Calcifying tendinitis (CT) is one of the most common clinical conditions in the shoulder area. The range of symptoms is very diverse, from asymptomatic coincidental findings to pronounced pain with functional pseudoparalysis. This article provides an overview of the different stages of calcifying tendinitis with a corresponding treatment recommendation based on current literature data.

There are few scientifically proven facts about the etiology of calcifications that occur in the human musculoskeletal system, located almost exclusively in the area of the rotator cuff and in the supraspinatus tendon in particular. Conversely, various findings on their epidemiology and pathogenesis have been confirmed.

Bosworth (1941; [3]) found periarticular calcifications in a radiologic survey of 12,122 shoulders of company employees, with an incidence of 2.7%. In this cohort, 35% of the initially asymptomatic subjects developed clinical symptoms within 3 years. In an epidemiologic study of 1219 adults by Louwerens et al. [19], 7.8% of asymptomatic subjects and 42.5% of patients with subacromial pain syndrome exhibited radiologic calcium deposits.

Calcifying tendinitis is a benign self-limiting condition, in which phases of relatively minor symptoms on loadbearing only can alternate with those characterized by agonizing resting pain. At the end of these cyclic symptoms, the calcium deposit resolves spontaneously during the course of an inflammatory and often highly painful process. The only appreciable complication in the spontaneous course of CT is a phase of adhesive capsulitis, which is always reversible.

The presentation is especially problematic in that the disease course and particularly the duration cannot be predicted individually. While many patients suffer from recurring symptoms, sometimes for years, in others there is spontaneous recovery after a single episode of pain.

Gärtner [10, 11] classified calcifications from a prognostic perspective into three types in terms of their radiologic presentation (Table 1), where type I was intended to correspond to a calcium deposit in the quiescent stage, type III to calcification in the process of resorption, and type II to intermediate calcification (Fig. 1).

However, because of its low inter- and intraobserver reliability, this classification is of only limited value for reliably predicting the spontaneous course [22].

Against this background, the indication for the various treatment measures is open to dispute in individual cases. In the vast majority of cases, treatment is conservative with physiotherapy, but in protracted chronic or particularly painful courses, physical procedures may also be considered in the form of extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) and, last of all, (semi)invasive therapeutic options involving ultrasound- or X-ray-guided lavage (needling) and endoscopic interventions.

Methods

This review presents selected current studies of the individual treatment procedures and attempts to derive a treatment algorithm from these. The analysis included all reviews of level of evidence I (LoE I = systematic reviews or meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials [RCT] of evidence strength Ib) that could be found in the electronic databases Medline (via PubMed), Embase (via OVID), and the Cochrane Library, and all the studies recorded in their list of references of level of evidence LoE Ib and Iia (LoE Iia = controlled trials without and with quasi-randomization) were analyzed. In addition, individual older trials of lower evidence (LoE Iib = well-planned case–control studies) were included in the analysis, either because of their high case numbers or because of an original problem statement.

Results

Spontaneous course

Epidemiological studies of the spontaneous course of CT are rare. In his extensive survey, Bosworth [3] observed spontaneous resorption of the calcifications in 9.3% of cases within 3 years. In Gärtner’s publication [11], the calcium shadow on
the radiologic image disappeared within 3 years in 33% of type I and in 71% of type II calcifications. In a prospective therapeutic study [12] of ESWT, 48 patients received placebo treatment only as a control group and were monitored over 12 months. Spontaneous resorption of the calcium deposit occurred in 11% of cases within 6 months and in 25% after 12 months, and there was a substantial freedom from symptoms of the same scale.

The only relevant complication in the spontaneous course of CT is secondary shoulder stiffness, the incidence of which is not known and which is difficult to differentiate from primary adhesive capsulitis (frozen shoulder).

Osteolytic lesions in the area of the greater tubercle of the humerus or transmural lesions of the rotator cuff are very rare complications.

Drugs and physiotherapy

Conservative therapy attempts on the one hand to suppress the inflammatory pain symptomatically by means of systemic anti-inflammatory drugs and subacromial steroid injections, and on the other hand to stimulate the blood supply to the tendons by means of local pressure point massages and electrotherapy, and to counteract adhesive capsulitis by manual therapy. The efficacy of standardized conservative treatment was evaluated in a prospective cohort study [22] in 420 patients. In 73% of cases there was an improvement in symptoms, while 27% underwent endoscopic surgery following treatment failure. Patients with type III calcification in particular responded well to conservative treatment, whereas type I calcium deposits tended to cause further symptoms. Dependence of treatment outcome on the location of calcifications in the subacromial space was also described. However, there was no radiologic follow-up of treatment responders or nonresponders.

Extracorporeal shock wave therapy

Focused ESWT

Extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) was evaluated and introduced in the 1990s as a method of noninvasive treatment of CT [18]. It involves the generation of shock waves by means of piezoelectric, electromagnetic, or electrohydraulic sources, the energy of which, when focused at one point, causes mechanical disintegration of the calcium deposit and, as a result, is intended to convert the deposit from the quiescent to the resorption phase. According to the level of energy in the focus, low-energy ESWT (<0.06 mJ/mm²) is distinguished from high-energy ESWT (<0.28 mJ/mm²). The precondition for these forms of ESWT is a positioning device in the apparatuses used that allows the shock waves to be focused on the calcium deposit. In a few cases, high-energy ESWT has been executed under general anesthesia or intravenous pain medication.

Gerdesmeyer et al. [12] conducted the first multicenter prospectively randomized controlled trial in which the effects of focused high-energy ESWT were compared with those of low-energy ESWT and a placebo group. A total of 147 patients were observed over 12 months. In both ESWT groups there was a significant improvement in symptoms in the follow-ups after 6 and 12 months. Complete disappearance of the calcium deposits was observed on the radiologic image in 86% after the high-energy treatment, in 37% after the low-energy treatment, and in 25% after the placebo treatment.

A further prospectively randomized trial [15] compared 33 patients with high-energy ESWT to 13 patients in a placebo group. Clinically, ESWT resulted in good and excellent results after 1 year in 88% of cases, compared with 0% in the control group. Radiologic changes in the calcium deposits occurred in 56% of the ESWT group compared to 15% in the placebo group.

To compare the efficacy of low- versus high-energy ESWT, Verstraelen et al. [32] undertook a meta-analysis that included five randomized trials [1, 12, 18, 24, 26]. All studies demonstrated the superiority of high-energy ESWT in terms of the clinical and functional course of CT as measured by the Constant score. In the studies that analyzed the radiologic changes [1, 12, 18], resorption of the calcium deposits was described within 3 months in 25% of cases after high-energy ESWT and in 10% of cases after low-energy ESWT. Statistical analysis revealed a significantly higher chance of calcium resorption after high-energy ESWT (odds ratio 3.4; \( p = 0.009 \)). Further meta-analyses [16, 30] confirmed these results.

Radial ESWT

Not to be confused with focused ESWT, radial shock wave therapy (rESWT) involves the use of shock waves that are not bundled and are intended to exert circulation-enhancing and analgesic rather than mechanical effects on the connective tissue in enthesopathies.

Only one RCT of the radiologic course [4] has been published on rESWT for CT of the shoulder. In
Hier steht eine Anzeige.

Springer
Needling and lavage

Needling describes puncture of the calcium deposits with a large-bore cannula, which was undertaken in the past under the guidance of an image converter and in the last few years has been predominantly ultrasound guided. In this process, the calcium deposits are simply opened or rinsed with normal saline solution. In many cases, a steroid preparation is then injected into the subacromial space.

In a meta-analysis [33], 254 studies on the topic of ultrasound-guided lavage were analyzed, but of these only 8 publications with a total of 617 subjects met the criteria for a study of LoE II. In five of the studies, the Constant score before and at least 6 months after the beginning of the study or treatment was examined as a criterion. An improvement was found in both groups, with statistical superiority of the lavage group over the control group. Five studies likewise investigated the frequency of calcium resorption on X-ray. Also in terms of this criterion was a significant difference found in the lavage group (165/220 patients, 75%) compared with the control group (76/184 patients, 41%). Complications were reported in 3.6% after lavage and in 2.4% of the control group, although the nature of the adverse effects was not described in further detail. Of subjects from the lavage group, 12% subsequently underwent surgery as opposed to 27% from the control group.

In an LoE IIa study, De Witte et al. [8] investigated the effect of lavage with a steroid injection versus injection alone. The clinical course in terms of the Constant score did not differ significantly between the two groups in the first 3 months. After 1 year, the CS in the combined group was 86 points as opposed to 73 points in the injection group (p < 0.005). After 1 year, complete resorption of the calcium deposits was seen in 13/23 patients (56%) after lavage plus steroid compared to 6/25 patients (24%) after injection alone.

Lavage versus ESWT

In a prospective RCT in 201 patients, Del-Castillo et al. [7] compared the effects of lavage (with an additional steroid injection) to those of ESWT (without steroid). The criteria of pain on a visual analogue scale (VAS) and development in size of the calcium deposits were monitored by ultrasound and analyzed over a 12-month period after treatment. In both groups, a significant reduction in pain occurred over the course of time, with a positive correlation between improvement in VAS and reduction in size of the calcium deposits. No relevant adverse effects or complications were observed, apart from pain on ESWT and vasovagal reactions in 5% of cases during lavage. Freedom from pain was achieved at the endpoint in 89% after lavage and in 82% after ESWT (p = 0.13), and the calcium deposits were no longer visualizable on ultrasound in 87% after lavage and in 56% after ESWT (p < 0.0001).

In a prospectively randomized trial, De Boer et al. [6] compared the effect of conservative therapy including rest, physical therapy, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and shock wave therapy, to surgical therapy with arthroscopic removal of the calcific focus. This article discusses the current stage-dependent therapeutic concepts and provides a treatment recommendation.
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rESWT with lavage (with an additional steroid injection) on the clinical and radiological course of CT in a very small cohort (n = 25) with a 12-month follow-up. Five of the 14 subjects after rESWT (36%) and one of the 11 subjects after lavage (10%) discontinued the study because of treatment failure and underwent surgery. After 1 year, 40% in both groups were free from symptoms. In one of the remaining 9 patients, calcium resorption occurred after rESWT as opposed to in 5 of 10 patients after lavage.

**Surgical treatment options**

Because of the risk of complications associated with its invasive nature, a surgical intervention should be regarded as a last resort in the treatment of CT. Surgery includes three endoscopic procedures: calcium excision (CE), arthroscopic subacromial decompression (ASAD), and a combination of CE with ASAD. There is also discussion as to whether in the case of CE, the incised tendon fascia should be closed or whether it should be left open.

A current literature search [31] analyzed all the articles listed in Medline, Embase, Cinahl, and Cochrane on this subject and out of 574 publications, found only 6 LoE II or III studies in which comparisons were undertaken between individual surgical procedures, but not a single study with an untreated control group. The subjective and functional success rate was between 73 and 80% with all procedures studied.

From a functional and clinical viewpoint, there was no difference in three studies between the procedures with CE alone compared to CE plus ASAD (Table 2). Verstraelen [31] concludes that there is no relationship between CT and subacromial impingement.

On the other hand, the authors Hofstee et al. [13] and Tilander et al. [29] reported no difference between ASAD alone and ASAD plus CE in their long-term studies. These observations appear to support a correlation between CT and impingement.

Maier et al. [20] and Rubenthaler et al. [27] found no difference in results between two groups in which CE and decompression were undertaken arthroscopically or open.

Porcellini et al. [23] analyzed the results after endoscopic CE with or without suture of the incised fascia in a retrospective study. After 2 years, significantly better clinical results were found in patients in whom the calcium had been completely removed compared to those with residual calcium deposits. At the same time, fascial suture does not yield any better results. No secondary tendon lesions were described on ultrasound follow-up. Nevertheless, the authors recommended closure of the fascia after CE. Balke et al. [2] did not share this recommendation following their retrospective analysis of 70 shoulders. However, they found a partial lesion of the supraspinatus tendon on ultrasound in 22% of cases at an average of 6 years (2–13 years) after the intervention. Shoulder function was significantly poorer on the operated side than on the contralateral side.

Ranaletta et al. [25] regularly performed a side-to-side suture or a transosseous suture using the suture anchor repair technique in 30 patients after CE, depending on the position and extent of the residual tendon defect. In 21 patients, MRI was performed a mean of 3 years postoperatively and revealed complete integrity of the tendons concerned in all cases.

A comparative analysis of complications was not performed in the literature search [31]. However, not a single patient in all the studies cited had to undergo revision because of a complication.

The frequency of transient secondary shoulder stiffness following various arthroscopic interventions is reported by Jacobs and de Beer [17] as 18%. According to their retrospective analysis of 61 patients, this occurred in the early postoperative phase and did not affect the final outcome after a mean follow-up of 15 months.

**Discussion**

Although calcifying tendinitis of the shoulder is a self-limiting condition in which spontaneous calcium resorption and hence freedom from symptoms is to be expected in many cases over a period of 1 to 3 years, this perspective represents an unacceptable situation for the majority of sufferers, particularly because of the impossibility of predicting the individual course. For this reason, symptomatic conservative treatment should be considered in most cases and also an invasive intervention in selected patients in the event of a chronic course.

Surgical removal of calcium deposits is generally viewed as the “treatment of last choice” because it is said to be associated with high costs, the highest risk of complications, and the longest rehabili-

**Table 2**

Surgical removal of calcium deposits with and without the use of additional procedures in 30 patients after CE and ASAD in comparison to CE alone and CE with ASAD.

| Procedure | Number of Patients | Average Follow-Up | Clinical Result | Radiological Result |
|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| CE alone  | 10                 | 1-3 years         | 78%            | 80%                 |
| CE + ASAD | 15                 | 1-3 years         | 80%            | 85%                 |
| CE + suture | 5                 | 1-3 years         | 80%            | 85%                 |

Fig. 1 A Radiologic classification of calcifications according to Gärtner. a Type I: dual-segment, compact, homogeneous calcium deposit. b Type II: same patient as a after extracorporeal shock wave therapy. Dispersed, multisegmented calcification, no longer smoothly outlined. c Type III: cloudy, inhomogeneous calcification with blurred outlines obviously in the stage of disintegration.
Calcifications of radiologic type III are highly likely to be in a stage of resorption and there is no indication for invasive therapeutic measures. Because of the associated pain, ESWT is not feasible in this phase.

Decision-making is more difficult in radiologic types I and II. In the case of these images, spontaneous resorption is to be expected in between 9 and 25% of cases within 1 year [3, 11, 12]. Conservative treatment in these stages can result in an improvement in symptoms in 70% of cases [22].

High-energy ESWT results in spontaneous resorption of type I calcifications within a year in 86% of cases [12]; the results following low-energy ESWT and radial ESWT are contradictory [12, 14, 16, 18, 19, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32]. When high-energy ESWT is performed under local anesthesia, it has strictly speaking to be called a semi-invasive procedure.

Ultrasound-guided lavage with or without steroid injection results in an improvement in symptoms within 3 months in about 80% of cases, but this only persists if there is resorption of the calcium deposit.

Endoscopic removal of calcium deposits with or without ASAD resulted in freedom from symptoms in about 80% of cases within 6–26 weeks. The result depends on the complete elimination or resorption of the calcium deposit at the time of the follow-up examination.

Most authors recommend additional ASAD only in cases of a radiologically detectable acromial spur or traces of wear at the front of the acromion on arthroscopy.

For the authors, the findings summarized in this literature analysis yield the treatment algorithm depicted in Fig. 2.

At the same time, treatment must be geared to the individual presentation, the functional restrictions, the radiologic calcification type and, not least, to the individual needs of patients, who must be informed of all treatment options.

Of course, any incidentally detected asymptomatic calcifications have not to be treated at all. On first diagnosis of a previously untreated CT with tolerable symptoms and of radiologic type I, physiotherapy is initiated with the aim of stimulating vascularization of the tendon and hence improving the conditions for resorption of the calcium deposit.
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plemimentary focused ESWT can result in disintegration of the calcium deposit in about half of cases. If this is unsuccessful, needling with lavage should be considered as a semi-invasive treatment measure. As the spectrum of complications is comparable to that of endoscopic CE, in this situation the authors prefer the latter procedure because of the greater prospects of success. In the case of a primary diagnosis in the intermediate stage with radiologic type II, analgesia with NSAIDs should be undertaken in addition to physiotherapy, if necessary in combination with subacromial injection of a steroid preparation mixed with a local anesthetic. In the absence of success, endoscopic CE is performed.

On first diagnosis in radiologic stage III, which in many cases is accompanied by violent pain, invasive measures are not indicated. In this case, consistent pain therapy with subacromial injection and potent analgesics, where necessary, opioids, is recommended, since a short-term spontaneous recovery may be expected.

Practical conclusion

- **Calcifying tendinitis (CT) of the shoulder** is a self-limiting condition in which spontaneous resolution can be expected in many cases over a period of 1–3 years.
- **Due to considerable pain and the impossibility of predicting the individual course,** symptomatic conservative treatment should be considered in most cases as well as an invasive intervention in selected patients with chronic CT.
- The indication for individually recommended therapeutic measures must be geared to the expected spontaneous course. Noninvasive treatment procedures include extracorporeal shock wave therapy, lavage, subacromial steroid injection, transcutaneous nerve stimulation, laser, and ultrasound therapy.
- Surgical removal of calcium deposits is generally viewed as the “treatment of last choice.”
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