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Abstract

We review and investigate some basic properties of static, cylindrically symmetric spacetimes with nonzero cosmological constant, find non-singular sheet sources of these spacetimes and discuss their characteristics and clarify their relation to the 4D black-string solutions.

PACS numbers: 04.20.−q, 04.20.Jb, 04.40.−b, 04.20.Ha

1. Introduction

The present paper is a continuation of our previous work on shell sources of the Levi-Civita spacetime (LC) [1]. The thin-shell formalism [2] provides insight into the physical meaning of the parameters appearing in the metric and can set limits on their admissible ranges. Our aim is to extend the studied spacetimes to include a nonzero cosmological constant $\Lambda$. In the present-day cosmology, the role of spacetimes with $\Lambda > 0$ has grown significantly since models with a positive effective cosmological constant describe the inflationary phase and, moreover, recent observations still seem to indicate that our universe indeed has $\Lambda > 0$. On the other hand, the anti-de Sitter spacetime (AdS) has come to the fore from a more theoretical perspective in light of the conjecture relating string theory in asymptotically AdS space to a non-gravitational conformal theory on the boundary at spatial infinity. However, the motivation for considering material systems with cylindrical symmetry in asymptotically AdS spacetimes also comes from ‘classical general relativity’. It is well known that with $\Lambda = 0$, the asymptotics of cylindrically symmetric static spacetimes representing infinite sources is very different from that of spatially bounded static sources. As we shall indicate below, with $\Lambda < 0$, the asymptotic forms of the metrics due to material cylinders and bounded sources are closely related. It is therefore of interest to study the effects of both positive and negative cosmological constants on the matter sources of cylindrical spacetimes. At present, we restrict ourselves to static spacetimes since the involved effects are still rich enough while the number of free parameters is lower and thus it is easier to interpret our results. In general, we admit spacetimes outside and inside the shell to have different values of $\Lambda$—if the cosmological constant is considered to be vacuum energy,
The line element of a static, cylindrically symmetric spacetime with a nonzero cosmological constant \( \Lambda / \Lambda_1 \) was independently derived in [5, 6]. In the cylindrical coordinates \( t, z \in \mathbb{R}, r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \phi \in [0, 2\pi) \) (the standard range of \( \phi \) is ensured by the presence of the conicity parameter \( C \)), the metric reads

\[
ds^2 = \frac{Q(r)}{r^2/3} \left\{ -P(r)^2 \frac{2(4\sigma^7 - 8\sigma + 1)}{3\Lambda} \, dt^2 + P(r)^2 \frac{(8\sigma^7 - 4\sigma - 1)}{3\Lambda} \, dz^2 + P(r)^2 \frac{4(2\sigma^7 + 2\sigma - 1)}{3\Lambda} \, d\phi^2 / C^2 \right\} + dr^2,
\]

where

\[
A = 4\sigma^2 - 2\sigma + 1,
\]

\( \sigma \) is related to the linear mass density of the source, and for \( \Lambda > 0 \) we have

\[
P(r) = \frac{2 \tan(\sqrt{3\Lambda} r/2)}{\sqrt{3\Lambda}}, \quad Q(r) = \frac{\sin(\sqrt{3\Lambda} r)}{\sqrt{3\Lambda}}.
\]

while for \( \Lambda < 0 \) we substitute trigonometric functions by their hyperbolic counterparts and \( \Lambda \) by \(-\Lambda\)—see appendix A. The trigonometric functions in (1.3) are multiplied by such constants that in the limit \( r \to 0 \) or \( \Lambda \to 0 \) the metric smoothly approaches the LC case \((\Lambda = 0)\). Thus, the dimensions of \( t, z \) and \( 1/C \) are general powers of length as is the case in the standard form of the LC metric [7]. By redefining \( P(r) \) and \( Q(r) \) we can convert the coordinates to the usual dimensions of length.

The advantage of the studied spacetimes over the LC case is that for \( \Lambda < 0 \), far away from the symmetry axis, \( r = 0 \), they approach the anti-de Sitter solution (AdS) unlike LC that does not approach the Minkowski spacetime. We show explicitly that if we transform a Schwarzschild–anti-de Sitter black hole (SAdS) from Schwarzschild to horospherical coordinates then far away from \( r = 0 \), the leading-order diagonal terms are identical to the \( \Lambda C \) expansion (and to the AdS) and the second-order terms have the same dependence on the radial coordinate, \( r \), which is to the first order the proper distance from the axis. This indicates that the properties of cylindrical shells with \( \Lambda < 0 \) might be of importance in the study of finite massive objects in contrast to the asymptotically Minkowskian spacetimes and the LC solution.

Moreover, Lemos [8] found an interesting class of cylindrically symmetric 4D solutions with \( \Lambda < 0 \) called black strings\(^3\). These spacetimes describe the fields of charged, rotating strings that generally feature singularities and horizons and are asymptotically anti-de Sitter far away from the axis of symmetry. We clarify here the relation of static, uncharged black strings to the general \( \Lambda C \) solutions and find non-singular sources of such spacetimes.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we discuss various properties of \( \Lambda C \) spacetimes, in particular, their relation to the black-string metrics and their asymptotic form, we introduce suitable quantities characterizing their spatial geometry and conicity (we also correct some minor misprints in the literature). The shell sources of \( \Lambda C \) are constructed in section 3, where we analyze the physical properties of matter forming the shells and find the resulting restrictions on the \( \Lambda C \) parameters. We relegate a number of issues into three

\(^3\) Not to be confused with higher-dimensional black strings that are direct products of the Schwarzschild spacetime with \( \mathbb{R} \) (see section 5 in [9]).
appendices. In appendix A, we derive the LCA metric following [6] and point out several interesting details such as its precise relation to the AdS spacetime. Appendix B gives the resulting form of the asymptotic expansion of (1.1) for \( \Lambda < 0 \) and compares it to the SAdS spacetime. Finally, in appendix C, we discuss the admissible range of the mass per unit length for shell sources of the LCA spacetimes.

2. Properties of the metric

It is important to realize that the LCA solutions (1.1) are not conformally flat unless we put \( \Lambda = 0 \)—and thus also not conformal to the (anti) de Sitter spacetime—since the Weyl tensor is nonzero. In general, the solutions are of Petrov type I except for some special values of \( \sigma \) [10]. In addition to the Killing vectors \( \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial z \) and \( \partial/\partial \varphi \), the metrics admit additional Killing vectors in special cases:

\[
\sigma = \pm \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow C^{-1} \varphi \partial z - Cz \partial \varphi, \\
\sigma = 0, 1 \rightarrow t \partial z + z \partial t, \\
\sigma = \frac{1}{4} \rightarrow C^{-1} \varphi \partial t + Ct \partial \varphi.
\]

In the vicinity of the axis, \( r \sqrt{|\Lambda|} \ll 1 \), (1.1) behaves as the LC solution. After replacing \( \sigma \rightarrow 1/4 \sigma \), we find the roles of \( z \) and \( \varphi \) interchanged. This indicates that the case \( \sigma = \pm \frac{1}{2} \) corresponds to planar rather than cylindrical symmetry (confer the first Killing vector in (2.1)).

The solution with \( \Lambda > 0 \) is periodic in \( r \) with a period \( 2\pi/\sqrt{3\Lambda} \); it contains curvature singularities at \( r = k\pi/\sqrt{3\Lambda} \equiv r_s, k \) integer except for special cases of \( \sigma \) [10]; there is no limit \( r \rightarrow \infty \) and the metric is not asymptotically de Sitter.

For \( \Lambda < 0 \), there are no singularities apart from the axis. After a simple rescaling of \( t, z, \varphi \) we find that asymptotically at \( r \rightarrow \infty \), the metric (1.1) has the form

\[
ds^2 = dr^2 + \exp \left( 2\sqrt{-\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \right) (-dt^2 + dz^2 + d\varphi^2),
\]

which is a part of AdS in the horospherical coordinates (see appendix A). This makes the asymptotics of cylindrical solutions with \( \Lambda < 0 \) more realistic than the case \( \Lambda = 0 \). If we approach infinity in any direction not parallel to the axis then the leading terms of the asymptotics of the metric coincide with those of spatially isolated sources. For \( \Lambda = 0 \) this is not the case since the asymptotics of the LC solution is not Minkowskian and we have no natural (asymptotically flat) infinity that would enable us to define static observers. To illustrate this, we compare the asymptotics of (1.1) and that of the Schwarzschild–AdS spacetime in the horospherical coordinates in appendix B.

It is of interest that LCA with \( \Lambda < 0 \) includes the static, uncharged black-string metric of [8]. If we set \( \sigma = 1/2 \) in (1.1) and introduce new coordinates

\[
t = \frac{2C}{(\Lambda)} t, \quad \rho = \frac{1}{C} \cosh^{2/3} \frac{\sqrt{-3\Lambda} r}{2}, \quad \phi = \varphi, \quad \zeta = \frac{3}{\Lambda} Cz,
\]

we obtain

\[
ds^2 = -dt^2 F + \frac{d\rho^2}{F} + \rho^2 d\phi^2 + \rho^2 \alpha^2 d\zeta^2,
\]

with \( \alpha^2 = (\Lambda)/3, F = \alpha^2 \rho^2 - 4M/\alpha \rho \) and \( M = \alpha^3/4C^3 \). This is the form of the black-string metric of equation (2.3) in [8]. \( M \) corresponds to the mass per unit length of the black

4 There are misprints in the Killing vectors in [10]. Also, the presented conformal diagrams do not have correct infinities—compare to [8].
string calculated at radial infinity using the Hamiltonian formalism of Brown and York [11]. Therefore, it is instructive to compare $M$ to the mass per unit length of the shell sources we find in section 3.

To illustrate some other properties of LCA, we summarize the circumferences $C$ of the rings $r, z, t = constant$ at special radii $r$ in Table 1. For example, with $\Lambda > 0$ and $\sigma \in (-\infty, -1/2] \cup [1/4, 1/2]$ the circumference remains finite everywhere, including $r = 0$. On the other hand, $r = 0$ represents the axis (zero circumference) for $\sigma < 1/2$ and any value of $\Lambda$. If we also require that $r = r_s$ has an infinite circumference for $\Lambda > 0$ then the range of $\sigma$ is more limited: $\sigma \in (-1/2, 1/4)$. For $\Lambda < 0$, the circumference is always infinite at $r \to \infty$ and we find $\sigma < 1/2$ again.

In order to characterize the conicity of cylindrically symmetric spacetimes in a geometrical way, we define the following two quantities:

$$\chi(r) \equiv 2\pi \frac{R(r)}{C(r)} = \frac{\int_0^\sigma \sqrt{g_{rr}(r)} \, d\tilde{\varphi}}{\sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi}(r)}} = \frac{2\pi r}{\tilde{C}(r)},$$

$$\psi(r) \equiv 2\pi \frac{dR}{d\tilde{C}} = \sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi}(r)} g_{rr}(r) \frac{d}{d\tilde{\varphi}} \sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi}(r)} = \frac{1}{\tilde{C}(r)},$$

where $R$ is the proper radius (in our case identical to $r$) and the circumference $C$ is introduced above. In the case of cosmic strings with $\Lambda = \sigma = 0$, both $\chi$ and $\psi$ coincide everywhere with the conicity parameter $C$. Close to the axis, these quantities behave as follows:

$$\chi(r) \sim C r \frac{\psi^2}{\tilde{\varphi}^3} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(r) \sim \frac{\Lambda}{1 - 2\sigma} C r \frac{\psi^2}{\tilde{\varphi}^3},$$

where $\Lambda > 0$ is defined in (1.2). They do not contain $\Lambda$ and are identical to the Levi-Civita case. In general, $\psi$ can even be negative, nevertheless, for $\Lambda > 0, \sigma \in (-1/2, 1/4)$ and for
Λ < 0, σ < 1/2, ψ is positive everywhere. For Λ > 0, we find that as we approach the singularity at \( r = r_+ \) the value of ψ is proportional to \((r_+ - r)^{(1 - 3\sigma)/3\Lambda}\) and thus vanishes unless \( σ = 1 \). For Λ < 0, we find at radial infinity that \( χ \) and ψ are both positive and decrease as a power of \( Λ \) times \( r \exp(-r\sqrt{\Lambda}/3) \) and \( \exp(-r\sqrt{\Lambda}/3) \), respectively.

Considering the above properties, we conclude that the intuitive ranges of \( σ \) are as follows: with \( Λ > 0 \), \( σ \in (-1/2, 1/4) \) and with \( Λ < 0 \), \( σ \in (-\infty, 1/2) \). If we also include the behavior of geodesics we can further restrict the latter to \( σ \in [-1/2, 1/2) \).

3. Induced energy–momentum tensor

To construct shell sources of \( \text{LC}\alpha \), we imagine two cylindrical regions of the spacetimes with metrics (1.1) and independent parameters \((r \leq r_-, \sigma_-, \Lambda_-, C_-)\) and \((r \geq r_+, \sigma_+, \Lambda_+, C_+)\).

We shall also consider the Minkowski and AdS solutions as the interior spacetimes. As required by the Israel formalism [2], we glue the boundaries \( r = r_- \) and \( r = r_+ \) together in such a way that the induced 3-metric on the surfaces with the intrinsic coordinates \( T, Z, Φ \) is identical from both sides; the induced metric can always be made flat by rescaling the intrinsic coordinates as the metric only depends on the radial coordinate \( r \). We also require the proper circumference of the two cylinders to be the same. This identification induces a three-dimensional energy–momentum tensor on the junction hypersurface. The induced tensor is diagonal and its components read\(^5\)

\[
8π S_{TT} = \sqrt{Λ_+/3} \left[-2 \cot(\sqrt{3Λ_+} r_+) - \frac{4σ_+^2 - 8σ_+ + 1}{4σ_+^2 - 2σ_+ + 1} \csc(\sqrt{3Λ_+} r_+)\right]
- \sqrt{Λ_-/3} \left[-2 \cot(\sqrt{3Λ_-} r_-) - \frac{4σ_-^2 - 8σ_- + 1}{4σ_-^2 - 2σ_- + 1} \csc(\sqrt{3Λ_-} r_-)\right].
\]

\[
8π S_{ZZ} = \sqrt{Λ_+/3} \left[2 \cot(\sqrt{3Λ_+} r_+) - \frac{8σ_+^2 - 4σ_+ - 1}{4σ_+^2 - 2σ_+ + 1} \csc(\sqrt{3Λ_+} r_+)\right]
- \sqrt{Λ_-/3} \left[2 \cot(\sqrt{3Λ_-} r_-) - \frac{8σ_-^2 - 4σ_- - 1}{4σ_-^2 - 2σ_- + 1} \csc(\sqrt{3Λ_-} r_-)\right].
\]

\[
8π S_{ΦΦ} = \sqrt{Λ_+/3} \left[2 \cot(\sqrt{3Λ_+} r_+) + \frac{4σ_+^2 + 4σ_+ - 2}{4σ_+^2 - 2σ_+ + 1} \csc(\sqrt{3Λ_+} r_+)\right]
- \sqrt{Λ_-/3} \left[2 \cot(\sqrt{3Λ_-} r_-) + \frac{4σ_-^2 + 4σ_- - 2}{4σ_-^2 - 2σ_- + 1} \csc(\sqrt{3Λ_-} r_-)\right].
\]

with \( A_+(σ_+) \) defined by (1.2). Note that the (global) conicity parameters \( C_\pm \) of the metric do not appear in these (local) expressions. If we take the limit \( Λ → 0 \) and use the transformation formulae given in [10] we recover precisely the induced energy–momentum tensor of the Levi-Civita metric [1], equation (1.3).

3.1. Photonic shells

Let us turn to the interpretation of the induced energy–momentum tensor. One of the simplest models is a shell of counter-rotating photons. Then the trace \(-S_{TT} + S_{ΦΦ} + S_{ZZ} = 0\) which implies

\[
\sqrt{Λ_+} = \frac{\tan(\sqrt{3Λ_+} r_+)}{\tan(\sqrt{3Λ_-} r_-)}.
\]

\(^5\) Unless noted otherwise, we write all the following expressions for \( Λ > 0 \). The corresponding formulae for \( Λ < 0 \) are obtained by substituting hyperbolic functions for their trigonometric counterparts and replacing Λ by \(-Λ\).
Note that this condition does not involve $\sigma_k$. If, in addition, we require $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda_- \equiv \Lambda > 0$, we obtain the solutions $r_+ = r_- + k\pi/\sqrt{3}\Lambda$ with an arbitrary integer $k$. These solutions are due to the periodicity of the metric and are difficult to interpret: we either have singularities outside the shell or, alternatively, we have to construct an infinite series of coaxial cylinders to cut out all the singularities. However, for a *negative* cosmological constant, we only have a single solution, namely $r_+ = r_-$, which can be non-singular both inside and outside of the shell.

Admitting still both signs of $\Lambda$, we now wish to find the form of the induced energy–momentum tensor that can be interpreted as a shell of photons counter-rotating in the $\Phi$-direction with $Z$ constant (‘azimuthal’ photons) or, possibly, moving along the $Z$-direction with $\Phi$ constant (‘axial’ photons). Since we wish to avoid the singularity along the axis we must set $\sigma_+ = 0$ or $1/2$. Substituting into (3.1), denoting the mass per unit length of the shell measured by static (geodetical) observers $M_1 \equiv C S_{TT}$ (with $C$ the circumference of the shell and $S_{TT}$ its surface energy density), and with $M = \frac{1}{4} \cos^2(\sqrt{3}\Lambda r_+ / 2)$, we summarize the results in table 2.

![Table](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

Table 2. Shells of photons moving with $Z = \text{const}$ or $\Phi = \text{const}$ and no singularity along the axis ($M = 1/4 \cos^2(\sqrt{3}\Lambda r_+ / 2)$).

| $\sigma_- = 0$ | $k$ odd | $\sigma_+ = -1/2$ | $M_1 = M$ | azimuthal | smooth junction |
|---------------|---------|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|
|               | $\sigma_+ = 1$ | $M_1 = 0$ | azimuthal | smooth junction |
| $k$ even      | $\sigma_+ = 1/2$ | $M_1 = M$ | azimuthal | smooth junction |
|               | $\sigma_+ = 0$ | $M_1 = 0$ | azimuthal | smooth junction |
| $\sigma_- = \frac{1}{2}$ | $k$ odd | $\sigma_+ = 1$ | $M_1 = -M < 0$ | azimuthal |
|               | $\sigma_+ = 1/4$ | $M_1 = -M < 0$ | axial |
|               | $\sigma_+ = 0$ | $M_1 = -M < 0$ | azimuthal |

For $\Lambda > 0$, although some cylindrical shells are ‘physical’ ($M_1 > 0$), the exterior metric always contains singularities. In the case $\sigma_+ = -1/2$, we need to extend the solution through a coordinate singularity. However, this is not the case with $\Lambda < 0$, which is also described in table 2 if we formally only consider $k$ even and put $M_1 = \frac{1}{4} \cos^2(\sqrt{3}\Lambda r_+ / 2) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Clearly, the only physically acceptable solutions are those with $\Lambda < 0$, $M_1 > 0$, so that $\sigma_+ = 0$, $\sigma_+ = 1/2$, which corresponds to the cylinders of azimuthal photons. In the limit $\Lambda \to 0$, these results go over to the LC case of [1], section 3.

As in the simpler $\Lambda = 0$ case, there are no cylinders of purely axial photons. One expects this for $\Lambda < 0$ due to its attractive character. However, with $\Lambda > 0$, one might have hoped that the repulsive effects of the cosmological constant might permit such a solution; this was our original motivation. Nevertheless, the singularities located at $r = kr_+$ do not allow this.

### 3.2. Shells of dust and perfect fluid

Since we wish to discuss primarily smooth and physical metrics (1.1), we shall be mainly concerned with LCA spacetimes with $\Lambda < 0$. Only in these cases we do not encounter singularities at $r = kr_+$. We first assume $\Lambda_- = \Lambda_+ \equiv \Lambda < 0$ and put $\sigma_+ = 0$. $C_+ = 1$ so that there is no singularity on the axis; we shall consider also the Minkowski and AdS regions inside the shells—then the axis is regular automatically. Let us first note that condition (3.2) is also relevant to the massive-particle interpretation. In this case, we require

$$S_{\Phi\Phi}/S_{TT} + S_{ZZ}/S_{TT} = v_\Phi^2 + v_Z^2 < 1.$$  
(3.3)
With $\Lambda < 0$ we obtain a simple condition $r_+ > r_-$. (This condition becomes more involved for $\Lambda > 0$.)

We begin by considering counter-rotating azimuthal streams of massive particles. The condition $S_{ZZ} = 0$ implies (cf (3.1))

$$r_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-3\Lambda}} \arccosh \frac{2E + F\sqrt{F^2 + E^2 - 4}}{F^2 - 4},$$

(3.4)

where $E = (-8\sigma_r^2 + 4\sigma_\Phi^2 + 1)/(4\sigma_r^2 - 2\sigma_\Phi^2 + 1)$ and $F = (2\cosh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda}r_-)) + 1)/(\sinh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda}r_-))$. Fixing $r_-$, i.e., the circumference $C$, we obtain the mass per unit length of the cylinder in the form

$$M_1 = CS_{TT} = \frac{2\sigma_\Phi(1 - \sigma_r)}{\cosh^{1/2} (\sigma_r (2\sigma_r^2 - 2\sigma_\Phi^2 + 1))} \sinh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda}r_-) \sinh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda}r_+).$$

(3.5)

Both $M_1$ and the velocity of particles counter-orbiting in the $\pm \Phi$ direction measured by static observers within the cylinder (see (3.3)) can be expressed as functions of $\sigma_r$ after substituting for $S_{TT}$ and $S_{\Phi\Phi}$ from (3.1). The final result is simple in the limit $\Lambda \to 0$ when the azimuthal velocity becomes $v_\Phi = \sqrt{\sigma_\Phi}/(1 - \sigma_r)$. This is the result obtained in [1]. We illustrate both functions in figure 1. The physical properties are described in the figure caption, here we only note that the velocity of particles approaches the velocity of light for $\sigma_\Phi \to 1/2$ thus allowing the interpretation in terms of massive counter-orbiting particles only for $\sigma \in [0, 1/2]$. Also, observe that in general $M_1 = 0$ for $\sigma_\Phi = 0$ and that the curves $M_1(\sigma_r)$ are monotonically increasing for all values $\Lambda < 0$ and $\sigma_r \leq 1/2$.

Given the results for the Levi-Civita spacetimes with $\Lambda = 0$, we expect that there is an upper bound on $M_1$. This, indeed, can be seen from figure 1: the mass per unit length $M_1$ reaches its maximum value of $1/4$ just for the LC case $\Lambda = 0$. As $|\Lambda|$ increases the maximum of $M_1$ (located always at $\sigma_r = 1/2$) decreases. This behavior can be proved analytically from (3.5). It is physically intuitive in view of increased gravity due to the presence of the negative cosmological constant. A more detailed analysis reveals that the upper bound on $M_1 = 1/4$ holds also in more general cases with different $\Lambda$’s if $r_+ \geq r_-$, $\Lambda_+ \geq \Lambda_-$ as shown in appendix C.

Next we focus on the perfect-fluid interpretation of the induced energy–momentum tensor. If we require $\sigma_- = 0$, $\Lambda_- = \Lambda_+ \equiv \Lambda < 0$ and $S_{ZZ} = S_{\Phi\Phi}$ and substitute this into (3.1), we
obtain a simple relation
\[
\sinh \sqrt{-3\Lambda r_+} = \frac{(1 - 4\sigma^2_+)}{4\sigma^2_+ - 2\sigma_+ + 1} \sinh \sqrt{-3\Lambda r_-}.
\]
(3.6)

This enables us to express the density \( \mu = S_{TT} \) and pressure \( p = S_{ZZ} \) of the fluid as functions of \( r_- \) (or of circumference \( C \)), \( \Lambda \) and \( \sigma_+ \). For given \( C \) and \( \Lambda \), this amounts to an implicit equation of state given by relations \( \mu = \mu(\sigma_+) \), \( p = p(\sigma_+) \). For the graphs of \( M_1 \) and \( p \), see figure 2; here we only point out that \( M_1 \) can exceed 1/4 unless we impose further conditions, such as the dominant energy condition \( \mu \geq p \) for any circumference of the cylinder. The admissible range of the LC parameter reads \( \sigma_+ \in [0, 1/2) \) (or \( \sigma_+ \in [0, 1/3] \) with the dominant energy condition).

3.2.1. Minkowski inside. We now turn to the case with flat spacetime inside the cylinder. This corresponds to \( \Lambda_- = 0, \sigma_- = 0, \Lambda_+ < 0 \), and thus may be considered a ‘domain wall’ in 3+1 dimensions. For perfect fluid, the relation \( p = S_{ZZ} = S_{\theta\phi} \) (cf (3)) implies
\[
\sinh \sqrt{-3\Lambda r_+} = \frac{(1 - 4\sigma^2_+)}{4\sigma^2_+ - 2\sigma_+ + 1} \sinh \sqrt{-3\Lambda r_-}.
\]
(3.7)

Substituting this expression back into (3.1), we obtain a relation between density and pressure,
\[
\mu + p = \frac{1}{2\pi r_-} \frac{\sigma_+ (1 - \sigma_+)}{1 - 4\sigma^2_+},
\]
(3.8)

which does not depend on \( \Lambda_+ \) and is thus identical to the Levi-Civita case. The expressions for \( M_1 = \mu(2\pi r_-) \) and the pressure \( p \) read
\[
M_1 = \frac{4\sigma_+(1 - 2\sigma_+) + 1 - \sqrt{A^2_+ - 3\Lambda r^2_+ (1 - 4\sigma^2_+)^2}}{6(1 - 4\sigma^2_+)},
\]
(3.9)
\[
p = \frac{2\sigma_+(1 + \sigma_+) - 1 + \sqrt{A^2_+ - 3\Lambda r^2_+ (1 - 4\sigma^2_+)^2}}{12\pi r_- (1 - 4\sigma^2_+)}.
\]
(3.10)
The numerator of the last expression is always positive ($\Lambda_+ < 0$) and thus if we require positive and finite pressure we must have $\sigma_+ \in (-1/2, 1/2)$. We have $M_1|_{\Lambda_- = 0} \leq M_1|_{\Lambda_+ = 0} = \sigma_2/(1 + 2\sigma_+)$—the last expression corresponds to the LC case, see [1]. For $M_1$ to be positive we must have $\sigma_+ < -1/2$ or $\sigma_+ \geq 0$. In combination with the restrictions on the pressure we conclude $\sigma_+ \in [0, 1/2]$. In this interval of $\sigma_+$, we get $M_1 \leq 1/4$ just like in the Levi-Civita case (however, $M_1$ becomes negative for sufficiently large $\sqrt{\Lambda_+r_-}$).

Let us yet mention solutions with Minkowski inside and azimuthal particles. In this case, we obtain

$$M_1 = \frac{3\sigma_+(1 - \sigma_+)}{2A_+ \cosh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_+r_+}) - 8\sigma_+^2 + 4\sigma_+ + 1},$$

$$v_\phi^2 = \frac{A_+ \cosh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_+r_+}) + 2\sigma_+^2 + 2\sigma_+ - 1}{6\sigma_+(1 - \sigma_+)}.$$

The numerator of (3.12) is always positive and thus $\sigma_+ \in (0, 1)$. Since $v_\phi^2 \leq 1$ we must further have $\sigma_+ \in [0, 1/2]$. Then, $\sigma_2(1 - \sigma_+)\left(-8\sigma_+^2 + 4\sigma_+ + 1\right) \leq M_1|_{\Lambda_+ = 0} \leq M_1|_{\Lambda_+ = 0} = \sigma_2(1 - \sigma_+) \leq 1/4$. The bounds on $\sigma_+$ and $M_1$ are just the same as in the Levi-Civita case.

3.2.2. AdS inside. We now consider the anti-de Sitter spacetime in the horospherical coordinates (A.12) inside the cylinder with $\Lambda_- = \Lambda_+ \equiv \Lambda < 0$. First fact to mention here is that the circumference at $r = 0$ is $2\pi$ and to reach the axis (zero circumference), we need to go farther, to $r \to -\infty$. Therefore, the radial proper distance (measured directly by $r$) from the axis to the surface of the cylinder is always infinite. We thus include within the cylindrical shell a vast part of the AdS space and we can expect the influence of $\Lambda$ to be strong. Indeed, unlike in LCA, there are, for example, no circular geodesics at constant horospherical coordinates $r, z$ in AdS. In addition, we find that we cannot interpret the shell energy–momentum tensor in terms of either massive particles or photons. The perfect fluid requires $\sigma_+ = 1/2$ necessarily. Equation (3.1) implies

$$p = \mu \frac{6\pi \mu + \sqrt{-3\Lambda}}{2(\sqrt{-3\Lambda} - 12\pi \mu)}.$$

Inverting the last expression in the form of a series

$$\mu = 2p - 24\pi \sqrt{-3\Lambda} p^2 - 1152\pi^2 \frac{p^3}{\Lambda} - \cdots,$$

we note that for small pressure, $p = \mu/2$, which corresponds to ‘2D null fluid’. Note that $M_1 = 2\pi \mu \exp(\sqrt{-\Lambda} r_-)$ is not bounded for large $r_-$. This is not very surprising as the anti-de Sitter metric inside of the shell is the asymptotical form of the metric (1.1) and thus this shell is equivalent to a shell with the same form of metric on both sides of the cut and with $r_- \to \infty$. This, however, is in sharp contradiction to the assumption $r_+ \geq r_-$ which is a necessary condition for $M_1 \leq 1/4$ (see appendix C).

3.3. Black strings

Another interesting case is the black-string metric of [8]. This is a typical example where we can explore the physical meaning of the parameters by finding non-singular sources of the spacetime. Let us thus use the AdS spacetime inside our cylindrical shell and the black-string spacetime (2.4) with the same cosmological constant outside. This yields an induced energy–momentum tensor that corresponds to the perfect fluid. The shell must necessarily be located...
above the horizon \((r_+ = \sqrt[3]{4M/\sqrt{-\Lambda}/3}\), see (2.4)). The proper mass per unit length of these cylinders, \(M_1 = 2\pi \mu r_+\), reads
\[
M_1 = \frac{a r_+}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4M}{(a r_+)^3}}\right),
\]
with \(a^2 = -\Lambda/3\). If we let \(r_+ \to \infty\), \(M_1\) vanishes while it reaches its limiting value \((M/3)^{1/3}\) for cylinders just above the horizon and we are not able to construct more massive cylinders. As shown in [8], \(M\) is the mass of a cylindrical strip of spacetime defined by unit coordinate length along the \(z\)-axis which is coordinate-dependent and the corresponding proper length vanishes on the axis and diverges at radial infinity. If we define \(\tilde{M}\) as the mass of a section of a unit proper length, we obtain a different maximum value \(M_1 = (2/3)^{1/3} \frac{\tilde{M}}{3}\). In both cases, however, it turns out that \(M_1\) is proportional neither to \(M\), nor to \(\tilde{M}\), confirming that it measures a different physical property of the solution.

4. Conclusions

We considered static, vacuum, cylindrically symmetric spacetimes with a nonzero cosmological constant, their geometrical properties, and their sources formed by cylindrical shells of counter-rotating photons or dust and of perfect fluid. We have shown that even with \(\Lambda \neq 0\), the LC parameter must be confined to the interval \(\sigma \in [0, 1/2]\), i.e., the same range as for \(\Lambda = 0\). To the first order, it determines the mass \(M_1\) per unit length of the source. The range of \(M_1\) is no longer bounded by \(1/4\). This fact thus represents the main difference from the Levi-Civita case.
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Appendix A. Derivation of the metric

Following [6] and denoting the proper radius by \(r\), we may write a static cylindrically symmetric metric as
\[
d s^2 = -\exp A(r) \, d t^2 + d r^2 + \exp B(r) \, d z^2 + \exp C(r) \, d \varphi^2,
\]
where \(t, z \in \mathbb{R}, r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \varphi \in [0, 2\pi]\). Solving the Einstein equations in vacuum with a nonzero cosmological constant \(\Lambda\), we get
\[
\frac{d^2 \Sigma(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d \Sigma(r)}{dr}\right)^2 + 6\Lambda = 0,
\]
where \(\Sigma(r) = \Lambda(r) + B(r) + C(r)\). The solution for \(\Lambda > 0\) reads
\[
\Sigma(r) = \text{const} + 2 \ln \sin(\sqrt{3\Lambda}(r + R)),
\]
with \(R\) the other integration constant. In the following, we only need its first derivative (there are two solutions: the other solution is shifted along \(r\) which can always be incorporated into \(R\))
\[
\frac{d \Sigma(r)}{dr} = 2\sqrt{3\Lambda} \cot(\sqrt{3\Lambda}(r - R)).
\]
For negative $\Lambda$, we find

\[
\frac{d\Sigma(r)}{dr} = 2\sqrt{-3\Lambda} \coth(\sqrt{-3\Lambda}(r - R)),
\]
\[
\frac{d\Sigma(r)}{dr} = 2\sqrt{-3\Lambda} \tanh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda}(r - R)),
\]
\[
\frac{d\Sigma(r)}{dr} = \pm 2\sqrt{-3\Lambda}.
\]

Einstein equations further imply

\[
\frac{d^2 f(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\Sigma(r)}{dr} \frac{df(r)}{dr} + 2\Lambda = 0
\]
for each of the functions $A(r)$, $B(r)$ and $C(r)$. For $\Lambda > 0$, we can thus write

\[
\exp(f(r)) = \beta \tan^\alpha x \sin^{2/3} 2x,
\]
where $x = \sqrt{3\Lambda}(r + R)/2$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are integration constants. By rescaling the coordinates, we can get rid of $\beta$ (however, this changes the range of $\psi$ and we must introduce the conicity parameter $C$, which also takes care of the units of $g_{\psi\psi}$). If we now insert the solutions back into the Einstein equations, we obtain conditions on the three constants $\alpha$:

\[
0 = \alpha_A + \alpha_B + \alpha_C, \quad -4/3 = \alpha_A\alpha_B + \alpha_A\alpha_C + \alpha_C\alpha_B.
\]

Now let us introduce the following parametrization by the Levi-Civita parameter $\sigma$:

\[
\alpha_A = 4\sigma/A - 2/3, \quad \alpha_B = 4\sigma(2\sigma - 1)/A - 2/3, \quad \alpha_C = 2(1 - 2\sigma)/A - 2/3,
\]
with

\[
\Lambda \equiv 4\sigma^2 - 2\sigma + 1.
\]

This yields (1.1) (we put $R = 0$ to place the axis at $r = 0$ for $\sigma < 1/2$—but we can also place the axis somewhere else). We obtain an analogous solution for $\Lambda < 0$ by following the same lines with equations (A.5) and (A.6). However, we do not obtain a real solution from (A.6) (only complex solutions since in equation (A.10) we now have a positive lhs) and the only possible metric has $P(r) = 2\tanh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda}r/2)/\sqrt{3\Lambda}$, $Q(r) = \sinh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda}r)/\sqrt{3\Lambda}$. We thus only need to substitute the trigonometric functions by their hyperbolic counterparts and $\Lambda \to |\Lambda|$—see [10]. $C$ is the conicity parameter related to the missing angle that enables us to restore the range of $\psi$ to $[0, 2\pi]$—see equation (2.5).

Equation (A.7) yields another independent solution for negative $\Lambda$, namely

\[
d^2s^2 = dr^2 + \exp\left(\pm \sqrt{-\frac{2\Lambda}{3} r}\right) [dz^2 + d\psi^2 - dr^2].
\]

where $\psi$ has been rescaled from $[0, 2\pi]$ to a new interval and $r \in [0, \infty)$. As $r \to 0$ represents no special hypersurface, we can also extend the range of $r$ to $(-\infty, \infty)$ and write the metric with the ‘+’ sign only. Spacetime with metric (A.12) constitutes a part of the anti-de Sitter spacetime with the metric written in the horospherical coordinates. This follows from the transformation

\[
r = \mp \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \ln\left(x \sqrt{-\frac{\Lambda}{3}}\right) \quad \text{or} \quad x = \sqrt{-\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \exp\left(\mp r \sqrt{-\frac{\Lambda}{3}}\right).
\]

\[
\exp\left(\pm \sqrt{-\frac{\Lambda}{3} r}\right) = -\frac{3}{\Lambda x^2} \Rightarrow dr = \mp \sqrt{-\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \frac{dx}{x}.
\]

$\varphi \in [0, \alpha) \to y \in \mathbb{R}$.

\[\text{References:} \quad ^{6}\text{The Levi-Civita parameter is denoted by } \sigma \text{ in the present paper. Its relation to the standard value } \sigma = m/2 \text{ follows from the transformation to the Levi-Civita metric in the limit } \Lambda \to 0; \text{ see, e.g., [10].}\]
which gives the conformally flat form of the AdS metric

\[ ds^2 = \frac{3}{(-\Lambda)x^2}[-dr^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2]. \]  
(A.14)

Solution (A.12) with the ‘+’ sign covers that part of the anti-de Sitter hyperboloid where \( x \in (0, \sqrt{-3/\Lambda}] \) while the ‘−’ solution is valid for \( x \in (\sqrt{-3/\Lambda}, \infty) \). However, the axis of (A.12) is not located at \( r = 0 \) (circumference \( C = 2\pi \))—rather, it is at \( r \to \infty \) for the ‘−’ sign which corresponds to \( x \to \infty \) and is not present in the spacetime at all for the ‘+’ sign as follows from the expression for the circumference

\[ C = 2\pi \exp(\pm r\sqrt{-3/\Lambda}/x) = 2\pi \sqrt{-3/\Lambda}/x. \]

Finally, we had to extend the range of \( \varphi \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) otherwise we would only have a strip of the resulting spacetime. To cover the entire anti-de Sitter manifold, we need four such coordinate systems with \( r \in [0, \infty) \) or two systems with \( r \in (-\infty, \infty) \).

Appendix B. Asymptotic comparison of Schwarzschild–anti-de Sitter and \( \Lambda < 0 \)

We first give the transformation of the anti-de Sitter metric from the Schwarzschild coordinates \((T, R, \Theta, \Phi)\) to the horospherical coordinates \((t, r, z, \varphi)\)

\[
T = \alpha \arctan \frac{\alpha^2 - t^2 + \varphi^2 + z^2 + \alpha^2 \exp(\pm 2r/\alpha)}{2\alpha t},
\]

\[
R = \frac{\exp(\mp r/\alpha)}{\alpha} \sqrt{\alpha^2(\varphi^2 + z^2) + [\alpha^2 + t^2 - \varphi^2 - z^2 - \alpha^2 \exp(\pm 2r/\alpha)]^2/4},
\]

\[
\Theta = \arctan \frac{2\alpha \sqrt{\varphi^2 + z^2}}{\alpha^2 + t^2 - \varphi^2 - z^2 - \alpha^2 \exp(\pm 2r/\alpha)},
\]

\[
\Phi = \arctan \frac{z}{\varphi},
\]

where \( \alpha^2 = 3/(-\Lambda) \). The inverse transformation reads

\[
t = \frac{\alpha R \cos \Theta + \sqrt{R^2 + \alpha^2} \sin \frac{\varphi}{\alpha}}{R \cos \Theta + \sqrt{R^2 + \alpha^2} \sin \frac{T}{\alpha}},
\]

\[
r = \pm \alpha \ln \frac{\alpha}{R \cos \Theta + \sqrt{R^2 + \alpha^2} \sin \frac{T}{\alpha}},
\]

\[
z = \frac{\alpha R \sin \Theta \sin \Phi}{R \cos \Theta + \sqrt{R^2 + \alpha^2} \sin \frac{T}{\alpha}},
\]

\[
\varphi = \frac{\alpha R \sin \Theta \cos \Phi}{R \cos \Theta + \sqrt{R^2 + \alpha^2} \sin \frac{T}{\alpha}}.
\]

Applying this transformation to \( ds^2 = -(1 + r^2/\alpha^2) dt^2 + dr^2/(1 + r^2/\alpha^2) + r^2 d\Omega^2 \) yields metric (A.12). We now take the Schwarzschild–anti-de Sitter metric \( ds^2 = -(1 - 2M/r + r^2/\alpha^2) dt^2 + dr^2/(1 - 2M/r + r^2/\alpha^2) + r^2 d\Omega^2 \) and transform it using (B.1) with the lower
The radial dependence of the individual metric components expanded in a series thus coincides up to $e^{-\tilde{z}^i}$. For a discussion, see pages 2 and 3.

**Appendix C. Bound on $M_1$**

In our previous work, we proved that $M_1 \leq 1/4$ in all cases with Minkowski inside and a general LC outside, cf equation (6) in [1]. For $\Lambda \neq 0$, we presented in the main text above several examples with an upper bound on $M_1$, e.g., if the shell is composed of counter-rotating particles and we further assume $\Lambda_- = \Lambda_+=\Lambda < 0$ and put $\sigma_- = 0$, $C_- = 1$ so that there is no singularity within the entire spacetime, see figure 1. Here, we generalize this result without assuming a specific form of $T_{\mu \nu}$ and admitting even different values of $\Lambda_-$ and $\Lambda_+$. We only assume $\Lambda_- \leq \Lambda_+$ and $r_- \leq r_+$.

We proceed from the general form of the induced energy–momentum tensor with $\sigma_+ = 0$, $C_- = 1$ (cf (3.1))

$$S_{TT} = \frac{-\Lambda_+/3}{8\pi \sinh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_+}r_+)} \begin{pmatrix} -2 \cosh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_+}r_+) + \frac{-4\sigma_+^2 + 8\sigma_+ - 1}{4\sigma_+^2 - 2\sigma_+ + 1} \\ + \frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda_-/3}}{8\pi} (2 \cosh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_-}r_-) + 1) \end{pmatrix} \sinh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_-}r_-).$$

(C.1)

Circumference $C$ is given by $2\pi \sqrt{g_{\psi}(\Lambda_- r_-)}$ and $M_1 = C(\Lambda_-, r_-)S_{TT}(\sigma_+, \Lambda_\pm, r_\pm)$ is thus a function of five independent parameters. Evaluating $dM_1/d\sigma_+$, we find the extremum at $\sigma_+ = 1/2$. We can thus write

$$S_{TT}|_{\sigma_+=1/2} = \frac{-\Lambda_+/3}{8\pi \sinh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_+}r_+)} \begin{pmatrix} -2 \cosh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_+}r_+) + 2 \\ + \frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda_-/3}}{8\pi} (2 \cosh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_-}r_-) + 1) \end{pmatrix} \sinh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_-}r_-).$$

(C.2)
This function reaches its maximum value at \( r_+ = 0 \):

\[
S_{TT}|_{r_+ = 0} = \frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda_-}/3}{8\pi} \frac{(2\cosh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_-} r_-) + 1)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_-} r_-)}.
\]

(C.3)

Introducing a new variable \( X = \sqrt{-3\Lambda_-} r_-/2 \), we find

\[
M_1 = \frac{(2\cosh 2X + 1) \sinh X}{6 \cosh^{1/3}(X) \sinh 2X} = \frac{4 \cosh^2 X - 1}{12 \cosh^{4/3} X}.
\]

(C.4)

This is not bounded. However, we already found a physically plausible condition limiting the range of \( r_- \), namely \( r_+ \geq r_- \) (see the discussion below (3.3)). Hence, instead of maximizing (C.2) by \( r_+ = 0 \), we set \( r_+ = r_- \). Analogously to the situation with \( r_+ \) and \( r_- \), we find that for \( \Lambda_+ \) and \( \Lambda_- \) completely independent, \( M_1 \) can diverge again. However, assuming \( r_+ \geq r_- \) and, in addition, \( \Lambda_+ \geq \Lambda_- \), we finally arrive at

\[
M_1 = \frac{1}{4 \cosh^{4/3}(\sqrt{-3\Lambda_-} r_-/2)}.
\]

(C.5)

Thus for any values of \( r_- \) and \( \Lambda_- \) we always have \( M_1 \leq 1/4 \). It can be shown that the bound \( M_1 \leq 1/4 \) applies to the case \( \Lambda_- > 0 \) and \( \Lambda_+ < 0 \) as well.

Let us summarize: with a vanishing cosmological constant, \( \Lambda_+ = \Lambda_- = 0 \), the bound \( M_1 \leq 1/4 \) holds always if the entire spacetime is regular as proved in [1]. Here we have shown that admitting a nonzero cosmological constant, we have to require not only a regular spacetime but also additional conditions, such as \( r_+ \geq r_- \) and \( \Lambda_+ \geq \Lambda_- \).
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