The usefulness of diffusion tensor imaging in detection of diffuse axonal injury in a patient with head trauma
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Abstract
Diffuse axonal injury is the predominant mechanism of injuries in patients with traumatic brain injury. Neither conventional brain computed tomography nor magnetic resonance imaging has shown sufficient sensitivity in the diagnosis of diffuse axonal injury. In the current study, we attempted to demonstrate the usefulness of diffusion tensor imaging in the detection of lesion sites of diffuse axonal injury in a patient with head trauma who had been misdiagnosed as having a stroke. A 44-year-old man fell from a height of about 2 m. Brain magnetic resonance imaging (32 months after onset) showed leukomalactict lesions in the isthmus of the corpus callosum and the left temporal lobe. He presented with mild quadriparesis, intentional tremor of both hands, and trunkal ataxia. From diffusion tensor imaging results of 33 months after traumatic brain injury onset, we found diffuse axonal injury in the right corticospinal tract (centrum semiovale, pons), both fornices (columns and crus), and both inferior cerebellar peduncles (cerebellar portions). We think that diffusion tensor imaging could be a useful tool in the detection of lesion sites of diffuse axonal injury in patients with head trauma.
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INTRODUCTION
Accurate elucidation of lesions in patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI) is essential for guiding treatment strategies, for providing information regarding final outcomes. TBI can accompany various types of injuries simultaneously. Diffuse axonal injury (DAI) is the predominant mechanism of TBI, and its sequelae are the most frequent cause of poor clinical outcomes[1]. DAI is characterized by widespread axonal damage due to shearing forces by acceleration, deceleration, or rotation of the brain[1-2]. Neither conventional brain computed tomography (CT) nor magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has shown sufficient sensitivity in diagnosis of DAI; therefore, it is difficult in the diagnosis of DAI and there is a possibility of underestimation of DAI in TBI[3]. By contrast, recent advances in diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) have enabled both morphologic and quantitative estimation of the state of neural tracts at the subcortical level[4-7]. Therefore, usefulness of DTI in the detection of DAI has been reported in various neural tracts, including fornix, corticospinal tract (CST), cerebellar peduncles, and the medial cholinergic pathway[8-18].

In the current study, we attempted to demonstrate the usefulness of DTI in the detection of DAI in a patient with head trauma who had been misdiagnosed as having a stroke.

CASE REPORT
A 44-year-old right-handed patient and 10 age- and sex-matched healthy control subjects (10 males, mean age: 43.9 years, range: 33-52 years) with no history of neurological diseases were recruited. The patient fell from a height of about 2 m while working at a factory. The patient lost consciousness for about 4 hours from the time of onset. Brain CT at onset showed subarachnoid hemorrhage and petechial hemorrhage on the left temporal lobe, and the patient underwent conservative management at a local hospital (Figure 1A). After 32 months following head trauma, the patient was admitted to the rehabilitation department of a university hospital for evaluation and rehabilitation. He complained of hand tremor, gait disturbance, cognitive dysfunction, and urinary difficulty with urgency. He showed mild quadriaparesis (more severe in the right lower extremity), intentional tremor of both hands, and trunkal ataxia. The patient was unable to walk on stairs or uneven surfaces, and the fine motor function of his right hand had deteriorated to such an extent that the Purdue Pegboard score was 12[19]. Brain MRI (32 months after...
onset) showed leukomalactic lesions in the isthmus of the corpus callosum and the left temporal lobe (Figure 1A). Cognitive function was evaluated using the Wechsler Intelligence Scale and Memory Assessment Scale\(^{[20-21]}\). The patient showed a normal intelligence quotient (IQ) (104): verbal IQ (101) and performance IQ (108). However, Memory Assessment Scale score for visual memory (86;18%ile) was decreased, compared with that of verbal memory (99;47%ile). On the other hand, the patient showed hyperreflexic neurogenic bladder findings on urodynamic study, indicating coincident occurrence of spinal cord injury. All subjects provided written informed consent.

**DTI acquisition**

A 6-channel head coil on a 1.5 T Philips Gyroscan Intera (Hoffmann LaRoche, Ltd, Best, the Netherlands) with single-shot echo-planar imaging was used for acquisition of DTI data at an average of 33 months after TBI onset. For each of the 32 non-collinear diffusion sensitizing gradients, we acquired 67 contiguous slices parallel to the anterior commissure-posterior commissure line. Imaging parameters are as follows: acquisition matrix = 96 × 96; reconstructed matrix = 128 × 128; field of view = 221 mm × 221 mm; repetition time/echo time = 10 726 ms/76 ms; sensitivity encoding factor = 2; echo planar imaging factor = 49; b = 1 000 s/mm\(^2\); number of excitations = 1; and a slice thickness of 2.3 mm (acquired isotropic voxel size 2.3 mm × 2.3 mm × 2.3 mm).

**DTI analysis**

Affine multi-scale two-dimensional registration at the Oxford Centre for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Brain (FMRIB) Software Library (FSL; www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) was used for removal of eddy current-induced image distortions\(^{[22]}\). DTI-Studio software (CMRM, Johns Hopkins Medical Institute, Baltimore, MD, USA) was used for evaluation of the fornix, CST, and three cerebellar peduncles (superior cerebellar peduncle, middle cerebellar peduncle, and inferior cerebellar peduncle)\(^{[18, 23-25]}\). For the fornix, two regions of interest (ROIs) were placed on the isolated area (ROI 1: junction between the column and body, ROI 2: junction between the body and crus). For the CST, ROI 1 was the upper pons (anterior blue color on the axial view), ROI 2 was the lower pons (anterior blue color on the axial view). For the superior cerebellar peduncle, ROI 1 was the junction of the superior cerebellar peduncle between the upper pons and cerebellum (blue color on the coronal view), ROI 2 was between the lateral wall of the fourth ventricle and the inferior cerebellar peduncle at the fourth ventricle level (green color on the axial view). For the middle cerebellar peduncle, ROI 1 was the anterior junction of the middle cerebellar peduncle between the pons and cerebellum, ROI 2 was the posterior junction of the middle cerebellar peduncle between the pons and cerebellum (green color on the coronal view). For the inferior cerebellar peduncle, ROI 1 was the restiform body (blue color on the axial view), ROI 2 was the caudal part of the superior cerebellar peduncle on the axial view at the upper pons level (green color on the axial view).

For the fornix, fiber tracking was initiated at the center of a seed voxel with a fractional anisotropy > 0.15 and ended at a voxel with a fiber assignment of < 0.15 and a tract turning-angle of < 60°. For the CST and three cerebellar peduncles, fiber tracking was initiated at the center of a seed voxel with a fractional anisotropy > 0.2 and ended at a voxel with a fiber assignment of < 0.2 and a tract turning-angle of < 60°. We measured fractional anisotropy and apparent diffusion coefficient values of the neural tracts and all regions along the DTTs. DTI parameter value showing deviation of more than two standard deviations that of normal control was defined as abnormal.

In terms of configuration of DTTs, the patient showed lesions of both fornices, right CST, and both inferior cerebellar peduncles, compared with those of control subjects. For the fornix, the patient revealed a disruption of both columns and crura. The right CST showed a disruption at the centrum semiovale, with abnormal branches, including transcallosal fibers, and the right inferior cerebellar peduncle showed a disruption at the cerebellar portion (Figure 1B). A summary of DTI parameters for tracts and injury regions of the patient and normal control subjects is shown in Table 1.

![Figure 1 CT, brain MRI images, and diffusion tensor tractography (DTT) results of a 44-year-old male patient with traumatic diffuse axonal injury. CT: Computed tomography; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.](image)

(A) Brain CT images taken at onset showed hemorrhage in the left temporal lobe (blue arrow). T2-weighted brain MRI (32 months after onset) showed leukomalactic lesions (blue arrows) in the left temporal lobe and isthmus of the corpus callosum, and signal change in the left cerebellum (green arrow).

(B) Results of DTT for controls and the patient.

1: The fornix. Both fornices of the patient showed disruptions at both columns and crura (green arrows).

2: The corticospinal tract. The right corticospinal tract of the patient showed a disruption at the centrum semiovale (blue arrow) and the abnormal pathways, including transcalslosal fibers (green arrows). The color map of diffusion tensor imaging showed an injury at the right pons (white arrow).

3: The inferior cerebellar peduncles. The right inferior cerebellar peduncle of the patient showed a disruption (green arrow) at the cerebellar portion and the color map showed injury to both inferior cerebellar peduncles in the cerebellar portions (white arrows).
Fractional anisotropy and apparent diffusion coefficient values of some tracts (both fornices, right superior cerebellar peduncle) and injury regions (cerebellar portion of both inferior cerebellar peduncles) showed a decrease and increase of more than two standard deviations, compared with those of normal controls, respectively. By contrast, only fractional anisotropy values showed a decrease of more than two standard deviations, compared with normal controls, in DTIs for the right CST, left middle cerebellar peduncle, and both inferior cerebellar peduncles, and the injured region of the right pons.

**DISCUSSION**

In the current study, we attempted to find lesions of DAI in patients with head trauma. This patient applied for compensation insurance for an industrial accident after 3 years since the onset of head trauma. However, his request was rejected because three medical doctors (one neuroradiologist, one neurosurgeon, and one medical doctor: specialty unknown) diagnosed his brain lesions as a stroke. However, we think that this patient had TBI due to the accident, this patient appeared to have a quadriparesis with CST injury; however, coincidently, the ataxia of the patient, which is a typical sign of cerebellar peduncle injury in patients with TBI, was well correlated with cerebellar peduncle injury (cerebellar portions). As for DTI parameters, fractional anisotropy and apparent diffusion coefficient values of some tracts (both fornices and right superior cerebellar peduncle) and regions (cerebellar portions of both inferior cerebellar peduncles) were decreased and increased, respectively; in contrast, only fractional anisotropy values were decreased in DTTs for the right CST, left middle cerebellar peduncle, and both inferior cerebellar peduncles, and the region of the right pons. Fractional anisotropy value is the most widely used DTI parameter and represents the degree of directionality of microstructures (e.g., axons, myelin, and microtubules)\[4-6\]. The apparent diffusion coefficient value indicates the magnitude of water diffusion in tissue, which can increase with some forms of pathology, particularly vasogenic edema or accumulation of cellular debris from neuronal damage[4-6]. Therefore, a decrease of fractional anisotropy with no change or increase of apparent diffusion coefficient value of the DTT or regions is suggestive of neuronal injury. As a result, we suspect neuronal injury of both fornices, right CST, right superior cerebellar peduncle, left middle cerebellar peduncle, and both inferior cerebellar peduncle. Considering the focal lesions, which were detected at DTT configuration and DTI parameters, it seems that there were DAI s in the right CST (centrum semiovale, pons), both fornices (columns and crus), and both inferior cerebellar peduncle (cerebellar portions). Neurological signs of this patient (ataxia, quadriaparesis, memory dysfunction) appeared to be well correlated with these DAI findings. The ataxia of the patient, which is a typical sign of cerebellar peduncle injury in patients with TBI, was well correlated with cerebellar peduncle injury\[26-27\], the memory dysfunction with fornix injury, and quadriaparesis with CST injury; however, coincidently, due to the accident, this patient appeared to have a spinal cord injury. Second, the patient’s condition was compatible with the diagnostic criteria of DAI. He fell from a height of about 2 m while working at a factory. Considering that the height for DAI diagnostic criteria is 1.8 m\[28\], this accident had the potential to cause an acceleration/deceleration head trauma in this patient. In

| Table 1  | Diffusion tensor image parameter values of the patient and control subjects |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Neural tract | Patient | Controls | Patient | Controls |
| | Rt | Lt | Rt | Lt | Rt | Lt | Rt | Lt | Rt | Lt |
| **Fornix** | | | | | | | | | | |
| FA | 0.22a | 0.31a | 0.52a | 0.57 | 0.44a | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.49a |
| ADC | 2.58b | 2.26b | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.93b | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.83 |
| **CST** | | | | | | | | | | |
| FA | 0.42±0.05 | 0.41±0.04 | 0.59±0.01 | 0.59±0.02 | 0.51±0.02 | 0.52±0.02 | 0.55±0.03 | 0.56±0.02 |
| ADC | 1.57±0.16 | 1.85±0.24 | 0.83±0.04 | 0.89±0.03 | 0.86±0.03 | 0.85±0.06 | 0.80±0.02 | 0.76±0.03 |
| **SCP** | | | | | | | | | | |
| FA | 0.85±0.06 | 0.89±0.07 | 0.79±0.07 | 0.77±0.04 | 0.45±0.03 | 0.46±0.03 |
| ADC | 0.53±0.03 | 0.52±0.02 | 0.53±0.03 | 0.45±0.03 | 0.46±0.03 |
| **MCP** | | | | | | | | | | |
| FA | 0.76±0.03 | 0.52±0.02 | 0.53±0.03 | 0.45±0.03 | 0.46±0.03 |
| ADC | 0.85±0.06 | 0.89±0.07 | 0.79±0.07 | 0.77±0.04 | 0.77±0.02 |

Controls are mean ± SD of 10 males. CST: Corticospinal tract, SCP: superior cerebellar peduncle, MCP: middle cerebellar peduncle, ICP: inferior cerebellar peduncle, FA: fractional anisotropy, ADC: apparent diffusion coefficient, Rt: right, Lt: left. Superscript "a": when a value was decreased two standard deviations below that of controls; superscript "b": when a value was increased two standard deviations above that of controls.
addition, the patient showed a loss of consciousness for 4 hours at the time of injury, without a lucid interval and a corpus callosum lesion, which meets the criteria of grade II of DAI. In addition, hemorrhages were observed on brain CT, which was taken at onset of head trauma.

In the current study, we demonstrated the usefulness of DTI in the detection of DAI lesions in a patient with head trauma. We think that the three medial doctors who had diagnosed this patient as a stroke could have made a diagnosis of TBI if they had been careful, because this patient showed significant evidence of TBI in terms of accident history, initial CT evidence, and conventional MRI evidence of corpus callosum lesions, except for DTI findings. Therefore, DTI evidence of DAI appears to be additional evidence for diagnosis as a TBI. We think that DTI could be a useful tool in the detection of DAI in patients with head trauma. Therefore, we recommend evaluation of neural tracts using DTI for patients with head trauma. This study is limited by the number of case reports. Therefore, further complementary studies involving larger case numbers are warranted.
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