VARIABILITY OF THE SiO THERMAL LINE EMISSION TOWARD THE YOUNG L1448-mm OUTFLOW
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ABSTRACT

The detection of narrow SiO thermal emission toward young outflows has been proposed to be a signature of the magnetic precursor of C-shocks. Recent modeling of the SiO emission across C-shocks predicts variations in the SiO line intensity and line shape at the precursor and intermediate-velocity regimes in only a few years. We present high angular resolution (3′.8 × 3′.3) images of the thermal SiO $J = 2→1$ emission toward the L1448-mm outflow in two epochs (2004 November–2005 February, 2009 March–April). Several SiO condensations have appeared at intermediate velocities (20–50 km s$^{-1}$) toward the redshifted lobe of the outflow since 2005. Toward one of the condensations (clump D), systematic differences of the dirty beams between 2005 and 2009 could be responsible for the SiO variability. At higher velocities (50–80 km s$^{-1}$), SiO could also have experienced changes in its intensity. We propose that the SiO variability toward L1448-mm is due to a real SiO enhancement by young C-shocks at the internal working surface between the jet and the ambient gas. For the precursor regime (5.2–9.2 km s$^{-1}$), several narrow and faint SiO components are detected. The narrow SiO components tend to be compact, transient and show elongated (bow-shock) morphologies perpendicular to the jet. We speculate that these features are associated with the precursor of C-shocks appearing at the interface of the new SiO components seen at intermediate velocities.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Variability is a common phenomenon in the evolution of very young stars. Joy (1945) very early found that T Tauri stars have an irregular behavior in their optical emission. More recently, simultaneous X-ray and optical/near-IR variability studies have shown that a high fraction of pre-main-sequence stars are variable in X-ray and optical/near-IR emission (Stassun et al. 2006; Forbrich et al. 2007). At radio wavelengths, young stellar objects are known to show variability in their radio continuum emission in timescales of few years (Curiel et al. 2006; Pech et al. 2010). In the case of molecular line emission, only non-thermal maser emission has been found to be variable (see Reid & Moran 1981; Elitzur 1992). However, variability in the morphology, line intensity, and line profiles of thermal molecular emission still remain to be reported.

Broad SiO thermal line emission is known to be a good indicator of shocked gas in molecular outflows (Martín-Pintado et al. 1992). The SiO line profiles have typical linewidths of tens of km s$^{-1}$ and appear shifted with respect to the ambient cloud velocity. In addition to this broad emission, Lefloch et al. (1998) and Jiménez-Serra et al. (2004) reported the detection of very narrow SiO thermal lines (linewidths of ≤1 km s$^{-1}$) centered at almost ambient velocities toward the young NGC1333 and L1448-mm outflows. Toward L1448-mm, Jiménez-Serra et al. (2004) proposed that the narrow SiO lines could arise from the magnetic precursor region within very young C-shocks (Jiménez-Serra et al. 2004).

At the early stages of the C-shock propagation, the magnetic precursor of C-shocks accelerates, compresses, and heats the ion fluid before the neutral one (Draine 1980). The subsequent ion–neutral velocity drift generates enough friction to sputter dust grains (Caselli et al. 1997; Gusdorf et al. 2008), progressively enhancing the gas-phase abundances of SiO (Jiménez-Serra et al. 2008). Jiménez-Serra et al. (2009) have claimed that the SiO line profiles in young outflows should then evolve from very narrow lines arising from the magnetic precursor region to broader SiO emission generated in the intermediate- and high-velocity postshock gas. From this, variability of the narrow SiO lines and of the intermediate-velocity SiO emission should appear in only a few years (Jiménez-Serra et al. 2009).

We present interferometric images of the SiO $J = 2→1$ thermal line emission toward the L1448-mm outflow observed with the PdBI at two different epochs (2005 and 2009). These images show that several SiO condensations (named A, B, C, D, and E) have recently appeared at intermediate velocities along the direction of the redshifted lobe of the outflow since 2005. The increase in the SiO flux toward condensations A, B, and C is likely real and produced by an enhancement in the gas-phase abundance of SiO by the recent interaction of young C-shocks with the ambient gas. The variable nature of the SiO clumps D and E, however, remains unclear (Section 4.1). Our results also reveal the detection in 2009 of several new narrow SiO components that tend to be found at the interface between the SiO condensations A, B, C, D, and E and reported at intermediate velocities toward the redshifted lobe of the outflow (Section 4.2).
We speculate that the recently detected narrow SiO components are possibly associated with the magnetic precursor of C-shocks (Section 5). This is the first time that variability of molecular thermal emission is reported.

2. OBSERVATIONS

PdBI observations of the thermal SiO $\nu = 0 \ J = 2 \rightarrow 1$ line emission (at 86.846 GHz) toward the L1448-mm outflow were carried out in the B and C configurations in 2004 November–2005 February (for $\sim 15$ hr of on-source time) and in the C configuration in 2009 March–April ($\sim 9$ hr). The phase center of the images was set at $\alpha(J2000) = 03^\circ 25' 38.8", \delta(J2000) = +30^\circ 44' 05".$ The correlator setup included two different spectral resolution units (with bandwidths of 20 MHz and 80 MHz), which provided spectral resolutions of 39 kHz and 312 kHz, respectively (i.e., velocity resolutions of the 0.14 and 1.1 km s$^{-1}$ at $\sim 87$ GHz). We used 3C84 (9.5 Jy) as bandpass calibrator and MWC349 (1.2 Jy) as the flux calibrator. 0333+321 (2 Jy) and 0234+285 (2 Jy) were used as gain calibrators. Pointing and focus of the antennas was checked every 65 minutes and re-adjusted if necessary. Pointing errors were typically $\sim 2''$ (i.e., less than 4% of the field of view, FOV, of 58\arcsec at $\sim 87$ GHz) in the 2005 observations, and $\sim 2''$–4'' ($\sim 4\%$–8\% of the FOV) in the 2009 tracks. Focus corrections were $\leq 0.1$ mm in the 2005 observations and $\sim 0.05$–0.15 mm in the 2009 tracks. Data calibration was done with the GILDAS package (CLIC).7 We used the “Data Quality Assessment” option available within this software to make sure that only data with a phase noise smaller than 40\arcsec were retained for constructing the final maps.

3. UV DATA ANALYSIS AND IMAGING

Construction of the UV tables, data imaging, continuum subtraction, and cleaning were also carried out within GILDAS by using CLIC and MAPPING. Independent UV tables were generated for the 20 MHz and 80 MHz correlator units used in the observations. The UV data were resampled in velocity by imposing the same number of channels (460 and 230 for the 20 MHz and 80 MHz units, respectively), the same position for the central channel (230 for the 20 MHz unit and 115 for the 80 MHz unit), LSR velocity of the source (−5 km s$^{-1}$ in all cases), and channel spacing (39 kHz or 0.14 km s$^{-1}$ for the 20 MHz unit, and 312 kHz or 1.1 km s$^{-1}$ for the 80 MHz unit).

3.1. The 80 MHz Low Spectral Resolution Data

The SiO emission toward the L1448-mm outflow is known to be detected to velocities up to $\pm 80$ km s$^{-1}$ (Martin-Pintado et al. 1992; Jiménez-Serra et al. 2004, 2005). The velocity coverage provided by the 80 MHz correlator units was $\sim 276$ km s$^{-1}$, covering the entire SiO line profile at low spectral resolution (312 kHz or 1.1 km s$^{-1}$). The morphology of the SiO $J = 2 \rightarrow 1$ emission at intermediate (from 20 to 50 km s$^{-1}$) and high (from 50 to 80 km s$^{-1}$) velocities is extended (Guilloteau et al. 1992; Girart & Acord 2001) and therefore sensitive to variations of the UV plane coverage. In particular, since the configuration of the PdBI array in 2009 was more compact than in 2005, the 2009 observations could have been more sensitive to extended emission introducing a low-level contribution that could have mimicked a time variation in the flux and morphology of the SiO emission. To avoid this potential problem, we have homogenized the 2005 and 2009 data sets in the UV plane by selecting the visibilities common to both epochs contained within UV cells of 10 m size. We created a MAPPING procedure that set the weight of the non-overlapping visibilities to negative values so that these visibilities were flagged out and not considered in the subsequent steps for imaging. As shown below, this has the disadvantage of decreasing the sensitivity of the original observations, but guarantees that any possible variation detected in the SiO $J = 2 \rightarrow 1$ line profile toward L1448-mm is not affected by differential missing flux due to different UV sampling in the two epochs.

The continuum UV tables were generated by considering the same line-free frequency ranges in both data sets. After selecting the common visibilities in both continuum UV tables, we subtracted the continuum UV data from the line+continuum UV tables for the 2005 and 2009 epochs by using the UVSUBTRACT task within MAPPING.

The dirty images were created using the UVMAP task within MAPPING. The size of the dirty maps was 256 pixels $\times$ 256 pixels, with pixel size of $0.86' ' \times 0.86' '$. We used robust weighting to suppress the contribution from the secondary lobes of the beams. The resulting synthesized beams were very similar (see also Section 4.1). For the dirty line images, the beam sizes were of $3.80 \times 3.35$, P.A. = 179\degree for the 2005 images, and $3.87 \times 3.31$, P.A. = 157\degree for the 2009 maps. For the continuum data cubes, the synthesized beams were $3.48 \times 3.33$, P.A. = 28\degree for the 2005 epoch, and $3.44 \times 3.37$, P.A. = 129\degree for the 2009 images.

We finally cleaned the dirty images by using the Hogbom method within MAPPING. We considered for all images a circular support of 60\arcsec diameter (comparable to the FOV). The stopping criterion for the CLEAN task was met for every channel when the maximum amplitude of the absolute value of the residual image was lower than 2.5\% of the peak intensity of the dirty map. We note that this criterion is set up by default within the MAPPING software. The final analysis of the cleaned images was carried out with the new MADCUBAJ software.

An automatic data analysis procedure was applied to the UV data to calculate the visibility noise for every single channel in the UV tables. In Table 1, we show the median values obtained from the histograms of the visibility noise per channel for the 80 MHz unit tables. The noise is almost a factor of two larger in the 2005 UV data ($\sim 23$ mJy beam$^{-1}$ per 312 kHz channel) with respect to the 2009 data set ($\sim 13$ mJy beam$^{-1}$ per 312 kHz channel). Hereafter, we will use the thermal noise of the 2005 data as a reference to search for differences in the morphology and intensity of the SiO line emission at intermediate and high velocities between both epochs. We note that the thermal noise of the original 2005 and 2009 UV tables (i.e., considering the common and the non-overlapping UV data) ranged between 5 and 7 mJy beam$^{-1}$ per 312 kHz channel, i.e., factors of 2–3 better than those obtained in the common UV data tables.

---

7 See http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS

8 See http://www.damir.iem.csic.es/mediawiki-1.12.0
Masson (1986) proposed another technique to measure very small changes in the structure of very bright radio continuum sources such as the planetary nebula NGC7027. The cross-calibration method is based on the use of the closure phases and amplitudes derived from the data from epoch I to minimize the effects of calibration errors on the final subtracted map. This method, however, assumes that the source is bright enough to do self-calibration. The 3 mm continuum flux measured toward the L1448-mm source is only \( \sim 10 \) mJy, insufficient to apply this technique (see Section 4.1).

### 3.2. The 20 MHz High Spectral Resolution Data

With the high spectral resolution 20 MHz units, we imaged the very narrow SiO \( J = 2 \rightarrow 1 \) line emission found toward the L1448-mm outflow at velocities close to the ambient cloud velocity (Jiménez-Serra et al. 2004). This narrow emission is expected to be faint and, therefore, the data imaging procedure followed in Section 3.1 cannot be applied to the 20 MHz data because of the poor sensitivity achieved in the final images. We believe that differences in the UV coverage between both epochs do not represent any problem for our variability studies of the narrow SiO lines toward L1448-mm, because this emission is found to be compact and to arise from practically unresolved condensations associated with the redshifted lobe of the outflow (see Section 4.2). In any case, we only used the C configuration 2005 UV data so that the UV coverage in the 2005 images was kept as close as possible to that obtained in the 2009 observations (also carried out in C configuration).

The 2005 and 2009 data sets were subsequently homogenized by weighting the 2005 UV data (beam of \( 3^\prime \times 2^\prime.22, \text{ P.A.} = 35^\circ \)) with a Gaussian taper of \( 80 \, m \times 100 \, m \) and at a P.A. = 65°. The resulting 2005 beam was \( 5^\prime.20 \times 3^\prime.65, \text{ P.A.} = 21^\circ \), which matched the 2009 beam of \( 5^\prime.18 \times 3^\prime.69, \text{ P.A.} = 57^\circ \). The map size and pixel size used to construct the dirty images were the same as those of the 80 MHz data images. In this case, however, we used natural weighting instead of robust weighting to increase the final signal-to-noise ratio. The dirty images were cleaned in a similar fashion as the 80 MHz maps. The visibility noise per channel derived for the 20 MHz UV data sets is shown in Table 1. Like in the 80 MHz data, the noise in the 2005 observations was higher (21.8 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) per 39 kHz channel) than in 2009 (18.7 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) per 39 kHz channel). We will thus use the 2005 thermal noise level as the reference to search for variability in the narrow SiO lines toward L1448-mm.

We note that, although the noise in the high spectral resolution 20 MHz data is expected to be significantly worse than in the 80 MHz UV data, the 20 MHz data consider all visibilities available from the 2005 and 2009 observations, while the low spectral resolution 80 MHz UV files have been constructed from only UV data common to both epochs.

### 4. RESULTS

#### 4.1. Variability of the Thermal SiO Emission at the Intermediate- and High-velocity Shock Regimes

In Figure 1, we present the averaged intensity images of the SiO \( J = 2 \rightarrow 1 \) emission from 20 to 50 km s\(^{-1}\) observed...
in 2005 (left panel) and 2009 (central panel) toward the redshifted lobe of the L1448-mm outflow. For our analysis, we use averaged intensity images instead of integrated intensity maps because this will allow a simpler comparison of the data with the response of the interferometer (beam) for both epochs (see below). Contour levels are the same in both averaged intensity maps and represent the $2\sigma$, $3\sigma$, $4\sigma$, $5\sigma$, $7\sigma$, and $9\sigma$ levels with $1\sigma = 4.4$ mJy beam$^{-1}$ per channel; Table 1) divided by $\sqrt{N}$, where $N$ is the number of channels. For the velocity range from 20 to 50 km s$^{-1}$, the number of channels is $\sim 27$. As mentioned in Section 3, we use the 2005 thermal noise as a reference, since this is the largest rms noise measured in the two epochs (Table 1).

Figure 1 shows that the SiO line emission at intermediate velocities has experienced significant changes in morphology and intensity since 2005. The SiO emission in the 2005 image is clearly restricted to one condensation close to the L1448-mm protostar at offset (2, $-2$), emission peak A, which is coincident with the molecular bullet R1 (Guilloteau et al. 1992; Dutrey et al. 1997; Girart & Acord 2001). This emission is also seen in the higher excitation SiO $J = 8\rightarrow 7$ transition imaged by Hirano et al. (2010). In the 2009 map, however, SiO $J = 2\rightarrow 1$ is also detected toward three other fainter clumps (seen at the $2\sigma$–$4\sigma$ level) along the direction of the high-velocity jet. These clumps are located at offsets (3, $-9$) for B, (6, $-16$) for C, and (9, $-21$) for D, and do not seem to have any counterpart in previous observations of the SiO $J = 2\rightarrow 1$ emission carried out with the IRAM PdBI with comparable sensitivity (see Figures 2–4 in Dutrey et al. 1997). Indeed, the position–velocity diagrams of SiO obtained along the outflow (Dutrey et al. 1997) show that the region where the new B, C, and D clumps are found (from 10$^\circ$ to 30$^\circ$ south of the L1448-mm central source) was devoid of SiO emission in 1993, supporting the idea of an increase in the SiO intensity since 2005. Outside the primary beam, another very faint condensation, clump E at offset (11, $-34$), is also found along the jet, probably belonging to the same global structure of the new SiO clumps. This clump could be associated with the molecular bullet R2 already detected in the SiO maps of Dutrey et al. (1997). We note that the condensations B, C, D, and E are unresolved in the direction perpendicular to the high-velocity jet with measured sizes of $\leq 4''$. The derived deconvolved sizes are $\leq 1''$.

To show that the changes in the morphology and intensity of the SiO emission found in the 2009 images are not a consequence of the non-identical UV coverage of the 2005 and 2009 observations, in the left and middle panels of Figure 2 we present the dirty beams of these observations for the region reported in Figure 1. In the right panel of Figure 2, we also show the subtraction of the two dirty beams, $\theta_{\text{WIM}}(2005) - \theta_{\text{WIM}}(2009)$. Dashed boxes show the regions where the new SiO condensations detected at intermediate velocities are due to an increase in the SiO gas-phase abundance generated by the recent interaction of young C-shocks with the ambient gas (Section 5).

Figure 2. Comparison of the beams obtained in the 2005 and 2009 observations with the PdBI (left and middle panels), and subtraction of the 2009 beam pattern from the 2005 beam (right panel). First (solid) contour and step level correspond to 10% of the peak value of the beam. Negative (dotted) contours are $-10\%$, $-20\%$, and $-30\%$ of this maximum value. Color scale is shown on the right panel, and beam sizes are indicated at the upper part of the left and middle panels. Solid ellipse shows the primary beam (FOV $\sim 38''$). Dashed boxes (right panel) delineate the regions where variability of the SiO emission has been detected (see Figure 1).
Toward condensation D, the SiO emission reaches the 4σ detection level (i.e., 17.6 mJy beam$^{-1}$). However, the absolute value of the intensity differences between the 2005 and 2009 beams gets as large as 23 mJy beam$^{-1}$, making the variable nature of the SiO emission toward this condensation uncertain. For clump E, the intensity differences between the beams reach 27 mJy beam$^{-1}$ in absolute value toward the northwest region of box E, where no variation in the SiO emission has been detected. In the southeast region of this condensation, the beam differences are less than 3 mJy beam$^{-1}$ implying that, although faint (intensity at the 2σ level, i.e., 8.8 mJy beam$^{-1}$), the new SiO clump seen at the border of the primary beam could have also appeared recently.

The increase of the SiO emission flux at intermediate velocities in 2009 is also evident from the right panels of Figure 1, where we compare the SiO spectra averaged within condensations A, B, C, D, and E for the 2005 and 2009 observations, and smoothed to a velocity resolution of 2.2 km s$^{-1}$. The intensity of the spatially averaged SiO spectra in Figure 1 is given in units of Jy. In Table 2 (Columns 3–5), we report the SiO integrated intensity flux measured in both epochs toward A, B, C, D, and E for the 20–50 km s$^{-1}$ velocity range. In Table 2, we also include the SiO fluxes derived for the velocity ranges from $-10$ to $20$ km s$^{-1}$ and from $50$ to $80$ km s$^{-1}$. The errors in the integrated intensity flux are calculated as $\sigma_{\text{flux}} = \sigma \sqrt{\delta \nu \Delta v}$, where $\sigma$ is the rms noise level in the SiO spectra per channel (0.008 Jy and 0.006 Jy for the 2005 and 2009 SiO spectra, respectively), $\delta \nu$ is the velocity resolution (2.2 km s$^{-1}$), and $\Delta v$ is the total width considered in every velocity range (30 km s$^{-1}$). In Columns 6–8 of Table 2, we show the ratios between the 2005 and 2009 SiO fluxes, $F(2009)/F(2005)$, and in Columns 9–11, the absolute differences between these fluxes [$|F(2009) - F(2005)|$]. The uncertainties of these quantities have been estimated by propagating errors.

From Figure 1 and Table 2, we find that the SiO line profiles have experienced significant flux variations since 2005 in the 20–50 km s$^{-1}$ velocity interval. Indeed, the measured SiO flux is factors of 1.4–3 larger in 2009 for condensations A, B, C, D, and E. The absolute differences in the measured SiO flux range from 0.25 Jy km s$^{-1}$ toward E to $\sim 0.8$ Jy km s$^{-1}$ toward A, i.e., factors of $\sim 2$ larger than the estimated error of $\pm 0.12$ Jy km s$^{-1}$. Although falling outside the primary beam of our observations, the averaged SiO line profiles toward E show an evolutionary trend for SiO to show slightly brighter emission at higher velocities in 2009 than in 2005.

One may think that the increase in the SiO flux measured in 2009 with respect to 2005 could be produced by an effect of differences in the data calibration process between both epochs. To check for this potential problem, in Figure 3 we compare the images of the continuum emission at 87 GHz obtained in the 2005 and 2009 observations. If calibration effects were responsible for the SiO flux increase, they should be apparent in the continuum images since the continuum emission flux is expected to remain constant with time. From Figure 3, we find that the peak continuum flux for the 2009 epoch is weaker ($\sim 9$ mJy beam$^{-1}$) than in the 2005 observations (15 mJy beam$^{-1}$). These peak continuum fluxes are consistent with those previously measured by Guilloteau et al. (1992), also with the PdBI. Like in the SiO line images, the differences between the 2005 and 2009 beams (right panel in Figure 3) remain below ($\leq 1.4$ mJy beam$^{-1}$) the rms noise level in the images (2 mJy beam$^{-1}$ in 2005 and 1.5 mJy beam$^{-1}$ in 2009).

From all this, it is clear that the trend for the SiO line flux to increase from 2005 to 2009 is the opposite to that found for the continuum emission between both epochs, and therefore cannot be attributed to calibration effects. We note that the difference between the continuum peak fluxes measured in 2005 and 2009 ($\sim 6$ mJy beam$^{-1}$) is significantly above the rms noise level of the 2005 image, but still within 3σ.

From Table 2, we also find that the absolute differences for the measured SiO flux between 50 and 80 km s$^{-1}$ are similar to those for the velocity range from 20 to $50$ km s$^{-1}$. Indeed, small deviations in the SiO line profiles can also be seen for the 50–80 km s$^{-1}$ velocity interval (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 4, these deviations do not translate into significant variations in the morphology of the SiO emission toward clumps A and B. Toward C and D, slightly brighter SiO emission (at the $2\sigma$–3σ intensity level) is seen arising from these condensations. However, as mentioned before, the variable nature of this emission toward D is unclear, since the derived differences between the 2005 and 2009 beams are larger than 20 mJy beam$^{-1}$ (i.e., larger than the 4σ level in the 2005 and 2009 images).

We note that the SiO flux changes in the SiO emission for the intermediate- and high-velocity shock regimes toward condensations A, B, and C, and probably toward E, are likely to be real because very small deviations are found for the low-velocity regime from $-10$ to $20$ km s$^{-1}$. If these variations were produced by a low-level contribution due to small differences in the UV coverage between the 2005 and 2009 observations, they would appear more dramatically at all velocities which clearly contrasts with our results shown in Table 2.

### 4.2. Variability of the Narrow SiO Emission at the Magnetic Precursor Components

Figures 5 and 6 show the averaged intensity images of the SiO $J = 2 \rightarrow 1$ emission observed in the two epochs for four different narrow SiO velocity components detected between 4.7 km s$^{-1}$ (the ambient cloud velocity) and 10 km s$^{-1}$. These components, M1, M2, M3, and M4, have very narrow line profiles (linewidths of $\sim 0.25$–0.7 km s$^{-1}$), and are centered at radial velocities 5.2, 6.5, 7.1, and 9.2 km s$^{-1}$, respectively. The rms noise level in every image has been calculated from the thermal noise shown in Table 1 divided by $\sqrt{N}$ with N the number of channels used to generate the averaged intensity map. For the M1 and M3 components, we consider as reference the rms noise measured in the 2005 observations (i.e., 7.7 mJy beam$^{-1}$ for M1 and
Table 2
Ratios and Absolute Differences in the Measured SiO Flux Between the 2005 and 2009 Spectra

| Clump | Epoch | $F$ (Jy km s$^{-1}$) | $F(2009)/F(2005)$ | $|F(2009)−F(2005)|$ (Jy km s$^{-1}$) |
|-------|-------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|       |       | −10→20 km s$^{-1}$ | 20→50 km s$^{-1}$ | 50→80 km s$^{-1}$ | −10→20 km s$^{-1}$ | 20→50 km s$^{-1}$ | 50→80 km s$^{-1}$ |
| A     | 2005  | ≤0.2$^a$           | 1.93(7)$^b$       | 5.97(7)          | ...               | 1.40 ± 0.05      | 1.106 ± 0.015     | ...                | 0.76 ± 0.12       | 0.63 ± 0.12       |
|       | 2009  | ≤0.15              | 2.69(5)           | 6.60(5)          | ...               | 0.22 ± 0.06      | 0.44 ± 0.08       | ...                | 0.89 ± 0.10       | 0.71 ± 0.10       |
| B     | 2005  | 0.24(7)            | ≤0.2              | 3.33(7)          | ≤0.63             | ≥3.3 ± 1.1       | 1.09 ± 0.03       | ≤0.09              | 0.45 ± 0.12       | 0.29 ± 0.12       |
|       | 2009  | ≤0.15              | 0.65(5)           | 3.63(5)          | ...               | 3.1 ± 0.9        | 1.6 ± 0.2         | ...                | 0.47 ± 0.12       | 0.37 ± 0.12       |
| C     | 2005  | ≤0.2               | 0.23(7)           | 0.63(7)          | ...               | 0.83 ± 0.08      | 0.44 ± 0.09       | ...                | 0.26 ± 0.10       | 0.18 ± 0.10       |
|       | 2009  | ≤0.15              | 0.71(5)           | 1.00(5)          | ...               | 0.83 ± 0.08      | 0.44 ± 0.09       | ...                | 0.26 ± 0.10       | 0.18 ± 0.10       |
| D     | 2005  | ≤0.2               | 0.25(7)           | 0.31(7)          | ≥0.8              | 2.7 ± 0.7        | 2.6 ± 0.6         | ≤0.04              | 0.43 ± 0.12       | 0.49 ± 0.12       |
|       | 2009  | ≤0.16(5)           | 0.68(5)           | 0.80(5)          | ...               | 0.83 ± 0.08      | 0.44 ± 0.09       | ...                | 0.26 ± 0.10       | 0.18 ± 0.10       |
| E     | 2005  | ≤0.2               | ≤0.2              | ≤0.2             | ...               | ≥2.3 ± 0.8       | ≥1.9 ± 0.7        | ...                | 0.25 ± 0.12       | 0.18 ± 0.12       |
|       | 2009  | ≤0.15              | 0.44(5)           | 0.37(5)          | ...               | 0.83 ± 0.08      | 0.44 ± 0.09       | ...                | 0.26 ± 0.10       | 0.18 ± 0.10       |

Notes.

$^a$ Upper limits correspond to the 3σ$_{\text{Area}}$ level, where σ$_{\text{Area}}$ is calculated as $\sigma \sqrt{\delta v \Delta v}$ (see Section 4.1).

$^b$ Uncertainties in the SiO integrated intensity flux correspond to the σ$_{\text{Area}}$ level.
Figure 4. Same as in Figure 1, but for the velocity range from 50 to 80 km s\(^{-1}\) (see vertical dotted lines in the right panels of this figure). Contour levels in both maps are 13.2 (3\(\sigma\)), 17.6, 22, 30.8, and 39.6 mJy beam\(^{-1}\), with 1\(\sigma\) = 4.4 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) (Section 4 and Table 1). Negative contours correspond to the \(-3\sigma\) noise level (dotted contours).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 5. Averaged intensity maps of the SiO \(J = 2 \rightarrow 1\) emission observed toward L1448-mm in 2005 (left panel) and 2009 (central panel) for the magnetic precursor components at 5.2 km s\(^{-1}\) (M1; red contours) and at 6.5 km s\(^{-1}\) (M2; blue contours). These images are superimposed on the maps of the intermediate-velocity SiO emission shown in Figure 1 (gray scale and black contours). The first contour and step levels for the M1 component are 23.1 (3\(\sigma\)) and 23.1 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) in the 2005 and 2009 maps. The 1\(\sigma\) level (7.7 mJy beam\(^{-1}\)) has been calculated from the thermal noise of the 2005 data (Table 1). For the M2 component, the first contour and step levels for the 2005 image are 17.8 (2\(\sigma\)) and 8.9 mJy beam\(^{-1}\), and for the 2009 map, 15.2 (2\(\sigma\)) and 7.6 mJy beam\(^{-1}\). The individual rms for every map has been estimated from the thermal noise of Table 1. Filled star and arrows are as in Figure 1. Beams are shown at the lower left corners. Thick ellipse delineates the primary beam of the PdBI observations. Dashed boxes show the regions where the SiO spectra have been averaged (left panels). Dotted vertical lines in the spectra indicate the central radial velocities of the M1 and M2 precursor components.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

12.6 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) for M3). For the M2 and M4 components, in general fainter than the M1 and M3 narrow emission, we have used the individual rms noise level obtained in every image (for M2, 8.9 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) and 7.6 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) in the 2005 and 2009 images, respectively, and for M4, 15.4 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) and 13.2 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) in the 2005 and 2009 maps, respectively).
Figure 6. Same as in Figure 5, but for the precursor components M3, at 7.1 km s\(^{-1}\) (red contours), and M4, at 9.2 km s\(^{-1}\) (blue contours). The first contour and step levels for the M3 component are 25.2 (2\(\sigma\)) and 12.6 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) in the 2005 and 2009 maps. For the M4 component, the first contour and step levels are 30.8 (2\(\sigma\)) and 15.4 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) in the 2005 image, and 26.4 (2\(\sigma\)) and 13.2 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) for the 2009 map. Dashed boxes limit the region where we have averaged the SiO emission toward the M3 condensation. For the M4 component, we only show the SiO spectra extracted from the emission peaks of the M4 condensations (right panels). Dotted vertical lines in the SiO spectra indicate the radial central velocities for M3 and M4.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

From Figure 5, we find that the narrow SiO emission at 5.2 km s\(^{-1}\) (i.e., the M1 component) with a linewidth of only \(\sim 0.4\ \text{km s}^{-1}\) is the only component clearly detected in both epochs. This emission, previously reported by Jiménez-Serra et al. (2004) from single-dish IRAM 30 m observations, was interpreted as the signature of the magnetic precursor of young C-shocks toward the L1448-mm outflow. The M1 emission peaks at \((-2, -7)\) and, although compact, shows an elongation in the direction perpendicular to the high-velocity jet (size of \(\sim 4'' \times 6''\), 0.005 pc \(\times\) 0.007 pc). We note that this emission falls to the north of the secondary CO \(J = 3\rightarrow 2\) outflow reported by Hirano et al. (2010) and powered by the southeastern source L1448-C(S) (Jorgensen et al. 2006). In addition, the narrow SiO kinematics do not exactly match those of the CO \(J = 3 \rightarrow 2\) emission, suggesting that the M1 emission is not associated with this secondary outflow.

From the averaged SiO spectra of Figure 5 (right panels), the derived SiO integrated flux for M1 in the 2009 spectrum is slightly brighter than in the 2005 data by 20\% \(\pm\) 13\%. The error in the relative difference of the SiO flux is large. However, as shown in Section 4.1, the flux increase by 20\% should be considered as a lower limit since the continuum peak intensity in the 2009 images is weaker than in 2005. Therefore, it is possible that the narrow SiO emission arising from M1 is also variable.

In contrast with M1, the other three narrow SiO components (M2, M3, and M4) seem to be associated with transient structures that appear in the 2005 or 2009 images. For instance, for the M2 narrow emission at 6.5 km s\(^{-1}\), one condensation is found toward clump B in the 2005 map, while two new M2 condensations appear associated with clump C and with the southeast edge of condensation D. From the SiO spectra averaged over these new condensations (Figure 5), we find that the SiO line profiles seem to have evolved since 2005 because their emission peaks appear more redshifted within the shock, and their line wings are slightly brighter at velocities ranging from 7 to 10 km s\(^{-1}\). In addition, the M2 condensation toward D is particularly interesting because it also shows an elongation in the direction perpendicular to the jet, as if tracing the interface between the recently shocked gas of clumps D and E.

We note that the detection of the M2 emission components in 2009 could be a direct consequence of the higher sensitivity of the 2009 images. However, no similar structure is seen in the 2005 map above the 2\(\sigma\) level (17.8 mJy beam\(^{-1}\); left panel of Figure 5), which is above the 2\(\sigma\) noise level (of 15.2 mJy beam\(^{-1}\)) of the 2009 image. This suggests that the M2 narrow SiO lines, with linewidths of 0.7 km s\(^{-1}\), were likely not present in 2005.

The M3 and M4 narrow SiO components (see Figure 6) have linewidths of \(\sim 0.25\)–0.6 km s\(^{-1}\) and their morphology is more compact (sizes \(\lesssim 4''\)). The M3 and M4 clumps are found toward A and B, likely forming part of a larger C-shock structure (left panels of Figure 6). The M3 emission seen at the interface between clumps D and E in 2005 seems to have vanished in the 2009 image, revealing the transient nature of the precursor phenomenon. It is remarkable that the M4 clump close to the L1448-mm protostar is relatively bright (peak intensity of \(\sim 0.05\) Jy beam\(^{-1}\)) but remains unresolved in the 2009 images. This implies that the magnetic precursor region toward this component is smaller than \(\sim 3.5\) (0.004 pc).

5. ORIGIN OF THE VARIABILITY OF THE THERMAL SiO LINE EMISSION TOWARD L1448-mm

Variability of the atomic/molecular emission toward molecular outflows has usually focused on the detection of proper motions associated with the high-velocity shocked gas in these objects (Reipurth & Bally 2001; Girart & Acord 2001; McGroarty et al. 2007). However, it has usually been neglected that the moderate- and intermediate-velocity shocked gas...
generated in C-shocks could also be subject to changes in the line emission arising from these regions.

Our data show that the SiO emission at intermediate velocities toward the redshifted lobe of the L1448-mm outflow has changed in morphology, line intensity, and line shape in only 4 yr. This is particularly true for condensations A, B, and C, where their measured SiO flux increase by factors 1.4–3 cannot be attributed to systematic differences between the beams of the observations (Section 4.1). This flux increase suggests that new material has likely entered the shock, enhancing the gas-phase abundance of SiO toward these regions. The lack of detection of SiO in previous interferometric observations toward the location of the new SiO condensations (Putney et al. 1997; Jiménez-Serra et al. 2008, 2009) also favors the idea of a recent SiO enhancement toward the redshifted lobe of the L1448-mm outflow. Although not predicted by the models of Jiménez-Serra et al. (2009) for such short timescales, the SiO line profiles could also be variable at high velocities with a moderate increase in their integrated intensity flux.

The origin for such a coherent variability in the SiO emission over spatial scales of 750–1000 AU (i.e., over the 30″–40″ mapped with the PdBI toward the redshifted lobe of the L1448-mm outflow) could be related to the formation of C-shocks in the internal working surface between the high-velocity jet and the ambient material. These shocks would tend to propagate sideways and would participate in the expansion processes associated with the high-velocity jet (see, e.g., Cabrit 1995). As shown by the extended SiO and H2 shock-excited emission reported by Dutrey et al. (1997) and Neufeld et al. (2009), the L1448-mm jet has already traveled along distances larger than ≥2″, explaining the spatial coverage of at least 30″–40″ where variability of the SiO emission has been detected. In Section 4.1, we have shown that the deconvolved size of the B, C, D, and E condensations in the direction perpendicular to the high-velocity jet is ≤1″8 (i.e., 0.002 pc at a distance of 250 pc). Assuming that C-shocks generated at the working surface travel symmetrically and sideways half that distance from the jet, we estimate a propagation velocity for those C-shocks of ≤240 km s⁻¹ in the 4 yr time interval between the 2005 and 2009 observations. These velocities are consistent with those derived by Girart & Acord (2001, of few hundred km s⁻¹) from the proper motions of the SiO high-velocity bullets observed toward the redshifted lobe of the L1448-mm outflow.

We would like to stress that the presence of C-shocks with such high velocities could be possible within a scenario of a highly magnetized medium with a large preshock magnetic induction, B, transverse to the direction of the flow. Indeed, Le Bourlot et al. (2002) showed that, for large values of B (of the order of some mG), the critical velocities of C-shocks can be as high as few 100 km s⁻¹, which are similar to those derived from our observations.

The variability of the thermal SiO emission toward L1448-mm is also supported by our high spectral resolution SiO data, which show regions (the M2 precursor component) where the narrow SiO line profiles seem to have evolved since 2005. The emission peak of these lines has been redshifted within the shock and has developed slightly brighter broad SiO emission as predicted by shock models (Jiménez-Serra et al. 2009). Although the broad SiO condensation E falls outside the primary beam of our observations, this trend in the evolution of the SiO line profile since 2005 is also found toward this condensation.

The narrow SiO emission toward the M1 component also seems to have experienced a small increase in its integrated intensity flux (by 20% ± 13%). This component, previously reported from single-dish observations (Jiménez-Serra et al. 2004), is known to be correlated with an enhancement and overexcitation of the ion fluid (represented by the H13CO⁺ molecule) with respect to the neutral one (with molecules such as HN12C and H13CN; see, e.g., Jiménez-Serra et al. 2006; Roberts et al. 2010), as expected within the magnetic precursor scenario. However, although predicted by our C-shock modeling results (Jiménez-Serra et al. 2009), no significant variation in the linewidth of narrow SiO is detected for the M1 component toward the L1448-mm outflow.

The other narrow SiO components (M2, M3, and M4) are fainter and their linewidths are as narrow as ~0.25 km s⁻¹. Even in the case that no turbulence was present in the medium, these linewidths would imply a kinetic temperature of ≤60 K for the SiO gas, which could only be attained at the early precursor stage or at the evolved postshock gas (see, e.g., Flower & Pineau des Forêts 2003; Gusdorf et al. 2008). Since these components seem to be transient (Section 4.2), it is likely that they arise from the precursor region of the shock. Reflected shock waves within the postshock gas could not be responsible for the narrow SiO lines in this outflow, because their ion–neutral velocity drift never exceeds 6 km s⁻¹ (Ashmore et al. 2010). This is the lower-limit drift velocity required to sputter detectable abundances of SiO in the gas phase from the mantles of dust grains (Caselli et al. 1997; Jiménez-Serra et al. 2008, 2009).

The morphology of the M2 emitting regions appears elongated in the direction perpendicular to the high-velocity jet, resembling the bow-shock structures observed toward other jets and outflows (e.g., as in HH1-2 or Orion; Eisloffel et al. 1994; Davis et al. 2009). In addition, these regions remain practically unresolved in the direction of the jet (≤4″, 1.5–1.8 × 10¹⁶ cm; Section 4.2) and are generally found at the interface between the recently shocked condensations A, B, C, D, and E detected at intermediate velocities in the 2009 maps. This indicates that the interface/precursor regions typically have very small length scales as predicted by C-shock modeling (~3–20 × 10¹⁵ cm; Gusdorf et al. 2008; Jiménez-Serra et al. 2008). This is also consistent with the fact that the M4 narrow SiO line arises from unresolved regions (Section 4.2).

We note that, although we compare our results with those from steady-state C-shock models, recent modeling of the transient evolution of C-shocks also predicts the presence of a magnetic precursor within the physical structure of the shock, as a consequence of its propagation through a decreasing density medium such as that found in molecular outflows (Ashmore et al. 2010).

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present interferometric images of the thermal emission of the SiO J = 2→1 rotational line observed toward the L1448-mm outflow at two different epochs (2005 and 2009). The PdBI images reveal that this emission is variable in only 4 yr. Not only the morphology of the SiO emission but also its line profiles have changed significantly since 2005, with a clear increase of the SiO flux at intermediate velocities and possibly at high velocities. This suggests that new material has recently entered the shock.

For the precursor component, we have detected several narrow SiO velocity components (M1, M2, M3, and M4) with an elongated morphology in the direction perpendicular to the high-velocity jet. These features resemble those found in bow shocks. Although these components could have appeared recently, new multi-epoch and higher sensitivity interferometric images are
needed to clearly establish the variable nature of the narrow SiO emission detected toward L1448-mm.

We speculate that the variability of the thermal SiO $J = 2 \rightarrow 1$ emission observed toward the L1448-mm outflow could be explained by the time-dependent propagation of very young C-shocks (with their precursors) through the ambient molecular cloud. Monitoring of the variability of the thermal SiO emission toward young molecular outflows could be a useful tool to study the evolution of these objects and their impact on the surrounding medium.

We thank the IRAM director, P. Cox, for letting us carry out the second epoch of the PdBI SiO observations, despite the reticence of the IRAM Programme Committee. We acknowledge an anonymous referee for his/her critical reading and constructive comments that helped to largely improve the manuscript.

I.J.-S. acknowledges the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory for the support provided through an SMA fellowship.

J.M.-P. and I.J.-S. have been partially funded by MICINN grants ESP2007-65812-C02-C01 and AYA2010-21697-C05-01 and AstroMadrid (CAM S2009/ESP-1496).

Facility: IRAM:Interferometer

REFERENCES

Ashmore, M. R., Van Loo, S., Caselli, P., Falle, S. A. E. G., & Hartquist, T. W. 2010, A&A, 511, 41
Caselli, P., Hartquist, T. W., & Havnes, O. 1997, A&A, 322, 296
Curiel, S., Ho, P. T. P., Patel, N. A., et al. 2006, ApJ, 638, 878
Davis, C. J., Froebrich, D., Stanke, T., et al. 2009, A&A, 496, 153
Draine, B. T. 1980, ApJ, 241, 1021
Dutrey, A., Guilloteau, S., & Bachiller, R. 1997, A&A, 325, 758
Eislöffel, J., Mundt, R., & Bohm, K.-H. 1994, AJ, 108, 1042
Elitzur, M. 1992, ARA&A, 30, 75
Flower, D. R., & Pineau des Forêts, G. 2003, MNRAS, 343, 390
Forbrich, J., Preibisch, Th., Menten, K. M., et al. 2007, A&A, 464, 1003
Girart, J. M., & Acord, J. M. P. 2001, ApJ, 552, L63
Guilloteau, S., Bachiller, R., Fuente, A., & Lucas, R. 1992, A&A, 265, L49
Gusdorf, A., Cabrit, S., Flower, D. R., & Pineau des Forêts, G. 2008, A&A, 482, 809
Hirano, N., Ho, P. T. P., Liu, S.-Y., et al. 2010, ApJ, 717, 58
Jiménez-Serra, I., Caselli, P., Martín-Pintado, J., & Hartquist, T. 2008, A&A, 482, 549
Jiménez-Serra, I., Martín-Pintado, J., Caselli, P., Viti, S., & Rodríguez-Franco, A. 2009, ApJ, 695, 149
Jiménez-Serra, I., Martín-Pintado, J., Rodríguez-Franco, A., & Marcelino, N. 2004, ApJ, 603, L49
Joy, A. H. 1945, ApJ, 102, 168
Le Bourlot, J., Pineau des Forêts, G., Flower, D. R., & Cabrit, S. 2002, MNRAS, 332, 985
Lelech, B., Castets, A., Cernicharo, J., & Loinard, L. 1998, ApJ, 504, L109
Martín-Pintado, J., Bachiller, R., & Fuente, A. 1992, A&A, 254, 315
Masson, C. R. 1986, ApJ, 302, L27
Maury, A. J., André, Ph., Hennebelle, P., et al. 2010, A&A, 512, 40
McGroarty, F., Ray, T. P., & Froebrich, D. 2007, A&A, 467, 1197
Neufeld, D. A., Nisini, B., Giannini, T., et al. 2009, ApJ, 706, 170
Pech, G., Loinard, L., Chandler, C. J., et al. 2010, ApJ, 712, 1403
Reid, M. J., & Moran, J. M. 1981, ARA&A, 19, 231
Reipurth, B., & Bally, J. 2001, ARA&A, 39, 403
Roberts, J. F., Jiménez-Serra, I., Martín-Pintado, J., et al. 2010, A&A, 513, 64
Stassun, K. G., van den Berg, M., Feigelson, E., & Flaccomio, E. 2006, ApJ, 649, 914