Impact of Early Nephrology Referral on the Outcomes of Patients with Acute Kidney Injury
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Abstract

Background: Acute kidney injury (AKI) is associated with an increase in patient mortality and high rates of renal/non-renal complications. Late detection of the disease has been associated with worse prognosis, but no such study has been conducted from Saudi Arabia.

Objectives: To assess the impact of early nephrology referral on the outcomes of patients with AKI.

Methods: This retrospective record review included all adult patients (≥18 years) with AKI who were referred to the Nephrology Department at King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, between May 2019 and July 2020. AKI was defined according to the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes criteria. AKI patients evaluated by nephrologists were stratified into early referral group (i.e., referral in <48 h from the first detection of AKI) and late referral group (referral ≥48 h after the first detection of AKI).

Results: A total of 400 patients met the inclusion criteria, of which 264 (66%) were early referrals. A significant association was found between delayed referral and mortality rates and length of hospital stay (for both, \( P = 0.001 \)). Late referral was also significantly associated with increased likeliness of the need for renal replacement therapy \( (P = 0.037) \) and sepsis \( (P = 0.005) \).

Conclusions: The outcomes were worse for patients with AKI receiving late referrals to nephrologists. Raising the awareness of AKI among non-nephrologists would likely increase the proportion of earlier referrals.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute kidney injury (AKI) is an abrupt reduction in kidney function that results in the retention of metabolic waste products and fluids, electrolytes, and acid-base homeostasis dysregulations.[1] AKI is associated with serious morbidity and mortality, and also plays an essential role in the development of chronic kidney disease (CKD), progression of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), and in causing long-term non-renal morbidity.[2-4]

The global prevalence of AKI has been found to vary from >1% to 66%. Such huge differences in the reported prevalence is not only because of differences in country-wise populations and income level but also because...
of differences in the AKI classification criteria used.\[6\] Currently, the most commonly used classification is the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) classification, which has evolved mainly from the Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of kidney function, and End-stage kidney disease (RIFLE) classification and its subsequently modified Acute kidney injury network classification.\[8\]

The care of patients with AKI is often suboptimal and not well-standardized.\[7\] There are many reasons for this phenomenon, but the two most important are delays in referring these patients to nephrologists and the challenges in managing the complexity of the condition.\[8\] In terms of delays in referrals, a study from a Brazilian teaching hospital found that nephrology consultations were delayed in 62.3% of the patients with AKI, which in turn contributed to an increase in the mortality rate.\[9\] Similarly, a recent study found that a 4-day delay in referring AKI patients to nephrologist was associated with an increased likelihood of mortality compared to those receiving referral within 4 days (74.5% vs. 50.0%, respectively).\[10\] A similar finding was also reported in a study from Italy,\[11\] while in a study from Canada, better survival rates were reported in patients who had early nephrologist consultations and were subsequently started on short-term dialysis.\[12\]

A considerable amount of literature has been published on the effect of nephrology referral time, and based on these findings, it has been hypothesized that late referral leads to poorer outcomes, longer hospital stays, and increased mortality rates. However, to the best of the author’s knowledge, no such study has been conducted in a Saudi cohort. Accordingly, this study was conducted with the objective of demonstrating the impact of early nephrology referral on the outcomes of patients with AKI at King Abdulaziz University Hospital (KAUH), Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

**METHODS**

**Study setting and participants**

This retrospective chart review included all adult patients (≥18 years) with AKI who were referred to the Nephrology Department at KAUH between May 1, 2019 to July 31, 2020. KAUH which is the main teaching hospital in the Western region of Saudi Arabia and a tertiary care hospital that provides multinational healthcare to a mixed socio-economic population. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at KAUH.

AKI was defined according to the KDIGO guidelines as any of the following: an increase in serum creatinine (SCr) to ≥0.3 mg/dl within 48 h, an increase in SCr to ×1.5 baseline values, which is known or presumed to have occurred within the past 7 days, or urine volume <0.5 ml/kg/h for 6 hours.\[13\] AKI patients evaluated by nephrologists were stratified into two groups: the early and late referral groups (comprising those referred <48 h and ≥48 h from the first detection, respectively). Patients aged <18 years, with end-stage renal disease on regular hemodialysis, kidney transplantation, and/or with no data regarding the time of nephrologist referral were excluded.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcome was to explore the association between referral time and mortality. Clinical and laboratory characteristics, comorbid conditions, length of hospital stay, and need for renal replacement therapy (RRT) were also recorded.

**Data collection**

The following data were extracted from the medical records of the hospital’s electronic system (Phoenix): medical record number, age, gender, nationality, requesting service, comorbid conditions (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, chronic heart failure, malignancy, cerebrovascular disease, thyroid disease, chronic liver disease, peripheral vascular disease, respiratory disease, and CKD), precipitating factors of AKI (hypotension, obstructive uropathy, sepsis, contrast-induced nephropathy, urinary tract infection and dehydration), need for RRT, time of referral, and length of hospitalization. Several clinical laboratory tests were used to evaluate kidney function, including SCr, potassium, sodium, and blood urea nitrogen levels at admission. The SCr level were documented on the day of referral and days 1, 2, and 7 after referral; only the maximum level was recorded for this study. Before the discharge or death, the latest SCr levels were also measured to estimate disease improvement or progression. SCr levels were determined using the Jaffe method.

**Statistical analysis**

Microsoft Excel 2016 was used for data entry, and statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS version 25. Qualitative data were expressed as numbers and percentages, and the Chi-squared test was used to assess the relationship between variables. Quantitative data were expressed as mean and standard deviation (±SD). Data normality was assessed using the one-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, where the Mann–Whitney test was used for non-parametric variables. Variables with significant univariate associations were used in multivariable analysis. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed using backward variable selection to adjust for confounding and selection bias for
factors associated with increased mortality. Variables not selected by the automated procedure were added back into models individually to evaluate for residual confounding. *P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 400 patients met the inclusion criteria, of which the majority were male (58%). When stratified by referral, most patients were referred early (66%). The median age at diagnosis was 61.5 years and 67 years for the early and late referral groups, respectively.

The differences between the early and late referral groups based on patient characteristics, comorbidities, RRT, and length of hospitalization are presented in Table 1. The late referral group had a higher mortality rate than the early referral group (56.7% vs. 43.3%, *P = 0.001), and longer length of hospitalization (21.4 ± 21.0 days vs. 14.8 ± 16.1 days; *P = 0.001). The proportion of patients who needed RRT and who had sepsis were lower in the early referral group than in the late referral group (28% [74/264] vs. 38.2% [52/136]; *P = 0.037) and 26.1% [69/264] vs. 39.7% [54/136], *P = 0.005, respectively) [Table 1]. Table 2 shows that the two groups did not differ significantly in terms of SCr, sodium, potassium, and blood urea nitrogen levels. As shown in Table 3, patients who died were significantly older and referred late (*P = 0.001). Also, a higher proportion of these patients had diabetes mellitus (*P = 0.007), sepsis (*P = 0.001), chronic liver diseases and hypotension (*P = 0.001). Additionally, these patients had a higher mean SCr level on day 7 after referral (*P = 0.026), and their most recent SCr reading before death and blood urea nitrogen were increased (*P = 0.001). On the other hand, a non-significant relationship was found between mortality and gender, other chronic diseases, SCr level on the day of referral, maximum SCr level during admission, serum potassium, or serum sodium. The multivariate logistic regression analysis identified multiple independent predictors of mortality among the patients including older age [odds ratio (OR) = 0.96; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.95-0.98; *P = 0.001], ischemic heart diseases [OR = 1.92; 95% CI: 1.05-3.51; *P = 0.032], autoimmune diseases [OR = 5.54; 95% CI: 1.06-28.89; *P = 0.042], chronic liver diseases [OR = 4.69; 95% CI: 1.76-12.48; *P = 0.002], hypotension [OR = 2.76; 95% CI: 1.2-6.35; *P = 0.016], obstructive uropathy [OR = 0.34; 95% CI: 0.1-1.08; *P = 0.09], sepsis [OR = 2.77; 95% CI: 1.58-4.58; *P < 0.001], high level of blood urea nitrogen [OR = 0.96; 95% CI: 0.95-0.98; *P < 0.001], and time of referral [OR = 0.15; 95% CI: 0.08-0.28; *P < 0.001] [Table 4].

**DISCUSSION**

The distribution of early and late referral to nephrology in this study (i.e., 66% and 34%, respectively) is in line with the findings from a Brazilian teaching hospital that found 34% of the referrals were late.[14] The high proportion of early referral in the current study is likely due to our hospital being a tertiary care teaching center with a multidisciplinary system that allows immediate referral to a variety of specialties including nephrology. Nonetheless, given that the rate of sepsis and RRT were found to be significantly lower in the early referral group, it is important that future studies determine factors for late referrals in Saudi Arabia. In low income/resource limited countries, the knowledge of non-nephrologist has mostly been found to be modest.[15,16] Surprisingly, a study from the United Kingdom revealed that 37% of junior doctors were not able to name a single indication of AKI for nephrology referral.[17] From Saudi Arabia, variations were reported in the knowledge of risk factors and assessments for AKI among healthcare professionals.[18] Collectively, these findings indicate that lower knowledge of AKI among non-nephrologists may be a major factor contributing to a considerable proportion of referrals being late.

| Variable                          | Early referral group | Late referral group | χ²  | P       |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----|---------|
| Median age (years)                | 61.5                 | 67.0                | 2.81* | 0.005   |
| Gender                            |                      |                     |     |         |
| Female                            | 119 (70.8)           | 49 (29.2)           | 3.01 | 0.082   |
| Male                              | 145 (62.5)           | 87 (37.5)           |     |         |
| Nationality                       |                      |                     |     |         |
| Non-Saudi                         | 137 (63.1)           | 80 (36.9)           | 1.73 | 0.188   |
| Saudi                             | 127 (69.4)           | 56 (30.6)           |     |         |
| Death                             |                      |                     |     |         |
| Yes                               | 58 (43.3)            | 76 (56.7)           | 46.33 | 0.001   |
| No                                | 206 (77.4)           | 60 (22.6)           |     |         |
| Diabetes mellitus                 | 174 (67.2)           | 85 (32.8)           | 0.45 | 0.499   |
| Hypertension                      | 185 (67.5)           | 89 (32.5)           | 0.89 | 0.345   |
| Ischemic heart disease            | 73 (64.6)            | 40 (35.4)           | 0.13 | 0.711   |
| Chronic heart failure             | 46 (60.5)            | 30 (39.5)           | 1.25 | 0.263   |
| Malignancy                        | 47 (58)              | 34 (42)             | 2.87 | 0.09    |
| Cerebrovascular diseases          | 37 (64.9)            | 20 (35.1)           | 0.03 | 0.851   |
| Thyroid disorders                 | 16 (64)              | 9 (36)              | 0.04 | 0.827   |
| Chronic liver diseases            | 18 (66.7)            | 9 (33.3)            | 0.006 | 0.94    |
| Periperal vascular diseases       | 10 (71.4)            | 4 (28.6)            | 0.19 | 0.662   |
| Respiratory diseases              | 43 (67.2)            | 21 (32.8)           | 0.04 | 0.827   |
| Obstructive uropathy              | 28 (70)              | 12 (30)             | 0.31 | 0.573   |
| Dehydration                       | 38 (62.3)            | 23 (37.7)           | 0.44 | 0.507   |
| Hypotension                       | 22 (56.4)            | 17 (43.6)           | 1.77 | 0.183   |
| Sepris                            | 69 (26.1)            | 54 (39.2)           | 7.76 | 0.005   |
| Contrast-induced nephropathy      | 14 (56)              | 11 (44)             | 1.18 | 0.276   |
| Urinary tract infection           | 33 (68.8)            | 15 (31.3)           | 0.18 | 0.668   |
| CKD                               | 90 (64.3)            | 50 (35.7)           | 0.28 | 0.595   |
| Needed for RRT                    | 74 (28.0)            | 52 (38.2)           | 4.33 | 0.037   |
| Length of hospitalization (days)  | 14.83±16.05          | 21.42±21.03         | 3.23* | 0.001   |

*Mann–Whitney test. RRT – Renal replacement therapy; CKD – Chronic kidney disease
Another possible reason for late referrals is that AKI presentation varies between patients, with some having delays in the rise of Scr levels, thereby affecting physicians’ assessment of the condition. Another factor that could have played a role is the change in AKI definition over the last decades, with AKI being redefined multiple times up until the most recent KDIGO classification; yet, although the KDIGO criteria provides a unique basis for epidemiologic and interventional outcome studies, these criteria are not commonly used in clinical settings.

In this study, we found a significant association between referral time and mortality, with patients who were referred late to a nephrologist having significantly higher mortality than those who were referred early ($P = 0.001$). This finding is consistent with that of Silva et al., who found that patients with a late referral had higher mortality rates. The impact of AKI on fluid balance and metabolic product elimination may exacerbate respiratory, cardiac, gastrointestinal, hematologic, central nervous system, and immunological function, which may lead to an increase in mortality. AKI patients are also at a 25% higher risk of developing CKD and even ESRD, which increases the risk of mortality by 50%.

The results of the current study represent an important finding for physicians worldwide, but especially more so in countries with similar socioeconomic and healthcare setting and geographic proximity to Saudi Arabia. This study was conducted in Western Saudi Arabia, which has one of the most diverse multi-ethnic citizens and multi-national residents of the country, and thus our findings do raise awareness regarding

### Table 2: Comparison between the early and late referral groups based on selected laboratory parameters

| Variable                                | Early referral group | Late referral group | Mann–Whitney test | $P$  |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------|
| Scr level on day of referral (µmol/L)   | 380.7±320.2          | 327.0±229.4         | 0.77              | 0.436|
| Scr level on day 1 after referral (µmol/L) | 371.5±296.3         | 316.3±217.6         | 0.95              | 0.342|
| Scr level on day 2 after referral (µmol/L) | 337.4±286.7         | 335±216.8           | 1.14              | 0.254|
| Scr level on day 7 after referral (µmol/L) | 309.4±258.3         | 295.1±202           | 0.47              | 0.632|
| Maximum Scr level during admission (µmol/L) | 460.9±376.8         | 429±259             | 0.41              | 0.682|
| Latest Scr level before discharge (µmol/L) | 297.6±234.2         | 301.2±205.5         | 0.75              | 0.45 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)            | 23.2±15.7            | 24.5±16.0           | 0.89              | 0.372|
| Serum potassium (mmol/L)                | 4.3±8.7              | 4.29±8.6            | 0.1               | 0.915|
| Serum sodium (mmol/L)                   | 136.6±9.7            | 136.9±7.7           | 0.98              | 0.325|

Scr – Serum creatinine

### Table 3: Relationship between mortality and patient characteristics, comorbidities, length of hospitalization, serum creatinine, sodium, potassium, and blood urea nitrogen levels

| Variable                                | Yes, $n$ (%) | No, $n$ (%) | $\chi^2$ | $P$  |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|------|
| Age                                     | 66±15.5      | 59.3±16.6   | 3.85*    | 0.001|
| Referral                                |              |             |          |      |
| Early                                   | 58 (22)      | 206 (78)    | 46.33    | 0.001|
| Late                                    | 76 (55.9)    | 60 (44.1)   |          |      |
| Gender                                  |              |             |          |      |
| Female                                  | 62 (36.9)    | 106 (63.1)  | 1.5      | 0.22 |
| Male                                    | 72 (31)      | 160 (69)    |          |      |
| Needed for RRT                           | 58 (46)      | 68 (54)     | 12.69    | <0.001|
| Diabetes mellitus                       | 99 (88.2)    | 160 (61.8)  | 7.36     | 0.007|
| Hypertension                            | 99 (36.1)    | 175 (63.9)  | 2.7      | 0.1  |
| Ischemic heart disease                  | 52 (46)      | 61 (54)     | 11.07    | 0.001|
| Congestive heart failure                | 28 (36.8)    | 48 (63.2)   | 0.47     | 0.493|
| Cerebrovascular diseases                | 27 (47.4)    | 30 (52.6)   | 5.73     | 0.017|
| Chronic liver disease                   | 17 (63)      | 10 (37)     | 11.28    | 0.001|
| Malignancy                              | 29 (35.8)    | 52 (64.2)   | 0.24     | 0.623|
| Obstructive uropathy                    | 6 (15)       | 34 (85)     | 6.82     | 0.009|
| CKD                                     | 63 (51.2)    | 60 (48.8)   | 25.03    | 0.001|
| Length of hospitalization (days)        | 22.5±21.1    | 14.4±15.9   | 3.7      | <0.001|
| Scr level on the day of referral (µmol/L) | 332±208.3    | 377.5±327.4 | 0.21     | 0.827|
| Scr level on day 7 after referral (µmol/L) | 321±202.6    | 295.3±256.1 | 2.22     | 0.026|
| Maximum Scr level during admission (µmol/L) | 437.9±211.4  | 456.1±391.0 | 1.92     | 0.054|
| Latest Scr reading before death (µmol/L) | 354.5±191.1  | 270.5±235.6 | 5.53     | 0.001|
| Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)            | 27.9±15.5    | 21.5±15.5   | 4.63     | 0.001|
| Serum potassium (mmol/L)                | 4.3±0.9      | 4.3±0.8     | 0.02     | 0.891|
| Serum sodium (mmol/L)                   | 138±12.5     | 136.0±6.7   | 1.81     | 0.07 |

RRT – Renal replacement therapy; Scr – Serum creatinine; CKD – Chronic kidney disease
Table 4: Multivariate logistic regression analysis of independent predictors (risk factors) of mortality among patients

| Variable                          | B     | Wald   | P     | OR (95% CI)          |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|----------------------|
| Age                              | 0.03  | 10.69  | 0.001 | 0.96 (0.95–0.98)     |
| Gender                           | 0.45  | 2.54   | 0.11  | 1.57 (0.9–2.76)      |
| Diabetes mellitus                | 0.28  | 0.62   | 0.432 | 1.33 (0.65–2.73)     |
| Hypertension                     | 0.37  | 1.08   | 0.297 | 1.45 (0.71–2.96)     |
| Ischemic heart disease           | 0.65  | 4.58   | 0.032 | 1.92 (1.05–3.51)     |
| Congestive heart failure         | 0.21  | 0.39   | 0.529 | 0.8 (0.4–1.58)       |
| Malignancy                       | 0.21  | 0.31   | 0.574 | 1.24 (0.58–2.66)     |
| Cerebrovascular diseases         | 0.11  | 0.08   | 0.768 | 1.12 (0.51–2.45)     |
| Autoimmune diseases              | 1.71  | 4.13   | 0.042 | 5.54 (1.06–28.89)    |
| Thyroid disorders                | 0.01  | 0.001  | 0.978 | 1.01 (0.33–3.04)     |
| Chronic liver diseases           | 1.54  | 9.66   | 0.002 | 4.69 (1.76–12.48)    |
| Peripheral vascular diseases     | 0.01  | 0.001  | 0.98  | 0.98 (0.28–3.46)     |
| Respiratory diseases             | 0.03  | 0.01   | 0.919 | 0.96 (0.46–1.98)     |
| Myocardial infarction            | 0.41  | 0.64   | 0.424 | 1.51 (0.54–4.2)      |
| Hypotension                      | 1.01  | 5.78   | 0.016 | 2.76 (1.2–6.35)      |
| Obstructive uropathy             | 1.08  | 3.31   | 0.09  | 0.34 (0.1–1.08)      |
| Dehydration                      | 0.41  | 1.22   | 0.268 | 1.51 (0.72–3.14)     |
| Sepsis                           | 1.02  | 12.83  | <0.001| 2.77 (1.58–4.58)     |
| Contrast induced nephropathy     | 0.1   | 0.02   | 0.865 | 0.9 (0.27–2.59)      |
| Urinary tract infection          | 0.16  | 1.79   | 0.181 | 1.54 (0.21–13.3)     |
| CKD                              | 0.78  | 6.63   | 0.01  | 1.05 (0.25–0.82)     |
| Serum sodium (mmol/L)            | 0.02  | 1.92   | 0.166 | 0.98 (0.95–1)        |
| Serum potassium (mmol/L)         | 0.11  | 0.45   | 0.499 | 0.89 (0.65–1.23)     |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)     | 0.03  | 14     | <0.001| 0.96 (0.95–0.98)     |
| Time of referral                 | 1.87  | 35.82  | <0.001| 4.69 (1.76–12.48)    |
| Needed for renal replacement     | 0.54  | 3.45   | 0.063 | 1.71 (0.97–1.07)     |

OR – Odds ratio; CI – Confidence interval; CKD – Chronic kidney disease

Dealing with patients of different sociogenetic backgrounds. Therefore, future studies on the current topic are suggested to establish the sociogenetic factors that may contribute to patients’ AKI presentation and physicians’ assessments.

Although this is the first such study in a cohort from Saudi Arabia, some limitations of this study should be highlighted. It is a single-center, retrospective study; thus, it has all the limitations inherent to retrospective studies, including the risk of confounding and selection bias, and the presence of residual confounding that cannot be fully excluded due to the observational nature of the study.

CONCLUSION

This study found that the majority of referrals were early; however, late referrals were associated with higher mortality and longer length of hospitalization as well as requirement for RRT and a higher rate of sepsis. The effects of late referrals may be circumvented by providing education to non-nephrologists to better identify the risk factors of AKI.
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