Physico-Chemical Aspects and Complete Bacterial Community Composition Analysis of Wasp Nests
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Abstract: Wasps are a group of social insects that build a house, known as a nest, from locally available building materials cemented by their saliva and secretions. Similar to termite nests, there could be many beneficiary bacteria present in their house that can play an important part in maintaining sustainability in soil ecosystems. Thus, the present study was initiated with a physico-chemical characterization of wasp nests collected from residential and forest zones, followed by unconfined compressive strength (UCS) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis to identify major associated minerals. Further, MiSeq Illumina sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene (V3–V4 regions) was carried out to analyze complete bacterial community composition of wasp nests. The resulting data showed a dominance of Actinobacteria followed by Proteobacteria in both nests. Kaistobacter and Phycicoccus were the dominant genera in each type of wasp nest. It was concluded that wasp nests are an abundant source to isolate bacteria that can potentially be helpful in soil biogeochemical cycling and fertility, antibiotics production and bioremediation.
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1. Introduction

A home is a common need not only for human beings, but also for other animals such as birds and insects, which require shelter and protection from environmental calamities. Some members of the class Arthropoda including wasps, termites and ants (out of which many species are also known as ‘social insects’) make nests by depositing clay particles cemented by their salivary secretions. The term mounds and hills are also used for such nests, although primarily for houses of termites and ants, respectively. Because of the ecological features of their houses not only providing strength but also contributing to soil fertility and nutrient cycling, wasps and termites are regarded as “ecosystem engineers” [1].

A wasp nest is similar to an anthill in possessing a concrete type material that cannot be easily broken. The detailed compositional analysis of wasp nests can be helpful in soil mechanics research or in ground improvement. It is known that biomineralization can play a role in soil strength [2]; however, it is too early to say if the strength of wasp nests comes from the same process and this warrants thorough study.

Further, bacteria isolated from nests of ecosystem engineers such as termites reportedly play an important role in antimicrobial production, biocontrol, bioremediation and bio-filtering [3–7]. Termite nests were shown to intensify crop growth due to their high nutrient content, and thus can be used as a biofertilizer [8,9]. Such nests have the potential of improving environmental sustainability [10]. Thus, it is worth evaluating such structures from microbiological points of view as well.
be a possibility of specific bacteria playing a certain role in bringing strength to wasp nests; however, this is not yet verified.

Despite significant bacteria associated with nests of ecosystem engineers, there is limited information on this topic. The present study, therefore, first aims to characterize the physico-chemical properties of wasp nests, including their unconfined compressive strength. *Vespula vulgaris* is a common wasp, forming nests, found widely in temperate climatic regions of China. It is well understood that bacteria present in wasp nests will play a major role in maintaining the soil structure with ecological importance as wasps build nests using local building materials from the surrounding area. However, the complete bacterial community composition consisting of both the culturable and unculturable diversity of wasp nests is poorly understood. Thus, secondly, this study aimed to elucidate the bacterial community composition of wasp nests using Illumina Miseq sequencing of the V3–V4 regions of the 16S rRNA gene.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The first type of wasp nests used in this research was collected from an apartment located in the campus of Guangdong Technion Israel Institute of Technology, Shantou, Guangdong province of China, while another was collected from a small forest in a hilly area around this campus but about 100 m away from the residential zone, labeled as WH.1 and WH.2, respectively. The nests were collected in triplicates, three samples from each location. These wasp nests were built by the common wasp, *Vespula vulgaris*, with characteristic features of bright yellow and black bands along the body, and a visible waist between the thorax and abdomen. It should be noted that the temperate climate of this city makes it a suitable habitat for wasps.

2.2. Physico-Chemical Analyses

The physico-chemical characteristics including pH, moisture, oxides composition and loss on ignition and unconfined compressive strength (UCS) of the wasp nests were calculated as per standard protocol. The unconfined compressive strength was measured in a UCS apparatus at an axial strain rate of 1%/min. In order to detect the chemical compositions of the wasp nests, samples were analyzed by X-ray fluorescence (XRF). The harvested wasp nests were pulverized into fine powder and then passed through a 2 mm sieve prior to any analysis.

2.3. XRD Analysis

In order to identify the crystal structures and mineral phases in wasp nests, which give them peculiar strength or structural characteristics, the samples from the raw wasp nests were determined using a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer. A Cu-\(\alpha\) radiation was used to generate diffraction patterns in the scanning angle \(\theta\) at 10–80 °C.

2.4. DNA Extraction, Amplicon Sequencing of 16S rRNA Gene (V3–V4) and Data Analysis

In order to elucidate bacterial diversity associated with wasp nests, the total wasp nest DNA was extracted using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, USA). The sampling process was carried out manually but in strict conditions to avoid any cross contamination. The outside materials from nests were removed and only inside materials were used for DNA extraction. The V3 and V4 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene were amplified from isolated DNA using primers containing Illumina adapters [11]. For sequencing, Illumina Miseq was carried out by the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI, Shenzhen).
Following quality control, Usearch was used to cluster the sequencing data into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at the 97% similarity level [12]. OTUs representing 1% or more of the total read relative abundance were used to obtain communities information of different classification level. The amplicon sequence data and metadata have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database under BioProject Accession Number PRJNA574000, submission ID SUB6342667.

3. Results

3.1. Physico-Chemical Properties and Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) of the Wasp Nests

The wasp nest soils were of clay texture with pH 6.35 and 6.56 for WH.1 and WH.2, respectively. It is noteworthy that some components of the wasp nest material were similar to cement. The weight of all of the collected wasp nests was more or less the same, with an average weight of 112.8 g and a standard deviation of 1.4 g. As depicted in Table 1, the wasp nest soils contained a high percentage of SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$. The UCS data obtained from WH.1 and WH.2 were 596 and 613 kPa, respectively.

Table 1. Percentage oxide composition in wasp nest soil.

| Parameters                  | WH.1 (%) | WH.2 (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| pH                          | 6.35     | 6.56     |
| Moisture                    | 17.2     | 16.6     |
| Silicon dioxide (SiO$_2$)   | 61.28    | 61.64    |
| Aluminum oxide (Al$_2$O$_3$)| 12.73    | 13.36    |
| Ferric oxide (Fe$_2$O$_3$)  | 5.57     | 5.28     |
| Calcium oxide (CaO)         | 1.28     | 1.65     |
| Magnesium oxide (MgO)       | 0.52     | 0.47     |
| Titanium oxide (TiO$_2$)    | 1.04     | 0.94     |
| Loss on ignition (LOI)      | 6.25     | 5.87     |

3.2. XRD Analysis of the Wasp Nests

X-ray diffraction (XRD) showed the major minerals contained in wasp nest soil as confirmed by the various peaks against corresponding to 2Theta Braggs angle (Figure 1). There were no significant differences in both WH.1 and WH.2 samples, where quartz remained the major mineral. This result is in agreement with the data obtained from physico-chemical properties of wasp nests. There were other minerals including carbonated ones and dickite in the samples.

3.3. Amplicon Sequencing of 16S rRNA Gene (V3–V4)

The application of next-Generation DNA sequencing technologies provides information on bacterial diversity associated with any environment, including nests of ecosystem engineers [13]. Thus, the present study generated such information by amplifying the V3 and V4 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene using Illumina Miseq sequencing. The result of the diversity index analysis based on OTU are provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Bacterial diversity index statistics of both wasp nests of the present study.

| Sample | $S_{obs}$ | Chao1     | Ace      | Shannon | Simpson | Coverage  |
|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| WH.1   | 1740      | 1783.413  | 1814.977 | 5.976614| 0.007203| 0.996318  |
| WH.2   | 1900      | 1911.982  | 1928.276 | 6.149132| 0.006322| 0.99832   |
Figure 1. X-ray diffraction pattern of both wasp nests (a) WH.1 and (b) WH.2.

The similar coverage index in both WH.1 and WH.2 suggested the accuracy of this analysis. Sobs denoted the number of observed species in the wasp nests. The values obtained from Chao1 represented the community richness and estimated the total number of microbes, while the Shannon and Simpson indices represented the community diversity found in the wasp nests. The Sobs, Chao1 and Shannon indices of WH.1 were less than those of WH.2, suggesting a higher bacterial diversity associated with the *V. vulgaris* nest located in a natural environment of a small forest area. The number of OTUs corresponding to relative bacterial abundances is presented in Figure 2 and is in agreement with data obtained under Table 2.
3.4. Bacterial Diversity Associated with the Wasp Nests

The overall distribution of phyla showing predominance of bacterial OTUs belonging to Actinobacteria followed by Proteobacteria in the wasp nests is shown in Figure 3. Though Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria dominated in both WH.1 and WH.2, the latter showed a relatively greater bacterial diversity for those phyla. This variation could be due to different habitats (WH.1 was located in an apartment in a residential campus, while WH.2 in a small forest far from the residential area). The ecological environment of the forest area can be helpful in providing higher bacterial diversity.

Figure 2. OTU rank curve for wasp nest samples.

Figure 3. Phylum level distribution of bacterial community distribution in both wasp nests.
In order to understand and find out if any differences existed between WH.1 and WH.2, the heatmap of bacterial abundance at class level is displayed in Figure 4. It shows a similar trend in terms of bacterial diversity, where the abundant classes were of Actinobacteria and Alphaproteobacteria, followed by Chloroflexi, Thermoleophilia and Anaerolineae. *Kaistobacter, Phycicoccus, Arthrobacter, Micromonospora, Actinomycetospora, Pseudonocardia, Nocardioides, Methylobacterium and Leptolyngbya* were major genera present in the wasp nests (Figure 5).

Figure 3. Phylum level distribution of bacterial community distribution in both wasp nests.

Figure 4. The bacteria class heatmap of the community structure in in both wasp nests.
Although there is no report on bacterial diversity from wasp nests, termite nests were reported to be an abundant and dominant source of Actinobacteria in other studies [13,19]. Illumina MiSeq sequencing of the V3–V4 regions of the 16S rRNA gene of both the wasp nests revealed the predominance of bacterial OTUs belonging to Actinobacteria followed by Proteobacteria. Although there is no report on bacterial diversity from wasp nests, termite nests were reported to be an abundant and dominant source of Actinobacteria in other studies [4]. However, Acidobacteria and Firmicutes were reportedly dominant phyla in termite nests [13,19], differing from the findings of our study. Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria are known to play a role in comprising a substantial proportion of soil environments [13,20,21]. Actinobacteria can protect wasps from soil entomopathogens by producing secondary metabolites [22]. Chloroflexi, Cyanobacteria, Acidobacteria, Bacteroidetes and
Verrucomicrobia were other predominant phyla in both wasp nests’ soil. Occurrence of such phyla was also reported in other studies in termite nests [8,13,19].

Many members of bacterial classes indicated in the heat-map were isolated from termite nest, which were identified as nitrogen fixers containing nifH genes [13,19]. This shows the potential of wasp nest soil to be used as a soil amendment for better crop growth [23].

Among major genera present in wasp nests, *Kaistobacter* was identified as the most prominent genus that could, being known as a disease suppression bacterium, play a role in providing protection to wasps from soil pathogens [24]. *Arthrobacter* and *Micromonospora* are generally known to be associated with the hindgut of insects, and play a role in cellulose decomposition [25]. Nitrogen fixing bacteria including *Cyanobacteria* (*Leptolyngbya*, as the genus under this phylum) have also been isolated from termite nests, and play an important role in nitrogen fixation [26].

Antibiotic-producing actinomycetes from *Actinobacteria* such as *Pseudonocardia*, *Nocardioides* and *Actinomycetospora* protect the host and their resources under insect–bacterial symbioses [27,28]. The cuticular microbiome of attine ants and fire ant workers were found to be dominated by *Pseudonocardia* and *Nocardia* spp. in other studies [29,30]. *Pseudonocardia* was isolated from termite nests in a previous study [31], and was also the dominant genus in subterranean nests [4]. The presence of *Methylobacterium* in wasp nests was not surprising, as nests of social insects are a known source for methanotrophs playing a certain role in methane oxidation [32].

5. Conclusions

This is one of first studies that analyzed a complete profiling of wasp nests and their associated bacterial diversity using the amplicon sequencing approach. The research concludes with wasp nests as an excellent microbial source to isolate bacteria for the purposes of bringing environmental sustainability with a defined role in the pharmaceutical and agricultural fields, in addition to bioremediation. Overall, the bacteria identified in wasp nests could carry out nitrogen fixation, bioremediation and methane oxidation; thus, they can play a sustainable role in the soil ecosystem. Wasp nest soil can be used as an additive for stronger building materials in soil mechanics and construction engineering as a supplementary cementitious material.
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