Top-down self-regulation processes as determinants of oral hygiene self-care behaviour: A systematic scoping review

Adam A. Rogers¹ | Tiril Willumsen¹ | Hilde Strømme² | Jan-Are K. Johnsen³

¹Institute of Clinical Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
²University of Oslo Library, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
³Department of Clinical Dentistry, Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT—The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

Abstract

Objectives: Understanding the psychological mechanisms that moderate oral hygiene self-care behavior is anticipated to benefit efforts to change such behavior. Top-down self-regulatory (TSR) processes represent one group of relatively unexplored, yet potentially influential, moderating factors. This systematic scoping review aims to explore whether there is evidence that TSR processes moderate oral hygiene self-care engagement within the current literature.

Methods: CINAHL, The Cochrane Library, Embase, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, Scopus, and Web of Science databases were searched up to April 2020 for articles that compared measures of TSR processes (such as self-monitoring, inhibitory control, and task switching) to oral hygiene self-care behavior, or tested interventions that aimed to change or support TSR processes.

Results: The search returned 6626 articles, with 25 included in the final sample. Weak evidence supported both the role of TSR processes as moderators of interdental cleaning and the value of interventions targeting self-monitoring of interdental cleaning behavior. Overall, methodological limitations rendered the findings somewhat inconclusive, with an absence of objective assessments of TSR capacity, and little focus on TSR processes as moderators of intervention effects.

Conclusions: The inconclusive, but reasonably promising, findings point to the value of continuing to apply TSR processes within studies of oral hygiene behavior. Exploring why interdental cleaning appears more reliant on TSR processes than toothbrushing, employing objective neuropsychological assessment, and measuring TSR constructs within interventions targeting TSR processes, are encouraged. As a scoping review, the study hopes to generate interest and serve as a starting point for further investigation.
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INTRODUCTION

Top-down self-regulatory (TSR) processes are executive functions of the brain that govern the effortful selection and redirection of behavior. While bottom-up processes represent the formation of beliefs, motivations, and associations that increase the salience of a behavioral option (e.g., increasing perceptions of value, adding cue-associations), top-down processes work to retrieve and compare behavioral options before shifting effort towards a single task or goal (Hofmann et al., 2011, 2012). Without TSR processes, behavior would be heavily reliant on automaticity, leading to engagement solely in actions that are immediately fulfilling or triggered by the immediate environment (Diamond, 2013). This is an important consideration when thinking about preventive health behaviors, as the long-term focus of preventive actions may mean they require sufficient TSR capacity to facilitate being favored over competing alternatives (Hall & Fong, 2007).

Being synonymous with executive functions, TSR processes typically relate to the cognitive tasks of self-monitoring, response inhibition, and task switching (Diamond, 2013; Miyake et al., 2000). The role of these processes in health behavior is also highlighted by Temporal Self-Regulation Theory, which suggests that TSR processes represent the necessary biological capacity required to both ignore unwanted behavioral tendencies and translate positive behavioral intentions into actual engagement (Hall & Fong, 2007). In the oral health field, reduced TSR functionality may explain why a person fails to recall oral hygiene plans, or why they experience difficulties ignoring competing tendencies or redirecting behavior despite knowledge that oral hygiene self-care will be beneficial.

Although the role of executive functions in health behavior has been advocated (Allan et al., 2016; Gray-Burrows et al., 2019), few reviews have explored TSR processes in the oral health field. While there is evidence demonstrating the benefits of self-monitoring interventions (Newton & Asimakopoulou, 2015) and links between conditions such as ADHD (a condition related to difficulties with inhibition and impulsivity) and oral health (Chau et al., 2020), there has been little focus on whether specific TSR processes may contribute significantly to an overall explanation of engagement in preventive oral hygiene self-care.

Deeper appreciation of the mechanisms that underpin positive health behaviors is expected to benefit attempts to elicit behavioral change (Aklin et al., 2020; Hagger et al., 2020), with understanding the role of TSR processes as moderators of oral hygiene self-care thus anticipated to help preventive oral health efforts. However, with limited exploration of TSR processes among existing reviews from the oral hygiene field, such a relationship between TSR processes and oral hygiene self-care is not anticipated to be readily apparent. Establishing whether a relationship exists, therefore, potentially requires broad searching to reveal and assess the applications of TSR constructs within the existing literature. The current study attempts this very goal, taking the form of a systematic scoping review to explore whether existing applications of TSR processes show evidence of moderating engagement in oral hygiene self-care behavior. The aim is to explore: (i) the role of TSR processes as potential moderators of action and (ii) the methods used to apply TSR processes to the study of oral hygiene behaviors. Analysing these aspects is expected to assist in directing future research and offering conclusions regarding the value of TSR processes within explanatory models of oral hygiene self-care behavior.

METHODS

2.1 Protocol and registration

A review protocol was designed around the research question: what evidence is there of a relationship between top-down self-regulatory processes and oral hygiene self-care behaviours, with the search strategy derived from the PICO statement presented in Table 1. The protocol was registered and published via the Open Science Framework before data collection (https://osf.io/mxkhb/) and the review carried out according to the PRISMA recommendations (Moher et al., 2009).

2.2 Eligibility criteria

Studies were selected if TSR constructs were examined as moderators of oral hygiene self-care behavior, or targeted by an oral hygiene intervention. In either case, studies were required to provide a quantitative statistical comparison and have a full-text version available in Norwegian, Swedish, Danish, or English language. Psychological and self-care measures had to be self-reported or objective measurements pertaining to a single person. This meant that data from caregivers administering oral hygiene care to patients, or parents cleaning the teeth of their children, were excluded. While the review sought to discuss interventions that targeted TSR processes, it did not aim to review specific intervention designs or behavioral change techniques. For example, self-monitoring and working memory updating represent TSR processes that may be implicitly targeted through keeping a diary or calendar of behavior.

| PICO item | Definition |
|-----------|------------|
| Population | Any population. |
| Intervention/independent variable | Any intervention that explicitly targets top-down self-regulatory processes or any quantitative measure of top-down self-regulatory capacity. |
| Comparison | Any quantitative intervention result, or any quantitative comparison. |
| Outcome | Any quantified measure of oral hygiene self-care behavior, typically toothbrushing or interdental cleaning. |
However, the review did not aim to collect information pertaining to all uses of dairy interventions, only those that mentioned targeting TSR processes—that is, self-monitoring or working memory.

2.3 Search

The following databases were searched on April 2nd, 2020: CINAHL (EBSCO), The Cochrane Library (Wiley), Embase (Ovid), MEDLINE (Ovid), PsycINFO (Ovid), Scopus, Web of Science. No limit was set on date, language, or type of publication during search phase. The electronic search strategy was created via preliminary searching, extraction of keywords from existing reviews, and consultation with two psychologists. A variety of synonyms were used to capture TSR mechanisms, including terms related to self-referent cognitions, monitoring and inhibition, and general executive functions. Oral hygiene self-care terms incorporated general phrases related to oral health and behavioral frequency. Relevant subject headings for each category were also included. A full copy of the electronic search strategy is included in Appendix A.

All search results were exported to EndNote and duplicates were removed using the methods prescribed by Bramer (2016). Titles and abstracts were screened by two reviewers (AAR & HS) using Rayyan software (Ouzzani et al., 2016) and a screening protocol of inclusion/exclusion criteria. Following preliminary screening, full-text analysis was conducted by three members of the research team (AAR, TW, J-AKJ) to determine suitability for inclusion in the final sample with consensus reached for all included studies.

2.4 Data collection

Data were extracted through collaboration between three reviewers (AAR, TW, J-AKJ). To address the research question regarding the relationship between TSR processes and oral hygiene self-care, data items included the instruments used to assess oral hygiene behavior and TSR constructs, the methods used within intervention processes, and the observed statistical relationships or effects. Where data were unavailable, corresponding authors were contacted.

3 RESULTS

The initial search returned 6626 results. After duplicate removal and screening of the remaining 3257 unique articles, 73 advanced to full-text review and the final sample included 25 studies and 25 unique populations. A flow-chart of the review process is presented in Figure 1 and demographic information presented in Table 2. Details of the studies excluded during full-text review are provided in Appendix B. The samples included participants from 13 different countries, with a median sample size of 151 participants, and with 60% of samples taken from school or university students.

The included studies showed a distinct preference to focus on either plaque removal via toothbrushing or plaque removal via the use of interdental cleaning aids. Extracted data were therefore grouped based on study design (cross-sectional or intervention) and target behavior (toothbrushing or interdental cleaning), and is presented in Tables 3–6.

3.1 Findings from cross-sectional studies

Table 3 presents data from the studies (n = 8) that examined the relationship between TSR processes and toothbrushing frequency. Overall, little evidence suggested a significant relationship. However, it should be noted that only one study attempted neuropsychological assessment of executive functions instead of self-report methods (Naorungroj et al., 2013), and only one explored variations in toothbrushing beyond daily frequency (Pakpour et al., 2016), with both studies observing stronger relationships.

Table 4 presents data from the studies (n = 12) that tested the presence of a relationship between TSR processes and flossing frequency. In contrast to the toothbrushing results, the evidence pertaining to interdental cleaning was more indicative of a potential relationship. The presence of linear relationships, however, was mixed. Only four linear relationships were reported, with three of these between flossing and action control (Hamilton et al., 2018; Schuz et al., 2007; Suresh et al., 2012). Among the flossing studies, there was a reduced tendency to use daily-frequency as the timeframe for behavior with studies favoring weekly or monthly recall periods.

3.2 Findings from intervention studies

Looking at the intervention studies, the data from those that tested TSR interventions on toothbrushing frequency is presented in Table 5. Again, there was little evidence that TSR-focussed interventions influenced toothbrushing frequency. Regarding the interventions themselves, all targeted the processes of self-monitoring/memory-updating via the use of behavioral diaries, with the logging of behavior anticipated to increase the effort dedicated to monitoring whether behavior was completed or not. Periods of diary-use ranged from 1 week to 4 months, with all studies except one combining the self-monitoring facet with additional behavioral change techniques. Within the single study that isolated the effect of the diary, no significant effect was detected (McGlynn et al., 1985).

Data from the intervention studies that examined interdental cleaning are presented in Table 6. Again, all interventions targeted self-monitoring through the use of diary interventions, with the relationship between self-monitoring interventions and interdental cleaning the most widely explored within the unique samples (k = 16). It should be noted that none of the included studies utilized interventions related to alternative TSR processes, such as training metacognitive self-awareness, response inhibition, or attention control. Within the studies of interdental cleaning, three isolated the effect of a
self-monitoring program, with two reporting positive results (Schuz et al., 2007; Suresh et al., 2012). In 10 out of 17 applications the results suggested a relationship between the use of self-monitoring interventions and increased interdental cleaning behavior.

Overall, the results demonstrated that top-down processes were more related to interdental cleaning behavior than toothbrushing behavior and that self-monitoring interventions may have a positive influence on interdental cleaning frequency. Among the methods, there was considerable heterogeneity in the measures used to quantify oral hygiene self-care and TSR processes, as well as heterogeneity in the design of TSR-focussed interventions. Although the scoping review did not plan to offer rigid comparisons, the apparent general heterogeneity points to some interesting considerations for future study that are discussed below.

4 | DISCUSSION

This systematic scoping review aimed to explore whether TSR processes play a role in the moderation of oral hygiene self-care behaviors. Based on the current findings, interdental cleaning appears to have a stronger relationship with TSR processes, although due to varied methodological limitations and incomparable construct definitions these findings are somewhat inconclusive. As a scoping review, inconclusive results were neither unexpected nor fruitless. Rather, the results point to interesting gaps in the literature and potential pathways for the continued application of TSR processes within future research.

4.1 | Between-behavior differences in association with TSR processes

A key finding from the current review was the differences in association strength based on the behavior in focus. TSR processes, in general, appeared to be more associated with interdental cleaning than with toothbrushing. As executive functions are associated with a range of health behaviors (Gray-Burrows et al., 2019; Reimann et al., 2020), this difference was not anticipated. One explanation is that interdental cleaning may be perceived as more challenging to perform than toothbrushing, with reliance on executive resources increasing with task difficulty (Tun & Lachman, 2008). However, alternative
explanations might also relate to the automaticity of toothbrushing behavior or the time-perspective of outcomes linked to interdental cleaning. Automaticity increases with behavioral familiarity, allowing for increased unconscious processing and reducing demand on top-down self-regulatory control (Reisberg, 2013). As toothbrushing is usually emphasized more than interdental cleaning from an early age, it may be predisposed to greater implicit familiarity and automaticity, meaning an increased possibility of engagement in the absence of conscious self-regulatory effort. Hall and Fong (Hall & Fong, 2007) refer to this quality as *behavioural prepotency*, suggesting that implicit tendencies towards a behavior, based on past familiarity, increase the likelihood of action and present a moderating factor that acts independently of explicit intentions and executive function capacity. Regarding time-perspective, the temporal proximity of reward outcomes is suggested to influence how competing actions are weighed against one another (Hall & Fong, 2007). As toothbrushing is associated with a greater total plaque removal efficacy than interdental cleaning (Terezhalmy et al., 2005; Terézhalmy et al., 2008), it is likely

### Table 2 Demographic information for the included studies

| Author (year) | Country   | N    | Age group | Participants                  | Recruiting                                                      |
|---------------|-----------|------|-----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| McGlynn et al. (1985) | USA       | 52   | NR        | University students           | Cohort of second year dental students                         |
| McGlynn et al. (1987) | USA       | 59   | 16.3 (NR) | Dental patients               | Patients invited to volunteer                                 |
| O’Neill et al. (1987) | USA       | 25   | NR        | University students           | Recruiting not specified                                      |
| Stewart et al. (1991) | USA       | 100  | Range: 21–65 | Hospital patients            | Patients invited to volunteer                                 |
| McCaul et al. (1992) | USA       | 77   | 30.2 (NR) | University students           | University organization members invited by mail               |
| McCaul et al. (1992) | USA       | 38   | NR        | University students           | Cohort of first year psychology students                      |
| Little et al. (1997)   | USA       | 107  | 56.9 (NR) | Dental patients               | Patients invited to volunteer                                 |
| Sniehotta and Schüz (2006) | Germany | 470  | NR        | Dental patients               | Patients invited to volunteer                                 |
| Schuz (2007)            | Germany   | 151  | 25.15 (6.99) | University students            | Cohorts of psychology and education students                  |
| A. L. Dumitrescu et al. (2009) | Romania | 178  | 19.11 (1.43) | University students           | Cohort of first year medical students                         |
| A. L. Dumitrescu et al. (2010) | Romania | 213  | 19.26 (1.37) | University students           | Cohort of first year dental students                         |
| A. L. Dumitrescu et al. (2011) | Romania | 212  | 19.26 (1.38) | University students           | Cohort of first year dental students                         |
| A. L. Dumitrescu et al. (2011) | Romania | 198  | 19.75 (1.35) | University students           | Cohort of first year medical students                         |
| A. L. Dumitrescu et al. (2012) | Romania | 205  | 29.84 (9.78) | Dental patients               | Patients invited to volunteer                                 |
| Suresh et al. (2012)   | Kuwait    | 53   | 33.55 (7.20)* | Dental patients              | Recruiting not specified                                      |
| Ein-Gar et al. (2012)   | Israel    | 111  | 26 (NR)   | University students           | Cohort of adults from a cardiovascular disease epidemiology study |
| Naourungroj et al. (2013) | USA       | 8782 | 56.8 (5.7) | Community sample               | Recruiting not specified                                      |
| Lhakhang et al. (2015)  | India     | 205  | 20.7 (1.59) | University students           | Recruited via advertisement boards                            |
| Zhou et al. (2015)     | China     | 215  | 21.35 (1.39) | University students           | Recruiting not specified                                      |
| Schwarzer et al. (2015) | Poland   | 287  | 21.36 (1.55) | University students           | Recruited via advertisement boards                            |
| Pakpour et al. (2016)   | Iran      | 1109 | 15.35 (1.32) | School students               | Class cohorts within 48 individual schools invited to participate |
| Hamilton et al. (2018)  | Australia | 241  | 22.23 (6.40) | University students           | Recruiting not specified                                      |
| Asimakopoulou et al. (2019) | England | 97   | 60.61 (11.24) | Dental patients               | Patients invited to volunteer                                 |
| Araújo et al. (2019, 2020) | Portugal | 201  | 38.6 (12.49) | Community sample               | Advertisements in local newspapers advertising boards and dental clinics |
| Scheerman et al. (2020) | Netherlands | 132  | 13.35 (0.99)* | Dental patients               | Patients invited to volunteer                                 |

*aAverage of split sample.*
that the temporally proximal reward, that is, the immediate benefit, is perceived to be greater, despite the temporally distal rewards (e.g., the effect on periodontitis risk), being more similar. Lower immediate benefits are suggested to negatively impact the likelihood that interdental cleaning is favored over competing for alternative behaviors, increasing the reliance on top-down self-regulatory capacity to facilitate engagement (Hall & Fong, 2007). Although task difficulty, automaticity, and time-perspective were not explored within the current studies, they may represent key factors that explain the observed differences between unique oral hygiene self-care behaviors and their relationships with TSR processes.

4.2 | Interpretation of intervention effects

The results also point to the mixed effects of self-monitoring interventions, with slightly more frequent positive results observed among interdental cleaning studies. Explaining mixed results may have to do with TSR processes themselves. Within studies of dieting, executive planning capacity has been shown to moderate the effect of planning interventions (Allan et al., 2013). This means that a planning intervention may not register an effect if the individual is already adept at cognitive planning. Within the current studies, this moderating effect was unable to be explored with only two experimental self-monitoring interventions attempting to measure self-monitoring capacity (Pakpour et al., 2016; Schwarzer et al., 2015). Another explanation for the mixed results may have been the design of the intervention materials. In addition to considerable heterogeneity in the time-span of the interventions (range: 1 week to 4 months), there was little discussion about how the diaries were actually used. It is anticipated that while entering into an agreement to record one’s behavior may increase the attention and self-monitoring of behavior, placing a diary on the bathroom counter may have a different effect as a prompting device. This presents potential for diaries to work as both top-down (encouraging self-monitoring and metacognitions) and bottom-up (providing accessible environmental triggers) interventions. Further research is required to separate and understand the true effects of diary interventions as a behavioral change technique.

4.3 | Strengths and challenges

The current study did have considerable strengths as a novel scoping review concerning a relatively unexplored pathway within the field of preventive oral health. Namely, the review aimed to be broad and impartial, to incorporate learning from the behavioral sciences, and to employ a systematic and inclusive search strategy that avoided testing any particular theory or approach. However, it should be noted that defining where top-down and bottom-up processes differentiate is a topic of continued debate (Evans & Stanovich, 2013). Thus, the selection criteria should be interpreted as an attempt to include higher-order functions occurring within close
| Author                  | N     | Interdental cleaning measure                  | Independent variable                                      | Results |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| O’Neill et al. (1987)   | 25    | Flossing, weekly frequency, ordinal scale     | Covert self-monitoring Source: None provided              | ●○      |
| Schuz et al. (2007)     | 151   | Flossing, monthly frequency, interval scale   | Action control Source: Sniehotta et al. (2005)            | ●●      |
| A. L. Dumitrescu et al. (2009) | 178  | Flossing, monthly frequency, ordinal scale   | Self-Control Scale—Brief Source: Tangney et al. (2004)   | ○○      |
| A. L. Dumitrescu et al. (2010) | 213  | Not specified                                | Behavioural Inhibition System Source: Carver and White (2004) | ●○      |
| A. L. Dumitrescu et al. (2011) | 198  | Not specified                                | Self-Regulation Scale Source: Schwarzer et al. (1999)    | ○○      |
| Suresh et al. (2012)    | 53    | Flossing, weekly frequency, ordinal scale     | Action control Source: Schuz et al. (2007)                | ●●      |
| Ein-Gar et al. (2012)   | 111   | Flossette use, daily frequency, interval scale | Dispositional Self-Control Source: Ein-Gar et al. (2008), Ein-Gar and Steinhart (2011) | ○○      |
| A. L. Dumitrescu et al. (2012) | 205  | Flossing, monthly frequency, ordinal scale   | Self-Control Scale—Brief Source: Tangney et al. (2004)   | ●○      |
| Naonungroj et al. (2013) | 8782 | Flossing, weekly frequency, ordinal scale     | Executive functions; Delayed Word Recall Test Source: Knopman and Ryberg (1989), Word Fluency Test Source: Benton et al. (1981), Digit Symbol Substitution Test Source: Wechsler (1981) | ●○      |
| Schwarzer et al. (2015) | 287   | Flossing, daily frequency, interval scale     | Self-monitoring Source: None provided                     | ●●      |
| Hamilton et al. (2018)  | 241   | Flossing, weekly frequency, hybrid scale b    | Action Control Source: None provided                       | ●●      |
| Araújo et al. (2020)    | 201   | Flossing, daily frequency, ordinal scale      | Action control Source: Godinho et al. (2014)              | ●○      |

Abbreviations: ○, no relationship detected; ●, relationship at single timepoint or in group comparison; ●●, significant linear relationship; TSR, top-down self-regulatory.

aScale objectively measured.

bScale was a factor derivative of responses to an ordinal and interval scale.
| Author                | N (T) | Intervention delivery format                                                                 | Brushing measure             | Intervention content                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Intervention type                        | Results |
|-----------------------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| McGlynn et al. (1985)| 52 (NR)| Face-to-face, single goal-setting session followed after 2 weeks                            | Weekly frequency, ordinal scale | Daily self-monitoring of brushing behavior for 2 weeks after receiving goal-setting instructions focused on other behaviors                                                                                 | Self-monitoring                          | 000     |
| McGlynn et al. (1987)| 59 (29)| Face to face, single session, followed up after 2, 5, and 8 weeks                            | Weekly frequency, interval scale | Self-management intervention including a booklet that discussed goal-setting, self-evaluation, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 8 weeks                                                                 | Self-monitoring plus goal-setting materials | 000     |
| Stewart et al. (1991)| 100 (25)| Face-to-face, 20-min educational session, and two 25-min CBI sessions at one-week intervals, followed up 2 weeks after last session | Weekly frequency, interval scale | Cognitive-behavioral intervention targeting education, anticipated consequences, planning for barriers, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 1 week between CBI sessions | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | ●●○     |
| Little et al. (1997)| 107 (54)| Face-to-face, five 90-min sessions spaced 1 week apart, followed up 4 months after the first session | Weekly frequency, interval scale | Five 90-min oral hygiene classes. Classes targeted feedback on outcomes of behavior, social comparison, behavioral practice, goal-setting, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 4 months | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | ●●○     |
| Pakpour et al. (2016)| 1109 (367)| Written intervention, single session, followed up at 1 and 6 months                         | Monthly frequency, interval scale | Leaflet containing educational information and instructions to self-monitor daily behavior (timeframe not specified)                                                                                           | Self-monitoring & education              | 000     |
| Asimakopoulou et al. (2019)| 97 (33)| Face-to-face, single session, followed up at 4 and 12 weeks                                  | Weekly frequency, interval scale | Goal-setting, planning, and self-monitoring intervention. Included risk assessment, goal-setting session, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 12 weeks                                                                 | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | 000     |
| Scheerman et al. (2020)| 132 (67)| Digital intervention, active for 12 weeks                                                   | Daily frequency, interval scale | Smartphone application targeting self-monitoring of outcomes, education about consequences, goal-setting, implementation intentions, behavioral reminders, support messages, coping planning, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 12 weeks | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | 000     |

Abbreviations: ○, No effect; ●, Effect registered but no control comparison; ●●, More effective than control but not better than alternative treatment; ●●●, More effective than comparative treatment; CBI, cognitive-behavioral intervention.
### Table 6: Effect of targeting TSR processes on interdental cleaning frequency within intervention studies

| Author (Year) | N (T) | Intervention delivery format | Brushing measure | Intervention content | Intervention type | Results |
|---------------|-------|------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|
| McGlynn et al. (1985) | 52 (NR) | Face-to-face, single goal-setting session targeted at alterior actions followed up after 2 weeks of self-monitoring | Weekly frequency, ordinal scale | Daily self-monitoring of brushing behavior for 2 weeks after receiving goal-setting instructions focused on other behaviors | Self-monitoring | 000 |
| Stewart et al. (1991) | 100 (25) | Face-to-face, 20-minute educational session and two 25-minute CBI sessions at one-week intervals with one week of self-monitoring in between. Followed up 2 weeks after last session | Flossing, weekly frequency, interval scale | Cognitive-behavioral intervention targeting education, anticipated consequences, planning for barriers, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for one week between CBI sessions | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | 000 |
| McCaul et al. (1992) | 45 (15) | Face-to-face, sessions at beginning and 4 weeks to provide materials to complete the 10-week intervention. Followed up at conclusion of intervention | Flossing, weekly frequency, ordinal scale | Education and motivation intervention followed 4 weeks later by self-monitoring intervention. Calendar used to self-monitor daily behavior for 10 weeks | Self-monitoring plus motivation | 000 |
| McCaul et al. (1992) | 45 (15) | Face-to-face. One session at the beginning of self-monitoring, and another at 4 weeks to provide motivation and feedback. Followed up at the conclusion of the 10-week intervention | Flossing, weekly frequency, ordinal scale | Education and motivation intervention followed 4 weeks later by self-monitoring intervention. Ten-week daily self-monitoring intervention contained a mid-way session for skills-training, feedback and action planning, as well as fortnightly prompts via telephone | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | 000 |
| McCaul et al. (1992) | 77 (24) | Face-to-face, sessions at beginning and 4 weeks to provide materials to complete the 10-week intervention. Followed up at conclusion of intervention | Flossing, weekly frequency, ordinal scale | Intervention regarding flexible goal-setting given 2 weeks before self-monitoring intervention. Self-monitoring intervention focussed on monitoring of daily behavior for 8 weeks | Self-monitoring plus goal-setting | 000 |
| McCaul et al. (1992) | 77 (30) | Face-to-face, sessions at beginning and 4 weeks to provide materials to complete the 10-week intervention. Followed up at conclusion of intervention | Flossing, weekly frequency, ordinal scale | Intervention regarding difficult goal-setting given 2 weeks before self-monitoring intervention. Self-monitoring intervention focussed on monitoring of daily behavior for 8 weeks | Self-monitoring plus goal-setting | 000 |
| Little et al. (1997) | 107 (54) | Face-to-face, five 90-min sessions spaced 1 week apart, followed up at conclusion of 4-month self-monitoring intervention | Weekly frequency, interval scale | Five 90-minute oral hygiene classes. Classes targeted feedback on outcomes of behavior, social comparison, behavioral practice, goal-setting, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 4 months | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | 000 |
| Sniehotta and Schüz (2006) | 470 (147) | Written intervention, single exposure with 4 weeks of self-monitoring, followed up at conclusion of intervention | Flossing, weekly frequency, interval scale | Self-regulation intervention focussing on education, goal-setting, and self-monitoring of behavior for 4 weeks | Self-monitoring plus goal-setting | 000 |
| Schuz et al. (2007) | 151 (151) | Written intervention, single exposure with 2 weeks of self-monitoring. Followed up 4 weeks after the conclusion of the self-monitoring intervention | Flossing, monthly frequency, interval scale | Self-monitoring intervention. Calendar used to self-monitor daily behavior for 2 weeks | Self-monitoring | 000 |

(Continues)
| Author              | N (T)        | Intervention delivery format                                                                 | Brushing measure                          | Intervention content                                                                 | Intervention type                          | Results |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|
| Suresh et al. (2012) | 53 (53)     | Written intervention, single exposure, followed up at conclusion of 4-week self-monitoring intervention | Flossing, weekly frequency, ordinal scale | Self-monitoring intervention. Calendar used to self-monitor daily behavior for 2 weeks | Self-monitoring                          | ●○○     |
| Lhakhang et al. (2015) | 205 (94)    | Written intervention, single exposure delivered 17 days after a written motivational intervention and followed up at conclusion of a 17-day self-monitoring intervention | Flossing, daily frequency, interval measure | Initial motivational intervention focussed on education, outcomes, costs and benefits, and intention-formation. After 17 days, the self-regulation intervention was delivered and focussed on goal setting, coping strategies, goal review, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for the following 17 days | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | ●●●     |
| Lhakhang et al. (2015) | 205 (111)   | Written intervention, single exposure, followed up at the conclusion of a 17-day self-monitoring intervention | Flossing, daily frequency, interval measure | Self-regulation intervention focussed on goal-setting, coping strategies, goal-review, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 17 day | Self-monitoring plus goal-setting          | ●●●     |
| Schwarzer et al. (2015) | 144 (48)    | Written intervention, single exposure, followed up at conclusion of 3-week self-monitoring intervention | Flossing, daily frequency, interval measure | Self-regulation intervention targeting self-belief, action planning, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 3 weeks | Self-monitoring plus goal-setting          | ○○○     |
| Zhou et al. (2015)   | 215 (127)   | Written intervention, single exposure, followed up after 1 month                                 | Flossing, daily frequency, interval measure | Self-regulation intervention focussed on education, goal-setting, and self-monitoring daily behavior for 1 month | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | ●●○     |
| Asimakopoulou et al. (2019) | 97 (33) | Face-to-face, single session, followed up at 4 weeks and at conclusion of 12-week self-monitoring intervention | Morning interdental cleaning, weekly frequency, interval scale | Goal-setting, planning, and self-monitoring intervention. Included risk assessment, goal-setting session, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 12 weeks | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | ●●○     |
| Asimakopoulou et al. (2019) | 97 (33) | Face-to-face, single session, followed up at 4 weeks and at conclusion of 12-week self-monitoring intervention | Evening interdental cleaning, weekly frequency, interval scale | Goal-setting, planning, and self-monitoring intervention. Included risk assessment, goal-setting session, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 12 weeks | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | ●●●     |
| Scheerman et al. (2020) | 132 (67)   | Digital intervention, active for 12 weeks                                                | Interproximal brushing, monthly frequency, ordinal scale | Smartphone application targeting self-monitoring of outcomes, education about consequences, goal-setting, implementation intentions, behavioral reminders, support messages, coping planning, and self-monitoring of daily behavior for 12 weeks | Self-monitoring plus motivation and goal-setting | ○○○     |

Abbreviations: ○, no effect; ●, effect registered but no control comparison; ●●, more effective than control but not better than alternative treatment; ●●●, more effective than comparative treatment; CBI, cognitive-behavioral intervention.
temporal proximity to the behavior itself, and a reflection of executive functions associated with task control in the wider literature (Miyake et al., 2000).

This inclusivity, though, resulted in rather inconclusive findings; there were few linear relationships between TSR processes and oral hygiene behavior, and an inability to generalize them based on the apparent heterogeneity. An interesting observation was that three of the five linear relationships involved the construct of action control (Hamilton et al., 2018; Schuz et al., 2007; Suresh et al., 2012), a construct that attempts to capture general capacity for effortful top-down self-regulation. Stronger relationships with broader construct definitions, but not with more specific ones, provides some evidence that while a relationship may indeed exist between TSR processes and oral hygiene behavior, there may be inherent difficulties in isolating and exploring the underlying sub-processes themselves.

One reason for such difficulties can be explained by the methods used to quantify TSR processes. Within the current studies, for example, only one attempted neuropsychological assessment (Naorungroj et al., 2013). Neuropsychological assessment involves testing performance on a behavioral task, with measures shown to differentiate considerably from self-report (Saunders et al., 2018) and with neuropsychological assessment favored within theoretical behavior models that include TSR processes (Hall & Fong, 2013). It is plausible that using objective cognitive assessment may tell a different narrative to the one observed in the present study. Similarly, the study found that measures used to quantify oral hygiene self-care could also benefit from refinement. With weaker relationships generally observed when behavior was measured on a times-per-day basis, it is recommended that recall periods be extended to a weekly timeframe. Not only do daily measures also have a tendency to mirror internalized habits (Hagger et al., 2015), rather than actual behavior, but weekly recall periods offer a reasonably valid approximation that is likely to better estimate the real variability in the target action (Stull et al., 2009).

4.4 | Future directions

Overall, the current review may be used as a guide for several novel research pathways. First, future research may consider neuropsychological testing as a means of continuing research into TSR process within the oral health field. With objective measures potentially likely to tell a different narrative, applying neuropsychological assessment and a theoretical framework that includes TSR processes, such as Temporal Self-Regulation Theory (Hall & Fong, 2007), represents an ideal starting point. Second, experimental studies may consider similar tactics. As evidenced in the health field, executive functions may moderate the influence of behavior-change interventions (Allan et al., 2013). Thus, TSR processes may represent important confounders to consider when assessing intervention effectiveness. For example, if self-monitoring/working-memory capacity influences participant responses to a self-monitoring intervention, then understanding this relationship is vital to understanding the true treatment effect. For this reason, the current review echoes sentiments to focus on mechanisms of action within experimental studies (Hagger et al., 2020), and especially the inclusion of TSR constructs in intervention studies that target TSR-related processes.

4.5 | Conclusion

This scoping review aimed to explore the relationship between top-down self-regulatory processes and oral hygiene self-care behavior. It found that interdental cleaning appears to depend on TSR more than toothbrushing and that there appears to be value in the use of self-monitoring-focused interventions to improve interdental cleaning frequency. The review recommends that the task-difficulty of interdental cleaning be investigated to explain greater reliance on executive resources, and that future studies aim to employ more objective measures of quantifying TSR processes, especially within intervention studies that target these constructs. TSR processes represent a promising research path and their continued application is expected to improve current explanations of oral hygiene habits and contribute to the continual improvement of behavior change strategies within the oral health sector.
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APPENDIX A: DIGITAL SEARCH STRATEGY

Top-down self-regulation processes as determinants of oral hygiene self-care behavior: A systematic scoping review.

Search strategy
CINAHL (EBSCO) (Truncation: *, Wildcard: #, Proximity: Wn)
Date of search: 02.04.2020
Total results: 528

| 1 | MH "self-regulation" | 6721 |
| 2 | (self W0 (regulat* OR monitor OR control* OR analy* OR conscious OR correcti* OR criti* OR disciplin* OR evaluat* OR judg* OR manag* OR observ* OR reflect* OR restr*)) | 34,651 |
| 3 | ("action control" OR agency OR attention OR autoregulation OR "behavioral disinhibition" OR "behavioral inhibition" OR "behavioral regulation" OR "cognitive control" OR "cognitive shifting" OR (delay' N2 gratification') OR "executive control" OR "executive function" OR "focused attention" OR "impulse control" OR "inhibitory control" OR introspect OR metacogniti OR mindful OR reflective OR "response inhibition" OR "set-shifting" OR "social comparison" OR "task switching" OR volition OR willpower OR "working memory") | 246,738 |
| 4 | S1 OR S2 OR S3 | 276,740 |
| 5 | MH "oral health" | 12,718 |
| 6 | ("oral health" OR "oral hygiene" OR "plaque control" OR brushing OR dental OR teeth OR tooth* OR floss") | 149,200 |
| 7 | S5 OR S6 | 149,200 |
| 8 | MH "health behavior"* | 109,506 |
| 9 | (behavior* OR frequenc* OR "self-care") | 628,231 |
| 10 | S8 OR S9 | 673,145 |
| 11 | S4 AND S7 AND S10 | 528 |

Cochrane Library (Truncation: *, Wildcard: *, Proximity: NEXT)
Date of search: 02.04.2020
Total results: 179

| 1 | MeSH descriptor: [Self-Control] explode all trees | 222 |
| 2 | (self NEXT (regulat* OR monitor OR control* OR analy* OR conscious OR correcti* OR criti* OR disciplin* OR evaluat* OR judg* OR manag* OR observ* OR reflect* OR restr*)):ti,ab,kw | 13,627 |
| 3 | ("action control" OR agency OR attention OR autoregulation OR (behavior'al NEXT inhibition) OR (behavior'al NEXT regulat') OR "cognitive control" OR "cognitive shifting" OR (delay' NEAR/2 gratification') OR "executive control" OR (executive NEXT funct') OR (focus'ed NEXT atten') OR "impulse control" OR "inhibitory control" OR introspect OR metacogniti OR mindful OR reflective OR "response inhibition" OR (set NEXT shifting) OR "social comparison" OR "task switching" OR volition OR willpower OR "working memory"):ti,ab,kw | 45,106 |
| 4 | #1 OR #2 OR #3 | 56,888 |
| 5 | MeSH descriptor: [Oral Health] explode all trees | 383 |
| 6 | ("oral health" OR "oral hygiene" OR "plaque control" OR brushing OR dental OR teeth OR tooth* OR floss"):ti,ab,kw | 36,011 |
| 7 | #5 OR #6 | 36,011 |
| 8 | MeSH descriptor: [Health Behavior] explode all trees | 34,433 |
| 9 | (behavior* OR frequenc* OR (self NEXT care)):ti,ab,kw | 184,765 |
| 10 | #8 OR #9 | 207,125 |
| 11 | #4 AND #7 AND #10 | 179 |
EMBASE (Ovid) (Truncation: *, Wildcard: #, Proximity: adj n)
Date of search: 02.04.2020
Total results: 1135

1. self control/ 7334
2. autoregulation/ 15,909
3. (self adj1 (regulat* or monitor or control* or analy* or conscious or correcti* or criti* or disciplin* or evaluat* or judg* or manag* or observ* or reflect* or restr*)).tw,kw. 72,801
4. (action control or agency or attention or autoregulation or behavio?ral disinhibition or behavio?ral inhibition or behavio?ral regulat* or cognitive control or cognitive shifting or (delay* adj3 gratification*) or executive control or executive funct* or focus?ed atten* or impulse control or inhibitory control or introspect* or metacogniti* or mindful* or reflective* or response inhibition or set-shifting or social comparison or task switching or volition* or willpower or working memory).tw,kw. 738,261
5. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 809,195
6. dental health/ 3923
7. (oral health or oral hygiene or plaque control or brushing or dental or teeth or tooth* or floss*).tw,kw. 384,157
8. 6 or 7 384,747
9. health behavior/ 65,192
10. (behavio?r* OR frequenc* OR self-care).tw,kw. 2,647,385
11. 9 or 10 2,671,025
12. 5 and 8 and 11 1135

MEDLINE (Ovid) (Truncation: *, Wildcard: ?, Proximity: adj n)
Date of search: 02.04.2020
Total results: 989

1. self-control/or emotional regulation/ 2380
2. (self adj1 (regulat* or monitor or control* or analy* or conscious or correcti* or criti* or disciplin* or evaluat* or judg* or manag* or observ* or reflect* or restr*)).tw,kw,kf. 54,418
3. (action control or agency or attention or autoregulation or behavio?ral disinhibition or behavio?ral inhibition or behavio?ral regulat* or cognitive control or cognitive shifting or (delay* adj3 gratification*) or executive control or executive funct* or focus?ed atten* or impulse control or inhibitory control or introspect* or metacogniti* or mindful* or reflective* or response inhibition or set-shifting or social comparison or task switching or volition* or willpower or working memory).tw,kw,kf. 544,906
4. 1 or 2 or 3 593,322
5. oral health/ 16,213
6. (oral health or oral hygiene or plaque control or brushing or dental or teeth or tooth* or floss*).tw,kw,kf. 361,018
7. 5 or 6 363,107
8. health behavior/ 49,075
9. (behavio?r* OR frequenc* OR self-care).tw,kw,kf. 2,111,381
10. 8 or 9 2,130,734
11. 4 and 7 and 10 989
PsycINFO (Ovid) (Truncation: *, Wildcard: ?, Proximity: adj n)
Date of search: 02.04.2020
Total results: 232

| Query                                                                 | Retrieved Results |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| self-regulation/or agency/or emotional regulation/or self-control/or self-management/or self-monitoring/or "self-monitoring (personality)"/ | 41,274            |
| (self adj1 regulat* or monitor or control* or analy* or conscious or correcti* or criti* or disciplin* or evaluat* or judg* or manag* or observ* or reflect* or restr*).ti,ab,id. | 67,077            |
| (action control or agency or attention or autoregulation or behavio?ral disinhibition or behavio?ral inhibition or behavio?ral regulat* or cognitive control or cognitive shifting or (delay* adj3 gratification*) or executive control or executive funct* or focus?ed atten* or impulse control or inhibitory control or introspect* or metacogniti* or mindful* or reflective* or response inhibition or set-shifting or social comparison or task switching or volition* or willpower or working memory).ti,ab,id. | 405,450           |
| 1 or 2 or 3                                                           | 472,179           |
| oral health/or exp dental health/or exp "teeth (anatomy)"/            | 1961              |
| (oral health or oral hygiene or plaque control or brushing or dental or teeth or tooth* or floss*).ti,ab,id. | 9113              |
| 5 or 6                                                                | 9291              |
| health behavior/                                                      | 27,627            |
| (behavio*r* OR frequenc* OR self-care).ti,ab,id.                      | 1,119,652         |
| 8 or 9                                                                | 1,126,927         |
| 4 and 7 and 10                                                         | 232               |

Scopus (Truncation: *, Wildcard: *, Proximity: PRE/n)
Date of search: 02.04.2020
Total results: 2316

| Query                                                                 | Retrieved Results |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| TITLE-ABS-KEY (self PRE/0 (regulat* OR monitor or control* OR analy* or conscious OR correcti* OR criti* OR disciplin* OR evaluat* OR judg* OR manag* OR observ* OR reflect* OR restr*)) | 142,499           |
| TITLE-ABS-KEY ("action control" OR agency OR attention OR autoregulation OR "behavio?ral disinhibition" OR "behavio?ral inhibition" OR "behavio?ral regulat" OR "cognitive control" OR "cognitive shifting" OR (delay* W/2 gratificat*) OR "executive control" OR "executive funct" OR "focus?ed atten" OR "impulse control" OR "inhibitory control" OR introspect" OR metacogniti" OR mindful" OR reflective" OR "response inhibition" OR "set-shifting" OR "social comparison" OR "task switching" OR volition" OR willpower OR "working memory") | 1,882,904         |
| #1 OR #2                                                              | 2,003,293         |
| TITLE-ABS-KEY ("oral health" OR "oral hygiene" OR "plaque control" OR brushing OR dental OR teeth OR tooth* OR floss") | 724,472           |
| TITLE-ABS-KEY (behavio*r* OR frequenc* OR "self-care")                | 7,956,200         |
| #3 AND #4 AND #5                                                       | 2316              |
Web of Science (Truncation: *, Wildcard: $, Proximity: NEAR/n)
Date of search: 02.04.2020
Total results: 1247

1 TS = (self NEAR/0 (regulat* OR monitor or control* OR analy* or conscious OR correcti* OR criti* OR disciplin*
  OR evaluat* OR judg* OR manag* OR observ* OR reflect* OR restr*))

2 TS = ("action control" OR agency OR attention OR autoregulation OR "behavio$ral disinhibition" OR "behavio$ral inhibition" OR "behavio$ral regulat" OR "cognitive control" OR "cognitive shifting" OR (delay* NEAR/2 gratification*)
  OR "executive control" OR "executive funct" OR "focus$ed atten" OR "impulse control" OR "inhibitory control" OR introspect* OR metacogniti* OR mindful* OR reflective* OR "response inhibition" OR "set-shifting" OR "social comparison"
  OR "task switching" OR volition* OR willpower OR "working memory")

3 #1 OR #2

4 TS = ("oral health" OR "oral hygiene" OR "plaque control" OR brushing OR dental OR teeth OR tooth* OR floss*)

5 TS = (behavio$r* OR frequenc* OR "self‐care")

6 #3 AND #4 AND #5

Total results

CINAHL 528
Cochrane Library 179
EMBASE 1135
MEDLINE 989
PsycINFO 232
Scopus 2316
Web of Science 1247
Total 6626
Duplicates removed via Bramer et al. method 3369
Original articles remaining and entered into Rayyan database 3257
Articles removed following title/abstract screening by AR and HS 3184
Articles to undergo full-text screening by AR, TW, JA, AH, PK 73
Articles included in qualitative synthesis 25

APPENDIX B: DETAILS OF STUDIES EXCLUDING DURING FULL-TEXT SCREENING

| Author (Year) | Title of publication | Reason for exclusion |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Schwarzer et al. (2007) | Adoption and maintenance of four health behaviors: Theory-guided longitudinal studies on dental flossing, seat belt use, dietary behavior, and physical activity | Did not quantify a top-down self-regulatory construct. Construct definitions did not relate enough to the executive functions defined by Miyake et al. (2000). |
| Dumitrescu et al. (2007) | Investigating the relationship between self-reported oral health status, oral health-related behaviors, type A behavior pattern, perceived stress and emotional intelligence | Did not quantify a top-down self-regulatory construct. Emotional Intelligence did not relate enough to the executive functions defined by Miyake et al. (2000). |

(Continues)
| Author (Year)                              | Title of publication                                                                 | Reason for exclusion                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Dumitrescu et al. (2008)                  | Is it an association between body appreciation, self-criticism, oral health status, and oral health-related behaviors? | Did not quantify a top-down self-regulatory construct. Self-Criticism did not relate enough to the executive functions defined by Miyake et al. (2000). |
| Tedesco et al. (1992)                     | Effect of a Social Cognitive Intervention on Oral Health-Status, Behavior Reports, and Cognitions | Did not quantify a top-down self-regulatory construct. Self-efficacy did not relate enough to the executive functions defined by Miyake et al. (2000). |
| Hamilton et al. (2017)                    | Translating Dental Flossing Intentions into Behavior: a Longitudinal Investigation of the Mediating Effect of Planning and Self-Efficacy on Young Adults | Did not quantify a top-down self-regulatory construct. Self-Efficacy and Planning did not relate enough to the executive functions defined by Miyake et al. (2000). |
| Halvari et al. (2010)                     | Motivation and anxiety for dental treatment: Testing a self-determination theory model of oral self-care behaviour and dental clinic attendance. | Did not quantify a top-down self-regulatory construct. Self-Regulation Questionnaire for Dental Treatment (SRQDT) did not relate enough to the executive functions defined by Miyake et al. (2000). |
| Halvari et al. (2012)                     | Motivation for dental home care: Testing a self-determination theory model.            | Did not quantify a top-down self-regulatory construct. Self-Regulation Questionnaire for Dental Treatment (SRQDT) did not relate enough to the executive functions defined by Miyake et al. (2000). |
| Almomani (2007)                           | The effects of an oral health promotion program in people with serious mental illness | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
| Almomani et al. (2009)                    | Effects of an oral health promotion program in people with mental illness              | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
| Hamilton et al. (2018)                    | Parental supervision for their children’s toothbrushing: Mediating effects of planning, self-efficacy, and action control | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
| Hui et al. (2009)                         | Performance, cardiovascular, and health behavior effects of an inhibitory strength training intervention | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
| Kimura et al. (2013)                      | Evaluation of chewing ability and its relationship with activities of daily living, depression, cognitive status, and food intake in the community-dwelling elderly | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
| Morchadze et al. (2018)                   | Correlation between the Oral Hygienic Condition and Psycho-Social Factors in the Elderly Population of Imereti | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
| Saengtipbovorn and Taneepanichskul (2015) | Lifestyle Change Plus Dental Care (LCDC) program improves knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) toward oral health and diabetes mellitus among the elderly with type 2 diabetes | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
| Schaber et al. (2013)                     | Using Cognitive-Functional Assessment to Predict Self-Care Performance of Memory Care Tenants | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
| Sharma et al. (2019)                      | Testing of a dental student-administered multidisciplinary health promotion program | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
| Simpriano and Mialhe (2017)               | Impact of Educational Interventions Based on the Implementation Intentions Strategy on the Oral Health of Schoolchildren | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
| Uskul et al. (2009)                       | The cultural congruency effect: Culture, regulatory focus, and the effectiveness of gain- versus loss-framed health messages | Did not quantify oral hygiene self-care frequency                                       |
Junko et al. (2012) Development of a Self-Control Scale Associated with Health Behavior for Older Adults in Community.

Kawamoto (1985) The effects of self-evaluation and behavior standard settings on toothbrushing behavior in a preschool classroom

Kunitzuka et al. (2002) A correspondence behavioral approach for 6 lifestyle's improvements in a workplace

Gholami et al. (2015) A Brief Self-Regulatory Intervention Increases Dental Flossing in Adolescent Girls

Lhakhang et al. (2016) Combining self-management cues with incentives to promote interdental cleaning among Indian periodontal disease outpatients

Fjellström et al. (2010) A modified cognitive-behavioral model as a method to improve adherence to oral hygiene instructions—a pilot study.

Gaeta et al. (2018) Fostering Oral Hygiene Habits and Self-Regulation Skills: An Intervention With Preschool Children

Jönsson et al. (2009) An individually tailored treatment program for improved oral hygiene: Introduction of a new course of action in health education for patients with periodontitis

McCaul et al. (1988) Predicting the performance of dental hygiene behaviors: An examination of the Fishbein and Ajzen model and self-efficacy expectations.

Moriya et al. (2012) Relationship between periodontal status and intellectual function among community-dwelling elderly persons.

Nishihira et al. (2012) Community oral health promotion program fostering self-management for the elderly

O’Hara et al. (2008) Using personal digital assistants to improve self-care in oral health

Ojo et al. (2015) OH-BUDDY: Mobile phone texting based intervention for diabetes and oral health management

Park and Chang (2014) Effect of a health coaching self-management program for older adults with multimorbidity in nursing homes.

Philippot et al. (2005) Improving patients’ compliance with the treatment of periodontitis: a controlled study of behavioral intervention.

Sakashita et al. (2017) Oral health promotion program for fostering self-management of the elderly living in communities

Akbarfahimi (2019) The effect of the order of Transcranial direct current stimulation and Computer-based Cognitive Rehabilitation on Improving Cognitive Performance and Activities of Daily Living

Study protocol
| Author (Year)       | Title of publication                                                                 | Reason for exclusion                      |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Chaly (2018)       | Field Trial to improve oral health behavior through a smartphone dental app          | Study protocol                            |
| Eberhard (2018)    | Think Dental, Be Active!: a randomized control trial using psycho-education, physical activity, and oral health interventions in older adults aged >50 years old, residing in Royal Freemason Benevolent Institute residential aged care facilities | Study protocol                            |
| Pakpour et al. (2016) | The Effects of Two Volitional Interventions to Improve Oral Health Behaviour Among Iranian Adolescents | Study protocol                            |
| Scheerman et al. (2018) | A Mobile App (WhiteTeeth) to Promote Good Oral Health Behavior Among Dutch Adolescents with Fixed Orthodontic Appliances: Intervention Mapping Approach | Study protocol                            |
| Bonetti et al. (2006) | Behavioral educational intervention can improve patients' compliance with prophylaxis: Can behavioral educational interventions based on the self-regulation theory improve periodontitis patients' compliance with proper dental care? | Synthesis of existing data                |
| Schwarzer (2008)   | Modeling health behavior change: how to predict and modify the adoption and maintenance of health behaviors. | Synthesis of existing data                |
| Schwarzer (2016)   | Health action process approach (HAPA) as a theoretical framework to understand behavior change. | Synthesis of existing data                |
| Schüz et al. (2005) | Stage-specific effects of action control on regular preventive dental health behavior. | Synthesis of existing data                |
| Yeung (2010)       | Motivational interviewing in an oral health promotion program                         | Synthesis of existing data                |
| Aminabadi et al. (2014) | Can child temperament be related to early childhood caries?                      | Used 3rd party reports for behavior/psychological measures |
| Dursun et al. (2016) | Mind Conduct disorders in children with poor oral hygiene habits and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children with excessive tooth decay. | Used 3rd party reports for behavior/psychological measures |
| Gilinsky et al. (2012) | Development and testing of a theory-based behavioral change intervention: A pilot investigation in a nursery school in a deprived area of Scotland | Used 3rd party reports for behavior/psychological measures |
| Matsuyama et al. (2018) | Self-control and dental caries among elementary school children in Japan            | Used 3rd party reports for behavior/psychological measures |

Note: References cited in first column are available as supporting information Appendix.