Performing Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography and Endoscopic Ultrasound for Management of Malignant Bile Duct Obstruction in a Patient With a Situs Inversus Totalis
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ABSTRACT
Situs inversus totalis (SIT) is a rare anomaly characterized by the transposition of organs. We present a case of a 67-year-old White woman with a history of SIT, who presented with fatigue, jaundice, and abnormal liver enzymes. Endoscopic ultrasound demonstrated a solid lesion at the distal common bile duct (CBD). Subsequent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography displayed severe stenosis in the CBD. A plastic stent was placed into the CBD, resulting in successful biliary decompression. Biliary brushings and biopsy showed atypical cells, suspicious for carcinoma. Ensuing pancreaticoduodenectomy confirmed cholangiocarcinoma. Although challenging, endoscopic ultrasound and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in SIT can be successfully performed in preoperative evaluation for possible pancreaticobiliary cancers.

INTRODUCTION
Situs inversus totalis (SIT) is an autosomal recessive genetic condition, which is characterized by transposition of visceral organs across the midsagittal line from the more common position.1 The incidence of SIT is approximately 1 in 25,000 live births in the general population, with a male/female ratio of 3:2.2–4 Currently, it is acknowledged that SIT may be associated with other congenital anomalies.5 This difference in visceral location requires special attention when invasive interventions are planned. This is a case report of a patient with SIT who underwent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) for the management of an indeterminate distal common bile duct (CBD) stricture.

CASE REPORT
A 67-year-old White woman was admitted with a 1-month history of obstructive jaundice. She also had a history of hyperlipidemia and hypertension. SIT in her was first discovered 5 years before presentation while undergoing an electrocardiogram. The electrocardiogram demonstrated a reversal of the expected lead polarity, and subsequent computed tomography (CT) scan confirmed the cardiologist’s suspicion of SIT.

Six months before presentation for EUS and ERCP, the patient first began having vague complaints of fatigue and decreased appetite 6 months ago. Outpatient workup at that time showed no significant laboratory abnormalities (including liver enzymes), and an abdominal ultrasound was unremarkable. The patient’s symptoms persisted, and 1 month before admission, she developed jaundice, elevated liver enzymes, and an abdominal CT scan showed a distended gallbladder, dilated intra/extrahepatic biliary tree, and a 1.5 cm obstructing lesion at the distal CBD without an associated mass in the pancreas or periportal area. On admission, laboratory
tests showed normal complete blood count, total bilirubin 18.6 mg/dL (direct fraction of 15.4 mg/dL and indirect fraction of 3.2 mg/dL), alkaline phosphatase 570 U/L, alanine aminotransferase 143 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 113 U/L, and CA 19-9 of 186 U/mL. On the CT scan, the pancreas was completely unremarkable with normal pancreatic duct without dilation or stricture. A 0.9 cm, a nonspecific lymph node was seen in the portocaval region without a definite metastatic disease.

The patient’s case was discussed at a multidisciplinary conference, and it was decided that the most prudent course of action would be to move forward with combined EUS and ERCP for diagnosis and relief of biliary obstruction. EUS was performed with the patient in a left lateral decubitus position and using a linear echoendoscope (Olympus GF-UCT180). All structures were identified as mirror images to their typical position and the echoendoscopy needed to be maneuvered with an understanding of the changes in anatomy. Within a few minutes, the scope maneuvering became somewhat natural, and all structures were identified. There was marked dilation of the common hepatic duct to 12 mm with a solid, hypoechoic, well-defined lesion with regular contours.

Figure 1. Endoscopic linear ultrasound. (A) Duodenal window: presence of a solid, hypoechoic, well-defined formation at the distal common bile duct with inverse, counter-clockwise rotation of the scope in situs inversus totalis patient. (B) Gastric window: the pancreas and left kidney. (C) The spleen, pancreatic parenchyma with normal caliber pancreatic duct.
localized at the distal CBD measuring 11 mm in diameter. A reactive, hyperechoic, triangular lymph node was seen in the periportal region. No other abnormal findings were seen (Figure 1).

The ERCP was performed with the patient in the prone position and the endoscopist on the patient’s right side using a side-viewing duodenoscope (Olympus TJF-Q180V). The duodenoscope was introduced through the mouth and advanced to the descending duodenum with moderate difficulty because of the inverted anatomy. The major papilla was identified by carefully reducing the scope counter clockwise. The bile duct cannulation was successful using a sphincterotome and a straight 0.035-inch guidewire in the usual pancreatic duct direction because of the mirror position of the organs. Injection of contrast after aspiration of the old bile revealed single severe stenosis at the distal CBD, which corresponded to the intraductal mass seen on EUS. A sphincterotomy was followed by the placement of a 10 Fr plastic stent, brushing, and biopsy. Adequate biliary decompression was evident by drainage of dark bile and remnant contrast media (Figure 2). The results from the histology and cytology

Table 1. Situs inversus with cholangiocarcinoma review of the literature

| Author               | Age/sex | EUS-FNA, yes/no | ERCP/biliary stenting, yes/no | Surgery               | Follow-up |
|----------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Organ et al          | 68/F    | No              | Yes                           | Pancreatice-duodenectomy | 18 mo     |
| Benhammane et al     | 33/M    | Yes             | Yes                           | Pancreatice-duodenectomy | 8 mo      |
| Togliani et al       | 67/M    | Yes             | Yes                           | Pancreatice-duodenectomy | N/A       |

ERCP, endoscopic retrograde pancreatography; EUS, endoscopic ultrasound; FNA, fine needle aspiration.
Organ et al. published the first case report in a 68-year-old woman with a distal CBD adenocarcinoma and SIT. ERCP showed a high-grade, 2-cm distal CBD stricture. The patient underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation. The patient died 18 months after surgery. Togliani et al reported a case of a 67-year-old man with cholangiocarcinoma and SIT. EUS was performed in the left lateral decubitus position. EUS showed a 2-cm hypoechoic mass in the distal CBD. EUS-fine needle aspiration showed cholangiocarcinoma, and subsequently, ERCP with biliary stenting was performed before pancreaticoduodenectomy.

Benhammane et al. reported a case of SIT and CBD adenocarcinoma in a 33-year-old man with obstructive jaundice. EUS showed a 15-mm hypoechoic mass at the distal CBD, and EUS-fine needle aspiration showed adenocarcinoma. After ERCP with biliary stenting, he underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy and adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation. He was alive at 8-month postsurgery (Table 1). In performing EUS and/or ERCP in SIT, some advocate patients being placed in the right lateral decubitus position to avoid potential technical difficulties. In our case, however, with the patient being in the left lateral decubitus position, all structures could be identified by EUS and ERCP performed without complications by carefully maneuvering the scopes as the anatomy allowed (Table 2). Our case illustrates that although SIT poses technical challenges in performing advanced endoscopic procedures, EUS and ERCP can be safely performed and are effective tools for tissue acquisition and biliary access.
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