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Abstract

Introduction: In the fight against the Covid-19 pandemic, medical students and residents are expected to adapt and contribute in a healthcare environment characterized by ever-changing measures and policies. The aim of this narrative review is to provide a summary of the literature that addresses the challenges of students and residents of human medicine in the first 4 months of the fight against the Covid-19 pandemic in order to identify gaps and find implications for improvement within the current situation and for potential future scenarios.

Methods: We performed a systematic literature search and content analysis (CA) of articles available in English language that address the challenges of students and residents of human medicine in the first 4 months of the fight against the Covid-19 pandemic.

Results: We retrieved 82 articles from a wide range of journals, professional backgrounds and countries. CA identified five recurring subgroup topics: "faculty preparation", "uncertainties and mental health", "clinical knowledge", "rights and obligations" and "(self-) support and supply". Within these subgroups the main concerns of (re-)deployment, interruption of training and career, safety issues, transmission of disease, and restricted social interaction were identified as potential stressors that hold a risk for fatigue, loss of morale and burnout.

Discussion: Students and residents are willing and able to participate in the fight against Covid-19 when provided with appropriate deployment, legal guidance, safety measures, clinical knowledge, thorough supervision, social integration and mental health support. Preceding interviews to decide on reasonable voluntary deployment, the use of new technology and frequent feedback communication with faculties, educators and policymakers can further help with a successful and sustainable integration of students and residents in the fight against the pandemic.

Conclusion: It is critical that faculties, educators and policymakers have a thorough understanding of the needs and concerns of medical trainees during pandemic times. Leaders should facilitate close communication with students and residents, value their intrinsic creativeness and regularly evaluate their needs in regards to deployment, knowledge aspects, safety measures, legal concerns and overall well-being.
Background
The corona virus disease 2019 (Covid-19) due to Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Corona Virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) evolved into an infectious disease of pandemic proportion [1]. Medical students and residents around the globe have been expected to adapt and contribute in a healthcare system with measures and policies changing by the hour. Reports regarding the preparation of those in training have been scarce in the early days of the pandemic and lacking overarching insights.

While there is an abundance of information for those in training from previous epidemic and pandemic scenarios [2, 3], the applicability of such information to the current pandemic is questionable. The last pandemic of similar proportions, the Spanish flu [4], occurred around the end of the life of William Osler. Modern medical education was still in its infancy and the setting, compared to today’s globalized life and available technology, differed significantly, holding only sparse implications for today’s scenario.

The aim of this narrative review is to provide a summary of the literature that addresses the challenges of students and residents of human medicine in the first 4 months of the fight against the Covid-19 pandemic in order to identify gaps and find implications for improvement within the current situation and for potential future scenarios.

Methods
We retrieved articles written in English language that described the challenges of students and residents of human medicine in the first 4 months of the fight against the Covid-19 pandemic. With Wuhan Health Authorities initially reporting the first cases of pneumonia (of then unknown etiology) to the World Health Organization (WHO) on December 31, 2019 [5], we set the timeframe for the literature search from January 1, 2020 to April 30, 2020.

The main search was performed via PubMed. Additionally, we retrieved articles via SARS-CoV2-references [6], a publicly available search engine from the University of Bern, Switzerland, originally aimed at collecting Zika-Virus articles that was adapted for the Covid-19 pandemic. The last search update was performed on May 23, 2020 to include articles published within our defined timeframe that had a delayed entrance into the aforementioned databases. We performed the search using the following MeSH terms and Boolean operators (exemplary for PubMed):

(((Covid* AND Residen* OR Covid* AND Trainee* OR Covid* AND Student* OR Covid* AND Education*) OR (Corona* AND Residen* OR Corona* AND Trainee* OR Corona* AND Student* OR Corona* AND Education*))) AND (2020/01/01:2020/04/30[edat]))

Up to May 23, 2020, we found 1108 articles using our search terms. After the elimination of inappropriate articles, we were left with 162 student- and resident-related articles. We then excluded 80 more articles that focused exclusively on curricula changes resulting from the Covid-19 pandemic (e.g. moving classes online [7]). The final pool consisted of 82 articles for review. [s. Figure 1].

The first author reviewed titles, abstracts, and full text of the articles for content analysis (CA) allocating the articles’ topics into five coded subgroups (s. Table 1) for synthesis and discussion. These subgroups were initially based on our own frontline experiences and iteratively refined in regards to insights gained from the review process:

- Faculty preparation
- Uncertainties and mental health
- Clinical knowledge
- Rights and obligations
- (Self-)support and supply

Furthermore, metadata accompanying the articles was extracted to understand the overall perception and background of the topic, including publication rate, types of articles, professional background and country of residency of the first author (s. Table 2).

Results and findings
General results and publication rate over time
Overall, 82 articles covered our topic. Of these articles, 70.7% (n = 58) articles solely and 29.3% (n = 24) articles partly (i.e., next to other topics) dealt with the challenges of students and residents of human medicine in the first 4 months of the fight against the Covid-19 pandemic. Prior to March 11, 2020, we identified only one appropriate article. From March 13, 2020 onwards, publication rate increased significantly [s. Figure 2].

Coding results
Within the retrieved articles, we identified five recurrent subgroup topics in our CA [s. Table 1].

Metadata analysis of retrieved articles
We ranked the results from metadata analysis according to professional background of the first author, country of residency of the first author and types of articles [s. Table 2].

Coded subgroups
Faculty preparation
Initial countermeasures initiated by faculties ranged from single actions to complete workplace solutions [8–17]. Several departments started working in waves of
alternating teams to avoid a complete breakdown in case of an outbreak amongst employees. While some were doing hospital service, others continued working from home, advising on inpatients remotely or doing telemedicine appointments on outpatients [13]. Further safety adaptations also included grouping of emergency department (ED) consultations from specialties whenever possible and strictly limiting the number of residents visiting Covid-19 positive patients during ward rounds [14].

To address the problem of surge capacity, students were deployed to information lines, epidemiological information services, childcare for frontline workers, laboratory work, screening clinics, contact tracing or even to the clinical setting. For the latter, considerations included everything from care of non-infected patients and low-acuity Covid-19 scenarios to introduction in ventilator therapy or nursing assistance [11, 18–24]. For clinical work, final year students were also given early registration, temporary licenses or allowed to work as physician assistants in several countries [11, 21, 23, 25–29].

With regards to clinical deployment, some individuals voiced safety concerns [30] while others considered clinically deployed students as an important measure to prevent personnel shortage [31]. Additionally, some educators view the pandemic as an opportunity to promote professional attributes such as altruism and solidarity within the profession [32, 33].

Residents from non-frontline specialties were often given the option to work, train or research from home or to apply for deployment on a voluntary basis [27, 34]. Regarding the latter, well-known concerns have been raised again, that, when compared to nurses and attendings, residents are often less likely to be trained adequately for potential mass casualties [35–37]. To assess the impact of deployment and to identify necessary actions, regular online meetings between students, residents and program directors have been initiated by faculties [12, 27, 38]. As further guidance, some departments shared their early guidelines for residency programs [39] and adapted article series from medical education journals where established to meet the unprecedented scenario [19, 40].

Uncertainties and mental health
In an early study looking at the impact of Covid-19 on students, 24.9% showed raised levels of anxiety. Namely, the stressors were related to economic struggles, impact on daily life and academic delays [41]. Furthermore, social distancing and isolation – inevitably linked to Covid-19 – are perceived as having a negative impact on well-being [42]. The disruption of training programs, reduced practical workload in a residents specialty, slowdown of research careers and non-voluntary deployment outside of one’s clinical competencies are further stressors raised to hold a risk for burnout, fatigue and loss of morale in trainees [43–46]. Residents were also concerned that the pandemic would adversely affect their completion of training and nearly half the

### Table 1 Coded subgroups

| Coded subgroup                      | No. of articles |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Faculty preparation                 | *(n = 34)*      |
| Uncertainties and mental health     | *(n = 24)*      |
| Clinical knowledge                  | *(n = 10)*      |
| Rights and obligations              | *(n = 9)*       |
| (Self-)support and supply           | *(n = 5)*       |
| **Total**                           | **82**          |
Table 2 Results of metadata analysis

| Professional background of first author | No. of articles (n = 82) | Country of residence of the first author | No. of articles (n = 82) | Type of article | No. of articles (n = 82) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Medical Student                         | (n = 10)                | USA                                      | (n = 43)                | Letter          | (n = 15)                |
| Internal Medicine                       | (n = 8)                 | UK                                       | (n = 14)                | Commentary      | (n = 14)                |
| Medical Journalist                      | (n = 8)                 | Australia                                | (n = 2)                 | Study           | (n = 12)                |
| Orthopedic Surgery                      | (n = 7)                 | Canada                                   | (n = 2)                 | News            | (n = 7)                 |
| Neurosurgery                            | (n = 5)                 | Denmark                                  | (n = 2)                 | Editorial       | (n = 5)                 |
| Radiology                               | (n = 5)                 | Iran                                     | (n = 2)                 | Perspectives    | (n = 5)                 |
| Dermatology                             | (n = 4)                 | Singapore                                | (n = 2)                 | Correspondence  | (n = 4)                 |
| Emergency Medicine                      | (n = 3)                 | South Korea                              | (n = 2)                 | Opinion         | (n = 4)                 |
| Infectious Diseases                     | (n = 3)                 | UAE                                      | (n = 2)                 | Career          | (n = 3)                 |
| Head and Neck Surgery                   | (n = 3)                 | Others                                   | (n = 11)                | Innovation      | (n = 2)                 |
| Surgery                                 | (n = 3)                 | Total (n = 82)                           |                         | Medical Education Adaptations (n = 2) |                      |
| Anesthesiology                          | (n = 2)                 |                                         |                         | Special Article (n = 2) |                      |
| Cardiology                              | (n = 2)                 |                                         |                         | Others (n = 7)  |                      |
| Psychiatry                              | (n = 2)                 |                                         |                         | Total (n = 82)   |                      |
| Psychology                              | (n = 2)                 |                                         |                         | Others (n = 7)  |                      |
| Others                                  | (n = 15)                |                                         |                         | Total (n = 82)   |                      |

Fig. 2 Number of identified articles over time
respondents reported feeling anxious about their training future [47]. Also, more than half of the residents that were non-voluntarily employed in a workplace outside their usual scope of work showed higher levels of stress [11, 16, 47], while reports indicate that voluntarily deployed residents feel well-protected and trained [48]. Additionally, due to the potential risk of transmission, residents worried about the possibility of transmitting the disease to their families and patients [11, 42, 49–56] under the reported concerns of PPE shortage, vague instructions, limited testing capacities, frequently changing policies and the danger of mental exhaustion [54, 56]. Given that junior trainees are more likely to be deployed to areas of need than seniors [57], building on the aforementioned stressors, educators have raised concerns over the acceptable level of risk and who determines this level [56].

Medical students are also at potential risk of transmitting the disease and are aware of that [20, 21, 58]. Similar to residents’ concerns, PPE shortage was also a main stressor for students [58].

Social support seems to reduce levels of stress [41] and underline that staying connected in times of social distancing is particularly important [42]. It was brought up that the social aspect of discussing concerns with peers can also reduce anxiety and stress [33]. Early countermeasures to social isolation also included having residents participate in daily informal video conferences to promote social interaction with peers while practicing social distancing [14].

**Clinical knowledge**

Healthcare workers, including residents and students, revealed a varying knowledge about the pandemic in the beginning, with most in the need of improvement [59–62] and a few in good preparation [63, 64]. A lack of knowledge pertaining to Covid-19 was identified in several areas, ranging from basic uncertainties about the virus itself to complex scenarios like cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) [56, 65] and even autopsy under infectious conditions [66]. Early approaches for knowledge improvement included senior residents establishing ‘Quick sheets’ about Covid-19. Initially set up to keep their peers up to date, these were quickly adopted by the whole department [67]. Radiologists were among the first to raise awareness for educating their trainees on the specific image findings in Covid-19 pneumonia [68]. Likewise, anesthesiologists reported early attempts to promote practical knowledge to trainees about the disease [69]. Focused training courses were established and could significantly increase knowledge about Covid-19 [70]. Here, instructor-led and video lesson based instruction on PPE showed no difference in quality [71]. Nevertheless, a major challenge surrounding the Covid-19 knowledge acquisition involved students’ and residents’ frequent use of social media as a source of information [59] with its potential risk of misinformation.

**Rights and obligations**

Amongst the main concerns were the legalities of training interruption, non-voluntary deployment and cancellation of exams with its subsequent consequences [20, 28, 53, 58, 72]. Some countries put postgraduate rotations on hold temporarily and residents remained in their current workplace with the possible exception of deployment to areas of need [73]. For undergraduate students, various organizations released statements to stop contact with patients to avoid unnecessary risks of infection. Correspondingly, students were excluded from clinical training in several countries, yet putting them into clinical practice in areas of need against Covid-19 was taken into account [28, 58, 74]. For a possible deployment to the frontline, medical associations demanded comprehensive introduction and supervision for students [25, 30, 75]. To minimize career disadvantages, several ideas were discussed, such as government-provided loan repayment or discounting on final-year tuition [76].

In Canada, though final exams were postponed, the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons affirmed that students graduating in 2020 will still be able to enter residency and to obtain a license [29]. In analogy to the acquisition of clinical knowledge the usage of social media with its potential risk of misinformation was also a complicating factor in legal orientation for students and resident, worsening insecurities [20].

**(Self-)support and supply**

Next to supportive measures aiming at clinical knowledge [67], one major topic was the self-assignment to appropriate tasks. Junior doctors began compiling support lists, with tasks that could be done from home and several universities and medical schools created groups to connect and assign students to appropriate roles within their national healthcare system and communities [28]. Also, students themselves formed response teams to connect peers to voluntary working opportunities in appropriate positions. This was done in close contact with administrations and faculties to monitor the evolving clinical needs and engage with students when necessary [77]. Furthermore, students formed various groups on social media to brainstorm ideas on how to support frontline workers in the fight against Covid-19 [21]. Practical examples also included various forms of support from childcare to meal preparation for medical professionals at the frontline [20].

Several students and residents reported that long working hours made it difficult to gather daily needed
supplies. Therefore, peers began to set up supply boxes with sanitary products to help out each other correspondingly [78].

In one article, chief residents came up with a “Five Questions for Residency Leadership” guide to help adapting programs [79] under the current pandemic and students even got involved in constructing plastic face shields for frontline clinicians [46].

Discussion

General results and publication rate over time
Publications were scarce until early March 2020. After the WHO declaration on March 11, 2020 [1], we saw a profound increase in articles. We assume that the WHO declaration marked an important step for awareness and acted as an initiation for publication on the topic. Laudably, we found most publications to be open access.

Not surprisingly, only 14.6% (n = 12) of articles were studies while the majority represented short forms of communication, reporting timely from within the scenario.

Most prolific background
Students are highly prolific in sharing their thoughts and experiences with Covid-19 and their input holds invaluable information for faculties, educators and policymakers. Furthermore, the participation of individuals with a background of internal medicine, emergency medicine, infectious disease and anesthesiology / intensive care holds invaluable implications for improvement. Even specialties who are less directly impacted by Covid-19 have also been very active. We do not only see this in the light of concern for deployment but also as an intrinsic motivation for solidarity within the profession.

Most prolific country
Given our search filter for English language, articles were strongly dominated by Anglo-Saxon countries. To broaden the insight, a deeper involvement of other countries would have been desirable. Yet, we understand that priorities and resources were focused on frontline activities over the first 4 months.

Most frequent article types
Here, short communication forms were more prevalent than studies or reviews. Due to the unprecedented situation, initial sharing of information was preferably done through quick communication forms like letters and commentaries, showing the eagerness for a rich discussion. Moreover, though the few studies we found were mostly survey-based, they must not be underestimated as they were able to deliver the first empirical information, particularly on the mindset and perception of the pandemic from students and residents.

Coded subgroups

Faculty preparation
Owing to the large number of articles in this subgroup, it is safe to say that faculties recognize the importance of an adequate preparation of the youngest in training. Safety is a crucial factor, especially when it comes to clinical deployment. Students and residents must not be put in situations exceeding their competencies, should have adequate access to PPE and optimally be deployed on a voluntary basis [28, 30, 80]. Especially first year residents in deployment or new roles within their clinic need to be supervised appropriately [14, 25]. When deployed to the frontline, an adequate preparation and training must be provided for students and residents as it is for nurses and attendings [35–37]. Residents should have the chance to reduce physical movement and handle tasks remotely whenever possible. Therefore, their preparation, education and working routine (e.g., when on duty) should also involve new technology. Telemedicine, for example, can be used to combine patient care with education of residents and students [81] and simulation, due to its potential of lowering cognitive load, might help prepare residents for frontline deployment [82].

For an appropriate deployment, interviews can help to identify volunteers with the right intentions [32] and skills [28]. Within these interviews, aptitudes and motivation can also be recognized and give applicants the chance to fill in meaningful roles.

Lastly, to avoid shortage of staff, faculties must also take the potential lack of foreign exchange residents due to travel restrictions into account [83].

Students and residents are willing to participate, and faculties, educators and policymakers should recognize their concerns as well as their ideas. Thorough safety measures and supervision are mandatory for a successful deployment of students and residents. With the warranty of such measures, the current pandemic holds an invaluable chance for a successful integration and an important contribution for the professional identity formation of the youngest in training.

Uncertainties and mental health
Stress and anxiety arising from uncertainties in the current pandemic have a negative impact on students and residents [41, 84]. And though early studies occasionally have been criticized for not considering confounding factors [85], it is undeniable that Covid-19 has an impact on trainees’ mental health that needs to be addressed thoroughly to ensure their sustained successful integration in the workforce.
Deployment is a major uncertainty for many. And while deployment can also be perceived as an opportunity of personal growth and introspection [51], there is still room for improvement by giving trainees meaningful and adequate positions in the workforce. A crucial factor on how deployment is perceived lies in the form of selection and assignment. This is of great interest as raised stress levels in presumably non-voluntary deployment and training hold a risk for burnout [47]. For an optimal assignment, confidential interviews could help to assess issues that may have a negative impact on the trainee or their family (e.g., immunosuppression) and deployment should consider such information accordingly [44]. A sufficient Covid-19 testing capacity for staff, PPE and feasible shift schedules are further viable measures to reduce exposure and prevent burnout [50].

Social support, regular meetings and good teamwork also counteract the feeling of uncertainty [27, 48] and even physical home exercise routine with results being shared online among peers may be a way to strengthen social cohesion [12]. Also, the general possibility to consult mental health support [14] and raised awareness in psychiatrist for concerns and thoughts from frontline workers [42] seem sensible for an integral support. FAQs provided by journals and societies represented another reliable form of support for trainees [86]. From an educational point of view, competency based medical education can play a meaningful role to prepare students for healthcare crisis [49].

Leaders should stay in close contact with students and residents to provide information and emotional support [53]. Transparent communication, safety measures, teamwork culture, mental health support and a solid infrastructure for communication technology to secure remote social interaction are all approaches that can be implemented to diminish uncertainties, relieve stress and make the young workforce more resilient in unprecedented times.

Clinical knowledge
The knowledge about SARS-CoV-2 and Covid-19 is steadily evolving. To keep students and residents up to date, it is necessary to provide valid knowledge using widely distributed and accessible channels. With the vast majority of trainees’ being digital natives, most acquire their knowledge via online platforms and social media [59]. Faculties, educators and journals should foster the spread of valid clinical knowledge on social media and other digital solutions (e.g. online courses, chats and video conferences) as a mainstay for knowledge transfer. Fast distribution and relative inexpensiveness make such outlets even more attractive.

In addition, focused training courses online or offline seem a viable way to increase clinical and safety knowledge about Covid-19 [70, 71]. Local solutions like the aforementioned ‘Quick sheet’ may also be a fast and cost-efficient approach to provide Covid-19 related knowledge for students and residents.

Rights and obligations
Students and residents need clear information on their rights and obligations. The beginning of the pandemic was associated with dynamic and concomitant disorientation, worsened due to unconfirmed news, primarily spread via social media. We found various transferable solutions described in the literature, such as providing guidance principles for all trainees on deployment, training disruption and its consequences outlined in useful link collections [72] and ‘frequently asked questions’ (FAQ) by journals [43, 87]. Yet, for a better dissemination and to counteract rumors, such publications should be prominently placed on social media [59]. Official authorities should strive for nation-wide consistent approaches that protect the needs of students and residents, including guidance on appropriate deployment, working conditions and academic interests [88]. Nevertheless, it should not be forgotten that despite the undisputed importance of legal aspects, there is also an ethical and moral bound to help [89].

(Self-)support and supply
The root for the extensiveness of self-supportive measures partly lies within the dynamic and unprecedentedness of the pandemic itself, where many problems were just realized on the way by those concerned. Initiatives, like supply-boxes are not only directly helpful, but also strengthened the sense of workplace community. Understandably, supply-boxes were associated with an overwhelming positive response [78] and represent an easily transferable solution. The aforementioned student response team to optimize the mobilization of peers was also a huge success and was implemented by several medical schools [77].

The general supportiveness of students and residents was known long before Covid-19. Yet, the current situation rekindled the discussion of whether we may need a longitudinal social justice and advocacy framework in medical school to prepare students even better for such worldwide events [90].

The retrieved articles clearly show that students and residents have an intrinsic ability to adapt creatively to unprecedented scenarios. The implementations of self-supporting measures strengthen the feeling of community within their peer group and hold invaluable implications for faculties, educators and policymakers.

To summarize our findings from the coded subgroups, Table 3 highlights the main concerns and possible solutions.
**Table 3** Main problems and possible solutions

| Main Problem                  | Possible Solution                                      | Frequent Feedback                                      |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Non-Voluntary Deployment      | Preceding Interviews to find Appropriate Roles         | Communication with Faculties, Educators and Policymakers |
| Interruption of Training and Career | Clear Legal Guidance and Compensation                  |                                                        |
| Safety Issues                 | Provision of Adequate Supervision, Clinical Knowledge, PPE and Testing Capacity |                                                        |
| Well-being                    | Social Integration and Provision of Mental Health Support |                                                        |

**Limitations**

This review has several limitations. As it focuses on frontline experience from the first 4 months of the pandemic, long-term insights and evidence, especially on complex educational aspects, are not covered. Furthermore, several articles in this review may hold a bias of subjectivity and overrepresented unilateral views. Nevertheless, this review compiles and depicts the early reactions in a pandemic outbreak and may therefore hold valuable insights to learn from.

Another limitation is that our review is solely based on articles written in English language and therefore may lack important content from the non-English literature. Moreover, the search restriction on articles in English language introduces a selection bias and may make certain insights not generalizable; e.g., articles, discussing rights and obligations, were mainly from the United Kingdom and may not apply to foreign legislations.

**Conclusion**

The Covid-19 pandemic is not only a challenge but also a chance to change the situation for students and residents for the better. Never has it been easier to raise awareness for trainees’ concerns. Never have the barriers been lower to implement new policies and technology to improve the transition of the young workforce into the field of medicine in times of crisis. Our review of the literature provides thorough implications for faculties, educators and policymakers. Not only to ensure surge capacity, but also to promote the safety and the professional identity formation of students and residents, it is crucial to understand their needs and concerns. Leaders should facilitate close communication with students and residents, value their intrinsic creativeness and regularly evaluate their needs in regards to deployment, knowledge aspects, safety measures, legal concerns and overall well-being.
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