Complexed Crystal Structure of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Dihydroorotase with Inhibitor 5-Fluoroornotate Reveals a New Binding Mode
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1. Introduction

Dihydroorotase (DHOase) is a zinc metalloenzyme that catalyzes the reversible cyclization of N-carbamoyl aspartate (CA-aspartate) to dihydroorotate (DHO) in the third step of the pathway for the biosynthesis of pyrimidine nucleotides [1, 2]. The pharmacological inhibition of this pathway may provide an approach to targeting cancer cells, malarial parasites, and pathogens undergoing rapid growth [1–4]. In mammals, the activity of DHOase is found in a trifunctional enzyme, CAD, which also has activities of carbamoyl phosphate synthetase (CPSase) and aspartate transcarbamoylase (ATCase) [5]. However, significant variations are found in different species (Figure 1(a)). In fungi, CPSase and ATCase are present in a single bifunctional protein, Ura2, which is a CAD-like polypeptide that contains a
defective DHOase-like domain [6]. In most prokaryotic organisms, CPSase, ATCase, and DHOase are expressed separately and function-independently [7]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCase noncovalently associates with an inactive DHOase-like polypeptide for the ATCase activity [8]. *Aquifex aeolicus* DHOase (AaDHOase) is active only when complexed with AaATCase [9]. Thus, establishing the precise differences in DHOase among species is of considerable interest.

On the basis of known amino acid sequences and phylogenetic analyses, two major groups of DHOases are classified [10]. These two types of DHOases share a low level of protein sequence identity (less than 20%). AaDHOase, *Bacillus anthracis* DHOase (BaDHOase), and the DHOase domain (huDHOase) of human CAD are type I DHOases (about 45 kDa), which are evolutionarily ancient and larger than their type II counterparts (about 38 kDa), such as those from eubacteria, fungi, and plants. The recent structural analysis indicates that huDHOase should be reclassified as the type III DHOase [11] due to unique properties.

The type II DHOase from *Escherichia coli* (EcDHOase) was the first for which the structure was determined [12]. The complexed crystal structure showed that the substrate CA-asp and the product DHO were found at different active sites [12]. Further structural work indicated that a flexible loop extended toward the active site when CA-asp was bound (loop-in mode) or moved away from the active site, facilitating the product DHO release (loop-out mode) [13]. The mutagenesis study identified the importance of two DHO residues (T109 and T110) on the flexible loop (Figure 1(b)) in BaDHOase and huDHOase [14]. However, the sequence composition and the length of these flexible loops are significantly distinct.

Complex structures, DHOase binds 5-FOA via the loop-out mode; namely, the flexible loops are not involved in the binding of 5-FOA. In the present study, we report the crystal structure of DHOase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (ScDHOase) complexed with 5-FOA at 2.5 Å resolution (PDB entry 7CA0). ScDHOase shares structural similarity with EcDHOase. Given the same type of enzyme, one might conclude that the 5-FOA binding mode of ScDHOase must be similar to that of EcDHOase. However, we found that their 5-FOA binding modes were very different. We also characterized the binding of 5-FOA to ScDHOase by using the fluorescence quenching and mutational analysis.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Protein Expression and Purification

ScDHOase was purified using the protocol described previously [18, 19]. Briefly, *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells were transformed with the expression vector pET21b-ScDHOase, and the overexpression of the expression plasmids was induced by incubating with 1 mM isopropyl thiogalactopyranoside. The protein was purified from the soluble supernatant by using the Ni²⁺-affinity chromatography (HiTrap HP; GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences), eluted with Buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl, 250 mM imidazole, and 0.5 M NaCl, pH 7.9), and dialyzed against a dialysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl and 0.1 M NaCl, pH 7.9). The protein purity remained at >97% as determined using SDS-PAGE (Mini-PROTEAN Tetra System; Bio-Rad, CA, USA).

#### 2.2. Site-Directed Mutagenesis

The ScDHOase mutants were generated according to the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit protocol (Stratagene; La Jolla, CA, USA), by using the wild-type plasmid pET21b-ScDHOase as a template. The presence of the mutation was verified by DNA sequencing in each construct. The recombinant mutant proteins were purified using the protocol for the wild-type ScDHOase by Ni²⁺-affinity chromatography.

#### 2.3. Crystallization Experiment

Before crystallization, the purified ScDHOase was concentrated to 11 mg/mL. The crystals of ScDHOase complexed with 5-FOA were grown at
room temperature through the hanging drop vapor diffusion in 16% PEG 4000 and 100 mM imidazole-malate, pH 6.8. The crystals of ScDHOase were validated in the beamline TLS 15A1 of the National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center (NSRRC; Hsinchu, Taiwan).

2.4. X-Ray Diffraction Data and Structure Determination. The native and the Zn-anomalous data were collected at beamline BL44XU at SPring-8 (Harima, Japan) with MX300-HE CCD detector and at beamline TPS 05A1 at the NSRRC (Hsinchu, Taiwan) with MX300-HS CCD detector. Datasets were indexed, integrated, and scaled by HKL-2000 [20] and XDS [21]. The initial phase, density modification, and model building were performed using the AutoSol program [22] in the PHENIX. The iterative model building and the structure refinement were performed using Refmac in the CCP4 software suite [23] and Phenix refine in the PHENIX software suite [24]. The initial phases of ScDHOase complexed with 5-FOA were determined through the molecular replacement software Phaser-MR [25] by using the monomeric ScDHOase derived from ScDHOase-malate complex [18] as the search model. After 12 cycles of model refinements, the best model of ScDHOase was utilized to calculate the real-space averaged OMIT \(|F_o| - |F_c|\) map using mapmask and maprot in the CCP4 software suite [23]. Based on the real-space averaged OMIT \(|F_o| - |F_c|\) map, the 5-FOA position was determined. Coot was used for manual model corrections and density fit analyses [26, 27]. The density fit value of 5-FOA is 0.95 using density fit analysis in Coot. The correctness of the stereochemistry of the models was verified using MolProbity [28]. Atomic coordinates and related structure factors were deposited in the PDB with accession code 7CA0.

2.5. Determination of the Dissociation Constant (\(K_d\)). The \(K_d\) value of the purified ScDHOase was determined using the fluorescence quenching method as previously described for dihydropyrimidinase (DHPase) [29–31]. Briefly, an aliquot of the compound was added to the solution containing ScDHOase (1 \(\mu M\)) and 50 mM HEPES at pH 7.0. The decrease in the intrinsic fluorescence of ScDHOase was measured at 324 nm upon excitation at 280 nm and 25°C with a spectrofluorimeter (Hitachi F-2700; Hitachi High-Technologies, Japan). \(K_d\) was obtained using the following equation: \(\Delta F = \Delta F_{\text{max}} - K_d \left(\frac{\Delta F}{[\text{compound}]}\right)\).

3. Results and Discussion

The DHOase activity is found in all organisms for the biosynthesis of pyrimidine nucleotides, but phylogenetic and structural analyses reveal at least three different DHOase forms [2, 11]. In bacteria and yeast, DHOase is monofunctional and belongs to the type II enzyme. As a eukaryotic DHOase, ScDHOase may be an evolutionary link between the Gram-negative bacterial DHOase (type II) and the higher eukaryotic DHOase domain of CAD (type III). Thus, the important differences between the prokaryotic EcDHOase and the eukaryotic ScDHOase are worth investigating.

3.1. Crystallization. We attempted to crystallize the ScDHOase-5-FOA complex by crystallization screening, but no crystal was formed. ScDHOase formed crystals only in the presence of malate [18]. Thus, we incubated 5-FOA with the crystal of the ScDHOase-malate complex and obtained the crystal of the ScDHOase-5-FOA complex successfully. The crystals of the ScDHOase complex belonged to space group P2_1 with cell dimensions of \(a = 85.47\), \(b = 88.59\), and \(c = 103.57\) Å. The crystal structure of ScDHOase complexed with 5-FOA was solved at 2.5 Å resolution (Table 1).

3.2. Crystal Structure of ScDHOase Complexed with 5-FOA. An asymmetric unit of the crystal contained four crystallography-independent ScDHOase monomers (Figure 2(a)). The global architecture of each ScDHOase monomer showed a TIM-barrel structure and consisted of 15 \(\alpha\)-helices, 12 \(\beta\)-sheets, 2 Zn ions, and 1 5-FOA molecule. The Lys residue (K98) remained carbamylated regardless of 5-FOA binding. The dimetal center (Znα/Znβ) in ScDHOase containing 4 His (i.e., H14, H16, H137, and H180), 1 Asp (i.e., D258), and 1 carbamylated Lys (i.e., K98) was still self-assembled. The structure revealed a long flexible loop in each subunit which extended toward the active site when 5-FOA was bound (Figure 2(b)). The occupancy refinement was performed using the PHENIX.refine software [24]. The occupancy of 5-FOA in each subunit is 0.74–0.78. Possibly, the partial occupancy of 5-FOA resulted from the replacement or
disturbance of malate in the mother liquid [18]. The two Thr residues, Thr109 and Thr110 in EcDHOase, important for stabilizing the transition state but not interacting with 5-FOA [13], were also conserved in ScDHOase (Thr105 and Thr106). However, these two Thr residues did interact with 5-FOA revealed by our complex structure.

3.3. 5-FOA Binding Mode. The binding modes of EcDHOase [13] and huDHOase [11] to 5-FOA were well established using the structural information. In these structures, 5-FOA bound to the active site in a similar mode to DHO. However, our complexed structures revealed that ScDHOase bound 5-FOA (Figures 2(c) and 2(d)) differently from EcDHOase and huDHOase. To confirm the different binding mode, we compared their density fit values of the bound 5-FOA for ScDHOase using Coot. The binding mode of 5-FOA observed from EcDHOase and huDHOase was refined using the rigid body real-space refinement in Coot to the averaged omit map for ScDHOase. The density fit value of the bound 5-FOA in ScDHOase to the averaged omit map is 0.95. When using the posture of 5-FOA from EcDHOase and huDHOase, the density fit value of the bound 5-FOA in ScDHOase is 0.81. Based on these results, we ruled out the possibility that the 5-FOA binding mode of ScDHOase must be similar to that of EcDHOase and huDHOase.

To strengthen the conclusion that ScDHOase bound 5-FOA differently from EcDHOase and huDHOase, we also checked the averaged RMSZs (indicators of ligand geometry) of 5-FOA in our complexed structure of ScDHOase (PDB entry 7CA0; 1.58 of bond lengths and 2.02 of bond angles) and compared with the reported 5-FOA structures bound in huDHOase (PDB entry 4C6M; 4.12 of bond lengths and 6.11 of bond angles) and in EcDHOase (PDB entry 2EG8; 3.0 of bond lengths and 4.58 of bond angles). Based on these values, the proposed 5-FOA binding mode of ScDHOase through the complex structure is reasonable and evident.

For ScDHOase, the carboxylate group of 5-FOA ligated the Zn atoms rather than interacting with the positively charged side chain of Arg18 as that in EcDHOase (Figure 3(a)) rather than interacting with the positively charged side chain of Arg18 as that in EcDHOase (Figure 3(b)) and huDHOase (Figure 3(c)). The bound 5-FOA by ScDHOase adopted the reverse orientation
compared with those by EcDHOase and huDHOase. In addition, all flexible loops in each subunit of ScDHOase were involved in the binding of 5-FOA (Figure 2(b)). D°_hetwo D°_hr residues (D°_hr105 and D°_hr106) in ScDHOase played a crucial role in binding. For EcDHOase (Figure 3(d)) and huDHOase (Figure 3(e)), the flexible loop is not involved in the binding of 5-FOA. Despite having a similar active site, the 5-FOA binding pose and the conformation of the catalytic loop of ScDHOase for 5-FOA binding (via the loop-in mode) differed from those of EcDHOase (Figure 3(f)) and huDHOase. We concluded that the 5-FOA binding and the inhibition mechanism of ScDHOase were different from those of EcDHOase.

According to our structure, Arg18, Asn43, His262, D°_hr105, D°_hr106, and Lys230 of ScDHOase were involved in 5-FOA binding (Figure 4). D°_he 5-FOA binding mode for ScDHOase was somehow similar to the binding mode of EcDHOase to 2-oxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidine-4,6-dicarboxylic acid (HDDP) [13]. EcDHOase bound HDDP via the loop-in mode. Similarly, the bound HDDP in EcDHOase utilized its carboxylate group to interact with Zn atoms and stabilize the flexible loop. Like the ScDHOase-5-FOA complex, Thr109 and Thr110 in EcDHOase played a crucial role in the binding of HDDP.

3.4. Binding and Mutational Analysis. Fluorescence quenching method was used for determining the dissociation constant (K_d) of ScDHOase bound to 5-FOA (Figure 5(a)). K_d of the ScDHOase mutants R18A (Figure 5(b)) and T106A (Figure 5(c)) was also determined through the fluorescence quenching to confirm the strength of interaction of ScDHOase with 5-FOA. Quenching refers to the complex formation process that decreases the fluorescence intensity of the protein. ScDHOase displayed strong intrinsic fluorescence with a peak wavelength of 324 nm when excited at 280 nm (Figure 5(a)). When 5-FOA was titrated into the ScDHOase solution, the intrinsic fluorescence of the protein was progressively quenched. Upon the addition of 200 μM 5-FOA, the intrinsic fluorescence of ScDHOase, ScDHOase-R18A, and ScDHOase-T106A was quenched by 78.2%, 75.9%, and 64.6%, respectively (Table 2). Adding 5-FOA resulted in a red shift in the ScDHOase emission wavelength (∼11.5 nm; λ_max from 324.0 to 335.5 nm). Adding 5-FOA also resulted in red shifts in the ScDHOase-R18A (∼10.0 nm; λ_max from 324.5 to 334.5 nm) and ScDHOase-T106A (∼5.5 nm; λ_max from 328.0 to 333.5 nm). The λ_em shift of ScDHOase-T106A (5.5 nm) produced by 5-FOA was significantly lower than that of ScDHOase (11.5 nm). These observations indicated that ScDHOase, ScDHOase-R18A, and ScDHOase-T106A could form a stable complex with 5-FOA, respectively; however, the binding affinities for these ScDHOases were different. As determined through the titration curves (Figure 5(d)), the K_d values of ScDHOase, ScDHOase-R18A, and ScDHOase-T106A bound to 5-FOA were 83.8 ± 1.5, 143.6 ± 2.1, and 114.8 ± 3.7 μM, respectively. We also compared the binding affinities of ScDHOase to the anticancer drugs 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and 5-aminouracil (5-AU). Based on the K_d values, the strength of complex formation followed the following order:
D°_hus, ScDHOase preferred the binding of 5-FOA (Table 2) over 5-FU and 5-AU [18].

The decrease in the intrinsic fluorescence of ScDHOase was measured with a spectrofluorimeter (Hitachi F-2700; Hitachi High-Technologies, Japan). $K_d$ was obtained using the following equation:

$$\Delta F = \Delta F_{\text{max}} - K_d (\Delta F/5\text{-FOA}).$$

3.5. Binding of 5-FOA via Loop-In Mode. Despite the evolutionary divergence (Figure 1), an important flexible loop as a lid within the active site of DHOase for catalysis and substrate binding is conserved from *E. coli* [13] to humans [11]. 5-FOA, a product-like inhibitor, binds to the active site of EcDHOase in a similar manner to DHO via the loop-out binding mode (Figures 2 and 3); that is, the loop does not interact with the ligand or with the rest of the active site [13].

**Figure 4:** 5-FOA binding mode of ScDHOase. Arg18, Asn43, His262, Thr105, Thr106, and Lys230 of ScDHOase were involved in the 5-FOA binding.

ScDHOase-5-FOA > ScDHOase-R18A-5-FOA > ScDHOase-T106A-5-FOA > ScDHOase-5-FU > ScDHOase-5-AU [18]. Thus, ScDHOase preferred the binding of 5-FOA (Table 2) over 5-FU and 5-AU [18].
Despite a very similar active site, ScDHOase bound 5-FOA by using different mechanisms. Through the loop-in mode, the bound 5-FOA by ScDHOase adopted the reverse orientation, as compared with that by EcDHOase. We also observed that ScDHOase bound 5-AU, 5-FU, and malate via the loop-in mode [18]. To date, we have not found the loop-out mode of ScDHOase to bind ligand. Whether ScDHOase can bind ligand via the loop-out conformation is still unknown. Given that the flexible loop in ScDHOase is the longest among these DHOases (Figure 1(b)), they may be somehow different in their binding mechanisms (Figure 3). Perhaps, the conformational change of this loop in ScDHOase is not necessary due to the steric hindrance. Whether these different binding modes are species- or crystallography-dependent should be elucidated experimentally.

Table 2: Binding parameters of ScDHOase to 5-FOA.

| DHOase            | $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ shift (nm) | Quenching (%) | $K_d$ value ($\mu$M) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| ScDHOase          | From 324 to 335.5           | 11.5                             | 78.2          | 83.8 ± 1.5         |
| ScDHOase-R18A     | From 324.5 to 334.5         | 10.0                             | 75.9          | 143.6 ± 2.1        |
| ScDHOase-T106A    | From 328 to 333.5           | 5.5                              | 64.6          | 114.8 ± 3.7        |
DHPase [30, 33] plays an essential role in the stabilization of the tetrahedral transition state during hydrolysis of the substrate, collapse of the transition state, formation of a product, and release of the product. Thus, the dynamic loop in these cyclic amidohydrolases could be a suitable drug target for inhibitor design [30, 41]. Structural analyses are still needed to decipher the architecture and the function of different DHOases.

4. Conclusion

The complexed crystal structure of ScDHOase with inhibitor 5-FOA determined at 2.5 Å resolution revealed a new binding mode. Although ScDHOase shares structural similarity with EcDHOase, they appear to bind 5-FOA differently. We also characterized the binding of 5-FOA to ScDHOase by using the fluorescence quenching and mutational analysis. Through the loop-in mode, the conserved Thr residue located within a flexible loop in ScDHOase was crucial for binding of 5-FOA. Structure-function analyses indicated that the inherent difference in the flexible loop among DHOase species may be a determinant of the 5-FOA binding mode. Further research can directly focus on determining why DHOases need to evolve the different flexible loops for catalysis during evolution.
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