Biomimetic manganese-eumelanin nanocomposites for combined hyperthermia-immunotherapy against prostate cancer
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Abstract
Pro-tumoral and immunosuppressive M2-like tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) contribute to tumor progression, recurrence and distal metastasis. However, current TAMs-modulating therapeutic strategies often encounter challenges including insufficient immune activation, weak antigen presentation ability and unsatisfactory antitumor immune performance. Herein, cyclic RGD peptide functionalized and manganese doped eumelanin-like nanocomposites (RMnMels) are reported for combined hyperthermia-immunotherapy against PC3 prostate cancer. The RMnMels could promote M2-to-M1 macrophage repolarization via scavenging multiple reactive oxygen species and remodeling the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment. Following near-infrared light irradiation, RMnMels-mediated thermal ablation not only could destroy tumor cells directly, but also elicit the release of damage associated molecular patterns and tumor-associated antigens, provoking robust tumor immunogenicity and strong antitumor immune responses. The results showed that RMnMels could effectively scavenge reactive oxygen species and promote M2-to-M1 macrophage repolarization both in vitro and in vivo. Synergistically enhanced anti-tumor therapeutic efficacy was achieved following single administration of RMnMels plus single round of laser irradiation, evidenced by decreased primary tumor sizes and decreased number of distant liver metastatic nodules. The as-developed RMnMels may represent a simple and high-performance therapeutic nanoplatform for immunomodulation and enhanced antitumor immune responses.
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**Introduction**

Immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME) is one crucial driver to promote tumorigenesis, progression, metastasis, immune evasion, and therapeutic resistance [1, 2]. As one of the most abundant components of immune cells infiltrating the TME, tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) can be actively recruited to tumor sites and secrete various cytokines and chemokines, contributing to tumour immune evasion and immunotherapy failure [3–5]. TAMs are typically differentiated into anti-tumoral M1-like and pro-tumoral M2-like phenotype according to their polarization states [6, 7]. Usually, TAMs predominately present as M2-like phenotype, which is responsible for a variety of tumor-promoting activities at both primary and metastatic tumor sites [8–11]. Up to now, several TAMs-modulating therapeutic strategies have been explored as emerging paradigms [1, 2, 12]. However, one main drawback of depleting TAMs is that macrophages may lose their potential immunostimulatory effects as phagocytes and professional antigen-presenting cells [13]. It is of great interest to develop novel approaches for promoting the anti-tumor functional states of TAMs and enhancing the antitumor therapeutic efficacy.

It is well-known that TME is highly oxidative and characterized by overburdened reactive oxygen species (ROS) [14–16]. Previous studies revealed that ROS were crucial signaling molecules in M2 macrophage polarization and function [17]. M2 macrophages are more susceptible to ROS variation compared with M1 macrophages [18]. The M1 macrophages are effective effector cells for direct tumor cell killing through extensive release of various cytokines and local recruitment of pro-immunostimulating macrophages [13]. In this regard, selective M2-to-M1 macrophage repolarization has drawn significant attention for alleviating immunosuppression and improving antitumor responses [19, 20]. Recently, a variety of therapeutic agents (e.g., monoclonal antibodies, small molecular inhibitors, and complicated nanoparticles) have been extensively explored for M2-to-M1 macrophage repolarization [2, 17, 19–22]. Despite promising, these approaches encounter problems such as insufficient immune activation, weak antigen presentation ability, and unsatisfactory antitumor immune response [23, 24]. It is highly reasonable to integrate other appropriate synergistic strategies for improved therapeutic efficacy.

As an emerging therapeutic modality, photothermal monotherapy seems to be insufficient in complete tumor ablation attributed to the limited tissue penetration depth of light and the presence of immunosuppressive TME [25–27]. Recently, synergistic hyperthermia-immunotherapy has emerged as a novel regimen for expanding the attack area including residual tumor deep regions, disseminated metastasis and recurrent tumors [25, 28, 29]. In this scenario, local hyperthermia at tumor sites not only could ablate the primary tumor cells directly, but also could induce the release of multiple tumor-associated antigens and endogenous danger signals in situ, reversing the immunosuppressive TME, creating an immune-favorable TME, and strengthening the systemic antitumor immune responses [30–32]. However, the majority of...
the existing photothermal conversion agents are unable to be implemented in clinic attributed to their complicated and time-consuming fabrication procedures and possible long-term safety concerns [33]. Developing biomimetic nanoplatforms derived from naturally components via convenient procedures is highly beneficial [16, 34, 35], because they can be easily and gradually decomposed into nontoxic small molecular metabolic components [36]. Melanins are natural occurring biopolymers distributed in various parts of living organisms, possessing excellent biocompatibility and biodegradability [37, 38]. Owing to the abundance in reductive functional groups, melanins exhibit broad-spectrum radical scavenging capacities [37], which render them promising as novel potent antioxidative nanoplatforms.

Here, we report cyclic RGD peptide functionalized and manganese doped eumelanin nanocomposites (RMnMels) for synergistic hyperthermia-immunotherapy against PC3 prostate cancer (Scheme 1). The targeting peptide could facilitate preferential intratumoral accumulation of RMnMels via specific ligand-receptor interaction, realizing $T_1$-weighted magnetic resonance/photoacoustic imaging for visualization of tumor in vivo. Then, RMnMels could promote M2-to-M1 macrophage repolarization via scavenging multiple ROS in situ in PC3 prostate cancer bearing mice. Notably, RMnMels-mediated local hyperthermia could destroy tumor cells directly, and the released damage associated molecular patterns and tumor-associated antigens could provoke robust tumor immunogenicity and strong antitumor immune responses. These together could synergistically reverse the immunosuppressive TME and realize improved therapeutic efficacy. As expected, single administration of RMnMels plus single round of laser irradiation realized remarkable anti-tumor efficacy, evidenced by decreased primary tumor sizes and decreased number of distant metastatic nodules. Taken together, the as-developed RMnMels represent a simple and high-performance therapeutic nanoplatform for immunomodulation and enhanced antitumor immune responses.

**Results and discussion**

**Preparation and characterization of nanocomposites**

Manganese (Mn) doped eumelanin-like nanocomposites (MnMels) were first prepared through a simple intra-polymerization doping strategy. To improve the circulation stability and tumor targeting capacity of nanocomposites, PEGylated MnMels (PMnMels) and cRGD peptide modified MnMels (RMnMels) were synthesized [39]. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images showed that
both MnMels and RMnMels were uniform and monodispersed spherical in morphology, with a mean diameter of 80–100 nm (Fig. 1a, b). There was no apparent change in morphology between before and after RGD decoration. Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) element mapping analysis showed homogeneous distribution of Mn element inside the entire nanostructures, confirming the successful doping of Mn element (Fig. 1c, Additional file 1: Fig. S1). The Mn loading efficiency was quantified as about 9.3% weight, determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) survey spectra showed that high-resolution Mn curve exhibited two binding energy peaks centered at 641.8 and 653.6 eV, which were assigned to Mn 2p3/2 and Mn 2p1/2 states, respectively. The peak centered at 646.0 eV was assigned to Mn 2p3/2 satellite peak (Fig. 1d, Additional file 1: Fig. S2). The nonlinear fitting analysis results showed that about 58% of manganese was in
divalent state, 36.5% in quadrivalent state, and 5.5% in trivalent state, respectively. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) results showed that the mean hydrodynamic sizes of MnMels, PMnMels, and RMnMels were 122 ± 8.5 nm, 142 ± 17.5 nm, and 164 ± 11.5 nm, respectively, and all the zeta potentials of nanocomposites showed negative surface charge (Additional file 1: Fig. S3). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) results showed characteristic band signals for amide bond formation located at around 1650 cm⁻¹ and 1535 cm⁻¹, arising from the C=O and C–N stretching vibration (Fig. 1e). These results indicated successful synthesis of PMnMels and RMnMels.

Given the paramagnetic property of Mn ions, the feasibility of RMnMels as magnetic resonance (MR) contrast agents were evaluated. $T_1$-weighted images demonstrated positive contrast enhancement in a concentration-dependent manner, and the longitudinal ($r_1$) relaxivity was estimated to be 2.49 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Additional file 1: Fig. S4). The MR contrast property of RMnMels was also confirmed by in vivo MRI (Additional file 1: Fig. S5). Time-resolved signal intensities in the kidneys and liver were monitored to evaluate the biodistribution and metabolic process of nanocomposites. After injection into PC3 prostate cancer bearing mice, both PMnMels and RMnMels provided positive contrast enhancement on $T_1$-weighted images (Fig. 1f). Benefitted from peptide mediated active targeting, RMnMels accumulated at the tumor site more efficiently at 6 h post-injection, which was about 3.42 times higher than PMnMels (Fig. 1g). The concentration-dependent optical absorption of RMnMels (Additional file 1: Fig. S6) indicated their potential in photoacoustic imaging applications. As expected, RMnMels generated bright photoacoustic signals, which were in linearity with the mass concentrations (Additional file 1: Fig. S7). In accordance with MRI results, RMnMels showed more efficient intratumoral accumulation and produced stronger signal intensity at 6 h post-injection, which was about 2.4 times higher than that of PMnMels (Fig. 1h, i). ICP-MS results further confirmed the more effective efficient intratumoral accumulation of RMnMels (Additional file 1: Fig. S8). The results indicated great potential of RMnMels for $T_1$-weighted MR/photoacoustic dual-modal imaging in vivo.

Nanocomposites showed negligible cytotoxicity on PC3 and RAW 264.7 cells in the range of testing concentrations (Additional file 1: Fig. S9), indicating their biosafety and potential for in vivo applications. Optical microscope images clearly showed the binding of RMnMels with RAW 264.7 and PC3 cells (Additional file 1: Fig. S10). Cellular and tumor tissue TEM images showed that RMnMels were located in endosome-like structures (Additional file 1: Fig. S11), indicating efficient cellular internalization. Interestingly, cellular TEM images clearly showed that RMnMels could be internalized into lipopolysaccharide induced M1-like cells (Additional file 1: Fig. S12). Given that TME is characterized by elevated $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ levels, the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$-responsive degradation behavior of RMnMels was of great importance to minimize the poor biodegradation issue of many nanomaterials (Additional file 1: Fig. S13). The nanocomposites exhibited broad and monotonic optical absorption in the range of 300–1000 nm, and the absorbance at 690 nm was in good linear correlation with the mass concentrations (Fig. 1e). Benefiting from the strong near infrared (NIR) absorption, the temperature of RMnMels solution increased in laser power density/concentration dependent manners (Fig. 2c, d, Additional file 1: Fig. S14). Interestingly, RMnMels exhibited satisfactory photothermal stability (Additional file 1: Figs. S15, S16). The hyperthermia-mediated cell killing effect of RMnMels was verified by Calcein-AM/PI co-staining (Fig. 2e). Following RMnMels plus laser irradiation, remarkably decreased PC3 cell viability with increased incubation concentrations was determined. The cell viability results showed that the photothermal cell-killing efficacy was associated with nanoparticle concentrations (Fig. 2f).

RMnMels exhibited effective scavenging capacity against 2,2-di-(4-tert-octylphenyl)-1-picyrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH, Fig. 2g), superoxide anion ($\text{O}_2^-$, Fig. 2h) and hydroxyl radical (•OH, Fig. 2i). Following different treatments, RMnMels treatment resulted in the most significantly decreased intracellular fluorescence intensity in RAW 264.7 cells (Fig. 2j, m), indicating their effective ROS scavenging capacity. Tumor tissue immunofluorescence results showed that, RMnMels plus laser treatment induced more remarkably decreased ROS levels in the tumor tissues than PBS control (Fig. 2n). Given the excellent ROS scavenging capacity of RMnMels, their effect on mitochondrial function of PC3 cells was measured by a cellular energy metabolism analyzer. The results showed that RMnMels had a negative effect on oxygen consumption rate (OCR) compared with PBS control (Fig. 2k). PC3 cells co-incubated with RMnMels showed a decreased spare respiration by 12.53 ± 3.65% ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 2l). The results suggested that RMnMels could significantly inhibit mitochondrial function and alleviate the oxidative stress in PC3 cells.

**RMnMels promote M2-to-M1 macrophage repolarization**

Inhibiting M2 macrophages is considered as a promising cancer therapeutic approach [20]. The direct repolarization efficacy of RMnMels was analyzed using RAW264.7 cells. Real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) results revealed that compared with PBS control, RMnMels treatment significantly
up-regulated the mRNA levels of M1-related markers such as iNOS, TNF-α, and CD86, in which the iNOS increased as much as 10 times (Fig. 3a). Western blot assay showed that RMnMels treatment induced significant up-regulation of TNF-α, IL-1β and iNOS protein expression levels (Fig. 3b). Flow cytometric analysis showed that RMnMels treatment induced remarkably increased proportions of CD86$^{\text{high}}$ M1 macrophages (Additional file 1: Fig. S17). Furthermore, the levels of inflammatory cytokines following different treatments in RAW 264.7 cells were further verified by ELISA. The results showed that RMnMels treatment induced the
upregulation of several M1 markers including IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-1β, and inhibited M2 marker IL-10 production (Fig. 3c). Cell immunofluorescence assay also showed that M1 marker iNOS expression increased and M2 marker CD163 expression decreased following RMnMels treatment (Fig. 3e). These results indicated that RMnMels were capable of driving the polarization of TAMs from M2-like toward M1-like phenotype.

**RMnMels alleviate immunosuppressive TME and significantly inhibit tumor growth**

The time schedule for treatment is shown in Fig. 4a. The immunological effects of thermal ablation was first assessed in PC3 tumor bearing mice. The temperature at the tumor sites increased to about 45.0 °C following RMnMels plus laser treatment. However, for mice receiving laser only, the temperature maintained at...
Fig. 4  In vivo therapeutic evaluation of nanocomposites in PC3 tumor-bearing mice. a Schematic illustration of the time schedule for therapeutic treatment. Infrared thermal images (b) and temperature evolution curves (c) at tumor sites during different treatments. d Tumor growth curves during 19 days after different treatments. e The percentages of TAMs (CD45⁺CD11b⁺F4/80⁺) using flow cytometry. f The percentage of M1-like phenotype (CD86high in CD45⁺CD11b⁺F4/80⁺ cells) and M2-like phenotype (CD163high in CD45⁺CD11b⁺F4/80⁺) using flow cytometry. g–j Quantification of TAMs, M1-like TAMs, and M2-like TAMs and the ratio of M1/M2 TAMs infiltrated in tumor tissues by flow cytometry (n = 3). Data were presented as mean ± SD. The statistical differences were quantified using Student’s t test (ns, no significance, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001)
about 36.4 °C, which was insufficient to elicit any tissue damage (Fig. 4b, c). Besides directly destroying tumor cells, RMnMels-mediated hyperthermia could serve as an efficient immune stimulator to reverse the immuno-suppressive TME and promote systemic antitumor immunological effects. Tissue immunofluorescence assay showed remarkably decreased M2 macrophages at the tumor sites following RMnMels and RMnMels plus laser treatment (Fig. 3f). Next, the serum levels of typical inflammatory cytokines were detected, given their roles as indicators of immunological responses. Consistent with cellular ELISA results, a similar shift in production of inflammatory cytokines in vivo was detected (Fig. 3d), which could contribute to enhanced immune responses. In the RMnMels only group, local hyperthermia effect was excluded due to the absence of laser irradiation, and the moderate changes of cytokine levels was attributed to RMnMels. Under the synergism of RMnMels and NIR irradiation, the IL-6, TNF-α and IL-1β levels further increased and remained within the normal ranges, indicating safe and efficient immunological responses. Collectively, these results revealed that RMnMels could significantly alleviate the immuno-suppressive TME by inhibiting M2 macrophage polarization in vivo.

Then, the antitumor effect was evaluated during a period of 19 days (Fig. 4d, Additional file 1: Fig. S18). The antitumor effect was moderately ameliorated following RMnMels only treatment, attributed to their capacity in immunostimulation. PMnMels plus laser treatment had a similar antitumor effect because of inadequate intratumoral accumulation and subsequent restrained photothermal efficacy. Benefited from specific tumor accumulation via peptide mediated active-targeting, RMnMels plus laser treatment showed maximized therapeutic efficacy. The synergism of immunostimulation and hyperthermia was evidenced by almost complete inhibition of tumor growth (Fig. 4d). To elucidate the antitumor mechanism of the prominent therapeutic performance, the profile of tumor-infiltrating immune cells was evaluated using flow cytometry (Additional file 1: Fig. S19). Compared with PBS only and PBS plus laser treatment, PMnMels plus laser treatment and RMnMels plus laser treatment significantly induced TAMs infiltration into tumor tissues (Fig. 4e, g). The RMnMels plus laser treatment significantly decreased the M2-like TAMs and increased the M1-like TAMs (Fig. 4f, h, i), resulting in an elevated M1/M2 ratio (Fig. 4i). The immunofluorescence and TUNEL staining also showed maximum M1-like TAMs and tumor cell apoptosis in the RMnMels plus laser group (Figs. 3f and 5e). Correspondingly, the immuno-suppressive TME was remodeled in the RMnMels plus laser group.

**RMnMels significantly inhibit tumor metastasis and safety evaluation**

In addition to significantly inhibited primary tumors, the minimum metastatic lesions in the liver and lymph nodes were observed in the RMnMels plus laser group (Fig. 5a–d). RMnMels plus laser treatment exhibited the most remarkable capability to inhibit tumor metastasis compared with other groups. Notably, H&E stained images (Additional file 1: Fig. S20) of major organs in PC3 tumor-bearing mice and serum biochemical both in tumor-bearing mice and healthy mice (Fig. 5f, Additional file 1: Fig. S21) showed scarcely any abnormality. The results indicated satisfactory safety of RMnMels, which was suitable for in vivo bio-applications.

**Limitations**

This study has limitations. First, RMnMels are reported as a simple and high-performance therapeutic nano-platform for combined hyperthermia-immunotherapy against PC3 prostate cancer, in which RGD peptide served as a well-acknowledged targeting module for improved delivery of nanocomposites. However, whether RGD-integrin specific recognition itself has potential biological effect lacks methodologically acceptable evidence, which may be verified by using a scrambled peptide or a blocking experiment. Second, as a relatively simplified model for the proposed concept, human prostate cancer cell line PC3 based subcutaneous heterotopic tumor model was established in nude mice in this study. However, it could not objectively simulate the real physio-pathologic characteristics of the primary human tumors. Orthotopic patient-derived xenografts models in humanized mice, or animal-originated cell line-based orthotopic tumor models in mice with a normal immune system should be established for assessing the theranostic performance of RMnMels, which is one potential pre-clinical direction in the future.

**Conclusions**

In summary, an immunomodulation-enhanced nanoplat-form is constructed to realize synergistic hyperthermia-immunotherapy. Unlike conventional TAMs-modulating therapeutic strategies, the intrinsic nature of melanin endows the as-developed RMnMels with satisfactory biocompatibility and multifunctionality, and they could scavenge excess ROS and induce M2-to-M1 macrophage repolarization at tumor sites in situ, remodeling the immuno-suppressive TME effectively. Following thermal ablation induced by local light, RMnMels could serve as immunological stimulators to improve the immunostimulatory efficacy and strengthen the antigen presentation ability. Our results demonstrated that high-performance hyperthermia-immunotherapy synergism was achieved.
following single administration of RMnMels plus single round of laser irradiation. This work will open up new insights for enhanced antitumor immune responses and improved tumor therapeutic efficacy.

**Experimental section**

**Materials**

Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄), 3,4-dihydroxy-3,4-DOPA, propidium iodide (PI), and calcein acetoxymethyl ester (Calcein AM) were purchased from Aladdin Reagent (Shanghai, China). Thiol-terminated methoxy-poly (ethylene glycol) (mPEG-SH, molecular weight = 5000 Da) was purchased from Seebio (Shanghai, China). Cyclic Arg-Gly-Asp (cRGD) peptide was purchased from ChinaPeptides Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu, China). Minimum essential medium (MEM), Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Gibco (New York, USA). 2,2-Di-(4-tert-octylphenyl)-1-picyrlyhydrazyl radical (DPPH) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industries Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan).
Industry (Tokyo, Japan). IL-4 were purchased from Bio-Legend (San Diego, USA). Lipopolysaccharide (LPS), ammonium hydroxide (30%), β-actin, and HRP-conjugated mouse monoclonal antibody were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). ELISA assay kits (TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10, IL-1β) were purchased from MeiBiao Biological Technology (Jiangsu, China). CCK-8 assay kit was purchased from Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology (Beijing, China). ROS assay kit was purchased from Beyotime (Shanghai, China). Anti-CD163 and anti-iNOS were purchased from Abcam (Massachusetts, USA), CD86-PE and CD206-APC monoclonal antibodies were purchased from Beyotime (Shanghai, China). COX-2 was purchased from eBiosciences (San Diego, USA). CD86-PE and CD206-APC monoclonal antibodies were purchased from Abcam (Massachusetts, USA), CD86-PE and CD206-APC monoclonal antibodies were purchased from Beyotime (Shanghai, China). Magnetic resonance imaging

To verify the MR contrast capacity of RMnMels, relativity measurement was carried on a MR apparatus (Bio-Spec, Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). RMnMels with various metal molar concentrations (0-1 mM) were dispersed in ultrapure water. Phonant images were acquired at room temperature. The r1 relaxation was determined by a linear fitting function between 1/relaxation time (s⁻¹) and manganese ion molar concentrations (mM). In vivo T1-weighted MR images were acquired on a MR apparatus (M3TM, Aspect Imaging, Israel). The parameters were set as follows: TR/TE: 500/12 ms; slice thickness: 0.8 mm; field of view: 3.0 × 6.0 cm; matrix: 256 × 128. During image acquisition, all mice were anesthetized using a mixture of isoflurane and compressed air.

Photoacoustic imaging

3 mL RMnMels with various concentrations (0–500 µg/mL) were placed in a cylindrical model for photoacoustic signal detection, using a multispectral photoacoustic tomography imaging system (MSOT, iTheraMedical, Germany). The parameters were set up to allow absorbance of 690–900 nm. The photoacoustic signal intensity was quantitatively analyzed.

Biodistribution of RMnMels in vivo

200 µL RMnMels solution (12 mg/kg body weight) was intravenously injected into PC3 tumor bearing mice. T1-weighted MR images were acquired prior to and at various time points post injection of RMnMels using a MR scanner. To quantify the contrast enhancement, the signal intensity was determined by finely analyzing ROI of the images. To eliminate possible variations among mice, normalized T1 relaxation times were analyzed against post-injection time and by setting that of prior to injection as 1.0. The photoacoustic signals in the tumor regions were acquired prior to and at various time points post injection of RMnMels using MSOT system, with images acquired in 8 min with 0.5 mm per step.

Physicochemical characterization

The shape and morphology of nanocomposites were acquired by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Tecnai G2 F30 S-TWIN, USA) and scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi SU-70, Tokyo, Japan). Elemental mapping scanning images was acquired using energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy equipped on TEM. The zeta potential and hydrodynamic sizes of nanocomposites were determined by dynamic light scatterer (DLS, Zetasizer Nano ZS90, Malvern Instruments, UK). The chemical functional groups were measured by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Nicolet iS10, USA). The valence states of manganese component were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The metal ion contents in nanocomposites were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, PerkinElmer, USA, Agilent 7800). The optical absorption profile in the UV-vis region was acquired using an UV–vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Company, Japan). All electron spin resonance (ESR) spectra measurements were acquired by an ESR spectrometer (Bruker A300-10/12, Germany) at ambient temperature.

Manganese doped eumelanin-like nanocomposites (MnMels) were prepared via a Michael addition approach. Briefly, 2 mg/mL MnMels was added in 950 µL NH3·H2O (30%) solution (pH = 10). Then, 500 nmol cRGD was added and reacted at 4 °C for 12 h. The products were purified by several centrifugation-redispersion processes (17,500 rpm, 15 min) to remove excess peptide. Finally, the as-obtained RMnMels were re-dispersed in deionized water for subsequent experiments.

**Synthesis of MnMels, PMnMels and RMnMels**

Manganese doped eumelanin-like nanocomposites (MnMels) were prepared through a facile and simple intra-polymerization doping strategy as we previously described [40]. For preparing PEGylated MnMels (PMnMels), MnMels and mPEG-SH (feeding mass ratio = 1:5) were vigorously stirred in pH = 10 buffer solution for 12 h at room temperature. The as-obtained PMnMels were purified by several centrifugation-redispersion processes (17,500 rpm, 15 min) to remove residual mPEG-SH. The cRGD peptide modified MnMels (RMnMels) were prepared via a Michael addition approach. Briefly, 2 mg/mL MnMels was added in 950 µL NH3·H2O (30%) solution (pH = 10). Then, 500 nmol cRGD in 50 µL water was added and reacted at 4 °C for 12 h. The products were retrieved by four times of centrifugation-redispersion processes (17,500 rpm, 15 min) to remove excess peptide. Finally, the as-obtained RMnMels were re-dispersed in deionized water for subsequent experiments.

**Photoacoustic imaging**

3 mL RMnMels with various concentrations (0–500 µg/mL) were placed in a cylindrical model for photoacoustic signal detection, using a multispectral photoacoustic tomography imaging system (MSOT, iTheraMedical, Germany). The parameters were set up to allow absorbance of 690–900 nm. The photoacoustic signal intensity was quantitatively analyzed.

**Biodistribution of RMnMels in vivo**

200 µL RMnMels solution (12 mg/kg body weight) was intravenously injected into PC3 tumor bearing mice. T1-weighted MR images were acquired prior to and at various time points post injection of RMnMels using a MR scanner. To quantify the contrast enhancement, the signal intensity was determined by finely analyzing ROI of the images. To eliminate possible variations among mice, normalized T1 relaxation times were analyzed against post-injection time and by setting that of prior to injection as 1.0. The photoacoustic signals in the tumor regions were acquired prior to and at various time points post injection of RMnMels using MSOT system, with images acquired in 8 min with 0.5 mm per step.

**Photothermal conversion property**

To measure the photothermal conversion property of RMnMels, 200 µL RMnMels solution with various concentrations (0–200 µg/mL) underwent exposure to a 690 nm laser (500 mW/cm², 300 s). And 200 µg/mL RMnMels
aqueous solution were exposed to a 690 nm laser at various laser power densities for 300 s. The infrared thermal images were acquired and the temperature variations were monitored using an infrared thermal camera (FLIR E75-42 Advanced Thermal Imaging Camera, USA).

Multi-antioxidative activities of RMnMels
For DPPH scavenging assay, 200 µg/mL DPPH solution in ethanol was mixed with various concentrations of RMnMels (0–100 µg/mL) solution with 1:1 volume ratio. After kept 30 min away from light at room temperature, the optical absorbance at 516 nm was determined. The spin-trap free radical scavenging DEPMPO was used as the trigger for O$_2$·− and ·OH radicals. The stock solution of O$_2$·− radicals was produced at room temperature. DMSO containing saturated air was fully mixed with 5 mmol/L NaOH solution and 1% H$_2$O. After fully stirred and placed for 30 min, PBS solution containing EDTA (0.2 mM) and DMPO (40 mM) was added. ESR test was carried out at 77 K. For electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements, DMSO solution was added into a water solution containing EDTA (0.2 mM), DEPMPO (40 mM), and PBS/PnMels/RMnMels/SOD, and the EPR spectra were recorded. ·OH was measured using an EPR spectra spin-trapping method with DMPO·OH as the spin-trapping agent in the condition of absence or presence of nanocomposites. The ·OH was produced by a Fenton reaction between Fe$^{2+}$ ions and H$_2$O$_2$. Then, nanocomposites were added into the mixture of DMPO and H$_2$O$_2$, followed by Fe$^{2+}$ ions addition. Last, RMnMels were pre-incubated with DMPO and Fe$^{2+}$, followed by H$_2$O$_2$ addition.

The effect of RMnMels on mitochondrial function
The effect of RMnMels on mitochondrial function was measured by Seahorse XFp Extracellular Flux Analyzer (Agilent Technologies, USA). All experiments were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Seahorse XFp Cell Mito Stress Test Kit (Agilent Technologies) were used to measure oxygen consumption rate (OCR), basal respiration (Basal Res), and spare respiratory capacity (Spare Res Cap). Briefly, 2 × 10$^4$ PC3 cells were plated per well and seeded in a Seahorse XFp cell culture microplates and incubated for 12 h at 37 °C, respectively. 50 µg/mL RMnMels were added and co-incubated with cells for another 24 h. Untreated cells served as negative control. The results were normalized to cell number. Data were analyzed using Seahorse XFp Wave software.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels in vitro
RAW 264.7 cells were first pre-stimulated with PBS, 100 ng/mL LPS and 50 µg/mL RMnMels for 24 h, respectively. Then, cellular ROS levels were detected using a DCFDA-Cellular ROS Detection Assay Kit (Solarbio, Beijing, China). According to the instructions of the manufacturer, 10 µM 2’,7’-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCFDA) was added at 37 °C for 30 min, and fluorescence was measured using a confocal laser scanning microscopy (Andor Dragonfly, UK).

Cell experiments
Human prostate cancer cell line PC3 and RAW 264.7 cells were obtained from the Cell Culture Collection of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). PC3 and RAW 264.7 cells were maintained in MEM and DMEM containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin, respectively. The cells were kept in a homothermal cell incubator containing 5% CO$_2$ at 37 °C.

For cytotoxicity assay
PC3 and RAW 264.7 cells were seeded in 96-well cell culture plates (1 × 10$^4$ cells/well) and incubated overnight at 37 °C, respectively. RMnMels with various concentrations were added and co-incubated with cells for another 24 h. A standard CCK8 assay was carried out to assay the cell viability. For in vitro photothermal cytotoxicity, PC3 cells were seeded in a 12-well cell culture plate (5 × 10$^4$ cells/well) and incubated overnight at 37 °C. The cells were co-incubated with 100 µg/mL PMnMels and RMnMels for 12 h, respectively. Then, cells were washed for several times and replaced with fresh medium, following by exposure to a 690 nm laser at 500 mW/cm$^2$ for 5 min. After incubation for another 4 h, Calcein AM and PI co-staining was performed for 30 min, and then fluorescence images were acquired. To quantify the photothermal cytotoxicity of RMnMels, PC3 cells were seeded in a 96-well cell culture plate (1 × 10$^4$ cells/well), and co-incubated with various concentrations of RMnMels for 12 h. Then, cells were exposed to a 690 nm laser at 500 mW/cm$^2$ for 5 min, washed for several times and then incubated in fresh cell culture media for another 4 h. A standard CCK8 assay was carried out to assay the cell viability.

Cellular uptake and degradability assay
For cellular uptake experiment, PC3 cells were co-incubated with 50 µg/mL RMnMels for 12 h. Then, cells were washed for several times and optical microscope images were acquired. RAW 264.7 cells were co-incubated with various concentrations of RMnMels for 12 h, then visualized by an optical microscope. For bio-TEM, cells were harvested by trypsin digestion and centrifugation, fixed in 2.5% cold glutaraldehyde, dehydrated, embedded, sectioned, and stained for TEM observation. To investigate the internalization of RMnMels by M1-like macrophages, RAW 264.7 cells were first co-incubation with 100 ng/mL
lipopolysaccharide for 24 h, and the polarization state of
 cells were verified by flow cytometry. After co-incubation
with 50 µg/mL RMnMels for 12 h, the cells were washed
for several times for TEM observation. To evaluate the
degradation profiles in vitro, 100 µg/mL RMnMels were
suspended into PBS (pH = 7.4) without or with H2O2 (2.5
mM), respectively. The solution was kept stand at room
temperature. At selected time intervals, digital photos of
the solutions were taken, and then 100 µL solution was
collected for detecting the accumulated degradation con-
tent using an UV–vis spectrometer. TEM images were
obtained for intuitive observation of time-dependent
structural evolution during degradation process.

The effect of RMnMels on M2 macrophage polarization
in vitro
RAW264.7 cells were first pre-stimulated with 100 ng/
ml IL-4 for 24 h to obtain M2-like phenotype, and then
co-incubated with or without 10 µg/mL RMnMels for
another 24 h. The supernatants were carefully harvested
for measuring TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β, and IL-10 levels using
ELISA assay kits (R&D Systems, USA). Meanwhile, the
cells were collected for determining the gene expression
levels of CD86, TNF-α, iNOS, Arginase-1 and CD206 by
reverse transcription-polymerase chainreaction (RT-
PCR). The primer sequences used are shown in Addi-
tional file 1: Table S1. The gene expression level were
normalized to the housekeeping gene reduced glyceral-
dehyde-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). For deter-
mining the protein expression levels of iNOS, IL-1β,
COX-2 and TNF-α, western blot assay was carried out,
with β-actin as the internal reference. To detect mac-
rophage surface markers, cells were labelled with CD86-
PE and CD206-APC mouse monoclonal antibodies. For
each sample, at least 3 × 10^4 cells were run on a flow
cytometer and data was analyzed using FlowJo software
(BD Bio-sciences, USA).

Flow cytometry assay of cells in tumor tissues
Tumor tissues were collected and first digested into
single-cell suspension at 37 °C, and then filtered with a
70 µm cell screen. Cells were collected centrifugally and
dispersed in PBS containing 1% FBS. Then, cells were
stained with 1 µL Fixable Viability Stain 510 (FVSS10)
(BD Horizon™) for 15 min in 1 mL PBS at 4 °C to dis-
tinguish live and dead cells. Cells were then washed and
stained with 0.5 µg anti-mouse CD16/32 for 10 min at
4 °C. Then, the cells and were stained with APC-Cy7-
labelled anti-mouse CD45, APC-Cy5.5-labelled anti-
mouse CD11b, Alexa Fluor 488-labelled anti-mouse
F4/80, APC-labelled anti-mouse CD163, PE-labelled
anti-mouse CD86, respectively (BioLegend). After
washed for three times, the proportion of cells and the
fluorescence intensity of TAMs were measured by flow
cytometry, using an isotype-matched control antibody
for background subtraction. Samples were further ana-
yzed on BD LSR II instrument (BD Biosciences, USA).

Animal experiments
BALB/c nude mice (male, 6–8 weeks, 18–22 g) were
obtained from Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal
Technology Co. Ltd., Beijing, China. All mouse experi-
ments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines
of the Laboratory Animal Welfare and Ethics Committee
of Third Military Medical University, approval number:
AMUWEC20191575. Tumor model was constructed by
subcutaneously inoculating 1 × 10^6 PC3 cells suspension
in 150 µL cell culture medium into the left back of mice.
The mice were used for further experiments when the
tumor volumes reached about 100 mm^3, which was about
3 weeks after tumor inoculation.

To investigate the impact of RMnMels-mediated mac-
rophage polarization and hyperthermia therapy on
tumor growth, PC3 tumor-bearing mice were randomly
assigned to five groups: PBS (group I), PBS plus 690 nm
laser irradiation (group II), RMnMels only (group III),
PMnMels plus 690 nm laser irradiation (group IV), and
RMnMels plus 690 nm laser irradiation (group V). The
mice in group III, IV and V were intravenously injected
with nanocomposites with 12 mg/kg BW. At 6 h post
injection, the tumor sites were irradiated by a 690 nm
laser at 500 mW/cm^2 for 15 min. Thermal images at the
tumor sites were obtained using a FLIR E75-42 Advanced
Thermal Imaging Camera. The tumor sizes were mea-
ured every two days and the tumor volumes were calcu-
lated as volume = (length × width × width)/2. The body
weight and tumor growth profiles were monitored for a
period of 19 days post treatment, and then the mice were
euthanized and their tumor tissues were collected for
further analysis.

Serum biochemical measurement
Blood was collected from tumor-bearing mice on day 19
post treatment and healthy mice at different time points
(12 mg/kg BW). Mice that received PBS treatment were
set as control. To obtain serum, fresh ocular vein blood
samples were harvested from mice, then centrifuged
for three times at a speed of 3500 rpm for 15 min. The
serum biochemical indicators were measured using an
automatic biochemical analyzer (Cobas 8000, Roche,
Germany).

Histological analysis
Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining, TUNEL and
Ki-67 immunohistochemical staining were performed
according to standard procedures. The mice were
euthanized on the 19th day after different treatments, and their main organs were harvested and fixed in 4% formalin overnight. The samples were embedded and sectioned at a thickness of 5 μm. The sections were stained and images were taken using 3D HISTECH Panoramic 250 (3DHISTECH, Hungary).
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