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Abstract

Direct observations of electrostatic potential variations along the flux surfaces of the TJ-II stellarator are presented. Measurements taken with two distant Langmuir probe arrays show differences in the edge floating potentials profiles of several tens of volts in electron-root wave-heated plasmas. The differences are reduced for higher densities and lower electron temperatures after the ion-root electric field forms at the plasma edge. Neoclassical Monte Carlo simulations estimate the correct order of magnitude for the overall variation in potential and predict the trend observed with the radial electric field. However, for the specific location of the probes, the simulations give differences smaller than those observed experimentally.
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The accumulation of highly charged impurity ions in the plasma core poses one of the most serious threats to the realization of fusion power production by means of magnetic plasma confinement. In experiments, such impurity accumulation is sometimes observed, but not always, and the theoretical mechanisms of impurity transport are incompletely understood. Due to their high charge, heavy impurity ions are much more sensitive than other plasma particles to variations in the electrostatic potential, and this variation is usually neglected in theories of impurity transport. In this letter, we present experimental observations of the potential variation along the magnetic field in the edge of a toroidal fusion device. In addition, we develop a theoretical prediction thereof, which we compare with the observations, finding values that are large enough to significantly affect the impurity transport.

The concept of magnetic plasma confinement relies on the construction of a magnetic field structure such that each line of force is contained in a two-dimensional toroidal surface called a magnetic or flux surface. In the confinement region, magnetic surfaces must exist within the volume enclosed by any one such surface, creating a structure of nested tori. Charged plasma particles can freely explore a flux surface by moving along the field lines, which tends to homogenize the thermal and electrostatic energy over such surfaces. Kinetic plasma theory shows that, if the magnetic field is axisymmetric, the particle distribution function is Maxwellian to zeroth order in a δ expansion, where δ is the Larmor radius over the system size [1]. To the same approximation, the electrostatic potential $\phi_0$ is constant on each flux surface, so one can write $\phi_0 = \phi_0(\psi)$, with flux surfaces defined by $\psi(x) = \text{constant}$, and say that $\phi_0$ is a flux function (i.e. it varies in space only through a function $\psi$, called flux). If the magnetic field is not axisymmetric, the distribution function and electrostatic potential are still lowest order flux functions in most collisionality regimes, but their variation within each surface can be somewhat larger at low collisionality [2], where the ions are partly electrostatically confined (the so-called $\sqrt{\nu}$-regime). The calculation of the first-order correction to the distribution functions and the electrostatic potential is the subject of the kinetic theory of collisional transport, and it is found that these corrections are not generally flux functions, for the particle cross-field drifts depend on the way the magnetic field strength varies relative to the local direction of the magnetic field vector.

The parallel variations of the electrostatic energy of singly charged ions and electrons is usually small compared to their thermal energy so that the first-order correction to the electrostatic potential, $\phi_1$, only has a moderate impact on main ion and electron dynamics and transport [3, 4]. However, for impurities of higher charge states $Ze$ (e the elementary charge), the electrostatic energy is $Z$ times larger, whereas their temperatures tend to equilibrate through collisions.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)
arrays located in different toroidal and poloidal sections of the characterized simultaneously with two similar Langmuir probe drifts of impurities because of the $1/Z$ dependence of the latter. Recent simulations have included these effects in the kinetic equation for impurities and shown that the calculated $\phi_1$ importantly affects the predicted radial fluxes of impurities in several magnetic confinement devices [5].

Earlier studies in the JET tokamak [6] found indirect evidence of poloidal potential variations caused by radio frequency minority heating, which were postulated to be the cause of the observed poloidal modulation of the nickel impurity density. About the same time, evidences of poloidal variations of impurity density were found in Alcator C-mod in the H-mode barrier region [7]. In recent years, asymmetries in the density and parallel flow of impurities have also been reported from tokamak (see, e.g., [8, 9] and references therein) and stellarator experiments [10]. Besides parallel electric fields, inertial forces and friction with main ions in steep gradient regions are considered possible causes of the angular modulation of impurity density. Several theoretical works have shown the importance of these asymmetries in the radial transport of impurities, both collisional [11–13] and turbulent [14, 15].

However important, the experimental determination of $\phi_1$ is a complicated task. First, duplicated measurements of plasma potential are not widely available in fusion devices. Second, a precise positioning of the two measuring systems in the magnetic field structure is required to ensure that radial electrostatic potential variations are not misinterpreted as insurface variations. There are a few precedents of this type of measurements in devices with proper flux surfaces [16–18]. References [16, 18] investigated the poloidal electric field generated by electron cyclotron heating by moving the resonance layer to the edge region. In [17] a modification of equipotential surfaces in the L-to-H transition was reported and argued to cause a reduction of convective transport in the H-mode. As in the present work, multiple electrical probes were used in these references which, however, do not refer to any particular alignment procedure or positioning error.

Measurements reported in this Letter were taken with two distant Langmuir probe arrays, whose precise positioning is aided by the simultaneous detection of radially localized zonal-flow-like structures. Significant differences between the floating potential at the two probe locations are observed in electron-root wave-heated plasmas with central power deposition, and are smaller in higher density, lower electron temperature, ion-root plasmas. Neoclassical Monte Carlo calculations of $\phi_1$ cast overall differences of similar order of magnitudes and trends. However, at the specific locations of the probes, differences in the simulations are generally smaller than those observed experimentally.

The experiments were performed in electron-cyclotron-resonance (ECR) or neutral-beam (NB) heated hydrogen plasmas in the TJ-II stellarator ($B = 1 T$, $(R) = 1.5 m$, $a = 0.22 m$, $\tau(a)/2\pi = 1.6$). Edge plasma parameters are characterized simultaneously with two similar Langmuir probe arrays located in different toroidal and poloidal sections of the magnetic flux surface. The two arrays are named B and D, according to the toroidal period (named A–D) where they are located. Each of the arrays measures the floating potential in several radial positions simultaneously, spanning about 10% of the minor radius. The magnetic surfaces are calculated with the VMEC magnetohydrodynamic equilibrium code, and the position of the different probes in the two arrays are calculated from the known positions of the probe actuators. The accuracy of this procedure has been tested by cross-correlating the floating potential fluctuations measured with all the probes. The long-range correlation is due to the existence of zonal-flow-like structures in the plasma edge [19, 20]. It is found that the maximally correlated pin pairs (one in each of the probes) lie on the same flux surface as calculated with the equilibrium code, which validates the use of VMEC equilibrium for the flux labelling of the pins. This is in consistency with the absence of low-order rationals and islands in the measurement region. Error bars in the flux coordinate of the probes are estimated assuming an uncertainty of $\pm 2$ mm in the position of the array along the axis of the actuator.

Differences in the floating potential profiles of several tens of volts are observed in the edge of the plasma as illustrated in figure 1. The four profiles shown correspond to different instants of a NB+ECR discharge, at about the time of the ECR turn-off (figure 2). Differences are large and positive in the ECR phase, go through zero as the electron temperature decreases after the ECR turn-off. The floating potential relates to the plasma potential by an additive term proportional to the electron temperature $T_e$. We assume that the stationary $T_e$-variations on flux surfaces are small, so that the in-surface floating potential differences reflect those of the plasma potential.

In order to interpret the observations, we use the first-order quasi-neutrality condition $n_1 = n_e \approx \frac{e\phi}{k_B T_e} + O(\delta n_e)$, where $n_1$ and $n_e$ are the densities of ions (assumed singly
charged) and electrons, and \( \delta_e \) is the normalized electron Larmor radius. The non-adiabatic part of the electron density \((\sim \delta_e n_{e0})\) is neglected against that of the ions, and therefore
\[
\phi_1 \approx \frac{T_{e0}}{e} \frac{n_{i1}}{n_{e0}}.
\]

Note that this expression implies that \( e \phi_1 \sim \delta_e T_{e0} \) (\( \delta_e \) the normalized ion Larmor radius), whereas electron temperature variations of order \( T_{e1} \sim \delta_e T_{e0} \) are expected. This justifies the neglect of stationary \( T_e \) spatial variations for the calculation of electrostatic potential differences from the floating potentials.

The calculation of the ion density perturbation from neoclassical theory requires the solution of the drift-kinetic equation (see e.g. [2]) for the bulk ion distribution function \( f_{i1} \), in which \( \phi_1 \) enters as a source term,
\[
(\mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{v}_E) \cdot \nabla f_{i1} - C_i(f_{i1}) = -\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla \psi \left( \frac{e}{T_{i0}} \frac{d\phi_0}{d\psi} f_{i0} + \partial_\theta f_{i0} \right) - \mathbf{v}_l \cdot \nabla \nabla \phi_1 \frac{T_{i0}}{T_{e0}} f_{i0}.
\]

In this equation, the kinetic energy \( e \) and the magnetic moment are the velocity space variables, \( f_{i0} = n_{i0} m_i^{1/2} (2\pi T_{i0})^{-1/2} \exp(-e/\sqrt{T_{i0}}) \) is the Maxwellian distribution function and \( C_i(f_{i1}) \) is the linearized collision operator. The parallel, \( E \times B \), and magnetic guiding centre velocities have subscripts \( || \), \( E \) and \( M \), respectively. The neoclassical version of the gyrokinetic Monte Carlo code EUTERPE is used to solve the time-dependent version of this equation with an initial \( \phi_1 = 0 \) that is updated from the quasi-neutrality condition (1) at each time step until a stationary solution is obtained [5].

Inspecting equations 1 and 2 reveals some general features of the solution \( \phi_1 \): (a) neoclassically optimized configurations with reduced radial magnetic drifts will exhibit relatively small electrostatic potential variations; (b) higher electron temperature generally requires larger potential variations for the adiabatic electron density to balance the ion density variation; (c) a positive radial electric field \(-d\phi_0/d\psi > 0\) adds to the logarithmic gradients of the mean density and temperature (normally directed inwards) resulting from the \( \partial_\theta f_{i0} \) term in equation (2), to produce a larger source term, and thus larger \( n_{i1} \) and \( \phi_1 \).

Furthermore, in a stellarator device, the \( E \times B \) advection term \( v_E \cdot \nabla f_{i1} \), causes particle trapping/detrapping and may alter the angular dependence of \( \phi_1 \).

The tendency observed in figures 1 and 2 is in agreement with the statistical analysis of the 18-shots database of ECRH plasmas shown in figure 3. This figure shows the floating potential profiles of the two arrays and their difference, conditionally averaged for different line-averaged electron densities around the root transition. The transition from a positive, electron-root radial electric field to a negative ion-root one has been extensively characterized experimentally (see e.g. [21]), and shown to occur with a relatively minor increase (decrease) in the local electron density (temperature) [22]. The root jump is due to the sensitivity of the radial electron flux to the collisionality for those conditions.

Archetypal TJ-II profiles displaying this electric field root transition at the edge are shown in figure 4 (left). These are used as input for the EUTERPE \( \phi_1 \) calculations shown in figure 4 (right) for the edge flux surface \( \rho = 0.90 \), and for several flux surfaces in figure 5 (top). Consistent with the theoretical discussion following equations (1) and (2) and the experimental observations (figure 3), simulations show that the simultaneous decrease of the positive radial electric field and electron temperature when approaching the transition, causes a reduction of the peak values of \( \phi_1 \). Additionally, the phase of the perturbation changes very noticeably after the root transition in the direction of the difference in the \( E \times B \) flow (figure 5). The detailed comparison of the measured and simulated differences between the angular locations of the two probes is shown in figure 5. The surface \( \rho = 0.90 \) has been chosen for the comparison, although similar results are obtained in the range [0.90, 0.95]. Simulations display small
Neutrality. Monte Carlo simulations of \( \phi_1 \) in the real TJ-II geometry display peak-to-peak values of the order of magnitude of the experimental observations. However, for the specific location of the probes, the simulated differences are smaller than those observed experimentally.

To improve our understanding of the parallel variation of electrostatic potential is to be deemed an important task in magnetic confinement fusion research, for its effect on and/or combination with impurity density variations can give rise to substantial changes of radial impurity fluxes. This variation is candidate to explain some of the impurity confinement anomalies observed in stellarators [24, 25].
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