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Abstract. The objective of this study is to develop a design space for selecting porous titanium alloy femoral stems with different stiffnesses for fatigue applications. Finite element models of stems incorporating porous structure are constructed to provide different stem stiffness. The effective material properties obtained from compression tests of these porous structures are used to model simplified femoral solid stems with porosities of ranging from 20 – 90%. By using appreciable fatigue failure criterion, a fatigue design space is determined with factor of safety Nf > 1.1. The developed design space provides designers means to design safe porous stems.

1 Introduction

Total hip replacement (THR) by using metallic hip stems is a common procedure to repair damaged femurs [1]. Titanium alloys medical grade is proven to have excellent biocompatibility properties due to its excellent mechanical and biological properties [2-4]. Dense titanium stems exhibit stiffness which is approximately 6–7 times to that of the femur bone. Because of this mismatch in stiffness, cementless dense titanium stem causes aseptic loosening primarily due to stress shielding.

In order to overcome this, many researchers have investigated different designs by finite element approach. These computational models have been successfully used to investigate the biomechanical responses due to external stimuli of tissues and implants [5-13]. Some studies have focused on modifying the hip stems using various materials and designs [4, 14, 15]. Furthermore, carbon-fiber-reinforced polyetheretherketone (CFR-PEEK) composites, porous biomaterials, and new designs of stems have been recently proposed to overcome the aforementioned complications [16-20]. Some other researchers focused on introducing various geometrical profiles and functionally graded materials [21-23]. Non-stochastic cellular structures were also examined however limited to small samples that are used for bone grafting and repairing the segmental bone defects, among others. Recently, a few studies focused on designing porous and semi porous hip stems to repair the damaged femur [2, 4, 20, 24-28]. Different types of cellular structures including the cubic, diamond, and body-centered-cubic (BCC) types were used to test the mechanical properties of microstructures. These studies reveal that the fatigue limit of porous cellular microstructures corresponded to 20–30% of the yield strength of porous cellular microstructure, which is extremely low when compared to that of dense Ti [29-33]. Therefore, the fatigue limit of porous cellular microstructures is extremely important while designing porous or semi porous stems. A challenging task involves reducing the stiffness of the porous stem while simultaneously achieving a good fatigue limit (>5×10⁶ cycles). Thus, the aim of this study is to develop a design space for porous stems with different stiffness values to survive under a fatigue load (see Figure. 1). The stems were modelled according to the ISO 7206-4 standard, and loads and boundary conditions were applied.

Figure 1. Analysis steps to determine the design space and factor of safety
2 Finite element model

As shown in Figure 1, the finite element (FE) analysis consists of two parts: (1) to determine the effective stiffness and yield strength of different porosities of a representative volume, (2) to determine fatigue performance of these porous stems. For the first part, a 3D finite element models of porous microstructure consisting of struts arranged in a periodical manner was constructed using a commercially available finite element code, ABAQUS 6.17. Porosities of approximately 20 – 90% was achieved by varying the strut thickness as depicted in Table 1. This porous structure is modelled as cubes with dimensions of $16 \times 16 \times 16$ mm$^3$ as shown in Figure 2. Mesh size of 0.2 mm is used to generate C3D10 tetrahedral elements. The sensitivity of the mesh size was obtained in a previous study [23], and a size of 0.2 mm was observed to be optimal. The total displacement is applied in 10 increments with an increment size of 0.1 to yield elastic and plastic regions of the stress–strain curves. Table 1 also depicts the effective stiffness and yield strength obtained from the analysis. Mechanical properties of titanium alloy used is shown in the appendix of this paper.

![3D porous structure](image)

**Figure 2.** Finite element analysis of porous structures: details of porosity, loading and boundary conditions to obtain effective stiffness and effective yield strength.

| Strut thickness (mm) | Porosity (%) | Effective elastic modulus (GPa) | Effective yield strength, $\sigma_y$ (MPa) | $0.3\sigma_y$ (MPa) |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 0.5                 | 90           | 4                             | 40                              | 10               |
| 0.5                 | 70           | 9                             | 50                              | 30               |
| 0.7                 | 60           | 18                            | 190                             | 50               |
| 0.9                 | 50           | 32                            | 300                             | 90               |
| 1.0                 | 30           | 54                            | 530                             | 160              |
| 1.3                 | 20           | 77                            | 760                             | 230              |

The second part of the FE analysis conducted was on the porous stems with physiological cyclic loads, and thus the ISO 7206-4 standard was followed to test the fatigue limit of the stems as shown in Figure 3. The stems are inserted in epoxy (3.7 GPa) with orientations of $10^\circ$ in the ventral plane and $9^\circ$ in the lateral plane according to ISO 7206-4. The distance from the head of the stem to the surface of the epoxy is fixed at 80 mm. Normal body weight of a patient is assumed as 800 N although the contact forces on hip joint react with approximately 250–300% of the body weight [34, 35]. Therefore, a load of 2.3 kN is used axially on the head of the stem (ISO 7206-4) in 10 increments. The minimum load is set to 230 N to use the stress ratio of $R=0.1$ during the fatigue test. Additionally, $\sigma_{\text{min}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ are computed as 230 N and 2.3 kN, respectively. The epoxy is fixed in all directions. A mesh size of 1 mm was used to generate C3D10 tetrahedral elements in the stem and epoxy. Furthermore, around 75,000 elements were used to mesh the stem, and approximately 190,000 elements were used to mesh the epoxy. The tie condition was used between the stem and epoxy. A rigid body plate was tied with the stem head to apply the load and to avoid any stress concentration.

![Load 2.1 kN](image)

**Figure 3.** Detail of finite element models of femoral stems testing method of femoral stem according to ISO 7206-4 standard

Stiffness of the stem is a function of effective elastic moduli and outer dense shell thickness. A stem should satisfy the fatigue limit (5 million cycles) when tested according to the ISO 7206-4 standard. Therefore, a design space is determined by considering multiple criteria that include the stiffness of the stem within the range of the stiffness of bones. It should be noted here that the stresses in that porous titanium structures fatigue limit is typically in the range of 0.2–0.3$\sigma_s$ of the bulk titanium [29, 30, 36, 37]). Overlapping these spaces determines the design space of the porous stems that is safe under the fatigue load. Factor of safety of porous stems is also calculated using the Soderberg theory [33]. The approach is efficient in terms of estimating the stem fatigue limit under high cyclic loads. The Soderberg approach consists of mean ($\sigma_m$) and alternating ($\sigma_a$) stresses generated in the porous stems.

3 Results and discussion

Different porosities are tested under uniaxial compression to compute the effective mechanical properties, which in return was used for modeling the porous stems. An initial
design space envelope as a function of 0.3σ_{y3} was developed as a function different porous structures with porosities ranging from 20 – 90%. For the design space, the stiffness, stresses in the porous section, and stresses in the dense section are calculated to map the design space for porous stems as shown in Figure 4. The yellow regime demonstrates the accepted range of stiffness of proximal femur (N/mm). The green space shows endurance limit of 3D printed Ti (<500 MPa). The grey coloured area is where the stress is less than 0.3 of the yield strength of the porous structure. The design space allows freedom to design stems with different stiffness values and porosities without failure under the fatigue loads as shown in Figure 4. The black colour dotted lined area denotes a safe design space that can last for a minimum of 5 million cycles under physiological loads. Different combinations of dense shell thickness and effective elastic modulus are selected within the area to achieve the desired stiffness of the stem. Different stiffness values of the stems can be selected by a surgeon based on a patient’s age, sex, bone quality, and trauma.

The next results will show the final design space by incorporating the fatigue analysis (Soderberg approach).

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Design space determined using stiffness, stresses in porous section and stresses in dense section

Maximum and minimum von Mises stresses are obtained via finite element analyses when an alternating load in the range of 230–2300 N is applied to the stem. Stresses in porous (inner core) and solid section (outer dense shell) are computed as shown in Figure 5. In this figure, a porosity of approximate 60% with different thicknesses of the outer dense shells is illustrated to visualize stresses in the porous and dense parts of the stems. When the thickness of the dense shell increases, the stresses in the solid and porous section decrease due to increases in the stiffness. Alternative and mean stresses are calculated for porous and dense parts of the stem.

A factor of safety is calculated for the solid and porous parts of stems with different effective elastic moduli. It is observed that almost all the cases exhibited a factor of safety exceeding one, hence most porous sections with outer dense shells with thickness values of 1.5 and 2 mm survive under the fatigue load. We combine all the possible stems that can survive the fatigue loads with dense shell and porous sections by considering Nf > 1.1 and plot the same in Figure 6 where the blue coloured area denotes the design space with the safety factor and the grey coloured space is calculated using the yield strength of the porous structures. Figure 6 depicts this final design space.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** Stresses (MPa) in porous section of stem with different outer shell thickness

![Figure 6](image-url)  
**Figure 6.** Design spaces calculated using Soderberg approach for safety factor of Nf > 1.1 and, stiffness, stresses in porous section and stresses in dense section

4 Conclusion

The aim of this study was to design cementless stems by considering fatigue limit. In the present study, finite element models of porous microstructures with porosities of 20–90% were constructed. The porous structures were subjected to uniaxial compressive loads to compute their mechanical properties. The stems were subjected to loads, boundary conditions, and orientations according to the ISO 7206-4 standard to estimate the stiffness, design space, and endurance limit. The following conclusions were obtained from this study:

- Additionally, 0.3σ_{y3} corresponded to a reasonable criterion to design a porous structure under fatigue loads when a stress ratio of 0.1 is used.
- Effective mechanical properties of porous structures can be efficiently used to model the porous stems with different porosities in the range of 20–90%.
- The design space was successfully determined using the stiffnesses of the stems matching those of the bone, stresses in the porous sections of the stems, and stresses in the outer dense shells.
- A factor of safety was determined using the Soderberg approach to estimate the design space for porous stem that is only dependent on the fatigue limit.
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Appendix

| Tensile properties | Yield strength | Ultimate tensile strength |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
|                    | 982 MPa         | 1115 MPa                |

Source: International Journal of Scientific Reports (2017), Vol 3(11), pp 288-291