LITOS: a versatile LED illumination tool for optogenetic stimulation
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Optogenetics has become a key tool to manipulate biological processes with high spatio-temporal resolution. Recently, a number of commercial and open-source multi-well illumination devices have been developed to provide throughput in optogenetics experiments. However, available commercial devices remain expensive and lack flexibility, while open-source solutions require programming knowledge and/or include complex assembly processes. We present a LED Illumination Tool for Optogenetic Stimulation (LITOS) based on an assembled printed circuit board controlling a commercially available 32 × 64 LED matrix as illumination source. LITOS can be quickly assembled without any soldering, and includes an easy-to-use interface, accessible via a website hosted on the device itself. Complex light stimulation patterns can easily be programmed without coding expertise. LITOS can be used with different formats of multi-well plates, petri dishes, and flasks. We validated LITOS by measuring the activity of the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway in response to different dynamic light stimulation regimes using FGFR1 and Raf optogenetic actuators. LITOS can uniformly stimulate all the cells in a well and allows for flexible temporal stimulation schemes. LITOS’s affordability and ease of use aims at democratizing optogenetics in any laboratory.

While optogenetics was first used in neurobiology1, it is now widely used to control a wide variety of cell-biological processes with high spatio-temporal resolution2. This has been made possible by the discovery of a number of light-responsive protein domains that were engineered to build actuators to control almost any cell-biological process. The precision of optogenetics to perturb cellular systems can lead to a deeper understanding of their dynamic regulation1. However, optogenetic experiments also require appropriate optical stimulation hardware.

A classical optogenetic experimental setup uses automated light microscopes to stimulate cells expressing optogenetic actuators and to record any desired cellular output. When combined with spectrally compatible biosensors in a fluorescence microscope, this setup can both control the cellular input using the optogenetic actuator and record the output dynamics using the biosensor4. This has proven to be a very powerful approach to study signaling dynamics5–7. Unfortunately, the field-of-view of the microscope’s lens system limits the number of cells that receive the light stimulation. Thus, microscopes cannot stimulate enough cells to measure cellular outputs using biochemical methods. Further, the number of different input stimulation patterns that can be induced in parallel is restricted. Finally, any long-term optogenetic control of cells at time scales of multiple days might be impractical or too expensive, especially in microscopy facilities.

Using a dedicated illumination source to separate the stimulation from the imaging process circumvents some of these limitations. LED stripes mounted in an incubator can be used to stimulate an optogenetic actuator in a large number of cells, opening the possibility to measure cellular outputs using biochemical methods such as western blot, proteomics or transcriptomics8.

More advanced setups combine microcontrollers and light sources to specifically illuminate individual wells from multi-well plates. This allows to stimulate multiple wells with different patterns of light inputs in parallel, resulting in higher experimental throughput. A number of hardware solutions that take advantage of LEDs as illumination sources have been developed9–12. Those open-source devices are fairly cheap but require programming knowledge and might rely on manufacturing expertise not available in most laboratories. Recently, some commercial products (e.g., LUMOS from AXION Biosystems) have entered the market, but their cost remains high. A cheap, easy-to-assemble, user-friendly device that provides experimental flexibility is still missing in the optogenetic community.

Here we present a new LED Illumination Tool for Optogenetic Stimulation (LITOS) to democratize optogenetics in any cell biology laboratory. LITOS allows high throughput dynamic light stimulation of large cell populations in different multi-well plate formats, petri dishes or cell culture flasks. Its user-friendliness allows
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users with little technical knowledge and no coding skills to easily use the device and set up complex illumination patterns. Moreover, LITOS can be easily assembled with minimal tools. We provide a detailed description of LITOS assembly, and we document a user-friendly GUI solution to configure complex illumination patterns. We validated LITOS using mammalian cell lines expressing optogenetic actuators based on FGFR1 and Raf, and evaluating the mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK)/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathway as a signaling output, using both biochemical and imaging approaches.

Results

An easy-to-assemble LED illumination device. To minimize the need for complex manufacturing procedures, we based LITOS entirely on commercially available components (Figs. 1, 2a). LITOS relies on a custom printed circuit board (PCB), which can be ordered pre-assembled from a PCB manufacturer. The PCB acts as a control unit for a commercially available RGB LED Matrix. The PCB contains an ESP32 microcontroller, which provides sufficient computational power to control the LED matrix and a wireless network node (Fig. 2b). The RGB LED matrix consists of an array of $32 \times 64$ LEDs with a 3 mm pitch that allows for illumination with any combination of red, green, and blue light. It can illuminate cells cultured in 6, 12, 24, 48 or 96 multi-well plates, as well as petri-dishes and cell culture flasks (Fig. 2c). We chose this 3 mm pitch LED matrix format because it perfectly aligns with the typical 96 well plate (9 mm) layout, resulting in a $2 \times 2$ LED array centered in each well. In addition, the RGB LED matrix allows the user to modulate the intensity of the three colors at will. Each of the individual LED produces a cone of light that illuminates an area that increases with the distance of the sample from the LED. Therefore, by increasing the distance between the sample and the LED, the illumination field becomes progressively more homogeneous (Supplementary Fig. S1a,b). We show that when the sample is placed 2 mm from the LEDs, which is the case in the 96 multi-well plates that we used in this study, it is subjected to homogeneous illumination, with a maximum light intensity variation of 25% at the edge of the well. Increasing this distance further improves illumination homogeneity, however at the cost of partially illuminating neighboring wells in a 96 multi-well plate (Supplementary Fig. S1a,b). While this remains an option, LITOS does not therefore require a light diffuser to improve illumination uniformity in a well, as used in similar devices.

Additionally, the LITOS’s LED matrix allows one to control individual LEDs within a well of a 96 multi-well plate to build nonhomogeneous light illumination patterns that might be advantageous in some experiments (Supplementary Fig. S1c). By modulating the intensity of individual LEDs, LITOS can generate light gradients across cm scales (Supplementary Fig. S1d).

The PCB module and the RGB LED matrix are connected with a flat ribbon cable and a power cable. This simple assembly procedure does not require any soldering. The schematics of LITOS as well as for an optional 3D printed case (Supplementary Fig. S2) are on our GitHub page (https://github.com/pertzlab/LITOS).

To facilitate aligning the plates in dark environments, we implemented 3 solutions: (i) using LITOS to display a reference outline of the desired well plate on the LED matrix, which can be directly activated by a button on the PCB (Supplementary Fig. S3a); (ii) aligning the plate to the upper left corner of the LED matrix (Supplementary Fig. S3b) and (iii) using a mask that positions the plate on the LED matrix (the latter can be produced by 3D printing or CNC milling) (Supplementary Fig. S3c). Using such a mask also allows to place and stimulate two multi-well plates on one LITOS device simultaneously.

The overall cost of LITOS, which comprises a custom PCB assembled by a PCB manufacturer, and an off-the-shelf LED matrix, accounts for approximately USD 200.00. This low price of the device allows for easily scaling up experiments by ordering more units. When ordering multiple devices, the unit price can be further decreased.

Figure 1. Schematics of LITOS components. LITOS is composed of two parts: a printed circuit board (PCB) which acts as a control unit and a commercially available $32 \times 64$ RGB LED matrix. LITOS can be controlled with a computer or smartphone over a WLAN connection. The PCB controls the $32 \times 64$ RGB LED matrix to illuminate optogenetic cells. The densely pitched LED matrix enables the illumination of various cell culture dishes and well plates. With a screen and four buttons, LITOS can be directly controlled and can display messages regarding the execution of an experiment or about actions that require user intervention.
User-friendly software for facile set up of optogenetic experiments. As with the hardware, LITOS’s software was designed to be user-friendly. The software present on the control unit uses user-defined illumination schemes, which are then processed to control the LED matrix (Fig. 3a–c).

No programming knowledge is needed to set up desired illumination patterns. To define which LEDs should be switched on, at which specific time, for which specific duration, and in which specific color, the user creates a comma separated value (csv) file. This can be done using spreadsheet applications, such as Google Sheets, Microsoft Excel or LibreOffice Calc. In the csv file, each line describes an illumination command (Fig. 3b). Each column indicates different parameters of the stimulation program: LEDs to be activated, starting time of illumination, illumination duration, color and intensity of the active LEDs. To free up part of the microcontroller’s limited resources for other software processes, we limited the minimal pulse duration to 1 s. Other columns allow the generation of loops to repeat a stimulation pattern multiple times (e.g., illuminated every 10 min for 3 h). So that the user does not always have to define individual LEDs, we designed keywords to illuminate predefined regions: (i) Well (e.g., “A02”/ “A2” for Well A02); (ii) Column and row (e.g., “D” for the whole row D); (iii) whole multi-well plate (Plate); (iv) whole matrix (Whole); (v) circle and rectangles (Rec_start_end). LITOS’s software translates these keywords into the corresponding LED positions of the matrix. For further flexibility, it is also possible to control individual LEDs, allowing for example the generation of gradients of intensity and/or color, or the displaying of text.

Additionally, within such csv files, custom messages with countdowns can be programmed to be displayed on the control unit. This can help the user with any additional tasks during the optogenetic experiment, such as pipetting a reagent to a specific well, for example. As these tasks can be time-sensitive, a small piezo buzzer supports the user with additional auditive signals beside the visual ones.

The csv file of the illumination pattern is then transferred to the control unit via its Wi-Fi module. A java-script based configuration interface (Fig. 3c) is hosted on the control unit to upload and manage illumination patterns, change settings, and prepare LITOS for an experiment. Multiple illumination patterns can be stored on the control unit’s internal memory, allowing to reuse stimulation patterns without the necessity of re-uploading them. The control unit’s configuration interface can either be accessed over a WLAN access point created by LITOS or over the local Wi-Fi network. The information needed for the connection, such as LITOS’s IP address or the name of the created WLAN access point, are displayed on the internal display. When connected, the user can access the configuration interface from a web browser on any computer or smartphone independently of the platform.

Once an illumination pattern is transferred and selected, LITOS is ready to perform the experiment. LITOS buttons allow the user to navigate the menu, start or abort the experiment, or to indicate with an outline on the
RGB matrix where to place the multi-well plate. The current progress of an illumination program on LITOS can be directly monitored on the control unit’s display. Supplementary Movie S1 shows the LED matrix applying a stimulation pattern in which every column of a 96 well plate is illuminated sequentially.

While performing initial experiments, we found that the LED matrix developed heat that could possibly be detrimental to cell health. We found that the LED matrix heats up even in idle state when no light stimulation occurs. To solve this issue, we integrated a circuit using N- and P- metal–oxide–semiconductor field-effect transistors (MOSFETs) in LITOS’ control unit to deliver electric current to the matrix only when an illumination process is required. This reduced the heat development observed for repetitive stimulation patterns. We then evaluated the change in medium temperature due to LITOS illumination by measuring the medium temperature after different stimulation patterns. As expected, our measures show that longer and more frequent light stimulation led to higher temperature increase, which reached a plateau after around 1 h (Supplementary Fig. S4). However, the experiments shown in this article never required light stimulation schemes that would produce enough heat to compromise cell health. In case experiments require very long sustained light inputs, adding small passive heat sinks might allow to reduce overheating. Another approach is to engineer the optogenetic module with a low koff to cause sustained light-dependent interactions. For example, in the iLID (improved light inducible dimer) system, the affinities and lifetimes of the light-dependent interaction can be engineered by diverse mutations.

Showcasing LITOS in the study of MAPK/ERK signaling dynamics. LITOS lends itself to a wide spectrum of optogenetic applications and model systems. To demonstrate the flexibility and versatility of LITOS, we performed optogenetic experiments to study the MAPK/ERK pathway. The MAPK network is able to decode a variety of extracellular and intracellular cues, to convert them into specific fate decisions, and plays pivotal roles in both physiology and pathology. For this reason, multiple optogenetic actuators and fluorescent biosensors have been developed to both control and measure different signaling nodes of this pathway, making it an ideal model system to showcase LITOS.

We used mouse NIH3T3 fibroblasts and MCF10A mammary epithelial cell lines, engineered to stably express a genetically-encoded circuit consisting of an optogenetic actuator to activate different nodes in the MAPK network, and a fluorescent biosensor to record the dynamics of the ERK signaling output. This circuit consists of an optogenetic fibroblast growth factor receptor (optoFGFR), that consists of a myristoylated intracellular domain of the fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 fused with the light sensitive domain CRY2 that upon stimulation with blue light multimerizes, autophosphorylates, and activates the MAPK pathway. The ERK signaling output can then be measured using an ERK kinase translocation reporter (KTR) that reports on ERK activity at single-cell resolution. To be spectrally compatible with optogenetic activation, ERK-KTR is fused with a mRuby2 red fluorescent protein that can be excited without activating optoFGFR. In addition, both cell lines stably express a histone H2B nuclear marker fused to the far-red fluorescent protein miRFP703 (H2B-miRFP703). A scheme of this genetic circuit is shown in Fig. 4a. We then used a computer vision approach to infer ERK activation status
for each cell (Fig. 4b). For that purpose, nuclei were automatically segmented using the H2B-miRFP703 channel and used to derive a nuclear mask and a cytosolic ring mask around the nucleus. Then, a ratio of the median fluorescence intensities of the ERK-KTR channel using the cytosolic and nuclear masks is calculated as a proxy for ERK activity (C/N ratio)16.

The first feature of LITOS that we evaluated was the ability of the LED array to homogeneously stimulate all the cells within one well. This feature is crucial for whole-population applications. For this purpose, we stimulated 96-well plates, in which each well is illuminated by four LEDs, and measured ERK-KTR C/N in all the cells of a well by acquiring a large mosaic picture of each entire well. Displaying the ERK-KTR C/N ratio values of each single cell in entire wells revealed that the four LEDs of a single well induced a robust and homogeneous optogenetic activation of the MAPK pathway (Fig. 4c). Because the different experiments were performed in adjacent wells, this also shows that the small amount of light spilling over does not lead to the activation of ERK activity.

We then tested LITOS’s capability to generate robust ERK activation with a population average biochemical method, by using western blot analysis with a phosphoERK antibody (pERK). To produce sufficient protein lysate, we seeded MCF10A cells in 6 well plates, yielding about 250 µg of protein lysate per well. Illumination of an entire well of a 6-well plate required switching 112 LEDs on (Fig. 2c, lower right panel). We stimulated the optoFGFR-expressing cells using a 10-s pulse of blue light with LITOS and fixed the cells using paraformaldehyde at different time points with a 3-min interval. To compare the ERK activation dynamics observed using western blot versus the ERK-KTR biosensor, we measured ERK-KTR C/N ratio in all wells prior to protein extraction. To average any local variability of single-cell ERK-KTR C/N ratios, we sampled each well with 16 FOVs and computed their population average. The western blot measurement of endogenous pERK closely follows the measurement of ERK kinase activity using the ERK-KTR biosensor. Consistent with previous observations5,16, both pERK and ERK-KTR signals displayed a steep increase in ERK activity directly after light stimulation, followed by slower adaptation, that lead to pre-stimulation ERK activity levels in appropriately 20 min. The ERK-KTR signal (peak at 6 min) was slightly delayed compared to the pERK signal (peak at 3 min) (Fig. 4d). This

**Figure 4.** LITOS in the study of MAPK pathway signaling dynamics. (a) Upon stimulation of optoFGFR, the MAPK pathway gets activated, leading to the phosphorylation and subsequent activation of ERK. Active ERK phosphorylates ERK-KTR, leading to its reversible translocation into the cytosol. (b) Computer vision pipeline for image analysis. Nuclear segmentation of NIH3T3 cells (red), based on the nuclear marker H2B, and its ring-shaped expansion (blue) are used to measure the ratio between cytosol and the nucleus (C/N) of ERK-KTR. High C/N ratio corresponds to high ERK activity. (c) The upper row represents the color-coded ERK-KTR C/N ratio of all NIH3T3 cells in 6.38 mm diameter wells (96 well plate). The two lower rows represent a full resolution example of a FOV that composes the larger image above, with H2B-miRFP703 and ERK-KTR-mRuby2 shown in inverted grayscale. (d) MCF10A cells expressing ERK-KTR were stimulated with a 10 s long light pulse, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and their ERK activity was quantified as shown in (b). The ERK activity was then compared to a western blot against phosphorylated and total ERK from the same cells (below). Native, non-cropped western blots are available in Supplementary Fig. S4.
might reflect the time that ERK needs to translocate to the nucleus and/or the time that the ERK-KTR biosensor needs to translocate to the cytosol.

These experiments show that LITOS can uniformly and reliably activate all the cells in a large well and demonstrate that it can stimulate the large number of cells required for western blot. This makes LITOS also compatible with other population-average measurements (e.g., transcriptomics or (phospho)proteomics).

LITOS can produce simple as well as complex dynamic stimulation patterns. To fully exploit the potential of optogenetics in controlling dynamic biological processes, LITOS should be capable of activating different optogenetic actuators, as well as having high flexibility in creating complex illumination patterns. We therefore performed a series of additional experiments to demonstrate that LITOS meets these requirements. In all the following experiments, we programmed LITOS to automatically apply the light inputs to different wells at specific times, so that the cells in a 96-well plate can be fixed simultaneously at the end of the experiment. After cell fixation, we imaged all the wells of the plates using automated microscopy. We then took advantage of our automated image analysis pipeline to calculate a mean ERK activity per well. Note that performing such experiments with pipetting of growth factors to activate the MAPK network in a time-resolved manner would be highly laborious.

We first evaluated if LITOS is also able to stimulate an optogenetic actuator that uses a different activation mechanism than the one of optoFGFR. Contrary to optoFGFR, which is based on the multimerization of a light-sensitive membrane receptor, optoRaf involves light-dependent recruitment of a catalytic c-Raf domain to the membrane through a CRY2 system. To evaluate if LITOS is also able to stimulate optoRaf, we screened light inputs of different durations, and compared them to optoFGFR stimulation. We observed that a 30 s light pulse induced higher ERK amplitude in the optoRaf versus the optoFGFR system (Fig. 5a). This was also apparent at later time points. In response to increasing light input, optoFGFR led to a transition from transient to sustained ERK dynamics, as previously observed5, while optoRaf always displayed adaptive ERK dynamics. The different ERK dynamics induced by optoFGFR and optoRaf inputs could either reflect different mechanisms of actuation or a difference in the ability of the two actuators to activate ERK from different levels within the MAPK network: optoFGFR triggers the activation of a full MAPK network downstream of the receptor, whereas optoRaf only triggers the activation of an isolated MEK/ERK network. This illustrates how LITOS can be used to study ERK dynamics induced by different optogenetic actuators.

Figure 5. Showcasing LITOS’s versatility in the study of MAPK signaling dynamics. (a) ERK response to optoFGFR or optoRaf light inputs at different time points. (b) Small drug screen on NIH3T3 expressing optoFGFR performed in a single 96 well plate using different concentrations of the Raf inhibitor RAF709, the ERK inhibitor SCH772984 and the MEK inhibitor U0126. (c) ERK activity dynamics measured after a single 2 s long blue light pulse with LITOS measured with a temporal resolution of 1 min. (d) Comparison of pulsatile and sustained ERK activity dynamics generated with LITOS. (a–d) ERK activity was measured as ERK-KTR C/N. Box plots represent the median (central line), 2nd and 3rd quartile (boxes) and 1.5 interquartile range (whiskers) of single-cell ERK-KTR C/N measurements. Line plots represent the average (line) and standard error of mean interval (error bars) of single-cell ERK-KTR C/N measurements.
We then further evaluated the potential of LITOS to perform a drug screen with ERK dynamics as a readout (Fig. 5b). As proof of concept, we tested 3 drugs that inhibit the MAPK pathway: the Raf inhibitor RAF709, the MEK inhibitor U0126 and the ERK inhibitor SCH772984. We applied each inhibitor at 2 concentrations. We stimulated optoFGFR cells with a single 10 s blue-light pulse in presence of the inhibitors, and measured ERK activity at 12 different time points with a 2-min time resolution. All three drugs led to a dose-dependent reduction of ERK activity. The peak of ERK activity was markedly reduced with the two highest concentrations of RAF709 and U0126, or even abrogated with the highest concentration of SCH772984 (Fig. 5b). This shows that LITOS is suitable to screen for perturbations of signaling dynamics with relatively little effort.

Next, we evaluated the potential of LITOS to study ERK dynamics that require high temporal resolution. For this purpose, we stimulated optoFGFR cells with a single 2 s pulse of blue light and fixed the cells with 1 min time resolution. This captured highly resolved details of ERK activity dynamics, such as the steep phase of ERK activation followed by a slower phase of adaptation (Fig. 5c). This result emphasizes the ability of LITOS to study rapid signaling events.

The experiments we documented so far are based on single blue-light pulses. However, different signaling networks are known to encode different dynamic signaling patterns that can consist of transient, sustained, oscillatory or pulsatile signaling dynamics. Reproducing these complex dynamics profiles using a synthetic biology approach might provide key insights into the network that shapes these dynamics. Therefore, we evaluated the capability of LITOS to produce more complex light input patterns to evoke specific synthetic ERK dynamics patterns. To do so, we programmed LITOS to stimulate optoFGFR cells with either high (every 2 min) or low (every 20 min) frequency 10-s-long light pulses for a total of 84 min. We found that high-frequency light pulses evoke sustained ERK dynamics (Fig. 5d). This is consistent with the finding that a single blue light pulse switches optoFGFR on for approximately 5–10 min, thus not allowing ERK to deactivate if optoFGFR is reactivated every 2 min. In contrast, low-frequency light pulses evoke successive, discrete ERK pulses that all display adaptation to the baseline until a new light input was applied. This shows how LITOS can be used to precisely tune user defined synthetic ERK dynamics. This experiment required applying different light stimulation schemes to 86 wells of the well plate (stimulation schemes in Supplementary Figs. S6 and S7), illustrating the complexity of the experiments LITOS can tackle. LITOS provided the time resolution to capture a transient decrease in ERK-KTR phosphorylation (Fig. 5b,d) that we have previously shown to result from optoFGFR–calcineurin activation. Altogether, these results show that LITOS is a highly flexible optogenetic device that allows one to perform complex experiments to study the dynamics of the MAPK pathway.

Discussion

We present LITOS, a user-friendly, inexpensive, easy to assemble and robust LED-matrix tool with the aim of democratizing incubator-based optogenetic stimulation experiments. LITOS is composed of parts that can be easily purchased online or ordered from the manufacturer. Here and in a GitHub repository (https://github.com/pertzlab/LITOS), we provide instructions to assemble and use LITOS. We also document a dedicated software solution to control LITOS. We benchmark LITOS by studying the dynamics MAPK signaling pathway, which can be manipulated by different optogenetic actuators and measured using a fluorescent biosensor. This demonstrated that the LED density in LITOS is sufficient to uniformly stimulate all the cells cultured in well-plates (Fig. 4c), enabling to perform reliable population-average measurements using biochemical methods such as western blot (Fig. 4d). Moreover, we showed that LITOS is compatible with two different optogenetic actuators (Fig. 5a), provides the necessary throughput to perform small drug screens (Fig. 5b), allows for high temporal resolution measurements (Fig. 5c) and enables the application of complex light stimulation schemes (Fig. 5d). These features meet the needs of the rapidly expanding field of optogenetics and its application in the study of dynamic processes.

The importance of the dynamic regulation of biological processes, including signaling dynamics, has been increasingly recognized in the last years. The pulsatile dynamics of ERK activity observed in epithelial collectives is one of the most striking examples. Here, the frequency of ERK pulses of constant amplitude and duration has been shown to control different fate decisions, such as cell death, survival, proliferation and migration. Fluorescent biosensors have allowed us to visualize these signaling events at adequate spatial and temporal scales. Optogenetics now also allows us to control these biological processes at these biologically relevant time and space scales in a non-invasive way. We have previously shown that applying frequency-modulated optoFGFR or optoRaf inputs can evoke frequency-modulated ERK dynamics regimes in which all the cells in the population are responding synchronously. This is in contrast to the commonly used sustained bulk application of growth factors that often lead to heterogeneous, unsynchronized signaling behaviors. Here, LITOS again reveals that this occurs when specific light-mediated optoFGFR inputs are applied. Application of one light-mediated optoFGFR input with LITOS led to population-homogeneous steep ERK activation followed by adaptation, leading all the cells of a population to experience an identical ERK pulse (Fig. 4c). Multiple light pulses, applied at low frequency, could lead to population synchronous discrete ERK pulses (Fig. 5d). In contrast, when those light pulses were applied at high frequency, that did not allow for optoFGFR inactivation, sustained ERK activity could be evoked (Fig. 5d). We envision that these features of LITOS, as well as of similar devices, will increase the reliability of biochemical population average measurements such as western blot, transcriptomics or phosphoproteomics. Here, the capability to induce population synchronous ERK dynamics can provide for reliable population average measurements using biochemical approaches. This is in contrast to bulk growth factor stimulation experiments that might average heterogeneous signaling states. For example, we envision that highly temporally resolved phosphoproteomes of an ERK pulse evoked by a transient optoFGFR input might map the fluctuations of phosphosites at biologically relevant timescales, providing a better understanding of receptor tyrosine kinase–MAPK signaling. Alternatively, the ability to evoke desired synthetic ERK dynamics that can
induce specific fate decisions (e.g., survival, proliferation, differentiation), when coupled to omics approaches (e.g., transcriptomics, (phospho)proteomics) might provide new insights about how ERK dynamics are decoded into fate decisions. By taking advantage of the ever-expanding repertoire of optogenetic actuators and biosensors, we envision that a similar experimental logic can be applied to other signaling systems.

Another important potential of LITOS is in the study of biological processes that occur over timescales over multiple days that would require dedicated microscopes for large amounts of time for optogenetic stimulation. This is illustrated by a separate study in which we used LITOS to optogenetically control ERK signaling in 3D mammary acini morphogenesis, a developmental process that unfolds over a period of 2 weeks. We focused on an ERK-dependent developmental episode in which the acinar lumen is formed through survival of the outer cells and apoptosis of the inner cell mass in a process that takes approximately one week. We found that the ERK pulse frequency was higher in the outer cells than in the inner cells, and hypothesized that the ERK pulse frequency therefore dictates survival versus apoptosis fate. Using optoFGFR and optoRaf actuators, we used LITOS to evoke different synthetic frequency-modulated ERK pulse regimes. We observed that high frequency ERK pulse regimes rescued the survival phenotypes in inner cells, hampering acinus lumen formation. These results illustrate how LITOS can control morphogenetic events that occur on multiple days in experiments performed within a tissue culture incubator. We envision that LITOS-mediated control of optogenetic actuators could be used for a wide range of applications in 3D biology, including organoid culture.

The simple design of LITOS makes it versatile and affordable. While we restricted our study to CRY2-based systems, LITOS’s RGB LED matrix should also enable control of optogenetic actuators based on cryptochrome, drona, and LOV (e.g., iLID, TULIP) domains. However, LITOS’s simplicity might also come with some limitations. The maximal power density is in the lower end of the range with respect to other open-source and commercial devices (Supplementary Table S1). We recommend new users to test if their favorite optogenetic systems can be activated by the light intensity produced by LITOS. Moreover, LITOS is not spectrally compatible with phytochrome-based actuators that require infrared light. However, we envision that novel optogenetic actuators that are can be activated with additional wavelengths will be available in the future and will expand the application range of LITOS.

In sum, we developed and tested LITOS, a cheap, robust, and easy to use LED illumination device for optogenetics. We have illustrated how LITOS could be used in the study of MAPK signaling. We envision a broad range of applications for LITOS outside the signaling field. For example, it could be applied to study light-controlled gene expression in bacteria, developmental biology research in Drosophila or for CRISPR-Cas9 photoactivatable genome editing.

Further information about LITOS, how to order one, and its open-source code are available in the following GitHub repository (https://github.com/pertzlab/LITOS).

Material and methods
LITOS components. LITOS consists of a commercially available RGB LED matrix (P3 indoor LED display module, 32 × 64 Pixel, with FM6126A IC, from Shenzhen Xuyang Technology Co. Ltd., albeit modules with other ICs could be potentially used as well) for the cell stimulation and a custom printed circuit board (PCB). The peak emissions of the LED of the RGB matrix are 620–630 nm for red, 520–525 nm for green and 465–470 nm for blue. For each LED, 256 intensity levels can be set with a maximum power density for the blue LED of 135 ± 0.11 μW/cm². The PCB is built around the ESP32-WROOM module (Espressif systems Shanghai Co. Ltd.) and acts as a control unit for the LED matrix. Besides the circuits required for this task, a 1.5-inch OLED screen module (with SSD1351 control IC) and a buzzer (AI-1223-TWT-3V-2-R, PUI audio) provides additional audiovisual output possibilities. Control buttons (B3F-4050, Omron) are used for user input. Initial programming can be conducted by the added USB serial UART bridge (231-XS, FTDI). Power is supplied by an external 5-V wall power adapter, connected to a 2.1 mm × 5.5 mm barrel jack connector placed on the PCB. As there are components requiring a lower voltage of 3.3 V, a linear voltage regulator (LM1117, Texas Instruments) was added to the PCB. LITOS’s circuit diagram, the PCB layout files (generated with KiCad 6.01, https://www.kicad.org/) and its bill of material can be found in our GitHub repository (https://github.com/pertzlab/LITOS).

LITOS assembly. The PCB is connected to the RGB LED matrix with a cable that provides the required power and with a 16-pin flat ribbon cable used for signal transmission. Both cables connect to the PCB and to the RGB LED matrix through existing headers. Finally, the PCB is connected to the external 5 V power supply. Furthermore, the PCB can be connected to a computer via a USB cable. This is required to initially load LITOS’ software onto the ESP32 microcontroller (if not loaded by the PCB manufacturer). After that, the connection to a computer is established over Wi-Fi.

Illumination field measurements. To measure the illumination field, we exposed photographic paper (Ilford Multigrade RC DeLuxe 44 M pearl) to 1 s blue light produced by LITOS at different intensities, as specified in the legend. We positioned the photographic paper over a 0.17 mm glass slide at various distances from the LED matrix using identical exposures. After exposing the photographic paper with blue light, we developed it for 1 min. The photos of the illumination fields were scanned in gray scale with 400 dpi resolution. Intensity profiles of the illumination fields were produced with ImageJ.

LITOS control software. The ESP32, located on the PCB, is programmed in C/C++ using the Arduino hardware abstraction layer. The configuration interface is programmed in Vue, a progressive Web framework for building the Configuration Interface as single-page applications (https://vuejs.org/). The source code can be found in our GitHub repository (https://github.com/pertzlab/LITOS).
**Cell culture.** The mouse embryonic fibroblast cell line NIH3T3 was a gift from T. Hunter, Salk Institute for Biological Studies in La Jolla, California. The non-tumorigenic human mammary epithelial cell line MCF10A was a gift of J.S. Brugge, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA. We maintained NIH3T3 cells in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium–high glucose (DMEM) from Sigma-Aldrich (Ref: D5671) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 200 U/ml penicillin and 200 µg/ml streptomycin and 200 nM L-glutamine. Before passaging, the confluency was kept below 40–50% to avoid changes in the behavior of NIH3T3 cells. The MCF10A cells were maintained in DMEM:F12 (D8437) from Sigma-Aldrich supplemented with 5% Horse Serum, 200 U/ml penicillin and 200 µg/ml streptomycin, 20 ng/ml EGF (Peprotech), 10 µg/ml Insulin (Sigma-Aldrich/Merck) and 0.5 µg/ml of Hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich/Merck).

NIH3T3 and MCF10A cells were cultured in a medium consisting of Ham's F-12 Nutrient Mixture (Sigma N4888) with 200 U/ml penicillin and 200 µg/ml streptomycin and 200 nM L-Glutamine and 0.5% bovine serum albumin for the NIH3T3 cells and DMEM:F12 (Sigma D8437) supplemented with 0.3% BSA, 0.5 µg/ml Hydrocortisone and 200 U/ml penicillin and 200 µg/ml streptomycin for MCF10A cells. NIH3T3 and MCF10A cells were stably modified to express optoFGFR (fused with a mCitrine fluorescent protein) or optoRAF (fused with a mCitrine fluorescence protein as well). OptoFGFR was transduced by a lentiviral vector (Lyn-cytoFGFR1-PHR-mCitrine) and optoRAF by a PiggyBac plasmid (pPB3.0.PURO.CRY2.cRAF.mCitrine.P2A.CIBN.KrasCT). Both the optogenetic actuators were further transfected with two additional PiggyBac plasmids to stably express ERK-KTR-mRuby2 with hygromycin resistance and the nuclear marker H2B-miRFP703 with blasticidin resistance. NIH3T3 cells, the PiggyBac plasmids were transfected using jetPEI transfection reagent. For the MCF10A cells, we used the FuGENE HD Transfection Reagent (Sigma). Both cell lines express puromycin resistance that we used to select stably transduced cells. The cell lines expressing these optogenetic actuators were further transfected with two additional PiggyBac plasmids to stably express ERK-KTR-mRuby2 with hygromycin resistance and the nuclear marker H2B-miRFP703 with blasticidin resistance. For NIH3T3 cells, the PiggyBac plasmids were transfected using jetPEI transfection reagent. For the MCF10A cells, the plasmids were transfected with the FuGENE HD Transfection Reagent (Sigma). After transfection, the cells were selected with antibiotics. Additionally, NIH3T3 were FACS sorted while MCF10A cells were clonally expanded to increase homogeneity of expression of the biosensors.

**LITOS optogenetic experiments.** We seeded 2 * 10^3 NIH3T3 cells/well in a 96 well plate for the imaging experiments and 1.5 * 10^4 MCF10A cells/well in a 6 well plate for western blotting. In both the cases, we used optical glass-bottom multi-well plates coated with 10 µg/mL fibronectin for one hour before seeding. The cells were starved for 24 h prior to the start of the experiment.

For all experiments, the LITOS illumination patterns were created in LibreOffice Calc. To upload the csv files, we connected a laptop to LITOS's access point, and used the user interface. The multi-well plate was then placed on the LED matrix in the incubator. To ensure proper placement of the well plate, we used a plexiglass mask purpose-made with a CNC milling machine (Supplementary Fig. S3c). Cells were allowed to adapt for at least one hour to the incubator's conditions before an optogenetic experiment was started. If allowed by the experimental protocol, we avoided opening the incubator during the experiment. After stimulation, cells were fixed simultaneously at the end of the experiment. After 10 min of fixation, we washed the cells twice with PBS.

**Cell imaging and single-cell ERK activity measurements.** Widefield fluorescence microscopy images were acquired using a Nikon Eclipse Ti Microscope equipped with 20x Plan Apo Lambda (NA 0.75) and an Andor Zyla 4.2+ camera. For excitation, we used the following LED light sources: 555 nm for ERK-KTR and 640 nm for nuclear marker. For stimulation of optoFGFR we used a 488 nm LED light source. Imaging analysis was done with a pipeline established in our laboratory40. First, we used the Ilastik software (version 1.3.3) to create a probability map of the nuclei using the H2B nuclear marker as input image. Ilastik extracts pixel features using a filter bank and classifies them using a random forest algorithm. We then performed instance segmentation in CellProfiler (version 3.0.0) and segmented the cytosol in a ring shape starting 2 px away from the nucleus and a maximum width of 5 px (Fig. 4b). The 2 px distance between the nucleus and cytosol segmentation ensures that imprecision in nucleus segmentation does not impact the results. After measuring the ERK-KTR signal with two segmentation masks, we calculated the ratio between the ERK-KTR present in the cytosol and in the nucleus (C/N-ratio). The relative cytoplasmic versus nuclear fluorescence of the KTR construct (C/N ratio) correlates with the ERK activity and can be used as a proxy for the kinase activity in single cells.

**Western blot.** For western blotting, cells were first fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and then lysed in 2% SDS buffer (in Tris-HCl pH 6.8). Protein concentration was determined with Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Ref. 23227, Thermo Scientific). From each cell lysate 10 µg of protein were loaded to an SDS-Page (10% self-made gel) and then blotted to a PVDF western blot membrane (Ref: 3010040001, Roche). The membrane was incubated with rabbit monoclonal antibody against total ERK (Ref: #4695) or rabbit monoclonal antibody against phospho-ERK (Ref: #4370) both from Cell Signaling, and then with Amersham ECL Rabbit IgG, HRP-linked whole Ab (from donkey, NA934VS), as secondary antibody. The membrane was then developed using Amersham ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagents (RPN2232) from GE Healthcare.

**Statistical analysis.** Single cell data are represented with box plots or mean with standard error of the mean, as described in the relative figure legend. Data analysis and figures were created with R (version 3.6.3, https://www.r-project.org/)40. Corresponding data and R-notebook files used for data analysis and image creation can be found in the supplementary material.

**Data availability**

All datasets, including the R notebooks used for analysis, are included in the supplementary information.
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