A method to estimate the biomass of *Spirulina platensis* cultivated on a solid medium
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**Abstract**

This paper presents a method to estimate the biomass of *Spirulina* cultivated on solid medium with sugarcane bagasse as a support, in view of the difficulty in determining biomass concentrations in bioprocesses, particularly those conducted in semi-solid or solid media. The genus *Spirulina* of the family *Oscillatoriaceae* comprises the group of multicellular filamentous cyanobacteria (blue-green microalgae). *Spirulina* is used as fish feed in aquaculture, as a food supplement, a source of vitamins, pigments, antioxidants and fatty acids. Therefore, its growth parameters are extremely important in studies of the development and optimization of bioprocesses. For studies of biomass growth, *Spirulina platensis* was cultured on solid medium using sugarcane bagasse as a support. The biomass thus produced was estimated by determining the protein content of the material grown during the process, based on the ratio of dry weight to protein content obtained in the surface growth experiments. The protein content of the biomass grown in Erlenmeyer flasks on surface medium was examined daily to check the influence of culture time on the protein content of the biomass. The biomass showed an average protein content of 42.2%. This methodology enabled the concentration of biomass adhering to the sugarcane bagasse to be estimated from the indirect measurement of the protein content associated with cell growth.
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Estimating biomass can be considered one of the main difficulties in solid-state culture systems because it cannot be determined directly, unlike cultures in liquid media. This difficulty may limit studies focusing on the development of the process, since it precludes studies of the cell growth kinetics. Huang et al. (1985) list a number of methodologies used in the culture of microorganisms on solid culture medium for the determination of biomass. All the cited methods refer to indirect estimates such as the determination of DNA content, glucosamine levels, protein content and the carbon dioxide evolution rate. According to these authors, the direct determination of biomass as it is done in submerged cultures is not feasible in solid cultures due to the difficulty of separating the biomass from the culture medium.

Desgranges et al. (1991a, 1991b) used a large number of hand-operated biochemical methods based on the estimation of glucosamine, total sugars, ergosterol, and carbohydrate consumption. They also used online measurements based on infrared analyses of all components and the carbon dioxide evolution rate to estimate biomass in the culture of Beauveria bassiana by solid-state fermentation in a clay matrix.

Abdullah et al. (1985) estimated biomass content based on the protein content of cultured material determined by the Kjeldahl method, and reported that the biomass of Chaetomium cellulolyticum contained 50% protein. Sargantanis et al. (1993) also estimated biomass content by determining protein, and concluded that 1 g of Rhizopus oligosporus cells contained 0.2758 g of protein. Gutierrez-Rojas et al. (1995) studied the production of citric acid through solid-state fermentation by Aspergillus niger. They estimated the production of biomass from the determination of protein content by comparison with a control culture on Petri dishes.

According to Auria et al. (1995), some parameters that are indirectly related to biomass and microbial growth, such as increased temperature, exit gas composition, pH evolution, and pressure drop can be measured online.

In their studies of hyaluronic acid depolymerization, Macedo and Santana (2012) cultured Streptococcus zooepidemicus in apple peel bagasse. To measure the biomass and product, they used the leaching process to remove cellular material, which was determined based on dry weight after separation of the acid produced.

Dhillon et al. (2012) studied the production of hemi-cellulase and cellulase by Aspergillus niger cultured on apple bagasse. Zhu et al. (2012) examined the production of lipopeptides by Bacillus amylovorans XZ-173 in solid-state fermentation, using soy flour and rice bran as supports. In both studies, bacterial growth was measured by counting the colony-forming units.

No studies have been published about the cultivation of microalgae on solid medium, so the method proposed here enables estimates the biomass of *S. platensis* grown on solid medium using sugarcane bagasse as support.

In this study, the biomass of *S. platensis* grown on solid medium, using sugarcane bagasse as a support for growth, was estimated by determining the protein content by the Kjeldahl method.

**Microorganism and inoculum:** The microorganism used in this study was *S. platensis*. The inoculum was prepared in standard mineral medium (Paoletti et al., 1975) and cultured for seven days in Erlenmeyer flasks on an incubator shaking at 160 rpm, with 6 k lux lighting and a temperature of 30 °C, as described by Pelizer et al. (2003).

**Culture:** The *S. platensis* was cultured on solid medium composed of sugarcane bagasse ground in a Wiley mill with 0.5 mm mesh mixed with standard mineral medium (Paoletti et al., 1975) and supplemented with nutrient agar (8 g/L). The proportion of bagasse in the medium was 1.2% (0.6 g bagasse/49.4 g medium). This culture medium had an initial moisture content of 95.8%.

The composition of the standard medium was (g.L⁻¹): NaCl: 0.92; Na₂SO₄: 1.88; K₂HPO₄: 0.50; Na₂CO₃: 8.89; NaHCO₃: 15.15; CaCl₂·2H₂O: 0.05; KNO₃: 2.57; MgSO₄·7H₂O: 0.25; Fe-EDTA solution: 1 mL.L⁻¹ and trace element solution: 1 mL.L⁻¹ (composition (g.L⁻¹): H₂BO₃: 2.86; ZnSO₄·7H₂O: 0.222; NaMoO₄·2H₂O: 0.390; MnSO₄·H₂O: 1.543; CuSO₄·5H₂O: 0.079; CoCl₂·6H₂O: 0.038 g.L⁻¹).

Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 g of culture medium were inoculated and incubated at 30 °C under 6 k lux lighting. The concentration of inoculum was 0.15 g biomass.kg⁻¹ of moist culture medium. The culture was monitored for 7 days to determine the protein content of the cultured medium by the Kjeldahl method (conversion factor used was 6.25 g protein.g⁻¹ nitrogen) and the moisture content by gravimetry (AOAC, 1984).

**Estimation of biomass:** To estimate the biomass, *S. platensis* was grown on surface culture medium (standard mineral culture medium and nutrient agar - 8 g/L) in Erlenmeyer flasks at 30 °C under 6 k lux lighting. Samples were removed from day 3 to day 7 of the process. To this end, surface of each Erlenmeyer flask was washed with distilled water, thereby removing the biomass produced. The material thus obtained was filtered through a polyester membrane with 50 μm mesh diameter. The wet biomass retained on the filter was dried at 55 °C for 12 h (Pelizer et al, 1999). The total protein content of the dry biomass was evaluated to determine the influence of culture duration on this parameter. The protein and moisture content data were used to estimate the biomass. The flowchart of the process in Figure 1 illustrates the procedure used to estimate the biomass.

In this culture, the protein content of the biomass grown in Erlenmeyer flasks on surface medium was examined daily to check the influence of culture time on the protein content of the biomass. The biomass showed an
average protein content of 42.2% (± 0.18). The analyses were made in triplicate.

The biomass produced on each day of the culture process on solid medium was calculated using Eqs. (1) and (2),

\[ P_{\text{produced}} = P_{\text{final}} - P_{\text{initial}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where: \( P_{\text{produced}} \) - quantity of protein (g) produced on 100 g of dry culture medium (g protein.100 g dry culture medium\(^{-1}\)); \( P_{\text{final}} \) - protein content of dry culture medium at a given moment of the process - (g protein.100 g dry culture medium\(^{-1}\)); \( P_{\text{initial}} \) - protein content of dry culture medium at the beginning of the process - (g protein.100 g dry culture medium\(^{-1}\))

\[ B_{\text{produced}} = \frac{P_{\text{produced}}}{P_{S. platensis}} \cdot \frac{(100 - U)}{10} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where: \( B_{\text{produced}} \) = Produced biomass estimated from the protein content of the culture medium (g biomass.kg moist culture medium\(^{-1}\)); \( P_{S. platensis} \) = protein content of S. platensis grown on surface medium (g protein.g biomass\(^{-1}\)); \( U \) = moisture content of the culture medium (g H\(_2\)O.g culture medium\(^{-1}\))

**Table 1** - Results obtained in the cultivation of *S. platensis* on solid medium.

| Time (days) | Moisture (%) | Protein (%) | \( P_{\text{produced}} \) (g.100 g\(^{-1}\) dry medium) | \( B_{\text{produced}} \) (g.100 g\(^{-1}\) dry medium) | \( B_{\text{produced}} \) (g.kg\(^{-1}\) moist medium) |
|------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0          | 95.8(± 0.3)  | 2.47(± 0.04)| 0.00                           | 0.36            | 0.15            |
| 3          | 95.7(± 0.3)  | 2.64(± 0.03)| 0.17                           | 0.40            | 0.17            |
| 4          | 95.3(± 0.2)  | 3.21(± 0.03)| 0.74                           | 1.75            | 0.82            |
| 5          | 95.2(± 0.3)  | 3.47(± 0.03)| 1.00                           | 2.37            | 1.14            |
| 6          | 94.3(± 0.2)  | 5.18(± 0.02)| 2.71                           | 6.42            | 3.66            |
| 7          | 94.1(± 0.2)  | 4.51(± 0.02)| 2.04                           | 4.83            | 2.85            |
To exemplify, a calculation was made of the quantity of biomass produced on the seventh day of the process (Table 1).

If the initial protein content was 2.47% and the final content was 4.51%, this means that 2.04 g of protein were produced for every 100 g of dry culture medium during the process. Since the protein content of *S. platensis* is 42.2%, 1 g of *S. platensis* contains 0.422 g of protein. The production of 2.04 g of protein indicates a biomass content of 4.83 g of *S. platensis* in each 100 g of dry culture medium. Given that the moisture of the cultivated material is 94.1%, each 1 kg of moist culture medium contains 59 g of dry material. Therefore, 2.85 g of *S. platensis* kg of moist culture medium were produced.

This method enabled us to determine the cell growth curve of *S. platensis* cultivated on solid medium, using the results obtained (Table 1).

Based on these data, a kinetic study of the process was performed in terms of cell growth and a subsequent comparison with the culture in liquid medium. The culture in Erlenmeyer flasks using standard mineral medium yielded about 1.5 g of biomass L−1 in approximately 14 days of culture (Pelizer et al., 1999). Using the solid-state technique, 3.66 g of biomass kg of moist culture medium were obtained.

This method of biomass estimation was proposed based on the work of Abdullah et al. (1985), Sargantanis et al. (1993), and Gutiérrez-Rojas et al. (1995), who used a standard culture on surface medium in Petri dishes.

No studies have been published about the cultivation of microalgae on solid medium, so the method proposed here enables estimates to be made of the biomass of *S. platensis* grown on solid medium using sugarcane bagasse as support.

This methodology enabled the concentration of biomass adhering to the sugarcane bagasse to be estimated from the indirect measurement of the protein content associated with cell growth.
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