The Effect of Word Imagery on Priming Effect Under a Preconscious Condition: An fMRI Study
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Abstract: Semantic priming is affected by the degree of association and how readily a word is imagined. In the association effect, activity in the perisylvian structures including the bilateral inferior frontal gyrus, the left middle temporal gyrus, and the supramarginal gyrus was correlated. However, little is known about the brain regions related to the effect of imagery word under the preconscious condition. Forty word pairs for high (HA)-, low (LA)-, and nonassociation (NA), nonword (NW) conditions were presented. Each 40 association word pairs (HA and LA) included 20 high (HI) and 20 low (LI) imagery prime stimuli, using a visually presented lexical decision task. A trial consisted of 30 ms prime, 30 ms mask, 500 ms probe, and 2–8 s stimulus onset asynchrony. Brain activation was measured using functional magnetic resonance imaging during word discrimination. Behavioral data indicated that the shortest response time (RT) was given for HA words, followed by LA and NA, and NW showed the longest RT (P < 0.01). RT was faster in HI than LI within HA, but not LA conditions (P < 0.01). Functional neuro-imaging showed that differential brain regions for high imagery (HI) and low imagery (LI) words within low prime-target word association were observed in the left precuneus, left posterior cingulate gyrus, and right cuneal cortex. The present findings demonstrate that the effect of the degree of imagery on semantic priming occurs during the early stage of language processing, indicating an “automatic imagery priming effect.” Our paradigm may be useful to explore semantic deficit related to imagery in various psychiatric disorders. Hum Brain Mapp 35:4795–4804, 2014. © 2014 The Authors. Human Brain Mapping Published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

The recognition and processing of word meanings is a central part of our everyday life and is especially important for learning, reasoning, and remembering. Impairment of these functions is related to brain disorders such as Alzheimer’s, semantic dementia, schizophrenia, and autism [e.g., Lainsky et al., 2011; Perri et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2012; Vistoli et al., 2011]. Previous studies reported that abnormalities in the semantic network were found in patients with schizophrenia, but not in controls [Jeong and Kubicki, 2010; Jeong et al., 2009]. Research into the semantic priming effect, focusing on the associative relationship between words, has contributed significantly to our understanding of the underlying neural mechanisms of semantic representations and word processing. This semantic priming effect is known to be influenced by word association and imagery. While brain areas related to word association have been well documented, those linked to word imagery have yet to be identified.

The semantic priming effect refers to the promoting effect observed in response to a target word when it is preceded by a semantically related word, compared with a semantically unrelated word [Meyer and Schvaneveldt, 1971]. Lexical activation tends to vary as a function of the degree of association between two words [Nelson et al., 1993]. Previous studies using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) have found that the activity in the anterior medial temporal cortex and left inferior frontal gyrus was increased as the association between word pairs decreased, and the activity in the left supramarginal gyrus at the temporal-parietal junction was larger during the presentation of related word pairs [Fletcher et al., 2000; Rossell et al., 2003; Wagner et al., 2001]. When presenting related, unrelated, and nonword (NW) prime stimuli using an auditory lexical decision task, the left superior temporal gyrus, left and right middle temporal gyrus, and right caudate were less activated in related word conditions, compared to unrelated conditions [Rissman et al., 2003]. Based on these findings, Wible et al. [2006] further differentiated the degree of semantic relatedness and the connectivity between word pairs by comparing high connectivity word pairs, low connectivity word pairs, unrelated word pairs, and NW pairs using a semantic priming lexical decision task. The authors reported that the fastest reaction time was observed in high connectivity words pairs, followed by low connectivity and then by unrelated word pairs. The decrease in activity in the lateral superior and middle temporal regions was also observed as the semantic priming of word pairs increased (related high connectivity, related low connectivity, and unrelated). However, this study used spoken words in a conscious condition [the stimulus onset asynchrony (SOA): 750 ms]. It was anticipated that it would be worthwhile to investigate whether similar results would be observed when using a visually presented lexical decision task under preconscious conditions. This study thus seeks to extend the results of Wible et al. [2006] to a visually presented lexical decision task in a short-SOA condition (30 ms).

Imagery of a word might yield a promoting effect on semantic priming, compared with nonimagery conditions. This is referred to as the imagery effect [Nittono et al., 2002; Paivio, 1991]. Indirect evidence on word imagery of the word can be found in studies using concrete versus abstract words. According to the concreteness effect, concrete words are more easily and quickly remembered and recognized than abstract words due to the difference in image ability between concrete and abstract words [Kroll and Mervis, 1986]. For example, pencil is easier to visualize than happiness. Evidence on neural activity related to mental imagery includes brain areas involving the sensory-motor processing cortex [Borst and Kosslyn, 2008; Kaas et al., 2010; Klein et al., 2004; Kosslyn et al., 2000; Simmons et al., 2007; Slotnick et al., 2005]. This observation has been explained by a dual coding model in which words are processed by information stored in both a linguistic semantic system and a nonverbal imagistic semantic system [Paivio, 1986]. According to this model, abstract words depend solely on information from the linguistic semantic system, whereas concrete words are influenced by both linguistic and imagistic semantic systems. Concrete words thus have processing advantages over abstract words since they have access to information from multiple systems [Paivio, 1991; Paivio and Sadoski, 2011]. Neuroimaging evidence supporting this model has shown that concrete words evoke brain activity in both hemispheres, whereas abstract words are engaged in linguistic related areas of the left hemisphere; the right hemisphere is more responsive to concrete than abstract words, whereas the left hemisphere displays activity in response to both concrete and abstract words [Chiarello et al., 1987; Coslett and Monsul, 1994; Nittono et al., 2002]. Binder et al. [2005], for example, found that the left inferior frontal regions were activated by abstract words whereas bilateral brain regions such as the angular gyrus and the dorsal prefrontal cortex were more likely to be activated by concrete words. A recent meta-analysis on neuroimaging evidence of abstract and concrete words [Wang et al., 2010] indicated that some studies, however, failed to provide evidence that the processing of concrete words always involves the right hemisphere [Fiebach and Friederici, 2003; Noppeney and Price, 2004] and abstract words are exclusively engaged in the left hemisphere [Jessen et al., 2000; Kiehl et al., 1999]. The findings thus far, therefore, are inconclusive.

Furthermore, prior studies have exclusively focused on investigation of the imagery effect in the context of concrete versus abstract words. Although concrete words tend to have highly imaginable characteristics, a concrete word itself does not represent an imaginable word. Furthermore, clear evidence supporting a dual coding model where concrete words consist of both linguistic and imagistic system is still indecisive. In an attempt to overcome this limitation, two studies directly compared high imagery (HI) and low imagery (LI) words using event related potentials
(ERPs) [Klaver et al., 2005; Nittono et al., 2002]. However, these two studies still did not consider the other factors affecting imagery of a word. There is evidence that the imagery of a word and the association of the word are closely connected with each other. This was supported by a study showing that participants presented with HI words produced more associative words within a limited time and were faster to report the first associative word than those presented with LI words [de Groot, 1989]. This study, for the first time, aimed to investigate brain activity evoked by word imagery by employing a factorial design in which the effect of word imagery is crossed with the effect of word association [HI/high association (HA), HI/low association (LA), LI/HI, and LI/LA], using a visually presented lexical decision task under a preconscious (automatic) condition (30 ms prime). Replicating and adapting the design [high related word association, low related word association, unrelated word association, NW association] of Wible et al. [2006], this study aimed to investigate (1) brain regions related to the degree of word imagery and (2) brain regions related to the degree of word association, using visually presented lexical decision task under the preconscious condition (30 ms). More specifically, based on previous work on visual imagery [Addis et al., 2007; Choi et al, 2006; Ishai et al., 2000; Mellet et al., 2000; Sharot et al., 2007], it was predicted that: (1) an imagery word priming effect would be detected in bilateral verbal and visual imagery related networks (e.g., precuneus, posterior cingulate cortex) and (2) a semantic priming effect related to word association would be observed in the left linguistic semantic regions (e.g., left inferior frontal regions).

Regarding behavioral measures, it was hypothesized that: (3) a differential imagery priming effect would be detected depending on the degree of imagery word; a faster reaction time and higher accuracy would be observed in HI word pairs, compared with LI word pairs, and (4) a differential semantic priming effect would be observed depending on the degree of word association; the fastest reaction time and the highest accuracy would be detected in HA word pairs, followed by LA word pairs and then by non-associated word pairs.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Fourteen healthy participants (age = 30.5 ± 6.6 years; male:female = 7:7) participated in this study. Participants were recruited through a hospital message board, from Eulji University Hospital, Daejeon, Korea. All participants were interviewed, by a psychiatrist, using DSM-IV criteria based on the Structured Clinical Interview nonpatient Edition (SCID) [First et al., 1998]. No participants had an Axis-I psychiatric disorder. The study was approved by the Eulji University Hospital's Institutional Review Board. All participants were given written informed consent prior to participation in the study.

**Stimuli**

The word stimuli used in this study were chosen from those presented in a study by Park [2004] study. Park [2004] reported 665 Korean nouns, ranked in terms of word association and word imagery. In this study, word association frequencies were obtained by multiple-response free association; word imagery values were obtained using a 7-point Likert scale. For this study, the HA condition included 40 word pairs that scored higher than 50 in word association frequency whereas the LA condition included 40 word pairs that scored under 20 in word association frequency. The forty HA word pairs were divided into two groups, 20 HAHI and 20 HALI, based on word imagery values. The same criteria were applied to the selection of 20 LAHI and 20 LALI word pairs. Eight word pairs that did not meet the criteria for HA and LA word pairs were randomly chosen. Forty word pairs were used for the nonassociation (NA) word pair condition. The other 40 word pairs were made up of pronounceable NWs created by changing either an initial consonant or vowel or a consonant placed under a vowel of the original word. Word frequencies were matched across the conditions based on the criteria obtained by Younsei University [1998]. The range of syllables across the conditions was one to three. The number of syllables was matched across the conditions.

**The Semantic Priming Paradigm and Apparatus**

Forty word pairs were presented for each condition (HA, LA, NA, and NW). Each of the 40 high and low association word pairs (HA and LA) included 20 HI and 20 LI prime stimuli. For the preconscious priming condition, a trial consisted of 30 ms prime, 30 ms mask, 500 ms probe, and 2–8 s SOA (Fig. 1). A lexical decision task of 7 min 12 s was presented on the computer screen using E-prime 1.0 software. Participants were asked to judge whether the target was a real word or not by clicking a button. Brain activation was measured using functional MRI during word discrimination by participants. Both behavioral and functional neuroimaging data were analyzed to explore the effects of degree of word association and the effects of imagery.

**Behavioral Data**

A two-way ANOVA was performed to explore the main effect of association and imagery and the interaction between association and imagery on both response time (RT) and accuracy (Table I). To compare the effect of the degree of association between two words (prime-probe) on the response, one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA)
were performed. They were used to compare both RT and accuracy between the four association-related conditions (HA vs. LA vs. NA vs. NW). The analyses were performed using SAS software (version 9.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

MRI Data Acquisition

All participants underwent MRI procedures on a 3.0-T whole body MRI Echospeed system (ISOL, Korea). Prior to functional acquisitions, a high-resolution structural MRI examination [TE = 5.7 ms, TR = 10 ms, field of view (FOV) = 220 mm, matrix size = 256 × 256, slice thickness = 1.5 mm, MPRAGE sagittal slices] was performed for each patient to identify potential brain abnormalities. We measured a total of 220 EPI scans of the blood oxygen level-dependent responses (TE = 35 ms, TR = 2 s, FOV = 192 × 220 mm, flip angle = 70°, 5.5 mm thick, 0 mm gap, 64 × 64 matrix, and 24 axial slices) and also acquired in-plane T1-weighted anatomical data (TE = 16 ms, TR = 2,800 ms, FOV = 192 × 220 mm, matrix size = 256 × 256, flip angle = 60°, slice thickness = 5.5 mm, 0 mm gap, and 24 axial slices) for each participant.

Data Processing and Analysis

Functional magnetic resonance imaging

FMRI data were processed and analyzed with the FMRI Expert Analysis Tool (FEAT) of FSL software (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/feat5/index.html). The first four scans were discarded. The remaining 216 images were spatially realigned using rigid-body. Next, a brain mask from the first volume of FMRI data was created to eliminate signals outside of the brain in each subject. FMRI images were filtered for 50 s with a high pass filter. To remove various noises including structured noise, and scanner-related or physiological artifacts, an independent component analysis was performed using MELODIC of...

TABLE I. RT and accuracy during word discrimination task

| Measures          | Association | Imagery |
|-------------------|------------|---------|
|                   |            | AI      | LI      | HI      |
|                   | Mean       | SD      | Mean    | SD      | Mean    | SD      |
| RT (msec)         | NW         | 704.51  | 87.76   |         |         |         |
|                   | NA         | 599.66  | 89.67   |         |         |         |
|                   | LA         | 591.17  | 72.55   | 593.34  | 74.59   | 589.01  | 71.59   |
|                   | HA         | 556.82  | 68.08   | 568.19  | 71.80   | 545.43  | 66.53   |
| Accuracy (No. of stimuli) | n = 40   | 35.43   | 3.44    |         |         |         |
|                   | NW         | 38.71   | 1.68    |         |         |         |
|                   | LA         | 39.21   | 0.70    | 19.50   | 0.52    | 19.71   | 0.47    |
|                   | HA         | 39.64   | 0.50    | 19.86   | 0.36    | 19.79   | 0.43    |
| Correct response only RT (ms) | NW         | 730.78  | 103.00  |         |         |         |
|                   | NA         | 618.79  | 121.14  |         |         |         |
|                   | LA         | 587.27  | 73.35   | 586.55  | 78.86   | 587.98  | 70.93   |
|                   | HA         | 556.27  | 68.40   | 568.10  | 71.87   | 544.44  | 67.04   |

Notes: AI: all imagery; HA: high association condition; HI: high imagery condition; LA: low association condition; LI: low imagery condition; NA: nonassociation condition; NW: nonword condition; RT: response time; SD: standard deviation.
FSL software (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/melodic/index.html). Obvious noise components were removed by a researcher (M.J.K.). To reduce noise without reducing valid activation, spatial smoothing was performed using 5 mm FWHM. Prewhitening, for removal of serial correlations, was performed to maximize the validity of the statistics.

A general linear model was used for linear combination of the modeled response for HIHA, LIHA, HILA, LILA, NA, and NW conditions. Activation maps for several contrasts between conditions were constructed separately for each subject using a mixed effect analysis. The contrasts were letter vs. null event, and word vs. NW and for the main effect of association (association vs. nonassociation, HA vs. LA or NA, LA vs. NA), the main effect of imagery (HI vs. LI, HIHA vs. LIHA, HILA vs. LILA) and the interaction effect of association by imagery were considered. Each resulting Z statistic image in each subject was considered in the mixed effect analysis at the group level to show, which clusters of voxels above a Z value of 2.3 were activated at a significance level of \( P < 0.05 \). Activations identified at a spatial extent of at least 10 voxels. Before the group analysis, fMRI data were registered to the in-plan T1-weighted structural image with translation and to a high-resolution structural image with linear transformation of 6 degrees-of-freedom and finally to standard space using a linear registration (FLIRT: FMRIB's Linear Image Registration Tool, http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/flirt/index.html). The main template for the detection of the regions of interest was based on the Harvard Oxford Structural Atlas, which is provided by FSL. For example, as shown in Figure 3a, the results of the large cluster indicated three regions. We used the Harvard Oxford Cortical Structural Atlas to identify the names of the locations of the peak voxel coordinates of each of these regions. Regarding the results of Figure 3b,c, we checked each cluster’s peak points (Table II) in the Harvard Oxford Cortical Structural Atlas, and found that these peak points included the precuneus, cuneus, and posterior cingulate cortex, respectively.

### RESULTS

#### Behavioral Data

In the two-way ANOVA, the main effects of both association and imagery were significant (\( F = 28.21, P < 0.001 \) and \( F = 11.07, P = 0.01 \), respectively). The interaction between association and imagery was also significant (\( F = 4.93, P = 0.04 \); the higher the association and imagery the word pairs have, the faster the word discrimination task was). An imagery effect on RT was shown only within HA (\( t = -3.0, df = 14, \) and \( P = 0.01 \)), not LA (\( t = -0.26, df = 14, \) and \( P = 0.80 \)) word pairs (Fig. 2). Using the correct response data only, the main effects of association and imagery and their interaction were still significant (\( F = 38.63, P < 0.001 \); \( F = 5.00, P = 0.04 \); \( F = 5.66, P = 0.03 \), respectively). In terms of accuracy, there was no main effect of association (mean square = 0.64, \( F = 2.93, \) and \( P = 0.11 \)), imagery (mean square = 0.07, \( F = 0.38, \) and \( P = 0.55 \)), or their interaction (mean square = 0.29, \( F = 1.16, \) and \( P = 0.30 \)).

A one-way ANOVA showed that the greater the association between two words, the faster the responses were on the word discrimination task (\( F = 54.66, P < 0.001 \)). A post hoc contrast analysis showed that the RTs of HA and NW were the shortest and the longest, respectively (\( P < 0.001 \)); however, the RTs between LA and NW did not differ significantly (\( P = 0.42 \); HA > LA = NA > NW; Fig. 2). Using the correct response data only, the post hoc analysis showed the responses to HA and NW were also the shortest and the longest, respectively (\( P < 0.001 \)), but the RTs between LA and NA were not different (\( P = 0.26 \); HA > LA = NA > NW). The word discrimination for the associated word pairs was more accurate than that of non-associated word pairs (\( F = 7.47, P = 0.01 \)). The post hoc analysis showed that HA was more accurate than NA (\( P = 0.04 \)) and NW (\( P < 0.001 \)), LA than NW (\( P < 0.001 \)), and NA than NW (\( P < 0.001 \)). No difference in accuracy was seen between HA vs. LA (\( P = 0.11 \)) or LA vs. NA (\( P = 0.25 \)).

#### Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Brain regions activated by the negative interaction between word association and word imagery were the bilateral precuneus and right cuneus (Table II, Fig. 3). This suggests that the precuneus and cuneus are more likely to be activated in conditions of higher imagery but lower association. This interaction was further analyzed by the degree of word association. As a result, no differential brain area was found for HI and LI within the HA condition. However, within the LA condition, the right cuneal, left posterior cingulate cortex, and left precuneus were activated with HI words, compared with LI words (Table II, Fig. 3).
When contrasting word (HA, LA, and NA) with NW stimuli, brain regions activated by word stimuli (all $p < 0.01$) included the left posterior cingulate gyrus, bilateral precuneus, bilateral superior lateral occipital cortex, left superior frontal gyrus, bilateral middle frontal gyrus, and right frontal poles (Table III, Fig. 3). No region was identified when contrasting HA vs. LA or NA, LA vs. NA.

**DISCUSSION**

The main aim of this study was to identify brain regions whose activation was based on the degree of word association and word imagery under an implicit (automatic) condition. The crucial finding was the activation of the left precuneus, left posterior cingulate gyrus, and right cuneus resulting from a difference in word imagery, but only under a LA condition. No brain region with activation depending on the degree of word association was detected under the preconscious condition.

Previous studies have found that the precuneus is involved in self-consciousness [Kjaer et al., 2002; Lou et al., 2004; Vogt and Laureys, 2005], the recall of episodic memory [Lundstrom et al., 2003, 2005], and mental imagery related to visuospatial stimuli [Oshio et al., 2010]. Activation of the precuneus was also observed in both

![Figure 2](image2.png)

The mean reaction time with the standard error of the mean during lexical decision task for type of word association (left) and interaction of word association by word imagery (right). HA: high association between prime and target word; LA: low association between prime and target word; NA: no association between prime and target word; NW: nonword.
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Brain regions modulated by semantic priming. (a) Brain regions activated by word stimuli (HA, LA, and NA), compared with NW stimuli, (b) brain regions activated by the negative interaction between word association and word imagery, (c) brain regions activated by HI word stimuli than LI word stimuli under LA condition.
visual memory and visual imagery processing [Slotnick et al., 2012]. With the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, the precuneus appeared to be activated upon presentation of visual three-letter nouns under the supraliminal relative to subliminal condition, suggesting an awareness of visual verbal stimuli as one of the main functions of the precuneus [Kjaer et al., 2001]. Our findings extend previous studies by demonstrating that the activation of the precuneus is also promoted by the priming effect of HI words relative to LI words under the subliminal condition. The activation of the precuneus thus follows a function of the degree of word imagery in the early stage of verbal information processing. This is the first study to identify regional brain activity differentiating high and LI words in an implicit condition.

Our results differ from those of Kjaer et al. [2001]: the activation of the precuneus under subliminal conditions in our study might be due to uniqueness of the imagery effect of the word. For example, the present study contrasted HI with LI word pairs, whereas Kjaer et al. [2001], regardless of word imagery, repeatedly presented nouns with increasing duration (e.g., 28, 43, 57, ... 1376 ms). Evidence that HI words are more easily processed and recalled compared with LI words has been presented, suggesting imagery effects of the words [de Groot, 1989; Nittono et al., 2002]. It is assumed that HI words, relative to LI words, facilitate activation of a stronger and denser semantic network. Accordingly, HI word pairs in this study might evoke enhanced precuneus activity, compared with LI words, under implicit processing conditions (beyond self-awareness). This is further supported by previous findings that information processing of concrete words is faster and more efficient than that of abstract words in recognition, free and cued recall, paired associated learning, comprehension of a sentence, and a meaningfulness judgment task [Haberlandt and Graesser, 1985; Holmes and Langford, 1976; Paivio et al., 1994]. Similarly, West and Holcomb [2000] found that the RT to concrete words was faster than that for abstract words, which was further supported by evidence showing that the time difference between ERPs of concrete and abstract words was about 250–300 msec, with a larger activation of N400 for concrete words than abstract words. This is consistent with our finding that the priming effect of imagery words occurs outside of awareness.

The posterior cingulate cortex is known to be involved in episodic memory retrieval for standardized stimuli [Cabeza and Nyberg, 2000]. In particular, the left posterior cingulate, along with the left precuneus and cuneus, appeared to be more activated during cued recall of familiar people relative to unfamiliar people [Maddock et al., 2001]. The activation of the bilateral posterior cingulate cortex was also observed in awareness of emotional words, compared to neutral words [Maddock, 1999; Maddock and Buonocore, 1997; Maddock et al., 2003]. These prior studies suggest that the posterior cingulate cortex might be a common area for recall of episodic memory and perception of emotional words. Notably, imagery is a crucial component in episodic memory recall. Sharot et al. [2007] found that the posterior cingulate cortex plays a key role in imaging future events, and the left posterior cingulate, precuneus, and cuneus are involved in detailed elaboration of an imagined event [Addis et al., 2007]. Our data extend the role of the posterior cingulate gyrus to involve a priming effect related to HI words relative to LI words at an implicit level.

The cuneus is most known for its involvement in basic visual information processing. A previous study found that bilateral cuneus is activated in task switching related to cognitive control [Dove et al., 2000]. Another study showed that isolated lateralized ERP activity was detected in the bilateral cuneus in the early stages of the visuospatial cue-target SOA (250–300ms) [McDonald and Green, 2008]. These findings suggest that the cuneus is linked to attentional-control activity related to visual symbol stimuli. Based on the obtained results, we speculate that the

---

**TABLE III. Brain regions activated for the contrast of Word (HA, LA, and NA) versus NW conditions**

| Regions                                      | Voxels | Peak voxel coordinate | Z-Values |
|----------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|----------|
| Posterior cingulate cortex, left             | 4724   | $-2$ $-48$ $30$      | 4.48     |
| Precuneus, right                             | 2      | $-6$ $-58$ $16$      | 4.02     |
| Precuneus, left                              | 7      | $-42$ $-64$ $22$     | 4.11     |
| Superior lateral occipital cortex, left      | 912    | $-40$ $-56$ $16$     | 3.61     |
| Angular gyrus, left                          | 738    | $52$ $-66$ $22$      | 3.64     |
| Superior frontal gyrus, left                 | 355    | $-22$ $22$ $44$      | 3.67     |
| Middle frontal gyrus, left                   | 345    | $18$ $22$ $38$       | 3.49     |
| Frontal pole, right                          | 345    |                     | 3.29     |
| Middle frontal gyrus, right                  | 26     |                     |          |

Notes: The coordinates of maximally activated voxels are given in MNI space. All activations identified at cluster-level significance of $P < 0.05$ (corrected) for a spatial extent of at least 10 voxels.
word association, which precluded examining the differential effect of word imagery in terms of related words versus unrelated words. A future study including the imagery of unrelated word association might provide useful information on the facilitating imagery effect between related and unrelated word association. Another limitation of this study was the inclusion of only a very short SOA. This was because a previous study already conducted a similar design under long SOA conditions [Wible et al., 2006]. Nonetheless, it might be interesting to investigate whether the imagery effect of word association would be promoted under the conscious condition given that Wible et al. [2006] did not directly examine the imagery effect of prime-target word associations. A future study including various ranges of prime-target SOAs will provide useful information in investigating both automatic and controlled imagery and the semantic priming effect. Whereas previous studies have used concrete and abstract words to investigate the facilitating effect of word imagery, this study directly classified HI and LI words regardless of concreteness and abstractness. Future studies controlling for concreteness and abstractness of the word would offer a more rigorous design for investigating the word imagery effect.

Despite the aforementioned limitations, the present investigation provides a novel finding of identifying brain regions related to the imagery words. Our findings suggest that when we recognize a word, the imagery of the word can be activated and processed at a very early stage (30 ms SOA), and the right cuneal, left posterior cingulate gyrus, and left precuneus are involved in this function. Previous studies have shown that the aforementioned brain regions are related to self-consciousness, episodic memories, imagery processing, and the recognition of visually presented words at a conscious level. These findings extend the previous research by examining the unique function of the right cuneal, left posterior cingulate gyrus, and left precuneus in recognizing word imagery at a preconscious level, indicating an “automatic imagery priming effect.”

Given the evidence showing that people with high degrees of alexithymia displayed less activation of the posterior cingulate cortex while imagining past and future happy memories, compared with the control condition (seeing a cross symbol) [Mantani et al., 2005], and that the posterior cingulate cortex is reported to play a key role in imagining future events [Addis et al., 2007; Sharot et al., 2007], the brain areas detected in this study might be considered as targets for clinical intervention in depressed people. For example, it would be interesting to investigate whether Cognitive Bias Modification training targeting the posterior cingulate cortex using imagery words would be helpful for depressed people [Koster et al., 2009; Mathews and Mackintosh, 2000].
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There are several limitations that should be noted. The present study did not match word imagery of unrelated word association, which precluded examining the differential effect of word imagery in terms of related words versus unrelated words. A future study including the imagery of unrelated word association might provide useful information on the facilitating imagery effect between related and unrelated word association. Another limitation of this study was the inclusion of only a very short SOA. This was because a previous study already conducted a similar design under long SOA conditions [Wible et al., 2006]. Nonetheless, it might be interesting to investigate whether the imagery effect of word association would be promoted under the conscious condition given that Wible et al. [2006] did not directly examine the imagery effect of prime-target word associations. A future study including various ranges of prime-target SOAs will provide useful information in investigating both automatic and controlled imagery and the semantic priming effect. Whereas previous studies have used concrete and abstract words to investigate the facilitating effect of word imagery, this study directly classified HI and LI words regardless of concreteness and abstractness. Future studies controlling for concreteness and abstractness of the word would offer a more rigorous design for investigating the word imagery effect.

Despite the aforementioned limitations, the present investigation provides a novel finding of identifying brain regions related to the imagery words. Our findings suggest that when we recognize a word, the imagery of the word can be activated and processed at a very early stage (30 ms SOA), and the right cuneal, left posterior cingulate gyrus, and left precuneus are involved in this function. Previous studies have shown that the aforementioned brain regions are related to self-consciousness, episodic memories, imagery processing, and the recognition of visually presented words at a conscious level. These findings extend the previous research by examining the unique function of the right cuneal, left posterior cingulate gyrus, and left precuneus in recognizing word imagery at a preconscious level, indicating an “automatic imagery priming effect.”

Given the evidence showing that people with high degrees of alexithymia displayed less activation of the posterior cingulate cortex while imagining past and future happy memories, compared with the control condition (seeing a cross symbol) [Mantani et al., 2005], and that the posterior cingulate cortex is reported to play a key role in imagining future events [Addis et al., 2007; Sharot et al., 2007], the brain areas detected in this study might be considered as targets for clinical intervention in depressed people. For example, it would be interesting to investigate whether Cognitive Bias Modification training targeting the posterior cingulate cortex using imagery words would be helpful for depressed people [Koster et al., 2009; Mathews and Mackintosh, 2000].
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