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Abstract

Modern error controlling codes, like a Low-Density Parity Check (LDPC) codes, assume a significant job in a next-generation wireless system like 5G for improved channel error controlling ability. Execution of Turbo codes(3G), Polar codes(4G) and LDPC (5G) codes are near to Shannon's limit channel codes and in this manner are being utilized in next-generation wireless communication systems. This paper emphasis around modern cellular cell network, LDPC codes and their performance using EXIT chart is presented. Likewise, a similar exhibition assessment of LDPC codes and the decisions of error controlling codes for the future generation cell systems are talked about in the paper. Such an investigation will be helpful in the choice of legitimate LDPC codes for the next-generation wireless communication system.

1. Introduction

In wireless communication systems, received data bits normally adjust to the transmitted bits due to the blunders happened by an obstruction, poor sign quality. To address these data bits, wireless cellular communication systems use error control codes. In the last two decades, there has been a significant increase in research for new cellular technologies because of the convenience and need for higher data rate access. Present day correspondence framework is required to help expanded information rates, consistent client access to the spine of communication network and incorporate various services, for example, downloading and transferring motion pictures and recordings, video conferencing, observation for security reason, tele medication and tele mechanical technology. From 1G to 5G, this universe of media transmission has seen various enhancements along with improved execution as time passes. Table 1 shows a different generation (1G to 5G) [1] in Mobile communication systems and their important features.

|                | 1G       | 2G          | 3G          | 4G          | 5G          |
|----------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| **Freq. Band** | 824-894 MHz | 850-1900 MHz | 1.5-2.8 GHz | 2-8 GHz     | 3-300 GHz   |
| **Band-Width** | 30 KHz   | 1.25 MHz    | 5 MHz       | 20 MHz      | 100 MHz     |
| **Data Rate**  | Few kbps | 22.8 Kbps   | Up to 2 MHz | Up to 20 MHz | 1 Gbps or high |
| **Access**     | FDMA     | CDMA        | WCDMA       | OFDM        | OFDM MIMO   |
| **Application**| Analog Cellular Tech | Digital Voice services | Multi media services | Voice And Data | Very High data rate |
| **Deployment** | 1980’s | 1990’s | 2000’s | 2010’s | 2020’s |

Table 1. Performance of Cellular Systems from 1G-5G
The cutting-edge telecom systems (5G) will begin hitting the market in 2020 and will keep on growing around the world. With simply speed enhancements, 5G is relied upon to release an enormous IoT biological system where systems can serve correspondence requirement for billions of associated gadgets, with the correct exchange offs between information rates, time - idleness and cost. In 5G we have to introduce the LDPC code instead of turbo code, which are utilized in Wi-Fi, Wi MAX, Gigabit ethernet and DVB-S2 guidelines [2], or with the moderately polar codes. With the stringent demands of 5G communications, the best among the current candidate codes are as follows:

- Turbo Codes (used in 4G already).
- Polar Codes (2008)
- LDPC Codes (used in 5G Better than Turbo)

The rest of the section of this paper are sorted out as pursues. Section II gives foundation data of LDPC codes. Section III furnishes the detail plan LDPC codes with the assistance of the EXIT diagram and in Section IV the exhibition correlations of different error controlling codes for cutting edge portable systems are talked about. At long last, conclusions are a notice in Section V.

2. Related Works Of Proposed Code For 5g: Ldpc Codes

A. Basics of LDPC codes

Low-Density Parity-Check Codes (LDPC) are special types of linear block codes which having huge codeword length. These LDPC codes were designed by Robert Gallager [3]. However, these codes were not generally utilized because of their high computing complexity nature. In 1996, Mackay and Neal [4] rethought LDPC codes, they demonstrate theirs close as far as possible execution LDPC codes have better error controlling execution as contrasted to Turbo codes with huge block length and lower decoding complexity nature. LDPC codes are represented by the accompanying subsequent important characteristics:

- Improved execution as contrasted to Turbo code
- Lower computational nature
- Higher adaptability because of parallel ability
- High throughput

With their exceptional highlights and execution, LDPC codes are utilized in various applications, for e.g., 5G [5].

B. Matrix Representation of LDPC codes

We can indicate LDPC codes as \((n, W_c, W_r)\), wherever \(n\) is the code length, \(W_c\) is the section weight and \(W_r\) is the column weight. In standard LDPC codes, \(W_c\) and \(W_r\) stay invariant among entire rows and columns,
whereas in irregular codes, $W_c$ and $W_r$ are vary among various rows and columns. All types of LDPC codes [6], must fulfil following equation

$$H^TX=0 \tag{1}$$

wherever $X$ is a code word and $H$ is parity check matrix. A matrix is sparse if at least half the numbers of elements in its $H$ matrix have zero value. The term low density refers to the low concentration of ones in the parity check matrix of LDPC codes. Let, there be $k$ sources bits in every $n$ - bits codeword. At that point, $H$ is a matrix given by $(n-k)$ rows, each representing generation of one check bit and $n$ columns. Here we take $(8, 4)$ LDPC code, whose parity check matrix is

$$H = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

Note: For the code under consideration $W_c = 2$ and $W_r = 4$.

C. Tanner Graph Representation of LDPC codes

Tanner charts are called bipartite diagrams, which can be utilized to characterize LDPC codes. In Tanner charts, nodes characterize into two classes: check nodes and variable nodes, where variable node represents one column of the parity check matrix, and check nodes, represent one row of the parity check matrix. Figure 1 is a case of a bipartite Tanner chart for the LDPC code demonstrated to by parity check matrix represented in Eq. (2), in which $(C1, C2, C3, C4)$ represent row message bits and $(V1, V2, V3, ..., V8)$ represents column values (code word).

D. Experimental Result & Performance of LDPC code:

For performance evolution, MATLAB simulation of LDPC code shown in Fig. 2. Here compares the performance of LDPC code with the different code rate. From the graphs we can conclude that codes with a short length are used for low or medium code rates and codes with a long length like LDPC are used for higher code rate. LDPC code are long code which lead to large latency (1000 bits or so) and required higher power consumption. Low latency, lower power consumption and short block length codes are very much in need. Improvement for short block length are still open.

E. LDPC Prptograph

A protograph is a Tanner graph which is repeat to make a bigger graph. Protograph [7] construction is way of constructing parity check matrix. First define the base matrix or photo matrix which is expanded to get the actual parity check. These expansions are done by right shift permutation matrices. For $i^{th}$ generally small number of nodes, associated by few edges, permitting parallel edges between two nodes.
A protograph is typically represented by a protomatrix, i.e., a matrix whose entries indicate the number of edges connecting the respective variable and check nodes. Therefore, unlike the parity check matrix, the protomatrix is in general non-binary. A simple example of a protograph is shown in Fig. 3.

This chart comprises of 3 variable nodes and 2 check hubs that are interrelated by 7 diverse edge (variable-to-check) types. The inferred diagram is developed by imitating the protograph multiple times and permuting variable-to-check pairings inside a similar edge kind of the protograph. For instance, the protomatrix of protograph in Fig. 2. is in the accompanying structure

$$H_{\text{proto}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the lines and sections speak to the check nodes and variable nodes in the chart separately. The \((i; j)\) component of the protomatrix shows the quantity of parallel edges that associate the check node \(i\) and the variable node \(j\). The protograph code diagram has \(N\) times the same number of nodes as the protograph, however contrasted and the protograph it has a similar rate just as a similar degree appropriation for both variable and check nodes. Protograph LDPC codes are a subclass of multi-edge type LDPC codes where each edge of a protograph is one edge type. Protograph codes can accomplish limit moving toward execution with low encoder multifaceted nature just as quick disentangling [8]

3. Result Discussion Using Exit Chart

Extrinsic Information (EXIT) chart is a technique which allows obtaining near-optimal performance parameters for LDPC code [9]. Tanner diagram which has \(m\) check nodes and \(n\) variable nodes can be described by degree \(d_v(i)\) and \(d_c(j)\), where \(d_v(i)\) is the level of the \(i^{\text{th}}\) variable node and \(d_c(j)\) is the level of \(j^{\text{th}}\) check node.

An EXIT chart as appeared in Fig. 4 is for standard LDPC codes with \(d_v(i) = d_v = 8\) for \(i = 1, 2…n\) and \(d_c(j) = d_c = 4\) for \(j = 1, 2… m\). In the figure, the measurement utilized for transfer curve is extrinsic mutual information, therefore it is recognized as EXIT chart. As appeared in the figure, the decoding trajectory corresponds to these EXIT bends. As SNR is expanded, the top bend moved upward and expanding the passage width between the two bends and consequently the decoder convergence rate likewise expanded. Here SNR is simply over the decoding edge for code \((d_v, d_c) = (8, 4)\) and \((EB/N_0)\) thes = 1.1dB.

4. Performance Comparisons

The selection of the suitable error controlling codes has a very important in the achievement of the future generation cellular systems. There is no universal coding method which is all-around used. The best suitable coding method is subject to the number of parameters, for example, code rate, BER, Block length, and decoding complexity nature, etc. In the accompanying Table II, the different error controlling codes
for future generation cellular systems appear. From table, we can observe that the LDPC code play very important role for fifth generation (5G) mobile communication systems.

Table II. Comparison of different error controlling codes for future generation cellular systems.

| Error controlling codes | RS, BCH codes | Turbo Codes | Protograph LDPC codes |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| **Mobile**              | 1G and 2G     | 3G and 4G   | 5G and beyond         |
| **Generations**         |               |             |                       |
| **Types**               | Cyclic        | Convolutional | Block                |
| **Code Rate**           | 1/6, 1/4      | 1/3, ½      | 2/3, 3/4, 7/8        |
| **Block length**        | Small         | Small       | Large                |
| **Supported Standards** | GPRS          | LTE         | WiMAX, DVB-          |
| **BER**                 | Poor          | Better      | Best                 |
| **Interleaver**         | No            | Yes         | No                   |
| **Used**                |               |             |                       |
| **Decoding**            | Moderate      | Higher      | Lower                |
| **Complexity**          |               |             |                       |

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we estimate a modern error controlling code, LDPC codes by EXIT chart method which is used to evaluate the performance of near Shannon limit channel codes. The analysis will be useful in the selection of a channel code for 5G system and above. Here, we have examined the LDPC codes, which can meet the necessities for future generation (5G), with very high data throughputs up to 20 Gbps, $\text{EB/N0} = 1.1 \text{dB}$ and greater flexibility than the previous generation of mobile communication systems for meeting quality servicers requirement of various types of traffic including voice, video, and data.
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Figure 1

Tanner graph for (8, 4) LDPC code.
Figure 2

LDPC performance for different code rate.
Figure 3

Copy and permute operation for a protograph
Figure 4

EXIT chart for regular LDPC code.

$d_v = 8 \quad d_c = 4$

$E_b/N_0 = 1.1 \text{ dB}$