Assessment of Common Dental Indices and Need for Periodontal Treatment in the Cardiology Outpatients Unit at the University Hospital Gabriel Touré (UH-GT) in Bamako
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Abstract

Introduction: Little is known through the literature about dental status of patients seen in cardiology department, motivating this study which aims to assess most dental indices of outpatients and the need for periodontal treatment. Methodology: It was a cross-sectional study from November 2016 to April 2017 in the cardiology outpatient unit of the University Hospital Gabriel Touré (UH-GT) in Bamako. Included were all patients with minimum 24 teeth and willing to be involved in the study. History, cardiovascular parameters and dental examination by a resident in Odontostomatologie were performed for each patient. Data were analyzed using SPSS 18, quantitative as means and standard deviation (SD) and categorical as proportions. Khi-2 Test, analysis of variance were applied with a significance level set at 0.05. All patients give their verbal consent after being informed about the procedures in the study. Results: Our sample involved 100 patients (66% female, mean age of 54.12 ± 16.150 and patients ≥ 60 years most represented with 50% for male and 37.9 for female patients. Tooth brush use was practiced by 100% and 98.5% respectively for male and female patients and twice daily brushing by 97.1% of male and 97% of female patients. Brushing duration was less than
3 minutes for 35.3% and 31.8% of respectively male and female patients. Plaque index and calculus index were in the male group with respectively 0.79 and 1.06 for male and 0.63 and 0.79 for female (p = 0.047 and 0.020). Mean Decayed Missed and Filled Teeth (DFMT) index showed a significantly increase from 0.22 in the age group under 30 to 0.68 for that other 60 years. Only 2.9% and 9.1% of respectively male and female patients had community paradontal index for treatment needs (CPITN) classe 0. The need for treatment was TN1 meaning instruction to an oral cavity hygiene, similar for both sex (55.9 and 57.6 respectively for male and female patients) and highest in the age-group 30 - 44 increased to 70%. The need for professional intervention in oral cavity was higher for men and higher for patients aged 45 - 59 and ≥60 years. Conclusion: Drawing attention of practitioners on the additional risk of bad periodontal conditions and education on a broad basis already in the school must be part of a preventive program in order to have a code 0 as goal.
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1. Introduction
Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and singularly those involving heart valves are multifactorial. More and more studies point out the contribution of periodontal diseases to the genesis of CVD [1] [2] [3]. This relationship goes back to the children age with involving ameloblasts [4]. Cardiac disease can adversely influence the activity of ameloblasts, and the presence of a cardiac disease in a child at the time of tooth development can result in the formation of defective and soft teeth because of reduced enamel deposition [5]. Periodontal diseases go with chronic inflammation [6] that can lead in adulthood to various CVD [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] and reduced, unrestored dentition is associated with increased mortality risk [8] [9]. Oral health has been recognized as a priority by the World Health Organization (WHO) and also the Federation Dentaire Internationale (FDI) leading to define goals [10] [11]

It is therefore important to assess the dental health, that could be done using various methods [12] [13] and also for dental practitioner to evaluation the cardiac risk of their patients [14].

Little is known through the literature about dental status of patients seen in cardiology department, motivating this study which aims to assess most dental indices of outpatients and assess the need for periodontal treatment.

2. Methodology
This cross-sectional study was conducted from November 2016 to april 2017 in the cardiology outpatient unit of the University Hospital Gabriel Touré (UH-GT) in Bamako.
2.1. Inclusion Criteria

Were included all patients with minimum 24 teeth and willing to be involved in the study. For each included patient, first a cardiologist performed history taking followed by physical examination and recording of clinical parameters. In the second step, the patient underwent dental examination by a resident in Odontostomatology.

The only selection criterion was the outpatient unit attendance at the study time and the willingness to be included.

2.2. Definitions of Terms

Blood pressure was measured after a 5-min rest time, the patient in lying position with an OMRON automatic blood pressure device. Hypertension has been defined as blood pressure ≥ 140 for systolic and ≥ 90 mmHg for diastolic blood pressure.

Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was calculated using the formula below and the cut-off value of 107 mmHg was used to distinguish normal from pathological [15].

$$\text{MAP} = \text{DBP} + \left(\frac{\text{SBP} - \text{DBP}}{3}\right)$$

Pulse pressure (PP) assessed as SBP minus DBP was considered pathological if superior to 65 mmHg [15].

Dental examination has been performed by a resident in Odontostomatology, who brought all needed material for his examination comprising sterile tray with a probe, dental mirror, tweezer, sterile cotton rolls, compresses as well as gloves and masks. Examination procedure followed WHO standard as described in the 5th edition of the manual or oral health surveys-basic methods [12].

After dental examination following indices were calculated:

- Decayed, missed and filled for teeth (DMFT) index following WHO recommendations [12] has been obtained by dividing the number of decayed, absent and filled teeth divided by the number of examined patients.

- Assessment of the Silness-Löe plaque index (PI) [16] through recording both soft debris and mineralized deposits on 12, 16, 24, 32, 36 and 44 with following score system:

  0: no plaque.

  1: A film of plaque adhering to the free gingival margin and adjacent area of the tooth. The plaque may be seen in situ only after application of disclosing solution or by using the probe on the tooth surface.

  2: moderate accumulation of soft deposits within the gingival pocket, or the tooth and gingival margin which can be seen with the naked eye.

  3: Abundance of soft matter within the gingival pocket and/or on the tooth and gingival margin.

  Four ratings have been assigned: 0 = excellent, 0.1 - 0.9 = good, 1.0 - 1.9 = fair, 2.0 - 3.0 = poor.

- Calculus index [17] as Buccal + Lingual Score divided by the number of seg-
ments with:
0: Absence of calculus.
1: Supragingival calculus extending only slightly below the free gingival margin (not more than 1 mm).
2: Moderate amount of supra and subgingival calculus, or subgingival calculus only.
3: An abundance of supra and subgingival calculus rated as following: 0 = excellent, 0.1 - 0.9 = good, 1.0 - 1.9 = fair, 2.0 - 3.0 = poor.
- Gingival index (GI) [18] rated as 0 = excellent, 0.1 - 0.9 = good, 1.0 - 1.9 = fair, 2.0 - 3.0 = poor.
  Score 0 = Normal gingiva.
  Score 1 = Mild inflammation—slight change in color, slight edema. No bleeding on probing.
  Score 2 = Moderate inflammation—redness, edema, glazing. Bleeding on probing.
  Score 3 = Severe inflammation—marked redness and edema, ulceration. Tendency toward spontaneous bleeding.
- Community parodontal index for treatment needs (CPITN) [19]: periodontal status and treatment need have been described based on the following classifications.
  Periodontal status was evaluated with five codes.
  • 0 is given to a sextant with no sign of pocket or calculus and bleeding on probing (gingival health with no treatment needs).
  • 1 is given to a sextant with no pockets, calculus or overhangs of fillings but in which bleeding occurs after gentle probing in one or several gingival units (mild gingivitis; improvement of oral hygiene is needed).
  • Code 2 is assigned to a sextant if there are no pockets exceeding 3 mm, but in which, dental calculus and plaque-retaining factors are seen or recognized in sub-gingival regions (established gingivitis; scaling, removal of overhangs, and improvement of oral hygiene are needed).
  • Code 3 is given to a sextant that harbors 4 - 5 mm deep pockets (mild periodontitis, scaling, removal of overhangs, and improvement of oral hygiene is needed).
  • Code 4 is given to a sextant that harbors pockets 6 mm deep or deeper (periodontitis and complex treatments such as surgery is needed).
The treatment need (TN) has been assessed using the following codes:
  • TN0—patient does not show periodontal treatment needs (Code 0 for all sextants);
  • TN1—need for instructing the patient on oral cavity hygiene (Code 1 for at least one sextant);
  • TN2—need for professional removal of sediment and tartar from the surface of teeth, correction of filling(s) and removing of all factors increasing plaque retention, instructing on oral cavity hygiene (Code 2 or 3 for at least one sext-
• TN3—need for complex professional periodontal treatment: surgical treatment, tooth extraction, scaling and instruction on oral cavity hygiene (Code 4 for at least one sextant).

2.3. Data Collection and Analysis

It was performed through filling of a study formula and inserting those data in a dedicated Access database. At the end of the study, these data were then imported in SPSS 18 for analysis. Quantitative data were presented as means and standard deviation (SD) and categorical data as proportions. Khi-2 Test for categorical variables and analysis of variance for quantitative one were applied with a significance level set at 0.05.

2.4. Ethical Considerations

All patients give their verbal consent after being informed about the procedures in the study, their harmless character and even anonymous treatment of their data.

3. Results

A sample of 100 consecutive patients (66% female and 70% urban dwellers) with a mean age of 54.12 ± 16.150 were included in this study.

Patients in the age group ≥ 60 years were most represented with 50% for male and 37.9 for female patients. Urban dwellers represented 64.7% and 68.7% of respectively male and female patients (Diagram 1).

Only height was significantly higher for male patients with 171.06 cm versus 168.79 cm (p = 0.007). Heart rate (HR), Weight, Body mass index (BMI), Mean arterial pressure (MAP) and pulse pressure (PP) were found without a significant difference (Table 1).

![Diagram 1](Socio-demographic in a sample of 100 patients in the cardiology outpatient unit of the university hospital Gabriel Touré.)
Table 1. Distribution according to sex of anthropometrical and hemodynamical parameters in a sample of 100 patients in the cardiology outpatient unit of the university hospital Gabriel Touré.

| Sex     | Age (years) | Heart rate (min) | Height (m) | Weight (kg) | BMI (kg/m²) | MAP (mmHg) | PP (mmHg) |
|---------|-------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Male    | 56.97 ± 15.784 | 73.94 ± 6.619 | 171.06 ± 14.258 | 77.41 ± 4.413 | 108.55 ± 16.335 | 56.53 ± 19.828 |
| Female  | 52.65 ± 16.258 | 76.82 ± 5.493 | 167.62 ± 25.090 | 76.71 ± 8.620 | 105.29 ± 16.292 | 57.06 ± 22.569 |
| Total   | 54.12 ± 16.150 | 75.84 ± 6.091 | 168.79 ± 21.936 | 76.95 ± 7.445 | 106.40 ± 16.298 | 56.88 ± 21.577 |

Considering cardiovascular risk factors (Table 2) BMI-based obesity was significantly more found among female (42.4% versus 21.4% for male with p = 0.003). Hypertension (HTN) and Diabetes (DT) were more present among male with respectively 79.4% and 11.8% versus 74.2% and 09.1%, p-value remaining not significant (0.566 and 0.673). Tobacco smoking was clearly more prevalent among male with 35.3% versus 03.0% for female, p-value highly significant (<0.001). Alcohol consumption was less found and only among male patients (02.9%).

Tooth brush use was practiced by 100% of male patients against 98.5% of female and twice daily brushing by 97.1% of male and 97% of female patients. Brushing duration was less than 3 minutes for 35.3% and 31.8% of respectively male and female patients (Diagram 2).

Plaque index and calculus index were significantly higher in the male group with respectively 0.79 and 1.06 for male and 0.63 and 0.79 for female (p = 0.047 and 0.020). Gingival index (GI) and Mean DFMT indices were statistically not different for male and female (Table 3).

In the distribution according to age group, only DMFT showed a significant increase with age from 0.22 in the group under 30 to 0.68 for that other 60 years (Table 3).

The highest individual DFMT scores were found among female and patients older 60 years (Diagram 3).

Poor dental status based on plaque, calculus and gingival index was found in respectively 0.04.0% and 0.01.0% of all cases. More than half of the patients had good dental status based on plaque, calculus and gingival index with respectively 73.0%, 54.0% and 62% (Table 4).

Only 2.9% and 9.1% of respectively male and female patients had CPITN classe 0. The need for treatment was TN1 meaning instruction to an oral cavity hygiene, similar for both sex (55.9 and 57.6 respectively for male and female patients) and highest in the age-group 30 - 44 increased to 70% (Diagram 4). The need for professional intervention in oral cavity was higher for men and higher
Table 2. Distribution according to sex of cardiovascular risk factors in a sample of 100 patients in the cardiology outpatient unit of the university hospital Gabriel Touré.

| Sex        | BMI* (kg/m²) | Total % | p     |
|------------|--------------|---------|-------|
|            | Male         | Female  |       |
| Normal     | 28.6         | 42.4    | 37.9  | 0.003 |
| Overweight | 50.0         | 15.3    | 26.4  |       |
| Obesity    | 21.4         | 42.4    | 35.6  |       |
| HTN**      |              |         |       |       |
| No         | 20.6         | 25.8    | 24    | 0.566 |
| Yes        | 79.4         | 74.2    | 76    |       |
| Diabe      |              |         |       |       |
| No         | 88.2         | 90.9    | 90    | 0.673 |
| Yes        | 11.8         | 9.1     | 10    |       |
| Tobacco smoking |         |         |       |       |
| No         | 64.7         | 97.0    | 86.0  | <0.0001 |
| Yes        | 35.3         | 3.0     | 14.0  |       |
| Alcohol consumption |         |         |       |       |
| No         | 97.1         | 100     | 99.0  | 0.161 |
| Yes        | 2.9          | 0       | 1.0   |       |

*BMI: Body Mass Index, **HTN: Hypertension.

Table 3. Distribution according to sex of mean dental scores in a sample of 100 patients in the cardiology outpatient unit of the university hospital Gabriel Touré.

| Sex       | Plaque index | Calculus index | GI* | CPI** | DMFT*  |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|-----|-------|--------|
| Male (34) | 0.79 ± 0.439 | 1.06 ± 0.595   | 1.02 ± 0.559 | 0.84 ± 0.502 | 0.56 ± 0.234 |
| Female (66)| 0.63 ± 0.379 | 0.79 ± 0.521   | 0.89 ± 0.395 | 0.68 ± 0.404 | 0.60 ± 0.253 |
| p         | 0.047        | 0.020          | 0.201 | 0.078 | 0.431  |
| <30 (8)   | 0.61 ± 0.408 | 0.78 ± 0.560   | 0.72 ± 0.425 | 0.52 ± 0.403 | 0.22 ± 0.139 |
| 30 - 44 (20)| 0.62 ± 0.430 | 0.77 ± 0.551   | 0.86 ± 0.417 | 0.66 ± 0.468 | 0.58 ± 0.231 |
| 45 - 59 (30)| 0.73 ± 0.334 | 1.02 ± 0.547   | 0.96 ± 0.404 | 0.78 ± 0.399 | 0.57 ± 0.235 |
| ≥60 (42)  | 0.69 ± 0.449 | 0.87 ± 0.574   | 1.00 ± 0.516 | 0.78 ± 0.470 | 0.68 ± 0.213 |
| p         | 0.774        | 0.411          | 0.381 | 0.386 | <0.001 |
| Total (100)| 0.68 ± 0.407 | 0.88 ± 0.560   | 0.94 ± 0.459 | 0.73 ± 0.444 | 0.59 ± 0.246 |

*Gingival index; **Community periodontal index; †Decayed missed filled teeth.

Table 4. Assessment of plaques, calculus and gingival index in a sample of 100 patients in the cardiology outpatient unit of the university hospital Gabriel Touré.

| Age group (years) | Plaque index | Calculus index | Gingival index |
|-------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| <30 (8)           | 0 12.5       | 62.5           | 25.0           | P** G** E++ | P** F** G** E++ |
| 30 - 44 (20)      | 0 20.0       | 65.0           | 15.0           | P            |
| 45 - 59 (30)      | 0 20.0       | 76.7           | 03.3           | 0 0 0        |
| ≥60 (42)          | 0 21.4       | 76.2           | 02.4           | 0 0 0 0 0    |
| Total             | 0 20.0       | 73.0           | 07.0           | 04.0 04.0 04.0 04.0 |
| p                 | 0.233        | 0.103          | 0.102          |              |

| Sex               | Plaque index | Calculus index | Gingival index |
|-------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| Male (34)         | 0 26.5       | 70.6           | 02.9           | P** F** G** E++ |
| Female (66)       | 0 16.7       | 74.2           | 09.1           | 01.5           |
| Total             | 0 20.0       | 73.0           | 07.0           | 04.0 04.0 04.0 04.0 |
| p                 | 0.312        | 0.058          | 0.439          |              |

P*: poor; F**: fair; G*: good; E++: excellent.
**Diagram 2.** Oral hygiene behaviors in a sample of 100 patients in the cardiology outpatient unit of the university hospital Gabriel Touré.

**Diagram 3.** Distribution of DFMT scores by sex and age group in a sample of 100 patients in the cardiology outpatient unit of the university hospital Gabriel Touré.

**Diagram 4.** Distribution of CPITN index according to sex and age group in a sample of 100 patients in the cardiology outpatient unit of the university hospital Gabriel Touré.
for patients aged 45 - 59 and ≥60 years.

4. Discussion

Our study has been performed with a sample reflecting that of a typical cardio-logic clinic with more old patients, also patients with more cardiovascular risk factors, particularly hypertension. It is known that cardiovascular risk factors increase with age [20] and that increased blood pressure is more prevalent [21], [22] [23] and associated with major cardiovascular events [24].

This study allowed us to do the following comments on patient behavior and knowledge and also oral health of outpatients:

- Cardiovascular risk factors are conformed with previous data with female obesity [25], higher rates of hypertension and diabetes among male patients as supported by most studies [22] [26] [27] [28]. Some authors found higher diabetes rate among women [29]. These differences might be due to sample variations. Also tobacco smoking is more male risk factor.

- There is apparently a lack of information concerning the duration and technique of tooth brushing, facts that must be managed through intensive and continuous education already at a young age. Worldwide there is also a difference in level of information, patients with valvular heart diseases having received more information [30]. The use and frequency of tooth brushing are widespread in the population and can indirectly reflect the level of information provided through education. Cardiologists and all other practitioners have to provide information about importance of oral care. On the other side, dentist role in screening for cardiovascular diseases has been pointed out [31].

- Dental conditions are a dependant of many factors particularly socioeconomic [32] [33], education [34] and also gender as found in this study with higher values for men.

- Our DFMT index is higher than that of other African studies like in Nigeria [35] but lower than that published on Burkina-Faso [36], China [37] or mean of African countries [38].

- The CPITN is known to be influenced by many conditions inclusive socio-economic [39] [40] [41], behavior like tobacco smoking [42] pregnancy [43] or diabetes [44]. In all cases dealing with cardiovascular patients requires more actions and above all act preventively.

- Patients with cardiovascular diseases like those in our sample need more early and powerful management due to the link of oral status and cardiovascular diseases (3.6 - 9). Of importance are interventions tending to reduce or prevent infection and inflammation in the oral cavity for control and prevention of hyperglycemia and precursors of cardiovascular diseases [39], as well as dental plaque removal or reduction [45] [46] [47].

Limits

The study was performed with a relatively small sample size but provided inter-
testing data about dental indices of cardiology outpatients. Furthermore, indeep
dental assessments including performing of dental imaging have been not avai-
lable as patients had to pay themselves such tests.

5. Conclusion

Our data suggest that patients need a more preventive approach as oral hygiene
is linked to cardiovascular disorders. This can be realized through drawing atten-
tion of practitioners (general and specialized) on the additional risk of bad
periodontal conditions. In all cases, a code 0 must be the goal for these patients
with cardiovascular diseases or risk factors. Besides, practitioner education on
a broad basis already in the school must be a companion of health professionals’
actions.
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