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Abstract

Background: A variety of indicators to register occlusal contacts are used in the daily dental practice. One of the most frequently used qualitative occlusal indicators is the articulating paper. Its reliability is often disputed because this indicator could produce false positive results or fail to register any occlusal contacts.

Aim: To compare the number and size of the recorded contacts on a tooth surface obtained with articulating paper and foil.

Materials and methods: Typodonts of upper and lower jaw with intact dental arches Frasaco A-3Z were fixed in a Girbach arcon articulator. Articulating contacts were marked with 12-micron Bausch articulating foil and 200-micron Bausch articulating paper under the same load. For each study a new sheet of the occlusal indicators was used, and 10 repetitions were made for each one. After every marking, the lower jaw was dismounted from the articulator and the distribution of the markings was videographed using a camera. The number of occlusal contacts was entered in a table. We analysed the markings on the first maxillary and first mandibular molars.

Results: We found that fewer and bigger contacts were recorded using the 200-micron articulating paper in comparison with the contacts obtained using the foil.

Statistical analysis showed that there is significant difference in the number of the occlusal contacts registered with articulating paper, since $p < 0.05$.

Conclusions: The type of occlusal contact indicator has an effect on the number and the size of the occlusal contact markings.
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INTRODUCTION

Occlusion is the static relationship between the incising or masticating surfaces of the maxillary or mandibular teeth or tooth analogues. Qualitative and quantitative occlusal contact indicators are commonly used to assess these occlusal-articulating relations. Articulation paper is the most frequently used indicator due to its lower price and easy application.

It has been found in dental practice that the size of markings obtained with articulation paper indicates the load of the occlusal contacts. The data in the available literature regarding the relationship between the thickness of the articulation paper and the area of the markings is rather contradictory. According to some authors the size of the area
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The reliability of the articulation paper often is disputed due to the possibility of registering false occlusal contacts.\textsuperscript{15}

**AIM**

Comparison of the results obtained with articulating foil and paper by number and size of the contacts.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Typodonts of upper and lower jaw with intact dental arches Frasaco A-3Z were fixed in an arcon semi-adjustable Girbach articulator, model AR218710 (Fig. 1). We mounted the models fixed previously in maximum intercuspation position onto the occludator according to the manufacturer instructions.

For registration of the occlusal contacts we used two different indicators - articulation paper Bausch 200 µ and articulation foil Bausch 12µ. A new sheet of paper was used after every registration round with the help of Bausch exalibur articulating paper forceps (Fig. 2).

Ten repetitions were made with every one of the occlusal indicators. Models were closed in bite till maximum intercusption, without any additional loading. The distribution of the occlusal contacts of the both dental arches were recorded with digital camera Sony model DSC-W530. Photos were obtained according to standard distance of 10 cm between the models and the fixed camera.

The area of registered occlusal contacts was measured using a free program “Image J”. The program allows the outlined contour (area) to be measured. Thus, the area of the occlusal surface and the contacts for each tooth were measured in pixels. In this way, numerical values were obtained, respectively, of the total area of the tooth and of the occlusal contacts recorded for each occlusal indicator thickness.

We used the data obtained from the first maxillary molars and first mandibular molars due to their role as masticatory center. After every round we cleaned the occlusal surfaces with spirit soaked cotton roll. The obtained results were statistically analyzed with special software SPSS (SPSS Inc. IBM SPSS Statistic) v. 19.

**RESULTS**

During the comparison of the results obtained with articulation paper Bausch 200 µ (Fig. 3) and articulation foil Bausch 12µ (Fig. 4) we noticed differences in the number and size of the contacts. At least 4 contacts were registered on the maxillary first molars with both indicators. With articulation foil the maximum number of registered contacts was 5 and with paper - 4. On the occlusal surfaces of the mandibular molars were registered minimum 4 and maximum 5 contacts. The minimum marks registered with articulation paper were 3 and maximum 5.

The results after the statistical analysis of the number of occlusal contacts are displayed in Table 1. The results from the statistical analysis show statistically significant difference in the number of the registered contacts with articulation paper and foil due to \( p<0.05 \).

On the maxillary first molars we had at least 4 contacts registered with both indicators. With articulation foil the maximum were 5 and with paper - 4. On the occlusal surfaces of the mandibular molars were registered minimum 4 and maximum 5 contacts. The minimum marks registered with articulation paper were 3 and maximum 5.

The minimum area of the registered occlusal contacts with the articulation foil was 0.66 pixels and with the articulation paper - 6.59 pixels. The maximum area of the foil contacts was 2.33 pixels, and with paper - 12.77 pixels.

The results after the statistical analysis of the size of these occlusal contacts are shown in Table 2.

Figure 1. Models mounted in an occludator.
Figure 2. Excalibur articulating paper forceps.

Figure 3. Occlusal contacts registered with articulating paper. A. maxillary molars; B. mandibular molars.

Figure 4. Occlusal contacts registered with articulating foil. A. maxillary molars; B. mandibular molars.

Table 1. Numbers of occlusal contacts

| Type of occlusion indicators | Articulation foil Bausch 12 µ | Articulation paper Bausch 200 µ |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Tooth                        | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| First maxillary molars       | 4       | 5       | 4.7  | 4       | 4       | 4    |
| First mandibular molars      | 4       | 5       | 4.7  | 3       | 4       | 3.9  |

Table 2. Size of occlusal contacts in pixels

| Type of occlusion indicators | Articulation foil Bausch 12 µ | Articulation paper Bausch 200 µ |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Size of contacts in pixels   | Minimum | Maximum |
| Minimum area                 | 0.66    | 2.33    |
| Maximum area                 | 6.59    | 12.77   |

The results from the statistical analysis show statistically significant difference in the size of the registered contacts with articulation paper and foil due to $p<0.05$.

We determined that the thicker occlusal paper left fewer in number and larger in size markings, whereas the thinner paper left smaller in size, but bigger in number markings.

**DISCUSSION**

Our survey shows that articulation foil identifies more occlusal contacts and of smaller size. With articulation paper we registered less in number and bigger in size marks.

The formula force/size = load shows that the force is a constant value and when the marking area size increases, the load decreases. Resultant marks from thicker paper are larger in size, but contain less individual load and vice versa. The marks obtained from the thinner paper have smaller size and bigger individual load.

Therefore, we can conclude that the small area markings contain heavier load and the large area markings have lesser load.

We confirm the statement that the thicker articulating paper leaves bigger in size and fewer in number marks. But our results don’t match the results of other authors. The assessment of occlusal contacts obtained from
articulation paper or articulation foil are inaccurate and subjective due to the possibility of registering false positive contacts.\textsuperscript{10,14}

**CONCLUSION**

The type of occlusal contact indicators has an effect on the false registration marks and on the size and number of the occlusal contacts as well.

The results from the survey prove the link between the thickness of the occlusal indicator and the form of the marks on the occlusal surface.
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Абстракт

Введение: В повседневной стоматологической практике используются различные индикаторы для установления окклюзионных контактов. Одним из наиболее часто используемых качественных окклюзионных индикаторов является артикуляционная бумага. Его надёжность часто вызывает споры, потому что этот индикатор может давать ложные положительные результаты или не устанавливать окклюзионный контакт.

Цель: Сравнить количество и размеры отмеченных контактов на поверхности зуба, установленных с помощью артикуляционной бумаги и плёнки.

Материалы и методы: Модели верхней и нижней челюсти с интактными зубными дугами Frasaco A-3Z были зафиксированы в артикуляторе Girbach arcon. Артикуляционные контакты были зафиксированы с помощью 12-микронной артикуляционной плёнки Bausch и 200-микронной артикуляционной бумаги Bausch с одинаковой нагрузкой. Новый лист для окклюзионных показателей был использован для каждого исследования, и 10 повторов были сделаны для каждого исследования. После каждой маркировки нижняя челюсть удалялась из артикулятора, и распределение маркировки регистрировалось видеокамерой. Количество окклюзионных контактов зафиксировано в таблице. Мы проанализировали маркировку первых верхнечелюстных и нижнечелюстных моляров.

Результаты: Было установлено, что большее количество и более крупные маркировки были зафиксированы с использованием 200-микронной артикуляционной бумаги по сравнению с контактами, зафиксированными на плёнке.

Статистический анализ показал, что существует значительная разница в количестве окклюзионных контактов, установленных с помощью артикуляционной бумаги. р <0,05.

Вывод: Тип индикатора окклюзионного контакта влияет на количество и размер окклюзионных маркировок контакта.
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