INTRODUCTION

The story behind the virus with the crown

Coronaviruses belong to a large group of related viruses, named coronavirus family, which can infect humans and animals and lead to diseases of the airways, the gut, liver, and the nervous system. Some members of coronavirus family may infect the upper airways with rather mild courses, and others—as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus SARS-CoV-2—may affect the lower respiratory airways leading to dry cough, fever, myalgia, headache, fatigue, and diarrhea and can end up in interstitial pneumonia and severe respiratory failure. Reports about the manifestation of various skin lesions and lesions of the vascular system in some subgroups of SARS-CoV-2-positive patients as such features outside the respiratory sphere, are rapidly emerging. Vesicular, urticarial, and maculopapular eruptions and livedo, necrosis, and other vasculitis forms have been reported most frequently in association with SARS-CoV-2 infection. In order to update information gained, we provide a systematic overview of the skin lesions described in COVID-19 patients, discuss potential causative factors, and describe differential diagnostic evaluations. Moreover, we summarize current knowledge about immunologic, clinical, and histologic features of virus- and drug-induced lesions of the skin and changes to the vascular system in order to transfer this knowledge to potential mechanisms induced by SARS-CoV-2.
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pandemic.² SARS-CoV-2 displays 79% nucleotide identity with SARS-CoV and 51.8% nucleotide identity with MERS-CoV, but most importantly shares 96% identity across the entire genome with a bat coronavirus, which is supposed to be the natural origin of SARS-CoV-2.¹ ² ³

As other coronaviruses, SARS-CoV-2 is an enveloped, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus with spikes that protrude from the virus surface resembling a crown or “corona” (Figure 1). Most importantly, the spike (S) protein of coronaviruses is essential for viral infection of host cells. During the virus entry procedure, the S protein engages its cellular receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), which facilitates viral attachment to the cell surface of target cells. As a next step, the engaged S protein is further primed by the cellular serine protease (transmembrane protease serine 2) TMPRSS2, which mediates membrane fusion and viral entry into the cells (Figure 1).⁶–¹⁰ ACE2 is expressed on the apical surface of the epithelial cells that line conducting airways, as well as alveolar epithelial cells. ACE2 is cleaved from the surface of epithelia by shedding. Soluble ACE2 is catalytically active, interferes with epithelial cell signaling, and has protective defense function. Importantly, as the efficiency of ACE2-S interaction largely determines SARS-CoV transmissibility,¹¹–¹³ the expression of ACE2 receptors represents a major risk factor for the vulnerability to SARS-CoV-2 infection. In humans, the ACE2 receptors are expressed by CD8⁺ T cells,¹⁴ resting and activated natural killer (NK) cells,¹⁴ alveolar epithelial cells of type II,¹⁵ vascular endothelial cells, macrophages, monocytes, and adipocytes.¹⁶ The nose and the nasal epithelium play an important role for infection and viral spreading.¹⁷,¹⁸ High ACE2 expression has also been demonstrated on epithelial cells of the oral mucosa, in particular the tongue, so that this receptor might provide an entry route for viral infection of host cells.

**TABLE 1** Human coronaviruses

| Upper respiratory airways | Lower respiratory airways |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| HCoV-229E                | SARS-CoV                   |
| HCoV-NL63                | SARS-CoV-2                 |
| HCoV-OC43                | MERS-CoV                   |
| HCoV-HKU1                |                           |

Abbreviations: SARS, severe respiratory syndrome coronavirus; MERS, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus.

**FIGURE 1** Structure of SARS-CoV-2 virus with its typical capsid and the spikes, “corona.” ssRNA, single-stranded RNA; ACE2, angiotensin receptor 2; TMPRSS2, transmembrane protease serine subtype 2
for the virus and designates the oral cavity as a potential organ at high risk for viral spreading from one individual to the other. Tissue distribution of the ACE2 gene includes the small intestine, testis, kidneys, heart, thyroid, and adipose tissue with relatively high expression. It has been recently demonstrated that the inflammatory cytokines interferon (IFN)-α2 and IFN-γ increase the expression of ACE2 and is supposed that tissue inflammation may modulate the receptor expression and thereby change the risk of immune cells to be infected by SARS-CoV-2. So far, a positive correlation of ACE2 gene expression to CD8+ cells in the skin has been shown.

Binding of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2 downregulates its expression and impacts thereby on its main function, the regulation of the renin-angiotensin system. This downregulation leads to a dysregulation of the balance of soluble factors, electrolytes, and blood pressure combined with an increase of vascular permeability and lung inflammation. Usually, virus-specific T cells recruited to the site of inflammation eliminate the virus with neutralizing antibodies generated from B cells and macrophages and prevent thereby virus spreading in an immunocompetent individual. However, inflammation-induced cell death of infected cells and damage-released molecular patterns might induce pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines and recruitment of inflammatory cells to the lung (Figure 2). In patients with severe COVID-19, HLA class II expression is downregulated and type I interferon-driven signatures are reduced in peripheral blood cells; that is, monocytes and changes in the function of natural killer cells occur, a mechanism which mirrors evasion of SARS-CoV-2 from innate immune sensing and defense. In parallel, inflammatory programs in macrophages resident in the lung are induced. Thus, the lung tissue damage caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication, may thereby destroy stepwise the lung structure with the development of pulmonary fibrosis by transformation of adipocytes into myofibroblasts. The knowledge accumulated from SARS and MERS, together with current clinical observations from COVID-19 patients, suggest that type I IFN-mediated antiviral responses and activation of both CD4+ Th1 and CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) result in viral clearance in SARS-CoV-2-infected subjects with mild symptoms. However, insufficient initiation of antiviral immune responses, increased production of inflammatory cytokines, and lung infiltration of monocytes and neutrophils contribute to a cytokine storm in severe patients. Moreover, the cytokine storm elicited from the overproduction of pro-inflammatory mediators such as interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-12, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, not only leads to increased vascular permeability and inflammation in the lung but may reach other organs through the vascular system. In the worst case, this might induce injury of multiple other organs including the cardiac, renal, or hepatic system (Figure 2). This cascade of events might lead despite intensive care and a lot of other measures initiated, to fatal courses and death, in particular in elderly patients and individuals with preexisting diseases.

**FIGURE 2** Cascade of events in lung epithelium during SARS-CoV-2 infection. SARS-CoV-2 infects lung epithelial cells via ACE2 and TMPRSS2 receptor, the host cells undergo apoptosis in consequence of virus replication and release, and the undergoing cell releases damage-associated molecular patterns, which induce the production of pro-inflammatory mediators by epithelial and endothelial cells in the neighborhood. Inflammatory cells such as monocytes, macrophages, and T cells are recruited from the blood to the lung epithel, increase the production of inflammatory mediates and further infiltration of the lung by inflammatory cells, leading to damage of the lung structure and a “cytokine storm,” which reaches the vasculature and other organs. ssRNA, single-stranded RNA; ACE2, angiotensin receptor 2; TMPRSS2, transmembrane protease serine subtype 2; Mo, monocyte, T, T lymphocyte, M, macrophage.
2 | SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19

2.1 | Transmission and symptoms

Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is mediated mainly via respiratory droplets from an infected person. Of note, SARS-CoV-2-positive patients emit respiratory droplets in high numbers during speaking, which remain in the air up to 8-14 minutes. The average incubation time until the onset of COVID-19 is 4-11 days. The immune responses resulting from SARS-CoV-2 infection vary broadly and range from asymptomatic courses, over mild, moderate to severe courses with a need for hospitalization and intensive care. Typical symptoms of COVID-19 include dry cough, fever, myalgia, headache, anosmia, and ageusia. Depending on the severity of the disease, even other organ systems such as the gastrointestinal tract, the liver, the renal system, and the cardiac system might be involved and become symptomatic as well (Figure 3).

2.2 | Factors associated with poor prognosis

2.2.1 | Comorbidities, gender, and senescence of the immune system

Comorbidity rate increases the rate of mortality of COVID-19 with cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, poorly controlled diabetes mellitus, and obesity being major risk factors. Since adipocytes express ACE2 and might serve as a reservoir for SARS-CoV-2, this fact might explain in part the higher risk of obese patients and obesity being a predictor for mortality among COVID-19 patients.

Altered immune function and impaired defense against infectious pathogens and modulations of the innate as well as the adaptive immune system underlie the higher risk for severe courses of patients with diabetes mellitus. On the other hand side, COVID-19 impacts also on preexisting diabetes mellitus with alterations of the glucose metabolisms, which lead to severe metabolic complications. Endothelial dysfunction, which goes along with aging, decline of sex hormones, increased number of endothelial microparticles, an inflammatory immune state and reactive oxygen species, might among other factors represent a risk factor for patients with cardiovascular diseases. SARS-CoV-2 might also enter cardiomyocytes, which express ACE2 and induce myocardial damage.

Senescence of the immune system with lower antigen response of T and B cells from elder individuals as well as weaker effector functions and limited number of CD8+ memory cells capable to react do different pathogens might among other factors play a role for attenuated virus clearance and lower number of anti-inflammatory cytokines and mediators, innate immune regulatory proteins, or regulatory cell mechanisms in higher age groups.

2.2.2 | ACE2 distribution and expression

One reason besides a higher number of comorbidities and drugs take in the group of elder patients might be that ACE2 expression increases with age.

In a study on patients with asthma, male gender, African Americans race, and history of diabetes mellitus were associated with higher expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in sputum cells of patients with asthma.

Higher expression of ACE2 and CD147-related genes in bronchial biopsies, bronchoalveolar lavage, or peripheral blood cells was observed in patients with asthma, COPD, hypertension and obesity, as well as in smokers and males.

2.2.3 | Gender and sex hormones

Circulating plasma levels of ACE2 have been demonstrated to be higher in men with heart failure than in women and might indicate higher tissue expression of the SARS-CoV-2 entry receptor in man as a risk factor for more fatal courses of COVID-19. The expression of ACE2 in the myocard is regulated by androgen in mouse models. Male mice with hypertension had higher ACE2 expression, which was reduced after orchietomy. These data indicate that testosterone might negatively impact on the risk for COVID-19.

Whether gender differences in terms of nature and frequency of the skin lesions exist, needs to be elucidated in further studies.
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However, several gender differences in relation to virus responses and responses to viral vaccines have been described in the past. These include higher susceptibility of men to infectious diseases or stronger antibody titers inducible by several different types of vaccines in women as opposed to men.\textsuperscript{46,47} Moreover, plasmacytoid dendritic cell-mediated type I IFN responses have been demonstrated to be stronger in women than in men, another factor of putative importance for viral clearance even in the context of SARS-CoV-2 infections.\textsuperscript{46,47} Higher susceptibility, need for hospitalization, longer duration of the disease, and higher rate of mortality in men versus women have been also described for other virus infections such as with influenza virus.\textsuperscript{48} Together, these observations point to SARS-CoV-2 specific as well as general differences in viral immunity in man versus women.

\subsection*{2.2.4 | Ethnic and genetic risk factors}

Patients with blood group A type are more susceptible to severe courses of COVID-19 and association with genetic variations in a gene cluster on chromosome 3p21.31 detected in a genome-wide association study of severe COVID-19 cases with respiratory failure imply a genetic risk of this group. In the same study, a protective effect in blood group 0 type was observed.\textsuperscript{49}

Another reason might be the presence of allelic variants interfering with the binding of the S protein of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2 and putative protection of the carriers from SARS-CoV-2 infection.\textsuperscript{50} In contrast, higher allele frequencies of variants in the \textit{ACE2} coding gene region, which go along with higher ACE2 expression in the tissue, have been observed in populations from East Asia\textsuperscript{51} and might indicate a specific risk, related to ethnic groups.

\section*{2.3 | Skin lesions observed in relation to SARS-CoV-2}

Besides the typical symptoms described above, more and more reports about skin lesion and lesions of the vascular system observed in patients tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 and patients suspected to be infected by SARS-CoV-2 are coming up in countries all over the world.
The percentage of patients with skin lesions varies from lower than 1% to up to 20% of all SARS-CoV-2 patients and has to be estimated exactly in systematic studies on this issue.

The spectrum of skin lesions in SARS-CoV-2 patients described and published so far contain skin lesions occurring in other infectious and viral diseases such as maculopapular exanthema, vesicular exanthema, or urticarial eruptions. Furthermore, the skin lesions might also be related to virus-induced or indirectly induced vascular dysfunctions, such as livedo reticularis, petechiae, or cutaneous acro-ischemia. Chilblain lesions have been described as well, but a direct relation to SARS-CoV-2 infection is questionable. Considering frequency, most reports exist on maculopapular exanthema/vesicular exanthema and urticarial eruptions. Fewer reports exist on acro-ischemia or livedo reticularis and other skin lesions.

Based on the largest collection of skin lesions from patients from Spain, lesions have been recently categorized into five main groups summarized in Figure 4A-E.

**TABLE 2** Histologic characteristics of infectious exanthemas (adapted from)

| Location              | Histology                                                                 | Skin lesion                  |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Capillary endothelium | Damage of vessels, endothelial swelling, perivascular edema, hemorrhage   | Macules, papules, petechiae  |
| Dermis                | Edema, cellular infiltrate, hemorrhage, visualization of organism by electron microscopy | Papules, urticaria, purpura, vesicles |
| Epidermis             | Cytopathic effects of the virus, that is, inclusion, ballooning, vacuolar degeneration, necrosis | Papules, vesicles, ulcer     |
1. Vesicular eruptions, predate in about 15% COVID-19 symptoms or occur with symptoms, last 10-12 days and go along with COVID-19 with intermediate severity, patients affected are middle aged, and lesions are accompanied by moderate itch (Figure 4A).

2. Maculopapular exanthema manifests together with COVID-19 symptoms, often in more severe cases with a mortality rate up to 2%, lasts 7-9 days, and is in over 50% of the cases accompanied by itch (Figure 4B).

3. Urticarial eruptions occur at the same time as other symptoms in more severe COVID-19 cases are accompanied by itching and last 6-8 days (Figure 4C).

4. Livedo or necrosis and other vasculitis forms tend to occur in older and more severe cases, with relatively high mortality (up to 10%), and the onset was together with COVID-19 symptoms (Figure 4D).

5. Chilblain was observed in younger patients with mild or even asymptomatic courses or negative SARS-CoV-2 testing, the onset...
is late, duration 12-14 days and in one third are the lesions accompanied by pain and itch \(28,29\) (Figure 4E).

Since it is quite difficult to verify, in which context the skin lesions occur in terms of SARS-CoV-2 infections, we would like to summarize in the next few sections current knowledge about the pathophysiologic background of virus-induced and drug-induced lesions of the skin and the vascular system.

### 2.4 Possible pathogenic mechanisms involved for skin manifestations

#### 2.4.1 Viral rashes

Viral exanthema is defined as a skin rash, which is sometimes associated with an enanthem and goes along with fever and other systemic symptoms.\(^{66,67}\) In this context, it is important to notice that also the ACE2 receptor has been described to be expressed in the oral cavity,\(^{15}\) and lesions of the mucous membranes have not been reported so far to occur very frequently together with skin lesions in SARS-CoV-2-positive cases.

In principle, skin manifestation of viral infections can derive by:

- (i) direct inoculation of the virus,
- (ii) dissemination or reactivation of the virus from another site, or
- (iii) interaction of the virus with the immune system in general and related cellular and humoral immune responses including virus-specific lymphocytes and antibodies.\(^{66}\)

The onset of the skin lesions in relation to viremia and general symptoms can help to understand the pathophysiologic mechanisms behind the lesions in COVID-19 patients. In the case of skin lesions precede general symptoms or might even be the only sign for a putative infection, they might serve as important early indicators of the disease or indicator for asymptomatic virus carriers. On the other hand, skin symptoms, which occur quite late during infection or even after resolution of main symptoms, might indicate a lack of viral clearance and cascades of immune responses induced by the virus.

Viremia often predates the typical COVID-19 symptoms, and virus-specific virology might be both false negative and false positive due to cross-reactivity to other members of the coronavirus family, but could provide important information about acute or previous infection by the analysis of virus-specific IgM and IgG.\(^{68}\) Nucleotide testing of tissue specimens is highly sensitive and specific, but stability of samples and RNA, contamination, and other issues may occur.

### Table 3 Immunologic classification of (cutaneous) drug reactions (modified from\(^{96}\))

| Type | Specific IgE | Urticarial eruption with or without involvement of other organs |
|------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| I    | Specific IgE | Hemolytic anemia                                              |
| II   | IgG or IgM   | Serum sickness                                                |
| III  | IgG or IgM   | Monocyte activation                                           |
|     | Th1 (IFN-\(\gamma\)) | Eczema                                                        |
|     | Th2 (IL-4/IL-5) | Eosinophilic inflammation                                     |
| Type IVa | CTL Perforin/Granzyme B | Maculopapular exanthema, drug rush with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) |
| Type IVb | CD4/CD8 mediated killing of cells |                |
| Type IVc | CD4/CD8 cell activation | Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis (AGEP)              |
| Type IVd | Neutrophil activation | Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis (AGEP)              |
| Type IVe | Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis (AGEP) |                |
and limit information gained, so that even part of smear taken from the mouth remains false negative.  

**Virus-induced maculopapular exanthema**

Although skin biopsies are rarely taken from viral skin lesions, because the features observed are mostly not very specific, biopsies might be an option to differentiate viral exanthema from drug-induced exanthema in COVID-19 patients; in these cases, it is essential to do the differential diagnosis and rule out the pharmacological cause.

To distinguish infectious exanthema from exanthema induced by drug hypersensitivity reactions, histologic features and immunologic changes in the skin might help to diagnostically differentiate both entities.

Immunologic features of maculopapular virus exanthema known so far are summarized in Figure 5A. Typical histologic features of infectious exanthema induced by viremia or dissemination of infectious agents through the blood are shown in Table 2 and Figure 6A.

**Virus-induced vesicular eruptions**

Virus-induced vesicular eruptions are quite common for herpes simplex virus or varicella zoster virus-induced skin lesions in which the vesicles are caused by intraepidermal blister and epithelial necrosis. Either reticular degeneration of the epidermis or ballooning of keratinocytes might cause intraepidermal vesicle formation and (Table 2). Another example for virus-induced vesicular eruptions is caused by Coxsackie A16 virus, an enterovirus, which is responsible for Hand-foot-and-mouth diseases. Intraepidermal vesicles with reticular degeneration and ballooned cells are characteristic features. Papillary edema and mild perivascular infiltrates might be detectable as well. In all of these virus-induced skin eruptions, viral antigens are detectable in various cutaneous structures using different methods such as antibody staining, electron microscopy, or others.

Whether vesicular eruptions observed in part of the COVID-19 patients are caused by SARS-CoV-2, needs to be evaluated in further studies.

Some reports of erythemato-violaceous papules and patches with a pseudo-vesicle in the center, resembling erythema multiforme and an exanthem of the oral cavity in some of those patients during or after improvement of COVID-19 symptoms, exist. If those lesions are related to SARS-CoV-2 infection or drugs applied in this context, then both remain to be elucidated in further studies.

**Virus-induced urticarial rashes**

Urticaria and acute urticarial rashes go along with up to 50% of all cases with infections of the upper respiratory tract and are most often mediated by complement activation and serum sickness induced by viral antigens or secondary mechanisms, due to the interaction of the immune system with viral antigens. This could be also the case in part of the SARS-CoV-2-positive patients with urticarial eruptions (Figure 7).

### Table 4

| Common Cutaneous Drug Reactions |
|---------------------------------|
| **Common** | **Less common** | **Severe cutaneous drug reactions** |
| Maculopapular exanthems | Lichenoid eruptions | DRESS |
| Urticaria | Pityriasis rosea-like eruptions | AGEP |
| Purpura | Vasculitis |

Abbreviations: Dress, drug rash and eosinophilia and systemic symptoms; AGEP, acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis.

### Table 5

| Features of drug-induced exanthema (modified from) |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Lymphocytic exocytosis** |
| Perivascular lymphohistiocytic infiltrate with or without eosinophils |
| Interface changes (vacular degeneration of basal layer, apoptotic keratinocytes, exocytosis of lymphocytes), lichenoid, spongiotic, or psoriasiform changes |
| Papillary dermal edema |
| Extravasation of red blood cells |
| Elevated serum CRP and eosinophilia |

**Figure 9** (A) 58-year-old male patient diagnosed with COVID-19 and DRESS syndrome. (B) Histology (H&E stain) showing interface changes (vacular degeneration of the basal layer, apoptotic keratinocytes, exocytosis of lymphocytes) and spongiotic changes with hyperparakeratosis. Perivascular lymphohistiocytic infiltrate with admixture of few eosinophilic granulocytes. Mild extravasation of erythrocytes.
**Virus-induced vasculitis**

Infectious diseases are the cause of over 20% of cutaneous vasculitis.76 Most of them have a self-limiting course, but the involvement of other organs is possible.

Vasculitis can affect small, medium, and large vessels (Figure 8). Mechanisms might be type III or immune complex-mediated reactions to viral antigens or part of viral antigens. In this context, large immune complexes might precipitate and accumulate within vessels, a process leading to vascular injury (Figure 8A).77 Histologic features of vasculitis are an inflammatory infiltrate in wall of dermal or subcutaneous vessels (which can be neutrophilic, lymphocytic, or granulomatous), red blood cell extravasation, variable fibrinoid necrosis of vessel walls, and nuclear debris. Further on, deposits of immunoglobulin, complement, or fibrin in the vessel wall are detectable by direct immunofluorescence staining.78 Polyarthritis nodosa related to hepatitis B infection is one example for a vasculitis directly induced by a viral antigen.79 Interestingly, specific virus genotypes of hepatitis B and C virus have been identified, which are associated with particular forms of vasculitis, which explains in part why only a subgroup of virus-infected patients develops such cutaneous vascular reactions in some viral diseases.76

Cell-mediated hypersensitivity, in which exposure to viral antigens induce recruitment of lymphocytes, which release pro-inflammatory cytokines and further attract macrophages and more lymphocytes leading to tissue damage of the vessels, has also been described as a pathway in some infectious vasculitis types (Figure 8B).

Furthermore, abnormal immune regulation including different expression of adhesion molecules and cytokines in vascular endothelium by different virus-related processes as well as direct endothelial cell invasion of the virus (Figure 8C) or direct stimulation of the immune system by infectious agents might take place.78

Whether and which kind of virus-induced mechanisms of infectious vasculitis play a major role in SARS-CoV-2 vascular lesions is unclear, but immune complex-mediated mechanisms are likely to be of relevance.

Furthermore, since ACE2 expression has been described for endothelial cells,79,80 it is quite speculative but still conceivable at this time point—but even possible—that the virus directly interacts with endothelial cells leading to tissue damage and the resulting skin lesions.

In children, an association of COVID-19 with Kawasaki-like disease with mucocutaneous involvement, polymorphic rash, erythema of the palms and soles, firm induration of hands or feet, or both besides other symptoms of Kawasaki disease has been postulated.81 Kawasaki disease is classified a systemic vasculitis of medium size vessels (Figure 8) and pathogens including different virus types have been suspected as one causative factor in a rather multifactorial pathogenesis. Further studies are needed to demonstrate a correlation of Kawasaki disease with SARS-CoV-2 in larger patient groups and time courses.

### 2.4.2 | Previous skin diseases

Skin manifestations, such as urticaria, psoriasis, autoimmune diseases, or others, might result from the SARS-CoV-2-related reactivation or aggravation of preexisting skin diseases.

**Chilblain**

Idiopathic chilblain or also called acro-ischemic lesions, presenting as violaceous, infiltrated painful and sometimes even pruritic plaques on erythematous skin with predilection on the back of the toes or feet has been described to occur in a special group of SARS-CoV-2-positive suspected patients as well as asymptomatic or noninfected patients (Figure 4F).65,82 Histologic features of these lesions were typical for a lymphocytic vasculitis, with a superficial and deeper lymphocytic infiltration around vessels and close to eccrine glands, a papillary edema, vacuolar degeneration of the basal layer, and lymphocytic exocytosis to the epidermis. Red cell extravasation and focal thrombosis in papillary dermal capillaries as well as vessels of the reticular dermis were described in some reports as well.64,83,84

The pathologic pathways behind these lesions are still unknown and remain to be elucidated. If it is related to SARS-CoV-2, infection remains to be demonstrated. Two recent studies hypothesize that Chilblain is not directly associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19.85,86

### 2.4.3 | Cutaneous drug reactions

Cutaneous drug reactions are classified into immediate and nonimmediate drug hypersensitivity reactions. The latter have been subclassified into five groups based on the type of immune mechanisms in the foreground (Table 3). Part of the skin and vascular lesions are most likely related to drug hypersensitivity reactions.

**Drug-induced urticarial rashes**

Urticaria and urticarial rashes belong at least in part to cutaneous type I (IgE-mediated) drug hypersensitivity reactions (Table 3) (Figure 7). Other mechanisms involved in drug-induced urticarial rashes are direct mast cell activation, immune complex formation/precipitation (Figure 7) and activation of complement during serum sickness, or interaction with metabolic pathways of drugs such as arachidonic acid metabolism.87 Urticaria or urticarial rashes in SARS-CoV-2 patients could be drug-induced, induced by viral RNA or a mixture of both. Infection-associated reactivation or exacerbation of preexisting urticaria might be possible as well.

**Drug-induced maculopapular exanthema**

In general, about 7% of hospitalized patients develop drug hypersensitivity reactions of variable severity, and exanthema occurs in 2%-3% of these cases.88,89

Both, drugs and metabolites of drugs might elicit exanthematous drug hypersensitivity reactions (Table 4).
Maculopapular exanthema with eosinophilia has been described in COVID-19 patients. Some skin lesions observed in COVID-19 patients might result from cutaneous type IV (T-cell mediated) drug hypersensitivity reactions. Typical immunologic characteristics of maculopapular eruptions are summarized in Figure 5B (type IVb) and 5C (type IVc), and histologic features are summarized in Table 5 and shown in Figure 6B. The most common histologic feature of drug-induced maculopapular exanthema is a perivascular dermal lymphohistiocytic infiltrate with or without infiltration of eosinophilic granulocytes. The epidermis can be normal or exhibit interface changes with vacuolar degeneration of the basal layer, apoptotic keratinocytes, and exocytosis of lymphocytes can be present. Additional features can be edema in the upper dermis, extravasation of red blood cells, and dilatation of blood vessels. It is often impossible to histologically distinguish viral and drug-induced exanthema. Lichenoid—and less frequently—spongiotic or psoriasiform pattern of reactions may be related to maculopapular drug reactions. Sometimes even systemic eosinophilia and elevated CRP levels might occur. Typical immunologic characteristics of maculopapular eruptions are summarized in Figure 5B (type IVb) and 5C (type IVc), and histologic features are summarized in Table 5 and shown on Figure 6B.

Severe cutaneous adverse drug reaction

There are COVID-19-positive cases severe cutaneous adverse drug reactions including DRESS (drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms) described, which is a severe cutaneous drug reaction with nonspecific histologic findings (Figure 9A,B). The diagnosis is based on a combination of clinical symptoms and laboratory parameters including frequently occurring hepatic abnormalities, eosinophilia and exanthema with facial swelling, and lymphadenopathy. The culprit drug should be stopped immediately upon identification.

2.4.4 | Drug-induced vasculitis

Drug hypersensitivity reactions with changes of the vasculature or vascular pathways such as vasculitis, livedo racemosa, or purpura represent a proportion of 10%-20% of cutaneous reactions to drugs and can occur relatively late during or even after drug exposure, but the time of onset to the related drug varies and depending on the causative drug.

Numerous drugs can induce vasculitis, which manifests primarily as cutaneous vasculitis, mediated as III hypersensitivity reactions (Table 3), that is, immune complex deposits with antigen excess in arteries, arterioles, venules, or capillaries (Figure 8). It is of notice that some drugs might also induce antibody production.

Drug-induced vasculitis includes leucocytoclastic or hypersensitivity vasculitis, necrotizing vasculitis, panarteritis nodosa-like vasculitis and others.

Histologic features of vasculitis are an inflammatory infiltrate in the wall of dermal or subcutaneous vessels (which can be neutrophilic, lymphocytic, or granulomatous), red blood cell extravasation, variable fibrinoid necrosis of vessel walls, and nuclear debris.

The number of drugs, which have been reported to be applied to COVID-19 patients all over the world, is high and ranges from specific antiviral drugs, antiphlogistics, antibiotics, anti-coagulants, and immunosuppressive and immunoregulatory drugs. All of these drugs are approved and tested for other infectious diseases or entities so that not much experience about putative side effects in COVID-19 patients exists and knowledge gained about skin lesions induced by drugs applied during other diseases can only be used and transferred to speculate on this issue. Leucocytoclastic vasculitis has been described in a patient with severe COVID-19 recently.

Summing up, COVID-19 skin manifestations could be caused for each one or a mixture of the components mentioned above, that is, reaction to the infection, drug hypersensitivity reaction, preexisting immune state of the skin/immune system, combined with an impaired general health condition, and other co-factors such as age of the individual. Therefore, it is important to discuss also putative additional trigger factors related primarily to the susceptibility to infection with SARS-CoV-2 and the risk to undergo severe courses of COVID-19 as an indirect factor predisposing subsets of patients to skin and vascular lesions.

3 | CONCLUSION

Recent observation of involvement of the skin and the vasculature in subgroups of SARS-CoV-2-infected patients illustrates the need for a precise stratification and differential diagnostic evaluation of those patients in order to gain more insight into mechanisms of this novel virus. So far, pathophysiologic mechanisms behind the skin lesions occurring in the context of COVID-19 are speculative, could be related to the virus, drugs, and other co-factors. Knowledge from other viral infections and drug-induced lesions of the skin and vascular system might be transferred, and observations on SARS-CoV-2 patients systematically evaluated to rapidly increase our knowledge on this issue. For mild cases of COVID-19, skin lesions can be a diagnostic hint; for severe cases, due to multiorgan involvement interdisciplinary approach is of utmost importance.
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