PrrA modulates *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* response to multiple environmental cues and is critically regulated by serine/threonine protein kinases
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## Abstract

The ability of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) to adapt to its surrounding environment is critical for the bacterium to successfully colonize its host. Transcriptional changes are a vital mechanism by which Mtb responds to key environmental signals experienced, such as pH, chloride (Cl\(^–\)), nitric oxide (NO), and hypoxia. However, much remains unknown regarding how Mtb coordinates its response to the disparate signals seen during infection. Utilizing a transcription factor (TF) overexpression plasmid library in combination with a pH/Cl\(^–\)-responsive luciferase reporter, we identified the essential TF, PrrA, part of the PrrAB two-component system, as a TF involved in modulation of Mtb response to pH and Cl\(^–\).

Further studies revealed that PrrA also affected Mtb response to NO and hypoxia, with *prrA* overexpression dampening induction of NO and hypoxia-responsive genes. PrrA is phosphorylated not just by its cognate sensor histidine kinase PrrB, but also by serine/threonine protein kinases (STPKs) at a second distinct site. Strikingly, a STPK-phosphoab lative PrrA variant was significantly dampened in its response to NO versus wild type Mtb, disrupted in its ability to adaptively enter a non-replicative state upon extended NO exposure, and attenuated for *in vivo* colonization. Together, our results reveal PrrA as an important regulator of Mtb response to multiple environmental signals, and uncover a critical role of STPK regulation of PrrA in its function.

## Author summary

Vital to successful host colonization by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb), the causative agent of tuberculosis, is the bacterium’s ability to respond and adapt to changes in its local environment during infection. Here, we discover that the essential transcription factor PrrA, part of the PrrAB two-component system (TCS), modulates Mtb response to four important environmental cues encountered within the host: pH, chloride, nitric oxide, and hypoxia. PrrA acts as a rheostat, adjusting the amplitude of Mtb gene expression...
changes upon bacterial exposure to each of the four environmental signals. Further, we reveal a critical impact of serine/threonine protein kinases (STPKs) on PrrA function, with prevention of STPK phosphorylation of PrrA disrupting adaptive response of Mtb to growth-inhibiting cues and attenuating the bacterium’s ability to colonize its host. Our work uncovers PrrA as a regulator with broad impact across environmental signals, and highlights how two regulatory systems, TCSs and STPKs, critically interact in coordinating Mtb response to environmental cues.

Introduction

The remarkable ability of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) to survive within a host is predicated on its ability to sense and respond to changing environmental signals encountered during infection. These include cues such as pH, chloride (Cl\(^{-}\)), nitric oxide (NO), and hypoxia [1–4], and we have previously shown that Cl\(^{-}\) concentration ([Cl\(^{-}\)]) increases within the phagosomal compartment during phagosome maturation, simultaneous to acidification, demonstrating the linked nature of these cues [3]. Strikingly, the transcriptional response of Mtb to acidic pH and high [Cl\(^{-}\)] is synergistic [3]. Similarly, Mtb response to NO and hypoxia is intimately linked, with extensive overlap in the bacterial transcriptional response to these two signals, and the DosRS(T) two-component system (TCS) regulating a core subset of genes in response to either signal [2,4–7]. These environmental signals change in predictable manners within the host, reflecting both location and the status of the immune response [1,3,8–11]. They further affect Mtb growth status, with Mtb growth slowed at acidic pH [12,13], and the bacteria entering an adaptive state of growth arrest upon extended exposure to NO or hypoxia [2,14,15]. The feature of slowed growth at acidic pH is unique to mycobacteria within the Mtb complex, as mycobacteria not within the Mtb complex do not reduce their growth rate under acidic pH conditions [13,16]. This intriguingly suggests genetic “hard-wiring” of regulation of Mtb growth in response to environmental cues, and indeed Mtb growth at acidic pH can be manipulated both genetically and via changing of the provided carbon source [17,18]. Critically, a failure to respond to environmental cues such as pH and NO results in attenuation of the ability of Mtb to colonize its host [19–23]. While studies of Mtb response to environmental cues have largely focused on one signal at a time, Mtb is exposed to multiple, disparate signals simultaneously in vivo, and coordination of an adaptive response is essential for the bacterium’s successful colonization of its host.

Transcriptional regulation is a key aspect of Mtb adaptation to the host and response to changes in its local microenvironment, and the Mtb genome encodes 214 annotated or known transcription factors (TFs) [24,25]. While the role of most of these TFs remain unknown, a few crucial TCSs that regulate Mtb environmental response have been well-characterized and clearly shown to be critical for successful host colonization [20, 23,26–28]. A key example is the PhoPR TCS that is required for Mtb response to pH and also plays a role in regulation of Mtb response to Cl\(^{-}\) [1,3,12]. Of note, although a ΔphoPR mutant fails to respond to acidic pH, it is still capable of synergistically responding to acidic pH and high [Cl\(^{-}\)] [3]. Similarly, while the DosRS(T) TCS is known to be vital in regulating Mtb response to NO and hypoxia [2,4,5], the DosR regulon consists of a subset of the >200 genes differentially expressed upon Mtb exposure to NO or hypoxia [2,4]. Together, these data highlight the likely existence of other TFs that play critical roles in regulating Mtb response to these key environmental cues.

An additional layer of Mtb environmental response regulation distinct from transcriptional control is via the action of serine/threonine protein kinases (STPKs) [29,30]. While the presence of STPKs in bacterial genomes is increasingly appreciated, only one or two STPKs/bacterial genome have generally been predicted versus the larger number of TCSs [30–33]. In contrast,
STPKs are prominent in Mtb, with an almost equivalent number of STPKs (11) present as TCSs (12) [30]. Although hundreds of proteins are predicted to be phosphorylated by STPKs in Mtb, the role of STPKs in Mtb environmental sensing/response remains poorly understood. Nonetheless, more recent genome-wide studies have predicted that many TCSs (9/12) are phosphorylated by STPKs [29,34], raising the intriguing possibility of an important role for STPK-TCS interplay in Mtb environmental cue response. In particular, phosphorylation of the TCS response regulator DosR by the STPK PknH has been shown biochemically, with a ΔpknH Mtb mutant exhibiting impaired NO-mediated induction of DosR regulon genes [35]. Additionally, another study showed that binding to target DNA by the essential response regulator MtrA was decreased in a STPK-phosphomimetic MtrA variant versus wild type MtrA [36]. Importantly, STPK phosphorylation represents a rapid means for altering the activity of a TCS response regulator, separate from slower transcription changes, a possible distinct advantage in the context of quickly changing environmental signals that may be encountered by Mtb during infection.

In this study, we exploit a pH/Cl\textsuperscript{-}-responsive luminescent reporter Mtb strain in combination with a tetracycline-inducible TF overexpression plasmid library in a phenotypic screen, identifying PrrA, an essential TF that is part of the PrrAB TCS, as a global modulator of Mtb response to not just pH and Cl\textsuperscript{-}, but also NO and hypoxia. Utilizing an inducible overexpression system, we show that prrA levels are critical for proper regulation of Mtb response to these environmental cues. Strikingly, we discover that STPK phosphorylation of PrrA plays a vital role in regulating PrrA function, with a STPK-phosphoablative PrrA Mtb variant significantly disrupted in environmental cue response, ability to enter an adaptive state of growth arrest upon extended exposure to NO, and host colonization.

Results

Exploitation of a Cl\textsuperscript{-}/pH responsive reporter strain in combination with a transcription factor (TF) overexpression library uncovers a role for PrrA in Mtb response to pH and Cl\textsuperscript{-}

To identify TFs that modulate the response of Mtb to pH and Cl\textsuperscript{-}, we first constructed a luciferase version of our previously established Cl\textsuperscript{-}/pH-responsive rv2390c\textsuperscript::GFP reporter [3] by fusing the promoter region of rv2390c to a gene encoding firefly luciferase, and integrating this luminescent reporter into the chromosome of Mtb (rv2390c\textsuperscript::luciferase). As expected, robust upregulation in light output was observed in acidic pH (pH 5.7) or high Cl\textsuperscript{-} concentration ([Cl\textsuperscript{-}], 250 mM NaCl) conditions, with a synergistic response in the dual condition of pH 5.7 + 250 mM NaCl, consistent with previous observations using the GFP reporter (Fig 1A) [3]. An arrayed library of inducible TF overexpression strains carrying the rv2390c\textsuperscript::luciferase reporter was then generated by individually transforming each of 207 tetracycline-inducible TF overexpression plasmids [24,25] into the rv2390c\textsuperscript::luciferase reporter Mtb strain. The library was screened in (i) 7H9, pH 7.0 (control), (ii) 7H9, pH 5.7, (iii) 7H9, pH 7.0, 250 mM NaCl, or (iv) 7H9, pH 5.7, 250 mM NaCl conditions, with utilization of an arrayed format to eliminate bottleneck effects and enable immediate identification of any hits of interest from the screens. rv2390c\textsuperscript::luciferase reporter fold induction in the test versus control condition in each case was calculated as relative light units (RLU)/OD\textsubscript{600}, to account for any differences in bacterial growth. Identification of phoP and sigE as hits where overexpression increased rv2390c\textsuperscript::luciferase reporter signal compared to the empty vector control in the high [Cl\textsuperscript{-}] (250 mM NaCl) screen condition (8.5 and 25-fold respectively versus an average of 4.3-fold induction in the empty vector controls) served as validation that the screen functioned as anticipated (Fig 1B and S1 Table). This is since a ΔphoP mutant strain has previously been shown to dampen Mtb response to Cl\textsuperscript{-}, while SigE has been shown to interact with PhoP in the
regulation of Mtb response to acidic pH [3,37]. Both of these genes were also observed as hits that increased $rv2390c'$::luciferase reporter signal in the acidic pH (pH 5.7) and acidic pH + high [Cl$^-$] (pH 5.7/250 mM NaCl) conditions (S1 Table).

On the other end of the spectrum, overexpression of prrA most strongly repressed induction of the $rv2390c'$::luciferase reporter in the presence of high [Cl$^-$] (Fig 1B and S1 Table). While prrA overexpression did not result in a difference in the RLU/OD$_{600}$ ratio compared to values observed for the empty vector control in the acidic pH and acidic pH + high [Cl$^-$] screen conditions, closer examination intriguingly indicated a decrease both in RLU and OD$_{600}$ in the acidic pH + high [Cl$^-$] condition, and an apparent increase in growth in the single acidic pH condition (S1 Table). Although prrA is an essential gene that is part of the PrrAB two component system and has been reported to be important for Mtb replication within macrophages [38,39], little is known about its possible role in regulating Mtb environmental response. We thus pursued further characterization of PrrA as a hit from the screen, and first validated the screen results by examining $rv2390c'$::luciferase expression in the inducible prrA overexpression strain ($P_1'$::prrA-FLAG-tetON, $rv2390c'$::luciferase) in uninduced (ethanol (EtOH) as a carrier control) versus induced (200 ng/ml anhydrotetracycline (ATC)) conditions. As shown in Fig 1C, overexpression of prrA indeed dampened Mtb response to high [Cl$^-$], and also dampened Mtb response to acidic pH alone and the dual conditions of acidic pH + high [Cl$^-$]. Examination by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) and western blot further demonstrated the expected upregulation of prrA transcript and protein levels respectively upon ATC induction (S1 Fig). Together, these results suggest that PrrA acts in the regulation of Mtb response to pH and Cl$^-$.

### PrrA globally regulates Mtb response to pH and Cl$^-$

As a first follow up to the screen results with prrA overexpression dampening induction of the Cl$^-$/pH-responsive $rv2390c'$::luciferase reporter, we pursued RNA sequencing (RNaseq) analysis to determine the global impact of PrrA on Mtb response to acidic pH and high [Cl$^-$]. An initial striking observation from the RNaseq dataset was the minimal effect of prrA...
overexpression on global Mtb gene expression in the control pH 7.0 condition, with just three genes exhibiting a log2-fold change ≥ 1 (p < 0.05, FDR < 0.01) (Fig 2A). In contrast, overexpression of prrA in the context of acidic pH + high [Cl−] conditions significantly impacted Mtb transcriptional response, with expression of 40 and 32 differentially expressed genes increased and decreased respectively (genes differentially expressed log2-fold change ≥ 1, p < 0.05 in both sets, with log2-fold change ≥ 1 in the EtOH set). In (C), fold change compares gene expression in the pH 5.7/250 mM NaCl versus the control pH 7.0 condition for each of the EtOH (“control”) or ATC (“prrA OE”) treatment sets. sigA was used as the control gene, and data are shown as means ± SD from 3 technical replicates. P-values were obtained with an unpaired t-test. N.S. not significant, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001.
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overexpression on global Mtb gene expression in the control pH 7.0 condition, with just three genes exhibiting a log2-fold change ≥ 1 (p < 0.05, FDR < 0.01) (Fig 2A). In contrast, overexpression of prrA in the context of acidic pH + high [Cl−] conditions significantly impacted Mtb transcriptional response, with expression of 40 and 32 differentially expressed genes increased and decreased respectively (genes differentially expressed log2-fold change ≥ 1, p < 0.05 in the EtOH set upon exposure to acidic pH/high [Cl−]; log2-fold change ≥ 0.25 between the ATC and EtOH sets) (Fig 2B and S2 Table). qRT-PCR analysis of several differentially expressed genes validated the results observed in the RNAseq dataset (Fig 2C). prrA overexpression also impacted expression of multiple genes in the context of the single conditions of acidic pH (S2A and S2B Fig and S3 Table) or high [Cl−] (S2C and S2D Fig and S4 Table). Focusing on the dual condition of acidic pH and high [Cl−], genes involved in intermediary metabolism were among those most affected by prrA overexpression, with for example the induction of prpC, a methylcitrate synthase, prpD, a methylcitrate dehydratase, and rv1405c, a methyltransferase, all decreased (Fig 2C and S2 Table) [40,41]. The overall trend was for prrA overexpression to dampen the magnitude of the gene expression differences observed; specifically, genes induced by acidic pH/high [Cl−] were less induced, while genes that were repressed by acidic pH/high [Cl−] were correspondingly less repressed (Fig 2B and S2 Table). There were some exceptions to this, such as with lat, a L-lysine aminotransferase [42], whose expression was further induced in acidic pH/high [Cl−] upon prrA overexpression (Fig 2C and S2 Table).

Together, the data reveal an environment-dependent role of PrrA in regulation of Mtb gene expression, with PrrA functioning to alter the magnitude of Mtb transcriptional response to acidic pH and high [Cl−].

PrrA plays a key role in Mtb response to nitric oxide and hypoxia

Mtb experiences multiple environmental cues during host colonization, and an ability to coordinate its response to these disparate signals is critical for its adaptation within the host. Given
the essentiality of PrrA and its role in modulating Mtb response to pH and Cl−, we thus next asked if PrrA may serve a broader function as a TF that integrates Mtb response to multiple vital environmental cues. We first tested if PrrA plays a role in Mtb response to other ionic signals, namely iron and potassium, two ionic signals for which the bacterium has previously been shown to have a robust transcriptional response [43–46]. To test this, we incorporated a potassium-responsive kdpF'::GFP [46] or an iron-responsive mbtB'::GFP reporter plasmid into Mtb carrying a chromosomally encoded tetracycline-inducible prrA overexpression construct (S3A Fig). prrA overexpression did not affect induction of either of these reporters (S3B and S3C Fig), indicating that PrrA likely does not modulate Mtb response to iron or potassium. These data also support that the effects on Mtb transcriptional response to pH and Cl− observed above upon prrA expression perturbation are specific, and not simply the result of overexpression of a gene.

Expanding beyond single ionic signals, we next tested the effect of prrA overexpression on Mtb response to nitric oxide (NO) and hypoxia using the NO/hypoxia-responsive hspX'::GFP reporter [3]. Strikingly, prrA overexpression significantly reduced induction of the hspX'::GFP reporter in response to both NO and hypoxia (Fig 3A). To further probe the role of PrrA in Mtb response to NO, RNAseq analysis was performed on Mtb carrying the P1'::prrA-tetON plasmid, treated with EtOH (carrier control) or 200 ng/ml ATC to induce prrA overexpression, and exposed to 7H9, pH 7.0 media ± 100 μM DETA NONOate, an NO donor. The RNAseq data revealed that prrA overexpression had a marked impact on NO-mediated gene expression changes, with 80/164 genes differentially expressed log2-fold change ≥1 upon NO exposure demonstrating a log2-fold change difference ≥0.25 between the ATC and EtOH sets (Fig 3B and S5 Table). The differentially expressed genes included genes both within and outside the well-characterized DosR regulon (Fig 3C and 3D and S5 Table) [2,4,5]. qRT-PCR analysis showed that dosS induction upon NO exposure was not changed by prrA overexpression, although a slight decrease in dosR induction was noted (Fig 3E). As observed with the acidic pH/high [Cl−] data above, prrA overexpression once again served to alter the magnitude of the transcriptional changes induced by NO; prrA overexpression reduced the induction of genes upregulated by NO, and dampened the extent of repression of genes downregulated by NO. Together, these data indicate the ability of PrrA to broadly repress Mtb transcriptional response to NO, with PrrA functioning to maintain proper amplitude of response of gene expression upon Mtb exposure to NO.

In addition to NO, overexpression of prrA also significantly inhibited hspX'::GFP induction in the presence of hypoxia (Fig 3A). The hypoxia and NO regulons have significant overlap [4–6], and we thus anticipated that perturbation of prrA would also affect genes induced by hypoxia in a similar manner. Indeed, prrA overexpression significantly reduced hypoxia-mediated induction of both DosR-dependent and independent genes (Fig 3F and 3G). In contrast to NO however, induction of both dosR and dosS upon hypoxia exposure was strongly reduced by prrA overexpression (Fig 3H). Further investigation will be required to determine the mechanistic reasons for the differential effect of prrA overexpression on dosRS expression in hypoxia versus NO conditions.

In summary, our results establish PrrA as a TF that regulates Mtb environmental response not just to acidic pH and high [Cl−], but also to NO and hypoxia.

**PrrA, but not PrrB, is essential in Mtb**

As the prrAB operon has previously been reported to be essential in Mtb [38], we sought to create an inducible prrA knockdown strain, to explore the possibility of complementary tests of the effects of prrA knockdown on Mtb response to environmental cues. We utilized a dual
Fig 3. Perturbation of PrrA globally alters Mtb response to NO and hypoxia. (A) prrA overexpression dampens \textit{hspX}::GFP reporter response to NO and hypoxia. Mtb(P\textsubscript{606}::\textit{prrA}-FLAG-tetON, \textit{hspX}::GFP) was grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 media and treated with 0.1% ETOH ("control") or 200 ng/ml ATC ("prrA OE") for 1 day before exposure to 100 \(\mu\)M DETA NONOate for an additional 1 day (left panel), or to 1% oxygen for 2 days (right panel). ETOH or ATC was maintained as appropriate throughout the exposure. Reporter GFP signal was analyzed by flow cytometry, and fold induction is in comparison to control conditions (no added DETA NONOate and atmospheric O\(_2\) respectively). Data are shown as means \(\pm\) SD from 3 experiments. p-values were obtained with an unpaired t-test. (B-E) Overexpression of \textit{prrA} globally modulates Mtb response to NO. Log-phase Mtb(P\textsubscript{1}::\textit{prrA}-FLAG-tetON, rv2390c::luciferase) was grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 media and treated with 0.1% ETOH or 200 ng/ml ATC for 2 hours, before exposure to 7H9, pH 7.0 \(\pm\) 100 \(\mu\)M DETA NONOate for 4 hours in the continued presence of ETOH or ATC as appropriate, and samples extracted for RNA sequencing analysis (B) or qRT-PCR (C-E). In (B), log\(_2\)-fold change compares gene expression in the 7H9, pH 7 + 100 \(\mu\)M DETA NONOate condition versus the 7H9, pH 7 control condition for each of the ETOH ("cont") or ATC ("prrA OE") treatment sets. Genes marked in red had a log\(_2\)-fold change difference \(\geq 0.25\) between the ATC and ETOH treatment sets (p<0.05, FDR<0.01 in both sets, with log\(_2\)-fold change \(\geq 1\) in the ETOH set). In (C-E), fold change compares gene expression in the 7H9, pH 7 + 100 \(\mu\)M DETA NONOate condition versus the 7H9, pH 7 control condition for each of the ETOH ("control") or ATC ("prrA OE") treatment sets. \textit{sigA} was used as the control gene, and data are shown as means \(\pm\) SD from 3 technical replicates. p-values were obtained with an unpaired t-test. \(p<0.01\), \(p<0.001\), \(p<0.0001\). (F-H) \textit{prrA} overexpression inhibits induction of multiple hypoxia-responsive genes. qRT-PCR of Mtb(P\textsubscript{1}::\textit{prrA}-FLAG-tetON, rv2390c::luciferase) in 7H9 pH 7.0 media treated with 0.1% ETOH ("control") or 200 ng/ml ATC ("prrA OE") for 2 hours under aerated conditions before exposure to 1% oxygen for an additional 4 hours, in the continued presence of ETOH or ATC as appropriate. Fold change compares the 1% oxygen condition at 4 hours to the aerated 0 hour time point. \textit{sigA} was used as the control gene, and data are shown as means \(\pm\) SD from 3 technical replicates. p-values were obtained with an unpaired t-test. \(p<0.01\), \(p<0.001\), \(p<0.0001\).
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tetracycline-inducible system where ATC addition simultaneously represses expression of the gene of interest and actively degrades protein encoded by the target gene already present in the bacterium (PrrA-DUC) [47]. This construct was introduced into WT Mtb, with the native copy of prrA then deleted (PrrA-DUCΔprrA). Of note, we found that prrB was not essential, as the native prrAB operon could be deleted in the background of the PrrA-DUC Mtb strain (PrrA-DUCΔprrAB).

Initial characterization of the PrrA-DUCΔprrA strain showed that it grew more slowly compared to WT Mtb and expressed prrA at levels ~6-fold higher, with minimal effect on prrB expression (Fig 4A and 4B). PrrA protein levels were correspondingly also slightly increased in the PrrA-DUCΔprrA strain (1.36 ± 0.06 fold increase in PrrA/GroEL2 signal versus WT, Fig 4C). Addition of ATC resulted in the expected reduction in both prrA transcript and PrrA protein levels, in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig 4D and 4E). Plating for bacterial colony

![Fig 4. Characterization of dual inducible prrA gene repression/PrrA protein degradation system.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010331.g004)

(A) PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb grows more slowly than WT Mtb. WT or PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb were grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 media and growth tracked over time. Data are shown as means ± SD from three experiments. p-values were obtained with an unpaired t-test, comparing the strains at each time point. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01. (B) PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb has elevated prrA transcript levels compared to WT Mtb. qRT-PCR of WT or PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 for four hours. Fold change compares the PrrA-DUCΔprrA strain to WT. sigA was used as the control gene, and data are shown as means ± SD from three technical replicates. (C) Elevated PrrA levels is detected by western blot in PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb. WT or PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb were grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 media for nine days. Cultures were normalized to the lowest OD

![OD600](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010331.g004)

and lysates analyzed by western blot. Membranes were blotted with either anti-GroEL2 antibody as a loading control (top panel) or an anti-PrrA antibody (bottom panel). Blot is representative of 3 experiments. (D and E) ATC concentration-dependent repression of prrA expression in PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb. (D) shows qRT-PCR data of prrA expression in PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 media and treated with 0.1% EtOH or indicated concentrations of ATC for six hours. Fold change compares each ATC-treated condition to the EtOH control. sigA was used as the control gene, and data are shown as means ± SD from three technical replicates. (E) shows western blot analysis of PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 media and treated with 0.1% EtOH or indicated concentrations of ATC for two days. Cultures were normalized to the lowest OD

![OD600](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010331.g004) before lysate preparation. Membranes were blotted with either an anti-GroEL2 antibody as a loading control (top panel) or an anti-FLAG antibody (bottom panel). Blot is representative of 2–3 experiments. (F) Viability of PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb is affected in an ATC-concentration dependent manner. PrrA-DUCΔprrA Mtb was treated with 0.1% EtOH (0 ng/ml ATC) or indicated concentrations of ATC for two days. Cultures were normalized to the lowest OD

![OD600](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010331.g004) before plated for colony forming units (CFUs). CFUs observed in the control 0 ng/ml ATC concentration was set at 100% survival. Data are shown as means ± SD from three experiments.
forming units confirmed the essentiality of prrA in Mtb, with survival decreasing with increasing levels of prrA knockdown (Fig 4F). The sensitivity of Mtb to loss of prrA precluded attempts to investigate how prrA knockdown might affect Mtb environmental response, due to the confounding effects from the resultant bacterial death.

**STPK phosphorylation plays a key role in PrrA modulation of Mtb response to pH and Cl⁻**

Our data above reveals PrrA as an essential TF that serves as a wide-ranging modulator of Mtb response to multiple key environmental signals. Examination of prrAB expression showed that transcript levels of both genes were mostly unchanged in the different environmental conditions, with only hypoxia slightly upregulating prrAB expression (S4 Fig). In considering how PrrA activity may be differentially regulated, and in seeking a complementary method to assess the impact of prrA perturbation on Mtb environmental response, we thus turned to examination of its post-translational modification, in particular its phosphorylation. Of note, PrrA is phosphorylated not just by PrrB, its cognate histidine kinase (HK; D61 site), but also phosphorylated by STPKs at a second unique site (T6) [29,48]. To investigate how phosphorylation of PrrA affects its ability to regulate Mtb response to environmental signals, we attempted to generate both HK-phosphoablative and STPK-phosphoablative PrrA variants via allelic switching at the attL5 site [49], where the prrA-FLAG-DAS4 allele had been introduced in the PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA strain. Strikingly, we were unable to generate a HK-phosphoablative variant (PrrA-D61A). Only when the native copy of prrA was still present, in addition to the introduced prrA-FLAG-DAS4 copy, could allelic exchange with PrrA-D61A occur, indicating that phosphorylation of PrrA by a HK is essential (S5 Fig). In contrast, generation of a STPK-phosphoablative PrrA variant (PrrA-T6A) was successful, and we thus focused here on interrogating the role of STPK phosphorylation on PrrA function.

To obtain a global view of the impact of STPK phosphorylation of PrrA on PrrA regulation of Mtb response to acidic pH and high [Cl⁻], RNAseq was performed with the parental PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA and the PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA variant strain (from here on referred to as “PrrA-T6A”) exposed to pH 7.0 media (control) or to acidic pH/high [Cl⁻] media (pH 5.7/250 mM NaCl) for 4 hours. Unlike with overexpression of prrA, the PrrA-T6A variant impacted expression of multiple genes in standard pH 7.0 broth, with 13 genes increased in expression and 45 repressed (log₂-fold change ≥1, p<0.05, FDR<0.01, Fig 5A and S6 Table). This suggests that the ability of PrrA to change its phosphorylation state plays a critical role in its regulation of gene expression in Mtb.

Focusing on genes in the pH/Cl⁻ regulon that were differentially expressed in both WT Mtb and the parental PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA strain, the PrrA-T6A variant repressed 37 genes and increased the expression of 29 genes (Fig 5B and S7 Table). Of note, there were distinct differences in the effect of the PrrA-T6A variant on gene expression in response to acidic pH/high [Cl⁻] as compared to prrA overexpression. For example, rv1405c and rv2390c induction were not inhibited by the PrrA-T6A variant unlike with prrA overexpression, while expression of bfrB, a gene involved in iron storage and homeostasis, and icl1, a critical enzyme in the glyoxlate shunt pathway, were significantly down- and upregulated by the PrrA-T6A variant respectively, when prrA overexpression had not affected expression of these genes (Fig 5C, compare to Fig 2C, and S7 Table) [50,51]. In the case of lat, a gene involved in L-lysine metabolism that is upregulated in starvation and other growth inhibiting conditions [42,52,53], induction by acidic pH/high [Cl⁻] was significantly repressed by the PrrA-T6A variant, versus the increased expression observed upon prrA overexpression (Fig 5C, compare to Fig 2C, and S7 Table).
Together, the data reinforce a role for PrrA in regulating Mtb transcriptional response to pH and Cl⁻, and reveal the importance of STPK phosphorylation for proper function of PrrA.

**STPK phosphorylation is critical in PrrA regulation of Mtb response to NO and hypoxia**

Given the significant impact of inhibition of STPK phosphorylation of PrrA on its regulation of Mtb response to pH and Cl⁻, we next sought to similarly test the role of STPK phosphorylation on PrrA control of Mtb response to NO. RNAseq was performed on the PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA parental strain and the PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA strains were grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 media (control) ± 100 μM DETA NONOate for four hours. Focusing on genes differentially expressed in both WT Mtb and the PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA strain upon exposure to NO, a marked modulation of gene expression by the PrrA-T6A variant strain was observed, with transcript levels of 100/151 genes altered (Fig 6A and S8 Table). Strikingly, the PrrA-T6A variant dampened NO-mediated upregulation of most genes within the DosR regulon, including dosR and dosS (Fig 6B and 6C and S8 Table). The effect of the PrrA-T6A variant on DosR-independent NO-responsive genes varied, and as observed with the pH/Cl⁻ regulon, there were distinct differences in the effect of the PrrA-T6A variant on gene expression as compared to prra overexpression (Fig 6D and S8 Table). For example, induction of lqpS and rv0846c by NO were both increased by PrrA-T6A, versus downregulated upon prra overexpression (Fig 6D, compare to Fig 3D, and S8 Table). Conversely, induction of rv1687c by NO was significantly reduced in the PrrA-T6A variant, versus further upregulated by prra overexpression (Fig 6D, compare to Fig 3D, and S8 Table).

Parallel to the NO regulon analysis, we observed that the PrrA-T6A variant significantly inhibited hypoxia-mediated induction of multiple DosR-dependent genes, as well as dosR and dosS themselves (Fig 6E and 6F). In this case, the effect of the PrrA-T6A variant on the four DosR-independent hypoxia regulon genes generally mirrored that of prra overexpression, decreasing induction of lqpS, and further repressing ppe51 and rv2959c expression (Fig 6G, compare to Fig 3G). Of note, the PrrA-T6A strain had differential effects on the two DosR-
independent genes whose expression are altered by both NO and hypoxia. *lpqS* induction was decreased by PrrA-T6A in the context of hypoxia exposure but increased in NO, while *ppe51* was further repressed by PrrA-T6A in the context of hypoxia exposure but unaffected in the context of NO (Fig 6D and 6G).

Together, these data indicate that STPK phosphorylation of PrrA differentially affects PrrA activity depending on the environmental signal encountered. Importantly, there was minimal difference in the abundance of PrrA-T6A transcript or protein levels as compared to WT PrrA.
in the parental PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA strain (S6 Fig), and thus the observed phenotypes were not attributable to differences in prrA expression. These results reinforce the ability of PrrA to globally modulate Mtb response to pH, Cl−, NO, and hypoxia, and further highlight the important role of STPK phosphorylation on gene expression regulation by PrrA.

**STPK phosphorylation of PrrA is critical for Mtb entry into an adaptive state of growth arrest upon extended NO exposure**

Given the overall broad inhibition of Mtb response to NO by the PrrA-T6A variant, we sought to test what the functional consequences of a failure of STPK phosphorylation of PrrA might be for the bacteria in the context of NO exposure. In particular, extended exposure to NO is known to drive Mtb into an adaptive state of growth arrest [2,14,54], and we thus tested the PrrA-T6A variant for its effect on Mtb entry and recovery from NO-mediated growth arrest. For this assay, the PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA parental strain and the PrrA-T6A variant were grown in aerated pH 7 conditions ± 100 μM DETA NONOate (four treatments across 30 hours). A first observation was that the PrrA-T6A variant grew significantly faster than its PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA parental strain (Fig 7A). This faster growth of the PrrA-T6A variant versus its PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA parental strain was also observed in acidic pH, high [Cl−], and hypoxic conditions (S7 Fig). Strikingly, the PrrA-T6A variant also grew even upon extended NO exposure, exhibiting little growth arrest compared to the parental PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA strain carrying a WT allele of prrA (Fig 7A). This phenotype is in accord with the significantly reduced transcriptional response of the PrrA-T6A variant to NO (Fig 6) and demonstrates the critical role of STPK phosphorylation of PrrA in coordinating Mtb growth and NO response.

We hypothesized that the faster growth of the PrrA-T6A Mtb variant would result in increased susceptibility of the bacteria to the front-line anti-tubercular drug isoniazid (INH), which acts via inhibition of mycolic acid synthesis and has previously been shown to have increased efficacy against actively replicating Mtb [55–57]. Indeed, exposure of the PrrA-T6A variant and its parental Mtb strain to INH for 24 hours prior to plating for colony forming
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**Fig 7. STPK phosphorylation of PrrA is critical for Mtb entry into an adaptive state of growth arrest upon extended NO exposure.** (A) Blocking STPK phosphorylation of PrrA affects the ability of Mtb to enter a state of growth arrest upon extended NO exposure. PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA (“WT”) and PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA (“T6A”) were treated with 4 doses of 100 μM DETA NONOate over 30 hours (red shaded region indicates period of treatment) and growth tracked over time. Data are shown as means ± SD from 3 experiments. p-values were obtained with an unpaired t-test, comparing PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA to PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA within each condition. * symbols indicate p-values for the untreated conditions. # symbols indicate p-values for the NO conditions. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001. (B) The PrrA-T6A variant is more sensitive to isoniazid. Log-phase PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA (“WT”) and PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA (“T6A”) Mtb were treated with isoniazid (INH) for 24 hours. Percent survival compares the number of CFUs from each INH treatment to the untreated control condition for each strain. Data are shown as means ± SD from three experiments. p-values were obtained with an unpaired t-test.
units (CFUs) and determining bacterial survival showed that the PrrA-T6A variant was significantly more sensitive to INH (Fig 7B).

These results demonstrate the functional impact that STPK phosphorylation of PrrA has for Mtb, with alterations to the bacterium’s ability to respond to NO resulting in failure to adaptively adjust its replication in the presence of this growth-arresting signal. It also intriguingly suggests a role of STPK phosphorylation of PrrA in fundamental control of Mtb growth rate.

**PrrA is critical for successful host colonization by Mtb**

The wide-ranging impact of PrrA on Mtb response to multiple environmental cues, and its role in enabling adaptive Mtb entry into NO-mediated growth arrest, strongly suggests that PrrA will have critical importance in the ability of Mtb to colonize its host. To directly examine this, we tested if PrrA is essential for Mtb growth within macrophages and in a murine model of Mtb infection. Knockdown of *prrA* in murine infections with the parental PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA Mtb was accomplished via the administration of doxycycline in the drinking water, which robustly allows control of tetracycline-based inducible systems in Mtb while having no effect on bacterial load in this model, as previously established [11,58,59]. As expected given the essentially of *prrA*, knockdown of *prrA* significantly attenuated Mtb growth both within macrophages and mice (Fig 8A and 8B). Similar to our observations in broth culture (Fig 7A), preventing phosphorylation of PrrA by STPKs increased the growth rate of Mtb in macrophages (Fig 8A). Interestingly, uptake of the PrrA-T6A Mtb variant by macrophages was significantly higher (Fig 8A), even though the CFU/ml of the input preparation of the strain was not different from the parental PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA strain carrying a WT allele of *prrA* (S8 Fig). Most strikingly, the PrrA-T6A variant was significantly attenuated in its ability to colonize a...
whole animal host at two weeks post-infection (Fig 8B). This indicates that proper regulation of PrrA activity, and in particular STPK phosphorylation of PrrA, is critical for Mtb host colonization in the context of the complexity of a whole animal \textit{in vivo} infection.

These data further emphasize the importance of proper control of bacterial growth for successful host colonization by Mtb, with a faster rate of growth \textit{in vitro} not necessarily translating to improved outcome for the bacteria \textit{in vivo}. Our results underscore the essential role of PrrA in Mtb infection biology and demonstrate how STPK-TCS interplay can have major impact on Mtb host colonization.

\section*{Discussion}

The ability of Mtb to respond simultaneously to multiple, disparate environmental cues within its local environment is critical to its survival within a host. Here, we combined a luminescent pH/Cl\textsuperscript{-} transcriptional reporter strain with a tetracycline-inducible TF overexpression plasmid library to reveal the essential TF, PrrA, the response regulator (RR) of the TCS PrrAB, as a regulator that coordinates Mtb response to at least four environmental cues, namely pH, Cl\textsuperscript{-}, NO, and hypoxia. Although the \textit{prrAB} operon had previously been described as essential in Mtb, its functional role in Mtb biology had remained largely unknown. Early studies had indicated that \textit{prrAB} transcript levels increased under nitrogen-limiting conditions \cite{38}, while a report utilizing a Mtb mutant with decreased \textit{prrA} expression (transposon mutant in \textit{prrA} promoter) suggested its importance early in macrophage infection \cite{39}. Interestingly, \textit{prrAB} is not essential in the non-pathogenic bacterium \textit{Mycobacterium smegmatis}, and a study with a \textit{M. smegmatis} \textit{ΔprrAB} mutant reported possible roles of PrrAB in dormancy pathways \cite{60,61}. \textit{M. smegmatis} has two \textit{dosR} orthologs, and expression of \textit{dosR2}, the ortholog located in a different genomic location from the \textit{dosR1} and \textit{dosS} orthologs, was decreased in the \textit{M. smegmatis} \textit{ΔprrAB} mutant in rich media, even in the absence of NO or hypoxia signals \cite{60}. Unlike in Mtb, expression of several genes in the DosR regulon were additionally reported as differentially expressed in the absence of NO or hypoxia signals, with the \textit{ΔprrAB} mutant affecting expression of a small subset of those genes \cite{60}. These differences likely reflect fundamental differences in the role of PrrA in Mtb versus \textit{M. smegmatis}, given the non-essentiality of PrrA in the latter.

Our transcriptional data suggests that PrrA functions as a rheostat, adjusting the amplitude of Mtb response to pH, Cl\textsuperscript{-}, NO, and hypoxia (Fig 9). This contrasts with the control exerted on Mtb response to pH by PhoPR, and on a subset of the hypoxia and NO-responsive genes by DosRS(T), where deletion of these TCSs results in significantly greater inhibition of expression of the target genes more akin to an "on/off" switch \cite{3,5,12}. How PrrAB, PhoPR, and DosRS (T) function may be coordinated is an intriguing question for future study. Our finding that \textit{prrA}, but not its cognate HK \textit{prrB}, is essential, together with our inability to generate a HK-phosphoablative PrrA variant, suggests that phosphorylation of PrrA by other HKs can occur. While TCSs are predominantly regarded as showing high specificity, with a cognate HK phosphorylating just its corresponding RR, precedence for cross-phosphorylation in TCSs exists. Examples of this include cross-phosphorylation of the HssRS and HitRS TCSs in \textit{Bacillus anthracis} that aids in coordinating the bacterium’s response to heme and cell envelope stress \cite{62}, and PmrB phosphorylation of not just its cognate RR PmrA but also the RR QseB, part of the QseBC TCS, in the response of uropathogenic \textit{Escherichia coli} to ferric iron \cite{63}. Of note, a study utilizing a yeast-two hybrid approach identified the HKs DosT and PhoR as a strong and weak interactor with PrrA respectively \cite{64}. Further studies will be required to test if PrrA can indeed be phosphorylated by these non-cognate HKs, and to reveal the cross-regulation that may exist between PrrAB, PhoPR, and DosRS(T).
Our striking findings with the STPK-phosphoablative PrrA-T6A variant strongly indicates that regulation of PrrA by STPKs serve a critical role in its function, in addition to regulation by HK(s) (Fig 9). The ability of STPKs to phosphorylate multiple targets (tens to hundreds) mark them as systems with immense capacity as masters of integrating and layering regulation. However, while STPKs (11) and TCSs (12) are both well-represented in the Mtb genome, much remains unknown about how these two vital environmental response systems may work together, with just three examples to our knowledge exploring this interplay biochemically [35,36,65]. The identity of the STPKs that regulate PrrA is currently unclear. A large-scale phosphoproteomic study in Mtb with follow-up assays utilizing synthetic peptides suggested two STPKs (PknD and PknF) as candidates [29], while a separate study in M. smegmatis using purified proteins reported a different set of three STPKs (PknG, PknJ, PknK) as being able to phosphorylate PrrA [48]. This latter study also reported, using electrophoretic mobility shift assays, that PrrB phosphorylation of PrrA increased DNA binding to target DNA by WT, STPK-phosphoablative (T6A) and phosphomimetic (T6D) PrrA, with the strongest increase observed with the T6D PrrA variant [48]. The authors thus suggested that PrrB and STPK phosphorylation might function together in driving PrrA binding to target DNA [48]. Elucidating the STPKs that phosphorylate and regulate PrrA function will be essential for
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Fig 9. Schematic model of environmental signal integration via PrrA in Mtb. A schematic model illustrating signal integration and outcome in the presence of WT PrrA (A) versus a STPK-phosphoablative PrrA-T6A variant (B) is shown. 1. In addition to the cognate HK PrrB, other HKs are predicted to interact with PrrA [64], while several STPKs are predicted to phosphorylate PrrA [29, 48]. Phosphorylation of PrrA by STPKs is non-essential for Mtb viability in rich broth. In contrast, HK-mediated phosphorylation of PrrA is essential for Mtb viability in rich broth, even though PrrB is non-essential. 2. PrrA contributes to the control of Mtb transcriptional response to acidic pH, Cl\(^{-}\), nitric oxide (NO), and hypoxia (low O\(_2\)), with PrrA function regulated by both STPK- and HK-mediated phosphorylation. 3. Appropriate environmental response via WT PrrA results in adaptation, proper Mtb growth control, and successful host colonization. 4. When STPK-mediated phosphorylation of PrrA is prevented at the T6 residue (PrrA-T6A variant), appropriate transcriptional response to pH, Cl\(^{-}\), NO, and hypoxia is prevented (predominantly dampened). 5. The disrupted transcriptional response consequently results in failure of Mtb to adapt to its local environment, with the failure to respond to growth-inhibiting cues increasing Mtb growth in vitro and attenuating the bacterium’s ability to colonize the host in vivo.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010331.g009
understanding the hierarchy and coordination between STPKs and HKs in Mtb environmental response and growth, and studies exploring this aspect are ongoing. More broadly, given the increasing appreciation that STPKs are not as rare in bacteria as previously thought [32], and the precedence for STPK phosphorylation of TCSs in other bacteria [66–68], we propose that future studies examining STPK-TCS interplay are likely to have important pertinence for the understanding of bacterial-host/environment interactions beyond just its relevance in Mtb.

Finally, our studies highlight the intrinsic connection between environmental signal response and Mtb growth control (Fig 9). Distinct from nutrient availability, Mtb growth is also strongly affected by environmental signals such as acidic pH and NO [2,12–14], and our data with the STPK-phosphoablative PrrA-T6A variant, demonstrating the inhibition of the bacterial response to NO and its failure to enter a state of growth arrest in response to NO, reinforce this aspect of Mtb biology. The intriguing ability of the STPK-phosphoablative PrrA-T6A variant to grow faster than WT Mtb, combined with its attenuated in vivo colonization ability, lends support to the concept that Mtb is genetically “hard-wired” to slow its growth in response to certain environmental cues even in the presence of available nutrients, with rapid growth likely disadvantageous for the bacterium at least in certain stages of host infection. Further investigation of the molecular mechanisms by which STPK phosphorylation of PrrA regulates Mtb growth rate will provide vital insight into the role of STPK-TCS interplay in coordinating Mtb environmental response with its growth.

In summary, our work uncovers PrrA as an essential TF that impacts Mtb response to multiple key environmental signals, and highlights and sets the stage for future studies exploring the critical but understudied aspects of cross-regulation both among TCSs, and between STPKs and TCSs. A global understanding of how these systems interact to coordinate the bacterial response to the disparate environmental signals encountered during infection, with bacterial growth, holds significant importance in revealing crucial regulatory nodes that can be targeted to uncouple these two essential aspects of pathogen biology.

Materials and methods
Ethics statement
The National Institutes of Health “Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals” standards were followed for all animal protocols. All animal protocols (#B2021-139) were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Tufts University, in accordance with guidelines from the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care, the US Department of Agriculture, and the US Public Health Service.

Generation of Mtb strains
Strains generated in this study are listed in S9 Table. Mtb cultures were maintained and propagated as described previously [12], with the following antibiotics added as appropriate: 50 μg/ml apramycin, 50 μg/ml hygromycin, 25 μg/ml kanamycin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. To generate the chromosomal rv2390c::luciferase reporter, the rv2390c promoter [3] was placed upstream of the gene encoding firefly luciferase, in the integrating vector pMV306, and transformed into Mtb, with selection on 7H10 plates containing 25 μg/ml kanamycin. To construct the mbtB’::GFP reporter, a 638 bp region upstream of the mbtB start codon was PCR amplified and cloned upstream of GFPmut2 in a modified replicating plasmid (pGFP-N) as described previously for other environmental GFP reporters [3,12]. The construct was then transformed into Mtb, with selection on 7H10 agar plates containing 50 μg/ml hygromycin. To generate P606’::prrA-FLAG-tetON, a C-terminally FLAG-tagged prrA driven by the P606 promoter was
cloned via the Gateway system into the destination Gateway vector pDE43-MCK, along with a tet-ON regulator [47,69]. To generate the dual tet-inducible prrA-FLAG-DAS4 knockdown system (PrrA-DUC), a C-terminally FLAG and DAS4 tagged prrA was cloned via the Gateway system into the destination Gateway vector pDE43-MCK (integrative plasmid). Deletion of the native copy of prrA or prrB in the background of the PrrA-DUC strain utilized homologous recombination as previously described [3]. Introduction of prrA point mutations was accomplished by first replacing the kanamycin marker in the pDE43-MCK vector with an apramycin marker, resulting in the plasmid pDE43-MCA. prrA-FLAG-DAS4 was cloned into the pDE43-MCA vector via the Gateway system, before quickchange mutagenesis (Stratagene) carried out to generate the various point mutant constructs (PrrA-T6A, PrrA-D61A). Allelic exchange at the attL5 integration site as described in reference [49] was performed on the PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA Mtb strain using these new point mutant constructs, to generate PrrA--T6A-DUC/ΔprrA (a PrrA-D61A-DUC/ΔprrA strain could not be obtained as described in the Results section). All Mtb transformations were via electroporation.

**rv2390c’::luciferase transcription factor overexpression library construction and screen**

Tetracycline-inducible transcription factor overexpression (TFOE) plasmids [24,25] were individually introduced into the CDC1551 rv2390c’::luciferase reporter Mtb strain via electroporation and selected on 7H10 agar plates containing 25 µg/ml kanamycin and 50 µg/ml hygromycin. 35 µl aliquots of Mtb TFOE rv2390c’::luciferase strains were stored at -80°C in an arrayed format in 96-well plates, with each plate containing 23 different Mtb TFOE rv2390c’::luciferase strains and one empty vector control strain. To screen the library, 165 µl of 7H9, pH 7.0 media with 25 µg/ml kanamycin and 50 µg/ml hygromycin was added to thawed TFOE Mtb strains and the plates incubated for 11 days at 37°C, 5% CO₂. TFOE rv2390c’::luciferase strains were then sub-cultured (1:10 dilution) into 100 µl of fresh 7H9, pH 7.0 media with appropriate antibiotics and incubated for an additional eight days at 37°C, 5% CO₂ (to log-phase). After eight days of growth, 200 ng/ml anhydrotetracycline (ATC) was added to each well to induce overexpression of each TF for 24 hours. Afterwards, each strain was inoculated (1:10 dilution) into 100 µl of (i) 7H9, pH 7.0 (control), (ii) 7H9, pH 5.7, (iii) 7H9, pH 7, 250 mM NaCl, and (iv) 7H9, pH 5.7, 250 mM NaCl in clear-bottom, white 96-well plates (Corning Costar) and incubated for nine days at 37°C, 5% CO₂. All media contained 50 µg/ml hygromycin and 25 µg/ml kanamycin, as well as 200 ng/ml ATC for continued TF overexpression. After nine days of incubation, luminescence was measured using the Bright-Glo luciferase assay system (Promega). Prepared luciferase substrate (75% luciferase substrate, 24.95% ultra-pure water, 0.05% tween 80) was aliquoted into a v-bottom 96-well plate and 40 µl of luciferase substrate simultaneously added to each well using an Integra VIAFLO 96 liquid handler. Both light output (relative light units, RLU) and OD₆₀₀ was measured for each well using a Biotek Synergy Neo2 multi-mode microplate reader. Fold induction was calculated by comparing RLU/OD₆₀₀ of the samples from each environmental condition to RLU/OD₆₀₀ of Mtb in the 7H9, pH 7.0 control condition.

To validate the results from strains of interest, the selected TFOE rv2390c’::luciferase Mtb strains were grown to log-phase (OD₆₀₀ ~0.6) in 10 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 standing, filter capped T25 flasks. Log-phase Mtb strains were then sub-cultured to an OD₆₀₀ = 0.05 into the four media conditions described above. After six days of growth, 0.1% ethanol (EtOH) as a vehicle control or 200 ng/ml ATC was added to induce overexpression of the identified TFs. Three days post-addition of EtOH or ATC, 100 µl culture aliquots in technical triplicate were transferred to a clear bottom, white 96-well plate and 40 µl of prepared luciferase substrate added/well. Light
output and OD\textsubscript{600} were measured, and fold induction in comparison to the 7H9, pH 7.0 control calculated in the same manner as described above.

**GFP reporter assays**

Prior to the start of all GFP reporter assays, \textit{prrA} was overexpressed for 24 hours by addition of 0.1\% EtOH or 200 ng/ml ATC to log-phase cultures (OD\textsubscript{600} \sim 0.6). These were then sub-cultured to an OD\textsubscript{600} = 0.05 in the appropriate media for each reporter assay, with \textit{prrA} overexpression maintained throughout the course of the experiment via addition of EtOH or 200 ng/ml ATC at the start of the reporter assay. At each time point, culture aliquots were taken and fixed in 4\% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Reporter GFP signal was determined on a BD FACSCalibur with subsequent data analysis using FlowJo (BD).

Potassium reporter assays utilizing the CDC1551(P\textsubscript{606}::\textit{prrA}-FLAG-tetON, \textit{kdpF}::GFP) strain were carried out in K\textsuperscript{+}-free 7H9, pH 7.0 media supplemented with 0.05 mM KCl as described previously [46]. For iron reporter assays utilizing the CDC1551(P\textsubscript{606}::\textit{prrA}-FLAG-tetON, \textit{mbtB}::GFP) reporter, iron-depleted media was made essentially as previously described [70], except that the media was buffered to pH 7.0 with 100 mM MOPS. This iron-depleted media was supplemented with 150 \muM ferric nitrate for the “control” condition, and with 100 \muM 2’2’-dipyridyl (iron chelator) for the “iron-free” condition. Log-phase cultures (OD\textsubscript{600} \sim 0.6) in standing filter-capped T25 flasks were washed once with the iron-depleted media, then used to seed 10 ml of each of the “control” or “iron-free” media at OD\textsubscript{600} = 0.05.

For NO and hypoxia reporter assays with CDC1551(P\textsubscript{606}::\textit{prrA}-FLAG-tetON, \textit{hspX}::GFP), Mtb cultures were first passaged three times in 4 ml filter-capped T25 flasks laid flat to aerate the cultures. Log-phase cultures (OD\textsubscript{600} \sim 0.6) were then sub-cultured to OD\textsubscript{600} = 0.05 in 4 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 media supplemented with 100 \muM DETA NONOate (Cayman Chemicals). For hypoxia reporter assays, Mtb cultures were sub-cultured to an OD\textsubscript{600} = 0.05 in 35 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 media in 125 ml filter-capped Erlenmeyer flasks (BD Biosciences), stirred with a magnetic stir bar at 45 rpm within a hypoxia chamber with adjustable O\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} controls (BioSpherix) set at 37\°C, 5\% CO\textsubscript{2}, and atmospheric O\textsubscript{2}. Log-phase cultures were then passaged again to an OD\textsubscript{600} = 0.05 in 35 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 media in 125 ml filter-capped Erlenmeyer flasks, and incubated with a magnetic stir bar at 45 rpm within a hypoxia chamber set at 37\°C, 5\% CO\textsubscript{2}, and 1\% O\textsubscript{2}.

**RNA sequencing and qRT-PCR analyses**

For RNA sequencing (RNAseq) and qRT-PCR analyses of Mtb exposed to acidic pH and/or high [Cl\textsuperscript{-}] conditions, log-phase Mtb (OD\textsubscript{600} \sim 0.6) was used to inoculate standing, filter-capped, T25 flasks containing 10 ml of (i) 7H9, pH 7.0, (ii) 7H9, pH 5.7, (iii) 7H9, pH 7.0, 250 mM NaCl, or (iv) 7H9, pH 5.7, 250 mM NaCl media at OD\textsubscript{600} = 0.3. For transcriptional analysis of Mtb exposed to NO, log-phase Mtb grown in aerated conditions was used to inoculate filter-capped T25 flasks laid flat, containing 12 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 \pm 100 \muM DETA NONOate at OD\textsubscript{600} = 0.3. Lastly, for transcriptional analysis of Mtb exposed to hypoxia, log-phase Mtb grown in aerated conditions was inoculated into 125 ml filter-capped Erlenmeyer flasks containing 35 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 media with stirring at 45 rpm and incubated at 37\°C, 5\% CO\textsubscript{2}, and 1\% O\textsubscript{2}. For overexpression and knockdown studies, log-phase Mtb was incubated with 0.1\% EtOH or varying concentrations of ATC for two hours prior to the start of the assay. Mtb samples were collected after four hours post-exposure to the indicated environmental condition, and RNA isolation was performed as previously described [1]. For RNAseq, two biological replicates per condition were used, and library preparation and sequencing carried out as previously described [46, 59]. RNAseq data was analyzed using the SPARTA program [46,59,71], and qRT-PCR was performed as previously described [46,59].
**Mtb growth assays**

All growth assays were performed using strains generated in the Mtb CDC1551 background. For standard growth assays, Mtb strains were grown in 10 ml of the indicated media in standing, filter-capped T25 flasks with the appropriate antibiotics as previously described [3]. Log-phase Mtb strains (OD$_{600}$ ~0.6) were used to inoculate cultures at a starting OD$_{600}$ = 0.05, and growth was tracked over time via OD$_{600}$ measurement. For the growth assay under hypoxia (1% O$_2$), log-phase Mtb strains grown in aerated conditions were used to inoculate cultures at a starting OD$_{600}$ = 0.05 in 35 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 media in filter capped 125 ml Erlenmeyer flasks. The flasks were placed within a hypoxia chamber with adjustable O$_2$ and CO$_2$ controls (Biospherix) set at 37˚C, 5% CO$_2$, and 1% O$_2$, with stirring via a magnetic stir bar at 45 rpm, and Mtb growth tracked over time via OD$_{600}$ measurement.

For isoniazid (INH) sensitivity assays, Mtb strains were grown in 10 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 media in standing, filter capped T25 flasks for 6 days at a starting OD$_{600}$ = 0.05. After 6 days of growth, 198 μl of Mtb culture were transferred per well of a 96-well plate, and incubated in the presence of 0 μM, 5 μM, or 50 μM INH for 24 hours at 37˚C, 5% CO$_2$. For determination of colony forming units (CFUs), strains were serially diluted in PBS + 0.05% tween 80 and plated for CFUs on 7H10 agar plates containing 100 μg/ml cycloheximide.

For NO growth arrest assays, log-phase Mtb cultures (OD$_{600}$ ~0.6) were passaged three times in filter-capped T75 flasks that were laid flat, in 12 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 media. After the third passage, Mtb strains were sub-cultured to OD$_{600}$ = 0.1 in 12 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 media, in filter capped T75 flasks laid flat. Mtb was then treated with 100 μM DETA NONOate (Cayman Chemicals) 4 times across 30 hours. Growth was tracked over time via OD$_{600}$ measurement.

**PrrA protein purification**

The sequence encoding C-terminally FLAG-tagged $prrA$ was cloned into the isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible pET-23a vector and transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) for recombinant expression and purification of $prrA$-FLAG. For expression, 2 ml of overnight *E. coli* cultures from frozen stock grown in 5 ml LB + 50 μg/ml carbenicillin at 37˚C was used to inoculate 1 L of 2x YT media + 50 μg/ml carbenicillin, and grown with shaking at 150 rpm until the culture reached an OD$_{600}$ of ~0.7. To induce production of PrrA-FLAG, 1 mM IPTG was added and the cultures grown for an additional 4 hours at 37˚C, 150 rpm. Afterwards, supernatants were removed and pellets were stored at -80˚C prior to further processing.

Purification of PrrA-FLAG protein was based on a previously described FLAG-tagged protein immunoprecipitation protocol [72]. Thawed pellets were resuspended in 35 ml FLAG immunoprecipitation buffer (FIB) (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and protease inhibitors (Pierce protease inhibitor tablet)). Resuspended cells were lysed by sonication (3 x 45 seconds at 40% amplitude, five minutes of rest on ice between each sonication), the insoluble fraction pelleted by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4˚C, and the cleared whole cell lysate removed into a new 50 ml conical tube. Cleared whole cell lysate was incubated with anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads (Sigma #M8223-5ML) at room temperature for one hour, with shaking at 50 rpm. The anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads were separated from the cleared whole cell lysate with a magnetic tube holder and beads were washed in 1 ml FIB buffer three times. After washing, anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads were incubated with 100 μg/ml 3x FLAG peptide (Sigma, #F4799-25MG) in FIB buffer + 10% glycerol for 30 minutes at room temperature. PrrA-FLAG protein was collected by separating the anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads with a magnetic tube holder, and separated PrrA-FLAG protein was aliquoted into a new microcentrifuge tube. PrrA-FLAG purification was confirmed by running samples on a 12% SDS-PAGE.
gel, visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining, and imaged using the 700 nm channel of an Odyssey CLx imaging system. A Bradford assay was performed to quantify protein concentration.

Western blot analysis

For detection of native PrrA in WT Mtb or basal levels of PrrA in the PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA and PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA strains, log-phase Mtb strains (OD$_{600}$ ~0.6) were sub-cultured to an OD$_{600}$ = 0.05 in 10 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 media with appropriate antibiotics in standing, filter-capped T25 flasks and grown for nine days at 37˚C, 5% CO$_2$ before lysate collection. For measurement of PrrA overproduction in the CDC1551(P$_1$::*prrA-FLAG-tetON, rv2390c*::luciferase) strain, log-phase Mtb (OD$_{600}$ ~0.6) was sub-cultured to an OD$_{600}$ = 0.05 in 10 ml 7H9, pH 7.0 media with appropriate antibiotics and grown for six days at 37˚C, 5% CO$_2$ before induction with 200 ng/ml ATC or 0.1% EtOH as a vehicle control for an additional 3 days prior to lysate collection. Lastly, for measurement of PrrA degradation with the PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA Mtb strain, cultures were grown for six days before addition of 0.1% EtOH or varying ATC concentrations for an additional two days prior to lysate collection.

For collection of cell lysates in all cases, Mtb cultures were normalized to the lowest OD$_{600}$ and washed twice with 1 ml wash buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 0.05% tween 80). Pellets were stored at -80˚C prior to further processing. Thawed pellets were resuspended in 900 μl lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 1% SDS, 5 mg/ml lysozyme, 5 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitors (Pierce protease inhibitor tablet)). Resuspended cells were transferred to 2 ml tubes containing 300 μl 0.1 mm silica beads and cells were lysed via bead beating (2 x 1 min, 6 m/s) with a MP Biomedicals Fastprep-24 system. Whole cell lysates were then transferred to new 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes and boiled at 100˚C for 15 minutes. After boiling, an appropriate amount of 4X loading buffer (LI-COR Biosciences) with β-mercaptoethanol was added to whole cell lysates followed by an additional 15 minutes of boiling at 100˚C.

For western blot analysis, samples were resolved on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to Millipore Immobilon-FL PVDF membranes. Membranes were blocked with LI-COR Odyssey buffer for 30 minutes at room temperatures and incubated with either mouse anti-Mtb GroEL2 (Clone IT-70 (DCA4), BEI Resources #NR-13657) at 1:2500, rabbit anti-PrrA (generous gift from Timothy Donohue, [73]) at 1:10,000, or mouse anti-FLAG (Sigma, #F3165-MG) at 1:1000 overnight at room temperature. Membranes were then washed 3 x 5 minutes with PBS + 0.1% tween 20 and incubated with goat anti-mouse IRDye 680CW at 1:5000 or goat anti-rabbit IRDye 680CW at 1:5000 (LI-COR) for one hour at room temperature, as appropriate. Blots were washed 3 x 5 minutes with PBS 0.1% tween 20, then rinsed with PBS, before visualization with a LI-COR Odyssey CLx imaging system. Image Studio software (LI-COR Biosciences) was used to quantify the signal intensity of protein bands.

Macrophage culture and infections

C57BL/6J mice (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were used for isolation of bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs). BMDMs were maintained in DMEM media containing 10% FBS, 15% L-cell conditioned media, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and penicillin/streptomycin when appropriate, in a 37˚C, 5% CO$_2$ incubator. BMDM infections with Mtb and subsequent enumeration of bacterial load in the macrophages were carried out as previously described [46]. To test how prrA knockdown affected Mtb colonization of BMDMs, 200 ng/ml ATC was added to the culture media two days post-infection and ATC was replenished every two days along with fresh culture media.
Mouse Mtb infections

C3HeB/FeJ mice (The Jackson Laboratory) were intranasally infected with $10^3$ CFUs of Mtb (35 μl volume) while under light anesthesia with 2% isoflurane [3,8,74]. For infections to test how prrA knockdown affected host colonization, mice were given water supplemented with 5% sucrose ± 1 mg/ml doxycycline beginning one week after infection for one additional week [58,59]. Water was replaced twice during the week of treatment. After mice were sacrificed with CO₂, the left lobe and accessory right lobe were homogenized in PBS + 0.05% tween 80, while the remaining right lobes were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. Homogenates were serially diluted and plated onto 7H10 agar plates containing 100 μg/ml cycloheximide for CFU quantification.

Accession numbers

RNA sequencing data have been deposited in the NCBI GEO database (GSE198997-GSE199000).

Supporting information

**S1 Fig. Inducible prrA overexpression system in Mtb.** (A) qRT-PCR of Mtb(P₁::prrA-FLAG-tetON) treated with 0.1% ethanol (EtOH) or 200 ng/ml ATC for 6 hours in 7H9, pH 7.0 media. Fold change compares the ATC to the EtOH treatment. sigA was used as the control gene, and data are shown as means ± SD from 3 technical replicates. (B) Mtb(P₁::prrA-FLAG-tetON) was exposed to 0.1% EtOH or 200 ng/ml ATC for 3 days. Cultures were then normalized to the lowest OD₆₀₀ and lysates analyzed by western blot. Membranes were blotted with either an anti-GroEL2 antibody as a loading control (top panel) or an anti-FLAG antibody (bottom panel). Purified recombinant PrrA-FLAG protein was used as a positive control. Blot is representative of 3 experiments. (TIF)

**S2 Fig. Perturbation of PrrA globally alters Mtb response to single environmental cues of pH or Cl⁻.** (A and B) prrA overexpression alters Mtb response to acidic pH. Mtb(P₁::prrA-FLAG-tetON, rv2390c::luciferase) was grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 media and treated with 0.1% EtOH or 200 ng/ml ATC for 2 hours, before exposure to 7H9, pH 7.0 or 7H9, pH 5.7 for four hours, in the continued presence of EtOH or ATC as appropriate. RNA was extracted for RNA sequencing (A) or qRT-PCR (B) analysis. In (A), log₂-fold change compares gene expression in the 7H9, pH 5.7 condition versus the 7H9, pH 7 control condition for each of the EtOH (“cont”) or ATC (“prrA OE”) treatment sets. Genes marked in red had a log₂-fold change difference ≥0.25 between the ATC and EtOH treatment sets (p<0.05, FDR<0.01 in both sets, with log₂-fold change ≥1 in the EtOH set). In (B), fold change compares gene expression in the pH 5.7 versus the control pH 7.0 condition for each of the EtOH (“control”) or ATC (“prrA OE”) treatment sets. sigA was used as the control gene, and data are shown as means ± SD from 3 technical replicates. p-values were obtained with an unpaired t-test. ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. (C and D) prrA overexpression alters Mtb response to high [Cl⁻]. Mtb(P₁::prrA-FLAG-tetON, rv2390c::luciferase) was grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 media and treated with 0.1% EtOH or 200 ng/ml ATC for 2 hours, before exposure to 7H9, pH 7.0 ± 250 mM NaCl for four hours, in the continued presence of EtOH or ATC as appropriate. RNA was extracted for RNA sequencing (C) or qRT-PCR (D) analysis. In (C), log₂-fold change compares genes expression in the 7H9, pH 7.0 + 250 mM NaCl condition versus the 7H9, pH 7.0 control condition for each EtOH (“cont”) or ATC (“prrA OE”) treatment sets. Genes are marked in red as in (A). In (D), fold change compares gene expression in the 250 mM NaCl...
versus the control pH 7.0 condition for each of the EtOH ("control") or ATC ("prrA OE") treatment sets. Data are shown as in (B). p-values were obtained with an unpaired t-test. N.S. not significant, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. prrA overexpression does not affect induction of potassium or iron-responsive Mtb reporters. (A) prrA is inducibly overexpressed in a Mtb strain carrying a chromosomal copy of an ATC-inducible prrA-FLAG construct. qRT-PCR of Mtb(P606::prrA-FLAG-tetON) treated with 0.1% EtOH or 200 ng/ml ATC for 6 hours in 7H9, pH 7.0 media. Fold change compares the ATC-treated condition to the EtOH control. sigA was used as the control gene, and data are shown as means ± SD from three technical replicates. (B) prrA overexpression does not affect Mtb response to low [K⁺]. Mtb(P606::prrA-FLAG-tetON, kdpF::GFP) was treated with 0.1% EtOH ("cont") or 200 ng/ml ATC ("prrA OE") for 24 hours before exposure to 7H9, pH 7.0 or K⁺-free 7H9, pH 7.0 media for 9 days. EtOH or ATC was maintained as appropriate throughout the exposure. Reporter GFP fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry, and fold induction is in comparison to the control 7H9, pH 7.0 condition. Data are shown as means ± SD from three experiments. p-value was obtained with an unpaired t-test. N.S. not significant. (C) prrA overexpression does not affect Mtb response to low iron. Mtb (P606::prrA-FLAG-tetON, mbtB::GFP) was treated with 0.1% EtOH ("cont") or 200 ng/ml ATC ("prrA OE") for 24 hours before exposure to iron-depleted media + 150 μM Fe(NO₃)₃ (iron-replete) or iron-depleted media + 100 μM 2,2'-dipyridyl (an iron chelator) for 9 days. EtOH or ATC was maintained as appropriate throughout the exposure. Reporter GFP fluorescence was analyzed and data presented as in (B), with fold induction in comparison to the iron-replete condition. p-value was obtained with an unpaired t-test. N.S. not significant.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. prrAB expression is largely unchanged under various environmental conditions. WT Mtb was exposed to each indicated environmental condition or 7H9, pH 7.0 (control) for 4 hours. qRT-PCR data is shown, with fold change comparing each environmental condition to the 7H9, pH 7.0 control condition except for the "1% oxygen" condition, where fold change is in comparison to the aerated 7H9, pH 7.0 condition at the 0 hour time point. sigA was used as the control gene, and data are shown as means ± SD from three technical replicates.

(TIF)

S5 Fig. Histidine kinase phosphorylation of PrrA is essential in Mtb. Allelic exchange of Mtb strains carrying two copies of prrA ("PrrA-DUC") or one copy of prrA ("PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA") was attempted, to replace the WT PrrA-DUC copy with a copy containing the PrrA-D61A variant. Only when the native copy of prrA was present were colonies obtained (left panel).

(TIF)

S6 Fig. Transcript and protein levels of PrrA are similar in the PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA and PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA strains. (A) shows qRT-PCR of PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA and PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA Mtb grown in 7H9, pH 7.0 media for 4 hours. Fold change compares prrA transcript levels in PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA to the PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA strain. sigA was used as the control gene, and data are shown as means ± SD from 3 technical replicates. (B) shows western blot analysis of PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA ("WT") and PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA ("T6A") grown in 7H9 pH, 7.0 media for 9 days, before culture was normalized to the lowest OD₆₀₀ and lysates prepared. Membranes were blotted with either an anti-GroEL2 antibody as a loading control (top panel) or an anti-FLAG antibody (bottom panel).

(TIF)
S7 Fig. Blocking STPK phosphorylation of PrrA increases Mtb growth rate across different environments in vitro. PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA ("PrrA-WT") and PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA ("PrrA-T6A") were grown in (A) 7H9, pH 7.0 or 7H9, pH 5.7, (B) 7H9, pH 7.0 ± 250 mM NaCl, or (C) in 1% O₂ ("hypoxia"), and growth tracked over time. Data are shown as means ± SD from 3 experiments. p-values were obtained with an unpaired t-test, comparing PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA to PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA within each condition. In (A) and (B), * symbols indicate p-values for the untreated conditions, while # symbols indicate p-values for the pH 5.7 or 250 mM NaCl conditions. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001.

(TIF)

S8 Fig. Similar inoculum of Mtb strains used in the macrophage infection. PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA ("WT") and PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA ("T6A") Mtb input preparations for macrophage infection were plated for colony forming units (CFUs) determination. Data are shown as means ± SD from three experiments. p-value was obtained with an unpaired t-test. N.S. not significant.

(TIF)

S1 Table. Results of CDC1551(rv2390c':luciferase) transcription factor overexpression pH/Cl− screen.

(XLSX)

S2 Table. Comparison of effect of prrA overexpression on genes differentially expressed log₂-fold change ≥1 in the EtOH treatment set, after 4 hours exposure to pH 5.7/250 mM NaCl.

(XLSX)

S3 Table. Comparison of effect of prrA overexpression on genes differentially expressed log₂-fold change ≥1 in the EtOH treatment set, after 4 hours exposure to pH 5.7.

(XLSX)

S4 Table. Comparison of effect of prrA overexpression on genes differentially expressed log₂-fold change ≥1 in the EtOH treatment set, after 4 hours exposure to 250 mM NaCl.

(XLSX)

S5 Table. Comparison of effect of prrA overexpression on genes differentially expressed log₂-fold change ≥1 in the EtOH treatment set, after 4 hours exposure to 100 µM DETA NONOate.

(XLSX)

S6 Table. Differentially expressed genes (log₂-fold change ≥1, p<0.05) in PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA ("T6A") versus PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA ("WT") in 7H9, pH 7.0.

(XLSX)

S7 Table. Comparison of effect of PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA ("T6A") versus PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA on genes differentially expressed log₂-fold change ≥1 in the WT and PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA sets, after 4 hours exposure to pH 5.7/250 mM NaCl.

(XLSX)

S8 Table. Comparison of effect of PrrA-T6A-DUC/ΔprrA ("T6A") versus PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA on genes differentially expressed log₂-fold change ≥1 in the WT and PrrA-DUC/ΔprrA sets, after 4 hours exposure to 100 µM DETA NONOate.

(XLSX)
S9 Table. Strains generated in this study.
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