GRP78/Dna K Is a Target for Nexavar/Stivarga/Votrient in the Treatment of Human Malignancies, Viral Infections and Bacterial Diseases
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Prior tumor cell studies have shown that the drugs sorafenib (Nexavar) and regorafenib (Stivarga) reduce expression of the chaperone GRP78. Sorafenib/regorafenib and the multi-kinase inhibitor pazopanib (Votrient) interacted with sildenafil (Viagra) to further rapidly reduce GRP78 levels in eukaryotes and as single agents to reduce Dna K levels in prokaryotes. Similar data were obtained in tumor cells in vitro and in drug-treated mice for: HSP70, mitochondrial HSP70, HSP60, HSP56, HSP40, HSP10, and cyclophilin A. Prolonged ‘rafenib/sildenafil treatment killed tumor cells and also rapidly decreased the expression of the drug efflux pumps ABCB1 and ABCG2; and NPC1 and NTCP, receptors for Ebola/Hepatitis A and B viruses, respectively. Pre-treatment with the ‘rafenib/sildenafil combination reduced expression of the Coxsackie and Adenovirus receptor in parallel with it also reducing the ability of a serotype 5 Adenovirus or Coxsackie virus B4 to infect and to reproduce. Sorafenib/pazopanib and sildenafil was much more potent than sorafenib/pazopanib as single agents at preventing Adenovirus, Mumps, Chikungunya, Dengue, Rabies, West Nile, Yellow Fever, and Enterovirus 71 infection and reproduction. ‘Rafenib drugs/pazopanib as single agents killed laboratory generated antibiotic resistant E. coli which was associated with reduced Dna K and Rec A expression. Marginally toxic doses of ‘Rafenib drugs/pazopanib restored antibiotic sensitivity in pan-antibiotic resistant bacteria including multiple strains of blaKBT Klebsiella pneumoniae. Thus, Dna K is an antibiotic target for sorafenib, and inhibition of GRP78/Dna K has therapeutic utility for cancer and for bacterial and viral infections.
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A major biological effect of sorafenib is the induction of an endoplasmic stress (ER)/unfolded protein response (UPR), with reduced expression of proteins that have short half-lives such as MCL-1 and BCL-XL (e.g., Rahmani et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2009). Reduced MCL-1 levels due to sorafenib exposure have been linked in many tumor types to increased levels of apoptosis. Studies from our group have also linked high dose single agent sorafenib exposure to an increase in the levels of autophagic markers including increased numbers of LC3-GFP vesicles and elevated expression of Beclin1 and ATG5; however, lower sorafenib concentrations only caused a modest transient alteration in autophagy flux (Park et al., 2010a,b). Other studies from our groups have shown that based on the sorafenib dose the induction of ER stress may be a “protective” or a “toxic” event in the cellular response to the drug (e.g., Rahmani et al., 2005). It is very probable that the sorafenib-induced increase in PERK phosphorylation is due to our observation that sorafenib and regorafenib reduce expression of the chaperone GRP78/BiP/HSPA5 (Park et al., 2008; Booth et al., 2012a).

ER stress signaling is mediated by three proximal sensors, PERK, the IRE1 (inositol-requiring protein 1α)/XBP1 (X-box binding protein 1) system and ATF6 (activating transcription factor 6) (Pavitt and Ron, 2012; Sano and Reed, 2013). GRP78 plays a key role in regulating the ER stress response; under resting conditions the majority of GRP78 is associated with PERK and IRE1 and keeps these proteins in an inactive state (Gorbatyuk and Gorbatyuk, 2013; Rao et al., 2012; Roller and Maddalo, 2013; Ni and Lee, 2007; Quinones et al., 2008). GRP78, as a chaperone, also plays an important role in the protein folding processes that occur in the ER including cancer, liver disease, and virus replication. The prokaryotic homologue of GRP78, Dna K, also plays an essential role in bacterial cell biology where it chaperones proteins such as Rec A which is essential for bacterial DNA replication and resistance where engulfed to the respiratory burst of macrophages (Noguchi...
et al, 2014; Roux, 1990; Earl et al., 1991; Anderson et al., 1992; Hogue and Nayak, 1992; Xu et al., 1997; Bolt, 2001; Bredeche et al., 2001; Shen et al., 2002; Dimcheff et al., 2004; He, 2006; Spurgers et al., 2010; Moreno and Tiffany-Castiglioni, 2014; Reid et al., 2014). When high levels of unfolded protein are present in the ER, in a tumor cell; in a virally infected cell; or in a rapidly dividing bacterial cell, GRP78 disassociates from PERK and IRE1 resulting in their activation, and GRP78 binds to the unfolded proteins in the ER as a chaperone (Lee, 2007; Luo and Lee, 2013; Chen et al., 2014, and references therein). Activation of PERK-eIF2α signaling acts to prevent the majority of cellular...

Fig. 2. Drug combinations modulate chaperone expression in parental and stem cell like GBM variants. A–D: GBM tumor cells (parental and stem like derived) were treated with vehicle; OSU-03012 (1 μM); sorafenib (1.5 μM); regorafenib (0.5 μM); sildenafil (2 μM) or the drugs combined for 6 h. Cells were fixed in place and permeabilized with Triton X100. Immuno-fluorescence at 10x magnification was performed to determine the expression of HSP70, HSP90, and GRP78.

Fig. 3. Drug combinations reduce ABCB1 and ABCG2 expression in GBM stem cells. GBM tumor cells (parental and stem like derived) were treated with vehicle; OSU-03012 (1 μM); sorafenib (1.5 μM); regorafenib (0.5 μM); sildenafil (2 μM) or the drugs combined for 6 h. Cells were fixed in place and permeabilized with Triton X100. Immuno-fluorescence at 10x magnification was performed to determine the expression of ABCB1 and ABCG2.
proteins from being synthesized and IRE1 signaling enhances the expression of additional GRP78 protein. Virus infection can cause a profound ER stress response which could be cyto-toxic or prevent virus protein synthesis. Hence, some viruses make targeting proteins, similar to mammalian GADD34 and Nck1, that relocate protein phosphatase 1 with eIF2α thereby preventing eIF2α phosphorylation and high levels of toxic ER stress signaling (Rathore et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014). As GRP78 chaperones the unfolded protein(s), free GRP78 eventually becomes available to re-associate with PERK and IRE1 thereby shutting off the signaling system (Lee, 2007; Luo and Lee, 2013; Chen et al., 2014). Of note, however, is that prolonged ER stress signaling downstream of PERK and IRE1 can facilitate transformed cell killing, though primary cell killing was not observed in our laboratory (see above), arguing that a prolonged reduction of GRP78 in transformed cells reduces cell viability (Booth et al., 2014a,b).

GRP78/BiP/HSPA5 and evolutionary conserved homologues of GRP78 play essential roles in the biology and life cycles of viruses, bacteria, protosolal, and yeast cells as well as higher eukaryotic cells, in particular tumor cells that express high levels of many "activated" oncogenic signaling proteins (Roux, 1990; Earl et al., 1991; Anderson et al., 1992; Hogue and Nayak, 1992; Xu et al., 1997; Carleton and Brown, 1997; Xu et al.,

---

**Fig. 4.** [Sorafenib + sildenafil] kills parental and stem cell-like GBM cells. Parental and stem cell-derived variants of GBM5/6/12/14 cells were treated with vehicle; sorafenib (1.5 μM); sildenafil (2 μM) or the drugs combined for 24 h. Cells were then visualized under the FITC and rhodamine filters to determine the numbers of viable (green) and dead (yellow + red) cells (n = 3 ± SEM). Stem cells are 95–100% dead whereas very little killing is observed in parental GBM cells.

**Fig. 5.** Drug combinations modulate the expression of mitochondrial chaperones and mitochondrial proteins. GBM tumor cells (parental) were treated with vehicle; OSU-03012 (1 μM); sorafenib (1.5 μM); regorafenib (0.5 μM); sildenafil (2 μM) or the drugs combined for 6 h. Cells were fixed in place and permeabilized with Triton X100. Immuno-fluorescence at 10 μm magnification was performed to determine the expression of mitochondrial HSP70 (mtHSP70), HSP60, HSP56, HSP10, Frataxin, CyPA, CyPB.
1998; Bolt, 2001; Bredèche et al., 2001; Shen et al., 2002; Dimcheff et al., 2004; He, 2006; Spurgers et al., 2010; Moreno and Tiffany-Castiglioni, 2014; Reid et al., 2014). And, the bacterial GRP78/BiP/HSPA5 homologue, called Dna K, is essential for bacterial growth and for bacterial mRNA/protein stability.

As sorafenib/regorafenib interact with PDE5 inhibitors to reduce GRP78 expression, the present studies determined whether these established cancer chemotherapy drugs could also alter/prevent viral reproduction and bacterial cell growth.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Phospho-/total- antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technologies (Danvers, MA) and Santa Cruz Biotech. (Santa Cruz, CA). All drugs were purchased from Selleckchem (Houston, TX) (Zhu et al, 2004). Of note all studies used the soluble tosylate form of sorafenib. The plasmid to express GRP78/BiP/HSPA5 was kindly provided to the Dent laboratory by Dr. A.S. Lee (University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA). Other antibody reagents, other kinase inhibitors, cell culture reagents, have been previously described (Booth et al., 2015a,b; Booth et al., 2014a, b, c, d; Roberts et al., 2014; Tavallai et al., 2015). All cell lines were purchased and re-purchased every 6 months from the ATCC and were not further validated in the Dent lab. Previously genetically characterized semi-established GBM5/GBM6/GBM12/GBM14 glioblastoma cells were supplied by Dr. C.D. James (University of California, San Francisco) and Dr. J.N. Sarkaria (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN) and were not further genomically characterized by ourselves (Giannini et al., 2005).

Methods

Mammalian cell culture and in vitro exposure of cells to drugs

All fully established cancer lines were cultured at 37°C (5% (v/v) CO₂) in vitro using RPMI supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum and 10% (v/v) Non-essential amino acids. All primary human GBM cells were cultured at 37°C (5% (v/v) CO₂) in vitro using RPMI supplemented with 2% (v/v) fetal calf serum and 10% (v/v) Non-essential amino acids at 37°C (5% (v/v) CO₂). For short-term cell killing assays, immuno-fluorescence, and immunoblotting, cells were grown in 96 well plates with each well containing ∼10,000 cells in 200 μl of media and 24 h after plating were treated with various drugs, as indicated. In vitro small molecule inhibitor treatments were from a 100 mM stock solution of each drug and the maximal concentration of Vehicle...
(DMSO) in media was 0.02% (v/v). Cells were not cultured in growth factor free media during any study. **Nota bene:** DMSO can have profound inhibitory effects on bacterial cell liquid culture growth and care must be taken, i.e., titration of vehicle concentration, to avoid DMSO vehicle alone anti-proliferative effects.

**Bacterial strains**

*Escherichia coli* bacteria were transformed with plasmids to confer ampicillin and kanamycin resistance and maintained on tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates/in broth supplemented with 2.5 \( \mu \)g/ml penicillin and 2.5 \( \mu \)g/ml kayamycin. **Antibiotic resistant** clinical isolate bacteria were initially cultured on sheep blood agar or in Figures 27 and 28 on TSA plates. Bacteria were inoculated onto TSA plates and incubated overnight. The following morning, a bacterial colony was picked and placed into 10 ml of TSB with mild shaking at 37°C, and 3 h later, when the bacterial protein level in the media had reached 0.05–0.100, we: (a) inoculated TSA plates with 20 \( \mu \)l of media serially diluting the number of bacteria through repetitive streaking; (b) equal portions of bacteria we aliquoted into 50 ml of fresh media containing the indicated drug and antibiotic combinations. Bacterial total protein levels in 1 ml of homogenious culture media were determined at the indicated time points from time \( t = 0 \) up to time \( t = 9 \) h. In parallel, in some studies, the absorbance (A 600 nm) was measured in a spectrophotometer to determine bacteria levels.

**Cell treatments, SDS-PAGE and Western blot/immuno-fluorescence analysis**

Cells were treated with various drug concentrations, as indicated in the Figure legends. Samples were isolated at the indicated times and generic universally established SDS PAGE and immunoblotting was performed as described in refs (Booth et al., 2014a, b, c, d; Roberts et al., 2014). Immunoblots were observed by using an Odyssey IR imaging system with a 5-log intensity range (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE). For studies performed in 96 well plates to determine changes in protein expression by immuno-fluorescence, at each indicated time point the culture media was removed and the cells fixed in place by addition of 2% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in PBS. After 60 min at room temperature the cells were washed free of paraformaldehyde and incubated for 30 min with PBS containing 0.02 (v/v) Triton-X100. (n.b. some studies examining plasma membrane expression of proteins may not undergo the Triton-X100 permeabilization). Cells were counter stained with DAPI. For each cell line, or groups of transfected/treated cells being examined at 10\( \times \) magnification within each experiment, the intensity/brightness/contrast settings were initially established in the Hermes confocal...
microscope system by examination of control well immuno-staining, and which then remained fixed for all subsequent studies. Images were taken using the red or green fluorescent channel as per each secondary antibody required, and a separate channel picture taken of DAPI staining. Each red/green fluorescent channel image was arranged with other images from the same experimental set in Microsoft PowerPoint, adjusting the size of the images to be approximately equal. The brightness/contrast of all images were simultaneously altered in Adobe Photoshop CS6 to generate images of the required intensity/contrast for publication. Hence, some presented images may appear “blown out” due to the simultaneous brightening of the images but reflect the true changes in protein expression, as would be observed on a Western blot following SDS denaturation, boiling and electrophoresis, transfer to nitrocellulose, and antibody probing. We believe that our approach in 96 well plates and immuno-fluorescence on gently fixed cells in situ with minimal manipulation using the Hermes confocal microscope is less likely to produce artefacts, will produce more reliable protein expression data, and in essence permits us to “run” the equivalent of a “96 well immuno-staining gel.”

**Recombinant adenoviral and other virus vectors; infection in vitro**

We purchased a previously described serotype 5 recombinant Adenovirus to express green fluorescent protein as per refs (Booth et al., 2015a; Booth et al., 2014a, b, c, d; Roberts et al., 2014). Ad-GFP was purchased from Research BioLabs (Philadelphia, PA). Other viruses were purchased from ZeptoMetrix Corp. (ZeptoMetrix, Buffalo, NY). Approximate virus titers per ml were: Ad5-GFP (10^5); Coxsackie virus B4 #0810075 (10^6); Chikungunya #311856 (10^6); Denge fever #308546 (10^6); Yellow fever #307141 (10^6); EnteroVirus 71 #314024 (10^6); West Nile Virus #311775 (10^6); Rabies virus #310710 (10^6). Cells were infected with serotype 5 Ad.-GFP Adenovirus/pathogenic viruses at an approximate number of particle formation units as indicated in the Figure/Legend.

**Cell death measurements by live/dead assay**

Cells were grown in 96 well plates with each well containing ~10,000 cells in 200 µl of media. Cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of drugs for the indicated amounts of
time in each panel. Plates were then centrifuged (500 rpm, 5 min) to re-adhere floating dead cells to the base of each well, i.e., the equivalent of a cytospin onto a glass slide. The media was removed and live/dead assay reagent added and cells incubated for 10 min before the reagent was removed. Cells were imaged in a Hermes WiScan confocal microscope instrument under 10× magnification with the z plane locked. Green cells = viable; yellow/red cells = dying / dead. The numbers of viable and dead cells were counted manually from several images taken from one well together with images from another two wells.

Plasmid transfection

Plasmids

Cells were plated in 96 well plates and 24 h after plating, transfected. Plasmids (0.05 μg) expressing a specific mRNA or appropriate vector control plasmid DNA was diluted in 50 μl serum-free and antibiotic-free medium (1 portion for each sample). Concurrently, 2 μl Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), was diluted into 50 μl of serum-free and antibiotic-free medium. Diluted DNA was added to the diluted Lipofectamine 2000 for each sample and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. This mixture was added to each well/dish of cells containing 200 μl serum-free and antibiotic-free medium for a total volume of 300 μl and the cells were incubated for 4 h at 37°C. An equal volume of 2× medium was then added to each well. Cells were incubated for 36 h, then treated with drugs. To assess transfection efficiency of plasmids we used a plasmid to express GFP and defined the percentage of cells being infected as the percentage of GFP+ cells. For all cell lines the infection efficiency was >70%.

siRNA

Cells were plated in 96 well plates from a fresh culture growing in log phase as described above. Prior to transfection, the medium was aspirated and serum-free medium was added to each well. For transfection, 0.2 nM of the annealed siRNA, the positive sense control doubled stranded siRNA targeting GAPDH or the negative control (a “scrambled” sequence with no significant homology to any known gene sequences from mouse, rat or human cell lines) were used (predominantly Qiagen, Valencia, CA; occasional alternate siRNA molecules were purchased from Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX). At least two different validated siRNA molecules were independently used to confirm the effects observed were not due to non-specific effects. Hiperfect (Qiagen) was used for transfection. Thirty-six hours after transfection cells were used for studies as described in the Methods and Figure Legends.

Data analysis

Comparison of the effects between various in vitro drug treatments was performed after two way analysis of variance using the Student’s t test. Differences with a P-value of <0.05
were considered statistically significant. Experiments shown are the means of multiple individual points from multiple studies (±SEM).

Results

Prolonged dosing of athymic mice with [regorafenib + sildenafil] or [sorafenib + sildenafil] or [OSU-03012 + sildenafil] did not cause any obvious frank damage to normal tissues (Booth et al., 2015a, b; Tavallai et al., 2015). At lower drug concentrations than used for normal tissue toxicity analyses, we have previously shown that mammary, liver, colon, and brain tumor growth is arrested and tumor cells also killed by these drug combinations (data not shown) (Tavallai et al., 2015).

In prior studies, we have shown that various drugs can reduce the expression of GRP78 through protein destabilization/lower half-life without significantly altering GRP78 promoter activity, and PDE5 inhibitors further enhance the breakdown of GRP78 (Booth et al., 2014a; Booth et al., 2015a; Tavallai et al., 2015). Sorafenib or regorafenib reduced GRP78 expression in HEK293 cells over time, an effect that was enhanced by sildenafil (Fig. 2A). Treatment of HEK293 cells with these drug combinations also rapidly reduced expression of multiple other chaperone proteins (Fig. 2B). Data similar to that in HEK293 cells were collected in primary human glioblastoma cells (the PTEN deleted GBM14 isolate), and other tumor types (Fig. 1C, data not shown; see also Tavallai et al., 2015). Antibody specificity for detecting GRP78, ABCB1 and ABCG2 by immuno-fluorescence was confirmed in GBM14 and HEK293 cells (Fig. 1D, not shown).

Tumor stem cells growing unattached in three dimensions are purported to express higher levels of chaperone proteins and drug efflux pumps than tumor cells grown in 2 dimensions. In four primary human glioblastoma cell lines the expression of GRP78, HSP70, and HSP90 was elevated in stem cells compared to parental cells, and in both parental and stem cells: OSU-03012 and sildenafil; sorafenib and sildenafil; and regorafenib and sildenafil reduced the expression of GRP78, HSP90, and HSP70 (Fig. 2A–D). Similar data were obtained for the drug efflux pumps ABCB1 and ABCG2 (Fig 3A–D). In prior studies in liver and colon cancer cells treatment for only 12 h with combination of [sorafenib + sildenafil] has marginal toxicity, whereas treatment for 24 h caused large amounts of cell death (Tavallai et al., 2015). Treatment of parental and stem cell-derived GBM5/6/12/14 cells for 12 h with [sorafenib + sildenafil] resulted in much greater levels of tumor cell death compared to parental GBM cells (Fig. 4). In addition to the data in Figures 1–3, the drug combinations also reduced expression of the mitochondria regulatory chaperones mHSP70, HSP60, HSP40 and HSP10, and reduced expression of the iron-sulphur regulatory protein Frataxin in glioblastoma tumor cells (Fig. 5). In GBM14 cells, changes in the global expression of the prolyl-disulphide
isomerase HSP56 as well as cyclophilin A and cyclophilin B at 10× magnification were also observed (data not shown).

Based on our semi-quantitative data using 10× magnification of bulk cell images from 96 well plates, all presented at the same image intensity setting as in Figures 3–5, we returned to the Hermes system and re-imaged our IF data under 60× magnification to observe the pattern of protein staining in the cells, with image processing in Adobe Photoshop at 9999 dpi and with attempted normalization of image intensities so that altered morphology is not lost due to lower fluorescent signal, using PTEN null GBM14 cells as an exemplar.

In vehicle treated cells GRP78 exhibited a consistent overall background stain with areas of intense punctate staining (Fig. 6A). Treatment of cells with [sorafenib + sildenafil] profoundly reduced GRP78 levels (even with post hoc attempts to normalize image intensities to those in vehicle treated cells) and abolished the appearance of the intense punctate areas (Fig. 6A and B). Similar data were obtained examining intense punctate staining for HSP90 when treated with the drug combinations (Fig. 6C and D). It became obvious from these initial 60× images that the [sorafenib + sildenafil] 6 h drug combination treatment was rapidly altering the overall morphology of cells, with GBM14 cells gaining an appearance more similar in appearance to fibroblasts, with long “neurite-like” projections. HSP70 had a similar overall consistent staining throughout the cell under all treatment conditions (Fig. 7A and B). In contrast to cytosolic HSP70, mitochondrial HSP70 localization was profoundly altered by drug combination treatments (Fig. 7C and D). Mitochondrial HSP70 staining projected into the long filaments after drug combination exposure. Near identical observations were also made for HSP60 (Fig. 8A and B). HSP40 appeared to be expressed in all parts of the cell, with punctate intense staining, an effect that was lost after drug combination treatment (Fig. 8C and D). In contrast, for HSP10, the protein appeared to be expressed in all parts of the cell, with some punctate staining that became more intense compared to background staining after drug combination exposure (Fig. 9A and B).

Based on the morphology and staining changes observed in Figures 6–9, we next examined the expression and localization of the mitochondrial iron-sulphur protein Frataxin. Frataxin expression did not appear to be punctate under basal conditions, as we would have expected for this iron-sulphur regulatory protein based on the literature, and although frataxin did exhibit expression in the drug-induced filament projections, the morphology/expression of the protein was not similar to that observed for mHSP70 or HSP60 (Fig. 9C and D). In parallel with these changes in chaperone function, we also observed altered localization of the drug efflux pumps ABCB1 and ABCG2 after drug treatment (Fig. 10A–D). HSP56 is also an isomerase enzyme, essential for the activation of JAK1 and JAK2, and although total HSP56 expression was reduced after drug treatment, the localization of the protein judged at 60× magnification did not change (Fig. 11A and B). Staining data...
similar to that for HSP56 were also obtained probing for expression and localization of another protein whose functionality can regulate JAK1 and JAK2 function, cyclophilin A (Fig. 11C and D, upper red stained images). It has been reported that cyclophilin B is localized in the endoplasmic reticulum and in control cells the staining for cyclophilin B had a rippled "mackerel"-like appearance with particulate areas of intense staining. In drug treated cells the staining for cyclophilin B became more uniform and the intensity of the particulate areas of staining reduced.

In vivo, treatment of mice with sorafenib + sildenafil; and regorafenib + sildenafil reduced expression of multiple chaperone proteins and also reduced expression of the plasma membrane and blood-brain-barrier transporters ABCG2 and ABCB1 (Fig. 12A–D) (Black et al., 2008). Over-expression of GRP78 was in a cell type dependent fashion able to prevent regorafenib + sildenafil treatment reducing ABCB1 and ABCG2 expression (data not shown; Tavallai et al., 2015). Prior studies we also determined whether pazopanib + sildenafil treatment reduced GRP78 and chaperone levels and those of ABCB1 and ABCG2. As observed for [OSU-03012 + sildenafil] and [sorafenib + sildenafil], the pazopanib + sildenafil drug combination was also effective at reducing chaperone and pump levels (Fig. 13A–C).

Prior studies have shown that treatment of cells with [sorafenib + sildenafil] or [OSU-03012 + sildenafil] reduced expression of various plasma membrane virus and growth factor receptors (Booth et al., 2015a; Tavallai et al., 2015). Treatment of primary mouse hepatocytes with regorafenib did not significantly alter the expression of the Coxsackie and Adenovirus receptor (CAR) or Na+ taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP) (Fig. 14A). However, combination exposure to [regorafenib + sildenafil] significantly reduced both CAR and NTCP levels. In HEK293 cells, sildenafil enhanced the ability of sorafenib/regorafenib to reduce CAR expression in a time dependent fashion (Fig. 14B). Over-expression of GRP78 did not seem to significantly alter basal levels of CAR expression (Fig. 14C). Similar data were obtained for CAR and for the Ebola virus receptors NPC1 and NTCP, as they also did for the Ebola/Marburg/Hepatitis A/C receptors (Fig. 14A and B). This is of particular note as a recent study demonstrated that sorafenib inhibits multiple steps of the Hepatitis C virus infectious cycle (Descamps et al., 2015).

Prior studies have shown that treatment of cells with the celecoxib derivative chemical OSU-03012 combined with sildenafil reduced the ability of viruses to infect cells and to replicate in cells (Booth et al., 2015a). Over-expression of

Fig. 12. Sildenafil combined with sorafenib/regorafenib reduced expression of chaperones as well as ABCB1 and ABCG2 in vivo. A–D: Athymic mice were treated PO with vehicle diluent (cremophore) or sildenafil (5 mg/kg) and regorafenib (15 mg/kg) or sildenafil (5 mg/kg) and sorafenib (25 mg/kg), for 5 days after which animals were sacrificed, their brains and livers obtained and fixed and sealed in paraffin wax. Sections (10 μm) were taken and subjected to immuno-histochemistry at 10 μm magnification using manufacturer validated antibodies to determine the expression of GRP78, GRP94, HSP70, HSP90, mtHSP70, HSP60, HSP40, HSP10, ABCB1, and ABCG2.
GRP78 enhanced Adenovirus and Coxsackie virus -induced cell lysis whereas knock down of GRP78 expression suppressed virus lethality (Fig. 17A). Thus as GRP78 over-expression did not alter CAR levels but did increase virus lethality we tentatively conclude that the pro-viral effects of GRP78 over-expression are intracellular, at least for a serotype 5 Adenovirus. This is in general agreement with a large number of studies identifying GRP78 as an essential facilitator of virus reproduction (Roux, 1990; Earl et al., 1991; Anderson et al., 1992; Hogue and Nayak, 1992; Xu et al., 1997; Carleton and Brown, 1997; Xu et al., 1998; Bolt, 2001; Bredeche et al., 2001; Shen et al., 2002; Dimcheff et al., 2004; He, 2006; Spurgers et al., 2010; Moreno and Tiffany-Castiglioni, 2014; Reid et al., 2014).

Using a serotype 5 recombinant Adenovirus to express green fluorescent protein (GFP) we then assessed the abilities of the well described FDA approved drugs sorafenib and sildenafil and of regorafenib and sildenafil to alter Adenovirus infectivity and Adenovirus reproduction. Pre-treatment of HEK293 cells with the drug combinations considerably reduced Adenovirus infectivity with sorafenib or with regorafenib as judged by production of GFP in infected cells (Fig. 17B). Treatment of cells after viral infection with the drug combinations also reduced the production of GFP; pre- and post- infection treatment of cells abolished GFP production. HEK293 cells are permissive for Adenovirus replication which results in cell lysis. In vehicle control treated cells Adenovirus infection caused large amounts of cell death within 24 h (Fig. 17C). Pre-treatment of HEK293 cells with the drug combinations considerably reduced Adenovirus infectivity with sorafenib or with regorafenib. Identical findings to that with the double stranded DNA serotype 5 Adenovirus were also observed for the RNA virus, Coxsackie virus B4, thought to be the virus responsible for triggering type I diabetes in children (Fig. 17D).

Similar data to that with sorafenib or regorafenib were obtained using [OSU-03012 + sildenafil] in Adenovirus or Mumps virus infected cells (Fig. 18A and B). Of particular note, for the drug concentration of OSU-03012 used (1.0 µM) and the times of incubation, OSU-03012 as a single agent was not as effective at preventing virus infection and viral cell killing as OSU-03012 combined with sildenafil to prevent virus infection/ killing. Similar data to that obtained with Adenovirus and Coxsackie virus were also found with Chikungunya virus (Fig. 19A). We also determined that [sorafenib + sildenafil] treatment, to a much greater extent than sorafenib treatment alone which was most often only modestly effective as a single agent, inhibited virus reproduction when examining Yellow Fever virus, Dengue Fever virus, Enterovirus 71, West Nile virus and Rabies virus (Figs. 19 and 20). Of striking note, however, and to our considerable surprise, was that sorafenib or pazopanib as single agents were both able to profoundly suppress both the infection of cells and viral-mediated cell lysis caused by West Nile virus.

Sorafenib as a single agent reduced serotype 5 Adenovirus infectivity and lethality that was enhanced by sildenafil (Fig. 21A). Celecoxib and sildenafil treatment, in a similar manner to sorafenib and sildenafil, suppressed the ability of a serotype 5 Adenovirus to increase expression of GFP protein in infected cells, though using only 10^4 particles (Fig. 21B, left parts). This correlated with cell viability data (Fig. 21B, right parts). Of note for those interested in clinical translation of these studies, it was obvious that celecoxib at 10 µM was less effective at suppressing virus biology than much lower concentrations of either OSU-03012, sorafenib or regorafenib. We also noted that [pazopanib + sildenafil] treatment was able...
to inhibit serotype 5 Adenovirus reproduction (Fig. 21C). Sorafenib as a single agent, but to a much greater extent when combined with sildenafil, prevented Mumps virus replication (Fig. 21D).

Serotype 5 Adenoviruses are double stranded DNA viruses whereas the genetic material of Coxsackie B viruses is a single strand of positive sense RNA. Both viruses use CAR to infect cells. Unlike our data with a serotype 5 Adenovirus where sorafenib was more potent than regorafenib at preventing virus infection, data using Coxsackie virus B4 suggested a non-significant trend where regorafenib was more effective at suppressing virus-mediated cell lysis than sorafenib. The differences in the impact of sorafenib/regorafenib on Adenovirus/Coxsackie virus replication, presumably due to slight variations in their drug specificities and especially to the different molecular mechanisms of DNA and RNA virus replication are studies beyond the scope of the present manuscript.

Bacteria express a closely related homologue of the mammalian protein GRP78/BiP/HSPA5, in bacteria known as Dna K, and we recently demonstrated that [OSU-03012 + sildenafil] treatment reduced Dna K levels in E. coli and in Neisseria gonorrhoeae (Booth et al., 2015a; Goodwin et al, 2011; Johnson et al, 2005; Kulp et al, 2004). Treatment of laboratory generated ampicillin and kanamycin resistant E. coli bacteria with regorafenib or with sorafenib significantly reduced bacterial protein accumulation and overall bacterial proliferation, and significantly enhanced the collective antibiotic properties of ampicillin and kanamycin (Fig. 22A–C). As celecoxib can inactivate the chaperone properties of GRP78

---

**Fig. 14.** Regulation of virus receptor expression in vitro by sildenafil combined with sorafenib or regorafenib. A: Primary mouse hepatocytes were treated with vehicle; regorafenib (0.5 μM); sildenafil (2 μM) or the drugs in combination for 6 h. Cells were fixed in place and permeabilized with Triton X100. Immuno-fluorescence at 10× magnification was performed to determine the expression of the Coxsackie and Adenovirus receptor (CAR) or the Hepatitis B virus receptor Na⁺-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP). B: HEK293 cells were treated with vehicle; sorafenib (1.5 μM); regorafenib (0.5 μM); sildenafil (2 μM) or the drugs combined for 6 h. At each time point cells were fixed in place and permeabilized with Triton X100. Immuno-fluorescence at 10× magnification was performed to determine the expression of CAR. C: A549, HuH7 cells were transfected with empty vector plasmid or a plasmid to express GRP78. Twenty-four hours later cells were fixed in place and permeabilized with Triton X100. Immuno-fluorescence at 10× magnification was performed to determine the expression of CAR.
in mammalian cells we investigated whether celecoxib also had antibiotic properties. In a dose-dependent fashion celecoxib reduced bacterial protein accumulation and strongly enhanced the antibiotic properties of ampicillin and kanamycin (Fig. 22D) (Cui et al., 2005). Of particular note, there was no significant observed additional anti-bacterial interaction when we combined sorafenib and celecoxib to treat E. coli suggesting that these drugs target/utilize a very similar molecular mechanism to prevent bacterial cell growth (data not shown). The multi-kinase inhibitor pazopanib also exhibited significant anti-bacterial properties that were at least as great, if not greater mole for mole, than sorafenib (data not shown).

Sorafenib also exhibited antibiotic growth-suppressing properties in: Salmonella typhimurium and Streptococcus...
pyogenes with NO activity against *Vibrio cholerae* (Fig. 23) (Chiu et al, 2009b). Higher concentrations of OSU-03012 than used for other bacteria studies were required to suppress *Vibrio cholerae* growth. We discovered that sorafenib could down-regulate expression of the bacterial GRP78-like chaperone Dna K, which was similar to our prior data using OSU-03012 (Fig. 23D). The decline in Dna K expression correlated with reduced expression of a Dna K chaperoned protein, Rec A. In the case of the chaperone Dna J (HSP40), treatment with sorafenib also lowered its expression. Of greater significance in multi-drug antibiotic resistant forms of VRE; MRSA, MRSE, and antibiotic resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, sorafenib exhibited growth suppressive antibiotic properties as a single agent at low clinically relevant concentrations and in all except MRSE reverted antibiotic resistance to ampicillin, meropenem or vancomycin (Fig. 24). The bacterial growth suppressive effect of sorafenib on MRSE is of interest because in a very small subset of cancer patients sorafenib can cause a facial acneiform eruption, that could be *Staphylococcus epidermis*-related (Cohen, 2015).

Generic laboratory strain *Klebsiella pneumoniae* is inherently resistant to ampicillin, and we additionally obtained multiple strains of this bacterial species from the VCU-MCVH bacterial pathology laboratory and determined the impact of sorafenib with or without antibiotic exposure on their growth. Sorafenib, OSU-03012 or pazopanib significantly reduced the growth of "generic" laboratory strain *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (Fig. 25A). In carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strain #1 over-expressing very high levels of the resistance enzyme *bla*<sub>kpc</sub>, bacterial growth was significantly inhibited by either sorafenib or pazopanib; and sorafenib significantly enhanced the antibiotic properties of ampicillin but for unknown reasons surprisingly not of meropenem (Fig. 25B and C). Sorafenib
enhanced the antibiotic properties of ampicillin and also of gentamicin in multiple other resistant \textit{bla} \textsubscript{KPC} \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae} strains, though a further enhancing antibiotic effect of combining both established antibiotics with sorafenib was only observed in isolate #4 (Fig. 26A–C).

Finally, we determined the impact of sorafenib and ampicillin on bacterial colony formation in vitro, i.e., does the sorafenib drug suppress bacterial growth on a solid substrate? Log-phase \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae} bacteria were streaked onto plates whose agar substrate contained vehicle, ampicillin and/or sorafenib. The C\textsubscript{max} of sorafenib in plasma is 21 \textmu M, where 99% of the drug is protein bound, though a recent study from this laboratory demonstrated that 5 \textmu M sorafenib was active as a therapeutic in tumor cells grown in 100% human serum.
The amount of bio-available sorafenib in the present studies is unknown. Twenty-four hours after streaking and incubation at 37°C, photographs of the agar dishes revealed that sorafenib (intra-agar) concentrations of as low as 5 μM significantly suppressed bacterial colony formation (Figs. 27 and 28). The anti-bacterial effect of 10 μM sorafenib in strain #1 was not substantially greater than that of 5 μM drug. In strains #3 and #4 a very modest to no effect was observed on bacterial growth above sorafenib treatment alone, when sorafenib was combined with ampicillin; this is a different outcome to our studies in liquid culture. However, in the most resistant of all of the K. pneumoniae strains, strain #1, we observed at concentrations as low as 5 μM that sorafenib clearly did enhance the antibiotic properties of ampicillin; note the presence of individual colonies in the initial inoculation streaks of the bacteria which in control treated plates could not be visualized as individual colonies.

Antibiotics can have multiple effects on the morphology of bacteria, in particular as we previously observed for the Dna K inhibitory chemical OSU-03012, on coliform morphology where rod shaped bacteria either became coccoid in appearance or alternatively bacteria became ~4× longer and had ~2× more width (Booth et al., 2015a). Bacteria from colonies in Figures 27 and 28 were smeared onto glass slides, fixed and Gram stained. Klebsiella pneumoniae bacteria of all three strains under control conditions displayed a short fat rod shaped appearance with the length of the rod being approximately twice the width (Fig. 29). Growth of all Klebsiella strains in the presence of 5 μM sorafenib resulted in bacteria which appeared coccoid in shape, even going so far as to form long chains of cells, reminiscent of Rouleaux chains of clotting red blood cells. A very few bacteria, in strain #4, appeared to remain in large rod-like structures after sorafenib exposure but that were less intensely stained that in vehicle control treated bacteria. Collectively, these data imply that sorafenib, possibly by altering Dna K function, is altering the biology of the Klebsiella pneumoniae cell membrane.

**Discussion**

The present studies were initiated to determine the role of the ER chaperone protein GRP78/BiP/HSPA5 in regulating cell...

---

*(Tavallai et al., 2015)*.
viability when cells are exposed to sorafenib/regorafenib/pazopanib alone, or when combined with phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE5) inhibitors and/or standard of care antibiotics. We discovered that sildenafil and other PDE5 inhibitors enhanced the ability of sorafenib/regorafenib to suppress GRP78 expression in vitro and in vivo. This was associated with decreased expression of multiple virus receptors, as well as growth factor receptors, effects that were for some of these receptors, blocked in vitro by GRP78 over-expression.

Similarly, and in agreement with our prior data, sorafenib/regorafenib when combined with sildenafil (1 μM) and/or tadalafil (2 μM), as indicated either for 12 h after infection or for 6 h before infection. Fixed and H&E stained cells were assessed for their morphology 72 h after infection.

Sorafenib and regorafenib destabilize the GRP78 protein, and also as per our prior OSU-03012 findings, sorafenib/regorafenib when combined with sildenafil/tadalafil also rapidly further enhanced the decline in total GRP78 levels (Booth et al., 2012a; Booth et al., 2015a; Tavallai et al., 2015). Treatment of Adenovirus/Coxsackie virus virally infected cells with sorafenib/regorafenib and sildenafil decreased the release of active virus particles as judged by decreased cell lysis/cell death caused by virus reproduction. However, whereas sorafenib and regorafenib had equal potency at preventing RNA-Coxsackie virus reproduction we noted that sorafenib appeared to have a greater ability to suppress replication of the DNA-Adenovirus when compared to regorafenib. Unlike Adenovirus, the replication of Coxsackie virus genomic RNA occurs very rapidly and it possible that this process is exquisitely sensitive...
to both sorafenib and to regorafenib compared to the slower nuclear DNA replication process for adenovirus (Sean and Semler, 2008). Studies to fully understand these differences between the two viruses will require additional studies by experienced virologists. A vast number of human viruses rely on GRP78/BiP/HSPA5 expression and on expression of other chaperones such as HSP90 and HSP70, for their life cycle, e.g., Ebola and Influenza, and for the further induction of GRP78 to facilitate production of functional native conformation viral proteins and, obviously, formation of new virus particles. Hence collectively our data strongly argue that the combination of sorafenib/regorafenib and sildenafil or with other longer half-life PDE5 inhibitors, e.g., tadalafl, could potentially be developed into a potent anti-viral therapy for many viral diseases.

In our recent cancer and infectious disease studies we stated that agents which disrupt GRP78/Dna K function, specifically the non-approved chemical OSU-03012, would have a universal impact on cancer cell viability, virus reproduction and bacterial viability because of the central importance of GRP78/Dna K in the initial folding of poly-peptides into their correct tertiary conformation and the chaperoning effect of GRP78 on native/denatured extant proteins in general. In eukaryotic cells the addition of a drug that raises cyclic GMP levels, e.g., a PDE5 inhibitor, enhances the degradation of destabilized GRP78 and that, furthermore, enhances the degrative impact of the non-approved chemical OSU-03012 on multiple chaperones that maintain tumor cell viability and viral replication. The present studies extend and confirm our original hypothesis to include the well-described FDA approved drugs sorafenib, pazopanib and to a much lesser extent celecoxib. In mice we previously demonstrated that the combination of these drugs with a PDE5 inhibitor did not result in any obvious frank normal tissue toxicity, animal weight loss or behavioral change but did cause reduced expression in vivo of multiple chaperone proteins, including GRP78, HSP70 and HSP90, and

---

**Fig. 21.** Multi-kinase inhibitors as anti-viral agents. A: HEK293 cells were infected with increasing numbers of Ad.GFP virus particles ($10^4$, $10^5$) with the indicated amounts of sorafenib (0.75 μM) and/or sildenafil (2.0 μM). Cells were either: pre-treated for 6 h only prior to viral infection; post-treated after viral infection; or treated prior to infection and after infection for a total of 14 or 18 h, as indicated. In Part A. Left images: cells were visualized under the FITC filter to determine the numbers of GFP+ cells. In Part A. Right images: cells were visualized under the FITC and rhodamine filters to determine the numbers of viable (green) and dead (yellow + red) cells. B: HEK293 cells were infected with increasing numbers of Ad.GFP virus particles ($10^4$, $10^5$) with celecoxib (10 μM) and sildenafil (2 μM). Cells were either: pre-treated for 6 h only prior to viral infection; post-treated after viral infection; or treated prior to infection and after infection for a total of 14 or 18 h, as indicated. Left images: cells were visualized under the FITC filter to determine the numbers of GFP+ cells. Right images: cells were visualized under the FITC and rhodamine filters to determine the numbers of viable (green) and dead (yellow + red) cells. C: HEK293 cells were infected with increasing numbers of Ad.GFP virus particles ($10^4$, $10^5$) with the indicated amounts of pazopanib (1.0 μM) and/or sildenafil (2.0 μM). Cells were either: pre-treated for 6 h only prior to viral infection; post-treated after viral infection; or treated prior to infection and after infection for a total of 14 or 18 h, as indicated. In Part A. Left images: cells were visualized under the FITC filter to determine the numbers of GFP+ cells. In Part A. Right images: cells were visualized under the FITC and rhodamine filters to determine the numbers of viable (green) and dead (yellow + red) cells. D: VERO cells were infected with increasing numbers of Mumps virus particles ($10^4$, $10^5$) with the indicated amounts of sorafenib (0.75 μM) and/or sildenafil (2.0 μM). Cells were either: pre- and post-treated with drugs for 48 h total; pre-treated for 6 h only prior to viral infection or treated prior to infection and after infection for a total 18 h. Cells were visualized under the FITC and rhodamine filters to determine the numbers of viable (green) and dead (yellow + red) cells.
reduced expression of the chemotherapy/blood-brain-barrier pump proteins ABCB1 and ABCG2.

Our prior studies for over a decade have demonstrated that the celecoxib derivative chemical OSU-03012 also killed tumor cells through mechanisms which involved enhanced endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress signaling through activation of PERK, down-regulation/reduced half-life of the endoplasmic reticulum and plasma membrane localized HSP70 family chaperone GRP78/BiP/HSPA5, and a caspase-independent, cathepsin-dependent and autophagy-dependent form of tumor cell death (Yacoub et al., 2006; Park et al., 2008; Booth et al., 2012a, b). More recently we have shown that the non-approved chemical OSU-03012 alone or in combination with PDE5 inhibitors can kill GBM stem cells, prevent virus reproduction and kill multi-drug resistant bacteria (Booth et al., 2015a).

Very recently, a resident from Emory University in collaboration with Dr. C.F. Spiropoulou and other investigators at the Viral Special Pathogens Branch at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, at the St. Jude’s Pediatric Infectious Disease conference in February 2015, presented evidence that “kinase inhibitors” had in vitro ability to suppress the reproduction of Lassa virus, Alkhurma hemorrhagic fever virus, Ebola GFP reporter virus, Marburg virus, and Lassa virus receptor expression and also that OSU-03012 prevented the reproduction of a wide diverse variety of other pathogenic human viruses such as Influenza, Mumps, Measles, and Rubella (Booth et al., 2015a). It is of note that in our hands a profound reduction in Adenovirus–delivered GFP expression in HEK293 cells 14 h after infection caused by either the chemical OSU-03012 or the drugs sorafenib/pazopanib as single agents resulted in a much less impressive reduction in

Fig. 22. Sorafenib and regorafenib suppress bacterial cell growth and reverse antibiotic resistance. A: Escherichia coli bacteria transformed with plasmids to be ampicillin and kanamycin resistant were grown without shaking in 50 ml of media with or without ampicillin (1.0 μg/ml) and kanamycin (1.0 μg/ml). Bacteria were treated with sorafenib (0.5–2.0 μM) or with regorafenib (0.25–1.0 μM) for up to 9 h and at each indicated time point 1 ml of media was removed and the bacteria isolated after centrifugation. The total amount of protein in the bacterial cell pellet was determined at each time point by Bradford assay (n = 3 ± SEM). B and C: Escherichia coli bacteria transformed to be ampicillin and kanamycin resistant were grown with rotary shaking in 50 ml of media with or without ampicillin and kanamycin. Bacteria were treated with sorafenib (0.5–2.0 μM) or with regorafenib (0.25–1.0 μM) for 9 h and 1 ml of media was removed and the bacteria isolated after centrifugation. The total amount of protein in the bacterial cell pellet was determined at each time point by Bradford assay (n = 3 ± SEM). D: Escherichia coli bacteria transformed to be ampicillin and kanamycin resistant were grown with rotary shaking in 50 ml of media with or without ampicillin and kanamycin. Bacteria were treated with celecoxib (2.0–8.0 μM) for up to 9 h and at each indicated time point 1 ml of media was removed and the bacteria isolated after centrifugation. The total amount of protein in the bacterial cell pellet was determined at each time point by Bradford assay (n = 3 ± SEM).
viral-mediated cell lysis after 24 h, suggesting that measuring anti-viral drug effects using a GFP/luciferase reporter assay system may have the potential to overlook long-term negative sequelae on virally infected cell viability. These findings also emphasize that it is the combination of GRP78 function modulator with a PDE5 inhibitor which is required to reproducibly control virus reproduction in multiple virus types. The Emory/CDC investigators used a commercially available 163 compound panel of “kinase inhibitors” to perform their in vitro anti-virus tests. Whether sorafenib or the more soluble bioactive sorafenib tosylate was included in the screening panel is unknown, and as we have shown, it is unlikely that sorafenib as a single agent would exhibit strong anti-viral properties. These investigators were also possibly not cogniscent with the literature concerning the decade-long development of the chemical OSU-03012 as a cancer therapeutic by ourselves and other groups. OSU-03012 was classified as a “kinase inhibitor,” as the compound was originally believed to act through direct inhibition of the kinase PDK-1 within the PI3K pathway. The Selleckchem website states that OSU-03012 has an IC50 for inhibiting the kinase PDK-1 as being 5 μM (http://www.selleckchem.com/products/OSU-03012.html). However, as noted in much earlier studies such as Yacoub et al. (2006), Park et al. (2008), Booth et al. (2012a), plus the works of several other laboratories (Zhang et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008; Chiu et al., 2009; Bai et al., 2010), it rapidly became apparent that the primary mode of OSU-03012-induced tumor cell killing is through a form of endoplasmic reticulum stress signaling and increased levels of toxic autophagy, which were due in part to OSU-03012 destabilizing the GRP78/BiP/HSPA5 protein and likely inactivating other chaperones, e.g., HSP90, HSP70, all concomitant with prolonged toxic PERK activation. Over-expression of activated AKT alone was only weakly protective against OSU-03012, even in GBM cells expressing a mutant active PI3K or deleted for PTEN expression. It is also of note that in several manuscripts the impact of OSU-03012 on

**Fig. 23.**

Antibiotic properties of OSU-03012, sorafenib and of pazopanib. A: Streptococcus pyogenes bacteria were treated with OSU-03012 (2.0 μM) or sorafenib (1.5 μM) or pazopanib (1.5 μM) for 9 h after which total bacterial biomass in 1 ml of media was determined using a 6 place electronic balance (n = 3 ± SEM). B: Salmonella typhimurium bacteria were treated with sorafenib (0.75 μM); OSU-03012 (1.0 μM); pazopanib (1.5 μM) for 3 and 6 h and at each time point 1 ml of media was removed and the bacteria isolated after centrifugation. The total amount of protein in the bacterial cell pellet was determined by Bradford assay (n = 3 ± SEM). C: Vibrio cholerae bacteria were treated with sorafenib (0–9 μM); OSU-03012 (0–9 μM); pazopanib (1.5 μM) for 4 h. The optical density of the cell culture media was determined A600 nm (n = 3 ± SEM). D: Escherichia coli bacteria transformed to be ampicillin and kanamycin resistant were grown with rotary shaking in 50 ml of media with or without ampicillin (1.0 μg/ml) and kanamycin (1.0 μg/ml). At each indicated time point 1 ml of media was removed and the bacteria isolated after centrifugation. The total amount of protein in the bacterial cell pellet was determined and equal amounts of protein from each condition subjected to SDS PAGE. Immunoblotting was performed to determine the expression of Rec A, Dna K, and Dna J.
tumor cell viability has been linked to altered JAK1/2–STAT3 signaling, and as OSU-03012 has the potential to reduce HSP56 and cyclophilin A expression, such findings are consistent (Booth and Dent, unpublished observations).

The probable reason why the original team from Ohio State University believed that their new compound OSU-03012 functioned to kill cells as a direct PDK-1 inhibitor was that in vitro the drug at $>2\,\mu\text{M}$ begins to reduce AKT (T308) phosphorylation in cells in parallel with tumor cell killing, however as the laboratory of Dr. Amy Lee recently demonstrated in several elegant studies, down-regulation of cell surface GRP78 results in reduced activity within the PI3K pathway (Liu et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2015; Tsai et al., 2015). In our hands at concentrations of 2 $\mu$M, OSU-03012 causes the very rapid down-regulation of cell surface GRP78 expression which could easily explain the original conclusions as to why the drug was a direct PDK-1 inhibitor causing reduced AKT phosphorylation (see data in Yacoub et al., 2006; Park et al., 2008; Booth et al., 2015a, b). Our initial studies with OSU-03012 in PI3K mutant active GBM5 cells demonstrated that OSU-03012 killed as a single agent and caused radiosensitization in the 0.5–1.0 $\mu$M range, before any reduction in AKT phosphorylation was evident (Yacoub et al., 2006). As the chemical OSU-03012 also stimulates autophagy through GRP78 down-regulation, and as autophagy can cause the breakdown of ingested viral proteins, the OSU-03012-induced autophagy response in cells may also play a role in reducing overall viral replication capacity, i.e., intracellular viral clearance through autophagic degradation. As neither Virginia Commonwealth University nor the Commonwealth of Virginia have BSL-4 research capabilities, required for hemoragic virus studies, we are at present unable to test whether [sorafenib + sildenafil] or [pazopanib + sildenafil] treatments can prevent the infection of cells by hemoragic fever viruses or hemoragic fever virus reproduction.

The increasing resistance of bacteria to antibiotics, particularly beta-lactam antibiotics, is of considerable public health concern. For example, bacteria such as MRSE and MRSA are characterized by their resistance to methicillin/penicillin and also to multiple synthetic versions of this drug. Other bacteria, such as VRE, Acinetobacter baumannii and Klebsiella pneumoniae, have become resistant to almost all approved antibiotics. Our present studies demonstrated that sorafenib suppressed the growth of multiple pan-antibiotic resistant bacteria in a dose-dependent fashion and caused these bacteria to become sensitive again to formerly standard of care antibiotic drugs. Based on our data in multiple bhaKPC antibiotic

Fig. 24. Sorafenib kills bacteria and re-sensitizes bacteria to standard of care antibiotics. A–D: MRSE, MRSA, VRE, and Acinetobacter baumannii bacteria were treated with sorafenib (1.0 $\mu$M) or pazopanib (1.0 $\mu$M) as indicated in the presence or absence of ampicillin (2.0 $\mu$g/ml); meropenem (2.0 $\mu$g/ml) or vancomycin (2.0 $\mu$g/ml) and in the indicated combinations for 0–9 h and 1 ml of media was removed and the bacteria isolated after centrifugation. The total amount of protein in the bacterial cell pellet was determined by Bradford assay ($n = 3 \pm \text{SEM}$).
Fig. 25. Sorafenib suppresses the growth of clinical isolate Klebsiella pneumoniae “blaKPC superbug” bacteria and re-sensitizes bacteria to ampicillin. Klebsiella pneumoniae strains were treated with OSU-03012, sorafenib or pazopanib (Part A, laboratory generic variant, 0.75 μM each; Parts B and C, Klebsiella pneumoniae antibiotic resistant strains #1, #3, #4 (sorafenib, 2.0 μM each) in the presence or absence of 2.0 μg/ml ampicillin or 4.0 μg/ml meropenem and in the indicated combinations for 0-9h and 1 ml of media was removed and the bacteria isolated after centrifugation. The total amount of protein in the bacterial cell pellet was determined by Bradford assay (n = 3 ± SEM).

Fig. 26. Sorafenib suppresses the growth of clinical isolate Klebsiella pneumoniae “superbug” bacteria and re-sensitizes bacteria to gentamicin. A–C: Klebsiella pneumoniae strains were treated with sorafenib (2.0–8.0 μM) in the presence or absence of 2.0 μg/ml ampicillin and/or 2.0 μg/ml gentamicin alone or combined, and in the indicated combinations for 6 h and 1 ml of media was removed and the bacteria isolated after centrifugation. The total amount of protein in the bacterial cell pellet was determined by Bradford assay (n = 3 ± SEM).
resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae strains, we suggest that sorafenib, in combination with ampicillin and gentamicin, be explored as a therapy for human Klebsiella pneumoniae infections. As sorafenib, unlike the chemical OSU-03012, is a well-characterized FDA approved drug with a known toxicity profile, and at 400 mg BID is generally well tolerated particularly when combined with 200 mg BID of Celebrex thus with minimal hand-foot reaction for ~7–14 days, our data argue that for hospitalized patients with other pan-antibiotic resistant bacteria, a combination of this cancer therapeutic

Fig. 27. Sorafenib alone suppresses colony formation and sensitizes Klebsiella pneumoniae “superbug” bacteria strain #1 to ampicillin. Strain #1 bacteria that super-over-express the resistance protein blakpc were grown for 3 h in liquid media which is when the bacteria have entered log-phase growth. Equal mounts of media (~20 μl) containing bacteria were streaked onto nutrient broth agar plates in the standard format with sequential streaking so that each set of streaking results in dilution of the number of bacterial colony forming units. Each plate contained vehicle control agar; agar containing sorafenib (5 μM, 10 μM) and/or ampicillin (2 μg/ml; 6 μM). Bacterial were grown at 37°C in a humified atmosphere for 24 h. Bacteria in situ were then imaged using a Motorola 10 mega-pixel ‘phone camera.

Fig. 28. Sorafenib suppresses colony formation in Klebsiella pneumoniae “superbug” bacteria strains #3 and #4. Strain #3 and Strain #4 bacteria were grown for 3 h in liquid media which is when the bacteria have entered log-phase growth. Equal mounts of media (~20 μl) containing bacteria were streaked onto nutrient broth agar plates in the standard format so that each set of streaking results in dilution of the number of bacterial colony forming units. Each plate contained vehicle control agar; agar containing sorafenib (5 μM) and/or ampicillin (2 μg/ml; 6 μM). Bacterial were grown at 37°C in a humified atmosphere for 24 h. Bacteria in situ were then imaged using a Motorola ‘phone camera.
drug with an anti-inflammatory drug and a mixture of prior standard of care efficacious antibiotics may be a useful novel therapeutic approach.

In conclusion, we have targeted the chaperone GRP78/Dna K in eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells by use of the drugs pazopanib, sorafenib, and regorafenib. In eukaryotic cells loss of GRP78 suppresses virus infection and replication. In prokaryotes the drugs inhibit Dna K which results in significantly reduced bacterial growth and a resensitization of antibiotic resistant bacteria to their former standard of care antibiotics. It will be interesting in the coming years whether the owners of these drugs, Bayer and Novartis, decide to exploit these unexpected properties of their approved anti-cancer drugs for infectious disease applications.
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