Localization of p21-Activated Kinase 1 (PAK1) to Pinocytic Vesicles and Cortical Actin Structures in Stimulated Cells
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Abstract. The mechanisms through which the small GTPases Rac1 and Cdc42 regulate the formation of membrane ruffles, lamellipodia, and filopodia are currently unknown. The p21-activated kinases (PAKs) are direct targets of active Rac and Cdc42 which can induce the assembly of polarized cytoskeletal structures when expressed in fibroblasts, suggesting that they may play a role in mediating the effects of these GTPases on cytoskeletal dynamics.

We have examined the subcellular localization of endogenous PAK1 in fibroblast cell lines using specific PAK1 antibodies. PAK1 is detected in submembranous vesicles in both unstimulated and stimulated fibroblasts that colocalize with a marker for fluid-phase uptake. In cells stimulated with PDGF, in v-Src–transformed fibroblasts, and in wounded cells, PAK1 redistributed into dorsal and membrane ruffles and into the edges of lamellipodia, where it colocalizes with polymerized actin. PAK1 was also colocalized with F-actin in membrane ruffles extended as a response to constitutive activation of Rac1. PAK1 appears to precede F-actin in translocating to cytoskeletal structures formed at the cell periphery. The association of PAK1 with the actin cytoskeleton is prevented by the actin filament-disrupting agent cytochalasin D and by the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase inhibitor wortmannin. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments demonstrate an in vivo interaction of PAK1 with filamentous (F)-actin in stimulated cells. Microinjection of a constitutively active PAK1 mutant into Rat-1 fibroblasts overexpressing the insulin receptor (HIRcB cells) induced the formation of F-actin- and PAK1-containing structures reminiscent of dorsal ruffles. These data indicate a close correlation between the subcellular distribution of endogenous PAK1 and the formation of Rac/Cdc42-dependent cytoskeletal structures and support an active role for PAK1 in regulating cortical actin rearrangements.

A variety of growth factors, oncogenes, chemokines, and extracellular matrix components induce dramatic morphological and cytoskeletal changes in cells. The polymerization of cortical actin and the associated production of membrane ruffles and lamellipodia are important components of cellular motile responses and may regulate other aspects of cellular signaling as well (Stossel, 1993; Mitchison and Cramer, 1996). Recent work has implicated members of the Rho family of GTPases as mediators of cytoskeletal changes (Ridley et al., 1992; Hall, 1994; Kozma et al., 1995; Nobes and Hall, 1995). Rac1 mediates the effects of many hormones and oncogenes on formation of cortical actin structures (Hall, 1994). Thus, introduction of dominant negative forms of Rac into cells inhibits, while active Rac mutants effectively induce, membrane ruffling, lamellipod formation, and pinocytosis (Ridley et al., 1992). Similarly, the related GTPase Cdc42 regulates the extension of actin filament bundles into filopodia (Kozma et al., 1995; Nobes and Hall, 1995). Both Rac and Cdc42 also induce the formation of multimolecular focal complexes distinct from the focal adhesions induced by Rho (Nobes and Hall, 1995).

The mechanisms by which Rac and Cdc42 initiate and regulate the formation of cytoskeletal structures are not currently understood. Evidence has been obtained that in some systems Rac and related GTPases can regulate actin polymerization through their ability to modulate cellular levels of phosphatidylinositol 4-monophosphate via phosphatidylinositol (PI)1 5-kinase (Chong et al., 1994; Hartwig et al., 1995) and/or arachidonic acid release via regulation of PLA2 (Peppelenbosch et al., 1995). Recently, a direct target for active Rac has been identified as a family of serine/threonine kinases known as p21-activated kinases or

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: F-actin, filamentous actin; MBP, myelin basic protein; PI, phosphatidylinositol.
PAKs (Manser et al., 1994, 1995; Bagrodia et al., 1995b; Knaus et al., 1995; Martin et al., 1995). The activity of PAKs is stimulated by the binding of GTP-bound Rac or Cdc42. Reports show that both G protein-coupled receptors and cytokine receptors regulate PAK activity (Knaus et al., 1995; Zhang et al., 1995). PAKs have been implicated in phosphorylation of the p47phox component of the Rac-regulated NADPH oxidase (Knaus et al., 1995) and in the activation of a Rac/Cdc42-controlled kinase cascade leading to stimulation of the stress-activated MAP kinases, p38 and JNK (Bagrodia et al., 1995a; Zhang et al., 1995).

The ability of PAKs to regulate MAP kinase activities is analogous to the role of the PAK homolog Ste20 in the pheromone response pathway of Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Herskowitz, 1995), where it regulates a MAP kinase signaling cascade. Ste20 also plays important roles in regulating polarized cell growth, presumably through effects on the actin cytoskeleton (Chant and Stowers, 1995; Cyrckova et al., 1995; Leeuw et al., 1995; Zarzov et al., 1996), as does paku1 in fission yeast (Ottlie et al., 1995). Recently, we have demonstrated that mammalian PAK1 can initiate cytoskeletal rearrangements reminiscent of those produced by Rac and/or Cdc42 (Sells et al., 1997). Introduction of activated forms of PAK1 into Swiss 3T3 fibroblasts causes the formation of membrane ruffles, lamellipodia, and filopodia. In this paper, we provide further evidence in support of a role for PAK1 in regulating actin assembly and filopodia. In this paper, we provide further evidence in support of a role for PAK1 in regulating actin assembly and filopodia. In this paper, we provide further evidence in support of a role for PAK1 in regulating actin assembly and filopodia. In this paper, we provide further evidence in support of a role for PAK1 in regulating actin assembly and filopodia.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Preparation for Analysis

Cell culture and maintenance techniques were identical to those described in Ridley (1995). Swiss 3T3 cells were grown in DME containing 10% fetal bovine serum, v-src-transformed NIH3T3 cells and their control cells were grown in DME containing 10 μg/ml G418 (Luttrell et al., 1988, 5–7 days after seeding, the confluent cells were incubated overnight (16 h) in DME to obtain serum-free conditions. Immediately before fixation, cells were treated with 3–5 ng/ml PDGF BB (Upstate Biotechnology, Inc., Lake Placid, NY). In some experiments, cells were pretreated with 10 μg/ml PDGF for 6, 9, or 10 min before fractionation with PDGF.

Cells were prepared for adhesion assays as described in Hotchin and Hall (1995). Briefly, confluent Swiss 3T3 cells were serum starved overnight and then trypsinned and washed in serum-free DME. The cells were resuspended in the same buffer and stimulated with 5 ng/ml PDGF and plated onto coverslips coated with 50 μg/ml fibronectin. Cells were fixated at the indicated times after plating.

Transfection of Swiss 3T3 Cells with Semiliki Forest Virus

The cDNA fragment encoding Rac1 wild-type and mutations Q61L and T17N were amplified by PCR using primers that contain a BamHI restriction enzyme site and a myc tag at the 5' end. The myc-tagged Rac constructs were subcloned into the BamHI site of pSFV3 (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD) and recombinant virus generated. Swiss 3T3 cells were infected with Rac or Lac Z containing virus in serum-free media and allowed to grow 13–15 h before experiment. Gene expression was confirmed by immunofluorescence using anti-myc (9E10).

Affinity Purification of Antibodies

PAK1 was purified as a glutathione-S-transferase fusion protein from Escherichia coli as described in Knaus et al. (1995) and was coupled to cyanogen bromide-activated Sepharose 4B (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Piscataway, NJ). Anti-PAK1 antibody R626, prepared as detailed in Knaus et al. (1995), and 2124/3, directed against PAK1 residues 174–306, were affinity-purified using this resin as described in Schneider et al. (1995). The monospecificity of the affinity-purified polyonal anti-PAK1 antibodies was confirmed by Western blotting against Swiss 3T3 subcellular fractions (see Fig. 9).

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Cells were prepared and fixed according to Ridley (1995). Briefly, quiescent Swiss 3T3 cells on coverslips were stimulated with 3 to 5 ng/ml PDGF for 10 min and fixed in either 3% paraformaldehyde or 3.7% formaldehyde (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) for 15 min, or in 100% MeOH at −20°C for 10 min. After fixation with formaldehyde, the cells were permeabilized for 5 min in 0.2% Triton X-100. Coverslips were then incubated with 0.5 μg/ml rhodamine phalloidin (Molecular Probes, Inc., Eugene, OR) and 20 μg/ml affinity-purified polyonal anti-PAK1 R626; 20 μg/ml affinity-purified polyonal anti-PAK1 2124/3; 1:50 polyclonal anti-Rac7 R875 (Quinn et al., 1993); 1:100 anti-Bip (StressGen, Biotechnologies, Victoria, BC, Canada); 1:50 anti-cytomegalo virus (Molecular Probes, Inc.); or 1:10 anti-LAMP-2 (Granger et al., 1990) for 1 h. After extensive washing in 10 mM Hepes/0.5 M NaCl buffer, pH 7.4, containing 0.1% saponin, the coverslips were stained with 1:200 fluorescein-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Cappel Laboratories, Cochranville, PA) and 1:50 rhodamine-conjugated anti-mouse IgG, or 1:200 fluorescein-conjugated anti-mouse IgG and 1:50 rhodamine-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG for 1 h. For localization of focal complexes, cells were incubated for 1 h with rabbit polyclonal anti-PAK1 and mouse monoclonal anti-phosphotyrosine (4G10; Upstate Biotechnology, Inc.), mouse monoclonal anti-vinculin (Sigma Chemical Co.), or mouse monoclonal anti-actin (Sigma Chemical Co.). After washing, the coverslips were stained with fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG and rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Cappel). Washed coverslips were mounted in Slow Fade (Molecular Probes, Inc.) and examined by light and confocal microscopy.

Cells were examined using a confocal scanning microscope (MRC600; Bio Rad, Hercules, CA) equipped with an argon–krypton mixed gas laser. The confocal unit was attached to an inverted microscope (IM35M; Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY) and the data collected using a 63x oil immersion lens. Side by side images were collected using the K1/K2 block combination, merged together, and processed with the COMOS software (Bio Rad). Images were photographed on a slide monitor, the Focus Image Corp. (Focus Graphics, Inc.) with a 35-mm camera back and Kodak print film (Royal Gold ASA25).

Cellular controls treated with anti-PAK1 alone or fluorescein-labeled goat anti–rabbit antibody alone did not show significant fluorescence in either the fluorescein or rhodamine channels. Cells treated with either rhodamine phalloidin or primary antibody, followed by either fluorescein- or rhodamine-conjugated secondary antibody, did not exhibit any cross-over fluorescence between the fluorescein and rhodamine channels.

Subcellular Fractionation

Quiescent, serum-starved Swiss 3T3 cells were incubated with ±5 ng/ml PDGF for 6, 9, or 10 min before fractionation by the method of Kreck et al. (1992). Cells were then harvested in ice-cold trypsin–EDTA and washed in 1 mM Hepes/NaCl buffer, pH 7.5. Approximately 106 cells were resuspended in 300 μl ice-cold hypotonic buffer containing 20 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.5, 5 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and protease cocktail (1 μg/ml each of chymostatin, leupeptin, and pepstatin, and 1 mM PMSF, 2 μg/ml aprotinin, 0.2 mM sodium vanadate). After incubation on ice for 10 min, cells were homogenized using 15 strokes in a Dounce homogenizer and centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 10 min. The resulting supernatant was spun at 10,000 g for 1 h in a centrifuge (TL-100; Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA) according to Thom et al. (1977). The nuclear-pellet obtained was dissolved in 250 μl 1× Laemmli sample buffer. 50 μl of 4× sample buffer was added to the supernatant (cytosolic) fraction to bring the total volume to 250 μl. Highly purified Swiss 3T3 nuclei, prepared according to the procedure of Schreiber et al. (1989), were generously provided by P. Maher, (The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA); these nuclear preparations have been characterized and shown to be free of contaminating organelles (Maher, 1996). The samples were
analyzed on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and either stained with Coomassie brilliant blue to analyze total protein or Western blotted using a 1:1,000 dilution of affinity-purified PAK1 antibody or a 1:500 dilution of rabbit polyclonal anti-actin (Sigma Chemical Co.). Proteins were then detected either by autoradiography with $^{125}$I protein A or alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Bio Rad). Bands of interest were quantified by scanning densitometry using the phosphoimager system from Molecular Dynamics (Sunnyvale, CA).

**Wounding Technique**

The wound healing model (Todaro et al., 1967) was used to obtain polarized Swiss 3T3 cells. Confluent cells were wounded by a 1–2-mm-wide slash through the cell monolayer using a sharp razor blade. Polarized cells were fixed and stained for PAK1 and F-actin, as described, at 3 and 6 h after wounding.

**Coimmunoprecipitation Assay**

Quiescent, serum-starved Swiss 3T3 cells were stimulated with 5 ng/ml PDGF for 10 min and harvested from plates by addition of 500 μl ice-cold lysis buffer containing 1% NP40/100-mm plate. The clarified cell lysates were incubated with 25 μl of either anti-PAK1 or preimmune serum. Both batches of antibody were preadsorbed against an actin/CNBr/Sepharose resin, as described in Dharmawardhane et al. (1991), to eliminate IgG batches of antibody were preadsorbed against an actin/CNBr/Sepharose resin, as described in Dharmawardhane et al. (1991), to eliminate IgG that may bind to actin. After 2 h at 4°C, 80 μl of 50% protein A-Sepharose was added to the mixture and incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The immunoprecipitates were recovered and washed twice in wash buffer with 1% NP40 containing 200 mM KCl and four times in the same buffer without NP40. The use of the high ionic strength buffer inhibits nonspecific binding of actin to IgG (Fechheimer et al., 1979). The washed immunoprecipitates were resuspended in 100 μl 1× Laemmli sample buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using anti-actin, as described above. The 42-kd actin band was quantified by scanning densitometry (Molecular Dynamics).

**Analysis of Triton-Insoluble Cytoskeletons**

Triton-insoluble cytoskeletons were isolated from quiescent- or PDGF-stimulated Swiss 3T3 cells using procedures modified from Dharmawardhane et al. (1991) and Burgess et al. (1989). Confluent cells on 100-mm Petri dishes were lysed in 1 ml of pH 7.5 buffer containing 75 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 20 mM PIPES, 1 mM ATP, protease inhibitors, and 0.5% Triton X-100. After extraction on ice for 5 min, the lysates were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 3 min at 4°C. The Triton-insoluble cytoskeletons recovered in the pellets were dissolved in 100 μl of 1× Laemmli sample buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting for PAK1.
disrupted by treatment with cytochalasin D, an inhibitor of actin polymerization, but pretreatment of cells with Triton X-100 before fixation disrupted the vesicles to which PAK1 localized, suggesting they are indeed membranous in nature. These vesicles did not represent mitochondria, as shown by costaining with PAK1 antibody and an antibody to cytochrome oxidase, a mitochondrial membrane component (Fig. 3 A). Using various organelle markers, we were able to establish that PAK1 was not colocalized with endoplasmic reticulum (anti-Bip-2, Fig. 3 C) or with lysosomes and late endosomes (anti-Lamp-2, Fig. 3 B). The distribution of PAK1 was also distinct from Golgi (anti-α-mannosidase) or microtubules (anti-tubulin); data not shown. However, when cells were allowed to take up BSA-rhodamine as a marker for fluid phase uptake, the BSA colocalized with the PAK1-containing vesicles as determined by confocal microscopy (Fig. 3 D). In support of an association of PAK1 with pinocytic vesicles, we observed that PAK1 became diffusely distributed throughout the cytoplasm when the cells were treated with amiloride, a potent inhibitor of Na+/H+ exchange, which is known to block pinocytosis (West et al., 1989; data not shown).
PAK1 Localizes to Sites of Actin Assembly in PDGF-stimulated Swiss 3T3 Cells

Very little PAK1 was detected in direct association with the plasma membrane in the unstimulated cells, which also contained a minimal cytoskeleton (Fig. 2, A and B). When cells were treated with 10% fetal calf serum, we observed extensive formation of actin stress fibers (Fig. 2 F). However, PAK1 did not localize to the stress fibers (Fig. 2, E and F), nor did it accumulate at the plasma membrane under these conditions. In contrast to the distribution of PAK1 in resting cells, when cells were stimulated with PDGF we observed that PAK1 now became evident in areas of active cytoskeletal rearrangement. At 10 min after stimulation, PAK1 was detected in membrane ruffles and at the edges of lamellipodia (Figs. 2, C and D, and 4). We observed that endogenous Rac1 was also localized to areas of active membrane ruffling (not shown). Such changes in cortical actin induced by PDGF are known to be Rac dependent and are inhibited by the PI 3-kinase inhibitor wortmannin (Wennström et al., 1994; Nobes et al., 1995). Treatment of the PDGF-stimulated Swiss 3T3 cells with either the F-actin–disrupting agent cytochalasin D (10 μM) or the PI 3-kinase inhibitor wortmannin (100 nM) caused the complete loss of membrane ruffles, and in the presence of these inhibitors we no longer observed membrane-associated PAK1.

The apparent colocalization of PAK1 with F-actin in membrane ruffles was verified by confocal microscopy (Fig. 4). In some cells we observed ruffles that contained PAK1 primarily at the very edge of the active ruffle, while smaller ruffles deficient in PAK1 could be seen on the same cell (e.g., see cell in Fig. 4 A). In cells expressing dorsal ruffles the overlap of PAK1 with F-actin was particularly evident (Fig. 4, B and C). In data obtained from three representative experiments (481 total cells counted), we detected PAK1 associated with 117 of 166 membrane ruffles (70%), in 86 of 88 lamellipodia (97%), and in 199 of 216 dorsal ruffles (92%). While few in number in Swiss 3T3 cells, in areas where actin microspikes or filopodial extensions were evident, PAK1 was found to colocalize with F-actin in 18 of 20 (90%) of these structures as well.

Because the localization of PAK1 in the polarized fibroblasts appeared to be primarily at the leading edge, we examined the localization of PAK1 in Swiss 3T3 cells during a wound healing response. About 3 h after the initial wounding, fibroblasts display a polarization response at the edge of the wound and begin to migrate into the wound itself (Conrad et al., 1993). As shown in Fig. 2 (I and J), PAK1 is found within the leading lamellae of the polarized cells migrating towards the wound.

PAK1 Localizes to Cortical Actin Structures in v-src–transformed 10 T1/2 Fibroblasts

To determine whether PAK1 was associated with similar cytoskeletal structures in cells in which actin rearrangements were induced by means other than PDGF, we examined v-src–transformed 10 T1/2 cells. As compared to a control cell line, the v-src–transformed cells were charac-
characterized by loss of actin stress fibers, extension of numerous lamellipodia, and areas of active membrane ruffling (Fig. 2, G and H), as previously described (Luttrell et al., 1988). PAK1 was clearly localized in membrane ruffles and particularly at the ruffling tips of lamellipodial extensions. Taken together, these data show that induction of cortical actin structures by distinct stimuli in different cell types is associated with the translocation of PAK1 into these structures.

Figure 4. PAK1 and F-actin distribution after PDGF addition. Confocal microscopy was performed on Swiss 3T3 cells after PDGF stimulation for 10 min, as described in Materials and Methods. Red, rhodamine-phalloidin staining of F-actin; green, fluorescein staining of PAK1; yellow, merged images indicating the areas of colocalization. PAK1 and F-actin are colocalized in dorsal ruffles (A and C), membrane ruffles (A and B), and lamellipodia (D). Bar, 15 μm.

Rac Induces Relocalization of PAK1 into Membrane Ruffles

We examined whether induction of membrane ruffling by expression of a constitutively active Rac1 was sufficient to induce the redistribution of PAK1 into the ruffles. Quiescent Swiss 3T3 cells were infected using Semliki Forest virus containing the cDNAs for wild-type and mutant Rac1. Expression of lac Z gene control, wild-type Rac1, or inac-
tive Rac1 T17N had no effect on cell morphology or PAK1 distribution (not shown). Cells expressing constitutively active Rac1 Q61L formed extensive peripheral membrane ruffles. Fig. 5 shows that PAK1 becomes relocalized into the area of membrane ruffling induced by the activated Rac1. Thus, Rac-mediated cytoskeletal rearrangement is associated with the movement of PAK1 into the induced structures.

**PAK1 Localization Precedes F-Actin Assembly in PDGF-induced Ruffles**

To examine the redistribution of PAK1 in response to PDGF in more detail, we analyzed cells at various times after stimulation with PDGF (Fig. 6). At early times up to 3 min after stimulation there was little F-actin staining, and PAK1 was found in the pinocytic vesicles only. By 6 min after PDGF addition, we observed PAK1 staining in what appeared to be early dorsal ruffles at the cell surface. Surprisingly, these structures did not contain F-actin. However, at longer times (9 min) polymerized actin appeared in dorsal ruffles, where they colocalized with PAK1 by confocal microscopy, as in Fig. 4. These data suggest that translocation of PAK1 either precedes recruitment of F-actin into the forming ruffles, or that PAK1 may be involved in the polymerization of F-actin at these sites.

**Constitutively Active PAK1 Mutant Induces Formation of Dorsal Ruffles**

We have demonstrated that a dominant active PAK1 (H83L,H86L) mutant is capable of inducing the formation of polarized lamellipodia and ruffles in Swiss 3T3 cells (Sells et al., 1997). We examined whether this activated form of PAK1 could induce the formation of dorsal ruffles in HIRcB cells, as these cells normally exhibit a dramatic dorsal ruffling response when stimulated with insulin (Martin et al., 1996). As shown in Fig. 7, PAK1(H83L,H86L) induced the formation of circular ruffles reminiscent of the dorsal ruffles seen at early times in Swiss 3T3 cells (compare Figs. 4 C and 6). These dorsal ruffles contained both F-actin and PAK1(H83L,H86L). Interestingly, insulin has been shown to stimulate PAK activity (Tsakiridis et al., 1996), and we observed that endogenous PAK1 was localized in the insulin-induced dorsal ruffles of nontransfected HIRcB cells (data not shown).

**PAK1 and Focal Complexes**

Swiss 3T3 cells trypsinized from quiescent cultures were plated on fibronectin immediately after PDGF addition. In contrast to the cells shown in Figs. 2–6, these cells are just forming cell–substrate attachments and exhibit a spreading phenotype at early times. We observed that PAK1 is found at the cell periphery at 15 min after addition of PDGF and plating on fibronectin, while there is little rhodamine-phalloidin staining evident at the edges of the cells (Fig. 8). Staining of cells at this stage with an anti-actin antibody to detect both F- and G-actin confirmed this observation, as there was very little actin detected at the cell edge (data not shown). By 20 min after PDGF and plating on fibronectin, a wave of F-actin begins to move toward the cell periphery. Similar actin “rings” or peripheral “arcs” have been described previously in human erythroleukemia cells spreading on fibronectin (Niu and Nachmias, 1994), as well as in fibroblasts after stimulation with epidermal growth factor (Chang et al., 1995) and during epithelial cell migration after wounding (Nusrat et al., 1992). At 25–30 min after plating, this spreading F-actin colocalizes with the PAK1 in membrane ruffles at the edge of the cell. Membrane ruffles containing both PAK1 and F-actin are fully formed by 45 min.

Focal complexes have been shown to be triggered by the combined effect of extracellular matrix, via activation of integrin receptors, and activity of Rac and Cdc42 (Hotchin and Hall, 1995). PAK1(H83L,H86L) can induce the formation of focal contacts when introduced into Swiss 3T3 fibroblasts attached to cell surfaces (Sells et al., 1997), and the ability of kinase-active PAK1 mutants to cause actin stress fiber and focal complex dissolution has been reported (Manser et al., 1997; Sells et al., 1997). The PAK-
induced focal complexes appear similar to focal complexes induced by Rac and Cdc42 (Nobes and Hall, 1995) in that they contain both vinculin and phosphotyrosine-containing proteins. We observed that PAK1 was detected in the cell periphery of fibronectin-plated cells as a pattern of discrete point contacts reminiscent of focal complexes (Fig. 8 B, left). Staining with antibodies against phosphotyrosine (and vinculin; not shown) revealed only intermittent colocalization of PAK1 with the phosphotyrosine-containing focal complexes. There were clearly distinct

Figure 6. Time course of PAK1 and F-actin localization after PDGF stimulation. (Left column) Cells stained with affinity-purified anti-Pak1 antibody; (right column) cells stained with rhodamine-phalloidin. Cells were fixed at 3, 6, and 9 min after stimulation with PDGF, as described in Materials and Methods. Bars, 15 μm.
foci of phosphotyrosine staining that were devoid of PAK1 and vice versa. While overlap was noted in some complexes, these were only a fraction of the total. The relationship of the PAK1-containing foci to the phosphotyrosine- and vinculin-containing complexes is thus unclear. It is possible that the points of staining observed represent distinct stages in focal complex assembly/disassembly with which PAK1 is transiently associated. PAK1 clearly was not detected in classical Rho-dependent focal adhesions (Fig. 8, right).

**PAK1 Translocates to a Membrane Fraction and Is Associated with F-actin in PDGF-stimulated Cells**

The PDGF-stimulated redistribution of PAK1 to the plasma membrane in areas of membrane ruffling was verified by preparing subcellular fractions from cells in the presence or absence of PDGF. As shown in Fig. 9 A (right), in unstimulated Swiss 3T3 cells, PAK1 was located primarily in the cytosolic fraction, with very little PAK1 in the membrane fraction. Isolation of a highly enriched nuclear fraction demonstrated the absence of significant immunodetectable PAK1 associated with nuclei, in either the presence or absence of PDGF (Fig. 9 A, left). Treatment of cells with PDGF caused a substantial time-dependent increase in the amount of PAK1 that became associated with the membrane fraction, peaking at 9–10 min (Fig. 9 B). The increase of PAK1 in the membrane fraction at 6 min corresponds to the appearance of PAK1 as discrete circular rings on the cell surface, which precede the formation of dorsal ruffles at 9 min after PDGF addition (Fig. 6). This membrane fraction represents primarily plasma membrane (Thom et al., 1977; Krek et al., 1992), probably containing membrane-associated cytoskeletal elements. It is unlikely that there is significant contamination with the pinocytic vesicles with which PAK1 is associated, as we detected very little PAK1 in this fraction from unstimulated cells. In other experiments, we detected reproducible PDGF-induced increases in the amount of PAK1 found in the Triton X-100-insoluble cytoskeletal fraction (not shown). These findings are consistent with the immunolocalization studies we have described herein.

The physical association of PAK1 with F-actin was probed in PAK1 immunoprecipitates (Fig. 10). We observed a band reactive with a specific actin antibody in the PAK1 immunoprecipitates from PDGF-stimulated cells (Fig. 10, inset). Scanning densitometry of the actin band detected in PAK1 immunoprecipitates shows that a statistically significant amount of actin is associated with PAK1 in cell lysates treated with PDGF when compared to the association of actin in the absence of PDGF. The amount of actin associated with anti-PAK1 immunoprecipitates from control cells was similar to the amount of actin associated nonspecifically with immunoprecipitates using preimmune serum. It cannot be determined at this point whether the association of F-actin with PAK1 immunoprecipitates represents a direct interaction or whether it involves indirect association mediated by other protein components.

**Discussion**

Although PAK1 has been identified as a direct target for the small GTPases Rac and Cdc42, its role in mediating the biochemical responses of these GTPases remains unclear. The present data indicate that PAK1 is closely physically associated with the formation of Rac- and Cdc42-dependent actin structures. Stimuli that induce membrane
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**Figure 8.** Distribution of PAK1 and F-actin in Swiss 3T3 cells plated on fibronectin. (A) PAK1 and F-actin distribution after stimulation with PDGF and plating on a fibronectin matrix. Confocal micrographs of quiescent Swiss 3T3 cells at 15, 20, 25, and 30 min after stimulation are shown. Red, Rhodamine-phalloidin staining of F-actin; green, fluorescein staining of PAK1; yellow, merged images indicating the areas of colocalization. (B) PAK1 and phosphotyrosine localization after PDGF stimulation when plated on fibronectin. Confocal micrographs of Swiss 3T3 cells at 30 and 90 min after stimulation are shown. (30 min) Red, Rhodamine staining of phosphotyrosine; green, fluorescein staining of PAK1; yellow, merged images indicating the areas of colocalization. (90 min) Red, rhodamine staining of PAK1: green, fluorescein staining of phosphotyrosine. Bars, 15 μm.

**Figure 9.** (A) Detection of PAK1 in Swiss 3T3 subcellular fractions. Serum-starved cells with either no addition (−PDGF) or with addition (+PDGF) of 5 ng/ml PDGF for 10 min were separated into membrane (M) and cytosolic (C) fractions and immunoblotted for PAK1 using affinity-purified anti-PAK1 antibody, as described in Materials and Methods. Alternatively, highly purified nuclei (N) were prepared as described in Materials and Methods for immunoblotting. PAK1 was detected as a single band at 68-kD that comigrated with authentic human PAK1 expressed in Cos cells (last lane). (B) Detection of PAK1 in the membrane fraction during PDGF stimulation. Membrane fractions of quiescent Swiss 3T3 cells with either no addition or at 6 and 9 min after the addition of 5 ng/ml of PDGF were immunoblotted for PAK1 using affinity-purified anti-PAK1 antibody. Quantitation of the 68-kD band was by phosphoimager analysis. The mean density of the 68-kD band from unstimulated membrane fractions was set at 100%. The data shown represent the mean ± SEM of three separate experiments. The presence of 20–40% of total PAK1 immunoreactivity in the isolated membrane fraction from PDGF-stimulated but not unstimulated cells was consistently observed. (Inset) Representative Western blot of membrane fractions blotted for PAK1. Arrow indicates 68-kD band.
The formation of Rac-GTP induced by PDGF leads to direct PAK1 activation. Binding of Rac-GTP to PAK1 will change the conformation of the amino terminus such that the SH3-binding domain is exposed and/or has a higher affinity for SH3-containing target proteins. These putative target proteins, serving as the functional equivalent of Bem1 in yeast, would enable PAK1 to associate with F-actin. In support of this model, we observed that expression of the constitutively active Rac1(Q61L) mutant in Swiss 3T3 cells induced the relocalization of PAK1 into cortical actin structures (Fig. 5).

Whether PAK1 amino-terminal binding proteins actually regulate localized actin assembly at appropriate sites or whether this interaction mediates the recruitment of PAK1-target protein complexes to membrane-associated sites of actin assembly is still undetermined. It is of particular interest that we observed PAK1 to precede the appearance of F-actin in the cell cortex of adherent PDGF-stimulated Swiss 3T3 cells (Fig. 6 A). A transient association with focal complexes is suggested by the appearance of PAK1 in focal complex-like structures in stimulated cells, although confocal imaging reveals that there is only partial overlap with the majority of phosphorylserine-containing focal complexes (Fig. 8 B). As observed with formation of dorsal and membrane ruffles, where PAK1 precedes the apparent recruitment of F-actin, PAK1 may be transiently required during an early stage of focal complex formation and/or during their dissolution as well (Manser et al., 1997; Sells et al., 1997).

Recently, POR1 (partner of Rac) was identified as a potential regulator of Rac-mediated membrane ruffling (Van Aelst et al., 1996). Truncated versions of POR1 block both Ras- and Rac-induced ruffling responses. Addition of POR1 to cells microinjected with dominant active Ras enhanced subsequent ruffling, although POR1 itself could not induce cytoskeletal changes. Therefore, other effectors may also be involved in regulation of the actin cytoskeleton by Rac, and it is possible that POR1 acts in concert with PAK1 to regulate membrane cytoskeletal dynamics. Similarly, Wiscott-Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP) is a target for Cdc42 and has been shown to induce the formation of poorly characterized actin clusters when overexpressed in cells (Symons et al., 1996). Both WASP (Rivero-Lezcan et al., 1995) and PAK (Bokoch et al., 1996; Galisteo et al., 1996) have been shown to interact with distinct SH3 domains on the adapter protein Nck. A complex involving these two proteins could be important for regulation of filopodia by Cdc42.

We have demonstrated in the current study that PAK1 translocates into Rac- and Cdc42-dependent actin structures in Swiss 3T3, 10T1/2, and Rat-1 (HIRCB) cells, where PAK1 is closely associated with F-actin. This conclusion is supported by immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy, as well as biochemical data. In light of recent evidence that introduction of constitutively active PAK1 proteins into cells is able to modulate the actin cytoskeleton, the current results establish that endogenous PAK1 does translocate to areas of actin polymerization in response to physiological Rac-dependent signals. Moreover, these studies provide additional insights into the role of PAK1 in modulating various aspects of cytoskeletal dynamics, suggesting it may participate in an early stage of actin recruitment and/or polymerization. The molecular mecha-
nisms that regulate the association of PAK1 with F-actin and the protein targets through which PAK1 regulates the cytoskeleton in motile cells remain to be established.
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