Adult spinal motoneurons change their neurotransmitter phenotype to control locomotion
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A particularly essential determinant of a neuron’s functionality is its neurotransmitter phenotype. While the prevailing view is that neurotransmitter phenotypes are fixed and determined early during development, a growing body of evidence suggests that neurons retain the ability to switch between different neurotransmitters. However, such changes are considered unlikely in motoneurons due to their crucial functional role in animals’ behavior. Here we describe the expression and dynamics of glutamatergic neurotransmission in the adult zebrafish spinal motoneuron circuit assembly. We demonstrate that part of the fast motoneurons retain the ability to switch their neurotransmitter phenotype under physiological (exercise/training) and pathophysiological (spinal cord injury) conditions to corelease glutamate in the neuromuscular junctions to enhance animals’ motor output. Our findings suggest that motoneuron neurotransmitter switching is an important plasticity-bestowing mechanism in the reconfiguration of spinal circuits that control movements.

Neuronal communication involves the release and uptake of specific neurotransmitters (1, 2)—endogenous chemical messengers that participate in diverse biological processes by conveying intercellular signals across synapses. All spinal interneurons and motoneurons derive from fixed locations in the spinal cord and express stereotypic combinations of transcription factors during their early development (3–8) that determine their identity and assign them the expression of a specific transmitter phenotype. Therefore, the adoption of a neurotransmitter by a neuron defines its anatomical and functional identity.

Motoneurons, the output neurons of the nervous system, are responsible for the execution of all animals’ movements. Vertebrate motoneurons were traditionally thought to mediate their actions on both muscles and Renshaw cells exclusively through cholinergic transmission (9–11). Recent evidence indicates that they also release glutamate as a neurotransmitter during early development to mediate exclusively central functions (12–15). However, the ability of well-developed adult motoneurons to express and release glutamate still remains unclear.

A major unresolved issue in neuroscience is how neurons react to changes. The prevailing view is that neurotransmitter phenotypes are fixed and determined early during development. However, an emerging consensus from recent studies is that their phenotypes are plastic and mediated by the gain or loss of an additional neurotransmitter (16–18). This provides a putative homeostatic mechanism for harmonizing the activity of the well-orchestrated neuronal circuits (19). While it is not yet clear which neurons specifically undergo neurotransmitter changes, it has been assumed that the neurotransmitter phenotype of essential neurons for the circuits, such as the motoneurons, lacks such plasticity (19, 20).

Using a combination of anatomical, electrophysiological, pharmacological, and in vivo behavioral approaches in the adult zebrafish, we reveal a previously unsuspected dynamic coexpression of glutamate in adult spinal motoneuron circuit assembly. We demonstrate that physical activity and spinal cord injury (SCI) can respecify the neurotransmitter phenotype of the fast motoneurons. This dynamic coexpression and corelease of glutamate in the adult vertebrate neuromuscular junctions (NMJs) aims to regulate and fine-tune motor behaviors in vertebrates.

Results

Axial Motoneurons Express Glutamate. We sought to determine whether adult zebrafish spinal motoneurons are also glutamatergic by combining retrograde labeling of motoneurons with glutamate immunostaining (Fig. 1A4). We observed that 21.41 ± 1.091% ($n = 12$ zebrafish) of the labeled motoneurons were expressing glutamate (Fig. 1B) distributed in an area similar to that of the nonglutamate-expressing motoneurons (Fig. 1C). To determine the presence of these dual phenotype neurons in different motoneuron pools, we exploited the accessible neuromuscular configuration of adult zebrafish (21) by performing focal injections of a dextran retrograde tracer into slow, intermediate, and fast muscle fibers (21). We found that 42.67 ± 2.245% of slow ($n = 12$ zebrafish), 26.32 ± 1.911% of intermediate ($n = 13$ zebrafish), and 18.07 ± 1.036% of fast ($n = 16$ zebrafish) secondary motoneurons, but not the primary motoneurons ($n = 12$ zebrafish), were expressing glutamate (Fig. 1D and E). Moreover, analysis of the soma sizes and locations of the motoneurons indicated that glutamate was expressed by higher proportions of fast motoneurons with relatively small somas and more ventral positions (Fig. 1F). Together, these results confirmed that part of the spinal motoneurons in adult zebrafish exhibit a dual neurotransmitter phenotype.

Significance

An intriguing feature of the nervous system is its plasticity—the remarkable lifelong capacity to change and adapt in light of intrinsic and extrinsic stimuli. Among the many different adaptive mechanisms that occur within the nervous system, changes in neurotransmission form an important plasticity-bestowing mechanism in the reconfiguration of neuronal circuits. Here, we reveal that physical activity and spinal cord injury can switch the neurotransmitter phenotype of the fast axial motoneurons to coexpress glutamate. Furthermore, our study shows that the adult vertebrate spinal motoneurons corelease glutamate alongside ACh in neuromuscular junctions to regulate motor behaviors. Thus, our findings suggest that fast motoneuron glutamatergic respecification enables a motor function-enhancing mechanism in vertebrates.
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Dynamic Expression of the Motoneuron Glutamatergic Phenotype. Is motoneuron neurotransmission plastic? To evaluate the ability of motoneurons to change their neurotransmitter phenotype, we subjected a set of animals to forced swim training and another set to SCI (Materials and Methods and SI Appendix, Fig. S1) to induce adaptive responses in the spinal cord to physiological and pathophysiological stimuli, respectively. While neither treatment changed the number (Fig. 2B) or cholinergic phenotype (SI Appendix, Fig. S2) of axial motoneurons, both perturbations significantly increased the proportion of glutamate-labeled motoneurons, from 21.41 ± 1.091% (n = 12 zebrafish) to 39.54 ± 3.014% (n = 7 zebrafish) in trained animals and 33.21 ± 1.884% (n = 7 zebrafish) in animals subjected to spinal cord lesion (one-way ANOVA: F(2,23) = 26.55, P < 0.0001; Fig. 2A and C). More specifically, the proportion of glutamatergic cells in the slow and intermediate motoneuron pools was unchanged (Fig. 2D), but there were clear changes in the fast motoneuron pool [one-way ANOVA: F(4,33) = 17.65, P < 0.0001; Fig. 2E]: The percentage of glutamate-positive fast motoneurons (17 ± 0.952%, n = 12 zebrafish) increased significantly after training (38.29 ± 3.735%, n = 7 zebrafish) or spinal cord lesion (33.08 ± 1.918%, n = 9 zebrafish; Fig. 2E). Analysis of the glutamate-positive motoneurons’ soma sizes and positions revealed that the larger fast motoneurons [one-way ANOVA: F(2,174) = 4.738, P = 0.0099; Fig. 2E] located in the more dorsal part of the motor column [one-way ANOVA: F(2,117) = 4.917, P = 0.0089; Fig. 2E] switched to a glutamatergic phenotype. Finally, 4 wk of rest from training or recovery from SCI restored the percentage of glutamatergic neurons to that in control animals (Fig. 2F), suggesting that the fast motoneuron glutamatergic phenotype respecification is dynamic and reversible (Fig. 2F).

Although both of the preconditioning stimuli directly target the animal’s locomotion machinery, their observed effects do not exclude the possibility that dynamic expression of the glutamatergic phenotype in motoneurons may be triggered by other nonmotor-related stimuli that affect the spinal cord indirectly. To test this possibility, we also subjected adult zebrafish to a previously reported sterile inflammation treatment (22) involving i.p. injection with antigenic LPS particles that mimic a gram-negative bacterial infection or zymosan (which simulates a fungal infection) to induce an acute sterile inflammatory response (Materials and Methods and SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Following both perturbations, the proportion of glutamatergic motoneurons remained unchanged a week after the onset of inflammation (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), suggesting that the previously observed switch in motoneuron glutamatergic phenotype is directly associated with the animal’s motility.

Together our results demonstrate that there are three distinct pools of axial motoneurons: two “core pools” expressing either ACh alone or both ACh and glutamate and a primarily cholinergic “reserve pool” that may also become glutamatergic in a reversible manner (Fig. 2F). Motoneurons of the reserve pool are fast secondary motoneurons, which are responsible for generation of fast swimming and escape responses (21). Thus, our...
findings imply that switches in motoneurons’ neurotransmitter phenotypes in response to changes in environmental demands may participate in regulation of motor outputs.

Functional Significance of Motoneuron Glutamatergic Respecification.

To probe possible associations between fast motoneuron glutamatergic respecification and changes in swimming behavior, animals were subjected to an open field test (Fig. 3A). Gross behavioral analyses revealed pronounced changes in the locomotor speed of trained animals (Fig. 3B), which, unlike controls, performed spontaneous high-velocity swim bouts (unpaired t test: \( t = 4.361, \text{df} = 10, P = 0.0014; \) Fig. 3C). Moreover, critical speed tests (Materials and Methods) showed that trained animals could swim faster than controls (unpaired t test: \( t = 4.847, \text{df} = 17, P = 0.0002; \) Fig. 3D). This outcome was unexpected since adult zebrafish rely solely on activation of their slow and intermediate neuromuscular systems for swimming at below ~70% of their critical speed (\( U_{CRIT}; \) maximum speed of locomotion) (23). Indeed, exercise-induced contractile activity at below 70% of \( U_{CRIT} \) (as enforced in our training paradigm) resulted in selective adaptive responses (hypertrophy and hyperplasticity; Fig. 4) in slow and intermediate muscle fibers, and thus presumably in the slow and intermediate neurocircuitry, but not in the fast neurocircuitry that determines the maximum speed of locomotion. This suggests that muscle fiber remodeling was not responsible for the observed increases in trained animals’ swimming speeds, and that fast motoneuron glutamatergic respecification may shift the operational range of the locomotor system.

To test the possibility that fast motoneuron glutamatergic respecification was responsible for the animals’ ability to swim faster following our perturbations, we next investigated where the motoneurons released glutamate. Earlier studies have shown that glutamatergic responses of mammalian spinal motoneurons excite Renshaw cells and other motoneurons, but not muscles (15–15). However, in zebrafish, motoneuron (C) do not have profuse axonal collaterals capable of mediating central responses (21), no Renshaw cells have been detected in the spinal cord, and motoneuron–motoneuron communication relies on dendrodendritic electrical gap junctions (24). Therefore, we postulated that the motoneurons might release glutamate into the muscles together with ACh to enhance muscle contraction. To test this hypothesis, we first examined the presence of glutamate in the different muscle fibers (Fig. 5A). We found that glutamate immunolabeling intensities were highest, intermediate, and the lowest in the slow, intermediate, and fast muscle fibers, respectively [repeated measures (RM) one-way ANOVA: \( F_{12,166,079} = 39.07, P = 0.0006; \) Fig. 5B], similar to our previous observations of the proportions of glutamate-expressing motoneuron types (Fig. 1E). Consistent with motoneuron glutamatergic respecification pattern, we also observed that the intensity of glutamate expression was only significantly increased in the fast muscle fibers after training and SCI [one-way ANOVA (fast): \( F_{2,185} = 8.775, P = 0.0022; \) Fig. 5C]. We detected no significant number of fast muscle fibers under either applied perturbation (SI Appendix, Fig. S8), suggesting strong correspondence between increases in glutamate levels in fast muscles and fast motoneuron glutamatergic respecification.

To evaluate further whether axial motoneurons may account for the glutamate observed in the muscles, we examined the distribution of vesicular glutamate transporters (VGLU Ts), which are present in all neurons that release glutamate as a transmitter (25). We detected expression of VGLUT1, but not VGLUT2, in the area surrounding the muscle fibers (Fig. 5D). We also observed close apposition of VGLUT1 in the NMJs (Fig. 5D) and numerous colocalizations and close proximities with VACH T (a specific transporter of cholinergic neurons) (26), indicating that the adult motoneurons host cellular machinery that enables them to release glutamate along with ACh.

Next, we tested the functional effects of glutamate on adult NMJs, by acquiring endplate current (EPC) recordings from isolated adult muscles (Fig. 5E). Application of the glutamate receptor agonist NMDA increased the EPC frequency in muscle fibers [one-way ANOVA (slow): \( F_{1,218} = 11, P = 0.0008; \) one-way ANOVA (fast): \( F_{2,211} = 10.82, P = 0.0006; \) Fig. 5E]. This increase was prevented by the selective NMDA receptor antagonist AP-5 (50 \( \mu \)M; Wilcoxon test: \( P = 0.3125; n = 5 \) zebrafish; SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). In addition, the nicotinic ACh receptor agonists d-tubocurarine and \( \alpha \)-bungarotoxin (\( \alpha \)-BTX) and the selective blocker of choline uptake hemicholinium-3, which interferes with ACh synthesis, abolished all EPC signals, and this
Trained animals can swim faster. Our work demonstrates the glutamatergic identity of adult zebrafish spinal motoneurons and its plasticity. Since the first demonstration of vertebrate neuromuscular communication (9), NMJs have generally been considered relatively simple cholinergic synapses (9). Previous studies have raised the possibility that other neurotransmitters, such as glutamate (30–33), may also act on vertebrate NMJs, but their physiological actions have remained unclear. Here we demonstrate that spinal motoneurons innervating the axial musculature in adult zebrafish can coexpress and corelease glutamate into the NMJs to enhance the motor output. Our findings are consistent with previous indications that during the early development of both Xenopus tadpoles (27, 28) and zebrafish larvae (29) the spinal motoneurons can corelease glutamate and ACh in the NMJs. However, the possible presence of this mechanism in mammalian systems is still controversial. Earlier studies have indicated that mammalian spinal motoneurons can only release glutamate to mediate exclusively central functions (13–15), although motoneurons that innervate esophagus muscles in adult mice can reportedly release both glutamate and ACh into their NMJs (34). Clearly, further investigations are needed to elucidate variations in the nature of neuromuscular communication in different taxa, developmental stages, and organs to understand the evolutionary diversity and convergence of these synapses.

**Discussion**

Our work demonstrates the glutamatergic identity of adult zebrafish spinal motoneurons and its plasticity. Since the first demonstration of vertebrate neuromuscular communication (9), NMJs have generally been considered relatively simple cholinergic synapses (9). Previous studies have raised the possibility that other neurotransmitters, such as glutamate (30–33), may also act on vertebrate NMJs, but their physiological actions have remained unclear. Here we demonstrate that spinal motoneurons innervating the axial musculature in adult zebrafish can coexpress and corelease glutamate into the NMJs to enhance the motor output. Our findings are consistent with previous indications that during the early development of both Xenopus tadpoles (27, 28) and zebrafish larvae (29) the spinal motoneurons can corelease glutamate and ACh in the NMJs. However, the possible presence of this mechanism in mammalian systems is still controversial. Earlier studies have indicated that mammalian spinal motoneurons can only release glutamate to mediate exclusively central functions (13–15), although motoneurons that innervate esophagus muscles in adult mice can reportedly release both glutamate and ACh into their NMJs (34). Clearly, further investigations are needed to elucidate variations in the nature of neuromuscular communication in different taxa, developmental stages, and organs to understand the evolutionary diversity and convergence of these synapses.

**Fig. 3.** Trained animals can swim faster. (A) Experimental design to investigate locomotor behavior of control and trained animals in an open field test. (B) Representative traces of the recorded animals’ movements during the open field test and analysis of their normalized velocity (BL per s). (C) Quantification of the motor behavior parameters: maximum velocity (P = 0.0014, unpaired t-test), average velocity (P = 0.022, unpaired t-test), and total distance traveled (P = 0.0106, unpaired t-test). (D) Trained animals can swim faster than the control animals during critical speed tests (P = 0.0002, unpaired t-test). Speed is normalized (BL per s). Data are presented as mean ± SEM (C) and boxplots showing the median and 25th and 75th percentile (box and line) and minimal and maximal values (whiskers in D). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ns, not significant. For detailed statistics see SI Appendix, Table S1.
pool of fast motoneurons that are initially cholinergic but can also express glutamate in response to activity-dependent or pathological changes. This response also coincides with the enhancement of the locomotor system’s operational range.

Although neurotransmitter respecification can potentially explain many nervous system adaptations, it is only one of several candidate plasticity mechanisms activated by physiological or pathophysiological changes. Internal or external stimuli could also initiate other adaptive mechanisms in the nervous system, such as changes in synapse number and strength, neuronal morphology, excitability, neurogenesis, and apoptosis (38–41). While our results do not exclude the occurrence of multifaceted plasticity processes, since the number of spinal motoneurons remained unchanged under the applied perturbations (Fig. 2B), our observed changes are not likely due to neurogenesis or potential dedifferentiation of the adult interneurons to motoneurons. Given the time courses of our experimental procedures, we thus conclude that motoneuron transmitter respecification does not involve programmed cell death and replacement of spinal motoneurons. Accordingly, other studies have shown that activity-dependent neurotransmitter respecification in vertebrates involves neither neurogenesis nor apoptosis (17, 35). Hence, our results reinforce the notion that the presence of a reserve pool of fast motoneurons enables a motor function-enhancing mechanism in vertebrates.

We still need to determine how a subset of spinal motoneurons acquire the glutamatergic phenotype, the signaling pathways that initiate motoneuron neurotransmitter switching, and the potential involvement of signals from muscle activity in neurotransmitter changes. However, 80 y after the discovery of the cholinergic nature of the vertebrate NMJ (9) we now have a better understanding regarding the capacity and the dynamics of the adult motoneurons to cotransmit glutamate alongside ACh to control motor behaviors.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Animals. All animals were raised and kept in a core facility at the Karolinska Institute according to established procedures. Adult zebrafish (Danio rerio; 9–10 wk old; length: 16–19 mm; weight: 0.03–0.05 g) wild type (AB/Tübingen) were used in this study. All experimental protocols were approved by the local Animal Research Ethical Committee, (Stockholm; ethical permit no. 9248-2017) and were performed in accordance with European Union guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals (86/609/ CEE). All efforts were made to utilize only the minimum number of experimental animals necessary to obtain reliable scientific data.

Motoneuron Labeling. Retrograde labeling of axial motor neurons was performed in anesthetized zebrafish with 0.03% tricaine methane sulfonate (MS-222, E10521; Sigma-Aldrich) by using dye injections with tetramethylrhodamine-dextran (3,000 molecular weight, D3307; Thermo Fisher) or with biotinylated dextran (3,000 molecular weight, D7135; Thermo Fisher) into all muscles or into

Fig. 4. Swim training induces selective muscle fiber hyperplastic and hypertrophic remodeling. (A) Whole-myotome microphotograph showing apparent increase in the area occupied by slow muscle fibers. (B) Quantification of the relative area within the myotome occupied by slow muscle fibers, showing it was significantly larger in trained animals than in controls (P = 0.0002, unpaired t test). (C) Representative confocal images showing the muscle fibers (slow, intermediate, and fast) of control and trained animals. (D) Quantification of the muscle fibers perimeters, showing that were significantly larger (indicating hypertrophy) in the slow (P < 0.0001, unpaired t test) and intermediate (P < 0.0001, unpaired t test) muscles of the trained animals compared with the controls. (E and F) Confocal photomicrographs and quantification demonstrating the absence of early differentiated myocytes (mef2*, indicating hyperplasticity) in the control animals and fast muscle fibers of the trained animals (P < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA). Data are presented as mean ± SEM; **P < 0.01; ****P < 0.0001; ns, not significant. For detailed statistics see SI Appendix, Table S1.
specific muscle fiber types (slow, intermediate, or fast) in myotomes 14–16 (21, 42, 43). All of the injected animals were allowed to recover for 5 h to overnight to help the retrograde transport of the tracer.

Immunohistochemistry. All animals were deeply anesthetized with 0.1% MS-222. The spinal cords were then extracted and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and 5% saturated picric acid (P6744; Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS (0.01 M; pH = 7.4) at 4 °C for 2–14 h. We performed whole-mount immunolabeling in spinal cords as follows. The tissue was washed three times for 5 min in PBS. Nonspecific protein binding sites were blocked with 4% normal donkey serum (NDS, D9663; Sigma-Aldrich) with 1% BSA (A2153; Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% Triton X-100 (T8787; Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 1 h at room temperature (RT). Primary antibodies (SI Appendix, Table S2) were diluted in 1% of blocking solution and applied for 1–3 d at 4 °C. After thorough buffer rinses the tissues were then incubated with the appropriate secondary antibodies (SI Appendix, Table S2) diluted 1:500 and with streptavidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500, S32354; Thermo Fisher) Alexa Fluor 555 (1:500, S32355; Thermo Fisher) or Alexa Fluor 647 (1:500, S32357; Thermo Fisher) in 1% Triton X-100 (T8787; Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS overnight at 4 °C. Finally, the tissue was thoroughly rinsed in PBS and cover-slipped with fluorescent hard medium (H-1400; VectorLabs).

For muscle fiber immunohistochemistry and morphometric analysis, experimental animals were anesthetized with 0.1% MS-222. The skin was carefully removed and the animal fully decapitated at the precaudal level corresponding to myotome 6 and fixed in 4% PFA in phosphate buffer saline (0.01 M; pH = 7.4) at 4 °C for 14 h. The tissue was then cryoprotected by overnight incubation in 30% (wt/vol) sucrose in PBS at 4 °C, embedded in optimal cutting temperature cryomount (45830; Histolab) and rapidly frozen.
in dry-ice-cooled isopentane (2-methylbutane, 277258; Sigma-Aldrich) at approximately −35 °C. Transverse coronal plane cryosections (thickness: 25 μm) of the whole myotome (myotome 15) were collected on gelatin-covered slides and processed for immunohistochemistry. The sections were washed three times for 5 min in PBS. Nonspecific protein binding sites were blocked with 2.5% NDS (D9663; Sigma-Aldrich) with 3% BSA (A2153; Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% Triton X-100 (T8787; Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 1 h at RT. Primary and secondary antibodies were applied as described above for the spinal cord tissue.

For localization of NMJs, Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated α-BTX (1:500, B13422; Thermo Fisher) was applied together with the primary antibodies.

Swim Training Paradigm and Critical Speed Test. Animals of the same size [body length (BL) and body depth] and weight were used in the swim training paradigm. Initially, some of the designated animals (n = 10 zebrafish) were randomly selected and subjected to the critical speed (Ucrit) test using a commercially available swim tunnel (5 L, 5W10050; Loligo Systems). Ucrit is a measure of the highest sustainable swimming speed that a fish can reach (44). The zebrafish were subjected to time intervals of flow velocity (increments of 4.5 cm/s in 5-min steps) until the fish were unable to swim against the water current (fatigued; SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Fatigue was determined when fish stopped swimming and were forced against the rear net of the tunnel for more than 5 s. Critical speed was calculated in all cases using the following equation (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 and ref. 44).

\[
\text{U}_{\text{crit}} = \text{U}_{\text{fatigue}} - \text{U}_{\text{step}} \cdot \text{TIME}_{\text{step}}
\]

where \(\text{U}_{\text{fatigue}}\) is the highest flow velocity at which fish swim for the whole interval. \(\text{U}_{\text{step}}\) is the velocity increment, \(\text{TIME}_{\text{step}}\) is the time that fish swim in the last interval at final velocity, and \(\text{TIME}_{\text{step}}\) is the duration of one interval.

For the swim training, a group of long-term exercise training protocol was applied, in which exercised/trained zebrafish (~20) were subjected to each exercise condition (flow velocity) for 6 h per day, 5 d per week, for four consecutive weeks. After the exercise period, fish were randomly assigned to a short-term experimental group or long-term (recovery) group. Animals of the recovery group were maintained in standard conditions for 4 wk. In all cases after the experimental period the animals were anesthetized, their motoneurons were labeled with retrograde tracers (as described in Moteurone Labelling), and they were processed for immunohistochemistry (as described in Immunohistochemistry). The critical speed was normalized to BL of the experimental animals and is expressed as BL per s.

Open Field Test. For the open field test, animals were placed in small dishes (diameter: 8 cm) and allowed to swim freely, while their swimming was recorded for 5 min (15 min after they were placed in the arena). Their swimming behavior recorded during a 3-min period was analyzed after optimization and implementation of wrMTrck, a freely available ImageJ plugin. The experimental animals’ average velocity and maximum velocity were normalized to their BL and are expressed as BL per s.

SCL. Zebrafish were anesthetized in 0.03% tricaine methane sulfonate (MS-222; Sigma-Aldrich) before subjection to the SCI treatment, which involved complete transection at the level of spinal cord segment 10, with a microknife (B13422; Thermo Fisher) and 1% Triton X-100 (T8787; Sigma-Aldrich) in saline, as previously described (22). Treated fish were then placed in aquaria and allowed to survive for 6 d before the retrograde labeling of motoneurons (as described in Moteurone Labelling) and allowed to survive for an additional 24 h. During the sterile inflammation treatment all animals were kept under the standard housing conditions.

Electrophysiology. Adult zebrafish were cold-anesthetized in a slush of frozen extracellular solution containing MS-222. The skin was removed to allow selective blockage of the muscle NMDA receptors, by 50 μM AP-5, while the rostral spinal cord was constantly perfused with physiological solution. A single electrical stimulation was delivered in each spinal cord at segments 2–4, while patch-clamp recordings of EPCs were performed from slow muscle fibers at segments 20–24 (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). For every muscle fiber three sweeps of electrical stimulation were delivered under each condition (control, after AP-5 application, and washout) at 1-min time intervals. The EPC events were detected in semiautomatic (supervised) fashion after baseline subtraction using AxxoGraph (version X 1.5.4; RRID: SCR_014284; AxxoGraph Scientific) or Clampfit (version 10.6; Molecular Devices) software.

Analysis. Images of the adult zebrafish spinal cord preparations were acquired using an LSM 800 laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss) with a 40x objective. For each examined whole-mount preparation, the whole hemisegment of the spinal cord (from lateral side to medial area of the central canal) was scanned, generating a z-stack (z-step size = 0.5–1 μm). Cells were counted in segment 15. Relative positions of the somata of the neurons within the spinal cord were estimated (using the lateral, dorsal, and ventral edges of the epaxial area at even distances from the horizontal septum, midline, and edge of the myotome. Images of the slow and intermediate muscle fibers were acquired near the horizontal septum and close to the skin. For the mef2+ cells, profiles of the labeled cells were counted manually in the whole section (myotome 15). Analysis of the glutamate intensity in the different muscle fiber types was performed using ImageJ, followed from data normalization to the highest intensity levels between each group of tested conditions. High-resolution confocal images of NMJs labeled with α-BTX and NR2B (Fig. S5) were further processed by 3D deconvolution using DeconvolutionLab2 software (EPFL; ref. 46) to allow assessment of the overlap between the different receptors located in pre- or postsynaptic membranes.

All figures and graphs were prepared with Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator (Adobe Systems Inc.). Digital modifications of the images (brightness and contrast) were minimal to avoid distortion of biological information. All double-labeled immunofluorescence images were converted to magenta-green to improve visualization of the results for colorblind readers.
Statistics. The significance of differences between the means in experimental groups and conditions was analyzed using either parametric tests (for larger data samples), two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test, or one-way ANOVA (ordinary or repeated measures) followed by post hoc Tukey’s test or Dunnett’s multiple comparison test, or nonparametric tests (for small data samples) Wilcoxon test, using Prism (GraphPad Software Inc.). Significance levels indicated in all figures are as follows: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001. All data are presented as mean ± SEM or as box plots showing the median and 25th and 75th percentile (box and line) and minimal and maximal values (whiskers). Finally, the n values indicate the final number of validated animals per group or the number of cells that were evaluated.
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