Multiple Primary Malignancies in Head and Neck Cancer: A University Hospital Experience Over a Five-Year Period
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Abstract

Introduction
With an estimated incidence of 2%-4% per year, the development of a second primary malignancy (SPM) in patients with head and neck tumors (HNTs) is not a rare event. The present study aimed to (i) assess the frequency of SPMs in patients with HNTs treated in a university hospital over a five-year period and (ii) provide a demographic characterization of these patients.

Methods
Retrospective single-centre study of patients with more than one primary tumor (including at least one HNT) diagnosed between January 1, 2015, and December 31, 2019. Data were retrieved from patients’ clinical records and anonymized for analysis purposes.

Results
A total of 53 out of 824 (6.43%) patients with multiple primary malignancies were identified, 18 of which synchronous and 35 metachronous. The median follow-up was 25 months. Thirteen patients were diagnosed with more than one HNT. Forty patients were diagnosed with at least one HNT and one non-HNT. The most frequently diagnosed non-HNT SPMs were lung cancer (n=17) and esophageal cancer (n=8). The five-year survival rate was 53% for patients with multiple HNSCCs and 47% for patients with at least one non-HNT (log-rank p=0.729). The median overall survival was 14 months for synchronous and 58 months for metachronous SPMs (log-rank p=0.002).

Conclusion
Findings from this study highlight the importance of long-term follow-up of HNT patients for early detection of SPMs, increasing the chance of providing treatment with curative intent and improving patient outcomes and survival.
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Introduction
Head and neck tumors (HNTs) represent the seventh most common cancers worldwide, accounting for approximately 890,000 new cases and 450,000 deaths in 2018 [1]. HNTs comprehend a heterogeneity of malignancies arising in the head and neck anatomic region, including in the upper aerodigestive tract (oral cavity, nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, pharynx, larynx, cervical esophagus), salivary glands, thyroid, associated lymph nodes, soft tissues, and bone [2]. The most common histological type is squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) and its variants [3].

The development of a second primary malignancy (SPM) in patients HNTs is not a rare event. Second tumors can be diagnosed either simultaneously/within six months of the index tumor − synchronous SPM − or more than six months after the index tumour − metachronous SPM. According to Warren and Gates criteria, diagnosis of an SPM requires (a) histologic confirmation of malignancy in both the index and second tumors; (b) that the two malignancies are anatomically separated by normal mucosa; and (c) exclusion of the possibility that the SPM is a metastasis from the index tumour [4].

The occurrence of SPMs has been explained by the concept of field cancerization, first described by Slaughter and colleagues in patients with oropharyngeal SCC [5]. This hypothesis considers that large areas
of head and neck mucosa are affected by the same carcinogenic exposure, resulting in a wide field of premalignant disease with the same genetic alterations, which can give rise to multiple independent primary tumors [6].

Due to the clinical relevance of SPMs, follow-up of all patients with a primary HNT is recommended, not only to detect relapse and manage treatment-related toxicities but also for early detection of metachronous SPMs [7,8]. Indeed, the incidence of SPMs in patients with HNTs is estimated at 2%-4% per year, remaining relatively constant over time [9]. The present study aimed to (i) identify the frequency of SPMs in patients with HNTs treated in a university hospital over a five-year period and (ii) perform a demographic characterization of patients developing SPMs.

Materials And Methods

This was a single-centre retrospective study conducted in a university hospital in Lisbon. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee. The clinical records of all patients included in the HNT multidisciplinary tumor board between January 1, 2015, and December 31, 2019, were reviewed.

Inclusion criteria were: (1) male or female patient ≥ 18 years old; (2) diagnosis of at least two malignant lesions confirmed by histopathological examination; (3) at least one of the malignant lesions must be an HNT; (4) patients cases presented in the HNT multidisciplinary tumor board between January 1, 2015, and December 31, 2019.

Exclusion criteria were: (1) patients without histopathological confirmation malignancy; (2) second tumor malignancy suspected to be a metastasis; (3) no demographic and/or clinical information on patient’s electronic file.

The following variables were collected from clinical records: year of birth; gender (male/female); smoking habits (active smoker - smokes every day and has smoked at least 100 cigarettes, former smoker - quit smoking at diagnosis but had smoked at least 100 cigarettes, or never smoker - smoked less than 100 cigarettes in the lifetime); alcohol consumption (moderate if <40 g/day, heavy if >40 g/day, or no alcohol consumption); the number of multiple primary tumors; SPM latency (synchronous/metachronous); therapeutic intervention for each tumor; treatment intent (curative/palliative); and date of death (if applicable). Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics 23®. Comparisons were made using chi-square and Fisher’s exact test. Kaplan-Meier curves were used to calculate survival.

Results

A total of 824 patients were assessed in the hospital’s HNT multidisciplinary tumour board between January 1, 2015, and December 31, 2019. Of these, 53 patients (6.43%) had multiple primary malignancies, 18 of which were synchronous tumours and 35 metachronous tumours, and were included in the study. The median follow-up was 25 months. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1.
| Number of tumors/patient |   |   |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| 2                        | 46 | 86.8 |
| 3                        | 6  | 11.3 |
| 4                        | 1  | 1.9  |

Latency
- Synchronous: 18, 34.0
- Metachronous: 35, 66.0

Location of the primary malignancy
- Oral cavity: 13, 24.5
- Pharynx: 16, 30.2
- Larynx: 20, 37.7
- Cervical cancer of unknown primary: 2, 3.8
- Salivary gland: 1, 1.9
- Thyroid: 1, 1.9

Location of the second primary malignancy - head and neck
- Oral cavity: 5, 9.4
- Pharynx: 2, 3.8
- Larynx: 3, 5.7
- Cervical cancer of unknown primary: 1, 1.9
- Thyroid: 1, 1.9

Location of the second primary malignancy - non-head and neck
- Lung: 17, 32.1
- Esophagus: 8, 15.1
- Colon: 5, 9.4
- Hepatocellular carcinoma: 3, 5.7
- Breast: 2, 3.8
- Stomach: 1, 1.9
- Anal: 1, 1.9
- Skin: 1, 1.9
- Central nervous system: 1, 1.9
- Bladder: 1, 1.9
- Sarcoma: 1, 1.9

Treatment intent (primary malignancy)
- Curative: 46, 86.8
- Palliative: 7, 13.2

Treatment intent (second malignancy)
- Curative: 37, 69.8
- Palliative: 16, 30.2

Group
The median age at diagnosis of first malignancy was 61 (range 47–83) years. Most patients were male (n=50, 94.3%). Forty-six patients were diagnosed with two different malignancies, six with three, and one with four. For metachronous tumours, the median time for the development of SPMs was four years. Thirteen patients were diagnosed with more than one HNT. Forty patients were diagnosed with at least one HNT and one non-HNT. The most frequently diagnosed non-HNT SPMs were lung cancer (n=17) and esophageal cancer (n=8). Malignancies most frequently diagnosed in the same patient were larynx and lung cancer (n=8), oropharynx and esophagus cancer (n=4), and oral cavity and lung cancer (n=4). Table 2 summarizes the types of cancer found in the same patient. For 46 patients, the first diagnosed HNT was treated with curative intent. Seven patients were treated with palliative intent. SPMs were treated with curative intent in 37 patients.
### TABLE 2: Types of cancer found within the same patient.

nr.: number; CUP: cancer of unknown primary.
The overall survival (OS) of patients diagnosed with SPMs consisting only of HNTs (group 1) and patients diagnosed with SPMs in which at least one was not an HNT (group 2) was compared. Groups were comparable for age at first malignancy diagnosis and age at SPM diagnosis (p=0.470 and p=0.384, respectively). Figure 1 shows the Kaplan-Meier curves for OS in both groups. Five-year survival rate was 53% for patients with multiple HNTs and 47% for patients with at least one non-HNT (log-rank p=0.729). For most patients (21 out of 36), death was due to cancer progression. Five patients died of infectious causes (three with pneumonia and two with sepsis). For 10 patients, it was not possible to ascertain the cause of death.

**FIGURE 1: Overall survival in patients with only head and neck tumors and with at least one not head and neck tumor.**

Kaplan-Meier curves for overall survival for patients with second primary malignancies of the head and neck only (group 1) and with second primary malignancies in which at least one was not a head and neck tumor (group 2).

Median OS was 12.5 months for synchronous and 55 months for metachronous SPMs (log-rank p=0.033). Figure 2 depicts the Kaplan-Meier curves for OS in the two groups.
Discussion

Within the five-year period in analysis, 6.43% of patients in a universe of 824 were identified with an SPM, which is consistent with previous reports \[10,11\]. From these patients, most (35 out of 53) were diagnosed more than six months after the primary malignancy, with a median time of SPM development of four years.

Lung cancer was the more frequent SPM, accounting for 32.1%, followed by head and neck (22.6%) and esophageal (15.1%) cancers. This data is consistent with previous reports, either from individual data \[12\] or pooled analyses \[13\]. Interestingly, the increased risk of lung cancer in patients with HNTs does not seem to be decreasing over the years, as opposed to head and neck and esophagus cancers \[14\].

As expected, most SPMs developed had acknowledged common risk factors with HNT \[15\]. Unfortunately, due to this study’s retrospective nature, relevant demographic data was missing, as alcohol consumption habits in most patients (67.9%) and smoking habits in 24.5%. Still, most patients for whom data was available had smoking and alcohol consumption habits.

Most malignancies were treated with curative intent (86.8% of first diagnosed cancers and 69.8% of SPMs), which is in line with previous reports \[10\]. Although not statistically significant, a trend was found towards inferior OS when SPMs were not HNTs, which was also reported by other authors \[11\]. Also consistently with previous studies, patients with metachronous SPMs had a better prognosis than those with synchronous SPMs \[16\]. Missing data and the small number of patients enrolled precluded the identification of a potential correlation between other clinical variables and increased risk of SPMs.

Since this was a retrospective study, we were only able to analyse demographic data that were previous collected. Like previously said, smoking or drinking habits were missing in more than half of patients. We cannot also exclude a sample bias. As so, our results have to be seen as hypothesis generator.

Even so, our findings highlight the importance of long-term follow-up of these patients for early detection of SPMs, as recommended by European and American clinical practice guidelines \[7,8\].

Conclusions

Follow-up visits should focus on early recognition of signs, symptoms, and/or imaging findings suggestive
of SPMs. Early SPM detection increases the chance of providing treatment with curative intent and has the potential to improve patient outcomes. In our institution, most SPMs were treated with curative intent, and we believe that this might be explained by the regular follow-up politics adopted, that follows international guidelines. It is well acknowledged that preventive medicine has an important impact in the clinical outcomes of these patients, a fact that the study authors reinforce.
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