Preparation and Application of Polyurethane Coating Material Based on Epoxycyclohexane-Epoxychloropropane Protective for Paper
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Abstract: Paper historic relics are of great value to research and preserve. However, with the change of environment, paper cultural relics are constantly aging and damaged. Therefore, the reinforcement and conservation of the paper is an important topic in the field of cultural relics protection; thus, it is a crucial and arduous task to discover high-performance protective materials. The coating reinforcement method is a kind of commonsense method to strengthen the paper. Additionally, it is key to select appropriate reinforcing resins. Polyurethane has influence on the improvement of paper strength, stability, and appearance. The epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane polyether polyl was prepared with epoxycyclohexane and epoxychloropropane as materials, glycerol as the initiator, boron trifluoride diethyl etherate as the catalyst, and dichloromethane as the solvent. Infrared (IR) spectroscopy as well as proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H NMR) spectroscopy were adopted to characterize the structure of the synthetic copolyether. Then, polyurethane based on epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane was prepared by the synthetic copolyether reacting with hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) trimer. The effect of the mass concentration of polyurethane on the tensile strength, elongation, folding endurance, and the tearing strength of paper samples were tested. When 10% polyurethane solution was applied to paper, not only were the mechanical properties greatly improved, but the gloss and brightness also changed slightly, which conformed to the principle of “repair as old”. The above results indicate that the synthetic polyurethane combines the advantages of epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane polyether polyl along with polyurethane, presenting excellent properties in paper reinforcement or conservation.
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1. Introduction

Paper historic relics, precious materials recording human history, culture, science and technology, have important historical, cultural, artistic, and reference value. It has been identified that there are nearly 2.5 million km of paper works collected in libraries or archives around the world currently [1–6]. However, paper historic relics are organic artifacts that are highly susceptible to aging and deterioration. They have to withstand not only the vicissitudes of history, but also erosion from environmental pollution, oxygen, light, temperature, biology, and water, which has led to the conundrum of paper conservation throughout the world [7]. Therefore, the reinforcement and conservation of paper is an important topic in the field of cultural relics protection; thus, it is a crucial and arduous task to discover high-performance protective materials. Coating reinforcement method is a kind of commonsense method to strengthen the paper [8]. Additionally, it is key to select appropriate reinforcing resins.
Paper is processed to form natural or synthetic resin coatings in the coating reinforcement method. Moreover, polyurethane coatings are adopted for their corrosion, water and abrasion resistance; paper strength; and low processing temperature. Nevertheless, polyurethane has instabilities and turns yellow easily [9,10]. Transparent polyurethane is prepared based on polyether polyol. Polyether polyols are made from chains of polymerized molecules containing an ether link (R–O–R) and a hydroxyl-terminated. In the presence of an appropriate catalyst, polyether polyols are obtained by ring-opening polymerization. The ring-opening polymerization occurs through a small molecule compound containing an epoxy structure, with a compound possessing a reactive hydrogen atom (–OH, –NH₂, etc.) as the starting agent [11]. The prepared transparent polyurethane combines the excellent properties of polyether polyol and polyurethane, and presents a high degree of transparency [12]. The opacity of conventional polyurethane materials is due to microphase dispersion and surface light dispersion. Furthermore, the dispersion is caused by microscopic incompatibility between the hard and soft segments. The factors influencing the polyurethane opacity are synthesis routes, types of isocyanate, components of chain extender, components of flexible segment, molecular weight, components of flexible segment, and thermal history, especially the crystallization of the segments [13–16]. It has an insignificant effect on the transparency when the hard and soft segments are crystallized. By ring-opening polymerization of epoxycyclohexane, the polyether polyol molecule obtained not only has the solidity of the six-membered ring, but also has the high activity characteristics of the ternary epoxy group. The solidity of the six-membered ring improves the anti-reciprocating folding ability of paper at a certain tension. Coupled with its large steric hindrance, the synthetic polyether molecular chain is disordered, transparent, and not easy to crystallize. Meanwhile, for the linear structure of epoxychloropropane, the hardness of copolyether decreases and the fluidity increases [17–19]. At the same time, the introduction of a chlorine atom in epoxychloropropane will give polyurethane some new properties, such as flame retardancy and good adhesive properties [20]. The synthetic, transparent polyurethane penetrated well into the paper fibers, forming a three-dimensional crosslinking system among the fibers [21].

At present, the polyurethane based on epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane was prepared by the synthetic polyether polyol and HDI trimer, then applied to paper conservation. In this case, polyurethane has an influence on the improvement of paper mechanical properties [22].

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Materials
Epoxycyclohexane (molecular weight: 98.14, relative density: 0.97, and CAS number: 286-20-4), industrial grade, was purchased from Shenma Group (Pingdingshan, China). HDI trimer (molecular weight: 627.61, relative density: 1.20, and CAS number: 3779-63-3), industrial grade, was from Bayer (Leverkusen, Germany). Epoxychloropropane (molecular weight: 92.52, relative density: 1.18, and CAS number: 75-09-2), dichloromethane (molecular weight: 92.09, relative density: 1.26, and CAS number: 56-81-5), tetramethylsilane (molecular weight: 88.22, relative density: 0.648, and CAS number: 141-78-6) and ethyl acetate (molecular weight: 88.10, relative density: 0.90, and CAS number: 64-17-5) were of analytical grade, obtained from Tianjin Komeo Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Anhydrous ethanol (molecular weight: 0.79, relative density: 46.07, and CAS number: 64-12-5), dibutyltin dilaurate (molecular weight: 631.57, relative density: 1.05, and CAS number: 77-58-7), N,N-Dimethylformamide (molecular weight: 73.79, relative density: 0.945, and CAS number: 68-12-2) and sodium hydroxide (molecular weight: 40.01, relative density: 2.12, and CAS number: 1310-73-2) were of analytical grade, obtained from Tianjin Chemical Reagent Plant 3 (Tianjin, China). Boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (molecular weight: 141.94, relative density: 1.15, and CAS number: 109-63-7) was of analytical grade.
2.5. Analysis and Testing Methods

The content of the isocyanate group (–NCO) was measured at hourly interval, and the reaction was terminated when the content of –NCO was 15%. The reaction proceeded at 70 °C. The content of the isocyanate group (–NCO) was measured at hourly interval, and the reaction was terminated when the content of –NCO was 15%

2.2. Preparation of Epoxycyclohexane-Epoxychloropropane Polyether Polyol

In the presence of the catalyst boron trifluoride diethyl etherate, the copolyether was obtained by ring-opening polymerization through the epoxy structure, with epoxycyclohexane and epoxychloropropane as the starting materials, glycerol as the initiator, and dichloromethane as the solvent [6,23]. A total of 0.69 g glycerol (the molar ratio between glycerol and the starting materials is 0.03:1), 1.22 g boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (the molar ratio between the catalyst and the starting materials is 0.035:1), and 20 mL dichloromethane were added into a 250 mL three-neck flask, then epoxycyclohexane and epoxychloropropane (as the starting materials in the molar ratio of 3:4) were added slowly in drops. Boron trifluoride diethyl etherate reacted with glycerol in dichloromethane solvent to form a reactive group. With the catalysis of the reactive group, the ring-opening polymerization of epoxycyclohexane and epoxychloropropane proceeded at 5 °C for 3–6 h, then the copolyether was obtained [20,23]. After the reaction, the pH of the product was adjusted to be weakly alkaline, and the ultimate copolyether was obtained by distilled water washing and vacuum distillation [6], which was then transparent and colorless.

2.3. Preparation of Polyurethane Based on Epoxycyclohexane-Epoxychloropropane Polyether Polyol

The obtained 5 g of epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane polyether polyol was mixed with 40 g of HDI trimer, followed by 30 g of ethyl acetate added later; dibutyltin dilaurate was used as the catalyst. The reaction proceeded at 70 °C. The content of the isocyanate group (–NCO) was measured at hourly interval, and the reaction was terminated until the content of –NCO was 15%.

2.4. Paper Coating

Firstly, Xuan paper, being analogous to the paper applied in historic relics [24], was cropped into the standard size of 210 mm × 297 mm. Secondly, both sides of the paper in the experimental and control group were dipped in different concentrations of polyurethane liquids (ethyl acetate as the solvent) and ethyl acetate separately for 2–5 min, then dried at room temperature. The coating procedures are shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 1.** Coating procedures.

2.5. Analysis and Testing Methods

By reference to Chinese standard GB/T12914-2008 (ISO 12914-2:1994, MOD) [25], the determination of the tensile strength of the paper was proceeded by a PN-TT300 (Hangzhou Pin Heng Technology Co. Ltd., Hangzhou, China) at 20 mm/min, and the paper was cropped to a 24.0 cm × 1.5 cm size. By reference to the Chinese standard GB/T457-2008 (ISO5626:1993, MOD) [26], determination of the folding endurance of the paper was proceeded by a double-fold instrument PN-NZ135 (Hangzhou Pin Heng Technology Co. Ltd., Hangzhou, China) at a force of 4.9N, and the paper samples were cropped to a 15.0 cm × 1.5 cm size. By reference to the Chinese standard GB/T455-2002 (equiv. ISO 1974:1990) [27], determination of the tearing strength of the paper was proceeded by the
PN-TT1000 (Hangzhou Pin Heng Technology Co. Ltd., Hangzhou, China); the paper was also cropped to a 6.5 cm × 5.0 cm size. By reference to the Chinese standard GB/T 8941-2013 (ISO 8254-1-2009, MOD) [28], determination of the gloss of the paper was proceeded by a glossmeter of PN-GM (Hangzhou Pin Heng Technology Co. Ltd., Hangzhou, China) in modes of G20, G60, and G75. By reference to the Chinese standard GB/T 7974-2013 (ISO 2470:1999, MOD) [29], determination of the brightness of the paper was proceeded by a PN-48B brightness tester (Hangzhou Pin Heng Technology Co. Ltd., Hangzhou, China). Modes of blue and green light diffuse reflectance spectra (R457 and Ry) were chosen [6,23].

IR was tested by Fourier transform infrared spectrometer TENSOR 27 (Bruker Optics, Karlsruhe, Germany) with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and a spectral range of 350–4000 cm⁻¹. ¹HNMR was tested by a 400 MHz WB solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer (Bruker Optics, Karlsruhe, Germany). Gel permeation chromatography was tested by gel permeation chromatography IR300 (Thermo Nicolet Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) with a flow velocity of 1.0 mL/min and a column temperature of 30 °C. The scanning electron microscope was tested by scanning electron microscope NovaNano SEM450 (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. The Reaction Mechanism of Epoxycyclohexane-Epoxychloropropene Polyether Polyol

In the presence of the catalyst boron trifluoride diethyl etherate, the copolyether was obtained by cationic ring-opening polymerization through the epoxy structure, with epoxycyclohexane and epoxychloropropene as the starting materials, glycerol as the initiator, and dichloromethane as the solvent [6,23,30]. Glycerol reacts with boron trifluoride diethyl etherate, then the resulting intermediate product and epoxycyclohexane react to form an oxonium ion with a positive charge in the active center. This undergoes a nucleophilic reaction with the active oxygen-containing groups of epoxycyclohexane and epoxychloropropene. The process of ring-opening polymerization is divided into chain initiation stage, chain growth stage and chain termination stage [21]. The reaction mechanism can be seen in Scheme 1.

The first step:

\[ \text{BF}_3\cdot \text{OEt}_2 + \text{HOCH}_2\text{CHOHCH}_2\text{OH} \xrightarrow{\text{H}^+} \text{BF}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CHOHCH}_2\text{OH} + \text{OEt}_2 \]

The second step:

\[ \text{BF}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CHOHCH}_2\text{OH} + n \xrightarrow{\text{H}^+} \text{BF}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CHOHCH}_2\text{OH}^{\ominus} \]

\[ \text{m} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}} \]

Scheme 1. Cont.
The third step:

\[
\text{H-O} \quad \text{CH}_2\text{Cl} \quad (n+1) \quad \text{O} \quad \text{BF}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CHOHCH}_2\text{OH} \quad \Theta \quad \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \rightarrow \\
\text{H-O} \quad \text{CH}_2\text{Cl} \quad (n+1) \quad \text{O} \quad \text{BF}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CHOHCH}_2\text{OH} \quad \Theta \\
\]

The total reaction is as follows:

\[
(n+1) \quad \text{O} \quad \text{BF}_3\text{OEt}_2 \quad \text{0}^\circ\text{C} \quad \text{CH}_2\text{Cl} \quad \text{glycerol} \quad \rightarrow \\
(n+1) \quad \text{O} \quad \text{BF}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{CHOHCH}_2\text{OH} \quad \text{product} \quad \text{vacuum distillation} \\
\]

**Scheme 1.** Reaction mechanism.

The synthesis process of epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane polyether polyol can be seen in Figure 2.

**Figure 2.** Synthesis process of copolyether.

The IR spectrum of the copolyether is shown in Figure 3. According to the IR spectrum, the characteristic absorption peak of hydroxyl group is at 3347 cm\(^{-1}\). The strong absorption peaks at 2930 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2857 cm\(^{-1}\) are methylene and methine groups, respectively. The absorption peak of the aliphatic ether bond is at 1083 cm\(^{-1}\), and the peak was chosen as an iconic functional group of copolyether. The absorption peak of carbon-chlorine bond is at 780 cm\(^{-1}\).

**Figure 3.** IR spectroscopy of the synthetic copolyether (dissolving with dichloromethane, then coating a thin film, resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\), spectral range of 350–4000 cm\(^{-1}\)).

\(^1\)H NMR analysis of the copolyether is shown in Table 1.
Table 1. $^1$HNMR analysis of the copolyether.

| Characteristic Functional Groups | Chemical Shift $\delta$, ppm |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| $a$                              | 3.9                         |
| $b$                              | 3.6                         |
| $c$                              | 3.7                         |
| $d$                              | 3.3                         |
| $e$                              | 2.0                         |
| $f$                              | 1.6                         |

The representative H of $a$, $b$, $c$, $d$, $e$ and $f$ are showed in Figure 4, and the $^1$HNMR spectroscopy of the copolyether is shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 4. Structure analysis.](image)

As illustrated in Figure 5, the proton peaks of methylene in the residual monomers of epoxycyclohexane are between $\delta$ 1.0 and 2.0. In addition, methine in the residual monomers of epoxycyclohexane, and all H atoms in the residual monomers of epoxychloropropane are between $\delta$ 3.0 and 4.0. Combined with the IR spectrum, it is suggested that the copolyether was obtained by ring-opening polymerization through the epoxy structure, with epoxycyclohexane and epoxychloropropane as the starting materials.

The obtained copolyether was dissolved with $N,N$-Dimethylformamide, treated and analyzed by gel permeation chromatography; the results were as follows: $M_w = 2547.29$, $M_n = 2473$, $D = M_w/M_n = 1.03$. $M_w$: the average molecular weight; $M_n$: number-average molecular weight.
molar weight; D: molecular weight dispersion. The gel permeation chromatogram of the synthetic copolyether is shown in Figure 6.

![Gel permeation chromatogram of the synthetic copolyether](image)

**Figure 6.** Gel permeation chromatogram of the synthetic copolyether (dissolving with N,N-Dimethylformamide, flow velocity of 1.0 mL/min, column temperature of 30 °C).

### 3.2. Properties of Polyurethane Based on Epoxycyclohexane-Epoxychloropropane Polyether Polyol

The polyurethane material was synthesized using epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane polyether polyol and HDI trimer. The reaction mechanism is shown in Scheme 2. With dibutyltin dilaurate as the catalyst, the isocyanate groups of the HDI trimer are highly reactive with hydroxyl groups of epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane polyether polyol.

![Reaction mechanism diagram](image)

**Scheme 2.** The reaction mechanism of polyurethane based on epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane polyether polyol.

$^1$HNMR analysis of the synthetic polyurethane is shown in Table 2.

**Table 2.** $^1$HNMR analysis of the synthetic polyurethane.

| Characteristic Functional Groups | Chemical Shift δ, ppm |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| $a$                             | 3.9                   |
| $b$                             | 3.6                   |
| $c$                             | 3.7                   |
| $d$                             | 3.3                   |
| $e$                             | 2.0                   |
| $f$                             | 1.6                   |
| $g$                             | 5.9                   |

The representative H of $a$, $b$, $c$, $d$, $e$, $f$ and $g$ are showed in Figure 7, and the $^1$HNMR spectroscopy of the epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane-based polyurethane is shown in Figure 8.
The representative H of a, b, c, d, e, f and g are showed in Figure 7, and the 1HNMR spectroscopy of the epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane-based polyurethane is shown in Figure 8.

**Figure 7.** Structure analysis.

As illustrated in Figure 8, the proton peaks of methylene in the residual monomers of epoxycyclohexane are between $\delta$ 1.0 and 2.0. In addition, methine in the residual monomers of epoxycyclohexane, and all H atoms in the residual monomers of epoxychloropropane are between $\delta$ 3.0 and 4.0. The chemical shift of the amide proton changes less. It is known that the synthetic product contains carbamate groups, proving that the product is polyurethane.

**Figure 8.** $^1$HNMR of the synthetic polyurethane (dissolving in CDCl$_3$, tetramethylsilane as an internal standard, 400 MHz of resonance frequency).

As illustrated in Figure 8, the proton peaks of methylene in the residual monomers of epoxycyclohexane are between $\delta$ 1.0 and 2.0. In addition, methine in the residual monomers of epoxycyclohexane, and all H atoms in the residual monomers of epoxychloropropane are between $\delta$ 3.0 and 4.0. The chemical shift of the amide proton changes less. It is known that the synthetic product contains carbamate groups, proving that the product is polyurethane.

### 3.3. Application of Polyurethane Based on Epoxycyclohexane-Epoxychloropropane Polyether Polyol in Paper

The effect of polyurethane mass concentration on tensile strength, elongation, folding endurance, and tearing strength of paper were tested [6,18,19]. As illustrated in Figure 9a,
the tensile strength of the paper increased with an increase in the mass concentration of epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane-based polyurethane. When the mass concentration of the polyurethane was 25%, the tensile strength increased from 1105 N/m to 3739.4 N/m, with an increase of 238%. This indicates that polyurethane based on epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropane polyether polyol and the paper may form a network, which can strengthen the fibers of the paper; the tensile strength of the coated paper was also effectively improved. Paper elongation is another important indicator of the tensile strength of paper. As shown in Figure 9a, the elongation of the paper in the control group was 0.9%. When the mass concentration of the polyurethane was less than 15%, the elongation increased with an increase in mass concentration. Furthermore, the elongation reached 3.49% at a mass concentration of 15%, which was 287% higher than that in the control group. When the mass concentration of the polyurethane was more than 15%, the elongation decreased as the mass concentration rose. In paper fibers, as carbamate bonds replace the hydrogen bonds, the bonding force is enhanced. On the other hand, when there is sustained growth in mass concentration, the paper may become brittle. Consequently, as mass concentration increases, the elongation displays an increase, then a decrease. As Figure 9c illustrates, the folding endurance of the paper showed a trend of rising and then declining as the mass concentration of polyurethane grew; the trend was the same as elongation. When the mass concentration was 10%, the growth in folding endurance was 8905%, from 20 times to 1801 times. Epoxy cyclohexane has a rigid six-membered ring, so the synthetic epoxycyclohexane-epoxychlororopane-based polyurethane reinforcing solution improves the resistant external forces of paper while coating on the paper. Furthermore, there are more capabilities in the coated paper fibers to hold the original flexibility in the folding process, resulting in a significant increase in both tensile strength and folding endurance. However, when the mass concentration continued to increase, it caused the paper to thicken, leading to significant fracture and embrittlement of the polyurethane coating, and the flexibility of the paper reduced correspondingly. As shown in Figure 9d, with the increase of the mass concentration, the tearing strength tended to first increase and then decrease. When the mass concentration of the polyurethane was 15%, the tearing strength was 188.2 mN, which was 53% higher than that in the control group. It shows the broken fibers were in interaction due to the synthetic polyurethane [21]. With sustained growth in mass concentration, owing to the increasing steric hindrance of the polyurethane molecules, the bonding force among fibers decreased, causing the peeling of the paper.

Figure 9. Cont.
Figure 9. Mechanical properties of the paper treated with different mass concentrations of polyurethane: (a) tensile strength (the paper was cropped to a 24.0 cm × 1.5 cm size, tested at 20 mm/min); (b) elongation (the paper was cropped to a 24.0 cm × 1.5 cm size, tested at 20 mm/min); (c) folding endurance (the paper was cropped to a 15.0 cm × 1.5 cm size, tested at a force of 4.9N); (d) tearing strength (the paper was cropped to 6.5 cm × 5.0 cm).

The effect of mass concentration of the synthetic polyurethane on gloss of the paper is shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10. Gloss of the paper treated with different mass concentrations of polyurethane (chosen modes of G20, G60, and G75) ■ G20; ● G60; ▲ G75.

As illustrated in Figure 10, when the mass concentration was less than 15%, the gloss of the paper gradually decreased with the increasing concentration, but the change is not significant. When the mass concentration was 15%, the gloss of G20 decreased by 8% from 2.5 to 2.3, the gloss of G60 decreased by 7% from 4.1 to 3.8, and the gloss of G70 decreased by 2% from 4.9 to 4.8. When the mass concentration was greater than 15%, and increased in concentration, the gloss of the paper began to decline significantly.

The effect of the mass concentration of the synthetic polyurethane on the brightness of the paper is shown in Figure 11.
Figure 11. Brightness of the paper treated with different mass concentrations of polyurethane (chosen modes of blue and green light diffuse reflectance spectra, R457 and Ry). ■, R457; ●, Ry.

As shown in Figure 11, with the increase in mass concentration, the brightness of the paper gradually decreased. When the mass concentration was 15%, the R457 whiteness was 78%, with a decrease of 3.7%, and Ry brightness was 82%, with a decrease of 2.3%. Consequently, the synthetic polyurethane has little influence on the brightness of the paper.

Accordingly, after a comprehensive evaluation of the tensile strength, elongation, folding endurance, tearing strength, brightness, and gloss, the optimal mass concentration of polyurethane based on epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropyl polyether polyol is 10%.

Analysis indicated that the synthetic polyurethane based on epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropyl polyether polyol was dried to form the coating of the treated paper, filling the gaps in the paper fibers, enhancing the binding force between fibers, actually improving paper performance. By ring-opening polymerization of epoxycyclohexane, the synthetic polyurethane has the solidity of the six-membered ring, improving the paper anti-reciprocating folding ability at a certain tension, benefitting the resistant external forces of paper. In addition, there is more capability in the coated paper fibers to hold the original flexibility in the folding process. In general, the tensile strength, folding endurance, elongation as well as tearing strength have been improved. Coupled with its large steric hindrance, the obtained polyurethane molecular chain is disordered, transparent, not easy to crystallize, and possesses good gloss and brightness. Meanwhile, for the linear structure of epoxychloropropyl, the hardness of the copolyether decreases and the fluidity increases [17–19].

An analysis and comparison of applications of previously synthetic polyurethane based on epoxycyclohexane homopolyetheryl and polyurethane based on epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropyl copolyether in paper reinforcement were studied. This indicated that both the tensile strength of the coated paper improved effectively. As the mass concentration increased, the tensile strength of the paper treated with homopolyetheryl-based polyurethane liquid increased until the mass concentration reached 20%, then the tensile strength declined. With the polyurethane mass concentration growth to 20%, the tensile strength increased from 1105 to 3039 N/m. However, the tensile strength of the paper increased with the growth of the mass concentration of copolyether-based polyurethane. When the mass concentration of the polyurethane was 25%, the tensile strength increased from 1105 N/m to 3739.4 N/m. In addition, the tensile strength of paper treated by copolyether-based polyurethane was higher than that treated by homopolyetheryl-based polyurethane at each mass concentration. In comparison to elongation, both display similar responses. In contrast to folding endurance, both display rising, and then decrease as...
When the mass concentration increases, the folding endurance increases from 20 to 504 times, about 24.2 times higher than that of the blank paper. When the mass concentration of copolyether-based polyurethane was 10%, the folding endurance increased by 8905% from 20 to 1801 times. In particular, copolyether-based polyurethane gave better results. With the increase of the mass concentration, the tearing strength of both tended to increase first and then decrease. Copolyether-based polyurethane was higher than that treated by homopolyetheryl-based polyurethane at each mass concentration. In conclusion, copolyether-based polyurethane has a better influence on improvement of tensile strength, elongation, folding endurance, and tearing strength of paper [19].

The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the paper samples can be seen in Figure 12.

From Figure 12, it can be seen that the fiber distribution of paper in the control group were messy and uneven in thickness, and there were more inter-fiber gaps. The paper fibers in the experimental group that were treated by polyurethane became coarser and evenly dispersed, and the broken fibers of the paper samples were also interacting. Moreover, the inter-fiber gaps were filled by the reinforcement solution, and the paper surface was smoother.

The appearance of the calligraphy work treated before and after with 10% polyurethane liquid are shown in Figure 13. Evidently, the polyurethane based on epoxycyclohexane-epoxycarbonylpropylene polyether polyol was highly transparent, and there was no ink diffusion or changes in the appearance.
Figure 13. The appearance of the calligraphy work treated before (a) and after (b) with synthetic polyurethane.

4. Conclusions

Epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropene polyether polyol was obtained by cationic ring-opening polymerization, which was characterized by IR spectra and \(^1\)H-NMR spectroscopy. Polyurethane was then prepared by the synthetic copolyether and HDI trimer. Furthermore, the results of the tests conducted on paper samples coated with 10% polyurethane liquid indicated that the coated paper presented excellent properties in tensile strength, elongation, folding endurance, and tearing strength. Additionally, the coated paper presented good gloss and brightness. The determination of the appearance of a calligraphy work coating with 10% polyurethane liquid showed that there was no ink diffusion and no changes in the appearance. Compared to homopolyetheryl-based polyurethane, copolyether-based polyurethane has a better influence on the improvement of mechanical properties of paper. In conclusion, the synthetic polyurethane combines the advantages of epoxycyclohexane-epoxychloropropene polyether polyol and polyurethane, demonstrating excellent properties in paper reinforcement or conservation.
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