Quantum Monte Carlo calculations of van der Waals interactions between aromatic benzene rings

Sam Azadi∗
Department of Physics, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, United Kingdom

T. D. Kühlne
Department of Chemistry and Paderborn Center for Parallel Computing,
University of Paderborn, Warburger Str. 100, D-33098 Paderborn, Germany and
Center for Sustainable Systems Design and Institute for Lightweight Design with Hybrid Systems,
Warburger Str. 100, D-33098 Paderborn, Germany
(Dated: August 7, 2018)

The magnitude of finite-size effects and Coulomb interactions in quantum Monte Carlo simulations of van der Waals interactions between weakly bonded benzene molecules are investigated. To that extent, two trial wave functions of the Slater-Jastrow and Backflow-Slater-Jastrow types are employed to calculate the energy-volume equation of state. We assess the impact of the backflow coordinate transformation on the non-local correlation energy. We found that the effect of finite-size errors in quantum Monte Carlo calculations on energy differences is particularly large and may even be more important than the employed trial wave function. Besides the cohesive energy, the singlet excitonic energy gap and the energy gap renormalization of crystalline benzene at different densities are computed.

I. INTRODUCTION

In his original work of 1930, Fritz London introduced the fragment-based approach to van der Waals interactions. As a consequence, the dispersion energy between two spherical objects A and B can be calculated using second-order perturbation theory for the Coulomb interactions. As a consequence, the dispersion energy between two spherical objects A and B can be calculated using second-order perturbation theory for the Coulomb interactions. In its general form, the vdW energy \( E_{vdW} \) can be written as

\[
E_{vdW} = - \sum_{n=6,8,10,...} f_n(R_{AB}, r_{c,AB}) \frac{C_n}{R_{AB}^6}, \tag{1}
\]

where \( f_n(R_{AB}, r_{c,AB}) \) is a damping function, which depends on a cutoff distance \( r_{c,AB} \), whereas \( R_{AB} \) is the distance between two fragments. The damping function attenuates the vdW energy for small values of \( R_{AB} \), where the electron clouds around fragments overlap. The dipolar term \( C_6/R_{AB}^6 \) of the London expression has been widely used for computing the vdW interactions within approximate first-principles electronic structure techniques, including Hartree-Fock (HF) and density functional theory (DFT) based methods. Although, this description of vdW energy is particularly accurate in the far-field for which the overlap between orbitals of the fragments is small, it is nevertheless very practical to improve the accuracy of generalized gradient approximation (GGA) exchange and correlation (XC) functionals. In fact, it has been demonstrated that the inclusion of long-range dispersion effects systematically improves the description of non-local intermolecular interactions.

The so-called vdW-DF approach has been extensively used to correct conventional local and semi-local GGA XC functionals. Therein, the XC energy is generally expressed as \( E_{XC} = E_X^{GGA} + E_{C}^{LDA} + E_{C}^{non-local} \), where \( E_X^{GGA} \) is the exchange term from a given GGA XC functional. The local correlation energy \( E_C^{LDA} \), however, is identical for most vdW functionals. By definition, the non-local part of the correlation energy \( E_{C}^{non-local} \) does not suffer from the Coulomb self-energy of each electron. Hence, XC self-interaction errors in vdW-DF schemes are mostly related to the corresponding exchange part. Similar to semi-local GGA XC functionals, the results of vdW-DF approaches depend on the employed \( E_X^{GGA} \) functional.

There are many non-covalent systems, where the accuracy of DFT falls short of requirements. In particular, if the problem is to distinguish between molecular crystal phases and competing low-energy polymorphs. As a simple molecular system, the energy differences between crystalline benzene and its polymorphs under pressure are less than a few kJ/mol. It has been demonstrated that the use of \textit{ab initio} electron wave function methods is essential to tackle this problem.

Interestingly, the similarity between Schrödinger’s equation in imaginary-time and the diffusion equation suggest to employ a stochastic diffusion-based process for solving the many-body Schrödinger equation. In fact, quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) which is family of stochastic methods for solving the Schrödinger equation, is becoming an effective approach for investigating vdW interactions. In particular, previous studies have shown that diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC) can provide accurate energies for atoms, molecules, and crystals with non-covalent interactions.

In order to mimic an extended system, QMC simulations of crystals are performed using finite simulation cells subject to periodic boundary conditions. Yet, practical and computational constraints restrict the maximum size of the simulation cell and so introduce finite-size (FS) errors, which can be rather large. The FS effects are larger in QMC than in mean-field methods because electrons are explicitly represented. Quantify-
ing and minimizing these errors is an essential part of all QMC simulations of extended systems, particularly when high accuracy is required.

In this work, we employ variational Monte Carlo (VMC)\cite{Kalos1987}, as well as DMC\cite{Wolff2010}, to study the Coulomb interaction between benzene rings in solid form with P6c\textview{\textsubscript{a}} symmetry. Crystalline benzene, due to its aromatic vdW interactions, is used as a structural model to study non-covalent interactions in solids. Specifically, we calculate the vdW energy between four benzene molecules in a periodic simulation cell. We use the fragment-based approach, where the only degree of freedom is the distance between the center of mass of the benzene molecules.

The energy gap of crystalline benzene has attracted a considerable amount of interest because of its importance in fundamental and applied science\cite{Kolmogorov2013}. The energy gap $E_g$ is defined as the difference between the ionization energy and electron affinity. The Coulomb interactions between molecules, which are packed in a crystalline phase, reduces the fundamental gap as compared to the gas phase. This renormalized energy gap effect is crucial in organic electronics, especially in charge transport. The gas-phase energy gap is typically several eV, which is rather large for practical applications. However, DFT based methods are unable to quantify the fundamental energy gap of solid benzene and to distinguish the gas-phase gap from that of the crystallized structure\cite{Kolmogorov2013}. Yet, the energy band gap of a molecular crystal, as determined by the GW approximation to many-body perturbation theory, is in agreement with experimental measurements\cite{Kolmogorov2013}.

We employ the DMC approach to accurately calculate the excitonic energy gap of crystalline benzene. Although the DMC method was initially developed to study ground-state properties only, it can also be applied to determine excited states spectra in atoms, molecules, and crystals\cite{Wolff2010}. The excitonic energy gap is smaller than the quasi-particle band gap, which is usually determined by the GW approximation. The reason is the attraction between the excited electron in the conduction and the introduced hole in the valence band. The exciton binding energy is defined as the difference between the quasi-particle and the excitonic energy gaps. When an electron is added to (removed from) a finite simulation cell with periodic boundary conditions, a periodic lattice of quasi-particles (quasi-holes) is created. The energy of this lattice of quasi-particles is similar to the Madelung constant of the simulation cell lattice and introduces a large FS error in the electron affinity and ionization potential. The FS error in the quasi-particle energy gap is much larger than the excitonic gap for which the number of electrons is fixed. When an electron is added to (removed from) a finite and periodic simulation cell in which the interaction between particles is controlled by the Ewald potential, a neutralising charge density background is applied which vanishes in the infinite system size limit. Hence, the quasi-particle band gap can be physically meaningful in the infinite system size limit.

The remaining of this manuscript is organized as follows. Section \ref{sec:computation} describes the details of our VMC and DMC calculations. The corresponding results are discussed in section \ref{sec:results} which is followed by our conclusions in section \ref{sec:conclusion}.

\section{Computational Details} \label{sec:computation}

The DMC method is a stochastic technique for calculating the zero temperature total electronic energy of a many-electron system\cite{Wolff2010}. Even though, DMC has been described in previous review articles\cite{Wolff2010, Wolff2010}, we will nevertheless start with a brief explanation of the general scheme since there are some technical aspects in this work we feel are rather important.

More precisely, the DMC method solves the imaginary-time Schrödinger equation

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \Psi(R, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \nabla^2_{r_i} \Psi(R, \tau) - (V(R) - E_T) \Psi(R, \tau),
\end{equation}

where $R = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{N_e})$ is a $3N_e$-dimensional vector representing the positions of all $N_e$ electrons in the simulation cell, $\tau$ is the imaginary-time, $V(R)$ is the potential energy including electron-electron interactions and $E_T$ is a constant energy offset. Throughout, Hartree atomic units are assumed, i.e. the numerical values of $\hbar$, $c$, $m_e$ and $4\pi\varepsilon_0$ are all identical to 1. As already alluded to above, the imaginary-time Schrödinger equation is similar to a $3N_e$-dimensional diffusion equation with diffusion constant $D = 1/2$. The potential energy term causes the diffusers to “branch” (multiply or die out) at a position dependent rate. The wave function $\Psi(R, \tau)$ is the number density of diffusers, which are normally known as walkers or configurations and are points in the $3N_e$-dimensional configuration space, not individual electrons. The DMC method employs this physical interpretation to simulate the imaginary-time evolution of the wave function using a finite population of diffusing and branching walkers.

By solving the imaginary-time Schrödinger equation, the electronic ground-state is projected out as $\tau \to \infty$. If the initial wave function is expanded as a linear combination of energy eigenfunctions $\Psi(\tau = 0) = \sum_i c_i \Psi_i$, the solution of the imaginary-time Schrödinger equation $\partial \Psi/\partial \tau = -(H - E_T) \Psi$ is

\begin{equation}
\Psi(\tau) = \sum_i c_i e^{-(E_i - E_T)\tau} \Psi_i.
\end{equation}

Thus, as long as $c_0 \neq 0$, the wave function $\Psi(\tau)$ becomes proportional to $\Psi_0$ as $\tau \to \infty$. By gradually adjusting $E_T$ to maintain the normalization of the solution in the large $\tau$ limit, we can find the ground-state energy $E_0$.

Nevertheless, a fundamental difficulty with this approach is that the wave function $\Psi(R, \tau)$, which is not necessarily positive, is interpreted as a walker density
that must be positive by its very definition. The naive application of the DMC algorithm to a many-electron system yields a totally symmetric many-boson ground-state of no physical interest. The so-called fixed-node approximation requires a trial wave function \( \Psi_T(\mathbf{R}) \), which imposes a fixed nodal constraint and hence prevents walker moves that cause \( \Psi_T \) to change sign. As long as \( \Psi_T \) is properly antisymmetric, this is sufficient to ensure that a fermionic solution is obtained. It can be shown that the energies calculated within the fixed-node approximation are variations, the result is greater than or equal to the many-fermion ground-state energy and tends to the exact energy as the \((3N_e-1)\)-dimensional nodal surface, on which \( \Psi_T = 0 \), approaches the ground-state nodal surface. Even though, assuming the fixed-node approximation is essential for DMC simulations of large systems, it is the only fundamental limitation of the method. Other approximations, such as the use of a finite time-step or the representation of ions by pseudopotentials, can be made negligible or fully avoided given sufficient computer time.

The diffusion and branching process as described above is unstable in practice since the potential energy \( V(\mathbf{R}) \) diverges whenever electrons approach nuclei or each other, leading to an uncontrollable branching. This problem, however, can be overcome using an importance-sampling technique. To that extent, the imaginary-time Schrödinger equation is rewritten in terms of the quantity \( f(\mathbf{R}, \tau) = \Psi_T(\mathbf{R})\Psi(\mathbf{R}, \tau) \) to obtain

\[
\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{R}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_R \cdot [ \nabla_R f(\mathbf{R}, \tau) - \nabla_R \cdot [V(\mathbf{R}) f(\mathbf{R}, \tau)] - \{E_L(\mathbf{R}) - E_T\} f(\mathbf{R}, \tau),
\]

where \( \nabla_R = (\nabla_{r_1}, \nabla_{r_2}, \ldots, \nabla_{r_{N_e}}) \) is the \( 3N_e \)-dimensional gradient operator, \( \nabla_R \cdot \nabla_R \) is the corresponding Laplacian, \( V(\mathbf{R}) = \nabla_R \ln |\Psi_T(\mathbf{R})| \) is the \( 3N_e \)-dimensional drift-velocity vector and \( E_L(\mathbf{R}) = (1/|\Psi_T(\mathbf{R})|) \hat{H} \Psi_T(\mathbf{R}) \) is the local energy. The importance-sampled imaginary-time Schrödinger equation corresponds to a diffusion process similar to that discussed above, except that the walkers now drift with velocity \( v(\mathbf{R}) \), as well as diffusing and branching. The branching rate is determined by the shifted local energy \( E_L(\mathbf{R}) - E_T \) instead of the shifted potential energy \( V(\mathbf{R}) - E_T \). If the trial function is a good approximation to the ground-state, the local energy is a smooth function of \( \mathbf{R} \) and the numerical difficulties caused by divergences in \( V(\mathbf{R}) \) are bypassed. The fixed-node approximation is imposed by rejecting walker moves that change the sign of \( \Psi_T(\mathbf{R}) \).

In this work, the CASINO code\cite{casino} was used to perform DMC simulations with a trial wave function of the Slater-Jastrow (SJ) form

\[
\Psi_{SJ}(\mathbf{R}) = \exp[J(\mathbf{R})] \det[\psi_n(r_i^\uparrow)] \det[\psi_n(r_j^\downarrow)],
\]

where \( \mathbf{R} \) is a \( 3N \)-dimensional vector containing the positions of all \( N \) electrons, \( r_i^\uparrow \) the position of the \( i \)’th spin-up electron, \( r_j^\downarrow \) the position of the \( j \)’th spin-down electron, \( \exp[J(\mathbf{R})] \) the Jastrow correlation factor, while \( \det[\psi_n(r_i^\uparrow)] \) and \( \det[\psi_n(r_j^\downarrow)] \) are Slater determinants made of spin-up and spin-down one-electron wave functions. These orbitals were obtained from PBE-DFT calculations performed with the CASTEP plane-wave code\cite{castep} in conjunction with Trail-Needs Dirac-Fock pseudopotentials\cite{trail-needs}. For the purpose to approach the complete basis set limit\cite{complete-basis-set}, a large energy cut-off of 4000 eV have been chosen. The resulting plane-wave orbitals were subsequently transformed into a localized blip polynomial basis\cite{blip}. Our DMC results were obtained using a real \( \Gamma \)-point wave function.

The Jastrow correlation factor within Eq.\cite{jastrow} is a positive, symmetric, explicit function of interparticle distances in the form of:

\[
J(r_i, r_j) = \sum_{I=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=j+1}^{N} u_1(r_{ij}) + \sum_{I=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=j+1}^{N} u_2(r_{ij}) + \sum_{I=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=j+1}^{N} u_3(r_{ij}, r_{ij}'),
\]

where \( N, M, r_i, \) and \( r_j \) are the number of electrons, number of ions, the position of electron \( i \) and the position of nucleus \( I \), whereas \( r_{ij} = r_i - r_j \) and \( r_{ij}' = r_i - r_j \). The polynomial one-body electron-nucleus (1b), two-body electron-electron (2b) and three-body electron-nucleus-atom-electron (3b) terms are denoted as \( u_1(r_{ij}), u_2(r_{ij}) \) and \( u_3(r_{ij}, r_{ij}'), r_{ij} \), respectively.

We also studied the contribution of nondynamical correlation by including the inhomogenous backflow (BF) coordinate transformation into the SJ wave function\cite{jastrow}. Our BF transformation includes electron-electron correlation factor as well as electron-proton terms and is given by

\[
X_i(\{r_j\}) = r_i + \xi_i^{(e-c)}(\{r_j\}) + \xi_i^{(e-P)}(\{r_j\}),
\]

where \( X_i(\{r_j\}) \) is the transformed coordinate of electron \( i \), which depends on the full configuration of the system \( \{r_j\} \). The vector functions \( \xi_i^{(e-c)}(\{r_j\}) \) and \( \xi_i^{(e-P)}(\{r_j\}) \) are the electron-electron and electron-proton backflow displacements of electron \( i \). They are parameterized as

\[
\xi_i^{(e-c)}(\{r_j\}) = \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ij}(r_{ij}) r_{ij}
\]

and

\[
\xi_i^{(e-P)}(\{r_j\}) = \sum_{I} \beta_{I}(r_{iI}) r_{iI},
\]

where \( \alpha_{ij}(r_{ij}) \) and \( \beta_{I}(r_{iI}) \) are polynomial functions of electron-electron and electron-proton distances, respectively, and contains variational parameters. In this way, the resulting backflow SJ (BSJ) is able to adapt the nodal
surface in order to recover the static correlation energy that is characteristic for multi-reference systems. All adjustable parameters in the Jastrow correlation factor and BF coordinate transformation are optimized by minimizing the variance, as well as the variational energy at the VMC level. The Kato cusp conditions are enforced so that the local energy is finite when two electrons or an electron and a nucleus are coincident. Specifically, the electron-electron cusp condition are imposed on the parameters of the Jastrow correlation factor and the electron-nucleus ones on the orbitals within the Slater determinant. Since the backflow coordinate transformation can modify the cusp conditions, we have constrained the backflow parameters so that they are not.

The excitonic energy band gap is determined by promoting an electron from a valence band state into a conduction band orbital at the Γ point. The singlet excited state was defined by promoting an electron without flipping its spin:

\[ \Delta_{\text{exc}} = E_1 - E_0, \]

where \( E_0 \) and \( E_1 \) are the DMC energies of the ground and excitonic states, respectively. The excitonic energy equals to the vertical optical absorption gap.

The FS errors are categorized into one- (independent particle) and many-body terms. The one-body term includes the non-interacting kinetic, potential, as well as Hartree energies. The one-body FS errors are much more important in metallic systems due to shell-filling effects. The single-particle errors in metallic systems are eliminated using canonical and grand-canonical twist averaging boundary conditions. Many-body FS errors are due to the exchange and correlation effects within the Coulomb and kinetic energies and can not be removed by twist averaging. Crystalline benzene is a wide band gap insulator, which is why many-body FS effects are the main source of errors in our DMC calculations. Hence, we investigate the influence of these FS errors on the vdW interaction between aromatic benzene rings. There are different approaches to reduce or cancel many-body errors. The most widely used and perhaps oldest approximation is extrapolating to the infinite system size limit, which however is computationally rather expensive for the large simulation cells that are studied here. We therefore analyze two alternative methods to correct for many-body FS errors in our DMC simulations. Specifically, we apply the structure-factor-based approaches proposed by Chiesa et al., which allows to estimate the effect of many-body FS errors in the potential and kinetic energies based on the random phase approximation. The main assumption in this approach is that the low-\( k \) behaviour of the structure factor is independent of the shape of the simulation cell. Here, we first apply the standard Ewald form of the periodic Coulomb interaction and Chiesa’s FS corrections for both, the kinetic (\( \Delta \text{KE} \)) and potential (\( \Delta \text{PE} \)) energies. Second, we employ the Model Periodic Coulomb (MPC) interaction to deal with the Coulomb errors. Our DMC results obtained with both of these approaches are expected to be similar.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The orthorhombic simulation cell with \( \text{Pbca} \) symmetry contains four benzene molecules and 120 electrons. More precisely, we are considering 10 different simulation cell sizes, whose volumes are between \( V_s = 336.4334 \text{Å}^3 \) and \( V_l = 3691.9989 \text{Å}^3 \), respectively. The volume of the simulation cells was varied in such way that the distance \( R \) between the center of mass of the benzene molecules is a linear function of \( r_S \) (electronic Wigner-Seitz radius), as it is shown in Fig. 1. The relative configurations of the benzene molecules and their geometries were fixed.

In total, there are 151 and 225 variational parameters in our SJ and BSJ wave functions, respectively. We first optimized the expansion coefficients of the Jastrow correlation factor of the SJ wave function. The optimized coefficients were subsequently used to also optimize of cutoff length \( r_{ij}^C \) as linear functions of \( R \).

FIG. 1. (Color online) (Top) The smallest and largest simulation cells with volumes \( V_s = 336.4334 \text{Å}^3 \) and \( V_l = 3691.9989 \text{Å}^3 \), respectively, and which are used to calculate the vdW interactions between the benzene molecules. (Bottom) Wigner-Seitz radius \( r_S \) and cutoff length \( r_{ij}^C \) as linear functions of \( R \).
parameters together. We found that the described optimization procedure produces an accurate wave function for DMC calculations. The two-body $u_2(r_{ij})$ term consists of a power expansion in $r_{ij}$ and goes to zero at the cutoff length $r_{ij}^{C}$. We found that our optimized values for $r_{ij}^{C}$ represent essentially a linear function of $R$.

The resulting non-local vdW energy curves between four benzene molecules, which are calculated using the Ewald and MPC interactions, are illustrated in Fig. 2. The DMC data points are reported in the Supplementary Information. An advantage of the MPC approach is that it reduces FS errors arising from the use of the Ewald interaction. As an alternative to the MPC scheme, many-body contributions to the FS errors can also be minimized using the FS correction to the exchange-correlation ($\Delta$PE) and kinetic ($\Delta$KE) energies. The total energy of the system at the largest separation is considered as the zero reference. We would like to emphasize that in our approach, the size-consistency problem within binding energy calculations is avoided. The results of the BSJ wave function with the Ewald and MPC interactions are also shown in Fig. 2. As can be seen, employing the MPC interaction corrects the Coulomb FS errors, but not the many-body FS error of the kinetic energy. Also, the binding energy curve, as calculated by SJ-Ewald+$\Delta$PE scheme, agrees well with the corresponding SJ-MPC results. Even though, the magnitude of $\Delta$KE is smaller than that of $\Delta$PE, combining both FS correction techniques entails the largest contribution. Interestingly, the results using the SJ and BSJ wave functions are remarkably similar with each other. The Ewald+$\Delta$KE+$\Delta$PE results are in excellent agreement with the DMC energies which are calculated using MPC+$\Delta$KE. Note that the higher-order kinetic energy corrections defined according to Eq. (55) in Ref. are included in $\Delta$KE. The DMC energy curves were all fitted to $C_n + C_6 r_{ij}^6 + C_8 r_{ij}^8$, where $C_n$ with $n = 6, 8, 10, ...$ are fitting parameters and $R$ is the smallest distance between the center of mass of the benzene molecules.

![Relative Energy (kJ/mol)](image)

FIG. 2. (Color online) Relative DMC energy as calculated using the Ewald, and MPC potentials and SJ, and BSJ wave functions. The data points are fitted to $C_n + C_6 r_{ij}^6 + C_8 r_{ij}^8$, where $C_n$ with $n = 6, 8, 10, ...$ are fitting parameters and $R$ is the smallest distance between the center of mass of the benzene molecules. The reference line is the DMC energy at the largest separation.

| Approach                  | Energy       | Reference |
|---------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| DMC SJ Ewald              | -125.4±9.6   | this work |
| DMC SJ MPC                | -57.9±9.6    | this work |
| DMC SJ Ewald+$\Delta$PE   | -57.9±9.6    | this work |
| DMC SJ Ewald+$\Delta$KE   | -86.8±9.6    | this work |
| DMC SJ Ewald+$\Delta$PE+$\Delta$KE | -29.0±9.6 | this work |
| DMC SJ MPC+$\Delta$KE     | -29.0±9.6    | this work |
| DMC BSJ Ewald             | -135.1±9.6   | this work |
| DMC BSJ MPC               | -67.5±9.6    | this work |
| DMC SJ MPC                | -52.1±0.4    | 36        |
| Estimated Experiment at 0K| -55.3±2.2    | 18        |
| Quantum Chemistry (CCSD(T,Q)) | -55.9±0.76±0.1 | 18 |
| B3LYP-D Grimme/6-31G(d,p) | -48.2±20.1   | 66        |
| B3LYP-D Grimme/TZP        | -46.5±1.9    | 66        |
| B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)          | -5.8±8.9     | 66        |
| CCSD(T)/CBS               | -56.4        | 67        |
| Typical experimental values | -43 to -47   | 69        |
| DFT LDA                   | -57.00       | 70        |
| EXX/RPA (LDA)             | -44.00       | 70        |
| DFT PBE                   | -9.60        | 70        |
| EXX/RPA (PBE)             | -47.00       | 70        |
| DFT/LDA+B                 | -34          | 71        |
| DFT/LDA+B+LYP             | -65          | 71        |

TABLE I. The cohesive energy of crystalline benzene as obtained by different DMC approaches at 0 K. All energies are in kJ/mol. The quantum mechanical zero-point energy contribution, which amounts to 2.8 kJ/mol, is not included in our results.
wave function. Recently, the DMC method has been applied to calculate the EOS of molecular crystal\cite{39,40}. In particular, the authors calculated the lattice energy of crystalline benzene using: $E_{\text{latt}} = E_{\text{cryst}} - E_{\text{gas}}$, which is listed in Table \ref{table1}. Our results that are obtained using the MPC potential agree well with those recently reported ones.

The singlet DMC excitonic gaps, which were obtained at different densities using the SJ and BSJ wave functions, are shown in Fig. 3. The highest density (smallest $R$) corresponds to crystalline benzene at 8 GPa pressure, while the lowest density (largest $R$) mimics the gas phase. On the one hand, the energy gaps computed by means of HF theory are typically too large due to the absence of electron-electron correlation. On the other hand, the band gaps calculated by conventional DFT methods are generally too small. In DMC simulations, however, multiplying the Slater determinant made up of HF or DFT orbitals, by a Jastrow correlation factor permits to retrieve a high amount of the correlation energy and results in energy gaps much closer to experiment. But, applying the Jastrow correlation factor does not alter the nodal surface of the trial wave function, which is determined by the Slater determinant. Nevertheless, introducing a BF coordinate transformation to the SJ wave function affects the nodal surface and improves the ability of DMC to recover nondynamical correlation energy. As we found, adding a BF coordinate transformation lowers the excitonic energy gap. When calculated by the GW approximation, the corresponding HOMO-LUMO gaps of benzene in the gas and crystal phases are 10.51 eV and 7.91 eV, respectively.\cite{22} By contrast, at the DFT-LDA level of theory, the electron addition and removal energies of benzene in the gas and crystal phases are 5.16 and 5.07 eV, respectively. For comparison, the experimental ionization potential of a benzene molecule is 9.25 eV.\cite{92} Using modified hybrid and constrained DFT calculations,\cite{93,94} the fundamental gap renormalization was previously found to be about $\sim 2$ eV. The SJ-DMC calculations predict that the energy gaps at optimized and largest R are 7.7(1) and 8.4(1) eV, respectively. The energy gaps which are obtained using BSJ-DMC simulations are 7.4(1) and 8.1(1) eV, respectively. We calculated the gap renormalization using the difference between energy gaps at optimized R and the largest R. Hence DMC excitonic energy gap results yield a gap renormalization of 0.7(1) eV.

However, DMC simulations of excitations spectra in solids are rather challenging due to an $1/N$ effect: the change in the total energy induced by an one- or two-particle excitation is inversely proportional to the number of electrons in the simulation cell. Thus, generally, a relatively large simulation cell is essential for a high-precision description of the infinite crystal. Yet, our dispersion energy curves demonstrates the importance to explicitly account for FS errors, in which case the interactions between benzene molecules is rather accurately described.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, the non-local vdW interactions between benzene molecules was studied by means of DMC simulations using the SJ and BSJ wave functions. We found that, when calculating energy differences, the results are much more affected by FS errors than generally appreciated. In the case of the cohesive energy, FS errors can be as large as 96 kJ/mol, which is much more pronounced than the impact of the BF coordinate transformation to include nondynamical correlation effects. In addition, we also calculated the singlet excitonic energy gap for benzene in the gas and solid phases. At variance to the cohesive energy, the inclusion of BF into the trial wave function entails a reduction of the excitonic band gap. Eventually, we also obtained a high accuracy estimation of the benzene gap renormalization.
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