Timing of emergence and cave return, and duration of nocturnal activity in an endemic Malagasy fruit bat
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Abstract: Bats emerge from their day roost after dusk and different factors can affect the timing of departure, return, and duration of nocturnal activities. This study provides information on the time of emergence and return of an endemic Malagasy fruit bat, Rousettus madagascariensis, in a cave located in the Réserve Spéciale d’Ankarana, northern Madagascar. Individuals were captured in a narrow passage between the roost and cave exit and capture time for each individual was noted. Variation according to sex, age, and body condition, as well as the influence of season, and the sunset and sunrise time were analyzed. During the dry season, individuals started to emerge at 1913 hours and returned to the cave generally by 0505 hours; the duration of time outside the cave during the dry season was higher in adult females (0952 hours) followed by sub-adult males (0937 hours), sub-adult females (0931 hours), and adult males (0910 hours). During the wet season, individuals exited at 1926 hours and returned at 0351 hours; as in the dry season, adult females spent more time outside the cave (0833 hours), than sub-adult females (0800 hours), and adult males (0752 hours). The period of emergence varied according to the age and sex classes, and time of predawn return associated with the previous nocturnal activity. The period of return was influenced by season, and age and sex classes. Such information is useful to quantify shifts in bat ecology, especially for endemic species with limited distribution or those playing an important role in ecosystem services.
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Introduction

Bats (Chiroptera) are generally nocturnal and using different types of diurnal roost sites such as caves, trees, and anthropogenic structures. It has been hypothesized that nocturnal behavior in bats was evolved to: reduce interspecific competition, specifically with birds, and over food resources (Fleming 1979; Rydell and Speakman 1995); reduce predation (Fenton and Fleming 1976; Erkert 2000); and prevent hyperthermia caused by solar radiation (Speakman et al. 1994; Voigt and Lewanzik 2011). Most bats leave their day roost sites at night to forage and different factors can affect the timing of emergence.
at night and predawn return. Early research, such as that of Venables (1943) and Erkert (1978), who worked on insectivorous and frugivorous bats of the New World, respectively, were among the first to demonstrate that emergence from roost sites was correlated with sunset time. While this result is intuitive given the life history traits of bats, more than three decades later, their results were supported by Barclay and Jacobs (2011) who examined the emergence of an Old World fruit bat *Rousettus aegyptiacus* (Geoffroy, 1810) (Pteropodidae) in South Africa. Kunz (1974), McAney and Fairley (1988), Kunz and Anthony (1996), and Lee and McCracken (2001) examined the question with some additional parameters and found that pregnant and lactating female bats left the day roost site earlier in the evening and returned later in the early morning because of higher energetic demands. These studies found that time of emergence and return to day roost sites also varied according to season, age, sex, and depended on the intraspecific variation in a range of ecological parameters. The availability of food is an important component in this relation and Lučan et al. (2016) and Bachorec et al. (2020) have shown a negative correlation between fruit bat home range and food availability. Similar relations have been noted in other groups of mammals, for example, the rodent *Octodon degus* (Molina, 1782) (Octodontidae), which spent more time outside their burrows foraging during the non-reproductive period because of food scarcity compared to breeding period (Ebensperger and Hurtado 2005).

The period of time bats allocate to being outside the roost is calculable by quantifying the time of emergence and return. Such information is important for the monitoring of bat populations, especially for endemic and threatened species or those performing important ecosystem services, including the regulation of insect pest population size (Altringham 1996; Gonsalves et al. 2013) and seed dispersal (Fleming and Heithaus 1981; Stríbna et al. 2019). Precise information associated with emergence and return times have been published for numerous bats species (Vespertilionidae: *Pipistrellus pipistrellus* (Schreber, 1774), Venables 1943; Swift 1980; Maier 1992; Molossidae: *Tadarida brasiliensis* (Geoffroy, 1824), Lee and McCracken 2001; Pteropodidae: *Cynopterus sphinx* (Vahl, 1797), Marimuthu et al. 1998; *Rousettus leschenaultii* Desmarest, 1820, Vanlalnghaka et al. 2005; *R. aegyptiacus*, Barclay and Jacobs 2011; *Eonycteris spelaea* Dobson, 1871, Acharya et al. 2015). While these studies were mainly focused on the influence of age, sex, reproduction state, sunset and sunrise times and moonlight, we further predict that the emergence in the evening and return before dawn also depend on the amount of time an individual bat spent foraging during the previous night and the amount of energy it ingested, as well as its body condition.

On Madagascar, around 80% of bats are endemic (Goodman and Ramasindrazana 2018) and several authors have shown that the three species of Malagasy fruit bats, all endemic to the island, are important seed dispersers and pollinators of indigenous and endemic plants (e.g. Andriadifison et al. 2006; Andrianainaivoarivelono et al. 2011; Vololona et al. 2020). Information on the timing of exit and entrance movements of Malagasy bats is limited to that of Olsson et al. (2006) on insectivorous species, specifically of the family Rhinonycteridae, and no information is available on the island’s fruit bat fauna. Members of the widespread Old World genus *Rousettus* Gray, 1821 usually emerge and return to their cave roost sites once a night (Korine et al. 1994; Andrianainaivoarivelono et al. 2011); and the aim of this current study is to determine the time of emergence and return for the endemic Malagasy cave roosting fruit bat, *R. madagascariensis* Grandidier, 1928. The influence of environmental and intrinsic parameters was analyzed in order to determine variables affecting the emergence and return bouts.
Materials and methods

Study area and bat capture

This study focuses on a population of *Rousettus madagascariensis* occupying a day roost site in the Grotte des Chauves-souris (12°57.4’S, 49°7.1’E), located in the Réserve Spéciale d’Ankarana, extreme northern Madagascar. The local roost site of this species has been estimated in 2015 to shelter around 2000 and 2500 individuals during the dry and wet season, respectively (Noroalintseheno Lalarivoniaina et al. 2017). The dominant vegetation of the protected area is the dry deciduous forest (Goodman et al. 2018) growing on limestone karst and some volcanic intrusions (Cardiff and Befourouack 2008; Goodman et al. 2018). Ankarana has two distinct climatic seasons: 1) a dry season (from May to October), with daily minimum temperatures that can drop to 17°C and when most of the woody vegetation in the natural forest drops their leaves; and 2) a wet season (from November to April.), when the daily maximum temperatures can reach 34°C and the study area receives approximately 90% of its annual rainfall; the majority of plants produce fruit during this period (Goodman et al. 2018; Vololona 2020). The field sessions of the current study occurred from 28 August to 5 September 2017 (dry season), and 8 to 13 January 2018 (wet season).

Capture of *R. madagascariensis* during each field session took place once per night, alternatively before dawn (from 0230 to 0545 hours) or after dusk (from 1800 to 2100 hours); a harp trap was placed in a narrow passage between the roosting chamber and cave opening. The precise capture time of each bat was noted and each individual was placed separately in a clean cloth sack and brought back to the camp, some 500 m from the cave, for processing. Forearm length and weight were recorded for trapped individuals using a ruler and a “Pesola” spring balance, respectively. Further, the sex and reproductive state of each were determined based on the development of external reproductive organs. The age of individuals were ascertained on the state of ossification of the metacarpus and phalange bones of the third or fourth finger using light behind the outstretched wing (Anthony 1988): 1) neonate with no ossification, 2) sub-adult with incomplete ossification, and 3) adult with complete ossification. The physical condition of each animal was evaluated using the body condition index (BCI) i.e. the ratio of body mass to forearm length; with the assumption that heavier individuals would have more energy reserves than lighter individuals of the same size and animals with higher BCI were in good physical condition as compared to those with lower BCI (Speakman and Racey 1986). Individual *R. madagascariensis* were released in the cave within a few hours of capture after being fed ripe banana and sweetened water.

Data analysis

For the analysis of asymmetrically distributed data (Bullock et al. 1987), the medians of the emergence and return times for each age and sex class were considered; and the influence of environmental (season, sunrise, and sunset time) and intrinsic (age and sex classes, body condition index) parameters were measured. Sunset and sunrise data for the study site were downloaded on timeanddate.com (Cawthen 2014; Wakefield et al. 2015). Given Ankarana’s latitude at nearly 13°S, day length was significantly longer during the wet season as compared to the dry season. In general, the time of emergence and return represented the dependent variables. The independent variables that were a priori selected to influence the time of emergence comprised: season, sunset time, age and sex classes, BCI, and time of return. For the return analysis, the initial independent variables were
season, sunrise time, age and sex classes, BCI, and emergence time.

Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) was used to detect multicollinearity between independent variables; collinearity between the variables occurs when VIF>4 (Gehrt and Chelsvig 2003; García et al. 2015; Ripperger et al. 2015). Independent variables that were not collinear were used in the Generalized Linear Model (GLM) in order to determine those having significant influence on the dependent variables. The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) of each GLM model was used to select the best performing model i.e. that with the lowest AIC value (Sugiura 1978; Kimura and Waki 2017). The analyses did not include neonates, as they were generally attached to their mother.

Results

In total, 339 individuals were captured during the two visits to the site. During the dry season, 201 individuals were captured of which 38.8% were adult males, 21.4% were sub-adult males, and 13.9 and 25.9% were adult and sub-adult females, respectively; no captured female was lactating and 2.0% of females were pregnant. In the wet season, 138 individuals were captured of which adult and sub-adult males represented 12.2 and 1.4% of captured individuals, respectively; 82.0% were adult females, and 3.6% were sub-adult females; 82.4% of adult females were lactating and 3.4% were pregnant.

Time of emergence and return for each age and sex classes

During the dry season, the median emergence time was 1933 hours or 0157 hours after sunset. The emergence order for the age and sex classes was correlated with the predawn return order on the same day, as sub-adult males, who returned earlier before sunrise, generally emerged earlier with adult females in the evening (at 1913 hours, Figure 1A), followed by sub-adult females (1935 hours), and adult males (2001 hours). The median return time for R. madagascariensis during the same season was 0505 hours or 43 minutes before sunrise; sub-adult males generally returned first (0450 hours, Figure 1B), followed by adult females (0505 hours), sub-adult females (0506 hours), and adult males (0511 hours). The mean amount of time spent outside the cave during the dry season for adult females was 0952 hours, while for sub-adult males, sub-adult females, and adult males it was 0937, 0931, and 0910 hours, respectively.

During the wet season, the median emergence time was 1926 hours, 0110 hours after sunset. Some adult females had already left the cave by 1810 hours (Figure 1A), but it was generally adult males who left first (1917 hours), followed by adult females (1927 hours), sub-adult males (1933 hours), and sub-adult females (1937 hours). During the same period, the median return time for R. madagascariensis was 0351 hours, or 0135 hours before sunrise. Adult males return earlier (at 0309 hours, Figure 1B) compared to other age and sex classes; sub-adult females entered the cave at 0337 hours, and adult females at 0400 hours. Hence, in the wet season, the adult females left the cave for approximately 0833 hours, 0800 hours for the sub-adult females, and 0752 hours for the adult males. No sub-adult male was captured during the predawn return in the wet season and the time spent outside the cave could not be calculated for this age and sex class.

VIF and GLM results

For the analysis of independent variables affecting the emergence period, the VIF model that did not present any collinear independent variables was the influence of age and sex
Figure 1. Temporal variation of number of captured *Rousettus madagascariensis* during their emergence (A) and return (B) from the Grotte des Chauves-souris in Ankarana. The vertical lines inside the graph indicate the medians of the emergence or return time.
classes, BCI, and time of return (Table 1). The retained GLM model ($AIC=7745.5$) was the influence of age and sex classes, BCI, and time of return (Table 2); the time of emergence varied significantly according to age and sex classes, and time of return.

For the return analysis, season, age and sex classes, BCI, and emergence time did not show any collinearity (Table 1). The GLM showed that the time of return was significantly influenced by the season, and age and sex classes ($AIC=7081.4$; Table 2).

**Discussion**

**Comparison between sex and age classes**

For adult *R. madagascariensis* using a cave day roost at Ankarana, the amount of time outside the cave at night for females was higher than males; however, adult males emerged on occasion earlier during the wet season (Figure 1A). According to Korine et al. (1994), adult male *R. aegyptiacus* limit their foraging time during the breeding season, which was presumed to be for territorial defense in the cave; this is a behavior documented for other species of Pteropodidae with harems (*Cynopterus sphinx*: Balasingh et al. 1995; Campbell 2008; Garg et al. 2018; *Balionycteris maculata* Matschie, 1899: Hodgkinson et al. 2003; Chaverri and Kunz 2010), as well as New World Phyllostomidae bats (Ortega and Arita 2000; Garbino et al. 2018). Adult male *R. madagascariensis* are more abundant at the Ankarana cave roost site during the dry season, when mating is presumed to occur and thereafter local dispersal takes place to other caves with day roost colonies (Noroalintseheno Lalarivoninaia et al. 2018). However, on the basis of infrared videos of portions of the study colony during the wet season, some adult males were observed mating (Ramanantsalama et al. unpublished data); hence, the shorter period of time foraging for adult males found in this study could be associated with similar inferred behavior to *R. aegyptiacus* and harem defense in the day roost site. To our knowledge, no harem social system has yet been clearly documented in members of the genus *Rousettus*; and the short proportion of time spent outside the cave for adult male *R. madagascariensis* may also be related to their ability to forage faster as their body size and forearm length are larger than those of the other age and sex classes (Goodman 2011; Andrianaivoarivelo 2012; Goodman et al. 2017).

The difference in time allocated to foraging between adult males and females was more evident during the wet season, during which the majority of adult females were lactating. These females were generally carrying neonates, and with higher energetic demands than non-reproductive females because of constraints associated with carrying neonates and milk production (Gittleman and Thompson 1988; Korine and Arad 1999; Barclay and Jacobs 2011).

Sub-adult males were the second age and sex class, after adult females, spending more time outside the cave during the dry season, followed by sub-adult females. Sub-adult males were observed leaving and returning the cave earlier as compared to other age and sex classes during the dry season (Figure 1). In Indian populations of *R. leschenaultii*, it has been proposed that sub-adults need more time to search for food as compared to adults and emerge earlier from the cave, then return later, but not too late to risk being seen by predatory birds when the dawn light intensity is higher (Vanlalnghaka and Joshi 2005; Vanlalnghaka et al. 2005). Sub-adult *R. madagascariensis* may behave in a similar way as sub-adult *R. leschenaultii*; and the presence of the barn owl *Tyto alba* (Scopoli, 1769) (Aves: Strigiformes: Tytonidae), which is a known predator of *R. madagascariensis* on Madagascar (Goodman and Griffiths 2006), could affect their behavior.
Table 1. Variance Inflation Factors (VIF) results showing relationships between the dependent (exit and return times) and independent variables.

| Variables  | Neonate female | Sub-adult female | Neonate male | Sub-adult male | Adult male | BCI | Wet season | Sunrise | Sunset | Day length | Time of exit | Time of return |
|------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|-----|------------|---------|--------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| Time of exit | 2.354          | 2.242            | 2.602        | 2.266          | 2.742      | 3.932| 1833.959   | 464.887 | 26.199 | 3755.362   | 7.336        |                |
|            | 2.335          | 2.241            | 2.588        | 2.266          | 2.693      | 3.861| 92.237     | 125.836 | 24.574 |            | 7.329        |                |
|            | 2.332          | 2.241            | 2.582        | 2.266          | 2.687      | 3.850| 26.059     | 14.869  |        |            | 4.636        |                |
|            | 2.330          | 2.091            | 2.579        | 1.915          | 2.141      | 3.847|           | 6.577   |        |            | 4.636        |                |
|            | **2.311**      | **1.876**        | **2.559**    | **1.576**      | **1.750**  | **3.844**|           |         |        |            | 1.632        |                |
| Time of return | 2.365          | 2.144            | 2.606        | 1.927          | 2.394      | 3.928| 1898.207   | 476.42  | 26.875 | 3886.037   | 1.263        |                |
|            | 2.364          | 2.144            | 2.606        | 1.925          | 2.392      | 3.927| 1778.759   | 468.834 |        | 3710.491   | 1.231        |                |
|            | 2.336          | 2.140            | 2.581        | 1.924          | 2.380      | 3.829| 623.052    |         |        | 620.021    | 1.206        |                |
|            | 2.335          | 2.140            | 2.581        | 1.918          | 2.336      | 3.828|           | 2.584   |        | 1.131      |              |                |
|            | 2.341          | 2.141            | 2.590        | 1.923          | 2.347      | 3.851| 164.219    |         |        | 168.283    | 1.147        |                |
|            | 2.339          | 2.129            | 2.587        | 1.900          | 2.303      | 4.838|           | 2.521   |        | 1.128      |              |                |
| **2.336** | **2.138**      | **2.581**        | **1.922**    | **2.356**      | **3.829**  | **2.597**|           |         |        |            | **1.134**    |                |

Collinearity relationship occurs within a model if one VIF>4; and indicated in bold is the selected model with all VIF<4. BCI = body condition index.
Influence of season

The present study shows that the amount of time *R. madagascariensis* spent outside the cave is higher during the dry season, when their preferred fruits and flowers are scarcer as compared to the wet season (Vololona 2020; Vololona et al. 2020). Taking into account data presented by Vanlalnghaka and Joshi (2005) and Barclay and Jacobs (2011) on *R. leschenaultii* and *R. aegyptiacus*, respectively, as well as timing of sunrise and sunset during their field studies (Cawthen 2014; Wakefield et al. 2015), similar seasonal variation was noted in these species, which also have a longer foraging period when food resources are limited. Further, *Rousettus* might need to travel longer foraging distances when fruits or flowers are scarce as demonstrated for *R. aegyptiacus* by Lučan et al. (2016).

The wet season in Ankarana is characterized by higher temperatures and rainfall (Goodman et al. 2018; Ramanantsalama et al. 2019) that might impact time spent outside the cave for *R. madagascariensis*, particularly during heavy night showers. Thomas et al. (1991), and Speakman (1995) and Reher et al. (2018) have shown that the risk of hyperthermia in tropical bats, including Pteropodidae, is positively correlated with the ambient temperature. In addition, Pteropodidae have difficulty flying during heavy rains (Korine et al. 1999; Roy et al. 2020), when they might have problems with hypothermia given their limited cold tolerance (Downs et al. 2012). Hence, taking these aspects into account, during the wet season, particularly on nights with heavy or continuous rain, *R. madagascariensis* might be forced to forage for shorter bouts, and probably in the vicinity of the cave. The flip side of these aspects is that this is the period of higher food availability.

Limit of the study

Information on the seasonal variation of the time of emergence and return for sub-adult *R. madagascariensis* are still incomplete in this study as only seven sub-adults were captured in the wet season while 95 were caught in the dry season; no sub-adult male was trapped during the predawn capture in the wet season. Similar results were recently published by Noroalintseheno Lalarivoniana et al. (2018) on the same colony of *R. madagascariensis*.

| Dependent variables | Predictors | Estimate   | t       | P    |
|---------------------|------------|------------|---------|------|
| Time of exit        | (Intercept)| 1402000000.023 | 17.990  | < 0.001 |
|                     | Sub-adult female | 493.555 | 1.355 | 0.176 |
|                     | Sub-adult male   | -288.598 | -0.780 | 0.436 |
|                     | Adult male       | 121.923  | 4.239  | < 0.001 |
|                     | BCI              | 1180.012 | 1.187  | 0.236 |
|                     | Time of return   | 0.100  | 2.229  | 0.026 |

| Time of return      | (Intercept) | 1575000000.033 | 46980000.000 | < 0.001 |
|                     | Sub-adult female | -830.024 | -4.607 | < 0.001 |
|                     | Sub-adult male   | 1657.001 | -8.763 | < 0.001 |
|                     | Adult male       | 1258.012 | -8.232 | < 0.001 |
|                     | BCI              | -796.111 | -1.733 | 0.084 |
|                     | Wet season       | -5157.065 | -38.440 | < 0.001 |

The influence of a predictor is significant when P < 0.050 (in bold). BCI = body condition index.
Nocturnal activity in *Rousettus madagascariensis* in the same cave and dispersal of sub-adult individuals in other diurnal roosts after the weaning period is suspected.

Aspects associated with moonlight were not analyzed in this study although light intensity can negatively affect nocturnal bat activity (Swift 1980; Elangovan and Marimuthu 2001; Thies et al. 2006; Appel et al. 2019). Bats are more detectable by nocturnal predatory birds when the light intensity is higher. Hence, further studies analyzing the effect of environmental parameters on bat emergence and early entry should consider details associated with moonlight and the ecology of bat predators. While this research project took place in a dry deciduous forest, a parallel study should also be carried out in the moist evergreen forests of eastern Madagascar in order to understand possible differences between these ecologically different habitats.

**Conclusions**

This study measured intraspecific variation of the nocturnal emergence of a tropical fruit bat and highlighted the relationship between emergence/return and energy demands, as well as seasonality of food resources. We demonstrated that the emergence time for *R. madagascariensis* varied according to the age and sex classes, and the time of return associated with the previous nocturnal activity; we showed the influence of season, and age and sex classes on time of return. This study provides the first precise data on the timing of emergence and return to a day roost site for Malagasy bats. Such information is important for providing baseline data to quantify the ecology of bat species, including in this case an endemic species playing an important role as seed dispersers and flower pollinators.
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