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Abstract. Bus transport in India is the main mode of transport in the 21st century for both intercity and interstate services. NUTP (National Urban Transport Policy) 2006 focussed the development of bus transport in Indian cities. They developed JNNURM policy for improving intercity bus services. Large part of bus transport is catered by private sector in 2020 than government sector in India. Without improving the level of service in depot areas, the bus accessibility and operational efficiency cannot be achieved. In order to improve the LOS certain strategy has to be followed in depot elements such as depot infrastructure improvement, planning guidelines for platform infrastructure, and design elements for achieving the increased LOS. This paper explains the standards for individual element in Bus depot to be followed for effective utilisation and functioning of facilities provided. The specific guidelines, standards and toolkits are the most effective format for capacity building in an organisation. It also facilitates low cost with rapid sharing of knowledge and experience. Additionally, no stakeholder requirement is overlooked and ensures the minimum standards of development.
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1. Introduction

A bus depot is a large area facilitates for parking the buses and mainly provided for maintenance and repair purpose. The demand of bus depot depends on the number of buses coming for halt and the capacity existence [1-5]. The poor infrastructure followed in the existing system of design makes the threat to effective area utilization. The best mode of infrastructure can be followed by demanding the common design concepts in design elements. There are various factors must be considered while deciding the new bus depot design [6-10]. The following are some of the components that must be considered while going for bus depot setup,

1. Entrance/Exit of the depot,
2. Parking (External such as private vehicles)
3. Parking (Internal for Buses)
4. Fuel station
5. Maintenance workshop
6. Cleaning and water service area
7. Administration facility for recording
8. Surveillance area
9. Storage facility
10. Future extension gap

2. Literature review

Guidelines for bus depot

The nationwide development of public transport especially in road sector forces major changes in design of terminal areas. One such parameter of bus terminus design includes the design of bus depot. The certain issues may be present in the existing terminals (in common),

- Insufficient entry and exit width/Improper orientation adjacent to road access
- Improper parking configuration
- Proper utilization of parking space for buses available
- Insufficient number of bays
- Turning radius issues
- Improper public utility area
- Future land acquisition is not possible
- Terminal area and Environmental factors
- Distance between the bus terminus and existing bus depot
- Difficulty in access
- Economic losses

Certain changes in existing design of the terminal should be made to accommodate the future expansion and congestion simultaneously.

Methods of analysis of newly proposed data

Game theory can be the way to identify the maximum utilization of depot area. This can enhance the performance analysis of present and future traffic handling capacity.

3. Particularization and Dimensions

- The Number of bays provided as per requirements of buses is 160
- Men’s toilet
  - Washbasin- 2 x 2 inch
  - Toilet- 3 x 4 inch
  - Urinals- 1.5 x 2 inch
  - Men’s toilet- 24 x 22 inch
- Women’s Toilet
  - Washbasin- 2 x 2 inch
  - Toilet- 3 x 4 inch
  - Women’s Toilet- 27 x 22 inch
- A Building with size 190 x 260 inch has 4000 racks of automated car parking area with a capacity of 5200 cars.
- A building with size 275 x 275 inch has 730 racks of automatic bike parking area with a capacity of 800 bikes.

4. Design strategy

- Two general options can be proposed for redeveloping the improved the sectorial bus terminal area. It is based on the static and dynamic bay arrangement which differs in numbers, loading arrangements or maintenance bays with ideal bays. Spatial re-organization and infrastructure
improvements are proposed with the goal to enable enhanced, efficient bus and passenger circulation.

- Overall improvement at the terminal can be done through resolving conflicts and other issues related to circulation.
- Internal bus circulation will be planned with static and dynamic bay allocation. In order to improve the efficiency and conflict points it is planned for streamlined buses and passenger circulation. Offloading bays are not taken in the present infrastructure.
- Apart from this, the depot’s entrance gate is proposed to be shifted to the opposite edge (adjoining the external road) in order to allow access from the main road instead of ISBT parking area.

Bay type:
- Idle and Unloading operations and common bays Loading are taking place in a same bay
- Idle and Unloading operations and segregated bays Loading takes place at different bays

Depot Size:
- Peak bus flow (Small) < 60/hr
- Bus Flow (Medium type) > 60/hr < 300/hr
- Peak Bus Flow (Large type) > 300/hr

5. Conclusion
The bus terminal elements suffer due to irregular pattern of flow of buses but in case of depot due to change in alignment of location of bus maintenance and its actual duration it leads to queue formation. In order to avoid the concept of mis-alignment the factor sectorial bus pattern has to be followed. The regional sector helps the drivers to flow a mean free space path.
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