An ultrasonic assisted direct contact membrane distillation hybrid process for desalination
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ABSTRACT

A novel ultrasonic assisted direct contact membrane distillation (USDCMD) hybrid process was designed and the effects of feed temperature, feed concentration, feed velocity, ultrasonic power and frequency on mass transfer were investigated. Under ultrasonic irradiation, changes and damages in membrane structure were found on PVDF membrane, while the pore size and the stretching strain of PP fibers were also enlarged and declined, respectively. The PTFE hollow fiber was selected to carry out USDCMD. The results showed that ultrasonic irradiation could effectively enhance mass transfer. Under the ultrasonic irradiation of 20 kHz and 260 W, the maximum permeate flux enhancement of 60% was obtained under conditions of feed temperature of 53 °C, feed velocity of 0.25 m/s and feed salt concentration of 140 g/L. The increment was enlarged with the decrease of feed temperature, feed velocity and ultrasonic frequency as well as the increase of feed concentration and ultrasonic power. Ultrasonic irradiation had no significant influence on the mechanical strength, pore size and hydrophobicity of the PTFE membrane in a 240 h continuous USDCMD experiment, and the novel membrane distillation process exhibited satisfying performance stability, which indicated that ultrasonic irradiation can be applied to membrane distillation for mass transfer enhancement.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Membrane distillation (MD) is a thermally driven process suitable for applications in which water is the major component present in the feed solution to be treated [1]. There is a thermally driven vapor transport through non-wetted porous hydrophobic membranes where the driving force is the partial vapor pressure difference across the two sides of membrane pores [2]. In recent years, MD displays a very good application prospect in desalination [3–5]. Compared with conventional desalination processes such as nanofiltration (NF), reverse osmosis (RO) and thermal evaporation, MD can utilize waste heat of low quality, treat wastewater containing higher salt concentration [6–8], and even remove some organics that used to be difficult to remove [9–11]. According to the adopted condensation methods, the MD systems can be classified into four different categories: direct contact membrane distillation (DCMD), air gap membrane distillation (AGMD), sweeping gas membrane distillation (SGMD) and vacuum membrane distillation (VMD) [12]. Among these four MD configurations, the DCMD is the most studied and it is also considered to be the simplest in design and application [13]. This is due to the fact that condensation steps carried out inside the membrane module lead to a simple operation mode without the need of external condensers like those in SGMD and VMD.

Although there have been extensive studies on the applicability of MD for water purification applications, the industrial implementation of MD is not yet feasible because of the following four major factors: (1) low permeate flux and mechanical instability of the hydrophobic membrane, (2) membrane fouling and membrane pore wetting, (3) long term performance instability, and (4) inefficient current MD process systems. Among these considerations, the improvement of membrane permeate flux is believed to be foremost for further commercialization of MD [14]. It is well known that the permeate flux is influenced by the membrane properties, temperature polarization, concentration polarization and channeling effect [15]. Except optimization of membrane materials, development of novel MD devices and effective MD processes is another solution to enhance the permeate flux. Teoh et al. designed different hollow fiber membrane modules with baffles and spacers, it was observed that the permeate flux can be enhanced about 30% [16]. Phattaranawik et al. [17] also found that the DCMD in spacer-filled channels achieved a higher flux than without spacers and the temperature polarization could be effectively inhibited. Chen et al. [18] incorporated gas bubbling into the DCMD system
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and the gas bubbling not only enhanced the permeate flux but also delayed the occurrence of major flux decline. Li and Sirkar [19] fabricated a rectangular cross-flow membrane module with face box and the cross flow helped to achieve a high permeate flux by reducing the temperature polarization in the feed. To improve hydrodynamics and MD module performance, Yang et al. [20] designed some novel hollow fiber modules with curly fibers, central-tubing for feeding, spacer-wrapped and spacer-knitted fibers.

Ultrasonic wave is referred to the acoustic wave with the frequency between 20 kHz and 10 MHz. Several concomitant effects, such as the mechanics, thermostics and cavitation effect, present themselves during the propagation of ultrasonic wave in various media, and these effects have been recognized to be beneficial to many physical and chemical processes [21]. For membrane separation processes, the ultrasonic technique is used mainly in membrane fouling monitoring and control, membrane cleaning and membrane flux enhancement [22–28]. Li et al. and Mairal et al. [29–31] applied the ultrasonic technique as a non-destructive, real-time, in situ measuring technique for the non-invasive direct monitoring of membrane fouling and cleaning during ultrafiltration (UF) and RO, and found that the ultrasonic technique is a useful technique for the non-destructive investigation of fouling and cleaning in membrane applications. Kobayashi et al. [32–36] introduced the ultrasonic technique to create novel anti-fouling membrane processes for membrane water treatments, it was reported that ultrasonic irradiation during membrane filtration was very effective in removing foulants from membranes. Massive evidences exist that the ultrasonic effect is useful for water cleaning of fouled membrane, the ultrasonic cleaning presents advantages and is an effective method compared with other typical cleaning methods using physical and chemical methods [37–39]. In addition, ultrasonic intensity and frequency also increased the permeate flux of membranes [40–42]. Although the ultrasonic irradiation has been successfully applied to some membrane separation systems such as microfiltration (MF), UF and RO, this method has not yet been incorporated into the processes of DCMD.

The subject of this research, the ultrasonic assisted direct contact membrane distillation (USDCMD) hybrid process has not been reported so far. Hence, it is our interest to experimentally investigate the effect of ultrasonic irradiation on DCMD. In this study, online ultrasonic irradiation equipment was incorporated into a DCMD system and batch USDCMD experiments were carried out for desalination with sodium chloride solution as the feed. The influences of feed concentration, flow rate and temperature, ultrasonic irradiation power and frequency on USDCMD performance were investigated comprehensively and systematically. In addition, the effects of ultrasonic irradiation on the hydrophobic hollow fibers were also analyzed via scanning electron microscopy (SEM), capillary flow porometer (CFP) and mechanical strength measurement.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and membrane module

Three different hydrophobic hollow fiber membranes, polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), polypropylene (PP) and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) were chosen to fabricate membrane modules. The PTFE and PP hollow fibers were supplied by DD Water Group Co., Ltd. (China) and the Institute of Seawater Desalination and Multi-purpose Utilization, SOA (Tianjin, China), respectively. The PVDF hollow fiber membranes were self-prepared by the dry/wet phase inversion process. Hollow fibers in the number of 40 pieces were assembled into a polyester tube (diameter (mm) d_in/d_out=15/20) with two UPVC T-tubes and two ends of the bundle of fibers were sealed with solidified epoxy resin to compose a membrane module. The effective membrane length was 100 mm for each membrane module. The characteristics of the membranes and corresponding modules are presented in Table 1.

2.2. USDCMD setup

The USDCMD experimental setup is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The hot salt solution as feed flowed through the shell side of the fibers, while the cold distillate flowed through the lumen side. The initial volumes of the feed and the distillate were 2.0 L and 0.25 L, respectively. To keep the feed concentration constant, the obtained distillate was refloated to the feed tank every one hour. Both solutions were circulated in the membrane module with the help of two magnetic pumps (MP-15RN, Shanghai Seisun Pumps, China). The feed and the distillate flowed co-currently through the module, and the circulation feed rate (V_f) was in the range of 0.07–0.25 m/s, while the

### Table 1

| Membrane material | Mean pore diameter (μm) | Porosity (%) | Outer diameter (mm) | Inner diameter (mm) | LEPw (Bar) | Contact angle (°) | Effective membrane area (cm²) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| PP                | 0.28                    | 50.76        | 0.40                | 0.20                | 1.32      | 94.8             | 50.2                        |
| PVDF              | 0.14                    | 83.82        | 1.20                | 0.90                | 2.97      | 99.5             | 150.7                       |
| PTFE              | 0.26                    | 45.07        | 1.58                | 0.80                | 1.67      | 129.3            | 198.4                       |

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the USDCMD system.
cold side ($V_p$) keeping constant at 1.0 m/s. The feed temperature ($T_{f-inlet}$) was controlled from 40 to 70 °C by a Pt-100 sensor and a heater connected to an external thermostat (XMTD-2202, Yongshang Instruments, China). The distillate temperature ($T_{p-outlet}$) kept at 20 °C by a spiral glass heat exchanger immersed in the constant temperature trough of the cooler (SDC-6, Nanjing Xinchin Biotechnology, China). The temperature of both fluids was monitored at the inlet and outlet of the membrane module using four Pt-100 thermistors connected to a digital meter (Digit RTD, model XMT-808, Yuyao Changjiang Temperature Meter Instruments, China) with an accuracy of ±0.1 °C. An electric conductivity monitor (CM-230 A, Shijiazhuang Create Instrumentation Technologies, China) was used to monitor the distillate water quality.

In order to study the effect of ultrasonic irradiation, the membrane module was immersed vertically in a water bath (15 × 15 × 42 cm$^3$), transducers were adhered to the four outside surfaces of the water bath stainless steel shell. The acoustic power is supplied from a power generator with the power output up to 260 W. Four different resonance frequencies of 20 kHz, 30 kHz, 40 kHz and 68 kHz can be selected for the ultrasonic irradiation. The ultrasonic irradiation device was supplied by Quanyi Electronic Equipment Co., Ltd. (Baoding, China).

2.3. Analysis methods and instruments

Membrane characteristics such as porosity, pore size distribution and mechanical properties of the hollow fibers were tested. Morphologies of the membranes before and after ultrasonic irradiation were also observed.

2.3.1. Membrane porosity test

The membrane porosity was usually measured by the gravimetric method, determining the weight of liquid contained in the membrane pores. The porosity $\epsilon$ of the hollow fiber was calculated by the following equation [43]:

$$\epsilon = \frac{(w_1 - w_2)/D_l}{(w_1 - w_2)/D_l + w_2/D_p}$$

where $w_1$ is the weight of the wet membrane, $w_2$ is the weight of the dry membrane, $D_p$ is the polymer density and $D_l$ is the liquid density. The liquid used for porosity measurement named Porefil was supported by IB-FT GmbH (Germany) and its surface tension and density were 16 dyn/cm and 1.87 g/ml, respectively.

2.3.2. Pore size distribution and LEPw test

The pore size distribution of the membrane was investigated by using a Capillary Flow Porometer (Porolux 1000, IB-FT GmbH, Germany). The fibers were fully wetted with the Porefil, and then the measurements were carried out following the procedure described in the literature [1]. The pore size distribution was determined with the aid of the computer software coupled to CFP.

The liquid entry pressure of water (LEPw) was obtained using the method described by Smolders and Franken [44]. Hollow fibers in the number of 10 pieces were assembled into a test membrane module and the effective hollow fiber length was 50 mm. The container was first filled with 1.0 L distilled water and then the pressure was applied gradually from the nitrogen cylinder on water at room temperature. The minimum applied pressure at which a continuous flux was observed was the LEPw value. The experiments were carried out three times using different membrane modules made from different batches.

2.3.3. Membrane morphology analysis

The morphology of membrane was investigated with a HITACHI S-3000 N scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Hitachi Ltd., Japan). Membrane samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen, fractured to obtain fragments, and sputtered with platinum using a HITACHI E-1010 Ion Sputtering device for SEM observation.

2.3.4. Membrane contact angle

The membrane hydrophobicity was determined by gauges of contact angle of droplet with the OCA20 Video-Based Contact Angle Meter (DataPhysics Instruments Ltd., Germany). Water droplets of about 0.3 μL were carefully dropped onto the membrane outer surface through a syringe under ambient temperature and the contact angles were obtained by measuring five different positions of each sample.

2.3.5. Mechanical properties analysis

Mechanical properties of the fabricated membranes were investigated by measurements with an Instron tensiometer (Instron 5565-5 kN, Instron Corporation, USA). The sample was clamped at both ends and pulled in tension at a constant elongation rate of 10 mm/min with an initial length of 20 cm at room temperature, and five specimens were tested for each hollow fiber sample.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. The hollow fiber membranes selection

To select a suitable hydrophobic hollow fiber for the USDCMD hybrid process, the influence of ultrasonic irradiation on membrane properties was investigated first. With the feed flow rate set at 0.25 m/s and feed temperature at 53 °C, both the DCMD and USDCMD experiments were carried out independently. During the experiments, the sodium chloride concentration in feed was kept constant at 35 g/L and the ultrasonic of 20 kHz frequency was used at a fixed power of 260 W.

The experimental results of three hours continuous running are listed in Table 2. The relative permeate flux $J_R$ was used to describe the ultrasonic effect on membrane permeability:

$$J_R = \frac{J_{USDCMD}}{J_{DCMD}}$$

where $J_{USDCMD}$ is the permeate flux with ultrasonic and $J_{DCMD}$ is the permeate flux without ultrasonic. Although the relative permeate flux of the PVDF membrane was the highest, the permeate conductivity increased continually during the USDCMD process. When the USDCMD experiment ended, the permeate conductivity reached 86.2 μS/cm, indicating that the salt solution penetrated through the PVDF hollow fibers. The outer surface SEM images of

| Membrane material | $T_{f-inlet}$ (°C) | $T_{p-outlet}$ (°C) | $T_{p-inlet}$ (°C) | $T_{p-outlet}$ (°C) | $J_R$ | Permeate flux (kg/m$^2$ h) | Permeate conductivity (μS/cm) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|----------------------|----------------------|
| PP                | 53.3              | 50.2              | 20.0              | 30.2              | 1.67 | 6.36                 | 10.61                 |
| PVDF              | 53.0              | 51.1              | 20.1              | 25.1              | 2.01 | 4.47                 | 8.99                 |
| PTFE              | 53.1              | 51.2              | 20.2              | 23.2              | 1.32 | 1.97                 | 2.60                 |

Table 2

USDCMD operating conditions and experimental results of the different hollow fiber membranes.
the PVDF hollow fiber membrane after being used in DCMD and USDCMD are presented in Fig. 2. It can be found that the skin layer was eroded by ultrasonic wave, and some parts were stripped off. The stress–strain curves also demonstrated that ultrasonic irradiation degraded mechanical strength of the PVDF hollow fibers as shown in Fig. 3. The relevant experimental data are listed in Table 3.

The PP and PTFE membranes kept good salt rejection, and the permeate conductivity from these two membranes was below 5.0 μS/cm with ultrasonic irradiation or not. The relative permeate flux of the PP membrane was higher than that from PTFE membrane as listed in Table 2, but the three hours ultrasonic irradiation reduced the stretching strain from 190% to 163% for PP hollow fiber. It was found that there was no obvious difference between the outer surface SEM images of the PP membranes before and after ultrasound irradiation. However, the pore size distribution test showed that the ultrasonic irradiation enlarged the membrane pore diameter as shown in Fig. 4. After three hours ultrasonic irradiation, the bubble point pore size and the mean pore size of the PP fiber were increased to 2.40 μm and 0.32 μm, respectively. For PTFE hollow fiber membrane, the performance stability, mechanical properties and pore size distribution were hardly influenced by ultrasonic irradiation, which indicated that the PTFE membrane should be the optimal membrane material to be utilized in the USDCMD hybrid process for desalination. Therefore, the PTFE hollow fiber membrane was selected to be used in the following USDCMD experimental research.

### 3.2 The influence of feed temperature on USDCMD

MD is a thermally driven process and the temperature determines the vapor pressure of feed solution. The influence of feed temperature on the USDCMD hybrid process was investigated first. With the feed velocity set at 0.25 m/s and the feed salt concentration at 35 g/L, both...
DCMD and USDCMD desalination experiments were carried out at four different temperature levels. For the USDCMD hybrid process, the ultrasonic frequency was fixed at 20 kHz and the ultrasonic power varied in the range of 110–260 W. The permeate conductivity was below 4.5 μS/cm for all the cases tested. The obtained permeate flux with different feed temperatures is shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that ultrasonic irradiation really increased the permeate flux. The stronger the ultrasonic irradiation power, the higher the permeate flux yield. It is also found that with the same ultrasonic irradiation power, a higher feed temperature led to a higher permeate flux. As shown in Fig. 6, despite the increasing trend in the absolute amount of permeate flux by ultrasonic irradiation, the relative permeate flux declined with the increase of feed temperature. In the investigated range, the enhancement of permeate flux by the ultrasonic irradiation can reach as high as 47%.

According to the Antoine equation [45], there is an exponential relationship between the vapor pressure difference and temperature. With the feed temperature increase, the permeate flux was enhanced. When the feed temperature was at a lower level such as 40 °C, the relative permeate flux was much higher than that from the higher feed temperature, which meant that the ultrasonic irradiation was more efficient in the enhancement of permeate flux for the DCMD process with low feed temperature. The feed viscosity and the boundary layer thickness would decline with the feed temperature increasing, which was favorable to enhance mass transfer coefficient. In this case, the effect of ultrasonic irradiation on permeate flux enhancement was reduced. The bulk feed temperature increased slightly with the increase of ultrasonic irradiation power, while the membrane surface temperature would improve significantly as the ultrasonic irradiation intensified, which had been demonstrated by Zhu and Liu [46]. Therefore, as ultrasonic irradiation power increase, the difference between the bulk temperature and the membrane surface temperature reduced, leading to a reduction of temperature polarization. As a result, the relative permeate flux increased with the ultrasonic irradiation power increasing.

### 3.3. The influence of feed concentration on USDCMD

Fixing feed velocity at 0.25 m/s and feed temperature at 53 °C, DCMD experiments were conducted with and without irradiation under several kinds of ultrasonic power, and the ultrasonic frequency was set at 20 kHz. The influence of feed concentration on permeate flux is presented in Fig. 7. It can be found that the permeate flux declined with the feed concentration increase no matter whether in the presence of ultrasonic irradiation or not, but the ultrasonic irradiation mitigated permeate flux attenuation as feed concentration increasing. The stronger the ultrasonic irradiation power, the less the permeate flux drop. During these experiments, it was observed that

### Table 3
Mechanical properties of the hollow fiber membranes.

| Membrane material | Ultrasonic irradiation | Load at break (N) | Stretching strain at break (%) | Stress at break (MPa) |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| PP                | N                      | 6.98              | 190.35                        | 74.02                |
|                   | Y                      | 6.63              | 163.81                        | 70.31                |
| PVDF              | N                      | 1.63              | 114.18                        | 3.30                 |
|                   | Y                      | 1.35              | 64.77                         | 2.72                 |
| PTFE              | N                      | 109.53            | 88.49                         | 75.79                |
|                   | Y                      | 109.49            | 87.67                         | 75.76                |

When the feed temperature was at a lower level such as 40 °C, the relative permeate flux was much higher than that from the higher feed temperature, which meant that the ultrasonic irradiation was more efficient in the enhancement of permeate flux for the DCMD process with low feed temperature. The feed viscosity and the boundary layer thickness would decline with the feed temperature increasing, which was favorable to enhance mass transfer coefficient. In this case, the effect of ultrasonic irradiation on permeate flux enhancement was reduced. The bulk feed temperature increased slightly with the increase of ultrasonic irradiation power, while the membrane surface temperature would improve significantly as the ultrasonic irradiation intensified, which had been demonstrated by Zhu and Liu [46]. Therefore, as ultrasonic irradiation power increase, the difference between the bulk temperature and the membrane surface temperature reduced, leading to a reduction of temperature polarization. As a result, the relative permeate flux increased with the ultrasonic irradiation power increasing.

### 3.3. The influence of feed concentration on USDCMD

Fixing feed velocity at 0.25 m/s and feed temperature at 53 °C, DCMD experiments were conducted with and without irradiation under several kinds of ultrasonic power, and the ultrasonic frequency was set at 20 kHz. The influence of feed concentration on permeate flux is presented in Fig. 7. It can be found that the permeate flux declined with the feed concentration increase no matter whether in the presence of ultrasonic irradiation or not, but the ultrasonic irradiation mitigated permeate flux attenuation as feed concentration increasing. The stronger the ultrasonic irradiation power, the less the permeate flux drop. During these experiments, it was observed that
The permeate conductivity was kept less than 4.5 μS/cm without affecting by feed concentration. The increase of salt concentration in the feed would reduce the partial vapor pressure and consequently reduces the driving force of the MD process. With the feed concentration increasing, both the feed viscosity and the boundary layer thickness increased, which aggravated concentration polarization on the feed membrane surface, so there was also the contribution due to the effect of the concentration polarization for the permeate flux decline. Although the absolute permeate flux decreased with feed concentration increasing, the relative permeate flux was improved. The influence of feed concentration on the relative permeate flux is shown in Fig. 8. Ultrasonic wave can bring significant mechanical and thermal effects and generate powerful shock wave and microstreaming with high speed. The mechanical effect promoted turbulence, reduced the boundary layer and intensified eddy diffusion. The microstreaming, shock wave and acoustic streaming can continuously stimulate the liquid–membrane interface, thus refreshed the interface and prevented membrane fouling. All of these were beneficial to relieve the negative effect of feed concentration increase on the mass transfer of the DCMD process. The higher the feed concentration was, the more obvious the ultrasonic enhancement of permeate flux could be observed.

3.4. The influence of feed velocity on USDCMD

The feed velocity determines the residence time of feed solution in ultrasonic field. In addition, the feed velocity also influences flow state, mass transfer and heat transfer in the MD process. Therefore, the effect of the feed flow rate was investigated in the range of 0.07–0.25 m/s, whereas the feed concentration and feed temperature were kept constant at 35 g/L and 53 °C, respectively. The ultrasonic frequency was fixed at 20 kHz and the ultrasonic power varied in the range of 0–260 W. The influence of feed flow rate on the permeate flux is shown in Fig. 9.

It is shown that the permeate flux increased with an increase of the feed flow rate. The increase in feed flow rate could weaken the temperature and concentration polarization effects, raise the heat and mass transfers coefficients. As a result, both the permeate flux and heat transport across the hydrophobic membrane would be improved. Ultrasonic irradiation was helpful to the permeate flux enhancement for all the feed velocity levels, and the higher ultrasonic power led to the larger permeate flux enhancement at the same feed flow rate. The effect of feed flow rate on the relative permeate flux is illustrated in Fig. 10. It can be found that the effect of ultrasonic irradiation on permeate flux enhancement at lower feed velocity was more obvious compared with that at higher feed flow rate. With feed velocity increasing, the relieving effect of temperature polarization and concentration polarization from ultrasonic irradiation became less, then the growth of the relative permeate flux would be slower. The relative permeate flux increased remarkably with the ultrasonic power increase, which may be partly attributed to the low feed flow rate. In the range of 0.07–0.25 m/s, the feed flow was primarily laminar (the Reynolds number, Re ≪ 2000), and the effect of ultrasonic irradiation on permeate flux enhancement would be more distinct with the ultrasonic power increasing.

3.5. The influence of ultrasonic power and frequency on USDCMD

Besides ultrasonic power, ultrasonic frequency also plays an important role in the USDCMD hybrid process. In the present work,
ultrasonic irradiation effect at frequencies of 20, 30, 40 and 68 kHz was investigated with the ultrasonic power in the range of 110–260 W. During the experiments, the feed velocity was fixed at 0.25 m/s, and the feed concentration and feed temperature were kept constant at 35 g/L and 53 °C, respectively. The effect of ultrasonic irradiation on the absolute permeate flux and relative permeate flux are shown in Figs. 11 and 12, respectively.

It can be found that ultrasonic irradiation with higher power and at lower frequency was more favorable to improve permeate flux, which agreed with the results of ultrasonic irradiation on permeate flux enhancement in microfiltration and ultrafiltration [32]. In general, an increase in ultrasonic power strengthens cavitation effects. Under the same ultrasonic power, an increase of ultrasonic frequency reduces the production and intensity of cavitation in liquid. In the ultrasonic filed with higher power and lower frequency, the remarkable cavitation phenomena occurred in the water bath in which the PTFE hollow fibers were immersed. Cavitation is usually considered to play the pivotal role in the ultrasonic enhancement of membrane separation process. The formation, growth, compression, and sudden collapse of cavitation bubbles in liquids produce mechanical and thermal effects, which can enhance the efficiency of mass transfer. For the 20 kHz frequency and 260 W power case, the enhancement of permeate flux can get as high as 32%, while the value of permeate flux enhancement was only 1.5% for the USDCMD process with 68 kHz frequency and 110 W power ultrasonic irradiation. Therefore, for the USDCMD hybrid process, low frequency and high power ultrasonic irradiation appear to be effective in permeate flux enhancement.

3.6. USDCMD performance stability analysis

From an industrial application perspective, it is important to maintain the membrane permeability, keeping the permeate flux and solute rejection during the MD desalination process. To investigate the performance stability of the USDCMD hybrid process, a 240 h continuous desalination experiment of aqueous sodium chloride solution was conducted with ultrasonic power at 260 W and frequency at 20 kHz. The feed temperature and flow rate were fixed at 53 °C and 0.25 m/s, respectively. The feed NaCl concentration was kept at 35 g/L and other operating parameters were in accordance with the previous experiments. The result of desalination performance is presented in Fig. 13.

It can be observed that the permeate flux was stable at about 2.60 kg/m² h and the permeate conductivity maintained in the range of 4.3–4.7 μS/cm during the whole experimental process, the PTFE hollow fiber exhibited satisfying performance stability. The SEM images of the PTFE membrane are presented in Fig. 14. It was found that there was no obvious difference between the outer surface SEM images of the PTFE membranes before and after being used in the USDCMD process. The contact angle of the membrane stabilized at 129.6 ± 0.5°, suggesting that the membrane hydrophobicity was not influenced by ultrasonic irradiation. The pore size distribution and mechanical properties of the PTFE membrane can be found in Figs. 15 and 16, respectively. The mean pore diameter was 0.26 μm and the largest pore diameter was 1.27 μm, the 240 h ultrasonic irradiation could not reduce or enlarge the membrane pores. In Fig. 16, it can be clearly seen that the stress–strain curves of the PTFE membranes before and after being exposed in ultrasonic field almost coincide with each other. All of these demonstrated that the PTFE hollow fiber was suitable for the USDCMD hybrid process.

It is necessary to note that the ultrasonic irradiation will bring the possible risk of degradation or modification of the compounds contained in the feed to be treated. For this reason, more careful
evaluations are needed whether the USDCMD hybrid process can be applied in some specific fields such as food processing, blood and protein purification, and extraction of some organic compounds from dilute aqueous solutions. However, it is believed that if the USDCMD hybrid process attempted in this work is accompanied with higher permeate flux PTFE membrane and more efficient process design, the novel USDCMD process may be of great potential to be utilized in desalination.

4. Conclusions

In the present work, a novel membrane distillation process, ultrasonic assisted direct contact membrane distillation (USDCMD) hybrid process, was designed. The effects of feed temperature, feed concentration, feed velocity, ultrasonic power and frequency on ultrasonic irradiation enhancement of the mass transfer of DCMD were preliminarily investigated.

Under ultrasonic irradiation, changes and damages in membrane structure were found on PVDF hollow fiber. The ultrasonic irradiation eroded PVDF membrane skin layer, even led to the formation of holes, damaged the membrane mechanical strength. As a result, the capability of solute rejection was destroyed. Although there was no great change in the PP membrane morphology under ultrasonic irradiation, the membrane pore size was enlarged and the stretching strain of the PP fibers declined about 15%. The PTFE hollow fiber could maintain its properties and was hardly influenced by ultrasonic irradiation. Thus, the PTFE membrane was selected to be applied in the USDCMD hybrid process.

Ultrasonic irradiation could enhance the mass transfer of DCMD. The remarkable effect of ultrasonic irradiation on permeate flux enhancement was obtained at lower feed velocity, feed temperature and higher feed concentration. The application of ultrasonic irradiation could lead to a permeate flux enhancement as high as 60% without destroying the rejection of solute. It was also found that ultrasonic irradiation with 20 kHz frequency could improve permeate flux more efficiently compared with that of 68 kHz, and the permeate flux increased with the ultrasonic power increasing at the same ultrasonic frequency.

Ultrasonic irradiation influenced little on the mechanical strength, pore size distribution and hydrophobicity of the PTFE membrane in a 240 h USDCMD experiment, and the novel hybrid membrane distillation process exhibited satisfying performance stability. All the results demonstrated that ultrasonic irradiation can be applied to membrane distillation for the enhancement of mass transfer, and the USDCMD hybrid process with PTFE hollow fibers can be conducted continuously.
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Nomenclature

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| MD           | membrane desalination |
| DCMD         | direct contact membrane desalination |
| USDCMD       | ultrasonic assisted direct contact membrane distillation |
| SEM          | scanning electron microscopy |
| CFP          | capillary flow porometer |
| PP           | polypropylene |
| PVDF         | polyvinylidene fluoride |
| PTFE         | polytetrafluoroethylene |
| LEWP         | liquid entry pressure of water (Bar) |
| Jr           | relative permeate flux (dimensionless) |
| Jusdcmd      | permeate flux in USDCMD (kg/m² h) |
| Jdcmd        | permeate flux in DCMD (kg/m² h) |
| Tf-inlet     | feed temperature in membrane module inlet (°C) |
| Tf-outlet    | feed temperature in membrane module outlet (°C) |
| Tp-inlet     | distillate temperature in membrane module inlet (°C) |
| Tp-outlet    | distillate temperature in membrane module outlet (°C) |
| Vf           | feed flow rate (m/s) |
