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Abstract The low-latitude boundary layer (LLBL) plays an important role as a transition layer in coupling the magnetosheath and magnetosphere. Using high-resolution Magnetospheric Multiscale data, we analyze the electron distributions in the inner region of the LLBL, during an active period of magnetic reconnection under southward interplanetary magnetic field. According to the measured electron energy anisotropy, we suggest that this inner LLBL can be divided into six sublayers corresponding to three types of magnetic field-line topologies: (1) open magnetic field line topology from magnetosheath to southern magnetosphere, (2) open magnetic field line topology from magnetosheath to northern magnetosphere, and (3) reclosed magnetic field line topology. These different scenarios indicate that magnetic reconnection occurs at both northern and southern locations of the spacecraft and thus suggest that magnetic reconnection was active simultaneously at high and low latitude on the magnetopause, equatorward of the cusps. These results provide evidence within the LLBL for such multiple X-line formation.

Plain Language Summary Magnetic reconnection is a fundamental energy conversion process in space, astrophysical, and laboratorial plasmas. At the Earth's magnetopause, the occurrence and location of magnetic reconnection are of particular interest. By analyzing the detailed sublayers of the inner low-latitude boundary layer, we identified different magnetic field-line topologies. The different field line topologies indicate that magnetic reconnection happens both north and south of the spacecraft and thus suggest that magnetic reconnection was active at both the high- and low-latitude dayside magnetopause. These results provide further evidence for large-scale multiple X-line formation.

1. Introduction

The low-latitude boundary layer (LLBL), which contains a mixture of magnetosheath and magnetospheric plasma populations, is often observed immediately earthward of the magnetopause (Eastman et al., 1976; Skorpe et al., 1981). The LLBL is a transition layer between the magnetosheath and magnetosphere and thus plays an important role in the coupling between the solar wind and magnetosphere (e.g., Bogdanova et al., 2006, 2008; Cai et al., 2009; Dunlop et al., 2008; Hasegawa et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012).

Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the formation of the LLBL, including: magnetic reconnection (Gosling et al., 1990); plasma diffusion (Mitchell et al., 1987; Sonnerup, 1980), and the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (Hasegawa et al., 2004; Ogilvie & Fitzenerreur, 1989). It is generally accepted, however, that the diffusion coefficients corresponding to wave instabilities and turbulence are not enough to cause the majority of a thick LLBL (Bauer et al., 2001; Treumann et al., 1995). The Kelvin-Helmholtz instability occurs predominantly on the flank sides of the magnetosphere and thus cannot explain the formation of the LLBL near the subsolar region. The remaining formation mechanism, magnetic reconnection, occurs between magnetosheath and terrestrial field lines, during which the open magnetic field created threads the magnetopause. Figure 1 shows a simplified, 2-D schematic view of a LLBL created by magnetic reconnection. The magnetosheath and magnetosphere are located on the left and right sides, respectively (grey lines). In this simple scenario, magnetic field lines have reconnected in the middle region and subsequently move downstream through the operation of magnetic tension and plasma convection. A boundary layer is created during this process (the region surrounded by black magnetic field lines) and can be divided into two regions by
At the magnetopause, reconnection is often discussed in terms of two general types: antiparallel and component reconnection. Antiparallel reconnection occurs where the shocked magnetosheath and magnetospheric magnetic field have essentially opposite polarity, while component reconnection is assumed to occur during a wide range of shear angles (Trattner et al., 2007a, 2007b). A well-localized antiparallel region exists on the magnetopause during any interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) direction. It is understood that component reconnection most often is limited to occur near the subsolar region, along a tilted X-line across the subsolar point when the IMF is southward (Cooling et al., 2001; Sonnerup, 1974; Trenchi et al., 2008). When the IMF is northward, antiparallel reconnection dominates poleward of the magnetospheric cusps as is confirmed by many observations (e.g., Fuselier et al., 2014; Trattner et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2008). For southward IMF, component reconnection at low latitude has been studied widely (e.g., Burch & Phan, 2016; Dunlop, Zhang, Bogdanova, Trattner, et al., 2011; Dunlop, Zhang, Bogdanova, Lockwood, et al., 2011; Khotyaintsev et al., 2016). Whether low-latitude component reconnection and higher-latitude antiparallel reconnection (south of the cusps) can occur simultaneously under southward IMF, however, is still an issue which needs further clarification. The statistical results on reconnection jets of Pu et al. (2007) give an indirect evidence that both antiparallel and component magnetic reconnection may occur at the magnetopause under dusk-dawn IMF orientation. During southward and $B_T$-dominated IMF conditions, Dunlop et al. (2009) reported high-latitude reconnection equatorward of the cusp in the region where the Earth’s and the magnetosheath magnetic fields were antiparallel. Evidence for large-scale multiple reconnection sites...
has also been presented using observations in the MSBL and cusp region (Fuselier et al., 2011, 2018; Trattner et al., 2012). It has not been clear, however, how the plasma distribution and magnetic topology in the LLBL are affected when multiple reconnection sites are present. One might expect that the simple ion-electron edge picture shown in Figure 1, and which is described above, would be significantly altered in the presence of multiple reconnection sites, since contributions from two reconnection sites, for example, affect the distributions.

The LLBL often exhibits two substructures, which include the outer boundary layer (OBL) and inner boundary layer (IBL). The OBL is dominated by magnetosheath particles, whereas the IBL includes both magneto- sheath and magnetospheric particles (Bauer et al., 2001; Hasegawa et al., 2009; Le et al., 1996; Song et al., 1990). However, signatures for the ion and electron populations may be different. In this paper we define IBL as a region between the electron and ion edge and containing both magneto- sheath and magnetospheric electrons (yellow region in Figure 1).

Typical spacecraft crossings through the IBL are fast (~20 s, e.g., Phan et al., 1996), and this region was therefore often not well resolved prior to Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS). In this paper, we use data from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration MMS mission (Burch et al., 2015), which launched four spacecraft, closely spaced in order to study the small-scale physical process. MMS provides unprecedented higher resolution plasma data. Using MMS data, we analyze the detailed plasma distribution and small-scale sublayers of the IBL.

2. Data Set and Event Study

The high-resolution plasma data (30-ms cadence for electron and 150 ms for ion) from the fast plasma investigation (Pollock et al., 2016) and the magnetic field data from the Fluxgate Magnetometer (Russell et al., 2016) are used. All vector data are given in geocentric solar magnetospheric (GSM) coordinate system.

We investigate the magnetopause crossing observed on 13 November 2015 around 04:02:30 where Figure 2 shows an overview of this event. During this time, MMS is located near [10.6, 0.5, -0.7] R_E (Earth radius) in GSM coordinates (Figures 2a and 2b) and crossed from the magnetosphere to the magneto- sheath. The spacecraft are located in the magnetospheric region before 04:02:06 UT (before the shaded region), characterized by steady northward magnetic field (Figure 2a), low plasma density (Figure 2b), high temperature (Figure 2c), a population of energetic (core energy of ~10 keV for electrons and ~20 keV for ions) magnetospheric plasmas, a population of cold (~30 eV for electrons and ~40 eV for ions) magnetospheric plasmas, and a lack of broadband low-energy magneto- sheath plasmas (Figures 2g and 2h). During 04:02:06-04:02:12 UT (shaded region), the increase of magneto- sheath energy electrons (30-300 eV; green curve in Figure 2d) and the accompanying decrease of magnetospheric energy electrons (red curve in Figure 2d) indicate the existence of open magnetic field lines. We define this region as the IBL, and it will be investigated in detail later. After the IBL (04:02:12.22 UT), magnetospheric electrons have almost disappeared and the density of transmitted magneto- sheath electrons was ~3 cm^{-3} (Figures 2d and 2h). The broadband magneto- sheath energy ions have appeared (Figure 2g), and the ion velocity begins to increase up to 250 km/s in the southeast direction (Figure 2f); consistent with crossing through a reconnection jet, which originates at an X-line northward of the spacecraft. The radial separation between the first arrival of transmitted electrons and ions is consistent with time-of-flight effect in the magnetic reconnection boundary layer.

During 04:02:41-04:02:49 UT (around the right dashed line), the magnetic field rotation from northward to southward represent the magnetopause current sheet. The region between the IBL and the current sheet is the OBL of the LLBL. The ion population in the ion jet (04:02:19.166-04:02:19.316 UT; see the 2-D ion distribution in Figure 2f) is consistent with previous predictions and observations of the velocity distributions of the outflows of magnetic reconnection (“D-shaped” distribution; Cao et al., 2013; Cowley, 1982), as a result of magneto- sheath ions transmitted through the current sheet and injected cold magnetospheric ions. The above ion velocity and distribution features indicate that magnetic reconnection occurred northward of the spacecraft. After the current sheet, the spacecraft enter the MSBL and magneto- sheath region, characterized by dense (~20 cm^{-3}) and cold plasma.

The inset in Figures 2i-2k shows the electron energy spectrograms for electrons directed parallel (0-30°) and antiparallel (150-180°) to the magnetic field and the logarithmic ratio of them in the IBL from 04:02:03 to
04:02:15 UT. The IBL has been divided into six regions by dash-dotted lines according to different parallel-to-antiparallel anisotropy of electrons (Figure 2k). The four spacecraft timing method has been used during the magnetic field rotation around 04:02:12UT and produces a propagation speed of \(50 \times [0.93, 0.20, 0.31] \text{ km/s.}\)

**Figure 2.** MMS1 observation of the magnetopause crossing event. The panels show (a and b) MMS location in the geocentric solar magnetospheric (GSM) coordinates, (c) magnitude and component of magnetic field (GSM), (d) the ion density and the electron density of the high energy and low energy, (e) the temperature of ion and electron larger than 30 eV, (f) magnitude and component of ion velocity (GSM), and (g and h) the ion and electron spectrometers of differential energy flux. (i-k) Detailed electron distribution of inner boundary layer (shaded region), (i and j) electron spectrograms of differential energy flux in the parallel and antiparallel directions, and (k) the logarithmic ratio of parallel and antiparallel electron differential energy fluxes. The black lines on the bottom of h-j are the spacecraft potential, below which are the photoelectrons. (l) Two-dimensional cuts through the 3-D ion velocity distributions obtained in the southward ion jet (magenta arrow). The shaded region is divided into six regions by dash-dotted line according to electron parallel-to-antiparallel anisotropy.

04:02:15 UT. The IBL has been divided into six regions by dash-dotted lines according to different parallel-to-antiparallel anisotropy of electrons (Figure 2k). The four spacecraft timing method has been used during the magnetic field rotation around 04:02:12UT and produces a propagation speed of \(50 \times [0.93, 0.20, 0.31] \text{ km/s.}\)
Assuming the spacecraft have crossed the LLBL at this constant speed, we can thus estimate of the width of region 1 to region 6 as 107, 83, 34.5, 33, 15, and 34 km, respectively. For comparison, the gyroradius of the magnetospheric ions and electrons in this region are 377 and 6.3 km (using 2×10⁴ eV for ions and 1×10⁴ eV for electrons). Therefore, the widths of these regions are all comparable to subion scales and the smallest one even approaches the electron scale. Figure 3 shows the average differential energy flux as a function of pitch angle and energy spectra for each of the six time intervals marked in Figures 2i-2k. We can interpret the electron distribution anisotropy using Figures 2i-2k, in conjunction with detailed pitch angle distribution and energy spectra in Figure 3, as described below.

Figures 2i-2k show that in the magnetosphere just before the IBL, both the cold (<30 eV) and energetic (~10 keV) magnetospheric electron populations are nearly balanced at 0 and 180° degrees pitch angles, indicating that these are on closed magnetic field lines. When the spacecraft encounter the IBL, three electron populations are observed (Figures 2i-2k and 3): a population of magnetospheric cold or slighted heated (<50 eV) electrons, a population of magnetosheath (core energy of 50 eV) electrons, and a population of energetic, magnetospheric (core energy of 10 keV) electrons (here the terms "magnetospheric" and "magnetosheath" imply the likely origin of these populations). The distributions show that six distinct electron distributions are observed: Region (1) contains almost isotropic magnetospheric energetic electrons, low-density parallel magnetosheath electrons, and parallel magnetospheric cold electrons (Figure 3a); Region (2) contains parallel energetic magnetospheric electrons, antiparallel magnetosheath electrons, and parallel magnetospheric cold electrons (Figure 3b); Region (3) contains antiparallel energetic magnetospheric electrons, parallel magnetosheath electrons, and antiparallel magnetospheric cold electrons (Figure 3c); Region (4) contains...
more parallel energetic magnetospheric electrons and nearly bistreaming magnetosheath electrons with a little higher flux in parallel direction (Figure 3d); Region (5) contains both nearly isotropic magnetospheric and bistreaming magnetosheath electrons (Figure 3e); Region (6) contains antiparallel energetic magnetospheric electrons and bistreaming magnetosheath electrons, which were slightly accelerated in the parallel direction (Figure 3f).

These distributions imply distinct field line geometry. The essentially balanced energetic magnetospheric electrons at 0 and 180° pitch angles in Regions 1 and 5 suggest closed field-line geometry, while the different distributions of magnetosheath electrons (parallel in Region 1 and bistreaming in Region 5) may suggest that field lines in Region 1 have been recently reclosed and the magnetosheath electrons at that location have not had time to become balanced. The essentially parallel (antiparallel) high-energy magnetospheric electrons in Regions 2 and 4 (Regions 3 and 6) suggest an open field-line geometry connecting the southern (northern) magnetosphere to the magnetosheath. In addition, the antiparallel (parallel) magnetosheath electrons and parallel (antiparallel) magnetospheric cold electrons in Region 2 (Region 3) are typical of recently opened field-line geometry, which corresponds to active reconnection. Finally, the more balanced low-energy electron distribution in Regions 4 and 6 may result from the mirroring at the low-altitude ionosphere. This sequence is therefore more complex than that which is expected from a simple, single X-line topology and we will discuss this below.

3. Summary and Discussion

We have investigated the detailed electron distribution of the inner LLBL (IBL) observed by MMS during southward IMF conditions. The high-speed ion jet, “D-shaped” ion distribution and separation between the ion and electron edge indicate that this LLBL is created by magnetic reconnection. Observational results show that the IBL can be divided into six sublayers characterized by their different electron distributions. We find that three kinds of magnetic field topologies are included: (1) open field lines connecting to the southern magnetosphere, (2) open field lines connecting to northern magnetosphere, and (3) reclosed field lines connecting to both hemispheres. Furthermore, the distributions of transmitted magnetosheath electrons indicate that recently opened field lines are included in these two kinds of open field line geometry, corresponding to an interval of active reconnection.

The coexistence of the above three field line topologies cannot be explained by a single X-line reconnection picture and indicates that reconnection X-lines were present both north and south of the spacecraft. Since we do not observe any typical characteristics of FTEs, such as bipolar $B_y$ and enhancement of the core field, we suggest that the distance between the two reconnection sites is much larger than the typical FTE scale (1-2 $R_E$). Ion signatures from the reconnection site southward of the spacecraft were not observed, which may
indicate that this reconnection site was located far from the spacecraft (Hasegawa et al., 2009). Thus, the observations suggest that both low-latitude reconnection and higher-latitude reconnection happened simultaneously, but farther away from each other than for a typical FTE. Figure 4a shows a possible 3-D configuration of magnetic topologies for the event. The open field line 1 from the southern magnetosheath to the magnetosheath is created by low-latitude reconnection and that of field line 2 (connecting from the magnetosheath to the northern magnetosphere) by reconnection in the southern hemisphere at higher latitude. The reclosed field line 3 is created by both reconnection sites.

Our interpretation is supported by the maximum magnetic shear model (Figure 4b; Trattner et al., 2007a, 2007b). The predicted component reconnection region is located northward of the spacecraft (X-line 1), consistent with the observed southward directed ion jet. The antiparallel reconnection region extends from the northern hemisphere to the southern high-latitude region. Thus, reconnection is predicted to occur southward of the spacecraft at high latitudes, in agreement with the second X-line (X-line 2) inferred from the observations.

The MMS observations from the inner LLBL presented in this paper provide evidence that high- and low-latitude magnetic reconnection were simultaneously active at the magnetopause equatorward of the cusps. Such events may not be uncommon. Two similar events are presented in Figure S1 in the supporting information. They are also consistent with the high-latitude reconnection and higher latitude reconnection locations, respectively, using the estimated spatial size (width) of each sublayer, together with the nearly constant magnetic field. We find that the magnetic flux created by low-latitude reconnection is approximately 25% higher than that of the high-latitude reconnection site. This suggests that both reconnection sites were important for the LLBL formation for this event.
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