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Abstract

The SARS-CoV spike glycoprotein (S) is the main target of the protective immune response in humans and animal models of SARS. Here, we demonstrated that efficient expression of S from the wild-type spike gene in cultured cells required the use of improved plasmid vectors containing donor and acceptor splice sites, as well as heterologous viral RNA export elements, such as the CTE of Mazon-Pfizer monkey virus or the PRE of Woodchuck hepatitis virus (WPRE). The presence of both splice sites and WPRE markedly improved the immunogenicity of S-based DNA vaccines against SARS. Upon immunization of mice with low doses (2 μg) of naked DNA, only intron and WPRE-containing vectors could induce neutralizing anti-S antibodies and provide protection against challenge with SARS-CoV. Our observations are likely to be useful for the construction of plasmid and viral vectors designed for optimal expression of intronless genes derived from cytoplasmic RNA viruses.
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Introduction

A severe, contagious and atypical pneumopathy, named severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), emerged in Southern China in late 2002. The etiological agent was found to be a new coronavirus (SARS-CoV). Between November 2002 and July 2003, the virus spread to 29 different countries and was responsible for 8096 clinical cases, leading to 774 deaths (WHO, 21 April 2004, posting date).

The mechanisms of SARS-CoV introduction into humans are largely unknown. SARS-CoV-like viruses have been isolated from caged Himalayan palm civets and raccoon dogs in live-animal markets in China (Guan et al., 2003; Kan et al., 2005). Evidences for direct transmission of SARS-CoV-like viruses from these animals to humans have been reported (Song et al., 2005; The Chinese SARS Molecular Epidemiology Consortium, 2004). However, recent studies suggested that civets may have served only as an amplification host for SARS-CoV and the existence of an upstream wild animal reservoir has been proposed (Tu et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2005). Consistent with this hypothesis, SARS-CoV-like viruses sharing more than 88% nucleotide identities with SARS-CoV have been isolated from horseshoe bats in mainland China (Li et al., 2005) and Hong
Kong SAR (Lau et al., 2005). Thus, re-emergence of SARS-CoV is a matter of concern and an efficient vaccine would be the most effective way to control a new epidemic.

Similar to other coronaviruses, SARS-CoV is an enveloped positive-strand RNA virus. Its large single-stranded genome, 29.7 kb in length, encodes the replicase polyproteins, small accessory proteins and four major structural proteins: the nucleoprotein (N), the small envelope protein (E), the membrane protein (M), and a large, club-shaped spike protein (S). The SARS-CoV spike protein is a type-I transmembrane glycoprotein consisting of 1255 amino acids (a.a.), with a molecular weight of ∼180 kDa. As predicted by sequence analysis, it consists of four domains: a signal sequence (a.a. 1 to 14), a large ectodomain comprised of amino-acids 15 to 1190 with 23 potential N-glycosylation sites, a transmembrane domain (a.a. 1191 to 1227), and a short cytoplasmic tail of 28 a.a. (Ksiacek et al., 2003; Rota et al., 2003). The S protein mediates many of the biological properties of the virus, including viral entry: binding to cellular receptors, ACE2 (Li et al., 2003) and L-SIGN (Jeffers et al., 2004), penetration and fusion between the viral and cellular membranes (Matsuyama et al., 2005; Petit et al., 2005; Simmons et al., 2005). It is also associated with host range and tissue tropism (Giroglou et al., 2004; Qu et al., 2005), as for other coronaviruses (Casais et al., 2003; Haijema et al., 2003; Kuo et al., 2000).

The S protein of SARS-CoV is a candidate antigen for vaccine development, as it is the main target for neutralizing antibodies in human patients (He et al., 2005; Nie et al., 2004; Temperton, 2005; Traggiai et al., 2004). Moreover, passive immunization studies demonstrated that spike-specific neutralizing antibodies are protective in the mouse (Subbarao et al., 2004) and ferret (ter Meulen et al., 2004) animal models. Accordingly, several candidate vaccines relying on the induction of spike-specific neutralizing antibodies have been reported to induce a protective immune response in various animal models (Bishit et al., 2004, 2005; Buchholz et al., 2004; Bukreyev et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2004).

Efficient expression of intronless genes from plasmid or viral vectors may require the presence of additional cis-acting regulatory modules within the expression cassettes, such as splice sites (SS), retroviral constitutive transport elements (CTE) and hepadnaviral posttranscriptional regulatory elements (PRE). The most studied of these latter viral elements are the CTE of Mason-Pfizer Monkey virus (MPMV-CTE) (Rizvi et al., 1996) and the PRE of Woodchuck Hepatitis virus (WPRE) (Donello et al., 1998) and both have been successfully used for that purpose (Loeb et al., 1999; Tan et al., 1995; Wodrich et al., 2000; Zufferey et al., 1999). However, the optimal choice of posttranscriptional enhancer modules depends on the type of cDNA to be expressed (Schambach et al., 2000). Whether this strategy may apply to the expression of genes from cytoplasm-replicating RNA viruses, which mRNAs are not naturally processed by splicing and nuclear-export machineries, remains to be determined.

In the present study, we report the construction of a series of DNA expression vectors containing the wild-type S coding sequence. The influence of SS, MPMV-CTE or WPRE on expression of S protein in transfected cells and on induction of a protective SARS-CoV-specific immunity in mice after naked DNA immunization are compared. We found that WPRE together with SS allowed most efficient expression of S in 293T and VeroE6 cells whereas CTE had a slight to moderate effect depending on the cell line. Furthermore, dose range experiments demonstrated that, when administered to mice, DNA plasmid vectors with WPRE induced the highest levels of anti-S antibodies and protection towards a challenge with live SARS-CoV.

**Results**

**Construction of SARS-CoV S expression vectors and comparison of S expression in transfected primate cells**

The coding region of SARS-CoV S protein was amplified by RT-PCR from the broncho-alveolar lavage of a patient hospitalized with probable SARS at the Hanoi French Hospital (Vietnam) in 2003 and cloned into pcDNA3.1 and pCI expression vectors under the control of the cytomegalovirus (CMV) immediate/early promoter, yielding pcDNA-S and pCI-S plasmids, respectively (see Fig. 2A).

We initially tested protein expression driven by these vectors in transiently transfected Vero E6 cells, which are permissive for SARS-CoV replication, thus allowing mature expression of essential SARS-CoV genes. pcDNA-S did not induce detectable levels of S protein either by immunoblotting using an S-specific rabbit antiserum (Fig. 1, lane 2) or by indirect immunofluorescence (data not shown). This was not due to inadequate sensitivity of the detection methods, since S as

---

**Fig. 1.** Transient expression of the SARS-CoV spike protein following plasmid DNA transfection. Subconfluent monolayers of Vero E6 cells were transfected with empty (−) or S (+) expression plasmids. At 48 h post-transfection, whole cell extracts were prepared in Laemmli sample buffer, separated by SDS-PAGE as indicated (lanes 1 to 4) and analyzed by Western blot as described in Materials and methods using rabbit polyclonal antibodies directed against the S protein. Alternatively, VeroE6 cells were infected with VV-TF7-3 at a MOI of 5, transfected 1 h later with the indicated construct and analyzed for S expression 18 h thereafter (lanes 5 and 6). As controls, whole cell extracts prepared from VeroE6 cells either mock infected (lane 7) or infected with SARS-CoV (lane 8) were analyzed. The position of the molecular weight markers is shown on the right of the gel (kDa).
expressed in SARS-CoV infected cells was readily detected at
the expected size of ~180 kDa (Fig. 1, lane 8). In addition,
potential defect in the pcDNA-S construct, low transfection
efficiency or other flaws could be excluded, since pcDNA-S
induced high levels of S expression from the T7 RNA
polymerase promoter, when T7 RNA polymerase was
expressed in Vero E6 cells after superinfection with VV-TF7.3
recombinant vaccinia virus (Fig. 1, lane 6).

In contrast, S protein expression could be detected in Vero
E6 cells after transfection of the pCI-S construct (Fig. 1, lane 4).
Similar data were obtained in 293T cells (see Fig. 2B). The pCI-
S construct differs markedly from the pcDNA-S construct by
the presence of a chimeric intron between the CMV promoter
and the S coding sequence. The inserted intron is composed of
the donor site from the first intron of the human β-globin gene
and the branch and acceptor site from the intron of an
immunoglobulin gene (Promega, January 2004, revision date).
These results suggested that the presence of an intron is required
for expression of the SARS-CoV S gene, when the correspond-
ing mRNA is synthesized in the nucleus of transfected cells.
However, expression levels driven by pCI-S remained low as
compared to the levels observed in the T7 RNA polymerase
based expression system (Fig. 1, compare lanes 4 and 6). In the
latter (Fuerst et al., 1986), transcription takes place primarily in
the cytoplasm, suggesting that S mRNA might be abnormally
processed after synthesis in the nucleus or inefficiently exported
to the cytoplasm.

Enhancement of SARS-CoV S protein expression with viral
export elements

Cis-acting viral export elements such as the CTE of Mason-
Pfizer Monkey Virus (MPMV-CTE), and the PRE of Wood-
chuck hepatitis virus (WPRE) have been shown to increase the
nuclear export of mRNAs, resulting in higher protein expres-
sion levels (Bray et al., 1994; Donello et al., 1998; Gruter et al.,
1998; Popa et al., 2002). Therefore, MPMV-CTE and WPRE
sequences were inserted in both pcDNA-S and pCI-S plasmids
downstream of the S coding sequence and upstream of the
polyadenylation sequence (Fig. 2A).

Immunoblot analysis of cell lysates prepared from trans-
fected VeroE6 and 293T cells are shown in Figs. 2B and C,
respectively. S expression remained below detectable levels
whatever viral export element inserted in the pcDNA-S plasmid.
In marked contrast, both CTE and WPRE increased S
expression when inserted in the pCI-S plasmid. The most
dramatic effect was observed upon pCI-S-WPRE transfection
into either VeroE6 or 293T cells. Similar data were obtained in
simian FRhK-4 and hamster BHK cells (data not shown). To
evaluate more accurately the impact of CTE and WPRE on S
production, protein expression levels were quantified from the
luminescence signals recorded with a cooled CCD camera
(Table 1). As compared to pCI-S, a 12- to 42-fold increase for
the WPRE-containing pCI plasmid was observed, regardless of
the cell lines used, while CTE had more impact on S ex-
pression in VeroE6 cells (9- to 26-fold increase) than in 293T
cells (4-fold increase).

Expression of S at the cell surface was examined by FACS
analysis performed on non-permeabilized 293T cells at 48 h
post-transfection. Less than 5% of cells transfected with either
plasmid from the pcDNA-S series were stained by polyclonal
mouse anti-S antibodies (Fig. 3, upper left panel and data not
shown), while 50% of cells transfected with the pCI-S-WPRE
construct were found positive (Fig. 3, lower right panel). In
agreement with immunoblotting data, WPRE was much more
efficient than CTE in enhancing S expression from pCI-S DNAs
at the surface of 293T cells. Levels of S produced upon
transfection of pCI-S-CTE and pCI-S-WPRE were 2- and 11-
fold higher, respectively, than those produced upon transfection
of pCI-S.

To analyze whether enhanced S expression correlated with
increased S mRNA levels, we performed Northern blot analysis
of cytoplasmic fractions prepared 24 or 48 h post-transfection of
VeroE6 and 293T cells. S mRNAs were detected with a mixture
of three S-specific probes and their relative abundance was
calculated using β-actin mRNA levels as an internal control. A
representative experiment is shown in Fig. 4 for mRNAs
prepared 48 h after transfection of 293T cells. No S-specific
mRNA was detected in the cytoplasm of cells transfected with
any pcDNA-S-derived plasmid or empty plasmid, in agree-
mement with the lack of S expression. S mRNAs of the expected
size were observed with plasmids of the pCI-S series. Thus,
cytoplasmic accumulation of S mRNA and hence S protein
expression appeared to be dependent on correct splicing.

Surprisingly, CTE had no impact on the amount of cyto-
plasmic S mRNAs in VeroE6 and 293T cells, neither at 24 h
nor at 48 h post-transfection (Fig. 4 and data not shown). In
contrast, WPRE increased mRNA levels in 293T cells by 3-
fold at both 24 h (data not shown) and 48 h (Fig. 4) post-
transfection, and transiently increased mRNA levels in VeroE6
cells at 24 h post-transfection (2.7 fold increase; data not
shown).

Altogether, our results showed that both CTE and WPRE
have a greater effect at the protein level than at the mRNA level
(compare Fig. 3 and Table 1 to Fig. 4) and thus promote SARS-
CoV spike protein expression by increasing mRNA translation.

| Table 1 | Relative quantitative expression of S protein in transiently transfected cells |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Plasmid         | S levels (arbitrary units)* | S levels (arbitrary units)* | S levels (arbitrary units)* |
|                 | VeroE6 cellsb    | 293T cellsb      | VeroE6 cellsb    | 293T cellsb      |
|                 | Expt. 1c         | Expt. 2          | Expt. 1c         | Expt. 2          |
| pCI             | NA              | NA              | NA              | NA              |
| pCI-S           | 1.0±0.1         | 1.0             | 1.0±0.1         | 1.0             |
| pCI-S-CTE       | 9.8±0.9         | 26.4            | 4.6±0.6         | 4.0             |
| pCI-S-WPRE      | 20.1±2.0        | 42.3            | 27.6±2.6        | 12.8            |
| NA: not applicable. |

* Protein expression levels were quantified from the captured gel image as described in Materials and methods and are indicated in arbitrary units (1=the value measured in pCI-S-transfected cells for a given cell line).

b Data from two independent experiments are reported.

c Figures are mean±S.D. of duplicate determinations.

Fig. 3. Analysis of S protein expression at the surface of transfected cells. Subconfluent monolayers of 293T cells were transfected with each of the indicated S expression plasmids. At 48 h post-transfection, cells were detached from the plates and labeled with anti-S mouse polyclonal antibodies and FITC-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibodies. Flow cytometry analysis was performed on a FACScalibur fluorocytometer. pCI-transfected 293T cells were used as control (dotted lines). S expression at the cell surface of transfected cells was quantified as the mean fluorescence intensity of the fraction of S-expressing cells (bar) and is indicated in each histogram in arbitrary units (1=mean intensity measured in pCI-S-transfected cells).

Fig. 4. Analysis of S mRNA in transfected cells. Subconfluent monolayers of 293T cells were transfected with each of the indicated S expression plasmids. At 48 h post-transfection, cytoplasmic RNA was prepared and analysed by Northern blot for the presence of S mRNAs with a mixture of negative sense riboprobes specific for S sequences, as described in Materials and methods. The position of molecular weight markers is indicated on the side. For normalization, Northern blot analysis was performed in parallel using a negative sense β-actin specific riboprobe. The amounts of S specific RNAs of the expected size (stars) and β-actin RNAs were quantified and the calculated S/β-actin specific mRNA ratios are indicated below the images (1=ratio found in pCI-S transfected cells).
WPRE-mediated expression of SARS-CoV S allows functional studies of the protein and serological surveillance of SARS

Having shown that the SARS-CoV S protein can be efficiently expressed at the cell surface by using the WPRE element, we sought to further test whether the S protein expressed in this system was functional and antigenically relevant.

We first evaluated the capacity of the WPRE-expressed S protein to induce receptor-dependent cell-to-cell fusion. We took advantage of the fact that, under our experimental conditions, co-transfection of 293T cells with two different expression plasmids allowed expression of both encoded proteins in more than 90% of the transfected cell population (data not shown). Thus, target cells were prepared by co-transfection of 293T cells with pcDNA-DsRed and pcDNA3-ACE2, which allow expression of the fluorescent DsRed protein and the ACE2 SARS-CoV receptor, respectively (Li et al., 2003). Concurrently, effector cells were prepared by co-transfection of 293T cells with pcDNA-DsRed and pcDNA3-ACE2, which allow expression of the fluorescent DsRed protein and the ACE2 SARS-CoV receptor, respectively (Li et al., 2003). Concurrently, effector cells were prepared by transfection of 293T-GFP cells with the pCI-S-WPRE plasmid. The

Fig. 5. Efficient fusion of S-protein- and ACE2-expressing 293T cells. 293T cells constitutively expressing GFP (effector cells), were transfected with pCI-S-WPRE or, as control, with the empty pCI plasmid. 293T cells transfected with pCMV-DsRed (target cells) were also transfected with either pcDNA-ACE2 or, as control, with the empty pcDNA plasmid. At 36 h post-transfection, target and effector cells were mixed at a 1:1 ratio and analysed by flow cytometry for GFP and DsRed expression 12 h thereafter. The indicated percentage of fusion was measured as the ratio between double-stained cells (upper right quadrant) and red target cells (lower plus upper right quadrant). A: Effector cells transfected with pCI mixed with target cells transfected with pcDNA. B: Effector cells transfected with pCI-S-WPRE mixed with target cells transfected with pcDNA. C: Effector cells transfected with pCI mixed with target cells transfected with pcDNA-ACE2. D: Effector cells transfected with pCI-S-WPRE mixed with target cells transfected with pcDNA-ACE2.

Fig. 6. Serological evaluation of convalescent human sera using S-protein-expressing VeroE6 cells. Subconfluent monolayers of VeroE6 cells were transfected with pCI (A, B) or pCI-S-WPRE (C to F). At 48 h post-transfection, cells were stained as described in Materials and methods using either serum from patient A (#033168; B, E), or serum collected from patient B 8 days (#032703; C) or 29 days (#033153; F) after the onset of SARS symptoms, or serum collected before the SARS epidemic from a healthy donor (TV262; A, D).
fusion of co-cultured green effector cells and red target cells was evaluated by FACS analysis (Fig. 5). We found that no cell-to-cell fusion occurred when S-expressing effector cells were mixed with mock-transfected target cells (panel B) or when mock-transfected effector cells were mixed with ACE2-expressing target cells (panel C), as the percentage of green- and red-stained cells did not increase above background (panel A). In contrast, more than 45% of target cells expressing ACE2 did fuse with effector cells expressing S (panel D).

Next, we evaluated the antigenicity of the WPRE-expressed S protein using convalescent human sera. VeroE6 cells were transfected with pCI-S-WPRE or pCI as a control, and immunofluorescence assays (IFA) were carried out using three SARS convalescent human sera and two normal human sera (Fig. 6). S-expressing VeroE6 cells were readily stained with convalescent sera from the SARS cases (panels E, F and data not shown) but not with sera from healthy blood donors (panel D as an example), while control Vero cells showed no staining (panels A, B). In addition, this S-protein based IFA allowed to monitor seroconversion of patients for SARS, using serum samples taken during the acute and convalescent phases of the disease (panels C and F, respectively as an example).

Altogether, our results indicate that WPRE-mediated expression of S is qualitatively and quantitatively relevant, since the resulting polypeptide is capable of inducing cell-to-cell fusion and is efficiently recognized by convalescent human sera.

**Induction of anti-S antibody response in mice immunized with WPRE-enhanced naked DNA vaccine**

In order to compare the capacity of CTE and WPRE to enhance the efficacy of naked DNA vaccine, we performed a dose response experiment. Female BALB/c mice were immunized by intramuscular injection of 2, 10 or 50 μg of pCI-S, pCI-S-CTE or pCI-S-WPRE naked plasmid DNA. This dose range extended from suboptimal to optimal doses of typical plasmid DNA vaccine in mice and was selected according to our preliminary experiments (data not shown) and published data (Fu et al., 1999; Ulmer et al., 1994; Wang et al., 2000). As control, a group of mice was injected with 50 μg of DNA from the parental pCI plasmid. Mice were boosted at week 4 with the same dose of DNA and the resulting immune responses were analyzed 3 weeks later. Anti-S serum IgG antibody titers were determined for each individual mice by indirect ELISA using SARS-CoV-infected VeroE6 cell lysates as the capture antigen, as described in Materials and methods. Values for each individual mouse are represented with black circles, and means with horizontal bars. The detection limit of the assay is indicated by a dotted line.

In Fig. 7, WPRE enhances anti-S antibody responses in mice immunized with low doses of plasmid DNA. Groups of 6 BALB/c mice were injected twice intramuscularly at 4-week interval with 2, 10 or 50 μg of S expression plasmid DNA as indicated, or with 50 μg of pCI plasmid DNA as control. Immune sera were collected 3 weeks after the second injection. SARS-CoV-specific IgG antibody titers were determined by indirect ELISA using SARS-CoV-infected VeroE6 cell lysates as the capture antigen, as described in Materials and methods. Values for each individual mouse are represented with black circles, and means with horizontal bars. The detection limit of the assay is indicated by a dotted line.

![Graph showing anti-S antibody response in mice](image)

This dose response experiment clearly demonstrates that WPRE strongly enhances the immunogenicity of an S-based anti-SARS naked DNA vaccine in mice, whereas CTE has no effect. The most remarkable effect was observed at the very low dose of 2 μg naked DNA per mouse, for which the WPRE-enhanced DNA vaccine only was able to induce high levels of anti-SARS antibodies.

**Induction of complete protection of mice against a SARS-CoV challenge by a low dose of WPRE-enhanced DNA vaccine**

To assess whether a low dose of the WPRE-enhanced DNA vaccine could induce protective immunity against SARS, BALB/c mice were injected three times with 2 μg of plasmid DNA at 4-week intervals. The mice received the various DNA constructs as described above. First, sera were sampled at 3 weeks post-immunization, and we examined whether the antibody response was capable of SARS-CoV neutralization. Neutralizing antibody titers remained below the detection level...
after injection of either pCI-S or pCI-S-CTE plasmid DNA (Fig. 8A). In contrast, significant levels of SARS-CoV neutralizing antibodies were measured in 7 out of 8 mice immunized with pCI-S-WPRE plasmid DNA. Next, at 8 weeks post-immunization, mice were transferred to a BSL-4 animal facility and challenged by intranasal inoculation of $10^5$ pfu of SARS-CoV.

Viral loads in the lungs were evaluated 2 days later (Fig. 8B). No significant difference was found in the viral titers observed in mice injected with pCI-S ($5.8\pm0.5$ log10 pfu/lungs), pCI-S-CTE ($5.9\pm0.3$ log10 pfu/lungs) or with control pCI ($5.3\pm0.6$ log10 pfu/lungs). In sharp contrast, immunization with pCI-S-WPRE plasmid DNA conferred almost complete protection with no infectious virus detectable in 7 out of 8 mice ($\log_{10}$ pfu/lungs $<1.8$) and a very low residual titer in a single mouse ($p<10^{-8}$).

These studies clearly indicate that the WPRE-enhanced SARS DNA vaccine is most effective in inducing complete protective immunity, providing protection against SARS-CoV challenge after low-dose injection of naked plasmid DNA.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, the vast majority of published studies relying on the transient expression of the spike protein of all coronaviruses before the SARS-CoV epidemic was recognized, involved the use of either T7 RNA polymerase-based expression systems or viral expression vectors such as recombinant Sindbis or vaccinia viruses (Horsburgh and Brown, 1995; Krueger et al., 2001; Narayanan et al., 2003; Pulford and Britton, 1991; Vennema et al., 1996; Yoo and Deregt, 2001). This may be indicative of the difficulty to express efficiently the long coronavirus S gene following transient transfection of mammalian cells with conventional DNA expression vectors, that lead to mRNA synthesis in the cell nucleus. Consistent with this hypothesis, we also found that the SARS-CoV S gene is not expressed at detectable levels when cloned into the classical pcDNA mammalian expression vector under the control of a nuclear polymerase II promoter (Fig. 1), whereas it is expressed efficiently from a recombinant vaccinia virus (V. Lorin, B. Callendret, S. van der Werf and N. Escriou, unpublished data). Likewise, it has been reported that the S protein from SARS-CoV is poorly expressed following DNA transfection (Chang et al., 2006; Hofmann et al., 2004; Qin et al., 2004; Simmons et al., 2004), hence most published studies used T7 RNA polymerase-based expression systems (Schwegmann-Wessels et al., 2004; Xiao et al., 2003) or synthetic genes optimized for human codon usage (Huang et al., 2004; Qin et al., 2004; Zhi et al., 2005). Here, we describe the design and use of a modified DNA expression vector to enhance SARS-CoV S expression in mammalian cells. This DNA vector contains the CMV immediate/early promoter, a chimeric intron and a cis-acting CTE or WPRE element. We demonstrate that this vector permits the expression of high levels of S at the cell surface of transiently transfected cells, that are compatible with functional and antigenic characterization of the S protein. In addition, this low-cost and easy-to-use expression vector allowed us to develop a cell-to-cell fusion assay and an immunofluorescence assay, which may prove useful for the study of cellular and viral determinants of S-induced membrane fusion and for the sensitive and specific routine diagnosis of SARS seroconversion.

Detectable expression of both spike mRNA and protein in transfected cells required the use of plasmid vectors containing...
an intron upstream of the S ORF (Figs. 1 and 2). Our findings are consistent with data reported by Hofmann et al. (2004), Simmons et al. (2004) and Broer et al. (2006), who employed the pCAGG or phCMV vectors to achieve detectable S expression. Both pCAGG and phCMV vectors also harbor donor and acceptor splice sites downstream of a polymerase II promoter. Altogether, these data indicate that cytoplasmic accumulation of S mRNA, hence S protein expression, are absolutely dependent on splicing. Most genes in higher eucaryotes contain at least one intron and many studies have clearly shown that constitutively spliced introns or the presence of a heterologous intron of either viral or cellular origin may be required for optimal gene expression in a variety of systems, including transient expression in mammalian tissue culture cells. The importance of splicing for expression of a given gene appears to depend on several factors such as intron and exon identity and cell type (Buchman and Berg, 1988; Petticlerc et al., 1995). Albeit much less documented, the expression of intronless genes of bacterial and viral origin can also be enhanced by including introns within mammalian vectors (Huang and Gorman, 1990; Huang and Yen, 1995). Although it has been suggested that introns alter the nucleocytoplasmic distribution of mRNAs, more recent data indicate that splicing rather enhances both mRNA production and translational efficiency (Lu and Cullen, 2003; Nott et al., 2003). Our results extend these observations and indicate that the nuclear expression of naturally intronless genes derived from RNA viruses that replicate in the cell cytoplasm may follow the same requirements (presence of an intron) for efficient mRNA accumulation in the cytoplasm.

Although cis-acting viral export elements could compensate for the lack of an intron in the expression of the human β-globin cDNA from a retroviral vector (Schambach et al., 2000), CTE and WPRE did not permit efficient expression of the SARS-CoV S gene from the pcDNA vector and both S mRNA and protein remained undetectable. However, a remarkable boost in S expression was observed when CTE or WPRE was combined with an intron within the pCI vector (Figs. 2 and 3). Interestingly, we did not observe any effect of CTE at the level of S mRNA production in 293T and VeroE6 cells. This was unexpected because CTE-enhanced expression has been linked to CTE-mediated export of mRNAs, conferring rev-independence to HIV-1 gag expression (Bray et al., 1994; Wodrich et al., 2000) and contributing to overcome inhibitory elements of HPV-16 L1 mRNA (Tan et al., 1995). Our results rather support the hypothesis raised by Schambach et al. (2000), according to which CTE is much more efficient in promoting export of unspliced intron-containing retroviral RNA transcripts (Ernst et al., 1997a; Gruter et al., 1998; Kang and Cullen, 1999), than in facilitating the transport of spliced mRNA. In contrast, WPRE enhanced cytoplasmic S mRNA levels by 3-fold in both 293T and VeroE6 cells. Both CTE and WPRE have an export function, but they differ by the mechanisms involved in that CTE binds to the Tap nuclear mRNA export factor (Gruter et al., 1998), while WPRE recruits the cellular Crm1 RNA export factor (Popa et al., 2002). The observation that Tap is also implicated in the export of cellular spliced mRNAs from the nucleus suggests that CTE RNA and spliced mRNAs share a common nuclear export pathway (Braun et al., 1999). This may explain the absence of synergy between intron and CTE in enhancing the cytoplasmic levels of spliced S mRNA in both 293T and VeroE6 cells (Fig. 4). In contrast, WPRE RNA and spliced mRNAs use different factors for nuclear export and our data indicate that these may act synergistically to enhance the cytoplasmic expression of an intron-containing WPRE RNA (Fig. 4). A similar observation was made by Schambach et al., who reported that elevated expression of the human multidrug resistance gene (MDR1) mRNA in SW480 cells depends on the presence of both an intron and WPRE (Schambach et al., 2000).

The effect of CTE and WPRE was more pronounced on S protein levels than on S cytoplasmic mRNAs levels (compare Fig. 3 and Table 1 to Fig. 4), indicating that, in addition to their initially recognized role in mRNA export, CTE and WPRE also act by promoting efficient mRNA translation. Such a role for CTE in mRNA translation has been recently suggested by the groups of Boris-Lawrie and Hammarskjöld (Coyle et al., 2003; Hull and Boris-Lawrie, 2003; Jin et al., 2003). In contrast, it is not in full agreement with the common view that WPRE exerts its effect on protein expression mainly by enhancing 3′ mRNA processing and nucleocytoplasmic transport (Donello et al., 1998; Loeb et al., 1999; Zufferey et al., 1999). To our knowledge, a single report suggested that WPRE may contribute to enhanced cytoplasmic utilization of an intronless EGFP mRNA in addition to its effect on nuclear RNA processing and RNA export (Schambach et al., 2000). Interestingly, the closely related Hepatitis B virus PRE has also been reported to enhance translation of the RNA harboring this element (Lu and Cullen, 2003).

In conclusion to our in vitro studies, we demonstrated that the marked enhancing effect of WPRE on S protein expression from spliced S transcripts is due to the synergistic effect of increased levels of cytoplasmic messenger RNA and increased cytoplasmic utilization of these mRNAs. Our data provide a likely explanation to the observations of Wang et al. (2003) who reported that WPRE improved the production of the E2 protein of the type 2 bovine diarrhea virus (a cytoplasmic RNA virus) when expressed in transiently transfected cells or from a recombinant herpesvirus. Our results have important implications for the construction of plasmid and viral vectors optimally designed for the expression of intronless genes from cytoplasmic RNA viruses.

Successful expression of full-length S protein in mammalian cells was alternatively achieved by others by using codon-optimized synthetic genes (Huang et al., 2004; Qin et al., 2004; Zhi et al., 2005). Codon-usage adaptation most often involves the substitution of nearly every wobble position for a G or C nucleotide (Nakamura, October 2006, posting date; Nakamura et al., 2006), resulting in genes with a much diverse nucleotide composition and decreased A/T content. Wild-type genes from viruses with a nuclear life cycle such as HIV-1 or HPV-16 contain regulatory elements evolved to inhibit mRNA cytoplasmic accumulation. For HIV-1 Gag, Vpu, Vif (Graf et al., 2000; Kotsopoulou et al., 2000; Nguyen et al., 2004) or HPV-16 L1 and L2 proteins (Collier et al., 2002; Oberg et al., 2003),
codon optimization of corresponding genes has been shown to result in the inactivation of such elements, thus leading to increased viral protein expression from nuclear DNA expression vectors. Cytoplasmic viruses, such as SARS-CoV, may contain cryptic splice sites, mRNA instability motifs such as A/U-rich elements (AREs), or putative cis-active inhibitory sequences, which may explain why DNA vector-based expression of genes from these viruses is dependent on the presence of heterologous intron and transport elements. It can be hypothesized that codon-usage adaptation is a successful strategy to express high levels of cytoplasmic virus proteins as the result of removal of these yet uncharacterized inhibitory elements.

In S-based DNA vaccination experiments, we found that the presence of an intron was mandatory to induce high titers of protective neutralizing antibodies in immunized mice (data not shown). This is in agreement with the absence of S polypeptide expression in cultured cells from the pcDNA-S plasmid (Figs. 1–3) and with the weak antibody responses observed by others in mice immunized with pcDNA-based S constructs (Wang et al., 2005b). In addition, among published reports describing full-length-S-based DNA vaccines, only those based on a codon-optimized gene proved capable of inducing high titers of neutralizing antibodies in mice (Yang et al., 2004, 2005; Yi et al., 2005) or rabbits (Wang et al., 2005a). It is noteworthy that similar observations were reported recently for replication-defective human adenoviral vectors containing the wild-type S gene under the control of the CMV promoter; such recombinant vectors failed to induce cellular immune responses against spike epitopes, whereas vectors encoding codon-optimized S protein proved to be potent immunogens (Zhi et al., 2005). Since expression from adenoviral vectors relies on nuclear transcription of the foreign gene, this corroborates our hypothesis that wild-type S mRNA does not accumulate in the cytoplasm in the absence of a heterologous intron.

In the present study, we demonstrated that a combination of an intron and WPRE, but not of an intron and CTE, acted synergistically in enhancing the immunogenicity of an S-based anti-SARS DNA vaccine in BALB/c mice. We showed that 10 μg of pCI-S-WPRE induced a SARS-CoV neutralizing antibody response (log10 titer = 2.2 ± 0.2) similar to that induced by 25 μg of a codon-optimized expression vector, as described by Yang et al. (2004). Moreover, our in vivo data clearly indicate that immunization with the WPRE-enhanced vaccine induced complete protective immunity against challenge infection with SARS-CoV at doses as low as 2 μg at which the more conventional DNA vaccine (including intron sequence but lacking WPRE) did not (Fig. 8). To our knowledge, this is the first report of a successful DNA vaccination protocol using the full-length, wild-type S gene of SARS-CoV, without codon optimization. Whether WPRE could further enhance the protective efficacy of a DNA vaccine based on a codon-optimized gene of the SARS-CoV S protein, such as that reported by Yang et al., is an interesting question which would deserve additional studies.

It has been reported recently that the presence of WPRE also markedly enhanced the efficacy of two other DNA vaccines, a viral vaccine based on HA expression in a mouse model of influenza (Garg et al., 2004), as well as a tumor vaccine in a mouse model of neuroblastoma metastases (Pertl et al., 2003). The three studies with the SARS (our study), influenza (Garg et al., 2004) and neuroblastoma (Pertl et al., 2003) models show remarkable complementarity in demonstrating that WPRE enhanced the protective efficacy of DNA vaccines encoding antigens from the fully-spliced cDNA of a tumor gene and two naturally intronless genes, one derived from a nucleus-replicating negative strand RNA virus (influenza virus) and the other from a cytoplasm-replicating positive strand RNA virus (SARS-CoV). The mechanisms involved in the enhanced induction of protective immunity remain stricto sensu to be investigated but WPRE very likely improves the level of antigen expression in vivo, as it does in vitro in transient transfection experiments.

In summary, our data provide valuable information with respect to the improvement of S protein expression from the wild-type SARS-CoV gene by using both an intron and the WPRE post-transcriptional enhancer, and resulting improvement of the immunogenicity of an S-based DNA vaccine. From a practical point of view, this may help decrease the amount of plasmid DNA in immunization protocols. As noted by others (Garg et al., 2004), by lowering the amount of DNA used in immunization protocols, concerns raised by the use of DNA vaccines, such as risks posed by integration events into the host genome or the induction of autoimmune responses (Cui, 2005), are minimized. Moreover, one of the principal limitations to DNA vaccine efficacy in large animals, like non-human primates, may be related to the limited distribution of DNA within the injected tissue and limited uptake to the nucleus following injection of DNA vaccine in a relatively small volume (Dupuis et al., 2000; Otten et al., 2004). These limitations may be circumvented by the use of efficient DNA vectors based on a combination of intron and WPRE enhancer, such as those we describe here. Thus, these improved plasmid vectors might possibly contribute to the development of a safe and efficient DNA vaccine against SARS in humans. Further studies in larger animals, such as cynomolgus macaques or African Green Monkeys, which were recently described as suitable animal models for SARS (Fouchier et al., 2003; Lawler et al., 2006; McAuliffe et al., 2004; Rowe et al., 2004) could help validate the intron and WPRE-based vectors.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and viruses

FRhK-4 (Fetal Rhesus monkey Kidney), CV-1 and Vero E6 (African Green monkey kidney) cells were grown at 37 °C under 5% CO2 in complete DMEM [Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium with 4.5 mg/mL L-glucose, 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin], supplemented with 5% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) (DMEM-5). 293T (human kidney) cells were grown in complete DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS (DMEM-10).
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SARS-CoV FFM-1 strain (Drosten et al., 2003), was kindly provided by H.W. Doerr (Institute of Medical Virology, Frankfurt University Medical School, Germany). Viral stocks were produced at 35 °C by passage on Vero E6 cell cultures at a multiplicity of infection (M.O.I.) of 0.01 in complete DMEM with 2% FCS. All viral stocks were stored at -80 °C and titrated in a standard limiting dilution assay on Vero E6 cell monolayers in 96-well microtiter plates. Infectious titers were determined as 50% tissue culture infective doses (TCID50) according to Reed and Muench (Reed and Muench, 1938). All work involving infectious SARS-CoV was performed in an enhanced biosafety level 3 containment laboratory with rigorous safety procedures according to WHO guidelines.

VV-TF7.3 is a recombinant vaccinia virus encoding the T7 RNA polymerase (Fuerst et al., 1986) and was provided by B. Moss (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, U.S.A.). VV-TF7.3 was propagated at 35 °C by passage on CV-1 monolayers at an MOI of 0.1 and titrated by a standard plaque assay.

**S gene expression plasmids**

The S gene of SARS-CoV was obtained directly from the viral RNA extracted with the QIAamp Viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen) from the broncho-alveolar lavage (#031589 specimen) of a patient hospitalized with a diagnosis of probable SARS at the Hanoi French Hospital, Vietnam. Briefly, after reverse transcription of the RNA, overlapping 5′cDNA fragments were produced by nested PCR and the cDNA fragment representing the complete S gene sequence (nt 21406–25348) was assembled from clones harboring the consensus protein sequence as deduced by direct sequencing of the amplicons from specimen #031589 (Nal et al., 2005). The resulting plasmid pSARS-S was used as the source of S cDNA for subsequent cloning into mammalian expression vectors. Comparison of its sequence with the S sequences of the Tor2 (GenBank accession no. AY274119.3) and Urbani (GenBank accession no. AY278741.1) isolates revealed one amino-acid difference at position 577: Alanine for Tor2 and Serine for Urbani and 031589. The DNA sequence coding for the S protein was amplified by PCR with the PWO polymerase (Roche) using pSARS-S plasmid as a template and oligonucleotides 5′-ATAGGATCC CCATGTTTAT TTCTTTATC TTCTTACTC TC ACT-3′ and 5′-ATACTCGAG TGACCTTGAC GGGTGCAACA C-3′ and 5′-CCCCTGGT TTAATATTG CT CATATTTT CCC-3′ containing Ndel and XmaI restriction sites. After digestion with Ndel and XmaI, the resulting DNA fragment was inserted at the corresponding sites of the bacterial expression vector pIVEX2.4d (Roche), yielding plasmid pIV2.4S<sub>C</sub>. The final recombinant fragment of S (S<sub>C</sub>) contained an extraneous N-terminal polyhistidine tag. The construct’s insert was confirmed by DNA sequencing using a Big Dye terminator sequencing kit and an automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

**Antibodies**

**Rabbit anti-S polyclonal antibodies**

The cDNA encoding amino acids 475 to 1193 of the S protein was amplified by PCR using the S plasmid as a template and oligonucleotides 5′-CCCATATGAG TGACCTTTGAC GGGTGCAACA C-3′ and 5′-CCCCTGGTTA TTAATATTGCTCATATTTT CCC-3′ containing Ndel and XmaI restriction sites. After digestion with Ndel and XmaI, the resulting DNA fragment was inserted at the corresponding sites of the bacterial expression vector pIVEX2.4d (Roche), yielding plasmid pIV2.4S<sub>C</sub>. The final recombinant fragment of S (S<sub>C</sub>) contained an extraneous N-terminal polyhistidine tag. The construct’s insert was confirmed by DNA sequencing using a Big Dye terminator sequencing kit and an automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Exponentially growing cultures of *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3)pDIA17 cells harboring the pIV2.4S<sub>C</sub> expression construct were induced to synthesize the S<sub>C</sub> polypeptide by addition of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside. Cells were allowed to grow for 2 h at 30 °C. Under these conditions, the S<sub>C</sub> recombinant polypeptide was recovered as inclusion bodies: cells were harvested and lysed in lysis buffer (0.1 M Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA) using a French press at 1200 psi. Inclusion bodies in the cell lysate were pelleted by centrifugation at 20,000×g for 15 min and washed in lysis buffer supplemented with 2% Triton X100 and 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol and then in 10 mM Tris–HCl, 7 M urea, pH 7.5 buffer for 30 min under gentle shaking, before final resuspension in 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5. The S<sub>C</sub> protein was obtained at a purity of more than 90% as determined by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining.

New Zealand White rabbits were immunized by intradermal inoculation with 0.5 mg of recombinant S<sub>C</sub> polypeptide emulsified in Incomplete Freund’s Adjuvant (Sigma) and boosted twice at 3 to 4-week intervals. This was followed 3 weeks later by another injection of recombinant S<sub>C</sub> polypeptide, which had been further purified on a sucrose gradient and washed with 2% Triton X100. Immune sera were collected 5 weeks after the last boost. The antibodies reacted specifically with the S protein expressed in SARS-CoV infected VeroE6 cells.

**Mouse anti-S polyclonal antibodies**

Anti-S antibodies were also raised in mice against the ectodomain of the S protein, expressed in mammalian cells as a soluble polypeptide (B. Callendret, V. Lorin, P. Charneau, S. van der Werf and N. Escriou, unpublished data).
Collection of sera from SARS-CoV-infected patients

The first serum sample (sample #033168) was collected 38 days after the onset of symptoms from patient A with probable SARS, according to the WHO case definition (WHO, October 2004, posting date). The second set of sera consisted of one pair of samples that was collected from patient B with probable SARS, 8 days (sample #032703) and 29 days (sample #033153) after the onset of symptoms. For both patients A and B, respiratory tract specimens were tested positive for the SARS-L gene by nested RT-PCR (Drosten et al., 2003). As a control, serum samples collected before the 2002 SARS epidemic were obtained from healthy blood donors (#TV262 and #TV263). All serum samples were inactivated by two cycles of heating for 30 min at 56 °C and clarified by centrifugation for 5 min at 15,000×g.

IgG antibodies against the SARS-CoV were present in both the #033168 and the #033153 serum samples as assayed by indirect ELISA using crude lysates from SARS-CoV-infected cells as the coating antigen (see below), and there was no non-specific binding of the #TV262 and #TV263 sera to SARS-CoV antigens.

Analysis of S protein expression in transiently transfected cells

10^6 Vero E6 cells or 1.4 × 10^6 293T cells in 35 mm Petri dishes under 2 mL of DMEM-5 or DMEM-10 culture medium respectively were transfected with 2 μg of plasmid DNA and 6 μL of Fugene6 reagent (Roche), according to manufacturer's instructions and incubated at 37 °C under 5% CO₂. Medium was replaced 24 h later and S expression analyzed at the indicated time by Western blot, cytofluorometry or immunofluorescence assays.

Alternatively, Vero E6 cells (10^6 in 35 mm Petri dishes) were infected at an MOI of 5 with VV-TF7.3 and transfected 1 h later with 2 μg of either pcDNA-S or pcDNA plasmid DNA as described above. Vero E6 cells grown in 12 cm² flasks were infected with SARS-CoV FFM-1 strain at an MOI of 2 and incubated in complete DMEM supplemented with 2% FCS at 35 °C under 5% CO₂. Total cell lysates were prepared 18 h after VV-TF7.3 infection or 24 h after SARS-CoV infection, and S expression was analyzed by Western blot.

Western-blot analysis

For Western blot assays, total cell extracts were harvested 48 h post-transfection in 300 μL of Laemmlie sample buffer. Samples were denatured by heating at 95 °C for 10 min and sonicated. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis on 8% SDS-polyacrylamide (prosieve 50, Cambrex) gels, and transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Amersham). The membrane was incubated with PBS–0.1% Tween–5% unfatulated milk for 1 h at room temperature prior to immunoblotting with rabbit anti-S polyclonal antibodies. Following incubation with peroxidase conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (NA934V, Amersham) the immunoblot was revealed with enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL+, Amersham), according to the manufacturer’s instructions and by autoradiography on Hyperfilm (Amersham).

Alternatively, the luminescence signals were captured with a cooled CCD camera (Fluor-S multimager, Bio-Rad). Protein expression levels were quantified from gel images using the Quantity One software (v 4.2.3, Bio-Rad).

Northern blot analysis

VeroE6 and 293T cells grown in 35-mm dishes were transfected as described above. At 24 or 48 h post-transfection, cell monolayers were washed twice in D-PBS (Invitrogen) and cellular RNAs were prepared. Total cellular RNAs were isolated by Trizol-LS extraction (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. For cytoplasmic RNA extraction, cells were lysed on ice in 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 0.14 M NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% NP-40. After 10 min of incubation at 4 °C, samples were centrifugated at 10,000×g for 2 min, and the RNAs were purified from the supernatant by phenol/chloroform extraction and isopropanol precipitation. The RNAs were treated with DNase I using the DNA-free kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations and stored at −80 °C until use.

After denaturation at 70 °C in 50% formamide, 2.2 M formaldehyde, 1× running buffer [40 mM MOPS, 10 mM sodium acetate, 2 mM EDTA, pH 7.0], samples (2 μg) were run on a 1.2% agarose–0.6 M formaldehyde gel, blotted onto a nylon membrane (Hybond N, Amersham), and fixed by UV irradiation. The membranes were hybridized with a mixture of 3 negative sense S-specific 32P-labeled riboprobes corresponding to nt 21492–22830, 22830–24374 and 24374–25256 of the SARS-CoV genome. For normalization, the membranes were hybridized with a β-actin-specific riboprobe. Hybridizations were performed at 65 °C in a 50% formamide, 5× SSC, 5× Denhardt, 0.5% SDS solution. The membranes were washed 3 times in 2× SSC, 0.1% SDS at room temperature and another 3 times in 0.1× SSC, 0.1% SDS at 70 °C. Finally, the membranes were exposed on a STORM 820 phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics) and analyzed using the Image Quant program (v 1.2, Molecular Dynamics).

Cytofluorometry and indirect immunofluorescence assays

For cytofluorometry assays, subconfluent monolayers of VeroE6 cells and 293T cells were transfected as described above. 48 h post-transfection, cells were washed once in D-PBS and detached with 1 mL of cell dissociation solution (Sigma). Cells were stained with polyclonal mouse anti-S antibody for 45 min at 4 °C and, after washing, incubated with secondary antibody (anti-mouse FITC-conjugated, BD Bioscience Pharmingen) for 45 min at 4 °C. Cells were then washed and fixed with PBS–1% paraformaldehyde. Events were acquired on a FACScalibur fluorocytometer (Beckton Dickinson) and analyzed with the BD CellQuest Pro software (v 5.2, Beckton Dickinson).

For indirect immunofluorescence assays, subconfluent monolayers of VeroE6 cells were transfected as described above. 24 h post-transfection, cells were dissociated with 1 mL of cell dissociation solution, plated on 20 mm glass coverslips in a 12 well-plate and incubated in DMEM-5 at 37 °C under 5% CO₂. 24 h later, cells were washed in D-PBS and fixed with PBS–4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. Coverslips were then
incubated for 45 min with patient serum diluted 1/300 in PBS–
2% BSA. After subsequent incubation with a FITC-conjugated
anti-human IgG secondary antibody (Jackson Immunore-
search), the samples were mounted on slides with DAPI-
containing Vectashield (Vector laboratory) and analyzed under
an Axioplan 2 epifluorescence microscope (Zeiss). Pictures
were acquired with an AxioCam MRm camera and processed
with the Axiovision software (v 4.2, Zeiss).

Cell fusion assay

Plasmid pcDNA3-ACE2 encoding the ACE2 molecule,
which is a receptor for SARS-CoV, was obtained from Michael
R. Farzan (Li et al., 2003). 293T cells, constitutively expressing
green fluorescent protein (293T-GFP) were obtained by
transduction of 293T cells with the TRIP-GFP lentiviral vector
(Zennou et al., 2000).

293T-GFP effector cells were transfected either with
plasmids encoding the S glycoprotein or the pCI plasmid as a
control, as described above. In parallel, 293T target cells were
co-transfected with 3 μg of DsRed plasmid DNA and 3 μg of
either pcDNA or pcDNA-ACE2 plasmid DNA using 10 μL
Fugene6 transfection reagent. Medium was replaced 24 h after
transfection. Effector and target cells were detached 12 h later
with cell dissociation solution, resuspended in fresh DMEM-10
and counted. 6 × 10^5 per well of each target and effector cells
were plated together in a 12 well-plate with 1 mL of DMEM-10
in each well and co-cultured for 12 h at 37 °C under 5% CO2.
The cells were then trypsinized, fixed with PBS–1% parafo-
rmaldehyde and analyzed on a FACScalibur fluorocytometer.
Fusion was measured as the ratio between double-stained and
red target cells using the BD CellQuest Pro software.

Animal studies

Immunization of mice

Female BALB/c mice (CERJ) 7 to 8 weeks of age were
housed and handled according to the Pasteur Institute guidelines
in compliance with European animal welfare regulations. Mice
were injected intramuscularly with 100 μL PBS (50 μL in each
tibialis anterior muscle) containing plasmid DNA. Mice were
injected with either 50 μg of pCI plasmid DNA as a control, or
variable amounts (2, 10 or 50 μg) of pCI-S, pCI-S-CTE or pCI-
S-WPRE plasmid DNA. Booster injections were administered
at 3 or 4-week intervals. DNA used for injection was prepared
using the EndoFree Plasmid Mega Kit (Qiagen) and tested for
the absence of endotoxin (<5 EU/mg), as measured with the
QCL-1000 Endotoxin kit (BioWhittaker). Blood from mice was
collected 1 week before immunization and 3 weeks after each
injection.

Assays for anti-SARS-CoV antibodies

The induction of SARS-CoV specific antibodies in immu-
nized mice was measured by indirect ELISA and neutralization
assay.

For ELISA, microtiter plates were coated with SARS-CoV
infected or mock-infected Vero E6 crude cell lysates, which had
been prepared in a 50 mM Boric Acid, 120 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100 solution at pH 9.0, sonicated in a cup-horn tip,
clarified by centrifugation at 15,000×g for 10 min and inactivated frozen by gamma irradiation with 50 kGy from a
60Co source. After washing in PBS–0.1% Tween, plates were
incubated with three-fold serial dilutions of mouse sera in PBS-
Tween–3% dry skimmed milk (w/v) starting at 1:16.7 or 1:50.
Bound antibodies were revealed with horseradish peroxidase-
conjugated anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (Amersham)
and TMB (3,3′-5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine, KPL). The absorb-
bance was measured at 450 nm (reference wavelength:
620 nm). Readings from wells coated with mock lysates were
subtracted from wells with SARS-CoV lysates and SARS-
CoV specific IgG titers were calculated as the reciprocal of the
highest dilution of individual serum, giving an absorbance
difference of 0.5.

For neutralization assays, two-fold serial dilutions of heat-
inactivated (56 °C, 30 min) serum samples were mixed with 100
TCID50 of SARS-CoV in 100 μL complete DMEM, incubated
at 37 °C for 60 min and added to a subconfluent monolayer of
FRhK-4 cells in a 96-well microtiter plate. Each dilution of
serum as well as positive control (100 TCID50 of SARS-CoV)
and negative cell controls were tested in quadruplicate and
cytotoxicity (CPE) endpoints were read up to 7 days after
inoculation. Neutralizing antibody titers were determined
according to the Reed and Muench method (Reed and Muench,
1938) as the reciprocal of the highest dilution of each serum,
which suppressed CPE in at least 2 out of 4 wells.

Challenge infection of mice with SARS-CoV

Eight weeks after the third plasmid DNA injection, mice
were lightly anaesthetized with Isoflurane (Mundipharma) and
inoculated intranasally with 10^5 pfu of SARS-CoV in 40 μL
PBS. Mice were euthanized 48 h after challenge infection and
whole lungs crushed in 500 μL DMEM supplemented with 2%
FCS using glass beads and a MM200 Mixer Mill (Retsch). After
clarification by low-speed centrifugation for 5 min, lung
homogenates were titrated for infectious virus on VeroE6 cells
monolayers in a standard plaque assay. Challenge infection of
mice and subsequent analysis were done in the “Jean Merieux”
biosafety level 4 containment laboratory. Three mice died
before transfer to the BSL-4 facility, thus could not be
challenged. Statistical analysis was performed on the log10 of
the viral titers measured for individual mice using the Student’s
independent t-test, with the assumptions used for small samples
(normal distribution of the variables and same variance for the
populations to be compared).
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