Impact of model resolution on numerical weather prediction
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Abstract
Several model configurations are used in Morocco for numerical weather prediction (NWP). The aim of this work is to verify the impact of resolution on the quality of models forecast, particularly the precipitation field. Three model configurations are tested with 7.5 km, 5 km and 2.5 km resolution. A rainy event over the North-East of Morocco is studied. The impact on models performances is assessed through the comparison of precipitation forecasts with the adjusted quantitative precipitation estimate from weather radar. The results show that the model with 2.5 km resolution gives the best quality precipitation forecast in term of both intensity and localisation.
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1. Introduction
The weather phenomena have a growing impact on human safety and economic activities. Thus, producing good quality forecast is highly useful especially in case of precipitation events. The modelling of the atmosphere is an essential tool for producing weather forecast. A large variety of numerical weather models was developed to simulated atmospheric behaviour in global, regional and local scale. The model forecast accuracy mainly depends on the numerical simulation of the atmospheric processes and on the model resolution. In the present work, the ability of the model to improve precipitation forecast and the add value of finer resolution will be tested through numerical experiments using three limited area models (LAM) configurations. A case study over the North-East of Morocco will be carried. The impact on models performances will be assessed through the comparison of precipitation forecasts with the adjusted quantitative precipitation estimate from radar data.

2. Modeling the atmosphere with ALADIN, ALARO and AROME
The Moroccan Meteorological Administration runs operationally three NWP models [1] that are ALADIN1, AROME2 and ALARO3 (table 1). ALADIN was the first LAM version used in Morocco for regional forecast at a resolution of about 10 km. Later, the two models AROME and ALARO were introduced to satisfy the needs of mesoscale applications. The common code is based on equations built from fundamental conservation laws governing the physical behaviour of the atmosphere. The three models solve the fully compressible Euler Equation System. Additional approximations are used [2]: the atmosphere is considered as a perfect gas, the atmosphere thickness is neglected regarding the Earth radius and the vertical component of Coriolis acceleration is neglected too. The isolate system hypothesis is also applied, thus the atmosphere is considered adiabatic and without friction. Equations 1 to 6 are for the prognostic model variables (three wind components V(u,v), w, temperature T, pressure P and surface hydrostatic pressure πs). The last two equations are for the diagnostic variables (geopotential ϕ and generalized vertical velocity s):

1. Continuity:

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho \pi)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \pi \mathbf{V}) = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \pi s) + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{\pi_s}{s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial s} \right)
\]

s is the generalized vertical coordinate [3].

2. Horizontal motion
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\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -k \times (\xi + f) - \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} - \nabla K - \left( \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} \right) \frac{RT}{p} \ln p
\]

\[ R \text{ is perfect gas constant, } f \text{ is Coriolis parameter, } \]

\[ \xi = k (\nabla \times f) V \text{ and } K = \frac{||V||^2}{2} \]

3. Hydrostatic

\[ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = -\gamma \left( 1 - \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial s} \right) \]

The \( \gamma \) factor is used to distinct the hydrostatic (\( \gamma = 0 \)) and non-hydrostatic (\( \gamma = 1 \)) cases.

4. Temperature

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -V \cdot \nabla T - \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} \frac{RT}{p} \ln p + \frac{C_p}{C_v} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \]

5. Pressure

\[ \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial t} = -V \cdot \nabla \ln p - \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} \frac{RT}{p} \ln p + \frac{C_p}{C_v} \left( V \cdot \nabla + \frac{p}{RT} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} \right) \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} \]

\[ C_p \text{ and } C_v \text{ are latent capacity at constant pressure, volume respectively.} \]

6. Surface hydrostatic pressure

\[ \frac{\partial \pi_s}{\partial t} = -\int_{\text{st}} V \left( \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} V \right) ds \]

7. Generalized vertical velocity

\[ \left( \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} \right) = -\int_{\text{st}} V \left( \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} V \right) ds + \int_{\text{st}} V \left( \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} V \right) ds \]

8. Geopotential

\[ \Phi = \Phi_p - \int_{z_2}^{z_2} \frac{RT}{p} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} ds \]

The numerical resolution of the system uses bi-Fourier spectral decomposition in both horizontal directions. In the vertical, the finite difference (FD) method is applied at model levels. Centred FD algorithms are used to compute vertical derivatives where vertical integrals are computed following mid-point rule. The time integration uses semi-implicit scheme [4].

Both ALADIN and AROME have hydrostatic dynamics while the AROME model has non-hydrostatic dynamics [5].

| Model   | Horizontal resolution | Dynamics        |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| ALADIN  | 7.5 km                | Hydrostatic     |
| ALARO   | 5 km                  | Hydrostatic     |
| AROME   | 2.5 km                | Non-Hydrostatic |

Table 1: characteristics of NWP models in Morocco

3. Impact of resolution and initial conditions on precipitation forecast

3.1 Case overview

During the 19th January 2018, a meteorological perturbation affected the North-East of Morocco (figure 1.a). The situation was characterised by a surface depression centred on the East of Morocco and by geopotential minimum associated to cold air (-25°C) at 500hPa (figure 1.b). This configuration produced a cyclonic circulation bringing instable and humid air from the Mediterranean Sea (figure 1.c). Moderate precipitations reaching 30mm in 24 hours occurs over the North-East regions in Morocco during this situation.

Figure 1: 19th January 2018 meteorological situation: a) MSG image at 12UTC, b) Surface pressure, geopotential and temperature at 500 hPa at 00UTC produced by GFS model, c) 600hPa wind, vertical velocity and temperature at 12UTC.

3.2 Forecast quality assessment

To evaluate the performance of each model, especially the accuracy of the precipitation forecast, three
experiments were run for ALADIN, ALARO and AROME.

The forecast of cumulative precipitation amount was compared to the quantitative precipitation estimate produced by the Debdou radar and adjusted by the surrounding rain gauges [6].

Figure 2 shows the precipitation amount from the 19th at 06UTC to the 20th at 06UTC January 2018, produced by the three experiments and observed by Debdou radar. Two precipitations cells of more that 30mm are identified on the radar estimate (figure 2.a). The larger one (A) is located over Nador city and the surrounding area when the second one (B) is centred over Debdou city. The ALADIN experiment reproduces these two cells (figure 2.b) but the cell A is moved eastward. The ALARO experiment produces the same configuration as ALADIN with higher precipitation intensity for cell A and lower for cell B (figure 2.c). Concerning the AROME model, vertical motion of wet and warm air mass was detected over the study area (not shown). North flux at upper air brings humidity over the Moroccan Mediterranean coast, especially near Nador city. This atmospheric behaviour enhanced the precipitation forecast. Indeed, AROME produces the two cells with correct location and intensity (figure 2.d). Thus it can be deduced that this experiment is the best matching with the radar field.

4. Conclusion
This work was an opportunity to access the accuracy of precipitation forecast for many model resolution: ALADIN-7.5km versus ALARO-5km versus AROME-2.5km. Three experiments were run and the comparison with radar precipitation estimate allowed establishing relevant behaviour of each experiment. ALADIN and ALARO successfully reproduce the intensity of the main precipitation cells but the localisation was missed. The AROME model substantially improved the precipitation forecast for both intensity and localisation.
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