Effect of 3-week preoperative rehabilitation on pain and daily physical activities in patients with severe osteoarthritis undergoing total knee arthroplasty
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Abstract

Background: We hypothesized that 3 weeks of preoperative rehabilitation could improve postoperative pain in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty (TKA). Aim: This study aimed to evaluate the effects of 3 weeks of preoperative rehabilitation on postoperative pain after TKA.

Methods: This prospective cohort study included 29 subjects (41 knees) divided into two groups: the preoperative rehabilitation group included 14 subjects (20 knees) and the control group included 15 subjects (21 knees). All subjects were scheduled for unilateral or bilateral TKA. The preoperative rehabilitation group completed a 90-min rehabilitation program 3 days per week for 3 weeks before their TKA. The rehabilitation included body weight exercise, resistance exercise, and cycle ergometer exercise. The control group did not undergo any rehabilitation prior to TKA. We assessed the patients using Western Ontario and McMaster Universities’ Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) and recorded their physical activity of walking, standing, sitting, and lying down at study entry and/or before TKA and 1 month after surgery.

Results: The WOMAC total and WOMAC pain scores were significantly lower after 3-weeks of rehabilitation, but before TKA and 1 month after surgery were significantly lower in the preoperative rehabilitation group than in the control group. The time spent walking, standing, sitting, and lying down for 12 h did not change after TKA in the preoperative rehabilitation group. In contrast, in the control group, the time spent in walking and standing positions decreased and the time in the sitting position increased after TKA (p < 0.05). Conclusion: We found that 3-week preoperative training reduced knee pain and helped maintain physical activity after surgery in patients with severe osteoarthritis who underwent TKA.
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Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is both the most common joint disease worldwide\(^1\) and a major cause of knee pain and disability.\(^2–4\) Knee replacement surgery is highly successful in relieving knee pain and improving knee function in people with advanced arthritis of the joint.\(^5\) However, some patients with OA experience postoperative pain after knee replacement surgery\(^6,7\) which can decrease their activity after surgery and lead to deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE).\(^8\) Weakness of the knee extensor muscle is a common finding in patients with OA and is associated with knee pain as well as reduced functional performance and daily activity.\(^9–11\) Several studies have reported the benefits of 4–8 weeks of preoperative rehabilitation before total knee arthroplasty (TKA) including improved knee muscle strength and reduced pre-operative knee pain.\(^12–16\) In addition, postoperative pain was reduced by high-intensity strength training for 8 weeks before TKA.\(^15\) Additionally, a previous study reported that a 3-week resistance training program significantly increased lower body strength in healthy people.\(^17\) Therefore, we hypothesized that 3 weeks of preoperative rehabilitation would improve postoperative pain in patients after TKA. If postoperative pain after TKA was reduced by 3 weeks of preoperative rehabilitation, this rehabilitation regimen could be useful for patients with knee OA because patients would be better able to maintain their activities after TKA and decrease the risk of DVT and PE. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have reported the effects of a 3-week preoperative rehabilitation program before TKA. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of 3 weeks of preoperative rehabilitation before TKA on postoperative pain.

Methods

**Study design, setting, and participants.** This prospective observational cohort study was conducted at the Department of Rehabilitation Medicine and Orthopedics at Wakayama Medical University Hospital between April 2017 and April 2018. In this time, some patients undergoing TKA at this hospital underwent pre operational rehabilitation for functional improvement on the recommendation of an orthopedic surgeon, irrespective of study participation. Patients were divided into two groups based on preoperative rehabilitation: a group who underwent preoperative rehabilitation and a control group without preoperative rehabilitation. All subjects included in this study were older than 50 years of age, diagnosed with advanced idiopathic knee OA (according to the radiological criteria of the American College of Rheumatology Guidelines) and scheduled for unilateral or bilateral TKA. We excluded patients who had active or recently treated infections, who were unable to walk independently, and who had any medical condition which contraindicated exercise. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Wakayama Medical University Hospital (approval ID: 1874). All subjects provided signed informed consent before the commencement of the study. 

Patients in the preoperative rehabilitation group completed a rehabilitation program 3 days per week for 3 weeks before TKA. Each training session was 90-min long. The rehabilitation program was designed to increase lower limb muscle strength (Table 1). Each training session was supervised by a physical therapist and started with body weight exercises, including two sets of 20 repetitions of step-ups and calf raises, and five sets of 10 repetitions of wall squats. After body weight exercises, the subjects performed four sets of 30 seconds double leg stance and four sets of 15 seconds single leg stance on the unstable device. The subjects also underwent resistance exercises, including knee extension and hip abduction. The intensity of the exercise was based on the ability of the subject to execute a maximum of 10 repetitions. The systematic review was shown that seven to nine repetitions per set appear to be the training variables that could have the greatest and most rapid effects on improving maximal voluntary strength in healthy old adults.\(^18\) In addition, we referred to the TKA preoperative rehabilitation protocol that has been shown to be effective. The protocol was a maximum of 10 repetitions.\(^15\) The subjects performed five sets of 10 repetitions of each resistance exercise and a cycle ergometer exercise at 60% heart rate reserve for 20 min. The Karvonen formula (\((220 – \text{age} – \text{resting heart rate}) \times \% \text{intensity} + \text{resting heart rate}\)) was used to determine the heart rate to achieve the desired and prescribed intensity. A cycle ergometer was used in TKA preoperative rehabilitation, but the specific intensity was not stated.\(^15\) Therefore, we used the Karvonen formula, which is often used as a protocol for patient rehabilitation.\(^15\) The training session ended with light static stretching of the hip abductors, hip flexors, hip extensors, knee extensors, knee flexors, and ankle plantar flexors. The control group did not undergo any rehabilitation prior to TKA.

All TKAs were performed using the same surgical technique and were conducted by the same group of experienced orthopedic surgeons at our hospital. In all cases, the posterior cruciate ligament was removed, and surgery was performed using a tourniquet. After TKA surgery, all subjects received the same postoperative rehabilitation protocol at the hospital as part of the
usual clinical care. The postoperative rehabilitation protocol was constructed according to the postoperative rehabilitation protocol shown in previous preoperative rehabilitation studies and the study described in TKA postoperative rehabilitation. The subjects started the rehabilitation program within 24–48 hours after surgery. Mobilization (meaning all out-of-bed activities) was conducted and supervised by a physical therapist. Rehabilitation usually involved resistance exercise, stretching exercises, exercises of daily living, aerobic training, and gait training. This postoperative rehabilitation program was performed daily (Monday to Saturday) twice per day during the hospital stay (3–4 weeks), and each session lasted 1 h. Of the 49 subjects screened (71 knees), 29 subjects (41 knees) were included in the study (insert Figure 1). 20 subjects were excluded: 18 because they declined to participate in this study or informed consent for this study was not obtained. Some of them declined because the measurements such as physical activity were complicated. One subject was hospitalized with other diseases, and one subject withdrew from the study after an accident with bone fracture. Finally, the preoperative rehabilitation group included 14 subjects (20 knees), and the control group included 15 subjects (21 knees).

**Outcome measures.** The timing of the three data assessment tests was as follows: before the first preoperative rehabilitation session, 3 weeks before TKA

---

**Table 1. Preoperative rehabilitation program applied in this study.**

| Exercise                        | Description                                      |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Body weight exercises**       |                                                  |
| Step-ups                        | 2 sets of 20 repetitions                         |
| Calf raises                     | 2 sets of 20 repetitions                         |
| Wall squats                     | 5 sets of 20 repetitions                         |
| Double leg stance               | 4 sets of 30 seconds                             |
| Single leg stance               | 4 sets of 15 seconds                             |
| **Resistance exercises**        |                                                  |
| Knee extension                  | 5 sets of 10 repetitions                         |
| Hip abduction                   | 5 sets of 10 repetitions                         |
| Cycle ergometer exercise        | 60% heart rate reserve for 20 min                |
| Light static stretching         | Hip abductors, hip flexors, hip extensors, knee extensors, knee flexors, and ankle plantar flexors |

---

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of the progress through the study.
Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC).

function (17 items). It is rated on a 5-point Likert scale (0–4) by summing each subscale and computing the total score. Higher scores indicate worse QOL.

administered questionnaire divided into subscales for physical measures, and physical activity during each time duration, followed by the Dunn test as a post hoc test. We used the Wilcoxon signed-rank test to determine the differences between before TKA and 1 month after surgery in the control group. Age, height, weight, and BMI were expressed as the mean ± SD (Table 2). The WOMAC, physical measures, and physical activity are expressed as mean ± SD (Tables 3–5). Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software Inc., CA).

isometric strength of knee extensors, and passive knee ROM between the two groups were evaluated using the Mann–Whitney U test. The Friedman test was used to examine differences in WOMAC, passive knee ROM, and physical activity during each time duration, followed by the Dunn test as a post hoc test. We used the Wilcoxon signed-rank test to determine the differences between before TKA and 1 month after surgery in the control group. Age, height, weight, and BMI were expressed as the mean ± SD (Table 2). The WOMAC, physical measures, and physical activity are expressed as mean ± SD (Tables 3–5). Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software Inc., CA).

Results

The demographics of the subjects are summarized in Table 2.

There were no significant differences between the groups. Passive knee ROM flexion and extension were quantified non-invasively using a triaxial accelerometer (KSN-200; KISSEI COMTEC, Nagano, Japan). This device includes five sensors (each with a diameter of 27 mm × a thickness of 9.1 mm). The sensors measure the angles and acceleration of body segments in two orthogonal directions. The activity monitor classifies activity according to time spent walking, standing, sitting, and lying down. One sensor was placed at the midline approximately 2 cm distal to the sternal notch, another on the anterior surface of each thigh at the mid-femur level, and one was placed on the anterior surface of each thigh at the mid-tibia level. The sensors were secured to the skin with hypoallergenic adhesive tape. The subjects were instructed to wear the monitor for 12 hours while awake, except when they had to take a shower or bath. They were allowed to exercise and were encouraged to conduct their daily routine activities. The recorded data were uploaded to a personal computer after each test.

Physical activity. Physical activity during daily living was quantified non-invasively using a triaxial accelerometer (KSN-200; KISSEI COMTEC, Nagano, Japan). This device includes five sensors (each with a diameter of 27 mm × a thickness of 9.1 mm). The sensors measure the angles and acceleration of body segments in two orthogonal directions. The activity monitor classifies activity according to time spent walking, standing, sitting, and lying down. One sensor was placed at the midline approximately 2 cm distal to the sternal notch, another on the anterior surface of each thigh at the mid-femur level, and one was placed on the anterior surface of each thigh at the mid-tibia level. The sensors were secured to the skin with hypoallergenic adhesive tape. The subjects were instructed to wear the monitor for 12 hours while awake, except when they had to take a shower or bath. They were allowed to exercise and were encouraged to conduct their daily routine activities. The recorded data were uploaded to a personal computer after each test.

Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC). The WOMAC was administered during interviews. WOMAC is a commonly used measure of related quality of life (QOL) in patients with OA. It is a 24-item, self-administered questionnaire divided into subscales for pain (5 items), joint stiffness (2 items), and physical function (17 items). It is rated on a 5-point Likert scale (0–4), with higher scores indicating lower symptoms or disability levels. The instrument is scored by summing each subscale and computing the total score.

Isometric strength with a dynamometer. The isometric strength of the knee extensors was measured using a portable handheld dynamometer (μTas F-1; Anima, Tokyo, Japan). To measure the maximal isometric knee extension strength, subjects were seated with 90° hip flexion and knee flexion. The subjects were instructed to remain seated in an upright position and place both hands on their upper legs to avoid compensation. An ankle pad was placed 3 cm proximal to the medial malleolus. The subjects were instructed to contract their quadriceps as forcefully as possible, pushing their legs against the pad. The dynamometer was positioned perpendicular to the tibia and fixed with a belt to the plinth. All subjects repeated isometric maximal voluntary contractions twice. The trial with the higher score was selected for analysis. Peak torque values were normalized to body weight and reported as Nm/kg. Dynamometry is considered the gold standard for muscle strength assessment, and dynamometry tests of knee extensor muscles in knee OA have proven to be a reliable metric.

Passive knee range of motion (ROM). Passive knee joint flexion and extension ROM of the affected knee were measured using goniometry. The subjects were placed in a supine position and the fulcrum of the goniometer was placed over the lateral epicondyle with a 30-cm arm pointing toward the major trochanter of the femur and the other toward the lateral malleolus. This is a reliable method that has been found to be valid for subjects with knee ROM restrictions.

Statistical analysis. The WOMAC scores in a previous study had a standard deviation of 11. We calculated a sample size assuming the difference between the means of the two groups was 10. A sample size of 20 knees before TKA in each group was needed to reject the null hypothesis with a statistical power of 80% and a significance level of p < 0.05.

Differences between the groups in age, height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) were tested using the unpaired t-test. The x² test was used to examine differences in the side, gender, and Kellgren-Lawrence scale grades. Changes in physical activity, WOMAC, isometric strength of knee extensors, and passive knee ROM between the two groups were evaluated using the Mann–Whitney U test. The Friedman test was used to examine differences in WOMAC, passive knee ROM, and physical activity during each time duration, followed by the Dunn test as a post hoc test. We used the Wilcoxon signed-rank test to determine the differences between before TKA and 1 month after surgery in the control group. Age, height, weight, and BMI were expressed as the mean ± SD (Table 2). The WOMAC, physical measures, and physical activity are expressed as mean ± SD (Tables 3–5). Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software Inc., CA).
Table 2. Demographics of the sample population.

| Variable                        | Control (n = 21 TKAs) | Preoperative rehabilitation (n = 20 TKAs) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Number of patients              | 15                    | 14                                       |
| Age (years)                     | 73.1±6.5              | 72.6±8.7                                 | *p > 0.05 |
| Height (cm)                     | 153.7±10.1            | 153.2±7.2                               | *p > 0.05 |
| Weight (kg)                     | 60.2±11.1             | 60.3±8.8                                | *p > 0.05 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                     | 25.4±3.6              | 25.6±2.8                                | *p > 0.05 |
| Operating site (left/right)     | 13/8                  | 9/11                                    | *p > 0.05 |
| Sex (male/female)               | 6 (40%)/9 (60%)       | 3 (21%)/11 (79%)                       | *p > 0.05 |
| Kellgren-Lawrence scale grades  |                       |                                         |
| III                             | 9                     | 7                                       | *p > 0.05 |
| IV                              | 12                    | 13                                      | *p > 0.05 |

Data are mean±SD.

Table 3. Scores of all physical measures.

| Variable                        | Time of testing | Control (n=21 TKAs) | Preoperative rehabilitation (n=20 TKAs) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| ROM flexion (°)                 |                 |                     |                                         |
| Before preoperative rehabilitation |                 |                     |                                         |
| Just before TKA                 | 117.2±16.8      | 130.4±13.3          | *p < 0.05, compared with just before TKA in the same group. |
| 1 month after surgery            | 120.9±6.8       | 123.6±5.9           | *p < 0.05, compared with before preoperative rehabilitation in the same group. |
| ROM extension (°)                |                 |                     |                                         |
| Before preoperative rehabilitation |                 |                     |                                         |
| Just before TKA                 | −12.8±4.5       | −8.1±3.4            | *p < 0.05, compared with just before TKA in the same group. |
| 1 month after surgery            | −5.6±3.9*       | −5.8±4.6            | *p < 0.05, compared with before preoperative rehabilitation in the same group. |
| Isometric knee extension (Nm/kg) |                 |                     |                                         |
| Before preoperative rehabilitation |                 |                     |                                         |
| Just before TKA                 | 1.0±0.4         | 1.0±0.4             |                                         |
| 1 month after surgery            | 0.6±0.3*        | 0.6±0.3*            | *p < 0.05, compared with the control group. |

Data are mean±SD.

Table 4. Scores of all questionnaires.

| Variable                        | Time of testing | Control (n=21 TKAs) | Preoperative rehabilitation (n=20 TKAs) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| WOMAC total                     |                 |                     |                                         |
| Before preoperative rehabilitation |                 |                     | 41.5±13.7                               |
| Just before TKA                 | 47.9±20.2       | 34.9±11.1           | *p < 0.05, compared with just before TKA in the same group. |
| 1 month after surgery            | 31.7±14.0*      | 23.6±10.2           | *p < 0.05, compared with before preoperative rehabilitation in the same group. |
| WOMAC pain                      |                 |                     |                                         |
| Before preoperative rehabilitation |                 |                     | 9.3±4.2                                 |
| Just before TKA                 | 10.4±3.4        | 8.0±2.8*            | *p < 0.05, compared with just before TKA in the same group. |
| 1 month after surgery            | 6.9±2.4*        | 4.8±2.2*            | *p < 0.05, compared with before preoperative rehabilitation in the same group. |
| WOMAC stiffness                  |                 |                     |                                         |
| Before preoperative rehabilitation |                 |                     | 3.6±2.0                                 |
| Just before TKA                 | 5.0±1.5         | 3.2±2.0             | *p < 0.05, compared with just before TKA in the same group. |
| 1 month after surgery            | 3.1±1.2*        | 2.6±1.4             |                                         |
| WOMAC functional                |                 |                     |                                         |
| Before preoperative rehabilitation |                 |                     | 28.7±11.3                               |
| Just before TKA                 | 32.5±16.3       | 23.7±9.0            |                                         |
| 1 month after surgery            | 21.8±11.6*      | 16.2±9.9*           | *p < 0.05, compared with the control group. |

Data are mean±SD.

*p < 0.05, compared with just before TKA in the same group.

*ªp < 0.05, compared with before preoperative rehabilitation in the same group.

†p < 0.05, compared with the control group.
significantly different before and after 3-weeks of preoperative rehabilitation and just before TKA in the preoperative rehabilitation group (Table 3).

The passive knee ROM just before TKA was significantly better in the preoperative rehabilitation group compared to the control group. The questionnaire data from the WOMAC are displayed in Table 4. The WOMAC total showed significant improvement between the assessments conducted before preoperative rehabilitation and just before TKA in the preoperative rehabilitation group (Table 4). The WOMAC total and WOMAC pain scores just before TKA and 1 month after surgery were significantly lower in the preoperative rehabilitation group than in the control group (Table 4). The effect sizes of WOMAC pain just before TKA and 1 month after surgery were 0.771 and 0.913, respectively. These results seemed to be large by Cohen’s guideline.

Physical activity is displayed in Table 5. The time spent walking, standing, sitting, and lying down for 12 h did not change after TKA in the preoperative rehabilitation group. In contrast, the time the control group spent in walking and standing positions decreased and time in the sitting position increased after TKA (*p < 0.05) (Table 5). Physical activity was not significantly different between the two groups.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the association between 3 weeks of preoperative rehabilitation before TKA and postoperative pain following TKA. The two major findings of this study were: 1) WOMAC pain scores before surgery and 1 month after surgery were significantly lower in the preoperative rehabilitation group than in the control group. 2) The post-TKA activity level did not change in patients in the preoperative rehabilitation group, whereas it decreased in the control group. These findings suggest that preoperative rehabilitation reduces postoperative knee pain and does not attenuate daily activities.

WOMAC pain scores before surgery and 1 month after surgery were significantly lower in the preoperative rehabilitation group than in the control group. Previous preoperative studies reported no differences in knee pain reduction between groups20,28–31; however, our 3-week preoperative rehabilitation regimen induced significant reductions in knee pain. While previous studies have shown that knee pain is related to muscle strength,5,32 our findings showed no improvement in isometric strength of the knee extensors. Thus, we assume that muscle strength did not directly contribute to the reduction in knee pain. Previous studies have suggested that exercise suppresses inflammatory response.33,34 Myokine elevation from skeletal muscle after exercise may be related to the attenuation of inflammatory response.34,35 In this study, the rehabilitation program was designed to train the lower limb. Therefore, this rehabilitation program for knee function might be effective in producing myokines from the muscles of the large lower limbs. Accordingly, it is possible that our 3-week preoperative rehabilitation program played a role in the suppression of the inflammatory response. Furthermore, in the preoperative rehabilitation group, knee pain improved both before and 1 month after surgery. Preoperative rehabilitation for 3-weeks might attenuate the inflammatory response before surgery and reduce knee pain 1 month after surgery due to the effects of preoperative rehabilitation and TKA.

The physical activity of patients undergoing TKA can be improved. However, there are no reports on the

**Table 5.** Scores of all physical activity.

| Variable       | Time of testing | Control (n=15 TKAs) | Preoperative rehabilitation (n=14 TKAs) |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Walking time (min) | Before preoperative rehabilitation | - | 228.7±109.6 |
|                 | Just before TKA | 221.3±114.7 | 234.1±111.6 |
|                 | 1 month after surgery | 144.5±82.0* | 204.3±95.9 |
| Standing time (min) | Before preoperative rehabilitation | - | 26.5±17.2 |
|                 | Just before TKA | 48.6±47.5 | 31.0±22.2 |
|                 | 1 month after surgery | 27.0±24.7* | 30.1±23.7 |
| Sitting time (min) | Before preoperative rehabilitation | - | 340.1±117.5 |
|                 | Just before TKA | 338.4±113.9 | 341.3±154.0 |
|                 | 1 month after surgery | 412.4±95.4* | 363.5±89.2 |
| Lying time (min) | Before preoperative rehabilitation | - | 121.6±104.5 |
|                 | Just before TKA | 97.4±94.0 | 105.2±115.2 |
|                 | 1 month after surgery | 120.4±96.2 | 114.4±86.3 |

Data are mean±SD.

*p < 0.05, compared with just before TKA in the same group.
changes in the physical activity of patients who undergo preoperative exercise training. To our knowledge, this is the first study to analyze the amount of physical activity done by patients who underwent preoperative exercise training. In the preoperative rehabilitation group, the time spent walking, standing, sitting, and lying down did not change after TKA. In the control group, after TKA the time spent walking and standing decreased, and that spent sitting increased. In other words, the activity levels decreased after TKA in the control patients, but not in those who received preoperative rehabilitation. Previous studies have shown that knee pain is related to physical activity.\textsuperscript{10,11} The study reported the origin of pain is inflammation and the inflammatory response.\textsuperscript{36} Previous studies have suggested that exercise suppresses inflammatory response.\textsuperscript{33,34} In this study, preoperative rehabilitation exercise may have reduced inflammation, which may have reduced pain. On the other hand, pain scores might be significantly lower in the preoperative rehabilitation group than in the control group due to the lack of effect of suppressing inflammation caused by exercise. Our study suggests that the ability to maintain the same physical activity in the preoperative rehabilitation group may be related to reduced knee pain.

The present study demonstrated that 3 weeks of training effectively improved ROM, WOMAC total, and WOMAC functional scores. Previous studies have described the application of a preoperative training period of 4–8 weeks.\textsuperscript{12-16} In our study, the preoperative rehabilitation group completed the rehabilitation program 3 days per week for 3 weeks before surgery demonstrating the effectiveness of this shorter program in improving various functional parameters. Therefore, it may be effective for patients’ QOL and knee pain to train mainly on the lower limbs for 3 weeks.

Our preoperative rehabilitation program may be beneficial since exercise-based programs are efficacious in reducing knee pain, increasing functional performance, improving QOL, and maintaining physical activity.\textsuperscript{8,37,38} Previous studies have reported that physical activity is related to the incidence of early loosening.\textsuperscript{37} Other studies have concluded that low physical activity was associated with lower muscle strength\textsuperscript{11} and that physical activity is a significant factor that protects against poor function.\textsuperscript{38} Based on these findings, this preoperative rehabilitation regimen can potentially prevent postoperative complications and maintain long-term function.

**Study limitations**

The present study has some limitations. First, there was no difference in physical activity between the two groups, although there was a significant difference within each group. Further research is required in this regard. Second, physical activity on the recorded days might not always reflect typical days. Patients participating in a research study on physical activity could change their normal behavior, and the obtained measurements might not always reflect their actual activity. However, all subjects were instructed to wear the monitor for 12 h while awake; accordingly, we believe that this method recorded almost all physical activities. Other factors, such as dosing, may be considered for physical activity. Future studies should assess other factors. In addition, we think it is necessary to carry out highly accurate research such as RCT in the future.

**Conclusions**

The present study examined the effects of 3 weeks of preoperative training on the lower limbs in patients with severe OA. After preoperative training, knee pain in patients with OA was reduced both before surgery and 1 month after surgery. The post-TKA activity level did not change in patients in the preoperative rehabilitation group, but decreased in the control group. Therefore, this rehabilitation program for knee function might be effective in reducing postoperative knee pain and maintaining physical activity.

**Acknowledgments**

We are grateful to Mr. Takamasa Hashizaki, Yuta Minoshima, Kouhei Minami, Sho Matsushima, Satoki Furuta, and Yuki Natsume for their excellent technical assistance. We also thank Dr. Faiq G. Issa (www.word-medex.com.au) for the careful reading and editing of the manuscript.

**Declaration of conflicting interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Funding**

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Disclaimers**

The views expressed in the articles are that of the authors and not their institutions.
References

1. Glyn-Jones S, Palmer AJR, Agricola R, et al. Osteoarthritis. The Lancet 2015; 386: 376–387, DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60802-3.

2. Dunlop DD, Song J, Semanik PA, et al. Objective physical activity measurement in the osteoarthritis initiative. Are guidelines being met? Arthritis Rheum 2011; 63: 3372–3382, DOI: 10.1002/art.30562.

3. Brandes M, Schomaker R, Möllenhoff G, et al. Quantity versus quality of gait and quality of life in patients with osteoarthritis. Gait Posture 2008; 28: 74–79, DOI: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2007.10.004.

4. Winter CC, Brandes M, Müller C, et al. Walking ability during daily life in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee or the hip and lumbar spinal stenosis: a cross sectional study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2010; 233: 11, DOI: 10.1186/1471-2474-11-233.

5. Carr AJ, Robertsson O, Graves S, et al. Knee replacement. The Lancet 2012; 379: 1331–1340, DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60752-6.

6. Singh JA, Gabriel S and Lewallen D. The impact of gender, age, and preoperative pain severity on pain after TKA. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2008; 466: 2717–2723, DOI: 10.1007/s11999-008-0399-9.

7. Wylde V, Hewlett S, Learmonth ID, et al. Persistent pain after joint replacement: prevalence, sensory qualities, and postoperative determinants. Pain 2011; 152: 566–572, DOI: 10.1016/j.pain.2010.11.023.

8. Lee SY, Ro du H, Chung CY, et al. Incidence of deep vein thrombosis after major lower limb orthopedic surgery: analysis of a nationwide claim registry. Yonsei Med J 2015; 56: 139–145, DOI: 10.3349/ymj.2015.56.1.139.

9. Ruhdorfer A, Wirth W, Hitzl W, et al. Association of thigh muscle strength with knee symptoms and radiographic disease stage of osteoarthritis: data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Arthritis Care Res 2014; 66: 1344–1353, DOI: 10.1002/acr.22317.

10. Steultjens MP, Dekker J and Bijlsma JW. Avoidance of activity and disability in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee the mediating role of muscle strength. Arthritis Rheum 2002; 46: 1784–1788, DOI: 10.1002/art.10383.

11. Holla JP, van der Leeden M, Knoel DL, et al. Avoidance of activities in early symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: results from the CHECK cohort. Ann Behav Med 2012; 44: 33–42, DOI: 10.1007/s12160-012-9353-x.

12. Silkman Baker C and McKeon JM. Does preoperative rehabilitation improve patient-based outcomes in persons who have undergone total knee arthroplasty? A systematic review. PM R 2012; 4: 756–767, DOI: 10.1016/j.pmrj.2012.06.005.

13. Kwok IH, Paton B and Haddad FS. Does pre-operative physiotherapy improve outcomes in primary total knee arthroplasty? - A systematic review. J Arthroplasty 2015; 30: 1657–1663, DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2015.04.013.

14. Chesham RA and Shammugam S. Does preoperative physiotherapy improve postoperative, patient-based outcomes in older adults who have undergone total knee arthroplasty? A systematic review. Physiother Theo Pract 2017; 33: 9–30, DOI: 10.1080/09593985.2016.1230660.

15. Calatayud J, Casaña J, Ezzatvar Y, et al. High-intensity preoperative training improves physical and functional recovery in the early post-operative periods after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2017; 25: 2864–2872, DOI: 10.1007/s00167-016-3985-5.

16. Skoffler B, Maribo T, Mechelenburg I, et al. Efficacy of preoperative progressive resistance training on postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2016; 68: 1239–1251, DOI: 10.1002/acr.22825.

17. Robbins DW, Marshall PW and McEwen M. The effect of training volume on lower-body strength. J Strength Cond Res 2012; 26: 34–39, DOI: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e31821d5cc4.

18. Borde R, Tibor Hortoba’gyi, Urs Granacher. Dose–response relationships of resistance training in healthy old adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sports Med 2015; 45: 1693–1720, DOI: 10.1007/s40279-015-0385-9.

19. Karvonen MJ, Kentala E and Mustala O. The effects of training on heart rate a longitudinal study. Ann. Med. Exp. Biol. Finn 1957; 35: 307–315.

20. Topp R, Swank AM, Quesada PM, et al. The effect of prehabilitation exercise on strength and functioning after total knee arthroplasty. PM R 2009; 1: 729–735, DOI: 10.1016/j.pmrj.2009.06.003.

21. MarkBuhagiar A., JustinaNaylor M., IanHarris A., et al. Effect of inpatient rehabilitation vs a monitored home-based program on mobility in patients with total knee arthroplasty the HIHO randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2017; 317: 1037–1046. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.1224.

22. Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, et al. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. J Rheumatol 1988; 15: 1833–1840.

23. Koblbauer IF, Lambrecht Y, van der Hulst ML, et al. Reliability of maximal isometric knee strength testing with modified hand-held dynamometry in patients awaiting total knee arthroplasty: useful in research and individual patient settings? A reliability study. BMC
24. Staehli S, Glatthorn JF, Casartelli N, et al. Test–retest reliability of quadriceps muscle function outcomes in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2010; 20: 1058–1065, DOI: 10.1016/j.jelekin.2010.07.006.

25. Brosseau L, Balmer S, Tousignant M, et al. Intra- and intertester reliability and criterion validity of the parallelogram and universal goniometers for measuring maximum active knee flexion and extension of patients with knee restrictions. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2001; 82: 396–402, DOI: 10.1053/apmr.2001.19250.

26. van Leeuwen DM, de Ruiter CJ, Nolte PA, et al. Preoperative strength training for elderly patients awaiting total knee arthroplasty. *Rehabil Res Pract* 2014; 462750: 2014, DOI: 10.1155/2014/462750.

27. Cohen J. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. 2nd ed. Hillside, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1988.

28. Brown K, Topp R, Brosky JA, et al. Preoperative rehabilitation and quality of life three months after total knee arthroplasty: A pilot study. *Percept Mot Skills* 2012; 115: 765–774, DOI: 10.2466/15.06.10.PMS.115.6.765-774.

29. McKay C, Prapavessis H and Doherty T. The effect of a prehabilitation exercise program on quadriceps strength for patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled pilot study. *PM R* 2012; 4: 647–656, DOI: 10.1016/j.pmrj.2012.04.012.

30. Rooks DS, Huang J, Bierbaum BE, et al. Effect of preoperative exercise on measures of functional status in men and women undergoing total hip and knee arthroplasty. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006; 55: 700–708, DOI: 10.1002/art.22223.

31. Walls RJ, McHugh G, O’Gorman DJ, et al. Effects of preoperative neuromuscular electrical stimulation on quadriceps strength and functional recovery in total knee arthroplasty. A pilot study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2010; 119: 11, DOI: 10.1186/1471-2474-11-119.

32. Silva LE, Valim V, Pessanha AP, et al Hydrotherapy versus conventional land-based exercise for the management of patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized clinical trial. *Phys Ther* 2008; 88: 12–21, DOI: 10.2522/ptj.20060040.

33. Handschin C and Spiegelman BM. The role of exercise and PGC1α in inflammation and chronic disease. *Nature* 2008; 454: 463–469, DOI: 10.1038/nature07206.

34. Ogawa T, Nakamura T, Banno M, et al. Elevation of interleukin-6 and attenuation of tumor necrosis factor-alpha during wheelchair half marathon in athletes with cervical spinal cord injuries. *Spinal Cord* 2014; 52: 601–605, DOI: 10.1038/sc.2014.88.

35. Pedersen BK. and, Febbraio MA. Muscle as an endocrine organ: focus on muscle-derived interleukin-6. *Physiol Rev* 2008; 88: 1379–1406, DOI: 10.1152/physrev.90100.2007.

36. Omoigui S. The biochemical origin of pain: the origin of all pain is inflammation and the inflammatory response. Part 2 of 3–inflammatory profile of pain syndromes. *Med Hypotheses* 2007; 69: 1169–1178, doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2007.06.033.

37. Kuster MS. Exercise recommendations after total joint replacement: a review of the current literature and proposal of scientifically based guidelines. *Sports Med* 2002; 32: 433–445, DOI: 10.2165/00007256-200232070-00003.

38. Sharma L, Cahue S, Song J, et al. Physical functioning over three years in knee osteoarthritis role of psychosocial, local mechanical, and neuromuscular factors. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003; 48: 3359–3370, DOI: 10.1002/art.11420.