Bose-Einstein condensation of triplons close to the quantum critical point in the quasi-one-dimensional spin-1/2 antiferromagnet NaVOPO$_4$
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum phase transitions in low-dimensional antiferromagnets (AFM) remain one of the most fascinating topics in condensed-matter physics.[1–3] One-dimensional (1D) Heisenberg spin-1/2 chains are prone to quantum fluctuations that give rise to rich ground-state properties. An individual spin-1/2 chain does not show any long-range magnetic order and features gapless excitations. However, in real materials due to the presence of inherent interchain couplings a three-dimensional (3D) long-range-order (LRO) will usually occur, albeit at temperatures much lower than the intrachain coupling.[4] Alternating spin-1/2 chains, where two nonequivalent couplings interchange along the chain, are different. Their energy spectrum is gapped,[5, 6] and this gap protects the system from long-range ordering, unless interchain couplings are strong enough to close the gap.

In spin-gap materials, the elementary excitations are spin-1 triplons. A magnetic field reduces the gap and causes an AFM LRO when the field exceeds the critical value of the gap closing. This magnetic order is different from the classical magnetic ordering in many aspects and can be described as a Bose-Einstein Condensation (BEC) of dilute triplons.[7] Typically, BEC is being realized in gapped materials based on spin dimers with two-dimensional (2D) and 3D interdimer correlations at low temperatures.[8–11] Another field-induced phenomenon observed in gapped spin systems is the Tomanaga-Lutinger Liquid (TLL) phase which is mostly expected for 1D systems.[12–14] Additionally, gapped spin systems also use to exhibit several other field-induced features such as Wigner crystallization of magnons, magnetization plateaus, etc. Therefore, 1D materials with 3D interchain couplings and spin ladders, which are essentially coupled spin chains, can provide the opportunity to study both BEC and TLL physics in the same system.[14, 17, 18] Such phases occur between two critical fields $H_{c1}$ and $H_{c2}$, which depend on the hierarchy of coupling strengths. Experimental access to these fields requires appropriate design of the material with an appreciably small spin gap which will allow for a complete exploration of the field ($H$) vs temperature ($T$) phase diagram. In the past, an ample number of low-dimensional magnets were studied extensively, but most of them feature a large spin gap. Therefore, a very high field is applied to study the field-induced effects in all these materials.[10, 19–21]

Herein, we have carried out a systematic investigation of the structural and magnetic properties of the quasi-1D compound NaVOPO$_4$ by performing the temperature dependent x-ray diffraction, magnetization, specific heat, ESR, and $^{31}$P NMR experiments. Our experiments are also accompanied by the density-functional band structure calculations. We compare NaVOPO$_4$ with the isostructural compounds NaVOAsO$_4$.
and AgVOAsO₄ to understand the underlying structure-property relationship.[22–25]

The aforementioned V⁴⁺ phosphates and arsenates crystallize in the monoclinic crystal structure (space group P2₁/c). One peculiarity of this series is that the structural chains formed by the corner-sharing VO₆ octahedra do not represent the magnetic chains [24, 25]. Band structure calculations reveal that the magnetic chains run along the extended V–O–As–O–V path, whereas the shorter V–O–V path along the structural chains gives rise to a weak and ferromagnetic (FM) interaction Jₓ ≃−5 K. There exists another weak AFM interaction Jₙ ≃ 8 K, which along with Jᵧ constitutes a frustrated 3D interaction network between the chains [same as Fig. 1(d)]. A quantitative comparison of the exchange couplings in NaVOAsO₄ with AgVOAsO₄ is made in Ref. [22]. The Na compound is found to be more close to the 1D regime due to the stronger intrachain and weaker interchain exchange couplings. Consequently, its spin gap is larger than in the Ag analogue.

The replacement of As⁵⁺ (ionic radius ≃ 0.33 Å) with P⁵⁺ (ionic radius ≃ 0.17 Å) leaves the crystal structure unchanged, but the lattice parameters are reduced, thus shrinking the unit cell volume from 378.72 Å³ to 354.44 Å³. Such a reduction of the cell volume may bring in a drastic change in the magnetic properties. Here we show that, compared to NaVOAsO₄ and AgVOAsO₄, NaVOPO₄ has a much lower value of Hₑ₁ and Δ₀/kᵣ, thus lying very close to the quantum critical point (QCP) between the 3D LRO and gapped ground state.

NaVOPO₄ contains one Na, V, P atom each and five nonequivalent oxygen atoms. Each V is coordinated by six oxygen atoms forming a distorted VO₆ octahedron. In each octahedron, four equatorial V–O bonds are within the range 1.98–2.01 Å, while the alternating short and long bond distances of V with O(1) are 1.62 Åand 2.13 Å, respectively. Similarly, each phosphorous atom forms a nearly regular tetrahedron bearing the P–O distances of ~1.54 Å. The distorted VO₆ octahedra are corner-shared through O(1) forming parallel structural chains along the crystallographic c-axis [Fig. 1(c)]. Each PO₄ tetrahedron is connected to four nearest VO₆ octahedra through the O(5) and O(2) corners forming bond-alternating spin chains arranged perpendicular to each other and spread in the ab-plane. Figure 1(b) shows a section of the magnetic chain though the extended V–O–P–O–V path. The perfect PO₄ tetrahedra also bridge the neighbouring chains into a three-dimensional network [see Fig. 1(a)], similar to AgVOAsO₄ and NaVOAsO₄. The possible exchange couplings in this spin system are depicted in Fig. 1(d). The weak interchain couplings Jₓ and Jᵧ along the V–O–V and V–O–P–O–V bonds, respectively, create frustrated interactions between the magnetic chains. Clearly, P atoms are strongly coupled to the V⁴⁺ ions, while Na atoms positioned between the chains are weakly coupled to the magnetic ions.

II. METHODS

Polycrystalline sample of NaVOPO₄ was prepared by a conventional solid-state reaction method using the stoichiometric mixture of NaPO₃ and VO₂ (Aldrich, 99.995%). The NaPO₃ precursor was obtained by heating NaH₂PO₄.H₂O (Aldrich, 99.995%) for 4 hrs at 400 °C in air. The reactants were ground thoroughly, pelleted, and fired at 720 °C for two days in flowing argon atmosphere with two intermediate grindings. Phase purity of the sample was confirmed by powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) recorded at room temperature using a PANalytical powder diffractometer (CuK₂α radiation, λavg ≃ 1.5418 Å). In order to check if any structural distortion is present, temperature-dependent powder XRD was performed over a broad temperature range (15 K ≤ T ≤ 600 K). For low-temperature measurements, a low-T attachment (Oxford Phenix) while for high-temperature measurements, a high-T oven attachment (Anton-Paar HTK 1200N) to the x-ray diffractometer were used. Rietveld refinement of the acquired data was performed using the FULLPROF software package.[26]

Magnetization (M) was measured as a function of temperature (0.5 K ≤ T ≤ 380 K) and magnetic field (H). The measurements above 2 K were performed using the vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) attachment to the Physical Property Measurement System [PPMS, Quantum Design]. For T ≥ 2 K, the measurements were done using an ³He attachment to the SQUID magnetometer [MPMS-TT, Quantum Design]. Specific heat (Cₚ) as a function of temperature was measured down to 0.38 K using the thermal relaxation technique in PPMS under magnetic fields up to 14 T. For T ≤ 2 K, the measurements were performed using an additional ³He attachment to the PPMS. High-field magnetization was measured in pulsed magnetic field up to 60 T at the Dresden High Magnetic Field Laboratory. The details describing the measurement procedure are given in Refs. [27 and 28].

The ESR experiments were carried out on fine-powdered sample with a standard continuous-wave spectrometer from 3 K to 290 K. We measured the power P absorbed by the sample from a transverse magnetic microwave field (X-band, ν ≃ 9.4 GHz) as a function of the external magnetic field H. A lock-in technique was used to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio which results the derivative of the resonance signal dP/dH.

The NMR experiments on the ¹³P nuclei (nuclear spin I = 1/2 and gyromagnetic ratio γ/2π = 17.235 MHz/T) were carried out using pulsed NMR technique over a wide temperature range 0.05 K ≤ T ≤ 250 K and at different magnetic fields. For T ≥ 2 K, all the experiments were performed using a ⁴He cryostat and a Tecmag spectrometer while in the 0.05 K ≤ T ≤ 10 K range, a ³He/⁴He dilution refrigerator (Kelvinox, Oxford Instruments) with the resonant circuit inside the mixing chamber was used. The ¹³P NMR spectra as a function of temperature were obtained either by performing Fourier transform (FT)
of the spin echo signal at a fixed field after a $\pi/2 - \pi$ pulse sequence or by sweeping the magnetic field keeping the transmitter frequency constant. The NMR shift, $K(T) = [\nu(T) - \nu_{\text{ref}}]/\nu_{\text{ref}}$, was determined by measuring the resonance frequency $\nu(T)$ of the sample with respect to the standard $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ sample (resonance frequency $\nu_{\text{ref}}$). The $^{31}\text{P}$ nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate ($1/T_1$) was measured using a standard saturation pulse sequence.

Magnetic exchange couplings were obtained from density-functional (DFT) band-structure calculations performed in the FPLO code [29] with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange-correlation potential.[30] Two complementary approaches to the evaluation of exchange couplings were used, as explained in Refs. 22 and 24 and in Sec. III F below. Correlation effects in the V 3d shell were taken into account on the mean-field DFT+U level with the on-site Coulomb repulsion $U_d = 4 \text{ eV}$, Hund’s exchange $J_d = 1 \text{ eV}$, and around-mean-field double-counting correction.[22]

III. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A. X-ray Diffraction

Figure 2 displays the powder XRD pattern of NaVOPO$_4$ at three different temperatures ($T = 600 \text{ K}$, $300 \text{ K}$, and $17 \text{ K}$). The room-temperature diffraction pattern reveals that NaVOPO$_4$ crystallizes in the primitive monoclinic unit cell with the space group $P2_1/c$ and contains $Z = 4$ formula units per unit cell. The lattice parameters obtained from the Rietveld refinement at room temperature are $a = 6.520(1) \text{ Å}$, $b = 8.446(1) \text{ Å}$, $c = 7.115(1) \text{ Å}$, $\beta = 115.260(8)^\circ$, and the unit cell volume $V_{\text{cell}} \simeq 354.44 \text{ Å}^3$ which are consistent with the previous report.[31]

Some of the spin-gap compounds show structural distortion upon cooling.[32–35] In our case, low-temperature XRD measurements did not show any noticeable changes, suggesting that there is no structural distortion down to at least 15 K. The temperature variation of the lattice parameters obtained from the Rietveld analysis is plotted in Fig. 3. All the lattice parameters decrease in a systematic manner with no sign of any structural distortion.

To estimate the average Debye temperature ($\theta_D$), we fit the temperature variation of the unit cell volume ($V_{\text{cell}}(T)$) using the equation[36, 37]

$$V(T) = \gamma U(T)/K_0 + V_0,$$

where $V_0$ is the unit cell volume at $T = 0 \text{ K}$, $K_0$ is the bulk modulus, and $\gamma$ is the Grüneisen parameter. The internal energy $U(T)$ can be expressed within the Debye approximation as

$$U(T) = 9pk_B T \left( \frac{T}{\theta_D} \right)^3 \int_0^{\theta_D/T} \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} dx.$$

In the above, $p$ stands for the total number of atoms inside the unit cell and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. The best fit of the data down to $T = 15 \text{ K}$ (lower panel
FIG. 2. Powder x-ray diffraction data of NaVOPO₄ at 600 K, 300 K, and 17 K. The solid lines denote the Rietveld refinement of the data. The Bragg peak positions are indicated by green vertical bars, and the bottom solid line indicates the difference between the experimental and calculated intensities.

of Fig. 3) was obtained with the parameters: \( \theta_D \approx 530 \text{ K} \), \( \frac{1}{T} \) \( \approx \) \( 6.73 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Pa}^{-1} \), and \( V_0 \approx 352.3 \text{ Å}^3 \).

B. Magnetization

Magnetic susceptibility \( \chi(T) \) \( \equiv M(T)/H \) measured in the applied field of \( H = 1 \text{ T} \) is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4. The inverse magnetic susceptibility \( 1/\chi(T) \) for the same field is shown in the lower panel of the figure. As the temperature is lowered, \( \chi(T) \) increases in a Curie-Weiss manner as expected in the paramagnetic regime and then shows a broad maximum around \( T^\text{max} \approx 20 \text{ K} \), indicative of short-range magnetic order and revealing magnetic one-dimensionality of NaVOPO₄. Although no clear indication of any magnetic LRO can be seen down to 0.5 K, another broad feature is visible at around 4 K. As shown in the inset of Fig. 4, this broad feature is field-dependent. Below 1.5 K, \( \chi(T) \) shows an upturn likely caused by extrinsic paramagnetic impurities or defect spins present in the powder sample.[38]

The \( \chi(T) \) data in the paramagnetic region (above 150 K) were fitted by the Curie-Weiss law (bottom panel of Fig. 4)

\[
\chi(T) = \chi_0 + \frac{C}{T - \theta_{\text{CW}}},
\]

where \( \chi_0 \) accounts for the temperature-independent contribution consisting of the core diamagnetic susceptibility (\( \chi_{\text{core}} \)) of the core electron shells and the Van-Vleck paramagnetic susceptibility (\( \chi_{\text{VV}} \)) of the open shells of the V⁴⁺ ions in the compound. The second term in Eq. (3) is the Curie-Weiss (CW) law with the CW temperature \( \theta_{\text{CW}} \) and Curie constant \( C \). The fitted data in the temperature range 150 K to 380 K yields \( \chi_0 \approx 7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol} \), \( C \approx 0.373 \text{ cm}^3/\text{K}/\text{mol} \), and \( \theta_{\text{CW}} \approx -14.14 \text{ K} \). From the value of \( C \), the effective moment was calculated to be \( \mu_{\text{eff}} \approx 1.72 \mu_B/\text{V}^{4+} \) which is in close agreement with the expected spin-only value of 1.73 \( \mu_B \) for \( S = 1/2 \). This value of \( \mu_{\text{eff}} \) also corresponds to a \( g \) value of \( g \approx 1.98 \) which is nearly equal to the obtained \( g \) value from the ESR experiment (discussed later). The negative value of \( \theta_{\text{CW}} \) indicates that the dominant exchange couplings between V⁴⁺ ions are antiferromagnetic in nature. The \( \chi_{\text{core}} \) of NaVOPO₄ was estimated to be \(-7.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}\) by adding the core diamagnetic susceptibility of the individual ions Na¹⁺, V⁴⁺, P⁵⁺, and O²⁻.[39] The \( \chi_{\text{VV}} \) was calculated to be \( \approx 14.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol} \) by subtracting \( \chi_{\text{core}} \) from the experimental \( \chi_0 \) value.

To shed light on the nature of magnetic interactions in NaVOPO₄ and to estimate the corresponding exchange...
purity spins, assuming that they are of spin-1/2 nature. From the values of $J/k_B$ and $\alpha$, the magnitude of the zero-field spin gap is estimated to be $\Delta_0/k_B = J/k_B[1 - \alpha^{3/4}(1+\alpha)^{1/4}] \simeq 2.4$ K.\[5, 40\] This spin gap corresponds to the critical field of $H_c = \Delta_0/(g\mu_B) \simeq 1.74$ T.\[24\] It is to be noted that this formula overestimates the actual value of the spin gap since it neglects interchain couplings that tend to reduce the gap size.

We have also fitted the bulk susceptibility data down to 8 K taking $\chi_{\text{spin}}$ for the uniform spin chain (not shown here). The fitting procedure was completed by fixing the $g$ value to 1.95 (from ESR) and the obtained parameters are $\chi_0 \simeq 1.59 \times 10^{-4}$ cm³/mol, $C_{\text{imp}} \simeq 0.013$ cm³/K/mol, and $J/k_B \simeq 36.4$ K. Since both the alternating-chain and uniform-chain models fit the $\chi(T)$ data nicely and yield similar values of the exchange coupling, it is apparent that the system lies close to the boundary between the uniform and alternating-chain models.

The fit of the $\chi(T)$ data down to 8 K by Eq. (4) taking $\chi_{\text{spin}}$ for the alternating spin chain is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4 (solid line). The best fit of the data was obtained with the parameters: $\chi_0 \simeq 1.47 \times 10^{-4}$ cm³/mol, $C_{\text{imp}} \simeq 0.012$ cm³/K/mol, $g \simeq 1.95$ (fixed from ESR), alternation parameter $\alpha \simeq 0.98$, and the dominant exchange coupling $J/k_B \simeq 36.5$ K. The $C_{\text{imp}}$ value obtained above is equivalent to ~3.2 % impurity spins, assuming that they are of spin-1/2 nature.

FIG. 4. Upper panel: $\chi(T)$ measured at $H = 1$ T. The solid line represents the fit using Eq. (4). Inset: $\chi(T)$ in the low temperature regime measured in different applied fields to focus on the low temperature broad maximum. Lower panel: $1/\chi$ vs $T$ and the solid line is the CW fit using Eq. (3).

The fit of the $\chi(T)$ data down to 8 K taking $\chi_{\text{spin}}$ for the uniform spin chain (not shown here). The fitting procedure was completed by fixing the $g$ value to 1.95 (from ESR) and the obtained parameters are $\chi_0 \simeq 1.59 \times 10^{-4}$ cm³/mol, $C_{\text{imp}} \simeq 0.013$ cm³/K/mol, and $J/k_B \simeq 36.4$ K. Since both the alternating-chain and uniform-chain models fit the $\chi(T)$ data nicely and yield similar values of the exchange coupling, it is apparent that the system lies close to the boundary between the uniform and alternating-chain models.

the impurity contribution. $C_{\text{imp}}$ stands for the Curie constant of the impurities and the third term $\chi_{\text{spin}}$ is the expression for the spin susceptibility of a one-dimensional (1D) spin-1/2 Heisenberg AFM with uniform or alternating exchange couplings. Such an expression is available in the whole range of alternation ratios $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and in a large temperature window $(k_B T/J \geq 0.01)$.\[40\]

The fit of the $\chi(T)$ data down to 8 K by Eq. (4) taking $\chi_{\text{spin}}$ for the alternating spin chain is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4 (solid line). The best fit of the data was obtained with the parameters: $\chi_0 \simeq 1.47 \times 10^{-4}$ cm³/mol, $C_{\text{imp}} \simeq 0.012$ cm³/K/mol, $g \simeq 1.95$ (fixed from ESR), alternation parameter $\alpha \simeq 0.98$, and the dominant exchange coupling $J/k_B \simeq 36.5$ K. The $C_{\text{imp}}$ value obtained above is equivalent to ~3.2 % impurity spins, assuming that they are of spin-1/2 nature.

The fit of the $\chi(T)$ data down to 8 K taking $\chi_{\text{spin}}$ for the alternating spin chain is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4 (solid line). The best fit of the data was obtained with the parameters: $\chi_0 \simeq 1.47 \times 10^{-4}$ cm³/mol, $C_{\text{imp}} \simeq 0.012$ cm³/K/mol, $g \simeq 1.95$ (fixed from ESR), alternation parameter $\alpha \simeq 0.98$, and the dominant exchange coupling $J/k_B \simeq 36.5$ K. The $C_{\text{imp}}$ value obtained above is equivalent to ~3.2 % impurity spins, assuming that they are of spin-1/2 nature.

The fit of the $\chi(T)$ data down to 8 K taking $\chi_{\text{spin}}$ for the alternating spin chain is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4 (solid line). The best fit of the data was obtained with the parameters: $\chi_0 \simeq 1.47 \times 10^{-4}$ cm³/mol, $C_{\text{imp}} \simeq 0.012$ cm³/K/mol, $g \simeq 1.95$ (fixed from ESR), alternation parameter $\alpha \simeq 0.98$, and the dominant exchange coupling $J/k_B \simeq 36.5$ K. The $C_{\text{imp}}$ value obtained above is equivalent to ~3.2 % impurity spins, assuming that they are of spin-1/2 nature.
Figure 5 presents the magnetization \((M)\) vs field \((H)\) curve at \(T = 1.5\) K measured using pulsed magnetic field. \(M\) increases almost in a linear fashion up to 40 T. Above 40 T, it exhibits a pronounced upward curvature and then approaches the saturation value at about \(H_{c2} \simeq 60\) T. Such a curvature in the magnetization data is a clear signature of strong quantum fluctuations, as anticipated for a spin-1/2 1D system. The value of \(H_{c2} \simeq 60\) T corresponds to an exchange coupling of \(J/k_B = g\mu_B H_{c2}/2k_B \simeq 39.3\) K, assuming the 1D uniform spin chain model.[41] This value of \(J/k_B\) matches well with the one obtained from the \(\chi(T)\) analysis.

Since the system lies at the phase boundary between the uniform-chain and alternating-chain models, it is expected that either the system should have a magnetic LRO or a spin gap at very low temperatures. In order to probe the ground state, we measured the magnetization isotherm at \(T = 0.5\) K up to 7 T (inset of Fig. 5). Below 2 T, it exhibits a dome-shaped feature above which the variation of \(M\) is linear with \(H\). This is a clear indication of the existence of a spin gap with the critical field of gap closing \(H_{c1} \leq 2\) T. Typically, in the gapped spin systems, the magnetization remains zero up to \(H_{c1}\). However, a non-zero value of \(M\) below \(H_{c1}\) is likely due to the saturation of some extrinsic paramagnetic contributions and/or defects in our powder sample. Therefore, the data below 1.5 T were fitted by[42]

\[
M = \chi H + N_A f_{\text{imp}} S_{\text{imp}} g_{\text{imp}} \mu_B B_{\text{imp}}(x),
\]

where \(\chi\) is the intrinsic susceptibility which was kept fixed, \(f_{\text{imp}}\) is the molar fraction of impurities, \(S_{\text{imp}}\) is the impurity spin, \(g_{\text{imp}}\) is the impurity \(g\)-factor, \(N_A\) is the Avogadro’s number, \(B_{\text{imp}}(x)\) is the Brillouin function with the modified argument \(x = S_{\text{imp}} g_{\text{imp}} \mu_B H / [k_B (T - \theta_{\text{imp}})]\).[43] The obtained fitting parameters are: \(f_{\text{imp}} \simeq 0.013(3)\) mol\%, \(S_{\text{imp}} \simeq 0.50(3)\), and \(g_{\text{imp}} \simeq 2.06(1)\).

The corrected magnetization \((M_{\text{cor}})\) after the subtraction of this extrinsic contribution from the raw data is also presented in Fig. 5. Clearly, \(M_{\text{cor}}\) remains zero up to \(H_{c1} \simeq 1.6\) T that corresponds to the zero-field spin gap of \(\Delta g/k_B \simeq 2\) K between the singlet ground state and the triplet excited states.

To check for the field-induced effects, if any, \(\chi(T)\) was measured at low temperatures \((0.5 \leq T \leq 2\) K) and in different applied fields. As shown in Fig. 6, it shows a change in slope at \(\sim 0.7\) K in the 2.5 T data. As the field increases, the transition shifts toward high temperatures marked by the downward arrows in Fig. 6. This cusp-like anomaly above \(H \simeq 2.5\) T and its field variation are robust signatures of the field-induced magnetic LRO.[9] The variation of \(T_N\) with respect to \(H\) is presented in Fig. 15.

### C. ESR

ESR experiment was performed on the powder sample and the results are displayed in Fig. 7. The integrated ESR intensity vs temperature was measured at a microwave frequency of 9.4 GHz together with the powder-averaged Lorentzian fit (solid line). Lower Panel: Temperature variation of \(g\) values (both perpendicular and parallel components) obtained from Lorentzian fit. Inset: \(I_{\text{ESR}}\) vs \(T\) with temperature as an implicit parameter.

The temperature-dependent integrated ESR intensity \((I_{\text{ESR}})\) is presented in the upper panel of Fig. 7. It resembles the bulk \(\chi(T)\) behaviour with a pronounced broad maximum at around \(T_{\text{ESR}}^{\text{max}} \simeq 20\) K. When \(I_{\text{ESR}}\) is plotted as a function \(\chi\), it indeed follows a straight-line behaviour (inset of the lower panel of Fig. 7) down to 18 K. Simi-
lar to the $\chi(T)$ data, $I_{\text{ESR}}(T)$ also exhibits another weak but broad feature at around 4 K. As shown in the lower panel of Fig. 7, both $q$-components are constant over a wide temperature range down to $\sim 15$ K. The anomalous behavior below 15 K might be related to the effect of defects/impurities.

### D. Specific Heat

![Graph showing specific heat $C_p$ vs $T$ for NaVOPO$_4$.]

Specific heat $[C_p(T)]$ data measured under zero field are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 8. In the high-temperature region, $C_p$ is entirely dominated by phonon excitations whereas the magnetic part dominates only at low temperatures. It shows a broad feature below about 20 K. There is no clear anomaly in the data down to $T = 0.38$ K, which excludes the possibility of any magnetic LRO in zero field. To quantify the magnetic contribution to the specific heat ($C_{\text{mag}}$), we subtracted the phonon contribution ($C_{\text{ph}}$) from the total measured specific heat $C_p$. For this purpose, the experimental data at high temperatures ($T \geq 60$ K) were fitted by a linear combination of four Debye functions:

$$C_{\text{ph}}(T) = 9R \sum_{n=1}^{4} c_n \left( \frac{T}{\theta_{Dn}} \right)^3 \int_0^{\theta_{Dn}} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Here, $R$ is the universal gas constant, the coefficients $c_n$ account for the number of individual atoms, and $\theta_{Dn}$ are the corresponding Debye temperatures. Since we have four different types of atoms with varying atomic masses and Debye temperature is inversely proportional to the atomic mass, we have used four Debye functions corresponding to the Na, V, P, and O atoms.

$C_{\text{mag}}(T)$ extracted by subtracting $C_{\text{ph}}(T)$ from $C_p(T)$ is also shown in Fig. 8. The above procedure was verified by calculating the magnetic entropy $S_{\text{mag}}$ through the integration of $C_{\text{mag}}(T)/T$ which gives $S_{\text{mag}} \approx 5.6$ J/mol K at 100 K (lower panel of Fig. 8). This value is very close to the expected entropy $S_{\text{mag}} = R \ln 2 = 5.76$ J/mol K for a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ system. As shown in the upper panel of Fig. 8, $C_{\text{mag}}$ has a broad maximum at $T_{C_{\text{max}}} \approx 20$ K with an absolute value $C_{\text{mag}}^{\text{max}} \approx 2.80$ J/mol-K. This value of $C_{\text{mag}}^{\text{max}}$ is indeed very close to the expected value $\sim 0.35R = 2.9$ J/mol K for a uniform spin-$1/2$ chain.[46]

Similarly, the value of $T_{C_{\text{max}}}^{\text{max}} \approx 20$ K, which reflects the energy scale of the leading exchange interaction, corresponds to $J/k_B = T_{C_{\text{max}}}^{\text{max}}/0.48 \approx 41$ K in the framework of the uniform spin chain model.[40] It is indeed very close to the values obtained from the other experiments. Furthermore, an anomaly in $C_{\text{mag}}$ is visible at around $\sim 5$ K, similar to the $\chi(T)$ data suggesting that it is an intrinsic feature of the compound.

In addition, we have also measured $C_p(T)$ in the low-temperature region (down to 0.38 K) in magnetic fields up to 14 T. Figure 9 shows the data at few selected fields. In zero field, no anomaly associated with the magnetic LRO is found down to 0.38 K but it shows a rapid decrease below 1 K towards zero which could be due to the opening of a spin gap. An exponential fit below 1 K yields $\Delta_0/k_B \approx 2.6$ K which is consistent with the value obtained from the $\chi(T)$ analysis. When the field is increased, no extra features are seen up to 2 T. However, for $H \geq 2$ T, it displays a $\lambda$-type peak which moves towards high temperatures with increasing field. This can be ascribed to the crossover from a spin-gap state to a 3D LRO state under external magnetic field. $T_N$ as a function of $H$ is plotted in Fig. 15.

### E. $^{31}$P NMR

NMR experiments were performed over a wide temperature range down to 40 mK to address several key
questions, namely, (i) is the anomaly at 4 K an intrinsic feature? (ii) what is the ground state in zero field? and (iii) does the system undergo field-induced magnetic LRO? In magnetic insulators, the Hamiltonian is relatively simple and well-defined, which allows the powerful local tools like NMR to access the structure, static and dynamic properties of a spin system. Since $^{31}$P nuclei is strongly coupled to the V$^{4+}$ ions in the crystal structure, one can investigate the properties of the spin chains by probing at the $^{31}$P site.

1. NMR Spectra

$^{31}$P NMR spectra were measured on the powder sample either by doing Fourier Transform (FT) of the echo signal at a constant magnetic field or by sweeping the magnetic field at a fixed frequency. Since $^{31}$P has the nuclear spin $I = 1/2$, a single $^{31}$P NMR line is expected corresponding to one allowed transition. Figure 10 displays representative NMR spectra taken for $1.8 \leq T \leq 238$ K at $H = 2.6$ T. Indeed, a single spectral line is observed down to 1.8 K and the line width is found to increase systematically with decreasing temperature. This confirms that NaVOPO$_4$ has a single P site, which is commensurate with the crystal structure. Typically, in a magnetically ordered state, the NMR nucleus senses the static internal field and hence the NMR line broadens drastically. As one can see in Fig. 10, no significant line broadening was observed around 5 K, ruling out the possibility of any magnetic LRO taking place at this temperature. The line position is found to shift with temperature.

As we have discussed earlier, specific heat in zero field does not show any magnetic LRO down to 0.38 K. In order to ensure that there is no LRO in zero field, NMR spectra were measured down to 40 mK. As one can see in Fig. 11, the NMR line shape remains the same and there is no significant line broadening down to 0.04 K at 4 T. On the other hand, for 68.9424 MHz ($\approx 4$ T), the line broadens abruptly below $\approx 1$ K. This can be taken as an evidence that the system does not undergo magnetic LRO below 1 T and shows a field-induced magnetic transition at $T_N \approx 1$ K in 4 T which is consistent with the $\chi(T)$ and $C_p(T)$ measurements.

The absence of line broadening and any extra feature in the NMR spectra at 1 T also exclude the possibility of any structural or lattice distortions, consistent with our temperature-dependent powder XRD. This is
in contrast with that reported for the spin-Peierls compounds CuGeO\textsubscript{3} where spin dimerization is accompanied by the lattice distortion and \(\alpha'\) - Na\textsubscript{2}V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} where the singlet ground state is driven by the charge ordering at low temperatures.[47, 48] \(\textsuperscript{63}\)Cu-NMR experiments in the well-known Bec compound TiCuCl\textsubscript{3} revealed that the field-induced magnetic transition is accompanied by a simultaneous lattice deformation.[49] This also implies strong spin-phonon coupling at the critical field that drives the Bec phenomenon in TiCuCl\textsubscript{3}. However, in our compound for \(H > H_{cl}\) the \(\textsuperscript{31}\)P NMR line only broadens without any splitting or extra features, ruling out the possibility of significant lattice deformations in the field-induced state.

### 2. NMR Shift

Figure 12 shows the variation of the NMR shift \(K(T)\) with temperature measured at \(H = 2.6\) T and 4 T. Both the data sets resemble each other. With decrease in temperature, \(K(T)\) passes through a broad maximum around 25 K in a similar way as that of the bulk susceptibility. With further decrease in temperature it starts to decrease. A weak but broad hump appears at \(T \simeq 4\) K, reflecting that it is an intrinsic feature of the compound. At very low temperatures, the small peaks (\(T_N \simeq 0.89\) K at 2.6 T and 1.05 K at 4 T) are likely due to field-induced magnetic transitions.

Since \(K(T)\) is a direct and intrinsic measure of the spin susceptibility \(\chi_{\text{spin}}(T)\), one can write

\[
N_A K(T) = K_0 + A_{hf} \chi_{\text{spin}},
\]  

where \(K_0\) is the temperature-independent NMR shift and \(A_{hf}\) is the total hyperfine coupling between the \(\textsuperscript{31}\)P nuclei and V\textsuperscript{4+} spins. \(A_{hf}\) is a combination of the transferred hyperfine coupling and the nuclear dipolar coupling contributions, both temperature-independent. The nuclear dipolar coupling is usually very small compared to the transferred hyperfine coupling, and therefore neglected. From Eq. (7), \(A_{hf}\) can be calculated from the slope of the linear \(K\) versus \(\chi\) plot with temperature as an implicit parameter. The inset of Fig. 12 presents the \(K\) versus \(\chi\) plot, which obeys a straight line behavior down to 50 K. The data for \(T \geq 50\) K were fitted well to a linear function and the slope of the fit yields \(K_0 \simeq -1219.65\) ppm and \(A_{hf} \simeq 6445\) Oe/\(\mu_B\). A large value of \(A_{hf}\) indicates that the \(\textsuperscript{31}\)P nucleus is very strongly coupled to the V\textsuperscript{4+} ions. This value is almost twice the hyperfine coupling of As with V\textsuperscript{4+} ions in AgVOAsO\textsubscript{4} and NaVOAsO\textsubscript{4}.[22, 23] This also illustrates the fact that the spin chains run along the extended V\textsuperscript{4+}–O–P–O–V\textsuperscript{4+} path rather than the short V\textsuperscript{4+}–O–V\textsuperscript{4+} pathway.

One advantage of the NMR experiment is that the NMR shift directly probes \(\chi_{\text{spin}}\) and is inert to impurity contributions. Therefore, in low-dimensional spin systems \(K(T)\) data are often used for a reliable estimation of the magnetic parameters instead of the bulk \(\chi(T)\). For a tentative estimation of the magnetic parameters, we have fitted the \(K(T)\) using Eq. (7) down to 6 K, taking \(\chi_{\text{spin}}\) for both the alternating-chain and uniform-chain models.[40] To minimize the number of fitting parameters, the value of \(g\) was fixed to 1.95 obtained from ESR experiment. The resultant fitting parameters using the alternating-chain model are \(K_0 \simeq -1182\) ppm, \(A_{hf} \simeq 6873\) Oe/\(\mu_B\), \(\alpha \simeq 0.98\), and \(J/k_B \simeq 39\) K. Taking these values of \(\alpha\) and \(J/k_B\), we arrive at the spin gap.
of $\Delta_0/k_B \simeq 2.37$ K. [5, 40] On the other hand, the fit using the uniform-chain model yields $K_0 \simeq -1198$ ppm, $A_{M} \simeq 6938$ Oe/\(\mu_B\), and $J/k_B \simeq 39$ K. Thus, approximately the same values of $J/k_B$ are obtained from both fits, suggesting that the system approaches the uniform-chain regime but reveals a small spin gap. These findings are quite consistent with our analysis of the $\chi(T)$ data.

3. Spin-lattice Relaxation Rate

Another crucial quantity determined in the NMR experiment is the spin-lattice relaxation rate ($1/T_1$). At the central resonance frequency of each temperature, the sample is irradiated with a single $\pi/2$ saturation pulse and the growth of longitudinal magnetization is monitored for variable delays. These recovery curves are fitted well by a single exponential function

$$1 - \frac{M(t)}{M(0)} = Ae^{t/T_1},$$

where $M(t)$ stands for the nuclear magnetization at a time $t$ after the saturation pulse, and $M(0)$ is the initial magnetization. Figure 13 (upper panel) displays the variation of $1/T_1$ with temperature for $H = 2.6$ T and 4 T extracted from the above fit. For both the fields, $1/T_1$ is almost temperature-independent down to $T \simeq 50$ K due to random fluctuation of paramagnetic moments at high temperatures. [50] For $H = 2.6$ T, $1/T_1$ decreases linearly down to $\sim 4$ K. Such a behavior is typically observed in 1D spin-1/2 systems in the temperature range of $T \sim J/k_B$ where $1/T_1$ is dominated by the uniform ($q = 0$) contribution. [51, 52] At $T \simeq 4$ K, it shows a change in slope similar to that observed in $\chi(T)$, $C_p(T)$, $I_{ESR}(T)$, and $K(T)$. At very low temperatures, it exhibits a peak at $T_N \simeq 0.62$ K due to the critical slowing down of the fluctuating moments and reflects the transition to a magnetic LRO. Similarly, the $1/T_1$ data for $H = 4$ T develops an upward trend below 10 K due to the growth of strong magnetic correlations and then approaches the magnetic LRO at $T_N \simeq 1$ K.

The AFM correlations in a spin system can be assessed by analyzing $1/T_1(T)$. The general expression connecting $1/T_1$ with the dynamic susceptibility $\chi_M(\vec{q},\omega_0)$ can be written as [53]

$$\frac{1}{T_1} = \frac{2\gamma_N^2 k_B}{N_A^2} \sum_{\vec{q}} A(\vec{q}) |^2 \chi''(\vec{q},\omega_0), \quad (9)$$

where $\gamma_N$ is the nuclear gyromagnetic ratio, $A(\vec{q})$ is the form factor of the hyperfine interactions between nuclear and electronic spins, $\chi_M''(\vec{q},\omega_0)$ is the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility $[\chi_M(\vec{q},\omega_0) = \chi''(\vec{q},\omega_0) + i\chi'_M(\vec{q},\omega_0)]$ at the nuclear Larmor frequency $\omega_0$. For $\vec{q}$ and $\omega_0 = 0$, the real component of $\chi_M''(\vec{q},\omega_0)$ corresponds to the uniform static susceptibility $\chi(T)$. As $K(T)$ is a direct measure of the static susceptibility $\chi(T)$ in the above expression can be replaced by $K(T)$. To see the persistent spin correlations, we have plotted $\frac{1}{(1/T_1)}$ against temperature. As one can see in the bottom panel of Fig. 13, $\frac{1}{(1/T_1)}$ remains constant down to $T \sim 50$ K as expected in the high-$T$ region. As the temperature decreases and becomes comparable to the energy scale of $J/k_B$, $\frac{1}{(1/T_1)}$ increases abruptly due to the growth of AFM correlations.

F. Microscopic magnetic model

To assess individual magnetic couplings in NaVOPO$_4$, we use two complementary approaches. First, we extract hopping parameters $t_i$ between the V 3d states in the uncorrelated band structure, and introduce them into an effective one-orbital Hubbard model that yields antiferromagnetic part of the superexchange as $J_{AFM}^{\text{AFM}} = 4t_i^2/U_{\text{eff}}$, where $U_{\text{eff}} = 4.5$ eV is the on-site Coulomb repulsion in the V 3d bands [54, 55]. Second, we use the mapping procedure [56, 57] and obtain exchange couplings $J_i$ from...
TABLE I. The V–V distances (in Å), hopping parameters \( t_i \) (in meV) of the uncorrelated band structure, and exchange couplings \( J_i \) (in K) obtained via the DFT+U mapping procedure in NaVOPO\(_4\) (this work) and NaVOAsO\(_4\) (Ref. 22).

|        | NaVOPO\(_4\) |     | NaVOAsO\(_4\) |     |
|--------|--------------|-----|---------------|-----|
|        | \( d_{\perp-V} \) | \( t_i \) | \( J_i \) | \( d_{\perp-V} \) | \( t_i \) | \( J_i \) |
| \( J \) | 5.385 ⎕ 89 | 54 | 5.519 ⎕ 99 | 57 |
| \( J' \) | 5.337 ⎕ 86 | 50 | 5.489 ⎕ 81 | 54 |
| \( J_\alpha \) | 5.952 ⎕ 42 | 13 | 6.073 ⎕ 25 | 8 |
| \( J_c \) | 3.565 | 0 | −6 | 3.617 | 9 | −5 |

The above assessments convincingly demonstrate that NaVOPO\(_4\) reveals the magnetism of a spin-1/2 alternating chain with a weak alternation of the exchange couplings. This material does not undergo any magnetic LRO down to at least 50 mK, and rather shows a tiny spin gap of \( \Delta_0/k_B \simeq 2 \) K in zero field. In Fig. 14, we constructed a conceptual phase diagram showing the QCP separating the spin-gap and AFM regions. We have placed some of the rigorously studied gapped and antiferromagnetically ordered compounds at their respective positions according to the magnitude of their spin gap and \( T_N \). From the phase diagram we infer that NaVOPO\(_4\) lies in the proximity of the QCP but in the gapped regime of the phase diagram. This renders NaVOPO\(_4\) an ideal candidate for probing field-induced effects in gapped quantum magnets. Further, \( \chi(T), C_p(T), \Delta_{ESR}(T), \) and \( 1/T_1 \) all show a second broad maximum at \( \sim 4 \) K which is found to be intrinsic to the sample and can be attributed to the effect of disorder and/or magnetic frustration. The same type of feature has been reported in the frustrated spin chain compound LiCuVO\(_4\), where the broad feature at low temperature is ascribed to the effect of magnetic frustration of the interchain interactions.[59, 60]

For a better understanding of the spin lattice, we compare NaVOPO\(_4\) with its structural analogs AgVOAsO\(_4\) and NaVOAsO\(_4\). Magnetic parameters for all the three compounds are summarized in Table II.

TABLE II. Comparison of magnetic parameters for the NaVOPO\(_4\)-like spin-chain compounds. The \( J/k_B \) and \( \alpha \) values are taken from the \( \chi(T) \) analysis, while \( \Delta_0/k_B \) and \( H_{c1} \) are taken from the high-field magnetization data.

| Compounds       | \( J/k_B \) (K) | \( \alpha(=J'/J) \) | \( \Delta_0/k_B \) (K) | \( H_{c1} \) (T) |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| AgVOAsO\(_4\)   | 40              | 0.62                 | 13                     | 10               |
| NaVOAsO\(_4\)   | 52              | 0.65                 | 21.4                   | 16               |
| NaVOPO\(_4\)    | 39              | 0.98                 | 2                      | 1.6              |

The most interesting aspect of NaVOPO\(_4\) is arguably the field-induced magnetic LRO. The field evolution \( T_N \) obtained from the \( \chi(T), C_p(T), \) and \( 1/T_1(T) \) measurements is shown in Fig. 15. It traces a single dome-shaped curve in contrast to the double-dome reported for the isostructural compound AgVOAsO\(_4\).[25] The shape of the \( H-T \) phase diagram is quite similar to other
spin-gap systems undergoing BEC of triplons under external magnetic field,[10, 19, 20, 61] The applicability of the BEC scenario is usually verified by the critical exponent obtained from fitting the $H - T$ boundary with the following power-law [62, 63]

$$T_N \propto (H - H_{c1})^{\frac{1}{\phi}}$$

where $\phi = d/2$ is the critical exponent, which reflects the universality class of the transition. Here, $H - H_{c1}$ controls the boson density at a given temperature. This power law is typically fitted in the critical regime $T < 0.4T_c^{\text{max}}$ in the vicinity of the QCP for a meaningful estimation of $\phi$, where $T_c^{\text{max}}$ is the maximum temperature of the magnetically ordered regime.[64] In a 3D system ($d = 3$), the value of the critical exponent is predicted to be $\phi = 1.5$ [8, 9, 61] which has been experimentally verified in quantum magnets TiCuCl$_3$, [65, 66] DTN, [67, 68] (CH$_3$)$_2$CHNH$_4$CuCl$_3$, [69] etc. On the other hand, for a 2D system, the theory predicts $\phi \approx 1$ over a wide temperature range except at very low temperatures.[70] Indeed, this has been experimentally verified in K$_2$CuF$_4$.[11] At sufficiently low temperatures (i.e. temperatures lower than the interlayer coupling), because of the interlayer interaction, one may expect a crossover from 2D BEC to 3D BEC with $\phi = 3/2$. Of course, exchange anisotropy, which is inherent to real materials, will often alter the universality class of the transition.

To corroborate the above BEC scheme here, the $H - T$ phase boundary of NaVOPO$_4$ was fitted by Eq. (10). Given the limited temperature range available experimentally, we restricted the fitting to $0.75 \, \text{K} \leq T \leq 1.13 \, \text{K}$. Our fit returns $H_{c1} \approx 1.3 \, \text{T}$ and $\phi \approx 1.8$. In the inset of Fig. 15, we have plotted $T_N$ vs $(H - H_{c1})^{1/1.8}$ in order to highlight the linear regime. The obtained value of $H_{c1} \approx 1.3 \, \text{T}$ leads to $\Delta_0/k_B \approx 1.6 \, \text{K}$. This value of the spin gap differs slightly from the one obtained from the magnetic isotherm data. Similarly, $\phi \approx 1.8$ is more close to (though slightly larger than) 1.5 obtained theoretically.[9, 62, 63] Nevertheless, such discrepancies are not uncommon in other quantum magnets, including Ba$_3$Cr$_2$O$_8$, Pb$_2$V$_3$O$_9$, IPA-CuCl$_3$ etc undergoing triplon BEC.[20, 71–73]

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have discovered a new spin-1/2 quasi-1D compound NaVOPO$_4$, which is well described by an alternating spin chain model with a dominant AFM exchange coupling of $J/k_B \approx 39 \, \text{K}$ and a tiny spin gap of $\Delta_0/k_B \approx 2 \, \text{K}$. External magnetic field of $H_{c1} \approx 1.6 \, \text{T}$ closes the spin gap and triggers magnetic LRO. Such a small spin gap and the onset of magnetic LRO already in low magnetic fields place NaVOPO$_4$ in the vicinity of the QCP separating the spin-gap and AFM LRO states in the phase diagram. The field-induced magnetic LRO is indeed confirmed from the $\chi(T)$, $C_p(T)$, $K(T)$, and $1/T_1(T)$ measurements and found to move toward high temperatures with the field. A power-law fit to the $H - T$ phase boundary yields an exponent $\phi \approx 1.8$, a possible signature of triplon BEC. This compound appears to be an ideal system for high-field studies. Moreover, it also demands further experimental studies including neutron scattering and NMR on single crystals to elucidate microscopic nature of the field-induced ordered phase.
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