Then there were five: a reexamination of the ant genus *Paratrechina* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae)

John S. LaPolla¹, Brian L. Fisher²

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, Towson University, Towson, Maryland 21252 USA ² Department of Entomology, California Academy of Sciences, Golden Gate Park, 55 Music Concourse Drive, San Francisco, CA 94118 USA

Corresponding author: John S. LaPolla (jlapolla@towson.edu)

Academic editor: M. Borowiec | Received 24 April 2014 | Accepted 26 May 2014 | Published 30 June 2014

Citation: LaPolla JS, Fisher BL (2014) Then there were five: a reexamination of the ant genus *Paratrechina* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). ZooKeys 422: 35–48. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.422.7779

Abstract

The ant genus *Paratrechina* is reexamined based on the discovery of two new species from Madagascar (*P. ankarana* sp. n. and *P. antsingy* sp. n.). *Paratrechina kohli*, a species known from central Africa, is transferred to *Paratrechina* from *Prenolepis* based on a new morphological interpretation of the genus and an updated morphological diagnosis of the genus is provided. This means that other than the widespread *P. longicornis*, whose origins remain uncertain, all *Paratrechina* are restricted either to the Afrotropical or Malagasy regions. It would also appear that of the five *Paratrechina* species now known, three are from dry forest habitats. With this reexamination of the genus, the possible origins of *P. longicornis* are discussed. A key to the genera of the *Prenolepis* genus-group is provided, as is a key to the workers of *Paratrechina*. In addition, we also designate a lectotype for *Paratrechina kohli*.
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Introduction

During the course of revising the ant genera Paraparatrechina (LaPolla et al. 2010c) and Nylanderia (LaPolla and Fisher, in prep) in Madagascar, two species were discovered that were initially thought to belong to the genus Prenolepis. This was particularly interesting because the genus is unknown from the Malagasy region. Despite recent definition changes to Prenolepis, following the removal of the Neotropical species to their own genus, Zatania (LaPolla et al. 2012), the genus remains poorly understood taxonomically. There were two features of these two new species from Madagascar that gave us pause as to their potential placement in Prenolepis: they did not possess the characteristic mesonotal constriction immediately behind the pronotum that is present in most Prenolepis, and the eyes were towards the midline of the head, whereas most Prenolepis have high eyes relative to the midline of the head (LaPolla et al. 2010a). Given that these same characters are variable within Zatania, it was assumed Prenolepis was displaying a similar level of variability. However, to be certain, the DNA of one of the new Malagasy species was sequenced (due to sample’s old age this was impossible for the second species) and compared against a large dataset of other formicine ants (Ward, unpublished data). The molecular data strongly suggested that the species from Madagascar was not a Prenolepis but rather belonged in Paratrechina. This in retrospect makes morphological sense because it renders the mesosomal constriction coupled with high eyes and long palps an unequivocal diagnostic feature of Prenolepis. It also provides for a more complete understanding of morphological variation observed within Paratrechina.

Here we describe those two species in the genus Paratrechina and make some definition changes to the genus, including moving a species from Prenolepis to Paratrechina, based on the discovery of these new species. We also provide an updated key to the genera of the Prenolepis genus-group.

Materials and methods

Specimens examined for this study are deposited in the following institutions:

BMNH Natural History Museum, London, U.K.
CASC California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, USA
PBZT Parc Botanique et Zoologique de Tsimbazaza, Antananarivo, Madagascar
USNM National Museum of Natural History, Washington, DC, USA

All measurements were taken at 80× power with a Leica M125 microscope using an orthogonal pair of micrometers, recorded to the nearest 0.001 mm, and rounded to two decimal places for presentation. Multiple specimens were measured for each species, and minimum and maximum measurements and indices are presented. All measurements are given in millimeters. Digital color images were created using a Lei-
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cam DFC425 digital camera. Leica Application Suite software (ver. 3.8) was used for images. Each imaged specimen is uniquely identified with a specimen-level unique identifier (e.g. CASENT0454372). Morphological terminology for measurements and indices employed throughout are defined as (following LaPolla et al. 2011a, LaPolla et al. 2011b):

- **EL** (Eye Length): maximum length of compound eye in full-face view.
- **GL** (Gaster Length): the length of the gaster in lateral view from the anteriormost point of the first gastral segment (third abdominal segment) to the posteriormost point.
- **HL** (Head Length): the length of the head proper, excluding the mandibles; measured in full-face view from the midpoint of the anterior clypeal margin to a line drawn across the posterior margin from its highest points.
- **HW** (Head Width): the maximum width of the head in full-face view.
- **MMC** (Mesonotal Macrosetal Count): the number of erect macrosetae on mesonotum to one side of sagittal plane.
- **PW** (Pronotal Width): the maximum width of the pronotum in dorsal view.
- **PFL** (Profemur Length): the length of the profemur from its margin with the trochanter proximally to its margin with the tibia distally.
- **PMC** (Pronotal Macrosetal Count): the number of erect macrosetae on pronotum to one side of sagittal plane.
- **SL** (Scape Length): the maximum length of the antennal scape excluding the condylar bulb.
- **SMC** (Scape Macrosetal Count): the number of erect macrosetae on the scape visible in full frontal view. This count does not include the terminal cluster of setae often found around the joint of the scape and the funiculus.
- **TL** (Total Length): HL+WL+GL
- **WL** (Weber’s Length): in lateral view, the distance from the posteriormost border of the metapleural lobe to the anteriormost border of the pronotum, excluding the neck.
- **CI** (Cephalic Index): (HW/HL) × 100
- **REL** (Relative Eye Length Index): (EL/HL) × 100
- **REL2** (EL/HW) × 100
- **SI** (Scape Index): (SL/HW) × 100

**Results and discussion**

LaPolla et al. (2013) provided a diagnosis for _Paratrechina_, which was based on the only two species known from the genus at that time: _P. longicornis_ (Latreille, 1802) and _P. zanjensis_ LaPolla, Hawkes & Fisher, 2013. Based on both morphological similarity and molecular data (Ward, unpublished data), it would appear that those two species are sister taxa, so the earlier diagnosis provided for the genus was
morphologically restrictive compared to what we now know is a morphologically
more diverse genus. This emphasizes that there is a need to keep documenting
new species in this genus-group, in particular in some of the smaller genera (i.e. Euprenolepis, Zatania) where new species might cause some additional mor-
phological definition changes. In more speciose genera, such as Nylanderia and Paraparatrechina, this appears to be less of a concern, as the morphological definitions
provided (LaPolla et al. 2010a) have not needed to be amended despite the recent
discovery of dozens of new species in each genus (Kallal and LaPolla 2012; LaPolla
et al. 2010b; LaPolla et al. 2011b).

The addition of three species to Paratrechina not only allows for the more com-
plete morphologically based definition provided, but it also simplifies the morphologi-
cal diagnosis of Prenolepis. With the removal of P. kohli from Prenolepis, the mesonotal
constriction immediately behind the pronotum becomes a characteristic of all Prenolepis
species. Such a constriction is also seen in the Southeast Asian genus Euprenolepis (La-
Polla 2009), as well as in the Caribbean and Mesoamerican genus Zatania, but only in
three of the five known species (LaPolla et al. 2012).

With our placement of P. kohli into Paratrechina and the description of two en-
demic Malagasy species, the center of Paratrechina diversity is now squarely in the
Afrotropical and Malagasy regions, which raises some interesting questions as to the
origin of the now pantropical P. longicornis (LaPolla et al. 2013). A review of the
argument that P. longicornis is Asian in origin is provided by Wetterer (2008) and
LaPolla et al. (2013) (i.e., on the observation that P. longicornis has only been found
in undisturbed habitats in tropical Asia). However, since P. zanjensis appears to be a
miombo woodland specialist and its sister taxon is almost certainly P. longicornis, it
raises the possibility that P. longicornis might be an African woodland specialist as well.
Given the fact that most African woodland habitat has been impacted to some extent
by humans, it might be difficult to prove that P. longicornis is in fact native there. It
is worth noting that the two new Malagasy species described here are native to dry
forest habitats on limestone outcrops. While certainly P. kohli is a rainforest species,
we cannot dismiss the possibility that it is the only species native to rainforests in the
genus. There is one report of P. longicornis from native forest in Cameroon (Dejean et
al. 1996). However, only one specimen of P. longicornis (out of 62,708 specimens) was
collected from 15 forest sites in Tanzania (P. Hawkes, pers. comm.), so the conclu-
sions of the previous study remain equivocal. Clearly, the question of the native range
of P. longicornis remains an open one, but with the discovery of multiple Paratrechina
species in the Afrotropical and Malagasy regions, a more complete survey is needed,
and an Asian origin for the species now seems questionable.

Diagnosis of the genus

For only one species are all castes known (P. longicornis); therefore we provide only a
worker-based diagnosis for the genus.
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Monomorphic, medium sized (2.1–3.2 mm in total length); ranging from almost black to brownish-yellow, with lighter mandibles, antennae (especially funicular segments towards tips) and legs (especially distal portion of tibiae and tarsi). Head with medially erect macrosetae roughly paired, extending through the medial portion of clypeus. Antennae 12 segmented; scape long, with scape index above 140, in most species around or above 200 (SI range 143–226). Scape with a dense layer of pubescence. Head is usually distinctly longer than wide, with cephalic index below 100 (CI range 71–94); posterolateral corners rounded, with straight posterior margin. Eyes large relative to head width (REL2 greater than 25); eyes distinctly convex, extending beyond head margin in full-face view. Mandibles in all species, except *P. kohli*, with 5 teeth; in *P. kohli* 8 teeth present, with 7th tooth on basal angle of mandible and 8th tooth on inner mandibular margin; mandalus large and anteriorly placed; palps very long; palp formula 6:4. Mesosoma elongated, most robust in *P. kohli*; most gracile in *P. longicornis*; propodeal dorsal face variable from either nearly flat (*P. longicornis*) or distinctly convex (*P. antsingy*); propodeum without macrosetae, anteriorly occasionally with a sparse layer of pubescence; pronotal setal count 6–12 (both sides of notum); mesonotal setal count 4–8 (both sides of notum). In lateral view, petiole cuneate, broadly rounded dorsally, with much longer posterior face and not surpassing the height of the propodeum. Legs distinctly long (profemur length 0.6–1.0 mm). Gaster robust, covered in abundant erect macrosetae.

Updated worker-based key to the genera of the *Prenolepis* genus-group

This key is modified from that found in LaPolla et al. (2012).

1 Maxillary palps with five or fewer segments; species often strongly polymorphic, with a major and minor caste expressed .................................................. 2  
   – Maxillary palps with six segments; species monomorphic to slightly polymorphic, with no discernable major or minor caste expressed ...................... 4

2 Polymorphic Afrotropical species ................................................................. *Paraparatrechina* (*weissi* species-group)
   – Monomorphic or polymorphic Australasian/Indoaustralian species ........ 3

3 Eyes large, typically REL ≥ 20 (one exception: *Euprenolepis negrosensis*); labial palps typically with four segments; mesothorax constricted immediately behind pronotum; mandalus large and conspicuous, usually visible without dissection of mandible (This character can be difficult to determine unless the mandible is dissected. It is only required if specimens have four-segmented labial palps AND five mandibular teeth.) ............................................. *Euprenolepis*
   – Eyes small, REL < 20, typically 15 or less; labial palps typically with two or three segments; mesothorax typically not constricted immediately behind pronotum; mandalus small and inconspicuous, usually not visible without dissection of mandible ................................................................. *Pseudolasius*

4 Mesothorax constricted immediately behind pronotum.......................... 5
40

– Mesothorax not constricted immediately behind pronotum........................6
5

– Head round in general appearance, with rounded, indistinct posterolateral corners; mesothorax always constricted immediately behind pronotum, typically with distinctly convex eyes (if eyes not distinctly convex, then head is distinctly round) .................................................................................Prenolepis

– Head rectangular in general appearance, with more angular, distinct posterolateral corners; eyes relatively flat .............................................Zatania (in part)

6

– Mandibles with five or eight teeth (one species, Paratrechina kohli, has eight teeth, one on basal angle and one on the inner mandibular margin, all others have five teeth) ..................................................................................Prenolepis

– Mandibles with 6 teeth (a few species of Nylanderia have seven teeth, but never five or eight teeth as above) ..............................................Zatania (in part)

7

– Erect setae (one pair) present on propodeum; erect setae on head form a pattern of four setae along posterior margin and six or seven rows from posterior margin to clypeal margin; femora and tibiae lacking large erect setae ............

– Erect setae absent on propodeum; erect setae on head scattered across surface; femora and tibiae with large erect setae ..................................Paratrechina

8

– Scapes with either pubescence or very short abundant erect macrosetae (macrosetae no longer than width of scapes); profemur length greater than 0.8 mm .............................................................................................Zatania (in part)

– Scapes usually with macrosetae that are much longer than width of scapes; in a few species no macrosetae present, but profemur length is always less than 0.8 mm .................................................................................Nylanderia

Synopsis of Paratrechina species

Paratrechina ankarana sp. n. Madagascar
Paratrechina antsingy sp. n. Madagascar
Paratrechina kohli (Forel, 1916), comb. rev. DR Congo
Paratrechina longicornis (Latreille, 1802) Pantropical tramp, origin uncertain

=Paratrechina currens Motschoulsky, 1863. Junior synonym of longicornis by Emery, 1892: 166. Neotype designated by LaPolla et al. 2010b: 1

=Paratrechina gracilescens (Nylander, 1856). Synonymy with longicornis by Roger 1863: 10

= Paratrechina longicornis hagemanni (Forel, 1901). Junior synonym of longicornis by Wheeler 1922: 942. Revived from synonymy by Emery 1925: 217. Junior synonym of longicornis by LaPolla et al. 2010a: 128.

= Paratrechina vagans (Jerdon, 1851). Junior synonym of longicornis by Dalla Torre 1893: 179; Forel 1894: 408

Paratrechina zanjensis LaPolla, Hawkes & Fisher, 2013 Angola, Mozambique and Tanzania
Worker-based key to Paratrechina species

1  Mandibles with eight teeth; six teeth on masticatory margin, one tooth on basal angle, another tooth on inner mandibular margin; head cuticle densely rugorecticulate ................................................................. kobli
   – Mandibles with five teeth; head cuticle smooth and shiny ...................... 2
2  Scapes without macrosetae; dorsum of propodeum almost flat to very shallowly domed ................................................................. longicornis
   – Scapes with macrosetae; dorsum of propodeum rounded, dome-like ........ 3
3  Macrosetae dark brown, occasionally with lighter tips; SI greater than 195; Afrotropical region ................................................................. zanjensis
   – Macrosetae yellow to whitish; SI less than 195; Malagasy region ......... 4
4  Dark brown, with cuticle giving a faint greenish-blue reflection; propodeum with fine striations across surface ........................................... ankarana
   – Brown with patches of lighter cuticle across body, giving species a mottled appearance; propodeum smooth and very shiny ....................... antsingy

Species descriptions

Paratrechina ankarana sp. n.
http://zoobank.org/484B4368-33CB-4BFB-B387-C6F6A0D49A0D
Figs 1–3

Holotype worker. MADAGASCAR: Province Antsiranna, Rés. Spéc. Ankarana; 22.9 km 224° SW Antivorano Nord; 80 m; 12°55’S, 49°7’E; 10-16.ii.2001; Fisher et al.; CASENT0454372 (CASC). 6 paratype workers with the same locality information as the holotype (CASC, USNM); 9 paratype workers, Antsiranna, Rés. Spéc. Ankarana; 22.9 km 224° SW Antivorano Nord; 210 m; 12°52’S, 49°14’E; 16-21.ii.2001; Fisher et al. (BMNH, CASC, PBZT, USNM).

Worker diagnosis. Dark brown, with cuticle giving a faint greenish-blue reflection; propodeum with fine striations across surface; SI less than 195.

Worker. Measurements (n=6) TL: 2.60–2.84; HW: 0.60–0.63; HL: 0.66–0.72; EL: 0.18–0.20; SL: 1.10–1.17; PW: 0.42–0.46; WL: 1.01–1.07; GL: 0.90–1.14; PFL: 0.80–0.90; CI: 85–92; REL: 27–29; REL2: 31–33; SI: 184–191; SMC: 24–30; PMC: 3–6; MMC: 3–4.

Dark brown; antennae lighter with trochanters yellow to white; cuticle smooth and shiny, except on propodeum which possesses fine striations across surface; under microscope view cuticle has a faint greenish-blue reflection; abundant macrosetae across scapes, head, pronotum, mesonotum, and gaster; scapes with short pubescence. Head ovate; posterolateral corners rounded with complete posterior margin; midpoint of eyes at approximately midline of head; eyes convex; 3 small ocelli present; mandibles with 5 teeth; apical tooth the longest, 3rd tooth from apical shortest, remainder about the same size; outer
mandibular surface with slight striations across surface. In lateral view, pronotum rises in a straight margin towards mesonotum with only slight convexity towards mesonotal margin; propodeum large and bulbous, making division between dorsal and declivitous faces difficult; metanotal suture with distinct impression that extends down along the mesopleural/metapleural margin; mesopleuron with darkened ridge along impression.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet is derived from the name of the reserve where the species was found.

**Figures 1–3.** Lateral, full face and dorsal view of body. *Paratrechina ankarana* holotype worker CASENT0454372.
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*Figures 4–6.* Lateral, full face and dorsal view of body. _Paratrechina antsingy_ holotype worker CASENT0906916.

*Paratrechina antsingy* sp. n.  
http://zoobank.org/49E90C54-69FC-4D3B-91D0-9C5EACACFC875  
Figs 4–6

**Holotype worker.** MADAGASCAR: Province Antsiranna, Montagne Français, 7.2 km 142° SE Diego Suarezi, 180m, 12°19'S, 49°20'E, 22–28.ii.2001, Fisher et al.
CASENT0906916 (CASC). 12 paratype workers with same locality information as holotype (BMNH, CASC, USNM).

**Worker diagnosis.** Cuticle smooth and very shiny; brown with patches of lighter cuticle across body, giving species a mottled appearance; SI less than 195.

Worker. Measurements (n=6) TL: 2.07–2.69; HW: 0.58–0.60; HL: 0.67–0.70; EL: 0.17–0.19; SL: 1.02–1.08; PW: 0.40–0.44; WL: 0.97–1.02; GL: 0.76–1.05; PFL: 0.74–0.78 CI: 84–89; REL: 26–28; REL2: 29–32; SI: 177–180; SMC: 24–30; PMC: 4–5; MMC: 3–4.

Brown with lighter areas on mandibles, antennae, lateral portions of head, mesonotum, pronotum, mesonotum, trochanters and joints of femora; first gastral tergite with mottled lighter and darker areas; cuticle smooth and very shiny, except for mesonotum, metanotal area and propodeum being slightly rugose; scapes, head, pronotum, mesonotum and gaster with abundant macrosetae; scapes with short, appressed pubescence. Head ovate; posterolateral corners rounded with complete posterior margin; midpoint of eyes at approximately midline of head; eyes convex; 3 small ocelli present; mandibles with 5 teeth; apical tooth the longest, 3rd tooth from apical shortest, remainder about the same size; outer mandibular surface with slight striations across surface. In lateral view, pronotum convex; pronotal/mesonotal margin with mesonotum raised slightly above margin; propodeum convex with dorsal face rising steeply to a rounded peak with steep declivitous face; metanotal suture with distinct impression that extends down along the mesopleural/metapleural margin.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet is derived from the name of the province where the species was found.

*Paratrechina kohli* (Forel, 1916), comb. rev.

Figs 7–9

*Prenolepis kohli* Forel, 1916: 438 (worker and queen decribed). Syntype worker and queen, Democratic Republic of the Congo (Kohl) (MHNG) [examined]. Combination in *Paratrechina* (*Nylanderia*): Emery 1925: 218; in *Prenolepis*: LaPolla, Brady and Shattuck 2010a: 129. Lectotype [designated here], pinned worker, Congo (Kohl) (MHNG: CASENT0907121) [examined]; Paralectotype [designated here], pinned queen with same data as lectotype (MHNG: CASENT0907122) [examined].

**Worker diagnosis.** Head and mesosoma light brown to yellow with slightly darker gaster; mesosoma and head densely rugoreticulate; mandible with 8 teeth, one tooth on basal margin, another on inner mandibular margin.

Worker. Measurements (n=6) TL: 2.9–3.0; HW: 0.78–0.90; HL: 0.93–1.03; EL: 0.21–0.25; SL: 1.22–1.43; PW: 0.54–0.6; WL: 1.3–1.61; GL: 1.12–1.37; PFL: 0.84–1.04 CI: 79–85; REL: 22–25; REL2: 27–30; SI: 143–169; SMC: 0; PMC: 3–5; MMC: 1–3.
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Light brown with darker gaster; head and mesosomal cuticle densely rugoreticulate; head, pronotum, mesonotum and gaster with scattered macrosetae; scapes without macrosetae, with layer of dense pubscence. Head subrectangular; posterolateral corners with slight angles; most of eyes above midline of head; eyes convex; no ocelli apparent; mandibles with with 8 teeth, one tooth on basal margin, another on inner mandibular margin; outer mandibular surface with slight striations across surface. In lateral view, pronotal margin nearly straight, at less than 45° angle rise towards

Figures 7–9. Lateral, full face and dorsal view of body. *Paratrechina kohli* worker CASENT0249635.
mesonotum; pronotal/mesonotal margin with mesonotum raised slightly above margin; propodeum convex with rounded dorsal face and longer, steep declivitous face; metanotal suture with distinct impression.

Notes on Paratrechina species

Workers of Paratrechina are relatively easy to identify to species based on morphology. In addition to the color and sculpture differences listed in the key and descriptions, the two Malagasy species have shorter scapes than *P. longicornis* and *P. zanjensis* (SI less than 195) relative to their head widths. The widespread *P. longicornis* has the lowest profile of any Paratrechina with an almost flat pronotum, mesonotum, and propodeum. Scape macrosetae are variable within the genus with both *P. longicornis* and *P. kohli* lacking them altogether, but *P. ankarana, P. antsingy* and *P. zanjensis* have abundant scape macrosetae. The REL2 is higher in *P. longicornis* and *P. zanjensis* than in the other species, typically well into the upper thirties and low forties. For the other three species the REL2 is typically in the upper twenties and low thirties, reflecting an overall wider head relative to eye length.

Only *P. longicornis* and *P. zanjensis* workers come closest to resembling one another and these can be easily separated based on the present or absence of scape macrosetae. Workers of all species, except *P. kohli*, have three small, but distinct, ocelli present. The number of mandibular teeth on *P. kohli* is particularly interesting. The tooth on the inner mandibular margin is separated from the basal angle tooth by a short diastema. It would not be surprising to occasionally find a *P. kohli* worker with more than 8 teeth because the single queen specimen known in collections has a tooth that is divided with two sets of cusps, implying more teeth might occasionally be expressed in individuals. In all other Paratrechina workers only five mandibular teeth are present. Males are known only from *Paratrechina longicornis* (LaPolla et al. 2013), so it is impossible at this time to discuss general male characteristics for this genus.
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