Use of FLOSEAL® as a scaffold and its impact on induced neural stem cell phenotype, persistence, and efficacy
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Abstract
Induced neural stem cells (iNSCs) have emerged as a promising therapeutic platform for glioblastoma (GBM). iNSCs have the innate ability to home to tumor foci, making them ideal carriers for antitumor payloads. However, the in vivo persistence of iNSCs limits their therapeutic potential. We hypothesized that by encapsulating iNSCs in the FDA-approved, hemostatic matrix FLOSEAL®, we could increase their persistence and, as a result, therapeutic durability. Encapsulated iNSCs persisted for 95 days, whereas iNSCs injected into the brain parenchyma persisted only 2 weeks in mice. Two orthotopic GBM tumor models were used to test the efficacy of encapsulated iNSCs. In the GBM8 tumor model, mice that received therapeutic iNSCs encapsulated in FLOSEAL® survived 30 to 60 days longer than mice that received nonencapsulated cells. However, the U87 tumor model showed no significant differences in survival between these two groups, likely due to the more solid and dense nature of the tumor. Interestingly, the interaction of iNSCs with FLOSEAL® appears to downregulate some markers of proliferation, anti-apoptosis, migration, and therapy which could also play a role in treatment efficacy and durability. Our results demonstrate that while FLOSEAL® significantly improves iNSC persistence, this alone is insufficient to enhance therapeutic durability.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Glioblastoma (GBM) is an aggressive, stage IV brain cancer and is the most common malignant brain tumor in adults. Current standard of care includes tumor resection, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, with alternating electric field therapy as the most recent clinical advancement for patients. However, complete tumor resection is often unachievable, as GBM is characterized by highly migratory cells that disperse far from the primary tumor mass, often into the contralateral hemisphere. The aggressive, infiltrative nature of GBM results in a high mortality rate and a median patient survival of just 15 months.

To combat the migratory nature of GBM, neural stem cells (NSCs) have been investigated as drug delivery vehicles due to their innate tumor-tropism. Several preclinical studies have investigated the persistence, migration, and efficacy of immortalized NSCs bearing a range of therapeutic payloads. One such study utilized the fibrin matrix to encapsulate NSCs and showed improved survival in a mouse model of GBM. However, the impact of using a hemostatic matrix, such as FLOSEAL®, as a scaffold for NSCs has not been thoroughly investigated.

In the current study, we hypothesized that encapsulating NSCs in FLOSEAL® would increase their persistence and, as a result, therapeutic durability. We used two orthotopic GBM tumor models to test the efficacy of encapsulated NSCs. In the GBM8 tumor model, mice that received therapeutic NSCs encapsulated in FLOSEAL® survived 30 to 60 days longer than mice that received nonencapsulated cells. However, the U87 tumor model showed no significant differences in survival between these two groups, likely due to the more solid and dense nature of the tumor. Interestingly, the interaction of NSCs with FLOSEAL® appears to downregulate some markers of proliferation, anti-apoptosis, migration, and therapy which could also play a role in treatment efficacy and durability. Our results demonstrate that while FLOSEAL® significantly improves NSC persistence, this alone is insufficient to enhance therapeutic durability.
of therapeutic agents in mice.\textsuperscript{6–8} Moreover, immortalized, allogeneic NSC therapy has entered human clinical trials for the treatment of GBM (NCT02015819, NCT03072134, NCT01172964, NCT02055196, and NCT02192359).\textsuperscript{9–13} While NSC therapy shows promise, harvesting a sufficient quantity of autologous NSCs is challenging, and immortalized, allogeneic cells pose an immunogenic risk and have potential for unrestrained proliferation.\textsuperscript{14,15} We have improved upon NSC therapy by developing a rapid, single-transcription factor reprogramming that allows for the direct conversion of fibroblasts to NSCs, known as induced neural stem cells (iNSCs). Here, fibroblasts are isolated from patient skin and stably engineered with lentiviral constructs encoding for the NSC transcription factor SOX2 and the cytotoxic protein TNFα-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL). Transduced fibroblasts are then cultured in transdifferentiation media to produce therapeutic, tumor-homing iNSCs.\textsuperscript{16}

While iNSCs are efficacious, persistence in the tumor resection cavity remains a limiting factor. When iNSCs are administered to the resection cavity in saline, more than 50% of iNSCs are cleared by day 10, and nearly all iNSCs are cleared by day 25 postimplantation.\textsuperscript{16} To address this, previous studies have demonstrated that seeding mesenchymal stem cells on both TISSEEL\textsuperscript{®}, a fibrin product, and poly(l-lactic acid) significantly improve cell persistence compared to cells injected in saline.\textsuperscript{17,18} Additionally, increased persistence of INSCs has been observed when seeded on Gelfoam\textsuperscript{®}, a gelatin matrix, compared to injection in saline.\textsuperscript{19} However, the optimal characteristics of an INSC delivery matrix and the relationship between efficacy and increased persistence remain unknown.

Herein, we investigated the use of the FDA-approved, hemostatic agent, FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®}, a gelatin and thrombin mixture, as a delivery matrix and its impact on INSC persistence and efficacy. When subjected to an area with active bleeding, the thrombin component of FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®} polymerizes with circulating fibrinogen to form fibrin.\textsuperscript{20,21} We postulated that this rapid polymerization would rapidly encapsulate iNSCs, and the gelatin granules would swell to create a physical barrier, thus providing ample protection from the post-surgical immune response in the resection cavity. We hypothesized that the INSCs will be able to migrate from the scaffold as FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®} degrades. It is expected that significant degradation which allows for cell migration will not be observed until the immune response has become less severe. We theorized that the 6- to 8-week resorption timeframe of FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®} would drastically improve INSC persistence. In this study, we demonstrate that a FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®}-based transplant significantly improves INSC persistence compared to Gelfoam\textsuperscript{®}, TISSEEL\textsuperscript{®}, and saline injection. The marked increase in persistence lead to improved survival outcomes compared to control-treated animals using two unique GBM xenograft models, but extensions over therapeutic cells delivered without a scaffold were modest. These results indicate that persistence alone is inadequate as a predictive marker for therapeutic efficacy, and further research is needed to develop the optimal INSC delivery matrix.

## RESULTS

### 2.1 Encapsulating cells in FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®}

Figure 1a depicts the scaffold fabrication process. First, acellular FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®} scaffolds were observed via SEM. The gelatin particles in the FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®} kit were found to be heterogeneous in shape and size; on average, particles were determined to be 250 μm at their largest dimension (Figure 1b, i). When combined with thrombin and polymerized with fibrinogen, the gelatin particles become wrapped in a fibrin web (Figure 1b, ii and iii). Similar to the gelatin particles, iNSCs were also found to be encased in the fibrin web, and this cell-fibrin network encases the gelatin particles (Figure 1c, i–iii).

### 2.2 Seeding efficiency

After understanding the interaction between cells and FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®}, seeding efficiency, defined as the number of cells calculated to be in the scaffold divided by the theoretical cell count, was determined. FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®} scaffolds were determined to have a 43.43% ± 17.40% seeding efficiency on average. The total concentration of DNA per sample was 10.6 ng/μl ± 3.5 ng/μl (Figure 1d). Each batch of FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®} produces six scaffolds contained in a single syringe. To ensure consistency between samples, the seeding variability between scaffolds was also analyzed. The DNA concentration was found to be 12±7, 9±3, 9±2, 10±3, 12±5, and 10±1 ng/μl for scaffolds plated first through sixth, respectively. The total number of cells was calculated to be $4.99 \times 10^5 \pm 2.20 \times 10^5$, $4.46 \times 10^5 \pm 2.11 \times 10^5$, $3.68 \times 10^5 \pm 1.23 \times 10^5$, $3.83 \times 10^5 \pm 1.48 \times 10^5$, $4.89 \times 10^5 \pm 2.25 \times 10^5$, and $4.21 \times 10^5 \pm 0.30 \times 10^5$ for scaffolds plated first through sixth, respectively, using linear regression. Importantly, no statistical significance was observed between any comparison by one-way ANOVA with Šidák correction (Figure 1e).

### 2.3 Impact of FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®} on INSC gene expression

Previous studies have shown that a material’s physiochemical properties can influence gene expression, particularly as it relates to markers of differentiation, proliferation, and migration.\textsuperscript{22–25} To understand FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®}'s transcriptomic impact, therapeutic INSCs were encapsulated in FLOSEAL\textsuperscript{®} and cultured up to two weeks in the matrix using transwell inserts, which allow for scaffold hydration and nutrient exchange but prevents dissolution of the scaffold in liquid media, to study how the material influenced INSC gene expression (Figure 2a). Cell migration from the scaffold was considered negligible due to the lack of chemotactant present in the culture system. Gene expression of iNSCs in scaffolds was compared to both non-transdifferentiated fibroblasts (Supporting Figure S1) and to day 0 iNSCs (Figure 2b–g). “Day 0 iNSCs” denotes fibroblasts that have
been transduced and transdifferentiated to become iNSCs but not placed into a scaffold. NSC, differentiation, proliferation, pluripotency, migration, therapy, and anti-apoptosis markers were monitored; specific genes were selected based on bulk and single-cell RNA sequencing conducted previously by our group.\textsuperscript{26,27} The differentiation markers, namely GFAP, TUBB3, and VMAC, were found to remain fairly constant in their expression levels over time, but downregulated compared to the day 0 iNSCs (Figure 2b). Of the NSC markers,
NESTIN was the only one found to be upregulated (Figure 2c). All pluripotency markers were downregulated; however, NANOG was virtually unchanged at the day 7 and 14 timepoints (Figure 2d). Interestingly, Ki67 and IL-1R, two proliferation markers, were also downregulated (Figure 2e). Of note, TRAIL and HSPA5, an anti-apoptosis marker, were downregulated (Figure 2f). Lastly, we observed wide variability in the expression of migration markers (Figure 2g). SOX2, P2RX7, STC1, VCAM-1, FLT-1, and CXCR4 were the most profound upregulations observed.

To further confirm our findings, we opted to repeat this experiment using three unique batches of iNSCs for the day 14 time point. In sharp contrast to the findings presented in Figure 2b, GFAP was upregulated while TUBB3 and VMAC remained downregulated (Figure 3a). Similar to the first gene expression experiment, NESTIN was the only NSC marker to be upregulated, and similar trends were observed for NANOG (Figure 3b,c). The proliferation, anti-apoptosis, and therapy markers remained downregulated as well (Figure 3d,e). As for the migration genes, similar trends were observed wherein SOX2, STC1, VCAM-1, FLT-1, and CXCR4 were upregulated; however, P2RX7 was slightly downregulated in this experiment (Figure 3f).

2.4 | In vivo iNSC persistence

Next, we sought to compare the persistence of nontherapeutic iNSCs using four different implantation techniques: direct injection or
FIGURE 3  Impact of FLOSEAL® on day 14 iNSC gene expression. Gene expression of iNSCs in FLOSEAL® relative to day 0 iNSCs for (a) differentiation, (b) NSC, (c) pluripotency, (d) proliferation, (e) other, and (f) migration markers (n = 3 iNSC batches, six scaffolds pooled per batch).

FIGURE 4  In vivo persistence of cells encapsulated in FLOSEAL®. (a) Fold change in BLI signal of nontherapeutic iNSCs over time (n = 5 per group) (* indicates p < 0.05; **** indicates p < 0.0001). (b) Representative BLI images. (c) Fluorescent images of nontherapeutic iNSCs in FLOSEAL® (arrow) 95 days postimplantation (scale bar, 200 μm).
encapsulation in FLOSEAL®, TISSEEL®, or Gelfoam®. Using bioluminescence imaging (BLI), we observed significant differences in Fluc-tagged iNSC persistence. iNSCs encapsulated in FLOSEAL® persisted significantly longer than iNSCs implanted via direct injection, TISSEEL®, or Gelfoam®. In FLOSEAL®, iNSCs appear to proliferate 21-fold by day 23 postimplantation and show strong BLI signal 95 days postimplantation. In contrast, iNSCs encapsulated in Gelfoam® and TISSEEL® show near complete clearance on or before day 20 and little proliferation is observed (Figure 4a,b). iNSCs delivered by direct injection in 1X PBS showed near background signal by day 20, also indicating significant clearance. Mice implanted with iNSCs encapsulated in FLOSEAL® were euthanized on day 95, and brains were harvested for analysis. Significantly, iNSCs remained clustered in the mock resection cavity at this time (Figure 4c).

2.5 | In vivo iNSC efficacy

After observing significantly improved iNSC persistence with the aid of FLOSEAL®, we sought to determine if increased persistence correlated to enhanced therapeutic durability and improved survival in mice. We first tested therapeutic durability using the GBM line GBM8. This tumor line was selected for its ability to mimic the invasive nature of tumors seen in the clinic. Three days after implantation, tumors were resected to reflect clinical procedures and treatments were administered into the resection cavity. Tumor size was monitored over time via BLI (Figure 5a). Mice treated with iNSCs encapsulated in FLOSEAL® initially showed steady tumor growth; however, by day 25, some mice began to show decreased tumor growth (Figure 5b,e). The direct injection and TISSEEL® groups showed an initial decrease in tumor volume over the first 5–10 days; however, this
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was followed by exponential tumor volume increase in the proceeding
days (Figure 5c,d). Mice administered nontherapeutic iNSCs displayed
rapid tumor growth and significantly shorter lifespans compared to all
other groups (Figure 5f,g). In contrast, mice treated with therapeutic
iNSCs survived significantly longer compared to mice treated with
nontherapeutic iNSCs. The FLOSEAL® low TRAIL ($p = 0.030$),
FLOSEAL® high TRAIL ($p = 0.010$), and TISSEEL® TRAIL ($p = 0.022$)
groups all survived significantly longer compared to the FLOSEAL®
control group. Despite a trend, a significant difference was not
observed in survival between the mice treated with a direct injection
of therapeutic iNSCs and the FLOSEAL® high TRAIL ($p = 0.704$) or
FLOSEAL® low TRAIL groups ($p = 0.4595$).

We next tested a second GBM tumor model using the U87 cell
line to mimic the primary nonmigratory tumor mass seen clinically.
Seven days after tumor implantation, the tumors were resected, and
iNSC therapies were administered into the resection cavity
(Figure 6a). Mice were treated with a direct injection of therapeutic
iNSCs in suspension, therapeutic iNSCs encapsulated in FLOSEAL®,
or control (nontherapeutic) iNSCs encapsulated in FLOSEAL®. Direct
injection control (nontherapeutic) iNSCs were not tested against the
U87 model, but exhibited no antitumor effect against the GBM8
model and have not shown any therapeutic effect in our previous
works.27–29 Figure 6b depicts the fluorescence-guided tumor re-
section and implant of the scaffold. Mice treated with a direct
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injection of therapeutic cells exhibited exponential tumor growth following treatment; however, one mouse in this group displayed an initial decrease in tumor volume followed by an increase in tumor volume (Figure 6d). Mice administered therapeutic iNSCs in FLOSEAL® showed different responses within the group. While 70% of mice showed progressive tumor growth within the first 25 days and ultimately succumbed to tumor burden, 30% of mice displayed slower tumor growth and survived beyond 100 days (Figure 6c,f). As anticipated, mice administered nontherapeutic iNSCs encapsulated in FLOSEAL® displayed no tumor suppression (Figure 6e). Despite differences in the tumor progression, no significant differences in survival were observed between any group comparisons.

3 | DISCUSSION

Survival rates among GBM patients remain extremely poor, which may be attributed to the inadequate standard-of-care treatment regimens. Currently, a major factor in patient survival is extent of surgical resection of the bulk GBM tumor—still, local tumor recurrence almost always occurs.

Novel treatment strategies for GBM are being developed, but often fail before or during clinical trials. The efficacy of targeted therapies remains low due to a lack of known, unique GBM receptors. Immunotherapies are difficult to implement for GBM treatment, as overstimulation is known to cause toxicity, and the blood–brain barrier often prevents the accumulation of recruited immune cells within the tumor. Additionally, the immune evasive nature of GBM can minimize efficacy. Repetition of treatments is undesirable—repeated surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy can be particularly harmful to the patient. The ideal treatment would be administered once yet remain effective until complete tumor eradication is achieved. As such, NSC therapy is a potential alternative to traditional GBM treatment—cells may be administered to the patient at the time of tumor resection, constitutively produce tumoricidal drugs, and remain in the brain while migrating to invasive tumor foci.

Although NSC therapy is a promising new approach for brain cancer treatment, cell persistence remains a challenge to prolonged therapeutic durability, and therefore total elimination of GBM. Our group previously shown the therapeutic promise of iNSC-mediated delivery of TRAIL, a cytotoxic peptide that initiates apoptosis through interactions with death receptors 4 (DR4) and 5 (DR5), which are highly expressed on GBM tumor cells. Although TRAIL has been investigated as a cancer therapeutic in numerous studies, unfavorable pharmacokinetics have prevented its advancement to the clinic. However, constitutive expression of TRAIL by iNSCs delivered in the GBM resection cavity can overcome the challenges associated with systemic administration. Cell survival and migration postimplantation are therefore essential to effective tumor-killing, given the short half-life of TRAIL and the highly invasive nature of GBM. To improve the efficacy of the iNSC platform, strategies for enhancing these features must be pursued.

Previous work by our group has demonstrated the benefit of delivery matrices to increase NSC persistence in the tumor resection cavity. While Gelfoam®, TISEEL®, and HySTEM™ each statistically improved NSC persistence compared to direct inject controls, iNSCs still failed to persist beyond 28 days. In tumors such as GBM where recurrence is inevitable, there is a strong need to have the iNSCs persist long enough to migrate vast distances across the brain while still constantly producing their therapeutic payload. To achieve this goal, we sought to combine the best features of previous materials, specifically taking into account biocompatibility, cell binding sites, ease of fabrication, and handleability. Based on these criteria, we selected FLOSEAL® as our candidate matrix.

As an FDA-approved hemostatic product, FLOSEAL®’s intrinsic features make it a desirable scaffold material. The two principal components of FLOSEAL®, gelatin and thrombin, are biocompatible—gelatin as denatured collagen, and thrombin as a critical component of the coagulation cascade. With gelatin and thrombin as the principal ingredients, FLOSEAL® is completely resorbed in patients within 8 weeks and encapsulated NSCs are unlikely to be obstructed by fibrotic encapsulation. For this study, we scaled back the gelatin and thrombin components from the FLOSEAL® kit produce smaller scaffold volumes while keeping the ratio of the components the same as that used clinically. This formulation, we observed rapid encapsulation of iNSCs in FLOSEAL®, While the gelatin component of FLOSEAL® is rich in RGD sequences, which promotes cell adhesion, the rapid reaction of thrombin with fibrinogen completely wrapped the iNSCs in a fibrin web with insufficient time to adhere to gelatin particles. This is a possible explanation for the low seeding efficiency of iNSCs in FLOSEAL®, which is also reflected by the lower BLI signals exhibited the FLOSEAL® group in Figure 4.

Furthermore, we observed consistent iNSC density across scaffolds. Because the total volume of gelatin and thrombin (86 mg and 500 μl, respectively) presented here produces enough material to make six scaffolds, we were initially concerned the gelatin particles would be compressed during ejection from the syringe, such that the thrombin and cell solution would be ejected first, therefore producing scaffolds that were initially more liquid and had a higher cell density than those ejected last. Although the first three scaffolds plated were more liquid in nature, no statistical differences were observed in the cell densities, thus ensuring consistent dosing between scaffolds.

Given the volume of literature on the impact of material properties on stem cell proliferation, differentiation, and migration, we sought to understand the impact of FLOSEAL® on iNSC gene expression. In accordance with previous studies, upregulation NESTIN and Sox2 were observed compared to fibroblasts. Downregulation of GFAP, TUBB3, and VMAC suggest that FLOSEAL® is not inducing differentiation to glial cells or neurons and the iNSCs have transitioned away from a fibroblast phenotype. Surprisingly, TRAIL was found to be downregulated at all time points after seeding in FLOSEAL®. However, iNSCs were engineered to secrete TRAIL under a constitutive promoter; therefore, additional investigation is needed to elucidate these changes. Interestingly, KL67 and IL-1R were found to be downregulated. Moreover, downregulation of the anti-apoptosis gene...
HSPA5 was also observed. Despite these findings, we observed a noticeable increase in iNSC signal in our persistence study, indicating that additional signaling factors and interaction in vivo contribute to the iNSC proliferation. Undeniably, there are limitations to this experimental design. The synthetic transwell culture system lacks many components of the in vivo environment that the iNSCs would be exposed to, specifically, cytokines, chemokines, immune cells, and tumor cells.\(^45,46\) Therefore, due to insufficient external stimuli, it is unsurprising that a number of the migration genes probed were downregulated. However, the substantial upregulation of SOX2, P2RX7, STC1, VCAM-1, FLT1, and CXCR4 suggests that these genes may serve as quality control markers in iNSC therapy optimization and scale-up. Notably, studies have demonstrated that post-transcriptional, translational, and degradation processes may play an equally important role in protein expression, and findings elucidated from mRNA should be interpreted with caution.\(^47\) Future studies will explore changes in iNSC gene expression when encapsulated in FLOSEAL\(^®\) in immune-competent mice to understand the impact of the resection cavity microenvironment.

Despite our initial hypothesis that the principal role of gelatin particles would be for iNSC adhesion, gelatin appears to have played a separate role in iNSC persistence. One of the key features of FLOSEAL\(^®\) as a hemostatic matrix is its ability to expand as a means of compressing and sealing off sources of active bleeding. Based on our remarkable persistence data, we believe the gelatin particles acted as a physical barrier, protecting the iNSCs from immune clearance. While cells persist in Gelfoam\(^®\) significantly longer compared to previously tested scaffold materials,\(^46\) we theorize that the lack of persistence of iNSCs in Gelfoam\(^®\) in the present study is due to poor cell attachment and insufficient penetration into deeper pores. TISSEEL\(^®\) also severely underperformed in comparison to FLOSEAL\(^®\). Importantly, the BLI signal was confirmed via fluorescence in postmortem brain tissue sections. Given the lack of tumor, and its associated chemokines to promote iNSC migration, it is not surprising to see the iNSCs clustered in the resection cavity after euthanasia on day 95.

Lastly, we investigated if increased iNSC persistence obtained with FLOSEAL\(^®\) resulted in enhanced therapeutic durability and improved survival outcomes. For these studies, we selected two distinct GBM tumor models, GBM8 and U87. Although both tumors proliferate rapidly in vivo, neither alone fully mimics what is observed clinically; the U87 cell line produces a dense tumor sphere, while the GBM8 cell line results in a diffuse tumor that invades both hemispheres. It is also important to note that GBM8 cells are more sensitive to TRAIL therapy than U87 cells.\(^49,50\) These attributes explain, at least in part, the differences observed in therapeutic durability and mouse survival observed in the GBM8- and U87-bearing mice. Therapeutic iNSCs injected in suspension into the resection cavity provide immediate tumor suppression, but they lack the persistence to provide a durable response. On the other hand, therapeutic iNSCs encapsulated in FLOSEAL\(^®\) do not provide immediate tumor suppression, but the FLOSEAL\(^®\) matrix appears to offer a prolonged therapeutic effect beyond 25 days in select cases. FLOSEAL\(^®\)’s lack of initial burst release likely explains its inability to significantly reduce tumor volume initially. For all in vivo studies, as noted in the Materials and Methods section, cell densities in FLOSEAL\(^®\) scaffolds were theoretical calculations made by obtaining a desired cell concentration and assuming equal division among the number of scaffolds made. However, the seeding efficiency data shows that only a 43% seeding efficiency is achieved on average. Therefore, the persistence and efficacy studies were conducted using less than desired cell doses, but despite this, promising trends were observed in therapeutic durability and survival. Based on these results, there is a clear need for a delivery matrix that allows for an initial burst release of iNSCs to combat tumor cells in the immediate vicinity of the resection cavity and sustained release of iNSCs to support therapeutic durability. Future studies will explore how a combinatory burst release and sustained release of iNSCs impacts therapeutic durability as well as the impact of the immune system on iNSC persistence and treatment efficacy.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we show the impact FLOSEAL\(^®\) has on iNSC gene expression, persistence, and efficacy. While encapsulating iNSCs in FLOSEAL\(^®\) produced the longest persistence to date, only some mice showed a corresponding increase in survival. Moreover, culturing iNSCs in FLOSEAL\(^®\) most notably impacted proliferation, anti-apoptosis, and migration gene expression. These data serve as the framework for future scaffold optimization studies as iNSCs advance toward human clinical trials.

5 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

5.1 | Cell lines

U87 tumor cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. U87 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Gibco) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (henceforth referred to as standard culture media). GBM8 tumor cells were a gift from H. Wakimoto (Massachusetts General Hospital).\(^51\) GBM8 cells were cultured in vitro using 500 ml filtered Neurobasal Medium (Gibco) containing 3 mM/L L-glutamine, 10 ml B27 supplement (Gemi), 2.5 ml N2 supplement (Gemi), 2 μg/ml heparin, 2.5 × 10⁴ U/ml penicillin, 2.5 × 10⁴ μg/ml streptomycin, 62.5 μg/ml amphotericin B, 20 ng/ml fibroblast growth factor, 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor. Normal human fibroblasts (NHF1s) were obtained from W. Kaufman (University of North Carolina School of Medicine) and were hTERT-immortalized.

5.2 | Transduction

Transduction was performed to produce cells expressing optical reporters and therapeutic proteins. Fibroblasts were transduced by incubating the cells with 8 μg/ml polybrene and the lentiviral cocktail for 24 h at 37°C/5% CO₂. The next day, the virus-containing media...
was aspirated and replaced with fresh standard culture media. "Non-
therapeutic cells" denotes NHF1 cells transduced with lentiviruses
encoding eGFP-Fluc, SOX2, and rTA. The eGFP-Fluc plasmid construct
contained a puromycin-resistance gene to allow for selection of cells.
“Therapeutic cells” denotes NHF1 cells transduced with eGFP-TRAIL,
*Fluc*, SOX2, and rTA lentiviruses. GBM8 and U87 cells were trans-
duced using lentiviral mCh and *Fluc*. All lentiviruses were purchased
from the Duke Viral Vector Core.

### 5.3 | iNSC production

To manufacture therapeutic and nontherapeutic iNSCs, 2 × 10^6 trans-
duced NHF1s were plated in a tissue culture-treated T-175 flask using
standard culture media. Twenty-four hours later, the media was ch-
ged to STEMdiff Neural Induction Medium (Stem Cell Technologies
05835) supplemented with 2 μg/ml of doxycycline (henceforth
referred to as transdifferentiation media). Transdifferentiation media
was replaced every other day for 5 days. On the fifth day, cells were
harvested using Accutase (STEMCELL Technologies 07922) and pas-
ted through a 100 μm filter. Harvested iNSCs were immediately used
or kept on ice for no more than 4 h.

### 5.4 | Encapsulating iNSCs in scaffolds

To encapsulate iNSCs (Baxter ADS201845), the cells were suspended in
500 μl thrombin from the FLOSEAL® kit and loaded into one of the Luer
lock syringes. The second Luer lock syringe was loaded with 86 mg of the
gelatin powder. The ratio of thrombin to gelatin powder was determined
by taking the total volume and weight of the kit components, respec-
tively, and scaling down to numbers appropriate for murine studies. The
two syringes were then connected head-to-head and passed back-and-
forth twenty times to mix the contents. The contents were left in a single
syringe and either used immediately or kept on ice, up to 4 h. This proto-
col produces approximately 600 μl of cell-scaffold mixture. For in vitro
studies, FLOSEAL® scaffolds were polymerized with 30 μl of fibrinogen
from TISSEEL® kits (Baxter 1501653SP). To encapsulate iNSCs in
TISSEEL®, 8 μl of fibrinogen was plated into each well of a six-well plate.
Next, iNSCs were suspended in 8 μl thrombin and pipetted directly on to
the fibrinogen. TISSEEL® scaffolds were allowed to polymerize for
approximately 15 min at room temperature and then kept on ice, up to
4 h. Lastly, to seed cells onto Gelfoam®, a 3 mm diameter hole punch
was used to create uniform scaffold discs. Discs were placed into a
96-well plate and 2.5 μl of the iNSC suspension was pipetted directly
onto each side of the disc. iNSCs were allowed to adhere for 1 h at
37°C/5% CO₂ and were then kept on ice until use, up to 4 h.

### 5.5 | Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

A conjectural count (i.e., not accounting for loss of cells during the
seedling process) of 6 × 10^6 therapeutic iNSCs was encapsulated in
FLOSEAL® as described above. The resulting six scaffolds were poly-
merized and incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂ for 30 min. Following incuba-
tion, scaffolds were submerged in 10% formalin for 30 min. Samples
were dehydrated using a graded ethanol series of 50%, 75%, 90%, and
100% ethanol. Next, samples were dried using a critical point
drier (Tousimis Autosamdi-931), placed on aluminum stubs, and sput-
ter coated with 6 nm of gold–palladium (Cressington Sputter Coater
108auto). The seeded scaffolds were imaged using a FEI Helios
600 Nanolab Dual Beam System microscope with a 2 kV accelerating
technique.

### 5.6 | In vitro scaffold seeding efficiency

Nontherapeutic NHF1s were harvested using 0.05% trypsin and
counted (ThermoFisher Countess II). Next, cells were resuspended to
obtain 5 × 10^4, 1 × 10^5, 5 × 10^5, 1 × 10^6, 2 × 10^6, and 3 × 10^6 cells
per tube, and genomic DNA was extracted from each tube per manu-
facturer’s protocol (ThermoFisher K182002). DNA was quantified
using a Qubit Fluorometric Quantification system (ThermoFisher).
Each cell concentration was quantified in triplicate to create a stan-
dard curve. To quantify seeding efficiency, nontherapeutic iNSCs
were encapsulated in FLOSEAL® as described above, but not poly-
merized with fibrinogen. The scaffold mixture was divided into six
tubes and DNA was isolated. This experiment was done in triplicate
to produce a total of 18 scaffold samples.

### 5.7 | Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

Therapeutic iNSCs were produced as described above and encap-
sulated in FLOSEAL® at a conjectural density of 2 × 10^6 cells/scaffold.
These scaffolds were plated on 0.4 μm hanging cell culture inserts
(Millipore MCH06H548) and polymerized as detailed above. To each
well, 2 ml transdifferentiation media was added underneath the cul-
ture insert, and samples were incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂; trans-
differentiation media was replaced every other day. Five or six
samples were pooled on days 3, 7, and 14. Samples were collected by
removing the scaffold from the transwell, leaving the insert
undisturbed in the case unencapsulated cells were present on the sur-
face. Harvested Therapeutic NHF1s and therapeutic iNSCs not encap-
sulated in FLOSEAL® (day 0) served as controls. Total RNA was
extracted per manufacturer’s protocol (ThermoFisher 12183020),
immmediately converted to cDNA (Invitrogen 11754050), and stored at
−80°C until use. Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain
reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed using the Applied Biosystems
QuantaStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System with TaqMan reagents
(ThermoFisher A44360) and custom primer-probe pairs targeting
30 genes. The thermal protocol used is as follows: UNG incubation at
50°C for 2 min and 1 cycle, enzyme activation at 95°C for 20 s and
1 cycle, denaturing at 95°C for 1 s and 40 cycles, and annealing/
extension at 60°C for 20 s and 40 cycles. Relative fold gene
expression was calculated using the ΔΔCT method using day 0 iNSCs as the biologic control and 18 s rRNA as the endogenous control. Supplemental Table S1 lists genes and corresponding assay IDs.

5.8 | In vivo iNSC persistence

All animal studies were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Six- to 8-week-old female, athymic nude mice (Animal Studies Core, University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill) were anesthetized using 2.5% inhaled isoflurane and placed into a stereotaxic frame. The surgical site was prepared using 70% isopropyl alcohol and betadine. An incision was made in the skin on the head of the mouse to expose the skull. Next, using a microdrill, a craniotomy was performed in the right hemisphere, between the bregma and lambda points, on the parietal skull plate. Cold saline and Surgicel® was used to control bleeding. Surgicel® was removed prior to incision closure with Vetbond (3M 1469SB). Postoperative pain was managed with 5 mg/kg of subcutaneous meloxicam 24 h after surgery. Three days following the craniotomy, the mice were anesthetized and prepared for cell implantation. The previous wound was reopened, and the exposed dura mater was removed using an 18 G needle. Using a vacuum pump, a mock resection cavity was created by removing approximately 1 mm³ of brain tissue. Next, after bleeding subsided, a conjunctural count of 1 × 10⁶ nontherapeutic iNSCs were implanted into the cavity, either in 4 μl of a 1X PBS suspension or encapsulated in a FLOSEAL®, TISSEEL®, or Gelfoam® scaffold. Lastly, the wound was closed with Vetbond, and postoperative pain was managed with 5 mg/kg of subcutaneous meloxicam 24 h after surgery. iNSC persistence was quantified via serial BLI imaging (IVIS Kinetic, Perkin Elmer) using 150 mg/kg D-luciferin (PerkinElmer 122799) in 1X PBS injected intraperitoneally.

5.9 | Histology

Mice were anesthetized using 5% inhaled isoflurane. Cardiac perfusion was performed by injecting 5 ml 1X PBS followed by 5 ml 10% formalin into the left ventricle of the heart. Following cervical dislocation, brains were harvested and immediately fixed by transferring into a vial containing 10% formalin. Samples were fixed overnight at 4°C and then transferred to a vial containing 30% sucrose in 1X PBS. Samples were kept at 4°C until the tissue sank. Next, brains were prepared for cryosectioning by placing the tissue in a cryomold (Tissue-Tek Cryomold, Sakura), covering with optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT), and freezing at −80°C. Tissue sections were cut 40 μm thick onto microscope slides. Then, OCT was washed away by incubating the sample in 1X PBS at room temperature for 5 min. To visualize cells, the sample was stained with DAPI (Invitrogen) and mounted on ProLong™ Gold Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen).

5.10 | In vivo iNSC efficacy

Mice were anesthetized using 2.5% inhaled isoflurane and placed into a stereotaxic frame. The surgical site was prepared using 70% isopropyl alcohol and betadine. An incision was made in the skin on the head of the mouse to expose the skull. Next, using a microdrill, a craniotomy was performed in the right hemisphere, between the bregma and lambda points, on the parietal skull plate. Cold saline and Surgicel® were used to control bleeding. Surgicel® was removed, and the wound was closed with Vetbond (3M 1469SB). Three days after the craniotomy, mice were again anesthetized and prepared for surgery. The wound was reopened, and using a stereotaxic auto-injector, 1 × 10⁵ U87-mCh-Fluc cells or 3 × 10⁵ GBM8-mCh-Fluc cells suspended in 3 μl of 1X PBS were infused into the brain parenchyma at stereotaxic coordinates 2.5, −0.5, −0.5 from bregma at a rate of 1 μl/min, avoiding the lateral ventricles. Cells were given 5 min to settle before slowly removing the syringe. The wound was closed with Vetbond. Seven days after implanting the U87 tumors and 3 days after implanting the GBM8 tumors, mice were anesthetized and prepared for surgery. The wound was reopened, and the tumors were resected using fluorescence guidance and a vacuum pump. Once bleeding subsided, therapeutic iNSCs were implanted into the cavity in a 1X PBS suspension or encapsulated in FLOSEAL®. For the GBM8 efficacy study where two doses of iNSCs were tested, “FLOSEAL® high” TRAIL denotes mice that received 1.5 × 10⁶ therapeutic iNSCs, and “FLOSEAL® low TRAIL” denotes mice that received 6 × 10⁵ therapeutic iNSCs conjuncturally. All other groups received 1 × 10⁶ therapeutic or nontherapeutic iNSCs, again noting conjuncturally for the “FLOSEAL® Control iNSC” group. In the U87 efficacy study, FLOSEAL® TRAIL denotes mice that received 1.5 × 10⁶ therapeutic iNSCs conjuncturally, and all remaining groups received 1 × 10⁶ therapeutic or nontherapeutic iNSCs again noting conjuncturally for the “FLOSEAL® Control iNSC” group. Postoperative pain was managed with 5 mg/kg of subcutaneous meloxicam 24 h after surgery. iNSC tumor volume was monitored over time via BLI (AMI HTX, Spectral Instruments Imaging). Animals were euthanized when more than 20% of their original body weight was lost or when the animal displayed physical symptoms of pain-based dehydration, hunched position, tremors, and cold body temperature.

5.11 | Statistical analysis

Replicate number is defined by n in figure legends. All data presented as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise stated. Seeding efficiency data analyzed via one-way ANOVA with Šidák’s multiple comparisons test. iNSC persistence data analyzed via one-way ANOVA with Šidák’s multiple comparisons test. Survival curves analyzed via log-rank (Mantel–Cox) test. In all graphs, * indicates p < 0.05, ** indicates p < 0.01, *** indicates p < 0.001, and **** indicates p < 0.0001. Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad (version 7).
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