Improvement of Thermal Distribution in the Rubber-Glove Former Conveyor Oven by OpenFOAM
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Abstract. The great number of rubber-glove formers was dried by the hot-air after dipping into the coagulant tank. The wet rubber-glove had been conveyed by chain into the oven with the producing speed and then the hot-air was blown to impinge the rubber-glove formers by the hot-air branch ducts which were installed under the conveyor chain paths. The traditional design of hot-air branch ducts was the cause which the rubber-glove formers were not achieved the hot-air thoroughly even though the grille of hot-air branch ducts was opened along the path of former motion. The computational fluid dynamics (CFD) had been applied to model and analyze the hot-air flow through the grille of the branch hot-air ducts inside the conveyor oven. The open source codes CFD software (OpenFOAM) was used to perform the computational technique to simulate the complex hot-air flow. The hot-air branch ducts were improved to control the hot-air temperature distribution for the most uniformity regarding the CFD results. The temperature comparison between CFD and experiment at each point along the oven length was found that the CFD model confirmed an effective improvement and accuracy under an average error was less than 8.99%.
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1. Introduction

The conveyor oven has been used to bake product continuously. It is enormous then the inside temperature is difficult to control for a uniformity. In the rubber-glove producing process, the conveyor oven had been used to dry the coagulant and to vulcanize the latex film which coated hand shape formers. The manifold duct was designed to distribute the hot-air into the oven. The long rectangular air branch duct with many opening grilles usually installed under the rubber-glove formers which ran by the conveyor chain into the oven. The design of air distribution duct system was inapplicable; therefore, the hot-air did not flow through the duct and the heat did not distribute throughout the oven. The irregular vulcanization of rubber gloves was the severe problem which happened following the intermittent hot-air temperature inside the rubber-glove former oven. The lacking of theoretical supporting, the trial and error method was performed consistently to solve the unstable quality problem of the rubber glove manufacturing then it consumed the cost a lot and many times to set up the drying conditions of the oven.

Nowadays, the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) is referred to simulate the airflow to solve the intermittent temperature or to control the purpose temperature inside ovens. The CFD applications concerned food industries for design and analysis which were included of baking, drying, refrigeration and sterilization [1, 2]. The bread baking oven was the most simulation by using CFD methodology [3-5]. The other manufacturing apart from foods had been used CFD to analyze the temperature distribution inside the oven. The temperature distribution and the flow pattern in the paint curing oven for the metal parts of the air-condition production were improved using the CFD [6]. The temperature uniformity in the wire baking finish oven was investigated by decreasing the maximum temperature difference along the width of oven using CFD model [7]. The drying efficiency of the oven in the can making industry was increased by using the CFD simulation [8].

The turbulent flow happened inside oven and was governed by the $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence model [9-12]. The dimension of the industrial oven is large when compared with the branch ducts which are the hot-air distribution apparatus inside the oven. In order to create the oven model, many fine grids or cells had been employed to generate the CFD model. Consequently, the preparation and processing of CFD model were consumed many times to achieve the accuracy results. Many techniques were employed to reduce the complex parts inside the oven model. The 2-D simulation model was the simplest model for the symmetry or pattern oven geometry [13, 14]. As a matter of fact, it was rare for the industrial oven of the rubber-glove producing process. The 3-D model has an effective simulation for the flow and thermal analysis within the industrial oven. The exceeding parts which were not affected to the turbulent flow were removed out of the 3-D simulation domain [15-17]. Unfortunately, some parts such as the hot-air duct are thin and small but it is important for the flow analysis; therefore, it cannot remove for the simplification model. The CFD simulations for the hot-air arrangements within the oven were widely performed using the commercial CFD software. It had the advantage technique to create the complex geometry domain but it had an expensive license cost [18]. Furthermore, some desirable CFD model could not be modified by user, and then the complex oven geometry was not simulated effectively.

In this research, the open source code CFD software (OpenFOAM) without license cost and allowing code modification which was validated the thermal convection flow with the physical experiment in our previous work [19] was applied to simulate the hot-air distribution for temperature uniformity inside the rubber-glove former oven. The CFD models of the rubber-glove former oven were developed to investigate the thermal distribution. The energy equation was written recently in the OpenFOAM code to calculate the hot-air flow. The thin wall of the hot-air branch duct was created and developed with the baffle equation to separate the internal and external flow domain inside the rubber-glove former oven model. The optimized technique of the hot-air branch duct distribution was proposed to improve the temperature uniformity of the rubber-glove former oven.

2. Governing Equation

The hot-air flow inside ovens is governed by the conservative equations which comprise the conservation of mass, momentum, and energy [20]. The governing equation of the mean flow is written by the following equations.

$$\frac{\partial \vec{U}_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\vec{U}_i \vec{U}_j) = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{U}_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\vec{U}_i \vec{U}_j) = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \nabla \cdot (\nabla \vec{U}_i) - \frac{\partial (\vec{u}_i' \vec{u}_j')}{\partial x_i} \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{T}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\vec{T} \vec{U}_j) = div \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\mu}{\rho} \nabla \vec{T} \right) \right) - \frac{\partial (\vec{u}_i' \vec{T}')}{\partial x_i} + S_T \tag{3}$$

where $\vec{U}_i$ is the time average velocity, $\rho$ is the time average density, $\mu$ is the fluid viscosity, $P_T$ is the turbulent Prandtl number, $S_T$ is the source term, $-\vec{u}_i' \vec{u}_j'$ is the Reynolds stresses, $\vec{T}$ is the time average temperature, $\vec{T}'$ is the time average temperature fluctuation.

The turbulent stresses are proportional to the mean velocity gradient or the mean rate of deformation which is written as follows:

$$-\vec{u}_i' \vec{u}_j' = \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} k - \frac{\mu_t}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{U}_j}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \vec{U}_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \tag{4}$$

where $k$ is turbulent kinetic energy, $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta ($\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$), $\rho$ is the fluid density, $\mu_t$ is the turbulence viscosity.

The turbulence viscosity is given by:
\[
\mu_t = \rho C_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) is the rate of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation per unit mass, \( C_\mu \) is the dimensionless constant.

Because the inner structure of the rubber-glove former oven will be simplified, the \( k - \varepsilon \) turbulence model is considered to present the properties of the hot-air flow field. It can be written by the following equations.

\[
\frac{\partial \rho k}{\partial t} + \text{div}(\rho k \vec{u}_i) = \text{div} \left( \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \text{grad}(k) \right) + 2\mu_t \varepsilon \frac{S_{ij} \cdot S_{ij} - \rho \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \rho \varepsilon}{\partial t} + \text{div}(\rho \varepsilon \vec{U}_j) = \text{div} \left( \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \text{grad}(\varepsilon) \right) + \frac{C_1}{k} \varepsilon \frac{S_{ij} \cdot S_{ij}}{k^2} - C_2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k} - C_3 \frac{\varepsilon^3}{k^3}
\]

where the velocity gradient yields:

\[
S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right)
\]

The constant variables into the \( k - \varepsilon \) model are described in Table 1.

| Constant variable | \( C_\mu \) | \( \sigma_k \) | \( \sigma_\varepsilon \) | \( C_1 \) | \( C_2 \) |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------|--------|
| value             | 0.09        | 1.00       | 1.30        | 1.44   | 1.92   |

3. The Rubber-Glove Former Oven

The rubber-glove former oven is installed at the first step of rubber-glove producing process. The distance about one kilometer of the rubber-glove former movement by the conveyor chain is very long; therefore, the u-turn conveyor chain paths and oven layers have been designed for drying wet formers continuously. Figure 1 shows the oven for drying rubber-glove formers of the rubber-glove producing lines. The rubber-glove formers were moved following the arrow direction by the conveyor chain. This oven has three layers which were separated by the oven floor. Each oven layer has one entrance and one exit for the rubber-glove formers. A lot of formers are moved up the cleaning tank and run into the first oven layer successively. After moving out the first oven layer, the formers are moved in the coagulated tank and turned up to the second oven layer by a sprocket. The formers which are coated by the coagulant will be dried inside the second and third oven layer. The hot-air is blown by the centrifugal fan through the manifold duct to branch ducts entering the oven at its wall. The double wall was designed for the oven to insert the thermal insulation material. The first hot-air branch duct was connected to the wall of the first oven layer to blow the hot-air for drying the wet formers. The second and the third hot-air branch ducts are passed thru the oven wall and laid on the oven floor along the rubber-glove former path inside the second and third layer of oven, respectively. The top wall of hot-air branch ducts is opened by many grilles for the hot-air distribution (Fig. 2). The returned air ducts were used with the hot-air distribution system. The returned air duct ends were installed on the second and third oven wall at the same level as the rubber-glove former path. Each oven layer had two hot-air inlets as the branch hot-air ducts and one hot-air outlet as the returned air duct. The hot-air manifold duct of the rubber-glove former oven had only one blower for distribution of hot-air with the constant air blowing velocity. The hot oil tubes were installed in front of the blower to blow the return air of the second and third oven past them into the branch air ducts.

The rubber-glove former oven which is carried out to improve the temperature uniformity is shown by drawing in Fig. 3. It has the total length, height and width of 18,000, 1,900, and 2,158 mm, respectively. The cross-section view showed the inside dimension of the 2nd layer that was the height and width of 837, and 2,004 mm, respectively. The cross-section of the 3rd layer of the rubber-glove former oven had the height and width of 874, and 2,004
mm, respectively. The oven which was used to dry coagulant on the rubber-glove formers was enormous to crate domain and improve by the CFD model.

4. CFD Model Development
The oven was separated into three layers; therefore, the second and the third layer of oven were separated to reduce the creation of enormous CFD domain for the flow simulation. The inlet and outlet duct which were attached to the outer wall of the rubber-glove oven were assumed by the hot-air inlet and outlet flow, respectively. The rubber-glove former paths were assumed to be the obstacle flow blocks which had dimensions same as the rubber-glove former and conveyor chain moving through the oven length. The hot-air branch ducts were modeled by the thin surface inside the oven. Two hot-air branch ducts with the grille on the top wall were modeled on the second and third oven layer floor. The return air channel was modeled on the oven wall by a rectangular hole. Each oven layer had been modeled the return air channel on the opposite side of oven wall.

4.1. Grid Generation
The tetrahedron cells were used to create the CFD domain of the second and the third oven layers. Figure 4 shows the CFD model of the second and the third oven layers. The tetrahedron cells were used about 2,285,425
and 2,271,425 cells for the second and the third oven layer models, respectively. Although the grille size was adjusted to simply generate cells, it remained to use the finest cells because of the very different dimension between grille and oven dimension. The finest cells would be created inside the hot-air distribution ducts. The first grid had a distance from the duct wall of 34.46 mm to conserve the laminar boundary condition. The cells were fined also near the internal wall of oven domains. The thin wall of branch duct including grille was not created directly. The surfaces of cell structure which was corresponded to the branch duct wall were generated inside the oven domain. This new cell generating technique could reduce cells of the CFD model well.

4.2. Boundary Conditions

The wall condition was defined on cell surfaces of the branch air duct wall by the zero gradient boundary condition. This boundary was used to control the entrance hot-air flow past the grille of hot-air branch duct. The thin wall of hot-air branch duct did not have to generate grids; therefore, it could reduce many cells of the rubber-glove former oven domain. The wall boundary equation can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$$ (9)

The hot-air temperature was measured by the thermocouple while its velocity was measured by the hot wire anemometer (Tenmars; model TM-4001). The hot-air temperature was 140 °C which obtained by measuring at the inlet position of the physical rubber-glove former oven, was defined on the hot-air inlets of the oven model. The hot-air velocity was 7 m/s also measured and defined on the inlets of oven. The outlet air of oven was measured and defined by the returned air with the constant velocity of 10 m/s. The entrance and exit of oven were defined the atmospheric pressure of 101.325 kPa. The environmental temperature of air which was defined at the entrance and exit of oven was 43 °C. The outer surface of oven domain except the entrance and exit was defined by the adiabatic boundary condition. This condition is written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial n_i} = 0$$ (10)

The inside surface of oven was defined the velocity effect by the no-slip boundary condition. It is written by the following equation.

$$U_i = 0$$ (11)

Figure 5 shows the descriptions of the boundary condition for the second and third layer of the rubber-glove former oven model.

4.3. Hot-Air Distribution Conditions

In the normal operation, the grille of the branch duct is opened fully. In order to determine a suitable arrangement of grille opening, the grille was defined by the
Fig. 5. The boundary conditions on the CFD model of: (a) the 2\textsuperscript{nd} and (b) 3\textsuperscript{rd} layer of the rubber-glove former oven with their section views.

The steady state computation was assumed for the flow temperature and velocity inside the oven to simplify the problem. The SIMPLE algorithm and upwind differencing (UD) scheme were employed properly to determine the temperature and velocity of air flow inside the rubber-glove former oven. Ordinarily, the residuals were defined to be less than $10^{-3}$ at the end of the iteration. The CFD simulations were performed using a personal computer with Intel 1155 Corei5 3.20 GHz CPU and 8 GB DDR2 SDRAM memory.

Table 2. The CFD simulation by the different duct grille operation of the 2\textsuperscript{nd} layer of oven.

| Case No. | Distribution Zone |
|----------|-------------------|
|          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The symbol, $\bigcirc$, is the opened zone.

Fig. 6. The hot-air distribution zones of the air branch duct inside the rubber-glove former oven.
Table 3. The CFD simulation by the different duct grille operation of the 3rd layer of oven.

| Case No. | Distribution Zone |
|----------|-------------------|
| 1        | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 2        | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 3        | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 4        | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 5        | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 6        | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 7        | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 8        | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 9        | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 10       | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 11       | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 12       | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |
| 13       | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒      |

The symbol, ☒ is the opened zone.

5. Results and Discussions

The simulation results of the CFD case were shown by the air temperature distribution and the streamline of air velocity inside the rubber-glove former oven.

5.1. Simulation Results

The rubber-glove former oven was operated by the full grille opening that means it was accorded to the case number 1 of the second and third oven layer simulation. In order to investigate the thermal distribution, the streamline and the hot-air temperature of the hot-air flow through the duct grills were illustrated. Figure 7 shows the streamline with hot-air velocity contour inside the second and third layer of rubber-glove former oven while Fig. 8 shows the temperature distribution under the normal operation (case no. 1) of the rubber glove process. The color contour on stream line indicated the velocity value of hot-air flow. The maximum velocity was red while the minimum velocity was blue. The streamline showed the path of hot-air flow through the duct grille and out of the oven through the flow outlet. Consequently, the maximum hot-air temperature inside the second and third layers of the oven was trapped by the returned air duct to flow thoroughly the oven.

Figures 9 shows the cross-section view along the width ($x/L = 0.36$) at the returned air duct of second and third oven layer. The arrow expressed the hot-air flow direction. The color contour showed the impetuous velocity that the maximum velocity was red and the minimum velocity was blue. The hot-air was distinctly sucked out of the oven by the returned air duct. Therefore, the hot-air temperature which were obstructed by the sucked flow of the returned air duct could not be distributed to all oven zones. It was found that the maximum velocity which occurred at the outlet air of the returned air duct had a lot of effect to the uniform temperature distribution inside the oven. The hot-air temperature which was happened in the oven at zone number 3 to zone number 5 of the branch duct could not be transferred to the zone number 1 and 2 of both layers of oven. Moreover, the cold air at the exit of both layers was sucked inside the oven had very affected on the temperature deduction at zone number 1 and 2. The CFD results represented the cause of the undistributed thermal in the rubber-glove former oven.

In order to analyze and develop the uniformity of the hot-air temperature, the average temperature along the...
length of oven was used to be the temperature reference. The temperature at 100 °C was desirable to dry the coagulant film on the rubber-glove formers. The temperature of all measurement points which was a low value of the standard deviation (SD) was implied the high hot-air temperature uniformity happening on that CFD case. The simulation result expressed that the suitable temperature uniformity happened at the case number 2 of the CFD results for the second oven layer. It had an average temperature of 100.48 °C and the lowest standard deviation of 15.39 °C. Subsequently, the simulation analysis showed that the suitable temperature uniformity happened at the case number 6 of the CFD results for the third oven layer. The average temperature and standard deviation of case number 6 was 104.70 °C and 13.74 °C, respectively. Both CFD simulating cases were used to improve the opening zone of the air branch ducts inside the second and the third oven layers. In order to ensure of the improvement, the temperature at each point inside the oven was measured to compare with the CFD simulation results. The temperature at each point of the CFD and experimental result are plotted by graphs as shown in Fig. 12 for the second and the third layers of the rubber-glove former oven. It indicated that the CFD results had good agreement with the experimental results. The comparison of temperature measurement between CFD and experiment at the second layer oven had been found the average error of 7.36%, while the third layer oven found an average error of 10.62%.

The cool-air from the external environment had the most effect to drop the temperature near the measurement point number 1 and 2. Consequently, the maximum temperature happened on the area inside the oven nearly the tip of the branch air ducts of the second and the third layer oven. This phenomenon was caused by the straight shape of air branch duct. It was the rectangular tube with the constant cross-section along the length of the oven. The cross-section which is reduced dimension from the entrance to the end will increase the pressure at the duct tip; therefore it will control the equal distribution of hot-air through the air branch duct length. Nevertheless, the reduction of branch hot-air duct cross-section area can be effective to the uniformity of temperature inside the rubber-glove former oven, it was consumed the time and cost more than the improvement by the selection of opening and closing zone.

5.2. Improvement and Validation

The near wall temperatures inside the oven are measured using the K-type thermocouples (Fig. 10). The oven wall was drilled to prepare the installation holes for the thermocouple. It could be installed only on one side of oven wall because its opposite side was very closely to the oven of another rubber-glove producing line. There were no space for measuring the temperature by the K-type thermocouples. Eight and nine points on wall of the second and third oven layer were drilled to insert the thermocouples for recording temperatures via thermocouple line by a data logger (Hioki; model LR8431-20). Figure 11 shows the positions which specified to measure the air temperature inside the second and the third oven layers under the stable condition. The temperature results of the CFD simulation regarding the measurement positions were analyzed the temperature uniformity. The average temperature and standard deviation of measuring temperatures were used to justify the temperature uniformity inside the oven. Table 4 and Table 5 show the average temperature and standard deviation of temperature for each case of the CFD simulation inside the second and the third layer ovens, respectively.

In order to analyze and develop the uniformity of the hot-air temperature, the average temperature along the length of the oven was used to be the temperature reference. The temperature at 100 °C was desirable to dry the coagulant film on the rubber-glove formers. The temperature of all measurement points which was a low value of the standard deviation (SD) was implied the high hot-air temperature uniformity happening on that CFD

Fig. 10. The K-type thermocouple installation on the oven wall.
The temperature uniformity deviation of 15.39 °C and the lowest standard deviation of 104.70 °C, respectively. Both CFD simulation cases were used to improve the opening zone of the air branch ducts inside the second and the third oven layers. In order to ensure of the improvement, the temperature at each point inside the oven was measured to compare with the CFD simulation results. The temperature at each point of the CFD and experimental result are plotted by graphs as shown in Fig. 12 for the second and the third layers of the rubber-glove former case. The simulation result expressed that the suitable temperature uniformity happened at the case number 2 of the CFD results for the second oven layers. It had an average temperature of 100.48 °C and the lowest standard deviation of 15.39 °C. Subsequently, the simulation analysis showed that the suitable temperature uniformity happened at the case number 6 of the CFD results for the third oven layer. The average temperature and standard deviation of this case was 104.70 °C and 13.74 °C, respectively. The CFD average error and standard deviation of air temperature in the 2\textsuperscript{nd} layer of the rubber-glove former oven.

Table 4.

| Point | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Avg.  | 52.07 | 66.88 | 54.50 | 53.85 | 56.26 | 62.66 | 43.00 | 43.00 |
| SD    | 15.39 | 26.80 | 19.29 | 18.90 | 18.76 | 25.52 | 25.61 |

The CFD average error and standard deviation of air temperature in the 3\textsuperscript{rd} layer of the rubber-glove former oven.

Table 5.

| Point | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| Avg.  | 52.21 | 43.00 | 43.00 | 43.02 | 44.73 | 54.15 | 47.80 | 51.73 | 45.83 | 58.70 | 48.37 | 52.04 | 43.85 |
| SD    | 17.49 | 23.21 | 29.36 | 30.29 | 22.20 | 13.74 | 17.37 | 16.18 | 18.80 | 21.32 | 34.43 | 18.41 | 42.37 |
6. Conclusions

This research had been developed the CFD model of the rubber-glove former oven by the open source software (OpenFOAM) to improve the temperature uniformity. The CFD results can be explained behavior of the hot-air flow and thermal distribution inside the rubber-glove former oven as follows:

(a) The grille opening along the air branch duct under the path of conveyor chain is impossible to control the temperature uniformity inside the conveyor ovens such as the rubber-glove former oven.

(b) The CFD simulation revealed the effect of a position for installation of the returned air channel. It was found that the returned air channel should not be installed on the side wall of conveyor ovens which were installed the hot-air branch duct on its floor. The hot-air flow was sucked from the hot-air branch duct. Particularly, the strong outlet flow would suck the cold-air from the outside to inside of the oven past through the conveyor chain channel.

(c) The good thermal manipulation was found by the CFD analysis. The opening zone of the hot-air duct grille could be selected to arrange the temperature uniformity inside the rubber-glove former oven well. Particularly, it is the simple method which was performed immediately with the cheap price.

(d) The hot-air branch duct shape and position of the returned air channel should be improved in order to obtain the optimal temperature uniformity.
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