Enhanced Magneto-Optical Edge Excitation in Nanoscale Magnetic Disks
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We report unexpected enhancements of the magneto-optical effect in ferromagnetic Permalloy disks of diameter $D < 400 \text{ nm}$. The effect becomes increasingly pronounced for smaller $D$, reaching more than a 100% enhancement for $D = 100 \text{ nm}$ samples. By means of experiments and simulations, the origin of this effect is identified as a nanoscale ring-shaped region at the disk edges, in which the magneto-optimally induced electric polarization is enhanced. This leads to a modification of the electromagnetic near fields and causes the enhanced magneto-optical excitation, independent from any optical resonance.
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Nanophotonics has become a burgeoning field of research based upon the formidable ability of metallic nanostructures to strongly localize and enhance electromagnetic fields [1–3]. This has led to unprecedented control of intensity and polarization of light, which has also been exploited in a broad range of applications, such as novel nano-optical devices for communications [4], energy harvesting [5], biosensors [6–10], and photonic crystal structures [11]. The vast majority of studies in this field has been performed on nonmagnetic metallic nanostructures and focused primarily on localized surface plasmon resonances [12]. Nanostructures using magneto-optically active materials, such as metallic ferromagnets, started to attract attention only recently, leading to the rapidly developing field of magnetoplasmonics, which combines concepts of plasmonics and magnetism for the purpose of unveiling novel phenomena and functionalities at the nanoscale [13–24]. Key findings are hereby the ability to magneto-optically influence plasmonic properties and in return influence magneto-optical effects via plasmon resonances. Despite this large interest in nanoscale magneto-optics, only a few works have investigated the relevant fundamental effects arising from the interplay between magneto-optical activity and light-matter coupling in spatially confined geometries that are independent from resonance excitations [25–27]. In addition to, and in conjunction with magnetoplasmonics, such effects could lead to novel functionalities for the manipulation of light at the nanoscale, which are expected to play a major role in the emerging technology of flat optics [28, 29].

To elucidate the physics of magneto-optical activity in nanoscale confined geometries and to investigate associated modifications of magneto-optical signals, we have studied here the size dependent magneto-optical response for nanoscale disks. We investigate this subject by means of experiments based upon magneto-optical diffraction measurements as well as simulations utilizing the extended discrete dipole approximation (E-DDA) [26]. Details of this theoretical approach, including the incorporation of magneto-optical activity by means of a nondiagonal dielectric tensor

$$
\mathbf{\varepsilon} = \begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_d & -i\varepsilon_d Q & 0 \\
 i\varepsilon_d Q & \varepsilon_d & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_d
\end{pmatrix}
$$

have been described previously [27]. Hereby, $\varepsilon_d$ is the conventional dielectric function, $Q$ is the magneto-optical coupling constant, and matching our experimental conditions, the tensor in Eq. (1) assumes a $z$-axis orientation of the magnetization (see Fig. 1).

As shown in Fig. 1, our samples consist of two-dimensional rectangular arrays of Permalloy disks that were fabricated using electron beam lithography. The disk diameter $D$ was varied from 100 to 800 nm, and the rectangular lattice periods set to $a = D + 200 \text{ nm}$ and $b = 1.8 \mu\text{m}$. Period $b$ was chosen to be sufficiently large, so that the reflected light produced several diffraction orders. Also, it was held constant to enable the observation of the diffracted light under identical angular conditions for all samples, which allows for a direct quantitative comparison of our results [30]. Period $a$ was made smaller to have a sufficiently high surface area covered by the magnetic material. By keeping the interdisk spacing constant at $200 \text{ nm}$, which corresponds to at least 8 times the disk thickness, we are also avoiding possible complications caused by significant optical coupling in between the disks, which is corroborated by simulations of the magneto-optical effects investigated here [30]. The calculations verify that disk interactions cause modifications of only about 2% in the magneto-optical effects, even for large disks of the maximum thickness used in our experiment.
Figure 1 also shows a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) picture of one of our samples and defines our magneto-optical observation geometry. In our experiments, linearly polarized laser light of wavelengths $\lambda = 532$ nm and $\lambda = 633$ nm was used in normal incidence geometry with the electric polarization aligned within the diffraction plane ($xy$ plane). A magnetic field $H$ was applied perpendicular to the diffraction plane, and the $H$ dependence of the light intensity in diffraction orders $m = 1$ and $m = 2$ was measured. This specific geometry allows the observation of magneto-optical effects as simple intensity changes, which makes it robust against topography induced polarization changes of the diffracted light, which can vary from sample to sample.

Figure 2 shows examples of magnetic hysteresis loops measured as relative diffracted light intensity change $\Delta I/I$ as a function of $H$, with $I$ being the light intensity and $\Delta I$ being the magnetic field induced change in $I$. Specifically, Fig. 2(a) displays measurements for $m = 1$ with $\lambda = 532$ nm for disks of different $D$ and thickness $t = 25$ nm, while Fig. 2(b) shows the corresponding signals for $\lambda = 633$ nm. The pinched shape of the loops is very typical for nanodisks due to the occurrence of low field vortex states, in which the magnetization describes a circular pattern. Upon lowering $H$, the disk magnetization proceeds from a uniform “up” state to the vortex state, and then to the magnetic “down” state for large negative fields. Upon increasing the field again, the sequence is reversed as indicated by the cartoons in Fig. 2(a). The transformation of the “up” or “down” states into the vortex state requires thermal activation, which causes a magnetic hysteresis phenomenon. The hysteresis becomes less important for larger disks, which is consistent with the activation barrier behavior reported in the literature. Figure 2 also demonstrates that measurements for the different $\lambda$ show consistent results, as do the data for $m = 2$, which are shown in the Supplemental Material.
We also notice in Fig. 2 that the total signal level $\Delta I/I$ is larger for smaller $D$ for both wavelengths. This is an unexpected result, because the magnetic material and thus the magneto-optical coupling strength is identical in all samples and should produce a Kerr effect of constant strength [27]. To study this anomalous enhancement, the absolute Kerr effect $\Delta I/I$ for samples of varying $D$ and $t$ was measured. The results are shown in Fig. 3, where the Kerr effect at the maximum applied field strength $H = 1200$ Oe is plotted to insure that we are always in the saturated magnetization state. In all cases, i.e., for different $t$ and $\lambda$, an enhancement of the magneto-optical signal is observed upon reducing $D$. Hereby, the signal increase is not a small perturbation, but instead an about 100% enhancement effect for the $D = 100$ nm disks over the $D = 800$ nm sample. Also, we find that the enhancement is larger for thicker disks, while the specific wavelength $\lambda$ has very little influence. Together with the experimental observation that our samples do not exhibit a plasmon resonance in the here studied spectral range [30], this wavelength independence indicates that plasmonic resonances are not relevant for the here observed enhancement effect. Also, we find the enhancement to be independent from the diffraction order [30]. Thus, it cannot be caused by an observation specific interference effect, but must be due to the electric field and polarization pattern in the disks. To elucidate the origin of this magneto-optical enhancement effect, we performed E-DDA calculations for our experimental geometry. Given that disk interactions can be neglected [30], we have first calculated the fully self-consistent response of an individual disk of given size, and then placed replicas of the solution onto each lattice site to calculate the far-field signals.

For the dielectric tensor of bulk Permalloy, literature values were used [32]. The theoretically predicted $\Delta I/I$ vs $D$ dependence is also shown in Fig. 3 in direct comparison to the experimental data. Our calculations show exactly the same trends as the experiments regarding the disk diameter $D$, the disk thickness $t$, and wavelengths $\lambda$. Only for very small disks, namely $D < 200$ nm, there is a visible difference between the experimental data and the simulated results. Here, the experimental enhancement is even larger than what our calculations predict. However, overall, the model calculations are in excellent agreement with our experiments.

To understand the origin of the enhancement effect, the lateral distribution of the electric field induced polarization $P$ is plotted in Figs. 4(a)–4(c) for three different disk diameters $D$. Figure 4(a) shows the y-axis polarization $P_y$, ...
which is parallel to the electric field of the incoming light wave and thus, the largest polarization component that builds up upon excitation. Furthermore, our geometry leads to a coupling of the x-y components of the electric field and polarization, as described by the dielectric tensor in Eq. (1). Thus, the resulting \( P_x \) and \( P_y \) pattern describe the relevance of the magneto-optical response in the light excitation process, and the observed magneto-optical intensity effect stems from the phase sensitive far-field radiation superposition of both components. Without magneto-optical coupling, no sample-averaged \( P_z \) polarization would occur in our disks. Figure 4(b) displays the magneto-optically induced polarization \( P_z \), while Fig. 4(c) shows the local distribution of the ratio \( P_x/P_y \). In each case, the quantity is normalized to the respective values that occur in an infinite film of the same thickness and material.

Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show that the optical and magneto-optical excitations are nonuniform. This, of course, is the net effect of the sample shape and the corresponding electromagnetic boundary conditions at the disk surfaces. Upon visually comparing the \( P_x \) and \( P_y \) maps for each size, we see that both are nearly identical, because their behavior is dominated by the local electric field in the y direction \( E_y \). This field component is laterally varying, but it drives optical and magneto-optical responses in virtually the same way according to Eq. (1), because magneto-optical modifications are typically only a small perturbation [27]. However, if this were the complete physical picture, the relative strength of the magneto-optical response would be given by the size of the off diagonal element in the dielectric tensor alone and thus not dependent on the size of the disks. Therefore, it cannot explain the observed enhancement.

The origin of the size-dependent enhancement of the Kerr effect becomes apparent from the \( P_x/P_y \)-ratio maps in Fig. 4(c). Here, the center portions of the disks display a magneto-optical response ratio that matches the infinite film case. However, one can also see a bright ringlike structure at the disk edges, for which the relative magneto-optical response is substantially larger. It is this \( P_x/P_y \) enhancement ring that is responsible for the signal increase. We have corroborated this fact by comparing our results here with the quasiscalar approximation [27], in which the disk polarization pattern is first calculated by assuming a diagonal dielectric tensor and subsequently, the magneto-optical response is computed by locally applying Eq. (1). The so-computed \( P_x \) and \( P_y \) pattern are almost identical to the ones shown in Fig. 4 with the only difference being the disappearance of the \( P_x/P_y \) enhancement ring. In this quasiscalar approximation, \( \Delta I/I \) does not exhibit any \( D \) dependent enhancement, because the shape induced confinement effects onto \( P_x \) that lead to the ring-shaped enhancement of \( P_x \) are excluded.

The ring enhancement actually occurs in a nearly identical way for disks of all sizes. This can be seen in Figs. 4(d), 4(e), and 4(f), where we have plotted \( P_x/P_y \) ratio maps as a function of the distance to the edge \( d \) and the diameter \( D \) for three different values of \( t \). The \( P_x/P_y \) edge enhancement produces a bandlike feature on the right-hand side in each plot, because it is essentially independent from \( D \). This means that for a constant \( t \), the enhancement ring has the same absolute width for all \( D \). Thus, it is simply the relative geometric size of the ring area in comparison to the total disk area that increases with decreasing \( D \). The comparison of Figs. 4(d)–4(f) also shows that ring size and \( P_x/P_y \) ratios increase with \( t \), at least for sufficiently small \( t \). As a consequence, the Kerr effect enhancement is larger for thicker disks, which is exactly what we find in our experiment. The \( P_x/P_y \) enhancement profiles are primarily determined by the disk geometry due to a radially dependent depolarization effect near the edges [30], which changes with thickness. The finite light penetration depth caused by optical absorption now limits the effective disk surface region that contributes to the edge enhancement effect. This in turn leads to a saturation of the thickness dependence for the observable \( P_x/P_y \) enhancement and its associated ring width, which is less than 15 nm for bulk Permalloy in the wavelength range explored here [30]. This edge localization can also explain why the experimental enhancement is visibly larger than theoretically predicted for very small \( D \). Any deviation from a perfect circular shape in real samples will lead to an edge length increase, which can cause a further enhancement of the magneto-optical effect. Given that the edge region area of a disk corresponds to a larger fraction of the total disk area for smaller disks, such imperfection enhancements will be most visible for the smallest disks [30].

In summary, we observe an unexpected enhancement of the magneto-optical effect for Permalloy disks with a diameter \( D < 400 \) nm. The effect becomes increasingly pronounced for smaller \( D \), reaching more than a 100% enhancement for \( D = 100 \) nm samples. By means of simulations, we are able to reproduce the experimental behavior, including its dependence on \( D \), disk thickness \( t \), wavelengths \( \lambda \), and diffraction order \( m \). The simulations furthermore identify the origin of this effect as a ring-shaped region at the disk edges, where the magneto-optically induced electric polarization is enhanced. This leads to an enhancement of the magneto-optical effect, independent from any optical resonance. The edge-induced enhancement effect is substantial, even if the absolute size of the magneto-optical effect in our samples remains modest. However, far larger absolute values should be achievable by utilizing materials with substantially larger magneto-optical coupling strengths \( Q \) and even smaller nanoscale dimensions or substructures.
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