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**Abstract**

Understanding how organisms adapt to their local environment is central to evolution. With new whole-genome sequencing technologies and the explosion of data, deciphering the genomic basis of complex traits that are ecologically relevant is becoming increasingly feasible. Here, we studied the genomic basis of wing shape in two Neotropical butterflies that inhabit large geographical ranges. *Heliconius* butterflies at high elevations have been shown to generally have rounder wings than those in the lowlands. We reared over 1,100 butterflies from 71 broods of *H. erato* and *H. melpomene* in common-garden conditions and showed that wing aspect ratio, that is, elongatedness, is highly heritable in both species and that elevation-associated wing aspect ratio differences are maintained. Genome-wide associations with a published data set of 666 whole genomes from across a hybrid zone, uncovered a highly polygenic basis to wing aspect ratio variation in the wild. We identified several genes that have roles in wing morphogenesis or wing aspect ratio variation in *Drosophila* flies, making them promising candidates for future studies. There was little evidence for molecular parallelism in the two species, with only one shared candidate gene, nor for a role of the four known colour pattern loci, except for optix in *H. erato*. Thus, we present the first insights into the heritability and genomic basis of within-species wing aspect ratio in two *Heliconius* species, adding to a growing body of evidence that polygenic adaptation may underlie many ecologically relevant traits.

**KEYWORDS**

altitude, GWAS, *Heliconius*, local adaptation, polygenic, wing shape

**1 | INTRODUCTION**

Climate change is forcing organisms to “move, adapt, or die”. With temperatures rising and land-use changing in the lowlands, shifting to higher elevations might be the only way to flee extinction for many taxa (Chen et al., 2011). However, the environment changes drastically along mountains, with diverse sets of challenges expected to drive local adaptation (Halbritter et al., 2015). Thus, identifying the genomic mechanisms that allow organisms to inhabit wide ranges is key to understanding which taxa are most likely to adapt locally when forced to colonise new, high-elevation, environments. With novel sequencing technologies and the explosion of genomic
data, we now have the tools to decipher the genetic basis of ecologically relevant traits in the wild.

Insect flight has many essential functions, including dispersal, courtship, and escaping predators (Dudley, 2002). As such, it is under strong selection to be optimised to suit local environments. Air pressure decreases with elevation, which in turn reduces lift forces required for taking flight, as well as oxygen available for respiration (Hodkinson, 2005). Subtle variation in wing morphology can have big impacts on flight mode and performance (Beraerts et al., 2002). For example, butterflies in tropical rain forests have been found to have rounder wings when they inhabit the understory, both within closely related taxa (Chazot et al., 2014) and across many species (Mena et al., 2020). Elongated wings reduce wing-tip vortices, resulting in more efficient and faster flight, whereas short and wide wings are associated with higher manoeuvrability and lift (Le Roy et al., 2019a). Monarch butterflies have evolved two wing phenotypes: migratory populations have longer wings for long-distance gliding than those that remain year-round in Caribbean islands (Altizer & Davis, 2010). Thus, wing aspect ratio represents a trait probably undergoing strong selection across elevations and conferring local adaptation in insects.

Insect wing shape is phylogenetically conserved in many taxa (Houle et al., 2003; Montejo-Kovacevich et al., 2019; Thomas et al., 2000), but also highly evolvable in the laboratory (Houle et al., 2003), suggesting that it can be heritable. Experimental common-garden designs can help overcome the challenges of studying phenotypic clines in the wild and the effects of phenotypic plasticity, by providing the same environmental conditions to genotypes of different populations, which allows for the estimation of heritability (de Villemereuil et al., 2016). At the genetic level, wing morphogenesis has been mostly studied in Drosophila, with developmental pathways identified and many genes functionally tested (Carreira et al., 2011; Diaz de la Loza & Thompson, 2017; Pitchers et al., 2019). In other insects with wing dimorphisms, simple genetic architectures have been identified controlling wingless, or short winged, morphs (Li et al., 2020; McCulloch et al., 2019). However, studies describing the genetic basis of quantitative wing shape variation in organisms other than Drosophila are lacking.

Significant advances have been made in understanding the genetic basis of local adaptation in the wild. There are two general strategies to identify loci potentially under selection: (i) forward genetics, where known phenotypic traits are associated to genotypes (via genome-wide association studies or quantitative trait loci with laboratory crosses), and (ii) reverse genetics, where variation in allele frequencies in natural populations is studied to detect signatures of selection across the genome, without any prior knowledge of the phenotypes involved (Fuentes-Pardo and Ruzzante 2017; Pardo-Diaz et al., 2015). A good strategy is to study steep clines, where the environment changes continuously over a small space while gene flow is high, and combine both forwards and reverse genetics approaches (Cornetti & Tschirren, 2020; Tigano & Friesen, 2016). Sequencing individuals along such clines allows for sufficient phenotypic variance to measure and associate to genotypes (forward genetics), while maintaining low genetic structure, and additionally test which genomic regions might be undergoing selection by comparing the extremes of the clines (reverse genetics). The study of aposomatic wing pattern coloration in Heliconius butterflies is a prime example of this approach. Whole-genome sequencing in evolutionally structured colour-morph hybrid zones has allowed for the identification of regions repeatedly differentiated across morphs and for each region to be associated via GWA studies with variation in specific patterns (Meier et al. 2021; Nadeau et al. 2014). Thus, population genetics across steep environmental clines or hybrid zones is a good approach to disentangle the genomic underpinnings of ecologically relevant traits.

Here we study the genetic basis of wing aspect ratio variation in two widespread species of Heliconius butterflies across an elevational cline in the Ecuadorian Andes. Heliconius inhabiting high altitudes have recently been found to have rounder wings than lowland butterflies, a pattern seen both across species and within species along elevational clines (Montejo-Kovacevich et al., 2019). To estimate the heritability of this potentially adaptive trait, we common-garden reared 71 broods of H. erato lativitta and H. melpomene malleti from across the cline, yielding 1141 offspring (Figure 1). We then used forward (GWAS) and reverse genetic approaches with whole-genome data of 666 of H. erato (n = 479) and H. melpomene (n = 187) individuals to identify regions associated with quantitative variation in wing aspect ratio and determined which regions diverged between extremes of the cline and had signatures of selective sweeps. This genomic data set was obtained from a study that developed a new low-cost linked-read sequencing technology, "haplotagging", to examine colour pattern clines in an altitudinally structured hybrid zone (Figure 1c, Meier et al. 2021). Here, we present the first study to examine the heritability and genomic basis of wing aspect ratio of two butterfly species in the wild.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study system and wild butterfly collection

H. erato and H. melpomene are the two most widespread Heliconius species, which diverged 12 million years ago and have Müllerian aposematic mimicry to advertise their toxicity to predators (Jiggins, 2016; Kozak et al., 2015). They can be found continuously coexisting across elevational clines ranging from sea level up to 1600 m along the Andean mountains. We sampled females of H. erato lativitta and H. melpomene malleti across the eastern slope of the Ecuadorian Andes for common-garden rearing (Figure 1b, orange triangles). For the genomic analyses we used a large data set from a nearby hybrid zone (Meier et al. 2021), where there are exclusively highland subspecies (H. e. notabilis and H. m. plessemi) that meet their respective lowland subspecies (H. e. lativitta and H. m. malleti) and mate freely within species, producing a stable intermediate wing pattern population (Figure 1c). Heliconius butterflies were collected with hand nets and precise location recorded. All detached wings were
2.2 | Wing measurements

Wing morphology was analysed with an automated pipeline in the public software Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). Custom scripts automatically crop, extract the right or left forewing and perform particle analysis on the wing (Figure 1b). Wing area is estimated for the whole wing in mm$^2$. Wing aspect ratio is estimated by obtaining the best fitting ellipse of the same area as the wing, and obtaining the ratio between the major and minor axis' lengths. We only include forewings in this study, as they determine flight speed and mode, whereas hindwings act as an extended surface to support flight and gliding (Le Roy et al., 2019b; Wootton, 2002), and they tend to be more damaged in Heliconius due to in-flight predation.

2.3 | Common garden rearing

Fertilised females of H. erato lativitta and H. melpomene malleti were caught in the wild at elevations ranging from 380 m up to 1600 m (Table S1). Females from all altitudes were simultaneously kept in separate 2 × 1 × 3 m cages of purpose-built insectaries at the Universidad Regional Amazónica Ikiam (Figure 1b. Tena, Ecuador, 615 m). Eggs were collected daily and individuals reared in separate containers throughout development in constant laboratory conditions (21.2 ± 1.1°C) between 2019–2020, except 10 families from H. erato which were reared in common outdoor insectary conditions in 2018. Offspring were individually fed the same host plants, Passiflora punctata for H. erato and Passiflora edulis for H. melpomene.
Development rates, pupal, and adult mass were recorded for all offspring.

2.4 | Whole genome data set

We used 666 whole-genomes of *H. erato* (*n* = 479) and *H. melpomene* (*n* = 187) from a recent study (Meier et al. 2021), sequenced with "haplotagging". This linked-read sequencing technique retains long-range information via barcoding of DNA molecules before sequencing, which permits megabase-size haplotypes to be computationally reconstructed (Meier et al. 2021). Linked-read sequencing (haplotagging) was performed to a mean read coverage of 1.54x and 2.77x (Meier et al. 2021). More details on analysis and phasing of molecules can be found in Meier et al. 2021, and summarised in the Supporting Information Materials (Note S1). The resulting data set used for analyses contained 25.4 million SNP positions for *H. erato* (66.3 SNPs/kbp) and 23.3 million for *H. melpomene* (84.7 SNPs/kbp).

2.5 | Statistical analyses

All nongenomic analyses were run in R V2.13 (R Development Core Team, 2011) and graphics were generated with the package ggplot2 (Ginestet, 2011). Packages are specified below and R scripts are publicly available (Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5060061). Sequence data from Meier et al. (2021) is deposited at the NCBI Short Read Archive (PRJNA670070).

2.5.1 | Effects of altitude on wing aspect ratio

To test the effects of maternal altitude on wing aspect ratio of common-garden reared offspring, we fitted a linear mixed model that included as fixed effects wing area, sex, development time (days from larva hatching until pupating), and altitude ("high" if the mother was collected ≥600 m.a.s.l.) with lme4 model fits (Bates et al., 2015). We used all broods with at least three offspring that could be phenotyped. First, we first used an ANOVA approach, with family identity as a factor explaining the variation in aspect ratio. We then estimated narrow-sense heritability (h\(^2\)) with two approaches (more details in Note S2). First, we estimated intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) or repeatability (R) with a linear mixed model approach; family ID was set as the grouping factor, with a Gaussian distribution and 1000 parametric bootstraps to quantify uncertainty, implemented with the function rptGaussian() in the rptR package (Stoffel et al., 2017). Second, we estimated narrow-sense heritability (h\(^2\)) from the slope of mother and mid-offspring wing aspect ratio regressions for those families where the mother’s wings were intact (31/48 broods in *H. erato* and 10/23 in *H. melpomene*).

2.5.2 | Heritability estimates

To test the heritability of wing aspect ratio, we assessed wing aspect ratio variation across individuals from families reared in common-garden conditions. We used all broods with at least three offspring that could be phenotyped. First, we used first used an ANOVA approach, with family identity as a factor explaining the variation in aspect ratio. We then estimated narrow-sense heritability (h\(^2\)) with two approaches (more details in Note S2). First, we estimated intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) or repeatability (R) with a linear mixed model approach; family ID was set as the grouping factor, with a Gaussian distribution and 1000 parametric bootstraps to quantify uncertainty, implemented with the function rptGaussian() in the rptR package (Stoffel et al., 2017). Second, we estimated narrow-sense heritability (h\(^2\)) from the slope of mother and mid-offspring wing aspect ratio regressions for those families where the mother’s wings were intact (31/48 broods in *H. erato* and 10/23 in *H. melpomene*).

2.5.3 | Genome-wide association mapping of wild wing aspect ratio

All population genomics analyses were performed in ANGSD version 0.933, which uses genotype likelihoods as input to account for genotype uncertainty and a Bayesian framework well-suited for large low-coverage sequencing data sets (Korneliussen et al., 2014). To account for population structure across the cline, we first calculated admixture proportions. We used a VCF with genotype likelihoods as input (Note S1) and ran NGSadmix (Skotte et al., 2013) on a random subset of 10% of the total sites with a minor allele frequency of at least 0.05. We specified two (*k* = 2) or three (*k* = 3) clusters for *H. erato* and *H. melpomene*, respectively, following Meier et al. (2021) who performed cluster selection with Clumpak (Kopelman et al., 2015).

To identify genomic regions potentially controlling quantitative wing aspect ratio variation in these two *Heliconius* species, we performed genome-wide association mapping (GWAS, doAsso ANGSD). VCF files from Meier et al. (2021) containing genotype likelihoods were used as input (-vcf-pl). We performed the GWAS with a generalized linear framework and a dosage model (-doAsso 6), which calculates the expected genotype from the input and implements a normal linear regression with the dosages as the predictor variable. Aspect ratio was used as the continuous response variable (-yQuant). Default filters were applied to remove sites with low heterozygosity (-minHigh 10) and with very low minor allele frequencies (-minCount 10). Three covariates were incorporated (-cov) to control for sex, wing area, and population structure with the admixture proportions obtained from NGSadmix, as described above. Likelihood ratio test
(LRT) statistics are calculated per site (following a chi-square distribution with one degree of freedom) under an additive model (-model 1) (Skotte et al., 2012).

In GWAS with fewer unlinked SNPs, those with strong associations can sometimes be found in isolation, that is, without flanking SNPs showing association, and assumed to be in linkage with the causal SNP. However, with large SNP data sets that are not pruned for LD, many are expected to show strong associations when located near the causal site or at random due to noise (Zhou et al., 2020). To partly account for this and facilitate the visualisation of regions with many associated SNPs, we obtained median and minima SNP association p-values for sliding windows of 50 SNP, with a step size of 10 SNP, with the R package “WindowScanR” (Tavares, 2016/2020). When visualising our results, we first use median p-value per window, plotted as −log10(p-value), to regionally smooth the results so that spurious associations get dampened by their flanking high p-value SNPs, while regions with many SNPs with strong associations will be easily identifiable, as their median will remain high. This is analogous to recently developed methods for medical genetics that use penalized moving-window regressions (Bao & Wang, 2017; Begum et al., 2016; Braz et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2017) or LD clumping (Marees et al., 2018).

We generated a per SNP null distribution of p-values by repeating the genome-wide association analysis 200 times, with randomly permuted phenotypes (aspect ratios) in each run. To obtain a null distribution of p-values per window, we then computed median p-values of the same sliding windows as in the observed data set across the genome for all 200 permutations. Our final set of outliers only included windows that ranked above the 99th percentile of the window null distribution of p-values, that is, if the observed median p-value was the lowest or second lowest among the 200 median p-values obtained from permutations. We additionally performed “traditional” genome-wide thresholding by recording the lowest overall p-value and lowest window median p-value in each permutation and obtaining a critical p-value threshold at p < .05, to then assess if these outliers overlapped with our regions of interest. To check for outlier overlaps between species we mapped the H. mel- pompene windows (starts and end positions) to the H. erato reference genome using a chainfile from Meier et al. (2021) and the liftover utility (Hinrichs, 2006). Finally, to compare the distribution of outlier p-values in quantitative wing aspect ratio variation with a less polygenic trait, we additionally ran a GWAS with “red colour pattern” as a discrete phenotype (highland-like, hybrid-like, lowland-like).

2.5.4 | Identifying regions diverging between highland and lowland populations

We computed genetic differentiation (FST) between the highland and lowland subspecies (excluding the mid-elevation hybrids), to identify regions diverging across altitudes and potentially overlapping with wing aspect ratio associated regions. We calculated the site frequency spectrum (SFS) with genotype likelihoods for each population (dosaf, ANGSD). We then obtained folded 2D-SFS for both populations combined to use as a prior for the joint allele frequency probabilities with the function realsfs. FST was calculated per site using the Weir-Cockerham correction (realsfs FST index, ANGSD), and 5 kb window averages with 1 kb steps were obtained for plotting (following Meier et al. 2021). We additionally checked for overlaps between regions of interest and signatures of selective sweeps (obtained from Meier et al. 2021), such as reductions in nucleotide diversity differentiation between highland and lowland populations (ΔΠ), and high levels of intrapopulation integrated haplotype scores (iHS), which compare the levels of linkage disequilibrium surrounding the positively selected allele and those in the background allele at the same position (Szpiech & Hernandez, 2014).

2.5.5 | Candidate gene annotation

To study in depth some of the potentially many genes affecting wing aspect ratio, we examined regions that had at least one 50 SNP window with a median p-value below the threshold of \( p < .01 \) (−log10\( p \text{median} > 2 \)), and 10 contiguous outlier windows (>99th percentile). We obtained the positions of the SNP with the strongest and second strongest association, to get the region with strongest association. We identified one gene if this region was within a gene, or the two closest genes if the region was intergenic or across two genes. We used reference genomes for both species stored in the genome browser Lepbase (Challis et al., 2016) to identify the genes (H. erato demophoon v.1; H. melpomene H. melpomene Hmel2.5), and extract the protein sequence. We then searched for similarity in protein sequence databases flybase, uniprotk, and ncbi, and present Drosophila melanogaster gene names in the main text and figures. Protein information, E-values, and GO-terms were recorded for each candidate. Additionally, we examined functional enrichment of all genes overlapping with any outlier window across the genome, which we present in the Supporting Information (Note S4).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Wing aspect ratio predictors and heritability

Wing aspect ratio was phenotyped in 721 H. erato offspring individuals from 48 full-sib families, and 419 individuals of H. melpomene from 23 full-sib families. Wing aspect ratio varied across families of both species (ANOVA: H. erato F47, 673 = 3.93, \( p < .0001 \), H. melpomene F22, 396 = 11.9, \( p < .0001 \)). Offspring of highland H. erato mothers, on average, had rounder wings than those of lowland areas (Figure 2b, t-test: H. erato: \( t_{460} = -3.5, p < .001 \)), whereas highland H. melpomene offspring were only marginally rounder than lowland families (Figure 2d; t-test: \( t_{490} = -2.02, p = 0.06 \) and wing area did not differ across broods from different elevations (Figure S1C). Mean aspect ratio of broods recapitulated those found in wild specimens in a previous study (Figure S2; Montejo-Kovacevich et al., 2019).
Altitude, wing area, development time, and sex were significant predictors of wing aspect ratio in common-garden reared individuals of *H. erato*, whereas *H. melpomene* offspring’s wing aspect ratio was marginally explained by altitude, with sex and wing area having a stronger effect (Table 1). Of the variation in offspring wing aspect ratio, 15% and 38% was explained by family identity while accounting for significant fixed effects in *H. erato* (repeatability = 0.15, SE = 0.04, *p* < .0001) and *H. melpomene*, respectively (repeatability = 0.38, SE = 0.08, *p* < .0001), indicating heritability or maternal effects.

We obtained wing aspect ratios for all mothers that retained intact wings in captivity, totalling 31/48 broods of *H. erato*, and for 10/23 of *H. melpomene* broods. Mother and mid-offspring regressions showed strong correlations (Figure 2a,c), but heritability was lower in *H. erato* compared to *H. melpomene* (*H. erato*: slope = 0.37, $R^2 = 0.3$, *p* = .001; *H. melpomene*: slope = 0.79, $R^2 = 0.6$, *p* = .007). Due to the strong sexual wing aspect ratio dimorphism in *H. erato* (Figure S3), mother-to-male offspring regressions had a higher intercept (Figure S4A). The high aspect ratio heritability observed in both species supports the study of its genomic basis.

3.2 | Genome-wide association mapping of aspect ratio variation

3.2.1 | Subspecies and hybrid phenotypes

Aspect ratio varied slightly across the elevational cline sampled for whole-genome sequencing of both species. Highland subspecies, *H. e. notabilis* and *H. m. plesseni* (black, Figure 3) were on average rounder than hybrids (green, Figure 3), i.e. with lower aspect ratios, and in *H. erato* they were also rounder than lowland subspecies *H. e. lativitta* (orange, Figure 3a). Hybrids did not differ in wing aspect ratio compared to their corresponding lowland subspecies, in other
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words, hybrids were phenotypically more lowland-like (Figure 3a). More importantly, the aspect ratio of hybrid individuals encompassed most of the trait variance of the pure subspecies (Figure S5), increasing our power to detect genomic associations. Migration and gene flow across the altitudinally-structured transect led to clinal variation in genome-wide admixture proportions between individuals (Figure S6; Meier et al. 2021).

3.2.2 | Association mapping of aspect ratio variation

Genome-wide association mapping for wing aspect ratio revealed many 50 SNP windows of high association (Figure 4). This suggests a highly polygenic basis, especially when compared to the effect size distribution of the red pattern phenotype association which is controlled by a single large-effect locus (Figures S7, S8). We obtained association statistics for 11.3 million SNPs (29.4 SNPs/kb) and 10.7 million SNPs (38.8 SNPs/kb) for *H. erato* and *H. melpomene*, respectively, from SNPs that passed the heterozygosity and minimum minor allele count filters. We found that certain genomic regions were strongly associated (Q–Q plots Figure S9, permutations Figure S10). Outlier 50 SNP windows were on average 1681 bp (background = 1641 bp) and 1273 bp (background = 1251 bp) long for *H. erato* and *H. melpomene*, respectively, and much smaller than the observed LD blocks (Figure S11). We further investigated 28 regions that had 10 adjacent outlier windows supported by permutations and had a median *p*-value <.01 (-log10(*p*) >2, Figure 4). In each species, one of these regions had SNPs above the genome-wide critical threshold (*p* <.05, Figure S12). The remaining outliers were intergenic (*n* = 16) and potentially associated with regulatory variants, and were, on average, 35.8kb from the nearest (*n* = 6) or second nearest gene (*n* = 10, Tables 2, 3). The second nearest gene was presented in the main text if the closest gene was poorly annotated, or if it had a more relevant biological function, for example, known to affect wing aspect ratio or colour patterning in *Heliconius* (all genes in Table S1).

### 3.2.3 Candidate genes

To highlight candidates potentially controlling wing aspect ratio that could warrant further investigation, we identified genes in 28 regions of interest that had a high density of outlier SNPs, yielding 23 and 22 candidate genes for *H. erato* and *H. melpomene*, respectively. In 12 out of the 28 regions, SNPs within the region of strongest association were found within genes that could be annotated (Tables 2, 3). The remaining outliers were intergenic (*n* = 16), and 22 candidate genes for *H. erato* and *H. melpomene*, respectively, were found to be associated with regulatory variants, and were, on average, 35.8kb from the nearest gene. The most relevant and functionally tested candidate genes of wing aspect ratio variation are shown in Table S1. More importantly, the aspect ratio of hybrid individuals encompassed most of the trait variance of the pure subspecies (Figure S5). We obtained association statistics for 11.3 million SNPs (29.4 SNPs/kb) and 10.7 million SNPs (38.8 SNPs/kb) for *H. erato* and *H. melpomene*, respectively, from SNPs that passed the heterozygosity and minimum minor allele count filters. We found that certain genomic regions were strongly associated (Q–Q plots Figure S9, permutations Figure S10). Outlier 50 SNP windows were on average 1681 bp (background = 1641 bp) and 1273 bp (background = 1251 bp) long for *H. erato* and *H. melpomene*, respectively, and much smaller than the observed LD blocks (Figure S11). We further investigated 28 regions that had 10 adjacent outlier windows supported by permutations and had a median *p*-value <.01 (-log10(*p*) >2, Figure 4). In each species, one of these regions had SNPs above the genome-wide critical threshold (*p* <.05, Figure S12). The remaining outliers were intergenic (*n* = 16) and potentially associated with regulatory variants, and were, on average, 35.8kb from the nearest gene. The second nearest gene was presented in the main text if the closest gene was poorly annotated, or if it had a more relevant biological function, for example, known to affect wing aspect ratio or colour patterning in *Heliconius* (all genes in Table S1).

### Table 1

Wing aspect ratio linear mixed model summaries. Fixed effects are scaled and centred

| Species       | N | Variable          | Variance | SD  | R<sup>2</sup> | Fixed effects (scaled) | Variable | Estimate | df | t-value | p-value |
|---------------|---|-------------------|----------|-----|-------------|------------------------|----------|----------|----|---------|---------|
| *H. erato*    | 687| Mother ID (n = 48)| 4.7e-04  | 0.022| 0.33        | Altitude (low)          | 0.029    | 37       | 3.52| <.001   | 0.21    |
|               |    | Residual          | 2.7e-04  | 0.052|             | Area                   | -0.008   | 526      | -3.34| <.0001  |         |
| *H. melpomene*| 419| Mother ID (n = 23)| 1.0e-03  | 0.032| 0.45        | Altitude (low)          | 0.028    | 21.4     | 1.95| .06     | 0.11    |
|               |    | Residual          | 1.9e-03  | 0.043|             | Area                   | -0.010   | 410.3    | -4.57| <.0001  |         |

**Abbreviations:** *df*, degrees of freedom based on Satterwaite’s approximations; *p*, the *p*-values of fixed and random effects. Development time, time in days from larvae hatching to pupating. N, number of individuals with data for all fixed effects. Conditional R<sup>2</sup> values for models with fixed and random effects (R<sup>2</sup><sub>LMM(c)</sub>), residual R<sup>2</sup> values fixed-effects only models (R<sup>2</sup><sub>LMM(m)</sub>).
aspect ratio variation were su(dx) in H. erato, and dok, knrl, lowfat, and tap42 in H. melpomene (Figure 4, Tables 2, 3). Tracheal development and septate junction assembly functions were also associated with several candidates (vari, pickle, "pasii", punch, pak1, knrl), as well as chitin-based cuticle development (punch, pgm2a Pan et al., 2020), pigment transport or synthesis (MCT9, optix, punch, ABCG) and oxidative stress responses and regulation of cell apoptosis (tefu, daxx, pak1, naam). Some of these candidates, even if not directly involved in wing morphogenesis, have been functionally tested in Drosophila and lead to wing aspect ratio or wing vein abnormalities (knrl Lunde et al., 2003, pgm2a Pan et al., 2020, tefu Song et al., 2004, daxx Hwang et al., 2013). More importantly, 1/23 and 5/22 candidate genes in H. erato and H. melpomene, respectively, were direct enhancers or suppressors of genes recently identified as being involved with multivariate wing aspect ratio variation in D. melanogaster (Tables 2, 3; Pitchers et al., 2019; Thurmond et al., 2019). We found 39 and 23 significantly enriched GO-terms with genes overlapping with any outlier window across the genome in H. erato (Table S2) and H. melpomene (Table S3), respectively, seven of which were enriched in both species (Note S4, Figure S15). However, we found through simulations that genes overlapping GWAS hits are length biased, leading to certain categories being repeatedly enriched (Note S4, Figure S16, Figure S17). Categories most strongly enriched in the observed data set but not enriched in simulations included “actin filament organisation” in H. erato and “calcium ion transport” in H. melpomene (Tables S2, S3). Actin filaments have long been known to regulate butterfly wing scales (Dinwiddie et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2013). The epidermal growth factor receptor (Egfr) regulates rugose (Shamloula et al., 2002) and has been associated with wing aspect ratio variation in wild D. melanogaster (Dworkin et al., 2005) and, recently, in multivariate analyses of wing aspect ratio and knockdowns (Pitchers et al., 2019). In contrast, despite high levels of parallelism between species in the loci that control colour pattern differences across this hybrid zone (Figure S14, Meier et al. 2021), only one was significantly associated with wing aspect ratio variation in H. erato, the transcription factor controlling presence/absence of red, optix (Figure S13).

We found 39 and 23 significantly enriched GO-terms with genes overlapping with any outlier window across the genome in H. erato (Table S2) and H. melpomene (Table S3), respectively, seven of which were enriched in both species (Note S4, Figure S15). However, we found through simulations that genes overlapping GWAS hits are length biased, leading to certain categories being repeatedly enriched (Note S4, Figure S16, Figure S17). Categories most strongly enriched in the observed data set but not enriched in simulations included “actin filament organisation” in H. erato and “calcium ion transport” in H. melpomene (Tables S2, S3). Actin filaments have long been known to regulate butterfly wing scales (Dinwiddie et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2013). The epidermal growth factor receptor (Egfr) regulates rugose (Shamloula et al., 2002) and has been associated with wing aspect ratio variation in wild D. melanogaster (Dworkin et al., 2005) and, recently, in multivariate analyses of wing aspect ratio and knockdowns (Pitchers et al., 2019). In contrast, despite high levels of parallelism between species in the loci that control colour pattern differences across this hybrid zone (Figure S14, Meier et al. 2021), only one was significantly associated with wing aspect ratio variation in H. erato, the transcription factor controlling presence/absence of red, optix (Figure S13).

We found 39 and 23 significantly enriched GO-terms with genes overlapping with any outlier window across the genome in H. erato (Table S2) and H. melpomene (Table S3), respectively, seven of which were enriched in both species (Note S4, Figure S15). However, we found through simulations that genes overlapping GWAS hits are length biased, leading to certain categories being repeatedly enriched (Note S4, Figure S16, Figure S17). Categories most strongly enriched in the observed data set but not enriched in simulations included “actin filament organisation” in H. erato and “calcium ion transport” in H. melpomene (Tables S2, S3). Actin filaments have long been known to regulate butterfly wing scales (Dinwiddie et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2013). The epidermal growth factor receptor (Egfr) regulates rugose (Shamloula et al., 2002) and has been associated with wing aspect ratio variation in wild D. melanogaster (Dworkin et al., 2005) and, recently, in multivariate analyses of wing aspect ratio and knockdowns (Pitchers et al., 2019). In contrast, despite high levels of parallelism between species in the loci that control colour pattern differences across this hybrid zone (Figure S14, Meier et al. 2021), only one was significantly associated with wing aspect ratio variation in H. erato, the transcription factor controlling presence/absence of red, optix (Figure S13).
2014) and calcium ion channels are essential for wing development in Drosophila (George et al., 2019).

### 3.3 | Signatures of selection

To assess whether regions of interest identified in our association study presented signatures of positive selection at high altitude we used $F_{ST}$ and nucleotide diversity differentiation between altitudes, as well as integrated haplotype scores (iHS, Figure S18). There is little background genomic differentiation between highland and lowland populations of both species (mean $F_{ST}$ in *H. erato*: 0.0261 and in *H. melpomene*: 0.0189, Figure S14, Meier et al. 2021). Of the 28 regions identified as potentially associated with wing aspect ratio variation, nine were found to be in regions of elevated genomic differentiation between highland and lowland populations ($\geq$ 3 standard deviations from the mean, $F_{ST}$ green lines, Figure 4). Several regions of interest were also associated with negative differences in nucleotide diversity between the highlands and the lowlands and with high integrated haplotype scores (Tables 2, 3; Figures S18, S19), both signatures of selective sweeps. The strongest four $F_{ST}$ peaks in this cline are associated with colour patterning (Figure S14, Meier et al. 2021; Nadeau et al. 2014), but only optix (chr. 18) in *H. erato* was also strongly associated with wing aspect ratio (Figure S13).

### 4 | DISCUSSION

Here, we combine the power of hybrid zones across steep environmental clines, common garden rearing, and whole-genome sequencing to study the genomic basis of a potentially adaptive trait in the wild. We found that wing aspect ratio is highly correlated between mothers and their offspring in two butterfly species, highly repeatable across common-garden reared offspring families, and correlated with the altitude at which the mother was collected (Figure 2). With a large data set comprising

![Genome wide association for wing aspect ratio in *H. erato* (a) and *H. melpomene* (b). Black points represent windows with p-values lower or equal to the top 1% of 200 permutations. Top panels for each species are manhattan plots of genome-wide associations, and bottom are zoomed-in regions of interest. In these regions, minimum p-values per outlier window are shown in red, gene tracks are shown as grey rectangles, selected genes within or near outlier regions are highlighted in red with gene abbreviations above them, and genetic differentiation between highland and lowland subspecies (excluding hybrids) along the region in green ($F_{ST}$).](image-url)
**TABLE 2**  
*H. erato* candidate genes for regions of strong association with wing aspect ratio variation (*n* = 12). Genome-wide means: FST, 0.0261; iHShighlands, 0.054; iHSslowlands, 0.053. FST values ≥3 standard deviations from the mean are indicated by *ΔΠ*(highlands-lowlands), 0.000662. References have been added for those candidate genes that have *Drosophila* mutants leading to wing aspect ratio changes. Candidate or related genes (†) identified in Pitchers et al. (2019) as significantly affecting multivariate wing aspect ratio in *D. melanogaster* are highlighted. Protein descriptions and relevant biological functions were extracted from Flybase (Thurmond et al., 2019), unless indicated otherwise.

| ROI | Gene ID | Distance (bp) | Abbrv. | Protein description | Relevant biological functions | Mutants in Dmel or GWAS outliers Pitchers(†) | Max. F<sub>ST</sub>, min. ΔΠ | Max. iHShighlands | iHSslowlands |
|-----|---------|---------------|--------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1a  | Herato0101.595 | within | su(dx) | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase, suppressor of deltex | Wing disc dorsal/ventral pattern formation | Vein gaps, rounder wings (Mazaleyrat et al., 2003) | 0.24*, –0.005 | 0.88, 0.25 |
| 1b  | Herato0101.696 | 1567 | vari | Membrane associated guanylate kinase | Septate junction morphogenesis, open tracheal system | | 0.08, –0.002 | 0.18, 0.2 |
| 7   | Herato0701.777 | within | trpRS | Tryptoph--tRNA ligase | Dendrite morphogenesis, Salivary gland | | 0.15*, −0.003 | 0.72, 0.26 |
| 10  | Herato1003.102 | ~3016 | corin | Serine-type endopeptidase | Proteolysis | | 0.07*, 0 | 0.08, 0.16 |
| 11  | Herato1108.269 | 2211 | pickel, mega | Claudin transmembrane | Septate junction morphogenesis and function. Open tracheal system | Tracheal cell morphogenesis (Behr et al., 2003) | 0.13, −0.002 | 0.44, 0.23 |
| 12a | Herato1201.11 | 60102 | Trypsin-7 | Trypsin-7, Serine-type endopeptidase | Proteolysis | | 0.07, −0.002 | 0.14, 0.27 |
| 12b | Herato1202.201 | within | vgr | Vitelligenin receptor, yolkless | Oogenesis | | 0.06, −0.001 | 0.19, 0.12 |
| 13  | Herato1301.557 | 68888 | rugose | Neurobeachin | Neuromuscular junction, mushroom body, olfactory learning | Reduces male courtship behaviour (Dauwalder et al., 2002) | 0.44*, −0.007 | 0.81, 0.25 |
| 18a | Herato1801.64 | −131704 | optix | Homeobox containing DNA binding protein | Red colour pattern *Heliconius* | | 0.96*, −0.018 | 0.97, 0.34 |
| 18b | Herato1801.138 | within | MCT9 | Monocarboxylate transporter 9, CG8468 | Monocarboxylic acid transmembrane transporter | | 0.29*, −0.011 | 0.38, 0.12 |
| 18c | Herato1805.195 | −2973 | JHBP, takeout | Takeout-like, Juvenile Hormone Binding | Novel circadian output pathway, food status | Reduces male courtship behaviour (Dauwalder et al., 2002) | 0.03, 0 | 0.17, 0.22 |
| 21  | Herato2101.392 | −24716 | pasi | CG13288, Uncharacterized tetraspan. | Septate junction - Pasifloral (21% resemblance), (Deligiannaki et al., 2015) | Pasifloral1 mutant tracheal barrier breakdown (Deligiannaki et al., 2015) | 0.06, 0 | 0.23, 0.21 |

Abbreviations: ROI, region of interest. Distance (bp) from gene to outlier window.
### Table 3

| ROI | Gene ID | distance (bp) | Abbrev. | Protein description | Relevant Biological Functions | Mutants in Dmel or GWAS outliers (†) | Max. $F_{ST}$ | min. ΔII | Max. iHS$_{high}$ | iHS$_{low}$ |
|-----|---------|---------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1a  | HMEL014531g1 | -13381 | punch | Guanosine triphosphate cyclohydrolase 1 | Larval chitin-based cuticle, Pteridine, melanin synthesis, Open tracheal system | Pitchers (†) | 0.15*, -0.004 | 0.5, 0.43 |
| 1b  | HMEL011641g1 | within | tefu | Serine/threonine-protein kinase ATM | DNA damage sensor, apoptosis, genotoxic stresses | Eye and wing abnormalities (Song et al., 2004) | 0.07, -0.003 | 0.15, 0.13 |
| 3   | HMEL017401g3 | -3111 | cad87A | Cadherin-87A-like | Calcium-dependent cell adhesion | | 0.03, -0.002 | 0.07, 0.12 |
| 5a  | HMEL016253g1 | within | daxx | Death domain-associated protein 6-like | Apoptosis, adult lifespan, oxidative stress | Small wings, locomotion (Hwang et al., 2013), suppressed by foxo † | 0.05, -0.001 | 0.05, 0.06 |
| 6   | HMEL036769g1 | within | elba | Early boundary activity protein 1-like | Embryonic development | | 0.03, 0 | 0.13, 0.17 |
| 5c  | HMEL036887g1 | within | dok | Insulin receptor substrate (IRS) | Imaginal disc-derived wing morphogenesis (Dok), neuromuscular synaptogenesis (Dok-7) | Shrivelled wings (Biswas et al., 2006) | 0.06, -0.001 | 0.13, 0.06 |
| 7a  | HMEL010451g1 | -64775 | knrl | Knirps-related. Transcription factors steroid hormones | Second wing vein, open tracheal system. | | 0.04, -0.004 | 0.08, 0.19 |
| 7b  | HMEL019901g1 | within | TAP42 | Two A-associated protein of 42kda TAP42-like family, phosphatase inhibitor | Wing disc morphogenesis, mitotic spindle | Shriveled wings (Wang et al., 2012) | 0.04, -0.001 | 0.25, 0.22 |
| 9   | HMEL01907g1 | 65310 | rugose | Neurobeachin-like | Neuromuscular junction, mushroom body, olfactory learning | Part of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR †) (Shamloula et al., 2002) | 0.07, -0.003 | 0.22, 0.19 |
| 10a | HMEL030728g1 | -5022 | lft | Lowfat, protein limb expression homolog, Fat/Dachsous, Frizzled | Imaginal disc-derived wing morphogenesis | Shorter wings (Mao et al., 2009). Enhances fat † | 0.03, -0.001 | 0.1, 0.22 |
| 10b | HMEL009190g1 | within | TAP42 | Two A-associated protein of 42kda TAP42-like family, phosphatase inhibitor | Wing disc morphogenesis, mitotic spindle | Shriveled wings (Wang et al., 2012) | 0.04, -0.001 | 0.25, 0.22 |
| 13  | HMEL010907g1 | 65310 | rugose | Neurobeachin-like | Neuromuscular junction, mushroom body, olfactory learning | Part of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR †) (Shamloula et al., 2002) | 0.07, -0.003 | 0.22, 0.19 |
| 17  | HMEL033852g1 | within | harmonin | Putative harmonin, CG592. | Sensory perception of sound, Auditory organ (Li et al., 2018) | | 0.03, -0.001 | 0.08, 0.26 |
| 19a | HMEL002660g1 | 3416 | pgm2a | Pgm2a phosphoglucomutase-2 | Carbohydrate metabolism. Chitin synthesis plant hoppers, wing deformities (Pan et al., 2020) | Pgm2b † | 0.05, -0.002 | 0.11, 0.18 |
| 19b | HMEL034862g1 | within | ABCG | ATP-binding cassette subfamily G ABC transporter, CG5853 | Metabolism (Wu et al., 2019) | | 0.23*, -0.002 | 0.1, 0.18 |

Abbreviations: ROI, region of interest. Distance (bp) from gene to outlier window.
666 whole-genomes sequenced with haplotagging (Meier et al. 2021) and association mapping, we uncover a highly polygenic basis to wing aspect ratio, and identify potential candidate genes in regions with many SNPs showing associations (Figure 4). Furthermore, with a population genetics approach, we find that many of these regions are also diverging between highland and lowland populations and some also overlap with signatures of selective sweeps, potentially being selected for local adaptation to highland environments.

4.1 | Wing aspect ratio is heritable

The amount of wing aspect ratio variation explained by family across common-garden reared offspring was high for both species (H. erato: 21% and H. melpomene: 39%), especially when compared to the 74% of variance explained by species identity in a previous comparative study (Montejo-Kovacevich et al., 2019). The resemblance in wing aspect ratio between mothers and their offspring is indicative of a highlyheritable trait (Figure 2a), although we cannot rule out maternal effects partly driving this pattern. Rearing offspring in common-garden conditions strongly reduces the effects of shared mother-offspring environmental variables, but cannot account for, for example, variation in resources the mothers provide to their eggs. We found, however, that mother wing area did not correlate with offspring wing areas in H. erato, whereas it did in H. melpomene (Note S3). This highlights that wing aspect ratio might be less affected by maternal effects compared to other more condition-dependent traits, such as size. Furthermore, strong sexual dimorphism in wing aspect ratio present in H. erato, was maintained in common-garden reared individuals and both sexes had similar correlations with mother phenotypes, implying a strong genetic component to wing aspect ratio variation (Allen et al., 2011).

From a local adaptation perspective, we might predict wing aspect ratio to be highly heritable. Insects can behaviourally compensate for damaged or abnormal wings through changes in flight and body kinematics (Fernández et al., 2017). Yet, this might incur a fitness cost, as many behaviours, such as courtship and predator escape, are dependent on efficient flight (Le Roy et al., 2019a). Generally, cases of wing aspect ratio plasticity are rarer than size plasticity, especially if the two traits are allometrically decoupled, allowing for subtle changes to be selected if advantageous (Carreira et al., 2011; Gilchrist & Partridge, 2001; Strauss 1990). In Heliconius, wings have been found to be rounder at higher elevations, both across and within species that inhabit large ranges (Montejo-Kovacevich et al., 2019). In our study, wing aspect ratio differences observed in the wild in H. erato and H. melpomene were maintained in common-garden reared broods, with individuals from highland mothers having, on average, rounder wings (Figure 2b, Figure S2). Together, this supports the hypothesis that subtle changes in wing aspect ratio are highly heritable, and may be involved in local adaptation to altitude.

4.2 | Candidate genes associated with wing aspect ratio

We found 5/28 regions mapping to genes involved in the biological process of “wing disc development” and one involved in wing vein formation (Lunde et al., 2003). In H. erato, the most promising candidate gene was the suppressor of detex, su(dx) (Figure 4a, Table 2), an E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase of the Notch signalling pathway (Jennings et al., 2007). su(dx) knockouts in D. melanogaster result in rounder wings via reduction of longitudinal wing venation (Mazaleyrat et al., 2003), wing margin reduction (Wilkin et al., 2004) or via interactions with other proteins (Djiane et al., 2011). Interestingly, this region has moderately high levels of genetic differentiation across high and low elevation populations (FSTmax = 0.24, Figures 4a, 1(a)), negative ΔΠ (difference in nucleotide diversity), and very high integrated haplotype score in the highland population (0.88), which points towards altitude-associated selection on this candidate gene. In H. melpomene, we found four regions with genes functionally known to be involved in determining wing shape in Drosophila (Figure 4b, Table 3). Mutants of lowfat have shorter, rounder wings in Drosophila (Hogan et al., 2011; Mao et al., 2009), whereas dok mutants have shrivelled wings (Biswas et al., 2006). The knirs-related protein (knrl) is involved in second wing vein development (Table 3, Lunde et al., 2003), and Top42 (Figure 4b) triggers apoptosis in the developing wing discs (Wang et al., 2012). Thus, we have identified some promising genes that could be studied further in this system in future.

Despite phenotypic convergence towards rounder wings at high altitude, we found little evidence for molecular parallelism underlying wing aspect ratio variation between H. erato and H. melpomene. One of the 28 regions identified as potentially involved with this trait was found in the regulatory region of the gene rugose in both species (Figure S13). Rugose mutants also exhibit the “rough eye phenotype”, aberrant associative odour learning, changes in brain morphology, and increased synapses in the larval neuromuscular junction (Shamloula et al., 2002; Volders et al., 2012). Furthermore, there was clear evidence of a selective sweep in this region in the highland H. erato notabilis (iHS, Table 2; Figures S18, S19). Interestingly, in H. melpomene a 4.8MB inversion was detected encompassing the rugose region (Meier et al. 2021). Inversions can aid local adaptation by retaining multiple co-adapted SNPs together (Mérot et al., 2020) but can also complicate GWA studies by causing large blocks of association that prevent the detection of causative SNPs or genes. The window approach we took could cause a bias toward such low-recombination regions, but this was the only one of our regions of interest to overlap with an inversion, out of the 33 detected for both species in Meier et al. (2021). In addition, the associations that we see are localised upstream of rugose and do not span the entire inversion, which is only present in H. melpomene. Thus, this region may be affecting aspect ratio in both species and under selection at high altitude, warranting future study.

In contrast, we found a strong association with wing aspect ratio variation at the optix locus uniquely in H. erato, which controls most
of the red colour patterning (Bainbridge et al., 2020; Lewis et al., 2019; Meier et al. 2021; Van Belleghem et al., 2017). Mimicry can play a role in Heliconius’ wing shape, for example, morphs of H. numata that mimic the distantly related genus Melinae tend to converge in wing aspect ratios where they coexist (Jones et al., 2013). Although H. erato and H. melpomene mimic each other across their range and both have rounder wings in the highlands, the differences in aspect ratio between the two species are larger than those across elevations (Figure 3d). Thus, while some mimicry-related wing aspect ratio variation may be controlled by optix, many other loci are probably involved in shaping wings to suit the local environment and life-history of each species.

4.3 Genetics basis of an ecologically relevant trait

A common criticism of population genomics approaches, reverse genetics, that aim to link genotypes and environments is that they often lack phenotypes. Traits directly measured from the wild might be a result of phenotypic plasticity, and are thus rarely used to infer local adaptation. Common-garden rearing can bridge the gap between phenotypes, genotypes, and environment, by providing measurements of heritability and repeatability of a trait across families whose genetic material comes from different extremes of an environmental cline (de Vilmereuil et al., 2016). On the other hand, using highly differentiated populations can result in spurious phenotypic associations and makes identifying divergent outliers challenging. Thus, GWAS in the wild should use randomly mating populations with little population structure, while ensuring there is enough phenotypic variation to detect genetic associations with the trait of interest. Hybrid zones, where closely related subspecies or morphs come into contact along an environmental cline, can provide such ideal conditions to carry out GWAS in the wild.

Here, we have demonstrated the value of combining these approaches to gain insight into the genomic basis of an ecologically relevant trait in the wild. We found that wing aspect ratio is highly heritable in two widespread species of Heliconius butterflies, and that altitude explains part of the variation in this trait. We have identified several regions potentially shaping wings in H. erato and H. melpomene, including five candidate genes involved in wing morphogenesis and several identified to be affecting wing aspect ratio in recent Drosophila studies (Pitchers et al., 2019). We found evidence of molecular parallelism between species and selective sweeps at high altitude at the gene rugose, and a strong association of H. erato wing aspect ratio with a known colour pattern locus, optix. Our study adds to a growing body of evidence showing that most quantitative traits conferring local adaptation are highly polygenic (Barghi et al., 2020). Spatial environmental heterogeneity and gene flow are thought to maintain high levels of standing genetic variation (Tigano & Friesen, 2016). This can favour polygenic adaptation, so that incomplete sweeps of many redundant loci can shift traits towards an optimum (Yeaman, 2015). A slow-moving optimum, such as range-expansions towards the highlands, should favour polygenic adaptation via small-effect loci, whereas selection for a distant optimum, such as a switch in colour pattern mimicry in Heliconius, should favour large-effect loci (Barghi et al., 2020). New whole-genome sequencing technologies could foster the study of local adaptation to the environment and shape our understanding of the mode and tempo of evolution in the wild.
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