A non-viral and selection-free COL7A1 HDR approach with improved safety profile for dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa
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Gene editing via homology-directed repair (HDR) currently comprises the best strategy to obtain perfect corrections for pathogenic mutations of monogenic diseases, such as the severe recessive dystrophic form of the blistering skin disease epidermolysis bullosa (RDEB). Limitations of this strategy, in particular low efficiencies and off-target effects, hinder progress toward clinical applications. However, the severity of RDEB necessitates the development of efficient and safe gene-editing therapies based on perfect repair. To this end, we sought to assess the corrective efficiencies following optimal Cas9 nuclease and nickase-based COL7A1-targeting strategies in combination with single- or double-stranded donor templates for HDR at the COL7A1 mutation site. We achieved HDR-mediated correction efficiencies of up to 21% and 10% in primary RDEB keratinocytes and fibroblasts, respectively, as analyzed by next-generation sequencing, leading to full-length type VII collagen restoration and accurate deposition within engineered three-dimensional (3D) skin equivalents (SEs). Extensive on- and off-target analyses confirmed that the combined treatment of paired nicking and single-stranded oligonucleotides constituted a highly efficient COL7A1-editing strategy, associated with a significantly improved safety profile. Our findings, therefore, represent a further advancement in the field of traceless genome editing for genodermatoses.

INTRODUCTION

Recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa (RDEB) is a rare, though severe, inherited skin disorder caused by mutations in the COL7A1 gene, resulting in compromised type VII collagen (C7) protein function.1 C7 is an important constituent and stabilizer of dermal-epidermal adhesion at the basement membrane zone (BMZ), forming anchoring fibrils (AFs) beneath the lamina densa. In RDEB patients, lack or loss of function of C7 AFs causes severe blistering of the skin and mucous membranes upon minor mechanical stress. Such trauma frequently leads to chronic open wounds, typically associated with severe clinical complications—including aggressive squamous cell carcinoma (SCC).1,3 While open wounds significantly affect a patient’s quality of life from birth, SCCs arise in early adulthood and cause patient mortality.1 There is currently no specific treatment available for RDEB, and clinical approaches mainly focus on temporary symptom alleviation, underlining the urgent need for efficient and safe therapeutic approaches.

Two useful forms of gene therapy are currently feasible for EB: gene replacement and genome editing.3,5 In recent years, gene replacement approaches have been successfully applied to treat LAMB3-deficient junctional EB patients.6,7 However, initial attempts to stably integrate COL7A1 cDNA into RDEB patient cell genomes have yielded limited therapeutic success so far.9 This limitation might be due to low viral transduction efficiencies, random transgene integrations, and unwanted genomic recombinations.8-10 Thus, targeting C7-deficient patient cells via designer nucleases presents a possibility of circumventing many of these issues. Further, gene editing is suitable to correct dominantly and recessively inherited mutations, as recently demonstrated.5

Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR)-CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9)-mediated gene editing relies on the simultaneous delivery of a Cas9 nuclease and a target-specific single-guide RNA (sgRNA) into the target cells, thereby enabling precise, sequence-specific editing. However, the efficient and accurate correction of EB-related genes is critically reliant on the prior definition of...
electroporation is an effective and relatively nontoxic method of delivering base editing and prime editing, can lead to precise correction of pathogenic mutations, remains the ultimate goal of gene editing. HDR-based approaches, together with novel technologies, such as non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ), involving the direct ligation of the two DNA ends perfectly, or the error-prone alternative EJ pathway if classical EJ fails to repair the DSB. Besides EJ pathways, homology-directed repair (HDR) can occur when a homologous donor sequence is provided comprising either a double- or single-stranded DNA template almost homologous to the targeted area.

Currently, the most straightforward and efficient strategies for COL7A1 editing are based on HDR-mediated gene disruption, gene reframing, and exon deletion. These approaches rely on introducing insertions and deletions (indels) at the target loci, mainly resulting in a broad heterogeneity of repair outcomes. Wu et al. and Bonafont et al. described exon deletion-based reframing strategies with homogeneous editing outcomes to treat hotspot mutations in exon 80 of COL7A1. Recently, Kocher et al. demonstrated efficient and predictable CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene reframing in primary keratinocytes. This approach minimized the unwanted heterogeneity of repair outcomes, which likely result in non-functional C7 variants. The dominant repair outcome was a precise single adenine sense-strand insertion, which resulted in a single amino-acid divergence from the wild-type coding sequence.

However, a highly efficient and safe method to achieve perfect wild-type sequence restoration, applicable for a significant portion of disease-causing mutations, remains the ultimate goal of gene editing. HDR-based approaches, together with novel technologies, such as base editing and prime editing, can lead to precise correction of pathogenic gene mutations. However, a caveat of HDR approaches for the seamless repair of RDEB keratinocytes and fibroblasts is the generally low efficiency. This often necessitates the use of selection to enrich for corrected cells. Most HDR-based RDEB studies include pre-selection steps of cells in bulk, limited dilutions, clonal selections, single-cell expansions, and screening for edited clones. Many other strategies require the introduction of selection cassettes, which, after Cre-mediated removal, leave a loxp footprint and cannot be considered traceless. Strategies involving plasmid-based delivery and selection-based strategies are often accompanied by a high risk of off-target effects due to prolonged nuclease expression and targeted or random integration of the entire donor plasmid or large fragments. Therefore, nuclease-mediated HDR requires optimization to achieve selection-free, precise, and traceless correction of COL7A1 mutations at therapeutically relevant efficiencies.

The protein-based delivery of Cas9 molecules complexed with sgRNA (ribonucleoproteins, RNPs) can negate possible integrations of commonly used delivery vectors and has been shown to increase targeting efficiency compared to plasmid transfections. Electroporation is an effective and relatively nontoxic method of delivering these molecules ex vivo. Further, the reduced time span of nuclease expression improves the safety profile significantly. In addition, using a catalytically modified version of Streptococcus pyogenes (sp) Cas9 (Cas9), spCas9-D10A nickase (Cas9n), commercially available as protein, can considerably reduce the risk of unwanted off-target effects. Using a pair of sgRNAs to guide two Cas9 nickases in close proximity on opposite strands and in correct orientation to a target locus enables the simultaneous generation of two nicks and thus mediates improved HDR outcomes.

Here, we demonstrate the precise correction of a splice-site mutation in exon 3 of COL7A1 in primary RDEB keratinocytes and fibroblasts using paired nickases and DNA oligonucleotides (Oligos) via HDR. We compared HDR efficiencies mediated by Cas9, Cas9n, and paired Cas9n approaches combined with either single-stranded oligonucleotides (ssOligos) or double-stranded oligonucleotides (dsOligos) with 5’ overhangs (5’OHdsOligos). As a result, approaches based on single Cas9 nickes or paired Cas9n nickes combined with dsOligos consistently outperformed all other editing strategies when targeting COL7A1. Further, we investigated the effects of cold shock on target cells, confirming that transient 32°C treatment further improves the overall HDR efficiency. Secretion of restored type VII collagen was observed in supernatants of treated primary keratinocytes and fibroblasts, resulting in correct C7 deposition in engineered three-dimensional (3D) skin equivalents (SEs). Extensive on- and off-target analyses in the course of this study revealed that the paired nicking strategy, featuring the most robust gene editing safety profile, also reduced the risk of unwanted on- and off-target repair template integrations within the genome of treated RDEB cells.

RESULTS

**COL7A1 editing strategy via HDR**

Recently, we demonstrated that using the Cas9 D10A nickase variant (Cas9n) of Streptococcus pyogenes (sp) in combination with paired sgRNAs is a highly effective strategy for the HDR-based repair of a prevalent COL7A1 mutation (c.425A>G) in RDEB keratinocytes. We improved this plasmid-based approach, through the use of RNPs. These comprise sgRNA Cas9/Cas9n complexed proteins (RNPs) specifically targeting intron 3 of COL7A1 (Figure 1). Electroporation of Cas9 RNPs includes a superior and safe method of delivering gene-editing agents into cells, associated with reduced off-target effects, absence of potentially recombinogenic exogenous DNA, higher rates of gene disruption, and a reduced window of activity. Double-stranded (ds) DNA donor templates featuring 5’ overhangs have been demonstrated to result in almost 2-fold higher HDR efficiencies. Consequently, we employed double-stranded oligonucleotides with overhangs and unannealed single-stranded oligonucleotides as HDR templates (Figure 1). Wild-type Cas9 RNPs or paired Cas9n RNPs for double-nicking together with HDR templates were delivered into RDEB patient keratinocytes and fibroblasts via electroporation using the Neon transfection system.

**COL7A1 editing in immortalized RDEB keratinocytes**

Upon RNP delivery into immortalized RDEB223 keratinocytes (c.425A>G/c.425A>G), Cas9n-generated single-strand breaks (SSBs)
and Cas9-generated DSBs led to the induction of several DNA repair pathways. Our strategy of traceless HDR-mediated correction of the disease-associated mutation includes the introduction of SNPs (c.426+7C>A; c.626+52G>T) at selected protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sites, precluding recurrent Cas9 targeting (Figure 2A). Keratinocytes treated with either Cas9/sgRNA1, Cas9/sgRNA2, or dual targeting of double-nicking Cas9n (Cas9n/sgRNA1 and Cas9n/sgRNA2), with or without repair templates, displayed an apparent propensity for EJ-based repair pathways, with indels observed in over 84% of next-generation sequencing (NGS)-analyzed COL7A1 alleles (Figure 2B). There was a tendency for reduced indel formation with the addition of ssOligos and 5’OHOLigos (Figure 2B). The majority of observed indels comprised deletions, typically occurring at the RNP targeting sites.

Interestingly, the frequency of insertions at the target site increased with the co-delivery of 5’OHOLigos, particularly when combining 5’OHOLigos with Cas9 RNPs (Figure 2B). T7 endonuclease I (T7EI) assays confirmed the comparable and high targeting efficiencies for each strategy (Figure S1). When analyzing the resulting indels via NGS, we found similar size distribution patterns regardless of whether RNPs were delivered exclusively or concurrently with ssOligos or 5’OHOLigos into the target cells (Figures S2–S4). We observed an increased frequency of insertions at the target site only in samples treated with Cas9/sgRNA1 in conjunction with 5’OHOLigos. In these samples, size distribution analyses demonstrated a dominant 29–30 nt long insertion at the Cas9/sgRNA1 cutting site (Figure S4). This specific insertion was not detectable with any of the other strategies.

Co-delivery of DSB-generating nuclease with either single-stranded (ssOligos) or double-stranded (5’OHOLigos) HDR templates into RDEB223 keratinocytes resulted in the repair of the mutation (c.425A>G) in 6.2%−7.9% of all analyzed COL7A1 alleles (Figure 2C). As expected, this led to the restoration of C7 within corrected cells not detected or observed via NGS, immunofluorescence (IF), or flow cytometric analyses in samples treated with nuclelease and 5’OHOLigos, compared to ssDNA templates (Figures 2C, 2E, S5, and S6).

Notably, a C7 restoration in up to 3.90% of exclusively Cas9/sgRNA- and paired Cas9n/gRNA-treated RDEB keratinocytes was detected via flow cytometric analysis (Figures 2E and S6) and confirmed via immunofluorescence staining (Figure S5). This might be due to EJ-induced indels at the COL7A1 on-target site leading to the generation of in-frame C7 protein variants, differing partially in the amino acid sequence to the wild-type protein.

Off-target analysis for COL7A1 editing in immortalized RDEB keratinocytes reveals an improved safety profile for the ssOligo/double-nicking approach compared to 5’OHOLigo- and Cas9-based approaches

Aside from the high on-target efficiency of RNPs, possible future clinical implementations must address several safety concerns. The most promising approaches regarding HDR and C7 restoration efficiencies were therefore analyzed for Cas9 nucleases/nickase off-target activity, off-target donor template integration, and on-target template integrations. These comprised Cas9/sgRNA1 and Cas9n/gRNA1+2 targeting with an ssOligo or 5’OHOLigo HDR template. Off-target analysis of our previous plasmid-based approach highlighted the potential of RNA-guided engineered nucleases to cleave off-target sites that differ by several nucleotides from the on-target sites, leading to unwanted off-target mutations.13 The dominant off-target site described within our recent study tolerated one RNA bulge and one mismatch. To confirm this high activity at this predicted off-target site in our updated, selection-free strategy, we analyzed isolated DNA of keratinocyte bulk populations treated with the respective RNPs. Initial T7EI

![Figure 1. Strategy design for HDR-based COL7A1 repair](image-url)
assay analysis indicated robust targeting at the off-target site only in the Cas9/sgRNA1-treated sample (Figure S7). NGS analysis confirmed exceptionally high off-target site 7 (off7) targeting efficiencies of up to 96% for Cas9/sgRNA1-treated cells (Figure 3A); most edits comprised deletions. However, samples co-treated with Cas9/sgRNA1 and each of our templates demonstrated a markedly increased incidence of insertions (5.5% for Cas9, 7.3% with the addition of ssOligos, and 30% with the addition of 5’OHOligos) (Figures 3A and S7). This was not observed in any of the double-nicking-treated samples (Figure S8). Samples treated with Cas9/sgRNA1 and either repair template demonstrated a dominant 1 nt G insertion at off-target site 7, comprising ~38% and ~12% of total insertional NGS reads. However, samples co-treated with the 5’OHOligo template displayed extensive (~10%) off-target template insertions (Figures 3B and 3C). Similar insertions have been observed when analyzing the on-target site within the same sample (Figure S4). In contrast, ssOligo-treated samples largely displayed small off-target insertions (Figure 3C), indicating preferable use of ssOligos for HDR-mediated gene editing instead of dsOligos.

In addition to the previously characterized Cas9/sgRNA1 off-target site 7 (formerly described as OT9), we utilized Abnoba-Seq for in vitro identification of additional 12 sites for Cas9/sgRNA1 and Cas9/sgRNA2 of likely off-target activity (Table S1). Subsequent NGS analyses of these loci revealed indel formations at five off-target sites in Cas9-treated samples (Figure S9). Of all Abnoba-Seq-identified loci, off6 appeared to represent the Cas9/sgRNA1 off-target with the greatest activity, with 0.38% of reads displaying indels. However, analysis of Cas9n- and double-nicking-treated patient keratinocytes identified no off-target editing, confirming the improved safety profile of double-nicking-based gene-editing strategies in comparison to Cas9 nuclease-based approaches.

**Figure 2. COL7A1 editing in immortalized RDEB keratinocytes**

(A) RNP-mediated SSBs and DSBs at the COL7A1 targeting site activate EJ- and HDR-based repair pathways. In optimal instances, this results in correction of the disease-associated mutation in exon 3 (green asterisk) and disruption of the PAM sites (blue and purple asterisks) via HDR. (B) NGS analyses of the PCR-amplified COL7A1 on-target site revealed high indel frequencies (84%–99%) in RDEB223 keratinocytes treated with Cas9/single-guide RNA (sgRNA1), Cas9/sgRNA2, and double nicking (Cas9n/sgRNA1 and Cas9n/sgRNA2). (C) Precise repair, analyzed via NGS, between the COL7A1 on-target site and the respective repair template (ssOligo or 5’OHOligo) leads to correction of the disease-associated mutation (c.425A>G). This can occur irrespective of HDR-mediated editing of PAM sites (c.426+7C>A and c.426+52G>T). The frequency of these events is dependent upon the targeting and repair strategy. (D) Immunofluorescence staining revealed C7 restoration (AF488; green) within corrected RDEB223 keratinocytes treated with Cas9/sgRNA1 and ssOligos (scale bar, 100 μm). (E) Flow cytometric analyses on C7-stained, RNP-treated RDEB223 keratinocytes revealed the expression of C7 in 0.76%–3.90% of all analyzed cells. Strategies utilizing either ssOligos or 5’OHOligos to correct the mutation demonstrated increased levels of C7 restoration, up to 9.9%–14.47%.

**Temperature-mediated increase of correction efficiencies and HDR rates in immortalized RDEB keratinocytes**

Several recent studies have sought to further improve HDR rates in mammalian cell types. Pre- and post-exposure of nuclease-treated cells to 32°C has been shown to increase the HDR efficiencies.
between 2- and 10-fold in cell types displaying low HDR rates at 37°C. We investigated whether we could increase HDR rates following the implementation of our gene-editing strategies via a brief 32°C cold shock of patient keratinocytes before and after nucleofection. This would negate the use of cell cycle modulating reagents and small molecules, which have previously been shown to boost HDR rates.40,41 To ascertain the effect of cold shock on HDR rates, we analyzed our two most promising HDR strategies. To this end, we first nucleofected Cas9/sgRNA1 RNPs and Cas9n/gRNA1+2 RNPs together with ssOligos into cold-shocked keratinocytes. Following 24 h of transient hypothermic treatment, cells were shifted back to 37°C for 1 week. We determined the rates of NHEJ- and HDR-based DSB repair by targeted amplicon NGS, C7 restoration by immunofluorescence, and flow cytometric analysis of intracellular C7 (Figure 5). We observed slightly improved HDR frequencies following cold shock for both HDR strategies, compared to standard culture conditions (Figure 5B). Quantification of NHEJ events within RNP-treated samples and samples additionally treated with ssOligos revealed a clear decrease in the frequency of these unwanted events following co-treatment with the repair template (99.13% and 99.21% for RNPs; 90.93% and 88.26% for RNPs and ssOligos). This decrease was more pronounced following cold shock (98.60% and 99.40% for RNPs; 80.72% and 80.85% for RNPs and ssOligos) (Figure 5A). Analyses of C7 expression and restoration demonstrated slightly increased correction efficiencies for cold-shock-treated samples (Figures 5B, 5C, and S10). This temperature-induced increase in C7 restoration was more prominent in the Cas9n/gRNA1+2+ssOligo-treated sample than the Cas9/sgRNA1+ssOligo-treated sample (11.67% to 15.88% versus 8.76% to 9.13%) (Figures 5D and S10).

HDR rates in primary RDEB keratinocytes

To confirm our safest and most efficient HDR strategy in a more clinically relevant setting, we nucleofected Cas9n/gRNA1+2 RNPs together with the ssOligos into primary patient-derived RDEB223 keratinocytes. These were subjected to cold shock pre- and post-nucleofection. T7EI assay indicated high RNP targeting efficiencies in treated primary...
keratinocytes (Figure S11). NGS analysis of treated bulk populations revealed similar targeting efficiencies to those observed in the immortalized cell line, with indels present in 63.0% of analyzed reads (Figure 6A). Of note, HDR-based DSB repair was higher in treated primary keratinocytes than immortalized keratinocytes. We observed HDR efficiencies of \( \leq 25\% \) in early passage (P1) RDEB223 keratinocytes, resulting in the introduction of the target c.425G>A (WT) SNP in about 21% of NGS-analyzed alleles (Figure 6B). NGS analysis revealed that the main proportion of corrected alleles harbored both SNPs, the c.425G>A (WT) SNP together with the c.426+7C>A SNP, which results in the inactivation of the former PAM site (data not shown). To identify whether the c.426+7C>A SNP introduced at the 5’ site of intron 3 modulates COL7A1 splicing during mRNA maturation, we analyzed the cDNA/mRNA of treated primary RDEB223 keratinocytes. Real-time (RT)-based PCR amplification of the COL7A1 target site via flanking exon-junction primers (Table S2) revealed the presence of corrected COL7A1 transcripts. These were absent in untreated RDEB keratinocytes (Figure S12A). Subsequent Sanger sequencing of PCR subclones revealed correctly spliced COL7A1 fragments in \(~66\%\) of amplicons (Figure S12B). Additionally, the three main transcript variants, already described for homozygous c.425A>G RDEB cells, were detectable and validated by Sanger sequencing (Figure S12B).^{46}

Western blot analysis of treated primary RDEB keratinocytes confirmed full-length C7 restoration and its accurate secretion (Figure 6C). Restored C7 expression was visualized in treated cells via co-immunofluorescence staining (Figure 6D) and quantified via flow cytometric analysis, resulting in C7 restoration in over 37% of RNP/ssOligo-treated primary RDEB keratinocytes (Figure S13). From this bulk population, we isolated single-cell clones displaying either absent (clones 1 and 2) or restored C7 expression (clones 3 and 4) (Figure 6E). Ki-67 staining indicated a high proliferative capacity for all analyzed and isolated primary keratinocytes seeded onto feeder layers (Figure 6E). Co-staining of C7 and p63 revealed the presence of p63 within the nuclei of all analyzed cell clones, further supporting this high proliferative capacity (Figure S14).

To confirm the corrective potential of Cas9n RNP and ssOligo, we additionally treated two primary patient keratinocyte cell lines that harbor compound heterozygous mutations (RDEB29 and RDEB30) and two that harbor a homozygous c.425A>G mutation (RDEB223 and RDEB247) within exon 3 of COL7A1. T7EI assay indicated similar targeting efficiencies for all treated primary keratinocytes (Figure S15). NGS analysis of treated bulk populations revealed high targeting efficiencies, with indels observed in 68.0% of analyzed reads in RDEB223 and up to 80.5% observed in RDEB29 (Figure S16A). Introduction of the target c.425G>A (WT) SNP ranged from 0.70% (RDEB29) to 19.57% (RDEB223) compared to normal levels (Figure S16B). Western blot analyses confirmed C7 expression and restoration in all of our treated and analyzed primary keratinocyte bulk populations, ranging from 9.08% (RDEB30) to 31.46% (RDEB223) compared to normal levels (Figure S16C), confirmed via co-immunofluorescence staining (Figure S16D). Divergences between primary keratinocyte populations regarding COL7A1 editing and C7 restoration efficiency might be the result of several factors. The mutation distribution (heterozygous or homozygous), proliferative potential, differentiation capacity, and quality of isolated primary RDEB keratinocytes with regard to remaining epidermal stem cells with higher proliferative capacity likely have a significant impact on HDR efficiency. Co-immunofluorescence staining of C7 and Ki-67 clearly indicated distinct proliferative capacity among all four different primary keratinocyte populations. RDEB29 and RDEB30 keratinocytes showed reduced Ki-67 expression and lower amounts of Ki-67-expressing cells compared to RDEB223 and RDEB247 keratinocytes (Figure S16D).

HDF rates in primary RDEB fibroblasts
In wild-type skin, C7 is expressed in both dermal fibroblasts and basal epidermal keratinocytes. Recently, Supp et al.^{49} indicated the need for C7 secretion from both cell types in the formation of structurally normal anchoring fibrils. To confirm the clinical applicability of our approach,
we treated patient-matched primary RDEB fibroblasts (RDEB223) with our HDR-based double-nicking strategy. T7EI assay indicated similar RNP targeting efficiencies between treated primary fibroblasts and primary keratinocytes (Figure S11). NGS analysis revealed gene-editing signatures in ~80% of analyzed COL7A1 alleles (Figure 7A), accompanied by an HDR-mediated gene repair efficiency of over 10% (Figure 7B). Consequently, C7 restoration levels of ~11% and concomitant accurate secretion were detected via western blot analyses (Figure 7C).

Accurate deposition of C7 within generated 3D SEs
To confirm accurate secretion and deposition of restored C7 within the dermal/epidermal junction, SEs were expanded from partially corrected primary RDEB223 keratinocytes (~37% corrected) and fibroblasts (~12% corrected). Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of SEs, derived from untreated RDEB keratinocytes and fibroblasts, demonstrated severe blistering within the BMZ. These were reduced in number and size in SEs expanded from RNP/ssOligo-treated patient cells (Figure 8A). Further, immunofluorescence staining of C7 in partially corrected SEs revealed accurate, albeit irregular, deposition of the restored protein within the dermal/epidermal junction (Figure 8B). Notably, C7 was undetectable in SEs composed of either untreated fibroblasts and corrected (~37%) keratinocytes or untreated keratinocytes and corrected (~12%) fibroblasts (data not shown).

DISCUSSION
Several strategies have been envisioned to ease the burden of RDEB, although a comprehensive cure remains a challenging goal. Throughout this study, we implemented a selection-free HDR-based COL7A1 editing approach in order to correct a hotspot mutation c.425A>G, causal for this severe blistering skin disease. Gene editing appears to be the most promising advancement in attaining this goal, although current strategies remain far from clinical application. At present, a cutaneous ex vivo gene therapy, based on the transplantation of genetically modified keratinocyte stem cells, is the primary focus of EB research. Patient keratinocytes can be easily isolated and expanded on lethally irradiated murine fibroblasts (feeder cells), genetically modified with high efficiency, and transplanted back onto the patient—as successfully demonstrated in recent clinical gene replacement studies.4,6,7 Although these auspicious studies described the introduction of a full copy of the wild-type gene into patient-derived cells, novel gene-editing approaches hold the distinct advantage of enabling reversion of mutated genes to
wild-type through the use of DNA-modifying agents such as CRISPR-Cas9. In contrast to highly efficient EJ-based gene-editing strategies, HDR-based approaches currently represent the optimal strategy for achieving perfect, traceless repair in EB, albeit with lower associated efficiencies.\(^{18,20,50}\) In this study, we aimed to develop an improved HDR-based approach from an efficiency and safety perspective. To this end, we employed an efficient and specific approach that we have previously described.\(^{13}\) Our previous studies demonstrated that targeting via the D10A nickase variant of the Cas9 from Streptococcus pyogenes, which preferably induces SSBs within the DNA, led to the restoration of gene function in EB.\(^{13,27,33,36}\) Further, we demonstrated that paired nicking resulted in auspicious HDR rates and reduced off-target effects.\(^{13,36}\) However, the reliance on selection-based protocols and possible HDR donor sequence integrations at off-target sites remained the greatest hurdles for future clinical applications.\(^{13}\)

In HDR approaches, antibiotic- or fluorescence-based selection cassettes are typically inserted within the donor plasmid to allow for the selection of transfected and/or modified cell clones.\(^{13,17,26,27,30,51}\) This can lead to remarkable HDR (\(\leq 89\%\)) and C7 restoration (\(\leq 77\%\)) efficiencies in immortalized RDEB keratinocytes.\(^{13}\) Additionally, subtle template modifications and strategic template nicking can further improve HDR efficiencies in treated RDEB keratinocytes.\(^{13}\) Recently, Jacków et al.\(^{30}\) performed an HDR-based approach in order to achieve a traceless correction of RDEB using induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). Similar to the strategy utilized in our study, they progressed from a plasmid-based system to a protein-based RNP delivery system. They subsequently demonstrated repair of RDEB-causing mutations, following electroporation of RNPs and ssOligos into patient-derived iPSCs. The co-transfection of a GFP-encoding plasmid further
enabled fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)-based isolation of transfected, and therefore possibly gene-edited, clones out of the bulk cell population without the requirement of a drug selection cassette. Hence, they achieved an HDR-based gene correction efficiency of 58%. Recently, Bonafont et al. demonstrated similar COL7A1 correction efficiencies in primary RDEB keratinocytes following targeting of intron 79 via electroporation of RNPs and adeno-associated virus (AAV)-mediated delivery of single-stranded DNA repair templates. 3D human skin equivalents (HSEs), grafted onto immune-deficient mice, demonstrated accurate deposition of C7 at the BMZ in both studies. The inclusion of C7-expressing skin cell types (fibroblasts and keratinocytes) in SEs is likely to be fundamental to create structurally normal C7 anchoring fibrils within the BMZ in order to improve long-term functionality and stability.

Due to significant concerns that our initial selection-based strategy might lead to random or targeted partial integrations of the donor template sequence, we followed a similar gene-editing strategy to that of Jacków et al. and Bonafont et al., although in our approach, no foreign DNA-reliant selection and no viral transduction were performed. We electroporated Cas9 RNPs together with single- or double-stranded Oligos into patient keratinocytes to correct a prevalent RDEB-associated mutation (c.425A>G) within exon 3 of COL7A1. The avoidance of plasmid-based Cas9 expression, selection systems, or viral transduction, and the use of a Cas9 nickase are expected to increase the safety of the approach significantly. However, comparable to our plasmid-based approach, we detected a high RNP-mediated targeting efficiency of ~96% at a previously characterized off-target site in treated RDEB keratinocytes via NGS. Further, the co-treatment of patient cells with single- or double-stranded Oligos led to a remarkable increase in insertion rates at the off-target site, especially when dsOligos were applied. A partial dsOligo sequence (27–30 nt) was dominantly (~10% of insertions) integrated at the RNP targeting site. This phenomenon was only observed when cells were treated with wild-type Cas9/sgRNA1. In addition to the previously sequence-alignment predicted and characterized sgRNA1 off-target site, Abnoba-Seq analysis for in vitro prediction of possible off-target sites suggested further off-target sites for both sgRNAs (1 and 2). Subsequent NGS analysis of 12 with total reads) off-target activity at 5 (3 for sgRNA1 and 2 for sgRNA2) genomic loci, following treatment of patient-derived keratinocytes with wild-type

Figure 7. Analysis of Cas9n/gRNA1+2+ssOligo-treated primary RDEB223 fibroblasts

Primary fibroblasts were treated with Cas9n/gRNA1+2 RNPs together with ssOligos. (A and B) One week post-treatment, cells were harvested and PCR-amplified genomic on-target regions were analyzed via NGS. (A) Heatmap displays relative indel formation (indels) induced via Cas9 RNPs. (B) Heatmap displays relative SNP introduction into the genomic on-target region via HDR. (C) Western blot analyses of cell lysates and supernatants from wild-type, untreated RDEB223 patient-derived fibroblasts and Cas9/gRNA1+2 RNPs together with ssOligo-treated primary RDEB223 patient-derived fibroblasts. Analyses revealed efficient C7 restoration of intracellular protein forms in treated primary RDEB fibroblasts and secretion of C7 into the supernatant. β-tubulin was used as loading control for whole-cell lysates and as purity control for supernatants. Ponceau staining served as loading control for supernatants. Densitometric analysis was performed using the Image Lab 6.0.1 software. C7 levels are shown as % of relative C7 expression normalized to β-tubulin. (D) Co-immunofluorescence staining of C7 (green) and Ki-67 (red) in primary RDEB223 patient, Cas9n/gRNA1+2 RNPs, and ssOligo-treated fibroblast monolayers confirmed restoration of C7 protein expression. The relative number of C7-expressing cells was estimated via flow cytometric analyses. Scale bar, 100 μm.
Cas9 nuclease. In contrast, no off-target events were detected in nuclease-treated RDEB keratinocytes, highlighting the improved safety profile of this targeting strategy.

Computational off-target alignment and in vitro identification of possible off-target sites often identifies distinct off-targets. Although the skin theoretically enables careful monitoring of modified tissues in patients, grafted sites must be monitored long term in order to screen for the development of skin cancer that may not be immediately observed. To reduce these long-term risks, screening via combined off-target prediction strategies is highly recommended prior to the transplantation of ex vivo-corrected skin sheets.

Genome-wide, unbiased identification of DSBs enabled by sequencing (GUIDE-seq) and circularization for in vitro reporting of cleavage effects by sequencing (CIRCLE-seq) comprise two sensitive sequencing-efficient in vitro off-target screening strategies to identify CRISPR-Cas9 genome-wide off-target events.53,54 GUIDE-seq relies on the capture of double-stranded oligodeoxynucleotides into DNA breaks for identification of sites not detected by current computational methods or chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq). CIRCLE-seq is a sensitive circularization-dependent strategy for in vitro reporting of cleavage sites, postulated to outperform existing cell-based or biochemical approaches for identifying CRISPR-Cas9 genome-wide off-target mutations. Furthermore, CIRCLE-seq can identify off-target mutations associated with cell-type-specific SNPs, which could be important for generating personalized specificity profiles for future clinical applications.54

Linear Amplification Mediated (LAM)-PCR-based approaches and the recently published chromosomal aberrations analysis by single targeted linker-mediated PCR sequencing (CAST-seq) method for the detection of chromosomal translocations are promising tools to further assess the risk of genotoxicity of genome editing on a chromosomal level. CAST-seq is a sensitive assay suitable for the identification and quantification of unintended chromosomal rearrangements, representing a valuable approach to enable thorough risk assessment before clinical application of gene-edited cell products.56

However, above all considerations, improved repair efficiencies are pivotal for advancing experimental gene therapies into clinical settings. Concerning RDEB, prior studies estimate a correction efficiency of 35% to be sufficient for a complete phenotypic reversion in vitro and in vivo.77,78 To combine an improved safety profile with increased HDR and correction rates, we utilized paired Cas9 nickases together with an ssOligo repair template resulting in HDR-mediated correction efficiencies of >20% in primary RDEB keratinocytes and >10% in primary fibroblasts at the genomic level and >37% and >12% at a cellular level, respectively. Here, pre-incubation of cells at 32°C had a positive impact on the overall HDR efficiency. To our knowledge, this is the highest HDR-based gene-editing efficiency achieved for EB without viral transduction and selection for transfected and/or gene-edited cells. Notably, H&E and C7 immunofluorescence staining of SEs, derived from wild-type RDEB keratinocytes and untreated RDEB keratinocytes served as positive and negative control, respectively. Scale bar, 100 μm. E, epidermis; D, dermis (white dotted line indicates the deviation of the basement membrane zone (BMZ)). (B) Immunofluorescence staining of C7 (green) within SEs expanded from the RNP-treated bulk keratinocyte and fibroblast populations revealed partial deposition of restored C7 within the BMZ. SEs derived from wild-type keratinocytes and untreated RDEB keratinocytes served as positive and negative control, respectively. Scale bar, 100 μm. E, epidermis; D, dermis (white dotted line indicates the BMZ white dotted circles indicate blisters around the epidermal-dermal junction).
treatment will pave the way for efficient clinical \textit{ex vivo} gene-editing applications. Delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 into target cells via RNP electroporation has been shown to result in high on-target efficiencies accompanied by low off-target activities.\textsuperscript{18,20,22} To our knowledge, there is no other alternative \textit{in vitro} delivery method as efficient as electroporation. Despite this, future investigations into the clinical applicability of this approach must also address the high RNP-induced on-target indel frequencies accompanying the desired perfect repair outcome. This could likely be mitigated in part by alternative precise genome editing technologies, such as base editing or prime editing, which also rely on targeting via Cas9 nickases.\textsuperscript{21,23}

However, currently, the combined delivery of paired nicking RNPs with ssOligos represents an advanced HDR-based gene correction strategy for RDEB, enabling perfect repair of \textit{COL7A1} in terms of efficiency, safety, and precision.

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textbf{Cell culture, transfection, and clonal expansion}

Primary RDEB keratinocytes (RDEB29, RDEB30, RDEB223, RDEB247) and primary fibroblasts (RDEB223) were isolated from skin biopsies from patients carrying the following \textit{COL7A1} mutations: RDEB29: c.425A>G/c.520G>A; RDEB30: c.425A>G/c.520G>A; RDEB223: c.425A>G/c.425A>G; RDEB247: c.425A>G/c.520G>A. Primary RDEB keratinocytes were immortalized through transduction of the human papilloma virus proteins E6 and E7.\textsuperscript{60} Prior to cell isolation, patients' signed informed consents were obtained. All cell lines were maintained at 37°C and 5% carbon dioxide in a humidified incubator. One day before electroporation, antibiotic-free media was added to the cells. For cold shock experiments, cells were shifted to 32°C 1 day before treatment and further incubated on 32°C for another 24 h after electroporation, before switching back to 37°C. RNP electroporations were performed using the Neon transfection system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with the following settings: 1,400 volts, 20 ms, 2 pulses. RNPs were complexed in a 4:1 ratio using 3 μg Cas9/Cas9n together with 750 ng sgRNA. 3 × 10^5 cells were used for each single treatment. Wild-type keratinocytes, untransfected RDEB keratinocytes, wild-type fibroblasts, and untransfected RDEB fibroblasts served as controls. For clonal expansion, RNP-treated primary cells were isolated ~7 days after transfection and seeded at low density onto 3T3-J2 mouse fibroblast feeder cells (5 × 10^3 cells/cm^2), which were initially growth arrested with 4 μg/mL mitomycin C (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) for 2 h at 37°C.\textsuperscript{15}

\textbf{Flow cytometric analysis}

Initially, cells were fixed and permeabilized with Fix/Perm Solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 45 min. After two washing steps in Perm Buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and blocking in 10% sheep serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 10 min, a polyclonal C7 antibody was added as primary antibody, diluted 1:1,000 in PBS.\textsuperscript{61} Cells were incubated for 30 min at 4°C, washed with Perm Buffer, and incubated with the secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit FITC) (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) for an additional 30 min, in a dilution of 1:25 in PBS in the dark at 4°C. Cells were maintained in PBS and analyzed using either the Gallios Flow Cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany) or the LSR-Fortessa (BD Biosciences). Data analysis was performed using the Kaluza software.

\textbf{Immunofluorescence staining of monolayers and single-cell clones}

Prior to immunofluorescence staining, 1 × 10^5 keratinocytes were seeded into μ-Slide 8 well chamber slides (ibidi, Gräfelfing, Germany) and grown to 70%–100% confluence. For single-cell clone analyses, growth-arrested 3T3-J2 mouse fibroblast feeder cells were seeded (6 × 10^4 feeder cells/well) into μ-Slide 8-well chamber slides. RNP-treated primary cells (~7 days post transfection) were seeded onto the feeder layer at low density (~1 × 10^3 cells/well). Single-cell clones were expanded for at least 1 week prior to fixing with 4% formaldehyde solution (SAV Liquid Production, Flintsbach am Inn, Germany) for 10 min at RT. The subsequent permeabilization and co-staining of the cells was performed in a single step. A human-specific rabbit anti-C7 antibody was used in a 1:5,000 dilution together with a mouse anti-Ki-67 (8D5) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology Europe, Leiden, the Netherlands) in a 1:1,000 dilution or a mouse anti-p63 (4A4) antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) in a 1:500 dilution.\textsuperscript{61} Dif- fusions were performed in 0.3% Triton-X blocking reagent (1:10) and Tris-buffered saline with 0.2% Tween (TBS-T). Incubation with primary antibody lasted for 2 h at RT. After two washing steps with PBS, cells were co-stained with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) and Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (1:300 in TBS-T) for 1 h at RT. Cell nuclei staining via DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindol) (1:4,000 in TBS-T) was performed for 10 min at RT. Stained cells were analyzed using an inverted microscope system, including the laser scanning confocal microscope Zeiss LSM 700 and the Axio Observer Z1 (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

\textbf{Generation of SEs and immunofluorescence staining}

SE generation and immunofluorescence staining were performed as recently described.\textsuperscript{13} SEs were generated using human fibrin as scaffold for primary wild-type, primary RDEB223, and treated primary RDEB223 human fibroblasts in 12-well plates. Primary wild-type keratinocytes, primary RDEB223 keratinocytes, and RNP/ssOligo-treated primary RDEB keratinocytes (3 × 10^4 cells per well) were seeded onto the matrix, grown to confluence, and raised at the air-liquid interface for 7 days to favor stratification and differentiation into an epithelium.

\textbf{T7EI assay}

Sequence mismatches, resulting from Cas9/ssOligo-mediated DSBs and subsequent NHEJ at the desired genomic loci in RNP-treated RDEB keratinocytes and fibroblasts, were evaluated via T7EI assay (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany). Initially, the \textit{COL7A1} on-target site and the off-target site 7 (formerly described as OT9) were PCR amplified using specific primer pairs, flanking the respective cutting sites.\textsuperscript{13} T7EI digest of the resulting PCR fragment was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (see Table S2 for primer sequences).
Splicing analysis
Total RNA was isolated from cultured primary wild-type, RDEB223, and treated RDEB223 keratinocytes using an innu-PREP RNA Mini Kit (Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany). cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA, using LunaScript RT SuperMix Kit (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany). For amplification of the transcripts, COL7A1 exon1-exon2 forward and exon4-exon5 reverse primers were used. For subcloning of the PCR product, the StrataClone PCR Cloning Kit (Aligent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Single clones and resulting PCR products were Sanger sequenced and subsequently analyzed via CRISPR-ID.

Protein isolation and western blot analysis
For cell lysis, cell pellets were dissolved in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany). Whole-cell lysates were subsequently centrifuged at full speed at 4°C for 20 min and supernatant was frozen at –20°C. Protein precipitation from cell culture supernatants was performed as recently described. Following denaturation of protein samples for 5 min at 95°C in 4× loading buffer (0.25 M Tris-hydrochloride, 8% SDS, 30% glycerol, 0.02% bromophenol blue, 0.3 M b-mercaptoethanol [pH 6.8]), lysates were loaded onto 8% Bis-Tris Plus gels and western blot analysis was performed as recently described. Loaded protein was estimated via Ponceau red (Sigma-Aldrich) staining after electroblotting. Following blocking of the nitrocellulose membrane using the blocking reagent from Roche Diagnostics (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) in a dilution of 1:10 in TBS-T for 1 h at RT, an N-terminal anti-C7 antibody (clone LH7.2) was added in a dilution of 1:5,000 in TBS-T. The membrane was subsequently incubated overnight at 4°C. For loading control staining, a polyclonal antibody (ab6064) (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) was used in a dilution of 1:200 in TBS-T and blocking reagent. Secondary antibodies, the anti-mouse antibody (Dako, Santa Clara, CA, USA), were 1:300 diluted in TBS-T and blocking reagent. The nitrocellulose membrane was subsequently incubated for 1 h at RT. Visualization of protein bands was performed through the Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and the ChemiDoc XRS Imager (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). One of three representative western blot analyses for primary RDEB keratinocytes and fibroblasts is shown in Figures 6, 7, and S16.

NGS: On-target region
Kapa Hifi Hot Start Ready Mix (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) was used for PCR amplification of the COL7A1 on-target region of treated and untreated keratinocytes according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Primers were tagged with adapters for Illumina Nextera indexes (see Table S3 for primer sequences). PCR amplicons were subsequently purified with Kapa Pure Beads (Roche; Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In order to remove primer dimers, fragments below 200 bp were excluded by this purification step. Index PCRs were performed with the Nextera XT Index Kit v.2 Set A (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and Kapa Hifi Hot Start Ready Mix. PCRs were again purified with Kapa Pure Beads, excluding fragments below 200 bp. Purified amplicons were quantified using the Qubit 2 Fluorometer and the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Samples were subsequently pooled and sent for Illumina MiSeq Sequencing at the Vienna BioCenter Next-Generation Sequencing Facility (Vienna BioCenter Core Facilities, Vienna, Austria). Analysis of genome-editing outcomes from deep-sequencing data was either performed via the CRISPResso2 online platform or the CRISPR RGEN Tools Cas-Analyzer. Abnoba-Seq is a genome-wide, unbiased, and highly sensitive method to profile the off-target activity of CRISPR-Cas nuclease in vitro. Briefly, genomic DNA of primary human keratinocytes was isolated, fragmented, end-blocked, and cleaved in vitro with CRISPR-Cas9 RNP loaded with the respective sgRNAs. Cleaved DNA ends were tagged with biotinylated adaptors. Upon streptavidin-based enrichment, the DNA fragments were amplified by PCR and subjected to NGS. Aligning the sequence reads to a human reference genome revealed off-target sites.

Institutional approval of experiments
All performed experiments were executed under biosafety level 2 conditions. The work, including the work with wild-type and patient cells used in the study, was biosafety level 2 approved by the local authorities (Federal Ministry of Labor, Social Affairs, Health, and Consumer Protection). In this study, we used human keratinocytes and fibroblasts obtained from skin biopsies or hair follicles donated following institutional approval of experiments (Federal Ministry of Education and Research).
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