Background: Prolonged healing of tracheostomy after decannulation has a negative impact on respiration, hygiene, cosmetics, and social life. Even so, evidence-based observations of tracheostoma healing time are lacking. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine tracheostomy wound healing time after decannulation.

Methods: In this prospective observational cohort study, we included 30 subjects undergoing decannulation following prolonged mechanical ventilation via tracheostomy. Our primary endpoint was tracheostomy healing time defined as time from decannulation to airtight healing. To identify any factors related to healing time, we included information about patient demographics, comorbidities, tracheostomy method, tube size, and intubation time. All subjects were observed daily until their tracheostomy wound had healed.

Results: The median tracheostomy healing time was 6.5 (1-22) days. The duration of tracheal cannulation was the only factor significantly correlated with prolonged healing (p=0.03). Four patients were subjected to recannulation shortly after decannulation due to hypercapnia, respiratory failure, secretion accumulation, or self-decannulation. All wounds achieved complete spontaneous airtight closure.

Conclusions: Duration of spontaneous tracheostomy closure after decannulation was 1-22 days, and closure time correlated with duration of cannulation.
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Introduction

Tracheostomy tube decannulation is a significant and critical step in patient recovery after prolonged mechanical ventilation. Timing of decannulation relies on individual clinical evaluation, and no specific guidelines have been published [1-6]. Assessing decannulation readiness may be complicated causing premature decannulation in some patients and resulting in reannulation or oral intubation. After successful decannulation, the tracheostomy is covered with gauze or an occlusive bandage and left to heal spontaneously. Clinical issues during the healing period include impaired phonation and difficulties controlling airway secretion, including a risk of airway infections [7]. The patient is instructed to apply manual pressure to the bandage during cough and speech in order to reinforce cough and phonation. However, manual counter pressure is not always possible for patients suffering from impaired consciousness or limited dexterity [8], and cough strength may have become diminished due to progressive thoracic and abdominal muscular atrophy and muscle fatigue induced by prolonged mechanical ventilation. Furthermore, patients recovering from prolonged mechanical ventilation have shown inability to speak for weeks, leading to a sense of isolation, frustration, anxiety and depression [2,9].

Many patients require frequent wound care due to bandage blow off or accumulation of pulmonary secretions around the stoma site [10]. Hygiene challenges associated with airway secretion may increase the risk of airway infection and respiratory failure, and may therefore heighten the risk of relapse to ventilator therapy [8,11,12]. Simple suturing of the skin has not proven effective and even entails a risk of subcutaneous emphysema. Surgical closure of the trachea and suturing of the pre-tracheal tissue are far from the standard of care in the intensive care unit (ICU) [13], albeit some specialists have documented success using a surgical approach for treatment of tracheostomal fistula [14]. A lack of knowledge exists concerning tracheostomy healing time after decannulation. Causal factors affecting the duration of tracheostomal healing remain speculative, and it remains unclear whether a prolonged healing time is associated with an increased risk of pneumonia and with decannulation failure. Thus, the aim of this study was to determine tracheostomy wound healing time after decannulation.

Methods

This observational prospective cohort study was conducted from August 2016 to August 2019 at Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark.

Inclusion criteria: subjects decannulated in the ICU and subsequently transferred to one of the following departments at Aarhus University Hospital: Department of Cardiology, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Department of Infectious Diseases, or Department of Renal Medicine. Patients were excluded if they were transferred to any other hospital before their tracheostomy wound closure. The local Ethical Committee categorized the study as a quality assurance project (case no: 1-16-02-8-16) and no formal permission to conduct the study was therefore required.

A total of 30 adult subjects above 18 years of age were included. Two subjects were excluded because they were discharged to local hospitals before airtight healing of their tracheostomy, leaving 28 subjects for analysis in this study. In all cases, the indication for tracheostomy was a need for prolonged mechanical ventilation.

The percutaneous dilation technique was used in 26 patients, whereas an open surgical method was used in the remaining four patients. The decannulation criteria were capping of the tracheostomy tube for a minimum of 24 hours; and subjective assessment of airway secretion, cough effectiveness and ability to swallow properly. The following factors were included in our analyses in order to estimate possible associations with tracheostoma healing time: age, gender, tracheostomy method, short-term bleeding after the tracheostomy procedure, tracheostomy tube size, capping time, body mass index, smoking status, immunosuppressive medication, and comorbidity (ischemic heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and diabetes). The primary outcome measure was time from decannulation to registration of an airtight tracheostomy closure.

Post-decannulation observation of the tracheostomy

The investigator attended all patients daily for wound inspection and wound rinsing with sterile saline on gauze before application of a new dressing (Aquacel Foam 8×8 cm², ConvAtec, Flintshire, UK). Patients were encouraged to cough for evaluation

| Table 1. Patient characteristics (n=28). Data obtained by inspection of ICU patient notes. An overview of factors analyzed for statistical significance in wound healing time. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| **Healing time (days), median [range]** | **6.5 [1-22]** |
| Tracheostomy tube treatment (days), median [range] | **15.5 [4-83]** |
| Sex, n (%) | **Female** | **4 (14)** |
| | **Male** | **24 (86)** |
| Age, median [range] | **60.5 [40-89]** |
| Smoking status, n (%) | **Never** | **13 (46)** |
| | **Former** | **12 (43)** |
| | **Current** | **5 (18)** |
| BMI (kg/m²), n (%) | **<25** | **9 (32)** |
| | **25-30** | **12 (43)** |
| | **>30** | **7 (25)** |
| Initial tube size, n (%) | **7 mm** | **2 (7)** |
| | **8 mm** | **26 (93)** |
| Downsized tube, n (%) | **No** | **11 (39)** |
| | **Yes** | **17 (61)** |
| Diabetes, n (%) | **No** | **20 (71)** |
| | **Yes** | **8 (29)** |
| COPD, n (%) | **No** | **23 (82)** |
| | **Yes** | **5 (18)** |
| Ischemic heart disease, n (%) | **No** | **28 (64)** |
| | **Yes** | **10 (28)** |
| In-hospital immunosuppressants, n (%) | **No** | **20 (71)** |
| | **Yes** | **8 (29)** |
| Bleeding complications, n (%) | **No** | **23 (82)** |
| | **Yes** | **5 (18)** |

BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
of audible air leakage from the stoma site. In case of doubt, a sterile transparent adhesive film (Tegaderm Film, 6×7 cm², 3M, Neuss, Germany) was applied, and the patient was instructed to perform the Valsalva maneuver. All tests were conducted by a single investigator (KJC) to eliminate any investigator bias. Ward staff was instructed to maintain usual tracheostomy care.

Wound closure was assessed by inspection of air accumulation under the film. If the patient had signs of sore skin or pain related to removal of the dressing, a skin barrier film (Cavilon, 3M) was applied. A few patients were unable to cooperate performing the Valsalva maneuver or were unable to cough, in which cases airtight closure was determined by lack of visible or audible air bubbles when applying the film. The ward staff was informed about study completion on the day of airtight healing, and any dressing preferred by the ward staff could be used, as needed.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous data not following a normal distribution are presented as median and range. Q-Q plots were used to check continuous values for normality. Categorical data are presented as frequencies and corresponding percentages. To evaluate potential predictors related to tracheostoma healing time, unpaired Student’s *t* test was used for dichotomous variables, and one-way analysis of variance was used for categorical variables. A simple linear regression model was applied for continuous variables along with an estimation of the Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Non-parametric data were log-transformed. A *p* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. We used a statistical software package (STATA/IC 14.1, StataCorp. LP, College Station, TX, USA).

**Results**

Duration of cannulation varied between four and 93 days (median 15.5 days) and was the only factor correlating with prolonged tracheostomy healing (*p* = 0.03) (Table 2). Table 3 shows primary diagnosis and department affiliation at admission to hospital, along with healing days. No correlation between specialty or primary diagnosis and healing time reached statistical significance. Four patients were subjected to recannulation and readmission to mechanical ventilation shortly after decannulation, and one patient was also orally intubated. These courses are illustrated in Figure 1.

Decannulation criteria

Currently, the evaluation of the tracheostomy patients’ readiness for decannulation is largely based on factors, including airflow secretion, cough effectiveness, ability to swallow, ability to tolerate tube capping, etiology of respiratory failure, difficulty of intubation, oxygen requirements, respiratory rate, comorbidities and age [3,17,19-21]. Striving to take all these factors into account may prolong cannulation time. In our study, we found a positive correlation between cannulation time and prolonged tracheostomy wound healing time, indicating that early decannulation may advance patient recovery. Our list of decannulation criteria included only four factors: capped tracheostomy tube for 24 h, low secretion volume, effective cough, and ability to swallow. While testing for tube capping continuously for 24 h, we obser-
pposed several clinical parameters, including PaO₂ (>70 mmHg), normocapnia (hypercapnia was accepted in patients who habitually presented with high levels of carbon dioxide), and arterial blood pH (in the 7.32-7.45 range). Furthermore, we assessed hemodynamics, infection status, and level of consciousness. We evaluated airway secretion primarily by volume, but also by color, viscosity, odor, and microbiological analysis. Coughing was assessed both auditorily and indirectly by the frequency of need for tracheostomal suction. If patients had no need for suctioning for eight hours, they were considered capable of clearing their airways on their own. Finally, swallowing was evaluated by observing for absence of dysphagia.

Approaches in tracheostomy weaning are determined not only by local procedures but also by how hospitals are organized, e.g., some hospitals have dedicated teams for tracheostomy follow up, others have step-down units, or provide long-term care for tracheostomy patients. This variation in clinical procedures and organization of tracheostomy care complicates comparative studies aiming to obtain evidence-based knowledge about early successful decannulation.

Cannulation time related to healing time

Tracheostomy tube treatment time (cannulation time) was related to tracheostomy closure time, supporting the view by Bishop et al. [22] that patients who have a tracheostomy tube for a prolonged period of time are more susceptible to developing a persistent stoma than are other patients. Occurrence of slow healing may, however, generally reflect a poor healing performance, which may be influenced by factors such as comorbidities, smoking status, BMI, etc. Thus, a long tube time may potentially indicate other factors actually predictive of a longer healing time.

Though shorter cannulation time was found to be a statistically significant predictor of early wound closure, we suggest that caution is warranted given our small study group size. Other factors were considered: age, gender, tracheotomy method, short-term bleeding after the tracheotomy procedure, tracheostomy tube size, BMI, smoking status, immunosuppressive medication, and comorbidity, but these factors did not correlate with healing time with this relatively small number of included subjects.

Decannulation failure

Recannulation was not an endpoint in this study. However, we

Table 3. Primary diagnoses and healing time. Data obtained by inspection of ICU patient notes. An overview of patient admission, divided into Medical and Surgical Specialties, Primary Diagnoses, and Tracheostomy Healing Time. Determinants of healing time

| Specialty                             | Primary diagnosis at the time of admission to the ICU | Healing time (days) |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Cardiology                            | MI                                                   | 16                 |
| Cardiology                            | MI + IMPELLA                                         | 4                  |
| Cardiology                            | MI                                                   | 7                  |
| Cardiology                            | MI complicated by VSD                                | 19                 |
| Cardiology                            | Cardiac arrest                                       | 3                  |
| Thoracic surgery                      | Aorta valve replacement                              | 4                  |
| Thoracic surgery                      | PTE                                                  | 11                 |
| Thoracic Surgery                      | Mitral valve replacement + CABG                      | 7                  |
| Thoracic Surgery                      | Aortic valve replacement                             | 2                  |
| Thoracic Surgery                      | Aortic valve replacement + CABG                      | 9                  |
| Thoracic Surgery                      | Aortic dissection                                    | 16                 |
| Thoracic Surgery                      | Aortic dissection                                    | 17                 |
| Thoracic Surgery                      | Thoracic and abdominal aortic aneurysm               | 4                  |
| Thoracic Surgery                      | Trauma sternum + costae fracture                     | 3                  |
| Cardiology/Thoracic Surgery           | HTX                                                  | 12                 |
| Cardiology/Thoracic Surgery           | HTX                                                  | 4                  |
| Cardiology/Thoracic Surgery           | HTX                                                  | 22                 |
| Cardiology/Thoracic Surgery           | Heart failure (LVAD)                                 | 15                 |
| Cardiology/Thoracic Surgery           | HTX                                                  | 7                  |
| Esophagus Surgery                     | Esophagus cancer                                     | 1                  |
| Esophagus Surgery                     | Esophagus cancer                                     | 3                  |
| Esophagus Surgery                     | Esophagus perforation                                | 6                  |
| Pulmonary Medicine                    | Pneumonia (Wegener’s gran.)                          | 1                  |
| Pulmonary Medicine                    | Pneumonia                                            | 2                  |
| Pulmonary Medicine                    | Respiratory failure (Wegener’s gran.)                | 10                 |
| Pulmonary Medicine                    | Pneumonia (Wegener’s gran.)                          | 6                  |
| Infectious Diseases                   | Ondontoid fracture + paravertebral abscess           | 11                 |
| Infectious Diseases                   | Pneumococcal meningitis                              | 5                  |

MI, myocardial infarction; IMPELLA, implantation of intracardiac assist device; VSD, ventricular septal defect; PTE, pulmonary thromboendarterectomy; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; HTX, heart transplantation; LVAD, left ventricular assist device.
observed recannulation in four of 28 subjects, exceeding 2–5% which is considered an acceptable recannulation rate [20]. A recent study including 50 patients demonstrated a similar rate [11]. The wide variation in failure rates may be due to a lack of agreement on the time-point for assessing failure [23]. A previous study reported failure rates between 3.5% and 32% with registration of recannulation within 1-60 days [5]. In our study, we observed that recannulation was performed on day 0-6 after decannulation (Figure 1). From our results, it remains unclear what caused decannulation failure. This finding merely emphasizes just how difficult assessment of decannulation readiness is. Furthermore, it remains unclear which factors are responsible for wound closure time. Therefore, patient characteristics and tracheostomy decannulation assessment criteria should be considered when investigating decannulation failure in a larger cohort study.

Patients’ characteristics

Our study population primarily consisted of patients with complex cardio or cardiothoracic disease. These patients often present with multiorgan failure, requiring treatment at highly specialized hospitals such as the Aarhus University Hospital. The study subjects were included at the time of decannulation. Thus, patients who were eligible for admission to less specialized hospitals before their decannulation were unable to enter our study population. This may have produced a study population comprising patients who were more fragile than the standard tracheostomy patient. However, we did not include neurological or neurosurgical patients who often require long ICU admission times and neurorehabilitation. In neurological tracheostomy patients, we expect higher rates of recannulation, or even complete decannulation failure. This should be acknowledged when concluding on our results or comparing our findings with those of other studies.

Respiratory physiology in open tracheostomy condition

Generally, tracheostomized patients come from a multitude of clinical specialties, and decannulation often coincides with transfer from the ICU to a general ward. Due to the change in staffing, details in vital parameters and deterioration of respiratory capacity may be challenging to monitor.

It remains unknown to which extent the change in upper airway physiology derived from the open tracheostomy triggers the development of post-decannulation respiratory failure. One prerequisite for an effective cough is an airtight airway without fistulae and effective glottic closure at the onset of the expiratory effort [24]. Accordingly, an insufficiently sealed tracheostomy impairs cough and regulation of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP). The opening into the trachea below the vocal cords is undoubtedly particularly debilitating for this vulnerable group of patients. Tube downsizing before decannulation partly addresses the clinical issue by increasing the luminal space around the tube, ensuring a freer airway while allowing the tracheostoma to narrow its diameter. Intuitively, a method that immediately seals the tracheostomy without accumulating secretion while accelerating tracheostomy healing after decannulation seems an attractive option.

Study limitations

Due to our relatively low number of study subjects, we cannot calculate either statistical correlation or p between patient demographics or tracheostomy data and healing time. Drawing on our current results, we can, however, suggest associations that need further investigation. Further larger studies should be performed to produce firm conclusions regarding factors that may influence tracheostomy wound healing time. Our study population predominately consisted of male subjects (86%) with cardiac, thoracic, or cardiothoracic primary diagnoses (68%). Extrapolation of our results must therefore be considered keeping this in mind.

The need for consensus on decannulation criteria must be mentioned as this directly affects tracheostomy tube time, which was the only significant factor in wound closure time in our results. The fact that decision making concerning decannulation is rooted in expert opinion rather than based on universally accepted objective guidelines emphasizes how delicate this assessment is. Using quantitative or semi-quantitative scoring systems that take into account, e.g., peak cough flow, maximum expiratory pressure, and state of consciousness, might delay decannulation rather than optimize results, as treating doctors need to assess long lists of decannulation criteria. Our results suggest that decannulation should take place as early as possible to ensure early wound closure and thereby accelerated patient recovery.

Conclusion

Our study demonstrated that the duration of tracheostomy healing after decannulation was related to decannulation time, but a need exists for further investigation of factors related to delayed healing and of measures leading to accelerated healing.
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