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Abstract—Water is one of the basic human needs and the country has the main responsibility to confirm their citizens’ provision to safe water. Most of the countries in the world supply treated water to the country through water treatment plants. In drinking water treatment plants, solid sludge is generated at the end of the water treatment process as a waste product which is now becoming a global problem. In previous studies, shrinkage was identified as the major problem of drinking water treatment sludge (DWTS), accrued when use it as a raw material. This study was conducted to analyze the performance of both chemical and physical additives, to identify the possible additive to overcome the shrinkage of DWTS. In this study eight mixtures were prepared by adding Styrene Acrylic Binder as the chemical additive and sand and sugarcane fiber as physical additives separately and together and volumetric shrinkage of each mix was measured weekly. According to the results of this study it was concluded as, shrinkage of DWTS can be reduced by adding physical additives. Physical additives perform more effectively than chemical additives in the reduction of shrinkage of DWTS. Further studies are required to find the optimum mixing ratios of physical additives to optimize the performances.

Index Terms—Chemical additives, drinking water treatment sludge (DWTS), physical additives, shrinkage.

I. INTRODUCTION

Provision to safe water is an essential requirement of humans. The country has the main responsibility to secure the residents ability to provision to safe water; safe water is an essential prerequisite for better health and socio economic development of a country [1]. Most of the countries in the world suffer from lack of safe water. Therefore most of the countries in the world raw water is treated through water treatment plants to secure public health [2]. Drinking water treatment plants process consist with coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, filtration and disinfection. At the end of the process a large quantities of solid sludge is generated, which is categorized under non-hazardous industrial waste. Due to the generation in large quantities solid sludge from drinking water treatment plant is now becoming a global problem [2], [3].

Sri Lanka has a better record in the water supply sector in terms of population coverage with improved water supply, compared to the other countries in the region, the challenges remain to be maintenance of the services to the current users and extension of services to the un-served population which is estimated over 3 million at present [4]. National Water Supply and Drainage Board (NWSDB) has the responsibility in water supply in Sri Lanka. The total drinking water production by NWSDB of Sri Lanka is estimated as 80 million m$^3$ per month [4]. Considerable amount of wastewater is produced in water treatment plants due to backwashing of rapid sand filters and release of accumulated sludge in sedimentation tanks. Different water treatment plants in Sri Lanka has different sludge treatment and removal processes. According to the process, generated sludge in each plant is different to each other in moisture content [5], [6]. Biyagama water treatment plant is one of the water treatment plants where sludge treatment plant is available.

Biyagama water treatment plant production is projected as around 180,000 m$^3$ per day, which cover around 1,000,000 populations’ water requirement. Biyagama, Kelaniya, Mahara, Wattala, Jaela and Dome Divisional Secretariats are the areas covered by Biyagama water treatment plant. Raw water is extracted from Kelani River and after removing course and fine particles, pump to the treatment plant. Biyagama water treatment plant is consist with a mixing chamber, Flocculation tank, Lamella clarifiers, sand filters, clear water and contact tank [5] as shown in Fig. 1. Alum ($Al_2(SO_4)_3$), lime ($Ca(OH)_2$) and chlorine ($Cl_2$) are the chemicals added in the treatment process.

Biyagama water treatment plant is consist with a sludge treatment plant to receive the residues of water treatment, recover the settled water and remove drinking water treatment sludge (DWTS) in solid form. Sludge treatment plant is consisting with wash water recovery tank, sludge balancing tank, sludge thickener tank, thickened sludge tank and sludge decanter as shown in Fig. 1. The solid sludge production of Biyagama water treatment plant is estimated at 10 m$^3$ per day.

DWTS is classified under industrial waste and it is a nontoxic waste product when consider the chemical composition. Direct discharge of DWTS into water bodies and land is resulted in the risk of damage and disturbance of natural ecosystems. Discharge of DWTS to water bodies in high quantities adversely affects on water quality and aquatic biota [6], [7]. Direct discharge of DWTS is prohibited by Sri
Lankan legislations; as it is classified under industrial waste and due to the high quantity [3], [8], [9].

Therefore the problem occurred to find a sustainable solution for DWTS as there is no available sustainable standard treatment or reuse or recycle process. DWTS management is becoming a major problem now in Sri Lanka due to increasing demand for treated water [10], [11]. Conversion of the DWTS into a useful product or use as a raw material in a production process is a sustainable solution for the increasing production of DWTS [2], [3]. To use in a production process intrinsic properties of DWTS should be identified.

It was identified that the intrinsic properties of DWTS is unique for the sludge generate in each treatment plant. The nature of DWTS depends on suspended solids of raw water, coagulant type and chemicals that are used in the treatment process [1]. In water treatment plants the amount and the concentration of wastewater produced will depend on the raw water quality which may differ seasonally and regionally [12]. This results DWTS with different variations of intrinsic properties.

According to the literature, studies were conducted to study the possibility of DWTS use as a raw material in the production industry. Bricks, roof tiles, lightweight aggregates, cement, concrete and geopolymers were the products that experimented to incorporate DWTS with raw materials [2], [13]-[15]. At the end of each study it was concluded as incorporating of DWTS with products is not totally success and the shrinkage of DWTS was identified as the major issue [16].

Shrinkage is one of the basic properties of DWTS which create negative impact when used as a raw material in production process. Shrinkage can be measured as volumetric shrinkage and observational shrinkage [16]. Observational shrinkage is considered as the cracks observed after drying and the volumetric shrinkage is considered as the volume reduction after drying. Historical evidence shows that natural fibers, binders and additives were used to avoid shrinkage of clay based productions and applications [17]-[19]. As the DWTS is categorized under clay; according to the USCS soil classification, shrinkage can be overcome through historical practices before used as a raw material [2], [16].

As the shrinkage was identified as the major problem of DWTS which effects negatively when used as a raw material; this study was conducted to analyze the performance of chemical and physical additives on DWTS to reduce shrinkage. So the object of this study is to analyze the shrinkage performances of DWTS when mix with chemical and physical additives to identify the potential ability of chemical and physical additives to reduce shrinkage of DWTS to use effectively in production processes.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Material Selection

DWTS from Biyagama water treatment plant was selected for the study. As the initial step of the study chemical and physical properties of DWTS were tested. XRF analysis was conducted to determine the chemical composition of the DWTS [20]. Moisture content, particle size distribution and volumetric shrinkage of DWTS were initially tested. Moisture content was measured in weight basis using oven dry method and wet sieve analysis was conducted to analyze the particle size distribution in DWTS [21].

One chemical additive and two physical additives (see Table I) ware selected to measure the volumetric shrinkage after mix with DWTS. Styrene acrylic binder was selected as the chemical additive as it is one of the commonly used acrylic binder in production industry [22]. Sand is selected as a physical additive as sand is commonly utilized material in the construction industry. As a fiber, sugarcane fiber was selected. Sugarcane fiber is generated as an waste product in sugar manufacturing industry [23].

B. Mix Design

Eight different mixtures (see Table II) were prepared by
mixing selected additives with DWTS in a mechanical mixer. After prepared the mixtures volumetric shrinkage test was conducted.

### TABLE I: CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL ADDITIVES

| Additives          | Physical | Chemical         |
|--------------------|----------|------------------|
| Sand               | Fiber    | Styrene Acrylic  |

- Sand, sieved from 300mm sieve was used.
- Sugarcane fiber; which is produced as a waste product in sugar production process, was used as the fiber.
- Styrene Acrylic binder was used as the chemical additive.

### TABLE II: MIX DESIGN

| Mixture | DWTS | Sand | Fiber | Chemical Additive |
|---------|------|------|-------|-------------------|
| Mix 1   | x    | O    | O     | O                 |
| Mix 2   | x    | x    | O     | O                 |
| Mix 3   | x    | O    | x     | O                 |
| Mix 4   | x    | x    | x     | O                 |
| Mix 5   | x    | O    | O     | x                 |
| Mix 6   | x    | X    | O     | x                 |
| Mix 7   | x    | O    | X     | x                 |
| Mix 8   | x    | x    | X     | x                 |

X – Added in the mix, O - Not added in the mix

### C. Volumetric Shrinkage Test

Volumetric shrinkage was measured considering the difference of initial volume and volume after drying as in Fig. 2. Three replicates from each mixture were casted in 70x70x70 mm³ moulds and unmolded after 7 days and let to dry. Three dimensional measurements were taken weekly until 35 days; where volume was constant after completely dry, as shown in Fig. 3. Volumetric shrinkage of each mixture was calculated according to (1), where $S_v$ is volumetric shrinkage, $V_1$ is initial volume ($70^3$ mm³) and $V_2$ is final volume at the measuring date [16]. Average of the shrinkage values of three replicates were calculated as the volumetric shrinkage of each mixture.

$$S_v(\%) = \frac{V_1 - V_2}{V_1} \times 100$$  (1)

### TABLE III: CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF DWTS

| Element | Al | Si | S | K | Ca | Ti | Mn | Fe |
|---------|----|----|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| Mass %  | 24.43 | 42.23 | 0.44 | 2.35 | 5.34 | 1.48 | 0.25 | 23.47 |

III. RESULTS

A. Chemical and Physical Properties of DWTS

As an initial step of the study chemical and physical properties of DWTS were tested. According to the XRF test results chemical composition was determined (see Table III). According to the test results, Si (42.23%) is the highly available chemical element in DWTS and Mn (0.25%) is the least available chemical element in DWTS.

Moisture content and particle size distribution of DWTS were also measured. Moisture content of DWTS was measured as 68.38% in weight basis. According to the wet sieve analysis test results DWTS consist with 4.63% of sand particles ($D > 75 \mu m$) and 95.37% of clay particles ($D < 75 \mu m$). Volumetric shrinkage of DWTS also initially measured and the results are shown in Fig. 4.

B. Volumetric Shrinkage Test

Three dimensions of each sample were measured weekly and volumetric shrinkage of each mixture was calculated weekly. Volumetric shrinkage test results are shown in Fig. 5.

According to (a) in Fig. 5, shrinkage values of mixtures prepared by mixing chemical and physical additives has lower shrinkage values compared with the shrinkage value of Mix1. As the results shown in (b) in Fig. 5, Mix 2 and Mix 3 show lower shrinkage values when compared with Mix 1. But the lowest shrinkage was resulted by Mix 4; the mixture with both sand and fiber.

According to (c) in Fig. 5, shrinkage value of Mix 5 was lower than Mix 1. As the results shown in (d) in Fig. 5, Mix 6 and Mix 7 show lower shrinkage values when compared with Mix 1; and Mix 8 show the lowest shrinkage value. But refer to (a) in Fig. 1, Mix 4 has the lowest shrinkage value.
IV. CONCLUSION

According to the test results volumetric shrinkage of DWTS can be reduced by adding additives; both chemical and physical. Addition of physical additives is the most effective in the reduction of shrinkage when compared with addition of chemical additives to DWTS. Addition of both sand and fiber together result the highest volumetric shrinkage reduction.

As this study is concluded that the volumetric shrinkage of DWTS can be reduced effectively by adding physical additives; the shrinkage issue of DWTS can be successfully overcome. Therefore further studies should be conducted to determine the optimum mixing ratios of the sand and fiber with DWTS to optimize the performances to overcome the volumetric shrinkage and to utilize as a raw material in a production process.
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