Yang–Baxter solution of dimers as a free-fermion six-vertex model
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Abstract

It is shown that Dimers is Yang–Baxter integrable as a six-vertex model at the free-fermion point with crossing parameter \( \lambda = \frac{\pi}{2} \). A one-to-many mapping of vertices onto dimer configurations allows the free-fermion solutions to be applied to the anisotropic dimer model on a square lattice where the dimers are rotated by 45° compared to their usual orientation. This dimer model is exactly solvable in geometries of arbitrary finite size. In this paper, we establish and solve inversion identities for Dimers with periodic boundary conditions on the cylinder. In the particle representation, the local face tile operators give a representation of the fermion algebra, and the fermion particle trajectories play the role of nonlocal (logarithmic) degrees of freedom. In a suitable gauge, the dimer model is described by the Temperley–Lieb algebra with loop fugacity \( \beta = 2 \cos \lambda = 0 \). At the isotropic point, the exact solution allows for the explicit counting of 45° rotated dimer configurations on a periodic \( M \times N \) rectangular lattice. We show that the modular invariant partition function on the torus is the same as that of symplectic fermions and critical dense polymers. We also show that nontrivial Jordan cells appear for the dimer Hamiltonian on the strip with vacuum boundary conditions. We therefore argue that, in the continuum scaling limit, the dimer model gives rise to a logarithmic conformal field theory with central charge \( c = -2 \), minimal conformal weight \( \Delta_{\text{min}} = -1/8 \) and effective central charge \( c_{\text{eff}} = 1 \).
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1. Introduction

The six-vertex model [1–4] is a ferroelectric model on the square lattice that is Yang–Baxter integrable [5]. If the six vertex weights satisfy an additional free-fermion condition (2.2), the model reduces to a non-interacting free-fermion model [6, 7]. In contradistinction, the Dimers (domino tiling) model [8, 9] captures the molecular freedom in densely arranging (adsorbing) non-overlapping diatomic molecules (dimers) on a lattice substrate. In 1961, the dimer model on the square lattice was solved exactly [10–12] by Pfaffians. While the original solutions were by Pfaffians, the idea of using a transfer matrix and free fermions was initiated in [13]. After more than 50 years, the dimer model continues to be the subject of extensive study [14–21] primarily to understand the finite-size effects of boundary conditions and steric effects under the influence of infinitely repulsive hard-core local interactions. These effects are manifest in the related problems of Aztec diamonds [22] and the six-vertex model with domain wall boundary conditions [23, 24]. For these systems, even the thermodynamic limit can fail to exist. Although such boundary conditions clearly break conformal invariance in the continuum scaling limit, there are other boundary conditions—such as periodic and free boundary conditions—which are conformally invariant. For Dimers with the latter boundary conditions it has been argued [15, 16] that the system is best described by a logarithmic conformal field theory (CFT) with central charge $c = -2$ rather than the $c = 1$ Gaussian free field usually associated [25] with Dimers and the six-vertex model at the free fermion point.

Although it is not immediately apparent, it is known that the six-vertex free-fermion and dimer models are related, at least at the level of configurations. In fact, a one-to-many mapping exists [24, 26, 27] from six-vertex configurations to dimer configurations. Notably, this maps six-vertex configurations onto dimer configurations where the dimers are rotated by 45° compared to their usual orientation parallel to the bonds of the square lattice. As shown in figure 3, in this orientation, each dimer covers two sites of the medial lattice whose sites consist of midpoints of the bonds of the original square lattice. For an $M \times N$ rectangular lattice with periodic boundary conditions, there are thus $2MN$ sites on the medial lattice covered by $MN$ dimers.

In this paper, we exactly solve the anisotropic dimer model on the square lattice for dimers with the 45 degree rotated orientation. This is achieved using Yang–Baxter integrability, by viewing the dimer model as a free-fermion six-vertex model, and solving the associated inversion identity [5, 7, 28] satisfied by the transfer matrices. By considering periodic boundary conditions on the cylinder, and closing to a torus by taking a matrix trace, we obtain the modular invariant partition function (MIPF). Notably, the MIPF of Dimers precisely agrees with symplectic fermions [29] and critical dense polymers [30–34]. The latter is nontrivial because, as a special six-vertex model, the usual matrix trace is used to close the cylinder to a torus for the dimer model, whereas a modified trace [34] is needed for critical dense polymers. Remarkably, we find that the spectra of Dimers agree sector-by-sector with the spectra of critical dense polymers. However, an important difference with periodic boundary conditions is that the transfer matrix of critical dense polymers exhibits Jordan cells [33, 35] on the cylinder, whereas the transfer matrix of Dimers (six-vertex free-fermion model) is normal and diagonalizable, so it does not exhibit Jordan cells. With vacuum boundary conditions on the strip, we show that the situation is the other way around—the double row transfer matrices of critical dense polymers do not exhibit Jordan cells, whereas the Hamiltonian and double row transfer matrices of Dimers (six-vertex free-fermion model) do exhibit Jordan cells (see, for example, [36–38]). We therefore argue that Dimers is a logarithmic CFT with central charge $c = -2$ and effective central charge $c_{\text{eff}} = 1$.

It is to be stressed that our dimer model is exactly solvable in arbitrary finite geometries on the strip, cylinder and torus with a variety of different integrable boundary conditions. On the
one hand, for periodic boundary conditions, we obtain a *finitized* modular invariant partition function for Dimers. On the other hand, at the isotropic point, we obtain explicit formulas for the counting of dimer configurations on a finite \( M \times N \) periodic rectangular lattice.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we describe the dimer model, with dimers rotated by 45°, as a free-fermion six-vertex model, and give the equivalence of the face tiles in the vertex, particle and dimer representations. We also describe the relations between the free-fermion algebra, the Temperley–Lieb algebra and the Yang–Baxter equation. We end this section by showing that the residual entropy is not changed by rotating the orientation of the dimers, and agrees with the known result [12]. In section 3, we introduce the periodic row transfer matrices, and show that the associated dimer Hamiltonian reduces to the usual free-fermion hopping Hamiltonian. In this section, we also obtain the exact eigenvalues of the transfer matrices on finite cylinders. Closely following [33], we calculate the finite-size spectra in the \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) Ramond and Neveu–Schwarz sectors. The \( N \) even sectors are combined to show that the MIPF is that of symplectic fermions [29]. This completes the CFT description of Dimers on the torus. Next, in section 4, we consider the isotropic point, and obtain explicit formulas for the counting of rotated dimer configurations on a periodic \( M \times N \) rectangular lattice. Although it displays the same asymptotic growth, the precise counting of these configurations differs from the counting in the usual orientation [10, 14]. Finally, in section 5, we give a brief summary of the analysis of the double row transfer matrices of Dimers on the strip with vacuum boundary conditions. Most importantly, for small system sizes, we show that the Hamiltonian coincides with the Hamiltonian of the \( U_q(sl(2)) \)-invariant XX Hamiltonian, and exhibits nontrivial rank-2 Jordan cells.

2. **Dimers as a free-Fermion six-vertex model**

2.1. **Face tiles and equivalence of vertex, particle and dimer representations**

The allowed six-vertex (arrow conserving) face configurations and the equivalent tiles in the particle (even and odd rows) and dimer [24] representations are shown in figure 1. For \( N \) columns, the vertex (arrow) degrees of freedom \( \sigma_j = \pm 1 \) and the particle occupation numbers \( a_j = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_j) = 0, 1 \) live on the medial lattice with \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). The Boltzmann weights of the six-vertex tiles are

\[
a(u) = \rho \frac{\sin(\lambda - u)}{\sin \lambda}, \quad b(u) = \rho \frac{\sin u}{\sin \lambda}, \quad c_1(u) = \rho g, \quad c_2(u) = \frac{\rho}{g}, \quad \lambda \in (0, \pi), \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.1}
\]

The spectral parameter \( u \) plays the role of spatial anisotropy, with \( u = \frac{\lambda}{2} \) being the isotropic point. Geometrically [39], varying \( u \) effectively distorts a square tile into a rhombus with an opening anisotropy angle \( \vartheta = \frac{u\lambda}{2} \). The arbitrary parameter \( \rho \) is an overall normalization. Assuming boundary conditions such that there are an equal number of sources and sinks of horizontal arrows (vertices \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \)) along any row, the transfer matrix entries (3.1) are all independent of the gauge factor \( g \).

At the free-fermion point (\( \lambda = \frac{\pi}{2} \)), the six-vertex face weights reduce to

\[
a(u) = \rho \cos u, \quad b(u) = \rho \sin u, \quad c_1(u) = \rho g, \quad c_2(u) = \frac{\rho}{g}, \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.2}
\]

These weights satisfy the free-fermion condition

\[
a(u)^2 + b(u)^2 = c_1(u)c_2(u). \tag{2.3}
\]
As shown in section 2.2, with the special choice of gauge $g = z = e^{i\pi}$, the tiles give a representation of the free-fermion algebra with generators $\{f_j, f_j^\dagger\}$ and, consequently, also a representation of the Temperley–Lieb algebra with generators $\{e_j\}$ and loop fugacity $\beta = 2 \cos \lambda = 0$.

Explicitly, the face transfer operators are

$$X_j(u) = \rho (\cos u I + \sin u e_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N. \quad (2.4)$$

This Temperley–Lieb model is directly equivalent to an anisotropic dimer model as shown in figures 1, 3 and 4. A dimer weight is assigned to the unique square face which is half-covered by the dimer, as shown in figure 4. The statistical weights assigned to ‘horizontal’ and ‘vertical’ dimers are

$$\zeta_h(u) = a(u) = \rho \cos u, \quad \zeta_v(u) = b(u) = \rho \sin u. \quad (2.5)$$

Setting $g = \rho$, and allowing for the facts that (i) the $c_1$ face has two allowed configurations and (ii) no dimer covers the $c_2$ face, it follows that

$$c_1(u) = \zeta_h(u)^2 + \zeta_v(u)^2 = \rho^2 (\cos^2 u + \sin^2 u) = \rho^2, \quad c_2(u) = 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Alternatively, fixing $\rho = g = \sqrt{2}$ at the isotropic point $(u = \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{\pi}{4})$ gives

$$a(\frac{\pi}{4}) = 1, \quad b(\frac{\pi}{4}) = 1, \quad c_1(\frac{\pi}{4}) = 2, \quad c_2(\frac{\pi}{4}) = 1. \quad (2.7)$$

It follows that, with this normalization and any gauge $g$, the partition function at the isotropic point gives the correct counting of distinct dimer configurations.
In addition to the vertex and dimer representations, the six-vertex free-fermion model admits a particle representation as shown in figure 3. A reference state on the cylinder and strip is fixed as in figure 2. An edge of a given vertex is a segment of a particle trajectory (and has particle occupation number $a_j = 1$) if its arrow points in the opposite direction to that of the reference state. Otherwise, if the edge arrow points in the same direction as the reference state, the edge is not a segment of a particle trajectory (and the particle occupation is $a_j = 0$). The segments of particle trajectories live on the medial lattice, and are indicated with heavy lines in figure 2. The number of particles is conserved, and their trajectories are non-intersecting. On the cylinder (which is glued at the top and bottom to form the torus), the particle trajectories are constrained to move up and to the right through the lattice. The particle representation is the simplest of the three representations, and is convenient for coding in Mathematica [40] and for manipulations in the diagrammatic planar algebra, so we usually work in the particle representation.

With suitable face weights, the mapping between the six-vertex model, particle representation and Dimers also holds for $\lambda \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$, and the model is still Yang–Baxter integrable. The difference is that, in the free-fermion case $\lambda = \frac{\pi}{2}$, the particles are non-interacting, whereas for $\lambda \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$, the particles interact. In terms of dimers, for $\lambda \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$, there are anisotropic 3-dimer interactions for the faces of vertices 1 through 4. In general, for $\lambda = \frac{(p' - p) \pi}{p' \rho}$ with $p, p'$ coprime, the (non-intersecting) fermion particle trajectories play the role of nonlocal degrees of freedom in these logarithmic CFTs. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ arrow reversal symmetry of the vertex model implies a particle–hole duality in the particle representation.

2.2. Free-fermion, Temperley–Lieb algebras and Yang–Baxter equation

In this section, we consider the free-fermion model (2.2) with $\lambda = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and set $g = z = e^{iu}$ and $\rho = 1$. The overall normalization $\rho = \sqrt{2}$ is easily reinstated, as needed, to count dimer configurations at the isotropic point ($u = \frac{\pi}{4}$).

2.2.1. Free-fermion algebra. As elements of a planar algebra [41], the face operators of the free-fermion six-vertex model decompose [42] in the particle representation into a sum of contributions from six elementary tiles.
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Multiplication of the tiles in the planar algebra is given by local tensor contraction of indices \((a, b, c, \ldots = 0, 1)\) specifying the particle occupation numbers on the centers of the tile edges. Regarding the elementary tiles as operators acting on an upper (zigzag) row particle configuration to produce a lower (zigzag) row particle configuration, we write them respectively as

\[ E_j = n_j^{00}, n_j^{11}, f_j f_{j+1}, f_j^\dagger f_j, n_j^{10}, n_j^{01}, n_j^{00} + n_j^{11} + n_j^{10} + n_j^{01} = I. \]

The four operators \(n_j^{ab}\) are (diagonal) orthogonal projection operators which factorize into left and right half (triangular) tiles

\[ n_j^{ab} = n_j^a n_j^b, \quad n_j^a n_j^b = \delta_{ab} n_j^0, \quad n_j^0 + n_j^1 = I, \quad a, b = 0, 1. \]

Here \(n_j = n_j^1\) is the number operator counting single-site occupancy at position \(j\), and \(n_j^0\) is the number operator counting the single-site vacancies at position \(j\). The operators \(f_j\) and \(f_j^\dagger\) are single-site particle annihilation and creation operators respectively which satisfy the canonical anticommutation relations (CAR) for fermions

\[ \{f_j, f_k\} = \{f_j^\dagger, f_k^\dagger\} = 0, \quad \{f_j, f_k\} = \delta_{jk}, \quad n_j^1 = f_j f_j^\dagger, \quad n_j^0 = f_j^\dagger f_j = 1 - f_j f_j^\dagger. \]

It follows that all of the elementary tile operators can be written as combinations of bilinears in the fermion operators \(f_j\) and \(f_j^\dagger\). Diagrammatically, the particle hopping terms \(f_j^\dagger f_{j+1}\) and \(f_{j+1}^\dagger f_j\) factorize into left and right half (triangular) tiles

\[ f_j^\dagger f_{j+1} = \begin{array}{c}
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so that the fermion generators are represented by half (triangular) tiles

\[ f_j^\dagger = \begin{array}{c}
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\end{array}, \quad f_j = \begin{array}{c}
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The action of the fermion operators (2.13) on states is given by

\[ f_j^\dagger |\cdots, n_{j-1}, n_j, n_{j+1}, \cdots\rangle = (-1)^{\sum_{i<j} n_i n_j} |1 - n_j| \cdots, n_{j-1}, (1 - n_j), n_{j+1}, \cdots\rangle \]

\[ f_j |\cdots, n_{j-1}, n_j, n_{j+1}, \cdots\rangle = (-1)^{\sum_{i<j} n_i n_j} |\cdots, n_{j-1}, (1 - n_j), n_{j+1}, \cdots\rangle. \]

The presence of the ‘Jordan–Wigner string’ \((-1)^{\sum_{i<j} n_i n_j}\) ensures the complete antisymmetry of the states, and reflects the nonlocality of the fermion operators. Notice that a particle can only hop into a site that is vacant, and that the action of the hopping terms on states is

\[ f_j^\dagger f_{j+1} |\cdots, n_{j-1}, 0, 1, n_{j+2}, \cdots\rangle = |\cdots, n_{j-1}, 1, 0, n_{j+2}, \cdots\rangle \]

\[ f_{j+1}^\dagger f_j |\cdots, n_{j-1}, 1, 0, n_{j+2}, \cdots\rangle = |\cdots, n_{j-1}, 0, 1, n_{j+2}, \cdots\rangle. \]
since the contributions from the Jordan–Wigner strings cancel. This action applies for both open and periodic boundary conditions with the cyclic boundary condition \( f_{j+N} = f_j \) on the fermions. Although the Jordan–Wigner strings seem to break translation invariance for periodic boundary conditions, this invariance is restored [7] for operators composed of an even number of fermion operators.

2.2.2. Temperley–Lieb algebra. To realise a Temperley–Lieb algebra, let us introduce

\[
x = e^{i\lambda} = i
\]

and the generators

\[
e_j = x \begin{array}{c}
\text{f} \\
\text{f}^\dagger
\end{array} + x^{-1} \begin{array}{c}
\text{f}^\dagger \\
\text{f}
\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}
\text{f} \\
\text{f}^\dagger
\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}
\text{f}^\dagger \\
\text{f}
\end{array} \quad (2.18a)
\]

\[
e_j = x f_j^\dagger (1 - f_j^\dagger f_{j+1}) + x^{-1} (1 - f_j^\dagger f_j) f_j^\dagger f_{j+1} + f_j f_{j+1} + f_j^\dagger f_j 
\] \quad (2.18b)

\[
e_j = x f_j^\dagger + x^{-1} f_j^\dagger f_{j+1} + f_j f_{j+1} + f_j^\dagger f_j . \quad (2.18c)
\]

The quartic (interacting) terms vanish, since \( \beta = x + x^{-1} = 0 \), leaving bilinears in fermion operators. Using the planar algebra of tiles, it is easily shown that these operators yield a representation of the Temperley–Lieb algebra [43]

\[
e_j^2 = \beta e_j = 0, \quad e_j e_{j\pm 1} e_j = e_j, \quad \beta = 2 \cos \lambda = x + x^{-1} = 0. \quad (2.19)
\]

Equivalently this follows, purely from fermionic algebra, by writing the generators in terms of the fermionic operators \( f_j \) and \( f_j^\dagger \), as in (2.18c).

2.2.3. Yang–Baxter equation. Using the above algebra, it is straightforward to confirm that, in the gauge with \( g = z = e^{iu} \), the face transfer operators (2.8) of the free-fermion six vertex model now take the form

\[
X_j(u) = \begin{array}{c}
\text{u} \\
\text{u}
\end{array} = \cos u I + \sin u e_j . \quad (2.20)
\]

It immediately follows, from standard arguments [44], that they satisfy the Yang–Baxter equation (YBE)

\[
X_j(u)X_{j+1}(u + v)X_j(v) = X_{j+1}(v)X_j(u + v)X_j(u) \quad (2.21a)
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{f} \\
\text{u}
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text{d} \\
\text{c}
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text{e} \\
\text{v}
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text{a} \\
\text{b}
\end{array} = \begin{array}{c}
\text{f} \\
\text{u}
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text{d} \\
\text{c}
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text{e} \\
\text{v}
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text{a} \\
\text{b}
\end{array} \quad (2.21b)
\]

The initial condition and inversion relation are

\[
X_j(0) = I, \quad X_j(u)X_j(-u) = \cos^2 u I . \quad (2.22)
\]
In the usual vertex model terminology, \( X_j(u) \) is the \( \hat{R} \)-matrix, and not the \( R \)-matrix.

2.3. Free energy and residual entropy

Since the free-fermion six-vertex model is Yang–Baxter integrable, its partition function per site

\[
\rho \kappa(u) = \rho \exp(-f_{\text{bulk}}(u))
\]

(2.23)

can be obtained by solving [44] the inversion relation \( \kappa(u) \kappa(-u) = \cos^2 u \) or by using the Euler–Maclaurin approach as in [31]. The two equivalent integrals for the bulk free energy are

\[
f_{\text{bulk}}(u) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh ut \sinh(\frac{\pi}{2} - u)t}{t \sinh \pi t \cosh \frac{2ut}{t}} \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \log 2 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \log(\cosec t + \sin 2u) \, dt.
\]

(2.24)

Setting \( \rho = \sqrt{2} \) and \( u = \frac{\pi}{4} \) gives the known [12] molecular freedom \( W \) and residual entropy \( S \) of dimers on the square lattice as

\[
W = e^\rho = \sqrt{2} \exp(-f_{\text{bulk}}(\frac{\pi}{4})) = \exp(2G) = 1.791622812 \ldots, \quad S = \frac{2G}{\pi} = .583121808 \ldots
\]

(2.25)

where the molecular freedom \( W \) and Catalan’s constant \( G \) are given by

\[
W = \sqrt{2} \kappa(\frac{\pi}{4}) = \lim_{M,N \to \infty} (Z_{M \times N})^{\frac{1}{M}}, \quad G = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2} \log(1 + \cosec t) \, dt = .915965594 \ldots
\]

(2.26)

3. Solution on a cylinder and torus and finite-size spectra

3.1. Commuting single row transfer matrices

The single row transfer matrix of the free-fermion model in the particle representation is defined by

\[
\mathbf{T}(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
  b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_N \\
  a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_N \\
  & u & & \\
  & u & \cdots & u \\
  & u & & u
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(3.1)

where there are \( N \) columns and the left and right edges are identified. The occupation numbers on the vertical edges (auxiliary space) are summed out. The quantum space consists of \( 2^N \) row configurations \( \vec{d} = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N\} \) of particle occupation numbers. The Yang–Baxter equation (2.21) implies [5] that the single row transfer matrices commute

\[
[T(u), T(v)] = 0.
\]

(3.2)

From the crossing relation and commutation, it follows that the transfer matrices are normal

\[
T^\dagger(u) = T(\lambda - u) \quad \Rightarrow \quad [T(u), T(u)^\dagger] = 0.
\]

(3.3)

The transfer matrices are therefore simultaneously diagonalizable by a similarity transformation with a matrix \( \hat{S} \) whose columns are the common \( u \)-independent right eigenvectors of \( T(u) \).
In the six-vertex arrow (or spin) representation, the total magnetization
\[ S_z = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j = -N, -N + 2, \ldots, N - 2, N \] (3.4)
is conserved under the action of the transfer matrix. The magnetization \( S_z \) is thus a good quantum number separating the spectrum into sectors. For Dimers, it therefore plays the role of the variation index in [17, 20, 21]. By the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) up-down symmetry, the spectrum for the sectors \( S_z = m \) and \( S_z = -m \) coincide for \( m > 0 \). So, all these eigenvalues are exactly doubly degenerate. We will see that, for \( N \) even, the lowest energy (ground) state is unique, and occurs in the sector \( S_z = 0 \), so that there are \( \frac{1}{2}N \) up arrows (spins) and \( \frac{1}{2}N \) down arrows (spins). More generally, the number of down spins is \( d = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \) coincides with the number of down arrows, and is also conserved. The transfer matrix and vector space of states thus decompose as
\[ T(u) = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{N} T_d(u), \quad \text{dim } \mathcal{V}^{(N)} = \sum_{d=0}^{N} \text{dim } \mathcal{V}^{(N)}_d = \sum_{d=0}^{N} \binom{N}{d} = 2^N = \text{dim } (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes N}. \] (3.5)
Comparing the spectra sector-by-sector with critical dense polymers [33] gives a precise matching if the number of defects \( \ell \) is identified as
\[ \ell = |N - 2d| = |S_z| = \begin{cases} 0, 2, 4, \ldots, N, & N \text{ even} \\ 1, 3, 5, \ldots, N, & N \text{ odd} \end{cases}. \] (3.6)
Taking the logarithmic derivative of the single row transfer matrix (3.1) gives the Hermitian free-fermion Hamiltonian
\[ H = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} (a_j^\dagger f_j + x^{-1}f_{j+1}^\dagger f_j + f_j^\dagger f_{j+1} + f_{j+1}^\dagger f_j) \] (3.7a)
\[ = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} (f_j^\dagger f_{j+1} + f_{j+1}^\dagger f_j). \] (3.7b)
Using \( \beta = x + x^{-1} = 0 \) and cyclic symmetry, the first two fermionic terms cancel, leaving the expected free-fermion hopping Hamiltonian. Since the Hamiltonian is a quadratic form in Fermi operators it can be diagonalized by standard free-fermion techniques. Here, we diagonalize the transfer matrices using inversion identities, to make clear the relation to critical dense polymers. The methods we use, based on Yang–Baxter integrability, functional equations and physical combinatorics, are more general, and can also be applied to percolation [45] and to the six-vertex model at other roots of unity.

### 3.2. Inversion identities on the cylinder

The inversion identities for the single row transfer matrix of the free-fermion six vertex model with periodic boundary conditions are
\[ T(u)T(u + \lambda) = (\cos^{2N} u - \sin^{2N} u)I, \quad N \text{ odd} \] (3.8a)
\[ T_d(u)T_d(u + \lambda) = (\cos^{2N} u + \sin^{2N} u + 2(-1)^d \sin^N u \cos^N u)I = (\cos^N u + (-1)^{(N-\ell)/2} \sin^N u)^2 I, \quad N \text{ even.} \quad (3.8b) \]

These are specializations of the elliptic inversion identities [7] of the eight-vertex free-fermion model. The derivation following [7] is given in appendix. For \( N \) even, the inversion identity is different in the sectors with even and odd parity for \( d \). Alternatively, these inversion identities can be written as

\[ T(u)T(u + \lambda) = (\cos^{2N} u + (-1)^N \sin^{2N} u)I + (\sin u \cos u)^N J \quad (3.9) \]

where \( J = 0 \) for odd \( N \), and \( J \) is a diagonal matrix with entries \( \pm 2 \) alternating in the different \( d \) sectors for even \( N \). The commuting transfer matrices \( T(u) \) admit a common set of right eigenvectors independent of \( u \). They can therefore be simultaneously diagonalized by a similarity transformation. It thereby follows that the inversion identities are satisfied by the individual eigenvalues.

As in the case of critical dense polymers, \( J \) is related to the braid transfer matrices \( \vec{B}^+ \) and its inverse \( \vec{B}^- \) by

\[
\vec{B}^\pm = \lim_{u \to \pm \infty} \frac{T(u)}{\sin^N (u + \lambda/2)}, \quad (\vec{B}^\pm)^2 = \begin{cases} 2I + (-1)^{N/2}J, & N \text{ even} \\ 2I, & N \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)
\]

### 3.3. Exact eigenvalues

The inversion identities (3.8a) and (3.8b), for \( N \) odd and \( N \) even, precisely coincide with the \( N \) odd even inversion identities of critical dense polymers [33]. In the rest of section 3, we summarize the solution of these inversion identities and the relevant results of [33]. From the Temperley–Lieb equivalence, it is expected that the spectra of the free-fermion six-vertex model and critical dense polymers agree up to the possibility of different degeneracies. The new content of the following sections is that we find empirically that the spectra of these two models precisely coincide sector-by-sector, as matched by the identification (3.6) of the number of defects \( \ell \) of critical dense polymers with \( |S_\ell| \). In particular, the central charge \( c = -2 \) and conformal weights \( \Delta = -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2} \) of Dimers are given by the same calculations using the Euler–Maclaurin formula carried out previously for critical dense polymers, so we do not repeat these calculations.

For \( N \) and \( \ell = |S_\ell| \) even, the transfer matrix eigenvalue spectra breaks up into Ramond (R) and Neveu–Schwarz (NS) sectors according to the even or odd parity of \( \ell/2 = |S_\ell|/2 \). As in (5.24) and (5.25) of [33], the eigenvalues \( T(u) \) factor into elementary contributions arising from zeros in the complex \( u \)-plane in the form of single or double 1-strings on the line \( \Re u = \frac{2\pi}{N} \) at ordinates

\[
y_j = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \log \tan \frac{j(\ell - 1)\pi}{N}, & \mathbb{Z}_4 : \ N, \ell \text{ odd}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \\ -\frac{1}{2} \log \tan \frac{j(\ell - 1)\pi}{N}, & \mathbb{R} : \ N, \ell/2 \text{ even}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N/2 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \log \tan \frac{\ell \pi}{N}, & \text{NS} : \ N \text{ even}, \ell/2 \text{ odd}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N/2 - 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.11)
\]

A typical pattern of zeros is shown in figure 5. From [33], the elementary excitation energy of a single 1-string at position \( j \) in the upper or lower half plane is
For $N$ odd, the contributions from 1-strings in each half-plane are encoded in one-column diagrams, as shown in figures 6 and 7. For $N$ even, the contributions from the single or double 1-strings in each half-plane are encoded in double-column diagrams as shown in figures 8–11. Each column of a two-column diagram has a 0- or 1-string at a given position or height, labelled by $j$. These combine to encode no 1-string, a single 1-string or a double 1-string at each height $j$ or position (3.11) in the pattern of zeros. By convention, zeros on the real $u$-axis are regarded as being in the upper half plane.

Explicitly, as shown in [33, 34], the solution of the inversion identity (3.8b) by factorization of the eigenvalues yields

$$T(u) = e^{\frac{(-i)^N}{2N-1}} e^{-Niu} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( e^{2iu} + i\epsilon_j \tan \left( \frac{(2j-1)\pi}{4N} \right) \right), \quad \epsilon_4 : \ N, \ell \text{ odd}$$

(3.13a)

$$T(u) = e^{\frac{(-i)^N}{2N-1}} e^{-Niu} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( e^{2iu} + i\epsilon_j \tan \left( \frac{(2j-1)\pi}{2N} \right) \right), \quad \epsilon_4 : \ N, \ell/2 \text{ even}$$

(3.13b)

$$T(u) = e^{\frac{(-i)^N}{2N-1}} Ne^{-Niu} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( e^{2iu} + i\epsilon_j \tan \left( \frac{j\pi}{N} \right) \right), \quad \epsilon_4 : \ N, \ell/2 \text{ odd}$$

(3.13c)

where $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$. The overall sign $\epsilon = \pm 1$ of each eigenvalue is not fixed by the inversion relation. These sign factors $\epsilon$ are fixed by [34]

$$\epsilon = (-1)^{\frac{N+1}{4}}, \quad \epsilon^R = \epsilon^{NS} = (-1)^{\frac{N+2}{4}}, \quad s = S_2.$$ 

(3.14)

Separating the zeros in the upper and lower half planes leads to

$$T(u) = \mu \frac{(-i)^N}{2^{N-1}2^{N/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( e^{2iu} + i\epsilon_j \tan \left( \frac{2j-1)\pi}{4N} \right) \right) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( e^{2iu} + i\epsilon_j \tan \left( \frac{(2j-1)\pi}{4N} \right) \right), \quad \epsilon_4 : \ N, \ell \text{ odd}$$

(3.15a)

$$\mu \frac{(-i)^N}{2^{N-1}2^{N/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{N}{4}} \left( e^{2iu} + i\epsilon_j \tan \left( \frac{(2j-1)\pi}{4N} \right) \right) \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{N}{4}} \left( e^{2iu} + i\epsilon_j \tan \left( \frac{(2j-1)\pi}{4N} \right) \right), \quad \epsilon_4 : \ N, \ell/2 \text{ even}$$

(3.15b)

$$\mu \frac{(-i)^N}{2^{N-1}2^{N/2}} Ne^{-Niu} \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{N}{4}} \left( e^{2iu} + i\epsilon_j \tan \left( \frac{j\pi}{N} \right) \right) \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{N}{4}} \left( e^{2iu} + i\epsilon_j \tan \left( \frac{j\pi}{N} \right) \right), \quad \epsilon_4 : \ N, \ell/2 \text{ odd}$$

(3.15c)
Figure 3. Typical periodic arrow configuration on a $6 \times 4$ rectangle corresponding to four applications of the single row transfer matrix. The associated particle and (one of the $2^4 = 8$) possible periodic dimer configurations are also shown. The boundary conditions are periodic such that the left/right edges and top/bottom edges are identified. The excess of up arrows over down arrows (2 in this case) is conserved. Particles travel up and to the right. They can wind around the torus but do not cross. An $M \times N$ rectangular lattice is covered by $MN$ dimers. Each dimer covers two adjacent sites of the medial lattice.
where \( \mu, \epsilon_j, \bar{\epsilon}_j, \bar{\mu}_j = \pm 1 \). Up to the overall choice of sign \( \mu \), there are either \( 2^N \) or \( 2^{N-2} \) possible eigenvalues allowing for all excitations, but they are not all physical, and are subject to selection rules. The number of 1-strings \( m_j \) plus the number of 2-strings \( n_j \) at any given position is

\[
m_j + n_j = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathbb{Z}_4 \\ 2, & \text{R, NS} \end{cases}
\]

(3.16)

### 3.4. Patterns of zeros and selection rules

The combinatorial description of the spectra follows precisely as in [33]. The building blocks of the spectra in the upper half-plane consist of the ‘symplectic’ \( q \)-binomials

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right]_q & = \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - \sigma \end{array} \right]_q = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sigma (\sigma + 1)} \sum_{\sigma \text{-singlecolumns}} q^{ \sum_m E_j}, & \mathbb{Z}_4 : N, \ell \text{ odd} \\ q^{\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2} \sum_{\sigma \text{-doublecolumns}} q^{ \sum_m E_j}, & \text{R} : N, \ell/2 \text{ even} \\ q^{\frac{1}{2} \sigma (\sigma + 1)} \sum_{\sigma \text{-doublecolumns}} q^{ \sum_m E_j}, & \text{NS} : N \text{ even}, \ell/2 \text{ odd} \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

(3.17)

as shown in figures 7, 9 and 11. For the one-column diagrams in the \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) sectors, the excess \( \sigma \) of the number \( m_{\text{even}} \) of even 1-strings over the number \( m_{\text{odd}} \) of odd 1-strings is

\[
\sigma = m_{\text{even}} - m_{\text{odd}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} m_{2k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (\sigma + 1)/2 \rfloor} m_{2k-1}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_4 : N, \ell \text{ odd.}
\]

(3.18)

For R and NS sectors, the excess \( \sigma = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - m \) of the number of 1-strings in the right column minus the number of 1-strings in the left column of the double-column diagram is given by

\[
m_{\text{right}} - m_{\text{left}} = \begin{cases} \sigma, & \text{R : } \ell/2 \text{ even} \\ \sigma \text{ or } \sigma + 1, & \text{NS : } \ell/2 \text{ odd} \end{cases}
\]

(3.19)

The minimal configurations in the upper half-plane with energy \( E(\sigma) \) are as shown in figures 6, 8 and 10.

There are similar building blocks in the lower half-plane with \( \sigma \) replaced by \( \bar{\sigma} \). In each half-plane, the minimum energy configurations satisfy

![Figure 4.](image-url)
By convention, any zeros on the real $u$ axis are pushed into the upper half plane. Empirically determined selection rules dictate that, in a sector with $\ell$ defects, the quantum numbers of the ground state satisfy

$$m_{\text{right}} - m_{\text{left}} = \sigma_{\text{min}} = \begin{cases} \sigma, & \sigma \geq 0 \\ \sigma + 1, & \sigma < 0 \end{cases}$$

(3.20)

Figure 5. A typical pattern of zeros in the complex $u$-plane for the $\ell$ even sectors. Here, $N = 12$ and $\ell = |S_z| = 2$. The ordinates of the locations of the zeros $u_j$ are $y_j = -\frac{1}{2} \log \tan \frac{\pi j}{N}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N/2 - 1$. At each position $j$, there is either two 1-strings with $\text{Re} u_j = \pi/4$, two 2-strings with real parts $\text{Re} u_j = -\pi/4, 3\pi/4$ or one 1-string and one 2-string. A double zero is indicated by a black circle, a single zero by a grey circle and an unoccupied position by an open circle. Reproduced from [33]. © SISSA Medialab Srl. Reproduced by permission of IOP Publishing. All rights reserved.

Figure 6. $\mathbb{Z}_4$ sectors ($N$, $\ell$ odd): Minimal configurations of single-columns with energy $E(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma (\sigma + \frac{1}{2})$. The quantum number $\sigma = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - m$ is given by the excess of blue (even $j$) over red (odd $j$) 1-strings. At each empty position $j$, there is a 2-string. This analyticity strip is in the upper half complex $u$-plane rotated by 180 degrees so that position $j = 1$ (furthest from the real axis) is at the bottom. Reproduced from [33]. © SISSA Medialab Srl. Reproduced by permission of IOP Publishing. All rights reserved.
\[ \sigma = \bar{\sigma} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\ell - 1)}{4}, & \ell = 1 \text{ mod } 4, \quad \mathbb{Z}_4: \ N \text{ odd} \\ \frac{-(\ell + 1)}{4}, & \ell = 3 \text{ mod } 4, \quad \mathbb{Z}_4: \ N \text{ odd} \\ \ell/4, & R: \ \ell/2 \text{ even} \\ \frac{(\ell - 2)}{4}, & \text{NS: } \ell/2 \text{ odd} \end{cases} \] (3.21)

with \( \ell = |4\sigma + 1| = 1, 3, 5, 7, \ldots \) in the \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) sectors. Similarly, it is found that all excitations satisfy the selection rules.
\[ \sigma + \bar{\sigma} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1), & \ell \equiv 1 \mod 4 \\ -\frac{1}{2}(\ell + 1), & \ell \equiv 3 \mod 4 \end{cases} \quad \mathbb{Z}_4: \text{N odd} \quad \frac{1}{2}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (3.22) \]

\[ \sigma + \bar{\sigma} = \begin{cases} \ell/2, & R: \ell/2 \text{ even} \\ (\ell - 2)/2, & NS: \ell/2 \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad \mathbb{Z}: \quad \frac{1}{2}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (3.23) \]
\[ \sum_{\ell \leq N} Z_{\ell}^{(N)}(q) = \frac{1}{2} (q^{N/2} - q^{-N/2}) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( 1 + q^{2n-1} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( 1 + q^{2n} \right) + \prod_{n=1}^{N} (1 - q^{n-1}) \prod_{n=1}^{N} (1 - q^{2n-1}) \right]. \]

For given mod 4 parities of \( N - \ell \), these expressions are equivalent as partition functions but, in each case, the first form is used for the combinatorial interpretation when \( \ell = 1 \) mod 4, and the second form when \( \ell = 3 \) mod 4. As in [33], this leads to

\[ \sum_{\ell \in 2N-1} Z_{\ell}^{(N)}(q) = \frac{1}{2} (q^{N/2} - q^{-N/2}) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( 1 + q^{2n-1} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( 1 + q^{2n} \right) + \frac{N+1}{2} \prod_{n=1}^{N} (1 - q^{n-1}) \prod_{n=1}^{N} (1 - q^{2n-1}) \right]. \]

In the R and NS sectors with even \( N \)

\[ Z_{\ell}^{(N)}(q) = \begin{cases} (q^{N/2} - q^{-N/2}) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( 1 + q^{2n-1} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( 1 + q^{2n} \right) + (N+1) \prod_{n=1}^{N} (1 - q^{n-1}) \prod_{n=1}^{N} (1 - q^{2n-1}) \right] & R : \ell/2 \text{ even} \\
(q^{N/2} - q^{-N/2}) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( 1 + q^{2n-1} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( 1 + q^{2n} \right) + (N+1) \prod_{n=1}^{N} (1 - q^{n-1}) \prod_{n=1}^{N} (1 - q^{2n-1}) \right] & NS : \ell/2 \text{ odd} \end{cases} \]

3.5. Modular invariant partition function

Using the \( q \)-binomial building blocks and empirical selection rules for \( N \) odd or even, gives the finitized partition functions as in [33]. In the \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) sectors with \( N, \ell \) odd

\[ Z_{\ell}^{(N)}(q) = \begin{cases} (q^N - q^{-N}) \sum_{\ell \leq N} q^{\Delta_{2\ell+1}/2} \left[ \frac{N+1}{2 \ell} \right]_q \bar{q}^{\Delta_{2\ell-1}/2} \left[ \frac{N+1}{2 \ell} \right]_q & N - \ell = 0 \text{ mod 4} \\
(q^N - q^{-N}) \sum_{\ell \leq N} q^{\Delta_{2\ell+1}/2} \left[ \frac{N+1}{2 \ell} \right]_q \bar{q}^{\Delta_{2\ell-1}/2} \left[ \frac{N+1}{2 \ell} \right]_q & N - \ell = 2 \text{ mod 4} \end{cases} \]

\[ Z_{\ell}^{(N)}(q) = \begin{cases} (q^N - q^{-N}) \sum_{\ell \leq N} q^{\Delta_{2\ell+1}/2} \left[ \frac{N+1}{2 \ell} \right]_q \bar{q}^{\Delta_{2\ell-1}/2} \left[ \frac{N+1}{2 \ell} \right]_q & N - \ell = 0 \text{ mod 4} \\
(q^N - q^{-N}) \sum_{\ell \leq N} q^{\Delta_{2\ell+1}/2} \left[ \frac{N+1}{2 \ell} \right]_q \bar{q}^{\Delta_{2\ell-1}/2} \left[ \frac{N+1}{2 \ell} \right]_q & N - \ell = 2 \text{ mod 4} \end{cases} \]
\[
\left[ \frac{a}{m} \right]_q = 1 + q + 2q^2 + 2q^3 + 3q^4 + 3q^5 + 2q^6 + 2q^7 + q^8 + q^9 + q^{10}
\]

**Figure 11.** Neveu–Schwarz sectors \((N \text{ even}, \ell/2 \text{ odd})\): Combinatorial enumeration by double-columns of the \(q\)-binomial \([a/m]_q = [\frac{a}{m}]_q = q^{-1} \sum q^{\ell} m^{E_{\ell}}\). The number of positions is \((n - 1)/2 = 3\). The quantum number is \(\sigma = |n/2| - m = 1\). The excess of blue (right) over red (left) 1-strings is \(\sigma = 1\) or \(\sigma = 2\). The elementary excitation energy of a 1-string at position \(j\) is \(E_j = j\). The lowest energy configuration has energy \(E(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma(\sigma + 1) = 1\). At each position \(j\), there are \(m_j\) 1-strings and \(n_j = 2 - m_j\) 2-strings. This analyticity strip is in the upper-half complex \(u\)-plane rotated by 180 degrees, so that position \(j = 1\) (furthest from the real axis) is at the bottom. The elementary excitations (of energy 1) are generated by either inserting a left or right 1-string at position \(j = 1\) or promoting a 1-string at position \(j + 1\). Notice that \([a/m]_q = [a/n-m]_q\) as \(q\)-polynomials but they admit different combinatorial interpretations because they have different quantum numbers \(\sigma\) and \(\sigma' = -\sigma - 1\). In calculating \(\sigma'\), we have used the fact that \(n\) in (3.27) is odd. In the lower half-plane, \(q\) is replaced with \(\bar{q}\), and no rotation is required. The value \(\sigma\) of the quantum number in the lower half-plane is related to \(\sigma\) in the upper half-plane by the selection rules \(\sigma + \bar{\sigma} = (\ell - 2)/2\) and \(\frac{1}{2}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) \in \mathbb{Z}\). Reproduced from [33]. © SISSA Medialab Srl. Reproduced by permission of IOP Publishing. All rights reserved.

In these formulas the central charge and conformal weights, given by the Euler–Maclaurin formula, are

\[
c = -2, \quad \Delta = \bar{\Delta} = \Delta_j = \frac{1}{8}, 0, \frac{3}{8}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \quad \Delta_j = \frac{j^2 - 1}{8}.
\]

The modular invariant partition function \(Z(q)\) of the free-fermion six-vertex model is given by taking the trace over all \(S_z\) sectors with even \(N\). Using the explicit expressions in terms of products, as in [33], and summing over the \(\ell\) sectors with multiplicity 2 arising from \(S_z = \pm \ell\) yields the finitized partition function of the free-fermion six-vertex model

\[
Z_{\ell}(N)(q) = Z_{0(N)} + 2 \sum_{\ell \in 4N} Z_{\ell}^{(N)}(q) + 2 \sum_{\ell \in 4N-2} Z_{\ell}^{(N)}(q)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2}(q\bar{q})^{-\frac{1}{8}} \prod_{n=1}^{|\frac{N+1}{2}|} (1 + q^n) \prod_{n=1}^{|\frac{N}{2}|} (1 + q^{-n})^2 + \prod_{n=1}^{\frac{N+1}{2}} (1 - q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \prod_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} (1 - q^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}) \prod_{n=1}^{|\frac{N-1}{2}|} (1 + q^n)^2
\]

\[
+ 2(q\bar{q})^{-\frac{1}{8}} \prod_{n=1}^{|\frac{N}{2}|} (1 + q^n)^2 \prod_{n=1}^{\frac{N+1}{2}} (1 + q^{-n})^2.
\]
It is easily checked that the counting of states \( Z^N(1) = 2^N \) is correct at \( q = \tilde{q} = 1 \).

Taking the thermodynamic limit \( N \to \infty \) gives the conformal modular invariant partition function

\[
Z_0(q) + 2 \sum_{l \in 4N - 2} Z_l(q) = \frac{\vert \vartheta_{1,2}(q) \vert^2 + \vert \vartheta_{3,2}(q) \vert^2}{\vert \eta(q) \vert^2} = \vert \tilde{\chi}_{-1/8}(q) \vert^2 + \vert \tilde{\chi}_{3/8}(q) \vert^2
\]

\[
2 \sum_{l \in 4N - 2} Z_l(q) = \frac{\vert \vartheta_{1,2}(q) \vert^2 + \vert \vartheta_{3,2}(q) \vert^2}{\vert \eta(q) \vert^2} = \frac{2 \vert \vartheta_{1,2}(q) \vert^2}{\vert \eta(q) \vert^2} = 2 \vert \tilde{\chi}_0(q) + \tilde{\chi}_1(q) \vert^2
\]

\[
Z(q) = Z_0(q) + 2 \sum_{l \in 2N} Z_l(q) = \frac{1}{\vert \eta(q) \vert^2} \sum_{j=0}^3 \vert \vartheta_{j,2}(q) \vert^2 = \vert \tilde{\chi}_{-1/8}(q) \vert^2 + \vert \tilde{\chi}_{-3/8}(q) \vert^2 + \vert \tilde{\chi}_0(q) \vert^2 + \vert \tilde{\chi}_1(q) \vert^2
\]

\[
= \vert \vartheta_0^2(q) \vert^2 + 2 \vert \vartheta_1^2(q) \vert^2 + \vert \vartheta_2^2(q) \vert^2
\]

(3.30)

where the \( u(1) \) and \( \mathcal{W} \)-irreducible characters are

\[
\vartheta_j^2(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \vartheta_{j,2}(q), \quad \tilde{\chi}_{-1/8}(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \vartheta_{1,2}(q), \quad \tilde{\chi}_{3/8}(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \vartheta_{3,2}(q), \quad \tilde{\chi}_0(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \vartheta_{1,2}(q) + \eta(q)^3, \quad \tilde{\chi}_1(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \vartheta_{1,2}(q) - \eta(q)^3
\]

(3.31)

and the Dedekind eta and theta functions are

\[
\eta(q) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n), \quad \vartheta_{j,2}(q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(j+4k+1)^2/8}.
\]

(3.32)

The MIPF \( Z(q) \) of the free-fermion six-vertex model thus precisely agrees with those of Dimers in the usual orientation [15] and critical dense polymers [34]. The latter coincidence is nontrivial, as a modified trace is needed to close the cylinder to a torus for this lattice loop model. Although the MIPF agrees with symplectic fermions [29], which is a logarithmic theory, there is no Jordan cell, and no indication of logarithmic behaviour for Dimers on the cylinder. Indeed, viewing the free-fermion model as the critical eight-vertex model at the decoupling point [46], the MIPF reduces to the square of the Ising model MIPF with central charge \( c = \frac{1}{2} \).

\[
Z(q) = Z_{\text{Ising}}(q)^2.
\]

(3.33)

Comparing (3.29b) with [28] shows that this relation also holds at the level of the finitized MIPFs. To see Jordan cells for Dimers, we consider the vacuum boundary condition on the strip in section 5.

### 4. Periodic dimers on a finite \( M \times N \) rectangular lattice

The problem of counting of periodic dimers on a finite \( M \times N \) rectangular lattice, in the usual orientation, has been solved exactly [10, 14]. The number of periodic dimer configurations is given by

\[
\tilde{Z}_{M \times N} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{Z}_{M \times N}^{1/2,1/2} + \tilde{Z}_{M \times N}^{0,1/2} + \tilde{Z}_{M \times N}^{1/2,0} \right)
\]

(4.1)

where

\[
\tilde{Z}_{M \times N}^{\alpha,\beta} = \prod_{n=0}^{N/2-1} \prod_{m=0}^{M/2-1} 4 \left( \sin^2 \frac{2\pi(n+\alpha)}{N} + \sin^2 \frac{2\pi(m+\beta)}{M} \right), \quad M, N = 2, 4, 6, \ldots
\]

(4.2)
Explicitly, arranging the entries in a symmetric matrix gives
\[
(\tilde{Z}_{M \times N}) = \begin{pmatrix}
8 & 36 & 200 & 1156 & \cdots \\
36 & 272 & 3108 & 39952 & \cdots \\
200 & 3108 & 90176 & 3113860 & \cdots \\
1156 & 39952 & 3113860 & 311853312 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}, \quad M, N = 2, 4, \ldots.
\] (4.3)

The exact counting of periodic dimer configurations on a finite \( M \times N \) rectangular lattice, in the 45 degree rotated orientation, is given by taking the trace of the \( M \)th power of the transfer matrix (3.1) with eigenvalues (3.13). The expressions, however, are more involved than for the usual orientation. Explicitly, setting \( \rho = \sqrt{2} \) at the isotropic point \( u = \pi/4 \) with \( \epsilon_j = \pm 1 \), the number of periodic dimer configurations with the rotated orientation is
\[
Z_{M \times N} = \begin{cases}
2^{MN+1} \sum_{s = -N/2}^{N/2} \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} (-1)^{MN+1} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \cos^M (\epsilon_j t_j - \frac{\pi}{4}), & N \text{ odd} \\
2^{MN} \sum_{s = 0 \text{ mod } 4}^{N} \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} (-1)^{MN+1} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \cos^M (\epsilon_j t_j - \frac{\pi}{4}) \\
+ 2^{MN} \sum_{s = 0 \text{ mod } 4}^{N} \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} (-1)^{MN+1} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \cos^M (\epsilon_j t_j^{NS} - \frac{\pi}{4}), & N \text{ even}
\end{cases}
\] (4.4)

where \( s = S_z \) in the sums increments in steps of four as indicated and
\[
t_j = \frac{(2j - 1)\pi}{4N}, \quad t_j^R = \frac{(2j - 1)\pi}{2N}, \quad t_j^{NS} = \begin{cases}
\frac{\pi j}{N}, & j \neq N/2 \\
0, & j = N/2.
\end{cases}
\] (4.5)

The restrictions on \( s \) are compatible with the selection rules, and the signs \( \epsilon \) in (3.14) ensure that the eigenvalues contribute with the correct overall sign. The trigonometric identities [47]
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{N} \cos t_j = 2^{1/2-N}, \quad \prod_{j=1}^{N} \cos t_j^R = (-1)^{N/2} 2^{1-N}, \quad \prod_{j=1, j \neq N/2}^{N} \cos t_j^{NS} = (-1)^{N/2} 2^{1-N}
\] (4.6)

are used to evaluate the products in the denominators arising from the simplification
\[
1 + \epsilon \tan t_j = \frac{\cos t_j + \epsilon \sin t_j}{\cos t_j} = \sqrt{2} \frac{\cos(\epsilon t_j - \frac{\pi}{4})}{\cos t_j}, \quad \epsilon_j = \pm 1.
\] (4.7)

For even \( N \), precisely half the eigenvalues in (4.4) come from the Ramond sectors and half from the Neveu–Schwarz sectors, in accord with the binomial identity
\[
\sum_{s = -N/2}^{N/2} \binom{N}{s} = 2^{N-1}, \quad s = S_z.
\] (4.8)

Arranging the entries in a symmetric matrix, the number of periodic dimer configurations for the rotated orientation is
(Z_{M,N}) = \begin{pmatrix}
4 & 8 & 16 & 32 & 64 & \ldots \\
8 & 24 & 80 & 288 & 1,088 & \ldots \\
16 & 80 & 448 & 2,624 & 15,616 & \ldots \\
32 & 288 & 2,624 & 26,752 & 280,832 & \ldots \\
64 & 1,088 & 15,616 & 280,832 & 5,080,064 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad M, N = 1, 2, 3, \ldots

\text{Figure 12.} The 24 periodic configurations of rotated dimers on a } 2 \times 2 \text{ square lattice. Each of the apricot shaded blocks of two dimers can occur in 2 local configurations related by a rotation through } 90^\circ.

The 24 periodic configurations on a } 2 \times 2 \text{ lattice are shown in figure 12. It is easy to recognize the integer sequences [48] in the first three rows. The formulas (4.4) look unwieldy but are straightforward to code in mathematica [40]. In particular, for comparison, the number of periodic dimer configurations } \bar{Z}_{8 \times 8} \text{ on an } 8 \times 8 \text{ square lattice in the usual orientation and the number } Z_{8 \times 8} \text{ in the rotated orientation are}

\bar{Z}_{8 \times 8} = 311,853,312, \quad Z_{8 \times 8} = 38,735,278,017,380,352. \quad (4.10)

The difference in magnitude observed here is due to the difference in the unit cells by a linear factor } \sqrt{2} \text{ on each edge of the rectangle. This is in accord with the fact that an } M \times N \text{ rectangle in the original orientation has } MN/2 \text{ dimers compared to } MN \text{ dimers in the rotated orientation. While the precise counting of dimer configurations differs in the two orientations, the asymptotic growth (2.26) per dimer coincides}

(\bar{Z}_{2M,N})^{\frac{1}{MN}} \sim (\bar{Z}_{M,2N})^{\frac{1}{MN}} \sim (Z_{M,N})^{\frac{1}{MN}} \sim \exp\left(\frac{2G}{\pi}\right). \quad (4.11)

5. Vacuum boundary conditions on the strip and Jordan cells

The vacuum boundary condition for Dimers is shown in figure 13. This is the ‘vacuum’ in the sense that it is the Kac } (r,s) = (1,1) \text{ boundary condition with no boundary seams. The normalized double row transfer matrix is}
where the left and right triangular boundary weights are

\[ z = x, \quad z^{-1} = x^{-1}, \quad 1, \quad 1. \]
The commuting double row transfer matrices \([49]\) satisfy the same inversion identity \([31, 32]\) as critical dense polymers with vacuum boundary conditions

\[ D(u)D(u + \lambda) = \left( \frac{\cos^{2N} u - \sin^{2N} u}{\cos^2 u - \sin^2 u} \right)^2 I. \]  

(5.3)

Similarly, the ordinates \(y_j\) of the 1-strings and their conformal excitation energies \(E_j\) are given by the same expressions

\[ y_j = -\frac{i}{2} \ln \tan \frac{E_j \pi}{2N}, \quad E_j = \begin{cases} j, & \text{N even} \\ j - \frac{1}{2}, & \text{N odd} \end{cases}. \]  

(5.4)

Moreover, the ground state patterns of zeros coincide for even \(N\) \((\ell = |S_\ell| = 0, (r, s) = (1, 1))\) and odd \(N\) \((\ell = |S_\ell| = 1, (r, s) = (1, 2))\). It follows that the same calculation, based on Euler–Maclaurin, applies with the expected results \(c = -2, \Delta_{1,1} = 0\) and \(\Delta_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{8}\). The difference between Dimers and critical dense polymers on the strip with vacuum boundary conditions resides in the counting and classification of states.

The Hamiltonian for Dimers with the vacuum boundary condition on the strip is given by

\[ H = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{du} \log D(u) \bigg|_{u=0}. \]  

(5.5)

It coincides with the \(U_q(\text{sl}(2))\)-invariant XX Hamiltonian \([36]\) of the free-fermion six-vertex model

\[ H = -\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sigma_j = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (\sigma^x_j \sigma^x_{j+1} + \sigma^y_j \sigma^y_{j+1}) - \frac{1}{2} i(\sigma^z_1 - \sigma^z_N) \]  

(5.6)

\[ = -\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (f_j^+ f_{j+1} + f_{j+1}^+ f_j) - i(f_1^+ f_1 - f_N^+ f_N) \]  

(5.7)

where \(\sigma^x_j, \sigma^y_j, \sigma^z_j\) are Pauli matrices and \(f_j = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^x_j - i\sigma^y_j), f_j^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^x_j + i\sigma^y_j)\). This Hamiltonian is manifestly not Hermitian. Nevertheless, the spectra of this Hamiltonian is real \([38]\). The Jordan canonical forms for \(N = 2\) and \(N = 4\) respectively are

\[ 0 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0 \]

\[ 0 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus (-\sqrt{2}) \oplus \left( -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \oplus \left( -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \oplus \sqrt{2} \oplus \sqrt{2} \oplus \left( \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \oplus \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \oplus \sqrt{2}. \]  

(5.8)

In the continuum scaling limit, the Hamiltonian gives the Virasoro dilatation operator \(L_0\). Assuming that the Jordan cells persist in this scaling limit, the representation is reducible yet indecomposable and so, as a CFT, Dimers is logarithmic.

6. Conclusion

Mathematically, a (one parameter) two-dimensional lattice model is Yang–Baxter integrable if the Boltzmann weights satisfy the local Yang–Baxter equation. In this case, the model admits a family of commuting transfer matrices with an infinite number of conserved quantities. In a sense, Yang–Baxter integrability is the gold standard for solvability on the lattice. Until now,
Dimers has been solved exactly by Pfaffian and other techniques, but has not been shown to be Yang–Baxter integrable. Now, anisotropic Dimers is brought firmly into the framework of Yang–Baxter integrability. Importantly, the Yang–Baxter integrability of Dimers established here allows to directly construct many integrable dimer boundary conditions through the boundary Yang–Baxter equation. For periodic transfer matrices, through the special inversion identity, this has enabled the detailed calculation of the dimer model spectra on the cylinder in the $\mathbb{Z}_4$, Ramond and Neveu–Schwarz sectors for arbitrary finite sizes. Taking a trace to form a torus, and combining these sectors at the isotropic point $u = \frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2}$, yields explicit formulas for the counting of dimer configurations on arbitrary periodic $M \times N$ rectangular lattices. Because the orientation of the dimers is rotated by $45^\circ$, the precise counting of these states differs from the counting of configurations for the usual orientation on the square lattice, even though the residual entropies coincide.

The inclusion of spatial anisotropy and the spectral parameter $u$ enables the analytic calculation of the complete finite-size spectra of Dimers, yielding the central charge $c = -2$ and conformal weights $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{8}$. Remarkably, the modular invariant partition function precisely coincides with that of critical dense polymers sector-by-sector, even though critical dense polymers requires the implementation of a modified trace. Because Dimers (six-vertex model at $\lambda = \frac{\pi}{2}$) exhibits Jordan cells on the strip with the vacuum boundary conditions, we argue that Dimers is best described as a logarithmic CFT with central charge $c = -2$ and effective central charge $c_{\text{eff}} = 1$.

Since the bulk CFTs appear to be the same, at least in terms of spectra, it is tempting to argue that Dimers and critical dense polymers lie in the same universality class. But, in considering universality, it should be borne in mind that, since these two theories exhibit different Jordan cell structures, they should be regarded as different logarithmic CFTs. Of course, critical dense polymers $\mathcal{LM}(1, 2)$ is just the first member of the family of logarithmic minimal models $\mathcal{LM}(p, p')$ [50]. It is therefore natural to ask whether the coincidence, at $\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{p'^2 - p^2 \pi^2}}{p} = \frac{\pi}{2}$, between the $\mathcal{LM}(p, p')$ and six-vertex model MIFs extends to other values of $(p, p')$.

Yang–Baxter integrability opens up further avenues for future research. Commuting double row transfer matrices and inversion identities can now be used to elucidate the role of different dimer boundary conditions on the strip. These are expected to include Kac and Robin $(r, s)$ type boundary conditions. Insight may also be gained into boundary conditions analogous to the ‘current’ boundary conditions (left and right boundary arrows both point to the right), and to domain wall boundary conditions and Aztec diamonds (left and right boundary arrows both point out). We plan to study these questions in a future paper [51]. Finally, it is known that the inversion identity methods extend off-criticality to the elliptic eight-vertex free-fermion model [7]. It would be of interest to study the off-critical dimer model given by the free-fermion eight-vertex model at $\lambda = \frac{\pi}{2}$. An extension of the mapping of [24] and figure 1 suggests that this should involve horizontal and vertical dimers in addition to their $45^\circ$ rotated counterparts. Interestingly, through the mappings in figure 1, the critical six-vertex model (2.1) can also be viewed as a critical model of interacting dimers, with anisotropic three-dimer interactions associated with the face weights $a(u)$ and $b(u)$.
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Appendix. Proof of inversion identities on the cylinder

For completeness, in this appendix, we present the derivation following Felderhof [7] of the inversion identities (3.8) for periodic boundary conditions on the cylinder

\[ T_d(u)T_d(u + \lambda) = \left( \cos^{2N} u - \sin^{2N} u \right) I, \quad N \text{ odd} \tag{A.1a} \]

\[ T_d(u)T_d(u + \lambda) = \left( \cos^{2N} u + \sin^{2N} u + 2(-1)^d \sin^N u \cos^N u \right) I, \quad N \text{ even.} \tag{A.1b} \]

For simplicity, since the transfer matrix is independent of the gauge, we work in the gauge \( g = \rho = 1 \).

For a 2-column at position \( j \) with fixed \( a_j, b_j \), let us define the following four \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices

\[ R\left( \begin{array}{c} b_j \\ a_j \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{cccc} a' & b' & c' & d' \\ a & b & c & d \end{array} \]

\[ R\left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin u \cos u & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos^2 u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\sin^2 u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin u \cos u \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ R\left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin u \cos u & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin^2 u & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos^2 u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin u \cos u \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ R\left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cos u & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cos u & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin u & \sin u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R\left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sin u & -\sin u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.4} \]

It follows that the matrix entries of the left-side of the inversion identity are given by the trace of an ordered matrix product

\[ [T_d(u)T_d(u + \lambda)]_{a,b} = \text{Tr} \prod_{j=1}^N R\left( \begin{array}{c} b_j \\ a_j \end{array} \right), \quad a_j, b_j = 0, 1 \tag{A.5} \]
where the lower and upper row configurations are \( \vec{a} = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N\} \), \( \vec{b} = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_N\} \).

Carrying out a similarity transformation with the matrices

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & x_1 & x_2 & 0 \\
x_3 & 0 & 0 & x_4 \\
x_5 & 0 & 0 & x_6 \\
x_7 & x_8 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad x_1 = x_3 = x_6 = 0, \quad x_2 = x_5 = x_7 = 1, \quad x_4 = x_8 = -1
\]

(A.6)

\[
S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & y_1 & y_2 & 0 \\
y_3 & 0 & 0 & y_4 \\
y_5 & 0 & 0 & y_6 \\
y_7 & y_8 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad y_1 = y_6 = y_8 = 0, \quad y_2 = y_3 = y_4 = y_7 = 1, \quad y_5 = -1
\]

(A.7)

brings the four ‘diagonal’ \( R \) matrices simultaneously to upper triangular form

\[
SR \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos^2 u & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \sin u \cos u & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\sin u \cos u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin^2 u
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(A.8a)

\[
SR \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos^2 u & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\sin u \cos u & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sin u \cos u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin^2 u
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(A.8b)

\[
SR \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \cos u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sin u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(A.8c)

\[
SR \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\cos u & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sin u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(A.8d)

The required inversion identities (A.1a) then follow immediately

\[
T_d(u)T_d(u + \lambda) = \left[ (\cos^2 u)^N + (-\sin^2 u)^N + (-1)^N \right] I.
\]

(A.9)
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