Friction force: from mechanics to thermodynamics
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Abstract

We study some mechanical problems in which a friction force is acting on a system. Using the fundamental concepts of state, time evolution and energy conservation, we explain how to extend Newtonian mechanics to thermodynamics. We arrive at the two laws of thermodynamics and then apply them to investigate the time evolution and heat transfer of some significant examples.

1. Introduction

It is well known that thermodynamics was first developed by Carnot in 1824 to study the production and the transport of heat [1]. However, since the axiomatic work of Carathéodory in 1909 on equilibrium thermodynamics [2], also called thermostatics, one has very often considered thermodynamics as a theory restricted either to equilibrium states or transformations from one equilibrium state to another equilibrium state. Since 1960, Stückelberg has consistently presented thermodynamics in a way similar to mechanics, i.e. as a phenomenological theory with time evolution described by first-order differential equations [3]. In his approach ‘energy’ and ‘entropy’ are extensive state functions introduced in the first and second laws of thermodynamics. Furthermore the second law consists of two parts, one related to the time evolution of general systems and the other to the approach to equilibrium for isolated systems. By analogy with classical mechanics, where one starts with the study of a point particle to investigate a finite number of interacting point particles and finally arrives at continuous systems, Stückelberg starts his study of thermodynamics by considering simple systems, which he calls ‘element of system’, for which the state can be entirely described by only one non-mechanical, or thermal, variable—the ‘entropy’ whose existence is postulated in the second law—together with the mechanical variables. He then considers general systems made of a finite or infinite number of interacting simple systems. In such an approach thermostatics appears as a special chapter of thermodynamics just as statics appears as a
special chapter in textbooks on mechanics. Moreover it should be stressed that mechanics appears as a special case of thermodynamics where the mechanical observables are decoupled from the thermal ones.

In this paper we illustrate Stückelberg’s approach with some simple mechanical systems subjected to friction force. Using the structural properties discussed below and assuming the conservation of energy, we show how one is led to extend mechanics to arrive at thermodynamics. In particular, following Stückelberg, we formulate the first and second laws as time evolution described by first-order differential equations. These examples will be used to illustrate the evolution of mechanical systems in the presence of friction taking into account the thermodynamical aspects since it is well known that the temperature increases as soon as friction is introduced. Previous analyses have been done in similar directions [4–8]. They differ from our approach in which we use observables defined at each instant of time, and we use time-dependent formalism to describe the time evolution. Moreover we explicitly introduce the entropy concept and arrive at the second law of thermodynamics.

It is well known that a classical physical system is characterized by its observables, its state and the time evolution. These three concepts are structural, in the sense that they can be defined in many branches of physics. The observables are those physical quantities chosen by an observer to study the system. The state at time \( t \) represents the information held by the observer on the possible values of those observables at time \( t \). The time evolution yields the state at time \( t \) knowing the state at some initial time \( t_0 \) together with the action of the outside on the system at all times. In the following we consider only pure states, i.e. the state at time \( t \) gives the precise value of each observable at this time.

Let us present the main ideas of our work. We assume that for every physical system there is an observable, called energy, which is conserved. This means that the numerical value of this observable remains constant whenever the system is either isolated from the outside or submitted to passive forces only, i.e. forces which do not produce work, sometimes called zero-work force [4]. The examples of passive forces are those forces whose application point does not move, such as the force exerted on particles by an ideal fixed wall, or those forces which are always perpendicular to the velocity such as the force exerted by a magnetic field on an electric charge or a perfect constraint on the motion. In other words this assumption implies that the only way to change the energy of the system is by an action from the outside. In mechanics it follows from Newton’s definition in the Principia that the only way the outside can act on the system and change its state is by means of ‘force’. Looking at the system from a mechanical point of view, in particular assuming that the only action of the outside on the system is by means of force, it is immediately clear that mechanical energy is not a conserved observable when friction forces are present. Therefore to have an observable energy which is conserved, we are forced to introduce a new form of energy, namely the internal energy, and the energy appears as the sum of the mechanical and the internal energy (recall that the mechanical energy is the sum of several contributions, which appear in the form of kinetic and potential energies). Then one finds that to define the state of the system, we need new non-mechanical, or thermodynamical, variables. Assuming the simplest case where it is sufficient to introduce only one thermodynamical variable, we take the entropy and arrive at the second law of thermodynamics: for an adiabatically closed system, the entropy can never decrease. Now that we have reached the conclusion that thermodynamical variables are needed to define the state, we are then led to conclude that it is possible for the outside to act on those variables to change the state of the system, in particular its energy, without any force; this leads to the concept of heat power, or the quantity of heat delivered to the system from the outside per unit of time: it is positive if the system receives heat and negative if the system gives heat to the outside. Of course in more general cases it will not be the only new contribution to the
energy; for example if we consider a car accelerating on a horizontal road, it will be necessary
to introduce a new form of energy, the chemical energy, new state variables, the number of
moles of each element and new actions of the outside on the system, i.e. the transfer of matter
from the outside. In other examples, we will be led to introduce other forms of energy such as
nuclear energy and relativistic rest energy.

In section 2 we present a simple frictionless mechanical problem. In section 3 we consider
the more general case in which friction is present and extend the mechanical scenario to a
more general one leading to thermodynamics. Section 4 is devoted to a significant example
that can be easily calculated and in which we can get an insight about energy transfer between
two bodies in the presence of friction forces. Moreover we calculate the time evolution of the
temperature, of the entropy, and the heat transfer per unit of time between two bodies.

This work is inspired from [9] and a complete description of this formalism can be
found in the original work of Stückelberg and Scheurer [3]. It is intended for undergraduates
with some knowledge of equilibrium thermodynamics as well as for all those believing that
thermodynamics is restricted to equilibrium states. As we have personally experienced, the
explicit connection between mechanics and thermodynamics used in the paper can provide a
significant improvement in the teaching of thermodynamics in the time-dependent approach
with frictional forces.

Moreover, this approach clearly shows that the first law of thermodynamics is valid even
when work is done against friction forces.

2. The frictionless problem

The system Σ is the union of a solid Σ₁ moving on a solid Σ₂, which is maintained fixed by
means of some applied force, as represented in figure 1. This force is thus passive and has
no effect on the energy of the system (although it has of course the effect that momentum
is not constant). The two subsystems interact by means of some internal conservative force
\( \vec{F}^{1\to 2} = - \vec{F}^{2\to 1} \) (which is represented by a massless spring in figure 1), and we assume that
there is no friction force between the two solids, or between the solid and air. The mechanical
states of Σ₁ and Σ₂ are given by their position \( \vec{x}_i \) and momentum \( \vec{p}_i \) (\( i = 1, 2 \)). Since Σ₂ is
at rest, its mechanical state \( (\vec{x}_2, \vec{p}_2 = 0) \) does not change in time. Thus the state of the total
system Σ can be described by \( (\vec{x}_1, \vec{p}_1) \equiv (\vec{x}, \vec{p}) \) of the moving solid.

To be explicit let us consider the special, but not restrictive, case where the force
\( \vec{F}^{2\to 1} \) is
given by an elastic force of the form \( \vec{F}_{\text{el}} = -k \vec{\xi} \), where \( k \) is a positive constant.

Next we describe the time evolution, which follows from Newton’s second law and his
definition of momentum, \( \vec{p} = m \vec{v} \), where \( m \) is the mass of \( \Sigma_1 \). Newton’s equations are

\[
\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \tag{1a}
\]

\[
\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{F}_{\text{el}}. \tag{1b}
\]

This is a system of first-order differential equations that allows us to calculate the state at
every instant \( t \) knowing the state \( (\vec{x}_0, \vec{p}_0) \) at some instant \( t_0 \) (the initial data) and the force \( \vec{F}_{\text{el}} \);
it is the determinism principle. Note that \( \vec{x} \) and \( \vec{p} \) are two independent variables which vary
periodically.

We finally switch to some energy consideration. From (1a) and (1b) we get

\[
\frac{dE_{\text{kin}}^{1\text{in}}}{dt} = \vec{F}_{\text{el}} \cdot \vec{v}, \tag{2}
\]
where \( E_{1\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 \) with \( v = |\vec{v}| \), and since \( \Sigma_2 \) is fixed, \( \frac{dE_{1\text{kin}}}{dt} = 0 \). From \( \vec{F}_{\text{el}} = -k\vec{x} = -\nabla E_{\text{pot}} \), it follows that \( \vec{F}_{\text{el}} \) is conservative, and we have
\[
\frac{dE_{1\text{kin}}}{dt} = -\nabla E_{\text{pot}} \cdot \vec{v} = -\frac{dE_{\text{pot}}}{dt}.
\]
Thus we have conservation of the mechanical energy \( E_{\text{mec}} = E_{1\text{kin}} + E_{2\text{kin}} + E_{\text{pot}} \), i.e.
\[
\frac{dE_{\text{mec}}}{dt} = 0.
\]

3. Friction, internal energy and entropy

If all the active forces (i.e. those which produce work) are conservative, the mechanical energy, i.e. kinetic plus potential energy, is conserved. This means that the mechanical energy can be modified only by some external action on the system. This \textit{conservation of mechanical energy} is a fundamental property since, using Noether’s theorem [10], one can show that it is associated with the temporal invariance of physical laws. Moreover using the fact that at the microscopic level all forces are conservative, we assume that at the macroscopic level there always exists an extensive state function, the energy \( E \), which is a conserved quantity. Therefore, assuming that the only way the outside can act on the system is by means of forces, we should have
\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = P_{\text{ext}}^W,
\]
where \( P_{\text{ext}}^W \) is the power developed by the external forces acting on the system.

The assumption (5) is the first law of thermodynamics for adiabatically closed systems, i.e. those systems where the only external actions on the system are by means of forces.

Let us consider the situation represented in figure 1.

The total system \( \Sigma \) is identical to that studied in the previous section, but now the solid \( \Sigma_1 \) is also subjected to the dissipative force \( \vec{F}_{\text{fr}} \) arising from the friction on the surface of \( \Sigma_2 \). After \( \Sigma_1 \) is released from a non-equilibrium state \( (\vec{x}_0 \neq 0, \vec{p}_0 = 0) \), it will have damped oscillations until it reaches an equilibrium state \( (\vec{x}_1, \vec{p}_1 = 0) \).

We can assume, as usual for solid friction, that this friction force is given by
\[
\begin{cases}
\vec{F}_{\text{fr}} = -\lambda \hat{v} & \text{if } v \neq 0 \quad \left( \hat{v} = \frac{\vec{v}}{|\vec{v}|} \right) \\
|\vec{F}_{\text{fr}}| \leq F_{\text{fr, max}} & \text{if } v = 0,
\end{cases}
\]
where \( \lambda = \lambda(\vec{x}, \vec{p}) \) is strictly positive.
The evolution is described by Newton’s equations

\[
\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \vec{p} \quad \tag{7a}
\]
\[
\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{F}_\text{cl} + \vec{F}_\text{fr} \quad \tag{7b}
\]
if \(\vec{p} \neq \vec{0}\) or \(\vec{p} = \vec{0}\) and \(|\vec{F}_\text{cl}| > F_{\text{fr,max}}\), otherwise \(\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{0}\). Therefore

\[
\frac{dE_{\text{mec}}}{dt} = P^{(\text{inc})},
\]
where \(P^{(\text{inc})} = \vec{F}_\text{fr} \cdot \vec{v}\), the power associated with the non-conservative friction force, is strictly negative if \(v\) is non-zero. Therefore

\[
P^{(\text{inc})} = -\lambda v \leq 0 \quad (9)
\]
and the mechanical energy is not conserved. In fact using (8) and (9), the mechanical energy in the equilibrium state \((\vec{x}_1, \vec{p}_1 = \vec{0})\) will be strictly smaller than in the initial state \((\vec{x}_0 \neq \vec{0}, \vec{p}_0 = \vec{0})\).

For our assumption (5) to be valid (with \(P^\text{ext}_w = 0\)), we have to assume that there exists some state function \(U\), called the internal energy of the whole system \(\Sigma\), such that if \(v \neq 0\),

\[
\frac{dU}{dt} = -P^{(\text{inc})}. \quad (10)
\]
Only in this case will we be able to conclude that there is conservation of energy \(E\) defined by

\[
E = E_{\text{mec}} + U. \quad (11)
\]

If such a function exists, we shall be able to conclude that in the equilibrium state \((\vec{x}_1, \vec{p}_1 = \vec{0})\), part of the initial potential energy has been converted into internal energy, \(U_1 - U_0 = E_{\text{pot}}^0 - E_{\text{pot}}^1\), but the total energy is constant \(E_1 = E_0\).

In a second step, see figure 2, we restore the system \(\Sigma\) to its initial mechanical state \((\vec{x}_0 \neq \vec{0}, \vec{p}_0 = \vec{0})\). To do so we have to apply an external force \(\vec{F}_\text{ext}\).

The evolution of the mechanical state is given by Newton’s equations

\[
\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \vec{p} \quad \tag{12a}
\]
\[
\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{F}_\text{cl} + \vec{F}_\text{fr} + \vec{F}_\text{ext} \quad \tag{12b}
\]
which implies

\[
\frac{dE_{\text{mec}}}{dt} = P^{(\text{inc})} + \vec{F}_\text{ext} \cdot \vec{v}. \quad (13)
\]
Therefore with our assumption (10) we obtain the desired result

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = P^\text{ext}_w \cdot, \quad (14)
\]
with

\[
P^\text{ext}_w = \vec{F}_\text{ext} \cdot \vec{v}, \quad (15)
\]
i.e. the basic assumption (5) is satisfied.
In a second step, after the system has reached the mechanical equilibrium \((\vec{x}_1, \vec{p}_1 = 0)\), an external force \(\vec{F}_{\text{ext}}\) is applied to the system \(\Sigma_1\) to recover the initial mechanical configuration \((\vec{x}_0 \neq 0, \vec{p}_0 = 0)\).

However, since \(E_1 = E_0\), we note that the work done by the external force,

\[ W_{\text{ext}} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} P_{\text{ext}}^W(t) \, dt = E_2 - E_1 = E_2 - E_0 = U_2 - U_0, \]  

(16)

is always strictly positive, and \(U_2 > U_0\).

In conclusion, if we assume that \(U\) and \(E\) are the state functions, the fact that the original and final mechanical states are identical but \(U_2 \neq U_0\) forces us to introduce new non-mechanical state variables to distinguish the final state from the original one. Therefore the state of the system \(\Sigma_1\) must be described by \((\vec{x}, \vec{p})\) together with some non-mechanical state variables.

The simplest case is the one where it is sufficient to introduce just one non-mechanical variable. We could choose the temperature, since we observe that the system becomes warmer, or the internal energy which we were led to introduce. We prefer to introduce a new observable \(S\), called the entropy, which will be specified below (see (18)). In this simplest case the state of the system \(\Sigma_1\) is described by \((\vec{x}, \vec{p}, S)\). Explicitly, the dependence of the energy on the state variables is

\[ E(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, S) = E_{\text{kin}}(\vec{p}, S) + E_{\text{pot}}(\vec{x}, S) + U(S). \]  

(17)

We shall choose the entropy \(S\) in such a way that the state function \(T = \frac{\partial E}{\partial S}\) (18)
can be identified with the absolute temperature of \(\Sigma\) since in an equilibrium state the temperature is defined by (18). The internal energy \(U\) must be a function of \(S\), while the mass, the potential energy and the friction coefficient could be the functions of \(S\), \(m = m(S)\), \(E_{\text{pot}} = \frac{1}{2}k(S)x^2\) and \(\lambda = \lambda(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, S)\).

We now investigate the time evolution of the state \((\vec{x}, \vec{p}, S)\). From (17) we have

\[ \frac{dE}{dt} = \nabla p E \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} + \nabla E \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} \frac{dS}{dt}. \]  

(19)

Using Newton’s equations (12a) and (12b) for \(\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt}\) and \(\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt}\), together with

\[ \nabla p E = \frac{\vec{p}}{m}, \quad \nabla E = -\vec{F}_{\text{el}}, \quad \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} = T, \]  

(20)

we obtain

\[ \frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \cdot (\vec{F}_{\text{el}} + \vec{F}_{\text{fr}} + \vec{F}_{\text{ext}}) - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} + T \frac{dS}{dt}. \]  

(21)

Therefore, from (14) and (21), we have

\[ \frac{dE}{dt} = P_{\text{ext}}^W = \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \cdot (\vec{F}_{\text{fr}} + \vec{F}_{\text{ext}}) + T \frac{dS}{dt}. \]  

(22)
and with the definition $P_{\text{ext}}^W = \vec{F}_{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{v}$, we obtain
\[
\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{T} \vec{F}_{\text{fr}} \cdot \vec{v} = \frac{1}{T} \lambda \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \geq 0, \tag{23}
\]
where $\mathbf{p} = |\vec{p}|$. This last equation is the second law of thermodynamics for adiabatically closed systems. The non-negative state function
\[
I(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, S) = \frac{1}{T} \lambda \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \tag{24}
\]
is called \textit{entropy production} and characterizes irreversible processes.

The time evolution of $\Sigma$ is then given by the ODE
\[
\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m}, \tag{25a}
\]
\[
\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -k \vec{x} - \lambda \frac{\lambda \vec{p}}{m} + \vec{F}_{\text{ext}} \tag{25b}
\]
\[
\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\lambda \mathbf{p}}{m} \tag{25c}
\]
if $\vec{p} \neq \vec{0}$ or $\vec{p} = \vec{0}$ and $| - k \vec{x}(t) + \vec{F}_{\text{ext}} | > F_{\text{fr,max}}$ (in the latter case $-\frac{\lambda}{m} \dot{\vec{p}}$ has to be replaced by the static solid friction force), otherwise $\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{0}$, and thus $\vec{p} = \vec{0}$.

The friction coefficient $\lambda = \lambda(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, S)$, the spring constant $k = k(S)$ and the inertial mass $m = m(S)$ should be defined from experiments.

We observe that the two equations (25a) and (25b), that characterize the mechanical problem, are now coupled with the new one (25c), which is related to thermodynamics. In general $k, \lambda, m$ do not depend very strongly on $S$ (or equivalently on temperature). If this dependence can be neglected, we can solve (25a) and (25b) independently of the thermodynamic equation (25c). This justifies the study of friction in mechanics without taking into account the thermodynamical aspect.

Up to now, the only external action on the system was by means of external forces acting on the state variable $\vec{x}$ and expressed by $P_{\text{ext}}^W$ (see (15)). Having introduced a new variable $S$, we can now introduce a new type of external action which can modify $S$ and thus the energy without changing $\vec{x}$ or $\vec{p}$. This action can be considered as acting on the microscopic variables, which have disappeared at the macroscopic level to be replaced by the single variable $S$. We express this external action by $P_{\text{ext}}^Q$, the heat power, which is the heat transferred from the outside per unit time. We now have
\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = P_{\text{ext}}^W + P_{\text{ext}}^Q, \tag{26}
\]
which is the \textit{first law of thermodynamics} for closed systems (i.e. systems that do not exchange matter).

To discover the effect of $P_{\text{ext}}^Q$ on the entropy, we observe that from (21), (24) and (15) we obtain
\[
P_{\text{ext}}^Q = -\lambda \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} + T \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt}, \tag{27}
\]
that is, from (24)
\[
\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = I + \frac{1}{T} P_{\text{ext}}^Q, \quad I \geq 0. \tag{28}
\]
This last equation is the \textit{second law of thermodynamics} for a closed system, with $I$ the entropy production, which is a non-negative state function [3].

To conclude this section we remark that the non-negative term $-\vec{F}_{\text{fr}} \cdot \vec{v} = \frac{\lambda}{m} \mathbf{p}$ is usually called dissipative power and in this example the entropy production is $I = \frac{1}{T} P_{\text{dis}}$. 
4. Example

We consider the system described by figure 3, where the cylinder $\Sigma_2$ is fixed, and the cylinder $\Sigma_1$ can rotate around its axis which is vertical. Passive external forces are applied to maintain fixed $\Sigma_2$ and the axis of $\Sigma_1$. A constant horizontal external force $\vec{F}_{\text{ext}}$ acts on the cylinder $\Sigma_1$, and we shall discuss the stationary mechanical state when the angular velocity $\vec{\omega}$ is constant.

The radius of the cylinders is $R$.

We assume that experiments have shown that the masses and the friction torque $\lambda$ are positive constants, and the internal energy of the solid $\Sigma_i$ is given by $U_i = 3n_iRT_i$, where $n_i$ is the number of moles of $\Sigma_i (i = 1, 2)$. Following the discussion in section 3, we assume that the state of the system is entirely described by only one thermodynamical variable, which implies that the state is described by only one temperature $T$, i.e. we assume that both cylinders are at the same temperature $T = T_1 = T_2$ at all times. (The more general case where the two cylinders have different temperatures is discussed in appendix D.) Since energy is an extensive variable, the internal energy of the whole system $\Sigma$ is $U = 3(n_1 + n_2)RT$.

Under the above conditions we have for the whole system $\Sigma$ conservation of angular momentum

$$0 = F_{\text{ext}}R - \lambda, \quad (29)$$

and the first law of thermodynamics (26) is written as

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = P_{w}^{\text{ext}}, \quad (30)$$

where $P_{w}^{\text{ext}} = \vec{F}_{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{v} = \lambda \omega$, where $|\vec{v}| = \omega R$. Since the angular velocity of $\Sigma_1$ is constant and $\Sigma_2$ is fixed, $\frac{dE_{\Sigma_2}}{dt} = 0$ and (30) imply

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = \lambda \omega, \quad (31)$$

therefore,

$$3(n_1 + n_2)R \frac{dT}{dt} = \lambda \omega. \quad (32)$$

Note that we can apply the first law of thermodynamics (26) to $\Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2$ separately. For $\Sigma_1$ we have

$$\frac{dE_1}{dt} = P_{q}^{\Sigma_{1 \rightarrow 2}} + P_{w}^{\text{ext}} + P_{w}^{\Sigma_{2 \rightarrow 1}}$$

$$= 0 \quad (33)$$
which gives

\[ \frac{dU_1}{dt} = P_2^{\rightarrow 1}, \]

and thus with (32)

\[ P_2^{\rightarrow 1} = 3n_1R \frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda \omega. \]  

(35)

For \( \Sigma_2 \) we have

\[ \frac{dE_2}{dt} = P_2^{\rightarrow 1} + P_w^{\rightarrow 2} \]

which gives

\[ \frac{dU_2}{dt} = P_2^{\rightarrow 1} + P_w^{\rightarrow 2} = P_2^{\rightarrow 1} + \lambda \omega. \]  

(37)

Therefore, from \( \frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{dU_1}{dt} + dU_2 \) and \( P_{\text{ext}} = \lambda \omega \), we conclude that

\[ P_1^{\rightarrow 2} = -P_2^{\rightarrow 1} = -\frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda \omega. \]  

(38)

Finally,

\[ \frac{dE_1}{dt} = \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dE_2}{dt} = \frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda \omega. \]  

(39)

Let us note that (38) and (39), together with (18), imply

\[ \frac{dS_1}{dt} = \frac{1}{T} P_2^{\rightarrow 1} \]

(40)

\[ \frac{dS_2}{dt} = I + \frac{1}{T} P_2^{\rightarrow 1} \quad \text{with} \quad I = \frac{\lambda \omega}{T} > 0 \]  

(41)

\[ \frac{dS}{dt} = I \]

(42)

which are the expression of the second law (28) applied to the subsystems \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \), and the whole system \( \Sigma \), which is adiabatically closed.

We now address the question of time evolution. From (32) the temperature time evolution is given by

\[ T(t) = T_0 + \frac{\lambda \omega}{3(n_1 + n_2)R} t. \]  

(43)

Since by definition \( \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} = T \), we have, in this example,

\[ T = \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} = \frac{dU}{dS} = 3(n_1 + n_2)R \frac{dT}{dS}. \]  

(44)

and thus the entropy is related to the temperature by the function

\[ S = S_0 + 3(n_1 + n_2) R \ln \frac{T}{T_0} \]

\[ = S_0 + 3(n_1 + n_2) R \ln \frac{U}{U_0}. \]  

(45)

We finally obtain the time evolution for the entropy of the system:

\[ S(t) = S_0 + 3(n_1 + n_2) R \ln \frac{T(t)}{T_0} \]

\[ = S_0 + 3(n_1 + n_2) R \ln \left(1 + \frac{\lambda \omega}{3(n_1 + n_2)RT_0} t\right). \]  

(46)
We observe that the total entropy $S(t)$ is an increasing function of time. Since the system $\Sigma$ is adiabatically closed ($P_{\text{ext}}^Q = 0$), this is once again the second law of thermodynamics.

To gain some more insight into this example, we consider $\Sigma_2$ as $\Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3$ where the subsystem $\Sigma_3$ is defined by the surface of $\Sigma_2$ whose height is $\varepsilon \ll 1$.

We now apply the first law of thermodynamics to these three subsystems:

\[
\frac{dU_1}{dt} = P_{\text{ext}}^W + P_{\text{ext}}^{3\rightarrow 1} + P_{\text{ext}}^{3\rightarrow 1},
\]

\[
\frac{dU_2}{dt} = P_{Q}^{3\rightarrow 2},
\]

\[
\frac{dU_3}{dt} = P_{W}^{1\rightarrow 3} + P_{Q}^{1\rightarrow 3} + P_{Q}^{2\rightarrow 3},
\]

where we used the fact that no work is done by $\Sigma_3$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_2$, and thus $P_{W}^{3\rightarrow 2} = P_{Q}^{2\rightarrow 3} = 0$. But, using Newton’s third law, $P_{W}^{1\rightarrow 3} = \lambda \omega = P_{Q}^{1\rightarrow 2}$. Since $U_3$ is proportional to $\varepsilon$, in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ we have $U_3 \to 0$ and $\tilde{U}_2 \to U_2$, and thus in this limit

\[
0 = P_{W}^{1\rightarrow 3} + P_{Q}^{1\rightarrow 3} + P_{Q}^{2\rightarrow 3}.
\]

Moreover the equation

\[
P_{W}^{\text{ext}} = \frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{dU_1}{dt} + \frac{d\tilde{U}_2}{dt} + \frac{dU_3}{dt} = P_{Q}^{1\rightarrow 1} + P_{Q}^{3\rightarrow 2} + P_{W}^{1\rightarrow 3} + P_{Q}^{1\rightarrow 3} + P_{Q}^{2\rightarrow 3}
\]

(51)

together with (50) finally gives

\[
P_{W}^{\text{ext}} = P_{Q}^{1\rightarrow 1} + P_{Q}^{3\rightarrow 2}.
\]

(52)

We see that the external work power given to the system appears as heat power at the interface, which is then distributed to the two subsystems $\Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2$ in proportion to their number of moles:

\[
P_{Q}^{j\rightarrow i} = 3n_i R \frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{n_i}{n_1 + n_2} P_{W}^{\text{ext}} \quad (j = 1, 2).
\]

(53)

Other examples are given in the appendices.

5. Conclusions

Applying the idea of state and time evolution, together with the basic assumption of energy conservation, to simple examples we have shown that one is naturally led from mechanics to thermodynamics. This can be used to study mechanical problems with friction from a more general point of view. In particular the second law, the production of entropy and the question of heat transfer can be discussed in the time-dependent thermodynamic approach such as that developed by Stückelberg [3].

Moreover, this formalism can be applied to many topics in thermodynamics, in particular to those that cannot be analysed with equilibrium thermodynamics [11]; as we show in the appendices with the solid friction example, the second law of thermostatics is not always satisfied.
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Appendix A. Viscous friction

We consider the system illustrated in figure A1. The solid $\Sigma_1$, whose mass is $m$, is subject to a viscous friction force $\vec{F}_{fr} = -\lambda \vec{v}$ and an elastic force $\vec{F}_{el} = -k \vec{x}$, where we assume that $m$, $\lambda$ and $k$ are positive constants. Moreover the internal energy of $\Sigma_1$ is supposed to be given by $U_1 = 3 n_1 R T_1$ with $n_1$ its number of moles. To simplify the analysis, $\Sigma_2$ is modelled as a monoatomic ideal gas with internal energy $U_2 = \frac{3}{2} n_2 R T_2$ and fixed volume and mole number $n_2$. The only action of the outside on the system is the passive force maintaining fixed the cylinder containing the ideal gas. Since we assume that the state is entirely described by only one thermodynamical variable $S$, together with $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{p}$ of $\Sigma_1$, both the solid and the fluid are at the same temperature $T = T_1 = T_2$ at all times. Moreover since energy is an extensive observable, the system’s energy is $E = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 + \frac{1}{2} k x^2 + \left(3 n_1 + \frac{3}{2} n_2\right) R T$.

The initial condition for the mechanical state is $\vec{x}_0 \neq \vec{0}$ and $\vec{p}_0 = m \vec{v}_0 \neq \vec{0}$; we also assume that $\kappa = \frac{\lambda}{2m}$ and $\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}$ are such that $\kappa < \omega_0$.

The ODE giving the time evolution of the state $(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, S)$ is

\[ \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \]  
\[ \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -k \vec{x} - \frac{\lambda}{m} \vec{p} \]  
\[ \frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{1}{T} \left( \frac{p}{m} \right)^2 \]  

where $p = |\vec{p}|$.

Since $m$, $\lambda$ and $k$ are constant, we can solve Newton’s equations (A.1a) and (A.1b). The time evolution of the position is thus given by the damped oscillations ($\omega = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \kappa^2}$)

\[ x(t) = e^{-\kappa t} \left[ x_0 \cos(\omega t) + \frac{v_0 + \frac{\kappa x_0}{\omega}}{\omega} \sin(\omega t) \right], \]  

while the time evolution of the momentum is given by

\[ p(t) = m e^{-\kappa t} \left[ v_0 \cos(\omega t) - \left( \frac{v_0 + \frac{\kappa x_0}{\omega}}{\omega} + x_0 \omega \right) \sin(\omega t) \right]. \]  

As in section 4, using the definition $\frac{\partial E}{\partial S} = T$ we have, in this example,
\[ T = \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} = \frac{dU}{dS} = 3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) R \frac{dT}{dS}, \] 

and thus the entropy is related to the temperature by the function

\[ S = S_0 + 3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) R \ln \frac{T}{T_0} \]

\[ = S_0 + 3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) R \ln \frac{U}{U_0}. \] 

Therefore to obtain the evolution of the entropy \( S(t) \), we shall first find the evolution of the temperature \( T(t) \). Under the above conditions and (A.1c), we have

\[ \frac{dU}{dt} = 3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) R \frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{dU}{dS} \frac{dS}{dt} = T \frac{dS}{dt} = \lambda \left( \frac{p}{m} \right)^2 \] 

from which we obtain the time evolution for the temperature

\[ T(t) = T_0 + \frac{1}{3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right)} R f(t) \] 

with

\[ f(t) = \left( \frac{1}{2} m v_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} k x_0^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2(4km - \lambda^2)} \left[ 4km(mv_0^2 + \lambda v_0x_0 + kx_0^2) \right. \]

\[ - \lambda(v_0^2 + 4v_0mkx_0 + \lambda kx_0^2) \cos(2\omega t) \]

\[ - \lambda(mv_0^2 - kx_0^2)(4km - \lambda^2)^{1/2} \sin(2\omega t) \].

which gives the entropy time evolution

\[ S(t) = S_0 + 3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) R \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) RT_0 f(t)} \right]. \]

The equilibrium point of the ODE (A.1a)–(A.1c) is given by \( \vec{x} = 0 \) and \( \vec{p} = 0 \), and this corresponds to the state obtained in the limit \( t \to \infty \), i.e. the system evolves to the equilibrium point of the ODE. In this limit the entropy tends to

\[ S_{eq} = \lim_{t \to \infty} S(t) = S_0 + 3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) R \ln \left( \frac{3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) RT_0 + \left( \frac{1}{2} m v_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} k x_0^2 \right)}{3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) RT_0} \right). \]

Note that \( \frac{1}{2} m v_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} k x_0^2 = -\Delta E_{mech} = \Delta U \).

We remark that in this example the second law of thermostatics is satisfied, i.e. an isolated system evolves towards an equilibrium state that is a maximum of the entropy under the condition that the energy is fixed by the initial condition. Indeed

\[ S = S_0 + 3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) R \ln \left( \frac{E - \left( \frac{1}{2} m v^2 + \frac{1}{2} k x^2 \right)}{U_0} \right), \]

and the maximum of \( S \) under the condition that \( E = \frac{1}{2} m v_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} k x_0^2 + U_0 \) is given by the solution of (A.12) and (A.13):

\[ \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = -3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) RU_0 \]

\[ = -\left( \frac{1}{2} m v^2 + \frac{1}{2} k x^2 \right) kx = 0 \] 

\[ \frac{\partial S}{\partial v} = 3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) RU_0 \]

\[ = \left( \frac{1}{2} m v^2 + \frac{1}{2} k x^2 \right) mv = 0, \]

i.e. \( x = 0, v = 0 \) and \( E = U = 3 \left( n_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) RT \). Therefore \( S_{eq} \) of equation (A.10) is the maximum of \( S \) under the condition \( E = E_0 = E(t_0) \). Moreover this maximum of the entropy gives the equilibrium state.
Appendix B. Solid friction with $\vec{F}_{el} = \vec{0}$

We consider a solid $\Sigma_1$ sliding on a fixed solid $\Sigma_2$ (see figure 1 without the spring and $\vec{F}_0 = \vec{0}$). The only action of the outside on the system is the passive force maintaining fixed the solid $\Sigma_2$. We assume that the solid friction is given by (6) and that the temperatures of both systems are always equal, $T_1 = T_2 = T$ (i.e. only one thermodynamical variable is needed to describe the state of the system), while the internal energy of $\Sigma_i$ is given by $U_i = 3n_iRT_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Since energy is an extensive observable, $U = 3(n_1 + n_2)RT$. We assume that experiments have shown that $m$ the mass of $\Sigma_1$ and $\lambda$ the friction coefficient are constant.

Applying the first law of thermodynamics (26) (with $P_{ext}^W = 0$, $P_{ext}^Q = 0$) to the whole system we have

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{dE_{kin}}{dt} + \frac{dU}{dt} = 0, \quad (B.1)$$

but $\frac{dE_{kin}}{dt} = P_{W}^{2\rightarrow 1} = \vec{F}_{el} \cdot \vec{v} = -\lambda v$, where $v = |\vec{v}|$; thus,

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = 3(n_1 + n_2)R \frac{dT}{dt} = \lambda v. \quad (B.2)$$

Then, applying the first law of thermodynamics (26) to the two subsystems, together with $P_{W}^{2\rightarrow 1} = -\lambda v$ and $P_{W}^{1\rightarrow 2} = \lambda v$, we have

$$\frac{dE_1}{dt} = \frac{dE_{kin}^{1}}{dt} + \frac{dU_1}{dt} = P_{Q}^{2\rightarrow 1} - \lambda v, \quad (B.3a)$$

$$\frac{dE_2}{dt} = \frac{dU_2}{dt} = P_{Q}^{1\rightarrow 2} + \lambda v, \quad (B.3b)$$

and thus

$$\frac{dU_1}{dt} = P_{Q}^{2\rightarrow 1} \quad (B.4)$$

$$\frac{dU_2}{dt} = P_{Q}^{1\rightarrow 2} + \lambda v. \quad (B.5)$$

From the energy conservation (B.1), together with the assumption that both systems are at the same temperature, and thus from (B.2), $\frac{dU}{dt} = 3n_iR \frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{n_i}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda v$, it follows that

$$P_{Q}^{2\rightarrow 1} = -P_{Q}^{1\rightarrow 2} = -\frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda v. \quad (B.6)$$

Therefore from (B.3) and (B.6) we obtain

$$\frac{dE_1}{dt} = -\frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda v \quad (B.7)$$

$$\frac{dE_2}{dt} = \frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda v. \quad (B.8)$$

The subsystem $\Sigma_1$ loses $\lambda v$ kinetic energy per unit time due to the friction work power and gains $\frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda v$ internal energy per unit of time due to the heat power received from the subsystem $\Sigma_2$. Therefore its total energy $E_1$ decreases per unit of time by $-\frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda v$. The subsystem $\Sigma_2$ gains $\lambda v$ as work power due to the force of $\Sigma_1$ to $\Sigma_2$, but loses a quantity $\frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda v$ as heat power to $\Sigma_1$. Therefore its total energy $E_2$ increases per unit of time by $\frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda v$. 
We now address the question of time evolution. From Newton’s equation
\[ \frac{dv}{dt} = -\lambda \quad \text{if } v > 0, \] (B.9)
we have for \( v_0 > 0 \)
\[ \begin{cases} v(t) = v_0 - \frac{\lambda}{m} t & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{v_0}{\lambda}, \\ v(t) = 0 & \text{for } t \geq \frac{v_0}{\lambda}. \end{cases} \] (B.10)

From (B.2) and the expression of \( v(t) \) it follows that the time evolution of temperature is given by
\[ T(t) = T_0 + \frac{\lambda}{3(n_1 + n_2)R} \left( v_0 t - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{m} t^2 \right), \] (B.11)
for \( 0 \leq t \leq \frac{v_0}{\lambda} \), and \( T(t) = T_0 + \frac{1}{3(n_1 + n_2)R} \frac{m}{2} v_0^2 \) for \( t \geq \frac{v_0}{\lambda} \).

Using (45) we find the time evolution for the entropy; for \( 0 \leq t \leq \frac{v_0}{\lambda} \),
\[ S(t) = S_0 + 3(n_1 + n_2)R \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{3(n_1 + n_2)RT_0} \right), \] (B.12)
and for \( t \geq \frac{v_0}{\lambda} \),
\[ S(t) = S_0 + 3(n_1 + n_2)R \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{2}mv_0^2}{3(n_1 + n_2)RT_0} \right). \] (B.13)
We observe that \( S(t) \) is a strictly increasing function of time, which is the second law for the adiabatically closed system \( \Sigma \).

From (45) and \( \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + U = E = E_0 \) we have
\[ S = S_0 + 3(n_1 + n_2)R \ln \left( \frac{E - \frac{1}{2}mv^2}{U_0} \right). \] (B.14)
Therefore the maximum of \( S \) under the condition that \( E = E_0 \) is fixed gives \( v = 0 \), and \( S_{\text{max}} \) is thus equal to the value of the entropy at equilibrium. Again we recover in this example the second law of thermostatics, but this law does not give the equilibrium position; to obtain the equilibrium position, we have to solve the time evolution (B.9) together with \( \frac{dv}{dt} = 0 \).

**Appendix C. Solid friction with \( \ddot{F}_{cl} \neq \ddot{0} \)**

We consider the situation used in section 3 to build the thermodynamic laws (see figure 1), where one thermodynamical variable \( S \) is sufficient to describe the state, and assume that \( m \), \( \lambda \), and \( k \) are constant. We shall consider that the internal energy of both solids is given by \( U_i = 3n_i RT \), with \( n_i \) the number of moles in solid \( i \) \((i = 1, 2)\), and since energy is an extensive observable, for the total system \( \Sigma \) we have \( U = 3(n_1 + n_2)RT \).

We have to solve the ODE giving the time evolution of the state \((\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}, S)\) when the only action from the outside is the passive force keeping the solid \( \Sigma_2 \) fixed (equations (25a)–(25c) with \( \dot{F}_{ext} = \dot{0} \)). We suppose that the initial mechanical state is given by \((\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{p}_0)\) with \( x_0 > \frac{F_{\text{fr,max}}}{k} \) and \( \tilde{p}_0 = 0 \). Explicitly (25b) is written as
\[ \frac{d\tilde{p}}{dt} = \begin{cases} \dot{F}_{cl} + \dot{F}_{fr} & \text{if } \tilde{p} \neq \ddot{0} \quad \text{or } \ddot{p} = \ddot{0} \quad \text{and } |\dot{F}_{cl}| > F_{\text{fr,max}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \] (C.1)
with \( \dot{F}_{cl} = -k\ddot{x} \) and \( \dot{F}_{fr} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \ddot{p} \text{ if } \ddot{p} \neq \ddot{0} \). Note that because of (C.1) it is not possible to find the equilibrium state without integrating the system of ODE. Therefore to find the equilibrium...
position $x_{eq}$, we have to solve the time evolution explicitly, which is straightforward and gives in general $x_{eq} \neq 0$. We then investigate the time evolution of the entropy.

Since the evolution was derived from energy conservation, we immediately obtain the time evolution for the temperature. Indeed, from $E^{\text{mech}}(t) + U(t) = E^{\text{mech}}_0 + U_0$, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2}mv(t)^2 + \frac{1}{2}kx(t)^2 + U(t) = \frac{1}{2}kx_0^2 + U_0 \quad (C.2)$$

and $U = 3(n_1 + n_2)RT$, we have

$$T(t) = T_0 + \frac{1}{3(n_1 + n_2)R} \frac{1}{2}k \left( x_0^2 - x(t)^2 - \frac{m}{k}v(t)^2 \right). \quad (C.3)$$

The time evolution for the entropy $S(t)$ is then given by (45) and (C.3), i.e.

$$S(t) = S_0 + 3(n_1 + n_2)R \ln \left( \frac{E_0 - (\frac{1}{2}mv(t)^2 + \frac{1}{2}kx(t)^2)}{U_0} \right). \quad (C.4)$$

Once again this example shows that the entropy of the isolated system is an increasing function of time as postulated in the second law of thermodynamics.

At equilibrium we will have

$$T_{eq} = T_0 + \frac{1}{3(n_1 + n_2)R} \frac{k}{2} (x_0^2 - x_{eq}^2), \quad (C.5)$$

and

$$S_{eq} = S_0 + 3(n_1 + n_2)R \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{2}k}{3(n_1 + n_2)RT_0} (x_0^2 - x_{eq}^2) \right). \quad (C.6)$$

Observe that $\frac{k}{2} (x_0^2 - x_{eq}^2)$ is the decrease of potential energy $-\Delta E^{\text{pot}} = \Delta U$. Note that in this example the second law of thermostatics stated in appendix A is not satisfied. Indeed the maximum of the entropy under the condition that $E = E_0$ is fixed gives $v = 0$ and $x = 0$, which is not the equilibrium state as one can see by solving the time evolution equation explicitly. Once again solid friction gives examples where to find the equilibrium state, we have to solve explicitly the time evolution and it is not possible to apply a maximum entropy condition. This situation is analogous to the well-known ‘adiabatic piston problem’ [11, 12].

**Appendix D. A first generalization of section 4**

In all previous examples we have assumed that the state of the whole system was described by only one thermodynamical variable, which implies in particular that the temperatures of both subsystems are equal at all time. We now drop this condition and assume that both solids are described by one thermodynamical variable, which is either the temperature $T_1, T_2$, the internal energy $U_1, U_2$ or the entropy $S_1, S_2$. The relation between the entropy and the temperature is given for each solid by (45).

We first consider the system described in section 4 in the case where $\vec{F}^{\text{ext}} = 0$ and $\vec{\omega} = 0$. We have

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = 0 \quad (D.1)$$

for the whole system, and from (18) and (26) for the two subsystems

$$\frac{dU_1}{dt} = T_1 \frac{dS_1}{dt} = P_{Q}^{2\rightarrow 1} \quad (D.2)$$

$$\frac{dU_2}{dt} = T_2 \frac{dS_2}{dt} = P_{Q}^{1\rightarrow 2}. \quad (D.3)$$

which implies $P_{Q}^{2\rightarrow 1} + P_{Q}^{2\rightarrow 1} = 0$. 
Since entropy is an extensive observable $S = S_1 + S_2$, we obtain

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = I = \left( \frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2} \right) P_Q^{2\rightarrow 1}. \tag{D.4}$$

Using the second law of thermodynamics (28) and the fact that the absolute temperature is positive, we are led to conclude that there exists a positive state function $\kappa = \kappa(T_1, T_2)$, called heat conductivity, such that

$$P_Q^{2\rightarrow 1} = \kappa(T_2 - T_1). \tag{D.5}$$

We thus have

$$3n_1 R \frac{dT_1}{dt} = \kappa(T_2 - T_1) \tag{D.6}$$

$$3n_2 R \frac{dT_2}{dt} = -\kappa(T_2 - T_1). \tag{D.7}$$

Since experiment shows that $\kappa$ depends very slightly on the temperatures, assuming $\kappa$ to be constant we find

$$T_2(t) - T_1(t) = (T_{2,0} - T_{1,0}) e^{-At}, \tag{D.8}$$

and then the time evolution for the temperatures

$$T_1(t) = T_{1,0} + \frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} (T_{2,0} - T_{1,0})(1 - e^{-At}) \tag{D.9}$$

$$T_2(t) = T_{2,0} - \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2} (T_{2,0} - T_{1,0})(1 - e^{-At}), \tag{D.10}$$

where

$$A = \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right) \frac{\kappa}{3R}. \tag{D.11}$$

Finally, in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain, as expected, the thermal equilibrium, i.e. $T_1 = T_2$. In this case the second law of thermostatics is satisfied.

Consider finally the example of section 4 without the assumption that both solids have the same temperature. Since the mass is constant, we now have

$$\frac{dU_1}{dt} = T_1 \frac{dS_1}{dt} = P_Q^{2\rightarrow 1} \tag{D.12}$$

$$\frac{dU_2}{dt} = T_2 \frac{dS_2}{dt} = \lambda \omega + P_Q^{1\rightarrow 2} \tag{D.13}$$

and $P_Q^{1\rightarrow 2} = -P_Q^{2\rightarrow 1}$. That is,

$$\frac{dS_1}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_1} P_Q^{2\rightarrow 1} \tag{D.14}$$

$$\frac{dS_2}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_2} \lambda \omega + \frac{1}{T_2} P_Q^{1\rightarrow 2} \tag{D.15}$$

and, since entropy is an extensive observable,

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = I = \frac{1}{T_2} \lambda \omega + \left( \frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2} \right) P_Q^{2\rightarrow 1}, \tag{D.16}$$

where $I$ has to be a non-negative state function according to the second law (28).
From (38) and (D.5), we are led to introduce the assumption for
\[ P_{Q}^{2-1} = C \lambda \omega + \kappa (T_2 - T_1), \tag{D.17} \]
where \( C \) is a constant defined from experiments. The irreversibility state function is thus given by
\[ I = \frac{1}{T_1} C \lambda \omega + \frac{1}{T_2} (1 - C) \lambda \omega + \frac{\kappa}{T_1 T_2} (T_2 - T_1)^2, \tag{D.18} \]
and the non-negative condition of \( I \) implies that \( C \in [0, 1]. \) (In (38) \( C = \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2}, \) but this will imply that the temperatures remain equal if they were initially equal.) Equations (D.14), (D.15) and (D.16) are the expression of the second law of thermodynamics (28) applied to the subsystems \( \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \) and to the whole system \( \Sigma \) that is adiabatically closed.

We now have
\[ \frac{dT_1}{dt} = \frac{C}{3n_1 R} \lambda \omega + \frac{\kappa}{3n_1 R} (T_2 - T_1) \tag{D.19} \]
\[ \frac{dT_2}{dt} = \frac{1 - C}{3n_2 R} \lambda \omega - \frac{\kappa}{3n_2 R} (T_2 - T_1). \tag{D.20} \]
Assuming that the angular velocity \( \omega \) and the heat conductivity \( \kappa \) are constants, we obtain
\[ T_2(t) - T_1(t) = B + [(T_{2,0} - T_{1,0}) - B] e^{-At} \tag{D.21} \]
with \( A \) given by (D.11) and
\[ B = \frac{n_1 - C(n_1 + n_2)}{\kappa (n_1 + n_2)} \lambda \omega. \tag{D.22} \]
In conclusion for \( t \to \infty, \)
\[ T_2(t) - T_1(t) \to B \tag{D.23} \]
and
\[ P_{Q}^{2-1} \to C \lambda \omega + \kappa B = \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2} \lambda \omega, \tag{D.24} \]
i.e. the heat transferred per unit time from \( \Sigma_2 \) to \( \Sigma_1 \) does not depend on the unknown constant \( C. \)
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