Analysis of the Transmission of Project Duration and Cost Impacts Based on the GERT Network Technique
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Abstract: In engineering projects, project duration and a cost increase occur in every phase and will propagate their impacts downstream. Associated with the compensation of project duration, the duration and cost impacts are transferred among phases. In this paper, after illustrating the transmission of project duration and cost impacts, we will use the risk transmission theory and GERT (Graphic Evaluation and Review Technique) network technique to build a transmission model. We have designed equivalent parameters of project duration and cost impacts in the GERT model for serial, parallel, and hybrid structures. The algorithm of the GERT network for project cost and duration transmission is analyzed. The effectiveness of this model and algorithm is proved by numerical examples. This paper provides a new analytical method for cost and duration control of engineering projects.
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1. Introduction

Hydraulic engineering projects often involve numerous risk factors, which propagate between different phases during a project and may affect the implementation of each phase. There are three dynamic changes in the project risk transmission, which have incremental impacts: (1) project nodes affecting the internal risk system under external risk impact; (2) the change of internal risk system of project nodes; (3) transmission of risk from project nodes’ internal system. On the one hand, each risk element is transferred between operational processes. Instead of an incremental transmission, this is a superimposed transmission that follows the moment generating function. On the other hand, multiple risk factors may affect one implementation process, and the effects of cross-risk transmission should also be considered. During one project, risks will be attached to various carriers and transmitted through all phases of the project along the direction of operational processes, in its original form or a coupling-mutated new form. When being forced to accept risks transferred from upstream nodes, downstream nodes can only reduce their losses by improving their own risk handling capabilities. However, such an approach will not change the total amount of transferred risks. Due to multiple interactions of risk factors, the downstream nodes may also conversely transmit risks upstream, forming dynamic and continuous risk feedbacks in the project implementation system. Specifically, upstream costs and duration impacts caused by internal and external interfering factors may pass downstream. Meanwhile, downstream may also compensate for the project duration to upstream. This is the transmission of duration and cost impacts between project phases.
Research on project risk transmission has made progress in academia: Haynes defined the risk as the possibility of loss. Li Cunbin et al. [1–9] built risk element transmission models with the GERT, data mining technology, rough set theory, chaos theory, genetic algorithm and dynamics theory, etc. They studied the equilibrium relationship of risk-duration-cost in engineering projects with different goals, including those of energy-saving construction enterprises and power supply enterprises. Liu Xiaoju et al. [10] put forward algorithms for risk transmission, thus providing a basis for risk control of total duration. By constructing a cognitive-constraint model for analyzing the cause and evolution of complex systematic incidents, Wang Ying et al. [11] analyzed the risk transmission and control of complex systems from a systematic perspective. Zhao Daozhi et al. [12] studied the risk transmission mechanism of project duration at single and full periods based on PERT (Program/Project Evaluation and Review Technique), and they came up with a solution to the problem based on the law of risk transmission. Wang Yuanming et al. [13] proposed a two-phase controllable quality risk transmission model, and an effective risk control method for supply chains of construction projects. Yuan et al. [14] studied the risk transmission mechanism of supply chains. Ren et al. [15] studied the human resources sharing-equilibrium based on risk transmission theory.

As a network analysis technique that allows probabilistic treatment, GERT is based on the CPM/PERT (Critical Path Method) and has been widely studied and used in risk management, project management, time/cost analysis, activity duration control, cost control, and system simulation. Kurihara et al. [16] studied the efficiency of Monte Carlo simulation of a GERT-type network for project management. Zhou Hougui [17] established a GERT network model of a concrete longitudinal cofferdam construction in the Three Gorges Project, and successfully solved the stochastic problems in the construction. The GERT model was used to study the meta-transmission impact of project duration impacts. He et al. [18] provided an analytical solution of a cost-time-linear-dependent GERT model for project activities. Based on the suppliers’ quality management on complex products, Liu Yuan et al. [19] built the GERT network model of suppliers’ quality-loss transmission and designed an effective algorithm to the solution. Wang [20,21] designed a GERT network model of the quality impact transmission in concrete construction based on the quality gain-loss function theory. In this way, the critical quality sources in the dam’s concrete construction network could be identified. Wu [22], Zhou [23], and Nelson [24] et al. respectively studied the application of the GERT technique in logistics support, waste remanufacturing, and concurrent product manufacturing.

After the literature review, it should be noted that fruitful results have been obtained in project duration control, risk transmission, and the GERT network technique. However, the impact of duration compensation has rarely been considered in the studies of duration risk transmission, and little work has been reported on the interactive influence of duration and cost transmission. This paper has analyzed the transmission of duration and cost in the project, as well as their impacts. It is based on the risk transmission theory and GERT network technique. A GERT model on the transmission of project duration and cost impacts is built to analyze their transmission in the project.

2. Design of the GERT Network for Cost Loss Transmission and Duration Gain-Loss Transmission

2.1. Analysis of the GERT Network

The GERT network for cost and duration gain-loss transmission is composed of three elements: arrow lines, nodes, and flows. The arrow line is a directional line starting at one node and going to another, representing the transmission of duration and cost between different tasks in a network. Nodes are points at which arrows start and finish, indicating a task or each completed phase. The flow quantifies the transmission between different tasks in the network, such as the gain or loss of duration, cost, and the probability of transmission.

If the task \( z \) is the final task, it still has duration gains and costs and compensates for the duration of its immediate predecessor. To clarify the transmission of a project’s duration gain, and final task’s...
duration gain and compensation, it is assumed that a virtual task \( z + 1 \) is added after the task \( z \), which does not exist in the actual process of a project.

In the GERT network, the cost and duration transmission are always directional, and each transmission contains two parameters, namely, the additive factor parameter (duration and cost) and the multiply factor parameter (probability). In other words, the duration and cost are the incremental parameters in the transmission, while probability is the multiplicative quantity. In the GERT network calculation, the multiply parameter can directly use the signal flow graph to determine the implementation value of any target node with Mason’s gain formula, but the additive factor parameter needs to be converted from an additive operation to a multiply operation with a moment generating function to obtain the expected parameter.

The basic components of the GERT network for cost and duration gain-loss transmission are shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Basic components of the GERT network for duration and cost transmission.](image)

As shown in Figure 1, \( U_{i,j} \) stands for the duration gain-loss flowing from task \( i \) to its immediate successor \( j \); \( V_{i,j} \) denotes the cost flowing from the task \( i \) to \( j \); \( p_{i,j} \) denotes the probability of duration gain-loss flow and cost loss flow; \( M_{i,j} \) denotes the conditional probability function of duration transmission, and \( H_{i,j} \) denotes the conditional probability function of cost transmission. \( C_{i,j} \) indicates the duration compensation from the task \( j \) to \( i \); \( q_{i,j} \) denotes the probability of duration compensation, and \( N_{i,j} \) denotes the conditional probability function of the transmission of duration compensation. It is assumed that \( i \) is the initial operation; thus, the duration compensation amount for its immediate predecessor is zero, i.e., \( C_{i,0} = 0 \).

The duration compensation for each task is conducted during the project implementation. In other words, the duration compensation of the task \( j \) for its immediate predecessor \( i \) is transferred to the successor task after completion of task \( j \), without changing the actual project duration of the completed task \( i \). Therefore, as shown in Figure 1, the duration compensation of task \( j \) for its immediate predecessor \( i \) is marked under the arrow line, only representing the duration compensation of task \( j \) for its immediate predecessor \( i \), without indicating the transmission process from \( i \) to \( j \). Moreover, during project implementation, the cost of each task is relatively independent, and there is no cost compensation between operations. The transmission of duration gain-loss and cost loss is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Schematic diagram of duration and cost transmission.](image)

Based on the logical relationship between tasks, the GERT network of cost and duration transmission could be divided into serial, parallel, and self-loop structures. The network is shown in Figure 3.
As shown in Figure 3, for the duration gain-loss transmission flow $U_{ij}$ and the cost loss transmission flow $V_{ij}, i \neq j$, it indicates that the task $i$ is implemented according to the plan, and its successor task $j$ can continue on its basis; if $i = j$, a loop will be formed at task $i$, indicating that the duration (or cost) of task $i$ has changed, which can be regarded as the adjustment of task $i$’s duration or cost.

2.2. Design of Moment Generating Function

For the random variable $X$ and real numbers, let $M_X(s)$ represent the moment generating function of the random variable $X$. In the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission, if the duration loss $U_{ij}(x)$ transferred from task $i$ to the successor task $j$ follows a particular probability distribution $f_{ij}(x)$, the moment generating function of duration loss transmission $U_{ij}$ is:

$$ M_{ij}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{sx} f_{ij}(x) \, dx $$

(1)

For any cost loss $V_{ij}(x)$ transferred from the task $i$ to the successor task $j$ that follows a particular probability distribution $h_{ij}(x)$, we have:

$$ V_{ij}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{sx} h_{ij}(x) \, dx $$

(2)

For any duration compensation $C_{ij}(x)$ produced by the task $j$ for its predecessor $i$ that follows a particular probability distribution $g_{ji}(x)$, we have:

$$ N_{ij}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{sx} g_{ji}(x) \, dx $$

(3)

2.3. Design of Transfer Function

In the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission, if the duration loss $U_{ij}(x)$ transferred from the task $i$ to the successor task $j$ follows a particular probability distribution $f_{ij}(x)$, and the probability of duration loss transmission $(i, j)$ to be performed is $p_{ij}$, the characteristic transfer function of the duration loss transmission $U_{ij}(x)$ will be:

$$ W_{ij}^u(s) = p_{ij} \cdot M_{ij}(s) = p_{ij} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{sx} f_{ij}(x) \, dx $$

(4)

If the cost loss $V_{ij}(s)$ transferred from the task $i$ to the successor task $j$ follows a particular probability distribution $h_{ij}(x)$, and the probability of cost loss transmission $(i, j)$ to be performed is $p_{ij}$, the characteristic transfer function of the cost loss transmission $V_{ij}$ is:

$$ W_{ij}^c(s) = p_{ij} \cdot V_{ij}(s) = p_{ij} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{sx} h_{ij}(x) \, dx $$

(5)

If the duration compensation $C_{ij}(x)$ produced by the task $j$ for its immediate predecessor $i$ follows a particular probability distribution $g_{ji}(x)$, and the probability of duration compensation transmission $C_{ij}(x)$ is:

$$ W_{ij}^c(s) = p_{ij} \cdot C_{ij}(s) = p_{ij} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{sx} g_{ji}(x) \, dx $$

(6)
(i, j) to be performed is $q_{ij}$, the characteristic transfer function of the duration compensation transmission $C_{ij}$ is:

$$W_{ij}^c(s) = q_{ij} \cdot N_{ij}(s) = q_{ij} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{sx} g_{ij}(x) dx$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

3. Calculation of Equivalent Parameters of the GERT Network Model for Duration Gain-Loss and Cost Loss Transmission

For most networks, there are three logical structures: serial structure, parallel structure, and hybrid structure. They also exist in the GERT network of transmission for duration gain-loss and cost loss. As the characteristics of the GERT networks in which the basic serial structure, parallel structure, and hybrid structure satisfy the signal flow rule, the GERT networks with special or shaped nodes can be transformed into signal flow graphs. Thus, all the principles of signal flow graphs apply similarly to the GERT models. The Mason’s gain formula-based analysis on the network equivalent parameters of the three structures is as follows.

3.1. Calculation of Equivalent Parameters of the GERT Network for Duration Gain-Loss and Cost Loss Transmission with a Serial Structure

The structure that consists of multiple tasks in a series is called a serial structure. Due to their linear characteristics, many serial structures can be transformed into the equivalent network with a single vector. In other words, a single arrow equivalent network connecting the first and last operations can replace the serial structure, as shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4](image)

Figure 4. Calculation of equivalent parameters of the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission with a serial structure.

The equivalent parameter of the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission with serial structure is the sum of the product of equivalent transfer functions of cost loss and duration loss and duration compensation of each adjacent task:

$$W_{1,n}(s) = W_{1,n}^{uv}(s) + W_{n,1}^c(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} W_{i,i+1}^{uv}(s) + \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} W_{i,i+1}^c(s)$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

3.2. Calculation of Equivalent Parameters of the GERT Network for Duration Gain-Loss and Cost Loss Transmission with a Parallel Structure

Similar to the parallel circuit structure, the GERT network with a parallel structure starts from task 1 and has m parallel transmission paths to reach task n. The corresponding duration gain-loss and cost loss transfer function of the k-th path is $W_{1,n}^{uk}(s)$, where the cost loss and duration loss transfer function is $W_{1,n}^{uvk}(s)$ and the duration compensation transfer function is $W_{1,n}^{ck}(s)$. A GERT network with a parallel structure is shown in Figure 5.
3.3. Calculation of Equivalent Parameters of the GERT Network for Duration Gain-Loss and Cost Loss Transmission

The equivalent parameter of the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission with parallel structure is the sum of equivalent transfer functions of duration gains-losses of each transmission path, which is given by:

\[
W_{1,n}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} W_{1,n}^{k}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} W_{1,n}^{wck}(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} W_{1,n}^{ck}(s) \\
= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{n} W_{ij+1}^{wi}(s) + \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} W_{ij}^{ci}(s)
\]

(8)

3.3. Calculation of Equivalent Parameters of the GERT Network for Duration Gain-Loss and Cost Loss Transmission with a Hybrid Structure

The network structure with a loop is called a hybrid structure. The basic GERT network with a hybrid structure is shown in Figure 6.
The equivalent parameter of the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission with a hybrid structure is the sum of the duration compensation transfer function and the reciprocal of the cost loss and duration loss transfer function by one and then subtracting the self-loop transfer function; therefore:

\[ W_{ij}(s) = \frac{W_{ij}^{\mu}(s)}{1 - W_{ij}^{\mu}(s)} + W_{ij}^{\nu}(s) \]  

(9)

4. Construction and Solution of the GERT Network Model for Project Duration Gain-Loss and Cost Loss Transmission

4.1. GERT Network Model of Multi-Risk Factor Transmission

Let us assume that in the GERT network there are \( n \) kinds of risk factors between task \( i \) and task \( j \), including \( R_1, R_2, \ldots \) and \( R_n \), and that the realization probability of the activity \( (i, j) \) is \( P_{ij} \), as shown in Figure 7.

![Diagram of transmission structure of \( n \) independent risks.](image)

Figure 7. Transmission structure of \( n \) independent risks.

Let the risk factors including \( R_1, R_2, \ldots \) and \( R_n \) be linearly correlated and satisfy a linear combination; hence:

\[ Y = \alpha_1 R_1 + \alpha_2 R_2 + \ldots + \alpha_n R_n \]  

(10)

where \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \) and \( \alpha_n \) are constants, and for the moment generating function of \( Y \), there is

\[ M_Y(s) = E(e^{sY}) = E(e^{\sum \alpha_k R_k}) = e^{\sum \alpha_k R_k} \]  

(11)

Thus, the transfer function of the activity \( (ij) \) is:

\[ W_{ij}(s) = P_{ij} \cdot M_Y(s) = P_{ij} \cdot \left( \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{s_k \alpha_k R_k} \right) \]  

(12)

When there are \( n \) kinds of risk factors, they only change the transfer function between activities \( (i, j) \). There is no need to change the network structure. Thus, the equivalent transmission probability of the activity \( (i, j) \) is:

\[ P_{ij} = W_{ij}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)|_{s_k=0} = W_{ij}(0, 0, \ldots, 0) \]  

(13)

The moment generating function of the activity \( (i, j) \) is:

\[ M_{ij}(s) = \frac{W_{ij}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)}{P_{ij}} = \frac{W_{ij}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)}{W_{ij}(0, 0, \ldots, 0)} \]  

(14)

The mean and variance of activity \( (i, j) \) risk can be obtained from the equivalent moment generating function:

\[ E(R) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[ \frac{W_{ij}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)}{W_{ij}(0, 0, \ldots, 0)} \right]_{s_k=0} \]  

(15)

\[ V(R) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \left[ \frac{W_{ij}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)}{W_{ij}(0, 0, \ldots, 0)} \right]_{s_k=0} - \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[ \frac{W_{ij}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)}{W_{ij}(0, 0, \ldots, 0)} \right]_{s_k=0} \right\}^2 \]  

(16)
In this way, the expected values and variances of multi-risk factors of activity \((i, j)\) can be solved. Based on the obtained expected values and variances, the absolute measure \(\sqrt{\mathcal{V}(s)}\) and risk degree \(\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{V}(s)}}{\mathcal{E}(s)}\) of the risk factor can be derived. After defining the \(W\) function of each activity, the signal flow graph can be used to solve the equivalent transfer function \(W_{ES}\) of the whole network. All the network parameters can be worked out through the inversion of the moment generating function.

In the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission, firstly, the moment generating function of risk factors on each branch line is obtained by their probability density functions. Secondly, the formula \(W_{ij}(s) = p_{ij} \cdot M_{ij}(s)\) is used to calculate the characteristic transfer function of each branch line, and the characteristic transfer function \(W_E(s)\) of the general route from one task to another task is solved through the combination of the principle of signal flow and the logical relationship between operations. Thirdly, the realization probability \(p_E(s)\) of the general route from one task to another task in the GERT network is obtained with the characteristics of the moment generating function. In this way, the signal flow graph and momentum generating function are combined in the GERT network, and the solution of the GERT network model for the duration gain-loss and cost loss is obtained.

### 4.2. Construction of GERT Network for Project Duration Gain-Loss and Cost Loss Transmission

The construction process of an engineering project is divided into five phases: (1) project identification, (2) project preparation, (3) project procurement, (4) project implementation, and (5) project operation and transmission. Based on the GERT random network, a node denotes a systematic phase and the arrow line stands for the transmission between phases. The GERT network model for project duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission is shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8. The GERT network for project duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission.](image)

As shown in Figure 8, the state transition between five nodes is rather complex. Nodes 3 and 4 show the self-loops, indicating the feedback of problems in the procurement and implementation phases. Meanwhile, long problem feedback also exists in the identification, preparation, procurement, and implementation phases. This study only considers the duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission between different phases of a project, without considering the feedback effect between projects.

### 4.3. Solution of the GERT Network for Project Duration Gain-Loss and Cost Loss Transmission

There are seven first-order loops in the GERT network for project duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission, respectively as \(C_{12}G_{12}G_{34}G_{41}, G_{33}, G_{44}, G_{23}G_{34}G_{42}, G_{23}G_{32}, G_{34}G_{43}, G_{45}G_{54}\). Let \(T(L_1)\) be the transmission coefficient of the first-order loop, and we have:

\[
T(L_1) = W_{G_{12}}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G_{23}}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G_{34}}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G_{41}}(s_1, s_2) \\
+ W_{G_{33}}(s_1, s_2) + W_{G_{44}}(s_1, s_2) + W_{G_{23}}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G_{34}}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G_{42}}(s_1, s_2) \\
+ W_{G_{23}}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G_{32}}(s_1, s_2) + W_{G_{34}}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G_{43}}(s_1, s_2) + \\
W_{G_{45}}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G_{54}}(s_1, s_2)
\]

(17)
Let $T(L_2)$ be the transmission coefficient of the second-order loop; hence:

$$
T(L_2) = W_{G33}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G34}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G32}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G35}(s_1, s_2) \\
+ W_{G33}(s_1, s_2) + W_{G45}(s_1, s_2) + W_{G34}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G32}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G35}(s_1, s_2)
$$

(18)

Based on Mason’s gain formula in a signal flow graph, the transfer function from node 1 to node 5 can be obtained:

$$
W_{G15}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{W_{G12}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G32}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G34}(s_1, s_2) \cdot W_{G35}(s_1, s_2)}{1 - T(L_1) - T(L_2)}
$$

(19)

Thus, the equivalent probability between node 1 and node 5 is:

$$
P_{15}(s_1, s_2) = W_{G15}(s_1, s_2) |_{s_1=0, s_2=0} = W_{G15}(0, 0)
$$

(20)

The equivalent moment generating function between node 1 and node 5 is:

$$
M_{G15}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{W_{G15}(s_1, s_2)}{P_{15}(s_1, s_2)} = \frac{W_{G15}(s_1, s_2)}{W_{G15}(0, 0)}
$$

(21)

The first-order partial derivatives $\partial M_{G15}(s_1, s_2)/\partial s_1$ and $\partial M_{G15}(s_1, s_2)/\partial s_2$ of $s_1$ and $s_2$ for $M_{G15}(s_1, s_2)$ are obtained respectively. The mean values of duration gain-loss and cost loss are obtained, respectively:

$$
E(t) = \left[ \frac{\partial M_{G15}(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_1} \right]_{s_1=0}
$$

(22)

$$
E(c) = \left[ \frac{\partial M_{G15}(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_2} \right]_{s_2=0}
$$

(23)

The respective variances of duration gain-loss and cost loss are:

$$
V(t) = \frac{\left[ \frac{\partial^2 M_{G15}(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_1^2} - \left( \frac{\partial M_{G15}(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_1} \right)^2 \right]}{s_1=0}
$$

(24)

$$
V(c) = \frac{\left[ \frac{\partial^2 M_{G15}(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_2^2} - \left( \frac{\partial M_{G15}(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_2} \right)^2 \right]}{s_2=0}
$$

(25)

The duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission from source node 1 to terminal node 5 are solved through the GERT network. In other words, the duration gain-loss and cost loss are respectively transferred between node 1 and node 5 with mean values of $E(t)$ and $E(c)$, variances of $V(t)$ and $V(c)$, and probability $P_{15}$.

Based on the calculation of equivalent parameters in the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission with serial, parallel, and hybrid structures in Section 3, we have:

$$
W_{G12}(s_1, s_2) = W_{G12}^{uv}(s_1, s_2) + W_{G12}^{c}(s_1)
$$

(26)

$$
W_{G21}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{W_{G21}^{uv}(s_1, s_2)}{1 - W_{G21}^{uv}(s_1, s_2)} + W_{G21}^{c}(s_1)
$$

(27)

$$
W_{G34}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{W_{G34}^{uv}(s_1, s_2)}{1 - W_{G34}^{uv}(s_1, s_2) - W_{G44}^{uv}(s_1, s_2)} + W_{G34}^{c}(s_1)
$$

(28)
\[ W_{G_{45}}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{W_{G_{45}}^{uv}(s_1, s_2)}{1 - W_{G_{44}}^{uv}(s_1, s_2)} + W_{G_{45}}^{c}(s_1) \]  

(29)

The formulas (22), (23), (31), (32), (33), and (34) are introduced into the formulas (4)–(10), thus obtaining the duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission from project identification (node 1) to project transmission (node 5). Based on the formulas (25), (26), (28), (29), and (30), the probability, expected value, and variance of duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission are respectively obtained. The final step is to show the process of project duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission.

5. Case Analysis

The implementation of a water supply project in a city is divided into five phases: project identification, preparation, procurement, implementation, and transfer. The GERT network of project implementation is shown in Figure 8, and the activity parameters of the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission in project implementation is shown in Table 1.

Taking the dimensionless coefficients \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) as 0.025 and 0.150, respectively, the linear correlation between cost loss risk factor \( C \) and duration gain-loss risk factor \( T \) can be expressed as \( Y = 0.025C + 0.15T \). Based on the definitions of the parameter, moment generating function, and transfer function of each activity, the moment generating function and transfer function of the activities of the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission can be obtained, as shown in Table 2.
Table 1. Activity parameters of GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission in the implementation of water supply project in a city.

| Activity | Duration Loss Transmission $U_{ij}$ | Cost Loss Transmission $V_{ij}$ | Duration Compensation Transmission $C_{ij}$ |
|----------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|          | Distribution Parameter Type         | Mean Value (Day)                | Distribution Parameter Type                   | Mean Value (Ten Thousand Yuan) | Mean Value (Day) | Variance |
| 1→2      | Normal distribution                | 30                               | Normal distribution                           | 600                          | 20               |          |
| 2→3      | Normal distribution                | 80                               | Normal distribution                           | 3000                         | 50               |          |
| 3→4      | Normal distribution                | 50                               | Normal distribution                           | 2000                         | 30               |          |
| 3→5      | Constant distribution             | 20                               | Normal distribution                           | 200                          | 15               |          |
| 3→2      | Constant distribution             | 10                               | Normal distribution                           | 200                          | 0                |          |
| 4→5      | Normal distribution                | 40                               | Constant distribution                        | 26,000                       | 160              |          |
| 4→4      | Normal distribution                | 60                               | Normal distribution                           | 300                          | 40               |          |
| 4→3      | Constant distribution             | 0                                | Normal distribution                           | 200                          | 30               |          |
| 4→2      | Constant distribution             | 0                                | Normal distribution                           | 300                          | 0                |          |
| 5→4      | Normal distribution                | 50                               | Normal distribution                           | 200                          | 40               |          |

Table 2. Moment generating function and transfer function of activities of the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission.

| Activity | Cost Loss Transmission $V_{ij}$ | Duration Loss Transmission $U_{ij}$ | Duration Compensation Transmission $C_{ij}$ |
|----------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|          | Transfer Function Code           | Transfer Function $V_{ij}(s)$      | Mean Value (Ten Thousand Yuan) | Mean Value (Day) | Variance |
|          |                                   |                                    | 1→2                                        | 1→2                                        |          |
| 1→2      | 1.00 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{6000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{3000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{3000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{2000}$ | 1.00 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{6000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{3000}$ | 1.00 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
| 2→3      | 1.00 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{2500}$ | 1.00 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | 1.00 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
| 3→4      | 0.80 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | 0.80 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | 0.80 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
| 3→5      | 0.15 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | 0.15 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | 0.15 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
| 3→2      | 0.05 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | 0.05 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | 0.05 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
| 4→5      | 0.85 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | 0.85 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | 0.85 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
| 4→4      | 0.05 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | 0.05 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | 0.05 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
| 4→3      | 0.05 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | 0.05 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | 0.05 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
| 4→2      | 0.03 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | 0.03 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | 0.03 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
| 4→1      | 0.02 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | 0.02 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | 0.02 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
| 5→4      | 1.00 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{5000}$ | 1.00 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               | $\frac{1}{2}\phi_{20000} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{10000}$ | 1.00 W_{G_{ij}}(s)               |
The transfer function of the GERT network for duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission is shown in Table 3.

| Serial Number | Transfer Function Code | Transfer Function $W_G(s_1, s_2)$ |
|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1             | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2} + e^{7.5s_1s_2} + e^{108s_1s_2}$ |
| 2             | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2}$ |
| 3             | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2}$ |
| 4             | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2}$ |
| 5             | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2}$ |
| 6             | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2}$ |
| 7             | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2}$ |
| 8             | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2}$ |
| 9             | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2}$ |
| 10            | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2}$ |
| 11            | $W_G(s_1, s_2)$        | $= e^{3.75s_1s_2} + e^{5s_1s_2}$ |

The transfer function $W_G(s_1, s_2)$ from node 1 to node 5 can be obtained from the formulas (22), (23), and (24). The equivalent probability $P_{15}(s_1, s_2)$ from node 1 to node 5 can be obtained from the formulas (26)–(30) and is 0.8560, while the expected value $E(t)$ and the variance $V(c)$ of duration gain-loss transmission are 78.23 and 62.57, respectively. The expected value $E(c)$ and the variance $V(c)$ of cost loss transmission is equal to 132.52 and 103.28, respectively.

6. Conclusions

In hydraulic engineering projects, risk factors occur frequently and their impacts are often transferred between different phases in the implementation of a project. During the implementation, the cost and duration impacts from upstream operations are transmitted downstream, whether in the original risk form or in new risk forms after coupling mutations. Duration compensation from the downstream to the upstream may also occur. This is the transmission of project duration gain-loss and cost loss. Based on the linear relationship between cost loss and duration gain-loss transmission, this paper illustrates the transmission and its impacts. It has combined project risk transmission theory with the GERT network technique and built a GERT model of project duration gain-loss and cost loss transmission. It has designed an effective solution, analyzed the transmission, and verified the validity and practicability of the model and its algorithm with numerical examples. To conclude, the paper has provided a new analytical method and research thinking for better control of project expenditure and schedule.
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