The XMM deep survey in the CDF-S. IX.
An X-ray outflow in a luminous obscured quasar at z ≈ 1.6
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ABSTRACT
In active galactic nuclei (AGN)-galaxy co-evolution models, AGN winds and outflows are often invoked to explain why super-massive black holes and galaxies stop growing efficiently at a certain phase of their lives. They are commonly referred to as the leading actors of feedback processes. Evidence of ultra-fast (v > 0.05c) outflows in the innermost regions of AGN has been collected in the past decade by sensitive X-ray observations for sizable samples of AGN, mostly at low redshift. Here we present ultra-deep XMM-Newton and Chandra spectral data of an obscured (N_H ≈ 2 × 10^{25} cm^{-2}), intrinsically luminous (L_2–10 keV ≈ 4 × 10^{44} erg s^{-1}) quasar (named PID352) at z ≈ 1.6 (derived from the X-ray spectral analysis) in the Chandra Deep Field-South. The source is characterized by an iron emission and absorption line complex at observed energies of E ≈ 2 – 3 keV. While the emission line is interpreted as being due to neutral iron (consistent with the presence of cold absorption), the absorption feature is due to highly ionized iron transitions (FeXXV, FeXXVI) with an outflowing velocity of v_0 ≈ 0.4c, as derived from photoionization models. The mass outflow rate – M_\dot{\omega} ≈ 2 M_\odot yr^{-1} – is similar to the source accretion rate, and the derived mechanical energy rate is ~ 9.5 × 10^{44} erg s^{-1}, corresponding to 9% of the source bolometric luminosity. PID352 represents one of the few cases where indications of X-ray outflowing gas have been observed at high redshift thus far. This wind is powerful enough to provide feedback on the host galaxy.
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1. Introduction
According to some AGN/galaxy co-evolution models, along the cosmic history of galaxies there is a dust-enshrouded phase associated with rapid SMBH growth and active star formation, largely triggered by galaxy mergers and encounters (e.g., Silk & Rees 1998, Di Matteo et al. 2005, Menci et al. 2008, Hopkins et al. 2008, Zubovas & King 2012, Lamastra et al. 2013). At the end of this obscured phase, massive quasar-driven outflows blow away most of the cold gas reservoir, creating a population of “red-and-dead” gas-poor elliptical galaxies (e.g., Cattaneo et al. 2009). Support for this picture – at least for the most luminous AGN – comes from observations of kpc-scale outflows of molecular gas (e.g., Feruglio et al. 2010, Sturm et al. 2011, Cicone et al. 2014), as well as of neutral and ionized gas (e.g., Nesvadba et al. 2008, Alexander et al. 2010, Harrison et al. 2012, 2014, Genzel et al. 2014, Perna et al. 2015, Brusa et al. 2015). On smaller scales, ultra-fast outflows (UFOs, with velocities typically up to 0.1–0.4c) have been clearly detected in X-rays in a sizable sample of AGN at low redshift (e.g., Reeves et al. 2003, Pounds et al. 2003, Tombesi et al. 2010, 2012a, 2014, 2015, Giustini et al. 2011).
In this paper we present the intriguing properties of the source XMMCDFSJ033242.4–273815, whose XMM-Newton and Chandra spectral data are consistent with the presence of an emission-plus-absorption line, although at a different statistical significance (lower in Chandra). The emission line is interpreted as neutral iron Kα emission, and the absorption line is most likely due to a blueshifted highly ionized iron transition associated with outflowing gas with a velocity of ~0.1c. The spectral “pecularity” of this source came out in the search for obscured AGN at high redshift selected in the XMM-Newton observations of the Chandra Deep Field-South (XMM-CDFS) by means of restframe X-ray colors (Iwasawa et al. 2013). This source is listed as number 352 in the 3Ms XMM-Newton 2–10 keV source catalog by Ranalli et al. (2013); hereafter, we refer to this source as PID352. In the following, we present the analysis of these iron features based on the whole dataset of XMM-Newton and Chandra observations, carried out over a time interval of ~10 years. Most of the spectral results presented in this paper rely on XMM-Newton data, while Chandra provides further support to these results, as well as an accurate source position.

Currently, there are only two photometric redshift estimates for PID352, one from Taylor et al. (2009), \( z_{\text{ph}} = 1.51^{+0.34}_{-0.20} \) (95% confidence level), and the other from Hsu et al. (2014), \( z_{\text{ph}} = 1.31^{+0.78}_{-0.54} \) (99% confidence level).

2. X-ray data

The CDF-S was observed with XMM-Newton with 33 exposures over the years 2001–2002 and 2008–2010, for a nominal exposure time of ~3.45Ms. Full details on the pointing strategy, data cleaning, and derived catalogs are presented by Ranalli et al. (2013). In the analysis presented in this work, we use data taken from the three EPIC cameras (pn, MOS1, and MOS2) after applying a sigma-clipping procedure to the event files in order to filter high-background flaring intervals. Given the large off-axis angle of PID352 in the summed XMM-Newton mosaic, the final effective (i.e., after filtering and accounting for vignetting effects) exposure time at the source position is ~800 ks (averaged over the three cameras). The resulting 2–10 keV position of PID352 is \((ra,dec) = (03:32:42.46, -27:38:15.7)\) (Ranalli et al. 2013). Spectral data were extracted from the three mosaics of pn, MOS1 and MOS2 using circular regions of 10′′ radius (corresponding to ~60% of the encircled energy fraction at the source position) in order to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio in the extraction regions. Background was chosen from nearby, source-free circular regions of radius 19′′, 25′′, and 25′′ for the pn, MOS1, and MOS2, respectively. Once normalized to the source extraction regions, the background accounts for ~30–35% of the overall counts. The final number of net (background-subtracted) source counts in the ~0.3–7 keV band is 2560, 690, and 1250 in the pn, MOS1, and MOS2 cameras, respectively.

PID352 was also observed in both of the Chandra CDF-S and Extended-CDF-S (E-CDF-S) exposures. CDF-S data were taken in the periods 1999–2000, 2007, and 2010 with 54 pointings using the ACIS-I array for a total on-axis exposure time of ~4Ms; see Luo et al. (2008) and Xue et al. (2011) for more details on the observational strategy and data reduction. PID352 is associated with source XID 571 in the Xue et al. (2011) catalog.

---

1. The exact off-axis angle in the final XMM-Newton mosaic is difficult to quantify, since the nominal right ascension and declination of the individual pointings varied substantially, with the highest difference being between the 2001–2002 observations and the most recent 2008–2010 observations.
In the Lehmer et al. (2005) catalog. At the source position (ra,dec)=(03:32:42.73,−27:38:15.61), as a consequence of the large off-axis angle of the source (>10′) and the different roll angles of the CDF-S exposures, the source falls in the CDF-S in only 13 out of the total 54 Chandra pointings. The count distribution seems to be slightly displaced by ∼1.1′ with respect to the X-ray source position in the catalog, probably because of the off-axis position (hence elongated shape) of PID352 in the 4Ms exposure. Once this offset is taken into account, the source is perfectly coincident with a red galaxy (see Fig. 1). The final vignetting-corrected source exposure time is ∼430ks. The Chandra spectrum was extracted from individual pointings using the Acis Extract software (Broos et al. 2010) and accounting for the different point spread function (PSF) size and shape at the source position in each observation. The total number of net source counts in the -0.5–7 keV band is ∼450, which is fully consistent with the number reported in the Xue et al. (2011) catalog. The overall contribution of the background (chosen from nearby source-free regions) is limited to less than 10% of the source plus background counts within the source extraction region. We note that at ∼8.7″ from its position there is another fainter (by a factor of ∼3.5 in the 0.5–8 keV band) X-ray source, listed as XID 568 in the Xue et al. (2011) catalog. The good agreement in terms of X-ray spectral results from XMM-Newton and Chandra data suggests that the contamination by XID 568 to PID352 in XMM-Newton data is most likely marginal.

PID352 was also detected in the E-CDF-S mosaic (four pointings with a nominal exposure of ∼250ks each; observations were taken in 2004) and was reported as source 437 in the Lehmer et al. (2005) catalog. At the source position (ra,dec)=(03:32:42.63,−27:38:16.1), which corresponds to an off-axis angle of 5.9″, the effective exposure time is ∼190ks. The source spectrum was extracted from a circular region with a radius of ∼4.5″, while the background spectrum was extracted from a circular source-free region with radius 19.5″. The impact of the background is 4% in the source extraction region. The total number of source net counts in the -0.5–7 keV band is ∼260, so it is fully consistent with the number reported in the Lehmer et al. (2005) catalog.

In the following analyses, XMM-Newton and Chandra (both CDF-S and E-CDF-S) results will be presented separately. Overall, XMM-Newton data provide good counting statistics, while Chandra data offer good source positioning, low background contamination, and independent (though statistically limited) support for XMM-Newton spectral results.

X-ray spectral analysis is carried out using xspec vs. 12.6.1 (Arnaud 1996). Errors are quoted at the 90% confidence level for one interesting parameter (i.e., either Δχ^2=2.71 or ΔC=2.71; Avni 1976, Cash 1979, depending on the adopted statistic). All spectral fits include absorption due to the line-of-sight Galactic column density of N_H = 7×10^{20} cm^{-2} (Kalberla et al. 2005), and Anders & Grevesse (1989) abundances are assumed. Hereafter we adopt a cosmology with H_0=70 km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}, Ω_m=0.7, and Ω_Λ=0.3.

2.1. XMM-Newton spectral results

The XMM-Newton spectra were binned at a signal-to-noise ratio of at least 3. We have verified that such binning satisfies the criterion of the minimum number of photons/bin typically adopted to apply χ^2 statistics (e.g., 20–30 counts per bin). We have also checked that the results reported hereafter are recovered (within the errors) once a “standard” binning is adopted. In the following, we adopt “phenomenological” models to reproduce the XMM-Newton data, then in Sect. we present results obtained with a more self-consistent and physically-motivated model.

Fitting the XMM-Newton data with a single powerlaw and Galactic absorption produces statistically significant data-to-model residuals (χ^2/d.o.f=484.5/276, where d.o.f. is the number of degrees of freedom). From the spectral deviations shown in Fig. 1 (where the assumed model is a powerlaw), it is clear that additional spectral complexities are present at observed energies of ∼2–3 keV, namely an emission and an absorption feature, and an absorption edge. The notably flat photon index, Γ = 0.45 ± 0.05, is suggestive of obscuration, and an additional component (parameterized by a second powerlaw) is required in the soft band.

Thus we adopted a double powerlaw model with free photon indices; one of these components is absorbed by cold matter. The resulting fit provides χ^2/d.o.f=345.7/273. The two photon indices are consistent (within ∼1.1σ) considering their errors (Γ_{soft} = 1.94^{+0.36}_{−0.34} and Γ_{hard} = 1.53^{+0.22}_{−0.20}), while the column density (phla model in xspec) at z=0 is N_H = 1.53^{+0.37}_{−0.31}×10^{22} cm^{-2}. If the soft X-ray emission is interpreted as scattering, this modeling results in a ∼6% of scattering fraction, which is a bit high but possible based on the observed range in X-ray spectra of obscured AGN (e.g., Lanzuisi et al. 2013). Since we are mostly interested in the source emission and absorption features and the hard X-ray emission, we do not investigate this issue further. Then we modified the previous model by leaving the redshift of the absorber free to vary. We obtain z = 1.59^{+0.06}_{−0.07} and powerlaw slopes consistent with the values reported above within their errors; the derived column density is N_H = (2.87^{+0.97}_{−0.69})×10^{23} cm^{-2}. The resulting fit quality is χ^2/d.o.f=301.7/272. The emission and absorption features seem to be too separated in energy to be a P-Cygni profile. Therefore, to reproduce these features, we start by adding a narrow (∼10 eV) emission line to the previous modeling, leaving the redshift as a free parameter. Constraints on redshift come from the emission line (assumed to be associated with...
Table 1. Best-fitting X-ray spectral parameters of PID352 derived from XMM-Newton and Chandra (CDF-S and E-CDF-S).

| Data | $\Gamma_{\text{soft}}$ | $\Gamma_{\text{hard}}$ | $N_{\text{H}}$ ($10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $z$ | $\text{EW}_{\text{em. line}}$ (eV) | $\text{E}_{\text{abs. line}}$ (keV) | $\text{EW}_{\text{abs. line}}$ (eV) | $F_{2-10}$ keV (erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $L_{2-10}$ keV (erg s$^{-1}$) | $\chi^2$(C)/d.o.f. |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| X    | 1.73±0.31       | 1.58±0.28       | 2.30±0.76        | 1.59±0.03 | 76±35             | 2.94±0.04       | $-82^{+36}_{-47}$ | 2.6±3.1–2.8      | 3.5–4.2–3.8       | 275.3/268        |
| C    | 1.03±0.26       | 1.01±0.24       | 1.62±0.05        | 120±83  | 2.85±0.16        | $-65^{+26}_{-46}$ | 2.4–3.6         | 1.9–2.8          | 350.0/480        |

Notes. X: XMM-Newton (pn, MOS1, and MOS2); C: Chandra (CDF-S 4Ms+E-CDF-S data). Both line energies and equivalent widths are reported in the observed frame, while the column density is given at the reported best-fitting redshift solution. Fluxes are reported in the observed 2–10 keV band (units of $10^{-14}$), while luminosities are intrinsic (i.e., corrected for obscuration) and in the restframe 2–10 keV band (units of $10^{44}$). The three fluxes and luminosities reported for XMM-Newton refer to pn, MOS1, and MOS2 data, respectively, while for Chandra the two fluxes and luminosities refer to CDF-S and E-CDF-S data, respectively. Both emission and absorption lines were modeled using a Gaussian feature of width $\sigma=10$ eV.

The neutral restframe 6.4 keV iron transition, which is expected given the presence of cold absorption, and the iron Kα edge (at the restframe energy of 7.1 keV, seen at an observed energy of $\sim 2.7$ keV in Fig. 1) is associated with the absorber. Including the line (observed equivalent width $\text{EW}_{\text{abs.}} = 76\pm35$ eV, corresponding to $\text{EW}_{\text{rest}} = 200$ eV at the derived redshift of $z = 1.59\pm0.03$) improves the quality of the spectral fit ($\chi^2$/d.o.f=289.3/271, i.e., $\Delta\chi^2/\Delta$ d.o.f=12.4/1 with respect to the previous double powerlaw plus absorption model). The line EW appears only marginally consistent (within errors) with the EW expected in the case of transmission through a neutral shell of gas according to the recent Monte Carlo calculations provided by Murphy & Yaqoob (2009), but seems to agree more with past-work predictions (e.g., Awaki et al. [1999] Leahy & Creighton [1993].

---

Fig. 2. (Left panel) XMM-Newton spectrum of PID352 fitted with a double powerlaw (one absorbed) plus one emission line and one absorption line. The black/blue/red lines and datapoints refer to pn/MOS1/MOS2 data. Emission and absorption lines are both marked. In the bottom panel, the data-to-model residuals are shown in units of $\sigma$. (Right panel) Best-fitting XMM-Newton model (thick solid line), with indications of the spectral components (dotted lines). In particular, we note that the iron edge is at a different energy with respect to that of the absorption feature.

Fig. 3. XMM-Newton spectral results: (left panel) redshift vs. FeKα line normalization. For comparison, the redshift estimate obtained by Chandra data is shown in the inset; (right panel) observed-frame absorption-line energy vs. normalization. In all panels, contours represent the 68, 90, and 99% confidence levels for two parameters of interest.

---

A&A proofs: manuscript no. vignali
The X-ray spectral slopes do not change significantly with respect to the previous modeling once errors are taken into account. The column density at the newly derived redshift is $N_H = (2.30 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$.

The following step consists of modeling the absorption feature with a narrow (10 eV) Gaussian line. This provides a spectral improvement of $\Delta \chi^2/\Delta d.o.f. = 14/2$ ($\chi^2/d.o.f. = 275.3/269$). Monte Carlo simulations indicate that the probability of by chance detecting an absorption line providing an improvement to the spectral fitting larger or comparable to the one we obtain is $\sim 1\%$ (see §2 for details). Most of the parameters related to the X-ray spectrum remain unchanged. The observed-frame energy and EW of the absorption line are $E = 2.94 \pm 0.04$ keV and $-82_{-39}^{+38}$ eV, respectively.

The best-fitting XMM-Newton pn, MOS1, and MOS2 spectra are shown in Fig. 2 (left panel). The redshift solution is shown in Fig. 3 (left panel; $z = 1.59_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$ according to 90% confidence contours), while the observed-frame energy vs. normalization of the absorption line is shown in Fig. 3 (right panel). The confidence contours indicate that both the source redshift and the absorption feature are well constrained in the XMM-Newton analysis, which is considerably better than using Chandra data (although consistent in terms of line parameters, see §2). It is worth mentioning that the energy of the neutral iron absorption edge ($E = 2.74$ keV) differs clearly from that of the absorption line (see Fig. 2 right panel).

At face value, the derived blueshift of the absorption line (most likely ascribed to ionized iron resonant absorption), assuming the redshift of $z = 1.59$, is $-0.13c$ and $-0.09c$ in case of He-like (FeXXV, 6.7 keV) and H-like (FeXXVI, 6.97 keV) iron transitions, respectively. The association with either He- or H-like iron transitions is the most likely, according to previous, higher statistics studies of AGN showing outflows (e.g., Risaliti et al. 2005); see §4 for a complete discussion on this issue using a more physically motivated modeling of XMM-Newton data.

We have also verified whether different modeling could account for the source complex emission; in particular, motivated by the observed flat photon index (which may be partly related also to the presence of radio emission, see §2.1), we checked for a reflector component by using the pexmon model within xspec (Nandra et al. 2007). This model includes Compton reflection with self-consistently generated fluorescence emission lines from iron and nickel plus the FeK$\alpha$ Compton shoulder. We set the pexmon model to only produce the reflection component by making the relative reflection parameter $R$ negative and by tying its photon index and normalization to the corresponding parameters of the primary powerlaw. We fixed the high-energy cutoff of the illuminating powerlaw at 100 keV, the abundances of the distant reflector to the solar values, and the inclination angle to 60 degrees. This model does not provide a good fit to the data ($\chi^2/d.o.f. = 323.8/270$), because the reflection/powerlaw normalization ratio is unphysically high and basically unconstrained, as the entire spectrum of PID352 were reflection-dominated (which is also not consistent with the observed emission-line EW). Similar results have been obtained using pexrav (Magdziarz & Zdziarski 1995) plus an emission line.

The observed-frame 2–10 keV source flux is $\sim (2.6 \pm 3.1 - 2.8) \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (where the three values refer to pn, MOS1, and MOS2, respectively, owing to their slightly different normalizations). Once corrected for intrinsic absorption, the restframe 2–10 keV source luminosity is $\sim (3.5 \pm 4.2 - 3.8) \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The best-fitting XMM-Newton results are summarized in Table 1.

2.2. Chandra spectral results: CDF-S and E-CDF-S

We then checked for indications of the iron line complexity in PID352 using the available Chandra spectra, well aware that the limited photon statistics will prevent us from drawing firm conclusions on this issue from Chandra data alone. At first, the CDF-S and E-CDF-S spectral data of PID352 were fitted separately in order to appreciate, from the admittedly low-counting statistics spectra, any possible significant difference, mostly in the continuum emission, which might preclude a joint analysis. A powerlaw model was adopted at this stage. The datasets provided consistent spectral results in terms of photon index (within errors), while the source flux is a factor $\sim 1.4$ higher in the E-CDF-S data than in CDF-S data. In all of the following analyses, we proceed with simultaneous X-ray spectral fitting of both datasets, leaving the normalizations free to vary and using the Cash statistic (i.e., using unbinned data; see Cash 1979).

The flat photon index obtained adopting a powerlaw model, $\Gamma = 0.2 \pm 0.1$, is suggestive of the presence of obscuration toward the source, similar to XMM-Newton data analysis. To properly investigate this issue, we included an obscuring screen in the spectral modeling, which returns a column density of $(9.6_{-6.5}^{+3.5}) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ at redshift $z = 0$. As expected, the photon index becomes steeper ($\Gamma = 1.2 \pm 0.3$), although not as steep as typically found in unobscured AGN and quasars ($\Gamma \approx 1.8$, e.g., Piconcelli et al. 2005). The shape of the data-to-model residuals, especially in the higher statistics CDF-S spectrum, are suggestive of some spectral features at observed energies of $\sim 2 - 3$ keV, although the relevance of such features is clearly limited by the paucity of photons.

Then we included an emission line, whose best-fitting observed-frame energy is $2.44_{-0.03}^{+0.04}$ keV, consistent with iron K$\alpha$ emission if the redshift derived from XMM-Newton data analysis is assumed. The improvement with respect to the previous fit in terms of $\Delta C$ is limited (10 for two less d.o.f., i.e., the line energy and its normalization, since the line width has been frozen to $\sigma = 10$ eV).

We note that other data-to-model residuals may reflect the presence of an absorption line, similar to what has been observed in XMM-Newton data. Once modeled as a narrow (10 eV) Gaussian line, the fit improves slightly ($\Delta C/d.o.f. = 5/2$). The observed-frame energy of this additional line is $2.85_{-0.10}^{+0.07}$ keV.

As for the XMM-Newton spectral analysis (§2.1), we then decided to model the data assuming that the iron K$\alpha$ line is neutral (6.4 keV restframe) and leaving its redshift free to vary. The redshift solution is therefore determined by the iron emission line and the iron absorption edge due to neutral matter. The derived redshift is $z = 1.62 \pm 0.05$ ($z = 1.62_{-0.07}^{+0.08}$ if one considers the 90% confidence level contours plotted in the inset of Fig. 3 left panel). The column density at the newly determined source redshift is $N_H = (1.01_{-0.34}^{+0.42}) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, while the photon index apparently remains flat ($\Gamma = 1.0 \pm 0.3$), possibly suggesting additional absorption complexity. Assuming $\Gamma = 1.8$, the column density becomes $\sim 2 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$. The observed-frame emission (absorption) line EW is 120 eV ($-65$ eV), with large uncertainties (see Table 1) owing to the low statistical significance of both lines. The best-fitting X-ray spectrum (rebinned at the 3 keV level for presentation purposes) is shown in Fig. 4, where E-CDF-S data are shown in red and CDF-S data in black, both normalized by the effective area. The energy of both features is marked. At the redshift of $z = 1.62$ and assuming that the absorption feature...
Although the absorption feature is observed in both XMM-Newton and Chandra datasets, one may argue about its statistical significance. To this point, extensive Monte Carlo simulations were carried out by producing 10 000 spectra for each EPIC instrument (pn, MOS1, and MOS2) using the FAKET routine in XSPEC (e.g., Lanzuisi et al. 2013). For each camera, the simulated spectra have the same background flux and exposure as observed. As input model for our simulations, we assumed the best-fitting model obtained in Sect. 2.1 deprived of the absorption feature. Therefore, the significance of the absorption line is estimated by computing how many times this feature is recovered by chance and produces an improvement in the spectral fitting larger or comparable to the one actually measured using real data. In practice, we first fitted the simulated spectra with the same model used for the simulation with free parameters and then introduced an absorption line. The line energy was left free to vary in the full 0.3–7 keV range and constrained to be the same in all three (pn, MOS1, and MOS2) spectra. To avoid the fitted energy of the absorption line clustering around the initial value, for each simulation we repeated the fit with an additional absorption line 68 times with initial energies in steps of 0.1 keV between 0.3 and 7 keV, and selected the best fit among them. Only 101 of the 10 000 simulated spectra show a spectral improvement larger than or equal to what is observed ($\chi^2 \approx 14$). This translates into a probability of detecting an absorption line when it does not exist of 1.01%. We then used a Bayesian procedure to find the probability distribution of the fraction of spurious detections (see example in pages 20—22 of Wall & Jenkins 2003) from 101 spurious detections out of 10 000 trials. This probability distribution was used to estimate confidence intervals in $f$, by determining the narrowest interval around the mode $f = 0.0101$ (S. Andreon, private communication) that included the corresponding probability. We obtained $f < 0.0132$ at 99.73% confidence.

As Vaughan & Uttley (2008) point out, relatively strong lines with large uncertainties (low EW/error ratio) may suffer from the so-called publication bias (but see also Tombesi et al. 2010). In the XMM-CDFS source catalog (Ranalli et al. 2013), there are only ten sources with more than 5000 net counts, PID352 being exactly in the tenth position in terms of number of counts. X-ray spectral analysis of this high-statistics sample (Comastri et al., in preparation; see Iwasawa et al. 2015 for a detailed study of the two brightest AGN) shows no indication of absorption features as the one detected in PID352. We can safely assume that below this number of counts, the detection of absorption features would be challenging. Considering the size of this XMM-Newton highly reliable “spectroscopic” sample and the discussion reported in §4.4 of Vaughan & Uttley (2008), we can argue that we might expect 0.1 such detections above the 2.6σ significance of the line. A comparable number is obtained if we consider also the four sources with more than 4000 net counts. The presence of such a feature in the different EPIC cameras and, possibly, in the Chandra data makes us confident of the reliability of the line, although its statistical significance is admittedly limited.

Furthermore, we note that at the energy of the emission and absorption features, there are no calibration issues in the effective area of XMM-Newton instruments. The accuracy is better

---

2.3. What XMM-Newton and Chandra tell us about PID352

From the X-ray spectral analyses reported above and summarized in Table 3, it is clear that the XMM-Newton and Chandra results are consistent within errors, both in terms of continuum emission and emission/absorption features. The XMM-Newton flux lies between that of CDF-S and E-CDF-S data. The only significant spectral difference is the presence of an additional soft component, parameterized by a powerlaw, in the XMM-Newton data; it seems plausible that we are able to observe such a component in XMM-Newton because of its higher effective area with respect to Chandra. The hard powerlaw is flatter in Chandra than in XMM-Newton and may explain the apparently higher column density derived in the XMM-Newton spectral analysis (see Table 1). Once $\Gamma = 1.8$ is assumed, the column densities derived from Chandra and XMM-Newton data become similar. We note that applying the best-fitting XMM-Newton model to the Chandra data (allowing the normalization of the continuum to be different) provides an acceptable fit. Also the features associated with iron have similar properties in XMM-Newton and Chandra spectra.

Assuming the best-fitting results from XMM-Newton analysis (owing to the higher quality of the data) and $z = 1.59 \pm 0.03$, we are able to derive the following outflow velocities for the gas:

$\nu_{\text{out}} = 0.13 \pm 0.02c$ and $0.09^{+0.02}_{-0.01}c$ in case of He-like (FeXXV, 6.7 keV) and H-like (FeXXVI, 6.97 keV) iron transitions, respectively. We postpone the discussion on the ionization state of the line to Sect. 4.

---

$\chi^2$ is the chi-squared statistic which is used to determine the goodness of fit for a given model. A lower $\chi^2$ value indicates a better fit. The $f$ value represents the fraction of spurious detections. When $f < 0.0132$, the detection of the absorption line is considered statistically significant.

---

3 The publication bias consists in the fact that only the observations with detected features have been reported in the literature. In other words, the reported detections of emission/absorption lines may be just the “tip of the iceberg”, i.e., the strongest or most significant ones from a distribution of random fluctuations.
than 3% and 2% in MOS and pn cameras, respectively (see XMM-SOC-CAL-TN-0018). The main response artifacts (i.e., the Au M and Ir K edges in the effective area) can therefore not be responsible for the observed features.

3. Multiwavelength data

3.1. Spectral energy distribution of PID352

Using the available multiwavelength data for PID352 (from MUSYC, Cardamone et al. 2014, Spitzer, Herschel SPIRE, SCUBA, LABOCA, and A/TEC), we were able to characterize the source more properly. In particular, PID352 is associated with an extremely red object (ERO, Elston et al. 1988), because it has an R−K color (AB mag) of ∼3.8 (5.4 in Vega mag). This class of sources represents one of the major components of the stellar mass build-up at redshifts z ∼ 1−2 (e.g. Daddi et al. 2000). The source flux density in the observed MUSYC J band is a factor ∼3 higher than in the z band, where PID352 is barely visible (see Fig. 5; the source position is shown as 5 µm contours provided by Chandra CDF-S data). To have a more comprehensive picture of PID352 and derive an estimate of the source bolometric luminosity, we modeled the source UV to millimeter emission in terms of galaxy plus AGN components (see Fig. 6).

The spectral energy distribution (SED) of PID352 was modeled using the SED-fitting code originally developed by Fritz et al. (2006) and recently updated by Feltre et al. (2012), and assuming z = 1.60 as derived from our X-ray spectral analysis. We note that, within the errors, the X-ray-derived redshift is consistent with both current photometric-redshift estimates (Taylor et al. 2009; Hsu et al. 2014), and it is currently the one with the lowest uncertainties. The code accounts for both the stellar and the AGN components (for applications of this code, see Vignali et al. 2009; Pozzi et al. 2012; Gilli et al. 2014). The stellar component is composed of a set of simple stellar population spectra of solar metallicity and ages up to ∼3 Gyr, i.e., the time elapsed between z_{60m}=6 (the redshift assumed here for the stars to form) and z=1.6 (the source redshift). This component is extincted according to a Calzetti et al. (2000) attenuation law. For the AGN component, we used an extended grid of “smooth” torus models with a “flared disc” geometry (Fritz et al. 2006), which provides a good description of the AGN mid-IR SED in the case of sparse photometric datapoints (see also Vignali et al. 2011) and Feltre et al. 2012).

The galaxy provides the dominant contribution at short wavelengths (dotted line in Fig. 6), where the best-fitting torus solution is suggestive of an obscured AGN (dashed line in Fig. 6), i.e., an AGN providing a limited contribution to the optical emission because of extinction. The amount of obscuration toward the AGN derived from the SED fitting is fully consistent with the absorption found from X-ray spectral analysis (assuming a Galactic dust-to-gas ratio conversion). However, the properties of the AGN component that may be derived from the SED fitting are not well constrained because of the lack of additional mid-IR data besides the Spitzer MIPS 24 µm datapoint.

This said, we used the restframe 12.3 µm flux density and the Gandi et al. (2009) relation to estimate the predicted intrinsic 2−10 keV luminosity of the source. We obtained a value of ∼2.5×10^{38} erg s^{-1}, which is ∼40% lower than actually measured from XMM-Newton spectral analysis but overall consistent if one considers the scatter in this mid-IR vs. X-ray correlation.

Although the source is apparently detected at 70 µm in the Herschel catalog (Magnelli et al. 2013), the presence of a nearby far-IR bright source and the lack of any further detection of PID352 at longer wavelengths (including LABOCA and A/TEC data at 870 µm and 1100 µm) suggest to consider PID352 as undetected in the far-IR/mm (as shown in the SED plotted in Fig. 6).

3.2. Radio data

PID352 has a long history of observations in the radio band. From the analysis of VLA 20cm (1.4GHz) and 6cm (4.8GHz) maps, Miller et al. (2008) and Kellermann et al. (2008) report the presence of an extended radio galaxy, classified as a double Fanaroff-Riley (FRII, Fanaroff & Riley 1974), whose “centroid” corresponds to the red galaxy associated with PID352 (see Fig. 7). However, no radio emission is detected at the position of the core of this FRII galaxy, although in the 6cm map there are hints of emission at that position (∼0.64 ± 0.37 mJy). In the latest published version of the 20cm catalog by Miller et al. (2013), characterized by an average rms of ∼7.4 µJy and a beam size FWHM= 2.8′′ × 1.6′′, the western and eastern radio lobes have integrated flux densities of 46.1 mJy and 36.2 mJy, respectively (with errors of ∼30 µJy). The radio power at 1.4GHz is thus ∼9.3×10^{26} W/Hz (Bonzini et al. 2012; 2013). If we adopt the measurements of the two radio lobes from Kellermann et al. (2008) at 6cm, we derive an energy index α ∼ 0.9−1.0, which is consistent with the relatively old electron populations typically expected in radio lobes.

The source is also reported in the ATLAS survey (carried out with ATCA) by Norris et al. (2006) and Hales et al. (2014) at 20cm with a different resolution (∼11′′ × 15′′ and ∼12′′ × 6′′, respectively). The flux densities reported in the two ATCA-based papers for the western lobe are 44.9 ± 9.0 mJy and 55.4 ± 2.8 mJy, respectively, while those for eastern lobe are 27.4 ± 5.5 mJy and 38.8 ± 1.9 mJy, respectively. Not surprisingly, PID352 is undetected in the VLBA observations at 20cm by Middelberg et al. (2011).
4. Properties of the outflow: location, mass-outflow rate vs. accretion rate, mechanical energy, and efficiency

After having characterized the X-ray data of PID352 using a “phenomenological” model, we modeled the XMM-Newton data using grids from the photoionization code xstar (Bautista & Kallman 2001; Kallman et al. 2004) to derive the physical parameters for the X-ray outflowing gas (primarily its location and the mass outflow rate), which are clearly affected by large uncertainties given the limited significance of the absorption line. The relatively large restframe EW of the iron absorption feature in the XMM-Newton best-fitting model (∼ 210 eV assuming $z = 1.59$, see Table I), combined with the curve of growth for highly ionized iron transitions (see Fig. 1 of Tombesi et al. 2011), suggests the need for high turbulent velocities. For this reason, we chose, among the xstar grids, the one with a turbulent velocity of 5000 km s$^{-1}$. This value is not at odds with the line width ($\sigma$), whose 90% upper limit is ∼ 150 eV (equivalent to ∼6000 km s$^{-1}$) in XMM-Newton spectra. The free parameters of the xstar model are the column density ($N_H$) of the ionized gas, the ionization parameter (defined as $\xi = L_{\text{ion}}/n r^2$, where $L_{\text{ion}}$ is the ionizing radiation in the 13.6 eV–13.6 keV energy range and $n r$ the measured column density $N_H$), and the observed redshift of the absorber $z_{\alpha}$, which is related to the intrinsic absorber redshift (i.e., in the source restframe) $z_{\alpha}$ as $(1 + z_{\alpha}) = (1 + z_{\alpha}) (1 + z_e)$, where $z_e$ is 1.59. The velocity can then be determined using the relativistic Doppler formula $1 + z_{\alpha} = ((1 - \beta)/(1 + \beta))^{3/2}$, where $\beta = v/c$ is required here to be positive for an outflow (see Tombesi et al. 2011 for further details).

Using xstar, we were able to obtain a relatively good fit for our data ($\chi^2$/d.o.f.=273.5/267), and the derived spectral parameters related to the powerlaws, cold absorption, and iron emission line are broadly consistent, within their uncertainties, with those presented in Fig. 2. The resulting column density of the ionized gas and its ionization parameter are $N_H = (2.23^{+0.07}_{-0.07}) 	imes 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $\log(\xi) = 2.94^{+0.33}_{-0.36}$ erg cm$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. These values are in good agreement with those found in AGN with X-ray outflows (e.g., Tombesi et al. 2010; Gofford et al. 2013; Chartas et al. 2014). From the redshift of the absorber, $z_0 = 1.24^{+0.13}_{-0.04}$, we are able to estimate $v_{\text{out}} = 0.14^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$, i.e., the absorption line is either associated with the FeXXV He$\alpha$ transition at 6.70 keV or the FeXXVI Ly$\alpha$ transition at 6.97 keV (or a combination of the two). Given the errors associated with the velocity of the outflow and the other spectral parameters, it is difficult to clearly determine what the exact ionization state of the line is. We note, however, that the 6.7 keV solution generally allows for higher EW values (i.e., more consistent with ours) at a given log($\xi$) (see Fig. 2 of Tombesi et al. 2011).

In the following calculations, we adopt the “prescriptions” of Tombesi et al. (2012) and Crenshaw & Kraemer (2013; see also Krongold et al. 2007) and Pounds & Reeves (2009) for additional discussion of outflows and related geometry.

The minimum distance of the outflowing gas can be estimated from the radius at which the observed velocity corresponds to the escape velocity, i.e., $r_{\text{min}} = 2GM_{\text{BH}}/v_{\text{out}}^2$, where...
$v_{\text{out}}$ is the measured outflow velocity. To this aim, we estimate the mass of the black hole directly from the SED fitting (§3.1), where the stellar mass ($M_\star \approx 4.9 \times 10^4 \, M_\odot$, assuming a Salpeter 1955 initial mass function) has been converted into a black hole mass adopting the relation reported in Eq. 8 of Sanii et al. (2011). The rms in this relation imply an error on the derived mass of a factor of $\sim 2.4$. From the black hole mass of $\sim 5.0 \times 10^8 \, M_\odot$, we derive $r_{\min} \sim 7.6 \times 10^{15} \, \text{cm}$, which is equivalent to $\sim 100$ gravitational radii ($r_g = GM_\odot/c^2$). Considering the uncertainties on the black hole mass, the range for $r_{\min}$ is $\approx (2.6 - 26) \times 10^{15} \, \text{cm}$.

Using the definition of the ionization parameter, we can also estimate the maximum distance of the gas from the central source as $r_{\max} < L_{\text{ion}}/\epsilon N_\textrm{H} \approx 2 \times 10^4$ gravitational radii. At this point, we can provide a crude estimate of the mass outflow rate using the formula reported in Sect. 3.1 of Crenshaw & Kraemer (2012):

$$M_{\text{out}} = 4\pi m_p \epsilon N_\textrm{H} v_{\text{out}} C_g,$$

where $m_p$ is the mass of the proton, $\mu$ the mean atomic mass per proton (1.4 for solar abundances), $N_\textrm{H}$ the column density of the ionized gas, $r_{\text{out}}$ is assumed to correspond to the line of sight outflow velocity, $r$ is the absorber’s radial location, and $C_g$ the global covering factor. Using a sample of local Seyfert galaxies, Tombesi et al. (2011) estimate the covering factor statistically to be $\sim 0.5$ (see also Gofford et al. 2013 and Crenshaw & Kraemer 2012). In the biconical approximation of the wind, this corresponds to $C_g = \Omega/4\pi = 0.5$, where $\Omega$ is the solid angle subtended, on average, by the wind with respect to the X-ray source. In the following, we assume $r$ as the minimum distance of the outflowing gas ($r_{\min}$), so the derived parameters are to be considered as lower limits. We note, however, that our object is at least an order of magnitude more luminous than the samples of local Seyfert galaxies from which $C_g$ was estimated statistically. Although we do not know this value exactly at high redshift and high luminosities, we can assume that it should be of this order, since it is most likely associated with a wide-angle accretion disk wind.

We estimate a mass outflow rate of $M_{\text{out}} \approx 1.7 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$. The large uncertainties in the quantities used to estimate $M_{\text{out}}$ imply a range for the mass outflow rate of $\sim (0.3 - 6.8) \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ ($1\sigma$ significance level). The uncertainty in the outflow rate is already significant, on average, by the wind with respect to the X-ray source. In the following, we assume $r$ as the minimum distance of the outflowing gas ($r_{\min}$), so the derived parameters are to be considered as lower limits. We note, however, that our object is at least an order of magnitude more luminous than the samples of local Seyfert galaxies from which $C_g$ was estimated statistically. Although we do not know this value exactly at high redshift and high luminosities, we can assume that it should be of this order, since it is most likely associated with a wide-angle accretion disk wind.

As a consistency check, we computed the source accretion rate. Using the bolometric luminosity of PID352 ($\sim 10^{46} \, \text{erg} \, \text{s}^{-1}$) derived from the SED fitting and ascribed to accretion processes (i.e., the mid-IR emission as due to thermally reprocessed AGN emission; see Vignali et al. 2011 for details) and assuming an energy conversion factor $\eta = 0.1$, we obtain $\dot{M}_{\text{acc}} \approx 1.7 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$, i.e., of the same order of magnitude as the mass outflow rate.

At this stage, one might consider whether the outflow mechanical energy is sufficient to influence the stellar processes in the host galaxy, following the reasoning of King (2010a) and Pounds (2014). Assuming an outflow velocity of 0.14c, we obtain a mechanical energy rate $E_{\text{mech}} = (1/2) M_{\text{out}} v_{\text{out}}^2 \approx 9.5 \times 10^{44} \, \text{erg} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ (uncertain by at least one order of magnitude), which is only 1.5% of the Eddington luminosity of PID352 ($\sim 6.5 \times 10^{46} \, \text{erg} \, \text{s}^{-1}$). Using a $M_{\text{bulge}} \approx 500 \times M_{\text{BH}}$ value and a dispersion velocity $\sigma \approx 280 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ (as expected from the $M$–$\sigma$ relation given the mass of PID352 black hole; Gültekin et al. 2009), the binding energy of the bulge gas, $E_{\text{bind}} \sim M_{\text{bulge}} \sigma^2/2c^2$, is $\sim 3.8 \times 10^{59} \, \text{erg}$. In a Salpeter time, the mechanical energy injected by the wind into the surrounding galaxy medium is $\sim 1.3 \times 10^{50} \eta_{\text{mech}} \, \text{erg}$, where $\eta_{\text{mech}}$ is the unknown fraction of the wind energy actually transferred to the bulge gas. Although, at face value, the energy injected by the outflow could be even greater than the binding energy of the bulge, we note that maintaining such a wind on bulge scale for a Salpeter time appears far from being an easy process. We also note that the efficiency of the outflow in PID352, $(1/2) M_{\text{out}} v_{\text{out}}^2 / L_{\text{bol}} < 0.09$, is consistent with the values required for efficient AGN feedback driven by winds (e.g., Di Matteo et al. 2005, Hopkins & Elvis 2010). As such, the wind in PID352 is likely to have a significant effect on the host galaxy. The relevance of the current result relies on the high redshift of PID352, close to the observed peak of the black hole accretion rate density (e.g., Delvecchio et al. 2014). Compared to other high-redshift ($z \sim 1.5$–3.9) quasars referred to in the literature, the efficiency of the outflow in PID352 is not so extreme (efficiencies of $\sim 0.1$, 0.3, and 1.0 were derived for the lensed quasars HS 0810+2554, PG 1115+080 and APM 0827+5255, respectively; Chartas et al. 2007, 2014), but our estimate is based on lower-quality X-ray data and suffers from large uncertainties. We note that high values for this efficiency may favor magnetic driving as the acceleration mechanism for the wind (e.g., Blandford & Payne 1982). We also note that the derived wind momentum, $P_{\text{out}} = M_{\text{out}} \, v_{\text{out}}$, is consistent with $L_{\text{bol}}/c$.

From recent literature (e.g., Tombesi et al. 2011, Gofford et al. 2013, the median velocity derived from the highly ionized iron transitions observed in local AGN is $\sim 0.1$c; a similar velocity has also been observed in highly ionized oxygen transitions in Ark 564 (Gupta et al. 2013)). This average value is close to the velocity derived for the outflow observed in PID352. Compared with other AGN showing UFOs, the peculiarity of PID352 is that it represents one of the few cases where an X-ray outflowing wind has been clearly detected at $z > 1$ (see also Chartas et al. 2002, 2007, 2009, 2014, Lanzuisi et al. 2012). Furthermore, to our knowledge, PID352 is not a lensed quasar, while most of the $z > 1$ outflow detections are related to lensed systems. Compared to the lensed high-redshift quasars with outflows HS 0810+2554 ($z=1.51$; Chartas et al. 2014 and H1413+117 ($z=2.56$; Chartas et al. 2007), the bolometric luminosity of PID352 is a factor of $\sim 3$ and $\sim 5 - 10$ higher. The relatively good constraints for the outflow parameters of PID352 are mostly due to the extensive observational X-ray campaign carried out in the CDF-S over more than a decade (in this regard, see also the claimed but never confirmed outflows reported by Wang et al. 2008, Zheng & Wang 2008), and by the large collecting area of XMM-Newton.

As a final remark, we briefly discuss the presence of the wind in PID352 and its possible link to radio jets, since the source is classified as an FRII galaxy (see §4.2). As discussed by Tombesi et al. (2014), where a minimum wind covering fraction of $C \approx (0.3 - 0.7)$ was derived, UFOs in radio-loud AGN are likely to be covering a significant portion of the sky as seen by the central source. Since derived statistically for a sample of 26 radio-loud AGN (using also the information from the radio jet

\footnote{We used a bolometric correction of 25 to convert the 2–10 keV luminosity into a bolometric luminosity, and magnification factors of 94 and (20–40) to have intrinsic values for HS 0810+2554 and H1413+117, respectively.}
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inclination angle to visualize the geometry of the system, assuming that the jet is perpendicular to the accretion disk), this result implies that the absorbing material is not highly collimated as expected in the case of jets; as a result, an accretion-disk wind is favored. Furthermore, in the specific case of another FRII radio galaxy, 3C 111, the UFO mass outflow rate ($\sim 0.1 - 1 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, i.e., similar to that of PID352) is much greater than the outflow funnelled into the jet ($M_{out,j} = 0.0005 - 0.005 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$; Tombesi et al. 2012b), implying that the UFO is much more massive than the jet, although its kinetic power can be one order of magnitude lower. Placed in a broader context, these results are suggestive of considerable feedback onto the host galaxy by winds and jets (see also the discussion in Miller et al. 2009 about the co-existence of outflows and jets in radio-loud quasars and their connection with X-ray binaries).

5. Summary

In this work we have investigated the properties of PID352, a luminous ($L_{2-10 keV} \approx 4 \times 10^{44}$ erg/s), obscured ($N_{HI} \approx 2 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$) quasar at $z = 0.06$ detected in the CDF-S with both XMM-Newton and Chandra and associated with the radio-undetected core of an FRII galaxy at 20 cm, which is optically classified as an extremely red object. X-ray spectral data show the presence of an iron-line complex consisting of an emission plus an absorption line. While the former feature (used, in conjunction with the iron absorption edge, to estimate the source redshift), this result implies that the UFO is much more massive than the jet, although its kinetic power can be one order of magnitude lower. Placed in a broader context, these results are suggestive of considerable feedback onto the host galaxy by winds and jets (see also the discussion in Miller et al. 2009 about the co-existence of outflows and jets in radio-loud quasars and their connection with X-ray binaries).

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the referee for detailed and thoughtful comments and suggestions. Financial contribution from “PRIN–INAF 2011” and “PRIN–INAF 2012” is acknowledged. KL acknowledges support by the Spanish MINECO under grant AYA2013-47447-C3-2-P and MDM-2014-0369 of IAC-CUB (Union de Excelencia “María de Maeztu”). GL and MB acknowledge support from the FP7 Career Integration Grant “eEASY” (“SMBH evolution through cosmic time: from current surveys to eROSITA-Euclid AGN Synergies”), CIG 321913. FI3 acknowledges Financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under project AYA2012-31447. WNB thanks support from Chandra X-ray Center grant GO4-15130A and NASA ADS grant NNX10AC09G. FEB acknowledges support from CONICYT-Chile (Basa- CATA PFB-06/07, FONDECYT 1141218, “EMBIGGEN” Anillo ACT11101) and the Ministry of Economy, Development, and Tourism’s Millennium Science Initiative through grant IC120009, awarded to The Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, MAS. CV thanks P. Ciliegi, D. Dallacasa and E. Middelberg for information on radio data, and M. Cappi, G. Chartas, A. Feltre, P. Grandi, E. Lusso, G. Ponti, L. Pozzetti, E. Rovilos, P. Severgnini, F. Vito, and G. Zamorani for useful discussions.

References

Alexander, D. M., Swinbank, A. M., Smail, I., McDermid, R., & Nesvadba, N. P. H. 2010, MNRAS, 402, 2211
Anders, E., & Grevesse, N. 1989, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 53, 197
Arnaud, K. A. 1996 in Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V, ASP Conf. Ser., 101, 17
Avni, Y. 1976, ApJ, 210, 642
Awaki, H., Koyama, K., Inoue, H., & Halpern, J. P. 1991, PASJ, 43, 195
Ballo, L., Severgnini, P., Braito, V., et al. 2015, A&A, 581, A87
Bautista, M. A., & Kallman, T. R. 2001, ApJS, 134, 139
Blandford, R. D., & Payne, D. G. 1982, MNRAS, 199, 883
Blustin, A. J., Page, M. J., Fuerst, S. V., Branduardi-Raymont, G., & Ashton, C. E. 2005, A&A, 431, 111
Bonzini, M., Mainieri, V., Padovani, P., et al. 2012, ApJS, 203, 15
Bonzini, M., Padovani, P., Mainieri, V., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 436, 3759
Broos, P. S., Townley, L. K., Feigelson, E. D., et al. 2010, ApJ, 714, 1582
Brusa, M., Bongiorno, A., Cresci, G., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 446, 2394
Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, 682
Cano-Díaz, M., Maiolino, R., Marconi, A., et al. 2012, A&A, 537, L8
Cappi, M. 2006, Astronomische Nachrichten, 327, 1012
Cardamone, C. N., van Dokkum, P. G., Urry, C. M., et al. 2010, ApJS, 189, 270
Cash, W. 1979, ApJ, 228, 939
Cattaneo, A., Faber, S. M., Binney, J., et al. 2009, Nature, 460, 213
Chartas, G., Brandt, W. N., Gallagher, S. C., & Garnire, G. P. 2002, ApJ, 579, 169
Chartas, G., Eracleous, M., Dai, X., Agol, E., & Gallagher, S. 2007, ApJ, 661, 678
Chartas, G., Saez, C., Brandt, W. N., Giustini, M., & Garnire, G. P. 2009, ApJ, 706, 644
Chartas, G., Hamann, F., Eracleous, M., et al. 2014, ApJ, 783, 57
Cicone, C., Maiolino, R., Sturm, E., et al. 2014, A&A, 562, A21
Costa, T., Sijska, D. J., & Hachern, M. G. 2014, MNRAS, 444, 2355
Crenshaw, D. M., & Kraemer, S. B. 2012, ApJ, 753, 75
Cresci, G., Mainieri, V., Brusa, M., et al. 2015, ApJ, 799, 82
Daddi, E., Cimatti, A., & Renzini, A. 2000, A&A, 362, A21
Dadina, M., Oosterloo, T. A., et al. 2010, ApJS, 189, 270
Delvecchio, I., Gruppioni, C., Pozzi, F., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 444, 2355
Di Matteo, T., Springel, V., & Hernquist, L. 2005, Nature, 434, 604
Elston, R., Rieke, G. H., & Rieke, M. J. 1988, ApJ, 331, L77
Fabian, A. C. 2012, ARA&A, 50, 455
Fanaroff, B. L., & Riley, J. M. 1974, MNRAS, 167, 31P
Faucher-Giguère, C.-A., & Quataert, E. 2012, MNRAS, 425, 605
Feltre, A., Hatziminaoglou, E., Fritz, J., & Franceschi, A. 2012, MNRAS, 426, 120
Feruglio, C., Maiolino, R., Piconcelli, E., et al. 2015, A&A, 518, L55
Feruglio, C., Fiore, F., Carini, S., et al. 2015, A&A, submitted (arXiv:1503.01481)
Fritz, J., Franceschi, A., & Hatziminaoglou, E. 2006, MNRAS, 366, 767
Fukumura, K., Kazanas, D., Contopoulos, I., & Behar, E. 2010, ApJ, 715, 636

Article number, page 10 of 11
