Hepatobiliary and pancreatic hemorrhage: Technical tools and tricks
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A B S T R A C T
Ongoing hemorrhage from hepatobiliary and pancreatic injuries continues to daunt even the most experienced surgeon. Despite the widespread centralization of elective hepatopancreatobiliary (HPB) surgery to high-volume centers, HPB trauma remains relatively common and requires a rapid and thoughtful approach [1–13]. © 2021 The Author. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

PANCREAS INJURIES

Although blood flow within the pancreatic gland is impressive, the dominant source of hemorrhage associated with pancreatic trauma remains the mesenteric venous structures that surround it. More specifically, the superior mesenteric, portal, inferior mesenteric, and splenic veins remain the prime sources. Although the anatomy of the portal and superior mesenteric veins is relatively constant, the insertion point of the inferior mesenteric vein can vary dramatically (ie, insertion into the splenic and/or portal veins). It is also generally stated that the portal vein does not possess any branches arising from its anterior surface (ie, immediately posterior to the pancreatic neck). As with many dogmatic anatomic comments, this “rule” is frequently broken. The presence of large venous tributaries from the portal vein into the head and uncinate of the pancreas is absolute however. The largest of these are the gastroepiploic trunk and the first jejunal venous branch. Hemorrhage from these structures can be torrential and unforgiving.

Although portal venous injuries in the retropancreatic location are notoriously difficult to access, human anatomy has provided us with a powerful temporizing maneuver. More specifically, digital pressure from the front of the gland is often adequate to temporarily control venous hemorrhage via simple compression. In cases where this fails, a rapid Kocher maneuver is required to allow concurrent anterior and posterior digital pressure and therefore occlusion of the portal vein and distal SMV. This maneuver provides the surgeon time to add a second suction device, call for experienced assistance, notify the anesthesiologist for predicted massive blood loss, and have the nursing staff ready all vascular instrumentation and suture selections that the surgeon will require. If the hemorrhage appears to arise from the bottom of the pancreas (ie, uncinate/head), it is helpful to rapidly mobilize the right colon to provide improved inferior exposure and eventually control. Inspection of the right lateral small bowel mesentery will also provide insight into possible hemorrhage caudal to the pancreatic head/uncinate. As with most massive bleeding, the importance of an educated assistant who can expose the venous injury (both suctioning of blood and retraction of adjacent organs) cannot be overstated. Excellent help is typically the difference between completing an efficient and smooth repair compared to failing with massive blood loss and patient demise.

If pressure and packing do not persistently control hemorrhage from the retropancreatic portion of the portal vein (a very unusual circumstance), then rapid exposure and subsequent ligation/repair of the vessel may be required. This can be achieved by dividing the neck/body of the pancreas gland (ie, to allow direct visualization of the vessel). This maneuver is discussed significantly more often in the literature than it is actually performed in the real world. It also carries with it a substantial risk of inadvertently enlarging the venous injury (given near ubiquitous rough dissection in a poorly visualized field). If this maneuver is triggered however, rapidly place 4 retraction sutures (3-0 Prolene on MH needles) through the pancreatic neck in a figure-of-eight manner immediately lateral and medial to the portal vein (at both the top and bottom of the gland). There is significant risk of ligating the hepatic artery at the top, so you must be extremely accurate. This is not the time to flail or lose focus. These 4 sutures will provide significant retraction from both sides of the pancreatic neck, allowing the surgeon to use high-voltage Bovie electrocautery to transect the pancreas quickly (in combination with adequate ongoing suction). Remember that the vein is not typically dissected off of the back of the pancreas (ie, elective pancreaticoduodenectomy), so you need to slow down as you move closer to the posterior margin of the pancreas. Repairs to the portal or superior mesenteric veins themselves are generally performed with a 5-0 or 6-0 RB-1 Prolene (once control is obtained with proximal and distal vascular clamps or digital pressure and exposure by an experienced colleague).

When necessary, venous tributaries from the portal vein can generally be ligated. Even the portal vein itself can be ligated in a damage
control scenario. Interestingly, these patients display a superior survival when evaluating the literature as a whole (versus those who undergo portal and superior mesenteric venous repairs). This likely reflects the varying comfort level among surgeons attempting to address these difficult injuries. Another possible solution is to place a temporary intra-vascular shunt (TIVS) in continuity for major portal venous injuries. Portal veins are best shunted with a 22F to 26F chest tube, or large naso-gastric feeding tube for small women. Once inserted into the vessel (in-line), the TIVS can be locked into place via silk ties or double vessel loops that are tightened/locked with clips. If silk ties are selected (generally more secure for venous structures), it must be remembered that the vessel itself will need to be trimmed back proximal to the silk to ensure there is no ischemia at the time of the reconstruction. This may become a problem in areas where every bit of vessel length is critical (ie, necessitating a graft at the time of reconstruction).

Ongoing hemorrhage from the splenic vein is much less treacherous. Bleeding to the anatomic left of the portal vein can be solved via a rapid distal pancreatectomy/splenectomy with bulk ligation of the splenic artery and vein. Energy instrumentation and staplers can make this endeavor simple and efficient. More specifically, divide the short gastrics and gastroepiploics, mobilize the transverse and left colon, and finally free the spleen with an energy instrument that works well within pools of blood (LigaSure Impact, Covidien). A multitude of staplers can then be effectively utilized to divide the pancreatic body concurrent to ligation of the splenic artery and vein. The TX-30v linear stapler (Ethicon) is a workhorse for the elective HPB surgeon and is superb for this indication. Alternatively, in the context of a soft gland, a laparoscopic stapler (60 mm length vascular load, 2.5 mm staple height) can also be used to divide these structures en masse. The dominant risk is mistaking the hepatic artery for the proximal splenic artery and dividing it. A quick test clamp of the splenic artery with a large bulldog clamp (to ensure a normal persistent pulse within the porta hepatis) eliminates this potential disaster. Prior to placing either stapler, however, the surgeon must rapidly dissect around the pancreatic body and place a vessel loop or umbilical tape for complete control of the gland. This is often best done with a single well-educated finger. Remember that the retroperitoneum at this location (ie, aorta is deep to this site) is generally spared from hemorrhage and easily accessible from the bottom once the transverse colon is mobilized caudally by approximately 1 cm. The splenic vein will remain stuck to the underside of the elevated pancreas, and the splenic artery can be palpated. Although this short series of maneuvers may sound challenging, it becomes much easier when rapidity is in demand. The most extreme damage control maneuvers for a splenic venous injury remains bulk ligation with a large suture, followed by packing.

Although it is beyond the aims of this article, the dominant postoperative complications surrounding pancreatic (and duodenal) injuries remain leaks from preceding pancreateoduodenal closures and/or anastomoses. Critically injured patients rarely tolerate the physiologic consequences of uncontrolled leaks. Pancreatic juices are also highly dangerous in the context of a fresh vascular repair, anastomosis, or TIVS. As a result, generous closed suction drainage must be considered to control any potential pancreatic leaks after the ongoing hemorrhage has been stopped.

LIVER INJURIES

The dominant challenge with hepatic trauma generally surrounds the management of the hemodynamically unstable patient with a bleeding, high-grade liver injury. More specifically, these injuries can be difficult to expose, temporize, and/or repair for any surgeon who does not make his or her living in this region of the upper abdomen. These patients often present in physiologic extremis and therefore require damage control resuscitation techniques. Early recognition of their critical condition, as well as immediate hemorrhage control, is essential. Unlike the spleen and kidney, the liver cannot generally be resected in a rapid on-demand basis. Regardless of your training, these injuries will engage all of your senses, test your technical skills, require the utmost focus, and demand great teamwork from you and your colleagues.

Patients with major injury as a result of either blunt or right upper quadrant penetrating trauma must undergo an immediate Extended Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma examination in the trauma bay to confirm the presence of large-volume intraperitoneal fluid. This examination is repeatable and should be used to reevaluate patients in urban centers who present immediately following their injuries. Massive transfusion protocols as part of a damage control resuscitation must be initiated early during the patient assessment process. If the patient rapidly stabilizes their hemodynamics, they should undergo an emergency computed tomography (CT) scan of their torso. If they remain clinically unstable, they must be transferred to the operating theater without delay. Hemorrhage control is the dominant driver limiting survival. Collateral issues such as optimal intravenous access, imaging of other areas (brain, spine, bones), and fracture fixation are secondary problems.

Thankfully, not all patients with liver injuries are actively dying secondary to hemorrhage. More specifically, in hemodynamically stable patients without CT evidence of a hepatic arterial blush, admission and close observation are warranted. In hemodynamically stable patients with a hepatic arterial blush, immediate transfer to the intervention angiography suite (or hybrid operating room) is recommended. Hepatic angiography and/or portography with selective embolization is indicated with either autologous clot or absorbable embolization medium. In persistently hemodynamically unstable patients, however, an immediate laparotomy is essential. More to the point, early recognition of a patient with ongoing hepatic hemorrhage and immediate transfer to the operating theater are crucial. Delays will lead to the loss of life.

The patient should be rapidly prepared and draped with available access from the neck to the knees. Vascular instruments and balloons must be open and at the ready. A midline laparotomy from xiphoid process to pubic bone should be performed with 3 passes of a sharp scalpel. The peritoneal cavity should be packed in its entirety with laparotomy sponges for patients with blunt liver injuries. Although the ligament teres can be ligated, the falciform ligament may be left intact (especially in blunt trauma to the right lobe of the liver). This offers a medial wall against which to improve packing pressure. The right upper quadrant should be evaluated prior to any potential intraperitoneal packing for penetrating injuries. If hemorrhage continues, an early Pringle maneuver (clamping of the porta hepatis with a vascular clamp) is recommended. This is both diagnostic and potentially therapeutic. If bleeding continues despite application of a Pringle clamp, a retrohepatic inferior vena cava (IVC) or hepatic venous injury is likely (assuming that a replaced left hepatic artery is not the source of inflow occlusion failure). Critically injured patients in physiologic extremis do not tolerate extended Pringle maneuvers to the same extent as patients with hepatic tumors undergoing elective hepatic resection. Forty minutes represents the upper limit of viable. If the liver hemorrhage responds to packing but continues to hemorrhage when unpacking is completed, they should be repacked and transferred to the ICU with an open abdomen once damage control of concurrent injuries is complete. Cover the liver with a plastic layer of sterile x-ray cassette material to avoid capsular trauma upon eventual unpacking. It should be reemphasized that all damage control procedures should be completed in less than 1 hour. Return to the operating suite in patients with packed abdomens should occur in 48–72 hours (assuming hypothermia, coagulopathy, and acidosis are corrected).

If the liver hemorrhage control is dependent on maintenance of a Pringle maneuver despite packing, call for senior assistance, mobilize the right lobe, and suture the IVC or hepatic veins with 4-0 Prolene on SH needles. These patients may also require total vascular exclusion/occlusion (TVE) of the liver. This technique involves complete occlusion of the infrahepatic IVC, suprahepatic IVC, porta hepatis (Pringle maneuver), as well as an aortic cross-clamp within the abdomen. If TVE is pursued
without concurrent clamping of the aorta, the patient will often arrest due
to a lack of coronary perfusion. Prior to performing TVE of the liver, it is im-
perative to allow the anesthetic team to resuscitate the patient to the best of
their ability to facilitate IVC clamping. We prefer to obtain suprahepatic IVC
control within the abdomen in patients with a normal length of IVC in-
ferior to the diaphragm. An alternate approach involves accessing the IVC
immediately prior to its entry into the heart (ie, within the pericardial sac).
This 2-cm length of IVC is easily accessible by opening the pericardial sac
following division of the central tendon of the diaphragm. Alternatively, it
can also be accessed from the thorax if a thoracotomy has already
been performed. Control of the infrahepatic IVC can be rapidly gained by
opening the overlying peritoneum and bluntly encircling the IVC cephalad
to the right real vein (ie, no lumbar veins reside above the renal veins).
Veno-veno bypass is also a theoretical option in some very specific
scenarios but is rarely required if the patient can be adequately resusci-
tated to allow for IVC clamping. Furthermore, a lack of transplantation
training in most trauma/general surgeons precludes expeditious use of this
bypass.

In the case of central hepatic gunshot wounds or deep central lacer-
ations where access and exposure are difficult, ongoing hemorrhage
should be stopped with balloon occlusion. Either a Blakemore esopha-
geal balloon or variant (red rubber catheter with overlying Penrose
drain and 2 silk occlusion ties) is exceptional at stopping ongoing bleed-
ing at the bottom of deep central hepatic injury tracts (including
infrahepatic IVC injuries). Foley catheters of varying sizes are also help-
ful. These should be deflated approximately 72 hours after the initial
placement. If hemorrhage continues, they should be reinflated and left
in vivo for 3 additional days.

Another excellent damage control option for major IVC disruption,
portal vein injuries, and combined portal venous/hepatic arterial
trauma is the use of a TIVS. Although a large variety of tubes can be uti-
ized as a TIVS [chest tubes (adult and pediatric), nasogastric tubes, ca-
rotid shunts, pediatric feeding tubes], they do not need to be heparin
bonded. More specifically, TIVS typically fails for 1 of 3 reasons: (1)
selection of a tube that is too small for the caliber of the disrupted vessel,
(2) kinking of the tube itself, and (3) inadequate concurrent outflow
(eg, shunting of an iliac artery without ensuring adequate iliac venous
outflow). IVC injuries in adults are usually best approximated with a
32F to 36F chest tube. Portal veins are best shunted with a 22F to 26F
chest tube, or large nasogastric feeding tube for small women. Hepatic
arteries are best served by inserting pediatric nasogastric or feeding
 tubes. These TIVS may be locked into place with either silk ties or dou-
tle-looped vessel loops and locking clips. As previously mentioned,
the surgeon should consider the latter method in scenarios where max-
imizing the vessel distance is critical because the vessel will need to be
further trimmed back beyond the silk ties when reconstruction is event-
ually attempted.

Vascular reconstruction following insertion of a TIVS should ideally in-
volve an experienced HPB surgeon. The timing of this reconstruction will
depend entirely upon the physiological and biochemical recovery of the
patient. As soon as this is achieved in the critical care suite, the patient
should return to the operating theater for repair. The surgeon must also
ensure that a wide range of potential conduits is available and ready (sa-
phenous vein, bovine pericardium, ringed and nonringed synthetic). One
superb conduit choice for IVC reconstruction following TIVS removal is
bovine pericardium (or biologic mesh) that is fashioned into a tube of
the appropriate size (usually wrapped around a bulb syringe with a single
firing of a laparoscopic stapler to convert a sheet into a tube). This conduit
performs quite well in leaking/infected traumatic fields.

Although TIVS has revolutionized damage control trauma scenarios,
the traditional damage control option for vascular trauma of ligation re-
 mains relevant. It is clear based on a literature review of portal venous
and superior mesenteric venous trauma that ligation of this vessel,
rather than reconstruction, is often superior. This observation is likely
multifactorial but almost certainly relates to surgeon unfamiliarity (ie,
time required to expose, control, and repair) with these vessels in
anatomically hostile regions. Similarly, ligation of the IVC is also well
recognized as a successful damage control maneuver. If the IVC is li-
gated, wrapping a patient’s legs with compression garments, elevation
of patient’s lower extremities above the heart, and judicious fluid man-
agement for 5 postoperative days are critical.

Although unusual, patients with penetrating injuries to the hepatic
artery will present as critically ill and may require ligation (assuming
the portal vein is intact). Portal vein injuries should ideally be repaired
with 5-0 or 6-0 Prolene once control is obtained. Clamps above and
below the injury are essential for visualization. Alternate damage con-
control options include TIVS with a small chest tube conduit or ligation (as-
uming the hepatic artery is intact).

If an atrial–caval shunt is contemplated, 2 experienced surgical
teams (1 for the chest and 1 for the abdomen) are essential to ensure
both rapidity and efficiency. The decision to pursue this shunt must be
made early in the exploration process. Unfortunately, these shunts
rarely result in patient salvage in even the most experienced trauma
centers. If a center and/or surgical team considers this maneuver to be
part of their armamentarium for treating ongoing hemorrhage from
retrohepatic injuries, a prestocked kit with all the necessary items
must be readily available. Similar to utilizing TIVS and occlusion bal-
loons, demanding these instruments in the wee hours of the morning
among a stressed clinical team for a decompensating patient is likely
to fail. Remember that Allis clamps are also excellent for the initial con-
trast of most venous hemorrhage.

SUMMARY

In conclusion, massive ongoing hemorrhage associated with pancre-
atic trauma is typically compressible with a well-educated hand/finger.
A detailed knowledge of anatomy and a talented assistant will make the
difference between a huge save and a long presentation at morbidity and
mortality conference.

Although the published history of hepatic trauma is littered with de-
scriptions of technical maneuvers ordered in a hierarchical scheme, very
few are relevant in the context of modern trauma care. Packing of he-
ptic hemorrhage controls the vast majority of ongoing bleeding in crit-
ically ill patients. Selective use of vessel ligation, parenchyma resection,
and hepatic transplant remain less common strategies. Ongoing hemor-
rhage from major hepatic injuries remains the most challenging of all
intraabdominal injuries due to issues with exposure, blood flow, and dif-
ficult technical repairs. Initiate damage control resuscitation and mas-
sive transfusion protocols early in your assessment. Rapid completion
doctor control procedures is essential (<1 hour). Failing and inde-
cision lead to prolonged operative times and patient demise. If diagnosis
and therapy are rapid, patients who present in physiologic extremis as a
result of major hepatic hemorrhage have a good chance of survival in
the context of a prolonged hospital stay. Elective liver surgeons can be
of superb assistance when available.
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