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Neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant after third mRNA vaccination in health care workers and elderly subjects
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The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant (B.1.1.529) with major spike protein mutations has raised concern over potential neutralization escape and breakthrough infections among vaccinated and previously SARS-CoV-2-infected subjects. We measured cross-protective antibodies against variants in health care workers (HCW, n = 20) and nursing home residents (n = 9) from samples collected at 1–2 months, following the booster (3rd) dose. We also assessed the antibody responses in subjects infected before the Omicron era (n = 38) with subsequent administration of a single mRNA vaccine dose. Following booster vaccination, HCWs had high IgG antibody concentrations to the spike protein and neutralizing antibodies (NAb) were detectable against all variants. IgG concentrations among the elderly remained lower, and some lacked NAbs against the Beta and Omicron variants. NAb titers were significantly reduced against Delta, Beta, and Omicron compared to WT virus regardless of age. Vaccination induced high IgG concentrations and variable titers of cross-reactive NAbs in previously infected subjects, whereas NAb titers against Omicron were barely detectable 1 month postinfection. High IgG concentrations with cross-protective neutralizing activity were detected after three Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine doses in HCWs. However, lower NAb titers seen in the frail elderly suggest inadequate protection against Omicron breakthrough infections, yet protection against severe COVID-19 is expected.
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the ability of the variants to resist the neutralizing activity of antibodies [3–6]. Omicron has several additional mutations in the RBD, including E484A [7], that are likely to further enhance its ability to escape neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) and suggest a significant potential for vaccine escape compared with the Delta variant [8].

Omicron has an increased growth rate, household transmission risk, and secondary attack rate compared to the Delta variant [9] and it is expected to become the dominant strain worldwide. This has resulted in urgent administration of booster vaccinations and the development of variant-specific vaccine compositions.

Both Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) mRNA vaccines were initially shown to be highly immunogenic, also among elderly subjects [10, 11], and were found to be 94 to 95% effective in preventing WT SARS-CoV-2 infection in short-term after the second dose [12, 13]. Effectiveness against Delta variant infection has been shown to be slightly lower but remains relatively good at over 80% during the first 3 months, yet lower in the elderly [14]. However, longer follow-up data from vaccine effectiveness (VE) studies have indicated that protection wanes more steeply against Delta variant infection. In the elderly, substantial waning to low VE levels of 20% after 5 months has been reported following the second vaccine dose [14]. For Omicron variant infection, first VE estimates show considerable and rapid waning after two vaccine doses, but restoration of high effectiveness after an mRNA booster [15, 16].

NAb levels are highly predictive of protection against infection and clinical disease [17]. However, no absolute antibody titer threshold has been established as a correlate of protection for SARS-CoV-2 [18]. Omicron has been shown to escape vaccine-induced humoral immunity; substantially reduced NAb titers have been seen in recently vaccinated subjects [2, 19, 20]. Also, substantial ability to evade immunity from prior infection has been suggested [21]. However, previously infected subjects with subsequent vaccination were found to retain relatively high neutralization titers [20].

In this study, we measured the NAb titers against a WT virus, and three variants isolated in Finland during 2021: Beta (B.1.351), Delta (B.1.617.2), and Omicron (B.1.1.529) in health care workers (HCW) and elderly subjects, who received a booster vaccination 6 to 9 months after the second dose. We also measured NAb responses against Beta, Delta, and Omicron as well as Alpha (B.1.1.7) to a single vaccination in subjects infected before the Omicron era and compared the NAb titers to the peaking antibody response 1 month after infection.

Results

Higher IgG antibody concentrations after booster vaccination in HCWs compared to the elderly

To estimate the extent of cross-protective immunity following COVID-19 booster vaccination, we measured the concentration of IgG antibodies to spike protein (anti-S IgG) and NAb titers from HCWs and elderly subjects sampled ≥21 days after the third vaccine dose (Fig. 1, Table 1). We found that the anti-S IgG geometric mean concentrations (GMCS) 21 to 42 days following a booster dose (Comirnaty) were 1.4- (RBD) to 1.8-fold (full-length spike glycoprotein, SFL) higher in HCWs compared to the elderly. The anti-S IgG GMCS were equally high in HCWs sampled at 43 to 77 days after the booster, compared to those sampled earlier. Subjects who received a Spikevax booster had 2.2- (RBD) to 2.5-fold (SFL) higher anti-S IgG GMCS compared to those who were vaccinated with Comirnaty. None of the differences was statistically significant (Kruskall–Wallis) due to the very small number of subjects.

Booster vaccination induced variable concentrations of cross-NAbs

Following booster vaccination, we observed measurable NAb titers and likely strong and moderate cross-protection against Delta and Beta variants tested in HCWs, respectively (Fig. 2, Table 1). NAb titers to Omicron were decreased by 91 and 96% (p < 0.001 and 0.0021, Wilcoxon rank-sum test) compared to WT SARS-CoV-2 in HCW and elderly, respectively. NAb titers to Beta variant were decreased by 80 and 93% (p < 0.001 and 0.0053) and NAb titers to Delta variant were decreased by 61 and 87% (p = 0.023 and 0.029) compared to NAb to WT SARS-CoV-2, in HCWs and elderly, respectively (Fig. 3). The NAb titers against WT virus were 1.6-fold and 3.6-fold higher against Omicron in HCWs compared to the elderly, sampled 21 to 42 days following the Comirnaty booster vaccination (Table 1). The NAb titers against Delta were 4.4- and 3.6-fold higher compared to Omicron in HCW and elderly, respectively. Furthermore, two of seven elderly did not have NAb to Omicron despite receiving a booster dose only 21–42 days prior.

Previous infection and a single vaccine dose induced high IgG concentrations and variable NAb titers

We measured anti-S IgG concentrations and NAb titers from samples collected from previously infected subjects at 1–2 months following a laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection by WT (n = 13), Alpha (n = 20), or Beta variant (n = 5). The anti-S IgG concentrations measured at 26–70 days after infection were on average 6.3 and 6.4 binding antibody unit [BAU]/mL for RBD and SFL, respectively. The anti-S IgG levels after infection and a single dose of the Comirnaty COVID-19 vaccine are presented in Supporting information Fig. S1.

Mild infection induced the strongest NAb titers against the homologous variant strain, but only moderate or low cross-protection against other variants (Fig. 4). For Omicron, infection by heterologous variant induced no NAb titers in subjects who had faced WT or Beta variant infection, and only one subject with prior Alpha variant infection had a borderline positive NAb titer against Omicron.
We then measured NAb from samples collected from the previously infected subjects who had received a single COVID-19 vaccine dose (Comirnaty) 3 to 6 months following infection. A single dose induced very strong anti-S IgG responses (16- to 27-fold, for RBD and SFL, respectively), and the anti-S IgG concentrations were comparable to the levels following a Comirnaty booster dose in HCWs (75 vs. 130 BAU/mL for RBD and 140 vs. 170 BAU/mL for SFL) 21–77 days after booster dose. Most importantly, we measured a marked increase in cross-protective antibody responses in previously infected subjects against all variants including Omicron (Fig. 4). The NAb titers remained elevated for at least 2 months following vaccination.

### Discussion

Following a booster vaccination, given at 6 to 9 months following the second COVID-19 mRNA vaccine dose, we found high anti-S IgG and detectable NAb concentrations, suggesting cross-protection against all variants among HCWs. The anti-S IgG concentrations and the ability to neutralize variants, including Omicron, remained equally high in samples collected from HCW from 1 to up to 2.5 months following the booster. However, among the frail elderly living in a residential care home the antibody concentrations measured a month after the booster dose were lower compared to those among HCW and not all subjects had NAb against the Beta and Omicron variants.

The age-dependency of the antibody responses suggests that protection against breakthrough infections following a booster dose may be partial and transient in the frail elderly. Antibody responses to COVID-19 vaccination have been shown to correlate negatively with age; vaccination induces highest antibody responses in younger subjects, and lower among subjects aged 65 and older [11, 22, 23]. The concentration of NAb has also been shown to decline faster with increasing age [24, 25].

Furthermore, the response to a booster vaccination is likely dose-dependent. The mRNA content in the Comirnaty vaccine is 30 μg compared to 100 μg in the Spikevax; the currently licensed booster dose for Spikevax is 50 μg. A 50 μg Spikevax booster dose was shown to markedly increase the NAb titers against Omicron in subjects previously immunized with two doses of the Spikevax vaccine [26]. Preliminary data indicate that a full dose would result in a twofold concentration of NAb against the Omicron variant [27]. In this study, we found that the HCWs who received a full dose of Spikevax as a booster tended to have higher concentrations of cross-NAb compared to those vaccinated with Comirnaty (2.4-fold against Omicron). However, the difference was not statistically significant due to the small number of subjects.

#### Table 1. Geometric mean IgG concentrations, GMC [95% CI] expressed as BAU/mL for wild-type (WT) spike proteins (SFL and RBD) and geometric mean titers, GMT [95% CI] of neutralizing antibodies (NAb) against WT virus and three variants of concern Delta (B.1.617.2), Beta (B.1.351), and Omicron (B.1.1.529) in elderly (n = 7–9) and health care workers (HCW) 21–42 (n = 7) or 43–77 (n = 8) days after booster mRNA vaccination (third dose of Comirnaty)

| Days post booster | Anti-S IgG BAU/mL | NAb to SARS-CoV-2 |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | SFL [95% CI]     | RBD [95% CI]     | WT [95% CI] | Delta [95% CI] | Beta [95% CI] | Omicron [95% CI] |
| Elderly 21–42    | 65 [35–120]      | 43 [20–90]       | 180 [55–560] | 24 [5.8–100]  | 12 [3.3–44]  | 6.7 [2.7–17]    |
| n = 9            |                  |                  | n = 7           | n = 7           | n = 7           | n = 7           |
| HCW 21–42        | 120 [52–270]     | 62 [29–130]      | 290 [130–660] | 140 [77–250] | 64 [37–110]  | 24 [12–50]      |
| n = 7            |                  |                  | n = 7           | n = 7           | n = 7           | n = 7           |
| 43–77            | 140 [50–380]     | 84 [34–200]      | 420 [180–1000] | 150 [48–450] | 78 [22–280]  | 41 [14–115]     |
| n = 8            |                  |                  | n = 8           | n = 8           | n = 8           | n = 8           |

Each sample was tested a technical duplicate in each experiment and the experimental precision was confirmed by two positive control samples in each independent experiment.

Correction added on 8th April 2022, after first online publication: display of Table 1 has been updated.
Effectiveness against infection and severe disease has been reported to be higher for Spikevax compared to Comirnaty [28]. In subjects vaccinated with two doses of an mRNA vaccine, Spikevax has been shown to induce twofold antibody concentrations compared to Comirnaty [23]. A high concentration of NAbs has previously been shown to correlate with protection against breakthrough infections [29, 30]. These data suggest that the stronger the initial immune response to the vaccine, the longer the immunity will persist. Also, our finding that the booster vaccination induced weaker immune responses among the elderly subjects suggests that the added benefit of a booster vaccination against breakthrough infections is likely shorter with older age.

The ability of sera from subjects vaccinated with two doses of a mRNA vaccine (Comirnaty), collected 56 days following the

**Figure 2.** Neutralizing antibody (NAb) titers to WT virus and three variants of concern Delta (B.1.617.2), Beta (B.1.351), and Omicron (B.1.1.529) in elderly (n = 7) and health care workers (HCW) 21–42 (n = 8) or 43–77 (n = 12) days after third booster mRNA vaccination with Comirnaty or Spikevax COVID-19 vaccine. Each sample was tested as a technical duplicate in each experiment and 50% inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 infection observed by the cytopathic effect of inoculated cells is shown. The experimental precision was confirmed by two positive control samples in each independent experiment.

**Figure 3.** Neutralizing antibody (NAb) titers to WT virus and three variants of concern Delta (B.1.617.2), Beta (B.1.351), and Omicron (B.1.1.529) in elderly (n = 7) and health care workers (HCW) 21–42 (n = 8) or 43–77 (n = 8) days after third booster mRNA vaccination with Comirnaty COVID-19 vaccine. Wilcoxon rank-sum test * p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. Each sample was tested as a technical duplicate in each experiment and 50% inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 infection observed by the cytopathic effect of inoculated cells is shown. The experimental precision was confirmed by two positive control samples in each independent experiment.
first vaccine dose, to neutralize Omicron variants has been shown to be markedly reduced compared to the ancestral, vaccine-type virus [19]. Only 20 and 24% of the vaccinated subjects had NAb against the two Omicron variants tested, compared to 100% against the vaccine-type, Beta and Delta variants [19]. Similar findings of very low NAb activity against Omicron were reported in the South-African study of subjects vaccinated with two doses of the Comirnaty vaccine [20]. Another study using live virus neutralization assay found that subjects recently vaccinated with two doses of an adenovirus vector vaccine (Vaxzevria) or mRNA vaccine (Comirnaty) with an extended interval of 8 to 11 weeks between the two vaccine doses had substantially reduced NAb titers against Omicron, but only some subjects had no NAb [2].

A longer interval between the two doses of the primary series has been shown to result in significantly higher antibody responses, indicative of at least partial booster response [31, 32]. A booster vaccination, when given as a third dose several months after the second dose, has been shown to significantly increase the IgG and NAb concentrations [32, 33] and markedly improve neutralization of the Omicron variant [26]. This is in line with our finding of positive NAb titers against Omicron in all working-age subjects, who had received the booster vaccination.

We also found that the anti-S IgG concentrations among previously infected, once-vaccinated subjects were high and NAb titers variable, but comparable to the antibody concentrations following a third vaccine dose among the HCWs. The South-African study also reported that all the previously infected and subsequently vaccinated subjects (5/5) had NAb against Omicron [20]. The kinetics of antibody concentrations following infection may be different compared to vaccine-induced immunity, and the breadth of cross-protective immunity may be qualitatively different. Data suggest that although vaccination may induce higher initial antibody concentrations compared to infection, these antibodies appear to decline much faster [34]. A follow-up study of 2653 subjects vaccinated with two doses of Comirnaty, and 4361 convalescent COVID-19 patients found that IgG antibody titers decreased by up to 40% each subsequent month in vaccinated subjects, while in the convalescents they decreased by less than 5% per month [34]. We have previously shown that anti-S IgG antibodies can be detected up to 13 months following SARS-CoV-2 infection in 97% of the subjects, and that cross-protective NAb against Alpha, Beta, and Delta variants persisted in the majority of subjects with severe COVID-19 disease [35].

NAb levels induced by infection or vaccination are predictive of protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection [17, 29, 30]. Although no exact serological correlates have been established, protection from an infection likely requires higher NAb levels, whereas the estimated NAb level for protection from severe infection is sixfold lower [17]. SARS-CoV-2 infection induces long-lived BM plasma cells that continue to produce antibodies which likely explains why following a rapid decline of antibody concentrations during the first few months, low but stable concentrations can be detected a year after infection [36]. Circulating, resting memory B cells have also been detected in convalescent individuals [36]; a memory B-cell response to SARS-CoV-2 evolves during the first 6 months after infection, characterized with greater somatic hypermutation, resistance to mutations in the RBD of the spike protein, and increased potency [37]. Persistent antigen stimulation in previously infected
subjects likely drives this type of maturation of the B-cell response. In vaccinated subjects, a booster dose is expected to induce a memory B-cell response. In previously infected subjects, vaccination with a single dose of an mRNA vaccine induced not only higher NAb concentrations compared to naïve subjects, but also higher affinity of antibodies, suggesting that in convalescent individuals, the first vaccination recalls pre-existing memory B cells against SARS-CoV-2 that undergo rapid affinity maturation [38]. Higher antibody affinity was found to correlate with improved NAb titers against multiple SARS-CoV-2 variants [38]. Susceptibility to infection increases over time, as the level of NAbs declines, especially against antigenically different strains such as Omicron [16]. However, boosting immunity with third doses not only increases the concentration of antibodies, but also improves the quality of the B-cell response, and potential long-term immunity against severe COVID-19 disease.

We acknowledge several limitations in our study due to the small number of subjects and HCW gender distribution. Also, in HCW and elderly subjects, we analyzed sera after the third dose, but we did not have prebooster samples available for comparison. However, prebooster samples likely had very low NAb titers against variants as low NAB activity against Omicron has been reported following the second dose [19, 20, 26]; and especially as 6 to 9 months had passed before the third dose was administered. In this study, we only assessed humoral immune responses that are critical immune mechanisms for reducing infection. SARS-CoV-2 infection and vaccination also induce durable T-cell immunity, which targets multiple epitopes in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and is likely to enhance protection against severe COVID-19 disease against SARS-CoV-2 variants [39]. Analysis of the mutations in the spike protein sequence of the Omicron variant with computational modeling suggested that the T-cell response against Omicron would remain broadly cross-protective, as only a small number of the CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell epitopes, and none of the immunodominant epitopes, are affected by the Omicron-specific mutations [40].

In summary, the results of our study support previous findings indicating that COVID-19 booster vaccinations raise IgG concentrations, although NAB titers remain low against Omicron compared to WT and Delta variants. In this study, we were able to demonstrate measurable NAbs against Delta, Beta, and Omicron VOCs up to 2.5 months after the third mRNA vaccine dose in adults, whereas some elderly subjects did not have neutralization capacity against Beta and Omicron variants at 1 month after third dose. We observed that the NAB titers against Omicron were barely detectable already 1 month after mild SARS-CoV-2 infection caused by WT virus, Alpha or Beta variant, suggesting an increased risk of reinfection caused by Omicron. However, single mRNA COVID-19 vaccine dose after SARS-CoV-2 infection induced NAB titers comparable to third mRNA COVID-19 vaccination. Booster vaccinations likely improve protection against infections at least temporarily, which may help reduce transmission of the virus in the pandemic situation. Immunity against severe diseases is also based on memory B cells and T-cell-mediated immunity, which is expected to improve and last longer after booster vaccination.

**Materials and methods**

**Study design and participants**

This was an observational clinical vaccine study in which the vaccinations were administered according to the national COVID-19 vaccination campaign. Clinical trial registration: EudraCT 2021-004788-29.

We invited HCWs (n = 20, median age 50.2 [27.2–63.1], 100% female) and elderly in a residential care home (n = 9, 84.2 [71.5–89.6], 44% female) to participate and donate a blood sample for measurement of SARS-CoV-2-specific serum antibodies (Supporting information Table S1). The HCWs and care home residents had received the first and second vaccination (COVID-19 mRNA vaccine, Comirnaty) at a median of 21 [21–35] days apart, and the booster dose (Comirnaty, n = 15; Spikevax, n = 5) had been given at a median of 245 [206–291] days following the second dose. The blood samples were collected at a median of 40 [21–77] days following the booster dose. None of the participants had documentation of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection in the National Infectious Diseases Register.

Second, we invited 480 subjects with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection identified in the National Infectious Disease Register (between Oct 2020 and March 2021). A total of 82 (17%) subjects participated, from which a total of 38 participants with a blood sample taken both before and after their first COVID-19 mRNA vaccine dose (Comirnaty) were selected for this study. The cause of infection of the selected participants had been identified as WT (n = 13, median age 54.5 [44.7–80.8], 62% female), Alpha (n = 20, median age 51.2 [27.4–71.4], 55% female), or Beta variant of SARS-CoV-2 (n = 5, median age 44.0, [32.7–50.5], 40% female). Only one of the 38 selected subjects had a history of severe COVID-19 disease (hospitalization), caused by WT. Blood samples were collected at a median of 50 [26–70] days after the COVID-19 infection and 10 to 20 (n = 11), 21 to 42 (n = 17), and ≥43 days (n = 11) after subsequent administration of a single dose of a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine given at a median of 181, 182, and 158 days after infection, subsequently. From one participant, with Beta variant infection, two postvaccination samples were included (14 and 76 days after vaccination). The COVID-19 vaccination history of all the participants was collected from the National Vaccination Registry.

Serum specimens were separated by centrifugation, aliquoted, and stored at −20°C or below. For assessment of NAbs, sera were heat-inactivated at 56°C for 30 min.

**SARS-CoV-2 viruses selected for microneutralization test (MNT)**

We utilized five SARS-CoV-2 viruses for determining NAb titers. WT virus (B lineage) indicates hCoV-19/Finnland/1/2020...
WHO international standard for SARS-CoV-2 antibody assays. Austin, TX) and converted into BAU/mL, which is calibrated with tem using xPONENT software version 4.2 (Luminex Corporation, were detected with R-phycoerythrin-conjugated secondary anti-
SARS-CoV-2 RBD and SFL glycoprotein, and bound antibodies sera from donors were incubated with microspheres covered with cent multiplex immunoassay , as previously described [44]. Briefly , coprotein of WT SARS-CoV-2 (S-IgG Ab) with in-house fluores-
We measured the concentration of IgG antibodies to spike gly-
culture infectious dose of 50% per well following incubation for 1 h at +37°C, 5% CO₂. African green monkey kidney epithelial (VeroE6) cells were added, and the 96-well tissue culture plates were incubated at +37°C, 5% CO₂ for 4 days. Wells were fixed with 30% formaldehyde and stained with crystal violet. Results were expressed as MNT titers corresponding to the reciprocal of the serum dilution that inhibited 50% of SARS-CoV-2 infection observed by the cytopathic effect of inoculated cells. MNT titer ≥6 was considered positive, borderline when 4, and negative when <4. Borderline values were further confirmed with biological repeats. For titer comparison, a titer of 192, 96, 32, 8, and <4 was measured for the WHO International Standard (NIBSC 20/136 [43]) using the WT virus, Alpha, Delta, Beta, and Omicron variant, respectively.

SARS-CoV-2 MNT

We performed a cytopathic effect-based MNT as previously described [35, 41]. Briefly, serum samples were twofold seri-
ally diluted starting at 1:4 in Eagle’s minimum essential medium supplemented with penicillin, streptomycin, and 2% of heat-
inactivated fetal bovine serum. At the biosafety level 3 labo-
ry , pretitrated virus was added to obtain 100× tissue cul-
ture infectious dose of 50% per well for MNT . WT virus isolation and propagation were performed in African green monkey kidney epithelial (Vero E6) cells [41]. All variant viruses were isolated and propa-
gated in VeroE6-TMPRSS2-H10 cells [42] and further prop-
agated in Vero E6 cells for MNT. Alpha variant (B.1.1.7) indicates the isolate hCoV-19/Finnland/THL-202102301/2021 (EPI_ISL_2590786; MZ944886), Beta variant (B.1.351) the iso-
late hCoV-19/Finnland/THL-20210118/2021 (EPI_ISL_3471851; MZ944846), Delta variant (B.1.617.2) the isolate hCoV-
19/Finnland/THL-202117309/2021 (EPI_ISL_2557176; MZ945494), and Omicron variant (B.1.529/BA.1) the isolate hCoV-19/Finnland/THL-202126660/2021 (EPI_ISL_8768822; OM393712). A tissue culture infectious dose 50% assay was performed for all viruses to achieve the comparable virus concentration (of 100 × tissue culture infectious dose 50% per well) among the different variants.

SARS-CoV-2 fluorescent multiplex immunoassay

We measured the concentration of IgG antibodies to spike gly-
coprotein of WT SARS-CoV-2 (S-IgG Ab) with in-house fluores-
cent multiplex immunoassay, as previously described [44]. Briefly, sera from donors were incubated with microspheres covered with SARS-CoV-2 RBD and SFL glycoprotein, and bound antibodies were detected with R-phycocerythrin-conjugated secondary anti-
body. Antibody concentrations were measured with MAGPIX sys-
tem using xPONENT software version 4.2 (Luminex Corporation, Austin, TX) and converted into BAU/mL, which is calibrated with WHO international standard for SARS-CoV-2 antibody assays.

Statistical methods

The study analysis is descriptive. We calculated the GMC and titers Geometric mean titer with 95% confidence intervals for IgG and NAb levels, respectively. MNT titers <4 were assigned a titer value of 2. We assessed the statistical differences in antibody levels between groups using the Kruskal–Wallis test with Bonferroni correction. Differences in NAb titers between viruses were assessed with the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The statistical significance level of difference was set to p < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 27 and R (v4.0.4) with Rstudio (v1.4.1106).
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