Alzheimer’s disease and language impairments: social intervention and medical treatment

Abstract: Communication is very important for people to be successfully integrated into social environment and make and maintain relationship. Particularly, language difficulties lead to social exclusion of the people affected with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and contribute to a significant decrease in the quality of their life and also have a big impact on their family members who in most cases become their caregivers who need to communicate with their loved ones in order to meet their needs. Therefore, the goal of this study is to describe language impairments in the individual phases of AD and discuss their improvement with respect to AD on the basis of literature review. The authors of this article use traditional research methods in order to achieve the goal set mentioned earlier. First, a method of literature review of available sources describing language impairments in the individual phases of AD is exploited. Second, to show how informal caregivers and relevant drugs can successfully intervene in the improvement of these language impairments, a method of comparison of different research studies exploring such social intervention and medical treatment is used.
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Introduction

Current demographic development, particularly in the developed countries, and also at a global level, is characteristic for its aging population. According to Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) forecasts, in the following 35 years, almost a triple increase of population aged 80 and above and almost a double increase of people older than 65 years is expected in a current stable or slightly decreasing number of population in the productive age.

Therefore, a considerable increase in the number of people with dementia is also expected in the future. The most frequent form of dementia is Alzheimer’s disease (AD). The International Classification of Diseases characterizes AD as follows:

It is deterioration of memory and thinking which gradually affect self-sufficiency in daily activities. Memory is affected in all its parts and its disorder is connected with disorders of behavior and thinking.

This disease is the principal degenerative disease of brain of unknown etiology with characteristic neuropathological and neurochemical features. This disease is divided into the following three main phases: the early phase (mild), in which manifestation of this disease is seen in the decline of short- and medium-term memory; the middle (moderate) phase, which is specific for its memory loss and worsening of speech skills. In the late (severe) phase, there are difficulties with food intake, walking, or recognizing relatives and friends. This is the division followed by the authors of this article. Nevertheless, there exists another classification, which divides this disease...
into preclinical AD, mild cognitive impairment due to AD, and dementia due to AD.5,6

**Early (mild) phase**

In the early phase, AD first affects the memory. It is mainly the short- and medium-term memory that is affected. The speech is usually fluent, but patients sometimes have difficulties in finding the right word.7 These limited abilities can lead to the patient’s isolation. Moreover, people in this period have moderate disorders in the area of everyday activities, particularly in the area of their professional life or in executing more complex activities.7 The first behavioral symptoms can appear such as depression or anxiety, which are connected with the fact that these AD patients are aware of their own deterioration, and consequently, they feel frustrated.8 Other behavioral symptoms might include apathy, a loss of interest, fear, or sadness. In the early phase, these people are still self-sufficient, and therefore, their institutionalization is not necessary, which improves the quality of their lives.9

**Middle (moderate) phase**

This phase is much more serious, and it is the longest period of all three phases. It can last from 2 years to 10 years. The affected person is not able to remember his/her thoughts for such a period to be able to express them in words, which makes his/her communication less possible. Conversation with such a person is also impaired by the fact that this person is not able to adequately react to questions. The AD patient does not understand everything during the conversation, and s/he cannot express his/her thoughts. Sometimes this person can start speaking his/her native language which s/he did not use for long, or s/he can repeat certain sounds, words, or sentences.10 The reason is that s/he tries to make himself understood. Furthermore, s/he has disorders of attention and reduced verbal, mathematical, reading, and writing skills.11 In the moderate period, s/he suffers from disorientation not only in the unknown but also in the familiar environment. In fact, it is the environment in which s/he lives. Moreover, s/he can be disoriented in time and space. Psychotic symptoms such as delusion and hallucination are frequent.12

**Late (severe) phase**

In this phase the damage spreads into the frontal lobe. As this happens, the person is not able to act adequately. In this final phase, all nerve cells of the brain apart from the gray matter, which controls motoric and visual functions, are damaged. Memory is impaired, but certain memories can be still recalled with the help of photos, which can bring very nice feelings. Communication is very difficult; sometimes, it is not possible to recognize to what extent the person can understand what is said to him.13 The AD patient lacks a skill of judgment, logical reasoning, and social skills. This period usually lasts 1–3 years. The patients mostly die of intercurrent diseases such as bronchopneumonia or other diseases, which could be otherwise curable. Other causes of death are injuries and their consequences.10 As discussed earlier, communication in connection with dementia is quite important.14 Communication is important in many ways. The skill of communication is a must for a person to be successfully integrated into one’s social environment and make and maintain relationship.15 During communication one passes his messages to other people and receives their messages. However, this ability is impaired in people with AD as a consequence of this disease.16

The issue of language impairments is considered in connection with medical treatment and social aspects. In 2009, the European Commission published the resolution in which all the European Union countries (ie, the developed European economies)19 are recommended to set national strategic plans in their struggle against AD. The main parts of these strategies are research and development in the area of medical treatment17 and social issues, which seem to be insufficient because there is a lack of awareness of this disease. In addition, a clear need for improved understanding of AD is required and good practices such as financing or relevant therapies should be shared throughout all European Union countries. Moreover, focus should also be on the rights of people suffering from cognitive dysfunctionality.18

**Methods**

The authors of this article use traditional research methods in order to describe language impairments in the individual phases of AD and discuss possibilities for their improvement with respect to AD. Therefore, first, a method of literature review of available sources describing language impairments in the individual phases of AD is exploited. Second, to show how informal caregivers and relevant drugs can successfully intervene in the improvement of these language impairments, a method of comparison of different research studies exploring such social intervention and medical treatment is used (Figure 1).

The selection criterion of the research studies was based on the research topics (ie, language impairments, social intervention, and medical treatment with respect to AD) found in the research articles, predominantly in the
peer-review articles from the Web of Science for the period from 1990 up to the present time. These research studies were classified according to their relevance. The keywords are as follows:

- language impairments AND Alzheimer Disease (the number of results 1,358)
- social intervention AND Alzheimer Disease (the number of results 702)
- medical treatment AND Alzheimer Disease (the number of results 6,615).

The authors researched the first 50 studies and their relevance to the topic. Most of the sources referred to AD drugs. With respect to the focus of this article, these studies were particularly used for a basic specification of drugs in the individual stages of AD. However, most of the studies discuss the explored issues within the context of individual countries, whose comparison is not the purpose of this article. The main focus of this article is to discuss the principle research trends and suggest the direction in the treatment of these issues.

**Language impairments in AD**

Language impairments are usually one of the first cognitive signs of the onset of AD. Specifically, they are caused by a decrease of sociolinguistic aspects. These include the meaning of words, difficulties with finding a relevant word, naming, and word comprehension. Consequently, but inevitably, it results in worsening of language social situations. This means that the AD patients talk too much at inappropriate times, or they might speak too loudly, repeat the same thoughts many times, or they simply digress from the topic. However, researchers in this field assume that these sociolinguistic aspects considerably contribute to difficulties in the conversation flow, and thus lower cognitive abilities of the affected people.

**Social intervention in AD language difficulties**

As it can be seen in Table 1, language comprehension of the AD patients particularly worsens during the moderate and severe phases of AD. The affected people are not able to express themselves and thus communicate with their family members, relatives, or friends. They find themselves in total social exclusion. Furthermore, the loss of communication also has an enormous impact on their quality of life and considerably contributes to their and their caregiver’s burden.

| Table 1 Language impairments in the individual phases of Alzheimer’s disease |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Communication skills        | Early period    | Mild and severe periods |
| Communication               | Flowing, smooth | Nonflowing, neologism |
| Repetition                  | Preserved       | Impaired         |
| Identifying                 | Slightly impaired | Impaired       |
| Understanding the meaning of words | Preserved       | Impaired         |
| Understanding of sentences  | Preserved       | Impaired         |
| Skills of reading           | Preserved       | Impaired         |
| Skills of writing           | Preserved       | Impaired         |
| Words, phrases (meaning)   | Preserved for known words or phrases; impaired for less familiar words and phrases | Preserved |

In the first phase of AD, patients usually have relatively small language problems, which are predominantly connected with lexical–semantic language difficulties such as naming the things or being vague in what they want to say. Thus, one can speak about empty speech (eg, “The thing is over there, you know”), although the speech is phonologically, morphologically, and syntactically perfectly structured. According to Manenti et al, some of these insufficiencies may be caused by malfunction in nonlinguistic areas, for example, in paying less attention and self-control. In the moderate and severe phases of AD, there is a rapid increase of a lack of verbal fluency, which usually results in breakdown of comprehension. Table 1 presents an overview of the main language impairments in the individual phases of AD.

On the one side, research studies also show that AD patients with higher education usually experience a worse language deficit than the patients with lower education. On the other side, there are studies that claim the very opposite. Not surprisingly, AD female patients usually perform better than males, although statistically they are more frequently affected with AD than males.
In 80% cases, caregivers are family members whose life then undergoes significant changes as well. As Maresova et al pointed out, these caregivers are mostly middle-aged people with a lot of other responsibilities. Sometimes, they even give up their jobs in order to help their loved ones. Furthermore, besides losing their regular income, they often lose their relationship, free time, and eventually, they end up in social isolation. This consequently affects their health as well. They usually have sleep problems and behavioral disorders. In addition, these informal caregivers report communication breakdown with their loved ones, which often results in worsening their mutual relations and contacts.

Therefore, different communicative strategies have been developed by professional organizations in order to lower caregiver’s burden as far as the language difficulties are concerned. In this process, the Association of Speech-Language Pathologists plays a key role. They mainly concentrate on the intellectual, speaking, and partly physical insufficiencies connected with dementia. Furthermore, they try to help these people affected with dementia to be independent, of course with respect to their abilities. They focus on training their memory, speaking, solving problems, or self-control. The association teaches these people to use different kinds of techniques to maintain their intellectual and physical skills and abilities as long as possible. They provide them with a list of the following useful techniques and strategies:

- revising the crucial words or phrases to maintain their attention;
- posing close questions to aim at an easier reply, such as Do you want an apple or an orange?, instead of What fruit would you like for your snack?;
- always trying to give clear and concise information, which would make the conversation flow easier;
- providing the patient with a written list of things and tasks to do, such as how to switch on TV or how to make a simple dinner;
- writing down a diary which can help the patient to remember things easier and where he/she can keep his/her personal data;
- keep telling the patient to take drugs;
- motivate family members to participate in workshops on how to deal with AD patients and on how to cope with potential risks.

In addition, there exist various written guides, such as the DementiaGuide, which suggest that caregivers should be always calm when they communicate with their loved ones; provide clues to what they are trying to say by pointing to an object or bringing the word into conversation instead of interrupting them, or simply telling them the word they are looking for; think of the environment in which communication is done because these affected people usually prefer face-to-face communication in a quiet environment; avoid speaking when the patient is tired; or encourage the patients to talk by asking them about their daily activities.

**Medical treatment**

The current possibilities of treatment solve just the symptoms of this disease. There exist two classes of drugs with differing mechanisms of action that are licensed for the treatment of AD. These are as follows:

- acetyl cholinesterase inhibitors for the treatment of mild and moderate AD (donepezil, galantamine, and rivastigmine);
- the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist, memantine, for the treatment of moderate-to-severe AD.

These drugs do not prolong patients’ survival, but they are able to delay the most serious phases of AD, increase memory, quality of life and self-sufficiency of AD patients, and lower caregivers’ burden. A number of other drugs also influence behavioral disorders and mood (antidepressants or neuroleptic drugs), sleeping disorders, and other symptoms.

**Effect of drugs with respect to language impairments in AD**

Overall, there are ~81 drugs for AD treatment. However, only four of them have been clinically approved so far. There are research studies that prove a positive impact of these clinical drugs on AD treatment, in this case, on language impairments. Therefore, these clinical drugs and their effect on language impairments are discussed.

**Memantine**

Ferris et al assessed the treatment effects of memantine on language communication, particularly in the later stages of AD. They used the Severe Impairment Battery-Language scale. Altogether, they clinically tested 801 people with daily doses of memantine (20 mg) or placebo (20 mg). They discovered that especially memantine had a very positive effect on the patients suffering from serious language difficulties. They found out that the mean change from baseline in Severe Impairment Battery-Language score at 12 weeks, 24 weeks, and 28 weeks was significantly higher in case of memantine than placebo ($P<0.0001$, $P=0.0182$). This was particularly true for the patients with language disorders. These patients improved, while only very few worsened.
Thus, they concluded that memantine could be a solution to the language difficulties and use for their treatment, specifically for the patients with serious language impairment.

**Donepezil**

Similarly, donepezil and placebo were clinically tested for language impairments. The daily dose of these drugs was 10 mg. The AD patients were tested for six consecutive months. Once again there was a considerable favor for the treatment of donepezil than placebo, $^{34-37}$ All the patients treated with donepezil experienced much more positive effects on their language communication and showed less language impairments after this treatment. $^{38}$ In addition, research study by Ferris et al$^{39}$ proved that a higher dose of donepezil (23 mg) a day after 6 months had showed a 0.8-point improvement over the so far used lower dose (10 mg) of this drug. The researchers believe that this might have been caused by the increased dose of this drug accelerating higher acetyl cholinesterase reserve, which consequently raises cholinergic performance of the brain areas connected with language. They also assume that another reason might have consisted in the enforced impact of higher dose of donepezil (23 mg) on the brain areas connected with recollection or concentration.

**Rivastigmine**

Also rivastigmine has been used for the treatment of language impairments since 2000. Farlow et al$^{40}$ examined the effect of rivastigmine on cognitive functions at patients with mild-to-moderate AD for the period of 26 weeks in four different random trials. The patients who were taking 6–12 mg of this drug a day had far better results than those taking the same dose of placebo. It mainly concerned language functions ($P<0.001$), praxis ($P<0.001$), and memory ($P<0.0001$). However, other research studies$^{41}$ have not showed any considerable differences between the treatment of rivastigmine and placebo. In addition, both drugs have not proved any substantial benefits for the language impairments, particularly in the early stages of AD.

**Galantamine**

This approved clinical drug has proved to be relatively successful over placebo in six of the nine Severe Impairment Battery domains, particularly in the area of memory ($P<0.006$), praxis ($P<0.010$), and visuospatial ability ($P<0.002$).$^{42}$ Nevertheless, in other clinical research studies, this drug has not showed any considerable improvement in the language functions with AD patients when compared again with the treatment by placebo.$^{16}$

**Discussion**

As the findings show, the AD patients already in the first phase need professional care, which can be provided by both professionals – in this case, by speech therapist – and family members/informal caregivers who should be trained on how to communicate with their affected loved ones. As the study by Clare and Woods (2001)$^{43}$ proves, helping AD patients in the first phase of this disease might increase and keep their speaking skills and self-reliance for a longer period, and thus eliminate for a certain time their social exclusion and increased level of dependence on their caregivers. This is also confirmed by general trends on communication strategies in AD. According to Zientz et al,$^{44}$ raising caregivers’ awareness about certain speaking techniques and strategies can bring the following benefits:

- better interaction between the patient and his/her counterpart;
- keeping language skills of the affected people;
- improved quality of life of AD patients;
- reduced or increased caregiver burden because they take on a higher responsibility in the interaction with AD patients;
- increase in the caregivers’ knowledge of AD and their understanding of communication breakdown.

In addition, AD patients should be given clinical drugs because clinical research studies show their positive effect on the delaying of language impairments.$^{16}$ These drugs should be, however, given with respect to the complex patient’s state in the individual stages of AD. Thus, donepezil is intended for the treatment in all AD stages. It is taken as a pill once a day at bedtime. Patients should start taking a 5 mg dose a day and after 1 month increase the dose to 10 mg if they need it. Moreover, patients tolerate this drug well. Memantine is intended for the treatment of moderate-to-severe AD. It can be taken as tablets (10–20 mg) or syrup (10 mg) with or without meals once a day. Patients should start taking a 5 mg dose and increase it by 5 mg every week up to 20 mg per day. However, this drug has a few side effects such as headache, puzzlement, distress, or anxiety. Both rivastigmine and galantamine are meant for the treatment of mild-to-moderate AD. Rivastigmine is taken two times a day, in the morning and in the evening with meals. Patients should start at 3 mg a day in two split doses and after 14 days increase the dose to between 6 mg and 12 mg a day. Galantamine is taken as tablets or drops, 8 mg once a day for a month, and then patients should increase the dose to 16 mg a day for another 4 weeks. The maximum dose is 24 mg a day.$^{45,46}$
Although there many strategies and techniques both for the patients and caregivers and the clinical drugs the patients can take, there is also a chance to prevent it before AD language impairments arrive. This can be done by eating enough fruit and vegetables, doing physical exercises, solving various crosswords and quizzes on a daily basis. But as far as the language difficulties are concerned, one should also try to master at least another (foreign) language because research studies show that lifelong bilingualism confers protection against the onset of AD. For instance, Bialystok et al conducted research of the hospital records of monolingual and bilingual people who were affected with dementia and discovered that the bilingual people fell ill with dementia 3–4 years later than the monolingual patients. Moreover, speaking more than one language does not seem to be connected to education, occupational status, or immigration. As Craik et al add, bilingualism thus seems to contribute to cognitive reserve, which acts to compensate for the effects of accumulated neuropathology.

In addition, early diagnosis of cognitive decline, including the essential language functions, can be done by establishing accurate and sensitive assessment tools that can screen cognitive functions.

Conclusion
The findings of this study show the effect of AD on language functions in each of the three phases of AD. Despite the modest clinical effects of existing treatments of AD such as cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine, on the basis of literature review, the authors of this article conclude that the focused social intervention in the form of well-trained caregivers and proven medical treatment can successfully contribute to the delay of some of the most serious effects of AD such as language impairments. The authors also suggest that more longitudinal extensive clinical trials discovering effect of social intervention and medical treatment on delaying the development of AD should be conducted. This study can also serve as enrichment of a few review studies on this topic.
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