Microbiome network in the pelagic and benthic offshore systems of the northern Adriatic Sea (Mediterranean Sea)
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Because of their recognized global importance, there is now the urgent need to map diversity and distribution patterns of marine microbial communities. Even if available studies provided some advances in the understanding the biogeographical patterns of marine microbiomes at the global scale, their degree of plasticity at the local scale is still underexplored, and functional implications still need to be dissected. In this scenario here we provide a synoptical study on the microbiomes of the water column and surface sediments from 19 sites in a 130 km² area located 13.5 km afar from the coast in the North-Western Adriatic Sea (Italy), providing the finest-scale mapping of marine microbiomes in the Mediterranean Sea. Pelagic and benthic microbiomes in the study area showed sector specific-patterns and distinct assemblage structures, corresponding to specific variations in the microbiome network structure. While maintaining a balanced structure in terms of potential ecosystem services (e.g., hydrocarbon degradation and nutrient cycling), sector-specific patterns of over-abundant modules—and taxa—were defined, with the South sector (the closest to the coast) characterized by microbial groups of terrestrial origins, both in the pelagic and the benthic realms. By the granular assessment of the marine microbiome changes at the local scale, we have been able to describe, to our knowledge at the first time, the integration of terrestrial microorganisms in the marine microbiome networks, as a possible natural process characterizing eutrophic coastal area. This raises the question about the biological threshold for terrestrial microorganisms to be admitted in the marine microbiome networks, without altering the ecological balance.

In marine-ecosystems microbes represent the most abundant and diverse biological components, and account for up to 10% of the total microbial biomass on our planet1,2. Microbes, including bacteria, are responsible for energy fluxes in the marine food webs3,4, and play a central role in the global biogeochemical cycles and ecosystem functioning5–7. Because of their global importance, numerous studies have focused on mapping the diversity of marine microbiomes, to understand their distribution patterns and environmental drivers8–10 and to assess their potential response to future climate changes11–13. So far, contrasting patterns have been reported, potentially due to the different spatial scales, habitat characteristics and the level of taxonomic resolution at which studies have been conducted8,14–16. Relevant insights in this direction have been provided by Tara Ocean in 201517, which collected up to 35.000 samples from surface to mesopelagic waters at the global scale to provide a first inventory of the global diversity of microbiomes and to identify factors shaping their composition. These investigations revealed that longitude and environmental factors (mainly temperature and dissolved oxygen) combine to shape the microbiome composition in the global oceans and are responsible, at least in part, of the observed biogeographical patterns. Conversely, latitude explained only a minimal fraction of the observed diversity8. Other key studies based on a systematic and coupled analysis of the pelagic and benthic microbiomes from globally distributed samples, showed structured biogeographical patterns of marine bacterial assemblages, only partially explained by the assessed environmental factors (e.g., temperature, oxygen and pH). Furthermore,
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a remarkable difference in the composition of pelagic and benthic bacterial assemblages was observed, revealing a pelagic-benthic coupling limited to the 7% of the total communities in open waters.

Studies, which specifically addressed the latitudinal patterns of diversity at the global scale, reported an increase in microbiome dissimilarity with increasing distance from the sampling points up to 5000 km. However, the diversity of microorganisms at regional scale (distance between sampling sites < 100 km) was only slightly lower than for larger distances, suggesting the existence of a relevant variability in marine microbial communities even at such spatial scale. This finding was also confirmed by studies on the taxonomic composition of benthic prokaryotic assemblages along bathymetric gradients in Mediterranean Sea, which reported high local variability of microbial assemblages, potentially due to intra-specific interactions, limited dispersion, and historical contingencies, which may combine with stochastic physical disturbances. Taken together, these findings suggest the existence of a relevant degree of marine microbiome plasticity at the local scale, both for the pelagic and benthic communities, whose range and degree of variability, as well as functional implications, still need to be dissected. In order to provide some glimpses in this direction, in the present work we conducted a synoptical study on the microorganisms of the water column and surface sediments from 19 sites in a 130 km² area located 13.5 km afar from the Emilia Romagna coast (Italy), in the North-Western Adriatic Sea (Mediterranean Sea).

The Northwestern Adriatic Sea is characterized by shallow waters (maximum depth: ca. 40 m) and, in the coastal area, the ecosystem productivity is mainly sustained by nutrient inputs, especially from the Po river. Two currents dominate the circulation in Adriatic: the Western Adriatic Current (WAC), flowing toward the southeast along the Western Italian coast, and the East Adriatic Current (EAC) which flows from the northwest along the eastern Croatian coast.

Riverine inflow into the northern Adriatic forms a buoyant coastal layer—the Western Coastal Layer (WCL)—flowing southward along the Italian coast. The principal compensating inflow occurs along the eastern boundary by EAC, where warm, high-salinity Levantine Intermediate Waters (LIW) is advanced to the North. During the pre-winter and winter periods, after the development of the coastal thermohaline front, the inflow of fresh waters from the Po river (and other sources along the coast) is prevalently retained inside the coastal zone, establishing a dynamic limitation between inshore and offshore systems were riverine nutrients are mainly kept in the coastal area. The spring inversion of the total heat budget leads to a decrease in the density of the surface layer and generates a thermocline. Therefore—during the late spring and summer—the water column is highly stratified and 3 different layers separates over the whole northern basin. The low-density surface layer is directly influenced by runoff and distribution of diluted riverine waters, while the bottom layer is initially occupied by cold, dense, non-diluted winter waters, later replaced by deep middle Adriatic waters. In these stratified conditions, surface waters flow from the coastal area and inject into the surface layer to reach toward the center of the basin.

In our work, by applying 16S rRNA Next Generation Sequencing and network-based approach, we have been able to map the variation at the local-scale of the pelagic and sediment microorganisms in the Northwestern Adriatic Sea. The coupled investigation of the pelagic and benthic microorganisms from each sampling site also allowed us to identify connections, exchanges, and isolation of microbial members in the two realms. Together with the dissection of the respective microbiome network structures, the present study allowed us to provide new insights into the structuring of the marine microbial assemblages at the local and regional scales.

Materials and methods

Study area and sampling procedure. The present study was conducted in September 2021 in 19 sites (whose geographic coordinates, water depths and distance from coast are reported in Supplementary Table 1) located in an offshore area of 130 Km² in the North-western Adriatic Sea (Latitude: from 44.0686667 to 44.2524444 and Longitude: from 12.72288889 to 12.90647222 M Fig. 1). From each site, one sample of water (10 m depth) and one to 3 samples of sediment were collected, for a total of 19 water samples and 25 sediment samples. Water samples were collected using a Niskin bottle. Immediately after collection, 2L of sea water were poured into a previously sterilized plastic bottle. Surface sediments (the top 10 cm) were also collected, using a Van Veen grab. After homogenization, a portion of 10 g of them was transferred into sterile plastic containers. Samples were stored in the dark until arrival at the laboratory. While sediments were immediately frozen at −80 °C, water samples were filtered onto 47 mm diameter cellulose mixed ester 0.2 µm pore-size filters (MF-Millipore) through vacuum filtration system under laminar flow hood. Filters were stored in sterile Eppendorf at −80 °C until processed.

Biochemical components of the sedimentary organic matter. Chlorophyll-α, phaeopigment, protein, carbohydrate and lipid concentrations in sediment samples were analyzed according to Danovaro. Briefly, chlorophyll-α and phaeopigments were analyzed fluorometrically and total phytopigment concentrations were defined as their sum. Proteins, carbohydrates and lipids were determined spectrophotometrically. Concentrations of proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids were converted into carbon equivalents using 0.49, 0.40 and 0.75 mgC mg⁻¹, as conversion factors, respectively and their sum defined as biopolymeric carbon (BPC, a proxy of available trophic resources).

Microbial DNA extraction. Extraction of the total DNA from water samples was performed from the entire membrane filters using the DNaseasy PowerWater extraction kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For sediment samples, 250 mg of each sample was weighed, and total DNA was extracted with the DNaseasy PowerSoil kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions with minor adjustments. Specifically, all samples were homogenized using the FastPrep instrument (MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA) at 5.5 movements/s for 1 min, repeated for three cycles, and the elution step was...
Preceded by a 5-min incubation at 4°C. Extracted DNA was then quantified by using NanoDrop ND-1000 (Nano Drop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) and stored at −20°C until further processing.

**Sequencing, library preparation and bioinformatic analysis.** PCR amplification of the V3-V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene was carried out in a 50 µL final volume reaction containing 25 ng of microbial DNA, 2X KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), and 200 nmol/L of 341F and 785R primers carrying Illumina overhang sequencing adapter. For water samples the thermal cycle consisted of 3 min at 95°C, 25 cycles of 30 s at 95°C—30 s at 55°C and 30 s at 72°C, and a final elongation step of 5 min at 72°C. Sediment samples followed the same PCR amplification protocol with a total of 30 amplification cycles. PCR products were purified with Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). Indexed libraries were prepared by limited-cycle PCR with Nextera technology and cleaned-up as described above. Libraries were normalized to 4 nM and pooled. The sample pool was denatured with 0.2 N NaOH and diluted to a final concentration of 6 pM with a 20% PhiX control. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq platform using a 2 × 250 bp paired-end protocol, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). A pipeline combining PANDAseq and QIIME2 was used to process raw sequences. High-quality reads (min/max length = 350/550 bp) were retained using the “fastq filters” function of Usearch. Specifically, reads with an expected error per base E = 0.03 (i.e., 3 expected errors every 100 bases) were discarded, based on the phred Q score probabilities. The resulting reads from the length and quality filtering were binned into amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) using DADA2, the Taxonomy was assigned using the VSEARCH algorithm against SILVA database (December 2017 release). All the sequences assigned to eukaryotes (i.e., chloroplasts and mitochondria) or unassigned were discarded. Sequencing reads were deposited in ENA (project number PRJEB52873).

**Definition of the alpha-diversity sectors.** The QGIS software was used to construct the maps of the study area and to construct the maps based on the Shannon alpha diversity values of each water and sediment sample. The longitude and latitude geographical coordinates (Supplementary Table 1) were used to plot the precise sampling locations into the software. The distribution of the Shannon alpha diversity values across the samples was obtained through the Triangulated Irregular Network interpolation method on QGIS (TIN interpolation). In order to define the alpha-diversity sectors, for both the water and the sediment microbiomes, samples distribution according to the Shannon alpha-diversity values were first obtained. The obtained ranks have been than utilized for the identification of correspondent alpha-diversity sectors in the area under study. More spe-

---

**Figure 1.** Sampling site and offshore study area. Sampling sites (both for water and sediment) are represented as blue dots.
specifically, for the water microbiome, the following alpha-diversity sectors have been identified: (1) South-sector, where > than 70% of the correspondent samples were included in the 3° and 4° alpha-diversity quartiles; (2) Central-sector, where 100% of the correspondent samples were included in the 1° and 2° alpha-diversity quartiles; (3) North-sector, where > than 65% of the of the correspondent samples were included in the 3° and 4° alpha-diversity quartiles. Analogously, for the sediment microbiome, the following sectors have been identified: (1) South sector, where > than 80% of the correspondent samples were included in the 1° and 2° alpha-diversity quartiles; (2) North-east sector, where 90% of the correspondent samples were included in the 3° and 4° alpha-diversity quartiles; (3) North-west sector, where > than 80% of the of the correspondent samples were distributed between in the 2° and 4° alpha-diversity quartiles. The quartile distribution of the water and sediment samples and the corresponding sector are reported In Supplementary Table 2.

Biostatistical analysis and networks construction. All statistical analyses were performed using the R software,37 using the packages "Mader"38 and "vegan"39. Unweighted UniFrac distances were plotted using the vegan package, and the data separation in the Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) was tested using a permutation test with pseudo-F ratios (function "adonis" in the vegan package). Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Kruskal–Wallis test were used to assess significant differences in alpha diversity and taxon relative abundance between groups. P-values were corrected for multiple testing with "p.adjust" function in R, with a false discovery rate (FDR) ≤ 0.05 considered statistically significant. Bacterial co-abundance groups (CAGs) were identified as previously described40–42. Briefly, the associations among the bacterial orders were evaluated using the Kendall correlation test visualized using hierarchical Ward clustering with a Spearman correlation distance metrics. The Wigung plot network analysis was created using Cytoscape.43 Circle sizes were proportional to orders abundance or over-abundance, and connections between nodes were represented as "gray line" or "red line" for positive or negative correlation, respectively. Over-abundance values were calculated using the ratio between the mean relative abundance in a specific area and the average relative abundance in the whole area of the study (meanArea/meanTot). Hub nodes, cohesion and modularity identification/calculation were based on area-specific networks obtained by FlashWeave44 and the correspondent samples for each area. Specifically, hub nodes were identified for each microbial network by looking to the combination of the highest values of closeness centrality, betweenness centrality and degree on Cytoscape45 as previously described43. Cohesion and modularity were calculated with the "igraph" package in R following the same procedures proposed by Hernandez and colleagues46.

Results
Assessment of environmental parameters in the study area. Sampling sites and the studied area are represented in Fig. 1. During the sampling campaign, the temperature of superficial seawater was 23 °C whereas at 10-m depth of 10 °C. Data on the concentrations of proteins (PRT), carbohydrates (CHO) and lipids (LIP) as well as chlorophyll-a (Chl-a), phaeopigments (PHEO) and biopolymeric C (PBP) in the sediment samples are reported in Supplementary Table 3. In the study area, PRT were the dominant class of the investigated organic compounds, ranging from 1.4 to 7.96 mg/g (mean value of 4.18 ± 0.39 mg/g). CHO concentrations varied from 0.27 to 1.24 mg/g (mean value: 0.66 ± 0.06 mg/g), while LIP ranged from 0.25 to 1.62 mg/g (mean value: 0.74 ± 0.07 mg/g). Chl-a and PHEO concentrations in the sediments were, on average, 1.22 ± 0.22 µg/g and 14.66 ± 1.04 µg/g, respectively (range: 0.35–5.37 µg/g for Chl-a and 6.49–26.91 µg/g for PHEO). Finally, the range of variability of BPC concentrations was comprised between 0.92 and 5.14 mg/g, with a mean value of 2.86 ± 0.26 mg/g.

Composition of pelagic and sediments microbiomes. The V3/V4 regions from the 16 s rRNA gene was successfully sequenced from a total of 44 samples (19 waters and 25 sediments), providing 549318 high quality reads (12’485 ± 3’235 per sample) clustered in 8’271 Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs) (206.8 ± 94.4 per sample). None of the detected ASVs have been assigned at the species level, while the assignment rates at the genus, family and order levels were 42, 48 and 48%, respectively. The total diversity at ASVs level was 150 with the “igraph” package in R following the same procedures proposed by Hernandez and colleagues46. Briefly, the associations among the bacterial orders were evaluated using the Kendall correlation test visualized using hierarchical Ward clustering with a Spearman correlation distance metrics. The Wigung plot network analysis was created using Cytoscape43. Circle sizes were proportional to orders abundance or over-abundance, and connections between nodes were represented as "gray line" or "red line" for positive or negative correlation, respectively. Over-abundance values were calculated using the ratio between the mean relative abundance in a specific area and the average relative abundance in the whole area of the study (meanArea/meanTot). Hub nodes, cohesion and modularity identification/calculation were based on area-specific networks obtained by FlashWeave44 and the correspondent samples for each area. Specifically, hub nodes were identified for each microbial network by looking to the combination of the highest values of closeness centrality, betweenness centrality and degree on Cytoscape45 as previously described43. Cohesion and modularity were calculated with the "igraph" package in R following the same procedures proposed by Hernandez and colleagues46.

In order to explore specificities and connections between pelagic and sediment microbiomes, we provide the bubble plots of the distribution of the different microbiome orders in the two ecosystems (Fig. 2). According to our findings, Synchococcus-like Cyanobacteria Subsection I, SAR11 clade and Punicieoccaceae were characteristic of the pelagic microbiome, while Holophaga-Subgroup 10, Bacteroidales, Bacillales, Lactobacillales, Clostridiales, Desulfovibionales and Campylobacteriales were almost exclusive for the sediment one. However, several orders were shared between the two ecosystems. In particular, Flavobacterales, Rickettsiales, Cellvibrionales, Oceanospirillales, Alteromonadales, Rhodobacterales,
and *Vibrionales* were most abundant in water samples, and *Verrucomicrobiales, Rhizobiales, Planctomycetales* and *Acidomicrobiales* were almost equally represented in water and sediment samples.

**Changes in abundance and diversity of the pelagic and sediment microbiomes at the local scale.** To identify change in the microbiome patterns in the study area, we first accounted for changes in alpha and beta-diversity across the 3 transects. Specifically, to highlight alpha-diversity patterns, the area plots of the Shannon index variation in water and sediments samples were computed (Fig. 3). For both the pelagic and sediment microbiomes high and low alpha-diversity sectors have been identified, showing significant differences in Shannon diversity values. For the pelagic ecosystem, the North and the South sectors were characterized by microbiomes with higher alpha-diversity, compared with the Central sector (Fig. 3A). Similarly, for the sediment microbiome, two high alfa-diversity sectors were identified in the North-East and North-West sectors, while a lower diversity area was detected in the Southern sector (Fig. 3B). We subsequently assessed beta-diversity patterns in the study area. To this aim, the PCoA of the ASVs variation in water and sediment microbiome samples was carried out. According to our findings, for the pelagic microbiome, samples belonging to the previously identified alpha-diversity sectors—South, Central and North—significantly segregated in the PCoA plot (Adonis; \(p = 0.001\); Fig. 4A). Similarly, for the sediment microbiome, samples segregated according to the corresponding alpha-diversity sectors (North-Est, North-West and South) (Adonis, \(p = 0.006\)) (Fig. 4B). When we searched for the correlations between PCoA coordinates and water column depth or distance from the coast, significant relationships were detected for both pelagic and sediment microbiomes (Supplementary Figure S2). For the pelagic microbiomes, the MDS1 significantly correlated with depth (\(R = 0.9, p < 0.005\)) and distance from the coast (\(R = 0.9, p < 0.005\)), while, for the sediment microbiome, we obtained analogous significant correlations but with MDS2, \(R = 0.25, p < 0.01\). Further, when we assessed correlations among samples alpha-diversity and PCoA coordinates, a positive correlation with MDS1 was observed for sediment microbiomes (\(R = 0.6, p < 0.005\)), while only tendencies were obtained for the pelagic microbiome. When we accounted for differences in the biochemical composition in sediments corresponding to the sectors, we observed a higher concentration of all biochemical components of sedimentary organic matter (proteins, carbohydrates, lipids and total phytopigments) in the Northern sectors (Fig. 5). Finally, the correlation between the UniFrac distances matrix of sediment microbiome samples and the correspondent distance matrices of the biochemical composition was significant (Table 1) (Mantel Test in R). When we assessed the linear regression between the different microbial orders detected in the sediment microbiome and the concentrations of LIP, PRT and CHO, no biological relevant correlations were detected (\(R^2 > 0.25\)) (Supplementary Figure S3).
Figure 3. Representation of the alpha-diversity patterns in the area for both water and sediment samples. (A) (Upper part) Distribution of the alpha diversity values among water samples, generated with TIN interpolation of the single values. Colour scale from red to blue represents a decrease in alpha diversity; black lines represent contour lines of the interpolation. (Bottom part) Subset of samples divided into 3 areas based on Shannon diversity; for water samples, the Northern Area, the Central Area and the Southern Area were identified. Box plot of Shannon index calculated for the 3 identified areas of water samples. (B) (Upper part) Distribution of the alpha diversity values among sediment samples, generated with TIN interpolation of the single values. Colour scale from red to blue represents a decrease in alpha diversity; black lines represent contour lines of the interpolation. (Bottom part) Subset of samples divided into 3 areas based on Shannon diversity; for sediment samples, the North-West Area, the North-East Area and the Southern Area were identified. Box plot of Shannon index calculated for the 3 identified areas of sediment samples (Wilcoxon rank-sum test; \( p < 0.05 \)).

Figure 4. Beta diversity of the bacterial community of the water (A) and sediment (B) samples in the studied area. (A) PCoA based on unweighted UniFrac distances between pelagic microbiome of the 3 areas, samples are significantly separated (Adonis; \( p = 0.001 \)). (B) PCoA based on unweighted UniFrac distances between sediment microbiome of the 3 areas, samples are significantly separated (Adonis; \( p = 0.006 \)).
Variation in the pelagic and sediment microbiomes network structure at the local scale. With the attempt to better identify the community-level implications of diversity patterns observed for the pelagic and sediments microbiomes in the study area, a network-based approach was applied. To this aim, the overall network structure of the pelagic and sediment microbiomes was obtained and then the correspondent declinations in the different sectors were assessed. For the creation of the overall microbiome networks, the co-abundance associations between orders were computed, then orders were clustered in co-abundance groups CAGs (Supplementary Figure S4).

For both ecosystems, 3 different CAGs were detected and named according to the dominant order. The CAGs composition is provided in the Supplementary Table 4. For the pelagic microbiome, the detected CAGs were \textit{Rhodobacteriales} CAG, the \textit{Vibrionales} CAG and the \textit{Flavobacteriales} CAG, while for the sediment microbiomes the correspondent CAGs were \textit{Desulfobacterales} CAG, \textit{Clostridiales} CAG and \textit{Campylobacterales} CAG. In Fig. 6, we provided the Wiggum plots of the overall network structure of the pelagic and sediment microbiomes, where the compositional relationships between the correspondent CAGs are represented.

The variation of the pelagic and sediment networks in the different sectors were than explored. To this aim, for both ecosystems, the sector specific patterns of over-abundance modules (CAGs) and nodes (orders) were computed, and the respective over-abundant network plots were created (Figs. 7 and 8). The box plot showing the variation in relative abundance of the over-abundant CAGs and orders in each sector are provided in Supplementary Figure S5.

According to our findings, for the pelagic microbiomes, the 3 sectors showed a specific pattern of over-abundance CAGs. Particularly, the \textit{Flavobacteriales} CAG was most abundant in the North sector, while the \textit{Vibrionales} CAG and \textit{Rhodobacteriales} CAG were most represented in the Central and South area, respectively. Focusing on the single orders, each sector showed a specific set of over-abundant components: (1) for the North sector: \textit{Xanthomonadales} and EO1-9C-26 marine group (for the \textit{Flavobacteriales} CAG), MB11C04 marine group, \textit{Vibrionales} and KI89A clade (for the \textit{Vibrionales} CAG) and \textit{Clostridiales} (for the \textit{Rhodobacteriales} CAG),...
Figure 6. Wiggum plots representing the overall relative abundance of each bacterial order in the 3 CAGs for water (A) and sediment (B) microbiome. CAGs are named according to the most abundant order and are colour coded as follows: (A) Rhodobacterales (Violet), Vibrionales (Bondi Blue) and Flavobacterales (Yellow) for water microbiome; (B) Desulfobacterales (Ocher), Clostridiales (Pink) and Campylobacterales (Blue) for sediment microbiome. Each node represents a bacterial order, and its dimension is proportional to its mean relative abundance in all samples. Connections between nodes represent positive (gray) and negative correlation (red) between order.
Figure 7. Wiggum plots representing the over-abundance of each bacterial order in the 3 CAGs in the water ecosystem in each Area: (A) Northern Area; (B) Central Area and (C) Southern Area. CAGs are named according to the most abundant order in each CAG and are colour coded as follows: *Rhodobacterales* (Violet), *Vibrionales* (Bondi Blue) and *Flavobacterales* (Yellow). Each bacterial order is depicted as a node whose size is proportional to its over-abundance. Node and name of bacterial order with an over-abundance < 1 are not represented, and those with an over-abundance ≥ 1.3 are bolded.
Figure 8. Wiggun plots representing the over-abundance of each bacterial order in the 3 CAGs in the sediment ecosystem in each Area: (A) North-West Area; (B) North-East Area and (C) Southern Area. CAGs are named according to the most abundant order in each CAG and are colour coded as follows: *Desulfobacterales* (Ocher), *Clostridiales* (Pink) and *Campylobacterales* (Blue). Each bacterial order is depicted as a node whose size is proportional to its over-abundance. Node and name of a bacterial order with an over-abundance < 1 are not represented, and those with an over-abundance ≥ 1.3 are bolded.
Planctomycetales and Cellvibrionales SAR11 clade and Campylobateriales for the CAGs) were over-abundant in the North West sector; (2) Bacteroidota Order II, Cytophagales, HTA4 (for the Desulfobacterales CAGs), Pseudomonadales Vibroniales (for the Clostridiales CAGs) and Desulfurellales (for the Campylobacterales CAGs) were over-abundant in the North East sector; (3) Alteromonadales, K189A clade, Rhodobacterales, Spirochaetales, Solirubrobacterales, SAR324 clade (Marine group B), Cellvibroniales, Burkholderiales and NB1-j (for the Desulfobacterales CAGs), Oceanospirillales, Lactobacillales, Corynebacterales, Clostridiales, Micrococcaceae, Flavobacterales, Vibrionales, Clostridiales and Desulfarculales (for the Campylobacteriales CAGs) were over abundant in the South sector. Finally, for both the water and sediment microbiome, site-specific community networks were created for each of the 3 alpha diversity sectors. For each local network, correspondent key parameters in term of modularity, total connectivity, negative to positive cohesions and hubs orders are provided in Table 2.

### Discussion

In the present study we conducted a synoptical analysis of the assemblage composition of the pelagic and sediment microbiomes in a 130 km² offshore area of the Northern-western Adriatic Sea (Mediterranean Sea). The protein contents and proteins to carbohydrates ratio (as a proxy of the nutritional quality of the organic matter) detected in sediment samples allowed to rank the area under study from meso-oligotrophic to eutrophic, generally showing a higher concentration for all the assessed biochemical components with respect what reported in other studies from same geographical area[48] or other coastal benthic ecosystems worldwide[22].

According to our findings, the pelagic ecosystem of the investigated area was dominated by *Synechococcus* like Cyanobacteria Subsection I, a photosynthetic primary producer characteristic of nutrient-rich coastal ecosystems[49], and by *Flavobacteriales*, *Oceanospirillales* and *Rhodobacterales*. These latter microorganisms represent aerobic heterotrophs with an important role in the degradation of the dissolved organic matter (DOM) pool, known to prosper as r-strategist in copiotrophic environments such as the Adriatic Sea[59]. Conversely, *SAR11* clade and *Cellvibroniales*, were only represented as minor components in our samples, being k-strategist cosmopolitan marine heterotrophs which typically dominate a balance in nutritarian cycling in the pelagic ecosystem of the North Adriatic[52, 53]. Finally, in the pelagic microbiome we detected *Rickettsiales*, as a dominant component, that generally is a host-associated microorganism present in nutrient-enriched ecosystems[44-46].

For what concerns the sediment microbiome, it was largely dominated by organic carbon fermenters—even with known possible terrestrial origins—such as members of Clostridiales, Bacillales, Vibrioionales and Lactobacillales[57]. In particular, these microorganisms are known for their importance in the degradation of the organic carbon in anoxic eutrophic sediments of coastal ecosystems[57, 58, 59]. Furthermore, the sediment microbiome was dominated by microbial components able to reduce sulfate (Desulfobacterales) and nitrite (Acidimicrobiales) in anaerobic conditions, with an important role in biogeochemical cycling[27, 58, 60]. These components also include Planctomycetales, as anaerobes able to perform Anammox[61]. The synoptical investigation of microbiomes in seawaters and sediments allowed us to explore their connections between the two ecosystems. As typical for shallow waters’ different microbiome components were shared between pelagic and sediments assemblages—indicating a benthic-pelagic coupling. The shared groups included copiotrophic microorganisms assimilating DOM at low

| Single networks parameters | N:P Cohesion ratio | Modules | Total connectivity | Hubs species |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------|
| Northern area—Water         | 1.39              | 22      | 1.06              | Sphingobacterales, Cytophagales |
| Central area—Water          | 1.63              | 25      | 0.69              | Planctomycetales |
| Southern area—Water         | 0.39              | 20      | 0.77              | Acidimicrobiales, Rhizobiales |
| N/W Area—Sediment           | 0.95              | 25      | 0.58              | Rhizobiales |
| N/E Area—Sediment           | 1.5               | 39      | 0.71              | Planctomycetales |
| Southern area—Sediment      | 0.88              | 26      | 0.80              | Micrococcaceae, Desulfobacteria |

Table 2. The table represents the parameters of Networks of the single Area, in terms of negative to positive cohesion ratio (N:P), Modules, Total connectivity and hubs order of the Network, both for pelagic microbiome (first three rows of the table) and sediment microbiome (last three rows of the table).
O\textsubscript{2} levels, such as Oceanospirillales, Alteromonadales, Vibrionales, Planctomycetales and Verrucomicrobiales\textsuperscript{62} and the anoxicogenic phototroph Rhodobacterales, known to inhabit shallow sediments\textsuperscript{63}.

For both the pelagic and sediment microbiomes, the corresponding networks structures were obtained, allowing for dissecting modules of co-occurring orders as CAGs. Each CAG showed a specific pattern of functional potentiality for global cycling in a copiotroph coastal marine ecosystem.

Conclusions

Our findings provide new insights into the local changes of the pelagic and sediments microbiomes in an offshore area of the North-western Adriatic Sea. Based on our results, despite the pelagic and benthic microbial assemblages showed a certain heterogeneity in the investigated area they maintained a well-balanced structure, being always structured for the provision of key ecosystem services (e.g., primary production, nutrient cycling, hydrocarbon degradation). Interestingly, Microbiomes at the different sites showed comparable ecological roles.
but a different origin, such as those of the South site (i.e., the closest to the coast) where both the pelagic and benthic ecosystems were characterized by microbial groups of terrestrial origin. Interestingly, these terrestrial microorganisms seem to become integral to the marine microbiome networks, as indicated by the comparable degree of modularity and connectivity of the local network at the South sites with respect to the other subarea. Even if our study has a limited phylogenetic resolution and does not allow us to assess temporal microbiome changes, our findings rise possible concerns about the biological threshold, in terms of relative abundance, for terrestrial microorganisms—including the ones of fecal origin—to be included in the marine microbiome networks, without altering the ecological balance. However, in this perspective, more research is needed, with an improved phylogenetic resolution, also expanding the observation to other geographical sites and assessing for seasonal changes.
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