Optimization of Bio-Implantable Power Transmission Efficiency Based on Input Impedance
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Abstract: Recently, the inductive coupling link is the most robust method for powering implanted biomedical devices, such as micro-system stimulators, cochlear implants, and retinal implants. This research provides a novel theoretical and mathematical analysis to optimize the inductive coupling link efficiency driven by efficient proposed class-E power amplifiers using high and optimum input impedance. The design of the coupling link is based on two pairs of aligned, single-layer, planar spiral circular coils with a proposed geometric dimension, operating at a resonant frequency of 13.56 MHz. Both transmitter and receiver coils are small in size. Implanted device resistance varies from 200 Ω to 500 Ω with 50 Ω of steps. When the conventional load resistance of power amplifiers is 50 Ω, the efficiency is 45%; when the optimum resonant load is 41.89 Ω with a coupling coefficient of 0.087, the efficiency increases to 49%. The efficiency optimization is reached by calculating the matching network for the external LC tank of the transmitter coil. The proposed design may be suitable for active implantable devices.
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1 Introduction

Most bio-implantable devices, such as cochlear and retinal implants and micro-system stimulators, are powered using wires that breach the skin, causing patient discomfort and skin infections. Over the last few decades, implanted batteries have been used to power these devices, but due to the side effects of battery chemicals, limitations in size and lifetime, and the fact that changing batteries can be problematic, costly, or even hazardous for the patient [1], researchers have sought safer techniques to power these implantable devices.

The inductive coupling link method is now the common way to transfer both power and data to bio-implantable devices. There are four possible resonance inductive coupling topologies for connections in a passive system: serial to parallel (SP), parallel to serial (PS), serial-to-serial (SS), and parallel-to-parallel (PP). The most suitable connection for biomedical applications is the serial to parallel topology [2], where the primary circuit is tuned in series resonance to offer a low-impedance load for driving the transmitter.
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coil, whereas the secondary coil (implanted coil) is almost invariably in parallel \[3\]. One of the main specifications for evaluating the performance of an inductive link \[4,5\] is Power Transfer Efficiency (PTE).

To acquire more than 70% of Power Transmission Efficiency (PTE), researchers consider only the self-impedance for a coupling link \[1\], which is usually several ohms. In fact, this is not an optimum way to calculate efficiency when the external coil is driven by a power amplifier. The power amplifier and source resistance must be added to the coupling link self-impedance causing reducing the PTE by approximately 50% of its efficiency when the power amplifier resistance not consider. Most researchers consider the RF load resistance of a power amplifier as a conventional resistance, 50 Ω or 75 Ω, which presents the input impedance of the coupling link \[6–8\]. PTE can be optimized by calculating the optimum power amplifier load resistance and replacing it with the conventional resistance. In this paper, PTE optimization is achieved by determining the optimum inductive input impedance through calculating the matching network of the external LC tank and proposed a new geometric coil design by using the electromagnetic field solver HFSS 12.1 stimulator.

2 Optimum Resistance for Class-E Power Amplifiers at 13.56 MHz

The design of the power amplifier plays a significant role in low- and high-frequency bands by amplifying the input signal to a certain power level in order to drive the transmit circuit. One of the key factors in the design of the Power Amplifier (PA) is power efficiency. Eventually, the power efficiency of class-E and F power amplifiers have a better output efficiency compared to conventional amplifiers, such as classes A, B, C, and D \[9\]. The class-E amplifier is most commonly used in bio-implantable devices and telemetry systems due to its simple architecture and the fact that it requires only one active device \[10\]. In addition, when used as a modulator, it eliminates the need for a mixer that consumes power \[11\].

The aim is to design efficient class-E amplifiers for transcutaneous wireless transmission applications, such as implanted micro-systems, with optimum load resistance. In this application, the transmitted power is limited due to tissue absorption where the power is less than 200 mW. Suppose that \( P_{\text{out}} = 150 \text{ mW}, f_0 = 13.56 \text{ MHz} \), \( V_{\text{CC}} = 3.3 \text{ V} \) (DC), \( R_L \) (load resistor) is 50 Ω, and the transistor is switching with 50% of duty cycle. To get optimum power from a class-E amplifier, we have to find the optimum resistance, \( R_{L, \text{opt}} \), by using Eq. (1) \[12\].

\[
P_{\text{out}} = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \times \frac{V_{\text{CC}}^2}{R_{L, \text{opt}}} \tag{1}
\]

where, \( R_{L, \text{opt}} = 41.89 \Omega \)

For optimum power delivery, the load impedance or source impedance should be adjusted, hence, \( R_L \) should be converted from 50 Ω to 41.89 Ω by using the matching network as given in Fig. 1.

The matching network-included capacitor (\( C_{ST} \)) and inductor (\( L_{ST} \)) are used to calculate parasitic resistance (resistor losses) for the transmitter coil.

3 Matching Network Design

The key to understanding the reduction of resistance is the equivalence between a series and parallel combination of a resistance (\( R_L \)) and a reactance (\( \pm jX \)), as shown in Fig. 2.

Considering \( R_n = 41.89 \Omega \) and \( R_m = 50 \Omega \), then

\[
R_n = \frac{R_{m}X_m^2}{R_m^2 + X_m^2} \quad \text{This yields} \quad X_m = 113.63 \tag{2}
\]
The values of the matching network \((C_{ST})\) and inductor \((L_{ST})\) are found as follows:
\((C_{ST} = 12.35 \text{ pf}), \ (L_{ST} = 21.68 \text{ nH})\)

Hence, the parasitic resistance \((R_{LT})\) can be calculated as given in

\[
R_{LT} = \frac{L_{ST}}{C_{ST}R_L} \tag{6}
\]

The total impedance of the matching network has a real part and imaginary part, as given in Fig. 2, and can be calculated as in the following (7) [13]:

\[
Z_{total} = \frac{R_L - \omega^2 R_L C_{ST} L_{ST} + j\omega L_{ST}}{j\omega R_L C_{ST} + 1} \tag{7}
\]

where the real part impedance at operating frequency 13.56 MHz is approximately 41.89 \(\Omega\), and the imaginary part is approximately equal to zero (0.004311). The performance of the matching circuit is simulated by MATLAB as shown in Fig. 3.
4 Geometry of Coils and Transmission Distance Effect

In addition to optimum load resistance, there are two main factors that affect the design of coils $L_t$ and $L_r$, which limits the overall efficiency of wireless power transmission system. The first factor is the ratio of the transmission distance ($d_t$) between the coils to the coil diameter of the transmitter ($d_{o1}$); when $D \gg d_{o1}$, the power transmission efficiency drops significantly. The second factor is the size difference between the transmitter and receiver coils [14].

The space employed by the implanted device limits the size of the receiver coil, which is placed within the human body and should be as small as possible. The transmitter coil is placed outside the body, so its size can be slightly larger to increase the distance of transmission. Power transmission efficiency decreases exponentially with distance and degrades significantly at far. For specified implanted coils, designing the size of a transmitter coil to increase the distance and power efficiency of the transmission is challenging.

The magnetic field strength ($H$) for a coil with a number of turns ($n$) and radius ($r$) along the axial distance ($z$) from the center of the coil can be found from the following [15]:

$$H = \frac{1 \cdot n \cdot r^2}{2\sqrt{(r^2 + z^2)^3}} \quad (8)$$

If the coil size selection is not optimal, the strength of the magnetic field can be weak, resulting in a low PTE. The highest value of $H$ is required, and the best selection for the outer diameter of the transmitter coil is estimated from [16]:

$$d_{o1} = 2\sqrt{2} \cdot d_r \quad (9)$$

where $d_r$ is the distance between the coils.

This equation is approximate and does not consider the size and effect of the receiver coil. Therefore, there are optimal PSC geometries that would maximize efficiency.

Fig. 4 and Tab. 1 show the geometric design of the transmitter and receiver coils, which should be carefully designed in terms of shape and geometry.
Table 1: Proposed and optimized geometries and inductive link parameters of the transmitter and receiver coils

| Parameters | \( L_t \) | \( L_r \) |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| \( d_{out} \) (mm) | 32        | 12        |
| \( d_{in} \) (mm) | 6.1       | 5         |
| \( n \) (turns) | 16        | 7         |
| \( w \) (mm) | 0.55      | 0.25      |
| \( s \) (mm) | 0.25      | 0.25      |
| \( L \) (\( \mu \)H) | 5.05      | 0.528     |
| \( R_s \) (\( \Omega \)) | 3.07      | 0.653     |
| \( C_p \) (pF) | 2.27      | 1         |
| \( Q \) | 128       | 68        |

5 Inductive Power Transmission and Simulation Results

The shape and size of the coupling coils have a significant impact on the efficiency of the system. In this research, the coil dimensions of the outer and inner transmitters are 32 mm and 6.1 mm, respectively, whereas implanted receiver coils are 12 mm and 5 mm, respectively [17]. The quality factor for the transmitter and receiver coils, \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \), are calculated based on resonance frequency, parasitic resistance and coil inductance Eqs. (10), and (11):

\[
Q_1 = \frac{\omega_0 L_T}{R_{LT}} \tag{10}
\]

\[
Q_2 = \frac{\omega_0 L_R}{R_{LR}} \tag{11}
\]

where \( R_{LT} \) and \( R_{LR} \) represent the transmitter (reader) and receiver (implanted) coils’ parasitic resistance. The total power efficiency of the system has been calculated as follows:

\[
\eta_{\text{link}} = \frac{P_O}{P_S} = \frac{K^2 Q_1 Q_2 R_{\text{load}} R_{LR}}{K^2 Q_1 Q_2 R_{\text{load}} + \left( 1 + \frac{R_L}{R_{LT}} \right) (R_{\text{load}} + Q_2^2 R_{LR})} \times \left( R_{\text{load}} + Q_2^2 R_{LR} \right) \tag{12}
\]
The load resistance is $R_{\text{load}} \geq 2\omega L_2$ [18], hence, it is assumed that $R_{\text{load}}$ is between 200 $\Omega$ and 500 $\Omega$, depending on implanted electronic remote resistance. Where in this work the reference $R_{\text{load}}$ will be 500 $\Omega$. Therefore, the power transmission efficiency using conventional input impedance (impedance of the coupling link) at 50 $\Omega$ is 45%. When an optimum load resistance of 41.89 $\Omega$ is used, the power transmission efficiency increases to 49%, as shown in Tab. 2 and Figs. 5a and 5b.

**Table 2: Efficiency comparison between conventional and optimum input impedance**

| Description          | Implanted load resistance | Input impedance | Efficiency |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Conventional input impedance | 500 $\Omega$       | 50 $\Omega$    | 45%        |
| Optimum input impedance       | 500 $\Omega$       | 41.89 $\Omega$ | 49%        |

**Figure 5:** (a) PTE at input impedance 50 $\Omega$ (b) PTE at input impedance of 41.89 $\Omega$
To verify these results, the proposed design is compared with other works, as shown in Tab. 3. The proposed design has greater efficiency even though the coil dimensions of other designers are larger than the proposed coil.

Table 3: Values of the proposed inductive compared with other works

| Description                        | [9]   | [8]   | [7]   | Proposed |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| Reader coil inductance ($L_T$) µH  | 29.38 | N/A   | 9.3   | 5.05     |
| Implanted coil inductance ($L_R$) µH | 7.73  | 3.8   | 1.9   | 0.53     |
| Reader coil resistance ($R_{LT}$) Ω | 11.95 | N/A   | 9.9   | 2.2      |
| Implanted coil resistance ($R_{LR}$) Ω | 5.02  | 0.47  | 2.6   | 1.6      |
| Number of reader coil turns ($N_1$) | 37    | 70    | N/A   | 16       |
| Number of implanted coil turns ($N_2$) | 20    | 11    | N/A   | 7        |
| Optimum p/Am resistance ($R_L$) Ω  | N/A   | 50    | N/A   | 41.89    |
| Reader quality factor ($Q_1$)      | N/A   | 162   | 100   | 128      |
| Implanted quality factor ($Q_2$)   | N/A   | 65    | 51    | 68       |
| Coupling coefficients (K)          | N/A   | 0.089 | 0.2   | 0.087    |
| Outer reader coil dimension ($d_{out,T}$) mm | 69    | N/A   | 67    | 32       |
| Outer implanted coil dimension ($d_{out,R}$) mm | 20    | 22    | 25    | 12       |
| Implanted load resistance ($R_{load}$) Ω | 500   | 1000  | 200   | 500      |
| Resonant frequency (MHz) $f_0$     | 1     | 2     | 13.56 | 13.56    |
| Efficiency ($\eta$%)               | 41.2  | 45.8  | 58    | 49       |
| Shape of coil                      | Square Eyeball | Square | Square | Square |

6 Conclusion

The inductive coupling link is widely used to power batteries in bio-implanted devices. Input impedance is the main factor effecting system efficiency. Most researchers used inductive self-impedance which is presented as parasitic resistance varied in several ohms. This is either not suitable, or they are assuming that the power amplifier resistance is 50 Ω as input impedance, which is suitable. In this paper, the optimum load resistance ($R_{load} = 41.89$ Ω) was used instead of 50 Ω by using a matching network. The total system efficiency increased from 45% to 49% with use of the optimal load instead of 50 Ω. These results are compared with other works as given in Tab. 1 where the performance of the proposed method is better.
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