Conversion of Landfilled Ash into Hydraulic Cements under Different Environments
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Abstract

A landfilled coal fly ash was blended with different constituents for achieving a balanced chemistry that suits production of hydraulic cement and concurrent capture of carbon dioxide. The blend of raw materials was processed via input of mechanical energy into hydraulic cements. The input of mechanical energy via milling was performed in three different environments: air, N₂ and CO₂. The resultant hydraulic cements were characterized through assessment of their specific surface area, microstructure (scanning electron microscopy), chemical bond environment (FTIR), heat of hydration, and pH, total dissolved solids and electric conductivity of their solutions. Mechanical processing of the blend of raw materials was found to transform them into hydraulic cement. The environment of mechanical processing was also found to be an important factor influencing the properties of the resultant cement.
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Introduction

Landfilled coal ash is predominantly fly ash with some bottom ash content. Coal ash is disposed of in landfills either directly or after a period of wet impoundment [1]. Significant quantities of coal ash have been accumulated in landfills over several decades. In the United States, close to 40 million tons of coal ash are disposed of in landfills annually [2]. The landfilled quantities of coal ash in Europe are of the same order of magnitude as in the United States [3].

Coal fly ash has found applications as pozzolan (partial replacement for cement) in Portland cement concrete. Coal fly ash can also be activated with alkalis for production of geopolymer concrete, that is more sustainable than Portland cement concrete [4], and also offers improved durability [5]. The need for curing of the existing classes of geopolymer concrete at elevated temperatures, however, limits their market acceptance [6,7]. Mechanical activation of coal fly ash in the presence of alkalis can reduce the need for thermal curing of alkali activated coal ash. It has been observed that grinding of landfilled coal fly ash improves the uniaxial compressive strength of alkali-activated fly ash from 2.01 MPa (raw fly ash) to 22 MPa (activated fly ash) [8]. Another investigation demonstrated that, after mechanical activation of coal fly ash, NaOH-activated ash could produce a viable compressive strength of 23 MPa after 28 days of room-temperature curing [9]. Similar results were produced in another investigation where 80% gain in the compressive strength of alkali-activated fly ash subjected to room-temperature curing was realized once the ash was subjected to mechanical activation [10]. Previously a method was developed for capture of carbon dioxide (directly from combustion emissions) into land filled coal ash, and simultaneous transformation of the carbon dioxide and ash with supplementary minerals into a hydraulic binder for heavy metal stabilization [11]. There is further evidence reported in the literature, suggesting that mechanical activation of fly ash via grinding significantly improves the mechanical properties of alkali-activated ash [12]. It has been reported that the compressive strength of Cu-Ni slag geopolymer with the slag milled in CO₂ is higher than that obtained with slag milled in air, which was attributed to higher reactivity of the slag particles induced by chemisorption of carbon dioxide molecules in the form of distorted carbonate ions in the course of mechanical activation [13,14]. Other investigations have confirmed that the reactivity of slag can be enhanced by grinding in a carbon dioxide atmosphere, producing higher compressive strengths upon alkali activation [15,16].

The work reported herein focused on the effects of mechanical activation via ball-milling, and the environment of mill (air, N₂, CO₂) on the activity of coal fly ash as an aluminosilicate precursor in production of geopolymer (via alkali activation). N₂ was selected as an inert environment where reactions with the mill environment would not be a major factor. Air and CO₂ were considered as reactive environments that could affect the performance of the resultant (mechanically activated) coal fly ash.

Materials

The raw materials used in this process were landfilled coal ash: calcium oxide: basalt: sodium hydrosxide at 82.6:6.6: weight ratios. The landfilled coal ash was obtained from local landfilled in Michigan; this ash had been landfilled for 2 years. Typical scanning electron microscope images of the landfilled coal ash are shown in Figure 1. The surface of landfilled ash is not smooth, which may due to hydration and carbonation reactions during the period of disposal in landfill. The
chemical composition of landfilled coal ash is presented in Table 1. It is worth mentioning that basalt generally comprises SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, CaO, FeO, MgO and TiO$_2$, which are also found in the landfilled coal ash.

| Sample          | SiO$_2$ | CaO | Fe$_2$O$_3$ | Al$_2$O$_3$ | SO$_3$ | MgO | Na$_2$O | K$_2$O | BaO | TiO$_2$ | SrO | P$_2$O$_5$ | others |
|-----------------|---------|-----|-------------|-------------|--------|-----|---------|--------|-----|---------|-----|---------|--------|
| Landfilled ash  | 25.21   | 24.08 | 18.46     | 13.12       | 2.27   | 2.9 | 2.4     | 2.44   | 2.37 | 1.47    | 0.95 | 1.08    |

Table 1: Chemical composition of the landfilled coal ash (wt.%).

Figure 1: Scanning electron microscope images of the landfilled coal ash.

Figure 2 shows the powder temperature when geopolymer cement processing via milling was performed in CO$_2$, in air and in N$_2$ environments. The presence of CO$_2$ is observed to induce an early temperature due to the frictional effects during impact of balls and particles [17], and also probably the formation of carbonates as a result of exothermic mechanochemical reactions of the carbon dioxide gas with alkaline earth metal, alkali metal and other constituents of the solid raw materials. Microstructural changes under impact could also

Methods

A ball mill with diameter of 140 mm and height of 140 mm, made of steel, was used at a rotational speed of 40 rpm to mill the geopolymer cement. At each time, 400 g of raw materials was milled with 4000 g of steel ball. The milling duration was 4 hours in each of the milling environments (air, N$_2$ and CO$_2$). Temperature at the mill surface were monitored using a temperature sensor with data storage capability.

The resulting geopolymer cements were evaluated via FTIR spectroscopy. For this purpose, 2 mg of cement was mixed with 200 mg of KBr, and the dry blend was formed into pellets using a high-pressure injection molding machine. A Jasco FT/IR-4100 spectrometer was used to perform these tests over a measurement range of 400–4000 cm$^{-1}$.

The pH values of cements in solution were measured by dissolving 1 g of the geopolymer cement in 100 g of distilled water. The solution was placed on a shaker, and the initial pH values as well as those after 1 hour and 24 hours were measured. The total dissolved content (TDS) and electric conductivity of solutions were also measured in order to gain insight into the dissolution process of the hydraulic binder.

The heat of hydration of cements was measured using the calorimetry test method (ASTM C1679) using an I-cal 2000 HPC calorimeter manufactured by Calmetrix Inc. (Boston, USA). In this test, cement was mixed with water (by hand) at water/cement weight ratio of 0.40 for 30 seconds. The fresh paste was placed inside the calorimeter, and the exothermic heat release was monitored over 24 hours.

A 20 Quart planetary mixer (Hobart A-200) was used to mix the mortar mixtures comprising cement, silica sand, water and super plasticizer. Mixing was performed over 3 minutes at medium speed. The resulting fresh mix was cast into 50 mm cube molds, and consolidated via external vibration. The water/cement and sand/cement ratios of mortar mixtures were 0.5 and 2.75, respectively; superplasticizer was used at 0.5% by weight of cement to raise the fresh mix workability. The specimens were demolded after 24 hours, sealed, and stored at 22 ± 2°C and 50 ± 5% humidity. The compressive strength of the cubic test specimens was measured at 7 and 28 days of age per ASTM C109.

Results and Discussion

Temperature of the mill exterior surface

Figure 2 shows the powder temperature when geopolymer cement processing via milling was performed in CO$_2$, in air and in N$_2$ environments. The presence of CO$_2$ is observed to induce an early temperature due to the frictional effects during impact of balls and particles [17], and also probably the formation of carbonates as a result of exothermic mechanochemical reactions of the carbon dioxide gas with alkaline earth metal, alkali metal and other constituents of the solid raw materials. Microstructural changes under impact could also
have thermal implications [18]. The peaks of powder temperature mill after about 3 hours in the presence of CO\(_2\), and then starts to drop gradually. However the peak powder temperature is showed after 30 min in air milling. The trends in the exterior surface temperature development of the mill with the milling duration are quite different in the presence of nitrogen. There is actually a drop in temperature during the first 20 minutes of milling, after which the temperature starts to rise slowly and seems to reach a plateau at 110 min, and then strats to drop gradually. Compare to initial temperature, the peak temperature of powder during milling in CO\(_2\), in air and in N\(_2\) increase 5.8°C, 2.3°C and 1.8°C. While the eventual temperature rise can be explained by the frictional impact of balls and solid raw materials, microstructural changes and mechanochemical reactions, the initial drop in temperature could result from the evaporation of the water present in solid raw materials in the N\(_2\) environment, combined with the reduced extent of (exothermic) mechanochemical reactions due to the inert nature of N\(_2\).

The sharp contrast between the powder temperature development during milling in CO\(_2\) versus air points at the role played by CO\(_2\) to enhance mechanochemical effects. A host of other phenomena also occur during milling that could be affected by the milling environment. Examples include comminution (particle size reduction) accompanied with formation of new surfaces, crystal deformation, defect formation, shear stresses buildup, reduction of crystallite size, aggregation of crystallites, local (and momentary) rise of temperature and pressure, phase transitions, amorphization, chemical bonds breakage, acceleration of diffusion processes [18].

Specific surface area and morphology

As shown in Figure 3, the specific surface area of the geopolymer cement milled in air is the lowest, and that milled in CO\(_2\) atmosphere is largest (1.19 times of that milled in air). The specific surface area of the geopolymer cement milled in N\(_2\) is close to but still lower than that milled in CO\(_2\). These results are similar to those reported by Rakhimov [19] and Kalinkina [15,20] where the specific surface area of slag geopolymer milled in CO\(_2\) was found to be higher than that milled in air. After milling in air, a small amount of powder bonded to internal surface of the mill; this phenomenon was less pronounced when milling was performed in N\(_2\), and it did not happen when milling was performed in CO\(_2\). It should be noted that N\(_2\) and CO\(_2\) were input continuously from outside the mill; this was not the case when milling was performed in air. One may hypothesize that the gas flow (that was absent for milling in air) would enhance the dispersion of powder and thus the efficiency of the impact energy input to the powder. This effect could be more pronounced in CO\(_2\) with a density that is 1.5 times higher than that of N\(_2\). These factors could influence the rise in specific surface area when milling was performed in N\(_2\) and especially in CO\(_2\).

Scanning electron micrographs of geopolymer cements produced via milling in N\(_2\), air and CO\(_2\) are shown in Figure 4. These images suggest that the extent of mechanochemical reactions was probably the least when milling was performed in N\(_2\), which could be explained by the inert nature of nitrogen that does not promote solid-gas reaction under input of impact energy. The reactive nature of air and CO\(_2\) seem to produce morphological changes that could point at intense mechanochemical reactions. Reactions involving carbon dioxide and the silicate constituents of raw materials could be summarized as [21]:

\[(\text{Ca}, \text{Mg})\text{SiO}_2(s) + \text{CO}_2(g) \rightarrow (\text{Ca}, \text{Mg})\text{CO}_3(s) + \text{SiO}_2(s)\]

This carbonation reaction could also destabilize the structure of silicates and promote other mechanochemical reactions.

FTIR spectra

The FTIR spectra of geopolymer cement before and after milling in air, N\(_2\) and CO\(_2\) are presented in Figure 5. Before milling, the peaks at 1460 cm\(^{-1}\) and 880 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to the presence of carbonate on the surface [22-24] that form upon exposure of the raw materials to air. The peaks at about 3450 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1650 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to the absorbed atmospheric water. The Si-O-Si stretching vibration produces the peak 985 and 1080 cm\(^{-1}\), and the Si-(OH) stretching vibration produces the peak between 1094 and 1140 cm\(^{-1}\) [25-28].
Peaks below 750 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to M-O vibrations, where M is Al or Ca [23,29]. The band around 3640 cm\(^{-1}\) detected in all samples reflects the presence of sodium hydroxide. After mechanical activation, the band at 689 cm\(^{-1}\) is shifted to around 710 cm\(^{-1}\), which points at the formation of new compounds via chemical reaction. The peak at 3640 cm\(^{-1}\) after milling is smaller than that before milling, which suggests that part of sodium hydroxide has been consumed in mechanochemical reactions.

After milling in CO\(_2\), the spectral peaks related to CO\(_3\)^2- of 2520 cm\(^{-1}\), 1400 cm\(^{-1}\) and 870 cm\(^{-1}\) are sharper and more clear when compared with those observed after milling in air or in N\(_2\), which can be attribute to the mechanochemical carbonation reactions during milling. After CO\(_2\) milling, bands appeared around 1663 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1622 cm\(^{-1}\), and the band around 1640 cm\(^{-1}\) disappeared; a new band at 1921 cm\(^{-1}\) and the Si-O-Al vibration peak at 815 cm\(^{-1}\) [25] also appeared for the geopolymer cement processed via CO\(_2\) milling. In the case of milling in N\(_2\), the peaks associated with water at 1640 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) are relatively weak (compared to all other spectra), pointing at the greater loss of moisture during milling in N\(_2\). This phenomenon was relies upon earlier to explain the cooling effects observed when milling was performed in N\(_2\).

**Figure 5:** FTIR spectra of blended raw materials (without milling), and geopolymer cements processed via milling for 4 hours in air, CO\(_2\) and N\(_2\).
about 2 minutes, while two peaks are observed after about 3.2 and 16.5 minutes for milling in CO$_2$. The mechanochemical effects of milling seem to have accelerated the rate of exothermic reactions [30,31]. This is indicative of the mechanical activation effects of milling. It has been reported [31] that the initial peak in hydration of geopolymer is related to the destruction of Ca-O, Mg-O, Si-O-Si, Al-O-Al and Al-O-Si bonds, and the second peak is due to the formation of Si-Al bonds. Higher pH values may be correlated with the higher rate of bond destruction that generates higher heats of hydration [32-34].

Due to carbonation, the pH value of geopolymer cement milled in CO$_2$ is the lowest. This lowers the dissolution of iron and the formation of Si-Al bonds, thus lowering the heat of hydration. It is possible that delayed formation of Si-Al bonds produces the second exothermic peak for the cement processed in CO$_2$. The pH value of the cement processed in N$_2$ is the highest, which can be used to explain its high rate and extent of heat release.

Conclusion

1. Landfilled ash when blended with basalt, CaO and NaOH produces a desired chemistry for production of a hydraulic cement. The blend of raw materials can be transformed into a hydraulic cement via input of mechanical energy.

2. The environment in which the blend of raw materials is transformed into a hydraulic cement via input of mechanical energy influences the nature of reactions and the end product properties. When input of mechanical energy (via ball-milling) was done in a CO$_2$ environment, the temperature measured on the mill surface increased initially, and then decreased. When milling was performed in N$_2$, on the other hand, temperature at the mill surface decreased with time. This could be partly due to exothermic reactions involving carbon dioxide during milling, that would not occur in an inert N$_2$ environment.

3. Milling in CO$_2$ environment transformed the calcium or magnesium silicates present in coal fly ash into carbonates. This seemed to cause release of smaller fly ash particles that are encapsulated in larger ash particles.

4. Input of mechanical energy activated the blend of raw materials. In an aqueous solution of the mechanochemically produced cement showed higher values of pH, total dissolved solids (TDS) and electric conductivity compared to that of blended raw materials. These values were lowest when milling was performed in CO$_2$ that can be explained by the carbonation reactions that take place when processing is performed in a CO$_2$ environment. Milling in air versus N$_2$ produced somewhat lower values of pH, TDS and conductivity in solution that could be explained by some carbonation that takes place in air.

5. The milling atmosphere affects the hydration heat of geopolymer cement. Due to mechano-chemical activation, the appearance of exothermic peak is appears earlier than that of previous test of without milling geopolymer. Milling in air and in nitrogen produced particularly high rates of heat release, and only one exothermic peak. Milling in CO$_2$, on the other hand, produced two peaks and lower rates of heat release. The cumulative heat release associated with hydration of the resultant cement was also smaller when milling was performed in CO$_2$. The relatively low rate and extent of heat release by the cement processed in CO$_2$ can be explained based on its low pH value in solution that delays formation of Si-Al bonds. Delayed formation of these bonds could explain the second exothermic heat release peak observed with the cement processed in CO$_2$. Processing in nitrogen, on the other hand, produces cements with relatively high pH values in solution. This accelerates the rate of hydration reactions and thus heat release.

6. The mortar compressive strengths produced with cements processed via milling in air and nitrogen were comparable. The cement milled in CO$_2$, however, produced relatively low compressive strengths. This was attributed to the reduced pH value of the cement milled in CO$_2$. The compressive strength obtained with this cement could be feasibly raised by increasing the alkali content of raw materials.
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