Defensive properties of pyrrolizidine alkaloids against microorganisms

Lotte Joosten · Johannes A. van Veen

Received: 29 January 2010 / Accepted: 4 November 2010 / Published online: 23 November 2010
© The Author(s) 2010. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract The understanding of the selection factors that drive chemical diversification of secondary metabolites of constitutive defence systems in plants, such as pyrrolizidine alkaloids (PAs), is still incomplete. Historically, plants always have been confronted with microorganisms. Long before herbivores existed on this planet, plants had to cope with microbial pathogens. Therefore, plant pathogenic microorganisms may have played an important role in the early evolution of the secondary metabolite diversity. In this review, we discuss the impact that plant-produced PAs have on plant-associated microorganisms. The objective of the review is to present the current knowledge on PAs with respect to antimicrobial activities, adaptation and detoxification by microorganisms, pathogenic fungi, root protection and PA induction. Many in vitro experiments showed effects of PAs on microorganisms. These results point to the potential of microorganisms to be important for the evolution of PAs. However, only a few in vivo studies have been published and support the results of the in vitro studies. In conclusion, the topics pointed out in this review need further exploration by carrying out ecological experiments and field studies.
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Introduction

Many plants synthesize a range of diverse secondary metabolites that are toxic and/or deterrent for herbivores and pathogens. This diversity might be one of the strategies that plants use in order to defend themselves against the great variety of potential environmental threats. Species of several families within the angiosperms are known for their production of nitrogen-based secondary metabolites called pyrrolizidine alkaloids (PAs). PAs have toxic, deterrent and/or repellent effects on a wide range of generalist herbivores in order to reduce or prevent damage (van Dam et al. 1995; Hartmann 1999; Hartmann and Ober 2000; Ober 2003). Our understanding of the selection...
factors that drive chemical diversification of PAs in plants is still incomplete. It has been suggested that the diversity of PAs in plant species have evolved under selective pressures by generalist herbivores (Hartmann and Dierich 1998; Macel et al. 2005). However, long before herbivores existed, plants already had to cope with the attack of microorganisms. Therefore, we suggest that also microbial pathogens played an important role in the evolution of the secondary metabolite diversity. If so there should be differences in effectiveness of the individual PA types against microorganisms as well as differences in adaptation of microorganisms to the different PAs.

In this review we will discuss the current knowledge on the anti-microbial activities of PAs and the ecological consequences of this activity. More specifically we will ask; (1) Do PAs show anti-microbial activities in in vitro tests; (2) Do microorganisms adapt to PAs? (3) Do PAs have an effect on aboveground pathogenic fungi?; (4) Do PAs play a role in root protection against soil-borne microorganisms?; (5) Is the PA defence system inducible by microorganisms?

Ecologically relevant in vitro anti-microbial activity of alkaloids

A Web of Science search for “+Topic = (alkaloid*) AND Topic = (microorganism*) AND Topic = (plant*) Time span = All Years” throws back 153 hits while when replacing “Topic = (microorganism*)” for “Topic = (insect*)” 602 hits are shown. This already indicates that more attention is paid to the effects of PAs on insect herbivores. In addition, most studies on the anti-microbial activities of alkaloids have pharmaceutical purposes, deal with PA toxicity in mammals or based on PAs not produced by plants.

Nevertheless, toxic anti-bacterial or anti-fungal activities of plant alkaloids have been shown in a number of studies. Recently Erdemoglu et al. (2007, 2009) reported that quinolizidine alkaloid extracts (inhibitory concentrations of 62.2–500 µg/ml) from the aerial parts of *Lupinus angustifolius* and *Genista vuralii* showed activity against several different bacterial species. Anti-fungal effects by alkaloids also have been found for several plant associated fungi by bioassay experiments (Wippich and Wink 1985; Zhao et al. 1998; Ma et al. 1999; Zhou et al. 2003). In the study by Wippich and Wink’s (1985), several quinolizidine alkaloids were mentioned that inhibited the germination of conidia *Erysiphe graminis*. Zhao et al. (1998) reported that two furoquinoline alkaloids showed activity against the phytopathogenic fungus *Cladosporium cucumerinum*. The inhibitory concentrations of the two alkaloids, dictamine and haplopine, was 25 µg/ml and originated from root bark of *Dictamnus dasycarpos*. Ma et al. (1999) showed that the isoquinoline alkaloids, corynoline and acetylcoyrylnoline, inhibited the fungal growth of *Cladosporium herbarum* at a concentration of 3 µg/ml. Zhou et al. (2003) reported that steroidal alkaloids from the rizomes and roots of *Veratrum taliense* inhibited the growth of the phytopathogenic fungi, *Phytophthora capsidi* and *Rhizoctonia cerealis* in concentrations of 80–200 µg/ml.

The role that PAs (as a specific group of alkaloids) play in plant protection against microorganisms is still rather unclear. A Web of Science search for “Topic = (pyrrolizidine) AND Topic = (microorganism* OR fung* OR bacteri*)” AND Topic = (plant*) Time span = All Years” throws back 81 hits. Around 75% of all studies on the anti-microbial activities of PAs, are not related to plant defence. When replacing “Topic = (microorganism* OR fung* OR bacteri*)” by “Topic = (insect*)”, than 162 hits are shown.

Table 1 shows an overview of studies on PA effects on the growth of microorganisms. From a total of 43 bioassay-tests with different bacterial species 65% showed a negative sensitivity to the different PAs (Jain and Sharma 1987; Marquina et al. 1989; Singh et al. 2002). Anti-bacterial effects of PAs produced by *Heliotropium* species were investigated in a few in vitro studies (Jain and Sharma 1987; Marquina et al. 1989; Singh et al. 2002). In these studies the growth of bacterial species, mostly human pathogens, such as *E. coli*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *B. subtilis*, *Bacillus anthracis* and *S. aureus*, was inhibited in the presence of different pure PAs and PA plant extracts (see Table 1).

More is known about the effect of PAs on fungi. Also here most studies were carried out in vitro. Of the 145 bioassay-tests with different fungal species, 61% showed significant growth inhibition caused by the different PAs (Jain and Sharma 1987; Marquina et al. 1989; Reina et al. 1995, 1997, 1998; Singh et al. 2002; Hol and van Veen 2002; Hol et al. 2003). Early
Table 1 Overview of studies on pyrrolizidine alkaloids and the effect on the growth of microorganisms. PAs studied showed a significant negative effect on at least one of the microorganisms tested and visa versa

| Pyrrolizidine alkaloids | Alkaloid origin          | Microorganisms                        | Inhibition concentration (mg/ml) | References                  |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Europine               | Aerial parts             | Bacteria                              |                                 |                             |
| Heliotridine           | *Heliotropium ellipticum*| *Escherichia coli*                    |                                 |                             |
| Lasiocarpine           |                          | *Streptococcus pneumoniae*            |                                 |                             |
| Lasiocarpine N-oxide   |                          | *Bacillus subtilis*                   |                                 |                             |
| PA extract             |                          | *Bacillus anthracis*                  |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Staphylococcus aureus*               |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Fungi*                               |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Candida albicans*                    |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Curvularia lunata*                   |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Phytopathogenic fungi*               |                                 |                             |
| 9-Angeloylretronecine  | Aerial parts             | *Fusarium moniliforme*                |                                 |                             |
| N-oxide                |                          | *Aspergillus flavus*                  |                                 |                             |
| Supinine               | *Heliotropium bursiferum*| *Bacillus subtilis*                   | 50                              | Marquina et al. (1989)      |
| Heliotrine (no sign. effect) |                  | *Phytopathogenic fungi*               |                                 |                             |
| Lasiocarpine           |                          | *Candida tropicalis*                  |                                 |                             |
| PA extract             |                          | *Aspergillus niger*                   |                                 |                             |
| Europine               | Aerial parts             | *Fusarium moniliforme*                | Fungal growth 0.01–0.25          | Reina et al. (1995)         |
| 7-acethyleuropine (no sign. effect) |                   | *Fusarium oxysporum*                  |                                 |                             |
| 3’-Acetyltrachelanthamine | Aerial parts             | *Fusarium moniliforme*                | Fungal growth 0.5                | Reina et al. (1997)         |
| Floridinine            | *Heliotropium floridum*  | *Fusarium avenaceum*                  |                                 |                             |
| PA extract             |                          | *Fusarium solani*                     |                                 |                             |
| Plant extract          |                          |                                       |                                 |                             |
| Megalanthonine (no sign. effect) | Aerial parts            | *Fusarium moniliforme*                | Fungal growth 0.5                | Reina et al. (1998)         |
| Lycopsamine (no sign. effect) | *Heliotropium megalanthum* | *Fusarium moniliforme*                | 0.5                             |                             |
| N-oxide                | Aerial parts             | *Fusarium moniliforme*                |                                 |                             |
| 7-Angeloyl heliotrine  | *Heliotropium subulatum* | Bacteria                              |                                 |                             |
| Retronecine            |                          | *Escherichia coli*                    |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Streptococcus pneumoniae*            |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Bacillus subtilis*                   |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Bacillus anthracis*                  |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Staphylococcus aureus*               |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Phytopathogenic fungi*               |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Aspergillus fumigatus*               |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Aspergillus niger*                   |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Rhizoctonia phaseoli*                |                                 |                             |
|                        |                          | *Penicillium chrysogenum*             |                                 |                             |
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work of Jain and Sharma (1987) and Marquina et al. (1989) reported anti-yeast and anti-fungal activities (see Table 1). Reina et al. (1995) found anti-fungal activity against *Fusarium moniliferme* by the PA europine produced by *Heliotropium bovei*. PAs produced by *Heliotropium subulatum* showed to be active against *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Rhizoctonia phaseoli* and *Penicillium chrysogenum* (Singh et al. 2002).

PAs from *Heliotropium* species are open chain diesters and differ in structure from the PAs in *Senecio* and *Jacobaea* species, which are macrocyclic diesters (see Fig. 1). Hol and van Veen (2002) investigated the growth-reducing effects of PAs from *Jacobaea vulgaris* on different plant-associated fungi. The growth of five soil fungal strains of *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Fusarium sambucinum* and *Trichoderma sp.* was temporarily inhibited by different purified PAs among which monocrotaline, retrorsine, retrorsine *N*-oxide and PA plant extract. The concentrations 0.33 and 3.33 mM (equal to ca. 0.1 and 1.08 mg/ml used in in vitro tests), at which the most inhibitory effects were found, are comparable to PA concentrations (0.3–3 mM fresh weight) found in plant tissue under natural conditions for *J. vulgaris* (Hol et al. 2003; Kowalchuk et al. 2006). PA plant extracts from *J. vulgaris*, containing a bouquet of different PAs, was the most active inhibitor. The tested PAs never stopped fungal growth totally. After initial growth-delay the fungi were able to adapt and to grow normally within 30 days. Hol and van Veen (2002) hypothesized that the observed temporary mycelium growth-delay may have serious ecological consequences for the affected fungi, as it may impact the competition between microorganisms in the rhizosphere and will give plant roots extra time to raise its defences or escape (Hol and van Veen 2002). Mycelium growth was not in all cases negatively influenced by the PA treatments but depended on where a particular fungal line originated from. The potential adaptation of isolated fungi from PA-producing plants will be further discussed below.

Based on the published literature the majority of in vitro studies showed a negative effect of PAs on microorganisms. Although results of in vitro studies cannot be translated easily to ecologically relevant conditions, these studies indicate that PAs can play an important role in the defence against microorganisms in natural systems.

### Adaptation by microorganisms to alkaloids

The diversity in plant defence compounds is thought to result from the arms race between the plant and its attacker (Ehrlich and Raven 1964). This biochemical

---

**Table 1 continued**

| Pyrrolizidine alkaloids | Alkaloid origin | Microorganisms | Inhibition concentration (mg/ml) | References |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| Monocrotaline           | Aerial parts   | Phytopathogenic fungi | Fungal growth 0.01–1.08          | Hol and van Veen (2002) |
| Retrorsine              | *Jacobaea vulgaris* | *Fusarium oxysporum* |                                      |            |
| Retrorsine *N*-oxide    | *Jacobaea vulgaris* | *Fusarium sambucinum* |                                      |            |
| PA extract              |                | *Trichoderma sp.* |                                      |            |
| Monocrotaline           | Aerial parts   | Phytopathogenic fungi | Fungal growth 0.01–1.08          | Hol (2003) |
| Senecionine             | *Senecio brasiliensis* | *Fusarium oxysporum* |                                      |            |
| Retrorsine              | *Jacobaea vulgaris* | *Fusarium sambucinum* |                                      |            |
| Integerrimine           |                | *Mortierella sp.* |                                      |            |
| Integerrimine + Retrorsine |            | *Minimedusa sp.* |                                      |            |
| Bulk extract            | *Jacobaea vulgaris* | *Plectosphearella cucumerina* |                                      |            |
|                        |                | *Rhizoctonia sp.* |                                      |            |
|                        |                | *Broomella acuta* |                                      |            |
|                        |                | *Pestalotiopsis sp.* |                                      |            |
|                        |                | *Trichoderma sp.* |                                      |            |
Fig. 1 Effective chemical structures of pyrrolizidine alkaloids against microorganisms mentioned in this manuscript

co-evolutionary theory assumes that, in response to adaptation by the attacker, plants need to synthesize new defence compounds by modification of the original compound. Crucial in this hypothesis is the ability of the attackers to adapt to defence compounds. For insects it has been shown that indeed they can (Lindigkeit et al. 1997). For microorganisms the evidence is less clear. However, given their short generation time and the extremely high numbers of individuals one might expect an even higher ability to adapt to chemical defence compounds. In addition it has been suggested (Hol 2003) that microbial pathogens may be stronger selective agents than herbivore attackers because they are more likely to kill hosts in contrast to herbivores, which often abandon their host after defoliation. Although potentially an interesting hypothesis, the evidence to support this is weak.

Adaptation is known in microbiology as a development of resistance. Many resistance mechanisms are known, such as making it more difficult for the toxin to enter the microorganism, and chemical modification of the toxic compound which results in inactivation of the toxin. Adaptation by fungi to secondary metabolites is known for a variety of compounds such as steroidal glycoalkaloids (Osborn 1996; Morrissey and Osbourn 1999) and phytotoxins (Soby et al. 1996). Adaptation by inactivating the toxin could be followed by the ability to utilize the compounds as substrate for energy and biomass production or storage for their own defence against attackers (McGonigle and Hyakumachi 2001). Endophytic symbionts, for instance, need to cope with the secondary metabolites of their host plant, like Rhizobium specimens. They form symbioses with members of the leguminoseae, such as lupines, which produce large amounts of alkaloids, in particular, quinolizidine alkaloids (Wink 1988; Harborne 2003). Werner et al. (1997) showed that endophytic fungi were able to metabolize the polyamine alkaloid aphelandrine. Several fungi were capable to use part of the polyamine alkaloid as nitrogen source. Nearly all fast-growing endophytes of the family of Nectriaceae were able to degrade and to grow on this alkaloid while slow-growing endophytes could only partially degrade aphelandrine.
A study by Hol and van Veen (2002) showed that there is a remarkable difference in fungal sensitivity in relation to the host plant. Five *F. oxysporum* lines, isolated from different host plants (2× *J. vulgaris, Carex arenaria, Senecio vulgaris* and unknown host plant) were stimulated by the PA bulk treatments. The stimulation of some isolates by the PA bulk suggests that adaptation may have taken place (Hol and van Veen 2002). Hol (2003) showed that fungi isolated from *J. vulgaris* roots were initially inhibited but, later, their growth was stimulated by different concentrations of a bulk PA mix extracted from the plant. This suggests that adaptation took place. They suspected that the increased growth could be the result of the ability to use PAs as nutrition. In these experiments 75% of the nitrogen was present in the form of PAs (Hol 2003). However, the idea that PAs are used as nutrition is merely an assumption because the study was not targeted at finding direct evidence that fungi were able to metabolize and use PAs as a source of nitrogen. It would be very interesting to test if the fungi actually inactivate PAs and utilise PAs for further growth. Three fungi, which were sensitive to retrorsine, were grown on 5 mM retrorsine during 10 days. Hol (2003) found that after these 10 days of pre-culturing fungi on water agar containing retrorsine, *Pestalotiopsis* sp. was significantly less sensitive to the PAs retrorsine and integerrimine, while *Rhizoctonia* sp. and *Broomella acuta* showed the same sensitivity to PAs as after pre-culturing on water agar alone. Hol (2003) suggested that the increased performance of *Pestalotiopsis* sp. was probably a phenotypic change. Apparently rapid phenotypic adaptation does occur. When it is this easy for fungi to adapt to PAs, we might question whether PAs are effective as antifungal defence. Tolerance to PAs was shown to be species specific and a variation was shown in PA activities. The fact that some fungi were tolerant or even stimulated by PAs does not deny the antifungal activity of PAs against other fungi. Antifungal effect of major PAs such as senecionine, seneciphylline, erucifoline and jacobine in the roots of PA-producing plants still haven’t been tested.

There are also other microorganisms in an entire different biotope that are able to cope with PAs. Ruminal bacteria living in the stomach of some mammals can transform PAs before absorption into the body of the host may occur (Lanicang 1970). This may result in detoxification of the ingested PAs, which may be life saving for the host mammals. It is well known that PA-containing plants cause extensive livestock losses all over the world (Craig et al. 1991). When parts of these plants are ingested in substantial quantities, PAs can cause acute and chronic liver damage in large mammals including humans (Mattocks 1986). Sheep and goats are relatively resistant to the toxic effects of PAs thanks to bacterial decomposition of PAs in their stomach (Cheeke 1988; Stegelmeier et al. 1999). The microorganisms that detoxify PAs have not yet been identified but Wachenheim et al. (1992) found that jacobine biotransformation involves multiple bacterial species and they suggested that gram-positive bacteria play a key role. The PA biotransformation was inhibited when ruminal antibiotics, especially against gram-positive bacteria, were used. These results might be very helpful for the identification and isolation of PA-transforming bacteria and can be applied to induce and enhance resistance to PAs in mammals (Lodge-Ivey et al. 2005).

From the few studies that exist we can conclude that there are indications that adaptation of microorganisms to PAs occur. However, the evolutionary ecological consequences of this remains unclear. More research needs to be done to get a better insight on the adaptation mechanisms and strategies by microorganisms.

**Ecological effects on pathogens; aboveground**

Aboveground pathogens like rusts are common parasites of many plants among which PA-producing *Asteraceae*. *Puccinia dioicae*, for instance, is a common rust species on *J. vulgaris* (Harper and Wood 1957) and *Puccinia lagenophorae* is common on *S. vulgaris* (Paul and Ayres 1986a, b). *S. vulgaris* plants infected by *P. lagenophorae* are strongly hampered in their growth and reproduction. Reproduction was negatively influenced by rust infection because fewer plants flowered and per plant fewer buds, mature capitula and seeds were produced (Paul and Ayres 1986a, b). Studies on the effects of PAs on aboveground pathogens are very scarce. Infection of *S. vulgaris* by *P. lagenophorae* was enhanced at high-nutrient conditions (Tinney et al. 1998). This could have a relation with the PA production in the plant.
Hol et al. (2003) found that high-nutrient conditions decreased the PA concentrations in *J. vulgaris*. This may imply that the increased mycelium growth of pathogenic fungi such as *P. lagenophorae* may be related to lowering of the PA concentration in the host at higher nutrient concentrations. However in contrast with this suggestion, *P. dioicae* was found to cause most damage on *J. vulgaris* plant containing high PA levels including the alkaloid jacobine. The relationship between PA-producing plants and their aboveground pathogens in the field need further investigation before any conclusions can be drawn on their relation with PAs.

**Ecological effects on pathogens; belowground**

Perennial plant species contain high food reserves in the roots for vegetative reproduction and re-growth. Also, this helps plants to tolerate aboveground herbivory such as complete defoliation by specialists like *T. jacobaeae* on *J. vulgaris* (van der Meijden et al. 1988, 2001). The roots of these plant species are used for production and storage of PAs (Hartmann and Ober 2000). The concentration of PAs in the root crown of fully grown *J. vulgaris* plants in the field can be up to 4 mg/g dry weight (Kowalchuk et al. 2006). Thus, one could expect that these roots may strongly be protected against attackers.

The tissue in which plant defence compounds are stored is often crucial for their effectiveness. Hol et al. (2003) studied the distribution of PAs over different root parts. They observed that the concentration in the main root cortex was five times higher than the concentrations in the vascular cylinder. This suggests that a first line of defence against microbial attackers from the outside world may be created by tissue containing the highest concentration of PAs. The mature root parts are probably well protected because of its importance for re-growth after damage. Therefore from an evolutionary point of view it is reasonable that they contained the highest PA concentrations.

Recent observations point to certain clues on what type of PAs in *J. vulgaris* act as key players in root protection (Hol et al. 2003, 2004; Kowalchuk et al. 2006). When the roots or shoots of this species were damaged, jacobine and seneciphylline levels increased in the roots (Hol et al. 2004). This suggests that these PAs are important for root protection when the plant is under attack belowground. Hol et al. (2003) also found that an increase of nutrients in the soil decreased the PA concentrations in *J. vulgaris* probably due to a dilution effect. The biomass of the plant increased when nutrient levels rose mainly due to increases in the aboveground biomass, whereas the belowground plant biomass did not change significantly. Since the PA production is closely linked to root growth (Frischknecht et al. 2001) the total plant PA amount remained constant, therefore the concentration decreased as the total plant biomass increased. The concentrations of all PAs decreased with one exception. The concentration of jacobine remained constant in the shoots and increased in the roots when the total plant biomass increased. This emphasises the potential importance of jacobine for the defence of the plant (Hol et al. 2003). A field study on the role of root PAs in relation to fungi present in the rhizosphere of *J. vulgaris* pointed in the same direction. High-PA plants (1.13–3.92 mg/g dw) with jacobine as the major root PA suppressed the development of microorganisms by inducing a lower diversity of fungi in the rhizosphere compared to low-PA plants (0–0.53 mg/g dw) or high-PA plants lacking jacobine in the root (Kowalchuk et al. 2006).

The presence of defence compounds such as PAs plays a role in the selection processes that shape the soil-borne microbial community present in the rhizosphere as shown in the above described study by Kowalchuk et al. (2006). This selection might favour those microorganisms that are tolerant or resistant to these defence compounds or in some cases even can degrade or utilize them. As described above, the highest PA concentrations in the roots were found in root cortex and the lateral roots instead of the vascular cylinder (Hol et al. 2003). Thus, we may expect that at least by root damage and sloughed of root cells PAs leak into the rhizosphere. Plants may also actively secrete PAs into the soil but, to our knowledge, this has never been tested also the exact PA levels in the rhizosphere have never been measured. The exact role that defence compounds play in plant protection against root-infecting bacteria and fungi is still not fully understood. Measuring low levels of chemical compounds that occur in the rhizosphere of the plant is still a challenge study area because of inadequate methods for analyses (Bais et al. 2006).
PA induction by microorganisms

Many studies on the diversity and effectiveness of PAs as defence compounds have been performed with *J. vulgaris* and *S. vulgaris* of the Asteraceae family, especially in relation to insects. The concentration and composition of PAs in plant species is genotype dependent but also affected by the environment (Vrieling et al. 1993; Hol et al. 2003; Macel et al. 2004; Hol et al. 2004; Macel and Klinkhamer 2010; Joosten et al. 2009). Less is known about PA induction by pathogens aboveground. Tinney et al. (1998) found no significant effect of *P. lagenophorae* on the total PA concentration of *S. vulgaris* and hardly any effect on the PA composition, although infection caused a reduction in growth. The root dry weight of the infected plants was significantly lower compared to the uninfected control plants, while no significant difference was found for the vegetative tissue aboveground. We would expect that the PA concentration in the infected plants should be lower since PA production is closely linked to root growth (Hol et al. 2003). When focussing on particular plant parts instead of the whole plant, a significantly lower PA concentration in the rust infected plants were found in capitula and roots compared to the uninfected plants but not in the vegetative tissue which contained 90% of the total PA concentration. Although the rust infection caused reduction in growth for *S. vulgaris*, it had little influence on the overall PA concentration. PA synthesis was not induced by the aboveground rust infection but changes in PA distribution could be one of the effects (Tinney et al. 1998). This result is consistent with the conclusions from a study on *Cynoglossum officinale* (van Dam and Vrieling 1994), where mechanical wounding in leaves did not induce PA synthesis.

Bezemer et al. (2006) found that aboveground herbivory was related to the fungal community belowground. They suggested that the fungal community directly (Joosten et al. 2009) or indirectly (Hol et al. 2003) changed the concentration of different PAs in the shoots and in this way affected the aboveground insect community. However the PA concentrations were not measured. Macel and Klinkhamer (2010) noticed that the composition of PAs in genotypes of *J. vulgaris* changed in the field compared to the initial composition in laboratory clones. The PA composition also differed between the aboveground parts of clones grown on two different experimental field sites. Low nutrient levels in soil (Hol et al. 2003) and root damage (Hol et al. 2004) has been shown to result in an increased PA concentration in the shoots of *J. vulgaris*. Joosten et al. (2009) found a strong effect of soil-type and soil-borne microorganisms on the composition of PAs in roots and shoots of this plant species. Clonal plants of two genotypes were grown on two sterilized soil and sterilized soils inoculated with with 5% of non-sterilized soil of either of the two soil-types. Statistically, the first two discriminant functions classified around 80% of all the combinations of soil-types and inoculation treatments correctly based on the PA expression in roots and shoots of both genotypes. In particular the levels of retrorsine and retrorsine N-oxide were case specific in response to specific soil inoculation. In the study of Hol and van Veen (2002), retrorsine and retrorsine N-oxide had inhibitory effects on mycelium growth of several plant-associated fungi. The levels of jacobine and jacobine N-oxide were raised in the shoots of plants grown on specific soils. This influence of soil-type and soil-borne microorganisms could have major ecological consequences as changes in the concentration of individual PAs aboveground may attract specialist herbivores while deterring generalists (McEvoy et al. 1993; Macel and Vrieling 2003; Macel et al. 2005; Macel and Klinkhamer 2010). It could also have considerable consequences for other relevant processes for instance for the success of invasive plants and for the biological control of plants.

Conclusions

The existing evidence on the role PAs play in plant defence against microorganisms is scarce especially in comparison to ecological studies on insects. However, the studies that are available do suggest a potential role of PA in the plant’s defence strategy against microorganisms. Unfortunately hardly any field studies are performed on this topic, which is remarkable, given the results of the in vivo experiments. In vitro experiments show potential effects of plant-produced PAs on microorganisms. This idea is supported by in vivo experiments, although in limited numbers. PA mixtures affect microorganisms in vivo and in vitro and variation exists in anti-microbial effects of different
PAs (Hol et al. 2003). Several PAs tested showed structurally related PAs differ in their effects on microorganisms but some PAs were more effective than others. High levels of jacobine were associated with lower fungal diversity in the rhizosphere of the plant of its precursor, but the primary PA senecionine, did not have this effect (Kowalchuk et al. 2006). There are indications that adaptation of microorganisms to PAs occur, in particular microorganisms that were isolated from PA-containing plants showed a higher tolerance and more rapid adaptation (Hol 2003). The relationship between PAs and microorganisms need further investigation before the strength of microorganisms as selection factor can fully be assessed.
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