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Abstract—In Bangladesh, surface water (e.g., pond/river water) and rainwater are important sources of potable water, particularly in areas suffering from arsenic contamination of groundwater and high salinity. However, surface water often suffers from high microbial contamination and needs disinfection for potable use. Disinfection is a challenge for small water supply systems, as a family or small communities often do not have necessary facilities to disinfect water properly. Many commercially available household-level treatment systems are not effective in removing pathogens. This study presents an assessment of the effectiveness of UV disinfection for highly contaminated surface water. Disinfection experiments were carried out in a large (152 cm × 48 cm × 48 cm) container under different operational (e.g., UV lamp intensity, exposure time) and water quality (e.g., initial FC, turbidity) conditions. UV disinfection could significantly reduce microbial (FC) concentration from highly polluted surface water with initial FC concentration varying from 8,000 to 24,000 cfu/100 ml. The effectiveness of UV disinfection has been found to depend on a number of operational factors including intensity of lamp, exposure time, and distance from the lamp. Although FC concentration could not be reduced to zero (which is the national drinking water standard) under the experimental condition used in this study, the results suggest that this could be achieved with appropriate combination of lamp intensity, exposure time and tank dimension. Thus, UV disinfection could potentially be used for treatment of surface (pond/river) water in water scarce areas (e.g., arsenic-affected or salinity-prone areas).

Index Terms—Disinfection efficiency, fecal coliform, lamp intensity, UV disinfection.

I. INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh has made significant progress in improving water supply coverage in the past decades [1]. Ground water is the major source of water supply throughout the country, primarily through use of shallow and deep tubewells; besides, surface water sources and rain water harvesting systems (RWHS) are important sources for water. Presence of elevated levels of arsenic (As) in groundwater in many parts of the country [2]-[4] has forced people to choose surface water sources or RWHS for drinking purpose, especially in areas where suitable As-free deep aquifers are not available. On a national scale, 25.5 percent of water sources have As concentration exceeding the WHO guideline value of 10 ppb, and 12.5 percent have As exceeding national standard of 50 ppb [3]. People in the salinity-affected southern coastal areas of Bangladesh are dependent on RWHS (during wet season) and pond water for potable water supply. Water from surface water sources (e.g. ponds, lakes, rivers etc.) is often used for drinking purpose through use of pond sand filters (PSF); however, such systems have not been very successful due to problems related to operation and maintenance [5], [6]. PSF and RWHS together account for 6.7%, 5.9%, and 9.1% of water supply points, respectively in Khulna, Satkhira and Bagerhat, the major coastal districts suffering from both high salinity and As contamination [7]. The main problem related to use of ponds and rivers for potable water supply is widespread fecal/microbial contamination of these water sources [6], primarily due to drainage of polluted water from nearby on-site sanitation facilities, discharge of domestic wastewater/ sullage, and use of water bodies for multiple purposes (e.g., bathing, washing, etc.). An effective and low-cost disinfection system that could be implemented in rural areas, often without grid power supply, could significantly improve access to safe potable water in many areas of Bangladesh.

Disinfection is carried out for inactivation/destruction of pathogenic organisms to prevent spread of waterborne diseases. Various disinfection methods include Chlorination, Ozonation, Boiling, Ultraviolet (UV) radiation and a combination of UV and Ozonation. Interest in UV disinfection is growing due to its ability to inactivate pathogenic microorganisms without forming regulated disinfection byproducts (DBPs) [8], [9]. UV light has proven effective against Cryptosporidium, which is resistant to commonly used disinfectants like chlorine. Worldwide many UV disinfection facilities are treating drinking water [10].

For small water supply systems (e.g., pond/river water, rainwater harvesting), disinfection becomes a challenge since a single family or small communities do not have necessary facilities to disinfect water properly. Even many existing piped water supply systems in urban areas cannot ensure pure drinking water, primarily due to contamination within distribution network. This situation demands treatment facilities at family/community level to ensure safe drinking water. Recent research works suggests that many commercially available household-level treatment systems are not very effective in removing coliform bacteria (FC) [11]. A number of studies suggest that UV disinfection could be effective for disinfection of water in household level rainwater harvesting systems [12]-[15]. Recent studies [6]-[11] have demonstrated that UV treatment in small containers could be very effective for disinfection of moderately contaminated water (e.g. in RWHS). Such UV systems, which could run on solar power, could also be very effective for disinfection of contaminated surface water at...
II. METHODOLOGY

The experiments for UV disinfection have been carried out in a large acrylic glass tank, 152 cm in length, 48 cm width and 48 cm in height. The capacity of the tank is about 355 liters. The tank was fitted with a port for UV lamp at one end, and four sampling ports 30.5 cm away from each other (see Fig. 1). UV lamps of 6W to 16W intensities were employed in this study.

Water from a pond that receive domestic sewage discharge, and amended water (e.g., groundwater amended with polluted water containing microorganisms) were used as raw water in the disinfection experiments. For each set of experiment, the raw water was analyzed for a range of parameters including fecal coliform (FC) and Turbidity. Initial FC was varied (by dilution with groundwater) in the disinfection experiments in order to assess its impact on disinfection.

![Fig. 1. Experimental set up for UV disinfection experiment.](image)

For a particular set of experiment, the water tank was filled with the water sample to be disinfected, and then a UV lamp (either 6W or 16W) was inserted vertically at the lamp port. Before turning on the UV lamp, water samples were collected from the sampling ports to measure concentrations of selected parameters (pH, Color, Turbidity, and FC). The UV lamp was then turned on and water samples were collected from the four sampling ports after fixed intervals of 10, 20 and 40 minutes. The water samples were tested for fecal coliform (FC) to assess the effect of disinfection. Similar experiments were carried out by varying lamp intensity, exposure time and raw water quality (initial FC concentration, Turbidity). Results of the disinfection experiments were analyzed to assess: (i) effect of lamp intensity and exposure time on disinfection; (ii) effect of distance from lamp on disinfection, and (ii) effect of water quality (FC concentration and turbidity) on disinfection. Membrane filtration technique was used for determination of FC; Standard Methods was used for determination of other parameters.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Effects of UV Lamp Intensity on Disinfection

In order to evaluate the effects of UV lamp intensity on disinfection efficiency, a number of experiments were carried out with 6W and 16W UV lamps. Table I and Table II show the residual FC concentration and disinfection efficiency for water samples collected from Port 1 and Port 4, respectively at different exposure times. It should be noted that among the sampling ports identified, Port 1 receives the highest UV intensity, while Port 4 receives the least; thus these two ports are of highest interest. The figures and tables show that disinfection efficiency depends on UV lamp intensity, and higher intensity UV lamp could achieve higher removal efficiency.

**TABLE I: RESIDUAL FC CONCENTRATION AND DISINFECTION EFFICIENCY FOR WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED AT DIFFERENT EXPOSURE TIMES FROM PORT 1 OF WATER TANK FITTED WITH 6W AND 16W UV LAMP**

| Exposure Time | FC (cfu/100 mL) | Disinfection Efficiency (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Raw/0 Min     | 24000           | 8000                        |
| 10 Min        | 600             | 30                          | 97.5 | 99.4 |
| 20 Min        | 500             | 30                          | 97.9 | 99.6 |
| 30 Min        | 320             | 22                          | 98.7 | 99.7 |
| 40 Min        | 120             | 12                          | 99.5 | 99.9 |

**TABLE II: RESIDUAL FC CONCENTRATION AND DISINFECTION EFFICIENCY FOR WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED AT DIFFERENT EXPOSURE TIMES FROM PORT 4 OF WATER TANK FITTED WITH 6W AND 16W UV LAMP**

| Exposure Time | FC (cfu/100 mL) | Disinfection Efficiency (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Raw/0 Min     | 24000           | 8000                        |
| 10 Min        | 1760            | 300                         | 92.7 | 96.3 |
| 20 Min        | 1280            | 270                         | 94.7 | 96.6 |
| 30 Min        | 450             | 185                         | 98.1 | 97.7 |
| 40 Min        | 350             | 84                          | 98.5 | 99.0 |

B. Effect of Exposure Time

Higher exposure to UV radiation would yield higher disinfection efficiency. However, higher exposure means higher power consumption and lower lamp-life, and therefore it is important to estimate the optimum exposure time for a particular condition of UV irradiation and water quality [16], [17]. Table III and Table IV show that exposure time has a clear effect on disinfection efficiency; higher the exposure time, the better is the disinfection efficiency (Figs. 2 and 3). For example, at Port 2, residual FC concentration decreased to 250 cfu/100 ml after 10 minutes of exposure, while it reached 20 cfu/100 ml after 40 minutes of exposure. However, as discussed earlier, the effect of exposure time is also a strong function of the position of the sampling port with respect to the lamp; Port 1 would require less exposure time of UV radiation compared to Port 4 for achieving the same disinfection efficiency. For example after 20 minutes of exposure time, residual FC was 30 cfu/100 mL at Port 1.
(located 30.5 cm away from the lamp), while it was 350 cfu/100 ml at Port 4 (located 122 cm away from the lamp).

C. Effect of Distance from UV Lamp

As discussed earlier in Section II, the horizontal distance of sampling Ports 1, 2, 3 and 4 from the UV lamp are 30.5 cm, 61 cm, 91.5 cm, and 122 cm, respectively. Fig. 4 shows the residual FC concentration of water samples collected from four different ports after 10 and 40 minutes of exposure times, for water sample with initial FC concentration of 8000 cfu/100 ml. It shows very significant effect of distance (from UV lamp) on disinfection; disinfection efficiency decreases rapidly as distance increases. In all cases, samples collected from Port 1 exhibited lowest FC, while those collected from Port 4 showed highest FC concentration.

Table III: Residual FC Concentration of Water Samples (Initial FC: 8000 CFU/100 ML) Collected at Different Exposure Times from the Water Tank Fitted with 16W UV Lamp

| Time of Exposure | Residual FC (cfu/100 mL) |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Raw/0 Min        | Port 1: 8000 Port 2: 8000 Port 3: 8000 Port 4: 8000 |
| 10 Min           | Port 1: 50 Port 2: 250 Port 3: 300 Port 4: 420 |
| 20 Min           | Port 1: 30 Port 2: 160 Port 3: 270 Port 4: 350 |
| 30 Min           | Port 1: 22 Port 2: 120 Port 3: 155 Port 4: 200 |
| 40 Min           | Port 1: 12 Port 2: 20 Port 3: 84 Port 4: 96 |

Table IV: Residual FC Concentration of Water Samples (Initial FC: 9000 CFU/100 ML) Collected at Different Exposure Times from the Water Tank Fitted with 16W UV Lamp

| Time of Exposure | Residual FC (cfu/100 mL) |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Raw/0 Min        | Port 1: 9000 Port 2: 9000 Port 3: 9000 Port 4: 9000 |
| 10 Min           | Port 1: 230 Port 2: 400 Port 3: 460 Port 4: 840 |
| 20 Min           | Port 1: 150 Port 2: 280 Port 3: 350 Port 4: 450 |
| 30 Min           | Port 1: 56 Port 2: 150 Port 3: 180 Port 4: 230 |
| 40 Min           | Port 1: 16 Port 2: 60 Port 3: 85 Port 4: 120 |

D. Effects of Initial FC Concentration and Turbidity

Data presented in Table III and Table IV show the effect of initial FC concentration on disinfection efficiency. For example, from an initial concentration of 8000 cfu/100 ml, FC concentration was reduced to 50 cfu/100 ml in Port 1 in 10 minutes; whereas for an initial FC concentration of 9000 cfu/100 ml, the corresponding value is 230 cfu/100 ml. Thus, higher initial FC concentration would reduce disinfection efficiency significantly. The initial turbidity of water samples used for the disinfection experiments varied from 4.76 to 8.4 NTU. No significant effect of turbidity on disinfection was apparent within this narrow range of turbidity.

IV. Conclusions and Recommendations

UV disinfection carried out in large water tank could significantly reduce microbial (FC) contamination from highly polluted surface water (with initial FC concentration varying from 8,000 to 24,000 cfu/100 ml). The effectiveness of UV disinfection carried out in a tank/container depends on a number of operational factors including intensity of lamp, exposure time, and distance from the lamp. UV disinfection efficiency decreases with increasing distance of the sample collection point from the UV lamp. Although FC concentration of contaminated water could not be reduced to the national drinking water standard of “zero” under the experimental condition employed in this study, the results suggest that this could be achieved with proper combination of lamp intensity, exposure time and tank dimension. Thus, solar powered UV disinfection could potentially be used for treatment of contaminated surface (pond/river) water in water-scarce areas (e.g., arsenic-affected or salinity-prone areas). More studies are needed to optimize the operational parameters (e.g., tank size, number and intensity of lamp(s) for a particular tank size) considering water quality (e.g., initial FC, turbidity) for ensuring effective disinfection of contaminated water with UV radiation.
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