Diagnostic Utility of Serum CA-125 Levels in Cases of Acute Appendicitis in Male Patients - A Hospital Based Study

Manohar Reddy Lingareddy¹, Mahesh Gajula², Adinatesh Katta Vishwanath³, Shyam Prasad B. R.⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Government Medical College, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India. ²Associate Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, Government Medical College, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India. ³Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India. ⁴Associate Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Government Medical College, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Appendicitis is one of the common surgical emergencies worldwide affecting all age groups and sexes. Appendicitis is currently diagnosed based on symptoms and using imaging modalities. Biochemical investigations are not specific in diagnosis of acute appendicitis and complete blood cell counts may corroborate the diagnosis. The aim was to study the utility of serum CA-125 level in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis and to assess the value of CA-125 in predicting the severity/complications of acute appendicitis.

METHODS
A prospective cross-sectional study was carried out in the Department of General Surgery in association with Department of Biochemistry, Government medical College and General Hospital from January 2018 to December 2019. 102 male subjects with acute appendicitis were included in the study. Age, socio economic status, presenting symptoms, leucocyte and CA 125 levels were recorded. Data obtained was tabulated in a pretested proforma and analysed using appropriate statistical methods. Test performance characteristics were summarized using hierarchical summary receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and bivariable random-effect models.

RESULTS
It is observed from the study that acute appendicitis is common in younger age group with mean +/- SD of 37.26 +/- 1.6 belonging to lower socio-economic status. Right iliac fossa pain was present in all cases. About 61.7% cases presented with strong right iliac fossa rebound tenderness. Leucocytosis was present in about 705 study subjects. ROC curve analysis showed that in the current study, CA-125 concentrations were significantly greater in patients with acute appendicitis than in the healthy subjects. In ROC curve analysis, the optimal cut-off value for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis was 10.4 U/mL, for which the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV were 82%, 60%, 88%, and 63%, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS
It can be stated that CA 125 blood level can be a new diagnostic acute-phase reactant that can be used in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Serum CA 125 could not differentiate between complicated and uncomplicated forms of acute appendicitis.
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The term acute appendicitis was coined by Reginald Fitz (1886) who identified the appendix as the primary cause of right lower quadrant inflammation.[1] Acute appendicitis is one of the primary and commonest cause of "Acute Surgical abdomen.[2,3]

Though Appendicectomy is the most frequently performed abdominal surgery, the diagnosis of appendicitis still remains a dilemma even in this era of radiological and laboratory advancements across the globe. Age old method of diagnosis acute appendicitis involves a combination of history taking, physical examination and laboratory diagnosis in about 80% of the cases.[4] The diagnosis of acute appendicitis is at times is problematic due to varied presentation of sign and symptoms and absence of condition specific lab methods. The diagnostic dilemma is further complicated when appendix is retrocecal or retro-ileoal. Further it is an established fact that delay in diagnosis of acute appendicitis can end in perforation with peritonitis and culminate in increase in morbidity and mortality of the affected patients.[5,6] The diagnosis of appendicitis is almost always elusive, demands a high index of suspicion so as to prevent complications of this clinical condition.

Many diagnostic criteria like White Blood Cell (WBC) counts, Ultrasonography (USG), evaluation of biochemical markers and C-reactive protein (CRP) values has been put forwarded from time to time to supplement the clinical diagnosis and to reduce the frequency of unnecessary Appendicectomy.[7-11] Various scores that are designed with combination of clinical features and laboratory investigations like Alvarado score,[12] and the Modified Alvarado score,[13] are also used to achieve diagnostic accuracy in the cases of acute appendicitis. However, it is not exaggerating to state that no confirmatory laboratory marker for diagnosis of preoperative diagnosis of acute appendicitis or appendicular perforation.

Glycoprotein CA-125, a 225 kDa protein substance produced by cells in coelomic epithelium of epithelial colonic / gastric mucosa etc., is often used as tumour marker in cases of gyneco-oncology. It is a cell-surface antigen recognized by the OC-125 antibody produced by OVCA 433 cells, a carcinogenic ovarian epithelial cell line.

CA-125 concentrations in plasma tends to increase in cases of ovarian cancers, pregnancy, menstruation, conditions associated with peritoneal irritation (surgery and infections), ovarian cysts, ectopic pregnancy and uterine fibroids. It is evident from certain recent studies that levels of CA-125 could act as a marker in cases of acute appendicitis though the evidence is not so satisfactory. In this background the current study is aimed to evaluate whether serum CA-125 concentrations could reflect the presence of acute appendicitis and also to correlate CA-125 concentrations with the severity of acute appendicitis.

We wanted to study the role of serum CA-125 levels in the diagnosis and in predicting the severity / complications of acute appendicitis.
was separated as per standard laboratory procedures. The CA-125 concentration was estimated by using the equipment, VITROS ECiQ, chemi-analysre manufactured by Johnson & Johnson Company, USA that works on the principle of Chemiluminescence.

6. Under strict aseptic and antiseptic condition, the appendectomy specimen was preserved in formalin and sent to pathology laboratory for histopathological examination. The histopathological finding was used for confirmation of appendicitis.

All the data from the study are tabulated in a pretested proforma. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of single test and test combination was calculated at different cut-off levels. SPSS software version 21 is used for statistical analysis. A ‘p’ value of 0.05 or less was considered significant.

RESULTS

Age and Acute Appendicitis

It is evident from the study that majority of the respondents were in the age group 10-20 years (28.4%) followed by 21-30 years (27.4%). Mean age was 37.26 with a standard deviation of 1.6 years. Similar finding are also reported in other studies conducted by Murata A et al, Addis DG et al.[15]

Acute Appendicitis and Socio-Economic Status

Most of the patients with acute appendicitis were from low socioeconomic class (50.9%), followed by middle class (35.4%) and higher socio-economic status (13.7%). This data is supported in some of the studies conducted elsewhere. However, Lee SL et al.[16] in their study showed that lower socioeconomic background and minority race/ethnicity did not correlate with higher appendicitis rates or a clinically longer length of hospital stay in patients with equal access to care the possible explanation for the higher incidence rate in our study could more number of people from lower economic class seeking health care in a government institute rather than in private hospitals. Based on these findings, we believe that equal health care access leads to equivalent outcomes in all patients with appendicitis.

Acute Appendicitis and Presenting Symptoms

All the subjects recruited in the study were presented with migratory pain in the right iliac fossa and pain in right lower quadrant of abdomen following which the presenting symptoms observed were anorexia, nausea and vomiting in 98.8% cases, 79.3% and 70.3% respectively. These findings are presented in Table no. 1. Similar presentation is observed in study conducted by Patricia A, Pastore et al[17] and Singh I et al.[18] However, it is very much important to differentiate these symptoms as they are presented in many abdominal pathologies.

| Symptoms                          | Number | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Migratory right iliac fossa pain  | 51     | 50.0           |
| Pain Right lateral quadrant       | 102    | 100.0          |
| Fever                             | 91     | 89.1           |
| Anorexia                          | 101    | 98.8           |
| Nausea                            | 82     | 79.3           |
| Vomiting                          | 72     | 70.3           |

Table 1. Distribution of Cases According to Presenting Symptoms

Acute Appendicitis and Rebound Tenderness in the Right Iliac Fossa

It is derived from table no. 2 that majority of cases had strong Right Iliac Fossa rebound tenderness as apparent at clinical examination in 61.7% of cases and another 27.5% of cases revealed medium rebound tenderness in Right Iliac Fossa. Though this is common finding in many of the studies conducted elsewhere, it is imperative to rule out all the other pathologies before coming to conclusion of acute appendicitis as cited in an article by S. W. Ewen, J. Anderson et al and also in.[19]

| Right Iliac Fossa Rebound Tenderness | Number | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Light (tenderness on deep)            | 11     | 10.8           |
| Medium (tenderness on medium pressure release) | 28 | 27.5 |
| Strong (tenderness on light pressure) | 63     | 61.7           |
| **Total**                             | 102    | 100.0          |

Table 2. Cases with Right Iliac Fossa Tenderness

Acute Appendicitis and Leukocyte Count

It is to be understood from table No. 3 that variation of leukocyte count is common in cases of acute appendicitis. In the current study majority of the respondents has a Leukocyte count between 10-14 x 10^9/litre as seen in 59% of cases and the counts more that 15 x 10^9/L is present in 20.7% of cases. Low to normal counts are observed in 19.7% of cases. Leukocyte count and C-reactive protein concentration are the most performed laboratory tests, with procalcitonin and D-dimers more recently proposed as novel biomarkers for acute abdominal disorders. Similar details were discussed in studies conducted by Thompson MM, Underwood MJ,[20] and Grönlund JM.[3]

| Leukocyte Count | Number | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| <10 x 10^9/L    | 20     | 19.7           |
| 10-14 x 10^9/L  | 61     | 59.6           |
| >15 x 10^9/L    | 21     | 20.7           |
| **Total**       | 102    | 100.0          |

Table 3. Case Distribution Based on Leukocyte Counts

Acute Appendicitis and Intraoperative Findings

Intraoperative findings revealed simple (non-perforated/non-gangrenous) appendicitis in 63.4% of cases, complicated appendicitis was evident in 30.4% of cases. Incidentally, normal appendix is visualized in six cases.

Acute Appendicitis and Histopathology Examination

Histopathology examination confirmed the diagnosis of appendicitis in 82.4% of cases and another 17.6% of cases appendicitis was absent as seen in Table no. 7 below. It is cited in a study conducted by Frank R Lewis et al[21] that two thirds of the negative appendectomies were due to
nonsurgical lesions. Mesenteric adenitis, gastroenteritis, and abdominal pain of unknown cause accounted for one third of the errors in females and two thirds in males.

**Histopathology Report and Mean of CA-125 Levels**

It is seen in Table no. 4 that mean CA-125 level is higher in appendicitis (24.2) cases than in cases where there is no appendicitis (9.2) and this finding of current study is found to be statistically significant (p<0.05). In ROC (Figure. 1.), the area under the curve is 0.697 i.e. it has an accuracy of around 70% and this is statistically significant (p=0.018). If the cut-off level of CA125 level is at 10.4 then it gives a sensitivity of 82% and specificity of 60%.

| HPE Report | CA 125 (Mean) | T Test |
|------------|---------------|--------|
| Appendicitis | 24.2 (7.6-40.2) | t= 3.418 p=0.008 |
| No appendicitis | 9.2 (5.2-16.4) |
| Total | 23.6 (5.2-40.2) |

*Table 4. Distribution of Cases Based on HPE Reports and Mean CA-125 Values*

![ROC Curve](Figure 1. ROC of CA-125 Levels against HPE Report)

**Complicated and Uncomplicated Appendicitis and CA-125 Levels**

Observations from study shows that even though there is CA125 levels are mildly elevated in complicated appendicitis compared to uncomplicated appendicitis, but it is insignificant. (P= 0.178).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study the level of serum CA 125 level is found raised in all cases of acute appendicitis. CA-125 is a 225 kDa glycoprotein produced by cells in the coelomic epithelium, the epithelium of the female genital tract, the colonic mucosa, stomach mucosa, and mesothelial cells in serous membranes. It is a cell-surface antigen recognized by the OC-125 antibody produced by OVCA 433 cells, a carcinogenic ovarian epithelial cell line. This tumour marker is normally used to follow the clinical course of patients with ovarian cancer. In 80% of cases, its concentration increases, which is strongly associated with disease progression or relapse. However, marked increases in plasma CA-125 concentrations also occur during pregnancy and following peritoneal irritation associated with infection or surgery, particularly pelvic inflammatory disease, benign ovarian cysts, ectopic pregnancy, and fibroids. Its levels also increase by up to two times during menstruation. Several studies have also suggested that the peritoneum is an important source of CA-125, and that peritoneal inflammation contributes to increases in its serum concentrations in pathological conditions. Inflammatory disorders, including pelvic inflammatory disease, endometriosis (especially with adhesions), malignant pathologies with ascites, and peritonitis are associated with high circulating CA-125 concentrations. From this context, it is observed that patients with acute appendicitis had significantly greater CA-125 concentrations compared with healthy subjects. In the disease process, acute appendicitis starts as a localized inflammatory process and may progress from localized peritonitis to generalized peritoneal inflammation. Accordingly, increase in CA-125 concentration may be due to peritoneal inflammation, which can involve two mechanisms. In the first instance CA-125 released from damaged peritoneal mesothelial cells is absorbed and transferred to the circulation. On the other hand, Zeillemaker et al reported that the secretion of CA-125 from a monolayer of mesothelial cells into culture medium can be enhanced by the inflammatory cytokines interleukin-1β, tumour necrosis factor, and lipopolysaccharide. Therefore, during the disease process in vivo, increased cytokine levels may augment the secretion of CA-125 from the peritoneal surface. The most commonly used reference value for CA-125 is 35 U/mL, which was originally reported by Bast et al. Barceló et al. suggested that the reference value for healthy subjects should be much lower than that used in clinical practice, and they proposed the reference value of 20 U/mL for men and menopausal women, and 31 U/mL for non-menopausal women.

In the current study it is observed that 9.2 patients out of the 102 patients (17.6%) who underwent appendectomy, the appendix was found to be normal and in all these patients the serum CA 125 level was found to be normal. This fact indicate that serum CA 125 values will help in preventing negative appendectomies. It is also observed in the current study that out of the total 102 patients that were operated, 84 patients (82.4%) were found to have acute appendicitis while 18 patients (17.6) were found to be normal. The Mean ± SD of serum CA 125 in mg/dl in our study in those patients proved to be having acute appendicitis by histopathology is 24.2 U/mL while those with normal appendix is 9.2 U/mL. In the present study, we found that CA-125 concentrations were significantly greater in patients with acute appendicitis than in the healthy subjects. In ROC curve analysis, the optimal cut-off value for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis was 10.4 U/mL, for which the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV were 82%, 60%, 88%, and 63%, respectively.

Similar study was done by Ismail B et al. they performed ROC curve analysis, and they found out optimal cut-off value of CA 125 for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis was 11.78 U/mL, for which the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV were 83.3%, 80%, 91%, and 67%, respectively. Based on these results, they concluded that the CA-125 cut-
off value of 11.78 U/mL had high sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. These results are like the present study. In the present study it is also found that there was a difference in CA 125 value in uncomplicated appendicitis (22.4 U/mL) and complicated appendicitis (28.2 U/mL) but it was statistically insignificant. Our results suggest that the use of CA 125 blood level may be a new diagnostic acute-phase reactant in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis that may be helpful in deciding whether to operate on the patient or to manage with non-operative measures thereby reducing negative appendectomy rate but at the same time not delaying the operation till complication arises. Based on the present study the area under the curve is 0.697 i.e. it has an accuracy of around 70% and this is statistically significant (p=0.018). If the cut off level of serum CA 125 level is at 10.4 U/mL then it gives a sensitivity of 82% and specificity of 60%.

CONCLUSIONS

Acute appendicitis remains a common condition that requires emergency surgical management. Early diagnosis and swift action play a pivotal role in preventing complications originating from perforation. However, surgeons must achieve a balance between premature operation with a high negative appendectomy rate and a delayed diagnosis (and surgery) with a higher perforation rate. There is no specific sign, symptom, or laboratory test that is 100% reliable in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Based on our results it can be stated that Serum CA 125 level can be a new diagnostic marker that can be used in diagnosis of acute appendicitis in males. But serum CA 125 could not differentiate between complicated and uncomplicated forms of acute appendicitis in males.

Financial or Other Competing Interests: None.

REFERENCES

[1] Fitz R. The challenge of appendicitis. Annals of Internal Medicine 1939;12(9):1442-1448.
[2] O’ Connell PR. The vermiform appendix. In: Williams NS, Bulstrode CJ, O’Connell PR, eds. Bailey and Love’s - Short practice of surgery. 25th edn. London: Edward Arnold Publishers Ltd, 2008: p. 1204-1208.
[3] Smink DS, Soybel DJ. Appendix and appendectomy. In: Zinner MJ, Stanely W, eds. Maingot’s abdominal operations. 11th edn. Ashely: McGraw-Hill Publication 2007: p. 589-612.
[4] John M. The Appendix. In: Townsend CM, Beauchamp RD, Evers BM, et al. eds. Sabiston Textbook of Surgery. 18th edn. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier 2008: p. 1333-1347.
[5] Von Ditte SN, McCabe CJ, Ottinger LW. Delayed appendectomy for appendicitis: causes and consequences. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine 1996;14(7):620-622.
[6] Temple CL, Huchcroft SA, Temple WJ. The natural history of appendicitis in adults. A prospective study. Annals of Surgery 1995;221(3):278-281.
[7] Grönroos JM, Grönroos P. A fertile-aged woman with right lower abdominal pain but un-elevated leucocyte count and C-reactive protein: acute appendicitis is very unlikely. Langenbecks Arch Surg 1999;384(5):437-440.
[8] Jeffrey RB Jr, Laing FC, Lewis FR. Acute appendicitis: high-resolution real-time US findings. Radiology 1987;163(1):11-14.
[9] Puylaert JB, Rutgers PH, Lalinsang RI, et al. A prospective study of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of appendicitis. N Engl J Med 1987;317(11):666-669.
[10] Rioux M. Sonographic detection of the normal and abnormal appendix. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1992;158(4):773-778.
[11] Alvarado A. A practical score for early diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Ann Emerg Med 1986;15(5):557-564.
[12] Kalan M, Talbot O, Cunlife WI, et al. Evaluation of the modified Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. A prospective study. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 1994;76(6):418-419.
[13] Dueholm S, Bagi P, Bud M. Laboratory aid in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. A blinded, prospective trial concerning diagnostic value of leukocyte count, neutrophil differential count and C-reactive protein. Dis Colon Rectum 1989;32(10):855-859.
[14] Murata A, Okamoto K, Mayumi T, et al. Age-related differences in outcomes and etiologies of acute abdominal pain based on a national administrative database. Tohoku J Exp Med 2014;233(1):9-15.
[15] Addiss DG, Shaffer N, Fowler BS, et al. The epidemiology of appendicitis and appendectomy in the United States. Am J Epidemiol 1990;132(5):910-925.
[16] Lee SL, Shekherdimian S, Chiu VY. Effect of race and socioeconomic status in the treatment of appendicitis in patients with equal health care access. Arch Surg 2011;146(2):156-161.
[17] Pastore PA, Loomis DM, Sauret J. Appendicitis in pregnancy. The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine 2006;19(6):621-626.
[18] Singh I, Collins RE. Right iliac fossa pain. British Journal of Hospital Medicine 2005;66(11):M76-M78.
[19] Ewen SW, Anderson J, Galloway JF, et al. Crohn’s disease initially confined to the appendix. Gastroenterology 1971;60(5):853-857.
[20] Thompson MM, Underwood MJ, Dookeran KA, et al. Role of sequential leucocyte counts and C-reactive protein measurements in acute appendicitis. British Journal of Surgery 1992;79(8):822-824.
[21] Lewis FR, Holcroft JW, Boey J, et al. Appendicitis: a critical review of diagnosis and treatment in 1,000 cases. Archives of Surgery 1975;110(5):677-84.
[22] Bast RC Jr, Feeney M, Lazarus H, et al. Reactivity of a monoclonal antibody with human ovarian carcinoma. J Clin Invest 1981;68(5):1331-1337.
[23] Canney PA, Moore M, Wilkinson PM, et al. Ovarian cancer antigen CA-125: a prospective clinical assessment of its role as a tumour marker. Br J Cancer 1984;50(6):765-769.

[24] Buamah P. Benign conditions associated with raised serum CA-125 concentration. J Surg Oncol 2000;75(4):264-265.

[25] Redman C, Bradgate MG, Rollason TP. Cancer antigen CA-125 in epithelial ovarian cancer: immunohistochemical expression before and after chemotherapy. Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol 1988;24(8):1381-1382.

[26] Talbot RW, Jacobsen DJ, Nagorney DM, et al. Temporary elevation of CA-125 after abdominal surgical treatment for benign disease and cancer. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1989;168(5):407-412.

[27] Zeillemaker AM, Verbrugh HA, van Papendrecht HAA, et al. CA-125 secretion by peritoneal mesothelial cells. J Clin Pathol 1994;47(3):263-265.

[28] Barceló B, Ayllón O, Belmonte M, et al. Proposed reference value of the CA-125 tumor marker in men. Potential applications in clinical practice. Clin Biochem 2008;41(9):717-722.

[29] Ismail B, Durdu T, Gelecek S, et al. CA-125 as marker of peritoneal inflammation in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development 2015;2(3):240-243.