Florid reactive periostitis ossificans of the humerus: Case report and differential diagnosis of periosteal lesions of long bones
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Abstract
A case of florid reactive periostitis ossificans (RPO) arising in a long bone is presented. This is a rare bone proliferation with a pronounced periosteal reaction. Less than 100 cases have been described in the literature with far fewer outside the bones of the hand, feet, fingers, and toes. Although the etiology is unknown, a relationship to preceding trauma is suggested. The imaging and histologic features show an overlap with other bone lesions including bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation, subungual exostosis, and malignant surface tumors of bone and cartilage which include, periosteal and parosteal osteosarcoma. It is important to recognize the clinical presentation and diagnostic features of RPO as a benign entity so that it is not mistaken for a more aggressive neoplasm. We present a case of a right distal humeral lesion that on histopathological review revealed florid RPO. This diagnosis was not suspected on imaging studies, but was made on open biopsy of the mass. The patient remains disease free, years postoperatively. In addition to presenting this unique case report, we review the pertinent literature, and offer a differential diagnosis and treatment strategy for its management.
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Florid reactive periostitis ossificans (RPO) is a rare benign entity that is classically localized to the phalanges of the distal extremities. This lesion is often clinically-radiologically and histologically confused for malignant entities, like osteosarcoma and chondrosarcoma. We report a rare presentation of this lesion arising from the posterior aspect of the right elbow in a 38-year-old woman, diagnosed on biopsy. Recognizing the key similarities and differences between florid RPO, and other similar appearing disorders discussed in this paper, can prevent the pitfalls of misdiagnosis and unnecessary aggressive surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Reactive or reparative lesions of bone, which historically have been related to trauma, include subungual exostosis, giant cell reparative granuloma, florid reactive periostitis, and bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation (BPOP)\(^1\). Spjut and Dorfman\(^2\) first identified reactive periostitis in 1981 within small tubular bones (phalanges, metacarpals, metatarsals) of the hands and feet. Typically florid reactive periostitis occurs in adolescent or young adults and presents as a small area of painful swelling and erythema over the affected bone\(^[3,4]\). As noted, these tumors typically occur in the bones of the hands and feet, but they may also present in long bones of the axial skeleton, as in our case\(^[3,5]\); when they occur in the phalanges, they are clinically reassuring, because such lesions are more likely to be benign than malignant (21:1)\(^[3,5]\).

Regardless, it is important to recognize them so that they are not misdiagnosed. In this case report, we present an unusual presentation of this lesion, discuss its clinical, radiologic, and histologic appearance, review the pertinent literature, and offer a differential diagnosis and treatment strategy for its management.

CASE REPORT

A 38-year-old woman presented with a 2-mo interval of throbbing pain in her right elbow, which was aggravated by bending and lifting. The first time the patient noticed pain was soon after completing a half marathon where she carried her phone in her right hand. On physical examination she was found to have moderate swelling in the posterior aspect of her elbow along with a mild effusion and significant tenderness in the posterior-lateral compartment.

Conventional radiographs revealed an irregular mass posterior to the distal humerus just superior to the olecranon fossa (Figure 1). Magnetic resonance imaging showed extensive edema in the distal triceps muscle adjacent to the mass. A CT scan showed diffuse calcifications within the mass. No bony destruction was seen (Figure 2). At this point the differential diagnosis included atypical myositis ossificans or a neoplastic process. A repeat CT after 11 wk showed a 2.0 cm × 1.9 cm osseous excrescence arising from the posterior distal humeral metaphysis (Figure 3). The differential considerations broadened to include BPOP, a low-grade parosteal osteosarcoma, or less likely a periosteal chondroma. An open biopsy was performed through a posterior excision.

Operative findings revealed pallor of the anterior deep triceps and significant edema of the distal triceps. The specimen was removed piece-meal. The gross appearance was that of loosely adherent tan-gray fibrous tissue. The distal portion of humerus showed focal necrosis and hemorrhage. Based on this appearance, an infectious etiology was considered and a fragment of the lesion was submitted for aerobic, anaerobic, mycobacterial, and fungal cultures. Histologic examination revealed mixed bland spindle cells adjacent to osteoblastic proliferation with reactive (woven) new bone formation (Figure 4). Zonation was seen suggestive of myositis ossificans (heterotopic ossification). Osteomyelitis was ruled out because of a lack of a significant inflammatory infiltrate. Osteosarcoma was ruled out because of lack of cellular atypia or tumor osteoid. There were no cytomorphologic features of a parosteal (low grade) osteosarcoma.

After surgery, her elbow motion improved from 30-degree flexion to full flexion and full forearm rotation over several weeks. The wrist and hand motion were judged to be normal with normal neuromuscular function. Neither recurrent heterotropic bone nor osteoblastic proliferation of the posterior humerus was seen radiographically. She was discharged home on a supportive Dynasplint with follow up on an outpatient basis.

DISCUSSION

Florid reactive periostitis is a benign bone lesion characterized by an aggressive periosteal reaction and soft-tissue inflammation\(^[2,3]\). This rare tumor has been identified by various names such as, pseudomalignant osseous tumor of the soft tissue, fasciitis ossificans, parosteal fasciitis and benign fibro-osseous pseudo-tumor\(^[6]\). For classification purposes this diagnosis is often grouped with either pseudomalignant osseous tumors of the soft tissue or myositis ossificans. Due to its mild course, it is generally managed by observation after initial biopsy, but rarely it can be locally aggressive and recurrent\(^[7]\). The imaging and histologic features of this benign bone lesion, show an overlap with other
bone lesions including BPOP, subungual exostosis, osteomyelitis, myositis ossificans, and malignant surface tumors of bone and cartilage which include, conventional, periosteal and parosteal osteosarcoma.

Like florid reactive periostitis, BPOP (also known as Nora’s lesion) is also a rare entity that is grossly described as an exophytic outgrowth of the cortical surface of the phalanges of the hands and feet\(^6,8\). It is composed of a disorganized mixture of bone, cartilage, and fibrous tissue and the upper extremities are four times more affected than the lower extremities\(^8-10\). This lesion can present itself at any age, but individuals in their 20's and 30's are higher at risk\(^10-12\). It is sometimes mistaken for a malignant process due to its high rate of recurrence, proliferative nature, and atypical microscopic appearance\(^12\). However, unlike osteosarcoma, on imaging BPOP lacks cortical flaring and communication with the underlying medullary canal\(^12-15\). On histology, the exophytic bone mass has a characteristic dark blue tinctorial quality, especially at the interface with the cartilage. The inter trabecular spaces contain proliferating spindle cells that lack cytological atypia. The cartilaginous component is hypercellular and contains irregular groups of binucleated and “bizarre” chondrocytes. Although double-nucleated chondrocytes are common, hyperchromasia and cytological atypia are typically not present\(^13,16\).
Another benign lesion that occurs in relation to the long bones (and shows overlapping radiologic and histologic findings with reactive periostitis) is myositis ossificans traumatica\(^{[17]}\). This lesion most commonly occurs in the muscles of the arms or in the quadriceps following covert or overt trauma\(^{[17]}\). On imaging, soft tissue calcifications are visible within 2–6 wk of the inciting incident. Peripheral ossification is the characteristic feature noted on CT\(^{[17,18]}\). This lesion is generally not biopsied as it rapidly resolves, but if clinical and imaging studies are inconclusive, an open biopsy may be indicated. There are three phases of myositis ossificans: acute (fibroblastic zone), subacute (osteoblastic zone), and late (calcified zone). Detection of this zonal phenomenon on histology is diagnostic of myositis ossificans\(^{[17,18]}\).

Chronic osteomyelitis may also be in the differential diagnosis. This diagnosis is made radiologically by the presence of an osteolytic center with a ring of sclerosis on conventional imaging\(^{[19]}\). Additionally, a culture of the biopsy tissue is needed to support the diagnosis and identify the specific pathogen. Histology often only shows sclerotic bone with chronic inflammatory cells\(^{[20]}\). Due to its infectious etiology, treatment involves surgical debridement and prolonged antibiotic therapy.

Furthermore, subungual exostoses can also mimic florid reactive periostitis. These are bony projections that protrude from the dorsal aspect of the distal phalanx\(^{[21]}\). The reason it occurs on the dorsal aspect is thought due to the fact that the periosteum is relatively loose dorsally, but very tightly adherent on its volar aspect\(^{[22]}\). Due to its location, this lesion may lead to the destruction of the nail bed causing considerable pain or discomfort. There is a reproducible translocation [t(x; 6) (q13; q22)] associated with this diagnosis and thus, it may be considered a true neoplasm. Surgical excision is the mainstay of therapy.

Lastly, malignant osteoblastic tumors including parosteal, periosteal, and conventional osteosarcoma are considered in the differential diagnosis. These tumors are most prevalent in children and young adults\(^{[23,24]}\). They are localized at the end of long bones. Most often they affect the proximal end of the tibia or humerus, or the distal end of the femur. Of the three types, parosteal osteosarcoma has the best prognosis, followed by periosteal and then conventional. Periosteal osteosarcoma is the most uncommon among the three types\(^{[25]}\). This lesion most commonly appears in the diaphysis or metaphysis of the tibia and femur. Grossly, the tumor may form a cavity deep in the cortex with radiating striations that may break into the medullary cavity as the disease progresses. Histologically, there is a prominent cartilaginous component to this tumor with a small amount of osteoid production. Treatment depends on grade. Low-grade lesions may be treated with wide surgical excision alone, while higher-grade lesions may require chemotherapy in addition to surgery\(^{[25]}\). Parosteal osteosarcoma is the most frequently occurring osteosarcoma and thus it is crucial to diagnostically separate this entity from reactive periostitis. Seventy percent of the time, it arises in the metaphysis of the posterior aspect of the distal femur and less frequently involves the proximal tibia and humerus. It is composed of a dense osteoid component that extends from the outer cortex via a narrow zone\(^{[26,27]}\). Histologically, it exhibits an extensive boney matrix with a hypocellular stroma and mild to minimal fibroblastic cellular atypia.

Radiologically, it takes the appearance of a firm, lobulated “cauliflower-like”, lesion encircling the bone. A thin radiolucent line delineating the tumor from the cortex, known as the “string sign”, is seen radiologically in 30% of cases. Treatment for parosteal osteosarcomas usually involves surgical resection without neoadjuvant chemotherapy, as these tumors are commonly low-grade in nature\(^{[26,27]}\). Conventional osteosarcoma can usually be easily separated from reactive periostitis. Histologically, these tumor cells are very pleomorphic with numerous atypical mitoses that are entrapped in the osteoid matrix. Complete radical surgical en bloc resection with chemotherapy is the treatment of choice for conventional osteosarcoma\(^{[25]}\).

It can be appreciated from the above differentials that the diagnosis of florid reactive periostitis is often challenging. Therefore, a careful assessment of clinical history, radiology, and pathology are helpful in reaching an accurate diagnosis. Although rare, this entity should be considered in the differential diagnosis of any osteogenic growth in long bones. Being aware of the above differentials can assist in separating this benign entity from its malignant mimickers. Once the malignant and infectious imitators of this lesion are ruled out, treatment can be discussed. When presenting early, this process can be treated conservatively with rest and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medication. When presenting late, with an aggressive nature and/or with recurrence, wide local resection is considered treatment of choice.

**COMMENTS**

**Case characteristics**
A 38-year-old woman with no significant medical history presented with a 2-mo history of throbbing pain in her right elbow, which was aggravated by bending and lifting.

**Clinical diagnosis**
Moderate swelling in the posterior aspect of her elbow along with a mild effusion and significant tenderness in the posterior-lateral compartment.

**Differential diagnosis**
Atypical myositis ossificans, bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation, low-grade parosteal osteosarcoma, periosteal chondroma or chondrosarcoma.

**Laboratory diagnosis**
All labs were within normal limits.

**Imaging diagnosis**
CT showed a 2.0 cm × 1.9 cm osseous excrescence arising from the posterior distal humeral metaphysis.

**Pathological diagnosis**
Florid-reactive periostitis ossificans.
 Treatment
Complete surgical excision of lesion.

Related reports
Reactive periostitis ossificans is a benign entity that classically present in the hands/feet, very rarely it has been reported in long bones with an etiology related to trauma. This entity is commonly confused for a neoplastic process due to its unusual location and can at times even histologically mimic sarcoma.

Term explanation
Benign parosteal osteochondromatous proliferations (BPOP) is a rare cartilaginous neoplasm that like reactive periostitis ossificans (RPO) presents in the hands/feet. BPOP is known to be locally aggressive and requires extensive surgical resection.

Experiences and lessons
This is entity is commonly confused for a neoplastic process due to its unusual location and can at times even histologically mimic sarcoma. Recognizing this as a diagnostic pitfall can prevent misdiagnosis and eliminate the need for aggressive surgical treatment.

Peer-review
The paper is well written.
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