Discovery of a silicate rock-boring organism and macrobioerosion in fresh water
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Macrobioerosion is a common process in marine ecosystems. Many types of rock-boring organisms break down hard substrates, particularly carbonate rocks and calcareous structures such as dead corals and shells. In paleontology, the presence of rocks with boreholes and fossil macroboring assemblage members is one of the primary diagnostic features of shallow marine paleo-environments. Here we describe a silicate rock-boring organism and an associated community in submerged siltstone rock outcrops in Kaladan River, Myanmar. The rock-boring mussel *Lignopholas fluminalis* is a close relative of the marine piddocks, and its borings belong to the ichnospecies *Gastrochaenolites anauchen*. The neotectonic uplift of the area leading to gradual decrease of the sea level with subsequent shift from estuarine to freshwater environment was the most likely driver for the origin of this community. Our findings highlight that rocks with macroborings are not an exclusive indicator of marine paleo-ecosystems, but may also reflect freshwater habitats.
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Bioerosion is a process by which a living organism incises or bores different hard substrates (e.g. rocks, shells, corals, and bones) by mechanical disruption and/or chemical decomposition\(^1\). The eroding activities of living organisms are important factors in marine sedimentation and benthic ecology\(^2\). Bioerosion increases species diversity of marine hard substrate communities by increasing habitat complexity or as a result of the increase in accessible surface area for colonization\(^1\). Additionally, this process influences the evolution of coastal profiles over long timescales\(^3,4\). With respect to their environmental impact, erosional organisms represent an important group of ecosystem engineers\(^6,7\).

The investigation of bioerosion patterns provides significant background information to other research areas (e.g., geology, physical oceanography, biogeochemistry, sedimentology, and biostratigraphy)\(^1,8\). Macrobioerosion trace fossils are important indicators of intertidal and shallow subtidal marine paleo-environments\(^9–13\), e.g., boring bivalves may be used as biological sea level indicators marking ancient shorelines\(^11,12\). Boring organisms have a great economic impact because they damage ships, fishing equipment, archeological heritage, various infrastructures in marine environments, and the shells of aquaculture molluscs\(^12–14\).

Rock borers occur in a broad range of taxonomic groups, including bivalves, gastropods, polychaetes and sipunculan worms (Annelida), sea urchins, sponges, and others\(^8–10,15\). The piddocks, or rock-boring mussels (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Pholadidae), are predominantly marine animals specialized for boring into a plethora of available substrates such as soft silicate and carbonate rocks, clay, corals, wood, and peat\(^14,16\). The genera Lignopholas Turner, 1955 and Martesia Sowerby 1824 contain several estuarine and marine wood-boring bivalve species, including a single species that can bore into living mangrove trees\(^17\). There is a unique record of clavate borings and fossil specimens of Martesia sp. from Cretaceous Burmese amber\(^11\). However, there are far fewer examples of fossil and recent macroborings in hardgrounds and in fossil wood belong to two ichnotaxa, i.e., Gastrochaenolites Le meriey 1842 and Teredolites Le meriey 1842 for borings in lithic and lignite substrates, respectively\(^23\). The Gastrochaenolites borings are known from the Early Ordovician to Recent and they are mainly produced by marine endolithic bivalves\(^8,9\). However, several recent gastropods and sipunculan worms may also produce Gastrochaenolites\(^9\).

In general, macrobioerosion was considered an exclusively marine and, to a lesser degree, an estuarine process\(^8,10\). However, we discovered the macrobioerosion of silicate siltstone rocks caused by a rock-boring mussel species in a freshwater section of the Kaladan River, Myanmar, which greatly expands our knowledge of bioerosion. Our phylogenetic and biogeographic modeling shows that at least three members of this rock-boring assemblage are relict marine-derived lineages, which emphasizes a broad-scale expansion of saltwater taxa into freshwater environments in Southeast Asia.

Results

Discovery of freshwater macrobioerosion. The site is located in the middle reaches of Kaladan River: 21.0094° N, 92.9813° E, altitude of 11 m a.s.l., Rakhine State, western Myanmar (Fig. 1a, b).

The rock-boring assemblage is associated with submerged black siltstone rocks located in the lower part and bottom of the river valley, with an above-water outcrop near the bioerosion site (Fig. 1b–d). The submerged rocks are located within a riverine section of ~500 m in length and of ~300 m in width, with depth values of 1–2 m and more.

Freshwater environment. The model of tidal influence reveals that the distance between the rock-borer’s site and the upper level of the Kaladan estuary is 71 km (Fig. 2). Dating of the shift from estuarine to freshwater environment at the site suggests that it was approximately 3.5–14 Kyr ago, i.e., not earlier than the Late Pleistocene (Fig. 2). The analysis of a water sample confirms that it is a freshwater section of the river, with a chloride ion concentration of 2.7 mg/L, sodium ion concentration of 11.6 mg/L, and a total salinity of 0.16‰ (Supplementary Table 1).

Rock substrate. According to our grain-size analyses (Supplementary Fig. 1), the substrate belongs to siltstone (aleurolite) rocks due to a primary grain size of 2–62 μm. The backscattered electron (BSE) images and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses indicate that the rock consists of quartz, feldspar, clay minerals, chlorite, and mica grains (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1). The X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis reveals the dominance of silicon dioxide (56.9 ± 0.5%) and aluminum oxide (17.0 ± 0.4%) (Supplementary Table 3). A mean microradiodentation hardness (Vickers test) value of the substrate rock is 0.62 GPa with a range of 0.50–0.72 GPa (mean HV value = 61.9 kgf × mm\(^{-2}\), range = 52.1–73.5 kgf × mm\(^{-2}\)).

Ichnotaxonomy. The macroborings from the Kaladan River are clavate, circular in cross-section throughout the length, expanded gradually below the aperture, with a greatest diameter about three-fourths of the depth; bases are rounded; sides are smooth, without clear circular or spiral bioglyph; a distinguishable neck is lacking (Fig. 3f). With respect to the lithic substrate and morphological features\(^24\), these borings correspond well to the ichnospecies Gastrochaenolites anauchen Wilson & Palmer, 1998.

Rock-boring species. Freshwater rock borers heavily invaded the submerged section of siltstone rocks that have numerous piddock-like boreholes (Fig. 3a–f). Empty borings are sometimes filled with clay (Fig. 3c). This boring species was determined as Lignopholas fluminalis on the basis of morphological characters (Table 1, Fig. 4a and Supplementary Note 1). The COI gene sequences indicate that it is related to the marine piddock species Barnea davi di but with low similarity (81.4%), while the nuclear 18S rRNA gene sequences show a very close relationship with several other marine Barnea spp. (similarity of 98–99%) (Supplementary Table 4). The Bayesian and maximum likelihood phylogenies reveal that this species is a sister lineage of Barnea spp. The ancestral area reconstruction models suggest that the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of the Lignopholas + Barnea clade was a marine mussel (Fig. 5a and Table 2). The boring mechanism of L. fluminalis is not known, but their boreholes should be made mechanically as do its marine relatives\(^20\).

Associated nestling species. We recorded six species of nesting macroinvertebrates that appear to be associated with this rock-borer’s ecosystem (Table 1, Supplementary Table 4, and Supplementary Note 1). An integrative study of the species using morphological and molecular approaches indicates that they include two clams, Scaphula deltae (Arcidae) and Novaculina gangetica (Phaeriae), a gastropod, Clithon cf. reticulatus (Neritidae), two polychaetes, Namalycastis indica and Neanthes...
Fig. 1 Freshwater bioerosion site, Kaladan River, Myanmar. a Map of Myanmar with location of the downstream area of Kaladan River (red frame). b Map of the study site. The violet asterisk indicates the freshwater rock-borer’s site, and white circles indicate the levels of river water above sea level. The yellow circles indicate main towns. The maps were created using ESRI ArcGIS 10 software (www.esri.com/arcgis); the topographic base of the maps was created with Natural Earth Free Vector and Raster Map Data (www.naturalearthdata.com), Vector Map (VMap) Level 0 (http://earth-info.nga.mil/publications/vmap0.html), and ASTER GDEM (https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/node/1079) (Maps: Mikhail Yu. Gofarov). c River site with freshwater rock-borer’s ecosystem. The red arrow indicates siltstone rocks, a substrate of rock-boring bivalves. d Fragment of the black siltstone outcrop, the submerged part of which forms the basis of freshwater rock-borer ecosystem. (Photos: Olga V. Aksenova)
The Kaladan and Lemro River systems appear to be rather a derivative of the Indian fauna (e.g., indicator taxa such as N. gangetica\textsuperscript{9,30}, Lamellidens aff. marginalis\textsuperscript{5,13,28}, and C. ultima\textsuperscript{28}), but the lack of comparable molecular data from India precludes any final biogeographic conclusion. Our modeling suggests that the shift from an estuarine to a freshwater environment at the rock-borer’s site was a relatively recent event that occurred after the Late Pleistocene. These results support the hypothesis that a few marine or brackish species appear to have the unusual ability to invade freshwater over rapid time scales\textsuperscript{33}. However, we show that the invasion of a rock-boring species to a freshwater environment may lead to the origin of an associated hard substrate community representing a mix of primary estuarine and freshwater taxa.

The Gastrochaenolites has been considered a marine ichnotaxon produced by endolithic bivalves\textsuperscript{2,25} and, to a lesser degree, by gastropods and sipunculan worms\textsuperscript{7}. Our discovery of a freshwater silicate rock-boring organism indicates that fossil macroborings in non-calcareous substrates may have been produced not only by marine invertebrates but also by freshwater lineages of endolithic bivalves. From this perspective, the discovery of fossil pholadid bivalves in Cretaceous Burmese amber may also indicate rather a freshwater paleo-environment than the proximity of resin-producing forests to brackish waters\textsuperscript{11}. The ichnospecies G. anauchen was described from the Early Pennsylvanian deposits of the USA\textsuperscript{24}. Our new record supports the hypothesis of Wilson and Palmer\textsuperscript{24} that the Pennsylvanian borings were formed by endolithic bivalves, because the recent Kaladan borings are morphologically identical to those from the Paleozoic coarse grainstone cobbles. Wilson and Palmer\textsuperscript{24} associated such smooth-sided clavate borings with lithophagid bivalves, but the borings of the pholadid species L. fluminalis are also characterized by smooth sides. The empty borings in the freshwater rock-boring site at the Kaladan River are often inhabited by nesting bivalve species, i.e., S. deltae and N. gangetica. This observation is in agreement with paleontological records that fossil bivalve associations are complicated by frequent records of nesting bivalves, e.g., mytilids and Hiattella sp. (Hiattellidae), in the vacated borings\textsuperscript{34}.

Human-mediated biological invasions of various species outside their native ranges are a global-scale process\textsuperscript{35}, which are accompanied by climatic niche shifts\textsuperscript{36} and rapid evolution of dispersal ability\textsuperscript{37} in invaders. Among the piddocks, alien populations of Martesia striata were recorded from the Mediterranean Sea, Hawaii, Islands of the British Isles, and Florida\textsuperscript{58}. There is a possibility of further invasion of the freshwater rock-borer’s lineage outside the Kaladan River, because of the Kaladan Multi-Modal Transit Transport Project that is being implemented by the Government of India and the Government of Myanmar. The project involves the development of the transport system to Mizoram through Myanmar, with a 158 km inland water transport segment via the Kaladan River\textsuperscript{39}, which may facilitate a broad expansion of the rock-borer. The larval development of L. fluminalis is unknown, but it is most likely that this species has a planktonic larva as the marine pholadids, e.g., Barnea and Martesia (Supplementary Note 1). A successful invasion of this freshwater lineage into surrounding larger Asian river basins, including the Ganges, Salween, and Mekong, can lead to unpredictable consequences for native ecosystems that can be comparable to those from other invaders with planktonic larvae\textsuperscript{40,41}. In contrast, a freshwater population of the rock-boring mussel is of exceptional importance for scientific research, as it probably represents a lineage with unique physiological adaptations to survive in freshwater environments. Taking into account its possible local range and ancient origin, this lineage should be a focus of special research and conservation efforts.

**Discussion**

Here we present the discovery of a rock-boring bivalve species and associated hard substrate community in fresh water. Our record is of exceptional paleontological, archeological, and environmental importance, because, to our knowledge, until now rock-borers were known only among marine and estuarine groups of macroinvertebrates\textsuperscript{8-11,14,15}. From freshwater habitats, only a few records of carbonate microbioerosion structures have been described, i.e., micro-borings in mollusc shells associated with a group of minute shell-boring polychaetes\textsuperscript{25,26}. To the best of our knowledge, silicate macrobioerosion in fresh water was previously unknown.

Our findings highlight that rocks with boreholes and fossil members of rock-borer’s assemblages are not necessarily an absolute indicator of shallow marine paleo-environments, but may also reflect past freshwater riverine ecosystems. Additionally, we found that the three most important members of the assemblage, i.e., L. fluminalis, S. deltae, and N. gangetica, are marine-derived, secondary freshwater taxa. This finding is in full agreement with the hypothesis of Annandale\textsuperscript{27}, who assumed that Scaphula and Novaculina are freshwater genera of relic marine origin. In contrast, at least one member of the rock-borer’s assemblage, the sponge C. ultima, is an entirely freshwater species that is not known from brackish water bodies\textsuperscript{28}. The freshwater fauna of the lower and middle reaches of the Kaladan and Lemro River systems appears to be comparable to those from other invaders with planktonic larvae\textsuperscript{40,41}. In contrast, a freshwater population of the rock-boring mussel is of exceptional importance for scientific research, as it probably represents a lineage with unique physiological adaptations to survive in freshwater environments. Taking into account its possible local range and ancient origin, this lineage should be a focus of special research and conservation efforts.
Our discovery of a freshwater rock-boring assemblage in the Kaladan is in agreement with numerous occurrences of marine-derived groups in rivers of the Oriental Region, which have attracted the attention of scientists for many decades. Fossil freshwater shark assemblages were discovered from the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous deposits of the Khorat Plateau in Thailand indicating that the colonization of Asian river systems by marine animals started as early as the mid-Mesozoic. Molecular studies generally support the primary marine origin of most recent marine-derived taxa in tropical fresh waters. There were at least three separate freshwater expansions by stingrays in Southeast Asia, and brackish water habitats may have played an important role for these events as evolutionary bottlenecks. Two freshwater colonization events were recorded in aridi catfishes and at least one event occurs in Southeast Asian freshwater pufferfishes. The phylogeography of a killifish species, Aplocheilus panchax, indicates multiple freshwater colonization events during the Pleistocene. Freshwater prawns of the genus Macrobrachium independently colonized fresh waters at least five times and freshwater polychaetes of the genus Namanereis show two distinct invasions.

In summary, Southeast Asia seems to be a long-term arena for wide-scale expansion of marine taxa into fresh water, examples of which are known within different animal families. This pattern may be associated with the presence of a plethora of huge estuarine areas, which may facilitate the adaptation of saltwater organisms into freshwater environments. The land-level change resulting in the combination of progressive tectonic uplift of the mainland and a gradual decrease of the sea level may also have contributed to this process through a slow rise of river catchment elevation with a subsequent decrease in the level of tidal saltwater influence in the lower sections of the rivers (Fig. 2). Such a neotectonic evolutionary model seems to be the most appropriate explanation for origin of the freshwater rock-borer’s community in Kaladan River. Several more ancient freshwater colonization events are consistent with the collision of the Asian and Indian plates and the subsequent Tethyan regression, which advanced the cohesive zone shift from shoal sea waters to freshwater environments.

The freshwater lineage of L. fluminalis from the Kaladan River is an example of a rock-boring freshwater organism that provides the reliable evidence for freshwater macrobioerosion in silicate rock. Phylogenetically, the rock-boring species is a close relative
Table 1 Taxonomy, ecology, and reference sequences of freshwater rock-borer community's members from Kaladan River, western Myanmar

| Phylum: Class: Family | Species               | Role in the community, preferred environment                      | Origin of the family | Acc. numbers of reference sequences |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                       |                       |                                                                     |                      | COI                   16S rRNA 28S rRNA 18S rRNA |
| Mollusca: Bivalvia:    | Ligopholas fluminalis  | Rock-boring species, brackish                                     | Marine               | MF958974 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Pholadidae            | (Blanford, 1867)      |                                                                     |                      | MF958975 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Mollusca: Bivalvia:    | Scaphula deltae       | Nestling species, from brackish to freshwater                      | Marine               | MF958976 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Arcidae               | (Blanford, 1867)      |                                                                     |                      | MF958977 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Mollusca: Bivalvia:    | Novaculina gangetica  | Nestling species, from brackish to freshwater                      | Marine               | MF958978 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Pharidae              | (Benson, 1830)        |                                                                     |                      | MF958979 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Mollusca: Gastropoda: | Clitonyx cf. reticulai | Nestling species, probably brackish                                | Marine               | MF958980 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Neritidae             | (Sowerby, 1838)       |                                                                     |                      | MF958981 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Annelida: Phyllodocida| Neathes megittii      | Nestling species, brackish                                         | Marine               | MF958982 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Nereididae            | (Monro, 1931)         |                                                                     |                      | MF958983 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Annelida: Phyllodocida| Namalocastis indica   | Nestling species, freshwater                                        | Marine               | MF958984 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Nereididae            | (Southern, 1921)      |                                                                     |                      | MF958985 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Porifera: Demospongiae| Corvospoingilla ultima| Nestling species, freshwater                                        | Freshwater           | MF958986 n/a n/a n/a   |
| Spongillidae          | (Annandale, 1910)     |                                                                     |                      | MF958987 n/a n/a n/a   |

n/a = not available
*Specimens that were collected outside the rock-borer site, from another river (Myanmar: Lemro River, 20.6150° N, 93.2481° E)

Table entries are linked to NCBI reference sequences for species-level identification. The freshwater rock-borer community in Myanmar includes several nestling macroinvertebrate species, including the arc clam *S. deltae* and the jackknife clam *N. gangetica* which belong to relict marine-derived genera with brackish and freshwater species. Our tectonic modeling indicates that this unusual invertebrate community most likely originated approximately 3.5–14 Kyr ago via the neotectonic uplift of the area leading to a gradual lowering of the sea level and a subsequent shift from an estuarine to a freshwater environment. This example corresponds well to the land-level change rate (i.e. uplift and sea-level change rate) is needed to reconstruct neotectonic evolution of the lower course of the Kaladan River during the Late Holocene. Unfortunately, data for this part of Myanmar are extremely scarce and uneven. South of our research location, Than Tin Aung et al. have attempted to obtain such rates on the basis of uplifted sea-level indicators. Their observations pointed out that the long-term land-level change rates in this area contain significant spatial variations. For example, late Holocene average uplift rates at southwestern Cheduba Island can be as high as 3.5–5.2 mm/year, whereas the land-level change rate landward threat in the case it manages to invade the surrounding larger Asian river basins, it includes the Ganges, Mekong, and Salween Rivers.

Methods

Data sampling. The samples were collected from a site in the middle reach of Kaladan River. Several blocks with numerous borings and live representatives of the rock-boring community were obtained from submerged siltstone rocks at depths between 1.0–1.5 m using a large tommy-bar. A water sample was collected with a plastic bottle, filtered (0.45 μm) and stored in the dark before analysis by atomic absorption spectroscopy and ionic chromatography. Macroinvertebrate specimens were collected using forceps and immediately preserved in 96% ethanol. Additionally, four samples of siltstone fragments with rock-boring mussels and accessory taxa were conserved in containers with 96% ethanol.

Geographic and tectonic modeling. The model of the estuarine and freshwater sections of the Kaladan River was created using ESRI ArcGIS 10 software (www.esri.com/arcgis). The topographic base of the map was created with Natural Earth Free Vector and Raster Map Data (www.naturalearthdata.com), Vector Map (VMap) Level 0 (http://earth-info.nga.mil/publications/vmap0.html), and ASTER GDEM (https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/node/1079). The water levels were obtained from a topographic map (scale 1:500,000; The General Staff of the USSR, map nos. F63–3 and F64–4). The water level at the rock-borer’s site was estimated using linear interpolation. We calculated the length of brackish section of the river based on the maximum tide height that was obtained from the Joint Archive for Sea Level. The land-level change rate (i.e. uplift and sea-level change rate) is needed to reconstruct neotectonic evolution of the lower course of the Kaladan River during the Late Holocene.
Fig. 4 Rock-boring and nestling macroinvertebrates associated with freshwater bioerosion site at the Kaladan River, western Myanmar. a Lignopholas fluminalis, a rock-boring species: (a1) Lateral view of an adult specimen with fully developed callum, (a2) Ventral view of apposed valves, (a3) Dorsal view of apposed valves, (a4) Anterior view, (a5) Frontal view of siphons, (a6) Lateral view of siphons, (a7) Lateral view of a young specimen, (a8) Ventral view of the anterior end, (a9) Dorsal view, (a10) Internal morphology of soft body, (a11) Frontal view of siphons (scale bars = 2 mm). b Scaphula deltae, an ark clam species: (b1) Lateral and (b2) dorsal view of a young specimen, (b3) Live clam attached by byssus in borehole, (b4) Lateral and (b5) frontal view of an adult specimen, (b6) Dorsal and (b7) ventral view of an adult specimen, (b8) Cardinal teeth of left valve, (b9) Cardinal teeth of right valve (scale bars = 2 mm). c Novaculina gangetica, a jackknife clam species: (c1) Lateral view of right and left shell valves (outside), (c2) Lateral view of right and left shell valves (inside), (c3) Shell variability, (c4) Live specimen with protruding foot and siphons (scale bars = 10 mm). d Clithon cf. reticularis, a gastropod species: (d1) Apertural view, (d2) Dorsal view, (d3) Apical view (scale bars = 2 mm). (e) Corvospongilla ultima, a sponge species: (e1) General view of a sponge body fragment (scale bar = 2 mm), (e2) Three adherent gemmules; gemmular cage covering the left gemmule is removed (scale bar = 500 µm). f Neanthes meggitti, a polychaete species: (f1) Dorsal view of complete specimen (scale bar = 2 mm), (f2) Dorsal view of the anterior end (scale bar = 0.5 mm), (f3) Ventral view of the anterior end (scale bar = 0.5 mm). g Namalycastis indica, a polychaete species: (g1) Dorsal view of animal (scale bar = 2 mm), (g2) Dorsal view of the anterior end (scale bar = 0.5 mm). (Photos: Olga V. Aksenova [a, b, d, e1, f, and g], Ekaterina S. Konopleva and Ilya V. Vikhrev [c], and Agniya M. Sokolova [e2])
along the eastern coast of Ramree Island may be less than 0.5 mm/year\(^59\). These two islands are located further south of the Kaladan River, but within a ~500-km-long seismic patch that includes the downstream section of the Kaladan River\(^{60}\). Closer to the Kaladan River, Than Tin Aung et al.\(^{58}\) also reports similar variations just south of the town of Sittwe, where the land-level change rate changes from more than 4.5 mm/year at the seaward sites to ~2 mm/year at the landward sites.

Mineralogical analyses of rock substrate. The grain size analysis was carried out on an Analysette 22 MicroTec Plus Laser Particle Sizer (Fritsch GmbH—Millling and Sizing, Germany) using a rock sample dispersed in distilled water. An XRD approach was applied to estimate the mineral composition of the substrate using a DRON-3M diffractometer (Bourevestnik Inc., Russia). The BSE images were obtained on an electronic scanning microscope Jeol JSM-6480LV (Jeol Ltd., Japan) and scanning electron microscope, SEM (Tescan Vega TS5130MM, Tescan Orsay Holding, Czech Republic). Spicules of each type were purified with potassium dichromate according to standard methods\(^{61}\).

Morphological study of invertebrates. For the morphological study of invertebrate specimens, we used a stereomicroscope (Leica M165C, Leica Microsystems, Germany). The comparative analysis of bivalve taxa was carried out according to the shell shape, structure of the hinge, muscle attachment scars, and umbo position. A gastropod species was identified based on shell shape and marking patterns. The polychaete taxa were identified in accordance with morphology of the external body, everted pharynx, and structures of the parapodia and chaetae. Identification of the sponge species was carried out through analysis of skeleton elements using a light microscope (Olympus CX21, Olympus Corporation, Japan) and scanning electron microscope, SEM (Tescan Vega TS5130MM, Tescan Orsay Holding, Czech Republic). Spicules of each type were purified with potassium dichromate solution (2.5% Cr\(_2\)O\(_3\) in 50% H\(_2\)S), washed and mounted on a slide and specimen stub according to standard methods\(^{61}\).

DNA extraction and molecular analyses. New sequences were obtained from 24 invertebrate specimens belonging to seven species that were collected from the Kaladan and Lemro rivers, western Myanmar (Table 1). Total genomic DNA was extracted from 96% ethanol-preserved tissue samples using the NucleoSpin® Tissue Kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Germany), according to the

![Diagram of phylogenetic tree](image_url)
Table 2 Evolutionary origin of bivalve lineages from the freshwater rock-borer community in western Myanmar on the basis of ancestral area reconstruction analyses

| MRCA             | The most likely ancestral area | Biogeographic event (origin of estuarine/freshwater lineages) | Probability of the most likely ancestral area |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                  |                               |                                                               | S-DIVA  | DEC  | S-DEC  | Combined results |
| **Lignopholas + Barnea** | Marine                        | Dispersal                                                      | 1.00   | 0.52 | 0.52   | 0.68             |
| **Novaculina (+Pharella + Simonovacula)** | Marine                        | Dispersal                                                      | 1.00   | 0.64 | 0.79   | 0.81             |
| **Scaphula + Noetia** | Marine                        | Dispersal                                                      | 1.00   | 0.87 | 0.90   | 0.92             |

Ancestral area reconstructions. To estimate the ancestral area patterns, we calculated the sets of uncalibrated phylogenetic trees for each data set, i.e., "Pholadidae", "Arcidae & Noetidae", and "Pharidae", using BEAST 2 v. 2.4.6 with a lognormal relaxed clock algorithm and the Yule speciation process as the tree prior. Models were calculated at the San Diego Supercomputer Center through the CIPRES Science Gateway. We applied the same options to the data sets as in the MrBayes analyses (see above). However, we selected simplified substitution models (see Supplementary Table 8). In each case, two independent runs with 25,000,000 generations were carried out. The phylogenies were reconstructed every 1000th cycle. The primary log data sets were inspected visually with Tracer v. 1.6 for the congruence of the Markov chains and the effective sample size of parameters (ESS). The ESS values for all parameters were found >350, and the posterior and prior distributions were congruent. For each data set, the phylogenies from two separate runs were joined with LogCombiner v. 1.8.3 (burn-in = 10%), and the maximum clade credibility tree was reconstructed with TreeAnnotator v. 1.8.3.
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