Hormonal contraceptive use in Norway, 2006-2020, by contraceptive type, age and county: A nationwide register-based study
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ABSTRACT

Aim: Our aim was to study hormonal contraceptive use among women in Norway during 2006-2020 according to age groups and geography, including choice of contraceptive method, type of prescriber for long-acting reversible contraceptives, and prescriber’s adherence to the national health authority recommendations.

Material and methods: We conducted a nationwide drug utilization study including all women aged 16-49 years in Norway during 2006-2020. The Norwegian Prescription Database (NorPD) includes detailed information about all dispensed prescription medications from Norwegian pharmacies to individuals in ambulatory care, including year of dispensing, patient’s year of birth and county of residence, and the prescriber’s profession.

Results: This study shows a slight increase in overall use of hormonal contraceptives among 16-49-year-olds during 2006-2018, increasing from 36% of the population to 40%. Combined oral contraceptives (COCs) was the most commonly used hormonal contraceptive method in all age groups. The use of COCs decreased during the period and the decline was greatest in those below 25 years. From 2016 80% of all new users of COCs received the recommended COC containing levonorgestrel. Use of estrogen-free contraceptives, long-acting reversible contraceptives (LARCs) and gestagen pills, has increased. After 2014 the use of LARCs, especially subdermal implant, increased steeply among younger women. Oslo had the lowest proportion of users of hormonal contraceptives among teenagers and young adults during the whole period, while among 30-49-year-olds Oslo was more in line with the other counties.

Conclusion: Combined oral contraceptives (COC) was the most used hormonal contraceptive method in all age groups. However, the use of COCs decreased during the period, especially in those < 25 years, where a corresponding increase in the use of LARC has taken place, mainly from 2014 onwards. Four out of five women who initiated COC received the recommended COC type and the steep increase in use of estrogen-free LARCs in recent years implies that Norwegian prescribers have high compliance with the recommendations from the health authorities.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

BACKGROUND

The first combined oral contraceptives containing both progestagen and estrogen were launched in the 1960s. Since then, the estrogen component has decreased due to association with the risk of venous thromboembolism. Later on the progestagen component has received more attention as some studies reported different risks of venous thromboembolism (VTE) by type of gestagen (1,2). In 2009, the Norwegian Medicines Agency (NoMA) recommended that new users of combined oral contraceptives should be prescribed those containing the progestagen levonorgestrel (called “second-generation contraceptives”). In 2010, NoMA launched a campaign in collaboration with the Norwegian Directorate of Health to make the change in national recommendations known (3). Later, in November 2013, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) completed its review of combined hormonal contraceptives, particularly of the risk of VTE associated with their use (4). The conclusion was that women using second-generation contraceptives (containing levonorgestrel) had a lower risk of VTE than those who use third (e.g. Marvelon® containing desogestrel) or fourth generation contraceptives (e.g. Yasmin® containing drospirenone). The review led to an update of the product information for each of the individual COCs and a decision by NoMA to send out a letter to healthcare professionals. Subsequently, all prescribers in Norway received a letter with updated information in January 2014 (5). To reduce the risk of VTE NoMA recommends that women who begin with hormonal contraception choose one of these options: long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC), gestagen pill, or combined oral contraceptive (COC) with estrogen and levonorgestrel.

To prevent unwanted pregnancies and abortions among teenagers the Norwegian Ministry of Health introduced a reimbursement scheme with free contraceptive pills for girls aged 16 to 19 from 1 January 2002. In addition, public health nurses and midwives in public school health services were permitted to prescribe oral contraceptives to girls in the same age group from 1 June 2002 (6,7). This arrangement has been changed several times (Info Box 1) and as of 2016 public health nurses have
**Info Box 1.** An overview of changes in reimbursement scheme for hormonal contraceptives and changes in public health nurses’ and midwives’ rights to prescribe contraceptives in Norway during 2002-2020.

| Reimbursement scheme | Prescribing regulations |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| **2002** | June: Public health nurses and midwives were given the right to prescribe selected contraceptive pills (Trionetta®, Trinordiol®, Follimin®, Loette® and Microgynon®) for women aged 16 to 19 years |
| **2006** | March 2006: a fixed reimbursement rate introduced for hormonal contraceptives for women aged 16-19*. The reimbursement was set to cover up to NOK 100 for 3 months of use. This reimbursement scheme was to replace the scheme with free contraceptive pills and be extended to include more contraceptive preparations such as contraceptive patches, contraceptive ring and contraceptive injection (the scheme covers the gestagen pill Cerazette® and all preparations except for subdermal contraceptive implant (p-stav) and hormonal intrauterine device (spiral)) |
| **2015** | Public health nurses and midwives’ rights to prescribe were extended to cover several contraceptive preparations, including contraceptive rings and contraceptive patches |
| **2016** | The subdermal contraceptive implant and hormonal intrauterine device were included in the reimbursement scheme |
| **2018** | The reimbursement scheme to include all contraceptives in the ATC groups G02B, G03AA, G03AB, G03AC and copper IUD. The scheme has been extended to apply to women from 16 to 20 years of age* |
| **2019** | The scheme has been extended to apply to women from 16 to 21 years of age* (the scheme applies from the month after the woman turns 16 up to and including the month before the woman turns 22) |

* Some contraceptives will be completely free while others (some LARC) will have a co-payment (egenandel), for this age group. Per 2019: Young women between the ages of 16 and 22 receive contraceptive free of charge or have part of the costs covered. Women who are 16, 17, 18 or 19 years old receive an intrauterine device and a subdermal implant free of charge. Women who are 20 or 21 years, do not get the full cost covered and must pay a deductible (egenandel).

had the right to prescribe all contraceptives including LARCs to women 16 years and older (8,9).

Our aim was to study hormonal contraceptive use among women in Norway during 2006-2020 according to age groups and geography, including choice of contraceptive method, type of prescriber for long-acting reversible contraceptives, and prescriber’s adherence to the national health authority recommendations.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

The nationwide Norwegian Prescription Database (NorPD) includes detailed information about all prescription medications dispensed from Norwegian pharmacies to individuals in ambulatory care (10), including year of dispensing, patient’s year of birth and county of residence, and prescriber’s profession. All medications in NorPD are classified according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification (ATC) system (11). There are several types of hormonal contraceptives on the market in Norway: some contraceptives containing both progestagen and estrogen (COCs, vaginal rings, patches), and some containing only progestagen (gestagen pills and different long-acting reversible contraceptives (LARCs)). We grouped the hormonal contraceptives into four groups (Table 1). All women aged 16-49 years during 2006-2020 were included.

We computed three-year prevalence of LARCs (group 3) and one-year prevalence of the other groups of contraceptives. The three-year prevalence of LARCs in 2006 for age group 16-49 was computed as the number of women born in the period 1957 through 1990 who were dispensed at least one LARC in 2006, 2005, or 2004, divided by the population of 16-49-year-old women per 1.1.2006 from Statistics Norway. Correspondingly, for other years, groups of contraceptives and age groups. The three-year prevalence for LARCs prescribed by doctors was computed the same way but ignoring all prescriptions where the prescriber was not a doctor (ForskriverProfesjonKode = LE). Similarly, for nurses/midwives (ForskriverProfesjonKode = SP or JO).

The numerator for the prevalence of any contraceptives combined in 2006 was the number of women who received a LARC in 2004-2006 or a contraceptive in group 1, 2, or 4 in 2006. We had access to individual level data for 2004-2018 (Ethical approval: 2017/2546/REK sør-øst) but had used tabulated data from a web-
from 5.7% in 2015 to 25% in 2020 for 16 LARCs increased especially among younger women: was quite stable until 2014. After 2014 the use of COCs decreased during the period and the decline was greatest in those below 25 years: from 49% in 2006 to 30% in 2020 in 16 olds during e.g. 2007-2008 were counted as users in both (all) counties. For patients who were dispensed medications as residents of two (or more) counties the same counties was used. Patients who were dispensed medications among 16-49-year-olds in 2006-2018, ranging from 36% to 40%. Among 16-19-year-old women, the prevalence of overall use varied from 53% in 2012-2014 up to 57% in 2018. The proportion of users was highest among 20-24-year-old women, increasing from 63% in 2006 to 69% in 2018 (figure 1).

Table 1. Subdivision of different hormonal contraceptives into 4 groups.

| Group | Contraception type | ATC codes | Hormone content | Norwegian designation |
|-------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| 1     | Combined oral contraceptive pills (COC) | G03AA06, G03AA07, G03AA09, G03AA12, G03AA14, G03AB03, G03AB04, G03AB08 | Estrogen & progestagen | Kombinasjons p-piller |
| 2     | Gestagen pills | G03AC01, G03AC02, G03AC03, G03AC09 | Progestagen | Gestagenpiller/minipiller |
| 3     | Long-acting reversible contraceptives (LARC) | G03AC08 Subdermal contraceptive implant; G02BA03 Intrauterine device (IUD) | Progestagen | p-stav |
| 4     | Other contraceptives | G02BB01 Vaginal ring; G03AA13 p-patch; G03AC06 p-injectable; G03HB01 antiandrogen | Estrogen & progestagen | Vaginal ring |

and from 7.8% to 26% for 20-24-year-old women. Use of progestagen pills increased gradually, but more for the youngest age-groups: from 3.4% in 2006 to 11% in 2020 for 16-19-year-olds and from 6.4% to 12% for 20-24-year-old women. Use of other contraceptives, e.g. p-patches and vaginal rings, has decreased throughout the period.

Among women who started with COC as their first ever hormonal contraceptive between 2007 and 2010, 40% of users were prescribed the recommended levonorgestrel-containing COC. From 2011 the proportion of COC-starters who filled a prescription of the recommended COC increased, and after 2016 the proportion was over 80% (table 2). The highest proportion of new users of the recommended COC was among 17-18-year-old girls in 2017-2018; about 87-89%.

Table 2. Number (N) of new users of combined oral contraceptives (COC) in the period 2006-2018, and the proportion (%) of these that filled a prescription of a levonorgestrel-containing COC (ATC code G03AA07 or G03AB03). New use is defined as having no COC prescriptions for other hormonal contraceptives are allowed.

| Year of dispensing | Number of new users of COC | Proportion (%) prescribed a levonorgestrel-containing COC |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 2006              | 47085                     | 58.8                                                   |
| 2007              | 41604                     | 38.0                                                   |
| 2008              | 37928                     | 41.6                                                   |
| 2009              | 34957                     | 36.4                                                   |
| 2010              | 34656                     | 38.0                                                   |
| 2011              | 33311                     | 44.4                                                   |
| 2012              | 32437                     | 63.5                                                   |
| 2013              | 32823                     | 68.7                                                   |
| 2014              | 32021                     | 76.0                                                   |
| 2015              | 30292                     | 78.1                                                   |
| 2016              | 28647                     | 80.1                                                   |
| 2017              | 26748                     | 81.6                                                   |
| 2018              | 24826                     | 80.7                                                   |
The use of LARCs was quite stable among women over 30 years but increased in teenagers and young adults during the observational period (figure 2). Use of implant increased steeply from 5.1% in 2015 to 21.2% in 2020 for women aged 16-19 years and similarly from 5.5% to 17.3% for women aged 20-24 years. Use of intrauterine devices (IUDs) was lowest among teenagers and highest among those >30 years. However, after 2015 the increase in use of IUDs was greatest in the youngest: from 0.7% in 2015 to 5.6% in 2020 for 16-19 years and from 2.4% to 10.0% for women aged 20-24 years.

In 2015, 3.3% of new users of LARCs among 16-19-year-old girls were prescribed LARC by a public health nurse, increasing to 58.3% in 2020. Similarly, for 20-24-year-old women 0.4% got their first prescription of LARC from a public health nurse in 2015, increasing to 21.8% in 2020 (Table 3).

Oslo had the lowest proportion of users of hormonal contraceptives among teenagers and young adults during the whole period, while among those 30-49 years, Oslo was more in line with the other counties (figure 3). Innlandet county had the highest proportion of users in those below 30 years. Among women 30-49-year-old,
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Figure 3. Prevalence (%) of use* of any hormonal contraceptive in women by county and age group in the period 2009-2018. Two-year averages and sorted by increasing prevalence in the 20-24 age group. *A filled prescription for LARC at least once the actual year or the two years before (“three-year prevalence”), or a filled prescription for other contraceptives the actual year.

Table 3. Number (N) of new users of LARC in the period 2013-2018, and the proportion (%) of these that filled their first prescription of LARC from a public health nurse or a midwife. New use is defined as having no LARC prescription in NorPD before the actual year, but prescriptions of other hormonal contraceptives are allowed.

| Year of dispensing | 16-19 years | 20-24 years |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                    | Number of new users of LARC (N) | Proportion prescribed by nurse/midwife (%) | Number of new users of LARC (N) | Proportion prescribed by nurse/midwife (%) |
| 2013               | 1445        | 1.2         | 3131        | 0.2 |
| 2014               | 1889        | 1.5         | 3754        | 0.1 |
| 2015               | 5061        | 3.3         | 4831        | 0.4 |
| 2016               | 9223        | 45.1        | 6736        | 14.4 |
| 2017               | 11295       | 53.1        | 7881        | 17.6 |
| 2018               | 12658       | 58.3        | 8609        | 21.8 |

the proportion of users in 2017-2018 varied from 24% in Nordland county to 30% in Trøndelag county. Among women 16-19 years, the proportion of users in 2017-2018 varied from 37% in Oslo to 65% in Innlandet. Among women 20-24 years, the proportion of users in 2017-2018 varied from 60% in Oslo to 80% in Innlandet.

DISCUSSION

This nationwide study shows a slight increase in overall use of hormonal contraceptives among 16-49-year-olds during 2006-2018, from 36% to 40%. The proportion of users was highest among 20-24-year-old women (69% in 2018). Combined oral contraceptives (COC) was the most commonly used hormonal contraceptive method in all age groups. However, the use of COCs decreased during the period, especially in those below 25 years, where a corresponding increase in the use of LARC has taken place, mainly from 2014 onwards. The inclusion of implants and IUDs in the reimbursement scheme in 2015, and the authorization of public health nurses and midwives from 2016 to prescribe all hormonal contraceptives including LARCs for women 16 years and older may explain this increase. Especially for women aged 16-19 years, public health nurses and midwives
prescribed LARCs to more women than doctors from 2017 and onwards. 80% of all women who started with COC received the recommended type of COC from 2016 onwards. Use of estrogen-free contraceptives, i.e. LARCs and gestagen pills, has increased during the study period. The steep increase in use of LARCs after 2014 among younger women was mainly driven by an increased use of subdermal implants. The use of gestagen pills has also increased in younger women over the period, but less and more evenly than the use of LARCs. Oslo had the lowest proportion of users of hormonal contraceptives among teenagers and young adults during the whole period, while among those 30-49 years Oslo was more in line with the other counties.

Both the Norwegian and European Medicines Agency have recommended new users of COC to use the 2nd generation COC containing levonorgestrel. In addition, they have recommended that more women should be prescribed LARCs to prevent unwanted pregnancies and abortions, especially among younger women. The fact that since 2016 four out of five new users obtained the recommended COCs and the steep increase in use of LARCs in later years implies high compliance with these recommendations in Norway. Public health nurses and midwives contribute to a great extent to this increase. Ekman and Skjeldestad have shown that public health nurses and midwives had the highest compliance with the prescribing recommendations (12). In their annual report of adverse events in 2017, NoMA stated they had not received any reports of deaths due to pulmonary embolism in users of COCs for the past seven years. They also reported a marked decrease in the number of admissions for deep vein thrombosis among young women in general in the period 2011-2016 according to data from the Norwegian Patient Register (13).

LARCs provide an increased protection against unwanted pregnancies since implants and intrauterine devices have few or no user errors. In recent years, LARCs have increasingly been recommended in most countries as a strategy to reduce unintended pregnancies and abortion rates (14-17). We demonstrate an increase in dispensing of LARCs from 2015 especially among the younger women. The decline in abortions in Norway has been highest among women younger than 25 years where there has been the largest increase in the use of LARCs (18). The age group 20-24 years has long had the highest incidence of abortions but has since 2016 been lower than the abortion rate in the age groups 25-29 years and 30-34 years. An important contribution to the increase in use of LARCs may be both the extensions of providing all types of hormonal contraception free of charge to younger women from 1 January 2015 and giving the public health nurses right to also prescribe LARCs from 2016. This increase is in accordance with the recommendations from the health authorities. Smaller IUDs were introduced to the Norwegian market in 2014 and 2017 (Jaydess® and Kyleena®) and these are more acceptable for women who haven’t given birth to a child. This may also have contributed to the increased use of IUDs among younger women. In an ecological study Hognert et al found that the increase of LARC use consisted mainly of a higher use of IUD rather than implant in Sweden and Denmark (19).

A recently published article reports two women in Norway with ulnar nerve injuries after removal of subdermal contraceptive implants (20). The implant is placed in the medial upper arm and may lie close to important neuromuscular structures. In both cases, nerve injury occurred during removal of the implant. The authors of the case report recommend that any patient with an implant that is not readily palpable in the subcutaneous tissue should be referred to a hand surgeon who has training in exploring peripheral nerves.

The need for contraception may vary between women with different cultural backgrounds, and a study in Norway by Omland et al found lower use of hormonal contraceptives among immigrants than among women born in Norway without immigrant background. The differences were greatest between the youngest women (21). Oslo has the highest proportion of people with immigrant background in the age-group 16-19 years (38% in 2020), Viken the second highest (18%) and Nordland county the lowest proportion (9%) of people with immigrant background (22). These differences may partly explain why Oslo has the lowest proportion of users of hormonal contraceptives among teenagers and young adults.

Strengths and limitations

The NorPD is a well-recognised high-quality data source for examining medication utilisation patterns. However, NorPD lacks information on use of non-hormonal forms of contraception as copper IUDs and condoms. Further, LARCs supplied directly from health clinics, doctors’ own practices or by hospitals may not be captured within NorPD. This may lead to an underestimation of total LARC use in our study. However, in 2017, 7000 prescriptions of hormonal contraceptives were provided from pharmacies directly to doctors’ own practices or health clinics, and are registered as aggregated data (not linked to the individual woman that received the contraceptives) in the Norwegian Prescription Database (23). These 7000 prescriptions only accounted for 0.06% of all dispensed contraceptives in 2017 (24). Another limitation is that we have not excluded pregnant women in our analyses, which may also lead to an underestimation of hormonal contraceptive use among women in Norway.

It is reasonable to assume that the NorPD reflects real use of medications. However, filled prescriptions do not necessarily mean that the contraceptives have been used, and no data on discontinuation were available. LARCs tend to give some intolerable side effects, most importantly bleeding disorders, albeit transient, and these are frequently reasons for discontinuation. Nevertheless, when assessing contraceptive use, dispensed contraceptives from pharmacy have been shown to be more reliable than self-reported use, as women tend to overestimate their contraceptive use (25).
In summary, our results show a marked increase in the use of the preferred hormonal contraceptives (LARC, gestagen pill, COC with levonorgestrel) that reduce the risk of VTE. The prescribing doctors, public health nurses and midwives in Norway have high compliance with the recommendations from the health authorities.
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