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Abstract Extractant for estimating plant available arsenic (As) in soil has not been universally established. Moreover, to assess and monitor the complex chemical behaviour of arsenic (As) in soil and subsequently its transfer in crops, a suitable extraction protocol considering the soil properties in relation to crop uptake is required. For this purpose, a pot experiment was conducted to evaluate the suitability of the extractants for determination of extractable As in soil and risk assessment by solubility-free ion activity model (FIAM) with rice (variety: Sushk Samrat) as the test crop. Soil in bulk was collected from six locations of Indo-Gangetic Plain of Bihar, India, varying in physicochemical properties to conduct the pot experiment using five doses of As (0, 10, 20, 40 and 80 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). Six extractants namely 0.2 (M) \(\text{NH}_4\text{-oxalate}\), 0.05 (N) \(\text{HCl} + 0.025 (\text{N}) \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\), 0.5 (M) \(\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4\), 0.5 (N) \(\text{NH}_4\text{F}\), 0.5 (M) \(\text{NaHCO}_3\) and 0.5 (M) EDTA were used. The results revealed that 0.5 (M) \(\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4\) gave the best correlation with the soil properties and crop uptake and can be considered a suitable extractant of As. Regardless of the As dose and the soil type used, in rice tissue, concentration of As followed the order root > straw > leaf and grain. As high as 94% variation in As content in rice grain could be explained, when 0.5 (M) \(\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4\) extractable As is being used as input for solubility-FIAM. Extractable As cannot be determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) coupled with vapour generation accessory (VGA) when 0.5 (M) EDTA was used as an extractant.
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1 Introduction

Arsenic (As) in groundwater is of great environmental apprehension and one of the greatest contamination problems in the world. It is a poisonous trace element affecting many countries of the world including the Indo-Gangetic plain of India (Sanyal et al., 2015). Drinking water is one of the most important pathways through which humans are exposed to As and continuous consumption of As contaminated drinking water results in arsenicosis. Consumption of foods grown on As contaminated soils can be another route of human exposure to As. Meharg (2004) reported accretion of As in rice grain which proved to be calamity for rice consuming areas of South East Asia. Total As content ranging from 10 to 20 mg kg⁻¹ in soil has found to be a guide of As hazard (Rahaman et al., 2013). Elevated levels of As has also been reported in soils from contaminated districts of Bhojpur, Bhagalpur, Begusarai, Patna and Katihar of Bihar (Singh, 2011; Singh & Ghosh, 2012; Singh et al., 2014).

Soil, acting as a sink for As, becomes a potent source for soil-crop transfer and thus increases the health hazard to the humans through consumption of harvested produce. Therefore, proper assessment and monitoring of bioavailable As are necessary which can be achieved by using different extractants. Different analytical methods are available which can extract As from the various pools of soil. Extractant like 1 (N) NH₄Cl, 0.5 (N) NH₄F, saturated NaCl and 0.5 (N) H₂SO₄ were used for determining As in neutral soil, and 0.5 (N) NH₄F was found to be the best extractant among all as reported by Johnston and Barnard (1979). However, when 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate was assessed with varying the shaking period for determining As in soil, 0.17 h of shaking was found to be the best one (Wenzel et al., 2001). Trang Hoang and Hahn (2015) studied the As fractionation in the agricultural soils of Vietnam using the extractants like 0.05 (M) (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.05 (M) (NH₄)HPO₄, 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate buffer, 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate buffer + 0.1 M ascorbic acid (pH = 3.25) and HNO₃/H₂O₂. Van Nguyen et al. (2017) studied the As speciation and extraction methodologies, where six different extractants were used. For non-specifically bound As, 0.05 (M) (NH₄)₂SO₄ was used, and for specifically bound As, 0.05 M (NH₄) H₂PO₄ was used. In case of amorphous iron, aluminium and manganese oxide bound As, 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate (pH = 3.25) performed better, and for crystalline iron bound As, it was 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate + 0.1 (M) ascorbic acid (pH = 3.25). The organic matter bound As was determined by H₂O₂ + HNO₃ (pH = 2) and secondary sulphide bound As by 3.2 (M) NH₄O Ac in 20% HNO₃ and lastly for total As, HNO₃ was used. For residual form, the mixture of all the extractant except those of total As was used. The suitability of an extractant does not depend only on its extracting ability. Hence, crop uptake studies should be integrated with studies that evaluate extractable As by different extractants to determine the suitable extractant, which is seldom reported.

The risk to human health from ingestion of As can be expressed in terms of hazard quotient (HQ) (Rahaman et al., 2013; Golui et al., 2017; Mandal et al., 2019a, b). Extraction of As using different extraction protocols will result in a disparity of extractable As in soil. Hence, a comparison between the extractants can be undertaken by using the semi empirical model like solubility-free ion activity model, which can also help in identification of the suitable extractant in terms of prediction coefficient. In the early 1980s, FIAM was conceptualized for prediction of metal transport from soil to plant following the principles of biotic ligand model and soil pH, organic carbon and extractable metal have been used as input for this model (Datta & Young, 2005). Later on, uses of solubility-FIAM to predict several metals and metalloids including As in plant have been reported successfully by several authors, based on the free ion activity of metal and metalloid in soil solution (Meena et al., 2016; Golui et al., 2017; Mandal et al., 2019a, b and Kumari et al., 2021). However, there is no information on the application of solubility-FIAM for predicting suitability of extractant in relation to plant uptake as well important soil chemical properties like pH and organic carbon. A suitable soil analysis for determining extractable As must take into account a number of soil chemical factors and at the same time be amenable to routine operation in laboratory. It should also be appreciated that since a single test cannot be recommended for all soils, selection of an extractant that may predict As availability in a particular group of soil is important. To delineate the suitable extractant, correlation with the soil properties and plant As content is also
required. Therefore, in this study, a modest initiative has been undertaken to assess the suitability of different extraction procedures for determining the plant available As in relation to soil properties and crop uptake.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Sampling of Soil and Analysis of Soil and Plant Samples

The soils used for the pot experiment were collected from 6 different locations: Bihar Agricultural University (BAU) Farm (S1), Mamalkha (S2), Ghogha (S3), Amarpur (S4), Tarapur (S5) and Ekchari (S6) of the Indo-Gangetic plain of Bihar, India. The soils belong to Inceptisols (S1, S2, S3, S4, S5) and Alfisols (S6) order. They were analysed for pH (Datta et al., 1997), texture (Bouyoucos, 1962) and organic carbon (C) (Walkley & Black, 1934). The available nutrients in soil like nitrogen (N) was measured by the method outlined by Subbiah & Asija (1956), phosphorus (P) by Olsen et al. (1954) and potassium (K) by Knudsen et al. (1982). Estimation of iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) oxides were done using acidic ammonium oxalate extraction method (McKeague & Day, 1966).

Six extraction methodologies with 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃, 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄, 0.5 (N) NH₄F, 0.5 (M) EDTA, 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate and 0.05 (N) HCl + 0.025 (N) H₂SO₄ were used to determine the plant available As as depicted in Supplementary Table S1. Tri-acid was used for total As analysis in plant samples as outlined by (Mandal et al., 2019a).

2.2 Pot Experiment

Rice crop (variety: Sushk Samrat) was grown in pots and spiked with 5 levels of As (0, 10, 20, 40 and 80 mg L⁻¹ labelled as As₀, As₁₀, As₂₀, As₄₀, As₈₀, respectively) in 2019. In each pot, 5–7 rice seeds were sowed, and after 10 days, only 2 (two) healthy seedlings were kept for conducting the experiment. The physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil have been depicted in Table 1. Each treatment was replicated thrice. The soils were treated with reagent grade urea, potassium dihydrogen phosphate and potassium chloride to provide N, P and K at the rate of 100, 60 and 40 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Irrigation was given, as and when required, using deionized water to maintain the optimum moisture condition, i.e., field capacity. The experiment was conducted as a two-way (soil type and arsenic dose) completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications using a total of 90 (6 X 5 X 3) pots. Plant samples were harvested at maturity, i.e., 120 days after sowing. After harvesting, processed plant samples were processed for analysis following Mandal et al. (2019a). Effect of As dose on yield of rice grain is presented as Supplementary Table S2. After harvest of crop, soil was taken out

| Soil properties | Site locations |
|-----------------|---------------|
|                 | S₁  | S₂  | S₃  | S₄  | S₅  | S₆  |
| pH              | -   | 7.76±0.06 | 7.51±0.03 | 7.43±0.05 | 6.21±0.02 | 6.17±0.07 | 6.40±0.03 |
| OC              | %   | 0.56±0.05 | 0.62±0.06 | 0.66±0.03 | 0.23±0.04 | 0.48±0.05 | 0.41±0.04 |
| Textural class  | -   | Sandy loam | Silty clay | Silty clay | Sandy loam | Sandy loam | Silty clay |
| Available N kg ha⁻¹ | 265.1±0.13 | 321.7±0.11 | 285.2±0.09 | 143.1±0.12 | 210.7±0.08 | 253.4±0.10 |
| Available P kg ha⁻¹ | 23.4±0.08 | 31.5±0.07 | 29.5±0.07 | 11.5±0.08 | 15.4±0.10 | 21.6±0.09 |
| Available K kg ha⁻¹ | 224.5±0.10 | 251.9±0.11 | 210.3±0.09 | 183.4±0.06 | 217.1±0.07 | 252.6±0.10 |
| Available As kg ha⁻¹ | ND  | 2.56±0.13 | 1.73±0.14 | ND   | ND   | 1.71±0.13 |
| Total As kg ha⁻¹ | 1.26±0.11 | 5.82±0.13 | 4.51±0.09 | 0.81±0.13 | 1.07±0.10 | 3.21±0.07 |
| Am-Fe oxides g kg⁻¹ | 2.43±0.06 | 2.71±0.07 | 3.67±0.04 | 4.16±0.09 | 3.88±0.11 | 4.03±0.13 |
| Am-Mn oxides g kg⁻¹ | 0.56±0.09 | 0.69±0.04 | 0.83±0.11 | 1.24±0.12 | 1.07±0.08 | 1.12±0.06 |

OC organic carbon, N nitrogen, P phosphorus, K potassium, As arsenic, Am-Fe amorphous iron, Am-Mn Amorphous manganese, ND not detected. S₁ to S₆ indicate the name of the farms as follows: S₁ BAU, S₂ Mamalkha, S₃ Ghogha, S₄ Amarpur, S₅ Tarapur, S₆ Ekchari
from each pot and mixed thoroughly and processed for further analysis in the laboratory. Post-harvest soil were analysed for pH, organic carbon and extractable As (six extractants).

2.3 Instrumentation and Analytical Conditions

Aliquot from different extraction procedures and digested plant samples were analysed for As in AAS (Agilent 240 FS with VGA 77) at a wavelength of 193.7 nm. Two reagents blank and one sample prepared from reference material of rice were used in every set of 30 samples. The reference material used was SRM1568a as prepared by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The certified value of the reference material is 290 ± 30 μg kg⁻¹. The reference material was analysed thrice, and the As recovery was observed to be 286 ± 18.4 μg kg⁻¹. Precipitation of EDTA was observed during sample preparation after addition of HCl, when 0.5 (M) EDTA was used as an extractant. For analysis of plant available As as extracted by 0.5 (M) EDTA, Agilent GTA 120 Graphite Furnace atomic absorption spectrophotometer was used.

2.4 Prediction of As Content in Rice Grain

For prediction of As content in rice grain, FIAM was used (Datta and Young, 2005) with the assumption that uptake of As is governed by the activity of As in soil solution. Free ion activity of As in soil solution was predicted by pH-dependent Freundlich equation as proposed by Jopony and Young (1994). This pH-dependent Freundlich equation predicts the free ion activity in soil solution from labile soil metalloid content and pH with the simplifying assumption that the whole amount of metalloid is adsorbed on humus. In the present study, extractable As (six extractants) were used as estimates of labile pool of metalloid. The details of the derivation of the model equation are available in Golui et al. (2014) and Mandal et al. (2019a). The final equation for the model is as follows:

\[ p[M_{\text{plant}}] = C + \beta_1 p[M_c] + \beta_2 pH \]  

where C is the coefficient for As and \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are the plant-specific constants.

2.5 Risk Assessment

The hazard quotient (HQ) was calculated following the protocol outlined by (Mandal et al., 2019a). For an adult, the HQ is calculated as

\[ HQ = \frac{M_{\text{plant}} \times W}{R_fD \times 68} \]  

where \( M_{\text{plant}} \) is the As content (mg kg⁻¹) in rice grain and W is the amount of daily intake of rice (200 g day⁻¹) for an adult having a body weight of 68 kg (Rahman et al., 2013). The \( R_fD \), reference dose is 2.1 μg As kg body weight⁻¹ day⁻¹ (WHO, 1996). The critical value of HQ was considered as 0.5.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

For statistical analysis, Microsoft Excel 2010 with “SOLVER” feature (Microsoft Corporation, USA) and SPSS window version 16.0 140 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) were used.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Characterization of the Soils Subjected to Pot Experiment

The physicochemical characteristics of the experimental soil have been depicted in Table 1. Soil pH ranged from 6.40 to 7.76 and OC from 0.23 to 0.66; textural class was silty clay and sandy loam. The available N ranged from 143.1 to 321.7 kg ha⁻¹, available P from 11.5 to 31.5 kg ha⁻¹ and available K from 183.4 to 252.6 kg ha⁻¹. Hence, the soils considered for experimentation were found to have wide variation in physicochemical properties. The soil acts as a sink for As, and different fractions of the soil act as the binding sites for As and herein lie the justification...
of using soils of diverse properties for experimentation as reported by Giri et al. (2012).

3.2 Recoveries by the Different Extractants

A comparative study of recoveries of plant available As of the treated pots by the different extractants revealed that 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate has the highest recovery of $2769.8 \pm 219.20 \text{ µg kg}^{-1}$. This extractant mainly targets the As that is co-precipitated and bound with amorphous Fe, Al and Mn oxides. Given that the soils under experimentation have amorphous Fe, Al and Mn oxides, the probability of co-precipitation of As with these fractions in the soil remained high, and hence higher recovery was observed by 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate. The possible mechanism of extraction may be complexation promoted by the ligand followed by dissolution of Fe, Al and Mn oxyhydroxide (Keon et al., 2001). Recovery efficiency was highest for 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate followed by 0.05 (N) HCl + 0.025 (N) H₂SO₄, $1927.0 \pm 195.27 \text{ µg kg}^{-1}$, which mainly extracts by acidic dissolution from the clay lattices. Similar trend of extraction was reported by Giri et al. (2012). The next recovery efficiency was for 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄, $976.5 \pm 87.03 \text{ µg kg}^{-1}$, which mainly targets the strongly adsorbed As by anion exchange of PO₄³⁻ for AsO₄³⁻ and AsO₃³⁻ (Keon et al., 2001). The mobilization of AsO₄³⁻ and AsO₃³⁻ may also be due to ligand exchange mechanism by PO₄³⁻ (Alam et al., 2018). The next efficient extractant was 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃, $772.7 \pm 81.63 \text{ µg kg}^{-1}$, followed by 0.5 (N) NH₄F, $539.8 \pm 65.66 \text{ µg kg}^{-1}$. Both these extractants recover As bound to the Ca, Fe and Al through their dissolution. Among all the extractants, 0.5 (M) EDTA, $253.1 \pm 28.84 \text{ µg kg}^{-1}$, has the least recovery efficiency, which possibly recovers As from the adsorbed phase by chelation. In summary, the order of recoveries by the extractants is as follows: 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate > 0.05 (N) HCl + 0.025 (N) H₂SO₄ > 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄ > 0.5 (N) NH₄F > 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃ > 0.5 (M) EDTA.

3.3 Precipitation of EDTA During Sample Preparation

Plant available As cannot be determined by AAS coupled with VGA when 0.5 (M) EDTA was used as an extractant. A precipitation of EDTA was observed during sample preparation after addition of HCl when 0.5 (M) EDTA was used as an extractant. The reason for precipitation of EDTA can be due to the addition of 10% HCl, which reduced the pH of the solution to 2.72 (Supplementary Table S3). Golui et al. (2018) also reported that critical concentration of HNO₃ in EDTA solution is 0.075%, beyond which precipitation occurred. Due to the covalent bonding of hydrogen in EDTA, the carboxyl groups remain undissociated having no charge which makes EDTA almost insoluble in water. With the rise of pH, the carboxyl (COO⁻) starts dissociating (pKa values are 2.0, 2.7, 6.2, and 10.3, respectively) releasing proton (H⁺) to the solution, making EDTA water soluble (Vogel & Svehla, 1996). With the addition of HCl, the pH is reduced, and hence the precipitation of EDTA takes place.

3.4 Relationship Between Plant Available As by Different Extractants and the Soil Properties

Correlations studies were undertaken between the amount of As (mg kg⁻¹) recovered by different extraction methodologies and the common physicochemical properties of the soils as depicted in Table 2. The soil organic carbon (OC), clay and cation exchange capacity (CEC) expressed a negative correlation with the extractable As by different extractants. Significant negative correlation with OC was observed with respect to 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃ ($r=-0.915^{**}$), 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄ ($r=-0.938^{**}$) and 0.5 (M) EDTA ($r=-0.902^{**}$); with clay, it was observed with respect to 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃ ($r=-0.826^{*}$), 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄ ($r=-0.881^{*}$) and 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate ($r=-0.801^{*}$) and with CEC, it was observed with respect to 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃ ($r=-0.904^{**}$), 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄ ($r=-0.935^{**}$) and 0.5 (M) EDTA ($r=-0.839^{**}$). Negative correlations with available K and P were recorded with plant available As extracted by different extraction methodologies; however, the correlation was significant with respect to available P except 0.5 (N) NH₄F. For available N, positive correlation was observed with 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃ and 0.5 (N) NH₄F, and negative correlations were observed with respect to all other extractants. However, the correlations were found to be non-significant. A positive significant correlation was observed between available and total As with all the extraction methodologies except 0.5 (N) NH₄F and 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate. Negative non-significant
correlation was observed between amorphous Fe and Mn oxides and available As with respect to all the extraction methodologies except 0.05 (N) HCl + 0.025 (N) H2SO4. The significant negative correlations of OC, clay and CEC with soil As extracted by different extractants indicate a decrease in extractable or bioavailable soil As. Organic matter can compete with As for sorption sites due to the presence of humic acid which binds As and dissolved organic matter can also reduce the sorption of As to soil particles through redox reaction and also forming soluble complexes with As (Kumar et al., 2021; Mahimairaja et al., 2005; Mandal et al., 2019a, b). The positive correlation of available As with pH is due to decrease in arsenate (As$^{5+}$) adsorption with increasing pH, particularly above pH 8.5, while the opposite occurs for arsenite (As$^{3+}$) (Giri et al., 2012). Again, under direct seeded rice, dominance of As$^{5+}$ was more as compared to that of As$^{3+}$. Due to the structural similarity of phosphate with As$^{5+}$, competition for sorption sites can be another key factor in the observed behaviour of As in the soils (Lambkin & Alloway, 2003). The addition of phosphate to the soils increases the mobility and bioavailability of As, which can be attributed to the observed significant negative correlation between extractable soil As and available soil P. The amorphous Fe and Mn oxides (Am-Fe and Mn) act as sorbent for both the arsenite and arsenate ions, which supports the observed negative correlations of Am-Fe and Mn oxides with the extractable As. The Am-Fe and Mn oxides involve As to form inner sphere surface complex with the displacement of OH$^{-}$ and H$_2$O as a ligand substitution transfer of electron from As, which results in the release of Fe and Mn within the soil solution. The As$^{5+}$ and As$^{3+}$ species of As also undergoes pH-induced adsorption and co-precipitation with Fe-oxyhydroxide, most notably ferrihydrite (β-FeOOH), lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH), goethite (α-FeOOH) and haematite (Fe$_2$O$_3$) (Aide et al., 2016).

The plant available As determined by different extractants and soil physicochemical properties were subjected to stepwise multiple regression to achieve the best model equation as has been depicted in Supplementary Table S4. The $R^2$ values revealed that 0.5 (M) KH$_2$PO$_4$ have the highest regression coefficient ($R^2 = 0.84$) with respect to the soil properties (CEC, clay content, available K, P, total As and Fe oxide) concerned followed by 0.5 (M) NaHCO$_3$ ($R^2 = 0.82$), 0.5 (N) NH$_4$F ($R^2 = 0.79$), 0.5 (M) EDTA ($R^2 = 0.78$), 0.2 (M) NH$_4$-oxalate ($R^2 = 0.77$) and 0.05 (N) HCl + 0.025 (N) H$_2$SO$_4$ ($R^2 = 0.74$). Stepwise regression essentially does multiple regressions a number of times, each time removing the weakest correlated variable. Across the extractant, soil properties like CEC, clay content, available P, K, total As and Fe and Mn oxides have been identified as determining factors governing the availability of extractable As in soil in either way. Since As and P are both placed in group Vb of the periodic table, the interaction of As and P in soil–plant system is an important issue in respect of As mobilization. The reduction in arsenate adsorption was due to the competitive effects of arsenate on phosphate adsorption by soil minerals, although a large variation in the degree of competition between these two oxyanions has been reported by Mukhopadhyay (2002). There have been both direct and indirect evidence to suggest that As is held in soils and sediments by oxides (e.g. Fe, Al, Mn)

| Parameters       | OC %  | pH   | CEC      | Clay | Avl K | Avl P | Avl N | Total As | Am-Fe | Am-Mn |
|------------------|-------|------|----------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
| 0.5 (M) NaHCO$_3$| -0.915*** | 0.875* | -0.904** | -0.826* | -0.070 | -0.914** | -0.391 | 0.911** | -0.543 | -0.232 |
| 0.5 (N) NH$_4$F   | -0.752 | 0.751* | -0.727  | -0.816 | -0.414 | -0.824 | 0.031 | 0.743    | -0.410 | -0.213 |
| 0.5 (M) KH$_2$PO$_4$| -0.938*** | 0.893* | -0.935* | -0.881* | -0.097 | -0.974* | -0.058 | 0.931*** | -0.556 | -0.278 |
| 0.05 (N) HCl+0.025 (N)H$_2$SO$_4$ | -0.711 | 0.683 | 0.822   | -0.711 | -0.279 | -0.899* | -0.042 | 0.834*   | -0.012 | 0.114  |
| 0.2 (M) NH$_4$-oxalate | -0.673 | 0.568 | -0.839* | -0.733 | -0.204 | -0.906* | -0.028 | 0.720    | -0.576 | -0.313 |
| 0.5 (M) EDTA      | -0.902** | 0.863* | -0.832 | -0.801* | -0.083 | -0.898* | -0.421 | 0.925**  | -0.432 | -0.329 |

$^*$Significant at $p < 0.01$

$^*$Significant at $p < 0.05$

OC organic carbon, CEC cation exchange capacity, N nitrogen, P phosphorus, K potassium, As arsenic, Am-Fe amorphous iron, Am-Mn amorphous manganese
through the formation of inner-sphere complexes via ligand exchange mechanism (Majumdar & Sanyal, 2003), and hence, the amorphous Fe and Mn oxides regulate the availability of As (Zheng et al., 2020). Mobility of As in the soil during the flooded period (rice cultivation) was controlled by the setting of oxic/anoxic interfaces at the surface of soil in contact with flooding water and in the rhizosphere of rice as reported by Roy et al. (2018, 2020). The relationship of plant available As with soil properties like CEC, P, clay content and total As has been previously reported by Giri et al. (2012).

3.5 Relationship Among Different Extractants and Crop Uptake

All the extractants were significantly correlated with each other (Table 3). Strong correlations were obtained between 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃ and 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄ (r = 0.937**), 0.05 (N) HCl + 0.025 (N) H₂SO₄ and 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃ (r = 0.932**) and also between 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄ and 0.05 (N) HCl + 0.025 (N) H₂SO₄ (r = 0.936**). This significant positive correlation may be ascribed to the fact that both the extractants were able to recover As more or less from the similar pools. The above findings are in accordance with the results observed by Giri et al. (2012). By taking a look at the correlation of the available As extracted by the different methodologies with the crop uptake, it was observed that 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄ has the highest correlation in comparison with the other extractants. The reason for 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄ having the best correlation with the different soil properties as well as crop uptake in the experimentation may be due to the fact that it is able to recover the exchangeable, as well as water soluble, and also the specifically sorbed As as compared to the other extractant used (Datta et al., 2018).

3.6 As Content in Rice Plant Parts

As content in root, straw, leaf and grain increased significantly with increase in As dose irrespective of the soil types as can be observed from Fig. 1. Regardless of the As dose, the tissue concentration in rice trailed the order of root > straw > leaf and grain. The root concentration ranged from 0 mg kg⁻¹ (uncontaminated soils) and 53.35 mg kg⁻¹ at As₀ to 216.73 mg kg⁻¹ at As₈₀. Lowest As concentration in straw was 0 mg kg⁻¹ (uncontaminated soils) and 7.42 mg kg⁻¹ at As₀ to 67.86 mg kg⁻¹ at As₈₀. In leaf, the minimum grain concentration was recorded as 0 mg kg⁻¹ (uncontaminated soils) and 1.35 mg kg⁻¹ at As₀ to 38.63 mg kg⁻¹ at As₈₀. The grain As concentration was observed to be 0 mg kg⁻¹ (uncontaminated soils) and 1.35 mg kg⁻¹ at As₀ to 0.65 mg kg⁻¹ at As₈₀. The surge in the As build-up in the plant parts is mainly attributed to the exposure of plant roots to the higher doses of As. The translocation and bioaccumulation factors have also played a key role which resulted in the above-mentioned trend. Abedin and Meharg (2002) reported a similar trend.

### Table 3 Pearson correlation between extractable arsenic and grain uptake

|                     | 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃ | 0.5 (N) NH₄F  | 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄ | 0.05 (N) HCl + 0.025 (N) H₂SO₄ | 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate | 0.5 (M) EDTA | Grain uptake |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| 0.5 (M) NaHCO₃      | 1             |               |               |                               |                   |              |             |
| 0.5 (N) NH₄F        | 0.692*        | 1             |               |                               |                   |              |             |
| 0.5 (M) KH₂PO₄      | 0.937**       | 0.580         | 1             |                               |                   |              |             |
| 0.05 (N) HCl + 0.025 (N) H₂SO₄ | 0.932** | 0.777**       | 0.936**       | 1                             |                   |              |             |
| 0.2 (M) NH₄-oxalate | 0.867**       | 0.921**       | 0.826**       | 0.940**                       | 1                 | 0.790*       | 1           |
| 0.5 (M) EDTA        | 0.875**       | 0.610         | 0.849**       | 0.842**                       | 0.731             | 1            |             |
| Grain uptake        | 0.872**       | 0.744*        | 0.897**       | 0.851**                       | 0.701*            | 0.721*       | 1           |

** Significant at p < 0.01
* Significant at p < 0.05
NaHCO₃ sodium bi-carbonate, NH₄F ammonium fluoride, HCl hydrochloric acid, H₂SO₄ sulphuric acid, NH₄-oxalate ammonium oxalate, EDTA ethylene di-amine tetra acetic acid
of root > straw > husk > grain as was also reported by Das et al. (2013). An increased bioaccumulation or build-up of As during plant growth stages and on the other hand a reduction in translocation within the plant system have led to the above trend of As accumulation (Huq et al., 2011).

3.7 Predictability of FIAM, Suitability of Extractant and Risk Assessment

The model parameters (C, $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$), which is crop specific along with prediction coefficient ($R^2$) can be visualized in Table 4. The pH and organic carbon (Supplementary Table S5) were used as input variable to the solubility-FIAM along with plant available As by different extractants and grain As concentration. The prediction coefficient of the model with respect to extractable As using different extractants followed the order of 0.5 (M) EDTA ($R^2=0.9036$) < 0.2 (M) NH$_4$-oxalate ($R^2=0.9108$) < 0.05 (N) HCl + 0.025 (N) H$_2$SO$_4$ ($R^2=0.9237$) < 0.5 (N) NH$_4$F ($R^2=0.9274$) < 0.5 (M) NaHCO$_3$ ($R^2=0.9287$) < 0.5 (M) KH$_2$PO$_4$ ($R^2=0.9356$). Given that 0.5 (M) KH$_2$PO$_4$ is having an edge over the other extractants, it can be used as a suitable extractant for determination of plant available As besides the traditional 0.5 (M) NaHCO$_3$.

The HQ values indicated that rice grain will prompt health threat to humans when HQ > 0.5 as can be observed in Fig. 2. When the levels of As dose were above As$_{20}$, the HQ was found to be greater than 0.5 in soil type S$_2$, and at As$_{40}$ it was observed in soil types S$_1$ and S$_3$. The HQ crossed the critical range of 0.5 in soils under acidic range (S$_4$, S$_5$, and S$_6$) at As$_{80}$. The reason for having a lower HQ between As$_{10}$ and As$_{40}$ may be attributed to the fact that those soils bearing a lower range of pH also have high amount of amorphous Fe and Mn oxides, which helped in the occlusion of As and hence reduced its bioavailability. As 0.5 (M) KH$_2$PO$_4$ proved to be the suitable extractant, we also compared observed and predicted HQ across the different soil types (Supplementary Fig. 1).

**Fig. 1** Effect of average (± SE) doses of arsenic on accumulation of arsenic in A root, B stem, C leaf and D grain. Notes: S1 to S5 indicate the name of the farms as follows: S1 BAU, S2 Mamalkha, S3 Ghogha, S4 Amarpur, S5 Tarapur, S6 Ekchari.
Fig. S1). The close agreement of the measured values with the model predicted values supports the idea that FIAM can be used successfully as a tool for predicting the risk.

4 Conclusions

We observed that all measured soil physicochemical properties except available N, K and amorphous Fe and Mn oxides have a significant correlation with the As extracted using under different methods of extraction. Among the six extractants used, 0.5 (M) KH$_2$PO$_4$ and 0.5 (M) NaHCO$_3$ gave the best correlation with the soil properties and crop uptake. The predictability of solubility FIAM in terms of As content in rice grain also supported the fact that 94% variation of As content in rice grain can be explained when 0.5 (M) KH$_2$PO$_4$ is used as an extractant for determination of plant available As. Although 0.5 (M) NaHCO$_3$ at pH 8.5 is widely used as an extractant of extractable As, our findings indicated that 0.5 (M) KH$_2$PO$_4$ can also be used as an extractant as it has an edge over other extractants in terms of significant correlation with the soil parameters for the As contaminated areas of the Indo-Gangetic Plain. Lastly, our findings also suggest that 0.5 (M) EDTA cannot be used as an extractant for determination of available As using AAS coupled with VGA.

| Extractant                  | Model parameters | C    | $\beta_1$ | $\beta_2$ | R$^2$ |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| 0.5 (M)NaHCO$_3$            |                  | -1.0648 | -0.0826 | 0.786996 | 0.9287 |
| 0.5 (N) NH$_4$F             |                  | -1.8273 | -0.0628 | 0.581538 | 0.9274 |
| 0.5 (M) KH$_2$PO$_4$        |                  | -0.8378 | -0.1242 | 0.745952 | 0.9356 |
| 0.05 (N)HCl+0.025 (N)H$_2$SO$_4$ |          | -1.0861 | -0.1562 | 0.575817 | 0.9237 |
| 0.2 (M) NH$_4$-oxalate      |                  | -1.3963 | -0.0877 | 0.770103 | 0.9108 |
| 0.5 (M) EDTA                |                  | -0.5441 | -0.1642 | 0.885707 | 0.9036 |

NaHCO$_3$ sodium bi-carbonate, NH$_4$F ammonium fluoride, HCl hydrochloric acid, H$_2$SO$_4$ sulphuric acid, NH$_4$-oxalate ammonium oxalate, EDTA ethylene di-amine tetra acetic acid

Fig. 2  Hazard quotient for average (± SE) intake of arsenic by human through consumption. Notes: S1 to S5 indicate the name of the farms as follows: S1 BAU, S2 Mamalkha, S3 Ghogha, S4 Amarpur, S5 Tarapur, S6 Ekchari
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