Physical activity and sedentary behavior among adolescents in rural South Africa: levels, patterns and correlates
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Abstract

Background: Physical inactivity is increasing among children and adolescents and may be contributing to the increasing prevalence of overweight and obesity. This study examines physical activity and sedentary behavior patterns, and explores associations with individual, maternal, household, and community factors amongst rural South African adolescents.

Methods: In 2009, 381 subjects, stratified by ages 11-12-years and 14-15-years, were randomly selected from 3511 children and adolescents who had participated in a growth survey two years previously. Weight and height were measured and self-reported Tanner pubertal stage was collected. A questionnaire quantifying frequency and duration of physical activity (PA) domains and sedentary time for the previous 12 months was administered. Moderate-vigorous physical activity (MVPA mins/wk) was calculated for time spent in school and club sport. Socio-demographic and other related data were included from the Agincourt health and socio-demographic system (HDSS). The Agincourt HDSS was established in 1992 and collects prospective data on the community living in the Agincourt sub-district of Mpumalanga Province in rural north-east South Africa.

Results: Puberty, maternal education and socio-economic status (SES) contributed significantly to the multiple linear regression model for sedentary behavior ($R^2 = 0.199$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.139$; $p < 0.000$), and sex, SES and maternal education contributed to the tobit regression model for school and club sport MVPA ($p < 0.000$). MVPA, calculated from school and club sport, was higher in boys than girls ($p < 0.001$), and informal activity was lower (boys: $p < 0.05$ and girls: $p < 0.01$) while sedentary time was higher (girls: $p < 0.01$) in the older than the younger groups. Ninety-two percent (92%) of the sample reported walking for transport.

Conclusions: In this study of rural South African adolescent boys and girls, SES at the maternal, household and community level independently predicted time spent in sedentary behaviors, and school and club MVPA. This study provides local data that can be used to develop health promotion strategies specific to this community, and other similar communities in developing countries.
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Background
Physical inactivity is increasing amongst children and adolescents, with many studies in high-income countries reporting a consequent increase in overweight and obesity [1]. In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), urbanization and the nutrition transition have been shown to be largely responsible for the increase in overweight and obesity, with recent research from a poor rural South African setting reporting a prevalence of combined overweight and obesity of 15-25% among participants between the ages of 10 and 20 years [2], a finding that is higher than anticipated, but still lower than in urban South African adolescents [3].

International data published in the recent Lancet Physical Activity Series [4] reports that 80% of 13–15 year olds do not meet the current physical activity recommendations of 60 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity per day, and also highlights the need for more physical activity surveillance data from Africa. The prevalence of physical inactivity, defined as doing no or very little physical activity at work, at home, for transport or during discretionary time, has been estimated to be 43%–49% in South Africans 15 years of age and older [5], and it has been reported from other African countries that less than 50% of adolescents between 13 and 15 years of age are physically active for at least 60 minutes a day on at least 3 days a week [6]. Objective measures of physical activity in rural-dwelling South African men and women confirmed the significant contribution of ambulatory (walking) activity to overall physical activity in this population [7], and the association between ambulatory activity and adiposity [8] provides more reason for why it is important to understand factors, specific to rural South African adolescents, associated with physical activity.

A socio-ecological approach to physical activity, as described by Bauman et al., [9], which aims to understand how it is influenced by multiple factors operating at different interaction levels, will assist in designing effective interventions that promote physical activity in this population. Therefore the aim of this study is to examine physical activity patterns, and to explore the association between socio-ecological factors (individual, maternal, household and community), and time spent in sedentary behavior, informal, ambulatory and organized physical activity, in a sample of young adolescents living in rural South Africa, a country currently undergoing rapid health transitions.

Methods
Subjects
This cross-sectional study was nested within the Agincourt Health and Socio-demographic Surveillance System (AHDSS), which has been described in detail previously [10,11]. In 2009 a sub-sample of 600 participants from three age groups (7–8 years, 11–12 years and 14–15 years) were randomly selected from 3511 children and adolescents who had participated in a 2007 growth survey in the Agincourt sub-district of Mpumalanga Province [2]. The original 2007 growth study randomly selected children and adolescents between the ages of 1 and 20 years (~100 boys and 100 girls for each year of age) who had lived in the study area at least 80% of the time since birth, or since 1992 when enrolment into the Agincourt HDSSS began. A random sample of children was drawn from each age-sex-village stratum in proportion to the population size of the village.

For this study 381 subjects, stratified by ages 11–12 years (younger group; YG) and 14–15 years (older group; OG), on whom physical activity data was collected, were included. To ensure that this sub-sample was representative of the larger 2007 study sample [12] we compared various SES parameters between the samples, and found no differences (data not shown). Data for the 7–8 year old age group was not included in this study due to their poor comprehension and understanding of the physical activity questions.

Ethical approval
Ethical approval was granted by the University of the Witwatersrand Committee for Research on Human Subjects (# M090212), and from the Mpumalanga Provincial Government’s Department of Health, and therefore this study has been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Furthermore, permission from the community leaders and school principals was obtained. Parental consent, as well as participant assent was secured after full explanation of the study objectives and testing procedures.

Participant measurements
Anthropometry: Height (in mm) was measured using a stadiometer (Holtain, UK) and converted to metres (m), and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using an electronic bathroom scale. All participants were measured wearing light clothing and without shoes. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms (kg) /height (m)². Age- and sex-specific cut-offs for overweight and obesity using BMI, as recommended by the International Obesity Taskforce [13] were used to determine the prevalence of overweight and obesity. These cut-offs have been shown to be applicable to the South African population [2].

Pubertal stage: Pubertal assessment was completed using the Tanner 5-point pubertal self rating scale which has been validated previously for black South Africans [14], and is included to determine the contribution of pubertal development to changes in physical activity levels and patterns. Genital development in boys and breast development in girls were used to define pubertal stages, with stages ranging from stage 1 (pre-pubertal) through to stage 5 (post-pubertal). Tanner stage 1, 2–4 and 5 were defined as pre-pubertal (PreP), mid-pubertal (MP) and...
post-pubertal (PP), respectively. As there were only 2 boys who were classified as PP, comparison of the physical activity variables was only completed between the boys in the PreP and MP stages.

Physical activity: A questionnaire quantifying total physical activity (PA) for the previous 12 months was administered via interview. The questionnaire was developed to be appropriate for South African children, and has been used on an urban South African cohort at 9 years of age [15]. The questionnaire has also recently been validated in a South African population [16]. Reported frequency and duration of all physical activities (physical education, extra-mural school and club sport, informal physical activity, and walking to and from school) and sedentary activities were recorded. School sport was defined as any extra-mural sport organized by the school, and club sport was defined as private extra-mural activities, such as club soccer. Informal activities included play activities at home or in the neighborhood outside school such as skipping, playing ball games and dancing. Sedentary activities included watching television, playing computer games, listening to music, playing a musical instrument, and reading and drawing. To determine the intensity of the school and club sports, the metabolic equivalent (MET, one MET is defined as the energy expenditure for sitting quietly, which for the average adult is approximately 3.5 ml of oxygen/kg body weight/min) of the various sports reported by the participants was determined according to the classification by Ainsworth et al. [17]. Vigorous sports (≥ 7 METs) included soccer and hockey, while moderate intensity sports (3–6 METS) included netball and athletics. Moderate-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) was calculated by adding all the time spent in moderate and vigorous school and club sport.

A variety of socio-demographic and other related data were included from the growth survey conducted two years previously. These included data about the participants’ mothers (age, nationality, highest education level, marital/union status and whether she resides with the participant or not), their household head, or the individual in the house who provides actual support and maintenance and takes responsibility for one or more individuals in the household, (age, sex and highest education level of the household head, household food security and socio-economic status (SES)), and their community (whether the village they lived in was inhabited predominantly by South African or Mozambican people). The Mozambicans in Agincourt are self-settled former refugees who entered South Africa during and after the civil war in the early- to mid-1980’s and elected to stay [10]. These variables have been described in detail previously [12].

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean ± SD for subject characteristics and other normally distributed data, and as median (inter-quartile range) for physical activity parameters and non-normally distributed data. The boys and girls were combined and bivariate linear regression analyses were conducted for both age groups, with individual, maternal, household and community factors as explanatory variables, and the physical activity variables as outcome variables. Multivariate regression analyses were completed to determine the most significant contributors from the significant individual, maternal, household and community factors, to the different physical activity parameters including informal activity, sedentary activity, and walking for transport in the combined age groups. A tobit regression model was completed for school and club MVPA mins/wk to accommodate participants with zero values. Comparisons between sex and age groups were completed using ANOVA when data was normally distributed (age, weight, height and BMI) and Mann–Whitney U-test for non-normally distributed data (all physical activity parameters). Kruskal-Wallis statistics were used to compare physical activity data between 3 or more groups. Chi-square was used to compare proportions. A two-sided P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Subject characteristics

The younger age group (YG: 11–12 years; mean age 12.1 ± 0.6 years) comprised 98 boys and 97 girls (Table 1). The girls were significantly heavier, taller, and had a greater BMI than the boys. In addition, there was a significant difference in pubertal development between the boys and girls in this group. Twelve girls (12.4%) were identified as

Table 1 Subject characteristics of 11–12 and 14–15 year old boys and girls

| Age (yrs) | 11-12 years | 14-15 years |
|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Boys (n = 98) | Girls (n = 97) | Boys (n = 91) | Girls (n = 95) |
| Weight (kg) | 35.8 ± 6.8 | 40.7 ± 10.6 | 50.7 ± 10.0 | 55.2 ± 11.2 |
| Height (cm) | 145.0 ± 7.1 | 148.7 ± 7.9 | 163.1 ± 8.7 | 159.8 ± 5.5 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 16.9 ± 2.0 | 18.2 ± 3.7 | 18.9 ± 2.4 | 21.6 ± 4.1 |

Pubertal stage (%)

| Tanner 1 | 42 (43%) | 20 (21%) | 10 (11%) | 1 (1%) |
| Tanner 2 | 33 (34%) | 45 (47%) | 11 (12%) | 5 (5%) |
| Tanner 3 | 19 (19%) | 29 (30%) | 34 (38%) | 40 (43%) |
| Tanner 4 | 4 (4%) | 3 (3%) | 32 (36%) | 41 (44%) |
| Tanner 5 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (2%) | 7 (7%) |

All values are means ± standard deviation. Comparisons between sex and age groups were completed using ANOVA when data was normally distributed and Mann–Whitney U-test for non-normally distributed data. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 for boys vs. girls in the 11-12-year age group; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 for boys vs. girls in the 14-15-year age group.
overweight and 4 girls (4.1%) as obese, and 3 boys (3.1%) as overweight and 1 boy (1%) as obese.

The older age group (OG: 14–15 years; mean age 15.1 ± 0.6 years) comprised 91 boys and 95 girls (Table 1). As with the YG, the girls were heavier and had a greater BMI than the boys, however the boys were significantly taller than the girls. Pubertal development was also greater in the girls compared to the boys, with approximately 50% of the girls nearing the end of puberty (Tanner stages 4 or 5) compared to only 38% of the boys (p < 0.01). Eighteen girls (18.9%) were identified as overweight and 3 girls (3.2%) as obese, and one boy (1.1%) was identified as overweight, and 2 boys (2.2%) as obese.

Physical activity: sex differences

Physical activity parameters for the two age groups are presented in Table 2. In the younger group, 56% of boys and 65% of girls reported participating in physical education at school, and there was no significant difference in the time (mins/wk) spent in physical education, informal activity or sedentary behavior between the sexes at this age. Significantly more boys than girls (97 vs. 89%; p < 0.05) reported walking to and from school, however there was no difference in the time spent walking between the boys and girls who walked (median, IQR: 200, 100–300 mins/wk).

Less than 5% of boys and girls reported cycling to and from school. More than half (56%) of the boys participated in club sport compared to only 25% of the girls. More girls than boys participated in moderate intensity school and club sports while more boys than girls participated in vigorous intensity school and club sports. Time spent in vigorous school sport and total school and club MVPA mins/wk were significantly higher in the boys compared to the girls.

There was no difference between the number of 14–15 year old boys compared to girls participating in physical education (63 vs. 67%) and the time (mins/wk) spent in physical education at school. There were no differences between the sexes in time spent participating in informal activity or sedentary behavior, or time spent walking to and from school in this age group. More boys than girls reported cycling to school (8 vs. 2%), while 90 and 94% of the boys and girls, respectively reported walking to and from school. Significantly more boys than girls reported participating in club sports (70 vs. 33%; p < 0.0001), and the sport most commonly reported in the boys was soccer and in the girls was netball. More girls than boys reported

| Table 2 Physical activity parameters in 11–12 and 14–15 year old boys and girls |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                               | 11-12 years      |                  | 14-15 years      |
|                               | Boys (n = 98)    | Girls (n = 97)   | Boys (n = 91)    | Girls (n = 95)   |
| **PE (Y,%)**                   |                  |                  |                  |
| Boys                           | 56               | 65               | 63               | 67               |
| Girls                          |                  |                  |                  |
| **PE (mins/wk)**               |                  |                  |                  |
| Boys                           | 30 (0–60)        | 30 (0–120)       | 30 (0–60)        | 40 (0–60)        |
| Girls                          | 30 (0–60)        | 40 (0–60)        |
| **Informal (mins/wk)**         |                  |                  |                  |
| Boys                           | 540 (280–1140)   | 570 (210–1020)   | 420 (175–840)    | 360 (130–570)    |
| Girls                          | 420 (175–840)    | 360 (130–570)    |
| **Sedentary (mins/wk)**        |                  |                  |                  |
| Boys                           | 1020 (690–1304)  | 840 (600–1380)   | 1035 (740–1440)  | 1200 (780–1604)  |
| Girls                          | 840 (600–1380)   | 1200 (780–1604)  |
| **Walking to and from school (mins/wk)** | 200 (100–300) | 200 (100–300) | 200 (100–300) | 200 (100–300) |
| **Moderate SS:**               |                  |                  |                  |
| Y,%                            | 39               | 60               | 38               | 51               |
| mins/wk*                       | 62.5 (24–96)     | 48 (24–135)      | 36 (24–90)       | 60 (30–101)      |
| **Vigorous SS:**               |                  |                  |                  |
| Y,%                            | 66               | 16               | 73               | 33               |
| mins/wk*                       | 72 (45–144)      | 24 (12–72)       | 81 (36–192)      | 36 (15–96)       |
| **Moderate CS:**               |                  |                  |                  |
| Y,%                            | 6                | 22               | 10               | 21               |
| mins/wk*                       | 57 (12–108)      | 72 (48–144)      | 60 (30–120)      | 66 (21–132)      |
| **Vigorous CS:**               |                  |                  |                  |
| Y,%                            | 56               | 7                | 69               | 20               |
| mins/wk*                       | 150 (96–360)     | 108 (45–216)     | 288 (90–540)     | 36 (20–75)       |
| MVPA                           | 251 (84–420)     | 60 (27–210)      | 360 (135–648)    | 81 (33–192)      |
| (mins/wk)*                     | n = 86           | n = 63           | n = 83           | n = 67           |

Data presented as median (interquartile range). Comparisons between sex and age groups were completed using ANOVA when data was normally distributed and Mann–Whitney U-test for non-normally distributed data. Y,%: percentage of participants who answered yes. *Data only for subjects who reported participating in these activities. SS: school sport; CS: club sport; MVPA: school and club moderate-vigorous physical activity. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 for boys vs. girls in the 11-12-year age group; **p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01 and ****p < 0.001 for boys vs. girls in the 14-15-year age group; **p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01 and ****p < 0.001 for differences between the age groups for boys; **p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01 and ****p < 0.001 for differences between the age groups for girls.
participating in moderate intensity school and club sport, while more boys than girls reported participating in vigorous intensity school and club sport. In the subjects who reported participating in school and club sport, total MVPA mins/wk was significantly higher in the boys compared to the girls.

Physical activity: age differences

Compared to the younger boys, the older boys reported spending significantly less time in informal activity (p < 0.05), but more of them reported participating in vigorous club sport and for a significantly greater amount of time (p < 0.01). In those boys who participated in school and club sport, MVPA mins/wk was significantly higher in the older boys compared to the younger boys (p < 0.001).

Compared to the younger girls, the older girls reported spending less time in informal activity (p < 0.01), more sedentary time (p < 0.01) and more time in vigorous intensity school sport (p < 0.01).

Physical activity: pubertal differences

In the boys and girls, increasing pubertal development was associated with an increase in sedentary time (p < 0.001), however none of the other physical activity variables were different between the pubertal groups.

Correlates of physical activity

Maternal, household and community data collected two years previously has been described for the larger growth survey [12], and is presented for this sample in Table 3. Significant predictors of the physical activity domains were identified at the individual, maternal, household and community levels.

Individual characteristics

Neither sex nor pubertal status significantly predicted time spent in informal activity or walking for transport in either age group. In both age groups, being a girl was associated with approximately 3–5 hours less school and club MVPA mins/wk (both p < 0.001). Compared to PreP adolescents, MP adolescents reported significantly more sedentary time per week in the younger group (p < 0.01) and the older group (p < 0.05). In the older group only, PP adolescents reported approximately 13 hours more sedentary time per week compared to the PreP group (p < 0.01). In the younger group, MP reported participating in significantly less school and club MVPA mins/wk compared to PreP adolescents (p < 0.01).

Maternal characteristics

Maternal age was not associated with any of the physical activity domains in either age group, however in the younger group the children of Mozambican mothers reported less sedentary time than the children of South

### Table 3 Maternal, household and community characteristics of boys (n = 189) and girls (n = 192), Agincourt 2007

|                      | Boys |          | Girls |          |
|----------------------|------|----------|-------|----------|
|                      | n    | %        | n     | %        |
| Maternal characteristics (2 years previously) |      |          |       |          |
| Age (years)          |      |          |       |          |
| 24–34                | 58   | 36.3     | 47    | 29.9     |
| 35–49                | 69   | 46.3     | 92    | 58.6     |
| 50+                  | 22   | 14.8     | 18    | 11.5     |
| Education            |      |          |       |          |
| No formal education  | 38   | 29.5     | 39    | 30.2     |
| Primary education    | 36   | 27.9     | 33    | 25.6     |
| Secondary education  | 46   | 35.7     | 51    | 39.5     |
| Higher than secondary| 9    | 7.0      | 6     | 4.7      |
| Nationality          |      |          |       |          |
| South African        | 100  | 67.1     | 102   | 65       |
| Mozambican           | 49   | 32.9     | 55    | 35       |
| Marital/union status |      |          |       |          |
| Currently in union   | 86   | 53.8     | 90    | 53.3     |
| Not in union         | 74   | 46.3     | 79    | 46.7     |
| Co-residence with child |    |          |       |          |
| Alive, co-residing   | 134  | 83.8     | 137   | 81.1     |
| Alive, not co-residing | 14  | 8.8      | 21    | 12.4     |
| Dead                 | 12   | 7.5      | 11    | 6.5      |
| Household characteristics (2 years previously) |      |          |       |          |
| Age of household head (years) |    |          |       |          |
| 15–34                | 7    | 4.4      | 4     | 2.4      |
| 35–49                | 65   | 40.6     | 68    | 40.2     |
| 50+                  | 88   | 55       | 97    | 57.4     |
| Sex of household head|      |          |       |          |
| Male                 | 106  | 66.3     | 118   | 69.8     |
| Female               | 54   | 33.7     | 51    | 30.2     |
| Household head education |    |          |       |          |
| No formal education  | 69   | 48.6     | 73    | 48.7     |
| Primary education    | 47   | 33.1     | 39    | 26       |
| Secondary education  | 25   | 17.6     | 33    | 22       |
| Higher than secondary| 1    | 0.7      | 5     | 3.3      |
| Household head relationship to child |    |          |       |          |
| Parent               | 99   | 62.7     | 107   | 63.3     |
| Grandparent          | 49   | 31.0     | 52    | 30.8     |
| Other                | 10   | 6.3      | 10    | 5.9      |
| Enough food          |      |          |       |          |
| Yes, food secure     | 127  | 81.4     | 138   | 82.6     |
| No, food insecure    | 29   | 18.6     | 29    | 17.4     |
| SES (wealth index tertile) |    |          |       |          |
| Lowest               | 54   | 34.0     | 61    | 36.3     |
African mothers (p < 0.05). Compared to children whose mothers had no education, children whose mothers had a secondary school education or higher, reported significantly more sedentary time (both age groups p < 0.01), and significantly less walking for transport (YG: p < 0.05; OG: p < 0.01). In the older group, higher maternal education was associated with nearly three hours more school and club MVPA per week (p < 0.05).

Household characteristics
In both age groups, children living in households where the household head had completed secondary school reported spending approximately five hours more in sedentary activity than the children living in households where the household head had no education (both p < 0.001). Homes with a female household head predicted less sedentary activity in the younger group, compared to homes in which the household head was male (p < 0.05). In the older group, the children who resided in homes in which the household head had completed primary school reported more informal activity (p < 0.05) and more school and club MVPA per week (p > 0.001) compared to children residing in homes in which the household head had no education. In the older group, children in the highest SES tertile spent 2 hours/wk more in school and club MVPA compared to children in the lowest SES tertile (p = 0.05).

Community characteristics
In the younger group, children who were from villages inhabited predominantly by people of Mozambican origin reported nearly four hours less sedentary activity per week compared to children from villages inhabited by predominantly local South Africans (p < 0.01).

Multivariate regression models for physical activity parameters
All individual, maternal, household and community variables were entered into a multiple regression to explain the various physical activity domains. Only multiple regression models for sedentary time and school and club MVPA reached significance; these are presented in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. Factors that contributed significantly to the model for sedentary time (Table 4:

Table 3 Maternal, household and community characteristics of boys (n = 189) and girls (n = 192), Agincourt 2007 (Continued)

| Medium | Highest |
|--------|---------|
| 60     | 45      |
| 37.7   | 28.3    |
| 49     | 58      |
| 29.2   | 34.5    |

Community characteristics (2 years previously)

| Area of residence
|------------------|
| Predominantly South Africa | 151 | 94.4 | 155 | 91.7 |
| Predominantly Mozambican | 9    | 5.6  | 14  | 8.3  |

Table 4 Multivariate regression model for sedentary behavior (mins/wk)

| Individual characteristics | Coefficient | SE | 95% CI | P  
|---------------------------|-------------|----|--------|-----|
| Age                       | 29.3        | 26.4 | −23, 81 | 0.267      |
| BMI                       | 6.3         | 12  | −17, 30 | 0.600      |
| Sex                       |             |     |        |           |
| Boy (ref)                 | 0           |     |        |           |
| Girl                      | −117        | 76.5 | −267, 34 | 0.129      |
| Pubertal status           |             |     |        |           |
| Pre-pubertal (ref)        | 0           |     |        |           |
| Mid-pubertal              | 304         | 102 | 103, 505 | 0.003      |
| Post-pubertal             | 732         | 257 | 226, 1238 | 0.005     |

Maternal characteristics

| Age                       | 4.6         | 5.2  | −6, 15 | 0.371      |
| Nationality               |             |     |        |           |
| South African (ref)       | 0           |     |        |           |
| Mozambican                | 63.8        | 101.5 | −136, 264 | 0.530     |
| Education                 |             |     |        |           |
| Pre-school (ref)          | 0           |     |        |           |
| Primary school            | −30         | 113.4 | −254, 193 | 0.790     |
| Secondary school          | 265.6       | 134.7 | 0.17, 531 | 0.050     |

Household characteristics

| Sex                       |             |     |        |           |
| Male                      | 0           |     |        |           |
| Female                    | −155.5      | 80.5 | −314, 3 | 0.055      |
| Education                 |             |     |        |           |
| Pre-school (ref)          | 0           |     |        |           |
| Primary school            | 125.7       | 95  | −61, 313 | 0.187      |
| Secondary school          | 185.2       | 112.1 | −36, 406 | 0.100     |
| Food security             |             |     |        |           |
| Yes (ref)                 | 0           |     |        |           |
| No                        | 34          | 95.2 | −154, 222 | 0.722     |
| SES (wealth index tertile) |             |     |        |           |
| Lowest (ref)              | 0           |     |        |           |
| Medium                    | −195        | 93.4 | −379, −11 | 0.038     |
| Highest                   | −96         | 98.6 | −290, 99 | 0.332     |

Community characteristics

| Area of residence
|------------------|
| Predominantly South African (ref) | 0           |
| Predominantly Mozambican           | 98.6        | 150 | −198, 395 | 0.512     |

SE, standard error; R² = 0.1992; Adjusted R² = 0.1391.

R² = 0.199, adjusted R² = 0.139, (p < 0.000) included pubertal stage, which showed that when compared to the PreP group, the MP and PP groups reported 304 and 732 more sedentary minutes per week, respectively. Higher maternal education, a proxy for SES, was associated
with more than four hours of sedentary time, however children in the middle SES tertile participated in less sedentary time than children in the lowest tertile.

Significant contributors to the tobit regression model for school and club MVPA (Table 5; log likelihood = −133,3963; \( p < 0.000 \)) included sex, maternal education and SES tertile, as well as the interaction between age category and maternal education. These data confirmed that boys participated in school and club MVPA mins/wk more than girls, that there was an increase in school and club MVPA mins/wk with SES, and that higher maternal education in the older age group was associated with greater predicted school and club MVPA mins/wk.

**Discussion**

In this study of boys and girls living in a poor rural community in South Africa, SES independently predicted sedentary time as well as all physical activity parameters, including informal activity, walking for transport, and MVPA. Lower SES at the maternal, household and community level was significantly associated with less sedentary time, more time walking as a means of transport, and lower moderate-vigorous physical activity in school and clubs.

The relationship between lifestyle factors, including physical activity, and SES is complex and may differ between LMICs and high income countries (HICs) [18,19]. Our findings indicate that in rural South African adolescents greater SES is associated with more time spent in sedentary behavior such as watching television and reading, less time walking as a means of transport, and more time participating in MVPA involved in school and club sports. Although McVeigh et al., [15] have also shown that lower SES is associated with less leisure-time activity in a younger South African cohort, they found that lower SES status was associated with more television time. The difference in these findings may be due to rural children of lower SES in our study needing to assist more with household chores while the children of lower SES living in urban Johannesburg may not have the same responsibilities within the home. Data from the US and Europe have also shown higher SES to be associated with higher levels of moderate to vigorous activity [20,21], however in contrast to our findings, they have shown that increased SES is associated with more self-reported walking [21] and less time spent in sedentary behavior's such as television-viewing [20]. These findings highlight not only some of the differences in the association between SES and sedentary behavior between LMICs and HICs, but also between urban and rural populations. What appears to be consistent however is that lower SES is associated with reduced moderate to vigorous physical activity; key reasons for this need to be better elucidated to ensure the design of more effective interventions. To our knowledge, this is the first study in South African adolescents to

| Table 5 Tobit regression model for school and club MVPA (mins/wk) | Coefficient | SE | 95% CI | P  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----|--------|----|
| **Individual characteristics**                               |             |    |        |    |
| Age category                                                  |             |    |        |    |
| 11–12 years (ref)                                             | 0           |    |        |    |
| 14–15 years                                                   | −166        | 108.2| −379, 47 | 0.126 |
| BMI                                                          | −2.2        | 6.5 | −15, 11 | 0.732 |
| Sex                                                          |             |    |        |    |
| Boy (ref)                                                     | 0           |    |        |    |
| Girl                                                         | −265        | 39.5| −343, −187 | 0.000 |
| Pubertal status                                               |             |    |        |    |
| Pre-pubertal (ref)                                            | 0           |    |        |    |
| Mid-pubertal                                                 | −73         | 52  | −176, 29 | 0.161 |
| Post-pubertal                                                | −123        | 132.6| −385, 138 | 0.353 |
| **Maternal characteristics**                                 |             |    |        |    |
| Age                                                          | −4.1        | 2.7 | −9, 1   | 0.139 |
| Nationality                                                   |             |    |        |    |
| South African (ref)                                           | 0           |    |        |    |
| Mozambican                                                    | 12.5        | 52.8| −92, 116 | 0.813 |
| Education                                                     |             |    |        |    |
| Pre-school (ref)                                              | 0           |    |        |    |
| Primary school                                               | −105.5      | 62.6| −229, 18 | 0.093 |
| Secondary school                                             | −171.6      | 79.4| −328, −15 | 0.032 |
| Age category x maternal education                             | 121.7       | 46.4| 30, 213  | 0.009 |
| **Household characteristics**                                |             |    |        |    |
| Sex                                                          |             |    |        |    |
| Male (ref)                                                    | 0           |    |        |    |
| Female                                                       | 25          | 42  | −58, 108 | 0.552 |
| Education                                                     |             |    |        |    |
| Pre-school (ref)                                              | 0           |    |        |    |
| Primary school                                               | 66.3        | 50  | −32,165 | 0.186 |
| Secondary school                                             | 5.7         | 59  | −111, 122| 0.923 |
| Food security                                                 |             |    |        |    |
| Yes (ref)                                                     | 0           |    |        |    |
| No                                                           | 55.7        | 49.9| −43, 154 | 0.265 |
| SES (wealth index tertile)                                    |             |    |        |    |
| Lowest (ref)                                                  | 0           |    |        |    |
| Medium                                                       | 63.2        | 48.9| −33, 160 | 0.198 |
| Highest                                                      | 128         | 51.6| 27, 230  | 0.014 |
| **Community characteristics**                                |             |    |        |    |
| Area of residence                                            |             |    |        |    |
| Predominantly South African (ref)                            | 0           |    |        |    |
| Predominantly Mozambican                                     | 41.4        | 80.2| −117, 200| 0.606 |

SE, standard error.
examine the influence of SES on the various physical activity domains; most studies to-date have only measured leisure time activity, and have not taken into account the important contributions of walking for transport and informal activity to overall daily physical activity. Knowledge of time spent in physical activity, as well as physical activity patterns and domains, are important within a public health context in order to identify more precisely the focus of interventions likely to be most effective.

For both boys and girls, the largest proportion of time during the day was spent in sedentary behavior, which for the whole sample accounted for an average of 2–3 hours per day. More than two thirds (68%) of sedentary time was spent in screen time with the remaining time spent doing homework (26%), and listening to the radio (6%). This is in comparison to ~ 25 minutes per day spent in school and club MVPA and 1.5 hours spent in informal physical activity. Although more than 90% of the sample reported walking to and from school, this was for an average of 40 minutes per day. Although only 26% of the sample met the international health guidelines of 60 minutes of MVPA per day (calculated only for school and club sport in this study), the inclusion of the time spent in informal activity (~ 180 mins/day) and walking for transport (~ 65 mins/day) suggest that adolescents in poor rural South African communities are meeting physical activity recommendations. Although comparative data from European adolescents of a similar age report higher values than these, their study also confirms the importance of considering all domains of physical activity [21]. A concern, however, is that a recent systematic review suggests that time spent in sedentary activities, independent of physical activity time, is associated with adverse health outcomes including an increase risk of cardio-metabolic disease in children and adolescents [22]; hence interventions should not focus only on increasing physical activity, but also decreasing sedentary time.

In this study, the increase in female sedentary time with age, as well as pubertal development, mirrors the increase in obesity with age and pubertal development shown previously in this population [12]. As expected due to the relatively low SES of the community in this study, and as has been shown previously in younger rural children [23], the prevalence of overweight (16% for girls and 2% for boys) and obesity (4% for girls and 2% for boys) was lower than the national prevalence data for children of a similar age from the Youth Risk Behaviour Survey [3]. Our finding that greater SES is associated with more sedentary time may further compound the overweight/obesity problems previously attributed to the nutrition transition occurring in LMICs. Further, our data shows that overweight and obesity are no longer limited to urbanized populations as was the case over a decade ago when the prevalence of overweight and obesity was still low in rural South Africa [24], and that rural populations are now also at risk. The findings of this study indicate that increasing sedentary behavior contributes to the development of obesity in adolescent girls, and research on interventions designed to decrease sedentary time in this population are warranted. The increase in sedentary time, particularly in adolescent girls, has been well described in the literature [25,26]. Although the prevalence of overweight and obesity was low in boys, the increase in sedentary time with pubertal development may also have implications for future chronic disease risk in this population. In contrast to other studies [21] we have shown that older boys participated in more school and club MVPA than younger boys, and similarly the older girls spent more time in vigorous physical activity at school than their younger counterparts. These findings may, however, be due to the limitations of self-report and the possibility of over-reporting, known to be affected by social desirability and recall bias.

In this study we measured possible predictors of physical activity at the individual level, and also maternal, household and community factors. The relationship between sedentary time and SES was significant at all levels including maternal (maternal education and maternal nationality), household (household head education) and community (area of residence inhabited predominantly by people of Mozambican or South African people). Gordon-Larsen et al., 2000 [20] have suggested that the determinants associated with physical inactivity are different to those for physical activity, and may be more socio-demographic in nature. The influence of the wider environment on health behavior will also assist in identifying possible areas of intervention and therefore the socio-ecological factors associated with physical activity specific to the population in question must be identified.

Our findings indicate that boys are more physically active than girls in early and mid-adolescence, a finding consistent with data from both LMICs and HICs [21,27]. On average, boys spent 196 minutes a day in physical activity compared to 154 minutes in the girls. In addition we measured the various domains of physical activity and showed that although boys spent more time than girls in vigorous sport, girls spent more time than boys participating in school and club sports of moderate intensity. Although the time and participation in these more formal activities was not different between the age groups for boys and girls, informal activity was lower in the older boys and girls compared to their younger counterparts.

In this study approximately 65% of the participants reported participating in physical education. This is significantly higher than data from an urban sample of 9-year-old children living in Johannesburg, 43% of whom reported participating in physical education at least once a week [28]. In 2010 the Healthy Active Kids South Africa (HAKSA; www.globalpa.org.uk/downloads/healthy-
active-kids-report-2010.pdf) report card was produced to highlight the health status of the South African youth with regard to major lifestyle risk factors such as smoking, inactivity, obesity and unhealthy eating, and to identify areas for intervention. The HAKSA report card highlights the need for teachers to be more prepared to deliver physical education classes and for more equipment in economically disadvantaged areas, however it is hoped that with recent changes in the curriculum whereby physical education is now a compulsory part of the school week the proportion of children and adolescents participating in regular physical education should increase.

A number of limitations of the study need to be considered. Due to the cross-sectional nature of the data the physical activity differences found between the different age and pubertal groups cannot infer change in physical activity over time, longitudinal cohort data is required to make these inferences. Secondly, we did not collect data on household moderate to vigorous activity which we now see would be of much interest, particularly within a rural context. This study does however provide data on more distal factors (at the level of the mother, household and community) to better understand other variables that are associated with sedentary behavior and physical activity patterns in this sample. This provides more areas for possible intervention strategies.

Conclusions
Lower SES at the individual, maternal, household and community level was significantly associated with less sedentary time, more walking for transport and lower school and club MVPA. Increased resources, whether through corporate and social investment, NGO initiatives or innovative local efforts, may assist with decreasing sedentary time and increasing the opportunities for moderate and vigorous physical activities.
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