Probing “long-range” neutrino-mediated forces with atomic and nuclear spectroscopy
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The exchange of a pair of low-mass neutrinos between electrons, protons and neutrons produces a “long-range” $1/r^5$ potential, which can be sought for in phenomena originating on the atomic and sub-atomic length scales. We calculate the effects of neutrino-pair exchange on transition and binding energies in atoms and nuclei. In the case of atomic $s$-wave states, there is a large enhancement of the induced energy shifts due to the lack of a centrifugal barrier and the highly singular nature of the neutrino-mediated potential. We derive limits on neutrino-mediated forces from measurements of the deuteron binding energy and transition energies in positronium, muonium, hydrogen and deuterium, as well as isotope-shift measurements in calcium ions. Our limits improve on existing constraints on neutrino-mediated forces from experiments that search for new macroscopic forces by 18 orders of magnitude. Future spectroscopy experiments have the potential to probe long-range forces mediated by the exchange of pairs of standard-model neutrinos and other weakly-charged particles.
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Introduction. — The exchange of a pair of neutrinos between two particles is predicted to mediate a long-range force between the particles [1, 2]. In Ref. [2] (see also [3–8]), the long-range part of the potential due to the exchange of a pair of massless neutrinos between two particles was calculated and found to scale as $\propto 1/r^5$. The $1/r^5$ neutrino-mediated potential induces a feeble $1/r^6$ force which is far too small to detect with current experiments that search for new macroscopic forces [9–13]. The implications of many-body neutrino-mediated forces in stars were considered in Ref. [14], but it was subsequently pointed out that the effects of such forces are suppressed in all types of stars [15].

In the present work, we consider the novel approach of searching for effects associated with the neutrino-mediated $1/r^5$ potential on atomic and sub-atomic length scales. Measurements of transition and binding energies in atoms and nuclei provide a powerful way of probing neutrino-mediated forces, since energy differences (or equivalently frequencies) are among the most accurately measurable physical quantities. In particular, current state-of-the-art atomic and ionic clocks operating on optical transitions have demonstrated a fractional accuracy approaching the level of $10^{-18}$ [16–19].

Atomic $s$-wave states (states with orbital angular momentum $l = 0$) offer an ideal platform to search for the neutrino-mediated $1/r^5$ potential due to the lack of a centrifugal barrier and the highly singular nature of the $1/r^5$ potential. As the simplest example, the radial part of the non-relativistic $1s$ hydrogen wavefunction scales as $R_{1s}(r) \propto r^0/a_B^{3/2}$ at small distances (where $a_B \approx 5.29 \times 10^{-11}$ m is the atomic Bohr radius), meaning that the integral $\int_0^{r_c} r^2 [R_{1s}(r)]^2 / r^2 dr$ diverges like $\propto 1/r_c^2$ for a point-like nucleus ($r_c = 0$). In the physical hydrogen atom with a finite-size nucleus ($r_c \sim 10^{-15}$ m), this integral is finite and scales parametrically like $\sim 1/(a_B^3 r_c^2)$, which is enhanced compared to the characteristic $\sim 1/a_B^3$ scaling in atomic states of higher orbital angular momentum by the factor $(a_B/r_c)^2 \sim 10^9$.

Potential induced by the exchange of a pair of low-mass neutrinos. — The potential mediated by the exchange of a pair of neutrinos of non-zero mass $m_\nu$ is long range in an atom, if the size of the atom is much smaller than the Yukawa range parameter associated with the pair of neutrinos, $\lambda = 1/(2m_\nu) \gg R_{\text{atom}}$. Beta-decay experiments directly constrain the electronantineutrino mass to be $m_\nu \lesssim 2$ eV [20, 21], while cosmological observations give model-dependent constraints on the sum of the three different neutrino masses at a comparable level [22]. This implies a Yukawa range parameter of $\lambda \gtrsim 10^{-7}$ m $\gg R_{\text{atom}}$, and so we can treat the neutrino-mediated potential as being long range.

The long-range part of the potential due to the exchange of a pair of low-mass neutrinos between two fermions reads as follows [2–4]:

$$V_\nu(r) = \frac{G_F}{4\pi^3 r^5} \left\{ a_{12} - b_{12} \left[ \frac{3}{2} a_1 \cdot a_2 - \frac{5}{2} (a_1 \cdot \hat{r}) (a_2 \cdot \hat{r}) \right] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi^3 r^5} \left\{ a_{12} - \frac{2}{3} b_{12} a_1 \cdot a_2 - \frac{5}{6} b_{12} [a_1 \cdot a_2 - 3 (a_1 \cdot \hat{r}) (a_2 \cdot \hat{r})] \right\},$$

(1)

where $G_F \approx 1.166 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV$^{-2}$ is the Fermi constant of the weak interaction, $r$ is the distance between the two fermions, $a_1$ and $a_2$ are the Pauli spin matrix vectors of fermions 1 and 2, and $\hat{r}$ is the unit vector directed between the two fermions. In the present work, we focus mainly on systems in $l = 0$ states, which are described by spherically symmetric wavefunctions. For such states, the expectation value of the rank-2 tensor part in (1) vanishes: $\langle (a_1 \cdot a_2 - 3 (a_1 \cdot \hat{r}) (a_2 \cdot \hat{r}))/r^5 \rangle_{l=0} = 0$.

The species-dependent parameters $a_i$ and $b_i$ in Eq. (1) are determined by several processes involving weak neutral and charged currents (see Fig. 1 of Ref. [4]). For
a single neutrino species, charged leptons receive contributions from both the weak neutral and charged currents: \(a_l^{(1)} = 1 + g_l^V = 1/2 + 2\sin^2(\theta_W)\) and \(b_l^{(1)} = 1 + g_l^A = 1/2\), with \(\sin^2(\theta_W) \approx 0.24\) [23], while nucleons receive a contribution solely from the weak neutral currents: \(a_n^{(1)} = -1/2, a_p^{(1)} = 1/2 - 2\sin^2(\theta_W), b_n^{(1)} = -g_A/2,\) and \(b_p^{(1)} = g_A/2\), with \(g_A \approx 1.27\). The nucleons and charged leptons also receive contributions from the other two neutrino species, due purely to the weak-neutral-current processes, with each neutrino species contributing the amount \(a_l^{(2)} = g_l^V, b_l^{(2)} = g_l^A, a_n^{(2)} = a_N^{(1)}\) and \(b_n^{(2)} = b_N^{(1)}\).

Furthermore, there are additional contributions from other weakly-charged species (species that participate in weak processes) of mass \(m\) via the purely weak-neutral-current process, when the dominant effects of the potential (1) are at length scales \(L < 1/(2m)\). The effects of these weakly-charged species are analogous to the effects of neutrino species in the weak-neutral-current channel, except for an overall numerical constant, which is given by \(2[(q_m^V)^2 + (q_m^A)^2] \approx 0.501\) for a charged lepton species, \(2[(q_m^V)^2 + (q_m^A)^2] \approx 0.565\) for an up-type quark species, and \(2[(q_m^V)^2 + (q_m^A)^2] \approx 0.731\) for a down-type quark species. These numerical constants are normalised to the value for a neutrino species: \(2[(q_n^V)^2 + (q_n^A)^2] = 1\).

Altogether, the combinations of species-dependent parameters in Eq. (1) therefore have the following effective values:

\[
a_1a_2 \rightarrow a_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)} + (N_{\text{eff}} - 1)a_1^{(2)}a_2^{(2)},
\]

\[
b_1b_2 \rightarrow b_1^{(1)}b_2^{(1)} + (N_{\text{eff}} - 1)b_1^{(2)}b_2^{(2)},
\]

where \(N_{\text{eff}}\) is the effective number of neutrino species. In systems where the dominant effects of (1) arise on the atomic length scale, the main contributions are from the species \(\nu_e, \nu_x\), and \(\nu_\tau\), giving \(N_{\text{eff}} \approx 3\). In systems where the dominant effects of (1) arise on the nuclear length scale, the main contributions are from the species \(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau\), and \(e\), giving \(N_{\text{eff}} \approx 3.50\) [25]. Finally, in systems where the dominant effects of (1) arise on a length scale of the order of the Compton wavelength of the Z or W boson, the main contributions are from the species \(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau, e, \mu, \tau, u, c, d, s\) and \(b\), giving \(N_{\text{eff}} \approx 14.47\), taking into account that each quark has three possible colours.

The overall sign of the potential (1) is reversed when one of the two fermions is replaced by its antiparticle.

**Deuteron binding energy.** — Deuteron — the bound state of a proton and a neutron in the \(^3S_1\) state (with a small admixture of the \(^3D_1\) state, which can be neglected in the first approximation) — can be simply modelled by a spherical potential well with an infinitely repulsive inner hard core, in which the potential between the two nucleons takes the following form:

\[
V_{\text{nucl}}(r) = \begin{cases} 
+\infty & \text{for } r < r_1, \\
-|V_0| & \text{for } r_1 < r < r_2, \\
0 & \text{for } r > r_2,
\end{cases}
\]

where \(|V_0|\) is the depth of the spherical potential well. For our estimates below, we assume the values \(r_1 = 0.5\) fm and \(r_2 = 2.5\) fm.

The radial wavefunction solutions of the potential (1) for an s-wave state are given by:

\[
R_s(r) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } r \leq r_1, \\
C_1 j_0(kr) + C_2 n_0(\kappa r) & \text{for } r_1 \leq r \leq r_2, \\
C_3 h_0^{(1)}(\kappa r) & \text{for } r \geq r_2,
\end{cases}
\]

where \(j\) is the spherical Bessel function of the first kind, \(n\) is the spherical Bessel function of the second kind, \(h^{(1)}\) is the spherical Hankel function of the first kind, \(k = \sqrt{2\mu|V_0| - E_B}\) and \(\kappa = \sqrt{2\mu E_B}\), with \(\mu = m_n m_p / (m_n + m_p) \approx 0.47\) GeV being the deuteron reduced mass and \(E_B \approx 2.2\) MeV the deuteron binding energy. Requiring the continuity of \(R_s\) at \(r = r_1\) and the continuity of both \(R_s\) and \(dR_s/dr\) at \(r = r_2\), we determine that \(|V_0| \approx 36\) MeV. The normalisation condition \(\int_0^{\infty} r^2 |R_s(r)|^2 dr = 1\) then fixes the normalisation constants in Eq. (5) to be \(C_1 \approx 0.46\) fm\(^{-3}/2\), \(C_2 \approx 0.22\) fm\(^{-3}/2\), and \(C_3 \approx -0.22\) fm\(^{-3}/2\).

Using the wavefunction in Eq. (5), we calculate the expectation value of the \(1/r^5\) operator for the deuteron bound state to be:

\[
\left\langle ^3S_1 \left| \frac{1}{r^5} \right| ^3S_1 \right\rangle \approx 0.060\text{ fm}^{-5}.
\]

Using the result (6), we determine the change in the deuteron binding energy due to the neutrino-mediated potential (1) to be:

\[
\delta E_B^{\text{eff}}(^3S_1) \approx -\frac{G_F^2}{4\pi^3} \left( a_n a_p - \frac{2}{3} b_p b_p \right) \times 0.060\text{ fm}^{-5}.
\]

Comparing the measured [26] and predicted [27, 28] values of the deuteron binding energy:

\[
E_B^{\text{exp}} = 2.2245663(4)\text{ MeV},
\]

\[
E_B^{\text{theor}} = 2.22457(1)\text{ MeV}
\]

and using expressions (7), (2) and (3), we place the following constraint on the neutrino-mediated potential in Eq. (1):

\[
G_{\text{eff}}^{\text{2\mu}} \leq 7.9 \times 10^8 G_F^2.
\]

**Spectroscopy of simple atoms.** — Simple two-body atoms with relatively light nuclei (\(Z \sim 1\)) can be treated in the non-relativistic framework. Using the non-relativistic form of the wavefunctions for a hydrogen-like system [29], we calculate the expectation value of the \(1/r^5\) operator for \(l = 0\) atomic states to be:

\[
\left\langle ns \left| \frac{1}{r^5} \right| ns \right\rangle \approx \frac{2Z^3}{n^3r_n^2a_{1p}},
\]

where \(n\) is the principal quantum number, \(Z\) is the electric charge of the nucleus (in units of the proton electric charge).
charge $e$), and $\tilde{a}_B$ is the reduced atomic Bohr radius. The cutoff parameter $r_c$ in (11) depends on the specific system. In atoms with a hadronic nucleus, $r_c$ is given by the nuclear radius $R_{\text{nuc}}$, while in exotic atoms with a non-hadronic point-like “nucleus”, $r_c$ is determined by the reduced Compton wavelength of the $Z$ boson, $\lambda_Z \approx 2.16 \times 10^{-3}$ fm, which is the length scale below which the Fermi four-fermion approximation is no longer valid and the long-range potential in Eq. (1) changes to a much less singular $1/r$ form.

**Positronium and muonium spectroscopy.** — The absence of hadronic nuclei in positronium (a bound state of an electron and a positron) and muonium (a bound state of an electron and an anti-muon) make these very clean systems to study. Using the result (11) with $Z = 1$, we determine the energy shifts in the positronium and muonium $n \, 3 \, S_1$ and $n \, 1 \, S_0$ states due to the neutrino-mediated potential (1) to be:

$$\delta E(n \, 3 \, S_1) \approx -\frac{G_F^2}{4\pi^3 n^3} a_B^3 \left( a_1^2 - \frac{2}{3} a_2^2 \right),$$

$$\delta E(n \, 1 \, S_0) \approx -\frac{G_F^2}{4\pi^3 n^3} a_B^3 \left( a_1^2 + 2a_2^2 \right),$$

with $\tilde{a}_B = 2a_B$ in positronium and $\tilde{a}_B \approx a_B$ in muonium.

Comparing the measured [30] and predicted [31] values of the positronium $1 \, 3 \, S_1 - 2 \, 3 \, S_1$ transition frequency:

$$\nu_{1S-2S}^{\text{exp}} = 1233607216.4(3.2) \text{ MHz},$$

$$\nu_{1S-2S}^{\text{theor}} = 1233607222.18(58) \text{ MHz},$$

and using expressions (12), (2) and (3), we place the following constraint on the neutrino-mediated potential in Eq. (1):

$$G_{\text{eff}}^2 \lesssim 2.6 \times 10^8 G_F^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Additionally, comparing the measured [32] and predicted [31] values of the positronium $1 \, 1 \, S_0 - 1 \, 3 \, S_1$ ground-state hyperfine splitting interval:

$$\nu_{\text{hfs}}^{\text{exp}} = 203389.10(74) \text{ MHz},$$

$$\nu_{\text{hfs}}^{\text{theor}} = 203392.01(46) \text{ MHz},$$

and using expressions (12), (13) and (3), we place the following constraint on the neutrino-mediated potential in Eq. (1):

$$G_{\text{eff}}^2 \lesssim 1.5 \times 10^7 G_F^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Finally, comparing the measured [33] and predicted [34, 35] values of the muonium ground-state hyperfine splitting interval:

$$\nu_{\text{hfs}}^{\text{exp}} = 4463302776(51) \text{ Hz},$$

$$\nu_{\text{hfs}}^{\text{theor}} = 4463302868(271) \text{ Hz},$$

and using expressions (12), (13) and (3), we place the following constraint on the neutrino-mediated potential in Eq. (1):

$$G_{\text{eff}}^2 \lesssim 1.9 \times 10^2 G_F^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

The energy shift in the muonium ground-state hyperfine interval due to the long-range $1/r^3$ interaction mediated by pairs of standard-model neutrinos and other weakly-charged particles is at the level $\approx 2$ Hz.

**Hydrogen and deuterium isotope-shift spectroscopy.** — Using the result (11) with $Z = 1$ and $\tilde{a}_B \approx a_B$, we determine the energy shifts in the hydrogen and deuterium $l = 0$ states, averaged over the respective hyperfine intervals, due to the neutrino-mediated potential (1) to be:

$$\delta E(\text{ns}) \approx \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi^3} a_1 Q_W \frac{a_B}{Z R_{\text{nuc}}} R_{\text{nuc}}^2 a_B^3,$$

where $Q_W = 2(Na_u + Za_p)$ is the weak nuclear charge, with $N$ being the neutron number and $Z$ the proton number.

The measured and predicted differences of the $1s - 2s$ transition frequency, averaged over the hyperfine interval, in deuterium and hydrogen are [36]:

$$\nu_{1S-2S}^{\text{D,exp}} - \nu_{1S-2S}^{\text{H,exp}} = 67099434606(15) \text{ Hz},$$

$$\nu_{1S-2S}^{\text{D,theor}} - \nu_{1S-2S}^{\text{H,theor}} = 670994348.9(4.9) \text{ kHz},$$

where for the predicted value, we have determined the dominant finite-nuclear-size effect using Eq. (2) of Ref. [36], together with the experimentally determined charge radii of the proton and deuteron from spectroscopy measurements in muonic hydrogen and muonic deuterium: $r_p = 0.84184(67) \text{ fm}$ [37] and $r_d = 2.12562(78) \text{ fm}$ [38]. Comparing the measured and predicted values in (24) and (25), and using expressions (23) and (2), we place the following constraint on the neutrino-mediated potential in Eq. (1):

$$G_{\text{eff}}^2 \lesssim 1.6 \times 10^{11} G_F^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

**Spectroscopy of heavy atoms.** — In heavy atoms ($Z \gg 1$), the spin-dependent terms of the potential (1) are largely ineffective, compared with the spin-independent term. The reason for this is that the spin-independent part of the potential (1) acts coherently in atoms and scales roughly with the number of neutrons $N \gg 1$, whereas the spin-dependent part acts incoherently in atoms, since ground-state nuclei have at most two unpaired nucleon spins (due to the nuclear pairing interaction).

Using the relativistic atomic wavefunctions for a valence electron at small distances [39] (where the Coulomb field of the nucleus is unscreened), we calculate the expectation value of the operator (1), due to neutrino-pair exchange between atomic electrons and nucleons, for an atomic single-particle state with total angular momentum $j = 1/2$ to be:

$$\delta E_\kappa \approx \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi^3} \frac{(\kappa - \gamma)^2 + (Z \alpha)^2 Z(Z + 1)^2}{(2 - \gamma) \alpha^3 R_{\text{nuc}}^2 a_B^3} \times \frac{a_1 Q_W}{\Gamma(2\gamma + 1)^2} \left( a_B \right)^2 2^{2\gamma},$$

(27)
where $\kappa = (-1)^{1-1}$, $\gamma = \sqrt{1-(Z\alpha)^2}$, $\alpha \approx 1/137$ is the electromagnetic fine-structure constant, $Z_i$ is the net charge of the atomic species (for a neutral atom $Z_i = 0$), and $\nu$ is the effective principal quantum number, defined via the ionisation energy of the valence electron: $I = m_e\alpha^2(Z_i + 1)^2/(2\nu^2)$. In heavy nuclei, the nuclear radius is generally well described by the relation $R_{\text{nucl}} = A^{1/3}r_0$, where $A = Z + N$ is the nucleon number and $r_0 \approx 1.2$ fm.

To estimate the contribution of neutrino-pair exchange between atomic electrons to the energy shift in heavy atoms, we note that in this case the valence atomic electrons now interact predominantly with a ‘core’ of two 1$s$ electrons (which are situated mainly at the distances $r \sim r_{1s} = a_B/Z_i$), instead of mainly with the $N$ neutrons of the nucleus. Thus the electron-electron contribution to the energy shift in heavy atoms is parametrically suppressed compared to the electron-nucleon contribution by the factor $(R_{\text{nucl}}/r_{1s})^2/N \ll 1$.

*Calcium-ion isotope-shift spectroscopy and non-linearities of the King plot.* — Many-electron atomic systems function as the most precise systems in metrology, with optical atomic and ionic clocks already demonstrating a fractional precision at the level of $\sim 10^{-16}$ [16–19]. At the same time, the complexity of many-electron atoms means that theoretically predicted values for transition frequencies in these systems generally have a precision that is many orders of magnitude worse than the corresponding experimental precision. To circumvent this issue, one can utilise isotope-shift measurements in atoms and look for non-linearities in the King plot [40], a technique which was recently considered in Refs. [41–44] in the different context of probing Yukawa interactions of hypothetical Higgs-like particles.

As a specific example, we consider isotope-shift spectroscopy measurements in Ca$^+$ ($Z = 20$, $Z_i = 1$). Ca$^+$ is an excellent system for isotope-shift spectroscopy, since it has five stable or long-lived isotopes with spinless nuclei ($A = 40, 42, 44, 46, 48$), as well as several readily accessible optical transitions [45, 46]. We shall consider the pair of transitions, $^2S_{1/2} - ^2P_{1/2}$ and $^2D_{3/2} - ^2P_{1/2}$, to which we refer as transitions 1 and 2, respectively. In this case, the dominant effect of the neutrino-mediated potential (1) is on the $S$-level in transition 1, see Eq. (27). We can thus write the differences in the transition frequency between two isotopes $A$ and $A'$, $\nu_{AA'} = \nu_A - \nu_A'$, for the two transitions in the following form:

\begin{align}
\nu_{A1}' &\approx K_1\mu_{AA'} + F_1\delta \langle r^2 \rangle_{AA'} - \delta E_{AA'}^{S_{1/2} = 1}, \\
\nu_{A2}' &\approx K_2\mu_{AA'} + F_2\delta \langle r^2 \rangle_{AA'},
\end{align}

where $K_i$ and $F_i$ are the usual mass-shift and field-shift parameters, $\mu_{AA'} = 1/m_A - 1/m_{A'}$, with $m_A$ and $m_{A'}$ being the respective masses of isotopes $A$ and $A'$, and $\delta E_{AA'}^{S_{1/2}} = \delta E_{AA'}^A - \delta E_{aa'}^{A'}$ is the difference of the neutrino-induced $S$-level energy shift between the two isotopes $A$ and $A'$. Dividing Eqs. (28) and (29) by $\mu_{AA'}$, and simultaneously solving the resulting equations, we can eliminate the difference in the square of the charge radii between the two isotopes, $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle_{AA'}$, to give the following equation in terms of the modified frequencies $M\nu_{AA'} = \nu_{AA'}/\mu_{AA'}$:

\begin{equation}
M\nu_{A1} = K_{12} + F_{12}M\nu_{A2}' - \frac{\delta E_{AA'}^{S_{1/2} = 1}}{\mu_{AA'}},
\end{equation}

where $K_{12} = K_1 - F_{12}K_2$ and $F_{12} = F_1/F_2$.

We see that the last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (30) scales as $\propto AA'$ and thus gives rise to a non-linearity in the plot of $M\nu_{A1}'$ versus $M\nu_{A2}'$ (the so-called King plot [40]). Such non-linearities have been constrained experimentally at the level $\lesssim 25$ MHz · GeV over the interval $40 \leq A \leq 48$ [45]. We can use this experimental result, together with expressions (27) and (2), to constrain the neutrino-mediated potential in Eq. (1). For the input parameters of (27), we use the measured value of the ionisation energy of the $^2S_{1/2}$ state in Ca$^+$: $I \approx 11.9$ eV [47], and, since the measured differences in the mean-square nuclear charge radii are relatively small across all of the relevant Ca$^+$ isotopes [45], for simplicity we can assume the nuclear radius $R_{\text{nucl}} = A^{1/3}r_0$ with $A = 44$ for all of the relevant isotopes. This yields the following constraint:

\begin{equation}
G_{\text{eff}}^2 \lesssim 4.0 \times 10^{11} G_F^2.
\end{equation}

**Conclusions.** — We have calculated the effects of the neutrino-mediated potential in Eq. (1) on transition and binding energies in atoms and nuclei. Using existing spectroscopy data, we have derived constraints on neutrino-mediated forces (see Fig. 1). Our de-
rived limits improve on existing constraints on neutrino-mediated forces from experiments that search for new spin-independent [9–11] and spin-dependent [12, 13] macroscopic forces by 18 orders of magnitude.

With a sufficient improvement in experimental and theoretical precision, future spectroscopy experiments have the potential to probe long-range forces mediated by the exchange of pairs of standard-model neutrinos have the potential to probe long-range forces mediated by the exchange of pairs of standard-model neutrinos received limits improve on existing constraints on neutrino-mediated forces from experiments that search for new spin-independent [9–11] and spin-dependent [12, 13] macroscopic forces by 18 orders of magnitude.

With a sufficient improvement in experimental and theoretical precision, future spectroscopy experiments have the potential to probe long-range forces mediated by the exchange of pairs of standard-model neutrinos and other weakly-charged particles. The observation of neutrino-mediated forces via atomic spectroscopy requires only a single interval. The most promising interval at the moment appears to be the ground-state hyperfine interval in muonium. The theoretical precision of this interval is currently limited by an independent experimental determination of the electron-to-muon mass ratio [34, 35]. The purely theoretical uncertainties in this case are all sub-leading [34, 35] and, with the exception of fourth-order QED processes (where some terms still need to be calculated), are either smaller than or comparable to the size of the frequency shift expected from neutrino-mediated forces in the standard model. In order to probe neutrino-mediated forces within the standard model, one will require a more precise and complete calculation of fourth-order QED contributions, as well as calculations of fifth-order QED contributions and all other one-loop electroweak contributions that do not involve neutrinos.
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