Value of Exome Sequencing in Diagnosis and Management of Recurrent Non-immune Hydrops Fetalis: A Retrospective Analysis
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The purpose of the study was to use exome sequencing (ES) to study the contribution of single-gene disorders to recurrent non-immune hydrops fetalis (NIHF) and retrospectively evaluate the value of genetic diagnosis on prenatal management and pregnancy outcome. From January 2012 to October 2018, a cohort of 28 fetuses with recurrent NIHF was analyzed by trio ES. Fetuses with immune hydrops, non-genetic factors (including infection, etc.), karyotype, or CNV abnormalities were excluded. Variants were interpreted based on ACMG/AMP guidelines. Fetal therapy was performed on seven fetuses. Of the 28 fetuses, 10 (36%) were found to carry causal genetic variants (pathogenic or likely pathogenic) in eight genes (GBA, GUSB, GBE1, RAPSN, FOXC2, PIEZO1, LZTR1, and FOXP3). Five (18%) fetuses had variant(s) of uncertain significance (VUS). Of the 10 fetuses with definitive molecular diagnosis, five (50%) were diagnosed with inborn errors of metabolism. Among the seven fetuses who received fetal therapy, two had definitive molecular diagnosis and resulted in neonatal death. Among the remaining five fetuses with negative results, four had newborn survival and one had intrauterine fetal death. Trio ES could facilitate genetic diagnosis of recurrent NIHF and improve the prenatal management and pregnancy outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Hydrops fetalis is a condition of excessively pathological fluid accumulation in more than two fetal tissues and body cavities. It affects 1 in 1,700–3,000 pregnancies and is a life-threatening fetal situation. Non-immune hydrops fetalis (NIHF) was described as fetal hydrops not caused by red cell alloimmunization. NIHF accounts for around 90% of cases of hydrops fetalis (Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Norton et al., 2015). NIHF should be thought of as a symptom or an end-stage status of a variety of diseases. The etiologies of NIHF include genetic disorders, structural abnormalities, hematologic diseases,
infections, twin-twin transfusion syndrome, extrathoracic tumors, and other causes. Genetic disorders, including chromosomal abnormalities, copy number variations (CNV), and single-gene disorders, have been reported to account for one-third of NIHF cases (Moreno et al., 2013; Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Norton et al., 2015).

Traditional karyotyping and chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) have been suggested as a routine genetic testing offered to NIHF cases according to the ACOG guideline (Santo et al., 2011; Bellini et al., 2015; Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Norton et al., 2015; Mardy et al., 2019, 2020; Sparks et al., 2019). According to recently published study, in which underlying genetic etiology were investigated in a total of 65 NIHF cases, CMA and/or karyotype testing were offered on 67.7% (44/65) of cases, and 25.0% (11/44) were confirmed to have chromosomal abnormalities and pathogenic/likely pathogenic CNV (Sparks et al., 2019). As we have known, a number of single-gene disorders were also associated with NIHF according to previous limited case reports and small series focusing on some specific genetic disorders. For example, lysosomal storage disorders (LSDs) have been reported to contribute to approximately 1% of non-immune hydrops cases by specific enzymatic analyses according to previous studies from 1979 to 2013 (Burin et al., 2004; Bellini et al., 2015). With exome sequencing (ES) widely used in recent years, more rare genetic diseases related to NIHF, such as generalized lymphatic dysplasia, Cornelia de Lange syndrome, Kabuki syndrome, and RASopathies, can also be identified with diagnostic yield of 9% and 29% for NIHF with normal karyotyping and CMA given the results from the PAGE study and the recent publication (Fotiou et al., 2015; Datkhaeva et al., 2018; Lord et al., 2019; Sparks et al., 2020). However, the PAGE study was not designed specific for NIHF cases, and the population studied in the recent publication was of different racial or ethnic backgrounds.

The purpose of the study was to investigate the detection rate and classification of single-gene disorder for recurrent NIHF cases presenting at our Fetal Medicine Unit of Shanghai First Maternity and Infant Hospital for possible fetal therapy by prenatal ES to help prenatal counseling, which were more likely associated with single-gene disorder.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We performed a retrospective study of 49 cases with recurrent fetal hydrops at the Fetal Medicine Unit and Prenatal Diagnosis Center of Shanghai First Maternity and Infant Hospital from January 2012 to October 2018.

Routine prenatal work-up was followed to explore the etiology of fetal hydrops according to ACOG and Chinese NIHF national guideline (Medicine CSOP, 2017; Mardy et al., 2019). ES was offered to the cases prenatally or postnatally as follows: (1) Immune fetal hydrops were ruled out by antibody screening test. (2) Normal karyotyping and CNV results. (3) There was no evidence of intrauterine cytomegalovirus (CMV), toxoplasmosis, and parvovirus infection by serum screening or diagnostic test by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on amniotic fluid. (4) Thalassemia was ruled out by carrier screening and DNA testing following invasive diagnostic procedures. All the cases had detailed ultrasound and fetal echocardiography. Nuchal translucency or cystic hygroma in the first trimester, fetal structural abnormalities (including skeletal, cardiac, renal, intracranial, genital, face profile, etc.), and growth disorders were recorded.

Pretest counseling for prenatal ES was delivered in an intelligible fashion to the parents by trained genetic professionals. Parents were informed to receive disease-causing variants (pathogenic or likely pathogenic). They were also informed to be aware of the possibility of receiving variants of uncertain significance and choose whether to receive an extended analysis report for the fetus as well as for the parents, which included incidental and secondary findings. Results were reported to the parents when a multidisciplinary team of clinical and laboratory geneticists, obstetricians, and genetic counselors reviewed all the variants in relation to the ultrasound scan findings during pregnancy, or after delivery/termination.

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Shanghai First Maternity and Infant Hospital. Written informed consents were obtained from all patients who received genetic tests.

**Exome Sequencing and Variant Evaluation**

ES and variant evaluation were performed according to experimental procedures described previously (Hu et al., 2018). Genomic DNA was isolated from all samples by using the QIAamp DNA Blood Midi Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Targeted regions were captured by the SureSelectXT Human All Exon V6 (Cat. No. 5190–8864, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) kit. NGS was performed on the HiSeq X Ten platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Paired-end reads were aligned to the GRCh37/hg19 human reference sequence. BAM and VCF files were generated by NextGENe software (SoftGenetics, State College, PA).

Variants were annotated and filtered by Ingenuity Variant Analysis. Common variants were filtered based on their frequencies in the databases of the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD)³, the Exome Sequencing Project⁴, the 1000 Genomes Project⁴, and an internal database. Forty genes associated with HPO, termed “Non-immune hydrops fetalis HP:0001790,” were first analyzed. If no candidate variant was found, we further analyzed all genes for putative disease-causing variants. Genes associated with inborn errors of metabolism, generalized lymphatic dysplasia, skeletal dysplasia, neurodevelopment disorders, cardiomyopathies, congenital nephritis, mitochondrial mutations, RASopathies, etc., were carefully analyzed. Rare phenotype-related variants were classified according to the American College of Medical
Genetics and Genomics/Association for Molecular Pathology (ACMG/AMP) guidelines (Richards et al., 2015). All putative disease-causing variants detected by ES were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Family members were also examined by PCR and Sanger sequencing to test the origin of the variants. A multidisciplinary team of clinical and laboratory geneticists, obstetricians, and genetic counselors reviewed all the variants in relation to the ultrasound scan findings to make a final decision about the seven variant classifications (e.g., positive-definitive, positive-probable, positive-possible, uncertain-VUS, uncertain-Autosomal Recessive, Single heterozygous variant, uncertain-contributory, and uncertain-other) according to the classification scheme of case-level results by Vora et al. (2017).

RESULTS

A total of 49 recurrent hydrops fetalis cases were identified from January 2012 through October 2018 in our center (Figure 1). Among these, 10 were immune fetal hydrops cases. One case was CNV anomalies with 5p15.33p14.3 duplication and 13q32.2q34 deletion from paternal balanced translocation. Two cases were confirmed with alpha-thalassemia by carrier screening and DNA testing by invasive diagnostic procedures. There were 28 pregnant women who agreed to have further ES evaluation for hydropic fetuses, and eight women refused. The demographics of the overall cohort are described in Table 1. The turnaround time of ES is 4–6 weeks.

Molecular Diagnosis

The genotype and phenotype data of our cohort are listed in Table 2 and Supplementary Table 1. Ten (36%) fetuses had a diagnostic genetic finding (i.e., the variant was considered pathogenic or likely pathogenic and causative of the fetal phenotype). These diagnostic variants included eight missense mutations, two in-frame deletion mutations, two frameshift mutations, one canonical + one splice site mutation, one stop-gain mutation, and one initiation codon mutation. Five (18%) fetuses showed genetic variants that were classified as clinically relevant variants of uncertain clinical significance (VUS). Thirteen fetuses (46%) had a negative result.

Of 10 diagnostic cases, nine fetuses had inherited the relevant mutations from their parents (one fetus with diagnosis of Gaucher disease, type II, GBA; three with mucopolysaccharidosis VII, GUSB; one with glycogen storage disease IV, GBE1; one with congenital myasthenic syndrome, RAPSN; one with lymphatic malformation-6, PIEZO1; one with Noonan syndrome LZTR1, and one with immunodeficiency, polyendocrinopathy, and enteropathy, FOXP3). The one with FOXP3 hemizygous mutation was X-linked recessive inherited disorders. One had a de novo mutation (lymphedema–distichiasis syndrome, FOXC2). For the de novo case given the history of recurrent NIHF, although there was no sample available for the previous pregnancy to confirm the same etiology with current pregnancy, germline mosaicism was highly suspected from parents. Among the 28 fetuses, 15 fetuses had additional abnormal ultrasound findings besides hydrops (including abnormal cardiovascular findings by echocardiogram, urinary tract abnormalities, gastrointestinal anomalies, skeletal abnormalities, and with 14% of the cases (4 of 28) had increased nuchal translucency (3 mm) or cystic hygroma). Among these, 6 (40%) of 15 cases had diagnostic genetic finding from ES results. Thirteen fetuses were isolated NIHF and 4 (31%) of 13 had diagnostic genetic finding. There was no significant difference in the detection rate of single-gene disorders between hydropic fetuses with or without other abnormal ultrasound findings.

Pregnancy Outcome and the Value of Genetic Diagnosis on Prenatal Management

Among the cohort, 16 chose termination of pregnancy (TOP) directly and 12 families chose to continue pregnancy. Seven fetuses received therapy, including intrauterine transfusion(s) for anemia and centesis and/or shunt insertion for pleural effusion (Tables 1, 2). With ES performed prenatally and postnatally. Five fetal interventions were done in isolated cases. Two were associated with other abnormal ultrasound findings, which were considered as secondary findings related to fetal hydrops: One was associated with talipes equinovarus and one with tricuspid...
### TABLE 2 | The phenotype and genotype information of the cohort.

| ID | Number of prior pregnancies affected by NIHF | MA (years) | GA (weeks) | Fetal hydrops | Other ultrasonography abnormalities | Prenatal therapeutic management (Y/N) | Pregnancy outcome | Variant, Classification and Inheritance | Novel or previously reported (PMID, ClinVar) | Clinical diagnosis | Case-level Classification |
|----|-----------------------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| 1  | 2                                             | 30         | 20 + 1     | Skin edema, pleural effusions, ascites | NT = 1.2 mm, Atrial premature beats, Small stomach bubble | TOP at 26 w | N | GBA, NM_001005741.2, c.1448T > C, p.Leu483Pro, Pathogenic (PS3 + PM1 + PM2 + PP3 + PP4 + PP5), Homozygous, Paternally and maternally inherited | PMID: 23719189, 22713811, 15146461 | Gaucher disease, type II, AR | Positive–definitive |
| 2  | 1                                             | 27         | 24 + 6     | Skin edema, pleural effusions, ascites | NT = 1.9 mm, Talipes equinovarus | TOP at 27 + 2 w | N | GUSB, NM_000181.3, c.1192C > T, Arg398Cys, Likely pathogenic (PM1 + PM2 + PP3 + PP4), Homozygous, Paternally and maternally inherited | Novel | Mucopolysaccharidosis VII, AR | Positive–probable |
| 3  | 2                                             | 38         | 24 + 4     | Skin edema, pleural effusions, ascites | NT normal | TOP | GUSB, NM_000181.3, c.104C > A, p.Ser35*, Pathogenic (PM1 + PM2 + PP3 + PP4), Paternally inherited; c.1091C > T, p.Pro364Leu, Likely pathogenic (PM1 + PM2 + PP3 + PP4), Maternally inherited | ClinVar; PMID:19224584 | Mucopolysaccharidosis VII, AR | Positive–probable |
| 4  | 2                                             | 29         | 21 + 4     | Skin thickening at level of fetal skull, pleural effusions, ascites | NT = 1.7 mm, Talipes equinovarus | Premature rupture of the membranes and cesarean delivery at 31 + 6 w, neonatal mortality within 24 h of birth | N | GUSB, NM_000181.3, c.1610T > C, p.Ile537Thr, Likely pathogenic (PM1 + PM2 + PP3 + PP4), Paternally inherited; c.323C > T, p.Pro108Leu, Likely pathogenic (PM1 + PM2 + PP3 + PP4), Maternally inherited | Novel; Novel | Mucopolysaccharidosis VII, AR | Positive–probable |
| 5  | 1                                             | 30         | 15 + 3     | Skin edema, pleural effusions, ascites | NT = 6.9 mm, Talipes equinovarus | TOP | N | GBE1, NM_000158.3, c.1229T > G, p.Ile410Arg, Likely pathogenic (PM1 + PM2 + PP3 + PP4), Paternally inherited; c.773C > T, p.Ala258Val, Likely pathogenic (PM1 + PM2 + PP3 + PP4), Maternally inherited | Novel; Novel | Glycogen storage disease IV, AR | Positive–probable |

(Continued)
| ID | Number of prior pregnancies affected by NIHF | MA (years) | GA (weeks) | Fetal hydrops, pleural effusions | Other ultrasonography abnormalities | Prenatal therapeutic management (Y/N) | Pregnancy outcome | Variant, Classification and Inheritance | Novel or previously reported (PMID, ClinVar) | Clinical diagnosis | Case-level Classification |
|----|---------------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| 6  | 2                                           | 23         | 26 + 1     | Skin edema, pleural effusions | NT = 2.4 mm, Narrow thorax; hand-clenching | N                                    | TOP             | RAPSN, NM_005055.4, c.1119_1121del, p.Lys373del, Likely pathogenic (PM2 + PM3 + PP3 + PP4), Homozygous, Paternally and maternally inherited | Novel                                        | Congenital myasthenic syndrome, AR           | Positive–probable |
| 7  | 1                                           | 26         | 25 + 3     | Skin edema, pleural effusions | NT normal, Polyhydramnios          | Y (Needle drainage of pleural effusion at 25 w, Thoracoamniotic shunt placement at 25 + 3 w, Thoracoamniotic shunt placement at 26.5 w) | Ceasarean delivery at 32 + 6 w, neonatal mortality within 24 h of birth | PIEZO1, NM_001142864.3, c.5366_5367dupAG, p.Leu1790Serfs*132, Pathogenic (PVS1 + PM2 + PP4), Paternally inherited; c.7049 + 1G > C, Pathogenic (PVS1 + PM2 + PP3), Maternally inherited | Novel; Novel                                     | Lymphatic malformation-6, AR                        | Positive–definitive |
| 8  | 2                                           | 31         | 29 + 2     | Skin edema, pleural effusions, pericardial effusion, ascites | NT = 1.8 mm                          | N                                    | TOP             | FOXC2, NM_005251.2, c.361C > T, p.Arg1211Cys, Pathogenic (PS2 + PS3 + PM2 + PM5 + PP3 + PP4) | PMID: 19760751                                  | Lymphedema-dystichiasis syndrome, AD                  | Positive–definitive |
| 9  | 2                                           | 29         | 14         | Skin thickening at level of fetal nucha, pleural effusions | NT = 3 mm, Coarctation of the aorta, Ectopic kidney | N                                    | TOP             | LZTR1, NM_000676.3, c.1A > G, p.Met17?, Pathogenic (PVS1 + PM2 + PP3 + PP4), Paternally inherited; c.27dupG,p.Gln101Alafs*24, Pathogenic (PVS1 + PM2 + PP3), Maternally inherited | Novel; ClinVar                                   | Noonan syndrom, AR                                 | Positive–definitive |
| 10 | 2                                           | 27         | 16 + 5     | Skin edema                         | NT = 1.3 mm                          | N                                    | TOP             | FOX3P3, NM_014009.3, c.1120_1122del, p.Phe574del, Likely pathogenic (PM2 + PM4 + PP3 + PP4), hemizygous, Maternally inherited | Novel                                         | Immunodysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, and enteropathy, X-linked | Positive–probable |
TABLE 2 | Continued

| ID | Number of prior pregnancies affected by NIHF | MA (years) | GA (weeks) | Fetal hydrops or Other ultrasonography abnormalities | Prenatal therapeutic management (Y/N) | Pregnancy outcome | Clinical exome sequencing results |
|----|---------------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
|    |                                             |            |            |                                                     |                                     |                  | Variant, Classification and Inheritance | Novel or previously reported (PMID, ClinVar) | Clinical diagnosis | Case-level Classification |
| 11 | 1                                           | 27         |            | Skin edema, pleural effusions                        | NT = 3.1 mm                         | N                | TOP                             | RAPSN, NM_005055.4, c.149_153delins24, Pathogenic (PVS1 + PM2 + PP3), Paternally inherited; c.368G > A, p.Gly123Asp, VUS (PM2 + PP3 + PP4), Maternally inherited | ClinVar; Novel      | Congenital myasthenic syndrome, AR | Positive–possible |
| 12 | 1                                           | 25         | 21 + 2     | Skin thickening at level of fetal skull, ascites     | NT = 1.3 mm, Narrow thorax; hand-clenching | N                | TOP at 24 + 2 w                  | RAPSN, NM_005055.4, c.1119_1121del, p.Lys373del, Likely pathogenic (PM2 + PM3 + PP3 + PP4), Paternally inherited; c.188T > C, p.Leu63Pro, VUS (PM23 + PP3 + PP4), Maternally inherited | Novel; Novel | Congenital myasthenic syndrome, AR | Positive–possible |
| 13 | 1                                           | 30         | 31         | Skin thickening at level of fetal occiput and nucha, pleural effusions, pericardial effusion | NT = 1.6 mm                         | N                | Cesarean delivery at 34 w         | PIEZO1, NM_001142864.3, c.4640G > A, p.Arg1547His, VUS (PM2), Paternally inherited; c.6180C > T, p.Ala2227Val, VUS (PM2), Maternally inherited | Novel; Novel | Generalized lymphatic dysplasia, AR | Uncertain–VUS |
| 14 | 1                                           | 26         | 27 + 6     | Pleural effusions, ascites                           | NT = 1.8 mm                         | N                | TOP                             | PIEZO1, NM_001142864.3, c.1796T > G, p.Val599Gly, VUS (PM2), Maternally inherited | Novel | Dehydrated hereditary stomatocytosis with or without pseudohyperkalemia and/or perinatal edema, AD | Uncertain–VUS |
| 15 | 3                                           | 31         | 13 + 5     | Skin edema, ascites                                  | Cystic hygroma                      | N                | TOP                             | PROC, NM_000312.3, c.577_579del p.Lys193del, VUS (PS2 + PM2), De novo | Novel | Protein C deficiency, AD | Uncertain–VUS |

\[1\] Y, prenatal therapeutic management was performed on fetuses; N, prenatal therapeutic management was not performed. \[2\] Pregnancy outcome is included TOP, IUFD (Intra uterine fetal death), newborn survival, neonatal death. \[3\] Classification according to ref. 12 in the text. \[4\] Classification (e.g., positive-definitive, positive-probable, positive-possible, uncertain-VUS, uncertain-AR Het, uncertain-contributory and uncertain-other) was according to the classification scheme of case-level results developed by Vora et al. (2017). MA, maternal age; GA, gestational age; TOP, termination of pregnancy; VUS, variants of uncertain significance. The italic terms in the column: Variant, Classification, and Inheritance§ mean gene name and the corresponding mRNA transcript. The italic terms in the column: Novel or previously reported (PMID, ClinVar) mean that the variant is novel or previously reported in the paper [PubMed identifier (PMID)] and ClinVar database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar). The symbol * in GUSB, NM_000181.3, c.104C > A, p.Ser35* means termination codon. The symbol * in PIEZO1, NM_001142864.3, c.5366_5367dupAG, p.Leu1790Serfs*132 means frameshift variant that introduces a termination codon, 132 codons downstream.
regurgitation. Four of them survived with good outcomes and negative ES results. Three of them had perinatal death. One had fetal demise mostly like due to the complication from blood transfusion with negative ES result. The other two had neonatal death with positive ES results.

**DISCUSSION**

Trio-ES could deliver a diagnostic yield of 36% (10/28) in recurrent NIHf fetuses with no aneuploidy and causative CNVs detected and negative screening for alpha-thalassemia and beta-thalassemia.

A higher diagnostic rate (36%) was noticed in our study compared with other studies (Moreno et al., 2013; Sheth et al., 2017; Sudrie-Arnaud et al., 2018; Lord et al., 2019; Sparks et al., 2019, 2020). One reason was that recurrent fetal hydrops in the study represented a highly selected study group, which indicated that a higher incidence of single-gene disorders and non-genetic reasons contributing to NIHf has been ruled out as much as possible in the study following systematic work-up for NIHf. To our knowledge, only one study showed higher diagnostic rate (58%) by prenatal ES than our study. However, undetected variants in critical functional regions of the known genes associated with NIHf beyond the exons or those that were not captured at sufficient depth for panel sequencing and CNVs below the resolution of chromosomal microarray still cannot be excluded. Additional WGS or Bionano optical mapping (BOM) may be beneficial in this situation while considering recurrent NIHf.

Among the cases with positive ES results, 80% of the cases (8 of 10) were autosomal recessive. One was X-linked recessive. One was autosomal dominant. Those major causes of fetal hydrops such as RASopathies, which composed the largest proportion in the recent publication (Sparks et al., 2020), are likely to be de novo and therefore would not have been identified in our recurrent cohort, which might skew results toward the autosomal recessive inherited disorders. The results also indicated that inborn errors of metabolism accounted for 50% of the single-gene disorders for NIHf detected by ES, and they all confirmed to diagnosed with lysosomal storage disorders (LSD). A previous study from the Indian study group also indicated that lysosomal storage disorders (LSD) accounted for 21% (7/33) of NIHf cases. These indicated biochemical screening (HPLC-MS/MS) and/or lysosomal enzyme testing as a first-line test, which may help to improve the diagnostic strategy for NIHf.

It has been a big challenge to counsel with patients with recurrent fetal hydrops prenatally regarding whether genetic testing, including karyotype, CMA, and ES, should be offered to all the patients with NIHf or not. The study demonstrated that there was no significant difference in the detection rate of
single-gene disorders between hydropic fetouses with or without structural abnormalities and suggested that ES should be offered to NIHF, especially recurrent NIHF cases, no matter whether the hydrops was isolated or not.

To explore the value of WES in the fetal therapy, prenatal and postnatal WES results from seven cases following fetal therapy for NIHF were reviewed, and perinatal outcomes were followed up. Four cases survived with good outcomes, which all had negative ES results. Three cases had perinatal death, two of which had positive ES results diagnosed by postnatal samples. Our study indicated that developing rapid or even express ES would be helpful to prioritize workflow for prenatal management for improving the perinatal outcomes.

Our study is not without limitations. The turnaround time (4–6 weeks) is relatively long for a prenatal application, and the sample size in our study is too small to systematically evaluate the profile and frequency of each single-gene disorder in NIHF. A large prospective study of the NIHF cohort will be expected in the future study.

In conclusion, trio-ES can deliver a high diagnostic yield in recurrent NIHF condition. Inborn errors of metabolism were the major causes of the single-gene disorders for recurrent NIHF and accounts for half of our diagnosed cases. The identification of a single-gene disorder may optimize the workflow for prenatal management for NIHF.
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