Plant Root Exudates Are Involved in Bacillus cereus AR156 Mediated Biocontrol Against Ralstonia solanacearum
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The biological control process mediated by microbes relies on multiple interactions among plants, pathogens and biocontrol agents (BCAs). One such efficient BCA is Bacillus cereus AR156, a bacterial strain that controls a broad spectrum of plant diseases and potentially works as a microbe elicitor of plant immune reactions. It remains unclear, however, whether the interaction between plants and B. cereus AR156 may facilitate composition changes of plant root exudates and whether these changes directly affect the growth of both plant pathogens and B. cereus AR156 itself. Here, we addressed these questions by analyzing the influences of root exudate changes mediated by B. cereus AR156 during biocontrol against tomato bacterial wilt caused by Ralstonia solanacearum. Indeed, some upregulated metabolites in tomato root exudates induced by B. cereus AR156 (REB), such as lactic acid and hexanoic acid, induced the growth and motile ability of in vitro B. cereus AR156 cells. Exogenously applying hexanoic acid and lactic acid to tomato plants showed positive biocontrol efficacy (46.6 and 39.36%) against tomato bacterial wilt, compared with 51.02% by B. cereus AR156 itself. Furthermore, fructose, lactic acid, sucrose and threonine at specific concentrations stimulated the biofilm formation of B. cereus AR156 in Luria-Bertan-Glycerol-Magnesium medium (LBGM), and we also detected more colonized cells of B. cereus AR156 on the tomato root surface after adding these four compounds to the system. These observations suggest that the ability of B. cereus AR156 to induce some specific components in plant root exudates was probably involved in further biocontrol processes.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacillus cereus AR156 is a BCA strain with biocontrol efficacy against a broad spectrum of plant diseases, such as tomato bacterial wilt caused by Ralstonia solanacearum and tomato root-knot caused by Meloidogyne incognita (Wei et al., 2010; Niu et al., 2012). During the biocontrol process mediated by B. cereus AR156, the induction of defense-related genes, including PR1, PR2, and
PR5, was observed in Arabidopsis plants (Niu et al., 2011). B. cereus AR156 was proven to be a microbe elicitor of plant defenses that triggers rhizobacteria-induced systemic resistance (ISR) in Arabidopsis, tomato plants and fruits in postharvest storage (Wang et al., 2013, 2014; Niu et al., 2016a,b; Nie et al., 2017). Although many studies have been performed to search for active substances with biocontrol efficacy within B. cereus AR156 or its metabolite products, little progress has been achieved, except for the finding of extracellular polysaccharides (EPS), known as one novel microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) (Jiang et al., 2016). According to our previous results, EPS of B. cereus AR156 elicited a strong hypersensitive response (HR), causing hydrogen peroxide accumulation, callose deposition and induction of defense-related enzyme activity in plants (Jiang et al., 2016).

Another biocontrol study of B. cereus AR156 considers its ability to influence the growth and production of host plants (Zhou et al., 2016). It is well documented that plant root exudates are important for mediating plant-microbe interactions in the rhizosphere (Narula et al., 2009). Different plants have different root exudate compositions, which are also regulated by the developmental stage of plants and numerous environmental factors, such as humidity, pH, and soil type (Dakora and Phillips, 2002; Bertin et al., 2003; Barak and Schroeder, 2012). Although not necessary, plant root exudates were reported to promote biofilm formation by beneficial bacteria on the root surface (Zhang et al., 2014).

Furthermore, ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, which facilitate the transportation of compounds over the plasma membrane and into vacuoles (Yazaki, 2006), are involved in plant-microbe interactions by modulating plant root exudation (Hückelhoven, 2007). In a previous study, B. cereus AR156 promoted the shoot growth of Arabidopsis Col-0 and Atabcc5. However, B. cereus AR156 repressed the growth of Atabcc5. The root exudates of Col-0 induced the expression of siderophore and chitinase production-related genes in B. cereus AR156, but root exudates of Atabcc5 showed contradictory effects on the expression level of those genes (Zhou et al., 2016). ABC transporters play key roles during interactions with rhizosphere organisms and are essential for plant root exudation (Loyola-Vargas et al., 2007; Sugiyama et al., 2007; Badri et al., 2008, 2009). These previous studies indicated the diversity of different compositions involved in plant root exudate-mediated interactions between plants and B. cereus AR156, and it would be interesting to study the potential role of B. cereus AR156 in reversely manipulating the components in plant root exudates.

A previous study by Liu et al. (2017) highlighted that root exudates were altered in cucumber plants preinoculated with the BCA strain Bacillus amyloliquefaciens SQR9 or the fungal pathogen Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cucumerinum. Eight out of 109 compounds were significantly correlated with root colonization of either SQR9 or F. oxysporum, during which tryptophan strengthened further colonization of SQR9 while raffinose was reduced to inhibit root colonization of F. oxysporum f. sp. cucumerinum (Liu et al., 2017). As an effective broad-spectrum BCA strain, it is meaningful to understand whether B. cereus AR156 plays a similar role as that of SQR9 in controlling soilborne pathogens, such as R. solanacearum.

Plant root exudates contain a large group of components involved in such diverse processes as plant growth regulation, plant signaling and microbe-plant interactions (Narula et al., 2009). Based on GC-MS analysis, different compounds in root exudates were identified, such as sugars, amino acids, organic acids, fatty acids (Suzuki et al., 2009), sugar alcohols, phenolics (Chaparro et al., 2013), and phosphates (Bowsher et al., 2015). In this study, a split-root system similar to that used in Liu et al.’s work was used to divide tomato roots into two parts, and root exudate collection was spatially separated from B. cereus AR156 treatment to avoid interference of bacterial metabolites (Liu et al., 2017). We explored further biocontrol mechanisms of B. cereus AR156 by investigating composition changes in plant root exudates from tomato plants treated with B. cereus AR156 and whether these changes had a positive effect on biocontrol against tomato bacterial wilt caused by R. solanacearum. Subsequently, compounds detected in tomato root exudates induced by B. cereus AR156 treatment were further tested for their influences on R. solanacearum and B. cereus AR156, such as relative growth increment, swarming ability, biofilm formation ability and root colonizing ability.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains, Plants, and Growth Conditions**

*Bacillus cereus* AR156 was isolated from the forest soil of Zhenjiang City, Jiangsu Province, China, identified as an effective bacterial BCA (Genebank accession number CP015589, China General Microbiology Culture Collection Center, CGMCC accession number 1929), and grown in LB medium (NaCl 10 g·L\(^{-1}\), yeast extract 5 g·L\(^{-1}\), tryptone 5 g·L\(^{-1}\)) at 30°C on agar plates or in liquid medium for 24 h (250 rpm). *R. solanacearum* HN4 was isolated from infected tomato plant samples in Hunan Province, China, and grown in YGA medium (yeast extract 5 g·L\(^{-1}\), peptone 5 g·L\(^{-1}\), glucose 10 g·L\(^{-1}\)) at 28°C on solid plates or in liquid media for 24 h (250 rpm).

Seeds of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) Hezuo 903 (purchased from Research Institute of Vegetables, Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Jiangsu Province, China) were spread in seedling culture plates after surface sterilization. Tomato plants were transferred into independent pots at the 4-leaf stage and then moved to environment-controlled growth chambers under long-day conditions (16 h light/8 h dark cycle at 140 µE/s/m\(^2\) light intensity) at 22°C.

**Tomato Root Exudates Collection**

Tomato root exudates were collected using the following procedures. Tomato plants in the 4-leaf stage were isolated from soil (the soil used in this study was characterized as yellow brown earth with pH 5.8 (1:5), organic matter content of 23.8 g/kg, total nitrogen of 6.5 g/kg, available phosphorus of 184.3 mg/kg, and available potassium of 212.25 mg/kg; the soil texture was 184 g/kg of clay, 540 g/kg of silt, 276 g/kg of sand), gently...
washed with sterilized water three times, and then transferred into Hoagland's nutrient solution for 3 days. The roots of each tomato plant were divided into two parts, and each part was immersed into a 50 mL centrifuge tube with 40 mL of sterilized water. Five milliliters of *B. cereus* AR156 culture (1 × 10⁷ CFU·mL⁻¹) was added to one of the tubes for bacterial treatment (tube A) (control treatment was conducted with sterilized water), as described in Figure 1A. After 3 days, the solution in the other tube (tube B), which was considered to contain non-treated tomato root exudates only, was filtered by a 0.22 µm sterilized membrane and then freeze concentrated to 5 mL final volume. Each treatment contained six tomato plants, and each plant provided approximately 0.1 g of fresh roots. The weight of lyophilized exudates was 43.70 ± 2.93 mg in each treatment. Six independent repeats were conducted in this root exudate collection procedure.

### Analysis of Root Exudates

Collection of root exudates: 500 µL of thawed tomato root exudates and 2 mL of cold methanol including internal standard (250 ng/mL L-norleucine) were mixed and vortexed for 5 s. Following centrifugation at 16,000 g at 4°C for 15 min, 2.2 mL of supernatant was dried under a nitrogen stream. The residue was reconstituted in 30 µL of 20 mg/mL methoxyamine hydrochloride in pyridine, and the resulting mixture was incubated at 37°C for 90 min. A 30 µL aliquot of BSTFA (with 1% TMCS) was added to the mixture and derivatized at 70°C for 60 min prior to GC-MS metabolomics analysis. Quality control (QC) samples pooled from samples in each group were prepared and analyzed with the same procedure as that for the experimental samples.

Metabolite profiling of root exudates: Metabolite profiling was performed on an Agilent 7890A gas chromatography system coupled to an Agilent 5975C inert MSD system (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, United States). An Rxi-5 Sil MS fused-silica capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm; Restek corporation, Bellefonte, PA, United States) was utilized to separate the derivatives. Helium (> 99.999%) was used as a carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 1 mL/min through the column. The injection volume was 1 µL in splitless mode, and the solvent delay time was 6 min. The initial oven temperature was held at 70°C for 2 min; ramped to 160°C at a rate of 6°C/min, to 240°C at a rate of 10°C/min, and to 300°C at a rate of 20°C/min; and finally held at 300°C for 6 min. The temperatures of the injector, transfer line, and electron impact ion source were set to 250, 250, and 230°C, respectively. The impact energy was 70 eV, and data were collected in full scan mode (m/z 50–600).

Concentrations of each metabolite in REB or REW were calculated by comparison of analytes with standard sample as described by previous report (Pätzold et al., 2005).

### Bacterial Relative Growth Increment Assays

Assays of the bacterial relative growth increment followed a previously reported method with some modifications (Huang et al., 2017). Briefly, overnight fresh culture of *B. cereus* AR156 or *R. solanacearum* HN4 were centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 5 min and suspended in 0.85% NaCl solution to OD₆₀₀ = 0.1. Five microliters of the bacterial solution was added to 150 µL of MM medium (minimum nutrient medium, 1-asparagine 0.5 g·L⁻¹, K₂HPO₄ 0.5 g·L⁻¹, MgSO₄·7H₂O 0.2 g·L⁻¹, FeSO₄·7H₂O 0.01 g·L⁻¹, glucose 10 g·L⁻¹) (Chen et al., 2012) in a 96-well plate. Tomato root exudate induced by *Bacillus cereus* AR156 (REB), or sterile water (REW), at final concentrations of 10, 1, 0.1, or 0.01 mM for each specific root exudate component (fructose, lactic acid, D-pinitol, sucrose, threonine, and hexanoic acid) was added to the 96-well plates, and 0.85% NaCl was considered the control treatment. The plates were then moved into a shaking incubator for 24 h (28°C, 200 rpm), and OD₆₀₀ reads were detected with a SpectraMax M5 plate reader (Molecular Devices, 
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**FIGURE 1** | Tomato root exudates collection and effects on relative growth increment of *Bacillus cereus* AR156 and *Ralstonia solanacearum* HN4. (A) Tomato root exudates were collected in a split-root system. Root bundle in tube A was inoculated with water or cell culture of *B. cereus* AR156, and root exudates was collected in tube B with spatial separation from potential interference of *B. cereus* AR156 metabolite. (B) Relative growth increment of *Bacillus cereus* AR156 and *Ralstonia solanacearum* HN4 in minimal medium containing different root exudates. Tomato root exudate induced by *Bacillus cereus* AR156 (1 × 10⁷ CFU/mL) (REB); Tomato root exudate induced by sterile water (REW). The mean and standard error values of three biological replicates are reported for each treatment. Different letters within a column indicate significantly differences among treatments according to the Duncan’s multiple range tests test (P < 0.05). The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.
Sunnyvale, CA, United States). The bacterial relative growth increment was represented by its OD$_{600}$ increment and calculated by the following formulas:

$$\text{OD}_{600} \text{ Increment} = \text{OD}_{600} \text{ read in treated sample} - \text{OD}_{600} \text{ read in control sample}$$

Relative OD$_{600}$ Increment $= 24 \text{ hpt}^* \text{ OD}_{600}$ increment $- 0 \text{ hpt} \text{ OD}_{600}$ increment

(*hpt represents ‘hours post treatment’)

**Analysis of Bacterial Motility**

A bacterial motile ability assay followed previous studies with few modifications (Wang et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). A total of 10, 1, 0.1, or 0.01 mM each root exudate component was added to 0.5% LB agar plates (for *B. cereus* AR156) and 0.7% YGPA plates (for *R. solanacearum* AR156 and 5 days for further bacterial motile ability assays. One milliliter of overnight culture described with few modifications (Xue et al., 2009) was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 3 min, suspended in 1 mL of PBS buffer (KH$_2$PO$_4$ 0.27 g L$^{-1}$, Na$_2$HPO$_4$ 1.42 g L$^{-1}$, NaCl 8 g L$^{-1}$, KCl 0.2 g L$^{-1}$, pH = 7.4) twice, and then dissolved in 100 µL of PBS buffer. Five microliters of the bacterial PBS solution was dropped in the center of each prepared 0.5% LB agar plate and 0.7% YGPA plate and dried in a biosafety cabinet for 10 min. All plates were moved into a 28°C incubator and held for 10 h for *B. cereus* AR156 and 5 days for *R. solanacearum* AR156, and the diameter of bacterial colonies on each plate was measured. Relative bacterial motile ability was calculated by the following formula:

Relative bacterial motile ability $= \frac{\text{diameter of bacterial colony (or inhibition zone radius) on treatment plate}}{\text{diameter of bacterial colony (or inhibition zone radius) on control plate}}$

**Antagonism Ability Assay**

An antagonistic ability assay was carried out as previously described with few modifications (Xue et al., 2009). One milliliter of fresh culture of *R. solanacearum* HN4 (1 $\times$ 10$^7$ CFU·ml$^{-1}$, OD$_{600}$ = 0.5) was mixed with 50 mL of melted YGPA agar medium and poured into an 8 cm petri dish with a grid pattern. Five microliters of *B. cereus* AR156 culture (1 $\times$ 10$^8$ CFU·ml$^{-1}$, OD$_{600}$ = 1), supernatant of *B. cereus* AR156 culture or specific root exudate components in selected concentrations was dropped onto 5 mm sterilized filter paper disks. A culture solution of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* HSSN09 strain (a previously isolated BCA strain with broad-spectrum antagonistic ability against fungal and bacterial pathogens, China General Microbiology Culture Collection Center, CGMCC accession number 15764) and its supernatant were considered positive controls in this assay. The filter paper disks were air dried for 20 min and then placed in prepared petri dishes. All petri dishes were placed upside down in a 28°C incubator for 48 h, and the antagonistic area for each treatment was recorded. The inhibition zone radius was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Inhibition zone radius} = \frac{\text{radius of transparent inhibition zone}}{\text{radius of bacterial colony (or sterilized filter paper disks) in antagonism plate}}$$

**Biocontrol Assay**

To test the efficacy of BCA strains or specific root exudate components, we followed the method described previously with few modifications (Chen et al., 2013). Tomato seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated on moist potting soil. Seeds were sown into soil (same type as described above) and cultivated in a growth chamber (16/8 h light/dark photoperiod). After approximately 2 weeks, tomato plants were transferred into pots (8 cm × 9 cm × 12 cm) filled with soil fertilized with a fertilizer having the rate of N-P-K, 22-10-13. Twenty milliliters of *B. cereus* AR156 cell culture, adjusted to a cell density of 1 $\times$ 10$^8$ CFU·ml$^{-1}$ by resuspension in 0.85% NaCl solution, or 10 mM each specific root exudate component (lactic acid and hexanoic acid) was applied as irrigation to each pot. One week after inoculation with BCAs or specific root exudates, 20 mL of *R. solanacearum* AR156 culture suspension (1 $\times$ 10$^7$ CFU·ml$^{-1}$) was drenched into each pot. The temperature in the growth chamber was then maintained at 28°C, the relative humidity was maintained at 30%, and the photoperiod was 16 h/8 h.

Thirty days after inoculation with the pathogen, disease incidence based on the disease index (DI) was recorded as follows: DI = 0, no wilt; DI = 1, wilt symptoms on 1–25% of the leaves; DI = 2, wilt symptoms on 26–50% of the leaves; DI = 3, wilt symptoms on 51–75% of the leaves; and DI = 4, wilt symptoms on more than 76% of the leaves. Disease incidence and biocontrol efficacy were calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Disease severity} (\%) = \left[\frac{\sum (\text{Number of diseased plants in this index} \times \text{disease index})}{\text{Total number of plants investigated} \times \text{highest disease index}}\right] \times 100.$$

$$\text{Biocontrol efficacy} (\%) = \left[\frac{\text{(Disease severity of control plants} - \text{Disease severity of treated plants})}{\text{Disease severity of control}}\right] \times 100.$$

Each treatment had three replicate, and each replica contained 24 tomato plants. The experiment had three biological repeats.
In vitro Biofilm Formation Assay
The in vitro biofilm formation assay of B. cereus AR156 followed a previously reported method with few modifications (Yan et al., 2017). Four microliters of overnight-grown bacterial culture (1 × 10⁸ CFU·ml⁻¹, OD₆₀₀ = 1) was added to 12-well plates with 4 mL of newly formulated LBGM medium (Shemesh and Chai, 2013), and each well contained one of the root exudate components at different concentrations. The plates were placed at 30°C for 2 days without movement, and images were then taken by a Cannon DS126311 camera.

For quantitative analysis of biofilm formation, 10 µL of overnight-grown bacterial culture was added to 96-well microliter plates with 100 µL of LBGM medium and root exudate components at specific concentrations. The plates were then placed at 30°C for 2 days. Contents of the microliter plate were poured off and washed with 300 µL of PBS buffer three times. The remaining contents in each well were fixed with 250 µL of pure methanol for 15 min, and the plates were emptied and air dried. Crystal violet solution (1%) was then used to stain the biofilm structure in the wells for 5 min, and the excess stain was rinsed off with tap water. Glacial acetic acid (33%) was used to extract the dye bound to the biofilm structure, and the results were visualized with a SpectraMax M5 plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, United States) at 570 nm.

Root Colonization Assay
A wild-type strain of B. cereus AR156 that harbored a constitutively expressed gfp reporter plasmid, pGFP78 (Gao et al., 2015), was tested for its colonizing ability on tomato root surfaces. This assay followed previously reported methods by Chen et al. (2013) with few modifications. Surface-sterilized tomato seeds were transferred onto 0.7% MS agar plates and incubated at 25°C for 4 days to obtain tomato roots with lengths of at least 3 cm. Tomato roots were then transferred into 12-well microliter plates with each well containing 4 mL of MS medium, and the plates were placed in a shaker for 2 days (25°C, 60 rpm). A cell suspension of overnight-grown B. cereus AR156 harboring pGFP78 was then added to root-MS medium to reach a final OD₆₀₀ = 0.1, and different root exudate components were added to this system to reach specific concentrations as well. After 3 days of incubation at 25°C, all the roots were carefully collected and observed by CLSM (Leica AF6000 modular microsystems) according to a previously reported method (Chen et al., 2013).

Statistical Analyses
One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out with SPSS (Version 19.0) and followed with Duncan’s multiple range tests (P < 0.05) for statistically analyzed and Student’s t-test for evaluating the significance in all data. Peak picking, alignment, deconvolution, and further processing methods of raw GC-MS data were described in previously published protocols (Jin et al., 2014). The final data were exported as a peak table file, including observations (sample name), variables (rt_mz), and peak abundances (Supplementary Table S1). The data were normalized by Excel 2010 software against total peak abundances before performing univariate and imported into a SIMCA-P (version 13.0, Umetrics AB, Umea, Sweden). Principal component analysis (PCA) and orthogonal partial least-squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) were then processed.

The differential metabolites were acquired based on the consideration of a statistically significant threshold of variable influence on projection (VIP) values obtained from the OPLS-DA model and p-values from a two-tailed Student’s t-test on the normalized peak areas, in which metabolites with VIP values larger than 1.0 and p-values less than 0.05 were included, respectively. Fold change was calculated as a binary logarithm of the

FIGURE 2 | GC-MS TIC chromatograms (A), principal component analysis (PCA) (B) and orthogonal partial least-squares discrimination analysis (OPLS-DA) scores plots (C) of tomato root exudates induced by Bacillus cereus AR156 (red) and sterile water (green).
average normalized peak area ratio between group REB and REW.

RESULTS

Effect of Plant Root Exudates Mediated by B. cereus AR156 on Growth of B. cereus AR156 and R. solanacearum HN4

Figure 1A displays the exact method that this study used for tomato root exudate collection, and Figure 1B shows changes in the relative OD600 value in B. cereus AR156 and R. solanacearum HN4 cell culture resulting from adding REB and REW. These results suggest that relative growth increment of B. cereus AR156 was promoted by 85.9 (±11.3) % in REB than in REW, but had no significant effects on R. solanacearum HN4 (Figure 1B).

B. cereus AR156 Alters Compositions of Tomato Root Exudates

To better understand the specific alterations in plant root exudates from tomato plants treated with B. cereus AR156, we performed a GC-MS analysis on tomato root exudates. Figure 2A shows the typical total ion current (TIC) chromatograms, and the peak abundance has a significant difference. To demonstrate the further differences between B. cereus AR156-treated and non-AR156-treated tomato root exudates, we utilized principal component analysis (PCA) and orthogonal partial least-squares discrimination analysis (OPLS-DA) using SIMCA13.0. Indeed, PCA and OPLS-DA revealed that the compounds detected in the B. cereus AR156- and non-AR156-treated root exudates analyzed by GC-MS were different from each other (Figures 2B, C). GC-MS analyses identified 141 features within B. cereus AR156-treated and non-AR156 treated root exudates, of which 75 features were annotated in Supplementary Table S1. Furthermore, it was observed that B. cereus AR156 induced the secretion of D-pinitol, fructose, threonine, lactic acid, sucrose, and hexanoic acid in tomato root exudates; however, the secretion levels of xylitol, myo-inositol, gamma-aminobutyric acid, and gluconic acid were suppressed in tomato root exudates treated with B. cereus AR156 compared with control root exudates according to FC > 0 and VIP > 1, p < 0.05.

Antagonistic Ability of Tomato Root Exudate Components, Induced by B. cereus AR156, Against R. solanacearum HN4

Table 1 lists six major metabolites found in tomato root exudates from tomato plants treated with B. cereus AR156, including fructose, lactic acid, D-pinitol, sucrose, threonine, and hexanoic acid. Meanwhile, concentrations of these six major metabolites in crude extracts were 0.31, 0.72, 0.06, 0.17, 0.06, and 0.12 mM in REB, and were 0.15, 0.46, 0.04, 0.87, 0.03, and 0.10 mM in REW (Supplementary Figure S1). In vitro antagonism tests were then conducted between R. solanacearum HN4 and these 6 metabolites in different concentrations. In Supplementary Figure S2, there were no antagonism effect detected in 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 50, and 100 mM fructose, D-pinitol, sucrose, and threonine. Interestingly, 50 and 100 mM lactic acid and hexanoic acid showed antagonism abilities against R. solanacearum HN4 (Supplementary Figure S2 and Figure 3), but in low concentrations performed no antagonism effects (Supplementary Figure S2). The B. amyloliquefaciens HSSN09 culture (5 × 10^7 CFU·ml^{-1}) and its fermentation broth extract (20 mg/mL) also displayed antagonistic activity against R. solanacearum HN4 and were used as a positive control (Figure 3A). The results in Figure 3A were quantified in Figure 3C by calculating the inhibition zone radius. B. cereus AR156 cultures (5 × 10^7 CFU·ml^{-1}) showed antagonism against R. solanacearum HN4, while its cell culture supernatant had no such effect in the same experiment. Meanwhile, crude root extracts induced by B. cereus AR156 showed no in vitro antagonism effect against R. solanacearum HN4 (REB, Figure 3), which was likely caused by low concentrations of key metabolites in crude root extracts.

**Table 1** | Components in tomato root exudates induced by B. cereus AR156.

| Metabolites | VIP | P-value | FC (REB/REW) | KEGG | Related pathway |
|-------------|-----|---------|--------------|------|----------------|
| Fructose    | 1.68| 0.045   | 1.17         | C02336| Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism |
| Lactic acid | 1.77| 0.032   | 0.69         | C00186| Glycolysis/ Gluconeogenesis; Fructose and mannose metabolism; Pyruvate metabolism |
| d-Pinitol   | 1.68| 0.044   | 0.52         | C03844| — |
| Sucrose     | 1.86| 0.022   | 1.12         | C00089| Galactose metabolism; Starch and sucrose metabolism |
| Threonine   | 1.71| 0.040   | 0.87         | C00188| Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism; Valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis |
| Hexanoic acid| 2.04| 0.009   | 0.39         | C01585| Fatty acid |

a | VIP values, Variable Importance in the Projection, was obtained from the OPLS-DA model. b | P-value was calculated from a two-tailed Student's t-test. c | FC (REB/REW), fold change, was calculated as a binary logarithm of the average mass response (normalized peak area) ratio between Group REB vs. Group REW, where a positive value means that the average mass response of the metabolite in Group REB is larger than that in Group REW. d | KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes http://www.kegg.jp/.

Tomato Root Exudate Components Influence the Growth and Motile Ability of B. cereus AR156

To further explore the role of the B. cereus AR156-induced components in tomato root exudates, we performed a
relative growth increment assay of both *B. cereus* AR156 and *R. solanacearum* HN4 in the presence of each induced root exudate component (Figure 4). Figure 4A shows that 10 mM, 1 mM fructose and D-pinitol, and 10 mM threonine and hexanoic acid clearly decreased the relative growth increment of *B. cereus* AR156. Moreover, lactic acid in all tested concentrations (10, 1, 0.1, and 0.01 mM), 1, 0.1, and 0.01 mM sucrose and hexanoic acid, as well as REB, caused growth increment of *B. cereus* AR156 compared with REW treatment (Figure 4A).

Unlike their effects on the growth increment of *B. cereus* AR156, only 10 mM hexanoic acid showed significant downregulation to the relative growth increment of *R. solanacearum* HN4 compared with REW (Figure 4B).

Next, we investigated whether these components in REB, can interfere with the motile ability of *B. cereus* AR156 and *R. solanacearum* HN4. The results showed 1 mM lactic acid, 10 mM sucrose, 10 mM threonine, 1, 0.1, and 0.01 mM hexanoic acid showed significant effects on motile ability of

---

**FIGURE 3** | Antagonistic effects of components in tomato root exudates induced by *Bacillus cereus* AR156 against *Ralstonia solanacearum* HN4. (A) In vitro antagonism test of components in tomato root exudates induced by *B. cereus* AR156 against *R. solanacearum* HN4. Arrangement of tested compositions was described in (B). *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* HSSN09 and *Bacillus cereus* AR156 strains were tested in $5 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL, HSSN09 FBE indicates the fermentation broth extract of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* HSSN09 in 20 mg/mL, tested root exudates was in the concentration of 8.74 mg/mL, other tested components were in the concentration of 100 mM. The results in (A) are quantified in (C). The mean and standard error values of three biological replicates are reported for each treatment. Different letters within a column indicate significantly different among treatments according to the Duncan’s multiple range tests test ($P < 0.05$). The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.

**FIGURE 4** | Relative growth increment of *Bacillus cereus* AR156 (A) and *Ralstonia solanacearum* HN4 (B) in minimal medium (MM) containing tomato root exudate induced by *Bacillus cereus* AR156 (REB), tomato root exudate induced by water (REW) or different components from tomato root exudates induced by *B. cereus* AR156 at final concentrations of 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10 mM. The mean and standard error values of three biological replicates are reported for each treatment. Asterisks in (A,B) indicate significance difference between data of treatments and data of tomato root exudate induced by sterile water (REW) within each experiment as determined by the Student’s $t$-test ($*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$). The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.
B. cereus AR156 (Figure 5A). Actually, REB treatment also showed positive effects on the motile ability of B. cereus AR156 (Figure 5A). Figure 5B shows that 10, 1, 0.1 mM lactic acid, 10 mM D-pinitol, 10 mM sucrose and 10 mM hexanoic acid significantly reduced the motile ability of R. solanacearum HN4 compared with REW, but REB had no such effect.

Lactic Acid and Hexanoic Acid From Tomato Root Exudates Have Positive Biocontrol Efficacy Against Tomato Bacterial Wilt

The initial goal of this study was to investigate whether the variation in plant root exudates from tomato plants treated with B. cereus AR156 had a positive function during the biocontrol process. In a biocontrol assay against tomato bacterial wilt, 10 mM hexanoic acid decreased the disease severity from 86.46 to 46.18%, and the biocontrol efficacy was 46.6%; 10 mM lactic acid decreased the disease severity from 86.46 to 52.43%, and the biocontrol efficacy was 39.36% (Figure 6), although both hexanoic acid and lactic acid showed lower biocontrol efficacy compared with B. cereus AR156 (51.02%) in the same assay.

Tomato Root Exudate Components Affect Biofilm Formation and Root Colonizing Ability of B. cereus AR156

Bacillus cereus AR156 was able to form a biofilm pellicle on the air-medium interface, and B. cereus AR156 formed a more robust or frailer biofilm structure when treated with different tomato root exudate components (Figure 7B). Crystal violet staining was conducted to quantify the biofilm formation capacity of B. cereus AR156 in different treatments. In Figures 8B,C, 0.1 mM fructose, 1 mM lactic acid, 1 mM sucrose, and 0.1 mM and 0.01 mM threonine significantly induced the biofilm formation capacity of B. cereus AR156, which was consistent with the results shown in Figure 7B. Specifically, all root exudate components in the highest tested concentration (10 mM) had no positive effects on the biofilm formation of B. cereus AR156, and 10 mM hexanoic acid impaired the biofilm formation, shown in both Figures 7, 8. In addition, both REB and REW stimulated the biofilm forming of B. cereus AR156 in LBGM medium, and the REB induced most robust biofilm formation of B. cereus AR156 compared with other specific components in all tested concentrations (Figures 7, 8).

In Figure 9, B. cereus AR156 showed more effective colonizing ability on tomato root surfaces when co-cultured with 0.1 mM, 1 mM fructose, 0.1 mM lactic acid, 0.01 mM D-Pinitol, 1 mM, 10 mM sucrose, and 0.1, 1, and 10 mM threonine. The detected GFP signal of B. cereus AR156 colonization was then quantified and is shown in Figures 9B,C.

DISCUSSION

The proposed involvement of B. cereus AR156-mediated plant root exudates in biocontrol is consistent with the known functions of root exudates in regulating interactions between plants and PGPR (Zhou et al., 2016). However, no recent study has addressed the effects of B. cereus AR156-mediated plant root exudates on BCAs or pathogens. One hypothesis is that PGPR may manipulate the secretion of functional compositions in plant root exudates and play a positive role in biocontrol against plant diseases. Thus, it was important to explore and examine possible functional compositions in B. cereus AR156-mediated plant root exudates. The potential capability of inducing effective components in plant root exudates indicates the intricate patterns of plant root exudates and play a positive role in biocontrol against plant diseases. Thus, it was important to explore and examine possible functional compositions in B. cereus AR156-mediated plant root exudates. The potential capability of inducing effective components in plant root exudates indicates the intricate patterns of plant root exudates and play a positive role in biocontrol against plant diseases.

Recent advances in plant-microbe interaction research revealed that plants can shape their rhizosphere microbiome, as evidenced by the fact that different plant species host specific microbial communities when grown on the same soil (Berendsen et al., 2012). A study on root exudates of Medicago truncatula found that 15–20 compounds stimulated or repressed responses in QS (quorum sensing) reporter bacteria (Gao et al., 2003).
FIGURE 6 | Biocontrol efficacy of Bacillus cereus AR156 and different components from tomato root exudates induced by B. cereus AR156 (Hexanoic acid and Lactic acid) against bacterial wilt caused by Ralstonia solanacearum HN4 under greenhouse condition. The mean and standard error values of three biological replicates are reported for each treatment. Different letters within a row indicate significantly differences among treatments by the Duncan’s multiple range tests test \( P < 0.05 \). The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.

FIGURE 7 | Pellicle biofilm formation of Bacillus cereus AR156 in Luria-Bertan- Glycerol- Magnesium medium (LBGM) containing tomato root exudate induced by Bacillus cereus AR156 (REB), tomato root exudate induced by water (REW) (A) or different components from tomato root exudates induced by B. cereus AR156 (B). The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.

In our study, the observation that compounds of tomato root exudates regulated the growth increment of B. cereus AR156 parallels results from other studies on pea (Pisum sativum), rice (Oryza sativum), and green algae (Chlamydomonas reinhardtii) (Teplitski et al., 2000, 2004; Ferluga and Venturi, 2009).

In GC-MS analysis, some of the components detected occurred in relatively low levels in root exudates from B. cereus AR156-treated tomato plants compared with non-treated groups, such as xylitol, myo-inositol, gamma-aminobutyric acid, and gluconic acid (Supplementary Table S1). Specifically, there was no signal detected for xylitol in all plants treated with B. cereus AR156. Xylitol is known as a sugar alcohol naturally found in plants that is used as an artificial sweetener in many foods, and it showed statistically significant inhibition at approximately 1.56% to Streptococcus strains (Sahni et al., 2002). It would be interesting to investigate the reasons for the low contents of these components in root exudates from B. cereus AR156-treated tomato plants. Additionally, a preliminary GC-MS analysis was conducted in the axenic culture of B. cereus AR156, in which out of six tested components in this work (fructose, lactic acid, D-pinitol, sucrose, threonine, and hexanoic acid), fructose, lactic acid, sucrose and threonine were detected (Supplementary Table S2). Although axenic culture of B. cereus AR156 was not the key objective of this study, it is interesting to understand the functions of B. cereus AR156 secretions during interactions between plant roots and rhizosphere bacteria.

Although specific components in REB showed positive effects on the growth and motile ability of B. cereus AR156, the concentration effects in this study should not be neglected. For example, only 10 mM hexanoic acid showed significant inhibitory effect on relative growth rate of R. solanacearum HN4, and the concentrations of hexanoic acid were 0.12 mM in REB, which partly explained the non-significant effects of crude root exudates on R. solanacearum HN4. Generally, if all tested metabolites were considered in the concentrations between 0.1 mM and 1 mM, it would be easier to understand the positive effects of REB on relative growth rate, swarming ability and biofilm forming ability of B. cereus AR156, and the
FIGURE 8 | Biofilm formation on polystyrene microtiter plates by Bacillus cereus AR156 with still at 30°C for 48 h under microaerobic conditions. Biofilm formation were determined by crystal violet in the 96-well microtiter plate induced by Bacillus cereus AR156 (REB), tomato root exudate induced by water (REW) (A) or different components from tomato root exudates induced by B. cereus AR156 (B). (C) Relative optical density of B. cereus AR156's biofilm was measured at 595 nm with a microtiter plate reader. The mean and standard error values of three biological replicates are reported for each treatment. Asterisks in (C) indicate statistical significance difference between data of treatments and data of sterile water as determined by the Student’s t-test (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.

non-significant effects of REW on R. solanacearum HN4 in all related results.

The concentration effects also exist in the antagonism assays presented in this study: although high concentrations of lactic acid and hexanoic acid had showed a bit antagonism activity in artificial condition, REB itself performed no detectable antagonism ability against R. solanacearum HN4, and the physiological concentrations of these tested metabolites in REB were far less than 50 and 100 mM. According to previous researches, 10 mM D-pinitol severely damaged conidiophores of Podosphaera xanthii which caused powdery mildew in cucumber; 12 mM hexanoic acid inhibited in vitro mycelial growth of germinated spores of Botrytis cinerea; 20 mM hexanoic acid effectively reduced in vitro infection and bacterial population development of Xanthomonas citri subsp. ciri (Leyva et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2014; Llorens et al., 2015), confirmed that REB plays no functional role in biocontrol against tomato bacterial wilt by directly affecting R. solanacearum, which was probably due to the low concentrations of effective metabolites in REB.

A study on biocontrol against root-knot nematodes revealed that a low concentration of lactic acid (0.3 mM) significantly inhibited the egg hatching rate of M. incognita (Lee et al., 2014). B. cereus AR156 was previously reported as an effective BCA strain for biocontrol against root-knot nematodes in tomato as
FIGURE 9 | Attachment of GFP-targeted Bacillus cereus AR156 strain on tomato root surface. Cells of Bacillus cereus AR156 form different colonization on the surfaces of excised tomato roots in 1% MS liquid medium containing tomato root exudate induced by Bacillus cereus AR156 (REB), tomato root exudate induced by water (REW) (A) or different components from tomato root exudates induced by B. cereus AR156 (B) at 25°C for 3 days and were visualized by Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope. Mock showed tomato root grown in absence of B. cereus AR156 cells. Scale bars = 100 µm. The results in (A,B) were quantified in (C). GFP signal was quantified using the Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope software (Leica AF6000 Modular microsystems) by measuring the total GFP fluorescence in one field inside the infiltration area with a low magnification objective (20X); all images used for fluorescence measurement were taken with the same settings. Basal signal measured in mock was subtracted from the values measured for each experimental condition, and the signal obtained with 10 mM Threonine was set as 100%. The mean and standard error values of three biological replicates are reported for each treatment. Asterisks in (C) indicate statistical significance difference between data of treatments and data of sterile water as determined by the Student’s t-test (∗P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.

well (Xu et al., 2017), which was somewhat consistent with the induction of lactic acid secretion in B. cereus AR156-treated tomato plants.

Interestingly, although 10 mM lactic acid and hexanoic acid showed no in vitro antagonism effect against R. solanacearum HN4, these two treatments still showed positive biocontrol efficacies against tomato bacterial wilt in greenhouse assays. In fact, hexanoic acid was previously reported to protect plants against B. cinerea by priming defense responses and reducing oxidative stress (Kravchuk et al., 2011; Ivan et al., 2014). These reports suggest that, despite of direct inhibition against the growth of pathogens, plant root exudates may be involved in B. cereus AR156-mediated biocontrol against other plant diseases by more complicated patterns, such as inducing ISR.

In a previous study, the motile ability of bacterial strains was proven to be associated with their biocontrol efficacy against plant diseases or related pathogenicity (Andersen et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2017). According to our research, only 0.72 mM lactic acid contributes to REB's effects on the in vitro growth of B. cereus AR156, motile ability inhibition of R. solanacearum HN4, but not the growth rate of R. solanacearum HN4; 0.12 mM hexanoic acid in REB positively influenced in vitro growth of B. cereus AR156 and R. solanacearum HN4, the motile ability of B. cereus AR156, but not motile ability of R. solanacearum HN4,
which partly explained the positive effect of REB in regulating the motile ability of B. cereus AR156, and indicated an other potential pattern REB takes in biocontrol process.

The biofilm formation ability of B. subtilis is known to play an important role in colonizing tomato roots and biocontrol against tomato disease caused by R. solanacearum (Chen et al., 2013). It was interesting to see if these conclusions could expand to other Bacillus species. In our data, 0.01 mM threonine induced the most robust in vitro biofilm formation of B. cereus AR156, while 10 mM threonine showed the most positive effect on the colonizing ability of B. cereus AR156 on the tomato root surface, indicating REB probably was more efficient in stimulating biofilm formation than root colonizing of B. cereus AR156 in in vitro condition. In addition, fructose, lactic acid, D-Pinitol, sucrose, and threonine were not exactly in their most effective concentrations in REB compared with the results of biofilm formation and colonizing ability assays. Considering these observations of root colonization of B. cereus AR156 occurred merely during the initial stage (3 days) and not in the soil environment, our future study will extend the detection period and repeat the root colonizing assay using more common and complex conditions.

The presented results in Figures 4, 5, 8, 9 demonstrated the effects of REB on the growth, swarming ability of B. cereus AR156 suggesting that the functional components in REB mainly affect the root colonizing of B. cereus AR156 by ecological patterns, and these effects might also contribute to recruiting beneficial Bacillus spp. in root-soil system as previous reported (Berendsen et al., 2012). Meanwhile, although specific metabolites in high concentrations somewhat showed inhibitory effects, REB did not significantly affect the growth or swarming ability of R. solanacearum HN4, indicating a scarce relevance of REB on biocontrol aspects.

Collectively, the regulation of the growth of B. cereus AR156 by REB and the involvement of different plant root exudate components in the biocontrol process against tomato bacterial wilt suggest the important role of root exudates during the biocontrol process mediated by B. cereus AR156. This finding was consistent with previous research by Liu et al., who reported that B. amyloliquefaciens SQ9 induces the secretion of tryptophan in cucumber and that tryptophan further strengthens the colonization of SQ9 in cucumber roots (Liu et al., 2017). Indeed, some specific components in root exudates such as lactic acid and hexanoic acid displayed positive biocontrol efficacy against plant disease caused by R. solanacearum in greenhouse conditions. There were tremendous differences in the effects of root exudate components on biofilm formation and tomato root colonization of B. cereus AR156.

This study indicates the extended effects of REB on B. cereus AR156; however, our data were based on artificial environmental conditions, which have limitations to application in more complex and natural situations. For example, the colonizing test of B. cereus AR156 was in a pure culture system instead of soil. In the future, it would be particularly interesting to test our results in a natural environment and explore whether it could increase biocontrol efficacy by adding exogenous functional root exudate components to BCA products.
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**FIGURE S1 |** Metabolic concentrations of six induced metabolites in root exudates induced by Bacillus cereus AR156. Numbers above columns indicate fold change of each metabolite in tomato root exudate induced by Bacillus cereus AR156 (1 × 10⁷ CFU/mL) (REB) and tomato root exudate induced by sterile water (REW). Concentrations of each metabolite in REB or REW were calculated by comparison of analytes with standard sample as described by previous report (Pitzold et al., 2005). The mean and standard error values of six biological replicates are reported for each treatment. The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.

**FIGURE S2 |** Antagonistic effects of components in tomato root exudates induced by Bacillus cereus AR156 against Ralstonia solanacearum HN4. (A) In vitro antagonism test of components in tomato root exudates induced by B. cereus AR156 against R. solanacearum HN4. The results in (A) are quantified in (B). The mean and standard error values of three biological replicates are reported for each treatment. Different letters within a column in (B) indicate significantly differences among treatments by the Duncan’s multiple range tests test (P < 0.05). The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.

**TABLE S1 |** GC-MS analysis data of root exudate from tomato root treated or non-treated with B. cereus AR156. Data is normalized by the internal standard L-norleucine within sample. The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.

**TABLE S2 |** GC-MS analysis data of culture supernatant of B. cereus AR156. Data is normalized by the internal standard L-norleucine within sample. The experiment was carried out three times and one representative experiment is reported.
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