Crystal Structure of Yeast Allantoicase Reveals a Repeated Jelly Roll Motif*
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Allantoicase (EC 3.5.3.4) catalyzes the conversion of allantoate into ureidoglycolate and urea, one of the final steps in the degradation of purines to urea. The mechanism of most enzymes involved in this pathway, which has been known for a long time, is unknown. In this paper we describe the three-dimensional crystal structure of the yeast allantoicase determined at a resolution of 2.6 Å by single anomalous diffraction. This constitutes the first structure for an enzyme of this pathway. The structure reveals a repeated jelly roll β-sheet motif, also present in proteins of unrelated biochemical functions. Allantoicase has a hexameric arrangement in the crystal (dimer of trimers). Analysis of the protein sequence against the structural data reveals the presence of two totally conserved surface patches, one on each jelly roll motif. The hexameric packing concentrates these patches into conserved pockets that probably constitute the active site.

In most organisms the primary purine degradation pathways converge on the production of xanthine, which is subsequently converted into uric acid. This product is excreted in most primates, birds, and insects. In microorganisms, amphibians, and fish, uric acid is converted into urea and glyoxylate via allantoin and allantoic acid. Allantoicases (allantoate amidinohydrolase, EC 3.5.3.4) catalyze the hydrolytic conversion of allantoic acid into ureidoglycolate and urea (reaction scheme is illustrated in Fig. 1). This pathway allows the use of purines as secondary nitrogen sources in nitrogen-limiting conditions. The yeast allantoicase gene DAL23 codes for a 545-amino acid protein (1–3). Expression of the allantoicase pathway enzymes is (i) induced by allophanate, (ii) sensitive to nitrogen-limiting conditions. The yeast allantoicase gene DAL23 codes for a 545-amino acid protein (1–3). Expression of the allantoicase pathway enzymes is (i) induced by allophanate, (ii) sensitive to nitrogen-limiting conditions.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS
Cloning, Expression, and Purification—The YIR029w (Dal2) gene was cloned from S. cerevisiae S288C DNA by PCR in a modified pET9 vector (Stratagene) between NdeI and NotI sites. Six histidine codons were added at the 3'-end of the gene. Small scale expression and solubility tests at 37 or 25 °C have shown that the protein was expressed in inclusion bodies. Only about 10% of the protein was obtained in the soluble fraction of E. coli after induction at 15 °C. However, the co-expression of the E. coli chaperones GroEL, DnaK, DnaJ, GroES, and GroES significantly increased the solubility of the target protein (~80% increase) (15). A 750-ml 2 × YT medium (5 g of NaCl, 16 g of BactoTM tryptone, 10 g of yeast extract) culture (BIO 101, Inc.) of Gold (DE3) strain (Novagen) co-transformed with the pET construct and the chaperone plasmid (pGKJE3) was grown at 37 °C up to an A600nm of 1. Expression of the five chaperones was induced 15 min before the target protein by addition of 2 mM arabinose. The target was produced at 25 °C during 4 h by adding 0.3 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside. Cells were harvested by centrifugation; resuspended in 40 ml of 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol; and stored at -20 °C. The cells were thawed, and the cell lysis was completed by sonication at 4 °C. The soluble fraction containing the protein was recovered by a 30-min centrifugation at 13,000 × g and 4 °C and was applied on a nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid column (Qiagen Inc.).

The labeling of the protein with Se-Met was conducted as described.
Structure of Allantoicase

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Allantoicase Structure—The structure of yeast allantoicase was determined at a resolution of 2.6 Å by single wavelength anomalous diffraction data obtained from Se-Met-substituted protein crystals. The crystals contain two molecules in the asymmetric unit, related by a local 2-fold axis. The allantoicase monomer is cylindrically shaped with dimensions 29.35 × 65 Å. The monomer consists of the association of two compact globular modules, each corresponding to a repeated motif with 30% sequence identity (residues 10–182 and 197–343, hereafter called modules A and B). A topology diagram of the two modules of one monomer is represented in Fig. 2A. The superposition of both modules shows that they are almost identical (Fig. 2B) with a root mean square deviation of 1.6 Å for 120 Ca positions. The fold of the allantoicase module can be defined as a β-sandwich jelly roll motif in which all the strands are connected by loops (Fig. 2C). The β-sandwich is formed from the face-to-face packing of two antiparallel β-sheets containing five and three strands, respectively, in the order β12β4β6β5 and β8β3β6. The two sheets enclose an extensive hydrophobic interior that is closed off by the numerous connections between the strands. The N-terminal module has an extra strand (β0a) that extends the shorter sheet and two short β-stretches (β1c and β2c) that form an extra small sheet. The connection between β0a and β1a also contains an extra helix (α0). A few regions are disordered in the structure; no density was observed between residues 184 and 194 (linker connection between the two subunits) and between residues 285 and 296 (forming the loop connecting β4b and β5b). The missing regions are the same in both subunits of the non-crystallographic dimer. It was shown by mass spectrometry that the crystallized protein was intact and that therefore the absent regions in the model are due to conformational mobility or heterogeneity.

The two modules of the allantoicase monomer are asymmetrically packed against each other (Fig. 2C) in a perpendicular orientation. The contact region involves loops β0-β1 from the N-terminal module (A) and loop β2c-β1b and α1b from the C-terminal module (B). The center of the contact region is hydrophobic (Ile-17, Val-23, Leu-30, Val-201, Val-206, Leu-208, and Leu-223). The hydrophobic nature of these residues is maintained in the microbial allantoicases, but some are mutated into polar residues in the eukaryotic sequences. Some polar contacts are found at the periphery of this patch, but few imply direct hydrogen bonds.

The two subunits of the non-crystallographic dimer are related by a 2-fold axis and are associated through head-to-tail packing, module A of one subunit interacting with module B from the other (Fig. 3A). Dimer formation occurs in total 2600 Å², representing 9% of the accessible surface area. The dimer was analyzed by all analyses.

Face-to-face packing of two antiparallel β-sheets containing five and three strands, respectively, in the order β12β4β6β5 and β8β3β6. The two sheets enclose an extensive hydrophobic interior that is closed off by the numerous connections between the strands. The N-terminal module has an extra strand (β0a) that extends the shorter sheet and two short β-stretches (β1c and β2c) that form an extra small sheet. The connection between β0a and β1a also contains an extra helix (α0). A few regions are disordered in the structure; no density was observed between residues 184 and 194 (linker connection between the two subunits) and between residues 285 and 296 (forming the loop connecting β4b and β5b). The missing regions are the same in both subunits of the non-crystallographic dimer. It was shown by mass spectrometry that the crystallized protein was intact and that therefore the absent regions in the model are due to conformational mobility or heterogeneity.

The two modules of the allantoicase monomer are asymmetrically packed against each other (Fig. 2C) in a perpendicular orientation. The contact region involves loops β0-β1 from the N-terminal module (A) and loop β2c-β1b and α1b from the C-terminal module (B). The center of the contact region is hydrophobic (Ile-17, Val-23, Leu-30, Val-201, Val-206, Leu-208, and Leu-223). The hydrophobic nature of these residues is maintained in the microbial allantoicases, but some are mutated into polar residues in the eukaryotic sequences. Some polar contacts are found at the periphery of this patch, but few imply direct hydrogen bonds.

The two subunits of the non-crystallographic dimer are related by a 2-fold axis and are associated through head-to-tail packing, module A of one subunit interacting with module B from the other (Fig. 3A). Dimer formation occurs in total 2600 Å², representing 9% of the accessible surface area. The dimer was analyzed by all analyses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Allantoicase Structure—The structure of yeast allantoicase was determined at a resolution of 2.6 Å by single wavelength anomalous diffraction data obtained from Se-Met-substituted protein crystals. The crystals contain two molecules in the asymmetric unit, related by a local 2-fold axis. The allantoicase monomer is cylindrically shaped with dimensions 29.35 × 65 Å. The monomer consists of the association of two compact globular modules, each corresponding to a repeated motif with 30% sequence identity (residues 10–182 and 197–343, hereafter called modules A and B). A topology diagram of the two modules of one monomer is represented in Fig. 2A. The superposition of both modules shows that they are almost identical (Fig. 2B) with a root mean square deviation of 1.6 Å for 120 Ca positions. The fold of the allantoicase module can be defined as a β-sandwich jelly roll motif in which all the strands are connected by loops (Fig. 2C). The β-sandwich is formed from the face-to-face packing of two antiparallel β-sheets containing five and three strands, respectively, in the order β12β4β6β5 and β8β3β6. The two sheets enclose an extensive hydrophobic interior that is closed off by the numerous connections between the strands. The N-terminal module has an extra strand (β0a) that extends the shorter sheet and two short β-stretches (β1c and β2c) that form an extra small sheet. The connection between β0a and β1a also contains an extra helix (α0). A few regions are disordered in the structure; no density was observed between residues 184 and 194 (linker connection between the two subunits) and between residues 285 and 296 (forming the loop connecting β4b and β5b). The missing regions are the same in both subunits of the non-crystallographic dimer. It was shown by mass spectrometry that the crystallized protein was intact and that therefore the absent regions in the model are due to conformational mobility or heterogeneity.

The two modules of the allantoicase monomer are asymmetrically packed against each other (Fig. 2C) in a perpendicular orientation. The contact region involves loops β0-β1 from the N-terminal module (A) and loop β2c-β1b and α1b from the C-terminal module (B). The center of the contact region is hydrophobic (Ile-17, Val-23, Leu-30, Val-201, Val-206, Leu-208, and Leu-223). The hydrophobic nature of these residues is maintained in the microbial allantoicases, but some are mutated into polar residues in the eukaryotic sequences. Some polar contacts are found at the periphery of this patch, but few imply direct hydrogen bonds.

The two subunits of the non-crystallographic dimer are related by a 2-fold axis and are associated through head-to-tail packing, module A of one subunit interacting with module B from the other (Fig. 3A). Dimer formation occurs in total 2600 Å², representing 9% of the accessible surface area. The dimer was analyzed by all analyses.
interface is stabilized by eight hydrogen bonds and by extensive salt bridges. The majority of the residues involved in these contacts are conserved. An important part of the packing surface is contributed by long surface loops (residues 118–134 and 221–231). The first loop contains long insertions in the sequences of higher organisms.

Structural Analogues—A search for related structural motifs using a single allantoicase module revealed structural analogues with other β-sandwich modules (Macromolecular Structure Database (MSD) server, www.ebi.ac.uk/msd/Services.html). The best score was obtained with the N-terminal domain of the XRCC1 single strand break repair enzyme (24) (Z-score, 5.51; root mean square deviation, 1.99 Å for 118 Ca positions) and the carbohydrate-binding modules from family 29 (25) (Z-score, 3.26; root mean square deviation, 2.9 Å for 113 Ca positions aligned). A number of other proteins contain this motif, for instance, the C2 domain of coagulation factors V and VIII (26), the Doc1 subunit of the anaphase-promoting complex (27), and the galactose domain of a bacterial sialidase (28). All these proteins belong to the same SCOP galactose-binding domain-like superfamily (29). Although none of these proteins have a functional relationship to allantoicase, they are all involved in biological interactions with other partners (DNA, carbohydrates, membrane lipids, etc.). Structural alignment of all these modules reveals that they all bind their targets using roughly the same surface of the jelly roll. None of the structurally characterized domains of this superfamily have enzymatic activity, although many of them are associated to another independent catalytic domain. Allantoicase therefore presents the first jelly roll motif within this large family endowed with catalytic activity. Although dimerization of jelly roll motifs is common (30), the bimodal allantoicase presents a unique mode of association of these structural motifs, which might be linked to the quaternary structure of the protein (see below).

Identification of the Active Site—Nothing is known about the mechanism of allantoicases, and residues involved in catalysis or substrate binding have not been identified. Sequence analysis, as illustrated in Fig. 4, shows that both allantoicase modules possess four highly conserved sequence stretches (boxed I, II, III, and IV with a and b in each module). Fig. 2, A and B, shows that these conserved regions have the same location in both jelly roll modules and are structurally almost superposable. Mapping of these conserved motifs on the molecular surface shows that regions I–IV in both allantoicase modules encompass well defined pockets at opposite poles of the allantoicase monomer (Fig. 2, C and D).

The presence of two conserved and structurally similar but spatially distinct pockets seemed rather unusual, but inspection of the crystal packing showed that the region from module A (respectively, module B) packs against the region from module B (respectively, module A) of the neighbor molecule, creating a small but very tight and totally conserved contact (illustrated in Fig. 3, B and C). Allantoicase from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii is present as a hexamer in solution (dimer of trimers) with the trimers bonded by disulfide bridges (31). In the case of yeast allantoicase, combination of the 3-fold crystal axis with the local 2-fold axis creates a hexamer (dimer of trimers; shown in Fig. 3B), but no cysteines are present at the dimer or trimer interfaces. The trimer contact buries 594 Å²/monomer and involves in total seven hydrogen bonds. Direct contacts are from residues in regions II and III (detailed in Fig. 3C). Two stacking arginines make a fireman’s grip across the interface; Arg-75 (module A) is involved in a double salt bridge with Glu-235 and Asp-332 (module B), while Arg-238 (module B) is involved in an identical salt bridge configuration with Glu-72 and Asp-172 (module A). The glutamate carboxylates are squeezed between two conserved aromatic rings (Phe-42/Phe-105 in module A and Phe-215/Phe-269 in module B). The salt...
bridges involve totally conserved residues and protrude deeply inside the interface. This leads us to believe that the yeast enzyme is also present as a hexamer. From gel filtration elution profiles we observed that it may form either dimers or trimers in solution. For solubility reasons, these experiments were carried out at rather high salt concentrations. Since salt bridges seem to be a major stabilizing factor of the trimer interface, high salt conditions may dissociate the protein in solution.

The trimer interface creates a pocket, lined with totally conserved residues, providing an ideal candidate for the active site. The groove contains at one side Glu-72, Asn-108, and Phe-42 from one subunit. The other side of the pocket is lined by the side chains of His-214, Glu-235, and Asn-272 of module B. The Glu-72 carboxylate makes a tight hydrogen bond with the Asn-108 amide group. This groove can easily accommodate an allantoate molecule. Diethyl pyrocarbonate treatment of the
FIG. 4. Sequence alignment using CLUSTALW (37) for a few representative allantoicase sequences. Sc, *S. cerevisiae*; Sp, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*; Nc, *Neurospora crassa*; Pa, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; Rs, *Ralstonia solanacearum*; Xl, *Xenopus laevis*; Ag, *Anopheles gambiae*. Secondary structure assignments as deduced from the present crystal structure are superposed (figure generated by ESPript (38)). The four conserved regions of modules A and B are boxed and labeled.
C. reinhardtii allantoicase completely kills enzyme activity, indicating that a histidine could be involved in catalysis (32). His-214 is well positioned to play such a role in the yeast enzyme. The carbon–nitrogen bond hydrolyzed by allantoicase is not very reactive, and with the present structure in hand, how this may be achieved remains an intriguing question. Fumarylacetacetate hydrolase catalyzes the hydrolysis of a similarly unreactive carbon–carbon bond by combining a Glu-His-water catalytic triad with an activating Ca$^{2+}$ ion (33). The proposed active site pocket in yeast allantoicase has glutamate and histidine side chains that are well positioned to cooperate in a hydrolysis reaction; however we do not have any evidence for a metal ion site. No effect of metal ions on the activity of the C. reinhardtii enzyme was measured, except a 3-fold increase in the presence of manganese (34). This small effect precludes an essential role for manganese in catalysis. Crystallization trials with substrates/products are underway to further define the active site and reaction mechanism of this interesting enzyme.

Allantoicase has been reported to be bifunctional (32). Both enzyme activities were kinetically characterized for the allantoicase from C. reinhardtii (31, 34). The $K_m$ values for the allanolate and ureidoglycolate substrates are similar, but the turnover of the latter is $10^2$ lower. Ureidoglycolate lyases are specialized enzymes that catalyze the conversion of ureidoglycolate into urea and glyoxylate, but no individual gene associated with this activity has been reported in yeast. The mechanism and partial sequence of the ureidoglycolate lyase of Burkholderia cepacia were recently characterized, but this did not reveal any yeast homologues (14). Apart from the pocket described above, no second conserved surface patch is present in yeast allantoicase, and both reactions probably take place at the same active site.

CONCLUSION

The crystal structure of yeast allantoicase reveals that it is composed of a repeated jelly roll motif. The repeat of such a motif within the same protein is unique. Although this fold was encountered in a number of other proteins, allantoicase is the first documented example where it carries catalytic activity. Combination of local and crystal symmetry creates a hexamer that probably represents the active species of the enzyme. A totally conserved pocket at the trimeric subunit interface constitutes a good candidate for the active site. The present structure forms an excellent starting point to study the mechanism of this poorly documented enzyme family.
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