Synthesis and Biocidal Activity of Some Naphthalene-Based Cationic Surfactants
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Abstract In this study, different cationic surfactants were prepared by reacting dodecyl bromide with tertiary amines to produce a series of quaternary ammonium salts that were converted subsequently to stannous and cobalt cationic complexes via complexing them with stannous (II) or cobalt (II) ions. Surface properties such as surface- and interfacial-tension, and the emulsifying power of these surfactants were investigated. The surface parameters including critical micelle concentration, maximum surface excess, minimum surface area, tension lowering efficiency and effectiveness were studied. The free energy of micellization and adsorption were calculated. Antimicrobial activity was determined via the inhibition zone diameter of the prepared compounds, which was measured against six strains of a representative group of microorganisms. The antimicrobial activity of some of the prepared surfactants against sulfate reducing bacteria was determined by the dilution method. FTIR spectra, elemental analysis and a $^1$H NMR spectrum were examined to confirm compound structure and purity. The results obtained indicate that these compounds have good surface properties and good biocidal effect on broad spectrum of microorganisms.
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Introduction

Cationic surfactants are used for quite different purposes than anionic surfactants. They have a greater affinity for various surfaces and could play an important role in the textile industry. Surfactants are usually employed for wetting or emulsifying and it has been reported by many investigators [1–3] that cationic surfactants, especially those having quaternary ammonium groups, display these activities. Quaternary ammonium salts also show biocidal properties [4]. Surfactant metal complexes are expected to provide a wide range of interesting phenomena on aggregation in aqueous solution due to variations in charge numbers, size and extent of hydrophobicity through combination of the central metal and ligands [5]. However, their physical properties in solution have not been studied extensively [6–9].

Metalloaggregates are made of surfactants that combine a metal-coordinating polar head with a hydrophobic tail [10]. Surfactants micellize in solution after the critical micelle concentration (CMC) is reached, depending on their molecular structure and the environmental conditions [11].

The antimicrobial action of cationic surfactants is based on their ability to disrupt and disorganize the integral bacterial membrane by combined hydrophobic and electrostatic adsorption phenomena at the membrane–water interface [12].

The cell membrane of pathogenic bacteria is predominantly negatively charged as compared with eukaryotic cells [13]. Hence, the positive charge of the cationic amphiphile facilitates their interaction with the bacterial membrane.

This study aimed to prepare some novel metallocationic surfactants based on naphthalene, and to determine their
surface properties including the CMC, the maximum surface excess \( \Gamma_{\text{max}} \), and the minimum surface area \( A_{\text{min}} \), as well as their efficiency \( \text{PC}_{20} \) and effectiveness \( \pi_{\text{CMC}} \).

**Experimental Procedure**

**Materials**

The chemicals used in this study were of pure grade from Aldrich and were used without further purification. The solvents used were of pure grade.

**Synthesis**

(A) Piperidine-1-yl methyl naphthalene (I\(_a\)) was synthesized by refluxing 1-chloromethyl naphthalene (I\(_a\)) (1 mol) and piperidine (4 mol) for 6 h in benzene (30 ml).

(B) Dipiperidine-1-yl dimethyl naphthalene (II\(_a\)) was synthesized by refluxing 1,5-bis-dichloromethyl naphthalene (II\(_a\)) (1 mol) and piperidine (4 mol) for 6 h in benzene (30 ml).

(C) Dipyridine-4,4'-yl-1,5 bis-disulphonamide naphthalene (III\(_a\)) was synthesized by refluxing 1,5 disulphony dichloride naphthalene (III\(_a\)) (1 mol), 4-amino pyridine (2 mol) and pyridine (2 mol) for 4 h in ethanol (30 ml).

The reaction mixtures were left to cool then filtered.

(D) Quaternary ammonium compounds were synthesized by refluxing 1 mol of (I\(_b\)), (II\(_b\)) and (III\(_b\)) with 1, 2 and 2 mol dodecyl bromide (R), respectively, in ethanol for 12 h. The solid product was filtered off and recrystallized from ethanol to obtain the cationic surfactants N-methyl naphthalene—N-dodecyl pipyridinium bromide (I\(_c\)), N, N'-dime thyl naphthalene—N, N'-didecyl dipyridinium bromide (II\(_c\)) and 4,4'-1,5 bis disulphonamide naphthalene—N, N'-didecyl dipyridinium bromide (III\(_c\)), respectively.

(E) Metal complexes (metallosurfactants) were synthesized by refluxing 2, 1 and 1 mol of (I\(_c\)), (II\(_c\)) and (III\(_c\)) in ethanol, respectively, with 1 mol of each of stannous chloride (SnCl\(_2\)·2H\(_2\)O) and cobalt chloride (CoCl\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O) in ethanol, respectively to obtain metal complexes M, N, X, Y, A and B, respectively (Schemes 1, 2; Figs. 1, 2). And metal complex Z was obtained by refluxing 1 mol of (II\(_b\)) in ethanol with half a mole each of stannous chloride and cobalt chloride in ethanol (Fig. 3).

**Structural Confirmation of the Prepared Compounds**

The chemical structure of the synthesized compounds was characterized as follows. Elemental analysis of the obtained surfactants was carried out using an Elemental Analyzer Model Varioelemenrar. The measurements were carried out at the Micro Analytical Center, Faculty of Science, Cairo University. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were obtained using an ATI Mattson Infinity series™ Bench top 961 controlled by Win First™ V2.01 software (Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute). ¹HNMR was measured in DMSO-d\(_6\) in a Spect Varian, GEMINI 200 (¹H 200 MHz). (Micro Analytical Center, Cairo University, Egypt).

**Evaluation Method of Surface Active Properties**

**Surface and Interfacial Tension**

Surface and interfacial tension of solutions of the prepared compounds were measured using Du-Nouy Tensiometer (Kruss type 6). The surface tension of different concentrations ranging from \( 5 \times 10^{-2} \) to \( 1 \times 10^{-3} \) mol/L was measured at different temperatures (25, 35 and 45 °C). The interfacial tension between 0.1% surfactants solution and light paraffin oil was also measured at 25 °C.

**Emulsification Power**

Emulsification power of the synthesized surfactants was measured by vigorous shaking of 10 ml surfactant solution (0.1%) and 10 ml paraffin oil for 5 min at 25 °C. The emulsification power was expressed as the time required for separation of 9 ml pure water.

**Surface Parameters of the Prepared Compounds**

**Critical Micelle Concentration** The CMC values of the prepared compounds were determined using surface tension techniques, i.e., the surface tension measurements were plotted against the logarithm of the surfactant concentration. As is usual, the interrupt change in the curve slope is taken as the CMC.

**Effectiveness** Effectiveness \( \pi_{\text{CMC}} \) is the difference between the surface tension of pure water \( \gamma_0 \) and the surface tension of the surfactant solution \( \gamma \) at the CMC.

\[
\pi_{\text{CMC}} = \gamma_0 - \gamma_{\text{CMC}}
\]

**Efficiency** Efficiency \( \text{PC}_{20} \) is determined by the concentration (mol/L) of the surfactant solution capable of suppressing the surface tension by 20 dyne/cm.
Maximum Surface Excess  The values of the maximum surface excess (\( \Gamma_{\text{max}} \)) calculated from surface or interfacial data by the use of Gibbs equation [14].

\[
\Gamma_{\text{max}} = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2.303 \cdot \frac{RT}{\delta \gamma/\delta \log C}_T
\]

where

\( \Gamma_{\text{max}} \)  maximum surface excess in mole/cm\(^2\)

\( R \)  universal gas constant 8.31 \times 10^7 ergs mole\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)

\( T \)  absolute temperature (273.2 + °C)

\( \delta \gamma \)  surface pressure in dyne/cm

\( C \)  surfactant concentration

\( (\delta \gamma/\delta \log C)_T \)  is the slope of a plot surface tension versus \(-\log\) concentration curves below CMC at constant temperature

Minimum Surface Area  The area per molecule at the interface provides information on the degree of packing and the orientation of the adsorbed surfactant molecule. The average minimum surface area \( (A_{\text{min}}) \) in square angstrom occupied by each molecule adsorbed on the interface [15] is given by:

\[
A_{\text{min}} = 10^{16}/\Gamma_{\text{max}}N
\]

\( \Gamma_{\text{max}} \)  maximum surface excess in mole/cm\(^2\)

\( N \)  Avogadro’s number

Thermodynamic Parameters of Micellization and Adsorption  The thermodynamic parameters of adsorption and micellization of the synthesized cationic surfactants were calculated according to Gibb’s adsorption equations as follows [16]:

\[
\Delta G_{\text{mic}} = RT \ln(\text{CMC})
\]

\[
\Delta G_{\text{ads}} = \Delta G_{\text{mic}}^0 - 6.023 \times 10^{-1} \times \pi_{\text{CMC}} \times A_{\text{min}}
\]

\[
\Delta S_{\text{mic}} = -d(\Delta G_{\text{mic}}^0 / \Delta T)
\]

\[
\Delta S_{\text{ads}} = -d(\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^0 / \Delta T)
\]

\[
\Delta H_{\text{mic}} = \Delta G_{\text{mic}}^0 + T \cdot \Delta S_{\text{mic}}
\]

\[
\Delta H_{\text{ads}} = \Delta G_{\text{ads}}^0 + T \cdot \Delta S_{\text{ads}}
\]

Antimicrobial Activity of the Prepared Compounds  The antimicrobial activity of the prepared metallo-cationic surfactants (M, N, X, Y, Z, A and B) was measured individually using the diffusion agar technique against a wide range of microorganisms previously isolated in the
Biotechnology Laboratory of the EPRI from different oil polluted environments using a dose equal to 5 mg/l.

The microorganisms include Gram-positive bacteria (*Staphylococcus gallinarum* NK1, *Bacillus maroccanus* I.1.1.3 and *Brevibacterium casei* I.2.1.7), Gram-negative bacteria (*Pseudomonas synxantha* I.1.1.1), yeast (*Candida parapsilosis* NSh45) and fungi (*Aspergillus terreus* I.1.3.1).

The antimicrobial activity of some of the synthesized compounds at different doses (800; 1,000; 1,200 ppm) was measured against sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB) using the dilution method.

**Fig. 1** Complex (M), N-methyl naphthalene-N-dodecyl pipyridinium dibromo-dichloro tinate complex

**Scheme 2** Chlorosulphonation of naphthalene to produce complex (A)
Results and Discussion

Chemical Structure

The chemical structure of the prepared cationic surfactants was confirmed by elemental analysis, and their FTIR and 1HNMR spectra.

Elemental Analysis

As shown in Table 1, there is very close agreement between the theoretical and experimental values of microanalysis, meaning that these products are rather pure, and their work up was done properly.

FTIR Spectra

FTIR spectroscopy was used to identify the functional groups of prepared metallocationic surfactants. The FTIR spectra of complex M showed an absorption band at 778 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to adjacent H atom of naphthalene, and absorption bands at 1,450 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2,847 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to CH aliphatic asymmetric bending and symmetric stretching, respectively. An absorption band at 2,920 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to ammonium ion (R\(_4\)N\(^{+}\); Fig. 4).

The FTIR spectrum of complex Z showed an absorption band at 720 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to the adjacent H atom of naphthalene, and absorption bands at 1,455 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2,851 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to CH aliphatic asymmetric bending and symmetric stretching, respectively. An additional absorption band at 2,922 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to ammonium ion (R\(_4\)N\(^{+}\); Fig. 5).

The FTIR spectra of complex A showed an absorption band at 758 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to adjacent H atom of naphthalene, and an absorption band at 1,189 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to the SO\(_2\)-NH group. An additional absorption band at 2,927 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to ammonium ion (R\(_4\)N\(^{+}\); Fig. 6).

1HNMR Spectra

1HNMR spectra of complex M showed signal triplet at \(\delta = 0.87\) ppm for (H\(_a\)), sextet at \(\delta = 0.9\) ppm for (H\(_b\)), quintet at \(\delta = 1.29\) ppm for (H\(_c\)), quintet at \(\delta = 1.8\) ppm for (H\(_d\)), triplet at \(\delta = 3.07\) ppm for (H\(_e\)), quintet at \(\delta = 1.24\) ppm for (H\(_f\)), quintet at \(\delta = 2.56\) ppm for (H\(_g\)), triplet at \(\delta = 3.44\) ppm for (H\(_h\)), singlet at \(\delta = 4.83\) ppm for (H\(_i\)), doublet at \(\delta = 7.1\) ppm for (H\(_j\)), triplet at \(\delta = 7.65\) ppm for (H\(_k\)), doublet at \(\delta = 7.93\) ppm for (H\(_l\)), doublet at \(\delta = 8.09\) ppm for (H\(_m\)) and triplet at \(\delta = 8.44\) for (H\(_n\)) (Fig. 7).

1HNMR spectra of complex Z showed signal triplet at \(\delta = 0.85\) ppm for (H\(_a\)), sextet at \(\delta = 1.1\) ppm for (H\(_b\)), quintet at \(\delta = 1.24\) ppm for (H\(_c\)), quintet at \(\delta = 1.7\) ppm for (H\(_d\)), triplet at \(\delta = 3\) ppm for (H\(_e\)), quintet at \(\delta = 1.24\) ppm for (H\(_f\)), sextet at \(\delta = 0.9\) ppm for (H\(_g\)), quintet at \(\delta = 1.8\) ppm for (H\(_h\)), quintet at \(\delta = 1.29\) ppm for (H\(_i\)), triplet at \(\delta = 3.07\) ppm for (H\(_j\)), quintet at \(\delta = 2.56\) ppm for (H\(_k\)), triplet at \(\delta = 3.44\) ppm for (H\(_l\)) and triplet at \(\delta = 8.44\) for (H\(_n\)) (Fig. 7).

Table 1  Micro elemental analysis of the prepared metallosurfactants

| Complex | M (%) | N (%) | X (%) | Y (%) | Z (%) | A (%) | B (%) |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| C (%)   | Th.   | 57.25 | 56.66 | 52.79 | 52.18 | 52.48 | 45.37 | 44.9  |
|         | Exp.  | 56.75 | 56.25 | 52.4  | 51.8  | 52.1  | 45.2  | 44.5  |
| H (%)   | Th.   | 7.84  | 8.43  | 8     | 8.69  | 8.36  | 6     | 6.6   |
|         | Exp.  | 7.7   | 8.2   | 7.9   | 8.5   | 8.2   | 5.9   | 6.5   |
| N (%)   | Th.   | 2.38  | 2.36  | 2.68  | 2.6   | 2.66  | 4.8   | 4.7   |
|         | Exp.  | 2.2   | 2.1   | 2.5   | 2.4   | 2.5   | 4.6   | 4.5   |

Th. Theoretical, Exp. experimental
for (H₄), quintet at δ = 2.56 ppm for (H₅), triplet at δ = 3.79 ppm for (H₆), singlet at δ = 4.7 ppm for (H₇), doublet at δ = 7.61 ppm for (H₈), triplet at δ = 7.85 ppm for (H₉) and doublet at δ = 8.02 ppm for (H₁₀) (Fig. 8).

¹H NMR spectra for complex A showed signal triplet at δ = 0.85 ppm for (Hₓ), sextet at δ = 1.24 ppm for (Hₙ), quintet at δ = 1.53 ppm for (Hₗ), quintet at δ = 1.49 ppm for (Hₖ), triplet at δ = 2.53 ppm for (H₄), doublet at δ = 8.9 ppm for (H₅), doublet at δ = 6.8 ppm for (H₆), singlet at δ = 4.6 ppm for (H₇), doublet at δ = 8.1 ppm for (H₈), triplet at δ = 7.4 ppm for (H₉) and doublet at δ = 7.5 ppm for (H₁₀) (Fig. 9).

Surface Properties

Surface and Interfacial Tension

Surface Tension The surface tension of aqueous solutions of the prepared metallocationic surfactant with different concentrations (0.05, 0.025, 0.01, 0.005, 0.0025, 0.00125
and 0.001 mol/L) and at different temperatures (25, 35 and 45 °C) was measured. The data are represented in surface tension-concentration curves as shown in Figs. 10, 11, and 12. It is clear that surface tension decreases with increasing concentration due to increasing migration of the surfactant molecules from the bulk to the interface of the solution. The figures also show that surface tension values decrease as the temperature increases from 25 to 45 °C; this is because the surfactants become less soluble due to dehydration of the hydrophobic chain as the temperature increases.

The surface tension values of the cationic complexes (M, N, X, Y, Z, A and B) are expected to have lower values than the parent cationic surfactants (Ic, IIc and IIIc). This is possible because of the increase in the hydrophobicity of these complexes in comparison to the parent cationics, which is due to the presence of two ligands coordinated to the metal-ion-like (M and N)...
complexes, i.e., more non-polar chains. Interactions between the water/surfactant molecules increase, which forces them to the air–water interface [17]. Hence, the surface tension was depressed considerably. Also, the presence of two alkyl chains in one molecule linked by a metal-ion-like (X, Y, Z, A and B) complex enhances the adsorption and aggregation properties by strengthening inter- or intra-molecular hydrophobic interactions [18], i.e., the complexes adsorb more efficiency at air/water interfaces than their parent salts. So, the structures of the synthesized surfactants play an important role in their surface properties.

Fig. 8 $^1$H-NMR-Spectrum of the metallocationic surfactant complex Z

Fig. 9 $^1$H-NMR-spectrum of the metallocationic surfactant complex A

Fig. 10 Surface tension versus $-\log$ concentration of complexes at 25 °C
The higher number of uniqueness of the metal–surfactant coordination complexes lies in the fact that the bond between the head group and the tail part of the surfactant is a coordinate bond, and the surfactant contains a higher charge on the head group, which leads to more repulsion in the bulk of the aqueous solution and thus increasing adsorption onto the surface.

Interfacial Tension  The interfacial tension of the oil/0.1% surfactant systems at (25 °C) was measured (Table 2). It is clear from the data that the synthesized surfactants (M, N, X, Y, Z, A and B) have good interfacial tension values against paraffin oil. Also, the lower values of the interfacial tension indicate the potential to use these surfactants in several applications as corrosion inhibitor and biocides.

Emulsion Stability

The emulsifying power of the prepared cationic surfactants (M, N, X, Y, Z, A and B) is listed in Table 2 as a function of time. All the prepared cationic surfactants have, in general, low emulsion stability as a function of time, so that these surfactants cannot be used as long-term emulsion stabilizers.

Table 2  Interfacial tension and emulsion stability of the synthesized metallosurfactants

| Emulsion stability (s) | Interfacial tension, mN/m | Surfactant |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| 20                     | 4                        | M          |
| 18                     | 5                        | N          |
| 21                     | 5                        | X          |
| 19                     | 3                        | Y          |
| 25                     | 5                        | Z          |
| 142                    | 3                        | A          |
| 85                     | 5                        | B          |

Critical Micelle Concentration

CMC values of the prepared metallocationic surfactants were determined by plotting the surface tension (γ) of surfactant solutions versus their bulk concentrations in mole/L at 25 °C, 35 °C and 45 °C. The CMC values listed in Tables 3, 4 and 5 show a decrease in CMC with increasing temperature and number of hydrophobic chains. The rise in temperature probably decreases the hydration of the hydrophilic group, favoring micellization. On the other hand, a rise in temperature causes disruption of the structured water surrounding the hydrophobic group, an effect that disfavors micellization. The relative magnitude of these two opposing effects determines whether the CMC increases or decreases over a particular temperature range. The data in Tables 3, 4 and 5 reveal that CMC decreases with rising temperature, i.e., micellization is enhanced.

Increasing the number of hydrophobic chains decreases the solubility and consequently increases the free energy of the system, leading to concentration of the surfactant molecules at the surface and formation of micelles.

Effectiveness

The most efficient surfactant is one that gives the greatest lowering in surface tension for a given CMC. According to the results of the effectiveness (P_{20}) shown in Tables 3, 4 and 5, complex Y was found the most effective at 25 °C, with a reduction of 38.4 mN/m at CMC. Complexes M and Y were very close in terms of effectiveness, being most effective at 35 °C, with 41.62 and 41.17 dyne/cm, respectively. Complex M achieved the best effectiveness at 45 °C, with 42.4 dyne/cm.

Efficiency

Efficiency (P_{20}) values of the prepared metallocationic surfactants are given in Tables 3, 4 and 5. From these data it was observed that increasing the number of alkyl chains
and temperature together produce a decrease in efficiency. This is due to the fact that the efficiency of adsorption at interfaces increases linearly with an increase in the number of carbon atoms in the hydrophobic group and temperature.

**Maximum Surface Excess**

The values of $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$ are represented in Tables 3, 4 and 5. $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$ increases by increasing the number of hydrophobic chains on the head group or by the presence of two ligands (depending on the metal ion) due to the increase of the interaction with the water phase so the surfactant molecules are directed to the interface, which decreases the surface energy of the solution.

**Minimum Surface Area**

The minimum area per molecule at the aqueous solution/air interface for the prepared surfactants is listed in Tables 3, 4 and 5. The minimum surface area ($A_{\text{min}}$) decreases with an increase in the number of hydrophobic chains in the surfactant molecules due to the higher accumulation of these molecules at the interface and a smaller available area per molecule.

**Standard Free Energies of Micellization and Adsorption**

From Tables 6 and 7 values of the standard free energies of micellization and adsorption ($\Delta G^o_{\text{mic}}$, $\Delta G^o_{\text{ads}}$) are always

---

**Table 3** Surface properties of the synthesized metallocationic surfactants at 25 °C

| Surfactant | CMC $\times 10^{-3}$ mol/L | $\pi_{\text{CMC}}$ mN/m | $P_{\text{C20}}$ $\times 10^{-3}$, mole/L | $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$ $\times 10^{-10}$ mol/cm² | $A_{\text{min}}$, nm² |
|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| M          | 2.37                        | 36.35                    | 1.0                                      | 1.352                                         | 1.228                |
| N          | 2.86                        | 38.15                    | 1.0                                      | 1.617                                         | 1.027                |
| X          | 2.71                        | 36.23                    | 1.12                                     | 1.296                                         | 1.281                |
| Y          | 2.48                        | 38.4                     | 1.1                                      | 1.188                                         | 1.397                |
| Z          | 2.4                         | 36.17                    | 1.02                                     | 1.336                                         | 1.243                |
| A          | 1.87                        | 26.77                    | 1.2                                      | 0.842                                         | 1.972                |
| B          | 1.82                        | 27.66                    | 1.12                                     | 0.732                                         | 2.269                |

**Table 4** Surface properties of the synthesized metallocationic surfactants at 35 °C

| Surfactant | CMC $\times 10^{-3}$, mole/L | $\pi_{\text{CMC}}$ mN/m | $P_{\text{C20}}$ $\times 10^{-4}$ mol/L | $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$ $\times 10^{-10}$ mol/cm² | $A_{\text{min}}$, nm² |
|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| M          | 2.1                         | 41.62                    | 4.75                                     | 1.419                                         | 1.170                |
| N          | 2.38                        | 39.94                    | 4.05                                     | 1.297                                         | 1.280                |
| X          | 2.39                        | 38.16                    | 4.17                                     | 0.968                                         | 1.716                |
| Y          | 2.05                        | 41.17                    | 5.48                                     | 1.250                                         | 1.328                |
| Z          | 2.19                        | 39.2                     | 8.22                                     | 0.941                                         | 1.764                |
| A          | 1.32                        | 35.93                    | 0.1                                      | 0.558                                         | 2.975                |
| B          | 1.25                        | 32                      | 0.1                                      | 0.424                                         | 3.919                |

**Table 5** Surface properties of the synthesized metallocationic surfactants at 45 °C

| Surfactant | CMC $\times 10^{-3}$ mol/L | $\pi_{\text{CMC}}$ mN/m | $P_{\text{C20}}$ $\times 10^{-4}$, mole/L | $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$ $\times 10^{-10}$ mol/cm² | $A_{\text{min}}$, nm² |
|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| M          | 1.74                        | 42.4                     | 0.656                                    | 1.600                                         | 1.037                |
| N          | 2.1                         | 40.97                    | 1.76                                     | 1.287                                         | 1.290                |
| X          | 2.23                        | 39.88                    | 1.0                                      | 1.060                                         | 1.567                |
| Y          | 1.78                        | 41.7                     | 0.26                                     | 1.256                                         | 1.322                |
| Z          | 1.7                         | 41                       | 2.8                                      | 1.184                                         | 1.402                |
| A          | 0.9                         | 37                       | 0.1                                      | 0.492                                         | 3.372                |
| B          | 1.08                        | 34.73                    | 0.1                                      | 0.410                                         | 4.046                |
negative, indicating that these two processes are spontaneous; however, there is a greater increase in the negative value of $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}$ compared to those of micellization. This suggests the tendency of the molecules to be adsorbed at the interface.

**Antimicrobial Activity of the Prepared Compounds**

It is well known that the activity toward a variety of bacterial species for numerous structural variations of a lot of cationic surfactants is optimal when the carbon chain length is between 10 and 14 atoms [19–23]. The antimicrobial activity results of the prepared compounds are in Table 8. The results indicate that the synthesized metallosurfactants have good antimicrobial activity, and the differences in their activity depend on the structure of the complex.

When the cationic site of the surfactant binds to the anionic sites of the cell wall surface, the surfactant molecules are able to diffuse through the cell wall then disrupt the membrane and permit the release of electrolytes and nucleic materials, leading to cell death. The membrane activity of the surfactants depends on the character of the polar head groups (size and electric charge distribution) and hydrocarbon chains (length, number, saturation and multiple chains).

The antimicrobial activity of the synthesized biocides (X, Y and Z) against SRB was determined by dilution method and the results are listed in Table 9. The results indicate that the synthesized metalloionic surfactants have antimicrobial activity against the tested organisms (SRB), and that their activity depends on their chemical structure, mainly the number of hydrophobic chains and the length and type of metal.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

---

**Table 6** Thermodynamic parameters of micellization of the synthesized metallosurfactants at 25 °C

| Surfactant | $\Delta G_{\text{mic}}$ kJ/mol | $\Delta S_{\text{mic}}$ kJ/mol K | $\Delta H_{\text{mic}}$ kJ/mol |
|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| M          | -14.982                       | -0.081                          | -39.196                     |
| N          | -14.516                       | -0.096                          | -43.084                     |
| X          | -14.650                       | -0.081                          | -38.923                     |
| Y          | -14.870                       | -0.099                          | -44.302                     |
| Z          | -14.951                       | -0.074                          | -36.898                     |
| A          | -15.569                       | -0.142                          | -57.765                     |
| B          | -15.637                       | -0.149                          | -59.979                     |

**Table 7** Thermodynamic parameters of adsorption of the synthesized metallosurfactants at 25 °C

| Surfactant | $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}$ kJ/mol | $\Delta S_{\text{ads}}$ kJ/mol K | $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ kJ/mol |
|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| M          | -41.867                       | -0.105                          | -73.298                     |
| N          | -38.092                       | -0.168                          | -88.159                     |
| X          | -42.603                       | -0.196                          | -101.110                    |
| Y          | -47.180                       | -0.105                          | -78.431                     |
| Z          | -42.030                       | -0.219                          | -107.425                    |
| A          | -47.365                       | -0.467                          | -186.714                    |
| B          | -53.421                       | -0.526                          | -210.333                    |

**Table 8** Microbial inhibition zone of the tested complexes (mm)

| Surfactant | Microorganism | NK1 | I.1.1.3 | I.2.1.7 | I.1.1.1 | NSh45 | I.1.3.1 |
|------------|---------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| M          | 26            | 38  | 23      | 22      | 22      | 18    |         |
| N          | 20            | 25  | 20      | 20      | 16      | 14    |         |
| X          | 24            | 21  | 15      | 20      | 18      | 14    |         |
| Y          | 20            | 24  | 16      | 18      | 16      | 16    |         |
| Z          | 18            | 20  | 18      | 18      | 17      | 14    |         |
| A          | 16            | 11  | Nil     | Nil     | Nil     | Nil   | Nil     |
| B          | Nil           | Nil | Nil     | Nil     | Nil     | Nil   | Nil     |

**Table 9** Antimicrobial activity of some synthesized surfactants against sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB) using the dilution method

| Bacteria count (SRB) | Surfactant X | Surfactant Y | Surfactant Z |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                      | 800          | 1,000        | 1,200        | 800          | 1,000        | 1,200        | 800          | 1,000        | 1,200        |
| Dose (ppm) | Days          |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| 1       | 0.0           | 0.0          | 0.0          | 0.0           | 0.0          | 0.0          | 0.0           | 0.0          | 0.0          |
| 2       | $10^2$        | 0.0          | 0.0          | 0.0           | 0.0          | 0.0          | 0.0           | 0.0          | 0.0          |
| 3       | $10^2$        | 0.0          | 0.0          | 0.0           | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$        | $10^3$       | $10^2$       |
| 4       | $10^2$        | 10           | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^2$       |
| 5       | $10^2$        | 10           | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       |
| 6       | $10^3$        | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       | $10^3$       |
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