Human Decidual Natural Killer Cells Are a Unique NK Cell Subset with Immunomodulatory Potential

Louise A. Koopman, Hernan D. Kopcow, Basya Rybalov, Jonathan E. Boyson, Jordan S. Orange, Frederick Schatz, Rachel Masch, Charles J. Lockwood, Asher D. Schachter, Peter J. Park, and Jack L. Strominger

Abstract
Natural killer cells constitute 50–90% of lymphocytes in human uterine decidua in early pregnancy. Here, CD56bright uterine decidual NK (dNK) cells were compared with the CD56bright and CD56dim peripheral NK cell subsets by microarray analysis, with verification of results by flow cytometry and RT-PCR. Among the ∼10,000 genes studied, 278 genes showed at least a threefold change with P < 0.001 when comparing the dNK and peripheral NK cell subsets, most displaying increased expression in dNK cells. The largest number of these encoded surface proteins, including the unusual lectinlike receptors NKG2E and Ly-49L, several killer cell Ig-like receptors, the integrin subunits αD, αX, β1, and β5, and multiple tetraspanins (CD9, CD151, CD53, CD63, and TSPAN-5). Additionally, two secreted proteins, galectin-1 and progestagen-associated protein 14, known to have immunomodulatory functions, were selectively expressed in dNK cells.

Key words: pregnancy • maternal-fetal relations • natural killer cells • gene expression profiling • lymphocyte subsets

Introduction
In pregnancy, hemiallogeneic fetal cells invade the maternal decidua but remain spared from attack by the maternal immune system, posing a great unsolved paradox of immunology (1). Although several factors have been proposed to explain or contribute to maternal tolerance, our understanding of the immunobiology of normal pregnancy and its implications for pregnancy-related pathologies is still limited (2). One prominent feature of the pregnant human decidua is the striking abundance of NK cells, which constitute ∼70% of resident lymphocytes (3). In contrast, NK cells in peripheral blood comprise <15% of circulating lymphocytes (4). Thus, human uterine decidual NK (dNK) cells have been thought to play a role in implantation and pregnancy, at least in early gestation. Although the precise functions of dNK cells in vivo are still unknown, their proximity to the invading trophoblasts, which lack expression of classical HLA-A and -B antigens (5) but selectively express HLA-C and the nonclassical HLA-E, -G, and CD1d molecules (6–9), has led to the proposal that these MHC antigens on trophoblasts interact with NK cell receptors (3, 10). Human NK cell receptors include the C-type lectinlike NKG2 receptors that associate with CD94 and the killer cell Ig-like receptors (KIRs), each of which have both inhibitory and activating isoforms that depend on the presence of immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motifs (ITIMs) in their cytoplasmic tails or immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activating motifs in associated molecules, such as DAP12. Moreover, the KIR locus is polygenic and polymorphic, giving rise to variable KIR repertoires that are expressed on sub-
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sets of NK cells among individuals. However, it is still unclear if and which receptors on dNK cells interact with trophoblast-expressed HLA molecules, and whether such interactions inhibit NK cell lysis, or lead to production of cytokines that favor normal placentation development and maintenance of pregnancy (11). Moreover, the interactions between NK cell receptors and their ligands have been investigated mostly on peripheral blood NK (pNK) cells, but the relationship between dNK and pNK cells is unclear.

pNK cells comprise two different subsets, the predominant CD56\textsuperscript{dim} NK cell subset and the much smaller CD56\textsuperscript{bright} NK cell subset, constituting ∼95 and 5\% of pNK cells, respectively (4). CD56\textsuperscript{dim} pNK cells express high levels of CD16 and both CD94-associated lectinlike NKG2 receptors and KIRs, are granular, and known to be cytotoxic. In contrast, CD56\textsuperscript{bright} pNK cells are mostly devoid of granules, CD16, and KIRs, but express higher amounts of other markers (12–15), such as CD94 (16) and L-selectin (17). Recent papers demonstrate greater cytokine production capacity of CD56\textsuperscript{bright} than CD56\textsuperscript{dim} pNK cells and, thus, suggest an immunoregulatory role for the CD56\textsuperscript{bright} pNK subset (18, 19). The resemblance of dNK cells to the CD56\textsuperscript{bright} pNK subset, at least in terms of their CD56\textsuperscript{bright} CD16\textsuperscript{neg} phenotype, has suggested that dNK cells are derived from CD56\textsuperscript{bright} pNK cells that are seeded in the uterus and undergo further differentiation in the decidual microenvironment. For instance, they both exhibit low cytotoxicity against the NK cell target K562 (20), and contain cytoplasmic CD3e mRNA (21), in contrast to CD56\textsuperscript{dim} pNK cells. On the other hand, like CD56\textsuperscript{dim} pNK, dNK cells are granulated and express KIRs (22, 23). Yet, other properties seem unique to dNK cells, such as the expression of the activation marker CD69 (24) and the absence of L-selectin (25). Despite some additional characterization of phenotypic and functional differences between dNK and pNK cells (26), a comprehensive comparison of dNK cells in relation to both CD56\textsuperscript{bright} and CD56\textsuperscript{dim} pNK cell subsets is lacking.

In this work, patterns of gene expression in freshly isolated CD56\textsuperscript{bright} pNK, CD56\textsuperscript{dim} pNK, and dNK cells were compared using oligonucleotide microarrays. The transcriptional profiles provide detailed information on the differences between dNK and pNK cells, as well as between the peripheral CD56\textsuperscript{dim} and CD56\textsuperscript{bright} subsets. Using a stringent statistical approach, a large number of differentially expressed genes (197) were identified between dNK and pNK cells, whereas only ∼40 genes distinguished the two subsets of pNK cells from each other. Multiple genes were expressed in dNK cells whose transcripts were completely absent in pNK cells. A number of differentially expressed genes/proteins were validated by RT-PCR or flow cytometry. Several genes differentially and/or uniquely expressed in dNK cells encode proteins that are likely to be involved in maternal-fetal tolerance and provide potential critical targets for further study of dNK cells.

Materials and Methods

Samples

Decidual samples from patients undergoing elective abortion in the first trimester between 6 and 12 wk of gestation were collected at the New York University Medical Center. Decidual tissue was washed extensively in PBS supplemented with 50 μg/ml gentamicin before mincing with sterile scissors. Decidual lymphocytes were released by digesting the tissue with 0.1% collagenase type IV and 0.01% DNase I (both from Sigma-Aldrich) in RPMI 1640 medium for 30 min at 37°C. Decidual stromal cells and macrophages were allowed to adhere to tissue culture plates for 2 h at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO\textsubscript{2} incubator. Lymphocytes from the overlying solution were subsequently purified by density gradient centrifugation (Ficoll-Hypaque; Amersham Biosciences) and used for flow cytometric staining and cell sorting. Peripheral blood lymphocytes were isolated from peripheral blood from anonymous healthy donors using Ficoll either directly or after enrichment for NK cells using Rosettesep according to the manufacturer’s instructions (StemCell Technologies Inc.).

Flow Cytometry

The following murine mAbs, purified or directly conjugated with FITC, PE, or CyChrome, were used in FACS\textsuperscript{®} analysis: anti–CD3, anti–CD56, anti–CD16, anti–CD9, anti–KIR2DL1/S1 (CD158a; EB6), anti–KIR2DL2/2DS2/2DL3 (CD158b; GL183), and anti–KIR3DL1 (CD158e; NKB1), which were all from BD Biosciences; anti–CD62L (DakoCytomation); anti–KIR2DL4 (KIR2DL4–specific mAbs 33, 36, and 64, supplied by E. Long [National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD; reference 27]), and IgG and IgM isotype controls (BD Biosciences). Secondary anti–mouse Ig fluorescent conjugates used were goat F(ab’\textsuperscript{2}) anti–mouse IgG-FITC, goat F(ab’\textsuperscript{2}) anti–mouse IgG + IgM–FITC, and goat anti–mouse IgG–PE (Caltag). For FACS\textsuperscript{®} staining and sorting, cells were washed in PBS supplemented with 2% FCS and incubated with mAb on ice for 30 min, followed by washing twice with PBS, pH 7.2, and 2\% FCS. Cell sorting and fluorescence measurements were performed on a MoFlo high performance cell sorter (DakoCytomation). For fluorescence measurements only, data from 10,000 to 50,000 single cell events were collected using a standard FACS\textsuperscript{Calibur}\textsuperscript{®} flow cytometer (Immunocytometry Systems; Becton Dickinson). Data were analyzed using CELLQuest\textsuperscript{®} (Becton Dickinson) or Flow Jo (Treestar).
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Microarray Data Analysis

Sample Clustering. Sample clustering analysis was performed with D-chip software (http://www.dchip.org). Intensity values were normalized by the model-based expression analysis method (29). Genes with variable expression levels across the 19 samples were selected by applying two criteria as follows: genes should be expressed (have presence calls) in at least 20% of the 19 samples, and \( \sigma / \mu \), ratio should be >0.5 and <25, where \( \sigma \) and \( \mu \) are the standard deviation and mean of the hybridization intensity values of each particular gene across all samples, respectively. An unsupervised hierarchical clustering algorithm (30) was applied to group the 19 samples based on the similarity of the expression profiles of the selected genes.

Student’s T Test Comparisons and Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes. The 19 samples were normalized by setting a trimmed mean (using middle 95% of the distribution) to be the same; there were no noticeable problems with saturation or other nonlinear effects. To reduce the number of genes for subsequent analysis, a conservative requirement was applied that a gene must be present (according to the Affymetrix, Inc. algorithm) in at least 5 of the 19 samples, given the smallest sample size of 5 in both pNK cell groups.

Samples were grouped according to their biological origin in dNK (nine samples), CD56dim pNK (five samples), and CD56bright pNK (five samples). Three pairwise comparisons (dNK vs. CD56bright pNK, dNK vs. CD56dim pNK, and CD56bright pNK vs. CD56dim pNK) were performed to identify differentially expressed genes using the Student’s t test with unequal variances. At \( P \leq 0.01 \) (unadjusted for multiple testing), >1,100 genes were significantly differentially expressed in the pairwise comparisons involving dNK cells, whereas \( \sim 300 \) were differentially expressed in the CD56bright pNK versus CD56dim pNK cell comparison. To rule out the possibility that this result was generated by the larger sample size in the case of dNK (nine samples vs. five of each pNK subset), the analysis was repeated with all possible sets of five samples from the nine original decidual samples. Although the total number of differentially expressed genes between all three subsets was slightly less, the number of differentially expressed genes between dNK and pNK was 535, and nearly three times the number of such genes between CD56bright pNK and CD56dim cells (Table SII available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20030305/DC1).

278 genes showing at least a threefold change in transcript levels at Student’s t test \( P \leq 0.001 \) in at least one of the three pairwise comparisons were considered for further analysis. For genes represented by multiple probesets, the result for only one representative probeset is shown. Genes were classified, based on information available on the worldwide web (see Online Supplemental Material).

For Venn diagrams, genes were considered to be up-regulated in a particular NK subset if they showed a significant fold increase \( (P \leq 0.001) \) in at least one of the Student’s t test comparisons involving that particular subset. Venn diagrams were constructed by intersecting the set of genes considered up-regulated in each of the three NK cell groups.

RT-PCR. RT-PCR was performed using equal amounts of RNA available from three dNK cell samples and CD56dim and CD56bright pNK cells. First strand cDNA was synthesized from 300 ng total RNA using Superscript II Reverse transcriptase for RT-PCR, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Life Technologies). PCR was done with 2 \( \mu \)l cDNA template in a total volume of 50 \( \mu \)l containing 1.5 mM MgCl\(_2\), 10 mM dNTP, 2U Taq DNA polymerase, and 10 \( \mu \)M of each primer in 10X PCR buffer (Taq DNA polymerase PCR kit; QIAGEN). The PCR reaction profile consisted of 1 min at 95°C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C, and extension for 30 s at 72°C, with a final 5-min extension at 72°C. 10 \( \mu \)l of each product was visualized on 1–2% agarose gels. Primers used were as follows: NKG2E-Fw (5’-AGAATTTACTTCAAAATGTTC-3’) and NKG2E-Rev (5’-GATTCTTGAAGATCCACTGTTG-3’) for specific amplification of a 553-bp NKG2E product; NKG2C-Fw (5’-GAAGAGAGTTTGCTGGCCTGTA-3’), NKG2C-Rev (5’-CAGTGGGCGTTATTTAAGTCG-3’) for a 242-bp NKG2C product, \( \beta\)-actin-Fw (5’-AATCGGACGAGCAGGAAA-3’) and \( \beta\)-actin-Rev (5’-TCGCTGAGGATCCTGAGGTTG-3’) for a 353-bp \( \beta\)-actin product; progestagen-associated protein 14 (PP14)/GdA-Fw (5’-AGACTGCTGCCACCC-3’) and PP14/GdA-Rev (5’-GGAGATCCTGCCTTGTTCC-3’) for a 544-bp PP14/GdA; and GAL1-Fw (5’-CCCGCGGAGCCGCAA-3’) and GAL1-Rev (5’-AGAGCAGCTGCTTATTTAGT-3’) for a 295-bp galectin-1 product.

Online Supplemental Material. The supplemental material, data sets, Tables S1 and S2, and Figs. S1 and S2 are available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20030305/DC1. The supplemental material provides further details about cRNA preparation and hybridization, and gene classification, as well as explanatory comments for supplemental microarray Data Sets 1–3. Table S1 lists samples used for hybridization to genechips. Table S2 summarizes the number of differentially expressed genes in pairwise comparisons. Fig. S1 supplements Fig. 3. Fig. S2 shows FACS® and RT-PCR results for relevant markers after applying the procedure used for isolating dNK cells to pNK cells.

Results and Discussion

Comparison of Gene Expression in Human dNK and pNK Cells by Microarray Analysis

CD56bright dNK cells and the two subsets of pNK cells (CD56bright and CD56dim) have been distinguished by only a few differentially expressed surface markers (3). The functional differences among them are still unclear. To further characterize dNK cells in relation to pNK cells and to discover genes with relative overexpression that could provide clues to their function, the gene expression profiles of freshly isolated NK cells from decidual and peripheral blood were compared. Cells obtained as described in Materials and Methods were flow sorted as illustrated in Fig. 1 A. The hybridization results, listing the number of present calls, the average hybridization intensities, and standard deviations for each NK cell subset (nine dNK, five CD56bright pNK, and five CD56dim pNK cell samples) and all 12,558 probe sets are available in Data Set S1 (available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20030305/DC1).

The 19 samples were organized on the basis of overall similarity in their gene expression patterns by an unsupervised hierarchical clustering algorithm of variable genes (30). A dendrogram, in which the pattern and length of the
branches reflect the comparative difference in gene expression profiles between each of the dNK and pNK cell samples is shown in Fig. 1 B. dNK cells are clearly distinct from both subsets of pNK cells, as illustrated by the length of the two terminal branches. Within the group of pNK cells, a secondary branching point separates the CD56<sup>bright</sup> and CD56<sup>dim</sup> samples. At the transcriptional level, dNK cells differ notably from both subsets of pNK cells and are more distinct than the two peripheral CD56<sup>bright</sup> and CD56<sup>dim</sup> subsets are from each other. In other words, the two subsets of pNK cells are far more closely related to each other than either is to dNK cells.

Pairwise Student’s t test comparisons of the hybridization data with the three NK cell subsets using P ≤ 0.01 subsequently revealed differential expression of 1,785 genes, most of which were found in the comparisons involving dNK cells. Even after adjusting for the uneven sample sizes, the number of genes differentially expressed between the pNK subsets was about three times smaller (Table SII).

To reduce the number of differentially expressed genes to a manageable number, genes with a fold change of three or greater in at least one of the three pairwise comparisons at P ≤ 0.001 were considered. These stringent criteria were met by 278 genes. An image of these genes clustered by similar expression patterns is represented in Fig. 1 C. Overall, ~70% of the 278 differentially expressed genes were overexpressed in dNK cells (197 genes) as compared with either CD56<sup>bright</sup> or CD56<sup>dim</sup> pNK cells, whereas roughly 30% of genes were overexpressed in pNK cells versus dNK cells, as illustrated by a Venn diagram (Fig. 2 A).

As an internal control, CD56, a prototypical NK cell marker, was first considered. CD56 (NCAM-1) appeared in the list of 278 genes, and revealed higher intensities in dNK as compared with both pNK subsets. This was also observed by FACS® analysis (Fig. 1 A and see Fig. 4 A). CD16 identifies CD56 dim NK cells and was absent in CD56<sup>bright</sup> pNK and dNK cells (see Fig. 4 B). The latter result was foreseen because cell separation included CD16 expression as one parameter (Fig. 1 A). After classification of the genes into functional categories, the largest number of transcripts enriched in dNK cells were those encoding enriched peripheral blood preparations were typically already >99% CD3-negative), cells were sorted on the basis of CD56 and CD16 expression. (B) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of 19 freshly sorted NK cell samples based on the expression profile of genes with variable expression levels across all samples. The organization and length of the branches in the resulting dendrogram reflect the similarity in gene expression profiles between each of the samples. No correlation between gestational age (range, 6–12 wk) and clustering pattern was observed. (C) Relative intensity profiles of 278 genes differentially expressed with at least a threefold change at P ≤ 0.001 in at least one of the three comparisons (dNK vs. CD56<sup>bright</sup> pNK; dNK vs. CD56<sup>dim</sup> pNK; CD56<sup>bright</sup> vs. CD56<sup>dim</sup> pNK). Each row represents relative hybridization intensities of a particular gene across different samples. Each column represents one sample. Colors reflect the magnitude of relative expression of a particular gene across samples. Brighter red means higher expression, brighter green means lower expression, and black means average intensity across samples.

Figure 1. dNK CD56<sup>bright</sup>, pNK CD56<sup>bright</sup>, and pNK CD56<sup>dim</sup> cells represent three different NK cell subsets. (A) Typical gates used for flow sorting dNK (red), pNK CD56<sup>bright</sup>CD16<sup>-</sup> (green), and CD56<sup>dim</sup>CD16<sup>-</sup> (blue) NK cell subsets. Decidual and peripheral lymphocyte suspensions were triple stained with directly conjugated mAbs reactive with CD3, CD16, and CD56. Lymphocytes were first gated by forward scatter/side scatter characteristics. After setting a gate on CD3 negative cells (NK-
membrane proteins (Fig. 2 B). Additionally, the 278 differentially expressed genes are shown with fold changes for each comparison in Fig. 3 (also see Fig. S1, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20030305/DC1). Expression of several of these genes was examined by either flow cytometry (Fig. 4) or RT-PCR (Fig. 5).

**Membrane Proteins Overexpressed in dNK Cells**

**Tetraspanins and Integrin Subunits.** Among the 278 differentially expressed genes, a large number of genes were surface molecules and receptors (Fig. 2 B and Fig. 3 A). Among the genes in this category, three members of the transmembrane 4 superfamily (CD151, CD9, and tetraspan-5; also known as tetraspanins) were exclusively expressed in dNK cells. Two integrin subunits, the αD (ITGAD;CD11d) and the β5 (ITGB5) subunits were also highly overexpressed by dNK. Other tetraspanins (CD53 and CD63) and integrin subunits (β1 [ITGB1] and αx [ITGAX;CD11c]) were relatively overexpressed in dNK cells in relation to at least one of the peripheral subsets. Integrins are involved in cell–cell and cell–extracellular matrix interactions and influence diverse processes such as cell adhesion, migration, activation, and interaction with target cells. Many of the tetraspanins may facilitate these processes by assembling molecules into membrane clusters, distinct from lipid rafts (e.g., by participating in functional signaling complexes with other integrins, lineage-markers, or other tetraspanins; references 31–36). The overexpression of several of these proteins in dNK cells may be related to the mechanisms used by dNK cells for migration to, retention within, and/or their effector function within the pregnant uterine mucosa. FACS® analysis confirmed surface expression of both CD9 and CD151. CD9 is exclusively expressed on dNK cells and emerges as a specific marker for these cells ex vivo (Fig. 4, C and D).

Interestingly, a ligand for murine CD9, murine pregnancy–specific glycoprotein (PSG) 17, was recently identified (37). This prompts the interesting hypothesis that dNK cells, through their CD9 receptor, may interact with placental PSGs and consequently produce cytokines that support successful pregnancy. PSGs are a family of highly similar, placenta-secreted proteins, originally isolated from the circulation of pregnant women. In humans, PSG concentration in the bloodstream increases exponentially until term (38) and low PSG levels are associated with recurrent spontaneous abortions (39). Administration of anti-PSG Abs induced spontaneous abortion in primates, indicating that PSGs are essential for successful pregnancy (40). In addition, PSGs may induce production of anti-inflammatory cytokines by monocytes (41).

**Lectinlike Receptors.** Of particular interest, NKG2C and NKG2E genes both were highly significantly overexpressed in dNK cells. Both showed increased hybridization in the three- to fivefold range (Fig. 3 A and Fig. 5). The specificity of this result was confirmed by RT-PCR. NKG2C and NKG2E are CD94-associated members of the C-type lectin like receptors with presumed activating functions. The CD94/NKG2C heterodimer associates with DAP12 and binds to HLA-E (42, 43). Although the resemblance of NKG2E to NKG2C in terms of an ITIM-lacking cytoplasmic domain (both containing a Lys residue in the transmembrane region that may lead to association with DAP12) suggests an activating function, the ligand and functions of NKG2E have not been described previously (44). Moreover, detection of NKG2E protein has not been reported.

The CD94-associated lectinlike inhibitory receptor NKG2A, which bears two ITIMs in the cytoplasmic domain and for which the ligand is HLA-E, was not represented on the chip because the polymorphism and isoforms of this gene makes it difficult to select specific probes. Its expression on the three NK cell subsets in association with CD94 was detected by FACS® analysis using the NKG2A-
### Table A: Membrane proteins/receptors

| Gene   | GB       | Probe ID      | P-calls*      | dNK vs pNK<sub>bright</sub> | dNK vs pNK<sub>dim</sub> | pNK<sub>bright</sub> vs pNK<sub>dim</sub> |
|--------|----------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| CD152  | D206876  | 30444 at      | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| ITGAD  | U402279  | 633 at        | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| CD62L  | M150060  | 30444 at      | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| NK1    | A3631002 | 391103 at     | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| CTNNB1 | U30116    | 41167 at      | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| ILK2D1 | U14167    | 63301 at      | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| KIR3DS1| L14286    | 63301 at      | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| KLRCD3 | U17218    | 63301 at      | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| ITGAV  | W04200    | 391103 at     | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| TSP1   | A123400   | 391103 at     | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| TRAP   | J00340    | 391103 at     | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| SLC1A5 | U19030    | 391103 at     | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |
| I1S262 | A014040   | 391103 at     | 6.0           | 9.2                         | 6.0                       | 1.0                                      |

### Figure 3

Differentially expressed genes in dNK and pNK cells. Fold changes of genes that showed greater than or equal to threefold change. P ≤ 0.001 (black bars) in at least one of the three pairwise comparisons: dNK versus pNK<sup>bright</sup> (left diagrams), dNK versus pNK<sup>dim</sup> (middle diagrams), and pNK<sup>bright</sup> versus pNK<sup>dim</sup> (right diagrams). The 278 transcripts that met these criteria were classified into the following 10 categories: I, surface molecules/receptors/adhesion (A, 58 genes); II, chemokines/cytokines/immunomodulatory and other secreted proteins (B, 12 genes); III, immune effector molecules and apoptosis related (C, 7 genes); IV, signal transduction related (32 genes); V, cytokine related (26 genes); VI, cell cycle + stress (15 genes); VII, DNA-binding/
specific mAb Z199 and anti-CD94. CD94 was not differentially expressed in the chip analyses (unpublished data). The manner in which signals mediated through activating and inhibitory receptors on the same cell are integrated remains to be explored.

Ly-49L (Fig. 3 A, KLRA1) was present and overexpressed in transcription/translation (33 genes); VIII, metabolism (23 genes); IX, other genes (40 genes); and X, genes with unknown function (33 genes). The first three categories are shown in this figure (A–C); data for the remaining categories are shown as Fig. S1. Black and gray bars represent fold changes in pairwise comparisons with significance levels $P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.05$, respectively. White bars represent statistically nonsignificant changes. Gene, gene name, or gene symbol obtained from Locuslink or NetAffx (Affymetrix, Inc.). GB, GenBank accession number. Probe ID, Affymetrix probeset designation. P-(Presence) calls (dNK, bright, and dim), number of present calls by Affymetrix algorithms in nine dNK, five pNK CD56bright, and five CD56dim NK cells, respectively.

Figure 4. Verification of selected surface expressed molecules by flow cytometry. To compare protein expression on the different NK cell populations (gated as in Fig. 1 A), triple or quadruple [CD56 + CD16 + (CD3) + (marker of interest) on NK-enriched peripheral blood preparations; CD56 + CD3 + (CD16) + (marker of interest) on decidual samples] staining was performed. Histogram overlays (representative of at least five experiments) demonstrating the expression of various markers are shown together with genechip hybridization intensity data (bars) for the probeset of the corresponding gene. Gray and black histograms represent the isotype controls for pNK and dNK cells, respectively. Bands and bars are color-coded for dNK (red), CD56bright pNK (green), and CD56dim pNK cells (blue). Numbers on bars represent number of “present” calls (according to Affymetrix, Inc. algorithms) per number of samples hybridized. CD56 (a), CD16 (b), CD9 (c), CD151 (d), KIR2DL3* (e), KIR3DL1** (f), and KIR2DL4 (g) were among the list of 278 differentially expressed genes fitting the stringent criteria (threefold change and $P < 0.001$ in any of the comparisons). KIR2DL3 was verified with mAb CD158b, (reactive besides KIR2DL3, with KIR2DS3 and KIR2DL2/S2 that were not represented on the chip)**KIR3DL1 was verified with mAb NK1B1 (also reactive with KIR3DS1). CD62L (h), shown as an example of a gene differentially expressed in CD56bright pNK cells (17), was differentially expressed resulting from pairwise Student’s $t$ test comparisons at $P < 0.01$, but did not fit the more stringent criteria of threefold change and $P < 0.001$. Levels of significance per comparison are indicated. NS, not significant.
pressed in nearly all of the dNK cells but absent from both sets of pNK cells (Fig. 3A, P-calls). This unusual transcript is derived from a gene that encodes a member of the lectin superfamily closely related to the large family of murine Ly-49 genes. The single human gene has been found expressed only as transcripts that would lead to a truncated protein, due to a single nucleotide substitution preceding a splice donor site in Exon 5 (45, 46). However, the full-length transcript was found in both baboons and the orangutan (47, 48). It remains to be seen whether the full-length transcript and the corresponding protein can be produced in human materials, particularly in dNK cells, and if so, what its function might be.

**KIR Genes.** All of the KIR genes for which probes were present on the genechip (KIR3DL1, KIR3DL2, KIR2DL3, and KIR2DL4) were overexpressed by dNK cells (Fig. 3A). KIRs have been known to be expressed on both dNK and CD56dim pNK cells, but not on CD56 bright pNK cells. Staining for KIRs using the available mAbs CD158a (unpublished data), CD158b, and NKB1 (Fig. 4, E and F) consistently showed a much higher proportion of positive cells in dNK cells than in CD56dim pNK cells, in keeping with the increased transcript levels found on the average in dNK cells. These data are in accordance with previously published data on KIR expression in peripheral and decidual blood from the same individual (22).

KIR2DL4 expression has been reported on both dNK and pNK cells (49, 50). Although it has been suggested that it is the HLA-G receptor, other recent data do not support this conclusion (51, 52). The present findings indicate that KIR2DL4 is transcribed in dNK cells to a higher degree than in CD56dim or CD56bright pNK cells (Fig. 3A). Using anti-KIR2DL4-specific antibodies (27), the surface expression of this receptor protein was found in 5 out of 11 tested dNK cell samples, but was not detectable on pNK cells in 5 tested samples (Fig. 4 G). In contrast with many of the other KIRs that are variably present in individual genomes, KIR2DL4 is found in all haplotypes. Yet, a single base deletion in the KIR2DL4 gene, which predicts generation of a molecule with a shortened cytoplasmic tail (53) and possibly a protein which is ultimately not surface expressed, occurs. A considerable number of individuals are homozygous for this deletion (54), which may partly explain the lack of reactivity seen with the anti-KIR2DL4 mAb.

### Figure 5.

Confirmation of transcript expression by RT-PCR analysis. RT-PCR was performed on total RNA isolated from human dNK, CD56dim, and CD56bright pNK cells. Results shown are representative of three different samples for each of these NK cell types (right). Genechip hybridization data for the 19 samples and statistical significance levels of pairwise comparisons are presented (left). Numbers on bars represent number of present calls (according to Affymetrix, Inc. algorithms) per number of samples hybridized to genechips. Fold changes and levels of significance per comparison are indicated. NS, not significant. Specific transcripts for NKG2C, NKG2E, galectin-1, and PP14 were detected in dNK cell samples by RT-PCR, whereas their presence appeared to be relatively less (or absent in the case of PP14) in both pNK cell subsets. In the genechip experiments, these transcripts were found to be overexpressed in dNK cells with fold differences, 3- to 9-fold (NKG2s and PP14) and 6- to 20-fold (galectin-1). MQ, Millipore Q water.
Molecules with Immunomodulatory Potential

Galectin-1 and Core 2 β-1,6-N-acetylglucosaminyl Transferase (C2GNT). Although galectin-1 is expressed by all NK cell types, its transcription level is greatly elevated in dNK cells relative to the other two subsets (Fig. 3 B), a result that was confirmed by RT-PCR (Fig. 5). Galectin-1 is a member of a small family of carbohydrate-binding proteins (galectins 1–14; reference 55). Although galectins recognize N-acetyl-lactosamine (galactosyl-N-acetylglucosamine), they have a higher affinity for polylactosamine moieties present in N-glycosylated and core 2 O-glycosylated glycoproteins. Two enzymes are essential for the presence of these moieties on N- or O-glycan structures. For N-glycans, Mgt5 initiates a fourth branch to form a tetraantenary glycan, which is elongated with polylactosamine groups. For O-glycans, C2GNT initiates a second branch (core 2) on O-glycans that is also further elongated with polylactosamine residues (56, 57). Divalent galectin-1 homodimers binding to cell surface O- and N-glycosylated glycoproteins mediate cell–cell interactions, and generate lattices that can segregate, group, or affect clustering of cell surface glycopolypeptides (57). Microarray expression profiles show that Mgt5 is not expressed on any of the three subsets of NK cells studied here. Strikingly, however, C2GNT required for core 2 O-glycan synthesis is expressed on dNK cells but on neither of the two subsets of pNK cells (Fig. 3 B).

Galectins have been implicated in immune maturation and modulation through various mechanisms (55). Mgt5 expression in T cells negatively regulates T cell activation and autoimmunity. Galectin binding restricts TCR recruitment to the synapse by formation of a lattice resulting from its binding to tetraantenary N-glycosylated glycoproteins (58). Most recently, galectin-1 has been implicated in pre–B cell and bone marrow stromal cell synapse formation as a stromal cell ligand of the pre–B cell receptor (59). Core 2 O-glycans are expressed by CD4 + CD8 + T cells in a regulated manner during intrathymic maturation (56). Galectin-1 mediates thymocyte binding to stromal cells. It also induces apoptosis of immature CD4^low^CD8^low^ thymocytes and peripheral activated T cells through galectin-1–mediated segregation of O-glycosylated CD45 from CD7 and CD43. This process is dependent on C2GNT expression (57, 60). Other effects of galectin-1 on T cells have been reported previously (55). Two hypotheses are suggested by these data as follows: (a) galectin-1 and C2GNT, the enzyme required to initiate formation of its ligand, are expressed by NK cells in the decidua because they are needed to down-modulate cytotoxic activity; and (b) galectin-1 present on trophoblasts in the placental bed (61) may originate from dNK cells and may function on the trophoblast to down-modulate cytotoxicity.

PP14 (Also Called GaIA, Glycodein A). PP14 was uniquely expressed in dNK cells (Fig. 5). Although its expression level was variable (Fig. 5) and, therefore, did not reach the statistical significance level required for inclusion in Fig. 3, it was present and highly expressed in seven of the nine dNK samples but in neither of the pNK cell subsets. Its differential expression was confirmed by RT-PCR. PP14 is a 28-kD glycoprotein with a unique carbohydrate configuration that is very unusual in mammals. Although it was first isolated from human placenta, it was later shown to be synthesized by endometrial glands and gestational deciduas, with the highest production at 10–14 wk of gestation (62). PP14 has been reported to inhibit T lymphocyte proliferative responses and to negatively regulate T cells by localizing to the APC–T cell contact site and elevating activation thresholds (63). It was also reported to inhibit K562 lysis by pNK cells (64).

Granulysin and Perforin

Granulysin is a characteristic of both dNK and CD56^dim^ pNK cells, both of which are also called large granular lymphocytes (65), whereas CD56^bright^ pNK cells are known to be less granular (15). Granzyme B and perforin (components of cytotoxic granules) were expressed at similar levels in dNK and CD56^dim^ pNK cells, whereas granzyme A was 3- and 10-fold overexpressed in dNK cells versus the CD56^dim^ and CD56^bright^ pNK cells, respectively (Fig. 3 C). Thus, the potential cytolytic function of dNK cells, probably regulated, cannot be ignored. This nascent activity could manifest in the decidua under special circumstances (e.g., virus infection of the trophoblast or decidual remodeling).

Many other genes overexpressed in dNK cells that are presented in Fig. 3 (e.g., CD31, CD38, MIP-1 α/β, Syk, LIF1, AARS, arp2/3 subunit, and tubulins) may be of considerable interest but are beyond the scope of the present paper. Likewise, genes that are absent or have lower expression in dNK cells in relation to pNK cells may be relevant to dNK or pNK cell function and interesting candidates for further study. For instance, toll-like receptor 1 (Fig. 3 A) and SH2D1A (Fig. S1 A) are expressed in both pNK subsets but not in dNK cells. CD6 is expressed only in CD56^dim^ pNK cells, whereas the receptor activator of NF-kB, a new member of the TNF superfamily of receptors, transcripts are found only in CD56^bright^ pNK cells (Fig. 3 A). Both may be relevant to interactions between these and other cells (66, 67). Finally, functions other than a role in fetal-maternal tolerance have been suggested for dNK cells. In particular, roles for them in placental development and in vascular remodeling of uterine spiral arteries have been suggested in the mouse (11, 66, 67). dNK cells may have different functions in response to novel ligands in distinct uterine microenvironments. The data presented in Fig. S1, as well as that included in Fig. 3 (A–C), should be particularly useful to those interested in these and other phenomena associated with pregnancy.

It is worthwhile to ask whether the overexpression of a large number of genes in dNK cells may have been due to activation by the procedure used to isolate them (e.g., disaggregation with collagenase and DNase, incubation to allow adhesion of stromal cells and macrophages to plastic and Ficoll density gradient separation, a procedure requiring 4–6 h [Materials and Methods]). The procedure has been applied previously to isolate lymphocytes from other organs such as pancreas, liver, and intestines without apparent activating effects. Nevertheless, pNK cells were put
through a mock isolation procedure and the markers that distinguished dNK cells from their blood counterparts were analyzed. The mock isolation was performed with and without the addition of human decidual stroma.

FACS® and PCR analysis of the most relevant markers (Figs. 3–5; CD69, a marker for activation; CD9, a characteristic marker of dNK cells as shown in this paper; CD16, a specific marker of CD56bright pNK cells; and PP14, a protein exclusively expressed by dNK cells) was performed. No changes in the expression of these markers on CD56dim or CD56bright pNK cells were observed when the isolation procedure was performed in the absence or in the presence of decidual stroma (Fig. S2 available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20030305/DC1). Nevertheless, it cannot be excluded that changes in a fraction of the 197 up-regulated genes in dNK cells may have been the result of activation during the isolation procedure.

The present paper has provided a detailed characterization of gene expression in the different NK cell subsets present in peripheral blood and early pregnancy decidual tissues. First, dNK cells are remarkably different from either subset of pNK cells; CD56bright pNK cells are far more similar to the CD56dim pNK cell subsets than they are to the CD56bright dNK cells. Second, the majority of differentially expressed genes are overexpressed by dNK cells. In contrast, the relatively small number of differentially expressed genes in the pNK cell comparison are equally distributed between those that were overexpressed in CD56dim versus CD56bright pNK cells, and those overexpressed in CD56bright versus CD56dim pNK cells. The observed differences in gene expression may be explained by two alternative hypotheses as follows: (a) dNK cells represent a distinct lineage of NK cells, possibly arising from a distinct hematopoietic precursor; or (b) the differences reflect a maturation of pNK cells (most likely the CD56bright subset) in the decidual microenvironment. Additionally, the nature of the precursors and sites of differentiation, of dNK cells, and, in particular, the effect of hormones and decidual stroma (whose effect on differentiation have been scarcely studied) are of considerable interest. Special attention must be paid to general effects common to many stroma and possible specific effects that may lead to expression of dNK cell–specific molecules.

Third, and most interestingly, genes that provide exciting new venues for research were overexpressed in dNK cells. These include CD9 and other tetraspanins (at least four in integrin subunits: NKG2C and NKG2E, Ly-49L, KIRs, galectin-1, and PP14), all of which could have immunomodulatory functions during pregnancy. The data strongly suggest a distinct function for dNK cells in pregnancy (i.e., a role in maternal-fetal tolerance).
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