The response of grain yield and ear differentiation related traits to nitrogen levels in maize varieties with different nitrogen efficiency
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Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most widely distributed and important crops in China. Maize ear differentiation plays an important role in grain yield formation. However, it is unclear if ear and root morphophysiology status affects yield formation by altering ear differentiation and development under different nitrogen (N) conditions. The aim of this study is to understand how the ear differentiation and development are affected by ear and root morphophysiology traits, as affected by the N rate. The experiment consisted of two N rates: high nitrogen (180 kg ha−1), and low nitrogen (60 kg ha−1). Two N-efficient varieties (NEVs) and two N-inefficient varieties (NIVs) were grown in the field. The results showed higher nitrogen accumulation and grain yield in NEVs than in NIVs, which was mainly attributed to the increased N uptake by the larger root system under both N conditions. Under high N conditions, among ear differentiation-related traits, only FR was significantly positively correlated with grain yield, and NEVs ensure FR through higher N concentration and ZR content in ear at the fertilization stage. Under low N conditions, NEVs obtained higher FP, SR and FR through higher N concentration and IAA in ear at the early stage of ear differentiation, maintained lower AR and BTL by higher RA, R-ZR and E-ZR at the late stage of ear growth. These results suggest that NEVs have a more complex mechanism for obtaining higher grain yield under low N conditions than N sufficiency, and that phytohormones play an important role in this process.

It is expected that by 2050, the global demand for food will increase by 70%.1 Nitrogen (N), a key limiting element for the growth of most crops, plays an important role in maximizing crop yields on a global scale, leading to a significant increase in the consumption of N fertilizers2–4. Global N fertilizer consumption has increased ninefold from 11 to 106 tonnes over the past 40 years (1960–2009), while grain yield has only increased by 164%.5 During this period, maize production in China stagnated or even declined significantly6,7. Larger nitrogen input and lower nitrogen use efficiency will not only increase production costs and waste resources8,9, but also lead to soil acidification, decreased soil microbial activity, and adversely affect the environment. Sustainability presents challenges10,11. Therefore, future crop production should pay more attention to the efficient use of resources12. Further increases in grain yield must be achieved through increased nitrogen efficiency rather than higher nitrogen inputs13,14.

Developing the most suitable N fertilizer strategy for high-yielding crop systems is a critical step in achieving food security and environmental protection. Efficient use of N fertilizers is an effective strategy for both yield and profit. Studies have shown that crop rotation, no-tillage measures, the use of controlled-release nitrogen fertilizers, the deep application of urea, and the cultivation of NEVs (varieties with higher yields under both N conditions) can be achieved15–18, to overcome the depletion effects of excessive N application, thereby improving crop yield and agro-ecosystem sustainability. In general, genetic improvement of NEVs is an effective way to increase yield19–22.

Studies have also been conducted around N application levels, grain yield and N use efficiency, but the results vary widely due to differences in varieties, fertilizer levels, and climatic conditions15,24. Due to the continuous
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Materials and methods
Plant materials and site description. Field experiments were conducted at the Qiqihar maize experi-
ment base of heilongjiang academy of agricultural sciences in Heilongjiang Province, China (46° 52' N, 123°
46'E) during the rice growing season (May to October) in 2018 and 2019. The test area belongs to the mid-
temperate continental monsoon climate, which is dry and windy in spring and warm and rainy in summer. The annual precipitation is 477 mm, and the frost-free period is about 130 days. The soil type was dark brown forest
soil. The physicochemical properties of composite topsoil samples (0–20 cm) were determined, and the average
values are shown in Table 1. Two N-efficient varieties (NEVs), Nengdan19 (ND19, N7923 × N7923) and Neng-
dan29 (ND29, N7923 × 1064), and two N-inefficient varieties (NIVs), Nengdan17 (ND17, N788411 × N1503)
and Nengdan22 (ND17, N788411 × N1503) were used. The four maize varieties have similar growth periods. A crop rotation system was applied with continuous cropping of maize.

Experimental design. The experiments were laid out in a complete randomized block design with three replicates. The N fertilizer rate was the main plot treatment, and the maize varieties formed the sub-plot treat-
ments. Each plot was 30-m in length and 9.6-m in width with 60 cm row spacing. Maize was planted by hand and
the planting density was 65,000 plant ha⁻¹. Nitrogen rates were 180 kg ha⁻¹ (high N conditions) and 60 kg ha⁻¹
(low N conditions). Phosphorus (P₂O₅) rates were 90 kg ha⁻¹ and potassium (K₂O) rates were 120 kg ha⁻¹. N, P, and K fertilizers were used urea, Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ and K₂SO₄, respectively. All fertilizers were broadcasted before
sowing. With the exception of the different N fertilizer rates, the other cultivation requirements were identical
for all plots in both years. Chemicals were used to control diseases, pests and weeds to prevent yield loss during the experiment. Other field management is the same as conventional maize cultivation.

Sampling and measurements. Grain yield and its components. Grain yield and its components were
measured in 2018 and 2019. At R6 stage, two middle rows of plants (20-m length) per plot were harvested, ex-

| Year | Organic matter (g kg⁻¹) | Total N content (g kg⁻¹) | Rapidly available N (mg kg⁻¹) | Rapidly available P (mg kg⁻¹) | Rapidly available K (mg kg⁻¹) | Value of pH |
|------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| 2018 | 23.12                   | 0.91                     | 67.52                         | 23.21                         | 146.8                         | 7.23       |
| 2019 | 24.37                   | 0.89                     | 66.74                         | 24.36                         | 147.6                         | 7.42       |

Table 1. The physicochemical property of composite topsoil samples (0–20 cm).
including border plants. Grain yield was standardized to a moisture content of 0.14 g H₂O g⁻¹ and its components, i.e. grain number per ear (GNE) and thousand-kernel weight (TKW) were determined.

**N accumulation and remobilization.** In 2018 and 2019, five representative plants were taken from each plot in the R1 and R6 stages. The plants were divided into leaves, stems, cobs, bracts and grains. All parts were fixed at 105 °C for 30 min, and then dried at 80 °C until constant weight, weighed separately, and recorded the total mass of leaves, stems and plants. After crushing through a 100-mesh sieve, an 80 mg sample was weighed, and the nitrogen concentration of each part was measured using an elemental analyzer (EA1110, Thermo Electron SPA., Italy). The following indexes were calculated to investigate nitrogen accumulation and partitioning:

1. Straw N content at R1 (kg ha⁻¹) = straw N concentration at R1 × straw dry weight at R1;
2. Straw N content at R6 (kg ha⁻¹) = straw N concentration at R6 × straw dry weight at R6;
3. Grain N content at R6 (kg ha⁻¹) = grain N concentration at R6 × grain dry weight at R6;
4. Nitrogen accumulation before silking (kg ha⁻¹) = Straw N content at R1;
5. Nitrogen accumulation after silking (kg ha⁻¹) = Straw and grain N content at R6 (kg ha⁻¹) – Nitrogen accumulation before silking (kg ha⁻¹);
6. Nitrogen remobilization (kg ha⁻¹) = Straw N content at R1 – Straw N content at R6;
7. Contributions of nitrogen remobilization (%) = NT/Grain N content at R6 × 100.

**Ear traits and ear development.** In 2018 and 2019, five representative ears per plot were sampled to determine row number per ear (RNE), grain number per row (GNR), ear length (EL) and barren tip length (BTL) at R6 stage. The RNE was the number of grains in the cross section in the middle of the ear. The GNR was the ratio of the number of grains per ear to the RNE. The EL was the axial distance from bottom to apex of ear. The BTL was the axial distance from the topmost grain to apex of ear.

At the R1 stage in 2018 and 2019, plants that entered the silking stage on the same day were marked. When maize silks withered, five representative ears per plot were sampled for determine the floret primordia (FP), silking number (SN), fertilized floret number (FFN) and aborted grain number (AGN). The FP was the number of all visible protrusions on the maize cob. After gently shaking the ear, the number of shedding filaments and unshedding filaments was the SN, where the number of shedding filaments was the FFN, and the AGN was the FFN minus the number of terminal grains. The following ratios were calculated to evaluate ear development:

1. Silking ratio (SR) = SN/FP × 100%
2. Fertilized ratio (FR) = FFN/SN × 100%
3. Aborted ratio (AR) = AGN/FFN × 100%

**Young ear biomass and nitrogen concentration during ear differentiation.** In order to clarify the effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the ear differentiation of maize varieties, this study was based on the previous investigation of the silking period of the tested materials, and sampling was carried out around the silking period to determine the ear nitrogen concentration, ear dry weight, root morphophysiological characteristics, ear and root phytohormone content. The stages were S1 (R1 − 14d), S2 (R1 − 7d), S3 (R1), S4 (R1 + 7d) and S5 (R1 + 14d). Five representative ears per plot were sampled from S1 to S5 stages. All ears were fixed at 105 °C for 30 min, and then dried at 80 °C until constant weight, weighed separately, and recorded the total mass of ears. After crushing through a 100-mesh sieve, an 80 mg sample was weighed, and the nitrogen concentration of each part was measured using an elemental analyzer (EA1110, Thermo Electron SPA., Italy).

**Root morphological and physiological traits during ear differentiation.** Root samples were taken from S1 to S5, and the whole plant was excavated for sampling. Five representative plants with no marginal effect were excavated from each plot. The sampling depth was 60 cm, with the plant as the center, and the sampling area was 0.15 m² (length 0.6 m, width 0.25 m). The excavated roots were washed with clean water and packed into ziplock bags, brought back to the laboratory, and scanned and analyzed with Win RHIZO Pro 2007d (Regent Instruments Inc., Quebec, Canada) to obtain the total root length. The treated roots were dried in an oven at 80 °C to constant weight, and the dry weight of the roots was recorded. The root activity (RA) was measured using the method described by Ramasamy et al.⁹⁷.

**Ear and root phytohormones content during ear differentiation.** The ear and root samples were freeze dried with liquid N and stored at − 80 °C refrigerator for phytohormone analysis. The IAA, ABA, GA and ZR were extracted by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELIAS) with reference to the operation guide of China Agricultural University ELIAS kit⁹⁸⁹⁹. The IAA, ABA, GA and ZR content were determined using a Multiskan™ FC Microplate Photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific (China) Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China).

**Statistical analysis.** For experimental variables, one-way of variance (ANOVA) was applied to assess differences among treatments with SPSS 22.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significant differences between treatments are indicated by different letters at P < 0.05 level according to Fisher’s LSD. Graphs were drawn with Origin 2018 software (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA) R software (Available online: http://www.r-project.org/) and Adobe Illustrator CS6 (Adobe Systems Inc., CA, USA).
Statement. The authors ensure that all maize seeds used in this study originated from Qiqihar Branch of Heilongjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences in Heilongjiang Province, China. The legality of these seeds complies with the IUCN Policy Statement on Research Involving Species at Risk of Extinction and the Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. The maize seeds collected in the study are all cultivated maize in China rather than endangered and wild species. These varieties have passed the legal variety certification procedures in China and are licensed for production, planting, and market operations. The authors declare that the cultivation of plants and carrying out study in the Qiqihar maize experiment base of Heilongjiang academy of agricultural sciences complies with all relevant institutional, national and international guidelines and treaties.

Results

Post-silking N accumulation and remobilization. As shown in Table 2, the nitrogen accumulation before silking and after silking under low nitrogen condition was significantly lower than that under high nitrogen condition. The nitrogen accumulation before silking and after silking in NEVs were significantly higher than that in NIVs under both N conditions. The nitrogen remobilization and contribution of nitrogen remobilization under low nitrogen condition was significantly higher than that under high nitrogen condition. The nitrogen remobilization and contribution of nitrogen remobilization in NEVs were significantly lower than that in NIVs under both N conditions.

Grain yield and its components. The grain yield (GY) under high nitrogen conditions was significantly higher than that under low nitrogen conditions in all varieties. The GY was significantly higher for NEVs than for NIVs under both N conditions (except for under high nitrogen conditions in 2019) (Table 3). As shown in Table 3, compared with high nitrogen conditions, the grain number per ear and thousand-kernel weight were significantly decreased under low nitrogen conditions, the grain number per ear in NEVs and NIVs were reduced 8.63–15.51% and 19.67–20.50%, respectively. The grain number per ear were significantly higher for NEVs than for NIVs under both N conditions. Compared with high nitrogen conditions, the thousand-kernel weight in NEVs and NIVs were reduced 5.97–11.59% and 9.44–11.62%, respectively. This result indicates that the grain number per ear is the main reason for the difference in yield of different nitrogen-efficiency varieties under both nitrogen conditions.

Table 2. Post-silking nitrogen accumulation and remobilization of the four varieties under different nitrogen rate. HN high nitrogen conditions, LN low nitrogen conditions. **F values significance at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively. Different letters represent significant differences among varieties.

| Year | Treatment | Varieties | Nitrogen accumulation before silking (kg ha⁻¹) | Nitrogen accumulation after silking (kg ha⁻¹) | Nitrogen remobilization (kg ha⁻¹) | Contribution of nitrogen remobilization (%) |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 2018 | HN        | ND19      | 129.44 ± 2.88a                              | 72.53 ± 1.53a                              | 31.96 ± 1.5b                     | 30.57 ± 1.74d                              |
|      |           | ND29      | 124.19 ± 2.23b                              | 70.49 ± 1.52a                              | 35.03 ± 1.76b                    | 33.19 ± 1.53c                              |
|      |           | ND17      | 117.16 ± 1.28c                              | 63.3 ± 1.26b                               | 41.49 ± 1.73a                    | 39.58 ± 1.47b                              |
|      |           | N19022    | 113.89 ± 2.52c                              | 58.72 ± 1.29c                              | 43.01 ± 2.53a                    | 42.26 ± 1.99a                              |
|      | LN        | ND19      | 103.25 ± 4.65a                              | 22.55 ± 1.03a                              | 46.66 ± 3.27ab                   | 47.35 ± 1.87b                              |
|      |           | ND29      | 95.32 ± 3.21b                               | 21.86 ± 0.95a                              | 43.2 ± 1.93b                     | 46.36 ± 1.96b                              |
|      |           | ND17      | 86.57 ± 1.75c                               | 18.53 ± 0.49b                              | 46.57 ± 1.94b                    | 71.12 ± 0.97a                              |
|      |           | N19022    | 87.37 ± 2.48c                               | 17.7 ± 0.84b                               | 49.52 ± 1.94a                    | 73.66 ± 1.04a                              |
| 2019 | HN        | ND19      | 142.31 ± 1.61a                              | 75.16 ± 0.9a                               | 40.76 ± 1.49b                    | 35.15 ± 0.90b                              |
|      |           | ND29      | 133.15 ± 1.26b                              | 76.13 ± 1.64a                              | 40.55 ± 2.98b                    | 34.71 ± 2.17b                              |
|      |           | ND17      | 126.29 ± 1.77c                              | 63.52 ± 1.56b                              | 50.37 ± 2.85a                    | 44.19 ± 2.01a                              |
|      |           | N19022    | 124.01 ± 1.08c                              | 64.67 ± 1.31b                              | 48.96 ± 1.23a                    | 43.08 ± 0.89a                              |
|      | LN        | ND19      | 112.3 ± 1.69a                               | 23.14 ± 1.13a                              | 53.45 ± 1.10b                    | 69.79 ± 1.18b                              |
|      |           | ND29      | 107.68 ± 1.56a                              | 22.65 ± 0.87a                              | 53.36 ± 1.58b                    | 70.19 ± 1.48b                              |
|      |           | ND17      | 95.43 ± 3.1b                                | 19.17 ± 0.44b                              | 53.93 ± 2.62b                    | 73.73 ± 1.47b                              |
|      |           | N19022    | 99.41 ± 3.59b                               | 18.41 ± 0.81b                              | 59.55 ± 1.44a                    | 76.37 ± 1.23a                              |
|      |           | Y         | 235.75**                                    | 45.7**                                     | 180.06**                        | 44.88**                                     |
|      |           | N         | 1607.68**                                   | 22.415 2**                                 | 245.5**                         | 6150.33**                                   |
|      |           | V         | 111.83**                                    | 191.99**                                   | 37.19**                         | 96.72**                                     |
|      |           | Y × V     | 0.65                                        | 21.24**                                    | 1.2                             | 0.01                                        |
|      |           | Y × N     | 0.94                                        | 4.76**                                     | 0.02                            | 0.89                                        |
|      |           | N × V     | 2.29                                        | 39.99**                                    | 10.98**                         | 6.62**                                      |
|      |           | Y × N × V | 2.44                                        | 4.32**                                     | 2.25                            | 2.21                                        |
of NIVs under low nitrogen conditions, the barren tip length of NEVs were significantly lower than that of NIVs under both nitrogen conditions.

Floret and grain development. As shown in Table 4, the floret and grain development were affected by varieties and nitrogen rates. Compared with high nitrogen conditions, the floret primordia in NEVs were significantly decreased under low nitrogen conditions, the floret primordia in NEVs and NIVs were reduced 2.22–4.15% and 5.09–10.33%, respectively. The silking ratio and fertilized ratio of NEVs were significantly higher than that of NIVs under both nitrogen conditions, and nitrogen availability has less effect on silking ratio and fertilized ratio. Compared with high nitrogen conditions, the abortion ratio was significantly increased under low nitrogen conditions, the abortion ratio in NEVs and NIVs were increased 54.40–87.41% and 92.13–106.31%, respectively. In addition, the abortion ratio of NEVs were significantly lower than NIVs under low nitrogen conditions.

Table 3. Grain yield and yield components of the four varieties under different nitrogen rate. HN high nitrogen conditions, LN low nitrogen conditions. * and **F values significance at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively. Different letters represent significant differences among varieties.

| Year | Treatment | Varieties | Grain number per ear | Thousand-kernel weight (g) | Grain yield (t ha⁻¹) |
|------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
|      | HN        | ND19      | 487.03 ± 9.12a       | 326.65 ± 7.7a             | 10.33 ± 0.14a       |
|      | HN        | ND29      | 507.59 ± 17.8a       | 303.01 ± 7.04b            | 10.96 ± 0.27a       |
| 2018 | HN        | ND17      | 469.34 ± 4.44b       | 329.34 ± 9.39a            | 9.86 ± 0.3b         |
|      | LN        | ND19      | 432.40 ± 12.09a      | 297.11 ± 6.46a            | 8.44 ± 0.19a        |
|      | LN        | ND29      | 482.87 ± 10a         | 280.39 ± 5.6b             | 8.24 ± 0.25a        |
|      | LN        | ND17      | 377.91 ± 7.3b        | 297.99 ± 7.35a            | 7.12 ± 0.23b        |
|      | LN        | N19022    | 385.13 ± 7.84b       | 289.54 ± 8.28ab           | 7.23 ± 0.28b        |
|      | HN        | ND19      | 507.65 ± 10.42a      | 362.16 ± 14.16a           | 11.45 ± 0.21b       |
|      | HN        | ND29      | 511.92 ± 4.23a       | 333.78 ± 7.48b            | 12.04 ± 0.27a       |
| 2019 | HN        | ND17      | 482.69 ± 8.39b       | 361 ± 7.32a               | 11.03 ± 0.56b       |
|      | LN        | ND19      | 455.00 ± 10.96a      | 320.19 ± 7.34a            | 9.17 ± 0.29a        |
|      | LN        | ND29      | 467.72 ± 7.98a       | 313.87 ± 11.55a           | 8.98 ± 0.3a         |
|      | LN        | ND17      | 383.73 ± 2.09b       | 326.92 ± 12.4a            | 7.82 ± 0.25b        |
|      | LN        | N19022    | 388.32 ± 15.6b       | 316.40 ± 10.86a           | 8.02 ± 0.19b        |

F-value

| Year | Treatment | Varieties | Grain number per ear | Thousand-kernel weight (g) | Grain yield (t ha⁻¹) |
|------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
|      | HN        | ND19      | 23.67**              | 120.90**                  | 134.32**            |
|      | HN        | ND29      | 684.44**             | 133.78**                  | 1080.92**           |
|      | HN        | ND17      | 74.69**              | 11.54**                   | 63.25**             |
|      | LN        | ND19      | 1.48                 | 0.77                      | 4.79*               |
|      | LN        | ND29      | 2.26                 | 0.04                      | 0.03                |
|      | LN        | ND17      | 14.58**              | 1.92                      | 7.30**              |
|      | LN        | N19022    | 2.51                 | 0.31                      | 0.16                |

Figure 1. Row number per ear, grain number per row, ear and barren tip length of the four varieties under different nitrogen rate. Result is the average from 2018 to 2019. Error bars are given as S.E. HN high nitrogen conditions, LN low nitrogen conditions. The different small letters above the column indicate significant difference among cultivars in the same N condition during the same growth stage at P<0.05.
The relationships among grain yield and the ear and grain development. The correlations between GY and the ear and grain development were shown in Fig. 2. Under low N conditions, GNE, RNE, GNR, EL, FP, SR and FR were strongly and positively, and BTL and AR was strongly and negatively correlated with GY. Under high N conditions, GNE, RNR, GNR and FR were strongly and positively, and BRL was strongly and negatively correlated with GY. Under low N conditions, GNR, EL, FP, SR and FR were strongly and positively, and BTL, and AR were strongly and negatively correlated with GNE. Under high N conditions, FP, SR and FR was strongly and positively, BTL was strongly and negatively correlated with GNE.

Young ear biomass and nitrogen concentration during ear differentiation. As shown in Fig. 3, during the main period of ear development, the responses of different nitrogen-efficiency varieties to nitrogen fertilizer were quite different. With the development of ear, the biomass of young ear increased and the nitrogen concentration decreased. There were significant differences in ear nitrogen concentration among different nitrogen rate and nitrogen efficiency varieties during S1 to S3 period, the nitrogen concentration under high nitrogen condition were significantly higher than that under low nitrogen condition, and the nitrogen concentration of NEVs were significantly higher than NIVs under both nitrogen conditions. During the period from S3 to S5, there were significant differences in ear biomass among different nitrogen fertilizer treatments and nitrogen efficiency varieties. The ear dry matter mass under high nitrogen conditions were significantly higher than that under low nitrogen conditions, and the ear biomass mass of NEVs were significantly higher than NIVs under both nitrogen conditions.

The morphological and physiological characteristics of the root during spike differentiation. The RLD of all varieties showed a trend of increasing first and then decreasing from the S1 to S5 stage, the maximum value occurs at the S2 or S3 stage under both N conditions (Fig. 4). The RLD were significantly higher for NEVs than for NIVs from the S1 to S5 under both N conditions. The RWD of all varieties showed a trend of increasing first and then decreasing from the S1 to S5 stage, the maximum value occurs at the S3 stage under both N conditions. The RWD were significantly higher for NEVs than for NIVs from the S1 to S5 under both N conditions. The RA of all varieties was not significantly different from S1 to S3 stage, and gradually decreased from S3 to S5 under low nitrogen conditions. While the RA of all varieties increased and then decreased from S1 to S5 stage, the maximum value occurs of NEVs at the S3 stage, the maximum value occurs of NIVs at the S2 stage under high nitrogen conditions. Overall, NEVs can expand root distribution in the growing environment by promoting root elongation and maintain higher root activity.

| Year | Treatment | Varieties | Floret primordia | Silking ratio (%) | Fertilized ratio (%) | Abortion ratio (%) |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 2018 | HN        | ND19      | 741.47 ± 10.08b | 90.84 ± 0.66a     | 82.43 ± 0.38a      | 12.28 ± 0.16a     |
|      |           | ND29      | 771.41 ± 22.84a | 90.09 ± 0.43a     | 81.94 ± 0.66a      | 10.88 ± 0.36b     |
|      |           | ND17      | 782.58 ± 13.54a | 87.49 ± 0.86b     | 77.88 ± 1.65b      | 11.94 ± 0.35a     |
|      |           | N19022    | 785.09 ± 3.13a  | 87.44 ± 0.67b     | 79.82 ± 0.95b      | 12.27 ± 0.47a     |
|      | LN        | ND19      | 710.67 ± 7.08b  | 88.42 ± 0.75a     | 84.90 ± 1.64a      | 18.96 ± 0.53c     |
|      |           | ND29      | 739.96 ± 12.01a | 88.57 ± 1.07a     | 82.20 ± 0.58a      | 20.39 ± 0.46b     |
|      |           | ND17      | 701.75 ± 9.27b  | 86.40 ± 0.48b     | 80.69 ± 0.68b      | 22.94 ± 1.42a     |
|      |           | N19022    | 739.88 ± 8.75a  | 85.98 ± 0.94b     | 79.38 ± 1.95b      | 23.89 ± 0.94a     |
| 2019 | HN        | ND19      | 790.46 ± 9.02a  | 90.71 ± 0.95a     | 82.08 ± 1.76a      | 12.62 ± 0.36a     |
|      |           | ND29      | 797.49 ± 7.44a  | 89.02 ± 1.04a     | 82.79 ± 1.04a      | 12.88 ± 0.36a     |
|      |           | ND17      | 775.84 ± 4.41b  | 87.90 ± 0.31b     | 80.04 ± 0.56b      | 11.57 ± 1.42b     |
|      |           | N19022    | 760.41 ± 9.58b  | 87.80 ± 1.01b     | 80.40 ± 1.39b      | 11.86 ± 0.26a     |
|      | LN        | ND19      | 763.12 ± 10.01a | 88.51 ± 0.49a     | 83.61 ± 0.71b      | 19.44 ± 0.84b     |
|      |           | ND29      | 772.89 ± 19.71a | 90.18 ± 0.71a     | 84.77 ± 1.13a      | 20.81 ± 0.72b     |
|      |           | ND17      | 713.39 ± 7.13b  | 87.45 ± 0.86b     | 80.81 ± 0.72d      | 23.87 ± 0.72a     |
|      |           | N19022    | 721.72 ± 11.51b | 85.38 ± 0.69c     | 82.46 ± 0.39c      | 23.61 ± 2.09a     |

Table 4. Floret growth and development of the four varieties under different nitrogen rate. HN high nitrogen conditions, LN low nitrogen conditions. * and **F values significance at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively. Different letters represent significant differences among varieties.
The phytohormone content in young ear during ear differentiation. The young ear phytohormones content are presented in Fig. 5. The IAA content in the ear of all varieties decreased from the S1 to S5 stage under both N conditions, and was significantly higher in NEVs than in NIVs from the S1 to S3 stage under both N conditions. The ABA content in the ear of all varieties increased from the S1 to S5 stage under both N conditions, was significantly higher in NEVs than in NIVs at the S4 and S5 stage under both N conditions. The GA content in the ear of all varieties decreased from the S1 to S5 stage under both N conditions, and was significantly
higher in NEVs than in NIVs from the S1 and S2 stage under both N conditions. The ZR content in the ear of all varieties decreased from the S1 to S5 stage under both N conditions, and was significantly higher in NEVs than in NIVs from the S2 to S5 stage under both N conditions.

**The phytohormone content in root during ear differentiation.** The root phytohormones content are presented in Fig. 6. There was no significant difference in IAA content in roots at different ear development stages under both N conditions, and was significantly higher in NEVs than in NIVs from the S2 to S5 stage under both N conditions. The ABA content in the root of all varieties increased from the S1 to S5 stage under high N conditions, and was significantly higher in NEVs than in NIVs from the S3 to S5 stage under high N conditions, and at the S5 stage under low N conditions. The GA content in the root of all varieties decreased from the S1 to S5 stage under high N conditions, and was significantly higher in NEVs than in NIVs at the S1, S4 and S5 stage under high N conditions. The ZR content in the root of all varieties decreased from the S1 to S5 stage under
both N conditions, and was significantly higher in NEVs than in NIVs from the S2 to S5 stage under both N conditions.

**Correlations between ear and grain development, and leaf and root phytohormones.** The results of correlation analysis (Fig. 2) and principal component analysis (Fig. 7) showed that FR was the main factor causing the GY and GNE difference under high nitrogen conditions, while FP, SR, FR and AR were the main factors affecting GY and GNE under low nitrogen conditions. Under high N conditions, the N concentration of ear and E-IAA content were significantly positively correlated with FR from the S1 to S4 stage, while
under low N conditions, the N concentration of ear and E-IAA content were significantly positively correlated with FR and SR. Correlated from S1 to S3 stages, AR was significantly negatively correlated with RA, R-ZR and E-ZR from S3 to S5.

**Discussion**

There is great genetic variability in both nitrogen uptake efficiency and nitrogen physiological use efficiency in maize. In many cases, maize varieties that perform best under high nitrogen fertilizer inputs do not necessarily perform well when nitrogen supplies are reduced, which is related to nitrogen management strategies and environmental factors. Nitrogen uptake and nitrogen utilization efficiency of different maize varieties tend to be opposite at different nitrogen supply levels. Due to the complex and diverse indicators related to nitrogen
absorption and utilization efficiency, and the grain yield directly reflects the economic value of maize. Therefore, it is simple and feasible to use maize grain yield under different nitrogen supply levels as a criterion for evaluating nitrogen-efficient maize varieties. In this study, the grain yield of maize under high and low N conditions was used to evaluate nitrogen-efficient corn varieties. Two NEVs, ND19 and ND29, and two NIVs, ND17 and N19022, were obtained. The results of nitrogen accumulation and transport showed that, compared with the NIVs, the NEVs had higher nitrogen uptake capacity, while the nitrogen remobilization was relatively lower in NEVs. The lower nitrogen remobilization is mainly due to the large amount of nitrogen accumulation in the NEVs, which does not require a large amount of leaf nitrogen to be transferred, while the higher leaf nitrogen accumulation is beneficial to maintain the carbon assimilation and transport capacity of leaves in the later stages of growth. This result suggests that higher nitrogen uptake is beneficial for higher maize yields regardless of low or high N supply.

The grain yield decreased with the reduction of nitrogen application rate, and the grain number per ear is an important factor reflecting the storage capacity of the ear, which is the main reason for the difference in grain yield of maize varieties with different nitrogen efficiency. Compared with NEVs, low nitrogen had a greater effect on the grain number per ear of NIVs. The formation time of grain number per ear is from the early stage of spinning (the first visible filament is exposed) to about two weeks after spinning. The ear differentiation is the key to determine grain number per ear42. The ear differentiation stage is from the formation of florets in the early stage of silking to about two weeks after silking43. The relevant indicators of grain number per ear formation period are regulated by genetic and environmental factors, including the floret primordia, silking ratio, fertilized ratio and abortion ratio. Previous studies have shown that nutrient stress has no significant effect on floret primordia44–46, while the present study found that low nitrogen stress significantly decreased floret primordia. Nitrogen deficiency can trigger asynchronous pollination47, thus affecting the number of fertilized florets, or it may also reduce the supply of NSCs at the onset of grain filling, which may in turn affect grain abortion (especially in the apical ones grains) have an effect. Previous studies have shown that filament growth dynamics under genotypic differences may be related to the ability of varieties to maintain pollination, which plays a crucial role in determining the grain number per ear48,49. Low nitrogen stress significantly increased aborted grains and unpollinated filaments, resulting in a decrease in grain number. Rossini et al.50 pointed out that the grain number per ear due to N-deficient grain abortion can be reduced by up to 41%, and it is also reduced by 20% under sufficient N conditions. In the present study, low N significantly decreased silking ratio, fertilized ratio and increased abortion ratio compared with high N conditions. Under the low N conditions, the genotypic differences in the grains number per ear were related to floret differentiation, the lower proportion of grain abortion in unfertilized and fertilized florets, and NEVs were less affected by low N conditions.

In order to clarify the physiological and biochemical basis of the response of grain number formation-related traits to nitrogen supply levels, this study measured the ear nitrogen concentration and phytohormone content, root morphophysiological characteristics and root phytohormone content at the main stages of young ear development. There are few studies on the relationship between the shoots and roots of different varieties under field conditions, and most of the previous related studies were carried out under potted conditions. The morphology of the underground part is closely related to the growth status of the above-ground part of the plant, and plants with larger root biomass are usually more conducive to obtaining soil nutrients51,52, corresponding to

![Figure 7. Principal component analysis (PCA) of ear differentiation and development traits, ear and root phytohormones, root morphological and physiological traits determined on maize varieties during critical ear differentiation stages.](image-url)
The aboveground biomass is also larger. In the present study, the RLD, RWD and RA of NEVs were significantly larger than those of NIVs under both nitrogen conditions. The RLD, RWD and RA were significantly reduced by low N, and NEVs were relatively less affected. In this study, it is believed that the excellent root index of NEVs ensures the high ear nitrogen concentration in the early stage of ear development. These results indicated that NEVs can coordinate root morphophysiological to obtain more N and produce higher grains number per ear under both N conditions.

Phytohormones play an important role in synergistically regulating the growth and development of rice organs, nutrient absorption, carbon and N assimilation, transport and distribution as well as inducing defensive adaptation to stress. IAA is the earliest phytohormone discovered, and its content is very low in plants, but it plays an important role in crop organogenesis and morphogenesis, tissue differentiation tropism and apical dominance. Higher N concentration in differentiated organs is beneficial to promote IAA synthesis to promote organ differentiation. ABA is known as the senescence hormone, which can promote the senescence of plants, but the role of abscisic acid in the senescence process is contradictory. Some studies have shown that ABA can coordinate the senescence process to ensure grain yield in the later stages of crop growth. ZR is a plant endogenous hormone, which belongs to cytokinin, which can promote cell division and is mainly synthesized in roots. The ZR content of ear was significantly correlated with the ZR content of root in the middle and late stages of ear development under both N conditions. In this study, compare with high N conditions, the IAA, GA, and ZR levels increased and ABA levels decreased under low N conditions, which is consistent with previous studies. The results of the PCA showed that the IAA content of the ear was significantly correlated with the N concentration in the ear, especially under low nitrogen conditions, they were significantly positively correlated with the FR, SR and FR at the lloret differentiation stage (S1 to S3). Furthermore, under low N conditions, the AR and BTL was significantly negatively correlated with RA, R-ZR and E-ZR from S3 to S5 stage. These results suggest that NEVs can coordinate the phytohormone content of ears and roots at different stages of ear differentiation, resulting in higher grain numbers per ear under low N conditions.

Conclusions

Compared with NIVs, NEVs showed a stronger tolerance to low N stress, and a higher yield potential under high N conditions. Under both N conditions, compared with NIVs, NEVs showed greater RLD, RWD, and RA in the ear growth stages, which brought higher ear N concentration and laid a favorable nutritional foundation for ear differentiation and growth. Under high N conditions, among ear differentiation-related traits, only FR was significantly positively correlated with grain yield, and NEVs ensure FR through higher N concentration and ZR content in ear at the fertilization stage. Under low N conditions, NEVs obtained higher FR, SR and FR through higher N concentration and IAA in ear at the early stage of ear differentiation, maintained lower AR and BTL by higher RA, R-ZR and E-ZR at the late stage of ear growth. These results suggest that NEVs have a more complex mechanism for obtaining higher grain yield under low N conditions than N sufficiency, and that phytohormones play an important role in this process. Further research is needed to understand the mechanism of exogenous phytohormones in the regulation of ear differentiation and development, and to improve the grain yield in maize under different N supply levels.
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