GDNF promotes tubulogenesis of GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells by Src-mediated phosphorylation of Met receptor tyrosine kinase
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Abstract

Gliarial cell–derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) are multifunctional signaling molecules in embryogenesis. HGF binds to and activates Met receptor tyrosine kinase. The signaling receptor complex for GDNF typically includes both GDNF family receptor α1 (GFRα1) and Ret receptor tyrosine kinase. GDNF can also signal independently of Ret via GFRα1, although the mechanism has remained unclear. We now show that GDNF partially restores ureteric branching morphogenesis in ret-deficient mice with severe renal hypoplasia. The mechanism of Ret-independent effect of GDNF was therefore studied by the MDCK cell model. In MDCK cells expressing GFRα1 but no Ret, GDNF stimulates branching but not chemotactic migration, whereas both branching and chemotaxis are promoted by GDNF in the cells coexpressing Ret and GFRα1, mimicking HGF/Met responses in wild-type MDCK cells. Indeed, GDNF induces Met phosphorylation in several ret-deficient/GFRα1-positive and GFRα1/Ret-coexpressing cell lines. However, GDNF does not immunoprecipitate Met, making a direct interaction between GDNF and Met highly improbable. Met activation is mediated by Src family kinases. The GDNF-induced branching of MDCK cells requires Src activation, whereas the HGF-induced branching does not. Our data show a mechanism for the GDNF-induced branching morphogenesis in non-Ret signaling.

Introduction

Gliarial cell–derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF)* regulates ureteric branching in kidney morphogenesis, spermatogenesis, and survival and differentiation of several neuronal populations (Airaksinen et al., 1999; Sariola and Saarma, 1999; Baloh et al., 2000; Meng et al., 2000). The receptor complex for GDNF consists of Ret receptor tyrosine kinase and glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-linked GDNF family receptor α1 (GFRα1) (Airaksinen et al., 1999). In the embryonic kidney, GDNF is expressed by the metanephric mesenchyme and is repressed by epithelial conversion of the mesenchymal cells (Hellmich et al., 1996; Suvanto et al., 1996). GDNF-releasing beads stimulate ureteric branching in cultured kidneys and promote outgrowth of ectopic ureteric buds from the nephric duct (Sainio et al., 1997). Neutralizing antibodies to GDNF inhibit ureteric branching in kidney culture (Vega et al., 1996).

ret is initially expressed along the nephric duct and the ureteric bud (Pachnis et al., 1993). The receptor becomes restricted to the growing tips of the bud as its branching progresses. GFRα1 is expressed by both ureteric bud and pretubular nephrogenic mesenchyme (Sainio et al., 1997). Targeted disruption of ret, gdnf, or gfra1 genes results in severe renal hypoplasia or aplasia (Schuchardt et al., 1994; Pichel et al., 1996; Sanchez et al., 1996; Cacalano et al., 1998), confirming the critical role of GDNF/Ret signaling in the ureteric branching.

Since GFRα1 lacks an intracellular domain, it was initially considered as only a ligand-binding receptor for GDNF. When complexed with two molecules of GDNF, a GFRα1 dimer induces dimerization of Ret, its recruitment to lipid

*Abbreviations used in this paper: DN, dominant-negative; E, embryonic day; GDNF, gliarial cell–derived neurotrophic factor; GFRα1, GDNF family receptor α1; GPI, glycosylphosphatidylinositol; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor.
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rafts, and transphosphorylation of the tyrosine kinase domains. Lipid rafts are cell membrane domains of sphingolipids and cholesterol packed into moving platforms within the lipid bilayer (Harder et al., 1998). The raft microdomains serve as signaling compartments of the cell membrane, which concentrate raft-specific signaling molecules (Simons and Toomre, 2000). Ret is also activated in trans by GDNF via soluble or matrix-bound GFRα1 (Paratcha et al., 2001). Moreover, GDNF signaling via Ret is different in and outside the lipid rafts (for review see Saarma, 2001).

GDNF can also signal via GFRα1 in a Ret-independent manner (Poteryaev et al., 1999; Trupp et al., 1999). In primary sensory neurones isolated from ret-deficient mice and in a Ret-negative neuroblastoma cell line, GDNF activates Src-type kinases (Poteryaev et al., 1999; Trupp et al., 1999).

There is also indirect evidence that GFRα1 might have Ret-independent roles. First, GDNF binds to GFRα1 in the absence of Ret (Jing et al., 1996). Second, ret and gfra1 expression patterns do not overlap in many tissues (Sainio et al., 1997; Golden et al., 1999). However, nothing is known about the mechanism and possible biological significance of Ret-independent signaling via GFRα1.

The MDCK dog kidney epithelial cells have been extensively used for studying the molecular mechanisms of branching morphogenesis. Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), the ligand for Met receptor tyrosine kinase (Naldini et al., 1991), induces scattering, chemotactic movements, and tubule formation of MDCK cells (Stoker et al., 1987; Montesano et al., 1991). In the presence of soluble GFRα1, ret-transfected MDCK cells respond to GDNF like the wild-type MDCK cells respond to HGF (Tang et al., 1998). In vivo, HGF is required for the early development of liver, limb muscles, and placenta, and it is involved in liver regeneration (Birchmeier and Gherardi, 1998). In organ culture, HGF regulates ureteric bud branching and modulates epithelial differentiation of metanephric mesenchymal cells (Karp et al., 1994; Woolf et al., 1995; Sainio et al., 1997).

We now approached the role and mechanism of GFRα1 in branching morphogenesis. These studies were prompted by our observation that the ureteric branching morphogenesis of ret-deficient mice was partially restored in organ culture when GDNF was added to the culture medium. Therefore, we created MDCK clones stably expressing GFRα1 alone or both Ret and GFRα1. When stimulated by GDNF, the GFRα1-expressing, ret-deficient cells formed branching tubules in collagen matrix, but they were completely incapable of responding chemotactically to GDNF. In both GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cell lines, GDNF induced Met phosphorylation, but the ligand did not directly interact with Met. Pharmacological inhibition of Src-type kinases and transfection experiments with dominant-negative (DN) or activated c-Src showed that Src kinase activity is required for the GDNF-induced activation of Met and tubulogenesis of MDCK cells.

Results
Exogenous GDNF partially restores the renal phenotype of ret-deficient mice
To analyze the possible role of Ret-independent, GFRα1-mediated signaling in ureteric budding and branching during nephrogenesis, we tested the ability of exogenous GDNF to induce ureteric budding or sustain its branching in ret-deficient mice. Embryonic day (E)11 ret−/− urogenital blocks including kidney rudiments were cultured for 4 d, one side without GDNF (A, C and D) and the other one with 50 ng/ml of GDNF (B). The explants were fixed and immunolabeled as whole mounts with pan-cytokeratin antibodies. Bar, 200 μm. (E) The number of ureteric branches of ret−/−, ret+/−, and ret+/+ kidneys with or without GDNF supplementation. The results represent the means ± SEM. GDNF significantly increases ureteric branch number in ret−/− explants compared with the control media (P < 0.01).

Figure 1. Exogenous GDNF partially restores ureteric branching of ret-deficient kidneys. (A–D) Urogenital block explants including the Wolffian duct (wd), mesonephros (meso), and metanephros (meta) from E11 ret−/− (A and B), ret+/− (C), and ret+/+ (D) mouse embryos. The urogenital blocks from each embryo were separately cultured for 4 d, one side without GDNF (A, C and D) and the other one with 50 ng/ml of GDNF (B). The explants were fixed and immunolabeled as whole mounts with pan-cytokeratin antibodies. Bar, 200 μm. (E) The number of ureteric branches of ret−/−, ret+/−, and ret+/+ kidneys with or without GDNF supplementation. The results represent the means ± SEM. GDNF significantly increases ureteric branch number in ret−/− explants compared with the control media (P < 0.01).
The possible endogenous ret expression by MDCK cells was excluded by Northern blot and RT-PCR. The canine ret cDNA was first cloned from adult dog testis. RT-PCR with canine-specific ret primers and Northern blot showed that ret is not expressed by MDCK cells. Furthermore, in MDCK clones stably expressing gfra1, no induced ret expression was detected either by RT-PCR with the canine ret primers or Western blotting (unpublished data).

Wild-type MDCK cells form fluid-filled cystic structures in three-dimensional collagen gels. After HGF application, the cells start forming branching tubules (Montesano et al., 1991). When cultured in 100 ng/ml of GDNF, both GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-MDCK cells formed branching tubules, whereas wild-type (Fig. 2, A and B) and mock-transfected cells did not (unpublished data). Persephin is the ligand for GFRα4 (Enokido et al., 1998; Thompson et al., 1998) and does not interact with GFRα1. It did not evoke branching of GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells.

**Figure 2.** GDNF induces branching of GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells in three-dimensional collagen gel. (A) Ret/GFRα1- and GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells were grown in collagen gel with GDNF (100 ng/ml), and wild-type MDCK cells were grown in collagen gel with HGF (50 ng/ml). BSA (100 ng/ml) was used as a negative control. Bar, 100 μm. (B) GDNF induces branching of GFRα1 and Ret/GFRα1 cells but not wild-type MDCK cells, which only respond to HGF. Persephin (PSPN; 100 ng/ml) does not induce branching of any MDCK cell line tested. From the total number of cysts in the field, the percentage of cysts with long branches was calculated. Only the branches with the length of more than two cyst diameters were counted. (C) Dose dependency of the GDNF-induced branching of GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells. GDNF concentrations are marked per ml. Results are reported as fold of branching cysts over the noninduced control. Means ± SEM of five to eight counted fields are shown. The results are representative of five (A and B) and three (C) independent experiments.

(B and C) GDNF significantly increases branching in GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK and HGF increases branching of wild-type MDCK (B) compared with the control media (P < 0.001).
cells (Fig. 2 B). GDNF also induced branching tubulogenesis in wild-type MDCK cells transduced with an adenovirus expressing GFRα1 (unpublished data).

The branching response of the cells expressing GFRα1 alone was highly sensitive to GDNF, since already 0.1 pg/ml of GDNF evoked tubulogenesis. In contrast, the cells coexpressing Ret and GFRα1 started to branch only at 0.1 ng/ml of GDNF (Fig. 2 C). Two GDNF preparations synthesized by different methods by two different manufacturers were tested (see Materials and methods), and both products evoked branching of GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells already at low concentrations (0.1 pg/ml).

**GFRα1-expressing cells do not respond chemotactically to GDNF in the absence of Ret**

Guided migration of cells toward a chemoattractant is referred to as chemotaxis, whereas enhanced cellular motility is called chemokinesis. Chemotaxis can be tested in the Boyden dual chamber assay by adding the test substance to the lower chamber only, and chemokinesis can be tested by adding the test substance to both upper and lower chambers.

Similar to the HGF-induced chemotaxis of the wild-type MDCK cells (Stoker et al., 1987), the chemotactic migration of ret-transfected MDCK cells is stimulated by GDNF in the presence of soluble GFRα1 (Tang et al., 1998). Accordingly, GDNF was chemotactic to MDCK cells transfected with both ret and the GPI-anchored, membrane-bound form of gfrα1. In contrast, the GFRα1-expressing, ret-deficient MDCK cells did not show a chemotactic response to GDNF under the same conditions (Fig. 3 A).

GDNF was added to both chambers to test its possible chemokinetic effects. The migration of Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells was reduced threefold compared with their maximal chemotactic response. Similar reduction was observed with HGF in wild-type MDCK cells. In contrast, the migration of GFRα1-expressing cells was only marginally reduced when GDNF was applied to both chambers (Fig. 3 A). Thus, GDNF is chemotactic to Ret/GFRα1-expressing cells and weakly chemokinetic but not chemotactic to GFRα1-expressing, ret-deficient cells.

In another chemotaxis assay (Tang et al. 1998), ret/gfrα1-, gfrα1-, and mock-transfected MDCK cells were seeded on culture dishes coated by type I collagen. Agarose beads were soaked in GDNF (10 ng/μl) or 1% BSA, a bead was placed on top of collagen gel, and the cells were monitored for 3 d. Ret/GFRα1-expressing cells actively migrated toward GDNF-releasing beads but not to those soaked in BSA (Fig. 3 B). gfrα1- and mock-transfected cells were not attracted by the GDNF- or BSA-releasing beads (Fig. 3 B).

**GDNF activates Met in both Ret-dependent and -independent signaling**

Since both GDNF and HGF promoted branching of MDCK cells and Met is the only receptor known to promote tubule formation in these cells (Santos et al., 1993), we suggested that GDNF may induce Met phosphorylation. In 15 min, GDNF indeed evoked Met phosphorylation in GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells but not in wild-type MDCK cells. Saturation was reached at 0.1 pg/ml (Fig. 4, A and B).
and B). The same concentration of GDNF also induced rapid Met phosphorylation in human neuroblastoma SHEP cells (unpublished data), which express GFRα1 but no Ret (Poteryaev et al., 1999). GDNF activated Met in GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells already in 15 min (Fig. 4, A and B), and the activation lasted at least 2 h (unpublished data). In the mock-transfected MDCK cells, only HGF phosphorylated Met (Fig. 4 C). In Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells, Ret was phosphorylated already at 0.1 pg/ml of GDNF, and saturation was reached at 10 ng/ml (Fig. 4 D).

In a series of cross-linking immunoprecipitation experiments, we tested whether GDNF activates Met directly or indirectly. Binding of 125I-GDNF to GFRα1-expressing MDCK, SHEP, or COS7 cells and NIH 3T3 cells transiently transfected with gfrα1 was followed by chemical cross-linking and immunoprecipitation with anti-Met antibodies. No high molecular weight complexes were revealed, and in total lysates the bands represent different complexes of 125I-GDNF (monomers or dimers) and the dimers of GFRα1 (Fig. 5). Different cross-linkers, such as EDC with sulfo-NHS, BS3, DSS, and DSP, were tested, and the result remained the same (Fig. 5 and unpublished data). A direct association of the GDNF receptor complex and Met was not detected in Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells either (unpublished data).

Cross-linking of 125I-HGF in COS7 cells followed by immunoprecipitation with anti-Met antibodies was used as a positive control. It resulted in ~200-, 250-, and 340-kDa complexes (Fig. 5 and unpublished data). Direct binding of 125I-HGF to anti-Met antibodies was not detected (unpublished data).

In Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells, Ret was phosphorylated already at 0.1 pg/ml of GDNF, and saturation was reached at 10 ng/ml (Fig. 4 D).
complexes under reducing conditions (Fig. 5). They represent different combinations of the Met β-subunit (140 kD), Met-αβ heterodimer (190 kD), and HGF complexes (60–90 kD).

**GDNF-induced phosphorylation of Met is mediated by Src family kinases**

Already 0.1 pg/ml of GDNF saturated Src phosphorylation at Tyr418, demonstrating Src activation in both GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells (Fig. 6 A). 1 μM concentration of the Src-type kinase inhibitor PP2 inhibited GDNF-induced Met activation in both GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells but not in the HGF-induced Met phosphorylation in these cells (unpublished data). Accordingly, PP2 strongly reduced the GDNF-dependent phosphorylation of Met in SHEP cells endogenously expressing GFRα1 but not Ret (Fig. 6 B) and did not affect HGF-induced Met phosphorylation (Fig. 6 B).

We used adenoviruses to introduce DN c-Src or activated c-Src to GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing and wild-type MDCK cells. Both constructs contained gfp under a separate promoter, which enabled us to monitor the infection efficiency. It was close to 100% in all experiments. Adenoviruses with gfp alone were used as a control. In accordance with the results with PP2, DN c-Src efficiently blocked Src phosphorylation and Met activation induced by GDNF but not that induced by HGF (Fig. 7 A). Expression of activated c-Src resulted in GDNF-independent phosphorylation of Met, which could not be further increased by GDNF. In contrast, HGF enhanced Met phosphorylation in wild-type MDCK-expressing activated c-Src (Fig. 7 A).

GFRα1-, Ret/GFRα1-, and wild-type MDCK cells transduced with adenoviruses expressing DN c-Src, activated c-Src, or GFP were grown in collagen gel to analyze branching responses. DN c-Src suppressed GDNF- but not HGF-induced...
branching tubulogenesis (Fig. 7 B). Activated c-Src evoked branching in a ligand-independent manner in all tested MDCK lines. In the presence of activated c-Src, HGF but not GDNF further increased the number of branching cysts (Fig. 7 B).

**Discussion**

We demonstrate the first Ret-independent morphological responses to GDNF. First, it partially restores the ureteric branching of ret-deficient hypoplastic kidneys when applied
to the culture medium. Second, GDNF induces branching but not chemotactic migration of MDCK cells expressing GFRα1 but not Ret. Because Met is the only receptor to promote branching of wild-type MDCK cells, we tested whether GDNF activates Met in non-Ret signaling. Indeed, in MDCK cells and several other cell types, GDNF binding to GFRα1 activates Met indirectly via Src family kinases. Src activation is essential for both Met activation and branching morphogenesis. These data underline the role of GFRα1 in the ureteric branching morphogenesis and provide biochemical and biological evidence for a novel signaling mechanism for GDNF.

The development of the mammalian permanent kidney or metanephros requires reciprocal inductive interactions between the metanephric mesenchyme and the ureteric bud (Kuure et al., 2000). GDNF is an essential mesenchymal signal for ureteric budding and branching (Sariola and Saarma, 1999), and it has been assumed to signal during kidney morphogenesis via the GFRα1 and Ret complex, because GDNF-soaked beads fail to induce ectopic buds from Wolffian ducts of ret-deficient mice (Sainio et al., 1997) and wild-type metanephric mesenchymes cocultured with ret-deficient ureteric buds do not restore branching (Schuchardt et al., 1996). It is notable that the renal phenotype of the mice lacking Ret is variable ranging from total aplasia to hypodysplasia. The metanephric development is initiated in 61% of ret-deficient embryos (Schuchardt et al., 1996). We now managed to partially restore branching of ret-deficient hypodysplastic kidney rudiments by exogenous supplementation of GDNF but failed to decrease with GDNF the number of kidney explants with complete renal aplasia. The data suggest that Ret-independent signaling via GFRα1 rather sustains the ureteric branching than initiates bud formation from the Wolffian duct. However, the proper orientation of the tips of the ureteric buds within the nephrogenic mesenchyme might be critically controlled by Ret activity, since it is only in the presence of Ret that the GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells react chemotactically to GDNF.

The molecular mechanisms of chemokinesis, chemotaxis, and tubulogenesis are at least partially different. In HGF signaling, Grb2 and PLCγ are crucial for tubulogenesis but not important for cell scattering (Royal et al., 1997; Gual et al., 2000). A downstream target of PI3-K, p70, is required for MDCK cell motility and dissociation but not for tubulogenesis (Royal et al., 1997). In contrast to the GDNF-induced Ret activation, the GFRα1-mediated Met activation promotes tubulogenesis but only weak chemokinetic motility of MDCK cells. In the presence of Ret, Met activation by GDNF probably involves different downstream adaptors than in GFRα1-mediated, non-Ret signaling and therefore evokes different cell responses.

GFRα1, a GPI-linked receptor, does not have an intracellular domain. In the absence of Ret, GFRα1 apparently employs other transmembrane molecule(s) for signal transduction. The similarity of the GDNF- and HGF-induced branching responses of MDCK cells prompted us to study the possible interplay of GDNF and Met. Indeed, GDNF evokes Met phosphorylation in both GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing cells but not in wild-type MDCK cells. Met activation by GDNF is not restricted to a particular cell line or cell type, since it takes place in SHEP cells endogenously expressing GFRα1, in gfrα1-transfected COS7 cells, and NIH 3T3 fibroblasts. However, the cross-linking immunoprecipitation experiments using several different chemical cross-linkers and several cell lines failed to detect any GDNF complexes with Met, making a direct binding of GFRα1 and Met highly improbable.

Src-type kinases were putative candidates to mediate the GDNF signaling from GFRα1 to Met because they are associated with the lipid rafts like GFRα1 (Harder et al., 1998), they are activated in Ret-independent signaling by GDNF (Poteryaev et al., 1999; Trupp et al., 1999), c-Src kinase is associated with Met after receptor activation (Rahimi et al., 1998), and integrin-mediated activation of Ron receptor tyrosine kinase, homologous to Met, requires c-Src (Danilko-vitch-Miagkova et al., 2000). Indeed, inhibition of Src-type kinases by PP2 prevents phosphorylation of Met by GDNF but not by HGF. Moreover, expression of DN c-Src blocks GDNF-induced Met phosphorylation and branching tubulogenesis in GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells, but it does not affect HGF-induced branching in wild-type MDCK cells. These findings are in agreement with the results reported by Rahimi et al. (1998). They demonstrated that DN c-Src does not alter the phosphorylation level of Met in a mouse mammary carcinoma cell line SP1. Thus, Src family kinases are upstream to Met in the GDNF-induced activation but downstream to Met in the HGF-induced activation. In MDCK cells, c-Src is apparently involved in non-Ret signaling, but the role of other Src kinases remains open.

Already low concentrations of GDNF induce phosphorylation of Met in both GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing MDCK cells. Intriguingly, Met is saturated by GDNF at 4 fM (0.1 pg/ml), whereas HGF saturates Met at 0.5 nM (Villa-Moruzzi et al., 1993). The phenomenon of femtomolar concentrations causing activation of a signaling pathway and biological response is not unique. Femtomolar levels of GABA neurotransmitter stimulate migration of a subpopulation of cortical neurons (Behar et al., 1996, 1998). Similarly, the delta opioid peptide [D-Ala2,D-Leu5]-enkephalin promotes PC12 cell survival via the MEK-ERK pathway at femtomolar concentration (Hayashi et al., 2002).

Different doses of GDNF and the receptor context define the cellular responses to the ligand. Low doses of GDNF induce branching but not chemotaxis of GFRα1-expressing, ret-deficient MDCK cells. When Ret is present, GDNF induces both branching and chemotaxis but only at a high concentration. Thus, RET obviously negatively controls branching at low doses of GDNF. The GFRα1–Ret complex may be less stable than the GFRα1 complex, which may increase the GDNF binding sites in the absence of Ret and initiate the branching response at low GDNF doses. It is also possible that the GFRα1–Ret complex is internalized without ligand faster than the GFRα1 complex. On the other hand, it is apparent that Ret is essential for the chemotactic response to GDNF.

Kidney development is normally initiated in Met- and HGF-deficient mice (Birchmeier and Gherardi, 1998). These animals die around E13–15 due to severe malformations in placental and liver morphogenesis (Schmidt et al., 1995). In kidney culture, the antibodies neutralizing HGF disrupt kidney development suggesting a role of HGF/Met signaling in kidney morphogenesis (Woelf et al., 1995). On the other hand, GDNF/Ret/GFRα1 signaling plays a crucial role in
kidney differentiation both in vivo and in vitro (Schuchardt et al., 1994; Pichel et al., 1996; Sanchez et al., 1996; Cacalano et al., 1998). The in vivo contribution of GDNF/Met signaling in kidney morphogenesis should be further elucidated by Ret/Met- or conditional Met-deficient mice.

Both met and ret are protooncogenes. Met is up-regulated in several different cancer forms (Giordano et al., 2000), and hgf and met are frequently overexpressed in breast carcinomas (Tuck et al., 1996; Ghoussoub et al., 1998). Activated Ret upregulates met in normal human thyrocytes (Ivan et al., 1997). Mutations in met have been found in the familial papillary renal cancer and in few cases of sporadic papillary renal cancer (Schmidt et al., 1997; Zhuang et al., 1998). Oncogenic ret mutations cause multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A and 2B syndromes, familial medullary thyroid cancer, and pheochromocytomas (Pasini et al., 1996; Edery et al., 1997). c-Src, which is activated after Met and Ret phosphorylation, is highly expressed in human breast cancer (Ottenthal-Kalf et al., 1992) and is activated in SP1 carcinoma cells (Rahimi et al., 1996, 1998). The sustained activation of c-Src stimulates expression of HGF in carcinoma cells, which may lead to invasiveness and metastasis (Hung and Elliott, 2001). Different cell lines expressing oncogenic forms of Ret possess high Src kinase activity levels (Melillo et al., 1999). The interplay between Met, Ret, and Src kinases might also be crucial in carcinogenesis, since GDNF induces Met phosphorylation in Neuro-2A neuroblastoma cells.

During recent years, evidence for cross-talk between heterologous receptor tyrosine kinases and signaling pathways has rapidly emerged. A neurromodulator, adenosine, acting through the A3a receptors activates Trk neurotrophin receptors in the absence of their ligands (Lee and Chao, 2001). Binding of nerve growth factor to TrkA promotes phosphorylation of Ret in a GDNF-independent manner (Tsui-Pierchala et al., 2002). Met is also activated by factors other than HGF. The Listeria surface protein InIB binds to and phosphorylates Met (Shen et al., 2000), and epidermal growth factor receptor activates Met in transformed cells (Bergstrom et al., 2000; Jo et al., 2000). Src family kinases are one of the mediators between receptor complexes (Danilkovich-Migkova et al., 2000; Lee and Chao, 2001). Obviously, a horizontal activation mechanism of a receptor tyrosine kinase by heterologous ligand/receptor systems may be more common than assumed. The horizontal activation of Met by GDNF via Src demonstrates a synergy of two signaling systems, which should be taken in consideration when the biological and pathological effects of Met, GFRα1, or Ret are studied. It remains to be resolved whether other GDNF family ligands using different GFRαs for ligand binding can activate Met or other receptor tyrosine kinases.

Materials and methods
Cell culture and transfections
Early passage MDCK cells were provided by Dr. E. Lehtonen (Haartman Institute, University of Helsinki). Cells were cultured in MEM with 10% FCS. Human SHEP neuroblastoma cells and Neuro-2A were cultured in RPMI 1640 with 10% FCS. NIH 3T3 and COS7 cells were cultured in DME with 10% FCS and transiently transfected with pcDNA3-GFRα1/GFP using FuGene 6™ reagent (Roche). For creation of stable lines, MDCK cells were transfected in equal portions with pcDNA3-Ret, pcDNA3-GFRα1, and pcDNA3-GFRα2/GFP using FuGene 6™ reagent and selected with 400 μg/ml G418 (GIBCO BRL, Life Technologies). After 2 wk of selection, multiple clones were collected and the expression of met and/or gfra1 was verified by RT-PCR and Western blotting. Ret/GFRα1 (N7 and N17), GFRα1 (N14), and GFRα1-GFP (N2 and N3) clones which showed high level of exogenous protein expression according to the Western blot were used for further analyses.

GFP-GFRα1 fusion expression plasmid construction
The entire GFP coding sequence except first methionine was amplified by PCR with primers: 5'-aattgctagctagcaagggaggagccc-3'; 5'-aattgctagctttttttactgcctgc-3'. The primers contained NheI restriction sites flanking the GFP sequence. The GFP full coding sequence cloned into pcDNA3 expression vector was subjected to “inverse PCR.” The “sense” GFRα1 primer with NheI (5'-aattgctagctagcaagggaggagccc-3') was designed to anneal at the beginning of the first GFRα1 sequence, whereas the “anti-sense” primer with NheI (5'-atatgctagctttttttactgcctgc-3') annealed to the end of signal leader peptide of GFRα1 precursor. The resulting PCR products were digested with NheI and ligated. The expression construct therefore is the NheI-terminal fusion of mature GFRα1 to GFP preceded by in-frame signal peptide of GFRα1 with starting methionine, which targets the fusion to the extracellular protein pathway. The membrane localization of the fusion was checked by confocal microscopy in transiently transfected SHEP and Neuro-2a cells (unpublished data).

Construction of Src mutants recombinant adenoviruses
Wild-type and DN c-Src CDNA were a gift from Dr. Joan Brugge (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA). Activated c-Src was obtained by introducing a tyrosine-to-phosphomimetic mutation at position 527 mutation at a PCR primer. 5'-GCTTACATAAGGTTCTCECGGGGCGTTCGAGCTGTGGCT-3'. The adenoviruses were generated using the pAdEeasy recombination system as described in He et al. (1998). Briefly, the Src mutants were cloned in the shuttle vector pAdTrack, recombinated in bacteria with the adenoviral vector pAdEasy, linearized, transfectected, and amplified in 293A cells. The virus particles were purified on CsCl gradients, dialyzed, and titered in 293A cells. For the infection of GFRα1- and Ret/GFRα1-expressing and wild-type MDCK cells we used 5 pfu/cell of Adeno-DN c-Src, Adeno-activated c-Src and Adeno-GFP diluted in serum-free DMEM with 15 mM Heps, pH 7.4.

Cloning of ret eDNA from dog testes
Total RNA was isolated with Trizol reagent (GIBCO BRL, Life Technologies) from autopsy samples of adult dog testes (Veterinary Hospital, University of Helsinki). Reverse transcription reaction was performed using Superscript II RT (GIBCO BRL, Life Technologies). PCR was run for 40 cycles with primers for human c-Src 5'-AGACGGTGACTCTGCATCGAGC-3' and 5'-CGTGAAGTGAGCACAAGGG-3'. The PCR product was cloned into pGem-T vector (Promega) and sequenced (sequence data available from GenBank/EMBL/DBJ under accession no. AF36316). Primers from nucleotides 29–49 and 225–244 of GenBank/EMBL/DBJ sequence no. AF364316 were used in RT-PCR analysis for canine ret expression. For Northern blot, 30 μg of total RNA per lane was separated in 1.2% formaldehyde-agarose gel and transferred by capillary blotting onto Hybond-N membrane (Amersham Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Blot was hybridized with [32P]dCTP-labeled canine ret probe (sequence data available from GenBank/EMBL/DBJ under accession no. AF364316) and washed in stringent conditions (Sambrook et al., 1989).

Western blotting and immunoprecipitation
To analyze Src activation, subconfluent MDCK cells were starved for 24 h before induction into serum-free MEM. After a 10-min incubation at 37°C with 50 ng/ml GDNF (Cephalon Inc. or R&D Systems) or 50 ng/ml HGF (Sigma-Aldrich), cells were lysed in lysis buffer supplemented with 1 mM Na-orthovanadate and analyzed on Western blots as described (Lindahl et al., 2001). Blots were probed with the indicated antibodies and developed with ECL reagents (Amersham Biosciences). The following antibodies were used: anti-Tyr527-Src and anti-Src (BioSource International). Phospho-specific antibody to Tyr418 Src was detected by tyrosine 418 detects activated form of p60Src (Abram and Courtneidge, 2000).
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