Unique copper and reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite toward the efficient electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide
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The electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to useful chemicals and fuels has garnered a keen and broad interest. Herein, we report a unique nanocomposite consisting of Cu nanoparticles (NPs) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) supported on a Cu substrate with a high catalytic activity for CO₂ reduction. The nanocomposite was optimized in terms of the composition of Cu NPs and rGO as well as the overall amount. A gas chromatograph was employed to analyze the gaseous products, whereas a chemical oxygen demand (COD) method was proposed and utilized to quantify the overall liquid products. The optimized nanocomposite could effectively reduce CO₂ to CO, HCOOH and CH₄ with a Faradaic efficiency (FE) of 76.6% at −0.4 V (vs. RHE) in a CO₂ saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The remarkable catalytic activity, high FE, and excellent stability make this Cu-rGO nanocomposite promising for the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to value-added products to address the pressing environmental and energy challenges.

Increasing levels of CO₂ in the atmosphere have created a highly concerning situation that continues to elevate global average temperatures. There is a growing frequency of reports related to the impacts of global climate change due to increasing greenhouse gas emissions via the continuous combustion of fossil fuels. One of the most notorious of the greenhouse gases is CO₂, which is released by both natural and anthropogenic processes. There is a great interest in capture and sequestration of CO₂ emissions prior to their release into the ambient atmosphere, or the conversion of this gas to useful products such as fuels. Over the last few decades, various electrocatalysts have been explored for the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to valuable fuels, and a wide range of gas and liquid products may be formed. Therefore, it is essential to accurately determine both the produced gases and the liquid fuels in order to precisely assess the FE. On one hand, the primary gas products include CO, methane (CH₄), and ethane, which may be determined using GC and gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS). On the other hand, potential liquid products include formate, acetate, aldehyde, alcohol and so on, which strongly depend on the electrocatalysts employed and the applied electrode potentials. Although high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), ion chromatography (IC) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) have been employed to analyze the liquid products, it remains quite challenging and time-consuming to quantify the various liquid products in order to determine the overall FE and assess the activity of the catalysts. A chemical oxygen demand (COD) method is commonly used in environmental analysis, and is based upon the complete oxidation of all organic species to CO₂, which is exactly the reverse of the CO₂ reduction process. In this study, for the first time we propose and employ the COD analysis to determine the overall FE associated with the conversion of CO₂ to liquid chemicals and fuels.

Graphene nanosheets have been widely doped and/or modified for catalytic and energy conversion applications. The unique electronic and physical properties of graphene may augment the reduction kinetics of CO₂ and enhance the reaction kinetics of noble metal nanoparticles. Copper is considered to be one of the eminent catalysts for the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to low-carbon fuels for high-density renewable energy storage.
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It has been reported that CO, CH₄, C₂ hydrocarbon, alcohols, formate, and acetate could be formed when Cu was used as an electrode in an aqueous solution. Several studies have been reported wherein the selectivity of Cu catalysts for the reduction of CO₂ was specifically dependent on its crystal facets. The surface structures of Cu electrodes, in conjunction with the applied electrode potential, are of intense interest for product selectivity. Density Function Theory studies have indicated that defective graphene-supported Cu nanoparticles may modify the structural and electronic properties of copper, toward enhancing the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to fuels (e.g., CH₄, CO, and HCOOH). However, despite the high catalytic activity of such Cu catalysts, they still suffer from low stability and large reaction overpotentials. Herein we report on the high-performance CO₂ reduction that is enabled by a unique nanocomposite of Cu NPs and rGO supported on a Cu substrate with high FE and stability for the efficient conversion of CO₂ to valuable fuels, including CO, CH₄, and formate.

**Results**

**Syntheses and characterization of Cu-rGO nanocomposites.** The Cu-rGO nanocomposite was formed directly on a Cu substrate using a facile electrochemical reduction method. A mixture of GO and Cu²⁺ precursors was cast on an etched Cu substrate; and the simultaneous formation of Cu-rGO nanocomposite was achieved via cyclic voltammetry (CV), which was carried out in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ in the potential range from 0.62 to −0.58 V vs. RHE for five cycles. The composition and thickness of the formed Cu-rGO nanocomposite were also optimized, with the experimental details described in the Methods. Figure 1a and b display the scanning electron
microscope (SEM) images of the formed Cu NPs in the absence of GO, and the Cu-rGO nanocomposite, respectively. It is evident that large grain-sized Cu particles were formed in the absence of GO. In contrast, Cu NPs with an average diameter of ~10 nm were distributed homogeneously on the rGO. Energy dispersive X-ray spectra (Supplementary Fig. S1) exhibited a strong Cu peak for the Cu NPs (Curve i) and an additional strong C peak for the Cu-rGO nanocomposite electrode (Curve ii). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic (XPS) measurements were further carried out for mixture of the GO and Cu precursor mixture as well as for the formed Cu-rGO nanocomposite electrode. Figure 1c and e display the high-resolution C1s XPS spectra prior to and following the electrochemical reduction, respectively. A series of fitting peaks were observed at 284.80, 285.76, 286.69, 287.95, and 290.39 eV, corresponding to sp2 C, C-OH, C-O, C=O, and HO-C=O bonds, respectively, as observed in GO21, 22. The peaks centred at 292.46 and 293.96 eV are due to the C-F3 and C-F2 groups of Nafion, which was used as the binding material of the nanocomposite to the substrate. As seen in Table S1, following the electrochemical reduction, the peaks of oxygen-containing groups decreased; and the proportion of C=O group increased enormously, revealing that the electrochemical treatment had a significant effect on the diminution of oxygen-containing functional groups. In the case of Cu, prior to the electrochemical treatment, three Cu2p peaks were observed in Fig. 1d, which might be attributed to the physicochemical interactions of Cu(II) species with the different functional groups of GO15, 39–41. Subsequent to the electrochemical treatment (Fig. 1f), a strong Cu(0) peak appeared at 934.12 eV and a small Cu(I) peak was observed at 931.78 eV. The associated Cu2p peak position, assignment, and atomic percentage before and after the electrochemical treatment are listed in Table S2. All the aforementioned results show that the GO and Cu2+ precursor can be effectively reduced to form the Cu-rGO nanocomposite.

The electrocatalytic activity of the formed Cu-rGO nanocomposite was initially studied using linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) and chronoamperometry (CA) in the presence of CO2 in 0.1 M NaHCO3 (pH 6.65). Figure 2a compares the LSV curves of the bare Cu substrate, Cu NPs, rGO, and the Cu-rGO nanocomposite recorded at 20 mV s−1. The Cu-rGO nanocomposite exhibited a much higher current density and earlier onset potential in contrast to the bare Cu substrate, Cu NPs, and rGO. The CA curves of these electrodes were measured at −0.4 V and compared in Supplementary Fig. S2, showing that the steady-state current was increased in the following order: bare Cu < rGO ≈ Cu NPs < Cu-rGO. It is noteworthy that the current density of the Cu-rGO nanocomposite was much higher, and the onset potential was much lower in comparison with other copper-based catalysts for the electroreduction of CO2 that have been recently reported in the literature36–38, 42–44.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was employed to determine the charge-transfer resistance. Nyquist plots (Fig. 2b) of the bare Cu, Cu NPs, rGO, and Cu-rGO nanocomposite electrodes were recorded in CO2-saturated 0.1 M NaHCO3 aqueous solutions at −0.4 V. All of the impedance curves exhibited a semi-circle
in the low-frequency region, which may correspond to the charge transfer resistance of the CO₂ reduction. The equivalent electrical circuit displayed in the inset was employed to fit the experimental data using the Z-view software, and the corresponding fitted results were summarized along with the percentage of errors in Supplementary Table S3. All of the solution resistances (Rs) were small, and the low error percentages indicated that the employed equivalent circuit fitted the impedance data well. All of the CPE-P values were >0.8, which signified that the constant phase element (CPE) behaviours were capacitor-like. The Cu-rGO nanocomposite exhibited the highest CPE-T value (1817.60 μF cm⁻²), which was over four-fold larger than that of the Cu NPs (427.38 μF cm⁻²) and over two-fold greater than that of the rGO (781.40 μF cm⁻²). Moreover, the Cu-rGO nanocomposite exhibited much lower charge-transfer resistance Rct (355.40 Ω cm⁻²), which was almost half of the Cu NPs (612.90 Ω cm⁻²). A short Warburg impedance (Ws) associated with Rct was included in the equivalent circuit in order to effectively fit the impedance spectra, indicating that the diffusion resistance also played an important role during the electrochemical reduction of CO₂. All of the EIS results further demonstrated that the Cu-rGO nanocomposite exhibited much higher catalytic activity toward the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ in comparison to the Cu NPs and rGO.

In order to optimize the composition and quantity of the nanocomposite, different Cu-rGO nanocomposites were prepared and studied. Figure 3 presents the LSV and CA curves of the prepared Cu-rGO nanocomposites, where the concentration of the Cu precursor was altered from 5 to 25 mM, and the GO concentration was varied from 0.25 to 1.5 mg mL⁻¹. As shown in both LSV (Fig. 3a) and CA (Fig. 3b) plots, the highest current density was achieved with the 10.0 mM Cu precursor. In the case of GO, the highest activity was observed when 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ GO was used, as seen in Fig. 3c and d. To study the effects of the thickness of the nanocomposite, similar experiments were conducted with the optimized composite mixture of the 10 mM Cu precursor and 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ GO, where the volume was changed from 25 to 150 μL. The highest current density was attained with 75 μL of the composite mixture, as shown in both the LSV and CA curves (Supplementary Information Fig. S3a and S3b). EIS was further carried out to investigate the charge-transfer resistance of the optimized Cu-rGO nanocomposite (75 μL of 10 mM Cu and 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ GO mixture) at four different applied electrode potentials (Fig. 4). The EIS curves were effectively fitted with the electrical circuit (inset of Fig. 4) with the results listed in Table S4 (Supplementary Information), revealing that the charge-transfer resistance was significantly decreased from 976.0 to 70.26 Ω cm⁻², with the increase of the cathodic potential from −0.3 to −0.6 V.

**Bulk electrolysis of CO₂.** In an attempt to achieve the bulk electrolysis of CO₂, we selected three potentials (−0.4, −0.5, and −0.6 V) for six hours of electrolysis using the optimized Cu-rGO nanocomposite in a CO₂-saturated 0.1 M NaHCO₃ electrolyte (pH 6.65). Figure 5a shows that the current density increased with
the elevation of the cathode potentials during the bulk electrolysis of CO2, which was indicative of accelerated CO2 reduction reaction rates at more negative potentials. The formation of a large quantity of product was also observed at more negative potentials, revealing that the Cu-rGO nanocomposite facilitated the charge-transfer for the CO2 reduction, while increasing the cathodic potential. Our GC analysis showed that CO and CH4 were the primary gas products generated from the electrochemical reduction of CO2. To confirm that rGO served as the potential carbon source, we have conducted two control experiments: (i) running the CA experiment at −0.5 V vs RHE for six hours in an Ar-saturated 0.1 M NaHCO3 solution using the rGO electrode; and (ii) performing the same CA test in an Ar-saturated 0.1 M Na2SO4 solution using the Cu-rGO nanocomposite. Only hydrogen was detected in the GC analysis for both cases, confirming that the CO and CH4 products were formed from the electrochemical reduction of CO2 using the Cu-rGO nanocomposite as the electrocatalyst. The FE for the formation of CO and CH4 at the different applied electrode potentials were calculated and plotted in Fig. 5b, showing that more CO was generated than CH4 and that the FE for the formation of the gas products was increased with the raising of the cathodic potential from −0.4 to −0.6 V.

As mentioned in the introduction, the COD analysis is just the opposite of the CO2 reduction; and this reverse conversion allows us to rapidly determine the total amount of electrons that are used in the electrochemical reduction of CO2 to produce the various organic liquid fuels as they will be completely oxidized via the following general equation during the COD analysis16, 17:

\[
\text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  

(2)

where each O2 molecule corresponds to a four-electron transfer, we may use the following equation to calculate the total charge (QCOD), which was consumed for the formation of the liquid fuels during the electrochemical reduction of CO2:

\[
Q_{\text{COD}} = \text{COD}[\text{mg L}^{-1}\text{O}_2] \times (4FV/32000)
\]  

(3)

where F is the Faraday constant and V is the volume of the solution. Thus, the Faradaic efficiency for the formation of the liquid products may be calculated as follows:

\[
\text{FE}_{\text{COD}}\% = \frac{Q_{\text{COD}}}{Q} \times 100
\]  

(4)

where Q is the overall charge passed during the electrochemical reduction of CO2. The FE_{COD} at the different potentials was calculated and plotted in Fig. 5b, showing that the FE in the formation of liquid chemicals/fuels was decreased when the cathodic potential was raised from −0.4 to −0.6 V. Our further HPLC analysis confirmed that the primary liquid product was formate with a trace amount of acetate. For comparison, the CA experiment was also performed at −0.5 V in an Ar-saturated 0.1 M Na2SO4 solution for six hours using the Cu-rGO nanocomposite. The subsequent COD analysis was carried out and no COD change of the solution was observed prior to and after the CA test, further confirming that the increase of the COD value in the electrolysis experiment performed in the CO2-saturated 0.1 M NaHCO3 solution was resulted from the electrochemical reduction of CO2. The total FE of the optimized Cu-rGO nanocomposite for the reduction of CO2 included two parts (the formation of the gas and liquid products); and it was calculated to be 76.6%, 69.2%, and 74.7% at the applied electrode potentials of −0.4, −0.5, and −0.6 V vs. RHE, respectively, and the rest ~25% might be attributed to the hydrogen evolution. The rates of the formation of the products at the different applied electrode potentials are presented.
in Fig. 5c, revealing that all the production rates were increased with the increase of the cathodic potential from $-0.4$ to $-0.6$ V and that a significant increase of the CO formation was observed in comparison of the formation of the other gas and liquid products. Various Cu-based materials have been explored for the electrochemical reduction of CO$_2$, and some of the promising results recently reported in the literature are compared in Table 1, showing that the unique Cu-rGO nanocomposite developed in the present study exhibited excellent FE for the efficient electrocatalytic reduction of CO$_2$ at a relative low overpotential.

Finally, the stability of the optimized Cu-rGO nanocomposite was tested at $-0.5$ V in one liter of CO$_2$-saturated 0.1 M NaHCO$_3$ over 15 hours, via the chronoamperometric method (Fig. 6), where CO$_2$ was continuously purged into the solution during the course of the test. Impressively, the current density was almost identical during the entire CO$_2$ reduction electrolyses, which demonstrated the high stability of the Cu-rGO nanocomposite electrode. The superior stability was further confirmed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopic (ICP-AES) analysis, where no Cu was detected in the electrolyte following the electrolysis.
A unique Cu-rGO nanocomposite has been developed in the present study as a high-performance electrocatalyst for the efficacious reduction of CO$_2$ in an aqueous solution, with a high current density and a low cathodic potential. The superior electrocatalytic activity and stability of the Cu-rGO nanocomposite achieved in our study can be attributed to the uniformly distributed small Cu nanoparticles on the rGO and the synergistic coupling effect of the formed nanocomposite. The electron transfer between the rGO and Cu nanoparticles may increase localized electron concentrations, resulting in significant enhancement of the catalytic activities of the nanocomposite for the electrochemical reduction of CO$_2$. The GC measurements indicated that CO and CH$_4$ were the primary gas products, while our HPLC analysis revealed that HCOO$^-$ was the dominant liquid product. In addition, we

### Table 1.

| Electrocatalyst | Applied potential | Products (FE%) | Total FE% | Ref. |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|------|
| Oxide derived Cu | $-0.40$ V vs. RHE | CO (38.5); HCOO$^-$ (10.8) | 49.3 | 39 |
| Cu nanowire | $-0.795$ V vs. RHE | CO (2.4); C$_2$H$_5$ (7.2); C$_3$H$_6$ (8.3); HCOO$^-$ (9.6); CH$_3$CH$_2$OH (10.8) | 38.64 | 46 |
| Carbon nanotube/copper sheets (CNT/Cu) | $-3.8$ V vs. Ag/AgCl | CO (1.6); CH$_4$ (6.8) | 7.6 | 43 |
| | $-5.0$ V vs. Ag/AgCl | CO (5.1); CH$_3$ (15.5); C$_2$H$_4$ (11.1) | 21.7 | |
| Copper nanoparticles supported on carbon black (40 wt% Cu/VC) | $-1.2$ V vs. Ag/AgCl | CO (15.0) | 15.0 | 44 |
| 20% Cu/CNT | $-1.7$ V vs. SCE | CH$_3$OH (38.4) | 38.4 | 47 |
| Carbon nanospoke electrode with electronegative Cu nanoparticles (Cu/CNS) | $-0.7$ V vs. RHE | CO (23.0); CH$_4$ (12.0) | 25.0 | 36 |
| Graphene confined Sn quantum sheets | $-1.2$ V vs. SCE | HCOO$^-$ (30.0) | 30.0 | 48 |
| Cu NPs loaded on glassy carbon (Cu NP/GC) | $-1.3$ V vs. RHE | CO (5.5); CH$_4$ (40.1); C$_2$H$_4$ (2.3); HCOOH (1.9); CH$_3$COOH (0.7) | 50.5 | 35 |
| Oxide derived Cu foam | $-1.0$ V vs. RHE | CO (~5.0); HCOO$^-$ (~5.0); C$_2$H$_4$ (~20.0); C$_2$H$_6$ (~25.0) | 55.0 | 49 |
| Copper nanoparticles supported on glassy carbon (n-Cu/C) | $-0.95$ vs. RHE | CH$_4$ (~15.0) | 15.0 | 25 |
| Cu mesocrystals | $-0.99$ V vs. RHE | CO (~2.0); CH$_4$ (~3.0); C$_2$H$_5$ (~27.0) | 32.0 | 50 |
| Cu nanoflower | $-1.6$ V vs. RHE | HCOOH (~20.0); CH$_4$ (~5.0); C$_2$H$_6$ (~10.0) | 65.0 | 28 |
| Cu-rGO | $-0.4$ V vs. RHE ($-1.0$ V vs. Ag/AgCl) | CO (21.7); CH$_4$ (8.6); Liquid products (46.2) | 76.6 | Present work |

**Figure 6.** The stability test of the optimized nanocomposite electrode carried at $-0.5$ V in a CO$_2$-saturated 0.1 M NaHCO$_3$ solution under continuous CO$_2$ purging.

**Discussion**

A unique Cu-rGO nanocomposite has been developed in the present study as a high-performance electrocatalyst for the efficacious reduction of CO$_2$ in an aqueous solution, with a high current density and a low cathodic potential. The superior electrocatalytic activity and stability of the Cu-rGO nanocomposite achieved in our study can be attributed to the uniformly distributed small Cu nanoparticles on the rGO and the synergistic coupling effect of the formed nanocomposite. The electron transfer between the rGO and Cu nanoparticles may increase localized electron concentrations, resulting in significant enhancement of the catalytic activities of the nanocomposite for the electrochemical reduction of CO$_2$. The GC measurements indicated that CO and CH$_4$ were the primary gas products, while our HPLC analysis revealed that HCOO$^-$ was the dominant liquid product. In addition, we
have employed COD analysis to quantify the overall liquid products, which provides a facile, rapid, and accurate method for the determination of the total FE for the conversion of CO2 to liquid products. The COD analysis may become a universal approach for quantification of the overall liquid products generated in other CO2 conversion processes, for instance, photochemical and photocatalytic reduction of CO2. The easy fabrication, cost-effectiveness, high intrinsic activity, superior stability, and excellent FE make the Cu-rGO nanocomposite developed in this study a very promising catalyst for the efficient electrochemical conversion of CO2 to valuable fuels. This might enable a new strategy for the restoration of the carbon balance, while contributing to the resolution of the climate change.

Methods

Materials. Graphene oxide, CuSO4·5H2O (99.999%), NaHCO3 (>99.0%), Na2SO4 (>99.5%) and a 10 wt.% Nafion solution were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Copper foil (99.998%, 0.5 mm thick) was purchased from Alfa Aesar; copper wire (99.9%, 1.0 mm diameter) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich; and carbon dioxide (99.9%) was purchased from Praxair. All electrochemical experiments were conducted in a 0.1 M NaHCO3 electrolyte solution under CO2 saturation. Double distilled water treated by a Nanopure Diamond water purification system (18 MΩ cm) was used in the preparation of all the solutions. All chemicals were used directly without further treatment.

Synthesis of Cu-rGO nanocomposites. To optimize the concentration of Cu precursor, a 50 μL mixed solution of Nafion (0.5%), GO (0.5 mg mL−1) and CuSO4·5H2O (5.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0, and 25.0 mM) was cast on a 1.0 cm2 Cu foil, which was etched for 30 s in 35% HNO3, washed with deionized water, and dried. To optimize the concentration of GO, a 50 μL suspension of Nafion (0.5%), CuSO4·5H2O (10 mM), and GO (0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0 and 1.50 mg mL−1) was cast onto the etched 1.0 cm2 Cu foil. Similarly, to optimize the Cu-rGO thickness, altered volumes of the suspension solution (25, 50, 75, 100 and 150 μL) containing 10.0 mM CuSO4·5H2O, 0.5% Nafion, and a 0.5 mg mL−1 GO were cast on the etched 1.0 cm2 Cu substrate.

The simultaneous formation of the Cu-rGO nanocomposite was carried out in 0.1 M Na2SO4 via cyclic voltammetry in the potential range between 0.0 to −1.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) for five cycles. The prepared Cu-rGO nanocomposites were subsequently rinsed with a copious volume of water and employed for further surface characterization and electrochemical measurements.

For comparison, Cu nanoparticles (NPs) were prepared using the same electrochemical reduction approach in the absence of GO. Briefly, a mixture of CuSO4·5H2O (10.0 mM) and Nafion (0.5%) in water was prepared and sonicated for 20 minutes. Subsequently, 75 μL of the mixture was cast on the etched Cu foil surface and dried in ambient air at room temperature. The rGO sheet electrode was then prepared by applying the identical conditions mentioned above for the Cu NPs; however, only GO (0.5 mg mL−1) with Nafion was used.

Structural characterization. Morphological surface studies and EDX analysis were carried out using a FE-SEM (Hitachi SU-70). XPS spectra were recorded via a Thermo Fisher XPS system, where the size of the X-ray spot was 400 mm, with an Al Kα monochromatic source. XPSPEAK 4.1 software was used for all of the data processing.

Electrochemical characterization. Linear Sweep Voltammetry and chronoamperometry were carried out with a CHI660E electrochemical workstation (CH Instrument Inc. USA) utilizing a conventional one-compartment three-electrode cell, whereas a platinum coil (10 cm2) was used as the counter electrode. A silver/silver chloride electrode (Ag/AgCl, 3.0 M) was utilized as the reference electrode and all the aforementioned electrode potentials were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale using the following equation:

\[ E(\text{vs. RHE}) = E(\text{vs. Ag/AgCl}) + 0.210V + 0.0591V \times pH \]

A VoltaLab potentiostat (PGZ-301) was employed for the Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopic (EIS) measurements, and the frequency was varied from 100 kHz to 10 mHz with an a.c. voltage amplitude of 10 mV. Data acquisition and analyses were performed using Z-view software, which was employed to fit and obtain an equivalent circuit for EIS data. The solution was purged with CO2 in order to achieve a CO2-saturated condition. All electrochemical experiments were conducted at ambient room temperature (20 ± 2 °C).

Product analysis. A gas-tight two-compartment electrochemical cell was used for the product formation and analysis. A cationic exchange membrane (CMI-7000S) was utilized as a separator in the cell. Each compartment contained 35.0 ml of the electrolyte; the working electrode and reference electrode (Ag/AgCl) were in the same compartment, whereas the counter electrode was in a separate compartment. Prior to testing, the electrolyte was purged once again with CO2 gas for at least 30 minutes. A gas-tight syringe (Hamilton®TM, 50 μL) was used to transfer the evolved gases into the gas chromatography (Varian 450-GC) to analyze the gas products. The resulting liquid products were qualitatively analyzed using HPLC (Varian Prostar 230 with a Symmetry®C8 column containing dimethylsilyl bonded amorphous silica-acetonitrile). The COD analysis was conducted using 174–334 accu-TEST standard range (5–150 mg/l) twist cap vials for quantitative determination. The solution (2.0 mL) was then transferred to a vial that contained a chromic acid solution, heated to 150 °C for two hours and then allowed to cool. To establish the actual COD values, the results were subtracted from the values of a blank solution (CO2 saturated 0.1 M NaHCO3 solution). The UV absorbance was recorded at 420 nm using an HACH-DR 2800 portable spectrophotometer.
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