Effect of 12-month rehabilitation with low loading program on chronic respiratory disease
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Abstract. [Purpose] The purpose of this study was to examine the effect of 12-month rehabilitation with low loading program on chronic respiratory disease. [Subjects and Methods] Twelve patients with chronic respiratory disease participated in this study, in which the effect of long-term rehabilitation for 12 months was assessed. Nine patients had chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, two had asthma, and one had interstitial pneumonia. In all patients, symptoms, lower-extremity strength, walking distance, activities of daily living, and quality of life were investigated to examine the effect of respiratory rehabilitation. [Results] After 12 months, the isometric knee extension strength and weight-bearing index both showed a significant increase. [Conclusion] The findings of this study suggested that improvement in lower-limb muscle strength can be achieved through long-term intervention, and indicated the validity of repetitive standing and walking exercises.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a common chronic respiratory disease, and its prevalence rate and mortality are both increasing. The number of patients with a COPD diagnosis in Japan is about 210,000; however, there may be 5,300,000 persons with COPD according to the Nippon COPD Epidemiology study1). According to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease guideline2), the objective of COPD management is to relieve symptoms, prevent and treat exacerbations, improve the health status and exercise tolerance of patients, and prevent comorbidities. Rehabilitation is considered one of the important treatments for COPD2, 3). Dyspnea is the main symptom of a chronic respiratory disease such as COPD, and the activities of daily living (ADL) are gradually compromised by the progression of dyspnea. Patients can fall into a vicious circle in which physical activity declines, disuse syndrome occurs, and exacerbation of dyspnea follows. Many persons with COPD also have psychological symptoms such as depression and insecurity4, 5). These psychological symptoms also have a marked influence on the quality of life (QOL) of patients with chronic respiratory disease. Therefore, the target of rehabilitation is to free patients from the vicious circle by maintaining and improving their ADL, QOL, and physical activity. Many studies have shown that improvement in exercise tolerance, ADL, and QOL can be achieved by means of respiratory rehabilitation, and it is assigned a high level of evidence in COPD guidelines5, 3). However, the effect of long-term respiratory rehabilitation on COPD is still controversial, as the duration of intervention has generally been limited to 6–12 weeks in studies of rehabilitation by physiotherapists7, 10). Accordingly, we examined the effect of long-term rehabilitation that was performed more than once a
month for 12 months by physiotherapists in patients with chronic respiratory disease.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Twelve patients with chronic respiratory disease were recruited from the rehabilitation program by physiotherapists at our hospital and participated in this study. Nine patients had COPD, two had asthma, and one had interstitial pneumonia. The patients comprised of 10 men and 2 women, with an average age of 69.8 ± 8.1 years. Lung function tests showed the following results: vital capacity (VC), 3.4 ± 1.2 L; %VC, 101.7 ± 20.0%; forced expiratory volume (FEV) 1.0%, 55.6 ± 32.7%; and %FEV in 1 s, 48.3 ± 25.0%.

All participants signed a consent form after the study procedures were explained to them in detail. This study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee of Jobu Hospital for respiratory disease (approval no. 20120523).

The following outcome measures and tests were investigated and conducted: height, weight, body mass index (BMI), Medical Research Council dyspnea scale, isometric knee extension strength, strength to body weight-bearing index (WBI), 6-min walking test, Nagasaki University Respiratory ADL questionnaire (NRADL), St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), and COPD assessment test (CAT). The isometric knee extension strength was measured by using a handheld dynamometer (HHD; Anima Corp. μ-tas F-1). The subjects were tested while sitting on a table with the trunk upright and the knee joint in 90° flexion. They were instructed to push against a force plate with maximal effort for approximately 5 s, and the peak torque was recorded. Measurement was done twice in both legs, and the higher of the two measurements for each leg was selected for analysis. The WBI was calculated as a subject’s maximum isometric muscle strength divided by the body weight. Measurements were performed at the start of the intervention and after 12 months of rehabilitation.

The rehabilitation program used in this study was designed for patients with severe muscle weakness and low exercise tolerance, and is expected to be continued for a long period. When patients visited the hospital, they performed knee extension exercises in the sitting position and repetitive standing to increase lower-extremity muscle strength, as well as walking, relaxation including breathing exercises, guidance on the correct breathing method, and ADL practice under a physiotherapist’s supervision. The patients were asked to perform the same program every day at home, except on the day of an outpatient visit. The rehabilitation program on each day was conducted for 30–40 min. The exercises and the loading amount of muscle strength were decided from the results of the first evaluation. Subjects for whom standing was difficult did the knee extension exercises in the sitting position, and those who could stand up easily did repetitive standing. Stand training was performed for three sets of 15 times. The walking training involved continuous walking for 10 min, however, it was stopped if symptoms of desaturation and dyspnea became aggravated in the subjects. The frequency of performing rehabilitation ranged from twice a week to once every 2 months, depending on the subject.

The findings obtained before and after the intervention were compared by using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Spearman’s correlation coefficient analysis was employed to examine the relation among the changes in weight, BMI, and WBI. All statistical analyses were carried out by using SPSS 16.0 software for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and significance was accepted if the p-value was <0.05.

RESULTS

The results of measurements before and after the intervention are shown in Table 1. The average frequency of rehabilitation in all subjects was 1.9 ± 1.4 times per month (range, 1.5–4.5 times). Comparison of data between before intervention (baseline) and after 12 months demonstrated a significant decrease in both body weight and BMI. The isometric knee extension strength and WBI increased significantly on both sides. There were no significant changes in the other variables.

There was a significant correlation (r = 0.996) between the change in body weight and the change in BMI. However, there was no significant correlation between the change in weight and the change in WBI (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

In patients with chronic respiratory diseases such as COPD, long-term rehabilitation has been reported to help achieve an increase in the walking distance, improvement in the QOL, an increase in exercise tolerance, a decrease in hospitalization frequency, and a decrease in acute exacerbation. However, evaluations of its effectiveness have shown varying results. The effect of a long-term respiratory rehabilitation program lasting for >12 weeks is higher than that of a short-term program according to the American College of Chest Physicians/American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation guideline. However, there have been no reports about pulmonary rehabilitation programs used by physiotherapists lasting for >6–12 weeks. Chaincham et al. reported that unsupervised training for 12 months after direct intervention by a physiotherapist for 3 months led to improvement in muscle strength, endurance, the 6-min walking distance, dyspnea, and QOL, and that the effects were still maintained at 24 months; however, the effect of direct intervention by physiotherapists for >3 months has not been investigated before.

The present study revealed that the isometric knee extension strength and WBI were both increased at 12 months com-
pared with those at baseline. Weight and BMI decreased; however, there was no significant correlation between the changes in WBI and weight. Therefore, the increase in WBI was due to an increase in the muscle strength and was not secondary to weight loss.

The rehabilitation program used in this study was designed for patients with severe muscle weakness and low exercise tolerance, and is expected to be continued for a long period. When patients visited the hospital, they performed repetitive standing and breathing exercises and underwent continuous walking training, under the physiotherapist’s supervision. Moreover, they were asked to perform the same program every day at home, except on the day of an outpatient visit.

The increase in muscle strength in the legs after this intervention is thought to be related to repetitive standing and continuous walking training. Exercise tolerance was lower with this program than that achieved with treadmill and ergometer exercises in previous reports13); however, the exercise tolerance achieved was suitable for patients who had severe muscle weakness at the baseline evaluation, and the program resulted in an adequate improvement in muscle strength. According to a meta-analysis of the prolonged effect of rehabilitation, exercise tolerance is maintained after the end of the rehabilitation program14). In the present study, many patients also showed improvement in or maintenance of the 6-min walking distance 12 months later. The results of the NRADL, SGRQ, and CAT showed the same trend. Therefore, our long-term intervention program can be considered effective for maintaining exercise tolerance, ADL, and QOL in patients with chronic respiratory disease.

Beckerman et al.15) reported that during inspiratory muscle training for 1 year in patients with significant COPD, there was an increase in exercise capacity, improvement in the QOL, and decrease in dyspnea. However, the contents of the program in that study were different from those in our study. The results of the study by Beckerman et al.15) showed that improvement in the breathing function contributes to the improvement in movement ability. Furthermore, the results of this research showed that an intervention for increasing leg muscle strength and exercise tolerance directly leads to those improvements. The program used in this study included whole-body movements such as standing and walking, which are among the usual

| Table 1. Comparison between data before intervention (baseline) and the findings after 12 months |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Baseline**                                 | **After 12 months** |
| Height (cm)                                 | 162.3 ± 10.2    | 162.4 ± 10.0    |
| Weight (kg)                                 | 60.0 ± 9.6      | 58.6 ± 9.3      |
| BMI                                          | 22.5 ± 3.2      | 22.0 ± 3.0      |
| MRC                                          | 1.8 ± 1.3       | 1.8 ± 1.1       |
| Knee extension strength/ Rt                 | 27.6 ± 10.8     | 35.9 ± 13.8     |
| Knee extension strength/ Lt                 | 28.0 ± 10.1     | 34.1 ± 14.7     |
| WBI/ Rt                                      | 0.469 ± 0.195   | 0.619 ± 0.242   |
| WBI/ Lt                                      | 0.476 ± 0.189   | 0.580 ± 0.212   |
| 6MWT (m)                                     | 439.6 ± 111.8   | 437.9 ± 107.4   |
| NRADL (points) [0–100]                      | 91.3 ± 10.5     | 92.8 ± 14.8     |
| SGRQ-symptom [0–100]                        | 48.3 ± 28.5     | 39.0 ± 20.4     |
| SGRQ-activity [0–100]                       | 34.6 ± 21.2     | 38.4 ± 26.0     |
| SGRQ-impact [0–100]                         | 13.9 ± 14.1     | 16.2 ± 18.9     |
| SGRQ-total [0–100]                          | 25.7 ± 16.9     | 26.5 ± 19.4     |
| CAT (points) [0–40]                         | 11.4 ± 8.1      | 13.9 ± 9.6      |

Data are shown as mean ± SD.
BMI: body mass index; MRC: Medical Research Council dyspnea scale; Rt: right; Lt: left; WBI: weight-bearing index; 6MWT: 6-min walking test; NRADL: Nagasaki University Respiratory Activities of Daily Living Questionnaire; SGRQ: St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire; CAT: COPD assessment test
**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

| Table 2. Correlation between changes in BMI or WBI and body weight |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **r**                                        |                 |
| Change of BMI vs. change of weight            | 0.926 **        |
| Change of WBI vs. change of weight            |                 |
| Rt                                            | 0.116           |
| Lt                                            | 0.310           |

BMI: body mass index; WBI: weight-bearing index
**p < 0.01
movements in daily living. Patients with chronic respiratory disease often have dyspnea and inability to perform these movements. Therefore, a program including daily-life movements is easy to implement in the rehabilitation of elderly patients with chronic respiratory disease, and can become a motivation for treatment. An easy-to-implement program that does not require a tool can also be continued easily at home, which may be a factor for improvement.

After the initial period of rehabilitation, only a few patients continued to perform rehabilitation by themselves at home because many of them had no exercise habit or motivation to perform exercise. To deal with this problem, patients should be directly involved in long-term ongoing respiratory rehabilitation, even if the frequency of intervention is decreased.

In this study, we evaluated the effect of rehabilitation through a comparative evaluation of patients before intervention and after 12 months of intervention. Considering the chronic course of COPD, a long-term clinical trial should be designed to assess the protective effect of ongoing pulmonary rehabilitation on the ADL and QOL covering several years.
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