Antitumor effect of a Pt-loaded nanocomposite based on graphene quantum dots combats hypoxia-induced chemoresistance of oral squamous cell carcinoma
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Background: Tumor microenvironment plays an important role in the chemoresistance of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). Hypoxia in the microenvironment is one of the important factors that contribute to OSCC chemoresistance; therefore overcoming hypoxia-mediated chemoresistance is one of the great challenges in clinical practice.

Methods: In this study, we developed a drug delivery system based on Pt-loaded, polyethylene glycol-modified graphene quantum dots via chemical oxidation and covalent reaction.

Results: Our results show that synthesized polyethylene glycol-graphene quantum dots-Pt (GPt) is about 5 nm in diameter. GPt sensitizes OSCC cells to its treatment in both normoxia and hypoxia conditions. Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry assay shows that GPt enhances Pt accumulation in cells, which leads to a notable increase of S phase cell cycle arrest and apoptosis of OSCC cells in both normoxia and hypoxic conditions. Finally, compared with free cisplatin, GPt exhibits a strong inhibitory effect on the tumor growth with less systemic drug toxicity in an OSCC xenograft mouse tumor model.

Conclusion: Taken together, our results show that GPt demonstrates superiority in combating hypoxia-induced chemoresistance. It might serve as a novel strategy for future microenvironment-targeted cancer therapy.
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Introduction

Despite rapid advances in therapeutic technologies and extensive research, the 5-year survival rate of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) has not improved in recent years and remains at 40%–60%.1,2 Chemotherapy is an alternative choice for patients with lymph node metastasis or tumor relapse after surgery.3 According to the treatment protocols for OSCC, cisplatin (cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (II) [CDDP])-based chemotherapeutic regimens are the first-line drugs recommended for OSCC patients. Theoretically, CDDP is able to bind with cell DNA, which could later lead to cell cycle arrest and finally cell death.4 However, many OSCC patients do not respond to conventional chemotherapy well due to drug resistance. In addition, the therapeutic performance of CDDP is also strongly affected by its poor solubility, systemic toxicity, and drug resistance.5 Thus, new strategies to overcome the drawbacks of free CDDP in chemotherapy are urgently needed.

Chemoresistance is mediated by multiple factors, such as abnormal uptake and metabolism of drugs, drug inactivation, and phenotype changes of tumor cells, etc.6
Recently, many studies have shown that tumor microenvironment plays a pivotal role in the development of chemoresistance. Hypoxia around tumor cells is widely regarded as a prominent factor of this microenvironment. To date, accumulating evidence suggests that tumor hypoxia contributes greatly to chemoresistance. In accordance with previous reports, our group has also demonstrated that tumor hypoxia promotes OSCC resistance to the treatment of CDDP, with hypoxia inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) acting as a key mediator. Tumor microenvironment is a double-edged sword, because facts have proved that these special endogenous properties inside the tumor can be applied to trigger the release of cancer therapeutics. Vascular abnormalities and tumor metabolic activity seek increased glycolysis. Increased glycolysis leads to decreased pH and the pH could decline to 5. Currently, several studies have demonstrated that the acidic condition in the tumor site is a promising means of eliciting drug release. And, in our work, we found that drug molecules of Pt were preferentially released from the nanodrug in acidic conditions compared with the normal physical condition.

Currently, considerable efforts have been made to develop advanced drug delivery systems, aiming to improve the efficacy and reduce the side effects of chemotherapy. Recent studies have demonstrated that graphene quantum dots (GQDs) might be an ideal candidate. Different from conventional nanosized drug platforms, GQDs exhibit extraordinary drug loading capacity via strong π-π stacking interactions and functional modification. Notably, functionalized GQDs are assumed to have a single atomic layer and dimensions <20 nm. Even with the loading of traditional anticancer drugs, such as CDDP, they can still be prepared in nano-sizes, enabling them to easily pass through the nuclear pore complex and reside within the cells, and that might help combat hypoxia-induced drug efflux and chemoresistance. Moreover, GQDs are made from carbon ensuring good water solubility, extraordinary biocompatibility, minimal toxicity, and stable fluorescence.

In this study, we developed a tumor-targeted polyethylene glycol-graphene quantum dots-Pt (GPt) nanocomposite, based on polyethylene glycol (PEG)-modified GQDs to carry Pt. It was demonstrated that GPt could dramatically improve the chemotherapeutic efficacy for OSCC in vitro and in vivo, which might serve as a useful method for future tumor-targeted treatment.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials and agents**

Cis-Pt(NH₃)₂Cl₂ (purity >98%), 4arm-PEG-Amine, 1-ethyl-3-[3-dimethylaminopropyl]carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), N-hydroxsuccinimide (NHS), O-phenylenediamine (OPDA), and dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co (St Louis, MO, USA). OPDA was used as an adjuvant to detect the existence form of CDDP. CX-72 carbon black was purchased from Cabot Corporation, Boston, MA, USA. Other reagents were obtained from Adams-beta, Shanghai, China and Sigma-Aldrich Co. All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ-cm) from a Millipore system (Millipore SAS, Molsheim, France). Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, high glucose) and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were purchased from HyClone (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Biological Industries Israel Beit-Haemek, Kibbutz Beit-Haemek, Israel. Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate, an apoptosis detection kit (Vazyme Biotech Co, Ltd, Nanjing, China). A cell counting kit (CCK-8) was obtained from KeyGene Inc (Nanjing, China). Hypoxia environment in the patient-derived tongue tumor xenograft (PDX) model was detected with Hypoxyprobe™-1 Plus Kit and the probe was purchased from Hypoxyprobe Inc (Burlington, MA, USA).

**Preparation of graphene oxide (GO) quantum dots**

GQDs were prepared following the method reported by Dong et al. Briefly, 0.2 g dried CX-72 carbon black was added to 50 mL HNO₃ (6 M). The product was centrifuged (2,770×g) for 10 minutes to separate the supernatant and sediment. The sediment was washed with 1 M HCl and centrifuged (2,770×g) three times, and subsequently was dried under vacuum. Then, the dried sample was placed in 50 mL H₂O, and thereafter the solution was adjusted to a pH of about 8 with 10 mM ammonia water. Dialysis was performed for 24 hours with a 100 kDa molecular weight cutoff membrane (Amicon Ultra-4; Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Then, the supernatants were collected and freeze-dried to obtain GQDs.

**Synthesis and characterization of GQDs-COOH, Pt-GQDs-COOH, and PEG-GQDs-Pt (GPt)**

The surfaces of GQDs exhibit hydroxyl (OH), epoxy, and carboxyl (COOH) groups. To convert the OH and epoxy groups to COOH groups, 1.2 g NaOH and 1.0 g CICH₂COOH were added to 10 mL of aqueous GQDs solution (2 mg/mL), and the mixture was sonicated for 3 hours at room temperature to obtain GQDs-COOH.
The product, GQDs-COOH, was neutralized with HCl and filtered and rinsed with distilled water at least three times. CDDP was loaded onto the GQDs-COOH by forming a covalent bond. To identify the best reaction ratio between CDDP and GQDs-COOH, CDDP (10 µM in 10 M hydrochloric acid) was added into GQDs-COOH suspensions at different concentrations. The mixture was bath-sonicated for 30 minutes and then stirred overnight at room temperature. EDC (12 mg) and NHS (18 mg) were added to the 5 mL Pt-GQDs-COOH suspension at pH 5.6, and the mixture was sonicated for 15 minutes. 4-arm PEG-NH₂ (50 mg) was then added to the above suspension and stirred overnight at room temperature. The resultant solution was dispersed in 30 mL distilled water and immersed in a dialysis bag (molecular weight, 10,000 MD) to remove free substrates. Finally, the solution was dried with lyophilization to obtain GPt. To verify that CDDP had been attached to the GQDs, we used the method reported by Anilamert et al and Raghavan and Mulligan. The product of CDDP interacting with OPDA can exhibit an ultraviolet (UV) absorption peak at 705 nm based on the ligand-exchange reaction between CDDP and OPDA, thus producing a new complex with a maximum absorbance at 705 nm. OPDA (14 mg) was dissolved in 10 mL DMF to prepare an OPDA stock solution. A suspension of GPt (100 µL) was added into 100 µL OPDA stock solution, and the mixture was heated for 30 minutes at 90°C. After cooling to room temperature, a mixture of DMF/water (7/3, v/v) was added to a total volume of 1 mL. The absorbance of the product was monitored at 705 nm. The GPt obtained was dissolved in distilled water for further experiments and atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) was utilized to examine the Pt in the GPt solution.

UV–visible absorption spectra of GQDs, Pt-GQDs-COOH, and GPt in the spectral range of 200–500 nm were recorded by a UV-3600 UV–vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). Emission spectra were recorded by using an F-4600 spectrofluorophotometer (Hitachi Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Both examinations were conducted with a 2-nm slit width at a scan speed of 200 nm/min. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) were used to characterize the sizes of the GQDs and GPt. Diameter statics analysis was conducted by Nano Measurer 1.2 software (Jie Xu, Fudan University, Shanghai, China). Later on, dynamic light scattering (DLS; NanoPlus particle size analyzer; Brookhaven Instruments Corporation, Holtsville, NY, USA) was also utilized to detect the particle size of GQDs and GPt. In addition, the NanoPlus particle size analyzer was also utilized to detect the zeta potential of GQDs and GPt.

**Pt release**

The pH-responsive Pt-release behavior was observed using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Pt released from the GQDs was detected at pH 5.0 as follows: 5 mL of GPt was transfused into a dialysis bag (molecular weight: 10000 Da), and the bag was immersed in 30 mL of acetate buffer (pH 5) at room temperature. At each time point, the outer dialysate was collected and replaced with fresh acetate buffer. Pt released at pH 7.4 was evaluated using the same procedure except that the dialysate buffer was changed to PBS (pH 7.4). CDDP solutions with five concentrations served as standard samples.

**Cell lines and cell culture**

OSCC cell lines (HSC3, SCC4, and CAL-27) were obtained from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). Cells were cultured in a monolayer in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, and 100 U/mL penicillin in a humidified incubator (5% CO₂/20% O₂, normoxia or 5% CO₂/1% O₂, hypoxia) at 37°C. Confluent cells were trypsinized with 0.05% trypsin containing 0.02% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid.

**Cytotoxicity assay**

The toxicities of CDDP, GQDs, PEG, and GPt were tested using CCK-8 kits. Cells were plated in 96-well plates at densities of 5,500–6,500 cells in 100 µL complete culture medium. Blank control wells containing the same volume of complete culture medium were included in each assay. The microplate was incubated for 3–5 hours at 37°C until cells adhered to the plates. The cells were then washed with PBS buffer and incubated with CDDP, GQDs, PEG, and GPt, which were serially diluted in the complete culture medium before use. The plate was incubated for a further 24–36 hours. CCK-8 (10 µL) was added to each well. The optical density (OD) of formazan at 450 nm was recorded every 0.5 hour until the OD was 1.0–2.0. Six wells corresponded to each concentration of the above drugs. Cell viability was calculated as follows: cell viability = ([OD]test - [OD]control)/([OD]control - [OD]blank)×100%.

**Measurement of Pt inside cells**

The cell suspension was further lysed by sonication on an ultrasonic processor for 10 seconds at 20% amplitude. A portion of the lysate (750 µL) was then combined with 750 µL of a 2% HNO₃ (v/v) solution, and the remainder of the lysate was quantified for protein concentration by bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay. The 1% HNO₃ solution was analyzed.
for Pt content using a PerkinElmer NexION 300x ICP-MS instrument (PerkinElmer Inc, Waltham, MA, USA). Pt counts were normalized to the amount of protein determined from the BCA analysis (to obtain [Pt]/[protein]). Every experiment was repeated three times.

**Cell cycle analysis**

Flow cytometry was used to detect the cell cycle using cell cycle kits. HSC3 cells were fixed with 70% cooled ethanol for 2 hours at 4°C and then washed three times with PBS. After treatment with RNase for 15 minutes at 37°C, 50 µg/mL propidium iodide (PI) was added to the cells followed by incubation for 15 minutes in the dark. Samples were detected with a FACSVerse flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and the data obtained were analyzed by FlowJo (FlowJo LLC, Ashland, OR, USA).

**Apoptosis detection**

Cells (HSC3 and SCC4) were incubated with CDDP, GQDs, PEG, and GPt or without any treatment. The concentration of Pt was the mean of the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values of CDDP and GPt. These cells were cultured in six-well plates. Apoptotic cells were quantified by dual staining with Annexin V and PI. After washing with PBS, the cells were suspended in cold binding buffer. Annexin V (5 µL) and 100 µL PBS were added to each well for 10 minutes at 4°C. Subsequently, 200 µL binding buffer containing 5 µL PI was added. Finally, the entire mixture was incubated at 4°C for another 15 minutes. All the incubation was performed in the dark. Samples were detected with a FACSVerse flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and the data obtained were analyzed by FlowJo.

**Measurement of GPt distribution in cells**

Images were taken using a Nikon ECLIPSE Ti-S microscope (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). HSC3 cells were cultured with GPt and Pt-GQD-COOH for 2, 5, 8, and 24 hours, respectively. The cell membrane was stained with 1,1'-Dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (Dil) in red. Pt-GQDs-COOH was used as the control.

**Measurement of anticancer effect of GPt in xenograft tumor mouse model in vivo**

Tumors were transplanted in BALB/cJNu-Foxn1<sup>nu</sup>/Nju (4 weeks old) male mice via a hypodermic injection of 1×10<sup>6</sup> HSC3 cells suspended in 100 µL PBS solution in each mouse. Each tumor was measured with calipers, and its volume VT was calculated as V = L* W*W/2. Chemotherapy began when VT reached ~100 mm<sup>3</sup>. Mice were randomly stratified into four experimental groups (n=5/group), which received the following treatments via tail vein injection: group A, PBS (100 µL); group B, CDDP (concentration of Pt 5 mg/kg; 100 µL); group C, GQDs (200 µg/mL; 100 µL), and group D, GPt (concentration of Pt 5 mg/kg; 100 µL). Treatments were repeated every 3 days for a total of 15 days. Mice were monitored daily for tumor growth (using digital calipers), cachexia, and weight loss. Finally, the mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation under anesthesia.

**Histopathological analysis and immunohistochemistry (IHC)**

The major organs including heart, liver, kidney, and tumors were dissected and fixed in paraformaldehyde (PFA; 4%). Then, these tissues were dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Histologies were examined by a microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Tumor sections counterstained with hematoxylin for nuclear coloration were observed using the microscope. The expression of HIF-1α in neoplastic tissues was detected to investigate the hypoxia environment in tumor sections before and after treatment. Apoptosis in the tumor specimens from mice was performed by the terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP-biotin nick end labeling assay (TUNEL) assay using an in situ cell death kit (Hoffman-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The expression of HIF-1α and TUNEL in tumor tissues was detected by IHC. After treatment with the different formulations, tumor tissues were excised and fixed in 4% PFA until further use. The samples were rehydrated through a graded alcohol series before embedding with paraffin. The expression of HIF-1α proteins in these tissues was evaluated using biotinylated rabbit anti-human HIF-1α antibodies (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab216842).

**Measurement of distribution of Pt inside tissues**

ICP-MS (NexION 350D; PerkinElmer Inc) was used to detect the distribution of Pt inside major organs and tumors. Tumors and major organs were dissected from the mice after cervical dislocation and weighed. For ICP-MS analysis, solutions with five concentrations of CDDP (500, 50, 5, 0.5, and 0.05 ng/mL) were configured as standard samples. Tissue homogenate was digested with nitric acid for 20 minutes and then sonicated for 10 minutes. After all digests were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 minutes, 0.5 mL of supernatant was removed and diluted to 1 mL with redistilled water for analysis by ICP-MS. The amount of Pt was calculated using the standard samples.
Statistical analysis
All data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical differences were measured using an unpaired two-sided Student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance for multiple comparisons when appropriate. A p-value <0.05 was considered as a statistically significant difference. SPSS (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA), GraphPad (GraphPad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA, USA), and Origin (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA) software were used for the statistical analysis. Nano Measurer 1.2 was used to analyze the size of nanodrugs. Every experiment was repeated at least three times.

Ethics statement
Institutional review board approval was obtained from Nanjing Stomatological Hospital Ethics Committee (approval number, 2016NL-016[KS]). All animal experiments and experimental protocols were in accordance with the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Medical School of Nanjing University.

Results
Preparation and characterization of GQDs, GQDs-Pt, and GPt
The preparation process of GPt is illustrated in Figure 1. Materials were characterized by UV absorption, fluorescence emission, DLS, TEM, and AFM. UV–visible spectroscopy showed that the absorbance of GQDs ranged from 200 to 350 nm; however, there was an obvious extra small peak between 250 and 300 nm after Pt was loaded onto the GQDs (Figure 2A). GPt could be excited at 200 and 405 nm (Figure 2B). Intriguingly, strong emission could also be observed at 405 nm (Figure 2B). The interaction between GQDs and CDDP was verified by the decrease in UV–visible absorbance at 705 nm (Figure 2C). The UV–visible spectra of CDDP and OPDA in the presence of different concentrations of GQDs are shown in Figure 2E. No interaction was observed between GQDs and OPDA (Figure 2C, purple line). The strongest absorbance was exhibited by the complex formed by OPDA and free CDDP (Figure 2C, black line). However, the absorbance at 705 nm declined upon the addition of GQDs. Among all the tested GQDs-COOH concentrations, the addition of 200 µg/mL GQDs-COOH produced the lowest absorbance, indicating that CDDP had almost completely reacted with the GQDs-COOH. Considering no interaction occurred between GQDs-COOH and OPDA, the reduction in intensity at 705 nm was clearly caused by the interaction between GQDs and CDDP. Therefore, we used a 200 µg/mL/0.5 mM ratio of GQDs-COOH to CDDP in the following experiments. The GPt obtained was dissolved in distilled water for further experiments. AAS was utilized to examine the Pt in the GPt solution. Pt in the final GPt suspension was measured as 64.00 mg/L. So, the productive rate of Pt in the whole synthesis was 42% (productive rate = [64/300.05]/0.5×100%).

The average sizes of GQDs and GPt were 1.35 and 5.72 nm, respectively, as measured by DLS (Figure 2D). Detailed information is provided in Table S1. The TEM and AFM images showed that GQDs and GPt were well dispersed with a uniform lateral size (Figure 2E–K). Nano Measurer 1.2 was used to analyze the result of TEM of nanodrugs. As shown in Figure 2K, the average size of GQDs was 2.35±0.44 nm and the average size of GPt was 5.23±0.66 nm which was similar to the result obtained by DLS (Figure 2D). Thus, the binding of PEG and Pt to the GQDs increased the diameter of the GQDs by ~2.88–4.37 nm. Zeta potential measurements were evaluated by NanoPlus and the result indicated that GQDs and GQDs-COOH acquired negative surface charge: −13.95±5.66 mV for GQDs (Figure S1A) and −15.57±6.08 mV for GQDs-COOH (Figure S1B). Four-armed PEG-NH₂ could reverse the negative charge to positive surface charge, +9.61±3.82 mV (Figure S1C). The positive potential of GPt on one hand could avoid GQD aggregation and on the other hand could enhance cellular uptake.

pH-dependent release of Pt
The initial concentration of Pt in the final GPt suspension in PBS was measured as 64 mg/L. The pH-triggered release of Pt from GPt was calculated by AAS. Pt release was evaluated in acidic environments (pH = 5.0) at eight different time points, which is a key feature of the environment both around tumor cells and within tumor cells, especially in lysosomes. The released Pt was filtrated at the defined time intervals and assayed by AAS (Table S1). It was demonstrated that there was much more Pt released at lower pH. Nearly 80% and 84.41% of Pt was released in 24 and 48 hours, respectively, at pH 5.0, which was nearly two-fold more than the amount released at pH 7.4 (Figure 3A). This pH-sensitive Pt-release behavior is very important for “smart” drug carriers since many pathological environments in tumor tissues, intracellular lysosomes, and endosomes have a lower pH than in normal tissues and cells.36,37 To improve the stability of GQDs in physiological solution, GQDs were sonicated into smaller pieces. Four-armed PEG-amine was conjugated to the carboxylic acid groups on GQDs-Pt via amide formation.29,34 As seen in Figure 3B and C, GPt exhibited remarkable stability in all biological solutions, including stroke-physiological saline solution, PBS, and DMEM (Figure 3B), while nano GO...
Figure 1 Schematic illustration of a multifunctional platform for anticancer therapy with high efficacy against hypoxia-induced chemoresistance of OSCC. We firstly demonstrated that the hypoxia microenvironment permeated throughout the tongue squamous cancer patient-derived tumor model. Then, a multifunctional nanodrug Gpt can serve as an ideal candidate for anticancer therapy by improving pharmacokinetics of the drug for greater tumor accumulation through EPR effect and minimizing systemic toxicity.

Abbreviations: OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; EPR, enhanced permeability and retention; CDDP, cisplatin; cDDP, cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (II); PDX, patient-derived tongue tumor xenograft; GQD, graphene quantum dot; PEG, polyethylene glycol; EDC, N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N0-ethylcarbodiimide; NHS, N-hydroxysuccinimide; Gpt, PEG-GQD-Pt.
Figure 2 Characterization of GQDs and Gpt. (A) The absorbance of GQDs (2 mg/mL, red) and Gpt (10 µM, black) in double distilled water (pH 7.4). (B) Fluorescence emission spectra of GQDs (2 mg/mL, red) and Gpt (10 µM, black). (C) Interaction of Gpt and OPDA. The product of CDDP and OPDA gives the strongest absorbance at 705 nm (black). (D) The average size of GQDs and Gpt measured by DLS. TEM and AFM images of (E and F) GQDs and (G–J) Gpt. (K) Statistics analyzed by Nano Measurer 1.2; the sizes of GQDs and Gpt were 2.35±0.44 and 5.23±0.66 nm. Unpaired Student’s t-test was performed to compare the sizes of GQDs and Gpt; significant difference between the sizes of GQDs and Gpt could be clearly seen with p-value <0.0001. ***p<0.0001.

Abbreviations: CDDP, cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (II); GQDs, graphene quantum dots; PEG, polyethylene glycol; DLS, dynamic light scattering; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; AFM, atomic force microscopy; OPDA, O-phenylenediamine; Gpt, PEG-GQDs-Pt.
existed as a mass of sediment in these solutions as shown in Figure 3C. On one hand, GPt can be triggered in acidic condition at a pH of 5. On the other hand, GPt nanodrug can still keep stable with clean solution without visual sediment, which is superior to GO, as GO would tend to aggregate in sediment (Figure S2).

In vitro antitumor effect of GPt in normoxia condition

CDDP, which has the ability to inhibit cell proliferation, serves as a first-line medicine for the treatment of testicular and ovarian cancers along with cervical, bladder, and head and neck cancers.4,35 To evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of GPt, the cytotoxicity of different formulations was evaluated in HSC3, SCC4, and CAL-27 cells (Figure 4A–D).

As shown in Figure 4A, both PEG and GQDs showed almost no cytotoxicity to HSC3 cells with the cell viability remaining at ~90% after 24 hours of incubation. In contrast, the nanoplatform constructed by the GQDs significantly enhanced the cytotoxicity of Pt to tumor cells. The IC50 value of free CDDP for HSC3 cells after 24 hours of incubation was 9.77 ± 1.49 µM, whereas the IC50 value of GPt was only 7.15 ± 0.99 µM and nearly 70% of tumor cells could be killed by 9.77 µM GPt after 24 hours (Figure 4D). The same trend was observed in the CAL-27 and SCC4 cell lines (Figure 4B and C). The IC50 values were 6.42 ± 1.16 and 2.77 ± 0.84 µM for CAL-27 cells, and 11.67 ± 1.70 and 6.19 ± 1.25 µM for SCC4 cells when treated with CDDP and GPt, respectively (Figure 4B and C). These results together demonstrated that GPt dramatically improved the anticancer efficacy in vitro.

In vitro antitumor effect of GPt in hypoxia condition

Hypoxprobe was used to demonstrate the existence of a hypoxia microenvironment in a PDX model (Figure S3). Antitumor effect of GPt was detected in vitro in hypoxia condition. The results proved that both HSC3 and SCC4 cells became resistant to free CDDP, resulting in a much higher IC50 value than that in normoxia condition (Figure 5A–C). Specifically, IC50 values of HSC3 and SCC4 treated with free CDDP changed from 9.77 ± 1.49 and 11.67 ± 0.41 µM in normoxia to 17.87 ± 3.95 and 25.74 ± 1.13 µM in hypoxia (Figure 5C). In contrast, the IC50 values of GPt did not change greatly between normoxia and hypoxia condition (ie, from 7.15 ± 0.99 to 7.87 ± 2.86 µM for HSC3 cells, and from 6.19 ± 1.25 to 13.27 ± 0.68 µM for SCC4 cells, respectively) (Figure 5C). Taken together, these results demonstrated that tumor hypoxia could lead to chemoresistance, and GPt was superior to free CDDP in its cytotoxic ability to kill OSCC cells in hypoxia.

GPt enhances cell cycle arrest and promotes OSCC cells apoptosis

Destruction of the cell cycle is generally accepted as one of the possible mechanisms to kill cells.4,36 Consistent with this, after HSC3 cells were treated with the mean value of free CDDP and GPt IC50 in normoxia or hypoxia (8.46 µM for normoxia, and 12.84 µM for hypoxia), both free CDDP and GPt led to a significant but comparable cell cycle arrest at the S phase (74.65% ± 2.49% vs 68.39% ± 1.33%) in normoxia (Figure 6A–H, Table S2). In contrast, cells remained at
the G1 phase as a direct response to the effect of hypoxia. However, it was still observed that GPt had the ability to cause more cells arrest in S phase compared with CDDP and control groups \( (p<0.05) \), which partially explained the mechanism GPt applied to promote cell apoptosis (Figure 6E–H).

In addition to cell cycle arrest, GPt treatment promoted cell apoptosis compared with free CDDP (Figure 7). In normoxia, GPt treatment resulted in over 70% and 50% cell apoptosis in HSC3 and SCC4 cells, respectively, whereas free CDDP induced only ~50% and 30% (Figure 7A, C, D, and F). Similarly, in hypoxia, GPt treatment led to nearly 30% and 52.34% cell apoptosis in HSC3 and SCC4 cells, respectively, whereas free CDDP induced only ~9.02% and 32.3% (Figure 7B, C, E, and F).

Taken together, these results demonstrate that GPt has a much more potent ability to induce OSCC cell cycle arrest and enhance cell apoptosis than free CDDP.

**GPt increases Pt accumulation in cells and promotes Pt translocation into the nucleus**

CDDP delivery systems based on nanocarriers such as micelles, nanoparticles, and graphene have been shown to have the ability to increase uptake of Pt and reduce side effects.\(^ {17} \) Hence, we studied Pt accumulation in GPt-treated cells using ICP-MS. As shown in Figure 8A and Table S3, the amount of Pt loaded on GQDs inside the cells was 3.13- to 5.02-fold greater than that for free CDDP inside the HSC3, SCC4, and CAL-27 cells in normoxia. A similar increase was also observed in hypoxia (Figure 8B, Table S3). Thus, the GQD-based platform significantly enhanced the accumulation of Pt inside OSCC cells in both normoxia and hypoxia.

To further visually identify the distribution of GQD-based nanosystems in cells, confocal laser scanning microscopy was used. Two hours after incubation, GPt was observed mostly in the cytoplasm (Figure 9A). Five to eight hours...
Figure 5 CCK-8 assays of HSC3 (A) and SCC4 (B) cells treated with free CDDP and GPt. (C) IC50 values of HSC3 and SCC4 cells treated with CDDP or GPt under normoxia or hypoxia condition, respectively. *p<0.05; **p<0.01.

Abbreviations: CDDP, cisplatin; CCK-8, cell counting kit; IC50, half maximal inhibitory concentration; GPt, polyethylene glycol-graphene quantum dots.-Pt.

Figure 6 GPt enhances cell cycle arrest in OSCC cells. (A and E) Cell cycle changes of HSC3 cells treated with blank control, GQDs, free CDDP, and GPt under normoxia (A) or hypoxia (E) condition. (B-D) and (F-H) Cell cycle profiles of HSC3 cells treated with or without CDDP and GPt under normoxia (B–D) or hypoxia (F–H) condition for 24 hours. *p<0.05; **p<0.01.

Abbreviations: CDDP, cisplatin; GQDs, graphene quantum dots; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; N, normoxia; H, hypoxia; GPt, polyethylene glycol-GQDs-Pt.
Figure 7 GPt promotes cell apoptosis in OSCC cells. Apoptotic rates of HSC3 and SCC4 cells treated with or without GQDs, free CDDP, or GPt (A–F). HSC3 cells were treated with 8.46 µM (normoxia) and 12.84 µM (hypoxia) of free CDDP and GPt, respectively, and SCC4 cells were treated with 8.93 µM (normoxia) and 19.71 µM (hypoxia) of free CDDP and GPt, respectively. *p<0.05.

Abbreviations: CDDP, cisplatin; GQDs, graphene quantum dots; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; PI, propidium iodide; N, normoxia; H, hypoxia; GPt, polyethylene glycol-GQDs-Pt.

After incubation, GPt could be observed in the nucleus, but still mostly remained in the cytoplasm (Figure 9B and C). With the incubation time increased to 24 hours, the luminescence of GPt in the nucleus became much stronger and the cell morphology became more irregular (Figure 9D). This demonstrates that the GQDs can transfer Pt into the cell nucleus, suggesting that the GQDs are potential nucleus-targeted platforms for drug delivery. Pt-GQD-COOH was used as a control to demonstrate that the positive charge of GPt could enhance Pt accumulated in cells. As shown in Figure S4, compared with GPt, the intensity of fluorescence inside the cells was weak. Variance between GPt and Pt-GQD-COOH suggested that the positive charge also played a role in increasing Pt cellular uptake.

GPt inhibits tumor growth in vivo

Finally, the in vivo tumor growth inhibitory effect was investigated. As shown in Figure 10A, CDDP injection led to a slight decrease in animal weight compared with other groups, which was likely due to the systemic toxicity of CDDP (Figure 10A and C). Moreover, a significant growth inhibitory effect was observed in the GPt group (Figure 10B),
suggesting that GPt exerted a more powerful anticancer effect than CDDP alone in vivo. HIF-1α expression was also detectable before and after treatment, suggesting that hypoxia did function as an important factor (Figures 10D and S5). Increased cell apoptosis stained with TUNEL was observed in the GPt group compared with the control and CDDP groups (Figure 10D).

To investigate the systemic side effects, major organs of treated mice were collected. Livers in the CDDP group showed a sizable amount of inflammatory cell infiltration in the portal area, while there were tiny/small cells of denaturation observed in the GPt group (Figure 10E). Hearts exhibited no obvious differences among all groups; kidneys in the CDDP group had more swelling and vacuolation of proximal tubular epithelial cells, and inflammatory cell infiltration compared with the control and GPt groups (Figure 10E). Furthermore, Pt biodistribution in the major organs and tumor sites detected by ICP-MS analysis indicated a larger amount of Pt (553.62±38.09 ng [Pt]/mg [Tumor]) accumulated in tumor sites in the GPt group than the amount of Pt (154.70±48.19 ng...
[Pt]/mg [Tumor]) in the CDDP group (Figure 10F). Meanwhile, mice injected with CDDP showed a wide distribution of Pt in different organs such as kidney (414.97±98.24 ng [Pt]/mg [Kidney]) and liver (512.04±81.26 ng [Pt]/mg [Liver]), whereas much less Pt accumulation was observed in kidney (178.18±26.80 ng [Pt]/mg [Kidney]) and liver (239.16±48.50 ng [Pt]/mg [Liver]) in the GPt group (Figure 10F). These results together proved that GQDs could serve as an ideal candidate for cancer targeting drug carriers, which could significantly improve cancer therapeutic effects and reduce systemic side effects.

Discussion
As tumor recurrence and metastasis are commonly observed in OSCC patients, chemotherapy is still regarded as one of the most effective means. Unfortunately, many patients
develop chemotherapy resistance due to various reasons. Tumor hypoxia has been widely recognized as a major factor for chemoresistance. So far, many attempts have been made to enhance the efficacy of Pt by using adjuvants, drug combinations, and nanomedicine systems. For instance, survivin inhibitor YM155 and 3′,4′,5′,5,7-pentamethoxyflavone were shown to have the ability to sensitize cancer cells to CDDP. Previously, our group has also improved CDDP efficacy in hypoxia by the combined use of metformin to inhibit the activation of NF-κB/HIF-1α signaling. However, the systemic toxicity of CDDP cannot be avoided.

Recently, the application of nanoparticles for drug delivery has attracted considerable attention. For instance, enhanced antitumor efficacy of CDDP was observed by...
co-loading CDDP and miRNA-375 into lipid nanoparticles.40 However, studies on nanocarriers have rarely focused on hypoxia-induced chemoresistance. Herein, we developed a drug delivery nanosystem based on GQDs. Our results showed that GPt has the ability to promote Pt accumulation in tumor cells, reverse hypoxia-induced therapeutic resistance, and decrease the side effects of CDDP. Graphene-like materials are preeminent multifunctional materials in biomedicine because of their outstanding characteristics, including biocompatibility, and excellent thermal properties.21 Unfortunately, the large lateral size of GO and the interactions among GO layers could cause GO accretion.18 To avoid accretion of GO, GQDs were used to ensure that the nanocomposite became more stable and thus could be stored for weeks before use. Additionally, the nanosystem developed in this study has good solubility and stability because of improved size and shape and its blue photoluminescence used for cell imaging.

The nanodrug system developed in this study exhibited great advantages in the treatment of OSCC compared with free CDDP. These results can be explained by the different cellular uptake mechanisms between GQDs and CDDP. It was demonstrated that GQDs were internalized via caveola-mediated endocytosis, while free CDDP entered the cells via copper transportation systems.5,42 Our in vivo study confirmed that GPt could not only promote the accumulation of Pt in tumor sites, but also significantly decreased the distribution in the liver and kidney. The cytotoxic effect of GPt on the normal cell lines in normoxia and hypoxia was also detected, and it turned out that GPt actually killed normal cells in both conditions (Figure S6). Therefore, the reason for GPt’s reduced systemic side effects in vivo is that GPt could gather more in tumor tissues via enhanced permeability and retention effect, not that GPt had no cytotoxic effect on normal cells.

Conclusion

GPt, a multifunctional nanocomposite based on GQDs, was successfully developed in our study. GPt could enhance cell cycle arrest in the S phase and lead to cell apoptosis. It could increase Pt accumulation inside cells in both normoxia and hypoxia. The performance of GPt in vivo showed that GPt could be an ideal candidate for anticancer therapy by improving pharmacokinetics of the drug for greater tumor accumulation and minimizing systemic toxicity. Therefore, our synthesized nano delivery system is expected to have wide clinical applications in future anticancer treatment.
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Supplementary materials

Table S1 Nano-size properties of GQDs and gPt

| Nanoparticles | Diameter (nm) | Frequency (%) |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| GQDs          | 1.31         | 63.25         |
|               | 1.43         | 36.75         |
| Average size  | 1.35         |               |
| GPt           | 4.9          | 24.99         |
|               | 5.29         | 23.98         |
|               | 5.71         | 19.69         |
|               | 6.15         | 13.39         |
|               | 6.64         | 8.53          |
|               | 7.16         | 5.09          |
|               | 7.72         | 2.84          |
|               | 8.33         | 1.49          |
| Average size  | 5.72         |               |

**Abbreviations:** GQD, graphene quantum dot; gPt, polyethylene glycol-GQDs-Pt.

Figure S1 Zeta potential distribution in the PBS of GQDs, GQDs-COOH, and gPt. The zeta potential value of (A) GQDs is $-13.95 \pm 5.66$ mV, (B) GQDs-COOH is $-15.57 \pm 6.08$ mV, and (C) gPt is $+9.61 \pm 3.82$ mV.

**Abbreviations:** PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; GQDs, graphene quantum dots; gPt, polyethylene glycol-GQDs-Pt.
Figure S2 GO and GPt (from left to right) in acidic condition pH =5.
Abbreviations: GO, graphene oxide; GPt, polyethylene glycol-graphene quantum dots-Pt.

Figure S3 (A and B) Hypoxic areas exist in OSCC tumor tissues and hypoxia probe staining of tongue squamous cell carcinoma tissues. Cells stained in brown color are exposed to hypoxic field and fields stained in blue represent normal atmosphere. (C) HE staining of the PDX tumor specimen.
Abbreviations: OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; PDX, patient-derived tongue tumor xenograft; HE, hematoxylin and eosin.
**Table S2** The cell cycle of HSC3 cells after treatment

|       | HSC3-blank (%) | HSC3-GQDs (%) | HSC3-CDDP (%) | HSC3-GPt (%) |
|-------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| **Normoxia** |               |               |               |              |
| G1    | 42.23±0.17    | 41.15±1.50    | 8.77±0.95     | 15.08±0.03   |
| S     | 40.44±0.3     | 42.44±0.93    | 74.65±2.49    | 68.39±1.33   |
| G2    | 16.41±0.93    | 14.41±0.79    | 11.16±1.71    | 15.01±0.42   |
| **Hypoxia** |           |               |               |              |
| G1    | 64.32±2.19    | –             | 61.22±0.97    | 54.89±3.01   |
| S     | 22.18±2.58    | –             | 22.15±1.04    | 27.34±1.62   |
| G2    | 11.78±1.03    | –             | 16.55±2.00    | 16.33±1.19   |

**Abbreviations:** GQDs, graphene quantum dots; CDDP, cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (II); GPt, polyethylene glycol-GQDs-Pt.

**Table S3** Pt accumulated inside cells in normoxia and hypoxia

|       | Blank  | CDDP    | GPt    |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|
| **Normoxia** |        |         |        |
| HSC3  | 3.6±1.03| 87.48±8.14| 273.94±1.18 |
| CAL-27| 2.54±0.87| 57.41±5.16| 288.05±15.79 |
| SCC4  | 5.00±1.42| 96.25±8.83| 404.21±19.26 |
| **Hypoxia** | |        |        |
| HSC3  | 3.19±0.57| 51.33±6.37| 201.79±12.19 |
| CAL-27| 1.99±1.21| 36.89±5.23| 199.26±11.82 |
| SCC4  | 4.38±0.98| 49.7±5.70 | 294.37±17.59 |

**Abbreviations:** CDDP, cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (II); GPt, polyethylene glycol-graphene quantum dots-Pt.

**Figure S4** Localization of the nanoplatform in cells. Pt-GQDs-COOH was used as control. HSC3 was incubated with Pt-GQDs-COOH (1 µM) for 2, 5, 8, and 24 hours, respectively (A–D). Cell membranes were stained in red with Dil dye, and the blue luminescence of Pt-GQDs-COOH was excited at 405 nm under CLSM.

**Abbreviations:** GQDs, graphene quantum dots; CLSM, confocal laser scanning microscopy; Dil, 1,1′-dioctadecyl-3,3′,3′,3′-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate.
Figure S5 (A, C, and E) Representative images of HE staining of tumor tissues in various mice before injection (100×). (B, D, and F) Representative images of immunohistochemical staining of HIF-1α protein in tumor tissue in various mice before treatment (400×).

Abbreviations: HE, hematoxylin and eosin; HIF-1α, hypoxia inducible factor-1α.

Figure S6 Viability profiles of HACAT cells incubated with free CDDP and GPt at different equivalent Pt concentrations in normoxia (A) and hypoxia (B). Error bars are represented in blue.

Abbreviations: CDDP, cisplatin; GPt, polyethylene glycol-graphene quantum dots–Pt.