Delivery of steric block morpholino oligomers by (R-X-R)$_4$ peptides: structure–activity studies
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ABSTRACT

Redirecting the splicing machinery through the hybridization of high affinity, RNase H- incompetent oligonucleotide analogs such as phosphoramidate morpholino oligonucleotides (PMO) might lead to important clinical applications. Chemical conjugation of PMO to arginine-rich cell penetrating peptides (CPP) such as (R-Ahx-R)$_4$ (with Ahx standing for 6-aminohexanoic acid) leads to sequence-specific splicing correction in the absence of endosomolytic agents in cell culture at variance with most conventional CPPs. Importantly, (R-Ahx-R)$_4$–PMO conjugates are effective in mouse models of various viral infections and Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Unfortunately, active doses in some applications might be close to cytotoxic ones thus presenting challenge for systemic administration of the conjugates in those clinical settings. Structure–activity relationship studies have thus been undertaken to unravel CPP structural features important for the efficient nuclear delivery of the conjugated PMO and limiting steps in their internalization pathway. Affinity for heparin (taken as a model heparan sulfate), hydrophobicity, cellular uptake, intracellular distribution and splicing correction have been monitored. Spacing between the charges, hydrophobicity of the linker between the Arg-groups and Arg-stereochemistry influence splicing correction efficiency. A significant correlation between splicing correction efficiency, affinity for heparin and ability to destabilize model synthetic vesicles has been observed but no correlation with cellular uptake has been found. Efforts will have to focus on endosomal escape since it appears to remain the limiting factor for the delivery of these splice-redirecting ON analogs.

INTRODUCTION

Protein transduction domains as penetratin or Tat 48–60 and synthetic cell penetrating peptides (CPP) as oligoarginine have generated a large interest for their seemingly unique mechanism of membrane translocation and for their capacity to transport various biomolecules across biological membranes (1). Both assumptions have had to be revisited since cellular uptake does involve endocytosis (2) and transport of biomolecules does not occur as efficiently as anticipated at least at low concentrations. In a series of experiments carried out independently by several groups, CPPs mentioned above turned out inefficient in transporting uncharged splice correcting oligonucleotide (ON) analogs as peptide nucleic acids (PNA) or phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomers (PMO) for a large part because CPP-conjugated material remained entrapped in endocytic vesicles (3). Accordingly, peptides or drugs (such as chloroquine) leading to endosome destabilization did significantly increase splicing correction.

We have recently described a new (R-Ahx-R)$_4$–CPP (in which Arg residues are interspersed with nonnatural 6-aminohexanoic acid amino-acid spacers) which leads to efficient splicing correction at low concentration in the absence of endosomolytic agents. (R-Ahx-R)$_4$ is less cytotoxic and much more active to deliver splice correcting PMO and PNA in vitro than the parent oligoarginine (R$_n$) peptide and than the prototypic Tat 48–60 peptide (4). Importantly, (R-Ahx-R)$_4$–PMO conjugates also lead to efficient exon skipping in murine and dog Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) models (5) and inhibit the replication of viruses in several murine models (6–9). We had no clear explanation for the improved efficiency of (R-Ahx-R)$_4$–PMO and (R-Ahx-R)$_4$–PNA conjugates
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as compared to Tat or (Arg)ₙ sterically block ON constructs. Increased cellular uptake could not be the answer since, on the contrary, (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugates were taken up less efficiently than Tat–PMO and (Arg)ₙ–PMO conjugates in our model system (3). Differences could originate from a different mechanism of cellular uptake with (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugates taking profit of a more favorable route than the other CPP conjugates. Again available data did not support this hypothesis since all three conjugates were taken up by an energy-dependent pathway involving binding to cell surface proteoglycans. Along the same lines, we recently established that blocking energy-dependent processes through incubation of cells at low temperature or through ATP depletion decreased splicing correction by (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugates and by Tat–PMO or (Arg)ₙ–PMO conjugates to the same extent (10).

Of possible relevance, (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugates bind less strongly to heparin (taken as a model heparan sulfate) than Tat- or (Arg)ₙ–PMO conjugates (3). This could provide an explanation if one assumes that heparan sulfate-bound material has to be released during endocytosis in order to escape to the cell cytoplasm. While sufficient affinity is essential for cell binding and cellular uptake, a too high affinity could become detrimental at later steps, a hypothesis which we aim to investigate here.

On the other hand, the inclusion of nonnatural amino acids as aminohexanoic acid in (R-Ahx-R)₄ was expected to increase the metabolic stability of these conjugates and as a consequence to increase their biological efficiency. This assumption has to be tempered since the (R-Ahx-R) repeats are linked by arg–arg peptide bonds which are amenable to proteolysis by trypsin-like enzymes. Indeed recent studies in our group indicated that (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugates were rapidly degraded in cells at these arg–arg bonds (11). We have therefore investigated a (r-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugate (with r standing for D-Arg) in terms of cell uptake and splicing correction activity.

The present structure–activity relationship (SAR) studies were initiated for the following additional reasons. Fluorescence microscopy evaluation of the intracellular distribution of FAM-labeled (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugates indicated that the majority of the material was entrapped in endocytic vesicles even at concentrations leading to efficient splicing correction. It implies that the biological activity of these conjugates is due to the small (and not detectable by fluorescence microscopy) portion of material escaping from endocytic vesicles. Finally, (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugates have shown signs of toxicity when injected to mice at >20 mg/kg dose despite their absence of cytotoxicity in cell culture experiments (12). This presents a dosing challenge for in vivo systemic applications.

Altogether, it is clear that CPP-steric block ON conjugates need to be active at lower doses for systemic administration and clinical applications. It is hoped that a better understanding of the structural determinants required for cell binding, cellular uptake and endosome escape will be helpful for the rational design of more potent and/or less cytotoxic CPPs. The manuscript essentially aims at comparing series of (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugates differing in Arg spacer length, in hydrophobicity of the spacer and in stereochemistry of Arg. Criteria for the comparative evaluation of these conjugates include cellular uptake, splicing correction efficiency, affinity for heparin, hydrophobicity and synthetic membrane-destabilizing potential.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Synthesis of CPP–PMO conjugates**

The antisense PMO (CCT CTT ACC TCA GTT ACA) was synthesized as described (13,14). CPPs were synthesized using Fmoc chemistry and purified to >95% as determined by high-pressure liquid chromatograph and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry analysis. Conjugation, purification and analysis of CPP–PMO conjugates were described previously (3,15).

**Cells and cell culture**

HeLa pLuc705 cells were cultured as exponentially growing subconfluent monolayers in DMEM medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1 mM Na pyruvate and nonessential amino acids.

**Flow cytometry**

To analyze (R-X-R)₄–PMO conjugates cell internalization, exponentially growing HeLa pLuc705 cells (1.75 × 10⁵ cells seeded and grown overnight in 24-well plates) were incubated in OptiMEM with FAM-labeled (R-X-R)₄–PMO. Cells were then washed twice with PBS, detached by incubating for 5 min at 37°C with 0.5 mg/ml trypsin per 0.35 mM EDTA.4Na and washed by centrifugation (5 min, 900g) in ice-cold PBS containing 5% FBS. The resulting cell pellet was resuspended in ice-cold PBS containing 0.5% FBS and 0.05 µg/ml propidium iodide (PI) (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). Fluorescence analysis was performed with a BD FacsCanto flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Cells stained with PI were excluded from further analysis. A minimum of 20 000 events per sample was analyzed.

**Splicing correction assay**

(R-X-R)₄–PMO conjugates were incubated for 4 h in 1 ml OptiMEM medium with exponentially growing HeLa pLuc705 cells (1.75 × 10⁵ cells/well seeded and cultivated overnight in 24-well plates). The conjugates were then washed twice with PBS, 1 ml of complete medium (DMEM plus 10% FBS) was added and incubation was continued for 20 h. Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed with Reporter Lysis Buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Luciferase activity was quantified in a Berthold Centro LB 960 luminometer (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany) using the Luciferase Assay System substrate (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Cellular protein concentrations were measured with the BCA™ Protein Assay Kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) and read using an ELISA plate reader (Dynatech MR 5000, Dynatech Labs, Chantilly, VA, USA) at 550 nm. Luciferase activities were expressed as relative
luminescence units (RLU) per microgram protein. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Each data point was averaged over three replicates.

Heparin-affinity chromatography
Three micrograms of each (R-X-R)₄-PMO conjugate were injected in triplicate on a 1 ml HiTrap Sepharose/heparin column (Amersham Biosciences, Freiburg, Germany) fitted on a Beckman–Gold HPLC chromatograph (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). The conjugates were eluted in 30 min at a flow rate of 1 ml/min of 2.5 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7) by a linear gradient of NaCl from 70 to 970 mM. Elution of the conjugates was followed by UV absorption at 260 nm. Results are presented as eluting NaCl concentrations and expressed as the mean and standard deviation of triplicate measurements.

Hydrophobicity reverse phase chromatography
Total 0.1μg of each (R-X-R)₄-PMO conjugate were injected in triplicate on a C18 Waters Symmetry Shield 4.6 × 250 mm column and fitted on a Beckman–Gold HPLC chromatograph. The conjugates were eluted at a flow rate of 1 ml/min of H₂O/0.1% TFA by a linear gradient of acetonitrile from 5% to 95% in 30 min. Elution of the conjugates was followed by UV absorption at 260 nm. Results are presented as eluting acetonitrile concentrations and expressed as the mean and standard deviation of triplicate measurements.

Cell permeabilization with saponin
Exponentially growing HeLa pLuc705 cells (1.75 × 10⁶ cells seeded and grown overnight in 24-well plates) were co-incubated with the (R-Ahx-R)₄-PMO conjugates and with 20 μg/ml saponin for 30 min. The conjugates were removed and the cells were washed twice with PBS and incubation continued for 24 h in complete medium (DMEM plus 10% FBS). Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, lysed with Reporter Lysis Buffer and processed as described above.

Fluorescence microscopy
To analyze (R-X-R)₄-PMO conjugates intracellular distribution, exponentially growing HeLa pLuc705 cells (3.5 × 10⁴ cells seeded and grown overnight in 2 ml culture dishes) were washed with OptiMEM and incubated with 2 μM FAM-labeled (R-X-R)₄-PMO in the absence or in the presence of 20 μg/ml saponin for 30 min in OptiMEM medium. Cells were then washed with PBS prior to a co-incubation step with 10 μg/ml Transferrin-Alexa 546 (red fluorescence) and Hoechst 33342 dye (blue fluorescence) for 10 min in order to stain endosomes and nuclei, respectively. The distribution of fluorescence in live unfixed cells was analyzed on Zeiss Axiovert 200M fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Obercochen, Germany).

CPP–PMO-induced liposome leakage
Large unilamellar vesicles (LUV) were prepared as described previously (16). In short, lipids dissolved in benzene/methanol (95:5) were freeze-dried overnight and the resulting dry lipid powder was hydrated in a buffer containing the ANTS fluorescent dye 8-aminonaphthalene-1,3,6-trisulfonic acid, disodium salt (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) together with a DPX quencher p-xylene-bis-pyridinium bromide (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at a final lipid concentration of 10 mM. The suspension was vigorously agitated with a Vortex, freeze-thawed 10 times and then extruded 10 times through two stacked 100 nm polycarbonate filters (Nucleopore, Whatman). Free dye and quencher were then removed by gel filtration on a PD-10 desalting column (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). To mimic the lipid composition of late endosomes we used the following lipid mixture: dioleoyl-phosphatidylcholine (DOPC)/dioleoyl-phosphatidylethanolamine (DOPE)/phosphatidylinositol from soybean (PI)/bis(monooleoylglycerol) phosphate (LBPA) (5:2:1:2) (23). All lipids were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids Inc., Alabaster, AL.

Leakage of ANTS/DPX from the vesicles was measured as an increase in fluorescence intensity of ANTS upon addition of the CPP–PMO conjugates (5 μM final concentration) to 2 ml of vesicles (25 μM) (17). Infinite dilution of the probe used to determine fluorescence of the completely unquenched probe was achieved by solubilizing the membranes with 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100.

RESULTS
Criteria for the design of (R-X-R)₄ analogs
Most studies on basic amino-acids-rich CPPs emphasized the importance of the guanidinium side chains of arginines and of the spacing between the charged groups. Studies by Rothbard et al. (18) in particular have shown that a 6-carbon 6-aminohexanoic acid linker seemed optimal for cellular uptake as measured by the whole cell fluorescence but no data concerning efficiency in terms of cytoplasmic or nuclear delivery of a biologically functional payload was provided. We therefore designed a series of (R-X-R)₄–PMO conjugates with X varying from 2 to 8 carbons (compounds 1–7 in Figure 1A). The present study revealed a dependence of charge spacing with an optimum for (R-Ahx-R)₄ (in which X = 6) in terms of nuclear delivery of the PMO payload as illustrated below. Based on this first set of data, we designed a series of C6 linked-Arg peptides differing in terms of hydrophobicity (compounds 8–11 in Figure 2A).

Since metabolic stability has often been proposed as a factor governing CPP efficiency, the D-Arg modified (R-Ahx-R)₄, (r-Ahx-R)₄ (compound 13 in Figure 3A), has been included.

Finally, we evaluated the splice correcting ability of (R-X-R)₄–PMO conjugates with n ≤ 3 as a possible strategy to reduce cytotoxicity.

Effect of charge spacing on affinity for heparin and on splicing correction
It is now well admitted that basic CPPs interact with heparan sulfate-rich cell surface glycosaminoglycans before being internalized by endocytosis (19). A sufficient affinity for these negatively charged proteoglycans is
required for cell binding and for subsequent cellular uptake. On the other hand, too much affinity for heparan sulfate might be detrimental for the release of CPP–ON conjugates from endocytic vesicles as hypothesized in our previous publications (3,10).

(R-X-R)₄–PMO conjugates with X spacers of increasing lengths (from 2 to 8 atoms) (Figure 1A) have thus been compared in terms of affinity for a model heparan sulfate on a Hi-trap Heparin column (Figure 1B). Increasing spacer length leads to decreased affinity as monitored by the NaCl concentration required for elution in keeping with published data (20). Conjugates in this series were then compared for their ability to promote splicing correction in dose–response experiments (Figure 1C and data not shown). Increasing the length of the spacer led to increased luciferase expression with an optimum for the C5-linked material. 

Increasing the affinity for heparan sulfates thus appears being detrimental for splicing correction efficiency. Along the same lines, (Arg)₉–PMO has an even higher affinity for heparan sulfate than (R-G-R)₄–PMO and is less active in splicing correction (4) and data not shown]. Compound 7 was thus expected to be more active in splicing correction than compound 5 which was not observed (Figure 1C). However, increasing the hydrocarbon spacer length also increases hydrophobicity which could itself be promoting unfavorable membrane interactions (see below). Increased hydrophobicity has indeed been verified by C18-column chromatography for compound 7 (Figure 2B).

| ID | Sequences      | C number in spacer | Spacer          | Structure |
|----|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1  | (R-G-R)₄GB    | 2                  | G = Glycine     | α            |
|    |                |                    | B = βAlanine    | β           |
| 3  | (R-Abu-R)₄AbuB| 4                  | Abu = 4-aminobutyric acid | γ           |
| 5  | (R-Ahx-R)₄AhxB| 6                  | Ahx = 6-aminohexanoic acid | δ           |
| 7  | (R-Acy-R)₄AcyB| 8                  | Acy = 8-aminocaprylic acid | ε           |

**Figure 1.** Effect of charge spacing of (R-X-R)ₙ–PMO conjugates. (A) Structures and nomenclature. (R-X-R)ₙ–PMO conjugates (white bars) and the reference (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO (gray bar) were injected on a HiTrap Sepharose/heparin column and eluted by a linear gradient of NaCl. Elution was monitored by UV absorption at 260nm. Results are presented as eluting NaCl concentration. Each experiment was made in triplicate. Means of triplicates and standard deviations (error bars) are indicated. Mean values for all conjugates were compared to the mean value of the reference conjugate using Student’s t-test (*** and ** indicate statistically significant differences; NS indicates that the difference is not statistically significant). (C) Splicing correction efficiency. HeLa pLuc705 cells were incubated for 4 h in OptiMEM in the presence of 1 μM (white bars) of the various (R-X-R)ₙ–PMO conjugates or with 1 μM of the (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO reference compound (gray bars). Luciferase expression was quantified 20h later and expressed as RLU per microgram protein. Each experiment was made in triplicate. Mean values and standard deviations (error bars) are indicated. Mean values for all conjugates were compared to the mean value of the reference conjugate using Student’s t-test. 1, (R-G-R)₄–PMO; 3, (R-Abu-R)₄–PMO; 5, (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO; 7, (R-Acy-R)₄–PMO.
### Figure 2.

Effect of hydrophobicity of the linker in \((R-X-R)\_n\)–PMO conjugates. (A) Structures and nomenclature. (B) Hydrophobicity of \((R-X-R)\_n\)–PMO conjugates. \((R-X-R)\_n\)–PMO conjugates were injected on a C18-Sepharose column and eluted by a linear gradient of acetonitrile. Elution was monitored by UV absorption at 260 nm. Results are presented as eluting acetonitrile concentrations. Each experiment was made in triplicate. Means of triplicates and standard deviations (error bars) are indicated. Mean values for all conjugates were compared to the mean value of the \((R-Ahx-R)\_4\)–PMO reference conjugate using Student’s \(t\)-test. 7, \((R-Acy-R)\_4\)–PMO; 8, \((R-AbuF-R)\_4\)–PMO; 9, \((R-Ahx-R)\_4\)–PMO; 10, \((R-AbuNLe-R)\_4\)–PMO; 11, \((R-AbuA-R)\_4\)–PMO. (C) Splicing correction efficiency. Cells were treated and data were processed as described in the legend of Figure 1C. (D) Heparin affinity chromatography. Samples were treated and data were processed as described in the legend of Figure 1B. *** and ** indicate statistically significant differences; NS indicates that the difference is not statistically significant.

|   | Sequences         | Spacer | Structure |
|---|-------------------|--------|-----------|
| 8 | \((R-AbuF-R)\_4\)AbuFB | F = Phenylalanine | ![Structure](image1) |
| 9 | \((R-AbuL-R)\_4\)AbuLB | L = Leucine | ![Structure](image2) |
| 10| \((R-Abu,NLe-R)\_4\)Abu,NLeRB | NLe = Norleucine | ![Structure](image3) |
| 11| \((R-AbuA-R)\_4\)AbuAB | A = Alanine | ![Structure](image4) |
PI uptake has been monitored in parallel as an index of cell membrane integrity. No significant PI uptake was seen at doses up to 2.5 μM for any one of these compounds except for compound 7, which leads to a concentration-dependent membrane destabilization (Figure 4B). This might also contribute to its lower splicing correction potential.

### Influence of hydrophobicity on splicing correction

Since the hydrophobicity of the linker appeared to influence splicing correction efficiency, we have compared a series of PMO conjugates (compounds 8–11 in Figure 2A) with the same spacing (a 6-carbon atom spacer as in (R-Ahx-R)₄-PMO) but with varying side-chain hydrophobicities.

| ID | Sequences                  | Spacer | Structure |
|----|----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| 5  | (R-Ahx-R)₂AhxB            | Ahx = 6-aminohexanoic acid |
| 13 | (r-Ahx-R)₂AhxB            | Ahx = 6-aminohexanoic acid |
|    |                            |        | r = D-Arginine |

**Figure 3.** Effect of stereochemistry. (A) Structures and nomenclature. (B) Splicing correction efficiency. Cells were treated and data were processed as described in the legend of Figure 1C. 5, (R-Ahx-R)₄-PMO; 13, (r-Ahx-R)₄-PMO. (C) Hydrophobicity chromatography. Samples were treated and data were processed as described in the legend of Figure 2B. (D) Heparin affinity chromatography. Samples were treated and data were processed as described in the legend of Figure 1B. *** and ** indicate statistically significant differences; NS indicates that the difference is not statistically significant.
Influence of Arg stereochemistry on splicing correction

As already mentioned, (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO conjugates become cytotoxic in murine models at high doses. The cytotoxicity of nonviral delivery vectors for nucleic acids is generally associated to their resulting cationic charge. We therefore investigated whether reducing the number of repeats in (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates could be possible. A significant loss of splicing correction efficiency was found with shorter versions of these PMO conjugates as shown for (R-AbuL-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates (Figure 5).

Cellular uptake does not limit splicing correction

Most (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates were synthesized as fluorescent FAM conjugates to allow assessment of cellular uptake by FACS analysis and by fluorescence microscopy. As seen in Figure 4, there is no correlation between cellular uptake and splicing correction activity. Increasing the spacing between arginine residues (compounds 1–7) leads to decreased cellular uptake in parallel to heparin affinity but on the contrary leads to increased splicing correction. Remarkably, (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO which was the most active conjugate in this series in terms of splicing correction turned out the less efficient in terms of cellular uptake. This could indicate that the conjugate’s rigidity and the hydrophobicity of the spacer are the limiting factors for cellular uptake.

Influence of the number of repeats on splicing correction

As already mentioned, (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates become cytotoxic in murine models at high doses. The cytotoxicity of nonviral delivery vectors for nucleic acids is generally associated to their resulting cationic charge. We therefore investigated whether reducing the number of repeats in (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates could be possible. A significant loss of splicing correction efficiency was found with shorter versions of these PMO conjugates as shown for (R-AbuL-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates (Figure 5).

Cellular uptake does not limit splicing correction

Most (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates were synthesized as fluorescent FAM conjugates to allow assessment of cellular uptake by FACS analysis and by fluorescence microscopy. As seen in Figure 4, there is no correlation between cellular uptake and splicing correction activity. Increasing the spacing between arginine residues (compounds 1–7) leads to decreased cellular uptake in parallel to heparin affinity but on the contrary leads to increased splicing correction. Remarkably, (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO which was the most active conjugate in this series in terms of splicing correction turned out the less efficient in terms of cellular uptake. In addition, changing the hydrophobicity of the spacer (compounds 8–11) or modifying the stereochemistry of the (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO was significantly less efficient in splicing correction than (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (Figure 3B). Both L- and D-Arg-containing peptides have similar hydrophobicities (Figure 3C). Interestingly, (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO has a significantly higher affinity for heparan sulfate than the parent (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (Figure 3D), thus pointing again to the role played by this parameter in splicing correction efficiency.

Influence of Arg stereochemistry on splicing correction

Increased metabolic stability should improve biological efficiency and could in part explain the higher efficacy of (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO as compared to (Arg)$_n$-PMO and Tat$_{48-60}$-PMO, as discussed previously (3). However, the (R-Ahx-R)$_4$ portion of (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO was found to be degraded in intact cells (11). We therefore synthesized (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (compound 13 in Figure 3A) in which one of the two L-Arg residues in each R-Ahx-R repeat was replaced by a D-Arg (r) residue. Unexpectedly, (r-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO was significantly less efficient in splicing correction than (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (Figure 3B). Both L- and D-Arg-containing peptides have similar hydrophobicities (Figure 3C). Interestingly, (r-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO has a significantly higher affinity for heparan sulfate than the parent (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (Figure 3D), thus pointing again to the role played by this parameter in splicing correction efficiency.

Influence of the number of repeats on splicing correction

As already mentioned, (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates become cytotoxic in murine models at high doses. The cytotoxicity of nonviral delivery vectors for nucleic acids is generally associated to their resulting cationic charge. We therefore investigated whether reducing the number of repeats in (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates could be possible. A significant loss of splicing correction efficiency was found with shorter versions of these PMO conjugates as shown for (R-AbuL-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates (Figure 5).

Cellular uptake does not limit splicing correction

Most (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates were synthesized as fluorescent FAM conjugates to allow assessment of cellular uptake by FACS analysis and by fluorescence microscopy. As seen in Figure 4, there is no correlation between cellular uptake and splicing correction activity. Increasing the spacing between arginine residues (compounds 1–7) leads to decreased cellular uptake in parallel to heparin affinity but on the contrary leads to increased splicing correction. Remarkably, (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO which was the most active conjugate in this series in terms of splicing correction turned out the less efficient in terms of cellular uptake. In addition, changing the hydrophobicity of the spacer (compounds 8–11) or modifying the stereochemistry of the (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO was significantly less efficient in splicing correction than (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (Figure 3B). Both L- and D-Arg-containing peptides have similar hydrophobicities (Figure 3C). Interestingly, (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO has a significantly higher affinity for heparan sulfate than the parent (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (Figure 3D), thus pointing again to the role played by this parameter in splicing correction efficiency.

Influence of Arg stereochemistry on splicing correction

Increased metabolic stability should improve biological efficiency and could in part explain the higher efficacy of (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO as compared to (Arg)$_n$-PMO and Tat$_{48-60}$-PMO, as discussed previously (3). However, the (R-Ahx-R)$_4$ portion of (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO was found to be degraded in intact cells (11). We therefore synthesized (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (compound 13 in Figure 3A) in which one of the two L-Arg residues in each R-Ahx-R repeat was replaced by a D-Arg (r) residue. Unexpectedly, (r-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO was significantly less efficient in splicing correction than (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (Figure 3B). Both L- and D-Arg-containing peptides have similar hydrophobicities (Figure 3C). Interestingly, (r-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO has a significantly higher affinity for heparan sulfate than the parent (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (Figure 3D), thus pointing again to the role played by this parameter in splicing correction efficiency.

Influence of the number of repeats on splicing correction

As already mentioned, (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates become cytotoxic in murine models at high doses. The cytotoxicity of nonviral delivery vectors for nucleic acids is generally associated to their resulting cationic charge. We therefore investigated whether reducing the number of repeats in (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates could be possible. A significant loss of splicing correction efficiency was found with shorter versions of these PMO conjugates as shown for (R-AbuL-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates (Figure 5).

Cellular uptake does not limit splicing correction

Most (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates were synthesized as fluorescent FAM conjugates to allow assessment of cellular uptake by FACS analysis and by fluorescence microscopy. As seen in Figure 4, there is no correlation between cellular uptake and splicing correction activity. Increasing the spacing between arginine residues (compounds 1–7) leads to decreased cellular uptake in parallel to heparin affinity but on the contrary leads to increased splicing correction. Remarkably, (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO which was the most active conjugate in this series in terms of splicing correction turned out the less efficient in terms of cellular uptake. In addition, changing the hydrophobicity of the spacer (compounds 8–11) or modifying the stereochemistry of the (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO was significantly less efficient in splicing correction than (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (Figure 3B). Both L- and D-Arg-containing peptides have similar hydrophobicities (Figure 3C). Interestingly, (R-Ahx-R)$_4$-PMO has a significantly higher affinity for heparan sulfate than the parent (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO (Figure 3D), thus pointing again to the role played by this parameter in splicing correction efficiency.

Figure 4. Flow cytometry analysis. (A) Cell uptake of the FAM-labeled (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates. HeLa pLuc705 cells were incubated for 1 h in Opti MEM with 1 μM of the various FAM-labeled (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugates (white bars) or with 1 μM of the FAM-labeled (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO reference compound (gray bar). Cells were washed, trypsinized and analyzed by flow cytometry. Each experiment was made in triplicate. Means of triplicates and standard deviations (error bars) are indicated. Mean values for all conjugates were compared to the mean value of the (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO reference conjugate using Student’s t-test. See Figures 1A, 2A and 3A for structures. (B) PI uptake in (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugate-treated cells, (R-X-R)$_n$-PMO conjugate-treated cells were incubated with PI immediately before FACS analysis. Data were processed as described in A.

Figure 5. Effect of the number of (R-X-R) repeats on splicing correction. HeLa pLuc705 cells were incubated for 4 h in Opti MEM with 1 μM of (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO, (R-AbuL-R)$_n$-PMO or (R-AbuL-R)$_n$-PMO. Luciferase expression was quantified 20 h later and expressed as RLU per microgram protein. Each experiment was made in triplicate. Means of triplicates and standard deviations (error bars) are indicated. Mean values for all conjugates were compared to the mean value of the (R-Ahx-R)$_n$-PMO reference conjugate using Student’s t-test.
Arg (compounds 5 and 13) had no significant impact on cellular uptake.

Endosome entrapment limits splicing correction

We next examined whether differences in splicing correction activity could be explained by differences in endosomal escape. All (R-X-R)$_4$–PMO conjugates have therefore been synthesized as FAM-labeled derivatives and their intracellular distribution has been analyzed by fluorescence microscopy on live cells to avoid artefactual redistribution upon cell fixation. As shown in Figure 6A for the parent (R-Ahx-R)$_4$–PMO–FAM conjugate, most of the material was distributed as punctate cytoplasmic material and none was detected in the nuclei. Splicing correction is probably due to the small amount of material which has escaped from the endocytic vesicles and remains undetectable by fluorescence microscopy analysis. Not surprisingly, a similar situation has been observed for other (R-X-R)$_4$–PMO–FAM conjugates from our SAR studies and no concluding data have been provided by fluorescence microscopy comparative analysis (data not shown).

Likewise, previous work from several groups including our own had documented an increase in splicing correction upon treatment with endosomolytic agents.

---

Figure 6. Effect of saponin on the intracellular distribution of FAM-labeled (R-Ahx-R)$_4$–PMO and Alexa-labeled transferrin. HeLa pLuc 705 cells were incubated in OptiMEM with 2μM of (R-Ahx-R)$_4$–PMO–FAM in the absence (A) or in the presence of saponin (B) for 30 min. Hoechst dye blue and Alexa-labeled transferrin were then added for 10 min as markers for cell nuclei (blue fluorescence) and for endosomes (red fluorescence), respectively. Live unfixed cells were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Filter selection allows the detection of transferrin (A1 and B1) or of (R-Ahx-R)$_4$–PMO (A2 and B2). In C, cells have been incubated for 30 min with Alexa-labeled transferrin and either observed immediately (C1) or after a 30 min treatment with saponin (C2).
such as chloroquine or calcium ions (3). However, splicing correction never reached levels achieved with 2-OMe ON analogs transfected as lipoplexes and accordingly redistribution of the endosome-entrapped material could not be documented (21).

We now capitalize on a saponin treatment protocol which allows to gently permeabilize the plasma membrane. It was shown to open transient holes in the plasma membrane and to allow the passage of macromolecules while not damaging membranes from intracellular organelles (22).

As shown in Figure 6B, the (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO–FAM conjugate was widely distributed within the cell with a clear accumulation in nuclei in saponin-permeabilized cells. These low molecular mass conjugates are indeed expected to diffuse freely and rapidly from the cytoplasm to the nuclei through the nuclear pores. In a different protocol, cells were loaded with Alexa-labeled Transferrin (a known marker of endosomes) and then treated with saponin. At variance with the wide distribution of the CPP–PMO–FAM conjugates, Transferrin-associated red fluorescence remained punctate in keeping with the reported minimal effects of saponin on intracellular architecture (Figure 6C). Along the same lines, we have verified that saponin did not lead to a significant release of (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugate preloaded in endocytic vesicles (data not shown).

We next compared luciferase expression in dose–response experiments in saponin-treated and untreated cells. As shown in Figure 7 for the (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugate, splicing correction was more efficient in saponin-treated than in untreated cells. Significant luciferase expression could already be detected upon 30 min incubation in saponin-treated cells and increased to a much higher level than in untreated cells. In addition, splicing correction in saponin-treated cells reached similar levels for conjugates found much less active in nonpermeabilized cells than (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO (Figure 7B).

These data were expected if saponin permeabilization of the plasma membrane allows to bypass endocytosis and as a consequence endosome segregation. Differences in splicing correction efficiency between (R-X-R)₄–PMO analogs were also expected to be largely abolished if caused by differences in trafficking efficiency.

**pH-dependent destabilization of liposomes as a model of endosomal escape**

Our data have confirmed that cellular uptake is not the limiting factor in the efficiency of splicing correction by (R-X-R)₄–PMO conjugates and therefore intracellular trafficking and endosomal escape likely to be major limiting factors. To evaluate the ability of CPP–PMO conjugates to escape from endosomes we employed a liposome leakage assay. Late endosomes are characterized by a rather unusual lipid composition enriched in LBPA (23) and have a pH 5.5 lumen (24). We therefore prepared liposomes from a lipid mixture mimicking the lipid composition of late endosomes DOPC/DOPG/PL/LBPA (5:2:1:2) and monitored the effect of low pH on the CPP–PMO induced leakage of a fluorescent dye entrapped in the lipid vesicles. We compared conjugates 1, 5, 9 and (Arg)₈–PMO. All conjugates induced a fairly modest leakage that was strongly promoted at pH 5.5. In correlation with the data on splicing activity, (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugate was by far the most active in this group, followed by (R-G-R)₄–PMO, (R-AbuL-R)₄–PMO and (Arg)₈–PMO. Interestingly, the more hydrophobic (R-AbuL-R)₄–PMO conjugate induced considerably less leakage than (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO (Figure 8). Our data thus indicate that the liposome destabilizing activity of the different CPP–PMOs correlated with their splicing correction activity. This again indicates that the efficiency of
endosomal escape is a major contributing factor for the efficient nuclear delivery of these CPP–PMO conjugates.

**DISCUSSION**

New arginine-rich CPPs have recently been proposed for the nuclear delivery of neutral ON analogs as PMO (3) or PNA (10). They represent a significant improvement over first generation CPPs as Tat, Pen, oligoarginine or oligolysine since they allow a sequence-specific splicing correction at lower concentrations (EC 50 ranging between 0.5 and 2.0 mM) which do not lead to membrane permeabilization and, importantly, do not require endosomolytic activity of (R-X-R)₄–PMO analogs (Figure 2B) had little impact on cellular uptake (Figure 4) but decreased significantly in splicing correction efficiency among these (R-X-R)₄–PMO conjugates.

The present SAR study has therefore been initiated in order to delineate step(s) limiting the splice correcting activity of (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO as well as important molecular features of the (R-Ahx-R)₄–CPP moiety.

Spacing of the guanidinium charged groups in arginine-rich CPPs has been extensively studied by Wender et al. (20) and found to be a key determinant of their cellular uptake. A series of (R-X-R)₄–PMO conjugates with a X linker extending from two to eight atoms have been compared in terms of cellular uptake, splicing correction efficiency and affinity for heparin (Figure 1B and R. Abes and H. Moulton). As expected, heparin (chosen as a model heparan sulfate) affinity decreased significantly with an increase in X linker length and with a decrease in cationic charges density (Figure 1B). In keeping with this observation, cellular uptake as monitored by FACS analysis decreased in parallel (Figure 4). However, the ranking of these (R-X-R)₄–PMO conjugates in terms of splicing correction efficiency had a bell-shaped profile with (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO being significantly more efficient than (R-G-R)₄–PMO (Figure 1C and data not shown). These observations do strongly suggest that another step than cellular uptake is responsible for differences in splicing correction efficiency among these (R-X-R)₄–PMO conjugates.

Whether too much affinity for heparan sulfates could be detrimental for dissociation of the heparan-bound material in endocytic vesicles and for endosomal escape is a possibility but it is unfortunately not amenable to direct demonstration. It is worth pointing out that similar conclusions could be drawn from our previous comparison of (Arg)₉–PMO, Tat–PMO and (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugates. (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO was found more active in the splicing correction assay despite binding less efficiently to heparin and being taken up less well than the parent (Arg)₉–PMO and than Tat–PMO (3).

The (R-Acy-R)₄–PMO conjugate (compound 7 with a C8 linker) did not follow the ranking observed for other conjugates in this series since it had lower heparin-binding affinity but corrected splicing less efficiently than (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO. This might be explained by the higher hydrophobicity of its longer spacer as evidenced by an increased retention on a C18-affinity column (Figure 2B).

In keeping with this hypothesis, increasing the hydrophobicity of the X linker above a threshold value (Figure 2B) while maintaining charge spacing in a series of (R-X-R)₄–PMO analogs (Figure 2B) had little impact on cellular uptake (Figure 4) but decreased significantly splicing correction efficiency (Figure 2C). Being too hydrophobic might conceivably lead to entrapment into membranes and as a consequence might be detrimental to endosomal release.

**Figure 8.** CPP–PMO-induced leakage of encapsulated dye from lipid vesicles mimicking late endosome membrane. (A) Influence of CPP structure. Conjugates (5 μM final concentration) were added to 2 ml of liposomes (25 μM) loaded with ANTS and DPX in MES buffer (pH 5.5). Single representative curves are shown for each conjugate. (R-G-R)₄–PMO (black curve); (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO (red curve); (R-AbuL-R)₄–PMO (green curve); R₈-PMO (yellow curve). (B) pH dependence. Extent of encapsulated dye leakage after 30 min incubation with different CPP–PMO conjugates was measured in either Tris (pH 7.4: white bars) or MES (pH 5.5: black bars) buffer. Each experiment was made in triplicate. Mean and standard deviation (error bars) are shown. Increase in leakage extent at pH 5.5 as compared to pH 7 is statistically significant for conjugates 1 and 5. At pH 5.5 differences in leakage extents between all tested conjugates are statistically significantly. Statistical significance of the difference in the mean values was tested using paired, two-tailed Student’s t-test at significance level of 0.05. 1, (R-G-R)₄–PMO; 5, (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO; 9, (R-AbuL-R)₄–PMO.
The parent and most active (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO conjugate was rather resistant to proteolytic degradation in serum but was still cleaved by cellular proteases (11). It was thus anticipated that the (r-Ahx-R)₄–PMO in which some l-Arg residues have been replaced by their D-analog would become more protease-resistant and as a consequence more active in the splicing correction assay. Unexpectedly, (r-Ahx-R)₄–PMO was significantly less active than (R-Ahx-R)₄–PMO thus indicating that metabolic stability is not a limiting factor at least in these in vitro experiments. Whether (r-Ahx-R)₄–PMO might be more active in the splicing correction assay. Importantly, the release of the material unfortunately remains segregated in endocytic vesicles even for the most active of our conjugates and efforts should now be geared at improving endosomal escape. Model systems described here might turn rather useful in future SAR studies if pH-dependent liposome destabilization can be correlated with splicing correction efficiency. The most active conjugates will also have to be monitored for their biodisponibility, metabolic stability and biological activity in animal models.
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