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Value of ultrasound examination of the Leeds Enthesitis Index in assessment of disease activity in psoriatic arthritis
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Summary

Background: Enthesitis is a major feature of psoriatic arthritis. However, clinical assessment of enthesitis is known to lack accuracy and have poor interobserver reliability.

Objective: This study aimed to determine the added value of the US assessment of enthesitis according to LEI over the clinical assessment in determination of PsA activity.

Methods: A total of 75 consecutive PsA patients diagnosed according to Classification Criteria for Psoriatic Arthritis (CASPAR criteria) participated in the study. The LEI score was used to assess the enthesitis. All PsA patients underwent US assessment by the same sonographer who was blinded to the findings of the clinical examination. Grey scale US imaging was used to assess the longitudinal and transverse planes of the 6 enthesal points of the LEI.

Results: Active PsA Patients had a significantly higher inflammation score than the PsA patients with MDA at each of the 6-LEI points. Subsequently, active PsA group has significantly higher total inflammation score than in the PsA group with MDA. On the other hand the total damage score as well as the damage score at the 6-LEI points showed insignificant difference between the two groups.

A significant correlation was identified between PASDAS with the LEI score and with the total US inflammation score. However, no significant correlation was found between the PASDAS and the total US damage score.

Conclusion: US examination of 6-LEI points is an accurate method to assess entheseal abnormalities and is significantly correlated to disease activity in PsA patients.

Introduction

Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) is a progressive chronic inflammatory arthritis affecting approximately 30% of patients with psoriasis [1,2], characterized by the affection of tendons, enthuses, joints and often results in permanent joint damage and disability [3] and impairment of quality of life [4,5].

Enthesitis is a hallmark of PsA [6,7], with estimated frequency of 30% to 50% among patients with PsA [8].

Enthesitis is a part of the CASPAR classification criteria for PsA [9]. In psoriatic arthritis, the peripheral joint and enthesitis assessment had been recognized of extreme clinical importance by the OMERACT 7 [10]. Despite that enthesitis is proposed as an indicator of disease activity and response to treatment in PsA patients, the clinical methods of determining enthesitis degree have not been typically validated for use in PsA patients [10].

The Leeds Enthesitis Index (LEI) is a clinical index that...
was designed for measuring enthesitis in PsA patients [11]. However, the specificity of clinical enthesitis count is limited since the site of most enthesal points are near to joints and can be mistaken for tender points of fibromyalgia and finding tenderness in these sites raise the possibility of false positive results [12].

Ultrasound (US) is a non-invasive tool with highly sensitivity to assess the presence of enthesitis [13]. Many US studies reported the high prevalence of subclinical enthesitis in patients with and without PsA [14–16]. However, these studies are limited by the incomplete assessment of PsA features by US with disease activity measures routinely used in RA [17]. This study aimed to determine the added value of the US assessment of enthesitis according to LEI over the clinical assessment in determination of PsA activity.

**Subjects and methods**

**Subjects**

A total of 75 consecutive PsA patients diagnosed according to Classification Criteria for Psoriatic Arthritis (CASPAR criteria) [9], participated in the study. Patients were collected from the outpatient clinic of Rheumatology, in Saudi Arabia, during routine visit to the clinic. The protocol for this study was approved by the Institutional Research Board & the Ethical Committee.

Exclusion criteria for cases were rheumatoid arthritis, crystal induced arthritis, osteoarthritis, other seronegative spondyloarthopathies and other active inflammatory skin conditions. All patients were instructed to stop taking NSAIDs for at least 24 hours prior to assessments, to avoid the immediate masking effect of these medications. Prior to inclusion, the aim and the study procedures were explained to all participants who signed consent. This study was approved by the local ethics committee.

**Clinical assessment**

Patients were clinically assessed through full history taking in addition to both general and local examinations as well as review of their medical records. The LEI score was used to assess the enthesitis. Enthesitis was defined as tenderness at the enthesal site by applying pressure of around 4 kg/cm² (required to blanch the tip of fingernail of the examiner). LEI consists of assessment of tenderness at 6 sites: insertion of bilateral Achilles tendon, medial femoral condyles, and lateral humeral epicondyles. Tenderness at each site is measured on a dichotomous basis: 0 (not tender) and 1 (tender) [11].

PASDAS is a composite disease activity measurement for the psoriatic arthritis and it is based on patient (PtGA) and physician (PhGA) global VAS scores, tender (SJC66) and swollen (SJC68) joint counts, dactylitis and enthesitis, Physical Component Summary (PCS) scale of the Short Form 36 (SF-36), and CRP level [18]. In the current study, Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) on the PASDAS was a score of <1.9 [19].

**Laboratory assessment**

Laboratory assessments including Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR), complete blood count, and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were done. Also, Rheumatoid Factor (RF) titers were determined. ESR was measured by the standard Westergren method (mm/h). CRP levels were measured by standard nephelometry (mg/l). RF was measured by Enzyme–Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and results are expressed in titers of 1/40 and higher.

**US assessment**

All PsA patients underwent US assessment by the same sonographer who was blinded to the findings of the clinical examination. All US scans were performed on the same day as the clinical examination. US examinations were carried out using The LOGIQ® P6 Ultrasound Machine (General Electric Company, GE health care, USA) using a 8–13 MHz linear transducer.

The sonographic assessments were done at each enthesis sites of the LEI. Tendon scanning was done in the longitudinal and transverse planes. The common extensor origins of the humerus was evaluated while the patient is seated with slightly flexed elbows, hand are resting on the knee and the forearm in internal rotation. The medial collateral ligament insertion into the femur was examined with the patient supine and the knee flexed at 70°. The tendo–Achilles insertion was examined with the patient in prone position, with the feet hanged over the couch edge with the ankle in neutral position [12].

Grey scale US imaging was used to assess the longitudinal and transverse planes of the 6 enthesal points of the LEI for the occurrence of: (1) Erosion – identified by presence of a step–down cortical contour defect observed in 2 planes and with diameter > 2mm; (2) Enthesophyte – identified by presence of bony step–up prominence at the end of a normal bone contour with formation of a bony spur within the enthesis tendinous portion;

(3) Bursitis – defined as a localized, well–delineated hypoechoic area at the site of an anatomical bursa which was compressible (indicating presence of fluid); (4) Enthesitis thickening – defined as a discrepancy at the contralateral enthesis thickness or if there is bulky appearance of the normally smooth entheseal contour and the Peri–entheseal soft tissue edema – defined as a compressible fluid on the outer margin of the enthesis. In addition, power Doppler US was used to assess the presence of neovascularization in the longitudinal and transverse plains just adjacent to the entheseal insertion. The scoring of all US findings were either absent (score 0) or present (score 1) [20].

The US findings were combined into 2 scores as follows: (1) Inflammation score involves the sum of 4 items of vascularization, edema, bursitis and enthesal thickening (score range 0–4) and (2) damage score involves the sum of 2 items of erosion and enthesophyte (score range 0–2) [20].
A 59 years old female patient with psoriasis presented with pain at the right heel.

**Ultrasound examination shows:**

A. Enthesophyte and Perienthesal soft tissue oedema.
B. Bursitis at insertion of tendoachillis tendon into calcaneum.

A 39 years old female patient with psoriasis presented with pain at the right heel.

**Ultrasound examination shows:**

Marked oedema of the subcutaneous tissue.
Peritendinous oedema along the course of the planter flexor tendon.
Thickening and oedema within and around insertion of the planter flexor tendon.

C. Healthy left tendoachillis tendon insertion, for comparison, yet mild erosions at its insertion noted.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using the SPSS v.20.0. Variables with continuous data expressed as mean ±SD while variables with categorical data expressed as number and percent. Variable with continuous data compared using the independent sample Student’s t test while Variable with categorical data compared using the chi square test. Correlations were performed using the correlation co-efficient test. ROC curve analysis was used to assess the ability of the total US inflammation score in discrimination of patients with active PsA. Significance was set at p value of <0.05.

**Results**

75 patients were included in this study with PsA, 41 (54.7%) had an active PsA while 34 (45.3%) had an MDA PsA (Figure 1). Table 1 demonstrates the comparison of the demographic, clinical and laboratory data are compared between active PsA patients and PsA patients with MDA. PASDAS and its individual components were all significantly higher in the active PsA group Active PsA group has significantly higher LEI score than in the PsA group with MDA. In addition, active PsA group has significantly higher ESR and CRP.

**Frequency of entheses abnormalities in clinical versus US examination in the 6-LEI points**

Of the 450 entheses in the 75 patients examined clinically, a total of 170 entheses were tender in 63 patients while 12 patients had no tender entheses. The entheses with most frequent tenderness was the Achilles tendon (97 tendons in 61 patients), followed by medial collateral ligament insertion tenderness (46 ligaments in 33 patients), while it was least in the common extensor origins of the humerus (27 tendons in 24 patients). In US examination of same entheses sites, abnormalities were found in 306 entheses with a total of 306 entheses with the Achilles tendon most frequently involved (112 tendons in 66 patients) and the medial collateral ligament insertion tenderness comes second (53 ligaments in 36 patients) and lastly the common extensor origins of the humerus (31 tendons in 27 patients). Only two patient had no enthesis abnormalities in US examination.
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The US findings at the 6-LEI points are compared between active PsA patients and PsA patients with MDA and demonstrated in Table 2. Active PsA Patients had a significantly higher inflammation score than the PsA patients with MDA at each of the 6-LEI points. Subsequently, active PsA group has significantly higher total inflammation score than in the PsA group with MDA (16.6 ±4.7 vs 13.1±5.6 respectively, p=0.005). On the other hand the total damage score as well as the damage score at the 6-LEI points showed insignificant difference between the two groups.

A significant correlation was identified between PASDAS with the LEI score (r=0.280, p=0.015) (Figure 2) and with the total US inflammation score (r=0.332, p=0.004) (Figure 3). However, no significant correlation was found between the PASDAS and the total US damage score (r=0.007, p=0.951).

ROC curve analysis

The analysis of ROC curve revealed that the total US inflammation score >10 at the 6-LEI points can differentiate patients with active from those with MDA PsA (AUC=0.717) (Figure 4). As shown in Table 3, at this point the total US inflammation score at the 6-LEI points has a sensitivity of 0.951, specificity of 0.706, positive predictive value of 0.796, and negative predictive value of 0.923 and accuracy of 0.840.

Discussion

The limitations of the clinical examination to assess the entheses in the PsA had encouraged the importance of US for entheses abnormalities detection of in PsA patients. US is increasingly used in PsA and provided additional clues regarding the severity and the pathogenesis of PsA.
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found that the most frequent site of enthesopathy in PsA is the Achilles tendon.

Perrotta et al., [27], reported that PDUS detected structural and inflammatory abnormalities of enthesis in early PsA patients. However, in the current study, in agreement with results of study of Ahmed et al., [28], no PDUS entheseal signals were detected in PsA patients.

In the current study, PASDAS is significantly correlated with clinical LEI score in PsA patients and strongly correlated with the total US inflammation score at the 6-LEI points (p<0.015 and p=0.004, respectively). Ahmed et al. [28], reported a direct significant correlation between PASDAS score and the clinical LEI score in active PsA patients. Similar findings were reported by the study of Girolomoni and Gisondi [29], who reported that patients with severe clinical psoriasis outcome had a significantly higher US score (measured by Glasgow Ultrasound Enthesitis Scoring System) and is correlated with higher disease activity. Moreover, Aydin et al., [30], reported that psoriatic patients (with or without arthritis) had shown higher inflammation-related entheseopathy scores and the PsA patients had higher US enthesopathy scores than psoriatic patients with arthritis.

However, Ash et al., [31], found no link between the severity of psoriasis and enthesitis was evident. The discrepancy could be explained by the fact that Ash et al. enrolled psoriatic patients with nail changes but with no evident arthritis.

Although the mechanism of enthesitis in PsA still not fully understood, the roles of environmental, mechanical stress, immunologic and genetic factors were proposed. Gisondi et al., [14], proposed the role of increased mechanical stress on the entheses of the lower limbs as a cause of enthesitis particularly in obesity which is also associated with increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and systemic inflammation. McGonagle et al., [32], also suggested that enthesitis arises at sites of compression and high shear forces, resulting from an interaction between micro-trauma, mechanical stress, and variably in mechanisms of tissue repair leading to inflammation.

In the present study, ROC curve analysis showed that the total US inflammation score >10 at the 6-LEI points can decimate patients with active from those with MDA PsA patients (AUC=0.717) with good accuracy. Future studies can assess the validity of this score in the detection of the PsA patients with arthritis.
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