Tuber characteristics and nutrient composition of three morphotypes of *Cyperus esculentus* tubers and tuber oils were determined. The mean value for length and width of the tuber and one thousand dried tuber weights ranged from 0.98 to 1.31 cm, 0.90 to 1.19 cm, and 598 to 1044 g, respectively. Tubers displayed high level of starch (30.54–33.21 g 100 g$^{-1}$), lipid (24.91–28.94 g 100 g$^{-1}$), and sucrose (17.98–20.39 g 100 g$^{-1}$). The yellow tubers had significantly higher content in lipid compared to black ones. Levels of ascorbic acid, tocopherol, and $\beta$-carotene of the three morphotypes differed significantly. Yellow ones (morphotypes 1 and 2) were the richest in tocopherol and the poorest in $\beta$-carotene. Saturated fatty acid content of morphotype 2 was significantly lower than that of morphotypes 1 and 3. Morphotype 3 had the significantly lowest PUFA content compared to morphotypes 1 and 2. Morphotype 1 was found to be richer in Ca, Cu, and Mn contents. Al, Mg, P, S, and Si were most abundant in morphotype 2. Morphotype 3 had the highest content of Cl, K, and Zn.

1. Introduction

Cyperaceae is a family of monocotyledonous graminoid flowering plants known as sedges, which superficially resemble grasses or rushes. About 5,500 species have been described in the family [1] including *Cyperus esculentus*. *Cyperus esculentus* provides edible tubers commonly called tigernut, chufa sedge, nut grass, yellow nutsedge, tigernut sedge, or earth almond. Tigernut is a perennial crop cultivated particularly in tropical and subtropical areas worldwide and extensively in Africa, Asia, and some European countries for their sweetish tubers. In Africa, tigernut is mostly cultivated in the west, Ivory Coast, Ghana, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal and Togo where they are used primarily uncooked as a side dish [2].
carbohydrate, oil, and some useful mineral elements such as iron and calcium which are necessary for body growth and development [6, 7]. Three varieties have been reported on the basis of their color, namely, yellow, black, and brown varieties [8]. Tigernut was reported to be rich in carbohydrates, dietary fiber, lipids, and oleic acid [3, 9]. Despite its great potentialities the tigernut remains an underutilized plant dietary fiber, lipids, and oleic acid [3, 9]. Tigernut was reported to be rich in carbohydrates, 

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Plant Material. Tubers of *Cyperus esculentus* L. were sampled in January and February 2007–2009, in 5 villages located in western and southwestern Burkina Faso: Loropéni (10°18′N, 3°32′W), Mangodara (9°54′N, 4°21′W), Oueléni (10°51′N, 5°21′W), Tangora (10°38′N, 4°45′W), and Tiéfora (10°38′N, 4°33′W). Five kilograms of tubers was collected in each village, immediately hand-sorted to eliminate damaged ones, and taken to the laboratory. Prior to any analysis, the samples were washed with distilled water, drained, and air-dried. Each village sample was split into two parts; one part was finely ground with a Moulinex grinder robot (GT550, Zurich, Switzerland). Both parts were packing in an airtight container and stored at –18°C until analysis.

2.2. Analytical Methods

2.2.1. Physical Analysis. To determine the mean length and width of the tubers, 100 tubers were per village randomly picked and their two linear dimensions were measured using a Vernier caliper with an accuracy of 0.01 mm (Canon Instruments, Japan). The thousand dried tubers weight (TSW) was obtained by counting 1000 dried tubers and weighted on an electronic balance to 0.001 g accuracy (Ohaus, USA). The variation in tubers size and color was used to classify the tigernut into different morphotypes.

2.2.2. Chemical Analysis. The official methods of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists [10] were used to determine moisture, protein, crude oil, and ash contents of the tubers. Moisture (g water 100 g−1 sample) was determined by drying a 3 g ground sample at 105°C to constant weight. Nitrogen content was determined by using the Kjeldahl method and multiplied by a factor of 6.25 to determine the crude protein content (g protein 100 g−1 sample). Crude fat (g fat 100 g−1 sample) was obtained by exhaustively extracting 5.0 g of each sample in a Soxhlet apparatus using petroleum ether (boiling point range 40–60°C) as the extractant. Mineralization was performed on 3 g samples by combustion in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 8 h (g ash 100 g−1 sample) (AOAC 920.39C). Carbohydrate content was estimated by difference of mean values: 100 – (sum of percentages of ash, protein, and lipids) [11].

2.2.3. Starch and Sugar Analysis. AOAC method 996.11 was used to determine starch content of *Cyperus esculentus* tuber flours. The assay consisted of using thermostat alpha-amylase and amyloglucosidase to enzymatically hydrolyze starch into glucose that was then quantified with a spectrophotometer (μQuant, Biotek Instruments Inc, USA). Glucose, sucrose fructose, and maltose were analyzed by HPLC according to the AOAC Official Method 982.14 [12]. Samples for HPLC sugars analysis were prepared by homogenizing 0.3 g of *Cyperus esculentus* flour in 3 mL distilled water and 7 mL 95% alcohol and shaken before being centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 20 min. The clear supernatant was filtered through 0.45μm filter and degasified before analysis by HPLC. Filtered solution (20μL) was injected into HPLC 100 Series (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with a G1362A refractive index detector. Sugars were separated using a commercially packed with Zobax-NH2 column (250×4.6 mm (Dupont, Wilmington, DE, USA)) with a particle size of 5 μm and thermostatized at 30°C. The filtered and degasified mixture of acetone/ethanol/water (80/20) was used as mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min for 30 min run time [13]. The sugars peaks were identified by comparing their retention times with individual standard sucrose, maltose, glucose, and fructose approximately 99% pure (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) and the chromatograms analyzed using the Agilent Technologies Chemstation Software.

2.2.4. Vitamin Analysis. Vitamin C was determined in tubers as previously described [14, 15]. An aliquot of 25 g of tigernut was added to 25 mL of a solution containing 45 g/L metaphosphoric acid and 7.2 g/L of DL-1,4-dithiobetrol (DTT). The mixture was homogenized and centrifuged at 22,100 g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was vacuum-filtered through Whatman no. 1 filter. Prior to HPLC analysis, the vacuum-filtered samples (10 mL) were passed through a Millipore 0.45 μm filter. Then, 20 μL was injected into a HPLC system fitted with a reversed-phase column, C18 Spherisorb ODS2 (5 μm) stainless-steel column (4.6 mm × 250 cm). The mobile phase was a 0.01% sulphuric acid solution adjusted to a pH of 2.6, at a flow rate of 1 mL/min at room temperature. Detection was performed at 245 nm with 486 Absorbance Detector (Waters, Milford, MA). Vitamin C was quantified through a calibration curve built with ACS grade ascorbic acid (>99% pure, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) pure standards in the range of 0.2–50 μg/mL.

To determine vitamin E (α-tocopherol) and β-carotene, approximately 5 g of ground samples were extracted with 50.0 mL of hexane. The mixture was then vortexed for 5 min and filtered using 0.2μm pore size PTFE membrane. The filtered hexane fraction was directly injected into RP-HPLC system for β-carotene and vitamin E analysis [16]. The RP-HPLC system (Shimadzu) consisted of an autosampler and column oven equipped with Inertisil ODS-3V (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) reversed-phase column. For β-carotene
analysis, mobile phase was acetonitrile (6:4, v/v, containing 0.05% BHA as antioxidant) (eluent A) and MeOH (eluent B). The following gradient was used: initial condition was 70% (A) and 30% (B) for 5 min, followed by 80% (A) and 20% (B) for 5 min, at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. Elution was monitored using a photodiode-array detector at 472 nm [17]. For vitamin E content, methanol mobile phase was used at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The α-tocopherol was detected by a Shimadzu SPD-10A (UV/VIS) detector (292 nm wavelength). Standards of β-carotene (≥97.0% purity, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) and DL-α-tocopherol (≥96% purity, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) ranging from 0.5 to 6.0 μg/mL and from 0.02 to 1.0 μg/mL were used for calibration.

2.2.5. Tuber Oil Fatty Acids Analysis. Fatty acid methyl esters were determined according to International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) method II.D.19 [18]. On hundred milligrams of extracted oils was saponified in a volumetric flask, with 1.2 mL of 0.5 M KOH in MeOH by heating and stirring under reflux for 5 min. After saponification oils were esterified by adding 1.2 mL 20% boron trifluoride through condenser and boiled for 2 min and then the flask was moved from the magnetic stirrer and fatty acid methyl esters were extracted by adding 1 mL of n-hexane. Saturated NaCl solution was added until the n-hexane is in the neck of volumetric flask, mixed carefully, flipped once or twice, and let settle for about 30 min. After separation the n-hexane phase was transferred to a vial for fatty acid methyl esters analysis. Gas chromatography (GC) of fatty acid methyl esters was performed using a Perkin Elmer GC-autosystem XL with a programmable temperature vaporizer (PTV) split-injector and a flame ionization detector (FID). Helium was used as carrier gas. The column temperature was initially maintained at 100 °C for 2 min and then raised by 5 °C/min to 225 °C and finally held at 225 °C for 16 min. The injection volume was 0.2 μL with a 1:100 split. The PTV injector was initially maintained at 50 °C and immediately after the injection raised to 270 °C. The FID was kept at 250 °C. The capillary column employed was CP Sil 88 (Chrompak, Varian Instruments, Walnut Creek, CA; 50.0 m × 0.25 mm and 0.2 μm film thickness). The peaks were identified by comparing retention times with authentic fatty acid methyl esters. Quantification was based on the area under each fatty acid peak as compared to the total area of all fatty acid peaks.

2.2.6. Mineral Composition of Powered Tubers. The elements, Mg, P, Cr, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, Sr, Ca, and Cd, in digests were measured using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Analyst 800, Perkin-Elmer) and/or a coupled plasma mass spectrophotometer (ELAN DRCII Axial Field Technology, Perkin-Elmer). About 0.2 g of powered tuber was digested with 3 mL of HNO$_3$ (65%) and 0.5 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ (30%) in a closed vessel microwave digestion system (MLS-ETHOS plus) and diluted to 50 mL with Millipore water. Digestion conditions for the microwave system were applied as follows: 2 min for 250 W, 2 min for 0 W, 6 min for 250 W, 5 min for 400 W, 8 min for 550 W, and vent for 8 min. A blank digest was carried out in the same way. Al, Si, S, and Cl were analyzed by polarized Energy Dispersive X Ray Fluorescent (EDXRF), Spectro X-LAB 2000. Prior to analysis, 4 g of ground dried samples triplicate was pelleted by 5 tons using SpectroPess (Chempex Industries, Inc.) and then pellets were analyzed using different excitation conditions with an EDXRF spectrometer [19]. Standard Reference Material 1568a rice flour was obtained from National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, USA, and was used as food reference material to evaluate the analytical methods.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. All samples were tested at least in duplicate in each analytical technique. The values of different parameters were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Comparison of means was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Wilcoxon’s multiple comparison tests. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to compare the physical and chemical data of 3 morphotypes of Cyperus esculentus tubers. PCA was carried out using the 35 physical and chemical variables which differed significantly between morphotypes. Principal component analysis (PCA) is used in exploratory analysis. It gives graphical representations of intersample and intervariable relationships and provides a way to reduce the complexity of the data. Statistical significance was set at the 5% level of probability using JMP In 5.1 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphological Variants. Tubers from five collection sites were grouped into three morphological variants on the basis of their color (yellow or black) and size (big or small tuber). Then three variants were identified: (1) yellow and big (morphotype 1), yellow and small (morphotype 2), and black and big (morphotype 3) (Table 1). Thus, tuber samples from Mangodara and Tiefora were classified as morphotype 1, those from Loropéni and Ouéléni as morphotype 2, and those from Tangora as morphotype 3 (Figure 1).

3.2. Physical Characteristics. The tuber characteristics of the three morphotypes of Cyperus esculentus are shown in Table 1. The moisture content was not significantly different (p > 0.05) among the three morphotypes. Lengths were ranged from 0.98 ± 0.06 to 1.31 ± 0.06 cm. Morphotype 2 tubers were significantly shorter than those of morphotypes 1 and 3. Morphotypes 1 and 3 had slightly bigger tubers than approximate average length (0.63 to 1.21 cm) found for tigernuts from other countries [5]. The width of the tuber and one thousand dried tuber weights varied from 0.90 ± 0.08 to 1.19 ± 0.05 cm and from 598.00 ± 115.00 to 1044.00 ± 394.60 g, respectively. The tuber width of morphotype 2 was significantly lower than that of morphotype 3. Both morphotypes 1 and 3 had higher one-thousand-tuber weight than morphotype 2. The one-thousand-tuber weight seems to be more influenced by tuber width than length. One thousand weights of investigated tubers were far higher than those obtained for brown tubers by Coşkuner et al. [5] that showed how genetic diverse is C. esculentus cultivated around the world.
3.3. Proximate Composition. Crude oil contents of the three morphotypes varied from 24.91 ± 0.94 to 28.94 ± 0.37 g 100 g−1 of dry weight (DW). Crude oil content was higher in morphotypes 2 followed by morphotype 1, with morphotype 3 as the lowest (Table 1).

Table 1: Physical characteristics and proximate composition of Cyperus esculentus morphotypes tubers.

| Parameters             | Morphotype 1            | Morphotype 2            | Morphotype 3            |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Mean length (cm)       | 1.24 ± 0.05abc          | 0.98 ± 0.06bcd          | 1.31 ± 0.06c            |
| Mean width (cm)        | 0.97 ± 0.05ab           | 0.90 ± 0.08b           | 1.19 ± 0.05c            |
| 1000 dried tubers (g)  | 814.3 ± 184.1bcd        | 598.00 ± 115.00bcd     | 1044.00 ± 394.60abc    |
| Moisture (g 100 g−1)   | 5.19 ± 0.18a           | 4.56 ± 0.22abc         | 4.99 ± 0.78a           |
| Crude oil (g 100 g−1)  | 26.14 ± 0.71b           | 28.94 ± 0.37a          | 24.91 ± 0.94c          |
| Protein (g 100 g−1)    | 3.47 ± 0.71ab           | 4.33 ± 0.6a            | 3.3 ± 0.26b            |
| Ash (g 100 g−1)        | 1.81 ± 0.24ab           | 1.69 ± 0.21b           | 2.21 ± 0.39a           |
| Carbohydrates (g 100 g−1) | 68.24 ± 1.28abc     | 64.73 ± 1.21b          | 69.21 ± 1.30a          |

Values are means ± standard deviation for n = 3. Data in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

3.4. Starch and Other Carbohydrate Contents. Starch, sucrose, fructose, and glucose contents of three morphotypes are reported in Table 2.

Table 2: Carbohydrate composition (g 100 g−1) of Cyperus esculentus morphotypes tubers.

| Parameters      | Morpho. 1      | Morpho. 2      | Morpho. 3      |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Starch          | 30.54 ± 2.75a  | 33.21 ± 1.1b   | 30.54 ± 0.5a   |
| Sucrose         | 18.99 ± 0.56bc | 1798 ± 1.03b   | 20.39 ± 1.15a  |
| Fructose        | 3.02 ± 0.37a   | 3.59 ± 0.72a   | 1.6 ± 0.69a    |
| Glucose         | 6.79 ± 1.34a   | 6.33 ± 0.97a   | 0 ± 0b         |

Values are means ± standard deviation for n = 3. Data in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).
Table 3: Vitamin contents of *Cyperus esculentus* morphotypes tubers.

| Parameters       | Morph. 1 | Morph. 2 | Morph. 3 |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Vitamin C (mg 100 g\(^{-1}\)) | 5.48 ± 1.05\(^a\) | 26.78 ± 2.51\(^b\) | 8.33 ± 1.83\(^b\) |
| Vitamin E (µg 100 g\(^{-1}\)) | 209.71 ± 1.30\(^b\) | 270.56 ± 1.74\(^a\) | 149.86 ± 1.94\(^a\) |
| β-Carotene (µg 100 g\(^{-1}\)) | 7.3 ± 0.57\(^b\) | 6.13 ± 0.62\(^a\) | 10.05 ± 1.79\(^a\) |

Values are means ± standard deviation for \(n = 3\). Data in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different (\(p < 0.05\)).

3.5. Vitamin Contents. The ascorbic acid, tocopherol, and β-carotene contents of the three morphotypes are shown in Table 3. Vitamins contents of the three morphotypes differed significantly. The ascorbic acid levels varied from 5.48 ± 1.05 to 26.78 ± 2.51 mg 100 g\(^{-1}\) and were within the usual range for tubers and lower than that of nuts [32, 33]. The highest content of ascorbic acid was recorded with morphotype 2, followed by morphotypes 3 and 1.

Tocopherol content of three morphotypes ranged from 149.86 ± 1.94 to 270.56 ± 8.33 µg 100 g\(^{-1}\). The morphotype 2 tocopherol content was significantly higher than that of morphotype 1, which was also significantly higher than that of morphotype 3. The tocopherol content obtained in this study is lower than that reported in tigernut oil from Ghana [9].

β-Carotene content of three morphotypes varied from 6.13 ± 0.62 to 10.05 ± 1.79 µg 100 g\(^{-1}\). Morphotypes 2 and 3 had the lowest and the highest content, respectively. Burmeister et al. [34] reported higher β-carotene content compared to Burkinabe tubers.

Table 4: Fatty acid composition (% total fatty acids) of total lipid from *Cyperus esculentus* morphotypes tubers’ oil.

| Fatty acids               | Morphotype 1 | Morphotype 2 | Morphotype 3 |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Myristic acid            | 0.16 ± 0.03\(^b\) | 0.14 ± 0.02\(^b\) | 0.14 ± 0.24\(^b\) |
| Pentadecanoic acid       | 0.03 ± 0.03\(^a\) | 0 ± 0.04\(^a\) | 0.05 ± 0.05\(^a\) |
| Palmitic acid            | 15.81 ± 0.95\(^a\) | 15.83 ± 0.32\(^a\) | 15.22 ± 0.55\(^a\) |
| Stearic acid             | 4.73 ± 0.33\(^a\) | 3.89 ± 0.15\(^a\) | 5.36 ± 0.26\(^a\) |
| Arachidic acid           | 0.57 ± 0.03\(^b\) | 0.55 ± 0.02\(^b\) | 0.68 ± 0.01\(^a\) |
| Behenic acid             | 0.1 ± 0.04\(^a\) | 0.09 ± 0.02\(^a\) | 0.1 ± 0.01\(^a\) |
| Linoleic acid            | 0.17 ± 0.01\(^b\) | 0.15 ± 0.03\(^b\) | 0.24 ± 0.02\(^b\) |
| Palmitoleic acid         | 0.40 ± 0.05\(^a\) | 0.37 ± 0.04\(^a\) | 0.53 ± 0.02\(^a\) |
| cis-7-Hexadecenoic acid  | 0.35 ± 0.05\(^a\) | 0.33 ± 0.03\(^a\) | 0.44 ± 0.24\(^a\) |
| Heptadecanoic acid       | 0 ± 0.04\(^a\) | 0.03 ± 0.04\(^a\) | 0.03 ± 0.03\(^a\) |
| Oleic acid               | 64.25 ± 1.99\(^a\) | 65.42 ± 1.78\(^a\) | 65.76 ± 1.88\(^a\) |
| Vaccenic acid            | 0.99 ± 0.14\(^a\) | 0.99 ± 0.12\(^a\) | 0.93 ± 0.11\(^a\) |
| Eicosenoic acid          | 0.25 ± 0.05\(^a\) | 0.28 ± 0.06\(^a\) | 0.37 ± 0.16\(^a\) |
| Cetoleic acid            | 0.05 ± 0.04\(^a\) | 0.03 ± 0.03\(^a\) | 0.09 ± 0.1\(^a\) |
| Nervonic acid            | 0 ± 0.04\(^a\) | 0.05 ± 0.05\(^a\) | 0.05 ± 0.05\(^a\) |
| Linoleic acid            | 12.39 ± 0.72\(^a\) | 12.07 ± 0.23\(^a\) | 10.04 ± 0.37\(^a\) |
| Linolenic acid           | 0.14 ± 0.02\(^b\) | 0.14 ± 0.01\(^b\) | 0.17 ± 0.01\(^a\) |
| Total saturated         | 21.56 ± 0.71\(^a\) | 20.65 ± 0.38\(^a\) | 22.03 ± 1.11\(^a\) |
| Total monounsaturated    | 65.91 ± 1.75\(^a\) | 67.15 ± 1.21\(^a\) | 67.75 ± 1.41\(^a\) |
| Total n-6 PUFA           | 12.39 ± 0.72\(^a\) | 12.07 ± 0.23\(^a\) | 10.04 ± 0.37\(^a\) |
| Total n-3 PUFA           | 0.14 ± 0.02\(^b\) | 0.14 ± 0.01\(^b\) | 0.17 ± 0.01\(^a\) |
| Total PUFA               | 12.53 ± 0.73\(^a\) | 12.21 ± 0.23\(^a\) | 10.2 ± 0.36\(^a\) |

Values are means ± standard deviation for \(n = 3\). Data in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different (\(p < 0.05\)).

Table 4: Fatty acid composition (% total fatty acids) of total lipid from *Cyperus esculentus* morphotypes tubers’ oil.

3.6. Fatty Acid Composition. Oils of the three morphotype tubers contained high amounts of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) (65.91 ± 1.75–67.75 ± 1.41%), followed by saturated fatty acids (SUFAs) (20.65 ± 0.38–22.03 ± 1.11%) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) (10.2 ± 0.36–12.53 ± 0.73%) (Table 4). The SUFA content of morphotype 2 was significantly lower than that of morphotypes 1 and 3. Morphotype 3 had significantly lowest PUFA content compared to morphotypes 1 and 2. The MUFA content was not significantly different among the morphotypes. The SUFA, MUFA, and PUFA proportions were similar to those previously reported [9]. However the tigernut studied here had better SUFA and PUFA content than those reported by Sánchez-Zapata et al. [3]. A total of seventeen fatty acids have been identified in each morphotype. Among the fatty acids, oleic acid (64.25 ± 1.99–65.76 ± 1.88%), palmitic acid (15.22 ± 0.55–15.83 ± 0.32%), linoleic acid (10.04 ± 0.37–12.39 ± 0.72%), and stearic acid (3.89 ± 0.15–5.36 ± 0.26%) were the most abundant fatty acids, in three morphotypes, as previously reported [5, 35].

3.7. Mineral Contents. The three *Cyperus esculentus* morphotypes tubers appeared to be important sources of mineral (Table 5). The most abundant minerals were K, P, Si, Cl, S, and Mg and their content was significantly different at \(p < 0.05\) except for S and Mg. Some contaminants such Cr, Sr, and Cd were detected at low amounts.

Morphotype 1 was found to be richer in Ca, Cu, and Mn contents. Al, Mg, P, S, and Si were most abundant in morphotype 2. Morphotype 3 had the highest content of Cl, K, and Zn. The mineral compositions of the three morphotypes in the present study are different from those recorded with accessions from Niger, Nigeria, and
Turkey [22, 35, 36]. Field observations of the soil type where the tigernuts are mainly growing showed that morphotype 1 is grown on more sandy soil, whereas morphotypes 2 and 3 are cultivated on soil with, respectively, more reddish and brownish clay. Therefore different mineral content can be due to differences in soil composition which can influence mineral uptake and storage in the tuber.

3.8. Principal Component Analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) is used in exploratory analysis, which gives an overview of multivariate data [37]. A PCA using 33 physical and chemical variables showed clear differences among the three morphological types of tubers and gives a good overview of the characteristics of each type (Figure 2). The first three principal components accounted for 82.27% of the total variation among the accessions. Most of the variation was explained by the first principal component (52%), followed by the second (22%) and the third (9%) (Table 6).

Loadings of the variables on the first two principal components show that the first component had high positive loadings from length, width, carbohydrate, sucrose, β-carotene, stearic acid, stearic acid, linoleic acid, total saturated fatty acids, and Zn and high negative loadings from lipids, linolenic acid, and Mn. The second component had high negative loadings from Cu, Sr, and Ca. Morphotype 1 had negative loadings in PC2 and was characterized by high Ca, Sr, Cu, and Fe content whereas morphotype 2 showed positive scores in PC2 and had high protein, lipid, vitamin C, vitamin E, and P contents. Morphotype 3 was located in the positive side of PC1 and was characterized by the highest content of ash, β-carotene, and myristic, arachidic, and linolenic acids.

4. Conclusion

We present in this study the physical and chemical variability of tigernuts (Cyperus esculentus) cultivated in Burkina Faso. The data revealed that three Cyperus esculentus morphotypes are important source of macronutrients (starch, fat, and
Table 6: Eigenvectors and percent explained variation by the first six principal components of physical and chemical data parameters of 3 morphotypes of *Cyperus esculentus* tubers.

| Variable          | Eigenvectors | PC1  | PC2  | PC3  | PC4  | PC5  | PC6  |
|-------------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Length            |              | 0.201| −0.158| −0.059| 0.210| −0.061| 0.133|
| Width             |              | 0.217| 0.060| −0.044| 0.260| −0.092| −0.045|
| Weight            |              | 0.128| −0.012| 0.092| 0.067| −0.561| 0.313|
| Crude oil         |              | −0.205| 0.126| 0.207| −0.036| 0.067| 0.013|
| Protein           |              | −0.178| 0.099| −0.369| −0.073| −0.111| 0.050|
| Ash               |              | 0.164| 0.042| −0.013| 0.147| 0.127| 0.625|
| Carbohydrates     |              | 0.212| −0.126| 0.148| 0.053| 0.121| 0.039|
| Sucrose           |              | 0.195| −0.005| −0.036| 0.044| 0.092| −0.039|
| Glucose           |              | −0.170| −0.125| −0.342| −0.206| 0.015| 0.095|
| Vitamin C         |              | −0.165| 0.259| 0.079| 0.011| 0.166| 0.008|
| Carotene          |              | 0.221| 0.041| −0.082| −0.185| 0.214| 0.007|
| Vitamin E         |              | −0.165| 0.259| 0.079| 0.011| 0.166| 0.008|
| P                 |              | −0.144| 0.135| −0.277| 0.407| −0.045| 0.009|
| Cr                |              | −0.158| −0.251| 0.197| 0.088| 0.126| −0.017|
| Fe                |              | −0.151| 0.268| 0.185| 0.008| 0.123| −0.018|
| Mn                |              | −0.202| −0.175| −0.067| 0.176| 0.150| −0.006|
| Cu                |              | −0.070| 0.349| −0.040| −0.144| 0.021| 0.065|
| Zn                |              | 0.222| 0.050| 0.223| 0.048| −0.077| −0.073|
| Sr                |              | −0.016| 0.344| −0.172| 0.394| 0.101| 0.010|
| Cd                |              | −0.180| 0.121| −0.311| −0.218| 0.003| 0.061|
| Ca                |              | 0.015| −0.335| −0.135| 0.256| 0.135| 0.007|
| K                 |              | 0.194| 0.063| −0.191| 0.336| −0.051| −0.122|
| Al                |              | −0.046| 0.269| 0.068| 0.331| 0.093| −0.281|
| Si                |              | −0.003| 0.207| 0.149| 0.132| 0.382| 0.453|
| Cl                |              | 0.182| −0.186| −0.104| −0.191| 0.210| −0.002|
| Myristic acid     |              | 0.177| 0.087| −0.156| −0.050| 0.450| −0.043|
| Stearic acid      |              | 0.237| −0.062| 0.073| −0.038| 0.043| 0.042|
| Arachidic acid    |              | 0.224| 0.100| −0.016| −0.192| −0.079| −0.007|
| Lignoc            |              | 0.220| 0.054| −0.133| −0.252| −0.005| 0.017|
| Saturat           |              | 0.239| −0.019| 0.015| −0.065| 0.117| −0.040|
| Linole            |              | −0.206| 0.187| 0.067| 0.056| 0.006| 0.010|
| Linolen           |              | 0.164| 0.105| −0.414| 0.093| 0.024| 0.025|
| Eigenvalue        |              | 16.69| 6.97| 2.67| 1.81| 1.71| 0.79|
| Individual %      |              | 52.14| 21.74| 8.34| 5.66| 5.33| 2.46|
| Cumulative %      |              | 52.14| 73.93| 82.27| 87.92| 93.26| 95.72|
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