Abstract

**Background:** Prosody (rhyming words) is a connatural element of poetry, throughout its reach, across thousands of languages in the world. Since medieval era, the Indic poetry (principally the Hindi/Urdu poetry) has created an impactful flamboyance w.r.t the subjects, styles, and other creative aspects in poetry. Besides the message of heartfelt poetry, we see the Qafiya (i.e., rhyming words) is the core element, without which we may not consider anything Hindi/Urdu poetry but merely a piece of writing; alongside it, Radif (i.e., a phrasal suffix to qafiya) is also considered next to the intrinsic part in Ghazals. In this regard, the contributions of this paper are one--the development of an optimal technique for the prosodic (qafiya) suggestions/retrieval in Hindi/Urdu poetry; and two--the qafiya suggestions based on the attached subsequent radif.

**Methods:** The work in this paper involves usage of a 13.46 M tokens tri-script corpus of poetry. Instead of phonetic value matching, the proposed methodology employs four different Edit Distances (i.e., Levenshtein, Damerau–Levenshtein, Jaro–Winkler, and Hamming distance) as the comparison measures for prosodic suggestions.

**Findings:** The proposed work shows better results in comparison to ‘Qaafiya Dictionary’ powered by rekhta.org. Moreover, w.r.t the inter-metric similarity and running time Jaro–Winkler appears to be the most optimal algorithm for the rhyme suggestion, whereas the Levenshtein distance is the laziest technique.

**Novelty/Applications:** This work benefits researchers of Indic natural language processing for lexical look-ups and analysis of creative literature, especially poetry.
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1 Introduction

Throughout the history of the world and literature, the impression of poetry is beholding and profound. We may have lengthy texts and thick volumes, to ponder, on comparison of poetry and other sub-fields in literature, but Maugham\(^1\) closes the debate by rightly
eulogizing poetry as:

“The crown of literature is poetry. It is the end and aim. It is the sublimest activity of the human mind. It is the achievement of beauty”

On comparison of poetry and other fields in arts and humanities, we see humans can learn to impart sermons; they can perfect their dancing and singing skills with practice, they can become excellent painters, but the art of saying poetry is divine. What is poetry? Rafi (2) cites Ibn Rachik’s definition as “بالقصد الشعر هو الكلام موزون مفغي” i.e., poetry is a narration which is balanced, rhyming, and said unintentionally. Though in the aforementioned aspects, intention is arguable, but the other two i.e., balance (w.r.t poetic meters) and prosody/rhyme are quite indispensable. Crowe et al. (3) discussed the importance of prosody as:

“...metered language is a double medium, with two systems of effect—a steady music... [and] a sense of a ritualistic occasion.”

Al-Beruni (4) maintained that aside from the fact that it (prosody) makes memorizing the poem easier, “the soul yearns for anything that has symmetry and regularity and feels disgusted for that which has not regularity”.

On rhyming, Rampuri (5) translates a famous critique made by Avicenna “القصد الشعر هو الكلام موزون مفغي” (it is not poetry to us which is not rhyming). Verily, the musicality in poetry increases if it is balanced on the metrical grounds, accompanying the rhythm with properly addressed rhymes. Hence, the prosody is collectively considered as the core which defines the whole of poetry, irrespective of languages, in all genres of poems.

The fields of Computational Linguistics (CL) and Natural Language Processing (NLP) focus on the analysis and development of tools and techniques for the human languages, i.e., often called Natural Languages (NL) (6). The research in these fields has contributed much phenomenal work in terms of information retrieval (7,8), language translation/ transliteration (9,10), text generation (11,12), and text/document classification (13,14). However, the contributions, as mentioned earlier, are to name a few examples from the interminable list of NLP research and applications. These researches generally revolve around the data, which broadly engages prose in terms of articles, blogs, stories, customer reviews, and feeds from social-media streams. However, all of these, irrespective of types, lack poeticism, or show least of it. Hence, we maintained that substantial work has now been done in prose, in comparison to a quite small work for poetry.

For the novice and aspiring creative writers, it is very difficult to remember the whole bunch of rhyming words, nor the conventional dictionary lookup helps them manually. This paper brings an interdisciplinary study that embraces the literary studies and computational aspects of dealing with NLs and tries to contribute to the analysis of poetry for building a system that helps to retrieve and suggest appropriate rhymes/prosodies. The work is done for the Indic languages, such as Hindi and/or Urdu, which are morphologically rich (15), having astounding and vivid contributions in the literature(16–18), and being dominant in the list of world languages with the number of native speakers (19); however, have shown a very little work in the computational treatment of the text. Hence, for this reason, these languages are, nowadays, termed as resource-poor and scared-source (20,21). So, to do a little, this paper contributes to the following main points:

- Presents an edit-distance based technique for prosody (rhyming words, i.e., qafiya) searching and suggestion. In this regard, four well-known distance metrics have been used, i.e., Levenshtein, Damerau–Levenshtein, Jaro–Winkler, and Hamming distance.
- The resulting list of prosodies is compared in a pair-wise manner to evaluate the inter-similarity of the edit-distances.
- Further, for a thematic prosody suggestion—i.e., in the case of ghazals—radif-based qafiya suggestion, a probabilistic approach based on language modeling technique is also presented.
- Lastly, a running time analysis is made for both of these techniques.

The rest of the paper is organized as the §2 describes the historical background of Hindi/Urdu as language, the influence of other languages on it, mutual connection, and bonding therein, a brief survey related to the poetry system, the importance of prosody in Indic poetry. §3 shares the details of data and proposed methodology, followed by a discussion on results in §4, and conclusions in §5 in the end.

2 Background and Literature Review

2.1 Urdu and Hindi as a language

Hindi and Urdu are the most prominent languages in the Indic branch of the language family. On colloquial grounds (22), both languages are mutually intelligible (23); hence can be considered as the same language under the name of 'Hindustani' or 'Hindi-Urdu' (henceforth HU) (24). Historically, Urdu is evolved in medieval India as a creole language (20), with the influence of many languages: preliminary Persian, Arabic, and Turkic languages (15); and lately, under the colonial rule, English
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and Portuguese. Today, the Urdu and Hindi languages, respectively, are the Persianised and Sanskritised registers of the Hindustani language. The quantified similarity between the two languages is found at a greater extent; both on parts-of-speech wise and phonetic/articulatory features-based lexical similarity. The Hindi-Urdu is a victim of digraphia, such as Urdu is supposed to be written with the modified Perso-Arabic, whereas Hindi is written in Devanagari scripts. Together, both languages appear at the 3rd place, as most spoken languages of the world, with 329.1M native speakers and 697.4M total speakers.

### 2.2 Poetry and prosody in Hindi-Urdu: A brief survey

Likewise the tradition in various languages, Hindi-Urdu has the compendium of the meter. The development of the Hindi-Urdu poetry system can be taken into account under the influence of ancient Indian languages, i.e., Vedic and Panini-Sanskrit, and Prakrits. These languages spanned over 2500 years, mainly divided into two ages, i.e., Old Indo-Aryan ca. 1500–300 BCE; and Middle Indo-Aryan (MIA) ca. 300 BCE–1500 CE. Further, all varieties of MIA languages that evolved from Sanskrit are subsumed under the term Prakrit. Alongside the Sanskrit, Persian and Arabic languages, have played the most instrumental role in the development of the poetry system in the 18–20th century. We suspect that it would become an extensive debate on the comparison of Indic and non-Indic poetry symmetries and characteristics. Thus, we just summarized the points that are essential w.r.t the rhythmic structure and meter, and broadly brought into the practice of Hindi-Urdu poetry since medieval era (the relevant details of ancient Indic prosody—aligned with the Vedic and Panini-Sanskrit—are given in footnotes). We maintain a conclusion by accounting the rule of Ghazal and relevant Perso-Arabic genres of poetry in the medieval and post-medieval era in India. Also, under the influence of Perso-Arabic culture, classical Hindi-Urdu poetry principally followed Arabic poetry meters.

Along with the meter, prosody in Hindi-Urdu poetry includes Qafiya ([qɑːfiːə], किफ़या; pl. Qawafee [qavɑːfiː]) that are the rhyming words; and Radif ([ɾəd̪ i:f], रदұफ़, / radif) which is the phrasal suffix to qafiya are intrinsic parts of the ghażal. However, the qafiya equally qualifies the concept of rhyming words, *tukan* (तुक) in Sanskrit or Hindi poetry, which heavily draws on Sanskrit vocabulary. Similarly, radif equivalently corresponds to the *charmann* (चर्मन्त) in the same context. As per rule, the radif (preceded by the qafiya) has to appear at the end of every second hemistich of ghażal. The radif in any ghażal brings focus to the thematic mood of poetry and sets the philosophical disposition. Furthermore, the radif not only entices the alluring poetic characteristic but also brings challenging criteria (for poets) to end every couplet in the same contextual ambiance. On an additional note, the usage of radif is found very common in Hindi-Urdu poetry and often observed in Persian and Turkic poetry. However, the usage of radif is absent in Arabic poetry.

It should be kept in mind that the Urdu language has the quality of syntactical construction of two words through the Izāfats; which are used exclusively in Hindi-Urdu poetry. This Per-Arabic stylistic construction of words replaces the post-position of a word (का का) and its different derived morphologies (के के) through reordering the surrounding words to bring rhythmic beauty in text/poetry. While the qafiya/rhyme will relate to the final word of the (syntactically constructed) compound word. The reordering is performed on two (or more) words in the following three manners (though the following text appears complex but in reality, it is per se sublime characteristics of Hindi-Urdu poetry):

1. **Zer-e-Izafat (ZI):** Appends a diacritic symbol ‘ (zer). For example, / sahar ki umid/; [literal 'hope of dawn'] will be rewritten as / sahar ki umid/; by appending zer at the end of first word / sahar/.

   The transliteration systems for romanizing Urdu-Hindi use ZI in two different manners, as we see ‘i’ and ‘e’ (38), we count both of them correct and valid for interchangeable use. For Devanagari script, we can use the vowel matra ए or its modified inherent form एः. ZI also relates adjectives to the surrounding nouns; however, adjectives (with ZI) come after nouns. For e.g.; / satr-e-sahar/; /Зaman-e-sahar/ (damp eye).

2. **Hamza-e-Izafat (HI):** Transforms the final alphabets if they are either / एः (bahi ye), / एः (choti ye) or / ‘ः (choti he) with hamzah ‘ to render as ‘ एः ‘ and ‘ एः ‘ respectively. For example, the text / wafa ka vaada/ / vaada/.

   1 Acharya Pingala (Indian mathematician and grammarian, c. 3rd/2nd century BCE) contributed the earliest work, Chandas, a disquisition for classical Sanskrit prosody. This work is named after him as Pingala shastra (Pingala’s science) and also known as Chand shastra (Chand’s science). Similarly, Kedara Bhatta (Indian prosodist, c. 950-1050 CE) made the most popular contribution to Sanskrit prosody, i.e., Vrittaratnakara [vṛttaratkara], which is comparatively largest repertoire to any other prosodic metrical tradition.

   2 Ghazal originated in Arabia, led to maturity in Persia, and found in the most hospitable state in the Indian subcontinent. It is considered as a metered genre of poetry. These well-defined meters, known as / bahr/ are used as a standard to judge the balance of couplets in ghazal. Technical definition of its composition can be realized as the set of couplets.

   3 Both languages appear at the 3rd place, as most spoken languages of the world, with 329.1M native speakers and 697.4M total speakers.
([literal] ‘promise of love/loyalty’) can be rewritten as /वादा-ए वफ़ा/ vāḍā-e-vafā/; and /कलश ўक वादҰ/ kalāsh ki wādi/ ([literal] valley of Kalash) will become /џवाद-ए कलश/ wādi-e-kalāsh/. Another transformation is made explicitly with the alphabet ‘ا’ (alif) or ‘و’ (wao) (39). For example, /诩ल ўک सदा/ dīl ki sadā/ ([literal] voice of heart) will become /सदा-ए ўदल/ sadā-e-dil/; and similarly, /ک़तील का बाज़ु/ qātil ka bāzu/ ([literal] arm of the killer) will be /बाज़ु-ए क़तील/ bāzu-e-qātil/. Likewise ZI, for Devanagari script HI employs vowel matra /ए/.

3. Wao-e-Izafat (WI): Inserts wao ‘و’ (wao) as an instead—shortened form of ‘اور’ /aur/ (means and). For example, instead of writing /ख़ाब और ख़याल/ khaab aur khayal/, ([literal] dreams and thought) we can rewrite it as /ख़ा-ओ-ख़याल/ khaab-o-khayal/. For Devanagari script, the vowel matra /ओ/ is used.

The example of qawafee and radif is elaborated in table 1, which renders the popular ghazal of famous Urdu poet Muhammad 'Iqbal'. These are four couplets, where every couplet contains a qafiya (marked with red text). The detailed review of the rhyming words are, for example, in first and third couplets: /गुलज़ार-ए-हःत-ओ-बूद न बेगाना-वार देख है देखने कҴ चीज़ इसे बार बार देख/ gulzār-e-hast-o-būd na begāna-vār dekh hai dekhne kī chiiz ise baar baar dekh/ (adjective; ‘apathetic’), /आया है तू जहाँ मӐ љमसाल-ए-शरार देख दम दे न जाए/ aayā hai tū jahāñ meñ misāl-e-sharār dekh dam de na jaa.e (adjective, ‘resembling sparks’); /माना ўक तेरҰ दҰद के क़ाजबल नहҰं हूँ मӔ तू मेरा शौक़ देख љमरा/ maanā ki terī diid ke qābil nahīñ huuñ maiñ tū merā shauq dekh (adjective, ‘resemblance of beloved’s feet’) the words subjected to rhyme are /शरार/ sharār (noun, ‘spark’); /ना-पाएदार/ na-pā-e-dār (adjective, ‘transient’), and /यार/ yār (noun, ‘friend’). Similarly, in the case of the presented example, the radif is /देख/ dekh (verb, ‘look’ or ‘see’), shown with the blue colour. Thus, we can observe the intent of the poet throughout the ghazal that he is saying to look or observe about different topics in each couplet.

### Table 1. Prosody limned in a famous ghazal said by ‘Iqbal’ (40).

| Hindi (Scripted in Devanagari) | Romanized Transliteration | English Translation |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| गुलज़ार-ए-हःत-ओ-बूद न बेगाना-वार देख है देखने कҴ चीज़ इसे बार बार देख | gulzār-e-hast-o-būd na begāna-vār dekh hai dekhne kī chiiz ise baar baar dekh | “Do not look at the garden of existence like a stranger It is a thing worth looking at, look at it repeatedly” |
| आया है तू जहाँ मӐ љमसाल-ए-शरार देख दम दे न जाए | aayā hai tū jahāñ meñ misāl-e-sharār dekh dam de na jaa.e | You have come into the world like a spark, beware Lest your ephemeral life may end suddenly, beware |
| माना ўक तेरҰ दҰद के क़ाजबल नहҰं हूँ मੇ तू मेरा शौक़ देख љमरा | maanā ki terī diid ke qābil nahīñ huuñ maiñ tū merā shauq dekh mirā intizār dekh | Granted that I am not worthy of your sight You should look: at my zeal, at my perseverance |
| kholī haiñ zauq-e-dīd ne āñkheñ tirī agar har rahguzar meñ naqsh-e-kaf-e-pā-e-yār dekh | kholi haïn zaauq-e-dīd ne anâkheñ tīri agar har rahguzar meñ naqsh-e-kaf-e-pā-e-yār dekh | If your eyes've been opened by the longing for sight Look for the foot prints of the beloved in every lane” |

The radif in ghazal is of a single word; however, radif with over single word do exist in Hindi-Urdu poetry. For better comprehension, consider a line from the famous ghazal of ‘Momin’ (42), where the text, likewise before, are respectively in red and blue colour for qafiya/rhyming word and radif.
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2.3 Related Work and Research Gap

The computational treatment of the poetic prosody for the Hindi-Urdu language showed an inconsequential contribution. In other ways, speech prosody has got comparatively more focus than the poetic prosody. We assume that the coverage of speech prosody is extraneous for this paper; however, the most recent work on the phonological and phonetic aspects in the Urdu are contributed by Hussain et al. and Jabeen et al. etc.

The work on the phonological aspects of Urdu poetry by comparing it to moraic weight was pursued by Hussain. It also evaluates the possibility of mapping Urdu poetry on the rules that mask Urdu proses. In the same regard, there exist many detailed texts, e.g., Rampuri, Pritchett and Khaliq, Abidi, and Rafi etc. for the comprehending Urdu poetry, prosody, and metrical rules; however, they suffice the linguistically-theoretical aspects of the analysis, yet the computational approaches are missing.

The computational treatment of Urdu poetry is mainly offered at two forums: Aruuz.com and Rekhta.org. Both of these are web-based solutions. The following two subsequent paragraphs are discussing both of these forums respectively.

Aruuz offers the metrical tagging of Urdu poems; which to mean technically is the splitting of a couplet for syntactic parsing as per the grammatical rules/meters. So, on inputting (at max.) a total of 4 hemstitches in Nastalique script Aruuz finds the closest meter of the couplet and tags words therein accordingly with the metrical units. Since version 2.0, Aruuz started mentioning the fluency score of the couplet. Figure 1 shows the output of Aruuz (upon inputting 2 opening couplets of a ghazal penned by veteran Indian lyricist Javed Akhter). Aruuz describes the ghazal is said to be written in 'Beher-e-Hindi' with a fluency score of 8. Other than the aforementioned task, Aruuz also suggests similar works that are composed in the same meter.

Rekhta is making a tremendous effort by maintaining the repository of Hindi-Urdu literature, which covers poetry in almost all genres and preservation of classical Urdu text in the form of e-books. It also offers an online lookup tool, namely, 'Qafiya Dictionary' (QD) for qafiya searching. On giving a word, Rekhta shows the rhyming words in terms of Exact and Close categories. However, the technique applied for searching and retrieval of rhyming words is unknown.

Besides the two of the aforementioned web-forums, we find no work done particularly for retrieval of rhyming words. Thus, we assume the work presented in this paper is novel per se. Forbye it, authors humbly maintained that the proposed methodology is bit straightforward and produces a baseline result, which at this moment cannot be compared with any of the previous work. Though, we have tried to compare our results with QD and found that not only QD lacks the entry of many words but also it lags behinds to show appropriate rhyming words.

3 Material and Method

3.1 Data

In recent times, the use of the resources available on the Internet has become a common practice. Following the same fashion, the dataset for this research work is also prepared from the websites designed for Urdu poetry. We opt Rekhta as the primary source for data scraping and further the construction of parallel corpus. As mentioned in §2.3, the website is not only a leading repository of Urdu poetry; it also offers poetry in various scripts to help the readers of Urdu poetry in Nastalique/modified Perso-Arabic; Devanagari and Roman Urdu scripts. We would also like to mention that the scraper is built with BeautifulSoup, which is a well-known Python package for this sort of task.

A consolidated overview of the scraped data used in this research work is listed in table 2. Including ghazals, it shows a variety of all available poetry genres at Rekhta, such as nazam, dohe, qita, marsiya, mukhammas, manqabat, masnavi, naat, qasida, sehra, salaam, and rubái. Since, not every genre of poetry has a set of paired hemstitch (i.e., a couple), therefore, the count of hemstitches is reported. The statistics for the compounds are calculated with the list of tri-grams; such that the whole corpus is processed to workout list of n-grams (for word and characters both \( n \in 1 \cdots 4 \)); (for Hindi) and ‘e’ (for Roman-Urdu) to form a ZI with surrounding tokens. Similarly, through the list of bi-grams of Urdu sub-set, we count every item where the first entity ends with the diacritic ‘zer’. Mathematically, suppose \( nW^g \) is the list of word n-grams for language g; then,

\[
\text{Hindi ZI} = \| \{ x \mid x \in 3W^{\text{hindi}} \text{ if } x[1] = '़' \} \|
\]
Fig 1. Screenshot of output rendered by Aruuz.com on the ghazal written by Javed Akhtar.

| Linguistic Features              | Urdu   | Hindi   | Roman Urdu |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|------------|
| Total Hemistichs                 | 619,646| 583,703 | 518,573    |
| Total Tokens                     | 4.88 M | 4.30 M  | 4.28 M     |
| Distinct Tokens                  | 50,550 | 49,469  | 43,448     |
| Distinct compounds with Zer-e-Izafat (ZI) | 74,985 | 54,860  | 51,889     |
| Distinct compounds with Wao-e-Izafat (WI) | 8,537  | 6,389   | 5,629      |
| Distinct compounds with ZI & WI both | 20,450 | 14,962  | 14,757     |
| Distinct combinations of final character uni-gram | 111    | 98      | 61         |
| Distinct combinations of final character bi-gram | 1,688  | 1,524   | 681        |
| Distinct combinations of final character tri-gram | 10,690 | 9,477   | 4,325      |
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Roman-Urdu ZI = \| \{ x \mid x \in 3W_{\text{roman-urdu}} \text{ if } x[1] = 'e' \} \| \tag{2}

Urdu ZI = \| \{ x \mid x \in 2W_{\text{urdu}} \text{ if } x[0][l-1] = 'Y' \} \| \tag{3}

The counting for WI undergoes the same process for Urdu, Hindi and Roman Urdu. The second entity in the tri-gram list is checked for ‘ओ’ (for Hindi), ‘o’ (for Roman-Urdu), and for Urdu, we use the list of tri-grams where the second entity is ‘و’. These alphabets can be substituted in the criteria mentioned in equation 1 with respective languages to get the count of WI. Moreover, for Hindi and Roman Urdu, the number of compounds having both ZI and WI are counted where the entity at the odd indices of every tri-gram is the alphabet that delimits WI or ZI. Counting compounds having both ZI and WI for Urdu in Nastalique script is a complex task; authors find two ways of dealing with it: a) using tri-grams such that the last character of the first entity should be ‘e’ and the last entity to be ‘و’, and b) first entity should be ‘و’ followed by the last character to be ‘e’ in the second entity of tri-gram. Another insight into the dataset w.r.t combination of final character n-grams that the dataset seldom shows irregularities, such as after processing, we saw few non-Perso-Arabic alphabets, numbers, and symbols along with Perso-Arabic alphabets. However, n-grams with these irregularities are removed before employing the proposed methodology.

\begin{algorithm}
\caption{Data Preprocessing}
\begin{algorithmic}[1]
\Procedure{PreProcess}{$C$} \Comment{Let $C$ be the corpus/set of poems.}
\State $U \leftarrow D \leftarrow R \leftarrow \{ \text{ be an empty set } \} \Comment{Such that the symbols $U$, $D$ and $R$ respectively denote empty set for Nastalique, Devanagari, and Roman scripts.}
\ForAll{poem $P \in C$}
\State for all $i \in \{0 \cdots \|P[u] - 1\|\}$ do
\State $U \leftarrow U \cup \{ \text{ set of tokenized items in } P[u][i] \}$
\State $D \leftarrow D \cup \{ \text{ set of tokenized items in } P[d][i] \}$
\State $R \leftarrow R \cup \{ \text{ set of tokenized items in } P[r][i] \}$
\State $G \leftarrow \{ \text{ final character n-gram of } w | w \in U, \forall n \in \{1, \cdots , 3\}\}$
\State $I \leftarrow \{ g | w | w \in U; \text{ if final character } \|g\|-\text{gram of } w = g \} | g \in G\}$
\State return $I$
\EndFor
\EndProcedure
\end{algorithmic}
\end{algorithm}

While scraping poems from Rekhta.org, we saved every poem $P$, with all three scripts (i.e., Nastalique, Devanagari, and Roman-Urdu) as a dictionary in the separate JSON files. Thus, let $H_U$, $H_R$, and $H_D$, be the list of hemstitches in $P$ respectively corresponding to the Nastalique, Romanized, and Devanagari scripts; hence more formally $P \leftarrow \{ u \rightarrow H_U, r \rightarrow H_R, d \rightarrow H_D \}$ .

The whole corpus $C$ is a collection of poems such that $C \leftarrow \{ P_1, \cdots , P_n \}$ , where $n$ is the total number of poems. For $C$, algorithm 1 shows the preprocessing steps in which we worked out a dictionary $I$ such as the character $n$-gram is the key against which a set of such words is retained that end on the respective character $n$-gram. Step 7 and 8 in algorithm 1 show the work with the Urdu vocabulary. However, in practice, these steps were also executed for the Devanagari and Roman-Urdu subsets as well.

3.2 Proposed methodology

This section is particularly divided into 2 subsections. Each one is dedicatedly describing the proposed methodology such that in §3.2.1 and §3.2.2 simple qafiya and radif-based qafiya searching is presented.

3.2.1 Top-t Qafiya Search (QS)

After the preprocessing, retrieval of the top-t relevant words is made. In result, a list of qawafee, sorted in the ascending order of distances is brought. For calculating distance between two words, we used widely employed distance metrics such...
as Hamming (Ham.)\(^{53}\), Levenshtein (Lev.)\(^{54}\), Damerau–Levenshtein (D–L)\(^{55}\), and Jaro–Winkler (J–W)\(^{56}\) distance. Since these algorithms are quite well-known and well understood, therefore, we skip the technical details. Algorithm 2 shows the procedure for QS. In step 3 and 4, the symbol \(\setminus\) indicates set minus operation. In steps 5–7, one of the aforementioned metrics is shown with function \(\Delta(c, W)\) where \(C\) and \(W\) are the candidate and target words. In line 11, the set operator \(\sqcup\) denotes the sequential concatenating of two tuples, for example, suppose \(\lambda_1 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle\) and \(\lambda_2 = \langle y_1, y_3, y_2 \rangle\) then \(\lambda_1 \sqcup \lambda_2 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_3, y_2 \rangle\).

**Algorithm 2. Qafiya Searching**

1. procedure \(QS(I, W, t)\) \(<\ I\ be\ the\ preprocessed\ dictionary\ (see\ algorithm\ 1),\ W\ be\ the\ target\ word;\ and\ t\ be\ the\ number\ of\ top\ suggestions.\)
2. \(w_1, w_2, w_3 \leftarrow \) be the respective final character uni-gram, bi-gram and tri-gram of the word \(W\).
3. \(L_2 \leftarrow I[w_2] \setminus L_3\) \(<\ be\ the\ set\ of\ words\ that\ ends\ on\ w_2\ excluding\ words\ \epsilon\ L_3.\)
4. \(L_1 \leftarrow I[w_1] \setminus (L_2 \cup L_3)\) \(<\ be\ the\ set\ of\ words\ that\ ends\ on\ w_1\ excluding\ words\ \epsilon\ L_3 \cup L_2.\)
5. \(S_1 \leftarrow \{c \rightarrow \Delta(c, W) \mid c \in L_1\}\) \(<\ be\ the\ score\ dictionary\ w.r.t\ words\ in\ L_1;\ key\ is\ candidate\ word\ and\ value\ is\ \Delta(c).\)
6. \(S_2 \leftarrow \{c \rightarrow \Delta(c, W) \mid c \in L_2\}\) \(<\ be\ the\ score\ dictionary\ w.r.t\ words\ in\ L_2;\ key\ is\ candidate\ word\ and\ value\ is\ \Delta(c).\)
7. \(S_3 \leftarrow \{c \rightarrow \Delta(c, W) \mid c \in L_3\}\) \(<\ be\ the\ score\ dictionary\ w.r.t\ words\ in\ L_3;\ key\ is\ candidate\ word\ and\ value\ is\ \Delta(c).\)
8. \(S_1' \leftarrow \{\text{be\ the\ list\ of\ words,\ sorted\ w.r.t\ values\ in\ } S_1\}\)
9. \(S_2' \leftarrow \{\text{be\ the\ list\ of\ words,\ sorted\ w.r.t\ values\ in\ } S_2\}\)
10. \(S_3' \leftarrow \{\text{be\ the\ list\ of\ words,\ sorted\ w.r.t\ values\ in\ } S_3\}\)
11. \(R \leftarrow \{S_3', S_2', S_1\}\) \(<\ be\ the\ consolidated\ list\ of\ resultant\ qawafee/rhyming\ words,\ merged\ sequentially.\)
12. return \(R[0 : t]\) if \(t \neq -1\) else \(R\).

### 3.2.2 Radif-based Qafiya Search (RBQS)

Authors exert a widely employed method for next word prediction through language modeling\(^{57}\). We calculate the chance for next word \((w_i)\) to appear via conditional probability\((P)\) with its previous words \((P\) is expandable through chain-rule, as shown in equation 4).

\[
P(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{i-1}, w_i) = P(w_i \mid w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{i-1}) = \prod_{j} P(w_j \mid w_1 \cdots w_{j-1}); \quad i > 1
\]

(4)

Since, for radif, there can be too many combinations of words (depending on its size, i.e., number of tokens therein), therefore, we remodel \(P\) as \(P'\) with Markov assumption, and limit the sequence of words to \(n\)-grams (see equation 5). Since qafiya appears before radif; and we are more interested into finding suitable qawafee w.r.t a target qafiya \(Q\) on provided radif \(R\), thus, use of bi-grams in Markov Model (MM) would make sense\(^{57}\), such that the probability for candidate qafiya is calculated given the
first token in \( \mathbb{R} \).

\[
P'(w_{i-n}, \cdots, w_{i-1}, w_i) \approx P'(w_i | w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{i-1}) \\
\approx \prod_i P'(w_i | w_{i-n}, \cdots, w_{i-1}) : i > 1
\]  

(5)

Equation 6 renders MM for bi-gram with add-1 smoothed scoring function \( \Phi(\cdot) \) which takes a candidate qaftiya \( c \), first token \( r \) in radif \( \mathbb{R} \), and dictionaries of uni- and bi-grams \( D_1, D_2 \); while algorithm 3 shows the overall procedure for RBQS.

\[
\Phi(c, r, D_1, D_2) = P(c | r) = \frac{\| c, r \| + 1}{\| r \| + \text{vocab}} = \frac{D_2[(c, r)] + 1}{D_1[r] + \| D_1 \|}
\]  

(6)

**Algorithm 3. Radif-based Qaftiya Searching**

0: **procedure** RBQS(\( I, R, D_1, D_2, Q, t \))  

\(< I \) be the preprocessed dictionary (see algorithm 1); \( R \) be the tuple of tokenized radif; \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) respectively, be the dictionary of uni- and bi-grams, where the key is \( x \)-gram and value, is its frequency in the corpus; \( Q \) be the target qaftiya, and \( t \) be the number of top suggestions.

1: \( C \leftarrow QS(I, Q, -1) \)  

\(< \) Using algorithm 2, we retrieve a list of all qawafe for the given \( Q \).

2: \( S \leftarrow \{ c \rightarrow \Phi(c, R[0], D_1, D_2) | c \in C \} \)  

\(< \) be a dictionary where key is candidate word and value is \( \Phi(\cdot) \).

3: \( S' \leftarrow \{ \text{be the list of words, sorted w.r.t values in } S \} \)

4: **return** \( S'[0 : t] \) if \( t \neq -1 \) else \( S' \)

**4 Results and Discussion**

**4.1 Proposed methodology vs Rekhta org**

The presented work appeared to be unique for both methodology and results. The proposed method is thoroughly tested with various words and compared with the Qaftiya Dictionary (QD) powered by Rekhta. We found that QD often fails to produce good results when the target word is bigger in length. To a higher degree of surprise, a lot of common words are absent in the QD. Though the proposed method is thoroughly tested and the entire lists of retrieved rhyming words were manually examined but to keep the space top-3 rhyming words are reported for each distance metric.

To show the comparison between the QD and proposed work we have selected target word \( \text{समझे} \) (verb; ‘understand’). QD responded with no results in their Exact category, while the results in the category Close are not enough invigorating for prosody\(^3\). Figure 2a and 2b show the output of the QD for Exact and Close categories respectively for the given word. At times it appears that QD begins to produce derivational morphology of a word w.r.t future tense or to use the honorific style communication, for instance, pahnaaoge (literal ‘make dressed’) (see figure 2b, the first word under section ‘2222’), thus we cannot count it to be a better rhyming word. In comparison to QD, the method proposed in this work retrieves better words for rhyming as shown in table 3.

---

\(^3\)https://www.rekhta.org/qafia/?qafia=samjhe (accessed May 30, 2020)
Table 3. Resulting rhymes (through the proposed methodology) on given the target word /समझ [səmdʒʱe].

| Qafiya Distance                  | Top-3 Qafiya                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Hamming Distance                | 1. सुलझे [sʊldʒʰe] (untangle)                     |
|                                  | 2. सूझे [su:dʒʰe] (brainstorm)                    |
|                                  | 3. साझे [sa:dʒʰe] (partnership)                   |
| Damerau–Levenshtein Distance    | 1. सुलझे [sʊldʒʰe]                                |
|                                  | 2. सूझे [su:dʒʰe]                                 |
|                                  | 3. साझे [sa:dʒʰe]                                 |
| Levenshtein Distance            | 1. साझे [sa:dʒʰe]                                 |
|                                  | 2. रҰझे [ri:dʒʰe] (fond of)                        |
|                                  | 3. राँझे [ra:dʒʰe] (plural of Punjabi-folk character) |
| Jaro–Winkler Distance           | 1. साझे [sa:dʒʰe]                                 |
|                                  | 2. रूझे [ru:dʒʰe] (solved)                        |
|                                  | 3. रҰझे [ri:dʒʰe]                                 |

Fig 2. Screenshot of output rendered by Qaafiya Dictionary powered by Rekhta.org against the word /समझ [səmdʒALLERY].

4.2 Agreement between distance metrics

We are also interested in the quantified answer to show the inter-agreement or inter-similarity between the word lists produced by different metrics. Hence, the two ordered sets of rhyming words (A and B) produced with different edit-distances are tested in a pair-wise fashion. Since the similarity between any two metrics is symmetrical, i.e., have shown only the lower triangle in table 4.

\[
M(B, A) = M(A, B) = \frac{\sum 1 \text{ if } A_i = B_i \text{ else } 0}{\min(\|A\|, \|B\|)} \tag{7}
\]
Table 4. Comparison of inter-distance metrics similarities. Abbreviations in columns (left to right) and rows (top to bottom) respectively point to Hamming, Levenshtein, Damerau–Levenshtein, and Jaro–Winkler distances. The rightmost column shows the average.

|       | Ham. | Lev. | D–L | J–W | ád  |
|-------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Ham.  | 1.   | .0124| .0130| .027 | .0093|
| Lev.  | .0124| 1.   | .5844| .0011| .1993|
| D–L   | .0130| .5844| 1.   | .0111| .1995|
| J–W   | .027 | .0011| .0111| 1.   | .0016|

We can see (in table 4) the least similarity is found between J–W and D–L distances i.e., .1% and in contrast, D–L and Levenshtein distances perform roughly similar in comparison to the rest of distance metrics. However, the rest of all numeric figures (except diagonal) in table 4 are significantly low which to mean intuitively reflects word lists possessing a variety of different words.

Consider table 4 (the left portion, before the vertical bar) as a square matrix, namely $D$. Thus, from the matrix averaged similarity of a distance metric ($D[i,j]$) is calculated via equation 8. Forbye it, we have ensured to exclude the value of the metric itself (values at diagonal) in the computation.

$$\bar{d}_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} D[i, c]; \text{ if } c \neq i \right) + \left( \sum_{r=i+1}^{n-1} D[r, i]; \text{ if } i + 1 < n \right)$$

(8)

Where $n$ is the number of metrics, $i$ is the index of the corresponding metric, $c$ and $r$ represents the row and column incidences.

### 4.3 Radif-based Qafariya Search

The results for radif-based qafariya search are also positive. Results for the RBQS are shown in table 5, where (in row 1) we can see the suggested rhymes based on single word radif and similarly rhymes for the multi-word radif (in row 2). We notice that not only the rhymes are appropriate but also the intrinsic sense of formed phrases (radif+qafariya) are semantically correct. This is due to the employment of language modeling technique that (outside the supervised learning techniques) is very cursory in next word predictions; hence, the technique further elicits candidate rhymes according to the probability of its usage w.r.t the previous words available in the corpus.

| Resulting rhymes with the radif consisting of a single word. | Qafariya / दखऱ्व [d̪e:kɦẽ:] (subjunctive form verb (SFV), ‘see’) | Radif / गे [ge:] (future modal verb, ‘will’) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1. रखऱ्व गे [rəkhẽ:] (SFV, ‘put’ or ‘keep’) → रखऱ्व ग<sup>ा</sup>      | 1. रखऱ्व गे [rəkhẽ:] (SFV, ‘put’ or ‘keep’) → रखऱ्व ग<sup>ा</sup>      |                                             |
| 2. बैठऱ्व गे [be:ʈɦẽ:] (SFV, ‘sit’) → बैठऱ्व ग<sup>ा</sup>          | 2. बैठऱ्व गे [be:ʈɦẽ:] (SFV, ‘sit’) → बैठऱ्व ग<sup>ा</sup>          |                                             |
| 3. समझऱ्व [səmdʒɦẽ:] (SFV, ‘understand’) → समझऱ्व ग<sup>ा</sup>   | 3. समझऱ्व [səmdʒɦẽ:] (SFV, ‘understand’) → समझऱ्व ग<sup>ा</sup>   |                                             |

| Resulting rhymes with the radif consisting of two words. | Qafariya / हुआ [hʊvâ] (perfective verb, ‘happen’) | Radif / का: [kja:] (WH phrase, literal) ‘what’) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1. मुआ [muː] (adjective, ‘poor’) → का: मुआ / का: मुआ का: है | 1. मुआ [muː] (adjective, ‘poor’) → का: मुआ / का: मुआ का: है |                                           |
| 2. दां ले [doʔɑː] (verb, ‘pray’) → का: दां ले / दां ले का: है | 2. दां ले [doʔɑː] (verb, ‘pray’) → का: दां ले / दां ले का: है |                                           |
| 3. छु आ [tʃuː] (verb, ‘touch’) → का: छु आ / छु आ का: है | 3. छु आ [tʃuː] (verb, ‘touch’) → का: छु आ / छु आ का: है |                                           |
4.4 Running time analysis of distance metrics

The overall time taken in preprocessing datasets and producing dictionaries does not matter, but for the sake of reporting, the yielded figures are—on a machine with a configuration of core i7 and Ubuntu as the operating system—is \( \approx 36 \) minutes. Thus, rather making discussion on the preprocessing time, we share insights into the running time elapsed by distance metrics we performed a full-throttle experiment; which involves retrieval of top 1500 rhyming words against 1000 most frequent and distinct words (excluding stopwords) in the poetry corpus. Keeping the symmetric behavior of distance metric in mind, we have implemented two specific checks to ensure inputting words are not the same; and the distance for the same pair of words is not re-calculated.

![Fig 3. Total and average running time elapsed by distance metrics in retrieving top-1500 rhymes for 1000 distinct words.](image)

Figure 3 shows the overall running time for all distance metrics as per the system limits, experiment settings, and criterion defined above. On the left subplot, the overall running time is shown whereas the right subplot shows the running time of a single word pair. Thus, for \((1000 \times 1500 = 1.5 \ M)\) comparison, we can see the most robust distance metric is Jaro–Winkler followed by Hamming distance. The laziest metric to calculate differences among \(1.5 \ M\) distinct pairs of words is vanilla Levenshtein distance. The running time for the single word pair appears to be the same but in proportions of microseconds.

5 Conclusion and Future Work

A good assembly of rhyming words is not only core of the poetry but also adds poetic flavor to the prose. Regular expression-based methods can guarantee you the quick lookups but the retrieved results are not ranked. In contrast, this paper effectively shows the utility of the n-gram suffixes and edit-distance metrics for the retrieval of ranked-rhyme suggestions. Among all distance metrics, Jaro–Winkler distance is found to be the most favorable metric for rhyme suggestions in terms of the running time and the variety of rhymes. The work is done for Hindi/Urdu poetry by exploiting the tri-script poetry corpus. One natural question arises that instead of the poetry corpus, why did not a monolingual prose corpus is inducted? Hence, to answer the query, authors maintain that though the monolingual prose corpus will share more variety of words but on the same note poetic quality in n-grams diminish. Thus, we recommend enriching the poetry corpus instead of exploiting prose corpus.

We have noticed that for Hindi, character n-grams should be used where

In the future, the existing work can be appraised by considering phonetic value comparison; specifically for standard Urdu, where under human cognition it is very easy to pronounce words with or without diacritics (harakats); however, in practice words may sound differently even they end on the same final character n-gram. Another potential future work can be the retrieval of suggestions w.r.t the metrical weights of the Hindi/Urdu poetry system.
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