Identification of novel genes associated with fracture healing in osteoporosis induced by Krm2 overexpression or Lrp5 deficiency
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Abstract. The aim of the present study was to screen potential key genes associated with osteoporotic fracture healing. The microarray data from the Gene Expression Omnibus database accession number GSE51686, were downloaded and used to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in fracture callus tissue samples obtained from the femora of type 1 collagen (Col1a1)-kringle containing transmembrane protein 2 (Krm2) mice and low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5−/− (Lrp5−/−) transgenic mice of osteoporosis compared with those in wild-type (WT) mice. Enrichment analysis was performed to reveal the DEG function. In addition, protein-protein interactions (PPIs) of DEGs were analyzed using the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes database. The coexpression associations between hub genes in the PPI network were investigated, and a coexpression network was constructed. A total of 841 DEGs (335 upregulated and 506 downregulated) were identified in the Col1a1-Krm2 vs. the WT group, and 50 DEGs (16 upregulated and 34 downregulated) were identified in the Lrp5−/− vs. the WT group. The DEGs in Col1a1-Krm2 mice were primarily associated with immunity and cell adhesion (GO: 0007155) functions. By contrast, the DEGs in Lrp5−/− mice were significantly associated with muscle system process (GO: 0003012) and regulation of transcription (GO: 0006355). In addition, a series of DEGs demonstrated a higher score in the PPI network, and were observed to be coexpressed in the coexpression network, and included thrombospondin 2 (Thbs2), syndecan 2 (Sdc2), Fkbp10 binding protein 10 (Fkbp10), 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthase-like protein 2 (Ifit2) and 2′-5′ oligoadenylate synthase-like protein 2 (Oasl2), interferon induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats (Ifit1) and Ifit2. Thbs2 and Sdc2 were significantly correlated with extracellular matrix-receptor interactions. The results suggest that Thbs2, Sdc2, Fkbp10, Oasl2, Ifit1 and Ifit2 may serve important roles during the fracture healing process in osteoporosis. In addition, this is the first study to demonstrate that Sdc2, Fkbp10, Oasl2, Ifit1 and Ifit2 may be associated with osteoporotic fracture healing.

Introduction

Osteoporotic fracture is a common event in the elderly, resulting in substantial mortality, and the mortality rate of hip fracture for 6 months is ~10-20% (1). The prevalence of osteoporotic fractures, hip fractures in particular, is increasing in many regions of the world (2). Current therapies focus on the prevention and treatment of osteoporotic fractures; however, this may easily lead to complications, thus it remains a worldwide public health concern. Therefore, a greater understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms of fracture healing in the osteoporotic bone is required, as well as identifying candidate biomarkers for osteoporotic fracture therapies.

Over the past few years, a number of remarkable achievements have been made in the genetic study of fracture healing in osteoporosis. One such study demonstrated that transgenesis of bone morphogenetic protein-2 promotes fracture healing in osteoporosis by inducing increased callus density and a larger cross-sectional callus area (3). During remodeling of fractured bone, parathyroid hormone (PTH) promotes the formation of osteoclasts...
to restore the mechanical strength and structure of bones, and polymorphisms in genes encoding PTH influence the genetic regulation of bone mineral density (4). Low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5) serves a significant functional role in skeletal homeostasis, and mutations in LRP5 induce a variety of bone density-associated diseases (5). Lrp5 deficiency results in decreased osteoblast proliferation and function, which induces a low bone mass phenotype (6). Kringle containing transmembrane protein 2 (KREMEN2), also known as KRM2, is a high-affinity transmembrane receptor of dickkopf homolog 1, and is thought to be a regulator of bone remodeling (7). It has been demonstrated that Krm2−/− mice develop a high bone mass phenotype and overexpression of Krm2 in type I collagen (Colla1)-Krm2 transgenic mice induces severe osteoporosis with decreased levels of osteoblasts and elevated osteoclast differentiation (8). Using a model of fracture healing in Colla1-Krm2 transgenic mice and Lrp5−/− mice, a previous study revealed that fracture healing is greatly damaged in Colla1-Krm2 transgenic mice and Lrp5−/− mice; however, the Colla1-Krm2 mice were more severely impaired than Lrp5−/− mice (9). In addition, this previous study identified a set of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the two mouse models using microarray analysis (9). However, DEG interactions and functions require further investigation in order to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing.

In order to investigate the interactions and functions of DEGs in Colla1-Krm2 transgenic mice and Lrp5−/− mice further, the microarray data obtained by Liedert et al (9) were analyzed in the present study. Following identification of DEGs, enrichment analysis was performed. In addition, protein-protein interactions (PPIs) of DEGs and hub genes in the PPI network were analyzed. Furthermore, coexpression associations between hub genes and additional DEGs were examined. These results may contribute to a greater understanding of the effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing, and provide novel information that facilitates the development of future clinical therapies for osteoporotic fractures.

Materials and methods

Affymetrix microarray data. The raw gene expression profile dataset GSE51686 (9) was obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The data was generated by the (Mouse430_2) Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Array platform (GEO accession, GPL1261; Affymetrix, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). This dataset contained 9 fracture callus tissue samples obtained from the femora at 10 days following osteotomy in the wild-type (WT) mice (n=3), Colla1-Krm2 transgenic mice with severe osteoporosis (n=3), and Lrp5−/− mice with low bone mass (n=3), respectively. All mice were female and 26 weeks of age.

The CEL and probe annotation files for this dataset were downloaded. The raw expression data were preprocessed by background correction, quantile normalization and probe summarization using the robust microarray analysis algorithm in the affy package (version 3.3.2) (10) of Bioconductor (version 3.4; http://www.bioconductor.org/). Subsequently, the org.Hs.eg.db (version 3.4.0) (11) and illuminaHumanv3.db (version 1.26.0) (12) packages of Bioconductor were used to translate probe identifications (IDs) to gene symbols. If one gene symbol was matched by multiple probe IDs, the mean expression value was selected as the expression level of this gene.

Identification of DEGs. DEGs in Colla1-Krm2 mice and Lrp5−/− mice compared with the WT controls were identified using the linear models for microarray data (LIMMA) package (version 3.30.3; http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/limma.html) (13), which is a commonly used tool for the identification of DEGs. The P-value for each gene was calculated using the unpaired t-test in LIMMA, which was then adjusted for the false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini-Hochberg method (14). Only the genes with FDR values <0.05 and log2 fold change values ≥0.5 were selected as DEGs.

The Venny online tool (version 2.0; http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html) (15) was utilized to construct Venn diagrams for the upregulated and downregulated genes identified between the Colla1-Krm2 vs. WT and Lrp5−/− vs. WT groups.

Enrichment analysis of DEGs. Functional Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses of upregulated and downregulated genes were performed using the Database for Annotation Visualization and Integrated Discovery (version 6.8; http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) database (16). The P-value was calculated using the modified Fisher’s exact test, and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. A gene count in each term ≥2 was set as the cut-off criteria. Additional parameters were set to the default values.

Construction of PPI networks. PPIs of DEGs were obtained from the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes database (version 10.0; http://string-db.org/), which integrates a variety of known and predicted protein associations (17). The combined score for each PPI was calculated, and a score of >0.4 was set as the cut-off criterion. Additional parameters were set to the default values. The PPI network was visualized using the Cytoscape software (version 3.4.0; http://cytoscape.org/), which is an open access software for visualizing biomolecular networks (18). In the network, ‘node’ represents a gene or protein, and ‘line’ represents an interaction between the two nodes. The degree of each node (number of interactions with other proteins) is equal to the number of nodes that interacted with this node.

Analysis of hub genes in the PPI network. Hub genes refer to the relatively key genes in the network. Hub genes were identified using three centricty methods in the PPI network, including the degree centrality (19), betweenness centrality (20) and subgraph centrality methods (21). The scores obtained from the degree, betweenness and subgraph methods were calculated using the CytoNCA plug-in (version 2.1.6) (22) in Cytoscape. High scores for the degree, betweenness and subgraph methods indicated that the nodes
were more significant in the network. Hierarchical clustering of hub genes with higher scores was performed using the pvclust R package (version 1.3-2) (23).

Coexpression associations of hub genes with DEGs. The Pearson's correlation coefficient (PCC) method (24) was used to identify the coexpression associations of hub genes with other DEGs. Only coexpression associations with PCC values of >0.9 were selected for analysis. A PCC value of >0 indicated that the two genes were positively correlated, and a PCC value of <0 indicated that the two genes were negatively correlated.

Results

Statistical analysis. Based on the cut-off criteria, a total of 841 DEGs (335 upregulated and 506 downregulated) and 50 DEGs (16 upregulated and 34 downregulated) were identified in the Col1a1-Krm2 and Lrp5−/− mice when compared with WT mice, respectively. When compared with WT mice, 12 of these genes were upregulated and 25 were downregulated in the Col1a1-Krm2 and Lrp5−/− mice (Fig. 1).

DEG function. To further reveal gene function in the two groups, GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses were performed. In the Col1a1-Krm2 vs. WT group, the upregulated genes were primarily associated with hemopoiesis (GO: 0030097), hemopoietic or lymphoid organ (GO: 0048534) and immune system development (GO: 0002520), as well as pathways associated with primary immunodeficiency (mmu05340) and nitrogen metabolism (mmu00910) (Fig. 2A). The downregulated genes were significantly associated with cell adhesion (GO: 0007155) and regulation of the smoothened signaling pathway (mmu04340) and cell adhesion molecules (mmu04514) (Fig. 2A).

In the Lrp5−/− vs. WT group, the upregulated genes were implicated in muscle contraction (GO: 0006936) and muscle system process (GO: 0003012) (Fig. 2B). The downregulated genes were markedly associated with the regulation of
transcription (GO: 0006355) and RNA metabolic processes (GO: 0051252) (Fig. 2B). No significant pathways were enriched by the upregulated genes.

**Analysis of PPI network.** In order to determine interactions between DEGs, a PPI network was constructed. The network was composed of 551 nodes and 1,608 PPIs (Fig. 3). Based on the centrality methods, the top 40 nodes with the highest scores in the PPI network were selected as hub genes for further analysis, including 2’-5’-oligoadenylate synthase-like protein 2 (Oasl2), thrombospondin 2 (Thbs2), syndecan 2 (Sdc2), FK506 binding protein 10 (Fkbp10), interferon induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats (Ifit) 1 and Ifit2 (Table I). Following the removal of duplicates in Table I, a total of 66 genes remained, which were clustered into two groups and used to distinguish the WT, Col1a1-Krm2 and Lrp5⁻/⁻ samples in a heat map (Fig. 4).

The 66 hub genes were significantly associated to the five signaling pathways (Table II). Matrix metalloproteinase (Mmp) 2 and Mmp9 were associated with the leukocyte transendothelial migration pathway, whereas Thbs2 and Sdc2 were associated with the extracellular matrix (ECM)-receptor interaction pathway. The protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type C and Sdc2 were implicated in the cell adhesion molecule pathway (Table II).

**Analysis of the coexpression network.** In order to investigate the coexpression associations between the selected hub genes and additional DEGs, a coexpression network was constructed. A total of 21 hub genes were determined to coexpress with additional DEGs (Fig. 5). A set of hub genes were observed to coexpress with each other, including Thbs2, Sdc2 and Fkbp10, as well as Oasl2, Ifit1 and Ifit2 (Fig. 5).

![Figure 3. Protein-protein interaction network of differentially expressed genes as determined using the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes database (http://string-db.org/). Each node represents a protein, and each line represents the interaction between the two proteins.](image-url)
Table I. Continued.

### A. Subgraph

| Node  | Score         |
|-------|---------------|
| Nmi   | 25586.03      |
| Frk   | 25365.84      |
| Loxl1 | 24301.15      |
| Lox   | 23572.33      |
| Isg20 | 21952.91      |
| Rhoc  | 20744.50      |
| Mdkg  | 20643.03      |
| Fstl1 | 20386.14      |
| Ddx41 | 19990.48      |
| Sdc1  | 18627.42      |
| Serpinh1 | 17851.99 |
| Gpx8  | 17771.15      |
| Rcn3  | 17400.74      |
| Cdf68 | 17032.79      |
| Sdc2  | 14213.72      |
| Fkbp10| 14067.95      |

### B. Degree

| Node  | Score         |
|-------|---------------|
| Loxl1 | 16.00         |
| Lox   | 16.00         |
| Frk   | 16.00         |
| Fgfi2 | 16.00         |
| Smc2  | 16.00         |
| Ih44  | 15.00         |
| Cd68  | 15.00         |
| Fkbp10| 15.00         |

Table I. Continued.

### C. Betweenness

| Node  | Score         |
|-------|---------------|
| Ptpre | 30306.94      |
| Mmp2  | 30018.82      |
| Pena  | 26498.73      |
| Oasl2 | 24770.62      |
| Frk   | 20782.62      |
| Rhoc  | 17367.36      |
| Acacb | 16584.40      |
| Mmp9  | 16291.33      |
| Spna1 | 13323.41      |
| Mapk13| 13268.12      |
| Prkar2b| 11615.84     |
| Gpx8  | 11494.19      |
| Slc2a4| 11248.73      |
| Ddx41 | 10606.64      |
| Obscn | 10462.83      |
| Pfas  | 9557.37       |
| Psmb9 | 9042.65       |
| Col5a1| 8806.07       |
| Rps6ka1| 8625.42      |
| Pcolce| 7343.19       |
| Mmp14 | 6612.19       |
| Actn3 | 6597.27       |
| Dlg3  | 6507.87       |
| Msan  | 6370.79       |
| Myah4 | 6325.04       |
| Alas2 | 6084.76       |
| Fgf2  | 5320.19       |
| Glr3x5| 5243.41       |
| Mdk   | 5180.35       |
| S14a1 | 5082.71       |
| Atp8a1| 5063.36       |
| Copb2 | 5019.16       |
| Pparg1a| 4905.46      |
| Cdf68 | 4728.94       |
| H2-Aa | 4708.25       |
| Cxcr4 | 4565.29       |
| Ncf4  | 4559.99       |
| Rps3  | 4274.92       |
| Hk2   | 4171.70       |
| Thbs2 | 3979.35       |
Discussion

In the present study, a set of 841 DEGs (335 upregulated and 506 downregulated) and 50 DEGs (16 upregulated and 34 downregulated) were identified in the *Col1a1-Krm2* vs. WT and *Lrp5*-/- vs. WT groups, respectively. A number of DEGs demonstrated a high score in the PPI network, and were coexpressed in the coexpression network. These genes included *Thbs2*, *Sdc2*, and *Fkbp10*, as well as *Oasl2*, *Ifit1*, and *Ifit2*. *Thbs2* and *Sdc2* were associated with the ECM-receptor interaction pathway.

*Thbs2* is a part of the thrombospondin family and mediates cell-to-cell and cell-to-matrix interactions (25). A previous review reported that disrupted *Thbs2* expression increases cortical bone density, accelerates fracture healing, induces resistance to ovariectomy-induced bone loss and alters the pattern of load-induced bone formation (26). In *Thbs2*-null mice, marrow-derived osteoprogenitor cells are increased, and endosteal bone formation is promoted, indicating that *Thbs2* modulates the proliferation of osteoprogenitor cells and bone remodeling (27,28). *Sdc2* functions as an integral membrane protein and mediates cell-to-matrix interactions via its ECM protein receptor (29). *Sdc2* is a crucial determinant of chemosensitivity in osteoblasts, and it stimulates the mitogenic activity of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (30). *Fkbp10* is a part of the FKBP-type peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerase family and interacts with collagens (31). A homozygous splicing mutation in *Fkbp10* leads to osteogenesis imperfecta with a mineralization defect via a reduction in bone collagen content (32,33). There is no direct evidence to implicate *Sdc2* and *Fkbp10* in osteoporotic fracture healing, however, they are thought to coexpress with *Thbs2*. Therefore, *Sdc2* and *Fkbp10*, as well as *Thbs2* may serve key roles during the fracture healing process in osteoporosis, via their coexpression associations with each other.

Figure 4. Heat map of hub genes in the protein-protein interaction network in WT, *Lrp5*- and *Col1a1-Krm2* mice. Each row represents a single gene and each column represents a sample. The gradual color alteration from orange to blue represents the process from upregulation to downregulation of genes. WT, wild-type; *Col1a1*, type I collagen; *Krm2*, kringle containing transmembrane protein 2; *Lrp5*, low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5.
In the present study, Oasl2, Ifit1 and Ifit2 demonstrated high scores in the PPI network and coexpressed with each other. Ifit1 and Ifit2 were interferon-induced proteins containing tetratricopeptide repeats (34). Ifit1 is known to be an important innate immune bottleneck (35). During the response of osteoblasts to immune cytokine interferon-β, the expression of Ifit1 is induced (36). Ifit2 and Oasl2 are involved in innate immunity (37,38). Only a limited number of studies have investigated the association between the Ifit1, Ifit2 and Oasl2 genes and fracture repair; however they present potential novel candidates for osteoporotic fracture repair therapies.

In the present study, the number of identified DEGs in the Col1a1-Krm2 vs. WT group was markedly higher than that observed in the Lrp5−/− vs. WT group, which was consistent with previous findings (9). According to the DEGs enrichment analysis, the DEGs in the Col1a1-Krm2 vs. WT group were primarily associated with immunity and cell adhesion. By contrast, the DEGs in the Lrp5−/− vs. WT group were significantly associated with muscle system processes (GO: 0003012) and the regulation of transcription (GO: 0006355). These results suggest that during the fracture repair process in osteoporosis, the DEGs induced by Krm2 overexpression or Lrp5 deficiency, and their functions, may be distinctly different.

Compared with the findings presented by Liedert et al (9), the present study identified the interactions and coexpression patterns among a set of genes, which was not determined previously. However, these predictions require validation in further studies. In a future study, the DEGs and their interactions will be determined in patients.

In conclusion, a series of DEGs, including Thbs2, Sdc2 and Fkbp10, as well as Oasl2, Ifit1 and Ifit2, demonstrated a significant role in the PPI network and were observed to form co-expression patterns. The results suggest that these genes may serve crucial roles during the fracture repair process in osteoporosis. Sdc2, Fkbp10, Oasl2, Ifit1 and Ifit2 were demonstrated to be novel genes associated with osteoporotic fracture healing.
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