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S U M M A R Y

Introduction: The nutritional diagnosis and early nutritional management of COVID-19 patients must be integrated into the overall therapeutic strategy. The aim of our study is to assess the nutritional status of patients with COVID-19 after a stay in intensive care, to describe the prevalence of undernutrition, to determine the factors influencing undernutrition and to describe the nutritional management.

Tools and methods: This is a descriptive observational study of adult patients admitted to the endocrinology service for additional care after a stay in intensive care during the period from April 17, 2020 to May 26, 2020. The assessment tool used was the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA).

Results: Our study included 41 patients; the average age of the patients was 55 years, 51.2% had a severe or critical form of COVID-19, 75.6% stayed in intensive care, 12.2% had a loss of autonomy. The average BMI was 25.2 kg/m² (17–42 kg/m²), 42.5% were overweight, 61% had weight loss, 26.2% had weight loss greater than 10%, 14.6% of our patients were undernourished, 65.9% were at risk of undernutrition, 19.5% had hypoalbuminemia, 17.1% had hypoprotidemia, 19.5% hypocalcemia, 34.1% anemia, 12.2% hypomagnesemia and 51.2% had a deficiency in vitamin D. A positive correlation was found between poor nutritional status and a longer stay in intensive care (>5 days) (p = 0.011) and lymphopenia (p = 0.02).

Conclusion: Despite a personalized diet, 14.6% of patients presented undernutrition. Particular attention should be paid to patients with a long stay in intensive care.

© 2020 European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
1. Introduction

The university hospital centers are a tertiary care structures which takes care of the serious and critical cases of COVID-19, which are at great risk of undernutrition. The nutritional diagnosis and early nutritional management of COVID-19 patients must be integrated into the overall therapeutic strategy, as with any acute case of metabolic attack [1,2]. Several tools have been proposed for the evaluation of the nutritional status [3,4]. The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA), recommended for the evaluation of nutritional status in patients in healthcare settings [5–7], appears ideal for patients with COVID-19, alongside a clinical and paraclinical evaluation.

COVID-19 is a disease characterized by an inflammatory syndrome, leading to reduced food intake and increased muscle catabolism, therefore patients with COVID-19 are at high risk of malnutrition, which makes prevention of malnutrition and nutritional management key aspects of care.

Patients who recovered from COVID-19 after a stay in intensive care have lost weight and frequently present with respiratory, cardiovascular and neurological impairments. These deficiencies require prolonged treatment which affects their nutritional status, with a major risk of undernutrition [8–10].

A special organization was carried out in our university hospital center, so that all patients with COVID-19 after a stay in intensive care and after a negative PCR result are admitted to the endocrinology service to ensure additional medical care including nutritional care before discharge.

The objective of our study is to assess the nutritional status of patients followed for COVID-19, to describe the prevalence of undernutrition and to determine the factors influencing undernutrition and describe the nutritional management of these patients.

2. Patients and methods

This is an observational descriptive study on adult patients transferred from the intensive care unit to the endocrinology service following COVID-19 infection after negative PCR results during the period of April 17, 2020 to May 26, 2020. Non-consenting patients were excluded from the study.

Data collection was carried out using a questionnaire comprising the following sections:

- Questions relating to the clinical characteristics of the patient (anthropo-demographic data, data related to diabetes, cardiovascular risk factors, other comorbidities).
- Data related to COVID-19 infection: date of contamination, severity of the COVID-19 case, treatment received, length of hospital stay, intensive care stay with intubation, date of negative PCR result.
- A section containing the MNA questionnaire: 3 groups were selected at the end of the data collection: Group 1 of subjects with normal nutritional status (overall MNA score between 24 and 30), Group 2 of subjects at risk of undernutrition (having an overall MNA score between 17 and 23.5), Group 3 of malnourished subjects (overall MNA score less than 17) [5].
- The study parameters and measures were taken on site following a clinical examination (weight, BMI, blood pressure, capillary glycemia).
- Patient autonomy was assessed using Katz autonomy scale for basic Activities of Daily Life (ADL) score [11], and quality of life by Hamilton anxiety and depression scores, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and the Post–Traumatic Checklist Scale (PCLS) for post-traumatic stress assessment [12–15].

For the biological evaluation, all patients benefited from a complete nutritional assessment (blood count, lipid assessment, glycemia, albumin, protein, measurement of trace elements, calcium, vitamin D).

All the patients in the study received a nutritional and educational program adapted to their nutritional status.

The statistical analysis was done by IBM SPSS Statistics 20 software using the Chi-square test to obtain the p value. A value of p < 0.05 is considered to be statistically significant.

Free and informed consent was taken before inclusion in the study, while respecting the anonymity of patients when collecting data, with the approval of the ethics committee.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients (Table 1)

The study included 41 patients with an average age of 55 years (19–85 years), and a sex ratio of 1.05, 24.4% were over 70 years of age. 43.5% diabetic, and 34.1% hypertensive and 4.9% asthmatic. The mode of contamination was unknown in 46.3% of cases.

All patients were treated with the Hydroxychloroquine, Azithromycin, zinc, vitamin C and Corticoids protocol. Negative PCR results were obtained on average after 16.73 days of hospitalization (9–35 days), 21.95% presented complications during hospitalization, two patients presented a pulmonary embolism, two patients suffered an acute coronary syndrome requiring the placement of stents in one patient, toxicemia in two patients, hepatocellular insufficiency in one patient, peripartum cardiomyopathy in one patient, and deep bradycardia in one patient.

3.2. Nutritional assessment

From the MNA score, 14.6% of patients suffered from undernutrition and 65.9% had a risk of undernutrition. The average BMI was 25.2 kg/m², 61% of patients experienced weight loss which was greater than 10% in 24% of cases, 12.2% of patients were non-autonomous according to the ADL scores. Biologically, 19.5% had hypoalbuminemia, 17.1% had Hypoproteinemia, 19.5% hypocalcemia, 34.1% anemia, 12.2% hypomagnesemia and 51.2% had a deficiency in vitamin D (Table 2).

A positive correlation was found between poor nutritional status and the long stay in intensive care (p = 0.011) and lymphopenia (p = 0.02). (Table 3).

All patients benefited from personalized nutritional management with supplementation of vitamin D and trace element deficiencies.

4. Discussion

At the end of 2019, a new coronavirus called SARS-CoV-2 appeared in Wuhan, China. It was responsible for the emergence of a new acute respiratory infection now known as “COVID-19”. It was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020 [16]. As of September 24, 2020, it had reached 30 675 675 people and caused 954 417 deaths [17].

In all countries facing the epidemic, the great challenge is the management of severe cases. Based on the risk factors linked to lethality and the development of severe forms, in particular advanced age and the presence of comorbidities. Morocco has a young population with only 7.4% who are over 65, while one in four Italians, for example, is over 65 [18]. But Morocco is experiencing an increase in the number of chronic diseases, 21% of the Moroccan population suffers from at least one chronic disease; with a
The prevalence of diabetes of 10.6%, high blood pressure of 29.3% and obesity of 20%. These diseases are also risk factors for lethality and the development of serious forms of COVID-19 requiring intensive care [19,20].

Patients cured of the infection, having lost weight and weakened after weeks in intensive care, frequently have more or less severe impairments, on the respiratory, cardiovascular, neurological, neurocognitive, or musculoskeletal level. These deficiencies require prolonged management which affects their nutritional status, with a major risk of undernutrition [8–10].

And in order to avoid the consequences of a severe form of COVID-19, our university hospital center, which is a tertiary care structure dedicated to the management of the most severe cases, has defined a course of care from the arrival of the patient in the emergency room, hospitalization in the intensive care unit, to their discharge from the Endocrinology service.

In our study, 14.2% of patients had a poor nutritional status and 65.9% a risk of undernutrition. The average BMI was 25.2 kg/m², 61% had weight loss, 24% had weight loss greater than 10%.

Recent studies and guidelines indicate that COVID-19 patients are at high risk of malnutrition. The most severe cases are encountered in particular in patients with a chronic disease, the elderly or those with co-morbidities. These diseases often mask the underlying protein malnutrition. Patients with COVID-19 should be considered at high risk for malnutrition. Li et al.’s study of elderly hospitalized patients with COVID-19 reported that 53% of patients suffered from malnutrition [21,22].

Undernutrition during COVID-19 infection can be explained by the increase in energy expenditure linked to ventilatory work during a severe respiratory infection which induces an inflammatory syndrome and hypercatabolism, and also by the very reduced food intake linked to several factors: anorexia secondary to infection, respiratory discomfort, anosmia, ageusia and digestive symptoms (diarrhea, vomiting or abdominal pain). Two Chinese

| Table 1 | Summarizes patient demographics and characteristics related to infection. |
|-------------|------------------------|
| Age         | 55 ± 18.32             |
| Age Range   |                        |
| <45 years   | (11) 26.8%             |
| 45–70 years | (20) 48.8%             |
| >70 years   | (10) 24.4%             |
| Sex         |                       |
| F           | 48.8%                  |
| M           | 51.2%                  |
| Antecedents |                        |
| Diabetes    | (18) 43.9%             |
| High blood pressure | (14) 34.1%     |
| Asthma      | (2) 4.9%               |
| Employed    |                       |
| Yes         | (24) 58.3%             |
| No          | (17) 41.5%             |
| Marital Status |                   |
| Single      | (4) 9.8%               |
| Married     | (33) 80.5%             |
| Divorced    | (1) 2.4%               |
| Widower     | (3) 7.3%               |
| Severity classification |         |
| Mild case   | (3) 7.3%               |
| Moderate case| (17) 41.5%          |
| Severe case | (16) 39%               |
| Critical case| (5) 12.2%            |
| Intensive Care Stay |               |
| Yes         | (31) 75.6%             |
| No          | (10) 24.4%             |
| Intubation  |                       |
| Yes         | (5) 12.2%              |
| No          | (36) 87.8%             |
| Negative PCR |                    |
| ≤9 days     | (16) 39%               |
| Between 9 and 14 days | (8) 19.5%     |
| Between 14 and 30 days | (15) 36.6%  |
| >30 days    | (2) 4.9%               |
| C reactive protein CRP |             |
| Normal      | (15) 36.6%             |
| High        | (26) 63.4%             |
| White blood cells |                |
| Normal      | (23) 56.1%             |
| High        | (18) 43.9%             |
| Lymphopenia |                       |
| No          | (30) 73.2%             |
| Yes         | (11) 26.8%             |
| Hamilton anxiety |              |
| Normal      | (30) 73.2%             |
| Mild to moderate anxiety | (6) 14.6%   |
| Moderate to severe anxiety | (5) 12.2%  |
| Hamilton depression |        |
| Normal      | (28) 68.3%             |
| Mild depression | (7) 17.1%           |
| Moderate depression | (3) 12.2%    |
| Severe depression | (1) 2.4%             |
| Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) | |
| Normal      | (25) 61%               |
| Borderline abnormal | (6) 14.6%         |
| Abnormal    | (10) 24.4%             |
| Post-traumatic stress disorder |         |
| Yes         | (12) 29.3%             |
| No          | (29) 70.7%             |

| Table 2 | Summarizes the clinical and paraclinical nutritional characteristics of the patients. |
|----------|----------------------------------------|
| MNA SCORE | Normal nutritional status (8) 19.5%   |
|          | At risk of undernutrition (27) 65.9% |
|          | Proven undernutrition (6) 14.6%      |
| ADL SCORE | Autonomous (36) 87.8%               |
|          | Not autonomous (5) 12.2%            |
| Weight loss | Yes (25) 61%                        |
|          | No (16) 39%                         |
| Weight loss | ≤5% (7) 28%                         |
|          | Between 5% and 10% (12) 48%         |
|          | ≥10% (6) 24%                        |
| BMI       | BMI <18 kg/m² (3) 7.3%              |
|          | BMI 18–25 kg/m² (18) 43.9%         |
|          | BMI 25–30 kg/m² (17) 41.46%        |
|          | BMI > 30 kg/m² (3) 7.31%            |
| Biological | Vitamin D                           |
|          | Normal (3) 7.3%                     |
|          | Insufficiency (17) 41.5%            |
|          | Deficiency (21) 51.2%               |
| Albumin  | Normal (33) 80.5%                   |
|          | Low (8) 19.5%                       |
| Proteins | Normal (34) 82.9%                   |
|          | Low (7) 17.1%                       |
| Calcemia | Normal (33) 80.5%                   |
|          | Low (8) 19.3%                       |
| Anemia   | No (27) 65.9%                       |
|          | Yes (14) 34.1%                      |
| Magnesium | Normal (36) 87.8%                   |
|          | Low (5) 12.2%                       |
Table 3
Summarizes the results of the various correlations with the MNA score.

| MNA Score | Normal nutritional status (n = 8) | At risk of undernutrition (n = 27) | Proven undernutrition (n = 6) | p value |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| **Sex**   |                                  |                                   |                               |         |
| Man       | 4 (50%)                          | 15 (55.55%)                       | 2 (33.33%)                    | 0.614   |
| Women     | 4 (50%)                          | 12 (44.45%)                       | 4 (66.67%)                    |         |
| **Age**   |                                  |                                   |                               |         |
| <45 years old | 3 (37.5%)                      | 6 (22%)                           | 2 (33.33%)                    | 0.794   |
| 45–70 years | 4 (50%)                          | 14 (52%)                          | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| >70 years  | 1 (12.5%)                        | 7 (26%)                           | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| **Marital status** |                        |                                   |                               | 0.343   |
| Single    | 1 (12.5%)                        | 1 (3.7%)                          | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| Married   | 7 (87.5%)                        | 22 (81.5%)                        | 4 (66.67%)                    |         |
| Divorced  | 0 (0%)                           | 1 (3.7%)                          | 0 (0%)                        |         |
| Widow     | 0 (0%)                           | 3 (11.1%)                         | 0 (0%)                        |         |
| **Diabetes** |                                |                                   |                               | 0.740   |
| No        | 5 (62.5%)                        | 14 (51.85%)                       | 4 (66.67%)                    |         |
| Yes       | 3 (37.5%)                        | 13 (48.15%)                       | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| **High Blood Pressure** |                       |                                   |                               | 0.975   |
| No        | 5 (62.5%)                        | 18 (66.67%)                       | 4 (66.67%)                    |         |
| Yes       | 3 (37.5%)                        | 9 (33.33%)                        | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| **Asthma** |                                |                                   |                               | 0.58    |
| No        | 8 (100%)                         | 25 (92.6%)                        | 6 (100%)                      |         |
| Yes       | 0 (0%)                           | 2 (7.42%)                         | 0 (0%)                        |         |
| **Complications during hospitalization** |                 |                                   |                               | 0.228   |
| No        | 8 (100%)                         | 20 (74%)                          | 4 (66.67%)                    |         |
| Yes       | 0 (0%)                           | 7 (26%)                           | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| **Negative PCR** |                        |                                   |                               | 0.964   |
| ≤9 days   | 3 (37.5%)                        | 10 (37.03%)                       | 3 (50%)                       |         |
| Between 9 and 14 days | 2 (25%)                        | 5 (18.53%)                        | 1 (16.67%)                    |         |
| Between 14 and 30 days | 3 (37.5%)                        | 10 (37.03%)                       | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| >30 days  | 0 (0%)                           | 2 (7.42%)                         | 0 (0%)                        |         |
| **Severity classification** |                        |                                   |                               | 0.392   |
| Mild case | 1 (12.5%)                        | 2 (7.42%)                         | 0 (0%)                        |         |
| Moderate case | 5 (62.5%)                       | 11 (40.74%)                       | 1 (16.67%)                    |         |
| Severe case | 2 (25%)                          | 11 (40.74%)                       | 3 (50%)                       |         |
| Critical case | 0 (0%)                          | 3 (11.1%)                         | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| **Intensive care stay** |                        |                                   |                               | 0.089   |
| No        | 4 (50%)                          | 6 (22.22%)                        | 0 (0%)                        |         |
| Yes       | 4 (50%)                          | 21 (77.78%)                       | 6 (100%)                      |         |
| **Intensive care stay < 5 days** |                  |                                   |                               | 0.011   |
| No        | 0 (0%)                           | 14 (66.67%)                       | 1 (16.67%)                    |         |
| Yes       | 4 (100%)                         | 7 (33.33%)                        | 5 (83.33%)                    |         |
| **Intubation** |                        |                                   |                               | 0.498   |
| No        | 8 (100%)                         | 23 (85.18%)                       | 5 (83.33%)                    |         |
| Yes       | 0 (0%)                           | 4 (14.82%)                        | 1 (16.67%)                    |         |
| **Inflammation: C Reactive Protein CRP** |                     |                                   |                               | 0.234   |
| Normal    | 5 (62.5%)                        | 8 (30.77%)                        | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| High      | 3 (37.5%)                        | 19 (73.07%)                       | 4 (66.67%)                    |         |
| **Inflammation: White blood cells** |                        |                                   |                               | 0.097   |
| Normal    | 7 (87.5%)                        | 14 (51.85%)                       | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| High      | 1 (12.5%)                        | 13 (48.15%)                       | 4 (66.67%)                    |         |
| **Lymphopenia** |                        |                                   |                               | 0.02    |
| No        | 8 (100%)                         | 20 (74.07%)                       | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| Yes       | 0 (0%)                           | 7 (25.93)                         | 4 (66.67%)                    |         |
| **Weight loss** |                        |                                   |                               | 0.316   |
| No        | 5 (62.5%)                        | 9 (33.33%)                        | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| Yes       | 3 (37.5%)                        | 18 (66.67%)                       | 4 (66.67%)                    |         |
| **Weight loss ≤5%** |                        | 1 (33.33%)                        | 0 (0%)                        | 0.689   |
| Between 5% and 10% | 1 (33.33%)                        | 8 (44.44%)                        | 3 (75%)                       |         |
| ≥10%      | 1 (33.33%)                       | 4 (22.22%)                        | 1 (25%)                       |         |
| **BMI**   |                                  |                                   |                               | 0.192   |
| BMI <18 kg/m² | 0 (0%)                          | 1 (3.7%)                          | 2 (33.33%)                    |         |
| BMI 18–25 kg/m² | 4 (50%)                          | 13 (48.15%)                       | 1 (16.67%)                    |         |
| BMI 25–30 kg/m² | 3 (37.5%)                        | 11 (40.75%)                       | 3 (50%)                       |         |
| BMI > 30 kg/m² | 1 (12.5%)                        | 2 (7.42%)                         | 0 (0%)                        |         |
| **Hamilton anxiety** |                        |                                   |                               | 0.542   |
| Normal    | 5 (62.5%)                        | 19 (70.38%)                       | 6 (100%)                      |         |
| Mild to moderate anxiety | 2 (25%)                          | 4 (14.81%)                        | 0 (0%)                        |         |
| Moderate to severe anxiety | 1 (12.5%)                        | 4 (14.81%)                        | 0 (0%)                        |         |
| **Hamilton depression** |                        |                                   |                               | 0.168   |
| Normal    | 5 (62.5%)                        | 17 (63%)                          | 6 (100%)                      |         |
| Mild depression | 2 (25%)                          | 5 (18.5%)                         | 0 (0%)                        |         |
| Moderate depression | 0 (0%)                          | 5 (18.5%)                         | 0 (0%)                        |         |
Particular attention should be paid to patients with a long stay in intensive care (>5 days) (p = 0.011) and lymphopenia (p = 0.02).

Thus, as in other severe respiratory infections characterized by an inflammatory syndrome and hypercatabolism, an increase in energy expenditure linked to the work of ventilation, leads to a rapid deterioration of the respiratory muscle function, aggravating the consequences of pulmonary damage [21,22].

In severe COVID-19 patients, lymphopenia is common. The immune response and antioxidant defenses are worsened by malnutrition leading to an increased risk of complications. Adequate protein intake is essential in acute infections and malnutrition. Amino acids, and in particular glutamine, are essential energy substrates for immune cells such as lymphocytes [21,22].

The modalities of nutritional treatment in COVID-19 patients are the same as in patients hospitalized for other acute pathologies [26,27].

In the absence of initial undernutrition, the management consists of preventing aggravation by implementing a high-calorie and high-protein diet in COVID-19 patients.

In case of moderate undernutrition, a high-calorie and high-protein diet with oral nutritional supplements between meals will be recommended.

In case of severe undernutrition (or portions consumed ≤ 50%), early enteral nutrition by nasogastric tube, unless contraindication should be recommended [24,28].

Thus, the nutritional diagnosis and the early nutritional management of COVID-19 patients must be integrated into the overall therapeutic strategy, as with any acute situation of metabolic aggregation. Studies exploring prevention and control measures recommend paying close attention to nutrition, which may contribute to modulating some important consequences of COVID-19 infection, as such pro-inflammatory cytokine storm [29,30].

5. Conclusion

Despite a personalized diet, supplementation with vitamin D and trace elements, 14.6% of patients presented undernutrition. Particular attention should be paid to patients with a long stay in intensive care (>5 days) and lymphopenia.

Table 3 (continued)

| MNA Score | Normal nutritional status (n = 8) | At risk of undernutrition (n = 27) | Proven undernutrition (n = 6) | p value |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Severe depression | 1 (12.5%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0.992 |
| Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) | Normal | 5 (62.5%) | 16 (59.25%) | 4 (66.66%) |
| Borderline abnormal | 1 (12.5%) | 4 (14.82%) | 1 (16.67%) |
| Abnormal | 2 (25%) | 7 (25.93%) | 1 (16.67%) |
| Post-traumatic stress disorder | No | 2 (25%) | 9 (33.33%) | 1 (16.67%) |
| Yes | 6 (75%) | 18 (66.67%) | 5 (83.33%) |
| ADL score | Autonomous | 8 (100%) | 24 (88.89%) | 4 (66.67%) |
| Not autonomous | 0 (0%) | 3 (11.11%) | 2 (33.33%) |
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