A method for confirming a third-party assay of I-125 seeds used for prostate implants
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Abstract
The purpose of this work is to describe a method and apparatus that can be used to confirm the source strength of a large number of I-125 seeds while maintaining sterility, accuracy, reproducibility, and time efficiency. Source strengths ranging from 0.395 to 0.504 U/seed were available for this study. Three different seed configurations were measured: loose, linked, and loaded needles. A third-party 10% assay (NIST traceable) was provided. A custom stand was built out of aluminum to hold an exposure meter [Inovision (Fluke) 451P pressurized ion chamber] at 25 cm above the I-125 sources to measure the exposure rate. The measurements were made in an operating room, and a sterile sheet was placed under the nonsterile aluminum stand on a sterile loading table. Seeds and needles were placed in a sterile tray for these measurements. Two hundred and six loose seeds in six batches (0.395, 0.395, 0.409, 0.444, 0.444, and 0.444 U/seed) and 1434 seeds in 10 batches containing various strands (0.444, 0.444, 0.444, 0.444, 0.444, 0.466, 0.466, 0.504, and 0.504 U/seed) were measured. For the loose and stranded seeds, the average exposure rate per unit activity was measured to be 0.589 mR/h/C1 U with a standard deviation of 0.017. Loaded needles were measured with an average exposure rate per unit activity to be 0.269 mR/h/U with a standard deviation of 0.014. We conclude that the method described here is capable of confirming a third-party assay when performed on a large number of loose or stranded seeds in bulk. It is less reliable for preloaded needles.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The American Cancer Society estimates that there will be 220,800 new cases of prostate cancer in the US during 2015,1 making prostate cancer second in prevalence to skin cancer in men. A widely used treatment method for prostate cancer is the use of radioactive seed implants. In order for the prostate carcinoma to be treated accurately, it is important that the activity of these seeds be known with reasonable precision. There have been many recommendations by American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM) task groups on the accuracy of seed calibration. The AAPM TG-40,2 TG-56,3 and TG-644 all recommend that at least 10% of the I-125 seeds have their activity verified against the manufacturers measurements, and if there is a discrepancy above 5% then it needs to be reported to the manufacturer. The task...
groups do not explicitly specify who should perform the assay of 10% of the seeds. This has led to “third-party assays”, a practice explicitly allowed by NRC agreement states.

In 2008, the Low Energy Brachytherapy Source Calibration Working Group set out to clarify the recommendations by TG-40, TG-50, and TG-64. This report says “Third party assays do not absolve the institutional physicist from the responsibility to perform the institutional measurement and attest to the strength of the implanted sources”. The American College of Radiology (ACR) Technical Standard for the Performance of Low-Dose-Rate Brachytherapy Physics also states that each facility should have the instrumentation and methods for verifying source strength in brachytherapy. This does not mean that the third-party assay should not be used, but rather that the medical physicist is also responsible for verifying the activity of the seeds as defined in the previously cited Task Group reports.

In our practice, both loose and stranded seeds are used roughly in the ratio of 1:5. Both types are supplied sterile and opened in the OR on a sterile loading platform behind a clear lead block shield (Capintec, Inc., Ramsey, NJ) In order for us and others doing OR planning and sterile needle loading, a method is needed for confirming the manufacturer’s stated activity. Two general solutions have been proposed. One is to order extra seeds from the same lot as those to be used in the implant and then assay them. Another way is to assay the seeds in sterile packaging using a calibrated well chamber or an imaging plate. Both solutions have limitations. The extra seeds may or may not be representative of those in the implant, especially for the stranded product. The use of the well chamber or imaging plate limits the number of seeds to be assessed (15) at any one time. The purpose of this work is to introduce a method and apparatus that can be used to confirm the source strength of 100% of I-125 seeds while maintaining sterility, accuracy, reproducibility, and time efficiency. The proposed method is not meant to replace the use of the local 10% assay for those who prefer that approach, but rather to provide confidence in a third-party assay.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

The I-125 seeds used in this study (STM 1251; Bard Medical Division, Covington, GA, USA) were available as loose seeds (50 in a sterile glass vial) or linked in three, four, or five seed configurations (180 in a sterile metal case). Our standing order calls for 0.499 U/seed on the reference date. A third-party 10% assay (NIST traceable) is provided. Since we bank seeds for future use, source strengths ranging from 0.395 to 0.504 U were available for this study (Table 1).

A custom stand was built out of aluminum to hold an exposure meter (Inovision (Fluke) 451P pressurized ion chamber; Fluke Biomedical, Cleveland, OH, USA) at 25 cm above the I-125 sources to measure the exposure rate (Fig. 1). The meter is calibrated annually by our Radiation Safety group. We experimented with two different heights, 15 cm and 25 cm. The 25-cm arrangement was less sensitive to the seed tray position and still easily readable and so was chosen for this work. The height of 25 cm represents the distance from the bottom of the exposure meter to the table surface. We measured three different seed configurations: Loose (6 batches), linked (9 batches), and loaded needles (10 batches) (Bard FastFil Seed Implant Needle). This made a total of 206 loose seeds and 1424 stranded seeds that were assayed, and a total of 224 seeds in loaded needles that were assayed. The stranded seeds are packaged by the manufacturer in a rectangle metal tray containing 180 seeds (Fig. 1). For these measurements, the metal lid was removed, but the plastic cover that maintains sterility was left in place. Loose seeds were placed on a sterile loading tray in no particular configuration for assay, but arranged so as not to produce self-shielding. Loaded needles were also measured in a sterile tray (Fig. 2). The legs of one side of the stand were machined so that the tray holding either the loose seeds, stranded seeds, or loaded needles could only slide under the exposure meter from one side and stopping them from sliding in too far. The tray position under the meter was adjusted slightly until a maximum reading was observed. Readings stabilized within 2–3 s and typically only three or four tray positions were required to find the maximum value.

One of the goals of this study was to be able to measure the activity of the seeds in the operating room while maintaining the sterility of the seeds. In order to maintain sterility of the seeds as well as the needle-loading table, a sterile sheet was used under the nonsterile aluminum stand (Fig. 1). Seeds and needles were placed in a sterile tray for these measurements (Fig. 1).

Because intraoperative treatment planning is used in our institution, the loose and stranded seed activity can be measured and

| Source type | Number of sources | Activity per source (U) | Total batch activity (U) |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Stranded    | 180              | 0.504                   | 90.72                   |
| Stranded    | 180              | 0.504                   | 90.72                   |
| Stranded    | 180              | 0.444                   | 79.92                   |
| Stranded    | 172              | 0.444                   | 76.368                  |
| Stranded    | 166              | 0.444                   | 73.704                  |
| Stranded    | 154              | 0.466                   | 71.764                  |
| Stranded    | 144              | 0.444                   | 63.936                  |
| Stranded    | 56               | 0.466                   | 26.096                  |
| Stranded    | 22               | 0.444                   | 9.768                   |
| Loose       | 64               | 0.444                   | 28.416                  |
| Loose       | 49               | 0.444                   | 21.756                  |
| Loose       | 40               | 0.395                   | 15.8                    |
| Loose       | 24               | 0.409                   | 9.816                   |
| Loose       | 21               | 0.444                   | 9.324                   |
| Loose       | 8                | 0.395                   | 3.16                    |

Table 1 The third column, activity per source (U), was calculated based on the current institutional standing order for 0.499 U/seed on a Sunday reference date. The total batch activity (U) was calculated by multiplying the number of sources by the activity per source.
verified before needle loading. However, some institutions use a preplan technique, and then order preloaded needles based on their preplan. Because of this, we also measured loaded needles in order to assess the usefulness of this method (Fig. 2). As previously mentioned, the loaded needles were placed onto a sterile tray, but otherwise the activity of the seeds in the needles were assayed in the same way as the loose and stranded seeds (Fig. 2). Various needles with differing seeds per needle were measured at the same time to determine if this had any effect on the seed measurement accuracy (Table 2).

The measured exposure rate in mR per hour was corrected using the calibration factor from Radiation Safety. The total activity was...
simply the product of the number of seeds in the measuring tray and the average decayed activity per seed from the third-party assay.

The whole process of setting up the aluminum stand with the exposure meter on a sterile sheet takes only a few minutes. Exposure measurements can be done in 20–30 sec per tray of seeds. In most instances, we performed the measurements in between cases while the room was being cleaned and prepared so as not to delay the next implant procedure.

**Table 3** Column four contains the reading from the exposure meter, and from this measurement the normalized exposure rate was calculated (column five).

| Source type | Number of sources | Activity per source (U) | Reading (mR/h) | Normalized exposure rate (mR/h U) |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Stranded    | 180               | 0.504                   | 55            | 0.606                            |
| Stranded    | 180               | 0.504                   | 55            | 0.606                            |
| Stranded    | 180               | 0.444                   | 46            | 0.576                            |
| Stranded    | 172               | 0.444                   | 44            | 0.576                            |
| Stranded    | 166               | 0.444                   | 44.5          | 0.604                            |
| Stranded    | 154               | 0.466                   | 42            | 0.585                            |
| Stranded    | 144               | 0.444                   | 36            | 0.563                            |
| Stranded    | 56                | 0.466                   | 16            | 0.613                            |
| Stranded    | 22                | 0.444                   | 5.9           | 0.604                            |
| Loose       | 64                | 0.444                   | 17            | 0.598                            |
| Loose       | 49                | 0.444                   | 12.9          | 0.593                            |
| Loose       | 40                | 0.395                   | 9.4           | 0.595                            |
| Loose       | 24                | 0.409                   | 5.9           | 0.601                            |
| Loose       | 21                | 0.444                   | 5.4           | 0.579                            |
| Loose       | 8                 | 0.395                   | 1.8           | 0.570                            |

**Table 4** Column four contains the reading from the exposure meter, and from this measurement the normalized exposure rate was calculated (column five).

| Source type      | Number of sources | Activity per source (U) | Reading (mR/h) | Normalized exposure rate (mR/h U) |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Loaded needles   | 30                | 0.444                   | 3.7           | 0.278                            |
| Loaded needles   | 30                | 0.466                   | 3.5           | 0.282                            |
| Loaded needles   | 27                | 0.466                   | 3.2           | 0.282                            |
| Loaded needles   | 24                | 0.444                   | 2.8           | 0.250                            |
| Loaded needles   | 24                | 0.466                   | 2.8           | 0.255                            |
| Loaded needles   | 22                | 0.444                   | 2.4           | 0.250                            |
| Loaded needles   | 21                | 0.466                   | 2.5           | 0.254                            |
| Loaded needles   | 18                | 0.466                   | 2.1           | 0.250                            |
| Loaded needles   | 16                | 0.444                   | 2             | 0.263                            |
| Loaded needles   | 12                | 0.444                   | 1.5           | 0.246                            |

**Fig. 3.** The normalized exposure rate is plotted for all measurements made on loose and stranded seed batches. The two dashed lines represent the 5% difference from the average normalized exposure rate (thick black line).
0.014. For the loaded needles, only 6 of the 10 normalized exposure rate measurements fall within 5% of the average normalized exposure rate (Fig. 4).

4 | DISCUSSION

Ideally, 100% of the radioactive sources used in an implant should be assayed by the end user. However, due to the large number of seeds typically implanted for prostate cancer, a compromise of 10% has been deemed acceptable. The 10% assay may be performed legally by a third party. Besides the obvious convenience, this also provides measurements of seeds from the same batch as are used in manufacturing the stranded product. Nevertheless, good practice demands that the end user confirm the source activity. We are proposing a method that does that for 100% of the sources, in a timely way, under sterile conditions, for both loose and stranded sources without repeating the third-party seed by seed dosimetry.

The method we are proposing was designed for a busy practice like ours where confirming measurements could be made in the operating room. Sterility is maintained so that the assay can be done in a procedure/operating room. Equipment setup time is short (2 or 3 min) and the measurements take only a few seconds each. Various seed configurations can be easily accommodated. We recognize that the sensitivity of the method (5%) might be of concern. However, in our experience, assaying single low-activity seeds used for prostate implants with a calibrated system consisting of a Standard Imaging HDR Plus well chamber and a CNMC K602 electrometer operating on the most sensitive scale has a comparable uncertainty. Methods for a bulk assay of seeds using a well chamber have been described. An early report found that the 100% bulk assay was irreproducible and often erroneous. A five-seed assay worked much better yielding uncertainties around 5% similar to our results. A more recent study showed that with proper design and holders, a 15-seed assay could be performed under sterile conditions and with a 3% uncertainty. The seeds were supplied in a sterile cartridge placed inside a sterile bag for measurement in the well chamber. Another study used an imaging plate for quality assurance of loaded cartridges. Both methods may be limited to seeds in a cartridge without considerable modification to maintain sterility. Both require much more time for a 100% assay than does our method. However, they were both capable of detecting dead or aberrant sources, whereas our method is not. Incidentally, a 10% assay presumably would miss such a seed 90% of the time. It needs to be pointed out that the manufacturer of the seeds used in this study measures all the seeds for purposes of sorting them into activity bins and so it is unlikely that a dead seed or seeds would evade detection. What seems more likely is some sort of human error in which different seed orders get mixed up or mislabeled. That type of error should be detectable in our batch assay. The question of how to proceed if the measurement indicated a deviation beyond 5% has been discussed. A decision by the authorized physician user, informed by the uncertainty in the measurement, to continue or not with that particular batch of seeds would need to be made.

One concern in using this method is the exposure to the personnel who are making the measurements. The maximum exposure rate we measured was 55 mR/h at a distance of 25 cm. The meter is approximately 20 cm high and allowing for a few centimeters beyond that for the reading position, the exposure rate at that point should be no greater than 55/4 or 14 mR/h. Allowing 20 s to finalize a reading leads to an exposure of 14/180 or 0.08 mR. Thus, in order to exceed the recommended limit of 2 mR in any hour, the physicist doing the measurement would have to assay roughly 20 batches in the hour. This is highly unlikely, but nevertheless should be kept in mind.

The methodology described here should be easily adaptable to other users since the equipment is either normally found in radiation
oncology departments or can be easily fabricated (stand). However, the measurements obtained would depend slightly upon the seed model, but mostly upon the meter used, the geometry of the setup, and the details of the seed holder. We hope that our data would provide a basis for determining the reasonableness of other institutions’ measurements.

5 | CONCLUSION

The proposed in-house batch assay is a reasonable way to verify a third-party seed calibration for 100% of loose and stranded seeds. The larger uncertainty estimate for preloaded needles, likely related to the considerable photon attenuation of the stainless steel wall, makes this method as described less reliable, although decreasing the distance between needles and meter would increase the exposure rate and possibly overcome this drawback.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts & Figures 2015. Atlanta: American Cancer Society; 2015.
2. Kutcher GJ, Cola L, Gillin M, et al. Comprehensive QA for radiation oncology: Report AAPM Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group 40. Med Phys. 1994;21:581–618.
3. Nath R, Anderson LL, Meli JA, Olch AJ, Stitt JA, Williamson JF. Code of practice for brachytherapy physics: Report of the AAPM Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group No. 56. Med Phys. 1997;24:1557–1598.
4. Yu Y, Anderson LL, Li Z, et al. Permanent prostate seed implant brachytherapy: Report of the American Association of Physicists in Medicine Task Group No. 64. Med Phys. 1999;26:2054–2075.
5. Butler WM, Bice WS Jr, DeWerd LA, et al. Third-party brachytherapy source calibrations and physicist responsibilities: Report of the AAPM Low Energy Brachytherapy Source Calibration Working Group. Med Phys. 2008;35:3860–3865.
6. American College of Radiology. ACR-AAPM Technical Standard for the Performance of Low-Dose-Rate Brachytherapy Physics. Res. 51-2015.
7. Otani Y, Yamada T, Kato S, et al. Source strength assay of iodine-125 seeds sealed within sterile packaging. J Appl Clin Med Phys. 2013;14:4082.
8. Furlanini S, Saze T, Ikushima H, et al. Quality assurance of I-125 seeds for prostate brachytherapy using an imaging plate. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2006;66:603–609.
9. Lee PC, Starr SJ, Zuhlke K, Moran BJ. Comparisons of a proposed five-seed assay method with the single-seed and batch assay methods for I-125 seeds in ultrasound-guided prostate implants. Radiat Oncol Invest. 1999;7:374–381.