Influences of Carbon Sources and their Concentrations on Shoot Proliferation and Rooting of ‘Hosui’ Japanese Pear
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Abstract. We investigated the influence of sorbitol, sucrose, fructose, glucose, maltose, lactose, and mannnitol carbon sources at various concentrations on shoot proliferation, hyperhydricity and rooting of pear. Shoot tips were cultured in woody plant medium (Lloyd and McCown, 1981) containing 11.0 µM 6-benzyladenine, 0.5 µM indole-3-butyric acid, 0.8% (w/v) agar and 30, 60, or 120 mM of each of seven carbon sources for eight weeks. Sorbitol at 60 mM was the most effective carbon source for shoot proliferation. Using 30 mM sorbitol and 30 and 120 mM sucrose resulted in a high number of hyperhydric explants. Shoots rooted with 60 mM glucose, sucrose and sorbitol in media; media with sucrose resulting in the highest rooting frequency, root number and root length. Shoots failed to root when fructose, lactose, maltose, or mannnitol were used.

Cultured plant tissues need a continuous supply of carbohydrates from the medium to encourage growth and to survive in vitro; photosynthetic activity of cultured tissues is reduced by the use of suboptimal light intensity, limited gas change and high relative humidity (Kozai, 1991). Therefore, sugars, such as sucrose, glucose, and sorbitol, are generally added as a carbon source.

The type of carbon source and its concentration affects the regeneration of adventitious shoots (Jain et al., 1997), shoot proliferation (Buahe et al., 2000; Garcia et al., 2002; Karhu, 1997; Marino et al., 1991, 1993; Pua and Chong, 1984), and somatic embryogenesis (Blanc et al., 1999; Daugy et al., 1996; de Paiva Neto and Otoni, 2003; Fuentes et al., 2000; Garin et al., 2000; Loiseau et al., 1995) in many plant species. Sucrose has been used in most studies on shoot proliferation of woody species but studies on shoot proliferation of some woody Rosaceous species, such as apple (Karhu, 1997; Pua and Chong, 1984) and apricot (Marino et al., 1991, 1993), used sorbitol.

In a previous study, we compared the effects of sucrose, sorbitol and glucose on shoot proliferation of pear and found that sorbitol was the best carbon source (Kadota et al., 2001). However, the effect of carbon sources other than sucrose, sorbitol and glucose on shoot proliferation and rooting is unknown. This study was done to better understand how various carbon sources influence shoot proliferation, hyperhydricity and rooting of ‘Hosui’ pear.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and culture condition. Shoots of Japanese pear (Pyrus pyrifolia N.) ‘Hosui’ were subcultured monthly for 20 months in 50 × 150-mm culture vessels containing 30 mL of shoot proliferation medium [(SPM) woody plant medium (Lloyd and McCown, 1981) with 0.5 µM indole-3-butyric acid (IBA), 11.0 µM 6-benzyladenine, 0.8% (w/v) powder agar (Wako Co., Ltd, Japan)], and 90 mM sucrose. The medium was adjusted to pH 5.7 before autoclaving at 121°C for 10 min. Shoots were incubated by cool-white fluorescent light (50 µmol·m–2·s–1 per 16-h photoperiod) at 25 ± 1°C (Kadota et al., 2001; Kadota and Niimi, 2003).

Shoot proliferation experiment. Shoot tips (4 to 5 mm long, 9 to 11 mg) were cut from the normal explants and were transferred to 25 × 100-mm culture tubes containing 20 mL SPM with 30, 60, or 120 mM of sorbitol, sucrose, fructose, glucose, mannnitol, maltose, or lactose. Shoot tips were placed in vertically in the medium with one shoot tip in each tube. Fifteen shoot tips were used in each treatment. The experiment was done four times (60 replications/treatment). After 8 weeks, the survival rate, number of shoots formed for each explant, the percentage of fresh mass increase and the percentage of hyperhydric original explants were recorded. Hyperhydric explants were not included when considering the mean number of shoots and fresh mass increase. Culture vessels were arranged randomly with replicate tubes for each treatment. All response variables were subjected to a two-way ANOVA with carbon source and their concentration.

Rooting experiment. Shoots (10 mm long) were transferred to 25 × 100-mm tubes containing 20 mL of half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium (1962) with 10 µM IBA, 1.35 mM phloroglucinol (1,3,5-trihydroxybenzene), 0.8% (w/v) powder agar (Wako Co., Ltd, Jpn), and 60 mM each of either sorbitol, sucrose, fructose, glucose, mannnitol, maltose, or lactose. Shoots were incubated in the dark for five days before transfer to a similar medium without IBA at a 16 h photoperiod (50 µmol·m–2·s–1) at 25 ± 1°C (Kadota et al., 2002). Fifteen shoot tips were used in treatment. The experiment was done four times (60 replications/treatment). Rooting frequency, survival rate, root number and average root length were recorded after 60 d. Data were analyzed by using the least significant difference test at P ≤ 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Shoot proliferation experiment. The influence of carbon source and concentration was significant by analysis of variance (Table 1). Shoot multiplication was poor except for explants in 60 mM sorbitol (3.5) and no concentration of mannnitol produced new shoots (Fig. 1). The fresh weight increase was more than eight times between the worst and best treatments (Fig. 2). The fresh weight increase was higher at 30 mM sorbitol (1234.2%) than at 60 mM sucrose (1065.0%) and 60 mM sorbitol (981.7%), and was lowest at 120 mM fructose and at all concentrations of mannnitol (151.7% to 186.3%). The difference in carbon source and concentration effect on shoot number and fresh mass increase was significant (Table 1); lactose, maltose, and mannnitol were generally inferior. At 120 mM the shoot fresh weight increase was lower compared with the other concentrations. Shoot survival with all carbohydrates was >88%, except for all concentrations of mannnitol and 30 mM lactose and maltose treatments (Fig. 3). Explants treated with glucos and sorbitol tended to survive well and then explants treated with sucrose and fructose. Explants treated with mannnitol did not survive.

Our previous study showed that sorbitol was the most suitable carbon source, but this result was not confirmed in the present study. The reason for this discrepancy is not known. Further study is required to clarify the optimum carbon source for shoot proliferation and rooting of ‘Hosui’ pear.

Table 1. Analysis of variance of shoot proliferation for data taken at 8 weeks after culture initiation from seven carbon sources and three concentrations added in culture medium.

| Source (CS) | Concentration (C) | C × CS |
|------------|------------------|--------|
| ** NS      | ** NS            | ** NS  |

** Nonsignificant or significant at P ≤ 0.05 or 0.01, respectively.

Fig. 1. Influence of carbon source and their concentration on shoot number of Pyrus pyrifolia N. ‘Hosui’.
for shoot proliferation of Japanese pear ‘Hosui’. Shoot growth of apple and apricot, two other woody Rosaceae species, accelerated when sorbitol was used as a carbon source in proliferation media (Marino et al. 1993; Pua and Chong 1985). Sorbitol is a main product of carbohydrate (Bieleski, 1982). Sorbitol is the most effective carbon source for apricot. Also, specific enzymes for sorbitol oxidation are in apricot microshoots and may be responsible for improved shoot production and development when sorbitol is added to a proliferation medium compared with sucrose (Marino et al., 1993). We presume that the same reasons explain our results with ‘Hosui’ pear. Survival rates and shoot proliferation were low in shoots exposed to mannitol, lactose, and maltose, possibly because the activity of enzymes participating in the metabolism of these carbon sources in pear cells was low.

The highest percentage of hyperhydric explants was at 30 mM sorbitol (13.7%), and was less at 120 mM fructose and maltose, 30 and 120 mM glucose, and 30 mM mannitol (0%) (Fig. 4). Hyperhydrycity was most prevalent among explants incubated 60 mM (8.6%) and 30 mM (7.0%) with fewer hyperhydric explants at 120 mM (1.8%). A higher carbon source concentration produced the lowest water potential. However, explants cultured in medium with lower carbon concentration under a suboptical light condition grew rapidly because they may absorb inorganic and organic nutrients excessively, so that the explants hyperhydrate. Therefore, to prevent hyperhydricity avoiding retardants in the medium might be necessary.

**Rooting experiment.** The survival rate of shoots was highest with glucose, sorbitol and sucrose, fructose and lactose (92.0% to 98.0%) and was least with maltose (70.0%) and mannitol (66.0%) (Table 2). Rooting only occurred when media contained sucrose (24.0%), sorbitol (12.0%), or glucose (10.0%); medium containing sucrose induced the highest root number and root length. The other carbon sources had no effect on rooting. This result indicates that the influence of a carbon source type is marked. The effects of sorbitol and sucrose on rooting have been studied for apple (Pua and Chong, 1985) and apricot (Marino et al., 1991, 1993). Sucrose is generally more effective than sorbitol, and this study showed the same tendency for pear ‘Hosui’. Interestingly, shoot proliferation was highest with sorbitol, while rooting was highest with sucrose. Starch often accumulates in the target cells just before regeneration and this starch may be a carbohydrate reserve during meristem formation. Starch is produced from sucrose supplied in the culture medium (Jasik and De Klerk, 1997; Thorpe et al., 1986). The lack of rooting by shoots in media containing mannitol, lactose or maltose may be attributed to the absence or inactivation of enzymes metabolizing these carbon sources.

**Conclusion**

The results of this study show that 60 mM sorbitol is most effective for shoot proliferation, and sucrose is the best carbon source for rooting. They improved plant regeneration that should facilitate micropropagation and breeding of pear cultivars.
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