Introduction

Cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) is a disease of the elderly (>55 years old), with significant stenosis seen in 90% of the population by the seventh decade [1-6]. With age, gradual degenerative changes are seen in the spine. However, there is a very poor correlation between the degree of radiological compression and the symptoms experienced by individuals. As originally suggested by Matsunaga [7], the compression of the cervical cord with resultant myelopathy occurs due to the hypertrophy of adjacent ligaments and the formation of osseous bony spurs, which gradually compress the spinal cord while in static positions. This can be exaggerated with flexion and extension motions, as the cord is stretched and draped over the bony spondylosis. These additional stressors may add to the repetitive insults suffered by the already compressed cord, which has minimal space for compensatory
displacement. This trauma accumulates over time, leading to myelomalacia of the cord and irreversible deleterious changes. The myelomalacia is paradoxically visualized as an ample subarachnoid space surrounding the cord.

With the advent of open configuration MRI systems, dynamic or kinematic imaging has become possible. This allows further evaluation of physiological and pathological changes in the relationships of the intervertebral disc, the spinal canal, and the neural foramina, as well as the assessment of segmental instability in physiologic body positions. Dynamic MRI (dMRI) includes separate scans taken with the neck in flexion and extension, and could more accurately identify sites of pathologic stenosis or compression among patients with cervical spondylotic disease. Unlike myelography, such a non-invasive tool allows spine surgeons to assess the dynamic alteration of the spinal canal in vivo.

In this review, we evaluate manuscripts from the literature and summarize the importance of dynamic studies in the diagnosis of CSM.

Methods

We performed a detailed literature search in the Medline and PubMed using the key terms “cervical spondylotic myelopathy”, “dynamic MRI”, “kinetic MRI”, and “myelomalacia”. All studies from 1913 to 2016 were reviewed. The study was limited to English language. Studies were limited to human subjects, with the exception of one study performed on dogs which was included for historical significance. We included all age groups in our search, but most studies predominately dealt with an elderly cohort. Case series, retrospective studies, prospective reports, and clinical trials which reported flap usage following spine surgery were included. Reviews, case report, cadaveric studies, editorials, and commentaries were excluded.

Results

Our search returned 19 articles which met criteria for inclusion in this review [8-26], 7 of these were retrospective analyses; the remainder were prospective studies. 2661
total patients were included in this review, with an average age of 52.9 years (range, 15–93 years); 49.5% of patients were male (Table 1).

Several studies included evaluated changes in spinal cord or canal dimensions during flexion/extension. While the degree of flexion/extension leading to canal compromise was quite obvious, many papers suggested greater canal compromise in the extension position. In the presence of significant anterior compression (e.g., disc bulge) the compression worsened with flexion. Poor outcomes were noted in patients with compression present even on flexion. We also reviewed various applications of dMRI in congenital skeletal dysplasia, Hirayama disease, rheumatoid disease, and achondroplasia (Table 2).

### Discussion

Olsson [27], utilizing a dog model, first described the impact of dynamic compression in a 1958 study. He noted that dynamic changes in the spine sustain negative symptoms, and that removing these factors leads to reduced inflammation and improved outcomes in several compression models [27,28]. Recently, dMRI has gained popularity with the introduction of open and standing MRI machines, and is useful when there is a discrepancy between a poor clinical examination and radiological abnormality of myelomalacia observed in certain patients [12]. It has also been applied in younger population with symptoms of myelopathy [29]. Spinal elements undergo several changes during dMRI that are not appreciated in neutral images. In flexion, the cord is strained as the anterior osteophytic components are pronounced with retrobulging of the disc. While in extension, the cord shortens and thickens, which leads to compression by posterior elements as the canal narrows [8,9]. In many patients, a high intramedullary signal is only appreciated in dynamic positions [26]. This was further confirmed by somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEP) performed during the MRI, which showed decreased N20 amplitude in extension [30]. The neutral MRI is unable to detect the severity of compression in flexion/extension positions in patients with moderate stenosis, which often leads to delayed treatment.

### Table 2. Studies included for review: parameters

| Study                      | Design     | Patient type | Study parameters                                      |
|----------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Dalbayrak et al., 2013     | Prospective| CSM          | Canal diameter                                        |
| Endo et al., 2014          | Prospective| Healthy      | Cord/canal length                                     |
| Fei et al., 2010           | Prospective| Disc herniation | Translational/angular motion, disc height           |
| Fujimori et al., 2013      | Retrospective| CSM, OPLL    | Cobb angles, range of motion                         |
| Hayashi et al., 2014       | Retrospective| CSM          | Listhesis, compression, disc changes                  |
| Kim et al., 2014           | Retrospective| 22 CSM, 10 healthy | Compression levels                                    |
| Liu et al., 2015           | Retrospective| CSM          | Clinical outcomes                                     |
| Machino et al., 2012       | Prospective| CSM          | Cobb angles, range of motion                         |
| Maruo et al., 2014         | Retrospective| CSM, OPLL    | Clinical outcomes                                     |
| Miura et al., 2009         | Prospective| 20 CSM, 20 healthy | Canal/cord diameter (L-value)                         |
| Miyata et al., 2006        | Prospective| 40 CSM, 20 healthy | Canal/cord diameter (L-value)                         |
| Muhle et al., 1998         | Prospective| Healthy      | Listhesis, canal/cord diameter                        |
| Muhle et al., 2001         | Prospective| CSM          | Stenosis, cord compression                            |
| Muhle et al., 1998         | Prospective| CSM          | Stenosis, cord impingement                            |
| Muhle et al., 1998         | Prospective| Healthy      | Neuroforamen compression                              |
| Seki et al., 2015          | Retrospective| CSM          | Clinical outcomes                                     |
| White et al., 2007         | Retrospective| Cervical sx | Listhesis                                              |
| Zeitoun et al., 2015       | Retrospective| CSM          | Cord compression, HIL                                  |
| Zhang et al., 2011         | Prospective| CSM          | Cord/canal dimensions/impingement, HIL               |

CSM, cervical spondylotic myelopathy; OPLL, ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament; sx, symptoms; HIL, hyperintensed intramedullary lesion.
of the underlying pathology. We believe this is likely one of the reason for the rapid deterioration of clinical symptoms often noted in elderly populations [31].

1. Brief description of dMRI studies

Patients typically examined at 1.5T MRI with special functional positioning device. Despite there are various manufacturers in the current market, most devices are essentially pneumatically driven and mechanically allowing dynamic examination of the spine from the MRI control room. All devices allow flexion and extension while a few sophisticated devices allow lateral bending or rotation. The ideal angles used by most studies for flexion and extension were 40–45°. However patients were allowed to stop moving if they experienced pain or neurological deficits.

2. Canal dimensions

Muhle et al. [19] used dMRI to evaluate 81 patients aged 30–74 years with different stages of cervical spine degeneration. They observed that patients with complete obliteration of the subarachnoid space and those with severe canal compromise had significant worsening of the canal diameter during flexion/extension. They also observed worsening compression during flexion in patients with multiple disc herniations, caused by a cord draping effect. In patients with very severe stenosis, the motion was quite limited due to facet and ligament hypertrophy. These patients had worsening of the canal diameter in extension.

Utilizing these data, a classification system of 5 stages was proposed to describe the degree of pathology in patients with CSM. In Stage 0, the cervical spine is normally aligned. In Stage I, disc pathology is present (herniation, protrusion), but the cord is unaffected and any narrowing of the canal on flexion/extension does not impinge the cord. In Stage II, cervical spondylosis is present, and the cord is impinged anteriorly on flexion/extension. In Stage III, cervical spondylosis is present with restricted motion, and anterior or posterior impingement can be present. Finally, in Stage IV, CSM is present and impingement can occur anteriorly, posteriorly, or both, at one or multiple levels (Table 3).

In another study, Muhle et al. [22] evaluated the subarachnoid spaces in the cervical spine of healthy individuals. They noted that during flexion there was narrowing of 43% of the ventral space and widening of 83% of the dorsal space as compared to neutral position. During extension, the dorsal space was reduced by 17% whereas the ventral space increased by 9%. In elderly populations, there is compromise in the canal space already due to degeneration of the disc, which leads to anterior cord impingement and hypertrophy of the ligamentum flavum, which reduces the global canal diameter. Prolonged period of compression compromises oxygen and nutrition delivery due to microvasculature obliteration, which can also cause myelomalacia. This phenomenon accelerates in patients with congenital stenosis of the spine, dystonia, achondroplasia, and athetoid movement disorder.

A third study by Muhle et al. [21] prospectively examined stenotic changes in 46 symptomatic CSM patients with flexion/extension during dMRI. Stenosis was significantly greater in extension as compared to flexion positions, although cord compression was diagnosed in both positions. Patients with degenerative changes at multiple segments were more likely to experience dynamic compression.

Dalbayrak et al. [8] described changes in several dimensions of the spinal canal during flexion and extension. In addition to demonstrating the superior accuracy of MRI compared to CT or X-ray, they report dynamic changes in canal diameter, taking measurements in the sagittal plane. On average, the canal underwent a 14.9% expansion during flexion and a 13.4% reduction in diameter during extension. Additionally, dMRI was superior to X-ray at detecting unstable levels: whereas X-ray detected instability in 25.6% of cases, dMRI identified affected levels in 54.3%.

Zeitoun et al. [25] report changes in cervical canal stenosis at multiple levels in 51 consecutive patients. A total of 255 levels were examined at rest, flexion, and extension. Utilizing Muhle’s classification system (Table 3), they

| Stage | Features |
|-------|----------|
| 0     | Physiologic alignment |
| I     | Disc pathology, canal narrowing, no cord impingement |
| II    | Spondylosis, anterior cord impingement |
| III   | Spondylosis, restricted motion, anterior or posterior impingement |
| IV    | CSM, anterior and/or posterior impingement |

Table 3. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) classification (Muhle et al., 1998 [19])
noted increased staging at all levels during extension, as compared to neutral or flexed positions. In the mid-low cervical spine (C3–6), almost one quarter of levels which were stage 1 at rest were classified as stage 3 on extension (22.5%). These changes in canal diameter were largely under-detected by neutral-position imaging.

Kim et al. [13] investigated the role of extension MRI in determining cervical compression levels. They identified a significantly higher number of compression levels in cervical degenerative myelopathy patients (91%) as compared to the non-myelopathy group (30%). Elderly age was shown to predict increased stenosis in extension. With utilization of dynamic scans, clinician agreement and consensus in interpretation improved.

White et al. [24] retrospectively analyzed changes in spondylolisthesis on flexion/extension images in 258 symptomatic patients. Of 23 patients with listhesis on neutral imaging, 6 of these had changes of 2–4 mm between flexion and extension. Two patients had a listhesis during flexion/extension that was undetected on neutral images.

3. Cord dimensions

Endo et al. [9] describe dynamic changes in cord length in 62 patients with symptomatic CSM utilizing dMRI. They found that cord length was greatest during flexion, and shortest in extension, and the dimensions of the spinal canal changed with the cord. Cord elongation was greatest posteriorly, at the site of the posterior canal, and shortest anteriorly.

Zhang et al. [26] examined cord length and sagittal diameter during flexion/extension in 50 symptomatic CSM patients, as well as the amount of available space for the cord in the canal, degree of stenosis and cord impingment, and high-intensity intramedullary signal changes. They found that cord length was greatest in flexion and shortest in extension. In contrast to Endo et al., they found that cord length was greatest in the anterior aspect, regardless of position. Cord sagittal diameter was greatest in extension between C4–C7. In all levels but C6, the available space for the cord was greatest in neutral position (and greater in flexion than in extension), while at C6 space was greatest during flexion. These findings were consistent with Muhle et al’s observation in regards to shortening of subarachnoid space during extension along with folding of ligamentum flavum, thereby reducing cord length.

Miura et al. [17] prospectively analyzed 20 patients with symptomatic CSM who underwent laminoplasty. They utilized the L-value, a measurement taken in the sagittal plane which describes the distance between the midline of the cord and the posterior aspect of the vertebral column. Measurements were taken pre- and postoperatively with dMRI. Regardless of surgery or position, L-values were smallest at C4–5. Postoperatively, the cord shifted dorsally in all positions, although the degree of cord motion was not related to surgical outcomes.

In a similar study, Miyata et al. [18] report changing L-values in 40 patients who underwent laminoplasty for symptomatic CSM. 20 of these patients had preoperative dMRI images which were used for controls, for a total of 60 scans. Postoperatively, the cord moved dorsally in all positions, supporting the findings of Miura et al. described above.

4. Range of motion

Fujimori et al. [11] compared postoperative range of motion (ROM) in patients with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) or CSM treated with laminoplasty. ROM in CSM patients significantly decreased from 32.7° preoperatively to 24.4° postoperatively, while ROM in OPLL patients decreased from 34.4° to 20.8°. Loss of ROM was significantly greater in the OPLL group. In the CSM group, clinical outcomes were associated with changes in flexion and extension angles, but not overall ROM.

Machino et al. [15] reported changes in ROM and cervical alignment in 520 patients with symptomatic CSM who underwent laminoplasty. Mean cervical alignment was assessed by Cobb angles (C2–7), and was increased by 1.8° (lordotic) postoperatively, while the mean total ROM was reduced by 6.6°.

5. Disc changes

After eliminating stenosis in the neutral position, Hayashi et al. [12] observed that many patients had undiagnosed stenosis during extension (8.3%) and flexion (1.3%). Further, disc bulge >2.4 mm, angular motion >4.8°, and segmental kyphosis (C5–6) were correlated with an increased incidence of stenosis in extension, while disc bulge >1.9 mm predicted stenosis in a flexion MRI scan.

Fei et al. [10] utilized dMRI to assess translational and
angular motion at levels adjacent to herniated discs in 407 patients with symptomatic disc herniations. They found that levels above the herniation experienced a 7.2% reduction in translational motion (per mm herniation) and no significant reduction in angular motion. Conversely, levels below the herniation experienced a 5.2% reduction in angular motion (per mm herniation) and no significant reduction in translational motion. Overall, there was no significant reduction in range of motion at levels above or below disc herniation as evaluated by dMRI.

The position of the patient in the MRI also dictates the amount of compression on the disc. This is evidenced in biomechanical studies of lumbar spine where the compression of the disc is maximal at L3 when sitting and leaning forward. An MRI performed in this posture will definitely have a different disc height. Further, it is not uncommon patients do present with symptoms in certain upright positions which are relieved in lying down position. However, in cervical spine the compression force is mainly exerted by the head and increases during upright position. dMRI performed in upright positions, add to this component to provide more realistic picture of the cervical spine.

6. Outcomes and applications

Segmental kyphosis is best diagnosed with dMRI, and is useful for preoperative planning, for example in deciding the number of levels to be decompressed [26,32]. Maruo et al. [16] noted that patients with ROM > 20° at C2–7 had worse outcomes after laminoplasty, and required instrumented fusion to prevent continued cord injury. This study implied that myelopathy often undercorrect without the utility of dynamic imaging.

Liu et al. correlated flexion/extension imaging with health-related quality of life scores (HRQOL), including the modified Japanese orthopedic association score, neck disability index, SF-36, and Nurick grade. They noted significant correlation between increased cervical lordosis in flexion and worse Nurick scoring. They hypothesized that such finding was due to the patient's attempt to minimize cord tension, compensate for deformity, and thereby decrease range of motion. Other observations include correlations between C7 translation over T1 and severity of symptoms, and sagittal slip of C2 or C4 and worse HRQOL measures. Reduced flexion and extension ROM correlated with worse SF-36 and Nurick scoring.

The applications for dMRI use have been gradually expanding. Seki et al. [23] demonstrated that patients with increased intramedullary signals during flexion had greater degree of neurological recovery, based on his evaluation and two-year follow-up of 121 patients with cervical myelopathy. The high intramedullary signal might indicate wide range of pathological changes which include edema, inflammation, and degeneration. Recently, dMRI has been used to evaluate Hirayama disease-juvenile muscular atrophy of the distal upper extremity (JMADUE)-based on compression noted during flexion on dMRI in the presence of segmental spinal atrophy of the dorsal dura, and epidural venous congestion. The authors were able to refrain from operating on this genetic disorder, which stabilizes naturally with conservative interventions [33-35]. This demonstrate the role of dynamic scan in diagnosing such disease with progressive weakness. Evans and Lollis [36] used dMRI to evaluate cord compression in a post-laminectomy case in which the paraspinal muscles were noted to compress the spinal cord during extension. This was managed by adding instrumented fusion with cross-links to maintain distance between the paraspinal muscles and the cord. dMRI studies in achondroplasia and rheumatoid arthritis patients have shown increased risk of dynamic compression at the cervico-medullary junction [37-39]. Dynamic imaging has also proven to be useful in children with skeletal dysplasia. Conditions like odontoid hypoplasia, os odontoideum, cerebrospinal fluid effacement, cord compression, spinal cord changes, and cervical canal narrowing have all been analyzed using dMRI [40].

Conclusions

Dynamic MRI is especially helpful in the evaluation of patients who have symptoms of CSM which are insufficient to warrant surgery. The neutral-position MRI does not reveal the severity of compression which is noted in dynamic images. This can, however, lead to the rapid deterioration of symptomatology often seen in elderly populations, due to disease progression and restricted, sedentary lifestyle. Although segmental listhesis is detected in dynamic X-ray films, mild listhesis is often overlooked. In these patients, dMRI will show the amount of cord impingement by soft tissues in addition to the bony spurs of spondylosis. dMRI is also helpful in patients with myelomalacia of the cord due to the repeated trauma of movement, which
can paradoxically reveal adequate subarachnoid space in the neutral position.

We believe that dMRI has not yet been universally accepted for multiple reasons: undergoing the scan is a cumbersome and prolonged experience for patients already in significant distress from disease. There is often lack of coverage by patients’ health insurance. Most importantly, there are no standardized measurements in dMRI to evaluate disease and dynamic change. For now, it can still be utilized by neurosurgeons in cases of discrepancy between neutral-position imaging and patient symptomatology.
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