Fast Neutrino Flavor Conversion at Late Time
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We all know that in the dense anisotropic interior of the star, neutrino-neutrino forward-scattering can lead to fast collective neutrino oscillations, which has striking consequences on flavor dependent neutrino emission and can be crucial for the evolution of a supernova and its neutrino signal. The flavor evolution of such dense neutrino system is governed by a large number of coupled nonlinear partial differential equations which are almost always very difficult to solve. Although the triggering, initial linear growth and the condition for fast oscillations to occur are understood by a well known trick known as “Linear stability analysis” [1], this fails to answer an important question – what is the impact of fast flavor conversion on observable neutrino fluxes or the supernova explosion mechanism? This is a significantly harder problem that requires understanding the nature of the final state solution in the nonlinear regime. Moving towards this direction we present one of the first numerical as well as an analytical study of the coupled flavor evolution of a non-stationary and inhomogeneous dense neutrino system in the nonlinear regime considering one spatial dimension and a spectrum of velocity modes. This work gives a clear picture of the final state flavor dynamics of such systems specifying its dependence on space-time coordinates, phase space variables as well as the lepton asymmetry and thus can have significant implications for the supernova astrophysics as well as its associated neutrino phenomenology even for the most realistic scenario.
1. Set-up of the system

Neglecting collisions, vacuum and matter effect the equation of motion in our model governing fast flavor evolution of two flavors of neutrinos moving with velocity \( v \) in space \((x)\) and time \((t)\) is given by [2]:

\[
(\partial_t + v \partial_x) P_v(x,t) = \mu \int_{-1}^{1} dv' (1 - vv') P_v(x,t) \times P_v(x,t),
\]

where the polarization vector \( P_v = G_v S_v = G_v (s_x, s_y, s_z)^T \) represents the flavor composition of neutrinos while \( G_v \) and \( \mu \) encode the electron lepton number distribution in momentum space and neutrino self-interaction strength respectively. We present our results for systems with total lepton asymmetry, \( \int_{-1}^{1} G_v \, dv = A = 0 \) and \( \neq 0 \) after solving Eq.(1) up to the fully nonlinear regime.

2. Results

**Temporal Behaviour** : In the limit \( t \) being very large, our numerical analysis suggests that the fully nonlinear solution of Eq.(1) becomes *approximately stationary in time* for any \( A \). [Fig. 3]

**Spatial Behaviour** : From Eq.(1) using the steady state approximation in time and also going to the multipole space [3] we obtain the following set of equations governing the system’s late time flavor dynamics in a frame rotating with constant velocity \( \sqrt{M_1 \cdot M_1} \) w.r.t the fixed lab frame:

\[
d_t P_v(x) = \frac{M_0(x)}{v} \times P_v(x), \quad M_0(x) \times d_t^2 M_0(x) + \sigma d_t M_0(x) = M_0^2 B(x) \times M_0(x), \quad d_t M_1(x) = 0
\]

In Eq.(2), \( M_n = \int_{-1}^{1} L_n(v) P_v \, dv \) denotes the \( n \)th multipole moment of \( P_v \). This indicates at late times each \( P_v \) has a spatial precession of frequency \( \frac{1}{2} \) around a common axis, \( M_0 \). [See Fig.2] which shows *gyroscopic pendulum motion* in space under the action of a spatially varying magnetic field, \( B(x) = \sum_{r,n=0}^\infty c_{rn} M_n(x) \) maintaining fixed length \( M_0 = \sqrt{M_0 \cdot M_0} \), fixed angular momentum \( \sigma = M_0 \cdot D \) and conserved energy \( E = B \cdot M_0 + \frac{1}{2} D \cdot D \). [See Fig. 1]. \( c_{rn} \)‘s are \((x,t,v)\) independent constants but depend only on the value of \( r \) and \( n \). Eq.(2) also indicates a non-separable steady-state solution (non-collective) for \( P_v(x) \) in position and velocity coordinates [4]. We numerically checked this via plotting the spatial variation of \( R_{\nu_1,\nu_2}^{(i)}(x) = \frac{x^{(i)}(x)}{x^{(2)}(x)} \) at \( t = 50 \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) and fixed \((v_1,v_2)\) which will be \( x \) independent if the solution is collective otherwise not [See Fig. 1]. We find the phase relationship \( (\phi_i) \) between the transverse components of the polarization vector at different spatial locations becomes *randomly distributed over \([-\pi, \pi]\)* at late times for any \( A \) and \( v \) [Fig. 2].
Dependence on lepton asymmetry: Integrating both sides of Eq.(1) w.r.t all velocity modes and then taking spatial average give rise to three conservation equations: \( \int_{-1}^{1} G_v \langle S_v \rangle = A \) with \( A = (0, 0, A) \). This implies, for \( A = 0 \) cases the system can show synchronized behaviour in momentum space. But for \( A \neq 0 \) this is not possible if fast conversions occur and thus system shows a velocity dependence at late times to conserve the total lepton asymmetry. [See Fig. 3]

Momentum dependence: Naively, the spatial averaged version of Eq.(1) in some corotating frame looks like, \( d_t \langle S_v \rangle = \langle H_v \rangle \times \langle S_v \rangle \) with \( \langle H_v \rangle = -\frac{A}{3} - v \mathbf{G} \) and \( \mathbf{G} = \langle \mathbf{M}_1 \rangle \). This indicates that \( \langle s_v^3 \rangle \) for specific \( v \) satisfying, \( \langle H_v^3(t_{ini}) \rangle \langle H_v^3(t_{fin}) \rangle < 0 \), or \( \left( \frac{4}{3} + v \mathbf{G}_0^{(3)} \right) \left( \frac{4}{3} + v \mathbf{G}_0^{(3)} \right) < 0 \), may flip its sign in the same spirit as the spectral swaps seen in collective oscillations [5]. Clearly, this condition can not be satisfied for \( A = 0 \) case but in general can be satified for \( A \neq 0 \) cases. [Fig. 3]
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