Aerobic oxidative desulfurization via magnetic mesoporous silica-supported tungsten oxide catalysts

Wei Jiang1,2 · Xiang Gao1 · Lei Dong1 · Jin Xiao1 · Lin-Hua Zhu3 · Guang-Ying Chen3 · Su-Hang Xun1 · Chong Peng4 · Wen-Shuai Zhu1 · Hua-Ming Li1

Received: 15 March 2020 / Published online: 1 September 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract
It is usually difficult to remove dibenzothiophenes from diesel fuels by oxidation with molecular oxygen as an oxidant. In the study, tungsten oxide was supported on magnetic mesoporous silica by calcination to form a magnetically separable catalyst for oxidative desulfurization of diesel fuel. By tuning different calcining temperatures, the catalyst calcined at 500 °C showed a high catalytic activity with molecular oxygen as the oxidant. Under optimal reaction conditions, the sulfur removal of DBT reached 99.9% at 120 °C after 8 h. Furthermore, the removals of 4-methyldibenzothiophene and 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene could also get up to 98.2% and 92.3% under the same conditions. The reaction mechanism was explored by selective quenching experiments and FT-IR spectra.
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1 Introduction
SOx produced in the combustion of fuel oil leads to severe environmental problems, such as haze and acid rain. To relief environmental pressure, deep desulfurization has been extensively explored in many countries (Smolders et al. 2019; Li et al. 2018; Afsharpour and Amraee 2017; Zhao et al. 2017a, b). Conventional hydrodesulfurization (HDS) has been widely applied in many industrial fields. However, it is inefficient to remove refractory sulfur components such as dibenzothiophene (DBT) and its derivatives with HDS under mild conditions (Behnejad et al. 2019; Gao et al. 2019). In addition, high temperature and high pressure increase the cost of this technology (Shen et al. 2015). To overcome these drawbacks, it is necessary to develop alternative desulfurization technologies. Several desulfurization technologies have been developed, such as extractive desulfurization (EDS) (Wang et al. 2020; Song et al. 2017), adsorptive desulfurization (ADS) (Luo et al. 2019) and oxidative desulfurization (ODS) (Sun et al. 2004; Wu et al. 2017; Xun et al. 2016; Shi et al. 2017; Ren et al. 2018; Hao et al. 2017; Jiang et al. 2019a, b, c, d). Among them, ODS is one of the promising desulfurization approaches because refractory sulfur components could be easily removed with ODS under mild conditions (Bryzhin et al. 2019; Bhadra and Jhung 2019).

Various oxidants have been employed in ODS process, such as hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) (Xun et al. 2019; Jiang et al. 2019a, b, c, d; Jiang et al. 2018), molecular oxygen (O2) (Li et al. 2017a, b; Jiang et al. 2019a, b, c, d) and organic peroxides (Lv et al. 2019). So far, H2O2 is commonly used...
as the oxidant for deep desulfurization of fuel. However, H2O2 has some shortcomings such as strict transportation requirements and high cost. Moreover, H2O2 as the oxidant has the biphasic problem of the mass transfer, thus decreasing the desulfurization efficiency (Li et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2018). To overcome these shortcomings, O2 has been applied as alternative oxidant in oxidative desulfurization process, due to its low cost, easy availability and high environmental safety.

As so far, several kinds of catalysts have been reported in ODS. For example, polyoxometallates (POMs), such as [C18H37N(CH3)3]5[IMo6O18] (Lu et al. 2007), [C8H17N(CH3)3]3H3V10O28 (Tang et al. 2012), [(C18H37)2N(CH3)2]3[Co(OH)6Mo6O18] (Lu et al. 2013), have been applied to catalysts for ODS. For example, polyoxometallates (POMs), such as [C18H37N(CH3)3]5[IMo6O18] (Lu et al. 2007), [C8H17N(CH3)3]3H3V10O28 (Tang et al. 2012), [(C18H37)2N(CH3)2]3[Co(OH)6Mo6O18] (Lu et al. 2013), have been applied to oxidative desulfurization of fuel. However, H2O2 as the oxidant without any sacrificial agent. In addition, other nanomaterials allow catalysts to be separated conveniently from the reaction system by the use of an external field (Zhang et al. 2016), can also activate O2 for oxidative desulfurization of diesel. Although these heterogeneous catalytic systems could be separated and recovered through filtration, it was cumbersome on an industrial scale. However, magnetic materials allow catalysts to be separated conveniently from the reaction system by the use of an external field (Zhang et al. 2011; Xun et al. 2019).

Herein, magnetic mesoporous core-shell structure catalysts were obtained by calcination at different temperatures. The catalyst calcined at 500 °C (WO3/MMS-500) showed the best desulfurization performance of DBT with O2 as the oxidant without any sacrificial agent. In addition, other different sulfur compounds including 4-methyldibenzothiophene (4-MDBT) and 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene (4,6-DMDBT) were also removed efficiently with the sulfur removal of 98.2% and 92.3% after 8 h. After the reaction, the catalyst could be separated with external magnet. Furthermore, a possible mechanism was proposed based on selective quenching experiments and FT-IR spectra.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

All the reagents were used as received without further purification. Iron(III) acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)3), benzyl alcohol, oleic acid, oleylamine, cyclohexane, tetraethyloorthosilicate (TEOS), DBT, 4,6-dimethylbenzothiophene, 4,6-DMDBT), 4-methylbenzothiophene (4-MDBT) and tetradecane (C14H29) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Decalin, phosphotungstic acid (H3PW12O40·14H2O), AR grade), cetrimonium bromide (CTAB), benzoquinone (BQ) and tert-butanol (TBA) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.

2.2 Synthesis of Fe3O4 nanoparticles

Uniform magnetite Fe3O4 particles were synthesized via the solvothermal method (Sun et al. 2004). In a typical procedure, Fe(acac)3 (0.53 g), oleic acid (2.3 mL), oleylamine (2.3 mL) and benzyl alcohol (15 mL) were dissolved and magnetically stirred for 30 min. Then, the obtained solution was sealed into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and heated at 180 °C for 10 h. The autoclave was then cooled down to room temperature. The obtained black magnetic particles were washed with ethanol for three times, dried and then dispersed in 13.5 mL of cyclohexane for the subsequent synthesis step.

2.3 Synthesis of magnetic mesoporous silica (MMS)

In order to protect the magnetic core, Fe3O4 was coated with non-porous SiO2 by a sol–gel growth procedure (Wang et al. 2015). The magnetic mesoporous silica was obtained by a facile calcination method (Deng et al. 2008). Fe3O4@SiO2 (0.3 g) nanospheres were re-dispersed in a mixture solution containing CTAB (0.26 g), water (81 mL), ammonium hydroxide solution (0.75 mL, 28 wt%) and ethanol (37.5 mL) by ultrasonication for 30 min. Then, 0.5 mL of TEOS was added dropwise to the dispersion under mechanical stirring. The reaction was allowed to proceed at ambient temperature for another 8 h. The obtained solid was washed, dried and collected. The MMS was finally obtained by calcining the resulted composite at 400 °C for 4 h in muffle furnace.

2.4 Synthesis of the magnetic catalysts

MMS (0.07 g), H3PW12O40 (HPW, 0.03 g) and acetonitrile (10 mL) were mixed with a magnetic stirrer at ambient temperature for 3 h. The product HPW/MMS was gathered after removing the solvent. Then catalysts were obtained by calcining HPW/MMS at different calcination temperatures (400, 500 and 600 °C) for 4 h with a heating rate of 2 °C/min in a muffle oven and denoted as HPW/MMS-400, HPW/MMS-500, and HPW/MMS-600, respectively.

2.5 Catalytic activity test

Model oils were prepared by dissolving DBT, 4-MDBT and 4,6-DMDBT in decalin, respectively, according to the S-content of 500, 200 and 200 ppm (with tetradecane as internal standard). The desulfurization experiments were performed in a 100-mL three-flask with air pump device and condenser. Then, 0.01 g of catalyst and 20 mL of model
oil were added into the flask. Then, O₂ was blown into the solution with a flow rate of 100 mL/min under magnetic stirring. Quenching experiments of free radicals were conducted by adding BQ and TBA into the reaction system. The sample was taken out and monitored by gas chromatography-flame ionization detector (GC-FID) every 1 h in 8-h reaction.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of samples

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images of magnetic non-mesoporous silica (MNS) and magnetic mesoporous silica (MMS) microspheres are recorded in Fig. 1a and S1. It can be seen that Fe₃O₄ was successfully coated within SiO₂ to keep interior magnetic microspheres from decaying in the subsequent reaction process. The average diameters of synthesized Fe₃O₄ and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ nanoparticles are 14 and 52 nm, respectively. To increase the adsorptive capacity of the catalyst, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ was encapsulated into mesoporous silica shell. The obtained magnetic core-shell mesoporous nanoparticles have a uniform size with the mesoporous layer around 27 nm as estimated from Fig. 1a.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) spectra of MMS, HPW/MMS-400, WO₃/MMS-500 and WO₃/MMS-600 are depicted in Fig. 1b. The bands at 1080, 810 and 470 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to the asymmetric stretching vibrations, symmetric stretching vibrations and bending vibrations of Si–O–Si, respectively. The peak at 960 cm⁻¹ is assigned to plane stretching vibrations of Si–OH (Ma et al. 2017; Shen et al. 2018). For HPW/MMS-400, the typical peaks at 981 and 890 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to Keggin units of W=Oₜ and W–Oₜ–W, where t and c represent particular positions (terminal and corner) of different oxygen atoms in Keggin structure (Ciptonugroho et al. 2016). A strong peak around 1080 cm⁻¹ is assigned to P–O substituted asymmetric stretching vibration of Si–O–Si bonds. For WO₃/MMS-500, and WO₃/MMS-600, the characteristic peaks at 950 cm⁻¹ (W=O) and 815 cm⁻¹ (W−O−W) demonstrate the presence of WO₃ (Ding et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2017a, b). The results confirmed that HPW was immobilized on the MMS surface and decomposed

![Fig. 1 TEM images of MMS (a), FT-IR spectra (b), Raman spectra (c) and XRD patterns (d)](image-url)
into WO₃ when calcination temperature was higher than 400 °C.

Raman spectra of the samples were also recorded to explore the structure. As shown in Fig. 1c, MMS has no characteristic peaks. The characteristic peaks at 1005 cm⁻¹ with neighbor bands at 990 and 215 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to the W=O symmetric and asymmetric stretching and W–O–W bending mode in the Keggin unit from 110 to 1100 cm⁻¹ (Hamid et al. 2016). This result confirmed that HPW was loaded on the carrier surface and was not decomposed under 400 °C. For WO₃/MMS-500, and WO₃/MMS-600, four typical peaks at 807, 715, 325 and 271 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the monoclinic γ phase of WO₃ (Acharyya et al. 2015; Mehmood et al. 2017). Among them, the peaks at 807 and 715 cm⁻¹ correspond to stretching and bending vibration of W–O (ν and δ), whereas the peaks at 325 and 271 cm⁻¹ are originated from bending frequencies of O–W–O.

Further structural information of the catalysts is elucidated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis in Fig. 1d. The characteristic Keggin structure peaks at 2θ = 10.7°, 15.3°, 24.9°, 27.1°, 31.5° and 37.2° correspond to HPW phase (PDF#50-0304) (Qin et al. 2016). For WO₃/MMS-500 and WO₃/MMS-600, the characteristic diffraction peaks at 2θ = 23.7°, 24.9°, 34.4° and 35.1° are well consistent with the standard of WO₃ phase (PDF#43-1035) (Ciptonugroho et al. 2016; Hasan et al. 2012). Besides, the crystallinity of WO₃ was improved with the rise of calcination temperature due to its increasing peak intensity of WO₃. These results are in accordance with Raman analysis results.

The hysteresis loops of original Fe₃O₄, MNS, MMS and WO₃/MMS-500 were investigated to analyze superparamagnetic ability with superconductive quantum interference device (SQUID). As shown in Fig. 2, all of the samples show superparamagnetic behaviors because of no hysteresis in the hysteresis loop. The saturation magnetization values of Fe₃O₄ particles, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, MMS and WO₃/MMS-500 are 47.87, 6.71, 2.31 and 2.30 emu g⁻¹, respectively. The SiO₂ layer weakened the maximum saturation magnetization, but no saturation magnetization was changed when WO₃ was embedded into the carrier. Therefore, the catalyst could be easily separated from the catalytic system by applying an external magnet field.

The N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms of the samples were investigated to study the mesoporous structure of the catalysts under different calcination temperature. The steep adsorption–desorption step was observed in the narrow relative pressure range of 0.4–1.0. As shown in Fig. 3, all of the samples present the typical Type IV isotherm, indicating the presence of mesopores. The textural properties and BJH pore size distribution analysis of the

---

**Fig. 2**  Magnetic hysteresis loops of a Fe₃O₄, b MNS, c MMS, and d WO₃/MMS-500

**Fig. 3**  N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of various samples
various samples are presented in Table 1 and Fig. S3. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area, pore volume and average pore size of MMS are calculated as 165 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, 0.24 m$^3$ g$^{-1}$ and 6 nm, respectively, suggesting highly porous structures. After HPW was loaded on MMS, the surface area and pore volume decreased, indicating that HPW was introduced into the channel. However, the surface area and increased to 167 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and pore volume decreased a little to 0.21 m$^3$ g$^{-1}$ for WO$_3$/MMS-500, which may mean the great dispersion of WO$_3$. Compared with WO$_3$/MMS-500, WO$_3$/ MMS-600 had the smaller pore size because the mesoporous structure was destroyed at the higher temperature. Therefore, WO$_3$/MMS-500 may be the best catalyst due to the synergetic effect of specific surface area and pore size.

To obtain the surface chemical states and the composition of WO$_3$/MMS-500, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed. As seen in Fig. 4, the elements O, W and Si are detected, illustrating that WO$_3$ were successfully anchored on the surface of MMS. As expected, the element Fe cannot be identified because of the protection of silica layer. The W 4f core-level spectra of WO$_3$/MMS-500 could be split into 4f_{5/2} and 4f_{7/2} peaks because of the spin–orbital coupling feature. The two peaks at 36.0 and 38.1 eV are attributed to the typical W$^{6+}$ (Xie et al. 2012).

### Table 1 Textural properties of various samples

| Entry | Samples     | $S_{BET}$, m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ | Pore volume, cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ | Pore size, nm |
|-------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| 1     | MMS         | 165                      | 0.25                         | 6             |
| 2     | HPW/MMS-400 | 65                       | 0.14                         | 9             |
| 3     | WO$_3$/MMS-500 | 167                   | 0.21                         | 5             |
| 4     | WO$_3$/MMS-600 | 280                   | 0.21                         | 3             |

### 3.2 Catalytic performances of different catalysts on DBT removal

To understand the DBT removal efficiency of WO$_3$/MMS-500, various catalysts including MMS, the catalyst without mesoporous layer (denoted as WO$_3$/MNS), WO$_3$/MMS-500 and WO$_3$/MMS-600 were used for oxidation desulfurization process under the same reaction conditions in Fig. 5. The catalytic performances of the four catalysts were decreased in the following order: WO$_3$/MMS-500 > WO$_3$/MMS-600 > WO$_3$/MNS > MMS and the corresponding desulfurization efficiency was 99.9%, 82.6%, 59.9% and 6.5%, respectively. The above BET analysis elucidated the reason of the difference between the two catalysts WO$_3$/MMS-500 and WO$_3$/ MMS-600. Although they had the similar mesoporous structure and the same active sites, the desulfurization efficiency
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(a) XPS spectra of WO$_3$/MMS-500 and (b) W 4f core-level spectrum of WO$_3$/MMS-500
of WO3/MMS-600 was lower than that of WO3/MMS-500 due to the destroyed mesoporous structure at the higher temperature. Besides, MMS and WO3/MNS presented lower sulfur removal due to the lack of active components or mesoporous structure, further confirming the importance of the mesoporous structure and active sites.

### 3.3 Effect of reaction temperature

Reaction temperature is one of the most significant factors in catalytic oxidation reactions. The desulfurization performance of DBT with an air flow rate of 100 mL/min at different reaction temperatures is depicted in Fig. 6. When the reaction temperature was increased from 110 °C to 115 °C and 120 °C, the sulfur removal was dramatically increased from 35.2% to 89.2% and 99.9% after 8-h reaction. Generally, reaction rate is controlled by reaction temperature and a high temperature can accelerate the effective collision between catalyst, oxidant, and substrate, thus leading to the higher DBT removal efficiency in the study. Therefore, the optimal reaction temperature for this oxidative desulfurization system was 120 °C. Although carbon nanotube (Zhang et al. 2014) and reduced graphene oxide (Gu et al. 2017) also showed high desulfurization efficiency, their reaction temperature was higher than 140 °C. Hence, the reaction temperature in this study may decrease the energy consumption and desulfurization cost.

### 3.4 Effect of substrate features on desulfurization

There are various refractory sulfides in actual diesel oil including 4,6-DMDBT, 4-MDBT and DBT. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the sulfur removal of different sulfur compounds in model oil. The removal efficiencies of different refractory sulfides are shown in Fig. 7. The desulfurization activity under the same reaction conditions decreased in the following order: DBT, 4-MDBT, 4,6-DMDBT, and their sulfur removal rates reached 99.9, 98.2 and 92.3%, respectively, after 8 h. It might be interpreted by the negative effect of steric hindrance of the methyl in benzene ring (Li et al. 2016).

### 3.5 Recycling of WO3/MMS-500 catalyst

To explore the stability and recovery of WO3/MMS-500, the catalyst was separated from the reaction system with an external magnet after the first recycling round. Then, it could be regenerated by washing with acetonitrile and dried in an oven at 80 °C for 4 h for the next use. Fresh model oil and oxidant were added for the next run under the same reaction conditions. The sulfur removals could reach 99.4, 97.6 and 84.8%, respectively, in three recycling rounds (Fig. 8a). The recycled catalyst was gathered by a magnet to further explore its stability with Raman spectra. As seen from Fig. 8b, the fundamental structure of the catalyst still remained, but the spectrum of the recycled catalyst showed lower intensity, which probably indicated the loss of active components after two recycling rounds. Compared with the fresh catalyst, about 10% of active components were lost after the reaction, as determined by ICP-AES. The possible reason was that partial active components could be fallen off in the acetonitrile washing process. However, the conversion of DBT in the three recycling rounds reached 99.9%, 97.8% and 92.3%.
after 8 h, indicating that the recycled catalyst presented the relatively good recovery ability.

### 3.6 Possible reaction mechanism

In the presence of WO₃-based catalyst, superoxide racial (O₂⁻) or peroxide are possibly produced as the active species in the reaction process. To verify this assumption, selective quenching experiments are investigated in Fig. 9a with TBA and BQ as HO· and O₂⁻ quenchers (Gomez-Paricio et al. 2016). The sulfur removal was only decreased by 0.6% after the addition of TBA, but it was dramatically decreased from 99.9% to 3.1% after the addition of BQ. It can be deduced that O₂⁻ may be the main oxygen active species. To further understand the oxidation process, the catalyst WO₃/MMS-500 was treated in decalin at 120 °C under the air flow rate of 100 mL/min. Then, the fresh catalyst and treated catalyst were characterized by FT-IR spectra in Fig. 9b. The stretching vibration peak of W–O–W at 815 cm⁻¹ shifted to 805 cm⁻¹, suggesting the formation of peroxide species, such as W–O–O–W or W–O–O. This peroxide could also serve as the oxidant for sulfide oxidation (Li et al. 2017a, b). The above analysis indicated that the possible desulfurization mechanism of the oxidation process might involve the following procedures in Scheme 1. First, oxygen molecules were absorbed onto the surface of the catalyst to form W⁶⁺–O₂ species. Then, the superoxide species (W⁶⁺–O₂⁻) and tungsten peroxide were produced, which could oxidize sulfides to sulfones.

---

**Fig. 8** a Recycling of WO₃/MMS-500 catalyst for DBT removal. b Raman spectra of fresh and recycled catalysts

**Fig. 9** a Selective quenching experiments with BQ or TBA. b FT-IR spectra of fresh catalyst and used catalyst after interaction with O₂
O₂
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Scheme 1 Proposed reaction mechanism for aerobic oxidation of sulfur compounds over WO₃/MMS-500

4 Conclusion

In the study, magnetic mesoporous core-shell structure catalysts were successfully prepared. The mesoporous structure of MMS was maintained after the introduction of tungsten species. Using WO₃/MMS-500 as a catalyst and O₂ as an oxidant, the removal of DBT reached up to 99.9% at 120 °C. The removal rates of two other sulfides (4-MDBT and 4,6-DMDBT) were got to 98.2% and 92.3%, respectively. Additionally, the catalyst could be reused easily with an external magnet. The possible reaction mechanism was explored by selective quenching experiments and FT-IR spectra. O₂⁻ and tungsten peroxide might be the active oxygen species.
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