Boundary-induced effect on the spoke-like activity in E × B plasma
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The spoke instability in an E × B Penning discharge is shown to be strongly affected by the boundary that is perpendicular to B field lines. The instability is the strongest when bounded by dielectric walls. With a conducting wall, biased to collect electron current from the plasma, the spoke becomes faster, less coherent and localised closer to the axis. The corresponding anomalous cross-field transport is assessed via simultaneous time-resolved measurements of plasma potential and density. This shows a dominant large-scale E × B anomalous character of the electron cross-field current for dielectric walls reaching 40–100% of the discharge current, with an effective Hall parameter βeff ∼ 10. The anomalous current is greatly reduced with the conducting boundary (characterised by βeff ∼ 102). These experimental measurements are shown to be qualitatively consistent with the decrease of the E field that triggers the collisionless Simon-Hoh instability.
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I. INTRODUCTION:

Cross-field discharges such as Hall thrusters1–3 for space applications4 and sputtering magnetrons5,6 for material processing7, are susceptible to a number of performance-limiting unstable mechanisms8–10. A better understanding of their physical origin thus proves essential.

Especially relevant, and the subject that is to concern us here, is the so called ‘spoke’11–15 (see Figure 1). This azimuthally rotating macroscopic structure of increased plasma density and enhanced ionisation is common to many E × B devices. Its rotation occurs quasi-coherently in the E × B direction, but with a speed slower than the E × B drift of magnetised electrons (typically by an order of magnitude). Moreover, the presence of the spoke has been associated in the literature with an increase in the electron cross-field mobility13,16–19. This enhanced transport is significantly larger than those values expected from classical collisional calculations, and in this sense is deemed anomalous. This current has deleterious effects in, for example, Hall thrusters20, and is therefore a feature sought to be understood, controlled and ultimately eliminated.

In the sake of understanding the origin of the spoke, many phenomenological accounts of potential sources, such as propagating ionisation fronts21 have been proposed. Studies of linear instabilities have also been carried out, leading to possible numerous growing modes21–25. Amongst these, the Simon-Hoh instability26,27, originating from colinear electric field and density gradients, has drawn renewed attention. In particular its collisionless version25 is driven exclusively by the electron E × B drift, and more complete considerations have also been recently proposed as part of general theory of gradient drift instabilities (GDI)24,28–30.

Alongside these theoretical efforts, computational modelling has become increasingly important in extending present understanding of the spoke. Amongst the many numerical approaches available31–34, simulations employing Particle-in-cell (PIC) codes33,35,36 have lately gained relevance, including simulations of real sized devices36. Indeed, ‘spoke-like’ structures have been shown to appear in such recreated devices even in the simplest formulations, such as in the absence of ionisation or collisions33,35.

Imperative to the validity of all these existing predictions is, however, empirical evidence. This paper presents

FIG. 1. Rotating spoke seen along the axis of the Penning device with a fast-frame camera. B = 80 G is out of the page and E is radially inwards (Xenon at 0.2 mTorr pressure).

FIG. 2. Diagram showing a longitudinal cut of the Penning device including main features.
results of experimental studies of the $E \times B$ discharge shown in Figure 2 with a focus on the effects that changing boundary conditions have on the spoke activity. In particular, we show that the shortening of the $E \times B$ plasma by a metal wall as facing flange (see Fig. 2) significantly reduces the spoke activity in part due to the reduction of the electric field, but does not suppress it completely. The shortening of the $E \times B$ plasma with the conductive wall is similar to the well-known Simon’s effect but its effect on the spoke is the finding of this paper. Indeed, a different type of azimuthal activity with twice and three times higher frequencies than typical spoke oscillations, and modified spatial distribution is observed for the same operating conditions as in the $E \times B$ plasma bounded with dielectric walls as facing flange. An enhanced cross-field electron conduction due to perturbations by the spoke is also studied and significantly reduced. A final comparison to some predictions of the collisionless Simon-Hoh instability (CSHI) is also presented.

II. EXPERIMENT BACKGROUND

A. Theoretical basis

For an analysis of experimental results and their comparison with theoretical predictions, collisionless Simon-Hoh instability (CSHI) is considered here, as developed originally in and obtained as a limiting form of GDI in Refs 

The classical CSHI theory invokes the following assumptions. First of all, the approach is linear and is originally in and obtained as a limiting form of GDI. Secondly, both electrons and ions are treated as collisionless fluids but, the plasma is only partially magnetised. Then, magnetised electrons have significant $E \times B$ and diamagnetic drifts. Finally, and particular to the Penning discharge, the magnetic field gradients are neglected in comparison to potential or density ones.

This fluid mode is dominated by the radial electric field, $E_r$, and density gradient length scale, $L^{-1}_n \equiv |\nabla n|/n$. The form of the dispersion is given by

$$\frac{\omega_s}{\omega - \omega_0} = \frac{k_B^2 c_s^2}{\omega^2}$$

where, $\omega_s = -e k_B T_e/e B L_n$ is the electron diamagnetic drift frequency, $\omega_0 = k_B E_0/B$ is the $E \times B$ drift frequency, $c_s$ is the ion sound speed, and $k_B$ is the azimuthal wavenumber.

From Equation (1), the growth rate $\gamma$ of the instability is,

$$\gamma = \frac{k_B c_s}{\omega_s} \sqrt{\omega_0 \omega_s - \frac{k_B^2 c_s^2}{4}}.$$  

B. Experimental set-up

The entirety of the experiments presented in this paper were done in the $E \times B$ Penning setup shown in Figure 2 and described elsewhere. The simplicity of this experiment makes it an attractive case of study, still bearing common ground with other larger efforts with similar geometry such as MISTRAL and LAPD of UCLA.

In this setup, a partially magnetized plasma with electron temperature and density of $T_e \sim 1 \sim 5$ eV and $n \sim 10^{16} \sim 10^{17} m^{-3}$ respectively, is generated in a 26 cm diameter ($D$) and 50 cm length ($L$) non-magnetic, stainless steel, 6-way cross chamber equipped with a turbo-molecular pump and a mechanical pump. With Xenon as the working gas, a typical operating pressure in the chamber during the described experiments is 0.2 mTorr. The plasma is produced by impact ionization of Xenon atoms with energetic electrons extracted from the RF-plasma cathode. The cathode is placed at one of the ports of the vacuum chamber to enable the injection of electrons along the applied magnetic field. A typical electron extracting voltage of $V_q \sim 55$ V was applied between a metal wall of the RF cathode and the chamber (ground). Thus, the chamber acts as the anode with respect to the RF cathode. Electrons from the cathode flow to the chamber along a solenoidal-type magnetic field of $B = 30 \sim 150$ G produced by a set of coils placed outside the chamber. A radial $E$ field is also present, with magnitudes $E \lesssim 200$ V m$^{-1}$. In the described experiments, the extraction current (equal to the DC discharge current) was limited to $I_d \sim 1.2$ A. Under typical operating conditions, electrons are magnetized with electron gyro-radius of $r_e \sim 0.3 \sim 1.7$ mm $\ll D, L$, while ions are on average weakly to non-magnetized ($\rho_i \sim 0.3 \sim 1.5$ m).

In order to study the effect of boundary conditions on the spoke and the electron cross-field current, the Penning setup was operated in two configurations. In one configuration (Case I), the chamber walls perpendicular to the magnetic field lines (see facing flange in Fig. 2) were dielectric, made from either machinable glass ceramic or Pyrex. The transparent Pyrex was used to allow monitoring the spoke activity with a fast framing camera (see Figure 1). There were no observable differences in the plasma operation of the Penning discharge between these two dielectric materials.

In the other configuration of the Penning discharge (Case II), a non-magnetic stainless steel flange was used as a magnetic field facing wall. The wall was electrically connected to the chamber and, thereby, it also acted as anode with respect to the RF cathode. In this set-up, the electron flow from the cathode is anticipated to be directly collected along the magnetic field lines connecting the cathode and the flange (Fig. 2). It is also expected that, under such conditions, the plasma confined within the flux tube connecting cathode and anode will be close to equipotentiality. Then, in the absence of the electric field across the magnetic field, Eq. (2) predicts no CSHI instability. In this context, a reduced spoke activity would be consistent with CSHI, and could be taken as indication that CSHI likely plays a role in the spoke formation. The purpose of experiments in this Penning...
discharge configuration is, in part, to test this prediction.

C. Plasma diagnostics

1. Plasma density ($n$) – ion probes

A negatively biased ion probe is used to deduce plasma density, $n$. The probe collects saturated current, $I_{\text{ion}}$, in the Bohm regime\(^2\), without significant sheath expansion. The lack of expansion was experimentally resolved by observing collection current variations when changing probe negative bias; this gave changes below 5% per 10 V. A 10 kΩ shunt resistor was used to measure the current in the probe circuit. Thus, from the voltage drop measured across the shunt, the ion density is given as

$$n = \frac{I_{\text{ion}}}{\gamma e A_p c_s} \quad (3)$$

where $c_s = \sqrt{k_B T_e/m}$ is the Bohm velocity and $A_p$ is the probe area.

The factor $\gamma$ corresponds to a geometry dependent, effective presheath density correction from radial motion limited theory.\(^3\) A constant approximated value of $\gamma \sim 1$ is taken for the investigated plasma conditions.

In the right hand side of Equation (3), a constant value is also taken for the electron temperature of the plasma, which will, as a result, be a source of uncertainty. Spatial and uncorrelated temporal variations not accounted for will lead, respectively, to systematic and random errors on the final value of $n$ (see Appendix A). Note that non-Maxwellian features of the EEDF could also be potentially important, but comparison to sweeping bias Langmuir probe measurements indicated a limited disagreement to below $\sim 10\%$.

2. Plasma potential ($V_p$) – floating emissive probe

A DC heated, floating emissive probe made from a 0.1 mm diameter thoriated tungsten wire is used to measure the plasma potential, $V_p$. The probe is operated in the regime of strong thermionic electron emission, manifested itself as the saturation of the hot-probe floating potential with respect to the ground.\(^4\) For the correct interpretation of this floating potential reading, the contribution from the heating voltage ($V_h$), typically $V_h \sim 4$ V, ought to be taken into consideration. Indeed, $1/2V_h$ is subtracted from the measured voltage value.\(^5\) In general, $V_p$ is related to the corrected measured probe voltage, $V_{p,\text{hot}}$, by

$$V_p = V_{p,\text{hot}} + \alpha T_e \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha$ is some constant $O(1)$ that depends on the particularities of the plasma under study.\(^5\)

To assess how good an approximation $V_p \approx V_{p,\text{hot}}$ is, the smallness of the term $\alpha T_e$ in Eq. (4) was tested by comparing measurements to a sweeping Langmuir probe in the same discharge and at the same spatial location, using the second derivative of the measured IV traces to find the plasma potential.\(^6-8\) (see Appendix A).

3. Cross-field current ($j_{\perp, B}$) – two-probe method and rotating-wave approximation

The anomalous electron cross-field current resulting from fluctuations of local electric fields and plasma density is measured indirectly. For these measurements, the transport is assumed to be dominated by $E_{\theta} \times B$ variations, so that

$$j_{\perp, B}(r, \theta) = n(r, \theta) e \frac{E_{\theta}(r, \theta)}{B} \quad (5)$$

The form of Eq. (5) suggests that, for a net radial electron transport (i.e. a non-vanishing azimuthal average) to exist, $E_{\theta}$ and $n$ should have a small relative phase. In light of this, local measurements of density and azimuthal electric field are required. The two-probe method does so by using, simultaneously, an ion probe and an emissive probe, described in the two preceding sub-sections. These two distinct probes record $n$ and $V_p$ respectively from poloidally closely separated locations ($a \sim 0.3$ cm apart) without significant shadowing.

In order to obtain $E_{\theta}$ from these measurements, the recorded $V_p$ time series needs to be mapped onto the spatial domain, where the definition of $E_{\theta} \equiv -\nabla \phi V_p$ may be applied. An approximated projection is possible under the assumption of what we call the rotating wave approximation. This approximation scheme exploits the quasi-periodic rotation of the spoke, which is taken to be rigidly and uniformly whirling about the axis. In that case, the linear map is trivial, but gives rise to an uncertainty of up to $\sim 70\%$ (see Appendix A). It is noted here that small turbulent scales are not resolved in this approach. The uncertainty does however not preclude the main conclusions in this paper. This will however be the dominant source of uncertainty in $j_{\perp, E \times B}$.

III. SPOKE CHARACTERISATION

A. Spoke activity reduction with metallic flange

The configuration in Case II led to a clear reduction in spoke activity. This improvement in stability is described by a figure of merit defined as $\dot{V} = \frac{n - \langle n \rangle}{\langle n \rangle}$. That is to say, the relative size of the recorded density fluctuations is used as proxy for instability. An example of the reduced activity and the magnitudes for numerous $B$ fields are shown in Figure 3.

The density variations (see right panel of Figure 3) are reduced by a factor of $\sim 3.4(3)$ on average, over a range $B = 10-150$ G. This suggests that the stabilisation mechanism introduced is not just incidental, but holds for an extended set of parameters.

Nevertheless, the quieter plasma obtained with the metal does still show a prominent peak in its spectrum. The properties of this prevailing mode will now be compared to the spoke in Case I.
The shapes of the spokes in the top panels have been reconstructed based on data from simultaneous measurements of two ion probes, separated poloidally by an angle of $\pi/2$. The radial position of one of the probes was fixed at $r \sim 6$ cm, while the other changed so as to sample the radial extent of the spoke. The cross-correlation phase between the signals is the quantity shown in each of the polar plots, each arm within a diagram representing an opposite $B$ direction.

These graphs show that the rotation in the $E \times B$ direction persists when the boundary is modified. However, an approximately 4-fold increase in the dispersion of the correlation phase in Case II suggests that the spoke has lost coherency and/or rigidity. In addition, for Case II, a significant loss of correlation at larger radii may be observed, reaching phase deviations of up to $\Delta \phi \sim \pi/2$ rad for the outermost locations. This observation may be linked to the spoke being located closer to the axis.

Regarding changes in the frequency of rotation (see lower panel), the modified oscillations occur approximately twice as fast, closer to the characteristic $E \times B$ drift frequency, which falls by a factor order $\sim 2$. As a way of example, for $B = 150$ G with the metal wall,

$$\nu_{E \times B} = \frac{E_x}{2\pi r B} \approx \frac{50 \text{Vm}^{-1}}{2\pi \times 4 \text{cm} \times 150 \text{G}} \approx 13 \text{kHz},$$

while for glass $\nu_{E \times B} \approx 43 \text{kHz}$. The corresponding spoke frequencies are 14 kHz and 6 kHz respectively. The increased magnitude of $\nu$ is also accompanied by an increased complexity in $\nu(B)$, with the possibility of various competing modes, something to be considered in future work.

2. **Changes in anomalous $E \times B$ electron cross-field current**

The anomalous electron cross-field current, $j_{\perp, E \times B}$, in the radial direction is investigated using the described two-probe method. Figure 5 shows the average magnitude of $j_{\perp, E \times B}$ and classical transport values, as well as the relation of $j_{\perp, E \times B}$ to the passage of the spoke. The figure also compares the measured cross field current with a cross field current estimate under the assumption that this is uniformly distributed in axial and azimuthal directions of the Penning discharge, i.e. $I_d/2\pi r L$.

The current distribution as a function of the spoke phase shows for Case I (i.e. dielectric) a close relation between the $E \times B$ induced transport and the spoke. This correspondence appears as a narrow (i.e. FWHM of $\Delta \phi \sim \pi/4 - \pi/3$) conduction channel in Figure 5. From the average profiles, it follows that this $E \times B$ current is the main contributor to the total discharge current; indeed, measurements lie within agreement (i.e. relative value of 40–100%) with the uniform distribution estimates. These observations of $E \times B$ transport are consistent with results from previous experiments performed in the same Penning discharge using a fast sweeping Langmuir probe and Hall thrusters.

In addition, $j_{\perp, E \times B}$ is found to be a different nature of electron-neutral collisional transport levels (eg. for...
transport in the plasma drops very significantly (see Fig. of Penning discharges. With values presented in some numerical PIC simulations for \( B \sigma \omega \)), and the mass-transport values (eg. \( j_{\text{class}} \)) also fall, as \( j_{\perp} E_{\perp} B \) values are closer to classical collisional transport values (eg. \( \sim 6 \) times on average for \( B = 30 \) G cases, with \( \beta_{\text{eff}}^M \approx 220(150) \)). At the same time, measured current is less correlated with the passage of the spoke: indeed, current profiles become spatially broader (\( \Delta \phi \sim 2\pi/a \)), noisier and less prominent. This observation evidences the relation between transport and the spoke.

In conclusion, the reduction of the spoke activity appears connected to the drop in the total and anomalous cross field transports.

IV. COMPARISON WITH THEORY

The observed improvement in stability is now compared to the growth rate predictions of CSHI. To determine \( \gamma \) associated to the experimental conditions, mean time averaged radial profiles of \( V_p \) and \( n \) are measured empirically (see Appendix B). Taking \( k_d \sim 1/r \), where \( r \) represents radial position, all information needed for Eq. 2 is available. Figure 4 shows as scatter data the measured quantities in \(( E, L_n )\) space, for \( B = 150 \) G. Every pair of coordinates in this space is indeed associated to a growth rate, and this is represented as a coloured contour.

According to CSHI theory, gradients are consistent with the improved stability; the gradients corresponding to the dielectric case lying in higher \( \gamma \) value. This prediction, though in qualitative agreement with experiment, presents a number of limitations that further theoretical work should amend.

To start with, it applies field gradient measurements from the non-linear saturated regime to the linear theory formalism. It could be argued that, assuming that on average, due to the forcing and dissipation balance...
between the electron injection and the instability, the spoke should not change radial gradients dramatically, the qualitative linear description could be expected to be approximately correct. Perhaps more clearly a failure of the linear treatment of CSHI is that it does not predict the observed prominence of the $m = 1$ mode, instead it suggests that $m > 1$ are more unstable. In recent PIC simulations of the Penning discharge, the dominance of $m = 1$ mode was also observed.\textsuperscript{[24,28,29]}

Additional limitations, especially describing the metal case, come from disregarding several physically relevant features. For instance, due to the decrease of radial $E$, additional gradients (such as $B$ or $T_e$) could gain relevance and need to be considered, e.g. using the more complete form of GD\textsuperscript{[21,25,26]}. Even with such an extension, relevant features of the system take us further away from classical CSHI: axial uniformity may break down (there is a significant net axial current flow), the short circuit effect\textsuperscript{[27]} is not accounted for and possibly significant sheaths\textsuperscript{[30,51]} may be present. A more detailed numerical, theoretical and experimental exploration is left for future work.

Nonetheless, the qualitatively consistent behaviour with the electric field and density gradient trends following from CSHI suggest as a possible theoretical framework the more general GDI theory, perhaps including additional effects such as the sheath.

V. CONCLUSION

The spoke instability sustained in a low-density plasma in an $E \times B$ Penning discharge has been demonstrated to be significantly reduced by changing boundary properties. The introduction of an all-metallic surface connected to the anode in front of the flow of electrons from the cathode, led to a reduction in the prominence of fluctuations, as hypothesised. However, a modified saturated structure persisted, characterised by a faster, more radially confined and less coherent rotation than that of the spoke with the dielectric.

The cross field anomalous $E \times B$ electron transport due to the large-scale spoke perturbations was measured in situ and, for the dielectric, seen to account for a $40–100\%$ of the total discharge current, resulting in a $\beta_{\text{eff}}^M \approx 10$ consistent with computational work.\textsuperscript{[31,32]} Transport becomes more spread and closer to levels of classical collisional transport with the metal as boundary ($\beta_{\text{eff}}^M \approx 10\beta_{\text{eff}}^D$). These changes in transport imply an inhibited radial loss of electrons, which could be of relevance in design of devices such as Hall Thrusters (more specifically, anode layer Hall thruster, Camila Hall thruster, segmented Hall thruster, magnetically shielded Hall thruster) and sputtering magnetrons.\textsuperscript{[44,45]}

Finally, the observations were shown to be generally consistent with the electric field and density gradient trends following from the standard collisionless Simon-Hoh instability. However, additional gradients\textsuperscript{[24,28,29]} sheath effect\textsuperscript{[30,31]} or significant axial non-uniformities are recognised as likely relevant aspects especially in the metallic case, and should be considered in a more comprehensive framework. The investigation of these added complexities is left for future numerical, experimental and theoretical work.
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Appendix A: Experimental uncertainty

In this appendix the uncertainty sources taken into consideration for the measurements in this paper are spelled out for completeness.

1. Plasma density (n):

See Table I for the uncertainty contribution to the plasma density $n$ measurement with ion probes. The first two entries (measured radial variation in temperature $T_e(r)$ based on floating cold and emissive probe\textsuperscript{[45] and calculated correction parameter\textsuperscript{[43]} $\gamma(r)$ ) are anti-correlated and result from disregarding spatial variations. The remaining represent uncorrelated sources such as: time fluctuation\textsuperscript{[43]} of $T_e(t)$, theoretical indeterminacy\textsuperscript{[43]} in temperature measurement ($\Delta T_{\text{TH}}$) and correction for sheath expansion from the measured saturation current changes with bias voltage ($\Delta n_{\text{SAT}}$). The total uncertainty is obtained by combining all these various sources of indeterminacy.

| Source | $\Delta n/n$ |
|--------|-------------|
| $\gamma(r)$ | 15% |
| $T_e(r)$ | 25% |
| $T_e(t)$ | 5% |
| $\Delta T_{\text{TH}}$ | 10% |
| $\Delta n_{\text{SAT}}$ | 10% |
| $\Delta n_{\text{TOT}}$ | 20% |

TABLE I. Uncertainty sources to the plasma density $n$: radial variation in temperature $T_e(r)$, calculated correction parameter $\gamma(r)$, time fluctuations of $T_e(t)$, theoretical indeterminacy in temperature measurement ($\Delta T_{\text{TH}}$) and correction for sheath expansion ($\Delta n_{\text{SAT}}$). The total uncertainty results from the statistical combination of all.

2. Plasma potential ($V_p$):

See Table II for the uncertainty contribution to the plasma potential $V_p$ measurement with the emissive probe. The first entry corresponds to the error due to ignoring the term $\alpha T_e$ in Eq. [1] found to be $- \alpha \sim 0.1 - 0.2$ and $T_e \sim 2$ eV. This itself has a randomly fluctuating part\textsuperscript{[43]} to it $\sim 5\%$. The uncertainty in the heating voltage $V_h$ measurement also contributes, even assuming the theoretical correctness of the $1/2 V_h$ correction\textsuperscript{[43]}

3. Electron cross-field current ($j_{\perp, E \times B}$):

See Table III for the uncertainty contribution to the electron cross-field current $j_{\perp, E \times B}$ measurement with the two-probe method. The first two entries correspond to the error in determining $E_\phi$:
due to fluctuations in $\alpha T_e$ that modify the actual $V_p$, and local variations in the rotation speed $\omega_{\text{rot}}$, whose uniformity was assumed in the rotating wave approximation. The $\omega_{\text{rot}}$ variations are assessed experimentally using correlation of signals from two closely separated identical ion probes. Related to $n$, an overall scaling uncertainty $\Delta n$ is to be considered. Also, some error arises due to non-coincident measurements of $n$ and $E_p$; this is estimated considering a displacement assuming a sinusoidal $n(\theta)$ profile.

| Source | $\Delta V_p$ (V) |
|--------|------------------|
| $\alpha T_e$ | 0.2-0.4 |
| $V_h$ | 0.1 |
| $\Delta V_{p, \text{tot}}$ | 0.2-0.4 |

TABLE II. Uncertainty sources to the plasma potential $V_p$: from ignoring the term $\alpha T_e$ in Eq. [4] and the heating voltage $V_h$.

An example of what the field distribution looks like is here presented in Fig. 7 for both Cases I and II for $B = 150$ G.

| Source | $\Delta j / j$ |
|--------|-----------------|
| $E_p$ | 0.1 |
| $\omega_{\text{rot}}$ | 0.7 |
| $\Delta n$ | 0.2 |
| $\Delta E_p$ | 0.05 |
| $\Delta J_{\text{rot}}$ | 0.7 |

TABLE III. Uncertainty contribution to the electron cross-field current $j_{\perp, \text{ExB}}$: fluctuations in $\alpha T_e$, local variations in rotation speed $\omega_{\text{rot}}$, overall scaling uncertainty $\Delta n$, non-coincident measurements of $n$ and $E_p$.

Appendix B: Field gradient sample

An example of what the field distribution looks like is here presented in Fig. 7 for both Cases I and II for $B = 150$ G.

![Field distribution example](image)

FIG. 7. Density and plasma potential profiles for $B = 150$ G for both metallic and dielectric boundary cases.
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