Assisted dipeptide bond formation: glycine as a case study
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ABSTRACT

Peptide bond formation is a crucial chemical process that dominates most biological mechanisms and is claimed to be a governing factor in the origin of life. Dipeptides made from glycine are studied computationally via Density Functional Theory (DFT) using two different basis sets. This reaction was investigated from both a thermodynamic and kinetic point of view. The effect of explicit assistance via the introduction of discrete solvent molecules was investigated. Water, methanol, and cyclohexane were all employed as solvent media in addition to gas to investigate their effects on the mechanism of peptide bond formation. This computational investigation revealed that methanol is slightly better than water to leverage peptide bond formation both kinetically and thermodynamically, while cyclohexane, a non-polar and non-protic solvent, is the least effective after gas as a medium of solvation. Energetic results in the gas environment are very close to those obtained in polar and protic solvents, suggesting that peptide bonds can be formed under interstellar conditions.

1. Introduction

Peptide bond formation is a vital process that has attracted the attention of the scientific community as it is a key concept in understanding the origin of life and elucidating the process of amino acids polymerization [1]. This exceptional type of chemical bond is required in any biological mechanism that involves amino acid interactions and the formation of biomolecules under prebiotic conditions [2, 3]. Since the beginning of the 20th century, polypeptides of various types and structures have been synthesized. Although several attempts were carried out to form peptide bonds with high yield, these reactions usually require activated amino-acid precursors and heterogeneous supports [4]. The synthesis of peptides has also been performed in the gas phase such as in the case of ion-molecule reactions containing methionine and glutamic acid [5]. Several computational studies have described the hydrolysis or the condensation phenomena and explained the mechanistic insights behind the reaction [6, 7]. The peptide bond formation in this particular medium is extremely relevant in astrochemistry because it enables the study of prebiotic molecular synthesis in the interstellar medium [8]. Although simple entities such as H2, CO, and HCN were more abundant, biological building blocks such as the simplest amino acids were spotted in interstellar ice together with methanol, ammonia, and hydrogen cyanide [9]. Several studies have demonstrated that peptides can polymerize under certain conditions [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. The simplest example of peptide bond formation involves two glycine molecules via the condensation reaction as depicted in Eq. (1).

$$2\text{Gly} \rightarrow \text{Gly-Gly} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(1)

Glycine has the peculiarity of lacking a chiral center and is therefore optically inactive. Its neutral form is generally favored in the gas phase, while its zwitterionic form is favored in solution and the solid-state [20]. Li and coworkers studied the solvent effects on the cyclization of a dipeptide from neutral monomers [21]. Numerous computational studies have been conducted to investigate the formation mechanism of glycine through a radical-radical reaction involving t-HOCO and CH$_2$NH$_2$ [22]. Jensen et al. were interested in the uncatalyzed stepwise and concerted routes of peptide bond formation. The authors explained the effect of the entropy and the electronic structures of the transition state (TS) on the energetic barrier heights controlling this reaction [23].

Noncovalent interactions in diglycine and diglycine-water models were investigated computationally by Liu and coworkers. They indicated...
that the first water molecule binds simultaneously to both oxygen atoms of the carboxylate forming two loose H-bonds [24].

It was revealed by Bhunia et al., that the kinetic preference and the concerted/stepwise mechanism are very sensitive to the level of theory that has been used. For instance, B3LYP/6-31G (d,p) favored the concerted route to form the peptide bond. Whereas, it was the stepwise route that was dominant when the M062X/6-31G (d,p) level of theory was used [25].

Dornshuld et al. highlighted the stabilizing effect of a water molecule on the cis and trans products of the condensation via the H-bonds by 5–9 kcal mol⁻¹ [26]. Therefore, it is clear that solvent interactions have a drastic effect on the condensation process. This has been investigated computationally by implicitly surrounding the glycine within a cavity of continuum defined by macroscopic properties such as the dielectric constant. This approach represents properly nonspecific and long-range interactions. A second approach is available and consists of adding one or more discrete solvent molecules. This method targets generally to spot the catalytic actions and the specific effects of the solvent [27]. The number of explicit solvent molecules required to stabilize glycine is still not clear. For example, Jensen and Gordon used MP2 calculations on structures optimized at the HF level of theory and included diffuse and polarization functions for the basis set. They claimed that at least two water molecules are required to stabilize the glycine zwitterion [28].

Whereas, Ding and Krogh-Jespersen found that one molecule of water is sufficient to stabilize glycine at the same level of theory and by employing a 6-31G basis set with polarization and diffuse functions in some atoms [29]. Tortonda and coworkers studied the stabilization of neutral glycine with an explicit water molecule with MP2 calculation and found that the zwitterionic form cannot be stabilized by a single water entity. The same authors concluded that for this type of system, the discrete and continuum solvent models are complementary to explain water-glycine interactions and found that several explicit water molecules did not affect the mechanism of the concerted zwitterion formation [27].

Zou et al. investigated the microsolvation of the glycine in the presence of different numbers of explicit methanol molecules via DFT calculations. They found that the zwitterionic form can be stabilized by one methanol entity. If two or three methanol molecules are involved, they tend to be located near the carboxylic acid group of the neutral form of glycine. A bridge of methanol forms between the acid and the amino group in the zwitterionic case. Knowing that the amino group is connected to the carboxyl group through a water bridge, it was found that up to nine water molecules are required to explain the microsolvation of the zwitterionic glycine [30]. When five or six methanols are employed, the zwitterionic and the neutral form of glycine tend to be isoenergetic. The authors concluded that up to nine methanols are required to fully solvate a glycine molecule [31].

In this paper, we investigate the thermodynamic and kinetic properties of peptide bond formation for glycine in polar and non-polar solvents that are defined both implicitly and explicitly. The molecules of water, methanol, and cyclohexane studied here, play the role of solvents. In this study, we calculated the reaction enthalpy in gas and Polarized Continuum Model reveals that the formation of the peptide bond is exothermic in all the cases. The reaction was more exothermic in polar solvents such as in water and methanol.

The energetics are given as the sum of the reaction enthalpy in gas (ΔH_gas) and in the Polarized Continuum Model (ΔH_PCM). The comparison of the structures of reactants, products, and transition states revealed that the distance between the closest nitrogen of the first glycine and the carbon of the neighboring molecule decreased from D1 = 3.391 Å to D2 = 1.604 Å during the TS. This distance was stabilized to D9 = 1.353 Å when the product was formed. By the same scenario, the dihedral angle between the C–N bond of the first glycine and the C–C bond of the second glycine increased progressively from DA1 = 114.83° at the starting point to DA2 = 137.28° in the TS structure and finally to DA3 = 177.82° in the product. It is noteworthy that during the transition state, the distance between the nitrogen approaching from the other

### 2. Results

#### 2.1. Non-explicitly assisted dipeptide formation

The thermodynamic and kinetic descriptors in the gas and solvent phase were summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The comparison between the reaction enthalpy in gas and Polarized Continuum Model reveals that the formation of the peptide bond is exothermic in all the cases. The reaction was more exothermic in polar solvents such as in water and methanol.

The energy correction brought by the dispersion effects added to the B3LYP method slightly reduced the energetic order of cyclohexane is nil. The reaction in the implicit solvent and gas was spontaneous. Indeed, AGs equaled approximately 9 kcal mol⁻¹ in both cases. Kinetically, the energetic barrier was 46.11 kcal mol⁻¹ in the gas phase with the extended basis set and 44.38 kcal mol⁻¹ in water as described in Table 1, which is in agreement with the computational results obtained at CCSD(T)/6-311++G (d,p) level [3]. This leads to the conclusion that these types of media had no significant effect on the rate of the reaction. The utilization of the extended (6–311+G (2d, 2p)) basis set with B3LYP level of the theory gave similar results for all the thermodynamic descriptors.

The energy correction brought by the dispersion effects added to the B3LYP method slightly reduced the kinetic and thermodynamic descriptors. Indeed, the energetic difference did not exceed 2 kcal mol⁻¹.

The IRC approach to determine the path of the chemical reaction between two glycines suggested the formation of a 4 membered atomic ring in the transition state. The suggested mechanism is illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure 2. It starts with the positioning of the carboxylic functional group in proximity to its amine homolog. In a concerted way, a bridge is formed between the two molecules through the carbon atoms of the carboxylic acid and the nitrogen of the amine group. Simultaneously, the hydroxyl group linked to the carboxylate is liberated in the form of water after withdrawing the mobile hydrogen atom that was connected to the nitrogen of the amine function.

The comparison of the structures of reactants, products, and transition states revealed that the distance between the closest nitrogen of the first glycine and the carbon of the neighboring molecule decreased from D1 = 3.391 Å to D2 = 1.604 Å during the TS. This distance was stabilized to D9 = 1.353 Å when the product was formed. By the same scenario, the dihedral angle between the C–N bond of the first glycine and the C–C bond of the second glycine increased progressively from DA1 = 114.83° at the starting point to DA2 = 137.28° in the TS structure and finally to DA3 = 177.82° in the product. It is noteworthy that during the transition state, the distance between the nitrogen approaching from the other...
glycine started to lose the link with its hydrogen. Therefore, the distance of the N–H was $D_4 = 1.011$ Å in the reactant then increased to $D_8 = 1.156$ Å.

Similarly, in the other glycine, the C–O moiety involved in peptide bond formation was dissociated progressively from its corresponding molecule and migrates to form a water molecule with the hydrogen dissociated from the nitrogen of the neighbouring molecule in the case of the gas phase. Thus, $D_2$ increased from 1.357 Å in the reactant to $D_6 = 1.913$ Å in the transition state.

### 2.2. Explicitly assisted dipeptide formation

#### 2.2.1. Water assistance

When a water molecule was introduced explicitly to leverage the formation of the peptide bond, one could follow the migration of atoms between the two glycine molecules and the electronic exchange through the water molecule. The five distances that were used to monitor this mechanism are summarized in the table that joins Figure 3. $D_2$ to $D_6$ and $D_8$ to $D_{12}$ showed the distances between the six atoms that were involved in the reaction at the starting point and at the TS, respectively. The peptide bond was slightly longer at the TS with water assistance comparing to gas medium reaction.

Peptide bond formation is exothermic in water (-12.83 kcal mol$^{-1}$) and the water molecule expressed explicitly with the glycines. This reaction is spontaneous for the peptide under the same conditions. Therefore, $\Delta G_0$ is equal to -8.01 kcal mol$^{-1}$ and -7.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$ in explicit and implicit water at the extended basis set. When the water molecule was defined explicitly, the energetic barrier was 3.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ lower than its homologue in PCM ($\Delta G^\# = 41.28$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ in the explicitly assisted reaction as shown in Table 3 against 44.38 kcal mol$^{-1}$ using the PCM model described in Table 2).

The mechanism of the reaction involves a transition state with the six-atom ring as described in Figure 4. The nitrogen atoms of the amine group approach from the carbon of the carboxylic acid and form a bond

| Non assisted media | Method | $\Delta H_0$ | $\Delta G_0$ | $E_a$ | $\Delta G^\#$ |
|--------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|------|--------------|
| in PCM (water)     | A      | -7.48       | -7.86       | 27.70| 46.11        |
|                    | B      | -5.91       | -           | -    | 44.88        |
| in PCM (MeOH)      | A      | -10.04      | -0.79       | 29.4 | 43.34        |
|                    | B      | -10.02      | -8.79       | 29   | 38.12        |
| in PCM (C$_6$H$_6$)| A      | -7.87       | -7.46       | 26.55| 46.4         |
|                    | B      | -7.63       | -           | -    | 42.88        |

**Table 1.** Thermodynamic and kinetic descriptors in the explicitly non-assisted diglycine formation in gas using B3LYP/6-311++G (2d,2p) in (kcal mol$^{-1}$): (A) without dispersion effects, (B) D3 version of Grimme dispersion with the original D3 damping function included.

**Table 2.** Thermodynamic and kinetic descriptors in the explicitly non-assisted diglycine formation with PCM in water, MeOH, and cyclohexane using B3LYP/6-311++G (2d,2p) in (kcal mol$^{-1}$): (A) without dispersion effects, (B) D3 version of Grimme dispersion with the original D3 damping function included.

Figure 1. Schematic of the reaction mechanism for peptide bond formation between two amino acids in the gas phase.

Figure 2. The relative energies of the reactant R, product P, and the transition state TS for the peptide bond formation between two glycine molecules are given in kcal mol$^{-1}$ and calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G (2d, 2p) level of theory including dispersion effects. The most relevant distances, angles, and dihedral angles in the gas state were listed on the right side. The length of the peptide bond is included (in bold).
that links the two glycine molecules. The water molecule facilitates the exchange of the mobile proton of the nitrogen. Indeed, the assistant molecule pulls the hydrogen of the amine and releases its own hydrogen to the oxygen of the hydroxyl group of the carboxylic acid. It then becomes easy for the second water molecule to be released and the diglycine molecule is formed.

2.2.2. Methanol assistance

The explicit assistance of the diglycine formation with a methanol molecule decreased the heat of the reaction from $\Delta H_0 = -12.63$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ to $-10.02$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ in gas phase. The reaction in the presence of methanol became more spontaneous to produce the dipeptide ($\Delta G_0 = -9.02$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). Kinetically, the energetic barrier of activation decreased by 2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ compared to its PCM homolog in the gas medium.

The mechanism of the peptide bond formation between the glycine molecules and assisted with a methanol molecule was very similar to the one proposed for the reaction in explicit water. Its corresponding transition state is also based on a 6-atom ring as depicted in Figure 5. The methanol molecule exchanges hydrogen between the amine and the carboxylic acid groups. It is clear that methanol plays the role of a catalyst because it participates in the mechanism, but it was not consumed at the end of the reaction. From the energetic barrier calculated at the same level of theory, the incorporation of a methanol molecule reduced this barrier by ca. 2 kcal mol$^{-1}$.

The inclusion of the dispersion effects, in this case, reduced the free energy of activation $\Delta G^\#$ by 9.45 kcal mol$^{-1}$ as shown in Table 4. Similar to water, the explicit assistance with a methanol molecule led to a transition state with the same distance between the nitrogen and the carbon involved in the peptide bond formation. The variation of distances in the 6-membered ring is illustrated in Figure 6.

2.2.3. Cyclohexane assistance

Although cyclohexane is already known for not showing a proton exchange with glycine, the reaction model was described here to show

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** The relative energies of the reactants, products, and their transition state (TS) state for the peptide bond formation between two glycine molecules are given in kcal mol$^{-1}$ and calculated at the B3LYP/6-31 (p,d), and B3LYP/6-311++G (2d, 2p) level of theory including dispersion effects. The most relevant distances, angles, and dihedral angles in the gas state were listed on the right side. The length of the peptide bond is included (in bold).

| Method | $\Delta H_0$ | $\Delta G_0$ | $E_a$ | $\Delta G^\#$ |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|
| A      | -12.83       | -8.01        | 15.68 | 41.28        |
| B      | -15.96       | 32.19        |       |              |

**Table 3.** Thermodynamic and kinetic descriptors in the explicitly assisted diglycine formation with water molecule using B3LYP/6-311++G (2d,2p) in (kcal mol$^{-1}$): (A) without dispersion effects, (B) D3 version of Grimme dispersion with the original D3 damping function included.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Schematic of the reaction mechanism for explicitly assisted peptide bond formation by water molecules between two amino acids.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** Schematic of the reaction mechanism for explicitly assisted peptide bond formation by methanol molecule between two amino acids.

| Method | $\Delta H_0$ | $\Delta G_0$ | $E_a$ | $\Delta G^\#$ |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|
| A      | -12.63       | -9.02        | 14.97 | 41.37        |
| B      | -14.92       | 31.92        |       |              |

**Table 4.** Thermodynamic and kinetic descriptors in the explicitly assisted diglycine formation with MeOH molecule using B3LYP/6-311++G (2d,2p) in (kcal mol$^{-1}$): (A) without dispersion effects, (B) D3 version of Grimme dispersion with the original D3 damping function included.
the behavior of a non-polar, aprotic molecule as an assistant for dimerization. The diglycine formation in explicit cyclohexane is exothermic with $\Delta H_s$ equal to -6.22 kcal mol$^{-1}$, which is just 1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ higher than the values calculated in PCM or the gas conditions. In terms of free enthalpy of the reaction, the assistance with cyclohexane still allowed spontaneous peptide formation but it is close to zero ($\Delta G_{fi}$ = -2.97 kcal mol$^{-1}$). This thermodynamic descriptor is equal to -7.46 kcal mol$^{-1}$ and -7.86 kcal mol$^{-1}$ in PCM (cyclohexane) and gas, respectively. This reveals a more considerable difference when the cyclohexane was expressed explicitly.

Although the Gibbs free energy of the reaction stated that the dipeptide formation is spontaneous in the presence of the explicit cyclohexane molecule ($\Delta G_{fi}$ = -2.97 kcal mol$^{-1}$ as depicted in Table 5), this reaction seems to be kinetically not feasible as the free enthalpy of activation was 85 kcal mol$^{-1}$ with both basis sets. This can be explained by the inherent stability of the bond in the non-polar cyclohexane and the difficulty to exchange hydrogen atoms with other molecules. The transition state illustrated between brackets in Figure 7 shows the same 6-atom ring that involves the mobile protons of the amine and the carboxylic acid and the proton belonging to the cyclohexane.

When dipeptide bond formation was assisted by an explicit cyclohexane molecule, the hydrogen covalently bonded to the cyclohexane was involved in the six-membered ring of the TS. Thus, the distances between the two closest hydrogens of cyclohexane to the glycine were D3 = 3.630 Å and D4 = 4.914 Å. During the transition states, these distances were reduced to D9 = 1.465 Å and D10 = 1.611 Å as illustrated in Figure 8. During the same transition phase, the C–O and N–H bond belonging to the transitioning ring was stretched.

The reaction profiles shown in Figure 9 differentiate between the formation of the dipeptide by the explicit assistance of water or by the implicit solvation with the PCM model. The geometries of the solvated and the explicitly assisted transition states were examined in detail to explain the difference in their corresponding activation-free enthalpies.

The energy profile as a function of the intrinsic coordinates of the reaction fits the Universal profile of a single step reaction.

To discuss the reaction profiles, two conditions should be considered: first, it is necessary that the structures of the two IRC path boundaries correspond to the reagents and the products. Two, the energy gradient should exhibit four sequences described by extrema which are: (1) the reactants get closer from each other, (2) the formation of the transition state, (3) preparation of the product structures, and (4) the formation of the final compound. Figure 9 illustrates the IRC and its gradient showing the separation of the four sequences for each transition state which corresponds to the formation of the dipeptide by the explicit assistance of water or by the implicit solvation with the PCM model.

As illustrated in Figure 10, the C–O bond length increases from zone (2) to zone (4). While the N–C bond is stretched in zones (3) and (4). The variation of these two bonds occurs asynchronously. Besides, the bond N–C is formed in a monotonous way before and after the transition state. Nevertheless, some differences in the involved interatomic distances were observed. Therefore, the distance between the closest nitrogen to the first glycine and the carbon of the neighboring molecule as well as the C–O distance of the second glycine were larger in the transition state's geometry in the implicit solvation assistance. Contrarily, the N–H distance of the first glycine was shorter.

The imaginary frequency associated with $\text{TS}_{\text{solvated}}$ (i.e. 475i cm$^{-1}$) is lower than that associated with $\text{TS}_{\text{assisted}}$ (i.e. 966i cm$^{-1}$). This is an additional element consistent with a higher activation barrier in the solvated TS case since the transition state zones 2 and 3 of the influence

---

**Table 5.** Thermodynamic and kinetic descriptors in the explicitly assisted diglycine formation with cyclohexane molecule using B3LYP/6–311++G (2d,2p) in (kcal mol$^{-1}$): (A) without dispersion effects, (B) D3 version of Grimme dispersion with the original D3 damping function included.

| Method | $\Delta H_s$ | $\Delta G_{fi}$ | $E_a$ | $\Delta G^*$ |
|--------|-------------|----------------|------|--------------|
| A      | -6.22       | -2.97          | 44.20| 85.68        |
| B      | -           | -4.91          | -    | 71.86        |

---

**Figure 6.** The relative energies of the reactants, products, and their transition state (TS) state for the peptide bond formation between two glycine molecules are given in kcal mol$^{-1}$ and calculated at the B3LYP/6–311++G (2d, 2p) level of theory including dispersion effects. The most relevant distances, angles, and dihedral angles in the gas state were listed on the right side. The length of the peptide bond is included (in bold).

**Figure 7.** Schematic of the reaction mechanism for explicitly assisted peptide bond formation by cyclohexane molecule between two amino acids.

**Figure 8.** During the same transition phase, the C–O and N–H bond belonging to the transitioning ring was stretched.

**Figure 9.** Schematic of the reaction mechanism for explicitly assisted peptide bond formation by cyclohexane molecule between two amino acids.
of the formation of a solvated dipeptide bond and assisted by water were, respectively, equal to 3.89 and 2.48 au.

3. Discussion

Understanding the oligomerization mechanism of amino acids leading to the formation of peptides and proteins is a key step to uncover the origin of life. The instability of amino acids and the difficulty of their polymerization in an aqueous solution present a major problem. Kekegawa and coworkers found that high pressure and NH₃-rich environments stabilized glycine and alanine [33]. Besides, glycine was discovered in samples of comet Wild 2 returned by NASA’s Stardust spacecraft, which strengthens the argument that life in the universe may be common rather than rare [34]. Electronic structure calculations based on the Density Functional Theory (DFT) were initially exploited to study the interaction between glycine and forsterite which is a well-known cometary dust component. The chemisorption energy ranged from 58 to 96 kcal/mol [35]. This range of energy is higher than what we found for the activation of the glycine condensation. On the one hand, this can

Figure 8. The relative energies of the reactants, products, and their transition state (TS) state for peptide bond formation between two glycine molecules are given in kcal mol⁻¹ and calculated at the B3LYP/6-311+G (2d, 2p) level of theory including dispersion effects. The most relevant distances, angles, and dihedral angles in the gas state were listed on the right side. The length of the peptide bond is included (in bold).

Figure 9. Energetic profiles and their corresponding first derivatives for the dipeptide bond formation: (a) implicitly assisted by water, (b) explicitly assisted by water. Dashed vertical lines represent the limiting IRC coordinates that describe the transition states. Regions 1 and 4 represent the reagent and the product states while regions 2 and 3 describe the activated molecule just before and after the transition states.
be an indication that the chemical interaction with polar or non-polar surfaces especially in comets can be the limiting factor to trigger the condensation. On the other hand, the rigid surface of forsterite can play the role of the heterogeneous catalyst by promoting the interactions between the carboxylic acid and the amine groups.

Although it was stated that the standard Gibbs energies of the condensation reactions of the amino acid are uphill and the incorporation of geochemically produced condensing agents such as carbonyl sulfide, cyanamide, and polyphosphates was recommended to invert the unfavorable condensation Gibbs energies [36], we showed here that in the case of pure glycine the oligomerization is thermodynamically feasible and solvation reduces the activation energy required to ease the peptide bond formation. Kaiser et al. claimed that the radiation-induced, non-enzymatic formation of proteinogenic dipeptides in interstellar ice analogs is facile. This is a supplementary factor that is added to endorse the hypothesis of the diglycine formation in the catalyst-free environment [37].

A mineral catalyst such as hydroxyapatite also presented an alternative to harsh conditions of high temperature and pressure to promote peptide bond formation. Similar to protic solvents exploited in this work (i.e. water and methanol added explicitly in the neighborhood of glycine), the hydroxyapatite reduced the activation barrier for the formation of dipeptides by more than 50%. The presence of this mineral compound or similar catalyst decreased the distance between amino and carboxyl groups on neighboring molecules and extends the contact time of the reaction group [38].

Rimola et al. investigated computationally the pathways from the interstellar amino acids to prebiotic catalytic peptides [39]. For instance, the free enthalpy of condensation to form the dipeptide alanyl-glycine in H2O was 4.13 kcal/mol at 37 °C and pH 7. Comparing this Gibbs energy with the negative ones that we obtained for the glycine-glycine dipeptide condensation highlights the importance of the nature of amino acids that can undergo the exergonic condensation spontaneously. In our case, all the free energies obtained in the gas phase and the presence of explicit assisting molecules proved the exergonic character of the condensation and only the kinetic factor that is differentiating between the glycine environments. Therefore, the peptide bond formation in diglycine is mainly driven by the kinetic factors that can be overcome by the introduction of a homogeneous (i.e. by the utilization of autocatalysis) or a heterogeneous (i.e. In the case of physisorption and chemisorption with hydroxyapatite) catalyst. With the introduction of dispersion in the calculation of potential energy, the activation free enthalpy was reduced by at least 5 kcal mol⁻¹ which leads to closer values to the literature.

It is noteworthy that the activation energy of our water-assisted reaction is almost the same as in the case of the condensation of glycine and serine. Nevertheless, the mechanism is quite different as the proton of the hydroxyl group on the side chain of serine plays the role of the catalyst by protonating the amine of the glycine [40]. The catalysis of glycine condensation is not limited to protic solvents but has been expanded by solid-phase catalysts such as sandine feldspar surface [41] or with permanganate where the activation energy still 2 kcal/mol higher than our currently obtained result with water [42].

Oligopeptides are minimalistic forms of enzymes and present a huge unexplored pool of small molecules in organic synthesis and prebiotic chemistry scenarios. Therefore, diglycine is not limited to investigate the origins of biosynthesis in interstellar environments but also to study its potential as a therapeutic agent in drug development [43]. The formation of glycine dimers shows low activation energy compared to other amino acid dimers. This can be principally explained by its spherical structure that limits the access of water molecules to its hydration shell. For instance, histidine possesses an imidazole ring and nitrogen on its side chain that can form hydrogen bonds with water molecules and affect the activation energy of its condensation [44]. Quantum-based molecular dynamics simulations of the condensation of glycine rendered a similar activation barrier (i.e. 2 kcal/mol lower than our calculated result with water assistance). This confirms the robustness and the accuracy of our simplified model to study the kinetics of this peptide bond formation [45].

4. Conclusions

Peptide bond formation in a glycine-glycine system was investigated and the effects of explicit assistant molecules were studied. The solvents were introduced implicitly via the Polarized Continuum Model approach to include long-distance interactions. The introduction of discrete molecules near the glycine residues focuses more on steric and short-distance non-covalent interactions. Four types of media were studied in this paper: the vacuum that imitates interstellar conditions, water and methanol as polar and protic solvents to show hydrogen bonding effects on the stabilization of the dipeptide system, and finally cyclohexane as a non-polar and aprotic solvent. The comparison of the energetic barrier of activation showed that methanol and water had a stabilizing effect on the transition state of the reaction and the mobile proton of these protic molecules facilitates the synthesis of the peptide kinetically. The study of the free energy of the reaction also demonstrated that peptide bond formation was spontaneous in all of the studied cases. Cyclohexane was always the weakest assistant for promoting the reaction, demonstrating the importance of the mobile proton to leverage electronic exchange between the glycine molecules and the assisting molecule. The introduction of assisting effects have a crucial impact on the energetic descriptors of this reaction, thus this ought to be taken into consideration in order to account for both short-range interactions, such as hydrogen bonding and van der Waals

Figure 10. Evaluation of the interatomic distances during the peptide bond formation: a) implicitly assisted by a water molecule, b) explicitly assisted by water molecule. Dashed vertical lines represent the limiting IRC coordinates that describe the transition states.
interactions, and long-distance interactions, such as polarisability of the assisting molecule.

Declarations

Author contribution statement

Sofiene Achour: Conceived and designed the experiments, Performed the experiments.
Zied Hosni: Conceived and designed the experiments, Analyzed and interpreted the data, Wrote the paper.
Sarra Darghouthi: Analyzed and interpreted the data.
Christopher Syme: Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data, Wrote the paper.

Funding statement

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data availability statement

Data will be made available on request.

Declaration of interests statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof Tom K. Woo for providing some of the computational resources to run the calculation. We would like also to thank Dr Khaled Esseleh for the valuable discussions.

References

[1] J.F. Lambert, Adsorption and polymerization of amino acids on mineral surfaces: a review, Orig. Life Evol. Biosph. 38 (2008) 211–242.
[2] P. Redondo, C. Barrientos, A. Largo, Some insights into formamide formation through gas-phase reactions in the interstellar medium, Astrophys. J. 780 (2013) 181–188.
[3] P. Redondo, H. Martínez, A. Cúspides, C. Barrientos, A. Largo, Computational study of peptide bond formation in the gas phase through ion-molecule reactions, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15 (2013) 13005–13012.
[4] M. Rodríguez-García, A.J. Surman, G.J.T. Cooper, I. Sáenz-Marina, Z. Hossni, M.P. Lee, L. Cronin, Formation of oligopeptides in high yield under simple programmable conditions, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 1–7.
[5] H. Wierczelewski, H. Fokin, E. Maitzakou, Gas-phase ion chemistry of Glu/Me agents, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 16 1 (2002) 15–26.
[6] K. Pankhurst, I. Szwabowski, M. Nishikimi, ONSOM study of a proton shuttle-catalyzed stepwise mechanism for peptide bond formation in the ribosome, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 85 (2012) 1093–1101.
[7] D. Malshakova, E. Ermakova, Effect of organic molecules on hydrolysis of peptide bond: a DFT study, Chem. Phys. 415 (2013) 282–290.
[8] R. Issac, J. Chmielewski, Approaching exponential growth with a self-replicating peptide, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 6808–6809.
[9] T. Li, E.C. Nicolson, Chemical self-replication of palindromic duplex DNA, Nat. (London, United Kingdom) 369 (1994) 218–221.
[10] K. Severin, D.H. Lee, J.A. Martinez, M.R. Ghadiri, Peptide self-replication via template-directed ligation, Chem. Eur. J. 3 (1997) 1017–1024.
[11] A. Saghatelyan, Y. Yokohayashi, K. Soltani, M.R. Ghadiri, A chiroselective peptide replicator, Nature 409 (2001) 797–801.
[12] N. Vaidya, M.L. Manapat, L.A. Chen, R. Xulvi-Brunet, E.J. Hayden, N. Lehman, Spontaneous network formation among cooperative RNA replicators, Nat. (London, United Kingdom) 491 (2012) 72–77.
[13] J.S. Griffith, Self-replication and scrapie, Nature 215 (1967) 1043–1044.
[14] J.-M. Lehn, Toward self-organization and complex matter, Sci. (Washington, DC, United States) 295 (2002) 2400–2403.
[15] J.K. Kumar, S. Gunasekar, S. Loganathan, G. Anand, S. Kumarasen, The Molecular structure, geometry, stability, thermal and fundamental modes of vibration of glycine dimer by DFT methods, Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 115 (2013) 730–737.
[16] Y. Li, F. Li, Y. Zhu, X. Li, Z. Zhou, C. Liu, W. Zhang, M. Tang, DFT study on reaction mechanisms of cyclic dipeptide generator, Struct. Chem. 27 (2016) 1165–1173.
[17] P.D. Holton, C.J. Bennett, Y. Osamura, N.J. Mason, R.I. Kaiser, A combined experimental and theoretical study on The formation of the amino acid Glycine (NII2CH2COOH) and its isomer (C1H3NHCOOH) in extraterrestrial ices, Astrophys. J. 626 (2005) 940–952.
[18] J.H. Jensen, K.K. Baldridge, M.S. Gordon, Uncatalyzed peptide bond formation in the gas phase, J. Phys. Chem. 96 (1992) 8340–8351.
[19] D. Liu, T. Wittenbach, C.J. Carpenter, M.T. Bowers, Investigation of noncovalent interactions in deprotonated peptides: structural and energetic competition between aggregation and hydration, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126 (2004) 3261–3270.
[20] S. Bhunia, A. Singh, A.K. Ojha, Uncatalyzed peptide bond formation between two monomers of glycine, alanine, serine, threonine, and aspartic acid in gas phase: a density functional theory study, Eur. Phys. J. D. 70 (2016) 106–116.
[21] E. Van Donshuld, R.A. Vergenz, G.S. Tschumper, Peptide bond formation via Glycine condensation in the gas phase, J. Phys. Chem. B 118 (2014) 8583–8590.
[22] F.R. Tortolona, J.L. Pascual-Ahuir, E. Silla, I. Tórun, Why is glycine a zwitterion in aqueous solution? A theoretical study of solvent stabilizing factors, Chem. Phys. Lett. 260 (1996) 21–26.
[23] J.H. Jensen, M.S. Gordon, On the number of water molecules necessary to stabilize the Glycine zwitterion, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 8159–8170.
[24] D. Yanbo, K.-J. Karsten, The 1:1 glycine zwitterion-water complex: an ab initio electronic structure study, J. Comput. Chem. 17 (1996) 338–349.
[25] R. Pérez de Tudela, D. Marx, Water-induced zwitterionization of Glycine: stabilization mechanism and spectral signatures, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7 (2016) 5127–5142.
[26] H. Zou, Y. Hu, D. Xing, DFT calculation of Glycine with methanol clusters, Chin. J. Chem. Phys. 22 (2009) 577–586.
[27] Y. Shi, Z. Zhou, Density functional theory study of the hydrogen bonding interaction complexes of hydrogen peroxide with glycine, J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM. 674 (2004) 113–119.
[28] T. Onaka, T. Taniguchi, Y. Furukawa, F. Kawamura, H. Nakazawa, T. Kakegawa, Stability of amino acids and their oligomerization under high-pressure conditions: implications for prebiotic chemistry, Astrobiology 11 (2011) 799–813.
[29] S.A. Sandford et al., Organics captured from comet 81P/Wild 2 by the Stardust spacecraft, Science 314 (2006) 1720–1724.
[30] E. Escamilla-Roa, F. Moreno, Adsorption of glycine by comet dust: astrobiological implications, Planet, Space Sci. 70 (2012) 1–9.
[31] D. Ross, D. Deamer, Prebiotic oligomer assembly: what was the energy source? Astrobiology 19 (2019) 1–5.
[32] R.I. Kaiser, A.M. Stockton, Y.S. Kim, E.C. Jensen, R.A. Mathies, On the formation of dipeptides in interstellar model ices, Astrophys. J. 765 (2013) 2).
[33] J. Wu, Z.S. Zhang, X.W. Yu, H.H. Wang, J.G. Wang, R.X. Ruan, R.K. Tang, Mechanism of promoted dipeptide formation on hydroxypatite crystal surfaces, Chin. Sci. Bull. 56 (2011) 633–639.
[34] A. Rimola, M. Sodoue, P. Ugliengo, Role of mineral surfaces in prebiotic chemical evolution. In: Nico quantum mechanical studies, Life 9 (2019) 1–44.
[35] T.T. Mihaylov, T.N. Parac-Vogt, K. Pierfo, A mechanistic study of the spontaneous hydrolysis of glycylglycine as the simplest model for protein self-cleavage, Chem. Eur. J. 20 (2014) 456–466.
[36] A. Rimola, U. Piero, S. Mariona, Formation versus hydrolysis of the peptide bond from a quantum-mechanical viewpoint: the role of mineral surfaces and implications for the origin of life, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 10 (2009) 746–760.
[37] J.F. Perez-Benito, Permanganate oxidation of α-amino acids: kinetic correlations for the nonautoctalytic and autocalytic reaction pathways, J. Phys. Chem. B 115 (2011) 9876–9885.
[38] K.L. Duncan, R.V. Ulijn, Short peptides in minimalistic biocatalyst design, Biocatalysis 1 (2015) 67–81.
[39] A.N. Zabybova, O.V. Bandicheva, A.V. Kalash, V.F. Solenmey, The activation energies of viscous flow and diffusion coefficients of dipeptides and amino acids in aqueous solutions, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. Focus Chem. 85 (2008) 312–314.
[40] M. Kroonblawd, G. Nir, Free energies of reaction for aqueous glycine condensation chemistry at extreme temperatures, Carbon Earth's Inter. (2020) 271–283.