Carbon Dots as a Promising Green Photocatalyst for Free Radical and ATRP-Based Radical Photopolymerization with Blue LEDs
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Abstract: Carbon dots (CDs) have been used for the first time as a sensitizer to initiate and activate free radical and controlled radical polymerization, respectively, based on an ATRP protocol with blue LEDs. Consideration of diverse heteroatom-doped CDs indicated that N-doped CDs could serve as an effective photocatalyst and photosensitizer in combination with LEDs emitting either at 405 nm or 470 nm. Free radical polymerization was initiated by combining the CDs with an iodonium or sulfonium salt in tri(propylene glycol) diacrylate. Polymerization of methyl methacrylate (MMA) by photoinduced ATRP was achieved with CDs and ethyl α-bromophenylacetate using CuII as catalyst in the ppm range. The polymers obtained showed temporal control, narrower dispersity \( \leq 1.5 \), and chain-end fidelity. The first-order kinetics and ON/OFF experiments additionally gave evidence of the constant concentration of polymer radicals. No remarkable cytotoxic activity was observed for the CDs, underlining their biocompatibility.

Photoinitiated polymerization with LEDs has received increased attention in many areas.\(^{[1]}\) Owing to specific regulatory requirements, academia and industry are replacing existing mercury-based light sources with energy-saving and environmentally friendly light sources such as LEDs.\(^{[2]}\) Interest has focused on UV LEDs and blue-emitting LEDs owing to their use in 2D and 3D printing,\(^{[3]}\) coatings,\(^{[4]}\) and dentistry.\(^{[5]}\) Both free radical polymerization and controlled/living radical polymerization (CRP) have received increased interest in this field.\(^{[6]}\) The latter enables the synthesis of polymers with precise average molar masses, various compositions, and well-defined structures. Numerous examples of photomediated CRP techniques have been successfully developed, including photo-NMP,\(^{[6]}\) photo-RAFT,\(^{[7]}\) and photo-ATRP.\(^{[1,8]}\) Regarding the latter, the in situ photolytic generation of CuII complexes from CuI under irradiation as an initiator for controlled polymerization facilitates CRP with a copper concentration in the ppm range.\(^{[9]}\) This has been successfully demonstrated with systems requiring UV,\(^{[9a,c]}\) visible,\(^{[9d]}\) or NIR\(^{[9e]}\) light.

Some challenges still remain. Many photosensitizers and photocatalysts used for photo-ATRP are based on synthetic materials that are accessible by synthetic routes with no sustainable feedback. Certainly, there is a demand for a sustainable photocatalyst or photosensitizer with negligible toxicological issues as well. From this point of view, materials derived from natural feedstocks would be highly suitable. Therefore, carbon dots (CDs) made from natural materials should present one feasible opportunity. This is the first report considering CDs as a photoinitiating system for both free radical and CRP based on ATRP with blue-emitting LEDs. The manufacture of such materials from sodium alginate\(^{[10]}\) provides cheap photosensitive carbon dots at a cost (0.95 €/100 g CDs) that is competitive with the cost of current industrial photoinitiators based on petrochemicals (2 €/100 g TPO-based photoinitiator; TPO = trimethylbenzoyl-diphenyl-phosphine oxide).

CDs have been known as novel fluorescent carbon nanomaterials exhibiting sizes below 10 nm.\(^{[11]}\) Photoluminescent CDs with ultrastable photoluminescence, easy preparation, and sustainable raw materials, have been employed in numerous areas including bioimaging,\(^{[11]}\) optoelectronics,\(^{[12]}\) and photocatalysis.\(^{[13]}\) CDs also demonstrate interesting chemical reactivity properties.\(^{[14]}\)

Recently, CDs also received attention for photosensitized RAFT polymerization,\(^{[15]}\) which was the first report regarding their use in a photocontrolled radical polymerization. Exposure to visible light as well as sunlight resulted in low dispersities, generally around 1.1. On the other hand, to the best of our knowledge there has not been any report regarding the use of CDs either as a sensitizer for LED-initiated free radical photopolymerization using iodonium salts or as a photocatalyst in photo-ATRP protocols.

The aforementioned arguments regarding LED-initiated polymerization have moved CDs into the focus of radiation curing. They might become a work horse for sensitizing photoinitiated radical polymerizations because of their photosensitive and electrochemical properties.\(^{[14]}\) They assume the function of a photosensitizer in combination with radical
In particular, iodonium salts and sulfonium salts comprising low-coordinating anions have received increased attention. According to the electrochemical properties reported previously, CDs may also assume a major function in photo-ATRP protocols, as previously reported for metal-free systems and Cu-catalyzed systems, which required a heavy metal concentration in the ppm range. Cu-catalyzed systems may serve as a general approach to synthesize tailor-made polymers with a broader available range of LED sources.

Herein we use sodium alginate, a biopolymer obtained from brown seaweed, along with ethylenediamine to prepare carbon dots via a hydrothermal method. The synthetic details were reported previously. Figure 1 shows a TEM image of the CDs synthesized. They exhibit a size <10 nm. Furthermore, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis showed that the N-CDs contained Na (≈8%), C (≈72%), N (≈5%), and O (≈13%). High-resolution scans on C, O, and Na elements of N-CDs exhibited signals for C=O, C−O, and O−Na, indicating that N-CDs comprised carboxylic sodium moieties. Furthermore, the C−N−C signal of N-CDs suggested that the hydrothermal treatment formed also amide moieties. Noteworthy, the CDs made from sodium alginate showed the best performance, while those synthesized from poly(acrylic) acid, phytic acid, or carboxylic acid cellulose did not perform well for the purposes of this study, although they exhibit similar morphology (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information).

Photexcited CDs made from sodium alginate facilitated free radical photopolymerization of tri(propylene glycol) diacrylate (TPGDA) in combination with onium salts serving as radical initiator in a photoinduced electron transfer protocol. TPGDA was the monomer of choice since it was compatible with photoinitiator components in previous investigations. Before the experiment, the biocompatibility of the CDs was investigated to ensure that no toxic product would be present in the photopolymerization studies. Up to a concentration of 400 μg/mL CDs did not show obvious inhibition of cell growth indicating their nice biocompatibility and green properties (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). The absorption of the CDs (size = 5–10 nm, Figure 1 inset) covered the blue spectral range, facilitating the use of blue LEDs (Figure 1). The oxidation potential of 0.40 V (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information) and the excitation energy of the CD at the wavelengths chosen facilitate the photolytic reduction of iodonium (1) and sulfonium (2) cations, which exhibit a reduction potential of −0.6 V and −1.1 V, respectively. This results in a negative free enthalpy for the photoinduced electron transfer in the case of both onium ions. This thermodynamic quantity indicates sufficient generation of initiating radicals in the sensitized free radical polymerization. The selected anions were aluminates (a) and bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imides (b) to maintain the advantages of the initiator disclosed previously. These anions have received increasing attention as the counterions of onium salts because they do not generate HF, which can arise from the PF₆⁻ anion under certain conditions (see refs.[17a,c] and references therein). Scheme 1 discloses the structures of the cations (1 and 2) and anions (a and b).

Figure 2 shows the polymerization rate $R_p$ of TPGDA as determined by photo-DSC (online determination of the heat flux by differential scanning calorimetry of polymerization initiated by light) employing a 405 nm LED. The results indicate a certain reactivity, as shown by the acceptable maximum for the polymerization rate, $R_p^{\text{max}}$. This does not differ significantly for the different salts though the molar concentration of 1a was lower. The sulfonium salt 2a exhibits less reactivity but the time to reach the maximum of $R_p^{\text{max}}$ appears at a similar time frame, indicating similar...
efficiency. At $R_p^{\text{max}}$ gelation and vitrification occur with equal efficiency, regardless of which salt served as the initiator.

Replacement of the LED emitting at 405 nm by an LED exhibiting emission at 470 nm resulted in both a similar final conversion and $R_p^{\text{max}}$, although the $t_{\text{max}}$ was significantly longer and accompanied by a remarkable inhibition time (Table 1). It is remarkable that the reactivity ($R_p^{\text{max}}$) is similar, even though the intensity was significantly lower, i.e., 0.5 mW cm$^{-2}$. Such events usually scale with a power law, where the exponent of the light intensity ranges from 0.1 and 1 depending on the monomer, matrix binder, and conversion. Again, such systems might be of interest for applications requiring light exposure that excludes UV-photons, for example in dentistry, because the comparable $R_p^{\text{max}}$ observed at 405 nm and 470 nm at different intensities should result in similar cross-linking density.

In the context of this contribution we extended our studies to living radical polymerizations based on ATRP. Protocols obtained for NIR irradiation were transferred to blue-emitting LEDs. Scheme 2 proposes a possible reaction mechanism. Photoexcitation of the CD at 405 nm results in the population of its excited state CD$^*$. Electron transfer between CD$^*$ and [Cu$^\text{II}$]Br$_2$ results in reduction to the respective Cu$^\text{I}$ complex, which initiates the living radical polymerization of alkyl bromides. The oxidized CD (CD$^*$) reacts with bromide in the photocatalytic cycle, resulting in regeneration of the CD. This is similar to the mechanism previously discussed for photo-ATRP initiated by NIR exposure.

The ability of CDs to mediate photoinduced ATRP was examined by irradiating solutions of CDs in DMSO with ethyl $\alpha$-bromophenylacetate (EBPA) as the alkyl halide source together with the CuBr/tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (TPMA) complex as the catalyst at 405 nm for the polymerization of methyl methacrylate (MMA) under reduced pressure under different polymerization conditions (Table 2). CDs showed initiation of living radical polymerization with acceptable conversions that could be explained by the favorable redox conditions to reduce Cu$^{\text{II}}$ (vide supra). The polymers obtained with CDs showed narrower molecular weight characteristics (runs 1 and 2). To gain more insight into the polymerization mechanism, similar experiments were conducted in the absence of CDs and the Cu$^{\text{II}}$ catalyst as shown by the respective runs 3 and 4. Run 4 proceeded via a typical free radical photopolymerization mechanism resulting in very high molecular weight and large dispersity. This demonstrates the necessity to operate with a catalytic amount of Cu$^{\text{II}}$ in the ppm range in order to achieve living radical polymerization. However, no polymer was obtained in the absence of CDs. In addition, a longer irradiation time with a lower concentration of CDs (run 5) resulted in no polymer.

Figure 3 depicts the GPC trace obtained for the photo-ATRP after 30 min exposure. The higher dispersity $D$ of 1.3 indicates the occurrence of chain-terminating reactions. Longer exposure times resulted in an additional shoulder.

### Table 1: Blue LED (405 nm and 470 nm) initiated free radical polymerization of TPGDA with onium salts 1a, 1b, and 2a with CDs.

| Onium salt[a] | $R_p^{\text{max}}$ [s$^{-1}$] | $t_{\text{max}}$ [s] | $x_{\text{v}}$ | $I_{\text{max}}$ [nm] |
|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1a           | 13.5                          | 12.8                 | 0.59           | 405                 |
| 1b           | 12.5                          | 16.8                 | 0.64           | 405                 |
| 2a           | 3.5                           | 16.3                 | 0.41           | 405                 |
| 1a           | 12.1                          | 597 (414)[b]         | 0.64           | 470                 |

[a] Inhibition time.

### Table 2: UV light-induced ATRP of MMA using CDs under different experimental conditions ($t$ = exposure time, $L$ = TPMA; tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine)$^{[9]}$

| Run | [MMA]/[RX]/[CD]/[CuBr$_2$]/[L]/ | $t$ [h] | $\eta^{[a]}$ | $M_n^{[b]}$ [g mol$^{-1}$] | $D^{[c]}$ |
|-----|--------------------------------|--------|--------------|---------------------------|--------|
| 1   | 300:1:0.3:0.03:0.135            | 0.5    | 5.5          | 23 000                     | 1.3    |
| 2   | 300:1:0.6:0.03:0.135            | 2.5    | 30           | 6000                      | 1.2    |
| 3   | 300:1:0.03:0.135               | 1      | –            | –                         | –      |
| 4   | 300:1:0.3:0.03:0.135            | 1      | < 1          | 40 0000                    | 2.4    |
| 5   | 300:1:0.15:0.03:0.135           | 4      | –            | –                         | –      |

[a] Polymerization experiments were performed at 405 nm at room temperature. [b] Conversion (%) determined gravimetrically. [c] Determined by gel permeation chromatography using PMMA standards.

**Scheme 2.** Proposed simplified mechanism of photoinduced ATRP using CDs as the photosensitizer, omitting chain termination.

**Figure 3.** GPC trace of PMMA obtained after 30 min exposure. Conditions applied correspond to run 1 in Table 2.
which was not evident at short irradiation times (Figure 3). These results were reproduced several times, resulting in GPC curves exhibiting a dispersity of 1.2 and $M_n$ of 6000 g mol$^{-1}$. (The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the polymer is shown in Figure S5 in the Supporting Information). More investigations would be necessary to discuss whether the heterogeneity of the CDs caused this change or whether chain termination became more important at higher conversion, resulting in the molecular weight distribution shown in Figure S4 in the Supporting Information.

Furthermore, light on–off experiments were performed under experimental conditions identical to those in Table 2. For this purpose, the polymerization mixture was exposed to repeated cycles of LED exposure, in which the sample was irradiated for 30 min and then kept in dark for 30 min. The results obtained demonstrated the polymerization its ultimate irradiation dependence. No polymerization occurred when the solutions were kept in the dark (Figure 4).

For further insight into the polymerization kinetics, several experiments were conducted to confirm the linear increase in the conversion during the irradiation time. A linear relationship between logarithm of monomer concentration $\ln([M]_0/[M])$ and time $t$ starting at $t = 0$ indicated first-order kinetics with respect to the monomer in this system comprising CDs as photocatalyst while the concentration of radicals can be seen as constant$^{[21]}$ (Figure 5).

Similar experiments were also performed without switching off the light, and the polymers obtained at each time interval were analyzed by GPC. GPC measurements indicated a molecular weight increase upon irradiation while the dispersities resided around 1.5 (Figure 6). Several factors can explain the higher dispersity. Chain termination may explain the higher dispersities obtained.$^{[22]}$ Previous investigations carried out with up-conversion nanoparticles also resulted in higher dispersities, and the heterogeneous nature of the photocatalyst was discussed as one possible reason.$^{[23]}$ Nevertheless, this topic remains the subject of future experiments, which should give more detailed insight about the function of CDs as photocatalyst. Despite the fascinating properties of CDs, there are still many unanswered questions regarding their detailed structure and functionality.

Finally, a block copolymerization reaction confirmed the chain-end functionality of this material. The polymer obtained under identical experimental conditions functioned also as macroinitiator. GPC showed shift of the maximum of the molecular weight of PMMA after restarting with styrene from 6000 g mol$^{-1}$ for PMMA (run 2 in Table 2) to 12000 g mol$^{-1}$ for PMMA-b-PSt. Thus, the isolated block copolymer confirmed the chain-end functionality. The appearing shoulder of the PMMA precursor (Figure S4) overlays with the shifted molecular weight of the block copolymer, resulting in the broad peak shown in Figure S6 in the Supporting Information. Furthermore, the Br-$CH_2$ signal appearing in the $^1$H NMR spectrum between 4–4.5 ppm also supported the expected end group in the styrene moiety of the block copolymer.

These examples complement the general picture of CDs with regard to their use as photocatalysts in particular for photo-ATRP. Since these materials do not exhibit remarkable cytotoxic activity, they can be used as photosensitizers in free
radical photopolymerization as shown in this first report. Medical applications will definitely benefit from these advantages. Additional work might focus on shifting the absorption to higher wavelengths, which would provide access to diode lasers emitting in the near-infrared region. This would link such systems further technologies such as coatings, imaging, and printing based on these sources. Future work should focus on structural investigations and the improving the compatibility with traditional polymerizing systems. This will definitively lead to a deeper understanding of CDs in polymerizing systems.

**Experimental Section**

The supporting information summarizes information on materials used, synthesis, UVVis measurements of CDs, general procedure for photoinduced ATRP of MMA, block copolymerization experiment (PMMA-b-PSt), light on-off experiments, kinetic studies of the polymerization, instrumentation, gel permeation chromatography (GPC), NMR spectroscopy, UV/Visible spectroscopy, and photo-DSC experiments.
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