Case Report: Double Germline Mutations in BRCA1 and MSH2 in a Patient With Mixed Serous-Endometrioid Endometrial Carcinoma
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Mixed serous-endometrioid endometrial carcinoma is a type of endometrial cancer with relatively low incidence. The genetic factors contributing to the tumorigenesis of mixed carcinoma remains to be explored. Here, we report the first identification of two germline mutations in BRCA1 and MSH2 in a woman with mixed serous papillary adenocarcinoma and endometrioid carcinoma. Immunohistochemistry analysis showed loss of MSH2 and MSH6 protein expression in the endometrioid component. The patient showed partial response to tislelizumab treatment following progression on chemotherapy. Two germline mutations in BRCA1 and MSH2 may collectively promote the tumorigenesis of uterine endometrium with two distinct histological components.
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INTRODUCTION

Endometrial carcinoma (EC) is the second most common gynecologic malignancy in China, with an estimated 63,400 new cases and 21,800 deaths in 2015 (1). The vast majority of ECs are sporadic, and hereditary tumor syndrome [most commonly Lynch syndrome (LS)] accounts for ~5% of cases (2). LS is characterized by the identification of germline pathogenic mutations in mismatch repair (MMR) genes (mainly including MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2), microsatellite instability and loss of MMR protein expression, which are usually related to endometrioid histology. Besides, Shu et al. reported that BRCA1 germline mutations may increase the risk for serous or serous-like ECs (3). Concurrent pathogenic variants in different genes in one individual is extremely rare. In this study, we identified two germline pathogenic mutations in the BRCA1 and MSH2 genes in a patient with mixed endometrioid and serous EC (EEC-SC).
CASE PRESENTATION

A 52-year-old woman underwent laparoscopic total hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, omentectomy, and dissection of pelvic and para-aortic lymph nodes in June, 2018. Pathological findings confirmed the diagnosis of stage IIIA serous papillary adenocarcinoma mixed with endometrioid carcinoma with squamous differentiation (~70% for serous carcinoma component and 30% for endometrioid carcinoma component, respectively) (Figure 1A), with tumor metastasis to both fallopian tubes. Immunohistochemistry analysis showed loss of MSH2 (Figure 1B) and MSH6 (Figure 1C) protein expression in the EEC component. She received 6 cycles of paclitaxel and carboplatin as adjuvant therapy with complete response. Postoperative routine follow-up examination in May, 2019 showed that serum CA125 was elevated (71.66 U/ml), but CT examination did not reveal any abnormalities. The level of serum CA125 increased to 100.90 U/ml after 1 month, and ultrasound examination also showed enlarged paraaortic lymph node of 2.5 cm in diameter, suggesting tumor recurrence. Rechallenge of paclitaxel and carboplatin for one cycle failed with continued increase of CA125 to 120.1 U/ml. Subsequently, the patient switched to oxaliplatin combined with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin for one cycle with primary progression. Evaluation after the chemotherapy on August 1, 2019 showed serum CA125 level increased to 231.6 U/ml. CT scan suggested an enlarged left para-aortic lymph node (37*30 mm) (Supplementary Figures 1A,E).

The patient came to our hospital for second opinion. To seek for potential targeted therapies and immunotherapies, paired tumor-normal next-generation sequencing of 1,021 cancer-related genes was performed using tumor tissue and peripheral blood. Of great interest, two heterozygous germline mutations in BRCA1 (NM_007294.3.c.3348_3351delAGTT p.V1117Rfs*11) and MSH2 (large deletion of exons 4-16) were identified (Figures 2B–D). Besides, a total of 72 somatic mutations were detected, including putative or known functional mutations in PTEN, ARID1A, TP53, FBXW7, and KRAS (Supplementary Table 1). In addition, genetic testing results showed that microsatellites were highly unstable, and tumor mutation burden was extremely high (51.84 muts/Mb).

To access the cancer risk for the family members of the patient, Sanger sequencing and RT-PCR were performed to confirm the presence of germline BRCA1 and MSH2 mutations in her family members, although she has no family history of cancer. Her younger sister (I-4) and daughter (II-2) carry the BRCA1 and MSH2 mutations, respectively. Unfortunately, her son harbors both the BRCA1 and MSH2 mutations (Figures 2A, Supplementary Figures 2, 3).

The patient was then treated with 200 mg intravenous tislelizumab every 3 weeks from September 4, 2019. Partial remission was achieved at 9 weeks after treatment with the shrinkage of an enlarged left para-aortic lymph node (Supplementary Figures 1B,F). In addition, the normalization of serum CA125 (12.28 U/ml) was observed after 2 months of treatment. CT scan at 15 weeks after treatment demonstrated continuous shrinkage of the enlarged lymph node (Supplementary Figures 1C,G). She has been on treatment for 4 months, and discontinued the treatment for 2 months due to the impact of COVID-19 outbreak. Fortunately, the CT scan did not reveal progression as a result of drug interruption (Supplementary Figures 1D,H). She continued the treatment and is still in follow-up. During the treatment, she experienced grade 1 treatment-related elevation of alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (AST), which was relieved after symptomatic treatment.

DISCUSSION

The case illustrates that the genetic factors of ECs are complex and may result in different histologic presentations. MSH2 large deletions were identified in 6.4% (28/439) families with LS (4). In this case, a novel heterozygous MSH2 large deletion was identified in the index patient using a well-designed panel-based NGS test. This reminds us that professionals for genetic variants interpretation should be aware of this rare type of mutation in practice. The relationship between mutations in the BRCA1 gene and EC is controversial. Multiple studies found that BRCA mutation carriers may have an elevated risk of EC, while others suggested that the increased risk may be associated with tamoxifen treatment (3, 5–7). In our case, the index patient did not have history of breast cancer and tamoxifen treatment. A large retrospective study showed that the incidence of serous-serous-like ECs in BRCA1 mutation carriers is significantly higher than expected. Biron-Shental et al. also found that high rate of BRCA germline mutation in SC patients accompanied by strong familial cancer history may indicate that SC is a part of HBOC (8). Therefore, we speculated that the SC component in the patient may be associated with the BRCA1 germline mutation. Two germline mutations in BRCA1 and MSH2 may collectively promote the tumorigenesis of a single lesion with two distinct pathological components.

EC can be routinely classified into two distinct histological subtypes. Type I (~80–90%, mainly endometrioid adenocarcinoma) and type II (relatively uncommon, primarily serous and clear cell adenocarcinoma) tumors are distinct at the molecular level. High frequency of POLE, PTEN, CTNNB1, PIK3R1, ARID1A, KRAS mutations and microsatellite instability are found in type I tumors, whereas mutations in TP53 and FBXW7, and somatic copy number alterations are more frequently found in type II carcinomas (9, 10). Coenegrachts et al. found that in majority of the cases, SC and EEC components in mixed EEC-SC exhibit distinct molecular characteristics, but have similar mutation profiles compared to SC and EEC cancers, respectively (11, 12). In the present case with mixed histological components, frequently mutated genes in endometrioid tumors (PTEN, ARID1A, KRAS) and serous tumors (TP53, FBXW7) are all mutated. Our results were consistent with their findings and supported the divergent clonal evolution in mixed ECs.

Immune checkpoint inhibitors provide an optional treatment strategy for patients with LS-related EC. In 2017, pembrolizumab, a mono-clonal antibody targeting programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1), was approved for microsatellite instability–high
(MSI-H)/mismatch-repair–deficient (dMMR) solid tumors that have progressed after prior therapy and have no satisfactory alternative treatment options. A phase II study of pembrolizumab monotherapy in patients with MSI-H/dMMR endometrial cancer \( (n = 49) \) demonstrated an objective response rate (ORR) of 57.1\% (95\% CI, 42.2–71.2\%), with a median progression-free
survival (PFS) of 25.7 months (95% CI, 4.9 months to not reached) (13, 14). Tislelizumab, another anti PD-1 antibody, has been approved by NMPA for the treatment of recurrent and refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma, as well as previously treated locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma with PD-L1 high expression. Multiple clinical studies demonstrated that tislelizumab monotherapy was well tolerated and effective in patients with advanced solid tumors, including urothelial, lung and gastric carcinoma, with the objective response rate ranging from 13 to 25% (15–17). To date, no clinical trial has been conducted to investigate the clinical activity of tislelizumab in patients with endometrial cancer. In our index patient, microsatellites were highly unstable. She has a germline mutation in MSH2, while IHC showed MSH2 and MSH6 expression were lost in the EEC component. She received tislelizumab with a good response after progression on multiple lines of chemotherapy. Due to the identification of the BRCA1 germline mutation, poly ADP-ribose polymerase inhibitors monotherapy or combined with immunotherapy may be used in the subsequent lines of treatment. Tumors with BRCA1/2 pathogenic mutations have higher level of genomic instability, and this may generate more neoantigens, which may be associated with better efficacy when receiving treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors (18). Based on this rational, combined treatment with PARP inhibitors and immunotherapies has shown promising efficacy in multiple clinical trials (19, 20). The combination of tislelizumab with a novel PARP inhibitor—pamiparib was evaluated in solid tumors in a phase Ia/b clinical trial. Ten (20%) of 49 patients achieved an objective response, including two complete responses and eight partial responses (21).

In conclusion, this is the first report of two germline mutations in BRCA1 and MSH2 identified in a woman with mixed EEC-SC. Tumor heterogeneity at the level of germline and somatic aberrations may collectively promote the histological divergence in mixed EEC-SC.
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