The effect of rapeseed oil-based polyols on the thermal and mechanical properties of ureaurethane elastomers
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Abstract

Two types of rapeseed oil-based polyols in the content of 20 wt% and 50 wt% were used for synthesis of ureaurethane elastomers (EPUU) with isocyanate index 1.07. The bio-polyols were synthesized by epoxidation and opening of oxirane rings using isopropanol (RO) and diethylene glycol (MK). The aim of this work was to evaluate the impact of bio-polyols on the thermal and mechanical properties of the manufactured EPUUs, produced with poly(ethylene adipate) (PEA). Differences in the structure of used bio-polyols caused changes in the number of hydrogen bonds and degree of phase separation in EPUUs. As the content of bio-polyols increases, the number of hydrogen bonds connecting the rigid segments of these materials is reduced. The consequence of these changes is variation in the thermal characteristics of these materials. Cross-linking density calculated from dynamical mechanical thermal analysis increases for materials with 20% of both types of rapeseed oil-based polyols and is the highest for material 80PEA/20MK. An introduction of the MK polyol also resulted in an increase in the elongation at break of the modified elastomers.
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Introduction

In recent years, the introduction of plant components to polyurethane (PUR) systems meets all the ideas of sustainable development and is an important challenge for chemical companies [1]. PURs are well known and widely used because of their excellent properties and wide applications. The production of PURs is based on the two most important components: polyols and isocyanates. Nowadays, one of the problems of PUR industry is their dependence on petroleum feedstock [2]. The increasing interest of industry of polyols from vegetable oils is observed for a few years [3]. In the PUR production, the natural oil polyols can be used to replace petrochemical components [4]. Polyols can be synthesized from different natural materials, including lignin, cellulose and oils [5, 6]. There are many publications about obtaining PURs from different vegetable oil-based polyols such as soybean oil [7–9], rapeseed oil [10], sunflower oil [11], linseed oil [12] or castor oil [13]. Most of the PUR materials modified with vegetable oil polyols are foams [14], coatings [15], films [16] and adhesives [17]. To obtain PURs using renewable raw materials, there are some aspects that have to be considered as hydroxyl value, functionality and chemical structure of dangling chains [16].

In the literature, only few researchers deal with results on the modification of PUR elastomers by using vegetable polyols. Auguścik et al. [18, 19] examined the effect of added rapeseed oil-based polyol from 0 to 50 wt% to PUR elastomers. These studies have shown that new material obtained using 50 wt% content of the rapeseed oil-based polyol was characterized by the best storage modulus and friction coefficient. And also, that use of rapeseed oil-based polyol resulted in only a slight reduction in their heat resistance as compared to the sample prepared without bio-polyol and slightly worsens their fire resistance.

However, no sufficient data on the production of ureaurethane elastomers with rapeseed oil-based polyols have been reported yet. The limited number of publications concerning the application properties and synthesis of EPUUs produced using rapeseed oil-based polyols results in the low commercial availability of these products. Therefore, the current modifications of commercial products are mainly limited to design changes resulting in a variety of shapes and colors of the products and the packaging, especially in the production of polyurethane foams [4], and adhesives and coatings [20]. In this regard, the introduction of new ecological products manufactured using rapeseed oil-based polyols would significantly improve their competitiveness on the market.

In our previous works, we used tall oil-based polyols as chain extender [21, 22] and soybean oil-based polyols [8, 9]. The results from these study show that addition or total replacement fossil-based polyol, gives higher thermal resistance, rigidity and stronger fire resistance.

In this study, the results of thermal mechanical properties of ureaurethane elastomers (EPUU) synthesized using 20 wt% and 50 wt% of rapeseed oil-based polyols. The bio-polyols were synthesized using epoxidation reaction and opening of oxirane rings with isopropanol (RO) and diethylene glycol (MK). The different oxirane ring opening agents were applied in order to obtain bio-polyols
with primary and secondary hydroxyl groups and to evaluate their influence on thermal stability as well as mechanical properties of the ureaurethane elastomers in comparison with the reference EPUU based only on petrochemical polyol.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

EPUUs were prepared by using a polyester poly(ethylene adipate) (PEA)—Polios 60/20, with molar mass of 2318 g/mol, with hydroxyl value 52.4 mgKOH/g, acid value 1 mgKOH/g, content of water 0.1 wt%, (Purinova, Bydgoszcz, Poland) and 4,4′-diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI) (Sigma-Aldrich Co., Poznan, Poland). As chain extender, the dicyandiamide (DYDI)—Omnicure 5, with molar mass of 84 g/mol (Emerald Performance Materials Company, USA) was used. In case of modified EPUUs, the petrochemical polyol was replaced with two rapeseed oil-based polyols (RO and MK) in an amount of 20 wt% and 50 wt%. Both rapeseed oil-based polyols were prepared by Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Technology, at Cracow University of Technology.

**Synthesis of bio-polyols**

RO and MK with hydroxyl value 58 and 42.9 mgKOH/g, acid value 1.83 and 4.0 mgKOH/g, content of water 0.04 and 0.06 wt%, number molecular weight 1255 and 2387 g/mol, respectively, were synthesized using isopropanol and diethylene glycol as oxirane ring openers (Fig. 1a, b, respectively). The synthesis method of this polyol is described in our earlier publications [4, 23–26].

**Synthesis of the ureaurethane elastomers**

EPUUs were synthesized by one step method, with the mole ratio of the substrates (PEA + RO or MK)/MDI/DYDI was 2:5:3. The content of hard segments (HS) in tested EPUUs was calculated with the equation:

\[
\text{HS} = \frac{mM_{\text{MDI}} + kM_{\text{DYDI}}}{nM_{\text{polyol}} + mM_{\text{MDI}} + kM_{\text{DYDI}}} \cdot 100\% 
\]

where \(M_{\text{MDI}}\)—molar mass of MDI, g/mol; \(M_{\text{DYDI}}\)—molar mass of DYDI, g/mol; \(M_{\text{polyol}}\)—molar mass of polyesterdiol or rapeseed oil-based polyol, g/mol; \(n, m, k\)—the molar ratio of used reactants. The HS content was calculated separately for elastomers with PEA, MK and RO polyols. The HS content was then calculated taking into account the appropriate percentage of the used polyols and summarized them. The characterization of the materials was presented in Table 1.

The PEA with DYDI was melted and degassed for 1.5 h at 150 ± 5 °C and 6 hPa with intense stirring. Then, the PEA was cooled to 80 ± 3 °C before the
RO or MK polyol was added. The mixture was then stirred for 30 min. Next, the mixture was cooled to 60 ± 3 °C and MDI was added and stirred for 10 min. The prepared mixture was then poured into a duraluminium mold and annealed for 18 h at 110 °C. The samples were tested after 30 days of seasoning under ambient conditions. As a comparative material, EPUU consisting of 100 wt% of PEA polyol was prepared. The process of its production is as described above, omitting the part related to the application of rapeseed oil-based polyol.

The scheme of the reaction of EPUUs with rapeseed oil-based polyols is shown in Fig. 2.
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Characterization of used polyols and ureaurethane elastomers

The RO and MK polyols, and EPUUs were tested by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) using a Nicolet 7600 (Thermo Electron Corporation, USA). Spectra were recorded as a sum of 64 scans using a spectral range from 4000 to 400 cm$^{-1}$, with a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$. The data analysis was performed using Omnic software. A baseline correction for CO$_2$ and H$_2$O was performed to eliminate the impact of the compound residues from the analysis. The bands in the range of 1780–1640 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to the stretching vibrations of the –C=O group were specifically examined. For the determination of the intensity of individual signals, a split of the multiplet peak of the carbonyl band was performed via Gauss curve fitting using the Omnic 7.3 program. The baseline for the broadband was drawn linearly from 1650 to 1780 cm$^{-1}$. On the basis of the intensity of the bands, the $R_{C=O}$ index was calculated. This index defined the participation of urethane and urea groupings forming hard segments, linked with the hydrogen bond of the –C=O groups [27]:

$$R_{C=O} = \frac{A_{B1} + A_{B2}}{A_{F1} + A_{F2}}$$

where $A_{B1}$ and $A_{B2}$ are the respective surface areas of the bands from the vibrations bound by the hydrogen bond of the carbonyl groups of urea (B1) (1640–1680 cm$^{-1}$)
and urethane (B2) (1705–1727 cm$^{-1}$). $A_{F1}$ and $A_{F2}$ are the respective surface areas of bands from vibrations unbounded with the hydrogen bond of the carbonyl groups of urea (F1) (1690–1701 cm$^{-1}$) and urethane (F2) (1736–1780 cm$^{-1}$) bonding.

Based on the $R_{C=O}$ index, the degree of phase separation (DPS) was calculated. This value defines the participation of hard segments bound with the hydrogen bond [28]:

$$\text{DPS} = \frac{R_{C=O}}{1 + R_{C=O}}$$

The thermal stability of the rapeseed oil-based polyols and materials was defined by thermogravimetric analysis using a Q500 analyzer (TA Instruments, USA). The tested 10 mg samples were heated from room temperature to 800 °C for EPUUs with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Tests were carried out in a nitrogen atmosphere with a flow rate of 90 ml/min. EPUUs were also tested in air atmosphere in the same temperature range.

Evolved gases from the TGA of EPUUs were transported through the TGA/FTIR transfer line using air atmosphere. Samples of about 15 mg were heated from room temperature to 800 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min. The FTIR gas cell was held at 240 °C, and the temperature of the transfer line was set to 250 °C, to reduce the possibility of evolved products condensing along the transfer line.

The DSC analysis of polyols and EPUUs was performed using a differential scanning calorimeter Q1000 (TA Instruments, USA). Samples (5 mg) were closed in hermetic aluminum cups and heated at 10 °C/min in the temperature range –90 to 250 °C.

EPUUs also were tested mechanical and physico-mechanical properties. The dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA) was carried out on a TA Instruments DMA Q800 (USA). This study was conducted using cast rectangular-shaped samples with dimensions 60×10×2 mm and a two-point bending method. Samples were heated at 3 °C/min in a temperature range between –100 and 110 °C, using a constant frequency of 1 Hz and an amplitude of 25 μm. The test was carried out in a nitrogen atmosphere. Based on the results of the analysis of thermal mechanical properties (DMA), cross-linking density ($\nu_c$) was determined. $\nu_c$ of the ureaurethane understood as the inverse of the average molecular weight between network nodes, was calculated using an expression based on Flory’s rubber elasticity theory [29]:

$$\nu_c = \frac{E_T}{3RT}$$

where $\nu_c$ (mol/kg)—cross-link density, $\rho$—density, $E_T$ (Pa) is storage modulus measured at the rubbery plateau, $R=8.31 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ is universal gas constant, and $T$ (K) is absolute temperature at which the plateau of $E_T$ was determined.

The static tensile test was performed on a tensile machine (Instron 1115) according to PN-EN ISO 527. The cast paddle-shaped samples with dimensions 2.5×2 mm and measuring length 20 mm, were stretched at a speed of 500 mm/min.
Results and discussion

The chemical constitution analysis of polyols and EPUUs

Change in chemical structure of used rapeseed polyols and EPUUs was tested by infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The FTIR spectra of used polyols and EPUUs with 50% of rapeseed oil-based polyols are shown in Fig. 3.

The wavenumber of the peaks occurring on the FTIR spectra of used polyols was interpreted and summarized in Table 2. The peak correlated with –OH groups for bio-based polyols is shifted toward the lower wavenumbers than in case of PEA. For RO and MK polyols, the peak correlated with C–H stretching vibrations of double bond CH=CH is observed (3006 cm⁻¹) [30]. Distinct changes were observed in the range of symmetric and asymmetric C–H bonds which are shifted toward to the lower wavenumbers than PEA (Table 2). Similar effect is observed in the region of the C=O stretching bond. For RO and MK polyols, the shift toward the higher wavenumbers and the decrease in intense of

![Fig. 3 FTIR spectra of polyols, 100PEA and EPUUs with 50% of MK and RO](image)

| Wavenumber for bands (cm⁻¹) | Band description | PEA | MK | RO |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----|----|----|
| 3400–3500                 | O–H (stretching) | 3458| 3476| 3468|
| 3006                      | C–H (asymmetric stretching) | – | 3006 | 3006 |
| 2982–2922                 | C–H (asymmetric stretching) | 2982| 2922| 2922|
| 2982–2852                 | C–H (symmetric stretching) | 2882| 2853| 2853|
| 1727                      | C=O (stretching) | 1734| 1740| 1741|
| 1050                      | C–O (stretching) | 1055| 1096| 1098|
| 722                       | Correspond to the (CH₂)ₙ—sequence of the aliphatic chains of the fatty acids | – | 722 | 722 |
absorbance of the bands were noticed (Fig. 3). The peak correlated with C–O stretching bond is shifted toward to the higher wavenumbers than PEA, whereas for RO and MK polyols, the peak at 722 cm⁻¹ correlated with the presence of fatty acids is observed.

The FTIR spectra confirmed the presence of chemical groups for the ureaurethane elastomers (Fig. 3). Signals in the range of 3341–3300 cm⁻¹ were the result of symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibrations of the N–H groups present in urethane group [31], which are involved in formation of hydrogen bonds [32]. For EPUUs with bio-based polyols, the peak at 3006 cm⁻¹ is observed, which is the result of added polyols. The signals in the range 2961–2923 cm⁻¹ and 2953–2874 cm⁻¹ are correlated with symmetric and asymmetric CH₂ stretching vibrations, respectively. For EPUUs with RO, the peak at 2960 cm⁻¹ is observed. This is the effect of the different weight ratio of the polyols used (PEA/RO) [18].

The signal at 1728–1740 cm⁻¹ indicates the presence of C=O carbonyl bonds in urethane groups, in hard segments [33]. Peak at 1596 cm⁻¹ is associated with the presence of aromatic groups. Signals in the range of 1530–1532 cm⁻¹ are associated with urethane N–H bending vibrations and C–N stretching vibrations [34]. The signals at 1453–1465 cm⁻¹ are connected with scissoring vibrations of -CH₂ groups. Peak at 1217 cm⁻¹ is the result of C–N stretching vibrations. The multiplet band in the range 1050–1260 cm⁻¹ is assigned to C–O bonds in flexible segments [33].

Changes in absorbance and displacement of the signals observed in the FTIR spectra (Fig. 3) are the result of EPUU system modification with bio-polyols.

For both types of EPUUs with RO polyols, the increase in absorbance of the bands originating from the stretching vibrations bonds C–O (2922 cm⁻¹, 2853–2874 cm⁻¹) is observed. The absorbance of the bands originating from the vibrations of carbonyl bond C=O (1728–1740 cm⁻¹) decreased with added RO and MK. These changes also affect the absorbance bands from multiplet carbonyl bonds. In order to evaluate these changes, the deconvolution of the multiplet carbonyl signals was performed.

The data included in Table 3 show that for EPUUs with MK and RO, the degree of phase separation (DPS) decreases for these materials compared to reference sample. Increased content of MK polyol in soft phase in tested EPUUs causes an increase in the formation of hydrogen bonds in hard phase ($R = 1.52$ for 80PEA/20MK, $R = 1.10$ for 50PEA/50MK) than in EPUUs with RO polyol ($R = 1.30$ for 80PEA/20RO, $R = 1.05$ for 50PEA/50RO). The increase in the

| Samples           | $R$ | DPS (%) |
|-------------------|-----|---------|
| 100PEA            | 1.49| 59.9    |
| 80PEA/20MK        | 1.52| 60.3    |
| 50PEA/50MK        | 1.10| 52.4    |
| 80PEA/20RO        | 1.30| 56.5    |
| 50PEA/50RO        | 1.05| 51.3    |
formation of hydrogen bonds and degree of phase separation, testifies the increase in the degree of physical cross-linking [25] in these materials. Scheme of hydrogen bonds connecting –C=O and –N–H bonds originating from the polyols and isocyanate used to produce EPUUs is shown in Fig. 4.

**Thermal analysis**

The thermal decomposition of polyurethanes depends on the number of urethane linkages and on the aromatic moieties contents [36]. The thermal analysis of developed materials by TGA in nitrogen was conducted. The loss of weight (TG) and first derivative of the loss of weight (DTG) curves of tested materials are shown in Fig. 5. Decomposition of EPUUs usually starts with the dissociation of the urethane linkage, which decompose in lower temperature than urea linkage [25]. Low thermal stability of urethane linkages is explained by low dissociation energies of chemical bonds present in urethane linkage structure [36]. Next, the degradation of ester bonds in the soft segments and aromatic compounds is observed [21, 37].

The temperature of 5% of weight loss ($T_{5\%}$) and residue at 600 °C ($U_{600}$) were determined from TG curve (Table 4). The two steps of degradation correlated with the temperature of maximum rate of degradation of hard ($T_1$) and soft ($T_2$) segments, the amounts of weight loss ($m_1, m_2$) and the maximum rate of degradation ($V_1, V_2$).

The temperature of initial thermal decomposition ($T_{5\%}$) is lower for both rape-seed oil-based polyols compared to petrochemical polyol. For PEA only, one stage of degradation at 382 °C ($T_2$) and the loss of 93.3% ($m_2$) with 1.87%/°C ($V_2$) are observed (Table 4). Rapeseed oil-based polyols have two stages of degradation (Fig. 5a). First one, occurred at temperature 249 °C and 235 °C ($T_1$) with weight loss of about 10.9% and 11.0% ($m_1$), with maximum rate of degradation 0.13%/°C and
0.14%/°C ($V_1$), for MK and RO polyols, respectively. Probably, this is the effect of more branched structure of MK polyol with higher molecular weight. Second stage of degradation is observed at temperature 420 °C and 424 °C ($T_2$), with weight loss of about 86.5% and 87.4% ($m_2$) and with maximum rate of degradation 1.27%/°C and 1.02%/°C ($V_2$), for MK and RO, respectively (Table 4).

The initial degradation temperature $T_{5\%}$ of tested EPUUs, decreased with an increase in rapeseed oil-based polyols (Table 4). All EPUUs have two stages of degradation (Fig. 5b). The temperature of first step of degradation is higher for EPUUs with RO and MK polyols. The increase in weight loss from 9.5% to 11.7% ($m_1$) is also observed. This increase is higher for EPUUs with MK polyol (Table 4). For this step, no significant changes in maximum rate of degradation ($V_1$) are observed. The second step of degradation occurred at 375–404 °C ($T_2$),
Table 4 The TGA curve analysis of used polyols and tested EPUUs (conducted in nitrogen atmosphere)

| Sample         | $T_{5\%}$ (°C) | $T_1$ (°C) | Stage 1 | $m_1$ (%) | $V_1$ (%/°C) | $T_2$ (°C) | Stage 2 | $m_2$ (%) | $V_2$ (%/°C) | $U_{800}$ (%) | HS from TGA (wt%) |
|----------------|----------------|------------|---------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------|-----------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| PEA            | 303            | –          | –       | –         | –            | 382        | 302–447 | 93.3      | 1.87         | 0.2           | –               |
| MK             | 231            | 249        | 189–299 | 10.9      | 0.13         | 420        | 299–482 | 86.5      | 1.27         | 0.2           | –               |
| RO             | 225            | 235        | 168–292 | 11.0      | 0.14         | 424        | 292–496 | 87.4      | 1.02         | 0.5           | –               |
| 100PEA         | 289            | 298        | 253–315 | 9.5       | 0.24         | 400        | 315–467 | 76.8      | 0.97         | 9.1           | 18.9           |
| 80PEA/20MK     | 288            | 305        | 265–322 | 11.0      | 0.25         | 404        | 322–466 | 75.1      | 1.13         | 8.1           | 21.9           |
| 50PEA/50MK     | 282            | 304        | 271–318 | 11.7      | 0.29         | 388        | 318–468 | 75.3      | 1.06         | 6.6           | 21.9           |
| 80PEA/20RO     | 286            | 301        | 258–321 | 10.4      | 0.25         | 398        | 321–478 | 75.2      | 1.08         | 9.3           | 20.4           |
| 50PEA/50RO     | 284            | 304        | 286–323 | 10.9      | 0.33         | 375        | 323–495 | 73.3      | 0.87         | 7.9           | 24.9           |

$T_{5\%}$, the temperature of 5% of weight loss; $T_1$, $T_2$, the temperatures of maximum rate of degradation of hard and soft segments; $m_1$, $m_2$, the amounts of weight loss; $V_1$, $V_2$, the maximum rate of degradation; $U_{800}$, char residue at 800 °C; HS hard segments
with weight loss at 73.3–76.8% (m<sub>2</sub>) and with maximum rate of degradation from 0.87%/°C to 1.13%/°C (V<sub>2</sub>). The addition of rapeseed oil-based polyols causes decrease in temperature T<sub>2</sub>. The exception is the material 80PEA/20MK, where this temperature is higher ca. 4 °C than for 100PEA.

After degradation at 800 °C, the amount of materials mass occurs at 6.6–9.3% (U<sub>800</sub>). The residue at 800 °C decreased with an increase in rapeseed oil-based polyols content (Table 4).

DTG thermograms show that the multistage decomposition process in nitrogen is a complex weight loss process, which is why the DTG thermograms were split into constituent peaks [21].

In Fig. 6, the original DTG curve for the 50PEA/50MK was deconvoluted into separated curves using a Gaussian profile. The calculated curve, which was the sum of separated curves, agreed well with the original DTG trace.

The areas of deconvoluted peaks from DTG curves can be correlated with weight loss values at different stages of degradation. Table 4 shows the content of urethane and urea bonds in the hard segments, interpreted from DTG curves. In the range of 240–320 °C, the dissociation of urethane bonds in the hard segments of EPUUs is observed [21]. At higher temperatures from 320 to 340 °C, the degradation of urea bonds in hard segments is observed [38].

In this study, the presented elastomers theoretically contain 24.2–29.6 wt% of HS. From the DTG curves, the hard segments content, described by the peaks connected with the degradation of the hard phase, occurs 18.9–24.9 wt% (Table 4). These differences result from the fact that also the aromatic compounds are formed during degradation of hard segment. The content of this aromatic compound cannot be evaluated by this method.

Thermal stability of tested elastomers has also been analyzed in air atmosphere. The shapes of the thermograms in air are different that curves achieved in nitrogen atmosphere. The different is shown for the 50PEA/50MK in Fig. 7.

![Deconvoluted DTG curves of 50PEA/50MK: multiplet band (1), peaks after curve-fitting process (2), and component bands (3)](image)
Different degradation steps were noticed for EPUUs in air atmosphere. The details of the TGA data for EPUUs in air atmosphere are shown in Table 5. For 50PEA/50MK in nitrogen atmosphere, the degradation process is completed at 466 °C, while in air atmosphere the end of degradation takes place at 650 °C (Fig. 7).

The temperature $T_{5\%}$ for EPUUs tested in air atmosphere is higher than in the case of nitrogen atmosphere. This temperature decreases for EPUUs with rapeseed oil-based polyol, just like in nitrogen atmosphere.

Degradation in air atmosphere has more steps than in nitrogen atmosphere (Table 5). The first stage of degradation process occurred at 322–328 °C ($T_1$) and started at higher temperatures than in nitrogen atmosphere. During this stage, a degradation of hard segments occurs. The weight loss in this stage was 8.7–12.9% ($m_1$) and increased with an increase in rapeseed oil-based polyols content. The second stage of degradation occurs at 372–396 °C ($T_2$) and starts at lower temperatures than in nitrogen atmosphere. Higher content of rapeseed oil-based polyols in EPUUs causes a decrease in the temperature of sample degradation at this stage. The weight loss in this stage was 19.8–27.9% ($m_2$) and decreased with an increase in MK polyol, and increased with an increase in RO polyol. At this stage, the degradation of the rest of PUR hard segments is continued, but also the degradation of soft phases is already started. The third degradation stage takes place at temperature range 419–439 °C ($T_3$) with the highest weight loss of 34.6–45.3% ($m_3$). The exception is 50PEA/50RO sample for which the weight loss is 17.3%. The fourth stage of degradation is observed only for materials with 50% of rapeseed oil-based polyols. This stage occurs at 474 °C and 469 °C ($T_4$) for 50PEA/50MK and 50PEA/50RO, respectively. Probably for this, materials degradation of the soft segments coming from rapeseed oil-based polyols has higher thermal resistance and reduce at higher temperatures. The fifth stage of degradation occurred at 578–607 °C ($T_5$) with weight loss at 20.4–24.2% ($m_5$). Addition of 20% of RO and MK polyols causes an increase.
Table 5  The TGA curve analysis of tested EPUUs (conducted in air atmosphere)

| Sample         | \(T_{5\%}\) (°C) | \(T_1\) (°C) | \(m_1\) (%) | \(T_2\) (°C) | \(m_2\) (%) | \(T_3\) (°C) | \(m_3\) (%) | \(T_4\) (°C) | \(m_4\) (%) | \(T_5\) (°C) | \(m_5\) (%) | \(U_{800}\) (%) |
|----------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| 100PEA         | 310              | 325          | 8.7         | 395          | 27.9        | 439          | 38.0        | –            | –           | 583          | 22.2        | 1.1           |
| 80PEA/20MK     | 307              | 327          | 10.6        | 388          | 25.6        | 433          | 40.7        | –            | –           | 605          | 20.4        | 0.5           |
| 50PEA/50MK     | 300              | 328          | 11.8        | 373          | 20.9        | 429          | 34.6        | 474          | 8.4         | 578          | 20.4        | 1.5           |
| 80PEA/20RO     | 302              | 322          | 10.4        | 396          | 19.8        | 430          | 45.3        | –            | –           | 607          | 21.3        | 0.7           |
| 50PEA/50RO     | 297              | 326          | 12.9        | 372          | 23.7        | 419          | 17.3        | 469          | 19.2        | 579          | 24.2        | 0.2           |

\(T_{5\%}\), the temperature of 5% of weight loss; \(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4, T_5\), the temperatures of maximum rate of degradation of hard and soft segments; \(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5\), the amounts of weight loss; \(U_{800}\), char residue at 800 °C
in this temperature. Such degradation can be explained by an oxidative reaction of double bonds in the long fatty acid chains of the rapeseed oil [38].

After degradation was carried out under nitrogen atmosphere at 800 °C, still a significant amount of materials mass (6.6–9.3%) remains. In contrast, in the degradation conducted under air atmosphere the amount of materials mass occurs at 0.2–1.5% [19].

The combination of TGA and FTIR techniques, can give direct information about volatile products of thermal degradation. The 3D TGA/FTIR spectra of the volatile products formed during thermal degradation of the materials: 100PEA, 50PEA/50MK and 50PEA/50RO, are shown in Fig. 8a–c, respectively. The FTIR spectra of the pyrolysis products at the maximum decomposition rate of these materials are shown in Fig. 8d–f, respectively.

Results for the material 100PEA show four steps of its thermal decomposition, while for materials 50PEA/50MK and 50PEA/50RO thermal degradation took place in five steps (Fig. 8). In the range of 4000–3500 cm$^{-1}$, small signals were noticed, which could be related to O–H stretching vibrations from water or hydroxyl-terminated compounds and N–H stretching vibrations (from urea and amine group) [25]. In the case of 100PEA, spectra signals at 2963 cm$^{-1}$ and 2887 cm$^{-1}$, relating to C–H stretching vibrations, are confirmed, while for the materials 50PEA/50MK and 50PEA/50RO they are much more intense and shifted: 2935 cm$^{-1}$ and 2869 cm$^{-1}$, and 2934 cm$^{-1}$ and 2868 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. In all spectra, the bands at 2359 cm$^{-1}$ and 2322 cm$^{-1}$, attributed to carbon dioxide, are observed [38]. The presence of CO$_2$ is the effect of the decomposition of urethane groups [39]. Another group of signals is located between 1768 cm$^{-1}$ and 1757 cm$^{-1}$, and 1137–1143 cm$^{-1}$; these signals correspond to –C–O–C and C–O stretch vibration [40].

DSC analysis was performed to determine the temperatures corresponding to the physical phase transitions in tested EPUUs. The characteristic temperatures connected with glass transition ($T_g$) in soft phase and with changes in hard phase ($T_{01}$ and $T_{02}$), and the enthalpy of these changes ($\Delta H_{01}$ and $\Delta H_{02}$) were determined from first heating cycle. From the DSC curves, the thermal effects ($C_p$) associated with the glass transition in the soft phase, were also determined. All data are summarized in Table 6. The DSC thermograms are shown in Fig. 9.

To understand the changes in physical phase transitions of tested EPUUs, the DSC analysis of used polyols was also performed (Table 6). The glass transition temperature ($T_g$) is the lowest for polyester PEA at temperature $-49.4$ °C. In case of rapeseed-based polyols, an increase of $T_g$ to $-45.9$ °C is observed. The thermal effects ($C_p$) associated with the glass transition in the soft phase, which decrease in the range from 0.821 (PEA) to 0.645 J/g °C (RO), are visible for the tested polyols. The DSC thermogram of PEA shows one endothermic peak with a minimum at the temperature of 50.9 °C and with enthalpy 86.7 J/g. For MK and RO polyols, two endothermic peaks at a minimum at the temperatures $-9.6$ °C and $-6.0$ °C ($T_{01}$), and 94.2 °C and 89.9 °C ($T_{02}$) are observed (Table 6). The enthalpy of this changes are 5.04 J/g and 2.84 J/g ($\Delta H_{01}$), and 38.89 J/g and 16.43 J/g ($\Delta H_{02}$), respectively. Also, for rapeseed-based polyols exothermic transition at 182.4 °C and 157.6 °C ($T_r$) was observed, respectively (Table 6). This temperature is associated with temperature of decomposition of unsaturated fatty acids in polyols [18].
Fig. 8  The 3D TGA/FTIR spectra of 100PEA (a), 50PEA/50MK (b) and 50PEA/50RO (c) together with the FTIR spectra of volatilized pyrolysis products emitted at the maximum evolution rate from 100PEA (d), 50PEA/50RO (e) and 50PEA/50RO (f)
The glass transition temperature ($T_g$) of the soft phase is in the range from $-32.8$ to $-29.2$ °C and increases for EPUUs with rapeseed-based polyols (Table 6). The shift of $T_g$ to higher temperatures can be related with the presence of molecules with different chain length which influences on mobility of polymer chain [41]. The thermal effect ($C_p$) is in the range from 0.378 to 0.251 J/g °C and decreases for EPUUs with rapeseed-based polyols (Table 6). It can be concluded that the EPUUs with rapeseed-based polyols have a lower mobility of the flexible segments in the soft phase [42], especially EPUUs with RO polyol, with the lower molecular weight.

For all EPUUs, one endothermic peak on DSC curve, connected with dissociation of hydrogen bonds in the hard phase [8] and related with relaxation in the area of the hard domains, is observed (Fig. 9). The 100PEA has endothermic peak at 117.3 °C ($T_{02}$) with enthalpy 4.13 J/g ($\Delta H_{02}$) (Table 6).
Material 80PEA/20MK has endothermic peak at 119.9 °C \( (T_{01}) \) with enthalpy 1.80 J/g \( (\Delta H_{01}) \). For material 50PEA/50MK, neither endothermic peak was observed. Material 50PEA/50MK has higher glass transition temperature and temperature connected with the dissociation of hydrogen bonds than 80PEA/20MK, which shows that microdomains of this material have lower flexibility [25].

For EPUUs with 20% of RO polyol, endothermic peak at 92.5 °C \( (T_{01}) \) with enthalpy 4.51 J/g \( (\Delta H_{02}) \) connected with changes in hard phase are observed. For 50% of RO polyol, endothermic peak is minimum at 96.4 °C \( (T_{01}) \) with enthalpy at 5.77 J/g \( (\Delta H_{01}) \). For both groups of materials with MK and RO polyols, an exothermic peak starting at about 171.5 °C \( (T_r) \) is observed (Table 6). This peak is similarly to that observed in MK and RO polyols. According to the literature, transesterification process of polyols from rapeseed oils can be conducted at 180–240 °C [43].

**Mechanical analysis**

The viscoelastic properties of EPUUs were investigated during DMTA analysis. The curves of storage modulus \( (E') \) and tangent of the phase shift angle (\( \tan \delta \)) are shown in Fig. 10. The results interpreted from curves are summarized in Table 7.

The value of storage modulus in glassy state \( (E'_{-30}) \) is lower for EPUUs with rapeseed oil-based polyols. In the case of higher content of MK polyol, the increase in \( E'_{-30} \) from 2.26 to 15.19 GPa, is observed. Above viscoelastic state \( (E'_{20}) \), the decrease in storage modulus for EPUUs with 20% of rapeseed oil-based content, is observed. For EPUUs with 50% of MK and RO polyols, an increase of \( E'_{20} \) from 0.03 to 0.17 GPa and from 0.06 to 0.22 GPa is observed, respectively. In higher temperature \( (E'_{60}) \), the same characteristic for EPUUs is observed (Table 7). At some \( E' \) curves, the rubbery plateau is also observed (100PEA, 80PEA/20MK and 80PEA/20RO). For these samples, the data for cross-linking degree \( (\nu_c) \) were calculated (Table 7). The addition of 20% of MK and RO polyols causes an increase in \( \nu_c \) from 10.6 to 49.7 mol/kg and 19.4 mol/kg, respectively.

Fig. 9 DSC curves of tested EPUU and EPUUs with 50% of MK and RO
In these materials, the strong hydrogen bonding between HSs and weaker ones between soft segments was created [44], what is shown by DPS (Table 3).

From loss modulus curves ($E''$), the $\alpha$ relaxation temperature ($T_\alpha$), related with the glass transition temperature ($T_g$) of soft segments, was determined (Table 7). For EPUUs with MK polyol, the temperature $T_\alpha$ decreases with an increase in MK polyol content. In case of EPUUs with RO polyol, the increase of $T_\alpha$ is observed. For material 50PEA/50MK, the highest mobility of the segments of microdomains was received. The temperature $T_\alpha$ from DMTA can be compared with glass transition temperature $T_g$ from DSC. In case of materials with RO polyol, the characteristic for these temperatures is the same. But for materials with MK polyol, the relation is opposite.

Fig. 10 DMTA curves ($E'$ modulus, $E''$ modulus and tan $\delta$) for EPUUs with a MK and b RO polyols
Table 7 Results of the DMTA curve analysis and static tensile strength

| Sample       | $T_\beta$ (°C) | $T_\alpha$ (°C) | tan $\delta > 0.3$ (°C) | tan $\delta$ | $E'$ (GPa) | $\nu_c$ (mol/kg) | $\delta_M$ (MPa) | $\sigma_{100}$ (MPa) | $\sigma_{300}$ (MPa) | $\varepsilon$ (%) |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
|              | − 30 °C        | 20 °C          | 60 °C                    |              |             |                  |                  |                   |                   |               |
| 100PEA       | −              | −21.0          | −42.9 to 77.2            | 0.560        | 17.61 (0.96)* | 0.19 (0.01)     | 0.16 (0.01)     | 10.6              | 27.0             | 23.6          | −              | 110.2         |
| 80PEA/20MK   | −80.9          | −18.5          | −38.4 to 112.1           | 0.588        | 2.26 (0.15)  | 0.03 (0.00)     | 0.03 (0.00)     | 49.7              | 24.1             | 5.7           | 17.5          | 380.5         |
| 50PEA/50MK   | −79.3          | −22.8          | −34.9 to 105.0           | 0.476        | 15.19 (0.89) | 0.17 (0.01)     | 0.09 (0.01)     | −                 | 13.0             | 4.5           | 13.0          | 292.0         |
| 80PEA/20RO   | −68.7          | −19.9          | −34.4 to 119.0           | 0.327        | 2.44 (0.54)  | 0.06 (0.00)     | 0.07 (0.00)     | 19.4              | 24.1             | −             | −             | 87.5          |
| 50PEA/50RO   | −66.9          | −17.6          | −                        | 0.191        | 1.81 (0.13)  | 0.22 (0.01)     | 0.14 (0.01)     | −                 | 13.0             | −             | −             | 39.0          |

$T_\beta$, the temperature assigned with the relaxations of side groups or short branch points; $T_\alpha$, the $\alpha$ relaxation temperature determined from loss modulus curve; tan$\delta$, tangent of the phase shift angle; $E'$, storage modulus; $\nu_c$, cross-linking degree; $\delta_M$, tensile strength; $\sigma_{100}$, the stress at 100% elongation; $\sigma_{300}$, the stress at 300% elongation; $\varepsilon$, elongation at break.

*Variations in the parenthesis as standard deviations.
For material with MK and RO polyol, the temperature assigned with the relaxations of side groups or short branch points ($T_\beta$) is observed (Table 7). This temperature increased with an increase in rapeseed oil-based polyols content. Probably, this is an effect of limited mobility of microparticles [45].

The tan δ curve corresponds to the ratio of $E''$ to the $E'$. The ability to absorb energy by tested materials is considered by tan δ > 0.3 [46]. The materials with high vibration adsorption and energy accumulation capacity characterized with tan δ > 0.3 in wide temperature range [47]. The EPUUs with MK and RO polyols have greater temperature range (Table 7). The maximum of the peak of tan δ decreased with an increase in rapeseed oil-based polyols, and for material 50PEA/50RO the tan δ < 0.3.

Based on the DMTA results, differences were found between the features of soft phase of the tested materials, which are also observed in the results based on DSC study (Table 6). For materials with RO polyol, the temperature $T_g$, determined on the basis of the DSC thermogram, increases with an increase in RO polyol content in EPUUs. The $T_\alpha$ temperature, determined in DMTA, indicating relaxation capacity of soft phase after glass transition for EPUUs with RO polyol, also increases along with the increase in RO polyol content (Table 7). For EPUUs with MK polyol, the temperature $T_g$, determined from DSC curves, also increases with an increase in MK polyol content. The $T_\alpha$ temperature, determined in DMTA, for EPUUs with MK polyol decreases for material with 50% of MK polyol to −22.8 °C. This means that this material considerably differs in terms of relaxation capacity, which is the result of differences in the structure of MK polyol [21].

Static tensile strength was also tested to assess the mechanical properties of EPUUs. The results are summarized in Table 7. The tensile strength ($\delta_M$) decreased with an increase in MK and RO polyols content. For materials 100PEA, 80PEA/20MK and 50PEA/50MK, the stress at 100% elongation ($\sigma_{100}$) is also observed. The $\sigma_{100}$ decreased with an increase in MK polyol content and is much lower than for the reference sample 100PEA. EPUUs with MK polyol stress at 300% elongation are also observed and decreased with an increase in MK polyol content. Elongation at break ($\varepsilon$) for 100 PEA occurs at 110.2%. For EPUUs with MK and RO polyols, the $\varepsilon$ occurred at 380.5% and 292.0%, and 87.5% and 39.0%, respectively. The elongation at break decreases with an increase in rapeseed oil-based polyols, which is confirmed by DPS (Table 3). The elongation at break is higher over 270% for 80PEA/20MK and over 180% for 50PEA/50MK than for 100PEA.

Conclusions

The paper presents the results of research on the impact of different structure and functionality of used rapeseed-based polyols on the thermal and mechanical properties of tested EPUUs. The use of rapeseed oil-based polyol in production of EPUUs caused changes of phase separation degree in these materials. As the content of MK and RO polyols increases, the number of hydrogen bonds connecting the rigid segments of these materials is reduced. The consequence of these changes in chemical
structure in EPUUs, a change in the thermal characteristics of these materials, takes place.

The differences in structure of used rapeseed-based polyols in production of EPUUs are visible in mechanical properties of tested materials. Cross-linking density calculated from DMTA increases for materials with 20% of rapeseed oil-based polyols and is highest for material 80PEA/20MK. The elongation at break was higher for materials with MK polyols than for material with petrochemical polyol.

The use of diethylene glycol to opening oxirane rings in production of MK polyol caused higher mechanical properties in EPUUs, than for EPUUs with RO polyol produced with isopropanol.
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