Electrochemical capacitor study of spherical MnO₂ nanoparticles utilizing neutral electrolytes
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Abstract
In this study, we report the synthesis of spherical MnO₂ nanoparticles using spray pyrolysis method. The synthesized particles were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction, Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The SEM image showed spherical nanoparticles with average size of 65 nm, which was in agreement with the TEM measurement. The results of the N2 adsorption–desorption analysis indicated that the BET surface area of the spherical nanoparticles was 102 m² g⁻¹ with the pore size diameter of 15.18 nm. The electrochemical measurements such as cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy were made in the solution of 0.1 M Li₂SO₄ and Na₂SO₄ electrolytes. At lower scan rates the Na₂SO₄ electrolyte showed a maximum specific capacitance of 68 F g⁻¹ whereas in the Li₂SO₄ electrolyte it was found to be 63 F g⁻¹. Moreover, Nyquist plot revealed that Na₂SO₄ electrolyte had a lower charge transfer resistance (Rct) value when compared to Li₂SO₄ electrolyte.

Introduction
In recent years, the interest in the development of alternative energy storage/conversion devices with high power and energy densities has grown up rapidly in view of the change in climate and depletion of fossil fuels [1,2]. Supercapacitors or electrochemical capacitors (ECs), as a kind of attractive charge storage device, have gained enormous attention because of their higher power density and excellent cyclic stability than batteries and greater energy density than conventional dielectric capacitors [3-6]. The advantage of higher power delivery or uptake (10 kW kg⁻¹) during a shorter period, supercapacitors are playing a vital role in complementing or even replacing batteries in diverse energy storage fields such as hybrid electric vehicles, modern electronic devices, and uninterruptible power supplies for computers [5-7]. There are two types of mechanisms to store the energy namely electrical double-layer capacitors (EDLCs) and pseudocapacitors (PSCs) [3]. The PSCs are more attractive than EDLCs because of their higher specific capacitance and energy density through fast faradic redox reactions [8,9].

Generally, transition metal oxides and conducting polymers are used as PSC electrode. Among all the metal oxides, hydrated ruthenium oxide (RuO₂) showed most excellent performance with an ultimate storage of 720 F g⁻¹[10]. However, the high cost of ruthenium and the non-eco-friendly nature associated with the use of strong acidic electrolyte such as sulfuric acid have limited from its commercial uses [10–13]. Accordingly, the transition metal oxides as alternate electrode materials are being extensively tested by retaining their capacitive characteristics [14]. During this progress, manganese dioxide (MnO₂) is being identified as most the promising electrode material candidate due to its abundance, low cost, and environmental compatibility [15]. The theoretical, specific capacitance of MnO₂ takes the value up to 1110 F g⁻¹ as the oxidation state of manganese ion transition lies in between Mn⁴⁺ and Mn⁵⁺ over the potential window of 1.0 V [16]. The high specific capacitance of MnO₂ electrode makes it an ideal candidate to be used as electrode in supercapacitors with the limitation of its 30% of theoretical capacitance [17,18].

It has been emphasized that the specific capacitance and power density of MnO₂ performance are not only related to its crystal structure but also greatly depend on its textural properties such as morphology, surface area, pore size, and pore volume [19,20]. It is well known that nanotechnology has a significant role in synthesizing electrode active materials with controlled size, shape, and structure with distinct properties than their bulk counterparts [15,21,22]. The surface redox reaction is one of the best ways to obtain a very thin layer of the active materials for PSCs by producing manganese dioxide with high specific surface area. One method is preparing MnO₂ with porous structure, which enhances ion diffusion between MnO₂ and the electrolyte so as to facilitate redox reaction [23,24].

Different chemical synthesis methods such as sol–gel [25], precipitation [26], and solvothermal[27], and physical methods including electrodeposition [28] and thermal decomposition [29] are developed for the preparation of MnO₂ nanostructures. However, physical methods are often advantageous compared to chemical methods due to their limitations such as washing, drying, and calcinations. The output powders obtained from the above are more crystalline and less agglomerated particles with higher specific surface area [30].
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Therefore, in this study, we report spray pyrolysis method as a synthesis route for the preparation of MnO₂ nanoparticles. In this study, manganese nitrate solution was used as a metal precursor that contains manganese component which is able to produce spherical morphology with higher surface area. To confirm the crystal structure and surface morphology, the prepared MnO₂ nanoparticles were comprehensively characterized. The electrochemical properties of the nanoparticles were investigated in neutral aqueous electrolytes such as Li₂SO₄ and Na₂SO₄. The results showed that they can be substantially used as an electrode material for supercapacitors.

**Experimental**

**Preparation of manganese nitrate precursor**

The pure manganese nitrate (500 ml; 45%-50% sol, diluted in nitric acid) was purchased from LobaChemie (Mumbai, India). The precursor solution was prepared by direct mixing of manganese nitrate in 500 ml double-distilled water and then stirred rigorously until a homogeneous mixture was obtained. To stabilize the prepared solution, a few drops of H₂O₂ were added. Hot air was used as a carrier to transport the precursor solution into the reaction chamber and also to supply the required pressure for atomizing the solutions. The temperature used for the decomposition of precursor solution in the reaction chamber was 673 K.

**Production of MnO₂ nanoparticles**

The MnO₂ nanoparticles were prepared using spray pyrolysis technique [31]. In this process, the manganese nitrate precursor solution is first pumped into a two-way fluid nozzle atomizer that converts the solution to spray of fine droplets by adjusting the feed pump flow rate to 2–3 rpm. The compressed hot air is brought into contact with the fine droplets in the reaction chamber through which the moisture is rapidly evaporated, decomposed from the droplet surface, and then leaves dried solid particles on the bottom of the drying chamber. The resulting particles are recovered from the air stream through a cyclone and then collected using a glass container.

**Characterization**

Powder X-ray diffraction: The phase structure and crystallinity of the MnO₂ particles were determined through an X-ray diffractometer (X’Pert PRO; PANalytical, Almelo, the Netherlands) using Cu Ka as a radiation source (λ = 0.15406 Å). An operational voltage and current of 40 kV and 30 mA, respectively, were used and the sample was scanned in the 2θ range from 10° to 80° at a scanning rate of 10° s⁻¹. The mean crystallite size of MnO₂ nanoparticles was calculated using Scherrer formula.

Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area analyzer: The specific surface area was calculated by the BET method using a BET surface area analyzer (Autosorb AS-1MP, Quantachrome, Boynton Beach, FL). The sample was degassed under vacuum at 363 K for 3 h to remove the physisorbed moisture. The physisorption analysis was carried out with N₂ adsorption–desorption measurements under liquid N₂ temperature. The mean pore size distributions and total pore volume were calculated using the Barret–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method.

**Microscopic analysis:** The microscopic feature and surface morphology were examined through scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JSM-6390LV, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX; JED-2300; JEOL). Transmission electron micrograph (TEM) was observed through an electron microscope (CM200; Philips), operated at a voltage of 200 kV. The sample used in the TEM analysis was prepared by placing a drop of MnO₂ particle aqueous suspension onto a copper grid covered with a carbon film and evaporating the drop in air.

**Electrode fabrication and electrochemical measurements**

The working electrodes were fabricated by mixing the prepared MnO₂ nanoparticles with acetylene black and polyvinylidene fluoride at a ratio of 85:10:5 wt%. A small amount of n-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone solvent was added into the mixture to form a homogeneous paste. The paste was then incorporated into a stainless steel (SS) current collector (1 cm²) and then dried in a vacuum oven at ambient temperature. The electrochemical behaviors of the MnO₂ electrode were studied on a potentiostat electrochemical workstation (VersaSTAT 3; Princeton Applied Research) using the traditional three-electrode system, containing 0.1 M Li₂SO₄ and Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution as electrolytes. Platinum foil and a saturated KCl electrode (Ag/AgCl) were used as the counter and reference electrode, respectively. The CV measurements were performed between 0 and 0.9 V (versus Ag/AgCl) at scanning rates ranging from 1 to 100 mV s⁻¹. The specific capacitance was calculated by integrating the area of CV curves as,

\[ C = \frac{I}{q (dv/dt)} \]

Where \( I \) is the average current (mA), \( dv/dt \) the voltage scan rate (mV s⁻¹), and \( q \) the mass of the active material (g). The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy measurements in the form of Nyquist plot were carried out in frequency range of 0.1-10⁵ Hz. The mass of the active material loaded in the current collector was 1 mg.

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization of materials**

The powder X-ray diffraction pattern of the prepared MnO₂ nanoparticles is shown in Figure 1. It indicates that the obtained nanoparticles are completely in agreement with the standard pattern of body-centered tetragonal α-MnO₂ (JCPDS file no. 44-0141), where the two diffraction peaks at 2θ values (37° and 57°) can be ascribed...
due to the <211> and <431> reflection planes of MnO$_2$. The average crystallite size was calculated using Debye–Scherrer formula and it was found to be approximately 15 nm. The N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherm plot and corresponding BJH pore size distribution (inset in Figure 2) are shown in Figure 2. The Figure shows that the isotherm of the sample is typically of type-IV behavior and exhibits obvious H1 hysteresis loops, which is a characteristic feature of mesoporous particles [32]. The multipoint measurements show that the BET surface area of the spherical MnO$_2$ nanoparticles is 102 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, with an average pore diameter of 15.18 nm, according to the BJH method.

The microstructure and surface morphology of the prepared nanoparticles were examined by SEM and TEM, which are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. As seen in SEM image (Figure 3), the nanoparticles have a well-defined spherical morphology with particle size ranging from 20 to 85 nm. The average particle size was calculated by considering the maximum and minimum size of the particles. It is obvious that the obtained average particle size (65 nm) is in close agreement with that obtained from the TEM measurement. However, a similar morphology of spherical nanoparticles with an average size of 85 nm is observed in the TEM micrograph, which is shown in Figure 4. This well-defined spherical structure is expected to create a definite pore, which plays an important role in contacting the electrode/electrolyte interfacial area in order to provide a better electrochemical performance when used as an active material in the ECs[33]. The EDX profiles show that the prepared nanoparticles have high-purity content with the composition of Mn and O. The elemental composition is shown in the form of a table (Figure 5 inset).

**Electrochemical characterization**

Figure 6 shows CV curves of the prepared MnO$_2$ electrode at different scan rates (1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, and 100 mV s$^{-1}$) in 0.1 M aqueous Li$_2$SO$_4$ and Na$_2$SO$_4$ electrolytes. None of the redox peaks exists in the CV curves, and the cathodic and anodic current lines are mirror symmetry, which indicates that the electrodes are charged and discharged at a constant rate over the complete cycle. At slow scan rates, the CV curves are substantially rectangular in both the electrolytes, indicating good capacitive characteristics. As the scan rates increase to 20, 50, and 100 mV s$^{-1}$, all CV curves show a shape transformation to an inclined oval. The oval CV curve results in a poor capacitance due to the limited diffusion of ions in the electrode material [34,35]. This can be explained on the basis of charge storage mechanism. One is adsorption/desorption of protons (H$^+$) or alkaline cations (C$^+$) on the MnO$_2$ surface, which is likely to be predominant in amorphous structure.
\[(\text{MnO}_2)_{\text{surface}} + \text{C}^+ + e^- (M \rightleftharpoons \text{C}^+)\] (2)

where C' = Li' and Na''.

The other is based on the intercalation/de-intercalation of H' or C'. For this, the pure crystalline form of MnO2 is expected.

\[\text{MnO}_2 + \text{C}^+ + e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{MnOOC}\] (3)

It can be seen from Eqns (2) and (3) that both the protons and cations are involved in the redox reactions of MnO2 nanoparticles. In our study, the prepared nanoparticles show a spherical morphology with a large surface area, which generates the solvated Li' or Na' to reach the MnO2 surface easily and accesses the voids/pores of the inner surface, which results in a high pseudocapacitance value. As the hydrated ionic radius of Na' (3.58 Å) is the smallest and its ionic...
conductivity is the highest, access to the inner surface of the spherical MnO₂ electrode is much easier and faster when compared to that of Li⁺ (3.82 Å). Therefore, it can be concluded that the degree of diffusion of the cations on the surface affects the specific capacitance.

The relationships between the calculated specific capacitance and potential scan rate for the two electrolytes are shown in Figure 7. It can be seen that the specific capacitance shows a declining tendency with increased scan rates for both electrolytes. For Na₂SO₄ electrolyte, the maximum specific capacitance is 68 F g⁻¹ at a low scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹ whereas for Li₂SO₄ electrolyte it is 63 F g⁻¹, which is evidently low when compared to that of Na₂SO₄ electrolyte.

The Nyquist plots obtained for the MnO₂ electrode in 0.1 M aqueous Li₂SO₄ and Na₂SO₄ electrolytes are presented along with the standard equivalent circuit in Figure 8. As seen in Figure 8a, the Nyquist plots in the two electrolytes are similar, consisting of a semicircle at high frequency and a linear region at the low frequency. A high-frequency intercept at real axis (Z') corresponds to the total ohmic resistance (Rₒ), which consists of electrolyte, intrinsic, and electrical resistances that are, respectively, 70.8 and 15.5 Ω for Li₂SO₄ and Na₂SO₄ electrolytes, indicating less internal resistance in Na₂SO₄ electrolyte. As expected, Na₂SO₄ shows the smallest Rₒ. However, the semicircle region of the high frequency reflects the charge transfer (Rₓ) process at the interface of active material and electrolyte. The smaller the diameter of the semicircle, the lower the Rₓ resistance value obtained [34,36].

In our study, it can be seen that Na₂SO₄ electrolyte shows a semicircle in smaller diameter region, which indicates that it has a

| Contrast | Tal coordinates | Anatomical region | Volume (in voxels) |
|----------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| * A > R  | [-27 -90 15]   | Occipital Mid L, Calcarine L | 396               |
|          | [34 -93 8]     | Occipital Mid/Inf R | 246               |
| R > A    | [-10 -78 -6]   | Lingual L, Calcarine L | 217               |
| N > R    | [-26 -91 15]   | Occipital Mid L | 79                |
| * R > N  | [12 -71 7]     | Lingual L, Calcarine L | 827               |

| Contrast | Tal coordinates | Anatomical region | Volume (in voxels) |
|----------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| * R > A  | [4 -93 6]      | Lingual L, Calcarine L | 604               |
| R > N    | [-6 -65 12]    | Lingual L, Calcarine L | 682               |
|          |                | Lingual R, Calcarine R |               |

Table 1. Contiguous activation clusters identified by the single subject GLM analysis (FWE corrected p<0.01, volume f>64 voxels), for Anxious>Rest (A>R), Rest>Neutral (N>R) and Rest>Neutral (N=R) contrasts.

Table 2. Principal Component characterization for subjects D and T. In both cases, only the first PCs contribute significantly to the variability in the system, with a more pronounced relative spread in the direction of the first component in D's case (see also Figure 3).

|   | PC1   | PC2   | PC3   | PC4   | PC5   | PC6   | PC7   | PC8   | PC9   | PC10  | PC11  | PC12  |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| D | 102.4 | 20.9  | 13.0  | 7.3   | 5.6   | 3.7   | 3.2   | 2.4   | 1.8   | 1.1   | 0.7   | 0.5   |
| T | 72.8  | 38.9  | 10.8  | 6.9   | 5.1   | 4.0   | 3.5   | 1.9   | 1.6   | 0.7   | 0.6   | 0.5   |

Table 3. Principal Component characterization for subjects D and T. The coefficients of the first principal component emphasize the different ROI contributions to the network dynamics in each case. For D, the predominant contributions are from the amygdala, hippocampus and insula, with comparatively minimal contributions from prefrontal regions and anterior cingulate. For T, the contributions are more uniform among all regions, with a slight dominance of prefrontal regions.

|   | RA   | LA   | RAC  | LAC  | RBA45 | LBA45 | RBA9  | LBA9  | RH   | LH   | RJ   | LI   |
|---|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| PC1 | D    | 0.43 | 0.73 | 0.06 | 0.07  | 0.09  | 0.09  | 0.02  | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.18 |
|    | T    | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.39 | 0.42  | 0.28  | 0.28  | 0.38  | 0.36 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.15 |

Table 4. Contiguous clusters larger than f>3 voxels, whose voxels were identified to have β<1.75, for subject D (top) and for subject T (bottom). The exploration found clusters in precuneus and parietal/angular cortex for both subjects, but also two additional clusters in each case, situated in different regions for D and T.

|   | Tal coordinates | Anatomical region | Volume (in voxels) |
|---|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| D Cluster 1 | [2 -89 1] | Calcarine L | 44 |
| D Cluster 2 | [-12 -75 7] | Calcarine R | 93 |
| D Cluster 3 | [-8 -72 46] | Precuneus L, R | 235 |
| D Cluster 4 | [44 -56 51] | Parietal Inf, Sup, Angular L | 248 |

|   | Tal coordinates | Anatomical region | Volume (in voxels) |
|---|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| T Cluster 1 | [-42 -54 52] | Cerebelum R | 36 |
| T Cluster 2 | [6 -67 11] | Lingual L | 72 |
| T Cluster 3 | [-2 -66 46] | Precuneus L | 57 |
| T Cluster 4 | [-42 -54 52] | Parietal Sup, Angular R | 41 |
Table 5. Subject D: Strength of cross correlation for each cluster pair, and between each cluster and the rest of the brain. Top. Average R values, computed for each cluster pair over all pairs of time series. Middle. Average pairwise p values. Bottom. Average R and p values, computed by pairing the cluster average time series with the time series corresponding to all other voxels in the active brain.

| Subject D | Correlations between clusters: R values |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|
|           | Cluster 1     | Cluster 2     | Cluster 3     | Cluster 4     |
| Cluster 1 | 0.85          | 0.71          | 0.65          | 0.73          |
| Cluster 2 | 0.73          | 0.93          | 0.74          | 0.44          |
| Cluster 3 | 0.65          | 0.74          | 0.82          | 0.52          |
| Cluster 4 | 0.37          | 0.44          | 0.32          | 0.88          |

| Correlations between clusters: P values |
|----------------------------------------|
| Cluster 1     | Cluster 2     | Cluster 3     | Cluster 4     |
| Cluster 1     | 2.6·10^{-22}  | 3.9·10^{-14}  | 3.7·10^{-11}  | 10^{-4} |
| Cluster 2     | 3.9·10^{-14}  | 6.4·10^{-13}  | 1.5·10^{-16}  | 2.10^{-4} |
| Cluster 3     | 3.7·10^{-11}  | 1.5·10^{-16}  | 2.3·10^{-14}  | 6.7·10^{-5} |
| Cluster 4     | 10^{-4}       | 2·10^{-4}     | 6.7·10^{-5}   | 6·10^{-16}   |

Whole brain correlation values

| R values | Cluster 1 | Cluster 2 | Cluster 3 | Cluster 4 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0.25     | 0.36      | 0.29      | 0.31      |

| P values | Cluster 1 | Cluster 2 | Cluster 3 | Cluster 4 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0.09     | 0.07      | 0.08      | 0.11      |

Table 6. Subject T: Strength of cross correlation for each cluster pair, and between each cluster and the rest of the brain. Top. Average R values, computed for each cluster pair over all pairs of time series. Middle. Average pairwise p values. Bottom. Average R and p values, computed by pairing the cluster average time series with the time series corresponding to all other voxels in the active brain.

| Subject T | Correlations between clusters: R values |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|
|           | Cluster 1     | Cluster 2     | Cluster 3     | Cluster 4     |
| Cluster 1 | 0.81          | 0.25          | 0.36          | 0.55          |
| Cluster 2 | 0.25          | 0.90          | 0.46          | 0.19          |
| Cluster 3 | 0.36          | 0.46          | 0.85          | 0.61          |
| Cluster 4 | 0.35          | 0.19          | 0.01          | 0.84          |

| Correlations between clusters: P values |
|----------------------------------------|
| Cluster 1     | Cluster 2     | Cluster 3     | Cluster 4     |
| Cluster 1     | 4.3·10^{-15}  | 0.01          | 9.7·10^{-9}   | 1.8·10^{-4}  |
| Cluster 2     | 0.01          | 10^{-9}       | 1.8·10^{-4}   | 0.08          |
| Cluster 3     | 9.7·10^{-9}   | 1.8·10^{-4}   | 7·10^{-22}    | 3.1·10^{-7}  |
| Cluster 4     | 1.8·10^{-6}   | 0.08          | 3.1·10^{-7}   | 10^{-13}      |

Whole brain correlation values

| R values | Cluster 1 | Cluster 2 | Cluster 3 | Cluster 4 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0.34     | 0.37      | 0.26      | 0.39      |

| P values | Cluster 1 | Cluster 2 | Cluster 3 | Cluster 4 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0.07     | 0.06      | 0.09      | 0.06      |

Table 7. Clusters of largest differential PSSI, found via an exploratory search for contiguous volumes larger than \( V = 4^3 \) voxels, were \( \beta \) differs in absolute value by more than the threshold \( r = 1.5 \) between D and T. The list includes two cerebellar regions where the D's signals were closer to white noise, while T's signals were in the brown noise range, as well as frontal and parietal regions with the opposite pattern. More detail for two representative clusters is illustrated in Figures 6 and 7.

Table 8: Principal Component characterization for subjects X and Y. In both cases, only the first PCs contribute significantly to the variability in the system (compare with Table 2).

| Clusters with largest differential \( \beta \) (D-T) | Anatomical region | Brodmann Area | Volume | Largest difference |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------|--------------------|
| Cluster 1                                      | Cerebellum Cna1 L | 153           | 2.19   |
| Cluster 2                                      | Cerebellum 4.5 L  | 89            | 2.52   |
| Cluster 3                                      | Frontal Sup R     | BA10          | 75     | -2.06              |
| Cluster 4                                      | Frontal Sup Med L | BA10, BA46    | 136    | -2.55              |
| Cluster 5                                      | Frontal Sup Med LK| BA45,BA9      | 123    | -2.18              |
| Cluster 6                                      | Parietal Inf, Angular L | 205       | -2.34 |
| Cluster 7                                      | Frontal Mid, Precentral L | BA46    | 76     | -2.22 |

Table 9: Principal Component characterization for subjects X and Y. The coefficients of the first principal component emphasize the different ROI contributions to the network dynamics in each case (compare with Table 3).

| PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 | PC8 | PC9 | PC10 | PC11 | PC12 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| \( X \) | 51.4 | 30.8 | 11.9 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 3.1 | 2.1  | 2.1  | 1.1  | 0.9  | 0.6  | 0.5  |
| \( Y \) | 54.7 | 14.3 | 17.7 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 2.4  | 1.6  | 0.8  | 0.6  | 0.5  | -     |

* Loadings

| PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 | PC8 | PC9 | PC10 | PC11 | PC12 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| RA  | LA  | RAC | LAC | RBA45| LBA45| RBA9 | LBA9| RH  | LH   | RI   | LI   |
|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| X   | 0.36| 0.73| 0.02| 0.00| 0.11| 0.30| 0.17| 0.11| 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.18 |
| Y   | 0.37| 0.21| 0.30| 0.32| 0.24| 0.30| 0.31| 0.34| 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.28 |
lower $R$, value than Li$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte due to the lower ionic radius of Na$^+$ and higher ionic conductivity. The results obtained in the Nyquist plot match well with the CV data in Figure 6. Therefore, in this study, it is observed that the prepared spherical MnO$_2$ nanoparticles showed better electrochemical performance merely in Na$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte and not in the other electrolytes, i.e., Li$_2$SO$_4$.

**Conclusion**

In summary, spray pyrolysis method was successfully used for the synthesis of spherical MnO$_2$ nanoparticles. The electrochemical properties were investigated in neutral aqueous electrolytes such as Li$_2$SO$_4$ and Na$_2$SO$_4$. The observed results show that MnO$_2$ nanoparticles exhibited a superior ideal capacitive behavior in Na$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte when compared with Li$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte. This is mainly due to the smallest hydrated radius of Na$^+$, highest ionic conductivity, and smallest charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$). The obtained maximum specific capacitance was found to be 68 and 63 F g$^{-1}$, respectively, for the electrolytes Na$_2$SO$_4$ and Li$_2$SO$_4$.
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