Introduction
Nonpalpable lesions of the breast present a dilemma to the surgeon. Intraoperative guidance is required for excision. The challenge lies in excising the lesion with negative margins while sparing as much normal tissue as possible. Wire-guided localization (WGL) is the oldest and most widely used method for excision of nonpalpable lesions. Although the process has evolved over a period of time, it is faced with many difficulties.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\) The localizing wire is usually placed under ultrasound guidance but may require stereotactic localization sometimes. This is usually done in the radiology suite and the wire fixed in a way to avoid migration/displacement during shifting of the patient to the operating suite. The entire procedure can be painful and demanding on the patient. Pneumothorax can be caused rarely during the procedure. The site of entry of the wire may interfere in the placement of the incision and removal of the lesion. Scheduling of the patient involves a close collaboration between the radiologist and the surgeon.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\)

As a result, alternative methods of intraoperative guidance have been developed, the commonest being the use of radioisotope (radionuclide occult lesion localization—ROLL and radioactive seed localization—RSL), and intraoperative ultrasound (IOUS).\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\) Although these techniques appear to be as effective as WGL, they require the acquisition of new equipment and new skills by the surgeons. A combination of techniques may be useful in overcoming problems associated with individual techniques. Standard WGL with ultrasound-guided localization of the wire tip has been described with good results.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\) Tattooing of the lesion with charcoal under ultrasound guidance as a visual aid to WGL has also been used.\(^8\)

We describe an innovative technique combining WGL and ultrasound guidance and our initial experience using this method in patients with nonpalpable breast lesions.

Methods
After institutional review board approval, a retrospective review of female patients with nonpalpable breast lesions excised by a new modification of wire and ultrasound-guided localization (WUGL) was performed. Consecutive patients with nonpalpable lesions of the breast were operated using this technique from July 1, 2013 to December 31, 2018 in the Department of Surgery, PGIMER, Chandigarh, a tertiary health care center in North India. This included cases with biopsy-proven screen-detected lesions, both benign and malignant. This also included biopsy-proven cases of breast cancer which became nonpalpable after primary systemic chemotherapy. Patients receiving primary systemic therapy had ultrasound visible markers placed in the center of the tumor to aid subsequent localization by ultrasound. None of the patients had any contraindication to breast-conserving surgery (BCS).
Technique

In the ultrasound suite

The patient is positioned supine and the ipsilateral arm abducted to 90° (identical to the position of the patient on the operating table). The lesion is localized by ultrasound (ACUSON 2000, Siemens) with a high resolution 5-12 MHz linear array transducer. The transducer is placed directly over the lesion and the guide wire is inserted from the side, approximately 4 cm away from the transducer to go parallel to the chest wall. The tip of the hook wire localization needle is passed through the mass and the hooks are deployed across the mass lesion (Figure 1A). A double J hook wire is used (Duolok, Bard). The borders of the lesion are then delineated under ultrasound guidance and marked circumferentially on the skin using an indelible marker (inner ring). Another circumferential marking is made 1 cm away from the tumor border (outer ring) under ultrasound guidance (Figure 2A). The hook wire is fixed securely using adhesive tape and the patient transferred to the operating suite.

In the operating suite

Under general anesthesia, the patient is positioned supine on the operating table with the ipsilateral arm abducted to 90°. The tapes securing the hook wire are removed, and the patient painted and draped for excision. Sterile methylene blue (1 mL) is loaded in a tuberculin syringe and injected at 8 points along the outer ring into the breast tissue (Figure 2B). This is done to guide the extent surgical excision after the skin flaps are raised (Figure 2C). The incision is made over the tumor or away from it as planned for the surgical procedure. Skin flaps are elevated,
as for a mastectomy, well away from the tumor margins as outlined. The flaps are elevated to bring the hook wire in the operative field (Figure 2D). The hook wire is divided at its point of entry and dissected to within the margin of excision as marked by the methylene blue injected earlier. A full thickness excision (from subcutaneous plane to the pectoral fascia) of the breast carrying the lesion is carried out. The methylene blue injected earlier guides the excision and ensures removal of the entire lesion with a 1 cm margin, as per the current recommendations for breast cancer.9 Marker clips are placed at the margins. The breast tissue on both sides of the excision is then mobilized in a plane above the pectoralis major and the tissue advanced to close the defect. The skin is closed with a subcuticular suture. A specimen radiograph is done to confirm the removal of the marker (Figure 1B).

Results
A total of 69 consecutive patients with nonpalpable lesions of the breast were operated using this technique from July 1, 2013, to December 31, 2018.

Sixty-three patients had a diagnosis of malignancy (invasive duct carcinoma—57; tubular carcinoma—1; DCIS—5). Of the patients with invasive breast cancer, 42% received primary systemic chemotherapy with the aim of doing BCS. Out of all the patients operated, 46 (87%) were in the primary systemic therapy (PST) group (the group that had received primary systemic therapy to downsize the tumor), whereas 23 (33.3%) did not receive any PST. Out of the 23 patients in no PST group, 6 patients had a diagnosis of benign lesion (intraductal papilloma—4, atypical papilloma—1 and benign phyllodes tumor—1). However, since these were suspicious lesions on biopsy or had a radio-pathological discordance, the approach in such patients was to excise the lesion in the same way as one would excise a malignant lesion. This prevents a redo surgery in case the final biopsy unexpectedly comes as malignant. After excision, the final diagnosis was intracystic papillary carcinoma in 1 patient and atypical papilloma in 1 patient who had intraductal papilloma in their preoperative biopsy, and DCIS in 1 patient who had atypical papilloma in preoperative biopsy. All the other patients had concordance in the preoperative and postoperative biopsies.

The demographic and tumor characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Only 1 patient (1.5%) had tumor margins involved with DCIS. She underwent re-excision, but negative margins could not be achieved and she subsequently underwent total mastectomy. The mastectomy specimen showed presence of further DCIS.

Discussion
Breast cancer has become the number one cancer in women in urban India with an age-adjusted breast cancer rate of 37.5 per 100,000 in Chandigarh.10 There is at present no national health policy on mammographic screening in India and as such, the number of screen-detected cancers is negligible. In contrast, locally advanced breast cancers still constitute more than 50% of the breast cancers seen in India.11 In this scenario, most of the nonpalpable tumors seen by us are after primary systemic chemotherapy. Over a period of almost 4 years, we had only 17 patients with breast cancer who presented with a nonpalpable mass.

We follow the principles of level 1 oncoplasty for performing BCS. Wide biplanar mobilization, full thickness excision of the breast bearing the tumor with clear margins, closure of the defect by advancement of the local tissues and nipple areola complex mobilization are all essential components of this approach.12

Table 1. Demographic and tumor characteristics of the patients.

|                          | NO PST (N=23) | PST (N=46) | TOTAL (N=69) |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|
| Age (years)              | 50.4 ± 10.9   | 42.3 ± 9.6 | 45.3 ± 9.8   |
| Laterality—Right         | 11 (47.8%)    | 20 (43.4%) | 31 (44.9%)   |
| Location                 |               |            |              |
| Outer quadrants          | 13 (56.5%)    | 21 (45.7%) | 34 (49.3%)   |
| Inner quadrants          | 4 (17.4%)     | 14 (30.4%) | 18 (26.1%)   |
| Preoperative biopsy proven malignancy | 17 (73.9%) | 46 (100%) | 63 (91.3%) |
| Postoperative biopsy proven malignancy | 20 (87%) | 46 (100%) | 66 (95.7%) |
| Specimen weight (g) (median [range]) | 80.0 (33-150) | 57 (20-100) | 60.0 (33-150) |
| Tumor size on pathology (mm) (median [range]) | 15(5-50) | 10.0 (0-54.0) | 12.0 (0-54.0) |
| Positive margin          | 0 (0%)        | 1 (2.2%)   | 1 (1.5%)     |
| *Closest margin (mm)—(median [range]) | 10 (0.5-10.0) | 5 (0-10) | 5 (1-10) |

PST: primary systemic therapy.
*Patient with positive margins excluded.
We believe that our technique offers several distinct advantages and overcomes most of the deficiencies of WGL. The placement of the wire parallel to the chest wall minimized the risk of accidental pneumothorax. It allows the entry point of the hook wire to be well away from the tumor and allows the surgeon to place a well-planned incision independent of the wire hampering the planning. The marking performed by ultrasound allows us to put the tumor in the center of our excision. This allows complete excision of the tumor with negative margins. Wide mobilization of flaps brings the wire into the field of dissection even when it has been inserted well away from the tumor. The wire can then be divided and dissected till it comes within the area of excision.

The extent of excision (tumor with 1 cm margin) is marked on the skin by ultrasound guidance. The injection of methylene blue transfers this marking onto the breast tissue and guides the excision after the skin flaps have been elevated, thus minimizing the chances of having positive margins circumferentially. Full-thickness excision of the breast ensures that the anterior and posterior margins are not compromised.

The use of intraoperative ultrasound to guide tumor excision is associated with lower rates of positive margins and smaller volumes of tissue excised. This requires the presence of the ultrasound equipment and the radiologist in the operating suite. The alternative is the acquisition of new equipment and skills by the surgeon. Our technique achieves ultrasound-guided excision of the tumor without having to face either alternative. The marking of the tumor and a 1 cm margin around it on the skin is done by the radiologist in the ultrasound suite. This marking helps in planning the incision and excision. Tumors in the outer quadrants can be dealt with by Lateral Oncoplastic Breast Surgery, a technique which we have described. Tumors close to the inframammary crease can be excised through an incision in the inframammary crease. The surface marking is of no use once the skin flaps are raised. The injection of methylene blue transfers this marking on the breast tissue, effectively outlining the circumferential margin of the breast tissue to be excised. Oncoplastic techniques involve a complete antero-posterior excision of the breast tissue carrying the tumor. The circumferential marking thus ensures that all margins are negative.

The standard definition of negative margins is no tumor on ink on microscopy. Clear margins obtained with WGL are reported to be 70.8% to 87.4%. We were able to achieve negative margins in 98.5% of our patients.

The learning curve is small since both the radiologists and the surgeons are already familiar with the use of WGL. The early results show this technique to be at par if not better when compared to the use of IOUS. This technique is more ergonomically suited and is extremely beneficial in resource limited and time constrained centers.

**Conclusion**

The present technique is a combined use of WUGL for excision of nonpalpable breast tumors. The technique uses methods that are familiar to the surgeon and does not involve the acquisition of new equipment or skills. Margin positivity is at very acceptable rates. It provides an effective alternative to surgeons working in resource-constrained situations.
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