Constraining dark photon model with dark matter from CMB spectral distortions
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Abstract

Many extensions of Standard Model (SM) include a dark sector which can interact with the SM sector via a light mediator. We explore the possibilities to probe such a dark sector by studying the distortion of the CMB spectrum from the blackbody shape due to the elastic scatterings between the dark matter and baryons through a hidden light mediator. We in particular focus on the model where the dark sector gauge boson kinetically mixes with the SM and present the future experimental prospect for a PIXIE-like experiment along with its comparison to the existing bounds from complementary terrestrial experiments.

Keywords: dark matter, dark photon, CMB distortion

1. Introduction

The energy spectrum of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) follows the most perfect blackbody spectrum ever observed. There yet can exist a minuscule deviation from the blackbody when the CMB photons are not in a perfect equilibrium. The number-changing interactions such as Bremsstrahlung and double Compton scatterings are not efficient enough for the redshift \( z \lesssim 2 \times 10^6 \) and the energy injection/extraction can result in the Bose-Einstein distribution with a non-vanishing \( \mu \) parameter (rather than the blackbody distribution with \( \mu = 0 \)) \cite{1}. For \( z \lesssim 5 \times 10^4 \), even the kinetic equilibrium cannot be maintained due to the inefficient Compton scatterings and the spectrum distortion can be characterized by the Compton \( y \)-parameter which is given by the line of sight integral of electron pressure \cite{2}.

The attempt to measure potential CMB spectral distortion has been made by the Far Infrared Absolute Spectrophotometer (FIRAS) instrument aboard the COBE satellite \cite{3} two decades ago, leading to the upper bounds \( |\mu| \lesssim 10^{-4} \) and \( |y| \lesssim 10^{-5} \). The next generation space-telescope PIXIE \cite{4} is expected to improve the sensitivity to \( |\mu| \sim 5 \times 10^{-8} \) and \( |y| \sim 10^{-8} \).

The CMB spectral distortion can, for instance, be induced by the energy injection into the background plasma in many non-standard cosmological scenarios \cite{5}. The examples include the energy release from decaying heavy relics \cite{6,7}, evaporating primordial black holes \cite{8}, the annihilating dark matter (DM) \cite{9,10} and the dissipation of acoustic waves \cite{11,12,13}.

Even in the standard cosmology, however, the CMB distortion can occur due to the energy transfer between the photons and the "baryons" (protons and electrons) \cite{5,14,15}. The Coulomb interactions of non-relativistic plasma consisting of baryons with photons can extract energy from the CMB and maintain the kinetic equilibrium. The temperature of baryons follows that of photons and decreases inversely proportional to the scale factor of the Universe, \( T_b \approx T_\gamma \sim 1/a \), instead of \( 1/a^2 \) for the decoupled non-relativistic matter. This extraction of energy from the CMB results in the \( \mu \)-distortion of the order of \( \mu \approx -3 \times 10^{-9} \).

The analogous effects can be induced when the DM is thermally coupled to the photon-baryon plasma by the elastic scatterings, and such effects on the CMB spectral distortions were first discussed in \cite{16} and elaborated on in \cite{17}. The additional energy extraction from CMB into DM enhances the spectral distortion of CMB with a negative \( \mu \). Since the DM number density is inversely proportional to its mass, for a given DM mass density, the FIRAS can constrain the DM mass up to \( m_\chi \sim 0.1 \) GeV and a future experiment such as PIXIE can further extend its sensitivity to \( m_\chi \sim 1 \) GeV. The CMB distortion measurements would complement the other heavy DM searches such as the direct detection experiments which rapidly lose the sensitivity to sub-GeV DM due to the small recoil energy of the nuclear target.

One of the intriguing models which can realize the coupling of the DM to the SM particles is a "dark photon" scenario where there exists a dark sector with a broken U(1) gauge symmetry \cite{18,19}. The phenomenology associated with such a novel dark sector has received considerable attention in recent years and a wide range of experimental searches have been performed in the collider and beam dump experiments such as BarBar, PHENIX, E137 and Charm \cite{20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27}. The constraints on the dark photon model from the cosmological and astrophysical observations have also been discussed recently \cite{26,27}.

In this paper, we study the spectral distortion of CMB in the
dark photon model, where the DM and baryons can interact via a
dark photon, caused by the momentum transfer between CMB and DM via the elastic scatterings. We also illustrate the
comparison with the existing constraints on the dark photon model
in the laboratory and astrophysical observations. We first re-
view the model in [3], followed by the estimation of CMB dis-
torsions in [3, 4] gives our results, followed by the conclusion in

2. Dark photon and DM

We consider the dark sector consisting of the dark photon
and DM. We assume that $U(1)_d$ gauge symmetry in the dark sector has a kinetic mixing with $U(1)_Y$ in the SM of $SU(3)_C \times
SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ [18, 19]. The mixing is parametrised by a small parameter $\varepsilon$ as

$$L_{\text{mixing}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tilde{B}_{\mu} \tilde{Z}^{\mu}_{d}$$

where $\tilde{B}_{\mu}$ and $\tilde{Z}_{d\mu}$ are the field strengths of $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_d$ respectively. We also assume that the fermion DM $\chi$ has
the $U(1)_d$ gauge interaction with the gauge coupling $g_d$ as

$$L_{\text{int}} = -g_d \tilde{Z}_{d\mu} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \chi.$$

After the electroweak symmetry breaking, we replace $\tilde{B}_{\mu} = -s_W Z_{\mu} + c_W A_{\mu}$ with $s_W = \sin \theta_W$ and $c_W = \cos \theta_W$ and the mass of $\tilde{Z}_{d\mu}$, $m^{2}_{Z_d}$, is generated from the Higgs mechanism. Similarly we assume that the hidden gauge boson has a mass $m^{2}_{Z}$ by $U(1)_d$ symmetry breaking through the hidden sector Higgs
mechanism.

The kinetic mixings between the gauge fields can be removed
and the kinematic terms can be canonically normalized by the following field re-definition

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{SM\mu} \\
Z^{\mu}_{d} \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & -\varepsilon s_W \\
0 & 1 & \varepsilon c_W \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{A}_{\mu} \\
\tilde{Z}_{d\mu} \\
\tilde{Z}_{0}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

leading to

$$
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} A_{SM\mu\nu} A_{SM}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} Z^0_{d\mu\nu} Z^0_{d\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} Z^0_{d\mu} Z^0_{d\mu} + \frac{1}{2} m^2_Z Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu}_{d} + m^2_{Z_d} \frac{\varepsilon s_W}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} Z^0_{\mu} Z^0_{d\mu}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( m^2_Z \varepsilon^2 \frac{s^2_W}{1 - \varepsilon^2} + m^2_{Z_d} \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon^2} \right) Z^0_{d\mu} Z^0_{d\mu}
$$

The mass matrix of $Z^\mu_d$ and $Z^0_{d\mu}$ can be diagonalised by a
mixing parameter $\theta_X$,

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
Z_{SM\mu} \\
Z_{d\mu}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta_X & -\sin \theta_X \\
\sin \theta_X & \cos \theta_X
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
Z^\mu_d \\
Z^0_{d\mu}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

where

$$
\tan 2\theta_X = \frac{2m^2_{\gamma}}{m^2_{Z} - m^2_{Z_d} (1 + 1)}.
$$

(6)

The bare gauge fields are consequently related to the mass eigen-
states as

$$
A_{SM\mu} = A_{SM\mu} - \frac{\varepsilon c_W}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} Z_{SM\mu} + \frac{\varepsilon s_W}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} Z_{d\mu},
$$

$$
Z_{d\mu} = -\frac{s_X}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} Z_{SM\mu} + \frac{c_X}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} Z_{d\mu},
$$

$$
Z_{\mu} = \left( \frac{c_X + \varepsilon s_W s_X}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} \right) Z_{SM\mu} + \left( \frac{s_X - \varepsilon c_W s_X}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} \right) Z_{d\mu},
$$

(7)

where $s_X = \sin \theta_X$ and $c_X = \cos \theta_X$.

The electromagnetic current hence has the interaction

$$L_{\text{int}} = -e J^{\mu}_{\text{em}} \left( A_{SM\mu} - \frac{\varepsilon c_W}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} Z_{SM\mu} + \frac{\varepsilon s_W}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} Z_{d\mu} \right),
$$

(8)

and the DM interacts with $Z_{d\mu}$ and $Z_{\mu}$ as

$$L_{\text{int}} = -g_d \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \chi \left( \frac{c_X}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} Z_{d\mu} - \frac{s_X}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} Z_{SM\mu} \right).
$$

(9)

We can therefore see that the electromagnetic current in the
SM which couples to $A_{\mu}$ can interact with the dark photon $Z_d$ suppressed by $\varepsilon$. Since we are interested in the parameter range
$m_{Z_d} \sim \text{GeV} \ll m_Z$, we can represent our dark sector model with two free parameters $\varepsilon$ and $m_{Z_d}$ in the following sections. We hence discuss the CMB spectral distortions when the DM interactions with the SM fields $\psi_{SM}$ are mediated by the dark
photon, represented by the Lagrangian

$$L_{\text{int}} = -e \varepsilon c_W \bar{\psi}_{SM} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{SM} Z_{d\mu} - g_d \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \chi Z_{d\mu}.
$$

(10)

The corresponding Feynman diagram is shown in Fig [1]. We
note here that the DM does not interact with the SM photon and only couples to the SM particles by mediating $Z_d$ gauge boson.

3. CMB spectrum distortion from DM-baryon scattering

For the decoupled non-relativistic DM, the temperature de-
creases as $T_x \sim a^{-2}$ ($a$ is the scale factor). When DM is
kinetically coupled to the background baryons ($\varepsilon \gtrsim 10^3$), however, $T_x$ evolves along with baryon temperature $T_b$ obeying the Boltzmann equation [26, 17]

$$T_x = -2HT_x + \Gamma_{xb}(T_b - T_x),
$$

(11)

with

$$\Gamma_{xb} = 2c_{\text{b}} N_{b} \sigma_{\text{b}} m_{\gamma} T_b T_x (m_{\gamma} T_{\text{rec}})^{(\sigma_{\text{b}} - 1)/2},
$$

The DM coupling to the SM $Z$ is suppressed by $\tan \theta_X$ compared with that to dark photon and hence negligible in the limit of $m_{Z_d} \ll m_Z$ and a small $\varepsilon$.\footnote{The DM coupling to the SM $Z$ is suppressed by $\tan \theta_X$ compared with that to dark photon and hence negligible in the limit of $m_{Z_d} \ll m_Z$ and a small $\varepsilon$.}
where $m_b, N_b = N_b^0 a^{-3}$ are the baryon mass and number density. $c_n$ is a constant of the order of unity depending on the power $n$ of the DM-baryon elastic scattering cross section $\sigma_n(v) = \sigma_n v^\alpha$ with $v$ being the DM-baryon relative velocity. We use the conventional cross section for the momentum-transfer

$$\sigma_\nu \equiv \int d\Omega (1 - \cos \theta) \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega},$$

where the weight factor $(1 - \cos \theta)$ represents the longitudinal momentum transfer and regulates the spurious infrared divergence for the forward scattering (corresponding to no momentum transfer with $\cos \theta \to 1$) [28].

The DM-baryon scatterings can cause the distortion of the photon spectra and the rate of the photon energy extraction from these elastic scatterings becomes [5],[17]

$$\rho_\nu \frac{d}{dv} \left( \frac{\Delta \rho_\nu}{\rho_\nu} \right) = -\frac{3}{2} \left( N_b^0 + r_{\nu b} N_b \right) HT_\gamma,$$

where $r_{\nu b} \equiv \Gamma_{\nu b} (T_b - T_\gamma)/(HT_\gamma)$ parametrises the efficiency of the momentum transfer from photons to DM, while the first term on RHS represents the energy transfer from the photons to baryons due to Compton scattering. The baryon number density $N_b^0 = \rho_b/m_b(2 - \frac{3}{2} Y_{H_2})$, with $m_b$ the mass of the hydrogen, and $Y_{H_2}$ helium fraction by mass. Its integration can give the estimation for the amplitude of the spectral distortion $\Delta \equiv \Delta \rho_\nu/\rho_\nu$. The observational bound from the FIRAS is $|\Delta| \lesssim 6 \times 10^{-5}$, and this bound is expected to be improved for the PIXIE to the level of $\Delta \approx 10^{-8}$.

For a simple power-law form of the DM-baryon elastic scattering cross section $\sigma_n(v) = \sigma_n v^\alpha$, the FIRAS gives the upper bound on the cross section as [17]

$$\sigma_n \leq \sigma_n^{max} \equiv C_n \frac{m_b}{m_\nu} \left( 1 + \frac{m_b}{m_\nu} \right)^{\frac{3n}{2}} \left( \frac{a_{max}}{a_\nu} \right)^{\frac{m_b}{m_\nu}},$$

where $a_{max} = 10^{-4}$, $a_\nu = 0.5 \times 10^{-7}$ with $m_\nu^{max} = 0.18$ MeV (the same formulae are applicable for the future sensitivity of PIXIE with the replacement $m_\nu^{max} = 1.3$ GeV). For the DM-proton scattering, $C_n = (1.4 \times 10^{-30},1.1 \times 10^{-27}, 8.2 \times 10^{-25}, 5.5 \times 10^{-22})$ cm$^2$ for $n = (-1,0,1,2)$ respectively and $m_b$ with the electron mass $m_e$. [26].

The analogous bounds can be obtained for the scatterings between DM and electrons by replacing the coefficients $c_n$ in Eq. [15] with $C_n = (1.4 \times 10^{-30},2.6 \times 10^{-29}, 4.5 \times 10^{-28}, 7.0 \times 10^{-27})$ cm$^2$ for $n = (-1,0,1,2)$ respectively and $m_b$ with the electron mass $m_e$.

### 4. CMB spectral distortion in dark photon model

We now consider new constraints on the dark photon model from the CMB spectral distortions due to the elastic scatterings between DM and baryons. CMB distortions can probe the DM mass smaller than GeV and complement the existing bounds from other experiments as we shall discuss in the following.

In the dark photon model with a kinetic mixing outlined in §2 the momentum transfer between DM and the baryon is mediated by the dark photon as in Fig. 1. The corresponding matrix element is

$$|M| = \frac{64\pi^2 c_b^2 e^2 \alpha_D}{(q^2 - m_\gamma^2)^2} \left[ 4(k \cdot p)(k' \cdot p) + m_\nu^2 q^2 + k \cdot k' q^2 + q^4 \right],$$

where $\alpha = e^2/4\pi \approx 1/137$ and $\alpha_D \equiv g_\phi^2/4\pi$. Here DM momentum and the relative velocity of baryon-DM in the CM frame are related as $|\vec{\gamma}| = v_m m_b/(m_\gamma + m_e)$ assuming both the baryon and DM are non-relativistic. The corresponding momentum transfer cross section for $m_{\gamma} \gg |\vec{\gamma}|$ is given by

$$\sigma_\nu = \frac{16\pi^2 c_b^2 e^2 \alpha_D}{(m_\gamma + m_e)^2} m_\gamma^2 m_b + O(v^2).$$

Note that the leading term is independent of the velocity for the non-relativistic hidden gauge boson.

Figure 2: The expected upper bound on the cross section from the PIXIE-like CMB spectral distortion experiment is shown with the solid lines: $\sigma_\nu^c(x - p)$ for DM-proton scattering (blue) and $\sigma_\nu^e(x - e)$ for DM-electron scattering (purple) respectively [17]. We also show the constraints from Planck CMB data, and CMB+ SDSS Lyman $\alpha$ data [26] with dashed lines for comparison. The cross sections in the dark photon model are shown with dotted lines: $\sigma_\nu(x - p)$ for the interaction of DM with protons while $\sigma_\nu(x - e)$ with electrons. Here we used $a_\nu = 0.1$, $m_{\gamma} = 1$ MeV and $e = 10^{-3}$ (for DM-proton) and $10^{-1}$ (for DM-electron).
Figure 3: The expected bounds from the CMB spectral distortion by PIXIE (colored regions are excluded) when $m_{Z_d} \gg \text{keV}$ for a few representative DM masses ($m_\chi = 1 \text{ MeV}, 300 \text{ MeV}, 1 \text{ GeV}$), due to the elastic scattering between DM and protons. $\alpha_d = 0.1$ is used for concreteness and the parameter sets producing the CMB distortion of the order $|\Delta| \approx 3 \times 10^{-9}$ expected in the conventional standard cosmology are indicated in a dashed line (brown). The other experimental constraints are adopted from [25].

Figure 4: The bounds due to the elastic scattering between DM and electrons, to be compared with the bounds from the DM-proton scattering in Fig. 3. Fig. 2 shows how the momentum-transfer cross section varies in terms of $m_\chi$ (dotted lines) along with the expected upper bounds from the CMB distortion with the PIXIE-like sensitivity $\Delta \approx 10^{-9}$, (solid lines). The region above $\sigma_{\text{max}}^{\text{enu}}$ is disfavored due to the large spectral distortion. For the PIXIE experiment, the constraint can be applied for the DM mass $m_\chi \leq 1.3 \text{ GeV}$, since, for a larger DM mass, the distortion is too small due to the smaller DM abundance as $N_\chi/N_\chi^{\text{enu}} \sim 3 (\text{GeV}/m_\chi)$ [17]. The dotted lines represent the constraints from the Planck CMB and SDSS Ly$\alpha$ forest data obtained in Ref. [26] whose analysis are applicable only to heavier DM $m_\chi \geq 10 \text{ GeV}$ for comparison.

Figure 5: The expected upper bounds from PIXIE (colored regions are excluded) in terms of the DM mass ($m_\chi$) and the kinetic mixing ($\varepsilon^2$) for $m_{Z_d} \gg \text{keV}$. The bounds from the DM-proton (DM-electron) scattering are shown with solid (dashed) lines. Different colors are for a few representative dark photon masses ($m_{Z_d} = 0.1 \text{ MeV}, 1 \text{ MeV}, 10 \text{ MeV}$) and $\alpha_d = 0.1$ is used for concreteness. Figs. 3 and 4 show the bounds from the CMB distortion on the dark photon mass ($m_{Z_d}$) and the kinetic mixing ($\varepsilon^2$) for different DM masses. We show the constraints from the DM-proton interaction with $m_\chi = 1 \text{ MeV}, 300 \text{ MeV}, 1 \text{ GeV}$ in Fig. 3 and those from the DM-electron interaction with $m_\chi = 0.1 \text{ MeV}, 1 \text{ MeV}, 100 \text{ MeV}$ in Fig. 4. We here used $\alpha_d = 0.1$ and $m_{\chi}^{\text{max}} = 1.3 \text{ GeV}$ corresponding to the PIXIE sensitivity and the colored regions are excluded. The parameter sets producing the distortion of the order $|\Delta| \approx 3 \times 10^{-9}$ (corresponding to the expected magnitude in the conventional standard cosmology as discussed in the introduction section) are also shown to indicate the ultimate precision limit for the CMB spectral distortion measurements. The other experimental constraints are adopted from [25].

Fig. 5 shows the exclusion plots on the plane of the DM mass ($m_\chi$) and the kinetic mixing ($\varepsilon^2$). The expected excluded regions from the CMB spectral distortion with a PIXIE-like sensitivity due to the elastic scattering between DM-proton (solid line) and those for the DM-electron (dashed line) scattering are shown with different colors representing different dark photon masses $m_{Z_d}$ ($\alpha_d = 0.1$ is used for concreteness). We expect the momentum transfer is most efficient when two scattering particles are of the same mass and our figure indeed confirms that the bound from the spectral distortion becomes tightest when the DM mass is around the proton mass for the DM-protons scattering and around the electron mass for the DM-electrons scattering.

An interesting feature is that the constraints due to the DM-proton interaction is stronger at $m_\chi \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$ than those due
to the DM-electron interaction even though $\sigma^{\text{max}}_{p}(\chi-p)$ is approximately 100 times larger than $\sigma^{\text{max}}_{e}(\chi-e)$. This is because the cross section for DM-proton interaction is larger than that for DM-electron by $m_{p}^{2}/m_{e}^{2}$ as seen in Fig. 2, thus the constraint becomes stronger compensating for the larger upper bound.

Our discussions so far focused on the dark photon mass larger than the scale of the exchanged momentum $m_{Z_{d}} \gg \overline{|k|}$, where the velocity dependence in the momentum-transfer cross section disappears at the leading order. We briefly discuss, before concluding our study, the opposite limit for a small dark photon mass, where the cross section behaves as $\sigma \sim v^{-4}$.

For $m_{Z_{d}} \ll \overline{|k|}$, the differential cross section becomes

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \approx \frac{4\pi^{2} m_{Z_{d}}^{2} m_{b}^{2}}{(m_{\chi} + m_{b})^{2} (2\overline{|k|}^{2}(1 - \cos \theta) + m_{Z_{d}}^{2})^{2}}. \quad (18)$$

and the corresponding momentum transfer cross section is

$$\sigma_{tr} \approx 2\pi \epsilon^{2} m_{Z_{d}}^{2} \frac{m_{b}^{2} m_{\chi}^{2}}{m_{b}^{2} + m_{\chi}^{2}} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{4\epsilon^{2}}{m_{Z_{d}}^{2}} \right) - 1 \right],$$

$$\approx 2\pi \epsilon^{2} m_{Z_{d}}^{2} \frac{m_{b}^{2} m_{\chi}^{2}}{m_{b}^{2} + m_{\chi}^{2}} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{4 \times 10^{4} eV^{2}}{m_{Z_{d}}^{2}} \right) - 1 \right], \quad (19)$$

In the second line we used the relation between $\overline{|k|}$ and $v$, and used the approximation that the logarithmic term does not change much during the epoch of our interest for $10^{6} \leq z \leq 10^{4}$ (we thus used $\overline{|k|} = 100$ eV, a typical momentum scale around $z \sim 10^{6}$).

While the DM decoupling epoch can be approximated by the step function for $n = 0$, the DM kinetic decoupling is far from instantaneous transition for a light $m_{Z_{d}}$ where $n = -4$. Therefore instead of using the step-function approximation as done in [17], we here solve Eqs. (11) and (14) numerically to obtain the upper bound on the momentum transfer cross section. The corresponding bound is shown in Fig. 6(b). We can see the bound has little dependence on the DM mass, which can be expected from Eq. (14) characterizing the magnitude of the spectral distortion. For a light DM, $r_{b}N_{\chi}$ in Eq. (14) is independent of DM mass because $\Gamma_{bi} \propto m_{\chi}$ and $N_{\chi}/m_{b} \approx 3(\text{GeV}/m_{\chi})$.

\footnote{For $n \leq -2$, the thermal decoupling is gradual and the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution would not be a good approximation [17]. We need, in this case, a more rigorous treatment by solving the Boltzmann equation in the phase space and defer it to our future work.}
The mass dependence shows up for a larger DM mass $m_\chi \gtrsim m_\rho$ where $\Gamma_{\chi p} \propto 1/m_\chi$ and thus $r_p N_\chi \propto 1/m_\chi^2$, before the distortion signals become too small to be detected for $m_\chi \gtrsim 1.3$ GeV. Also note the bounds have little dependence on the dark photon mass $m_\gamma$ because the cross section only depends logarithmically on $m_\gamma$. This is reasonable because the dark photon propagator $1/(k^2 + m_\gamma^2)$ has a small dependence on $m_\gamma$ when $m_\gamma \ll k$. Fig. 3 shows the expected constraints on $m_\chi$ and $\sigma_\chi$ from the DM-proton (solid lines) scattering and the DM-electron scattering (dashed lines) for $m_\chi = 1$ eV and $\sigma_\chi = 0.1$.

5. Conclusion

We have explored the possibilities to probe the dark sector where the hidden gauge boson kinetically mixes with the SM from the CMB spectral distortion. The momentum transfer between baryon-photon plasma and DM can extract energy from CMB and distort their spectra. We studied the effects in the dark photon model as a concrete example beyond the SM. In particular, we focused on a relatively light (sub-GeV) dark photon model as a concrete example beyond the SM. In between baryon-photon plasma and DM can extract energy from the CMB spectral distortion. The momentum transfer between scattering (dashed lines) for $m_\chi = 1$ eV and $\sigma_\chi = 0.1$.

While the stringent bounds already exist on the dark photon model, in particular, from the collider experiments, we illustrated that the astrophysical observables can also give the compelling limits on the dark photon parameters totally independent from those coming from the particle physics experiments. Our new constraints from the CMB spectral distortion are comparable with those already existing constraints at $m_\chi = 10$ MeV. More specifically, we found the CMB spectral distortion observables can give the tight bounds, for $m_\chi \gg$ keV (which corresponds to $n = 0$), when $m_\chi \sim n_\chi$ (GeV) for $\chi - p$ scattering and when $m_\chi \sim m_\chi$ (MeV) for $\chi - e$ scattering. It can be understood by the fact that momentum transfer is maximized when the scattering particles have comparable masses. The DM-electron scattering can give the tighter bounds than that from DM-proton scattering for a lighter dark matter mass range as illustrated in Fig. 5. For $m_\chi, < \mathrm{keV}$ (which corresponds to $n = -4$), in contrast, $\chi - p$ scattering gives stronger constraints than $\chi - e$ scattering for the dark matter mass range considered in our analysis. This is because, as Fig. 6 illustrates, the upper bound on the momentum-transfer cross section of $\chi - p$ scattering is always stronger than $\chi - e$ scattering.

We leave the study for a more general dark photon mass range taking account of the collisional Boltzmann equations without assuming the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution for our future work.
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