A MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF THE CUTTING PROCESS OF THE SUGAR BEET LEAFY TOPS WITHOUT A TRACER
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ABSTRACT
A method is proposed for the assessment of the effect of the soil surface irregularities upon the loss of mass and the residues of the leafy tops during the sugar beet harvesting process. A new mathematical model is constructed and dependences of the loss of mass and the top residues are obtained depending on the distribution parameters of the soil surface irregularities. The established dependences of the loss of the root crop mass and the residues of the leafy tops on the root crops depending on the statistical distribution parameters of the soil surface irregularities and the cutting height of the tops without a tracer allowed justification of an agrotechnically acceptable level of mathematical expectation of the soil surface irregularities and the cutting height of the root crops without a tracer.

АНОТАЦІЯ
Запропонована методика оцінювання впливу нерівностей поверхні ґрунту на втрати маси і залишки гички на головках коренеплодів в процесі збірвання цукрового буряку. Розроблена нова математична модель та отримані нові формули втрат маси і залишків гички в залежності від параметрів розподілу нерівностей поверхні ґрунту. Встановлені залежності втрат маси коренеплодів і залишків гички на головках коренеплодів в залежності від параметрів статистичного розподілу нерівностей поверхні ґрунту і висоти безкопірного зрізу гички дозволили обґрунтувати агротехнічне допустимий рівень математичного очікування нерівностей поверхні ґрунту і висоти безкопірного зрізу коренеплодів цукрового буряку.

INTRODUCTION
The production of sugar beet and sugar is an important branch of agriculture in the leading states of Europe and America (Marlander B. et al., 2018; Maurus K. et al., 2018, Rajaeirfar M. et al., 2019). Despite the high technical level of development of the modern beet harvesting machinery, there are problems in the process of sugar beet production that need quick solution (Lilleboe D., 2014, Merkes R., 2001). In particular, at the stage of the sugar beet harvesting there are significant losses of the crop (more than 5%), and soiling of the pile of root crops (more than 5%) (Carenko O., Jacun S., 2000; Hoffmann C., 2018). These disadvantages are caused by many factors. However, the main ones are the imperfect technical level of the working tools separating the leafy tops of the sugar beet. It has been found out that increased soiling of a root crop pile with the leaves only by 1% above the agrotechnical requirements reduces the sugar output by 0.1%, and, when storing in clamps with a leafy content of about 4%, the average daily sugar losses amount to 0.02% (Martynenko V., 1996, Zuev N., 1988; Bulgakov V. et al., 2016). Therefore, an important scientific and technical problem is to reduce the loss of mass and residues of the leaves on the root crops. It has been clarified that the soil surface irregularities have a significant impact upon the accuracy of the cutting height of the sugar beet tops without a tracer; however, there are no studies on how they affect the quality indicators of the separation process of the beet tops (Pogorelyi L, Tatjanko N., 2004; Ivančan, S. et al., 2002).
A mathematical model is known for predicting the losses of the sugar-bearing mass and the amount of the top residues (Martynenko V., 1996, Bulgakov V. & al., 2015) on the root crops depending on the cutting height without a tracer and the statistical distribution of the protrusion heights of the root crop heads. However, a significant disadvantage of this model is the assumption that the surface of the soil is absolutely flat. Under real conditions, due to imperfect agrotechnics of soil cultivation and many other factors, there are significant soil surface irregularities that have an adverse effect upon the regulation accuracy of the cutting height without a tracer. Therefore, establishment of dependencies between the soil surface irregularities and the loss of mass of the root crops, and the foliage residues on them is an important scientific and practical task. The aim of this work is to develop a mathematical model of cutting the sugar beet tops without a tracer, taking into account the soil surface irregularities. Application of such a model in designing will help reduce the loss of mass of the crop and the residues of the leafy tops in the heap of the root crops.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to establish a relationship between the soil surface irregularities and the performance quality of the top removing machines, the following assumptions were made: for the base (reference) surface we choose a conditional averaged plane of the soil irregularities (Fig. 1), in relation to which the irregularities of the soil were measured, and their distribution does not contradict the normal law. The heights distribution of the protruding root crop heads relative to the soil surface does not deny the normal law either. The top cutting tools move in parallel to the averaged plane of the soil surface irregularities. Let us consider the cutting process of the sugar beet tops without a tracer, taking into account the soil surface irregularities on which they are located. Since in the well-known model (Bulgakov et al., 2015) an absolutely flat surface of the soil is studied, then under real conditions, due to the soil irregularities (depressions and protrusions), an error occurs in setting the height of cutting. To state this error, we consider the following design flow chart (Fig. 1). Let the location of an arbitrary sugar beet root, located in the soil, has deviation from the soil surface \( \Delta h \). It can take positive values (protrusions) and negative values (depressions). We will denote the protrusion height of the root crop head relative to the averaged plane as \( h_k \), and the protrusion height of the root crop head relative to the soil surface as \( h \). The root crop cutting plane relative to the averaged line of the soil surface irregularities will be at height \( h_z \).

![Fig. 1 – A design flow chart of cutting the sugar beet tops without a tracer, taking into account the soil surface irregularities](image)

\[ h_{zk} = h_k - h_z, \]  
(1)

or

\[ h_{zk} = (\Delta h + h) - h_z. \]  
(2)

Values \( \Delta h \) and \( h \) constitute a distribution system of random values the geometric interpretation of which can be represented using the distribution density (Kallenberg, 2005).
The distribution density of a system of two random values according to (Kallenberg, 2005) is determined by the expression:

$$f(\Delta h, h) = \frac{\partial^2 F(\Delta h, h)}{\partial \Delta h \partial h}, \quad (3)$$

where $F(\Delta h, h)$ – the probability function of a combination of random values $\Delta h$ and $h$.

We select an arbitrary region from the range $(A, B; A_g, B_g)$ of size $n$. We take the area of the protrusion height from $A$ to $B$, and the area of the soil irregularity distribution from $A_g$ to $B_g$, and divide them into $n$ intervals. Then the probability of occurrence of a region with borders $(h_i, h_{i+1}) (\Delta h_j; \Delta h_{j+1})$ according to (Bulgakov et al., 2015) will be equal to:

$$P(\Delta h_j, h_i) = \int_{\Delta h_i}^{\Delta h_{i+1}} \int_{h_i}^{h_{i+1}} f(\Delta h_j, h) \, d\Delta h_j \, dh_i. \quad (4)$$

Taking into consideration dependence (4), we will write expressions to determine the losses of the sugar-bearing mass $B_j$ and the amount of the residues of the tops $G_j$ for an arbitrary region $(h_i, h_{i+1}; \Delta h_j, \Delta h_{j+1})$. We have for $B_j$:

$$B_j = NP(\Delta h_j, h_i) \rho f \left( \frac{\Delta h_j - h_i}{2} \right), \quad (5)$$

where $N$ – the quantity of the root crops per 1 ha, pcs·ha$^{-1}$;

$P$ – probability of occurrence of root crop head with location parameters $\Delta h_j, h_i$;

$\rho$ – the density of the sugar beet roots, kg·m$^{-3}$;

$f$ – the function of the volume of the cut root crop head, m$^3$.

Accordingly, for $G_j$:

$$G_j = NP_g(\Delta h_j, h_i) \rho_g f_g \left( \frac{\Delta h_j - h_i}{2} \right), \quad (6)$$

where $P_g$ – probability of occurrence of root crop head with location parameters $\Delta h_j, h_i$;

$\rho_g$ – the density of the sugar beet tops, kg·m$^{-3}$;

$f_g$ – the function of the volume of tops residues, m$^3$.

In general, for the entire distribution region of the systems of random values $\Delta h_j$ and $h_i$ with the statistical characteristics of the protrusion heights distribution of the root crops $m$ and $\sigma$, and the soil surface irregularities $m_g$ and $\sigma_g$, the losses of the sugar-bearing mass and the amount of the top residues will constitute $(m, m_g$ – mathematical expectation, mm; $\sigma, \sigma_g$ – mean-square distribution, mm):

Fig. 2 – A model of the distribution density of the systems of two random values according to the normal law
Next, we will fix the value of the distribution characteristics of the losses of the sugar-bearing mass and the top residues on the root crops of the mathematical expectation $m$ and the mean-square distribution $\sigma$, and will construct dependencies $B, G$ upon the distribution characteristics of the surface irregularities $m_g$ and $\sigma_g$. To this end, we developed a new algorithm for the mathematical model of the cutting process of the sugar beet tops without a tracer, taking into consideration the soil surface irregularities (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3 – The algorithm of the mathematical model of the cutting process of the sugar beet tops without a tracer, taking into consideration the soil irregularities
The essence of this algorithm is as follows:

1) Preparation and introduction of the following input data and variable factors of the model: \( h_z = (0: 140) \) – the variation range of the cutting height without a tracer relative to the averaged row line with a 10 mm step, mm; \( \alpha = 30^\circ \) – the angle of the taper of the root crop, degree; \( m = 60 \) is the mathematical expectation of the protrusion height of the root crop heads with respect to the soil irregularities; \( \sigma = 20 \) – the mean-square deviation of the protrusion height of the root crop heads relative to the soil surface irregularities; \( m_\sigma = (-30: 30) \) – the variation range of the mathematical expectation of the distribution of the soil surface irregularities relative to the averaged plane, mm (Fig. 2); \( \sigma_\sigma = 10 \) – the mathematical expectation of the distribution of the soil surface irregularities relative to the averaged plane, mm; \( n = 10 \) – the discreteness of the model; \( N = 100000 \) – the quantity of the root crops per 1 ha, units; \( \rho = 1100 \) – the density of the sugar beet roots, kg·m\(^{-3}\); \( \rho_g = 900 \) – the density of the sugar beet tops, kg·m\(^{-3}\).

2) Calculation of the limits of the protrusion height distribution

\[
A = m - 3\sigma; \quad B = m + 3\sigma.
\]

3) Division of the range \( h = (A; B) \) into intervals with a step \( s_h \):

\[
s_h = \frac{|B - A|}{n}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

4) Starting the cycle for each element of the range \( h = (0: 140) \).

5) Starting the cycle for each element of the range \( m_\sigma = (-30: 30) \).

6) Division of the range \( \Delta h = (A_\sigma; B_\sigma) \) into intervals with a step \( s_\sigma \):

\[
s_\sigma = \frac{|B_\sigma - A_\sigma|}{n}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

7) Calculation of the value of the integral function for ranges \( (A; B) \) \((A_\sigma; B_\sigma)\) by integrating, using the Simpson method:

\[
P = \int (h, m, \sigma)
\]

\[
P_\sigma = \int (\Delta h, m_\sigma, \sigma_\sigma)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

8) Starting the cycle for each value \( h \).

9) Starting the cycle for each value \( \Delta h \).

10) Determination of the average value of the interval \( (h_i; h_{i+1}) h_{bi} \):

\[
h_{bi} = h_i + \left(\frac{\Delta h_{bi} - h_i}{2}\right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

11) Determination of the average value of the interval \( (\Delta h_i; \Delta h_{i+1}) \Delta h_i \):

\[
\Delta h_i = h_i + \left(\frac{\Delta h_{i+1} - h_i}{2}\right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

12) Determination of the height of the base of the green leaves \( h_b \):

\[
h_b = 0.36 h_s + 16
\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

13) Determination of the protrusion height of the root crop heads relative to the averaged plane \( h_{bi} \):

\[
h_{bi} = h_{bi} + \Delta h_{bi}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

14) Determination of the occurrence probability of a combination of intervals \( (h_i; h_{i+1}) \) and \( (\Delta h_i; \Delta h_{i+1}) P_{zag} \):

\[
P_{zag (i, j)} = (P_{a_{i+1}} - P_{a_i}) \cdot (P_{\sigma_{i+1}} - P_{\sigma_i})
\]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

15) If the cutting height of the root crop heads relative to the averaged plane \( h_z (k) \) is less than \( (A_\sigma - \sigma_\sigma) \), then:

\[
h_{z(k)} = (A_\sigma - \sigma_\sigma)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

16) If the protrusion height relative to the averaged plane \( h_{s (i, j)} < h_z (k) \) is less than the current cutting height, then the root crop is not cut off \( h_{sk} = 0 \). We calculate the height of the base of the green leaves:

\[
h_{bb} = (h_{zk} - h_{s(i,j)}) + h_b
\]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

We determine the amount of the top residues for the combination of intervals \( (h_i; h_{i+1}) \) and \( (\Delta h_i; \Delta h_{i+1}) \):

\[
S_{g(i,j)} = N \cdot P_{zag (i, j)} \cdot F_g (h_{g(i,j)}, h_{g(i)}, h_{g(i,j)}, h_{g(k)}, h_{g(k)}, h_{sk}, h_{sk}, \alpha, \rho_g)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

We calculate the summary amount of the top residues for the current \( h_z \) and \( m_\sigma \):

\[
G = G + S_{g(i,j)}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (20)
17) If $h_{z(i,j)} > h_{z(k)}$, then we calculate the cutting height of the root crop:

$$h_{zk} = h_{z(i,j)} - h_{z(k)}$$ (21)

18) If $h_{zk} > h_{b}$, then the amount of the top residues is zero, and we calculate only the losses of the sugar-bearing mass:

$$S_{z(i,j)} = NP_{zag(i,j)} F(h_{c(i)} , h_{zk}, \alpha, \rho)$$ (22)

We calculate the summary loss of the sugar-bearing mass for the current $h_z$ and $m_g$:

$$B = B + S_{z(i,j)}$$ (23)

19) If $h_{zk} < h_{b}$, then the cutting plane passes between the upper part and the base of the green leaves. We calculate the cutting height of the leafy tops:

$$h_{zb} = (h_{b} - h_{zk})$$ (24)

We calculate the amount of the top residues:

$$S_{g(i,j)} = N P_{zag(i,j)} \cdot F_g(h_{b(i)}, h_{c(i)}, h_{zk}, b_{zk}, h_{zb}, \alpha, \rho)$$ (25)

We calculate the losses of mass:

$$S_{i(j)} = N P_{zag(i,j)} \cdot F(h_{c(i)} , h_{zk}, \alpha, \rho)$$ (26)

We calculate the summary loss of the sugar-bearing mass for the current $h_z$ and $m_g$:

$$B = B + S_{g(i,j)}$$ (27)

We calculate the summary loss of the tops for the current $h_z$ and $m_g$:

$$G = G + S_{g(i,j)}$$ (28)

20) Formation of a matrix of values $B_k = B$, $G_k = G$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

As a result of the implementation of the above algorithm on a PC in the Octave 4.2 environment, there were obtained the following dependences of the losses of mass and the leafy top residues on root crops, depending on the height $h_z$ of cutting without a tracer and the mathematical expectation $m_g$ (Fig. 4).

![Response surfaces of the losses of the sugar-bearing mass B and the residues of the foliage G on the root crops, depending on the cutting height h_z of the root crops without a tracer and the mathematical expectation of the distribution of soil irregularities m_g](image)

Fig. 4 – Response surfaces of the losses of the sugar-bearing mass $B$ and the residues of the foliage $G$ on the root crops, depending on the cutting height $h_z$ of the root crops without a tracer and the mathematical expectation of the distribution of soil irregularities $m_g$.
From the resulting graphical dependences (Fig. 4) we see that under the condition that the cutting height is set at the upper level of the soil irregularities and the mathematical expectation is $m_g = 30$ mm (which corresponds to increased soil roughness), the losses of mass will be $4 \, \text{t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ or $8\%$ of the entire root crop yield, which exceeds the agrotechnical requirements for the losses of the root crop mass.

Nevertheless, with the indicator $m_g$ decreasing to $-30$ mm ($30$ mm below the averaged plane), the losses of the root crop mass will be $0.6 \, \text{t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ or less than $2\%$, which is not a violation of the agrotechnical requirements. But in this case the amount of the top residues exceeds the agrotechnical requirements, constituting more than $3 \, \text{t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ or $7\%$ of the total root crop mass.

On condition that the cutting height is more than $60$ mm, the losses of mass do not exceed the agrotechnical requirements of $2\%$ of the total crop mass. However, the amount of the leafy tops on the root crops, if the cutting height is $60$ mm, vary from $2$ to $7\%$, in case $m_g = -30$ mm and $m_g = 30$ mm respectively. If the cutting height $h_z = 120\ldots140$ mm, the residues of the tops will constitute from $5$ to $8\%$.

To confirm the adequacy of the developed new mathematical model for forecasting the losses of the sugar-bearing mass and the residues of the leafy tops during the sugar beet harvesting process without a tracer, preliminary experimental investigations of this process were carried out under real field conditions. The main characteristics of the working area where the experimental studies were conducted are as follows: the yield of the sugar beet root crops - $46.4 \, \text{t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$; the yield of the leafy tops - $44.8 \, \text{t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$; the number of the root crops is $11.2 \cdot 10^4 \, \text{pcs} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$. Determination of the statistical distribution of the soil surface irregularities in the sugar beet inter-row spacing by means of a profilograph showed that they are of a high-frequency character with a period of $0.68$ m and with an average amplitude of $0.058$ m, which corresponds to the mathematical expectation, equal to $m_g = 30$ mm.

Fig. 5 shows the results of experimental investigations (graphs) of the losses of the sugar-bearing mass (Curve 2) and tops residues on the sugar beet heads (Curve 4), processed by statistical methods on a PC, the investigations being carried out in five repetitions for the cutting heights without a tracer in a wide range, namely: $0$, $20$, $40$, $60$ and $80$ mm. Here similar calculation results are shown for the developed mathematical model, carried out using a PC, which are presented by Curves 1 and 3, respectively, and obtained for the above conditions.

Analysis of the curves of theoretical and experimental dependences presented in Fig. 5 shows that in all the five variants of setting of the topping aggregate, tested under real field conditions, the difference between the actual and calculated values does not exceed $7.8\%$. This coincidence of the experimental and the calculated data points to the adequacy of the developed mathematical model, which gives reason to use it for reliable justification of the cutting height without a tracer.
CONCLUSIONS

A refined mathematical model has been developed for the cutting process of the sugar beet leafy tops without a tracer, taking into account the impact of the soil surface irregularities. Dependences of the loss of the root crop mass and the amount of leafy residues on the root crop tops have been established depending on the parameters of the statistical distribution of the soil irregularities and the cutting height of the tops without a tracer, which make it possible to justify an agrotechnically acceptable level of the mathematical expectation of the soil irregularities \( m_g = -30 \ldots 10 \text{ mm} \) and the cutting height of the root crops \( h_z = 60 \ldots 70 \text{ mm} \). The conducted verification of the adequacy of the developed mathematical model shows a discrepancy between the experimental and the theoretical data not exceeding 8%, which gives reason to use it for the justification of the cutting parameters of the sugar beet tops without a tracer within the working range of the cutting heights \( h_z = 20 \ldots 60 \text{ mm} \).
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