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Jejunum free flap in hypopharynx reconstruction: Case series
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Abstract

Background: Surgical treatment of hypopharyngeal cancers with extension to the retrocricoid region generally requires a circumferential pharyngolaryngectomy followed by a reconstruction of the removed segment of the upper digestive tract. Historically, many techniques have been used in order to achieve a safe and functional reconstruction. Jejunum interposition is generally considered the best reconstructive technique.

Methods: This study examines the details of the surgical technique, the complications, the oncological and the functional results in a series of 29 consecutive patients submitted to circumferential pharyngoesophageal resection for advanced hypopharyngeal cancer followed by reconstruction with a free flap of jejunum.

Results: Three of the transplants failed because of venous thrombosis. The overall success rate was 90%. There were no general complications. A good swallowing has been preserved in all our patients. All our patients where a phonatory prosthesis was positioned (20/29) were able to achieve speech following speech therapy and all were satisfied with their own capacity to communicate.

Conclusions: The prognosis of hypopharyngeal tumours (18–40% at 5 years) remains poor, but jejunum autografts are being shown to be an excellent choice for the reconstruction of the cervical hypopharyngo-oesophagus offering the patient fast rehabilitation and a reasonable quality of survival. Our experience confirm that this kind of reconstruction is safe with a good results in improving oncologic controls and restoring a good quality of life.

Background

Extended hypopharyngeal tumors are an aggressive disease with a poor prognosis irrespective of the therapeutic regimen instituted. The 5-year survival rate in patients with stage III and IV disease is no greater than 18–40% [1,2]. Incase of extension of retrocricoid region or the posterior wall with involvement of both piriform sinuses surgical treatment generally requires a circumferential pharyngolaryngectomy followed by a reconstruction of the removed segment of the upper digestive tract.
Historically many techniques have been used in order to achieve a safe and functional reconstruction [3–5]. Free jejunum transfer and gastric pull-up are two modalities that have become particularly accepted [6–11]. Jejunum interposition is generally considered the best reconstructive technique when the distal neoplastic margin does not macroscopically extend more than 2 cm below the inferior bond of cricoid [12–15]. This technique has gained the attention of head and neck surgeons because of its undoubted anatomical and physiological advantages, requiring the sacrifice of only a short section of bowel, which anatomically adapts well to the segment to be reconstructed and guarantees a fast functional recovery and an acceptable quality of life [12,16].

This study examines the details of the surgical technique, the complications, the oncological and the functional results in a series of 29 consecutive patients submitted to circumferential pharyngoesophageal resection for advanced hypopharyngeal cancer followed by reconstruction with a free flap of jejunum.

**Methods**

From January 1996 to December 2000, 29 patients (27 males, 2 females) aged between 45 and 73 years (mean 57.1, median 57) admitted to our department underwent circular resection of the hypopharynx and reconstruction with a revascularized free flap of jejunum. In 2 cases we performed a double flap (jejunum + pectoralis major) for oncological reasons. Of these patients, 19 had no previous treatment, 2 were treated for another head and neck tumor (1 oropharyngeal, 1 oral cavity). Of the remaining 8 patients, 4 were treated with chemo-radiotherapy treatment for laryngeal or hypopharyngeal tumors and 4 were post-operative recurrences. Of these last four patients treated for laryngeal cancer, 1 had a peristomal recurrence, 1 had recurrence at the junction between the pharyngeal mucosa and the previously transplanted pectoralis major muscle, and 2 had recurrences on the posterior wall of the neopharynx. All these 10 patients had previously radiotherapy. The histological diagnosis was squamous cell carcinoma in all cases. The patients’ TNM staging is reported in Table 1. The staging procedure included panendoscopy of the upper respiratory and digestive tracts, and CT scan and/or MRI of the neck and mediastinum. All patients were included in a protocol for the evaluation of metabolic, nutritional and cardiovascular status. The Karnofski index ranged between 90 and 100 for all the patients. A Doppler ultrasonography examination of the supra-aortic branches was carried out in all patients.

As far as concern functional results, we evaluated swallowing by a swallowing questionnaire (SQ) and voice quality (intelligibility, pleasantness and comprehension) by a computerized voice analysis (KAY CSL 4300) system. All subjects were first asked to pronounce the vowel /a/ at an intensity they were comfortable with and to hold it for an acceptable length of time. We evaluated the spectrographic features of the signal, the fundamental frequency (F0) and the parameters of waveform perturbations such as percentual jitter (%), absolute shimmer (dB) and harmonic to noise ratio (HNR). The measurements were performed on a central segment of approximatively 2 seconds of the sustained voice.

The spectrographic analysis of the italian word "aiuole" (english: flower beds), that contains all the five vowels, was then made to analyse the formants. Maximum phonation time (MPT) was calculated asking each patient to produce the vowel /a/ as long as possible at a comfortable level of intensity for three times. The best of the 3 trials was chosen.

Qualitative evaluation of speech was based on the following voice parameters: intelligibility, pleasantness and acceptability. By vocal intelligibility we mean the ability for the listener to fully understand a verbal message. The term pleasantness is used to indicate a subjective evaluation of vocal esthetics. By acceptability we mean an overall judgement taking into account both these parameters.

| Table 1: TNM classification |
|-----------------------------|
| N0 | N1 | N2a | N2b | N2c | N3 | TOTAL |
|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|-------|
| T1 | 0  |     |     |     |    |       |
| T2 | 4  | 3   | 7   |     |    |       |
| T3 | 6  | 1   |     | 7   |    |       |
| T4 | 9  | 0   | 3   | 2   | 1  | 15    |
| TOTAL | 19 | 4   | 3   | 2   | 1  | 29    |
Both evaluations were performed at three and six months postoperatively. Weight was assessed at the same intervals.

Concerning the surgical technique the operation was carried out by two surgical teams. No bowel preparation was required before surgery. The abdominal stage started once the cervical dissections were carried out. While the cervical team carried out the pharyngeal circular resection, the second team carried out a median laparotomoy and selected the section of jejunum with appropriate vasculature for the preparation of a long vascular mesenteric pedicle. In most cases the third vascular segment was the most suitable in that it is supplied by a large, constant vascular pedicle. The segment was sectioned with preservation of the remaining jejunal vascularization, using the transillumination technique to ensure vascularization of the remaining jejunum through the vascular arcades in the mesentery. Subsequently a termino-terminal anastomosis of the digestive tract was fashioned. The pedicle of the jejunal segment was not excised until the end of the resection of the tumour and the preparation of the recipient cervical vessels, preceded by the intravenous administration of 1500 IU heparin sulphate.

We normally carried out the vascular anastomoses first, in order to reduce the time that the transplant is ischemic, and normally the venous anastomosis before the arterial one. In most cases the arterial anastomosis was made with the superior thyroid artery, which is particularly suitable as far as regards size and spatial orientation. The venous anastomosis was made with the internal jugular vein or the tiro-lingual trunk. Particular care was given to positioning the loop in an isoperistaltic direction in order to facilitate the subsequent passage of food and avoid persistent dysphagia. The perfusion of the flap was assessed and confirmed by the almost immediate return of peristalsis, by the visceral secretion in the jejunum loop, by the bleeding of the segment and by the restoration of the shiny pink colour of the serosa. As a precaution a minimal jejunostomy was created in all the patients until the natural route of nutrition was restored [17]. Antibiotic prophylaxis with amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (1 g. i.v.) was started at the induction of anaesthesia and continued every four hours for 24 hours. The patients were monitored during the anaesthesia through an arterial cannula and kept warm with a thermal blanket. The analgesic therapy during the anaesthesia through an arterial cannula and started at the induction of anaesthesia and continued every four hours for 24 hours. The patients were monitored during the anaesthesia through an arterial cannula and kept warm with a thermal blanket. The analgesic therapy during the anaesthesia through an arterial cannula and was monitored and haematological and biochemical examinations were performed every 12 hours. Flap viability was monitored in 17 patients through an anterior neck window, closed on the third day; in the other 12 patients the monitoring took place via direct observation of a segment of loop positioned externally to the surgical wall and removed on the fifth post-operative day. From the third day onwards, the patients underwent daily endoscopic examination of the flap. Anticoagulation treatment with low molecular weight heparin (fraxiparin 2850 IU once a day) was started in all patients on the first day post-operatively and continued for thirty days.

Three days after surgery the patients started to swallow a vancomycin antibiotic solution (500 mg × 3 each day) in order to sterilize the mucosal sutures. A gastrografin swallow was carried out between 7–10 days post-operatively, and once dehiscence of the sutured mucosa had been excluded, oral alimentation was recommenced, first with liquids and then with semisolids for the first 15 days.

From the 15th day, a full range of food was allowed.

In 15 patients who had adjuvant radiotherapy, the gastrostomy was retained as a precaution until the end of the RT.

In 10 patients we placed a vocal prosthesis (Provox type) with a fistula at the level of tracheotomy, between the posterior wall of the trachea and the anterior wall of the jejunum. This operation was performed 6–12 months after the oncological surgery and in no case earlier than three months after the end of post-operative radiation treatment.

Results

The mean duration of the operation was 8 hours (5–12 hours). There were no surgically related post-operative deaths. Three of the transplants failed because of venous thrombosis on days 5, 7 and 30. In one case the necrotic flap diagnosis of was early enough to allow replacement of the necrotic loop with another, while in the other two cases it was necessary to reconstruct the digestive tract with a pedicled myocutaneous flap of tubulized pectoralis major muscle [12]. The overall success rate was 90%.

There were no general complications. As far as concerns complications of the transplant site, excluding the cases of necrosis of the flap, one salivary fistula developed due to infection in the neck. This was treated successfully by a covering with a pedicled flap of deltopectoralis muscle. As far as concerns the donor site, one patient had a haemorrhage at the site of the abdominal surgery which required revision (Table 2).

Functional results

Overall the nasogastric tube was removed after a mean of 15 days (range 9–150). In 25 patients was removed before the 15th day.
At three months after the operation only one patient presented difficulty to assume a solid diet and 3 a liquid diet. Six months after all the living patients were able to eat a reasonably normal diet and none of them has a gastrostomy. Also in the fifteen patients who received adjuvant radiotherapy, which was tolerated extremely well, the swallowing function had shown no impairment.

Voice quality (intelligibility, pleasantness, and comprehension) was unsatisfactory in all patients except the 10 patients where a voice prosthesis was positioned in which the functional results are excellent. There were no complications in the positioning of the prosthesis, or in its long-term functional results. No patient had post-operative complications due to the insertion of the voice button. No patient had to have the prosthesis removed during the follow-up (8 to 14 months). All the patients were able to achieve voice following the tracheojejunum puncture and were satisfied with their own capacity to communicate thank to the specific intensive rehabilitation program.

Oncological results
The surgical resection margins were uninvolved by the tumor in 28 cases (96.5%) and invaded in 1 cases (3.5%).

The neck lymphnodes were removed from 27 patients, of whom 19 had bilateral modified radical neck dissection (MRND type III), 3 selective bilateral (levels II,III,IV) and 3 monolateral MRND. In 2 patients a bilateral excision was performed, radical on one side and MRND on the other. We treated 48 necks. The other 2 patients had no treatment to the neck since this had been carried out previously. All the excised lymph nodes were examined and the histology was : pN- in 10 cases (37%), pN+ in 17 cases (63%).

The 29 patients’ follow-up has been from 6 to 37 months (mean 16 months and median 15.5; range: 6–37 months). At present 12 patients have no evidence of disease, 15 patients have died, 7 for his tumour, 6 for a second tumour, 2 for other causes, 2 are alive with second primary (oropharyngeal and lung) disease. Concerning the patients died for first tumor 3 died for lung metastases, 2 had a recurrence on T and 2 on N. (Table 3).

Discussion
The poor prognosis of hypopharyngeal cancers compels the surgeon to choose a technique which allows wide surgical resection associated with a reconstruction procedure resulting in prompt restoration of physiological functions, low morbidity and a short period of hospitalisation. Total circular pharyngolaryngectomy has certainly made some impact on the prognosis, but above all on the quality of life of these patients [15,18,19].

The surgical options for circumferential reconstruction of the hypopharynx include, besides the free flaps, myocutaneous pedicled flaps and visceral transpositions. The myocutaneous flaps have the disadvantage of being prone to local complications such as an high rate of salivary fistulae and dysphagia, difficult to treat because of the thickness of the flap [20]. Visceral transpositions have a higher perioperative morbidity and mortality [11,21].

As far as concerns the free flaps, the fascio-cutaneous ones (forearm or lateral thigh) have the advantage of avoiding abdominal surgery. However, in order to tubulize the forearm flap, a big dimension of volar surface area is needed, causing a very poor aesthetic results. The lateral thigh is not a first choice flap because is provided of a small vascular pedicles with an high thrombosis risk. In addition both flaps are prone to fistula formation because of the difficult adaptability of the mucous-cutaneous sutures [22–25].

The revascularized free flap of jejunum has been shown to be a flap with anatomo-physiological features suitable for the reconstruction of the hypopharyngo-oesophageal

### Table 2: General, local and donor site complications.

| No. of patients | %        |
|----------------|----------|
| GENERAL        |          |
| Early Flap necrosis | 2 | 10.34% |
| Salivary fistula  | 1 | 3.4%   |
| LOCAL          |          |
| Late Flap necrosis | 1 | 3.4%   |
| Neck cellulitis  | 1 | 3.4%   |
| DONOR SITE     |          |
| m Bowel haemorrhage | 1 | 3.4%   |

Early: before 15 days Late: after 16 days

### Table 3: Follow-up (6–37 months)

| No. of patients | %        |
|----------------|----------|
| NED            | 12 | 41.3% |
| AWSP           | 2  | 6.7%  |
| DOD            | 7  | 24.1% |
| DOSP           | 6  | 20.7% |
| DOC            | 2  | 6.7%  |

NED No evidence of disease AWSP Alive with secondary primary DOD Dead of disease DOSP Dead of second primary DOC Dead of other causes
tract. The advantages are: one surgical session; fast recovery of physiological alimentation; possibility of reconstructing large defects; possibility of being performed even in patients previously treated with radiotherapy; good capacity to resist possible complementary radiotherapy [26].

Our surgical technique is quite similar to that described by Julieron et al. [10] et Reece et al [27] The main difference with the first author is only the monitoring technique, in that we used an external monitor as Reece et al. and not the endoscopic vision. With this kind of surgical technique, the percentage of survival of jejunal loop flaps (90%) and of minor complications such as fistulae or skin dehiscence (1.5%) in our series is in line with that reported in the literature [10,12,13,27]. The survival of the loop was sustained even after complementary radiotherapy.

Concerning the functional results, good swallowing has been preserved in all our patients, none of whom has had to change from a normal diet. We have not recorded any problems with gastric reflux, in contrast to reports from other authors [13], perhaps because of the meticulous care taken during the extirpation to preserve the upper oesophageal sphincter and during the reconstruction to position the jejunal loop in an isoperistaltic direction [12,13,21,27]. No patient has a feeding tube and all patients are eating a reasonably normal diet with minimal dysphagia (3 patient for liquids, 1 for solids). The percentage of patients with dysphagia in our series is lower than that reported by other authors who have used revascularized skin flaps [24,25]. Only the 2 patients who had a second intention reconstruction with a flap of pectoralis major because of necrosis of the transplanted jejunal loop require periodic dilatations because of the thickness of the flap. Speech retraining after reconstruction with a jejunal loop is difficult. There are not many reports in the literature on speech restoration following reconstruction of the upper digestive tract using a free jejunal flap. This could be due either to the limited series of cases or to the unsatisfactory results, due to the lack of a muscular layer and/or of a normal motor innervation in the jejunal graft wall [15,27]. All our patients where a phonatory prosthesis was positioned (10/29) were able to achieve speech following speeching rehabilitation and all were satisfied with their own capacity to communicate. [29]

The hypopharyngeal cancer is a very poor prognosis tumor and our results are in line with the other authors where this kind of surgical technique was applied [10,13,15,28]. Our survival patient’s percentage was 48.2% and 2 patients are alive with a disease or second neoplasm after a follow up period ranging from 6 to 37 months.

Conclusions
We assume that the indications of this kind of surgical technique are very strictly. The choice of the jejenum free flap depends both on the general conditions of the patient and on the extent of the cancer. Although the prognosis of these tumours (18–40% at 5 years) remains poor, jejunum autografts are being shown to be an excellent choice for the reconstruction of the cervical hypopharyngo-oesophagus offering the patient quit rehabilitation and a reasonable quality of survival.
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