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**Abstract**

Peel of red dragon fruit (*Hylocereus polyrhizus*) is one of the plants used as an antibacterial agent as it contains saponin triterpenoid compounds, flavonoid compounds, and alkaloid compounds which can have antibacterial activity. This research aims to determine the antibacterial effect of n-hexane, ethyl acetate, and ethanol fraction of red dragon fruit’s peel against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* by the concentration of 10mg/ml, 20mg/ml, 40mg/ml, 80mg/ml dan 160mg/ml. This research was conducted by using laboratory experiments. The simplicia was macerated with 96% ethanol and fractionated by n-hexane and ethyl acetate. The phytochemical screening of the fraction was n-hexane fraction containing saponin and alkaloid, while the ethyl acetate fraction contained saponin and flavonoid. Kanamycin was used as a positive control, while DMSO was used as a negative control. According to this research, the MIC value of ethanol fraction, n-hexane fraction, and ethyl acetate fraction were 80mg/ml, 20mg/ml, and 80mg/ml, respectively, for *E. coli* and all fractions were 10mg/ml for *S. aureus*. Based on the average diameter of the inhibition zone, the largest diameter zone in *E. coli* was ethyl acetate fraction with 160mg/ml concentration that was 10.33mm. Meanwhile, in *S. aureus* n-hexane fraction, it was 160mg/ml, which was 11.20mm. This result showed that the n-hexane fraction has good gram-positive activity while the ethyl acetate fraction has good activity on gram-negative.

**Keywords**: Anti-bacterial; *Escherichia coli*; Fraction of *Hylocereus polyrhizus*; *Staphylococcus aureus*

**INTRODUCTION**

With the development of sciences and diseases, awareness of self-sanitation in Indonesia increases; one of the causes of sanitation-related disease is bacteria. Infection is an emergency problem around the world. The incidence of infection continues to increase from 1% to 40% in Asia*. There is currently increasing interest in developing highly effective, not contain toxic agents and natural sources*. Natural ingredients as medicine in Indonesia are a part of cultural tradition and have been widely used by the community for centuries. One of the plants used as an antibacterial agent
derived from natural ingredients is Dragon Fruit.

Dragon Fruit is a plant that has an excellent opportunity to be developed in Indonesia. One of the dragon fruit variants is red dragon fruit, which has a delicious taste and many health benefits. Dragon fruit is a rich source of nutrients and minerals, such as vitamin B1, vitamin B2, vitamin B3 and vitamin C, protein, fat, carbohydrates, crude fiber, flavonoid, thiamine, niacin, pyridoxine, cobalamin, glucose, phenolic, betacynarin, polyphenols, carotene, phosphorus, iron, and phytoalbumin. In addition, the red dragon fruit skin is no less beneficial than the fruit flesh. However, the benefits of dragon fruit peel are still not widely known. Thus, currently, the fruit peels are only thrown away without being reprocessed. The previous research found that the peel of red dragon fruit contained flavonoid, alkaloid, terpenoids, thiamin, niacin, pyridoxine, cobalamin, phenolic, polyphenols, carotene, betalain. Based on the background above, this study aims to determine the antibacterial activity of red dragon fruit’s peel (*Hylocereus polyrhizus*) in various fractions against *E. coli* and *S. aureus*.

**METHODS**

This research used an experimental laboratory method with several stages of research: sample preparation, fractionation of ethanolic extract of red dragon peel (*Hylocereus polyrhizus*), phytochemical screening using thin-layer chromatography, and antibacterial activity test using the disc diffusion method (Kirby-Bauer test). This research was conducted in Pharmaceutical Technology Laboratory and Research Laboratory in Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta.

The tools used in this research were Oven (Memmert®, Germany), Maceration vessel, Rotary evaporation (Heidolph®, Waterbath (Memmert®), TLC Vessel (Camag®), Silica Gel 60 F254 Plate (Merck®), Analytical balance (Ohaus®), Separating funnel (Iwaki®), Measuring cup (Iwaki®), Erlenmeyer (Iwaki®), Test tube (Iwaki®), Test tube rack, Micropipette (Socorex®), Dropper pipette, Volume pipette (Iwaki®), Pipette Measure (Iwaki®), Petri dishes (Iwaki®), Porcelain dishes (Iwaki®), Vortex mixer (Gemmy®) Calipers, Handscoon (Handseol®), Masks (Sensi®). The materials used were red dragon fruit (Hylocereus polyrhizus) obtained from the Kebun Naga Resto Jogja, *E. coli* colony ATCC 25922 (Yogyakarta Health Laboratory Center), Colony *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 (Yogyakarta Health Laboratory Center), 96% Ethanol (Brataco®), 70% Ethanol (Brataco®), N-hexane (Brataco®), Ethyl acetate (Brataco®), Methanol (Brataco®), Chlorophome pa (Merck®), Aquades (Brataco®), Cytoborate reagents, Reagents NH₃, Liebermann-Burchard reagent, 1% FeCl₃ reagent, Dragendorff reagent, Nutrient agar (NA) Media (Merck®), Nutrient broth (NB) Media, Kanamycin Antibiotic (Meiji®), DMSO (Merck®).

**Procedure**

The red dragon fruit’s peel was separated from the flesh, cut into thin pieces, dried under the sun covered in a black cloth, and followed by oven at a temperature of 50°C for 7 days or more until dry. After that, it was blended to get dry powder of red dragon fruit’s peel.

The dry powder of red dragon fruit’s peel was macerated with 96% ethanol with the
ingredient ratio: solvent (1:10) for 7 days with a 5-day maceration and 2 days of re-maceration at room temperature and light-tight vessel⁶. The extract solution was filtered and concentrated using rotary evaporation at 50°C followed by a water bath at 500°C - 700°C until the ethanolic extract of red dragon fruit’s peel was obtained.

The ethanolic extract was later fractionated using the liquid-liquid method with ethanol, ethyl acetate, and n-hexane as solvents. First, the ethanolic extract of red dragon fruit’s peel was dissolved in a mixture of aqua dest : ethanol (3:7) with the ratio of extract and solution (1:10)w/v⁶. Furthermore, it was put into a separating funnel and added with n-hexane in a ratio of 1:1; then, it was shaken off and allowed to stand until separated, and the n-hexane fraction was taken. The ethanolic extract resulted from the separation with n-hexane was then added ethyl acetate in a ratio of 1:1. It was later shaken off and allowed to stand until separated; thus, the ethyl acetate fraction and ethanol fraction were obtained.

A qualitative analysis test of n-hexane fraction, ethyl acetate fraction, and ethanol fraction of red dragon fruit’s peel ethanolic extract in this study was carried out on saponins, tannins, flavonoids, and alkaloid compounds using Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) method and Silica Gel GF254. It was conducted with the mobile phases, namely chloroform: methanol (15:1) for saponin, tannins, and flavonoid, and chloroform: methanol (7:3) for alkaloid⁵. The result was obtained by observing the color of the spots appeared under 254nm of ultraviolet light and that the spraying reaction could clarify the spot.

**Antibacterial Activity Test**

The antibacterial activity test was carried out using the disc diffusion method. First, the nutrient agar and nutrient broth were prepared, and then the tools and media were sterilized by autoclave at the temperature of 121°C for 15 minutes. Media were poured into a petri dish and allowed until it hardened. After that, the bacterial suspension was made on the cold nutrient broth media and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After that, the bacterial suspension was added with NaCl 0.9% until the turbidity level was the same as the McFarland standard no 4. A series of concentration of each red dragon fruit’s peel fraction (160mg/ml, 80mg/ml, 40mg/ml, 20mg/ml, 10 mg/ml w/v) was made with a dilution system from the main concentration of 160mg/ml and obtained from 160mg viscous fraction dissolved in 15% DMSO. After that, 0.5ml was taken and added 15% DMSO until 1ml and homogenized to obtain the concentration of 80mg/ml and a concentration of 10mg/ml.

The antibacterial test used the disc diffusion method by preparing a petri dish containing nutrient agar for *E. coli* and *S. aureus* bacteria. Furthermore, using a sterile swab, each bacteria was spread on the surface of the nutrient medium until the bacteria covered the medium. The paper disc containing the test material was placed on the surface of the media that had been treated with bacteria and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The inhibition zone formed was calculated using a caliper. The test was replicated by 3 times. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined based on the lowest sample concentration that could form an inhibition zone compared to negative controls.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phytochemical screening
The ethanol fraction, ethyl acetate fraction, and n-hexane fraction of the ethanolic extract of red dragon fruit’s peel were tested for phytochemistry using the Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) method to determine the compounds contained in each fraction with Liebermann-Burchard spots of saponin compounds identification. The FeCl₃ was for tannin compounds, dragendorff reagent for alkaloid compounds, and citroborate and NH₃ for flavonoid compounds. Phytochemical screening results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Result of Phytochemical Screening

| Fraction     | Chemical Content | Explanation |
|--------------|------------------|-------------|
| n-hexane     | Flavonoid        | (-)         |
|              | Saponin          | (+)         |
|              | Tannin           | (-)         |
| Ethyl acetate| Alkaloid         | (+)         |
|              | Saponin          | (+)         |
|              | Tannin           | (-)         |
|              | Alkaloid         | (-)         |
| Ethanol      | Flavonoid        | (-)         |
|              | Saponin          | (-)         |
|              | Tannin           | (-)         |
|              | Alkaloid         | (-)         |

Antibacterial Activity Test
A red dragon fruit’s peel has antibacterial activity against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* bacteria. The results of the inhibition zone against *E. coli* are provided in Table 2, and the inhibition zone against *S. aureus* can be seen in Table 3.

Table 2. The measurement result of inhibition zone diameter against *E. coli* bacteria

| Fraction     | Series of Concentration (mg/ml) | Replication (mm) | Average     |
|--------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| n-hexane     | 10                              | 8.50             | 8.05        | 8.28 ± 0.32 |
|              | 20                              | 11.00            | 8.58        | 9.79 ± 1.71 |
|              | 40                              | 10.50            | 9.40        | 9.95 ± 0.78 |
|              | 80                              | 11.00            | 8.40        | 9.70 ± 1.84 |
|              | 160                             | 7.03             | 7.03        | 7.03 ± 0.00 |
| Ethyl acetate| 10                              | 8.70             | 8.53        | 8.61 ± 0.12 |
|              | 20                              | 8.50             | 8.60        | 8.55 ± 0.07 |
|              | 40                              | 9.30             | 9.10        | 9.20 ± 0.14 |
|              | 80                              | 8.70             | 10.15       | 9.43 ± 1.03 |
|              | 160                             | 9.65             | 11.00       | 10.33 ± 0.95 |
| Ethanol      | 10                              | 8.00             | 8.10        | 8.05 ± 0.07 |
|              | 20                              | 7.50             | 8.83        | 8.16 ± 0.94 |
|              | 40                              | 8.50             | 8.10        | 8.30 ± 0.28 |
|              | 80                              | 9.60             | 10.13       | 9.86 ± 0.37 |
|              | 160                             | 10.20            | 10.33       | 10.26 ± 0.09 |
| Positive control | 27.70                        | 25.70            | 26.70 ± 1.41 |
| Negative control | 10.30                        | 8.20             | 9.25 ± 1.48 |
Flavonoid has an antibacterial effect. It forms complex compounds with proteins that damage the membrane and cause cell leakage. Flavonoids also interfere with triterpenoids, flavonoids, and alkaloids which could have bacterial activity.

Saponin triterpenoid has a bactericidal effect by causing protein and enzyme leakages in the cell. Saponin can reduce bacterial cell surface tension, thereby increasing permeability and causing leakage in the bacterial cell membrane. Due to the damage to the membrane cell, saponin will diffuse into the cytoplasm and cause the cytoplasm to leak and leave the cell, causing the death of bacteria.

Meanwhile, alkaloids can interfere with the constituent components of peptidoglycan in bacterial cells so that the cell wall is not formed completely, which can lead to bacterial death. In addition, alkaloids are also known as DNA intercalators and can inhibit the bacterial topoisomerase enzyme.

The test results showed differences in the inhibition zone's diameter produced against *E. coli* bacteria and *S. aureus* bacteria. The difference in the inhibition zone in gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria might be due to differences in the structure of the bacterial walls between gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, which can affect fraction work because the fraction will affect if it enters the bacterial cell. Gram-negative bacteria have a complex and three-layered cell wall structure; the outer layer is a lipoprotein, the middle layer is liposaccharide which blocks the entry of antibacterial bioactive materials, and the inner layer is peptidoglycan which has a high lipid content. Meanwhile, gram-positive bacteria have a simpler cell wall structure, which is single-layered with low lipid content, making it easier for bioactive compounds to enter the cell. The results of phytochemical screening showed that the dragon fruit's peel contained saponin

### Table 2. The measurement result of inhibition zone diameter against *S. aureus* bacteria

| Fraction | Concentration (mg/ml) | Series of Replication (mm) | Average |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|          |                       | I  | II  | III |         |
| n-hexane | 10                    | 9.28 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 9.76 ± 0.42 |
|          | 20                    | 9.20 | 9.15 | 10.95 | 9.77 ± 1.03 |
|          | 40                    | 8.75 | 9.58 | 10.85 | 9.73 ± 1.06 |
|          | 80                    | 11.73 | 10.10 | 10.10 | 10.64 ± 0.94 |
|          | 160                   | 11.00 | 11.00 | 11.60 | 11.20 ± 0.35 |
| Ethyl acetate | 10   | 8.70 | 7.55 | 9.25 | 8.50 ± 0.87 |
|          | 20                    | 6.65 | 8.05 | 8.70 | 7.80 ± 1.05 |
|          | 40                    | 7.20 | 9.40 | 9.40 | 8.67 ± 1.27 |
|          | 80                    | 8.65 | 9.83 | 9.65 | 9.38 ± 0.63 |
|          | 160                   | 6.75 | 10.70 | 9.55 | 9.00 ± 2.03 |
| Ethanol   | 10                    | 7.50 | 7.10 | 8.50 | 7.70 ± 0.72 |
|          | 20                    | 7.33 | 8.25 | 9.05 | 8.21 ± 0.86 |
|          | 40                    | 8.83 | 9.10 | 8.10 | 8.68 ± 0.52 |
|          | 80                    | 8.15 | 10.35 | 9.95 | 9.48 ± 1.17 |
|          | 160                   | 8.40 | 10.40 | 8.83 | 9.21 ± 1.05 |
| Positive Control |     | 39.05 | 33.60 |         | 39.33 ± 3.85 |
| Negative Control   |     | 6.25 | 7.00 |         | 6.62 ± 0.53 |
metabolism energy through inhibitory mechanisms of bacterial nucleic acid (DNA and RNA) synthesis and inhibition of cytoplasmic membrane function\textsuperscript{11}. This mechanism is the same as the aminoglycoside class of antibiotics, which inhibit protein synthesis and cause damage to the cytoplasmic membrane. After that, a further test was carried out using Mann-Whitney to determine the difference between the control and test groups on \textit{S. aureus} bacteria. The result was that each test concentration in the n-hexane fraction had an inhibitory power against \textit{S. aureus} bacteria. Meanwhile, based on the test results on the ethyl acetate fraction, there was no significant difference between negative control at a concentration of 20 mg/ml. In contrast, there was no significant difference between negative control in the ethanol fraction with a concentration of 20 mg/ml.

Analysis of the Result
To see whether there was a significant difference between the test groups with the inhibitory power, the test was carried out using the non-parametric Kruskal Wallis test as the result of the data was not normal and homogenous. In terms of the \textit{E. coli} bacteria, the value of \( P=0.065 \) (>0.05) indicated that there was no significant difference between the test group with inhibitory power. In contrast, for \textit{S. aureus} bacteria, the value of \( P = 0.003 \) (<0.05) indicated a significant difference between the test groups with inhibition power. After that, a further test was carried out using Mann-Whitney to determine the difference between the control and test groups on \textit{S. aureus} bacteria.

CONCLUSION
1. The ethanol fraction, n-hexane fraction, and ethyl acetate fraction of the red dragon fruit’s peel ethanolic extract (\textit{Hylocereus polyrhizus}) had antibacterial activity against \textit{E. coli} and \textit{S. aureus} bacteria.
2. Ethyl acetate fraction of red dragon fruit’s peel contained saponin triterpenoids and flavonoids, while the n-hexane fraction of red dragon fruit’s peel contained saponin triterpenoid and alkaloid compounds.
3. The ethanol fraction, n-hexane fraction, and ethyl acetate fraction of the red dragon fruit’s peel ethanolic extract showed minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) at the concentration of 80mg/ml, 20mg/ml, and 80mg/ml, respectively, against \textit{E. coli} bacteria. Whereas for \textit{S. aureus} bacteria, each fraction showed MIC at the concentration of 10mg/ml.
4. The ethanol fraction had the greatest inhibitory power against \textit{E. coli} bacteria at a concentration of 160mg/ml and a concentration of 80mg/ml against \textit{S. aureus} bacteria. Ethyl acetate fraction had the greatest inhibitory power at a concentration of 160mg/ml against \textit{E. coli} and at the concentration of 80mg/ml against \textit{S. aureus} bacteria. Furthermore, the n-hexane fraction had the greatest inhibition at a 40mg/ml concentration for each bacteria.
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