Baseline of Physiological Body Temperature and Hematological Parameters in Captive *Rousettus aegyptiacus* and *Eidolon helvum* Fruit Bats
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The discovery of bats as reservoir hosts for a number of highly pathogenic zoonotic agents has led to an increasing interest of infectious disease research in experimental studies with bats. Therefore, we established breeding colonies of *Rousettus aegyptiacus* and *Eidolon helvum* fruit bats, which both have been identified as reservoir hosts for relevant zoonotic disease agents, such as Marburg virus and Lagos bat virus. Since 2013, individuals of both species have been recruited to the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut (FLI) from zoological gardens in Europe, to where these species had been introduced from the wild several decades ago. The aviaries have been designed according to national recommendations published by the Federal Ministry of Agriculture. Under these conditions, both species have been reproducing for years. To better understand the physiology of these animals, and to generate baseline knowledge for infection experiments, we monitored the body core temperatures of *R. aegyptiacus* bats in the aviaries, and found a circadian variation between 34°C and 41.5°C. We also determined the hematological parameters of both species, and detected specific differences between both bat species. For values of clinical chemistry, no correlation to age or sex was observed. However, species-specific differences were detected since ALT, BUN and CREA were found to be significantly higher in *R. aegyptiacus* and GLU and TP were significantly higher in *E. helvum* bats. A higher hematocrit, hemoglobin and red blood cell level was observed in subadult *R. aegyptiacus*, with hemoglobin and red blood cells also being significantly increased compared to *E. helvum*. Lymphocytes were found to be the dominant white blood cells in both species and are higher in female *E. helvum*. Neutrophil granulocytes were significantly higher in *E. helvum* bats. This underlines the necessity to define baseline profiles for each bat species prior to their use in experimental challenge.
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INTRODUCTION

Pteropodid bats have recently been identified as reservoir hosts for a number of infectious disease agents exhibiting high zoonotic potential, which can cause severe disease in humans and/or livestock animals (Han et al., 2015; Moratelli and Calisher, 2015). Due to their unique physiology, bats may propagate and disseminate disease agents at higher levels and over longer periods of time than most other mammals: Many bat species live in colonies that represent the largest intraspecific cumulation among mammals, thus facilitating interspecies transmission. Moreover, given their ability to fly (Calisher et al., 2006; O’Shea et al., 2014), to migrate over large distances of up to several thousand kilometers and their global distribution sparing only polar regions, bats have the prerequisite to efficiently spread infectious agents over large distances. Their body temperature may physiologically vary between below 20°C during torpor or hibernation, and above 41°C during flight, upon which the metabolism of a bat increases up to 34-fold (Thomas and Suthers, 1972; George et al., 2011; Hayman, 2016). This variability in body temperature may have facilitated the evolutionary adaption of bat-borne viruses to varying host body temperatures, thereby contributing to a high viral pathogenicity during a hyper- or hypothermic status of other mammals (O’Shea et al., 2014).

In recent years, the increasing overlap between human and wildlife habitats, caused by rapid progression of human invasion into numerous ecosystems, intensified agriculture, as well as ecological and climatic changes have resulted in considerably closer contact between humans, livestock, and wildlife such as bats, therefore leading to an increased risk of interspecies disease transmission (O’Shea et al., 2014; Plowright et al., 2015). As a result, many viruses which may have been circulating unnoticed in wildlife for many generations, were only recently discovered as pathogens affecting humans after unprecedented spillover events.

Although bats and their unique immunological traits have gained increasing scientific attention in recent years, a number of immunological mechanisms underlying their exclusive role as viral reservoirs remain enigmatic to date. Recent work has uncovered fundamental differences in immunity between bats and other species: Bats have the ability to efficiently respond to RNA virus infection since interferon (IFN) is constitutively expressed in steady state (Bondet et al., 2021). Moreover, the variation in body core temperatures may restrict viral replication, especially since the increased temperature during flight supports constitutive IFNA expression (Bondet et al., 2021; Fumagalli et al., 2021). This temperature-dependent expression of IFNs possibly leads to refined immune evasion strategies of bat-borne viruses, thereby increasing their pathogenicity in other species (Irving et al., 2021). Furthermore, sensing of viral DNA is dampened, as indicated by restrained inflammasome formation and function (Ahn et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2018; Ahn et al., 2019; Subudhi et al., 2019). It could be shown that this reduced inflammasome activity is a result of the unique chiropteran physiology, leading to constitutive activation of the heat shock response (HSR) (Chionh et al., 2019). As self-powered flight is an energetically demanding way of locomotion, associated with immense heat production by the wing muscles (Powers et al., 2015; Powers et al., 2017; Rummel et al., 2019), bats evolved towards elevated HSR induction. This is analogous to birds, but even more pronounced in bats, and subsequently leads to unparalleled viability of bat cells in high temperatures compared to their avian counterparts (Chionh et al., 2019). Probably the most striking fact is the general propensity of bats to harbor a plethora of highly pathogenic viruses while hardly displaying any clinical signs of disease. Zoonotic viruses originating from bats include lyssaviruses (Johnson et al., 2010), Ebola and Marburg viruses (Swanepoel et al., 2007), Hendra and Nipah viruses (Halpin et al., 2000), coronaviruses such as MERS-CoV and possibly SARS-CoV-2 (Zhou et al., 2020), and new viruses that are incessantly isolated from various species (Thomas and Suthers, 1972; Field et al., 2001; Calisher et al., 2006; Wang and Anderson, 2019). This viral richness indicates a long history of co-evolution between bats and bat-borne viruses (O’Shea et al., 2014). More specifically, the straw-colored fruit bat Eidolon helvum has been shown to carry lyssaviruses, esp. Lagos bat virus (LBV (Suu-Ire et al., 2017)), paramyxoviruses including henipaviruses (Hayman et al., 2008; Baker et al., 2012), flaviviruses, esp. Zika virus (Fagre et al., 2021), as well as adenoviruses (Ogawa et al., 2017). Antibodies against Ebola virus (Hayman et al., 2010; Hayman et al., 2012) and Crimean-Congo-hemorrhagic fever virus (Muller et al., 2016) have also been detected in this species. The Egyptian rousette bat, Rousettus aegyptiacus, has been identified as a reservoir of the marburgviruses, MARV and RAVN virus (RAVV), and other filoviruses (Towner et al., 2009; Amman et al., 2012; Paweska et al., 2012; Schuh et al., 2017), poxviruses (David et al., 2020), as well as paramyxoviruses such as Sosuga virus (Amman et al., 2015; Markotter et al., 2019; Amman et al., 2020). Interestingly, a role as natural reservoir of Ebola virus (EBOV) is unlikely for R. aegyptiacus, since experimental infection did not result in virus shedding (Jones et al., 2015; Paweska et al., 2016). For other viruses such as henipaviruses, a reservoir role has been assumed (Markotter et al., 2019), but further studies are needed to identify specific reservoir host-virus relationship. Therefore, both species are highly relevant for infectiology and zoonoses research, since gaining knowledge concerning the mechanisms of inter- and intraspecies transmission, immune response and pathogenic processes are essential for our understanding and risk perception. Husbandry and breeding of both bat species under defined hygiene conditions is highly relevant to prospectively address questions regarding their specific role in the replication and transmission of potentially zoonotic disease agents. However, only very few research institutions have the required facilities and conditions to house fruit bat breeding colonies in a species-appropriate manner. Therefore, the husbandry of Rousettus and Eidolon breeding colonies at the FLI in indoor aviaries, as well as the maintenance of these animals in specialized cages under experimental conditions in the biosafety level (BSL)-2 to BSL-4 facilities pose an exceptional infrastructure and are therefore described in this paper.

In recent years, a number of experimental challenge studies have been performed on these two species. For example, an experimental challenge of E. helvum with LBV revealed that
these animals develop clinical disease associated with meningoencephalitis after intramuscular challenge with a median infectious dose of 10^6 TCID_{50} (tissue culture infectious dose) (Begeman et al., 2020). Meanwhile, R. aegyptiacus bats have already been experimentally challenged with a number of different zoonotic viruses. Experimental infection with Nipah virus (NiV) demonstrated that this bat species does not support NiV replication (Seifert et al., 2020) which suggests that this bat species does not play a role in the transmission of henipaviruses in Africa. An experimental challenge of R. aegyptiacus bats with MARV confirmed the susceptibility of this species to infection with this virus, developing a very mild clinical disease upon challenge (Jones et al., 2019). In contrast, these bats were shown to be refractory to an infection with Ebola virus (Paweska et al., 2016). While these animals also seemed resistant to an infection with SARS-CoV (van Doremalen et al., 2018), a challenge of this species with SARS-CoV-2 resulted in virus propagation and shedding of low titers within the first 6 days (Schlottau et al., 2020). Experimental challenge with Sosuga virus, a human pathogenic paramyxovirus isolated from Rousettus bats in Uganda after transmission to a human patient (Amman et al., 2015), resulted in subclinical infection in these bats, supporting the hypothesis that this species may play a role as reservoir of this virus (Amman et al., 2020). Challenge of this species with the Rift Valley Fever Virus (RVFV) vaccine strain MP12 as well as the more virulent strain 35/74 confirmed this bat species’ general susceptibility to an infection with this virus, developing a subclinical infection and a short and limited shedding of virus within the first few days after challenge (Balkema-Buschmann et al., 2018) (Rissmann et al., unpublished data). Finally, experimental challenge of this species with a bat influenza H9N2 strain isolated from this species also resulted in a subclinical infection with a short period of virus shedding (Halwe et al., 2021). These studies confirm the general susceptibility of both bat species to infections with human pathogenic viruses, without causing clinical disease.

Both fruit bat species of interest for this manuscript feed on a variety of different fruits, supplemented by nectar and pollen from a variety of flowers. Occasionally, buds and leaves are also chewed and their juice is consumed. The ingestion of tree sap (Eidolon) and insects (Rousettus) has also been observed (Wilson et al., 2019). Both species can live up to over 20 years of age in captivity (Kwiecinski and Griffiths, 1999; Wilkinson and South, 2002; Weigl et al., 2005), and both species are polygynandrous. In general, Rousettus have two annual breeding seasons. After a gestation period of 115–120 days, one, rarely two pups are born. The pups become fully independent of their mothers after 9 months, but tend to stay within the colony their whole lives (Okia, 1987). The main breeding season of Eidolon occurs from April until June. In some populations, an embryonic diapause has been observed that lasts until October, followed by the birth of the usually single pup between February and March, to coincide with rainy and thus main fruit seasons. In other populations, the pups are born 4 months post mating, without any delay (Kingdon, 1984).

The distribution of both known species of the genus Eidolon is restricted to Africa. E. helvum is a medium-sized fruit bat species with the mean measurements of head-body: 150–195 mm, forearm 117–132 mm, and a median body weight of 230–350 g. Females are slightly smaller than males (Wilson et al., 2019). E. helvum is widespread in most regions of Sub-Saharan Africa (Solari and Baker, 2007). However, in Madagascar, Eidolon dupreanum occurs (Wilson et al., 2019). In many parts of its distribution area, E. helvum is a nomadic species, especially during non-breeding season (Cotterill, 2001). Depending on the seasonal availability of food, they may travel over up to 100 km per night (Fahr et al., 2015). While the majority of the population may be non-nomadic, some individuals will travel thousands of kilometers, following the local fruit and flower seasons. Another study using radio tracking of individual bats even revealed one individual moving 370 km in one night, while another individual travelled a cumulative 2,518 km in 140 days (Richter and Cumming, 2008). Interestingly, a genetic exchange seems to occur among colonies within the entire distribution range. Tropical forests are their main habitat where they roost in groups, with migratory movements into savannas, gallery and swamp forests up to 2,000 m above sea level (Wilson et al., 2019). Colony sizes usually range around 100 individuals, but locally and seasonally can comprise up to 8,000,000 individuals, which is one of the largest localized migration accumulation of individual specimens among non-human mammals (Sorensen and Halberg, 2001; Racey, 2004; Richter and Cumming, 2008). Roosts are mainly located in trees, where Eidolon bats roost freely on branches and trunks at heights of 6–20 m above ground in direct physical contact with conspecifics. Entrance areas of caves and rocks are also locally used as roosts. Eidolon colonies are regularly found roosting in proximity of human settlements, occasionally even in city centers. Eidolon bats do not echolocate. This fruit bats navigate mainly with the help of their very efficient eyesight and a well-developed sense of smell (Wilson et al., 2019). Eidolon bats forage at average distances of up to 59 km from their roost (Richter and Cumming, 2008).

The seven species of the genus Rousettus are mainly found in tropical Asia. R. aegyptiacus is the only representative species of its genus on the African mainland. It is a small to medium sized fruit bat, with head-body measurement of 138–192 mm, forearm 82–106 mm, and a median body weight of 81–171 g. Females are smaller than males. These fruit bats are abundant in a number of separated populations in Sub-Saharan Africa. They are also found in the Nile Valley, on Cyprus, along the south coast of Turkey and on the Arabian Peninsula (Solari and Baker, 2007). It is the most distant fruit bat species from the equator and with the Cypriot population, the only Pteropodid bat species endemic to Europe. R. aegyptiacus bats mainly inhabit underground spaces such as caves, mines and tunnels, as well as cellars and temples. Their distribution is influenced more by the availability of suitable roosting sites than vegetation associations. At least seasonally, individuals and small colonies also roost in trees with dense branches, especially palm trees. Inside caves they cluster in dark corners and crevices both on the ceiling and on the walls down to just above the ground. Their habitat ranges from tropical forests to arid regions. Especially populations in dry regions often live in the immediate vicinity or in the middle of human settlements, following the greater availability of food, water and roosts. The
animals form colonies that can include approximately 100 to many thousands (40,000 to 50,000) individuals (Wilson et al., 2019; Monadjem et al., 2020). While *R. aegyptiacus* bats show no extended migration behavior, many populations show seasonal migration following fruit abundance (some up to 500 km). Exchange of individuals between roosts occurs regularly (Jacobsen and Du Plessis, 1976; Wilson et al., 2019). These fruit bats spend the day in direct physical contact with conspecifics and regularly share their roosts with a number of other bat species. *R. aegyptiacus* bats use echolocation in their very dark roosts. In the field they navigate mainly using their very efficient eyesight and a good sense of smell (Wilson et al., 2019). Radio-tracked individuals were shown to fly about 24–25.5 km from their roosting cave to feeding sites, a journey that took 90 min (Jacobsen and Ferguson, 1985; Tsoar et al., 2011).

Since apparent clinical manifestations after viral infections are rarely observed in bats, the analysis of hematological and plasma biochemical values can be a useful tool to elucidate pathomechanisms and correlates of infection. Extensive field studies already demonstrated that viral infections of bats can be associated to alterations in the hematology and clinical chemistry (McMichael et al., 2015; McMichael et al., 2019), which highlights the added value of those analysis for future experimental setups. The composition of the blood and according biomarkers is affected by various intrinsic factors such as age and gender, as well as by external factors such as the season, time of day, food availability and quality etc. (Westhuizen, 1978; Minematsu et al., 1995; McMichael et al., 2015; McMichael et al., 2019). As described previously, most reliable data are therefore generated from populations that are consistently kept at the same diet and husbandry for a prolonged period to avoid impairment of variable extrinsic factors (Selig et al., 2016). Age-dependent variations of hematological and biochemical parameters were observed in diverse bat species, with elevated levels of alkaline phosphatase and decreased levels of hemoglobin, hematocrit, as well as white and red blood cells in pre-mature animals being the most consistent findings (Hall et al., 2014; McMichael et al., 2015; Edson et al., 2018; Olopade et al., 2020; Moretti et al., 2021). Additionally, although to a variable extent, different studies also reported sex-dependent characteristics such as higher white blood cells in females and higher alanine aminotransferase (ALT) values in males (McLaughlin et al., 2007; Hall et al., 2014; McMichael et al., 2015; Edson et al., 2018). For the analysis and interpretation of data from hematology and clinical chemistry, it is of utmost importance to be aware of both age-, sex- and species-dependent variations. Therefore, we determined the influence of these intrinsic factors by collecting blood from female and male, as well as adult (>2 years) and subadult *R. aegyptiacus* and *E. helvum* bats. Due to the limited number of captive breeding colonies of both species in research facilities worldwide, almost no data is available concerning the physiological parameters of these animals regarding body temperature, hematology, blood chemistry and immunology (see references in Table 2). Importantly, to date, only limited reports of clinical reference levels have been published. Blood from both healthy control and infected animals is routinely analyzed during viral pathogenesis studies, but determining significant hallmarks of the disease is very challenging without steady state values as a reference database for comparison purposes. Physiological baselines will provide better insight into the overall health of fruit bats and validate deviations from expected ranges as clinically relevant when they are used in experimental setups. We therefore established reference levels for body core temperature, hematology, clinical chemistry in these two healthy fruit bat populations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Establishment of Fruit Bat Breeding Colonies at the FLI**

Individuals of both fruit bat species were retrieved from zoological gardens in Europe. While most of these animals were adult and had already been reproductive, some sub-adult animals were also obtained. Prior to their transfer, the selected individuals were thoroughly examined, and swab samples were collected for an initial virological screening. No indication of infections with relevant pathogens (coronaviruses, paramyxoviruses, lyssaviruses, as well as bacterial pathogens that would have contradicted the introduction into the small animal breeding unit) were detected. Upon transfer, the animals were again monitored through repeated oral swab sampling, collection of fecal and urine samples, as well as through analysis of sentinel mice (C57Bl/6 and IFNAR−/−) that were kept for at least 2 weeks in close contact with the bats. The bat samples as well as tissues of the sentinel mice were analyzed for the above-mentioned agents. Again, no viral disease agents of concern were detectable in the colonies. However, the samples collected in the *E. helvum* animal room revealed a subclinical infection with *Klebsiella* and *Citrobacter* spp. All animals were individually tagged with a subcutaneous transponder (Virbac backhome, Bad Oldesloe, Germany) between the shoulder blades. For reading the ID in this system, the reader must be held in a maximal distance of 10–15 cm from the animal, which is in most cases only possible when the animal is caught.

**Husbandry**

The breeding colonies are kept in aviaries spanning 22 m² lined with a metal mesh ceiling with a mesh size of 1.5 cm at 2.30–2.50 m height, allowing the animals to hang from the ceiling in their physiological posture, in groups of up to 20 individuals. In the *R. aegyptiacus* aviaries, two to three bins with a diameter of 20–50 cm and coated with a fine metal mesh are positioned upside down from the ceiling as a retreat location for the animals. When entering the room, at least half of the animals are found in these buckets, showing the high acceptance of these hiding areas. In the corners of the room, plastic plants of 20 cm length hang from the ceiling at approx. 15 cm distance to the walls, again offering a hiding area. Two long ropes of 4 cm diameter cross the room at different heights to offer additional hanging and climbing options, especially during feeding (Figure 1A). This still offers the animals which are extremely skillful in maneuvering around obstacles, sufficient free space for flying in the aviary. Although the maintenance of
these colonies in captivity was conducted for >50 years, Rousettus individuals are very shy and will in most cases not allow a human to approach closer than 50–70 cm. The E. helvum aviary (Figure 1B) is equipped differently, since these animals do not use the bins as a retreat area. Here, jute bags are attached to the ceiling in all corners of the room at a distance of 20–25 cm. More of the 4 cm rope is hanging from the ceiling, since these animals rarely fly, giving them sufficient opportunities to climb. These animals are less shy, and some individuals will accept handfeeding with favored fruits such as banana or melon. At regular intervals, all animals are caught and inspected thoroughly for any possible injuries or other anomalies which might remain unnoticed without handling the animals individually. At that occasion, all offspring are tagged with an individual transponder (Virbac Backhome) for identification. During infection studies, up to three (Eidolon) or four (Rousettus) individuals are kept in stainless steel double cages (L x W x H 133 cm × 66 cm x 78 cm). A metal grid is mounted at the ceiling of the cages, allowing the animals to hang upside down (Figure 1C). A partition can be inserted for cleaning and during manipulation of the animals. Manipulations such as inoculation or blood sampling are carried out upon short isoflurane anesthesia. Bite-resistant gloves are worn when catching and manipulating the animals. Both species require similar climatic and nutritional conditions, i.e. room temperatures of 24–26°C and a humidity of >60%. The humidity level is maintained by rinsing the walls and floor daily during maintenance. The daylight cycle is from 6:00 to 18:00, with a 15 min dimming phase between day and night cycles.

**Determination of Body Temperature and Circadian Activity in R. aegyptiacus Bats**

In order to analyze the physiological oscillation of the body temperature in R. aegyptiacus, temperature transponders (Anipill transponders, BodyCap, France) were implanted in four individuals, which regularly transmitted the temperature to a receiver that was mounted in proximity of the animals. In this experiment, we implanted transponders subcutaneously between the shoulder blades, or intraperitoneally in two individuals each. These individuals were observed in the aviary for fluctuations in body temperature, and measurements were taken every 2 minutes for up to 57 days. We only included days where measurements were at least 2/3 complete, resulting in 17 individual measuring days for this group. Measurements in cages were obtained from six individuals, with measurements every 5 minutes over a period of up to 12 days (theoretically resulting in 72 individual daily measurements), out of which 29 days with at least 2/3 complete readings were included in the analysis. The subcutaneous implantation, as a less severe manipulation of the animal, which would for animal welfare aspects be the favorable method, will measure a slightly lower temperature than the body core temperature. The intraperitoneal implantation, requiring a more severe manipulation, will measure the actual body core temperature. In all cases, the implantation was performed under isoflurane anesthesia with an application of...
Meloxicam (Metacam®; Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany) 1 h prior to the surgery. Days on which the transponders were implanted and the first day of acclimatization of animals transferred into a cage were excluded from analysis to minimize stress-related variations. Due to the low number of E. helvum bats in our colony, this study involving invasive implantation of temperature transponders was only feasible in R. aegyptiacus bats.

To assess the circadian level of activity, a wildlife camera with automated activation upon locomotion activity was mounted in the aviary. The quantity of camera activation was used to determine the time zones of minimal and maximal activity. The activation times of an exemplary phase of 5 days was used to determine the time zones of minimal and maximal activity.

### Hematology and Clinical Chemistry

To obtain reference values for biochemical and hematological values of R. aegyptiacus and E. helvum, a total of 60 animals of both sexes and different ages were tested (Table 1). All sampling procedures were performed during the morning, generally between 9:00 and 11:00. This is also the time when maintenance activities (cleaning and feeding) are performed, so the animals are used to being disturbed at this time of the day. Blood was collected from the lateral wing vein of each anesthetized bat (2–5% isoflurane anesthesia) by using a 27-gauge needle. Collected blood was immediately transferred into serum (10 R. aegyptiacus bats) or EDTA microtainer tubes (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). Within a maximum of 3 hours after collection, blood was analyzed using the horse profile in a VetScan HM5 hematology analyzer (Abaxis, Union City, CA). The following hematological parameters were determined, with a full statistical analysis for the values determined for the parameters marked with an asterisk: white blood cell count (WBC*); neutrophil (NE*), lymphocyte (LY*), monocyte (MON), eosinophil (EO), and basophil (BA) percentages; red blood cell count (RBC*); hemoglobin (HGB*); hematocrit (HCT*); mean corpuscular volume (MCV); mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH); mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC); platelet count (PLT); plateletcrit (PCT), red cell distribution width (RDW); platelet distribution width (PDW) and mean platelet volume (MPV). After hematological analysis, whole blood was centrifuged (4,000 rpm, 10 min, 4°C) and plasma was stored at -20°C until further processing. All plasma samples as well as additional serum samples were tested on the VetScan VS.2 clinical chemistry analyzer (Abaxis) with the prep profile II, including alanine aminotransferase (ALT)*, alkaline phosphatase (ALP*), creatinine (CREA*), glucose (GLU*), total protein (TP*), and blood urea nitrogen (BUN*). For ten of the Roussetus bat samples, only the blood chemistry profile could be analyzed.

### Statistical Analysis

The body temperature of four individuals was continuously measured for 17 days in the aviary, and for six animals in the cages for 12 days. A 24-h interval for each animal was selected randomly for data analysis, to avoid any bias of multiple time points for each sample. Outliers were removed using the Smirnoff-Grubbs rejection test and for evaluating the normal distribution we used the D’Agostino-Pearson test. Data were analyzed using Prism (version 7.01, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, United States). Unpaired t-test was performed to assess the statistical significance of the determined clinical blood chemistry (ALT, BUN, TP, ALP, CREA, GLU; R.aegyptiacus, n = 40; E.helvum, n = 20) and hematology (HCT, HGB, LY, NE, RBC, WBC; R.aegyptiacus, n = 30; E.helvum, n = 20) values between both species. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (IBM Corp. Released 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, United States). p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

To assess a possible correlation between the clinical chemistry and hematology values and sex and age of the individuals, Spearman’s correlation test was applied (analysis was performed using SPSS software) with a significance level set at p-value < 0.05.

### RESULTS

#### Body Core Temperature and Circadian Activity of Captive R. aegyptiacus Bats

In the aviary, a body core temperature minimum of 32.5°C and a maximum of 41°C were measured from the intraperitoneally implanted transponders, while a minimum of 32°C and a maximum of 41.5°C were determined by the subcutaneously implanted transponders (Figure 2A). After animals were transferred into cages and were allowed an acclimatization phase of 7 days, the body core temperature measured by the intraperitoneal transponders reached a maximum of 40.5°C and a minimum of 35.5°C. On average the temperature was higher when animals are kept in the cages (Figure 2).

### Table 1 | Number of animals included in the study.

| Species             | n |
|---------------------|---|
| Roussettus aegyptiacus | 40* |
| male                | 8  |
| female              | 32 |
| adult               | 15 |
| subadult            | 25 |
| E. helvum           | 20 |
| male                | 13 |
| female              | 7  |
| adult               | 15 |
| subadult            | 5  |

10 animals were only analysed in blood chemistry.

**subadult**

Younger than 2 years.

### Table 1

| Species             | n | n |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Roussettus aegyptiacus | 40* | 20 |
| male                | 8  | 13 |
| female              | 32 | 7  |
| adult               | 15 | 15 |
| subadult            | 25 | 5  |
| E. helvum           | 20 | n |
| male                | 10 | 10 |
| female              | 3  | 5  |
| adult               | 2  | 2  |
| subadult            | 5  | 5  |

*10 animals were only analysed in blood chemistry.

**subadult**

Younger than 2 years.
FIGURE 2 | Body core temperature of *R. aegyptiacus* bats is dependent on the transmitter location. (A) The difference in determined body temperature of *R. aegyptiacus* bats is exemplarily shown for one bat with a subcutaneously implanted transponder (sensor n° 3), and one bat with an intraperitoneally implanted transponder (sensor n° 5, yellow). (B) The body temperature of individuals in the aviary (n = 4, a total of 17 individual measuring days with 11,495 values are depicted) and in a cage (n = 6, a total of 29 individual measuring days with 2,735 values are depicted) was determined. Low (≤35.5°C) and high temperatures (≥39°C) of all individuals are indicated in blue and red, respectively. One individual carrying an intraperitoneal transmitter is displayed separately for clarity purposes. Data represents several days of measurement of all individuals carrying a transmitter. Orange line represents the mean, ±SD displayed as shading. (C) Mean as well as maximum and minimum values of animals carrying a intraperitoneal transmitter are displayed. The temperature was assessed from October-February (aviary) and February-March (cage) and values are depicted from 12:00 to 24:00.
Although the cages were too small to allow active roaming of animals (caged animals failing to reach low body core temperatures, e.g., $\leq 35.5^\circ C$ like animals roaming the aviary). Comparative analyses revealed that this variation results from considerable smaller in caged animals and the mean temperature of the caged animals increased during the active phase (Figure 2B). However, the oscillation range was considerably smaller in caged animals and the mean temperature was higher in animals kept in a cage. Comparative analyses revealed that this variation results from caged animals failing to reach low body core temperatures, e.g., $32.5^\circ C$ like animals roaming the aviary (Figure 2C). Furthermore, analyses of the body core temperature pattern of one single individual in the aviary highlights the correlation between temperature increase and decrease in accordance with the circadian rhythm (Figure 2B, Figure 3). This coherence could also be detected for all observed animals in the aviary, although not as pronounced as for each individual. Once the aviary was illuminated (6:00 h), the colony engaged in roosting, which was accompanied by lower temperatures (35.5°C) prevailing during roosting, and higher temperatures (39°C) predominantly found during active phases. Strikingly, activation of a wildlife camera that was mounted in the aviary on lights off (both with dimming effect). Orange line represents the mean, ±SD displayed as shading. Overall, the body temperature pattern correlated with activity and roosting phases of the animals, with lower temperatures ($\leq 35.5^\circ C$) prevailing during roosting, and higher temperatures ($\geq 39^\circ C$) predominantly found during active phases. Strikingly, although the cages were too small to allow active flight, the body temperature of the caged animals increased during the active phase (Figure 2B). However, the oscillation range was considerably smaller in caged animals and the mean temperature was higher in animals kept in a cage. Comparative analyses revealed that this variation results from caged animals failing to reach low body core temperatures, e.g., $32.5^\circ C$ like animals roaming the aviary (Figure 2C). Furthermore, analyses of the body core temperature pattern of one single individual in the aviary highlights the correlation between temperature increase and decrease in accordance with the circadian rhythm (Figure 2B, Figure 3). This coherence could also be detected for all observed animals in the aviary, although not as pronounced as for each individual. Once the aviary was illuminated (6:00 h), the colony engaged in roosting, which was accompanied by lower temperatures (Figure 2B) and less activity (Figure 3). It can be assumed, that body core temperature and activity increase during the roosting phases are associated with husbandry. In addition, increase of activity and increase of body core temperatures correlated with lights being switched off in the aviary (18:00 h, Figure 2, Figure 3).

Analysis of the circadian activity as assessed by the automatic activation of a wildlife camera that was mounted in the aviary on five consecutive days revealed a clear increase in activity during the night, with the highest activity in the first 4 hours of darkness in the aviary (Figure 3).

**Clinical Chemistry**

The six parameters ALT, ALP, CREA, GLU, TP, and BUN were determined to establish baseline values for the two species *R. aegyptiacus* and *E. helvum*, to detect inter-species differences (Supplementary Table S1), as well as to assess potential correlations between clinical blood chemistry values and age or sex of the individuals (Figure 4). Furthermore, detected values were compared to those previously reported for *R. aegyptiacus* and *E. helvum*, as well as other pteropodid species (Table 2). While no correlation to age or sex was observed for any of the investigated analytes, significant differences were detected for all parameters between the two bat species.

ALP values could only be determined for the ten available serum samples from *R. aegyptiacus*, since EDTA present in the plasma vials interferes with ALP’s metallic cofactors, resulting in falsely low measured values. The determined ALT levels showed more variation in *R. aegyptiacus*, but were also significantly higher as compared to values determined for *E. helvum*. The detected values were comparable to previous reports for *R. aegyptiacus* and *E. helvum*, but were lower when compared to other pteropodid bat species. BUN levels were significantly higher in *R. aegyptiacus*, while values determined for *E. helvum* were lower than previously reported for the same species, but comparable to those of other pteropodid species. CREA levels were significantly higher in *R. aegyptiacus* than in *E. helvum*. In this species, generally lower CREA values were found in subadult animals as compared to adult individuals, although these differences turned out to be not significant. Values determined for both species are in accordance with previously reported ranges. We observed significantly higher GLU levels in *E. helvum*, with a wider range of variation as compared to *R. aegyptiacus*. Although not statistically significant, lower GLU values were observed in female and in subadult *E. helvum* and in male *R. aegyptiacus* individuals. Detected values for *R. aegyptiacus* were similar to those reported for other captive bats of the same species, but were lower in comparison to wild *R. aegyptiacus* bats, while values determined for *E. helvum* were lower than those reported for most other species. The TP level was significantly higher in *E. helvum* than in *R. aegyptiacus*. Without reaching statistical significance, values for female *E. helvum* were lower than those in male *E. helvum* bats, and values of subadult *R. aegyptiacus* were lower as compared to those of adults of the same species. In general, the values we determined in our study were lower than in other reports of *R. aegyptiacus* and *E. helvum* and most other pteropodid bat species.

**Hematology**

The six parameters HCT, HGB, LY, NE, RBC and WBC were evaluated to establish reference values for *R. aegyptiacus* and *E. helvum*.
These values enable detection of differences between the two species (Table 3) and to investigate potential correlations between the determined levels and age or sex of the animals (Table 3, Figure 5). Reported values were also compared to those previously reported for R. aegyptiacus and E. helvum, as well as other pteropodid species (Table 4). Results of the other parameters (MON, EO, BA, MCV, MCH, MCHC, PLT, PCT, RDW and PDW) were not considered for statistical analysis, but are supplied in the Supplementary Material (Supplementary Table S3).
TABLE 2 | Comparative values of blood chemistry parameters determined for pteropodid bat species

| Parameter | ALP (U/L) | ALT (U/L) | BUN (mmol/L) | CREA (µmol/L) | TP (g/dl) | GLU (mg/dl) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|
| This paper |           |           |              |               |           |             |
| E. helvum | 26.40 (±6.64) | 1.62 (±0.59) | 29.23 (±10.51) | 71.06 (±6.52) | 5.43 (±2.58) |             |
| R. aegypt. | 381.5 (±185.00) | 48.20 (±13.14) | 2.97 (±0.88) | 47.53 (±13.14) | 59.52 (±4.58) | 3.45 (±2.53) |
| Selig et al. (2016) | E. helvum | 35 (±9.2) | 46.2 (±27.4) | 3.04 (±1.32) | 25.52 (±8.8) | 73.8 (±6.2) |
| Cavaleros et al. (2003) | R. aegypt. | wild: 576 (±130) | captive: 783 (±127) | 6.48 (±1.66) |             |             |
| Korine et al. (1999) | R. aegypt. | winter | 523 (±194) | 46 (±1.79) | 2.54 (±1.71) | 51.04 (±9.68) | 57.6 (±71) | 8.76 (±5.56) |
|                | spring | 2.5 (±0.57) | 58.08 (±7.04) | 75 (±5.8) | 9.7 (±1.62) |             |             |
|                | summer | 2.32 (±0.75) | 66 (±10.56) | 83.8 (±2) | 9.8 (±2.74) |             |             |
|                | fall | 2.07 (±0.21) | 57.2 (±22.88) | 79.1 (±5.1) | 9.8 (±3.1) |             |             |
|                |          |            | 0.82 (±0.32) | 58.96 (±6.16) | 78.3 (±3.3) | 9.83 (±1.51) |
| McLaughlin et al. (2007) | P. giganteus | | | | | |
| McMichael et al. (2018) | P. conspicillatus | 91.8 (±36.3) | 2.5 (±0.89) | 76 (±70) | | |
| Kishbaugh et al. (2021) | P. giganteus | 562.05 | 20.99 | 24.64 | 78 | 7.33 |
| Hall et al., (2014) | P. melanoctus natalensis | 1478.56 | 9.94 | 9.86 | 45.76 | 65.2 | 3.36 |
| McMichael et al. (2015) | P. alecto | 407.46 | 15.84 | 1.36 | 65.5 | 8.8 |
| Heard and Whittier, (1997) | P. vampyrus | 615 (±311) | 13 (±13) | 4.64 (±8.93) | 88 (±52.6) | 73 (±3) | 8.44 (±1.22) |
| P. hypomelanus | adult | 1023 (±810) | 10 (±6) | 2.79 (±0.88) | 83.6 (±27.28) | 12.43 (±3.87)<sup>a</sup> | 13.22 (±6.16) |
|                | juvenile | 2238 (±820) | 10 (±9) | 1.79 (±1.43) | 52.8 (±8.8) | 74 (±7) | 8.55 (±1.2) |
|                |            | 1.43 (±0.71) | 52.8 (±8.8) | 69 (±4) | 8.21 (±1.78) |             |             |
| R. rodricensis | 2077 (±2538) | 11 (±4) | 4.29 (±1.79) | 70.4 (±17.6) | 69 (±4) | 6.49 (±1.83) |
| Edson et al. (2018) | P. poliocephalus | 1100 | 12.81 | 1.23 | 46.64 | 74.9 | 7.04 |

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine-aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CREA, creatinine; TP, total protein; GLU, glucose.

All data are depicted as mean (standard deviation), if available. For comparison, units were adapted if needed.

<sup>a</sup>Two different devices were used.

<sup>b</sup>Averages of different populations were calculated.
TABLE 3 | Correlation of hematological parameters to age and sex of R. aegyptiacus and E. helvum.

|               | R. aegyptiacus | E. helvum |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| Sex | Correlation Coefficient | HCT | HGB | RBC | LY | WBC |
| Significance (2-tailed) | - | - | - | -0.54 | -0.57 |
| Age | Correlation Coefficient | -0.47 | -0.40 | -0.42 | 0.012 | 0.008 |
| Significance (2-tailed) | 0.008 | 0.028 | 0.019 | NS | NS |

Only parameters with a significant correlation to age or sex are depicted (NS: no significant correlation, p-value < 0.05).

HCT values did not reach statistical significance between the two species or between the sexes. However, an age-dependency was observed in R. aegyptiacus where increased levels were observed in subadult animals (OR = 1.03, p-value < 0.05). The HCT variation in E. helvum was slightly higher as compared to R. aegyptiacus. Values of both species are generally comparable to those found in the literature, with those of R. aegyptiacus being slightly lower than previously published. HGB levels determined for R. aegyptiacus were significantly higher than those observed in E. helvum, and were higher in juvenile animals (OR = 1.04, p-value < 0.05). Detected values were in accordance to those known from literature. For E. helvum, the determined LY values were almost two times higher in females than in males (OR = 1.68, p-value < 0.05). No differences were observed between the two species or between the age groups. Lymphocytes were determined to be the dominant white blood cell population (in average about 90%) in both R. aegyptiacus and E. helvum bats. The levels determined in this study were higher than most values reported for these species in the literature, but were similar to those described for juvenile Pteropus hypomelanus. NE levels were significantly higher in E. helvum than in R. aegyptiacus, while no age- or sex-dependent correlations were detected. In relation to values of other Pteropodidae, values of R. aegyptiacus were low. The RBC levels were significantly higher in R. aegyptiacus, and were higher in juvenile animals (OR = 1.05, p-value < 0.05). In contrast, the RBC variation in E. helvum was low. Detected values correlate to previously reported ranges reported for other bat species. The WBC were significantly sex-correlated in E. helvum (OR = 1.72, p-value < 0.05), with higher values in females. Compared to other pteropod bat species, WBCs determined in R. aegyptiacus and E. helvum were relatively high, but were comparable to those reported in a study on captive R. aegyptiacus or three different Pteropus spp. (van der Westhuizen, 1988; Heard and Whittier, 1997).

DISCUSSION

During recent years, the scientific interest in bats has increased considerably, as bats have frequently been identified as reservoirs of emerging infectious disease agents with zoonotic potential. Therefore, unravelling the fundamentals of this reservoir function for highly pathogenic viruses is of particular interest. However, comprehensive datasets on physiological baseline values of the analyzed bat species are largely missing due to a paucity of species-specific tools, limited access to specimens, and high diversity of bat species. Since the majority of species of the suborder Yinpterochoiroptera are protected by law, and the vast majority of zoonotic viruses have been detected in fruit bats so far, recent studies have utilized fruit bats to monitor responses to viral infections. To enable an accurate interpretation of data and define infection-associated abnormalities, knowledge of physiological baseline values, such as body core temperature and hematology are essential. Overall, our study provides a comprehensive insight into hematological values of healthy E. helvum and R. aegyptiacus bats, as well as body temperature patterns in R. aegyptiacus bats. Both species are kept in breeding colonies at the FLI, which enabled us to determine both inter-species differences, as well as intraspecies sex- and age-related variations.

The temperature profiles of R. aegyptiacus determined in our study are in accordance with observations of other captive colonies of the same species (Kulzer, 1963). Similar to our observations, activity and body core temperature increased upon the onset of dusk (∞ lights off in the aviary) and decreased with sunset (∞ illumination of the aviary). The fact that single peaks of high temperature could be traced back to husbandry highlights the necessity to minimize disturbance during phases of sensitive measurements or infection experiments. However, clinical scoring of the animals is inevitable during such experiments and requires veterinary attendance. To circumvent the influence of clinical scoring on measurements of body core temperatures, novel motion monitoring procedures such as video monitoring or digital detection of locomotor activity, which allow clinical scoring without agitating the animals, should be considered (Schutz et al., 2021). We were also able to compare the body temperature parameters in animals kept in the aviary (breeding colony) and in cages (husbandry during infection studies). Although the body core temperature pattern changed slightly once the R. aegyptiacus bats were transferred to a cage, the overall association between temperature and circadian rhythm was maintained. This suggests that the dramatic temperature variation of up to 8°C between flying and roosting phases is controlled by circadian processes rather than originating from muscle activity alone. Furthermore, it is suggested that the temperature regulation properties are age-dependent and underdeveloped in subadult individuals. Although this observation could be verified in female R. aegyptiacus bats and their pups (Kulzer, 1963; Stones and Wiebers, 1965), we could not detect any significant differences in temperature regulation and age. This can be explained by the fact that the temperature regulation develops very early after birth and has already aligned with adult animals 50 days after birth (Kulzer, 1963), and pups that young were not included in our study. However,
as this study was focused on the analysis of tropical fruit bats which do not hibernate, it will prospectively also be of high interest to investigate whether extreme body temperature levels influence the hematological parameters in hibernating bats.

It has been reported that levels of ALP may vary, depending of age, sex, blood type, and possible reproduction status of the individual. Increased levels of ALP in subadult individuals are associated with hepatic and skeletal development and has been
| Parameter | HCT (%) | HGB (g/dl) | LY (10^9/l) | NE (10^9/l) | WBC (10^9/l) | RBC (10^12/l) |
|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| This paper | E. helvum | 43.83 (±0.48) | 16.38 (±0.2) | 14.2 (±1.55) | 1.75 (±0.53) | 16.55 (±1.83) |
| R. aegypt. | 44.67 (±0.31) | 17.36 (±0.15) | 12.51 (±0.96) | 1 (±0.2) | 13.71 (±0.97) | 12.9 (±0.4) |
| Selig et al. (2016) | E. helvum | 42.68 (±19.3) | 14.89 (±1.03) | 1.7 (±0.8) | 1.36 (±1.33) | 3.19 (±1.48) |
| Olopade et al. (2020) | E. helvum | 39.13 | 18.13 | 2.25 | 1.72 | 4.64 |
| Moretti et al. (2021) | E. helvum | 35.5 (±3.1) | 12.4 (±1) | 1.61 (±0.89) | 2.42 (±0.91) | 4.2 (±1.4) |
| van der Westhuizen, (1988) | R. aegypt. | 43.9 (±2.4) | 17.1 (±1.1) | 8.8 (±2.5) | 11.95 (±0.94) | 13.88 (±1.52) |
| wild f | 57 (±6.2) | 17.4 (±2) | 8.8 (±5.8) | 15.42 (±1.5) | 15.42 (±1.5) | 15.42 (±1.5) |
| captive f | 56.4 (±4.1) | 15.6 (±0.8) | 12.1 (±4.2) | 15.42 (±1.5) | 15.42 (±1.5) | 15.42 (±1.5) |
| Korine et al. (1999) | R. aegypt. | 55.38 (±3.04) | 16.81 (±0.79) | 8.6 (±2.96) | 11.95 (±0.94) | 13.88 (±1.52) |
| spring | 57.8 (±3.35) | 17.42 (±0.69) | 8.6 (±2.96) | 11.95 (±0.94) | 13.88 (±1.52) | 13.88 (±1.52) |
| summer | 56.6 (±1.94) | 17.23 (±0.43) | 8.6 (±2.96) | 11.95 (±0.94) | 13.88 (±1.52) | 13.88 (±1.52) |
| fall | 52.36 (±2.06) | 16.68 (±0.35) | 8.6 (±2.96) | 11.95 (±0.94) | 13.88 (±1.52) | 13.88 (±1.52) |
| Noll, (1979) | R. aegypt. | 50.1 | 17.4 | | | |
| Arad and Korine, (1993) | R. aegypt. | 50.2 | 17.6 | | | |
| McMichael et al. (2019) | P. conspicillatus | 49 | 16.71 | | | |
| Kishbaugh et al. (2021) | P. giganteus* | 47.6 (±3.06) | 48.3 (±2.95) | 16.19 (±1.04) | 16.43 (±0.99) | 13.56 (±0.73) |
| Stumpf et al. (2020) | A. jamaicensis | 53.43 (±2.54) | 19.73 (±0.91) | 2.56 (±1.99) | 4.68 (±2.36) | 13.56 (±0.73) |
| Edson et al. (2018) | P. poliocephalus* | 36.6 | 15.35 | 4.26 | 4.41 | 7.99 | 9.53 |
| Hall et al. (2014) | P. melanotus natalensis | 40.7 | | | | |
| McMichael et al. (2015) | P. alecto | 47 | 16.35 | 1.72 | 3.57 | 5.96 | 9.13 |
| Wightman et al. (1987) | P. poliocephalus | 47 (±0.7) | 17.9 (±1.3) | | | |
| Heard and Whittier, (1997) | P. vampyrus | 44 (±2) | 14.6 (±0.9) | 8.02 (±2.61) | 4.369 (±1.78) | 12.55 (±3.24) | 8.88 (±0.59) |
| P. hypomelanus | adult | 46 (±6) | 15.4 (±2.8) | 7.56 (±3.93) | 3.58 (±1.62) | 11.51 (±4.36) | 8.7 (±1.32) |
| juvenile | 49 (±8) | 15.4 (±1.9) | 12.84 (±6.53) | 3.11 (±2.04) | 16.3 (±5.89) | 9.56 (±1.27) | 7.96 (±1.71) |
| P. rodricensis | 43 (±5) | 14.2 (±1.6) | 1.18 (±0.4) | 5.18 (±1.58) | 6.48 (±1.71) | 7.96 (±1.71) |

HCT, hematocrit; HGB, hemoglobin; LY, lymphocytes; NE, neutrophils; WBC, white blood cells; RBC, red blood cells.
All data are depicted as mean ± SD, if available. For comparison, units were adapted if needed.

*Averages of different populations were calculated.

*BTwo different devices were used.
reported for bats, e.g. *P. poliocephalus* or *P. hypomelanus* (Jürgens et al., 1981; Arévalo et al., 1987; Wightman et al., 1987; Viljoen et al., 1997; Washington and Van Hoosier, 2012), where adult specimens had only 50% of the ALP level detected in subadults (Heard and Hult, 1998; Edson et al., 2018). However, since no difference between the age groups could be detected in our study, it can be assumed that major maturation processes are already completed in subadult *R. aegyptiacus* bats. The mean value of 381.5 U/L determined for *R. aegyptiacus* in this study is still lower than the levels of up to ~576 U/L reported in free-ranging, and ~783 U/L in captive individuals (Cavaleros et al., 2003; Moretti et al., 2021). In contrast, free-ranging *E. helvum* bats had distinctly lower ALP levels than *R. aegyptiacus* (Selig et al., 2016), underlining the necessity to determine the baseline values for each bat species individually. The considerable level of variation of ALP values may be associated with the actual metabolic status of the analyzed animals, since ALP is involved in numerous different metabolic processes (Sharma et al., 2014). It may well be that the lower levels determined in this study are associated with the maintenance and the physiological status of the animals. In contrast, the other reports were either based on free-ranging animals (Cavaleros et al., 2003), or on animals that had been brought into a veterinary clinic a few days before the blood analysis (Moretti et al., 2021). Levels of ALP determined in other free-ranging pteropodid species were generally higher than those determined for *R. aegyptiacus* bats in our study: ALP values in wild bats range from ~400 U/L (*P. alecto* (McMichael et al., 2015)) to ~2238 U/L in subadult *P. hypomelanus* and ~2077 U/L in *P. rodricensis* (Edson et al., 2018). Nevertheless, it can be speculated that ALP values differ greatly amongst species, even within an order. Avian baseline values vary from 24–780 U/L depending on the species, which could be transferable to bats, another order with outstanding diversity.

Values of ALT, CREA, GLU, TP, and BUN in *E. helvum* and *R. aegyptiacus* bats were comparable to reported reference values of other pteropodid bat species. Intriguingly, we observed a noteworthy difference between BUN levels in individuals of the investigated *R. aegyptiacus* colony and free-ranging animals of the same species. This variation might be attributed to the fact that captive individuals do not experience shortage or quality decrease of food and water, variation might be attributed to the fact that captive individuals do experience shortage or quality decrease of food and water, even restraining methods can in e u c e t h ev a lu e s , t h i s v o l a t i l e g a s

The observed variations in the determined clinical chemistry values between the bat species might be explained by differences in immune statu of the animals (especially between wild and captive animals) and the applied methodology, impairing a direct comparison of the data. It has been verified that the method of immobilization (physical restraint, anesthesia) and the type of the analyzer (manual, automatic) may influence the analyte outcome (Widmaier and Kunz, 1993; Heard and Hult, 1998; McMichael et al., 2016; Strumpf et al., 2020). Especially the use of different agents for anesthesia can alter results, as previously reported for different bats (Heard and Hult, 1998; Strumpf et al., 2020). However, since isoflurane-based anesthesia is mild compared to other agents, and even restraining methods can influence the values, this volatile gas was chosen for this study. Isoflurane anesthesia impacts hematology and clinical chemistry values when compared to physical restraint, as demonstrated in other mammals (Welch, 1984; Markovic et al., 1993), birds (Granone et al., 2012; Berg et al., 2019), and even *A. jamaicensis* and *P. hypomelanus* (Heard and Hult, 1998; Strumpf et al., 2020). However, e.g., ketamine-xylazine-based anesthesia is known to have even higher impacts on the results (Widmaier and Kunz, 1993) and since isoflurane mainly acts on neuronal function, the restraining effects on leukocytes are assumed to be diminished quickly. Ultimately, this verifies the necessity to establish baseline values for clinical chemistry and hematology of isolated colonies, as well as the analyzers used in the respective study.

Hematological analyses of *E. helvum* and *R. aegyptiacus* bats of different sexes and ages revealed striking differences. Firstly, we report that *E. helvum* bats had twice as many circulating neutrophils compared to *R. aegyptiacus* bats. This observation is in contrast to previous reports of NE levels in these species, since a comparison of these independent studies revealed inverse NE levels in *E. helvum* and *R. aegyptiacus* (Selig et al., 2016; Olopade et al., 2020; Moretti et al., 2021). However, it has to be considered that especially levels of circulating NEs may differ during seasons, hibernation (Wolk and Bogdanowicz, 1987), and migration (e.g., *Pipistrellus nathusii* (Voigt et al., 2020)), specifically in species inhabiting temperate areas. Strikingly, we report 10-fold higher numbers of circulating lymphocytes in both species, compared to other hematological reports in the same or other pteropodid bat species. It has been demonstrated that lymphocytes are the dominating leukocyte subset in the blood of pteropodid bats, e.g., *P. vampyrus* and *P. hypomelanus* (Heard and Hult, 1998). We observed the same ratio in *E. helvum* and *R. aegyptiacus* bats, although in higher abundance. Interestingly,
lymphocytes, and therefore WBCs in general, were significantly enriched in female E. helvum bats, but no sex-related difference could be detected in R. aegyptiacus. This suggests species-specific differences rather than different immune stati within the colony (due to, e.g., pregnancy (Kühner et al., 1998)), since leukocyte enrichment in females has been described in free-ranging E. helvum, P. natalensis, and P. poliocephalus bats (Hall et al., 2014; Edson et al., 2018; Olopade et al., 2020). Although variations linked to immune-senescent and -maturation in circulating leukocytes have been validated in several chiropteran species, e.g., P. giganteus (McLaughlin et al., 2007; Schneeberger et al., 2014) and P. poliocephalus (Edson et al., 2018) and other mammals (Aminzadeh and Parsa, 2011), we could not detect age-related differences for E. helvum or R. aegyptiacus. Interestingly, it has been recently demonstrated that the number of circulating immune cells (especially neutrophils and lymphocytes) is size-independent in bats and did not differ significantly among 64 different bat species (Cornelius Ruhs et al., 2021). Bats are the only mammals capable of active flight, which represents a demanding way of locomotion with a high oxygen requirement. This results in elevated levels of HGB, HCT, and the number of RBCs in bats in comparison to non-flying mammals of similar size (Jürgens et al., 1981; Arévalo et al., 1987; Wightman et al., 1987; Vlijmoen et al., 1997).

The values determined for these three parameters were comparable between captive E. helvum and R. aegyptiacus, and were in the same range as determined for other captive colonies of the same species or even free-ranging pteropodid bats (Noll, 1979; van der Westhuizen, 1988; Arad and Korine, 1993; Heard and Whittier, 1997; Korine et al., 1999; Selig et al., 2016; Olopade et al., 2020; Moretti et al., 2021). Furthermore, similar values were observed in insectivorous bats (Woz.xl et al., 1987; Bandouchova et al., 2020; Pakszu, 2021), indicating conserved features. A study restricting water intake upon heat exposure in R. aegyptiacus bats reported no change in HGB, HCT and total protein (TP), which further demonstrates a crucial role for stability of these values (Arad and Korine, 1993). Interestingly, while sex-related differences have been reported for P. alecto and P. conspicillatus (McMichael et al., 2019), we report age-related differences for captive E. helvum and R. aegyptiacus bats. While HCT values were enriched in juvenile R. aegyptiacus, HGB values were enriched in juvenile E. helvum bats, compared to adult counterparts. These differences possibly result from varying population dynamics among captive and free-ranging individuals, as well as nutrient availability and parasite burden (McMichael et al., 2019).

Similar to the capabilities of temperature regulation in adult individuals and pups, clinical chemistry and hematology are also influenced by maturation processes, e.g. in Antrozous pallidus (Bassett and Wiederhelm, 1984) and R. aegyptiacus (Moretti et al., 2021). Although newborn bats already reach a blood composition in a similar range as adults a few weeks after birth (40–70 days), maturation processes like skeletal growth and immune maturation should be considered during baseline establishment or infection studies. Of note, is has been demonstrated previously that juvenile R. aegyptiacus bats play a crucial role for virus maintenance and spread in a colony, e.g., MARV (Amman et al., 2012). This further highlights the necessity of baseline establishment for parameters in bats of various ages and sexes to accurately predict zoonotic potential and spillover risks, as for example the elevated WBC level in juvenile bats may contribute to their role in virus transmission. Since bats only rarely develop apparent clinical signs, changes in hematology and clinical chemistry might be mostly minor, yet crucial for disease tolerance, as demonstrated in P. alecto (McMichael et al., 2017). Therefore, precise and comprehensive baseline values for different bat species are essential to define pathogen-associated changes.

With this study, we provide a comprehensive analysis for captive E. helvum and R. aegyptiacus bats. Taking previous studies into account, it is evident that blood parameters vary between captive and free-ranging, as well as between different captive colonies of E. helvum and R. aegyptiacus. By delineating age and sex, we established baseline values for four different groups in each species, which is essential considering different roles of each group within the colony. Since hematology and clinical chemistry are affected by sex and maturation processes, definition of separate baseline values significantly increases the accuracy of clinical scoring.
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