Phase diagram of silica from computer simulation
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We evaluate the phase diagram of the “BKS” potential [Van Beest, Kramer and van Santen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1955 (1990)], a model of silica widely used in molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. We conduct MD simulations of the liquid, and three crystals (β-quartz, coesite and stishovite) over wide ranges of temperature and density, and evaluate the total Gibbs free energy of each phase. The phase boundaries are determined by the intersection of these free energy surfaces. Not unexpectedly for a classical pair potential, our results reveal quantitative discrepancies between the locations of the BKS and real silica phase boundaries. At the same time, we find that the topology of the real phase diagram is reproduced, confirming that the BKS model provides a satisfactory qualitative description of a silica-like material. We also compare the phase boundaries with the locations of liquid-state thermodynamic anomalies identified in previous studies of the BKS model.

PACS numbers: 81.30.Dz, 64.30.+t, 64.70.Ja

I. INTRODUCTION

The melts of silica, water and a number of other molecular substances (e.g Si, GeO2, BeF2) at ambient pressure P form so-called “tetrahedral liquids,” that is, liquids with properties that are strongly influenced by the occurrence of a network of tetrahedrally arranged atoms. Such liquids display a rich spectrum of behavior, including density maxima 1, dynamical anomalies 2, as well as the ability to form numerous crystal polymorphs 3. Evidence also exists that liquid-liquid phase transitions occur in some of these systems 4, 5, 6. Yet a detailed understanding of the commonalities among these materials is hampered by our incomplete knowledge of their properties under comparable conditions. For example, we have extensive knowledge of liquid water for temperatures T near and above the melting temperature Tm, but the behavior below this range remains a subject of debate 7. Conversely, we have detailed knowledge of molten silica at ambient P for T ≤ Tm, but a much less complete picture of the behavior at higher T and P 8.

Computer simulations have contributed to filling this knowledge gap by providing numerical estimates of liquid behavior outside the range of current experiments. However, a key element has been missing from the description of many of these model materials: their phase diagrams. In an experimental study of a molecular liquid, knowledge of the phase diagram – that is, the coexistence boundaries demarcating the stability fields of the liquid, gas and the various crystal phases – provides a vital reference that elucidates the observed thermodynamic, dynamic, and structural properties of the liquid phase. Simulations of molecular liquids are commonly based on semi-empirical classical interaction potentials that cannot be expected to precisely reproduce the experimentally known phase diagrams of the real material. It is perhaps for this reason that comprehensive phase diagrams have not yet been developed for the simulation models used widely to study the complexities of important molecular liquids, such as water and silica. However, as a consequence, it has not been possible to self-consistently relate the behavior found in simulations to the relevant phase boundaries of the model system, as would normally occur in an experimental study.

With these motivations, we here focus on the BKS model of silica 9. The BKS model has played an important role over the last decade in numerous studies of silica and related materials. For example, the BKS model has been used in studies of pressure induced amorphization of quartz 10, the α to β quartz phase transition 11, 12, the fragile-to-strong dynamical crossover in liquid silica 13, 14, 15, the possibility of liquid-liquid phase separation in silica 16, and in the study of the generic topological and entropic properties of random tetrahedral networks 17. Despite this interest in the BKS model, only fragments of specific crystal-crystal phase boundaries have been located, such as the α-β quartz transition. To our knowledge no data currently exists for the melting lines, though the liquid-gas coexistence curve has been located for a model similar to BKS 18. In this paper we report the phase diagram of the BKS model, finding the stability fields in the P-T plane for the liquid phase, and three of the prominent crystal phases of real silica, stishovite, coesite and β-quartz.

II. METHODS

We use the BKS potential, modified at short range to prevent unphysical “fusion” events, and at long range to reduce the system size dependence of measured proper-
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structure of crystal phases stishovite, coesite and \( \beta \)-quartz. A number of other crystal phases of silica are known. However, the stability fields of these three crystals dominate the phase diagram of silica on the widest scale of \( P \) and \( T \) and are therefore natural first choices for examination. These three crystals are also representative of the main types of local coordination structures found in silica crystals. The structure of \( \beta \)-quartz is an open network of corner-shared \( \text{SiO}_4 \) tetrahedra; coesite is a denser network of corner-shared \( \text{SiO}_4 \) tetrahedra; and stishovite is a network of corner and edge shared \( \text{SiO}_6 \) octahedra. Previous work has shown that the BKS model is appropriate for studying both low and high density crystal structures [12]. To determine the phase diagram, our approach is to evaluate numerically the Gibbs free energy \( G \) of each of the phases as a function of \( P \) and \( T \), and then seek the lines of intersection of these surface functions.

A. Liquid free energy

For the liquid phase, we use much of the equation of state data reported in Ref. [17], plus some new simulation data generated using the same methodology. These simulations modeled a system of a fixed number of 444 molecular units (1332 ions) in the liquid phase along nine isochores from volumes \( V = 4.6296 \) to 8.6804 \( \text{cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \), and ranging in \( T \) from nearly 7000 K to less than 2500 K (Fig. 1). Each of these liquid state points was equilibrated at constant \( V \), and using velocity rescaling to attain a desired \( T \). Average values of \( P \) and \( T \) were evaluated from subsequent constant-\( NV E \) runs having a duration of ten times the time required for silicon atoms to diffuse an average of 0.2 nm. The results provide \( E(T) \) and \( P(T) \) along the specified isochores. Ref. [18] also describes the details of a calculation of the entropy of the liquid phase, \( S_R = 75.986 \pm 0.176 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \), at a reference state located at \( T_R = 4000 \text{ K} \) and \( V_R = 8.6804 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \).

The value of \( E \) at an arbitrary point \( (V_o, T_o) \) on the surface \( E(V, T) \) is evaluated as follows. Along each of the nine isochores simulated, a 3rd order polynomial in \( T \) is fit to the \( E \) data. The value of \( E \) at the desired \( T = T_o \) is calculated from the polynomial found for each \( V \). This creates a set of points approximating the curve \( E(V) \) at \( T = T_o \). A cubic spline passing through these points is then found, creating a continuous function representing \( E(V) \) at \( T = T_o \). The value of \( E \) at the point \( (V_o, T_o) \) is evaluated from this function. The value of \( P \) at an arbitrary point \( (V_o, T_o) \) is calculated in exactly the same way as for \( E \), except that a 4th order polynomial in \( T \) is fit to the \( P \) data along each of the nine simulated
The integration is given by,

\[ S(V, T_o) = S_R + \int_{T_R}^{T} \frac{1}{T} \left( \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right)_{V_R} dT + \frac{1}{T_o} \int_{V_R}^{V_o} P(V, T_o) dV \]  
(1)

The definite integral over \( T \) is evaluated analytically using the polynomial representation of \( E \) as a function of \( T \), on the reference isochore. The definite integral over \( V \) is evaluated numerically via Simpson’s rule, using data from the cubic spline representation of \( P \) as a function of \( V \), constructed along the desired isotherm.

We combine these numerical estimates to determine the Gibbs free energy \( G \) at arbitrary state points using \( G(V, T) = E(V, T) + V P(V, T) - T S(V, T) \). To find \( G \) at an arbitrary \( (P, T) \) point, we find the value of \( V \) from \( P(V, T) \) such that \( P \) has the desired value. In this way we can construct arbitrary isotherms or isobars cutting through the \( G(P, T) \) surface.

**B. Crystal free energy**

We conduct simulations of three crystal phases: stishovite, \( \beta \)-quartz and coesite. Our simulations employ 1200 ions for stishovite, 1536 ions for coesite, and 1350 ions for \( \beta \)-quartz. We carry out simulations over a range of \( V \) and \( T \) appropriate for each phase, as shown in Fig. 1.

We employ the following procedure to obtain equilibrium averages for \( E(T) \) and \( P(T) \) along the specified isochores. The rationale underlying this procedure is to allow us to obtain thermodynamic properties along a set of specified isochores, so that we may construct the \( G(P,T) \) surface for each phase in the same way as described above for the liquid phase. However, obtaining isochoric data for crystals requires care, as unit cell parameters may change with \( T \), even though the overall density remains fixed. In particular, we must ensure that anisotropic stresses do not arise in the simulation cell. The procedure, for each crystal, is as follows:

First, we create an initial configuration of stishovite, \( \beta \)-quartz \[20\] and coesite \[21\]. Then for a number of specified \( V \), we optimize the \( T = 0 \) atomic coordinates and unit cell parameters to minimize the energy and to remove anisotropic stresses. This optimization is carried out at constant overall \( V \), and consists of alternating applications of the simplex method (to optimize cell parameters) and the conjugate gradient method (to optimize atomic coordinates) \[22\]. This optimization cycle is repeated until the energy converges to a minimum value to within a tolerance of \( 10^{-10} \).

Then, for each \( T \) at which we desire thermodynamic properties, we carry out the following steps:

(i) Beginning with the optimized configuration at the appropriate \( V \), we conduct a 20 ps constant \( V \) simulation, during which the desired \( T \) is established via velocity rescaling every 100 time steps.

(ii) The configuration produced in (i) is used to initiate a 20 ps constant-\( NVE \) simulation, to ensure that an equilibrium state at the desired \( T \) has been achieved.

(iii) To relax any anisotropic stress that may have arisen in bringing the system to non-zero \( T \), we carry out a 40 ps constant-\( NPT \) simulation (during which the simulation cell geometry is unconstrained) where we set \( P \) to the average value from step (ii).

(iv) Step (iii) may have changed the overall \( V \) of the simulation cell away from the desired isochore. We restore the value of \( V \) of the simulation cell by isotropically rescaling the average cell lengths obtained in step (iii), while leaving the obtained average angles fixed. For all crystals, the rescaling is never more than 0.5% of the...
desired volume, and is typically 0.1%. This rescaled configuration is then used to initiate a 30 ps constant-NVE simulation, during which the average values of $P$ and $T$ are evaluated.

We note that for $\beta$-quartz, step (iii) is carried out for 50 ps and step (iv) for 80 ps. These longer times are used in order to resolve the subtle variation of $P$ along isochors, since $\beta$-quartz displays a density maximum in the region of our simulations. We also note that the $\beta$-quartz phase spontaneously converts to $\alpha$-quartz, but only for $T$ and $V$ outside the range of simulated points shown for $\beta$-quartz in Fig. 4. Our results therefore pertain only to $\beta$-quartz and are not influenced by this crystal-crystal phase transition.

The above procedure provides $E(T)$ and $P(T)$ along specified isochors. Using the same fitting and interpolation procedure as is used for the liquid, we can therefore evaluate $E$ and $P$ at arbitrary state points $(V,T)$.

Finally, we need to evaluate $S$ for each crystal at a reference state point, in order to construct the surface $S(V,T)$ via thermodynamic integration. Our method is as follows. For each crystal phase we select a reference volume $V_R$ (see Fig. 4), and choose the $T_R = 1500$ K configuration obtained at the end of step (iv) above. Using the conjugate gradient method, we optimize the atomic positions (at fixed cell geometry) to find the minimum energy configuration. We then evaluate the Hessian matrix of this minimum energy configuration and diagonalize it to find the eigenfrequency spectrum. The classical harmonic entropy is found from this eigenfrequency spectrum. (The details of this approach are given in Ref. 15, where the method is used to find the classical harmonic entropy of inherent structures of the liquid state.)

To determine the total entropy, we need to evaluate the anharmonic contribution and add it to the harmonic entropy found above. We use the energy-optimized configuration for which we calculate the harmonic entropy as the starting configuration for 15 equally spaced simulations from $T = 100$ K to $T_R = 1500$ K. We simulate each state point at constant $V$ using velocity scaling to maintain $T$ at the desired value, with fixed cell geometry, for 150 ps (400 ps for stishovite). From these simulations we evaluate,

$$E_{anh}(T) = U(T) - \frac{3}{2} R(1 - 1/N) T,$$  
(2)

where $U$ is the potential energy and $R$ is the gas constant. Using a polynomial fit,

$$E_{anh} = a_0 + \sum_{n=2}^{N_{max}} a_n T^n,$$  
(3)

we evaluate,

$$S_{anh}(T_R) = \int_0^{T_R} \frac{1}{T} \left( \frac{\partial E_{anh}(T)}{\partial T} \right)_V dT.$$  
(4)

By using different values of $N_{max} = 2$ and $3$ for coesite and $\beta$-quartz, and $3$ and $4$ for stishovite, we obtain error estimates for $S_{anh}(T_R)$. Fig. 3 shows the variation of $E_{anh}$ with $T$ for each of the three crystals simulated. The resulting reference entropies for each crystal phase at $T_R = 1500$ K at their respective reference volumes $V_R$ are as follows: 44.982 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ at $V_R = 8.9933$ cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ for $\beta$-quartz; 43.682 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ at $V_R = 7.1478$ cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ for coesite; and 39.536 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ at $V_R = 4.7650$ cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ for stishovite. The uncertainty in each $S$ value is approximately 0.01 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$.

The above procedure provides $E(V,T)$, $P(V,T)$ and the reference value of $S$ for each of the three crystal phases. The procedure to evaluate $G(P,T)$ from this information for each crystal phase is the same as is used for the liquid phase.

C. Coexistence boundaries and error estimates

For every pair of phases we determine the coexistence line as the locus of points in the plane of $P$ and $T$ for which $G$ for the two phases is the same. Along each locus, we also find the value of $V$ for each of the two coexisting phases. Fig. 4 shows an example of the intersection of isobars of $G$ (relative to coesite) for each phase at $P = 2$ GPa.

We perform several checks on our scheme. We calculate the change in $S$ for a single phase around a closed path in the $V-T$ plane, which we find to be zero within an error of approximately 0.01 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. We also check that the relations $P = -(\partial A/\partial V)_T$ (where $A$ is the Helmholtz free energy) and $P - T(\partial P/\partial T)_V = -(\partial E/\partial V)_T$ are satisfactorily met. Furthermore, along the coexistence lines, we check the Clapeyron relation $dP/dT = \Delta S/\Delta V$, where $\Delta S$ is the difference in $S$ between the two phases and $\Delta V$ is the difference in $V$: we
find this to be satisfied to within 0.1 MPa K$^{-1}$.

Throughout the evaluation scheme described above, the largest single source of statistical error is the uncertainty cited in Ref. [15] for $S_R$, the entropy of the liquid at the reference state point. We therefore create confidence limits for our melting lines, shown in Fig. 5 by allowing the value of $S_R$ to vary by ±0.18 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 5(b) plots $P$-$T$ coexistence conditions, both stable and metastable, occurring among the liquid phase (L) and the crystalline phases $\beta$-quartz (Q), coesite (C) and stishovite (S). Fig. 6 is the projection of the same boundaries onto the plane of $V$ and $T$. This plot exposes the volume differences of coexisting phases along phase boundaries. This type of plot is rarely constructed for real materials, due to the challenge of determining the densities of coexisting phases, especially at high pressure. However, it is readily constructed from simulation data.

Comparison of the BKS and experimental phase boundaries in Fig. 5 exposes the quantitative deficiencies of the model. Apparent in particular is the difference between the pressures at which corresponding features occur. For example, the S-L-C triple point occurs at 13.4 GPa in real silica, but at only 5.8 GPa in the model. Overall, the $P$ range of the crystal stability fields is substantially lower in the model. The correspondence of the thermal behavior is better, but significant differences still occur. The $T$ of the S-L-C and C-L-Q triple points are respectively 15% and 32% higher than their experimental values.

However, it is noteworthy that the topology of the real silica phase diagram is reproduced by the model. All three studied crystals have large stability fields, which increase in extent as $P$ increases. More subtle features, notably the melting line maxima in both the Q-L and C-L coexistence lines are also reproduced. Also, the occurrence of a metastable S-Q-L triple point in the stability field of coesite, suggested by an extrapolation of the experimental boundaries, is observed in the model. Thus, despite its quantitative deficiencies, the BKS model is appropriate for studying the qualitative behavior of a substance with a silica-like phase diagram.

The phase information given in Figs. 5 and 6 allows
previous (and future) observations of the behavior of BKS silica to be considered within the context of the phase behavior of the model itself. For example, several studies of BKS silica have identified the location of a density maximum in the liquid phase. A thermal anomaly, a line of maxima of the isochoric specific heat, has also been located in simulations of the liquid. Both of these features have been related to the early stages of the formation of a structured tetrahedral network in the liquid state. This structural evolution also is believed to underlie a crossover from non-Arrhenian (“fragile”) to Arrhenian (“strong”) dynamics in the liquid.

We show in Fig. 7 the location of the line of density and $C_V$ maxima in both the $P$-$T$ and $V$-$T$ planes. These lines approximately separate the liquid behavior into a “tetrahedral network influenced” region at low $T$ and large $V$ (low $P$), and a “normal liquid” region at high $T$ and small $V$ (high $P$). Consistent with this, the stability fields of $\beta$-quartz and coesite (both of which have four-coordinated silicon atoms) occur within the network influenced region, while the stability field of stishovite (with six-coordinated silicons) falls outside.

We also show in Fig. 7 the location of the state point at which evidence of liquid-liquid phase separation was reported in Ref. [5]. This point occurs at a density just above that of the high density edge of the one-phase stability field of coesite. This is a plausible density at which the open tetrahedral network structure of the (one-phase) supercooled liquid state begins to collapse to a higher density, perhaps via a discontinuous phase transition.
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