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Introduction
The most common tick-borne disease in domestic animals in Norway is tick-borne fever (TBF), caused by Ehrlichia phagocytophila, and transmitted by the tick Ixodes ricinus (Øverás 1972, Stuen 1997). TBF may cause abortion in ewes and temporary infertility in rams (Woldehiwet & Scott 1993), but the main consequence of an E. phagocytophila infection in sheep is the ensuing immunosuppression that leads to secondary infections, such as Staphylococcus aureus pyaemia and Pasteurella hemolytica (trehalosi) septicaemia (Brodie et al. 1986, Stuen 1996). In the UK, it has been estimated that more than 300 000 lambs develop tick pyaemia annually (Brodie et al. 1986). TBF has for decades been considered as an important disease in lambs in certain areas along the coast of southern Norway (Stuen 1998). The purpose of the present study was to investigate the distribution of E. phagocytophila infection in sheep in different areas of Norway, especially in areas with a distribution of I. ricinus.

Materials and methods
Flocks from each county in Norway were included in this study, such that flocks in Ixodes...
areas along the coast and areas with a high number of winterfed sheep were preferred. However, representative flocks in each area were chosen and sampled by the local veterinarians.

Serum samples from sheep flocks were obtained in October/November. Samples from 10 sheep were randomly collected in each herd, around half of the samples were from lambs (6 to 7-months-old). A questionnaire was filled out by the veterinarian during the visit of each flock, including questions about ectoparasitic treatment, *Ixodes* infested pastures, earlier treatment against TBF, and occurrence of tick-associated infections. Four sheep flocks were chosen from each of the 18 counties in Norway, except from the county of Sør-Trøndelag, where 8 flocks were selected. The reason for this was that the northernmost observation of tick-borne fever so far has been in the county of Sør-Trøndelag (*Stuen* 1997).

An indirect immunofluorescence antibody assay (IFA) was used to determine the antibody titre to *Ehrlichia equi* (*Artursson et al.* 1999). Two-fold dilutions of sera were added to slides precoated with *E. equi* antigen (Protatek International and Organon Teknika). Bound antibodies were visualized by fluorescein-isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated rabbit-anti-sheep immunoglobulin (Cappel, Organon Teknika). Sera were screened for antibodies at dilution 1:40. If positive, the serum was further diluted and retested. A titre of 1.6 (log$_{10}$ reciprocal of 1:40) or more was regarded as positive.

The statistical analysis was done according to *Martin et al.* (1987). The overall seroprevalence and mean antibody titre were estimated and stratified by ectoparasitic treatment and age. Statistical calculations were done by using Statistix®, version 4.0 (Analytical software). Statistical analyses on seroprevalence were performed using a chi-square test and the antibody titres were compared using a Students *t*-test for independent samples. Significance was set at *p*<0.05.

**Results**

Of a total of 749 sheep from 75 flocks, 71 flocks in 1996 and 4 flocks in 1997, 270 sheep (36%) were found positive for antibodies to *Ehrlichia equi* in Norway. A titre less than 1:40 was considered negative. ● - seropositive flock, ○ - seronegative flock

Figure 1. Geographical distribution of sheep flocks examined for antibodies to *Ehrlichia equi* in Norway. The northernmost seropositive flock, *H17033* - seropositive flock, *H17034* - seronegative flock
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TBF infection (Table 1). Symptoms of disease were not observed in any sampled animal. Clinical symptoms indicating a TBF infection, such as arthritis, polyarthritis and sudden death, were observed in only 6 flocks (8%); 4 of these had been prophylactically treated with insecticides. Twenty flocks were given prophylactic treatment against ticks with insecticides / repellents (mainly synthetical pyrethroids); lambs and adults were treated in 15 flocks, while only lambs were treated in 5 flocks.

In 78% of the seropositive flocks, more than 80% of the sheep were seropositive and in 91% of the flocks, more than half of the animals were seropositive (Table 2).

Table 1. Serological investigation of sheep sera for antibodies to *Ehrlichia equi* from different counties of Norway.

| County            | Number of positive flocks / total number of flocks | Number of flocks on tick pasture | Number of flocks treated against ticks | Number of flocks with a history of tick-borne fever (during the year of sampling) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Akershus #        | 0 / 4                                             | 0                                | 0                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Aust-Agder        | 4 / 4                                             | 4                                | 3                                      | 2 (0)                                                                            |
| Buskerud #        | 0 / 4                                             | 0                                | 0                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Finnmark #        | 0 / 4                                             | 0                                | 0                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Hedmark #         | 0 / 4                                             | 0                                | 0                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Hordaland         | 4 / 4                                             | 4                                | 3                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Møre og Romsdal   | 4 / 4                                             | 4                                | 1                                      | 4 (2)                                                                            |
| Nordland          | 0 / 4                                             | 0                                | 0                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Nord-Trøndelag    | 0 / 4                                             | 0                                | 0                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Oppland #         | 0 / 4                                             | 0                                | 0                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Rogaland          | 3* / 4                                            | 3                                | 2                                      | 2 (1)                                                                            |
| Sogn og Fjordane  | 4 / 4                                             | 4                                | 2                                      | 4 (1)                                                                            |
| Sør-Trøndelag     | 3 / 8                                             | 4                                | 2                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Telemark          | 4 / 4                                             | 4                                | 2                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Troms #           | 0 / 4                                             | 0                                | 0                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Vest-Agder        | 4 / 4                                             | 4                                | 4                                      | 4 (2)                                                                            |
| Vestfold          | 2* / 3                                            | 3                                | 1                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Østfold           | 0 / 4                                             | 0                                | 0                                      | 0 (0)                                                                            |
| Total             | 32 / 75                                           | 34                               | 20                                     | 16 (6)                                                                           |

# No known occurrence of *I. ricinus*

* Only one seropositive lamb in one flock

Table 2. Distribution of *E. equi* antibodies in seropositive sheep flocks in Norway. Ten animals were investigated in each flock.

| Percentage of seropositive animals | Seropositive flocks Numbers | (%) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|
| 100                               | 18                        | (56) |
| 80-99                             | 7                         | (22) |
| 50-79                             | 4                         | (13) |
| 31-49                             | 1                         | (3)  |
| <30                               | 2*                        | (6)  |

* Only one seropositive lamb in each flock
adults were found seropositive, respectively. Significant difference in seroprevalence between animals of different ages was not found (Table 4).

Mean antibody titre (log$_{10}$ ± SD) in seropositive lambs and adults were 2.59 ± 0.449 and 2.70 ± 0.481, respectively. However, no significant differences in mean antibody titres between different age groups of seropositive animals were observed (Table 4).

In addition, no significant differences in either seroprevalence or mean antibody titre values were found between flocks treated or not treated with insecticides / repellents (data not shown). The present investigation indicates that 94% of sheep flocks on *Ixodes* pastures were infected with a granulocytic *Ehrlichia* infection. The association between seropositive flocks and *Ixodes* infested pasture shows a very high degree of agreement (p<0.00001) (Table 5).

**Discussion**

Strong serological cross-reactions between *E. equi*, *E. phagocytophila* and the agent causing human granulocytic ehrlichiosis (HGE) have been reported (Dumler et al. 1995, Nicholson et al. 1997, Pusterla et al. 1997). It is therefore possible to use any of the 3 closely related *Ehrlichia* antigens to get acceptable results in serosurveys. The titre to a heterologous strain of *Ehrlichia* is normally less than against the homologous strain, but the IgG titres may also differ noticeably depending on the source of the antigen (Bjoersdorff et al. 1999, Walls et al. 1999). The sensitivity of the present test could perhaps have been increased by use of a more proper antigen, but unfortunately *E. phagocytophila* was not available for use as antigen in this study.

All blood samples were collected in October/November. Earlier investigations indicate that

**Table 3. Reciprocal antibody titres against *E. equi* in 361 lambs and 388 adult sheep (>1.5 years) in Norway.**

| Titre values | Number of lambs | Number of adults | Total | (%) |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-----|
| <40          | 232             | 247             | 479   | (64)|
| 40           | 5               | 5               | 10    | (1)|
| 80           | 11              | 10              | 21    | (3)|
| 160          | 18              | 21              | 39    | (5)|
| 320          | 36              | 36              | 72    | (10)|
| 640          | 37              | 33              | 70    | (9)|
| 1280         | 12              | 23              | 35    | (5)|
| 2560         | 8               | 7               | 15    | (2)|
| 5120         | 2               | 5               | 7     | (1)|
| 10240        | 0               | 0               | 0     | (0)|
| 20480        | 0               | 1#              | 1     | (0)|
| Total        | 361             | 388             | 749   | (100)|

* The highest titre recorded was in a 3.5-year-old sheep.

**Table 4. Seroprevalence and mean antibody titres (log$_{10}$ ± SD) to granulocytic *Ehrlichia* in sheep of different ages that had been grazing on *Ixodes* pastures.**

| Age          | Seroprevalence | Mean titre values* | Number |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|
| <1 year      | 0.80           | 2.59 ± 0.449       | 129    |
| 1.5 years    | 0.82           | 2.59 ± 0.418       | 37     |
| 2.5 years    | 0.85           | 2.68 ± 0.387       | 22     |
| >3 years     | 0.84           | 2.79 ± 0.527       | 82     |

* Only positive sera included

**Table 5. Comparison of *E. equi* serology and tick pasture in relation to the number of seropositive sheep flocks in Norway.**

| Pasture         | Seropositive flocks | Seronegative flocks | Total |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| *Ixodes*-infested| 32                  | 2                   | 34    |
| *Ixodes*-free   | 0                   | 41                  | 41    |
| Total           | 32                  | 43                  | 75    |

Yates corrected $\chi^2 = 63.51$ (p<0.00001)

* Both flocks were grazing on pasture with an unknown distribution of *I. ricinus*; one flock had been prophylactically treated with synthetic pyrethroids
the antibody titres can be detected for at least 6 months in sheep after the primary infection (Paxton & Scott 1989), also when E. equi was used as antigen in the serological test (Stuen et al. 1998). In humans, serological titres may last for at least 30 months after an acute HGE infection (Bakken et al. 1997). In horses, serological investigations indicate that a positive antibody titre to E. equi could persist for more than 12 months in naturally infected horses (Artursson et al. 1999). The persistence of Ehrlichia antibodies therefore indicates that animals infected during the grazing season would be found seropositive the following autumn and winter.

The present study shows that granulocytic Ehrlichia infected sheep are found on the coast of southern Norway from Vestfold to Sør-Trøndelag (as far north as 63°38’N). No antibodies to granulocytic Ehrlichia were found on the southeast, east or northern parts of Norway. The distribution of seropositive animals in this study is in accordance with the distribution of I. ricinus in Norway, although scattered populations of I. ricinus have been found as far north as Brønnoysund (65°30’N) (Mehl 1983). The present results are also in accordance with earlier reports on the distribution of clinical cases of TBF in domestic animals (Stuen 1997). In addition, in June 1997, cattle was found infected with E. phagocytophila for the first time in Stadsbygd (north of Trondheimsfjorden-63°32’N), in an area where Babesia divergens in cattle is common (Schei, personal communication). The present study indicates that the area around Trondheimsfjorden is so far the northernmost limit of Ehrlichia infections in domestic animals in Norway. In comparison, babesiosis in cattle in Norway has been observed as far north as in Nordland county (65°47’N) (Stuen 1997). This difference in northern distribution between babesiosis in cattle and ehrlichiosis in sheep, may be due to differences in the maintenance of the respective infections in hosts or vectors. Sheep, wild deer and small rodents have been proposed as reservoir hosts for granulocytic Ehrlichia infection in Europe (Ogden et al. 1998a, Brouqui 1999), while B. divergens is regarded to be rather host specific (Gray & Murphy 1985). Both B. divergens and E. phagocytophila may cause persistent infection in cattle and sheep, respectively (Joyner & Davies 1967, Foggie 1951, Stuen et al. 1998), so both infections could be brought from endemic areas by both ticks or hosts. Both microorganisms are transmitted by I. ricinus, the only tick in Norway known to transmit infections to animals (Mehl et al. 1987). E. phagocytophila is transmitted transstadially in I. ricinus, and ovarial transmission has not yet been observed (MacLeod & Gordon 1933, Ogden et al. 1998b). In contrast, B. divergens infection could persist in I. ricinus for at least 2 generations even in the absence of cattle (Donnelly & Pierce 1975, Gray & Murphy 1985). These observations might indicate a greater chance for maintenance of a B. divergens infection than a granulocytic Ehrlichia infection in I. ricinus populations in areas where competent hosts are sparsely scattered, as along the coast of northern Norway.

In the present study, 32 out of 34 flocks that grazed on tick infested pastures were infected with granulocytic Ehrlichia. The association between seropositive flocks and Ixodes infested pastures indicates a high degree of agreement. In 78% of the seropositive flocks, more than 80% of the sheep were seropositive. These results indicate a widespread Ehrlichia infection in areas where I. ricinus populations are present. Observations done in UK indicate a nearly 100% probability that a susceptible sheep will acquire granulocytic Ehrlichia infection on tick infested pasture (Ogden et al. 1998a). Earlier investigations indicate that the prevalence of granulocytic Ehrlichia infection in populations
of *I. ricinus* varies between different countries (Brouqui 1999). However, no information on the prevalence of *Ehrlichia* infection in *I. ricinus* populations in Norway is available.

No significant differences in antibody titres between different age groups of sheep were observed in this study. The titre values are in accordance with *E. equi* titres, found in experimentally *E. phagocytophila* infected lambs, 2 months after the initial infection (Stuen et al. 1998).

No effect of acaricide treatment was observed on the prevalence of infection or the titre values in *Ehrlichia* infected sheep. Most lambs / sheep were treated only once with acaricides on tick pastures. Earlier observations indicate that synthetic pyrethroids only give 2 to 3 weeks of full protection against ticks (Mitchell et al. 1986, Henderson et al. 1987). In addition, lambs grazing on tick pastures may seroconvert to *E. phagocytophila* after 3 weeks of tick exposure, although synthetic pyrethroids have been applied (Hardeng et al. 1992).

Only half of the seropositive flocks had a known history of TBF, indicating that granulocytic *Ehrlichia* infection is underdiagnosed in sheep flocks on tick infested pastures in Norway. This statement is supported by the fact that only 20 of 32 seropositive flocks (62.5%) had been treated prophylactically against TBF. Disease problems associated with tick infested pasture were only recorded in 6 flocks during the year of sampling; 4 of these had been treated with synthetic pyrethroids. These results indicate that some strains of granulocytic *Ehrlichia* may have low virulence in sheep, as observed earlier by Foggie (1951), Tuomi (1967), Stannard et al. (1969) and Stuen et al. (1998). Foggie (1951) and Tuomi (1967) also observed that isolates of *E. phagocytophila* from cattle and sheep in different geographic areas of infection may vary considerably with regard to their ability to cross-protect. Antigenic diversity has also been observed in isolates of the HGE agent (Asanovich et al. 1997).

Mild or subclinical *E. phagocytophila* infection may also be due to breed variations in susceptibility to a TBF infection, as has earlier been reported in sheep (Scott 1983). However, to the authors knowledge, no such breed differences have been observed in Norwegian sheep breeds. Few recorded disease problems may also indicate a recent introduction of TBF in the flock, since most primary infections of TBF in the field are not observed due to unobtrusive clinical signs (Scott 1983). The main disease problems associated with TBF are seen in lambs, and in sheep purchased from tick-free areas and put onto tick infested pastures.

In conclusion, the present results indicate that granulocytic *Ehrlichia* infection is abundant on tick infested pastures in Norway. The total sheep population in Norway during summer time is around 2.4 million, and the average flock size is approximately 100 sheep (Trodahl 1998). In 1996, more than 5100 flocks were treated prophylactically against TBF with tick repellents / insecticides (Norwegian Animal Disease Report 1996). However, in the present investigation only around 60% of the seropositive flocks had been prophylactically treated against tick infestation; all animals were treated in 75% of these flocks. These results indicate that more than 850 000 sheep in Norway are grazing on *I. ricinus* infested pastures and may be exposed to infection with *E. phagocytophila*. It is therefore probable that TBF infection in sheep may have a wider distribution in Norway than earlier believed.
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Sammendrag

Serologisk undersøkelse med hensyn på granulocytter Ehrlichia infeksjon hos sau i Norge.

Serologisk undersøkelse med hensyn på antistoffer mot Ehrlichia equi ble foretatt på 749 sauere, fordelt på 75 flokker fra hele landet. Totalt ble 361 lam (6-7 måneder gamle) og 388 voksne (>1,5 år) undersøkt. Seropositive dyr ble funnet fra kysten av Sør-Norge fra Vestfold til Sør-Trøndelag (så langt nord som 63°38´N). Trettito flokker var seropositive, men granulocytter ehrlichiose (sjodogg) hadde bare vært diagnostisert i halvparten av disse. I 78% av de seropositive flokkene var mer enn 80% av sauene seropositive. Totalt var 35,7% og 36,3% av henholdsvis lam og voksne seropositive. Av de sauene som hadde gått på Ixodes-infisert beite var imidlertid 79,6% av lammene og 83,9% av de voksne dyra seropositive. I middel var titret (log_{10} ± SA) hos seropositive lam og voksne henholdsvis 2,59 (± 0,449) og 2,70 (± 0,481). Det var ingen signifikant forskjell i seroprevalens og titer mellom sau av ulik alder. Nitti-fire prosent av flokkene på Ixodes-beite var infisert med granulocytter Ehrlichia. Det var sterk assosiasjon mellom seropositive flokker og forekomst av Ixodes (p<0,00001). Undersøkelsen tyder på at granulocytter ehrlichiose hos sau er underdiagnostisert i Norge.