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Mycobacteria are essential components of the mycobacterial cell envelope, and their biosynthetic pathway is one of the targets of first-line antituberculous drugs. This pathway contains a number of potential targets, including some that have been identified only recently and have yet to be explored. One such target, FadD32, is required for activation of the long meromycolic chain and is essential for mycobacterial growth. We report here an in-depth biochemical, biophysical, and structural characterization of four FadD32 orthologs, including the very homologous enzymes from Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Mycobacterium marinum. Determination of the structures of two complexes with alkyl adenylate inhibitors has provided direct information, with unprecedented detail, about the active site of the enzyme and the associated hydrophobic tunnel, shedding new light on structure-function relationships and inhibition mechanisms by alkyl adenylates and diarylated coumarins. This work should pave the way for the rational design of inhibitors of FadD32, a highly promising drug target.

The structural hallmark of the causal agent of tuberculosis (TB), Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and other mycobacteria is their characteristic cell envelope (1), which has a much higher lipid content than the envelopes of other Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (2). The considerable diversity of lipids present contributes to the unique nature and structural complexity of the mycobacterial cell envelope but also underlies key features of mycobacterial physiology. These lipids also play an important role in virulence, pathogenicity, and resistance to antibiotics (3) and in the control of inflammation and immune mechanisms (4). Mycolic acids, 2-alkyl, 3-hydroxy long-chain fatty acids, are major specific components of the mycobacterial cell envelope (5). They may be linked to arabinogalactan or form esters of trehalose or glyceral in the so-called “mycomembrane,” which plays a crucial role in establishing the architecture and impermeability of the cell envelope. Mycolic acids are essential for the viability of mycobacteria, and their biosynthetic pathway is a proven target for anti-mycobacterial drugs that continues to attract considerable attention from the community working on TB (5). The mycolic acid biosynthesis is complex. Briefly, two fatty acid synthases are involved in the biosynthesis of long fatty acids, yielding the α-alkyl (C24–C26) branch on the one hand and, after further modifications, the so-called meromycolic chain (C42–C62), on the other hand. These fatty acids are activated before condensation can take place, finally leading, after reduction, to the characteristic mycolate chain through the formation of acyl-AMP (9). The fadD32 gene is adjacent to pks13 and accD4 and is essential for mycobacterial viability (6, 9). It belongs to a large family of fadD genes in the M. tuberculosis genome (10). The corresponding FadD fatty acid degradation proteins in M. tuberculosis are of two types, 12 fatty acyl-AMP ligases (FAALs) and 22 fatty acyl-CoA ligases (FACLs) (11). FAALs and FACLs are involved in fatty acid activation and use ATP to produce common acyl adenylate intermediates. However, FACLs catalyze a second reaction in which acyl chains are transferred to coenzyme A (CoA), whereas FAALs transfer the activated acyl chains onto the acyl carrier protein (ACP) domains of their cognate polyketide synthase. The FAAL activity of FadD32 and the FadD32-assisted transfer of fatty acids to the N-terminal ACP domain of Pks13, defining its fatty acyl-ACP synthetase (FAAS) activity, have been demonstrated biochemically (12, 13). FACLs, FAALs, and other acyl-activating enzymes, such as the adenylate domains of non-ribosomal peptide synthetases, belong to the superfa-
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Family of adenylate-forming enzymes (AFEs) (14). The *M. tuberculosis* genome encodes more than 60 AFEs involved in numerous essential biochemical processes, which therefore constitute attractive targets for the development of new antituberculous drugs (15). FadD32 has been identified as an important susceptible (16) and potentially druggable (13, 17, 18) target. We report here the full biochemical and biophysical characterization of four mycobacterial FadD32 enzymes. We also show the first crystal structures of FadD32 from *Mycobacterium marinum* and *Mycobacterium smegmatis* in complex with long-chain alkyl adenylate substrate analogs. Based on its high level of sequence identity, FadD32 from *M. marinum* is an ideal surrogate for the *M. tuberculosis* enzyme and should be a useful tool for the rational design of inhibitors.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Plasmids**—The cloning of the *fadD32* genes from *M. tuberculosis*, *M. smegmatis*, and *Corynebacterium glutamicum* has been described elsewhere (13, 17). The *M. marinum fadD32* gene was cloned according to published procedures, by PCR amplification from *MYCM53* total DNA with the following primers: *MmfadD32, 5′ CTTCGATATGATGCGTACCAC-3′* and *MmfadD32, 3′ AGCTCATATGCTTGTCGGCGTGCGTCCTC*- ACAACCCTTTC; *MmfadD32, 3′ AGCTCATATGCTTGTCGGCGTGCGTCCTC*.

**Protein Production and Puriﬁcation**—We transformed competent *Escherichia coli* BL21 Star (DE3) One Shot (Invitrogen) with pET15b-*fadD32* constructs for the production of full-length FadD32 proteins. Expression was induced with auto-inducible medium, as described by Studier (19). The transformed cells were first grown overnight in Luria Broth medium supplemented with 50 μg/ml carbenicillin at 37 °C and then diluted in auto-induction medium. Cells cultured for 72 h at 20 °C were harvested by centrifugation (3,000 × g for 15 min) at 4 °C, washed in 50 mM HEPES, 200 mM NaCl, pH 7.5. The pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer consisting of 50 mM HEPES, 10% glycerol (v/v), 30 mM imidazole, 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, 0.75 mg/ml lysozyme, and 2 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, Sigma) and frozen at −80 °C. The frozen bacterial pellets were thawed at room temperature, disrupted by sonication (four intermittent pulses of 30 s) on a VibraCell (Fisher Bioblock Scientific, Illkirch, France), and centrifuged at 80 °C for 30 min at 15,000 cat. The clarified lysates were loaded onto a HisTrap HP (1 ml) affinity column (GE Healthcare). Recombinant FadD32 proteins were eluted in 150 mM imidazole in 50 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.5. Whenever appropriate, the 20-residue-long His tags of the affinity-puriﬁed FadD32 were removed by thrombin cleavage (Novagen), as follows. The protein solution was diluted 5-fold to decrease the imidazole concentration to 30 mM, concentrated on a Vivaspin 20 column (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) to obtain an optical density of 1.0, and then subjected to cleavage by incubation with 0.28 units/ml thrombin for 3 h at room temperature. The cleaved proteins were then reloaded onto the HisTrap HP affinity column to eliminate the uncleaved fractions. The protein-containing flow-through fractions were concentrated to an optical density of 3.0 and purified by size exclusion chromatography on a HighLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 pg column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 50 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, 0.2 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl) benzenesulfonyl fluoride (Sigma). The purified proteins were checked by SDS-PAGE with Coomassie Blue staining and were then concentrated to the desired concentrations. Samples used for kinetic experiments were stored at −20 °C in 50% glycerol. Samples used for biophysical studies were stored at −80 °C without glycerol. Crystallization was attempted only with freshly prepared proteins.

**Kinetic and Inhibition Experiments**—FadD32 enzyme activity was measured as described previously (17). Briefly, the pyrophosphate (P,) released during the reaction was hydrolyzed in a pyrophosphatase-coupled reaction, and the resulting inorganic phosphate (P,) was quantiﬁed with the colorimetric PiColorLock™ gold assay kit (Innova Biosciences, Cambridge, UK), by reading the absorbance at 630 nm (A) resulting from the formation of the phosphomolybdate complex. Reactions were conducted at room temperature, in 30 μl of assay mix containing 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 8 mM MgCl, 0.001% Brij®, 1 mM DTT, 2 milliunits/ml pyrophosphatase (Sigma), 1–2 mM ATP, and 20–200 μM fatty acid (as indicated). Reactions were initiated by adding 15 μl of FadD32 diluted in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, to 15 μl of 2× assay mix. The reaction was stopped after 40–60 min by adding 30 μl of cold reaction buffer and 15 μl of malachite green reagent. The A was read after 5 min of incubation at room temperature in a CLARIOstar plate reader (BMG LABTECH, Ortenberg, Germany). A reaction without enzyme (for specific activity experiments), or without substrate (for K and V max determinations), was used as a blank in each experiment. The concentration of P was determined from a calibration curve plotted with known concentrations of P, from 10 to 80 μM in each experiment, in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations.

For specific activity experiments (Table 1), the enzyme was first subjected to serial dilution in HEPES pH 7.5 (with protein concentrations of 12.5 to 800 nM for MsFadD32, MmFadD32, and CgFadD32 and from 2.5 to 160 nM for MsFadD32) and added to the reaction mixture containing 20 mM lauric acid (C12) as substrate and 2 mM ATP. We determined the apparent kinetic parameters (K, V max, and k cat) by measuring the initial velocity (V, in μM formed PPi per min) as a function of the substrate concentration studied and at fixed concentrations of substrate with an incubation time of 40–60 min. The kinetic parameters for ATP were determined by measuring the initial velocity at a fixed concentration of lauric acid (200 μM) and various concentrations of ATP (0.0625 to 4 mM); the enzyme concentrations used were 0.4 μM for MsFadD32 and MmFadD32, 0.04 μM for MsFadD32, and 2 μM for CgFadD32. Kinetic parameters for fatty acids (lauric acid or myristic acid) were determined at a fixed concentration of ATP (4 mM), with various concentrations of fatty acid (3.1–200 μM); the enzyme concentrations used were 0.4 μM for MsFadD32 and MmFadD32 and 0.04 μM for MsFadD32. The saturation curves were ﬁtted by non-linear regression analysis with a Graphpad Prism 4.02 equation: V = V max × [S]/(K + [S]), where V is the initial velocity; V max is the maximal velocity; [S] is the substrate concentration, and K is the Michaelis-Menten constant. The catalytic constant (k cat) reflects the maximum rate of product
Characterization of Mycobacterial FadD32 Enzymes

formulation and was calculated by dividing $V_{\text{max}}$ by the total enzyme concentration $[E_T]$: $k_{\text{cat}} = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{[E_T]}$.

For inhibition experiments, the concentrations of ATP and lauric acid were adjusted to 1.6 mM and 100 $\mu$M, respectively. The alkyl adenylate substrate analogs AMPC12 and AMPC20, chemically synthesized as described previously (13), were first diluted in DMSO, and the substrate mixture was added to various concentrations of the compounds (0.03 to 31.6 $\mu$M). The reactions were started by adding the enzyme (0.4 $\mu$M for MtFadD32 and MmFadD32 and 0.04 $\mu$M for MsFadD32). They were performed for 60 min at room temperature, in quadruplicate. The results are expressed as the percent inhibition. Curve fitting and IC$_{50}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) calculations were performed with the dose-response curve as the model equation: $Y = \text{bottom} + (\text{top} - \text{bottom})/(1 + 10^\left((\log\text{IC}_{50} - X) \times \text{Hill slope}\right))$ (GraphPad Prism 4.02 Software, San Diego), where top and bottom are plateaus in the units of the y axis (maximal and minimal inhibition, respectively), and Hill slope describes the steepness of the family of curves (a Hill slope of a constant value of +1.0 was applied).

Differential Scanning Fluorimetry (DSF)—DSF was used to characterize the thermal stability of the enzyme in various buffer and pH conditions and in the presence of AMPC12. A mixture of enzyme (4 $\mu$M), SYPRO Orange (5 $\times$) (Invitrogen), the appropriate buffer at a concentration of 100 mM, and 500 mM NaCl was subjected to a temperature gradient from 25 to 80 °C, with increments of 0.3 °C. All measurements were performed in triplicate, in 96-well plates (Bio-Rad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France). Thermal transitions were monitored with a real time PCR CFX96 System (Bio-Rad). The melting points ($T_m$) were identified by the inflection points of the curves in relative fluorescence units ($f(T)$). For DSF experiments in the presence of AMPC12, the final concentration of alkyl adenylate was 20 $\mu$M.

Microscale Thermophoresis (MST)—For MST measurements, FadD32 orthologs at a concentration of 20 $\mu$M were labeled with the RED fluorescent dye NT-647. Labeling and the removal of free dye were performed within 45 min. We then titrated 200 nM NT-647-labeled FadD32 protein against various amounts of AMPC12 or AMPC20 (9 nM to 270 $\mu$M) in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20, 10% DMSO. The samples were incubated at room temperature for 5 min and then loaded into hydrophilic glass capillaries for MST analysis with Monolith NT.115 (NanoTemper Technologies GmbH, Germany). We monitored the thermophoretic movement of labeled FadD32. Dissociation constants ($K_{d}$) and associated errors were calculated with NanoTemper software.

Size Exclusion Chromatography and Multiangle Static Light Scattering—FadD32 protein samples were buffered in 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT. We loaded 20 $\mu$L of protein sample at a final concentration of 40 $\mu$M (2.8 mg/ml) onto a Shodex KW402.5-4F column (Wyatt Technology, France) equilibrated with a filter-sterilized (passed through a filter with 0.1-$\mu$m pores) buffer consisting of 150 mM sodium phosphate at pH 7.0, in an Agilent 1260 Infinity LC chromatographic system (Agilent Technology). Separation was performed at 15 °C, with a flow rate of 0.35 ml/min $^{-1}$. Data were collected on a DAWN HELEOS 8+ (8-angle) and Optilab T-rEX refractive index detector (Wyatt Technology, Toulouse France). Results were analyzed with ASTRA 6.0.2.9 software (Wyatt Technology Corp.).

Crystallization—Purified untagged MmFadD32 and MsFadD32 proteins were concentrated in 50 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, to 72 $\mu$M (5.4 mg/ml) and 153 $\mu$M (11 mg/ml), respectively. AMPC12 and AMPC20 solubilized in DMSO were mixed with proteins at a molar ratio of 3:1, with final concentrations in DMSO of 3 and 8%, respectively. MmFadD32-AMPC12 crystals were obtained by mixing equal volumes of the protein/inhibitor solution and a reservoir solution composed of 28% PEG 6000, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.7. In these conditions, triangular crystals, typically measuring 100 $\times$ 100 $\times$ 40 $\mu$m, were obtained in seeding experiments with a single spontaneously crystallized drop. These crystals displayed diffraction to a resolution of 2.5 Å with a synchrotron radiation beam. They belonged to space group $P2_12_12_1$, with two molecules per asymmetric unit and 55% solvent. Crystals of MsFadD32 with either AMPC12 or AMPC20 were grown by mixing equal volumes of protein/inhibitor solutions and reservoir solution composed of PEG 1000, in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.2 to 8.7. These crystals were ~100 $\times$ 100 $\times$ 50 $\mu$m in size and displayed diffraction to a maximum resolution of 3.3 Å with synchrotron beams. They belonged to space group $P4_1$, with eight molecules per asymmetric unit and 50% solvent. All crystals were cryoprotected by soaking for 2 min in reservoir solution supplemented with 10% glycerol (w/v), frozen under a cryogenic nitrogen stream, and stored in liquid nitrogen before data collection at 100 K.

Data Collection and Structure Determination—Data for MmFadD32-AMPC12 were obtained with ESRF beamline ID14-1, to a resolution of 2.5 Å. Data sets corresponding to MsFadD32 with AMPC12 and AMPC20 were obtained with ESRF beamline ID23-2, at 3.5 Å resolution. X-ray images were processed with Mosflm (20), and diffraction intensities were scaled with SCALA (21) from the CCP4 software package (22).

The structure of the MmFadD32 protein was solved with the Balbex molecular replacement server (23). Two molecules were found in the asymmetric unit, when the structure of the FAAL from Legionella pneumophila was used (24) (PDB code 3KXX). The Q factor for this model was 0.637, and final refinement with Balbex gave $R_{\text{work}}$ and $R_{\text{free}}$ values of 0.415 and 0.452, respectively. After removal of the C-terminal domain, this model was subjected to several cycles of automatic building and refinement with Buccaneer (25), in which 90% of the protein (i.e. 575 residues) could be traced, giving $R_{\text{work}}$ and $R_{\text{free}}$ values of 0.29 and 0.33, respectively. Models were then constructed with the graphics program Coot (26), and refinement was carried out with Buster software (27) and PHENIX (28). String files for AMPC12 and AMPC20 were generated from Open Babel (29), and geometric restraints were generated from grade (30). The refined model corresponds to $R_{\text{work}}$ and $R_{\text{free}}$ values of 0.185 and 0.238, respectively. This model contains 610 of the 632 amino acids found in the sequence of the untagged protein, for both molecules A and B of the asymmetric unit. The 44 missing residues had poorly defined electron densities and were located in the N and C termini and in loops exposed to solvent. The side chains of 33 residues with a low electron density or no electron
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TABLE 1
Specific activity and kinetic parameters of FadD32 orthologs

| Protein | MfFadD32 | MmFadD32 | MsFadD32 | CgFadD32 |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Identity (%) | 100 | 92 | 74 | 39 |
| Specific activity (nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹) | 5.37 ± 0.16 | 3.85 ± 0.12 | 39.91 ± 0.73 | 0.46 ± 0.03 |

Kcat / Ki values

| Protein | MfFadD32 | MmFadD32 | MsFadD32 | CgFadD32 |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Lauric acid (C12) | | | | |
| Kcat (μM) | 248 ± 27 | 902 ± 114 | 112 ± 12 | 25.9 ± 1.6
| Vmax (μM min⁻¹) | 0.14 ± 0.01 | 0.29 ± 0.01 | 0.17 ± 0.01 | 0.45 ± 0.01 |
| Kcat (min⁻¹) | 0.35 ± 0.01 | 0.72 ± 0.03 | 4.33 ± 0.01 | 11.29 ± 0.22 |
| Kcat/Ki (min⁻¹ μM⁻¹) | 0.0014 ± 0.0003 | 0.0008 ± 0.0003 | 0.0387 ± 0.0063 | |

| Protein | MfFadD32 | MmFadD32 | MsFadD32 | CgFadD32 |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Myristic acid (C14) | | | | |
| Kcat (μM) | 72.09 ± 5.75 | 103.2 ± 13.94 | 25.9 ± 1.6 |
| Vmax (μM min⁻¹) | 0.82 ± 0.03 | 0.60 ± 0.39 | 0.45 ± 0.01 |
| Kcat (min⁻¹) | 2.04 ± 0.07 | 1.51 ± 0.09 | 11.29 ± 0.22 |
| Kcat/Ki (min⁻¹ μM⁻¹) | 0.028 ± 0.012 | 0.015 ± 0.007 | 0.438 ± 0.139 |

When the sample was directly injected in the capillary, a sample volume of 40 μl was used, and a total of 75 frames of 0.5 s each were recorded. In HPLC mode, SAXS data were collected throughout the whole elution time, with a frame time of 1 s. Frames corresponding to the elution peak were checked for the stability of the associated radius of gyration, and the resulting selection of curves was averaged. Data were reduced with the custom-built Foxtrot application and analyzed with the ATSAS suite (38). Theoretical scattering curves corresponding to crystal structures were calculated with CRYSTAL software (39), with a solvent density of 0.34 eÅ⁻³ to take the salt contribution into account.

The atomic coordinates and structure factors (codes 5EY8 and 5EY9) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ.

Results

Biochemical and Enzymatic Characterization of Mycobacterial FadD32 Enzymes—We previously described the biochemical characterization of FadD32 from M. tuberculosis (MtFadD32) (13), and the use of the orthologous enzymes from M. smegmatis (MsFadD32, 74% sequence identity) and C. glutamicum (CgFadD32, 39% sequence identity) for comparative studies and the development of a high throughput screening assay for FadD32 activity (17). In this study, the FadD32 enzyme from M. marinus (MmFadD32), which displays a much higher degree of sequence identity (92%) to the M. tuberculosis enzyme, was used as a third surrogate. The four FadD32 proteins were produced and purified according to improved versions of published protocols (see under “Experimental Procedures”). The activity of the purified FadD32 proteins was then determined with our published FadD32-pyrophosphatase coupled assay (17), and their ability to release PPi, using lauric acid as a substrate, was compared (Table 1 and Fig. 1). MsFadD32 had the highest specific activity, as reported previously (17). However, we found the difference in specific activity between MsFadD32 and MtFadD32 to be much smaller than previously reported (factor of 7 here versus 75 in Ref. 17, and corrigendum 2014). This smaller difference reflects improvements in density at all were truncated to Cβ atoms. In total, seven glycerol molecules and 538 water molecules were positioned in the electron density map. The structure of MmFadD32-AMPc20 was used to solve the structure of MsFadD32 in complex with AMPc20, by molecular replacement with PHASER software (31). Structure refinement led to final Rwork and Rfree values of 0.223 and 0.286, respectively. Eight molecules of MsFadD32 were found in the asymmetric unit, and the refined structures contained 592–606 of the 630 amino acids present in the sequence of the untagged protein. In addition, a large number of side chains could not be traced because they had little or no electron density, and it was not possible to add solvent molecules due to the limited resolution. All structures were checked with PROCHECK (32) and during the PDB deposition process were analyzed with PROMOTIF (33), as implemented on the EMBL-EBI server, and visualized with PyMOL (34). The sequence alignment was generated with ESPript 3 (35). Protein structure databases were searched, and structures were superimposed with Dali software (36, 37).

Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) Experiments—MsFadD32 and CgFadD32 in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl were concentrated to about 5 mg ml⁻¹ (i.e. about 70 and 92 μM, respectively). AMPc12 in DMSO was mixed with proteins at a molar ratio of 2:1, with a final DMSO concentration of 2–3%. All buffers used for SAXS experiments were either collected from the gel filtration column used for purification, after the equilibration step, or by overnight dialysis, to ensure buffer matching. We supplemented 50-μl protein samples with 2 mM DTT and centrifuged them for 10 min at 10,000 rpm to eliminate all aggregates before x-ray analysis. Concentrations were checked by measuring UV absorption at λ = 280 nm on a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer. SAXS experiments were conducted on the SWING beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron, Gif-sur-Yvette, France (λ = 1.033 Å). The detector was positioned to collect data with an exploitable Q-range of 0.008–0.4 Å⁻¹, where Q = 4sinθ/λ, with a scattering angle of 2θ. Samples obtained directly or from a Bio SEC-3 (300 Å pore size) HPLC column (Agilent) were injected into the SAXS flow-through capillary cell at a flow rate of 0.05 and 0.2 ml min⁻¹, respectively, and a temperature of 15 °C.
MtFadD32 activity, probably due to optimization of the purification protocol and the assay conditions used. Despite the higher purification yield and thermal stability (Fig. 2), the specific activity of CgFadD32 was only about one-twelfth that of the M. tuberculosis enzyme, precluding further kinetic and inhibition studies (Table 1). The FadD32 enzymes from M. tuberculosis and M. marinum had equivalent specific activities and affinities for fatty acid substrates (Table 1). This, and the very high sequence identity of these two proteins, highlights the relevance of MmFadD32 as a surrogate for the M. tuberculosis protein.

Inhibition of FadD32 Activity—We also previously reported the inhibition of MtFadD32 and MsFadD32 activity by the alkyl adenylate substrate analog AMPC12 (dodecyl-AMP) (13, 17). Here, we tested AMPC12 and the longer AMPC20 (eicosyl-AMP). We first investigated the ability of AMPC12 to induce a shift in the melting temperature ($T_m$) of the proteins, by DSF under various pH conditions (Fig. 2). The addition of AMPC12 to all proteins except CgFadD32 led to a significant thermal shift ($\Delta T_m$). For instance, at pH 7.5, the optimum pH for the M. tuberculosis enzyme, we obtained $\Delta T_m$ values of 7.7 °C for MtFadD32, 8.6 °C for MmFadD32, and 6.0 °C for MsFadD32. These positive shifts indicated that the inhibitor bound the proteins, with this interaction probably stabilizing FadD32. Furthermore, AMPC12 and AMPC20 inhibited the three orthologs in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3). The calculated half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC$_{50}$) of AMPC12 for the three orthologs were in the same range (1.5–2.75 μM), whereas the IC$_{50}$ values for AMPC20 were lower by a factor of 2–3, suggesting stronger inhibition (Table 2), consistent with the long-chain substrate selectivity of FadD32 (Table 1) (13). Further characterization of the interaction between...
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FadD32 and AMPC12 by MST yielded dissociation constants \( K_D \) of 0.4 to 3.9 \( \mu \text{M} \) (Table 2), consistent with the IC\(_{50} \) values. The \( K_D \) measurement by MST performed for \( Ms \)FadD32 and AMPC20 gave a value of 0.24 \( \pm \) 0.01 \( \mu \text{M} \), in line with stronger binding and inhibition by longer substrates.

Overall Three-dimensional Structure of FadD32—Despite numerous attempts to crystallize apoenzymes and enzymes in the presence of substrate analogs, we were unable to obtain crystals of \( Cg \)FadD32, and those obtained for \( Mt \)FadD32 displayed only weak low resolution diffraction, making structural determination impossible. By contrast, crystals of \( Ms \)FadD32 were obtained in the presence of AMPC12 or AMPC20, and the structure of the complex with AMPC20 was resolved at low resolution \( (i.e. 3.5 \text{ Å}) \). The structure of \( Mm \)FadD32-AMPC12 was determined at a much higher resolution \( (i.e. 2.5 \text{ Å}) \) and will be used here as a reference (Table 3). Two molecules, denoted A and B, were present in the asymmetric unit of the orthorhombic \( Mm \)FadD32 crystals. However, both crystal packing analysis and size exclusion chromatography multiangle static light scattering (Fig. 4) clearly indicated that the biologically active FadD32 unit was a monomer. This was confirmed by PISA calculations (40), which revealed no specific interactions likely to result in the formation of stable higher quaternary structures. The superimposition of molecules A and B yielded an r.m.s.d. value of 0.5 Å for 607 C\(_{\text{ar}} \) atoms. Crystals of \( Ms \)FadD32 in complex with either AMPC12 or AMPC20 belonged to the \( P_4 \) tetragonal space group, and their asymmetric unit contained eight molecules. Consistent with the low resolution of diffraction, the corresponding structures lacked several residues and had many incomplete side chains, and only the structure in the presence of AMPC20 could be determined satisfactorily (Table 3). C\(_{\text{ar}} \)-based pairwise comparison of the molecules constituting the asymmetric unit of \( Ms \)FadD32 yielded r.m.s.d. values of 0.5 to 0.9 Å. Superimposition of the \( Mm \)FadD32 and

---

### Table 2

| Protein         | AMPC12 \( K_D \) (\( \mu \text{M} \)) | IC\(_{50} \) (\( \mu \text{M} \)) | AMPC20 IC\(_{50} \) (\( \mu \text{M} \)) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| \( Mt \)FadD32  | 2.70 ± 0.36                          | 1.93                          | 0.92                              |
| \( Mm \)FadD32  | 3.87 ± 0.25                          | 2.75                          | 1.35                              |
| \( Ms \)FadD32  | 0.42 ± 0.03                          | 1.50                          | 0.49                              |
| \( Cg \)FadD32  | No binding                           | -                            | -                                 |

* Data were determined by microscale thermophoresis.

### Table 3

| Data collection                                      | \( Mm \)FadD32-AMPC12 | \( Ms \)FadD32-AMPC20 |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| PDB code                                             | 5EY9                   | 5EY8                  |
| Beam line                                            | ID14-1                 | ID23-2                |
| Space group                                          | \( P_2 \), 2, 2, 2     | \( P_4 \)              |
| Unit cell \( a, b, c \) (Å)                          | 71.79, 100.15, 212.32  | 164.04, 164.04, 231.53 |
| Unit cell \( a, b, c \) (\( ° \))                     | 90, 90, 90             | 90, 90, 90            |
| Resolution \( \text{Å} \)                             | 2.50 (2.64–2.50)*      | 3.50 (3.69–3.50)      |
| No. of Unique                                        | 53,766 (7,727)         | 72,026 (10,689)       |
| \( R_{	ext{sym}} \)                                  | 0.148 (0.484)          | 0.232 (0.762)         |
| \( R_{	ext{fre}} \)                                  | 0.074 (0.238)          | 0.155 (0.515)         |
| Completeness (%)                                     | 99.8 (100.0)           | 95.9 (95.7)           |
| Redundancy                                           | 4.8 (5.0)              | 3.0 (2.9)             |
| Resolution range \( \text{Å} \)                     | 48.7–2.50              | 50.6–3.50             |
| No. of reflections                                   | 53,613                 | 72,012                |
| No. of molecules/AU\(^b\)                           | 2                      | 8                     |
| \( R_{\text{sym}}/R_{\text{fre}} \)                 | 0.1847/0.2382          | 0.2227/0.2862         |
| Chain/No. of residues/missing residues               | A/610/1–2,113–114,174–175,187–191,618–622,627–629 | B/594/1–10,189–191,558–562,617–630 |
| No. of atoms/average B-factors \( \text{Å}^2 \)     | All atoms: 10,027/36.0  | B/594/1–10,189–191,558–562,617–630 |
|                                                      | Protein atoms: 9,377/36.8 | C/605/1–4,113–114,188–191,559–619,619–630 |
|                                                      | Ligands, ions: 112/34.2 | D/603/1–6,113–114,189,557–562,619–630 |
|                                                      | Water molecules: 538/33.9 | E/592/1–11,17–20,113–114,190–191,557–561,617–630 |
|                                                      | r.m.s.d.               | F/606/1–5,189–191,558–562,620–630 |
|                                                      | Bond lengths (Å)       | G/597/1–10,114,188–190,268–269,408,558–562,620–630 |
|                                                      | Bond angles (\( ° \))  | H/595/1–9,16–19,36,113–114,190–191,241–242,275–276,557–558,620–630 |
|                                                      | Ramachandran plot (%)   |                            |
|                                                      | Allowed/disallowed     |                            |
|                                                      | Most favored regions   |                            |
| No. of atoms/average B-factors \( \text{Å}^2 \)     | All atoms: 10,027/36.0  |                            |
|                                                      | Protein atoms: 9,377/36.8 |                            |
|                                                      | Ligands, ions: 112/34.2 |                            |
|                                                      | Water molecules: 538/33.9 |                            |
| No. of atoms/average B-factors \( \text{Å}^2 \)     | Bond lengths (Å)       | 0.005                   |
|                                                      | Bond angles (\( ° \))  | 1.011                   |
|                                                      | Ramachandran plot (%)   | 3.5/0.5                 |
|                                                      | Allowed/disallowed     | 96.0                    |
|                                                      | Most favored regions   |                            |

* Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

\(^b\) AU is asymmetric unit.
The structure-based sequence alignment revealed the presence of several sequence insertion (SI) blocks in FadD32 (Figs. 5A and 6). Three of these blocks are specific to FadD32 (S12, Arg-268–Gly-275; S15, Leu-445–Gly-461; and S16, Asn-523–Asp-543). One block (S11, Phe-43–Asp-48) was also identified in FadD28, and two blocks (S13, Pro-330–Thr-336, and S14, Ile-363–Val-386) were found in FadD32 and FadD28 and in FAALs from *E. coli* and *L. pneumophila*. These six insertions interact with the rest of the protein, contributing to its globular fold (Fig. 5A). This finding is exemplified by the Ile-363–Val-386 SI4 segment, which bridges the N- and C-terminal domains. This motif is conserved among FAALs (24), and previous functional and structural studies of FadD28 have shown it to be a specific trait of FAAL homologs, sufficient to prevent the formation of acyl-CoA derivatives (41). Molecular modeling and further biochemical and structural studies on FadD13 have shown this insertion to be a prerequisite for FAAL activity, which requires a conserved hydrophobic patch between the insertion and the N-terminal domain (43). Two hydrophobic residues, phenylalanines 383 and 481, have been shown to be spatially adjacent in a molecular model of MtFadD32 derived from the structure of FadD28, and a F383A/F481A double mutant of MtFadD32 displays FACS activity (43). The insertion motif and its amino acid environment are highly conserved between *M. tuberculosis*, *M. marinum* and *M. smegmatis* sequences, and the structure of *MmFadD32* confirms the existence of a hydrophobic core common to the insertion motif and the N-terminal domain, in which Phe-375 (add +8 for MtFadD32 numbering) occupies a central position (Fig. 7A). However, despite the close physical proximity of Phe-375 and Phe-473, the closest atoms of which are only 3.9 Å apart, no favorable interactions, such as π-stacking, were found between the two phenylalanine residues, the disruption of which may account for level of activity of the MtFadD32 F383A/F481A mutant. S12 (Arg-268–Gly-275), the shortest of the three specific FadD32 sequence insertions, protrudes slightly from the surface of the protein. By contrast, S15 (Leu-445–Gly-461) makes a long excursion and caps β-sheet E (Fig. 7B), and S16 (Asn-523–Asp-543), which is not conserved in CgFadD32, also makes a long excursion at the surface of the protein and caps β-sheet G (Fig. 7C).
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Alkyl Adenylate Binding—The co-crystallization of \textit{Mm}FadD32 and \textit{Ms}FadD32 with AMPC12 and AMPC20, respectively, showed a well defined area of higher electron density in the active site of the enzymes (Fig. 8). This made it possible to position the alkyl adenylates unambiguously. An analysis of the topology of \textit{Mm}FadD32 revealed a deep, open, funnel-shaped cavity leading to the active site, and a long tunnel leaving the catalytic chamber and passing through the protein (Fig. 9). The mouth of the cavity is delimited by the N terminus of helix \(\alpha 9\), the C-terminal tips of strands \(\beta A 7\) and \(\beta E 5\), helix \(\eta 16\), and the long \(\beta G 3\)-\(\alpha 24\) loop. The adenosine moiety resides in the vestibule of the cavity, and the \(\mathrm{C}^2\) exo-ribose and \(\alpha\)-phosphate group are the most solvent-exposed parts of the ligand. By contrast, the adenine and the alkyl chain lie in the same plane and point toward the interior of the protein. The planar adenine sits in a small hydrophobic pocket, enclosed by the side chains of Pro-315, Tyr-342, and Ile-479 and the backbone atoms of Ser-313–Glu-314–Pro-315–Val-316 (Fig. 9A). The five FadD32 residues seem to play a key role in anchoring the ribose-phosphate moiety, by establishing polar interactions with ribosyl hydroxyl groups and/or phosphate oxygen atoms as follows: Asp-468 with both \(\mathrm{O}2'\) and \(\mathrm{O}3'\); Arg-482 with \(\mathrm{O}3'\); Lys-600 with \(\mathrm{O}5'\) and \(\mathrm{O}2'\); Asp-231 with \(\mathrm{O}3'\); and His-230 with \(\mathrm{O}2P\) (Figs. 8A and 9A). In \textit{Mm}FadD32, the dodecyl aliphatic chain of AMPC12 adopts an extended conformation and is buried in the hydrophobic tunnel. The 5–6 Å-wide and 16 Å-long slightly curved tunnel is delineated by protein segments exclusively from the N-terminal domain, comprising helix \(\alpha 8\) (Val-210 and Leu-214), helix \(\alpha 9\) (Met-232, Ile-235, Thr-236, and Leu-239), strand \(\beta A 4\) (Phe-247), strand \(\beta A 5\) (Phe-277, Ser-278, and Ala-279), strand \(\beta A 6\) (Leu-310 and Asn-311), part of the \(\beta A 6\)-\(\alpha 14\) loop (Gly-312 and Ser-313), strand \(\beta A 7\)-helix \(\eta 16\) (Ser-341, Tyr-342, Gly-343, Leu-344, and Ala-345), and strand \(\beta A 8\) (Leu-349 and Phe-350). The electron density of the aliphatic chain is very well defined, but only one close contact is formed with protein residues (distance <3.5 Å, as shown in \textit{violet} in Fig. 9A). AMPC12 binding upon co-crystallization with \textit{Mm}FadD32 is reminiscent, in terms of both ligand conformation and chemical environment, of previous observations for other structures, such as the long-chain FACS of \textit{Thermus thermophilus} obtained after soaking crystals of the AMP-PNP complex in a myristate solution (48), the FAALs of \textit{E. coli} and \textit{L. pneumophila} co-purified with dodecanoyl/myristoyl adenylates (24), and \textit{M. tuberculosis} FadD10 with dodecanoyl adenylate prepared by incubating the protein in a reaction mixture containing ATP, MgCl\(_2\), and lauric acid (44). Moreover, the structural features of the \textit{Ms}FadD32-AMPC20 complex were identical to those of \textit{Mm}FadD32-AMPC12 (Figs. 8 and 9), consistent with the 74% identity between the amino acid sequences of the two proteins. Conservation was found to be even stronger for residues described above as involved in interactions with the ribose-phosphate moiety (100% identity over 12 residues) or defining the hydrophobic tunnel (90% identity over 21 residues) (Fig. 6). One remarkable difference between the two structures was identified at the tunnel exit, which is closed in \textit{Mm}FadD32-AMPC12 but open in \textit{Ms}FadD32-AMPC20. This difference in the open/closed conformation of the tunnel is not due to differences in the local fold of the proteins. Instead, it is dependent simply on differences in the side-chain conformations of three residues as follows: Leu-239/Leu-240 (\(\Delta \chi 1 = 72\)); His-245/His-246 (\(\Delta \chi 1 = 83\)); and Phe-247/Phe-248 (\(\Delta \chi 1 = 100\)) (Fig. 9). In addition, or as a result of this conformational change, the side chains of three residues at the very tip of the hydrophobic tunnel (Ile-212, Glu-219, and Ile-244) were found disorderly in \textit{Ms}FadD32, whereas their counterparts in \textit{Mm}FadD32 (Leu-211, Glu-218, and Ile-243) could readily be assigned (Fig. 9). As a consequence of tunnel opening, up to 16 of the 20 carbons of AMPC20 were visible on the electron density map for the \textit{Ms}FadD32 structure. The remaining four carbon atoms were more disordered, probably because they were not shielded from the solvent.

SAXS Analysis—Free and ligand-bound forms of FadD32 were characterized at low resolution, by SAXS. These experiments were performed with \textit{Cg}FadD32 and \textit{Ms}FadD32, the only alternative to \textit{Mt}FadD32 available to us at the time. For \textit{Cg}FadD32, the SAXS curves obtained in the presence and absence of AMPC12 were similar (Fig. 10A). By contrast, for \textit{Ms}FadD32, there was a small but reproducible difference in SAXS profiles, particularly around \(Q = 0.15\ \text{Å}^{-1}\) (Fig. 10B). To go further, the experimental curve obtained with unbound \textit{Ms}FadD32 was compared with the theoretical scattering patterns calculated for structures of the representative conformations of AFs. The best fit (\(\chi^2\) value of 1.4) was obtained with the adenylate-forming conformation as observed in FadD32 (Fig. 10, C and D).

Discussion

TB remains a major public health problem, as one of the leading causes of death due to a single infectious agent worldwide. It is very difficult to fight TB, due to a combination of correlated deleterious factors in this deadly disease, including drug treatments that are usually effective but difficult to cope with and drug resistance. Antibiotic resistance remains a major challenge, and the development of new drugs, together with effective vaccines and diagnostics, will be essential if we are to eliminate TB (49). The mycolic acid biosynthesis pathway and all the enzymes essential to this pathway have been validated as pertinent targets in the fight against this disease. FadD32, which is essential for mycolic acid biosynthesis (9), has been validated in both target-to-drug (17) and drug-to-target (18) approaches. The work described here constitutes a continua.
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FIGURE 6. Structure-based alignment of the sequences of mycobacterial FadDs with those of other adenylate-forming enzymes. Sequences were separated in two groups (upper group, selected mycobacterial FadDs; lower group, selected adenylate-forming enzymes). Within each group, sequence similarity is indicated by red letters, whereas sequence identity is indicated by white letters on a red background. Aligned and unaligned residues are displayed in uppercase and lowercase, respectively, taking Mm FadD32 as reference. Residues 460–580 of FadD28, also in lowercase, are absent from the structure and were aligned manually. Secondary structure elements (arrows for /H-strands and coils for /H- and /H-helices) of Mm FadD32 are indicated at the top. Residues of Mm FadD32 that are disordered in the crystal structure are also indicated at the top, by black bars. Sequence insertions (SI1 to SI6) in FadD32 are underlined in magenta. Residues important for alkyl adenylate binding are indicated by violet (adenine moiety) and orange (aliphatic chain) stars. Residues with side chains that undergo conformational changes to accommodate AMPC20 binding are indicated by red stars. Mutations that have been shown to confer resistance to coumarin inhibitors are indicated by blue stars.

Residues 460–580 of FadD28, also in lowercase, are absent from the structure and were aligned manually. Secondary structure elements (arrows for β-strands and coils for α-helices) of Mm FadD32 are indicated at the top. Residues of Mm FadD32 that are disordered in the crystal structure are also indicated at the top, by black bars. Sequence insertions (SI1 to SI6) in FadD32 are underlined in magenta. Residues important for alkyl adenylate binding are indicated by violet (adenine moiety) and orange (aliphatic chain) stars. Residues with side chains that undergo conformational changes to accommodate AMPC20 binding are indicated by red stars. Mutations that have been shown to confer resistance to coumarin inhibitors are indicated by blue stars.
tion of our efforts to characterize FadD32 enzymes fully (13, 17). We report here extensive biochemical and biophysical studies of four FadD32 orthologs and the characterization of their structure. Not only is such structural information important to our understanding of the structure-function relationships of enzymes essential for mycobacterial viability, it should also prove instrumental when the hits identified in chemical screens enter the target-to-drug pipeline.

It proved difficult to determine the structure of FadD32. Following massive unsuccessful efforts to obtain crystals of the *M. tuberculosis* enzyme displaying sufficiently high levels of diffraction for analysis, we extended our studies to orthologs from *M. marinum*, *M. smegmatis*, and *C. glutamicum*. All four enzymes were purified to high levels and biochemically characterized, to build on our previous work (13, 17).

*Cg* FadD32 had an unexpectedly low specific activity, contrasting with its high thermal stability on DSF analysis. Indeed, the *T_m* value of the protein was close to 60 °C, whereas values of 45, 40, and 38 °C were obtained for *Ms* FadD32, *Mm* FadD32, and *Mt* FadD32. Furthermore, it was not modified by treatment with dodecyl-AMP (AMPC12), a characterized FadD32 inhibitor (13), whereas this treatment increased the *T_m* values of the other three proteins by at least 6.0 °C. Positive shifts of *T_m* are generally associated with protein stabilization through ligand interaction. It therefore seems likely that AMPC12 either cannot bind *Cg* FadD32 or cannot stabilize it upon binding. The results of MST and SAXS experiments were consistent with a binding defect, because no complex of *Cg* FadD32 with AMPC12 was detected. AMPC12 binding and inhibition clearly occurred for all three mycobacterial FadD32 proteins, yielding IC_{50} and KD...
values in the micromolar range. Consistent with these results, alkyl adenylate was required for protein crystallization, except for CgFadD32, which was unable to crystallize in any of the conditions tested. We were able to resolve the crystal structures of MmFadD32-AMPC12 and MsFadD32-AMPC20 at resolutions of 2.5 and 3.5 Å, respectively. These proteins adopt monomeric structures and display the classical fold of the AFE superfamily. However, although many AFE structures within the PDB were identified as displaying high levels of structural similarity to either the N- or C-terminal domain of FadD32, only four structures could be superimposed on the entire FadD32 protein. Versatility in the orientation of the N- and C-terminal domains is typical of AFEs, and it has been suggested that a rotation of the C-terminal domain by about 140°, in the domain alternation mechanism, would allow enzymes with CoA ligase activity to perform the second thioester-forming half-reaction upon completion of the initial adenylation reaction (50, 51).

Indeed, close scrutiny of the PDB showed that most AFE structures fell into two classes in terms of the respective orientations of their N- and C-terminal domains as follows: those like FadD32 that are in the adenylate-forming conformation, and those in the thioester-forming conformation. Interestingly, we found that the linker between the N- and C-terminal domains systematically adopted a helical conformation (helix 1H9257 in FadD32) in the adenylate-forming conformation, although it formed an open turn in the thioester-forming conformation. Moreover, some enzymes, such as M. tuberculosis FadD13 (42) adopted an intermediate conformation, with a smaller amplitude of C-terminal domain rotation, whereas others adopted a totally different conformation, such as the single open conformation observed for both the native and ligand-bound states of M. tuberculosis FadD10 (44). In the cases where the structures of AFEs were determined in both the native and ligand-bound adenylate-forming conformations, very few differences were found between the two forms (50, 52). We were unable to crystallize native FadD32, but based on SAXS experiments we hypothesize that the unbound structure should only slightly differ from the conformation trapped during FadD32 crystallization in the presence of alkyl adenylates. The role of FadD32 in the transfer of fatty acids to the N-terminal ACP domain of Pks13 raises questions about the need for a conformational change to facilitate FAAS activity and the nature of that change. This conformational change, if indeed such a change occurs, has yet to be characterized. The PA1221 non-ribosomal peptide synthetase protein of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, which contains adenylation and peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) domains, adopts the canonical AFE thioester-forming conformation (53). In PA1221, which lacks the conserved FAAL motif, the PCP domain (equivalent to polyketide synthase ACP) interacts with both the N- and C-terminal regions of the adenylation domain. The alkyl adenylates used to solve the FadD32 structures adopt a U-shaped conformation and mode of binding similar to those for other complexes with substrate analogs. Asp-468 and Lys-600 are among the catalytic pocket residues involved in anchoring the inhibitor. Both are strictly conserved in the protein sequences featured in Fig. 6. Lys-600, His-230, and Asp-231 display strong chemical similarities with the active site alignment described for class I AFEs in which the positively charged
lysine and histidine residues stabilize the pentavalent negatively charged phosphorus atom present in the transition state (14). The FadD32 structures also show how the long meromycolic chain can be accommodated in a tunnel with an adaptive mechanism based on the modification of a single side-chain rotamer of three residues. Insertions-deletions between the sequences

FIGURE 7. Sequence insertions in MmFadD32. The three longest sequence insertions found in FadD32 and their interaction with the core of the protein are displayed and labeled. A, S14 (Ile-363–Val-386), the trademark of FAALs bridging the N- and C-terminal domains. B, S15 (Leu-445–Gly-461), which caps β-sheet E of the N-terminal domain. An enlarged view where all distances have been indicated is provided at the right. C, S16 (Asn-523–Asp-543), which caps β-sheet G of the C-terminal domain.
of CgFadD32 and the mycobacterial orthologs are remote from the alkyl adenylate-binding site and do not seem to play a direct role in the lack of affinity of the C. glutamicum enzyme for AMPC12 and AMPC20. It also appears that all MmFadD32 residues involved in adenine and ribose/phosphate binding are strictly conserved in CgFadD32. By contrast, the degree of conservation of residues delineating the hydrophobic tunnel is lower, and changes in four residues that line the bottom of the tunnel (Leu-239 → Phe-262, Phe-277 → Tyr-301, Ala-279 → Val-303, and Leu-310 → Ile-333) might induce steric hindrance that could impede fully burying the aliphatic chains of the alkyl adenylate inhibitors.

Several strategies have been developed for identifying and designing potent and selective mycobacterial AFE inhibitors (15). Bisubstrate inhibitors mimicking acyl adenylate, such as acylsulfamoyl adenosine and alkyl adenylate analogs (13, 41), appear to be good starting materials, but the rationale for their
improvement is not straightforward. Other approaches make use of high throughput screening. For instance, five novel classes of inhibitors were identified by screening a dedicated 10,000-compound library with a biochemical assay and MsFadD32 as a surrogate (17), whereas a 4,6-diaryl-5,7-dimethyl coumarin series was identified by screening about 20,500 small molecules for the ability to inhibit \( M. \) tuberculosis growth in a whole-cell GFP-based assay (18). The most potent substituted coumarin analog was as active as isoniazid and killed \( M. \) tuberculosis by directly inhibiting the FAAS activity of MtFadD32 (18). Three mutations (E120A, E120V, and F291L) in MtFadD32 have been shown to confer resistance to these coumarin inhibitors. The corresponding \( Mm \)FadD32 residues (Glu-112 and Phe-283) belong to the N-terminal domain. Glu-112 is found in a solvent-exposed and partly disordered loop between strand \( \beta9\) and helix \( \alpha4 \). Phe-283 is located at the N terminus of helix \( \alpha13 \), at the interface with the C-terminal domain, with its side chain closely packed with the Tyr-514.

**FIGURE 10. SAXS analysis of FadD32.** A, experimental SAXS data for \( Cg \)FadD32 in the unbound state (blue line) and in the presence of AMPC12 (red line). B, experimental SAXS data for \( Ms \)FadD32 in the unbound state (blue line) and in the presence of AMPC12 (red line). C, comparison of the experimental SAXS data for unbound \( Ms \)FadD32 (blue line) and theoretical scattering patterns computed from structures of representative conformations for AFEs: \( Ms \)FadD32 (this work, PDB code 5EY8, cyan line), the long-chain fatty acyl-CoA synthetase from \( T. \) thermophilus (TtLC-FACS, PDB code 1ULT, green line), the fatty acyl-AMP ligase from \( L. \) pneumophila (LpFAAL, PDB code 3KXW, brown line), FadD13 from \( M. \) tuberculosis (MtFadD13, PDB code 3R44, orange line), and FadD10 from \( M. \) tuberculosis (MtFadD13, PDB code 4IR7, magenta line). D, surface representation of the structures used in C. The structures were superimposed on the basis of the N-terminal domain (marine) and are shown in the same orientation. The C-terminal domain, which adopts a different conformation, is shown in orange. The conserved FAAL motif of FadD32 is shown in magenta. Information is provided about the name of the enzyme, the type of conformation observed, and the \( \chi \) value indicative of the quality of fit between the experimental diffusion curve and the theoretical curve computed from the corresponding structure.
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Gly-599, Arg-595, and Phe-625 residues, which are also strictly conserved in MrFadD32. Phe-283 would be involved in the interface with the cognate Pks13 ACP domain if Pks13 ACP adopts the same configuration as reported for PCP in the structure of PA1221. Ser-553, the phosphopantetheine attachment site of PCP, is located 9.5 Å away from Phe-283. These observations are consistent with the proposed mode of action of 4,6-diaryl-5,7-dimethyl coumarins against FadD32 FAAS activity. Fragment-based drug discovery is another promising as yet unexplored approach that could be applied to the discovery of FadD32 inhibitors. In addition to providing fundamental details about the structure-function relationships of mycobacterial FadD enzymes, we hope that this work will facilitate the design and improvement of FadD32 inhibitors. This is particularly true for MinFadD32, which should be a useful surrogate for the tuberculosis enzyme, given the high degree of sequence identity between the two enzymes.

During completion of the writing of this manuscript, a report was published on the structures of the N-terminal domain of MsFadD32 in the unbound form and of the full-length protein in the ATP-bound state (54). This report provides support for some of the observations and conclusions drawn in this more extensive study.
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