Molecular investigation into the effect of carbon nanotubes interaction with CO₂ in molecular separation using microporous polymeric membranes
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The use of nanofluids has been recently of great interest to separate acidic contaminants such as CO₂. The main objective of this research is to assess the influence of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) addition to distilled water on enhancing the CO₂ molecular separation through a porous membrane contactor (PMC). For this aim, a comprehensive model is developed based on non-wetted and counter-current operational modes to evaluate the principal mass and momentum transport equations in tube, membrane and shell compartments of PMC. Consequently, a CFD-based axisymmetrical simulation is implemented according to finite element technique (FET) to prognosticate the results. It is found from the results that the addition of 0.1 wt% carbon nanotubes (CNTs) particles to water significantly enhances the mass transfer and consequently the CO₂ molecular separation efficiency from 38 to 63.3%. This considerable enhancement can be justified due to the existence of two momentous phenomena including Brownian motion and Grazing effect, which enhance the mass transport of CO₂ molecules in the PMC. Moreover, the effect of CNTs concentration, some membrane’s parameters such as number of hollow fibers and porosity and also some module’s design parameters including module radius and length on the CO₂ separation performance are investigated in this paper as another highlight of the current work.

Sustainable anthropogenic emission of greenhouse contaminants such as CO₂ and its pernicious influence on the atmosphere (i.e., global warming and acid rains) has been a global concern in developed and developing countries. Globally, it is estimated that several billion tons of CO₂ are being emitted into the atmosphere each year through burning fossil fuels. Human's industrial activities are verified to be the main reason for the increase in CO₂ amount and disturbance in atmospheric natural balance. Thus, it is vitally important to develop viable procedures for CO₂ sequestration.

There are different processes for separation and removal of CO₂ from gas streams which need to be improved to obtain more efficient processes. Traditional techniques such as pressure swing adsorption, bubble columns, venturis, scrubbers, spray towers, packed towers have recently been applied to eliminate CO₂ contaminants from gas streams. Apart from the superb advantages of conventional methods, such techniques suffer from disparate difficulties such as high capital/operating costs, risk of liquid overflow, frothing, entrainment, and channeling. The combination of gas absorption and membrane separation processes formed a modern technique which is known as the membrane gas separation (MGS) system. This technique is able to overcome the
aforementioned drawbacks in traditional ones. Porous membrane contactors (PMC), as one of the well-known membrane separation techniques for capturing CO₂ molecules, have been widely studied by researchers. The gas–liquid interfacial area in PMC systems is definite and constant. Due to the laminar regime of liquid flow inside the fibers, the hydrodynamics of the liquid side is well-known. Thus, the diffusivity of the fiber side can be simply estimated from basic principles. With no changes in the interfacial area, the gas–liquid exposure time inside the fibers, the hydrodynamics of the liquid side is well-known. Thus, the CO₂ separation efficiency inside the PMCs can be varied independently. Thus, owing to these characteristics, PMC can be proposed as an appropriate and promising device for sequestration of CO₂ molecules.

It is very important to select proper absorbents in MGS systems. There are several criteria in selecting absorbents, such as chemical compatibility, viscosity, surface tension, and absorption/regeneration capability. Nanofluids, as one of the absorbents which possesses the mentioned criteria, has currently attracted tremendous attention from researchers. Nanofluids consist of nanoparticles (NPs) such as Fe₃O₄, Al₂O₃, SiO₂, and CNTs, dispersed in base fluids like water and amine solutions.

It has been reported that the absorption rate of the gas solutes in MGS systems can be improved by using nanofluids. For example, Peyravi et al. experimentally investigated the CO₂ absorption enhancement by introducing various nanofluids (Fe₃O₄, CNTs, Al₂O₃, and SiO₂) using a HFMC. The authors found that Al₂O₃, CNTs, Fe₃O₄, and SiO₂ nanoparticles could increase the absorption rate of CO₂ by 44, 38, 25, and 3%, respectively. Golkhar et al. studied CO₂ capture from CO₂ and air gaseous mixture by applying water-based SiO₂ and CNTs nanofluids through a porous polypropylene membrane. They perceived that CNTs, due to their hydrophobicity and high adsorption capacity, had better separation performance compared to SiO₂ nanoparticles and improved the CO₂ adsorption rate by 40%.

Currently, the application of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) approach has been of significant interest among various researchers all over the world to solve the principal momentum/mass transfer partial differential equations (PDEs) and analyze the removal efficiency of major greenhouse contaminants like CO₂, NO₂, SO₂ and H₂S from different gaseous flows. Pishamazni et al. used CFD technique to evaluate the operational influence of [Bmim][BF₄] ionic liquid addition to MEA solvent for improving the CO₂ separation efficiency inside the porous membrane contactor (PMC). They perceived from their investigations that the addition of 30% [Bmim][BF₄] ionic liquid to basic MEA absorbent resulted in a significant enhancement in the separation efficiency of CO₂ inside the PMC from 18 to 79%. Mousavian et al. theoretically studied the removal performance of CO₂ from CO₂/air mixture using MEA absorbent through PMC. They resulted that increase in the gas flow rate from 0.6 to 1.4 m³ s⁻¹ decreased the CO₂ separation from about 96 to 78%

This paper aims to implement a comprehensive modeling and its corresponding CFD-based axisymmetrical simulation to evaluate the influence of CNTs addition to distilled water on improving the molecular separation of CO₂ molecules. Mechanistic model is developed to analyze the governing equations inside the principal domains of PMC related to mass and momentum transport processes and CFD-based axisymmetrical simulation is assembled to predict the results. As another highlight, this work aims to assess the effect of CNTs concentration, membrane's design parameters (i.e., number of hollow fibers and porosity) and also some module's design parameters (i.e., module radius/length) on the CO₂ removal performance in order to optimize the separation process. Moreover, accurate prediction of CO₂ sequestration percentage from CO₂/N₂ gaseous flow using distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid procedure is considered as another highlight of this investigation. Although computational simulations of membrane systems have been studied in literature, a thorough understanding the mass transfer at molecular level is required for design of this novel systems, which is addressed in the current study using a comprehensive multidimensional mechanistic model.

**Model development**

A mathematical modeling and its corresponding computational axisymmetrical simulation is aimed to be implemented considering a cylindrical coordinate system to numerically/computationally study the molecular removal of CO₂ applying distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid as absorbents in the PMC. The schematic design of CO₂ mass transport and geometrical structure of a PMC is presented in Fig. 1.

The PMC comprised of three domains including shell, tube, and membrane. The mass transfer of CO₂ is the consequence of the CO₂ molecular diffusion from the gas mixture, which circulates inside the shell section (from top to bottom), to the pores of membrane wall and the chemical capture via distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid as absorbents flowing counter-currently through the PMC tube section (from bottom to top). Figure 2 shows the depiction of the contactor module's cross section and Happel's model. The latter deals with the influence of surrounding shell's void fraction on the fluid stream around each hollow fiber and is applied to prognosticate the assumptive effective radius (diameter) of shell (rₚ) around each fiber necessary for gas–liquid operational contact.

Table 1 presents the characteristics and dimensions of CNTs existed in the CNTs water-based nanofluid considered in the simulations.

COMSOL software version 5.2, which functions on the basis of Finite Element Technique (FET), is used as an authentic commercial package to solve partial differential equations (PDEs) associated with the mass and momentum transport in the abovementioned sections of PMC. The UMFPACK is an eligible numerical solver among the extensive range of solvers due to its significant characteristics such as robustness and ability of solving stiff and non-stiff boundary problems. The utilized working conditions, the detailed specifications of employed PMC and physicochemical parameters of CO₂, distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid are listed in Table 2.

There are two major mechanisms for increasing the mass transport rate in the presence of nanofluids, which can be described by Brownian motion and the Grazing effect. The first mechanism is random movements of nanoparticles, which can cause an increase in the velocity and induce micro-convection around the nanoparticles.
and ultimately lead to mass diffusion flux enhancement as well as improvement of diffusion coefficient in the mass transfer domain\(^3\). The second mechanism expresses the gas adsorption in the presence of particles at the liquid–gas interface\(^3\). The velocity of distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid in the tube section is assumed to be fully developed, which may be justified by the ignorance of end effects and the particles impacts due to their low concentration. The gas flow in the shell side is described by the Happel's model. The simplifying assumptions considered in the model development are as below\(^36-41\).

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of CO\(_2\) molecular mass transport and geometrical structure of a PMC.

**Figure 2.** Schematic depiction of the membrane module’s cross section and Happel’s free surface model (HFSM).

| Morphology | Inside diameter (nm) | Outside diameter (nm) | Length (µm) |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Tubular    | 2.5                  | 8                     | 10          |

**Table 1.** The characteristics and dimensions of CNTs\(^16\).
• Isothermal process and steady state circumstance;
• It is assumed that CNTs are spherical and homogeneous;
• The gas phase through the shell follows the ideal behavior;
• Non-wetted condition in the micropores;
• The assumption of incompressible and Newtonian fluid flow of the CNTs water-based nanofluid;
• It is assumed that radial convection can be negligible;
• Henry's law is employed to interpret the gas phase-nanofluid equilibrium;

Continuity equation for CO₂ molecular mass transfer in the PMC’s shell compartment can be attained by using Fick’s law for prediction of diffusive flux as below42–45:

$$D_{CO_2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 C_{CO_2}}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial C_{CO_2}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 C_{CO_2}}{\partial z^2} \right] = V_{z,s} \frac{\partial C_{CO_2}}{\partial z}$$

where, $V_{z,s}$, $D_{CO_2}$, and $z$ are the $z$-direction's axial velocity in the shell part, CO₂ diffusion coefficient inside the shell and distance along the fiber length, respectively. The gas phase velocity profile in the shell part is elucidated by the assumption of HFSM and laminar flow pattern through the following equation40,43,46:

$$V_{z,s} \approx 2 \overline{V_s} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{r_2}{r_3} \right)^2 \right] \times \frac{(r/r_3)^2 - (r_2/r_3)^2 + 2\ln(r_2/r)}{3 + (r_2/r_3)^4 - 4(r_2/r_3)^2 + 4\ln(r_2/r_3)}$$

In Eq. (2), $\overline{V_s}$ and $r_2$ stand for the average velocity of shell side (gas phase) and the PMC’s outer fiber radius, respectively. Besides, $r_3$ describes the shell side's assumptive radius, which is computed as28,47,48:

$$r_3 = r_2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - \omega} \right)^{0.5}$$

In Eq. (3), the packing density in the PMC is explained by $(1 - \omega)$ and is computed as follows47–49:

$$1 - \omega = \frac{nr^2}{R^2}$$

In Eq. (4), $R^2$ and $n$ represent the module radius and the number of fibers embedded in the module, respectively. Moreover, by mixing the two previous equations (Eqs. 3 and 4), $r_3$ is determined as 0.0005 m. The utilized boundary conditions in the shell section are given as below:

$$at \ r = r_2: C_{CO_2, shell} = C_{CO_2, mem}$$

| Parameter                          | Value          | Unit | References |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------|------------|
| Inner hollow fiber radius ($r_1$) | $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ | m    | 16         |
| Outer hollow fiber radius ($r_2$) | $2.25 \times 10^{-4}$ | m    | 16         |
| Module inner radius              | 0.01           | m    |            |
| Membrane porosity ($\varepsilon$) | 0.5            | –    |            |
| Module length ($L$)              | 0.4            | m    |            |
| Total number of fibers ($n$)     | 400            | –    |            |
| Gas flow rate ($Q_g$)            | 16             | L h⁻¹|            |
| Liquid flow rate ($Q_l$)         | 7              | L h⁻¹|            |
| Membrane contact area            | 0.16           | m²   |            |
| CNTs concentration               | 1.57 × 10⁻²    | mol g⁻¹|            |
| CNTs true density                | 2.2            | g cm⁻³|            |
| Membrane tortuosity ($\tau$)     | $\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \times (2 - \varepsilon)$ | – | 27 |
| $D_{CO_2, shell}$                | $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | m² s⁻¹| 28         |
| $D_{CO_2, mem}$                  | $D_{CO_2, shell} \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}$ | m² s⁻¹| 28         |
| $D_{CO_2, CNTs}$                 | $2.35 \times 10^{-6} \exp\left(-2199/T\right)$ | m² s⁻¹| 28         |
| $D_{CNTs}$                       | $0.5 \times D_{CO_2, CNTs}$ | m² s⁻¹| Estimated45 |
| $D_{H_2O}$                       | $1.18 \times 10^{-6} \exp\left(-2199/T\right)$ | m² s⁻¹| 31         |
| $m_{CO_2,CNTs}$                  | 0.728          | –    |            |
| $m_{CO_2,H_2O}$                  | 0.83           | –    |            |

Table 2. The utilized working conditions and the detailed specifications of employed PMC.
\[ \text{at } r = r_3: \frac{\partial C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{shell}}}{\partial r} = 0 \quad (6) \]

\[ \text{at } z = 0: \text{Convective flux} \quad (7) \]

\[ \text{at } z = L: C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{shell}} = C_{\text{initial}} \quad (8) \]

It is perceived that the addition of optimum amount of particles is able to increase the molecular mass transfer process of CO₂ molecules through the PMC due to increasing the gas–liquid operational interface. The first mechanism proposed for justifying this behavior is Brownian motion. The emergence of velocity disturbance field due to particles micro-convection may enhance the diffusivity of nanofluid. For this case, no mathematical/theoretical equation exists but currently, an experimental-based relationship has been rendered in some literature. Based on these investigations, the CNTs water-based nanofluid's diffusion coefficient is derived as follows14,50:

\[ D_{\text{df}} = D_{\text{bf}} \left(1 + m_1 Re^m Sc^m \right) \quad (9) \]

In the abovementioned equation, \( m_1 = 1.650, m_2 = 0.039, m_3 = -1.064 \) and \( m_4 = 0.203 \)14,50. In this equation, \( \varnothing, Sc \) and \( Re \) are respectively denoted as volume fraction, Schmidt and Reynolds numbers for the Brownian motion of CNT. The amount of \( Re \) dimensionless number can be calculated using the following equation51:

\[ Re = \sqrt{\frac{18KT \rho^2}{\pi dp \mu^2}} \quad (10) \]

In this equation, \( K, T, \rho, \rho_p, dp \) and \( \mu \) are respectively interpreted as the Boltzmann constant, temperature, density of carrier fluid, density of CNTs particles, particles diameter, and carrier fluid's viscosity. Grazing effect (mechanism of shuttle effect in gas–liquid systems) is considered as the second principle mechanism to justify the enhancement of the CO₂ molecular mass transfer process17,52,53. The Grazing effect is applied to describe the gas shuttle process from the liquid–gas interface to the bulk of liquid phase. The Grazing effect may be investigated by dividing the liquid phase into two separate phases including solid and liquid phases. Therefore, the mass transfer equation (continuity equation) must be derived for both liquid (distilled water) and solid (CNTs) phases. Continuity equation for CO₂ in the solid (CNTs) is derived by the following equation⁵⁷,³⁴,⁵²,⁵³:

\[ \varnothing \rho_p V_z \frac{\partial q}{\partial z} = k_p a_p (C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}} - C_s) \quad (11) \]

In Eq. (11), \( k_p \) and \( a_p \) stand for the mass transfer coefficient between CNTs and distilled water (liquid phase) and specific surface area of CNTs. The value of \( k_p \) is obtained via the following equation⁴¹:

\[ Sh = \frac{k_p d_p}{D_{\text{CO}_2}} = 2 \quad (12) \]

Langmuir isothermal adsorption model (LIAM) is applied to calculate \( q \) (amount of CO₂ molecules adsorbed by CNTs) as follows⁴¹:

\[ q = q_m \frac{k_d C_s}{1 + k_d C_s} \quad (13) \]

In the above equation, \( q_m, k_d \) and \( C_s \) are respectively expressed as the highest amount of adsorption using CNTs, Langmuir constant and CO₂ molecular concentration at the interface of liquid–solid. Application of mass balance equation for CO₂ molecules in the tube section of PMC and considering CO₂ adsorption on the surface of CNTs results in the appearance of \( C_s \). The fundamental mass transfer equation based on the steady state and non-wetted conditions for component CO₂ molecules inside the PMC tube side is gained as below²³,⁴²,⁴⁴,⁴⁸:

\[ D_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}}}{\partial z^2} \right] = V_{z,\text{tube}} \frac{\partial C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}}}{\partial z} + \frac{k_p a_p}{1 - \varnothing} (C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}} - C_s) \quad (14) \]

where, \( D_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}} \) stands for the diffusion coefficients of CO₂ molecules inside the PMC’s tube compartment. Moreover, \( R_i \) and \( V_{z,\text{tube}} \) state the reaction rate and axial velocity, respectively. The flow regime inside the tube is assumed to be Newtonian laminar flow. Accordingly, the axial velocity distribution can be defined by the following equation²³,⁴⁸:

\[ V_{z,\text{tube}} = 2V_T \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^2 \right] \quad (15) \]

In Eq. (15), \( V_T, r \) and \( r_1 \) express the average velocity in the tube side of fiber, radial direction and the inner radius of fibers, respectively. The boundary conditions employed in the tube section are interpreted as below:

\[ \text{at } r = 0: \frac{\partial C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}}}{\partial r} = 0 \quad (16) \]
\[ \text{at } r = r_1: \frac{m_{\text{CO}_2}}{C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}}} = m_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}} \quad (17) \]

\[ \text{at } z = 0: C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}} = 0, \quad C_{\text{solution,\text{tube}}} = C_{\text{initial}} \quad (18) \]

\[ \text{at } z = L: \text{Convective flux} \quad (19) \]

The continuity equation based on steady state and non-wetted operating modes inside the PMC's membrane segment is given by Eq. 20\textsuperscript{28,38,42,43,44}. Non-wetting assumption caused that the pore pores are only filled with the gas molecules. Hence, the principle mass transport mechanism through the membrane micropores is diffusion of \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecules inside the gas:

\[ D_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}}}{\partial z^2} \right] = 0 \quad (20) \]

In the abovementioned equation, \( C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}} \) and \( D_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}} \) stand for the amount of \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration and molecular diffusion coefficient in the membrane pores, respectively. \( D_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}} \) possesses a direct relationship with membrane porosity (\( \epsilon \)) and inverse relationship with membrane tortuosity (\( \tau \)) which can be derived as\textsuperscript{28,40,43,44}:

\[ D_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}} = \frac{\epsilon D_{\text{CO}_2,\text{shell}}}{\tau} \quad (21) \]

In Eq. 21, \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecular diffusion coefficient in the shell of PMC is defined by \( D_{\text{CO}_2,\text{shell}} \). Employed boundary conditions in the membrane section are given as below:

\[ \text{at } r = r_1: \frac{C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}}}{C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}}} = \frac{m_{\text{CO}_2}}{m_{\text{CO}_2,\text{tube}}} \quad (22) \]

\[ \text{at } r = r_2: C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}} = C_{\text{CO}_2,\text{shell}} \quad (23) \]

\[ \text{at } z = 0: \text{Insulated} \quad (24) \]

\[ \text{at } z = L: \text{Insulated} \quad (25) \]

Results and discussion
Validation of modeling/simulation results. To verify the validity of modeling/simulation results, they are compared with existed experimental data reported in literature. Indeed, the CFD-based results of \( \text{CO}_2 \) sequestration percentage using CNTs water-based nanofluid are compared with measured data provided by Peyravi et al. in various gas flow rates \( (Q_g) \textsuperscript{16} \). The sequestration yield of \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecules applying distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid is presented in the following equation, where \( C \) and \( Q \) are respectively expressed as the concentration of \( \text{CO}_2 \) in the gas phase and volumetric gas flow rate\textsuperscript{44,48,54}:

\[ \text{CO}_2 \text{ separation percentage} = 100 \left( \frac{Q_{\text{inlet}} - Q_{\text{outlet}}}{Q_{\text{inlet}}} \right) = 100 \left( 1 - \frac{C_{\text{outlet}}}{C_{\text{inlet}}} \right) \quad (26) \]

Figure 3 implies the presence of a great agreement between the measured data and modeling/simulation results for \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecular separation percentage using CNTs water-based nanofluid with an average deviation (AD) of about 6%.

Influence of the CNTs addition on \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration distribution and molecular sequestration. Figure 4a,b demonstrate the modeled surface plots of \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration distribution in all main domains of PMC applying distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid as absorbents, respectively. An inlet gaseous flow containing \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{N}_2 \) enters the PMC from the top side (at \( z = L \)) to the bottom side (at \( z = 0 \)), while the absorbents including distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid enter the tube side of the PMC from the bottom side (at \( z = 0 \)) to the top side (at \( z = L \)) in a counter-current operational mode. Diffusion process of \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecules takes place due to the concentration gradient from the gas mixture in the shell side through the membrane wall pores and its simultaneous removal by absorbents in the tube side. The capability of \( \text{N}_2 \) as a carrier gas to dissolve in distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid is negligible compared to \( \text{CO}_2 \). Both surface plots illustrate that the \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecular concentration in the tube pathway of PMC is much lower than the membrane and shell side, which is attributed to the diffused \( \text{CO}_2 \) sequestration by the distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid as liquid absorbents.

Figure 5 illustrates the influence of the CNTs addition to distilled water on improving the molecular sequestration of \( \text{CO}_2 \) pollutant inside the PMC. As can be observed, addition of 0.1 wt% CNTs to water dramatically decreases the \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration at the outlet of shell pathway from 0.62 to 0.367, which implies a major enhancement in the molecular separation efficiency of \( \text{CO}_2 \) acidic gas from 38 to 63.3%. This increment can be well justified due to the existence of Brownian motion in the nanoparticles that eventsuates in increasing the \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecular diffusion and consequently its mass transfer inside the CNTs water-based nanofluid.
Influence of the CNTs concentration on the CO₂ separation efficiency. The operational influence of CNTs concentration on the molecular separation performance of CO₂ is rendered in Fig. 6. According to derived Eqs. (9) and (11), increment in the CNTs concentration directly influences both Brownian motion and Grazing effect. Additionally, particles concentration possesses significant effect on the particles size and nanofluids stability. Following the presented explanation, enhancement of the CNTs concentration improves the
Figure 5. The CO₂ dimensionless concentration applying distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid along the interface of shell and membrane. $C_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.5 \text{ mol m}^{-3}$, $Q_l = 7 \text{ L h}^{-1}$, $Q_G = 16 \text{ L h}^{-1}$.

Figure 6. Effect of CNTs concentration on the CO₂ separation inside the PMC.
agglomeration feasibility of particles. The CNTs agglomeration declines the micro-convection process in the proximity of particles, which eventuates in decreasing the effective surface area, declining mass transport rate and therefore, decreasing CO₂ molecular removal performance. Additionally, the demonstrated deterioration in the CO₂ removal efficiency is also associated with the decrement in the CO₂ concentration at the solid–liquid interface that is the consequence of more particles addition. According to the Grazing effect, by declining the value of CO₂ concentration, it’s adsorption rate on the particles decreases, which possesses negative influence on the separation percentage of CO₂ molecules. As may be observed, increase in the CNTs concentration from 0.08 to 0.2 wt% decreases the separation efficiency of CO₂ from 65.8 to 61.7%.

### Influence of the membrane’s design parameters on the CO₂ separation efficiency.

Number of porous hollow fibers and porosity are considered as the momentous membrane’s design parameters, which their impacts on the separation efficiency of CO₂ molecules are aimed to be investigated in this study. By increasing the number of porous hollow fibers in the contactor, the contact area of CO₂/N₂ gaseous mixture and liquid absorbing agents (distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid) increases, which provides more suitable opportunity for operational mass transfer process of CO₂ molecules inside the PMC from the shell side to the fiber pores, which improves the CO₂ molecular separation. Table 3 renders the percentage of CO₂ molecular separation in different number of porous hollow fibers using employed distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid absorbing agents.

| Number of porous hollow fiber | CO₂ molecular separation using distilled water (%) | CO₂ separation using CNTs water-based nanofluid (%) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 200                         | 12.6                                         | 21.5                                         |
| 300                         | 26.28                                        | 44.53                                        |
| 400                         | 39.54                                        | 64.67                                        |
| 500                         | 51.07                                        | 79.35                                        |
| 600                         | 60.29                                        | 89.13                                        |

Table 3. Percentage of CO₂ molecular separation in different number of porous hollow fibers.

| Membrane porosity | CO₂ molecular separation using distilled water (%) | CO₂ separation using CNTs water-based nanofluid (%) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 0.2               | 25.96                                         | 56.6                                         |
| 0.3               | 33.71                                         | 61.13                                        |
| 0.4               | 39.51                                         | 64.55                                        |
| 0.5               | 44.11                                         | 67.27                                        |
| 0.6               | 47.75                                         | 69.54                                        |
| 0.7               | 50.88                                         | 71.13                                        |
| 0.8               | 53.54                                         | 72.95                                        |

Table 4. Percentage of CO₂ molecular separation in different amount of membrane porosity.

| Module length (m) | CO₂ molecular separation using distilled water (%) | CO₂ separation using CNTs water-based nanofluid (%) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 0.1               | 14.96                                         | 25.77                                        |
| 0.2               | 24.53                                         | 42.39                                        |
| 0.3               | 32.54                                         | 54.79                                        |
| 0.4               | 39.11                                         | 64.38                                        |
| 0.5               | 44.67                                         | 71.76                                        |

Table 5. Percentage of CO₂ molecular separation in different amount of module length.

\(D_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}}\) as the effective diffusion coefficient of porous membrane possesses a direct relationship with the fiber porosity. Enhancement of the fiber porosity results in improving \(D_{\text{CO}_2,\text{mem}}\) parameter and consequently the mass transport in the membrane side of PMC, which is the main reason of increasing the CO₂ molecular separation percentage. Table 4 represents the percentage of CO₂ molecular separation in different values of porosity using employed distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid absorbing agents.

### Influence of the module’s design parameters on the CO₂ separation efficiency.

Module radius and module length are regarded as important module-related design parameters, which their impression on the molecular separation performance of CO₂ acidic is shown in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. As can be seen, enhancement of the module length significantly increases the duration of liquid–gas contact and also their resi-
The percentage of CO₂ molecular separation in different values of module length using distilled water and CNTs water-based nanofluid absorbing agents. Table 6 indicates the impact of module radius on the efficiency of CO₂ separation. According to Eq. 4, increase in the module radius (R) declines the amount of packing density (1 − ϕ) inside the PMC, which eventuates in decreasing the gas–liquid contact area and consequently the molecular mass transfer of CO₂ molecules and CO₂ molecular separation inside the PMC.

### Conclusions

In an endeavor to evaluate the influence of CNTs addition to distilled water on the removal performance of CO₂ molecules, a mechanistic modeling and a computational 2D simulation are developed. Solution of principle equations in tube, membrane and shell compartments of PMC related to mass and momentum transport process are conducted using CFD, which operates based on finite element technique (FET). The validity of developed modeling and simulation results are corroborated by comparing them with obtained experimental data and a reasonable agreement is found with an average deviation of nearly 6%. It is understood from the simulation results that the addition of 0.1 wt% CNTs to distilled water enhances the molecular separation of CO₂ acidic gas from 38 to 63.3%, which implies about 40% enhancement in the separation efficiency of CO₂. This increment may be justified due to the existence of Brownian motion in the nanoparticles that eventuates in increasing the CO₂ molecular diffusion and consequently its mass transfer inside the CNTs water-based nanofluid. It is identified from the results that the addition of CNTs is considered as a promising technology to improve the molecular separation efficiency of CO₂ molecules inside membrane contactors.
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|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
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