Oesophageal cancer incidence in the United States by race, sex, and histologic type, 1977–2005
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BACKGROUND: In the United States, the rates and temporal trends of oesophageal cancer overall and for the two predominant histologic types – adenocarcinoma (ADC) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) – differ between Blacks and Whites, but little is known with regard to the patterns among Asians/Pacific Islanders or Hispanics.

METHODS: Using the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results programme data, we analysed oesophageal cancer incidence patterns by race, sex, and histologic type for the period 1977–2005. In 2002, there were an estimated 462 000 incident cases and 386 000 deaths attributable to oesophageal cancer worldwide, making this malignancy the eighth most common and sixth most deadly type of cancer (Parkin et al, 2005). It is primarily composed of two histologic types, squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) and adenocarcinoma (ADC), each apparently having a distinct aetiology (Holmes and Vaughan, 2007). A more in-depth analysis by race and sex may suggest avenues to elucidate their potential causal mechanisms.

RESULTS: Total oesophageal cancer incidence has been increasing among Whites only; the rates among all other race groups have declined. Moreover, rates among White men surpassed those among Blacks in 2004. Oesophageal SCC rates have been decreasing among virtually all racial/ethnic groups; rates among Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander men have been intermediate to those of Blacks and Whites, with rates among women being lower than those among Blacks or Whites. The ADC rates among Hispanic men may be rising, akin to the historical trends among Whites and Blacks. The sex ratios for these cancers also varied markedly.

CONCLUSIONS: These observations may provide clues for aetiological research. The expanded race variable is only available in the SEER 13 registries database (Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program, 2007a). The expanded race variable is only available in the SEER 13 registries database (Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program, 2007b). Thus, we extracted data for Whites (non-Hispanics only), Hispanics (Whites only), Blacks, American Indians/Alaskan Natives, and Asians/Pacific Islanders from the November 2007 submission of the SEER 9 registries database (Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program, 2007a). The expanded race variable is only available in the SEER 13 registries database (Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program, 2007b). Thus, we extracted data for Whites (non-Hispanics only), Hispanics (Whites only), Blacks, American Indians/Alaskan Natives, and Asians/Pacific Islanders from the November 2007 submission of the SEER 13 registries database (Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program, 2007a). The expanded race variable is only available in the SEER 13 registries database (Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program, 2007b). Thus, we extracted data for Whites (non-Hispanics only), Hispanics (Whites only), Blacks, American Indians/Alaskan Natives, and Asians/Pacific Islanders from the November 2007 submission of the SEER 13 registries database (Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program, 2007a). The expanded race variable is only available in the SEER 13 registries database (Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program, 2007b). Thus, we extracted data for Whites (non-Hispanics only), Hispanics (Whites only), Blacks, American Indians/Alaskan Natives, and Asians/Pacific Islanders from the November 2007 submission of the SEER 13 registries database (Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program, 2007a).

METHODS: Previous studies using the United States National Cancer Institute’s Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) cancer registry programme reported marked increases in oesophageal ADC, especially among White men, in contrast to decreases in SCC especially among Black men (Blot et al, 1991, 1993; Devesa et al, 1998; Brown and Devesa, 2002; Brown et al, 2008). Although several recent studies have used the SEER and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s National Program of Cancer Registries (NPCR) databases to expand these analyses, these have been based on shorter time periods and/or have focused on only certain racial/ethnic groups (Kubo and Corley, 2004; Wu et al, 2006, 2007; Mittal et al, 2008; Trivers et al, 2008). Therefore, we conducted a detailed descriptive analysis of SEER oesophageal cancer incidence patterns by race/ethnicity, sex, and histologic type for the years 1977/1992–2005.
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for graphing, using OriginLab Corp (2007) with an aspect ratio of 40 years to one logarithmic cycle, such that a slope of 10 degrees portrays a change of 1% per year (Devesa et al, 1995).

**Results**

During 1977–2005, more than 27,000 primary invasive oesophageal cancers were diagnosed among Whites (22,704) and Blacks (5,003) in SEER 9 registries (Table 1). Rates among Black men and women were double (15.8 and 4.7 per 100,000 person-years, respectively) of those among White men and women (7.1 and 2.0, respectively). Rates among men were about triple of those among women. Squamous cell carcinoma accounted for 87% of all oesophageal cancer in Blacks but only for 45% in Whites. The SCC rate among Black men was four times that among White men (13.6 vs 2.7, respectively). Conversely, the male ADC rate among Whites was five times that among Blacks (3.7 vs 0.8, respectively). The Black/White racial patterns were similar in SEER 13 (1992–2005) and SEER 9 (1977–2005), although the SCC rates were lower and the ADC rates were higher in the latter amalgamated period.

During 1992–2005, Hispanics, compared with Whites, had lower total oesophagus, SCC, and ADC rates, except for SCC among men (2.6 vs 2.1). Despite the relatively small numbers, male rates among American Indians/Alaska Natives were intermediate compared with those of Whites and Blacks for total oesophageal cancer, SCC, and ADC; rates among female American Indians/Alaska Natives were similar to those of Whites, although based on much lower

**Table 1** Oesophageal cancer count, incidence, and male-to-female incidence rate ratio with 95% confidence intervals by histologic type, sex, and racial/ethnic group (SEER 9 and SEER 13)

|                      | Men                                | Women                               | MF IRR                  | 95% CI      |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
|                      | Count | Rate 95% CI | Count | Rate 95% CI | MF IRR 95% CI | 95% CI |
| **Squamous cell carcinoma** |        |            |        |            |              |        |
| SEER 9 1977–2005     |        |            |        |            |              |        |
| White                | 6386   | 2.7 (2.6–2.8) | 3825   | 1.2 (1.2–1.3) | 2.18 (2.09–2.27) |        |
| Black                | 3138   | 13.6 (13.1–14.1) | 1220   | 4.1 (3.6–4.3) | 3.35 (3.13–3.59) |        |
| SEER 13 1992–2005    |        |            |        |            |              |        |
| White                | 3567   | 2.1 (2.0–2.2) | 2389   | 1.1 (1.0–1.1) | 1.95 (1.85–2.06) |        |
| Non-Hispanic (Whites)| 3096   | 2.1 (2.0–2.1) | 2249   | 1.1 (1.1–1.2) | 1.80 (1.71–1.90) |        |
| Hispanic             | 471    | 2.6 (2.3–2.8) | 140    | 0.6 (0.5–0.7) | 4.30 (3.54–5.26) |        |
| Black                | 1675   | 9.4 (9.0–9.9) | 780    | 3.3 (3.0–3.5) | 2.89 (2.65–3.15) |        |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 51  | 4.4 (3.2–5.8) | 15     | 1.1 (0.6–1.8) | 4.04 (2.23–7.85) |        |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 769  | 3.7 (3.4–4.0) | 313    | 0.8 (0.7–0.9) | 4.47 (3.81–5.23) |        |
| **Adenocarcinoma**   |        |            |        |            |              |        |
| SEER 9 1977–2005     |        |            |        |            |              |        |
| White                | 8614   | 3.7 (3.6–3.7) | 1460   | 0.5 (0.4–0.5) | 7.82 (7.39–8.27) |        |
| Black                | 169    | 0.8 (0.6–0.9) | 52     | 0.2 (0.1–0.2) | 4.30 (3.12–6.05) |        |
| SEER 13 1992–2005    |        |            |        |            |              |        |
| White                | 8158   | 4.8 (4.6–4.9) | 1400   | 0.6 (0.6–0.7) | 7.65 (7.22–8.10) |        |
| Non-Hispanic (Whites)| 7643   | 5.0 (4.9–5.1) | 1318   | 0.7 (0.6–0.7) | 7.63 (7.19–8.11) |        |
| Hispanic             | 515    | 2.8 (2.6–3.1) | 82     | 0.4 (0.3–0.5) | 7.64 (6.02–9.84) |        |
| Black                | 175    | 1.0 (0.8–1.2) | 64     | 0.3 (0.2–0.3) | 3.64 (2.71–4.94) |        |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 30 | 2.6 (1.3–3.8) | 11     | 0.8 (0.4–1.5) | 3.18 (1.55–7.17) |        |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 179  | 0.8 (0.7–1.0) | 49     | 0.2 (0.1–0.3) | 4.42 (3.20–6.21) |        |
| **Other and not specified** |        |            |        |            |              |        |
| SEER 9 1977–2005     |        |            |        |            |              |        |
| White                | 1574   | 0.7 (0.7–0.7) | 845    | 0.3 (0.2–0.3) | 2.69 (2.47–2.93) |        |
| Black                | 287    | 1.4 (1.2–1.6) | 137    | 0.5 (0.4–0.6) | 2.87 (2.32–3.56) |        |
| SEER 13 1992–2005    |        |            |        |            |              |        |
| White                | 1069   | 0.7 (0.6–0.7) | 581    | 0.2 (0.2–0.3) | 2.63 (2.37–2.92) |        |
| Non-Hispanic (Whites)| 973    | 0.7 (0.6–0.7) | 546    | 0.3 (0.2–0.3) | 2.57 (2.31–2.86) |        |
| Hispanic             | 96     | 0.6 (0.5–0.7) | 35     | 0.2 (0.1–0.2) | 3.58 (2.39–5.50) |        |
| Black                | 165    | 1.0 (0.9–1.2) | 90     | 0.4 (0.3–0.5) | 2.63 (2.01–3.46) |        |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 12 | 1.2 (0.6–2.0) | 11     | 0.8 (0.4–1.5) | 3.18 (1.55–7.17) |        |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 76   | 0.4 (0.3–0.5) | 22     | 0.1 (0.1–0.2) | 4.39 (2.70–7.45) |        |

MF IRR = male-to-female incidence rate ratio; CI = confidence interval. *SEER-13 CHSDA (Contract Health Service Delivery Areas) counties. Rates are per 100,000 person-years, age-adjusted using US 2000 standard population. ‘Whites’ refers to the rates for total Whites extracted from SEER 9. ‘Hispanics’ refers to the rates for Hispanics (Whites only) extracted from SEER 13. ~, Rate and IRR not shown because there are fewer than 10 cases.
numbers of cases. Asians/Pacific Islanders had low overall and ADC rates among both men and women, and low SCC rates among women. The SCC rate among men, however, was higher than that among Whites and Hispanics, but still considerably lower than that among Blacks.

In the SEER 13 data (1992–2005), the MF IRR for total oesophageal cancer ranged from 2.9 among Blacks to around 4 for Whites, American Indians/Alaska Natives, and Asians/Pacific Islanders, and to 5.3 among Hispanics (Table 1). The MF IRRs for SCC were lower, ranging from 1.8 among Whites to 2.9 among Blacks and > 4 among Hispanics, American Indians/Alaska Natives, and Asians/Pacific Islanders. In contrast, the MF IRRs for ADC were all > 3 and exceeded 7 among both Whites and Hispanics.

Among men, total oesophageal cancer rates have been decreasing among Blacks since the mid-1980s and rising consistently among Whites, such that the Black–White IRR declined from 3.8 during the late 1970s to 1.1 during 2002–05 (Figure 1). In fact, the overall rates among Whites have been higher since 2004, with rates of 9.1 and 8.3 per 100 000 person-years in 2004–05 compared with 8.8 and 7.9 among Blacks (data not tabulated). Overall rates have also been declining among Hispanics and Asians/Pacific Islanders. Rates among Whites (non-Hispanics) were virtually identical to those among total Whites and those among American Indians/Alaska Natives were based on small numbers; thus, neither race/ethnicity is shown in the figure.

Among women, overall rates among Blacks have also been declining, although less rapidly than among men. Conversely, rates among White women have remained relatively stable, but among Hispanics and Asians/Pacific Islanders, rates were considerably lower and did not change significantly.

The rates of SCC have been declining for several decades among Blacks, Whites, and Hispanics of both sexes; only the rates among Asians/Pacific Islanders did not decrease notably. Among Asians/Pacific Islanders and Hispanics, they were consistently higher than those among Whites for men and lower for women. In contrast to the notable declines in SCC, ADC rates rose markedly, especially among Whites of both sexes and to a lesser extent among Blacks and Hispanic men.

Overall, cases with histologic type 'Other and not specified' accounted for 14% or less of all oesophageal cancer, and rates generally declined with time in most groups. It is unlikely that improved histologic specificity contributed meaningfully to the observed upward trends in ADC or dampened the decline in SCC.

**DISCUSSION**

Tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption are major known risk factors for oesophageal SCC in the United States (Freedman et al., 2007a). Historically, a higher proportion of Black men had a smoking habit relative to Whites (National Center for Health Statistics, 2007), and this may partly explain the racial disparity in SCC rates. Conversely, the proportion of Black and White women who smoke has not markedly differed, yet the rate of SCC among Black women was thrice that among White women. In addition, the proportion of US Asian men who smoke tobacco has been much lower than that of White men, although the SCC rate for...
Asian/Pacific Islander men was much higher. Alcohol consumption is much higher in Whites than in Blacks (National Center for Health Statistics, 2007), which is the reverse of their respective SCC incidence. Moreover, the proportion of Asian men who drink alcohol is equivalent to that of Blacks, yet Asian/Pacific Islander men rates are between those of White and Black men. These alcohol and tobacco exposures may indicate that other variables that mediate exposure dose (e.g., intensity, duration, passive smoking, and alcohol concentration) and racial/ethnic_sex differences in metabolic response may also contribute to the variation in SCC incidence. Conversely, exposure and outcome do not necessarily correlate, given the complexity of pathogenesis and masking by competing risk factors.

Additional risk factors for obesity are body mass index (BMI) (Vaughan et al, 1995; Smith et al, 2008) and consumption of fruits and vegetables (Bozetti et al, 2000; Freedman et al, 2007b), both of which share an inverse relationship with this malignancy. Although a higher proportion of White men are overweight or obese, relative to Black men, the reverse is true for women (National Center for Health Statistics, 2007), which does not correspond to observed SCC incidence patterns. NHANES data suggest that between 1971 and 2002, fruit intake was similar for Whites and Blacks, whereas vegetable intake was slightly higher among Whites (Kant et al, 2007), a modest dissimilarity that is unlikely to explain the highly disparate SCC rates.

The major known risk factors for ADC are Barrett’s oesophagus (Cook et al, 2007), gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (Lagergren et al, 1999), and overweight and obesity (Hampel et al, 2005). Recent data from two US studies (Corley et al, 2009; Wang et al, 2009) showed similar orders and relative incidences of Barrett’s oesophagus among racial/ethnic groups to those of the most recent period of ADC incidence data presented in this study. The incidence of Barrett’s oesophagus, meanwhile, seems to have been stable in the United States (Cameron et al, 1990; Macdonald et al, 1997; Conio et al, 2001; Corley et al, 2009), which is in marked contrast to ADC (Figure 1). It has been reported that the proportion presenting with reflux as an indication for endoscopy is highest in Whites, followed by Hispanics and then Blacks (Abrams et al, 2008), which is parallel to the incidence order of Barrett’s oesophagus and ADC. In addition, evidence suggests that although Whites, Blacks, and Asians report a similar prevalence of reflux and obesity, the proportion presenting with reflux as an indication for endoscopy is highest in Whites, followed by Hispanics and then Blacks (Abrams et al, 2008). Recent data from two US studies (Corley et al, 2009; Wang et al, 2009) showed similar orders and relative incidences of Barrett’s oesophagus among racial/ethnic groups to those of the most recent period of ADC incidence data presented in this study. The incidence of Barrett’s oesophagus, meanwhile, seems to have been stable in the United States (Cameron et al, 1990; Macdonald et al, 1997; Conio et al, 2001; Corley et al, 2009), which is in marked contrast to ADC (Figure 1). It has been reported that the proportion presenting with reflux as an indication for endoscopy is highest in Whites, followed by Hispanics and then Blacks (Abrams et al, 2008), which is parallel to the incidence order of Barrett’s oesophagus and ADC. In addition, evidence suggests that although Whites, Blacks, and Asians report a similar prevalence of reflux and obesity, the proportion presenting with reflux as an indication for endoscopy is highest in Whites, followed by Hispanics and then Blacks (Abrams et al, 2008).
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