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**ABSTRACT:** Sixty crossbred Anglo-Nubian goats (growing females), 18-24 mo of age, with a mean pre-experimental live weight of 20.9±0.44 kg were used to investigate voluntary dry matter intake (DMI) and digestibility of supplements of *Leucaena leucocephala* (LL) leaves to a basal diet of guinea grass. The experiment was a 3 forms of presentation: fresh, wilted and dry×4 levels of supplementation: 10, 20, 30 and 40% of total forage allowance with LL leaves. The goats were assigned based on weight and age to one of the twelve diets in a randomized manner and each level had five animals (replicates) per diet for 56 days. The form of presentation of LL leaves had effects on dry matter (DM) and nutrient composition. DM and NDF were higher in the dry LL leaves (p<0.05). In the diets, CP increased as the level of supplementation with LL leaves increased (p<0.05). Mean CP was similar in the fresh (14.8±3.5%), wilted (14.3±3.3%) and dry forms (13.9±3.1%). Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) decreased linearly (p<0.05) with increase in levels of supplementation. Organic matter (OM) followed the trend of CP concentration (p<0.05). Drying was observed to reduce the availability of CP at the different levels of supplementation and subsequently this affected the NDF of the diets. DM intake of the goats on the fresh and wilted LL leaves was significantly higher (p<0.05) than in those on the dry LL leaves. DM intake of the basal diet was observed to decrease as the level of supplementation (p<0.05) increased regardless of the form of presentation of LL. Growth rate was best (p<0.05) on the fresh form followed by wilted and the least in the dry form. Growth rate decreased linearly (p<0.05) with increase in the level of supplementation in the dry form. The form of presentation and level of supplementation influenced DM, CP and OM digestibility (p<0.05). Except for NDF, the digestibility of DM, CP and OM were better (p<0.05) in the goats on fresh LL leaves compared to the wilted and dry leaves. Based on the data on DMI, growth rate and apparent nutrient digestibility coefficients, the results suggest that LL leaves is best utilized when fed fresh or wilted to goats. In the dry form of presentation, the best level of supplementation without a reduction in voluntary DMI and growth rate is the 20%. In the fresh and wilted form, LL leaves could be fed up to the 40% level with improved DMI, growth rate and nutrient utilization. (Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 2002. Vol 15, No. 8: 1139-1146)
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**INTRODUCTION**

The importance of browse in the diets of tropical ruminants has been well documented (Reynolds and Adediran, 1988; Ash, 1990; Mtenge and Madsen, 1992; Larbi et al., 1993; Devendra, 1995; Jabbar et al., 1997; Mandal, 1997; Aganga et al., 1999; Aregheore, 2000a). *Leucaena leucocephala*, a highly productive and palatable tropical legume, is one of the most widely used forage trees in agropastoral enterprises as it provides a number of other resources (e.g., fuelwood, green manure and shade) apart from its protein-rich forage (Brewbaker et al., 1985; Morris and Du Toit, 1998). Increased levels of *Leucaena* in the diet of ruminant animals have been shown to increase forage dry matter (DM) intake and live-weight (LW) gains (Wahynni et al., 1972; Jones, 1977; Yates and Panggabean, 1988; Quirck et al., 1990; Petty et al., 1998; Morris and Du Toit, 1998).

A major problem in utilization of *Leucaena* by livestock is the presence of the non-protein amino acid mimosine in the forage. Ingestion of large quantities of mimosine can lead to acute and chronic toxicosis, weight loss and death (Hammond, 1995; Morris and Toit, 1998). The presence of DHP (3-hydroxy-4(IH)-pyridone), a degradation product of mimosine, tends to depress rumen cellulolytic activity and cause rumen stasis (Jones and Wilson, 1987; Adejumo and Ademosun, 1991). Mimosine toxicity in Leucaena diets can be limited by the introduction of mimosine degrading organisms into the rumen (Reynolds and Adediran, 1985; Ademosun et al., 1988; Jabbar et al., 1997; Morris and Toit, 1998).

Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*) is a native pasture in most Pacific Island countries and like most tropical grasses grows rapidly during the rainy season and declines in nutritive value during the dry season. Goats grazing guinea grass alone grow and reproduce slowly in the dry season. There are reports that productivity of ruminant animals, for example goats browsing *Leucaena* can be improved by providing mineral supplementation (i.e., phosphorus and sodium) and high energy forage, such as grasses, since...
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Diets, feeding and management**

A re-growth of guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*) was harvested cut with a bush knife into pieces 7 to 8 mm in length and fed fresh as the basal diet. This diet was supplemented with leaves from *Leucaena leucocephala* re-growth, either fresh, wilted or dry. These were offered at 10, 20, 30 and 40% of the total daily forage allowance. The basal and supplemental components of the diets were offered separately.

An adaptation period of 7 d was allowed for goats to adapt to the experimental diets before the 8-wk growth trial. The basal diet and *Leucaena* were fed *ad libitum* to allow 10 to 20% refusals. Feeds offered and refused were recorded on a daily basis to estimate voluntary DM intake. Cleaning of pens and removal of refusals from the previous day was done daily before supplying each day’s ration. All goats were allowed free access to mineral/vitamin blocks and drinking water *ad libitum*. The mineral/vitamin block contained salt (NaCl), 120 g/kg calcium, 60 g/kg phosphorus, 150 mg/kg copper, 1.5 mg/kg cobalt, 7.5 mg/kg iodine, 600 mg/kg manganese, 750 mg/kg iron, 600 mg/kg zinc, 1.5 mg/kg selenium; Vitamins A, D and E with copra meal and molasses added.

**Animals, treatment and experimental design**

The experiment was conducted using a total of 60 growing crossbred female Anglo-Nubian goats of 18 to 24 mo of age with a mean pre-experimental LW of 20.9±0.44 kg. During the experiment, goats were randomly assigned based on weight and age to one of the twelve diets. The animals were individually penned under a common roof. The design of the experiment was a 3 (forms of *Leucaena*: fresh, wilted or dry) × 4 (levels of guinea grass supplementation at 10, 20, 30 and 40% of total dry matter intake) arrangement of treatments in a randomized manner with five animals (replicates) per diet.

*Leucaena* leaves were defined as fresh or wilted, respectively, if harvested within 2 or 26 h of feeding. The dried leaves were harvested from the same site, at the same stage of maturity and sun-cured before the start of the experiment. The levels of guinea grass supplementation were calculated as percentage of total *ad libitum* daily forage allowance.

**Digestibility study**

At the end of the growth trial, the goats were used to determine digestibility of the diets for 7 days. Total daily faecal output for each animal was weighed before a 25% sample was removed for DM determination. Faeces were dried in a forced air oven at 70°C for 24 h. The daily samples of faeces and diets were bulked separately and milled with a simple laboratory mill to pass a 1.7 mm sieve and stored in air tight bottles until required for analysis.

**Analytical procedures**

Dry matter was determined by drying at constant weight at 70°C for 24 h in a forced air oven, ash by incineration at 600°C for 24 h, and protein by a micro-Kjeldahl procedure (AOAC, 1995). Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) was determined by a procedure of Van Soest et al. (1991). Minerals (calcium and phosphorus) were determined using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (GBC 908 AA, Scientific Equipment Pty Ltd, Dandenong, Victoria, Australia), as described by AOAC (1995). All analyses were conducted in triplicate.

**Statistical analysis**

Data on voluntary feed intake, growth rate and apparent nutrient digestibility coefficients were analyzed at 3 (forms of *leucaena*) × 4 (level of guinea grass supplementation) factorial treatment arrangements in a randomized complete block design. Forms of *leucaena*, levels of supplementation and interactions between these effects were fitted in a full analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Steel and Torrie, 1980). Where significant differences were observed between forms, levels of supplementation and interactions between these effects, treatment means were partitioned to test linear, quadratic and cubic orthogonal contrasts using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedures (SAS, 1988).

**RESULTS**

**Dry matter, crude protein, P and Ca of fresh, wilted and dry LL leaves**

The crude protein (CP) content increased as the level of *Leucaena* supplementation (p<0.05) increased in the different forms of LL leaves used for the diets. CP was...
greater in the fresh (31.2±0.4%), followed by wilted (29.3±0.8%) and the least in the dry (27.5±1.01%) form (table 1). CP concentrations were 3.7 and 1.8 g/100 g higher in the fresh and wilted LL leaves respectively, than in the dry form. NDF content was higher (p<0.05) in the dry form than in the fresh or wilted forms. Organic matter concentrations (OM) followed the trend of CP concentrations. The form of presentation of *Leucaena leucocephala* leaves affected the concentration of P, but not Ca concentration. The Ca:P ratio was 8:1 for the LL leaves.

**Dry matter and nutrient composition of diets**

The form of presentation and level of supplementation affected DM content (p<0.05) of the diets (table 2). DM concentrations for all forms of presentation of LL leaves with the basal diet of guinea grass were observed to decrease (p<0.05) with increase in the level of supplementation. CP concentration increased with increase in the level of supplementation within each form of presentation (p<0.05). Mean CP concentrations were 14.8±0.25%, 14.3±0.32% and 13.9±0.13% for fresh, wilted and dry forms, respectively. Statistically, there were no significant differences in CP concentration of the diets between the different forms of presentation.

The form of presentation and level of supplementation of LL leaves with the basal diet had effects on the concentration of NDF and ash. NDF concentration followed the pattern of DM concentration. Drying was observed to increase the fibre concentration of the LL leaves (p<0.05). In the various diets, the OM contents decreased linearly (p<0.05) with incremental levels of supplementation of the forage with the LL leaves. The basal diet and the various levels of *Leucaena* were high in DM content and in other nutrients.

**Voluntary dry matter intake (total intake)**

Dry matter intake (DMI) of the basal diet was observed to decrease as the level of supplementation (p<0.05) increased regardless of the form of presentation of LL leaves in the total diet (table 3). In the fresh and wilted form, the intake of the basal diet was significantly higher (p<0.05) than in the dry form. Comparison of LL leaves at all levels

### Table 1. Chemical composition of Leucaena leucocephala and guinea grass, (*Panicum maximum*)

| Nutrients                  | DM   | CP % DM | NDF % DM | OM % DM | Phosphorus g/kg | Calcium g/kg |
|----------------------------|------|---------|----------|---------|-----------------|--------------|
| **Fresh**                  |      |         |          |         |                 |              |
| DM                         | 43.8±0.40a | 31.2±0.4a | 38.6±0.8a | 95.0±1.02a | 0.24±0.01      | 1.85±0.00    |
| CP % DM                    | 10.1 | 13.2    | 16.4     | 19.5    | 0.25            |              |
| NDF % DM                   | 58.9 | 53.3    | 49.9     | 43.4    | 0.38            |              |
| OM % DM                    | 89.1 | 89.0    | 90.0     | 90.7    | 0.39            |              |
| **Wilted**                 |      |         |          |         |                 |              |
| DM                         | 68.5±0.28b | 29.3±0.8b | 44.4±0.6b | 94.0±0.9b | 0.24±0.01      | 1.85±0.01    |
| CP % DM                    | 9.9  | 12.9    | 15.8     | 18.7    | 0.32            |              |
| NDF % DM                   | 58.9 | 55.4    | 54.5     | 53.1    | 0.35            |              |
| OM % DM                    | 89.1 | 90.0    | 91.0     | 91.0    | 0.37            |              |
| **Dry**                    |      |         |          |         |                 |              |
| DM                         | 89.0±0.62b | 27.5±1.0b | 59.5±0.4a | 90.5±0.01b | 0.20±0.01      | 1.85±0.01    |
| CP % DM                    | 8.2  | 7.0±0.25| 56.0±0.5 | 84.0±0.2 | 0.42±0.01      | 0.45±0.00    |

SEM = Standard error of mean.  
Means within each column followed by a different superscript differs (p<0.05).

### Table 2. Chemical composition of the diets supplemented with four levels (10, 20, 30 and 40%) of Leucaena leucocephala

| Level of supplementation (%) | 10       | 20       | 30       | 40       | SEM | Linear Probability | Quadratic Probability | Cubic Probability |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| DM, %                       | 79.8     | 68.4     | 63.0     | 54.0     | 0.36| <0.01              | <0.01                 | <0.01               |
| CP, % DM                    | 10.1     | 13.2     | 16.4     | 19.5     | 0.25| <0.01              | <0.01                 | <0.96               |
| NDF, % DM                   | 58.9     | 53.3     | 49.9     | 43.4     | 0.38| <0.01              | <0.01                 | <0.02               |
| OM, % DM                    | 89.1     | 89.0     | 90.0     | 90.7     | 0.39| <0.01              | >0.36                 | >0.46               |
| DM, %                       | 85.0     | 82.8     | 81.4     | 79.0     | 0.38| <0.01              | >0.83                 | >0.31               |
| CP, % DM                    | 9.9      | 12.9     | 15.8     | 18.7     | 0.32| <0.01              | <0.01                 | >0.62               |
| NDF, % DM                   | 58.9     | 55.4     | 54.5     | 53.1     | 0.35| <0.01              | <0.02                 | <0.09               |
| OM, % DM                    | 89.1     | 90.0     | 91.0     | 91.0     | 0.37| <0.01              | <0.25                 | >0.50               |
| DM, %                       | 92.0     | 90.0     | 89.0     | 87.0     | 0.29| <0.01              | >1.00                 | <0.15               |
| CP, % DM                    | 9.8      | 12.5     | 15.3     | 18.0     | 0.13| <0.01              | <0.01                 | >0.52               |
| NDF, % DM                   | 66.4     | 60.6     | 58.3     | 56.3     | 0.35| <0.01              | <0.01                 | <0.08               |
| OM, % DM                    | 88.0     | 90.0     | 91.0     | 91.0     | 0.30| <0.01              | <0.01                 | >1.00               |

Probability for linear, quadratic and cubic trends, p<0.05 (significant); p>0.05 (not significant).
Table 3. Voluntary intake (g DM kg⁻¹ BW⁰.⁷⁵ day⁻¹) of guinea grass [Panicum maximum, (PM)] based diet supplemented with fresh, wilted and dried leaves of Leucaena leucocephala (LL) at four levels by crossbred Anglo-Nubian goats; and proportion of (% day⁻¹) of Leucaena leucocephala refused (LLR) and in total feed consumed (LLTI)

| Level of supplementation (%) | SEM | Linear | Quadratic | Cubic |
|-----------------------------|-----|--------|-----------|-------|
| 10                          |     |        |           |       |
| Fresh                       |     |        |           |       |
| Average daily gain (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵) | 79  | 98  | 112 | 114 | 5.81 | <0.01 | >0.07 | >0.76 |
| PM consumed (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵)    | 520 | 476 | 419 | 378 | 9.71 | <0.01 | >0.86 | >0.51 |
| LL consumed (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵)    | 87  | 142 | 206 | 252 | 3.44 | <0.01 | >0.20 | <0.09 |
| Total DM intake (PM+LL) (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵) | 607 | 518 | 625 | 630 | 12.21 | >0.05 | >0.83 | >0.99 |
| LLR (%)                     | 13.0 | 29.0 | 31.3 | 37.0 | 0.98 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| LLTI (%)                    | 14.3 | 15.9 | 32.9 | 40.0 | 1.03 | <0.01 | <0.02 | <0.01 |
| DM/gain (g/g) feed conversion ratio | 7.7 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 0.37 | <0.01 | >0.99 | >0.93 |
| Wilted                      |     |        |           |       |
| Average daily gain (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵) | 78  | 82  | 98  | 102 | 5.37 | <0.01 | >0.99 | >0.33 |
| PM consumed (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵)    | 553 | 462 | 397 | 294 | 5.46 | <0.01 | >0.31 | >0.02 |
| LL consumed (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵)    | 80  | 138 | 195 | 238 | 1.82 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.17 |
| Total DM intake (PM+LL) (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵) | 633 | 600 | 592 | 532 | 5.89 | <0.04 | <0.01 | >0.99 |
| LLR (%)                     | 20.0 | 31.0 | 35.0 | 40.5 | 0.87 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.05 |
| LLTI (%)                    | 13.1 | 23.0 | 32.7 | 44.7 | 0.78 | <0.01 | <0.05 | >0.48 |
| DM/gain (g/g) feed conversion ratio | 7.9 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 0.63 | <0.01 | >0.66 | >0.0 |
| Dry                         |     |        |           |       |
| Average daily gain (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵) | 61  | 60  | 51  | 46  | 2.85 | <0.01 | >0.49 | >0.039 |
| PM consumed (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵)    | 420 | 391 | 381 | 353 | 2.67 | <0.01 | >0.85 | >0.01 |
| LL consumed (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵)    | 78  | 124 | 142 | 138 | 1.57 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.40 |
| Total DM intake (PM+LL) (g/kg BW⁰.⁷⁵) | 498 | 515 | 523 | 491 | 3.37 | <0.40 | <0.01 | >0.06 |
| LLR (%)                     | 22.0 | 38.0 | 52.7 | 65.5 | 0.90 | <0.01 | <0.05 | >0.88 |
| LLTI (%)                    | 15.7 | 24.1 | 27.2 | 27.9 | 0.98 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.52 |
| DM/gain (g/g) feed conversion ratio | 8.2 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 0.63 | <0.01 | >0.85 | >0.20 |

*Probability for linear, quadratic and cubic trends, p<0.05 (significant); p>0.05 (not significant).

of supplementation indicated that the intake of the guinea grass (basal diet) was higher (p<0.05) at the 40% level of supplementation in the dry form than in the wilted. But the intake of the basal diet in the fresh form was higher than in both the wilted and dry forms of presentation of LL leaves.

The goats readily accepted and consumed the Leucaena leaves in all forms of presentation and also at the different level of supplementation. However, voluntary DMI by the goats on the fresh and wilted Leucaena leucocephala leaves was relatively higher, while intake at the dry form was significantly lower (p<0.05).

Growth rate and feed conversion ratio (DM/gain)

Growth rate was influenced (p<0.05) by the form of presentation and the level of supplementation of LL leaves to the basal diet (table 3). In the fresh and wilted forms, growth rate was observed to improve (p<0.05) with increase in the level of supplementation. However, growth rate of the goats offered LL leaves in the dry form, decreased linearly (p<0.05) with increase in the level of supplementation. Amongst the three forms of presentation, growth rate was highest (p<0.05) in the fresh followed by wilted and the least in the dry form. Also the form of presentation and level of supplementation affected (p<0.05) feed conversion ratio (DM/gain) among the goats.

Apparent nutrient digestibility coefficients

The form of presentation and level of supplementation influenced DM digestibility (table 4) as well as other nutrients (p<0.05). The digestibility of DM, CP and OM were better (p<0.05) in the goats on fresh form of Leucaena leucocephala leaves diet than those on the wilted and dry forms of presentation. The digestibility of CP increased with incremental levels of supplementation of the basal diet with LL leaves in the fresh and wilted forms of presentation (p<0.05) than in the dry form of LL leaves. However, NDF digestibility was higher for all levels of presentation in the dry form than in the fresh and wilted forms of presentation (p<0.05). OM digestibility on the other hand was higher in the fresh and wilted forms than in the dry form of presentation.

DISCUSSION

Nutrient composition of forms of Leucaena (fresh, wilted and dry), diets and guinea grass

The reduction in the CP concentration of the dry Leucaena leaves was probably due to reactions (e.g.,
Maillard reactions) which reduced availability of nutrients during drying process (Holmes, 1980). Such reactions might have resulted in changes in the cell-wall structures. The increased NDF concentration of the dry LL leaves is consistent with Parachristous and Nastis, (1994) who found that drying generally increased NDF and lignin content of browse leaves.

The protein contents of diets at the 10% level supplementation in the wilted and dry forms of presentation were less than 11%. However, they were above 8% CP suggested as adequate to meet requirements for moderate weight gain in goats below which could be considered deficient (Norton, 1994). The drying process did not lower the CP concentration of the diets with 20-40% levels of supplementation with LL leaves below the 11 to 12% CP suggested by the National Academy of Sciences (1981) as adequate to meet requirements for moderate weight gains in goats. Based on the suggestions of Norton (1984) and National Academy of Sciences (1981), the CP contents of the diets were adequate to meet the growth requirements of the goats.

Forages including browse carries higher Ca than P concentration in their leaves and this could be the reason for differences observed in concentrations of the two macro-minerals. It was observed that the different forms of presentation of LL leaves had effects on P concentration but not on Ca. The mean P value of 0.23 ± 0.01 g/kg⁻¹ DM for LL leaves used in this experiment is below the critical level of 1.4 g/kg⁻¹ DM for P suggested by McDowell, (1985); ARC, (1980) for animal needs. Also, the Ca value of 1.85±0.01 g/kg⁻¹ DM is below the critical level based on animal needs for Ca at 2.5 g/kg⁻¹ DM suggested by McDowell, (1985); ARC (1980). The available P and Ca concentrations in the LL leaves are significantly lower than values reported by Little et al. (1989) for LL leaves. Morris and Du Toit (1988) reported that LL leaves are generally low in P and this was the case with the LL leaves used in this experiment. The P and Ca concentrations of the LL leaves used in this experiment are similar in values to those reported by Wahynn et al. (1972) for Leucaena leucocephala leaves in Jakarta, Indonesia.

The decrease in protein concentration observed through the drying of LL leaves might have contributed to the fall in P. This observation confirmed the report that the protein content of forage generally falls with P (Underwood, 1981). The above reasons may be responsible for the difference in the P concentration between the LL leaves in the fresh, wilted and dry forms.

Van Soest (1965) reported that the nutritive value of browse plants could be determined by their chemical composition. From available data, the chemical composition of the diets demonstrated that they were all high in nutritive value. Also, since protein is the most limiting nutrient for grazing animal productivity; the high protein concentration obtained suggested that the diets were adequate to meet the requirements of the growing goats.

Van Soest (1965) reported that the nutritive value of browse plants could be determined by their chemical composition. From available data, the chemical composition of the diets demonstrated that they were all high in nutritive value. Also, since protein is the most limiting nutrient for grazing animal productivity; the high protein concentration obtained suggested that the diets were adequate to meet the requirements of the growing goats.

Voluntary dry matter intake

Fresh forage is the main and often the sole diet of ruminants in the humid tropics (Archimede et al., 1999), although most results that have been obtained so far on chemical composition, intake and total tract digestibility were obtained with dried forage for practical reasons (Minson, 1990). In this experiment, the nutritive value of

### Table 4.

| Forms     | Levels of supplementation (%) | SEM     | Contrast | Probability | Probability | Probability |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Fresh     |                               |         |          | Linear | Quadratic | Cubic |
| Dry matter| 10                            | 48.5    | 50.7     | 58.8    | 62.6       | 0.21 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| Crude protein | 20                         | 71.3    | 72.3     | 73.4    | 73.1       | 0.14 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.02 |
| NDF       |                               | 57.3    | 52.1     | 50.1    | 48.9       | 0.67 | <0.01 | <0.01 | >0.41 |
| Organic matter | 30                         | 49.4    | 49.5     | 59.6    | 63.2       | 0.19 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| Wilted    |                               |         |          | Linear | Quadratic | Cubic |
| Dry matter| 10                            | 44.9    | 50.3     | 56.8    | 60.8       | 0.28 | <0.01 | <0.01 | >0.01 |
| Crude protein | 20                         | 68.2    | 70.2     | 72.2    | 72.3       | 0.32 | <0.01 | <0.01 | >0.21 |
| NDF       |                               | 56.3    | 52.8     | 50.4    | 49.9       | 0.72 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| Organic matter | 30                         | 45.5    | 48.1     | 56.2    | 61.4       | 0.34 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| Dry       |                               |         |          | Linear | Quadratic | Cubic |
| Crude protein | 10                         | 42.8    | 49.1     | 54.8    | 60.2       | 0.41 | <0.01 | <0.01 | >0.79 |
| NDF       |                               | 68.0    | 65.1     | 63.1    | 61.8       | 0.38 | <0.01 | <0.01 | >0.42 |
| Organic matter | 20                         | 72.1    | 68.7     | 64.2    | 57.1       | 0.48 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |

*Probability for linear, quadratic and cubic trends, p<0.05 (significant); p>0.05 (not significant).
Leucaena leucocephala was compared at three forms of presentation (fresh, wilted and dry) and the results on intake suggested that goats preferred the fresh and wilted forms of LL leaves than the dry form.

Data on voluntary DM intake of the goats used in this experiment supports the findings of Jabbar et al. (1997); and Archimede et al. (1999) that intake of fresh forage was higher than for dried forage. ILCA (1990) reported a relatively higher intake of fresh Leucaena leucocephala leaves than dry leaves by the West African Dwarf sheep. Therefore the relative high DM intake observed for goats on the fresh and wilted LL leaves at the different levels of supplementation may be due to shorter retention time in the rumen due to the low NDF content (table 2), compared to DMI of the goats on dried LL leaves.

It has been reported that ad libitum intake is increased by an increase in the protein content of a diet (Smith et al., 1998) that the productivity of ruminant Leucaena leucocephala leaves could be improved among other things with the provision of a base fodder (grass) that has high energy content. Aregheore (2000b) also, reported that in using a browse as a source of nitrogen, feed high in energy should be made available for proper rumen function and increase productivity – growth rate and better feed conversion ratio. The presence of the basal diet in form of guinea grass seems to have influenced the DMI of the goats offered the various experimental diets.

The normal voluntary intake for ruminants is 40-90 g/kg BW	extsuperscript{0.75} or 1-2.8% of body weight. and the values for the basal diet (guinea grass), the different form of presentation of LL leaves and finally total DM intake (PM+LL) are within this range. Van Soest (1994) reported that voluntary intake is the most important factor that determines the level of efficiency of ruminant productivity. The level of supplementation of LL leaves in the fresh and wilted forms was higher than for dried forage, however, this was not the case in the dry form of presentation. In the dry form of presentation, the intake of the basal diet was observed to increase up to the 30% level but declined linearly at 40% level.

Growth rate and feed conversion ratio (DM/gain)

The improved growth rate observed in the goats on the fresh and wilted LL diets supports the findings of Balogun and Otchere (1995) who reported improved live weight gain in Yankassa rams with increase in the level of Leucaena diets up to 40%. Although, average growth rate declined in the dry form of presentation of LL leaves, goats were able to maintain a steady slow growth rate throughout the experimental period. Data on overall growth rate of the goats used in this experiment are comparable to the results obtained by Susumu (1999) and Solomona (1988) for the same age and breed of goat. However, the growth rates obtained in this experiment are at variance with Jabbar et al. (1997) who reported higher growth rate for dry LL leaves and lower rate for fresh LL leaves. The age and genotype of the goats; and environmental conditions might be implicated for the differences observed in the growth rate of the goats used in this trial and those used by Jabbar et al. (1997). Feed conversion ratio (DM/gain) followed the same pattern as growth rate.

This experiment confirmed with earlier reports (Jabbar et al., 1997; Balogun and Otchere, 1995; Morris and DuToit, 1998; Petty et al., 1998) that the productivity of ruminant Leucaena leucocephala leaves could be improved among other things with the provision of a basal diet that has a base forage (grass) that has high energy content.
the OMD the higher the expected ME and the feed with higher OMD is expected to provide more energy and therefore more production, i.e. high live weight gain (Aganga and Monyatsiwa, 1999). The above observation was the trend in this experiment with respect to live weight gain. In the fresh and wilted form of presentation of Leucaena leucocephala leaves, OMD increased with increase in the level of supplementation, but in the dry form there was a linear decrease in OMD with increase in the level of supplementation with Leucaena leucocephala leaves in the diet. This may be the reason for the corresponding low live weight gains obtained in the goats fed the dry LL leaves diets (table 3).

Comparatively, drying depressed the rate of digestion and this could be the reason for the depressed voluntary dry matter intake observed at all the levels of supplementation in the dry form. Palmer and Schlink, (1992) as earlier stated, reported that drying of Calliandra calothyrsus depressed the rate of digestion compared to the fresh and wilted form of presentation. This trend therefore could affect the growth performance of the animals in question. The high digestibility of nutrients by the goats on the fresh Leucaena leucocephala leaves are in agreement with the findings of Bonsi et al. (1995).

CONCLUSION

Results suggest that LL leaves should be fed fresh or wilted to crossbred Anglo-Nubian goats in Samoa. However, in the dry form of presentation, the best level of supplementation without a reduction in voluntary DMI and growth rate is the 20%. In the fresh and wilted forms, LL leaves could be fed up to the 40% level with improved animal performance i.e. improved voluntary DMI, growth rate. Also no toxicity symptoms were observed. Norton (1994) reported that the level of supplementation required depends on the quality of the basal diet, but the best animal performance is generally achieved when leucaena constitutes 40-60% (DM) of the diet. The acceptance of LL leaves up to the 40% level in the fresh and wilted forms may be associated with the basal diet. Browses and grasses compliment each other in the provision of nitrogen, energy and other nutrients when fed to ruminant animals. This tree legume, Leucaena Leucocephala, which is abundant throughout the Pacific Island countries, can play an important role in the efficient utilization of tropical grasses and should therefore form an integral part of ruminant feeding systems.
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