Research on the Carbon Dioxide Emission Factor as a Result of Fuel Combustion
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The present paper first discusses a calculation model for the complete combustion of fuels – with the minimum amount of air needed – whose volumetric and mass composition is known. It then describes evaluation models for the heat resulting from fuel combustion, i.e., superior and inferior caloric power value. In this context, the carbon dioxide emission factors for fuel and biofuel combustion, respectively, are evaluated. The results obtained have allowed a comparative analysis regarding carbon dioxide emission.
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The meteorological phenomena we have been facing lately are the direct or indirect result of human activity. They are the consequences of climate change. Ensuring energy security with competitive and clean energy, taking into account the limitation of climate change, represents a major challenge for the European Union.

The vision of the current European energy policy is in line with the concept of sustainable development and it refers, among others, to the reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [1]. Nationally, policies and action plans to reduce GHG emissions are a key element in limiting the effects of climate change on the environment, the economy and society. They are in line with the European requirements regarding this domain. In this respect, the National Strategy on Climate Change of Romania 2013-2020, adopted in July 2013, and the National Strategy on Climate Change and Economic Growth regarding low carbon emissions have been published, identifying the main sectors for priority actions for reducing GHG emissions, such as energy, transport, industrial processes, agriculture and rural development, urban development, waste management, water and forestry [2].

In 2016, compared to 2015, Romania recorded a 1.4% drop in CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion. Carbon dioxide emissions in the EU dropped by 0.4% overall. In 2016, the share of CO₂ emissions in Romania accounted for 2.1% of total EU CO₂ emissions [3].

Periodic statistical surveys on the production of electricity and heat, resources and electric power consumption, heat, fuels, fuels and lubricants, the monitoring of carbon dioxide emissions, have led to their classification according to various criteria [4-8].

Reducing greenhouse gas emissions and, consequently, preventing dangerous climate change can be achieved by reducing energy consumption and by using secondary energy sources and renewable energy sources, respectively [9].

Fuels are substances that burn, producing heat, and contain the following combustible elements: carbon, hydrogen and sulfur. A fuel must meet certain conditions, namely: to be easily obtained from nature, to be toxic, to be inexpensive and in sufficient quantity, so that the cost of the heat obtained be competitive on the energy market. It is worth mentioning that the fuels analysed are stored ones.

The classification of fuels is done according to certain criteria, including:

a) state of aggregation - solid (coal, wood), liquid (petroleum products) and gaseous (natural gas, well gas);

b) origin - natural (wood, coal, oil, natural gas, well gas); artificial, derived from industrial processes (diesel, petrol, hydrogen, liquefied petroleum gas, biofuels) [10].

An alternative to fossil fuels is biofuels. They can be used as pure or mixed fuel with a fossil fuel. Bioethanol (ethyl stearate and methyl linolate) is mainly derived from oilseeds such as rapeseed, corn, soybeans and is similar to diesel oil.

As regards solid and liquid fuels, as well as gaseous fuels, the mass and volumetric composition, respectively - the latter only in the case of gaseous ones - are expressed by specific relations [10].

For liquid petroleum fuels whose relative density, ρ₁₅°C is known, the carbon and the hydrogen fractions can be calculated using semi-empirical relations [10, 11].

If a chemical formula is known for a fuel, then the mass fractions can be calculated using relations [10]:

\[ g_c = \frac{12m}{12m + n + 16r} \quad g_h = \frac{n}{12m + n + 16r} \quad g_o = \frac{16r}{12m + n + 16r} \quad (1) \]

For a fuel whose mass composition is known, the mass of carbon dioxide at full combustion is calculated as follows:

\[ m_{CO_2} = \frac{g_c}{12} M_{CO_2} \quad (2) \]

In relation (2), the carbon dioxide mass has the unit of measurement kg CO₂/kg of fuel. For gaseous hydrocarbons whose volumetric composition is given, the volume of carbon dioxide, expressed in m³ CO₂/m³ of fuel resulting from full combustion, is obtained by summing up the volumes of carbon dioxide resulting from the combustion of hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide, to which the carbon dioxide that may exist in the fuel’s original composition is added.
\[ V_{CO_2} = \sum (m r_{mol_{CO_2}}) + \Delta CO + r_{CO_2} \]  
\[ (3) \]

In this case, the mass of carbon dioxide, with the unit of measurement of \( CO_2/m^3 \) of fuel, is obtained as follows:

\[ m_{CO_2} = \frac{M_{CO_2}}{22.414} V_{CO_2} \]  
\[ (4) \]

Fuels are characterized by the heat produced by the complete combustion of the fuel quantity unit, i.e., the calorific value, with the minimum amount of air needed. There are two types of calorific value:
- superior calorific value \( H_s \) - when the vapours contained in the combustion gases are discharged in liquid state – in other words, latent condensation heat is released into the combustion space;
- inferior calorific value \( H_i \) - in which case the combustion gases are discharged with gaseous water vapours.

Although it is obvious that the gross calorific value is higher than the net one, often for technical reasons (water in liquid state can be combined with some combustion gas components, forming corrosive fluids), it is recommended that combustion gases be discharged while the water they contain is in vapour state. As a result, practically, it is net calorific value that is of interest most of the times [6].

If a fuel does not contain water, the mathematical relationship between the inferior and the superior calorific value is as follows:

\[ H_i = H_s - 2510 \cdot 9 g_{H_2} \]  
\[ (5) \]

The calorific value of a biofuel, whose chemical formula is \( C_m H_n O_r \) and which does not contain water, is calculated as follows:

\[ H_i = 33900 g_{C} + 120120 \left( g_{H} - \frac{g_{O}}{8} \right) \]  
\[ (6) \]

By applying relationships (5) and (6) results expressed in \( kJ/kg \) are obtained; \( g \) are decimals.

For mixtures of gaseous fuels, the calorific value is calculated as follows [10, 11]:

\[ H_{cm} = \sum r_i H_i \]  
\[ (7) \]

In the case of liquid petroleum fuels, semi-empirical relations [10, 11] can be used to calculate the calorific value.

The release of carbon dioxide takes place both during the combustion of fossil fuels and biofuels. In order to compare the polluting effects of fuel combustion, the carbon dioxide emission factor is used, being defined by the relation below:

\[ \varepsilon_{CO_2} = \frac{m_{CO_2}}{H} \]  
\[ (8) \]

Depending on the carbon dioxide mass/inferior calorific value ratio, on the one hand, and the carbon dioxide mass/superior calorific value ratio, on the other hand, the following can be distinguished:
- \( \varepsilon_{CO_2,I} \) carbon dioxide emission relative to the inferior calorific value;
- \( \varepsilon_{CO_2,S} \) carbon dioxide emission relative to the superior calorific value for fuels whose moisture content is zero percent [6].

By using relations (1), (2), (5), (6) and (8) the following relations are suggested for the calculation of the carbon dioxide emission factor [5]:

- \( \varepsilon_{CO_2,I} \) carbon dioxide emission relative to the inferior calorific value \( g CO_2/kWh \):
  \[ \varepsilon_{CO_2,I} = \frac{1000 m}{2.568 n + 0.758 n - 1.517 r} \]  
\[ (9) \]

- \( \varepsilon_{CO_2,S} \) carbon dioxide emission relative to the superior calorific value \( g CO_2/kWh \):
  \[ \varepsilon_{CO_2,S} = \frac{1000 m}{2.568 n + 0.901 n - 1.517 r} \]  
\[ (10) \]

In the relationships above \( m, n, r \) are the coefficients of the chemical formula \( C_{m}H_{n}O_{r} \).

For the calculation of the carbon dioxide emission factor, in the case of liquid petroleum fuel burning, appropriate relations have been proposed for liquid petroleum fuels that contain hydrogen and carbon only [6].

**Experimental part**

A large number of fuel samples in all aggregation states - gaseous, liquid and solid - were analysed. After the chromatographic analysis reports of certain gas mixtures were analysed, five samples were considered to be representative (table 1). The composition of the gaseous samples was analysed in specialized and authorised laboratories (table 2).

According to the reports containing the results of the chromatographic analyses for gases GL1, GA1, GA2, GT1, the analyses were conducted using the VARIAN CP-3800 (fig. 1).

| Name of gas mixture | Symbol | Sample characterisation | Origin of analysis report |
|---------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Free gas            | GL1    | Mixture of natural gas   | Analysis performed in    |
|                     |        | extracted from a well    | authorised laboratory    |
|                     |        | free gas                |                          |
| Associated gas 1    | GA1    | Oil well gas mixture     | Analysis performed in    |
|                     |        | (associated gas)        | authorised laboratory    |
| Associated gas 2    | GA2    | Oil well gas mixture     | Analysis performed in    |
|                     |        | (associated gas)        | authorised laboratory    |
| Gases in the        | GT1    | Gas mixture taken from   | Analysis performed in    |
| transportation      |        | the transportation      | authorised laboratory    |
| pipeline            |        | pipeline                |                          |
| Shale gas           | GS     | Burning, shale gas      | Composition taken from   |
|                     |        | mixture                 | the literature [12]      |

**Table 1** NAME OF GASEOUS MIXTURES

![Fig. 1. Vaijan CP-3800 Gas Chromatograph](http://www.revistadechimie.ro)
The CP-3800 GC is a gas chromatograph with a flexible platform for single, dual or three-channel configuration. The CP-3800 Gas Chromatograph allows upgrades to a multi-valve, multi-column configuration to handle complex analyses.

It is worth mentioning that after the research was conducted data regarding shale gas were collected from the literature. In Romania there is no shale gas exploitation.

The characteristics of the liquid petroleum fuels (considered representative) necessary for the calculation of the carbon dioxide emission factor are presented in table 3. They were taken from delivery certificates provided by companies that are engaged in the production or distribution of liquid petroleum products.

Table 4 contains the chemical composition of the selected solid fuels, whereas table 5 contains the gross and net calorific values, according to the literature [13].

The research carried out also included biofuels. Their names and chemical formulae are displayed in table 6.

Results and discussions
In order to assess the carbon dioxide emission resulting from the combustion of the analysed fuels relationships (8), (9) and (10) were used.

As regards gaseous fuels, the results obtained from the calculation of the carbon dioxide mass are shown in table 7.

Table 7 shows that the calorific value of shale gas is higher than the one of the other gas mixtures.
Table 6

NAMES OF BIOFUELS

| Biofuel            | Chemical formula | Sample characterisation                                      |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ethanol            | C₂H₅OH           | Ethanol can replace petrol or can be blended with it in certain proportions; |
| Ethyl stearate     | C₁₈H₃₇O₂         | Ethyl stearate may replace – wholly or partly – diesel fuel.  |
| Methyl linolate    | C₁₈H₃₇O₂         | Methyl linolate may replace – wholly or partly – diesel fuel.  |

Table 7

RESULTS OF CARBON DIOXIDE MASS AND CALORIFIC VALUE CALCULATION FOR GASEOUS MIXTURES

| Compound               | CL1       | GA1       | GA2       | CT1       | Csir       |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Carbon dioxide mass    | 2.0813    | 2.1458    | 2.0918    | 1.9946    | 2.3949     |
| Inferior caloric power | 358.00    | 364.00    | 357.00    | 344.00    | 41974.1    |
| Superior caloric power | 9.972     | 10.137    | 9.941     | 9.5567    | 11.659     |

As shown in table 11, there is a decreasing distribution of fuel relative to the value of the carbon dioxide emission factor: \( E_{CO_2,S,Liquid\ fuel} > E_{CO_2,S,Liquid\ fuel} > E_{CO_2,S,Gaseous\ fuel} \)

One of the conclusions to be highlighted in table 11 is that the values of the carbon dioxide emission factor corresponding to shale gas burning are the lowest.

Table 8

RESULTS OF THE CALCULATION OF LIQUID FUEL MASS FRACTION, CARBON DIOXIDE MASS AND CALORIFIC VALUE

| Liquid fuels          | Gasolina   | Diesel fuel | Liquefied petroleum gases |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Carbon mass fraction, \( g_c \) | 0.8325     | 0.8629     | 0.8302                     |
| Hydrogen mass fraction, \( g_h \) | 0.1475     | 0.1341     | 0.1798                     |
| Carbon dioxide mass, \( m_{CO_2} \) | 3.126      | 3.175      | 3.007                      |

Table 9

RESULTS OF THE CALCULATION OF SOLID FUEL MASS FRACTION AND CARBON DIOXIDE MASS

| Solid fuels          |Anthracite |Bit coal |Lignite |Wood |Hardwood |Softwood |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|--------|-----|----------|----------|
| Carbon mass fraction, \( g_c \) | 0.8587     | 0.8423  | 0.6490 | 0.5059 | 0.5100  |
| Hydrogen mass fraction, \( g_h \) | 0.0415     | 0.1577  | 0.3510 | 0.2595 | 0.4800  |
| Carbon dioxide mass, \( m_{CO_2} \) | 3.515      | 3.088   | 2.379  | 1.8520 | 1.8700  |

Table 10

CALCULATION RESULTS FOR BIOFUELS

| Biofuels          | Ethanol | Ethyl stearate | Methyl linolate |
|-------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| Carbon mass fraction, \( g_c \) | 0.5217  | 0.7692         | 0.7756          |
| Hydrogen mass fraction, \( g_h \) | 0.1304  | 0.1282         | 0.1156          |
| Oxygen mass fraction, \( g_o \) | 0.3479  | 0.1026         | 0.1688          |
| Inferior caloric power, \( H_i \) | 28125.56| 39934.73       | 38545.1         |
| Superior caloric power, \( H_s \) | 31071.29| 42830.77       | 41156.5         |
| Carbon dioxide mass, \( m_{CO_2} \) | 1.913   | 2.82           | 2.844           |
It is seen that, for lignite, the carbon dioxide emission relative to the net calorific value is the highest, \( \varepsilon_{CO2,I, Lignite} = 1396 \text{ g CO}_2/\text{kWh} \), whereas shale gas has the lowest value, \( \varepsilon_{CO2,S, Gsist} = 205 \text{ g CO}_2/\text{kWh} \).

As regards the carbon dioxide emission relative to the gross calorific value, table 11 shows that lignite has the highest value, \( \varepsilon_{CO2,S, Lignite} = 1136 \text{ g CO}_2/\text{kWh} \), whereas shale gas has the lowest value, \( \varepsilon_{CO2,S, Gsist} = 186 \text{ g CO}_2/\text{kWh} \).

The highest values of the carbon dioxide emission factor are obtained for solid fuels, namely:

\[
\varepsilon_{CO2,S,Lignite} > \varepsilon_{CO2,S,Hardwood} > \varepsilon_{CO2,S,Softwood} \\
> \varepsilon_{CO2,S,Coal} > \varepsilon_{CO2,S,Anthracite}
\]

As for biofuels, table 11 shows that:

\[
\varepsilon_{CO2,J,Methyl	ext{ }n}	ext{onoclate} > \varepsilon_{CO2,J,Enol} > \varepsilon_{CO2,I,Enol}
\]

As shown in table 11, petrol and ethanol blending leads to the reduction of carbon dioxide emissions. The new European Directives require that starting 1 January 2018 Romania should introduce on the market petrol with a biofuel content of at least 8% by volume. As of 1 January 2016, diesel fuel must have a biofuel content of at least 6.5% by volume. Biofuels are fuels produced from renewable resources, and their use reduces carbon dioxide emissions [14].

According to the National Institute of Statistics, in 2016 biofuel consumption in transport increased by 4.46% compared to the year 2000. According to the data provided by S.C. Enel Energie Muntenia S.A., the CO\(_2\) emission factor in Romania for the year 2016 was 287.11 g/kWh.

**Conclusions**

From a qualitative point of view, the evaluation of the carbon dioxide emission factor provides a hierarchy of the main fuels used.

From a quantitative point of view, the amount of carbon dioxide that reaches the atmosphere can be estimated for each fuel type. Depending on the amount of carbon dioxide released by combustion, a strategy for each fuel category/type can be created.

The carbon dioxide emission factor can be used as an additional criterion for making decisions regarding fuel choice and energy strategy.

**Nomenclature**

- \( g \) - Mass fraction of component \( i \) in a gas mixture, kg component \( i \)/kg fuel;
- \( H \) - Caloric Power, \( \text{kJ}/\text{kg}, \text{kJ}/\text{m}^3 \);
- \( M \) - Molar Mass, kg/kmol;
- \( m \) - Mass, kg;
- \( r \) - Volumetric fraction of component \( i \) in a gas mixture, m\(^3\) component \( i \)/m\(^3\) fuel;
- \( V \) - Volume, m\(^3\);
- \( \varepsilon_{CO2} \) - Carbon dioxide emission, g/kWh;
- \( \rho_{15} \) - Relative density (the density of the liquid petroleum fuels relative to the water, at 15\( ^\circ \))

**Subscript**

- \( C \) - Carbon
- \( CO_2 \) - carbon dioxide
- \( H \) - Hydrogen
- \( I \) - Inferior
- \( m \) - coefficient
- \( n \) - coefficient
- \( O \) - Oxygen
- \( r \) - coefficient
- \( S \) - Superior
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