Abstract  The aim of this project was to develop a methodology to introduce wireless video capsule endoscopy in preclinical research. Five mature female pigs (Sus scrofa domestica) were selected for the study. Capsule endoscopes (the EndoCapsule system; Olympus) were introduced into the duodenum endoscopically in each of the animals. The life span of batteries (i.e., total time of endoscopy recording) was 487–540 min (median 492 min). The capsule endoscope reached the cecum during enteroscopy once (after 7 h 57 min), in the remaining cases, endoscopy recordings terminated in the distal or terminal ileum. All capsule enteroscopies found a normal pattern of the small intestine. The intestinal lumen is narrower, transverse folds are sparse or even absent, villi are wider but less prominent in pigs compared to humans. Capsule endoscopy in experimental pigs will be helpful for future trials on injury of different drugs and xenobiotics to the small bowel.
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Introduction

Wireless video capsule endoscopy represents fundamental progress in non-invasive imaging of the gastrointestinal tract, particularly the small bowel. The method was introduced into clinical practice in 2001 and more than 500,000 examinations have been performed in humans worldwide up to the present time [1–5]. At a very early stage, an initial study on experimental use of capsule endoscopy in dogs was published [6]. However, other papers dealing with the use of capsule endoscopy in a different experimental setting are still missing. The aim of this project was to develop a methodology to introduce wireless video capsule endoscopy in experimental pigs. The small adult pig can be used in experiments as an omnivorous representative due to its relatively very similar gastrointestinal functions in comparison to humans [7].

Animals and Methods

Preparation for the Project

In a pilot test on experimental pigs, we proved that endoscopic insertion of video capsules into the duodenum is feasible. A transverse semi ball-shaped pyloric fold (torus...
pyloricus) was found in the porcine stomach of all animals, serving as a “gatekeeper” and thus making the endoscopic insertion technically difficult. The position of capsule endoscopes was set in three animals: in the proximal jejunum (330 cm) after 90 min, in the proximal ileum after 180 min, and in the cecum after 9 h. In another four animals, the time until natural excretion of capsule endoscopes in the feces was measured, this was 72, 48, 40, and 65 h (mean 56 ± 15 h). The length of the small intestine of 4-month-old pigs was found to be highly variable. This was measured at immediate autopsy in 13 animals, ranging from 11.2 to 16.8 m (mean 13.4 ± 1.8 m).

Methodical Design

Five mature female pigs (Sus scrofa f. domestica), hybrids of Czech White and Landrace breeds, weighing 36.6 ± 3.0 kg (4–5 months old) were entered into the study. An EndoCapsule system (Olympus Optical Co, Tokyo, Japan) was used for video capsule enteroscopies in all animals. The wireless capsule endoscope has an outer diameter of 11 mm, length of 26 mm, and weighing 3.8 g. Capsule endoscopes were introduced into the duodenum endoscopically in all animals. Endoscopy procedures were performed using video-gastroscopes GIF-Q130 (Olympus Optical Co, Tokyo, Japan) dedicated for animal use only. All endoscopies were recorded on DVD. Four capsule endoscopes were placed into the duodenum by means of a G25 retrieval basket (Sun, Vikyřovice, Czech Republic) and the remaining one using the AdvanCE delivery system (US Endoscopy, Mentor, USA).

Capsule enteroscopies were carried out in the stable phase of general anesthesia (intramuscular bolus of 20 mg/kg ketamine “Narkamon—Spofa, Praha, Czech Republic” +2 mg/kg azaperone “Stresnil—Jansen Pharmaceutics, Beerse, Belgium”) on supine position in all animals (Fig. 1). Repeated doses of 1% thiopental (Thiopental, Valeant Czech Pharma, Prague, Czech Republic) were administrated when appropriate to the lateral auricle vein. Syntostigmine (0.5 mg i.v.—Hoechst-Biotika, Martin, Slovakia) was administrated immediately after successful placement of the capsule endoscope into the duodenum (to eliminate the influence of general anesthesia on the gastrointestinal passage). Infusions of 0.9% saline solution were chosen to secure basal hydration (1,000 ml/8 h). All animals were covered with blankets to prevent hypothermia during capsule enteroscopy. The procedure was finished after discharge of the batteries.

Data obtained during capsule enteroscopy were downloaded from the recorder unit to the workstation. It contains proprietary application software “Endo Capsule Software” (Olympus Optical Co, Tokyo, Japan), which enables the smooth management of capsule endoscope examination data. All video images were evaluated by a single physician, using usually auto speed adjustment (the review speed is automatically increased when there is little movement in consecutive images) with the lower limit for 12-frames/s of review speed in most recordings.

The next morning the pigs were sacrificed by means of pharmacological euthanasia (T61, Intervet International BV, Boxmeer, the Netherlands; dose of 2 ml/kg). Immediate autopsy was performed and samples collected of the pyloric transverse fold, and specimens of gastric and intestinal segments for light microscopy.

Ethics

The project was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Animal Care Committee at the Institute of Experimental Biopharmaceutics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Protocol Number 149/2006. Animals were held and treated in accordance with the European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals Used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes [8].

Results

Capsule endoscopy was successful in all animals. Endoscopic placement of the capsule endoscope into the duodenum took 1.5 to 8 min (mean 5.5 min, median 6 min) using a basket and 42 min using a special delivery system. Endoscopic introduction of the capsule endoscope into the duodenum was difficult because of the pyloric transverse fold (see Fig. 2) that makes the pylorus relatively stenotic for endoscopic accessories. This fold (called

Fig. 1 Capsule endoscopy in an experimental pig. General view of the arrangement of investigation. The antenna system is affixed to skin of the abdomen; the data recorder is next to it.
“torus pyloricus”) is constituted by the ovoid-shaped muscularis propria and enlarged mucosa (Fig. 3).

The life span of batteries (i.e., total time of the endoscopy recording) was 487–540 min (mean 505 ± 22 min, median 492 min). The capsule endoscope reached the cecum during enteroscopy once (at 7 h 57 min), in the remaining cases, endoscopy recordings terminated in the distal or terminal ileum. Upon autopsy the next day after capsule enteroscopy, the capsule endoscopes were found in the cecum in all cases.

Total length of the entire small intestine, including the duodenum (at immediate autopsy) was 11.2–13.7 m (mean 12.2 ± 1.1; median 11.7 m). It was not possible to set the exact borderline between the jejunum and ileum either endoscopically (during capsule enteroscopy), or macroscopically (at autopsy) or histologically. Approximately half comprises the jejunum and the other half the ileum. Movement of the capsule endoscope had less regional transit abnormalities in the duodenum and jejunum as compared to the ileum. In our pilot testing in one animal before this trial, the mean speed of the capsule endoscope in the proximal and middle jejunum was 4 cm/s. In the present study, there were several periods of persistence of capsule endoscope at one location without any propulsive movement (5–60 min). A rough estimation of the approximate location of the capsule endoscope (after its introduction into the duodenum) at the time of recording was as follows: the proximal jejunum at 10 min, middle jejunum at 60 min, borderline of the jejunum and ileum at approximately 150 min, middle ileum at 270 min, distal ileum at 450 min, terminal ileum at >480 min. In case of persistence of the capsule endoscope in one place without any propulsive movement, this time is subtracted (from the time line) to estimate the approximate position of the capsule endoscope.

The quality of the small-intestinal images ranged from very good to excellent in the jejunum in all animals (Fig. 4). Due to the large volume of intestinal content in the ileum, the visibility of some ileal segments was a little bit worse. There were no technical complications (e.g., early discharge of the battery, loss of data recording etc.). All capsule enteroscopies found a normal pattern of the small intestine in all experimental animals. A larger volume of intestinal juice with a marked content of bile was constantly seen in the small intestinal lumen in all enteroscopies. The endoscopic pattern of the small bowel in experimental pigs seems to be slightly different from that seen in humans (compare Figs. 4, 5). The intestinal lumen is narrower, transverse folds are sparse or even absent, villi are wider but less prominent in experimental pigs compared to humans.

**Discussion**

The aim of this paper was to work out a methodology and to set up capsule enteroscopy in experimental pigs (as an omnivorous representative metabolically close to humans). Our study produced new data on capsule endoscopy in experimental pigs. Capsule enteroscopy was accomplished...
in all animals. Thus, this method is feasible in an experimental setting in pigs. However, it was necessary to overcome several difficulties. Flexible upper endoscopy is more demanding in pigs (compared to humans). The mean distance from incisors to the gastro-esophageal junction is about 60 cm in these pigs. The porcine stomach is pouch-shaped and gastric cardia is close to the pylorus, so the endoscopic approach to the duodenum is rather hooked. To prevent a delay due to persistence of the video capsule in the stomach, we introduced all capsules directly into the duodenum by means of a standard flexible video-gastroscope. Pigs have an epipharyngeal diverticulum so it was necessary to introduce the gastroscope with a grasped capsule very carefully so as not to lose it during insertion and to prevent aspiration of the capsule. There was a distinct difference in the time necessary for gastroscopic introduction of capsule into the duodenum, using a basket and special delivery system. There is a transverse pyloric fold (torus pyloricus) in the porcine stomach that makes the pylorus relatively stenotic for endoscopic accessories. The outer diameter of the special delivery system is 13 mm and it must be introduced in a prograde way. We succeeded only after several repeated attempts using this system. The capsule grasped into a basket was introduced sideways and proved much easier and shorter (outer diameter of the capsule is 11 mm).

The entire small intestine of a young adult pig (weighing 35 kg) measures about 12 m in length immediately after pharmacological euthanasia. This is twice as long as in an adult human (weighting 70 kg) despite the fact that the pig is an omnivore like humans. The movement of capsule endoscope was more rapid in the jejunum compared to the ileum. This fact reflects the natural motility character of the jejunum. Furthermore, syntostigmine was administrated at the beginning of the experiment to enhance the propulsive work of the small intestine and to forestall any negative effect of general anesthesia on gastrointestinal motility. Half-time of syntostigmine is only 1–2 h so it cannot assure stimulated motility during the whole investigation. IV infusions to secure proper hydration and covering animals with blankets to forestall hypothermia during capsule enteroscopy are mandatory to prevent further negative effects on the small intestinal motility.

The mean life span of the batteries used in the experiment was about 500 min. Capsule enteroscopy (similar to other methods) is not able to recognize the exact borderline between the jejunum and ileum. We have proposed a rough estimation of the approximate location of the capsule endoscope, being merely a conservative estimate. We are fully aware of the limits of this proposal (inter-individual variability in the motility pattern, absence of reliable landmarks, the cecum was reached only in one animal during capsule enteroscopy recording etc.). In clinical studies in humans (having the small bowel twice shorter than a pig), the cecum is reached; that means the entire small intestine is investigated in only about 75%. In up to 25% of cases, the batteries also run out before the capsule passes the ileo-cecal valve in humans [9].

In summary, wireless video capsule endoscopy is feasible in experimental pigs. This paper concerns methodological
preparation for further experimental studies on (1) the effect of different drugs and xenobiotics on the small intestine and (2) the mechanism of pharmacokinetics of different pharmaceutical formulations of drugs in the small bowel. Our study provided several new data, differentiating capsule endoscopy in experimental pigs from those performed in humans.
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