ATF2 loss promotes tumor invasion in colorectal cancer cells via upregulation of cancer driver TROP2
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Abstract
In cancer, the activating transcription factor 2 (ATF2) has pleiotropic functions in cellular responses to growth stimuli, damage, or inflammation. Due to only limited studies, the significance of ATF2 in colorectal cancer (CRC) is not well understood. We report that low ATF2 levels correlated with worse prognosis and tumor aggressiveness in CRC patients. NanoString gene expression and ChIP analysis confirmed trophoblast cell surface antigen 2 (TROP2) as a novel inhibitory ATF2 target gene. This inverse correlation was further observed in primary human tumor tissues. Immunostainings revealed that high intratumoral heterogeneity for ATF2 and TROP2 expression was sustained also in liver metastasis. Mechanistically, our in vitro data of CRISPR/Cas9-generated ATF2 knockout (KO) clones revealed that high TROP2 levels were critical for cell de-adhesion and increased cell migration without triggering EMT. TROP2 was enriched in filopodia and displaced Paxillin from adherens junctions. In vivo imaging, micro-computer tomography, and immunostainings verified that an ATF2KO/TROP2high status triggered tumor invasiveness in in vivo mouse and chicken xenograft models. In silico analysis provided direct support that ATF2low/TROP2high expression status defined high-risk CRC patients. Finally, our data demonstrate that ATF2 acts as a tumor suppressor by inhibiting the cancer driver TROP2. Therapeutic TROP2 targeting might prevent particularly the first steps in metastasis, i.e., the de-adhesion and invasion of colon cancer cells.
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Introduction
Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most commonly diagnosed cancers [1]. Most CRC-related deaths are associated with metastatic progression. Metastasis is a multistep and multifactorial process, starting with the dissemination of tumor cells from the bulk tumor and their local invasion into the surrounding extracellular matrix [2]. The molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying these early steps in metastatic spread of CRC are mostly unknown [3]. Thus, the discovery of molecular markers for the identification of highly invasive tumor cells is urgently needed to investigate novel therapeutic targets.

Intratumoral heterogeneity (ITH) exists and arises among cancer cells within the same tumor as a result of (epi-) genetic changes, environmental differences, and cellular plasticity [4]. It reflects distinct tumor cell populations with specific phenotypic, molecular, and functional characteristics. Consequently, ITH is the leading cause of tumor relapse and chemotherapy resistance [5]. The relevance of ITH became highly recognized following the pioneering work of Guinney et al. in defining consensus molecular subtypes in CRC according to specific gene signatures [6]. Though this transcriptome analysis was based on bulk tumor data, it lacked the ability to capture ITH. Recently, gene signatures of single knockout (KO) cells generated by CRISPR gene
editing have changed our molecular understanding of ITH by providing an instrument to characterize the diverse cellular and functional populations in a tumor [7], thereby significantly reducing experimental bias.

Activating transcription factor 2 (ATF2) belongs to the family of bZIP transcription factors and is involved in transcriptional regulation, chromatin remodeling, and DNA damage response [8, 9]. As part of the AP1 transcription factor complex, it forms homo-/heterodimers with other bZIP proteins, preferentially c-JUN, that bind to specific DNA motifs via their conserved leucine zipper regions [9]. ATF2 has a highly divergent character, and can either drive or block tumor progression in a tissue- and stimulus-dependent manner [10–13]. In CRC, ATF2 has been highlighted in a global transcription factor network analysis combining topological and biological features [14]. Moreover, ATF2 motifs are enriched in the non-canonical Wnt target cluster in colon cancer cells [15]. In data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), a subgroup of CRC patients with poor prognosis had low ATF2 gene expression [9]. Thus, ATF2 might be closely linked to tumor invasiveness in CRC; however, the pathway remains unknown. Here, we identified a novel ATF2-dependent mechanism underlying tumor invasiveness in CRC in vitro, in vivo, and in silico. We observed that the cancer driver trophoblast cell surface antigen 2 (TROP2) is one of the key players in the ATF2 network, associated with de-adhesion and migration potential of cancer cells. The ATF2\textsuperscript{low}/TROP2\textsuperscript{high} expression status could be a suitable marker combination to stratify high-risk CRC patients.

**NanoString gene expression analysis**

Gene expression analysis was performed using the human nCounter\textsuperscript{®} PanCancer Progression Panel (NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol with 100 ng of total RNA from HCT116, F9, and E5 cells. Details on data processing are given in the supplemental Material and Methods sections.

**Bioinformatics analysis**

In silico analysis methods and data sets are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section.

**Chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay**

The CAM assay was conducted as previously described [29]. More details are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section.

**Detection of disseminating tumor cells by Alu qPCR**

The dissemination potential of tumor cells upon ATF2 loss was determined by Alu qPCR in chicken embryonic organs based on the CAM assay as previously described [42]. More details are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section.

**RNA interference**

Details on RNA interference-mediated gene silencing are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section.

**Western blot**

Cells pellets were collected and lysed, and western blotting was performed as previously described [29, 43]. More details are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section. Primary antibodies are listed in Supplementary Table 8.

**RT-qPCR**

Total RNA from cell pellets was extracted using QIAzol\textsuperscript{®} Lysis Reagent (Qiagen) combined with RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers are given in Supplementary Table 9. More details are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section.
Chromatin immunoprecipitation

Chromatin immuno-precipitation (ChIP) was performed using the ChIP-IT High Sensitivity Kit (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. More details about reagents, controls and data evaluation are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section. Primers are listed in Supplementary Table 10.

Wound healing migration assay

Cells of HT29 and ATF2-KO clone B5 were transfected with TROP2-specific (si) or non-targeting (scr) RNAi for 48 h as described in the methods section “RNA interference”. More details are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section.
3D tumor spheroid migration assays

The spheroid migration and invasion assay was performed as previously described [29]. More details are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section.

Immunofluorescence

Details on immunofluorescent stainings and filopodia quantification are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section.
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Fig. 3  Primary tumors and liver metastasis reveal high intratumoral heterogeneity for ATF2 and TROP2. A Representative images of TROP2-stained whole human CRC sections (n=55). Scale: 100 μm. B Representative images of ATF2- and TROP2-stained whole human CRC sections (n=55). Overview image, scale: 200 μm; insert, scale: 20 μm. C Representative heatmaps for ATF2- and TROP2-stained whole human CRC sections (n=20). Scale: 2 mm. Holes: positions of TMA punches. Color scale: red to green color, high to low staining intensity. D H-score of ATF2- and TROP2-stained whole human CRC sections (n=20). ATF2- (E) and TROP2-stained (F) whole human CRC sections of primary tumors (PT) and liver metastasis (liver met; n=19) and corresponding immunoscore evaluation (***P<0.01, Wilcoxon test). Scale: 100 μm
Immunohistochemical staining and analysis

Details are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section. Antibodies used are listed in Supplementary Table 11.

Lentiviral vector preparation and cell transduction for luciferase-labeled cell lines

Details of lentiviral preparation and transduction are given in the supplemental Material and Methods section.
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**Results**

Low ATF2 expression identifies a high-risk subgroup of patients in CRC

To investigate the role of ATF2 in CRC, we examined its protein expression levels on a tissue microarray (TMA) containing samples from 332 CRC patients using an ATF2 score based on immuno-histochemical (IHC) staining (Supplementary Table 1); a predominantly nuclear expression pattern was observed (Supplementary Fig. 1A).

Survival analysis revealed that patients with low ATF2 expression had significantly worse overall survival (Fig. 1A). Although univariate Cox regression analysis identified ATF2 and classical clinico-pathological parameters as prognostically relevant, subsequent multivariate Cox regression analysis revealed the presence of only synchronous distant metastasis and lymphatic invasion as independent prognostic markers (Supplementary Table 2). Notably, when the M status for CRC patients was considered unknown, ATF2 could serve as an independent prognostic factor (P = 0.018) in multivariate Cox regression analysis. Interestingly, ATF2 expression was lowest in primary tumors that developed multiple metastasis with or without peritoneal involvement at the time of primary diagnosis (Fig. 1B). In silico analysis of gene expression omnibus (GEO) series (GSE) revealed decreased ATF2 expression in metastatic tumors (Fig. 1C).

Whole tissue sections from our CRC cohort revealed strongly heterogeneous nuclear ATF2 expression levels, with few cell aggregates completely devoid of ATF2 expression (Fig. 1D, Supplementary Fig. 1B). The functional role of this minor ATF2-negative subpopulation is completely unknown.

**ATF2 loss results in increased TROP2 expression**

To identify the gene signature associated with ATF2 loss, we depleted ATF2 in the two heterogeneous CRC cell lines HCT116 and HT29 (Supplementary Table 3) [16–18] using CRISPR/Cas9, resulting in two ATF2-knockout (KO) clones per cell line (HCT116: F9, E5; HT29: B5, F10) (Fig. 2A, Supplementary Fig. 2A–C). Then, we conducted NanoString gene expression analysis of wildtype (WT) HCT116 and
A) Immunofluorescence images of HT29, B5, and F10 cells stained with DAPI/F-actin/TROP2.

B) Immunofluorescence images of HCT116, F9, and E5 cells stained with TROP2.

C) Graph showing filopodia [%] for HCT116, F9, and E5 cells with WT, scr, WT si, F9 scr, F9 si, E5 scr, and E5 si conditions.

D) Immunofluorescence images of HCT116 and F9 cells stained with DAPI/Paxillin.

E) Immunofluorescence images of HCT116, F9, and E5 cells stained with TROP2.

F) Graph showing area of aggregates [mm²] for HCT116, F9, and E5 cells with WT, scr, WT si, F9 scr, F9 si, E5 scr, and E5 si conditions.
ATF2 loss promotes tumor invasion in colorectal cancer cells via upregulation of cancer driver proteins VCAN and TACSTD2.

Intratumoral heterogeneity in primary tumors and liver metastasis

Next, we investigated ATF2 and TROP2 expression in whole tissue slices of our CRC TMA cohort. Despite the high ITH of both markers (Figs. 1D and 3A–C, Supplementary Fig. 3A), we could observe an inverse correlation between ATF2 and TROP2 expression in the majority of cases (Fig. 3B; additional cases are given in Supplementary Fig. 3A). When correlating each single ATF2 and TROP2 probe with each other in a public data set GSE41258, we mostly observed a negative correlation, with some of the correlations being statistically significant (Supplementary Table 6). Addressing the ITH in more detail, heatmaps for TROP2-stained CRC sections were generated and compared with the ATF2 heatmaps (Figs. 1D, 3C). The H-score profile for ATF2 and TROP2 of the 20 cases is given in Fig. 3D, and patient-wise intensity profiles in Supplementary Fig. 3B, verifying the ITH and inverse correlation between both markers.

Interestingly, high ITH for ATF2 and TROP2 was also visible in liver metastasis (Fig. 3E, F and Supplementary Fig. 4A, B). Liver metastasis showed significantly lower ATF2 and rather diverse TROP2 expression compared to their primary tumors (Fig. 3E, F, Supplementary Table 7), whereas the expression levels of both markers in the 12 available lymph node metastasis remained nearly unchanged (Supplementary Fig. 4C, Supplementary Table 7). The difference in our observations compared to Guerra et al. [20] who found an increase of TROP2 in metastatic lesions could be explained by the usage of different antibodies, the inclusion of a high number of rectal cancer, and the scoring approach. Otherwise, when analyzing the in silico dataset GSE41258 comparing the gene expression of TROP2 and ATF2 in non-paired 182 primary tumors and 47 liver metastasis, both markers showed a probe-dependent high variance in gene expression scores in both cohorts (Supplementary Fig. 4D).
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Fig. 6 ATF2 loss enhances invasion in different xenograft models. A Representative images of HCT116 and ATF2-KO-derived CAM ovo grafts stained for HE, ATF2, and TROP2 (HCT116: n = 10; F9: n = 9; E5: n = 11). Overview images, scale: 500 µm; enlarged images, scale: 50 µm. (B) Quantification of TROP2-positive cells in HCT116 and ATF2-KO-derived ovo grafts. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (HCT116: n = 10; F9: n = 9; E5: n = 11; ***P < 0.001, Mann-Whitney test). C Relative amount of disseminating tumor cells in the liver (HCT116: n = 10; F9: n = 9; E5: n = 11) and brain (HCT116: n = 4; F9: n = 9; E5: n = 11) of chicken embryos assessed by Alu qPCR on day five post-engraftment. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (**P < 0.01, Mann–Whitney test). Dashed line presents the cut-off for (**) P. E5: n = 9; F9: n = 10; F9: n = 11. Overview images, scale: 500 µm; detailed images, scale: 100 µm; M muscle; T tumor; dotted line: pushing front margin. F Quantification of TROP2-positive cells in murine subcutaneous xenografts derived from HCT116 and ATF2-KO cells. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (HCT116: n = 7; F9: n = 6; E5: n = 6). Overview images, scale: 500 µm; detailed images, scale: 100 µm; M muscle; T tumor; dotted line: pushing front margin. G Quantification of TROP2-positive cells in murine subcutaneous xenografts derived from HCT116 and ATF2-KO cells. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (HCT116: n = 7; F9: n = 6; E5: n = 6). Overview images, scale: 500 µm; detailed images, scale: 100 µm; M muscle; T tumor; dotted line: pushing front margin. H Quantification of TROP2-positive cells in murine subcutaneous xenografts derived from HCT116 and ATF2-KO cells. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (HCT116: n = 7; F9: n = 6; E5: n = 6). Overview images, scale: 500 µm; detailed images, scale: 100 µm; M muscle; T tumor; dotted line: pushing front margin. I Quantification of TROP2-positive cells in murine subcutaneous xenografts derived from HCT116 and ATF2-KO cells. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (HCT116: n = 7; F9: n = 6; E5: n = 6). Overview images, scale: 500 µm; detailed images, scale: 100 µm; M muscle; T tumor; dotted line: pushing front margin.

The JNK-ATF2 axis regulates TROP2 expression

To determine whether ATF2 regulates TROP2 expression, we aimed to modulate the upstream mitogen-activated protein kinase JNK, which not only regulates ATF2 but also its AP1 dimerization partner c-JUN [21]. Treatment of HCT116 and HT29 cells with JNK inhibitor SP600125 simultaneously reduced p-ATF2Thr71 and p–c-JUNSer73 levels, resulting in increased TROP2 protein levels (Fig. 4A). Similarly, JNK inhibition increased TROP2 expression in all ATF2-KO clones (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Since the TROP2 promoter harbors several ATF2- and AP1-binding sites (Fig. 4B), we investigated whether ATF2/c-JUN heterodimers directly repressed TROP2 transcription. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) against ATF2 and c-JUN in HCT116 and HT29 cells after JNK inhibition revealed significantly reduced binding of ATF2 to the TROP2 promoter (Fig. 4C, D), whereas the decrease in c-JUN binding was less pronounced and even partly below the IgG controls (Fig. 4E, F). Notably, ChIP for endogenous c-JUN did not show any differences in c-JUN binding at the two consensus AP1 sites between ATF2-WT and -KO cells (Fig. 4G, H), suggesting that c-JUN-mediated transactivation efficiency cannot explain the remarkable differences in TROP2 expression. Consistently, transient c-JUN silencing in HCT116 and HT29 cells did not affect TROP2 protein expression (Fig. 4I).

ATF2 loss leads to characteristic cytoskeleton-associated growth pattern in vitro

To further assess the functional consequences of elevated TROP2 levels, we investigated the growth pattern of ATF2-KO cells in vitro. HCT116 (Supplementary Fig. 6A) and HT29 cells (Fig. 5A) reflected the typical cobblestone-like morphology of epithelial cells with pronounced cortical F-actin accumulation between adjacent cells and at cellular rims, indicating tight cell–cell adhesion. In contrast, HT29 ATF2-KO clones developed TROP2-enriched filopodia-like protrusions (Fig. 5A, and for HCT116 ATF2-KO clones Supplementary Fig. 6A), suggesting a close association between TROP2 and the cytoskeleton. TROP2 silencing in both HCT116 ATF2-KO clones reduced filopodia number and length, and re-established an epithelial-like phenotype (Fig. 5B, C and Supplementary Fig. 6B). TROP2 has been previously shown to displace focal adhesion kinase (FAK) [22]. To further evaluate the impact of TROP2 on the spatial distribution of cytoskeleton proteins, we evaluated the expression of Paxillin by immunofluorescence in HCT116 and HT29 cells (Fig. 5A) reflected the typical cobblestone-like morphology of epithelial cells with pronounced cortical F-actin accumulation between adjacent cells and at cellular rims, indicating tight cell–cell adhesion. In contrast, HT29 ATF2-KO clones developed TROP2-enriched filopodia-like protrusions (Fig. 5A, and for HCT116 ATF2-KO clones Supplementary Fig. 6A), suggesting a close association between TROP2 and the cytoskeleton. TROP2 silencing in both HCT116 ATF2-KO clones reduced filopodia number and length, and re-established an epithelial-like phenotype (Fig. 5B, C and Supplementary Fig. 6B). TROP2 has been previously shown to displace focal adhesion kinase (FAK) [22]. To further evaluate the impact of TROP2 on the spatial distribution of cytoskeleton proteins, we evaluated the expression of Paxillin by immunofluorescence in HCT116 WT cells, in the two HCT116 ATF2-KO clones F9 and E5, and in a CRISPR/Cas9-generated TROP2-KO clone of F9 (F3) (Fig. 4D, Supplementary Fig. 6C, D). Indeed, we detected an accumulation of Paxillin in the adherens junctions of these double ATF2/TROP2-KO cells (Fig. 4D). The cytoskeleton marker E-Cadherin did not show any changes in protein expression (Supplementary Fig. 6E, F).

Next, we performed anchorage-independent growth assays and observed that both HCT116 and HT29-derived ATF2-KO clones formed significantly smaller, but viable cell clusters compared to their parental cell lines as shown in PARP Western blot and Calcein staining, respectively (Fig. 5E, F and Supplementary Fig. 6G-I). TROP2 silencing under de-adhesive conditions (Supplementary Fig. 6J) in HCT116 and their ATF2-KO cells led to significantly larger cell aggregates (Fig. 5E, F), suggesting a role for TROP2 in tumor cell adhesion.

Reduced ATF2 levels promote 2D and 3D tumor cell migration in vitro

First, we evaluated TROP2-overexpressing ATF2-KO clones in a 3D spheroid migration assay and showed their enhanced migratory potential (Supplementary Fig. 7A, B). This effect was further confirmed in a 2D wound healing assay (Supplementary Fig. 7C). To validate a potential TROP2 dependency, we performed a transient TROP2 silencing in HT29
Fig. 7 Upregulation of \( TROP2 \) expression in CRC is associated with enhanced tumor aggressiveness and predicts poor patient survival. 

\( A \) \( TROP2 \) in normal (\( n = 41 \)) and tumorous colon tissue (\( n = 41 \); ** \( P < 0.01 \), *** \( P < 0.001 \), Welch’s \( t \)-test). The line shows the median. Gene expression data were extracted from the TCGA RNA-seq database (https://www.cancer.gov/tcga). 

\( B \) \( TROP2 \) expression in metastatic (\( n = 25 \)) versus non-metastatic primary (\( n = 65 \)) CRC as extracted from the GSE2109 dataset. The line shows the median (** \( P < 0.01 \), Welch’s \( t \)-test). Kaplan–Meier plots for overall survival in the TCGA CRC cohort grouped according to their optimal \( TROP2 \) (C), \( ATF2 \) (D) and combined \( TROP2/ATF2 \) (E) expression (\( n = 394 \), log-rank test). \( HR \) hazard ratio, \( CI \) confidence interval

Fig. 8 Working model illustrating the ATF2-dependent transcriptional regulation of \( TROP2 \) and its impact on tumor invasiveness. Created with BioRender.com
ATF2 loss promotes tumor invasion in colorectal cancer cells via upregulation of cancer driver gene expression (Fig. 6A, B and Supplementary Fig. 8A, B), (median > 70%). All cell lines developed highly proliferative tumors in vivo as determined by human-specific Alu-PCR (Fig. 6C). The invasive behavior of ATF2-KO cells was further investigated in subcutaneous mouse xenografts using luciferase-labeled HCT116 and ATF2-KO cells (Fig. 6D, E, and Supplementary Fig. 8F, G). These xenografts do not constitute a metastasis model; rather, they allowed us to examine the invasive growth pattern, a prerequisite for metastasis. Indeed, tumors of HCT116 ATF2-KO cells were highly TROP2-positive (Fig. 6D, E) and presented primarily deeper invasion toward the muscle layer (Fig. 6D-F) as supported by micro-CT analysis (Fig. 6G). In contrast, the majority of HCT116-derived tumors had a predominantly cohesive pushing front that clearly segregated tumor cells from the surrounding muscle layer with only minor focal invasions (Fig. 6D and F), suggesting that the loss of ATF2 remarkably alters the invasion pattern.

Reduced ATF2 levels trigger tumor cell invasion in vivo

To evaluate a potential TROP2 dependency on hallmarks of tumor aggressiveness, we performed the chicken chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay as an in vivo xenograft model pursuing the ethical responsibility to replace, reduce, and refine (3R) animal experiments. Our tumor cell line sets were grafted onto the CAM and their in vivo growth patterns were compared based on hematoxylin/eosin (HE) and IHC staining (Fig. 6A and Supplementary Fig. 8A). We detected the typical microsatellite-unstable tumor pattern in the ovografts of HCT116 cells, with a dense tumor mass and a clearly defined pushing front at the invasive border (Fig. 6A). In contrast, HCT116 ATF2-KO clones displayed more loosely arranged tumor masses lacking a clear pushing front (Fig. 6A). A shift in the growth pattern upon ATF2 loss was also observed in HT29-KO cells (Supplementary Fig. 8A, B), suggesting that tumor cell de-adhesion is increased when ATF2 is lost. CAM experiments with a lower number of HCT116 cells demonstrated that the differences in the growth pattern of HCT116 ATF2-KO clones were not due to biologically relevant differences in proliferation (Supplementary Fig. 8C) as also shown by staining with the proliferation marker Ki67 (Supplementary Fig. 8D, E). All cell lines developed highly proliferative tumors in vivo (median > 70%).

All ATF2-KO ovografts revealed upregulation of TROP2 expression (Fig. 6A, B and Supplementary Fig. 8A, B), further supporting a suppression of TROP2 when ATF2 is expressed. In addition, ATF2KO/TROP2high tumor cells showed increased invasion into chicken embryonic organs, as determined by human-specific Alu-PCR (Fig. 6C). The presence of disseminating ATF2-KO tumor cells in the brain of chicken might underline the potential of ATF2-KO cells to spread to multiple and more unusual sites.

The invasive behavior of ATF2-KO cells was further investigated in subcutaneous mouse xenografts using
Discussion

In this study, we have systematically investigated the role of ATF2 in CRC invasion. We suggest that the presence of an ATF2-negative tumor cell population is associated with a higher de-adhesion, migration, and invasion potential of tumors. The cancer driver TROP2 has been identified as a novel transcriptional repressive target of ATF2. Although ATF2 loss constitutes a disease-associated condition, ATF2 per se is rather unsuitable therapeutic target in CRC. Instead, we uncovered TROP2 as a potential novel therapeutic target to inhibit the first step in the metastatic cascade in CRC.

We observed a high intratumoral heterogeneity (ITH) for ATF2 protein expression by immunohistochemistry in our CRC tissue cohort. Possibly, such ITH might be a reason why genes deemed as “non-interesting” have not been deeply investigated in the context of CRC aggressiveness. Such ITH might mask and decisively impact not only the experimental outcomes but similarly also the metastatic spread and consequently patient prognosis. Our findings that ITH might be preserved in liver metastases of colon tumors let us suggest that the existence and the degree of ITH is not random, rather this is a well-orchestrated cellular mechanism to develop the full aggressiveness of a tumor. The monoclonal expansion approach of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated ATF2-KO cells allowed us to abrogate ITH and capture, at least partly, the genetic diversity in the tumor, leading to the identification of a novel regulatory axis between ATF2 and TROP2.

We found that ATF2/AP1 repressed the expression of TROP2 by directly binding to CRE and TRE motifs in the TROP2 promoter. ChIP experiments revealed that ATF2 homo-/heterodimers were decisive for TROP2 transcription with a negligible role of c-JUN in TROP2 promoter binding. This molecular mechanism is a rare example that corroborates the role of the ATF2/AP1 complex in target gene repression. However, given that c-JUN can form AP1 dimers with other bZIP family members such as FOS, and that different AP1 dimers can bind to DNA with different affinities and transactivation efficiencies [25], we cannot fully exclude such interactions at the TROP2 promoter.

TROP2 is known to be an important cancer driver and therapeutic target [26]. It functions as a transmembrane glycoprotein and is overexpressed in numerous solid cancers [27]. TROP2 was assessed as an independent prognostic marker correlating with poor patient prognosis in CRC [26, 28] and was linked to tumor budding, a marker of increased tumor aggressiveness [29]. Accordingly, a pro-migratory role has already been ascribed to TROP2 in various solid tumor types [30–32]. Our study reveals a novel and important mechanism for the regulation of TROP2 expression via ATF2, mechanistically explaining the increased invasive potential of ATF2-deficient tumor cells.

Additionally, we have revealed a potentially more decisive function of TROP2 in de-adhesion of cancer cells as the starting point of metastasis. TROP2 was localized in long cell protrusions interspersing ATF2-negative cell aggregates, linking its function to the cytoskeleton machinery as recently described [33]. Such filopodia act as sensors for signals, such as chemo-attractants or nutrients. Interestingly, metastatic cells are rich in filopodia-like structures [34]. Recently, TROP2-interacting proteins were linked to matrix degradation, cell shape, motility, and invasion in CRC cells [35]. We found that under adhesion blockade, ATF2-KO cells built only vital single cells or small aggregates, and that transient TROP2 silencing attenuated this de-adhesive effect, which was accompanied by a loss in cell–cell protrusions. Focusing on focal adhesion kinase (FAK), Trerotola et al. showed that prostate cancer cells silenced for TROP2 accumulated FAK at focal adhesion sites together with α5β1 integrin [22]. Thus, we studied Paxillin, which is important for the formation of functional adherens junctions, in CRISPR/Cas9-generated TROP2-KO cells of HCT116 ATF2-KO clone F9. Indeed, we observed a clear accumulation of Paxillin in the adherens junctions when TROP2 was lost. Since epithelial proteins E-Cadherin or EpCAM were not altered in their levels, we suggest that it is rather the spatial dysregulation of the TROP2 complex members at the cell membrane than a TROP2-mediated alteration in protein amounts of the complex partners. Correspondingly, in vivo, TROP2-overexpressing xenografts grew as loosely packed tumors and a disturbed pattern of cellular contacts was further reflected by the deregulation of the adhesion molecules MCAM and ICAM in a NanoString analysis. Interestingly, the higher migration and invasiveness in TROP2-overexpressing ATF2-KO cell lines were not associated with robust EMT signs as already shown in three different tumor entities by Remsik et al. for breast and prostate cancer [36], and Guerra et al. for colorectal cancer [20]. In the NanoString analysis, EMT markers, such as CD44 and TWIST1, were even down-regulated, and ZEB1, SNAI2, and E-Cadherin levels were unchanged, supporting the findings of Guerra et al. who described an EMT-less invasion in their TROP2-overexpressing metastatic cells [20]. Thus, we suggest that ATF2 loss seems to reinforce the epithelial differentiation.

Using our own and public clinical datasets incorporating both RNA sequencing and IHC data, we have shown that low ATF2 expression could significantly predict high-risk CRC patients. Moreover, TROP2high human tumors that were concomitantly ATF2low could further increase the hazard ratio suggesting that a combination of ATF2low/TROP2high could serve as a suitable biomarker for susceptibility to highly invasive tumors. The use of the survminer algorithm to optimally separate between the prognostic groups might be more
reliable than the separation by the median score allowing a more robust comparison between different studies.

Our observations have high clinical relevance. Unraveling the basic mechanisms of the first steps in the metastatic process, i.e., the de-adhesion and invasion of cancer cells, can open up novel therapeutic approaches for successful interventions in CRC. Considering several ongoing clinical trials [37] and the recently FDA-approved drug sacituzumab govitacanc-hziy, which combines a TROP2-directed antibody and a topoisomerase inhibitor [38, 39], TROP2 holds promise as a marker for tumor aggressiveness in CRC patients.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-022-04445-5.
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