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**Abstract:** Novel N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline imidazolium salt derivatives proved to have potent antitumor activity in past research. The Topomer CoMFA and CoMSIA function in Sybyl-X 2.0 software was applied for the identification of important features of N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline-imidazolium salt derivative moieties. In the case of Topomer CoMFA, all the compounds were split into two fragments which were used to generate a 3D invariant representation, the statistical results of the Topomer CoMFA model: \( q^2 \) value of 0.700; \( r^2 \) value of 0.954; with 5 optimum components. The database alignment was utilized for building the CoMSIA model, and the CoMSIA model had \( q^2 \) and \( r^2 \) values of 0.615 and 0.897, with 4 optimum components. Target fishing of the PharmMapper platform was utilised for finding potential targets, the human mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 (MEK-1) was found to be the primary potential target for the three compounds with the fit scores of 6.288, 5.741, and 6.721. The molecular docking technique of MOE 2015 was carried out to identify the interactions of amino acids surrounding the ligand, and correlating QASR contour maps were used to identify structural requirements of N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline imidazolium salt moieties. Molecular dynamics and simulation studies proved that the target protein was stable for 0.8–5 ns. The pivotal moieties of N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline imidazolium salt derivatives and its potential targets were verified by the QASR study, PharmMapper, and the molecular docking study which would be helpful to design novel MEK-1 inhibitors for anticancer drugs.
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1. Introduction

Cancer is the second leading cause of death globally, and was responsible for 8.8 million deaths in 2015. Globally, nearly 1 in 6 deaths is due to cancer [1]. The major goal of oncology scientists is to design an effective anticancer agent which is only sensitive in normal cancer cells, the ability to predict and alter, or block the hallmark of cancer cells is likely to improve the therapeutic index [2]. Therefore, the search for a targeted, effective drug with minimum toxicity is an urgent need [2,3].

A series of novel N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline-imidazolium salt derivatives were designed and synthesized by using a molecular hybridization tool in past research [4], N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline-imidazolium salt derivatives were composed of N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline and imidazole moieties. Tetrahydro-β-carbines consists of a large group of natural and synthetic alkaloids with the 9H-pyrido[3,4-b] indole being the common moiety, shown in...
Figure 1a–c. The tetrahydro-β-carbolines alkaloids have recently attracted attention due to its anti-HIV, anti-inflammatory, anti-leishmanial, anti-trypanosomal, and antitumor bioactivity [5–8]. Past research showed that these bioactivities are related to inhibition for some enzymes, such as kinesin spindle protein (KSP), monoamine oxidase (MAO), and mitogen activated protein kinase-activated protein kinase 2 (MAPKAPK2) [9–11]. Lepidiline A and B, NMIB, three novel imidazolium salts, shown in Figure 1d–f, have demonstrated the potent antitumor effects against human cancer cell lines [12,13]. In past research, the N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline-imidazolium salt derivatives with certain structures revealed potent cytotoxicity against HL-60, A-549, and MCF-7 cell lines [4].

Drug target identification is the important step in the drug discovery pipeline, PharmMapper is a freely accessed web-based tool, which is utilized for predicting the potential drug targets via a ‘reverse pharmacophore’ (also known as ‘target fishing’) mapping method [14]. Benefiting from the highly efficient and robust mapping method, PharmMapper with high-through-put ability can identify the potential target candidates from the database with a runtime of a few hours [14].

The RAS/RAF/MEK1/2/ERK1/2 signaling mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade is an important signaling pathway in cancer involved in various cellular responses, including adaptation and survival [15,16]. MEK1 is the pivotal node in RAS/RAF/MEK1/2/ERK1/2 signaling cascades, which are responsible for the coordination and regulation of cancer cells growth and differentiation in response to extracellular stimulation [17]. Recent clinical data with MEK1/2 inhibitors have demonstrated the remarkable potential of targeting the signaling cascade for the treatment of certain cancers [18].

However, some MEK1 inhibitors are multikinase inhibitors that also inhibit the others kinase, so there is a lot of interest in finding more selective MEK1 inhibitors for specific targeted therapies [19]. Nevertheless, there has been no research of the detailed SAR and target in N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline imidazolium salt derivatives, although, ultimately tests in animals and humans are required, and there is a need to develop methods using in silico tests in order to decrease the time and cost of drug development [19]. So the ligand based QSAR techniques in Sybyl-X2.0 and the structure based docking study in MOE 2015 were applied to further research the relationship between the structural requirements and potential target. In this integrated study, the QSAR model and docking study in N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline-imidazolium salt derivates provided structural guidelines for designing selective MEK-1 inhibitors.
2. Results and Discussion

Under the fragment, statistical results of the Topomer CoMFA model: $q^2$ value of 0.700; $r^2$ value of 0.954; with 5 optimum components. The alignment results for the CoMSIA model is shown in Figure 2, the result of the database alignment was aligned over, and it also gave the best CoMSIA statistical parameters such as $q^2$, $r^2$, SEE, and F values as shown in Table 1. With the optimal number of components 4 in CoMSIA model, $q^2$, $r^2$, and SEE was found to be 0.615, 0.897, and 0.124, the statistical results proved that the QSAR model of Topomer CoMFA and CoMSIA have precise predictive predictability.

![Figure 2. Superimposition of data set compounds for CoMSIA molecular field generation.](image)

Table 1. The PLS statistical parameters for the Topomer CoMFA and CoMSIA.

| PLS Statistical Parameters | Topomer | CoMSIA |
|---------------------------|---------|--------|
| $q^2$ $^a$                | 0.700   | 0.615  |
| $r^2$ $^b$                | 0.954   | 0.897  |
| ONC $^c$                  | 5       | 4      |
| SEE $^d$                  | 0.058   | 0.124  |
| $F$ $^e$                  | 196.238 | -      |
| $r_{pred}^2$ $^f$         | 0.914   | 0.852  |

| Fraction of Field contributions $^g$ |
|--------------------------------------|
| Steric                               | -       | 0.245  |
| Electrostatic                        | -       | 0.317  |
| Hydrophobic                          | -       | 0.078  |
| H-bond acceptor                      | -       | 0.124  |
| H-bond donor                         | -       | -      |

$^a$ Cross-validated correlation coefficient; $^b$ Non-cross-validated correlation coefficient; $^c$ Optimum number of components; $^d$ Standard error of estimate; $^e$ F-test value; $^f$ The predictive $r^2$ value; $^g$ Field: steric, electrostatic, hydrophobic, hydrogen-bond acceptor and hydrogen-bond donor.

Experimental and predicted activities of both the training set and test set were shown in Figure 3, the Topomer CoMFA and CoMSIA model gave the correlation coefficient ($r^2$) value of 0.9271 and 0.909, respectively, which demonstrated that the internal robustness and external high prediction of the QSAR models.
Transformation of the chemical group structure may increase or decrease the potency of the molecules.

A 3D-QSAR contour map was utilized to exhibit the Topomer CoMFA and CoMSIA model properties lively in 3D-space, and to obtain the information in ligand-receptor conformation. The visualization of favorable and unfavorable regions of fields, namely, steric fields, electrostatic fields, hydrophobic fields, hydrogen bond donor atom fields, and hydrogen bond acceptor atom fields, contribute to realize the relationship between the compounds biological activity and structure. Transformation of the chemical group structure may increase or decrease the potency of the molecules. Steric and electrostatic contour maps of Topomer CoMFA QSAR model were shown in Figure 4b–e respectively. HBA and hydrophobic contour maps of CoMSIA QSAR model were shown in Figure 5a,b respectively. Compound 35 has the most complex chemical structure for the visual clarity of analyzing the QSAR, hence it was chosen as the reference structure for the generation of the Topomer CoMFA and CoMSIA contour map.

Figure 3. Experimental versus predicted activity of the training and test set based on the Topomer CoMFA model and CoMSIA model.

Figure 4. (a) Fragment 1 is blue, fragment 2 is red. Steric contour maps of Topomer CoMFA around R1 (b) and R2 (e), and electrostatic contour maps of Topomer CoMFA around R1 (d) and R2 (e) group. Green contours refer to sterically favourable regions and yellow contours refer to sterically unfavorable regions. Red contours refer to regions where electropositive groups are favorable and blue contours refer to regions where electropositive groups are unfavorable.
In the case of Topomer CoMFA analysis, the contour maps around fragment 1 and fragment 2 (Figure 4b–e) were generated from the Topomer CoMFA model. The steric contour map of fragment 1 was shown in Figure 4b, a green region near the N-substituents of the indole moiety, which proved that this R1 is sympathetic for sterically favorable functional groups, no yellow region was found in fragment 1. The electrostatic contour map of fragment 1 was shown in Figure 4c, combination of red region and blue region over the N-substituents of the indole moiety revealed that R1 is both sympathetic for electronegative and electropositive groups, and the R1 that was good for the anticancer activity can be regarded as a special part which N atoms-electronegative groups and electropositive groups connected together. The result can explain the fact that almost all of the compounds with the N-benzenesulfonylated substitution exhibited more potent inhibitory bioactivities than N-H imidazolium salts.

The steric contour map around fragment 2 was shown in Figure 4d, the green contour map neared the R2 and R3 of the imidazole ring suggested that the substitution of bulky groups will increase the antitumor activity, therefore the molecules have the scaffolds with 2-ethyl-imidazole (compounds 19–21), and 5,6-dimethyl-benzimidazole (compounds 30, 32, and 35) bulky groups showed significant activities. The green contour map also surrounded in the R4 of the imidazole ring suggested that the substitution of bulky groups such as naphthyl and substituted phenyl will increase the antitumor activity. This result can explain the fact that the compounds 24, 25, 26, 30, and 31 exhibited higher antitumor activity than the compound with small substituents or no substituents (compounds 20–22, 24, and 29).

![Figure 5. Hydrophobic (a) and H-bond acceptor (b) field contour maps for CoMSIA. Yellow contours represent regions where hydrophobic substituents are favorable, white contours represent regions where hydrophilic substituents are unfavorable, cyan contours indicate regions where hydrogen bond acceptor substituents increase activity, and purple contours indicate the unfavorable regions for hydrogen bond acceptor groups.](image_url)
The sterically unfavorable yellow region was found over the substituents of R4 of imidazole ring, the carbonyl in the 3-position side chain of the imidazole ring turned the substituents direction, which is adverse to the activity, hence the compounds with higher activity (compounds 20, 21, 26, and 35) have no carbonyl in the 3-position of the imidazole ring.

As Figure 4e showed the electrostatic contour map around fragment 2, the blue region over the R2, R3, and R4 of the imidazole ring revealed that the placement of electropositive groups is in favor of anticancer activity, this can be proven by the fact that the compounds with the 2-ethyl-imidazole, 5,6-dimethyl-benzimidazole and naphthyl moieties, demonstrated higher bioactivity. The red region surrounding molecular scaffolds was not distinct, hence nitrobenzyl and bromophenacyl substituents in R4 didn’t demonstrate neither negative nor weak inhibitory activities.

The CoMSIA contour hydrophobic map was shown in Figure 5a, the yellow contour map in R1 of the indole moiety indicated the region where addition of the hydrophobic groups would increase the inhibitory bioactivity while white contour map in position-3 of the imidazole ring proved that the imidazolium salt is more potent than compounds with imidazole ring (compounds 2–5), other yellow regions observed around R2, R3, and R4, these contours revealed that compounds with the combination of ethyl or 3,5-dimethyl-phenyl in benzimidazole ring and hydrophobic substitutes in R4 of imidazole ring exhibited activities better than compounds with no substitutes in these position. Compounds with such groups for example, compounds 21, 31, and 32, with the substitutes in R2 or R3 of the imidazole have more potent activity than compounds 16, 24, and 25, which didn’t have any substitutes.

The contour map of the CoMSIA hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) is shown in Figure 5b, the purple region in the R4 of the imidazole ring represented the HBA atom substitution is adverse to the activity, while the cyan region in the R1 of the indole moiety indicated that the addition of the HBD atom is conducive to the activity. The CoMSIA HBA contour map can be validated by the fact that compounds with a carbonyl side chain exhibited higher bioactivity while sulfonyl substituents exhibited bioactivity well.

In order to explore the mechanism of antitumor activity of N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline-imidazolium salt derivatives, we used the PharmMapper platform to predict their potential targets (in Figure 6). 1s9j was the common target, so MEK-1 (1s9j) was found to be the most potential protein target for compounds 19, 20, and 35 with the fit scores of 6.288, 5.791, and 6.721 in the PharmMapper platform. The past research showed that in the presence of inhibitors the MEK1 enzyme adopts the inactive conformation of helix C and the activation loop [20]. As the docking result of refametinib shown in Figure 7a, the noncompetitive MEK 1/2 inhibitor refametinib [21,22] binds in a pocket separate from, but adjacent to the Mg-ATP molecule site. ATP formed a solvent contact with Met143, Asn78, Lys97, and Lys192 instead of a normal binding mode with tyrosine and threonine residues when the ternary complex Mg-ATP-inhibitor exists in the binding site of the MEK-1 pocket. Therefore, the highly conserved glutamate residue Glu114 was unable to form a critical ion pair with the conserved catalytic Lys97 hence break through the rotation of helix C and the activation loop, and eventually occludes phosphorylation of ERK [20].

In the Figure 7, the structure of refametinib and compounds 19, 20, and 35 serve several similar significant interactions with amino acid residues around the binding pocket, refametinib formed many Van Der Waals interactions within the noncompetitive inhibitor pocket through amino acid residues such as Leu115, Asp208, Gly210, Phe209, Ser212, Ile141, Asp208, Lys97, and Asp190. We can assume that the binding mode of the refametinib and three compounds cause similar conformational changes in a noncompetitive inhibitor pocket near the helix C and activation loop by surrounding amino acids (Figure 8a).

The ligand-receptor interaction showed that the benzenesulfonylated substitution in compounds 19, 20, and 35 form an aromatic interaction with Lys192 (Figure 6b–d), in addition, the benzimidazole ring in compound 35 formed an aromatic interaction with Met219, naphthyl in the R4 substitution also showed aromatic interaction with Asp208 or Phe209. The docking result conforms to the Topomer
CoMFA and CoMSIA contour maps, the Figures 4b and 5a predicted that the bulky functional group will demonstrate the fine antitumor activity, the R1 substitution with benzenesulfonyl was well accommodated to the binding pocket. The basic amino acids Lys97 and Lys192 formed a hydrogen bond interaction to sulfanyl in Figure 5b, this result corresponded to the CoMSIA HBA contour map, where R1 was found to be favorable for the HBA group.

The contour map of the CoMSIA hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) is shown in Figure 5b, the purple region in the R4 of the imidazole ring represented the HBA atom substitution is adverse to the activity, while the cyan region in the R1 of the indole moiety indicated that the addition of the HBD atom is conducive to the activity. The CoMSIA HBA contour map can be validated by the fact that compounds with a carbonyl side chain exhibit higher bioactivity while sulfonyl substituents exhibited bioactivity well.

In order to explore the mechanism of antitumor activity of N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline-imidazolium salt derivatives, we used the PharmMapper platform to predict their potential targets (in Figure 6). 1s9j was the common target, so MEK-1 (1s9j) was found to be the most potential protein target for compounds 19, 20, and 35 with the fit scores of 6.288, 5.791, and 6.721 in the PharmMapper platform. The past research showed that in the presence of inhibitors the MEK1 enzyme adopts the inactive conformation of helix C and the activation loop [20]. As the docking result of refametinib shown in Figure 7a, the noncompetitive MEK 1/2 inhibitor refametinib [21,22] binds in a pocket separate from, but adjacent to the Mg-ATP molecule site. ATP formed a solvent contact with Met143, Asn78, Lys97, and Lys192 instead of a normal binding mode with tyrosine and threonine residues when the ternary complex Mg-ATP-inhibitor exists in the binding site of the MEK-1 pocket. Therefore, the highly conserved glutamate residue Glu114 was unable to form a critical ion pair with the conserved catalytic Lys97 hence break through the rotation of helix C and the activation loop, and eventually occludes phosphorylation of ERK [20].

Negatively charged acidic amino acids Asp208 and Phe209 has an aromatic interaction with naphthyl in three compounds, which conform to the result of the Topomer CoMFA electrostatic contour map as shown in Figure 4e, where R4 substituents were found to be favorable for electropositive groups, moreover the docking study of three compounds showed that the bulky group, naphthyl, is suitable to the bottom of the binding pocket (compound 35 was selected as the representative in Figure 8b), it conforms to the Topomer CoMFA steric contour map. The dock study of three compounds demonstrated that R4 substitution was surrounded by greasy amino acids such as lle141, Phe209, Val211, Val127, and Leu118 and it was mainly equal to the CoMSIA hydrophobic contour map. The hydrophobic group naphthyl or the substituted naphthyl in the R4 substitution have the possibility to increase the affinity to the surrounding amino acids. Thus, we can assess that the phenyl in R1 substitution and was not favorable for hydrophobic groups as phenyl was surrounded by polar amino acids, the hydrophilic substitution in phenyl may increase the affinity to the surrounding amino acids in pocket. The dock study showed that the benzimidazole ring formed hydrophobic interactions with greasy amino acids Leu215, lle99, and Met219, which correspond to the Topomer CoMFA steric contour map where R2 and R3 of the benzimidazole ring were favorable to the steric groups such as methyl.

![Figure 6. The result of the pharmMapper, 1s9j was the common target.](image-url)
Figure 7. Molecular docking interactions of refametinib (a) and compounds 19 (b); 20 (c); and 35 (d).
demonstrated that R4 substitution was surrounded by greasy amino acids such as Ile141, Phe209, Val211, Val127, and Leu118 and it was mainly equal to the CoMSIA hydrophobic contour map. The dock study showed that the benzimidazole ring formed hydrophobic interactions with greasy amino acids Leu215, Ile99, and Met219, which correspond to the Topomer substitution and was not favorable for hydrophobic groups as phenyl was surrounded by polar residues such as Leu115, Asp208, Gly210, Phe209, Ser212, Ile141, Asp208, Lys97, and Asp190. We can many Van Der Waals interactions within the noncompetitive inhibitor pocket through amino acid changes in a noncompetitive inhibitor pocket near the helix C and activation loop by surrounding groups such as methyl.

In compound 20, and 35 serve several similar group will demonstrate the fine antitumor activity, the R1 substitution with benzenesulfonyl was showed aromatic interaction with Asp208 or Phe209. The docking result conforms to the Topomer CoMFA electrostatic contour map, where R1 was found to be favorable for the HBA group.

In the Figure 7, the structure of refametinib and compounds 19, 20, and 35 serve as the representative in Figure 8b), it conforms to the Topomer CoMFA steric contour map. The dock study of three compounds showed that the bulky group, naphthyl, is suitable to accommodate to the binding pocket. The basic amino acids Lys97 and Lys192 formed a hydrogen bond interaction to sulfonyl in Figure 5b, this result corresponded to the CoMSIA HBA contour map, where R4 substituents were found to be favorable for electropositive groups, moreover the docking study of three compounds showed that the bulky group, naphthyl, is suitable to accommodate to the binding pocket. The basic amino acids Lys97 and Lys192 formed a hydrogen bond interaction to sulfonyl (in Figure 10).

The root mean square deviations (RMSD) of the protein backbone with simulation time for the docked protein from the initial structure is shown in Figure 9, RMSD reached a value of 1.521 Å from 0.431 Å during 0–0.8 ns, and then retained between 1.502–2.195 Å throughout the simulation, and up to 5 ns for the docked protein. The averaged RMSD of the docked protein was found to be 1.522 Å, the MD/MS simulation indicated the docked protein stayed stability from 0.8 ns to 5 ns.

**Figure 8.** (a) Two views of the MEK1 protein kinase structure with the compound 36; (b) 3D Views of the ternary complex of mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 (MEK-1) bound to compound 36 and MgATP in a noncompetitive pocket.

**Figure 9.** Root mean square deviation (RMSD) confirmations of protein-ligand complexes between 1 and 5 ns.
Finally, we combined the ligand based 3D-QSAR analysis with the structure based molecular docking study, to identify the necessary moiety of the N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline imidazolium salt derivatives (in Figure 10).
3. Materials and Methods

These 35 synthetic molecules were N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline imidazolium salt derivatives in this research, β-carboline imidazolium salt derivatives with the actual activity. Table 2. Structure of N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline imidazolium salt derivatives with the actual activity.

| Compound | R1            | R2 | R3          | R4          | IC50 (μM) |
|----------|---------------|----|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| 1        | H             | H  | Phenyl      | -           | >40       |
| 2        | Benzenesulfonyl | H  | -           | -           | >40       |
| 3 *      | Benzenesulfonyl | Ethyl | -       | -           | 17.81     |
| 4 *      | Benzenesulfonyl | H  | Phenyl      | -           | 36.37     |
| 5        | Benzenesulfonyl | H  | 3,3-dimethyl-phenyl | -       | >40       |
| 6        | H             | H  | -           | benzoyl     | >40       |
| 7 *      | H             | H  | -           | 2-naphthoyl | >40       |
| 8        | H             | H  | Phenyl      | benzoyl     | >40       |
| 9 *      | H             | H  | Phenyl      | 4-methoxy benzoyl | >40 |
| 10       | H             | H  | Phenyl      | 4-bromo benzoyl | 21.81    |
| 11       | H             | H  | Phenyl      | 2-naphthoyl | 11.09     |
| 12 *     | H             | H  | Phenyl      | 4-bromo benzoyl | 10.68    |
| 13       | H             | H  | Phenyl      | 4-nitro benzoyl | >40 |
| 14       | H             | H  | Phenyl      | Naphthyl-2-methyl | 3.54 |
| 15       | Benzenesulfonyl | H  | -           | benzoyl     | >40       |
| 16       | Benzenesulfonyl | Ethyl | -        | 2-naphthoyl | 3.32      |
| 17       | Benzenesulfonyl | Ethyl | -       | benzoyl     | >40       |
| 18       | Benzenesulfonyl | Ethyl | -       | 4-bromo benzoyl | 11.87    |
| 19       | Benzenesulfonyl | Ethyl | -       | 2-naphthoyl | 2.47      |
| 20       | Benzenesulfonyl | Ethyl | -       | 4-benzyl methyl | 2.56     |
| 21 *     | Benzenesulfonyl | Ethyl | -       | Naphthyl-2-methyl | 2.77 |
| 22       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | Phenyl     | benzoyl     | 14.39     |
| 23       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | Phenyl     | 4-bromo benzoyl | 10.61    |
| 24       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | Phenyl     | 4-methoxy benzoyl | 3.97 |
| 25       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | Phenyl     | 2-naphthoyl | 3.24      |
| 26 *     | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | Phenyl     | 4-benzyl methyl | 3.04 |
| 27       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | Phenyl     | 4-nitrobenzol | methyl | 13.58     |
| 28       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | Phenyl     | Naphthyl-2-methyl | 4.34 |
| 29       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | Phenyl     | benzoyl     | 10.18     |
| 30       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | 3,3-dimethyl-phenyl | 4-bromo benzoyl | 3.75 |
| 31       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | 3,3-dimethyl-phenyl | 4-methoxy benzoyl | 3.39 |
| 32       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | 3,3-dimethyl-phenyl | 2-naphthoyl | 3.08 |
| 33       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | 3,3-dimethyl-phenyl | 4-benzyl methyl | 4.30 |
| 34       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | 3,3-dimethyl-phenyl | 4-nitro-4-benzyl | 12.81 |
| 35       | Benzenesulfonyl | -   | 3,3-dimethyl-phenyl | Naphthyl-2-methyl | 2.61 |

* Test set compound.

In the CoMSIA analysis, the values of optimal number of components, SEE, q² worked out by LOO validation, turning on USE SAMPLES, and components set to 5. In the process of calculating r², USE SAMPLES was turned off and column filtering set to 2.0 kcal·mol⁻¹ to speed up the calculation without sacrificing information content [24,27], components set to 4, which was the optimal number of components calculated by performing SAMPLES run. SEE and r² were utilized to assess the non-cross validated models.

PharmMapper serves as a valuable tool for identifying potential targets for a novel synthetic compound, a newly isolated natural product, a compound with known biological activity, or an existing drug whose mechanism of action is unknown [28].

Of all the N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline imidazolium salt derivatives in this research, compound 35 had exhibited bioactivity well, and was used to the potential prediction. The Mol2 format of compound 35 was submitted to the Pharmmapper server, the parameters of Generate Conformers and Maximum Generated Conformations was set as ON and 300, respectively, other parameters used the default values.
The molecular docking study was carried out to find the binding mode and interactions between the amino acids in the protein’s targeted pocket and the selective ligands by the software MOE2015. The refametinib and potential anticancer agents were carried out for the docking study. The crystal structures of the potential target was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank for the two ligands. Based on the London dG score, refametinib and compounds 19, 20, and 35 were docked into the noncompetitive inhibitor pocket of the MEK-1 protein by taking score values as the scoring function [29,30].

Preliminary MD simulations for the modeled protein were performed using the program NAMD (NAnoscale Molecular Dynamics program, v 2.9), and all files were generated using visual molecular dynamics (VMD). NAMD is a freely available software designed for high-performance simulation of large biomolecular systems [31]. During the MD simulation, the minimization and equilibration of docked protein in a 15 Å³ size water box, Amber12 EHT force field file was applied for energy minimization and equilibration with Gasteiger-Huckel charges using Boltzmann initial velocity [32]. The hydrogen atom coordinates of the docked protein were generated using the VMD Tk-Console salvation command. The physiological temperature and pressure was maintained at 310 K and 101.325 kPa, respectively. The cutoff distance for computing nonbonded interactions was truncated at 9 Å and long range electrostatic interactions were calculated using the particle-mesh Ewald (PME) method with an Ewald tolerance of $10^{-9}$ [33]. The SHAKE algorithm applied to all bonds involving H-bonds [34]. Finally, we used 5 ns MD simulations, with a 2 fs timestep, performed to examine the root mean square deviations (RMSD) and hence investigated the stability of the protein-ligand complex.

4. Conclusions

MEK inhibitors bind to the inhibitory/allosteric segment adjacent to the ATP binding site, interfering with the enzyme kinase function in a highly specific and noncompetitive fashion. The results of the structure based docking study revealed that the non-competitive inhibitor refametinib and three compounds exhibit the similar binding mode which accommodate well in the non-competitive pocket. The 3D-QSAR study was utilized for the ligand based research, as it generates the reliable and predictive Topomer CoMFA and CoMSIA model, in Topomer CoMFA, the compound was split into two fragments, the value of $q^2$ and $r^2$ for the model is 0.700 and 0.954, the database alignment was found to be the best alignment method as it gave good statistical results with the $q^2$ value of 0.615 and $r^2$ value of 0.897. The 3D-QSAR study correlated with molecular docking study to reveal that R1 substitution is favorable for the functional groups which consisted of hydrogen bond acceptor atom, sterical groups, and hydrophilic terminal, R2 and R4 substitution is favorable for functional groups, which consisted of hydrophobic groups, electropositive groups, and sterical groups, on the other hand, electropositive groups and sterical groups existed in the imidazole ring and benzimidazole ring will have appropriate orientation in the noncompetitive pocket, the HBA groups in the side chain of R3 is adverse to the activity. MD simulation was carried out to examine the stability of protein 1s9j, which revealed that the protein was forming a stable complex with ligands in 0.8–5 ns. Thus, the results of the ligand based research 3D-QSAR study, the structure based research molecular docking study, and the md simulation will be a guideline to design and synthesize the novel non-competitive MEK-1 inhibitors with the moiety of N-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline and imidazole.
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