The role of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors such as neostigmine and rivastigmine on chronic pain and cognitive function in aging:
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Abstract

Chronic pain in patients with Alzheimer’s disease or dementia is a complex issue in the medical field; these patients suffer from the common causes of chronic pain, especially in geriatric medicine. To ensure the correct type and level of given treatment, medical care should be taken to avoid the contribution of chronic pain and cognitive impairment in the elderly population. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChE-Is) have been proven as an efficient therapeutic resource for significant improvement in dementia of Alzheimer’s disease and chronic pain due to the fact that cholinergic deficit is considered as an early finding in cognitive impairment and persisting pain. Some AChE-Is are investigated here in terms of treatment of dementia and chronic pain management. Neostigmine has been used as an adjunct analgesic in the postoperative period and in combination with other analgesic medications in an intrathecal approach. Rivastigmine has, over the past ten years, become the approved agent for the management of dementia of mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease and has gained approval for treating different types of non-Alzheimer’s dementia. In this review, we will focus on the two types of AChE-Is (rivastigmine and neostigmine) in the development of their clinical use and their respective mechanisms of actions on improving cognitive function and managing chronic pain.
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1. Introduction

Pain is defined as an unpleasant emotional or sensory experience related to tissue damage [1] and is categorized as either acute or chronic. In this literature, just chronic pain term will be discussed. Chronic pain is known as pain which exceeds the injury duration period or persists for at least six months continuous or intermittent [2]. In a telephone survey study conducted in Canada, overall pain prevalence was 31% for women and 27% for men, and also, the study has shown that chronic pain positively correlates with older age [3]. Owing to the rise in the prevalence of chronic pain in an aging population with increasing associated annual costs [4,5], many people with chronic pain do not receive adequate pain management. Consequently, that is contributing to the disease burden [6,7].

As a complex multidimensional experience, chronic pain has a marked impact on several features in people’s daily life regarding physical activities [8]. Indeed, a literature review has found that chronic pain affects mood, productivity, social life, participation in leisure activities, and sleep [9].
reported that chronic pain is frequently positively correlated with cognitive impairment [10]. That might return to neural systems related to chronic pain, and cognitive processing is strictly linked, and also, they may modulate each other alternately [11]. Generally, cognition is a term for the mental processes which involve a human ability to process, comprehend, and gain knowledge, encompassing attention, processing speed, memory, judgment, planning, problem-solving, language, perception, imagination, and executive functioning [12]. However, chronic pain might not have a direct impact that causes cognitive impairment, but it might have the association with comorbid factors (emotional distress, depressive symptoms, and anxiety) which relate to cognitive impairment [1]. Owing to the increase in the prevalence of chronic pain and cognitive impairment with age with dependence level in long-term care [3,13], medical care should be taken to avoid the contribution of chronic pain and cognitive impairment in elderly population, especially the elderly population (aged 65 years and over) increasingly represents 15.6% of the Canadian population in 2014 [14].

1.1. Chronic pain and acetylcholine

As a multiple neurotransmitter, acetylcholine (ACh) modulates processing of pain in the spinal cord [15]. In response to physiological stimuli (pain) and pharmacological stimuli (α2-adrenergic receptor stimulation in the spinal cord and opioid receptor stimulation in the brain stem), ACh is released [16]. Cholinergic receptors exist in the spinal cord in the superficial and deep dorsal horn, and they are involved in transmission and modulation of pain [17]. The mechanism of ACh modulation of pain in the central nervous system is shown in Fig. 1. Also, there is evidence that has shown that ACh has effects on peripheral antinociceptive [19].

In aging and/or some age-related disorders, the cholinergic system (including nicotinic and muscarinic ACh receptors, vesicular ACh transporter, butyrylcholinesterase [BuChE], acetylcholinesterase [AChE], and choline acetyltransferase) is modified [20]. Consequently, secretion of cholinesterase enzyme is increased in aging, which causes break down of the ACh, leading to pain deterioration. Therefore, AChE-Is inhibit cholinesterase and improve ACh action in terms of pain modulation.

1.2. Neurodegeneration

Usually, amyloid-beta (Aβ) peptide presents in a nonfibrillar form in low concentration [20]. Indeed, melatonin, a hormone that is released by the pineal gland in the brain, acts as a neuroprotectant and antioxidant to keep metal homeostasis and to neutralize metal toxicity such as mercury in neuronal cells [20]. In contrast, the cholinergic system (includes nicotinic and muscarinic ACh receptors, vesicular ACh transporter, BuChE, AChE, and choline acetyltransferase) is modified in aging and/or some age-related disorders, such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and Parkinson’s disease (PD) [20]. Moreover, melatonin concentration is decreased, which leads to an increase in reactive oxidizing species, a higher level of metal toxicity, and reduction in neuroprotection [20]. Metal homeostasis tends to be unbalanced and produces metal toxicity, and Aβ levels increase which become fibrillar and form amyloid plaques [20] (Fig. 2). Eventually, this leads to neurodegeneration [20]. Aβ fragment irreversibly opens the mitochondrial pores and induces pathologic stimulation of permeability transition of the mitochondria.
pores, which usually control ions and peptides transportation [21]. Consequently, this mechanism unbalances calcium homeostasis in the cell and causes cell apoptosis, which mainly impacts the development of neurodegeneration and neurotoxicity [20].

Interestingly, in vivo and in vitro studies have reported that AChE, a senile plaque component, stimulates amyloid fibril assembly and formats highly toxic Aβ–AChE complexes which have a neurotoxic effect higher than Aβ peptide’s neurotoxicity alone [22]. Moreover, even though the underlying mechanism is still uncovered, AChE peptide is identified as an inducer of apoptotic and necrotic neuronal cell death in an in vivo study [23]. As a result, substances that inhibit AChE’s peptides should have potential of neuroprotective agents, including cholinesterase inhibitors (ChE-Is).

1.3. Cholinesterase inhibitors

ChE-Is are a class of medications that block cholinesterase enzymes (AChE and/or butyrylcholinesterase [BChE]) from breaking down ACh, which is the primary neurotransmitter in the central nervous system and the peripheral nervous system [24]. As a result, ChE-Is’ effect increases ACh levels in the synaptic cleft. To date, ChE-Is have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and US Food as the most effective medications for treating the underlies symptoms of AD such as associated behavioral abnormalities and cognitive deficits [24,25]. AD is a progressive chronic neurodegenerative disease that is resulted from the deterioration of cholinergic neurons for different biological reasons over time [26]. The pathological processes of AD include the extracellular precipitation of β-amyloid protein in senile plaques, intracellular creation of neurofibrillary tangles, and loss of cholinergic synaptic connections in brain areas related to memory, learning, behavior and emotional reacts, and executive functioning [27]. However, the loss of cholinergic synaptic connections is indicated to be central of AD symptoms, so the effective treatment strategy of choice is ChE-Is that evaluate ACh levels in the brain [27]. Even though ChE-Is are known as a management treatment of Alzheimer’s dementia, they are prescribed for non-Alzheimer’s dementia (e.g., Lewy body dementia), psychiatric disorders (attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and cognitive impairment in schizophrenia patients [28]), and neurological diseases (e.g., blunt head injury) [29]. As an agent that inhibits both AChE and BuChE, rivastigmine provides efficient benefits for patients with dementia [30,31]. Moreover, a double-blind clinical trial has found that the administration of ChE-Is decreases the nociception pain response and generates analgesic action [32]. The longer-acting anticholinesterase neostigmine lacks neurotoxicity side effects associated with other systemically administrated ChE-Is when it is administrated subarachnoid, probably because of its disability to cross blood-brain barriers (BBBs) [33]. Consequently, the longer action and lack of neurotoxicity make neostigmine an efficient choice to manage chronic pain. Such wider use will lead to more ChE-Is prescriptions, so this review will summarize ChE-Is’ effect on cognitive function and chronic pain.
1.4. Neostigmine

Neostigmine is a parasympathomimetic agent, and it is referred to as carbamate inhibitor because it is considered a carbamic acid ester derivative [34] (Fig. 3). It is commonly used as an anesthetic to reverse nondepolarizing neuromuscular block [36]. Generally, it reversibly inhibits AChE enzyme and leads to more ACh at sites of cholinergic transmission [37]. Neostigmine indirectly stimulates nicotinic and muscarinic receptors [37]. Muscarinic receptors are found in cholinergic interneurons of the dorsal horn in the substantia gelatinosa in laminae III and V of the spinal cord [38]. The nicotinic subunits α3, α4, α5, α7, β2, β3, and β4 are expressed on primary afferent terminals and inhibitory interneurons, as well as descending noradrenergic fibers [38] in the dorsal root ganglion [39] and microglia [40]. Unlike other local anesthetic drugs, neostigmine does not implicate in nonspecific axonal blockade [41]. Moreover, neostigmine has protein binding to the serum albumin ranges between 15 to 25%, and it has half-life ranged between 42 to 60 minutes [42]. Normally, neostigmine is hydrolyzed by cholinesterase and metabolized by hepatic microsomal enzymes [42]. Also, it is poorly absorbed through the gastrointestinal tract when it is taken orally [42]. Significantly, neostigmine does not cross the BBB, partly because it is a quaternary amide and the permeability-glycoprotein (P-gp) on the capillary side of the BBB maintains neostigmine outside the BBB [43].

1.5. Mechanism of action

As an AChE inhibitor, neostigmine reversibly inhibits AChE in the active site by carbamylation of the serine [34] Fig. 4, at mechanism of action. Therefore, it improves cholinergic action by facilitating impulse transmission through neuromuscular junctions [44]. Eventually, neostigmine is hydrolyzed by cholinesterase and metabolized by hepatic microsomal enzymes [44]. Neostigmine has both peripheral (local delivery) and central (spinal and epidural delivery) analgesic activities [45]. Although the central administration of neostigmine has adverse effects such as pruritus caused by the rostral spinal spread of the drug and nausea [41], it might be useful in chronic pain [45]. As antinociceptive, central administration of neostigmine potentially decreases pain by suppression of several afferent fiber systems (Aβ, C, Aδ fibers) [45] (Table 1). Peripherally, neostigmine can not cross the BBB, so it does not cause central side effects when it is administrated locally [47]. However, the central administration of neostigmine has a greater analgesic efficacy in chronic pain than peripheral administration [47].

1.6. Therapeutic potential

In a randomized, prospective clinical study, intrathecal neostigmine has postoperative analgesic effects longer than intrathecal fentanyl with better hemodynamic stability and less adverse effects [48]. However, different administration routes of neostigmine can provide various degrees of success [15]. In a literature review, intrathecal administration has been limited due to a high incidence of side effects such as vomiting and nausea [15]; therefore, decreasing the dose of intrathecal neostigmine might cover the unwanted side effects. On another hand, epidural administration is well effective and tolerated for both labor analgesia and postoperative analgesia [15]. Also, caudal administration has a useful analgesic effect in postoperative pain, but some studies have found it is associated with some side effects such as vomiting [15].
Interestingly, intra-articular administration with a dose of 500 µg is effective as postoperative analgesic without a serious increase in side effects [15].

In an in vivo study, intrathecal administration of neostigmine has relieved cold allodynia in a dose-related fashion in a rat model [49]. Intrathecal neostigmine has a synergistic antiallodynic effect with other analgesic medications that makes intrathecal neostigmine administration in low dose possible without unwanted adverse effects [49]. In a literature review, trace dose of intrathecal neostigmine with a combination of other analgesic drugs (morphine, ketamine, bupivacaine, naloxone, and clonidine) might have a useful analgesic effect in chronic pain with fewer side effects [50].

1.7. Rivastigmine

Rivastigmine is a ChEI which has a different structural formula than the most used ChE-Is [51] (Fig. 5). It is considered a pseudo-irreversible agent because it has long inhibition action on both AChE and BChE of up to ten hours [51]. Rivastigmine has central nervous system selectivity rather than peripheral, so this makes it to have less peripheral side effects than other ChE-Is such as physostigmine which has more side effects relating to heart and muscle [52]. Rivastigmine has mainly gastrointestinal symptoms as side effects [51]. It is rapidly absorbed when it is taken orally, and it has a bioavailability of 0.355 with low protein binding around 40% [51]. Also, it has a half-life of elimination of less than 2 hours [51]. Indeed, it is turned into an inactive metabolite at the action’s location, omitting the hepatic metabolism [51]. Significantly, rivastigmine has dose-dependent inhibition effects on AChE [51]. Rivastigmine is considered a therapeutic agent clinically to treat underlying dementia of AD [51].

1.8. Mechanism of action

Generally, AChE, the main cholinesterase enzyme, breaks down ACh by binding ACh in two sites, namely an anionic site and esteratic site [51]. However, rivastigmine carbachylates the AChE’s esteratic site by the carbamate moiety (NH2COOH) for 10 hours, causing long inhibition effect [53]. Unlike some other ChE-Is such as tacrine and donepezil, rivastigmine leaves the esteratic site of ChE carbachylated even after it is hydrolyzed [53]. Then, the phenolic derivative is eliminated from the body [53]. Finally, AChE in carbachylated form hinders any further hydrolysis of ACh [51]. Moreover, AChE exists in two forms, namely tetrameric (G4) and monomeric (G1) [54]. Unlike tacrine and physostigmine, rivastigmine preferentially tends to inhibit G1 four to six times more than G4 form [55]. G4 form is dramatically decreased in the cortex and hippocampus in patients with AD while G1 form remains intact [51]. G4 form involves with ACh degradation unlinked to ACh release [51]. As a result, rivastigmine’s selectivity to inhibit G1 form of AChE could be beneficial to treat dementia of AD [51]. Significantly, rivastigmine has equal central inhibition ratio for both BChE and AChE, which both have pathophysiologic findings (neuritic plaques and neurofibrillary tangles) in patients with AD [56,57].

Recently, rivastigmine can treat cognitive impairment through inhibition of ChE (cholinergic effect), and also, it has noncholinergic effects by influence Aβ brain level as neuroprotective [58]. Rivastigmine is expected to increase the hepatic clearance of Aβ and prevents Aβ from crossing the BBB [59]. Moreover, rivastigmine upregulates P-gp and lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1, which are decreased in aging and age-related diseases and cause high Aβ brain level [59].

1.9. Therapeutic potential

In a double-blind, randomized controlled trial, rivastigmine has statistically significant benefits in terms of improving cognitive function, enhancing of daily living activities, and changing in Clinician’s Interview-Based Impression of Change with caregiver input (CIBIC-Plus) in patients with AD [60]. In a literature review, rivastigmine has potential efficacy in AD dementia and less side effects regardless of its administration dose or routes [61]. However,
transdermal rivastigmine, especially high transdermal dose, might give more improvement in AD dementia over oral rivastigmine because of the few reported incidents of forgetting doses in case of oral administration [62]. In an open-label extension study conducted for 24 weeks, there were not any significant clinical side effects while using rivastigmine in both low and high dose of transdermal rivastigmine in patients with mild to moderately severe AD [63]. However, the high transdermal dose has shown more positive impact on cognitive function in patients with AD, and it might be useful in the treatment of dementia of severe AD [63].

A placebo-controlled study has found that although rivastigmine is not related with the improvement in the global impression of improvement in mild cognitive impairment of PD, it significantly improves the clinical rating of global cognitive improvement, the performance-based measure of cognitive functioning, and the disease-related health status and anxiety [64]. In addition, rivastigmine has the ability to improve several elements of postural control in dementia of patients with PD, namely a validated measure of fall risk and the mean velocity of the center of pressure [65]. Indeed, rivastigmine clinically enhances cognitive and neuropsychiatric symptoms and reduces hallucination and behavioral symptoms in patients suffering from dementia with Lewy bodies disease [66]. In a case study, rivastigmine administration rapidly recovers behavioral disturbances and sleep patterns in women with dementia with Lewy bodies [67]. As seen, rivastigmine has a significant role in improving cognitive function in aging and age-related diseases.

2. Discussion

The number of patients with dementia, who have painful medical conditions, has gradually increased. Usually, they suffer from persistent pain lasting for more than six months [68]. Chronic pain in the cognitively impaired is a growing concern due to global aging populations. However, despite the high prevalence of pain in affected individuals, particularly the elderly, the assessment and management of perceived pain is quite difficult because of the frequent loss of cognitive and communicative abilities [15]. This raises a significant question of exactly how patients with dementia and other chronic cognitive neurodegenerative disorders perceive pain [69]. In 2016, a study concluded there are no official guidelines for pain assessment and treatment addressing people with dementia living in nursing homes The efficacy of analgesic medications is used on pain or neuropsychiatric behavior related to dementia has not been adequately studied [70]. This review adds to the evidence of the management of pain and impaired cognitive function and has found some benefit of rivastigmine interventions in the treatment of mild cognitive impairment in age-related diseases [71] and neostigmine in the treatment of chronic pain. Many pharmacologic studies have evaluated the efficacy and the safety of AChE-Is by clinically examining the effect of rivastigmine with other medications, such as galantamine and donepezil, to show a small clinical significance to cognition [72].

In several studies, AChE-Is have proved helpful effects in restoring the cognitive process and chronic pain. It is not surprising that AChE-Is, such as rivastigmine, are used in the symptomatic management of mild to moderate AD. In addition, rivastigmine offers dual inhibition of AChE and BuChE and displays preferential inhibition of the G1 form of AChE, [73]. Also, rivastigmine can improve cognitive functioning in patients with PD and DLB. It was confirmed by previous results in the literature that attention is a crucial domain of functioning in these patients [74]. Furthermore, rivastigmine has been seen to enhance memory functioning, in particular, the accuracy of secondary memory. Therefore, the study demonstrates the importance of evaluating all aspects of cognitive function by using sensitive and reliable indicators to prove acceptability to patients [74]. Neostigmine, on another hand, has been clinically approved to manage chronic pain with a concomitant cognitive disorder, especially in older people. Neostigmine can be administered by different routes, but intrathecal or epidural administrations are the most common routes for patients with chronic pain [15]. Neostigmine has an additive effect and possibly even has a synergistic action on pain-control when coadministered with analgesic medications, such as morphine or clonidine, thereby providing more extended postoperative analgesia than either medication alone [15]. Also, the epidural administration of neostigmine, both for postoperative analgesia and labor analgesia, is safe, efficient, and well tolerated [75]. These findings are based on the clinical observation that neostigmine is effective against postoperative pain [15]. Unfortunately, higher doses of central administration of neostigmine might cause some adverse effects, e.g., nausea.

When considering the finding of the literature review, it is significant to note several issues such as the size of the studied population and the study duration time for each reviewed article. Most of the clinical studies that were reviewed are short studies with a small population. However, some of them have a long follow-up period. This variation of the parameters between the studies might lead to dissimilar results. Despite this limitation, this review uses a scientific method to eliminate bias in the research. Significantly, current therapies for managing cognitive impairment and chronic pain and high-quality research are needed to support these conditions, especially in the aging population. To manage cognitive dysfunction and chronic pain, Dr Gilbert Blaise and his team are currently reviewing and working on a novel combination of five medications (GBM-5), with doses and therapeutic approaches based on the patient’s condition [50,76]. The aim of GBM-5 research, which began in 2015, is to provide a small-dose, long-acting (several weeks) medical product that reduces chronic pain and analgesic drug intake, enhances cognitive function, and improves quality of life [50,76].
3. Conclusion

ChE-Ils have been used in the management of various human diseases over the decades, most frequently based on a common mechanism of action initiated by the inhibition of AChE. Rivastigmine has a dual inhibition of both AChE and BuChE in managing mild to moderate dementias, especially those associated with AD, PD, and Lewy bodies’ disease. Neostigmine is a parasympathomimetic agent, which produces cholinergic-mediated analgesia, and has been used as an adjunct analgesic with different routes of administration and varying degrees of success. However, IT neostigmine would preferably be used in selected patients with chronic pain with dose-dependent analgesia to limit a high incidence of adverse effects, particularly nausea and vomiting.
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