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Abstract
We examined an urban population’s awareness of dietary guidelines to determine whether they perceived them to be necessary, and identified the factors affecting this awareness and perceived necessity. Data were collected via physical examinations and face-to-face interviews. Health indicators were collected from health examinations, and information on individual characteristics, including awareness, perceived necessity, and dietary status were obtained from the interviews. The subjects’ sociodemographic, health and dietary status were examined as potential factors influencing awareness and perceived necessity. Descriptive statistics, contingency tables, and logistic regression modeling were used in the analysis. Low awareness of the dietary guidelines was displayed by the elderly and poorly educated individuals, and those without a spouse. Most people who were not aware of the dietary guidelines thought the guidelines unnecessary. Males with hypertension and lower hemoglobin levels, and females with less education had low awareness. Elderly females with lower bone density had less perceived necessity. Males and females with poor dietary status reported low perceived necessity.
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Introduction
In 1992, the World Declaration of Nutrition, which recommended the development of national food-based dietary guidelines, was adopted during the International Conference of Nutrition in Rome (FAO & WHO, 1996). Since then, about 30 nations have established dietary guidelines (Welsh, 1996), with the goal of improving people’s health, preventing chronic diseases, and reducing medical expenses. In 1995, the Korean Health Industry Development Institute developed the country’s food-based dietary guidelines, ‘Dietary Guidelines for Koreans’, which have since been revised in 2002 (Korea Health Industry Development Institute, 2002).

These guidelines have been a central theme of Korea’s health-promotion activities. Educational programs that target special groups have been more efficient than generic programs in improving the general health of the nation (Achterberg, 1994). Therefore, to effectively disseminate the guidelines, it was essential to identify target subpopulations with a stronger demand for diet-related information. Before planning or implementing the educational programs, the target groups with the greatest need for overall health improvement should be identified. However, no studies have been conducted to identify such groups in Korea that need dietary guidelines education.

Reports related to the dietary guidelines and compliance have focused on factors related to food consumption based on the guidelines (Turrell et al., 2002) and the results of that consumption (Kant et al., 2000), as well as on consumers’ perceptions regarding the importance of complying with dietary guidelines (Nayga & Capps, 1999). However, no reports have identified the subpopulations requiring more effective dissemination of the dietary guidelines. In addition, limited information was available to identify predictors of both awareness and the perceived necessity of the dietary guidelines. This study analysed subjects’ awareness of the national ‘Dietary Guidelines for Koreans’, and their perception of the need for the guidelines. This information could help health professionals and policy makers in developing educational programs about the guidelines and on how to achieve healthier diets.

In this cross-sectional population-based study, sociodemographic factors were chosen from literary reviews (Ball et al., 2004; Dynesen et al., 2003; Keenan et al., 1999; Nayga, 1997; Nayga & Capps, 1999; Turrell et al., 2002, 2003, 2004), and health and dietary factors were selected based on a preliminary survey.
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This investigation sought to evaluate the population’s awareness of the guidelines and perception of their necessity, and identify the factors affecting awareness and perceived necessity by gender.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Subjects**

Five blocks were selected randomly in one district of Seoul, and visitors to a social welfare center in each block recruited as study subjects. Written informed consent was obtained from all of the 345 participants, but only 290 providing complete responses were included in the analysis.

**Data collection**

Data were collected on five consecutive days in August 2003. The field survey consisted of interviews and physical examinations. Face-to-face interviews were conducted by trained interviewers using a general questionnaire evaluating sociodemographic status, dietary status, awareness of the dietary guidelines, and perceived necessity of the guidelines. Physical health examinations were performed by nurses and health center workers under the supervision of a general physician.

**Research instruments and measures**

1) **General questionnaires**

The general questionnaires were composed of three parts: sociodemographic status, including gender, age, education levels, marital status, and monthly income; dietary status; and awareness of the Korean dietary guidelines and perception of their necessity.

2) **Sociodemographic status**

Age groups were classified as adult (32≤age<65 years) or elderly (≥65 years). Education was divided into lower (<12 years) and higher education levels (≥12 years). Marital status was coded as 'without a spouse' or 'with a spouse'. Monthly household income was grouped into a lower income (<1,000,000 Korean won=US $1,000) and a higher income group (≥1,000,000 won).

3) **Dietary status**

The dietary status of the subjects was examined using a structured questionnaire about the 22 items listed in the Korean dietary guidelines (Korea Health Industry Development Institute, 2002). The items of the questionnaire are as follows: (Table 1). The five-point Likert scale (‘don’t do it at all’ = a score of 1; ‘don’t do it’ = 2; ‘so-so’ = 3; ‘do it’ = 4; ‘do it very much’ = 5) was used for each item. Two nutritionists examined all of the items for content validity, and the scale’s reliability (Cronbach’s alpha) was 0.6734. The dietary scores, representing the subjects’ status with the dietary guidelines, were calculated as the sums of the responses for all 22 items; hence, the scores could range from 22 to 110 points. The mean dietary score was 75.09 ± 8.51(SD). Dietary status was grouped into two classes based on quartile score: ‘good’ (score≥70) and ‘poor’ (score<70).

4) **Awareness and perceived necessity of the dietary guidelines**

Subjects were asked whether they knew that dietary guidelines existed for Koreans and whether they considered the dietary guidelines necessary. In their responses, ‘not necessary’ and ‘so-so’ were considered negative for two reasons: first, the questions related to their own health, so respondents answering ‘so-so’ were assumed to have negative attitudes. Second, only a few negative answers were given.

**Physical health examination**

The body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, plasma total cholesterol, hemoglobin level, and bone density were assessed as described below.

**Body mass index (BMI)**

BMI (kg/m²) was calculated and subjects were divided into three groups based on Asian Pacific criteria: underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (<23.0 kg/m²), and overweight or obese (≥23 kg/m²).
Table 2. Correlation coefficients between variables

| Variate         | Gender   | Age       | Education | Marital status | Monthly income | BMI       | Blood pressure | Plasma cholesterol | Hemoglobin | Bone density | Dietary score |
|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| Gender          | 1        | -0.0342   | -0.1943   | -0.1854        | 0.0634         | 0.19389   | -0.129         | 0.17094             | -0.40192  | -0.037       | -0.018        |
| Age             | 1        | -0.479    | -0.453    | -0.076         | 0.09204        | 0.1636    | 0.18057        | 0.08409             | 0.345      | 0.0371       |               |
| Education       | 1        | 0.33312   | 0.1985    | -0.1184        | 0.00234        | 0.12999   | 0.345         | 0.0371              | 0.052      |              |               |
| Marital status  | 1        | 0.0644    | 0.0841    | -0.063         | 0.00278        | 0.1149    | 0.0391        | 0.1269              | 0.032      |              |               |
| Monthly income  | 1        | -0.0738   | -0.085    | -0.0057        | 0.003806       | 0.1281    | 0.1256        |                     |            |              |               |
| BMI             | 1        | 0.0628    | 0.1765    | 0.03778        | 0.1018         | -0.046    |               |                     |            |              |               |
| Blood pressure  | 1        | 0.0454    | 0.15192   | -0.0103        | -0.04          |          |               |                     |            |              |               |
| Plasma cholesterol | 1     | 0.06529   | -0.084    |                 |                |          |               |                     |            |              |               |
| Hemoglobin      | 1        | 0.1581    | -0.116    |               |               |          |               |                     |            |              |               |
| Bone density    | 1        | 0.0524    |          |               |               |          |               |                     |            |              |               |
| Dietary score   | 1        |            |           |               |               |          |               |                     |            |              |               |

Blood pressure

Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were measured separately. Subjects were diagnosed as hypertensive with systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg based on WHO criteria.

Plasma total cholesterol and hemoglobin level

Blood was drawn from the subjects after a 12 h fast to measure plasma total cholesterol and hemoglobin levels. Plasma total cholesterol was coded into three groups based on NCEP ATPIII criteria: normal (<200 mg/dl), borderline (<240 mg/dl), and hypercholesterolaemic (≥240 mg/dl). Hemoglobin levels were re-coded into two groups based on WHO criteria: anaemic (<13 g/dl for males, <12 g/dl for females) and normal.

Bone density

The density of the right side calcanei per one unit area was measured using PIXI (Lunar Radiation Crop, Madison, WI). Bone health status was divided into three groups according to the t-score: normal (>−1.0), osteopaenic (>−2.5), or osteoporotic (≤−2.5) based on WHO criteria.

Statistical methods

Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the subjects’ sociodemographic, health, and dietary status, and the level of awareness and perceived necessity. The association of awareness and necessity with general characteristics was examined using chi-square tests. Logistic regression analyses were used to identify factors that affected awareness and perceived necessity.

The subjects’ awareness of the dietary guidelines and their perception that the guidelines were necessary were considered dependent variables. For logistic regression, dummy variables were created, in which a positive response was coded as ‘1’ and a negative response was coded as ‘0’.

Independent variables included the subjects’ sociodemographic indicators, health status, and dietary status. Sociodemographic indicators included gender, age, education, marital status, and monthly income. Health status variables were the BMI, blood pressure, plasma total cholesterol, hemoglobin level, and bone density. Dietary status was represented with the dietary score.

Logistic regression models were as follows:

Logit (Pa) = b1Gender + b2Age + b3Education + b4Marital status + b5Monthly income + b6BMI + b7Blood pressure + b8Plasma cholesterol + b9Hemoglobin + b10Bone density + b11Dietary score.

Logit (Ppn) = b1Gender + b2Age + b3Education + b4Marital status + b5Monthly income + b6BMI + b7Blood pressure + b8Plasma cholesterol + b9Hemoglobin + b10Bone density + b11Dietary score.

[Pa = Probability of the awareness; Ppn = Probability of perceived necessity; gender (0 for males, 1 for females); age (continuous variable); education (0 for <12 years, 1 for ≥12 years); marital status (0 = without a spouse, 1 = with a spouse); monthly income level (0 = lower income group, 1 = higher income group); BMI (continuous variable); blood pressure (0 = normotensive, 1 = hypertensive); plasma cholesterol (continuous variable); hemoglobin (continuous variable); bone density (continuous variable); dietary score (continuous variable)].

The standardised coefficients were reported to compare the relative influence of each independent variable. The correlation tests detected no degradation from multicollinearity. All of the correlation coefficients were below 0.64 (Table 2).

Results

General characteristics of the subjects

Among the samples, the highest percentages were noted among female, elderly, lower education, with a spouse, and lower income groups (76.9, 54.1, 73.8, 57.9, and 57%, respectively; Table 3).

With regard to health indicators, the percentage of obesity, normotensive, normal plasma cholesterol (<240 mg/dl &
Factors affecting awareness and the perceived necessity of the dietary guidelines

1) Distribution of subjects’ awareness

Sociodemographic characteristics such as age, education, and marital status were significant variables (Table 4). Respondents who were adult (41.4%), higher educated (51.3%), and with a spouse (36.9%) had higher awareness than their counterparts. Among the health indicators, bone density was the only significant variable. Awareness rates for normal, osteopaenic, and osteoporotic were 50.7, 30.3, and 21.5%, respectively.

Dietary status was not a significant variable, although subjects with good status tended to have a higher awareness rate (33.2%) than subjects with poor status (29.3%).

2) Distribution of subjects’ perceived necessity

Sociodemographic characteristics such as age, education, and marital status were significant variables (Table 5). Respondents who were adult (83.2%), higher educated (86.8%), and married (82.4%) perceived the guidelines to be more necessary than individuals who were elderly (72.4%), lower educated (73.9%), and without a spouse (70.3%). Subjects with lower income had marginally lower perceived necessity ($p<0.1$; Table 5).

No health status variables were significant. Regarding dietary status, participants with a good status thought the guidelines were necessary (81.5%), whereas subjects with a poor status were less likely to find them necessary (65.3%).

3) Factors affecting awareness and the perceived necessity of the dietary guidelines

Table 6 summarizes the logistic regression results. The analysis of the subjects’ awareness is given in the upper part of Table 6. Education had a significant and positive influence on the awareness. In males, blood pressure was the most significant variable and had a negative influence. Hemoglobin level was the next most significant and had a positive influence. In females, education was the most significant variable and showed a positive influence (Table 6).

An estimation of the perceived necessity results are given in the lower part of Table 6. Age was negatively correlated; dietary score was positively correlated. In males, the dietary score was significant and positive. In females, age and dietary score were significant and bone density was marginally significant ($p<0.1$). Age and bone density were negative, but the dietary score was positive (Table 6).

Discussion

This study researched subjects’ awareness of the dietary guidelines and their perception of the guidelines’ necessity, and identified factors affecting awareness and perceived necessity.

More than double the subjects were not aware of the dietary guidelines (67.8%) than were aware (32.2%). Subjects’ awareness in our study was slightly higher than noted in a previous report from Korea (23.1%; Moon, 2003), but it was lower than the 45% reported in a study in the United States (Keenan et al., 2002). Dietary guidelines do not appear to be sufficiently disseminated among the Korean population.
Table 4. Distribution of the subjects' awareness of dietary guidelines

| Sociodemographic status | Awareness | \( \chi^2 \) | \( p \) |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------|
| Gender                  |           |              |        |
| Male                    | 44 (65.67) | 23 (34.33)   | 0.16   | 0.668 |
| Female                  | 152 (68.47)| 70 (31.53)   | 9.50   | 0.002 |
| Age (years)             |           |              |        |
| <65                     | 78 (58.65) | 55 (41.35)   | 41.35  | 0.000 |
| ≥65                     | 118 (75.64)| 38 (24.36)   | 24.36  | 0.000 |
| Education (years)       |           |              |        |
| <12                     | 159 (74.65)| 54 (25.35)   | 25.35  | 0.000 |
| ≥12                     | 37 (48.68) | 39 (51.32)   | 51.32  | 0.000 |
| Marital status          |           |              |        |
| Without spouse          | 90 (74.38)| 31 (25.62)   | 25.62  | 0.000 |
| With spouse             | 106 (63.10)| 62 (36.90)   | 36.90  | 0.000 |
| Monthly income (won)    |           |              |        |
| <1,000,000              | 114 (70.37)| 48 (29.63)   | 29.63  | 0.000 |
| ≥1,000,000              | 77 (63.64) | 44 (36.36)   | 36.36  | 0.000 |
| BMI (kg/m²)             |           |              |        |
| <18.5                   | 3 (50.00)  | 3 (50.00)    | 50.00  | 0.343 |
| ≥23.0                   | 64 (64.00) | 36 (36.00)   | 36.00  | 0.343 |
| Blood Pressure (mmHg)   |           |              |        |
| Normotensive Hypertensive\(^1\) | 99 (65.56) | 52 (34.44)   | 34.44  | 0.390 |
| Plasma cholesterol (mg/dl) |           |              |        |
| <200                    | 90 (64.50)| 49 (35.50)   | 35.50  | 0.233 |
| ≥240                    | 37 (76.06)| 10 (23.94)   | 76.06  | 0.233 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)       |           |              |        |
| Normal                  | 159 (67.37)| 77 (32.63)   | 32.63  | 0.731 |
| Anaemic\(^2\)           | 37 (69.81)| 16 (30.19)   | 69.81  | 0.731 |
| Bone density            |           |              |        |
| Normal                  | 36 (49.32)| 37 (50.68)   | 49.32  | 0.000 |
| Osteopaenic\(^3\)      | 76 (69.72)| 33 (30.28)   | 69.72  | 0.000 |
| Osteoporotic\(^3\)     | 84 (78.50)| 23 (21.50)   | 78.50  | 0.000 |
| Dietary status          |           |              |        |
| Good                    | 143 (66.82)| 71 (33.18)   | 33.18  | 0.540 |
| Poor\(^4\)              | 53 (70.67) | 22 (29.33)   | 70.67  | 0.540 |

\(^1\) hypertensive: SBP≥140 mmHg or DBP≥90 mmHg
\(^2\) anaemic: male Hb<13 g/dl, female Hb<12 g/dl
\(^3\) osteopaenic: -2.5<t-score<-1, osteoporotic: t-score≤-2.5
\(^4\) poor: dietary score<70, good: dietary score≥70

Table 5. Distribution of the subjects' perceived necessity of dietary guidelines

| Sociodemographic status | Perceived Necessity | \( \chi^2 \) | \( p \) |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------|
| Gender                  |                    |              |        |
| Male                    | 15 (22.39)         | 52 (77.61)   | 0.00   | 0.960 |
| Female                  | 49 (22.69)         | 167 (77.31)  | 22.69  | 0.000 |
| Age (years)             |                    |              |        |
| <65                     | 22 (16.79)         | 109 (83.21)  | 16.79  | 0.030 |
| ≥65                     | 42 (27.63)         | 110 (72.37)  | 27.63  | 0.030 |
| Education (years)       |                    |              |        |
| <12                     | 54 (26.09)         | 153 (73.91)  | 26.09  | 0.021 |
| ≥12                     | 10 (13.16)         | 66 (86.84)   | 13.16  | 0.021 |
| Marital status          |                    |              |        |
| Without spouse          | 35 (29.66)         | 83 (70.34)   | 29.66  | 0.017 |
| With spouse             | 29 (17.58)         | 136 (82.42)  | 17.58  | 0.017 |
| Monthly income (won)    |                    |              |        |
| <1,000,000              | 42 (26.42)         | 117 (73.58)  | 26.42  | 0.091 |
| ≥1,000,000              | 21 (17.80)         | 97 (82.20)   | 17.80  | 0.091 |
| BMI (kg/m²)             |                    |              |        |
| <18.5                   | 3 (50.00)          | 3 (50.00)    | 50.00  | 0.232 |
| ≥23.0                   | 20 (20.40)         | 79 (79.60)   | 20.40  | 0.232 |
| Blood pressure (mmHg)   |                    |              |        |
| Normotensive Hypertensive\(^1\) | 28 (19.31) | 117 (80.69)  | 19.31  | 0.173 |
| Plasma cholesterol (mg/dl) |                  |              |        |
| <200                    | 26 (19.12)         | 110 (80.88)  | 19.12  | 0.867 |
| ≥240                    | 23 (22.33)         | 80 (77.67)   | 22.33  | 0.119 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)       |                    |              |        |
| Normal                  | 55 (23.71)         | 177 (76.29)  | 23.71  | 0.349 |
| Anaemic\(^2\)           | 9 (17.65)          | 42 (82.35)   | 17.65  | 0.349 |
| Bone density            |                    |              |        |
| Normal                  | 13 (17.81)         | 60 (82.19)   | 17.81  | 0.232 |
| Osteopaenic\(^3\)      | 24 (22.43)         | 83 (77.57)   | 22.43  | 0.232 |
| Osteoporotic\(^3\)     | 27 (26.21)         | 76 (73.79)   | 26.21  | 0.232 |
| Dietary status          |                    |              |        |
| Good                    | 39 (18.48)         | 172 (81.52)  | 18.48  | 0.005 |
| Poor\(^4\)              | 25 (34.72)         | 47 (65.28)   | 34.72  | 0.005 |

\(^1\) hypertensive: SBP≥140 mmHg or DBP≥90 mmHg
\(^2\) anaemic: male Hb<13 g/dl, female Hb<12 g/dl
\(^3\) osteopaenic: -2.5<t-score<-1, osteoporotic: t-score≤-2.5
\(^4\) poor: dietary score<70, good: dietary score≥70
The guidelines were perceived as necessary by 3.4 times more people (77.4%) than those who thought them unnecessary (22.6%). Because the majority of those surveyed had a high interest in the guidelines, more Koreans would likely be in compliance with the guidelines if the public was better informed and educated about them. According to the chi-square tests, age, education, and marital status were the significant sociodemographic factors determining people’s awareness and perceived necessity. The elderly, subjects with less education had the lowest scores, so particular attention should be paid to this group.

The variable with the largest influence on awareness of females (β = 0.2360, p < 0.05, Table 6) and all subjects (β = 0.1965, p < 0.05, Table 6) was education. Therefore, disseminating dietary guidelines through traditional school or community education systems would be efficient. Perceived necessity was low among the elderly females (β = -0.5908, p < 0.01, Table 6). Public education strategies would help these individuals understand the benefits of the guidelines. Elderly females with less education had the lowest scores, so particular attention should be paid to this group.

Dynesen et al. (2003) reported an association between low compliance with the guidelines and lower education levels and being in a family without a spouse or child. Our results were consistent with those findings. However, whilst elderly females were likely to follow the guidelines in Dynesen et al.’s (2003) report, this was not true in our study. Therefore, low income families should be a target group for education programs, and these programs should help them find an affordable way to satisfy recommended dietary intakes.

Health indicators influenced the subjects’ awareness and perceived necessity of the guidelines. Subjects with lower bone

### Table 6. Association between general characteristics and awareness and perceived necessity by gender

|                         | Male                | Female               | Total               |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
|                         | Estimate (B)        | Standardized Estimate(β) | p         | Estimate (B)        | Standardized Estimate(β) | p         | Estimate (B)        | Standardized Estimate(β) | p         |
| Intercept               | -10.3732            | 0.1913               | -0.0043            | 0.9990            | -1.9226               | 0.5285               |
| Gender                  | -0.2566             | -0.1879              | 0.3837             | -0.0728            | -0.5908               | 0.0027**             | -0.0522               | -0.4138               | 0.0026**             |
| Age                     | -0.0208             | -0.0575              | 0.7954             | 0.4909             | 0.1136                | 0.4564               | 0.2362               | 0.0584                | 0.6114               |
| Education               | 0.1399              | 0.0337               | 0.8739             | -0.1530            | -0.0421               | 0.7386               | 0.1782               | 0.0484                | 0.6293               |
| Marital status          | 1.1718              | 0.3152               | 0.2188             | 0.0888             | 0.0243                | 0.8181               | 0.3172               | 0.0865                | 0.3532               |
| Monthly income          | 0.2134              | 0.3272               | 0.1866             | 0.0537             | 0.0990                | 0.3433               | 0.0674               | 0.1216                | 0.1836               |
| BM1                     | 0.3009              | 0.0826               | 0.7009             | -0.2603            | -0.0715               | 0.4828               | -0.1490              | -0.0411               | 0.6441               |
| Blood pressure          | -0.00116            | -0.0191              | 0.9299             | 0.00335            | 0.0684                | 0.5012               | 0.00175              | 0.0348                | 0.6992               |
| Plasma cholesterol      | -0.0247             | -0.0185              | 0.9371             | -0.1010            | -0.0603               | 0.5872               | -0.1151              | -0.0794               | 0.4517               |
| Hemoglobin              | -0.4770             | -0.3605              | 0.1689             | -0.3062            | -0.2540               | 0.9668*              | -0.2349              | -0.1905               | 0.1075               |
| Bone density            | 0.1030              | 0.4700               | 0.4054*            | 0.0633             | 0.2987                | 0.0063**             | 0.0752               | 0.3519                | 0.0002***            |

1) Independent variables: Gender (0 for males, 1 for females); education level (0 for less than 12 years, 1 for more than 12 years); marital status (0 = without a spouse, 1 = with a spouse); monthly income level (0 = <US $2,000, 1 = ≥US $2,000, 2 = >US $2,000, 3 = >US $2,000); BMI (continuous variable); blood pressure (0 = normotensive, 1 = hypertensive); plasma cholesterol (continuous variable); hemoglobin (continuous variable); bone density (continuous variable); dietary score (continuous variable)

2) *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01; ****p<0.001
density (Table 4) and males with higher blood pressure showed lower awareness ($\beta = -0.5392$, $p < 0.05$, Table 6). Females with lower bone density indicated lower perceived necessity ($\beta = -0.254$, $p < 0.1$, Table 6). Nayga and Capps (1999) found that persons with a higher BMI were less likely to perceive the importance of a diet that adheres to the dietary guidelines. In our study, however, health status variables, including BMI, were not significant, although higher BMI, higher blood pressure, higher cholesterol, lower hemoglobin level, and lower bone density were negatively associated with awareness, and positively associated with perceived necessity with the exception of blood pressure (Table 6). Individuals in poor health were less likely than their healthier counterparts to be aware of the guidelines, but were more likely to consider them important. Therefore, individuals who have health problems should be a target group for the education programs.

In conclusion, information about the dietary guidelines has not spread to the people who need them most. Providing education about the guidelines and propagating them to target populations is the first step toward promoting a healthy diet at the national level. Although the study had a relatively small sample size, we suggest the following. First, because awareness about the dietary guidelines is much lower than the perceived necessity, systematic education and dissemination of the guidelines is urgently needed. Second, in addition to a population-wide education campaign, the following subpopulations should be targeted: the elderly, the poorly educated, the underprivileged, and individuals who live alone, are unhealthy, or have poor dietary habits. Third, it is essential to develop educational strategies to facilitate compliance by these subpopulations.
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