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Motivated by a recent experiment that reported the synthesis of a new 2D material nitrogenated holey graphene (C$_2$N) [Mahmood et al., Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 6486], the electronic, magnetic, and mechanical properties of nitrogenated (C$_2$N), phosphorated (C$_2$P) and arsenicated (C$_2$As) monolayer holey graphene structures are investigated using first-principles calculations. Our total energy calculations indicate that, similar to the C$_2$N monolayer, the formation of the other two holey structures are also energetically feasible. Calculated cohesive energies for each monolayer show a decreasing trend going from the C$_2$N to C$_2$As structure. Remarkably, all the holey monolayers considered are direct band gap semiconductors. Regarding the mechanical properties (in-plane stiffness and Poisson ratio), we find that C$_2$N has the highest in-plane stiffness and the largest Poisson ratio among the three monolayers. In addition, our calculations reveal that for the C$_2$N, C$_2$P and C$_2$As monolayers, creation of N and P defects changes the semiconducting behavior to a metallic ground state while the inclusion of double H impurities in all holey structures results in magnetic ground states. As an alternative to the experimentally synthesized C$_2$N, C$_2$P and C$_2$As are mechanically stable and flexible semiconductors which are important for potential applications in optoelectronics.

1 Introduction

In the last decade, graphene, one atom thick form of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb structure, has become important in materials science due to its exceptional properties. It has triggered interest in novel two dimensional structures such as hexagonal monolayer crystals of III–V binary compounds and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs). Hexagonal monolayer structures of BN and AlN are wide band gap semiconductors with nonmagnetic ground states. However, monolayer crystals of TMDs such as MoS$_2$, WS$_2$, MoSe$_2$, WSe$_2$, MoTe$_2$ have direct band gaps in a favorable range of 1–2 eV, and they are potentially important for optoelectronic device technology.

The lack of a band gap is the major obstacle for the use of graphene in electronic applications such as field-effect transistors, and electrodes in solar cells. Thus, tuning its electrical properties through opening of a band gap is of great technological importance. Nitrogen doping has been widely studied as one of the most feasible methods to modulate the electronic and other properties of graphene and its derivatives.

A series of covalent organic frame-works (COFs) have been designed to form large graphene-like honeycomb networks. In 2005, Yaghi et al. demonstrated the utility of the topological design principle in the synthesis of porous organic frameworks which are connected with covalent bonds, which are the first successful examples of these COFs. Since COFs are composed of light-weight elements linked by strong covalent bonds, they have low mass densities and possess high thermal stability. The successful realization of COFs with molecular graphene-type building blocks would provide covalent frameworks that could be functionalized into light-weight materials optimized for gas storage, photonic, and catalytic applications.

N-Doped graphene-like honeycomb structures are important examples of COF materials. In a recent study by Mahmood et al., the design and preparation of a two dimensional holey crystal, C$_2$N, with uniform holes and nitrogen atoms was reported. The structure and band gap of C$_2$N were studied by using both experimental techniques and DFT-based calculations. This new structure is layered like graphite with a different interlayer distance and is highly crystalline. It exhibits a direct band gap which was determined to be 1.96 eV by using ultraviolet visible spectroscopy, while a slightly smaller band gap of 1.70 eV is obtained from density functional theory (DFT) calculations. In another study, Sahin investigated the structural and phononic characteristics of the C$_2$N structure. The formation of heterostructures of holey graphenes and the resulting Moiré patterns.
were investigated by Kang et al.\textsuperscript{35} Very recently Zhang et al. investigated the structural and electronic properties of few-layer C\textsubscript{2}N by considering different stacking orders and number of layers.\textsuperscript{36} In the study by Xu et al. energy barriers for the adsorption of H\textsubscript{2}, CO\textsubscript{2} and CO molecules on the C\textsubscript{2}N monolayer were calculated for a possible H\textsubscript{2} dissociation.\textsuperscript{37}

Motivated by the recent experiment on the synthesis of the C\textsubscript{2}N monolayer\textsuperscript{33} and by the studies on graphene-like networks composed of COFs, we investigate the structural, electronic and mechanical properties of 2D holey crystals of C\textsubscript{2}X (X = N, P or As) stoichiometry. The mechanical properties of these hexagonal structures are examined under uniaxial strain, and the in-plane stiffness and the Poisson ratio values are obtained. In addition, the most probable types of atomic scale disorder, the formation of N, P and As defects, are investigated for these holey structures.

The paper is organized as follows: details of the computational methodology are given in Section 2. Structural properties of C\textsubscript{2}N, C\textsubscript{2}P and C\textsubscript{2}As are presented in Section 3. Discussions about electronic and magnetic properties of these monolayer crystals are given in Section 4. In Section 5 the mechanical properties are discussed by examining the in-plane stiffness and the Poisson ratio for each structure. Electronic and geometric properties of defect and H-impurities in C\textsubscript{2}X monolayers are discussed in Section 6. Finally we conclude in Section 7.

2 Computational methodology

First-principles calculations were performed within the framework of density functional theory (DFT) by using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP).\textsuperscript{40-43} The approach is based on an iterative solution of the Kohn–Sham equations\textsuperscript{44} with a plane-wave set adopted with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA)\textsuperscript{45,46} with the inclusion of spin-orbit-coupling (SOC). More accurate results and electronic structure calculations were performed using the Heyd–Scuseria–Ernzerhof (HSE) screened-nonlocal-exchange functional of the generalized Kohn–Sham scheme.\textsuperscript{37} Analysis of the charge transfers in the structures was made by the Bader technique.\textsuperscript{18}

Electronic and geometric relaxations of hexagonal monolayers of C\textsubscript{2}X structures were performed by considering the following criteria in our calculations. The energy cut-off value for the plane wave basis set was taken to be 500 eV. The energy difference between sequential steps for the electronic self-consistency-loop was considered to be 10\textsuperscript{-5} eV. As a convergence criterion in the structural relaxation and for the Hellmann–Feynman forces on each atom was taken to be 0.05 eV Å\textsuperscript{-1}. For geometric relaxation of the structures a parallelogram unit cell containing 12 C atoms and 6 X atoms was used (see Fig. 1). The minimum energy was obtained by varying the lattice constant and the pressure was reduced below 1 kbar. Brillouin zone integration was performed by using a set of 5 \times 5 \times 1 \Gamma\textsuperscript{centered} k-point sampling mesh for a single unit cell. To get more accurate results for the density of states (DOS) and the work function calculations a set of 15 \times 15 \times 1 k-point sampling was used.

The broadening for DOS calculations was taken to be 0.05. The cohesive energy per atom in a primitive unit cell was calculated using the formula;

$$E_{\text{coh}} = \frac{12E_C + 6E_X - E_{C2X}}{18}$$ (1)

where $E_C$ and $E_X$ denote the magnetic ground state energies of the single C and X atoms, respectively, while $E_{C2X}$ denotes the total energy of the monolayer C\textsubscript{2}X. Calculations on elastic constants were performed by considering a 2 \times 2 supercell containing 72 atoms.

3 Structural properties

Generic forms of the monolayer structures, C\textsubscript{2}N, C\textsubscript{2}P and C\textsubscript{2}As, display honeycomb symmetry as shown in Fig. 1. All calculated parameters for their relaxed geometries are listed in Table 1. In the primitive unit cell there are 12 C atoms and 6 X atoms. The C\textsubscript{2}N crystal has a planar two dimensional structure with a lattice constant of 8.33 Å which is consistent with the value reported by Mahmood et al.\textsuperscript{33} The calculated C–C bond length is 1.47 Å while the C–N bond is 1.34 Å with the C–N–C bond angle being 18 degrees. This bond angle is the largest one of all three structures. This means that the hole between the benzene rings is nearly a perfect hexagon in C\textsubscript{2}N. Bader charge analysis shows that an average 0.6 e of charge depletion per atom occurs from C atoms to the neighboring N atoms. The cohesive energy per atom is highest for the C\textsubscript{2}N structure with a value of 7.64 eV, as calculated using eqn (1).

Optimized lattice constant of the C\textsubscript{2}P monolayer crystal is calculated to be 9.33 Å. The C–C bond length is 1.42 Å as in graphene hexagons and the C–P bond length is 1.76 Å. The C–P–C bonds have a narrower angle than that of the C–N–C bonds with a value of 108 degrees. According to the Bader charge analysis, opposite to the C\textsubscript{2}N case an average of 0.6 e charge is transferred to each C atom from the P atoms. The cohesive energy per atom, 6.84 eV is less than that of C\textsubscript{2}N.

For the C\textsubscript{2}As monolayer structure the lattice constant is 9.72 Å with a corresponding C–C bond length of 1.41 Å which

![Fig. 1 Top view of the C\textsubscript{2}X holey graphene monolayer structure where X represents N, P or As atoms.](image-url)
is nearly the same as the C–C bond in C₂P. The longest bond length between a C atom and its X neighbor is found for the C–As bond with a value of 1.92 Å. The angle between two C–As bonds, 108 degrees, is smaller than that of the C–N bonds. We found that the charge transfer occurs in this structure from As atoms to each C atom with a value of 0.3 e. The charge transfer occurs from P and As atoms to the C rings for C₂P and C₂As, respectively. However, it occurs from C rings to the N atoms in C₂N.

### 4 Electronic and magnetic properties

The calculated lattice constant and electronic band gap of C₂N are in agreement with the values reported by Mahmood et al. The C₂N monolayer has a direct band gap of 1.66 eV and 2.47 eV in GGA and HSE06 levels, respectively, as seen in Fig. 2(a). The overall dispersion characteristics of the bands are not affected by the inclusion of HSE06. The valence band maximum (VBM) and the conduction band minimum (CBM) of the C₂N monolayer lie at the Γ point of the Brillouin zone. Relatively large value of the C₂N energy band gap makes it a suitable semiconductor for various device applications. It also appears from the energy band structure that spin up and spin down states are degenerate throughout the Brillouin zone and therefore the structure does not exhibit any spin polarization in its ground state. Due to the pairing of p electrons of 3-coordinated C atoms and 2-coordinated N atoms, the structure has a nonmagnetic ground state.

The electronic band dispersion for the C₂P monolayer crystal indicates that it has an indirect band gap of 0.22 eV and a direct gap of 0.94 eV in GGA and HSE06 calculations, respectively. Since the VBM of the C₂P monolayer consists of localized states, these states are affected by the HSE06 functional and the VBM point of the band structure moves to the Γ point as shown in Fig. 2(b). Like the C₂N monolayer, C₂P has also a nonmagnetic ground state.

In Table 1, the workfunctions of the monolayer holey graphenes are also shown. It is seen that the workfunction values of these compounds are smaller than that of the h-BN and larger than the value for graphene. Comparing the workfunction values of the monolayers a decreasing trend can be seen from nitrogenated one to the arsenicated one. This result can be explained by the decreasing ionization energy of the elements in the periodic table from top to bottom rows.

![Fig. 2](image-url)

**Fig. 2** Band-structures (left-panel) and corresponding partial density of states (right-panel) of (a) C₂N, (b) C₂P, and (c) C₂As where red curves are for bands calculated within GGA approximation while dashed blue curves are for bands calculated within HSE06 on top of GGA. The Fermi energy (E_F) level is set to the valence band maximum.

| C₂N | C₂P | C₂As | Graphene | h-BN |
|-----|-----|------|----------|------|
| a (Å) | 8.33 | 9.33 | 9.72 | 2.46 | 2.51 |
| d_C–X (Å) | 1.34 | 1.76 | 1.92 | — | 1.45 |
| d_C–C (Å) | 1.47 | 1.42 | 1.41 | 1.42 | 1.20 |
| θ_CXC (deg) | 118 | 108 | 108 | — | 120 |
| Magnetic State | NM | AFM | +0.3 | NM | AFM |
| ΔE (eV) | 7.64 | 6.84 | 5.78 | 7.97 | 7.10 |
| E_v (eV) | 1.66 | 0.22 | 0.43 | — | 4.48 |
| E_c (eV) | 2.47 | 0.94 | 1.16 | — | 5.56 |
| Φ (eV) | 5.23 | 4.90 | 4.89 | 4.51 | 5.80 |
| ν | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.22 |
| C (eV Å⁻²) | 9.27 | 6.69 | 5.83 | 21.25 | 17.12 |

The C₂As monolayer crystal is a semiconductor with a direct band gap of 0.43 eV and 1.16 eV in GGA and HSE06 levels, respectively. Similar to the case of C₂N, the inclusion of the HSE06 functional increases the energy gap and does not change the dispersion characteristic of the band structure (see Fig. 2(c)).
Both the VBM and the CBM of C$_2$As lie between the $I$’ and the M points. Interestingly, there is an isolated Dirac point in the conduction band of C$_2$As which can be populated using doping or a gate potential. The net magnetic moment for this structure is zero like for the other two monolayers. But the ground state is obtained for anti-ferromagnetic (AFM-1) ordering given in Fig. 3(a) in which all the neighboring C and As atoms have equal but opposite local magnetic moments in their sublattices. In the AFM-2 magnetic ordering, the C atoms in a ring have opposite magnetic moments while the As atoms have ferromagnetically ordered moments as seen in Fig. 3(b). The net magnetic moments of the two configurations, AFM-1 and AFM-2, are zero with an energy difference of 50 meV, AFM-1 being the ground state.

5 Mechanical properties

The elastic properties of homogeneous and isotropic materials can be represented by two independent constants, the in-plane stiffness $C$ and the Poisson ratio $\nu$. The stiffness parameter is a measure of the rigidity or the flexibility of a material. The mechanical response of a material to an applied stress is called a measure of the rigidity or the flexibility of a material. The calculated; (i) $e_x = e_y$. At each configuration, the atomic positions are fully relaxed and the strain energy, $E_s$, is calculated by subtracting the total energy of the strained system from the equilibrium total energy. The calculated data are fitted to the equation $E_s = c_1 e_x^2 + c_2 e_y^2 + c_3 e_x e_y$, so that the coefficients $c_i$ are determined. The in-plane stiffness $C$ can then be calculated from $C = (1/4A_0)[2c - c_2^2/2c]$ where we let $c_1 = c_2 = c$ due to isotropy of the unit cell and $A_0$ is the unstretched area of the 2 x 2 supercell. The Poisson ratio is obtained as $\nu = c_3/2c$. Due to the symmetry of the honeycomb lattice, the in-plane stiffness and the Poisson ratio are the same along the $x$ and $y$ directions.

As indicated in Table 1, the calculated in-plane stiffness for C$_2$N is 9.27 eV Å$^{-2}$ which has the highest value among the three monolayer structures. This value indicates a strong bonding between the C and N atoms. Although it is the highest value, it is still smaller compared to that of graphene and h-BN. The calculated Poisson ratio for the C$_2$N monolayer is 0.26 which is in the range for usual two dimensional materials. This means that when the material is compressed in one direction, it will expand in the other direction as well. The in-plane stiffness value for C$_2$P is calculated (6.69 eV Å$^{-2}$) with a corresponding Poisson ratio of 0.21, which means that the C$_2$P crystal is less responsive than C$_2$N under compression. The lowest in-plane stiffness for the C$_2$As monolayer is 5.83 eV Å$^{-2}$ with the corresponding Poisson ratio of 0.21 which is equal to that of the C$_2$P crystal. All the holey monolayers have Poisson ratios which are larger than that of graphene and close to that of h-BN.

We next consider the behavior of the monolayer structures under higher values of uniform strain ranging from 0.04 to 0.40. For this purpose the calculations are performed in a 2 x 2 supercell. The change in strain energy of all the monolayers under applied biaxial strain is given in Fig. 4(a). Although C$_2$N is the stiffest crystal, structural deformations start to form beyond 12% strain which is small compared to those of C$_2$P and C$_2$As. By structural deformation we mean that the N atoms connecting the C pairs start to form C$_4$N$_2$ isolated hexagonal rings. The distance between two neighboring C atoms in different hexagonal rings becomes 1.79 Å at 12% strain. This distance increases up to 3.10 Å at 40% strain. The deformation path seems to be the same for the C$_2$P monolayer structure. Up to a strain value of 20%, P atoms are still bonded to the hexagonal C rings and there is no drastic change in the structure of the monolayer. However beyond 20% strain hexagonal rings are formed composed of 4-C and 2-P atoms as in the case of C$_2$N. The C-C bond lengths in C pairs are approximately 1.30 Å at 20% strain and there exist C-C pairs connected by P atoms as shown in Fig. 4(c). Among the monolayer structures considered, only in the C$_2$As crystal the hexagonal C rings preserve their form under large strains. The bond angle of the C-As-C bond gets larger as the applied strain is increased. As given in Fig. 4(d), at 24% strain this angle becomes 158 degrees and there is no longer bonding between the C and As atoms. Compared with the other two structures, C$_2$As has the smallest in-plane stiffness value and it is the softest material among the three monolayers. The C$_2$X monolayer structures can be viewed as an ordered phase of 6-C rings linked by the X atoms.

\[ E_s = c_1 e_x^2 + c_2 e_y^2 + c_3 e_x e_y \]

\[ C = \frac{1}{4A_0} [2c - c_2^2/2c] \]

\[ \nu = \frac{c_3}{2c} \]
It seems that the linker atoms N and P have stronger bonds to their C neighbors so that the structure dissociates into isolated rings by breaking the C–C bonds under high strain. For As, however, the C–C bonds must be stronger than the C–As bonds so that the crystal yields at the linker sites.

6 Effect of defects

Considering the synthesis procedure of the mentioned holey crystals in which the ingradient molecules are self-assembled, the atomic scale disorders like vacant N, P and As sites are the most probable disorders in C2N, C2P and C2As monolayers, respectively. The existence of H-impurities at these vacant-sites are also possible since the C2N holey structure is synthesized as a result of the interactions of hexaaminobenzene and hexaketo-cyclohexane molecules which contain H atoms in their composition. In this section, we investigate the effects of these vacant sites and substitutional H-impurities on the geometric and electronic properties of the monolayer holey structures.

Optimized geometries of the defected structures are shown in Fig. 5. For the N-defected C2N and P-defected C2P holey crystals (Fig. 5(a) and (b)), removal of a single N or P atom results in a bond formation between the two C atoms at the vacant site. However, in the case of As-defected C2As the optimized geometric structure does not lead to an additional bonding (see Fig. 5(c)). Geometry optimizations indicate that for X-vacant structures only the C2N retains its planar geometry while the other two structures get buckled. Our Bader analysis shows that charge depletion of 1.1 e per atom occurs from the C atoms to the neighboring N atoms in N-defected C2N. For P-defected C2P, an average of 0.6 e charge is transferred to each C atom except for the two C atoms at the vacant sites since these C atoms keep approximately their initial charges.

For As-defected C2As the charge is depleted to the C atoms with a value of 0.3 e per atom on the average. We found that the N-defected C2N has a nonmagnetic ground state while P-defected C2P and As-defected C2As have magnetic ground states with a net moment of 1 μB. Total DOS calculations indicate that X-missing structures of C2N and C2P become metallic monolayers while the As-defected C2As is still a semiconductor with a lower band gap energy than its perfect form (see Fig. 6(c)).
Calculated cohesive energies per atom in the supercells demonstrate that for all three structures the highest $E_{\text{coh}}$ occur for X-missing structures of C$_2$N and C$_2$P while for the C$_2$As monolayer the most energetic case is 1H-impurity case as seen in Table 2.

As seen in Fig. 5(a), the C$_2$N structure having a single H substitution at the N-vacant site preserves the geometry of the C$_2$N monolayer. The geometries of other two monolayers with 1H-impurity get buckled as seen in Fig. 5. The results of the Bader analysis for all three monolayers for the 1H-impurity case show that 0.1 e of charge is transferred to the C atom at the vacant site from H atoms. The inclusion of single H-impurity gives rise to a non-magnetic ground state for all C$_2$X monolayers as in their bare cases. For 1H-impurity structures the total DOS calculations indicate that all three monolayers preserve their semiconducting character but with lower values of band gap energies (see Fig. 6).

In our study, inclusion of 2H-impurities at the X-vacant sites is also considered. In all three defected structures each H atom binds to a single C atom as expected (see Fig. 5). Addition of the second H atom to the vacancy sites restores the planar geometry of all three monolayers. In this case, the charge is donated to each C atom at the vacant site from the H atoms such that the final charges on C atoms are the same as their values in the perfect crystals. 2H impurities result in a magnetic ground state for all three monolayers with a 1 $\mu_B$ of net magnetic moment. The total DOS calculations demonstrate that inclusion of 2H-impurities in C$_2$X structures preserves the semiconducting behaviors of the three monolayers with lower band gap energies (see Fig. 6). The corresponding cohesive energies per atom are also given in Table 2 which indicate that the C$_2$N monolayer has the highest $E_{\text{coh}}$ than that of C$_2$P and C$_2$As monolayers.

7 Conclusions

Motivated by recent experiments on the C$_2$N monolayer and graphene-like COF networks, we investigated structural, mechanical and electronic properties of two other monolayer structures, C$_2$P and C$_2$As. We found that C$_2$N has the highest $E_{\text{coh}}$ among the three monolayers and the calculated values of $E_{\text{coh}}$ are comparable with that of graphene and h-BN. Moreover, it is calculated that the workfunction values of the monolayers are decreasing from C$_2$N to C$_2$As which is consistent with the trend in ionization energy of each element. Energy-band structure calculations show that all three monolayers are direct band gap semiconductors. Our calculations on mechanical constants suggest that the stiffest material is the C$_2$N structure with the highest Poisson ratio among the three monolayers. Moreover, the vacancy defects of N and P atoms in holey structures lead to metallic ground states while the substitutional H-impurities do not change their semiconducting character but can create net magnetization on the monolayer. Finally, we point out that holey graphene monolayers are new two dimensional materials that are mechanically stable and they are flexible semiconductors which may be favorable for applications in optoelectronics.
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