RADIO PULSATION SEARCH AND IMAGING STUDY OF SGR J1935+2154
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ABSTRACT

We present the results obtained from imaging observations and a search for radio pulsations towards the magnetar SGR J1935+2154 made using the Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope and the Ooty Radio Telescope. We present the high-resolution radio image of the supernova remnant (SNR) G57.2+0.8, which is positionally associated with SGR J1935+2154. We did not detect significant periodic radio pulsations from the magnetar, with $8\sigma$ upper limits on its flux density of 0.4 and 0.2 mJy at 326.5 and 610 MHz, respectively, for an assumed duty cycle of 10%. The corresponding $6\sigma$ upper limits at the two frequencies for any burst emission with an assumed width of 10 ms are 0.5 Jy and 63 mJy, respectively. No continuum radio point source was detected at the position of SGR J1935+2154 with a $3\sigma$ upper limit of 1.2 mJy. We also did not detect significant diffuse radio emission in a radius of 70 arc s coinciding with the recently reported diffuse X-ray emission, with a $3\sigma$ upper limit of 4.5 mJy. Using the archival HI spectra, we estimate the distance of SNR G57.2+0.8 to be 11.7 $\pm$ 2.8 kpc. Based on the measured HI column density ($N_{HI}$) along this line of sight, we argue that the magnetar could be physically associated with SNR G57.2+0.8. Based on the present data, we cannot rule out either a pulsar wind nebula or a dust-scattering halo origin for the diffuse X-ray emission seen around the magnetar.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Soft gamma-ray repeaters (SGRs) are sources that produce repeating bursts of $\gamma$-rays and are usually discovered as $\gamma$-ray transients. Together with anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs), they form a rare, distinct class of pulsars (with pulsations in a narrow period range of 2–12 s and with $P$ between $10^{-14}$ and $10^{-11}$), which have transient as well as highly variable emission in the high-energy electromagnetic band. Duncan & Thompson (1992) proposed that the object powering the high-energy bursts could be a neutron star with a magnetic field strength in the range $10^{14}$–$10^{15}$ G (hence the adopted name of magnetar), and the bursts are powered by the release of magnetic energy over a short timescale. The burst luminosity of such a source is typically higher than the spin-down luminosity inferred from the measurement of its period and period-derivative.

Most magnetars are radio-quiet. The first detection of radio pulsations from a magnetar was from XTE J1810–197, following a 100-fold increase in its X-ray flux in 2003 (Ibrahim et al. 2004; Camilo et al. 2006). There are now three such sources with reported radio pulsations which also show a large variability in their emission, spectral indices, and integrated profile shapes at radio frequencies (Camilo et al. 2007, 2016; Serylak et al. 2009). In all of these cases, the quiescent X-ray luminosity is less than the spin-down luminosity (Rea et al. 2012). Radio emission properties similar to the ones above are also observed in PSR J1622–4950. This high magnetic field pulsar is the first object of this class which was discovered from its radio emission (Levin et al. 2010, 2012). Thus, all of the known radio-loud magnetars have shown X-ray outbursts followed by pulsed radio emission. The above observational evidence suggests that the onset of X-ray bursts in a magnetar qualifies it as a plausible candidate for radio follow-up observations.

Generally, a young pulsar powered by its rotation is enveloped by a bright synchrotron emitting nebula created by the wind of relativistic particles from the pulsar, injected and powered by the loss of the rotational kinetic energy of the pulsar (Gold 1969; Pacini & Salvati 1973). Even though the spin-down luminosity of magnetars is smaller than that of young pulsars, a wind nebula could still be present around them. Thus far, there has been no unambiguous detection of such a wind nebula (for more details, see Younes et al. 2012 and references therein). A magnetar burst is also likely to create a transient nebula just after the burst (Frail et al. 1999). Recently, a candidate nebula was proposed for SWIFT J1834–0846 (Younes et al. 2012) which could also be explained as a halo created by the scattering of X-rays by intervening dust (Esposito et al. 2013). Thus, imaging observations in a wide band of radio frequencies following an SGR burst can be useful for detecting a magnetar powered wind nebula and constraining the nature of the diffuse X-ray emission.

The Swift burst alert telescope (BAT) detected the X-ray burst of SGR J1935+2154 on 2014 July 5. The duration of the burst was ~0.1 s with a double peaked structure (Cummings et al. 2014). Following the trigger by the above BAT detection, the Swift X-ray telescope (XRT) also observed the field. The XRT, along with ultraviolet and optical telescope imaging observations, were useful to localize the source at the right ascension (R.A.): $19^h34^m55.68^s$ and declination (decl.): $+21^\circ$ 53'48". The initial burst was also followed by three short interval soft bursts, of which the first two had durations of 30 $\mu$s each while the third lasted for 70 $\mu$s. Additionally, Cummings & Campana (2014) analyzed the BAT archival data and found no detection. However, they could find serendipitous detection of the same source in Swift XRT data made in 2010.
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and 2011. It was also noted that the SGR lies very close to the geometric center of the supernova remnant (SNR) G57.2+0.8 and there is a good chance of association. The age of this SNR is undetermined and its distance is estimated to be 9 ± 4 kpc using the σ − Δ relation (Sun et al. 2011; Pavlović et al. 2013). Recently, based on Chandra and XMM-Newton data, Israel et al. (2016) reported coherent pulsations from this source with a period of 3.2 s. They confirmed its magnetar nature with a slow-down rate of 1.43 × 10−11 s−1 and a spin-down luminosity of Lsd ∼ 1.7 × 1033 erg s−1. They also reported diffuse X-ray emission extending up to 1° around the magnetar, which could be a dust-scattered halo or pulsar wind nebula (PWN).

In this paper, we report on the results of the radio pulsation search and radio imaging observations carried out using the Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT) and the Ooty Radio Telescope (ORT). Details of the observations are presented in Section 2. Details of the data analysis procedure, the results obtained, and the implications of the non-detection of diffuse radio emission are discussed in Section 3, followed by a summary of our findings in Section 4.

2. OBSERVATIONS

We performed observations of SGR J1935+2154 with the ORT at 326.5 MHz on 2014 July 9 and 14, using 16 MHz bandwidth in pulsar mode. The data were recorded using the PONDER (Naidu et al. 2015) back-end, employing 1024 filterbank channels with a sampling time of 1 ms. The total integration times in the two sessions were 4 and 3 hours, respectively. Observations with the GMRT were performed using the director’s discretionary time (DDT) allocation (ddtB134). The observations were performed on 2014 July 14 at 610 MHz with a 33 MHz band spread across 512 filterbank channels using the GMRT software back-end (Roy et al. 2010). The interferometric data were recorded with a default sampling of 16 s, while the pulsar data were recorded in the phased array (PA) and incoherent array (IA) modes with a sampling time of 61 μs. The high-sensitivity PA beam (100″) was centered at the initial SWIFT position (RA: 19h34m55.68s, decl.: +21°53′48.2″), whereas the IA beam covered the entire 40′′ field. The total integration time was 2 hr, out of which the on-source time was 1.25 hours. In the imaging mode, 3C48 and 1822−096 were observed as flux density and phase calibrators, respectively. PSR B1937+21 was observed as a control pulsar to estimate the sensitivity of the pulsar-mode data.

3. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

3.1. Data Analysis

The pulsar data obtained with both GMRT and ORT were analyzed using the analysis pipeline developed for the NCRA high-performance cluster (HPC) based on the SIGPROC4 pulsar data analysis package. In order to fully exploit the high time resolution of the data, the dispersion measure (DM) step used for the pulsar search had to be very fine. The data were thus dispersed to 2240 trial DMs in the range 0–1500 pc cm−3. Such a DM limit was imposed while keeping in mind the very high DM for the Galactic center magnetar, PSR J1745−2900 (Eatough et al. 2013), and the fact that the patchy nature of ionized plasma structures along the line of sight (LOS) may produce a DM which may be much more than the model prediction. The dedispersed time-series data were then searched using both harmonic and single-pulse searches. The resultant candidate plots were manually scrutinized to identify the presence of pulsar signatures.

The imaging data were flagged and calibrated using FLAGCAL5 (e.g., Chengalur 2013), and the image was made using the multi-facet imaging technique in the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS)6 with 19 facets spread across the primary beam area of about 0.3 square degree. The CLEAN image obtained after the de-convolution was further improved by self calibration. The timescales for calculating the phase solutions were 5, 1, and 0.5 minutes, respectively, for the three iterations of self calibration. The resultant high-resolution image is shown in Figure 1. We made another image of SNR G57.2+0.8 from the archival data sets7 obtained from the Very Large Array (VLA) at 1365 MHz. The VLA observations were obtained on 1995 April 6 over a bandwidth of 25 MHz using the VLA in the D configuration with a maximum baseline of 5 kλ and an angular resolution of ∼60″ (Project code: A0535, PI: Mark Holdaway). For comparison with the VLA image, the GMRT image was convolved with a circular Gaussian beam of FWHM 60″. This was achieved using the CONVL7 routine of AIPS. The resultant images with equal resolution are shown in Figure 2.

Additionally, publicly available archival spectral cubes were downloaded from the VLA Galactic Plane Survey (VGPS; Stil et al. 2006) to obtain HI spectra toward the SNR. Spectra from the bright northern portion of the SNR as well as a blank region just above it were extracted at five pixels each (marked by boxes in Figure 3) to form the ON and OFF spectra. These were then used to obtain the emission and absorption spectra toward the source using a procedure similar to that described in Castelletti et al. (2013). The average emission spectrum obtained toward the direction of SGR J1935+2154 was used
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to calculate the HI column density ($N_H$) along this LOS. This was done as follows. First, the moment zero map was generated from the spectral cube. The rms was determined in this map over an area roughly equal to that of the diffuse emission seen in the X-ray image (which was found to be 2.7 K). $N_H$ was then calculated assuming an optically thin medium, implying (Verschuur et al. 1974)

$$N_H \text{ (cm}^{-2}\text{)} = 1.823 \times 10^{18} \int T_B dV, \quad (1)$$

where $T_B$ is the brightness temperature in Kelvin and $V$ is the radial velocity in km s$^{-1}$. In the emission profile obtained toward the SGR, all of the bins with a brightness temperature of more than 2.7 K were summed together and then multiplied by the total range of velocity bins to get an estimate of $N_H$ (total area under the emission profiles).

The public archival data (obtained by Israel et al. 2016) from the PN charge coupled device instrument (Strüder et al. 2001) of the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) on board the XMM-Newton mission for all but one observation (as the latest observational data were not public) were added together to make a 98 ks exposure image of the region around SGR J1935+2154. This image is shown in Figure 3. More details about the XMM-Newton observations are given in Table 1 of Israel et al. (2016). The diffuse emission around SGR J1935+2154, which was reported by Israel et al. (2016), is seen clearly in this image.

### 3.2. Results

The time-series observations, both from ORT and GMRT, did not show the presence of significant pulsations. The flux density upper limits for a putative radio pulsar (associated or otherwise) were then calculated for both GMRT (IA and PA) and ORT data using the radiometer formula. The flux density limits thus derived are applicable to the highest DM searched for normal pulsars at both frequencies. With an assumed 10% duty cycle, these limits for the high-resolution GMRT data are applicable to millisecond pulsars only up to a DM of 100 pc cm$^{-3}$. For periodic pulsed emission, the 8σ upper limits on the average flux density assuming 10% duty cycle are 0.4 and 0.2 mJy at 326.5 and 610 MHz, respectively. The GMRT PA data were subsequently folded at the period detected by Israel et al. (2014), which also resulted in a non-detection. The preliminary results for the pulsation search were reported earlier in an Astronomer’s Telegram (Surnis et al. 2014). We also did not detect significant bursts with 6σ upper limits on flux density (assuming 10 ms burst duration) of 0.5 Jy and 63 mJy at 326.5 and 610 MHz, respectively. The corresponding
3.3. Discussion

Our simultaneous imaging and time-series observations with GMRT and ORT resulted in the non-detection of radio pulsations or a radio continuum source in the direction of SGR J1935+2154. During the 4–10 days after the X-ray burst was reported in this source, the upper limits on the average flux density for pulsed emission, assuming 10% duty cycle, are 0.4 and 0.2 mJy at 326.5 and 610 MHz, respectively. The upper limit on the flux density of the associated continuum point source is 1.2 mJy at 610 MHz. Moreover, no extended radio emission is discernible in the 610 MHz map either within a radius of 15″ or 70″ with an upper limit of flux density over a 70″ radius of 4.5 mJy. We also estimate the distance to the SNR to be 11.7 ± 2.8 kpc. This distance is consistent with the distance estimated by Pavlović et al. (2013).

SGR J1935+2154 lies close to the centroid of SNR G57.2+0.8. An approximate estimate of the distance to the magnetar can be made as follows. The spectral analysis by Israel et al. (2016) obtained a value of $N_H$ as $2 \times 10^{22}$ and $1.6 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ from their spectral fits using Chandra and XMM-Newton data, respectively, toward the magnetar, and 3.8 $\times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ for the diffuse emission from XMM-Newton data. If we assume that the $N_H$–DM correlation (He et al. 2013) holds for this LOS, then we can obtain an estimate of associated DM for the magnetar. Adopting the value of $N_H$ as $1.8 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, we get a DM of $600 \pm 260$ pc cm$^{-3}$ for the magnetar and 1300 $\pm 500$ pc cm$^{-3}$ for the diffuse emission. Using the NE2001 model (Cordes & Lazio 2002) toward this LOS, we get a distance estimate for both the magnetar and the diffuse emission to be much higher than the extent of the Galaxy (>50 kpc). However, it is to be noted that the NE2001 model saturates beyond a DM of 540 pc cm$^{-3}$ in this LOS. Also, the distance estimates from the $N_H$–DM correlation can have a large uncertainty beyond a DM of 100 pc cm$^{-3}$ or a distance greater than 3 kpc (He et al. 2013). He et al. (2013) also note that the $N_H$ value inferred from X-ray observations of pulsars at low Galactic latitudes may be higher than that inferred from HI emission profiles. This happens due to the absorption of X-rays by molecular clouds rather than neutral Hydrogen. With this caveat, the $N_H$ values measured by Israel et al. (2016) for the magnetar and our own measurements along the same LOS are consistent with each other. Considering the spiral structure of the HI in our Galaxy (Levine et al. 2006) and the $N_H$ values obtained from the Leiden Argentine Bonn survey (Kalberla et al. 2005) along different lines of sight in the Galactic latitude range of 40°–80°, which are all nearly around $1.5 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, the magnetar (and the diffuse emission) could be located in or beyond the Perseus arm. With all of these (uncertain) estimates of the magnetar distance, it can be argued that SGR J1935+2154 and SNR G57.2+0.8 may be physically associated.

Israel et al. (2016) estimated the quiescent X-ray luminosity of the magnetar $L_X$ at $5 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ assuming a distance of 9 kpc. This distance was derived assuming an association between the magnetar and the SNR. Even with our revised estimate for the SNR distance, such an association is possible. As discussed by Israel et al. (2016) assuming a distance of 9 kpc, the SGR is somewhat under-luminous during a burst as compared to a typical magnetar. It may be noted that this luminosity is still larger than 10 out of 22 magnetars listed in...
the online McGill magnetar catalog\(^{10}\) (Olausen & Kaspi 2014). The SGR was observed from the Parkes telescope at the 10 and 20 cm wavelengths by Burgay et al. (2014), resulting in a non-detection with 8\(\sigma\) upper limits of 0.07 and 0.1 mJy at 10 and 20 cm, respectively, which is consistent with our non-detection at 610 and 326.5 MHz. With the typical flat spectrum emission expected from radio-loud magnetars (e.g., Yusuf-Zadeh et al. 2015), our upper limits for the pulsed radio emission along with the high-frequency limits may imply a spectral index less steep than \(-0.8\). Assuming an association between the SGR and the SNR, and considering the upper limit on the distance of the magnetar to be 14.5 kpc (from 1\(\sigma\) error on the SNR distance), the X-ray luminosity of the SGR turns out to be \(1.3 \times 10^{34}\text{ erg s}^{-1}\). Even with a slightly increased estimate, the ratio \(L_{\text{X,qual}}/L_{\text{ad}}\) is still about 0.65, which is much less than unity. Thus, the SGR falls into the “radio-loud” class and the non-detection of radio pulsations are puzzling, but could be due to the radio beam pointing away from the LOS.

The radio counterpart of diffuse X-ray emission reported by Israel et al. (2016) was not detected in the high-resolution image at 610 MHz. The diffuse X-ray emission could be due to the scattering of X-rays from the magnetar by a dust halo (Esposito et al. 2013; Israel et al. 2016) or a wind nebula powered by the magnetar (Younes et al. 2012; Israel et al. 2016). In the former case, one does not expect diffuse radio emission, which is consistent with our non-detection. In the case of a wind nebula, synchrotron radio emission is expected. As a PWN is powered by the loss of rotational kinetic energy from the central pulsar, one may expect its radio luminosity to be related to its spin-down luminosity. Assuming this proportionality to be in the form \(S = K \times \dot{E}/d^2\) for an order of magnitude calculation, where \(S\) is the flux density in Jy at 1 GHz, \(\dot{E}\) is the spin-down luminosity in erg s\(^{-1}\), and \(d\) the distance to the PWN in kiloparsecs, one can estimate the value of the proportionality constant \(K\) for some typical PWNs such as Crab, Vela, and Kes75. With the spin-down luminosities from the Australia Telescope National Facility (ATNF) pulsar catalog\(^{11}\) (Manchester et al. 2005) and distances and 1 GHz flux density from the available literature (Milne 1968; Crawford et al. 2001; Dodson et al. 2003; Leahy & Tian 2008; Green 2014), we obtain an average value of \(K\) as \(1.6 \times 10^{-15}\). With our distance estimate of 11.7 kpc and spin-down luminosity for SGR J1935+2154 of \(2 \times 10^{34}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) (Israel et al. 2016), we expect a flux density of 2.4 mJy at 610 MHz (assuming a spectral index of \(-0.3\)) for any associated PWN. As the upper limit on the radio flux density of diffuse emission from our observations is higher than this, we cannot rule out the presence of such emission. We would like to caution that this conclusion is based on the assumption that the radio luminosity is proportional to the spin-down luminosity. Such a relation is uncertain as it would depend on many factors, such as the integrated spin-down history of the pulsar, the nature of the confining reverse shock, and the pulsar velocity. The nature of the wind nebula around a magnetar is also likely to be different from usual PWNs. In light of the uncertainty in the distance estimate of the SGR and the diffuse X-ray emission, as well as the lower expected radio PWN flux density than for our upper limits, it is difficult for us to comment on the plausibility of a PWN and we cannot rule out either of these two scenarios based on the currently available data.

4. SUMMARY

In summary, we observed SGR J1935+2154 with GMRT and ORT at 610 and 326.5 MHz, respectively. Using these observations, we placed upper limits of 0.4 and 0.2 mJy on the periodic radio pulsations from the SGR at 326.5 and 610 MHz, respectively. Also, we did not detect any significant burst or transient emission with 3\(\sigma\) upper limits on the flux density for an assumed width of 10 ms to be 0.5 Jy and 63 mJy at 326.5 and 610 MHz, respectively. From high-resolution radio images, we place 3\(\sigma\) upper limits of 1.2 and 4.5 mJy on the continuum radio flux density of the SGR and that associated with the diffuse X-ray emission, respectively. Using HI emission and absorption spectra, we have determined the distance of SNR G57.2+0.8 to be \(11.7 \pm 2.8\) kpc. Based on the observed \(N_H\) values for the magnetar, we argue that the magnetar could be physically associated with the SNR.
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