Case Report

Spontaneous Eruption of Premolar Associated with a Dentigerous Cyst
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Dentigerous cyst (DC) is the second most common odontogenic cyst with greater incidence in young patients. It presents as a unilocular, asymptomatic radiolucency involving the crown of an impacted tooth, commonly noticed in X-rays to investigate absence, wrong tooth position, or delay in the chronology of eruption. Decompression/marsupialization (D/M) is the most implemented treatment, especially when preserving the tooth involved is advised. The aim of this study is to discuss the DC characteristics that contribute to spontaneous eruption of premolars, by reporting the case of a conservative treatment of DC.

This eruption depends on factors such as age, angulation of inclusion, rate of root formation, depth of inclusion, and eruption space. This paper reports the case of a 10-year-old patient with a radiolucent lesion diagnosed as DC involving element 35, which erupted as a result of treatment. The patient was observed during 1 year and 6 months.

1. Introduction

Dentigerous cysts (DC), also denominated follicular cysts, are one of the two most common types of odontogenic cysts associated with impacted, included, or partially erupted teeth [1].

It is ranked the second most common odontogenic cyst, and the literature has shown an occurrence of approximately 24% among all the true cysts of the maxillae [2]. The majority of reports have shown a peak incidence of this cyst in the second and third decade of life, although some have reported the fifth decade [3].

A typical DC clinically presents as a unilocular, asymptomatic, radiolucent lesion involving the crown of an included or impacted tooth. Generally there is no pain or discomfort associated with it, unless it becomes secondarily infected [2]. The radiolucency generally arises at the cemento-enamel junction of the tooth [3].

The large majority are discovered accidentally when radiographs are taken to investigate a failure of eruption or a poorly positioned tooth [2]. In the majority of cases, the diagnosis of a DC is simple. However, differential diagnosis must be made with alterations such as a dental follicle or a hyperplastic dental follicle and even an odontogenic keratocyst or unicystic ameloblastoma [3].

It is formed due to an alteration in the reduced epithelium of the enamel and develops from an accumulation of fluid between the reduced epithelium of the enamel and the crown of the developing tooth [2]. The teeth most frequently affected are the third molars, maxillary canines, and premolars [1].

Enucleation and decompression/marsupialization (D/M) are the forms of treatment most used for DC [4]; the only difference between them is by the use of a device in decompression to maintain communication of the lesion with the oral cavity. Some important criteria must be considered for the treatment plan, such as the size of the cyst, age, proximity to anatomic structures [5], clinical importance, and possibility of making use of the tooth involved.

In spite of the clinical peculiarities of each case and of the method of treatment chosen, these lesions have a favorable prognosis. Therefore, the aim is to report a case of conservative treatment of a dentigerous cyst in a pediatric patient.
2. Case Report

The patient, L. J. S., a 10-year-old boy, mulatto, presented to the Oral and Maxillofacial Clinic of the Lauro Wanderley University Hospital (HULW/UFPB), accompanied by his guardian, complaining of “pain when chewing on the left side.” He presented no facial asymmetry on physical exam; however, on intraoral inspection, left second premolar was observed to be absent, with retention of left second primary molar with a large amalgam restoration showing a mesiodistal dimension of 10 mm. In addition, an increase in volume, firm on palpation and asymptomatic, was found, with a normal aspect of the oral mucosa in the region of the left mandibular body, leading to obliteration of the sulcus (Figure 1). The panoramic radiograph showed a unilocular, radiolucent lesion with well-defined margins and radiopaque halo in the mandibular body on the left side, involving second left premolar with a depth of inclusion of 14 mm. The lesion extended from the periapical region of left first premolar to the mesial root of left first molar, measuring 3.3 cm × 2.7 cm, suggesting the diagnosis of dentigerous cyst. It presented a 77.3° angle between the line that passes through the long axis of left second premolar and the plane bisecting the long axes of the adjacent teeth. There was a 17.5° angle formed between the line that follows the long axis of the mentioned tooth and the line that passes through the cementoenamel junction of the neighboring teeth (Figure 2).

The patient was submitted to incisional biopsy under local anesthesia. The serum-blood colored surgical aspirate confirmed the hypothesis of cystic lesion. The opportunity was taken to remove tooth, the left second primary molar, and install a transalveolar silicone drain, which was removed after 3 weeks (Figure 3). The anatomopathological exam was conclusive for a dentigerous cyst associated with the presence of inflammatory infiltrate. The patient was clinically and radiographically followed up for a period of 1 year and 6 months, until complete regression of the lesion and eruption of tooth 35 (Figures 4 and 5).
3. Discussion

The DC is the most frequently occurring cyst among the odontogenic cysts, with the exception of the radicular cyst. There are few demographic studies about the subject involving populations in Brazil. In a retrospective study conducted in the Oral Pathology Service of FO-UFMG, in a period of 51 years, 19,064 oral biopsies were found. Of these, 2,812 cases (14.7%) were diagnosed as odontogenic cysts, with the DC showing a prevalence of 25.3% of these cases, being more common in young patients between the second and third decade of life [6]. Therefore, in spite of odontogenic cysts presenting a low incidence in children, the DC is of important clinical significance in pediatric dentistry, because it affects a significant number of child patients [7].

The DC is normally detected by chance, because it is asymptomatic. Therefore, they are generally detected due to a delay in the chronology of eruption of the tooth involved, causing deformation in the alveolar bone and expansion of the cortical bone, attaining important dimensions as a result of the delay in their diagnosis. In these cases, surgical treatment with minimal lesion to anatomic structures is
The patient was clinically followed up for a period of 1 year and 6 months, until complete regression of the lesion and eruption of tooth 35.

**Figure 5:**

**Table 1: Factors related to spontaneous eruption of premolars associated with DC.**

| Factors analyzed                  | Hyomoto et al., 2003 [10] | Fujii et al., 2008 [11] | Yahara et al., 2009 [12] |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Age                               | The smaller, the greater the chances of eruption | Under 10 years old | Mean age 9.8 years |
| Depth of inclusion                | 4.4 mm*                   | Smaller than 5.1 mm*   | The smaller, the greater the chances of eruption |
| Angulation of tooth               | 20.4° ± 21.8°**           | Smaller than 25°*      | Close to 60.2°**        |
| Space present for eruption        | Does not influence        | Larger than 1 cm       | Does not influence      |
| Rhizogenesis                      | 1/2                       | Incomplete             | Does not influence      |

* According to Hyomoto et al. [10] and Fujii et al. [11], it is considered the angle formed between the line that passes through the long axis of the included tooth and the plane bisecting the long axes of the neighboring teeth. The depth is considered as from the cementoenamel junction.

**Fundamental.** Postoperative defects of the facial structures in individuals exposed to treatment for enucleation of the cyst may bring about functional and esthetic problems, in addition to producing psychological consequences [8]. Therefore, enucleation is reserved for smaller lesions, or those in which it is not possible to save the tooth involved.

The M/D technique minimizes the risk of complications such as the loss of tooth germs, lesions to blood vessels and nerves, and loss of bone structures of the face. It is a simple, conservative surgical procedure that favors the eruption of displaced teeth and in the majority of cases results in good occlusion. By virtue of the foregoing, it is the treatment option for extensive lesions [9] and is well accepted in the treatment of cooperative pediatric patients, particularly when there is the possibility of preserving the tooth involved in the lesion and to allow eruption, thus diminishing the functional and esthetic damage to the patient. In the clinical case presented, enucleation would have resulted in the extraction of tooth 35 and probable lesion of the inferior alveolar/mental nerves, as well as fragilization of the left mandibular body, predisposing the patient to an eventual mandibular fracture. The patient developed without paresthesia or bone defects in the perilesional area.

An interesting topic concerning the case refers to the possibility of eruption of premolars involved with DC. Studies such as the ones of Hyomoto et al. [10], Fujii et al. [11], and Yahara et al. [12] have analyzed the factors that interfere in the spontaneous eruption of mandibular premolars associated with this cyst, based on the findings in panoramic radiographs (Table 1). Hyomoto et al. [10] furthermore observed that in the presence of inflammatory infiltrate the included teeth tend to erupt more easily, suggesting that the larger the quantity of inflammatory cells, the greater the predictability of eruption of the tooth associated with the
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

[1] W.-T. Qian, Z.-G. Ma, Q.-Y. Xie, X.-Y. Cai, Y. Zhang, and C. Yang, "Marsupialization facilitates eruption of dentigerous cyst-associated mandibular premolars in preadolescent patients," Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, vol. 71, no. 11, pp. 1825–1832, 2013.

[2] V. Aher, P. Chander, R. Chikkalingiah, and F. Ali, "Dentigerous cysts in four quadrants: a rare and first reported case," Journal of Surgical Technique and Case Report, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 21–26, 2013.

[3] L. L. Zhang, R. Yang, L. Zhang, W. Li, D. MacDonald-Jankowski, and C. F. Poh, "Dentigerous cyst: a retrospective clinicopathological analysis of 2082 dentigerous cysts in British Columbia, Canada," International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, vol. 39, no. 9, pp. 878–882, 2010.

[4] F. M. Serra e Silva, R. Sawazaki, and M. de Moraes, "Eruption of teeth associated with a dentigerous cyst by only marsupialization treatment: a case report," Journal of Dentistry for Children (Chicago, Ill.), vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 228–230, 2007.

[5] L. G. M. Vaz, M. T. V. Rodrigues, and F. J. Osny, "Dentigerous cyst: clinical and radiographic characteristics and criteria for treatment planning," Revista Gaúcha de Odontologia, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 127–130, 2010.

[6] S. M. Grossmann, V. C. Machado, G. M. Xavier et al., "Demographic profile of odontogenic and selected nonodontogenic cysts in a Brazilian population," Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology, vol. 104, no. 6, pp. e35–e41, 2007.

[7] D. S. Barrozo, J. A. C. Hanemann, O. M. B. Araújo, and M. C. Pereira, “Cisto dentígero na infância: relato de caso e revisão de literatura,” Jornal Brasileiro de Odontopediatria & Odontologia do Bebê, vol. 5, no. 27, pp. 364–369, 2002.

[8] J. Berdén, G. Koch, and C. Ulbricht, "Case series: treatment of large dentigerous cysts in children," European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 140–145, 2010.

[9] S. D. A. Berti, A. B. Pompermayer, P. H. Couto Souza, O. M. Tanaka, V. P. D. Westphalen, and F. H. Westphalen, "Spontaneous eruption of a canine after marsupialization of an infected dentigerous cyst," American Journal of Orthodontics & Dentofacial Orthopedics, vol. 137, no. 5, pp. 690–693, 2010.

[10] M. Hyomoto, M. Kawakami, M. Inoue, and T. Kirita, "Clinical conditions for eruption of maxillary canines and mandibular premolars associated with dentigerous cysts," American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, vol. 124, no. 5, pp. 515–520, 2003.

[11] R. Fujii, M. Kawakami, M. Hyomoto, J. Ishida, and T. Kirita, "Panoramic findings for predicting eruption of mandibular premolars associated with dentigerous cyst after marsupialization," Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 272–276, 2008.

[12] Y. Yahara, Y. Kubota, T. Yamashiro, and K. Shirasuna, "Eruption prediction of mandibular premolars associated with dentigerous cysts," Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology, vol. 108, no. 1, pp. 28–31, 2009.