1-Alkali-metal-2-alkyl-1,2-dihydropyridines: Soluble Hydride Surrogates for Catalytic Dehydrogenative Coupling and Hydroboration Applications
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Abstract: Equipped with excellent hydrocarbon solubility, the lithium hydride surrogate 1-lithium-2-tert-butyl-1,2-dihydropyridine (1tLi) functions as a precatalyst to convert Me₂NH·BH₃ to [NMe₃]₂BH₂ (89% conversion) under competitive conditions (2.5 mol%, 60 h, 80 °C, toluene solvent) to that of previously reported LiN(SiMe₃)₂. Sodium and potassium dihydropyridine congeners produce similar high yields of [NMe₃]₂BH₂, but require longer times. Switching the solvent to pyridine induces a remarkable change in the dehydrocoupling product ratio, with (NMe₃)₂BH favoured over [NMe₃]₂BH₂ (e.g., 94%-2% for 1tLi). Demonstrating its versatility, precatalyst 1tLi was also successful in promoting hydroboration reactions between pinacolborane and a selection of aldehydes and ketones. Most reactions gave near quantitative conversion to the hydroborated products in 15 minutes, though sterically demanding carbonyl substrates require longer times. The mechanisms of these rare examples of Group 1 metal-catalysed processes are discussed.

Introduction

The prevailing chemistry of dihydropyridines (DHPs) is dominated by their hydrogen-transfer ability, a property resulting from their propensity to (re)gain the classic 6π electron aromaticity of the parent pyridine. The most important DHP is NADH (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide), through its role in biology as an electron transporter used for energy creation.[1] Two important examples of DHPs in synthetic chemistry are the Hantzsch esters,[2] exploited, for example, under the name Nifedipine as calcium antagonists in hypertension treatment,[3] and Lansbury’s reagent Li⁺[Al(1,4-NC₅H₄)₃], a highly selective stoichiometric reducing agent.[4] Hantzsch esters, and indeed most DHPs, exist as thermodynamically preferred 1,4-isomers. With Lansbury’s reagent, formed by reaction of LiAlH₄ with excess pyridine, the isotopic ratio (1,2:1,4), and hence the active species identity in any given reaction is less clear and depends on reaction conditions, that is, the initially formed kinetic 1,2-isomer converts to the 1,4-isomer over time or with increased temperature.[5] An emerging advance in the chemistry of main group (or d⁰) DHPs is the realisation of their usefulness in catalytic processes such as the hydroboration or hydroxysilylation of pyridines and related heterocycles.[6] Particularly noteworthy are reports by Hill who utilised a DIPPnacnac-Mg₂BH₄ (DIPPnacnac = [(2,6-Pr₂-C₆H₄)NC(Me)]₂) (Figure 1A) complex affording mixtures of 1,2, and 1,4-DHP products, (Scheme 1)[7a] and Harder who used (DIPPnacnac-CaH.2THF)₂ (Figure 1B) to selectively give 1,2-DHP products.[8] Significantly, each Group 2 catalysed reaction is proposed to involve M—H intermediates.

Recently we began to systematically investigate the synthesis and reactivity of a series of kinetically stable 1-lithio-2-alkyl-1,2-dihydropyridines (1, Figure 1C) (alkyl = n-C₃H₇, isopropyl, tert-butyl) making the surprising finding that they can be isolated as
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Figure 1. A) Depiction of DIPPnacnac-Mg₂BH₄ precatalyst; B) Depiction of (DIPPnacnac-CaH.2THF)₂ precatalyst; C) 1-Li-2-tert-butyl-1,2-dihydropyridine unit; D) Molecular structure of 1tLi-Me₂AEE with all H atoms other than that bonded to the dihydropyridyl sp³C atom omitted for clarity.
stable solids provided that a 1:1 stoichiometric alkyllithium:pyridine ratio is used in their preparation.[7] Significantly in the case of s-, and t-butyl isomers, 1sLi and 1tLi, the resulting cyclotrimERIC aggregated were found to be soluble in hexane at room temperature, thus offering a synthetically important advantage over the insoluble rock salt lattice structure of LiH.[8] 1tLi can also be isolated as a monomer by coordination with neutral Lewis bases such as bis-[2-(N,N-dimethylamino)ethyl]-ether (Me2AEE) in 1tLi:Me2AEE (Figure 1D). Promisingly, reactivity studies revealed that 1sLi and 1tLi are effective LiH transfer agents to the unsaturated C–O bond inbenzophenone. Metathetical reactions of 1tLi with NaOBut or KOBut resulted in the production of insoluble heavier alkali-metal congeners 1tNa or 1tK, both of which exhibit similar reactivity to 1tLi in stoichiometric hydrometallation reactions.[9] Moreover, we recently disclosed the first example of a Group 1 DHPh complex (1tLi) functioning as an effective (pre)catalyst, in the dehydrogenative cyclisation of diamine boranes, therein establishing the dual role of 2-tert-butylpyridine as a LiH storage/release vessel,[10] and moreover delivering a rare example of a lithium based precatalyst.

With a series of soluble alkali metal hydride surrogate congeners in hand we sought to examine their application in two distinct catalytic processes, namely dehydrogenation of amine boranes and hydroboration of aldehydes and ketones. In each reaction metal hydride species have been found to either catalyse or have been identified as key intermediates in the process. The controlled formation of boron–nitrogen bonds by dehydrocoupling of amine boranes, HNR2BH3 (R = H, alkyl) is a reaction that attracts widespread attention in the synthesis of novel polymers and ceramics,[11] and in the arena of hydrogen storage materials.[12] Thus over the past two decades, much activity has been directed at transition-metal-catalysed dehydrocoupling of ammonia borane and amine boranes, and moreover much insight has been garnered regarding mechanistic aspects of the various catalytic pathways.[13] Recent insightful work from the groups of Harder,[14] Hill[15] and Wright,[16] among others[17] demonstrated that main group (d) complexes are active in both stoichiometric and catalytic dehydrocoupling of main group element–H bonds. Furthermore, Bertrand demonstrated that cross-dehydrocoupling of secondary boranes with alcohols, thiols and amines can be accomplished without catalytic agents to the unsaturated C–O bond in benzophenone. Metathetical reactions of 1tLi with NaOBut or KOBut resulted in the production of insoluble heavier alkali-metal congeners 1tNa or 1tK, both of which exhibit similar reactivity to 1tLi in stoichiometric hydrometallation reactions.[9] Moreover, we recently disclosed the first example of a Group 1 DHPh complex (1tLi) functioning as an effective (pre)catalyst, in the dehydrogenative cyclisation of diamine boranes, therein establishing the dual role of 2-tert-butylpyridine as a LiH storage/release vessel,[10] and moreover delivering a rare example of a lithium based precatalyst.

With a series of soluble alkali metal hydride surrogate congeners in hand we sought to examine their application in two distinct catalytic processes, namely dehydrogenation of amine boranes and hydroboration of aldehydes and ketones. In each reaction metal hydride species have been found to either catalyse or have been identified as key intermediates in the process. The controlled formation of boron–nitrogen bonds by dehydrocoupling of amine boranes, HNR2BH3 (R = H, alkyl) is a reaction that attracts widespread attention in the synthesis of novel polymers and ceramics,[11] and in the arena of hydrogen storage materials.[12] Thus over the past two decades, much activity has been directed at transition-metal-catalysed dehydrocoupling of ammonia borane and amine boranes, and moreover much insight has been garnered regarding mechanistic aspects of the various catalytic pathways.[13] Recent insightful work from the groups of Harder,[14] Hill[15] and Wright,[16] among others[17] demonstrated that main group (d) complexes are active in both stoichiometric and catalytic dehydrocoupling of main group element–H bonds. Furthermore, Bertrand demonstrated that cross-dehydrocoupling of secondary boranes with alcohols, thiols and amines can be accomplished without a catalytic agent.[18] It is also noteworthy that precatalysts discussed in these reports tended to be more economically viable and environmentally innocuous than their invariably expensive and toxic noble transition metal counterparts, albeit at this point they do not (yet) match the best catalytic efficiencies. Among the most studied main group precatalysts are those from Group 2 and Group 13 which typically contain bulky β-diketiminato or (silyl)amide ligands. Similarly these Group 2 complexes and related species have been found to catalyse the hydroboration of a range of substrates, including pyridines,[6] aldehydes and ketones,[19] nitriles,[20] isonitriles[21] and esters.[22] Impressively the hydrosilylation of alkenes using a potassium hydride catalyst was reported by Harder.[23] More recently Okuda has provided mechanistic evidence for potassium catalysed hydrosilylation of a range of alkenes using a K(18-crown-6)SiPH3 catalyst.[24] Further, the Okuda group has recently demonstrated that alkali metal hydridotriphenylboranes can catalyse the hydroboration of benzophenone.[25] These transformations, for example converting an aldehyde into an alcohol, are of central importance within organic chemistry and have historically been accomplished using stoichiometric metal hydride species, for example LiAlH4, which can suffer from poor functional group selectivity and low solubility in hydrocarbon solvents.[25] Thus utilisation of milder hydride sources (e.g., boranes) in tandem with a suitable catalyst remains a tantalising synthetic strategy. Breakthroughs reported herein will extend the versatility of hydrocarbon soluble Group 1 DHPhs as metal hydride surrogates in the catalytic dehydrocoupling of amine boranes and in hydroboration of aldehydes and ketones. We also disclose the crucial importance of reaction solvent on catalytic efficiency.

Results and Discussion

Dehydrogenative coupling with a lithium dihydropyridyl precatalyst

A particularly well understood substrate is dimethylamine borane, HNMe2BH3, and a general mechanism has been proposed to rationalise its dehydrocoupling process[15a] (Figure 2A). Essentially the reaction follows four steps: A) metallation of HNMe2BH3 by metal amide; B) β-hydride elimination to afford a metal hydride and NMe2BH2; C) insertion of NMe2BH2 into another equivalent of the metallated amidoborane generated in step A (B–N bond forming step); D) β- or δ-hydride elimination to afford final products, (NMe2)2BH (III) and (NMe2BH2)2 (IV), and regenerate metal hydride catalysts. Note step E is explained below. In certain cases intermediates containing a metal-bound [NMe2BH2, NMe2BH3]+ anion (II) were isolated and structurally characterised.[15a,b] [NMe2BH2,NMe2BH3]+ results from polar insertion of NMe2BH2 into M–NMe2BH3 and is the immediate precursor of the final reaction product(s).

Complementary theoretical studies support this general mechanistic picture,[26] though the β-hydride elimination pathway (an apparent two-step process) is reportedly energetically disfavoured.

Hill recently noted the first example of Group 1 silylamine precatalysts [MN(SiMe3)2] (M = Li, Na, K) for dehydrocoupling of dimethylamine borane.[27] 5 mol% of Li(N(SiMe3))2 in toluene gave the best conversion, determined by 1H NMR, to 72% [NMe2BH2] and 5% (NMe2)2BH after heating at 80 °C for 124 h. In this study an intermediate potassium [NMe2BH2,NMe2BH3]+...
and KN(SiMe₃)₂. It is therefore apparent that efficient solubility of key metal hydrides is critical for high catalytic efficiency. Given that Li represents a soluble source of lithium hydride in hexane, we reasoned that the in situ generation of metal hydride would exist as a soluble dihydropyridine species, thus enhancing the catalytic process.

Reaction between 2.5 mol% 1TLi and HNMe₂BH₃ in [D₆]toluene at 80 °C results in conversion (determined via ¹B NMR integrals) to 89% of [HNMe₂BH₃] and 4% of (HNMe₂)₂BH after 60 h (Table 1 entry 2). Significantly this reaction proceeded faster than that of 5 mol% [Mg(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(THF)] with dimethylamine borane in [D₆]benzene (72 h at 60 °C), indicating that 1TLi is a competitive precatalyst.¹¹⁴ The in situ 1TLi induced reaction was monitored by ¹B NMR spectroscopy (Figure 2B) revealing the presence of several species (identified by comparison with literature data where appropriate). Initial mixing of the reagents in a J. Young's NMR tube resulted in immediate H₂ gas evolution. This observation may be tentatively ascribed to the initial reaction between 1TLi and HNMe₂BH₃ forming Li[NMe₂BH₃] (I), 2-tert-butylpyridine and H₂ (Scheme 2A). To be consistent with our hypothesis we expect that 2-tert-butylpyridine will act as a LiH storage/release vessel during the process, by forming dihydropyridines as a result of interaction with Li(amidoborane) species (Scheme 2B). At the initial time point the ¹B NMR spectrum displays two resonances: a triplet at δ = 3.4 ppm (JH₂ = 100.1 Hz) corresponding to Li[NMe₂BH₃][NMe₂BH₃] (II) and a quartet composed of the mutually coincident signals¹¹⁶,²⁷ of HNMe₂BH₃ Li[NMe₂BH₃] (I) and Li[NMe₂BH₃][NMe₂BH₃] (II) centred at δ = −13.6 ppm (JH₂ = 96.2 Hz). The last named is formed by polar insertion of highly reactive NMe₂BH₃ into Li[NMe₂BH₃], in line with the literature mechanism. Analysis of the ¹B NMR spectrum after heating the solution at 80 °C for 24 hours reveals the presence of several new species: a doublet at δ = 28.9 ppm (JH₂ = 129.9 Hz) confirmed as (HNMe₂)₂BH (III)²⁸ a triplet at δ = 5.4 ppm (JH₂ = 113.1 Hz) assigned to cyclic dimer [HNMe₂BH₃] (IV)²⁹ a partially obscured quartet centred around δ = −11.0 ppm (JH₂ = 91.1 Hz) assigned to Li[NMe₂BH₃] (V) and a quintet at δ = −40.9 ppm (JH₂ = 81.0 Hz) corresponding to the borohydride Li[BH₄].

The emergence of Li[BH₄] and Li[NMe₂BH₃] (V) can be readily explained (step E Figure 2A). Borohydride Li[BH₄] is the coproduct formed when the β-hydride elimination pathway from Li[NMe₂BH₃][NMe₂BH₃] is followed. Li[NMe₂BH₃] is the result of deprotonation of HNMe₂BH₃ by Li[BH₄] and has been noted before by Wright, who rationally synthesized and structurally characterised the compound.¹¹⁶ As the reaction progresses it is apparent from ¹B NMR data that the metallated amidoboranes are consumed. In the case of Li[NMe₂BH₃][NMe₂BH₃] it is clear that the major process is β-hydride elimination to produce [NMe₂BH₃] (IV). We propose that Li[NMe₂BH₃] is consumed via one (or both) of two similar routes. The first scenario involves a hydride transfer which would reform Li[BH₄] and also generate BNMe₂BH₃ (Scheme 3A). Both compounds could then re-enter the catalytic cycle, or in the latter case an off-metal dimerization pathway is conceivable. Alternatively, a molecule of NMe₂BH₂ could insert into Li[NMe₂BH₃] giving [NMe₂BH₅] and Li[BH₄] directly (Scheme 3B).

Although a definitive pathway has not been discovered it is clear that Li[NMe₂(BH₃)₂] is an important product-forming inter-
mediate in main group catalysed dehydrocoupling processes. A further important observation from $^{11}$B NMR data is that at high conversions to products, that is, low concentrations of $\text{HNMe}_2\text{BH}_4/\text{Li}[\text{HNMe}_2\text{BH}_4]$ a triplet of very low intensity is observed at $\delta = 38.1$ ppm ($J_{\text{BH}} \approx 132.8$ Hz) corresponding to $\text{HNMe}_2\text{BH}_4$. The presence of this intermediate is somewhat surprising since it reacts/inerts very rapidly at early stages in the reaction. The inference is that the off-metal dimerization step is likely to be very slow and thus insertion is preferred for $\text{HNMe}_2\text{BH}_4$, giving credence to the amidoborane insertion path proposed in Scheme 3B. Altogether, the higher conversion, lower catalyst loading and shorter timescale found with $\text{Li}$, compared to the current state of the art, suggests that the presence of DHP species is important in the enhancement observed in these reactions.

Dehydrogenative coupling with the sodium and potassium dihydropyridyl precatalysts

Next we assessed the role of alkali metal on the reaction. Sodium ($\text{tNa}$) and potassium ($\text{tK}$) variants were prepared via a simple and high yielding metathetical approach.$^9$ Employing $\text{tNa}$ or $\text{tK}$ in catalytic reactions (Table 1 entries 3, 4) under analogous conditions used for $\text{Li}$ resulted in similar convergences in both cases. As three reactions appear to proceed via similar routes since the analogous intermediates are observed in each case in the $^{11}$B NMR spectra (see Supporting Information). Notably these results compare very favourably with literature values (conversions to $>85\% \ [\text{HNMe}_2\text{BH}_4]$ with $\text{tNa}$ or $\text{tK}$ compared with approximately 43% with $\text{NaN(SiMe}_3)_2$ or $\text{KN(SiMe}_3)_2$).$^{27}$ Reaction timescales were comparatively long (72 h for $\text{tNa}$ and 144 h for $\text{tK}$) with respect to $\text{tLi}$ (60 h), albeit considerably shorter than the reported values for $\text{NaN(SiMe}_3)_2$ or $\text{KN(SiMe}_3)_2$ (both 172 h). Thus, it seems clear that the issues with modest conversions in previous Na and K based catalysis, which was attributed to poorly soluble M–H species, has been somewhat resolved via use of “M–H solubilising” alkali metal alkyl-dihydropyridine precatalysts. That $\text{tLi}$ outperforms the Na and K precatalysts agrees with both the enhanced solubility and the trend observed previously in main group dehydrocoupling systems,$^{27}$ in which slower activity may be attributed to: increasing cation radius which promotes a longer, looser M–H–B contact and slows down hydride elimination; or the more dispersed charge density at the d$^0$ metal which affects steps involving polar insertion of unsaturated fragments or σ-bond metathesis leading to product formation.

The influence of reaction solvent was also investigated using $\text{tLi}$ as a representative precatalyst (Table 1 entries 5–8). Conducting the reaction in $\text{D}_2$-cyclohexane results in high conversion (94%) to $[\text{HNMe}_2\text{BH}_4]$ albeit only after heating at 75°C for 168 h. This comparatively long timescale is attributed to poor solubility of the dimethylamine borane starting material in cyclohexane slowing down the reaction. By moving to a more polar reaction medium, $\text{D}_2$-tetrahydrofuran, the reaction slowed considerably more, only reaching a conversion of 88% $[\text{HNMe}_2\text{BH}_4]$ after 360 h. Presumably efficient stabilising Lewis base solvation of lithiated amidoboranes inhibits the polar insertion of $\text{HNMe}_2\text{BH}_4$ into $\text{Li}[\text{HNMe}_2\text{BH}_4]$ and/or the hydride elimination steps. Moreover, it suggests that in this case fast catalytic turnover is reliant on the level of alkali-metal solvation. The solvent effect here is in contrast to that reported by Wright,$^{16c}$ where both toluene and THF gave similar results with $\text{LiAlH}_4$ as catalyst, albeit the poor solubility of $\text{LiAlH}_4$ in hydrocarbon solvents may be a factor in this report. To assess the donor effect more thoroughly, the reaction was repeated with a donor solvated complex of $\text{tLi}$ in $\text{D}_2$-toluene, thereby differentiating any effect from bulk donor solvent (Table 1 entry 7). We select-

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Precatalyst & Deuterated solvent & $t$ [h] & $T$ [°C] & (IV) [%] \[III] [%] \\
\hline
1 & $\text{tLi}$ (5%) & toluene & 72 & 80 & 86 & 7 \\
2 & $\text{tLi}$ (2.5%) & toluene & 70 & 60 & 89 & 4 \\
3 & $\text{tNa}$ (2.5%) & toluene & 72 & 80 & 89 & 4 \\
4 & $\text{tK}$ (2.5%) & toluene & 144 & 80 & 86 & 8 \\
5 & $\text{tLi}$ (2.5%) & $\text{C}_2\text{D}_2$ & 168 & 75 & 94 & 2 \\
6 & $\text{tLi}$ (2.5%) & THF & 360 & 65 & 88 & 4 \\
7 & $\text{tLi}[\text{Me}_3\text{AEE}]$ (2.5%) & toluene & 120 & 80 & 81 & 8 \\
8 & $\text{tLi}$ (2.5%) & pyridine & 5 & 80 & 2 & 94 \\
9 & $\text{tLi}$ (2.5%) & pyridine & 5 & 80 & 2 & 94 \\
10 & $\text{tLi}$ (2.5%) & THF & 146 & 80 & 78 & 9 \\
11 & $\text{Li}[\text{AlH}_4]$ (2.5%) & pyridine & 9 & 80 & 2 & 88 \\
12 & $\text{tNa}$ (2.5%) & pyridine & 8 & 80 & 2 & 91 \\
13 & $\text{tK}$ (2.5%) & pyridine & 7 & 80 & <1 & 98 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Catalytic conversion of HNMe₂-BH₃ to [NMe₂-BH₄] (IV) and [NMe₂-BH₄] (III) using DHP precatalysts.}
\end{table}
that since (NMe₂)₂BH is the major product, a stoichiometric quantity of boron remains unaccounted for by analysing the products observed in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum. The identity of the “missing” boron has not been proven, however it is unlikely to be lost as B₂H₆ since diborane was not identified in NMR reaction monitoring. At this point it is unclear why the presence of bulk pyridine results in such a pronounced switch in reactivity. Analysis of ¹¹B NMR data reveals the presence of Li[NMe₂BH₃,NMe₂BH₃] (II) and Li[NMe₂(BH)₂] (V), the same intermediates observed in the catalysis conducted in [D₈]toluene, alongside an additional overlapping quartet resonance. Therefore, the main catalytic process may be considered to proceed via a similar route as in toluene, except that the product formation step is ⁵⁶H elimination from Li[NMe₂BH₃,NMe₂BH₃] (vide supra), which can be tentatively explained by some pyridine “induced” change in charge polarisation over the intermediate, that is, coordination of pyridine to a boron atom in the intermediate would lead to a change in the charge distribution across the molecule. Sicilia previously disclosed that the in silico energetics of the (NMe₂)₂BH product formation steps are very high in energy for a related Mg²⁺ system.²⁰²⁴ Clearly the solvation effect of excess pyridine in some way promotes the hydride transfer from Li[NMe₂BH₃,NMe₂BH₃] giving (NMe₂)₂BH. An alternative explanation for preferential (NMe₂)₂BH formation is that in a secondary competing process, a BH₃ group is transferred to pyridine at some stage in the process forming the Py·BH₃ adduct, which is in line with the additional low intensity quartet present in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum. A control reaction of HNMe₂BH₃ in [D₈]pyridine at 80 °C for 20 h. confirms that BH₃ transfer from HNMe₂BH₃ to pyridine does not occur to any significant extent (ca. 15% is present at δ = −11.2 ppm after prolonged heating). An alternative proposed reaction sequence; accounting for the unexpected reactivity in pyridine is given in Scheme 4.

![Scheme 4](image)

**Scheme 4.** Alternative proposed reaction sequence accounting for the role of pyridine.

The initial deprotonation and insertion steps remain the same. However, the intermediate Li[NMe₂BH₃,NMe₂BH₃] has been depicted in an alternative conformation, ideally suited to transfer BH₃ to a molecule of pyridine (Scheme 4A). From here, elimination of LiH (possibly as a dihydropyridine species), and reaction with the pyridine borane adduct would account for the formation of LiBH₃ (Scheme 4B). It is also important to state that the identity of the precatalyst in pyridine solution is likely to be different from ¹¹Li. Reaction of the n-butyl isomer of ¹¹Li with excess pyridine results in a 1,4-dihydropyridyl bridged lithium dimer, [py₂Li(−µ-1,4-DHP)]₂, (2), with each Li atom solvated by two pyridine molecules (Scheme 5).²¹²¹ Therefore it is likely that the active catalytic species more closely resembles 2 than ¹¹Li. 2 was synthesised and tested as a precatalyst (1.25 mol%) in [D₈]pyridine and in [D₈]toluene (entries 9 and 10). In [D₈]pyridine the reaction is complete in 5 hours, essentially replicating the reactivity observed using ¹¹Li, reinforcing the idea that in pyridine ¹¹Li converts to a species resembling 2.

In [D₈]toluene the catalysis is much slower. Initially the product ratio is only approximately 3:1 in favour of IV over III, highlighting the influence of pyridine in product determination (here there are two equivalents of pyridine for each LiDHP). However, as the reaction proceeds the ratio changes to approximately 9:1 after 146 h. Exploring the concept of solvent control further we elected to employ LiAlH₄ as a catalyst in [D₈]pyridine (i.e., a catalytic amount of the usually stoichiometrically employed Lansbury’s reagent). Further, Wright demonstrated that LiAlH₄ is an effective catalyst in dehydrocoupling of dimethylamine borane in THF and toluene. Once more, the use of pyridine as reaction solvent results in high consumption of HNMe₂BH₃ after 9 h at 80 °C, forming III as the major product (entry 11). Together these findings outline the importance of reaction solvent and suggest that a control of various dehydrocoupling reactions can be achieved with careful selection of precatalyst/solvent combinations. Interestingly, in each case where pyridine was used as a reaction solvent, prolonged heating of the reaction, after consumption of starting material results in the appearance of a partially obscured singlet resonance at about δ = 26 ppm, alongside that corresponding to (NMe₂)₂BH in the ¹¹B NMR spectra. The similarity of (NMe₂)₂BH to the commonly used hydroboration reagents pinacol or catechol borane, prompted us to consider whether, once formed, could then III dehydroborate pyridine in the presence of a lithium DHP catalyst. A stoichiometric reaction between LiAlH₄ and HNMe₂BH₃ at 80 °C in bulk pyridine was conducted to test this hypothesis (Scheme 6). After removal of solvent, the crude

![Scheme 5](image)

**Scheme 5.** Synthesis of 2.

![Scheme 6](image)

**Scheme 6.** Synthesis of VI, formed by hydroboration with III.
solid, identified as primarily Lansbury’s reagent, was washed with hexane and the hexane washings were subsequently analysed by NMR spectroscopy. Crucially the $^1$$B$ NMR spectrum revealed the expected singlet at $\delta = 26.4$ ppm. The $^1$$H$ NMR spectrum displayed three equal intensity multiplets at 5.96, 4.53 and 2.95 ppm, characteristic of a 1,4 dihydropyridine species. A singlet at 2.31 ppm can be assigned as the methyl hydrogens of an NMe$_2$ group. The ratio of the peaks are in agreement with those of (DHP)$_2$B(NMe$_2$) (VI), indicating HNMe$_2$ has been lost from III during the reaction.

Importantly this result indicates that a DHP based catalyst is still active in pyridine after expected product formation, and further, proving the hypothesis provided us with an impetus to test 1tLi as a hydroboration precatalyst under more controlled conditions. Finalising our investigations in [D$_5$]pyridine the reaction was repeated using precatalysts 1tNa and 1tK under analogous conditions (Table 1, entries 12 and 13). In both cases conversion of HNMe$_2$·BH$_3$ to (NMe$_2$)$_2$BH was rapid (ca > 90% in 8 h), albeit again slower than for 1tLi, and interestingly the product resonances were clean with no presence of the hydroboration product.

### Table 2. Catalytic hydroboration of aldehydes and ketones using 1tLi precatalyst in C$_6$D$_6$.

| Aldehyde/Ketone | t [h] | Yield as determined by $^1$$H$ NMR [%] |
|-----------------|------|----------------------------------|
| 1               | 0.25 | > 99 (> 95)$^{[2]}$ |
| 2               | 0.25 | 93 |
| 3               | 0.25 | 98 |
| 4               | 0.25 | > 99 |
| 5               | 0.25 | > 99 |
| 6$^{[c]}$       | 24   | > 99 |
| 7               | 0.5  | 97 |
| 8               | 0.25 | > 99 |
| 9               | 0.25 | > 99 |
| 10              | 0.25 | > 99 |
| 11              | 0.25 | 97 |
| 12              | 0.25 | > 98 |
| 13$^{[c]}$      | 24   | 89 |
| 14              | 0.25 | 96 |
| 15$^{[c]}$      | 24   | 69 |

[a] Yield determined by formation of RR’CHOBpin relative to internal standard hexamethyldisiloxane. (b) Heated at 70 °C. [c] 1% catalyst loading.
Importantly the result demonstrates the versatility of Group 1 DHP based precatalysts since they can effectively catalyse both dehydrocoupling and hydroboration reactions. Next we turned our attention to extending the scope of aldehydes and ketones employed in hydroboration reactions using the same conditions. 2-Methoxybenzaldehyde, 2-naphthaldehyde and ferrocene carboxaldehyde (entries 2–4) are all cleanly converted into the corresponding protected alcohols after only 15 min at room temperature in high NMR yields (ca. 95 %) versus an internal standard. Notably the analogous reaction of 2-methoxybenzaldehyde using DIPPhacnac-MgBu\(_2\) (0.5 mol %) is complete in one hour\([33]\). Further, the hydroboration of 2-naphthaldehyde is faster than that catalysed by the ruthenium complex \([\text{Ru}(p\text{-cyrene})\text{Cl}]_2\) (0.1 mol %, 4 h)\([34]\) albeit lower catalyst loadings were used in each case. Hydroboration of 4-bromobenzaldehyde (entry 5) is also complete within 15 min, indicating a tolerance to Li/halogen exchange under the reaction conditions, thereby increasing the range of useful substrates able to participate in these reactions. Furthermore this reaction occurs quicker than those using either 0.05 mol % \(\text{Ar}^*\text{N}(\text{Si}(\text{Pr})_3)\text{SnO} \text{Bu}_2\)\([35]\) in 4.5 h \((\text{Ar}^*=(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_2\text{C}(\text{H})(\text{Pr})_2\text{Pr}-2,6,4), (\text{IPr})\) \(\text{CuO} \text{Bu}_2\)\([36]\) (0.1 mol %, 1 h) or \([\text{Ru}(p\text{-cyrene})\text{Cl}]_2\) (0.1 mol %, 3 h), although again 1tLi has a higher loading (5 mol %).\([35]\) Interestingly, hydroboration of mesitaldehyde (entry 6) takes longer for complete conversion (24 h at 70 °C). We attribute this to the steric hindrance of two ortho-mesityl methyl groups, which slows down the process, presumably by either inhibiting the hydrometallation step and/or by preventing efficient reformation of the putative active DHP catalyst. Moving to ketones, the hydroboration potential of 1tLi was examined with benzophenone as substrate (entry 7). Under the same conditions outlined above, clean conversion was achieved albeit after 30 min at room temperature. 4-Iodoacetophenone and trifluoroacetophenone (entries 8 and 9) both react in high yields and with short reaction times (ca. >95 % in 15 min). In the latter case, Jones reports \(\text{Ar}^*\text{N}(\text{Si}(\text{Pr})_3)\text{GeO} \text{Bu}_2\) (2.5 mol %, 15 min) and \(\text{Ar}^*\text{N}(\text{Si}(\text{Pr})_3)\text{SnO} \text{Bu}_2\) (0.5 mol %, <15 min) precatalysts that perform the reaction with lower loadings or are slightly faster in the Sn case.\([33]\) Hydroboration of 2-phenylacetone, 2-acetylferrocene and 2-benzoylpyridine (entries 10–12) are also complete in 15 minutes at room temperature, with in the third case efficient hydroboration occurring only at the carbonyl functionality. Once more the increased sterics of a mesityl substituted carbonyl (entry 13) necessitates a longer reaction (24 hours) and increased temperature (70 °C) to achieve full conversion. Dialkylketones are smoothly hydroborated, with 2-butanone taking 15 minutes at room temperature (entry 14). Like the aryl systems, increased steric bulk necessitates longer times and higher temperatures, with di-tert-butyl ketone requiring 24 h at 70 °C to give almost 70 % conversion (entry 15). To assess whether the reaction may proceed via an alternative reaction pathway to that postulated for other main group systems \(\text{vide supra}\) a series of control reactions were performed. As dihydropyridines and their parent aromatic counterparts would be present in the reaction mixture, the reactivity between HBpin and 1tLi and with pyridine (as a model variant of 2-tert-butylpyridine) were probed. The stoichiometric reaction between HBpin and 1tLi in toluene at room temperature (Scheme 7A) results in complete trans-elementation giving in situ generated 1tBpin as evidenced by \(^1\)H NMR studies (Figure 3). Here the five proton resonances from the dihydropyridyl ring 1tLi are replaced by five new dihydropyridyl resonances, consistent with replacement of lithium with a Bpin unit and presumably generating LiH as a coproduct. Furthermore the \(^1\)B NMR displays a singlet resonance at \(\delta=24.5\) ppm corresponding to the newly installed –B–N bond.

Figure 3. \(^1\)H NMR spectra (dihydropyridyl region) of the reaction between 1tLi and HBpin in [D]benzene showing formation of 1tBpin. * = toluene.
Potentially 1tBpin could act as an active catalytic entity in the hydroboration process, therefore benzophenone was added to a reaction mixture containing 1tBpin to investigate whether it would convert to hydroborated product, and the reaction was monitored by \(^{11}B\) NMR spectroscopy. The emergence of a singlet at \(\delta = 23\) ppm corresponds to the hydroborated product. For 1tBpin to act as a viable catalytic intermediate, conversion of the parent pyridine into a dihydropyridine species must occur by some mechanism. It is long established that commercial LiH, owing to its insolubility in organic media (originating from its considerable lattice energy), on its own does not add across pyridine, indicating this pathway is unlikely, albeit in situ generated LiH may exhibit higher reactivity in this regard.\(^{[31]}\) A second possibility is the direct addition of HBpin across the parent pyridine.

Direct reaction between HBpin and pyridine (Scheme 7B) suggests that hydroboration and concomitant de aromatisation of the pyridine does not readily occur. This was duly confirmed with an X-ray crystallographic study, revealing the major product as the simple donor-acceptor adduct HBpin-py (3) in a 58% yield. This structure represents the ‘pyridine-activated HBpin’ intermediate postulated by Wright and co-workers in their very recently reported boronium cation initiated hydroboration of pyridine.\(^{[36]}\) In 3, B1 is in a distorted tetrahedral geometry [range of angles 103.4(9)–116.7(9)\(^{\circ}\)] with respect to N1, O1, O2 and H1 (which was located and refined crystallographically, Figure 4). A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) surprisingly resulted in zero hits for HB(O)\(_2\) units bonded to pyridine. Crystals of 3 appear to decompose into a colourless oil after storage in an inert atmosphere glovebox. \(^{11}B\) NMR studies of the decomposition product reveal that as expected the major resonance is that of 3, a doublet at \(\delta = 28.3\) ppm accounting for about 80% of the material via integration of the boron NMR spectrum. The remainder of the material is represented by a singlet at \(\delta = 23.9\) ppm indicating a minor amount of hydroborated pyridine. In agreement the \(^1\)H NMR displays resonances potentially attributable to a DHP species, alongside the expected HBpin and pyridine resonances.

Scheme 8 displays two potential routes for catalysis to proceed. Pathway A follows one commonly accepted mechanism of main group hydroboration catalysis (insertion/metathesis),\(^{[19]}\) albeit in this case pyridine/dihydropyridine plays an active role as a metal hydride storage/release vehicle. Alternatively pathway B describes a concerted process between 1tBpin, the carbonyl substrate, and the in situ generated LiH, explaining both hydroboration and catalyst reformation. It may be significant that in pathway B, LiH is generated in a step prior to aromatic pyridine formation. Due to the poor hydrocarbon solubility of LiH, polymeric LiH aggregates are likely to precipitate. Therefore one may expect pathway A to be the favoured catalytic manifold since LiH is generated in the presence of the aromatic pyridine and can therefore add across it in this regime. A second consideration in pathway B is that the incipient LiH may simply associate with excess HBpin giving a substituted borohydride species of the form Li[H,Bpin], and thereby remaining solubilized. However we see no spectroscopic evidence to support such a scenario.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, this study showcases the benefits of making molecular modifications of the classical salt lattice structures of the alkali metal hydrides. Dispensing metal hydrides in the form of molecular alkyl-dihydropyridines has a profound positive impact on the dehydrogenative coupling of dimethylamine borane. Excellent hydrocarbon solubility of these alkali metal dihydropyridines and presumably of the metal hydride intermediates involved in the catalysis, are almost certainly key factors in the successful dehydrocoupling reactions. The usefulness of the lithium tert-butyl-dihydropyridine as a precatalyst was extended to pinacolborane sourced hydroboration reactions with a range of aldehydes and ketones. These catalytic applications demonstrate rare examples of group one based pre-catalysts that advance the growing body of recent literature demonstrating that main group metal systems can in certain cases be successful in catalytic reactions previously...
thought to be the exclusive domain of transition metal systems. Future work will focus on just how far this analogy can be extended for these remarkable soluble hydride surrogates.

**Experimental Section**

Full details of experimental procedures are provided in the electronic Supporting Information.
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