Ire1 Mediated mRNA Splicing in a C-Terminus Deletion Mutant of Drosophila Xbp1
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Abstract

The Unfolded Protein Response is a homeostatic mechanism that permits eukaryotic cells to cope with Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) stress caused by excessive accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER lumen. The more conserved branch of the UPR relies on an ER transmembrane enzyme, Ire1, which, upon ER stress, promotes the unconventional splicing of a small intron from the mRNA encoding the translation factor Xbp1. In mammals, two specific regions (the hydrophobic region 2 - HR2 - and the C-terminal translational pausing site) present in the Xbp1unspliced protein mediate the recruitment of the Xbp1 mRNA-ribosome-nascent chain complex to the ER membrane, so that Xbp1 mRNA can be spliced by Ire1. Here, we generated a Drosophila Xbp1 deletion mutant (Excision101) lacking both HR2 and C-terminal region, but not the Ire1 splicing site. We show that Ire1-dependent splicing of Xbp1 mRNA is reduced, but not abolished in Excision101. Our results suggest the existence of additional mechanisms for ER membrane targeting of Xbp1 mRNA that are independent of the C-terminal domain of Drosophila Xbp1unspliced.
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Introduction

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is a membranous organelle where proteins targeted for secretion or for the plasma membrane are folded and processed. Physiological conditions that impose large amounts of proteins in the ER, as for example, the production of insulin by pancreatic β-cells, may represent a challenge to the ER folding capacity [1]. The Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) is a homeostatic mechanism that attempts to balance the load of incoming proteins into the ER to its folding capacity, to avoid the accumulation of toxic misfolded proteins, which otherwise would cause ER stress [2,3].

In higher eukaryotes, the UPR has three signaling branches triggered by different ER transmembrane proteins: protein kinase (PKR)-like ER kinase (Perk), activating transcription factor 6 (Atf6) and Inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (Ire1). Ire1 is conserved across all eukaryotes, presenting a luminal domain that detects the accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER lumen, and a cytoplasmic domain with kinase and RNase activities that trigger downstream signaling [4,5,6,7,8]. During ER stress, Ire1 is activated and catalyzes the unconventional splicing of an intron from X-box binding protein 1 (Xbp1) mRNA (in vertebrates, C. elegans and Drosophila) or from its functional yeast (S. cerevisiae) homolog Hac1 [9,10,11,12,13,14,15]. This Ire1 mediated unconventional splicing event causes a frameshift during the translation of Xbp1 mRNA that introduces a new C-terminus with a potent trans-activation domain, generating an effective transcription factor [11,13]. Xbp1unspliced enhances the expression of genes encoding ER chaperones, enzymes, and the ER protein degradation machinery [16,17,18]. Xbp1unspliced mRNA is translated into an unstable antagonist of Xbp1spliced and ATF6 signaling [19].

In addition to splicing of Xbp1 mRNA, Ire1 also cleaves a variety of mRNAs, mostly encoding proteins with signal peptide/transmembrane domains that would represent an additional challenge to the ER folding machinery under ER stress [20]. This mechanism was named RIDD (Regulated Ire1 Dependent Decay) and was also described in mammalian cells and in the fission yeast S. pombe (which lacks any Hac1/Xbp1 homologue) [21,22,23]. RIDD seems to be particularly important in cells undergoing very strong ER stress [24,25].

The mechanism of targeting of a specific mRNA to RIDD seems to rely mostly on the existence of a signal peptide in its respective protein; the deletion of the signal peptide from known RIDD targets prevents their degradation and conversely, addition of a signal peptide to GFP is sufficient to promote the degradation of its mRNA by RIDD [26,27]. One interesting exception is the mRNA encoding Smt3, a homologue of SUMO (small ubiquitin-like modifier), which is cleaved by RIDD although it does not have a signal peptide in its sequence [28].

Xbp1 also does not have a signal peptide in its sequence and the mechanism of recruitment of the Xbp1 mRNA to the ER membrane (and Ire1) is still unclear. Moreover, it seems that the mechanisms of recruitment to the ER membrane of Xbp1 mRNA in mammals and Hac1 mRNA in yeast are quite different. In yeast
In contrast, ER membrane localization of mammalian Xbp1 is independent of the 3' untranslated region of Xbp1 [30]. Instead, the mRNA of Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\) is translated under normal conditions and originates a polypeptide that associates with the membrane of the ER through two hydrophobic regions (the N terminal hydrophobic region 1–HR1 and the C-terminal hydrophobic region 2–HR2) [30,31] (Figure 1). The HR2 is a conserved region predicted to form a β-helix that has the propensity to interact with the lipid membrane [30]. Presumably, upon translation of Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\), the HR2 on the nascent polypeptide associates with the ER membrane and brings the Xbp1 mRNA-ribosome-nascent chain complex to the vicinity of Ire1, facilitating Ire1-mediated splicing. Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\) lacks HR2 due to the frameshift that occurs upon Ire1-mediated splicing event and localizes predominantly in the nucleus, where it is active as transcription factor.

In addition to HR1 and HR2, there is another motif in Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\) that is also important for proper localization of Xbp1 mRNA at the ER membrane. The C-terminal region (CTR) of Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\) is essential for translational pausing, just when HR2 is protruding from the ribosome exit tunnel [31] (Figure 1). Presumably, pausing of translation stabilizes the Xbp1 mRNA-ribosome-nascent chain complex in the vicinity of the ER membrane, giving the opportunity for activated Ire1 to cleave Xbp1 mRNA [31].

In Drosophila, a Xbp1-EGFP ER stress reporter lacking the HR2 and CTR of Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\) was found to be activated under a variety of ER stress stimuli, including some specific physiological conditions during development, by the addition of ER stress-inducing drugs to tissues and cells or by using mutations that cause the accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER [14,32,33,34,35,36]. In these studies Xbp1-EGFP was expressed with the UAS/GAL4 system [37], but GFP is only observed upon the Ire1-dependent splicing of the Xbp1 intron present in the reporter. A modified “high gain” version of Xbp1-EGFP, where HR2, CTR and the 3' UTR of Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\) were included in the reporter, greatly increased the reporter sensitivity and GFP expression upon ER stress [38]. However, in all these cases, and due to the nature of the UAS/GAL4 system, Xbp1-EGFP was likely to be over-expressed in tissues or cells, which may overload the mechanisms regulating Xbp1 mRNA targeting to the ER membrane. Hence, the question whether or not the HR2 and the CTR of Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\) are required for the targeting of Xbp1 mRNA to the ER membrane was not yet directly addressed in Drosophila. Here, we generated a deletion mutant (Excision101) of Drosophila Xbp1, lacking HR2 and CTR, but not the Ire1 splicing site. The transcription of Xbp1 mRNA in Excision101 is still at normal levels, since the upstream regulatory regions were not deleted in this mutant. We found that Ire1-dependent splicing of Xbp1 mRNA is reduced in Excision101, but not completely abolished. Our results suggest the existence of additional mechanisms for ER membrane targeting of Xbp1 mRNA that are independent of the C-terminal domain of Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\).

**Methods**

Drosophila genetics and molecular biology

Flies and crosses were raised with standard cornmeal food, at 25°C. Excision101 was generated by crossing the homozygous viable line carrying the transposon P\{SUP\}or-P\{CG9418\}\_K05183 with A23 transposase. Males with mosaic orange eyes were selected and crossed with double balancer females. White eyed male progeny were tested for lethality complementation with P\{lacW\}xbp1\_10305.

Excision101 was balanced with CyO-GFP to collect homozygous mutant and heterozygote control larvae. Cages to collect larvae were set on apple juice plates and maintained at 25°C. For tunicamycin feeding experiments, 24 h. after egg laying larvae were treated with 500 μg/ml of tunicamycin for 3 h. Animals were collected 3 h. after tunicamycin treatment.

![Figure 1. Recruitment of Xbp1 mRNA to the ER membrane in mammalian cells. XBP1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\) originates translational splicing through its C-terminal region. The hydrophobic regions (HR1 and HR2) in XBP1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\) target the XBP1 unspliced mRNA/ribosome/nascent chain complex to the ER membrane, giving the opportunity for Ire1 to splice Xbp1 mRNA. Adapted from [31].](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105588.g001)

![Figure 2. Conservation of HR2 and C-terminal region of Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\). (A) Kyte and Doolittle hydrophobicity plot of Drosophila Xbp1\(^{\text{unspliced}}\), indicating the existence of two hydrophobic regions, HR1 and HR2. The horizontal red line indicates a score of 1.8. (B) Local amino acid sequence alignment of HR2 using several species. Amino acids that are fully, strongly or weakly conserved are indicated. The hydrophobicity of each amino acid is indicated by a color code. (C) Local amino acid sequence alignment of the C-terminal translational pausing region. Amino acids that are fully, strongly or weakly conserved are indicated.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105588.g002)
were exposed to yeast paste food with/without tunicamycin (5 µg/mL) for 6–8 hours and collected for RNA extraction.

Genomic DNA was isolated from larvae or flies using the High Pure PCR Template Preparation kit (Roche). Samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and macerated with a motorized pestle in lysis buffer. The limits of Excision101 were determined by PCR and sequencing with the primers 5' AGT GAC GTT GCT TGG CTG AGT GAC and 5' GCA GCA CAA CACCAG ATG C.

Total RNA was extracted with High Pure RNA Tissue kit (Roche) and used to synthesize cDNA with random hexamers (RevertAid First Strand kit - Thermo/Fermentas). Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) analysis was performed on the cDNA obtained using SSoFast EvaGreen Supermix and a Bio-Rad Cfx-96 detection system. All samples were analyzed in triplicates and from 3 independent RNA extractions. For each sample, the levels of mRNAs were normalized using rp49 as a loading control. PCR primers were: rp49 (Fwd: 5' AGA TCG TGA AGA AGC GCA CCA AGC, Rev: 5' GCA CCA GGA ACTT CTT GAA TCC GG), Xbp1 (Fwd: 5' CAT CAA CGA GCT ACT GCT GGC CAA G, Rev: 3' CGC TGA CGA CTG TGT GTC C), Pdi (Fwd: 5' TCA TCG AGA GTC CTG TCC AGG TTG, Rev: 5' AAC ACC TCC TTT CCC AGG AGC TG).

**Figure 3. Excision101 originates a truncated Xbp1 mRNA that is spliced by Ire1.** (A) Schematic representation of genomic region around Xbp1, with the localization of Excision101 deletion and the PCR primers Fwd1, Rev1, Rev2 and Rev3. In Excision101, the breakpoint in P{SUPor-P}CG9418G05183 is 5' GAA TTA CCT TGT AGT TGA TAT TTG AGA T (following the leading strand of Xbp1). (B) Schematic representation of the Xbp1\(\text{unspliced}\) and Xbp1\(\text{spliced}\) proteins in "wild-type" and in Excision101. PstI has a cleavage site in the intron spliced by Ire1. HR1: hydrophobic region 1, HR2: hydrophobic region 2. (C) Quantitative RT-PCR for total Xbp1 mRNA levels in larvae homozygous for Excision101 or heterozygous Excision101/Cyo-GFP, using the primers for Xbp1, Fwd1 and Rev3. Control Excision101/Cyo is set as 1, with the homozygous Excision101 Xbp1 mRNA levels indicated as a mean ± standard deviation (a.u. - arbitrary units). (D) Agarose gel electrophoresis of RT-PCR products specific for Excision101 or Cyo control chromosome after digestion with PstI restriction enzyme. PCR product specific for the Xbp1\(\text{unspliced}\) form is cleaved by PstI, while Xbp1\(\text{spliced}\) form is resistant to PstI digestion (because the PstI is in the intron that is spliced by Ire1). A positive control using genomic DNA is fully digested by PstI. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105588.g003
Results and Discussion

HR2 and CTR are conserved in Drosophila Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced}

The mechanism of activation of Xbp1 by Ire1-mediated unconventional splicing of Xbp1 mRNA exists in Drosophila [14,15,32], as well as RIDD, which was first described in Drosophila S2 cells [20]. Moreover, the main UPR components, including Ire1, ATF6 and Perk, have homologs in Drosophila with high conservation scores [40]. To elucidate if the mechanism of Xbp1 mRNA transport to the ER surface in Drosophila is conserved with mammals, we started by performing Kyte and Doolittle hydrophobicity analysis, which indicated the existence of a highly hydrophobic region in the carboxyl end of the Drosophila Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} protein, corresponding to the HR2 (Figure 2A). Moreover, the Drosophila Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} protein has, within HR2, several amino acid residues that are fully or strongly conserved with human and other vertebrate species (Figure 2B).

In humans, the L246A and W256A mutations in the C-terminal domain of Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} abrogated translational pausing [31]. These Leucine and Tryptophan residues are conserved in humans, mice, zebrafish, Xenopus (frog) and Drosophila, further supporting the physiological role of these amino acid residues in translational pausing (Figure 2C). An S255-to-A255 mutation was previously reported to increase translational pausing in human Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} [31]. Interestingly, in Drosophila this position is occupied by an Alanine residue instead of the Serine, which is found in all other species (Figure 2C), which suggests that translational pausing should occur in Drosophila Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} with high efficiency.

Figure 5. Excision101 homozygous larvae have impaired UPR activation. (A) Picture of 3-day old Excision101 homozygous larva and heterozygous Excision101/CyO-GFP sibling control. Excision101 homozygous larva arrest development during first instar stage. (B) Quantitative RT-PCR for Bip and Pdi mRNA levels in Excision101 homozygous or control heterozygous Excision101/CyO-GFP larvae treated with/without tunicamycin food. Induction of Bip and Pdi upon tunicamycin treatment is impaired in Excision101 homozygous larva. mRNA levels are indicated as a mean +/- standard deviation (a.u. - arbitrary units).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105588.g005

and BiP (Fwd: 5’TGT CAC CGA TCT GGT TCT TCA GGC, Rev: 5’GTC CCA TGA CCA AGG ACA ACC ATC).

Analysis of Xbp1 mRNA splicing by PstI digestion and sequencing

Splicing of Xbp1 mRNA was accessed by restriction analysis with PstI of a fragment containing Ire1 splice site as described in [39]. Excision101/CyO heterozygous larvae were dissected in PBS and brains and eye imaginal discs were cultured in Schneider’s medium with or without 5 mM DTT for 5 hr. Total RNA was extracted with High Pure RNA Tissue kit (Roche) and used to synthesize cDNA with random hexamers (RevertAid First Strand kit - Thermo/Fermentas). Fragments flanking Ire1 splicing site were amplified using specific primers for the CyO chromosome (Fwd1 and Rev1) or Excision101 (Fwd1 and Rev2). PCR amplification fragments were digested with PstI overnight. Primers sequence: Fwd1: 5’CAT CAA CGA GTC ACT GGT GCG CAA, Rev1: 5’GTC TGC TGT GAT ATC TGC GAG CAG AC, Rev2: 5’CTG GTT AAT GCA GCT CTG CGA AGC C3’. For DNA sequencing, the CyO chromosome or Excision101 specific PCR products were cloned in pJet (Thermo/Fermentas). Single colonies were grown for plasmid mini-preparation (NZY Tech) and DNA sequencing was performed by Stab Vida using as sequencing primers Fwd1 and Rev1 or Rev2.
In a first assay, we were able to distinguish the mRNA of the Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} form from the Xbp1\textsuperscript{spliced} form by digestion with the restriction enzyme PstI of a cDNA/PCR-amplified fragment containing the Ire1 splicing site. A PstI restriction site is present in the Xbp1 intron that is removed by Ire1, and consequently PstI only cuts the fragment corresponding to the Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} form. Heterozygous Excision101/Cyo third instar larvae were dissected (cut in half) and treated with 5 mM DTT (dithiothreitol, in PBS or Schneider cells medium) for 5 hours, to activate Ire1. Total RNA was extracted and, after cDNA synthesis, PCR products were generated with primers that allow the specific amplification from Excision101 or the CyO (balance chromosome that serves as “wild-type” control) alleles (Figure 3A, D). The Excision101-specific fragment was mostly digested with PstI, although a faint PstI-resistant band, corresponding to the Xbp1\textsuperscript{spliced} band, was also observed. So most of the Excision101-specific mRNA is not cleaved by Ire1 and remains in the Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} form, even after 5 hours of DTT treatment. In the CyO-specific fragment, the PstI-resistant band (Xbp1\textsuperscript{spliced}) is more prominent, indicating that more Xbp1 mRNA is spliced by Ire1 in this case. A positive control using genomic DNA was fully digested by PstI, indicating that the observed PstI-resistant fragments should not be due to incomplete digestion (Figure 3D).

In a second approach, we sequenced plasmids from several single colonies that were obtained after cloning of the Excision101 or CyO specific PCR fragments, to confirm whether or not the intron was present. In the CyO control, approximately 40% of the colonies (15 out of 37) corresponded to the Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} form (and 60% to the Xbp1\textsuperscript{spliced} form). In Excision101 approximately 23% of the colonies (9 out of 38) corresponded to the Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} form (and 77% to the Xbp1\textsuperscript{spliced} form), which represents a reduction in the amount of Xbp1\textsuperscript{spliced} form, in comparison with the CyO control (Figure 4). These results indicate that the elements deleted from the 3’region of Xbp1 in Excision101, such as HR2 and CTR, are important for Ire1 mediated splicing of Xbp1 mRNA. Nevertheless, around 23% of the colonies obtained from Excision101 amplification corresponded to the Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} form, which demonstrates that Xbp1 splicing still occurs, even in the absence of HR2 and translational pausing. It is possible that the HR1 of Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced}, which is still present in Excision101, is sufficient to promote the association of Xbp1 mRNA with the ER membrane.

Finally, we tested the susceptibility of Excision101 homozygous larvae upon treatment with tunicamycin, an ER stress-inducing drug. Larvae homozygous for Excision101 arrest development during the first instar stage and die 2 to 3 days after egg laying, while similarly aged heterozygous Excision101/Cyo-GFP sibling control larvae develop normally (Figure 5A). In fact, lethality of Excision101 homozygous larva occurs at the same stage than larva homozygous for Excision 250, a previously described total deletion of Xbp1 [24], suggesting that Xbp1\textsuperscript{spliced} from Excision101 does retain little or no activity. This is expected, since the C-terminal half of Xbp1\textsuperscript{spliced} is deleted in Excision101. We exposed larva to yeast paste food containing tunicamycin and assayed for induction of ER stress markers (BiP and Pdi) by real time RT-PCR (Figure 5B). As expected, the induction of ER stress markers is compromised in organisms homozygous for Excision101.

The features of C-terminal region in Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced} protein, including the high hydrophobicity profile and critical amino acid residues to translational pausing, are shared between vertebrates and invertebrates, suggesting that the mechanism to target Xbp1 mRNA to the ER membrane described in humans is conserved across metazoaos. This terminal hydrophobic region 2 is predicted to form an alpha helix [30], which is important for the association with lipid bilayers, dragging the mRNA-ribosome-nascent polypeptide complex to the ER membrane. Using an Xbp1 mutant lacking the C-terminal region, we investigated the mechanism underlying the targeting of Xbp1 mRNA to the ER membrane in Drosophila. We found the lack of the C-terminal region decreases the splicing efficiency of Xbp1 mRNA, but it does not abolish splicing completely. Our results suggest the existence of additional mechanisms for ER membrane targeting of Xbp1 mRNA that are independent of the C-terminal domain of Drosophila Xbp1\textsuperscript{unspliced}.
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