Acylcarnitine: Useful biomarker for early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in non-steatohepatitis patients
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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is necessary to improve the prognosis of patients. However, the currently available tumor biomarkers are insufficient for the early detection of HCC. Acylcarnitine is essential in fatty acid metabolic pathways. A recent study reported that a high level of acylcarnitine may serve as a useful biomarker for the early diagnosis of HCC in steatohepatitis (SH) patients. In contrast, another study reported that the level of acetylcarnitine (AC2) - one of the acylcarnitine species - in non-SH patients with HCC was decreased vs that reported in those without HCC.

AIM
To investigate the usefulness of acylcarnitine as a biomarker for the early diagnosis of HCC in non-SH patients.

METHODS
Thirty-three non-SH patients (14 with HCC and 19 without HCC) were enrolled in this study. Blood samples were obtained from patients at the time of admission. The levels of acylcarnitine and AC2 in the serum were determined through tandem mass spectrometry. The levels of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR-2) were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Univariate and multivariate analyses were used to determine early diagnostic factors of HCC.

RESULTS
The level of acylcarnitine was significantly lower in non-SH patients with HCC vs...
Acylcarnitine: A biomarker for the early diagnosis of HCC

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is among the types of cancer with the highest mortality rates worldwide[1,2]. Early diagnosis of HCC is necessary to improve the prognosis of patients. Recently, imaging diagnosis has been used for the detection of HCC at an early stage. However, this approach is limited by the high cost and side effects associated with the use of the contrast medium. Although numerous biomarkers, including α-fetoprotein (AFP), des-γ-carboxy prothrombin (DCP), and lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of α-fetoprotein (AFP-L3%) have been developed, they are not useful in the early diagnosis of HCC[3].

Carnitine is a water-soluble compound and an essential nutrient required in fatty acid metabolic pathways such as β-oxidation[4,5]. In humans, approximately 98% of the carnitine is present in the liver, skeletal muscle, heart, and kidneys[6]. In the plasma, carnitine is present as free carnitine or acylcarnitine[6,7]. Acyl-coenzyme A (CoA) synthetase catalyzes the conversion of fatty acids and CoA into acyl-CoA. Acyl-CoA is converted to acylcarnitine by carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT1) in the outer mitochondrial membrane, while acylcarnitine is converted back to acyl-CoA by CPT2 in the inner mitochondrial membrane[4,5]. This process is followed by β-oxidation. Therefore, CPT1 and CPT2 are involved in the metabolic pathways of fatty acids in the carnitine cycle. Following the downregulation of CPT1, the level of acylcarnitine is decreased. The inverse is observed following the downregulation of CPT2.

A recent study reported that the level of acylcarnitine in steatohepatitis (SH) patients with HCC was increased compared with that reported in SH patients without HCC[8]. In addition, CPT2 was downregulated in SH patients with HCC. Hence, a high level of acylcarnitine may serve as a useful biomarker for the early diagnosis of HCC in SH patients. Consequently, a high level of acylcarnitine has been linked to the
development of HCC in SH patients. In contrast, another study reported that the level of acetylcarnitine (AC2) - one of the acylcarnitine species - was decreased in non-SH patients with HCC vs those without HCC, the level of AC2 was associated with tumor stage, and the expression of AC2 in HCC tissue was decreased according to tumor stage[8]. In addition, CPT1 was shown to be downregulated in non-SH patients with HCC[8,9]. Therefore, a low level of AC2 has been associated with the development of HCC. Furthermore, a recent study reported that AC2 significantly downregulated the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR-2), C-X-C motif chemokine 12 (CXCL12) and C-X-C chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs)[10]. Thus, it was suggested that AC2 possesses anti-angiogenic properties through the VEGF and CXCL12 pathways.

Based on this evidence, it was hypothesized that the fatty acid metabolic pathways in the carnitine cycle may differ between SH HCC and non-SH HCC. Therefore, in the present study, we investigated the relationship between acylcarnitine and non-SH HCC, and assessed the usefulness of acylcarnitine as an early diagnostic biomarker for HCC in non-SH patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
The levels of acylcarnitine in the serum were evaluated in 40 cirrhotic patients (20 with HCC and 20 without HCC) admitted to Nara medical university from April to November 2016. We excluded patients with alcoholic SH and non-alcoholic SH. Eventually, a total of 33 non-SH patients (14 with HCC and 19 without HCC) were enrolled in this study. The diagnosis of liver cirrhosis was based on physical findings, laboratory tests, and histological criteria, according to the evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for liver cirrhosis established in 2015[11] by The Japan Society of Gastroenterology. All patients underwent blood examination, including for AFP, DCP, and/or AFP-L3%, every 3-4 mo. Moreover, they underwent ultrasound examination, dynamic computed tomography, and/or dynamic magnetic resonance imaging every 4-6 mo. The surveillance, diagnosis, and treatment of HCC was performed in accordance with the clinical practice guidelines for HCC established in 2013[12] by The Japan Society of Hepatology. After diagnosis of HCC, all HCC patients received radiofrequency ablation. None of the patients had infection, ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, uncontrolled gastroesophageal varices, or kidney disease. All patients provided written informed consent prior to their participation in this study.

Measurement of acylcarnitine levels
The levels of free carnitine and acylcarnitine in the serum were determined through tandem mass spectrometry[13] conducted at Sekisui Medical Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan).

Measurement of VEGF and VEGFR-2 levels
The levels of VEGF were determined using commercially available immunoassay kits from RayBiotech, Inc. (Norcross, Georgia, United States), while the levels of VEGFR-2 were determined using immunoassay kits from R and D Systems, Inc. (Minneapolis, Minnesota, United States). The detection limit for the level of VEGF was < 10 pg/mL, while that for the level of VEGFR-2 was < 11.4 pg/mL.

Statistical analysis
Differences between the groups were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Correlations were calculated using the Spearman rank test. Categorical data were analyzed using the Fisher’s exact test. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed to identify early diagnostic factors of HCC. A logistic regression analysis with stepwise selection of variables was applied to determine independent early diagnostic factors of HCC. The data are expressed as median (interquartile range). A two-tailed \( P < 0.05 \) denoted statistical significance. Analyses were performed using EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, version 2.13.0). Specifically, EZR is a modified version of R commander (version 1.6-5), including statistical functions that are frequently used in biostatistics[14].

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics of the patients
The characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. The median age of the
patients was 73 years (range: 66-77 years). The study population included 20 males and 13 females. Twenty patients had hepatitis B, nine had hepatitis C, and four had autoimmune hepatitis. The median maximum tumor size and median total tumor volume were 1.2 cm (range: 1.1-1.3 cm) and 6.3 cm³ (range: 5.0-14.2 cm³), respectively. The levels of AFP, DCP, AFP-L3%, VEGF, and VEGFR-2 in the serum were 4.7 ng/mL (range: 2.5-10.0 ng/mL), 20.0 mAU/mL (range: 17.0-29.3 mAU/mL), 0.5% (range: 0.5-3.7%), 50.1 pg/mL (range: 33.4-91.5 pg/mL), and 6537.2 pg/mL (range: 5687.9-7622.9 pg/mL), respectively.

Differences in carnitine, tumor markers, and angiogenic factors between non-SH patients with and without HCC
The level of acylcarnitine (Figure 1H) was significantly lower in non-SH patients with HCC compared with that reported in non-SH patients without HCC (P < 0.05). In addition, the level of AFP-L3% (Figure 1C) was significantly higher in non-SH patients with HCC than that observed in non-SH patients without HCC (P < 0.05). However, the levels of AFP, DCP, VEGF, VEGFR-2, total carnitine, and free carnitine (Figures 1A, B, D, E, F, and G, respectively) were not different between the patients with HCC and those without. Acylcarnitine was directly correlated with albumin (r = 0.494, P < 0.05). However, acylcarnitine was not correlated with tumor markers, including AFP-L3%.

Advantage of acylcarnitine as an early diagnostic biomarker of HCC
The univariate analysis showed that acylcarnitine and AFP-L3% was associated with the early diagnosis of HCC (Table 2). We performed a univariate analysis using acylcarnitine, AFP-L3%, DCP, VEGF and VEGFR-2 to identify early diagnostic factors of HCC. These factors demonstrated a P < 0.2 in the univariate analysis. Notably, the multivariate analysis identified acylcarnitine as a useful early diagnostic biomarker of HCC (Table 2). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis revealed that the cutoff value was 5.088, the specificity was 89.5%, the sensitivity was 92.9%, and the area under the curve (AUC) was 0.925 (Figure 2).

Acylcarnitine profiles between non-SH patients with and without HCC
The levels of AC2, hexanoylcarnitine (AC6), octanoylcarnitine (AC8), decanoylcarnitine (AC10), dodecanoylcarnitine (AC12), myristoleylcarnitine (AC14:1), and octadecanoylcarnitine (AC18) were significantly lower in non-SH patients with HCC compared with those reported in non-SH patients without HCC (all P < 0.05) (Table 3). However, the levels of propionylcarnitine (AC3), butyrylcarnitine (AC4), isovalerylcarnitine (AC5), glutarylcarnitine (AC5DC), 3-hydroxy isovalerylcarnitine (AC5OH), myristoylcarnitine (AC14), palmitoylcarnitine (AC16), 3-hydroxy palmitoylcarnitine (AC16OH), oleoylcarnitine (AC18:1), and 3-hydroxy octadecenoylcarnitine (AC18:1OH) were not different between the two groups of patients (Table 3). In addition, the levels of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (i.e., AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC5DC, AC5OH, and AC6), medium-chain fatty acids (MCFAs) (i.e., AC8 and AC10), and long-chain fatty acids (LCFAs) (i.e., AC12, AC14, AC14:1, AC16, AC16OH, AC18, AC18:1, and AC18:1OH) were significantly lower in non-SH patients with HCC than those observed in non-SH patients without HCC (all P < 0.05) (Table 3). The patients were categorized into two groups, according to the ROC cutoff value for AC2 (low, < 3.18; and high, ≥ 3.18; Figure 3A). The patients with AC2 < 3.18 had a significantly higher level of VEGF vs those with AC2 ≥ 3.18 (Figure 3B). The patients with HCC were categorized into two groups according to the median cutoff value for total tumor volume (low, < 6.3 and high, ≥ 6.3). The HCC patients with a total tumor volume of ≥ 6.3 had a significantly higher VEGF/AC2 ratio compared with those with a total tumor volume of < 6.3 (Figure 3C). These results indicated that AC2 may be associated with VEGF and HCC progression in non-SH patients.

DISCUSSION
Our present study reported that acylcarnitine may serve as a useful early diagnostic biomarker for non-SH HCC. A recent study reported that the level of AC2 was decreased in non-SH patients with HCC vs those without HCC. In addition, AC2 was associated with the tumor-node-metastasis stage of HCC in non-SH patients[8]. Acylcarnitine may be associated with the development and progression of HCC. Furthermore, angiogenesis plays an important role in the development and progression of HCC that were related to VEGF and VEGFR-2 because the VEGF and VEGFR-2 levels of patients were increased with the development and progression of HCC[15-18]. A previous study reported that AC2 suppresses the synthesis of VEGF and VEGFR-2 in HUVECs[19]. In addition, adhesion to the extracellular matrix, migration,
and invasion are key steps in the neovascularization of cancer. AC2 suppresses these processes in HUVECs through inhibition of CXCL12 and CXCR4. Notably, CXCL12 and CXCR4 induce angiogenesis[26,19] and the tumor escapes from immune surveillance[25]. Therefore, based on this evidence, AC2 may suppress the development and progression of HCC through CXCL12 and CXCR4, as well as VEGF and VEGFR-2. Further studies are warranted to investigate the relationship between AC2 and angiogenic factors such as CXCL12 and CXCR4.

HCC is a type of cancer induced by inflammation. Inflammation leads to oxidative stress, causing genomic damage and promoting hepatocarcinogenesis[20,21]. Hence, oxidative stress plays an important role in the development and progression of HCC.

A recent study reported that the expression of CPT1 in the carnitine cycle was downregulated by oxidative stress (i.e., CPT1 inactivated by H$_2$O$_2$ in vitro) [22]. Therefore, the level of acylcarnitine may decrease in non-SH patients with HCC through oxidative stress as a consequence of CPT1 downregulation in the carnitine cycle.

Our present and previous findings reported that the level of acylcarnitine was decreased in non-SH patients with HCC vs that detected in non-SH patients without HCC[10]. However, in SH patients with HCC, the level of acylcarnitine was increased compared with that reported in SH patients without HCC[10]. A recent study reported that the expression of CPT2 was downregulated in SH patients with HCC through suppression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α (PPAR-α), that is related to the development and progression of SH and HCC[6,23]. Furthermore, the downregulation of CPT2 induces activation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase, while AC18:1 - one of the LCFAs - promotes the activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), and suppression of PPAR-α induce the development and progression of HCC. In contrast, a previous study reported that the levels of AC18:1 and AC16 - one of the LCFA s - were decreased in non-SH patients with HCC vs those measured in non-SH patients without HCC[10]. Moreover, the study showed that LCFA s suppress the growth of various types of cancer (e.g., breast, prostate, etc.) in vitro[23]. In addition, our present study demonstrated that the levels of LCFA s in non-SH patients with HCC were decreased. Our present findings further show that the levels of MCFAs and SCFA s were decreased in non-SH patients with HCC compared with those observed in non-SH patients without HCC. Of note, a previous study reported that MCFAs and SCFA s suppress the growth of various tumors (e.g., colorectal, skin, breast, etc.) in vitro through downregulation of the c-Myc, Hippo-Yap pathway and/or Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase signaling[22-29] that induce the development and progression of HCC. In other words, the metabolic pathways of fatty acids in the carnitine cycle may differ between SH HCC and non-SH HCC. Further investigation is required to determine these differences.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of non-steatohepatitis patients without and with hepatocellular carcinoma

| Variable                        | Total (n = 33) | Patients without HCC (n = 19) | Patients with HCC (n = 14) | P value |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                        | 73 (66-77)    | 73 (68-77)                    | 72 (66-78)                | NS      |
| Sex (male / female)             | 20 / 13       | 9 / 10                        | 11 / 3                    | NS      |
| HBV/HCV/AIH                     | 20 / 9 / 4    | 11 / 5 / 3                    | 9 / 4 / 1                 | NS      |
| Albumin (g/dL)                  | 4.2 (3.8-4.5) | 4.4 (4.3-4.6)                 | 4.0 (3.8-4.1)             | <0.05   |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL)         | 0.8 (0.7-1.2) | 0.8 (0.8-1.2)                 | 0.9 (0.6-1.2)             | NS      |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (IU/L)| 29 (25-38)   | 29 (26-34)                    | 28 (24-44)                | NS      |
| Alanine aminotransferase (IU/L) | 24 (17-36)   | 21 (17-34)                    | 27 (19-38)                | NS      |
| Alkaline phosphatase (IU/L)     | 314 (233-442)| 314 (232-451)                 | 343 (225-432)             | NS      |
| γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (IU/L)| 34 (24-45)   | 34 (24-43)                    | 38 (24-63)                | NS      |
| Prothrombin time (%)            | 83 (75-90)    | 81 (77-86)                    | 88 (68-96)                | NS      |
| Child–Pugh score                | 5.0 (5-6)     | 5.0 (5-5)                     | 5.0 (5-6)                 | NS      |
| Platelet count (× 10^3/μL)      | 12.9 (9.2-15.1)| 11.9 (9.1-14.5)              | 13.6 (9.9-16.2)           | NS      |
| Maximum tumor size (cm)         | 1.2 (1.1-1.3) |                               |                           |         |
| Total tumor volume (cm$^3$)     | 6.3 (5.0-14.2)|                               |                           |         |
| UICC TNM stage (stage 1/stage 2/stage 3)| 1/9/4       |                               |                           |         |

Data are expressed as the median (interquartile range). P-values represent comparisons between non-steatohepatitis patients with and without hepatocellular carcinoma. HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis; UICC: Union for International Cancer Control; TNM: Tumor-node-metastasis.
A: Level of AFP; B: Level of DCP; C: Level of AFP-L3%; D: Level of VEGF; E: Level of VEGFR-2; F: Level of total carnitine; G: Level of free carnitine; H: Level of acylcarnitine. The level of acylcarnitine (H) was significantly lower in non-SH patients with HCC vs that observed in non-SH patients without HCC ($P < 0.05$). In addition, the level of AFP-L3% (C) was significantly higher in non-SH patients with HCC compared with that reported in non-SH patients without HCC ($P < 0.05$). However, the levels of AFP, DCP, VEGF, VEGFR-2, total carnitine, and free carnitine (A, B, D, E, F, and G) were not different between the two groups of patients. SH: Steatohepatitis; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; AFP: α-Fetoprotein; DCP: Des-γ-carboxy prothrombin; AFP-L3%: Lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive α-fetoprotein; VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGFR-2: VEGF receptor-2.

Several biomarkers$^{[30]}$, including AFP, DCP, and AFP-L3%, have been used for the early diagnosis of HCC. However, these examinations are associated with high cost and limited practicality in a clinical setting. In our present study, it was reported that acylcarnitine is a more useful early diagnostic biomarker of non-SH HCC compared with AFP, DCP, and AFP-L3%.

Notably, the present study was characterized by limitations. These were the small sample size and absence of pathophysiological data. Cirrhotic patients with HCC occasionally develop renal dysfunction. Moreover, a previous study reported that the level of acylcarnitine is decreased in patients with renal dysfunction compared with that measured in patients without renal dysfunction$^{[31]}$. Therefore, treating physicians should note that renal dysfunction may affect the value of acylcarnitine, when the latter is used as a biomarker for the early diagnosis of HCC. In addition, tumor markers are typically used for the diagnosis and anti-tumor effect of treatment. Thus far, it has not been clarified whether acylcarnitine is a useful biomarker for the effectiveness of treatment in non-SH HCC, and future studies should address this.

In conclusion, a low level of acylcarnitine is an independent early diagnostic
| Variable                                | OR (95% CI)        | P value |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Univariate analysis                     |                    |         |
| AFP > 10 ng/mL                          | 1.67 (0.332-8.37)  | 0.535   |
| DCP > 40 mAU/mL                         | 8.00 (0.776-82.5)  | 0.0806  |
| AFP-L3% > 5%                            | 1.35 (0.999-1.84)  | 0.0221  |
| VEGF > 60 pg/mL                         | 2.67 (0.630-1.3)   | 0.183   |
| VEGFR-2 > 6500 pg/mL                    | 2.83 (0.666-12.0)  | 0.159   |
| Total carnitine (per 1 μmol/L increase) | 0.979 (0.933-1.03) | 0.380   |
| Free carnitine (per 1 μmol/L increase)  | 0.991 (0.943-1.04) | 0.710   |
| Acylcarnitine (per 1 μmol/L increase)   | 0.0865 (0.0158-0.475) | 0.0049 |
| Multivariate analysis                   |                    |         |
| Acylcarnitine (per 1 μmol/L increase)   | 0.0941 (0.00137-0.646) | 0.0162 |

HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; AFP: α-Fetoprotein; DCP: Des-γ-carboxy prothrombin; AFP-L3%: Lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive α-fetoprotein; VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGFR-2: VEGF receptor-2; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio.

Biocarnitine is a biomarker for non-SH HCC. Moreover, the level of AC2 is associated with that of VEGF. Based on these findings, we anticipate that the development of new diagnostic approaches for HCC may involve acylcarnitine.
Table 3  Profiles of acylcarnitine in non-steatohepatitis patients without and with hepatocellular carcinoma

| Variable                        | Patients without HCC (n = 19) | Patients with HCC (n = 14) | P value |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Acetylcarnitine (AC2)           | 3.96 (3.33–4.92)              | 2.06 (1.335–2.255)          | < 0.05  |
| Propionylcarnitine (AC3)        | 0.339 (0.2875–0.4115)         | 0.3375 (< 0.24–0.473)       | NS      |
| Butyrylcarnitine (AC4)          | < 0.1 (< 0.1–0.1)             | 0.0795 (< 0.1–0.196)        | NS      |
| Isovalerylcarnitine (AC5)       | < 0.06 (< 0.06–0.1085)        | < 0.06 (< 0.06–0.0787)      | NS      |
| Glutarylcarnitine (AC5DC)       | < 0.05 (< 0.05–0.05)          | < 0.05 (< 0.05–0.05)        | NS      |
| 3-hydroxy isovalerylcarnitine (AC5OH) | < 0.1 (< 0.1–0.1)          | < 0.1 (< 0.1–0.1)           | NS      |
| Hexanoylcarnitine (AC6)         | 0.0531 (< 0.05–0.0596)        | < 0.05 (< 0.05–0.05)        | < 0.05  |
| Octanoylcarnitine (AC8)         | 0.176 (0.1425–0.2375)         | 0.0871 (0.0622–0.11025)     | < 0.05  |
| Decanoylcarnitine (AC10)        | 0.335 (0.256–0.432)           | 0.1315 (0.099675–0.171)     | < 0.05  |
| Dodecanolycarnitine (AC12)      | 0.105 (0.0849–0.1335)         | 0.02725 (0–0.06195)         | < 0.05  |
| Myristoylcarnitine (AC14)       | < 0.06 (< 0.06–0.06)          | < 0.06 (< 0.06–0.06)        | NS      |
| Myristoleylcarnitine (AC14:1)   | 0.185 (0.134–0.2325)          | 0.07825 (0.06305–0.09865)   | < 0.05  |
| Palmitoylcarnitine (AC16)       | 0.133 (0.1255–0.1485)         | 0.116 (0.10425–0.1310)      | NS      |
| 3-hydroxy palmitosylcarnitine (AC16OH) | < 0.03 (< 0.03–0.03)       | < 0.03 (< 0.0–0.03)         | NS      |
| Octadecanoylcaritnine (AC18)    | 0.0429 (0.03245–0.04775)      | 0.03315 (0.006625–0.039525) | < 0.05  |
| Oleoylcaritnine (AC18:1)        | 0.871 (0.6825–1.0350)         | 0.721 (0.61275–0.83775)     | NS      |
| 3-hydroxy octadecenoylcaritnine (AC18:1OH) | < 0.025 (< 0.025–0.02615)   | < 0.025 (< 0.025–0.025)     | NS      |
| Short-chain fatty acids (AC2–AC6) | 4.3362 (3.85995–5.561750)    | 2.4316 (1.86085–2.991425)   | < 0.05  |
| Medium-chain fatty acids (AC8 + AC10) | 0.50600 (0.40700–0.69100)   | 0.21385 (0.16545–0.28075)   | < 0.05  |
| Long-chain fatty acid (AC12–AC18:1OH) | 1.3668 (1.10655–1.5871)      | 0.9425 (0.836625–1.1807)    | < 0.05  |

Data are expressed as the median (interquartile range). P-values represent comparisons between non-steatohepatitis patients with and without hepatocellular carcinoma. HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma.

Figure 2  Diagnostic accuracy of acylcarnitine for the early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in non-steatohepatitis patients. ROC analysis of acylcarnitine revealed that the cutoff value was 5.088, the specificity was 89.5%, the sensitivity was 92.9%, and the AUC was 0.925.
Acetylcarnitine is associated with vascular endothelial growth factor and hepatocellular carcinoma progression in non-steatohepatitis patients.

A: ROC analysis of acetylcarnitine (AC2) for the early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in non-steatohepatitis patients revealed that the cutoff value was 3.18, the specificity was 84.2%, the sensitivity was 100%, and the AUC was 0.925; B: The patients were categorized into two groups according to the ROC cutoff value for AC2 (low, < 3.18; and high, ≥ 3.18). The patients with AC2 < 3.18 had a significantly higher level of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) compared with those with AC2 ≥ 3.18. The patients with HCC were categorized into two groups according to the median cutoff value for total tumor volume (low, < 6.3 and high, ≥ 6.3); C: The HCC patients with a total tumor volume of ≥ 6.3 had a significantly higher VEGF/AC2 ratio compared with those with a total tumor volume of < 6.3. AC2: acetylcarnitine; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor.
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Although numerous biomarkers, including α-fetoprotein (AFP), des-γ-carboxy prothrombin, and AFP-L3%, have been developed for early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), they are not useful in the early diagnosis of HCC.
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The fatty acid metabolic pathways in the carnitine cycle may differ between steatohepatitis (SH) HCC and non-SH HCC.
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This study aimed to investigate the usefulness of acylcarnitine as a biomarker for the early diagnosis of HCC in non-SH patients.

Research methods
Thirty-three non-SH patients (14 with HCC and 19 without HCC) were enrolled in this study. Blood samples were obtained from patients at the time of admission. The levels of acylcarnitine and acetylcarnitine in the serum were determined using tandem mass spectrometry. Univariate and multivariate analyses were used to determine early diagnostic factors of HCC.

Research results
The level of acylcarnitine was significantly lower in non-SH patients with HCC compared with those without HCC (P < 0.05). The multivariate analysis showed that a low level of acylcarnitine was the only independent factor for the early diagnosis of HCC.

Research conclusions
A low level of acylcarnitine is an independent early diagnostic biomarker for non-SH HCC. Moreover, the level of acetylcarnitine is associated with that of VEGF.
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We anticipate that the development of new diagnostic approaches for HCC may involve acetylcarnitine.
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