Synergistic effect of Carbon-monoxide, Noise, Smoking and Hypertension on Hearing of Steel Industry workers
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Abstract

The synergistic effect of Carbon-monoxide, Noise, Smoking and Hypertension on Hearing of Steel Industry workers in Odisha has been examined in the present study. The objectives of the present study were to assess the auditory effects of the combined exposure of CO, Noise, Impact of smoking and Hypertension. 72 male workers (smokers and non-smokers) from the steel industry were examined, among which 62 were exposed to noise and CO (CEG - Continuously Exposed Group) simultaneously and 10 workers exposed to only noise (IEG - Intermittently Exposed Group). Biological concentrations of CO in blood (COHb), hypertension was measured considering smoking habits, the type of exposure (CO and noise or noise only), the time of exposure, the level of noise & age and audiometric tests were done to assess hearing ability. It has been observed that the noise levels measured in SMS, RMS, MBF s & Power plant sections is more than PEL i.e. 8 hours, TWA 90 dBA specified in the state regulations. Workers were not using ear protection devices. Study indicate the prevalence of hearing impairment was significantly more in CEG (85.14%) as compared to IEG (14.81%). The noise exposure and hearing impairment was found to be significant (p < 0.05). Positive relation was found among the level of noise, CO, Smoking & Hypertension (p < 0.05). The results of present study indicate that hypertension & hearing impairment are common problem among workers exposed to high levels of occupational noise.
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Introduction

Noise is any unwanted sound judged to be unpleasant loud or disruptive to hearing affecting health in today’s world [1]. Exposure to continuous noise at a level higher than 90 dBA for 8 hours may lead to Noise Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL). It is estimated worldwide 16% hearing disability is caused by occupational noise exposure. Steel plant is considered as one of the noisiest industries in manufacturing sectors. In India, the prevalence of hypertension was found to be 25.51% among workers exposed to high level of noise [2]. There are several factors that can make the hearing worse such as smoking, noise, vibrations, and environmental chemical contaminants (solvents), metals, asphyxiants etc. Among chemical contaminants, CO deserves special attention (Graph 1). CO is a colorless, odourless and tasteless gas that combines with hemoglobin to produce carboxyhemoglobin, which usurps the space in hemoglobin that normally carries oxygen but is ineffective for delivering oxygen to bodily tissues. Concentrations as low as 667 ppm may cause up to 50% of the bodies hemoglobin to convert to carboxyhemoglobin. A level of 50% carboxyhemoglobin may result in seizure, coma, and fatality. CO is present in industrial processes such as vehicle emissions, boilers, blast furnaces, charcoal kilns, garages and welding operations. The result of some studies of toxicity of CO in auditory system shows that it directly affects the cochlear function resulting NIHL. Alone it would not be ototoxic, but it may be when present with noise. Moreover, CO in cigarette components can reduce oxygen levels in cochlea and result...
in vasoconstriction, increased blood flow, reduced oxygen transport and oxyhemoglobin dissociation problems. Noise exposure also induces cochlear hypoxia, causing injury or interacting with the mechanisms of NIHL. Thus, chronic hypoxia caused by smoking can contribute to Hearing loss, particularly in the basal region of cochlea. Research suggests that smoking associated with the noise exposure induces Temporary Threshold Shift (TTS) and this effect is attributed more to CO than to Nicotine [3]. Noise levels during various operations in steel plants are high within the range of 84-120 dBA and thus the workers in steel industry are at risk of NIHL. Noise cannot only cause hearing impairment (at long term exposures of over 90 decibels), (Graph 2) but it also acts as a causal factor for stress and raises systolic blood pressure [4]. Therefore, the aim of the present study is to examine the Synergistic effect of Carbon-monoxide, Noise, Smoking and Hypertension on Hearing of Steel Industry workers. Hence council can be done for workers to use ear protection devices, provide guidelines before joining and organizing hearing conservation program.

Blood pressure measurement

Blood pressure (BP) was measured in supine position by using BP-Digital monitor (OMRON-HEM7120). It measures the blood pressure and pulse-rate and shows the result digitally. Pressure (Max)-299mmHg. After a brief period of rest for 5 minutes interval, BP was measured in a quite private room in a sitting posture. The systolic and diastolic BP were recorded and the average of 3 readings was used for data analysis. Hypertension was defined according to the 7th report of JNC (Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation and treatment of high BP) as systolic BP >140mmHg and Diastolic BP >90mmHg.

Carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) examination

The blast furnace is the only equipment at the company that produces CO. The operation of the furnace consists of burning charcoal, which generates at an elevated temperature, causing the iron ore inside the oven to be smelted and taken out to be stored in a heated container or solidified into bars. So, 3 types of jobs were found to be exposed to CO and noise in the work environment. Thus, all other jobs analyzed were not exposed to CO and were considered as exposed to noise only. The COHb examination was conducted in clinical lab by collecting blood from the worker at the end of the work day. To form risk groups, the area studied were those with environmental exposure to CO and noise production (1, 2 and 3) or only noise (Dispatch, assembling and loading).

Determination of Noise level at workplace

Actual noise levels have been measured and their maximum and minimum values are taken for 3 minutes and the average reading was recorded. These details are given in (Table 1). The microphone of a Bruel & Kjaer-Type-2250 sound level meter (SLM) was used and measurements were made from 1m of distance.

Audiometric Assessment

Out of 80 workers, 8 workers were excluded because they have conductive and/or Mixed Hearing loss in their audiogram. Pure tone
Audiometry was conducted in a sound treated room with ambient noise levels within permissible limits according to ANSI standards (ANSI S3.6-1989). Air conduction thresholds were determined using Madsen Itera II audiometer with TDH 39 earphones and B-71 for bone conduction. Air conduction thresholds were determined at octave and mid-octave frequencies from 250 Hz to 8 kHz, bone conduction at frequencies from 250 to 4 kHz by using the modified Hughson Westlake procedure. The workers were tested at the starting of work shifts to minimize Temporary Threshold Shifts (TTS) effects. The tests were conducted for workers who are continuously exposed to noise and CO and only Noise (working in grinding sections) and for control group employees in Administration department having minimal occupational noise exposure. The workers tested were divided into 4 age groups: 20+-30, 30+-40, 40+-50 and 50+-60 years (Graph 3).

**Graph 3:** Depicts the prevalence of Hearing impairment in CEG workers (Smokers) and IEG(Smokers).

### Hearing Impairment Criteria:

The hearing impairment criteria and classification suggested by (NIOSH, 1990). This helps to take corrective measures in the early stages of hearing loss. Based on hearing threshold level; the degrees of hearing loss are classified as below [5].

a) Normal hearing (0 to 25 dB): At this level hearing is within normal limits.

b) Mild hearing loss (26 to 40 dB): At this level, patients feel difficulty in suppressing background noise. Patients within this degree of loss may not hear soft speech.

c) Moderate hearing loss (41 to 55 dB): Patients with this degree of loss have trouble hearing some conversational speech.

d) Moderate severe hearing loss (56 to 70 dB): With this degree of loss patients do not hear most conversational level speech.

e) Speech hearing loss (71 to 90 dB): Severe hearing loss may affect voice quality.

f) Profound hearing loss (> 90 dB): with profound hearing loss (deafness), speech and language deteriorate.

The dip or notch at 4 kHz or 6 kHz is a symptom of noise induced hearing loss.

### Statistical Analysis

Data from completed questionnaire were entered in Microsoft excel spread sheets. Descriptive statistics were obtained by calculating the means of scores obtained by the subjects [6]. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student’s t test was performed considering a significance level of 5% (p=0.05).

### Results

Table 1 Depicts section wise distribution of noise level. It is observed that noise level was more than permissible limit in SMS, RMS, MBF and Power plant sections. Hearing impairment and Hypertension was found to be significantly more in these areas.

**Table 1:** Distribution of noise levels in different sections.

| Sections       | Noise level (in dB) | Average Reading |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Rolling Mill Section (RMS) | 85-120 | 102 |
| Steel Melting Sections (SMS) | 80-102 | 92 |
| Blast furnaces | 80-108 | 95 |
| Power plant    | 79-103 | 99 |
| Machine Shop (MS) | 86-97 | 90 |
| Administrative Department (AD) | 46-52 | 49 |

Table 2 Shows the age composition of the subjects working in the steel factory. Out of 72 participants 62 employees are working in manufacturing units exposed to noise and 10 employees were selected from administration stores, finance, medical etc. as control group who visit manufacturing section intermittently.

**Table 2:** Age composition of workers.

| Age (in years) | Number of persons | Percentage (%) |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 21-30          | 9                 | 12.5           |
| 31-40          | 24                | 33.33          |
| 41-50          | 20                | 27.77          |
| >51            | 19                | 26.38          |
| **Total**      | **72**            | **100**        |

**Audiometric test reports**

The test reports of Audiometry for 72 employees both from study and control group studied in detail in present study. Table 3 Gives audiometric test reports for 72 employees indicating Hearing impairment of workers among Continuously Exposed Group (CEG) and Intermittently Exposed Group (IEG) workers. The degrees of hearing loss are classified into 6 groups as Normal, Mild, Moderate, Moderately severe, Speech hearing loss, and Profound hearing loss. It is found that nearly 62.5% of workers have normal hearing and
about 37.5% of workers have Mild to Severe hearing loss. There are no cases of Speech hearing loss and profound hearing loss assessed during audiometric task. Indicates Hearing Impairment among workers in CEG is (31.94%) which is much more than the hearing impairment that is (5.55%) among IEG workers which indicates a positive relationship between noise exposure and Hearing Impairment.

**Table 3**: Audiometric results: Section wise HI details among Exposed and Control group Employees.

| S. No | Hearing Level (in dB) | Degrees of HL | MBF | SMS | RMS | Power Plant | Administration Department | Total |
|-------|-----------------------|---------------|------|-----|-----|-------------|---------------------------|-------|
| 1     | 0-25                  | Normal        | 15   | 16  | 5   | 3           | 6                         | 45    |
| 2     | 26-40                 | Mild          | 7    | 3   | 3   | 1           | 3                         | 17    |
| 3     | 41-55                 | Moderate      | 3    | 1   | 1   | 1           | 1                         | 7     |
| 4     | 56-70                 | Moderately Severe | 1 | 1   | 1   | 1           |                           | 3     |
| 5     | 71-90                 | Severe        | -    | -   | -   | -           |                           | -     |
| 6     | >90                   | Profound      | -    | -   | -   | -           |                           | -     |

a. SMS-Steel Making Shop.

b. RMS-Rolling Mill Division.

c. MBF- Mini Blast Furnaces.

d. Power Plant.

e. Administrative Department- Stores, Finance, Security, Medical etc.

Table 4 Shows age wise distribution of workers and association between hearing impairment and duration of exposure. As the age group increases, the workers are more experienced and their exposure to noise is long. Hearing impairment was found very high i.e. 66% among workers in the age group of 31-40 whereas, Hearing impairment was found very less i.e., 29.6% in the age group of 21-30. This clearly indicates that prevalence of hearing impairment was directly associated with duration of exposure. Table 5 Shows section-wise distribution of workers and association between hypertension and duration of exposure. It was observed that prevalence of hypertension was more among CEG as compared to IEG.

**Table 4**: Association between Age group & Hearing Impairment.

| Age Group | Normal | Mild | Moderate | Severe | Normal | Mild | Moderate |
|-----------|--------|------|----------|--------|--------|------|----------|
| 21-30     | 1      | 2    | 3        | 2      | -      | 1    | -        |
| 31-30     | 2      | 12   | 3        | 1      | -      | 2    | 1        |
| 41-50     | 4      | -    | -        | -      | -      | -    | -        |
| <50       | 2      | -    | -        | -      | 6      | -    | -        |
| 9         | 14     | 6    | 3        | 6      | 2      | 1    |

Data in cells represent number of subjects with hearing impairment Figures in parenthesis show percentage of “n”

**Table 5**: Section wise association between Age group & Hypertension.

| Age Group | Normal | Mild | Moderate | Severe | Normal | Mild | Moderate |
|-----------|--------|------|----------|--------|--------|------|----------|
| 21-30     | 1      | 2    | 3        | 2      | -      | 1    | -        |
| 31-30     | 2      | 12   | 3        | 1      | -      | 2    | 1        |
| 41-50     | 4      | -    | -        | -      | -      | -    | -        |
| <50       | 2      | -    | -        | -      | 6      | -    | -        |
| 9         | 14     | 6    | 3        | 6      | 2      | 1    |

SMTP (Steel making shop) RMS (Rolling mill division) MBFs (Mini Blast Furnaces)

Technical Services- Civil, Power plant, Quality assurance department, Utility etc. Administration Department - Stores, Finance, security, Medical etc. So, CEG workers are more prone to Hearing Impairment.
Table 6: Association between Hearing Impairment & Hypertension.

| Hearing Impairment | Hypertensive | Non-Hypertensive | Total |
|--------------------|--------------|------------------|-------|
| Present            | 21 (29.16%)  | 6 (8.33%)        | 27    |
| Absent             | 9 (12.5%)    | 36 (50%)         | 45    |

Table 7: Association between level of sound and noise induced hypertension & Hearing impairment in each section.

| Section            | Noise Level | Number of Person with Hypertension | Number of Person with Hearing Impairment |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| RMS                | 102         | 7 (23.33%)                        | 8 (29.64%)                             |
| SMS                | 92          | 16 (53.33%)                       | 12 (44.44%)                           |
| MBF                | 95          | 4 (13.33%)                        | 4 (14.81%)                             |
| Power Plant        | 99          | 2 (6.66%)                         | 2 (7.40%)                              |
| Administrative Department | 49 | 1 (3.33%) | 1 (3.70%) |

Table 8: Prevalence of Hearing Impairment in CEG & Smoking and CEG workers only.

| Hearing Impairment | CEG & Smoking | CEG only |
|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| 27                 | 19            | 4        |

i. Smoking (p=0.009).
ii. Age (p=0.003).
iii. Noise level (p=0.05).
iv. Hypertension (p=0.05).
v. CO (p=0.009).

In all significant differences observed, there was a worsening of the audiometric results when:

a) Value of %COHb >2.26=Indicates that worker may be a regular smoker and /or Industrial CO concentration in his blood.

b) Value of %COHb 1.13-1.70=Indicates that worker may be light smoker or has intermediate exposure of industrial CO.

c) Value of %COHb 0.28-1.14=Indicates that worker has less than 1% CO in his blood and is due to the air quality around him in the industry.

Occupational noise is a widespread risk factor with a strong evidence base linking it to important health outcome that is hearing loss and hypertension [7]. It was found that Occupational Noise Induced Hearing Loss was most frequent among all occupational diseases. The prevalence rate of NIHL as per Central Labor Institute study is 15.2%. In our study the prevalence rate of HL was 37.5%. This may be due to high noise generation equipment and other environmental factors in the plant. In the present study the data related CO exposure and smoking were collected and found to be more prevalent to the hearing impairment. Nevertheless, the results of the present study indicate that hearing loss are significantly associated with duration of exposure of noise [8]. Both Noise induced health problems (Hypertension and Noise impairment) were common in CEG workers as compared to IEG workers.

Discussion

The noise levels in the (CEG) section are more than PEL (Permissible Exposure Limits)-8hrs, TWA (Time Weighted Average)-90dBA specified in the state regulations (OSHA, 2002). Both CO concentration and noise level were above the tolerance level according to regulatory norms of Ministry of labour and employment (1946). Among 72 workers (62.5%) of workers had normal hearing whereas (37.5%) had significant Noise Induced Hearing Loss [9]. The loss was seen in CEG (85.14%) as compared to IEG (14.81%) among the Hearing Impaired persons, as well as worsening of hearing thresholds at 3,4 and 6kHz indicating the chronic smoking enhance the effects of both CO and noise on the auditory system along with hypertension and rest were normal. Smokers had worse thresholds compared to their non-smoking peers (p=0.009). There were significant differences between hearing thresholds and:

i. Smoking (p=0.009).
ii. Age (p=0.003).
iii. Noise level (p=0.05).
iv. Hypertension (p=0.05).
v. CO (p=0.009).

In all significant differences observed, there was a worsening of the audiometric results when:

a) participants were smokers, b) participants were over 40 years old, c, d) when participants were exposed to noise when the noise level was higher than 85 dBA which increase Hypertension, e) CO when %COHb 1.13-1.70.

Summary and Conclusion

Age, time of exposure, type of exposure, level of noise, and smoking habit significantly influenced the auditory threshold of the participants. Smoking enhanced the effects of both CO and noise on the auditory system resulting in noise induced hearing loss in the steel industry.
Clinical Significance

The present study determines the need of hearing check-up in every 6 months (OSHA, 2002) in the steel industries along with the medical intervention in the cases of ear infections. Compulsion for using ear protection devices and decreasing the working hours for limiting the exposure of higher intensities and CO toxicity which in result can help to reduce hypertension. Providing ear health guidelines to the workers before joining and organizing hearing conservation programmers.
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