrated that CTCs in breast cancer patients were frequently associated with neutrophils and that this association drives cell cycle progression within the bloodstream.
and expands the metastatic potential of CTCs. Neutrophils seem to mediate adhesion of cancer cells and facilitate their extravasation (Figure 1), as demonstrated by several in vivo studies showing CTC interaction with endothelium-bound neutrophils in the vascular network and their promotion of adhesive and migratory activity through different molecular targets.

Two subpopulations, classical and non-classical monocytes are also found in the circulation. Whereas classical monocytes can extravasate and differentiate into macrophages with protumour and prometastatic functions, non-classical monocytes display a protective role against metastasis. They accumulate in the capillaries in response to chemokines and clear cellular debris. A preclinical study on mouse tumor models has demonstrated that after tumor cells injection, non-classical monocytes were recruited to premetastatic lung capillaries in response to chemokine CX3CL1, where they engulfed tumor material and secreted CCL3, CCL4 and CCL5, leading to the activation of NK cells.

CTCs also interact with the adaptive arm of the immune system. However, our current knowledge concerning the function of lymphocytes in immune surveillance of CTCs is very limited. It was shown that in patients with metastatic breast cancer low circulating lymphocyte levels and high CTC levels were found to be independent predictive factors of poor diagnosis, progression-free survival and overall survival. Similarly, low percentage of lymphocytes were found in patients with inflammatory breast cancer and advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), which could contribute to immune evasion. Several studies in patients with different types of cancer have also shown that CTCs frequently express PD-L1, one of the mechanisms responsible for CTC escape from immune surveillance. Further studies are needed in this field, however, monitoring of PD-L1 expression in CTCs could be used in the future as a prognostic biomarker or as predictive biomarker for checkpoint inhibitor-based immunotherapy.

Extravasation and colonization of distant tissues

In contrast to the short half-life of CTCs in the blood, the metastatic process takes months and years. Cancer cells spread throughout the body and leave the circulation at potential secondary tumor sites in a process called extravasation. Extravasation requires tumor cells to traverse the endothelial wall in the process of transendothelial migration. The ability of CTCs to extravasate can be influenced by several factors, such as monocytes, which may differentiate into metastasis-associated macrophages, or platelets which release ATP and increase the permeability of the capillary walls. Extravasation of CTCs takes place in small capillaries with a diameter similar to that of the CTC. In this manner, the CTCs are trapped in the vessel. The first step of extravasation thus appears to be the stopping and physical restriction of a CTC in the vessel and subsequent attachment to the endothelium. Adhesion to the endothelium requires the expression of ligands and receptors on cancer cells and endothelial cells, such as selectins, integrins, cadherins, antigen CD44 and immunoglobulin superfamily receptors. The cancer cells or cancer cell-related leukocytes release cytokines that promote E-selectin expression on the endothelial cell surface. A CTC then binds to an E-selectin molecule on the endothelium. Different tumor types exhibit different metastatic patterns, a phenomenon termed tissue tropism. These patterns are largely dependent on the vasculature of the secondary organ and the chemokines and their receptors expressed between the target endothelium and the cancer cells. In addition to E-selectin expression on endothelial cell surface, chemokines also play an important role in CTC and endothelial interaction. Chemokines are released by the target tissue to attract tumor cells. The role of the chemokine C-X-C motif 12 ligand (CXCL12), also called stromal-derived factor-1α (SDF-1α), has been extensively investigated. The ligand is produced by stromal cells. It then binds to its receptors C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR 4) and C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 7 (CXCR 7) on cancer cells. In vitro stimulation by the CXCL12 increased interactions of pancreatic and prostate cancer cells with the endothelium and the subsequent trans-endothelial migration.

The attachment of a cancer cell to the E-selectin molecule is followed by interactions via integrins, CD44 antigen and mucin 1 (MUC1), contributing to a more stable attachment to the endothelial cell. This is followed by transendothelial migration, which can take place paracellularly or transcellularly. In vitro, most cells use the paracellular route, in which the opening of tight endothelial cell-junctions is initiated by factors released by either the tumor or the immune cells, such as TGFβ and VEGF; however, it is not known which the preferred route is in vivo. The subsequent crossing of the tumor cell through the basal lamina is
the final step in the process of extravasation. If not eliminated, the newly extravasated cells can then enter a state of dormancy or proliferate in this new microenvironment to form metastases. The vast majority of tumor cells undergo cell death after extravasation.54

Similarly to primary tumors, newly formed micrometastases depend on stromal support to survive.64 The transition of tumor cells from dormant state to proliferation can be provoked by changes in the tumor microenvironment, such as angiogenesis.57 Another factor might be the induction of inflammation, as described by the De Cock et al.65 In 2017, a Chinese study similarly reported that CTC-mediated systemic inflammation and neutrophil recruitment to pre-metastatic tissues is the mechanism of metastatic colonization by the CTCs.66 This was demonstrated in vitro using an anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin 37 (IL-37) to deplete neutrophils, suppress inflammatory response and thus the promoting effect of CTCs on tumor metastasis.66 The mechanism is based on the assumption of functional plasticity of neutrophils in the tumor microenvironment. Depending on environmental factors, neutrophils can switch between anti-tumor and pro-tumor phenotypes.67 IL-37 was able to suppress CTC-induced conversion of neutrophil function to pro-tumor phenotype. These findings suggest anti-inflammatory therapy could be used when higher CTC count is detected.66

In addition, the support of the extracellular matrix (ECM) may also aid in metastatic colonization. Specific ECM components associated with colonization of the lung in breast cancer have been identified.68,69 Hypoxia and fibrosis have also been linked to metastasis.19,70 Interestingly, suitable microenvironment may start to develop prior to extravasation of tumor cells as a result of systemic effects of the primary tumor.19 An observation by Costa-Silva et al. describes exosomes derived from tumor cells carrying DNA, mRNA, miRNA and proteins which prime the liver for metastasis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.71 These particles have become the focus of recent studies and may cause a broad spectrum of actions, such as immunosuppression and/or induction of angiogenesis, inflammation, extracellular remodeling, and metabolic reprogramming.

Apart from the above described factors, the survival of a cancer cell in this microenvironment is also dependent on its genetic profile. Some of the genes associated with increased survival of cancer cells in various secondary tissues have been identified. A study by Zhang et al. identified a population of breast cancer cells that do not express the EpCAM cell surface antigen, but do express human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), EGFR, heparanase (HSPE) and Notch 1, and selectively metastasize to the brain.72 Genes that mediate metastases to the lung and bone have also been identified.73,74

Liquid biopsy and CTC detection

Distant metastases are the main cause of cancer-related mortality. Following primary tumor removal, DTC and micrometastases can remain dormant for long periods of time before causing disease relapse and are thus termed minimal residual disease (MRD).75 DTCs cannot be detected by radiologic imaging. However, they can be studied by performing biopsies of their reservoirs. The bone marrow is considered to be the primary indicator of MRD and poor outcome.26 It can be accessed by an iliac crest biopsy. Because bone marrow biopsy is a highly invasive procedure, current research is focusing on clinical utility of CTCs in the blood.77 A method called the liquid biopsy allows the extraction and testing of blood for tumor cell presence, and is able to detect CTC, cell free DNA (cfDNA), cell free RNA (cfRNA), microRNA (miRNA) and exosomes.

Detection of CTCs in a blood sample is challenging as these cells are present in very small numbers and are surrounded by billions of other blood cells (1 CTC per 10^7 of leukocytes per ml of blood).78 Highly sensitive and specific analytical methods are required, which can be achieved by using capture, enrichment and detection procedures.79 Most isolation devices combine capture and enrichment procedures and may also include detection and enumeration technology. Capture procedures aim to overcome the low specificity of a regular blood draw by increasing its yield. The GILUPI nanodetector© detects and captures CTC in the blood in vitro. The detector is located on a steel wire and is covered by chimeric anti-EpCAM antibodies. The device is inserted through a standard venous cannula into a peripheral vein for 30 minutes. During this time, the 2 cm functional area of the detector will come into contact with up to 1.5 litres of blood, enabling contact with a significantly larger amount of CTCs than during a regular blood draw. After removal, CTCs can be identified via immunocytochemical staining and counted. This protocol has been tested in patients with breast cancer and non-
small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with no adverse effects.80

Enrichment procedures aim to increase the percentage of CTCs in the sample. They are based on various properties of CTCs that distinguish them from the surrounding normal hematopoietic cells. These properties are either physical (size, density, electric charge, deformability) or biological (cell-surface protein expression and viability). Physical properties of the CTCs are the functional basis of membrane filters (size), microfluidic systems (deformability), density gradient centrifugation (density), and dielectrophoresis (electric charge). Biological properties are exploited by the immuno-bead assays, which use antibodies against tumor or non-tumor associated antigens, and micro-devices.81 Isolation of CTCs based on their physical properties has certain advantages. They do not rely on biomarker expression, thus the isolated cells are viable, intact and can be used for further in vitro characterization and experiments. Enrichment time is short, and the cost of the procedure is low because ligands for CTC capture are not required. On the other hand, the limitation of these technologies is their low specificity, which requires downstream procedures for purity analyses.79 Among these physical methods, size-based isolation of CTCs takes advantage of their increased size (12–25 μm) compared to leukocytes (5–20 μm).82 Size-based isolation of CTCs includes membrane microfilters and size-based microfluidic CTC sorting devices.83 Isolation by size of epithelial tumor cells (ISET) technology uses polycarbonate microfilters of 8 μm diameter pores for CTC enrichment.84 The CTC sorting system Parsortix© is designed as a channel with stepped obstacles that progressively decrease as the cells in suspension flow through it. Both size and deformability contribute to successful CTC isolation. 10-μm was set as cut-off size for cancer cells isolation. The main advantage of the device is high capture purity and isolation of viable cancer cells.85 Density gradient centrifugation for separation of different cell types was observed by S.H. Seal in 1959.86 From top to bottom, centrifugation yields the following layers: erythrocytes, granulocytes, density gradient, buffy coat with mononuclear cells and CTCs, and plasma. AccuCyte© is an advanced density-gradient separation technology combining a separation tube and a collector device, which allows buffy coat collection into a small volume. The collected layer can be applied to a microscopic slide without cell lysis or wash steps with possible loss of CTC.87 Another recently validated technology is a microfluidic chip that is able to focus and capture CTCs with high cell yield and without the need for further purification. In the course of the validation study, single CTCs, CTC clusters and tumor microemboli (observed as multicellular tumour aggregates of CTC and white blood cells) were identified in patients with head and neck cancer.88

Biological property that is the most widely used for enrichment of CTCs is based on positive immunoselection. Specific cell-surface antigen expression in CTCs from epithelial carcinomas versus leukocytes allows for positive selection of CTCs. Specific antibodies are added to a blood sample to mark tumor cell-specific cell-surface markers, most commonly the EpCAM, which is a transmembrane glycoprotein expressed by the majority of tumors of epithelial origin. Other positive selection antibodies include anti HER2 and anti EGFR. On the other hand, a negative immunoselection of leukocytes based on their biological characteristics can also lead to enrichment of CTCs. The most commonly used negative selection target is the leukocyte antigen CD45.81,89 The enrichment step should be followed by tumor cell detection and verification. In most methods, this step includes immunofluorescence staining and high-resolution imaging. A certain amount of leukocytes is still present in the enriched fraction and single-cell level identification is required. Most of the established CTC assays use cytokeratin (CK), CD45 and nuclear dye 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining. Fluorescence microscopy should identify stained CTCs as CK positive, CD45 negative and DAPI positive.81 An alternative to immunocytochemical staining are real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assays based on the detection of mRNA expression.90,91 CellSearch© is an established immunomagnetic CTC isolation assay, which uses magnetic beads covered with anti-EpCAM antibodies for positive selection. CTC separation and magnetic bead washing is followed by secondary selection based on morphology, CK staining and CD45 antigen expression. The CellSearch© is the only CTC isolation assay to have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a prognostic tool in the management of breast cancer, prostate cancer and colorectal cancer patients.92

An important concern is the fact that most CTC isolation technologies are based on epithelial cell-surface marker detection, because mesenchymal markers are expressed in white blood cells. In this way, a potentially crucial CTC population which expresses mesenchymal markers and is related to a more aggressive disease course could be over-
looked. Detection protocols should be improved in order to optimize selection based on a combination of EMT and cancer cell markers.

**Clinical applications**

Clinical oncology has always faced limitations due to disease heterogeneity. The diagnostics of a tumor biopsy sample is deficient in case of gross tumor heterogeneity and the therapy prescribed might not be the most suitable option for the patient. Different tumor subpopulations, including distant metastases, can express various molecular targets, resulting in poor response to therapy and contributing to the development of drug resistance. These challenges could be solved by regular monitoring of CTCs and their products in the circulation by performing a liquid biopsy, thus allowing disease course surveillance, detect emerging drug resistance, recognition of new molecular targets and defining disease status in real time. CTCs contribute greatly to the metastatic process and are as such a promising target for early cancer detection, prognosis-oriented testing as well as personalized cancer therapy (Figure 2). The following text summarizes the aspects of CTC utility in clinical medicine.

**Screening**

Studies that investigate screening usually start by comparing patients with cancer with controls (healthy individuals or patients with benign diseases). Subsequent cohort studies are cumbersome and require large study populations and extended follow-up times. Focusing on screening of patients with high risk of developing cancer is a good strategy to speed up the clinical validation process.

In 2004, a milestone study showed circulating epithelial cells to be extremely rare in healthy women, never reaching more than 2 cells per 7.5 ml of blood. However, higher circulating epithelial cell levels were observed in patients with benign inflammatory bowel disease, such as diverticulosis and Crohn’s disease, and in patients with elevated prostate specific antigen (PSA) values. The utility of CTCs for screening is therefore limited by its low sensitivity and specificity. But there are studies in selected populations where CTC screening might be applicable. In patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), a major risk factor for lung cancer, CTCs were detected 1–4 years earlier than radiologic signs of malignancy could be found on a computed tomography scan.

Interestingly, CTCs detected in patients with COPD had a heterogeneous expression of epithelial and mesenchymal markers. These preliminary findings need to be validated in larger cohorts, and sources that may lead to unspecific findings in non-cancer patients, such as the release of epithelial cells into the blood of patients with inflammatory bowel diseases, need to be identified. On the other hand, CTC values over 25 cells per 7.5 ml of blood could be used to distinguish lung carcinoma from benign lesions in patients with suspicious radiological findings, as demonstrated by a study using size-based isolation on ScreenCell Cyto filtration device and subsequent immunohistochemistry.

**Prognostic value**

Numerous studies have thus far demonstrated the prognostic significance of CTC in patients with various types of solid tumors, most notably breast cancer. Interestingly, the 2010 TNM classification of malignant tumors already included a new stage called cM 0 (i+), with “i+” referring to isolated tumor cells detection in blood, bone marrow, and lymph nodes. In clinical practice however, this classification stage is rarely used, most notably due to low CTC counts in M 0 patients, which has raised doubts about their utility as a reliable marker. Nevertheless, there is increasing evidence that the determination of CTC counts before or after initial surgery in M 0 patients is a reliable indicator of an unfavorable prognosis.
Patients with detectable CTCs are known to have shorter overall survival (OS) and progression free survival (PFS).\textsuperscript{101-107} CTCs can also help evaluate MRD and select patients who are more at risk for relapse.\textsuperscript{108,109} Patients with high CTC levels during chemotherapy have significantly shorter survival. Metastatic patients with high baseline CTC counts that decrease after one cycle of chemotherapy have better prognosis.\textsuperscript{104,106,110-112}

Additional prognostic information can be obtained from morphological properties of CTCs. Patients with apoptotic CTCs or CTC clusters in blood samples after systemic therapy initiation had worse prognosis in terms of PFS and OS than patients with CTCs without these properties, indicating morphological characterization of CTCs could be an important prognostic marker during therapy in patients with \textgtr{}= 5 CTC per 7.5 ml blood.\textsuperscript{113}

**Predictive value**

CTCs are a heterogeneous group of cells subjected to dynamic changes. The population of CTCs is rapidly being replaced by new circulating cells from different cell reservoirs, be it primary tumor or metastatic sites, thus mirroring the changes in systemic disease in real time. The main objectives of studies assessing the clinical applications of CTCs are: their possible role as a surrogate tumor sample for liquid biopsy; changes in CTC counts and properties during therapy as an indicator of response to therapy, and targeting CTC- and metastasis-related features.\textsuperscript{89}

CTCs as surrogate material for biopsy can help determine the risk of relapse and guide adjuvant therapy. Despite the fact, that many localized cancers can be cured by surgery only, some patients still experience late relapse. This is hypothesized to be the result of the presence of MRD of different carcinomas, which has no sensitive and specific enough biomarker. A study in colon cancer patients demonstrated that 72.5\% of CTC-positive patients experienced relapse after surgery compared to 12\% of patients with no detected CTCs. Using CTC presence in the bloodstream, patients with MRD could be identified and given adjuvant chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{114,115} An intriguing study from 2018 investigated the benefit of radiotherapy in early stage breast cancer patients who underwent breast conserving surgery based on their CTC status. They found longer overall survival in patients with detectable CTCs that received radiotherapy. Radiotherapy did not influence overall survival in patients with no detectable CTCs. This is the first identified predictive factor for the therapeutic benefit of radiotherapy.\textsuperscript{116} Liquid biopsy can also be used to monitor patients during therapy as a surrogate marker for response to therapy, as confirmed by studies in prostate and breast cancer patients.\textsuperscript{117-119} CTC levels can also be used to monitor response to anti-tumor vaccines and immunotherapy.\textsuperscript{120,121} Molecular targets expressed by CTCs are not necessarily the same than those expressed by the primary tumor and could be used to guide targeted therapy. Evaluation of HER2, estrogen receptor (ER) and progesteron receptor (PR) status on CTCs in breast cancer patients has been extensively studied, but no standardized method or consensus on positivity criteria has been provided.\textsuperscript{122}

In current clinical practice, the expression of these markers on primary tumor tissue/based on primary tumor biopsy is used to guide treatment and prognosis, which is why a CTC-guided approach would have great clinical utility.\textsuperscript{123} Agreement of ER and PR expression on CTCs and the corresponding primary tumor ranges from 40 to 70\%.\textsuperscript{122,124-127} A study of HER2 expression on CTCs in early breast cancer patients also showed frequent discordance between the HER2 expression of CTCs and that of the primary tumor.\textsuperscript{128} Administration of trastuzumab was able to eliminate chemotherapy-resistant CK19 mRNA-positive CTCs and prolong disease-free survival (DFS) compared to observation in a breast cancer population with HER2 negative primary tumors and detectable CK19 mRNA-positive CTCs before and after adjuvant therapy, of who 89\% had HER2-positive CTCs.\textsuperscript{129}

A recent study found PD-L1 is evaluable in CTCs of patients with NSCLC and head and neck cancer, while it is predictive of poorer outcomes only in head and neck cancer.\textsuperscript{130} Another recent study reported PD-L1 expression only in CTCs in contrast to primary tumor tissue samples.\textsuperscript{52} On the other hand, androgen receptor variant 7 (AR-V7) expression on CTCs in metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer patients was identified as a biomarker for superior clinical outcomes on taxane therapy, indicating it may serve as a treatment selection (predictive) biomarker.\textsuperscript{131} Other studied biomarkers include EGFR and CD133, which is a potential chemoresistance marker.\textsuperscript{132,133} Further multicenter clinical trials are needed to define the role of expression of different targets on CTCs for patient selection.

In 2013, patient-derived CTC cultures were first established\textsuperscript{134}, though implementation of the procedure into regular clinical practice has proven to be difficult due to the low efficiency of available
detection methods as well as prolonged periods needed to establish cell lines. CTC-based patient-derived xenografts represent an innovation in cancer research with the potential to guide therapy or pre-clinical drug testing and biomarker identification. CTCs are isolated from the patient’s blood and injected into immunocompromised animals. Subsequently generated xenografts can be used for functional testing or as a biopptic sample for molecular characterization. This experimental model has been successfully tested in prostate cancer, small cell lung cancer and breast cancer patients and represents another important step towards personalized medicine.

Clinical applications in specific cancer types

In breast cancer, the presence of 5 or more CTCs per 7.5 ml of blood has been associated with a reduction in progression free survival, overall survival, as well as with higher disease progression and mortality of metastatic breast cancer patients. Moreover, in metastatic breast cancer patients, evidence suggests that CTC detection can be an earlier indicator of disease change compared to radiologic changes. Nevertheless, in metastatic breast cancer patients, detection of CTCs appears to be metastatic site dependent, with a positive correlation with brain metastases and an inverse correlation with bone metastases. In spite of the prognostic value of CTC detection, switching to an alternate chemotherapy has not shown to prolong survival. Underestimation of CTC count is probably the result of epithelial cell marker loss after EMT, which is believed to be paramount for cell dissemination and chemotherpay resistance. In HER2-positive patients treated with HER2-targeting therapy, detected levels of CTCs seem to have no prognostic value. In triple-negative metastatic breast cancer patients on the other hand, the CTC levels have been reported to carry a significant negative prognostic value.

In non-metastatic breast cancer, clinical utility of CTC detection remains a topic of discussion, as the detected values and their incidence are lower than in metastatic breast cancer cases. Still, a pooled analyses showed strong evidence in favor of the independent prognostic value of CTC detection in estimating disease-free, overall, breast cancer-specific, and distant disease-free survival. Moreover, in non-metastatic breast cancer, positive CTC results before treatment were related to lymph node metastasis, and were shown to have a significant prognostic impact on disease-free and overall survival. The fact that the detected presence of CTCs does not correlate with any established clinico-pathologic parameters demonstrates the value of additional independent information provided by the method. CTC detection also shows promise in neoadjuvant therapy and provides a rapid way to assess the therapeutic efficacy. CTC detection before neoadjuvant setting carry an independent prognostic value for a reduced disease-free and overall survival, while not being associated with pathologic complete response, again demonstrating the method’s independent prognostic value. Current research is focused also on investigating possible therapeutic targets on CTCs.

Multiple large phase II and III trials have established the prognostic value of CTC in advanced prostate cancer, most notably metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. The value of ≥ 5 CTCs per 7.5 ml of blood demonstrates a cutoff point with a significantly altered overall survival in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer patients. Measured prior to, during and following cytotoxic therapy in castration-resistant prostate cancer, CTC detection has been shown to be the strongest independent predictor of overall survival. A conversion from unfavorable to favorable CTC baseline concentrations (or vice versa) during chemotherapy, reflects disease outcome. The method of CTC detection has even been shown superior to PSA measurements, both in the rapidity of detectable change as well as reliability, and has consequently been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for prostate cancer therapy monitoring in 2008. In addition, it is recommended that therapy should not be changed based on PSA values alone. Fixed cutoff values simplify therapeutic decision-making. Nevertheless, strong evidence exists in favor of the assumption that patient survival is independent of a specific CTC concentration threshold. Also, treatment should be continued regardless of the cutoff value of ≥ 5 CTCs per 7.5 ml of blood, as long as CTC levels remain stable or decrease under therapy. Similarly, an increase in CTC concentrations may indicate primary treatment resistance and warrant therapy switch. In estimation of treatment effectiveness, CTC detection coupled with lactate dehydrogenase measurements has been shown superior to baseline serum lactate dehydrogenase measurements alone. While CTC isolation has been extensively studied in castration
resistant prostate cancer, relevance in other disease stages such as hormone sensitive or early prostate cancer are still scarce.\textsuperscript{156} Patients in these disease stages present with infrequent and low CTC counts (1–2 on average) show no correlation with other established clinicopathologic parameters (Gleason grade, PSA, TNM staging).\textsuperscript{97,173-175} In hormone sensitive metastatic prostate cancer, cutoff values of ≥ 5 CTCs per 7.5 ml of blood\textsuperscript{176,177} have been shown to anticipate lower hormone deprivation responsiveness and shortened transition times to castration-resistant prostate cancer, associated with shorter progression free survival and overall survival.\textsuperscript{178} This could indicate the aggressiveness of the disease and resultantly warrant closer monitoring and earlier treatment switches.\textsuperscript{156}

In non-metastatic urinary carcinoma of the bladder, detection of CTCs correlates positively with worse progression free survival, overall survival, cancer-specific survival\textsuperscript{179} as well as recurrence-free survival\textsuperscript{180}, and is an independent prognostic factor for early systemic disease\textsuperscript{180}, both in cases of pure and variant urinary carcinoma of the bladder.\textsuperscript{181} Moreover, a comparison between CTC and primary tumor HER2 status showed dissimilarities in 23% of cases, pointing out a possible benefit of HER2-targeting therapy in selected patients.\textsuperscript{180}

Presently, the presence of CTCs in patients with seminomal and non-seminomal testicular germ cell tumors is not well established\textsuperscript{156}, and has been associated with the tumor stage, elevated serum levels of alpha-fetoprotein and beta-human chorionic gonadotropin.\textsuperscript{182}

In spite of frequent EpCAM negativity of renal cell cancer\textsuperscript{183}, one study detected CTCs in 16% of subjects with metastatic renal cell carcinoma.\textsuperscript{184}

The incidence of CTCs in metastatic colorectal cancer has been shown to be higher than in non-metastatic disease, and correlates well with both progression free survival and overall survival, thus demonstrating the prognostic utility of the method.\textsuperscript{185} In newly diagnosed non-metastatic colorectal cancer, a higher presence of CTCs before surgery shows a good correlation with shorter relapse-free survival and cancer specific survival.\textsuperscript{185}

Higher CTC levels following therapy in gastric and esophageal cancer are associated with worse prognosis.\textsuperscript{156}

In pancreatic cancer, the presence of CTCs at baseline is an independent prognostic factor for overall survival\textsuperscript{107}, with higher detection rates in metastatic disease compared to non-metastatic disease.\textsuperscript{156} Moreover, CTC detection in the portal vein has been associated with higher rates of liver metastases after surgery in contrast to detection in peripheral blood.\textsuperscript{186} Compared to other types of carcinoma, pancreatic cancer CTC detection rates are thought to be lower due to CTC sequestration by the liver, decreased vascularity in aggressive tumors and the inability to detect CTCs following EMT.\textsuperscript{187}

As in other tumor types, CTCs in cholangiocarcinoma were detected and correlated with shorter overall survival.\textsuperscript{188}

CTC concentration is approximately ten times higher in small cell lung cancer compared to that in NSCLC.\textsuperscript{156} CTC detection of ≥ 50 CTCs per 7.5 ml of blood in small cell lung cancer patients prior to chemotherapy is associated with a shorter progression free survival and overall survival.\textsuperscript{104} Similar is true for the incidence of metastases to other organs, especially liver.\textsuperscript{189} CTC enumeration has also been used to discriminate between localized (median 6 CTCs/7.5 ml of blood) and extensive (median 63 CTCs/7.5 ml of blood) disease at baseline.\textsuperscript{190} CTC ≥ 5 per 7.5 ml of blood is an independent negative prognostic factor in NSCLC.\textsuperscript{104,156,190}

Decreasing values of detected CTCs in patients undergoing chemotherapy could carry a predictive value for therapy response in cancer of unknown primary origin.\textsuperscript{191}

Several studies investigating the viability of CTC based disease detection and monitoring in gynecologic, head and neck, neuroendocrine tumors and melanomas, have shown poor clinical outcomes in cases of CTC detection.\textsuperscript{186}

Conclusions

The management of a cancer patient is based on radiological evidence and histopathological properties of the primary tumor. Cancer is a constantly evolving disease prone to selection pressure caused by therapy. By relying on the biopsy of the tumor, our insight into the patient’s disease is both space- and time-limited, resulting in insufficient information for proper patient management. The concept of a liquid biopsy enables real-time disease control while being both more accessible and less invasive for the patient. The number of CTCs in the blood is a strong prognostic factor and can be used for monitoring response to therapy. In addition, detection of specific molecular targets on CTCs can improve and guide novel treatment approaches. Isolated CTCs can be used to analyze DNA, RNA or proteins produced by the tumor cell. Furthermore, they can be used to produce patient-derived xen-
ografts for functional testing. On the other hand, CTC detection faces its limitations because of the rarity of these cells compared to the background of billions of normal blood cells. Highly sensitive and specific methods are required for successful isolation and detection. With the development of better CTC isolation technologies and clinical testing in large prospective trials, increasing clinical utility of CTCs can be expected. The understanding of their biology and interactions with the immune system and the rise of immunotherapy also hold great promise for novel therapeutic possibilities.
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