THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT POLLINATORS ON FRUIT SET AND SOME FRUIT CHARACTERISTICS IN APPLE
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Abstract
Apple is one of the fruit species in which self-incompatibility is seen. For economical apple production, pollination and fertilization are required. In this study, it was aimed to determine effect of different pollinators on the fruit set ratios and some fruit characteristics of some apple varieties and apple genotypes originating from Kyrgyzstan by hybridization breeding method in 2020 year. According to the results, the highest fruit set ratio was obtained with the combination number 54 × 36 with 7.37%, while the lowest value was determined with the combination number 54 × 56 with 1.88%. Especially the precipitation that occurred during the fruit set time negatively affected the results. In the fruit characteristics results, the combination number 54 × 36 gave better results than the other combinations in fruit length and fruit width values. On the other hand, 21 × Elstar combination produced the best result in terms of WSDM (water solid dry matter) value and significant differences occurred between combinations in terms of seed number. Evaluating the obtained findings as a guide especially in the breeding studies to be made on apples and in the new orchards to be established may be beneficial for the producers.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Apple (Malus communis L.) is widely grown both naturally and economically due to its wide adaptability, richness of species and varieties in the World. The homeland regions of the apple are considered as East Asia, Central Asia, West Asia-Europe and North America (Uzun et al., 2019). These regions also include Kyrgyzstan and Turkey, which have a lot of species and varieties in nature. Malus sieversii (Ledeb.) is a species of wild apple and is found in the mountainous regions of Kyrgyzstan (Yan et al., 2008; Volk et al., 2009).

As in many fruit species such as apple, there is a relationship between yield and fruit set. Most of the apple varieties have a self-incompatibility mechanism and this prevents fertilization, which is among the basic stages in fruit setting (Nettancourt, 2001; Broothaerts, 2003; Liu et al., 2018). As a result of the incompatibility caused by the fact that the varieties are in the same allele structures, disruptions occur in the fertilization event. When an orchard is established with a single variety or varieties have the same s allele, there are disruptions in harvesting and economic losses (Schneider et al. 2001; Garratt, 2014; Shogo et al., 2018).

As a result of the incompatibility, pollinator variety is needed in order to get efficiency. The pollinator variety not only affects the attitude of the fruit, but also has effects on the quality of the fruit. In different species such as tangerines (Citrus reticulata L.) (Yildiz and Kaplankiran, 2017),
cherry \( (Prunus\ avium) \) (Cırtlık and Beyhan, 2012) and apricot \( (Prunus\ armeniaca) \) (Yaman and Uzun, 2020), the effect of pollinator variety on fruit quality has been investigated. In this study, it was aimed to determine the effect of different pollinators on fruit set and some fruit characteristics in some standard apple varieties and apple genotypes of Kyrgyzstan origin.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in 2020 year by using some apple genotypes and standard varieties originating from Kyrgyzstan in the Apple Genetic Resources Collection Parcel of Erciyes University Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Horticulture. Plants in the study material are 5-6 years old and grafted on M111 rootstock. Routine cultural practices (irrigation, soil tillage, pruning) were performed accordingly.

In Kayseri province, where the study was carried out, the terrestrial climatic conditions prevailing in the Central Anatolia Region are seen. Meteorological data of the region, which are especially effective in fruit setting, are given in Table 1. When these values are examined, it is seen that the precipitation that occurs especially during the fruit set times has a negative effect on the fruit set.

| Year | Month | Max. temperature (°C) | Min. temperature (°C) | Average relative humidity (%) | Wind speed (m/s) | Average temperature (°C) |
|------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| 2020 | March | 23.0                  | -5.3                  | 65.4                          | 1.7              | 7.0                      |
|      | April | 24.8                  | -2.6                  | 60.1                          | 1.5              | 10.2                     |
|      | June  | 33.3                  | 1.8                   | 57.0                          | 1.8              | 15.5                     |
|      | July  | 33.9                  | 5.4                   | 54.5                          | 1.5              | 19.3                     |

Determination of Fruit Set Ratios
Pollens of selected cultivars were gathered from non-burst flower buds at balloon stage and pollens were rubbed onto emasculated flowers with the aid of a watercolor paint brush and hybridization procedures were performed accordingly (Yaman and Uzun, 2020). Hybridization was made on a different number of flowers for each hybridization combination. Fruit set rates were determined by dividing the harvested fruits by the number of pollinated flowers and multiplying the obtained results by 100.

Determination of Fruit Characteristics
Some parameters such as fruit length, fruit width, WSDM (water solid dry matter) and seed number were investigated in the fruits in which fruit set was observed after the hybridization process. Experimental data were subjected to statistical analyses with the aid of software SPSS 15.0 (IBM Company, USA) and significant means were compared with Duncan’s multiple range test at \( P <.05 \) significance level and the values of the varieties are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Fruit Set Ratios
In apple hybridization studies, pollination was performed on different numbers of flowers ranging from 95 (54 × 36) to 210 (56 × Box) for each combination. As a result of these processes, fruit setting numbers varying between 3 (54 × 56, 56 × Elstar) and 9 (56 × Chest) were determined in
combinations. Depending on the number of fruit setting, fruit set rates were determined as the lowest 1.88% (54 × 56) and the highest 7.37% (54 × 36) (Table 2).

Table 2. Fruit set ratios of the combinations

| Hybrid combination | Number of pollinated flowers | Number of fruit sets | Fruit set ratio (%) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 56 × Sandik        | 210                         | 9                    | 4.29                |
| 54 × 55            | 120                         | 6                    | 5.00                |
| 58 × 56            | 150                         | 6                    | 4.00                |
| Golden × 32        | 200                         | 5                    | 2.50                |
| G. Smith × 56      | 100                         | 6                    | 6.00                |
| 54 × 36            | 95                          | 7                    | 7.37                |
| 63 × 41            | 140                         | 5                    | 3.57                |
| 54 × 56            | 160                         | 3                    | 1.88                |
| 51 × Amasya        | 150                         | 5                    | 3.33                |
| 56 × Elstar        | 140                         | 3                    | 2.14                |
| 56 × 36            | 100                         | 5                    | 5.00                |
| **Mean**           | **142.27**                  | **5.45**             | **4.10**            |

Pollinators has positive effects on fruit set in apples. In studies conducted by different researchers in apples, it was determined that fruit set values ranged from 10% to 33% (Akkurt et al., 2020), and in another study, fruit set values ranged from 4% to 35% depending on varieties and pollinators used (Maklad et al., 2020). In addition to the pollinator in the apple, can effect on the fruit set most climatic factors such as precipitation, moisture, etc. In the current study, the precipitations that occurred during pollination and fertilization in Kayseri ecological conditions negatively affected the fruit set and different results were obtained from the studies in the literature.

Some Fruit Characteristics

In the results obtained to determine the effect of the pollinator variety on fruit quality, statistical differences were observed in all of the parameters examined. The highest result in fruit length values was obtained from the combination numbered 54 × 36 with 62.91 mm, and the lowest value was found in the combination numbered 54 × 55 with 30.81 mm. The best result in terms of fruit width values is the combination of 54 × 36 as in the fruit length and this value is 73.25 mm. WSDM value is an important fruit criterion for apple as in most fruit species. Among the combinations, the highest WSDM value was determined as 56 × Elstar and 56 × 36 combinations as 21.00% and 20.80%, respectively. In the last parameter examined, the number of seeds differed between 13,60 and 1,66 in combinations.

Due to the carpel structure in the apple, there should be a minimum of 5 seeds in order to produce quality fruit (Childers et al., 1995). In a study conducted to determine the pollinator efficiency in Vista bella apple variety, it was determined that the WSDM values varied between 9.99% and 13.96% (Akkurt et al., 2020). In another study, it was found that fruit length values varied between 18 mm and 89.5 mm in fruits obtained as a result of free pollination of apple species originating from Kyrgyzstan (Uzun et al., 2018). The results of the study were similar to these studies in the...
literature, as well as different results. The reasons for this difference, the different study material, the effects of ecology and hybridization on fruit quality can be shown.

| Hybrid combination | Fruit length (mm) | Fruit width (mm) | WSDM (%) | Number of seed |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|
| 54 × 55           | 30.81±4.08c       | 38.16±3.82d     | 14.86±1.24f | 1.66±0.81g     |
| 58 × 56           | 46.32±2.26bcd    | 54.57±3.20c     | 19.33±1.36abc | 4.00±1.26d-g   |
| 56 × Sandik       | 47.53±4.71bcd    | 57.81±4.28c     | 20.22±1.20abc | 6.00±3.46cdeo  |
| 54 × 56           | 49.57±3.41bcd    | 58.31±2.91c     | 18.33±1.52cd  | 3.66±0.57cd-e  |
| 51 × Amasya       | 52.22±6.64b      | 64.61±7.00b     | 19.60±1.81abc | 13.60±2.07a    |
| 56 × Elstar       | 49.78±6.02d      | 65.98±2.67b     | 21.00±1.00a   | 10.00±1.00b    |
| 56 × 36           | 43.27±3.75d      | 55.21±3.25c     | 20.80±0.83a   | 8.20±1.30bc    |
| Golden × 32       | 50.13±3.88bc     | 58.36±3.99c     | 20.66±1.15ab  | 7.00±1.00bcd   |
| G.Smith × 56      | 45.51±2.16bcd    | 58.10±2.37c     | 18.66±1.15bcd | 9.33±1.15b     |
| 54 × 36           | 62.91±1.24a      | 73.25±3.20a     | 16.00±1.00ef  | 2.33±2.08fg    |
| 63 × 41           | 46.27±2.34bcd    | 54.29±1.81c     | 17.00±1.00de  | 5.00±1.73def   |
| **Mean**          | **46.54±8.17**   | **56.75±9.30**  | **18.86±2.30** | **6.30±3.93**  |

4. CONCLUSIONS

As a result, in this study, it was aimed to determine effect of different pollinators on the fruit set ratios and some fruit characteristics of some apple varieties and apple genotypes originating from Kyrgyzstan by hybridization breeding method in 2020 year. In the apple, where the self-incompatibility mechanism is observed, economic product losses arise due to pollination and fertilization. Even if pollination and fertilization normally occur, there are occasional adverse events in product quality in connection with environmental conditions and annual cultural practises. The results from the current study revealed that the father parent had positive effects, especially in combinations created with the same mother and different father pollinators.
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