High waist circumference is a risk factor of new-onset hypertension: Evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study
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Abstract
This study aims to investigate the association between waist circumference and the development of hypertension based on a nationwide cohort Chinese population. A total of 5330 individuals free of hypertension at baseline were collected from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study. The association between waist circumference and the development of hypertension was analyzed by an adjusted cox regression model and visualized by restricted cubic splines. Further, we applied the supervised machine learning methods to evaluate the importance of multiple variates for new-onset hypertension. Additionally, the robustness of the association was assessed by a subgroup analysis. A total of 1490 individuals (28.0%) developed hypertension during a mean follow-up of 3.32 years. The new-onset hypertension was more observed in those with increased waist circumference ($P$ for trend $<.001$). In the fully adjusted Cox regression, each 10 cm increase of waist circumference would result in an 18% elevated risk of hypertension. The random forest method and the Extreme Gradient Boosting method revealed waist circumference as an important feature to predict the development of hypertension. The sensitivity analysis indicated a consistent trend between waist circumference and new-onset hypertension in all BMI categories. This study suggested high waist circumference as an independent risk factor for new-onset hypertension based on a nationwide cohort of Chinese adults aged $\geq 45$ years old. Our results supported that waist circumference should be routinely measured.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Due to population aging and unfavorable health behaviors, hypertension has become a significant health problem with increasing trend, which contributes to about 50% morbidity and 20% mortality related to cardiovascular diseases in China. Among the many risk factors, obesity is a chronic metabolic disorder closely associated with hypertension. Despite the increase in obesity prevalence, mortality caused by coronary artery disease and stroke has declined over the last decade, probably owing to the improved public health management on other cardiovascular risk factors. However, the prevalence of hypertension shows a consistently increasing trend.

Body mass index (BMI) remains the most widely used measure to monitor obesity and assess the related cardiovascular risk. However, the BMI-defined obesity is a heterogeneous condition at the individual level since BMI fails to capture the regional body fat distribution. Individuals with mildly higher BMI are associated with better survival and fewer cardiovascular events, which was termed as the “obesity paradox.” Compared with BMI, waist circumference is another anthropometric measure strongly associated with the absolute abdominal fat distribution. A recent consensus statement highlighted that waist circumference could provide incremental information to the clinician beyond BMI. Also, the influence of waist circumference on cardiovascular risk could be fully revealed only when BMI was adjusted for.

More in-depth insight on the association between waist circumference and hypertension is the key to better managing abdominal obesity-related cardiometabolic risk, which provides additional opportunities for the primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases. Our recent publications have revealed the significant association between waist circumference and the prevalence of hypertension, consistent with the evidence from other research groups. However, most of the previous studies were cross-sectional based on Western populations.

Therefore, this study aims to investigate the association between waist circumference and the development of hypertension based on a nationwide cohort Chinese population.

2 METHODS

2.1 Data source and study population

The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) is an ongoing survey that enrolls a nationally representative sample of the Chinese population aged 45 or older from 150 counties/districts and 450 villages/resident committees. CHARLS study collected information on social demographics, health status and functioning, anthropometric measurement, and blood tests. A detailed study design of CHARLS has been previously documented. The baseline national wave (first wave) was conducted in 2011, and the enrolled individuals were followed up every 2 years. This study analyzed the baseline wave (in 2011) and two follow-ups (second wave in 2013 and third wave in 2015) of CHARLS.

Figure 1 shows the flow chart of the selection of eligible participants. We included the individuals with at least a follow-up record in this study. The exclusion criteria were as follows (1) participants without blood pressure measure; (2) diagnosed with cancer or malignant tumor; (3) missing BMI or waist circumference records; (4) diagnosed with hypertension in the baseline wave. Finally, a total of 5330 participants without baseline hypertension were enrolled in this study. The Ethics Review Committee of Peking University approved the CHARLS study (IRB00001052-11015), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants before involvement.

2.2 Waist circumference measurement

After the participant was kept in a standing position, a trained examiner would locate the belly button and place a soft measuring tape around the waist at the navel level. Next, the participant would be asked to take a normal breath and hold a breath at the end of exhaling. Then, waist circumference was measured after the participant exhaled one normal breath. A detailed description of waist circumference measurement was provided in the CHARLS Biomarker Questionnaire Protocol (http://charls.pku.edu.cn/pages/data/2011-charls-wave1/zh-cn.html).

2.3 Hypertension definition

After resting about 30 min in a seated position, the lower edge of the cuff was placed about .5 inches above the elbow. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured three times by one trained examiner using an automatic blood pressure monitor (Omron HEM-7200 Monitor). The average blood pressure was calculated accordingly. In this study, the individual satisfying one of the following three criteria was identified as hypertension: (1) average systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg; (2) self-reported hypertension; (3) current administration of antihypertensive medications.

2.4 Covariates

Demographic information, metabolic biomarkers, medical history, and health behavioral factors were included as covariates to control the related bias. Demographic information (age and gender), medical history (self-reported diabetes, heart disease, and stroke), and health behaviors (smoking status and alcohol consumption) were obtained from face-to-face household interviews using structured questionnaires. After household interviews, 8 ml fasting venous blood was collected by trained staff. The blood samples were transported to the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention laboratory in Beijing and stored at -80°C. Metabolic biomarkers were acquired following a standard protocol, including triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein, total cholesterol, creatinine, fasting plasma glucose, and hemoglobin.
Participants from China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study, the baseline national wave (n = 17,708)

Participants with follow-up visit (n = 10,882)

Excluded
- Individuals without blood pressure measure (n = 1,712)
- Diagnosed with cancer or malignant tumor (n = 86)
- Without waist circumference or BMI record (n = 290)
- Patients with hypertension in the baseline wave (n = 3,464)

Enrolled individuals for further analysis in this study (n = 5,330)

FIGURE 1   Flow chart of selection of eligible participants from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study. BMI: body mass index

A1c (HbA1c). The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was acquired using the Chronic Kidney Disease-Epidemiology Collaboration equation.\textsuperscript{22} BMI was calculated as $\frac{\text{Weight (kg)}}{\text{Height (m)}^2}$.

Additionally, diabetes was defined as (1) fasting plasma glucose $\geq 126$ mg/dl; (2) HbA1c $\geq 6.5\%$; or (3) self-reported diabetes in this study. Heart disease was acquired by the following question "Have you been diagnosed with heart attack, coronary heart disease, angina, congestive heart failure, or other heart problems by a doctor? (Yes/No)." Stroke was acquired by the following question "Have you been diagnosed with Stroke by a doctor? (Yes/No)." Detailed protocols for questionnaires and laboratory examination were provided on the CHARLS website.

2.5 Statistical analysis

We performed statistical analyses according to the recommendation of the American Heart Association Scientific Publication Committee.\textsuperscript{23} The missing covariates were filled by multivariate multiple imputation method to reduce selection bias and enhance statistical power.\textsuperscript{24,25} We reported normally distributed variables (decided by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) as mean $\pm$ standard deviation, and the skewed distributed ones were represented as median with interquartile range. Categorical variables were provided as frequencies with percentages. The baseline characteristics were compared among waist circumference quartiles by one way ANOVA test (normal distribution), Kruskal-Wall is test (skewed distribution), or chi-square test (categorical variables). Also, the generalized additive model and Spearman correlation analysis were used to evaluate the association between waist circumference and the baseline systolic/diastolic blood pressure.

We used Cox regression analysis to evaluate the association between waist circumference and the development of hypertension, and the hazard ratio (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. In the minimally adjusted model, we adjusted for age, gender, low-density lipoprotein, diabetes, heart disease, stroke, the administration of antidiabetic medications, smoking status, and alcohol consumption. BMI was additionally adjusted for in the fully adjusted model. Moreover, we illustrated the influence of waist circumference on new-onset hypertension via a restricted cubic spline (RCS) with four knots located at the 5th, 35th, 65th, and 95th percentiles. RCS were performed in males and females, respectively, and the median waist circumference (82.0 cm for males and 83 cm for females) was set as the reference.\textsuperscript{26}

Machining learning methods could mathematically and accurately fit data without linear assumptions. In this study, we applied the random forest machine learning method to evaluate the variate importance for the future development of hypertension, including waist circumference, BMI, age, gender, total cholesterol, LDL, triglycerides, eGFR, fasting plasma glucose, HbA1c, CRP, diabetes, heart diseases, stroke, dyslipidemia, smoking status, alcohol consumption. The random forest method quantifies the variable importance contributing to new-onset hypertension by mean decrease accuracy, a higher value of which indicates a significant weight. Besides, the eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) was also used to assess the variate importance. XGBoost is a novel ensemble learning algorithm based on the boosted decision tree, which generally showed superior performance than other machine learning methods. Higher variable importance indicates a more contributing role in the development of hypertension.

Moreover, we performed a sensitivity analysis of cox regression in different subgroups, including BMI categories (<24 kg/m$^2$, 24–
28 kg/m², and ≥28 kg/m²), gender (male or female), age (<60 or ≥60 years), and eGFR (below median, or equal or above median). P < .05 was considered statistically significant. Additionally, we also analyzed the association using the cut-off value of 130/80 mmHg for hypertension. All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 4.1.1).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Characteristics of the study population

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of all participants according to waist circumference quartiles. The study cohort consisted of 5330 individuals with a mean age of 57.4 years old, and 47.3% of them were males. The age-adjusted mean waist circumference was 83.0 cm in males and 83.4 cm in females. In the baseline wave, although all 5330 participants were without hypertension, the systolic and diastolic blood pressure elevated with the increasing waist circumference (Figure 2A,B). Consistently, spearman’s rank revealed the positive correlation of waist circumference with systolic (correlation coefficient = .17, P < .001) and diastolic blood pressure (correlation coefficient = .19, P < .001). Compared with the low waist circumference group, individuals with high waist circumference had increased triglycerides, LDL, fasting plasma glucose, and more were diagnosed with diabetes or heart disease. However, no significant difference was observed in creatinine levels, eGFR levels, alcohol consumption, and the prevalence of stroke. Moreover, we provided patients’ characteristics in the baseline, second and third wave (Supplement Table S1). Additionally, we also presented the blood pressure, waist circumference, and the percentage of hypertensives patients for each quartile (Q1-Q4) in Supplement Table S2.

3.2 | Association of waist circumference with hypertension

A total of 1490 individuals (28.0%) developed hypertension during a mean follow-up of 3.32 years. The new-onset hypertension was more frequently observed in those with increased waist circumference (P for trend < .001). Table 2 summarizes the association between waist circumference and new-onset hypertension. When analyzed as a continuous variable, waist circumference significantly contributed to the development of hypertension in all three models with HRs of 1.27 (1.20–1.33), 1.27 (1.21–1.34), and 1.18 (1.09–1.28), respectively. Consistently, when we analyzed waist circumference as categories, the risk of new-onset hypertension increased with the elevated categories from Q1 to Q4. In the fully adjusted model, individuals in the fourth waist circumference quartile (Q4) exhibited a 1.35-fold risk of future hypertension when setting the lowest quartile (Q1) as reference. Restricted cubic spline regression analysis revealed a linear relationship between waist circumference and the risk of incident hypertension in both males (P for non-linear = .65) and females (P for non-linear = .12) (Figure 2C,D).

Figure 2E illustrates the variable importance related to the incident hypertension, quantified by the random forest method. Among the many variables, waist circumference showed a mean decrease accuracy of 21.3, which indicated that waist circumference is the most important factor for hypertension development, only second to age (mean decrease accuracy = 22.5). Also, the variable importance evaluated by XGBoost was summarized in Figure 2F. Consistent with random forest, XGBoost showed that waist circumference played an important role in predicting the development of hypertension.

3.3 | Sensitivity analysis

Figure 3 shows a consistent trend between waist circumference and new-onset hypertension in different subgroups, including BMI categories, gender (male or female), age (<60 or ≥60 years), and eGFR (below the median, or equal or above median). Importantly, although a consistent trend remained, no statistically significant association was observed between waist circumference and new-onset hypertension in the subgroup of BMI > 28 kg/m² (HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.96–1.44; P = .128). When the cut-off value was set as 130/80 mmHg, the adjusted OR (95% CI) for waist circumference was 1.18 (1.08–1.28), similar to the cut-off value of 140/90 mmHg (Supplement Table S3).

4 | DISCUSSION

BMI is a convenient anthropometric index to monitor obesity at a population level, which shows a dose-dependent relationship between multiple adverse events. However, obesity is a heterogeneous metabolic condition, where the excess visceral or ectopic fat distribution contributes to a higher metabolic and cardiovascular risk. A-J or U-shaped curve was observed between waist circumference and mortality. In a meta-analysis on 250,152 patients with coronary artery disease, patients with mildly elevated BMI (25–29.9 kg/m²) showed the lowest risk for all-cause mortality (relative risk, .87; 95% CI, .81–.94) and cardiovascular mortality (relative risk, .88; 95% CI, 0.75–1.02) than other BMI categories. Similar results were also reported in the following analysis on general populations.

Interestingly, when BMI and waist circumference were analyzed in the same regression model, waist circumference was a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases. In contrast, BMI was instead identified as a protective or neural factor. Therefore, concerns were raised regarding the application of BMI in obesity classification since it shows poor power to evaluate the distribution of fat deposits and distinguish lean mass from body fat. It is proposed that the limitations of BMI in evaluating fat distribution might be a possible explanation for the obesity paradox.

Accumulating studies have revealed the superiority of waist circumference in assessing the risk profiles related to abdominal fat distribution, which contributes to the introduction of waist circumference.
| TABLE 1 | Baseline characteristics of enrolled individuals |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Q1 [60.8, 76.2] | Q2 [76.2, 82.4] | Q3 [82.4, 89.2] | Q4 [89.2, 128]  | P               |
| N               | 1333            | 1336            | 1356            | 1305            |                 |
| Age (year)      | 57.00 (50.00, 64.00) | 57.00 (50.00, 63.00) | 57.00 (49.00, 62.00) | 56.00 (50.00, 63.00) | .008            |
| Gender (female, %) | 687 (51.54%)   | 654 (48.95%)   | 741 (54.65%)   | 728 (55.79%)   | .002            |
| New-onset hypertension (n, %) | 309 (23.18%) | 329 (24.63%) | 385 (28.39%) | 467 (35.79%) | <.001          |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 73.00 (70.00, 75.00) | 79.30 (78.00, 81.00) | 86.00 (84.00, 87.50) | 94.00 (91.30, 98.00) | .000            |
| BMI (kg/m²)     | 19.66 (18.42, 20.90) | 21.61 (20.31, 22.82) | 23.41 (22.12, 24.81) | 26.18 (24.47, 28.09) | .000            |
| SBP (mmHg)      | 116.00 (107.33, 125.67) | 117.67 (108.67, 126.42) | 118.33 (110.33, 127.00) | 122.33 (114.33, 129.67) | <.001          |
| DBP (mmHg)      | 68.33 (62.00, 75.33)     | 69.67 (63.33, 75.67)     | 70.33 (64.67, 76.67)     | 73.33 (67.67, 79.00)     | <.001          |
| LDL (mg/dl)     | 110.18 (91.62, 129.90) | 108.63 (88.14, 129.11) | 114.82 (93.56, 138.11) | 116.37 (95.10, 137.24) | <.001          |
| Triglycerides (mg/dl) | 81.42 (62.84, 116.82) | 90.27 (66.38, 127.44) | 102.22 (72.57, 144.26) | 119.47 (84.08, 179.66) | <.001          |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | .75 (.64, .88)  | .75 (.64, .87)  | .75 (.63, .87)  | .75 (.64, .87)  | .800            |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²) | 95.99 (86.53, 103.25) | 96.44 (86.60, 103.49) | 96.44 (86.69, 103.97) | 96.19 (86.12, 103.07) | .530            |
| FPG (mg/dl)     | 98.28 (91.44, 107.28) | 100.26 (92.88, 108.36) | 100.98 (93.42, 110.34) | 103.32 (95.40, 114.66) | <.001          |
| HbA1c (%)       | 5.10 (4.80, 5.30)     | 5.10 (4.80, 5.40)     | 5.10 (4.88, 5.40)     | 5.20 (4.90, 5.50)     | <.001          |
| Smoking (yes, %) | 572 (42.91%)     | 570 (42.66%)     | 501 (36.95%)     | 443 (33.95%)     | <.001          |
| Drinking (%)    |                 |                 |                 |                 | .099            |
| More than once a month | 320 (24.01%) | 376 (28.14%) | 341 (25.15%) | 308 (23.60%) |                 |
| Less than once a month | 120 (9.00%)  | 110 (8.23%)   | 120 (8.85%)   | 102 (7.82%)   |                 |
| Never           | 893 (66.99%)     | 850 (63.62%)    | 895 (66.00%)   | 895 (68.58%)   |                 |
| Diabetes (yes, %) | 94 (7.05%)     | 120 (8.98%)   | 156 (11.50%)  | 202 (15.48%)  | <.001          |
| Heart disease (yes, %) | 100 (7.50%) | 95 (7.11%)    | 106 (7.82%)  | 131 (10.04%)  | .028            |
| Stroke (yes, %)  | 12 (0.90%)      | 11 (0.82%)     | 11 (0.81%)     | 21 (1.61%)     | .127            |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c: HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c.
FIGURE 2  The association between waist circumference and hypertension. The fitted curve on the relationship of waist circumference with (A) systolic blood pressure and (B) diastolic blood pressure by generalized additive model. The adjusted cubic spline model on the association between waist circumference and the risk of new-onset hypertension in (C) males and (D) females based on Cox regression, respectively. BMI, age, diabetes, the administration of antidiabetic medications, smoking status, and alcohol consumption were adjusted for in the model. Median waist circumference (82.0 cm for males and 83 cm for females) was set as the reference. The variable importance is evaluated by (E) random forest and (F) eXtreme Gradient Boosting machine learning method. Higher variable importance indicates a more important role of the variable in developing hypertension. BMI, body mass index; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; CPR, c-reactive protein.
TABLE 2  The association between waist circumference and risk of new-onset hypertension

| Categories | Crude model | Minimally adjusted model | Fully adjusted model |
|------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|            | Hazard ratio | P            | Hazard ratio | P            | Odds ratio | P            |
| Waist circumference (Per 10 cm) | 1.27 [1.20, 1.33] | <.001 | 1.27 [1.21, 1.34] | <.001 | 1.18 [1.09, 1.28] | <.001 |

Q1 [60.8, 76.2] | Reference | Reference | Reference |
Q2 [76.2, 82.4] | 1.08 [0.92, 1.26] | .331 | 1.08 [0.93, 1.27] | .302 | 1.02 [0.87, 1.19] | .831 |
Q3 [82.4, 89.2] | 1.27 [1.09, 1.48] | .002 | 1.31 [1.12, 1.52] | .001 | 1.15 [0.98, 1.36] | .095 |
Q4 [89.2, 128] | 1.67 [1.44, 1.93] | <.001 | 1.70 [1.47, 1.97] | <.001 | 1.35 [1.12, 1.63] | .022 |

Note: Crude model: nonadjusted model. 
Minimally adjusted model: We adjusted for age, gender, low-density lipoprotein, diabetes, heart disease, stroke, the administration of antidiabetic medications, smoking status, and alcohol consumption. 
Fully adjusted model: We adjusted for body mass index, age, gender, low-density lipoprotein, diabetes, heart disease, stroke, the administration of antidiabetic medications, smoking status, and alcohol consumption.

FIGURE 3  Sensitivity analysis on the association between waist circumference and hypertension based on cox regression analysis. The association was adjusted for BMI, age, gender (not in the gender subgroup), diabetes, smoking status, and alcohol consumption. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.

circumference in public health. Our recent cross-sectional studies demonstrated that high waist circumference could elevate the prevalence of hypertension based on nationally representative US samples from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. In the US individuals, waist circumference was significantly associated with (pre) hypertension with adjusted odds ratios (95% CI) of 1.28 (1.18–1.40) in the young group and 1.23 (1.15–1.33) in the old group. However, the inherent nature of cross-sectional design made it difficult to determine the causality between high waist circumference and the development of hypertension. Also, given the distinct genetic variation, disease spectrum, lifestyles, and risk factors between ethnicities, it is unclear whether the association could be fully applicable for the Chinese population. As an extension of previous work, this study included 5330 participants without baseline hypertension from a nationally representative sample of the Chinese population aged ≥45 years old. Over a mean follow-up of 3.32 years, a total of 1490 cases of incident hypertension was observed. We revealed high waist circumference as an independent risk factor for the development of hypertension (HR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.09–1.28).

The current guideline recommends measuring waist circumference in overweight or obese individuals. Our study showed a consistent trend between waist circumference and new-onset hypertension in all
CONCLUSION

This study suggested high waist circumference as an independent risk factor for new-onset hypertension based on a nationwide cohort of Chinese adults aged ≥45 years old. Our results supported that waist circumference should be routinely measured.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We sincerely acknowledge the CHARLS research group and all the participants involved in this survey. This study was supported by The Introduced Project of Wujiang Clinical Medical Expert Team (No.WJYJD201704). Jin-Yu Sun sincerely acknowledged Dr. Xiao-Xuan Sun for her generous help and gelivable support during his resident training.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Jin-Yu Sun, Qiang Qu, Xiang-Qing Kong, Wei Sun: conception and design. Xiang-Qing Kong, Wei Sun, Wen-Jun Huang: administrative support. Jin-Yu Sun, Yong-Xiang Ma, Heng-Li Liu: data analysis and interpretation. Jin-Yu Sun, Qiang Qu, Chen Cheng: manuscript writing. All authors: final approval of manuscript.

ORCID

Wei Sun MD, PhD https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1204-3131

REFERENCES

1. Zhao D, Liu J, Wang M, Zhang X, Zhou M. Epidemiology of cardiovascular disease in China: current features and implications. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2019;16:203-212.
2. DALYs GBD, Collaborators H, Murray CJ, Barber RM, Foreman KJ, Abbaspour Tooski I, et al. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 369 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (ALE) for 195 countries, 1990–2019: quantifying the epidemiological transition. Lancet. 2020;395:1201-1229.
3. Zhang G, Yu C, Zhou M, Wang Lu, Zhang Y, Luo L. Burden of ischemic heart disease and attributable risk factors in China from 1990 to 2015: findings from the global burden of disease 2015 study. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2018;18:18.
4. He J, Gu D, Chen J, Wu X, et al. Premature deaths attributable to blood pressure in China: a prospective cohort study. Lancet. 2009;374:1765-1772.
5. Wang L, Zhou B, Zhao Z, et al. Body mass index and obesity in urban and rural China: findings from consecutive nationally representative surveys during 2004–18. Lancet. 2021;398:53-63.
6. Gregg EW. Secular trends in cardiovascular disease risk factors according to body mass index in US adults. JAMA. 2005;293:1868-1874.
7. Cutler JA, Sorel PE, Wolz M, Thom T, Fields LE, Roccella EJ. Trends in hypertension prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control rates in United States adults between 1988-1994 and 1999-2004. Hypertension. 2008;52:818-827.
8. Scherz A. Despres JP. Pathophysiology of human visceral obesity: an update. Physiol Rev. 2013;93:359-404.
9. Snijder M, Van Dam RM, Visser M, Seidell JC. What aspects of body fat are particularly hazardous and how do we measure them? Int J Epidemiol. 2006;35:83-92.
10. Pischon T, Boeing H, Hoffmann K, et al. General and abdominal adiposity and risk of death in Europe. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:2105-2120.
11. Snijder MB, Dekker JM, Visser M, et al. Associations of hip and thigh circumferences independent of waist circumference with the incidence of type 2 diabetes: the Hoorn Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;77:1192-1197.
12. Jacobs EJ. Waist circumference and all-cause mortality in a large US cohort. Arch Intern Med. 2010;170:1293-1301.
13. Hu D, Han Y, Ning G, Ma C. Association between T2DM and cardiovascular diseases. Lancet. 2015;386:2145-2191.
14. Sun J-Yu, Hua Y, Zou H-Yi-Y, et al. Association between waist circumference and the prevalence of (Pre) hypertension among 27,894 US adults. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021;8:717257.
15. Sun J, Qu Q, Yan Y, Sun G, Kong X, Sun W. Normal-weight abdominal obesity: a risk factor for hypertension and cardiometabolic dysregulation. Cardiol Discovery. 2021.
16. Foulds H, Bredin SS, Warburton DER. The relationship between hypertension and obesity across different ethnicities. J Hypertens. 2012;30:359-367.
17. Ostchega Y, Hughes JP, Terry A, Fakhouri TH, Miller I. Abdominal obesity, body mass index, and hypertension in US adults: NHANES 2007-2010. Am J Hypertens. 2012;25:1271-1278.
SUN ET AL.

18. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). Int J Epidemiol. 2014;43:61-68.

19. Unger T, Borghi C, Charchar F, et al. 2020 International society of hypertension global hypertension practice guidelines. J Hypertens. 2020;38:982-1004.

20. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. Eur Heart J. 2018;39:3021-3104.

21. Hua Y, Liu H-Li, Sun J-Yu, Kong X-Q, Sun W, Xiong Ya-Q. Association between serum calcium and the prevalence of hypertension among US adults. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021;8:719165.

22. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med. 2009;150:604-612.

23. Althouse AD, Below JE, Claggett BL, et al. Recommendations for statistical reporting in cardiovascular medicine: a special report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2021;144:e70-e91.

24. Sterne JAC, White IR, Carlin JB, et al. Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. BMJ. 2009;338:b2393.

25. Jakobsen JC, Gluud C, Weterslev J, Winkel P. When and how should multiple imputation be used for handling missing data in randomised clinical trials—a practical guide with flowcharts. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2017;17:162.

26. Gauthier J, Wu QV, Gooley TA. Cubic splines to model relationships between continuous variables and outcomes: a guide for clinicians. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2020;55:675-680.

27. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Jr., Collins KJ, Dennison Himmelfarb C, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/ABC/ACPMA/AGS/AHA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71:e127-e248. Jr.

28. Shihab HM, Meoni LA, Chu AY, et al. Body mass index and risk of incident hypertension over the life course: the Johns Hopkins Precursors Study. Circulation. 2012;126:2983-2989.

29. Pandey A, Patel KV, Bahnsen JL, et al. Association of intensive lifestyle intervention, fitness, and body mass index with risk of heart failure in overweight or obese adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus: an analysis from the look AHEAD trial. Circulation. 2020;141:1295-1306.

30. Romero-Corral A, Montori VM, Somers VK, et al. Association of bodyweight with total mortality and with cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease: a systematic review of cohort studies. Lancet. 2006;368:666-678.

31. Berrington De Gonzalez A, Hartge P, Cerhan JR, et al. Body mass index and mortality among 1.46 million white adults. N Engl J Med. 2010;363:2211-2219.

32. Mcgee DL. Diverse populations C. Body mass index and mortality: a meta-analysis based on person-level data from twenty-six observational studies. Ann Epidemiol. 2005;15:87-97.

33. Flegal KM. Excess deaths associated with underweight, overweight, and obesity. JAMA. 2005;293:1861-1867.

34. Garvey WT, Mechanick JI. Proposal for a scientifically correct and medically actionable disease classification system (ICD) for obesity. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2020;28:484-492.

35. Cerhan JR, Moore SC, Jacobs E, et al. A pooled analysis of waist circumference and mortality in 650,000 adults. Mayo Clin Proc. 2014;89:335-345.

36. De Hollander EL, Bemelmans WJ, Boshuizen HC, Friedrich N, et al. The association between waist circumference and risk of mortality considering body mass index in 65- to 74-year-olds: a meta-analysis of 29 cohorts involving more than 58,000 elderly persons. Int J Epidemiol. 2012;41:805-817.

37. Coutinho T, Goel K, Corrêa De Sá D, et al. Central obesity and survival in subjects with coronary artery disease: a systematic review of the literature and collaborative analysis with individual subject data. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;57:1877-1886.

38. Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Ross R. Body mass index is inversely related to mortality in older people after adjustment for waist circumference. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005;53:2112-2118.

39. Poirier P. Adiposity and cardiovascular disease: are we using the right definition of obesity?. Eur Heart J. 2007;28:2047-2048.

40. Franzosi MG. Should we continue to use BMI as a cardiovascular risk factor?. Lancet. 2006;368:624-625.

41. Romero-Corral A, Somers VK, Sierra-Johnson J, et al. Diagnostic performance of body mass index to detect obesity in patients with coronary artery disease. Eur Heart J. 2007;28:2087-2093.

42. Chen Q, Li L, Yi J, et al. Waist circumference increases risk of coronary heart disease: evidence from a Mendelian randomization study. Mol Genet Genomic Med. 2020;8:e1186.

43. Ross R, Neeland IJ, Yamashita S, et al. Waist circumference as a vital sign in clinical practice: a consensus statement from the IAS and ICGR working group on visceral obesity. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2020;16:177-189.

44. Klein S, Allison DB, Heymsfield SB, Kelley DE, Leibel RL, Nonas C, et al. Waist circumference and cardiometabolic risk: a consensus statement from shaping America’s health: association for weight management and obesity prevention; NAASO, the obesity society; the American Society for Nutrition; and the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care. 2007;30:1647-1652.

45. Wharton S, Lau DCW, Vallis M, et al. Obesity in adults: a clinical practice guideline. CMAJ. 2020;192:E875-E891.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher’s website.

How to cite this article: Sun J-Yu, Ma Y-X, Liu H-Li, et al. High waist circumference is a risk factor of new-onset hypertension: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study. J Clin Hypertens. 2022;24:320-328. https://doi.org/10.1111/jch.14446