RESEARCH ARTICLE
Open Access

Transcriptional regulation of the grape cytochrome P450 monooxygenase gene CYP736B expression in response to Xylella fastidiosa infection
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Abstract
Background: Plant cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (CYP) mediate synthesis and metabolism of many physiologically important primary and secondary compounds that are related to plant defense against a range of pathogenic microbes and insects. To determine if cytochrome P450 monooxygenases are involved in defense response to Xylella fastidiosa (Xf) infection, we investigated expression and regulatory mechanisms of the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase CYP736B gene in both disease resistant and susceptible grapevines.

Results: Cloning of genomic DNA and cDNA revealed that the CYP736B gene was composed of two exons and one intron with GT as a donor site and AG as an acceptor site. CYP736B transcript was up-regulated in PD-resistant plants and down-regulated in PD-susceptible plants 6 weeks after Xf inoculation. However, CYP736B expression was very low in stem tissues at all evaluated time points. 5'RACE and 3'RACE sequence analyses revealed that there were three candidate transcription start sites (TSS) in the upstream region and three candidate polyadenylation (PolyA) sites in the downstream region of CYP736B. Usage frequencies of each transcription initiation site and each polyadenylation site varied depending on plant genotype, developmental stage, tissue, and treatment. These results demonstrate that expression of CYP736B is regulated developmentally and in response to Xf infection at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels. Multiple transcription start and polyadenylation sites contribute to regulation of CYP736B expression.

Conclusions: This report provides evidence that the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase CYP736B gene is involved in defense response at a specific stage of Xf infection in grapevines; multiple transcription initiation and polyadenylation sites exist for CYP736B in grapevine; and coordinative and selective use of transcription initiation and polyadenylation sites play an important role in regulation of CYP736B expression during growth, development and response to Xf infection.

Background
Plant cytochrome P450 monooxygenases are a group of redox proteins that catalyze various oxidative reactions [1]. It is proposed that cytochrome P450 monooxygenases mediate synthesis and metabolism of many physiologically important compounds. Steroids, fatty acids, lignins, terpenes, alkaloids, phenylpropanoids, and phytoalexins are examples of these primary and secondary compounds that act as plant defense agents against a range of diverse pathogenic microbes and insect pests [2-4]. Cytochrome P450 enzymes are also involved in several biosynthesis pathways in leaf tissues of Arabidopsis and function as part of the highly sophisticated network of plant defense reactions [5]. These defense responses include the hypersensitive response [6] and inhibition of growth of particular plant pathogens [7]. Gene expression analysis has revealed that most cytochrome P450 genes are strictly regulated in response to phytohormones (salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, ethylene and abscisic acid), pathogens (necrotrophic fungal pathogens Alternaria alternata and A. brassicicola), UV damage, heavy metal toxicity, mechanical injury, drought, high salinity and low temperatures [8]. Therefore, cytochrome P450 genes may be involved in plant defense responses to abiotic and...
biotic stresses. How cytochrome P450 genes are regulated in response to these stresses, especially to bacterial infection, is not understood.

The most widely cultivated grape species is *Vitis vinifera*. These grapevines are highly susceptible to many pests and pathogens, including *Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*), the bacterium responsible for Pierce’s disease (PD). *Xf* is transmitted by xylem-feeding sharpshooters. PD has been an important but localized disease in California for over 100 years. Recently, the introduction of the glassy-winged sharpshooter vector into California resulted in new and range expanding epidemics of PD [9]. PD susceptible grapevines infected with *Xf* exhibit inhibited periderm development in stems (green islands), leaf blade separation from the petiole (matchsticks), irregular leaf scorching, fruit cluster dehydraation, stunting and eventual plant death [10-12]. While cultivars of *V. vineifera* are susceptible to PD, several species of grapevine are resistant. A breeding program based on resistance from *V. arizonica* has been developed and led to genetic mapping of a single locus for resistance (*PdR1*) in the 9621 population [11,13]. This population is a cross of two half-sib genotypes, D8909-15 (*V. rupestris* ‘A. de Serres × V. arizonica b42-26) × F8909-17 (*V. rupestris* A. de Serres’ × V. arizonica/candicans b43-17) [13]. Two progeny from this population, one resistant (9621-67) and the other susceptible (9621-94), were characterized for transcriptomic profiles [14], which implicated involvement of cytochrome P450 genes in a defense response to *Xf* infection http://cropdisease.ars.usda.gov/vitis_at/main-page.htm.

To further characterize how cytochrome P450 monoxygenase genes are regulated in response to *Xf* infection, the genotypes 9621-67 and 9621-94 were used to study temporal and spatial expression and transcriptional regulation in inoculated greenhouse-grown plants. This report characterizes the structure, expression and transcript maturation of a cytochrome P450 monoxygenase encoded by the CYP736 gene.

**Results**

**Genomic organization and structure of CYP736 genes in grape**

Genomic DNA sequences of grape cytochrome P450 monoxygenase genes cloned from PD-resistant and susceptible grapevines using the PCR primer P450F1 and P450R1 (Table 1) were 2,927 bp (Accession FJ620897) and 2,892 bp (Accession FJ620898), respectively. The cDNA sequences of grape cytochrome P450 monoxygenase genes cloned from leaf tissues of control PD-resistant and -susceptible grapevines using the PCR primers P450F1 and P450R1 (Table 1) were 1488 bp (Accessions FJ828517 and FJ828518). BLAST analysis of grapevine genomic DNA sequences revealed that the grape P450 monoxygenase gene has three homologous copies (CYP736A, CYP736B and CYP736C) in tandem on grape chromosome 7 (Figure 1). These three copies share 89%, 98% and 78% nucleotide sequence identity, respectively, compared to the closest grape genomic DNA contig sequence (Accession AM475392.1). The grape P450 monoxygenase genes we cloned from PD-resistant and susceptible grapevines were CYP736B genes that shared 93.6%, 99%, 98.6%, 90.3% genomic DNA sequence identity with each other in the 5' untranslated, exon, intron, and 3' untranslated regions (UTR), respectively. The grape P450 monoxygenase CYP736B genes cloned from PD-resistant and susceptible grapevines have the same structure. Main features of these two CYP736B genes are: two exons, one intron with GT as 5'splice site and AG as 3’ splice site. Compared to the CYP736B gene sequence from the PD-resistant grapevine, there are three C to T substitutions and one insertion (CTAT) in the upstream UTR region (1000 bp), one A to G and one T to C substitution in the exon 1 region, one G to A, one T to G and one C to G substitution in the intron region, one C to A, one A to C, one C to T, and one T to C substitution and a large deletion (39 bp) in the downstream non-coding region (300 bp) of the CYP736B gene from the PD-susceptible grapevine. DNA motif analysis indicated that there are nine major transcriptional regulatory motifs in the upstream non-coding region of the CYP736B gene, including one TATA box, five CAAT box, one I box, one G box, and one W box (Figure 2).

**Temporal and spatial expression of CYP736B genes in grape**

Expression of CYP736B in stem and leaf tissues of both PD-resistant and -susceptible grapevines was detected at different stages of growth and disease development in response to *Xf* infection using a pair of specific primers P450F1 and P450R1 (Table1) for the CYP736B coding region. Quantitative Real-time PCR analysis results showed that expression of CYP736B was much higher in leaf tissues than in stem tissues of both PD-resistant and -susceptible grapevines, ranging from 30 - 138.6 fold greater depending on growth stage (Table 2). In stem tissues of control PD-resistant plants, CYP736B expression at weeks 1 and 10 was similar but slightly lower at week 6 when expression of CYP736B was elevated by a factor of 1.3 (p < 0.05). In contrast, expression of CYP736B was increased by factors of 5.3 (p < 0.01) and 2.9 (p < 0.01) in stem tissues of the control PD-susceptible grapevine at week 6 and week 10. However, *Xf* infection did not result in a significant change in CYP736B expression in stem tissues of the PD-susceptible grapevine at week 1. Infection did cause a significant decrease of CYP736B expression in stem tissues of PD-susceptible grapevine to 4.08-fold less (p < 0.01) and 1.71-fold less (p < 0.05) at weeks 6 and 10, respectively. Interestingly, CYP736B expression
was elevated up to 112.9-fold and 74.9-fold in leaf tissues relative to stem tissues of both control PD-resistant and -susceptible grapevines at week 1 post-inoculation, respectively, but down-regulated in leaf tissues with progression of plant growth under normal conditions without \( Xf \) infection. For example, \( CYP736B \) expression was decreased by 1.31-fold (\( p < 0.01 \)) and 2.29-fold (\( p < 0.01 \)) at weeks 6 and 10 in leaf tissues of the PD-resistant grapevine, whereas \( CYP736B \) expression decreased by 1.79-fold (\( p < 0.01 \)) and 2.53-fold (\( p < 0.01 \)) at weeks 6 and 10 in leaf tissues of the PD-susceptible grapevine. However, \( Xf \) infection resulted in increase of \( CYP736B \) expression up to 1.6-fold (\( P < 0.01 \)) in leaf tissues of the PD-resistant grapevine and a decrease of \( CYP736B \) expression by 2.11-fold (\( P < 0.01 \)) in leaf tissues of the PD-susceptible grapevine.

The cDNA sequences of \( CYP736B \) transcripts from leaf samples of both PD-resistant and -susceptible genotypes with or without \( Xf \) infection were determined. The results indicated that amino acid sequences encoded by \( CYP736B \) transcripts were highly similar (99% identical) within each PD-resistant and -susceptible sampling group and between the two groups, suggesting that involvement of \( CYP736B \) in the defense response against \( Xf \) infection could be regulated at transcriptional and posttranscriptional levels.

### Table 1: PCR Primers used in this study

| Name         | Sequences (5' - 3')                                      | Comments                                      |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| P450F1       | TGAAAATTAACCAGCCACCACCAT                                 | Gene and cDNA cloning                         |
| P450R1       | TTATATCATTTGTGAAAGGCAAG                                  | Gene and cDNA cloning                         |
| P450F2       | TGCACTGGACTTGATCACGAC                                    | Expression detection in gel                   |
| P450R2       | CTCCTCATTCATGTCACAAC                                    | Expression detection in gel                   |
| P450F3       | TGGAGTTGCTTCAGCACAGCATA                                  | Internal primer for DNA sequencing            |
| P450R3       | TATATGGAAGCCTCGACTGTG                                    | Internal primer for DNA sequencing            |
| 5'UTRF1      | TGCAGCCTCTATCTTGGGTTCCTTCTC                              | 5'UTR cloning (1000 bp)                      |
| 5'UTRR3      | GGGGCTGCTGGGATATTTTCAGTGTTG                             | 5'UTR cloning (1000 bp)                      |
| 3'UTRF1      | ATATAATCTCTGTATCTGCAACGACTAGAC                          | 3'UTR cloning (600 bp)                       |
| 3'UTRR1      | ATGCTAAACATCAAATCGAATACCTCAATAAC                        | 3'UTR cloning (600 bp)                       |
| SYBR-P450F1  | TGCATGACTGATGACGAC                                      | Real-time qPCR for gene expression            |
| SYBR-P450R1  | CTCCCTCATTCATGTCACAAC                                    | Real-time qPCR for gene expression            |
| SYBR-P450F2  | CCAACATCAAAGCATGATATCGACTAC                            | Real-time qPCR for pre-mRNA splicing          |
| SYBR-P450R2  | TGGAGCTGCTGCACTCAT                                       | Real-time qPCR for pre-mRNA splicing          |
| P450-5'RATE  | GSP2: CGATACTTTTTGGATAGACTCCTGTATGAGC                   | First 5'RACE                                  |
| P450-5'RATE  | GSP3: CGACAAGCTCTCTAAACATGATCAT                         | Second 5'RACE                                 |
| P450-3'RACE  | GSP1: CGAGCACCCTGTCATGCTTGTATG                          | First 3'RACE                                  |
| P450-3'RACE  | GSP2: CGAAGA TACACGTTGTCAGAACGTG                          | Second 3'RACE                                 |
| SYBR-P450B  5'UTRF1 | ACTGACCTCTAGTTAATTTTTTCCTT                              | Real-time qPCR for 5'RACE                    |
| SYBR-P450B 5'UTRR1 | TGCTGTTGATATTATTTCAGTATT                               | Real-time qPCR for 5'RACE                    |
| SYBR-P450B 3'UTRF1 | ATATAATCTGTATCTGATATGCAAC                               | Real-time qPCR for 3'RACE                    |
| SYBR-P450B 3'UTRR1 | ACTACCAGATGAAATAATCAATAAT                              | Real-time qPCR for 3'RACE                    |
| P450-5'RATE  | GSP3: CGACAAAGCTCCCTAAATGATATG                          | 5' primer extension                           |
| P450-3'RATE  | GSP2: CGAAGA TACACGTTGTCAGAACGTG                        | 3' primer extension                           |
| -300UF       | AGTCATATATGACTTACGAGAAGAAGACTACCAAC                    | PCR for pre-mRNA splicing at -300 TSS         |
| -140UF       | AAGCCGACCTTATCTCCTCCCAA                                 | PCR for pre-mRNA splicing at -140 TSS         |
| -60UF        | TGAAGACACCCAAGACACTACCAA                                 | PCR for pre-mRNA splicing at -60 TSS          |
| ++70UR       | CATAAGCATAAAAGCGTTTATCATCATTTAT                        | PCR for pre-mRNA splicing at ++70 poly(A) site|
| ++200UR      | CTAGTTCCCATATCT GCCTTTAAGTCAA                           | PCR for pre-mRNA splicing at ++200 poly(A) site|
| ++260UR      | ACTCCGAACTTTTTTTATTCCACTGAC                             | PCR for pre-mRNA splicing at ++260 poly(A) site|
Pre-mRNA splicing patterns of CYP736B genes

The cDNA sequences of CYP736B transcripts from leaf and stem tissue of both PD-resistant and -susceptible grapevines at different stages of growth and development with or without Xf infection were cloned using CYP736B coding region specific primers P450F1 and P450R1. These cDNA sequences were further examined to determine if gene structure and/or posttranscriptional processing patterns changed over time and tissues. The results showed that CYP736B transcripts were spliced with GT as a donor site and AG as an acceptor site within the intron sequence of CYP736B pre-mRNAs in both PD-resistant and -susceptible samples (Figure 2). To study the dynamic pattern of pre-mRNA splicing of CYP736B, we designed a pair of Real-time PCR primers, SYBR-P450F2 and SYBR-P450R2 (Table 1), for quantitative analysis of the relative frequency of unspliced CYP736B transcripts in leaf tissues during growth and development in response to Xf infection. As shown in Table 3, the relative frequency of unspliced CYP736B transcripts was 3 to 4-fold less in leaf tissues of control PD-resistant and -susceptible grapevines at week 1 when genomic DNA was used as a control reference for Real-time Q-PCR analysis. The results indicate that most CYP736B pre-mRNA transcripts were correctly spliced in leaf tissues of both control PD-resistant and -susceptible grapevines at week 1 in plants not inoculated with Xf. In comparison, relative frequency of unspliced CYP736B transcripts was significantly increased up to 16.22- and 4.51-fold greater in leaf tissues of control PD-resistant plants at week 6 and 10, respectively. In contrast, relative frequency of unspliced CYP736B transcripts was as low as 1.18- and 2.21-fold less in leaf tissues of control PD-susceptible plants at week 6 and 10, respectively. However, when the leaf tissues of the PD-resistant grapevines was inoculated the relative frequency of unspliced CYP736B transcripts decreased to as low as 1.79- and 1.95-fold at week 1 and 6 and then increased to 3.59-fold greater at week 10. However, when leaf tissues of the PD-susceptible grapevines were inoculated, the relative frequency of unspliced CYP736B transcripts increased to 2.73- and 358.95-fold greater at week 1 and 6, respectively, and then decreased to 1.23-fold less at week 10. Representative cDNA sequencing confirmed that both spliced and unspliced CYP736B transcripts were generated in both PD-resistant
and -susceptible grapevines irrespective of Xf infection (data not shown).

**Determination of candidate 5' termini of grape CYP736B transcripts**

Due to lower levels of *CYP736B* expression in stem tissues at all growth stages analyzed and the most significant change of *CYP736B* gene expression in leaf tissues at week 6, the 5'RACE method was used to determine transcription initiation sites in leaf tissues of both PD-resistant and -susceptible grapevines at week 1 and 6 under inoculated and uninoculated conditions. As shown in Figure 3a, there were 1 - 3 major cDNA bands generated from leaf RNA templates from both PD-resistant and -susceptible grapevines at week 1 and week 6, with or without Xf inoculation. To elucidate the nature of these major multiple 5'RACE cDNA bands, we combined promoter and transcription start site prediction and experimental verification methods. Bioinformatics-aided promoter prediction revealed that there were potentially three major transcription start sites (Figure 2): TSS1 (-290 to -240), TSS2 (-136 to -86), and TSS3 (-69 to -19). 5'RACE cDNA cloning and sequencing analysis revealed that all of predicted transcription start sites were found in CYP736B transcripts as we predicted from the agarose gel analysis of the 3'RACE-amplified PCR products (Figure 3). We further quantified the relative abundance of major transcription start site usage using Real-time PCR.

| Tissue | Genotype | Growth Stage | Treatment | Relative Expression Level (SD) | Fold Difference in Expression Relative Folds for Growth Stages (p value) | Fold Difference in Expression Xf Infection (p value) |
|--------|----------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Stems  | 9621-67  | 1W           | C         | 1 (reference)                  | 1.00 (reference)                                                      | 1.50 (0.02348)                                      |
|        |          |              | T         | 1.5 (0.56)                     | 1.30 (0.01938)                                                       | 1.92 (0.00797)                                      |
|        |          | 6W           | C         | 1.3 (0.26)                     | 1.25 (1.00)                                                          | 0.80 (0.06844)                                      |
|        |          |              | T         | 2.5 (1.00)                     |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        |          | 10W          | C         | 0.8 (0.31)                     | 0.4 (0.26)                                                            | -0.20 (0.02928)                                     |
|        |          |              | T         |                                                                       |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        | 9621-94  | 1W           | C         | 1.1 (0.26)                     | 1.1 (0.239829)                                                       | 1.09 (0.26111)                                      |
|        |          |              | T         | 1.2 (0.41)                     |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        |          | 6W           | C         | 5.3 (1.26)                     | 1.3 (0.37)                                                            | -4.08 (0.00001)                                     |
|        |          |              | T         | 1.3 (0.37)                     |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        |          | 10W          | C         | 2.9 (0.98)                     | 0.4 (0.26)                                                            | -2.00 (0.02928)                                     |
|        |          |              | T         |                                                                       |                                                                       |                                                   |
| Leaves | 9621-67  | 1W           | C         | 112.9 (19.56)                  | 1.1 (0.239829)                                                       | -1.19 (0.05631)                                     |
|        |          |              | T         | 94.8 (16.41)                   |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        |          | 6W           | C         | 86.4 (10.97)                   | 138.6 (6.1)                                                          | -4.08 (0.00001)                                     |
|        |          |              | T         | 86.4 (10.97)                   |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        |          | 10W          | C         | 49.4 (15.43)                   |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        |          |              | T         | 49.4 (15.43)                   |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        | 9621-94  | 1W           | C         | 74.9 (11.46)                   |                                                                       | -1.51 (0.00107)                                     |
|        |          |              | T         | 70.2 (16.71)                   |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        |          | 6W           | C         | 63.2 (10.77)                   |                                                                       | -1.79 (0.00014)                                     |
|        |          |              | T         | 63.2 (10.77)                   |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        |          | 10W          | C         | 44.6 (10.79)                   |                                                                       | -2.53 (0.00001)                                     |
|        |          |              | T         | 44.6 (10.79)                   |                                                                       |                                                   |
|        |          |          |          |                                |                                                                       | -1.09 (0.24242)                                     |
analysis with a pair of primers SYBR-P450F1 and SYBR-P450R1. As summarized in Table 4, usage frequency of both TSS2 and TSS3 varied, from 0.66- to 9.20-fold for TSS2 and from 0.35- to 8.67-fold for TSS3, respectively, in leaf tissues of both PD-resistant and susceptible grapevines at different stages of growth without *Xf* infection. Compared to control plants, however, usage of TSS2 increased significantly in leaf tissues of resistant plants at week 1 (17.65-fold greater than susceptible control plants, 26.74-fold greater than resistant control plants, n = 3, p = 0.001854) and at the growth stage week 6 (37.12-fold greater than susceptible control plants, 4.03-fold greater than resistant control plants, n = 3, p = 0.017017) after *Xf* inoculation. In contrast, usage frequency of TSS2 increased significantly after *Xf* inoculation at week 1 (2.22-fold greater than control plants, n = 3, p = 0.003532) in leaf tissues of susceptible plants, but remained unchanged (1.42- and 1.56-fold for control and *Xf* infected plants, respectively, compared to the susceptible control plants for *Xf* infection at week 1, n = 3, p = 0.354589) at week 6 after *Xf* inoculation. Similarly, usage frequency of TSS3 remained unchanged at growth stage week 1 after *Xf* inoculation (0.62- and 0.50-fold, respectively compared to the susceptible control plants, n = 3, p = 0.204438), but greatly increased at the growth stage week 6 after *Xf* inoculation (8.67-fold higher than susceptible control plants, 24.77 fold higher than resistant control plants, n = 3, p = 0.000483) in leaf tissues of resistant plants. Usage frequencies of TSS3 were low in leaf tissues of susceptible plants at week 1 (0.4-fold compared to control plants, n = 3, p = 0.000203) and week 6 (0.23-fold compared to control plants, n = 3, p = 0.017818) after *Xf* inoculation.

| Genotype | Growth Stage | Treatment | Unspliced transcripts | SD | Fold Difference for Growth Stage (p value) | Fold Difference for *Xf* Infection (p value) |
|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|----|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 9621-67  | 1W           | C         | -3.22                 | 0.10 | 1.00 (reference)                          | -1.79 (0.117687)                            |
|          |              | T         | -5.88                 | 0.14 |                                           |                                             |
|          | 6W           | C         | 5.03                  | 1.85 | **16.22** (0.0005751)                     | **-1.95** (0.047095)                       |
|          |              | T         | 2.58                  | 0.59 |                                           |                                             |
|          | 10W          | C         | 1.40                  | 0.25 | **4.51** (0.0001136)                      | **3.59** (0.003156)                        |
|          |              | T         | 5.02                  | 1.17 |                                           |                                             |
| 9621-94  | 1W           | C         | -4.00                 | 0.08 | 0.81 (0.237776)                           | **2.73** (0.033004)                       |
|          |              | T         | -7.14                 | 0.01 |                                           |                                             |
|          | 6W           | C         | -2.70                 | 0.03 | 1.18 (0.198382)                           | **358.95** (0.00002)                      |
|          |              | T         | 131.30                | 11.21|                                           |                                             |
|          | 10W          | C         | -1.47                 | 0.07 | **2.21** (0.002999)                       | **-1.23** (0.022641)                      |
|          |              | T         | -1.79                 | 0.02 |                                           |                                             |

Table 3: Relative frequencies of unspliced *CYP736B* transcripts in leaf tissues of PD-resistant (9621-67) and -susceptible (9621-94) grapevines at different stages of growth (weeks after inoculation) with or without *Xf* infection

Genomic DNA was used as a reference for unspliced/spliced transcript analysis.

Figure 3 A. 5’RACE display of *CYP736B* transcripts in both PD-resistant and susceptible grapevines in response to *Xf* infection. B. 3’RACE display of *CYP736B* transcripts in both PD-resistant and susceptible grapevines in response to *Xf* infection. Names of grapevine genotype, sampling time are shown on the top, molecular sizes are shown on the left.
Determination of candidate 3' termini of grape CYP736B transcripts

Since transcription termination involves polyadenylation, the 3'termini of CYP736B transcripts were cloned using 3'RACE method to determine termination and poly(A) signals of CYP736B. Several major cDNA bands with different intensities were obtained from leaf tissues of both PD-resistant and susceptible grapevines at week 1 and week 6 (Figure 4). To elucidate the nature of these major 3'RACE cDNA bands, we isolated and cloned each major 3'RACE cDNA. Sequence analysis revealed that there were three major polyadenylation sites, as predicted from the bioinformatics-aided polyadnylation analysis (Figure 2): poly(A)1 (++53 to ++58, AATAAT), poly(A)2 (++153 to ++159, AATTAAA), and poly(A)3 (++238 to ++245, AATAAA).

Based on results of 3'RACE cDNA display (Figure 4), it appears that Poly(A)1 was the major polyadenylation site utilized for CYP736B transcripts under all conditions tested, while Poly(A)2 and Poly(A)3 were less frequently used as alternative sites and subject to change upon plant growth and Xf inoculation. To quantify relative levels of representative 3'RACE cDNAs, usage frequency of CYP736B transcripts with Poly(A)2 was determined using Real-time PCR. In leaf tissues of PD-resistant plants after Xf inoculation, total usage frequency of Poly(A)2 site was increased 12.38-fold at week 1 (n = 3, p = 0.000057) but decreased 2.75-fold at week 6 (n = 3, p = 0.005304) when compared to respective control plants. However, usage frequency of Poly(A)2 in leaf tissues of susceptible plants was not significantly increased (1.28-fold greater than control, n = 3, p = 0.075134) upon Xf infection at week 1, and at week 6 (1.12-fold greater than control, n = 3, p = 0.414483) (Table 5).

Correlation among transcription initiation, pre-mRNA splicing and polyadenylation of CYP736B genes

The objective of this study was to understand coordination of transcription initiation and termination of CYP736B and its impact on pre-mRNA splicing after Xf inoculation. First, we used a 5'UTR-defined long 3'RACE strategy to amplify full-length cDNAs of CYP736B transcripts with various 5' and 3' ends. Only two representa-
tive RNA samples were used in this test: PD-resistant and -susceptible leaf tissue harvested 6 weeks after \textit{Xf} inoculation. The results revealed several distinguishable cDNA bands from each sample, as expected from 5' RACE and 3' RACE data (Figures 3a and 3b). Based on the 5' RACE and 3' RACE results and potential 5'TSS and 3'poly(A) site mapping results (Figures 2, Figure 3a and 3b), approximate size distributions of \textit{CYP736B} transcripts with specific 5' and 3' termini were estimated, and corresponding cDNA bands excised from the gel for cloning. Then, we designed three upstream primers located at the three different 5'TSS regions: -300UF, -140UF, and -60UF (Table 1), and three downstream primers located at the three different 3'poly(A) regions: ++70UR, ++200UR, and ++260UR (Table 1). Different combinations of these primers were used to amplify full-length cDNA sequences of \textit{CYP736B} transcripts with specific 5'TSS and 3'poly(A) termini. Finally, relative abundance of each \textit{CYP736B} transcript with specific initiation and termination/polyadenylation sites were quantified by a Real-time PCR with primers SYBR-P450F1 and SYBR-P450R1. As shown in Table 6, relative abundance of \textit{CYP736B} transcript in leaf tissues of resistant plants with a specific initiation site at the -300 position in the TSS1-containing region was increased as the distance of each transcription termination/polyadenylation site increased from poly(A)1 to poly(A)3 (P = 0.0000 ~ 0.2968, R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.8940). Relative abundance of \textit{CYP736B} transcript with a specific transcription initiation site at the -140 position in the TSS2-containing region also was increased as the distance of each transcription termination/polyadenylation site increased from poly(A)1 to poly(A)2, but not poly(A)3 (P = 0.0010 ~ 0.2828, R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.8412). Relative abundance of \textit{CYP736B} transcript with TSS2 sites was much higher than that with TSS1 sites in leaf tissues of PD-resistant plants. Relative abundance of \textit{CYP736B} transcripts with the TSS1-containing region and TSS2-containing region in leaf tissues of susceptible plants showed similar patterns of change (P = 0.0000 ~ 0.4054, R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.8450 within TSS1-containing region, P = 0.0030 ~ 0.3039, R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.8936 within TSS2-containing region, and P = 0.0002 ~ 0.0018 between the TSS1-containing region and TSS2-containing region, respectively). However, abundance of each \textit{CYP736B} transcript in susceptible plants was lower than in resistant plants (P = 0.0015 ~ 0.0875 as compared within TSS1-containing region; and P = 0.0082 ~ 0.3142 as compared within TSS2-containing region, respectively).

We also cloned and sequenced representative cDNA sequences with different 5'TSS and 3'poly(A) sites of \textit{CYP736B} transcripts from PD-resistant and -susceptible leaf tissues 6 weeks after \textit{Xf} inoculation. The genomic DNA and cDNA sequence alignment indicated that pre-mRNA splicing patterns of \textit{CYP736B} transcripts from PD-resistant and -susceptible leaf tissues 6 weeks after \textit{Xf} inoculation remained the same as that in control plants even though various \textit{CYP736B} transcripts contained different 5'TSS and 3'poly(A) sites (data not shown). Quantitative analysis of relative frequencies of each cDNA clone with or without intron sequence showed that the frequency of correct \textit{CYP736B} pre-mRNA splicing was correlated with distance from transcription initiation sites to the translation start codon (ATG) or from transcription termination sites to the stop codon (TGA); the closer the distance, the higher the frequency of correctly spliced \textit{CYP736B} pre-mRNA (Figure 3b). As distance from the

| Genotype | Weeks | Treatment | poly(A)2 Site | Average (Fold difference) | SD | t-test (p) |
|----------|-------|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|----|-----------|
| 9621-67  | 1     | Control   | 1.49          | 0.39                     |    |           |
|          |       | \textit{Xf}-Infected | 18.44**       | 1.91                     | 0.000057 |
|          | 6     | Control   | 37.35         | 8.40                     |    |           |
|          |       | \textit{Xf}-Infected | 13.6**       | 3.48                     | 0.005304 |
| 9621-94  | 1     | Control   | 1.00          | 0.00                     |    |           |
|          |       | \textit{Xf}-Infected | 1.28*        | 0.27                     | 0.075134 |
|          | 6     | Control   | 1.17          | 0.23                     |    |           |
|          |       | \textit{Xf}-Infected | 1.12         | 0.26                     | 0.414483 |

** Significant level at P < 0.01; * Significant level at P < 0.05.
poly(A)-containing region to the stop codon (TGA) increased, frequency of the spliced CYP736B RNA decreased. Statistical analysis showed that correlation of 5'UTRs to mRNA splicing was as high as $R^2 = 0.8302$ in resistant plants and $R^2 = 0.9808$ in susceptible plants. The correlation efficiency of 3'UTRs to mRNA splicing was as high as $R^2 = 0.8922$ in resistant plants and $R^2 = 0.8678$ in susceptible plants.

**Discussion**

**CYP736B expression is regulated by Xf infection and development**

Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase genes encode for a superfamily of enzymes in plants that seem to be involved in response to abiotic and biotic stresses [8,15]. Although several P450 genes play crucial roles in biosynthesis of a variety of endogenous lipophilic and antioxidative compounds, little is known about cytochrome P450 monooxygenase gene induction and regulation in response to pathogens. A previous study using cDNA microarray screening revealed that expression of several cytochrome P450 monooxygenase genes was tightly regulated during growth and development of grapevines in response to X. fastidiosa infection [unpublished data]. The current study identified a cluster of three cytochrome P450 monooxygenase genes (CYP736A, CYP736B, and CYP736C) that are organized as tandem repeats and flanked upstream with a RE-LTR sequence on chromosome 7. This organization is likely the result of gene duplication [16-18]. We found that CYP736B was expressed at very low levels in stem tissues but at higher levels in leaf tissues of both PD-resistant and -susceptible grapevines with or without Xf infection. While the level of CYP736B expression was quite different between leaf and stem tissues, patterns of gene expression in leaf and stem tissues were similar in both PD-resistant and susceptible grapevines. Differential expression in response to Xf infection between genotypes suggested that CYP736B may be involved in the host response to Xf infection. It is known that the relative density of Xf populations in stem tissues are much lower in PD-resistant compared to -susceptible grapevines [10]. This phenomenon may indicate that defense response genes, such as cytochrome P450 monooxygenase genes, may contribute to resistance against Xf infection in PD-resistant grapevines. In fact, a wide range of cytochrome P450 monooxygenases mediate biosynthesis of lignins, terpenes, alkaloids, and a variety of other secondary compounds. Various biochemical pathways are involved in this process: the DIMBOA biosynthesis pathway that is initiated in response to wounding and naphthalic anhydride treatment [4]; the camalexin biosynthesis pathway that is coordinately induced and strictly localized to the site of pathogen infection [19], and the lignin biosynthesis pathway that is induced to ward off passive microbial and fungal invaders or to lignify tissues to limit extent of damage caused by active invaders [20]. Cytochrome P450 monooxygenases have other functions, including versatile biocatalytic reactions that mediate primary detoxifi-

| Group | Genotype | From 5'TSS to 3'poly(A) | Mean | SD  | P1¶ | P2¶ | $R^2$  |
|-------|----------|------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| I     | 9621-67  | (-300) to (++70)       | 3.89 | 0.77| 0.0001 | 0.0015 |
|       |          | (-300) to (++200)      | 6.91*| 1.77| 0.0270 | 0.0003 | 0.0209 |
|       |          | (-300) to (++260)      | 26.81**| 2.73| 0.00001 | 0.0002 | 0.0384 | 0.8940 |
| II    | 9621-67  | (-140) to (++70)       | 64.77| 2.14|       | 0.0082 |
|       |          | (-140) to (++200)      | 77.24| 11.99| 0.0750 | 0.3142 |
|       |          | (-140) to (++260)      | 166.21**| 24.65| 0.0013 | 0.0025 | 0.3017 | 0.8412 |
| III   | 9621-94  | (-300) to (++70)       | 1.00 | 0.00|       | 0.0002 |
|       |          | (-300) to (++200)      | 2.68 | 1.74| 0.0850 | 0.0018 |
|       |          | (-300) to (++260)      | 20.34**| 3.86| 0.00001 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.8450 |
| IV    | 9621-94  | (-140) to (++70)       | 46.91| 7.47|       |        |
|       |          | (-140) to (++200)      | 85.16*| 23.31| 0.0270 |        |
|       |          | (-140) to (++260)      | 153.03**| 32.17| 0.0031 | 0.0208 | 0.8936 |

¶ Student T-Test: P1 (within group) indicates the significance between two 5'TSS-3'Poly(A) types of transcripts within same assay group; P2 (between groups) indicates the significance between two 5'TSS-3'Poly(A) types of transcripts between groups. § $R^2$ shows correlation efficiency between each group of transcripts with fixed 5'TSS and various lengths of 3'-Poly(A) ends and their relative abundance (fold difference) within each assay group. **Significant level at $P < 0.01$; * significant level at $P < 0.05$. 

Table 6: Relative abundance of coordinated 5' TSS and 3' Poly(A) site usage for CYP736B gene transcription in leaf tissues of PD-resistant (9621-67) and -susceptible (9621-94) plants 6 weeks after Xf infection (fold difference)
cation of natural and synthetic toxins [20,11,21]. However, relationships between these biochemical pathways and genes regulating disease resistance in plants are largely unknown.

In this study, transcription and pre-mRNA splicing patterns of CYP736B changed differently, depending on growth stage and Xf infection status. Induced expression levels of CYP736B were correlated positively with resistance and negatively with susceptibility in both stem and leaf tissues 6 weeks post-inoculation. These results support the conclusion that CYP736B is involved in the defense response against Xf infection in grapevines. We further demonstrated that expression of CYP736B was post-transcriptionally regulated via pre-mRNA splicing. The very low frequency of unspliced CYP736B transcripts in leaf tissues of PD-resistant grapevines and the very high frequency of unspliced CYP736B transcripts in leaf tissues of PD-susceptible grapevines (especially at 6 weeks post-Xf inoculation) may reveal an important aspect of the grapevine Xf interaction; correctly spliced CYP736B transcripts would be functional in PD-resistant grapevines, whereas unspliced CYP736B transcripts would not be functional in PD-susceptible grapevines. Differences in CYP736B expression levels between stems and leaves of grapevines would suggest that the levels of monoxygenase biosynthesis also vary. It seems that CYP736B expression involves dynamic regulatory mechanisms at both transcription initiation and the post-transcription modification levels via a developmental-dependent splicing pathway in PD-resistant grapevines. This result provides the first evidence that CYP736B is involved in the defense response at a specific stage of pathogenesis in grapevines.

**Multiple transcription initiations contribute to the regulation of CYP736B gene expression**

Transcriptional initiation of mRNAs is preceded by formation of a pre-initiation complex in eukaryotic cells. Diverse transcriptional initiation sites have been discovered by large scale mapping of mRNA start sites in the human genome [22]. Use of alternative transcription initiation sites is not uncommon in many animal and plant species [23,24]. Utilization of multiple transcription initiation sites may contribute to genetic flexibility in which expression may be temporally and spatially regulated. Furthermore, expression may be affected post-transcriptionally as demonstrated by differential expression and subcellular targeting of glutathione S-transferase F8 gene in Arabidopsis [25]. At present, regulatory mechanisms of cytochrome P450 monoxygenase genes in plants remain largely unknown. A previous study of cytochrome P450 expression and crosstalk in Arabidopsis revealed that most cytochrome P450 promoters contain the recognition sites MYB and MYC, an ACGT-core sequence, and TGA and W-boxes for WRKY transcription factors [8]. Upon identification of genomic organization and expression patterns in grapevines, further determination of transcriptional initiation sites became a key step towards understanding transcriptional regulatory mechanisms. This study identified three major transcription start sites. Usage frequencies of each transcription start site varied depending on plant genotypes, developmental stages, tissues, and pathogen infection. Transcription start sites TSS2 and TSS3 were the major targets of transcriptional regulation during grapevine growth and development. Our study revealed that two TATA boxes, one P-box and one I-box were located at the far upstream region of CYP736B transcripts, whereas two CAAT boxes and a G-box were located at the TSS1-containing region, and one W-box was located at the TSS2-containing region. Previous studies indicated that TATA boxes are the most common regulatory elements found in promoters of eukaryotic genes because they are associated with basal transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II, especially with cis-acting elements that enhance or repress transcription [26]. I-box (core sequence GATAA) and P-box (core sequence TGTAAG) were associated with light and ABA responsiveness in tobacco and Arabidopsis respectively [27,28]. CAAT-box and G-box (core sequence CACGTG) were associated with tissue-specific regulation and heat shock induction in pea [29,30]. The W-box (core sequence CTGACT) is probably involved in elicitor- and wounding- responsive transcription of defense genes in tobacco [31,32]. If these cis-elements located within each CYP736B transcript are involved in physical interaction of the transcript with corresponding transcription factors, variation in frequencies of each transcription initiation site could reflect differences in CYP736B regulatory mechanisms triggered by Xf. If so, selective use of transcription initiation sites would play an important role in regulation of CYP736B expression in response to Xf infection.

**Polyadenylation sites determine transcriptional termination of CYP736B**

Transcriptional termination by RNA polymerase II is known to be controlled by various regulatory elements located in 3'UTRs. Termination of transcription requires a functional polyadenylation site and the addition of a 3' poly(A) tail by poly(A) polymerase immediately after transcription [33,34]. The 3’ end processing machinery functions to select an optimal poly(A) site between the poly(A) signal and a U-rich downstream element [35]. The sequence of the poly(A) signal and the number of uridine residues are known to affect polyadenylation efficiency [35,36]. However, the poly(A) site does not follow a strict consensus [37], even though a GC dinucleotide functions less efficiently than CA in vitro [38].
The research presented here revealed multiple poly(A) signals and multiple polyadenylation sites at the 3' UTR of CYP736B transcripts in both PD-resistant and susceptible grapevines. There were 1 to 3 major polyadenylation sites with A or U as the terminal acceptor for polyadenylation. This result suggests that A and U are targets by poly(A) polymerase to synthesize poly(A) tails of CYP736B transcripts. Although there are examples of polyadenylation sites located between the poly(A) signal and a potential U-rich downstream element, a poly(A) signal could determine the optimal cleavage sites for CYP736B pre-mRNA polyadenylation. One possibility could be that the poly(A) polymerase-centered polyadenylation complex functions within the branched 3'UTR structure of CYP736B transcripts with some degree of flexibility after co-activation by poly(A) signals in grapevines. Given that total usage frequency of poly(A)2 and poly(A)3 sites changed differently between PD-resistant vines and susceptible grapevines after Xf inoculation, it is possible that viral virulence factors are involved directly or indirectly in modulation of CYP736B transcript polyadenylation in grapevines.

Coordination of transcription initiation and polyadenylation play a role in CYP736B splicing
Transcription initiation, capping, cleavage/polyadenylation and pre-mRNA splicing are complex processes and tightly coupled to RNA polymerase II transcription. Transcription by RNA polymerase II and pre-mRNA processing are coordinated within the nucleus [39]. In general, capping at the 5' ends minimizes mRNA degradation and most importantly permits interaction with ribosomes. The 3' end is completed by the addition of a polyA tail, resulting in increased mRNA stability, and introns are removed at some steps during this process [39]. Bucheli et al. [40] found that the balanced competition of transcription factors with mRNA processing factors may promote recognition of proper polyadenylation sites while suppressing cryptic sites. Valencia et al. [41] suggested that a functional coupling is usually not essential for gene expression, but enhances the rate and/or efficiency of reactions that may serve to increase fidelity of gene expression in higher eukaryotes. Xin et al. [42] studied relationships between alternative promoters and pre-mRNA splicing and found that transcripts from different alternative promoters tended to splice differently. In the study presented here, variation in 5' transcription initiation sites or 3' polyadenylation sites affected CYP736B splicing. The combination of different transcription initiation sites and different 3' polyadenylation sites determined efficiency of CYP736B splicing in both PD-resistant and susceptible grapevines. For instance, transcription initiation from the TSS1-containing region always produced unspliced CYP736B RNAs in either PD-resistant or susceptible leaf tissues 6 weeks after Xf inoculation. As distance from the TSS-containing region to the start codon ATG decreased, frequency of spliced CYP736B RNA increased. Similarly, transcription termination at the poly(A) region of the 3'UTR always produced the highest frequency of spliced CYP736B RNAs. More importantly, frequency of correct CYP736B pre-mRNA splicing was correlated with both transcription initiation and termination sites distant to translation start and stop codons. The closer the distance from transcription initiation site to translation start codon and the distance from poly(A) site to translation stop codon, the higher the frequency of correctly spliced CYP736B transcripts. These relationships seem to be determined by changes in free energy within both 5'UTR and 3'UTR sequence-confined 2D structure constraints. Thus, our study revealed that both transcription initiation and termination have significant effects not only on relative abundance of CYP736B transcripts, but also on pre-mRNA splicing. Grapevine genotype/Xf interactions and their effect on CYP736B expression could be regulated by selective usage of transcription initiation and polyadenylation sites. The detailed regulatory mechanism of how Xf infection and grapevine/bacterial interactions affect selection of transcription initiation sites, polyadenylation sites, and pre-mRNA splicing remains to be understood. This report provides evidence that the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase CYP736B gene is involved in defense response at a specific stage of Xf infection in grapevines; multiple transcription initiation and polyadenylation sites exist for CYP736B in grapevine; and coordinated and selective use of transcription initiation and polyadenylation sites play an important role in regulation of CYP736B expression during growth, development and response to Xf infection.

Methods
Plant growth and treatment with Xf
The PD-resistant 9621-67 and susceptible 9621-94 lines were selected from the 9621 population (D8909-15 (V. rupestris A. de Serres × V. arizonica b42-26) × F8909-17 (V. rupestris ’A. de Serres’ × V. arizonica/candicans b43-17)) [11]. A total of sixty plants from each genotype were propagated and grown at 24 to 32°C in a greenhouse with day lengths adjusted to 18 hours. After one month of growth, plants were cut back to two buds and re-grown for about 6 weeks before inoculation. Plants were inoculated with 10 μl of the "Stag’s Leap" strain of Xf (1 × 10^8 cfu/ml) as treatment groups or inoculated with 10 μl of Xf-free PW3 liquid media as control groups on the stem 10 cm above the pot surface following the procedure of Krivanek et al [10]. Each experimental unit consisted of three plants and was repeated three times in both treatment groups at each sampling date. Plants were arranged.
in a completely randomized design on greenhouse benches.

**Sample collection and evaluation**

Leaf and stem tissues of three plants per treatment were collected at week 1, 6 and 10 after inoculation with or without Xf, respectively. Collected tissues were immediately placed in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use. Symptoms were recorded as they appeared over a 12 week period on a separate set of 3 inoculated and 3 non-inoculated plants for each genotype grown under the same conditions.

**Gene identification and primer design**

Based on the previous report [14], a genomic DNA sequence coding for a specific cytochrome P450 gene was identified from a grape genomic database (GenBank Accessions: AM475392.1, CAAP02000243.1, CAAP02005006.1) using the BLAST [15] and FGENESH [16] programs. A pair of primers, P450F1 and P450R1 (Table 1), was designed using Primer 3 Program [17]. Two pairs of primers, P450F2 and P450R2, for quantitative Real-time PCR analysis of this cytochrome P450 gene expression, was designed using GeneFisher Program [18]. Another pair of primers, P450-5'RACE GSP3 for 5' RACE and 3'RACE GSP2 for 3' RACE cDNA cloning were used for downstream 3'RACE cDNA cloning were P450-5'RACE GSP2 and P450-3'RACE GSP2 (Table 1). Further quantitative measurements of the major 5'RACE and 3'RACE cDNAs were done using Real-time PCR analysis with primers SYBR-P450F1 and SYBR-P450R1 (Table 1). The Real-time quantitative PCR was performed on the Bio-Rad iQ5 Multicolor Real-time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) with the PCR program of 95°C 10 min, 40 cycles of 95°C 10 sec and 60°C 1 min. The Real-time PCR results were analyzed using a PCR product conversion and accumulation law-based delta Ct method [43,44]. The same Real-time quantitative PCR also was used for quantitative analysis of pre-mRNA splicing with a pair of primers (SYBR-P450F2 and SYBR-P450R2) under the same experimental and analytic conditions (Table 1).

**Northern blot and hybridization**

The Northern Max Formaldehyde-Based System for Northern Blots (Ambion, Texas) was used in this study according to the manufacturer's instruction. A full-length cDNA of grape cytochrome P450 monooxygenase gene CYP736B was labeled with biotin-dUTP at room temperature and used as probe for hybridization at 42°C for 18 hrs. Hybridization signals were detected using the BrightStar BioDetect Nonisotopic Detection Kit (Ambion, Texas).

**5'RACE and 3'RACE Analyses**

Determination of 5' upstream transcription start regions and 3' poly(A) termination signal regions were done with the 5'RACE and 3'RACE Kits (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The two gene specific primers used for upstream 5'RACE cDNA cloning were P450-5'RACE GSP2 and P450-5'RACE GSP3 (Table 1). The two gene specific primers used for downstream 3'RACE cDNA cloning were P450-3'RACE GSP1 and P450-3'RACE GSP2 (Table 1). Further quantitative measurements of the major 5'RACE and 3'RACE cDNAs were done using Real-time PCR analysis with primers SYBR-P450B 5'UTRF1 and SYBR-P450B 3'UTR1, and SYBR-P450B 5'UTRF1 and SYBR-P450B 3'UTR1, respectively, as described above (Table 1).

**Primer Extension Analysis**

Two gene specific primers, P450-5'RACE GSP3 for 5' primer extension analysis and P450-3'RACE GSP2 for 3' primer extension analysis, were designed to determine upstream transcriptional initiation regions and downstream transcriptional termination regions of grape P450B transcripts, respectively (Table 1). Total RNA-based or the 5'RACE and 3'RACE cDNA-based primer extension was completed in a 25 μl reaction using a ThermoScript RT-PCR Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Primer extension products were separated in a 4% NuSieve 3:1 Agarose gel (Lonza Rockland, Inc., Rockland, ME), transferred to a Nylon membrane, and detected by the BrightStar BioDetect Nonisotopic Detection Kit (Ambion, Texas).
Quantification and cloning of representative full-length cDNA

To determine relationships among different transcription initiation sites, pre-mRNA splicing, and polyadenylation signals, a modified 5'UTR-defined long 3'RACE method was designed in combination of the representative specific 5'UTR primers with the standard 3'RACE technology (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). These specific 5'UTR primers were -300UF, -140UF, and -60UF (Table 1). The 5'UTR-defined long 3' RACE cDNA products were electrophoresed in a 4% agarose gel with TBE Buffer. Similarly, three representative specific 3'UTR reverse primers also were designed from the standard 3'RACE sequences: +700UR, +1200UR, and +2600UR (Table 1). The PCR system consisted of 1× LA Polymerase Buffer (with 1.5 mM MgCl₂), 2 mM dNTPs, 100 ng of cDNA template, 40 ng of primers, and 0.125 μl of TAKARA Taq polymerase (1U/μl) in a 25 μl reaction. The PCR program consisted of preheating at 98°C for 10 sec, 30 cycles of 98°C for 10 sec, 55°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 5 min, and post-PCR extension at 72°C for 10 min. The relative abundance of each 5'UTR and 3'UTR primer-defined P450 transcript was measured using Real-time Quantitative PCR as described above.

DNA Cloning and Sequencing

Genomic DNA, cDNA and RT-PCR product bands were excised from agarose gels, purified with the PCR Gel DNA Purification Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and cloned into pGEM-T easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI). Cloned DNA fragments were sequenced with M13F, M13R and a pair of internal P450 primers, P450F3 and P450R3 (Table 1), using BigDye Terminator V3.1 Sequencing and Clean-Up Kits (Applied Biosystems, Fos tery, WI). Cloned DNA fragments were excised from agarose gels, purified with the PCR Gel Purification Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and sequenced using M13F, M13R and a pair of internal P450 primers, P450F3 and P450R3 (Table 1), using BigDye Terminator V3.1 Sequencing and Clean-Up Kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

5' and 3'-UTR DNA cis-acting regulatory element analysis

A PLACE database http://www.dna.affrc.go.jp/PLACE/signalscan.html was scanned to search key cis-acting regulatory elements located at the 5'- and 3'-UTRs of grape P450 genes. A PromScan program was used for grape P450 gene promoter analysis http://molbiol-tools.ca/promscan/.

Protein Block analysis

The amino acid sequences of in silico translated P450 proteins were subjected to protein block analysis using the on-line program http://blocks.fhcrc.org/blocks_blocks_search.html.

Statistical Analysis

Gene expression experiments in this study were repeated three times with three plants of each genotype at each time of sample collection. The statistic significance of experimental variation was calculated using ANOVA at confidence levels of 99% (p < 0.01) and 95% (p < 0.05). The Student T-test was used to analyze significance between treatments for gene expression at the same confidence levels of 99% (p < 0.01) and 95% (p < 0.05).
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