Prevalence and risk factors for diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose among adults aged 15-64 years in Gilgel Gibe Field Research Center, southwest Ethiopia, 2013: through a WHO step wise approach

Abstract

Background: Diabetes mellitus is a common metabolic disorder resulting from defects in insulin action, production, or both. Despite the huge health and economic burden of diabetes mellitus, prevalence and risk factors of diabetes and pre diabetes mellitus are not well documented in Ethiopia generally and in the study area in particular. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine prevalence of diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose and their risk factors among adults 15-64 years old in Gilgel Gibe Field Research Center.

Method and materials: The study was based on secondary data collected from late September 2008 to the end of January 2009 in Gilgel Gibe Field Research Center of Jimma University according to WHO-STEP wise approach in a community setting. A total of 4371, 2653 and 1861 adults in the age group of 15-64 years were included for step I, II and III respectively. Odds ratio with 95% confidence interval was estimated using multivariable logistic regression to identify independent predictors of diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose.

Results: The crude prevalence of diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose among the study participants was 4.4 % [0.6% self-reported (95% CI: 0.30%-0.80%) vs 3.8% newly diagnosed (95% CI: 0.02-0.04)] and 9.7% [95% CI: 0.08-0.11] respectively. The age adjusted prevalence of DM and IFG to the standard world population was 3.7% [95% CI: 0.17-0.45] and 10.1% [95% CI: 0.32-0.67] respectively. Being male [AOR: 3.0 (95% CI: 2.80-5.67)], being in the age group of 35-44 [AOR: 4.5 (95% CI: 3.05-10.23)], being current smoker [AOR: 1.8 (95% CI: 1.68-3.14)] and physically inactive [AOR: 2.3 (95% CI: 1.27-4.02)] while having central obesity [AOR: 2.5 (95% CI: 2.40-4.10)] and being in the age group of 55-64 [AOR: 2.1 (95% CI: 1.69-5.23)] were independent predictors of diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose respectively.

Conclusion and recommendation: The prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose was higher than the previous reports in Ethiopia and hence health information dissemination on modifiable risk factors such as smoking and regular physical activities as well as screening of high risk groups to reduce the disease burden are needed.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is one of the chronic non-communicable diseases (CNCDs) which emerged as a leading global health problem affecting approximately 285 million people worldwide.1-5 Prevalence of diabetes is increasing not only in affluent societies as previously thought, but also among people of the newly industrialized and less developed regions of the world such as Sub Saharan Africa (SSA). Although only a few epidemiological studies on diabetes and other major CNCDs have been undertaken with respect to the SSA African region, indications are that there is increasing prevalence and incidence of CNCDs, especially diabetes and hypertension, among urban populations in recent times.6-15 Chronic non-communicable diseases such as Diabetes mellitus are largely preventable diseases if risk factors are identified and
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Established. For this basic researches are needed on the mechanisms that link risk factors to health outcomes and scientific evidence provides a sufficiently strong and plausible basis to justify taking actions. Local epidemiological data on the burden of CNCDs and their risk factors is so important since prevalence and risk factors vary and differ from country to country as well as community to community with in the same country.

In Ethiopia the available limited material and human resource are directed towards efforts to combat infectious diseases. Hence little is known about the magnitude and risk factors about CNCDs such as diabetes mellitus particularly in rural community. Therefore this study was conducted to determine prevalence and to identify risk factors associated with diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting among adults in Gilgel Gibe Field Research Center (GGFRC), Jimma. Based on this information intervention towards combating CNCDs can be designed and evaluated accordingly by stakeholders.

Methods and materials

Study setting

The study was conducted in Jimma University research center, Gilgel Gibe Field Research Center (GGFRC), which is located around Gilgel Gibe Hydroelectric Dam, 55 kilometers Northeast of Jimma Town on the way to Addis Ababa. The center serves as health and demographic surveillance site for Jimma University and comprises of 8 rural and 2 urban kebeles (the lowest administrative unit in Ethiopia).

Study design and participants

The study was based on secondary data collected from late September 2008 to the end of January 2009 in Gilgel Gibe Field Research Center of Jimma University according to WHO-STEP wise approach in a community setting. Sample size was determined using recommendations in the WHO-STEP wise surveillance manual to estimate prevalence of CNCDs and their risk factors in each stratum of age, sex and residential area. Based on this a total of 4371, 2653 and 1965 data base for step I, II and III respectively that were extracted from GGFRC data base by selected secondary data were disclosed without their full willingness.

Study setting and participants

The study was conducted in Jimma University research center, Gilgel Gibe Field Research Center (GGFRC), which is located around Gilgel Gibe Hydroelectric Dam, 55 kilometers Northeast of Jimma Town on the way to Addis Ababa. The center serves as health and demographic surveillance site for Jimma University and comprises of 8 rural and 2 urban kebeles (the lowest administrative unit in Ethiopia).

Data processing and analysis

Data were cleaned, edited and entered on to Epi data version 3.2 and exported to statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 16 statistical software for analysis. Descriptive analyses were computed as frequency distribution, mean±standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and proportions for categorical variables of each stratum. The prevalence rates of IFG and DM were calculated by age and sex and adjusted for age using the direct standardization method. Two standard populations were used; the Ethiopian population from the 2007 census and a world standard population, US 2000. Chi-square (X2) tests for categorical variables were used to evaluate the differences in the distribution of study groups. Bivariate analyses were done to assess the association between explanatory variables and outcome variable of the study. All variables with a p-value of <0.3 at the bivariate analysis were included into multivariable logistic regression model in which odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals were estimated to identify independent predictors of diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose. P-values less or equal to 0.05 were employed to declare the statistical significance.

Ethical considerations

An official support letter was obtained from the ethical review board of Jimma University College of Public Health and Medical Science to conduct this study. Additionally secondary data were accessed and extracted from GGFRC through legal and official means. Finally, confidentially was ascertained by justifying that no data were disclosed without their full willingness.
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Impaired fasting glucose: Defined as fasting blood glucose level 110mg/dL ≤ IFG <126 mg/dL (6.1-6.9mmol/L). 

Diabetes mellitus

1. Fasting plasma glucose (FPG)≥7.0 mmol/L(≥126mg/dL) or
2. Normal blood glucose level but on medication (oral antglycemics or insulin or diet) for the treatment of diabetes during survey or
3. A report of a previous diagnosis of diabetes by health professionals

Abdominal or central obesity: This is measured using waist to hip ratio (WHR). The cut off point for central obesity is WHR≥1 for male and WHR>0.85 for female.

Low fruit and vegetable intake: Daily consumption of fruit and vegetable less than five times serving or less than 400 gram per a day.

Physically inactive: Leisure time physical activity was used to measure the physical activity status of the study community. A person not meeting any of the following criteria is considered being physically inactive:

1. Three or more days of vigorous-intensity activity ( jogging or brisk walks ) of at least 20minutes per day or
2. Five or more days of moderate intensity activity (light exercise/ walking) of at least 30minutes per day.

Measurements

Secondary data were extracted from GGFRC data base by selected data extractors using data extraction templates. The primary data from which secondary data were extracted collected according to the WHO-STEP wise approach recommendation which involves survey questionnaires for step I, Physical body measurements for step II and laboratory tests for step III.

Fasting blood glucose: Blood glucose estimation obtained from a subject who has undergone an overnight fast from any food or drink for at least (8-12) hours.
Results

Socio-demographic characteristics

The response rate for STEP I was 4371 (97.8%) in the study community while the response rate for STEP II and STEP III was 2653 (74.5%) and 1861 (96.7%) respectively. The mean age of the study participants was 40.45±14.94 (95% CI: 40.00-40.89) years and 2546 (36.4%) were younger than 45 years. Two thousand and ninety four (47.9%) were males in their sex. One thousand two hundred twenty five (20.8%) were illiterate while 799 (73.2%) had attended primary education. Majority (43.7%) of the participants were farmers followed by housewife’s 1404 (31.1%) in their occupation (Table 1).

Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants in GGFRC, Southwest Ethiopia, March, 2013

| Socio demographic variable | Male       | Female     | Total      |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                            | n          | %          | n          | %          | n          | %          |
| Age                        |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| 15-24                      | 356        | 17.0       | 363        | 15.9       | 719        | 16.4       |
| 25-34                      | 393        | 18.8       | 479        | 21.0       | 872        | 19.9       |
| 35-44                      | 456        | 21.8       | 499        | 21.9       | 955        | 21.8       |
| 45-54                      | 422        | 20.2       | 440        | 19.3       | 862        | 19.7       |
| 55 and above               | 467        | 22.3       | 496        | 21.8       | 963        | 22.0       |
| Total                      | 2094       | 100        | 2277       | 100        | 4371       | 100        |

Educational Status

|                | Male       | Female     | Total      |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Able to read or write |            |            |            |            |
| Yes            | 815        | 38.9       | 410        | 18.0       | 1225       | 20.8       |
| No             | 1279       | 61.1       | 1867       | 82.0       | 3146       | 72.0       |
| Total          | 2094       | 100        | 2277       | 100        | 4371       | 100        |

Level of Formal Education

|                  | Male       | Female     | Total      |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 1-4              | 280        | 39.4       | 121        | 31.7       | 401        | 36.7       |
| 5-8              | 250        | 35.2       | 148        | 38.7       | 398        | 36.4       |
| 9-12             | 146        | 20.6       | 106        | 27.7       | 252        | 23.1       |
| College and above| 34         | 4.8        | 7          | 1.8        | 41         | 3.8        |
| Total            | 710        | 100        | 382        | 100        | 1092       | 100        |

Occupation

|                | Male       | Female     | Total      |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Farmer         | 1434       | 68.5       | 478        | 21.0       | 1912       | 43.7       |
| House Wife     | -          | -          | 1404       | 61.7       | 1404       | 31.1       |
| Merchant       | 135        | 6.4        | 82         | 3.6        | 217        | 5.0        |
| Student        | 131        | 6.3        | 107        | 4.7        | 238        | 5.4        |
| Daily Laborer  | 157        | 7.5        | 62         | 2.7        | 219        | 5.0        |
| Civil Servant  | 87         | 4.2        | 43         | 1.9        | 130        | 3.0        |
| Unemployed     | 68         | 3.2        | 13         | 0.6        | 81         | 1.9        |
| NGO Worker     | 30         | 1.4        | 8          | 0.4        | 38         | 0.9        |
| Housemaid      | 3          | 0.1        | 22         | 1.0        | 25         | 0.6        |
| Others         | 49         | 2.3        | 58         | 2.5        | 107        | 2.4        |
| Total          | 2094       | 100        | 2277       | 100        | 4371       | 100        |
Prevalence of diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose

Overall 219 (55 newly, 24 previously diagnosed DM and 140 IFG) of the study participants had impaired glucose homeostasis of which 79 (1.8%) were diabetic and 140 (3.2%) had impaired fasting glucose according to the WHO diagnostic criteria. The crude prevalence of self-reported diabetes mellitus ascertained by interview in adults’ aged 15-64years was 24 (0.6%)[95% CI: 0.3%-0.8%] and the prevalence is comparable among males (0.6%) and females (0.5%). The crude prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose ascertained by measurement according to the WHO diagnostic criteria were 55 (3.8%) [95% CI: 0.028–0.048] and 140 (9.7%) [95% CI: 0.082-0.11] respectively in the age group of 15-64years. The prevalence of previously diagnose diabetes mellitus in the age group of 44-54years was 4 (1.8%) and 5 (0.8%) in urban and rural communities respectively while the prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus was 1 (5.9%) and 11 (3.9%) among urban and rural participants respectively. The age standardized prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus to the national 2007 census population and standard world population was 4.2% and 3.7% respectively while the age adjusted prevalence of impaired fasting glucose to the national 2007 census population and standard world population was 9.9% and 10.1% respectively (Table 2).

Table 2: Age standardized prevalence to Ethiopian and world population of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose among adults 15-64 years in GGFRC, Southwest Ethiopia, March, 2013

| Age group (years) | Crude prevalence | Age Specific prevalence | Ethiopian population (2007, CSA) | Standardized prevalence | Standard population (US, 2000)* | Standardized prevalence |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| IFG % | DM % | IFG % | DM % | IFG% | DM % | IFG% | DM % |
| 15-24 | 10.4 | 4.7 | 0.397 | 4.13 | 1.87 | 0.1386 | 1.44 | 0.65 |
| 25-34 | 9.6 | 4.1 | 0.259 | 2.49 | 1.06 | 0.1356 | 1.30 | 0.56 |
| 35-44 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 0.174 | 1.67 | 0.66 | 0.1626 | 1.60 | 0.62 |
| 45-55 | 8.4 | 4.0 | 0.108 | 0.91 | 0.43 | 0.1348 | 1.13 | 0.54 |
| 55+ & above | 10.8 | 3.0 | 0.063 | 0.68 | 0.19 | 0.4284 | 4.63 | 1.29 |
| Total | 1.0 | 9.9 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 10.1 | 3.7 |

IFG, impaired fasting glucose; DM, diabetes mellitus; CSA, central statistical agency; US, United States

Independent risk factors associated with diabetes mellitus: After controlling confounders the independent risk factors associated with diabetes mellitus in the assessed community were being male [AOR=3.0 CI: (2.801-5.670), P: 0.043], being in the age group of 35-44years [AOR=4.5 CI: (3.056-10.234), P: 0.016], being current smoker [AOR=1.8 CI: (1.684-3.144), P: 0.032] and inadequate physical activity [AOR=2.3 CI: (1.278-4.025), P: 0.025] as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Multivariate analysis of risk factors associated with diabetes mellitus among adults 15-64 years in GGFRC, Southwest, Ethiopia, 2013

| Independent risk factors | Diabetes Mellitus (DM) | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                          | Yes N (%) | No N (%) | Crude OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P -value |
| Sex                      |            |            |                  |                   |       |
| Male                     | 46(2.2) | 33(1.5) | 2046(97.8) | 2242(98.5) | 1.5 [1.186-3.160] | 3.0 [2.801-5.670] | 0.043* |
| Female                   |            |            |                  |                   |       |
| Age                      |            |            |                  |                   |       |
| 15-24                    | 9(4.7) | 184(95.3) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 25-34                    | 11(4.1) | 260(95.9) | 1.6 [0.351-2.129] | 1.6 [0.710-3.540] | 0.752 |
| 35-44                    | 13(3.8) | 332(96.2) | 1.3 [1.336-9.908] | 4.5 [3.056-10.234] | 0.016* |
| 45-54                    | 12(4.0) | 285(96.0) | 0.8 [0.356-2.083] | 1.3 [0.331-5.740] | 0.740 |
| 55 and above             | 10(3.0) | 324(97.0) | 0.6 [0.252-1.581] | 0.9 [0.201-4.153] | 0.326 |
| Residence                |            |            |                  |                   |       |
| Urban                    | 10(0.9) | 1080(99.1) | 0.4 [0.221-0.839] | 0.3 [0.853-1.024] | 0.672 |
| Rural                    | 69(2.1) | 3208(97.9) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
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### Table 4 Multivariate analysis of risk factors associated with impaired fasting glucose among adults 15-64 years in GGFRC, Southwest Ethiopia, 2013

| Independent risk factors | Impaired fasting glucose(IFG) | Crude OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P -value |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------|
|                          | Yes N (%)                   | No N (%)          |                      |          |
| Sex                      |                            |                   |                      |          |
| Male                     | 74(10.2)                    | 633(89.8)         | 1.00                 | 1.00     |
| Female                   | 66(9.3)                     | 647(90.7)         | 1.1 [0.783-1.575]    | 1.3 [0.266-3.772] | 0.980 |
| Age                      |                            |                   |                      |          |
| 15-24                    | 36(10.8)                    | 298(89.2)         | 1.00                 | 1.00     |
| 25-34                    | 26(9.6)                     | 245(90.4)         | 1.0 [0.584-1.723]    | 0.7 [0.484-1.823] | 0.990 |
| 35-44                    | 33(9.6)                     | 312(90.4)         | 1.2 [0.609-1.963]    | 1.3 [0.909-3.456] | 0.766 |
| 45-54                    | 25(8.4)                     | 272(91.6)         | 0.9 [0.504-1.498]    | 1.5 [0.804-2.172] | 0.613 |
| 55 and above             | 20(10.4)                    | 173(89.6)         | 1.04 [1.094-3.880]   | 2.1 [1.694-5.230] | 0.009* |
| Current Smoker           |                            |                   |                      |          |
| Yes                      | 18(12.5)                    | 126(87.5)         | 0.7 [0.429-1.233]    | 0.8 [0.903-1.402] | 0.803 |
| No                       | 122(9.4)                    | 1174(90.6)        | 1.00                 | 1.00     |

**Note:** * Significant at p<0.05 OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; ETB, ethiopian birr

Independent risk factors associated with impaired fasting glucose: Male: 5.230, P: 0.009) and having central obesity [AOR=2.5 CI: (2.403-4.102), P: 0.012] as shown in Table 4.
Prevalence and risk factors for diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose among adults aged 15-64 years in GGFRC. Overall 219 (55 newly, 24 previously diagnosed DM and 140 IFG) of the study participants had impaired glucose homeostasis of which 79 (1.8%) were diabetic and 140 (3.2%) had impaired fasting glucose according to the WHO diagnostic criteria. In this study the crude prevalence of previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus was 0.6% which is lower than the finding from Pakistan, Qatar, South Africa, and Addis Ababa where the crude prevalence of previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus was 13.4%, 10.7%, 2.45%, 2.6% and 4.5%\textsuperscript{1,12,13,16} respectively but comparable (0.5%) with a community setting study in Gondar.\textsuperscript{14} This might be due to the fact that our communities might not have regular age appropriate screening practices due to lack of information and hence they are not aware of their diabetes status which might be the reason for low prevalence of previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus.

The ratio of newly diagnosed to previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus was 6.3:1 in this study. According to WHO the ratio of newly diagnosed to known diabetes ranges between 1:2 and 6:1\textsuperscript{14,15}. This ratio is high which indicates a substantial burden of undetected diabetes cases in the study community. This is probably due to low health seeking behavior since primary health care services are not comprehensive in developing countries. Hence health information dissemination about NCIDs is low unlike communicable diseases and other community health issues. Additionally access to health services in the study community might be low if they seek help and care.

The prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus weighted to the national 2007 census and world population was 4.2% and 3.7% respectively which is lower than the finding from Nauru and Democratic republic of Congo where the age standardized prevalence of diabetes mellitus to world population was 9.7% and 16.1% respectively\textsuperscript{1,16} but comparable with South Africa 4.5%.\textsuperscript{11} The difference might be attributed due to the difference in the diagnostic modalities of diabetes mellitus used. In this study the prevalence of diabetes mellitus was determined using fasting glucose measurement unlike the others who used OGTT and this might underestimate the prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus in our case.

The crude prevalence of impaired fasting glucose was 9.7% (10.2% male vs 9.3% in female) whereas the age adjusted prevalence using the national 2007 census and standard world population was 9.9% and 10.1% respectively. This finding was comparable with the age adjusted prevalence IFG (9.9%) in Democratic republic of Congo.\textsuperscript{10} However it was higher than the study finding in Australia, Qatar, South Africa and Jimma was whereby 3.5%, 3.1%, 2.1% and 7.8% had IFG respectively.\textsuperscript{6,9,12,20} This difference might be attributed to the fact that other studies were conducted on communities who are living in the urban setting and hence they might have better health seeking behaviors. But in our case majority (75%) of study population were represented from the rural areas where by information about health seeking and screening behaviors are expected to be low in relation to the urban communities. Additionally the others studies did not apply age adjustment and hence this might also contribute for the observed difference in the prevalence of IFG.

Higher prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus was observed in males (4.5%) than females (3.1%) which are consistent with a study carried out in Vietnam (male’s 2.7% vs females 2.2%) and Zimbabwe (male’s 2.6% vs females 2.3%).\textsuperscript{10,21} The possible explanation for highly represented diabetes mellitus by males could be due to the disparity in health seeking behavior and access to health care services. In general sex differences have been noted in diabetes prevalence in different communities; however there are no consistent patterns seen from the various studies across the globe. The consensus, therefore, is that the differences sometimes observed, may in fact reflect the effects of the prevalence of different risk factors in different populations.

Among the socio demographic risk factors being male [AOR=3.0 (95% CI: (2.801-5.670)] was an independent predictor for diabetes mellitus in the assessed community which is consistent with a study finding within and outside Ethiopia.\textsuperscript{10,22} However, being female was either equally or highly affected by diabetes mellitus as evidenced by studies done in Philippines and rural Japan.\textsuperscript{3,24} The possible reason could be due to the living status of male population in the study area, who could have been exposed to different risk factors such as high calorie diet and sedentary working environment.

In this study, age was an independent risk factor for diabetes mellitus and diabetes was 4.5 times more common among people.

**Discussion**

This study was aimed to determine prevalence and risk factors associated with diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose among adults in the age group of 15-64 years old in GGFRC. Overall 219 (55 newly, 24 previously diagnosed DM and 140 IFG) of the study participants had impaired glucose homeostasis of which 79 (1.8%) were diabetic and 140 (3.2%) had impaired fasting glucose according to the WHO diagnostic criteria. In this study the crude prevalence of self-reported diabetes mellitus was 0.6% which is lower than the finding from Pakistan, Qatar, South Africa, rural West Africa and Addis Ababa where the crude prevalence of previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus was 13.4%, 10.7%, 2.45%, 2.6% and 4.5%\textsuperscript{1,12,13,16} respectively but comparable (0.5%) with a community setting study in Gondar.\textsuperscript{14} This might be due to the fact that our communities might not have regular age appropriate screening practices due to lack of information and hence they are not aware of their diabetes status which might be the reason for low prevalence of previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus.

The ratio of newly diagnosed to previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus was 6.3:1 in this study. According to WHO the ratio of newly diagnosed to known diabetes ranges between 1:2 and 6:1\textsuperscript{14,15}. This ratio is high which indicates a substantial burden of undetected diabetes cases in the study community. This is probably due to low health seeking behavior since primary health care services are not comprehensive in developing countries. Hence health information dissemination about NCIDs is low unlike communicable diseases and other community health issues. Additionally access to health services in the study community might be low if they seek help and care.

The prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus weighted to the national 2007 census and world population was 4.2% and 3.7% respectively which is lower than the finding from Nauru and Democratic republic of Congo where the age standardized prevalence of diabetes mellitus to world population was 9.7% and 16.1% respectively\textsuperscript{1,16} but comparable with South Africa 4.5%.\textsuperscript{11} The difference might be attributed due to the difference in the diagnostic modalities of diabetes mellitus used. In this study the prevalence of diabetes mellitus was determined using fasting glucose measurement unlike the others who used OGTT and this might underestimate the prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus in our case.

The crude prevalence of impaired fasting glucose was 9.7% (10.2% male vs 9.3% in female) whereas the age adjusted prevalence using the national 2007 census and standard world population was 9.9% and 10.1% respectively. This finding was comparable with the age adjusted prevalence IFG (9.9%) in Democratic republic of Congo.\textsuperscript{10} However it was higher than the study finding in Australia, Qatar, South Africa and Jimma was whereby 3.5%, 3.1%, 2.1% and 7.8% had IFG respectively.\textsuperscript{6,9,12,20} This difference might be attributed to the fact that other studies were conducted on communities who are living in the urban setting and hence they might have better health seeking behaviors. But in our case majority (75%) of study population were represented from the rural areas where by information about health seeking and screening behaviors are expected to be low in relation to the urban communities. Additionally the others studies did not apply age adjustment and hence this might also contribute for the observed difference in the prevalence of IFG.

Higher prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus was observed in males (4.5%) than females (3.1%) which are consistent with a study carried out in Vietnam (male’s 2.7% vs females 2.2%) and Zimbabwe (male’s 2.6% vs females 2.3%).\textsuperscript{10,21} The possible explanation for highly represented diabetes mellitus by males could be due to the disparity in health seeking behavior and access to health care services. In general sex differences have been noted in diabetes prevalence in different communities; however there are no consistent patterns seen from the various studies across the globe. The consensus, therefore, is that the differences sometimes observed, may in fact reflect the effects of the prevalence of different risk factors in different populations.

Among the socio demographic risk factors being male [AOR=3.0 (95% CI: (2.801-5.670)] was an independent predictor for diabetes mellitus in the assessed community which is consistent with a study finding within and outside Ethiopia.\textsuperscript{10,22} However, being female was either equally or highly affected by diabetes mellitus as evidenced by studies done in Philippines and rural Japan.\textsuperscript{3,24} The possible reason could be due to the living status of male population in the study area, who could have been exposed to different risk factors such as high calorie diet and sedentary working environment.

In this study, age was an independent risk factor for diabetes mellitus and diabetes was 4.5 times more common among people.
in the age group of 35-44 years [AOR=4.5 CI: (3.056-10.234)] as compared to the 15-24 years. This finding is not consistent with other studies.20,25,26 whereby the prevalence of diabetes mellitus reaches peaks in the age group of 45-60 years. One of the possible explanations for the lower prevalence in older ages might be the shortening of life expectancy of people with diabetes mellitus in our communities. Additionally the study populations might be exposed for different risk factors associated with diabetes mellitus early in their life and hence diabetes starts to appear at younger and middle aged adults.

Being current smoker [AOR=1.8 CI: (1.684-3.144)] is 1.8 times more likely to develop diabetes mellitus than non-smokers which is consistent with other studies.1,5,7,10 There is a growing body of evidence to show that smoking is a risk factor for Type II diabetes mellitus. Cigarette smoking is one of the known modifiable risk factor for chronic non communicable diseases including diabetes mellitus both in developed and developing nations as evidenced by this study also. Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain this link. Smoking has been identified as a possible risk factor for insulin resistance, a precursor for diabetes. Smoking has also been shown to deteriorate glucose metabolism which may lead to type II diabetes mellitus.1,2,5

Leisure time physical activity [AOR=2.3 CI: (1.278-4.025)] was independently associated with diabetes mellitus. Study participants with low leisure time physical activity were 2.3 times more likely for having diabetes mellitus than physically active groups. This finding is consistent with studies.10,12 Most of the study participants expressed as they spent their leisure time by setting at their home and this leads to imbalance on the average caloric intake and expenditure. Physical activity promotes glycemic control through maintaining normal BMI and hypertension as well it balances the average caloric intake and expenditure by the body cells.1,5

The age adjusted prevalence of impaired fasting glucose to the national 2007 census and standard world population was 9.9% and 10.1% respectively. This finding is comparable with studies in Democratic republic of Congo and South Africans, which indicated that the prevalence of impaired fasting glucose adjusted with a standard world population was 9.3% and 11.2%10,12 respectively. However the finding was higher than findings from studies done in our country such as on Ethiopian immigrants to Israel and Jimma town whereby the crude prevalence was 7.8% and 8.9%13,20 respectively. This difference could be due to the age weighted prevalence used in this study while the others present without age adjustment. Additionally the study communities might have high prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus since the disease is asymptomatic by nature until it becomes overt diabetic.

Central obesity measured through WHR [AOR=2.5 CI: (2.403-4.102)] was one of the independent risk factors associated with impaired fasting glucose which is also supported by others studies.1,5,11 Central obesity is one of the known modifiable risk factors associated with diabetes mellitus and pre diabetes states as evidenced by WHO and IDF chronic non communicable disease surveys in different countries.1,2 This is because abdominal fat accumulation leads to secretion of highly active hormones called adipokines that may impair glucose homeostasis.

Older age groups (55-64 years) [AOR=2.1 CI: (1.694-5.230)] compared to youngsters (15-24 years) were 2.1 more likely to be diagnosed as impaired fasting glucose which is in line with other studies.7,20,25,26 The prevalence of impaired fasting glucose increases as age increases and reaches peaks above 50 years since other risk factors such as being physically inactive aggravate the naturally occurring risk factors, age.

Conclusions and recommendations

This study has found a prevalence of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose that are higher than the previously reported in Ethiopia, as well other developing and developed countries. Middle age groups (35-44 years), being male, low physical activity during leisure time and being current smoker were the independent risk factors for diabetes mellitus. While being in the older age group (55-64 years) and having central obesity were the independent risk factors for impaired fasting glucose in the studied community. Therefore health information dissemination for increasing awareness and bringing behavioral changes on healthy life styles such as having regular physical exercise during leisure time and quitting of cigarette smoking. Additionally where resources are available, screening of high-risk groups (35-44 years) is recommended to early detect and prevent the occurrence of diabetes mellitus and its complications in the study community. As well opportunistic screening services should be established and promoted by health care practitioners among individuals presenting with known risk factors of diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose is recommended for the study population.
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