Random-walk model to study cycles emerging from the exploration-exploitation trade-off
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We present a model for a random walk with memory, phenomenologically inspired in a biological system. The walker has the capacity to remember the time of the last visit to each site and the step taken from there. This memory affects the behavior of the walker each time it reaches an already visited site modulating the probability of repeating previous moves. This probability increases with the time elapsed from the last visit. A biological analog of the walker is a frugivore, with the lattice sites representing plants. The memory effect can be associated with the time needed by plants to recover its fruit load. We propose two different strategies, conservative and explorative, as well as intermediate cases, leading to nonintuitive interesting results, such as the emergence of cycles.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.91.012124

PACS number(s): 05.40.Fb, 87.10.Mn

I. INTRODUCTION

The movement of animals in search for food, refugia, or other resources is the subject of active research trying to unveil the mechanisms that give rise to a wide family of related complex patterns. In particular, physicists find in these a fruitful field to explore reaction-diffusion mechanisms [1,2], to apply the formalism of stochastic differential equations [3–5], and to perform simulations based on random walks [6–9].

One of the key aspects of this phenomenon is the feedback interaction between the individual and the environment [10]. These interactions may involve intraspecific and interspecific competitions that, together with previous experience [11,12] and the search for resources, drive the displacement of the individuals. In particular, when animals move around in order to collect food from patches of renewable resources, their trajectories depend strongly on the spatial arrangement of such patches [13]. This observation has motivated a large collection of studies focused on finding optimal search strategies under different assumptions of animal perception and memory [14,15]. A related open question is that of the origin of home ranges, a concept introduced in [16] to characterize the spatial extent of the displacements of an animal during its daily activities. Many species perform bounded explorations around their refugia, even though the available space and resources extend far beyond. There are several hypotheses that try to explain this phenomenon, which could be only an emergent behavior associated with very simple causes [17]. The review by Börger et al. [9] is an exhaustive compilation of the state of the art. There the authors point out that movement models do not always lead to the formation of stationary home ranges. Still, home ranges arise, for example, in biased diffusion [3], in self-attracting walks [18], and in models with memory [19]. Nevertheless, the quest to unveil and characterize the underlying weave of causes and effects behind the emergent patterns is not over. How do these emerge as the result of the interaction between the behavior of an organism and the spatial structure of the environment?

In this context, the venerable symmetrical random walk has been the subject of many studies, with a large collection of applications and characterizations that include aspects beyond the simple walker capable of only uncorrelated short-range steps. Just to focus on what we want to present here, let us restrict the examples to random walks on discrete lattices where the walker can gather information to build up a history. One such case is the self-avoiding walk, where the walker builds up its trajectory by avoiding stepping onto an already visited site [20,21]. A characteristic result corresponds to the walker running into a site with all its neighboring sites already visited and being blocked. The converse case occurs when the walker prefers sites visited earlier.

Previous works have shown that introducing long-range correlations into a random walk may lead to nontrivial effects translated into drastic changes in the asymptotic behavior. The usual diffusive dynamics can evolve into subdiffusive, superdiffusive, or persistent. Such random walks with long-range memory have been extensively studied in recent years [19,22–28].

In [29–31] a behavior that can be interpreted as memory was explored. These works analyze a self-attracting walk where the walker jumps to the nearest neighbor according to a probability that increases when the site has already been visited. A generalization that includes an enhancement of this memory with the frequency of visits, but also with a degradation with time, was proposed in [18].

In this work we propose a random walk with a specific memory that induces local correlations at long times. The rationale for this model is to mimic the movement of a foraging animal, e.g., a frugivore, going from one plant to another in order to feed. We show that the emergence of looped walks, which can be associated with home ranges, can be promoted by very rudimentary capacities of the individual together with a natural dynamics of the environment.

II. MODEL

For a forager the proximity of a plant is not enough to make it attractive for a future visit: The plant must also have a visible and interesting load of fruit. Moreover, when visiting a plant the animal usually takes only part of the available fruit and moves on. After this, the plant needs some time to recover its fruit load. Such a model was analyzed in [17]. We attempt here a further simplification, coding the complex
interaction of memory, consumption, and relaxation in the probabilities defining the random walk from each site of the lattice.

As a first simplification, consider that the animal eats all the available ripe fruit in the visited plant and leaves. Let us say that a walker moving in such a substrate has a memory, allowing it to remember the time of visit to every site and the step taken from there. When revisiting a fruitful plant the animal will consider it a success and repeat the step taken from there, “remembering” its previous visit. When returning to a plant before its recovery the walker takes a random step. This unlimited memory is not necessarily associated with extraordinary skill of the forager. It could be stored in the environment as the state of each plant, whose proximity and fruit load can trigger in the forager the inclination to choose the Heaviside step distribution will be used since, as we will show later, no significant differences were found when using a smooth step function. In such a case, the walks are characterized by two parameters \( \tau \) and \( \rho \).

Our results show the emergence of closed circuits in nontrivial ways. To characterize the behavior of these we analyze both the duration of the transient elapsed until the walker enters the closed circuit and the length of such cycles. The emergence of such circuits is reflected in the fact that during the initial stages the mean square displacement exhibits a diffusive behavior whereas for longer times it reaches a plateau. Such a behavior has been already reported in previous works [23,24] where, due to a feedback coupling between a particle and its environment, it gains experiences with modified surroundings, resulting in a bounded walk.

III. RESULTS

The results presented below correspond to mean values taken over \( 10^3–10^4 \) realizations, on a two-dimensional lattice, large enough to prevent the walker from reaching the borders. The simulations were done for \( 10^5 \) and \( 10^6 \) time steps, showing no significant dependence between them.

One of the most revealing features of any sort of walk, be it random, self-avoiding, self-attracting, etc., is its mean square displacement (MSD). The behavior of the MSD in the present model shows rather interesting features. Figure 1 displays the MSD as a function of time for a range of values of \( \rho \), from 0 to 1, and for \( \tau = 20 \). Recalling that \( \rho \) is the probability that the walker updates the information, stored in its memory, regarding the time of visit to a site and the step taken from there, we associate \( \rho = 1 \) with the exploring behavior and \( \rho = 0 \) with the conservative one. We observe that for \( \rho = 0 \) the behavior is clearly diffusive, while for \( \rho = 1 \) the MSD reaches a plateau, indicating that the walker remains trapped in a bounded region. Contrary to the intuitive guess, this shows that it is the exploring behavior that allows the walker to find closed circuits more easily, while the conservative behavior leads to a diffusive walk. Intermediate values of \( \rho \) generate intermediate behaviors. We have analyzed the model for values of \( \tau \) ranging from 5 to 150, finding analogous results for all of them.
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FIG. 1. (Color online) Mean square displacement vs time for probability \( \rho = 0 \) (black), \( \rho = 1 \) (orange), and intermediate values and corresponding to the recovery time of the plants \( \tau = 20 \). Simulations were performed on a square lattice of 5000 \( \times \) 5000 sites, \( 10^5 \) time steps, and \( 10^5 \) realizations.
These results raise several questions about the dependence of the emergence of cycles on each parameter. Even though all two-dimensional walks (including the case \( \rho = 0 \)) eventually return to a site in a condition that allows the settling of a cycle, the time necessary to fulfill this condition can vary greatly. As a result, after a fixed number of steps only a fraction of the walkers are able to do so. In the following we proceed to characterize the statistical behavior of these walkers by measuring several relevant quantities.

Figure 2 shows a contour diagram representing the fraction of realizations that end in a cycle, as a function of the parameters \( \rho \) and \( \tau \). We observe that this fraction increases both for decreasing \( \tau \) and for increasing \( \rho \). Consistently, mapping this situation to the biological scenario, when plants take too long to recover (large \( \tau \)) or when the foragers are not exploring enough (too small \( \rho \)), there is no formation of home ranges.

Another informative aspect of the walks that needs characterization is the length of the cycles. The concept of a home range is always associated with the measurement of the amount of space utilized. Sometimes it is measured through the utilization distribution [32], which represents the probability of finding an animal in a defined area within its home range. In this case, once the cycle is established, the animal will visit each site within the cycle only once at each turn, so the utilization distribution will be uniformly distributed among the sites within the cycle. Still, we can have an estimation of the amount of used space by measuring the longitude of the cycle. \textit{A priori} we know that \( \tau \) is the greatest lower bound (infimum) for the average cycle length. This average is shown in Fig. 3. We can conclude that the mean length of the cycles is very close to this bound for all parameter sets showing a very weak dependence on \( \rho \) for the largest values of \( \tau \), undoubtedly due to the undersampling arising from the finite simulation runs. Observe, nevertheless, the wedge-shaped region of very conservative walkers that never find a cycle, which grows with the recovering parameter \( \tau \).

Let us now focus on the extreme cases of \( \rho = 0 \) and 1. When \( \rho = 0 \) we find that the behavior is diffusive for all values of \( \tau \) (see Fig. 4), so \( \langle x^2 \rangle = D(\tau) t \). As shown in Fig. 5, \( D(\tau) \) depends on \( \tau \) approaching 1 from below as \( \tau \) increases. On the other hand, perfect explorers, those with \( \rho = 1 \), always find a cycle. We have found that the average length of the transient depends quadratically on \( \tau \).

As can be seen in Fig. 4, the transient regime is longer as the value of \( \tau \) is larger, i.e., for short recovery times, the walker finds a cycle easier (and faster). If \( \tau \) is very large, it may happen that the walker returns successive times to the same site earlier than \( \tau \) and randomly choose the next step, losing the possibility of repeating the last steps and thus entering a cycle.

Observe that the exploring walker is the one that continuously updates the stored information. An intuitive guess of
the resulting dynamics, analyzed in terms of the intensity of exploring activity of the individual, may lead us to think that such a walker would have a higher difficulty in establishing a walking pattern and finding a closed circuit. Also, for those who maintain the stored information (the conservative walkers), finding an optimal closed circuit would be a relatively simple task. However, our results show that this intuition is wrong.

Relevant insight into the mechanisms that give rise to the observed behavior of the forager walk can be obtained from well known results of conventional random walks. A random walk in one and two dimensions is recurrent, i.e., the probability that the walker eventually returns to the starting site is 1. (In higher dimensions, the random walk is transient, the former probability being less than 1 [33].) So, in principle, for any value of \( \tau \) and \( \rho = 0 \) the forager walk eventually ends up in a cycle. However, this asymptotic behavior of the system may not be the most relevant one in many contexts. In the biological scenario, for example, one would be interested in the possibility of finding cycles in relatively short times.

Our results can be explained by considering the so-called Pólya problem or first return time. The probability that a simple random walk in one dimension returns for the first time to a given site after \( 2n \) steps is

\[
\frac{2n}{n} \frac{1}{(2n-1)2^n}.
\]  

In two dimensions the probability that a simple random walk returns to a given site after \( 2n \) steps is the square of the previous probability [33] as a simple random walk in two dimensions can be projected into two independent one-dimensional walks on the \( x \) and \( y \) axes. The probability given by Eq. (1) asymptotically decays as \( n^{-3/2} \), indicating that returning to the initial site is increasingly improbable with elapsed time. The forager walk can be interpreted in the following way. Until the moment that the walker gets trapped in a cycle, it performs a random walk. Afterward, the behavior is deterministic. That very moment corresponds to the first time a cycle is completed, so it is a return to the initial step of the cycle after \( \tau_c \) time steps, where \( \tau_c \) is the period of the cycle of an individual realization for a given choice of \( \tau \). Let us assume that the transient walk executed up to this first return can be used to estimate a probability analogous to Eq. (1). We can do this from the length of the transient and the fraction of realizations that successfully ended in a cycle. The transient can be thought of as consisting of successive realizations of walks of length \( \tau_c \) that were \textit{not} successful in returning to the starting point. We have verified this algebraic dependence.

The immediate question about the validity of the present results for higher dimensions can be answered by invoking the recurrence theorem presented by Pólya [34], which shows that a random walk is recurrent in one- and two-dimensional lattices and that it is transient for lattices with more than two dimensions. The emergence of home ranges as presented in this work is strongly dependent of the probability of eventual returns to already visited places. Thus, for dimensions higher than 2 the expected cycle lengths will be longer and their very existence less probable, as can be deduced from the calculated probabilities of returns to the origin in these cases [35].

In addition, the fact that increasing \( \rho \) produces an increase in the probability of finding a cycle can be understood in the following way. The probability of returning to a given site decreases as the walker moves away. When \( \rho \) is small the walker can move increasingly farther away from the stored site, making it rather difficult to return to it and enter a cycle. When \( \rho \) is high the foraging walker constantly updates its memory, in a way that it is always relatively close to the most recently stored site. This increases the probability of returning to it and triggering a cycle.

For completeness, we include a plot showing results based on the use of a smoother distribution. The smooth step depends on two parameters \( \tau \) and \( w \). The limit \( w \to \infty \) tends to a Heaviside step function at \( t = \tau \). Figure 6 displays the behavior of the walk for three values of \( \omega \) (10, 2, and 0.5), exemplifying the typical behaviors for a fixed value of \( \tau = 20 \). The MSDs are averages over 1000 realizations. The black curve corresponds to \( \omega = 10 \), which is very similar to a step
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**FIG. 5.** Diffusion coefficient of the \( \rho = 0 \) case (slope of the average MSD curves for each value of \( \tau \)). Forty uniformly distributed values of \( \tau \) were considered between 5 and 200.
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**FIG. 6.** (Color online) Mean square displacement vs time considering a smooth function for the probability to repeat the step taken in the previous visit. Here \( \omega = 10 \) (black), \( \omega = 2 \) (red), and \( \omega = 0.5 \) (blue) and \( \tau = 20 \). Simulations were performed on a square lattice of 5000 \( \times \) 5000 sites, \( 10^5 \) time steps, and \( 10^3 \) realizations. The inset shows the functional expression and shape of the probability distribution.
and gives an MSD almost identical to the one shown in Fig. 1, with $\rho = 1$ (orange curve). While smoother curves tend to plateaus at higher values, no qualitatively differences are observed in the behavior.

IV. CONCLUSION

An important aspect related to animal movement is the effect that spatial heterogeneities have on the observed patterns. When the spatial heterogeneity is manifested through the distribution of resources, the link between resource dynamics and random-walk models might be the key to answer many open questions about the emergence of home ranges. Another route to explore this problem is by accounting for learning abilities and spatial memory [36,37].

The formation of a home range has previously been investigated with models in which a single individual displays both an avoidance response to recently visited sites and an attractive response toward places that have been visited sometime in the past [15,38]. An animal searching for food would choose its movements based not only on its internal state and the instantaneous perception of the environment, but also on acquired knowledge and experience. Animals use their memory to infer the current state of areas not previously visited. This memory is built up by collecting information remembered from previous visits to neighboring locations [39].

Although the emergence of home ranges is crucial in understanding the patterns arising from animal movement, there are few mechanistic models that reproduce this phenomenon. Traditional random walks, widely used to describe animal movement, show a diffusive behavior far from displaying a bounded home range. However, the addition of memory capacity has proven to predict bounded walks [19,23,24]. Home ranges also arise in biased diffusion [3] and in self-attracting walks [18]. The interesting aspect of the results presented here is that they not only reveal the nontrivial behavior of the so-called frugivore walk, but also contribute to a deeper understanding of the causes underlying the constitution of home ranges as an emergent phenomenon, among which we highlight the foraging strategy. By considering a minimal model we have shown that a walker with rudimentary learning abilities, together with the feedback from a dynamic substrate, gives rise to an optimal foraging activity in terms of the usage of the spatial resource. Indeed, neither a foraging strategy based just on diffusion (a random walk without memory) nor a walk strongly determined by memory (like our conservative walker) is optimal. A better strategy is one that combines the use of memory with an exploratory behavior, such as our explorative walker.

There is evidence supporting that precisely this combined strategy may be the one favored by evolutionary mechanisms [40,41]. Foraging activity must balance between exploration and exploitation: On the one hand, exploring the environment is crucial to finding and learning about the distributed resources; on the other hand, exploitation of known resources is energetically optimal. Indeed, this trade-off is a central thesis in current studies of foraging ecology, as it is apparent, for example, in the thorough work by Bell [42] and in Lévy flight models [6]. The simple mechanism analyzed here contributes with theoretical support to these ideas. We have shown that the balance between exploration and exploitation not only provides an optimal use of resources, but may also be responsible for the emergence of a home range. The balance between exploration and exploitation appears as the route to successful foraging.
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