Maintaining and storing encapsulated cells for propagation of Posidonia oceanica (L.) Delile
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ABSTRACT: In the present study, we have developed an efficient system for regenerating Posidonia oceanica via the storage of free cells at low temperature and the initiation of cell encapsulation. This system could help in solving problems related to the intractable nature of in vitro marine phanerogam regeneration. Free cells from enzyme digestion were preserved with glycerol and DMSO at different concentrations and stored at low temperature. Cell encapsulation was performed with sodium alginate and calcium chloride. First, results showed that optimum cell culture was obtained when the initial cell concentration was $10^4$ cells ml$^{-1}$. Cell scaling allowed exponential growth to produce 2,268,000 cells at 13 d. Second, treatment based on cell storage with 60% glycerol plus 1.3 M DMSO was a success. The preserved cells grew and produced 1.96 more cells than the initial cell concentration ($10^4$ cells ml$^{-1}$). Third, the encapsulated cells (beads) showed a survival range of 84 to 100% over 4 yr. The divided beads released cells that developed embryos or free cells depending on the culture medium. Cell encapsulation was the only method that was successful to acclimatise the cells to salinity, store artificial material for sowing and obtain embryos. We concluded that encapsulated cells could be used as a starting material for the production of embryos in the regeneration of P. oceanica.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Seagrasses are key species of one of the main marine ecosystems that are declining as a result of anthropogenic activities. Events such as tourism, boating and urbanisation take place on the coastal strip, disturbing the stability of ecosystems and putting phanerogam survival at risk.

Seagrasses mainly propagate through their rhizomes, with a low proliferation rate that gives rise to a low replacement rate (Molenaar & Meinesz 1995, Hemminga & Duarte 2000). In addition, the occurrence of sexual reproduction in seagrasses varies among and within species (Balestri et al. 2017), while flowering can also be highly variable in space and time (Balestri 2004, Kilminster et al. 2015, Ruiz et al. 2018). The seeds of some seagrass species can have a latency period; in others, such as the genus Posidonia, seed germination begins the moment the seed detaches from the fruit (Orth et al. 2000, Papenbrock 2012). Moreover, the number of seedlings from seed germination is low — probably due to low seed viability and germination, low seedling survival or physical disturbances (Balestri & Cinelli 2003).

Seagrass meadows have been restored conventionally using explants, also known as ramets, consisting of shoots, rhizomes and roots. Despite successful re-population with ramets, this restoration has been associated with several handicaps such as the time taken to grow explants, damaged donor meadows, plant scarcity, endangered plants and legally protected plants (Possingham et al. 2015).
Therefore, developing new *in vitro* culture techniques could be an advantageous source of cell production and seagrass regeneration.

To date, *in vitro* marine plant regeneration has been done using plant growth regulators to produce disorganised masses that trigger bud formation (Garcia-Jimenez et al. 2006). For some terrestrial plant species and seagrasses (marine phanerogams), the induction of disorganised masses is severely complicated despite the use of non-conventional growth regulators such as thidiazuron (TDZ) and picloram (Garcia-Jimenez et al. 2006). In seagrasses, culture in the presence of TDZ has been established with 0.5 cm explants of *Cymodocea nodosa*, but massive shoot propagation has not been achieved. In general, these explants are affected by experimental conditions, showing non-uniform responses (Garcia-Jimenez et al. 2006).

Approaches based on seedlings, obtained from seagrass seeds, have also been reported (Balestri et al. 1998, Zarranz et al. 2010). *A priori* seeds can be recovered, germinated *in vitro* and, after an acclimation period, transplanted to the field. The use of plant growth regulators like gibberellic acid in *in vitro* culture reported a moderate leaf growth, while most cultures supplemented with auxins and kinetin failed (Zarranz et al. 2010, Zarranz et al. 2012). This lack of efficient *in vitro* regeneration systems is the principal bottleneck hampering efficient seagrass recovery because, to date, only ramets, which are dependent on meadows, and seedlings, which depend on seed availability, are available.

To circumvent these issues, procedures to force somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from free cells with complete or partially digested cell walls have been developed in *C. nodosa* (Zarranz et al. 2010, Zarranz et al. 2012). A first point of reference was that young embryonic explants from seeds of *C. nodosa* were digested with an enzyme cocktail. Despite the recovery of viable cells in *C. nodosa*, 2 barriers still have to be overcome. The first barrier is the requirement for salt, since cells are isolated in freshwater media. As growth advances, sodium chloride is needed as a physiological requirement due to the halophytic nature of *C. nodosa*. The second barrier is that adding salt triggers excessive growth of contaminants due to the halophytic nature of the contaminants associated with the explant (Zarranz et al. 2012).

Cell encapsulation can be a pathway for contaminant-free salinity adaptation. Moreover, the encapsulation of meristem cells of marine plants could enhance the potential for cell production and subsequent propagation. It may also provide a means for maintaining and storing encapsulated cells, as a synthetic seedstock, for producing clones for vegetative propagation. In this work, we have adopted a broad concept of synthetic seed (as used in terrestrial plants) as any vegetative propagule with an artificial coating trapped in a nutrient medium supplying carbon sources, growth regulators and any vitamin and mineral nutrient supplement. In terrestrial plants, the proposal to encapsulate somatic embryos has made handling easier and impedes the transmission of disease (Rai et al. 2009). The synthetic embryo coating was mainly based on thermal gels and polymerisation in the presence of a crosslinking agent. Sodium alginate in the presence of calcium chloride is the most widely used of the polymerising gels (Rai et al. 2009). Others such as hollow beads made of carboxy methylcellulose have not been very satisfactory (Patel et al. 2000, Pandey & Chand 2004, Winkelmann et al. 2004). These endeavours could be good alternatives for regenerating seagrasses using organogenesis, somatic and zygotic embryogenesis and shoot production. The aim of this study is to preserve, encapsulate and germinate cells of *Posidonia oceanica* for the first time. To do this, we preserve cells at low temperature, encapsulate cells in alginate beads and allow cells to acclimate to salinity and germinate. Our hypothesis is that encapsulated cells could be a promising alternative for regenerating seagrasses, and there would be opportunities for a synthetic seedstock.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fragments of *Posidonia oceanica*, containing leaves and rhizomes, were collected by scuba diving in a meadow located at Cala El Racó (Alicante) (38°38’7.81”N, 0°4’14.53”E; Spain). Once collected, fragments of *P. oceanica* were transported to the laboratory in crystal blue pearls hydrated with seawater (water crystal pearls, Amazon.co.uk).

2.1. Cell culture

2.1.1. Free and viable cell culture

The basal part of leaves including the zone of cell division (meristem; Fig. S1 in the Supplement at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/b030p047_supp.pdf) was superficially sterilised with ethanol (70%, 1 min) and sodium hypochlorite (2%, 30 min) and washed
with autoclaved distilled water (3 times, 1 min each). Then, they were cut into small pieces (approx. 0.5 cm each, n = 10) and pricked several times using a sterile scalpel to facilitate rapid enzyme digestion.

Sections were plasmolysed and digested by using the method described by Zarranz et al. (2012). Plasmolysis was carried out at 26°C for 5 min using mannitol as a plasmolyticum (pH 5.8). Then, a filter-sterilized enzyme solution containing 1% (w/v) of cellulase 7.5 U mg⁻¹ and hemicellulose 1.5 U mg⁻¹ was added. The mixture was incubated overnight in an orbital shaker in darkness at 26 ± 1°C. Digested cells were filtered through a 37 μm steel sieve (Sigma) and centrifuged (1000 × g, 5 min). Cells were then washed with Murashige and Skoog medium containing sucrose 3% (henceforth MS, Murashige & Skoog 1962) 3 times and placed in the culture chamber for 24 h at 19°C until they were used.

The yield and viability of cells were assessed after staining with 0.4% (w/v) trypan blue in phosphate-buffered saline at 1% (pH 7.4). Trypan blue solution was filtered and used in a ratio of 1:1 with the culture medium. An aliquot of trypan blue-treated cell suspension was applied to a hemocytometer, and the yield was expressed as the concentration of viable cells (cells ml⁻¹).

2.1.2. Growth kinetics of isolated cells and scaling cell culture

A cell growth curve was designed to analyse the behaviour of isolated cells by appraising the period of maximum cell growth. Two approaches were performed, depending on culture medium renewal and the initial cell concentration (Fig. 1).

Regarding renewal of the culture medium, 2.5 ml fresh media was added in a ratio of 1:1 (fresh:aged media) every 4 d. Culture medium without renewal, known as the aged medium, was used as a control. In all cases, the final culture volume was 5 ml, and viable cells were quantified as previously described (Section 2.1.1).

To continue the analysis of cell behaviour, cells in the log growth state (ca. 4 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹) were used to establish new cultures at different initial cell concentrations of 10⁵, 0.5 × 10⁵ and 10⁴ cells ml⁻¹ and 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ as a control.

Cell scaling was also carried out to test if cells were able to maintain exponential growth under conditions in which the initial cell concentration and final volume of culture medium did not limit growth. Thus, cells in the log growth state at 10⁴ ± 1100 cells ml⁻¹ were scaled up to 120 ml final volume of the culture medium. Ratios between cell concentration and final volume were established as 1:3, 1:6, 1:18 and 1:36 every 4 d for 16 d. A proportion of 1:10 (volume of cell concentration:final volume of culture medium) was also established in all cases.

All assays were repeated independently 3 times. All media used for cell culture were autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min. The new cell culture was always established with 3 ml of the preceding cell culture obtained after a slight centrifugation at 1000 × g for 5 min.

---

Fig. 1. Schematic procedure to obtain free cells and optimisation of cell culture. Grey and black values were tested in this work. Black took priority.
2.2. Cell storage

For low-temperature storage, DMSO (Sigma) at 2 concentrations (0.6 and 1.3 M) and glycerol (Sigma) at each of the following concentrations, 50 and 60%, were tested in combination as cryoprotectors (Fig. 2). Cryotubes containing cells at a concentration of 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ were placed at −20°C for 5 min and then at −80°C for 24 h, for freezing.

Cell recoveries were assayed by thawing cryotubes in a culture chamber at 20°C for 24 h in darkness (henceforth direct method). Otherwise, cryotubes were thawed at −20°C for 5 min, 4°C for 5 min and 20°C for 24 h in a culture chamber in darkness (henceforth indirect method). Cell recovery was carried out using centrifugation at 1000 × g for 5 min, and cells were washed twice with MS. Cells without DMSO and glycerol were then cultured in Petri dishes in darkness for 24 h to reach complete recovery. A concentration of 10⁴ cells ml⁻¹ was then used to establish the cultures and to quantify cell recovery. The cell recovery was expressed as cells ml⁻¹ by counting viable cells with trypan blue every 2 d for 15 d.

To reduce cell recovery time, cell storage with 60% glycerol plus 1.3 M DMSO was optimised with modifications to the indirect recovery method (Fig. 2). Thus, after freezing, cells were thawed at (1) 37°C for 10 min (henceforth treatment 1); (2) −20°C for 5 min and 4°C for 5 min (henceforth treatment 2); and (3) −20°C for 5 min, 4°C for 5 min and 20°C for 24 h as a control (henceforth treatment 3).

Furthermore, assays using 1.3 M DMSO as the only cryoprotector were also tested at 37°C for 10 min (henceforth treatment 4) and at −20°C for 5 min, 4°C for 5 min and 20°C for 24 h (henceforth treatment 5).

For all treatments, cell recovery was completed after 24 h in darkness as described above. Cell concentration was quantified once a day for 4 d.

All assays were repeated independently 3 times for each combination of cryoprotector and treatment.

2.3. Cell encapsulation

Isolated cells at concentrations in the range of 2 to 5 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ were suspended in 3% w/v of sodium alginate (Sigma) in liquid MS (pH 5.7) with 30 g l⁻¹ sucrose and then carefully dropped into 75 mM CaCl₂ solution (Sigma) as a complexing agent, using a pipette (Lei et al. 2015). To complete the complexation process, the cells in a suspension of sodium alginate plus CaCl₂ were gently shaken for 20 to 30 min using a rotary shaker. Spherical artificial beads with cells encapsulated in them (henceforth beads) were then obtained. To complete the process, the excess calcium chloride was removed, and the beads were washed 3 times with sterile MS medium. Beads were then placed on a filter paper in a laminar flux chamber for 10 min. Beads were kept in 50 ml MS medium in

Fig. 2. Schematic procedure to cryopreserve cells at low temperature and recover them through 2 methods (direct and indirect). In detail, optimization of indirect method. Continuous and dashed lines are indicative of different approaches.
darkness and used for salinity acclimation when necessary. If not, beads were placed on solidified MS basal medium supplemented with hormones for storing. To determine the viability of encapsulated cells, 3 beads were randomly withdrawn, each was cut into 4 pieces and cell concentration was determined 3 times per year (Fig. 3).

All the chemicals and glass goods used in this experiment were sterilised in the autoclave, and the whole encapsulation procedure was done under a laminar air flow hood to avoid exogenous contaminations. All assays were repeated independently 3 times with 15 beads per plate.

2.4. Acclimation of encapsulated cells

Beads were randomly selected, gently washed in MS medium and placed on a sterilised slide to be cut into 4 pieces. Then, 4 portions were cultured in liquid MS medium supplemented with 90 g l\(^{-1}\) sucrose, naphthaleneacetic acid 10\(^{-5}\) M and glutathione 10\(^{-5}\) M in an orbital shaker. Sodium chloride was gradually added to reach 36 psu. Salinity acclimation was performed for 4 wk with the addition of 0.2 M NaCl and proline 200 mM every 2 wk.

Furthermore, the culture medium containing salinity-acclimated cells was also supplemented with indole-3-butyric acid (IBA, 10\(^{-5}\) M) for 1 h and then cells cultured with polyethylene glycol 6%. Controls were carried out in MS media without sodium chloride, in media without IBA and without polyethylene glycol. All assays were repeated independently 3 times.

2.5. Data analysis

Statistical comparisons of data were performed using R software (https://www.r-project.org). Data (mean ± SD) were calculated and subjected to 1-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc tests (Tukey’s HSD and Dunnett’s T3) or non-parametric Mann-Whitney (Wilcoxon) W-tests. Tests were used to detect significant differences (p ≤ 0.01) in different treatments for cell storage and recovery at low temperature and to compare growth in media with and without renewal.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Cell culture

Free and viable cell cultures were obtained from enzyme digestion. The cell viability quantified by trypan blue revealed a cell concentration of 1 108 444 ± 104 889 cells ml\(^{-1}\). The growth of free cells of Posidonia oceanica started with a lag phase of ca. 2 d followed by exponential growth that reached its maximum at 4 d. The cell concentration was then 4 times the initial cell concentration (4 × 10\(^{6}\) cells ml\(^{-1}\)). Afterwards, growth continued until it stabilised by 10 d (Fig. 4). The rate of cell growth was 4 × 10\(^{6}\) d\(^{-1}\). Cells grown in renewed medium (fresh medium) showed a growth rate that was not significantly (p > 0.01) different from that reported in the aged medium (3 × 10\(^{6}\) d\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 4).

To assess the extent to which initial cell concentration can affect growth, the cell suspension in the log phase (ca. 4 × 10\(^{6}\) cells ml\(^{-1}\)) was diluted to different concentrations as follows: 10\(^{5}\), 0.5 × 10\(^{5}\) and 10\(^{5}\) cells ml\(^{-1}\) and 10\(^{6}\) cells ml\(^{-1}\) as a control (Fig. 5). Results showed that cells at an initial concentration of 10\(^{4}\) cells ml\(^{-1}\) were able to continue growing and increased by 40%. Significant differences (p ≤ 0.01) in growth
were determined between 1 and 5 d of culture after cells reach the log phase compared to other initial concentrations. The maximum cell concentration was estimated to be 15 185 ± 484 cells ml\(^{-1}\) at 2 d of culture (Fig. 5). Growth was restricted, on the other hand, when the initial cell concentrations were higher than 10\(^4\) cells ml\(^{-1}\). So, results showed that once cells reached maximum growth (log state and maximum cell concentration), the growth diminished (Fig. 5).

Cell scaling was able to keep cells growing exponentially (Fig. 6). Results showed that the addition of fresh medium combined with the balanced proportion of cell volume: final volume of the culture medium recipient (1:10) rendered ca. 7000 ± 400 cells ml\(^{-1}\) and 2 to 2.4 times the number of cells every 4 d (Fig. 6). This meant that the final cell count at 13 d was 2 268 000 ± 1336 cells in a final volume of 60 ml.

Beyond 13 d, the cell concentration decreased 1.85 times, and cell concentration decreased to 2100 ± 350 cells ml\(^{-1}\) in 120 ml final volume (Fig. 6). When the culture was abandoned, cell clumps (ca. 0.5–1 mm in diameter) appeared.

### 3.2. Cell storage

After thawing and keeping the cells in darkness for 24 h, viable cells through the direct method were quantified. Cells preserved with 60% glycerol plus 1.3 M DMSO showed higher cell numbers than other
cryopreservative concentrations at the end. Thus, the highest cell concentration was reported at 5 d with 20,500 ± 3400 cells ml−1 at 60% glycerol and 1.3 M glycerol. This indicates a cell concentration increment of 1.75 times compared to the initial concentration (10^4 cells ml−1). After that, cell growth was maintained at 17,500 ± 2865 cells ml−1 (p ≤ 0.01) at 15 d (Fig. 7). Cells from other cryopreservative concentrations decayed at 12 d, giving the lowest cell concentration (7600 ± 120 cells ml−1) at 60% glycerol plus 0.6 M DMSO (Fig. 7).

The indirect method (−20°C for 5 min, 4°C for 5 min and 20°C for 24 h) also showed that the combination of 60% glycerol plus 1.3 M DMSO as cryoprotectors rendered a cell concentration of 19,600 ± 4561 cells ml−1 at 14 d (end time). This meant a cell concentration increment of 1.96 times (p ≤ 0.01) against the initial preserved cells (10^4 cells ml−1; Fig. 8). The other treatments showed a reduction of cell concentration at 9 d onwards (Fig. 8).

Adaptations of the indirect method to recover cells quicker showed that cell concentration in all treatments (treatments 1–5) diminished at 1 d after complete recovery (without DMSO and glycerol). Unlike treatments 1 and 2, cells from treatment 3 were able to recover and grow at a rate of 2.4 × 10^3 d^-1 and maintain cell concentrations similar to the initial stage of culture (10^4 cells ml−1, Fig. 9) at 2 d.

When only 1.3 M DMSO was used, cells thawed at −20°C for 5 min, 4°C for 5 min and 20°C for 24 h (treatment 5) were also able to continue growing at a rate of 1.5 × 10^3 d^-1 (10,456 cells ml−1 at 2 d). Although the growth rate was lower in this treatment than in treatment 3 (60% glycerol plus 1.3 M DMSO under the same thawing conditions), the final cell concentration was higher (p ≤ 0.01) at 4 d. Cells in 1.3 M DMSO and recovered at 37°C (treatment 4) collapsed and died (Fig. 9).
Beads of cells encapsulated in sodium alginate have been stored in solid MS medium for 4 yr (Fig. 10A). Cells from encapsulates survived and showed 84 to 100% survival after 4 yr, as random controls revealed (Fig. 10B; Table 1), compared with the initially encapsulated cell concentration (10^6 cells ml\(^{-1}\); Fig. S2A,B). Time of storage had no significant influence on the survival of encapsulated cells (Table 1).

Table 1. Cell survival (%) of *Posidonia oceanica* released from each of 3 beads randomly withdrawn from culture throughout 4 yr. 100% = 10^6 cells ml\(^{-1}\) (p > 0.01)

| Year | Bead 1 | Bead 2 | Bead 3 |
|------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1    | 100    | 100    | 87     |
| 2    | 78     | 99     | 100    |
| 3    | 99     | 99     | 92     |
| 4    | 100    | 100    | 84     |

Fig. 10. Encapsulated cells of *Posidonia oceanica* acclimated to salinity. (A) Sectioned beads containing cells (square). (B) Cells from encapsulates in liquid Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium. Small arrows indicate cells in division. (C) Pre-embryos (1 mo old) from cell encapsulates in liquid MS medium (arrows). (D) Pre-embryo showing small putative shoots (arrow). Scale bar in all = 18 μm
3.3. Acclimation of encapsulated cells

Non-encapsulated cells were unable to acclimate to salinity and died. Meanwhile, cell beads cut in liquid MS medium were able to remain in MS without NaCl, but no growth was reported.

Culture of cells from sectioned beads in MS liquid medium proceeded in the presence of NaCl as the cells grew. The surrounding medium could be modified completely to promote cell acclimation to salinity. The medium was simply removed with a pipette without damaging the beads, and fresh medium was added at the corresponding NaCl concentration twice a week to reach the final concentration. Cell encapsulation proved to be beneficial for cell division and for keeping viable cells for more than 1 yr.

Cells developed asynchronously as small pre-embryogenic masses. These pre-embryogenic masses became dispersed in liquid medium for months (Fig. 10C). Approximately 80 ± 5 small pre-embryogenic masses were reported in 1 mo (Fig. 10C). Furthermore, the formation of pre-embryogenic masses was triggered by the pulse of auxin at high concentration (IBA, $10^{-5}$ M). Pre-embryos continued to grow and become translucid in the first months (Fig. S2C,D). These pre-embryogenic masses were ca. 5 μm in length. Pre-embryogenic masses (average of ca. 30–35 masses) showed small needles as putative shoots in a maximum range of 3 to 6 shoots at 5 mo (Fig. 10D). These pre-embryogenic masses were then cultivated in solid media and turned opaque and formed small plantlets which grew towards light at 12 mo. As conspicuous increase in size was observed under a stereomicroscope, these pre-embryogenic masses were henceforth named embryos (ca. 22–25 embryos; Fig. 11A). The presence of polyethylene glycol in the culture medium, on the other hand, promoted the growth of individual P. oceanica cells in the presence of NaCl. The individual cells turned spherical and densely cytoplasmic. Initial cell division was observed as soon as 5 to 6 d with subsequent development at 5 to 6 wk (Fig. 11B,C).
4. DISCUSSION

In comparison with the ample literature on establishing cell cultures for herbal plant regeneration (Nalawade et al. 2003, Ali et al. 2018), and in some cases for freshwater plants like Anubias (Pongcha- wee et al. 2006) and Potamogeton (Staal et al. 1988), nothing is known for seagrasses except the advances reported in Cymodocea nodosa (Garcia-Jimenez et al. 2006, Zarranz et al. 2010, Zarranz et al. 2012). In light of these findings, this study shows that cells of Posidonia oceanica can be used for micropropagation when an optimum starting cell concentration is used. As culture progresses, cell cultures can be successfully scaled up to allow cell growth (Fig. 6). Cell growth usually occurs in the log phase, which is associated with eliciting further embryo development, as this phase supports the inclusion or elimination of growth regulators and an increase in sucrose levels, among others. Otherwise, cell cluster aggregation hampers nutrient diffusion, causing cell death in non-scaled media. Hence, the possibility of scaling up the culture is an advantage, as cells could be used as a source of material for the micropropagation of P. oceanica. Therefore, our next steps are aimed at assessing whether cell storage at low temperature and cell encapsulation can somehow affect cell survival and growth.

One factor that obviously affects cell storage is the choice of cryoprotectors. DMSO and glycerol were chosen as they reduce the amount of ice formed at any temperature, permeate membranes rapidly and have low toxicity (Pegg 2007). Cell storage at low temperature of P. oceanica was reported a success, as cells were recovered at the same order of magnitude ($10^6$ viable cells ml$^{-1}$) as that of the cell concentration obtained after enzyme digestion. In addition, the viability of recovered cells after storage at low temperature was ca. 90% in 60% glycerol plus 1.3 M DMSO (Fig. 7). Furthermore, the intermediate thawing step, at $-20^\circ$C, favoured cell survival, as without this step P. oceanica cells showed poor viability (Fig. 8).

Cell encapsulation has also been our specific interest given that encapsulated cells are a good attempt to overcome problems intrinsically associated with in vitro micropropagation such as salinity acclimation. Thus, encapsulated cells can mimic seeds and are suitable for vegetative propagation. Sodium alginate was chosen for cell encapsulation of P. oceanica seagrass, revealing firm, clear and isodiametric beads (Fig. S3). Additionally, the integrity of the bead can also be maintained over time. Encapsulated cells of P. oceanica stored for more than 1 yr show only a non-significant drop in germination percentage of less than 2% (Table 1).

Beyond this, our study shows that encapsulated cells do not lose their capacity to grow, implying that minerals, sugars and growth regulators of the MS medium can diffuse across an alginate barrier. Moreover, cell encapsulation presents other advantages, as it prevents cell aggregation, and beads are easily handled with less risks of physical damage due to sub-culturing and in vitro cell maintenance and microbial contamination. Notably, encapsulated cells can also be easily acclimated to salinity in contrast with non-encapsulated cells of both P. oceanica and C. nodosa (Zarranz et al. 2012), which are unable to grow and die. We are led to infer that the response of encapsulated cells of P. oceanica to gradual salinity acclimation could be a consequence of the presence of different osmotically regulated receptors and the modification of receptor signaling intensity in a dependent manner as cell growth occurred. For instance, a study on mechanisms related to water transport in P. oceanica showed differential expression of 2 aquaporin-encoding genes according to hypo- and hyper-salinity conditions (Maestrini et al. 2004). Moreover, assuming that signalling occurs through the transport of charged particles, the signalling response of P. oceanica could depend on the dose and intensity of the stimulus (i.e. salt concentration).

All in all, encapsulated cells can somehow epitomise the whole plant in comparison with non-encapsulated cells. Encapsulated cells, just like whole plants, can be acclimated to salinity gradually (they can live in sea water), while free cells would exhibit emergency responses related to salinity acclimation (they need to acquire structural support in a short time period). As acclimation to salinity advanced, different stages of embryogenic masses, namely pre- and full embryos (Fig. 11A, Fig. S2C,D), were promoted by IBA. Nonetheless, the low rate of sprouting reported in embryos remains to be solved since pre-embryos and embryos seem to have high water content in P. oceanica. In terrestrial plants, sprouting frequency decreases with an increase in storage period, which is attributed to loss of moisture as a consequence of partial desiccation during storage (Danso & Ford-Lloyd 2003) and due to different genotypes and embryo sizes (Tsai & Saunders 1999). The low rate of sprouting could be further tackled with the quality of the encapsulated cells. In either of these ways, encapsulated cells of P. oceanica present an interesting framework in which to study the transition from embryos to plantlets.
In conclusion, this work highlights the maintenance of cells at low temperature and cell encapsulation. Encapsulation is a benchmark towards the establishment of embryo cultures and further in vitro propagation of *P. oceanica*.
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