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Oxidative Stress Parameters in Saliva and Its Association with Periodontal Disease and Types of Bacteria
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Objective. To determine the association between oxidative stress parameters with periodontal disease, bleeding, and the presence of different periodontal bacteria. Methods. A cross-sectional study in a sample of eighty-six patients, divided into three groups depending on their periodontal status. Thirty-three with chronic periodontitis, sixteen with gingivitis, and thirty-seven with periodontal healthy as control. Oxidative stress biomarkers (8-OHdG and MDA), total antioxidant capacity (TAOC), and the activity of two antioxidant enzymes (GPx and SOD) were determined in saliva. Subgingival plaque samples were obtained from the deepest periodontal pocket and PCR was used to determine the presence of the 6 fimA genotypes of Porphyromonas gingivalis, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Tannerella forsythia, and Treponema denticola. Results. Periodontal disease was found to be associated with increased oxidative stress parameter levels. These levels rose according to the number and type of different periodontal bacteria found in the periodontal pockets. The presence of different types of periodontal bacteria is predictive independent variables in linear regression models of oxidative stress parameters as dependent variable, above all 8-OHdG. Conclusions. Oxidative stress parameter levels are correlated with the presence of different types of bacteria. Determination of these levels and periodontal bacteria could be a potent tool for controlling periodontal disease development.

1. Introduction

Periodontitis is a multifactorial chronic nonreversible inflammatory disease affecting the supporting structures of dentition, initiated and propagated through a complex interaction between periopathogens and the host defense system. It starts with a microbial infection, followed by a host mediated destruction of periodontal tissues caused by hyperactivity of leukocytes and generation of cytokines, eicosanoids, and matrix metalloproteinases [1].

Periodontal disease is highly prevalent that affects 10%–15% of the world population [2]. The inflammatory and immune response induced by subgingival plaque is the most important factor in the development of this disease. Subgingival plaque composition and biota have been the subject of several studies, since the presence of different bacteria subtypes has been found to be associated with periodontal status deterioration, greater pocket depth, and higher bleeding indices [3, 4].

Traditional clinical measurements, such as probing pocket depth, bleeding on probing, and clinical attachment loss, which are used for periodontal diagnosis, are often of only limited usefulness because they are indicators of previous periodontal disease rather than present disease activity [1].

Periodontitis development mechanisms are not well understood. The disorder is probably multifactorial, and it is characterized by the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [5] by activated phagocytes at the gingival sulcus [3, 6], which have the ability to initiate the destruction of connective tissue. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are generated during mitochondrial oxidative metabolism as well as in cellular
response to xenobiotics, cytokines, and bacterial invasion. Oxidative stress refers to the imbalance due to excess ROS or oxidants over the capability of the cell to mount an effective antioxidant response [7].

Evidence has shown an association between ROS and periodontal disease [3, 6, 8–10]. This is important because both ROS in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease and the different composition of the biotain periodontal pockets are related to periodontitis [11]. Periodontal disease can be described as one of the predominant polymicrobial infections of humans [12]. Strong evidence of periodontal etiology has been demonstrated for Porphyromonas gingivalis (PG), Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans (AA), Treponema denticola (TD), and Tannerella forsythia (TF) [13].

Salivary markers of oxidative stress and antioxidant status represent promising tool for the research of oral diseases [14]. Given the importance of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease, the aim of this study was to determine the association between oxidative stress parameters with periodontal disease and the presence of different periodontal bacteria.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Group. A consecutive sample of 86 individuals referred to the University of Valencia Dental Clinic as a result of periodontal problems or for routine control, between 35 and 45 years old, as inclusion criteria, was included in the study. Patients were divided into three groups depending on their periodontal status. Thirty-three patients with systemic disease like HIV infection, diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease, rheumatic diseases, lupus erythematosus, Behcet syndrome, herpetic gingivostomatitis, inflammatory bowel diseases, pemphigus, and oral pemphigoid were excluded from the study. Further exclusion criteria were patients with primary periodontitis, pregnant women, drug-induced gingival hyperplasia, patients on antibiotic or anti-inflammatory therapy for the last 6 months, and patients on vitaminsupplementation diets.

2.2. Clinical Examination and Sample Collection. The periodontal status of each subject was determined by measurement on six sites of the teeth: bacterial plaque, gingival bleeding index, pocket depth, and clinical attachment level [15]. A complete examination of the entire mouth was performed using a WHO periodontal probe (PCP11.5B, Hu Friedy, Chicago, IL, USA). All clinical measurements were performed by the same investigator.

Oxidative stress parameters were measured in unstimulated whole saliva samples, which were collected in the morning after at least 12 hours fasting before clinical examinations and bacterial collection. Subjects were instructed to allow saliva to pool in the bottom of the mouth and drain it into a collection tube when necessary and not to swallow any saliva for the duration of the collection. Before analysis, saliva was centrifuged at 4,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C to eliminate cell debris and the supernatant was aliquoted and stored at −80°C until analysis.

Immediately after saliva collection, supragingival plaque was removed with a sterile Gracey curette, taking care to avoid bleeding, and subgingival plaque samples were obtained from the deepest pocket at Ramfjord teeth in the periodontal patients and in the mesiolabial area of a Ramfjord molar in the healthy subjects. Three sterile paper points were then inserted as deeply as possible into the gingival groove, left for 15 seconds, removed, placed in a sterile Eppendorf tube, and stored at −20°C until analysis, as previously described [16, 18].

2.3. Bacterial DNA Isolation. Bacterial DNA was extracted from the paper points using the RTP Bacteria DNA Mini kit (Invitrogen Catalog # 10332003) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After extraction, bacterial DNA was stored at −20°C until analysis.

2.4. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). Porphyromonas gingivalis (PG), Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans (AA), Treponema denticola (TD), and Tannerella forsythia (TF) were detected, as previously described [18]. Briefly, PCR reactions were performed with 100 ng of bacterial DNA, 50 pmol of each specific primer, 200 μM of each dNTPs, 3 mM MgCl2, and 0.5 units of AmpliTaq Gold. PCR conditions were an activation step at 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 denaturing cycles at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 58°C for 30 seconds, elongation at 72°C for 30 seconds, and finally an elongation step at 72°C for 7 minutes [18].

2.5. Measure of Oxidative Stress Parameters. Oxidative stress status was assessed by measuring the total antioxidant capacity (TAOC) and biomarkers of oxidative stress 8-hydroxy-2’-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) and malondialdehyde (MDA) in saliva and the activity of some of the main antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and superoxide dismutase (SOD).

GPx and SOD activities and TAOC levels were determined using a competitive ELISA kit (Cayman Chemical Company; Item numbers 703102, 706002, and 709001, resp.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. MDA levels were measured with NBLSS Malondialdehyde Assay (Northwest Life Science Specialties; Catalog number NWK-MDA01) following the manufacturer’s instructions. 8-hydroxy-2’-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) levels were measured with NBLSS High Sensitivity 8-OHdG ELISA (Northwest Life Science Specialties; Catalog number NWK-MDA01) following manufacturer’s instructions.
Table 1: Oxidative stress parameters and periodontal status.

| Periodontal status       | 8-OHdG\(^{1,2}\) (ng/mL) | GPx\(^ {1,2}\) (U/L) | TAOC\(^{1,2}\) (mM) | SOD\(^{1,2}\) (U/mL) | MDA\(^{1,2}\) (nM) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Healthy controls         | 2.20                      | 75.04                | 0.91                | 3.24                 | 4.43              |
| \(n = 37\)               | (1.88–2.52)               | (72.35–77.72)        | (0.80–1.01)         | (3.16–3.31)          | (3.61–5.24)       |
| Gingivitis               | 2.33                      | 80.99                | 1.03                | 3.62                 | 4.51              |
| \(n = 16\)               | (1.84–2.82)               | (76.83–85.15)        | (0.89–1.17)         | (3.37–3.86)          | (3.35–5.68)       |
| Periodontal disease      | 5.54                      | 95.58                | 1.09                | 4.17                 | 5.94              |
| \(n = 33\)               | (4.96–6.12)               | (93.12–98.04)        | (1.01–1.17)         | (3.91–4.42)          | (5.04–6.84)       |

Means and 95% confidence interval. \(^1\)Kruskal-Wallis test \(p < 0.05\); \(^2\)Jonckheere-Terpstra test \(p < 0.05\).

Table 2: Oxidative stress parameters and bleeding on probing (BOP).

| BOP       | 8-OHdG\(^{1,2}\) (ng/mL) | MDA\(^{1,2}\) (nM) | TAOC\(^{2}\) (mM) | SOD (U/mL) | GPx\(^{1,2}\) (U/L) |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 0–15%     | 2.65                     | 4.08                | 0.91              | 3.47        | 77.72               |
| \(n = 26\) | (1.92–3.38)             | (3.09–5.07)         | (0.79–1.02)       | (3.21–3.74) | (73.2–82.3)         |
| 16–30%    | 3.63                     | 5.16                | 0.99              | 3.84        | 84.98               |
| \(n = 30\) | (2.99–4.26)             | (4.31–6.01)         | (0.89–1.09)       | (3.54–4.15) | (81.1–88.9)         |
| >30%      | 4.12                     | 5.71                | 1.08              | 3.65        | 88.5                |
| \(n = 30\) | (3.25–4.99)             | (4.72–6.69)         | (0.99–1.18)       | (3.49–3.81) | (83.9–93.1)         |

Means and 95% confidence interval. \(^1\)Kruskal-Wallis test \(p < 0.05\); \(^2\)Jonckheere-Terpstra test \(p < 0.05\).

2.6. Statistical Analysis. All results shown are expressed as mean and 95% confidence interval. Statistical comparisons between groups were assessed by Mann-Whitney or Kruskal-Wallis tests. The linear trend between groups was analyzed by the Jonckheere-Terpstra test. Multivariable linear regression predictive models were made with the different oxidative stress parameters as the dependent variable. The independent variables were age, gender, smoker (as confounding variables), and \(A_a\), \(TD\), \(TF\), and the different FimA genotypes of \(PG\). All \(p\) values were two-tailed, and probability values of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).

3. Results

Oxidative stress levels were significantly higher in the periodontal disease group than they were in the gingivitis and healthy groups and show a linear trend associated with periodontal worsening (Table 1) as well as bleeding on probing (BOP) (Table 2).

The presence of \(TF\), \(TD\), and the combination of \(TD\), \(TF\), and \(PG\) called red complex (RC) significantly increased the levels of all the markers of oxidative stress analyzed, except SOD (Table 3). There was no significant increase of SOD in the presence of any bacteria. The 8-OHdG levels were increased in the presence of all bacterial types. Moreover, GPx levels were increased in the presence of all bacteria types, except PG genotypes III and IV. Furthermore, MDA levels were increased in the presence of all types except PG genotype III. Finally, TAOC levels were statistically significant only in the presence of \(TD\), \(TF\), and PG genotype III (Table 3). The presence of these four bacteria types, separately, increases oxidative stress levels to varying degrees. The combination of three bacterial types (red complex) produced significantly high levels of oxidative stress.

Grouping our patients by the number of different bacterial types found in their periodontal pockets (Table 4), significant changes in oxidative stress levels depended on the number of bacterial types that were found in each group. As a result, we obtained a highly significant elevation of all oxidative stress marker levels except for that of SOD. Having a larger number of different bacterial types denoted increased oxidative stress levels. Therefore, the presence of all four types of bacteria in the periodontal pockets studied produced a dramatic elevation of oxidative stress in the analyzed patients.

Table 5 shows the predictive models of different oxidative stress parameters: 8-OHdG, GPx, SOD, and MDA that showed \(R^2\) equal to or greater than 0.59. The \(R^2\) of TAOC predictive model was very low (\(R^2 = 0.16\)) and it is not presented in the Table 5. The presence of periodontal disease, PG, and its genotypes fimA II and Ib, \(A_a\), \(TF\), and \(TD\) are predictive and significant variables in linear regression models of the levels of oxidative stress parameters of 8-OHdG (\(R^2 = 0.90\)) and GPx (\(R^2 = 0.77\)). Predictive model of MDA (\(R^2 = 0.59\)) showed a negative association with tobacco. In a linear regression model of the levels of SOD (\(R^2 = 0.73\)), the presence of TD, PG, their genotypes fimA II and Ib, and \(A_a\) has a negative predictive significance while the presence of periodontal disease and gender have a positive significance.
Table 3: Oxidative stress parameters and presence/absence of bacteria.

| Bacteria                        | 8-OHdG (ng/mL) | MDA (nM) | TAOC (mM) | SOD (U/mL) | GPx (U/L) |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Porphyromonas gingivalis        | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 78.6      |
| Absence = 48                    | 2.41           | 3.97     | 0.98      | 3.70       | 78.6      |
| Presence = 38                   | 4.48           | 6.37     | 1.03      | 3.63       | 90.9      |
| FimA genotype I                 | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 83.0      |
| Absence = 80                    | 3.30           | 4.84     | 1.01      | 3.71       | 80.9      |
| Presence = 6                    | 6.26           | 7.57     | 0.88      | 3.17       | 98.1      |
| FimA genotype Ib                | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 83.6      |
| Absence = 81                    | 3.29           | 4.88     | 1.01      | 3.69       | 83.6      |
| Presence = 5                    | 7.12           | 7.34     | 0.87      | 3.18       | 98.3      |
| FimA genotype II                | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 83.7      |
| Absence = 65                    | 3.01           | 4.63     | 0.99      | 3.67       | 81.4      |
| Presence = 21                   | 5.04           | 6.25     | 1.02      | 3.65       | 92.2      |
| FimA genotype III               | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 83.3      |
| Absence = 83                    | 3.42           | 4.99     | 0.99      | 3.65       | 83.3      |
| Presence = 3                    | 5.92           | 5.94     | 1.32      | 4.33       | 93.0      |
| FimA genotype IV                | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 83.4      |
| Absence = 71                    | 3.39           | 4.61     | 1.00      | 3.71       | 83.4      |
| Presence = 15                   | 4.31           | 6.99     | 0.98      | 3.46       | 87.2      |
| FimA genotypes I, II, and Ib    | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 83.3      |
| Absence = 83                    | 3.35           | 4.93     | 1.00      | 3.68       | 83.3      |
| Presence = 3                    | 8.01           | 7.62     | 0.96      | 3.44       | 103.2     |
| FimA genotypes II and IV        | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 83.8      |
| Absence = 82                    | 3.42           | 4.83     | 1.01      | 3.69       | 83.8      |
| Presence = 4                    | 5.23           | 9.17     | 0.90      | 3.14       | 89.4      |
| A. actinomycetemcomitans        | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 81.8      |
| Absence = 70                    | 3.08           | 4.73     | 0.99      | 3.67       | 81.8      |
| Presence = 16                   | 5.40           | 6.35     | 1.07      | 3.65       | 93.9      |
| Treponema denticola             | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 80.3      |
| Absence = 61                    | 2.88           | 4.07     | 0.95      | 3.72       | 80.3      |
| Presence = 25                   | 5.02           | 7.36     | 1.12      | 3.54       | 93.2      |
| Tannerella forsythia            | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 78.2      |
| Absence = 44                    | 2.51           | 3.82     | 0.92      | 3.70       | 78.2      |
| Presence = 42                   | 4.55           | 6.30     | 1.09      | 3.63       | 90.2      |
| Red complex                     | <0.05*         | <0.05*   | <0.05*    | <0.05*     | 81.5      |
| Absence = 73                    | 3.08           | 4.50     | 0.97      | 3.72       | 81.5      |
| Presence = 13                   | 5.94           | 8.01     | 1.19      | 3.40       | 98.2      |

* Mann-Whitney test p < 0.05.

In conclusion, the presence of different types of bacteria has a positive relationship with 8-OHdG, MDA, and GPx and a negative relationship with SOD.

4. Discussion

The pathological events which lead to the destruction of the periodontium during inflammatory periodontal disease have been related to the effect of the imbalance between oxidants and antioxidants in patients with periodontal disease [5, 19]. ROS are generated predominantly by PMN during an inflammatory response [19]. It has been suggested that the bacterial species in subgingival plaques and the PMN response are important factors in the changes in periodontal disease status. An increase in ROS leads to the destruction of periodontal tissue, and it is one of the most important causes of periodontal disease. The present study has demonstrated signifcative changes in oxidative stress by measuring different oxidative stress markers (8-OHdG, MDA, GPx, SOD, and TAOC) that increased with worsened periodontal status.

Our results agree partly with Canakci et al. [20]. In saliva collected samples from 30 patients with chronic periodontitis...
**Table 4: Oxidative stress parameters and number of different bacteria.**

| Number of different types of bacteria | 8-OHdG\(^{1,2}\) (ng/mL) | MDA\(^{1,2}\) (nM) | TAOC\(^{2}\) (mM) | SOD (U/mL) | GPx\(^{1,2}\) (U/L) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 0                                    | 1.48 (1.21–1.75)          | 2.52 (2.09–2.95)  | 0.89 (0.76–1.03)  | 3.58 (3.34–3.81) | 70.8 (67.3–74.3) |
| \(n = 20\)                          |                           |                   |                   |             |                   |
| 1                                    | 2.85 (2.50–3.19)          | 4.46 (4.03–4.88)  | 0.96 (0.86–1.05)  | 3.87 (3.60–4.14) | 82.4 (79.9–84.8) |
| \(n = 33\)                          |                           |                   |                   |             |                   |
| 2                                    | 4.62 (4.25–5.09)          | 6.64 (6.18–7.12)  | 1.06 (0.93–1.19)  | 3.45 (3.20–3.70) | 89.6 (87.1–92.1) |
| \(n = 16\)                          |                           |                   |                   |             |                   |
| 3                                    | 5.71 (5.34–6.08)          | 6.78 (6.22–7.34)  | 1.13 (1.00–1.26)  | 3.66 (3.40–3.92) | 94.7 (92.2–97.2) |
| \(n = 12\)                          |                           |                   |                   |             |                   |
| 4                                    | 7.07 (6.64–7.50)          | 9.14 (8.50–9.78)  | 1.17 (1.04–1.30)  | 3.37 (3.14–3.60) | 103.8 (100.3–107.3) |
| \(n = 5\)                           |                           |                   |                   |             |                   |

Means and 95% confidence interval.\(^1\)Kruskal-Wallis test \(p < 0.05\);\(^2\)Jonckheere-Terpstra test \(p < 0.05\).

**Table 5: Predictive models of oxidative stress parameters.**

| Dependent variable | Predictive variables | Coef. \(B\) no Std. | Coef. \(B\) tipif. | Sig | Excluded variables |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----|--------------------|
| 8-OHdG \(R^2 = 0.90\) | Constant | 1.50 | 0.00 | | |
|                     | Periodontal disease | 1.89 | 0.45 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(P. gingivalis\) fimA II | 1.03 | 0.22 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(P. gingivalis\) fimA Ib | 2.67 | 0.31 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(A. actinomycetemcomitans\) | 1.23 | 0.23 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(T. forsythia\) | 0.56 | 0.14 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(T. denticola\) | 1.27 | 0.28 | 0.00 | |
| GPx \(R^2 = 0.77\) | Constant | 72.53 | 0.00 | | |
|                     | Enf. periodontal | 11.60 | 0.47 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(T. forsythia\) | 4.53 | 0.18 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(P. gingivalis\) fimA II | 5.70 | 0.21 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(T. denticola\) | 6.87 | 0.26 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(A. actinomycetemcomitans\) | 5.40 | 0.18 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(P. gingivalis\) fimA Ib | 7.46 | 0.15 | 0.01 | |
| SOD \(R^2 = 0.73\) | Constant | 3.30 | 0.00 | | |
|                     | Periodontal disease | 1.34 | 0.99 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(T. denticola\) | −0.68 | −0.47 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(P. gingivalis\) fimA Ib | −1.20 | −0.42 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(A. actinomycetemcomitans\) | −0.41 | −0.24 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(P. gingivalis\) fimA II | −0.23 | −0.15 | 0.01 | |
|                     | Gender male | 0.16 | −0.12 | 0.03 | |
| MDA \(R^2 = 0.59\) | Constant | 3.51 | 0.00 | | |
|                     | \(T. denticola\) | 3.14 | 0.57 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(T. forsythia\) | 1.32 | 0.26 | 0.00 | |
|                     | \(P. gingivalis\) fimA II | 1.12 | 0.19 | 0.01 | |
|                     | \(P. gingivalis\) fimA Ib | 2.28 | 0.21 | 0.01 | |
|                     | Smoker | −0.45 | −0.15 | 0.04 | |

Gender male, smoker, and age

Gender male, smoker, and age

Gender male, Aa, age, and PD

Smoker, TF, and age

Gender male, smoker, and age
and 30 periodontally healthy controls, these authors obtained higher salivary 8-OHdG and MDA levels and lower salivary antioxidant activities that seem to reflect increased oxygen radical activity during periodontal inflammation.

There is a relationship between bleeding on probing and periodontal disease progression [21]. Bleeding upon probing is related to aggressive types of bacteria [22]. In agreement with these results, the present study correlates the increase in bleeding on probing to a rise in oxidative stress. Taken together, these results, and our demonstration of increased oxidative stress with periodontal status, led us to study the relationship of oxidative stress and the number of different pathogenic bacterial types found in worsening periodontal disease. Of all oxidative stress markers studied, 8-OHdG shows a closer relationship with the other variables. 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) is an oxidized nucleoside that is excreted in the bodily fluids with DNA. The neutrophils play a central role in the initial host inflammatory response to the periodontal pathogens, which leads to enhanced oxidative stress. Oxidative stress induces DNA damage, including oxidation of nucleosides [1]. Sawamoto et al. [23] suggest that the 8-OHdG levels in saliva reflect the load of periodontal pathogens. Takane et al. [24] have demonstrated that the mean 8-OHdG level in saliva is a useful marker to screen periodontal disease and its level can be also used as a prognostic indicator to monitor the progression of periodontal disease. Kamodyová et al. [14] observed significant daily variations in salivary markers of oxidative stress and antioxidant status. Tooth-brushing and treatment with vitamin C decreased carbonyl stress and increased the antioxidant status. Moreover, Tóthová et al. [25] showed their relation with oral hygiene and periodontal status, and for Celecová et al. [26], age, as a significant contributor to the variance, should be taken into account in studies focusing on salivary markers of oxidative stress.

Wara-Aswapati et al. [27] found a high frequency of the three “red complex” bacteria (Porphyromonas gingivalis, Treponema denticola, and Tannerella forsythia) in patients with moderate to severe periodontitis. The high prevalence of A. actinomycetemcomitans (Aa) in the subgingival plaque of localized juvenile periodontitis patients, compared to the much lower prevalence in other patient groups, supports that Aa is an etiologic agent of this periodontal disease [28, 29]. In order to confirm a connection between the number of bacteria and oxidative stress levels, a series of analyses were performed. First, we analyzed presence/absence of these four bacterial types in all studied patients. This again showed a correlation between the presence of bacteria and oxidative stress. The presence of red complex was strongly significant in the increase in oxidative stress markers. Next we grouped the patients with different numbers of the different types of bacteria. The levels of oxidative stress rose according to the increase in number of different bacteria present. ROS are related to PMN action in the destruction of periodontal pathogens. A large number of distinct types of bacteria with different pathogenicity increase periodontal inflammation. It is reasonable that PMNs act upon this inflammation, increasing ROS levels to kill different pathogens. This rise of ROS levels by PMNs would lead to tissue degeneration and a worse status of periodontal disease.

Certainly, the main limitation of the study has been the small sample size, but the study could confirm the possible linear correlation between markers of oxidative stress and the presence of different types of bacteria. Further studies with larger sample size should continue this line of research. In conclusion, the determination of oxidative stress levels and the number of periodontal bacteria could be a potent tool in controlling the development of periodontitis.
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