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**Abstract**

**Background:** Food insecurity among poor urban households in low income settings is a major public health concern. This study aimed to assess the magnitude of food insecurity and associated factors among households in Areka town, Southern Ethiopia. **Methods:** A community based cross-sectional survey was conducted among a total random sample of 309 urban households in Areka town, Southern Ethiopia, from March to April, 2015. Data was gathered using an interviewer administered and pre-tested structured questionnaire. The data was entered into Epi Info version 3.5.3 and exported to SPSS version 20.0 for analysis. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to assess the association between independent and outcome variable. Multivariable analysis was used to identify factors associated with household food insecurity after controlling possible confounders and statistical significance set at p-value < 0.05. **Result:** The magnitude of household food insecurity was 69.6 % (95% CI = 64.1, 75.2). Household food insecurity severity status was categorized as mild for34.3%, (95% CI = (29.4, 39.8)), moderate for31.7%, (95% CI = (26.1, 37.0)), and severe for 3.6%, (95% CI = (1.9, 5.8)) households respectively. Being a poor household [(AOR = 3.13, 95% CI = (1.42, 6.91)], lack of formal education among household heads [(AOR = 4.70, 95% CI = (1.82, 12.12)], households whose monthly food expenditure was <500 ETB [(AOR =6.9 , 95% CI =1.48, 4.91)] and household head’s age ≥ 50 years [(AOR = 2.11, 95% CI = (1.12, 3.95)] were significantly associated with urban household food insecurity. **Conclusion:** The prevalence of urban household food insecurity was high in the study setting. The older age group and lack of formal education by head of the household, household poverty and less monthly household expenditure for food consumption were factors that significantly contributed to urban food insecurity. Therefore, an integrated feasible urban food security interventions should be emphasized at all levels by all relevant stakeholders targeting the urban poor households to ensure urban household food security. **Keywords:** Areka town, Southern Ethiopia, Household Food insecurity. **DOI:** 10.7176/JHMN/88-02 **Publication date:** April 30th 2021

**Background**

Food security is a situation that exists when all people, at all times, have physical, social and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life [1]. Food security is complex and its drivers are interdependent, such that sufficiency of food alone cannot be a guarantee to food security. Socio-economic, demographic and environmental factors can alter food accessibility in varying degrees [2].Since most low-income groups in urban areas are net buyers of food, access and affordability are central concerns for household food security [3].

Based on Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) estimates, 842 million of the global population was unable to meet their dietary energy requirements in 2011–2013. Majority of them (98%) live in developing regions. Many parts of the developing world such as Southern Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and Eastern Asia were food insecure [4]. Food insecurity remains a danger in parts of South and South-east Asia. Food insecurity is a chronic problem and highly prevalent in SSA[2].

In Ethiopia, similar to other developing countries, food insecurity is a major public health agendum. An interim report on poverty analysis survey in 2010/2011 indicated that more than one third of the population of the country was food insufficient [5]. Moreover, FAO estimated that about 32.1 million people (37.1% of the total population) in the country were undernourished in the years 2011-2013 [4].

Urban food security is gaining particular attention since achieving national food security is one of the keys for sustainable economic development and poverty reduction in the country[5]. Available evidence showed that the prevalence of urban food insecurity ranged from 58.2 % to 75% [6-7]. Some studies also revealed that dependency on markets for food availability with good supply, purchasing power (household income and market
prices), access to safe drinking water, sanitation and health facilities were among some important factors associated with urban food insecurity in Ethiopia [8]. In addition, educational status of head of the household regardless of sex of the household head, number of earning members in the household, household size, farm size, off farm income, credit access, and marital status also play a role in household food insecurity [9-10].

Although various studies have been conducted to assess the magnitude of rural food insecurity, literature is limited on the urban household food insecurity in Ethiopia. Since urban food insecurity threatens sustainable economic development and poverty reduction, its correlates should be explored further for policy directions. There is also a need for more concern to urban food security due to alarming population growth in urban centers. Furthermore, evidence on urban household food insecurity is essential to design effective food security interventions. Thus, the study was aimed at assessing the prevalence of urban household food insecurity and associated factors in the study setting.

Methods
Study area and setting
The study was conducted from March to April 2015 in Areka town, Southern Ethiopia, which is located 299 KM from Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia [11-12].

All households found in the study area during the commencement of the study were eligible to be involved in the study.

Sample size and sampling procedures
A sample size was obtained from a total random sample of 309 household heads distributed in the four administrative units of the town. Each administrative unit is further stratified into sub-administrative units. There are 27 sub-administrative units in the town of which a total of 9 were selected by simple random sampling technique. A systematic sampling method was used to select the study participants who were eligible for the study. The estimated sample size was proportionally allocated to the selected sub-administrative units based on their population size.

Data collection
Data were collected using interviewer administered pretested structured questionnaires which was adapted from Food and Agriculture Technical Assistance (FANTA) Version 3 (2007) for collecting socio-demographic and Economic details, and household food insecurity access scale (HFIAS) questions. Nine HFIAS questions were used in estimating the prevalence of food insecurity [13]. The questionnaire was first drafted in English language and then translated to Amharic language. Prior to data collection, the purpose of this study was explained to the study participants, their consent to participate was sought and was also informed that their participation in the study was totally voluntary. A total of nine diploma nurses who had prior experience in data collection and proficient in the local language collected data.

The data collectors read out the questions loud and afterwards recorded the responses from the study subjects.

Data collectors and Measurements
The questionnaires were administered by nine trained and experienced data collectors. Three qualified public health officers supervised the process of data collection. Household food insecurity was measured on the following question items: Presence of worry about food, inability to eat preferred foods, eating monotonous or undesired foods, reduction in meal size and number, not having any foods and going hungry to bed at night or the whole day directed to the households the last four weeks prior to the survey. It was measured by scoring response of household heads to HFAIS questions. The questions were tested for their reliability in measuring food insecurity using Cronbach's Alpha (α) and the value was found to 80% indicating their consistency. The overall score of HFAIS was 27.

Socio-economic position (wealth index) which is an aggregate of thirteen variables such as presence of livestock, television, refrigerator, motorbike, bicycle, private house, internet centers, stationeries’ shop, market center, hotel ownership, land ownership, furniture center, and vehicle. These variables were reduced to a single variable (wealth index) using principal component analysis (PCA) to produce a common factor score for each household. Accordingly, six area specific factor scores were obtained, correlation matrix > 0.3, and total variance of > 60% were assumed during analysis. Then, the cumulative score of common factor scores was computed. Finally, wealth status of the households was divided as poor, medium, and rich for further analysis. In this study, permanent residence was defined as living for more than 6 months in the study area to be eligible for the study.
Data quality control
The study tools were pretested on five percent of the estimated sample size on similar setting outside the study area and all important corrections were made before the actual collection of the data. The data collectors and supervisors were trained for two days on the process of data collection. The supervisors closely supervised the data collection and the data were checked for completeness and consistency on the daily basis. The principal investigator carefully monitored the data collection process. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was carried out to control for all possible confounders.

Data Management
Three statistical software packages were used for data management. During the data collection, the data was checked every day for uniformity and completeness before data entry. The data was first entered into Epi-Info version 3.5.3 and the cleaned final data was then exported to SPSS version 20.0 for further analyses.

Statistical analyses
Simple and multiple logistic regressions were used to examine the association between food insecurity and the explanatory variables. From the simple regression models, predictive variables which were associated with the outcome at p-value less than 0.05 were selected for inclusion in the multiple logistic regression models. Statistical significance was set at p<0.050 and 95% confidence interval.

Bivariate logistic regression model was used to assess the association between each independent variable and the dependent variable. All variables which had a p-value of less than 0.2 during bivariate analyses were included in multivariable logistic regression model to identify independent predictors of food insecurity. Multicollinearity test was carried out to see the correlation between the independent variables using standard error for categorical variables and variables with the stand error of greater than 2 were dropped from the analysis. Hosmer-Lemshow significance test was used to test model fit at a p-value greater than 0.05. Multivariable analysis was used to control for all possible confounders and identify factors associated with household food insecurity using multivariable logistic regression.

Operational definition:
Food insecurity: Households with a food insecurity access scale (HFIAS) score through 2 – 27 were considered to be food insecure. Those households with a (HFIAS) score 2 – 7, 8 – 14, and 15 – 27 were categorized to be mildly, moderately, and severely food insecure respectively.

Results
Socio-demographic and economic characteristics
Among the total 309 household heads that participated in the study, 101 (32.7%) were males. The mean age (SD) of household head was 34.39 (±2.3) years. The average family size was 5.6. Most of the household heads were Protestants (56.6%) followed by Orthodox Christian (34.3%). Majority of the respondents were Wolaita (88%) in ethnicity. Ninety five percent of the study subjects were married Distribution of household heads by education revealed that 32.7% had diploma or more. Participants ‘wealth status as determined by principal component analysis were 32%, 33.7% and 34.3% for poor, medium and rich households respectively using the thirteen asset ownership questions about their house hold wealth status. The average monthly household income was 2414.74 Ethiopian birr. Fifty two and thirteen percent of households reported trading and daily labor as their sources of income respectively. The average monthly food expenditure of the household was 1344.34 Ethiopian birr (Table 1).
### Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants, Areka Town, Southern Ethiopia, March to April, 2015

| Variables          | Categories    | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|
| Sex                | Male          | 101       | 32.7        |
|                    | Female        | 208       | 67.3        |
| Age                | 18-29 year    | 101       | 32.9        |
|                    | 30-50 year    | 172       | 56          |
|                    | >50 year      | 34        | 11.1        |
| Family size        | 1-3           | 51        | 16.5        |
|                    | 4-6           | 177       | 57.3        |
|                    | 7+            | 81        | 26.2        |
| Ethnicity          | Wolaita       | 272       | 88          |
|                    | Oromo         | 5         | 1.6         |
|                    | Amhara        | 13        | 4.2         |
|                    | Gurage        | 8         | 2.6         |
|                    | Others        | 11        | 3.6         |
| Religion           | Orthodox      | 106       | 34.3        |
|                    | Muslim        | 8         | 2.6         |
|                    | Protestant    | 175       | 56.6        |
|                    | Others        | 20        | 6.5         |
| Marital status     | Married       | 295       | 95.5        |
|                    | Single        | 4         | 1.3         |
|                    | Separated     | 4         | 1.3         |
|                    | Widowed/divorced | 6    | 1.9         |
| Sources of income  | Salary        | 132       | 42.1        |
|                    | Trading       | 161       | 52.1        |
|                    | Daily work    | 39        | 12.6        |
|                    | Agriculture   | 41        | 13.3        |
|                    | Others        | 23        | 7.4         |
| Educational status | Illiterate    | 72        | 23.3        |
|                    | Elementary    | 79        | 25.6        |
|                    | High school   | 57        | 18.4        |
|                    | Diploma and above | 101 | 32.7        |
| Monthly HH income  | <600 ETB      | 18        | 5.8         |
|                    | 600-2000 ETB  | 122       | 39.5        |
|                    | >2000 ETB     | 269       | 54.7        |
| Wealth index       | Poor          | 99        | 32          |
|                    | Medium        | 104       | 33.7        |
|                    | Rich          | 106       | 34.3        |
| Monthly HH food expenditure | <500 ETB | 35 | 11.3 |
|                    | 500-1500 ETB  | 156       | 50.5        |
|                    | >1500 ETB     | 118       | 38.2        |

**Prevalence of household food insecurity**

Affirmative response to worrying about food inaccessibility was given by 124 households (40%). 189(61%) households reported that they ate limited variety of food, 137 (44%) affirmed to eating smaller meal portions, whereas 115 (37%) households gave affirmative responses to eating fewer meals. The proportion of affirmative responses given for going to bed hungry and eating no food over the whole day were 4(1.3%) and 2(0.6%) respectively (Table 2).
| Indicator                                                                 | No (N) | Total N (%) | Rarely N (%) | Some times N (%) | Often N (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Worry about food shortage                                               | 185(60) | 124(40)     | 45(14.6)     | 65(21.0)        | 14(4.5)     |
| Not able to eat foods they preferred                                    | 125(41) | 184(59)     | 52(16.8)     | 94(30.4)        | 38(12.3)    |
| Eating just a few kinds of foods                                        | 120(39) | 189(61)     | 66(21.4)     | 89(28.8)        | 34(11)      |
| Eating foods that are not preferred                                     | 152(49) | 157(51)     | 43(14)       | 82(26.5)        | 32(10.5)    |
| Household members eat a smaller meal in a day                           | 172(56) | 137(44)     | 66(21.4)     | 89(28.8)        | 34(11)      |
| Household members ate fewer meals                                       | 194(63) | 115(37)     | 43(14)       | 56(18)          | 16(5)       |
| No food at all in the household                                         | 298(96.4) | 11(3.6)     | 5(1.6)       | 5(1.6)          | 0(0)        |
| Household members went to sleep hungry                                  | 305(98.7) | 4(1.3)      | 1(0.3)       | 0(0)            | 0(0)        |
| Household members went a whole day & night without eating               | 307(99.4) | 2(0.6)      | 1(0.3)       | 0(0)            | 0(0)        |

The mean HFIAS score of the sample households was 5.5. A total of 215 [69.6%, 95% CI = (64.1, 75.2)] households had a score from 2 to 27, which indicates that they were food insecure. Household food insecurity was further categorized based on its severity. Accordingly, 34.3%, 95% CI = (29.4, 39.8%), 31.7%, 95% CI = (26.1, 37.0%), and 3.6%, 95% CI = (1.9, 5.8%) of the households were found to be mildly, moderately and severely food insecure respectively (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Prevalence of household food insecurity, Areka Town, Southern Ethiopia, March to April, 2015 (N = 309)](image)

Factors associated with household food insecurity
In the multivariable logistic regression model, household food insecurity was significantly associated with lack of formal education by household head [[AOR = 4.70, 95% CI = (1.82, 12.12)], poor household wealth index [[AOR = 3.13, 95% CI = (1.42, 6.91)], households monthly food expenditure less than 500ETB [[AOR = 2.70, 95% CI = (1.49, 4.91)]], and household heads aged 50 years and above [[AOR = 2.11, 95% CI = (1.12, 3.95)]]. (Table 3).
Table 3: Bivariate and Multivariable regression analysis for selected household characteristics, Areka Town, Southern Ethiopia, March to April, 2015

| Variables                  | Categories       | Food security status | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Age of household head      | 18-29 years      | Food insecure        | 64(63%)      | 37(37%)      | 1.00         |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 37(37%)      |              |              |
|                            | 30-49 years      | Food insecure        | 123(71.5%)   | 49(28.5%)    | 1.45(0.86,2.45) | 1.57(0.53,4.67) |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 49(28.5%)    |              |              |
|                            | ≥50 years        | Food insecure        | 26(76.5%)    | 8(23.5%)     | 1.88(0.77,4.57) | 2.11(1.12,3.95)* |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 8(23.5%)     |              |              |
| Household’s daily source of income | Daily worker    | Food insecure        | 33(84.6%)   | 6(15.4%)     | 2.66(1.07,6.58) | 0.59(0.2,1.73) |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 6(15.4%)     |              |              |
|                            | Not daily worker | Food insecure        | 182(67.4%)  | 88(32.6%)    | 1.00         |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 88(32.6%)    |              |              |
| Household Wealth index     | Poor             | Food insecure        | 84(85%)      | 15(15%)      | 4.80(2.45,9.40) | 3.13(1.42,6.91)** |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 15(15%)      |              |              |
|                            | Medium           | Food insecure        | 74(71%)      | 30(29%)      | 2.12(1.20,3.75) | 1.73(0.91,3.28) |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 30(29%)      |              |              |
|                            | Rich (ref.)      | Food insecure        | 57(53.7%)   | 49(46.3%)    | 1.00         |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 49(46.3%)    |              |              |
| Household’s non-food expenditure | <200 ETB    | Food insecure        | 78(88%)      | 11(12%)      | 6.84(3.28,14.24) | 1.60(0.58,4.43) |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 11(12%)      |              |              |
|                            | 200-499 ETB      | Food insecure        | 79(74%)      | 28(26%)      | 2.72(1.54,4.81) | 1.48(0.73,3.03) |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 28(26%)      |              |              |
|                            | ≥500 ETB         | Food insecure        | 56(51%)      | 54(49%)      | 1.00         |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 54(49%)      |              |              |
| Household head’s educational status | Have no formal education | Food insecure | 65(90.3%) | 7(9.7%) | 9.10(3.81,21.77) | 4.70(1.82,2.12)** |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 7(9.7%)      |              |              |
|                            | Elementary       | Food insecure        | 60(76%)      | 19(24%)      | 3.10(1.62,5.91) | 1.82(0.91,3.82) |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 19(24%)      |              |              |
|                            | High school      | Food insecure        | 39(68.5%)   | 18(31.5%)    | 2.12(1.07,4.20) | 1.48(0.70,3.17) |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 18(31.5%)    |              |              |
|                            | Diploma and above | Food insecure | 51(50.5%) | 50(49.5%) | 1.00         | 1.00 |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 50(49.5%)    |              |              |
| Household Food expenditure | <500 ETB        | Food insecure        | 33(94.3%)   | 2(5.7%)      | 17.70(3.92,74.40) | 6.90(1.45,32.9)* |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 2(5.7%)      |              |              |
|                            | 500-1500 ETB     | Food insecure        | 124(79.5%)  | 32(20.5%)    | 4.10(2.40,6.81) | 2.70(1.48,4.91)** |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 32(20.5%)    |              |              |
|                            | >1500+ETB        | Food insecure        | 58(49%)     | 60(51%)      | 1.00         | 1.00 |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 60(51%)      |              |              |
| Household income           | <600 ETB        | Food insecure        | 17(94.4%)   | 1(5.6%)      | 13.56(1.76,104.26) | 1.30(0.51,3.26) |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 1(5.6%)      |              |              |
|                            | 600-1999 ETB     | Food insecure        | 104(85.3%)  | 18(14.7%)    | 4.61(2.57,8.28) | 1.2(0.05,27.04) |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 18(14.7%)    |              |              |
|                            | ≥2000 ETB        | Food insecure        | 94(55.6%)   | 75(44.4%)    | 1.00         | 1.00 |
|                            |                  | Food secure          | 75(44.4%)    |              |              |

* = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01

Discussion
This study indicated the prevalence of household food insecurity to be 70%, of which 34.3% of the households were mildly food insecure and 31.7% of households were moderately food insecure, while very few 3.6% of them were severely food insecure. Household food insecurity was significantly associated with household heads that did not have formal education, households with poor wealth index, households with monthly food expenditure less ETB500, and household heads aged over 50 years.

This study reports higher prevalence of household food insecurity. The result was much higher than the national food insecurity (37.1%) as estimated by world FAO in 2011-2013 [4]. This higher level of food insecurity could be attributed to seasonal variation in household food security status which is often higher in the months from February to April in Ethiopian context. It might also be explained by eating fewer and smaller meals among households due to monotonous diet and less diversified food items. However, the result was in accordance with other similar studies conducted in different parts of Ethiopia including the study setting[6, 20 -21 ] and other urban communities of low income countries [10, 14, 18].

Households headed by household heads who did not have formal education were more likely to be food insecure compared with their counter parts. This might be due to the fact that uneducated household heads might not able to satisfy the food needs of their families due to shortage in the food expenditure and lower awareness to...
dietary diversification as a result of lack of health education. This finding was consistent with other studies conducted in Ethiopia, Addis Ababa [6-7, 21] and study conducted in India [10, 14].

According to this survey, poor households as explained by low wealth index were more likely to be food insecure. This might be explained by the fact that poor urban households might have no/or single source of income that might not enable them to purchase adequate foods that can satisfy the required needs of their household members due to pronounced poverty. This finding was consistent with other previous findings in SSA countries including Ethiopia [15,17,19,21- 22].

Household’s monthly expenditure on food was also found to be significantly associated with household food insecurity. Those households which spend between ETB 500 to ETB 1500 on a monthly basis for their food consumption were more likely to be food insecure as compared with their counter parts. This could be attributed to low purchasing power of the households with low income to timely respond to the food needs of their families on regular basis. This finding was in agreement with study conducted in South Africa [22].

This study revealed that the age of household head was significantly associated with household food insecurity. Households headed by heads more than 50 years of age were more likely to be food insecure as compared to their counter parts. This could be due to the fact that older household heads were not used to actively engage in different activities to generate household income compared with their counterparts and they might not have productive household members and often live on pension. This finding is in line with previous studies done in Ethiopia and other countries [16, 20].

Conclusion
The prevalence of urban household food insecurity was found to be high in the study setting and associated with being in the older age group of household heads and lack of formal education by the household head, household poverty, and less monthly household expenditure for food consumption. Thus, it is essential that an integrated feasible urban food security interventions should be emphasized at all levels by all relevant stakeholders targeting the urban poor households to curb urban household food insecurity.
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