Research

Recombination in feline immunodeficiency virus from feral and companion domestic cats
Jessica J Hayward* and Allen G Rodrigo

Address: Bioinformatics Institute, Allan Wilson Centre for Molecular Ecology and Evolution, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

Email: Jessica J Hayward* - j.hayward@auckland.ac.nz; Allen G Rodrigo - a.rodrigo@auckland.ac.nz

* Corresponding author

Abstract

Background: Recombination is a relatively common phenomenon in retroviruses. We investigated recombination in Feline Immunodeficiency Virus from naturally-infected New Zealand domestic cats (Felis catus) by sequencing regions of the gag, pol and env genes.

Results: The occurrence of intragenic recombination was highest in env, with evidence of recombination in 6.4% (n = 156) of all cats. A further recombinant was identified in each of the gag (n = 48) and pol (n = 91) genes. Comparisons of phylogenetic trees across genes identified cases of incongruence, indicating intergenic recombination. Three (7.7%, n = 39) of these incongruencies were found to be significantly different using the Shimodaira-Hasegawa test.

Surprisingly, our phylogenies from the gag and pol genes showed that no New Zealand sequences group with reference subtype C sequences within intrasubtype pairwise distances. Indeed, we find one and two distinct unknown subtype groups in gag and pol, respectively. These observations cause us to speculate that these New Zealand FIV strains have undergone several recombination events between subtype A parent strains and undefined unknown subtype strains, similar to the evolutionary history hypothesised for HIV-1 "subtype E".

Endpoint dilution sequencing was used to confirm the consensus sequences of the putative recombinants and unknown subtype groups, providing evidence for the authenticity of these sequences. Endpoint dilution sequencing also resulted in the identification of a dual infection event in the env gene. In addition, an intrahost recombination event between variants of the same subtype in the pol gene was established. This is the first known example of naturally-occurring recombination in a cat with infection of the parent strains.

Conclusion: Evidence of intragenic recombination in the gag, pol and env regions, and complex intergenic recombination, of FIV from naturally-infected domestic cats in New Zealand was found. Strains of unknown subtype were identified in all three gene regions. These results have implications for the use of the current FIV vaccine in New Zealand.
**Background**

Feline Immunodeficiency Virus (FIV) is a retrovirus that specifically infects felines and hyaenas [1]. In the strain that infects the domestic cat, Felis catus (FIV-Fca), five phylogenetic subtypes have been described, labelled A to E [2-4]. These subtypes are based on the V3 to V5 region of the env gene, with some recent studies confirming phylogenies using the gag gene [2,5-8]. In the env gene, intrasubtype pairwise distances of up to about 14% are observed, while intersubtype distances of 15% to 38% are commonly found [2,4,8]. Recently, we and others have proposed potentially novel subtypes based on phylogenetically-distinct sequence groups that differ from known subtypes [5,9,10].

The env gene encodes the viral surface and transmembrane glycoproteins, gp120 and gp41 respectively. These glycoproteins are involved in host cell recognition and humoral immune responses in FIV-infected individuals [11,12]. As this region of the viral genome is likely to be under strong selection, it is likely to change rapidly.

As a retrovirus, FIV has a relatively high evolutionary rate, on the order of 1–3% per decade in the env and pol genes of the cougar strain, FIV-Pco [13]. This high rate is largely attributed to substitution errors made during reverse transcription [14]. However, as a retrovirus with a diploid genome, the phenomenon of recombination is also very important in the evolution of FIV. Recombination occurs as a result of template-switching by the enzyme reverse transcriptase when the particular infecting virion has a heterozygous genome [15]. Hence, a prerequisite for recombination is dual infection. Previously, three naturally-infected cats have been identified with FIV intersubtype dual infections: one with subtypes A and B from USA [16], and two with subtypes A and C from New Zealand (NZ) [17]. In addition, a natural superinfection event has been documented between two subtype A FIV-infected cats housed together in Australia [18]. The superinfected cat has its own unique FIV strains that had been isolated from the cat previously and additional FIV strains that show within 6.8% nucleotide similarity to the FIV strains isolated from the cat inhabiting the same house [18]. Naturally-occurring FIV-Fca intersubtype recombination has been described in domestic cats from Canada, Hawaii, USA and Japan [6,16,19].

In our previous study of FIV env gene sequences in NZ domestic cats, we found subtypes A and C, nine putative A/C recombinants and a group of sequences of an unknown and phylogenetically-distinct subtype [10]. Here we further investigate the occurrence of recombinant sequences in naturally-infected NZ domestic cats using the gag and pol genes, in addition to env. We find an additional intragenic recombinant in the env gene, giving ten recombinant sequences for this gene, or 6.4% (n = 156). From each of the gag and pol gene regions we find only one (2.1% and 1.1% respectively) intragenic recombinant. Our results also show that the previously-proposed unknown subtype sequences from the env gene do not show the same monophyletic grouping in the gag and pol regions. In addition, we find inconsistencies in subtype C designation in the gag and pol regions. We suggest that the patterns seen in these samples are the result of multiple recombination events throughout the FIV genome. The results illustrate the importance of sequencing and analysing multiple genes to gain a more complete picture of recombination events.

**Results**

**Phylogenetic trees**

The env NJ phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1) shows three main groups, which are subtypes A, C and an unknown (U-NZenv), as previously shown [10]. One further sequence, in addition to the 17 sequences from our earlier study, now falls in the unknown group. Average K2P distances suggest that the unknown group could be a new subtype, with distances ranging from 20.6% to 22.7% between the unknown and other subtypes (Table 1). Also seen in the env NJ tree are 13 outliers, 11 of which were documented in our previous study [10].

The gag NJ tree (Fig. 2) features two large groups of NZ sequences, one of which is subtype A. The other group of sequences (U-NZgag) is monophyletic with subtype C reference sequences (BM3070 and CaONC02 from Canada, and FIV-C36 from USA). However, U-NZgag sequences

**Table 1: Average percentage K2P nucleotide distances between and within all env sequences of different subtypes included in this study, as shown in Fig. 1**

|          | Subtype A | Subtype B | Subtype C | Subtype D | Subtype E | U-NZenv |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Subtype A| 8.07      |           |           |           |           |         |
| Subtype B| 23.04     | 9.82      |           |           |           |         |
| Subtype C| 23.54     | 21.36     | 7.38      |           |           |         |
| Subtype D| 23.03     | 17.94     | 22.08     | 8.91      |           |         |
| Subtype E| 23.31     | 15.84     | 23.22     | 19.03     | 5.03      |         |
| U-NZenv  | 21.44     | 21.69     | 20.63     | 22.73     | 22.06     | 2.44    |
**NJ phylogenetic tree of env sequences from NZ domestic cats.** Tree is rooted by subtype B. Subtypes are shown along the right side of the tree. Bootstrap values based on 1000 replicates are shown for the major groups. Sequences with ⇐ are used as reference sequences in the RIP analyses. Outlier sequences are 258, PN22, 259, 168, PN17, PN23, PN27, PN21, PN18, 197, 260, MF14, 214. U-NZenv is a group of NZ sequences that does not group with a known subtype. Reference sequences from GENBANK are; subtype A: Sendai1 (D37813, Japan), Petaluma (M25381, USA), DEBAb91 (AF531043, Germany), UK2 (X69494, UK), SwissZ2 (X57001, Switzerland), W0 (L06135, France) and Ca2 (DQ873714, South Africa); subtype B: TM2 (M59418, Japan), ItalyM2 (X69501, Italy), ATVla33 (AF531045, Austria), LP9 (D84497, Argentina) and 14-02PalP (DQ072558, Portugal); subtype C: CBCpbar01C (U02393, Canada), TI-2 (AB016026, Taiwan), DEBAfred (U57020, Germany), BM3070 (AF474246, Canada), FIV-C36 (AY600517, USA) and VND-8 (AB083509, Vietnam); subtype D: MC8 (D67062, Japan), Shizuoka (D37811, Japan), Fukuoka (D37815, Japan) and VND-1 (AB083502, Vietnam); subtype E: LP3 (D84496, Argentina) and LP20 (D84498, Argentina).
are quite distantly related to the subtype C sequences, with an average K2P distance of 15.64%, a value greater than intrasubtype gag distances and consistent with intersubtype gag distances (Table 2). This level of similarity indicates that the U-NZgag and C groups may be distinct but related subtypes.

Interestingly, when we include the four subtype C isolates from Taiwan (TI-1 (AB027298), TI-2 (AB027299), TI-3 (AB027300), TI-4 (AB027301)) [20] by cropping about 550 bp from the start of our gag sequence alignment, we find that the Taiwan isolates group with the U-NZgag sequences (average K2P distance of 3.74%; tree not shown). This finding adds weight to the validity of the U-NZgag sequences and also suggests a common origin between NZ and Taiwanese FIV.

The pol NJ tree (Fig. 3) shows NZ sequences split into three groups. One of these is subtype A. The other two groups of sequences (U-NZpol1 and U-NZpol2) do not belong to a known subtype. Although U-NZpol2 forms a monophyletic group with subtype C, the average K2P distance of 8.88% (Table 3) is higher than all other pol intrasubtype distances. RIP analyses also show that the nine sequences belonging to U-NZpol2 are most similar to subtype C, with strongest similarity in the second “half” of the sequences (data not shown).

The largest group of NZ pol sequences, U-NZpol1, is most closely related to subtype A (Table 3). This cluster of sequences does not form a monophyletic group. However, when the recombinant sequence PN9 is removed and a NJ tree reconstructed, U-NZpol1 does form a monophyletic group (data not shown). RIP analysis shows that this group of sequences look like recombinants of subtype A and C. However, KH test results are not significant for these sequences (data not shown).

**Intragenic recombination**

**Env**

RIP outputs show that the two new env outliers are potential recombinant sequences, with clear crossover patterns (Fig. 4A). The Kishino-Hasegawa (KH) test shows significant results for both halves of the query sequence for one of these additional outliers (PN18; data not shown).

| Table 2: Average percentage K2P nucleotide distances between and within all gag sequences of different subtypes included in this study, as shown in Fig. 2 |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|
| Subtype A   | 3.58 |     |     |     |
| Subtype B   | 15.03 | 6.32 |     |     |
| Subtype C   | 13.38 | 16.95 | 2.49 |     |
| U-NZgag     | 17.33 | 16.12 | 15.64 | 2.66 |

From the RIP alignments and visual inspection of the sequences, the approximate locations of the putative crossover points for each env putative recombinant sequence were determined. Of the ten putative recombinant sequences (nine from our previous study and one from the present study), there are only six unique recombinant patterns, all involving subtypes A and C (Table 4). Four cats share one recombinant pattern with two crossovers and two cats share another with only a single crossover. One particular crossover site (range of 7508–7522) is featured in six NZ sequences.

From a total of 156 FIV-infected NZ cat env sequences subjected to phylogenetic analysis, thirteen outliers were identified. Ten of the thirteen sequences had significantly different topologies constructed from each side of the crossover site as determined by the KH test. Thus, ten (6.4%) putative recombinants were found.

Given the level of recombination that we have found in env, we suspect that there are a significant number of undetected putative recombinant sequences in public databases, incorrectly identified as belonging to a particular subtype. For example, a recent study on FIV strains in cats of Portugal found two outlying env sequences (150_02LisP and 164_02UZP) that were initially assigned into subtype A [9]. However, genetic divergence analysis and an amino acid phylogenetic tree indicated that the correct location was in a subcluster of subtype B [9]. We analysed the two suspicious sequences using RIP and the KH test, and found that they both show a statistically significant intragenic B/A recombination event (Fig. 5; Table 5).

**Gag**

There is only a single outlier sequence in the gag NJ tree (PN6; Fig. 2). This was tested for recombination using RIP and shows a clear recombination pattern, with two crossover events (Fig. 4B). The KH test results confirm that this sequence is a putative recombinant (Table 6). Ten endpoint dilution sequences were obtained for the gag region of PN6, all of which group with the consensus sequence on a NJ phylogenetic tree with a maximum pairwise distance of 1.42%. From a total of 48 FIV-infected NZ cat gag sequences subjected to phylogenetic analysis, one (2.1%) putative recombinant was found.

**Pol**

There are two outlier sequences on the pol NJ tree (Ni, PN9; Fig. 3). The RIP output for Ni shows a recombination event between A/U-NZpol1 and U-NZpol2 (Fig. 4(C(a)), although this is not statistically significant (Table 6). PN9, in contrast, suggests a recombination event between subtype B and U-NZpol1 (Fig. 4(C(b)), which is statistically significant (Table 6). Note that although PN9
Figure 2

NJ phylogenetic tree of gag sequences from NZ domestic cats. Tree is rooted by subtype B. Subtypes are shown along the right side of the tree. Bootstrap values based on 1000 replicates are shown for the major groups. Sequences with ⇐ are used as reference sequences in the RIP analyses. Outlier sequence is PN6. U-NZgag is a group of NZ sequences that does not group with a known subtype. Reference sequences from GENBANK are; subtype A: CaONA22 (AY369383, Canada), Petaluma (M25381, USA); subtype B: CaONB06 (AY369381, Canada), TM2 (M59418, Japan), RP1 (AJ304962, Portugal); subtype C: BM3070 (AF474246, Canada), FIVC36 (AY600517, USA), CaONC02 (AY369384, Canada).
shows statistical significance between the two sides of the putative crossover location, the similarity values to subtype B in the first part of the sequence are low. Only one (1.1%) putative recombinant pol sequence is found from analysing 91 samples in this region. This sequence is the only recombinant involving subtype B found in a NZ cat.

Intergenic recombination
The incongruent subtype assignment seen in different gene regions of nine NZ cat samples are shown in Fig. 6. The ML tree that was determined to best describe the data and thus used as the backbone constraint in further SH tests, is the concatenated gene tree.

Significant results from the SH tests to determine differences in ML trees constructed with the inclusion of putative intergenic recombinant sequences are shown in Table 7. After the Bonferroni correction was applied, only five pairs of ML trees returned statistically significant results for the reciprocal SH tests. None of the significant results involve the pol region.

Three of the five statistically significant SH test results were between the gene trees for gag and env. Therefore, in these three samples we have evidence of putative intergenic recombination.

Two of these samples (GBI84, WST05) also gave statistically significant results for incongruencies between the concatenated tree and the gag gene tree. As a conservative estimate, at least three of the 39 samples, or 7.7%, are intergenic recombinants.

Unknown subtype
Representatives of the samples that make up the unknown subtype of the env NJ tree were sequenced in the gag and pol gene regions in order to confirm the existence of a novel NZ-specific subtype. However, in the gag NJ tree, the U-NZenv samples are found in subtype A and the U-NZgag group. In the pol NJ tree, the U-NZenv sequences are found in U-NZpol1 and U-NZpol2 groups. Thus, we have no consistent evidence for a novel NZ-specific subtype across the three gene regions. Note that, due to low viral load and sample quantity limitations, not all the sequences of env unknown subtype have been amplified in the gag and pol genes.

The discrepancies in the placement of the sequences of unknown subtype seen between the phylogenetic trees could be explained by multiple recombination events between subtype A and undefined parent strains. This possible explanation is similar to that suggested for HIV-1 subtype E (CRF_AE01), which is hypothesised to be a circulating recombinant form of subtype A and an unknown parent strain (subtype E) that has not been found [21].

Endpoint dilution sequencing
With the exception of two samples (see next section), all endpoint dilution sequences and the respective consensus sequence of the same sample are within 2.35% of each other (Table 8). This shows that each consensus sequence is a representative of a true proviral sequence and not an example of PCR-mediated recombination as a result of dual infection.

Intrahost recombination
One of the exceptions found from endpoint dilution sequencing is the pol gene of sample TKP94. Eleven endpoint dilution sequences were obtained for this sample, which fall into two main groups, comprising six and four sequences (Fig. 7a). The eleventh sequence is an outlier between these two groups and thus was submitted to RIP as a check for recombination. The RIP output clearly shows a crossover event between the two main groups (Fig. 7b).

Given the pol evolutionary rate of 1–3% per decade, as documented in HIV-Pco, [13], it would take between 17 and 52 years to generate diversity of 5.2% in an individual as seen in the pol sequences from sample TKP94 (Table 8). Since this particular individual is only a juvenile, we suggest the explanation for the viral diversity found in this cat is a result of same-subtype dual infection.

The second exception found from endpoint dilution sequencing is seen in the env gene of TKP95. These fourteen sequences have a maximum pairwise distance of 25.7%, a value resembling intersubtype distances. Indeed,
Figure 3
NJ phylogenetic tree of \textit{pol} sequences from NZ domestic cats. Tree is rooted by subtype B. Subtypes are shown along the right side of the tree. Bootstrap values based on 1000 replicates are shown for the major groups. Sequences with \textbf{\textdagger} are used as reference sequences in the RIP analyses. Outlier sequences are Ni and PN9. U-NZpol1 and U-NZpol2 are groups of NZ sequences that do not group with a known subtype. Reference sequences from GENBANK are; subtype A: T90 (S67753, Australia), Petaluma (M25381, USA), FIV-Fca155-1 (US3760, Argentina), FIV-FcaTK1-11 (US3762, England); subtype B: TM2 (E03581, Japan), USIL2489 (U11820, USA); subtype C: BM3070 (AF474246, Canada), FIV-C36 (AY600517, USA).

\textbf{\textdagger}
**Figure 4**

**RIP outputs.** Reference sequences used are highlighted in the respective NJ trees in Figs. 1, 2, 3. (A) Two new outlier env sequences. (a) PN18; (b) PN27. Red is similarity to subtype A, green is similarity to subtype C. (B) gag outlier sequence, PN6. Red is similarity to subtype A, green is similarity to U-NZgag. (C) pol outlier sequences. (a) Ni: red is similarity to subtype A, green is similarity to U-NZpol1, blue is similarity to U-NZpol2. (b) PN9: red is similarity to subtype B, green is similarity to U-NZpol1.
when a phylogeny is constructed of the TKP95 sequences, we find ten sequences in the U-NZenv group and the remaining three (and the consensus) in subtype C (Fig. 8). This dual infection in the env gene of TKP95 is the only case of naturally-occurring dual subtype infection we have found in NZ FIV-infected cats. We have found no evidence of intersubtype recombination in the env gene of this dually-infected cat. However, recombinant sequences may be present, but at low frequencies.

Geographical location
Although approximately equal numbers of companion (n = 72) and feral (n = 77) FIV-infected cats were included in this study, all but one of the twelve putative intragenic recombinants were found in companion cats. Only one, an env recombinant, was isolated from a feral cat.

The locations of the companion cats found to have putative intragenic recombinant FIV sequences are centred around the lower North Island (Fig. 9). However, the lower North Island also has a larger sample size of FIV-infected companion cats. The higher number of recombinants located in the lower North Island with respect to sample size is not significantly different to the upper North Island and the South Island (Fisher Exact Test; P = 0.841).

As we identified only three putative intergenic recombinants in this study, isolated from two feral and one stray cat from three different locations, these were not included in the analysis to determine a geographical pattern.

Discussion
Intragenic putative recombination
Of all three genes analysed from NZ FIV-infected cats, we have found that the env gene has the highest level of recombination, of 6.4% (n = 156). We suggest this high level could be because the env gene encodes the surface glycoproteins, which contain essential recognition sites for the host immune system [22]. Therefore, this region of the viral genome is under relatively high selective pressure.

We have identified ten putative recombinant A/C env sequences, based on results of the KH test. Endpoint dilution sequencing on representative putative recombinant env sequences confirmed these as real proviral sequences, with no evidence of dual infection. Within the ten sequences, there are six unique crossover patterns, thus there are a minimum of six circulating FIV-Fca env recombinant forms in NZ cats.

Within the env gene, we have identified several crossover locations that are common to multiple samples. The most common (shared by six recombinant sequences) is located at the end of the V3 region of the gp120 surface glycoprotein. Several synthetic amino acid sequences

Table 4: Crossover patterns in the ten env putative recombinant sequences

| Recombination subtypes | Crossover one (bp) | Crossover two (bp) | NZ Sample |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| C/A/C                  | 7518–7520         | 7975–8012          | 168       |
|                        |                   |                    | 259       |
|                        |                   |                    | PN17      |
|                        |                   |                    | PN23      |
| C/A/C                  | 7508–7522         | 7831–7847          | 258       |
| A/C                    | 7518–7520         | 7796–7799          | PN18      |
|                        | 7616–7617         |                    | 214       |
| A/C                    | 7723–7739         |                    | 197       |
| A/C                    | 7882–7884         |                    | PN21      |

^ relative to Petaluma

Table 5: KH test results for env sequences 150_02LisP and 164_02UZP from Duarte & Tavares (2006)

| Sample     | First "half" Diff -lnL | P-value | Second "half" Diff -lnL | P-value |
|------------|------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| 150_02LisP | 71.850                 | 0.000*  | 56.901                  | 0.000*  |
| 164_02UZP  | 73.500                 | 0.000*  | 52.138                  | 0.000*  |

* indicates significance at the 0.05 level

Table 6: KH test results for gag sequence (PN6) and pol sequences (Ni, PN9)

| Sample | First "half" Diff -lnL | P-value | Second "half" Diff -lnL | P-value |
|--------|------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| PN6    | 35.337                 | 0.002*  | 26.019                  | 0.004*  |
| Ni     | 3.935                  | 0.447   | 32.334                  | 0.000*  |
| PN9    | 19.552                 | 0.002*  | 45.098                  | 0.000*  |

*indicates significance at the 0.05 level
Figure 5
RIP outputs for env sequences from Duarte & Tavares (2006). (A) 150_02LisP; (B) 164_02UZP. Red is similarity to reference subtype A (Petaluma); green is similarity to reference subtype B (TM2).

Figure 6
Gag, pol and env ML trees of sequences for which all three genes were amplified. Lines between the trees link sequences from the same sample, to highlight incongruencies. The three thickened lines are the statistically significant intergenic recombinant sequences. Reference sequences used are: subtype A (Petaluma, M25381); subtype B (TM2, M59418); subtype C (FIV-C36, AY600517).
from within the V3 region have been found to contain neutralisation epitopes [23], one of which includes the most common putative crossover site found in the present study. This may suggest the importance of recombination in viral neutralisation escape.

A second site, shared by two NZ A/C recombinant sequences (214, MF14), and also two Portuguese B/A isolates (150_02LisP and 164_02UZP) [9] that we detected recombination in, is at the start of the V4 region of the surface glycoprotein.

While the different subtypes involved in the recombination patterns from the V4 region suggest this crossover site is a recombination hotspot, the former site from within the V3 region is likely an ancestral recombination event that is circulating in cat populations.

### Table 7: Summary of the statistically significant SH test results, at the 0.05 level

| Sample | Gene region | Subtype | Diff. -log likelihood | P-value | Bonferroni correction |
|--------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| MF21   | gag         | A       | 30.56                 | 0.004   | 0.016                 |
|        | env         | U-NZenv | 40.14                 | 0.001   | 0.004                 |
| GB184  | concatenated | Outlier | 82.23                 | 0.000   | 0.000                 |
|        | gag         | U-NZgag | 35.70                 | 0.003   | 0.012                 |
| GB184  | gag         | U-NZgag | 35.70                 | 0.003   | 0.012                 |
|        | env         | A       | 150.36                | 0.000   | 0.000                 |
| WST05  | concatenated | Outlier | 175.59                | 0.000   | 0.000                 |
|        | gag         | U-NZgag | 25.68                 | 0.012   | 0.048                 |
| WST05  | gag         | U-NZgag | 25.67                 | 0.012   | 0.048                 |
|        | env         | A       | 250.69                | 0.000   | 0.000                 |

### Table 8: Results of env and pol endpoint dilution sequencing, showing the maximum pairwise distance sequences from the same sample, including the consensus sequence (using GTR with rates = 1 and shape = 0.5)

| Sample | Env subtype | No. env endpoint sequences | Maximum pairwise distance (%) | Pol subtype | No. pol endpoint sequences | Maximum pairwise distance (%) |
|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 168    | PR          | 12#                         | 0.510                        | A           | nd                         |                              |
| 258    | PR          | 11#                         | 0.524                        | U-NZpol1    | nd                         |                              |
| 259    | PR          | 10#                         | 0.873                        | U-NZpol1    | nd                         |                              |
| 260    | PR          | 11                         | 0.249                        | U-NZpol1    | nd                         |                              |
| GB146  | C           | nd                          | U-NZpol1                     | 10          | 0.442                      |
| GB172  | A           | nd                          | U-NZpol1                     | 10          | 0.660                      |
| GB184  | A           | nd                          | U-NZpol1                     | 10          | 0.667                      |
| MF21   | U-NZenv     | nd                          | U-NZpol2                     | 10          | 0.661                      |
| MF29   | U-NZenv     | nd                          | U-NZpol2                     | 13          | 1.844                      |
| PN9    | C           | nd                          | PR                           | 9           | 0.437                      |
| PN17   | PR          | nd                          | U-NZpol1                     | 10          | 0.672                      |
| PN18   | PR          | 10                          | 0.000                        | U-NZpol1    | 10                         | 0.426                       |
| PN21   | PR          | 10#                         | 1.464                        | U-NZpol1    | nd                         |                              |
| PN22   | PR          | 10                          | 1.257                        | U-NZpol1    | nd                         |                              |
| PN23   | PR          | 10#                         | 0.375                        | U-NZpol1    | 11                         | 0.658                       |
| PN24   | C           | nd                          | U-NZpol1                     | 11          | 1.124                      |
| TKP73  | U-NZenv     | 9                           | 0.369                        | U-NZpol1    | 12                         | 0.442                       |
| TKP88  | U-NZenv     | 11                          | 2.352                        | U-NZpol1    | 11                         | 0.447                       |
| TKP94  | U-NZenv     | 6                           | 0.691                        | U-NZpol1    | 11                         | 5.240                       |
| TKP95  | U-NZenv and C | 13                     | 25.717**                   | U-NZpol1    | 10                         | 2.088                       |
|        | U-NZenv     | 10                          | 2.313                        |              |                            |                              |
|        | C           | 3                           | 0.129                        |              |                            |                              |

# samples for which endpoint dilution sequencing was documented in Hayward et al. (2007), but here the sequence number has been extended from five to ten sequences per sample.

*PR = putative recombinant

**sample with dual infection

*nd = not done
regions of low diversity. No known study has found naturally occurring intersubtype recombination in the pol gene of the FIV-Fca strain.

The twelve NZ intragenic putative recombinants that we have identified were almost exclusively found in companion cats. Due to the design of this study, we are unsure as to why this could be; one hypothesis is that companion cats may represent the reservoir pool of recombinants, which have not yet spread extensively through the feral cat populations. Alternatively, recombinant strains may be more virulent than non-recombinant strains. Infection with a circulating recombinant would result in death in feral cats, while companion cats, with their generally higher standard of living, remain alive but infected. While these two hypotheses are plausible, we feel that neither is fully adequate to explain the situation. A final potential explanation is the sample used for each cat type. Lymph nodes were used for feral cats while blood samples were used for companion cats. This would need to be confirmed by comparing viral sequences in a putative recombinant cat from both lymph node and blood samples.

Putative intergenic recombination

We found three cases of statistically significant differences between gene trees from the same sample, leading to the conclusion that we have three putative intergenic recombinants. These putative intergenic recombination events are between the gag and env gene regions sequenced in this study.

The pol gene region of only 524 bp did not have enough phylogenetic signal to elevate the power of the SH tests. This is evident in the ML trees, where unresolved nodes are seen. The lack of signal could be a result of the conserved nature of the pol gene, given that it encodes important enzymes.

The SH test also is known to be conservative [25]. We likely added to this stringency with the criteria of both reciprocal tests needing to be statistically significant to recognise significance between the two trees involved. However, in this case we feel it is better to be cautious in designating intergenic recombinants.

Unknown subtype

The env unknown subtype samples that we previously found [10] do not show the same pattern in the gag and pol gene trees, and we cannot confirm the occurrence of a novel NZ-specific subtype across the FIV genome. However, other groups of sequences of unknown subtypes are seen in the gag (U-NZgag) and pol (U-NZpol1, U-NZpol2) NJ trees. Endpoint dilution sequencing carried out on representatives of pol and env unknown samples confirms that these are real proviral sequences and not artificial
PCR-mediated mosaics. Sequences of U-NZenv, U-NZgag and U-NZpol2 are most closely related to reference subtype C, while sequences of U-NZpol1 are most closely related to reference subtype A. In both the gag and the pol genes, no NZ sequences group directly with the reference subtype C sequences, although there are only three and two C reference sequences in gag and pol, respectively. We suggest that U-NZgag and U-NZpol2 represent divergent subtype C viral strains. In the gag gene, this group also features Taiwan subtype C sequences, indicating a shared origin for NZ and Taiwan FIV and validating the authenticity of our NZ sequences. Further sequencing of Pacific FIV-Fca isolates in this gene is required to confirm whether the U-NZgag group is a Pacific-wide specific subtype.

We suggest that the patterns seen in the different gene regions of the NZ unknown samples are the result of a number of intergenic recombination events involving known subtype A and undefined unknown subtype strains. HIV-1 "subtype E" has a similar putative mosaic structure and it has been suggested that the gag and pol genes originate from subtype A while most of the env gene and LTR's originate from an undefined subtype E parent [21]. The FIV situation we see here is more complex than the HIV-1 "subtype E" case because, firstly, we have unknown groups in all three gene regions we have sequenced, including two distinct unknown groups (U-NZpol1 and U-NZpol2) in the pol gene, and secondly, we have at least four different intergenic recombinant patterns across the three genes we have sequenced. Without full-length proviral sequences, we cannot speculate on the relationship between the unknown groups of the three genes, that is, we are unsure of the number of parental unknown strains represented. Indeed, the identified intergenic recombinant pattern of "unknown" in all three gene regions may actually represent sequencing from the same parent strain.

**Endpoint dilution sequencing**

All endpoint dilution sequences from putative recombinant samples grouped within 1.5% of each other and the consensus sequence. However, this does not rule out the possibility of recombination occurring in any of these individuals, although our evidence points toward infection in these cats by circulating recombinant forms. With two exceptions (see next section), all endpoint dilution sequences from unknown subtype samples in the env and pol genes grouped within 2.4% of each other and the consensus sequence. These results validate the authenticity of the original consensus sequence, and provide no evidence for synthetic PCR-mediated recombination.

**Intrahost recombination**

From endpoint dilution sequencing, an intrasubtype pol recombinant sequence was identified in an individual, which was also found to have both parent strains. The parent strains are quite distinct, although of the same subtype, indicating dual infection. This type of recombination is difficult to detect, due to the high similarity of the sequences involved. This finding highlights that there is a degree of intrasubtype recombination occurring that largely goes unnoticed. Indeed, to our knowledge, no other study has documented a naturally-occurring dual infection of FIV that has resulted in recombination within the individual.

Another interesting result of endpoint dilution sequencing was the identification of dual infection in the env gene of another cat, with subtypes C and unknown. Although dual infection is widely accepted to be a prerequisite of recombination, we found evidence of only this one intersubtype dual infection in our samples. In addition, no recombinant sequences were identified from this dually-infected cat.

![Figure 8](http://www.virologyj.com/content/5/1/76)
A recent study [17] has documented two cases of A/C dual infection in NZ cats, found by using different primers to amplify overlapping sequences of the same samples. This finding was explained by different subtype preferential binding of the primers, with one primer set only amplifying subtype A. We have no reason to suspect preferential subtype binding of the primers used in the present study, given that both subtypes A and C are amplified with these. However, as a confirmation of subtype designation with our primers, we amplified the V3–V5 region of env from a selection of 11 samples with different nested PCR primers, as used by Duarte & Tavares (2006). All eleven sequences grouped with the corresponding original sequence (data not shown). While this finding corroborates our data, it does not completely rule out the possibility of primer preferential binding.

**Conclusion**

The level of intergenic and intragenic recombination we have found in NZ FIV-infected cats highlights the importance of recombination in the evolution of FIV-Fca. Although this phenomenon has been suggested to occur at a much slower rate than in HIV-1 [26], our results indicate that it may in fact be a more common occurrence, especially given that a lot of recombination goes undetected.

In NZ, we have evidence of FIV-Fca sequences of unknown subtypes in all three gag, pol and env genes. However, the present study represents the most extensive sequencing effort of FIV-Fca strains. Therefore, as expected, and as has been found with increased sequencing of HIV-1 isolates [27,28], we find an increase in the number of strains of unknown subtype. In order to obtain a more accurate picture of recombination and unknown subtype patterns, it is necessary to sequence multiple genes and ideally, to obtain sequences of the whole FIV genome.

Recombination can repair reverse transcription errors [29], or can modify viral properties, such as fitness, as found in HIV-1 BF recombinants circulating in Argentina [30]. The event of recombination can also aid in adaptation to new environments, such as a new host species [31]. Finally, viral recombination increases the genetic diversity of circulating viruses within a population. Results of the present study have implications for the use of the current FIV vaccine. Further testing of, and possibly modifications to, the current vaccine may be needed to ensure protection against circulating recombinant forms and novel subtypes.

**Methods**

**Sample collection**

Lymph nodes from feral and stray cats, and blood samples from companion cats were collected and processed as described previously [10]. Further samples were collected to give a total of 77 feral cat and 72 companion cat FIV-infected samples included in this study. No further stray cat samples were collected, leaving only the seven stray cat sequences from our previous study. For this study, feral cats are defined as unowned cats that inhabit rural areas, while stray cats inhabit urban areas. Companion cats are owned and fully reliant on humans.

**PCR amplification**

The env gene was amplified using nested PCR, according to published protocols [10,32]. In addition to the env gene, a region of the gag gene [6] and a region of the pol
gene [33] were also directly sequenced for some samples (Table 9). Forty-eight (32%) of the samples were amplified successfully with the \textit{gag} primers and 91 (61%) were amplified successfully with the \textit{pol} primers. Sequencing and sequence analyses were conducted as previously described [10]. NZ sequences generated in this study were deposited in GENBANK.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

Using PAUP* [34], a Neighbour-Joining (NJ) tree [35] was constructed for each gene, with the best model of evolution for the data as determined by Modeltest 3.7 [36]. For \textit{env}, \textit{gag} and \textit{pol} the optimum model was GTR+I+G.

**Intragenic recombination identification**

The NJ trees were used to visually identify sequences that fall between subtypes (outliers), which were then tested for recombination using Recombinant Identification Program 3.0 (RIP) [37,38]. A 200 bp sliding window was used, with the threshold for significance set at 90%. Gaps in the sequences were treated as characters and multistate characters were scored as partial matches. Appropriate reference sequences were included in each RIP analysis.

Each outlier sequence was then split at the putative crossover points deduced from the RIP alignment output. In PAUP* a maximum likelihood (ML) tree was constructed using each sequence "half" and full-length reference sequences, with a backbone tree of full-length reference sequences constrained. The KH test [39,40], also implemented in PAUP*, was used to test for a significant difference between the two ML trees built from each sequence "half" of each recombinant.

**Intergenic recombination identification**

Forty-five NZ cat samples were sequenced in all three gene regions. Locations of each of these 45 samples on the different gene trees were compared. Six samples are identified as intragenic putative recombinant sequences in this study and were removed from the data. This was done to prevent a significant result caused by the intragenic recombination event. Nine of our remaining samples showed a discrepancy in subtype assignment between gene trees, indicating intergenic recombination.

If there is no recombination, we expect that a single topology applies to all gene regions. The best candidate for this topology is obtained by concatenating all gene alignments, and constructing a tree. We did this as follows. All intergenic putative recombinant sequences were removed from the data, leaving a total of 30 NZ sequences, from which four ML trees (\textit{gag} gene, \textit{pol} gene, \textit{env} gene and concatenation of all three genes) were constructed. Modeltest output parameters were used (GTR+I+G for \textit{gag} and concatenated, TrN+G for \textit{pol}, TVM+I+G for \textit{env}) in a heuristic search with random sequence addition, ten replicates and tree bisection reconnection as the branch-swapping algorithm. We used the Shimodaira-Hasegawa (SH) test [41] to determine whether the concatenated tree is an appropriate backbone constraint to control for sampling error in the topologies of the three different gene regions. The SH test was implemented in PAUP*, with full optimisation and 1000 bootstrap replicates. The null hypothesis in an SH test is that all the trees being compared are just as good at explaining the data as the true tree. A statistically significant result rejects the null hypothesis, indicating that the particular tree does not explain the data as well as the best tree for that data set [25]. Four SH tests were run – one for each data set (\textit{gag}, \textit{pol}, \textit{env} and concatenated). Therefore, a Bonferroni correction was applied.

SH test results showed that the concatenated tree is not significantly different to the best tree for each gene data set. A putative recombinant sequence was then added into the data and four ML trees (\textit{gag} gene, \textit{pol} gene, \textit{env} gene and concatenation of all three genes) were estimated, with

### Table 9: \textit{Env}, \textit{gag} and \textit{pol} primer details used in the nested PCR's

| Gene region and PCR round | Primer Name | Location (bp) | PCR product length (bp) |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------------|
| \textit{env} – round one  | VE1S        | 7134–7154+    | 1230                   |
|                           | VE1R        | 8364–8345     |                        |
| - round two               | VE2S        | 7326–7345     | 858                    |
|                           | VE2R        | 8184–8165     |                        |
| \textit{gag} – round one  | LTR1        | 122–141+     | 1287                   |
|                           | Gag548      | 1409–1389     |                        |
| - round two               | LTR2        | 285–309       | 1127                   |
|                           | Gag548      | 1409–1389     |                        |
| \textit{pol} – round one  | 1258F       | 2430–2451+    | 577                    |
|                           | 1260R       | 3007–2988     |                        |
| - round two               | 1259F       | 2466–2488     | 524                    |
|                           | 1261R       | 2990–2968     |                        |

* using TM2 as reference sequence
** using Petaluma as reference sequence
the original concatenated tree constrained as a backbone. A heuristic search was implemented, with random sequence addition, ten replicates and tree bisection reconnection as the branch-swapping algorithm. Four ML trees were constructed for each of the nine identified putative intergenic recombinant sequences. Four data sets (168 pol, WST05 concatenated, WST05 gag, 259 pol) returned multiple trees, but as these trees all had the same likelihood score, one of the trees was randomly selected for use in subsequent SH tests.

Since the concatenated tree is constrained as a backbone, the only differences between the four ML trees are the locations of the newly added putative intergenic recombinant sequence and the branch lengths. So, any statistical significance found from the SH tests is a result of the location of the putative recombinant sequence. In this way, we are testing the putative recombinant sequences for incongruence between the different gene regions. The SH test was performed using PAUP* with full optimisation and 1000 bootstrap replicates, as described in Goldman et al. (2000). For each of the putative recombinant sequences, the SH test was run four times – once with each data set (gag, pol, env, concatenated). A Bonferroni correction was applied to correct for the multiple tests. A sequence was designated an intergenic recombinant if the corresponding trees were significantly different statistically in both reciprocal data set SH tests. With nine putative recombinants and four trees for each, a total of 36 SH tests were run. Note that SH tests were run to compare all gene regions, not just those we noticed an incongruency between.

Endpoint dilution

Endpoint dilution sequencing [42,43] was undertaken as described in Hayward et al. (2007) on representative intergenic putative recombinants and unknown subtype samples, for both the env and pol genes. Endpoint dilution was also carried out on the putative recombinant sample of the gag gene. This was done to confirm the consensus sequences generated from direct sequencing as actual putative recombinants and unknown subtype samples described in Hayward et al. (2007).
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