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In 2017, the College of Liberal Arts at Texas A&M University created an initiative modeled on the State University of New York’s Collaborative Online International Learning (COIL) program. COIL is an approach to fostering global competence through the development of a multicultural learning environment that links university classes in two different countries. Using various communication technologies, students complete shared assignments and projects, with faculty members from each country co-teaching and managing coursework. We piloted a COIL program with the purpose of fostering global competence and a multicultural learning environment through linking a Texas A&M Liberal Arts class and a university class in a foreign country. Each of the paired classes met separately and regularly in its home country for much of the semester, but the students also worked asynchronously online to share ideas, collaboratively produce work relevant to the course of study, and reflect upon their own and their partners’ cultural points of view. In this paper we discuss the results of this pilot program.
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Introduction

It is a well-known fact that study abroad brings tremendous benefits to students. Three academic advantages may be quickly singled out. First, students who participate in a study abroad program display better overall academic achievement. Every year the difference in four-year graduation rates for students who did not study abroad and students who did is between 15% and 20%.1 This is the conclusion of many tier 1 research universities, such as University of California, Berkeley (https://opa.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/studyabroad_finalterm_17may2017.pdf), and Colorado State (http://irpe-reports.colostate.edu/pdf/ResearchBriefs/EA_Graduation_Rates.pdf). Review of the evidence in Barclay 2011, with the most recent evaluation in Haupt et al. 2018. Malmgren and Galvin 2008 discuss how study abroad improves graduation rates for at-risk students and students of underrepresented groups. The webpage http://globaledresearch.com/study-abroad-impact.asp lists 20 studies (with links) for reports by universities examining the effect of study abroad on graduation, retention, and learning. The higher GPRs earned by Texas A&M students who have international experiences may not necessarily be directly attributable to time abroad. At Texas A&M, no student with a GPR below 2.0 is eligible for study abroad; the same prohibition applies to students on academic probation. Thus, study abroad students are already achieving higher grades from the onset; their final GPR at graduation may be more a reflection of their overall academic abilities than the result of the academic skill-sets acquired from education abroad.
Students who engage in an overseas experience also stay in college. Retention today is as critical for accountability in higher education and for state legislatures as graduation rates (Tinto 1987). At Texas A&M the difference in retention rates for students who stay on campus and students who study overseas is about 10 percent. Given Texas A&M’s annual freshman class of 10,000, if all students were afforded some type of international experience, this would result in the retention of about 750 students.

Employers value study abroad experiences and students who have studied abroad find that they have an advantage on the job market, especially when they are able to articulate in interviews their overseas experiences.

In recent surveys, employers have identified the study abroad skill-sets that they consider highly desirable in potential employees: cross-cultural communications skills, autonomy and independence, leadership skills, innovation, maturity, cultural awareness, and flexibility (Deardorff 2004, Hunter 2004, Fukai 2016). In today’s global economy and a highly diverse workforce, study abroad gives students a wider perspective of the world, the ability to work in a diverse environment, the means to adapt to change, open-mindedness, and a basic knowledge of the world outside a limited view.

How do we get students to study overseas if we know the benefits and are there new ways of internationalizing g them? In this article, we first discuss the misconceptions about study abroad and what barriers exist for students. Then, we describe a new program that we piloted in our college to give students an opportunity for interactive, high-impact international experiences without ever leaving their campus. Finally, we present the results of the pilot program.

Misconceptions about Study Abroad

In recognition of the great benefits of international experiences to students, academically, personally, and professionally, Texas A&M University has been very proactive in emphasizing study abroad. It is currently second in the nation for the number of students who study abroad, and is eclipsed only by New York University. In the 2016–2017 academic year, Texas A&M sent 5,539 students overseas (Table 1).
Table 1. Number of Students with Overseas Experiences by College at Texas A&M University

| College                                    | 12-13 | 13-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Agriculture and Life Sciences              | 390   | 503   | 534   | 601   | 618   |
| Architecture                               | 214   | 264   | 231   | 306   | 407   |
| Bush School of Government & Public Service | 59    | 37    | 46    | 75    | 51    |
| Education and Human Development            | 239   | 526   | 452   | 491   | 477   |
| Engineering                                | 591   | 601   | 732   | 1,032 | 963   |
| Galveston Campus                           | 68    | 237   | 326   | 431   | 464   |
| Geosciences                                | 83    | 92    | 128   | 185   | 129   |
| Health Science Center                      | 15    | 16    | 42    | 13    | 122   |
| Liberal Arts                               | 890   | 934   | 965   | 881   | 971   |
| Mays Business School                       | 423   | 518   | 584   | 627   | 636   |
| Qatar Campus                               | 157   | 210   | 223   | 218   |       |
| School of Law                              | 11    | 10    | 29    | 47    |       |
| Science                                    | 144   | 149   | 154   | 145   | 139   |
| Transition Academic Programs*              | 69    | 24    | 40    | 40    | 36    |
| Unknown                                    | 6     | 2     | 8     |       |       |
| Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences| 136   | 159   | 203   | 251   | 261   |
| TOTAL                                      | 3,327 | 4,230 | 4,665 | 5,330 | 5,539 |

When students go overseas, it is for many reasons: academic course work, research, field trips, and internships. The most popular is the faculty-led study abroad program or (week-long or two week-long) field trips; nearly 70 percent of students participated in one of these two experiences (Table 2).6

Table 2. Types of Overseas Experiences for Texas A&M Students

| Program Type                               | 12-13 | 13-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Conference/Workshop/Training               | 203   | 304   | 383   | 426   | 511   |
| Exchange Programs*                         | 159   | 187   | 266   | 346   | 226   |
| Faculty-Led                                | 1,091 | 1,240 | 1,278 | 1,949 | 1,998 |
| Internship**                               | 136   | 189   | 139   | 174   | 176   |
| Research                                   | 230   | 404   | 469   | 257   | 263   |
| Service Learning/Volunteer                 | 40    | 23    | 34    | 36    | 71    |
| Short Term Group Trip                      | 1,043 | 1,367 | 1,572 | 1,637 | 1,742 |
| Study*** (Courses not for credit)          | 19    | 30    | 13    | 50    |       |
| Supervised Direct Enrollment               | 30    | 37    | 39    | 67    | 86    |
| Teach Abroad***                            | 2     | 1     | 8     | 16    | 12    |
| Transfer Credit Study Abroad               | 393   | 459   | 447   | 409   | 404   |
| Grand Total                                | 3,327 | 4,230 | 4,665 | 5,330 | 5,539 |

Although the benefits of study abroad are well-known to administrators, employers, faculty, and students, there is still some hesitation among students and parents or legal guardians. Several factors are at play.

---

6Houser et al. 2011 discuss the benefits of field trips; also Peet et al. 2015. Simeoes 1996 notes the significant gains associated with short-term study abroad and not necessarily longer study abroad programs.
The first factor is the misconception that study abroad costs far more than staying on a home campus. But the data do not support this; in fact, the costs of overseas study in many instances are comparable to on-campus study. As a test case, let us look at a long semester (15 weeks) in College Station and an equivalent semester at the Texas A&M Study Center in Castiglion Fiorentino, a Tuscan town 95 kilometers south of Florence.

First the costs for a student studying on the College Station campus in the fall of 2018 is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Costs for Spring 2018 Semester, College Station

| Costs                | Resident ($) |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Tuition & Fees (15 hours) | 5,142        |
| Loan Fees            | 32           |
| Housing & Meals      | 5,218        |
| Books & Supplies     | 700          |
| Travel               | 2,400        |
| Personal Expenses    | 3,500        |
| Total                | 16,922       |

The costs of 15 hours at the Castiglion Fiorentino Study are outlined in Table 4.

Table 4. Costs to Study Abroad in Italy, Spring, 2018

| Costs                | Resident ($) |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Tuition & Fees (15 hours) | 5,142        |
| Loan Fees            | 32           |
| Housing & Meals      | 6,600        |
| Books & Supplies     | 250          |
| Travel               | 3000⁷        |
| Personal Expenses    | 2,000–6,000⁸ |
| Total                | 14,024–18,024|

As we read in Tables 3 and 4, the difference in costs between on-campus study and a semester in Tuscany are negligible. There are additional costs for overseas study, but these are attributable to personal travel, gift purchases, and extra meals, not programmatic costs.

Not all study abroad programs, however, take place in a medieval Tuscan town like Castiglion Fiorentino where the cost of living is low. The costs may be higher for London, Paris, Tokyo, or Berlin.⁹ And while financial aid can be

---

⁷Both personal travel and academic travel, including airfare, are combined.

⁸The range is considerable, depending on the student. Students are allowed a free weekend every other week. Some students take every advantage to travel, while others travel only moderately or stay close by, say, in Florence.

⁹Every university has a study abroad office that can assist students in finding the right program at the right price. The Internet can help interested students; there are numerous sites for guesstimates for studying abroad; for example, https://www.gooverseas.com/blog/how-much-does-it-cost-to-study-abroad.
applied for study abroad, not all students have the type of financial aid or scholarship that can be used for this purpose. Also an increasing number of undocumented university students, mostly from Mexico and Central America, are enrolled at Texas A&M. However, they cannot leave the United States because they do not possess the proper papers.\(^\text{10}\)

The cost of higher education is increasingly on the minds of students. Reports show that two-thirds of college students are “food insecure,” that is, they have limited adequate food, and about half are “housing insecure,” that is, either they must often move because of the inability to pay rent or they cannot afford decent housing (Goldrick-Rab et al. 2017 and 2018 for data and discussion as well bibliography; Maroto et al. 2015 on food insecurity and its effect on academic performance by college students).

Some students do not want to miss out on extracurricular activities. This is especially true when American football season is in full swing and the campus has events the entire weekend, from Friday night gatherings through Sunday tailgating. Even the spring has its own extracurricular attractions. The upshot is that some students simply wish to stay home and enjoy an on-campus experience.

Underrepresented groups and first-generation students constitute a small subset of students who travel abroad. It is not primarily finances that drive their avoidance of study abroad, but a lack of international experience within their family. The students in the course that we co-teach for first-generation students report that they miss contact with their family and their support system. Some even need to travel back and forth on the weekend to help supplement family income by working in the fields. Study abroad is often far away in the mind of first-generation students (Greenbaum 2012).

Last, but certainly not least in the minds of parents, is the fear of terrorism, especially in Europe. Texas A&M students prefer northern European destinations like England, France, and Germany. Every terrorist incident has a negative impact (Baker 2014 on terrorism’s role in discouraging travel; Gleye 2017 for a first-hand account of students experiencing firsthand terror attacks).

**Collaborative Online International Learning**

In recognition of impediments to study abroad, and to expand the types of international experiences that are made available to students, we turned to the development and implementation of collaborative international courses. “Collaborative Online International Learning,” or COIL, is a pedagogy that is international and yet also interactive and virtual. COIL may also be defined as globally networked learning. The term COIL was coined by the State University of New York, which is a pioneer in this field and has inspired not only our pilot program but those at many other institutions.\(^\text{11}\) In COIL courses, students from

\(^{10}\)Study abroad is possible through the Department of Homeland Security's Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals or DACA. The problem is that undocumented students cannot receive any federally funded student financial aid, and so they cannot use federal loans, grants, and scholarships.

\(^{11}\)A *sine qua non* introduction to COIL and a guide on developing COIL courses is [http://www.](http://www.)
two countries use different communication technologies to work on common assignments or to complete collaborative projects. Faculty in each country work with their own students but also work closely with each other.\textsuperscript{12}

A COIL course typically has certain characteristics.\textsuperscript{13} First, the two instructors work out the course curriculum ahead of time, paying close attention to logistics. The students at the two institutions work together for about four weeks; some COIL courses involve semester-long work, although three or four weeks are the average. Students must work in collaboration on assignments or problems; they can be paired or form small groups. The interaction between students is conducted through technology; the platforms that are used are at the discretion of the instructors and are dependent on what technology is available at the institutions and to students. Video-conferencing platforms like Skype and Google hangouts, networks like Facebook, and document-sharing programs like Google Docs allow students to communicate easily and quickly.\textsuperscript{14} Students are enrolled at own institution and their work is assessed by the home instructor. In other words, a student has a meaningful, intense, and high-impact international learning experience without ever leaving their campus.

In January of 2017 at Texas A&M, we issued, as part of a pilot program, a call to faculty in the College of Liberal Arts for proposals for collaborative, interactive course work between their students and students in an equivalent class at a foreign institution.\textsuperscript{15} As a stimulus we offered a research bursary, whose amount was dependent on the length of the collaborative work. The scale was:

- $1,000 for two weeks
- $1,500 for three weeks
- $2,000 for four weeks.\textsuperscript{16}

\begin{itemize}
  \item ufic.ufl.edu/UAP/Forms/COIL_guide.pdf. Reed 2016 reviews some of the programs with assessment data, while Esche 2018 is a recent ‘how-to’ guide for faculty interested in developing COIL courses in their curriculum.
  \item Levinson and Davidson 2015 offer a review of 91 online cross-cultural pedagogical experiences.
  \item Examples of courses taught at different institutions may be found at http://coil.suny.edu/page/examples-coil-supported-courses.
  \item A full list, with discussion, of types of technology may be found at https://www.uwb.edu/globalinitiatives/academic/coil-initiative/coil-resources.
  \item As an example of a COIL course, we envisioned a German 201 ("Intermediate German I") class at Texas A&M being teamed with an intermediate German class at the University of Bristol. The English institution was desired, since both sets of students would be second-language learners; teaming with a German university would be intimating to the Americans who are not native speakers. Each class would meet separately and regularly throughout the semester, but students also work asynchronously online for about four weeks to collaboratively produce work and to reflect upon their own and their partners’ cultural points of view. Teams of students, two to four per group, would work on pre-approved projects, such as the role of the media in political elections, immigration issues and the assimilation of immigrants, religious pluralism and religious fundamentalism, freedom of speech issues, and political elections. The students would use various communication technologies like Skype, Facetime, Facebook, and email, etc., to complete their projects, with the faculty members from each country coordinating and overseeing the coursework. The final project would be a PowerPoint presentation for presentation to both classes through Skype or some kind of wide-area data and interactive communications network.
  \item A special $3,000 bursary was added if the faculty member incorporated a weeklong overseas field
Faculty were asked to complete a detailed proposal form in which they identified the course and their international collaborator. Certain questions required responses.

- “Describe the nature of the collaboration. What will the students do? How will you build the activities into the overall course content?”
- “Address issues of language, such as the primary language of most students in each class and their fluency level, and the language to be used in the collaborative project.”
- “What electronic platforms will the students use? Will you need any assistance from the college’s IT or instructional technology offices?”
- “How will you and your overseas collaborator assess the students’ projects?”

The faculty member also agreed to administer the Global Perspective Inventory prior to and subsequent to the collaborative work, in order to assess whether students had improved their global awareness and perspective through the COIL experience.

For the pilot program, we chose two proposals: “Communication Leadership and Conflict Management,” and “Senior Seminar in Classics.” The Communication professor collaborated with a professor of law at the Law School of the University of South Wales. In this four-week collaboration, two to four Texas A&M students teamed with two Australian students for the purpose of engaging in legal test cases that involved conflict and mediation. In each group, the Texas A&M students role-played as CEOs; their Australian peers role-played as corporate lawyers who acted as their consultants or as their adversaries in arbitration and negotiations. The students corresponded with each other via multiple email conversations, and participated in asynchronous email negotiations as well as a synchronous Skype mediations.

As a course requirement, students were required to turn in to the instructor their email threads and to write a reflection journal. The email threads demonstrated how often and in what way students interacted with one another and how the project was developed and completed. The journal captured students’ critical thinking and their reflection on the similarities and the differences between American and Australian cultural perspectives on conflict management.

The second course we selected involved a classics professor working with a colleague in the Dipartimento di Filologia Classica at the Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II. The Texas A&M course was a seminar for graduating majors in classics and focused on reader response/reception and the classical tradition, while the Italian students, who were in the masters program, were enrolled in a course on the history of traditional classical philology. The Texas A&M students worked separately at the beginning of the course, writing research papers on the transformation of the classics through translation, transfer, and refugiation of trip into the COIL course.
literary and cultural traditions. Both sets of students used the theoretical models developed by the collaborative research group “Transformationen der Antike” at Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin (Heinze et al. 2013). Toward the end of the semester, the Neapolitan students joined and formed two-person teams with the American students. For two weeks, the Italian students worked as peer reviewers of the drafts of the Americans’ research papers through email, Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter. Common class discussions on the theory and methodology of reception theory were held through Skype. Language was not an issue, as most Italian university students are comfortable with English and both professors are fluent in Italian and English and so were available to help smooth over any linguistic bumps. Three weeks overall were spent on the collaborative efforts. In the end, the A&M students gained an appreciation for what the Italian students can do with traditional models of philology, and the Italians were challenged by the innovativeness of new theoretical approaches to philological traditions.

Discussion

Did these courses succeed in providing students with a high-impact international experience? We address first the Australia/Texas A&M online collaboration. The instructors reported that their students took the project very seriously. Through synchronous and asynchronous interactions, the Australian students role-playing as lawyers interacted extensively through their American team partners who, as said above, role-played as CEOs in conflict management scenarios. The course was the first time that Australian students had worked with American students, and vice versa. Both instructors and students considered the project accomplished course objectives and learning outcomes: the Australians learned the negotiations strategies and tactics that communications majors are taught, while the American students were introduced to the relationship between law and conflict management. Problems were encountered when it came to the time difference, which is 16 hours. This vast difference in time made real-time, face-to-face conferencing extremely difficult, and so students found it easier to use email and Google docs for communication.

The classics course produced terrific results. Both professors deemed the papers that were emerged from the team projects to be of very high quality by both professors. Those papers that focused best on the applications of transformation theory to literature and culture from late antiquity to modern times were collected into a volume entitled Classics Transformed. This collection was recently published by Edizioni ETS, a highly reputable publishing house in Pisa, in the series, Testi e studi di cultura classica. Besides a preface and introduction, 14 papers appeared in the volume: seven from the Italian graduate students, seven by the American undergraduates (Abbamonte and Kallendorf 2018).

I have renewed the COIL program, not only to provide a unique international experience to any Liberal Arts student but also to improve the retention of our first-generation students. If colleges wish to improve graduation rates for first-time-in-college students who are first generation, purposeful and targeted
programming in the initial year of study must be provided. First-generation students are up to two times more likely to leave college during or after the first year (Choy 2001 and Ishitani 2006). We are using as a model in this initiative the University of Texas at El Paso’s COIL course for first-generation students. Dr. Effy George, Victoria University in Melbourne, Australia, and Irma Victoria Montelongo, University of Texas at El Pso, created this program, which is called the “VU–UTEP Global Learning Community.” This project involves the transforming first-year Liberal Arts core curriculum classes into a transnational class, entitled “Imagining Nations, Imagining Regions: The Making of Cultural Diversity in Australia and on the U.S.-Mexico Border.” The class focuses on instilling in students an intercultural awareness and on eliminating cultural stereotypes that each set of students may have.

The dramatic increase in retention rates that the VU—UTEP collaboration intrigued me. The attrition for students enrolled at the University of Texas El Paso has averaged one to two students, out of initial enrollments of 20. At Victoria University the average attrition rate is two to three students per 30 students. These retention rates are very atypical for the two institutions. Official certified retention rates for students at the university after the first year is 70 percent. But the students in the COIL program are retained at the rate 90 to 95 percent. Such dramatic improvements in retention are a reason why Nassau Community College similarly have developed and implemented COIL classes as a way to increase its first-year retention.

It is our intention to develop a COIL component for the Regents Scholars Initiative (RSI) program that we help oversee for the college. The RSI program is designed to assist first-generation college students in achieving their educational goals at Texas A&M. Students receive up to $5,000 per year for four years, in addition to other financial aid and scholarships. Each student is first generation, with an adjusted family gross income of less than $40,000 per annum.

We coteach for the RSI students a class intended to provide the building blocks essential to success at Texas A&M University. Topics include adjustment to college life, exploration of strategies to help manage time and to self-motivate, effective study habits, and test preparation. In the fall, the course is built around the acquisition of academic success skills and how to become engaged in campus life. In the spring, the first half of the course prepares the students for a weeklong trip to Costa Rica; there, students learn about ecotourism, archaeology, and history, and perform service projects at the Nicaraguan refugee camp of La Carpio. The second half of the course is devoted to writing self-reflection papers and finalizing their journals. Students of color make up about 75 percent of the class, with the majority of students from inner-city schools and the Mexican border. About seventy (70) percent of the students are Mexican-American.

17 Oberhelman was made aware of this fine program from the creators themselves at an October 2016 conference in Denver, Colorado: “AAC&U Global Learning and the College Curriculum: Nurturing Student Efficacy in a Global World.”
18 Ten-year data may be found at https://www.utep.edu/student-affairs/financialaid/consumer-information/Retention-Rates.pdf.
19 An in-depth report by Nassau Community College is available at the website, https://www.ncc.edu/middlestates/Enrollment_Plan_2018-21.pdf.
The current first-year retention rate for our RSI students is 88 percent. Since dramatic increases in retention rates are observable at other colleges when they create COIL opportunities for their first-generation students, we plan to create a COIL program for the RSI students. Retention of underrepresented and first-generation students is vital (Swail et al. 2003; Brundage 2017), and so a COIL/virtual component to the yearlong RSI course, with the potential to develop intercultural awareness and competencies of the students, nearly all of whom have never left the state of Texas, cannot be passed up. We have begun exploring the possible COIL course with the University of Sydney. This Australian university does not have a formal class for first-generation students, but it does have a “First in Family” group that students can join.\footnote{This is actually a national program in Australia: http://www.firstinfamily.com.au/. The University of Sydney has its own program, which is currently housed in its School of Economics; see the details at https://sydney.edu.au/arts/economics/fimf/index.shtml.} The initial proposal is to connect first-generation students at both institutions in ways by which they can discern similarities of being first generation, regardless of place, and yet also to learn about the differences.

\textbf{Conclusion}

International experiences play a very strong role in enhancing student success. They result in better retention rates, higher grade point averages, and significantly greater four-year, five-year, and six-year graduation rates. International experiences can assume many forms, from a weeklong field trip to an internship to a long-term study abroad program. Despite their knowledge of the benefits of international experiences, some students hesitate to participate. Costs are a big factor, especially for low-income students and students of underrepresented groups. First-generation students are reticent about leaving their families and support structure, and are often needed at home to provide for the family income. Students and parents alike have concerns about safety.

Courses with a collaborative online international learning component (COIL) offer a pedagogically viable alternative to physically leaving a country. At little to no cost, students can have an intensive, interactive experience with students of another culture. The pilot program developed at Texas A&M demonstrates that students can have meaningful high-impact learning and acquire intercultural competencies through social media and interactive media platforms. Three weeks in, say, Athens or Barcelona can offer American students academic, cultural, and career benefits, but so can three weeks of collaborative online work with Catalan or Hellenic students.
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