Exploring evidence-based innovative therapy for the treatment of chronic HBV infection: experimental and clinical
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Abstract

With the advent of various vaccines and antimicrobial agents during the 20th century, the control and containment of infectious diseases appeared to be a matter of time. However, studies unveiled the diverse natures of microbes, their lifestyle, and pathogenetic potentials. Since the ground-breaking discovery of the hepatitis B virus (HBV) by Baruch Blumberg and the subsequent development of a vaccine in the early 1980s, the main task of the scientific community has been to develop a proper management strategy for HBV-induced chronic liver diseases. In the early 1980’s, standard interferon (IFN) induced a reduction of HBV DNA levels, followed by the normalization of serum transaminases (alanine aminotransferase, ALT), in some chronic hepatitis B (CHB) patients. However, in the course of time, the limitations of standard IFN became evident, and the search for an alternative began. In the late 1980’s, nucleoside analogs entered the arena of CHB treatment as oral drugs with potent antiviral capacities. At the beginning of the 21st century, insights were developed into the scope and limitations of standard IFN, pegylated-IFN as well as nucleoside analogs for treating CHB. Considering the non-cytopathic nature of the HBV, the presence of covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA) in the nucleus of the infected hepatocytes and HBV-induced immune-mediated liver damages, a new field of CHB management was initiated by modulating the hosts’ immune system through immune therapy. This review will discuss the nature and design of innovative immune therapy for CHB.
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Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a DNA virus belonging to the family Hepadnaviridae [1]. It infects people of all ages. HBV is estimated to have infected approximately two billion people worldwide at some point in their life, out of which around 250 million have been chronically infected with HBV (supports active HBV replication) with or without any evidence of ongoing liver damage. These patients are living, permanent reservoirs of HBV and are responsible for transmitting HBV to healthy non-infected individuals [2]. Although several preventive measures have been taken to contain the HBV infection, such as potent prophylactic vaccines, the world has been experiencing about 30 million new cases of HBV infection each year, the sources of which are people infected with chronic HBV [3]. Thus, the development of treatment strategies for millions of patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB) represents a major challenge for mankind. After discovering HBV in the 1960’s and the characterization of the virus in the 1970’s, the history of therapeutic interventions of CHB has been highly dynamic. At least two groups of drugs have been widely used to treat CHB patients. Interferon (IFN) and its pegylated form, pegylated IFN (Peg-IFN), have been used in CHB patients since the 1980’s as injectable antiviral drugs. Since the 1990’s, oral nucleoside analogs (NUCs) have been used in CHB patients around the world [4]. Thus, about four decades have passed since initiating drug treatment of CHB. Although these drugs have induced benefits in some CHB patients [5], they are endowed with notable limitations, such as adverse effects, finite versus infinite treatment regimens, levels of efficacies, capacities to block progressive liver diseases such as cirrhosis of the liver (LC), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and costs [6-9]. Another important factor is related to the drug treatment for CHB patients in different regions of the world. Most CHB patients reside in developing and resource-constrained countries in Asia and Africa; hence, significant limitations about compliance with infinite therapy, cost, and adverse effects remain [10].

Fundamental basis of using IFN-α and Peg-IFN for treating CHB

Although several notable limitations of IFN-α and Peg-IFN have recently been discovered in the treatment of CHB, the drugs were found to have an excellent therapeutic utility when they were initially considered for therapy. IFN and its derivative are endowed with potent antiviral activity as well as immune modulatory capacities. IFN-induced control of HBV replication is ensured by decreasing RNA transcription [11]. One area of interest regarding the role of IFN on covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA). Of the medications currently available, only IFN-α can potentially target cccDNA. However, the clinical effects of eradicating cccDNA using IFN-α in CHB patients are neither satisfactory nor encouraging. Thus, more insights are required. IFN-α epigenetically regulates transcriptional activity by modulating the lysine acetyltransferase 2A (GCN5)-mediated succinylation of histone H3 on lysine 79 (H3K79) to reduce HBV cccDNA. IFN- and toll-like receptors (TLR)-mediated innate immune responses can restrict HBV replication at the different steps of the viral life cycle [12]. Moreover, there are other mechanisms that regulate cccDNA. Focus has also been given to the inhibition of HBV replication by IFN-stimulated genes and the inhibition of IFN signaling by HBV and viral proteins. Interferons can diminish HBV cccDNA via apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme 3 (APOBEC3)-mediated deamination using the interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) pathway [13]. The underlying mechanism has been proposed to be the HBV core protein mediating the interaction with nuclear cccDNA, resulting in cytidine deamination, apurinic/apyrimidinic site formation, and, finally, the cccDNA degradation that prevents HBV reactivation [13]. IFN-alpha has shown anti-fibrotic effects, which may enhance its utility in blocking a progression to cirrhosis [14-17].

Limitations of IFN-α

IFN-α exhibited considerable optimism as a therapeutic choice for CHB. However, its long-term efficacy was not sustained. In addition, the role of IFN-α in hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg)-negative patients was not satisfactory [18]. Finally, IFN-α could neither reduce hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) levels nor cccDNA to a reasonable level in most patients with CHB [19, 20]. The limited efficacy of IFN-α can be attributed to its aberrant immune modulatory capacity, which induces confusing signals in IFN-users [21, 22].
In addition to low efficacy, IFN is not a patient-friendly drug; it is administered via injection. Moreover, drug compliance is a serious limitation in a developing and resource-constrained set-up. It is costly. As with standard IFN-α, treatment with peginterferon is associated with many troublesome and, occasionally, serious or even life-threatening side effects. These side effects are particularly common in patients with advanced disease and hypersplenism. INF is contraindicated in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Severe exacerbations of the disease with immediate and marked increases in serum ALT levels are common and can have devastating clinical consequences for these patients, even when the decompensation is mild and reduced doses of IFN are given [23]. When taken together, IFN therapy could not stand the test of time for the treatment of CHB patients despite initially inspiring considerable optimism.

Scope of nucleoside and nucleotide analogs

CHB poses serious concerns for different branches of medical science. The disease by itself compromises the quality of life. Furthermore, its complications shatter the social, medical, and national healthcare delivery system. The annual death toll due to HBV-related liver diseases has reached nearly one million. These factors have induced the need to search for new and novel drugs for CHB. Thus, in the 1990’s, the appearance of NUCs was highly regarded in the medical community. NUCs were the first drugs against CHB that could be given orally. They were also comparatively cheaper. The development of NUCs started from lamivudine in the 1990’s and continued, and new NUCs replaced older ones with better efficacy and fewer adverse effects [24-33]. NUCs exhibit potent antiviral and liver-protecting effects when used in CHB patients. Studies have shown that the usage of NUCs in CHB patients results in HBV DNA negativity in the sera in a vast majority of patients. However, logical concerns remain about the capacities of NUCs to induce negativity of HBsAg and seroconversion to antibodies to HBsAg (anti-HBs) in CHB patients. Another notable finding that recommended the usage of NUCs in CHB is related to maintaining the suppression of HBV DNA by blocking the progression of fibrosis in non-cirrhotic patients. Moreover, it has been reported that NUCs can regress fibrosis in patients with advanced fibrosis/cirrhosis. However, the risk of the development of HCC is maintained even after HBV DNA negativity by NUCs, particularly in patients with cirrhosis. One study showed that after five years of viral suppression, the risk decreased in Caucasian patients by about 50% [34]. In the context of NUC therapy for CHB patients, it is also important to decide when NUC therapy can be ceased. In the last five to eight years, there has been cumulating evidence that NUC therapy can be discontinued in antibodies to HBeAg (anti-HBe) positive patients, without cirrhosis and with stable HBV DNA suppression and decreasing levels of HBsAg in serum. There may be a transient flare of ALT in these circumstances. This may not be clinically dangerous and may be related to the clearance of HBsAg due to the revival of host immunity. However, CHB patients should be properly monitored at this stage before cessation of NUCs [35].

Therefore, it can be said that treatment using NUCs results in the reduction or negativity of HBV DNA in the blood. HBeAg seronegative and seroconversion to anti-HBe were also reported by NUC-treated CHB patients in a different cohort. Some studies also reported on the anti-cirrhotic effect of NUCs. Even NUCs were shown to have a protective effect on HCC development. With all these positive properties, it can be assumed that a group of drugs that can manage CHB has finally been found in the early 21st century.

Limitation of NUCs

However, the 'honeymoon period' of NUC usage for treating CHB did not last long as its limitations began to surface. NUCs turned out to be a double-edged sword. This is an infinite drug regimen; the drug has to be used for years or decades or even for life. Further, if the drug is ceased, there may be serious complications, such as a hepatic flare. Moreover, treatment with NUCs is not ideal for reducing HBsAg levels in CHB patients. Finally, NUCs have an insignificant impact on cccDNA [36-45]. Different hepatologists and professional liver organizations have provided recommendations about the logical and rational usage of NUCs. However, these recommendations may still not be properly applied in developing and poor countries that harbor the main load of global CHB patients [46-50].
NUCs are also endowed with considerable side effects. Some analogues exhibit activity against the human mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) polymerase gamma, which can lead to mitochondrial dysfunction. They also induce lactic acidosis. This figure indicates that entecavir should be used with caution in patients with impaired liver function [51].

Finally, based on the outcome of treatments using IFN and NUCs, the potential markers for the treatment endpoints of CHB patients may be the negativity of HBV DNA, normalization of ALT, and HBsAg negativity with or without seroconversion to anti-HBs [52].

**Ongoing and future innovative treatment modalities targeting the virus**

It became evident that commercially available antiviral drugs are endowed with potent antiviral properties and can also contain the pathological processes and development of complications in some patients with CHB. However, as this may not be sufficient, investigators have been trying to target HBV treatment by developing other modes of therapy. One of the notable modes is the development of an HBV-entry inhibitor. Bulevirtide has appeared as an entry inhibitor, which can inactivate HBV receptors and compete with HBV for the sodium-taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide. Thus, it can block the HBV infection in hepatocytes and also accomplish HBV transcriptional suppression [53]. However, data from randomized clinical trials on its utility have yet to emerge. Another area of novel drug development targets hepatitis B transcription from cccDNA. Although this drug has been assessed in cell lines, it may be a prospective new mode of therapy. GLP-26, an HBV capsid assembly modulator (CAM), is a novel glyoxamide derivative that is capable of modulating capsid assembly. The antiviral properties of GLP-26 have been evaluated in a humanized mice model, and the drug has been found to have sustained antiviral properties [54, 55]. Moreover, some drugs that target ribonuclease H for inducing antiviral effects are in the developmental stage [56]. Another area of drug development for antiviral therapy against HBV may involve using nucleic acid polymers that block the release of subviral particles from hepatocytes. Although it seems to be an effective drug for CHB, its safety and efficacy have not yet been established in CHB patients [57]. When taken together, it is apparent that in addition to commercially available NUCs, several drugs are in the pipeline for the treatment of CHB, with the principle aim of reducing HBV and possibly cccDNA. In addition, several novel therapies are on clinical trials. These include capsid inhibitors, HBsAg inhibitors, and small interfering RNA (siRNAs). Furthermore, a nucleic acid polymer has also been used to reduce HBsAg. Most of these innovative therapies have not been brought to clinics yet. Recently, a nucleic acid polymer has been used in clinical trials in Bangladesh [58, 59].

**Lesson learnt from the treatment of CHB patients by IFN, Peg-IFN, and various forms of NUCs**

The unsatisfactory antiviral properties, notable adverse effects, insignificant anti-fibrotic properties, limitation of sustainability of positive activities, and patient’s unfriendly attitude toward IFNs and NUCs have revealed that a reduction or negativity of HBV DNA in the blood does not represent the sole target of CHB therapy. The scientific message is a little complex, indicating that there has been a considerable misunderstanding about the development of a drug for CHB patients. Both IFNs and NUCs are not evidence-based drugs for CHB, and these drugs have not been developed by analyzing the pathogenesis of CHB. The antiviral therapy of CHB patients has always reduced HBV DNA or attained HBV DNA negativity. However, CHB represents a complex pathological entity. The hallmark of this virus is its property of not being cytopathic to hepatocytes. HBV also contains no toxin, i.e., it does not directly destroy the cell. Thus, a non-cytopathic virus induces pathogenicity in ways other than regulating virus replication. Asymptomatic infections by HBV have been reported for decades by us as well as other investigators, thus supporting the aforementioned concept. Epidemiological data has also highlighted these observations [60-64]. About two billion of the total 7.6 billion people in the world have been infected by HBV at some point in their life; among them, only approximately 250 million (12.5%) allow HBV replication as determined by HBV DNA or HBsAg positivity in the blood. It is of utmost importance to assess how HBV replication and HBsAg production are reasonably controlled in the remaining 87.5% of HBV-infected subjects. Out of the 250 million chronic
HBV-infected patients, about 20% are prone to developing an elevation of ALT (a measure of hepatitis), and some of them progress to develop LC and HCC. The assessment of HBV DNA in the sera has shown that the levels of HBV DNA are not representative of the extent of liver damage; millions of asymptomatic, healthy HBV-infected subjects have shown diverse levels of HBV DNA [65-69]. On the other hand, many patients with progressive liver diseases, such as LC and HCC, have shown low HBV DNA levels [70-72]. These facts, therefore, warranted the development of novel drugs for the containment of CHB and related complications.

**HBV-induced inflammation of the liver is immune-mediated**

As HBV is non-cytopathic, several investigators have found that HBV-induced liver damage is immune-mediated. If we analyze the immunity of CHB patients, a complex situation is exposed. Patients with CHB harbor HBsAg in their sera, but they usually do not express anti-HBs. On the other hand, patients with CHB may be expressing HBeAg and anti-HBe. In addition, antibodies to hepatitis B core antigen (HBcAg) are detected in almost all HBV-infected subjects irrespective of their liver damage [73-79].

From the discovery of HBV until the end of the 20th century (about 25 years), attention to HBV-induced immunity has mainly been focused on the humoral immune responses [80-84] important for prophylaxis from HBV infection.

In time, two important developments regarding the immune responses of CHB, cancers, and other pathologies came about. First, considerable insights were developed into cellular immunity, and second, additional insights were developed into non-antigen-specific versus HBV antigen-specific immunity. The role of cellular immunity in controlling intracellular virus without destroying the cells, regarded as non-cytopathic control of virus, became visible in the HBV infection [85-89]. Moreover, the concept of protective immunity versus pathogenic immunity became evident in the context of CHB [90-93].

**Developing the concept of immune therapy for CHB**

IFNs were discovered in 1957 by the British bacteriologist Alick Isaacs and his group [94, 95]. Research conducted in the 1970's revealed that these substances could prevent viral infection and suppress the growth of cancers in some laboratory animals. IFNs got their name because they “interfere” with viruses and keep them from multiplying. In 1986, the first lab-made IFN was created to treat certain types of cancer. One of the first clinical uses of IFN was to treat a rare form of leukemia, known as hairy cell leukemia, which results from the over-production of mature (and abnormal) B-cells [96-99].

NUCs comprise an important class of drugs for the treatment of viral infections. They inhibit DNA/RNA polymerization after being incorporated into nascent DNA/RNA, preventing primer extension. NUCs are nucleosides containing nucleic acid analogue and sugar and/or phosphate groups with one to three phosphates. The first class of drugs that was proved to have clinically significant anti-HIV activity was the nucleoside analog reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs): zidovudine (AZT), didanosine, zalcitabine, stavudine, lamivudine, and abacavir [listed here in order of their approval by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)]. Next, it was recommended for use in CHB patients. Once they are phosphorylated, they work as antimetabolites by being similar enough to nucleotides to be incorporated into growing DNA strands. Nevertheless, they act as chain terminators and stop viral DNA polymerase. They are not specific to viral DNA and also affect mtDNA [100-103].

These historical facts make it clear that anti-HBV drugs have not been developed based on their pathogenicity of chronic HBV infection. Rather, the drugs developed for other pathological conditions have been re-purposed for CHB. However, CHB is a complex pathological condition, and re-purposed drugs may not be suitable for controlling the disease pathogenesis.

**Lesson learnt from immune therapy of CHB by polyclonal immune modulators**

On learning that CHB patients are endowed with impaired or distorted immunity in the context of HBV, attempts were made to upregulate host immunity through polyclonal immune modulators that became the
first choice of innovative immune therapy. Most of the commercially available agents were initially used to regulate host immunity in CHB patients. These included interleukin (IL)-2, IL-12, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), levamisole, thymus humoral factor-gamma 2, alpha galactosylceramide, propagermanium, liver extract, thymosin-alpha 1, and some other agents [104-113]. From different articles, it became evident that sufficient amounts of immune modulators could not be used in some cases. The outcomes of these trials were not encouraging. We assume that these therapies were endowed with several inherent limitations, such as the absence of a proper design for the clinical trials and lack of follow-up data. Moreover, there were serious concerns about the safety of these approaches. Tilg et al. [104] reported that even at a toxic dose of IL-2, it could not induce long-term therapeutic benefits in CHB patients. An insignificant response of IL-2 was also reported by Artillo et al. [105]. Woltman et al. [110] did not find any substantial effects of alpha-galactosylceramide in CHB patients. It appears that well-designed clinical trials of phase I/II levels should be conducted to make a realistic assessment of the potentiality and limitation of polyclonal immune modulators in CHB patients. To optimize the design of immune therapy, various approaches were taken by different investigators in animal models of HBV infection. Isogawa et al. [114] showed that TLR signaling inhibits HBV replications in HBV transgenic mice (HBV TM). Initial preclinical studies were accompanied in HBV TM. In line with this, the TLR ligands were developed as drugs for treating CHB. The TLR-7 agonist GS-9620 has been used in patients with CHB. However, administering GS-9620 for twelve weeks had no significant effect on serum hepatitis B surface antigen levels [115, 116].

Preclinical studies to optimize antigen-specific immune therapy in HBV TM

Several lines of HBV TM have been developed in the 1980's to study the cellular and molecular events underlying HBV pathogenesis. As these HBV TM were diverse, variable data was retrieved from the studies of HBV TM to develop insights. The HBV TM that we used was prepared by microinjecting the full genome of HBV plus 619 bp in C57BL/6 mice. HBV TM expressed Dane particle and harbored HBV DNA, HBsAg, and HBeAg [117-120]. Others used different HBV TM lines, and some expressed some antigens with or without HBV DNA in the sera [121]. The administration of polyclonal immune modulators such as concanavalin A, lipopolysaccharides (LPS), and IFN-gamma did not have any antiviral effect regarding HBV DNA reduction or HBsAg levels in HBV TM. Thus, it was concluded that polyclonal immune modulators might not be a good choice for treating HBV infection.

Antigen-specific immune therapy was started in HBV TM by administering HBsAg in complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA). This approach resulted in HBV DNA negativity, HBsAg negativity, and the production of anti-HBs with increased HBsAg-specific T cell proliferation in HBV TM. This study provided the first impetus for using HBsAg-specific immune therapy for CHB patients. We also retrieved mechanisms that clarified why HBV TM did not respond to endogenous HBsAg but expressed vigorous response to HBsAg when administered with CFA. Our study and studies by others revealed that the administration of self-antigens and a danger signal can induce immunity even against the so-called tolerogenic antigens. We also found that the impaired function of antigen-presenting dendritic cells (DC) to process and present antigens to T cells in HBV TM may be responsible for the non-responsiveness to endogenous HBsAg [122-133]. Other laboratories have also provided similar data about antigen-specific immune modulation in HBV TM [134-136].

Vaccine therapy in CHB patients

It is a common perception that proper antigen-specific immunity protects the host from infectious diseases, and this opened the way for vaccine-induced protection of various hosts from several infectious diseases. The proposals of Paul Ehrlich and William Coley in 1890 may be regarded as the first concept of immune therapy for chronic diseases and cancer. A new field of immune therapy for the treatment of CHB patients was discovered in the mid-1990's. Although HBV has several antigens, HBsAg was used initially as it was commercially available as a prophylactic vaccine. It was, therefore, possible to initiate a therapeutic trial with the HBsAg-based vaccine as a therapeutic vaccine. Pol et al. [137] first used an HBsAg-based vaccine as a therapeutic approach in CHB patients in 1994. They reported that the HBsAg-based vaccine therapy
induced reduction of HBV DNA, HBeAg seronegative, and anti-HBe seroconversion in some CHB patients. At the same time, several investigators applied various versions of HBsAg-based immune therapy in CHB patients [138-151]. The nature of HBsAg, the dose, and the duration of therapy varied considerably among trials. It was found that HBsAg-based therapeutic vaccine caused reduced HBV replication and some biochemical improvement in some patients. However, these effects were short-lived, resulting in the HBsAg-based vaccine therapy not standing the test of time as a therapeutic vaccine for CHB patients. There were several attempts to improve HBsAg-based vaccines by alerting the design of vaccination, inducing combination therapy and altering the therapeutic vaccine. An antigen-antibody complex vaccine by a Chinese group contained HBsAg and the anti-HBs as a therapeutic vaccine [140]. Strong adjuvants and DNA-based vaccines were used as therapeutic vaccines. Some investigators, including us, loaded antigen-presenting DC with HBsAg to produce HBsAg-pulsed DCs and used them as therapeutic vaccines on CHB patients [148-150]. Some clinical trials inspired optimism about the clinical utility of this vaccine. However, long-term follow-up data was mostly unavailable.

**Mechanism of improper therapeutic effect of HBsAg-based therapeutic vaccine for the treatment of CHB patients**

Some investigators, including us, found that HBsAg-based vaccine therapy may not be an evidence-based approach for treating CHB patients. The main purpose of the HBsAg-based therapeutic vaccine was to emphasize the importance of humoral immune response to HBsAg, which is fundamental to immune prophylaxis of HBV infection. However, to develop a therapeutic vaccine, there is a need to induce cellular immune responses. To gain insights into these events and critical factors, we first checked the immunity of HBV TM, immunized by HBsAg. When it was found that antigen-presenting DC play a cardinal role in this respect, we pulsed HBsAg with antigen-presenting DC that showed that immunity might have been altered in HBV TM. However, the sustained anti-HBV effect was not achieved by using the HBsAg-based cellular approach. In the meantime, Vandepapelière et al. [151] provided credible evidence regarding the insignificant therapeutic efficacy of an HBsAg-based vaccine in CHB patients. They also proposed the utility of HBcAg in therapeutic vaccine development. The observation of Maini et al. [152, 153] supported the usage of HBcAg. They showed that the frequencies of HBcAg-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) were higher in patients with CHB-controlling liver damage and containing HBV DNA replication, compared to CHB patients who were unable to control HBV DNA and liver damages.

**Therapeutic vaccine containing both HBsAg and HBcAg in HBV TM**

We assumed that HBsAg and HBcAg would be required to contain HBV DNA and control liver damage in CHB patients. Humoral immunities would be required for neutralizing circulating HBsAg in CHB patients. HBcAg-specific T cells would be required to down-regulate HBV DNA replication in the liver. In line with this concept, a therapeutic vaccine called NASVAC, which contains both HBsAg and HBcAg, was developed by the Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (CIGB), Havana, Cuba. NASVAC was applied in HBV TM, and persistent HBV DNA negativity along with the production of anti-HBs were recorded in HBV TM [154]. In addition, the administration of NASVAC resulted in cytotoxic T lymphocytes in the liver of HBV TM.

**Clinical trials with NASVAC in patients with CHB**

In order to conduct clinical trials with NASVAC, first, the safety and immunogenicity of NASVAC were assessed in normal volunteers [155]. Next, phase I/II clinical trials were accomplished in treatment-naive patients with CHB [156]. NASVAC was developed so that it could be administered via the nasal route and through the parental pathway. Nasal administration was advocated to induce mucosal immunity. In addition, this route of administration seemed to be patient-friendly to people of developing countries. Initially, NASVAC was administered in 18 patients with CHB, 10 times through the nasal route, once in every two weeks. This was followed by five administrations of NASVAC via both nasal and subcutaneous routes. Thus, the entire treatment took four and a half months. In the phase I/II clinical trial with NASVAC, 18 treatment-naive
patients with CHB received NASVAC 15 times [157]. The vaccine was safe for CHB patients and induced both HBV DNA negativity and normalization of ALT in 50% of patients. This study also revealed that NASVAC activated DC in an antigen-specific manner [157]. Subsequently, a phase III clinical trial in treatment-naive patients also exposed the antiviral and liver-protecting capacity of NASVAC. A total of 160 patients with HBV DNA in the sera and ALT above the upper limit of normal were enrolled in this phase III clinical trial. Eighty patients received 15 doses of NASVAC as described in the Phase I/II clinical trial. The remaining 80 patients, who were randomly selected, received Peg-IFN once a week for 48 weeks. The follow-up duration was 24 weeks after the end of treatment (EOT). NASVAC confirmed its safety again, and no adverse effects were detected. Moreover, NASVAC was found to be superior to Peg-IFN in containing HBV DNA and normalizing ALT in sera [157]. Recently, we assessed the antifibrotic effect of NASVAC in CHB patients. It was found that NASVAC is endowed with extremely inspiring antifibrotic activity and that it is superior to Peg-IFN (data not shown).

Innovative therapeutic approaches for treating CHB patients

Here, we have provided a detailed account of the scope and limitations of IFN, Peg-IFN, and NUCs for treating CHB patients. These groups of commercially available drugs form the ongoing background of treating CHB patients. As per the recommendations of American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver (APASL), and other regional and national liver organizations, these drugs are the only choice for the treatment of CHB patients.

Furthermore, immune therapy has a three-decade-long history to optimize CHB therapy as an evidence-based and finite therapeutic regimen. This includes treatment with polyclonal immune modulators, HBsAg-based vaccine, epitope-based vaccine, HBsAg/HBcAg-based vaccine, DNA vaccine, and a combination of vaccine therapy with antivirals drugs. Furthermore, T cell-based therapy and other innovative therapies add to the growing list of treatment approaches for CHB patients [158].

Conclusions

Infection with HBV presents a highly complex medical and social problem for humanity. This problem is magnified when an individual develops CHB. The impact of HBV and CHB is long-lasting. Even if all normal individuals are vaccinated, public health measures are properly followed, and all prospective candidates get treatment with existing and recommended drugs, we will have to live with HBV for a century or more. The viral life cycle teaches us that HBV is not an eradicable virus, and thus, the world body has entered a phase of “Elimination of Hepatitis by 2030”. Even with a vaccine coverage of more than 80% among children and adherence to public health measures, the world is experiencing approximately 30 million new HBV infections per year. Moreover, with the presence of several antiviral drugs, approximately 0.8 million people die of HBV-related complications. Thus, innovative concepts are urgently needed to fight against HBV-related conditions, and these should be implemented through comprehensive approaches by developing and developed countries. The most practical approach may be a combination therapy in which both antiviral drugs and immune modulators can accomplish their respective functions to tackle the virus as well as liver damage in CHB patients.
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