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Abstract

We present early results regarding the morphological and structural properties of galaxies seen with the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) at $z > 3$ in the Early Release Observations toward the SMACS 0723 cluster field. Using JWST we investigate, for the first time, the optical morphologies of a significant number of $z > 3$ galaxies with accurate photometric redshifts in this field to determine the form of galaxy structure in the relatively early universe. We use visual morphologies and MORFOMETRYKA measures to perform quantitative morphology measurements, both parametric with light profile fitting (Sérsic indices) and nonparametric (concentration, asymmetry, and smoothness (CAS) values). Using these, we measure the relative fraction of disk, spheroidal, and peculiar galaxies at $3 < z < 8$. We discover the surprising result that at $z > 1.5$ disk galaxies dominate the overall fraction of morphologies, with a factor of $\sim 10$ relative higher number of disk galaxies than seen by the Hubble Space Telescope at these redshifts. Our visual morphological estimates of galaxies align closely with their locations in CAS parameter space and their Sérsic indices.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Galaxy classification systems (582)

1. Introduction

The James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) was launched on 2021 December 25 and its first operational image released to the public on 2022 July 11 by US President Joe Biden. This first image is a very deep image of the RELICS cluster SMACS J0723.3-732 (SMACS 0723; Ebeling et al. 2010; Repp & Ebeling 2018; Coe et al. 2019). SMACS 0723 is massive cluster of galaxies at $z = 0.390$, which is also known to contain an extensive collection of strong gravitational arcs with a measured and modeled mass profile (e.g., Golubchik et al. 2022; Pascale et al. 2022). As this is the first JWST image to be released for a field where many objects have existing accurate photometric redshifts, it enables us to study the morphological evolution of galaxies with the earliest JWST data available.

Even before the release of the raw imaging, it was clear from the publicly released promotional color image that this cluster contained a collection of red and spiral galaxies that were not obviously present in the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) imaging. These observations provide the ideal resource for a first examination of the problem of how galaxy morphology changes from HST to JWST, and how rest-frame optical morphologies appear in the unprobed region $z > 3$. To understand this question better, we have undertaken an early analysis of this Early Release Observation (ERO) data released by the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI) on 2022 July 13 to the public.

This paper is a first-look study of the morphological evolution of galaxies seen in the field around SMACS 0723, giving us our first redshift-based look at how galaxy structure changes with redshift up to $z = 8$. This is the first field where this analysis can be performed due to the limited available accurate photometric redshifts in other early release observations.

Galaxy structure and morphology are one of the key aspects for understanding galaxy evolution, and will be a key measurement that JWST will make throughout its lifetime. Following the first servicing mission to Hubble, distant galaxies started to have their structure resolved in the midnineties. This revealed that faint, distant galaxies appear
more peculiar and irregular than local ones, and cannot be
easily classified on the Hubble sequence (Dressler et al. 1994; Griffiths et al. 1994; Driver et al. 1995; van den Bergh et al. 1996). Why that is the case has remained a major topic of
discussion for almost three decades. These early observations,
however, only showed that galaxies became more peculiar at
fainter magnitudes, which did not necessarily correlate with
further distances.

When redshifts became available, at first within the Hubble
Deep Field, it was clear that galaxy structures evolve strongly
and systematically with redshift, such that peculiar galaxies
dominate the population at \( z > 2.5 \) (e.g., Conselice 2003;
Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2005; Papovich et al. 2005; Dahlen
et al. 2007; Buitrago et al. 2008; Conselice et al. 2008; Huertas-
Company et al. 2009; Buitrago et al. 2012; Mantha et al. 2018).
It is now well established that galaxies as observed with HST
become smaller and more irregular/peculiar at higher redshifts,
and this has been accounted for by the merger process for a
significant fraction (40%-50%) of systems (Conselice 2014).

However, although HST was revolutionary, morphological
evolution measurements still suffer some limitations. First
among these is that due to HST’s limited red wavelength
coverage, we have not measured the rest-frame optical light of
galaxies within the first 2 Gyr after the Big Bang, that is, at
\( z > 3 \). Very few galaxies have been observed in the rest-frame
optical bands at such redshifted wavelengths, and most of these
utilize ground-based adaptive optics. This is due to the fact that
the F160W band on HST only probes rest-frame optical light up
to \( z \approx 2.8 \), whereas JWST permits us to obtain this
information up to \( z = 8 \) with F444W, and even beyond with
MIRI. Second, HST infrared imaging does not provide the
necessary spatial resolution to resolve most high-redshift
objects. Furthermore, we have found in previous observations
(e.g., Conselice et al. 2005; Huertas-Company et al. 2009;
Mortlock et al. 2013; Huertas-Company et al. 2016) that the
number of galaxies that are classifiable as disks or spheroids
(including ellipticals) declines quickly when observing systems
at higher redshifts, up to \( z \approx 3 \).

Observations of galaxy structure and morphology at \( z > 3 \) do
show that in the rest-frame UV, galaxies are peculiar and
irregular (e.g., Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2005; Conselice &
Arnold 2009). Galaxies at these redshifts are also often found
to be clumpy, as seen with deep Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3)
data (Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2005; Oesch et al. 2010;
Margalef-Bentabol et al. 2018; Whitney et al. 2021; Margalef-
Bentabol et al. 2022). Measurements of galaxies in pairs also
demonstrates that the merger rate and the fraction of galaxies in
mergers at \( z \approx 6 \) is as high as 50% (e.g., Conselice &
Arnold 2009; Duncan et al. 2019). This implies that galaxy
structure should likewise be distorted accordingly (e.g.,
Duncan et al. 2019; Shibuya et al. 2022). At the same time,
we have believed for 30 years that the Hubble sequence is
established quite early at \( z \approx 1 \) (e.g., Mortlock et al. 2013;
Huertas-Company et al. 2016). However, all of these conclu-
sions are based on HST imaging, which has now been
superseded in significant ways by the redder bands, higher
resolution, and better sensitivity of JWST.

Thus, in this paper we explore the morphological properties
of the earliest galaxies through an approach based on galaxy
classification and measurement. We demonstrate that these
early galaxies have a more normal morphology than expected,
with classifications showing that disk galaxies are much more

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{jwst_color_image.png}
\caption{JWST color image of SMACS 0723 showing the overall distribution of
galaxy shapes and morphologies, including the lensing arcs. This image was
produced from our reduced data products via a composite of data in six bands:
F090W, F150W, F200W, F277W, F356W, and F444W. F090W and F150W
were assigned blue colors, F200W and F277W green, and F356W and F444W
orange and red, respectively.}
\end{figure}
to version 1.5.3, which was the most up-to-date version at the time of writing. This is because version 1.5.3 has a significant bug in the background subtraction step that led to suboptimal performance.\footnote{https://github.com/spacetelescope/jwst/issues/6920} (2) We apply the CEERS 1/F noise and flat field correction (M. Bagley et al. 2022, in preparation; Ferreira et al. 2022) between stages 1 and 2 of the official pipeline. (3) We extract the SkyMatchStep from stage 3 and run it independently on each NIRCam frame, allowing for quicker assessment of the background subtraction performance and fine-tuning. (4) After stage 3, we align the final science images onto a GAIA-derived WCS using tweakreg, part of the DrizzlePac python package.\footnote{https://drizzlepac.readthedocs.io/en/stable/} We then pixel match the images with the use of astropy reproject.\footnote{https://reproject.readthedocs.io/en/stable/} Finally, we realign the RELICS SMACS 0723 HST imaging to the GAIA Data Release 2 catalog due to large 1" offsets, and match it with astropy reproject as well. We then apply astrometric corrections to the positions of sources available in the RELICS catalogs.

Overall, this data set allows us to probe the rest-frame optical images of galaxies out to $z = 8$. In Figure 2, we show the rest-frame wavelength probed by each individual filter and how they can be combined together for up to $z = 8$ optical rest-frame coverage. In addition to this, we combine our observations with HST Archival data in the WFC3 F160W band.

We employ two different approaches to the SMACS 0723 data: First, a quantitative analysis using MORFOMETRYKA (Ferrari et al. 2015), where we measure nonparametric morphology estimates such as concentration, asymmetry, and smoothness (CAS; Conselice et al. 2003), Gini-M20 (Lotz et al. 2004), various sizes, as well as light profile fitting, which is described in detail Section 2.2. Second, we provide simple visual classifications for all sources with signal-to-noise ratio $> 10$ in their optical rest-frame filters, described in detail in Section 2.3.

2.1. Photometric Redshifts

We use photometric redshifts derived through the Bayesian photoz code (BPz) (Benitez 2000; Benitez et al. 2004; Coe et al. 2006) by the RELICS program (Salmon et al. 2020), which used HST imaging in seven bands for 41 clusters, including SMACS 0723, and archival Spitzer IRAC measurements to measure photometric redshifts of galaxies up to $z = 8$. The BPz code compares RELICS fluxes to 11 templates for ellipticals, spirals, and starburst galaxies. The overall method for this is described in detail in Coe et al. (2019).

For our analysis, we selected 355 galaxies with RELICS photometric redshifts from the JWST footprint of the SMACS 0723 field, restricting our sample to $1.5 \leq z \leq 8$. The distribution of redshifts across the SMACS 0723 field is shown in Figure 3. From these 355 sources 280 were considered classifiable, excluding stars and point sources.

As an additional sanity check of these redshifts, and to see if they could be improved upon, we conduct our own spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting procedure with the use of LePhare (Arnouts et al. 1999; Ilbert et al. 2006). Within LePhare, we use the COSMOS galaxy templates (Ilbert et al. 2009) which are based on the commonly used BC03 template set (Bruzual & Charlot 2003). These templates are modified with dust attenuation up to $E(B - V) = 1.5$ (Calzetti et al. 2000) and attenuation from the intergalactic medium following Madau (1995). We initially run the SED fitting process on the original RELICS photometry and obtain strong agreement with their BPz-based redshifts. We then add in photometry from an F200W selected NIRCam catalog, which is crossmatched to the RELICS catalog with a 0.5 tolerance. To be consistent with the photometry derived in the RELICS catalogs, we use isophotal magnitudes as measured by SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) for our sources. We find that the photoz’s are consistent with the original RELICS estimations when the bluest NIRCam bands available (F900W and F150W) are added to the SED fitting procedure. However, when photometry from F200W and redwards are added, we find that some originally high-redshift sources ($z > 3$) in the RELICS catalog are given new solutions at $z < 1$; this is found to be the result of a lack of a strong Balmer break at $\sim 2 \mu m$. Examining these sources in detail reveal them to be classified as a star-forming disk in our later analysis. Estimations of the proper size and absolute magnitudes of these objects reveal many of the new low-$z$ solutions to give extremely small ($\leq 0.1$ pkpc) and faint ($M_{F415W} > -16$) properties for the sources.\footnote{The results presented here are robust against putting these sources at lower redshifts since they represent a small fraction of the overall sample.} Additionally, the current data set was reduced prior to the calibration updates based on on-flight observations, which had large offsets on zero-points of up to $\sim 0.4$ mag (Adams et al. 2022; Rigby et al. 2022). This observation, combined with the subsequent disk classification led us to proceed with the original RELICS BPz redshifts for all sources.

2.2. Quantitative Morphologies: MORFOMETRYKA

MORFOMETRYKA was designed to perform several structural measurements on galaxy images, in an automatic noninteractive way (Ferrari et al. 2015; Lucatelli & Ferrari 2019). It was devised mainly to measure nonparametric morphometric quantities, but also performs single-component Sérsic model fitting. It takes as input the galaxy and point-
spread function (PSF) images, estimates the background with an iterative algorithm, deblends the sources and defines which one is the target. Then, it filters out external sources using GalClean\(^{22}\) (de Albernaz Ferreira & Ferrari 2018). From the segmented region it calculates basic geometrical parameters (e.g., center, position angle, axial ratio) using image moments. Following this, it performs photometry, measuring fluxes in ellipses with the aforementioned parameters. Along the way, it masks point sources over the ellipse annulus with a sigma clipping criterion.

From the luminosity growth curve it establishes the Petrosian radius and the Petrosian region, inside which all measurements are made. The 1D Sérsic fit is performed on the luminosity profile. For robustness, the 1D outputs are used as inputs for a 2D Sérsic fit done with the galaxy image and JWST PSF images generated with the official package WebbPSF\(^{23}\). MORFOMETRYKA uses the PSF to produce the Sérsic profiles and to mask an area of the size of the PSF FWHM from the central region of the source stamp for nonparametric morphology calculations. Even though these simulated PSFs are realistic, we note that deviations from the true PSF might exist. However, as we are interested in extended sources, effects of this type are negligible. Finally, MORFOMETRYKA measures several morphometric parameters (concentration, asymmetry, Gini, M20, entropy, spirality, and curvature, among others).

2.3. Visual Classification

All galaxies in the sample were classified by three coauthors of this paper with experience with galaxy structure and classification (C.C., L.F., E.S.). The visual classification scheme that we use is described in detail in Ferreira et al. (2022). Here we give a quick summary. In general, we use four categories for visual classifications, following Mortlock et al. (2013); these are defined as described below, and differ slightly from a traditional Hubble classification scheme, but are generally very similar.

1. Class 0: Unclassifiable: Galaxies too small and/or too faint to classify, and images with artifacts.
2. Class 1: Spheroids: These galaxies are resolved, symmetrically and centrally concentrated, with a smooth profile, and are round/elliptical in shape.
3. Class 2: Disks: This category includes galaxies that exhibit a resolved disk in the form of an outer area of lower surface brightness with a regularly increasing brightness toward the center of the galaxy. This classification does not depend on there being a spiral pattern in the system, although one can be present in this classification.
4. Class 3: Peculiar. This class is for well-resolved galaxies with a morphology that is dominated by a disturbance or peculiarity and has no obvious disk or spheroid component.

\(^{22}\)GalClean—https://github.com/astroferreira/galclean
\(^{23}\)https://webbpsf.readthedocs.io/
Table 1
Class Fractions and Sérsic Indexes vs. Redshift Range and Wavelength Coverage.

| Filter  | z   | Rest-λ | Class (sph) | σ(sph) | Class (disk) | σ(disk) | Class (pec) | σ(pec) | n_{sph} | n_{disk} | n_{pec} |
|---------|-----|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|---------|-------------|--------|---------|----------|---------|
| F090W   | 1.5-3.0 | 0.36-0.22 μm | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.43 | 0.12 | 1.32^{+6}_{-14} | 1.12^{+0.67}_{-0.54} | 0.76^{+0.33}_{-0.37} |
| F227W   | 3.0-4.0 | 0.69-0.55 μm | 0.21 | 0.08 | 0.48 | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 1.22^{+5}_{-27} | 1.32^{+0.58}_{-0.8} | 1.04^{+0.53}_{-0.31} |
| F356W   | 4.0-6.0 | 0.71-0.50 μm | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 1.58^{+1}_{-81} | 1.11^{+0.29}_{-0.81} | 1.67^{+1.2}_{-2.6} |

Notes. The filters used in this study with the redshift ranges used within that filter and the average rest-frame wavelength in which that filter probes at that redshift. Also shown are the classification fractions for our three main types of galaxies: spheroids, disks, and peculiars. These are the averages of the three classifiers with the standard deviation listed as the value σ. Sérsic indices for each class and redshift bin is also provided, showing the mean of the distribution together with 15% and 85% percentile scatter.

Figure 4. Plots showing the morphological evolution of the galaxies found in the SMACS 0723 field up to z = 6. These show the fraction of the total number of galaxies, within a given redshift bin, which has the given type as determined by visual morphologies. We also include the morphological evolution, which has been derived from HST observations of the CANDELS fields in Mortlock et al. (2013). Circled markers denote the JWST observations at higher redshifts. We note that the increase in spheroids can be attributed to smaller sizes with increasing redshift z as discussed in Section 3.1.1.

3. Results

3.1. Distribution of Morphology with Redshift

One of the main questions that we can investigate with this early imaging from JWST is the distribution of morphological types with redshift. Given the redshifts we have from HST and the morphologies from JWST we can make the first measurement of the morphological distribution of galaxies up to z < 8.

In Figure 4 we plot the morphological distribution of our sample of galaxies with morphological classifications from the JWST imaging. As can be seen, we find a remarkable increase in the number of spiral galaxies over what was thought to exist in previous analysis of the deepest HST imaging in the NIR, which found that that there were very few disk galaxies at z > 1.5, with a rapid decline in the numbers at higher redshifts (e.g., Conselice & Arnold 2009; Mortlock et al. 2013; Huertas-Company et al. 2016).

More generally, a decline in spheroids was also seen, but the morphological change with redshift was not as pronounced as it was for the disk galaxies. Figure 5 shows some examples of different galaxy types and how they appear differently in the JWST versus the HST imaging, revealing that morphologies are often much easier to make out within the JWST data. There is, however, a propensity for these disks and spheroids to contain peculiar features, such as tidal features and clumpy regions, that can differentiate them from z = 0 examples. We, however, do not investigate these further in this paper.

Overall, we find that the disk galaxy population makes up about half of the galaxies that are identified within the field of
SMACS 0723 at \(z > 2.5\). This is a remarkable result, as it shows that galaxies such as the Milky Way could potentially have retained the same overall morphological state for over 12 billion years if these distant disk galaxies are similar to the ancestor galaxy of the Milky Way.

3.1.1. Morphology at \(z > 4\)

The morphologies of \(z > 4\) galaxies, as probed by the F356W filter, differ from the rest-frame UV morphologies determined from HST imaging (Conselice & Arnold 2009). Despite what might have been expected from HST observations, the morphologies of at least the brightest galaxies are much less distorted than had been previously been thought based on HST observations. Also, the galaxies at this epoch are often very tiny, such that their size in an NIRCam image is just a bit larger, or within, the PSF of JWST. In fact, the larger number of spheroids/compact objects is in part due to the fact that so many of these systems are unresolved, an indication that their sizes are quite tiny. Future studies carefully measuring

Figure 5. Comparison HST vs. JWST images for nine objects in each class within our sample. Left columns shows the HST F160W image, while the middle panel shows the JWST view at the same orientation, in the rest-frame optical. The far right panel shows the color image of this system as seen through the JWST NIRCam F277W, F356W, and F444W filters, generated by TRINITY (Coe et al. 2012). The IDs refer to the original RELICs catalogs identification.
sizes with the use of the JWST PSF will examine these sizes and their evolution.

3.2. Quantitative Morphologies

We quantify the structures of these galaxies based on the NIRCam imaging. This can be done in a number of ways, and will be the focus of future dedicated papers. We give a broad overview of quantitative morphology for our sample and leave it to future papers to elaborate on these issues.

First we show the concentration–asymmetry diagram, which has been used to classify galaxies and has a broad correspondence with galaxy types in the nearby universe (e.g., Conselice et al. 2000, 2003, 2008; Bluck et al. 2012; Whitney et al. 2021). We present the concentration–asymmetry diagram in the SMACS 0723 field in Figure 6 as measured by MORFOMETRYKA. What we find is that there is no great distinction between the disks and the spheroids, but we do find that the peculiars are in the region of high asymmetry where mergers are located (Conselice et al. 2000; Whitney et al. 2021). We also find that there are few galaxies with very high concentration values, consistent with previous work that found even massive galaxies to have low light concentrations (Buitrago et al. 2012).

Another avenue of investigation is the examination of the light profiles of our galaxies, which we have also measured. Previous work has shown that almost all massive galaxies at $z > 2$ have Sérsic indices that are $n \approx 1$, which differs for galaxies at lower redshifts where $n \approx 4$ for similar mass galaxies (e.g., Buitrago et al. 2012; Bluck et al. 2014). We show a basic view of the average Sérsic index evolution for our sample in Figure 7, which demonstrates that many of our galaxies contain indices with $n \approx 1$, with most disks around this value, as expected. We also find many spheroids at this Sérsic index, but on average these spheroids have a larger $n$ value. The mean values together with 15% and 85% percentiles of the distributions for each redshift bin and class are displayed in Table 1.

3.3. Formation of the Hubble Sequence

One of the primary goals of galaxy morphology and structural analysis is to determine when the Hubble sequence was established. By Hubble sequence we mean the establishment of spheroids (e.g., ellipticals) and spiral galaxies as we see in the case of the most massive galaxies in the nearby universe. We know for certain that there are fewer ellipticals and spirals at high redshift. However, there is an important question: when did the first spheroids and disk galaxies form?

It is important to be clear about what we mean by this, as a definition of these galaxy types is neither trivial nor simple. By a “spheroid” we mean a galaxy that exhibits a round or elliptical shape with a classical, steep light profile and a smooth structure. A “disk galaxy” is one that is either a smooth, disk-like object, or something with visible spiral arms.

The trend of galaxy type with redshift has been measured by several different papers. We include the analysis in Mortlock et al. (2013) as the basis for our understanding of the morphological evolution at $z < 3$. As Figure 4 shows, we have not reached the limit of where the first ellipticals and spheroids have formed. We will need to probe even higher redshifts to find when and if there are no spheroids or disk galaxies. Thus, at least some aspect of the Hubble sequence was in place at $z \sim 6$. It is, however, important to point out that these classifications are done purely by visual estimates in one band. We have not used color or other features to classify galaxies, and we know from work with WFC3 that galaxy structure and physical properties of their stars becomes decoupled at higher redshifts $z > 1.5$ (Conselice et al. 2011). It remains to be seen how the physical properties of our “Hubble types” here correlate with the underlying stars in these systems.

4. Discussion

This is one of the earliest papers on the morphologies of galaxies at high redshift with JWST, and thus our conclusions will be revisited by others in the months and years to come. However, it does appear from an initial analysis that there are far more disk galaxies at high redshift than originally thought with HST. We in fact find that at the highest redshifts probed by HST there are in fact up to 10 times more disk galaxies than we had thought, based on the JWST visual morphologies.

This implies that disk galaxies have existed in large numbers for quite a significant amount of time. This may mean that the
morphologies of some disk galaxies, such as the Milky Way, have remained in their current form for over 10 billion years. This would challenge our ideas about mergers being a very common process, and it might be the case that mergers are only a dominant process for forming the stellar masses of certain types of galaxies, namely spheroids, which have a relatively constant merger fraction at $z > 2.5$ at around 10%. Although on average galaxies should go through multiple mergers over cosmic time (Duncan et al. 2019), it is not clear how these mergers would affect disk morphologies or if there are only certain galaxies that go through mergers multiple times while others, such as the disks we find here, do not undergo these mergers very often or at all at $z < 6$.

Alternatively, it is also possible that these high-redshift disks undergo major mergers, but reform their disks after the disruptive event. This is a process that is found to happen in simulations of gas-rich mergers (e.g., Sparre & Springel 2017; Peschken et al. 2020).

There are a few caveats with this study that future studies will be able to flesh out in much more detail. The first is that we only use the visual rest-frame optical morphology of a galaxy to determine whether or not it is a spheroid, disk, or a peculiar. These systems, however, are more obvious than they were in the HST imaging, implying that in the rest-frame optical we are seeing the underlying morphology in a much clearer way than we are in the rest-frame UV, despite strongly star-forming galaxies having a very similar appearance in the UV and optical, at least at $z < 3$ (Windhorst et al. 2002; Papovich et al. 2005; Taylor et al. 2015; Mager et al. 2018). It would appear that at least disk galaxies are not easily seen in the UV, and this is an indication that their stellar population and star formation histories are spatially segregated (old/young stars in bulges/disks, for example), just as they are at lower redshifts. Future studies will certainly be able to study these resolved structures in more detail to learn about the detailed process of disk formation, as done for HST observations at $z < 3$ (Huertas-Company et al. 2016; Margalef-Bentabol et al. 2022).

Finally, there is also the fact that this was conducted in a small field of view area of $2/3 \times 2/3$, around a lensing cluster. In the future we will probe noncluster regions and larger areas to allow for a more detailed comparison with previous results from HST imaging, such as CANDELS (Koekemoer et al. 2011; Grogin et al. 2011).

5. Summary and Conclusions

In this paper we present a morphological and structural analysis on some of the earliest galaxies imaged by the JWST, which has provided rest-frame optical morphologies and structures for a statistically significant number of galaxies at $z > 3$ for the first time. We also examine the structures of galaxies at $1.5 < z < 3$, where HST has not had the depth and resolution to infer galaxy morphology correctly. Three of the authors classified 280 galaxies visually at $1.5 < z < 8$ to determine basic morphological types—spheroid, disk, and peculiar—at rest-frame optical wavelengths given by JWST. We also ran quantitative parametric and nonparametric morphologies on these galaxies.

Our key findings are as follows:

1. The morphological types of galaxies changes less quickly than previously believed, based on precursor HST imaging and results. That is, these early JWST results suggest that the formation of normal galaxy structure was much earlier than previously thought.

2. A major aspect of this is our discovery that disk galaxies are quite common at $z \sim 3-6$, where they make up $\sim 50\%$ of the galaxy population, which is over 10 times as high as what was previously thought to be the case with HST observations. That is, this epoch is surprisingly full of disk galaxies, which observationally we had not been able to determine before JWST.

3. Distant galaxies at $z > 3$ in the rest-frame optical, despite their appearance in the HST imaging, are not as highly clumpy and asymmetric as once thought. This effect has not been observed before due to the nature of existing deep imaging with the HST, which could probe only UV light at $z > 3$. This shows the great power of JWST to probe rest-frame optical where the underlying mass of galaxies can now be traced and measured.

This study is the first examination of the problem of distant galaxy morphology with JWST, and specifically the formation of galaxy structure at $z > 3$. Our results suggest many directions for immediate future study. We have not included any new JWST galaxies that were not seen with HST, and have not examined the structural properties as a function of stellar mass or other physical properties. All of these will need to be fully examined in the future.

The present study, however, shows the importance of JWST for understanding the structural evolution of galaxies, which is now open for detailed investigation.
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