OATL1, a novel autophagosome-resident Rab33B-GAP, regulates autophagosomal maturation
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Introduction

Macroautophagy is a bulk degradation system conserved in all eukaryotic cells. A ubiquitin-like protein, Atg8, and its homologues are essential for autophagosome formation and act as a landmark for selective autophagy of aggregated proteins and damaged organelles. In this study, we report evidence demonstrating that OATL1, a putative Rab guanosine triphosphatase-activating protein (GAP), is a novel binding partner of Atg8 homologues in mammalian cells. OATL1 is recruited to isolation membranes and autophagosomes through direct interaction with Atg8 homologues and is involved in the fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes through its GAP activity. We further provide evidence that Rab33B, an Atg16L1-binding protein, is a target substrate of OATL1 and is involved in the fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes, the same as OATL1. Because both its GAP activity and its Atg8 homologue-binding activity are required for OATL1 to function, we propose a model that OATL1 uses Atg8 homologues as a scaffold to exert its GAP activity and to regulate autophagosomal maturation.
functions because they interact with a variety of proteins, including GABA receptor γ2, clathrin heavy chain, and calreticulin (Mohrlüder et al., 2009), but the physiological functions of their interactions have yet to be determined.

Rab-type small GTPases are evolutionarily conserved membrane trafficking proteins (Pfeffer, 2001; Zerial and McBride, 2001; Stenmark, 2009), and it has been suggested that some members of the Rab family regulate autophagy. Rab7 (or Ypt7 in budding yeasts), which is responsible for the function of lysosomes (or vacuoles), is important for the fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes and the subsequent degradation of autophagosomal contents (Kirisako et al., 1999; Gutierrez et al., 2004; Jäger et al., 2004). Rab11 regulates fusion between multivesicular bodies and autophagosomes in mammalian cells (Fader et al., 2008), and ER-resident Rab24 and Rab32 are involved in autophagosome formation, although the precise molecular mechanisms of their involvement are largely unknown (Munafó and Colombo, 2002; Hirota and Tanaka, 2009). We have previously reported finding that Golgi-resident Rab33B interacts with Atg16L1, an essential factor for isolation membrane elongation (Mizushima et al., 2003; Cadwell et al., 2008; Saitoh et al., 2008) and that it affects LC3 lipidation (Itoh et al., 2008). However, the regulatory mechanism of these Rab proteins in the autophagy process is totally unknown because their regulatory factors have never been identified.

In general, Rab proteins are thought to be activated and inactivated by guanine nucleotide exchange factor and GTPase-activating protein (GAP), respectively. A TBC (Tre-2/Bub2/Cdc16) domain was the first Rab-GAP domain reported in the budding yeasts (Strom et al., 1993), and ~40 TBC domain-containing proteins (referred to as TBC proteins hereafter) are found in humans and mice (Bernards, 2003; Fukuda, 2011). Although the target Rabs of mammalian TBC proteins are being identified step by step (Fukuda, 2011), no TBC protein has yet been reported to be directly involved in autophagy.

In this study, we screened for mammalian TBC proteins involved in the autophagy process by expressing 41 different TBC proteins in mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells and monitoring them for colocalization with endogenous LC3. We succeeded in identifying OATL1/TBC1D25 (referred to as OATL1 hereafter) and TBC1D2B as autophagosome-resident TBC proteins and characterized the function of OATL1 in autophagy. The results of the present study show that OATL1 is recruited to autophagosomes through direct interaction with Atg8 homologues and that its overexpression inhibits conversion from autophagosomes to autolysosomes (i.e., autophagosomal maturation). They also reveal that Rab33B, an Atg16L1-binding Rab protein (Itoh et al., 2008), is a target of OATL1. Possible mechanisms of OATL1-mediated regulation of autophagosomal maturation are discussed based on these findings.

Results

Screening for TBC proteins involved in autophagy

To search for TBC proteins showing autophagosomal localization and/or that affect autophagosomal biogenesis, we transiently expressed EGFP-tagged human or mouse TBC proteins in MEF cells and compared their localization with endogenous LC3 (i.e., isolation membranes and autophagosomes) under starved conditions. Two of the 41 TBC proteins we tested, OATL1 and TBC1D2B (recently reported as an LC3-binding protein; Behrends et al., 2010), were clearly colocalized with LC3 (Fig. 1 A and Fig. S1), and TBC1D11 showed partial colocalization with LC3 (Fig. S1). Some portions of GFP-OATL1–positive dot structures were also overlapped with Atg12, an isolation membrane marker protein (Fig. 1 B), suggesting that GFP-OATL1 was localized at both isolation membranes and autophagosomes. To confirm the autophagosomal localization of OATL1, we investigated the localization of GFP-OATL1 in living cells under various nutrient conditions. Under nutrient-rich conditions, GFP-OATL1 was dispersed in the cytoplasm and formed small punctate structures (Fig. 1 C, left), whereas after amino acid and serum starvation, GFP-OATL1 formed ring-shaped structures (Fig. 1 C, center). These ring structures likely corresponded to autophagosomes because merged images showed that they almost perfectly coincided with monomeric strawberry (mStr)–tagged LC3 (mStr–LC3; Fig. 1 D) and had diminished in number after replenishment with nutrient-rich medium (Fig. 1 C, right). Immuno-EM revealed that GFP-OATL1 was clearly localized at autophagosome-like structures (Fig. 1 E, arrows). These findings indicate that GFP-OATL1 is localized at isolation membranes and autophagosomes under starved conditions.

Subcellular localization of GFP-OATL1 in autophagy-deficient cells

To determine whether the localization of OATL1 depends on autophagosome formation, we investigated the subcellular localization of GFP-OATL1 by using the three types of autophagy-deficient cells. First, we investigated the localization of GFP-OATL1 in cells treated with wortmannin, a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase inhibitor that inhibits isolation membrane formation (Blommaart et al., 1997; Mizushima et al., 2001). GFP-OATL1 was dispersed in the cytoplasm and partially colocalized with GM130, a cis-Golgi marker protein in the wortmannin-treated cells, whereas in the control cells, GFP-OATL1 was colocalized with endogenous LC3-positive structures (Fig. 1 F). The same change in the distribution of GFP-OATL1 was observed in the second type of autophagy-deficient cells, Atg5-knockout (KO) MEF cells, in which the formation of isolation membranes is completely abolished (Fig. 1 G; Mizushima et al., 2001). The third type of autophagy-deficient cells we used were NIH3T3 cells expressing an Atg4B mutant protein with an alanine substitution for the 74th catalytic cysteine residue (Atg4B–CA cells; Fujita et al., 2008b). In contrast to the wortmannin-treated cells and Atg5-KO cells, the Atg4B–CA–expressing cells possess isolation membranes, but such isolation membranes are devoid of Atg8 homologues, including LC3 (Fujita et al., 2008b). GFP-OATL1 did not form any punctate structures in the Atg4B–CA–expressing cells, but the isolation membrane marker protein Atg16L1 clearly formed punctate structures, the same as in the control cells (Fig. 1 H). These findings collectively suggest that OATL1 is targeted to Atg8 homologue–decorated isolation membranes and autophagosomes.
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**Figure 1.** OATL1 was localized at LC3-positive isolation membranes and autophagosomes. (A and B) GFP-OATL1 was colocalized with autophagic marker proteins. NIH3T3 cells transiently expressing GFP-OATL1 under starved conditions were fixed and stained with anti-LC3 antibody (A) or anti-Atg12 antibody (B). Merged images are shown at the right. (C) GFP-OATL1 was localized at ring-shaped organelles only under starved conditions. MEF cells stably expressing GFP-OATL1 were cultured under nutrient-rich, starved, and replenished conditions. Images of living cells are shown. (D) GFP-OATL1 was clearly colocalized with mStr-LC3 in living cells. MEF cells stably expressing GFP-OATL1 and mStr-LC3 cultured under starved conditions were observed. A merged image is shown at the right. (E) Immuno-EM revealed colloidal gold particles, indicating the presence of GFP-OATL1, on the isolation membranes of autophagosome-like structures (arrows) under starved conditions. M, mitochondria. (F–H) GFP-OATL1 was localized at the Golgi apparatus in autophagy-deficient cells. (F) MEF cells transiently expressing GFP-OATL1 were cultured under starved conditions in the presence (wortmannin) or absence (control) of 100 nM wortmannin, then fixed, and stained with anti-LC3 antibody and anti-GM130 antibody. (G) Wild-type and Atg5-knockout (Atg5-KO) MEF cells transiently expressing GFP-OATL1 were cultured under starved conditions, fixed, and stained with anti-LC3 antibody and anti-GM130 antibody. (H) NIH3T3 cells stably expressing mStr-Atg4B-CA or not expressing mStr-Atg4B-CA (control) were transiently transfected with pEGFP-C1-OATL1 (GFP-OATL1). The cells were cultured under starved conditions, fixed, and stained with anti-Atg16L1 antibody. In F–H, the signal color in the merged images is indicated by the color of the typeface. The insets show magnified views of the boxed areas. Bars: (A–D and F–H) 10 µm; (C, inset) 2 µm; (E) 100 nm.
constructed three truncated mutants of OATL1 (Fig. 2 A and Fig. S3 A). The results showed that the GFP-tagged N-terminal region of OATL1 (GFP–OATL1-N) alone was sufficient to co-localize with LC3 and that the GFP-tagged TBC domain of OATL1 (GFP–OATL1-TBC) and C-terminal region of OATL1 (GFP–OATL1-C) were not (Fig. S3, B–D). Consistent with the results of the immunofluorescence analysis, OATL1-N alone interacted with Atg8 homologues in the Y2H assay (Fig. 2, B and C), indicating that the N-terminal domain of OATL1 is sufficient for the interaction between OATL1 and Atg8 homologues. Furthermore, direct interactions between OATL1 and the three Atg8 homologues were observed when purified components were used (Fig. 2 D, lanes 10–12), although GABARAP seemed to show the highest affinity for OATL1-N among OATL1 directly binds Atg8 homologues

The specific localization of OATL1 on Atg8 homologue–resident isolation membranes and autophagosomes led us to hypothesize that OATL1 physically interacts with Atg8 homologues. To verify our hypothesis, we attempted to analyze the interaction between OATL1 and Atg8 homologues by yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assays. We tested the interaction of OATL1 with LC3-β (referred to as LC3 hereafter), GABARAP, and GATE-16 as representatives of the three subfamilies classified by their primary sequences (Fig. S2). The results showed that OATL1 interacted with all three Atg8 homologues, although the Y2H interactions depend on the combination of bait and prey (Fig. 2, B and C). To determine which region of OATL1 is responsible for the interaction with Atg8 homologues, we
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likely that OATL1 is a substrate of autophagy. To investigate this possibility, we compared the amount of endogenous OATL1 protein in MEF cells under nutrient-rich and starved conditions. To our surprise, however, the amount of OATL1 in MEF cells was unaltered, even when autophagy was activated under starved conditions (Fig. 4A, compare lanes 1 and 2, top), whereas the amount of p62 was clearly decreased under the same conditions (Fig. 4A, compare lanes 1 and 2, second panel).

Moreover, the amount of OATL1 was not increased, even by treatment with lysosomal protease inhibitors (E64-d and pepstatin A; Fig. 4B, top), whereas the amounts of p62 and LC3-II were dramatically increased (Fig. 4B, second and bottom panel, respectively). To rule out the possibility of transcriptional regulation of OATL1, we also tested MEF cells expressing T7-OATL1 under a retrovirus promoter. The same as the endogenous OATL1 level, the T7-OATL1 protein level was unaltered by treatment with the lysosomal protease inhibitors (Fig. 4C, lanes 3 and 4). Although a point mutation in p62 or NBR1 that impairs their interaction with Atg8 homologues has been shown to abrogate efficient degradation of p62 or NBR1 (Ichimura et al., 2008; Kirkin et al., 2009), the WA mutation in OATL1 did not affect the amount of OATL1 at all (Fig. 4C, lanes 5 and 6). We therefore concluded that OATL1 is not an autophagic substrate and that it is not susceptible to lysosomal degradation through autophagy.

Figure 3. Atg8 homologue–binding-deficient mutants of OATL1 were localized at the Golgi apparatus instead of at autophagosomes. Wild-type MEF cells transiently expressing GFP-OATL1 (top), GFP–OATL1-WA (middle), or GFP–OATL1-ED/AA (bottom) under starved conditions were fixed and stained with anti-LC3 antibody and anti-GM130 antibody. Merged images between GFP-OATL1, LC3, and GM130 are shown on the right. The insets show magnified views of the boxed areas. Bar, 10 µm.

the three Atg8 homologues tested (Fig. 2D, compare lane 11 with lanes 10 and 12). Moreover, we discovered a unique sequence in the N-terminal region of OATL1 that is similar to the LC3 recognition sequence (LRS) of p62 and NBR1 (Fig. 2A; Ichimura et al., 2008; Kirkin et al., 2009). We especially noted the presence of the 136th tryptophan and diacidic residues (i.e., 134th glutamic acid and 135th aspartic acid) of OATL1 because mutations of the corresponding residues in p62 and NBR1 abrogated LC3-binding ability (Ichimura et al., 2008; Kirkin et al., 2009). As expected, mutant proteins in which these residues were replaced by alanines, i.e., OATL1-N-WA and OATL1-N-ED/AA, completely abolished and dramatically reduced, respectively, the interaction with GABARAP (Fig. 2E, compare lane 6 and lanes 7 or 8). Consistent with the results of the in vitro binding assays, neither GFP-OATL1-WA nor GFP-OATL1-ED/AA was localized at LC3-positive structures (Fig. 3). We therefore concluded that OATL1 is localized at autophagosomes through a direct interaction with Atg8 homologues.

OATL1 is not susceptible to lysosomal degradation through autophagy

Because two Atg8 homologue–binding proteins, p62 and NBR1, have been shown to be degraded in the process of selective autophagy (Komatsu et al., 2007; Pankiv et al., 2007; Ichimura et al., 2008; Kirkin et al., 2009), it seemed likely that OATL1 is a substrate of autophagy. To investigate this possibility, we compared the amount of endogenous OATL1 protein in MEF cells under nutrient-rich and starved conditions. To our surprise, however, the amount of OATL1 in MEF cells was unaltered, even when autophagy was activated under starved conditions (Fig. 4A, compare lanes 1 and 2, top), whereas the amount of p62 was clearly decreased under the same conditions (Fig. 4A, compare lanes 1 and 2, second panel). Moreover, the amount of OATL1 was not increased, even by treatment with lysosomal protease inhibitors (E64-d and pepstatin A; Fig. 4B, top), whereas the amounts of p62 and LC3-II were dramatically increased (Fig. 4B, second and bottom panel, respectively). To rule out the possibility of transcriptional regulation of OATL1, we also tested MEF cells expressing T7-OATL1 under a retrovirus promoter. The same as the endogenous OATL1 level, the T7-OATL1 protein level was unaltered by treatment with the lysosomal protease inhibitors (Fig. 4C, lanes 3 and 4). Although a point mutation in p62 or NBR1 that impairs their interaction with Atg8 homologues has been shown to abrogate efficient degradation of p62 or NBR1 (Ichimura et al., 2008; Kirkin et al., 2009), the WA mutation in OATL1 did not affect the amount of OATL1 at all (Fig. 4C, lanes 5 and 6). We therefore concluded that OATL1 is not an autophagic substrate and that it is not susceptible to lysosomal degradation through autophagy.

OATL1 is not susceptible to lysosomal degradation through autophagy

Because two Atg8 homologue–binding proteins, p62 and NBR1, have been shown to be degraded in the process of selective autophagy (Komatsu et al., 2007; Pankiv et al., 2007; Ichimura et al., 2008; Kirkin et al., 2009), it seemed highly
conditions in comparison with the control cells (Fig. 5 A, compare lanes 2 and 5 and lanes 3 and 6). Consistent with the results of the immunoblot analysis, the number of LC3-positive dots detected by the anti-LC3 antibody in OATL1 cells under both starved and replenished conditions was significantly higher than in the control cells (Fig. 5 B). This increased number of LC3 dots in the OATL1 cells might have been attributable to either an activation of autophagosome formation or an inactivation of autophagosomal maturation. To determine which of these two possibilities was actually responsible for the increase, we counted the number of Atg16L1-positive dots (corresponding to isolation membranes) under the same conditions because if OATL1 had accelerated autophagosome formation, the number of isolation membranes would have increased. Quantitative analysis revealed that the number of isolation membranes in the OATL1 cells was significantly higher than in the control cells under starved conditions but comparable with the number in the control cells under replenished conditions (Fig. 5 C). Furthermore, simultaneous observation of endogenous Atg16L1 (isolation membranes) and GFP-LC3 (isolation membranes and autophagosomes) in cells expressing GFP-LC3 confirmed that OATL1 overexpression resulted in an increase in GFP-LC3 dots alone under replenished conditions (Fig. 5 D). It should be noted that prolonged incubation under replenished conditions resulted in a gradual decrease in the number of LC3 dots to the control level (unpublished data). Immuno-EM observations revealed that, under starved conditions, GFP-LC3 was localized at typical autophagosomes in both control cells and OATL1 cells (Fig. 5 E, left, arrows). Under replenished conditions, the GFP-LC3 in OATL1 cells was mainly localized at autophagosome-like structures (Fig. 5 E, right, arrows) and occasionally at amphisome-like structures that contained multiple vesicles (Fig. 5 E, asterisks). Because we did not find any LC3-positive structures having a similar appearance in the control cells (Fig. 5 E, bottom right two images), these structures at the EM level most likely correspond to the residual LC3-positive organelles observed by immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 5 D). These findings allowed us to conclude that overexpression of OATL1 delays a process that occurs after the formation of autophagosomes and that would adequately explain the increased number of isolation membranes under starved conditions in OATL1 cells. The retarded maturation of the autophagosomes in OATL1 cells may reduce the negative feedback by nutrients from lysosomes and also contribute to the increase in the number of isolation membranes.

OATL1 delays autophagosomal maturation by inhibiting the encounter between autophagosomes and lysosomes

Because autophagosomal maturation is achieved by the fusion of autophagosomes with endosomes and lysosomes (Yoshimori, 2004), we attempted to determine whether the residual LC3-positive structures possess the properties of lysosomes. To do so, we monitored Lamp-1 as a lysosomal marker in OATL1 cells. However, colocalization between Lamp-1 and LC3 was hardly detected in OATL1 cells under replenished conditions (Fig. 6 A), suggesting a possibility that residual autophagosomes in OATL1 cells do not encounter with lysosomes. To investigate this
Figure 5. Overexpression of OATL1 delayed autophagosomal maturation. (A) Overexpression of OATL1 resulted in an increase in the amount of LC3-II. MEF cells stably expressing T7-OATL1 or not expressing T7-OATL1 (control) were cultured under nutrient-rich (N), starved (S), or replenished (R) conditions, and their lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-T7 tag antibody (top), anti-actin antibody (middle), and anti-LC3 antibody (bottom). (B and C) Wild-type MEF cells under the same conditions as in A were fixed and stained with anti-LC3 antibody or anti-Atg16L1 antibody. The mean numbers of LC3-positive (B) or Atg16L1-positive (C) dots per cell are shown. Error bars represent the means ± SEM of representative data (n ≥ 100) from two independent experiments. ***, P < 0.001; Student’s unpaired t test (compared with the control under the same conditions). (D) Overexpression of OATL1 increased the number of residual autophagosomes under replenished conditions. MEF cells stably expressing GFP-LC3 alone (control) or GFP-LC3 and T7-OATL1 (T7-OATL1) under the same conditions as in A were fixed and stained with anti-Atg16L1 antibody. GFP-LC3 and Atg16L1 are shown in the top and bottom, respectively. Bar, 10 µm. (E) EM analysis of the residual GFP-LC3–positive structures. MEF cells stably expressing GFP-LC3 and T7-OATL1 (T7-OATL1) or GFP-LC3 alone (control) were cultured under starved or replenished conditions and then fixed, and ultrathin cryosections were examined by immuno-EM. Under starved conditions, colloidal gold particles, indicating the presence of GFP-LC3, were detected in typical autophagosome-like structures (arrows) in both control cells and T7-OATL1–expressing cells. In T7-OATL1–expressing cells, numerous gold particles (some indicated by arrowheads) were detected in typical autophagosome-like structures (arrows) and occasionally on amphisome-like structures (asterisks), which contained multiple vesicles under replenished conditions. L, multilamellar lysosomal structure. Bars, 100 nm.
domains for the inhibitory activity of OATL1 in autophagosomal maturation. To do so, we established three additional MEF cell lines stably expressing T7-tagged OA TL1-W A, OATL1-ED/AA, and OATL1-RK (in which the 279th catalytic arginine residue in OATL1 is replaced by lysine; Fig. 7A). The results showed no effect of any of the three mutants on either the profile of LC3 lipidation (Fig. 7, D and E) or the number of autophagosomes (Fig. 7, B and C), although the OATL1-RK mutant retained the ability to be recruited to autophagosomes and to bind LC3 (Fig. S4, A and B). These findings indicated that both the Atg8 homologue–binding activity and the GAP activity of OATL1 are essential for its effects on autophagosomal maturation.

Because OATL1 contains both an LRS-like motif and a TBC Rab-GAP domain, we also investigated the requirement of these domains for the inhibitory activity of OATL1 in autophagosomal maturation. To do so, we established three additional MEF cell lines stably expressing T7-tagged OATL1-WA, OATL1-ED/AA, and OATL1-RK (in which the 279th catalytic arginine residue in OATL1 is replaced by lysine; Fig. 7A). The results showed no effect of any of the three mutants on either the profile of LC3 lipidation (Fig. 7, D and E) or the number of autophagosomes (Fig. 7, B and C), although the OATL1-RK mutant retained the ability to be recruited to autophagosomes and to bind LC3 (Fig. S4, A and B). These findings indicated that both the Atg8 homologue–binding activity and the GAP activity of OATL1 are essential for its effects on autophagosomal maturation.

Because OATL1 is endogenously expressed in MEF cells (Fig. 4A), we also evaluated the effect of OATL1 knockdown on autophagy by using specific siRNA. However, although the amount of p62 was slightly decreased in the OATL1 knockdown possibility, we treated OATL1 cells with an inhibitor of vacuolar-type ATPase, bafilomycin A1, which decreases lysosomal protease activity and LC3 degradation in lysosomes. In the presence of bafilomycin A1, the LC3 in control cells was often localized in lysosomes (i.e., surrounded by Lamp-1; Fig. 6B, top). However, overexpression of OATL1 under such conditions decreased the ratio of LC3-positive lysosomes and increased the number of Lamp-1-negative LC3 dots (i.e., autophagosomes; Fig. 6B [bottom], C, and D). These results suggest that overexpression of OATL1 delayed a process that fuses autophagosomes and lysosomes.

Figure 6. Overexpression of OATL1 inhibited the encounter between autophagosomes and lysosomes. [A] The residual LC3-positive structures did not contain Lamp-1. MEF cells stably expressing T7-OATL1 or not expressing T7-OATL1 (control) were cultured under starved conditions and replenished conditions. The cells cultured under each condition were fixed and stained with anti-LC3 antibody and anti–Lamp-1 antibody. Merged images are shown. Higher magnification views of the boxed area are shown on the right. [B–D] Overexpression of OATL1 caused a reduction in the ratio of LC3-positive lysosomes. The cells treated with bafilomycin A1 under starved conditions were fixed and stained with anti-LC3 antibody (red) and anti–Lamp-1 antibody (green). Merged images are shown on the right. Higher magnification views of the boxed areas are shown as insets. The ratios of LC3-positive lysosomes and the numbers of Lamp-1–negative LC3 dots per cell are shown in C and D, respectively. Error bars represent the means ± SEM of representative data (n ≥ 100) from two independent experiments. ***, P < 0.001; Student’s unpaired t test [compared with the control under the same conditions]. Bars: (A [left] and B) 10 µm; (A, right) 2 µm.
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significant GAP activity toward other Rabs (Fig. 8 A). Although we previously reported that OATL1 has GAP activity toward Rab2A (Itoh et al., 2006), a higher dose of OATL1 is required for clear Rab2A-GAP activity than for Rab33B-GAP activity (2 pmol for Rab2A vs. 0.5 pmol for Rab33B), indicating that OATL1 prefers Rab33B as a substrate. Because the RK mutation completely abolished Rab33B-GAP activity (Fig. 8 C), OATL1 should exert its GAP activity by the conserved mechanism (Pan et al., 2006). The Rab33B-GAP activity of OATL1 was also confirmed by a GTP-Rab pull-down assay (Itoh and Fukuda, 2006) in which the amount of active Rab33B was estimated by using beads coupled with Atg16L1, an effector of Rab33B (Itoh et al., 2008). The amount of active Rab33B was dramatically reduced only when Rab33B was coexpressed with OATL1 (Fig. 8 D, second panel, compare lanes 1 and 4). It should be noted that no other TBC proteins possessed as strong significant GAP activity toward other Rabs (Fig. 8 A).

Figure 7. Both Atg8 homologue–binding activity and GAP activity of OATL1 were required for the delayed autophagosomal maturation. (A) Schematic representation of the OATL1 mutants used in this study. (B) MEF cells stably expressing T7-OATL1, T7–OATL1-WA, T7–OATL1-ED/AA, or none of these (control) were cultured under nutrient-rich (N), starved (S), or replenished (R) conditions. The cells cultured under each condition were fixed and stained with anti-LC3 antibody. The mean numbers of LC3-positive dots per cell are shown. Error bars represent the means ± SEM of representative data (n ≥ 100) from two independent experiments. ***, P < 0.001; one-way analysis of variance and Tukey post hoc test (compared with the control under the same conditions). (C) The same as in B, except that T7–OATL1-RK was used instead of T7–OATL1-WA or T7–OATL1-ED/AA. (D) Cell lysates from control, T7-OATL1–, T7–OATL1-WA–, and T7–OATL1-ED/AA–expressing cells cultured under the same conditions as in B were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-T7 tag antibody (top), antiactin antibody (middle), and anti-LC3 antibody (bottom). (E) The same as in D, except that T7–OATL1-RK was used instead of T7–OATL1-WA or T7–OATL1-ED/AA.

Rab33B is the target of OATL1

Because the GAP activity of OATL1 is important for its function, we next searched for its substrate among the Rab family members. We purified GST-tagged OATL1 proteins from COS-7 cells and assessed their GAP activity toward eleven Rabs purified from bacteria. The results showed that OATL1 significantly promoted the GTP hydrolysis activity of Rab33B in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 8 B), whereas it did not exhibit any significant GAP activity toward other Rabs (Fig. 8 A). Although we previously reported that OATL1 has GAP activity toward Rab2A (Itoh et al., 2006), a higher dose of OATL1 is required for clear Rab2A-GAP activity than for Rab33B-GAP activity (2 pmol for Rab2A vs. 0.5 pmol for Rab33B), indicating that OATL1 prefers Rab33B as a substrate. Because the RK mutation completely abolished Rab33B-GAP activity (Fig. 8 C), OATL1 should exert its GAP activity by the conserved mechanism (Pan et al., 2006). The Rab33B-GAP activity of OATL1 was also confirmed by a GTP-Rab pull-down assay (Itoh and Fukuda, 2006) in which the amount of active Rab33B was estimated by using beads coupled with Atg16L1, an effector of Rab33B (Itoh et al., 2008). The amount of active Rab33B was dramatically reduced only when Rab33B was coexpressed with OATL1 (Fig. 8 D, second panel, compare lanes 1 and 4). It should be noted that no other TBC proteins possessed as strong...
However, close inspection of the immunostaining under high magnification revealed that most of the LC3-positive dots did not completely overlap with the Lamp-1–positive dots (Fig. 9 C, right), in contrast to their appearance in the bafilomycin A1–treated cells (Fig. 6 B). Based on these findings, together with the fact that Rab33B is a target of OATL1, we speculate that the LC3-positive autophagosomes in Rab33B-QL–expressing cells are not efficiently fused with lysosomes.

**Discussion**

Although many genes that are essential for autophagy have been identified during the past decade, little is known about the mechanism that regulates membrane trafficking in the autophagy process. In particular, involvement of a Rab-GAP in autophagy had never been reported, even though several Rab proteins have been shown to be involved in autophagy (Fukuda and Itoh, 2008). In the present study, we, for the first time, screened for Rab-GAPs associated with autophagy based on the localization of Rab33B-GAP activity as OATL1 (Fig. 8 D), indicating that the relationship between OATL1 and Rab33B is highly specific.

**Effect of Rab33B-QL overexpression on autophagosomal maturation**

If Rab33B is a target of OATL1 during autophagosomal maturation in vivo, Rab33B should also affect autophagosomal maturation. As expected, expression of FLAG-tagged Rab33B (FLAG-Rab33B) or Rab33B-QL (i.e., a GTPase-deficient mutant; FLAG–Rab33B-QL) increased both the LC3-II level (Fig. 9 A) and the number of LC3-positive dots in the cytoplasm (Fig. 9 B) even under replenished conditions. Because monomeric RFP–Rab33B-TN (a constitutively negative form mutant) did not increase LC3-II under nutrient-rich or starved conditions (Itoh et al., 2008), Rab33B-TN is unlikely to affect autophagosomal maturation. In contrast to the OATL1-expressing cells (Fig. 6 A), most of the LC3-positive dots in the cytoplasm of FLAG–Rab33B-QL–expressing cells appeared to be colocalized with Lamp-1, especially when viewed under low magnification (Fig. 9 C, left). However, close inspection of the immunostaining under high magnification revealed that most of the LC3-positive dots did not completely overlap with the Lamp-1–positive dots (Fig. 9 C, right), in contrast to their appearance in the bafilomycin A1–treated cells (Fig. 6 B). Based on these findings, together with the fact that Rab33B is a target of OATL1, we speculate that the LC3-positive autophagosomes in Rab33B-QL–expressing cells are not efficiently fused with lysosomes.
OATL1 regulates autophagosomal maturation

Furthermore, WA and ED/AA mutations of OATL1, which abrogated the interaction between OATL1 and Atg8 homologues, completely abolished membranous localization of the mutant proteins without altering their protein expression level (Fig. 3). We therefore concluded that the LRS in OATL1 is not required for degradation of OATL1 but is required for targeting OATL1 on the organelle surface. One important remaining question is why OATL1 is not degraded during autophagy because Atg8 homologues, landmarks of OATL1, are localized at both the inner and outer surface of autophagosomes (Kabeya et al., 2004). Further extended investigations will be needed to answer this question.

Another important finding is that OATL1 inactivates Rab33B, a medial Golgi-resident Rab involved in retrograde transport (Zheng et al., 1998; Valsdottir et al., 2001; Jiang and Storrie, 2005; Starr et al., 2010), both in vitro and in cultured cells (Fig. 8). We especially noted the fact that Rab33B directly interacts with Atg16L1 (Itoh et al., 2008). The Atg12–5–16L1 complex interacts with Atg3, an E2 enzyme that catalyzes LC3 lipidation and facilitates the encounter between LC3 and PE on the membrane, like an E3 enzyme in the ubiquitin conjugation system (Hanada et al., 2007; Fujita et al., 2008a). Overexpression of Rab33B had been found to induce excess lipidation of TBC proteins, and we succeeded in identifying OATL1 as a prime candidate. We also identified two additional TBC proteins, TBC1D2B and TBC1D11, which showed clear colocalization and partial colocalization, respectively, with LC3 in MEF cells (Fig. S1). These results are consistent with a study in a recent paper that some TBC proteins (TBC1D2B, TBC1D5, TBC1D11, and TBC1D15) form a complex with Atg8 homologues (Behrends et al., 2010). Although we do not know exactly why TBC1D5 and TBC1D15 did not show clear colocalization with LC3 despite having the ability to bind Atg8 homologues, cell type–specific expression of Atg8 homologues and/or a cofactor that facilitates autophagosomal localization may be responsible for this discrepancy.

OATL1 was found to be localized at LC3-positive isolation membranes and autophagosomes (Fig. 1) and to directly interact with Atg8 homologues (Fig. 2). We found that an LRS-like sequence in OATL1 is responsible for its binding to Atg8 homologues, the same as in other Atg8 homologue–binding proteins, p62 and NBR1 (Komatsu et al., 2007; Pankiv et al., 2007; Ichimura et al., 2008; Kirkin et al., 2009). In contrast to p62 and NBR1, however, neither endogenous nor exogenous OATL1 showed any evidence of autophagic substrates (Fig. 4).

Furthermore, WA and ED/AA mutations of OATL1, which abrogated the interaction between OATL1 and Atg8 homologues, completely abolished membranous localization of the mutant proteins without altering their protein expression level (Fig. 3). We therefore concluded that the LRS in OATL1 is not required for degradation of OATL1 but is required for targeting OATL1 on the organelle surface. One important remaining question is why OATL1 is not degraded during autophagy because Atg8 homologues, landmarks of OATL1, are localized at both the inner and outer surface of autophagosomes (Kabeya et al., 2004). Further extended investigations will be needed to answer this question.

Another important finding is that OATL1 inactivates Rab33B, a medial Golgi-resident Rab involved in retrograde transport (Zheng et al., 1998; Valsdottir et al., 2001; Jiang and Storrie, 2005; Starr et al., 2010), both in vitro and in cultured cells (Fig. 8). We especially noted the fact that Rab33B directly interacts with Atg16L1 (Itoh et al., 2008). The Atg12–5–16L1 complex interacts with Atg3, an E2 enzyme that catalyzes LC3 lipidation and facilitates the encounter between LC3 and PE on the membrane, like an E3 enzyme in the ubiquitin conjugation system (Hanada et al., 2007; Fujita et al., 2008a). Overexpression of Rab33B had been found to induce excess lipidation of TBC proteins, and we succeeded in identifying OATL1 as a prime candidate. We also identified two additional TBC proteins, TBC1D2B and TBC1D11, which showed clear colocalization and partial colocalization, respectively, with LC3 in MEF cells (Fig. S1). These results are consistent with a study in a recent paper that some TBC proteins (TBC1D2B, TBC1D5, TBC1D11, and TBC1D15) form a complex with Atg8 homologues (Behrends et al., 2010). Although we do not know exactly why TBC1D5 and TBC1D15 did not show clear colocalization with LC3 despite having the ability to bind Atg8 homologues, cell type–specific expression of Atg8 homologues and/or a cofactor that facilitates autophagosomal localization may be responsible for this discrepancy.

OATL1 was found to be localized at LC3-positive isolation membranes and autophagosomes (Fig. 1) and to directly interact with Atg8 homologues (Fig. 2). We found that an LRS-like sequence in OATL1 is responsible for its binding to Atg8 homologues, the same as in other Atg8 homologue–binding proteins, p62 and NBR1 (Komatsu et al., 2007; Pankiv et al., 2007; Ichimura et al., 2008; Kirkin et al., 2009). In contrast to p62 and NBR1, however, neither endogenous nor exogenous OATL1 showed any evidence of autophagic substrates (Fig. 4).
LC3, suggesting that Rab33B accelerates the E3 activity of the Atg12–5–16L1 complex (Itoh et al., 2008), but the physiological significance of LC3 lipidation by Rab33B had remained unclear. Because the results of the present study indicated that these four factors, i.e., Rab33B, the Atg12–5–16L1 complex, Atg8 homologues, and OATL1, physically and/or enzymatically interact, it is tempting to speculate that they form a feedback loop in which (a) activated Rab33B recruits the Atg12–5–16L1 complex near the membrane through direct interaction with Atg16L1, (b) Atg8 homologues are conjugated with PE by an E3 activity of Atg12–5–16L1, (c) OATL1 recognizes the Atg8 homologues anchored with the membrane near Rab33B, and (d) OATL1 inactivates Rab33B through its GAP activity (Fig. S5 F).

The aforementioned molecular mechanism is inferred to be involved in autophagosomal maturation because overexpression of either OATL1 or Rab33B induced a delay in autophagosomal maturation (Fig. 5 and Fig. 9). Overexpression of OATL1 inhibited the process before the encounter between autophagosomes and lysosomes (Fig. 6), whereas overexpression of Rab33B or Rab33B-QL, a GTPase-deficient mutant of Rab33B, appears to have inhibited the fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes (Fig. 9). These data suggest a model in which Rab33B plays a role in the tethering/docking step and/or fusion step between autophagosomes and lysosomes. Therefore, inactivation of Rab33B by OATL1 overexpression reduces encounters between autophagosomes and lysosomes, whereas expression of the active form–fixed Rab33B reduces the rate of fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes.

However, inconsistent with this model, knockdown of OATL1 (or its substrate Rab33B) did not cause any significant phenotype in terms of autophagy (Fig. S5, A–E). The following four possibilities could explain the lack of a knockdown effect on autophagy. (1) The first possibility is a technical problem. Almost complete knockdown has been shown to be required for inhibition of autophagy by RNA interference of ATG genes (Hosokawa et al., 2006; Yoshimura et al., 2006), and thus, the down-regulation of OATL1 (or Rab33B) in our study may not have been sufficient to induce obvious phenotypes. (2) The second possibility is the existence of redundant pathways. It has previously been shown that impairment of Rab7 function induces autolysosome accumulation (Gutierrez et al., 2004; Jäger et al., 2004; Kimura et al., 2007). Because OATL1 was unable to accelerate the GTPase activity of Rab7 (Fig. 8 A), MEF cells are likely to possess two independent pathways for autophagosomal maturation, a Rab33B–OATL1 pathway and a Rab7 pathway. If the Rab7 pathway were dominant in MEF cells, knockdown of OATL1 or Rab33B might not result in any clear phenotype. (3) The third possibility is that the Rab33B–OATL1 pathway is essential for autophagy in specific types of cells but not for starvation-induced autophagy. Because the cytoplasmic components should be quickly degraded under starved conditions, regulation of fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes may be less important in canonical autophagy. In contrast, in antigen-presenting cells (e.g., dendritic cells), for example, autophagosomes fuse with the major histocompatibility complex class II compartment, a kind of lysosome-related organelle (Schmid et al., 2007). In this particular case, there may be a distinct regulatory mechanism of autophagosomal maturation, and the Rab33B–OATL1 pathway may be involved in this mechanism. (4) The forth possibility is that the function of the Rab33B–OATL1 pathway is involved in classical membrane trafficking. Actually, GABARAP was originally identified as a binding protein of GABA\_ receptors (Wang et al., 1999), and a recent study has shown that GABARAP is required for ER-Golgi transport of caderhin (Nakamura et al., 2008). GATE-16 was first identified as a positive regulator of intra-Golgi transport (Legesse-Miller et al., 2000) and reported to interact with NSF and GOS-28 (Sagiv et al., 2000). Thus, the Atg8 homologues are also likely to be involved in classical membrane trafficking (e.g., ER-Golgi transport). Because Rab33B has been suggested to be involved in retrograde transport from the Golgi (Zheng et al., 1998; Valsdottir et al., 2001; Jiang and Storrie, 2005; Starr et al., 2010), the pivotal function of the Rab33B–OATL1 pathway may be in regulation of the classical membrane trafficking pathway. Further extensive research will be necessary to investigate all of these possibilities and determine the exact function of the Rab33B–OATL1 pathway.

In summary, we have identified OATL1, a previously uncharacterized Rab-GAP, as a novel Atg8 homologue-binding protein and discovered that OATL1 inactivates Rab33B, an Atg16L1-binding protein. We have also demonstrated that overexpression of OATL1 or Rab33B delays the maturation of autophagosomes by modulating the fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes. We suggest that OATL1 is recruited to autophagosomes through direct interaction with Atg8 homologues and then inactivates Rab33B. Although the significance of the Rab33B–OATL1 pathway remains to be fully elucidated, based on the results of the present study, we propose a novel concept of the function of Atg8 homologues, i.e., as a scaffold for signaling molecules that regulates autophagosomal maturation.

Materials and methods
Materials
Anti-OATL1 and anti-Atg16L1 rabbit polyclonal antibodies were produced by using GST–OATL1-N and GST–Atg16L1-M (middle region of Atg16L1), respectively, as an antigen, and they were affinity purified as described previously (Fukuda and Mikoshiba, 1999). The anti-OATL1 antibody used in this study recognizes endogenous OATL1 when used for immunoblotting (Fig. 4 A), but it was incapable of doing so in an immunofluorescence analysis. Anti-LC3 rabbit polyclonal antibody was prepared as described previously (Itoh et al., 2008). HRP-conjugated anti-FLAG tag (M2) mouse monoclonal antibody was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. HRP-conjugated anti-T7 tag mouse monoclonal antibody and anti-T7 tag antibody-conjugated agarose were purchased from Merck Biosciences Novagen. Alexa Fluor 488–, Alexa Fluor 594–, and Alexa Fluor 633–conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from Invitrogen. Anti-GM130 mouse monoclonal antibody (BD), antiaactin goat polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), anti-Atg12 (or Apg12) rabbit polyclonal antibody (Invitrogen), anti-p62 rabbit polyclonal antibody (Enzo Life Sciences, Inc.), and anti-Lamp-1 rat monoclonal antibody (BD; BD) were obtained commercially. α-\[^{35}S\]GTP and glutathione–Sepharose 4B were purchased from GE Healthcare. GTP–S and GDP were obtained from Roche. E64-d and pepstatin A were purchased from Peptide Laboratory. Bafilomycin A1 and wortmannin were purchased from EMD and Merck KGaA, respectively.

Plasmid construction
The cDNA encoding the human OATL1 was cloned, and the OATL1-RK mutant cDNA was constructed as described previously (Itoh et al., 2006).
pEF-T7-GST-OATL1, pEF-T7-Atg11, and pEGFP-C1-OATL1 were prepared as described previously (Itoh and Fukuda, 2006; Itoh et al., 2006). pEF-T7-GST-Atg16L1, pEF-FLAG-Rab33B, pMRX-puro-FLAG-Rab33B, and pMRX-puro-FLAG-Rab33B-QL were also prepared as described previously (Itoh et al., 2008). The cDNAs and plasmids of the other TBC proteins have been previously described elsewhere (Itoh et al., 2006; Ishibashi et al., 2009). The cDNA encoding the mouse LC3-β, GABARAP, or GATE-16 was cloned from mouse brain cDNAs by using conventional PCR techniques and the following pairs of oligonucleotides with a BamHI or BgIII linker (underlined) or a stop codon (boldface): 5′-GGATCCGATATCCTCGGAAAGGAC-ACC-3′ and 5′-TACACGGCATGTCGCGCC-3′ for LC3-β, 5′-GGATCCGAAGATTCGTCGCTAACAAG-3′ and 5′-TACACGAGCAATGACGTTG-3′ for GABARAP, and 5′-GGATCCGATATCCTCGGAAAGGAC-ACC-3′ and 5′-TACACGGCATGTCGCGCC-3′ for GATE-16. To obtain a mutant cDNA fragment encoding OATL1-WA and a mutant cDNA containing OATL1-ED/AA, KOD plus ver. 2 and the pEGFP-C1-OATL1 plasmid were used as the polymerase for PCR (Toyobo Co., Ltd.) and as the template, respectively. The following primers were used for amplification: 5′-GAGTCTGAGAAGGGAGGCGGA-CATAATCGCC-3′ and 5′-GGCTGATATGTCGCGCCCTCCTCAGCAATG-3′ for OATL1-WA (OATL1-NA) and 5′-AGGCCCAGCCAGAAGGCAGGCT-CGGGCAATAATC-3′ and 5′-GATATGCTGCCAGGCTGCTAAGAGTGCGCT-3′ for OATL1-ED/AA (OATL1-ED/AA). The linear DNA fragments obtained were circularized with an In-Fusion recombination enzyme (BD). Deletion mutants of OATL1 (see Fig. S3A for details) were similarly constructed by using conventional PCR techniques and the following pairs of oligonucleotides with a BglII linker (underlined) or a stop codon (boldface): 5′-GAATCTGATGCAAGACGTCCTCCTGAGG-3′ and 5′-TACATGATAGATCCGATGTCGCGCC-3′ for OATL1-NA or OATL1-N-WA, or OATL1-N-ED/AA; 5′-AGA-TCTATAAGCCGCCCCAAAGAT-3′ and 5′-TACCTCTGAGCCCTACCATG-3′ for OATL1-TBC; and 5′-GAATCTGAGGTCGCGCCCTCCTGAGG-3′ and 5′-TACCATGATAGATCCGATGTCGCGCC-3′ for OATL1-ED/AA. These mutant cDNA fragments of OATL1 were transferred to the pEF-T7 tag mammalian expression vector (modified from pEF-BOS; Fukuda et al., 1994, 1999), pEGFP-C1 vector, pGBD-C1 vector (James et al., 1996), pGAD-C1 vector (James et al., 1996), pGEX-4T3 vector (GE Healthcare), pMRX-IRES-puro-EGFP vector, or pMRX-IRES-puro-17 vector by standard techniques. pMRX-IRES-puro/bsr-EFGP-17/FLAG vectors are variants of pMRX-IRES-puro/bsr (donated by S. Yamaoka, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo, Japan; Saitoh et al., 2003). We used DNA sequencing to confirm that no unexpected mutations had occurred in the open reading frame of these cDNAs.

Y2H assay

Y2H assays were performed by using the strain pG69-4A, vectors (pGBD-C1 and pGAD-C1), and the selection medium (synthetic complete medium lacking adenine, histidine, leucine, and tryptophan) as described previously (James et al., 1996).

Cell culture, transfection, and infection

Wild-type and Atg5-KO MEF cells were a gift from N. Mizushima (Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo, Japan). NIH3T3 cells stably expressing the Atg4B-CA mutant and control NIH3T3 cells were a gift from T. Yoshimori (Osaka University, Osaka, Japan). Plat-E cells were donated by Dr. Toshio Kitamura for donating Plat-E cells and retroviral vectors, Dr. Shoji Yamaoka for pMRX-IRES-puro/bsr vectors, Dr. Tamotsu Yoshimori for NIH3T3 cells stably expressing Atg4B-CA mutant and control cells, Atsuko Yabashi and Katsuyuki Kanno for help in EM, and Dr. Shunsuke Kimura, Dr. Naonobu Fujita, and members of the Fukuda laboratory for valuable discussions. This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, and Technology (MEXT) of Japan (to M. Fukuda and T. Itoh), by a grant from the Global Center of Excellence Program (Basic and Translational Research Center for Global Brain Science) of the MEXT of Japan (to M. Fukuda and T. Itoh), and by a grant from the Uehara Memorial Foundation (to T. Itoh).
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