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Abstract

Polycomb group proteins represent a global silencing system involved in development regulation. In specific, they regulate the transition from proliferation to differentiation, contributing to stem-cell maintenance and inhibiting an inappropriate activation of differentiation programs. Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 (EZH2) is the catalytic subunit of Polycomb repressive complex 2, which induces transcriptional inhibition through the tri-methylation of histone H3, an epigenetic change associated with gene silencing. EZH2 expression is high in precursor cells while its level decreases in differentiated cells. EZH2 is upregulated in various cancers with high levels associated with metastatic cancer and poor prognosis. Indeed, aberrant expression of EZH2 causes the inhibition of several tumor suppressors and differentiation genes, resulting in an uncontrolled proliferation and tumor formation. This editorial explores the role of Polycomb repressive complex 2 in cancer, focusing in particular on EZH2. The canonical function of EZH2 in gene silencing, the non-canonical activities as the methylation of other proteins and the role in gene transcriptional activation, were summarized. Moreover, mutations of EZH2, responsible for an increased methyltransferase activity in cancer, were recapitulated. Finally, various drugs able to inhibit EZH2 with different mechanism were described, specifically underscoring the effects in several cancers, in order to clarify the role of EZH2 and understand if EZH2 blockade could be a new strategy for developing specific therapies or a way to increase sensitivity of cancer cells to standard therapies.
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Core tip: Epigenetics modifications are key players in differentiation programs and are frequently altered in cancer. Since chromatin changes can be reversed with specific drugs, in the last years several studies explored
the possibility to target epigenetics alteration as a new strategy for cancer treatment. This editorial focuses on Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 (EZH2), the catalytic subunit of Polycomb repressive complex 2 in cancer, analyzing different roles of this protein in various cancers. Several different classes of EZH2 inhibitors are also highlighted, giving distinct thoughtfulness to small molecules that are now under consideration as potential candidates for cancer treatment alone or in combination with other drugs.
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INTRODUCTION

The chromatin structure in eukaryotes depends on covalent modifications that distinguish transcriptionally active and silent regions of the genome and affects the genetic material functionality. Histone post-translational modifications play a key role in the regulation of chromatin structure and gene expression. For instance, acetylation of histone H3 tail, on several lysines, is associated with gene expression, whereas the trimethylation of histone H3 on Lys27 (H3K27me3) or trimethylation of histone H3 on Lys9 is a mark of silent chromatin.

Several enzymes dynamically deposit or remove specific marks on the chromatin regulating gene expression and drive numerous biological processes. For instance, the differentiation of distinctive cell types in an organism is rigorously related to the establishment, while the maintenance of the correct epigenetic status and alteration of chromatin structure is frequently associated with different disease disorders, including cancer.

Numerous cancer types are associated with specific patterns of histones H3 and H4 modification and several epigenetic patterns enable to distinguish disease subtypes.

Epigenetic changes are reversible and specific drugs are capable to recover the correct chromatin status of a normal cell and, in turn, promote differentiation, cellular senescence or apoptosis. Therefore, the inhibition of epigenetic enzyme could be a good strategy for cancer treatment.

This editorial focuses on the role of the Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 (EZH2), the catalytic subunit of Polycomb repressive complex 2, which catalyzes the addition of methyl groups to lysine 27 of the N-tail of histone H3. This enzyme is responsible for the silencing of various genes involved in several processes as cell cycle progression, apoptosis and differentiation and it is frequently deregulated in cancer.

POLYCOMB GROUP PROTEINS

Polycomb group proteins (PcG) are a family of proteins highly conserved among eukaryotes, involved in development, stem cell biology and cancer. They are regulators of the epigenetic gene silencing required in many processes, like mammalian X-chromosome inactivation and imprinting. Moreover, the PcG-dependent epigenetic silencing controls the timely expression of genes involved in stem cell fate and lineage commitment, ensuring the establishment and maintenance of the correct transcriptome during development.

In mammals, PcG proteins form two main complexes: Polycomb-repressive complex 1 (PRC1) and 2 (PRC2). PRC1 is formed by BMI1, RING1A/B, CBX, and PHC subunits. As of now, the mechanism of PRC1 dependent gene silencing is not completely clear. RING1A/B is an ubiquitin E3 ligases which catalyze the monoubiquitylation of histone H2A at lysine 119 (H2AK119ub1), an histone post translational modification associated with gene silencing.

Nevertheless, transcriptional silencing has been detected also in absence of ubiquitylation. Other studies in vitro demonstrated that PRC1 prevents the SWI/SNF-dependent chromatin remodeling, competing for the binding with target nucleosomes. Indeed PRC1 complex is able to bind three nucleosomes, resulting in the chromatin compaction. PRC1 affects also the transcription while inhibiting a correct assembly of RNA Polymerase II preinitiation complex.

PRC2 is composed by EZH2 or EZH1, EED, SUZ12 and RbAp46. EZH2 is the most studied catalytic subunit of PRC2 and contains the SET domain responsible for the histone methyltransferase activity on lysine-27 of histone H3. SUZ12 and EED stimulates H3K27 histone methyltransferases increasing more than 1000 fold the catalytic activity of EZH2 alone whereas RbAp46 is responsible for the histone binding. EZH1 is a homologue of EZH2, which originates an alternative PRC2 complex, however, data about this protein are sometimes contrasting.

It has been demonstrated that EZH1, in embryonic stem (ES) cells, is able to trimethylates H3K27, contributing to the silencing of a subset of developmental genes. Its activity partially complements EZH2 role in the maintenance of the ES cells pluripotency. On the other hand, other findings showed that EZH1 and EZH2 are recruited at the same set of target genes but EZH1 is ubiquitously expressed, whereas EZH2 expression is associated with proliferating cells. There is also evidence that EZH1, compared to EZH2, exhibits a weaker histone H3 methyltransferase activity, while its depletion does not affect the global H3K27me2/3 levels; despite this, it is able to efficiently compact the chromatin through a mechanism independent of the presence of the methyltransferase cofactor S-Adenosyl methionine (SAM).

Remarkably, during muscle differentiation several evidences showed a role of EZH1 in transcriptional activation. Indeed, EZH1 occupies transcriptionally active...
Epigenetic modifications have a key role in the normal mammalian development and are required in all somatic cells. In ES cells and in precursor, PRC2 contributes to silence the principal genes involved in the differentiation promotion, preventing the premature activation of the differentiation processes and maintaining their pluripotency[9-11]. In addition, the main targets of EZH2 are genes involved in cell cycle regulation, as for instance 1nk4b/Arf/1nk4a locus; its inhibition impedes cell cycle arrest and contributes to preserve the proliferative potential[9,44-50].

Because of its importance in various aspects of cellular development and tissue differentiation, EZH2 expression is strictly regulated. For instance high levels are detected in stem cells and undifferentiated cell progenitors, while its expression decreases during the differentiation process[6,7,31]. EZH2 activity could be regulated, other than transcriptionally, also by different mechanisms as several miRNA and post translational modifications (reviewed in[32]). Furthermore, the recruitment of PRC2 complex at target promoters covers a very important role: PRC2 binds DNA with low affinity and recruiting factors are supposed to be necessary to drive the complex to target genes[32]. This hypothesis could also explain why EZH2 is recruited, in different tissue, at different set of genes.

Activity of EZH2 independent of H3K27me3
EZH2 activity is not restricted to H3K27 trimethylation, in fact several studies reported that it is also able to methylate other proteins[64-68].

EZH2 and other PRC2 subunits have been found in the cytoplasm, where they control actin polymerization and cell proliferation of T-lymphocytes and fibroblasts[64]. Aberrant EZH2 overexpression has been detected in both nuclei and cytoplasm of human prostate cancer cells. The cytoplasmic fraction, responsible for the reduction of the pool of insoluble F-actin, influences cell adhesion and migration, therefore contributes to invasiveness and metastatic ability of tumor cells[69].

Previous studies showed that EZH2 is able also to methylate other histones as the histone H1 at lysine 26 when associated with a different isoform of EED[66]. Recently it has been discovered that EZH2 is also able to methylate GATA4, inhibiting its transcriptional
activity in heart[47]. This is the first evidence that PRC2 influences the function of transcription factors involved in the developmental processes not only modulating their expression levels but also regulating their post-translational modifications.

This evidence is also supported by another study showing that in breast cancer, EZH2, in association with other PRC2 components, plays an essential role in the regulation of p38 pathway. p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathway is involved in the promotion of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, cell invasion and motility. EZH2 is able to bind the phosphorylated and activated p38 counterpart, increasing its downstream signaling. This study highlighted a novel fundamental role of EZH2 in breast cancer. EZH2 overexpression enhances the levels of phospho-p38 while EZH2 knockdown induces a mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition and decreases cell motility. Clinical breast cancer specimens reveal that EZH2 is overexpressed, and co-expressed with phospho-p38 in about two-third of cases, while EZH2 inhibition results in a reduction of spontaneous breast cancer metastasis in vivo[68].

Finally, EZH2 is also able to promote transcriptional activation interacting with different transcription factors[41,42,69]. In breast cancer, for instance, EZH2 interacts with ERα, Wnt signaling components TCF, and β-catenin at the promoter of target genes, enhancing transcriptional activation of c-Myc and cyclin D1 genes; this mechanism is independent of the methyltransferase activity[41]. Still in breast cancer, EZH2, independently from other PRC2 subunits, is also able to activate NF-κB signaling, interacting with its components Rel A and Rel B, and inducing the activation of genes implicated in oncogenesis such as IL6 and TNF[42]. Similarly, in castration-resistant prostate cancer the oncogenic functions of EZH2 are not dependent on its transcriptional silencing activity but on the transcriptional activation of a subset of genes. EZH2 does not bind these genes by recruiting other PRC2 components, but rather through the association with the androgen receptor (AR), which in turn, after EZH2 dependent methylation, leads to the transcriptional activation of these genes. It has been proposed that the methylation of AR is dependent on AKT that phosphorylates EZH2 at serine 21, promoting the binding with AR[43,70]. Interestingly, it has been shown that AKT-dependent phosphorylation decreases the affinity of EZH2 with histone H3, resulting in a reduction of the H3K27 methylation[71]. Similarly, in castration-resistant prostate cancer the oncogenic functions of EZH2 are not dependent on its transcriptional silencing activity but on the transcriptional activation of a subset of genes. EZH2 does not bind these genes by recruiting other PRC2 components, but rather through the association with the androgen receptor (AR), which in turn, after EZH2 dependent methylation, leads to the transcriptional activation of these genes. It has been proposed that the methylation of AR is dependent on AKT that phosphorylates EZH2 at serine 21, promoting the binding with AR[43,70]. Interestingly, it has been shown that AKT-dependent phosphorylation decreases the affinity of EZH2 with histone H3, resulting in a reduction of the H3K27 methylation[71]; this event can promote the binding of EZH2 with AR and the role of the methyltransferase as transcriptional activator.

Finally, EZH2 is also able to promote cyclin A transcription[72]. Cyclin A gene transcription is inhibited by pRb2/p130, a member of Rb family with an onco-suppressor role[72]. pRb2/p130 is able to recruit HDAC1 at cyclin A gene inducing gene silencing and G1 arrest[74]. EZH2 competes with HDAC1 for its binding with pRb2/p130, disrupting the occupancy of both proteins on cyclin A promoter and inducing gene activation and cell cycle progression[72,75].

Mutations

The activity of EZH2 in cancer is also influenced by mutations. In diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, an heterozygous mutation of EZH2 at Tyrosine 641, (Y641), which affects its catalytic domain, was initially associated with a loss of functions, but other studies showed that this mutation results in a limited capacity to carry out H3K27 monomethylation but augmented ability for di- and trimethylation. In these tumors, wild type Tyrosine can be substituted with different amino acids (Phenylalanine Y641F, Histidine Y641H, Asparagine Y641N and Serine Y641S) and mutants cooperate with wild type protein to increase EZH2 activity[76-78]. Another mutation, called A677G, has been discovered in lymphoma cell lines and primary tumors. This mutation, that replaces Alanine with Glycine, as the mutation in Y641, increases the trymethylation of H3K27 but, on the contrary, displays similar affinity for all three substrates: Unmethylated, mono-edymethylated H3K27[79]. A687V is another gain-of-function (GOF) mutation discovered in lymphoma, it substitutes Alanine 687 with Valine, and it is similar to other mutations since enhances EZH2 ability to perform dimethylation, whereas the ability of catalyzing trimethylations remains the same[80]. Parallel mutations have been discovered also in melanoma, where they contribute to the promotion of tumor growth[81,82].

EZH2 INHIBITORS

The peculiar role of PRC2 in the promotion of tumor growth, deregulation of apoptosis, and alteration of proper proliferation and differentiation programs, suggests that EZH2 can be a good target for therapy in cancer. Several inhibitors of EZH2 have been designed and they can be classified based on the different mechanism of inhibition (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Regulators of EZH2 levels

3-Deazaneplanocin A (DZNep) is a S-adenosyl-l-homocysteine (AdoHcy) hydrolase inhibitor able to deplete EZH2 and to reduce H3K27me3 at PRC2 target genes. The mechanism is not completely understood but it seems that an absent or reduced activity of AdoHcy hydrolase and the successive AdoHcy accumulation causes an inhibition of SAM-dependent methyltransferases. DZNep treatment reduces levels of EZH2 and other PRC2 components thought a proteosome-mediated degradation, while RNA transcription does not change[83]. DZNep treatment induces apoptosis in breast, colorectal, prostate cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma whereas apparently, it is able to discriminate between cancerous and non-cancerous cell lines; consequently, it does not induce cell death in breast and lung epithelial cells, primary lung fibroblast, and human skin fibroblast cells[83]. Other studies, focusing on several non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell lines, showed that DZNep induces p27 accumulation, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, while immortalized bronchial epithelial and fibroblast cell lines are less sensitive to apoptosis[84]. Interestingly, studies
performed in several gastric cancer cell lines and in primary human gastric cancer cells showed that the effects of DZNep are related to p53 status, and cells with p53 wild type are more sensitive to the treatment. p53 genomic status could be a potential predictive marker of DZNep response in this specific cell type [85].

Effects on the EZH2 protein levels have been detected also during the treatment with (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), a green tea-derived bioactive polyphenol. In skin cancer cells, treatment with EGCG induces a global reduction of H3K27me3 and reduces the levels of two PcG proteins: Bmi-1 and EZH2. Reduced levels of these PcG proteins are associated with decreased expression of several cyclin dependent kinases (CDKs) and cyclins (CDK1, CDK2, CDK4, cyclin D1, cyclin E, cyclin A and cyclin B1) and increased levels of p21 and p27 that, in turn, induce cell cycle arrest. Apoptosis is also stimulated by the treatment, indeed levels of caspase 3, 8 and 9 and PARP cleaved and Bax are higher compared with not treated cells whereas level of Bcl-xL expression decreased. Bmi-1 over expression reverses these EGCG-dependent changes [86] indicating that effects are dependent on PcG proteins. Similarly to DZNep, EGCG reduces the levels of EZH2 and Bmi-1 through a mechanism proteasome-dependent but, in skin cancer cells, the combination of EGCG with DZNep is more effective than each single agent [87]; indicating that the two molecules can also cause responses in different pathways.

The functions of PRC2 are tissue-specific and its expression is strictly regulated during normal development. The main regulators of PRC2 expression are proteins of pRb/E2F pathway; it has been demonstrated that E2F factors are required for the expression of EZH2 and EED in mouse embryonic fibroblasts and ectopic expression of pRb and p16, that are involved in E2F target gene repression, induces transcriptional repression of PRC2 subunits; silencing of pRb, on the contrary, increases their transcription [88-90]. Also c-Myc binds EZH2 promoter and induces transcription through the acetylation of histones H3 and H4 [91]. Furthermore, several miRNA are also involved in EZH2 regulation [92].

It has been discovered that a natural compound isolated from the bark of Polyalthia longifolia, 16-Hydroxy-cleroda-3,13-dien-15,16-olide (PL3), induces apoptosis in leukemia cells [93]. The effects of this compound, already known for its anti-inflammatory activity and for its potential cytotoxicity in breast cancer cells and
hepatocellular carcinoma cells, seem dependent on the repression of EZH2 and Suz12 expression. PL3 treatment reactivates the tumor suppressor targeted by PRC2 while inducing apoptosis\(^{93}\). The concentration required for pro-apoptotic effects in cancer cells is high, therefore additional studies focused in designing novel PL3-derived compound are required to improve the pharmacological properties and decrease the side effects of the molecules. Alternatively, the compound can be used in combination with other drugs to increase the effects at lower concentration\(^{93}\).

Another natural compound called diflourinated-curcumin (CDF), a synthetic derivative of curcumin characterized by natural antitumor activity, is able to decrease EZH2 expression in pancreatic cancer cells. This molecule exhibits a particular mechanism of action, which involves the upregulation of a set of microRNAs (miRNA) such aslet-7a,b,c,d, miR-26a, miR-101, miR-146a, and miR-200b,c, typically downregulated in pancreatic cancer\(^{94}\). Some of these miRNA are, at the same time, target and regulator of EZH2\(^{95}\). Although it has been confirmed that the re-expression of miR-101 is able to significantly decrease the expression of EZH2\(^{96,96}\), however the peculiar ability of CDF to inhibit pancreatic tumor growth remains controversial\(^{94}\). Numerous evidences underscored that miR-101 plays a key role in the regulation of EZH2 in a wide panel of cancer and that miR-101 is linked to EZH2 through a negative mutual feedback loop. Indeed re-expression of miR-101 downregulates EZH2 expression, while the inactivation of EZH2 leads to the upregulation of miR-101\(^{94}\). In hepatocellular carcinoma, EZH2 is a direct target of miR-101, the expression level of this latter is negatively correlated with the protein level of EZH2; in fact, miR-101 is frequently underexpressed. MiR-101 overexpression downregulates EZH2, repressing proliferation, invasion, colony formation and cell cycle progression \textit{in vitro}, while suppresses tumorigenicity \textit{in vivo}\(^{97}\), miR-101 is also able to increase sensitivity to doxorubicin or fluorouracil, improving the activity of chemotherapeutic drugs in liver cancer cells\(^{97}\).

Remarkably, in hepatocellular carcinoma, treatment with 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) promotes miR-101 expression reducing levels of EZH2, EED and H3K27me3. In liver cancer cells, TPA induces G0/G1 cell cycle arrest with a mechanism mediated by PKC and ERK pathways\(^{98}\).

Finally, Sorafenib, a multikinase inhibitor used for the treatment of advanced-stage hepatocellular carcinoma, reduces level of EZH2, accelerating the proteasome-mediated EZH2 degradation. Sorafenib induces cell growth arrest and apoptosis and its effects can be reversed by the overexpression of EZH2. The combination of Sorafenib with DZNep has synergic effects in cell growth arrest and apoptosis and its effects can be evaluated in the future as a new combination therapy for the treatment of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma\(^{99}\).

**SAM analogues**

The development of indirect methods inhibiting EZH2 protein levels could be recognized as a promising strategy for therapy, although it is crucial to consider the potential involvement of other pathways that may lead to a decrease of the specificity and an increase of the side effects.

The specific targeting of EZH2 catalytic domain could prove to be a powerful tool in gene based therapy, which could solve the problems related to the indirect

---

**Table 1 Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 inhibitors classified for Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 inhibition mechanism**

| Mechanism of inhibition | Drug | Level of development | Ref. |
|-------------------------|------|----------------------|------|
| Regulators of EZH2 levels | DZNep | [83,85,87] |
| | ECGG | [86,87] |
| | PL3 | [93] |
| | CDF | [94] |
| | TPA | [98] |
| SAM analogues | Sorafenib | [99] |
| | EPZ005687 | [100] |
| | EI1 | [101] |
| | GSK126 | [102,109] |
| | GSK343 | [103] |
| | GSK926 | [103] |
| | EPZ-6438 | Phase 2 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01897571) | [104,110] |
| | UNC199 | [105,109] |
| | 5-Methoxyquinoline Derivatives | Tanshindiols | [106] |
| | | [107] |
| | | [108] |
| Inhibition of the interaction between EZH2 with other PRC2 subunits | SAH-EZH2 | [111] |
| | Astemizole | [112] |
| Unknown | CPI-1205 | Phase 1 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02395601) | [111] |
| | GSK2816126 | Phase 1 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02882977) | [112] |

**PRC2:** Polycomb-repressive complex 2; EZH2: Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2; PL3: 16-Hydroxycleroda-3,13-dien-15,16-olide; CDF: Diflourinated-curcumin; SAM: S-Adenosyl methionine; DZNep: 3-Deazaneplanocin A; ECGG: (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate; TPA: 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate; SAH-EZH2: Stabilized alpha-helix of EZH2.
mechanisms. Specific molecules, competitors of S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM), have been developed and are able to inhibit methyltransferase activity of EZH2 competing for the binding with the active site of EZH2, without affecting its expression levels.

One of these compounds called EPZ005687 has been designed in 2012 and it specifically inhibits H3K27me3 in lymphoma cells. Specificity for EZH2 is greater than 500-fold compared to a panel of 15 other methyltransferases analyzed, and 50-fold compared to EZH1. Particularly, this inhibitor is able to induce specifically G1 arrest and apoptosis in lymphoma cell lines, carrying point mutations of Y641 and A677 residues within the catalytic domain of EZH2, but it establishes minimal effects on the cell lines containing wild-type EZH2.

In 2012, Novartis developed an EZH2 inhibitor, EI1, which showed a strong selectivity for EZH2 (more than 10000-fold compared to other methyltransferases and about 90-fold compared to EZH1). This inhibitor decreases the global level of H3K27me3 in both EZH2 wild type and mutant lymphoma cell lines; however, it triggers cell cycle arrest and apoptosis specifically in mutant cells.

GSK126, another EZH2 inhibitor discovered in 2012, is more than 1000-fold selective for EZH2 compared to 20 other methyltransferases and more than 150-fold compared to EZH1. This inhibitor has a strong effect in EZH2 mutated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma cell lines and also inhibits the growth of EZH2 mutant large B-cell lymphoma in xenografts models.

Other SAM-competitive inhibitors have been proposed in the last years and their activity has been tested in several tumors, for instance GSK343 and GSK926, EPZ-6438, UNC199, Tanshindiols, 5-Methoxyquinoline Derivatives, Tetramethylpiperidinyl Benzamides, UNC199 is the first orally bio-available inhibitor characterized by having a strong activity in vitro. Although it is an analogue of GSK126, it is less selective for EZH1. Remarkably, EPZ-6438 is recently going through clinical testing for Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas patients.

Inhibition of the interaction between EZH2 with other PRC2 subunits

Interactions with other components as EED or Suz12 are necessary for the canonical activity of EZH2. Another strategy for EZH2 inhibition is to block the interactions between the methyltransferase and other PRC2 subunits.

A peptide called SAH-EZH2 has been designed starting from the alpha-helical domain of EZH2 (aa 40-68), a 27-mer peptide domain responsible for the binding between EZH2 and EED. This peptide, short enough to cross the cellular membranes, is able to disrupt the EED/EZH2 complex and to inhibit H3K27me3 in a dose-dependent manner; moreover, it decreases levels of EZH2 possibly impairing its protein stability. MLL-AF9 leukemia cells treated with SAH-EZH2 underwent cell cycle arrest and monocyte/macrophage differentiation, while were not driven to apoptosis.

Peptides or peptidomimetic inhibitors are generally considered metabolically unstable and have poor bioavailability to be suitable as therapeutic drugs. Recently, it has been identified that Astemizole, a drug previously used in the treatment of seasonal allergic rhinitis, is an inhibitor of the EED/EZH2 protein-protein interaction. Astemizole competes with EZH2 for the binding with EED, destabilizing PRC2 complex and inducing cell cycle arrest in leukemia cells. Interestingly, the combination treatment of SAH-EZH2 or astemizole and various SAM analogues produced a significant synergistic effect on lymphoma cells, indicating that the suppression of catalytic activity and the disruption of PRC2 complex could influence different pathways and a combinatorial drug therapy can be a more effective therapeutic strategy.

Combination of EZH2 inhibitor with other drugs

Chromatin alterations are considered excellent candidates to explain how different factors may increase the risk of cancer, for that reason they represent an important aspect of tumor biology and may constitute good targets for future epigenetic-based therapies. For instance, several histone deacetylase and DNA methyltransferase inhibitors are already under evaluation as potential anticancer drugs, and several clinical trials are underway. Various studies are exploring the possibility to combine the effects of EZH2 inhibitors with other epigenetic drugs in order to develop new strategies for cancer therapy. The combination of 5-AZA-2’-deoxycytidine (5-AZA-CdR), a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor with DZNeP to treat human and murine leukemia cells, revealed similar synergic effects accompanied by a meaningful reduction in clonogenicity. Additionally, microarray analysis showed that the combination therapy increases the expression of more than 150 genes, including CDKN1A and FBXO32. Histone deacetylases are also frequently altered in cancer and can contribute to tumor progression. A synergic interaction was observed with a three-drug combination of trichostatin-A (TSA: A histone deacetylase inhibitor) plus DZNeP and 5-AZA-CdR in acute myeloid leukemia, showing a significant activation of several tumor suppressor genes and inhibition of cell growth and cell survival.

EZH2 inhibitors can be also used in combination with classical chemotherapeutic drugs to solve problems related to side effects (combined treatment consent to reduce concentration of drugs) or resistance of cancer cells often associated to the treatments with the only chemotherapeutic agents. The discovery of heterozygous EZH2 GOF mutants, identified in non-Hodgkin Lymphomas, which proved to be more sensitive to EZH2 inhibitors, led to the hypothesis that combination of classical treatment with PRC2 inhibitors could improve the efficacy of the cancer therapy. Standard Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma therapy is a combination of several drugs, called CHOP (Cyclophosphamide, Hydroxyldaunorubicin, Oncovin, and Prednisone). Studies in vitro and in vivo demonstrated that the combination of EPZ-6438 with...
CHOP increases anti-proliferative benefits compared to treatment with the EZH2 inhibitor alone, and this effect is thought to be mediated by glucocorticoid receptor agonists such as Prednisolone, the active metabolite of Prednisone[110].

Moreover, other studies investigated the inhibition of EZH2 in combination with conventional therapies in prostate cancer. Etoposide, an inhibitor of topoisomerase IIα (Top2a), is used in combination with other drugs in the standard therapy for castrate resistant prostate cancer. Levels of Top2a are higher in aggressive cancer and in these patients EZH2 expression correlates positively with Top2a expression. It has been demonstrated that the combined inhibition of EZH2 and Top2a increases anti-tumor response both in vitro and in vivo models of prostate cancer, indicating that combination therapy can be a new strategy for aggressive prostate cancer[117].

Finally, various studies explored the interplay between Myc and EZH2, both involved in cancer. In Myc-driven prostate cancer, EZH2 directly suppresses interferon-γ receptor 1 (IFNGR1) in a Myc-dependent manner. EZH2 depletion restores the expression of IFNGR1, increasing the sensitivity of these cells to interferon-γ with consequent activation of IFN-JAK-STAT1 tumor-suppressor signaling that leads to apoptosis. Activity of EZH2 in Myc-driven tumors is strictly correlated to Myc amplification. Myc knockdown reduces levels of EZH2 and H3K27me3 at IFNGR1 promoter only in Myc-amplified prostate cell lines; while in Myc-independent tumor growth, IFNGR1 inhibition seems to be related on DNA hypermethylation[118]. This evidence suggested that combination of EZH2 inhibition with interferon-γ could be a specific strategy for Myc-driven prostate tumors and can help to improve the outcome of patients.

Numerous evidences confirm that EZH2 activity is strongly correlated with Myc. Definitely, Myc induce the expression of EZH2, downregulating miR-26a/b[119]; inhibits AKT-dependent phosphorylation of EZH2 at Serine 21 increasing its H3K27me3 activity[120]. Remarkably, Myc recruits EZH2 to miR-26a promoter and cooperatively suppresses miR-26a expression with consequent EZH2 upregulation. EZH2 in turn, inhibits miR-494, a repressor of Myc. Consequently, Myc and EZH2 generate a positive feedback loop to assure persistent high protein levels of both proteins[121]. In several hematological malignancies, Myc expression is inhibited by a BET bromodomain inhibitor, IQ1[122,123]. In B-cell Lymphoma cells, the pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 with DZNep in combination with IQ1 has a synergistic effect in the suppression of cell growth and clonogenicity with a mechanism mediated by miR26a re-expression. The combined inhibition of Myc and EZH2 expression levels could result in an effective therapeutic strategy to successively suppress tumor growth in aggressive B-cell Lymphoma[124].

Synthetic lethality

Synthetic lethality occurs when two mutations result in cell death when acting in combination, but when acting separately they do not have any effect on cell viability[125]. Based on the distinctive genomic features of each tumor, current cancer research focus on finding targets that are able to kill exclusively cancer cells. Synthetic lethality screenings such as Synthetic genetic array, synthetic lethality by microarray, and genetic interaction mapping, are high-throughput methods to identify tumor mutations, or altered pathways that can lead to the synthetic lethality. Consequently, the presence of one of these mutations in cancer cells, but not in normal tissues, can make them ready to be selectively killed by mimicking the effect of the second alteration with targeted therapy[126]. Inhibition of EZH2 is under evaluation as a strategy to induce synthetic lethality. Recent findings have underlined that inactivating mutations in the gene encoding the AT-rich interacting domain containing protein 1A (ARID1A), a SWI/SNF complex subunit, are frequently detected in a large selection of cancers[127,128]. The first evidence, capable of proving a synthetic lethality between EZH2 inhibition and ARID1A mutations, has been reported in ARID1A-deficient ovarian clear cell carcinomas (OCCCs), an aggressive human cancer that commonly develops resistance to treatments[129,130]. ARID1A mutated OCCCs treated with EZH2 inhibitor GSK126 exhibited significantly cell growth arrest and apoptosis, however ARID1A wild type cells are not sensitive to the treatment, even if the reduction of H3K27me3 is comparable[131]. The same data set demonstrated that gene PIK3IP1, an inhibitor of PI3K–AKT signaling, is a direct target of both ARID1A and EZH2. These results suggested a specific implication of the PI3K/AKT signaling in ARID1A-mutated cells. ARID1A-deficient tumors appeared to be addicted to EZH2 activity, and the pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 promoted the upregulation of PIK3IP1 and contributed to the synthetic lethality through the inhibition of the PI3K–AKT pathway. Remarkably, the EZH2 inhibitor GSK126 induced regression of ARID1A-mutated ovarian tumors also in vivo[131]. Similarly, in rhabdoid tumors pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 induced apoptosis in SMARD1 (another subunits of SWI/SNF) mutated cells[104].

CONCLUSION

In the last years many researchers focused their studies on the design of new EZH2 inhibitors and in trying to clarify if EZH2 modulation can be a specific therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment, alone or in combination with other standard drugs or other epigenetics inhibitors. Three EZH2 inhibitors are currently under observation in clinical trials: CPI-1205 in B-Cell Lymphomas (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02395601) E7438 (previously called EPZ-6438) in Advanced Solid Tumors or B Cell Lymphomas (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01897571) and GSK2816126 in Relapsed/Refractory Diffuse Large B Cell and Transformed Follicular Lymphomas (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02082977). These studies will help to clarify if EZH2 inhibition is
a good strategy for cancer treatment. Indeed the importance of polycomb protein during development and differentiation processes can lead to side effect. Data obtained in vitro in breast cancer showed that EZH2 specifically induces cell death in cancer cells but not in normal cells[103]. Moreover, in vivo studies showed that, treatments with some EZH2 inhibitors alone or in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents are well tolerated by mice resulting in minimal toxicity or modest weight loss of 10%[105,117,118].

Nevertheless, the studies described above demonstrated that EZH2 activity can behave differently in distinguishing neoplasms, and a singular inhibitor can be effective in certain kind of cancer but not in others. For instance, it is well known that Top2a inhibitor Etoposide is actually effective in a minority of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. Recent findings validated that in this particular type of cancer, EZH2 inhibition drives differential effects in response to the Top2a inhibitors in vitro and in vivo. BRG1 (LOF) and EGFR (GOF) mutant cancer cells in response to EZH2 inhibition increased Top2a inhibitor sensitivity, thus shrinking and fragmenting into apoptotic bodies. On the contrary, EGFR and BRG1 wild-type NSCLC cells responded to EZH2 inhibition with upregulation of BRG1 and ultimately become more resistant to the Top2a inhibitor[132]. Furthermore, in rhabdomyosarcomas, treatment with EZH2 inhibitors has different effects in embryonic or alveolar subtypes where induces respectively differentiation or apoptosis[133,134].

Another interesting example concerning the selective inhibition of EZH2 was displayed in prostate cancer. It has been shown that in Myc-driven prostate cancer, EZH2 exhibited a significantly Myc-dependent downregulation of IFNGR1. The pharmacological depletion of EZH2 by the inhibitor DZNep restored the expression of IFNGR1 and, when treated in combination of interferon-γ, as discussed previously, provided a remarkable synergic antitumor effect with interferon-γ. Conversely, EZH2 catalytic inhibitors, although they efficiently reduced H3K27me3, failed to mimic EZH2 depletion. Therefore, patients with advanced prostate cancer driven by Myc could have benefits from a therapeutic depletion of EZH2, indicating that the ability of EZH2 to increase the sensitivity of cancer cells to interferon-γ is independent by its catalytic activity[118].

An important point for consideration, in order to understand if a drug is suitable for therapy, concerns taking into account its penetration into the organs. A panel of five EZH2 inhibitors was recently tested to evaluate the affinity with P-glycoprotein (P-gp/ABCB1) and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2) two members of ABC transporter superfamily, both present in blood-brain barrier. All of them were able to bind the transporters, resulting in a limited brain penetration[135].

Another key point to be contemplated concerns the real possibility that cancer cells could develop new mutations, making themselves resistant to EZH2 inhibitors as it was highlighted recently for SAM analogues[136].

Moreover, the role of EZH1 in tumorigenesis is not completely understood and because functions change in different tissues, it will be necessary to evaluate, depending form the tumor, inhibitors that target both EZH1 and EZH2, or drugs that specifically target EZH2 maintaining EZH1 activity.

For all these reasons, further studies are needed to better clarify the different roles of EZH2 in cancer, in addition noteworthy efforts are required in developing new generation of EZH2 inhibitors in order to design specific therapeutic strategies that alone or in combination with classical therapy could provide novel potential clinical approaches aimed at eradicating a wide variety of human cancers.
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