Additively manufactured 3D porous Ti-6Al-4V constructs mimic trabecular bone structure and regulate osteoblast proliferation, differentiation and local factor production in a porosity and surface roughness dependent manner
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Abstract
Additive manufacturing by laser sintering is able to produce high resolution metal constructs for orthopedic and dental implants. In this study, we used a human trabecular bone template to design and manufacture Ti-6Al-4V constructs with varying porosity via laser sintering. Characterization of constructs revealed interconnected porosities ranging from 15–70% with compressive moduli of 2579–3693 MPa. These constructs with macro porosity were further surface-treated to create a desirable multi-scale micro-/nano-roughness, which has been shown to enhance the osseointegration process. Osteoblasts (MG63 cells) exhibited high viability when grown on the constructs. Proliferation (DNA) and alkaline phosphatase specific activity, an early differentiation marker, decreased as porosity increased, while osteocalcin, a late differentiation marker, as well as osteoprotegerin, vascular endothelial growth factor and bone morphogenetic proteins 2 and 4 increased with increasing porosity. Three-dimensional (3D) constructs with the highest porosity and surface modification supported the greatest osteoblast differentiation and local factor production. These results indicate that additively manufactured 3D porous constructs mimicking human trabecular bone and produced with additional surface treatment can be customized for increased osteoblast response. Increased factors for osteoblast maturation and differentiation on high porosity constructs suggest the enhanced performance of these surfaces for increasing osseointegration in vivo.
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1. Introduction

Over two million dental implants are placed annually, and over four million hip and knee replacement surgeries are expected by the year 2030 [1, 2]. The orthopedic implant market is projected to exceed $46 billion by the year 2017, in part due to an increasing number of elderly patients as well as increased quality of life expectations of younger patients [3]. Titanium and its alloys are still widely used in dental and orthopedic metal implants, based on the ability of bone to form in tight apposition to implants fabricated from these materials [4–6]. Titanium and titanium-aluminum-vanadium (Ti6Al4V) have a naturally occurring passive oxide layer on their surface that is biologically preferable and resists corrosion, while still maintaining strong mechanical properties and a high strength to weight ratio [7].

Implant surface roughness is one factor that has been shown to successfully increase cell response in vitro and osseointegration in vivo, and micro-rough surfaces are currently used as the industry standard in dental and many bone-interfacing orthopedic implants [8, 9]. Previous studies in our lab confirm that the combined presence of micro-/submicron-scale roughness contributes to increased osteoblast response [10, 11]. By altering only the surface microtopography and without exogenous factors in media, osteoblast differentiation can be increased on titanium surfaces [12]. This may be due in part to the protein–material interaction at the surface, which affects downstream cell response. Changes in the cytoskeleton, including integrin expression and signaling, have also been implicated in this effect [13, 14].

Although dental implant success is achieved in over 95% of healthy patients, certain risk factors still inhibit osseointegration. Osseointegration rates for diabetics and smokers are reduced tremendously [15, 16]. In addition, low bone density or osteoporosis most commonly seen in the increasing elderly population can also decrease osseointegration. Most orthopedic implants have a lifetime of only 12–15 years, requiring revision surgery that can be fatal for older patients [5, 17]. These factors contribute to the need for improving both osseointegration rates and implant longevity. Therefore, there is an existing need for implants that have the ability to increase bone formation and enhance the regeneration process.

Titanium also has desirable mechanical properties due to its low modulus of elasticity and high strength to weight ratio [18]. However, solid titanium still exceeds the stiffness of cortical bone by more than threefold, causing stress shielding and bone loss downstream of the implant [19]. 3D porous coatings and implants have been proposed to decrease stress shielding via porosity-dependent mechanical properties and increased bone interlocking, making these a promising treatment for at risk patients or younger patients who need an extended implant lifetime [20, 21].

Additive manufacturing techniques provide a layer-by-layer approach to building porous or patient-specific implants that have tailored macro structural and mechanical properties [22]. Selective laser sintering has the ability to create high resolution, porous metal constructs with positive results in both in vitro and in vivo studies [23]. There have been many studies that observe the effect of controlled porosity on in vitro or in vivo response. However, porosity in these studies was created using homogeneous strut and pore sizing, without a biological template and with limited surface modification [23–26]. Trabecular bone in the human body does not have the same pore shape, size or surface roughness. In studies where surface modification was used to induce micro-roughness, bulk porosity was limited to a user designed template [27, 28]. Thus far, the combination of macro structural parameters integrated with micro-scale surface treatment has not been studied. The purpose of this study was to replace the traditional man-made structural template with a biological template.

In this study, we used human trabecular bone as a template to laser sinter Ti6Al4V with varying porosity, and additionally modified the surfaces to obtain a combined micro-/nano-roughness. The resulting constructs were characterized for their surface, structural and mechanical properties. Cellular response to constructs with varying porosity was also performed, with the hypothesis that osteoblast response would increase on 3D constructs with increasing porosity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Manufacturing

2.1.1. Material manufacturing. A computed tomographic (CT) scan was taken of a human femoral head retrieved from a hip replacement (μCT 40, Scanco Medical, Bassersdorf, Switzerland) with a 16 μm voxel size. A template was created using Scanco software (Scanco Medical, Bassersdorf, Switzerland) and rotated and superimposed on itself 12, 24, or 36 times to create constructs with low (3DLP), medium (3DMP) and high porosity (3DHP), respectively (figure 1(A)). Generated 3D renderings were manufactured into Ti6Al4V disks 15 mm in diameter and 5 mm in height. Each disk included a 1 mm solid base upon which the remaining porous material was sintered in order to ensure mechanical stability during sintering. 2D surfaces were 15 mm in diameter and 1 mm in height (figure 1(B)). Laser sintering was performed using an Ytterbium fiber laser system (EOS, EmbH Munchen, Germany) with Ti6Al4V (grade 5) particles 25–45 μm in diameter (Advanced Powders & Coatings, Quebec, Canada). Laser scanning speed was 7 m s\(^{-1}\) with a wavelength of 1054 nm, continuous power of 200 W and laser spot size of 0.1 mm.

2.1.2. Surface modification. After manufacturing, disks were blasted with calcium phosphate particles using proprietary technology (AB Dental, Ashdod, Israel) and then acid etched by ultrasonicating in 0.3 N nitric acid (HNO\(_3\)) once for five minutes at 45 °C and twice for five minutes at 25 °C. Disks were rinsed in 97% methanol for five minutes. Final pickling...
treatment was performed by ultrasonicating disks thrice for 10 min in ultrapure distilled water, immersing for 30 min in 1:1 20 g L\(^{-1}\) NaOH to 20 g L\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\)O\(_2\) for 30 min at 80 °C and ultrasonicating in water for 10 min. Constructs were then placed in a degreaser for 12 min, immersed in 65% aqueous H\(_2\)NO\(_3\), and ultrasonicated thrice in water for 10 min. Surfaces were blotted with lint free tissue and allowed to dry for at least 24 h in order to stabilize the oxide layer before characterization and cell culture.

2.2. Material characterization

2.2.1. Surface chemistry. Surface chemistry was analyzed using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, K-Alpha, ThermoFisher Scientific, Boston, MA). Samples were transferred to the analysis chamber at a pressure of 1e-8 mbar. An XR5 gun was used with a 500 μm spot size at 15 kV to perform survey scans with 20 ms dwelling time and 1 eV energy step size. Bulk chemistry was analyzed using energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX, Hitachi SU-70, Tokyo, Japan).

2.2.2. Contact angle. Sessile drop contact angle was used to assess surface energy and surface wettability (Ramé-Hart goniometer, Succasunna, NJ). 2D solid laser sintered surfaces that received the same post-processing treatment as 3D constructs (figures 2(E), (F)) were used as a proxy for contact angle measurements due to difficulty in obtaining accurate contact angle measurements for porous constructs. 4 μl drops of distilled water were deposited on five predetermined

---

**Figure 1.** (Left to right) laser sintered disks were created from a CT scan conducted of human trabecular bone from the femoral head after a hip replacement. Original CT scans showing bone porosity through transverse and axial cross sections were used as a template for porous, laser sintered disks (A). Top-down camera images and micro CT cross sections of laser sintered 3D disks with (from left to right) low, medium and high porosity (B). Total porosity was calculated using a traditional method based on mass (C). Total and open porosity was calculated with micro CT (D). 1 Way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s correction was performed separately for total porosity or open porosity. \(p<0.05\) is indicated by \(^*\) versus 3DLP and \(^\wedge\) versus 3DMP. Unpair \(^\wedge\) porosity showed no significance between groups.
locations per disk, with two disks per group ($n = 10$). Videos of these drops were taken and still images were used in conjunction with DROPimage software (Ramé-Hart goniometer, Succasunna, NJ) to determine the average left and right contact angle of each drop.

2.2.3. Surface topography. Surface topography was qualitatively assessed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi SU-70, Tokyo, Japan). Disks were secured on imaging stubs with carbon tape and imaged with 56 $\mu$A ion current, 4 kV accelerating voltage and 4 mm working distance. Three locations per disk were imaged to ensure homogeneous assessment, with at least two disks per group imaged.

2.2.4. Roughness. Macro-and micro-roughness were analyzed with confocal laser microscopy (LEXT OLS4000, Olympus, Center Valley, PA). Macro roughness was analyzed with a 10x objective, and micro-roughness was analyzed with a 20x objective and additional 5x optical zoom. After a three point correction, a cutoff wavelength of 100 $\mu$m was used to analyze average roughness ($R_a$) and surface area.

Figure 2. SEM images of (columns from left to right) 2D, 3D low, medium and high porosity disks. Low magnification images showing trabecular structure after production (A), after blasting and acid etching (B), and after picking (C). High magnification images showing smooth surfaces after production (B), micro-roughness after blasting and acid etching (D), and nano-roughness after pickling (F).
2.2.5. Porosity. 3D constructs were analyzed for porosity using micro-computed tomography (micro CT) (SkyScan 1173, Micro Photonics, Allentown, PA). A volume of interest of 469 mm³, or approximately 66% of each construct’s porous volume, was analyzed for total percent porosity, open porosity, pore diameter, strut size, and surface area to volume (SA/V) ratio. Scans were taken using an Al 1.0 mm filter, 100 kV voltage, 80 μA current, 1120 × 1120 camera pixels, 0.2° rotation step, frame averaging of 10, and random movement of 10. Post-processing included a global threshold of 100–255 and despeckling black and white speckles less than 10 voxels. We verified the validity of micro CT analysis by comparison of total porosity analyzed through a traditional method based on size and mass (figure 1(C)).

2.2.6. Mechanical testing. Compressive moduli of 3D constructs were determined using the MTS Insight 30 testing machine (MTS Systems, Minnesota, USA). A preload of 0.01 kN was applied at 0.025 mm s⁻¹, then a test speed of 0.02 mm s⁻¹ was used until failure or the maximum load of 30 kN was applied. Data acquisition rate was 500 Hz, and the compressive modulus was taken as the slope output of the resulting stress/strain curve. Testing was performed on 6 constructs per group (total n = 6).

2.3. Biological response

2.3.1. Cell viability. The MG63 human osteoblast-like cell line was used as a model for osteoblast viability, proliferation and differentiation on sintered surfaces. These cells have been characterized and have been used as a model for osteoblast response to titanium surfaces with varying topography [29, 30]. Surfaces were sterilized in UV for 20 min in a biosafety cabinet prior to cell culture. Cells were cultured in tissue culture polystyrene (TCPS) biosafety cabinet prior to cell culture. Cells were cultured in 30,000 cells per cm² on TCPS, or 60,000 cells per surface in a then centrifuged and resuspended to yield a plating density of for at least two constructs per group (total n = 6). Surfaces were sterilized in UV for 20 min in a biological hood, then twice in 100% HMDS for 30 min. Samples were exchanged in 1:1 100% ethanol and hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS, Sigma Aldrich) for 30 min in a chemical safety hood, then twice in 100% HMDS for 30 min. Samples were dried 24 h in a desiccator before being platinum sputtered and imaged with SEM as described above (Hitachi SU-70, Tokyo, Japan).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Surface characterization data are presented as mean ± one standard deviation (SD) of all measurements performed across samples in the same group. Cell viability is presented as the mean of all measurements performed across samples in the same group. Cell proliferation and differentiation data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) for six independent cultures. All experiments were repeated at least twice to ensure validity of observations, with results from individual experiments shown. Statistical analysis among groups was performed by analysis of variance, and significant differences between groups were compared using Bonferroni’s modification of Student’s t-test. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Surface characterization

Laser sintered 3DLP, 3DMP and 3DHP constructs had 16.2 ± 2.9%, 38.5 ± 3.9%, and 70.0 ± 3.5% total porosity and 15.0 ± 2.9%, 37.9 ± 4.0%, 70.0 ± 3.5% open porosity, respectively (table 1). Total porosity and open porosity were not significantly different, showing complete inter-connectivity between pores (figure 1(D)). Average pore diameter was 177 ± 22 μm for 3DLP, 383 ± 15 μm for 3DMP and
Average strut thickness was 628 ± 150 μm for 3DLP, 454 ± 57 μm for 3DMP and 305 ± 26 μm for 3DHP. The ratio between the analyzed construct surface area to volume ratio was 23.5 ± 7.4 for 3DLP, 36.1 ± 5.4 for 3DMP, and 56.9 ± 5.8 for 3DHP disks. For all porosity parameters (total porosity, open porosity, pore diameter, strut thickness and SA/V ratio), all groups were significantly different from each other. Pore diameter, strut thickness and SA/V ratio all increased with increasing construct porosity.

Surface chemistry performed by XPS showed mostly C, O and Ti in the oxide layer, with small amounts of N, P and Ca due to processing, and Al present on 2D surfaces (table 2). EDX allows for higher penetration past the oxide layer and showed Ti, Al and V as the bulk surface composition, with a small amount of C present on 3DLP surfaces (table 3). Contact angle of 2D proxy surfaces was 92 degrees with a standard deviation of eight degrees. Compressive modulus decreased in a porosity-dependent manner (table 4). 3DLP had a modulus of 3693 ± 27, 3DMP a modulus of 3522 ± 52 MPa and 3DHP a modulus of 2579 ± 106 MPa.

After manufacturing the surfaces had a very grainy topography at the macro scale, but smooth topography at the micro scale (figures 2(A), (B)). Blasting and acid etching induced micro roughness on surfaces while maintaining macro structure (figures 2(C), (D)). Pickling overlaid fine and homogenous nanofeatures on the macro surface (figures 2(E), (F)). Cross sectional, low magnification SEM images show internal pore surfaces looking similar to pretreated constructs, indicating the inability of grit blasting treatment to affect internal construct pores (figure 3). Surface roughness results revealed increasing surface roughness and area at the macro level for increasing porosity (figures 4(A), (B)). Surface micro roughness showed no difference between groups except an elevation in 3DHP surfaces, and no difference between groups for surface area at the micro level (figures 4(C), (D)).

### 3.2. Biological response

Live/dead analysis indicated that cells on all surfaces had high viability. No significant differences in osteoblast viability were observed across constructs with varying porosity (figure 5(A)). 2D surfaces had the highest percent viability at 99.9%. 3DLP, 3DMP and 3DHP constructs had 94.9%, 98.1% and 91.6% cell viability, respectively. Representative SEM micrographs of cells cultured on disks showed cells spread evenly across surfaces (figure 5(B)).

DNA was highest on TCPS and decreased as porosity increased (figure 6(A)). ALP, a marker of early osteoblastic differentiation, was elevated on 3DLP compared to TCPS, then decreased on 3DMP and 3DHP compared to 3DLP, and decreased significantly on 3DHP compared to TCPS (figure 6(B)). OCN increased significantly on 3DHP compared to TCPS (figure 6(C)). OPG increased on 3DMP and 3DHP compared to TCPS and 3DLP, and was also significantly higher on 3DLP compared to TCPS.

### Table 1. Porosity parameters.

| Porosity parameters (average ± SD) | Total porosity (%) | Open porosity (%) | Pore diameter (μm) | Strut thickness (μm) | SA/V Ratio |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|
| 3DLP                              | 16.2 ± 2.9         | 15.0 ± 2.9       | 177 ± 22           | 628 ± 150           | 23.5 ± 7.4 |
| 3DMP                              | 38.5 ± 3.9 (*)     | 37.9 ± 4.0 (*)   | 383 ± 15 (*)       | 454 ± 57 (*)        | 36.1 ± 5.4 (*) |
| 3DHP                              | 70.0 ± 3.5 (**)    | 70.0 ± 3.5 (**)  | 653 ± 22 (**)      | 305 ± 26 (**)       | 56.9 ± 5.8 (**) |

Significance * versus LP, ^ versus MP p<0.05.

### Table 2. Surface chemistry (XPS): elemental composition.

| Element (atomic % average ± SD) | O     | C     | Ti    | N     | P     | Ca    | Al    |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 2D                              | 41.6 ± 3.7 | 41.8 ± 5.4 | 12.6 ± 1.5 | 1.3 ± 0.6 | —     | 2.5 ± 0.5 |
| 3D-LP                           | 44.9 ± 4.4 | 36.6 ± 7.9 | 6.3 ± 1.7 | 5.6 ± 1.5 | 3.3 ± 3.9 | 2.6 ± 1.9 | —    |
| 3D-MP                           | 54.5 ± 1.9 | 20.5 ± 20.4 | 6.9 ± 1.2 | 4.9 ± 0.9 | 9.7 ± 1.6 | 3.6 ± 1.0 | —    |
| 3D-HP                           | 51.7 ± 3.1 | 29.5 ± 2.8 | 13.4 ± 1.1 | 3.2 ± 0.8 | 1.6 ± 1.8 | —     | —    |

Does not include trace elements less than 1%.

### Table 3. Surface chemistry (EDX): elemental composition.

| Element (weight % average ± SD) | Ti     | Al     | V     | C     |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| 2D                              | 89.8 ± 0.6 | 7.1 ± 0.8 | 3.1 ± 0.2 | —     |
| 3D-LP                           | 87.3 ± 4.7 | 6.7 ± 1.6 | 3.0 ± 0.2 | 2.4 ± 3.8 |
| 3D-MP                           | 88.9 ± 2.2 | 7.0 ± 1.1 | 3.1 ± 0.2 | —     |
| 3D-HP                           | 89.0 ± 1.8 | 7.5 ± 1.4 | 3.2 ± 0.2 | —     |

Does not include trace elements less than 1%.

Table 4. Compressive modulus (MPa).

| Compressive modulus (average MPa ± SD) | 3D-LP | 3D-MP | 3D-HP |
|----------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 3D-LP                                  | 3693 ± 27 | 3522 ± 52 (*) | 2579 ± 106 (*) |

Significance p<0.05. * versus LP, ^ versus MP.
significantly higher on 3DHP compared to 3DMP (figure 6(D)). BMP2 on 3DLP, 3DMP and 3DHP was significantly higher than on TCPS, and 3DMP and 3DHP constructs had higher BMP2 levels compared to 3DLP (figure 6(E)). BMP4 was elevated on 3DHP compared to TCPS only (figure 6(F)). VEGF was elevated on 3DMP and 3DHP compared to TCPS and 3DLP, and was also significantly higher on 3DHP compared to 3DMP (figure 6(G)).
4. Discussion

Increased implant failure due to lack of osseointegration is a problem in compromised patients, which creates the need for better bone integration and mechanical properties of Ti and Ti alloy implants [16]. Although studies have pointed toward 3D porous implants as a possible solution, these surfaces have not been optimized for porosity or combined with desired surface roughness features.

Various additive manufacturing methods such as direct beam melting and laser sintering have come to the forefront of customized and porous implant manufacturing. The sintering system we use in this study has a theoretical resolution of 100 microns according to the laser size; however, limited studies have been performed on the homogeneity of laser strength within that diameter. Scan speed and wavelength can all have an effect on the manufactured structure’s density and therefore mechanical properties, but with higher resolution comes increased time of production.

Previous studies have observed increased sintering density of over 97% with decreasing scan speeds to 50 mm s$^{-1}$ [24]. Our qualitative evaluations using SEM and quantitative analysis using micro CT point toward a close approximation of our construct structure with that of human trabecular bone, even at high density. Although the optimal pore diameter for porous implants has been debated in literature, most studies observe increased cell infiltration or bone ingrowth for pores larger than 100 μm in diameter [31–33]. Pore sizes of 200–400 μm have been thought to increase osteoblast attachment, migration and proliferation via activation of mechanoreceptors [34]. We observed pore sizes upwards of 300 μm in our disks, which has been suggested as a minimum for new bone and capillary formation [33]. Pore diameter has been suggested to have higher influence on bone ingrowth when compared to total percent porosity alone, although we were not able to isolate these two variables in our constructs [35].

Similar processing methods have previously been shown to successfully manufacture surfaces with stable mechanical properties and good in vitro results [27]. The effect of roughness at both the micro-and nano-scales on osteoblast differentiation has been well documented [10, 29, 36], and our results show that traditional methods such as blasting and acid etching are effective at inducing a homogeneous combined micro-/nano-roughness on additively manufactured surfaces. Due to the high interconnectivity between pores, acid treatment and pickling solutions were able to access the entire surface area of constructs to create a unique, homogenous nanostructured surface. However, our results show that blasting was not able to significantly alter the internal pores of the constructs. Despite this, cell response still increased significantly for high porosity constructs, suggesting that macro-structural effects of 3D porous constructs may play a larger role in cell response compared to surface roughness alone.

Human trabecular bone from the mandible has a porosity range of 70–90%, which varies with location and patient factors [37–39]. In this study, we created porous structures ranging from 20–70% to determine the optimal percent porosity for cells. Our compressive moduli decrease with increasing porosity, which has been corroborated for both synthetic constructs and human bone [20, 38]. Compressive testing on human trabecular bone has shown a compressive

Figure 5. MG63 cell viability (live = green, dead = red) after culturing until confluence on TCPS (A). No differences were found among groups using 1 way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s correction, p < 0.05. SEM micrographs revealing well-spread cell morphology on surfaces (B).
A study encompassing 160 human trabecular bone samples with compressive moduli ranging from approximately 300–900 MPa showed that bone-volume fraction (density), surface-to-volume ratio, trabecular thickness (strut thickness) and spacing (pore diameter) all contributed significantly to differences in mechanical properties [41]. The direction of loading can also impact mechanical output, which is especially true due to the anisotropic properties of bone [25]. In this study, we performed testing on porous constructs with a 1 mm solid base, which

Figure 6. MG63 cell response to laser sintered, porous surfaces 24 h after confluence. DNA content (A), alkaline phosphatase specific activity (B), osteocalcin (C), osteoprotegerin (D), bone morphogenetic protein 2 (E), bone morphogenetic protein 4 (F), and vascular endothelial growth factor (G). Significance determined with 1 way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post-correction, p<0.05 for * versus TCPS, ^ versus 2D, # versus 3DLP and $ versus 3D-MP.
may have contributed to a higher modulus than just the porous component alone.

Surface chemistry of disks with varying porosity contained mainly elements of Ti, O and C, although bulk chemistry confirmed the presence of Ti, Al and V in the alloy. Previous surface analysis of Ti6Al4V surfaces has also shown the presence of Al in the oxide layer, which may have been masked by Ca and P after blasting [42]. Contact angle on 2D proxy surfaces was neither super-hydrophilic nor hydrophobic. These 2D surfaces underwent the same surface treatment as 3D constructs, although the effect of strut curvature and differences in internal surface roughness on wettability for 3D constructs could not be determined. Surface roughness and area at the micro level were not different among groups except for an elevated roughness on 3DHP, which may have been due to the decreased strut thickness and increased curvature at sites of analysis.

Cell viability was high and not significantly different among surfaces. A qualitative observation of a decrease in cell number with increasing porous constructs suggests that cells had infiltrated pores and distributed over a larger surface area. Previous studies on additively manufactured porous surfaces also showed high cell viability and cell infiltration into pores [43], which were confirmed by our SEM images. We assume high cell viability exists for cells that have infiltrated to the pores; however, we were not able to visualize all the way to the bottom of the disks to fully verify cell infiltration. Viability results were limited to the first 550 μm, a limitation of the imaging equipment.

The decrease in ALP specific activity and the increase in OCN point toward a porosity-dependent maturation response. Previous reports of ALP activity on roughened surfaces noted a significant difference in cell layer activity versus isolated cells, suggesting increased matrix vesicle production [44]. These results also correspond to the decreased DNA content on 3DHP constructs, indicating a preference toward osteoblast maturation instead of proliferation. OPG, a decoy receptor for RANKL and involved in the bone remodeling process, was increased on 3DMP and 3DHP constructs. This increase in OPG blocks osteoclast differentiation in a protective effect to enhance bone growth, and has been implicated in osteoblast-osteoclast communication [45]. An increase of BMP2 and BMP4, especially on 3DHP surfaces, corroborates previous studies that observe increased BMP production on constructs with 300–400 μm pore diameters [46]. Although our pore diameters are larger, the irregular porosity of trabecular bone may contribute differently to local factor production than in other studies with user-defined geometries. The increase of BMP local factor production indicates that our porous constructs have the potential to regulate the induction of bone inside the construct, as well as induce bone distally. The increased levels of VEGF on 3DHP constructs also point toward this trend, indicating that highly porous constructs of trabecular bone structure are inductive for blood vessel formation as part of supporting new bone formation and bone regeneration.

As percent porosity increased, so did the surface area to volume ratio, indicating an increased surface area for cell interaction. It has previously been shown that rough titanium surfaces enhance osteoblastic differentiation and increase local factor production, so the increased cell response in this study may well be attributed to the varying material properties of our surface [30]. In this study, the combination of the three dimensional macro-structure, increase in surface area and combined micro-/nano-surface modification enhanced the osteoblast phenotype. Increased curvature on 3D surfaces with higher porosity may exert higher mechanical forces on a cell, which has been shown to direct cells toward osteoblast differentiation [47]. This response could be mediated by cell-surface integrin proteins. In particular, α5 has been implicated in osteoblast attachment and proliferation, and α2β1 in osteoblast morphology and differentiation via its binding to collagen in the extracellular matrix [13, 48].

The role of confluence may contribute to cell response, and has been previously discussed with regard to TCPS versus rough titanium surfaces [49]. Although decreased DNA was shown on Ti6Al4V surfaces compared to TCPS controls, previous studies on pre-confluent cultures have also shown a different maturation profile of osteoblasts on the Ti alloy surfaces compared to TCPS, suggesting that our resulting cell response was also surface specific and not confluence dependent [34].

Increased bone growth in response to additively manufactured implants has been shown in various animal models, including rats and sheep [28, 50]. Previous work has shown highest calcium content and in vivo response to materials with 75% porosity compared to higher porosities [51]. Further work in an animal model will be essential to assess the success of bone growth into individual pores and osseointegration capability of the entire porous construct.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we used additive manufacturing to produce Ti6Al4V materials with varying porosity that structurally mimicked human trabecular bone, and further created a desirable surface for osteoblasts by inducing combined micro-/nano-roughness. Our results indicate that a high porosity construct mimicking trabecular bone structure is capable of stimulating osteoblast differentiation when compared to 2D and low porosity constructs. Additive manufacturing is a scalable manufacturing method that has the potential to create structurally complex, patient-specific orthopedic and dental implants and scaffolds for increased osseointegration. Although trabecular orientation may vary across individuals and locations in the body, this study suggests that osteoblast cells actually do prefer one type of porosity and structure. In addition, this study reveals the possibility for creating patient-specific implants, which may accelerate the fields of dental and orthopedic implants.
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