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Abstract

Survivors of traumatic spinal cord injury (tSCI) have intense healthcare needs during acute and rehabilitation care and often through the rest of life. To prepare for a growing and aging population, simulation modeling was used to forecast the change in healthcare financial resources and long-term patient outcomes between 2012 and 2032. The model was developed with data from acute and rehabilitation care facilities across Canada participating in the Access to Care and Timing project. Future population and tSCI incidence for 2012 and 2032 were predicted with data from Statistics Canada and the Canadian Institute for Health Information. The projected tSCI incidence for 2012 was validated with actual data from the Rick Hansen SCI Registry of the participating facilities. Using a medium growth scenario, in 2032, the projected median age of persons with tSCI is 57 and persons 61 and older will account for 46% of injuries. Admissions to acute and rehabilitation facilities in 2032 were projected to increase by 31% and 25%, respectively. Because of the demographic shift to an older population, an increase in total population life expectancy with tSCI of 13% was observed despite a 22% increase in total life years lost to tSCI between 2012 and 2032. Care cost increased 54%, and rest of life cost increased 37% in 2032, translating to an additional CAD $16.4 million. With the demographics and management of tSCI changing with an aging population, accurate projections for the increased demand on resources will be critical for decision makers when planning the delivery of healthcare after tSCI.
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Introduction

Spinal cord injury can result in irreversible motor, sensory, and autonomic dysfunction leading to devastating impacts on the individual, both socially and economically. The intense healthcare needs for patients with traumatic spinal cord injury (tSCI) demand a number of expensive resources such as acute trauma care, rehabilitation, and also typically lifelong follow-up. It is estimated that ~44,000 individuals live with tSCI in Canada (1298 per million).1 The acute care cost during the first year of injury is estimated to be in the excess of CAD $120,000 per patient2; thereafter, the estimated lifetime cost for living with the injury can range from CAD $1.47 million for patients with incomplete paraplegia to $3 million for those with more severe tSCI.3 This type of injury presents a significant economic burden to both the patient and the healthcare system as a whole.

The two major causes and populations of those injured are young men in motor vehicle accidents and older persons in falls, which were reported to account for 35% and 31% of Canadian SCI cases, respectively.4 A recent contrasting trend toward declining rates in motor vehicle-related injuries and increasing rates in fall-related injuries, however, has been noted nationally and internationally by...
several epidemiological studies, all in an effort to plan priorities for resource allocation and prevention initiatives.5-10

Consistent with the contrasting trend, the mean age of patients with tSCI has been on the rise at both the provincial and national level in Canada. In 2001, the mean age was reported to be 35.3,11 41.5 in 2004,12 48.5 in 2008,13 and 54.6 in 2011,13 paralleling the expanding proportion of persons older than 60 years at injury as documented in reviews of the literature.14,15 The profound consequences of tSCI in older persons are projected to escalate globally over the next 20 years as the world population grows and ages. In developed nations, the population aged 60 or over is increasing at a rate of 2% annually; at this pace, the total number of those aged 60 or over will increase from 269 million to 416 million by the year 2050.16 According to this projected demographic shift, some forecasting studies based on ordinary least squares method,17 Poisson regression modeling,18 and functional data analysis19 have projected sharply increasing rates of incidence of fall-related tSCI in older persons; these projections offer insights for healthcare professionals and administrators into the estimates of SCI patient numbers and highlight the need for the treatment and care of SCI unique to older patients.

The economic burden imposed by this imminent increase of tSCI incidence among older persons has not been explored, specifically in terms of the cost that will be borne by healthcare facilities such as tSCI-specialized hospitals and rehabilitation centers, and the long-term cost given the lifelong implication of the injury. The purpose of this study is to forecast the financial resources needed to plan for such a future using a computer simulation model from the Access to Care and Timing (ACT) project. The ACT project is a multicenter study to model the continuum of tSCI care from the point of injury to acute and rehabilitation care through to community reintegration. The simulation model was developed with system level data from participating acute care and rehabilitation facilities across Canada including information on utilization, cost, and logistics specific to the facility, and with patient-level data from the Rick Hansen Spinal Cord Injury Registry (RHSCIR)20 and the National Rehabilitation Reporting System (NRS) at the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI).21 The power and sophistication of the model derives from the use of probabilities at every stage to assign outcomes for simulated persons with tSCI according to the patient attributes such as age, gender, and injury severity, as well as system outcomes according to the specifics of the participating facility.

In this study, which was initiated in 2009, data from primary sources and population projections were used to forecast tSCI incidence for the years 2012 and 2032 in an age-, gender-, and province-specific manner. The projected tSCI incidences were used subsequently as inputs in the simulation model to estimate outcomes on the financial resources for the system including lifetime direct and indirect healthcare costs, and long-term health outcomes including life expectancy, quality-adjusted life years, and rate of mortality. With this information on both the system and patients, we hope to demonstrate the need for sufficient healthcare facilities and trained professionals to manage the unique challenges of tSCI in the future, and the need for prevention strategies targeted at reducing the incidence of these devastating injuries.

Methods

Data sources for population projections and tSCI incidences

The population estimates for the years 1971 to 2009 and the population projections for the years 2010 to 2032 were obtained from the Demography Division of Statistics Canada.22 The population projection scenario chosen was M4 (medium growth) in which medium values were assumed for fertility, life expectancy, and immigration.

Records for tSCI cases identified by specific International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision (ICD 10), external cause of injury codes (E codes)23 were obtained from the National Trauma Registry (NTR) in Discharge Abstract Database (DAD) at CIHI.21 The DAD contained records of the patients who were discharged from all acute care hospitals including those who died during admission but not those who died before admission. Data for acute admissions in Quebec were not included because their provincial trauma registry was not linked to CIHI. Information on the age and gender were collected to calculate the age- and gender-specific injury rates for each province.

Traumatic SCI incidence projection

To project provincial tSCI incidence, injury rates were applied to the population projection. To obtain outcome estimates relevant to the Incidence Forecasting Model (IFM) of the ACT Model,24 only patients with tSCI admitted to a designated SCI acute facility (RHSCIR facility) were considered, of which six facilities across Canada were included in the present study. To estimate the future tSCI admissions to a RHSCIR acute facility, the number of admissions to a RHSCIR facility was divided by the provincial injury rates of the same time range, which was then applied to the projected provincial tSCI incidence. Each facility had its own time range. All analyses were performed using SAS software, Version 9.3 of the SAS System for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Validation of 2012 tSCI incidence projection

At the initiation of this analysis in 2009, projections were calculated for 2012 and 2032. In the interest of validating our projection, actual numbers of acute admissions were obtained from RHSCIR for the year 2012 and compared with the projected numbers.

Forecasting healthcare costs and outcomes with ACT Modeling

The projected tSCI admissions for the years 2012 and 2032, encoded with the age and gender distribution of patients, were used as inputs for the ACT Model. The development of the ACT Model has been described elsewhere.25-26 The outputs from the ACT Model used in this study included: system outcomes (acute length of stay [LOS], probability of rehabilitation admission, and rehabilitation LOS); patient outcomes (probability of inhospital death, life expectancy [number of years lived by the person post-tSCI], life years lost [compared with life expectancy for uninjured persons of the same age], quality-adjusted life years [QALYs, dependent on neurological level and presence of secondary complications]); and costs (inhospital care costs [based on a fixed cost for basic physician services, total number of acute and rehabilitation days, and on neurology],2,27 and long-term care costs directly attributable to tSCI incurred in the rest of life [ROL]).

The calculation of ROL cost was dependent on life expectancy, neurological level, and functional impairment (American Spinal Injury Association [ASIA] Impairment Scale [AIS] of the International Standards of Neurological Classification of SCI [ISNCSI]).28 The 2032 cost estimates were adjusted for inflation based on the Consumer Price Index29 by applying a conservative rate of 1% annual increase in healthcare costs giving a cumulative increase of 20% between 2012 and 2032.

Twenty replications were performed for each simulated individual. Patient level outcomes were reported at the individual patient level in which the averages of these outcomes were calculated for all individuals within one replication, then the averages and
standard deviations were calculated across all replications. Population level outcomes were aggregated for all the individuals from each facility. To give perspective on the increase of healthcare financial resources for tSCI care as forecasted by the simulation model, projected outcomes were presented as a percent change calculated by the outcome value obtained for 2032 minus the outcome value obtained for 2012, divided by value of 2012.

Results

Projection of tSCI incidence

By 2032, injuries among persons over age 60 are projected to account for 46% of all tSCI in Canada, where the median age for the future population under the M4 scenario is projected to be 57.

Projection of tSCI admissions to RHSCIR facilities

Because the primary data source for the ACT Model was from RHSCIR acute facilities participating in the ACT project at the time of analysis, it was more applicable to analyze outcomes based on the projected tSCI admissions to the specific RHSCIR facility rather than a general provincial projection. The RHSCIR-specific projections (Table 1) that included the age and gender distribution of patients were inputted in the ACT Model. Modest increase of tSCI admissions was observed for most age groups except for the 61+ age group that had almost twice as many projected tSCI admissions in 2032 than 2012 (Table 1).

Validation of tSCI admissions projection for 2012

Compared with the projected tSCI admissions for 2012, the actual numbers of admission were close to the predicted numbers for all facilities except facility 6 where the projection was greatly overestimated (Table 2). Projections for the younger male groups were slightly higher and for the 61+ age group of both genders were slightly lower than the actual numbers (Table 2).

Prediction of healthcare costs and outcomes

Projected outcomes for each RHSCIR facility are presented in Tables 3 and 4. Based on the future tSCI admissions to RHSCIR facilities, the ACT Model calculated an increase of 31% in acute admissions across Canada in year 2032 compared with 2012 (Table 5). The predicted average probability of inhospital death increased by 87% over the next 20 years (Table 5), implying fewer admissions to rehabilitation facilities and subsequently a smaller change in the number of admissions to rehabilitation projected for 2032 (Table 5). The change in average acute and rehabilitation LOS between 2012 and 2032 was not statistically significant.

At the patient level, the increase in average age of the simulated individuals was the leading factor driving the decrease in life expectancy and life years lost observed between 2012 and 2032. The QALYs lived at the patient level went down accordingly, and ROL costs changed negligibly (Table 4). When these outcomes were examined at the population level, however, because of the projected demographic shift to the older age group, an overall increase in life expectancy of 13% between 2012 and 2032 was observed, despite an increase in total life years lost (Table 5). Further, the increase in projected acute admissions driven by the growing and aging population directly impacted and amplified the care cost and ROL cost by 54% and 37%, respectively (Table 5). The combined care cost

| Facility | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Females  |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 16 to 30 | –1| 0 | –1| 0 | 2 | –2|
| 31 to 45 | –1| –1| –1| 1 | 0 | –2|
| 46 to 60 | 1 | 0 | –1| 0 | 3 | –2|
| 61+      | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | –2| –1|
| Males    |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 16 to 30 | 3 | –4| –4| –1| 3 | –16|
| 31 to 45 | 5 | –2| –2| –2| –2| –11|
| 46 to 60 | 0 | –1| 1 | 0 | 2 | –3|
| 61+      | 2 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 3 | –3|
| Total    | 9 | 1 | –3| 7 | –3|   |

*Each of the six participating facilities was assigned a number randomly.
and ROI cost was projected to be CAD $16.4 million more for 2032 compared with 2012.

Discussion

As a novel modeling and prediction approach in the area of health research, the ACT Model was used in the present study to forecast the healthcare financial resource for future tSCI care in Canada. The direct and indirect costs of tSCI injury as well as the long-term health outcomes were calculated based on the demographic profile of the projected tSCI. The model used real data obtained from various RHSCIR facilities that accounted for specific estimates from both the patient and system level. The strength of the model lies in its ability to examine the tSCI care continuum to provide a comprehensive analysis of the entire system and capture the impact of any changes upstream and downstream within the system. It is hoped that the results from this study will provide the framework to motivate policymakers to set priorities in public health and economic investments to reduce the burden of these injuries well into the future. Given similar trends in the tSCI incidence observed worldwide, our findings may be of relevance internationally to a varying degree depending on differences in SCI management.

Our study projected a significant increase in the demands on the acute and rehabilitation resources in the next 20 years as illustrated by the increase in the associated care costs. This projected increase in cost was attributed directly to the predicted surge in the number of acute admissions that was in turn caused largely by tSCI epidemiology shifting to the older population. As this population expands with concurrent increase in life expectancy, more fall-related tSCI being the principal etiology in older women.11,30 For the younger male groups, the actual admissions being lower than the projected numbers could be attributed to awareness and preventative efforts to reduce traffic injuries associated with these groups.35 A higher burden in terms of QALYs should be expected because of the additional years lived at lower health state by the older population. The current simulated QALYs were based on injury severity and rates of complications that were not age-specific; as such, the increase in QALYs was driven mostly by the increase in life expectancy.25 Older persons with a tSCI, however, often have higher rates of complications compared with younger individuals,30–32 resulting in potentially more years lived at a lower level of health overall. Further, literature has indicated the value of a QALY may be different for the older population for which the public’s willingness to pay for an additional QALY is often lower than that for the younger population.33,34

Deviations were observed in the projected tSCI admissions for 2012 when compared with actual data in the 61+ age group, the younger male groups, and for facility 6. Actual admissions of the older age group for both genders exceeded the projection supporting the well-documented rise in tSCI incidence in older persons17–19 and fall-related tSCI being the principal etiology in older women.11,30 For the younger male groups, the actual admissions being lower than the projected numbers could be attributed to awareness and preventative efforts to reduce traffic injuries associated with these groups.35

The high 2012 projected tSCI admission for facility 6 was derived from the reportedly high percentage of provincial tSCI admitted to that RHSCIR facility during the period of study with an average of 64% compared with the average of 18% observed in other facilities. This high percentage at first glance appeared overly large because this province has other Level I/II trauma centers capable of providing definitive trauma care across the different

### Table 3. Percent Increase in Projected Outcomes of Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury between 2012 and 2032*

| Projected outcomes          | Facility 1 | Facility 2 | Facility 3 | Facility 4 | Facility 5 | Facility 6 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Admission to acute          | 34%       | 34%       | 29%       | 39%       | 21%       | 30%       |
| Inhospital death            | 63%       | 108%      | 87%       | 121%      | 87%       | 74%       |
| Admission to rehabilitation | 31%       | 24%       | 17%       | 34%       | 12%       | 25%       |

*Each of the six participating facilities was assigned a number randomly.

### Table 4. Percent Change in Projected Long-Term Outcomes of Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury between 2012 and 2032*  

| Projected outcomes          | Facility 1 | Facility 2 | Facility 3 | Facility 4 | Facility 5 | Facility 6 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Life expectancy             | –16%      | –15%      | –15%      | –15%      | –25%      | –13%      |
| Life years lost             | –9%       | –9%       | –7%       | –5%       | –9%       | –8%       |
| QALYs                       | –16%      | –15%      | –15%      | –15%      | –25%      | –13%      |
| ROL costs                   | 3%        | 4%        | 4%        | 3%        | –6%       | 6%        |

*Each of the six participating facilities was assigned a number randomly.

QALYs, Quality-adjusted life years; ROL, rest of life.
high prevalence of degenerative disk disease.14 Not surprisingly, consistent with the literature on older age being associated with increased hospital death rates.2,10 In Canada, the average LOS for patients with tSCI has been reported to be 100 days compared with 34 days for the current average acute LOS. To reduce cost, there is a trend of increasing rehabilitation LOS, with the average LOS in rehabilitation projected to be close to 100 days compared with 34 days for the current average acute LOS.

This translated to a smaller increase in the subsequent admission to rehabilitation facilities (25%), assuming rehabilitation admission criteria remain the same. This modest increase in rehabilitation admission would still have amplifying cost consequences because the average LOS in rehabilitation was projected to be close to 100 days compared with 34 days for the current average acute LOS.

Consistent with the long stays in rehabilitation and its associated high cost, a recent population-based cost analysis reported that the average per-patient cost of rehabilitation in Ontario was found to be three times that of acute care.2 To reduce cost, there is a trend of shifting care from inpatient to outpatient settings and implementing case-costing models in rehabilitation. Such practice change influenced by new policies, different payment schemes, and technology advances, all of which are unpredictable but inevitable, is difficult to account for in the simulation model. The projected LOS based on current practice and patients’ clinical characteristics represents cost-saving potential and provides incentives to reduce the LOS in rehabilitation while maintaining patients’ outcome, but it is unclear whether this could be achieved for this aging population.

This shift in epidemiology has wide implications for patient outcomes and healthcare resource needs. Given the physiology of older patients, they are less able to tolerate the physiological stress and experience of living with SCI, comorbidities and advanced age have been found to contribute to higher rates of acute readmission after SCI.41–42 Thus, more geriatricians trained in SCI and specialized facilities will be required to manage these complex conditions in older patients.

Being injured at older age is also associated with higher inhospital death after tSCI43 as observed in Canada,44 United States,10 and Australia.18 The low likelihood to survive after the injury has led to an increasing trend to offer palliative treatment to patients older than 70 with a severe injury. A recent study from the Netherlands on end of life decisions in patients with tSCI showed that most of the inhospital deaths after tSCI had end of life decisions reported (63%); and that those decisions were found associated with advanced age, comorbidities and level of SCI.45 This has timely implication in countries like Canada where end of life decisions have been subverted from other poor prognostic medical conditions and recently applied to patients with SCI.

These compelling reasons together with our cost projection analysis highlighted the need of aggressive effort to prevent tSCI in this specific older population. Prevention initiatives such as the Parachute44 and THINK FIRST Program have contributed successfully to a decrease in hockey-related spinal injuries and traffic-related injury rates of tSCI in North America.35 Perhaps similar strategies could be developed with an emphasis on this new demographic. It would be of great interest to use the ACT Model to analyze the potential savings and long-term benefits under a scenario where a national health goal is implemented to target a reduction of a certain percentage of tSCI cases in the older population.

### Limitations

For the prediction of tSCI incidence, it was assumed that the rate and tendency toward sports or high-risk activities remained the same at the population level, meaning any effect from preventative initiatives was not considered. A limitation on using the NTR’s hospital discharge records included the sensitivity of such records to identify SCI being between 77% and 94%.4 For predicting future SCI admission to RHSCIR facilities, it was assumed that the proportion of interprovincial transfer would stay the same and that the routing of injured people within the province would stay the same. There could be inaccuracy in the data sources: it was possible that RHSCIR captured fewer patients with tSCI than were actually admitted, and it was also possible that RHSCIR was overreporting those who were treated by spine surgeons but did not have a SCI.

Several assumptions were necessary to support the ACT Model. It was assumed that no changes in both the acute and rehabilitation LOS would take place between 2012 and 2032, which is not likely with increasingly more evidence showing the decline in LOS and shifting to outpatient rehabilitation.45 It was also assumed that the threshold of injury severity for hospital admission and the medical practice would remain constant, but this might be undermined by the differential care received by older patients who are reportedly more likely to have delayed treatment or be treated in nontrauma centers.46,47

The cost calculations were made under the assumption that the measures were the same in the future years as they were currently, which likely reflected an underestimation of actual costs in the future given the high cost often associated with advanced medical technology. With the imminent healthcare reform and its consequential changes in practice, the assumptions applied in the model limited the relevancy of the projections on the financial care costs to the current time. Finally, it is clear that updated RHSCIR facility-specific measures will enhance the utility of the model, while longitudinal data collection of tSCI populations by RHSCIR will

### Table 5. Percent Increase in Projected Outcomes of Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury between 2012 and 2032 at the Canadian Level

| Projected outcomes                  | Percent increase |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Admissions to acute                 | 31%              |
| Inhospital death                    | 87%              |
| Admissions to rehabilitation        | 25%              |
| Total life expectancy               | 13%              |
| Total life years lost               | 22%              |
| Total QALYs                         | 13%              |
| Total care costs                    | 54%              |
| Total ROL costs                     | 37%              |

QALYs, quality-adjusted life years; ROL, rest of life.
provide additional data necessary for more accurate long-range projections such as mortality.

Conclusion

With the demographic shift of tSCI to an aging population, increasingly more demand will be placed on acute and rehabilitation resources in the next 20 years as demonstrated by the 54% increase in costs for inpatient care and the 37% increase in ROL care costs. This information will be critical for decision makers and clinicians to consider when planning future healthcare for tSCI to address the specific needs of this aging population in terms of their age-related complex health conditions and recovery trajectory.
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