mTORC1-independent translation control in mammalian cells by methionine adenosyltransferase 2A and S-adenosylmethionine
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Methionine adenosyltransferase (MAT) catalyzes the synthesis of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM). As the sole methyl donor for methylation of DNA, RNA, and proteins, SAM levels affect gene expression by changing methylation patterns. Expression of MAT2A, the catalytic subunit of isozyme MAT2, is positively correlated with proliferation of cancer cells; however, how MAT2A promotes cell proliferation is largely unknown. Given that the protein synthesis is induced in proliferating cells and that RNA and protein components of translation machinery are methylated, we tested here whether MAT2 and SAM are coupled with protein synthesis. By measuring ongoing protein translation via puromycin labeling, we revealed that MAT2A depletion or chemical inhibition reduced protein synthesis in HeLa and Hepa1 cells. Furthermore, overexpression of MAT2A enhanced protein synthesis, indicating that SAM is limiting under normal culture conditions. In addition, MAT2 inhibition did not accompany reduction in mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 activity but nevertheless reduced polysome formation. Polysome-bound RNA sequencing revealed that MAT2 inhibition decreased translation efficiency of some fraction of mRNAs. MAT2A was also found to interact with the proteins involved in rRNA processing and ribosome biogenesis; depletion or inhibition of MAT2 reduced 18S rRNA processing. Finally, quantitative mass spectrometry revealed that some translation factors were dynamically methylated in response to the activity of MAT2A. These observations suggest that cells possess an mTOR-independent regulatory mechanism that tunes translation in response to the levels of SAM. Such a system may acclimate cells for survival when SAM synthesis is reduced, whereas it may support proliferation when SAM is sufficient.

Translation is the single largest energy expenditure sector of cellular metabolism which uses around 20% of the total energy of cells (1–3). Since aberrant translation leads to dissipation of energy resources and various pathological consequences, translation is tightly regulated by multiple signaling pathways in cells (4). Growth and proliferation of mammalian cells require signal-mediated stimulations of translation initiated by the availability of extracellular factors such as insulin and other growth factors, nutrition, endocrine secretions and metabolites. The proliferating cells integrate the presence of these extracellular molecules to induce translation (5, 6). So far as explored, the phosphoinositide 3-kinase–AKT and the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways are the main upstream controllers of translation in cells (7, 8). Both pathways converge on mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) to regulate translation. mTORC1 phosphorylates the inhibitory eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding proteins (4E-BP1 and 2) to detach them from eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E. The released eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E then aids the formation of the translation initiation complex at the 5′ ends of mRNA, thereby enhancing global translation (9). Besides the global translation stimulation, mTORC1 also boosts translation of mRNA bearing the 5′ terminal oligopyrimidine tract (10). Moreover, mTORC1 phosphorylates ribosomal protein p70 S6 kinase (S6K) that is required for the positive regulation of eukaryotic initiation factor 4A (eIF4A) and 4B (eIF4B) to augment translation (11–14).

Cancer cells acquire the ability to induce protein synthesis, growth, and proliferation by activating the translation signaling pathways which facilitate the expression of proteins required for cell survival and growth (15–17). In addition, translation induction can be caused by alteration of expression and/or phosphorylation of diverse proteins involved in translation. For example, consecutive expression and phosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor 4F accelerated translation initiation (15, 18–20). Reduced expression or increased
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phosphorylation of 4E-BPs promoted translation in pancreatic cancer (21). Overexpression of eukaryotic initiation factor 3 induced global protein synthesis and increased translation of oncogenic transcripts in immortalized fibroblastic cells (22). Due to the contribution of mTOR to translation, cellular growth, and proliferation, various drugs targeting the mTOR pathway are being developed for cancer therapy (23–25). However, one of the major problems of mTOR inhibition for cancer therapy is that upon inhibition of a protein in the mTOR signaling, cancer cells develop a resistance to the chemotherapy by activating compensatory proteins by various feedback mechanisms (26). For example, upon inhibition of mTORC1, the AKT and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways are activated by feedback mechanisms to promote cell survival (27–31). Therefore, it is of utmost importance to explore new mTOR-independent translation regulatory mechanisms to propose novel targets for cancer therapy.

The one-carbon metabolic cycle of methionine is intimately connected with the mTOR signaling (32). It is known that the mTOR signaling is responsive to S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), one of the one-carbon cycle metabolites, owing to the SAMTOR protein acting as the SAM-sensor (33). SAM is produced from methionine and ATP by methionine adenosyltransferase (MAT). In mammals, three isozymes of MAT are known (34, 35). MAT1 and MAT3 are found in liver, whereas MAT2 is expressed in all tissues except for adult liver (36–38). MAT2 consists of two subunits: MAT2A and MAT2B, which form a complex with a molecular ratio of 2:1. MAT2A is the catalytic subunit, whereas MAT2B has been suggested to regulate the catalytic activity (39) or stability (40) of MAT2A. MAT2A is expressed in proliferating fetal hepatocytes and is replaced by MAT1 in adult quiescent hepatocytes (41). Upon partial hepatectomy, MAT2A is upregulated during regeneration (42). Also, the expression of MAT2A is known to be high in various cancers including those of liver (43, 44), uterine cervix (45), colon (46), and breast (47). These observations suggest that MAT2A is required for cell growth and proliferation. Recently, MYC has been shown to induce MAT2A expression (48), which explains how MAT2A is upregulated in proliferative tissue and particularly in cancer. These pieces of evidence suggest that SAM synthesis by MAT2A is essential for global protein synthesis in the mammalian cells.

To reveal the involvement of MAT2A in protein synthesis, we examined the global protein synthesis rate upon Mat2a knockdown in the mouse hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (Hepa1) by using surface sensing of translation (SUnSET) (52). Elongating peptides in cultured cells were labeled for 30 min with the aminoglycoside antibiotic puromycin. Translation elongation is inhibited when translating ribosome incorporates puromycin into nascent proteins. The resulting short peptides labeled with puromycin can be detected by Western blotting using an anti-puromycin antibody, which enables examination of the translation rate. We found that the global translation was reduced in Hepa1 cells in which MAT2A was depleted by siRNA (Figs. 1A and S1A). Treatment of cells with the translation inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) greatly diminished the puromycin-labeled proteins, indicating that puromycin specifically labeled the nascent peptides (Fig. 1A: Lanes 5 and 6). In line with knockdown of Mat2a, chemical inhibition of MAT2A by cycloleucine (cLEU), which is a substrate-competitive inhibitor of MAT2 (53, 54), significantly reduced protein synthesis in HeLa cells (Fig. 1B). Note that the reduction in protein synthesis occurred within 1 h after the MAT2A inhibition (Fig. S1B). Next, we examined the effect of MAT2A overexpression on protein synthesis. We established HeLa cells stably expressing FLAG-Bio-tagged MAT2A (FB-MAT2A) (Fig. S1C). The FB-MAT2A protein was considered as functional as the native MAT2A protein since immunofluorescence microscopy using an anti-FLAG antibody confirmed that the FB-MAT2A was localized in nucleus as expected (Fig. S1D), and streptavidin affinity purification of FB-MAT2A showed its interaction with the endogenous MAT2B protein (Fig. S1E). By single-cell colony isolation of these cells, a high FB-MAT2A-expressing clone was selected for the following experiment. The FB-MAT2A-expressing cells cultured under a normal condition showed higher protein synthesis compared to the control cells established using the empty vector (FB-EV), indicating that MAT2A promoted protein synthesis (Fig. 1C).
MAT2A-regulated protein synthesis is independent of mTORC1 activity

To investigate whether the MAT2A-promoted protein synthesis involved mTOR, we cultured these cells under serum starvation for 24 h and then restimulated the cells with serum. Serum starvation did not affect protein synthesis in these cells. Restimulation of the starved cells with serum for 3 h increased protein synthesis in the FB-MAT2A-expressing
cells but not in the control cells (Fig. 1C, lanes 5–10). Importantly, the effect of FB-MAT2A was not diminished by the treatment with rapamycin. These results indicate that MAT2A does not rely on the mTORC1 activity to promote protein synthesis. mTORC1 is activated by various stimuli including growth factors and nutrients such as amino acids, exerting effects on translation machinery (Fig. S2). To further investigate whether MAT2A affects the mTOR signaling pathway, we examined the activation status of mTORC1 upon MAT2A perturbations. S6K is the downstream target of mTORC1. Since the amount of phosphorylated S6K (Phos-S6K) directly reflects the activity of the mTORC1 signaling (55), we examined the amount of Phos-S6K by Western blotting. The FB-MAT2A-expressing cells did not show any appreciable alteration in Phos-S6K compared to the control cells under the normal conditions or when the cells were starved and were restimulated with serum (Fig. 1C). Phos-S6K was unchanged upon MAT2A inhibition by cLEU in both HeLa (Fig. 2A) and Hepa1 (Fig. 2B) cells, whereas it was reduced in response to rapamycin. These results suggest that the effect of MAT2A on protein synthesis is not contingent on the activity of mTORC1.

**MAT2A and SAM are essential for maintaining active translation in cells**

To elucidate the characteristics of the MAT2A-regulated protein synthesis, we carried out a polysome profiling of HeLa cells upon MAT2A inhibition by cLEU. Heavy polysome (also called active polysome) formation was impaired upon MAT2A inhibition with a concomitant increase of monosome (80S) and its subunits (40S and 60S) (Fig. 3A). These characteristics of polysome profile suggest that translation initiation to form monosomes was hampered, and only a smaller fraction of successfully formed monosomes proceeded to active polysome formation.

To investigate the MAT2A-regulated translational control, we isolated translating mRNA from the polysome fractions and performed RNA sequencing. We found that after MAT2A inhibition, 507 transcripts were upregulated, and 193 were downregulated in the polysome occupancy (Fig. 3B and Table S1). The translation efficiency (TE) of each mRNA was calculated by a ratio of reads number between polysome fraction and total RNA, which showed that the number of transcripts with decreased TE (135) by MAT2A inhibition was greater than that of transcripts with increased TE (120) (Fig. 3C and Table S1). Next, we performed RNA-sequencing of cells treated with or without cLEU. A total of 1147 and 328 transcripts, respectively, were upregulated and downregulated significantly (q < 0.01) in total RNA fractions after MAT2A inhibition (Fig. 3D and Table S1). Gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed that the expression of genes involved in ribosome biogenesis was reduced in the cLEU-treated cells (Fig. 3E). These results strongly suggested the existence of a translation regulation mechanism involving MAT2A and SAM, which concerns the expression of a specific subset of mRNA through the altered formation of polysome.

**MAT2A interacts with proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis and translation**

To understand the mechanism of the regulation of protein synthesis by MAT2A, we aimed to elucidate the MAT2A-interacting protein network. We purified FB-MAT2A from HeLa cells stably expressing FB-MAT2A by affinity purification with streptavidin. The purified proteins were then separated by sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), were in-gel trypsin-digested, and
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A and B, Western blotting for the mTOR pathway proteins after treating cells at different concentrations of cLEU for 6 h using HeLa (A) and Hepa1 (B) cells. In lane 2, cells were treated with 400 nM rapamycin for 1 h. cLEU, cycloleucine; mTORC1, mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1.
were analyzed by liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (Fig. 4A). Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining (Fig. 4B) and Western blotting (Fig. 4C) of the purified protein showed that the purification successfully enriched proteins interacting with FB-MAT2A. We found that 81 proteins were specifically copurified with FB-MAT2A.

Figure 3. MAT2A and SAM are essential for maintaining active translation in cells. A, representative merge of polysome curves derived from control (blue) and 6-h cLEU–treated (magenta) cells. The experiment was repeated three times, which resulted in polysome curves with similar morphology. B, RNA sequencing was performed using mRNA extracted from the polysome fractions in (A). Differential expression analysis was performed for the polysome-bound transcripts exhibiting ten or more read counts. Differences between the control and treated group were considered significant when q value was smaller than 0.01. C, translation efficiency (TE) of each transcript was calculated by a ratio of reads number between the polysome fraction in (B) and total RNA. Transcripts exhibiting 2-fold (Log2) or more increments are colored red, and those exhibiting 2-fold (Log2) or more decrements are shown in blue. D, RNA sequencing was performed using the total cytoplasmic mRNA from the control cells and cells treated with cLEU. Differential expression analysis was performed for the genes exhibiting ten or more read counts. The genes whose expression were significantly altered upon the treatment are indicated in dark gray. Differences between the control and treated group were considered significant when q value was smaller than 0.01. E, Gene ontology analysis of the transcriptionally downregulated genes shown in (D). Two significantly enriched terms (p.adjust. < 0.01) are presented in a horizontal bar plot. p.adjust: adjusted p-value. n = 2 in all the analyses. cLEU, cycloleucine.
Table S2). In the result of GO analysis, the top enriched terms were related to ribosome biogenesis and/or functions such as ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis, rRNA processing, rRNA metabolic process, preribosome, small nucleolar ribonucleoprotein complex, and snoRNA binding (Figs. 4D and S3, A and B), suggesting an involvement of MAT2A in ribosome biogenesis and/or ribosome function. Notably, the core protein components of the snoRNP complex (NOP56, NOP58 and SNU13), except for FBL (Fibrillarin), were found in the purified proteins (Fig. 4E). The snoRNP complex plays an

Figure 4. MAT2A interacts with proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis and translation. A, schematic representation of the workflow for ectopic expression and purification of FB-MAT2A for LC-MS/MS analysis. B, FB-MAT2A and its interacting proteins were visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining following SDS-PAGE. C, confirmation of purification of FB-MAT2A by Western blot using a monoclonal MAT2A antibody. D, Gene ontology (GO) analysis for the FB-MAT2A interacting proteins. Top seven enriched terms are presented in a horizontal bar blot for GO-term Biological Process. The color grades indicate the adjusted p-value for each enriched term. E, FB-MAT2A interacting proteins are presented as a network. The color grade indicates the fold change of protein score over control purification. The proteins in the left rectangular area are related to ribosome biogenesis or function. Proteins inside the dashed black circle are the components of the snoRNP complex. The IMP4 protein is indicated by a black arrow. F, copurification of His-IMP4 with FB-MAT2A by streptavidin affinity purification. The Western blotting was done using monoclonal mouse anti-MAT2A and polyclonal rabbit anti-His tag antibodies.
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important role in ribosome biogenesis by 2’-O-methylation of rRNA, and this methylation also enhances the translation capability of ribosome (56). These results strongly support the imperativeness of MAT2A in the ribosome function.

**MAT2A is required for rRNA processing**

Mammalian ribosomal RNA is transcribed as a single large transcript called the 47S pre-rRNA. This pre-rRNA bears external and internal transcribed spacers (ITSs), which must be removed by the complex process of endonucleolytic and exonucleolytic cleavages at specific sites to produce the mature 18S, 5.8S, and 28S rRNAs (57) (Fig. S4A). Among the MAT2A-interacting ribosome biogenesis factors, we further confirmed the interaction of IMP4 with MAT2A by copurification of poly-histidine-tagged IMP4 (His-IMP4) with FB-MAT2A (Fig. 4F). IMP4 is a component of the small subunit processome. The small subunit processome mediates 18S rRNA maturation by cleavage at A0, A1, and A2 sites (58). To elucidate whether MAT2A is required for the pre-rRNA transcription, we quantified the pre-rRNA content in Mat2a-knocked-down Hepa1 cells by qPCR using a primer pair targeting the 5’ external transcribed spacer sequence (59). We observed that Mat2a knockdown did not alter the rRNA transcription in Hepa1 cells (Fig. S4B), suggesting that MAT2A is dispensable for rRNA transcription. MAT2A interacted with the snoRNP complex which plays a critical role in rRNA methylation and maturation (60–62) (Fig. 4E). We also observed the interaction of MAT2A with IMP4, one of the 18S rRNA cleavage factors. These observations led to a hypothesis that MAT2A might be involved in rRNA maturation. Indeed, Mat2a knockdown decreased the 18S/28S rRNA ratio with reduced production of the 18S rRNA (Fig. 5, A and B). Chemical inhibition of MAT2A by cLEU also reduced the 18S/28S ratio in a concentration-dependent manner (Figs. 5C and S4C). Alterations in rRNA processing were further investigated by measuring the major pre-rRNA stages by Northern blot using ITS1 and ITS2. The reduced production 18S rRNA upon the cLEU treatment was supported by the result that a weakened signal of 18S-E, the immediate precursor of the mature 18S rRNA, was detected using the ITS1 probe (Fig. 5D, Panel 1). Interestingly, we observed a prominent accumulation of 30S and 32S pre-rRNAs, the precursors of the mature 18S rRNA (Fig. 5D, Panels 1 and 2). The decreased 18S rRNA was also observed (Fig. 5D, Panel 3). Considering that rRNA transcription was not affected by MAT2A knockdown, the reduced production of the 18S rRNA could be a result of disturbed 18S rRNA processing. These observations suggest that MAT2A is involved in 18S rRNA cleavage and processing. Insufficient 18S rRNA maturation may have partially contributed to the reduction of protein synthesis upon MAT2A depletion or inhibition.

**MAT2A contributes to dynamic methylation of proteins involved in translation**

Many proteins involved in translation are methylated in *E. coli*, yeast, and mammals (49, 51, 63–66). Although some of these methylations appeared important for translation (50, 51, 67), the biological significance of the majority is unclear. Therefore, we hypothesized that a reduction of methylation of proteins involved in translation might cause the protein synthesis reduction upon MAT2A inhibition. To compare methylation of proteins upon MAT2A inhibition, we employed a variant approach of stable isotope labeling by amino acid in cell culture (SILAC) named heavy methyl SILAC (68) followed by identification and quantification of methylated peptides using LC-MS/MS. HeLa cells were labeled with either light or heavy methionine for six generations. The heavy-labeled cells were treated with 30 mM cLEU for 6 h and the light and heavy-labeled cells were then mixed at a ratio of 1:1. Then, ribosomes were purified by two methods for the maximum coverage (Fig. 6A): by immunoprecipitation (IP) (method 1) or ultracentrifugation in sucrose cushion (method 2). In method 1, cells were transfected with the 3× FLAG-tagged RPL23A protein (FL-RPL23A) expression vector and then ribosome containing the FL-RPL23A protein was purified by ani-FLAG IP. For comparison, a mock purification was also performed in parallel using cells transfected with the empty vector (Fig. S5, A and B). In method 2, ribosome was pelleted by passing the cleared lysate through 1 M sucrose cushion in ultracentrifugation (Fig. S5, C and D). We noticed that many ribosomal proteins were purified in both methods (Table S3). By combining the data obtained from the two methods, we identified 28 methylated peptides (Table S3; Sheet 3). After calculating the heavy/light ratio from the relative abundance of methylated peptides, the values were normalized to those of unmethylated methionine-containing peptides derived from the same protein to exclude the possible variability due to the difference in cell number or protein amount between light-labeled and heavy-labeled cells. When no unmethylated methionine-containing peptide was identified in the same protein, the normalization was done using RPL23A (method 1) or PABPC1 (method 2) as the standards (see Supplementary Method for details) (Table S3). By taking average abundance values of peptides purified twice, we finally identified 16 unique peptides (Table S3; Sheet 5). Among the unique peptides, 12 peptides were derived from proteins involved in translation, and five of them showed a reduction by 60% or more in methylation upon MAT2A inhibition by the cLEU treatment for 6 h (Fig. 6B and Table S3). The relative abundance of methionine-containing peptides used for normalization showed a linear correlation in both methods (Fig. 6, C and D), indicating that the same amount of proteins were purified from the control and treatment samples. These results suggest that MAT2A contribute to dynamic methylation of a specific set of proteins for translation.

The highest methylation reduction was observed in K55me2 of eEF1A1 and/or eEF1A2. The chromatogram and mass spectrum of eEF1A1/2K55me2 light and heavy peptides are presented in Figure 7A. We further validated the effect of MAT2A on eEF1A1/2K55me2 by Western blot using a specific antibody for this methylation. For convenience of transfection, we selected HEK293T cells for this experiment.
Because of its ubiquitous expression (69), the principal form of eEF1A in HEK293T cells is eEF1A1. Therefore, we examined the methylation status of eEF1A1K55me2 in HEK293T cells upon MAT2A transient overexpression. First, we validated the specificity of commercially available DiMethyl-eEF1A-K55 antibody (anti-eEF1AK55me2) by overexpressing either wildtype FB-eEF1A1 or mutant FB-eEF1A1K55R (lysine was replaced by arginine) in HEK293T cells followed by IP with the FLAG antibody and immunoblotting with the anti-eEF1AK55me2 antibody. We observed that the anti-eEF1AK55me2 antibody detected wildtype FB-eEF1A1 both in the input (Fig. 7B, lane 2) and IP (Fig. 7B, lane 5) samples but failed to detect the corresponding signal of FB-eEF1A1K55R (Fig. 7B, Lanes 3 and 6, respectively), corroborating that this antibody specifically detects eEF1A1K55me2. Next, we co-expressed either wildtype FB-

Figure 5. MAT2A is required for rRNA processing. A and B, the mature 18S and 28S rRNA were quantified after knockdown of MAT2A in Hepa1 cells. The representative electropherograms from triplicated samples are presented in (A). A bar plot showing a significant reduction of the 18S/28S rRNA ratio is presented in (B). Mean ± SD are shown (n = 3 replicates of samples). C, the decreased 18S/28S rRNA ratio was also observed in HeLa cells after chemical inhibition of MAT2A by cLEU. D, panel 1 and 2: Northern blotting showing different pre-rRNA detected by the ITS1 and ITS2 probes. Panel 3 and 4: The mature 18S and 28S rRNAs are detected by specific probes. The bar plot on the right side and the table below indicate the relative quantity of each pre-rRNA that was changed upon cLEU treatment. cLEU, cycloleucine; IP, immunoprecipitation; ITS, internal transcribed spacers.
eEF1A1 or mutant FB-eEF1A1K55R with MAT2A in HEK293T cells. As expected, we observed that the expression of MAT2A increased eEF1A1K55me2 (Fig. 7C, lane 5). This observation was consistent with the reduction in eEF1AK55me2 upon MAT2 inhibition observed in the heavy methyl-SILAC experiments.

**Discussion**

In this study, we examined the role of MAT2A in protein synthesis in mammalian cells. We revealed that MAT2A and SAM are essential to maintain global protein synthesis independently of the mTORC1 signaling. In the polysome profiling experiment, we observed that inhibition of the MAT2A activity...
impaired the formation of active polysome and altered TE of a subset of mRNA. To understand the mechanistic aspects, we studied the MAT2A interactomes by mass spectrometry. We observed that MAT2A interacts with many rRNA processing and ribosome biogenesis factors. We revealed that the 18S rRNA maturation was severely affected by MAT2A depletion and inhibition. By quantitative mass spectrometry, we showed a drastic reduction of methylation of translation factors including eEF1A1 in MAT2A-inhibited cells. To sum up, MAT2A and SAM promote translation in mammalian cells by (i) facilitating rRNA processing, (ii) promoting active polysome formation, and (iii) regulating methylation of translation factors (Fig. 8). Importantly, the effect of MAT2A and SAM on translation is independent of the mTORC1 signaling.

We observed a reduction in protein synthesis not only upon MAT2A depletion but also by chemical inhibition of MAT2A.
activity, indicating that MAT2A relies on SAM for promoting protein synthesis. It has been reported that SAM and its precursors methionine are necessary for proliferation of cancer cells (70–72) and stem cells (73–75). Moreover, SAM scarcity in cancer leads to cell cycle arrest in G1 and G2 phases where cells synthesize proteins, lipids, and other biomolecules (76, 77). In addition, cell proliferation requires the synthesis of a copious amount of protein. Therefore, our data suggest that MAT2A and SAM contribute to cell proliferation by maintaining protein synthesis. On the other hand, several studies have depicted that an excess of exogenous SAM supplementation can be toxic and suppresses proliferation of cell lines from various cancers including uterine cervix (78), colon (79), colorectal (80), and breast (81) by arresting cell cycle in S phase (80, 82). Therefore, an optimum amount of SAM is necessary for protein synthesis and cell cycle progression.

In this study, we found that MAT2A regulates translation independently of the mTORC1 activity. Contrary to our findings, a previous study showed that the activity of mTORC1 is highly dependent on cellular SAM concentration. It was reported that when SAM becomes low in HEK293T cells, SAMTOR inhibits the mTORC1 activity by forming a stable dimer with GATOR1. Under a sufficient SAM condition, SAM binds to SAMTOR to disrupt the SAMTOR-GATOR1 complex, thereby activating mTORC1 and translation (33). While our findings are contradictory to this report, the difference may be caused by the SAM depletion techniques employed. They carried out methionine restriction for 2 h in their experiment. The activation of mTORC1 requires its localization on the lysosomal surface, where the activator RHEB resides, and the localization is stimulated by an amino acid signaling (28, 83). Therefore, the observation by Gu et al. might be confounded by a compromised amino acid signaling rather than solely depending on SAM concentration. Further studies are required to clarify these possibilities. It should be noted that serum stimulation increased MAT2A protein (Fig. 1C). This observation may suggest that mTOR induces the expression of MAT2A.

Paradoxically to the drastic protein synthesis reduction, TE of only a small number of transcripts was decreased in MAT2A-inhibited cells. This observation can be explained by the fact that MAT2A is important for global translation rather than that of specific mRNA. Therefore, a small reduction of TE, which was not considered for calculation in Figure 3C, for many genes combinedly might lead to the huge protein synthesis reduction.

The MAT2A-interacting proteins included many rRNA and ribosome biogenesis factors, particularly the components of the snoRNP complex, which mediates rRNA methylation (Fig. 4E). We confirmed the interaction of MAT2A with IMP4. In addition, the 18S rRNA processing was reduced upon MAT2A depletion (Fig. 5, A and B) or inhibition (Fig. 5, C and D), whereas rRNA transcription was not affected (Fig. S4B). The necessity of methylation for rRNA biogenesis (61, 84–86) suggests that the 18S rRNA defects observed upon MAT2A depletion or inhibition are explained by impaired methylation. The interaction of MAT2A with the snoRNP complex may expand the idea of the local SAM synthesis and consumption model of chromatin methylation in which SAM is produced on chromatin for local histone methylation (87, 88). MAT2A may produce SAM for rRNA methylation along with its processing. Further studies including rRNA methylation are necessary to draw an absolute conclusion.

Posttranslational methylation is one of the most common modifications found in a wide range of proteins. Given that translation is one of the critical steps for gene expression control, methylation of ribosomal proteins and translation factors might be crucial for the translational regulation of gene expression. By quantitative mass spectrometry, we revealed that methylation of some translation factors, such as eEF1A1/2K55me2, PABPC3K104me1, PABPC1LK361me1, and eEF2K525me3, were reduced by more than 60% upon MAT2A inhibition (Fig. 6B). We must note that some of these identifications and quantifications may have been disturbed by distinct unmethylated peptides derived from homologous proteins in which amino acid replacements alter the number of the methyl group, such as the replacement of Gly by Ala (see Table S3, sheet 3, column AE). We further validate the effect of MAT2A on eEF1A1K55me2 by using a specific antibody (Fig. 7, B and C); transient overexpression MAT2A induced eEF1A1K55me2. These observations suggest that methylations of these proteins are dynamically altered in response to intracellular SAM concentration.

It has been reported that methylations of some of these proteins are necessary for translation. For example, eEF1AK55me2 is required for protein synthesis and tumorigenesis in lung cancer (51) and cell proliferation in acute
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myeloid leukemia (89). It is also reported that eEF1AK55me2 has a more specific function in enhancing the translation of codons for tryptophan and alanine (90). Therefore, MAT2A may at least partially rely on eEF1AK55me2 to stimulate protein synthesis. eEF2K509me3 (the corresponding residue in human is K525) is essential for maintaining translation frame in yeast (67). Although there is no report of PABPC1L methylation as far as we know, upregulation of PABPC1L is associated with proliferation and migration of prostate and colorectal cancers (91, 92). Therefore, it would be promising to investigate the importance of PABPC1L361me1 in translation. PABPC3 and PABPC4 are known to be methylated at several lysine and arginine residues (93, 94). However, the biological significance of these methylations is still unknown. Since PABPCs have translation-stimulating capabilities (95–97), the biological significance of their methylations would be promising to be explored in future.

In this study, we focused on methylation of translation-related proteins. tRNA is also highly modified by methylation at different positions, and some of them have already been proven important for various steps of translation (98–100). Therefore, in future, it will be propitious to investigate whether and how MAT2A and SAM contribute to tRNA-modification.

Due to the complex nature of the mTOR signaling, the cancer chemotherapy targeting the mTOR signaling pathways for translation control is often compromised by different mechanisms including mutations of inhibitor binding sites (101, 102), activation of costimulatory molecules (103), induced expression of growth factor receptors (104), and reduced expression of inhibitory molecules (105). Furthermore, the mTOR inhibitors compromise cancer therapy due to their potent immunosuppressive properties (106). Under these circumstances, a therapy targeting MAT2A could be an alternative to suppress the translation for cancer therapy.

Experimental procedures

Cell culture

HeLa and HCT116 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) containing 4.5 g/l glucose (D5796, DMEM-high glucose, Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (172012, Sigma-Aldrich) and combination of 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (15140-122, penicillin-streptomycin, Thermo Fisher Scientific). In polysome analysis, HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM media containing 4.5 g/l glucose (08458-16, Nacalai Tesque) supplemented with 10% FBS (26140079, Thermo Fisher Scientific). HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM containing 1.0 g/l glucose (D6046, Sigma Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FBS (172012, Sigma Aldrich).

Plasmids

The pEF1α-FLAG-Biotag empty vector (FB-EV), pEF1α-FLAG-Biotag-MAT2A (FB-MAT2A), and pEF1α-FLAG-Biotag-BirA (FB-BirA) vectors were described in previous studies (87, 107). The pcDNA3.1(+)–RPS2-TEV-3×-FLAG (FL-RPS2) and pcDNA3.1(+)–RPL23A-TEV-3×-FLAG (FL-RPL23A) vectors were constructed in this study. The human RPS2 (NM_002952.3) and RPL23A (NM_000984.5) cDNAs were amplified from HEK293T cells using a forward primer including a BamH1 restriction site and a reverse primer including a TEV protease site followed by the 3× FLAG sequence and an Xho1 restriction site. The amplified cDNAs were then introduced into the pcDNA 3.1 (+) vector at the BamH1 and Xho1 sites. Therefore, the resulting plasmids encode C-terminal 3× FLAG-tagged RPS2 and 3× FLAG-tagged RPL23A. The MSCV-FB-eEF1A1 (FB-eEF1A1) and MSCV-FB-eEF1A1K55R mutant (FB-eEF1A1K55R) plasmids were constructed in this study. Human eEF1A1 cDNA (NM_001402.5) was PCR-amplified using cDNA from the ASPC1 cell line and was inserted into the MSCV vector using its BamH1 restriction site. The MSCV-FB-eEF1A1K55R mutant vector was then constructed by introducing the point mutation to the MSCV-FB-eEF1A1 plasmid. To construct the pcDNA-IMP4-His (His-IMP4) plasmid, human IMP4 cDNA was PCR-amplified from the human brain cDNA library (Human Brain, Hypothalamus Marathon-Ready cDNA, No. 639329, Takara Bio). The amplified cDNA was then inserted into the HindIII and SacII sites of the pcDNA 3.1/myc-His B vector (V800-20; Thermofisher Scientific).

Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was performed as described in previous studies with modifications (78, 87). Briefly, cells were lysed by 1× SDS sample buffer (62.5 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 1% SDS, 10% glycerol, 1% 2-mercaptoethanol and 0.02% bromophenol blue) containing protease inhibitors (0469315900, Complete Mini EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets, Roche) or by radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS and Complete protease inhibitors). For detection of phosphorylated proteins, the cell lysis buffer additionally contained a phosphatase inhibitor (04906837001, PhosSTOP, Roche). Protein amount was quantified by either a protein assay kit (22660, Pierce 660 nm protein assay reagent, Thermo Fisher Scientific) or SDS-PAGE followed by CBB (50% methanol, 10% acetic acid and 0.1% R-250 or G-250) staining and quantification of stain density with an ImageJ software (version 1.53i). The extracted protein was denatured by heating at 95 °C for 5 min in the presence of the SDS-PAGE sample buffer. The protein was separated by SDS-PAGE in an 11% polyacrylamide gel (15% for SUnSET and ribosomal proteins). The separated proteins were then transferred to 0.45-μm PVDF membrane (IPVH00010, Immobilon-P Transfer Membrane, Merck Millipore). After transfer, the PVDF membranes were blocked in 3 to 5% skim milk in TBS-T (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 0.05% Tween 20) before incubation with antibodies. When phosphorylated proteins were examined, antibody reactions were done in TBS-T containing 3% BSA. The imaging was done by a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Bio-Rad Laboratories) using Clarity Western ECL Substrate (1705060, Bio-Rad).
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Translation assay
The translation rate was examined by SUNSET (52). Cells were grown to 70 to 80% confluence and pulse-labeled with 20 mg/ml puromycin (P8833, Puromycin dihydrochloride, Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min at 37 °C in a cell culture incubator. In serum stimulation, cells were grown in serum-free DMEM containing 4.5 g/l glucose (D5796, Sigma-Aldrich) for a period of 24 h. Then, cells were returned into DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS for 1 h or 3 h before pulse labeling with puromycin. Cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer supplemented with the protease inhibitors. Around 1 to 1.5 μg of total clarified lysate protein was loaded in a lane for SDS-PAGE and subsequent Western blotting detection was done by using an antipuromycin antibody.

Polysome analysis
Approximately 5 × 10^6 cells were seeded in 25 ml of media in 15-cm cell culture dishes and were grown for 18 h. The cells in the treatment group were then treated with 30 mM cLEU (A48105-10G, Sigma-Aldrich) for 6 h, and the cells were harvested 24 h after the seeding. The polysome was stabilized by treating with 100 μg/ml CHX (06741–04, Nacalai Tesque) for 10 min. The harvested cells were lysed in 550 μl of hypotonic lysis buffer (10 mM Hapes-KOH pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 1% Triton X-100, 100 μg/ml CHX, 40 U/ml RNAsin, and cOmplete protease inhibitor). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 10 min. A total of 500 μl of lysate containing around 150 μg of total RNA was layered on 10 to 30% sucrose gradient in polysome buffer (20 mM Hepes-KOH pH 7.6, 5 mM MgCl2, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, and 100 μg/ml CHX) and was centrifuged at 274,000 × g for 1.5 h at 4 °C (40,000 rpm using an SW41Ti rotor, 331362, Beckman Coulter). A Pisto Gradient Fractionator (Bioequip) equipped with a single path UV-1 optical unit (Biomini UV-monitor, ATTO) and a chart recorder (Digital mini recorder, ATTO) was used for sucrose fraction collection and polysome profile generation as previously described (108).

RNA sequencing
The library was prepared using an MGIEasy RNA Directional Library Prep Set (MGI Tech Co, Ltd) following the manufacturer’s manual. To examine the prepared library quality, the circularized DNA was prepared using an MGIEasy circularization Kit (MGI Tech Co, Ltd) with the manufacturer’s guideline. After making DBA nanoball by a DNBSEQ-G400RS High-throughput Sequencing Kit (MGI Tech Co, Ltd), the sequencing was performed using DNBSEQ-G400. The differential gene expression analysis was performed for transcripts aligned to more than ten reads using estimateSizeFactors function, estimateDispersions function, and nbinomWaldTest function in R package DESeq2.

Quantification of rRNA
Ribosomal RNA was quantified by a 2100 Bioanalyzer Instrument (Agilent) using an Agilent RNA 6000 Pico kit (5067-1513, Agilent) following the manufacturer’s instruction.
Northern blotting

Northern blotting of rRNA precursors was performed according to a previously described protocol (111). Briefly, total RNA was isolated from HeLa cells treated with either cLEU or none by using an RNeasy Plus Mini kit (74134, Qiagen) following the protocol supplied by the manufacturer. Four micrograms of the total RNA were separated by electrophoresis using a 1.2% formaldehyde-agarose denaturing gel in the presence of 1× TAE (3 M NaCl and 300 mM trisodium citrate dihydrate) for 18 h. The RNA was then UV-crosslinked at 120 ml/cm² by using a CL-1000 UV-crosslinker device. The membranes were incubated with anti-digoxigenin AP, Fab fragments (11093274910, Roche) in the blocking buffer for 1 h. After that, the membrane was washed three times in 1× SSC containing 0.1% SDS for 15 min at 50 °C, then twice in 0.1× SSC containing 0.1% SDS for two times at the same temperature, and finally in 1× MA buffer (100 mM maleic acid and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.0) for 10 min at room temperature. After being blocked by a blocking reagent (11096176001, Roche) for 30 min, the membrane was incubated with anti-digoxigenin–AP, Fab fragments (11093274910, Roche) in the blocking buffer for 1 h. After that, the membrane was washed three times in 1× MA buffer containing 0.3% Tween-20 and then was equilibrated in buffer-A (100 mM Tris-HCl and 100 mM NaCl, pH 9.5). RNA was detected using CDP-star (11759051001, Roche) and a LAS-4000 mini device. The 5' DIG-labeled probes for the detection of the precursor rRNAs are follows:

ITS1: 5'-CCTGCAGCCCTCAGGGCTCCGGGCTCGTTAAA

ITS2: 5'-CTGCGAGGAAACCCACGGCGCGCA-3'.

The mature human 18S and 28S rRNAs were detected by DIG-labeled probes that were synthesized by PCR DIG Probe Synthesis Kit (11636090910, Roche) using the following primers.

18S rRNA: 5'-ATCAAGAGCAAGTGGGATCAGTTG-3' and 5'-GTCCGAGCCGGCCATCGT-3'.

28S rRNA: 5'-GGCCGACTTACAATGGGTGGGC-3' and 5'-CTACACCGGTCAGTGAACACCA-3'.

Heavy-methyl SILAC experiment

The heavy methyl-SILAC experiment was conducted based on the principles and procedure described in earlier researches (68, 112, 113). The SILAC labeling media were prepared by reconstituting commercially available DMEM high-glucose media depleted for glutamine, methionine, and cysteine (21013024; DMEM high glucose, no glutamine, no methionine, no cysteine cell culture media, Thermo Fisher scientific). For reconstitution, we added 4 mM glutamine (25030-081, Thermo Fisher scientific), 200 μM cysteine (1001527621, L-cysteine dihydrochloride, Sigma-Aldrich) and either 200 μM normal methionine (1001818815; M-Methionine, Sigma-Aldrich) for the light medium or 200 μM heavy methionine (CDLM9289025, L-methionine, Methyl-13C 99%, Methyl-D3 98%, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) for the heavy medium. After reconstitution, we added 10% dialyzed PBS (F0392, Sigma-Aldrich) and a combination of 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (15140-122, Penicillin-Streptomycin, Thermo Fisher scientific). HeLa cells were cultured in either light or heavy medium for at least six generations. The heavy-labeled cells were treated with 30 mM cLEU for 6 h before harvest. The ribosome was purified as follows:

Method 1 (purification by IP)

Light- and heavy-labeled cells were transfected with 15 μg of either the FL-EV or FL-RPL23A plasmid and were grown for 24 h before harvest. After cell harvest by trypsinization, an equal number of light- and heavy-labeled cells were mixed and were lysed in 700 μl of lysis buffer (10 mM Heps-KOH pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 2% NP-40, and cOmplete protease inhibitor). The cleared lysate was then used for ribosome purification by an anti-DDDDK antibody bound to magnetic beads (M185-11R, anti-DDDDK-tag mAb-magnetic beads, MBL) at 4 °C for 2 h. The ribosomes were then heat-eluted and were denatured in the presence of SDS-sample buffer.

Method 2 (purification by pelleting on sucrose cushion)

5 × 10⁶ of light- and heavy-labeled cells were mixed in 1:1 ratio and were lysed in 350 μl of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 1% Triton-X100, cOmplete protease inhibitor, and 100 μg/ml CHX). The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 2000 g for 20 min at 4 °C. The clarified lysate was then layered on 900 μl of 1 M sucrose cushion in a 13 × 56 mm 3.2-ml capacity polycarbonate ultracentrifuge tube (362305; Beckman Coulter). The tube was then centrifuged in a TLA-110 fixed angle rotor (366735, Beckman Coulter) at 543,000 g for 1 h at 4 °C in an Optima MAX-XP Benchtop Ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter). The resulting ribosome pellet was washed with ice-cold PBS, was dissolved in SDS-sample buffer and was denatured at 95 °C for 5 min.

After purification of ribosome by either method, the LC-MS/MS sample preparation and analysis were performed as described in “Purification of FB-MAT2A and LC-MS/MS analysis” except that methylation and demethylation at Lys and Arg and trimethylation at Lys were also included in the variable modifications. The human proteins in Swissprot (Nov 2020) and a homemade contaminant protein list were searched. The number of proteins included in the searched database was 20,692 in total. The threshold of Mascot expectation value for significant peptide-spectral matches was set to 0.05. The false discovery rates estimated by Mascot decoy search were reported as follows: 118 PSM in decoy database versus 12,888 in real database (0.92%) for ribosome isolation by ultracentrifugation and 65 versus 5025 (1.29%) for FLAG-RPL23a IP. The result from a single experiment is shown. Details in the methylated peptides identification procedure can be found in “Supplementary Method” section.
Statistical analysis

Welch two-sample t test was performed using an R program (Version 4.0.2) for comparison of Mat2a mRNA (Fig. S1A) and rRNA ratio (Fig. 5B). The relative intensity values were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance assuming equal variance followed by Tukey’s honest significant test for the comparison of multiple means (Fig. 1B). Two sample unpaired t test was performed to compare the normalized intensities of puromycin-labeled peptides (Fig. 1C). GO analysis of proteins or genes were performed by using package ‘Go.db’ with “enrichGo” function in R. Pearson correlation analysis was performed to compare the correlation between the abundance of light and heavy unmethylated methionine-containing peptides (Fig. 6, C and D) using package “ggpmic” with “stat(eq.label)” function in R.

Data availability

The raw data files of mass spectrometry are available in the MassIVE public database (https://massive.ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe/static/massive.jsp, Dataset ID: MSV000089292 and MSV000089296), and the raw data for mRNA sequencing are available in GEO with ID: GSE201299.
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