Undifferentiated Pleomorphic Sarcoma with Neoplastic Fever: A Retrospective Study
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Background: Although the annual incidence of undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS) is extremely low, it can be subdivided into different subtypes. UPS with fever of unknown origin (also known as neoplastic fever) is a specific subtype of UPS, which shows certain clinical features that differentiate it from other UPS subtypes. However, no studies have focused on this rare UPS subtype. This study retrospectively analyzed the clinical data of patients with UPS to provide a reference for the diagnosis and treatment of UPS with neoplastic fever.

Methods: This study included patients with UPS who were diagnosed and treated between June 2012 and June 2018. We examined whether these patients had a history of neoplastic fever. The characteristics of patients with UPS with neoplastic fever were summarized and analyzed.

Results: We reviewed the medical records of 183 patients with UPS. Seven (3.83%) of these patients had neoplastic fever. In patients with UPS with neoplastic fever, the primary lesions were located in the extremities and across the muscle space. In these patients, magnetic resonance imaging showed necrosis within the tumor body and extensive soft tissue edema around the tumor body. Patients with UPS with neoplastic fever had a lower metastasis rate (14.29% vs 44.94%) and a higher 3-year survival rate (85.71% vs 59.55%) than those without neoplastic fever.

Conclusion: UPS with neoplastic fever is characterized by intratumoral necrosis and extensive edema of the surrounding soft tissues. Patients with UPS with neoplastic fever may have a better prognosis than those without neoplastic fever.
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Introduction
Soft tissue sarcomas (STSs) are rare malignant tumors of mesenchymal origin, comprising approximately 50 subtypes.1 Some types of STS lack defined characteristics for a specific sarcoma subtype; their cells are less differentiated, and reversion to a state that contains a population of varying morphological cell types (such as spindle cells, histiocytes, and giant cells) is noted.2 This type of tumor is known as undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma and was referred to as malignant fibrous histiocytoma until 2002.3 Its incidence in the population is extremely low, with only approximately 1.5% new cases per 1 million people per year.4 At present, there are few clinical reports specifically targeting UPS. Most data on the treatment and prognosis of UPS have been obtained from clinical trials on STS.5,6 Currently, the main treatments for early UPS are surgery and...
Neoadjuvant therapy is also required for high-risk early UPS. The 5-year survival rate for early UPS is less than 50%. Systemic treatment of advanced UPS includes chemotherapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy. The median overall survival for late-stage UPS is approximately 1 year.

Although the annual incidence of UPS is extremely low, it can be subdivided into different subtypes. UPS with fever of unknown origin (also known as neoplastic fever) is a specific subtype of UPS. This UPS subtype can develop anywhere in the body, and its incidence rate is even lower than the normal subtypes. UPS with neoplastic fever has some clinical features which differentiates it from other UPS subtypes. However, no studies have focused on this rare UPS subtype. Our hospital is a major center for the diagnosis and treatment of sarcoma in a province with a population of 100 million in Central China; thus, we have accumulated data from a large number of patients with UPS, including those with UPS with neoplastic fever. In this study, we retrospectively analyzed the clinical data of patients with UPS to provide a reference for the diagnosis and treatment of UPS with neoplastic fever.

Methods
This study aimed to retrospectively analyze the clinical data of patients with UPS, to provide details on the diagnosis and treatment of UPS with neoplastic fever. After obtaining ethical approval from the Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, we reviewed the medical records of all patients with UPS treated at the Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University between June 2012 and August 2018. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) pathologically confirmed UPS and 2) complete data regarding medical records, imaging studies, and follow-up records.

We examined whether the included patients had a history of neoplastic fever. Neoplastic fever was defined as fever of unknown origin, after all potential causes were ruled out. We also analyzed the following variables: age, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS) score at diagnosis, location and size of the primary tumor, tumor stage at initial diagnosis, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings at the onset of neoplastic fever, biopsy or postoperative pathology findings, adjuvant therapy, recurrence, metastasis, and 3-year survival rate.

Statistical Analysis
Quantitative variables are presented as the median (range) or number of patients (percentage). The recurrence rate was defined as the percentage of patients who showed relapse after the first surgery. The metastasis rate was defined as the percentage of patients who developed distant metastases after the initial diagnosis. The 3-year survival rate was defined as the percentage of patients who survived for 3 years after surgery.

Results
We reviewed the medical records of 183 patients with UPS; seven of these patients had neoplastic fever. Thus, the incidence of neoplastic fever was 3.83% in patients with UPS.

Characteristics of Patients with UPS with Neoplastic Fever
Detailed information of the seven patients with UPS with neoplastic fever is shown in Table 1. Of the seven patients, four were female and three were male; the mean age was 62 ± 8.08 years; and the ECOG PS score was 0 or 1. In all patients, the primary lesions were located in the extremities and intermuscular space. The primary lesions were all relatively large at the first diagnosis, ranging from 4.8 cm to 18.0 cm. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy was attempted in four patients, but they could not complete the treatment owing to fever; one patient could not complete neoadjuvant radiotherapy owing to fever. Radiotherapy and chemotherapy did not relieve neoplastic fever in these patients. All patients underwent extensive resection of the primary tumor. Fever symptoms disappeared in all patients after surgery. Four patients received adjuvant radiotherapy postoperatively, and two of them experienced recurrence. Of the seven patients, four experienced at least one postoperative recurrence; one patient developed extensive pulmonary metastasis and died 2.5 years after the initial diagnosis. Six patients were still alive 3 years after surgery.

Comparison Between Patients with UPS with and without Neoplastic Fever
To further investigate the clinical characteristics of patients with UPS with neoplastic fever (defined as the UPS with neoplastic fever group), we compared data between patients with UPS with and without neoplastic fever. A total of 89 patients were included in the UPS without neoplastic fever.
neoplastic fever group. The site and size of the primary tumor and tumor stage at the initial diagnosis were between the two groups. Basic information for the two groups is presented in Table 2.

Pathological manifestations were similar between patients with UPS with and without neoplastic fever (Figure 1). Histopathologically, all cases of UPS showed high cellularity, myxoid area < 10%, and pleomorphic nuclear atypia. In the UPS with neoplastic fever group, MRI showed necrosis inside the tumor body. All tumors involved the muscular space. Extensive soft tissue edema was observed around the tumor (Figure 2). These features were not noted in most cases in the UPS without neoplastic fever group (Figure 2).

The recurrence rate was similar between patients with UPS with and without neoplastic fever (57.14% vs 53.93%). In contrast, the UPS with neoplastic fever group showed a lower metastasis rate (14.29% vs 44.94%) and a higher 3-year survival rate (85.71% vs 59.55%) than the UPS without neoplastic fever group. Owing to the small number of cases in the UPS with neoplastic fever group and the large difference in the number of cases between the two groups, the statistical error was too large. Therefore, we did not perform a statistical comparison of the differences in the data between the two groups.

**Discussion**

In this retrospective study, we found that 7 (3.83%) of the 183 patients with UPS had neoplastic fever. In these patients, the primary tumors were located in the extremities and muscle space. On MRI, these patients showed necrosis within the tumor body and extensive soft tissue edema around the tumor body. Patients with UPS with neoplastic fever had a lower metastasis rate and a higher

### Table 1 Clinical Characteristics of Patients with UPS with Neoplastic Fever

| Patient No. | Sex | Age (Years) | ECOG PS | Primary Site | TNM Stage | Primary Size (cm) | Neoadjuvant Therapy | Recurrence | Metastasis | 3-Year Survival |
|-------------|-----|-------------|---------|--------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| 1           | Female | 68          | 0       | Thigh        | III       | 9.8              | Unfinished          | No          | No         | Yes            |
| 2           | Male   | 54          | 1       | Thigh        | III       | 12.8             | Unfinished          | Yes         | No         | Yes            |
| 3           | Male   | 65          | 0       | Thigh        | III       | 18.0             | Unfinished          | Yes         | No         | Yes            |
| 4           | Male   | 57          | 1       | Lower leg    | III       | 9.6              | Unfinished          | No          | No         | Yes            |
| 5           | Female | 66          | 0       | Upper arm    | III       | 7.2              | NA                  | Yes         | No         | Yes            |
| 6           | Female | 73          | 0       | Upper arm    | II        | 4.8              | NA                  | No          | No         | Yes            |
| 7           | Male   | 51          | 0       | Thigh        | III       | 15.0             | Unfinished          | Yes         | Yes        | No             |

**Abbreviations:** UPS, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; NA, not applicable.

### Table 2 Comparison of Clinical Characteristics Between Patients with UPS with and without Neoplastic Fever

| Characteristics                          | Fever UPS Group (n=7) | Normal UPS Group (n=89) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Gender                                   |                       |                         |
| Male                                     | 4                     | 49                      |
| Female                                   | 3                     | 40                      |
| Age (years)                              | 62±8.08               | 59±10.12                |
| ECOG PS                                  |                       |                         |
| 0                                        | 5                     | 76                      |
| 1                                        | 2                     | 13                      |
| Primary site                             |                       |                         |
| Upper arm                                | 2                     | 26                      |
| Thigh                                    | 4                     | 54                      |
| Lower leg                                | 1                     | 9                       |
| Primary tumour size (cm)                 | 11.02±4.56            | 7.28±6.83               |
| Stage                                    |                       |                         |
| II                                       | 1                     | 18                      |
| III                                      | 6                     | 71                      |
| Peritumor soft tissue edema and tumor necrosis (MR) |                       |                         |
| Yes                                      | 7                     | 21                      |
| No                                       | 0                     | 68                      |
| Postoperative adjuvant therapy           |                       |                         |
| No                                       | 3                     | 24                      |
| Yes                                      | 4                     | 65                      |
| Recurrence rate                          | 57.14% (4/7)          | 53.93% (48/89)          |
| Metastasis rate                          | 14.29% (1/7)          | 44.94% (40/89)          |
| 3-year survival rate                     | 85.71% (6/7)          | 59.55% (53/89)          |

**Note:** Data are presented as numbers (percentages) or means ± standard deviations.

**Abbreviations:** UPS, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; MR, magnetic resonance imaging.
3-year survival rate than patients with UPS without neoplastic fever.

Neoplastic fever refers to continuous fever caused by the tumor itself. Previous studies have shown that only approximately 2.3% of patients with malignancies develop neoplastic fever, and only a small fraction of these patients have sarcoma. The cause of neoplastic fever in patients with sarcoma remains unclear. Some studies have suggested that cancer can cause fever through several mechanisms, including occult infections, inflammation, vasculitis, localized obstruction due to the tumor, and in situ production of febriferous substances by the tumor. In this study, pathological features were not significantly different between patients with UPS with and without neoplastic fever. The MRI features of patients with UPS with neoplastic fever included intratumoral necrosis and extensive soft tissue edema around the tumor. Other studies have also reported that patients with sarcoma with neoplastic fever have this characteristic appearance on MRI. Based on these imaging features, we speculated that the neoplastic fever was caused by internal necrosis and surrounding inflammation caused by rapid tumor growth. It is important to note that not all patients with UPS with intratumoral necrosis and peritumoral edema were found to have neoplastic fever. This suggests that two factors contribute to neoplastic fever: thermogenic factors generated by the tumor and the patient’s response to these thermogenic factors. However, the specific mechanism of fever remains unclear and requires further research. In addition, the relationship between the systemic inflammatory response and the immune system in UPS patients with fever also requires further investigation, as it may uncover the mechanism by which programmed cell death protein 1 inhibitors are more effective in some UPS patients.

Patients may be unable to tolerate neoadjuvant therapy due to neoplastic fever, which can cause discomfort symptoms and increase the treatment burden. In this study, five patients with neoplastic fever who tried to receive neoadjuvant therapy had to discontinue treatment owing to the fever. Fever symptoms in these patients led to the requirement of higher doses of antipyretics and glucocorticoids. The fever did not disappear completely until the tumor was removed. In addition, in this study, patients with fever had a higher recurrence rate. This may be due to the lack of neoadjuvant therapy, biases caused by the small number of cases, or a tendency inherent in the disease. However, due to the small number of cases, we were unable to identify the cause. However, neoplastic fever has an upside. The first

Figure 1 Pathological characteristics in all seven patients with UPS with fever (Fever UPS) and seven randomly selected patients with UPS without neoplastic fever (Normal UPS). Pathological manifestations were similar between the two groups. Histopathologically, all cases show high cellularity, myxoid area < 10%, and pleomorphic nuclear atypia.

Abbreviation: UPS, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma.
advantage is timely diagnosis. In the absence of fever, diagnosis is delayed in a significant number of patients. The existence of refractory fever of unknown cause leads the patient to visit the hospital, thereby allowing the cancer to be diagnosed in the early and middle stages. Second, according to the results of this study, concomitant neoplastic fever may be associated with lower metastasis rates.

The retrospective study design and small number of cases are the major limitations of this study. The root cause of these limitations is that UPS with neoplastic fever is an extremely rare disease. In addition, we did not measure the blood levels of systemic inflammatory cytokines and several immune molecules, such as CD3, CD8, CD20, CD34, PD-1, and PD-L1, to confirm the immune-based classification. These data can help determine whether such patients are likely to respond to immunotherapy. In future research, we will continue to focus on this disease.

Conclusions
UPS with neoplastic fever is a rare subtype of UPS and is characterized by intratumoral necrosis and extensive edema of the surrounding soft tissues. Patients with this subtype of UPS may have a better prognosis than those with UPS without neoplastic fever. The cause of fever in these patients necessitates further investigation.
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Figure 2 MRI findings of all seven patients with UPS with fever (Fever UPS) and seven randomly selected patients with UPS without neoplastic fever (Normal UPS). In patients with UPS with neoplastic fever, MRI shows necrosis inside the tumor body; all tumors span the muscular space, and extensive soft tissue edema around the tumor is noted. Most cases of UPS without neoplastic fever did not exhibit these features on MRI.
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