Doxycycline Promotes Carcinogenesis & Metastasis via Chronic Inflammatory Pathway: An In Vivo Approach
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Abstract

Background
Doxycycline (DOX) exhibits anti-inflammatory, anti-tumor, and pro-apoptotic activity and is being tested in clinical trials as a chemotherapeutic agent for several cancers, including colon cancer.

Materials & Methods
In the current study, the chemotherapeutic activity of doxycycline was tested in a rat model of colon carcinogenesis, induced by colon specific cancer promoter, 1,2, dimethylhydrazine (DMH) as well as study the effect of DOX-alone on a separate group of rats.

Results
Doxycycline administration in DMH-treated rats (DMH-DOX) unexpectedly increased tumor multiplicity, stimulated progression of colonic tumor growth from adenomas to carcinomas and revealed metastasis in small intestine as determined by macroscopic and histopathological analysis. DOX-alone treatment showed markedly enhanced chronic inflammation and reactive hyperplasia, which was dependent upon the dose of doxycycline administered. Moreover, immunohistochemical analysis revealed evidence of inflammation and anti-apoptotic action of DOX by deregulation of various biomarkers.

Conclusion
These results suggest that doxycycline caused chronic inflammation in colon, small intestine injury, enhanced the efficacy of DMH in tumor progression and provided a mechanistic link between doxycycline-induced chronic inflammation and tumorigenesis. Ongoing studies thus may need to focus on the molecular mechanisms of doxycycline action, which lead to its inflammatory and tumorigenic effects.
Introduction
Colon cancer is the third most common cancer worldwide, which is responsible for about 10% of total cancer related mortality [1]. Despite decades of research and the current development in cancer therapy, the 5-year survival rate for colon cancer at distant stage is about 13% [1,2]. Thus, the present scenario of the colon cancer indicates that alternative approaches to control this malignant neoplastic disease, are critically required.

Doxycycline (DOX), an analogue of tetracycline derived from oxytetracycline or methacycline, has been widely used in the treatment of several infectious diseases with tolerable side effects [3]. Doxycycline, a potent non-specific inhibitor of MMPs, exhibits cytotoxic, anti-inflammatory, anti-invasive, anti-proliferative, anti-angiogenic, and pro-apoptotic properties in a variety of cancers [4–6]. A number of in vitro studies using colon cancer cell lines have revealed that doxycycline exerts potent chemotherapeutic activity, alone and in combination with other therapeutic compounds [7,8]. Also, it has been reported that doxycycline administration decreases the expression and transcriptional activity of certain oncogenes in colorectal cancer [9]. However, additional investigations are required to evaluate the role of doxycycline and its underlying mechanisms in this disease, especially under in vivo conditions.

Animal models of colon carcinogenesis provide tools for appraisal of the efficacy and toxicity of chemotherapeutic agents, and also allow for the evaluation of various biomarkers, that can be used as clinical endpoints for therapeutic interventions and gain insight into mechanisms whereby novel agents may act, or as predictions of anticancer activity. Therefore, the present study was initiated to determine the potential chemotherapeutic activity of doxycycline in rats subjected to DMH induced colon carcinogenesis. Interestingly, it was observed that treatment with DMH followed by DOX unexpectedly promoted the colon cancer progression and metastasis, thereby indicating that DOX treatment not only failed to suppress DMH induced colonic lesions, but in turn accelerated progression of molecular events leading to colon carcinogenesis. Moreover, normal rats treated with DOX-alone showed increased evidence of chronic inflammation to reactive hyperplasia. We also observed changes in the expression of various biomarker proteins involved in the different stages of colon carcinogenesis to substantiate the carcinogenic potential of doxycycline treatment.

Materials and Methods
Ethics statement
All the animal procedures were performed in accordance with ethical guidelines for care and use of laboratory animals of the National Institutes of Health. The study was approved by Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC), PGIMER vide Reference No. 50/IAEC/247. All efforts were made to minimize suffering, animals received proper veterinary care, and all the animals were survived during the entire course of the study, so euthanization was not required.

Animals
Healthy male Sprague Dawley rats with body weight ranging from 180 to 200g were divided into four groups of 24 rats in each group. Group I (Normal Controls) received weekly subcutaneous (s.c.) injections of normal saline, while Group II rats were injected with 1, 2 dimethylhydrazine (DMH) (s.c) (Sigma) at a dosage of 30mg/kg body weight [10,11], weekly for 10 weeks (Adenoma) and 20 weeks (Carcinoma). DMH was freshly prepared in normal saline, pH adjusted to 7.0 using dilute NaOH solution. Group III animals were administered DMH for 10 weeks followed by Doxycycline treatment intraperitoneally (i.p) at a dose of 10mg/kg (DMH-DOX (10mg/kg)) or 20mg/kg body weight (DMH-DOX (20mg/kg)) [12,13] per day for
15 days. Animals in Group IV were administered Doxycycline alone (i.p) with different doses comprising 5mg/kg (DOX (5mg/kg)), 10mg/kg (DOX (10mg/kg)) and 20mg/kg body weight (DOX (20mg/kg)) per day for 15 days. Doxycycline (DOX) (Doxycycline hyclate D9891, Lot#BCBD5187V) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA and was freshly prepared in normal saline, pH adjusted to 7.0 using dilute NaOH solution.

Tumor Analysis
Tumor multiplicity was calculated as number of tumors per group/number of rats per group. Tumor growth was monitored by measuring the tumor length (L) and width (W) using calipers and tumor area was calculated using the formula: \( A = (L \times W) \). Ellipsoid tumor volume was calculated as length × width²× \( \pi \) /6 [14].

Histology
Tissues from normal as well as tumorous regions were isolated and fixed in 10% paraformaldehyde/PBS solution, embedded in paraffin and sectioned at 4 \( \mu \)m. Paraffin sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin for routine histological analysis as described by Fischer et al. (2008) [15]. Severity of inflammation was scored on a 0–4 scale (0, normal mucosa; 1, minimal inflammation; 2, mild inflammation; 3, moderate inflammation; and 4, severe inflammation) as described by Wu et al. (2009) [16].

Immunohistochemistry
Tissues in paraffin block were sectioned at 4\( \mu \)m thickness and were processed for immunostaining. Sections were incubated with primary antibodies diluted (1:100) in 2.5% normal horse serum (NHS; Vector Labs) overnight at 4°C, then stained with biotin-conjugated secondary antibodies followed by incubation with peroxidase-streptavidin complex using Vectastain® Universal Quick Kit (Vector Labs). Immunostaining was performed using 3, 3’-diaminobenzidine (DAB; Sigma) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sections were counterstained with haematoxylin for 1 min, mounted, and coverslip was permanently added for light microscopy. IHC scoring was done by using IHC profiler, an open source plugin, as described by Varghese et al. (2014) [17]. The score was assigned in a four tier system (0–3) viz. high positive (3+), positive (2+), low positive (1+) and negative (0).

Statistical Methods
Statistical analysis between the groups was done by ANOVA. Values with \( P < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant.

Results
Induction of colon carcinogenesis by DMH and enhancement of its action by Doxycycline treatment
In the present study, animals injected with DMH usually developed diarrhea after 4–5 weeks, with resolution of the symptoms after 10 and 20 weeks of treatment. A steady increase in the body weights of rats following DMH-10 weeks and DMH-DOX treatments were observed as compared to normal control (Tables 1 and 2). However, a rapid decrease in body weights was observed after 10 weeks till 20 weeks of DMH treatment as compared to control group (Table 3). At 10 weeks, DMH treated rats exhibited a pronounced increase in colonic thickness, vascular congestion, and visible polypoid lesions, whereas multiple tumors were grossly observed at 20 weeks of treatment interval (Fig 1A). Since doxycycline treatment is reported to
regress tumor progression in experimental studies, we further evaluated the effectiveness of DOX as a chemotherapeutic agent in DMH induced colon carcinogenesis. Surprisingly, it was noticed that treatment of rats with DMH-DOX (10mg/kg) promoted the colonic tumor growth, whereas DMH-DOX (20mg/kg) treated rats showed a marked increased tumor growth in colons (Fig 1A) as well as rapidly stimulated metastasis in small intestine as compared to DMH-alone treated rats (Fig 1B). Further, all the DMH-DOX treated rats showed visible skin abscesses at injection site (Fig 1C). Due to these unusual skin abscesses at the injection site of DMH-DOX treated rats, we cross-checked these results by switching doxycycline injection from intraperitoneally (i.p.) to subcutaneously (s.c.) injection mode in different group of animals. This switching was done to check, whether these abscesses were due to experimental error, mode of injection or due to the adverse effect of doxycycline. Interestingly, we found same results relating to skin abscesses upon subcutaneous injections of doxycycline treatment in all the animals. Therefore, these findings confirmed that the observed changes were not an experimental error, but were due to doxycycline treatment. Since, DMH was also given

Table 1. Effect of DMH treatment for 10 weeks on body weights of rats.

| Weeks | Normal Control-10 wks | DMH-10 wks |
|-------|-----------------------|------------|
| 0     | 196.8±5.2             | 198.5±6.45 |
| 2     | 203.12±12.9           | 234.44±15  |
| 4     | 224.75±21.5           | 258±13.8   |
| 6     | 228.62±4.06           | 277.88±7.69³a|
| 8     | 240.62±4.41           | 303.44±7.54³a|
| 10    | 250.62±3.71           | 313.05±1.49³a|

Weight in grams
Data are presented as Mean ± SD
n = 6 for normal control group and n = 12 for treatment group
* p<0.05
³ p<0.01
a vs control
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151539.t001

Table 2. Effect of 10 weeks DMH treatment followed by DOX for 15 days on body weights of rats.

| Weeks | Normal Control-12 wks | DMH-DOX (10mg/kg) | DMH-DOX (20mg/kg) |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 0     | 198.33±2.51           | 198.66±3.05       | 197±2.64          |
| 2     | 208±16.49             | 187.37±10.6       | 194.93±8.57       |
| 4     | 233.16±27.57          | 225.93±17.9       | 235.68±17         |
| 6     | 236.16±5.89           | 273.56±6.09       | 278.93±9.98       |
| 8     | 250.16±7.3            | 315.43±7.51³a     | 318.43±4.5²a      |
| 10    | 260.83±4              | 320.43±8.22³a     | 328±4.59³a        |
| 12    | 269.83±3.06           | 323.18±4.86³a     | 343.93±1.14³a     |

Weight in grams
Data are presented as Mean ± SD
n = 6 for normal control group and n = 12 for treatment group
* p<0.05
³ p<0.01
² p<0.001
a vs control
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151539.t002
subcutaneously, but we failed to find any abscesses in DMH treated rats throughout the study (Fig 1C). With these unusual findings observed in rats treated with DMH for 10 weeks followed by doxycycline treatment for 15 days, these experimental animals could not be continued for 20 weeks of DMH treatment due to substantial damage to colons.

Comparing with DMH-alone, treatment with DMH followed by DOX, resulted in a statistically significant increase in tumor multiplicity, as assessed by counting the number of visible surface tumors (Fig 1D). Also, we calculated the tumor growth and observed an increase in average tumor area and tumor volume in all the groups studied (Fig 1D). Histopathology of 10 weeks DMH-treated colons confirmed villous adenoma by an increase in inflammation, hyperplasia and villi-form transformation of the mucosa, whereas adenocarcinoma was confirmed by the presence of dysplastic crypts, nuclear atypia as well as gastrointestinal intraepithelial neoplasia (GIN) foci after 20 weeks treatment as compared to the normal colonic mucosa. Nonetheless, treatment of rats with DMH-DOX (10mg/kg) and DMH-DOX (20mg/kg) showed large lymphoid follicle with the presence of germinal centers, loss of goblet cells and crypts loss as compared to normal colon which conserved their normal mucosal architecture (Fig 1E). Likewise, small intestine of DMH-DOX (20mg/kg) treated rats showed the presence of adenocarcinoma in the course of nuclear expansion, nuclear atypia and lymphoid hyperplasia as compared to DMH-alone treated rats (Fig 1F).

**Induction of chronic inflammation in colon and small intestine by DOX treatment**

After observing an increase in primary tumor burden and metastatic spread by combined action of DMH and DOX, we studied the effect of DOX treatment alone on colon and small intestine in rats. For this purpose, doxycycline was administered in different doses (5,10,20 mg/kg bw) to a separate group of the animals. Macroscopically, DOX (5mg/kg) treated rats

| Weeks | Normal Control-20 wks | DMH-20 wks |
|-------|-------------------------|------------|
| 0     | 198.4±3.84              | 198±6.97   |
| 2     | 199.6±0.84              | 201±10.32  |
| 4     | 208.4±5.37              | 227.3±5.09 |
| 6     | 207.7±2.12              | 242.46±5.44<sup>a</sup> |
| 8     | 226.1±3.53              | 253.45±1.48<sup>a</sup> |
| 10    | 242.3±2.4               | 248.2±7.77 |
| 12    | 266.3±14.28             | 260.5±2.47 |
| 14    | 292.4±10.46             | 262.05±4.17<sup>a</sup> |
| 16    | 317.9±2.96              | 266.35±2.61<sup>a</sup> |
| 18    | 326.1±4.1               | 290.4±3.25<sup>a</sup> |
| 20    | 344±5.93                | 291.55±2.75<sup>a</sup> |

Weight in grams
Data are presented as Mean ± SD
n = 6 for normal control group and n = 12 for treatment group
<sup>a</sup> p<0.05
<sup>y</sup> p<0.01
<sup>z</sup> p<0.001
<sup>a</sup> vs control

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151539.t003
showed a marked increase in inflammation and vascular congestion of colon, whereas DOX (10mg/kg) and DOX (20mg/kg) treated rats showed colonic thickness, polypoid lesions and dullness of serosal aspect. Besides colon, all the rats treated with different doses of doxycycline developed numerous polyps on small intestine during the experimental period (Fig 2A). Photomicrographs of representative colonic sections demonstrated mild inflammation in DOX (5mg/kg) treated rats, whereas a dense inflammation in overlying mucosa with loss of crypts, reactive hyperplasia and mild dysplasia was observed in DOX (10mg/kg) and DOX (20mg/kg) treated rats. However, small intestinal sections of DOX (5mg/kg) treated rats showed inflammatory cell infiltration on mucosal layer, whereas DOX (10mg/kg) and DOX (20mg/kg) treated rats exhibited an expansion of peyer’s patches with chronic inflammation extending into the mucosa and sub-mucosa as well as increased mitotic figures, reactive hyperplasia and inflammatory atypia (Fig 2B). Inflammation scored on a 0–4 scale in colon and small intestine of all DOX treated rats. The results are shown in Table 4 and Fig 2C and 2D.

Doxycycline upregulates the expression of NF-κB, MMP-9 & VEGF, but downregulates p53, cytochrome-c, caspase-3 & caspase-9 expression

The expression of NF-κB p65 protein in DMH-treated rats, DMH-DOX-treated rats and DOX-treated rats was augmented in comparison to normal rats. An increased NF-κB p65 protein expression was observed mainly in the nuclei of epithelial cells in treated animals, whereas, more cytoplasmic staining and weak nuclear staining was observed in normal animals (Fig 3). In addition, IHC analyses also indicated that relative to controls, treatment with DMH-alone and DMH-DOX resulted in the up-regulation of MMP-9 and VEGF expression, and a mild
increase in DOX-treated rats (Figs 4 and 5). An augmented MMP-9 and VEGF expression was observed in the cytoplasm and membrane of epithelial cells in treated animals as compared to normal controls. Further, as shown in Fig 6, the expression of tumor suppressor protein p53 was predominantly nuclear, although some cells showed cytoplasmic expression in epithelium of normal or DOX-treated rats. However, an increase in cytoplasmic immunoreactivity and weak nuclear staining was observed in DMH-treated or DMH-DOX-treated rats. Apoptotic proteins viz. cytochrome-c, caspase-3 and caspase-9 were down-regulated in DMH-treated or DMH-DOX-treated rats as compared to DOX-treated or normal controls. The expression of these proteins was observed in cytoplasm only (Figs 7–9). Immunohistochemical staining of these proteins was scored on a 0–3 scale in all the groups as shown in Table 5.

**Table 4. Inflammation score of DOX treated rats.**

|                | Inflammation Score (0–4 Scale) |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| **Colon**      |                                 |
| Control        | 0                               |
| DOX-5          | 0 (0–1)                         |
| DOX-10         | 3                               |
| DOX-20         | 3+ (3–4)                        |
| **Small Intestine** |                                 |
| Control        | 0                               |
| DOX-5          | 1 (0–1)                         |
| DOX-10         | 3 (2–3)                         |
| DOX-20         | 3+ (3–4)                        |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151539.t004
Discussion

Doxycycline is the most widely used tetracycline that has been prescribed for years for various infections. Recently, several studies have shown its chemotherapeutic potency against several types of cancers, including colorectal cancer [7,8]. In the current study, we have developed an animal model by administration of known colon cancer promoter (DMH) for 10 and 20 weeks, resulted in the development of adenomas and adenocarcinomas respectively. DMH is a highly specific colon carcinogen, which is metabolized in the liver by a series of reactions through cytochrome P450 (P450) enzymes. Azomethane, AOM and methylazoxymethanol (MAM) are identified as the metabolites of DMH which are eventually converted into highly reactive
methyldiazonium ion, that forms colonic DNA adducts and initiate the process of tumorige-

sis [18,19].

Also, we observed the effects of DOX in DMH treated rats, so as to determine its effects on
tumor regression in the early stages of colon carcinoma. But to our surprise, administration of
DOX to DMH treated animals, promoted colon cancer progression followed by metastasis
rather than tumor suppression. The present findings are in contrast to a couple of reports pub-
lished in the literature, which described the anti-proliferative, cytotoxic and apoptotic nature of
doxycycline [4–9]. Due to its antibiotic nature, doxycycline has been used in clinical medicine
for several skin infections such as rashes, acne, abscesses, and lyme disease [3]. However, in the
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methyldiazonium ion, that forms colonic DNA adducts and initiate the process of tumorigene-

sis [18,19].

Also, we observed the effects of DOX in DMH treated rats, so as to determine its effects on
tumor regression in the early stages of colon carcinoma. But to our surprise, administration of
DOX to DMH treated animals, promoted colon cancer progression followed by metastasis
rather than tumor suppression. The present findings are in contrast to a couple of reports pub-
lished in the literature, which described the anti-proliferative, cytotoxic and apoptotic nature of
doxycycline [4–9]. Due to its antibiotic nature, doxycycline has been used in clinical medicine
for several skin infections such as rashes, acne, abscesses, and lyme disease [3]. However, in the
present study, unusual skin abscesses were observed at the injection site of DMH-DOX-treated rats which reflects the toxic effect of doxycycline. It was seen that treatment with DMH-DOX (10 mg/kg) and DMH-DOX (20 mg/kg) caused an increased in tumor multiplicity, tumor size and apparent shift from adenoma to carcinoma stage followed by metastasis (determined by histopathology). In contrast to these findings, Duivenvoorden et al. (2002) [5] demonstrated that doxycycline treatment decreased total tumor burden in bone metastasis model of human breast cancer with 70% reduction. The observed differences in the mode of action of DOX in the two studies may be due to different carcinoma models employed in these studies.

Fig 7. Immunohistochemistry for colonic and small intestinal tissues from normal control, DMH-alone, DMH-DOX and DOX-alone-treated rats. Immunostaining with anti-cyt-c. The signal for protein is represented by brown color due to DAB and blue signal due to hematoxylin counterstain. Magnification ×200; (n = 24).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151539.g007

Fig 8. Immunohistochemistry for colonic and small intestinal tissues from normal control, DMH-alone, DMH-DOX and DOX-alone-treated rats. Immunostaining with anti-caspase-3. The signal for protein is represented by brown color due to DAB and blue signal due to hematoxylin counterstain. Magnification ×200; (n = 24).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151539.g008
Further, the effect of different doses of DOX-alone on colon and small intestine revealed distinctly increased inflammation, benign polypoid lesions in colonic sections and numerous small benign lesions on small intestine. It is likely that doxycycline treatment can cause

Table 5. Immunohistochemical score of normal, DMH, DMH-DOX and DOX-treated rats.

|                      | NF-κB (Nuclear) | MMP-9 (Cytoplasmic) | VEGF (Cytoplasmic) | p53 (Nuclear) | Cyt-c (Cytoplasmic) | Caspase-3 (Cytoplasmic) | Caspase-9 (Cytoplasmic) |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Normal Control       | (1–2)+          | 1+                  | 1+                 | 3+            | 2+                 | 2+                     | 2+                     |
| DMH-10 wks (Colon)   | 3+              | 2+                  | 2+                 | 2+            | (1–2)+             | 1+                     | (0–1)+                 |
| DMH-20 wks (Colon)   | 2+              | 2+                  | 2+                 | (1–2)+        | 1+                 | (0–1)+                 | (0–1)+                 |
| DMH-DOX (10mg/kg) (Colon) | 2+         | 2+                  | 2+                 | 1+            | (0–1)+             | (1–2)+                 | 1+                     |
| DMH-DOX (20mg/kg) (Colon) | 2+         | 2+                  | (2–3)+             | 1+            | 0                  | 1+                     | (0–1)+                 |
| DMH-DOX (20mg/kg) (Small intestine) | 3+     | 2+                  | 2+                 | (1–2)+        | 1+                 | 1+                     | 0                      |
| Normal Control       | 1+              | 0                   | (1–2)+             | 2+            | 2+                 | 2+                     | 2+                     |
| DOX (5mg/kg) (Colon) | 2+              | 1+                  | 2+                 | 2+            | 1+                 | 2+                     | 1+                     |
| DOX (5mg/kg) (Small intestine) | 2+       | 1+                  | 2+                 | 1+            | 2+                 | 1+                     | 1+                     |
| DOX (10mg/kg) (Colon) | 2+              | 1+                  | 2+                 | 2+            | (1–2)+             | 2+                     | 1+                     |
| DOX (10mg/kg) (Small intestine) | 3+     | 1+                  | 2+                 | 2+            | 1+                 | 2+                     | 1+                     |
| DOX (20mg/kg) (Colon) | 2+              | 2+                  | 2+                 | 3+            | 1+                 | (1–2)+                 | (0–1)+                 |
| DOX (20mg/kg) (Small intestine) | 2+       | 2+                  | (2–3)+             | (2–3)+        | 1+                 | 1+                     | 1+                     |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151539.t005

Fig 9. Immunohistochemistry for colonic and small intestinal tissues from normal control, DMH-alone, DMH-DOX and DOX-alone-treated rats. Immunostaining with anti-caspase-9. The signal for protein is represented by brown color due to DAB and blue signal due to hematoxylin counterstain. Magnification ×200; (n = 24).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151539.g009

Further, the effect of different doses of DOX-alone on colon and small intestine revealed distinctly increased inflammation, benign polypoid lesions in colonic sections and numerous small benign lesions on small intestine. It is likely that doxycycline treatment can cause...
inflammation and lesions on other organs as reported by others [20, 21], however, to our knowledge, no study has reported the effect of doxycycline on colonic lesions under in vivo conditions.

The present results apparently demonstrated that administration of DMH followed by DOX caused additive or interactive effects by increasing either tumor multiplicity or tumor progression, thus suggesting that doxycycline enhances the effectiveness of DMH. Also, doxycycline treatment caused a more severe inflammatory response, which suggests that chronic inflammation becomes a major cofactor in the pathogenesis of cancer in the rats. The underlying reason for the contrary results in present study may be because of chronic inflammation induced by doxycycline which further would lead to enhanced efficacy of the carcinogen. Besides the above mentioned mechanism, the loss of commensal bacteria might be one of the possible mechanisms of doxycycline regulation, and can be explored by comparing with other non-tetracycline antibiotic. However, in the present study, our main focus was on the effect of doxycycline and its mechanism of action, so we did not compare its action with other non-tetracycline antibiotics but further studies are required to explore this point.

The protein expression of various biomarkers such as NF-κB, MMP-9, VEGF, p53, cyt-c, caspase-3 and caspase-9 related to colon carcinogenesis, indicated augmented expression of NF-κB in DMH-treated rats as well as DMH-DOX and DOX-treated rats compared to controls. These results are supported by earlier reports [22] which demonstrated that activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), a proinflammatory transcription factor may have a promoting role in cancer development. It is evident that NF-κB gets activated through IL-1β/TNF dependent manner and its expression is dependent on the activation of NF-κB, which contributes to inflammation process [23, 24]. Activated NF-κB further regulates various inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, TNF and IFNγ that contribute to the pathogenesis of chronic inflammation and the development of CRC [22–25]. Moreover, NF-κB is known for its direct binding to other transcription factor such as STAT3 and its interaction promotes the development and progression of colon, gastric and liver cancers by regulating a number of cytokines and chemokines. It is also a well-known fact that STAT activation is generally mediated by members of the JAK family of tyrosine-kinases via stimulation of NF-κB regulated genes such as IL-6, IL-10 and IFNγ which are produced by tumor cells in an autocrine manner [26–29]. Similarly, Fujioka et al. (2004) [30] have shown that doxycycline strongly activates NF-κB through generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in human pancreatic tumor cell line. Earlier, reports suggested that ROS generation is triggered by activation of tumour necrosis factor receptor (TNFR), which stimulates the phosphorylation of IκB, a NF-κB inhibitor via oxidative inhibition of a phosphatase and leads to the proteasomal degradation of IκB, thereby resulting in the nuclear translocation of NF-κB [31, 32]. Recently, Wang et al. (2015) [33] indicated that ROS mediated NF-κB activation promotes tumor growth and metastasis by up-regulating NF-κB target genes (VEGF and MCP-1) in hepatocellular carcinoma.

Though, the levels of TNF and ROS were not investigated in the present study, but the possible mechanism for NF-κB activation and increased expression by DMH and DOX is presumably due to ROS generation or via TNF-α-induced IKK-β-dependent NF-κB-activation pathway in rats.

MMPs are involved in cancer biology for over 40 years, and it is believed that MMPs promote the degradation of extracellular matrix (ECM) that leads to the cancer cell invasion and metastasis [34]. MMP-9 plays an important role in tumor angiogenesis by modulating the bioavailability of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a tumor angiogenesis marker and a promising therapeutic target [35]. Several studies have shown the MMP-9 inhibition by doxycycline, so, before analyzing the expression of MMP-9 in the current study, we assumed that MMP-9 would be inhibited or reduced by doxycycline which could lead to the increased
angiogenesis and tumor growth. The ground behind these assumptions were paradoxical effect of MMP-9 which demonstrated that reduction of plasma levels of MMP-9 leads to decreased angiostatin synthesis and subsequent increased tumor growth and vascularization [36,37]. However, we found increased expression of MMP-9 in DMH or DMH-DOX-treated, and mild up-regulation in DOX-treated rats. These findings are contradictory to those reported by Dur- sun et al. (2001) [38], suggesting that an anti-tumorigenic role of doxycycline by inhibition of MMP-9. Akkaya et al. (2009) [39] have reported regression of endometriosis in rats by DOX induced MMPs inhibition, Onoda et al. (2004) [8] also described an anti-invasive effects of DOX with attenuated MMP expression and its activity in colon cancer cell line. The possible reason underlying these contradictory results may be the NF-κB dependent regulation of metstatic pathway by activation of MMPs, resulted in NF-κB mediated metastasis as reported by Nakanishi et al. (2005) [40].

Further, up-regulation of VEGF in DMH or DMH-DOX-treated rats, and slight increase in DOX-treated animals was observed. Angiogenesis is essential for tumor progression and is dependent upon several signaling pathways, with greater importance of the VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor) pathway [41]. Although an increased expression of VEGF in doxycycline treated group was observed, but some studies have reported that doxycycline prevents VEGF-induced vascular permeability, and inhibit angiogenesis in both humans and animal models [42]. The underlying basis of these contradictory findings might be the augmentation of MMP-9 activity, as shown by Bergers et al. (2000) [35]. It is also likely that VEGF and MMPs were up-regulated by NF-κB-dependent manner, resulting in tumor angiogenesis and metastasis [43].

The down-regulation of p53 expression in DMH or DMH-DOX-treated rats as compared to DOX-treated or control rats was also observed. The tumor suppressor protein p53 (TP53) regulates cell cycle, genomic stability, apoptosis, inhibits angiogenesis and is mutated at high frequency in human cancers [44]. Recently, our group has reported p53 mutations in CRC samples from human subjects, which suggests the poor survival of patients in Indian population [45]. Common mutant p53 (mutp53) directly enhance cancer progression and development through gain-of-function (GOF) mechanisms [44,46]. Also, mutp53 has been reported to induce constitutive NF-κB activation by TNF-α, while activated NF-κB inhibits p53-dependent transactivation. This is consistent with a mutual repression mechanism and could contribute to chronic inflammation and inflammation-associated colorectal cancer [46,47].

Doxycycline has cytotoxic actions and apoptotic effects in multiple carcinomas [4–6]. In colon cancer cell lines, doxycycline increases the level of cytosolic cytochrome-c and triggers caspase-3 and caspase-9 activity which in turns leads to the caspase-dependent apoptosis [6]. For this, we investigated the apoptotic activity of doxycycline, but observed reduced expression of cytochrome-c, caspase-3 and caspase-9 in DMH-alone and DMH-DOX-treated rats as compared to DOX-treated and control rats which shown the anti-apoptotic activity of doxycycline. The probable factor behind these findings might be the NF-κB promoted cell survival through expression of anti-apoptotic genes that block the caspase cascade [48] or anti-apoptotic members of the Bcl family that act at the mitochondrial level and inhibit cytochrome c release which could be involved in apoptosis [49].

To date, several in vitro studies have reported the apoptotic nature of doxycycline in various cancers including colon cancer, but none of the study could be validated under in vivo conditions of colon cancer. The present study demonstrated the anti-apoptotic activity of doxycycline under in vivo conditions. Earlier, two in vivo studies in breast cancer reported the anti-tumor effects of doxycycline by suppression of MMPs activity and inhibition of tumor growth. Duivenvoorden. (2002) [50] reported the attenuated MMPs activity in breast cancer by administration of doxycycline in time release pellets, and demonstrates its benefit when administered
from the time of the development of the tumor. In another study, [51] athymic mouse xeno-graft model of breast cancer was used which received doxycycline in drinking water and inhibits gelatinolytic activity and cell proliferation. However, in the current study, we injected doxycycline intraperitoneally after the development of adenoma in animal model of colon carcinoma and analysed its invasive activity by observation of augmented MMP-9 levels. Being a contrary finding from earlier reports, we have repeated our study to confirm these findings and the results were consistent as before.

In conclusion, present findings suggest that doxycycline treatment may cause chronic inflammation in the rat colon, small intestinal injury and enhances effectiveness of DMH by causing multiple tumors at an early stage (adenoma) of colon carcinogenesis. Nevertheless, NF-κB is a key regulator of inflammation, which may precede tumor initiation process followed by tumor promotion and progression [22], is implicated in this phenomenon.

We propose that doxycycline causes chronic inflammation by activation of NF-κB, which further regulates MMP-9, VEGF, p53, cytochrome c and caspasess (Fig 10). Although we demonstrated translational expression of above biomarkers, but further studies are required to explore molecular mechanism(s) of doxycycline-induced inflammatory process resulting in carcinogenesis, and understanding the function of NF-κB in context of inflammation induced tumorigenesis.

**Acknowledgments**

We would like to thank Mr Sunil Kumar for helping to carry out the animal work and Ms Rekha verli for her technical assistance. We also acknowledge Dr. Veena Dhawanfor giving us access to use the facilities of her laboratory.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: SM DKD NN. Performed the experiments: NN. Analyzed the data: NN AB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SM DKD. Wrote the paper: NN DKD SM AM.

**Fig 10.** Hypothetical model demonstrates the role of doxycycline induced chronic inflammation drive carcinogénesis by activation of NF-κB pathway.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151539.g010
References

1. American Cancer Society. Colorectal Cancer Facts & Figures 2014–2016. Atlanta: American Cancer Society, 2014.
2. Siegel R, Desantis C, Jemal A. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin. 2014; 64:104–17. doi: 10.3322/caac.21220 PMID: 24639052
3. Sagar J. Doxycycline in clinical Medicine. Clin Med Insights Ther. 2010; 2:133–136.
4. Mouratidis PXE, Colston KW, Dalgleish AG. Doxycycline induces caspase-dependent apoptosis in human pancreatic cancer cells. Int J Cancer. 2006; 120:743–752.
5. Duivenvoorden WCM, Vukmirovic-Popovic S, Kalina M, Seidlitz E, Singh G. Effect of zoledronic acid on the doxycycline-induced decrease in tumour burden in a bone metastasis model of human breast cancer. Br J Cancer. 2007; 96:1526–1531. PMID: 17437017
6. Onoda T, Ono T, Dhar DK, Yamanoi A, Nagasue N. Tetracycline analogues (doxycycline and COL-3) induce caspase-dependent and -independent apoptosis in human colon cancer cells. Int J Cancer. 2006; 118:1309–1315. PMID: 16152604
7. Sagar J, Sales K, Taanman JW, Dijk S, Winslet M. Lowering the apoptotic threshold in colorectal cancer cells by targeting mitochondria. Cancer Cell Int. 2010; 10:31. doi: 10.1186/1475-2867-10-31 PMID: 20819205
8. Onoda T, Ono T, Dhar DK, Yamanoi A, Fuji T, Nagasue N. Doxycycline inhibits cell proliferation and invasive potential: Combination therapy with cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor in human colorectal cancer cells. J Lab Clin Med. 2004; 143:207–216. PMID: 15085079
9. Veeramachaneni NK, Lin L, Pippin JA, Winslow ER, Drebin JA. Doxycycline and indomethacin synergistically down-regulate beta-catenin signaling and inhibit colon cancer cell growth. J Surgical Res. 2003; 114:251–2.
10. Soler AP, Miller RD, Laughlin KV, Carp NZ, Klurfeld DM, Mullin JM. Increased tight junctional permeability is associated with the development of colon cancer. Carcinogenesis. 1999; 20:1425–1431. PMID: 10426787
11. Chadha VD, Vaiphei K, Dhawan DK. Zinc mediated normalization of histoarchitecture and antioxidant status offers protection against initiation of experimental carcinogenesis. Mol Cell Biochem. 2007; 304:101–8. PMID: 17530192
12. Kovács Z, Kékesi KA, Baracskay P, Juhász G, Czurkó A. Doxycycline could aggravate the absence-like epileptic seizures of WAG/Rij rats via matrix metalloproteinase inhibition. Neurochem Int. 2011; 59:563–6. doi: 10.1016/j.neuint.2011.06.016 PMID: 21740942
13. Sun B, Zhang S, Zhang D, Yin X, Wang S, Gu Y, et al. Doxycycline influences microcirculation patterns in B16 melanoma. Exp Biol Med (Maywood). 2007; 232:1300–7.
14. Pettan-Brewer C, Morton J, Cullen S, Enns L, Kehrli KR, Sidorova J, et al. Tumor growth is suppressed in mice expressing a truncated XRCC1 protein. Am J Cancer Res. 2012; 2:168–77. PMID: 22432057
15. Fischer AH, Jacobson KA, Rose J, Zeller R. Hematoxylin and eosin staining of tissue and cell sections. CSH Protoc. 2008; 3(5).
16. Wu S, Rhee KJ, Ablesiano E, Rabibazadeh S, Wu X, Yen HR, et al. A human colonic commensal promotes colon tumorigenesis via activation of T helper type 17 T cell responses. Nat Med. 2009; 15:1016–22. doi: 10.1038/nm.2015 PMID: 19701202
17. Varghese F, Bukhari AB, Malhotra R, De A. IHC Profiler: An Open Source Plugin for the Quantitative Evaluation and Automated Scoring of Immunohistochemistry Images of Human Tissue Samples. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9:e96801 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096801 PMID: 24802416
18. Megaraj V, Ding X, Fang C, Kovalchuk N, Zhu Y, Zhang QY. Role of hepatic and intestinal p450 enzymes in the metabolic activation of the colon carcinogen azoxymethane in mice. Chem Res Toxicol. 2014; 27:656–62. doi: 10.1021/tr4004769 PMID: 24552495
19. Fiala ES, Sohn OS, Puz C, Czerniak R. Differential effects of 4-iodopyrazole and 3-methylpyrazole on the metabolic activation of methylazoxymethanol to a DNA methylating species by rat liver and rat colon mucosa in vivo. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 1987; 113:145–50. PMID: 358452
20. Dance-Barnes ST, Kock ND, Moore JE, Lin EY, Mosley LJ, D’Agostino RB Jr, et al. Lung tumor promotion by curcumin. Carcinogenesis. 2009; 30:1016–23. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgp082 PMID: 19359593
21. Tahan V, Sayarak H, Bayar N, Erer B, Tahan G, Dane F. Doxycycline-induced ulceration mimicking esophageal cancer. Cases J. 2008; 1:144. doi: 10.1186/1757-1626-1-144 PMID: 18778470
22. Ben-Neriah Y, Karin M. Inflammation meets cancer, with NF-κB as the matchmaker. Nat Immunol. 2011; 12:715–723. doi: 10.1038/ni.2060 PMID: 21772280
23. Panico A, Maccari R, Cardile V, Avondo S, Crasci L, Ottanà R. Evaluation of the anti-inflammatory/chondroprotective activity of aldose reductase inhibitors in human chondrocyte cultures. Med Chem Commun. 2015; 6:823–830.

24. Shostak K, Chariot A. EGFR and NF-κB: partners in cancer. Trends Mol Med. 2015; 21:385–93. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2015.04.001 PMID: 25979753

25. Schmidt A, Oberle N, Krammer PH. Molecular mechanisms of treg-mediated T cell suppression. Front Immunol. 2012; 3:51. doi: 10.3389/lfirmmu.2012.00051 PMID: 22566933

26. Grivennikov SI, Karin M. Dangerous liaisons: STAT3 and NF-kappa B collaboration and crosstalk in cancer. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2010; 21:11–9. doi: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2009.11.005 PMID: 20018552

27. Walker SR, Xiang M, Frank DA. STAT3 Activity and Function in Cancer: Modulation by STAT5 and miR-146b. Cancers (Basel). 2014; 6:958–68.

28. Liu X, Ye L, Bai Y, Mojidi H, Simister NE, Zhu X. Activation of the JAK/STAT-1 signaling pathway by IFN-gamma can down-regulate functional expression of the MHC class I-related neonatal Fc receptor for IgG. J Immunol. 2008; 181:449–63. PMID: 18566411

29. Rui L, Schmitz R, Ceribelli M, Staudt LM. Malignant pirates of the immune system. Nat Immunol. 2011; 12:933–40. doi: 10.1038/ni.2094 PMID: 21934679

30. Fujioka S, Niu J, Schmidt C, Sclabas GM, Peng B, Uwagawa T, et al. NF-kappaB and AP-1 connection: mechanism of NF-kappaB-dependent regulation of AP-1 activity. Mol Cell Biol. 2004; 24:7806–19. PMID: 15314185

31. Nathan C, Cunningham-Bussel A. Beyond oxidative stress: an immunologist's guide to reactive oxygen species. Nat Rev Immunol. 2013; 13:349–61. doi: 10.1038/nri3423 PMID: 23618831

32. Morgan MJ, Liu ZG. Crosstalk of reactive oxygen species and NF-κB signaling. Cell Res. 2011; 21:103–15. doi: 10.1038/cr.2010.178 PMID: 21187859

33. Wang F, Yang JL, Yu KK, Xu M, Xu YZ, Chen L, et al. Activation of the NF-κB pathway as a mechanism of alcohol enhanced progression and metastasis of human hepatocellular carcinoma. Mol Cancer. 2015; 14:10. doi: 10.1186/s12943-014-0274-0 PMID: 25622857

34. Liotta LA, Tryggvason K, Garbisa S, Hart I, Foltz CM, Shafie S. Metastatic potential correlates with enzymatic degradation of basement membrane collagen. Nature. 1980; 284:67–68. PMID: 6243750

35. Bergers G, Brekken R, McMahon G, Vu TH, Itoh T, Tamaki K, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase-9 triggers the angiogenic switch during carcinogenesis. Nat Cell Biol. 2000; 2:737–44. PMID: 11025665

36. Pozzi A, LeVine WF, Gardner HA. Low plasma levels of matrix metalloproteinase 9 permit increased tumor angiogenesis. Oncogene. 2002; 21:272–281. PMID: 11803470

37. Chen X, Su Y, Fingleton B, Acuff H, Matrisian LM, Zent R, et al. Increased plasma MMP9 in integrin alpha1-null mice enhances lung metastasis of colon carcinoma cells. Int J Cancer. 2005; 116:52–61. PMID: 15756690

38. Dursun D, Kim MC, Solomon A, Pflugfelder SC. Treatment of recalcitrant recurrent corneal erosions with inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinase-9, doxycycline and corticosteroids. Am J Ophthalmol. 2001; 132:8–13. PMID: 11438047

39. Akkaya P, Onalan G, Haberal N, Bayraktar N, Mülhaym B, Zeyneloglu HB. Doxycycline causes regression of endometriotic implants: a rat model. Hum Reprod. 2009; 24:1900–1908. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dep106 PMID: 19401321

40. Nakano S, Toi M. Nuclear factor-kappa B inhibitors as sensitizers to anticancer drugs. Nat Rev Cancer. 2005; 5:297–309. PMID: 15803156

41. Jubb AM, Oates AJ, Holden S, Koeppen H. Predicting benefit from antiangiogenic agents in malignancy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006; 6:626–635. PMID: 16837971

42. Fainaru O, Adini I, Benny O, Bazinet L, Pravda E, D’Amato R, et al. Doxycycline causes regression of endometriotic implants: a rat model. Hum Reprod. 2009; 24:1900–1908. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dep106 PMID: 19401321

43. Karin M, Greten FR. NF-kappaB: linking inflammation and immunity to cancer development and progression. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005; 5:749–59. PMID: 16175180

44. Loughery J, Meek D. Switching on p53: an essential role for protein phosphorylation? BioDiscovery. 2013; 8:1.

45. Malhotra P, Anwar M, Nanda N, Kochhar R, Wig JD, Vaiphei K, et al. Alterations in K-ras, APC and p53-multiple genetic pathway in colorectal cancer among Indians. Tumour Biol. 2013; 34:1901–11. doi: 10.1007/s13277-013-0734-y PMID: 23526092
46. Cooks T, Pateras IS, Tarcic O, Solomon H, Schetter AJ, Wilder S, et al. Mutant p53 prolongs NF-κB activation and promotes chronic inflammation and inflammation-associated colorectal cancer. Cancer Cell. 2013; 23:634–46. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2013.03.022 PMID: 23680148

47. Webster GA, Perkins ND. Transcriptional cross talk between NF-κB and p53. Mol Cell Biol. 1999; 19:3485–3495. PMID: 10207072

48. Deveraux Q, Reed J. IAP family proteins-suppressors of apoptosis. Gene Dev. 1999; 13:239–252. PMID: 9990849

49. Karin M, Cao Y, Greten F, Li Z. NF-κB in cancer: from innocent bystander to major culprit. Nature Rev Cancer. 2002; 2:301–310.

50. Duivenvoorden WC, Popović SV, Lhoták S, Seidlitz E, Hirte HW, Tozer RG, et al. Doxycycline decreases tumor burden in a bone metastasis model of human breast cancer. Cancer Res. 2002; 62:1588–91. PMID: 11912125

51. Fife RS, Sledge GW. Effects of doxycycline on cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Adv Dent Res. 1998; 12:94–96. PMID: 9972129