Research performed in South African (SA) breast, ovarian and prostate cancer patients resulted in the development of a rapid BRCA point-of-care (POC) assay designed as a time- and cost-effective alternative to laboratory-based technologies currently used for first-tier germline DNA testing. In this study the performance of the new assay was evaluated for use on a portable screening device (ParaDNA), with the long-term goal to enable rollout at POC as an inventive step to meet the World Health Organization’s sustainable development goals for Africa. DNA samples for germline testing were obtained retrospectively from 50 patients with early-stage hormone receptor-positive breast cancer referred for genomic tumor profiling (MammaPrint). Currently, SA patients with the luminal-type breast cancer are not routinely selected for BRCA1/2 testing as is the case for triple-negative disease. An initial evaluation involved the use of multiple control samples representing each of the pathogenic founder/recurrent variants included in the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay. Comparison with a validated laboratory-based first-tier real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay demonstrated 100% concordance. Clinical utility was evident in five patients with the founder BRCA2 c.7934delG variant, identified at the 10% (5/50) threshold considered cost-effective for BRCA1/2 testing. BRCA2 c.7934delG carrier status was associated with a significantly younger age (p=0.03) at diagnosis of breast cancer compared to non-carriers. In three of the BRCA2 c.7934delG carriers a high-risk MammaPrint 70-gene profile was noted, indicating a significantly
increased risk for both secondary cancers and breast cancer recurrence. Initiating germline DNA testing at the POC for clinical interpretation early in the treatment planning process, will increase access to the most common pathogenic BRCA1/2 variants identified in SA and reduce loss to follow-up for timely gene-targeted risk reduction intervention. The ease of using cheek swabs/saliva in future for result generation within approximately one hour assay time, coupled with low cost and a high BRCA1/2 founder variant detection rate, will improve access to genomic medicine in Africa. Application of translational pharmacogenomics across ethnic groups, irrespective of age, family history, tumor subtype or recurrence risk profile, is imperative to sustainably implement preventative healthcare and improve clinical outcome in resource-constrained clinical settings.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BC) is a leading cause of cancer among women globally, with poor survival and higher mortality rates reported in Africa. These are generally ascribed to late-stage presentation and a delay in diagnosis, partly due to sub-optimal healthcare systems (1–3). From studies conducted in Sub-Saharan Africa, more advanced breast disease is seen in patients living in rural areas than those in urban centers (2, 4). This is also the case for South Africa (SA), where the stage of cancer and age at diagnosis differs according to geographic location as well as psychosocial and personal financial status (5, 6). Fear of dying from cancer or refusal of recommended medical treatment methods due to cultural beliefs are all factors affecting overall survival (7). Conversely, should patients agree to undergo therapy, the costs related to follow-up visits may be unsustainable. Lack of community awareness relating to genetic testing and the benefits of presymptomatic diagnosis of BC contribute to the increased mortality (3).

Epidemiological studies have indicated multiple risk factors associated with the development of BC, both modifiable and non-modifiable. The influence of modifiable factors on BC risk can be controlled and is associated with lifestyle and the environment, for example, obesity and alcohol consumption (8, 9). Non-modifiable risk factors include sex, age, and age at menarche (10, 11). Menarche before the age of 12 and menopause after age 55 prolong the time that breast tissue is exposed to hormonal influence and increase the risk of BC. Genetic risk factors for cancer development or recurrence play a prominent role, especially in the presence of a family history of the disease in first-degree or multiple relatives, and a personal history of atypical hyperplasia or carcinoma in situ of the breast. Radiation therapy to the chest area for other malignancies before the age of 30, especially if the patient is left with intact ovarian function for ≥20 years post-treatment, may also increase the risk of BC (12).

Translational research performed in SA involving the highly penetrant BRCA1 and BRCA2 cancer susceptibility genes has identified various recurrent and founder variants as targets for both pharmacogenetic and cascade testing across population groups (13–17). The present article is the second in a series initiated by Oosthuizen et al. (18), aimed at the development of practical solutions for the challenges currently experienced with implementation of genomic medicine in Africa. The authors provided an historical view on BRCA1/2 testing performed in nearly 2000 breast/ovarian cancer patients extending from a first-tier BRCA1/2 population-based assay to next-generation sequencing (NGS) in a subset of patients. Detection of founder/recurrent variants in the majority (74%) of SA patients justified the use of a first-tier assay to select patients eligible for NGS of the BRCA1/2 or other cancer susceptibility genes. However, uptake of laboratory-based BRCA1/2 testing in affected families was relatively low, despite the knowledge that gene-targeted therapy and surgical intervention could be life-saving. These findings provided a strong incentive for development of a novel point-of-care (POC) test kit (https://grt.ukri.org/projects?ref=103993) including eight of the pathogenic founder/recurrent variants previously identified in SA (17): BRCA1 c.68_69delAG (rs80357914), c.1374delC (rs397508862), c.2641G>T (rs397508988), c.5266dupC (rs80357906) and BRCA2 c.5771_5774delTTCA (rs80359535), c.5946delT (rs80359550), c.6447_6448dupTA (rs397507858), c.7934delG (rs80359688). A risk-benefit analysis showed strong support (94%) for clinical implementation of a BRCA POC assay as a rapid first-tier test combined with genetic counseling (18). Implementation of our pathology-supported genetic testing (PSGT) strategy will enable BRCA1/2 screening in BC patients unselected by age or family history through integration of germline DNA testing with tumor gene profiling, as envisaged for future application of pharmacogenomics in Africa. The cost-saving PSGT approach was first implemented in SA to reduce chemotherapy overtreatment as informed by multi-gene expression profiling (MammaPrint) (19) and to facilitate reclassification of early-stage BC into treatment groups by combining immunohistochemistry (IHC) assessment at the protein level with molecular subtyping (20), using formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumor biopsies. Germline DNA testing and tumor genetics based on RNA analysis are not routinely integrated to facilitate differential diagnosis and recurrence risk assessment in the same patient.
As a targeted genetic testing approach proved valuable as a first-tier test in the age of low-cost NGS (18), this study aimed to evaluate the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay as a robust, cost-effective alternative to currently-used laboratory-based testing protocols in BC patients unselected by family history. To our knowledge, BRCA1/2 POC testing is not currently available internationally either as a stand-alone test or incorporated into the PSGT framework (17–20). Once assessed in relevant clinical settings, this cost- and time-effective genetic testing approach using DNA obtained from crude saliva, mouth swabs, or blood samples in conjunction with parallel genetic counseling, may be presented as a model to the policymakers at the SA Department of Health for rollout in primary health clinics. The benefits of transferring a laboratory-based assay (requiring sample transport and batching) to a rapid assay performed at POC would be three-fold: (i) to alleviate the financial burden of genetic testing in the country by identifying the most common founder/recurrent BRCA1/2 variant carriers early using cost-effective rapid POC technology; (ii) increase healthcare accessibility of all citizens, and (iii) contribute to community awareness and education by simultaneously explaining the value of pharmacogenomics and symptomatic diagnosis in high-risk families.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study included 50 patients and ten control individuals. DNA samples for germline DNA testing were obtained with written informed consent from a subset of SA patients previously referred for transcriptional gene profiling (MammaPrint/BluePrint) using FFPE tumor biopsies (19, 20). The study cohort was selected retrospectively based on a personal history of BC and IHC assessment of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2) status incorporated into the PSGT framework. The specific selection criteria for germline BRCA1/2 POC DNA testing were different from conventional germline BRCA1/2 testing, as it was not based on the age at onset, the presence of a family history of cancer and/or triple-negative disease (17, 21). Ethics approval was obtained from both the Health and Wellness Sciences Research Ethics Committee of the Cape Peninsula University of Technology in Cape Town (CPUT/ HW-REC 2018/H10), and the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Free State (UFS-HSD2019/ 1835/291001). The research was also approved as a sub-study under reference number N09/06/166 by the Health and Research Ethics Review Committee of Stellenbosch University, SA.

The BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay and instrumentation were provided by the LGC Limited (Teddington, UK), using HyBeacon probes synthesized by LGC, Biosearch Technologies (Petaluma, USA). Kit development by LGC was based on the ParaDNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification principles as previously described (22, 23). The reaction plate kits (BRCA 1.0) were stored at -20°C and thawed at room temperature for 15–20 min before use. DNA samples were diluted to a final concentration of 1 ng/ul, and 2 µl of each sample transferred into each well of the ParaDNA reaction plate (Figure 1). The ParaDNA assay comprised all the reagents required for multiplex melt curve analysis of eight BRCA1/2 targets in a four-tube format (Table 1) using the fluorescent dyes FAM, CAL Fluor Orange 560 (CAL560), and CAL Fluor Red 610 (CAL610).

Prior to the analysis of the 50 patient samples using DNA extracted from whole blood and/or saliva, a no template control and two BRCA1/2 variant-negative controls, as well as eight variant-positive samples of known genotype were tested. The genotypes of the DNA samples used as positive controls were previously determined using a combination of validated hybridization and simple probe technologies (18). Negative controls were previously screened using NGS based on standard selection criteria for BRCA/other high-moderate penetrance cancer susceptibility genes (17). The accuracy of the genotyping calls was assessed by adding different DNA samples representing the known SA founder/recurrent variants.

**FIGURE 1** | The ParaDNA reaction plate with positions of wells A–D as indicated (A). The probe mixes for BRCA1 c.1374delC (rs397508862) and BRCA2 c.7934delG (rs803598688) are multiplexed in well A, with mixes for BRCA1 c.2641G>T (rs397508888) and BRCA2 c.5771_5774del (rs80359535) in well B, BRCA1 c.5266dupC (rs80357906) and c.68_69delAG (rs80357914) in well C and BRCA2 c.6447_6448dupTA (rs397507858) and c.5946delT (rs80359550) loaded in well D. (B) The ParaDNA reaction plates are provided foil sealed, ready for use.
to each well of the ParaDNA plates. The plates were inserted into the ParaDNA instrument for rapid thermal cycling. Following an initial denaturation step (98°C for 1 min), the targets were amplified using 50 PCR cycles of 99°C for 7 sec, 62°C for 12 sec and 72°C for 12 sec, followed by denaturation at 95°C for 20 sec and probe annealing at 35°C for 30 sec. Melting curve analysis was performed by heating the samples from 35°C to 80°C using a 0.1°C/sec ramp rate and fluorescence acquisition. The ParaDNA software (version 1.6.0.27) automatically analyzed the melting curves and computed the associated BRCA1/2 genotypes (Figures 2A–K) within approximately one hour. Automated software calls were assessed using the ParaDNA Data Review software to examine sample melting curves. Once the BRCA 1.0 Research Assay’s performance was confirmed using the specific controls, germline DNA of 50 hormone receptor-positive BC patients previously analyzed with the 70-gene MammaPrint assay, was genotyped. Reference sequences used for BRCA1 and BRCA2 analyses were GenBank NM_007294.4 (BRCA1) and NM_000059.3 (BRCA2).

The BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay in patients with early-stage negative controls. All samples were genotyped using 3-color, 4-tube multiplex assays after adding the extracted DNA to each of the four plate wells. The test duration from sample-to-result was approximately one hour, excluding previously performed DNA extraction and quantification. The ParaDNA software automatically analyzed the multiplex melt curve data and generated genotype calls (Figures 2A–K). There was a 100% concordance between the genotyping calls obtained by the ParaDNA instrument and software and those identified using alternative methods. All the samples and negative controls were correctly assigned using 2 ng of input DNA only.

Once the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay’s analytical performance was confirmed, germline DNA of 50 BC patients was genotyped. Melt curve analyses indicated BRCA2 c.7934delG in five of the patients (Figure 3A). Detection of BRCA2 c.7934delG using the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay was confirmed by DNA sequencing for these patients (Figure 3B). The electropherogram indicated a single base deletion, which resulted in a shift of the reading frame, prematurely truncating the associated peptide (Figure 3B). The BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay initially failed partly for a single sample (1/50, 2%) as no results were obtained for four of the eight variants tested (two wells). Repeat of the assay resulted in successful genotyping of all eight BRCA1/2 variants, indicating a user-set-up error. Homozygous variant-negative (reference) samples generated a single melting peak (Figures 2J, K), whereas heterozygous variant-positive samples yielded two peaks (Figures 2A–H). All five BRCA2 c.7934delG cases exhibited an additional melting peak at 50.0°C (Figure 3A).

The performance of the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay was further evaluated by analyzing the same samples using real-time PCR on the Roche LC480 real-time PCR instrument. Melting peak data were assessed manually to calculate melting peak temperatures for each of the eight BRCA1/2 founder variants. All 50 samples were assigned the correct automated software calls using 2 ng to 62.5 pg of extracted input DNA. No false-negative or false-positive real-time PCR results were obtained with either the laboratory-based LightCycler or portable ParaDNA device using the same HyBeacon probes.

BRCA2 c.7934delG was the only pathogenic variant detected in 10% of the 50 cases studied (n=5). Patients carrying this variant were diagnosed at a significantly younger age than variant-negative individuals (p=0.03), with mean ages of 41.60 ± 6.58 and 51.77 ± 9.83, respectively (Figure 4). Previous review of their histopathology reports indicated ductal carcinoma of no special type in all five BRCA2 c.7934delG carriers. Two cases had a low-risk MammaPrint profile for BC metastasis (luminal A) supporting omission of chemotherapy, whereas three had a high-risk profile (luminal B) as supported by molecular subtyping using the 80-gene BluePrint assay.

**RESULTS**

The BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay was first standardized in the laboratory by using ten control samples before commencing testing of the study cohort. These samples represented each of the eight selected BRCA1/2 founder/recurrent SA pathogenic variants, evaluated together with a no template and two-variant

**DISCUSSION**

In this retrospective study, the results obtained with the rapid BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay in patients with early-stage

| Well | Gene | Founder/recurrent variant* | Variant | Probe label |
|------|------|---------------------------|---------|------------|
| A    | BRCA1| c.1374delC                | rs397508882 | FAM        |
|      | BRCA2| c.7934delG                | rs80359688 | CAL560     |
| B    | BRCA1| c.2641G-T                 | rs397508888 | FAM        |
|      | BRCA2| c.5771_5774del TTCA       | rs80359535 | CAL560     |
| C    | BRCA1| c.5964dupC                | rs80357906 | FAM        |
|      | BRCA1| c.68_69delAG              | rs80357914 | CAL610     |
|      | BRCA2| c.6447_6448dupTA          | rs397507858 | FAM        |
| D    | BRCA2| c.5946delIT               | rs80359550 | CAL560     |

*Reference sequences used for BRCA1 and BRCA2 analyses were GenBank NM_007294.4 (BRCA1) and NM_000059.3 (BRCA2).
hormone receptor-positive BC showed a relatively high BRCA1/2 founder variant detection rate (10%). This finding justifies screening of both familial and sporadic cases for germline BRCA1/2 variants, and not only triple-negative BC when tumor type is considered in BRCA1/2 risk prediction algorithms. Since the BRCA POC 1.0 Research Assay is inexpensive and can be manufactured locally, it may in future be utilized for all SA BC patients, irrespective of age, family history, ethnicity, tumor type or recurrence risk profile. Comparison with standard laboratory-based assays using stored DNA samples showed 100% concordance between the portable screening device and the various laboratory-based methods previously standardized. As the ParaDNA workflow is an integrated system from sample collection to result...
TABLE 2 | Oligonucleotide primers used for conventional polymerase chain reaction application and Sanger sequencing of BRCA1 (NM007294.4) and BRCA2 (NM000059.3) gene regions spanning the nucleotide positions of eight pathogenic founder/recurrent mutations previously identified in the multi-ethnic South African population.

| Gene | Region | Variant | Primer \(^a\) | Oligonucleotide primers (5‘ to 3’) | Size (bp) |
|------|--------|---------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| BRCA1 | Exon 2 | c.68_69delAG (p.Glu23ValfsX17) | F | TGTGTTAAAGTTCATTGGAACA | 149 |
|       |        | [Jewish, European] | R | CATAGGAATCCCAAATTAATACA |
|       | Exon 10 | c.1374delC (p.Asp458GlufsX17) | F | TGGCATGCTCAGAGAAATTC | 400 |
|       |        | [Afrikaner] | R | TGGCTGCAGTAACAAATGCTC |
|       | Exon 10 | c.2641G>T (p.Glu881Ter) | F | GCTCAGATTTCAGAGACAT | 253 |
|       |        | [Afrikaner] | R | GCTTATCTTCTGACCACAC |
|       | Exon 19 | c.5266delC (p.Glu1756ProfsX74) | F | AGTCAGAGGAGATGGTGCAAGA | 236 |
|       |        | [Afrikaner] | R | GTGCTGAGGATGAAAGAGGAA |
| BRCA2 | Exon 11 | c.5946delT (p.Ser1982ArgfsX22) | F | CGAGGAGAGGAGATGGTGCAAGA | 394 |
|       |        | [Ashkenazi Jewish] | R | GAGCTGAGGAGATGAAAGAGGAA |
|       | c.6447_6448dupTA (p.Lys2150IlefsX19) | F | GAGAAAACCCAGAGACATGTG | 404 |
|       | [Mixed Ancestry] | | R | CTAAAGTAAAGGGCTCTTCCT |
|       | c.5771_5774del TTCA (p.Ile1924ArgfsX38) | F | CGAGGAGAGGAGATGGTGCAAGA | 394 |
|       | [Xhosa, Mixed Ancestry] | | R | GAGCTGAGGAGATGAAAGAGGAA |
| Exon 17 | c.7934delG (p.Arg2645AsnfsX3) | F | TGGCAACTGTCAGTCAAC | 354 |
|       | [Afrikaner, Mixed Ancestry] | | R | TGGCAACTGTCAGTCAAC |

\(^a\)F, forward; R, reverse.

FIGURE 3 | Genotyping of breast cancer patient samples using the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay designed to detect eight BRCA1/2 founder/recurrent South African pathogenic variants. Melting curve analysis of BRCA2 c.7934delG (A) with the CAL 560 probe indicating the presence of a second melting peak (peak 1) for six samples (five patients and the positive control). No pathogenic variants were detected in 45 DNA samples (peak 2). Detection of BRCA2 c.7934delG was confirmed by Sanger sequencing (B).
14% of the world during the test development and optimization process (17). Saliva as the preferred sample type performed excellent.

This makes POC testing using the ParaDNA device ideal for any clinic in Africa with access to personal or online genetic/genomic counseling support.

Africa is the second-largest continent, globally representing 14% of the world’s population (24). Although economic growth was stable between 2018 – 2019, the estimated 3.4% growth of countries such as SA, Egypt and Nigeria were below the decadal average of 5% for the continent. The number was predicted to increase to 3.9% during 2020, before the outbreak of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic (25). The realization that Africa could benefit from the application of genomic medicine was captured in a policy paper composed by 38 researchers across the continent (26). This framework for the implementation of genomic medicine in Africa aims to reduce the disease burden by translating genomic research information into clinical application using PSGT as one of the proposed implementation strategies. With sufficient evidence for actionability, genomic medicine involving test panels such as our BRCA POC Research and COVID-19 screening assays using the same ParaDNA device, was fast-tracked in SA for test development and validation. Due to Africa’s extreme diversity, a “one size fits all” healthcare approach is not appropriate. By providing guidance, the WHO Regional Office for Africa aims to ensure that no one is left behind as the continent progresses towards sustainable and equitable health (27).

Implementing BRCA1/2 targeted testing at POC is ideal for African countries for which an increased frequency of founder/recurrent actionable pathogenic variants have been identified through the years (28–30). For SA, the eight variants covered in the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay include three highly prevalent Ashkenazi Jewish/European founder variants of global relevance. BRCA1 c.68_69delAG (rs80357914) has also been identified at an increased frequency in Egypt and Morocco. This highlights the value of the assay that can be adapted and redesigned according to each country’s needs, depending on their familial BC mutation spectrum. BRCA2 c.7934delG represents the most common SA founder variant. Therefore, it was not surprising that this single-base deletion was detected in our study cohort from a non-rural, private healthcare setting at a 10% rate comparable to the 7.9% carrier status reported in the most extensive SA study published to date (151/1906) (18). Since BRCA1/2 genetic testing could decrease mortality from breast, prostate, gynecological and some other cancers, and help inform therapy, there is a need to develop or adjust tools to enable targeted treatment and optimal care for all cancer patients (31). The updated pathology-adjusted Manchester score frequently used in SA for estimating the threshold for BRCA1/2 probability (32), would be more effective in the SA population if patients with hormone receptor-positive BC (linked to the BRCA2 c.7934delG founder variant in our study cohort) is considered for testing similarly to the inclusion of triple-negative BC. This will also apply to the use of other risk stratification tools such as CanRisk (https://www.phpc.cam.ac.uk/pcu/research/research-groups/cancer-group/canrisk/), which incorporates scientific discoveries in both cancer genomics and epidemiology. A genetic counseling toolkit enabling BRCA1/2 founder/recurrent variant testing at the POC may add significant value, especially when incorporating the assessment of critical co-morbidities impacting on cancer risk and the option for NGS in eligible BRCA1/2 founder variant-negative cases.

The new BRCA 1.0 POC assay can serve multiple purposes. Not only may patients and their close relatives become aware of being at increased risk of developing various cancer types, pathogenic BRCA1/2 variants are also treatment targets for poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors (33). Clinical complications related to anti-cancer treatment regimens (34–36) furthermore led to the development of genomic assays such as the 70-gene MammaPrint microarray with level 1A evidence of clinical utility for prediction of chemotherapy benefit (37). Microarray analysis using tumor samples reformed our past understanding of BC as a single disease to a complex disorder consisting of at least four major subtypes, namely luminal A, luminal B, HER2-enriched and basal-type. Currently, tumor pathology including ER, PR and HER2 status is used routinely in SA as a proxy for identifying these subtypes, which may result in misclassification and inappropriate treatment in a subgroup of patients (20). While IHC assessment of ER, PR and HER2 status proved valuable in the private sector for selecting early-stage BC patients in SA for cost-effective use of the MammaPrint test generally performed on RNA extracted from surgical biopsies (19), post-surgery identification of a pathogenic BRCA1/2 variant in germline DNA is a major concern. A bilateral mastectomy would be most effective in these cases as defective BRCA1/2 genes increase the risk of a
second breast primary, as well as other secondary cancers, which in turn are likely to metastasize and may be treatment-resistant (38–40). Although expensive, tumor gene profiling is currently reimbursed by private medical schemes in SA after careful patient selection using tools such as the MammaPrint pre-screen algorithm (19). The cost-benefit potential of selective MammaPrint testing was recently confirmed in a study of approximately 600 tumor samples of SA patients with early-stage BC, by employing a chemotherapy de-escalation strategy through clinical risk stratification (41). While toxicity profiles make hormone therapies an attractive option, the standard of healthcare on the African continent is reflected by the lack of ER, PR and HER2 assessment in many state institutions managing the disease (3, 42). This limitation was highlighted by Torrorey-Sawe et al. (3), as IHC was not determined to assess hormone receptor status in a relatively large proportion of study participants enrolled in a Kenyan whole exome sequencing study, initially focused on BRCA1/2 for return of research results. This finding raised awareness for potential chemotherapy over-treatment in African patients with early-stage BC, which needs to be addressed in the future as part of the WHO’s development goals for the continent (27).

Although the small number of 50 study participants is a limiting factor, the samples available for this evaluation in patients with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer, are considered sufficient to support analytical validation and clinical utility of the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay as the primary aim achieved. Implementation of POC testing will decrease turnaround time and testing costs, as BRCA1/2 founder variant testing at a reference laboratory in SA currently costs approximately ZAR 1500 to ZAR 2500, depending on the number of variants tested for according to ethnic/population group (43). In contrast, the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay’s projected cost once commercialized has been estimated at approximately ZAR 1000, with the option to adjust the design periodically to incorporate new actionable research data obtained for genes involved in hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome. Regarding genetic counseling, a consultation session currently costs between ZAR 500 and ZAR 1300, depending on the duration. When performed in parallel with POC, the total cost should not exceed that of the current first-tier test alone (ZAR 2500). The BRCA POC Research Assay results will also help identify patients in need of more comprehensive hereditary breast and ovarian cancer screening using affordable NGS panels for BRCA1/2 founder variant-negative patients (approximately ZAR 8000 in the state sector). As NGS analysis using an extended gene panel has been proposed to replace BRCA1/2 founder variant testing in SA (43), the risk-benefit analysis recently performed helped pave the way forward (18). It provided insight into genetic professionals’ view for the future and confirmed the importance of a first-tier test now possible at POC.

The results obtained in this study supports incorporation of germline BRCA1/2 testing early in the treatment planning of all BC patients in SA (18), including those opting for gene profiling using MammaPrint. Although MammaPrint is not available to BC patients in the public sector at present the prioritization of clinically high-risk patients for testing, such as those with node-positive (1–3) early-stage BC, could result in safe avoidance of chemotherapy and its associated side effects in approximately 50% of eligible BC patients (37, 41). By performing the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay in conjunction with transcriptional gene profiling, unnecessary medical expenditure may be further reduced. Therefore, health economic studies are warranted to determine potential cost-benefits from performing genetic counseling combined with rapid BRCA1/2 POC testing, compared to usual care. By pro-actively positioning POC testing as a genetic counseling tool, we envisage a future where BC patients will have access to personalized genomic medicine across the continuum of cancer care, as illustrated in Figure 5. All BC patients will benefit from genetic counseling at the POC, as BRCA1/2 variant carriers with a high-risk MammaPrint 70-gene profile are at increased risk for both local and distant/metastatic recurrence of their cancer. Those patients with a low-risk transcriptional gene profile may be unaware of the presence of a possible BRCA/other germline variants unrelated to risk for metastasis assessed by MammaPrint. This was evidenced by delayed detection of the BRCA2 c.7934delG variant (initially in tumor DNA using NGS) in one of our study participants diagnosed with metachronous bladder cancer four years after receiving a low-risk MammaPrint result (44). Integration of germline DNA testing and tumour genetics is therefore essential for optimal treatment of patients at increased risk of secondary cancers and BC recurrence (45, 46). Validation of genomic medicine test panels and transfer of actionable gene variants to POC devices offers a flexible platform for adding modifiable environmental exposure data to inform intervention and prevention efforts towards global health (47–49). For genomic medicine to become a reality in Africa, a screening algorithm standardized by the Department of Health needs to be implemented to ensure adherence to set standards for optimal care provided to all BC patients (50).

In conclusion, this study is the first to comprehensively investigate the cost-saving potential and clinical value of BRCA1/2 POC testing. By using HyBeacon probe technology, the BRCA1/2 test was transferred from a laboratory-based assay requiring sample transport (extra cost and risk of sample mix-up) and batching (expensive as multiple control reactions are required) to a rapid, robust assay performed at POC on the portable ParaDNA device. By performing targeted genotyping by trained healthcare professionals as a first-tier test at the POC in parallel to genetic counseling as a feasible option for all histologically confirmed breast/ovarian cancer patients, sample collection and testing can be moved out of a tertiary healthcare setting to currently unreached communities. This will reduce loss to follow-up and create the ability to improve care by delivering on-demand psychosocial support directly to the patient and indirectly to the community, where needed. Our findings provided proof of the BRCA 1.0 POC Research Assay’s analytical performance, while the clinical utility was evidenced by reaching the 10% threshold for cost-effective variant detection in patients with hormone receptor-positive BC, not currently
considered for routine BRCA1/2 testing in SA. Once regulatory authorities have approved on-site BRCA POC testing, this model may be presented to policymakers for wider implementation of oncogenomic medicine in Africa.
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