Fine Structure and Olfactory Reception of the Labial Palps of *Spodoptera frugiperda*
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The olfactory system of insects is essential in many crucial behaviors, such as host seeking, mate recognition, and locating oviposition sites. Lepidopteran moths possess two main olfactory organs, including antennae and labial palps. Compared to antennae, the labial palps are relatively specific and worthy of further investigation due to the labial-palp pit organ (LPO), which contains a large number of sensilla located on the tip segment. The fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, is a worldwide lepidopteran pest, which can damage more than 350 plants and cause significant economic losses. In this study, we surveyed the structure of the labial palps and LPO of *S. frugiperda* using a super-high magnification lens zoom 3D microscope. Then, the distribution and fine structure of sensilla located in the LPO of *S. frugiperda* were investigated using scanning electron microscopy. Subsequently, the electrophysiological responses of labial palps to CO\(_2\) and 29 plant volatiles were recorded by using electrolabialpalpography. Our results showed the fine structure of labial palps, the LPO, and the sensilla located in the LPO of *S. frugiperda*. Moreover, we demonstrated that the labial palps are olfactory organs that respond to both CO\(_2\) and other volatile compounds. Our work established a foundation for further study of the roles of labial palps in insect olfactory related behaviors. Further investigations on the function of labial palps and their biological roles together with CO\(_2\) and volatile compound responses in *S. frugiperda* are necessary, as they may provide better insect behavioral regulators for controlling this pest.
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INTRODUCTION

The sophisticated olfactory sensing organs of most insects have important roles in detecting host volatiles, recognizing mates, and locating oviposition sites. These organs are mainly distributed in the head, including antennae and mouthpart appendages. As the primary olfactory sensory organs, insect antennae bear abundant of sensilla that are sensitive to plant volatiles,
sex pheromones, and other volatile components. Additionally, some olfactory sensilla are also found on mouthpart appendages, such as maxillary palps (Syed and Leal, 2007; Bobbot et al., 2014) and labial palps (Stange and Stowe, 1999; Galizia and Rossler, 2010). As an important sensory organ, the well-developed labial palps are located on each side of the proboscis in adult Lepidoptera. The labial-palp pit organ (LPO) is a unique structure of lepidopteran species that is located on the apex of labial palps, within which the sensilla lie.

The labial palps are densely covered with scales and usually contain three segments. If the scales are removed, a bottle-shaped LPO situated on the tip of the third segment of the labial palp can be observed. Detailed electron microscopical analyses have been performed on the structure of the LPO in many lepidopteran species (Stange and Stowe, 1999; Faucheux, 2008; Zhao et al., 2013; Dong et al., 2014; Barcaba and Krenn, 2015; Chen and Hua, 2016; Yan et al., 2019), which not only showed large numbers of olfactory sensilla in the LPO but also provided descriptions of the fine structure of LPO and LPO sensilla. The morphological characteristics of LPO and LPO sensilla in adult Lepidoptera are somewhat variable. Usually, the LPO of moths is about 100–300 μm deep and 30–80 μm wide. LPO sensilla can be divided into one to three morphological types. The number of LPO sensilla varies from 80 (Lee et al., 1985) to 1,750 (Kent et al., 1986) in different lepidopteran species.

Compared to antennae, the function of labial palps is largely unknown. At present, the most important function of labial palps in adult Lepidoptera that has been reported is detecting carbon dioxide (CO2). Electrophysiological recording performed on the sensilla in the LPO of butterfly (Lee et al., 1985) and moth (Bogner et al., 1986; Stange et al., 1995; Guerenstein et al., 2004; Ning et al., 2016) all showed that the LPO sensilla react to CO2. CO2 is a ubiquitous source of ecologically relevant information in insect-plant interactions, insect-vertebrate interactions, and insect social behavior (Guerenstein and Hildebrand, 2008). Sensing CO2 is essential for foraging (Thom et al., 2004), mating (Choi et al., 2018), and oviposition (Myers et al., 1981; Stange, 1997) in many moth species of the Lepidoptera. These studies raised a general question about whether the LPO sensilla in lepidopteran species are sensitive to volatile compounds. Earlier report indicated that the LPO sensilla of Rhodogastria respond to cyclopentanone, acetic acid, octanol, limonene, citral, hexanal, butanal, and pentanal (Bogner et al., 1986), and the LPO sensilla in Pieris brassicae are responding to terpineol, cyclopentanone, cumul, acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid (Bogner, 1990). According to the findings of these two articles, the labial palps in adult Lepidoptera that are excited by stimulation with CO2 may also respond to various volatile compounds. However, it is unknown whether these chemical odors elicited responses of labial palps in other species.

Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), also called fall armyworm, is native to America (Sparks, 1979) but has been spread to Africa (Goergen et al., 2016; Nagoshi et al., 2017; Stokstad, 2017), India (Ganiger et al., 2018), and China (Guo et al., 2018a; Li et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2019a,b). S. frugiperda has a wide host range of more than 350 species of plants, including corn, rice, wheat, soybean, and cotton (Montezano et al., 2018), and is one of the most damaging crop pests. There have been many latest investigations focusing on the management against this pest, such as genome editing of the receptor for Bacillus thuringiensis in S. frugiperda (Jin et al., 2019), the potential roles of Junonia coenia densovirus in S. frugiperda control (Chen et al., 2020), and the positive phototaxis of S. frugiperda (Liu et al., 2020). Elevated CO2 concentration was recently reported to affect the growth and development of S. frugiperda (Zhao et al., 2019), providing support for investigating the structure and function of the LPO, the CO2-sensitive organ. In this study, the distribution and fine structure of sensilla located in the LPO were investigated using scanning electron microscopy. Sensilla in the LPO were divided into two morphological types. Subsequently, we modified the electroantennogram (EAG) setup to function as the electrolabialpalpography (ELPG) to record the responses of labial palps to different concentrations of CO2 and 29 plant volatiles. Finally, the sensilla that responded to CO2 in the LPO were identified via the intracellular recording (ICR). The results indicated that there are two types of sensory neurons in the LPO of S. frugiperda, one of which could be strongly activated by different concentrations of CO2, while the other type showed no response to CO2. Our work established a foundation for further study of the roles of labial palps in insect olfaction-related behaviors. Based on these results, further investigations of the function of labial palps and their biological roles together with responses to CO2 and volatile ligands identified in this study of S. frugiperda are necessary.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insects Rearing

The S. frugiperda colony was collected in the wild in Yunnan Province, China, in March, 2019, and then maintained at the Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China. The larvae were reared on an artificial diet and placed at 27 ± 1°C with a photoperiod of 14:10 h (L:D). Pupae were together kept in a gauze cage before eclosion. Adults were selected by sex and placed in separate test tubes after eclosion and fed 10% sugar solution every day. Adult females and males were used in all experiments.

Light Microscopy and Biometry Measurements

The protruding head of adult S. frugiperda was fixed to the rim of a pipette tip by using dental wax and observed under a super-high magnification lens zoom 3D microscope (VHX-2000, Japan). The labial palps were dissected from the head using fine scissors. Scales covering the labial palps were cleared with double-sided tap. Then, the dehydrated and transparent labial palps were positioned on a microscopic slide with a drop of glycerin and a cover slip. Finally, the labial palps were observed and measured using a super-high magnification lens zoom 3D microscope (VHX-2000, Japan). We measured the
length of each segment of labial palps, and the depth and diameter of the LPO.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy**

The labial palps were removed from 3- to 4-day-old moths and then cleared with double-sided tape to remove the outer scales. In order to study the morphology of the sensilla in LPO, we split the LPO by using fine scissors. Next, these prepared samples were processed by a series of dehydration, drying, and last were sprayed with gold as described by Guo et al. (2018b). In the described steps, the critical point drier was LEICA EM CPD (Germany) and the type of a sputter-coating unit is EIKO IB-3 (Japan). Finally, the samples were investigated using a Hitachi SU8010 scanning electron microscope (Japan) at 10 kV.

**Electrolabial palpography**

Taking 3- to 4-day-old adult *S. frugiperda*, the labial palps were carefully cut from the base charily by using fine scissors, and surface scales were removed with double-sided tape. The treated labial palps were used for recording with the base inserted into the conducting gel (Parker Laboratories, United States) and the tip just contacting the conducting gel to ensure that the opening of the LPO, which harbors all the sensilla, was exposed to the air. The conducting gel was painted on the neutral arms of the metal electrode.

For CO₂ stimulus, the mounted labial palp was excited with stimulus delivery in self-regulating stimulus flow controller, which was mainly comprised of a 3/2-way solenoid valve (XP-513, Japan) and two currents of equal flow rate at 0.8 L/min. One current called continuous flow was diverted through bottled synthetic air, and the other current called stimuli flow was diverted through bottled CO₂ at different concentrations. Stimuli were provided for 1 s by controlling the 3/2-way solenoid valve and were delivered through a 14-cm-long metal tube. Commerically available compressed bottled CO₂ gas stimuli were used at concentrations of 0.1, 1, and 10% (the remainder was synthetic air), and synthetic air was used as a control. To make synthetic air CO₂-free, it contained only 21% O₂ and 78% N₂. All above gases in certificated gas cylinders were bought from company (Beijing Shangtonghong Chemical, China). The resulting ELPG amplitudes (negative potential) were recorded and analyzed by using EAG software (Syntech, Germany). The ELPG response values for CO₂ were calculated by subtracting the value of the same labial palp corresponding to the blank (synthetic air). For odor stimuli, 10 μl of test solution or solvent was added in to filter paper strips (0.5 cm × 6 cm) inserted in a Pasteur pipette (15 cm long). A flow of purified and humidified air continuously blew toward the labial palp through a metal tube at 0.4 L/min. A stimulus air pulse was added for 200 ms. The intervals between two stimuli were 30 s. The Pasteur pipette connected to the stimulus air controller CS-55 (Syntech, Germany) was used for stimulation. The pre-amplifier was displayed on a computer via a software interface EAGPRO (Syntech, Germany), and action potentials were amplified, digitized, and visualized on a computer screen. The 29 chemical compounds (95% minimum purity compound) used in this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany). These compounds were dissolved in paraffin oil at the concentration of 1 μg/μl. For odor stimuli in ELPG assay, the recording of labial palp to paraffin oil was used as a control. The ELPG response values for odorants were calculated by subtracting the value of the same labial palp corresponding to the paraffin oil.

**Intracellular Recording**

The 3- to 4-day-old female and male adults after emergence were wedged into a 1 ml plastic pipette tip with the narrow end cut to allow the head and the exposed labial palps to protrude. The protruding head and other organs, including antennae and proboscis, were all immobilized to the edge of the pipette tip with dental wax under a stereomicroscope, just leaving one of the labial palps accessible. The outer scales on the labial palp were removed carefully with double-sided adhesive tape, and then, the labial palp was fixed with dental wax to reveal just the tip of labial palp and the opening of the LPO.

Nerve impulses from single sensory neurons were recorded intracellularly using a sharp quartz electrode. Under a SZX16 microscope (Olympus, Japan), the reference electrode made of a silver wire was inserted into the moth eye, and the recording electrode which containing 0.2 M KAc was inserted vertically into the LPO via a micromanipulator (Leica, Germany). Spikes were recorded when the quartz electrode was inserted into a sensory neuron in sensilla. During the insertion of the recording electrode into the LPO, it was not possible to distinguish the different sensilla under the microscope because they are located inside the LPO and only the opening of LPO was visible. The amplified analog signals of the action potentials were captured and processed using a signal amplifier (Axoclamp 900A, United States) and a digital-to-analog converter (CED MICRO 1401, England). The recorded spikes activity was displayed on a computer screen using the software package Autospike 2 8.01 (Syntech, Germany).

For stimulus delivery, a 5 s CO₂ stimulus flow was provided by a self-regulating stimulus flow controller. A flow of purified and humidified synthetic air (21% O₂, 78% N₂) was continuously blown on the opening of the LPO through a 14-cm-long metal tube by the self-regulating stimulus flow controller at 0.8 L/min. CO₂ stimuli were represented at 0.1, 1, 10%, and synthetic air (21% O₂ and 78% N₂) was used as a control. The response values to specific concentration of CO₂ were calculated using the formula: \( T - C \), where \( T \) represents the differences in spike numbers observed between 5 s before and 5 s after CO₂ delivery, and \( C \) represents the differences in spike numbers observed between 5 s before and 5 s after control (synthetic air) delivery.

**Image Processing and Statistical Analysis**

The classification and naming of sensilla in LPO were described in Zhao et al. (2013). ELPG statistics and graphing were
performed using GraphPad Prism. The measured data of labial palps were analyzed in Microsoft Office Excel 2007. LPO sensilla were measured by LSM Image Browser and analyzed in Microsoft Office Excel 2007. Differences in the response value (or measured data) of females and males were analyzed by \( t \)-test. Spikes separated from noise were analyzed and evaluated by the computer software Autospike (Syntech, Germany).

**RESULTS**

**Morphological Structure of the Labial Palp and LPO in Adult *S. frugiperda***

Adults of *S. frugiperda* possess a pair of labial palps located on the ventral side of the head that enfold the proboscis (**Figure 1A**). The two labial palps are entirely covered by dense scales and have two small holes at the top (**Figure 1B**). When the scales are removed, each labial palp contains three segments and is tubular (**Figure 1C**). Each segment of labial palps in *S. frugiperda* differs in the morphological structure and length (**Figure 1C; Table 1**). The first segment of the labial palp, which is connected to the head, is about 675 \( \mu \text{m} \) long, and the second segment is about 857 \( \mu \text{m} \). The third segment is about 478 \( \mu \text{m} \) long. An opening near the tip of the third segment extends to a cavity called the LPO (**Figures 1C, 2A**), which is about 117 \( \mu \text{m} \) deep and of variable diameter (**Table 1**). In females, the diameter of the LPO opening is 43.08 \( \pm \) 1.43 \( \mu \text{m} \) (mean \( \pm \) SE, \( n = 13 \)). In males, the diameter of the LPO opening is 39.15 \( \pm \) 1.03 \( \mu \text{m} \) (mean \( \pm \) SE, \( n = 20 \)). The diameter of the LPO opening in females is significantly longer than in males. The inner diameter of the LPO at the midpoint is approximately 38 \( \mu \text{m} \), and the inner diameter of the LPO at the base is about 33 \( \mu \text{m} \).

**Fine Morphological Structure of Sensilla Located in LPO**

The LPO is densely packed with approximately 300 sensilla, which comprise hair-shaped sensilla and club-shaped sensilla (**Figure 2C**). The hair-shaped sensilla are slender, and the ends are slightly bent (**Figures 2B,D,E**). Some hair-shaped sensilla have forked tips (**Figure 2B; blue arrow**). The club-shaped sensilla are short and rod-like, and their surfaces have grooves (**Figures 2D,F**). Hair-shaped sensilla and club-shaped sensilla are distributed in separate areas along the vertical axis of the LPO (**Figure 2D**). The length and basal diameter of each sensillum category are shown in **Table 2**. In females, the hair-shaped sensilla are 23.92 \( \pm \) 0.58 \( \mu \text{m} \) long (mean \( \pm \) SE, \( n = 5 \)) and the basal diameters are 2.74 \( \pm \) 0.25 \( \mu \text{m} \) (mean \( \pm \) SE, \( n = 5 \)), while the club-shaped sensilla are 13.10 \( \pm \) 0.54 \( \mu \text{m} \) long (mean \( \pm \) SE, \( n = 5 \)) and the basal diameters are 2.02 \( \pm \) 0.06 \( \mu \text{m} \) long (mean \( \pm \) SE, \( n = 5 \)). In males, the hair-shaped sensilla are 25.44 \( \pm \) 0.50 \( \mu \text{m} \) long (mean \( \pm \) SE, \( n = 14 \)) and the basal diameters are 2.88 \( \pm \) 0.15 \( \mu \text{m} \) (mean \( \pm \) SE, \( n = 4 \)), while the club-shaped sensilla are 12.80 \( \pm \) 0.27 \( \mu \text{m} \) long (mean \( \pm \) SE, \( n = 14 \)) and the basal diameters are 2.08 \( \pm \) 0.03 \( \mu \text{m} \) (mean \( \pm \) SE, \( n = 14 \)). The \( t \)-test results show

---

**TABLE 1** The length of each segment of labial palp (LP) and the depth and diameters of LPO of *S. frugiperda*.

|                | Female       | Male         | \( t \)-test |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Length of the first LP segment (\( \mu \text{m} \)) | 688.26 \( \pm \) 16.30 (23) | 663.39 \( \pm \) 11.03 (23) | \( p = 0.213 \) |
| Length of the second LP segment (\( \mu \text{m} \)) | 869.57 \( \pm \) 17.80 (23) | 846.04 \( \pm \) 11.00 (23) | \( p = 0.267 \) |
| Length of the third LP segment (\( \mu \text{m} \)) | 470.78 \( \pm \) 12.04 (23) | 486.43 \( \pm \) 10.27 (23) | \( p = 0.328 \) |
| Depth of LPO (\( \mu \text{m} \)) | 118.35 \( \pm \) 2.08 (17) | 116.22 \( \pm \) 2.09 (23) | \( p = 0.521 \) |
| Diameter of LPO opening (\( \mu \text{m} \)) | 43.08 \( \pm \) 1.43 (13) | 39.15 \( \pm \) 1.03 (20) | \( p = 0.029 \) |
| Inner diameter of LPO at half length (\( \mu \text{m} \)) | 38.77 \( \pm \) 1.43 (13) | 37.53 \( \pm \) 0.74 (20) | \( p = 0.473 \) |
| Inner diameter of LPO at the base (\( \mu \text{m} \)) | 33.69 \( \pm \) 1.22 (13) | 32.80 \( \pm \) 1.08 (20) | \( p = 0.595 \) |

Data in the table are means \( \pm \) SE. The numbers in parentheses indicate the replicates of measurement.
no significant difference in the size of each sensillum type between females and males (Table 2).

**ELPG Response of Labial Palp to CO₂ and Plant Volatiles**

In order to demonstrate the labial palps of *S. frugiperda* also respond to odor stimulation besides CO₂ stimulation, we performed ELPG on the female and male labial palps (Figure 3A). The labial palp in *S. frugiperda* adults responded obviously to different concentrations of CO₂. The magnitude of response mainly depended on the concentration of CO₂, with the strongest responses to stimulus of 1% CO₂, at about 0.26 ± 0.02 mV (mean ± SE, n = 30), and the weakest responses to the stimulus of 0.1% CO₂, at about 0.18 ± 0.02 mV (mean ± SE, n = 30; Figure 3B). In females,
TABLE 2  | The length of LPO sensilla in S. frugiperda and their diameter at the base.

|                     | Female        | Male          | t-test |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------|
| Length of hair-shaped sensilla (μm) | 23.92 ± 0.58 (5) | 25.44 ± 0.50 (14) | p = 0.058 |
| Basal diameter of hair-shaped sensilla (μm) | 2.74 ± 0.11 (5) | 2.87 ± 0.15 (14) | p = 0.48 |
| Length of club-shaped sensilla (μm) | 13.10 ± 0.54 (5) | 12.79 ± 0.27 (14) | p = 0.46 |
| Basal diameter of club-shaped sensilla | 2.02 ± 0.06 (5) | 2.07 ± 0.03 (14) | p = 0.37 |

Data in the table are means ± SE. The numbers in parentheses indicate the replicates of measurement.

the responses of labial palp to 1% CO₂ are significantly greater than to 0.1% CO₂. There is no significant difference in the responses of labial palp to 1% CO₂ and 10% CO₂, and 0.1% CO₂ and 10% CO₂. In males, the responses of labial palp to 1% CO₂ is significantly greater than to 0.1% CO₂ and is significantly less than to 10% CO₂. There is no significant difference in the responses of labial palp to 0.1% CO₂ and 10% CO₂ (Figure 3B). However, the response value of labial palp to the same concentration of CO₂ was not significantly different between females and males (Figure 3B).

To verify whether the labial palp, as an olfactory organ, responded to volatile compounds other than CO₂, we also investigated the electrophysiological responses of labial palp to 29 volatile compounds (Figure 3C). The labial palps of S. frugiperda obviously responded to six compounds: butylamine, heptylamine, heptanal, valeraldehyde, propionic acid, and acetic acid (Figure 3C). As with CO₂, responses were not significantly different between females and males.

Recording From LPO Sensilla to CO₂

In order to check the existence of sensilla in the LPO that respond to CO₂, we performed ICR on sensory neurons in LPO sensilla from male and female labial palps. CO₂-sensitive neurons were found in LPO sensilla of adult S. frugiperda (Figure 4; SN-a). We also found sensory neurons that did not respond to CO₂ in the LPO sensilla (Figure 4; SN-b). The sensory neurons that responded to CO₂ were labeled sensory neuron a (SN-a), while those that did not respond to CO₂ were labeled sensory neuron b (SN-b; Figure 4). We successfully recorded 11 adults S. frugiperda in total, including six females and five males. A total of 22 neurons with unambiguous spikes from eight insects (four females and four males) were analyzed. For SN-a, there was a strong excitatory response to CO₂ stimulus at concentrations of 0.1, 1, and 10% (Figure 4A) and the mean activated spikes of these neurons were, respectively, about 93 spikes/5 s, 107 spikes/5 s, and 99 spikes/5 s (Figure 4B). Besides, the responses of SN-a were not significantly different between these three concentrations of CO₂.

DISCUSSION

Structure characterization of an olfactory organ and its sensilla are vital to understand how the olfactory organ performs its ecological function. This model has been widely used in the surveys of antennae in lepidopteran insects. In an effort to research the function of another crucial olfactory organ, the labial palp, the fine structure of LPO and LPO sensilla in S. frugiperda were investigated in detailed in the present study. For the structure of LPO, we found a significant difference in the diameter of the LPO opening between females and males in S. frugiperda. The diameter of the LPO opening in S. frugiperda exhibited distinct sexual dimorphism and was much longer in females (43.08 μm) than in males (39.15 μm). In other reported Noctuidae species (Zhao et al., 2013; Dong et al., 2014), the diameter of the LPO opening tends to be the same size in both sexes. The sexual dimorphism of the diameter of the LPO opening is described for the first time in this study, although sexual dimorphism also occurs in the length of labial palps in Cactoblastis cactorum (Stange et al., 1995), Mythimna separata (Dong et al., 2014), Carposina sasakii (Chen and Hua, 2016), and Platella xylostella (Yan et al., 2019). This phenomenon may be related to sex-specific differences in behavior, such as courtship and oviposition. For example, C. cactorum probes the surface of a plant with their labial palps before ovipositing, so the length of labial palps in females is much longer than in males (Stange et al., 1995). The differences between the sexes in the diameter of the LPO opening may also be due to the ovipositing behavior of female S. frugiperda, though further studies are required to confirm this.

In our study, the densely packed array of LPO sensilla in S. frugiperda can be divided into two morphological types: hair-shaped sensilla and club-shaped sensilla, like that in C. cactorum (Stange et al., 1995), Helicoverpa armigera (Zhao et al., 2013), M. separata (Dong et al., 2014), and C. sasakii (Chen and Hua, 2016); hair-shaped sensilla in C. cactorum and C. sasakii have not been described in detail. However, there is only one kind of LPO sensilla in some moth species. For example, in Rhodogastria spp. the LPO is densely packed with smooth-walled sensilla of uniform appearance (Bogner et al., 1986), and the LPO in Plodia interpunctella also contains a single small trichoid sensillum (Barcaba and Krenn, 2015). The LPO in Grapholitha molesta (Song et al., 2016) contains three categories of sensilla: hair-shaped sensilla, club-shaped sensilla, and small mastoid sensilla. Although the categories of LPO sensilla are identical, the hair-shaped sensilla and club-shaped sensilla in the LPO of S. frugiperda are distributed in separate areas along the vertical axis of LPO, whereas they are situated in the upper half and the lower half of the pit in H. armigera (Zhao et al., 2013) and M. separata (Dong et al., 2014). This type of distribution of LPO sensilla in S. frugiperda is described for the first time. In summary, the differences of LPO sensilla in categories and location may be dependent on the insect species, or related to the behavior of insects and the function of the labial palps.
Electrolabialpalpography and ICR data in the present investigation support the idea that *S. frugiperda* have CO$_2$-sensitive neurons in the LPO, as reported in other lepidopteran species (Bogner et al., 1986; Stange et al., 1995; Stange, 1997; Guerenstein et al., 2004; Ning et al., 2016). This suggests that CO$_2$-detection is a universal function of the LPO in Lepidoptera. Interestingly, we found a kind of sensory neuron that was non-responsive to CO$_2$ in the LPO of *S. frugiperda*, which has never been reported before. This finding implies that LPO sensilla are not uniform in detecting CO$_2$ and they may also respond to other odorants. Our electrophysiological recording results strongly support the hypothesis that LPO sensilla can respond to volatile chemicals. The labial palps of *S. frugiperda* obviously responded to six of 29 volatiles tested in our experiment, including butylamine, heptylamine, heptanal, valeraldehyde, propionic acid, and acetic acid. Electrophysiologically active compounds in this study, propionic acid and acetic acid, which are volatiles from host plants, have been reported in other lepidopteran insects (Bogner et al., 1986; Bogner, 1990). In addition, several kinds of odorants and their analogues found to be effective stimuli in *S. frugiperda* also activate CO$_2$ receptors of antennae in flies and CO$_2$ receptors of maxillary palps in mosquitoes (Turner and Ray, 2009; Tauxe et al., 2013; Macwilliam et al., 2018). The class of odorants also present in ripe fruits has important ecological significance, as they can modify the CO$_2$ avoidance behavior, helping the host-seeking behavior of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Turner and Ray, 2009). Hence, we predict that olfactory perception of ecologically relevant volatiles occurs on labial palps of *S. frugiperda*, but its role in behaviors remains to be investigated.

We speculate that the gustatory receptor (GR) genes and ionotropic receptor (IR) genes have pivotal roles in detecting CO$_2$ and other volatile compounds for *S. frugiperda* LPO. Two GRs, GR21a and GR63a, were identified as the CO$_2$ receptor genes for the first time in *D. melanogaster* (Jones et al., 2007; Kwon et al., 2007). Later, their homologous genes, GR1, GR2, and GR3, were successively identified as the CO$_2$ receptors in many mosquito species (Kent et al., 2008; Robertson and Kent, 2009; Coutinho-Abreu et al., 2019). GR1, GR2, and GR3, which are highly expressed in labial palps, have been identified using phylogenetic analysis in several lepidopteran species (Briscoe, 2000; Spaethe and Briscoe, 2004; Liu et al., 2014; Xu and Anderson, 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017), and their functions have been confirmed (Xu and Anderson, 2015; Ning et al., 2016).
These three GRs are likely required for CO$_2$ detection in *S. frugiperda*. For volatile compounds detection, the molecular mechanism is generally related to odorant receptors (ORs). However, these six odors, which excited labial palps of *S. frugiperda*, mainly contain acid, aldehyde, and amine. It has been reported that sensing of this class of odors was involved in IRs predominantly (Zhang and Wang, 2020). Moreover, there were indeed IRs identified in labial palps of the lepidopteran *H. armigera* (Guo et al., 2018b). Analogously, IRs might also be the receptor for detecting these six odors in *S. frugiperda*.

Our exploration of ultrastructural characteristics of LPO sensilla and their physiological functions in *S. frugiperda* might be useful not only for obtaining knowledge about the function of labial palps but also for controlling this serious insect pest. Further study is needed to clarify the physiological functions of the two morphological types of sensilla in LPO, hair-shaped sensilla and club-shaped sensilla, and confirm that these two types of LPO sensilla in *S. frugiperda* are separately sensitive to CO$_2$ and airborne chemicals. Further behavioral studies and molecular investigations of the labial palps are necessary to better understand the ecological significance and molecular basis of olfaction in *S. frugiperda*.
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