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ABSTRACT

The commercial development of the area in Sugihwaras Village, Sidoarjo has caused a large discharge runoff that can cause inundation in the area due to land conversion that reduces open land for water absorption. So it needs an increase in drainage capacity by knowing the flood plan discharge for a 5 year return period. Rainfall data taken for observations are rain stations Sidoarjo, Putat, Cepiples and Kludan. The method used to find a 5-year return period is the Log Pearson Type III because it is in accordance with the distribution test with a 5-year return period of 81,171 m³/sec. With an extensive commercial development area of ± 40,191 m² the planned flood discharge obtained by a practical rational method is 0.630 m³/sec and can be accommodated with planned drainage and bozem channels with a capacity of 1666.95 m³.
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INTRODUCTION

Based on the Planning Journal for the Drainage System of Palangkaraya City (Tofandiyumahira, 2010) drainage is defined as facilities and infrastructures built to drain rainwater and domestic waste from one place to another in an area. Drainage comes from English word which means to drain, remove or divert water. According to Halim (2011), drainage is generally defined as learning science of technical action to reduce excess water in a particular context of use, both from rain, seepage and...
others in an area, so that the function of the area is not disturbed by the water.

Drainage channels must be planned precisely in order to safely pass the discharge plan. Drainage planning according to Suripin follows various stages which are: determining the planned discharge, planning the channel section, planning the building and the drainage system facilities.

**METHODOLOGY**

The construction of apartments in Sugihwaras Village, Candi is built in rice fields. The land is limited by tertiary channel, settlements and rice fields as shown below:

![Location of Apartment Construction](Picture 1)

Picture 1. Location of Apartment Construction

Chart or flowchart of the present research in completing the drainage evaluation research is based on principal data processing and completion of calculations obtained from several rain stations. A number of items for processing data and completing calculations obtained from several rain stations. Results of rain data testing and hydrological calculations is expected to depict reference data for the planned flood discharge therefore it can determine the channel dimensions that are needed. In conclusion, the flow chart / research study framework can be seen in the following figure:

![Research Flow Chart](Picture 2)

Picture 2. Research Flow Chart
Hydrological Analysis

The rainfalls that are needed to determine the planning requirements for drainage system or capacity in the Apartment Development area is daily average of rainfall surround the observation points in which in the present study are Sidoarjo, Putat, Cepiples, and Kludan Rain stations.

![Picture 3. Location of Rain Stations for the observation points](image)

In accordance with the rainfall data, Polygon Thiessen method is applied in order to obtain the rainfall frequency. Meanwhile, rain fall frequency analysis is an analysis of repetition of rainfall event to determine return period. Below is the average daily rainfall data for the period 2006 - 2016

| Year  | Date  | Rainfall Station | TOTAL (mm) |
|-------|-------|------------------|------------|
|       |       | Sidoarjo | Putat | Cepiples | Kludan |         |
| 2006  | 23-Feb | 8.52   | 21.82 | 0.00    | 15.14  | 43.482  |
| 2007  | 6-Mar  | 16.96  | 20.37 | 0.00    | 14.10  | 51.425  |
| 2008  | 31-Jan | 23.91  | 17.46 | 0.00    | 13.06  | 57.435  |
| 2009  | 6-Mar  | 15.43  | 20.37 | 0.00    | 22.81  | 58.612  |
| 2010  | 15-Oct | 36.52  | 23.28 | 23.60   | 19.28  | 102.079 |
| 2011  | 28-Mar | 0.87   | 24.16 | 23.60   | 18.87  | 67.485  |
| 2012  | 18-Dec | 18.26  | 18.33 | 4.83    | 14.72  | 56.147  |
| 2013  | 8-Jun  | 17.11  | 25.61 | 25.99   | 20.11  | 88.413  |
| 2014  | 18-Jun | 6.74   | 23.28 | 4.83    | 17.21  | 52.060  |
| 2015  | 19-Mar | 0.00   | 25.31 | 9.38    | 18.04  | 52.736  |
| 2016  | 10-Oct | 36.96  | 26.19 | 29.28   | 16.59  | 109.013 |

From the average rainfall data mentioned above then the Log Pearson Type III distribution is used to look for data on various recurrence rains to determine the discharge and storage capacity requirements needed in the apartment construction area. The calculation of rain return with log Pearson type III can be seen below.

| No | X (mm) | (X|X|)^2 | (X|X|^3) | (X|X|^4) |
|----|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| 1  | 109.01 | 1,769.05 | 74,406.23 | 3,129,529.74 |
| 2  | 102.68 | 1,276.32 | 45,597.25 | 1,628,988.99 |
| 3  | 67.48  | 0.28   | 0.15   | 0.08   |
| 4  | 52.06  | 221.81 | -3,303.37 | 49,197.98 |
| 5  | 86.41  | 460.52 | 9,882.61 | 212,079.06 |
| 6  | 56.81  | 69.58  | -590.36 | 4,840.97 |
| 7  | 56.15  | 116.77 | -1,261.87 | 13,636.02 |
| 8  | 54.43  | 156.71 | -1,961.83 | 24,559.28 |
| 9  | 52.74  | 202.14 | -2,673.91 | 40,859.99 |
| 10 | 51.42  | 241.14 | -3,744.51 | 58,146.96 |
| 11 | 43.48  | 560.92 | -12,931.08 | 303,514.86 |

| TOTAL | 738.49 | 5,065.24 | 103,220.29 | 5,465,352.52 |

Average: 66.953
Standard deviation: 22.51
Koefisien variansi (Cv): 0.34
Koef. Kemencengan (Cs): 1.10670
Koef. Kaitajaon (Ck): 3.579908
Based on calculation above, it is obtained Cs and Ck values, which can be concluded that according to table 4.4 the distribution equation used in the analysis of rainfall data is the Log Pearson Type III distribution. The average daily maximum rainfall that has been obtained is sorted from large to small, then analysed based on the selected distribution to get rain with certain return periods, as shown in table 3 below:

Table 3. Rainfall Metode Log Pearson Type III

| NO. | X (mm) | Log X | (Loge-Logo) | (Loge-Logo)^2 | (Loge-Logo)^3 | P   |
|-----|--------|-------|-------------|---------------|---------------|-----|
| 1   | 109.01 | 2.0375| 0.2315      | 0.0536        | 0.0212        | 0.0092| 8.3333|
| 2   | 102.68 | 2.0115| 0.2055      | 0.0342        | 0.0207        | 0.0016| 16.6667|
| 3   | 67.48  | 1.8092| 0.0232      | 0.0005        | 0.0000        | 0.0000| 25.0000|
| 4   | 52.06  | 1.7165| -0.0985     | -0.0800       | -0.0070       | 0.0001| 33.3333|
| 5   | 55.71  | 1.7465| 0.1405      | 0.0197        | 0.0028        | 0.0004| 41.6667|
| 6   | 56.61  | 1.7860| -0.0380     | 0.0014        | -0.0006       | 0.0000| 50.0000|
| 7   | 56.15  | 1.7493| -0.0667     | 0.0032        | -0.0002       | 0.0000| 58.3333|
| 8   | 54.43  | 1.7359| -0.0701     | 0.0049        | -0.0003       | 0.0000| 66.6667|
| 9   | 52.74  | 1.7721| -0.0639     | 0.0030        | -0.0006       | 0.0000| 75.0000|
| 10  | 51.42  | 1.7712| -0.0648     | 0.0030        | -0.0009       | 0.0000| 83.3333|
| 11  | 43.48  | 1.8383| -0.1677     | 0.0281        | -0.0047       | 0.0008| 91.6667|
| TOTAL| 793.49 | 19.87 | 0.0000      | 0.1778        | 0.0154        | 0.0001|

Average : 1.806
Standard deviation: 0.13
koef. Variasi (Cv): 0.07
koef. Kempenangan (Cs): 0.85
koef. Ketalaman (Ck): 3.22

Table 4. Various Recurrences Rainfall

| NO. | Pr  | Tr (Tahun) | K    | Log X | X (mm) |
|-----|-----|------------|------|-------|--------|
| 1   | 99.0099 | 1.01 | -1.898 | 1.5796 | 37.98 |
| 2   | 50.0000 | 2    | -0.141 | 1.7872 | 61.27 |
| 3   | 20.0000 | 5    | 0.775  | 1.9394 | 81.17 |
| 4   | 10.0000 | 10   | 1.340  | 1.9847 | 96.54 |
| 5   | 5.0000  | 20   | 1.765  | 2.0413 | 109.98|
| 6   | 2.0000  | 25   | 2.008  | 2.0737 | 118.51|
| 7   | 2.0000  | 50   | 2.477  | 2.1363 | 136.87|
| 8   | 1.0000  | 100  | 2.605  | 2.1534 | 142.35|

5-year return plan rainfall is 81.17 mm in which to avoid overload within drainage channels it is necessary to make a drainage system in the apartment construction location. It functions is to decrease volume at peak moment. It can be done by calculating the capacity of the drainage channel which will accommodate the surface flow. The flood plan volume of the apartment development area with an area of ± 40,191 m² = 0.040191 km² planned flood discharge which amounted to:

\[
QP = 0.278 \times C \times I \times A = 0.278 \times 0.7 \times 81.171 \times 0.040191 = 0.630 \text{ m}^3/\text{dt}
\]

At raining season t = 30 minute, \( t = 30 \times Q \times 60 = 30 \times 0.630 \times 60 = 1134 \text{ m}^3 \)

Hydraulic Analysis

The available rainfall data is the average daily maximum rainfall data, therefore the calculation is done by using a formula from Mononobe where the duration of rain is assumed to be equal to the concentration time value. The magnitude of the flow coefficient (C) in the Apartment Development is based on a table that is equal to 0.7. Rain discharge can be calculated using the rational method formula. Next Example Calculation of dimensions on channel 01-02:

Channel Length = 187.50 m
Channel Slope = 0.001

Time of concentration (tc) = \( \left( \frac{0.87 \times 24}{1000 \times 24} \right) \left( \frac{0.384}{0.87 \times 0.001} \right)^{2/3} = 0.255 \text{ jam} \)

Rain intensity (I) = \( \left( \frac{0.384}{0.24} \right) \left( \frac{0.87 \times 24}{1000 \times 0.001} \right) = 70.023 \text{ mm/jam} \)
Debit return period flood plan 5 years = 0.278 \times 0.7 \times 70.023 \times 0.0150 = 0.204 \text{ m}^3/\text{dt}

Planning Channel:
B = 0.50 \text{ m}; \ m = 0; \ s = 0.001; \ n = 0.013 (concrete pair).

Formulation:
V = 1/n \times R^{2/3} \times s^{1/2}
R = A/P

Tested
H = 0.70 \text{ m}
A = B \times H = 0.50 \times 0.70 = 0.350 \text{ m}^2
P = B + 2H = 0.50 + 2 \times 0.70 = 1.900 \text{ m}
V = 1/n \times R^{2/3} \times s^{1/2} = 1/0.013 \times 0.184 \times 0.0150 = 0.813 \text{ m}/\text{dt}

Q = V \times A = 0.813 \times 0.204 = 0.2847 \text{ m}^3/\text{dt}

Q Plan / Q Channel
0.2847 / 0.204x 100% = 71.80 %.

Therefore appropriate plan channel is needed because the calculated debit is equal to or greater than the debit of the 5-year return period rain.

For the calculation of the planned channel in the overall apartment construction area, see the table below:

Table 5. Calculation of channel dimensions and capacities

| NO. | Cross Section Area \( A \) | Channel Dimension |
|-----|----------------|------------------|
| 1   | 0.159x10^0   | 0.204 \times 10^0.5 | 0.164 \times 10^0.5 | 0.70 \times 10^0.5 |
| 2   | 0.120x10^0   | 0.184 \times 10^0.5 | 0.200 \times 10^0.5 | 1.90 \times 10^0.5 |
| 3   | 0.103x10^0   | 0.159 \times 10^0.5 | 0.509 \times 10^0.5 | 1.00 \times 10^0.5 |
| 4   | 0.130x10^0   | 0.200 \times 10^0.5 | 0.509 \times 10^0.5 | 1.90 \times 10^0.5 |
| 5   | 0.120x10^0   | 0.184 \times 10^0.5 | 0.200 \times 10^0.5 | 1.90 \times 10^0.5 |
| 6   | 0.140x10^0   | 0.200 \times 10^0.5 | 0.509 \times 10^0.5 | 1.90 \times 10^0.5 |
| 7   | 0.159x10^0   | 0.204 \times 10^0.5 | 0.164 \times 10^0.5 | 0.70 \times 10^0.5 |
| 8   | 0.204x10^0   | 0.204 \times 10^0.5 | 0.164 \times 10^0.5 | 0.70 \times 10^0.5 |
| 9   | 0.200x10^0   | 0.200 \times 10^0.5 | 0.509 \times 10^0.5 | 1.90 \times 10^0.5 |
| 10  | 0.200x10^0   | 0.200 \times 10^0.5 | 0.509 \times 10^0.5 | 1.90 \times 10^0.5 |

From the above calculation, it is found that channel capacity in the apartment construction area is equal to 1615.95 m$^3$ coupled with a broad capacity of Bozem 51 m$^3$ and height 1 m so the capacity is approximately 51 m$^3$, therefore the total capacity is equal to 1666.95 m$^3$ in which it is greater than the required capacity or around 1134 m$^3$ so during high rain the apartment development area does not have floods or inundations because it has a large enough capacity to accommodate the rain.

CONCLUSION

According to calculation of rainfall analysis obtained in the last 10 years, it is found that rainfall plans for a 5-year return period with rainfall is around 81.17 mm, debit plan that occurs in the area of the apartment building with a large area ± 40.191 m$^2$ factual condition is around 0.630 m$^3$/dt and it requires capacity around 1134 m$^3$ meanwhile the channel capacity in the apartment construction area is around 1615.95 m$^3$ and with bozem around 51 m$^3$. It can be concluded that maximum capacity is around 1666.95 m$^3$ in which it possess safety factor around 46 % from the capacity needed.
REFERENCE

[1] Arikunto,S, 2002. Metodologi Penelitian, PT. Rineka Cipta, Jakarta
[2] Bambang Triatmojo,2008. Hidrologi Yerapan. Yogya, Penerbit /: Beta Offset
[3] Bustan Fadhilisyah Rasyid, 2017. Kajian Sistem Drainase Patukangan-pegulon kabupaten Kendal (Jurnal).Semarang (ID) : Universitas Diponegoro
[4] Direktorat Jendral Bina Marga DPU.” Standart SK SNI m-18-1989-F,metode perhitungan debit banjir”
[5] Kordoatie. R dan Sugiyanto. 2000. Banjir. Beberapa penyebab dan metode pengendaliannya. Pustaka Pelajar. Yogyaakarta
[6] Kementerian Pekerjaan Umum. 2012. Tata cara perencanaan sistem drainase Perkotaan. Buku Jilid I
[7] M. Mirza Indra, 2010. Kajian Analisa Konsep Penanganan Drainase Untuk Kawasan Sungai Serok Kecamatan Pontianak Barat Kota Pontianak (Jurnal).Pontianak (ID) : Universitas Tanjungpura
[8] Morimura.1993. Perencanaan dan Pemeliharaan Sistem Plumbing
[9] Passaribu. 1999. Sumur Resapan Air Mengurangi Genangan Banjir
[10] R.J. Kodoatie. 2005. Sistem Drainase Perkotaan yang Berkelanjutan. Yogyakarta.
[11] Soemarto, CD.,1987. Hidrologi Teknik. Surabaya : Usaha Nasional
[12] Soewarno. 1995. Hidrologi aplikasi metode statistic untuk analisa data. Bandung
[13] Sunjoto. 1988. Sumur Resapan Air Hujan. Yogyakarta
[14] Sunpin. 2004. Sistem Drainase Perkotaan yang Berkelanjutan.ANDI Offset Yogyakarta.
[15] Winarno Surakhmad,1983.Pengantar Penelitian Ilmiah. Bandung