Progression of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Accompanied with Reduced E-Cadherin Expression but Not Cadherin Switch
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Abstract

The cadherin switch from E-cadherin to N-cadherin is considered as a hallmark of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition and progression of carcinomas. Although it enhances aggressive behaviors of adenocarcinoma cells, the significance and role of cadherin switch in squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs) are largely controversial. In the present study, we immunohistochemically examined expression of E-cadherin and N-cadherin in oral SCCs (n = 63) and its implications for the disease progression. The E-cadherin-positive carcinoma cells were rapidly decreased at the invasive front. The percentage of carcinoma cells stained E-cadherin at the cell membrane was reduced in parallel with tumor dedifferentiation (P < 0.01) and enhanced invasion (P < 0.01). In contrast, N-cadherin-positive cells were very limited and did not correlate with the clinicopathological parameters. Mouse tongue tumors xenotransplanted oral SCC cell lines expressing both cadherins in vitro reproduced the reduction of E-cadherin-positive carcinoma cells at the invasive front and the negligible expression of N-cadherin. These results demonstrate that the reduction of E-cadherin-mediated carcinoma cell-cell adhesion at the invasive front, but not the cadherin switch, is an important determinant for oral SCC progression, and suggest that the environments surrounding carcinoma cells largely affect the cadherin expression.

Introduction

The annual incidence of new cases of oral squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), worldwide, is estimated at 350,000 to 400,000 and is predicted to increase in the next few decades. Regardless of the therapeutic approaches and the location and stage of the diseases, >50% of patients experience a relapse [1]. Understanding molecular mechanisms regulating oral SCC progression is a prerequisite for improving the patient prognosis. At the first step of progression, SCC cells must sequester from their primary sites and invade into the basement membrane and underlying tissues. This step requires the dissociation of cell-cell adhesion. SCCs with weak cell-cell adhesion invade in a form of small subsets or individuals of cells, and predispose themselves to a more advanced state of progression. During the invasion, carcinoma cell phenotype and interaction with the microenvironments play a decisive role for the progression [2,3]. From this standpoint, it is important to investigate the mechanism at the invasive front where the interactions occur.

Oral epithelial cells are connected to each other by tight cell-cell adhesion mediated by cadherins. Cadherins are calcium-dependent transmembrane proteins that are developmentally regulated and evolutionally conserved and form a superfamily. Among the cadherin superfamily, E-cadherin and N-cadherin are the most prominent members, and a large body of information about them has been collected [4]. E-cadherin is expressed in virtually all epithelial tissues, and N-cadherin predominantly in neural tissues but also fibroblasts, skeletal muscle, and endothelial cells [5]. Kan et al. [6] addressed the interchangeability by substituting E-cadherin gene (CDH1) to N-cadherin gene (CDH2) using the knock-in strategy in mice. Heterozygous mice co-expressing E-cadherin and N-cadherin show normal embryonic development and are viable. The homozygous knock-in embryonic stem cells form teratomas containing various epithelial-like structures. These results suggest that N-cadherin can support the formation of epithelia in the absence of E-cadherin.

During carcinoma progression, carcinoma cells at the invasive front frequently lose epithelial cell phenotypes and acquire mesenchymal cell-like phenotypes, referred to the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). The EMT enhances migratory, invasive and metastatic behaviors of carcinoma cells, and yields chemoresistance and stem cell-like features [7]. The reduction or loss of E-cadherin and the gain of N-cadherin expression, referred as the cadherin switch, are considered as a hallmark of EMT [7,8]. The presence of cadherin switch and the clinical implications are well documented in adenocarcinomas of the gastrointestinal tract, breast and prostate [8]. However, expression of N-cadherin and the involvement in disease progression in SCCs are a controversial...
Expression of Cadherins in Normal Oral Epithelium

E-cadherin was immunolocalized at the cell membranes of basal and suprabasal epithelial cells (Figure 2A). Intensity of the staining in the suprabasal cells was much stronger than the basal cells, and gradually decreased or disappeared in cells that had undergone keratinization. N-cadherin did not react with oral epithelial cells.

Immunostaining of Oral SCCs and its Pathological Implications

The immunoreactivities were diverse from the location of cells at the center and the invasive front of tumors (Figure 2B). At the center of tumor masses, E-cadherin was strongly expressed and its localization mimicked the staining pattern in normal epithelium; the immunoreactivity was weak in cells located at the periphery of tumor cell nests as in the normal basal cells.

The E-cadherin-positive SCC cells at the invasive front rapidly decreased. Compared to the membranous staining at the center of tumor masses, it diffusely localized in the cytoplasm in a high frequency. Since cadherins are functional at adherence junctions but not when they are distributed to the cytoplasm, the percentage of positively stained SCC cells was independently calculated as the membranous stained group (36.7±34.4%, mean ± S.D.) and the cytoplasmic stained group (19.5±22.1%). Percentage of the membranous stained group was significantly decreased in parallel with the histological differentiation and the mode of invasion (Table 1). Although well-differentiated and low invasive (grades 1 and 2) SCCs showed the high percentage of membrane staining, poorly-differentiated and most invasive (grade 4D) SCCs dramatically reduced it.

N-cadherin immunoreactivities and the percentage of positively stained cells were limited. At the invasive front, the percentage of the membranous stained group and the cytoplasmic stained group were 3.17±4.40% and 0.92±1.41%, respectively. Neither of which were statistically associated with the clinicopathological parameters (Table S2). A positive relationship between both cadherins was observed at the invasive front in the membranous stained group (36.7±34.4%, mean ± S.D.) and the cytoplasmic stained group (19.5±22.1%). Percentage of the membranous stained group was significantly decreased in parallel with the histological differentiation and the mode of invasion (Table 1). Although well-differentiated and low invasive (grades 1 and 2) SCCs showed the high percentage of membrane staining, poorly-differentiated and most invasive (grade 4D) SCCs dramatically reduced it.

Differential Expression of Cadherins in in vitro and in vivo Environments

Oral SCC cell lines cultured in plastic dishes apparently expressed E-cadherin and N-cadherin that were supported by the quantitative real-time PCR (Figure 3A). Since N-cadherin expression was very limited in oral SCC tissues, it was speculated that differential cellular environments play a decisive role for the expression. To verify the hypothesis, oral SCC cells expressing both cadherins were cultured on glass slides and subjected to the immunostaining and real-time PCR (Figure 3B and S2). Both cadherins strongly reacted in the cell membrane at the cell-cell contacts, and the mRNA was expressed at a comparable level to that on plastic dishes. When SCC cells were xenografted into the nude mouse tongue, they developed tumors. Although E-cadherin was strongly detected in carcinoma cells, the reaction was reduced at the peripheries of tumor cell nests and at the invasive front cells (Figure 3C). As in oral SCC patients, little or no N-cadherin expression was detected. The negligible detection of N-cadherin is not attributed to the antibody sensitivity since the specific expression of E-cadherin in the tongue epithelium and N-cadherin in cardiac muscle was detected as in human normal tissue (Figure S3).
Discussion

The cadherin switch from E-cadherin to N-cadherin strongly enhances aggressive phenotypes of adenocarcinoma cells and is expected to move the patients toward an unfavorable prognosis [8]. Advanced oral SCCs decreased E-cadherin expression but not upregulated N-cadherin. These findings were supported by a xenotransplantation mouse model showing that N-cadherin expression was negligible in tumor tissues regardless of its abundance in plastic and glass dishes. The reduction of E-cadherin expression, but not the cadherin switch in tissue environments, may regulate oral SCC progression.

E-cadherin plays an indispensable role for epithelial development and homeostasis and for tumor suppression. Targeting CDH1 in the mouse skin promotes hyperproliferation of basal cells and the loss of terminal differentiation [19]. In a pancreatic cancer mouse model, adenomas convert to carcinomas with the loss of CDH1 and the loss of terminal differentiation [19]. In a pancreatic cancer mouse model using carcinoma cell lines expressing both E-cadherin and N-cadherin [20]. The loss of expression can result from inadequate protein regulation and gene expression [4]. Kudo et al. [21] reported the promoter hypermethylation of the gene in oral SCC cells at the invasive front but not at the non-invasive areas. In addition, the invasive front cells predominantly express the transcriptional repressors, SNAIL1 and ZEB2 [22,23]. Protein dis-regulation also causes E-cadherin reduction. The p120CTN binds to the cytoplasmic domain of E-cadherin, and protects from endocytosis and degradation of E-cadherin and from dissociation of cells [24]. Oral SCC cells at the invasive front decrease p120CTN expression along with the E-cadherin reduction (Sasaya et al., manuscript in preparation). The present study showed that the expression of E-cadherin was maintained at the center of tumor masses and rapidly decreased towards the invasive front. The loss of expression was parallel with the dedifferentiation and the enhanced invasion. E-cadherin reduction at the invasive front may be regulated by a multi-faceted factor.

Recent studies emphasize a close association of inappropriate N-cadherin expression with carcinoma invasion and metastasis. However, most of this data was derived from adenocarcinomas [8]. Previous studies on oral SCCs reported that N-cadherin is expressed in a range of 37.0–92.4% of the cases, and expected that the cadherin switch may be involved in oral SCC progression [10,25,26]. However, the immunostaining data in this study showed that the percentage of N-cadherin-positive oral SCCs was limited and did not correlate with the clinicopathological parameters and E-cadherin reduction (data not shown). A recent study demonstrated that ~90% of oropharyngeal SCCs were negative for N-cadherin and that cadherin switch had not happened [12]. Expression of N-cadherin is frequently observed in non-SCCs of the lung, but none of the lung SCCs express it [27]. Xenotransplanted mouse tumors in the present study confirmed negligible expression of N-cadherin. The presence and contribution of cadherin switch in SCCs may different from adenocarcinomas. Attention should be made to finally determine the role in the pathology of oral SCCs.

Aggressive subsets of oral SCC cells frequently lose the keratinocyte-differentiation markers and express mesenchymal cell-specific molecules at the invasive front [29–32]. This indicates the presence and involvement of EMT in the carcinoma progression. Although the cadherin switch is considered as a representable marker of the EMT, most studies on the role for aggressive behaviors of SCC cells were established by in vitro studies. Contradiction between in vitro and pathological studies makes understanding the role in SCC progression difficult. The cadherin switch in tissues, namely in a 3D environment, is a matter of debate [33]. In the present study, the xenotransplantation mouse model using carcinoma cell lines expressing both E-cadherin and N-cadherin in vitro reproduced the staining pattern in human oral SCC tissues: reduction of E-cadherin staining at the invasive front highlights the role in the pathology of oral SCCs. Future studies on the cadherin regulation by
cells. B: Oral carcinoma cells (KOSC2, HSC2 and OSC19) cultured on glass slides were stained with antibodies against E-cadherin or N-cadherin.

Figure 3. In vitro and in vivo cadherin expression in oral carcinoma cell lines. A: CDH1 and CDH2 expression were quantitatively examined by the real-time PCR. Relative expression was standardized by the expression level of β-actin in each sample and calibrated with the expression in HaCaT cells. B: Oral carcinoma cells (KOS2C, HSC2 and OSC19) cultured on glass slides were stained with antibodies against E-cadherin or N-cadherin. Bars = 10 μm. C: Oral carcinoma cells were transplanted into the mouse tongue, and subjected to the cadherin immunostaining. Arrows indicate carcinoma cells at the peripheries of tumor cell nests; Arrowheads indicate carcinoma cells at the invasive front; Double arrowheads indicate N-cadherin-positive carcinoma cells. Bars = 12.5 μm.

do:10.1371/journal.pone.0047899.g003

cellular environments will expand understanding of the mechanism of oral SCC progression.

Materials and Methods

Patients

A total of 63 individual oral SCCs specimens were taken at Kanazawa University Hospital during incisional or excisional biopsy from 1988 to 2003. The median age of the study patients was 64.7 yrs (range, 39–93 yrs) at the time of diagnosis. The details of the pretreatment clinical and pathologic characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Histologic grading and staging were assessed according to the 1987 International Union Against Cancer (UICC) tumor-node-metastases classification. The mode of invasion classifies oral SCCs according to their histologic characters: grade 1, well-defined borderline; grade 2, cords, less marked borderline; grade 3, groups of cells, no distinct borderline; grade 4C, cord-like type with diffuse invasion; and grade 4D, widespread type with diffuse invasion [2]. Normal oral epithelium and other tissues were obtained from patients or autopsies who had no history of head and neck carcinomas. All tissues were obtained with the written consent and with approval by the institutional review boards of Kanazawa University and Nippon Dental University.

Cell Lines

Immortalized human oral SCC cell lines (TSU, HSC2, KOSC2, KOSC3, SCCKN, OSC19, and Ca9.22) were obtained from the Cell Resource Center for Biomedical Research Institute of Development, Aging and Cancer (Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan) or RIKEN Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan) in 2010 and cryopreserved. The cell banks periodically characterize cell lines, cell morphology and karyotyping. Cells were maintained in 10% fetal bovine serum-containing DMEM or RPMI1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or RIKEN Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan) or RIKEN Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan) in 2010 and cryopreserved. The cell banks periodically characterize cell lines, cell morphology and karyotyping. Cells were maintained in 10% fetal bovine serum-containing medium and fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde for 15 min at 23°C. The cell lines were maintained in 10% fetal bovine serum-containing DMEM. All cells were cultured until 80–90% confluency was obtained.

Orthotopic Nude Mouse Model of Oral SCCs

Female athymic BALB/c-nu/nu nude mice (CLEA Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) were used at 7 weeks of age. They were housed and maintained in laminar flow cabinets under pathogen-free conditions. Oral SCC cells (KOS2C, HSC2 and OSC19; 2.0×10^5 cells/25 μl of serum-free culture medium) were injected into the lateral tongue with a microsyringe with a 27-gauge needle as outlined in a previous study [36]. The mice were weighed twice a week and housed until 41 days after injection. The mice were euthanized when they had lost more than 20% of their preinjection body weight or had become moribund. The tongue tumors were removed and fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. The mice were used in accordance with the Rules for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals Guidelines of The Nippon Dental University under a protocol approved by the Institutional Review Board.

Immunohistochemistry

Unstained formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded sections of oral SCCs and normal tissues were treated with microwave (500 W, 12 min) in 0.01 M sodium citrate buffer, pH 6.0, and incubated (14 h at 4°C) with mouse antibodies against E-cadherin (25 μg/ml, clone 180215, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) followed by biotinylated secondary antibodies (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). After treatment with avidin-biotin complexes (Vector Laboratories), the color was developed with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride. For N-cadherin staining, sections were reacted with mouse antibodies to N-cadherin (10 ng/ml, clone N-cad 1–1–3, Takara, Otsu, Japan) for 14 h at 4°C and horse-radish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibody, and the color was developed using the CSA II staining kit (DAKO, Glostrup, Sweden). We determined that carcinoma cells with the strong circumferential membranous staining were membrane-positive and with strong cytoplasmic granular staining were cytoplasm-positive [37]. The percentage of carcinoma cells stained membrane and cytoplasm was independently determined according to previous studies [38–41]. All specimens were examined by two observers (T.H. and K.I.) blinded to the clinical and pathologic information. For each specimen, the numbers of carcinoma cells (over 3,000) and cadherin-positive carcinoma cells were counted by microscopic examination at x40 magnification. Subsequently, the percentage of the positive carcinoma cells to the total cells was calculated. To clarify the specificity of the staining, sections were reacted with non-immune mouse IgG (10 μg/ml) instead of primary antibodies.

In preparation for immunohistochemistry on mouse tissues, sections were incubated with mouse anti-E-cadherin (R&D Systems) or anti-N-cadherin antibodies (Takara) over night at 4°C, and the reaction was processed using a Mouse-on-Mouse staining kit (Vector Laboratories). After treatment with avidin-biotin complexes, the color was developed with AEC (Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan).

Immunocytochemistry

KOS2C, HSC2 and OSC19 cells were cultured on glass slides (Lab-Tek Chamber II, Thermo Scientific, Yokohama, Japan) in 10% fetal bovine serum-containing medium and fixed in 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at 23°C. After treatment with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 7 min, the cells were reacted to primary antibodies: E-cadherin or N-cadherin for 16 h at 4°C. Alexa Fluor 546 anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, Camarillo, CA) was used for secondary antibodies.

Real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cell lines and reverse transcribed to cDNA by MultiScribe Reverse Transcriptase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and was subjected to real-time PCR using the StepOne Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). PCR conditions was 95°C for 20 s followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 s and 60°C for 20 s. The CDH1- and CDH2-specific TaqMan probes (CDH1, Hs01023894; CDH2, Hs00983056; Applied Biosystems) were used. Expression levels were normalized against β-actin (TaqMan Endogenous Control Human ACTB, Applied
Biosystems). Levels of gene expression (2^(-ΔΔCt)) were determined by the standard curve method [42] and calibrated by the levels of HaCaT cells.

Statistical Analysis
Association of percentage of cadherin-immunoreactive cells and clinicopathological parameters were analyzed by Welch's ANOVA or regression analysis using JMP 7.0.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Supporting Information
Figure S1 Immunostaining of cadherins in human normal tissues. Normal tissues (a-d, stomach; e and f, colon; g and h, heart) were stained by an anti-E-cadherin antibody (a, b, e and g) and an anti-N-cadherin antibody (c, d, f and h). Bar = 125 μm (a and c), 50 μm (e and f), 25 μm (g and h), and 12.5 μm (b and d). (TIF)

Figure S2 Expression of CDH1 and CDH2 in carcinoma cells cultured on plastic and glass dishes. Expression of CDH1 and CDH2 mRNA in carcinoma cells cultured on plastic dishes (blue bards) or glass dishes (green bards) were quantitatively measured by the real-time PCR using TaqMan probes. (TIF)

Figure S3 Immunostaining of cadherin in mouse tissues. Normal tongue epithelium and cardiac muscles of mouse were stained for E-cadherin and N-cadherin. Bar = 12.5 μm (TIF)
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