Realization of broadband negative refraction in visible range using vertically stacked hyperbolic metamaterials
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Negative refraction has generated much interest recently with its unprecedented optical phenomenon. However, a broadband negative refraction has been challenging because they mainly involve optical resonances. This paper reports the realization of broadband negative refraction in the visible spectrum by using vertically-stacked metal-dielectric multilayer structures. Such structure exploits the characteristics of the constituent metal and dielectric materials, and does not require resonance to achieve negative refraction. Broadband negative refraction (wavelength 270–1300 nm) is numerically demonstrated. Compared to conventional horizontally-stacked multilayer structures, the vertically-stacked multilayer structure has a broader range of working wavelength in the visible range, with higher transmittance. We also report a variety of material combinations with broad working wavelength. The broadband negative refraction metamaterial provides an effective way to manipulate light and may have applications in super-resolution imaging, and invisibility cloaks.

Metamaterials composed of nanostructures of artificial atoms have shown many extraordinary properties that cannot be found in natural materials1,2. Super-resolution imaging3,4, negative index materials (NIMs)5–7, invisibility cloak8,9, perfect absorbers10–12, artificial chirality13,14, and electromagnetically induced transparency15 are examples of metamaterial applications that have properties beyond the limits of natural materials. Hyperbolic metamaterials (HMMs) show distinctive characteristics such as negative refraction16,17, sub-wavelength imaging18–20, confining the electromagnetic field21, and thermal emission22,23.

In natural materials, permittivity $\varepsilon$ and permeability $\mu$ cannot be negative simultaneously, but if a certain material has negative values of both $\varepsilon$ and $\mu$, the refractive index $n$ becomes negative. The conventional materials with positive refractive index $n$ have a positive value of the angle of refraction $\theta$ according to Snell’s law:

$$n_1 \sin \theta_1 = n_2 \sin \theta_2$$

(1)

In contrast, NIMs show a negative $\theta$; i.e., negative refraction. The first NIM was achieved using split-ring resonators (SRRs), which have both negative $\varepsilon$ and negative $\mu$. The negative $\varepsilon$ is obtained by conducting wires below the plasma frequency $\omega_p$, and negative $\mu$ occurs near the resonance frequency $\omega_{SRR}$ of SRRs. If $\omega_p > \omega_{SRR}$, then $\varepsilon$ and $\mu$ are simultaneously negative in a certain region. Multilayered fishnet structure7, chiral structure26–28, and asymmetry nanorings structure29 have been studied to achieve negative $n$. NIMs have provided the possibility of applications such as super-resolution imaging and invisibility cloaks.

Conventional metamaterials for NIMs30,31 have some drawbacks. The materials require optical resonance to obtain a negative $\mu$; this requirement restricts the range of working wavelength $\Delta \lambda_w$ to a narrow region near the resonance and causes high resistive loss. As a consequence, development of practical applications has been obstructed. However, electrostatic and magnetostatic fields can be decoupled in electrostatic limits, in which a system has smaller dimensions than the wavelength.30 Therefore, under transverse magnetic (TM) polarized light, the electromagnetic behaviors in such system are only relevant to the permittivity. The HMMs consist of
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that denotes energy flow. In isotropic materials, \( \varepsilon \); yellow arrow: and \( \varepsilon \) and \( \varepsilon \) point in the same direction; in anisotropic medium, \( \varepsilon \) is permittivity along the layers \( (\varepsilon_{xx}, \varepsilon_{yy}) \) and positive permittivity in the vertical direction \( (\varepsilon_{zz}) \). Red arrow: wavevector \( \vec{k} \); yellow arrow: Poynting vector \( \vec{S} \) that denotes energy flow. In isotropic materials, \( \vec{k} \) and \( \vec{S} \) point in the same direction; in type-I \( (b) \) and type-II \( (c) \) HMMs the vectors point in different directions.

Figure 1. Diagram of the isofrequency surface of each material. (a) Isotropic materials, in which the permittivity is the same along all directions, have spherical isofrequency. (b) Isofrequency surface of a type-I HMM, which has positive permittivity along the layers \( (\varepsilon_{xx}, \varepsilon_{yy}) \) and negative permittivity in the vertical direction \( (\varepsilon_{zz}) \). (c) Isofrequency surface of a type-II HMM, which has negative permittivity along the layers \( (\varepsilon_{xx}, \varepsilon_{yy}) \) and positive permittivity in the vertical direction \( (\varepsilon_{zz}) \). Red arrow: wavevector \( \vec{k} \); yellow arrow: Poynting vector \( \vec{S} \) that denotes energy flow. In isotropic materials, \( \vec{k} \) and \( \vec{S} \) point in the same direction; in type-I \( (b) \) and type-II \( (c) \) HMMs the vectors point in different directions.

constituents with sub-wavelength dimensions, so negative refraction under TM polarized light can be achieved in HMMs by simply manipulating \( \varepsilon \) even if they do not have negative \( n \).

So far, the negative refraction obtained from HMMs has mainly been shown with horizontally stacked metal-dielectric multilayer structures\(^{22–34} \) (horizontal HMMs). Such structure use an optical resonance to attain negative refraction; this requirement narrows \( \Delta \lambda \) and causes high resistive losses. However, realization of a broad \( \Delta \lambda \) and low-loss requires that resonance be avoided. Therefore, in this paper, we present vertically-stacked metal-dielectric multilayer structures (vertical HMMs) to achieve broadband negative refraction. This structure does not require any resonance to realize negative refraction and therefore it has a broad \( \Delta \lambda \) that includes the visible range without additional losses due to resonance. The dispersion relation of HMMs was derived using effective medium theory\(^{35,36} \) (EMT) and using this relation, the \( \Delta \lambda \) of negative refraction and transmittance of the vertical HMMs and horizontal HMMs are compared. We also suggest various vertical HMMs composed of different metal and dielectric materials. Plots of working wavelength and filling ratio of several metals with fixed \\

dielectric show broadband \( \Delta \lambda \) in the vertical HMMs.

Results

Multilayer structures are uniaxial media, in which permittivity tensors consist of only diagonal components. Among the components, the permittivity along the layers is the same \( (\varepsilon_{xx} = \varepsilon_{yy}) \). Therefore, the dispersion relation for TM polarized light \( (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{H} = 0) \) for multilayer structure is described as

\[
\frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{\varepsilon_{\perp}} + \frac{k_z^2}{\varepsilon_{\parallel}} = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2},
\]

where \( k_x, k_y, k_z \) are directional wavevectors in the medium, \( k_0 \) is a wavevector in vacuum, \( \omega \) is the frequency of the wave, \( c \) is the velocity of light in vacuum, \( \varepsilon_{\perp} \) is permittivity along the layers \( (\varepsilon_{xx} = \varepsilon_{yy}) \), and \( \varepsilon_{\parallel} \) is permittivity perpendicular to the layers \( (\varepsilon_{zz}) \). An isotropic medium with the same permittivity in all direction has a spherical isofrequency surface, which shows the isotropic behavior of propagating waves (Fig. 1a). In contrast, in an anisotropic medium, \( \varepsilon_{\perp} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\parallel} \) are different, so the isofrequency surface of is not spherical\(^{17} \). In the multilayer structure, each directional permittivity can be replaced by an effective permittivity, which is calculated using effective medium theory\(^{36} \). This theory considers an anisotropic composite as a homogeneous medium with effective parameters.

If a multilayer structure consists of alternating metal and dielectric layers that have thickness much smaller than the wavelength, this structure can be regarded as a homogeneous medium. Effective permittivities differ according to the direction (Supplementary Note 1):

\[
\varepsilon_{\perp} = \varepsilon_d f + \varepsilon_m (1 - f),
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{\parallel} = \frac{\varepsilon_d f m}{\varepsilon_m f + \varepsilon_d (1 - f)},
\]

where \( f \) is the filling ratio of the dielectric \( (f = 1 \) means that the medium is made entirely of a dielectric; \( f = 0 \) means that the medium is made entirely of a metal), \( \varepsilon_d \) is the permittivity of dielectric, and \( \varepsilon_m \) is the permittivity of the metal. With the effective permittivity, Eq. (2) becomes an elliptic or a hyperbolic equation. Eq. (2) describes a hyperbolic isofrequency surface if the signs of the \( \varepsilon_{\perp} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\parallel} \) are different, where multilayer structure shows a dielectric or a metallic behavior according to the direction. If \( \varepsilon_{\parallel} \) is the negative permittivity, the HMM is classified...
as type-I; if $\varepsilon$ is negative, the HMM is classified as type-II (Fig. 1b,c). Therefore, HMMs are considered as extremely anisotropic materials that have hyperbolic isofrequency surfaces.

Conventional HMMs are usually made by horizontally stacking metal and dielectric, and are of type-I HMMs. However, this structure uses resonance to realize negative refraction, and therefore has limitations such as narrow $\Delta \lambda_W$. To overcome this limitation, we suggest a structure in which metal and dielectric are vertically stacked alternately. This structure has a negative parallel effective permittivity and a positive perpendicular effective permittivity, and is therefore classified as a type-II HMM. The cause of each negative permittivity should be understood. $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_\perp$ reach negative values by distinct mechanisms. The permittivity $\varepsilon_m$ of metal can be described by the Drude model and the permittivity $\varepsilon_d$ of the dielectric can be considered to be constant regardless of $\omega$.

$$
\varepsilon_m = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 + i\gamma\omega},
$$

where $\omega_p$ is a plasma frequency and $\gamma$ is damping ratio. The effective permittivity $\varepsilon_m$ along the layers is the arithmetic mean of permittivities of constituents (Eq. 3); this is similar to the permittivity equation from the Drude model. In contrast, the effective permittivity $\varepsilon_\perp$ perpendicular to the layers is the harmonic mean of permittivities of each component (Eq. 4); the form is similar to the permittivity equation from the Lorentz model.

$$
\varepsilon = 1 + \chi + \frac{Ne^2\varepsilon_0m_0}{\varepsilon_0 - \omega^2 - i\gamma\omega},
$$

where $\chi$ is a susceptibility, $N$ is the number of atoms per unit volume, $e$ is the magnitude of the electric charge of the electron, $m_0$ is the mass of the electron, and $\omega_p$ is resonance frequency. As a result, $\varepsilon_m$ and $\varepsilon_\perp$ vary with $\omega$.

Analysis of the models reveals that the real value of $\varepsilon_m$ does not require resonance to be negative if the wavelength of incident light is larger than the wavelength that corresponds to the effective $\omega_p$ of metal ($\lambda > \lambda_{ep}$), whereas the real value of $\varepsilon_\perp$ is negative when the wavelength is near Lorentz resonance ($\lambda \approx \lambda_{res}$). Therefore, horizontal HMMs that need negative $\varepsilon_\perp$ use resonance to realize negative refraction whereas vertical HMMs that need negative $\varepsilon_m$ achieve negative refraction without using resonance.

The horizontal and vertical HMM composed of Al and SiO$_2$ are used as an example to compare $\Delta \lambda_W$ for negative refraction. The calculated effective permittivities (Fig. 2a) of Al and SiO$_2$ multilayer structures have ranges of wavelength and filling ratio in which $\varepsilon$ is positive (red) and $\varepsilon_\perp$ is negative (blue); this is $\Delta \lambda_W$ for negative refraction in horizontal HMMs (Fig. 2b, yellow). These ranges also show an area in which $\varepsilon_\perp$ is negative (blue) and $\varepsilon_\perp$ is positive (red); this is $\Delta \lambda_W$ for negative refraction in vertical HMMs (Fig. 2b, purple). Compared to horizontal HMMs, the vertical HMMs have a broader $\Delta \lambda_W$ that includes the visible range.

Figure 2. Diagrams of effective permittivity and the working wavelength $\Delta \lambda_W$ of a vertical HHM composed of Al and SiO$_2$. Effective permittivity (a, upper) $\varepsilon_m$ along the layer and (b, lower) $\varepsilon_\perp$ perpendicular to the layer. Red regions: positive effective permittivity; blue regions: negative effective permittivity. (b) Effective permittivity (yellow) in type-I (horizontal) HMMs, which have narrow $\Delta \lambda_W$ and (purple) in type-II (vertical) HMMs, which have a broad $\Delta \lambda_W$ that includes the visible range.
The negative refraction of an HMMs gives it a unique ability to manipulate light. In air, the wavevector \( \vec{k} \) and the Poynting vector \( \vec{S} \) of a TM wave are parallel, but when the TM wave meets the interface between the air and the HMM, \( \vec{k} \) and \( \vec{S} \) are not parallel. Consider a type-II HMM (i.e., \( \varepsilon_{||} < 0 \) and \( \varepsilon_{\perp} > 0 \)) and a wave that is propagating from air to HMM in the \( x-z \) plane; i.e., \( k \) is in the \( x-z \) plane (Fig. 3a). According to the continuity of tangential component (\( k_{||,\text{HMM}} = k_{||,\text{air}} \)) and the causality theorem (\( S_{\perp} > 0 \))\(^{14,15} \), only one solution exists (Fig. 3b, arrow B). The tangential component \( S_{\perp} \) is in the opposite direction of that of \( k \), so the HMM shows negative refraction. The exact direction of \( S_{\perp} \) can be obtained using the Maxwell equations and some calculations (Supplementary Note 2).

Loss and transmittance of HMMs are related to resonance, which make a difference in performance between two types of HMMs. Horizontal HMMs that use resonance suffer high losses from it, so they have a narrow \( \Delta \lambda_W \) in which refraction is negative. In contrast, vertical HMMs do not use resonance, so they have wide \( \Delta \lambda_W \) and show no losses due to resonance\(^3 \). Moreover, transmission is higher in vertical HMMs than in horizontal HMMs. Even though the transmission varies depending on wavelength, the combinations of metal and dielectric and the filling ratio, it can be represented roughly by the imaginary part of effective permittivity. As the imaginary part increases, the losses also increase\(^11 \):

\[
\text{Total power dissipation density (Loss)} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r'' \omega |E|^2,
\]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of the vacuum, \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, \( \varepsilon_r'' \) is the imaginary part of the relative permittivity of the medium, and \( E \) is the electric field. Near the resonance frequency, the permittivity of material has a high imaginary part that induces a high absorption and thereby high resistive loss. On the other hand, the loss of the vertical HMMs is rarely affected by resonance because the vertical HMMs do not use the resonance for negative refraction; the loss of vertical HMMs is only related to constituent materials.

The horizontal HMM has a large imaginary part which leads to high losses because \( \varepsilon_{||} \) of this HMM uses resonance to attain a negative real value. Therefore, high losses due to the resonance are inevitable in horizontal HMMs. However, each permittivity of vertical HMM has a small imaginary part because this HMM is not related to any resonance. In Ag-SiO\(_2\) multilayer structures, \( \varepsilon_{||} \) in the horizontal HMM has a large imaginary part (\( \varepsilon_{||} = -15.546 + 24.227i \) at 356 nm), whereas \( \varepsilon_{\perp} \) in the vertical HMM has a small value (\( \varepsilon_{\perp} = -6.589 + 0.219i \) at 600 nm). Therefore, the vertical HMM shows a broader \( \Delta \lambda_W \) for negative refraction and higher transmittance than the horizontal type or conventional NIMs that use resonance.

We also compared two types of HMMs in terms of transmittance and intensity profiles (Fig. 4). Al and SiO\(_2\) multilayered structure with 0.5 filling ratio in each simulation because it has a wide \( \Delta \lambda_W \) that includes the whole visible range. According to the \( \Delta \lambda_W \), the horizontal HMM was simulated at 135 nm and the vertical structure was simulated at 600 nm in which it shows negative refraction. The layer thickness was 6.75 nm in the horizontal HMM and 30 nm in the vertical HMM. The total height of each structure was determined by how many times the wave proceeds, so thickness of \( \sim 2.5 \lambda \) was used for each simulation. The light is incident at an angle of 40°. In the simulation results, the transmittance is 0.01 in the horizontal HMM and 0.18 in the vertical HMM. The results clearly show that the vertical HMM has a higher transmittance than the horizontal one. Although the absolute value of transmittance by vertical HMMs is not high, it is enough to allow utilization of negative refraction.
Various material combinations of the vertical HMMs with Ag, Al, Au, and Cu as a metal and SiO₂ as a dielectric were also analyzed (Fig. 5). Each combination is plotted by wavelength and filling ratio to compare the working wavelength, which is denoted by yellow and purple regions. It is noteworthy that all combinations of the materials have much broader Δλ_W in the vertical HMMs than in horizontal HMMs, and that some combinations can realize negative refraction only in vertical HMMs. Therefore, various vertical HMMs which use type-II region have a potential to realize negative refraction over the visible wavelength range.
This paper has demonstrated a broadband negative refraction that was realized by vertically stacked metal-dielectric multilayer structures. These vertical HMMs do not use resonance to realize negative refraction, so they do not suffer from losses due to this resonance. Therefore, vertical HMMs have higher transmittance and $\Delta \lambda_{\text{so}}$ that covers the entire visible range and extends into the mid-infrared without additional losses. We also provide several material combinations for broadband negative refraction that includes the visible range. Because of these advantages, vertical HMMs can manipulate light by exploiting negative refraction so they can be widely used in light-controlling devices such as invisibility cloaks and super-resolution imaging.

**Method**

We used COMSOL Multiphysics 5.3 for numerical simulation and RCWA (in-house code) to calculate transmittance.
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