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Background
Long-acting injectable formulations of antipsychotics are treatment alternatives to oral agents.

Aims
To assess the efficacy of aripiprazole once-monthly compared with oral aripiprazole for maintenance treatment of schizophrenia.

Method
A 38-week, double-blind, active-controlled, non-inferiority study, randomisation (2:2:1) to aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, oral aripiprazole (10–30 mg/day) or aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg (a dose below the therapeutic threshold for assay sensitivity). (Trial registration: clinicaltrials.gov, NCT00706654.)

Results
A total of 1118 patients were screened, and 662 responders to oral aripiprazole were randomised. Kaplan–Meier estimated impending relapse rates at week 26 were 7.12% for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg and 7.76% for oral aripiprazole. This difference (−0.64%, 95% CI −5.26 to 3.99) excluded the predefined non-inferiority margin of 11.5%. Treatments were superior to aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg (21.80%, P < 0.001).

Conclusions
Aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg was non-inferior to oral aripiprazole, and the reduction in Kaplan–Meier estimated impending relapse rate at week 26 was statistically significant vs. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg.
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Long-acting injectable (LAI) formulations of antipsychotics are valuable treatment alternatives to oral agents, offering continuous medication delivery and favourable dosing intervals. Evidence suggests that many patients accept and may even prefer LAI medication delivery and favourable dosing intervals. Evidence suggests LAI formulations are valuable treatment alternatives to oral agents, offering continuous treatment and tolerability similar to the established profile of the approved oral product. The objective of the present randomised, double-blind, active-controlled, non-inferiority study was to assess the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of aripiprazole once-monthly (400 mg) for the maintenance treatment of schizophrenia compared with oral aripiprazole and in comparison with a suboptimal dose of aripiprazole once-monthly (50 mg). A suboptimal dose was included to confirm assay sensitivity (i.e. to demonstrate that the study was able to differentiate an effective treatment from a less effective or ineffective intervention by demonstrating superior efficacy).

Method
This was a 38-week, multicentre, randomised, double-blind, active-controlled study to evaluate the efficacy, safety and tolerability of an intramuscular depot formulation of aripiprazole (OPC-14597) as maintenance treatment in patients with schizophrenia.
schizophrenia (ASPIRE EU: Aripiprazole intramuscular depot program in schizophrenia, trial registration: clinicaltrials.gov, NCT00706654). The study consisted of a screening phase and three treatment phases (phases 1–3). Eligibility was determined during the screening phase (2–42 days). In treatment phase 1 (oral conversion phase, 4–6 weeks), patients were cross-titrated during weekly visits from other antipsychotic(s) to oral aripiprazole monotherapy to achieve a target dose of 10–15 mg/day. Patients receiving oral aripiprazole monotherapy for schizophrenia at screening entered the study directly at phase 2.

In phase 2 (oral stabilisation phase, 8–28 weeks), patients were assessed fortnightly and stabilised on oral aripiprazole (10–30 mg/day). Stability was defined as meeting the following criteria for 8 consecutive weeks: out-patient status; Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) \( \leq 80 \) and a score of \( \leq 4 \) (moderate) on each of the following items (possible scores of 1–7 for each item): conceptual disorganisation, suspiciousness, hallucinatory behaviour, and unusual thought content; Clinical Global Impression – Severity (CGI-S) score \( \leq 4 \) (moderately ill); and Clinical Global Impression – Severity of Suicidality (CGI-SS) score \( \leq 2 \) (mildly suicidal) on Part 1 and \( \leq 5 \) (minimally worsened) on Part 2. The definition of stability was approved by the EMA and was similar to other stability definitions used in previous studies of approved LAIs for the treatment of schizophrenia.\(^8\)

In phase 3 (double-blind maintenance phase for up to 38 weeks), eligible patients were randomised 2:2:1 to aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, oral aripiprazole (10–30 mg/day) or aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg. Aripiprazole once-monthly was administered into the gluteal muscle using a double-dummy design such that all patients, including those randomised to oral aripiprazole, received an injection. For patients randomised to aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg or 50 mg, a one-time option to decrease to 300 mg or 25 mg, respectively, was permitted, as was a one-time return to the original assigned dose. Patients treated with aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg or 50 mg received concomitant oral aripiprazole (10–20 mg) for 2 weeks from the date of randomisation, and then placebo tablets thereafter. This dosing strategy was based on previous pharmacokinetic studies that demonstrated that 400 and 300 mg aripiprazole once-monthly exhibited pharmacokinetic and safety profiles similar to those of multiple, consecutive, daily oral doses of 10–30 mg aripiprazole monotherapy.\(^9\) For patients randomised to oral aripiprazole, a one-time change in dose (increase or decrease) and a one-time reversal of the change (decrease in dose if previously increased or increase in dose if previously decreased) was permitted as long as the dose remained within the range of 10–30 mg daily.

The study was conducted at 105 centres in Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Chile, Croatia, Estonia, France, Hungary, Italy, South Korea, Poland, South Africa, Thailand and the USA between 26 September 2008 and 31 August 2012. In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, the ethics committee at each site approved the protocol. After complete description of the study to patients, written informed consent was obtained.

Patients

Eligible patients were aged 18–60 years and had a diagnosis of schizophrenia according to DSM-IV-TR\(^{10} \) criteria for \( \geq 3 \) years and a history of symptom exacerbation when not receiving antipsychotic treatment. Patients needed to have been responsive to antipsychotic treatment (other than clozapine) in the past year. Key exclusion criteria included a DSM-IV-TR diagnosis other than schizophrenia; uncontrolled thyroid function abnormalities; a history of seizures, neuroleptic malignant syndrome, clinically relevant tardive dyskinesia, or other medical condition that would expose the patient to undue risk or interfere with study assessments. Patients who had been admitted to hospital, including for psychosocial reasons, for >30 days total of the 90 days preceding entry into phase 1 or 2 of the study after screening were excluded. Individuals were also excluded if they met DSM-IV-TR criteria for substance dependence, including alcohol and benzodiazepines but excluding nicotine and caffeine. Additional exclusion criteria are noted in online supplement DS1.

Assessments

Primary outcome

The primary outcome was the Kaplan–Meier estimated impending relapse rate from the date of randomisation to the end of week 26. Patients were assessed for impending relapse, defined as meeting any one or more of the following specified individual criteria at any time during phase 3:

(a) CGI – Improvement (CGI-I) of \( \geq 5 \) (minimally worse) and either an increase on any of four individual PANSS items (conceptual disorganisation, hallucinatory behaviour, suspiciousness or unusual thought content) to a score \( > 4 \) with an absolute increase of \( \geq 2 \) on that specific item since randomisation, or an increase to \( > 4 \) on one of those PANSS items and an absolute increase of \( \geq 4 \) on the combined score of those items;

(b) admission to hospital as a result of worsening of psychotic symptoms;

(c) CGI-SS score of 4 (severely suicidal) or 5 (attempted suicide) on Part 1 and/or of 6 (much worse) or 7 (very much worse) on Part 2; and

(d) violent behaviour resulting in clinically relevant self-injury, injury to another person or property damage.

Secondary efficacy outcomes

Time to observed impending relapse and observed rate of impending relapse at week 38. Secondary efficacy assessments included time to observed impending relapse (time to earliest date that the patient met \( \geq 1 \) of the impending relapse criteria (criteria defined above in the section on Primary outcome)) from randomisation to study end-point (week 38). Observed impending relapse rate at study end-point (week 38) was also compared. Assessments included only those patients who met impending relapse criteria and were calculated as the earliest date the patient met \( \geq 1 \) of the impending relapse criteria minus the randomised date plus 1. Hazard ratios were used to evaluate the risk of observed impending relapse (see Statistical analyses section).

Responders and remitters. Other secondary efficacy assessments included the percentage of responders (i.e. meeting stability criteria (see Study design)) at the last study visit and the percentage of patients achieving remission according to predefined criteria\(^{11} \) (i.e. a score of \( \leq 3 \) on each of eight specific PANSS items, maintained for a period of 6 months: delusions (P1); unusual thought content (G9); hallucinatory behaviour (P3); conceptual disorganisation (P2); mannerisms/posturing (G5); blunted affect (N1); social withdrawal (N4); and lack of spontaneity (N6)).

Other efficacy outcomes

Additional efficacy outcomes included time to all-cause discontinuation following randomisation; mean change from baseline (defined as the last visit with available data prior to
randomisation) in PANSS total scores and CGI-S; and mean CGI-I score at end-point.

Safety outcomes

Adverse events were examined by frequency, severity, seriousness and according to whether they resulted in discontinuation from the trial. The incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events related to extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS) were summarised by the following event categories: akathisia, dyskinetic, dystonic, Parkinsonism and residual. The following scales were also used to assess EPS: Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS), Simpson–Angus Scale (SAS), and Barnes Akathisia Rating Scale (BARS). The CGI-SS scale and the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) were used to assess the risk of suicide events during the study. Intensity of injection pain was assessed by patients using a visual analogue scale (VAS; 0 mm, no pain to 100 mm, unbearably painful) and assessed by investigators in domains of pain, swelling, redness and induration. The incidence of clinically relevant changes was calculated for vital events during the study. The incidence of clinically relevant changes were calculated for electrocardiogram (ECG) parameters, prolactin concentration and body weight.

Statistical analyses

The intent-to-treat (ITT) sample included all patients randomised to the double-blind treatment. The efficacy sample included all patients who received at least one dose of treatment and had at least one efficacy outcome assessment in the double-blind, active-controlled phase. The safety sample included all patients who were randomised to double-blind treatment and received at least one efficacy outcome assessment in the double-blind, active-controlled phase.

Primary outcome (ITT sample)

The primary efficacy analysis evaluated the non-inferiority of aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg to oral aripiprazole (10–30 mg/day) using the 95% bilateral confidence interval of the difference in estimated impending relapse rate at week 26 from Kaplan–Meier curve estimates using the ITT population. In order to compute this confidence interval, the proportion of patients with impending relapse for each treatment group was computed using the Greenwood formula. The Kaplan–Meier estimated impending relapse rates at week 26 were calculated as 1 minus the proportions of patients free of impending relapse events. Standard errors were calculated using Greenwood’s formula. The 95% confidence interval of difference in the estimated impending relapse rate between aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg and oral aripiprazole were provided using the pooled standard error with assumption of normality of the estimated difference. A similar methodology was used in computation of the z-statistic for comparison of aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg with aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg in the estimated impending relapse rate at week 26.

Secondary efficacy outcomes

Time to observed impending relapse and observed rate of impending relapse at week 38 (ITT sample). Time to observed impending relapse (based on all available relapse data through week 38) for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, oral aripiprazole and aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg was compared using the log-rank test. The observed impending relapse rate were compared between groups using the chi-squared test. Hazard ratios (and two-sided 95% confidence interval for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg v. oral aripiprazole and aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg, and for oral aripiprazole v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg) for risk of observed impending relapse were analysed using a Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as the factor.

Responders (ITT sample) and remitters. The proportion of responders at last visit in phase 3 and the proportion of patients achieving remission were analysed using the chi-squared test. Only patients who remained in the trial for at least 6 months were included in the calculation of remission rates.

Other efficacy outcomes

Time to all-cause discontinuation (ITT sample). Kaplan–Meier curves for the time to discontinuation as a result of all causes were plotted and analysed using the log-rank test.

PANSS, CGI-S, and CGI-I (efficacy sample, last observation carried forward and observed cases). Mean changes from baseline in PANSS total score and CGI-S score were analysed by visit using ANCOVA controlling for treatment and baseline value using last observation carried forward (LOCF). Mean CGI-I scores at week 38 were analysed using the Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel method based on raw mean score statistics using LOCF. Observed cases data are shown in online Table DS1.

Safety outcomes (safety sample, observed cases and LOCF)

Safety outcomes, including changes from baseline in weight, metabolic parameters and EPS scale scores (BARS, AIMS, and SAS) during phase 3 were analysed using descriptive statistics (observed cases) and/or ANCOVA with treatment as a factor and baseline (score at the end of phase 2) as a covariate (LOCF). In this main report, safety outcomes are reported as observed cases; the online Table DS2 contains observed cases results for metabolic parameters; LOCF findings for safety data are reported in online Table D83, where available.

Sample size

Sample sizes were estimated to achieve approximately 93% power for the primary non-inferiority comparison at \( P = 0.05 \) (two-sided) using large sample normal approximations for the distribution of the difference in binomial proportions. It was assumed that 18% of patients would meet the criteria for an impending relapse at or before week 26 in the oral aripiprazole treatment arm. The resulting sample size was projected to be 260 patients per arm for aripiprazole once-monthly and oral aripiprazole therapies. The predefined non-inferiority margin was 11.5%. This margin was based on data from a previous trial that compared relapse rates for oral aripiprazole and placebo showing estimated relapse rates of 37.4% for oral aripiprazole and 60.6% for placebo at week 26, leading to a one-sided 97.5% lower confidence interval of 15%. Given the potential adherence advantage of an LAI formulation, a conservative margin of 11.5% was selected for the current trial. Non-inferiority was demonstrated if the upper bound of the 95% confidence interval was below the predefined margin. If non-inferiority was not established, comparison of aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg with aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg was performed by examining the difference between the estimated impending relapse...
rates using $z$-statistics for statistical significance at the 0.05 significance level (two-sided).

## Results

### Patient disposition and characteristics

Of 1118 patients screened, 709 patients entered the oral conversion phase (phase 1) and 842 entered the oral stabilisation phase (phase 2), including 228 patients who were already receiving oral aripiprazole and entered phase 2 directly (Fig. 1). A total of 662 patients were randomised to double-blind treatment in phase 3 (Fig. 1). The number of individuals who dropped out because of adverse events was low in the aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg group and oral aripiprazole group; the main reason for discontinuation during phase 3 was patient withdrawal of consent (Fig. 1). For patients receiving aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg, the main reason for discontinuation was impending relapse with an adverse event.

The ITT sample, efficacy sample, and safety sample each comprised a total of 662 patients, including 265 in the aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg group, 266 in the oral aripiprazole group, and 131 in aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg group. Baseline demographic and psychiatric characteristics were similar between treatment groups in the randomised population (Table 1). Treatment exposure data are presented in online supplement DS1.

### Efficacy

#### Primary outcome (ITT sample)

Kaplan–Meier estimated impending relapse rates at week 26 were 7.12% for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, 7.76% for oral aripiprazole and 21.80% for aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg (Fig. 2a). The difference of Kaplan–Meier estimated impending relapse rate by week 26 between aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg and oral aripiprazole was −0.64% (95% CI −5.26 to 3.99), which confirmed non-inferiority by excluding the predefined non-inferiority margin of 11.5%. Superiority of aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg was shown v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg ($P < 0.001$ from $z$-statistics), confirming assay sensitivity. Observed impending relapse rates at week 26 were similar to the Kaplan–Meier estimate impending relapse rates: aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, 6.79% (18/265); oral aripiprazole (10–30 mg), 7.14% (19/266); aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg, 18.32% (24/131); confirming non-inferiority for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg v. oral aripiprazole (95% CI −5.06 to 4.36, $P = 0.8740$), and superiority v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg (95% CI −19.38 to −3.67, $P = 0.0005$).

#### Secondary efficacy outcomes

**Time to observed impending relapse and observed rate of impending relapse at week 38 (ITT sample).** Time to observed impending relapse for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg was similar to that for oral aripiprazole (log-rank test, $P = 0.992$), with both treatments demonstrating statistically significant delays in impending relapse v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg ($P < 0.0001$) up to week 38 (Fig. 2b). The proportion of observed impending relapers and the risk of observed impending relapse at week 38 showed comparable and statistically significant benefits with aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg ($P < 0.0001$; Table 2).

#### Responders (ITT sample) and remitters.** There was a statistically significant greater proportion of responders with aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg

---

**Table 2.**

| Treatment                  | Discontinued: n | Lack of efficacy (without AE): n | Other: n |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg | 70 (53.4%)     | 7 (5.3%)                        | 7 (5.3%)  |
| Aripiprazole oral stabilisation | 6 (4.6%)       | 1 (0.7%)                        | 1 (0.7%)  |
| Oral aripiprazole (10–30 mg/day) | 55 (43.1%)     | 7 (5.6%)                        | 7 (5.6%)  |
| Oral aripiprazole (1–5 mg/day) | 8 (6.5%)       | 1 (0.8%)                        | 1 (0.8%)  |

---

**Fig. 1** Patient flow throughout the study. AE, adverse event.
### Table 1: Baseline (at randomisation) demographic and psychiatric characteristics for patients entering the randomised phase of the study

|                               | Aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg \( (n = 265) \) | Oral aripiprazole 10–30 mg \( (n = 266) \) | Aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg \( (n = 131) \) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Age, years: mean (s.d.)**   | 41.7 (10.4)                                   | 41.2 (10.8)                                   | 40.2 (9.6)                                   |
| **Gender, male: n (%)**       | 160 (60.4)                                    | 168 (63.2)                                    | 78 (59.5)                                    |
| **Ethnicity, n (%)**          |                                               |                                               |                                               |
| White                         | 160 (60.4)                                    | 153 (57.5)                                    | 74 (56.5)                                    |
| Black or African American     | 56 (21.1)                                     | 64 (24.1)                                     | 33 (25.2)                                    |
| Asian                         | 29 (10.9)                                     | 26 (9.8)                                      | 14 (10.7)                                    |
| Other                         | 20 (7.5)                                      | 23 (8.6)                                      | 10 (7.6)                                     |
| **Weight, kg: mean (s.d.)**   | 83.4 (20.9)                                   | 83.7 (19.2)                                   | 82.9 (24.4)                                   |
| **Body mass index, kg/m²: mean (s.d.)** | 28.9 (6.7)                                   | 28.7 (5.9)                                   | 28.7 (7.9)                                   |
| **Age at first diagnosis, years: mean (s.d.)** | 28.2 (9.3)                                   | 26.9 (9.1)                                   | 26.3 (7.9)                                   |
| **PANSS total score, mean (s.d.)** | 58.0 (12.9)                                   | 56.6 (12.7)                                   | 56.1 (12.6)                                   |
| **CGI-Severity score, mean (s.d.)** | 3.1 (0.7)                                     | 3.1 (0.8)                                     | 3.0 (0.8)                                    |
| **CGI-Improvement score, mean (s.d.)** | 3.2 (0.9)                                     | 3.3 (0.9)                                     | 3.1 (1.0)                                    |

PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale.  
CGI, Clinical Global Impression.

---

#### (a) Kaplan–Meier estimated impending relapse rates at week 26 (intention-to-treat (ITT) sample) and (b) time to observed impending relapse at week 38 (ITT sample).

**Fig. 2**

In (a) whiskers indicate standard error. HR, hazard ratio.

| Number of patients at risk | Aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg | Oral aripiprazole (10–30 mg) | Aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg \( (n = 265) \) | 265                              | 259 253 252 248 242 241 233 227 225 222 217 216 215 212 210 207 205 202 173 |
| Oral aripiprazole (10–30 mg) \( (n = 266) \) | 266                              | 264 252 245 237 225 224 214 210 208 206 204 201 196 193 189 183 183 163 |
| Aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg \( (n = 131) \) | 131                              | 130 124 117 113 108 101 95 90 86 84 80 76 72 71 70 68 65 62 59 |

The differences in relapse rates between treatment groups are statistically significant:

- Aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg vs. oral aripiprazole (10–30 mg): \( P = 0.0006 \)
- Aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg vs. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg: \( P < 0.0001 \)
(P = 0.0001, Table 2). The proportion of remitters did not show any statistically significant differences between treatment groups, although the proportion of patients remaining in the study for 6 months in the aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg group was lower (n = 72/131, 55.0%) than in the aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg group (n = 215/265, 81.1%) or the oral aripiprazole group (n = 201/266, 76.5%) (Table 2).

Other efficacy outcome measures

Time to all-cause discontinuation (ITT sample). A statistically significant advantage on the Kaplan–Meier time to discontinuation favouring aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg was observed v. oral aripiprazole (P < 0.05) and v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg (P < 0.0001, Fig. 3a). The all-cause discontinuation rate (a measure of effectiveness) was 25.3% (n = 67/265) for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, 32.7% (n = 87/266) for oral aripiprazole and 53.4% (n = 70/131) for aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg.

PANSS, CGI-S, and CGI-I (efficacy sample, LOCF). Symptom measures using PANSS, CGI-S and CGI-I showed statistically significant differences for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg v. both oral aripiprazole and aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg (Table 2). Mean changes from baseline to end-point in adjusted PANSS total score between aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg and oral aripiprazole were observed at weeks 10–14, 18, 26 and end-point (P < 0.05). Statistically significant differences in the aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg group v. the aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg group were observed at week 4 and from week 8 onwards (P < 0.05). Data for other efficacy end-points in the efficacy sample for observed cases can be found in online Table DS1.

Safety and tolerability (safety sample, observed cases)
The most common treatment-emergent adverse events (≥5% in any group) are presented in Table 3. For aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, insomnia, akathisia, headache and weight decrease/increase were reported by 9–12% of patients (Table 3). The majority of treatment-emergent adverse events reported in the randomised phase were mild or moderate in severity.

During the randomised phase, serious treatment-emergent adverse events were reported in a total of 41/662 (6.2%) patients: 15/265 (5.7%) treated with aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg; 15/266 (5.6%) treated with oral aripiprazole; and 11/131 (8.4%) treated with aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg. Serious treatment-emergent adverse events reported in ≥2% of patients were only observed for patients treated with aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg, and were psychiatric disorder (n = 4, 3.1%) and schizophrenia (n = 3, 2.3%). Two deaths were reported, one as a result of cardiac arrest in the oral aripiprazole group (15 mg dose, 51-year-old male) and one as a result of suicide in the aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg group (44-year-old male). Neither death was considered by the investigator to be related to the trial medication.

During the randomised phase, discontinuation of double-blind medication due to treatment-emergent adverse events (including impending relapse with adverse events) was reported in 21/265 (7.9%) patients in the aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg group, 19/266 (7.1%) patients in the oral aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg group, and 22/267 (8.2%) patients in the oral aripiprazole group.

Table 2  Secondary and other efficacy outcomes (week 38)

| Efficacy outcome | Aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg (n = 265) | Oral aripiprazole 10–30 mg (n = 266) | Aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg (n = 131) | Aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg v. oral aripiprazole 10–30 mg | Aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg | P |
|------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Observed impending relapse (ITT sample) Proportion of observed impending relapsers, % (n/N) | 8.30 (22/265) | 7.89 (21/266) | 22.14 (29/131) | 0.8635 | <0.0001 |
| Risk of observed impending relapse v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg, HR (95% CI) | 3.158 (1.81–5.50) | 1.313 (1.18–5.49) | 0.0001<0.0001 | |
| Responders (ITT sample) and remitters, % (n/N) | 89.8 (237/264) | 89.4 (235/263) | 75.2 (97/129) | 0.8750 | 0.0001 |
| Proportion of remitters | 48.8 (105/215)b | 53.2 (107/210)b | 59.7 (43/72)b | 0.3700 | 0.1097 |
| PANSS Total score (efficacy sample, LOCF) n | 263 | 266 | 131 | 0.2179 | 0.1751 |
| Baseline, least square mean (s.e.) | 57.94 (0.79) | 56.57 (0.78) | 56.08 (1.11) | |
| Change from baseline at week 38, least square mean (s.e.) | −1.66 (0.72) | 0.58 (0.71) | 3.08 (1.01) | 0.0272 | 0.0002 |
| CGI – Severity (efficacy sample, LOCF) n | 259 | 263 | 129 | 0.7262 | 0.0605 |
| Baseline, least square mean (s.e.) | 3.12 (0.05) | 3.09 (0.05) | 2.95 (0.07) | |
| Change from baseline at week 38, least square mean (s.e.) | −0.13 (0.05) | 0.05 (0.05) | 0.23 (0.07) | 0.0123 | <0.0001 |
| CGI – Improvement (efficacy sample, LOCF) n | 265 | 266 | 131 | 0.7830 | 0.1306 |
| Baseline, mean (s.d.) | 3.24 (0.91) | 3.26 (0.90) | 3.08 (1.02) | |
| At week 38, mean (s.d.) | 3.27 (1.16)c | 3.66 (1.16) | 4.02 (1.32) | 0.0002 | <0.0001 |

ITT, intent to treat; HR, hazard ratio; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; LOCF, last observation carried forward; CGI, Clinical Global Impression.
a. Impending relapse was defined as in Method. b. Only patients who remained in the trial for at least 6 months (i.e. the proportion of patients without impending relapse at 6 months) were included as the denominator in the calculation of remission rates. Remission was defined as in Method. c. n = 263.
group and 24/131 (18.3%) of patients in the aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg group. Treatment-emergent adverse events resulting in discontinuation that occurred in ≥2% of patients in any treatment group were psychotic disorder (4/265 (1.5%) aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg; 5/266 (1.9%) oral aripiprazole; 8/131 (6.1%) aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg) and schizophrenia (8/265 (3.0%) aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg; 5/266 (1.9%) oral aripiprazole; 9/131 (6.9%) aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg). No patients discontinued study treatment because of akathisia.

During the randomised phase, 58/265 (21.9%) patients in the aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg group, 31/266 (11.7%) in the oral aripiprazole group and 16/131 (12.2%) in the aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg group had treatment-emergent EPS and EPS-related treatment-emergent adverse events. These EPS and EPS-related events reported by ≥5% of patients were akathisia.

Fig. 3 (a) Time to all-cause discontinuation during phase 3 (intention-to-treat sample); (b) adjusted mean change of PANSS total score in phase 3 (efficacy sample, last-observation-carried-forward).

(a) Refers to patients that discontinued prior to or on day 280 in phase 3. (b) *P < 0.05 vs. aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg. PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale.
events (29/265, 10.9%) and Parkinsonism events (15/265, 5.7%) in the aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg group, akathisia (18/266, 6.8%) in the oral aripiprazole group and akathisia (11/131, 8.4%) and Parkinsonism events (7/131, 5.3%) in the aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg group. Extrapyramidal symptoms were also monitored using physician- and patient-rated scales (Table 4). No statistically significant differences in SAS, AIMS or BARS least squares mean score changes were observed for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg; the difference in BARS was statistically significant for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg (0.06) v. oral aripiprazole (–0.05). The score for CGI-SS and the C-SSRS suicidal ideation intensity total score remained stable across treatment groups throughout the double-blind active-controlled phase (Table 4). See online Table DS3 for LOCF data on EPS and suicidality in the safety sample.

Rates of concomitant anticholinergic use during phase 3 were 19.6% \( (n = 52/265); \text{mean daily dose, 1.61 mg})\) for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, 17.3% \( (n = 46/266); \text{mean daily dose, 1.50 mg})\) for oral aripiprazole, and 13.7% \( (n = 18/131); \text{mean daily dose, 1.66 mg})\) for aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg. Concomitant benztidiazepine use data are reported in theonline supplement DS1.

Mean changes in body weight at week 38 of +0.1 kg \( (\text{s.d.} = 4.8), +1.0 \text{kg} \ (\text{s.d.} = 4.8), \text{and} -1.6 \text{kg} \ (\text{s.d.} = 7.4)\) for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, oral aripiprazole, and aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg, respectively. The difference was statistically significant at week 38 \( (P<0.05)\) for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg v. aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg. The incidence of clinically relevant weight gain \( (\geq 7\% \text{ increase in weight from baseline})\) at any time during the randomised phase was 15.9% \( (n = 42/264)\) for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, 16.2% \( (n = 43/266)\) for oral aripiprazole and 6.1% \( (n = 8/131)\) for aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg. The incidence of clinically relevant weight loss \( (\geq 7\% \text{ decrease in weight from baseline})\) at any time during the randomised phase was 15.2% \( (n = 40/264)\) for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg, 10.2% \( (n = 27/266)\) for oral aripiprazole and 13.7% \( (n = 18/131)\) for aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg.

There were no differences in mean change in metabolic parameters, and the incidence of potentially clinically relevant new-onset metabolic parameter abnormalities was low and similar among treatment groups (online Table DS2). Similar findings were observed for prolactin (online Table DS2). There were also no clinically relevant changes in ECG parameters and no incidents of new-onset QTcF (QT interval as corrected for heart rate by Fridericia’s formula) increases \( >500\text{ms}\) during double-blind treatment.

Injection-site pain occurred in 7.5% \( (20/265)\) of patients in the aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg group, 2.3% \( (6/266)\) of the oral aripiprazole group and 0.8% \( (1/131)\) of the aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg group. Mean intensity of pain, measured using a patient-reported 100-point VAS scale (0 mm, no pain; 100 mm, unbearably painful) showed mild pain during the double-blind treatment phase, with reductions being reported from the first to last injection with aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg \( (5.6–3.7 \text{ mm})\), oral aripiprazole \( (4.9–3.5 \text{ mm})\) and aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg \( (3.3–2.4 \text{ mm})\). Absence of any pain, redness, swelling and induration by investigators’ evaluations was found for the majority of patients following the first and last injections of aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg.

### Discussion

#### Main findings

The current study showed that aripiprazole once-monthly at a dose of 400 mg is non-inferior to oral aripiprazole (10–30 mg) and superior to aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg on the primary outcome of Kaplan–Meier estimated rate of impending relapse at week 26. The secondary outcome, delay in time to observed impending relapse, was statistically significant with aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg compared with aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg at week 38. Also, the delay in time to all-cause discontinuation (non-primary/non-secondary outcome) was statistically significant with aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg compared with both the oral and aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg groups.

#### Other findings

On other (non-primary/non-secondary) efficacy measures (PANSS, CGI-S and CGI-I), there were statistically significant differences for aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg v. both aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg and oral aripiprazole. Although statistically significant, the clinical relevance of the absolute changes in PANSS total score is difficult to interpret. Prior

### Table 3 Treatment-emergent adverse events reported in > 5% of patients in any treatment group during the randomised phase (safety sample)\(^a\)

| Adverse event                          | Aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg (\(n = 265\)) | Oral aripiprazole 10–30 mg (\(n = 266\)) | Aripiprazole once-monthly 50 mg (\(n = 131\)) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Any treatment-emergent adverse event   | 219 (82.6)                                    | 213 (80.1)                             | 106 (80.9)                                    |
| Insomnia                              | 31 (11.7)                                     | 37 (13.9)                              | 18 (13.7)                                     |
| Akathisia                             | 28 (10.6)\(b\)                               | 18 (6.8)                               | 11 (8.4)                                      |
| Headache                              | 26 (9.8)                                     | 30 (11.3)                              | 7 (5.3)                                       |
| Weight deceased                       | 26 (9.8)                                     | 16 (6.0)                               | 12 (9.2)                                      |
| Weight increased                      | 24 (9.1)                                     | 35 (13.2)                              | 7 (5.3)                                       |
| Nasopharyngitis                       | 21 (7.9)                                     | 25 (9.4)                               | 9 (6.9)                                       |
| Injection-site pain                   | 20 (7.5)                                     | 6 (2.3)                                | 1 (0.8)                                       |
| Anxiety                               | 19 (7.2)                                     | 13 (4.9)                               | 10 (7.6)                                      |
| Upper respiratory tract infection     | 18 (6.8)                                     | 11 (4.1)                               | 5 (3.8)                                       |
| Influenza                             | 11 (4.2)                                     | 11 (4.1)                               | 7 (5.3)                                       |
| Back pain                             | 10 (3.8)                                     | 14 (5.3)                               | 15 (11.5)                                     |
| Psychiatric disorder                 | 8 (3.0)                                      | 8 (3.0)                                | 8 (6.1)                                       |
| Schizophrenia                         | 8 (3.0)                                      | 5 (1.9)                                | 10 (7.6)                                      |

*Adverse events reported in > 5% of patients in any treatment group during the randomised phase (safety sample).

\(b\) One patient in the aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg group experienced an akathisia-related event (psychomotor hyperactivity) in addition to the 28 who experienced akathisia.
Advantages and disadvantages of LAIs

Recent guideline updates from the World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry suggest that the potential advantages of LAI antipsychotics vs. oral antipsychotics include improved adherence to treatment, avoidance of gastrointestinal absorption problems and circumvention of first-pass hepatic metabolism. Potential disadvantages of LAIs include diminished flexibility of administration, potential for injection-site reactions and delayed attenuation of adverse effects after treatment discontinuation.

The potential benefit of aripiprazole once-monthly 400 mg over the oral and 50 mg dose on time to all-cause discontinuation complements a recent large national cohort study in Finland of 2588 patients admitted to hospital with newly diagnosed schizophrenia, which found that patients treated with LAIs had a lower risk of readmissions to hospital in comparison with those treated with oral formulations of the same antipsychotic medications. In contrast, a controlled clinical study in the USA reported rates of readmission that were comparable between LAI risperidone and any oral antipsychotic therapy.

Recent meta-analyses suggest that differences between oral and LAI formulations are less likely to be found in controlled clinical trials where adherence is enhanced owing to multiple clinic visits and more likely to be observed in naturalistic studies.
Limitations
In the current study, treatment initiation of aripiprazole once-monthly in the randomised phase was carried out in patients who had been stabilised with oral aripiprazole. However, in clinical practice, patients may be switched directly from their current oral antipsychotic to an LAI antipsychotic. This difference from real-life clinical populations may limit the generalisability of our findings. The study population was also limited to patients with chronic schizophrenia of mild severity, which may have contributed to the low rates of relapse and may also limit the generalisability of our findings. Also, because of the very low initial relapse rates, the primary outcome was adjusted (as approved by the EMA; see online supplement DS1) from time to observed impending relapse at week 38 to Kaplan–Meier estimated relapse rates at week 26, because as a variable can have a disproportional impact on time to events when event rates are low. Revising the primary outcome after the trial started may have introduced some bias; however, the total impending relapse events remained low for the duration of the study, the estimated sample size was slightly increased and non-inferiority of oral aripiprazole was clearly demonstrated. In addition, the study did not consider the potential for multiple testing concerns, which may have limited the strength of the prespecified statistical analysis plan for secondary and other efficacy outcomes. Another potential limitation is that our trial may not have been long enough to fully detect potential differences between the once-monthly and the oral formulations of aripiprazole.
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