Pass-thoughts Authentication System based on EEG Signals Using Artificial Neural Network
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Abstract
Authentication with textual password has several limitations: passwords have low entropy in practice, are often difficult to remember, are vulnerable "shoulder surfing". Biometric system does not meet requirement as well. It relies upon unchanging features that have a lifetime as long as the individual. To avoid this limitation, we start to authenticate with thinking pass thought. User performs one mental task such as thinking of a word or phrase. In this study, Electrocencephalography (EEG) was used as method for monitoring and recording the electrical activity of the brain. These signals can be captured and processed to get the useful information that can be used in pas-thoughts authentication system. Suitable analysis is essential for EEG to differentiate between best and worst tasks used for authentication. This study focuses on usefulness of EEG signal to identify best tasks suitable for the pass-thoughts authentication system. Artificial neural network (ANN) is used to train the data set. Then tests are conducted on the testing data of EEG signal to identify best and worst tasks suitable for authentication. Finally, the system performance was evaluated by computing the accuracy and therefore promising results were obtained.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Brain-Computer-Interface (BCI) is a communication system that enables human to interact with their surrounding without involving of nerves and muscles by using control signals generated from electroencephalographic activity (EEG). EEG is typically noninvasive, with the electrodes placed along the scalp, although invasive electrodes are sometimes used in specific applications [1][2][3]. EEG measures voltage fluctuations resulting from ionic current within the neurons of the brain. EEG signals can be composed into five EEG sub-bands (Delta, theta, Alpha, Beta, and gamma) [4][5]. As illustrated in Fig. 1.

Delta waves are slow, loud brainwaves. They are generated in deepest meditation and dreamless sleep. emitting between 0.1 Hz and 4 Hz cycles per second. Alpha brainwaves are dominant during quietly flowing thoughts, and in some meditative states, its frequencies are around 7 Hz to 13 Hz pulses per second. Beta brainwaves dominate our normal waking state of consciousness when attention is directed towards cognitive tasks and the outside world, with frequencies ranging from 13 Hz to 60 Hz pulses per second. Gamma brainwaves are the fastest of brain waves its frequency range is from 30 Hz to 60 Hz [6].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Several works have been done on pass-thoughts authentication using different techniques. Moran et al. [7] developed a model to examine the system for robustness against deliberate attacks thought impersonators. Johnson et al. [8] this study is attuned toward the extent to which system can be broken. If an attacker knows your pass-
thought, and puts on the brain reader, what is now the likelihood that they can successfully authenticate as you? Their overall goal was to investigate the susceptibility of brainwave signal authentication to impersonation attacks; and a key technical objective was to measure the extent to which the attacker is aided by more information about the defender’s pass-thoughts processed in an accurate way.

Chuang et al. [9] this study has focused on usability and performance of user authentication using consumer-grade EEG sensor technology. By choosing custom tasks and custom acceptance thresholds for each subject; they can achieve 99% authentication accuracy using single-channel EEG signals. They also explored the usability of different classes of mental tasks.

Baby et al. [10] discussed the ability to effectively classify electroencephalograms (EEG) is the foundation for building usable Brain-Computer Interfaces. In their work EEG signals were used to extract the information and classify the different mental task. This data contains recording of 5 subjects in different mental task conditions. For classification of these mental tasks wavelet was used to extract the features. Kumar et al. [11] this study has showed the case for authentication using brainwaves is made yet stronger by the availability of consumer-grade brainwave sensors and their integration with wearable devices (e.g., integration with augmented reality glasses, as these typically do not have keyboards, and often times do not even have a touchscreen, making password-based and PIN-based authentication difficult.

Curran et al. [12] proposed a model for Pass Thoughts Authentication with Low Cost Ear-EEG. This study tried to explore how wearable computing devices could be mirrored in an authentication scheme based on electroencephalography (EEG) signals which collected from the ear. they have evaluated their model using a low cost, single-channel, consumer grade device for data collection. they achieve a 44% reduction in half total error rate (HTER) compared with a random classifier, corresponding to a 72% authentication accuracy in within-participants analyses and a 60% reduction and 80% accuracy in between-participant analyses.

Hosni et al. [13] used classification of EEG signals using different feature extraction techniques for mental-task BCI, this study proposed a model that try to help paralyzed people communicating with others by using their electroencephalogram (EEG) signals. during this study, EEG signals from 5 mental tasks were recorded from 7 subjects and combinations of 2 different mental tasks were studied for each subject for one trial. The motivation of this work was using linear predictive Coding (LPC) method to compress channels of EEG into one channel. Eight features are employed for each signal of EEG using LPC 1st order followed by 3 levels of Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT). New mother wavelet is used to be near the waveform of EEG signals. Statistical calculations are conducted for the 4 coefficients of DWT. Classification is conducted using support vector machine SVM. The classifier using SVM provided a high recognition rate reaching up to 100%, in some cases, and an average rate of about 85%. The average specificity percent is 83.33%. The average sensitivity percent is 86.66%.

In the proposed work, the dataset is divided into two groups – training and testing sets. First, EEG signals are decomposed into five sub-band signals using Discrete Wavelet Transformation (DWT) that widely used in frequency-domain transformation [13] [14]. Then, ANN used to train the data. Finally, the tests are conducted on the testing sets to identify the given EEG signal as best or worst mental tasks. We used EEG signals obtained from BCI Competition III - Berlin Brain-Computer Interface dataset [16] to conduct the experiments. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides the methodology of the work. Section 3 presents the test results and finally, section 4 concludes the paper.

III. METHODOLOGY

To address the authentication limitations and vulnerabilities that existed in both textual password and biometric system, an EEG signal classification with ANN is proposed and illustrated by Figs. 2-5. Fig. 1 shows how the signal segments are trained with the known output or target values, in which ANN neuron weights are computed.

During the training process the model investigates and compares the performance of the brain signals and their extracted features through the following steps:

- **Data Acquisition:** This step concern on capturing and preparing the dataset of the brain signals that obtained from BCI Competition III - Berlin Brain-Computer Interface dataset [16]

- **Data Pre-processing and Feature Extraction:** Pre-process the power spectrum data to compress the samples in the temporal dimension. Thus, signal segments go through DWT filter bank process to transform into the five frequency ranges. Then the frequency spectrum in Decibels at different frequency ranges are calculated and averaged, and noise elimination processes will be applied for each recorded signal. Then, DWT used to determine those components of the signal that are deemed most relevant and important by examining the raw brain signals (i.e.: Alpha and Beta) and their extracted features values (max, min, mean). The Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) algorithm is used for this purpose. So, the generated password task that is represented by the raw signal of EEG training dataset has been decompose into five frequency bands (Alpha,
Beta, Delta and Theta and gamma) through DWT as illustrated in Fig. 3, and Table 1.

Table 1. The decomposition of EEG signal

| Decomposition Levels | Frequency Bands (Hz) | Decomposed Signals | EEG Bands |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 1                    | 64–128               | D1                 | Higher gamma and noise |
| 2                    | 32–64                | D2                 | Lower gamma (γ) |
| 3                    | 16–32                | D3                 | Beta (β) |
| 4                    | 8–16                 | D4                 | Alpha (α) |
| 5                    | 4–8                  | D5                 | Theta (θ) |
| 5                    | 0–4                  | A5                 | Delta (δ) |

- **Classification:**
  
  The last step takes care of analyzing the features in order to determine what specific action should be taken based on the given values of the features. The backpropagation neural network algorithm was chosen as a classifier to find out the best accuracy. Fig. 4 presents the ANN diagram performed in Matlab. Fifteen neurons are used in the hidden layer; feedforward back-propagation algorithm was used where the number of output was kept as five possible outputs for each task (i.e.; T7 as [7,7,7,7,7], T3 as [3,3,3,3,3] and T2 as [2,2,2,2,2]).

During the training phase, the neural weights in ANN are calculated and they are used in testing phase. The output from the testing phase is obtained as \( T = [T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4, T_5] \).

Using the output, we find the decision \( D \) by:

\[
D = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if authenticated} \\
1, & \text{if rejected}. 
\end{cases}
\]

The decision parameter determines whether the EEG signal segment is authenticated or rejected. Specifically, if \( D = 0 \), the signal segment is authenticated, and if \( D = 1 \) indicates the segment is rejected. After testing with different sample sets, the accuracy of the proposed method is computed as:

\[
HTER = \frac{(FAR + FRR)}{2}
\]

where \( FAR \) is False Acceptance Rate which occur when the system accepts an impostor, \( FRR \), False Rejection Rate which occur when the system rejects an authorized user. \( HTER \) Half Total Error Rate which form the performance of an authentication system.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In the previous sections we explore and discuss how the brain signals could be mirrored in an authentication scheme using different mental task. The goal of a pass-thought system is to extract as much entropy as possible from a user’s brain signals upon transmitting a thought. In the proposed work the data set used contains data of three mental tasks as illustrated in Table 2.

| Task Name | Description | Class (C) |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| T7        | Generation of words beginning with the same random letter | 7         |
| T3        | Imagination of repetitive self-paced right-hand movements | 3         |
| T2        | Imagination of repetitive self-paced left-hand movements | 2         |

Our aim was to compare between the three tasks and show which one is the best for the authentication system. Analysis of the brain signals using Electroencephalography (EEG) is used for identifying best
and worst tasks suitable for pass-thoughts authentication system. In the tests, we use an EEG dataset from (BCI Competition III - Berlin Brain-Computer Interface dataset) to verify our method. The dataset contains 12 signals with different mental tasks. We trained the dataset with two or more tasks per signal and tested on 320 hours of continuous scalp EEG sampled at 256 Hz. The EEG samples are split into sets of 4 sessions of a given subject were acquired on the same day, each lasting 4 minutes with 5-10 minutes breaks in between them. The subject performed a given task for about 15 seconds and then switched randomly to another task at the operator’s request. We conducted three experiments namely, E1, E2 and E3 and the experimental setup and the overall results are shown in Table 3. In the columns two and three, the training and testing sets are for different mental tasks cases are shown in Table 3. The performance of an authentication system can thus be measured in terms of its False Rejection (FR) errors occur when the system rejects an authorized user.

The detailed results are given in Table 4. First column provides the task name; Second column provides the sample name where the first two character imply the sample identification number. For example, experiment number and the last two characters imply the sample name where the first two character imply the sample identification number S3. Columns three to six present the output values of \( T \). The last column provides the class of each task as given in the dataset (i.e.; 7 indicates \( T_7 \), 3 indicates \( T_3 \) and 2 indicates \( T_2 \). As we can see \( T_2 \) has obtained the best accuracy comparing to the other tasks.

### Table 3. Accuracy of the test results.

| Experiment | Number of training sets | Number of testing sets | Accuracy |
|------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|
|            | T3  | T2  | T7  | T3  | T2  | T7  | Best Task | Worst Task |
| E1         | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 96%       | 60%        |
| E2         | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 98%       | 65%        |
| E3         | 1500| 1500| 1500| 1500| 1500| 1500| 100%      | 70%        |

In experiment E1, where the network was trained with 150 cases for all mental tasks, the result is illustrated in Fig. 6. The performance which represents the deviation between network output and the target is shown in Fig. 6. The network performance is lower with more regression value. Results in experiment E3 with 1500 cases for all mental tasks, T2 mental task provides the heights accuracy but worst in terms of performance and regression as presented in Fig. 8. Best signal was \( \theta \) which is represented by \( T_3 \) and best feature was \( \text{mean} \) which was applied with \( E3S3 \).
Acceptance Rate (FAR) and False Rejection Rate (FRR). The two error measures are often merged to form the Half Total Error Rate (HTER), defined as:

$$\text{HTER} = \frac{(\text{FAR} + \text{FRR})}{2}$$

for each participant for each task, 12 samples were randomly selected as training set A, and 12 samples as testing set A. Also 12 were randomly selected as training set B, and another 12 as testing set B. The ANN was then trained on classifying between training set A as a model of correct authentication, and training set B as a model of correct rejection. The FRR was calculated by testing the ANN on testing set A (samples from the same task), resulting in a list of 12 0’s (authenticate) and 1’s (reject) and taking the mean of this list. Similarly, the FAR was calculated by testing the trained ANN on testing set B (samples from other tasks) and taking 1 minus the mean of the resulting list of 0’s and 1’s. Finally, the HTER was calculated by averaging the FRR and FAR for each task/participant pair. All is illustrated in table 5.

**TABLE 5. Authentication results, means across participants with standard errors.**

| Task | FAR  | FRR  | HTER |
|------|------|------|------|
| T7   | 0.83 | 0.08 | 0.45 |
| T3   | 0.83 | 0.25 | 0.54 |
| T2   | 0.5  | 0.00 | 0.25 |

As shown in table 4 the T2 task performed best with an HTER of 0.25 followed by the T7 task tied at 0.45.

**V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE**

In our research, we have presented a method for automatic pass thought authentication system based on EEG signals analysis of the brain mental tasks. The proposed method was tested on EGG signals from BCI Competition III dataset. We carried out experiments using 12 signals with different mental tasks. To extract texture features, DWT were used to capture the information of the mental tasks. ANN algorithm was used to classify features into unique classes. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our method has achieved a good degree of accuracy: for DWT feature extraction algorithm with ANN classifier 98%. When considering the worst mental tasks features extraction algorithm accuracy dropped to 63% in ANN classifier. From our experiment we observed that mostly the beta and the theta give better results compared to the alpha. This prompts us to make the hypothesis that the beta and the theta could be further investigated for the characterization of transforming the pass thought classification. In future works we would like to extend this work by adding more features like variance, skewness and kurtosis features to further improve the accuracy of pass thought classification. It will also be interesting to increase the number of classes to cover the commonly accepted major mental tasks groups on human brain signals. Also, exploring an additional categories of tasks to examine the robustness of brainwave-based authentication against impersonation attacks.
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