Association between probiotics and bronchopulmonary dysplasia in preterm infants
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Bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) is a chronic lung disease in premature infants, with a prevalence of 60–90% in extremely preterm infants (22–25 weeks gestation). Its long-term effects involve multiple organ systems such as pulmonary dysfunction and neurodysplasia. Over the past few decades, the pathogenesis of BPD has evolved from pulmonary lesions secondary to mechanical ventilation and elevated oxygen concentrations to a multifactorial disease involving prenatal and postnatal factors. Premature infants with decreased alveolarization and pulmonary vascular development are prone to BPD in the case of antenatal complications of chorioamnionitis. In addition, clinical factors such as gestational age (GA), birth weight, gender, patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), the need for oxygen administration or mechanical ventilation, postnatal infections, and necrotizing enterocolonitis (NEC) have also been extensively studied as influencing factors of BPD. The results of the meta-analysis showed that only Vitamin A and dexamethasone were effective in reducing the risk of BPD in preterm infants. However, dexamethasone has limited use in preterm infants due to its side effects, and the treatment effect of vitamin A on BPD is weak. Recently, regenerative medicine has also been suggested as a promising treatment for BPD. But more research is still needed, because the understanding of stem cell function is not complete. Therefore, there is still a lack of effective treatment for BPD, and early prevention is very important. Probiotics are living microorganisms that can provide health benefits to their hosts when supplemented in appropriate doses. Although probiotics have been shown to reduce the risk of multiple diseases in preterm infants, no studies have examined the role of probiotics on BPD as a primary outcome. The purpose of our study was to analyze the association between the use of probiotics and BPD in premature infants.

**Methods**

**Study design.** We retrospectively collected clinical data of patients admitted to the NICU of The First Hospital of Jilin University from January 1, 2019 to March 31, 2020. Infants with gestational age < 32 weeks who were admitted to the NICU within 24 h after birth were included in our study. Infants with severe congenital chest...
deformities, congenital heart disease, chromosomal abnormalities, or death before 36 weeks postmenstrual (PMA) were excluded. The Ethics Committee of the first hospital of Jilin University approved the study. Parental informed consent was waived by the Ethics Committee of the first hospital of Jilin University as all data of our study are deidentified. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

**Primary study exposure and covariates.** The primary exposure in this study was probiotics. The probiotics used in our NICU are Clostridium butyricum Powder (LIVE). [trade name: Qingdao Donghai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 0.5 g/bag]. Probiotics were mainly used in newborns with feeding intolerance, jaundice, or extremely premature delivery (once a day, one bag at a time). In our study, probiotics were defined as the use of probiotics > 4 days.

Covariates included demographic and clinicopathological characteristics of the study population. Demographic characteristics included gender, gestational age, PMA at the time of admission for the cohort, birth weight, apgar scores, type of feeding, transfer information, maternal age, type of delivery, number of births, prenatal medication, maternal diabetes and maternal hypertension. Clinicopathological characteristics included the use of respiratory support, probiotics, pulmonary surfactant and caffeine, and the incidence of patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), retinopathy (ROP), necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) and intraventricular Hemorrhage (IVH). Respiratory support included the use of oxygen on admission, non-invasive mechanical ventilation on admission, invasive mechanical ventilation on admission and duration of mechanical ventilation after admission. Diagnosis of PDA and RDS was made clinically\(^{18}\); ROP and IVH were diagnosed by an expert through examination of retinas of infants using indirect ophthalmoscope and cranial ultrasonographic scan\(^{18,19}\); NEC was defined as Bell classification II or greater\(^{20}\).

**Outcomes.** The study outcome was the presence of BPD or death. BPD was defined as patients on any respiratory support at 36 weeks PMA. We further stratify the patients into the various Grades of BPD as described by Jensen et al.\(^{21}\) in the 2019 NRN criteria: no BPD, no support; grade 1 BPD, nasal cannula < 2 L/min; grade 2 BPD, nasal cannula > 2 L/min or non-invasive positive airway pressure; and grade 3 BPD, invasive mechanical ventilation.

**Statistical analysis.** All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software, version 24.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). The normally distributed data were described as \(\bar{x} \pm S\) (mean ± standard deviation), the non-normally distributed data were described as median and quartile range, and the categorical variables were described as mummer and frequency. The \(\chi^2\) tests was used to compare the categorical variables. The t test and non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test were used to compare the continuous data. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression were used to analyze the association between probiotics and BPD.

**Ethics approval.** The Ethics Committee of the first hospital of Jilin University approved the study.

**Consent to participate.** Parental consent was waived as all data of our study are deidentified.

**Consent for publication.** All co-authors agree to publish this manuscript.

**Results**

**Demographic characteristics of the study population.** A total of 318 newborns met the inclusion criteria, of which 94 received probiotics and 224 received no probiotics. There were 16 deaths (1 died of multiple organ failure, 4 died of neonatal sepsis, 1 died of heart failure, 3 died of septic shock, 1 died of necrotizing enterocolitis, 4 died of pulmonary hemorrhage < 14 days after birth, and 2 died of respiratory distress syndrome < 14 days after birth) and 115 newborns with BPD (38 patients had Grade 1 BPD, 26 had Grade 2 BPD, and 51 had Grade 3 BPD) in the included population. There are significant differences in the maternal diabetes, and the proportion of systemic antibiotics given to mother within 24 h before birth between newborns with and without probiotics (\(p < 0.05\)). The demographic characteristics of the study population were shown in Table 1.

**Clinicopathological characteristics of study subjects.** The clinicopathological characteristics of study subjects were shown in Table 2. The receiving rate of invasive mechanical ventilation was significantly different in newborns with and without probiotics (\(p < 0.05\)). In addition, there were also significant differences in the prevalence of BPD/death, PDA, RDS and Ivh between the two groups (\(p < 0.05\)).

**Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses for the association between probiotics and BPD/death.** With BPD as the dependent variable, the probiotics classification as the only covariate, the OR applied by unadjusted univariate logistic regression model was 0.034 (0.012–0.096) for the use of probiotics (\(p < 0.001\)). After adjustment for the proportion of systemic antibiotics given to mother within 24 h before birth, the receiving rate of invasive mechanical ventilation on admission, the prevalence of PDA, RDS and Ivh, the adjusted odds ratio was 0.037 (0.013–0.105) for the use of probiotics (\(p < 0.001\)) (Table 3).

**Discussions**

In our study, univariate logistic regression analysis showed a protective effect of probiotics on BPD in preterm infants with gestational age less than 32 weeks. The adjusted multivariate logistic regression results were consistent with the univariate logistic regression, and the influence of probiotics was slightly diminished.
Probiotics are supplements of living micro-organisms that colonize the gut. Proper probiotics can confer a benefit on the host by regulating local and systemic immunity and increasing anti-inflammatory cytokines\(^2\). Several meta-analyses of randomized controlled studies have shown that the probiotics supplementation can reduce neonatal mortality, necrotizing enterocolitis, and late-onset sepsis, as well as the time to achieve full enteral feeding in preterm infants\(^23\–25\). Inflammatory events such as necrotizing enterocolitis and late-onset sepsis are also important influencing factors of BPD, so the preventive effect of probiotics on BPD is also worth expecting. Recently, a meta-analysis reported that available evidence could not support any significant effect of probiotics on reducing the incidence of BPD\(^17\). However, the authors also stressed that their results should be interpreted with caution, as the included studies showed methodological differences in terms of inclusion criteria, timing, dosage and probiotic formulations used. Moreover, BPD was not a primary outcome in any study, and

| Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study population. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Without probiotics (N = 224)** | **With probiotics (N = 94)** | \(\chi^2\) | \(p\) |
| Gender | | | |
| Male | 121 (54%) | 50 (53%) | 0.018 | 0.893 |
| Female | 103 (46%) | 44 (47%) | | |
| Gestational age (weeks) | 29.9 ± 2.2 | 29.5 ± 2.0 | 1.366 | 0.173 |
| Birth weight | 1485.4 ± 422.1 | 1407.0 ± 373.3 | 1.563 | 0.119 |
| Apgar1 | | | |
| ≥ 7 | 132 (59%) | 54 (57%) | 0.060 | 0.807 |
| < 7 | 92 (41%) | 40 (43%) | | |
| Apgar5 | | | |
| ≥ 7 | 144 (64%) | 58 (62%) | 0.191 | 0.662 |
| < 7 | 80 (36%) | 36 (38%) | | |
| Type of feeding | | | |
| Breast milk | 133 (59%) | 56 (60%) | 0.001 | 0.974 |
| Others | 91 (41%) | 38 (40%) | | |
| Maternal age (years) | | | |
| ≤ 30 | 90 (40%) | 41 (44%) | 0.323 | 0.570 |
| > 30 | 134 (60%) | 53 (56%) | | |
| Premature rupture of membranes | | | |
| No | 149 (67%) | 71 (76%) | 2.523 | 0.112 |
| Yes | 75 (34%) | 23 (24%) | | |
| Type of delivery | | | |
| Vaginal | 114 (51%) | 41 (44%) | 1.403 | 0.236 |
| Cesarean | 110 (49%) | 53 (56%) | | |
| Number of births | | | |
| 1 | 165 (74%) | 70 (75%) | 0.022 | 0.881 |
| ≥ 2 | 59 (26%) | 24 (25%) | | |
| Receive antenatal corticosteroid | | | |
| No | 122 (55%) | 62 (66%) | 3.587 | 0.058 |
| Yes | 102 (45%) | 32 (34%) | | |
| Receive antenatal MgSO\(_4\) | | | |
| No | 189 (84%) | 81 (86%) | 0.167 | 0.683 |
| Yes | 35 (16%) | 13 (14%) | | |
| Systemic antibiotics given to mother within 24 h before birth | | | |
| No | 178 (80%) | 84 (89%) | 4.471 | 0.034 |
| Yes | 46 (20%) | 10 (11%) | | |
| Maternal diabetes | | | |
| No | 185 (83%) | 68 (72%) | 4.277 | 0.039 |
| Yes | 39 (17%) | 26 (28%) | | |
| Maternal hypertension | | | |
| No | 177 (79%) | 75 (80%) | 0.024 | 0.877 |
| Yes | 47 (21%) | 19 (20%) | | |
| Transfer | | | |
| No | 53 | 20 | 0.213 | 0.645 |
| Yes | 171 | 74 | | |
| PMA at the time of admission for the cohort | 31.2 ± 2.7 | 30.8 ± 2.1 | 1.772 | 0.157 |
the number of probiotics studies that included BPD as a secondary outcome was relatively small. In addition, few studies have focused on preterm infants < 32 weeks of gestational age, and none of the included studies specifically targeted the most vulnerable group (infants < 28 weeks of gestational age) of BPD. Therefore, the effect of probiotics on BPD in premature infants is still unclear. Our study is the first to use the effect of probiotics on BPD as the primary outcome. We found that the use of probiotic was associated with reduced BPD in preterm infants < 32 weeks of age.

The protective effect of probiotics on BPD may have several hypothesized mechanisms: (1) Premature infants have immature immune systems that cannot balance the pro-inflammatory responses, leading to a decrease in the number of regulatory T cells (Tregs) that constitute anti-inflammatory lymphocyte subsets and a higher proportion of activated pro-inflammatory T cells, which is an important cause of BPD. Probiotics appear to

|                        | Without probiotics (N = 224) | With probiotics (N = 94) | χ²/Z | p       |
|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------|---------|
| **Oxygen administration** |                              |                          |      |         |
| No                     | 78 (35%)                     | 24 (26%)                 | 2.623| 0.105   |
| Yes                    | 146 (65%)                    | 70 (74%)                 |      |         |
| **Noninvasive mechanical ventilation** | 0.173 | 0.677                   |
| No                     | 50 (22%)                     | 19 (20%)                 |      |         |
| Yes                    | 174 (78%)                    | 75 (80%)                 |      |         |
| **Invasive mechanical ventilation** | 11.880 | 0.001 |
| No                     | 140 (63%)                    | 39 (42%)                 |      |         |
| Yes                    | 84 (37%)                     | 55 (59%)                 |      |         |
| **Duration of mechanical ventilation after admission (days)** | 8 (3–14) | 8.5 (3–18) | 0.375 | 0.708   |
| **BPD or death**       |                              |                          | 75.168 | <0.001  |
| No                     | 97 (43%)                     | 90 (96%)                 |      |         |
| Yes                    | 127 (56%)                    | 4 (4%)                   |      |         |
| **PDA**                |                              |                          | 6.363 | 0.012   |
| No                     | 66 (30%)                     | 13 (14%)                 |      |         |
| Yes                    | 158 (70%)                    | 79 (84%)                 |      |         |
| **RDS**                |                              |                          | 7.183 | 0.007   |
| No                     | 113 (50%)                    | 32 (34%)                 |      |         |
| Yes                    | 111 (50%)                    | 62 (60%)                 |      |         |
| **IVH**                |                              |                          | 9.871 | 0.002   |
| No                     | 129 (58%)                    | 36 (38%)                 |      |         |
| Yes                    | 95 (42%)                     | 58 (62%)                 |      |         |
| **ROP**                |                              |                          | 1.813 | 0.178   |
| No                     | 64 (29%)                     | 20 (21%)                 |      |         |
| Yes                    | 160 (71%)                    | 74 (78%)                 |      |         |
| **NEC**                |                              |                          | 2.615 | 0.106   |
| No                     | 221 (99%)                    | 90 (96%)                 |      |         |
| Yes                    | 3 (1%)                       | 4 (4%)                   |      |         |
| **Receive caffeine**   |                              |                          | 0.218 | 0.641   |
| No                     | 144 (64%)                    | 63 (67%)                 |      |         |
| Yes                    | 80 (36%)                     | 31 (33%)                 |      |         |
| **Pulmonary surfactant** | 2.703 | 0.100 |
| No                     | 138 (62%)                    | 67 (71%)                 |      |         |
| Yes                    | 86 (38%)                     | 27 (29%)                 |      |         |

Table 2. Clinicopathological characteristics of study subjects.

|                        | β        | p       | OR (95% CI) |
|------------------------|----------|---------|-------------|
| Probiotics (yes vs no) |          |         |             |
| Univariate analysis    | −3.383   | <0.001  | 0.034 (0.012–0.096) |
| Adjust model*          | −3.295   | <0.001  | 0.037 (0.013–0.105) |

Table 3. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses for the association between probiotics and BPD/death. *Adjusted for baseline maternal diabetes, the proportion of systemic antibiotics given to mother within 24 h before birth, the receiving rate of invasive mechanical ventilation, the prevalence of PDA, RDS and IVH.
improve Treg production, expansion, and activity while reducing the activation of proinflammatory lymphocyte subsets\(^26,27\); (2) Probiotics can reduce the occurrence of BPD by regulating intestinal flora\(^28\); (3) In the NEC experiment, the combined effect of hyperoxia and suboptimal nutrition had an adverse effect on the level of lung vascular endothelial growth factor (PVEGF). Probiotics can improve the nutritional status of infants, help to improve lung vasculogenesis and prevent BPD\(^29,30\); (4) In addition, the performance of probiotics also help to reduce the incidence of BPD\(^31\).

There are some limitations in our study: (1) our study is a single-center retrospective study in which the study population was grouped according to probiotics use. Since probiotics were used prophylactically in most cases, parental refusal to use probiotics may affect the results of this study. (2) Although our study showed that the use of probiotics had a protective effect on BPD, it did not analyze the effects of different types of corticosteroids or strains, the optimal dose and duration of use were not analyzed. (3) BPD is a disease with many risk factors, and factors not included may affect the results of this study.

Conclusions
Probiotics are associated with a reduced risk of BPD in preterm infants <32 weeks of age. More prospective studies with large samples are still needed.
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The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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