Resolving CO (2–1) in $z \sim 1.6$ Gas-rich Cluster Galaxies with ALMA: Rotating Molecular Gas Disks with Possible Signatures of Gas Stripping
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Abstract

We present the first spatially resolved observations of molecular gas in a sample of cluster galaxies beyond $z > 0.1$. Using ALMA, we detect CO (2–1) in eight $z \sim 1.6$ cluster galaxies, all within a single 70'' primary beam. The cluster, SpARCS-J0225, was discovered by the Spitzer Adaptation of the Red-sequence Cluster Survey, and is replete with gas-rich galaxies in close proximity, thus affording an efficient multiplexing strategy to amass the first sample of resolved CO in distant clusters. Mapping out the kinematic structure and morphology of molecular gas on $\sim 3.5$ kpc scales reveals rotating gas disks in the majority of the galaxies, and some kinematic peculiarities, including a central gas void, a merger, and one-sided gas tails. We find that the extent of the molecular gas is slightly smaller than that of the optical $HST$ stellar component; this is even more pronounced in low-redshift Virgo cluster galaxies. However, limited by small sample sizes of spatially resolved CO, we are unable to differentiate the distribution of stellar-to-gas radii between cluster and field environments at high redshift. Thus, at first glance, while the cluster galaxies generally look like galaxies infalling from the field, with typical main-sequence star formation rates and massive molecular gas reservoirs situated in rotating disks, they have potentially remarkable attributes, including elevated gas fractions, slightly smaller CO disks, and asymmetric gas tails. Taken in tandem, these signatures are tentative evidence for gas stripping in the $z \sim 1.6$ cluster, though verification of these trends will require larger samples.
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1. Introduction

The advent of integral field spectroscopy combined with spatial multiplexing has enabled statistical kinematic studies of spatially resolved ionized gas in hundreds of galaxies out to $z \sim 3$ (e.g., Förster Schreiber et al. 2009, 2018; Croom et al. 2012; Bundy et al. 2015; Wisnioski et al. 2015). One of the major discoveries to stem from these data is the ubiquity of extended and rotationally supported H$\alpha$ gas disks at high redshift (e.g., Förster Schreiber et al. 2006), favoring a mode of galaxy growth through continuous star formation where gas is smoothly accreted via cold streams (e.g., Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel et al. 2009). This is further consistent with the existence of a tight main sequence of star formation (e.g., Noeske et al. 2007) and high integrated molecular gas fractions in $z \sim 1$ galaxies (e.g., Daddi et al. 2010; Genzel et al. 2010; Tacconi et al. 2010; Papovich et al. 2016; Noble et al. 2017).

However, unlike the well-studied ionized gas component, spatially resolved kinematic studies of the molecular gas component—the raw fuel for star formation—are still in their infancy. The handful of spatially resolved studies that do exist at high redshift have typically relied on extreme objects, such as submillimeter galaxies, quasars, and brightest cluster galaxies (e.g., Genzel et al. 2003; Riechers et al. 2008; Bothwell et al. 2010; Hodge et al. 2012; Russell et al. 2017), or rare, gravitationally lensed objects (e.g., Sharon et al. 2013; Rawle et al. 2014; González-López et al. 2017; Sharda et al. 2018), enabling detailed mapping of the molecular gas component. Owing to the large time investment, the $z > 1$ main-sequence population is instead beset with molecular gas observations that are marginally resolved; fewer than 10 detections with subarcsecond angular resolution exist (Tacconi et al. 2010, 2013; Genzel et al. 2013; Cibinel et al. 2017; Herrera-Camus et al. 2018) and an additional ~15 that are only resolved on $> 10$ kpc scales (Daddi et al. 2010; Tacconi et al. 2013). Whether the molecular gas component in typical main-sequence galaxies is similar to the ionized gas (as probed by H$\alpha$ studies), in both spatial extent and velocity structure, thus largely remains unanswered at $z > 1$.

We now have large samples of integrated molecular gas on $\gtrsim 15$ kpc scales in $z \geq 1$ main-sequence galaxies within field (Tacconi et al. 2013, 2018) and cluster environments (Hayashi et al. 2017; Noble et al. 2017; Rudnick et al. 2017;
Stach et al. 2017; Castignani et al. 2018; Coogan et al. 2018; Strazzullo et al. 2018). With the high spatial and velocity resolution afforded by ALMA, we can now target known gas-rich main-sequence galaxies to resolve their molecular gas. Moreover, $z > 1.5$ galaxy clusters, with intrinsically high surface densities of star-forming galaxies (Tran et al. 2010; Brodwin et al. 2013; Nantais et al. 2017), are the obvious laboratories within which to exploit this untapped potential, given the high return with multiplexing.

Here we present the first observations of spatially resolved molecular gas in cluster galaxies beyond $z > 0.1$. Using ALMA Cycle 5 observations with $\sim 0.4''$ resolution, we detect CO (2–1) in eight cluster galaxies at $z \sim 1.6$, producing exquisite velocity maps over $\sim 3.5$ kpc scales. Due to the high cluster density and large ALMA primary beam of $\sim 70''$ (FWHM), all eight detections are within a single pointing with only 2.7 hr of integration. Throughout the analysis, we use a Chabrier initial mass function (Chabrier 2003) for stellar masses and star formation rates (SFRs), a Galactic $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$ of 4.36 for CO-to-H$_2$ (Bolatto et al. 2013) which also includes a 36% correction for helium, and a 2 CD$\Lambda$ cosmology with $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

### 2. Observations and Analysis

#### 2.1. A $z \sim 1.6$ SpARCS Cluster

SpARCS J022546–035517 (J0225; Nantais et al. 2016) was identified in the 42 sq. deg. SpARCS fields (Muzzin et al. 2009; Wilson et al. 2009; Demarco et al. 2010) through the Stellar Bump Sequence technique, in which the rest-frame near-infrared stellar spectral feature at 1.6 $\mu$m is redshifted into the Infrared Array Camera filters aboard Spitzer for 1.3 < $z$ < 1.8 galaxies (Papovich et al. 2010; Muzzin et al. 2013). The cluster redshift of $z = 1.60$ is determined via >20 spectroscopically identified cluster members. Ancillary imaging over 16 bands from optical/near-infrared (ugrizYKs and F160W) to infrared/far-infrared (3.6/4.5/5.8/8.0/24/250/350/500 $\mu$m) provides estimates of photometric redshifts, stellar masses, and SFRs over the entire cluster field. Imaging details and analysis for $u$-band to 8 $\mu$m data, along with stellar masses, are presented in Nantais et al. (2016), with infrared-determined SFRs described in Section 2.4 of Noble et al. (2017). We note some values have changed slightly with the inclusion of HST photometry, and updated 24 $\mu$m priors used in the SFRs.

#### 2.2. ALMA Observations and Maps

In Noble et al. (2017), we presented ALMA Cycle 3 data of integrated CO (2–1) in multiple pointings over three $z \sim 1.6$ galaxy clusters. We found evidence for systematically enhanced molecular gas fractions in these cluster galaxies, compared to coeval field galaxies with similar stellar masses and SFRs. In ALMA Cycle 5, we chose to observe the most gas-rich of these detections with a longer baseline, in order to spatially resolve the CO (2–1) emission. The data presented here thus consist of a single Band 3 pointing at 88 GHz, totaling 4 hr (2.7 hr on-source), with $\sim 0.4''$ angular resolution. We used the 1.875 GHz baseband in the frequency division correlator mode to detect CO (2–1) at $z \sim 1.6$. While the new Cycle 5 data (field of view $\sim 70''$ across) overlaps with $\sim 80\%$ of two Cycle 3 pointings on J0225, it is centered on the edge of the primary beams in the previous data, optimizing the sensitivity for the most gas-rich source, while also including an additional three known CO detections from Noble et al. (2017) and eight known spectroscopically confirmed cluster members.

We calibrated the data using the standard ALMA Science Pipeline within CASA (version 5.1.1, McMullin et al. 2007) and imaged the data cube with 0\'04 pixels and spectral resolutions of 50 and 100 km s$^{-1}$. To maximize the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), we used an inverse-variance weighting function (i.e., natural) when imaging the visibilities, and performed minimal cleaning on bright sources, down to a threshold of $\sim 3\sigma$. The final continuum-subtracted and primary-beam-corrected map has a central rms of $\sim 0.1$ mJy beam$^{-1}$ per 50 km s$^{-1}$ channel and a synthesized beam of 0\'50 \times 0\'41.

Integrated-intensity (i.e., moment 0) maps of the CO emission are created by collapsing the cube over frequency channels that encompass significant emission ($\geq 2\sigma$) for each source. We compute the local rms in a $\sim 5'' \times 10''$ annulus around each source on its respective integrated CO map. For higher S/N sources, we generate intensity-weighted velocity (i.e., moment 1) maps from the 50 km s$^{-1}$ data cube, using only pixels with values $\geq 3\sigma$, estimated from the local rms averaged over the relevant channels. For lower-S/N sources, we create the moment 0 and moment 1 maps from the 100 km s$^{-1}$ data cube to increase their detection significance.

#### 2.3. CO (2–1) Sizes and Gas Masses

The Cycle 5 pointing encompasses four known gas-rich cluster members from Noble et al. (2017), but now detected at higher S/N, $\sim 10 \times$ higher spatial resolution, and finer spectral resolution. Due to the increased quality of the data, we additionally detect four new sources in CO, all coincident with HST counterparts, and consistent with the cluster redshift for CO (2–1) emission.

To measure the size of the CO disks we first create S/N contours (using the noise measured within the aforementioned annulus) on the integrated-intensity map. Within a $3\sigma$ region around each source, we model the flux using a two-dimensional Gaussian profile with an elliptical cross-section, obtaining a best-fit major and minor FWHM. We note that this is roughly equivalent to a half-light radius, as the semimajor and minor FWHM (i.e., HWHM) contain 50% of the flux within a two-dimensional Gaussian profile. Subtracting the model fit from the integrated-intensity image produces low residuals (all within 0.6 of the local pixel-to-pixel rms) in each case. In order to stay consistent with CO size measurements in the literature (see Section 2.5), we define the molecular gas radii as the geometric average of the semimajor and semiminor axes, deconvolved from the beam, yielding $R_{\text{rad,CO}} \sim 2–7$ kpc. For a perfectly circular disk, the semimajor axis represents the true radius; however, this can be biased in the presence of asymmetric gas tails, and is incompatible with CO sizes quoted in the field. One detection (J0225–324) is only marginally resolved, and we therefore use the clean beam as an upper limit on its size. The uncertainties on the half-light gas radii are $\sim 5\%–15\%$, determined from standard propagation of the errors on the best-fit axes.

We adopt the same approach as in Noble et al. (2017) to measure the CO flux. Using the aforementioned best-fit major and minor FWHM for each source, we extract spectral profiles defined over a $4\sigma$ ($\sigma = \text{FWHM}/2.355$) region on the full image cube. We use a Gaussian function to model the CO
From Solomon & Vanden Bout (2005), we convert the CO flux into a line luminosity using

\[ L'_{\text{CO}} = 3.25 \times 10^7 \times S_{\text{CO}} \Delta v \frac{D_L^2}{v_{\text{rest}}(1 + z)} \text{ K km s}^{-1} \text{pc}^2, \]

where \( S_{\text{CO}} \Delta v \) is the velocity-integrated flux in Jy km s\(^{-1}\), \( D_L \) is the luminosity distance in Mpc, and \( v_{\text{rest}} \) is the rest-frame frequency of CO (2–1) at 230.54 GHz.

To estimate the total molecular gas mass, we assume sub-thermalized emission with brightness temperature ratio of 0.77 (Daddi et al. 2015; Genzel et al. 2015) between \( J = 2 \rightarrow J = 1 \), and an \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \) conversion factor of 4.36, which is commonly used for normal star-forming galaxies (Solomon & Barrett 1991; Bolatto et al. 2013; Carleton et al. 2017):

\[ M_{\text{gas}} = \alpha_{\text{CO}} L'_{\text{CO}} (2-1) 0.77. \]

Our final sample consists of galaxies with gas masses \(~1–25 \times 10^{10} M_\odot\). We note the remeasured fluxes of the four galaxies that were detected in Noble et al. (2017) are all within \( 1.5 \sigma \) of the previous shallower data. Gas masses, CO sizes, and supporting measurements are presented in Table 1.

2.4. HST Sizes

We estimate the size of the optical stellar disk using the highest-resolution near-infrared imaging available, namely the F160W filter on WFC3 aboard the HST, corresponding to rest-frame \( r \)-band. We use a GALFIT (Peng et al. 2002) wrapper, detailed in Matharu et al. (2018), to fit a single-component Sérsic profile and measure a half-light radius, \( r_h \), for each galaxy. For the case of the galaxy pair (J0225–303), we extract separate half-light radii for each component.

2.5. Size Comparisons in the Literature

From the literature, we compile CO and optical sizes for galaxies in the coeval field, and additionally in Virgo and the low-z field. We briefly describe those samples here.

High-z field. The PHIBSS survey contains six star-forming galaxies with subarcsecond resolution CO (3–2) imaging (down to scales of \(~5\) kpc) over a redshift slice of \( 1 < z < 1.6 \) (Tacconi et al. 2013). They additionally extract molecular gas half-light radii for 10 galaxies, and include four \( z = 1.5 \) sources from Daddi et al. (2010), albeit all with larger beams of \(~12\) kpc. The CO sizes constitute a mixture of fits to \( n = 1 \) Sérsic profiles and circular Gaussians. We note that fitting a circular Gaussian should be similar to our method of fitting an elliptical Gaussian and circumscribing the semimajor and semiminor axes through the geometric mean. In all cases, the optical sizes are derived from Sérsic fits to rest-frame \( b \)- and \( r \)-band imaging using GALFIT. This constitutes our primary field comparison.

Low-z field. The BIMA Survey provides 13 galaxies with measured optical disks from \( R/1/1/K \) and gas disks from CO (1–0) (Regan et al. 2001). The sizes are tabulated as scale lengths, which we convert into effective half-light radii by multiplying by a factor of 1.678, appropriate for an \( n = 1 \) Sérsic profile. We note Leroy et al. (2008) also contains molecular gas sizes for many of the same galaxies, but the optical sizes are derived from 3.6 \( \mu \)m imaging and therefore less applicable for our comparison. To ensure the stellar sizes are not biased given the range of bands used in fitting the profiles, we repeat the analysis only including the portion with \( R \)- and \( J \)-band measurements (similar to the wavelengths used in the other comparison samples), and find it has no effect on

---

### Table 1

Properties of the Resolved CO-detected Cluster Galaxies

| ID     | \( z_{\text{CO}} \) | Distance* (Mpc) | \( S_{\text{CO}} \Delta v * \) (Jy km s\(^{-1}\)) | FWHM* (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \( R_{\text{HI,HST}} \) (kpc) | \( R_{\text{HI,CO}} \) (kpc) | \( M_{\text{gas}} / M_\odot \) \((10^{10} M_\odot)\) | \( M_{\text{H}_2} / M_\odot \) \((10^{10} M_\odot)\) | SFR\(^f \) (M\(_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\)) | \( f_{\text{gas}} \) |
|--------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| J0225–371\(^b\) | 1.599 | 0.11 | 1.26 ± 0.10 | 442 ± 39 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 23.3 ± 1.8 | 6.3 ± 0.9 | 173 ± 76 | 0.79 ± 0.02 |
| J0225–460\(^b\) | 1.600 | 0.24 | 0.50 ± 0.05 | 388 ± 44 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 9.3 ± 0.9 | 9.1 ± 0.6 | 116 ± 60 | 0.50 ± 0.10 |
| J0225–281\(^b\) | 1.611 | 0.23 | 0.80 ± 0.08 | 292 ± 34 | 4.0 | 9.0 | 14.8 ± 1.5 | 5.4 ± 2.6 | 120 ± 50 | 0.73 ± 0.15 |
| J0225–541\(^b\) | 1.611 | 0.29 | 1.12 ± 0.26 | 341 ± 89 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 20.8 ± 4.7 | 6.6 ± 1.9 | 82 ± 30 | 0.76 ± 0.05 |
| J0225–429 | 1.602 | 0.21 | 0.25 ± 0.05 | 283 ± 65 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 4.7 ± 0.9 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 178 ± 83 | 0.89 ± 0.02 |
| J0225–407 | 1.596 | 0.16 | 0.26 ± 0.04 | 290 ± 57 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 4.8 ± 0.8 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | 84 ± 28 | 0.87 ± 0.04 |
| J0225–324 | 1.600 | 0.26 | 0.09 ± 0.02 | 193 ± 61 | <1.9 | 3.5 | 1.7 ± 0.4 | 0.1 ± 0.0 | 48 ± 27 | 0.94 ± 0.02 |
| J0225–303\(^i\) | 1.596 | 0.0 | 0.55 ± 0.15 | 687 ± 222 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 10.2 ± 2.8 | 4.4 ± 0.9 | 3 ± 3 | 0.70 ± 0.07 |

Notes:

\( ^a \) Distance to the Brightest Cluster Galaxy.

\( ^b \) Computed from a Gaussian fit to the spectral profile.

\( ^c \) The geometric mean of the semimajor and semiminor axes (FWHM) from a 2D Gaussian fit.

\( ^d \) Calculated using \( r_{\text{HI}} = 0.77, \alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4.36. \)

\( ^e \) Described in detail in Nantais et al. (2016).

\( ^f \) Described in detail in Noble et al. (2017).

\( ^g \) Defined as \( M_{\text{gas}} / (M_{\text{gas}} + M_{\text{stellar}}). \)

\( ^h \) Sources also presented in Noble et al. (2017), with values updated slightly based on the Cycle 5 observations and updated photometry.

\( ^i \) Merging pair, where the reported CO luminosity, SFR, and effective radii are for a single component. The stellar mass, measured on the combined system, has been divided by two. The molecular gas spectral profile is fit with a double Gaussian.
the final results. We therefore use the full sample for the remainder of the paper.

Virgo cluster. The largest compilation of CO sizes of Virgo cluster galaxies is from Kenney & Young (1988; but see also Pappalardo et al. 2012; Chung et al. 2017). Kenney & Young (1988) calculate a CO (1–0) effective radius that contains 70% of the flux, by analytically fitting a model distribution (either a Gaussian or exponential). We convert this into a half-light radius using the model function and derived scale lengths. The effective radii for the optical disks of each galaxy are taken from McDonald et al. (2009), using a combined Sérsic plus exponential fit to the r-band surface brightness profile.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Rotating Molecular Gas Disks in z ∼ 1.6 Cluster Galaxies

In Figure 1, we show integrated-intensity and velocity maps for the four highest S/N sources. In three of the four galaxies, we see indications of rotation in the molecular gas disk, as evidenced by the (mostly) smooth velocity gradients transitioning from blue to red. In particular, J0225–371, J0225–460, and J0225–281 show a pattern characteristic of ordered rotation (e.g., Sofue & Rubin 2001), with a monotonic velocity gradient and alignment between the kinematic axis and major axis of the stellar disk. J0225–281 displays slightly more asymmetry, both kinematically and in the outer intensity contours; the HST image, however, reveals a rather symmetric spiral galaxy. The most perturbed galaxy is J0225–541, exhibiting a molecular gas void in the center, though a velocity gradient is still present. A central depletion of CO has also been observed in a single Virgo cluster galaxy, with Kenney & Young (1988) attributing it to a molecular gas ring.

We present the same postage stamps in Figure 2, but for the four galaxies detected at lower S/N in the ALMA maps. We again see evidence for a velocity gradient in two galaxies, J0225–429 and J0225–407, which could be due to velocity shear rather than rotation, though there is no clear evidence of merger events. The two remaining detections are at too low S/N to accurately characterize the velocity maps.

Galaxies J0225–429, J0225–407, and J0225–324 display a one-sided tail in the intensity maps at the 2–3σ level. This is further supported by an elongated kinematic feature in both J0225–407 and J0225–429. This could be suggestive of ram pressure stripping, and is reminiscent of gaseous debris tails seen in jellyfish galaxies (e.g., Ebeling et al. 2014; Poggianti et al. 2016; Sheen et al. 2017; Moretti et al. 2018). The molecular gas tails roughly point toward the projected cluster center, signifying the galaxies would be stripped on their way back out after reaching pericenter. Moreover, Ebeling et al. (2014) find ram-pressure-induced features on galaxies with tangential infall orbits (as opposed to purely radial orbits), resulting in prolonged stripping events. While the HST images of J0225–429 and 407 look fairly undisturbed, J0225–324 shows some low surface brightness asymmetries, and could be tidally interacting with the faint source to the northeast, which has a photometric redshift of z ∼ 1.87. We also note there is a ∼ 2.5 kpc offset in the centroid of the CO emission compared to that of HST in J0225–324 and J0225–407, additionally suggestive of perturbed molecular gas.

The only likely merger in this sample, J0225–303, is also the plausible brightest cluster galaxy (BCG), defined by its total combined K-band flux. The moment 0 and 1 maps show multiple components over the complex, separated spatially and kinematically, over a few arcseconds and ∼ 700 km s⁻¹, respectively (though each component in the double Gaussian fit has a FWHM ∼ 350 km s⁻¹). As the gas is mostly concentrated over the southern galaxy in the pair, we assume it is primarily emanating from this source. The massive
molecular gas reservoir totals more than $10^{11} M_\odot$, similar to that of a known starbursting BCG in a $z = 1.7$ cluster (Webb et al. 2017). Interestingly, however, the merging system here is mostly devoid of any dusty star formation, suggesting an inefficiency in converting the gas reservoir into stars. This is consistent with recent findings of post-starburst galaxies that have massive molecular gas reservoirs despite very low SFRs (French et al. 2015). Moreover, Strazzullo et al. (2018) found a complex of quiescent and star-forming components, in the center of a $z \sim 2$ cluster, merging to form a proto-BCG.

In summary, we see evidence for velocity gradients in six of the eight cluster galaxies (75%), albeit some with minor kinematic perturbations (including a molecular gas hole). At least three of those are likely rotating disks given their smooth, monotonic velocity gradients and alignment of kinematic and structural axes, while the other three display either rotation or velocity shear. Of the remaining two with no clear velocity gradients, one is a merger and one is only marginally resolved (though has some hints of an asymmetric gas distribution). This is consistent with the findings of $z \sim 1-1.6$ field galaxies from PHIBSS, where two-thirds of the sample are classified as rotating disks of molecular gas.

3.2. The Extent of Molecular Gas Compared to the Stellar Component

With subarcsecond CO observations ($\sim 3.5$ kpc scales at $z \sim 1.6$), we can sufficiently compare the size of the molecular gas disk to that of the optical stellar disk, as measured with rest-frame r-band from HST imaging. In Figure 3, we show the half-light radii for the $z \sim 1.6$ cluster galaxies and a field comparison sample from PHIBSS (Tacconi et al. 2013), which also includes sizes for BzK galaxies (Daddi et al. 2010), as described in Section 2.5. The two samples span a similar range of gas extents, with radii from 2 to 7 kpc. The cluster galaxies mostly lie along a one-to-one ratio of gas-to-stellar sizes, albeit with more galaxies falling below the ratio.

![Figure 2](image_url)  
Figure 2. As in Figure 1, integrated-intensity (left panel) and intensity-weighted velocity (right panel) maps, but for lower S/N sources, created from a velocity resolution of 100 km s$^{-1}$. The CO S/N contours still start at 2$\sigma$, but now increase in steps of 1$\sigma$. The velocities are relative to the central redshift of source J0225–371. The galaxies that display possible one-sided tails are noted. The lower right panel, J0225–303, is the likely brightest cluster galaxy.

![Figure 3](image_url)  
Figure 3. Half-light radii of the CO emission compared to the stellar component, measured on the rest-frame optical HST image. The $z \sim 1.6$ cluster galaxies in J0225 are shown as circles, color-coded by their stellar mass. The pink squares show a field comparison sample from PHIBSS (Daddi et al. 2010; Tacconi et al. 2013). Most of the galaxies fall around the dotted line, representing equal sizes for both components.

We compute the ratio of the half-light radii of the stellar-to-gas component for the eight $z \sim 1.6$ cluster galaxies in the upper panel of Figure 4 (with the black solid line indicating equal sizes). On average, the cluster CO distributions are slightly smaller than their optical component, with a mean ratio of $1.3 \pm 0.2$ (using the standard error on the mean), shown by the dashed line. Moreover, the histogram contains a single
upper limit on the CO size for J0225–324 or, equivalently, a lower limit on the mean ratio; an actual measurement of its CO size would push the sample mean toward an even higher value. The two lowest ratios (J0225–460 and 429) are not dominated by extended CO disks, but rather more highly concentrated optical distributions, with Sérsic indices of 1.9 and 2.7, respectively. We also note that we have included the merging BCG within this histogram, and have similarly not omitted any mergers in the literature studies described below.

We compare the cluster distribution to the coeval field PHIBSS sample. The $1 < z < 1.6$ field galaxies exhibit roughly equal effective radii between the CO and optical disk components, with a mean ratio of $1.1 \pm 0.1$. The field and cluster samples span the same range of gas masses, and thus a comparison between them is primarily limited by small number statistics; we cannot differentiate between the two samples with a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Nevertheless, there is a hint that high-$z$ cluster galaxies have a higher ratio of optical-to-gas size, with a $\sim 0.9\sigma$ offset between the mean ratios.

To contextualize any difference in the size ratio between the two high-$z$ environments, we consider larger CO samples in the low-$z$ field (Regan et al. 2001) and within the local Virgo cluster (Kenney & Young 1988). We note, however, that these studies probe gas masses roughly two orders of magnitude lower than the high-$z$ samples, reflecting the reduced overall gas fractions at lower redshift. As in PHIBSS, low-$z$ field galaxies (bottom panel) also exhibit comparable stellar-to-gas sizes ($1.2 \pm 0.1$), in agreement with other nearby field studies (Young et al. 1995; Leroy et al. 2008). However, the most striking population is within the Virgo cluster, where the gas radii are systematically smaller than the optical component, including a tail out to a ratio of $\sim 4$. The mean ratio in Virgo ($1.8 \pm 0.2$) is $\sim 3.1\sigma$ offset from the coeval field relation, and the Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic rejects the null hypothesis at a significance of $>99\%$. While optical and CO radii have been compared before at lower redshifts, it has typically been done as a function of galaxy morphology (e.g., Young et al. 1995) or HI-deficiency (e.g., Boselli et al. 2014; Chung et al. 2017), rather than environment. To our knowledge, we are presenting one of the first quantitative comparisons of the distribution of stellar-to-gas radii in field versus cluster environments, revealing a rather conspicuous difference which might stem from gas stripping in high-density environments.

Indeed, some of the most direct evidence for a marked influence on molecular gas by the intracluster medium stems from spatially resolved CO studies of Virgo galaxies (e.g., Kenney et al. 1990; Vollmer et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2017), revealing disturbed CO morphologies, extraplanar molecular gas, clumpy gas kinematics, and compressed CO. With even larger samples, Virgo galaxies have additionally been shown to have truncated H$_2$ disks, further demonstrating that multiple gas components are stripped within cluster environments (e.g., Koopmann & Kenney 2004). Moreover, dust-enshrouded star formation is also found to be more centrally concentrated than the stellar disk (Finn et al. 2018). Many of these results are thus favoring an outside-in quenching mechanism for low-redshift galaxies within dense environments.

As the $z \sim 1.6$ cluster environment exhibits the same tendency for galaxies with more compact gas components, this is possibly indicative of molecular gas stripping in cluster environments at both redshifts. However, the difference in distributions between field and cluster environments is much less pronounced at $z \sim 1.6$; this could be suggestive of an immature intracluster medium in the younger cluster environment which has less influence on cluster galaxies. Resolved molecular gas samples are still in their infancy at higher redshifts, with samples too small to say anything statistically significant. Moreover, inhomogeneity between molecular gas transitions, resolution scales, optical bands, and imaging depths precludes a direct comparison between the various fields and redshifts.
3.3. Confirmation of High Gas Fractions

In Noble et al. (2017), we presented tantalizing evidence for numerous gas-rich galaxies with systematically enhanced molecular gas fractions in $z \sim 1.6$ clusters compared to coeval field galaxies of similar mass and SFRs (i.e., the field scaling relations, Genzel et al. 2015). This result has now been corroborated in another cluster at $z = 1.46$ (Hayashi et al. 2018). One possible explanation for the apparent elevated gas fractions is that a distinct conversion factor between CO and H$_2$ (known as $\alpha_{CO}$) may be needed in different environments. While we have used a value consistent with that of the PHIBSS field sample, a lower $\alpha_{CO}$ conversion may be appropriate for increased gas temperatures and velocity dispersions due to, for example, compressed gas and/or mergers (e.g., Narayanan et al. 2012). If instead gas fractions are inherently higher in cluster galaxies, another potential explanation could be that environmental pressure increases the formation of molecular gas through compression of the interstellar medium (e.g., Bahé et al. 2012).

In Figure 5, we revisit the gas fractions of cluster galaxies compared to the scaling relations of the coeval field (Genzel et al. 2015), normalized to $z = 1.6$ and over a range of stellar masses typical of the cluster sample. For a given stellar mass and offset from the star-forming main sequence, we again confirm the existence of systematically higher gas fractions in $z \sim 1.6$ cluster galaxies, but now with more data and at a higher significance of $\sim 7\sigma$ (left panel).

To shed light on this gas fraction offset, we ultimately want to compare the molecular gas sizes and densities of these cluster galaxies with high gas fractions to coeval field galaxies on the scaling relation. In the right panel of Figure 5, we plot the surface density of molecular gas as a function of the gas fraction offset from the scaling relations, for both the cluster and PHIBSS sample. However, the currently available subset of PHIBSS field galaxies with subarcsecond CO observations (Tacconi et al. 2013) is also biased toward higher gas fractions. While the resolved field (pink) and cluster (blue) galaxies roughly span the same range of molecular gas densities, they are all (barring one) systematically biased above the typical field gas fraction, as measured by the scaling relation in Genzel et al. (2015). This is perhaps not surprising, as the most gas-rich galaxies might have been prioritized for the higher-resolution data in the field. This is even more evident in the histogram in the upper right panel, which compares the full $1 < z < 1.6$ field sample distribution of gas fractions (relative to the scaling relation) to that of resolved field detections. The subset of resolved field galaxies is lacking a representative sample of galaxies with lower gas fractions.

We therefore are not in a position to definitively determine the source of systematically high gas fractions in clusters for now, as a more representative field sample with subarcsecond observations is still required. We note that additional high-resolution imaging of the star-forming component, in order to expose where the gas is situated within these galaxies and how fast it is being consumed, would also certainly help elucidate this trend in cluster gas fractions.

3.4. Interpretation

In summary, at first glance, the $z \sim 1.6$ cluster galaxies generally look like galaxies infalling from the field, with typical main-sequence SFRs and massive molecular gas reservoirs situated in rotating disks. However, they also exhibit elevated gas fractions compared to the field, possible gas tails, and, on average, have smaller gas sizes compared to the stellar disk, possibly indicative of environmental processes acting on the gas component.
Though speculative, the results presented above, in tandem, might indicate that \( z \sim 1.6 \) cluster galaxies are undergoing modest molecular gas stripping. This could explain the apparently high gas fractions: if the stripping causes the gas to become compressed at the leading edge, a lower \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \) conversion is required, which would in turn lower the inherent mass of the gas reservoir. Indeed, Moretti et al. (2018) found large amounts of molecular gas in the disks of \( z \sim 0.05 \) jellyfish galaxies, along with CO gas in the tails that likely formed in situ. Thus, high gas fractions could result as the stripped gas condenses to form new stars. Moreover, an environmental influence on CO has been reported in \( z < 0.02 \) cluster galaxies (e.g., Kenney et al. 1990; Vollmer et al. 2008; Fumagalli et al. 2009; Scott et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2017; Mok et al. 2017), and extending out to intermediate-redshift clusters at \( z \sim 0.5 \) (Jablonka et al. 2013; but see also Stark et al. 1986; Kenney & Young 1989; Casoli et al. 1991) for no differences in CO between field and cluster environments. At \( z \sim 1.6 \), we might be witnessing the initial stages of environmental effects on molecular gas in early cluster formation.

4. Conclusions

We present the first high-resolution, kinematic study of molecular gas in high-redshift clusters to date. With sub-arcsecond imaging of CO (2–1) on \( \sim 3.5 \) kpc scales and 50–100 km s\(^{-1}\) velocity resolution, we have characterized the fraction of rotating disks and measured the extent of molecular gas reservoirs in a sample of eight cluster galaxies at \( z \sim 1.6 \). Our main results are summarized as follows:

1. The majority of the galaxies in our sample (\( \sim 75\% \)) display evidence for velocity gradients, either due to ordered disk rotation or velocity shear. We also detect molecular gas in the BCG, a merger with multiple velocity components, and one galaxy with an asymmetric CO distribution around a central gas void. A few galaxies display hints of molecular gas tails and gas-to-stellar centroid offsets, reminiscent of stripping.

2. On average, \( z \sim 1.6 \) cluster galaxies have slightly smaller CO extents compared to their optical stellar component, as measured by their half-light radii. Given the small sample sizes, we are unable to confirm if this is unique to cluster environments at high redshift, measuring only a \( \sim 0.9\sigma \) offset in the stellar-to-gas ratio between the field and cluster environments. At low redshift, there is a pronounced \( 3.1\sigma \) trend toward higher stellar-to-gas sizes in Virgo cluster galaxies compared to the field, likely indicative of gas stripping. However, direct comparisons between the environments at high redshift are riddled with caveats due to inhomogeneous data sets and small sample sizes.

3. We confirm the existence of systematically higher gas fractions in \( z \sim 1.6 \) cluster galaxies compared to the field scaling relations, as shown in Noble et al. (2017) and corroborated in Hayashi et al. (2018). Due to a lack of representative field samples, the origin of the excess is still uncertain.

The study of distant molecular gas is a burgeoning field; with the confluence of galaxy clusters and a large ALMA primary beam, we can now reap the benefits of multiplexing to spatially resolve this molecular gas in large samples of high-redshift cluster galaxies for the first time. Kinematically, the majority of the \( z \sim 1.6 \) cluster galaxies resemble rotating gas disks, similar to field galaxies. Therefore, in the absence of any environmental influences, high-redshift galaxy clusters, with an abundance of massive molecular gas reservoirs in close proximity to one another, offer the most efficient means of studying kinematic and structural properties of CO. With this first look, we have measured the size of the gas component; however, robust evidence for any environmental trends will require larger samples. Observations of spatially resolved CO in additional \( z \sim 1.6 \) cluster galaxies are forthcoming in an approved ALMA Cycle 6 program; with a statistical sample, we will perform a complete kinematic analysis to fully characterize rotation, dynamical masses, and gas surface densities in high-redshift cluster galaxies.
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