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SOCS, SPRED, and NR4a: Negative regulators of cytokine signaling and transcription in immune tolerance
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Abstract: Cytokines are important intercellular communication tools for immunity. Most cytokines utilize the JAK-STAT and Ras-ERK pathways to promote gene transcription and proliferation; however, this signaling is tightly regulated. The suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) family and SPRED family are representative negative regulators of the JAK-STAT pathway and the Ras-ERK pathway, respectively. The SOCS family regulates the differentiation and function of CD4⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, and regulatory T cells, and is involved in immune tolerance, anergy, and exhaustion. SPRED family proteins have been shown to inactivate Ras by recruiting the Ras-GTPase neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) protein. Human genetic analysis has shown that SOCS family members are strongly associated with autoimmune diseases, allergies, and tumorigenesis, and SPRED1 is involved in NF1-like syndromes and tumors. We also identified the NR4a family of nuclear receptors as a key transcription factor for immune tolerance that suppresses cytokine expression and induces various immunoregulatory molecules including SOCS1.
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1. Introduction

Cytokines in the immune system are soluble molecules that mediate communication among immune cells or between immune cells and non-immune cells, often acting locally in a paracrine manner but sometimes acting systemically. Cytokines with antiviral activity are called interferons (IFNs), and factors that are involved in the proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic cells are called hematopoietic factors, including erythropoietin (EPO) and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF). Many of these receptors belong to the structurally similar “cytokine receptor superfamily”.

The importance of cytokines in the immune response is clear. Inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-6, IL-12, and IL-23 are mainly produced by macrophages and dendritic cells to promote inflammation and activate the acquired immune system. T cells of the acquired immune system include CD4⁺ helper T (Th) cells and CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells (CTLs). Th cells are called the “commanders of immunity”; they release various T-cell cytokines, IFN-γ, IL-4, and IL-17, that activate B cells, CTLs, and cells of the innate immune system. Th cells that promote immunity are called effector T cells, and are currently classified into four main subsets: Th1, Th2, Th17, and T follicular helper (Tfh). In addition, Th cell subsets contain regulatory T cells (Tregs), which suppress the immune system and produce suppressive cytokines such as IL-10 and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β. Tregs are central to “immune tolerance”, a mechanism that controls excessive immune responses to “self”. Normally, inflammatory cytokines and T cells play a pivotal role in protective immunity against pathogens and tumors. T cells are also involved in the immune tolerance that suppresses excessive immune responses and inhibits reactions against self. Disruption of these immune tolerance mechanisms leads to autoimmune and allergic diseases. Without these mechanisms, individuals could not survive because...
they cannot intake food due to strong food allergies, and the human species might disappear because fetuses, half non-self, would be miscarried as a result of immune reactions. The mechanisms of immune tolerance are classified into two categories: central immune tolerance and peripheral immune tolerance (Fig. 1A, B). Central immune tolerance is the removal of self-reactive T cells by negative selection in the thymus. Peripheral immune tolerance is mediated by regulatory T cells (Treg) (B)}, anergy (or exhaustion) (B), and AICD (clonal deletion) (B\(\textcircled{3}\)).

Tregs are characterized by the expression of the transcription factor Forkhead box P3 (Foxp3), a master transcription factor of Tregs (Fig. 1B\(\textcircled{1}\)). Tregs suppress excessive immune responses to a variety of antigens, including autoantigens, antigens from symbiotic bacteria, and exogenous antigens. Tregs respond to T cell receptor (TCR) stimulation and IL-2, but are unresponsive to external cytokines and do not produce pro-inflammatory cytokines of their own.

Anergy is a state of nonresponsiveness that occurs when T cells are stimulated by antigens without a CD28 co-stimulatory signal. T cells in anergic state are no longer responsive to antigens or cytokines (Fig. 1B\(\textcircled{2}\)). A similar state of dysfunction is called “exhaustion”, which occurs mostly in CD8\(^+\)T cells chronically exposed to antigens and inflammatory signals in chronic infections or tumors. Both anergy and exhaustion are thought to be caused by the simultaneous expression of multiple inhibitory receptors, such as PD-1, Tim3, TIGIT, CTLA4, and Lag3, which carry a tyrosine phosphatase-recruiting motif (ITIM) as well as signal repressor molecules, such as suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) and phosphatases, which render the cells unresponsive to stimuli.\(^5\)

Clonal deletion is a process in which T cells express Fas and Fas ligand (FasL) upon strong stimulation, leading to apoptosis (Fig. 1B\(\textcircled{3}\)). Thus, this process is called activation-induced cell death (AICD). Hyperactivation of T cells often causes clonal deletion, and cytokines such as IFN-\(\gamma\) play important roles in the induction of Fas and FasL.\(^7\)

Thus, the regulation of cytokine signaling that induces anergy, clonal deletion, and Tregs is essential for immune tolerance.

### 2. Signal transduction of cytokine receptors

The receptors for most cytokines activate the JAK-STAT pathway (Fig. 2A); receptors are included for immunoregulatory factors such as ILs and IFNs, hematopoietic factors such as G-CSF and EPO, and other endocrine cytokines such as Growth Hormone and Leptin.

#### 2.1. Janus kinases

Before the discovery of Janus kinases (JAKs), it was believed that Src-type tyrosine kinases were involved in cytokine receptor signaling. We reported that the EPO receptor is associated with the 130-kilodalton tyrosine phospho-
protein, pp130. Subsequently, the pp130 associated with the EPO receptor was shown to be JAK2, and it is now known that four JAK-type tyrosine kinases associate non-covalently with cytokine receptors and are activated by receptor oligomerization induced by cytokine binding.

There are four types of JAK-type tyrosine kinases, JAK1, 2, 3, and Tyk2, and each is associated with specific cytokine receptors. For example, JAK1 and Tyk2 bind to IFN-α/β receptors, JAK1 and JAK2 bind to IFN-γ receptors, JAK2 binds to IL-3 receptor β chain and EPO receptor, and JAK3 binds to IL-2 receptor γ chain (Fig. 2B).

When cytokines bind to their receptors, JAKs are activated through phosphorylation of the “kinase activation loop”, which undergoes a conformational change that allows substrates access to the enzyme catalytic pocket. Activated JAK then phosphorylates tyrosine residues on the receptors, which recruit intracellular signaling molecules that contain modules such as the SH2 domain and the phosphotyrosine-binding (PTB) domain that recognize phosphotyrosine motifs. These molecules bind to the phosphorylated receptor and are then phosphorylated by JAK and activated to transmit information further downstream. For example, in the case of EPO receptors, STAT5 is recruited to phosphorylated receptor and are then phosphorylated by JAK and activated to transmit information further downstream. For example, in the case of EPO receptors, STAT5 is recruited to phosphorylated receptor and are then phosphorylated by JAK and activated to transmit information further downstream.

2.2. Signal transducers and activator of transcription. Signal transducers and activator of transcription (STAT) is a characteristic protein with an SH2 domain and C-terminal tyrosine residue that is phosphorylated by JAKs (Fig. 2A). There are five classes of STAT family molecules (STAT5 consists of STAT5a and STAT5b) that are activated by specific cytokines (Fig. 2B). STAT1, mainly activated by IFN-γ, induces molecules involved in the promotion of immune reactions (Fc receptors, co-stimulatory molecules, MHC molecules, and cytotoxic molecules) and antiviral molecules. STAT3 is essential for the signaling of IL-6, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), oncostatin M (OSM), Leptin, and IL-10. STAT3 induces acute-phase proteins in response to IL-6 in the liver. In T cells, STAT3 is involved in the induction of RORγt, which is essential for Th17 cell differentiation. STAT4 is activated by IL-12 and induces Th1 cell differentiation. STAT5 induces casein and other milk proteins in the mammary glands. In T cells, the IL-2Rα chain (CD25) is also a target gene of STAT5, and STAT5 is involved in the proliferation and survival of many hematopoietic and immune cells, including Tregs. STAT6 is activated by IL-4-related cytokines and plays important roles in Th2 responses.

2.3. Ras pathway. Another important downstream pathway of cytokines is the Ras pathway (Fig. 2A). Activated Ras induces the activation of downstream extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and PI3K. The ERK pathway has been shown to be essential for the proliferation of T cells in vitro. It also plays an important role in G-CSF-induced neutrophil production, and STAT3 negatively regulates neutrophil proliferation by inducing SOCS3 (discussed below). The Ras-ERK pathway is thought to be essential for the proliferation of mast cells and eosinophils by IL-3 and IL-5, respectively.

3. Cytokine-inducible SH2 protein-suppressor of cytokine signaling family

The negative regulation of cytokine signaling in immunity is essential for maintaining immune-homeostasis and tolerance, suppressing excess immune responses, inducing anergy/exhaustion, and maintaining Tregs. Several mechanisms are known to regulate tyrosine kinase signaling, including SHP-1 tyrosine phosphatase, and the degradation of phosphotyrosine-based signaling molecules by c-Cbl. However, these mechanisms are not specific to cytokine receptors. We discovered a new family of SH2 domain-containing proteins, the cytokine-inducible SH2 protein (CIS)/SOCS family, which are more specific to the JAK-STAT pathway of cytokine receptors. CIS was the first gene discovered in this family that was cloned as a cytokine-inducible gene. The next discovered gene was SOCS1 (JAB, SSI-1), which was reported simultaneously by three independent groups. We isolated SOCS1 (also known as the JAK-binding protein, JAB) as a factor that binds to JAK and inhibits its kinase activity using a yeast two-hybrid system. A database search revealed that there are currently eight members of this family.

Among the CIS/SOCS family, genes with high specificity for cytokines are CIS (gene name CISH in humans), SOCS1, SOCS2, and SOCS3. This family, the SH2 domain and the C-terminal SOCS-
box are conserved (Fig. 3A). The role of SOCS-box will be described later. Because most SOCS family proteins are rapidly induced by cytokines, they are a major negative feedback regulator of cytokine signaling (Fig. 3B).

3.1. CIS and SOCS2. CIS is transcriptionally induced by STAT5 and STAT6, which are activated by EPO, IL-2, IL-3, and IL-4, and binds to tyrosine-phosphorylated receptors mainly through the SH2 domain and inhibits STAT activation through the physical blocking of STAT recruitment to the phosphotyrosine residues of the receptor and degrades the receptors via SOCS-box-mediated ubiquitination (Fig. 3B).24–26 CIS-deficient mice spontaneously develop asthma-like symptoms, and T cells have been shown to have increased sensitivity to IL-4.27 Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the human CIS gene correlate with susceptibility to a number of infectious diseases, including tuberculosis and malaria,28 and Cis−/− mice also show resistance to tuberculosis infection.29 CIS is also important in the regulation of the IL-15 sensitivity of natural killer (NK) cells,30 and CISH−/− NK cells derived from induced pluripotent stem cells have strong anti-tumor activity, which may be applicable to NK cell therapy for cancer.31

SOCS2 associates with Growth Hormone receptors and regulates their signaling. Therefore, SOCS2-deficient mice exhibit giantis.32 SOCS2 in dendritic cells also negatively regulates T cell activation in human cancer patients.31

3.2. SOCS1- and SOCS3-deficient mice. SOCS1 is highly expressed in thymic T cells as well as activated T cells.34 SOCS1-deficient mice die of severe systemic inflammation, including fulminant hepatitis, by 3 weeks of age.34 These phenotypes are ameliorated by treatment with anti-IFN-γ antibodies or by cross-fertilization with IFN-γ−/− mice, and Socs1 expression is strongly induced by IFN-γ, suggesting that SOCS1 is a potent negative regulator of IFN-γ.35 SOCS1 is also involved in the regulation of Toll-like receptor signaling in macrophages.36,37 Tissue-specific deletion of the Socs1 gene revealed that SOCS1 is fundamentally important for anti-inflammation, homeostasis, and immunological tolerance.1,38–40 Socs1-deficient mice spontaneously developed intestinal tumors, and DNA methylation at the promoter site of the SOCS1 gene is frequently found in human hepatocarcinoma, suggesting a relationship between reduced SOCS1 expression and inflammation-mediated tumor development.40,41

SOCS3-deficient mice are prenatally lethal due to placental abnormalities caused by abnormal LIF signaling.42 In addition, analyses of organ-specific knockout or transgenic mice have shown that SOCS3 plays an essential role in maintaining homeostasis in various tissues, such as the heart, brain, liver, fat, and joints.43–46 Most phenotypes are due to the dysregulated signaling of cytokines that activate gp130-related receptors, such as cardiotrophin-1, Leptin, and IL-6. In addition, Th cell differentiation to Th17, which is promoted by IL-6, IL-23, and IL-27, is enhanced by SOCS3 deficiency.47,48 In tumors, SOCS3 downregulation may contribute to hepatocarcinogenesis because STAT3 promotes hepatocarcinogenesis.49

3.3. SOCS1 and SOCS3: molecular mechanisms of inhibition. The SH2 domain of SOCS1 binds directly to the phosphorylated kinase activation loop of JAK, and the SH2 domain of SOCS3 binds with high affinity to the Y759 tyrosine residue of gp130 (Fig. 3B).38,50,51 As suppression mechanisms, SOCS1 and SOCS3 have a kinase inhibitory peptide (kinase inhibitory region [KIR]) that directly suppresses the kinase activity of JAK in addition to the SOCS-box (Fig. 3A).51 KIR is highly conserved among various species (Fig. 3C).

The SOCS-box is found not only in the CIS/SOCS family but also in many other proteins including von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein, which are E3 ubiquitin ligases. The SOCS-box recruits ubiquitin transferases (Elongin B/C, Rbx1
and E2 enzyme complex) and promotes the ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation of molecules, including receptors and JAKs that associate with the SH2 domain (see Fig. 4A, B).52),53)

We proposed an inhibitory mechanism in which the KIR acts as a pseudosubstrate and inhibits the binding of JAK substrates (Fig. 4C).51),54) The non-canonical surface of the SH2 domain, that opposes the phosphopeptide-binding surface, and the N-terminal extended SH2 domain (ESS) of SOCS1 and SOCS3 bind to the GQM motif of JAK.55)–57) KIRs act as a pseudosubstrate and inhibits the binding of JAK substrates (Fig. 4C). Therefore, SOCS1 and SOCS3 do not inhibit the kinase activity of JAK3 because JAK3 does not have a GQM motif. The mechanism of inhibition determined by the crystal structure is almost identical to that predicted by our biochemical analysis.1),51),58)

An important function of SOCS3 is to determine the pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory effects of IL-6 and IL-10.59) In macrophages, SOCS3 is induced by IL-6, and then associates with gp130, the IL-6 receptor, resulting in the transient activation of STAT3. In contrast, although SOCS3 is also induced by IL-10, it does not bind to the IL-10 receptor; therefore, STAT3 activation is strong and sustained. Hyperactivation of STAT3 suppresses NF-κB, which is activated by Toll-like receptor signaling, thus limiting the induction of inflammatory cytokines such as TNFα and IL-12. In macrophages expressing mutant gp130, which cannot associate with SOCS3, IL-6 behaves like IL-10, an anti-inflammatory cytokine. This model has been proven to be correct in a number of follow-up studies.60),61)

4. Sprouty-related protein with the EVH-1 domain (SPRED): Negative regulator of the Ras-ERK pathway

4.1. SPRED and RAStopathy. There are a number of negative regulators of the Ras-ERK pathway, including GTPase-activating protein (GAP), which inactivates Ras and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) phosphatase (MKP), a phosphatase of ERK. Sprouty and Sprouty-related protein with the EVH-1 domain (SPRED) were newly discovered as negative regulators of the ERK pathway.62),63) In mammals, there are four members of the Sprouty subfamily and three members in the SPRED subfamily (Fig. 5).64) In vitro, mammalian Sprouty has been shown to regulate the phospholipase C (PLC)-mediated ERK pathway by growth
factors, whereas SPRED mainly regulates the Ras-dependent ERK pathway.

Spred1-deficient mice show hyperproliferation of myeloid cells, including neutrophils, eosinophils, mast cells, and type 2 innate lymphoid cells, due to the increased activation of the hematopoietic cytokines-dependent ERK pathway. Murine Spred1 and Spred2 are important for the regulation and homeostasis of hematopoietic stem cells as well as embryonic lymphangiogenesis.

SPRED1 were found in neurofibromatosis-1 (NF1)-like disease. This disease is caused by the haploinsufficiency of SPRED1, which is predominantly inherited, and causes café au lait macules, axillary freckling, macrocephaly, learning disabilities, and leukemia at low rates, and is now called Legius syndrome. The NF1 gene encodes neurofibromin, a GAP that deactivates Ras. Legius syndrome and NF1 are part of a comprehensive syndrome called RASopathy.

4.2. Mechanism of suppression of Ras by SPRED.

SPRED has an EVH-1 domain at the N-terminus, c-kit binding domain (KBD) in the center, and a cysteine-rich (SPR) domain at the C-terminus that is homologous to Sprouty. The crystal structure of the complex of GRD, Ras, and the SPRED1-EVH1 domain revealed that the NF1-GRD has a unique domain for binding to the EVH1 domain of SPRED1 (Fig. 6C, lower) that is opposite to the Ras-binding site. The GRD mutations found in human NF-1 are scattered among sites critical for the binding of GRD to SPRED1-EVH1.

5. SOCS1 and immune tolerance

5.1. SOCS1 mutations in human lymphoma.

Deletion mutations and functionally defective nonsense mutations of the SOCS1 gene have been
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reported in many lymphomas, including Burkitt lymphoma and Hodgkin’s lymphoma.87–89) SOCS1 deficiency is thought to result in the strong activation of JAK, which causes cell proliferation. These reports indicate that SOCS1, and probably SOCS3, are tumor suppressor genes in humans.

5.2. Human SOCS1 mutations and autoimmune diseases. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have shown that SOCS1 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are found in a variety of immune diseases, including primary biliary cirrhosis, multiple sclerosis, Crohn’s disease, and celiac disease, strongly suggesting the role of SOCS1 in immune regulation and human immunological diseases.90) Although the phenotypes of SOCS1-deficient mice strongly indicate the important role of SOCS1 in immune tolerance, germline mutations of the SOCS1 gene in humans have only recently been reported.

Germline mutations in the SOCS1 gene were discovered in 2020. First, the whole genome sequencing of large sporadic (or non-familial) primary immunodeficiency disease patients in the United Kingdom discovered two heterozygous mutations.91) Patients with p.Met161Alafs*46 and p.Tyr64* mutations showed decreased B cells, increased Th1 cells, and decreased Treg numbers. Patients with p.Met161Alafs*46 mutation also had lung and liver inflammation. T cells derived from patients with these SOCS1 mutations showed reduced levels of SOCS1 protein and increased IFN-γ-induced phosphorylation of STAT1.

Next, heterozygous germline mutations in SOCS1 were reported in 10 patients with early-onset autoimmune disease in five families from France (Fig. 7A, B).92) The mutations are amino acid substitutions (c.368 C > G, p.P123R, c.64 C > T, p.R22W, c.460 T > C, p.Y154H) and deletions, all of which were considered loss-of-function (Fig. 7B). Symptoms are early-onset autoimmune disease; 60% of cases occur under 10 years of age and include immune thrombocytopenic purpura, psoriasis, celiac disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, thyroiditis, and hepatitis. Patients have higher levels of cytokines in the blood, similar to patients carrying gain-of-function mutations in STAT1 and STAT3. Some patients developed splenomegaly and Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Heterozygous lymphocytes with the mutation are more sensitive to cytokines such as IFN-γ, IL-2, and IL-4, and Treg functions are impaired.92) Hyper STAT activation in these cells with SOCS1 mutations was restored by the JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor ruxolitinib. T-cell activation was also increased in approximately 30% of asymptomatic carriers.

In addition, one sporadic case and one familial deletion of SOCS1 have been reported in multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) from the United States.93) One patient developed a severe acute respiratory syndrome after SARS-CoV-2 infection, suggesting that SOCS1-deficiency may be related to the severity or prognostic symptoms of COVID-19. SOCS1 haploinsufficiency leads to early-onset autoimmune diseases associated with the cytokine hypersensitivity of immune cells. In other words, SOCS1 is an important gene for the maintenance of immune tolerance in humans.

5.3. SOCS1 and suppression of T cell activation. SOCS1-heterozygous mice have increased Th1 differentiation and are susceptible to dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis.94) In order to elucidate the function of SOCS1 in T cells, conditional knockout...
(cKO) mice lacking SOCS1 specifically in T cells were generated. They developed autoimmune diseases such as dermatitis, splenomegaly, and hyperglobulinemia within a few months after birth. CD4+ T cells in cKO mice are thought to be Th1 or Th2 dominant depending on their genetic background and environment. Peripheral T cells in cKO mice mostly showed activated memory types, and produced a much higher amount of IFN-γ than wild-type T cells whereas Th1 differentiation was rather suppressed due to Th1 predominance. 

SOCS1 also plays an important role in suppressing activation in CD8+ T cells; the deletion of SOCS1 enhanced T cell responsiveness and resulted in strong anti-tumor immune activity. Genome-wide CRISPR screening confirmed that SOCS1, Cbl-b, and other negative regulators are important for the restriction of human T cell proliferation and activation in response to TCR stimulation. Of note, SOCS1 is considered to be a target of microRNA miR-155, and forced expression of miR-155 reduced the expression level of SOCS1 and enhanced its anti-tumor immunity. Furthermore, miR-155 deficiency attenuated hepatic ischemia–reperfusion injury via Socs1 upregulation. These results suggest that SOCS1 acts as an immune checkpoint molecule in obliterating effector T cells and is involved in T cell anergy.

5.4. SOCS1 and Tregs. SOCS1 also plays an important role in the regulation of Tregs. SOCS1 is consistently highly expressed in Treg (see Fig. 1), and Treg-specific Socs1-deficient mice exhibit symptoms of inflammatory diseases, such as dermatitis, hepatitis, and splenomegaly. Socs1-deficient Tregs lose Foxp3 expression and convert to Th1 or Th17-like cells, which produce IFN-γ and IL-17, respectively, possibly due to STAT1 and STAT3 activity. It has been reported that Ubc13 regulates Treg effector cytokine signaling molecules, including SOCS1, and is involved in their suppressive activity. Loss of Treg function has also been reported in human heterozygous SOCS1 mutation carriers.

The TGF-β/Smad pathway has been shown to be necessary for Foxp3 expression in peripheral naïve CD4+ T cells, which are called peripheral Tregs (pTregs). The phenotype of Smad2/3-deficient Tregs is similar to that observed in SOCS1-deficient Tregs. This is probably because overactivation of STAT1 by SOCS1-deficiency suppresses the TGF-β/Smad pathway, therefore causing Foxp3 instability.

SOCS1 mRNA is a target of miR-155. Upregulation of Foxp3 is associated with high miR-155 expression in Tregs, where Socs1 expression is downregulated. The importance of Socs1 as a target gene of miR-155 was shown by disrupting the miR-155 binding site in the Socs1 3’UTR, indicating that this axis is important for Tregs. Conversely, miR-146a targets STAT1 and thereby regulates Socs1 expression.

6. NR4a, a transcription factor responsible for T cell tolerance and exhaustion

As mentioned above, SOCS1 confers cytokine unresponsiveness in T cells and thus plays an important role in the anergic properties of effector T cells and cytokine insensitivity of Tregs. Anergy and exhaustion are dependent on the simultaneous expression of multiple inhibitory receptors, such as PD-1, Tim3, and LAG3, as well as signal repressor molecules, such as SOCS1. Next, we have sought to identify the transcription factor that upregulates SOCS1 and inhibitory receptors and is required for T cell anergy and Treg maintenance. Although Tregs are essential for immune tolerance, little is known about the key transcription factor that induces Foxp3 in thymic CD4+ T cells.

6.1. NR4a factors are essential for Treg development and maintenance. We found that NR4a factors are essential for the development of Tregs. The NR4a family consists of NR4A1 (also called NUR77), NR4A2 (NURR1), and NR4A3 (NOR1), which are nuclear orphan receptor-type transcription factors. These three factors are thought to recognize the same DNA sequences because their DNA-binding domains are almost identical in structure. They are widely conserved from C. elegans to mammals and have diverse functions such as metabolic regulation, lifespan, and differentiation control. Similar to other nuclear receptors, NR4a can function as a monomer, homodimer, or heterodimer.

NR4a mainly binds to the promoter region and activates the transcription of Foxp3, the master transcription factor of Tregs. All three NR4a factors have been shown to be induced by continuous TCR signals and are highly expressed in Tregs, especially in the thymus, because Tregs develop by strong TCR signals through the recognition of self-antigens (Fig. 8). Forced expression of NR4a1, 2, or 3 in naïve T cells induces Foxp3 expression, whereas CD4+ T cells lacking NR4a1, 2, and 3 do not generate Tregs in the thymus. Thus, NR4a is a tran-
Fig. 8. Role of NR4a in peripheral immune tolerance. NR4a is induced by strong TCR stimulation in Treg precursors in the thymus and is maintained at high levels after development. NR4a enhances the expression of Foxp3, Eos, and SOCS1 and inhibits the expression of inflammatory cytokines through blocking AP-1 and NF-κB. In effector T cells, NR4a induces the expression of inhibitory receptors, including PD-1, Tim3, and SOCS1, while suppressing cytokine expression by regulating NF-κB and AP-1.

6.2. Regulation of T cell anergy and exhaustion by NR4a. In effector T cells, NR4a has been shown to be essential for T cell anergy and exhaustion.\(^5\) T cell exhaustion has been studied using various models, but in particular using an NFAT mutant. NFAT normally induces T cell activation in response to antigen stimulation, but without co-stimulation NFAT induces anergy or exhaustion. Thus, forced expression of an NFAT mutant lacking the AP-1 binding site induced T cell anergy/exhaustion.\(^113\) Genome-wide ATAC-seq analysis was performed in activated T cells and exhausted T cells. Consensus binding sites for NFAT and NR4a family members were found in open regions of chromosomes unique to exhausted T cells, such as PD-1 and Tim3 (Fig. 8).\(^113\) Overexpression of each NR4a factor resulted in a gene expression profile similar to that of exhausted T cells.\(^114\) Conversely, T cells lacking the NR4a transcription factors showed a reduced PD-1\(^{high}\)/Tim3\(^{low}\) exhausted fraction.\(^114\) ATAC-seq analysis revealed that NR4a directly activates the genes involved in T cell inactivation such as PD-1 and Tim3, whereas it suppresses effector gene expression such as IFN-γ and TNFα by blocking AP-1 and NF-κB (Fig. 8). Similar results were found using a T cell anergy model obtained by stimulating T cells with an antigen but without co-stimulation\(^115\) and Tregs (Fig. 8).\(^116\) Thus, NR4a is a type of master regulator of anergy/exhaustion in T cells. Most notably, the forced expression of NR4a up-regulated Socs1 expression, and the promoter region of the Socs1 gene contains a binding site for NR4a (unpublished data).

7. Conclusion

As described above, for the past 30 years, we have been working to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of the regulation of cytokine signaling by the CIS/SOCS and SPRED families and their physiological and pathological significance. These studies have inspired many researchers. The molecular mechanism of suppression has been demonstrated at the atomic level by X-ray crystallography, and both SOCS1 and SPRED1 have been confirmed as human disease genes. We have shown that SOCS is necessary for immune tolerance, and we have further identified the NR4a family of transcription factors as the hub of immune tolerance.

The importance of negative regulators such as SOCS in immunity is recognized today as inducers of “immune tolerance” in effector T cells as well as in Tregs. In addition, NR4a family members have been cloned as factors required for T cell exhaustion and anergy and for the development and maintenance of Tregs. We have shown that SOCS1 is involved in tolerance by regulating cytokine signaling, and that NR4a induces tolerance by positively and negatively regulating effector and inhibitory genes transcription. We are fortunate to have discovered two gene families involved in immune tolerance, which is a key feature of immunity. It is easy to imagine that SOCS1 and NR4a act as immune checkpoints in tumor immunity. In mouse models, we have shown that the deletion of SOCS1 or NR4a in T cells regresses cancers due to strong tumor immunity.\(^96,117\) We will continue our research in pursuit of the application of SOCS and NR4a to the treatment of autoimmune diseases and cancers in humans.
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