A Novel Type of Nutritional Ant–Plant Interaction: Ant Partners of Carnivorous Pitcher Plants Prevent Nutrient Export by Dipteran Pitcher Infauna
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Abstract

Many plants combat herbivore and pathogen attack indirectly by attracting predators of their herbivores. Here we describe a novel type of insect–plant interaction where a carnivorous plant uses such an indirect defence to prevent nutrient loss to kleptoparasites. The ant Camponotus schmitzi is an obligate inhabitant of the carnivorous pitcher plant Nepenthes bicalcarata in Borneo. It has recently been suggested that this ant–plant interaction is a nutritional mutualism, but the detailed mechanisms and the origin of the ant-derived nutrient supply have remained unexplained. We confirm that N. bicalcarata host leaves naturally have an elevated 15N/14N stable isotope abundance ratio (δ15N) when colonised by C. schmitzi. This indicates that a higher proportion of the plants’ nitrogen is insect-derived when C. schmitzi ants are present (ca. 100%, vs. 77% in uncolonised plants) and that more nitrogen is available to them. We demonstrated direct flux of nutrients from the ants to the host plant in a 15N pulse-chase experiment. As C. schmitzi ants only feed on nectar and pitcher contents of their host, the elevated foliar δ15N cannot be explained by classic ant-feeding (myrmecotrophy) but must originate from a higher efficiency of the pitcher traps. We discovered that C. schmitzi ants not only increase the pitchers’ capture efficiency by keeping the pitchers’ trapping surfaces clean, but they also reduce nutrient loss from the pitchers by predating dipteran pitcher inhabitants (infauna). Consequently, nutrients the pitchers would have otherwise lost via emerging flies become available as ant colony waste. The plants’ prey is therefore conserved by the ants. The interaction between C. schmitzi, N. bicalcarata and dipteran pitcher infauna represents a new type of mutualism where animals mitigate the damage by nutrient thieves to a plant.

Copyright: © 2013 Scharmann et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was funded by a grant from the Leverhulme Trust (F/09 364/G; http://www.leverhulme.ac.uk/) to WF, and a scholarship from the German Academic Exchange Service (http://www.daad.de) to MS. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: mathias.scharmann@env.ethz.ch

Introduction

Insects and flowering plants are the two most diverse lineages of eukaryotes today, and their manifold interactions affect virtually all terrestrial life. Both insect and plant diversity can be explained to some extent by their ability to form complex interactions with each other. Relationships between ants and plants are particularly widespread because of the ants’ abundance and the mutual benefit for both partners [1,2]. Ants benefit plants in several ways, e.g. by defending them against herbivory or preventing them from being overgrown by vines. Ants are hired by food rewards to the plant, where they attack herbivorous insects or remove their eggs from the plant. Tropical ant-plants (myrmecophytes) even offer special cavities (domatia) as nesting space for ants to ensure their permanent presence on the plant. Carnivorous plants are well-known exceptions to this pattern of apparent harmony, often killing visiting ants to use them as fertiliser in nutrient-poor habitats. Nevertheless, a unique ant-plant interaction from the peat swamp forests of Borneo combines the seemingly incompat-
arise, which has led some authors to conclude that *C. schmitzi* ants are parasites [15]. However, the swollen, hollow and lignified pitcher tendrils of *N. bicalcarata* are unique in the genus, and it is likely that this trait is an adaptation to colonisation by the partner ants.

The apparent paradox that *N. bicalcarata* houses ants that “steal” the prey has inspired several studies testing the possible benefits of *C. schmitzi* to *N. bicalcarata* [4,5,8,16]. Except for one investigation of herbivore defence [16], all of them concern mechanisms resulting in an enhanced nutrient acquisition from prey insects mediated by the ant. A recent study showed that the fitness of *N. bicalcarata* correlates with ant occupation [17]. However, as the ants might prefer to colonise larger and faster-growing plants that hence offer more food and nesting space, it remains unclear whether the presence of *C. schmitzi* is a cause or a consequence of the plant’s higher fitness. Moreover, a flux of nutrients from the ants to the host plant (myrmecotrophy) has still not been demonstrated.

Bazile et al. [17] reported that *C. schmitzi*-inhabited *N. bicalcarata* plants were more enriched in $^{15}$N and concluded that the association is a nutritional mutualism, in which host plants derive large amounts of their foliar nitrogen from ant wastes. However, the detailed mechanism of this nutrition is unclear. Evidently, if *C. schmitzi* ants feed only on nectar provided by the host plant and on animal prey captured by the pitchers, a net gain of nutrients for *N. bicalcarata* would be impossible. Nutrient gain in a myrmecotrophic

Figure 1. Association between *Nepenthes bicalcarata* pitcher plants, *Camponotus schmitzi* ants, and fly larvae that develop in the pitchers. A. Pair of *N. bicalcarata* upper pitchers. B. *C. schmitzi* workers retrieve a drowned cockroach from the fluid inside a pitcher. C. examples of the rich dipteran infauna of *N. bicalcarata*; from left to right; top row: *Toxorhynchites* sp., *Tripteroides* sp., *Culex* morphospecies 1, *Culex* morphospecies 2, *Uranotaenia* sp.; bottom row: *Wilhelmina nepenthicola*, large puparium (cf. Phoridae) hanging under the pitcher rim, large culcid pupa at fluid surface (other pupae, culcid larvae and *Polypedilum* (Chironomidae) larvae living in protective cases are also visible).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063556.g001
relationship can only occur if the ants acquire nutrients from sources unavailable to the host plant itself. C. schmitzi ants have a cryptic lifestyle, and consistent with previous reports [9,16,19], we have only very rarely observed them outside N. bicalcarata (during at least 240 hours of day and night-time observations, we saw them only twice by the leaves of another plant that was in contact with N. bicalcarata). Thus, if these ants are indeed nutritional mutualists, how can they increase the nutrient supply for N. bicalcarata?

It is possible that the predatory activity of C. schmitzi within the pitchers [8,16] helps nutrient retention: Nepenthis pitchers are not only traps but also act as phytotelmata, providing habitat for many different organisms that exploit the abundance of dead organic material [19]. N. bicalcarata pitchers have been found to contain an exceptionally rich dipteran fauna (14 taxa, examples in Fig 1C) due to their relatively long operational lifespan (half-life time c. 6 months [20]; maxima exceeding 3 years, [5] and personal observations), and the large size of the pitchers [21]. After feeding on pitcher prey or breakdown products, the dipteras leaves the pitchers as adults, and consequently the plant will lose prey-derived nutrients [22,23]. The only nutrients returned to the pitchers are excreta and larval/pupal exuviae. The emerging and dispersing adults will undoubtedly constitute a loss. So far, the uptake efficiency by the bromeliads between groups with and without dipteran food webs has been found no effect of the presence of dipteran larval food webs [9,26–28], as has been suggested for the carnivorous American pitcher plant, Sarracenia purpurea [29]. However, investigations measuring nutrient uptake in Sarracenia found no effect of the presence of dipteran larval food webs [30,31]. In a recent study on bromeliads, 15N-enriched leaf litter was fed to phytotelmata, but no difference was found in nitrogen uptake efficiency by the bromeliads between groups with and without detritivorous food webs, indicating that any facilitation of leaf litter breakdown by dipteran larvae was offset by their subsequent escape [32]. Most Nepenthis species are confronted with animal prey, which is generally easier to degrade than plant tissue. Thus, larval activity may be even less relevant for biomass breakdown than in bromeliads or Sarracenia. Also, for N. bicalcarata, such breakdown effects might be rendered irrelevant by the long operational lifespan of the pitchers.

Here, we investigate whether C. schmitzi ants increase the nitrogen supply for their host plant N. bicalcarata and by what mechanism. Using stable isotope analysis on natural and tracer-fed N. bicalcarata plants and by studying the interaction of C. schmitzi with the pitcher infauna, we address the following questions: (1) Is the net nutrient balance for N. bicalcarata improved when C. schmitzi is present? (2) Is there a flow of nutrients from C. schmitzi ants to the host plant? (3) Do C. schmitzi ants prey on dipteran infauna? (4) Do C. schmitzi ants reduce the number of infauna adults emerging?

Results

C. schmitzi Ants Enhance Nutrient Provision for N. bicalcarata

We found that the natural 15N/14N stable isotope abundance ratio (d15N) of N. bicalcarata was significantly higher when colonised by C. schmitzi for both climbing and rosette stage plants, and that rosettes had a higher d15N than climbers (Fig 2; 2-way ANOVA; F1,54 = 0.83, P = 0.006; “ant colonisation” F1,54 = 10.53, P = 0.002; interaction of both factors F1,54 = 1.42, P = 0.238). Two high outliers of d15N were identified and were excluded from the analysis (one each from the colonised and uncolonised climbing plant groups).

We applied a two-end member mixing model (Equation 1) with mean values of 15Nnon-CP, n = 7 = −1.95 % and 15Ninsects, n = 5 = 1.3 % to estimate the relative contribution of insect-derived nitrogen in our 58 leaf samples (data of rosette and climbing plants pooled). The model suggests that colonised plants of N. bicalcarata received virtually all their nitrogen from prey insects (101.8±5.7%, n = 28; mean ± S.E.), whereas uncolonised plants received 1.8±5.3%, n = 30. Values >100% occurred when leaves had a higher d15N than the prey insects, which may be based on isotope enrichment within the plant, as discussed by Schulze et al. [33].

The source of nitrogen did not appear to affect the concentration of nitrogen in foliar tissues (all groups: 1.20±0.018% of dry weight; mean ± S.E.), because neither C. schmitzi colonisation nor growth habit showed a significant effect (2-way ANOVA; “growth habit” F1,54 = 1.160, P = 0.286; “ant colonisation” F1,54 = 0.459, P = 0.501; interaction of both factors F1,54 = 0.130, P = 0.70).

Trophic Relationships in the N. bicalcarata Phytotelm

As expected, food-web nodes (prey insects, detritus, other larvae, predatory larva and C. schmitzi) differed significantly in their d15N (Fig 2; Kruskal-Wallis test; χ2 = 14.735, n = 36, P = 0.0052). Post-hoc paired Wilcoxon-tests with Bonferroni correction revealed that prey insects were less enriched than C. schmitzi (P = 0.043), other larvae (P = 0.010) and predators (P = 0.043). The consumers were all very similarly enriched (C. schmitzi vs. other larvae, C. schmitzi vs. predators, predators vs. other larvae all P>0.2), while detritus held an intermediate nutrient ratio (P = 0.70).

Figure 2. Natural 15N for the components of the investigated food-web. Included are non-carnivorous plants (“non-CPs”, n = 7), N. bicalcarata plants (highlighted in grey; climbers without ants, n = 15; climbers with ants, n = 17; rosettes without ants, n = 15; rosettes with ants, n = 11), prey insects (n = 6), pitcher detritus (n = 10; one outlier with 15N = 11.42 %, not shown) ‘other’ dipteran larvae (n = 10), predatory dipteran larvae (n = 5) and C. schmitzi ants (n = 5). The dotted horizontal line highlights the level of prey insects. Boxplot shows medians, interquartile ranges, and the largest and smallest values that are not outliers (outliers shown as circles).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063556.g002
N. bicalcarata Absorbs Nitrogen from C. schmitzi Colonies

Two weeks after feeding a pulse of $^{15}$N to a C. schmitzi colony, the $^{15}$N concentration was strongly increased in both host plant leaves (Fig. 3) and in the ants. The measured $^{15}$N atom% excess values (APE) exceeded natural fluctuations [plants: 0.002, ants: 0.001] by several orders of magnitude, demonstrating the arrival of our tracer. The strongest increase in $^{15}$N abundance occurred in the two youngest leaves. This identifies the developing leaves as the strongest above-ground sink for nitrogen. Leaves 3, 15 and 17 even lost $^{15}$N, and thus were net-source organs, whereas all others were net-sinks for the tracer.

The ants were considered as seven subcolonies for analysis, corresponding to the pitchers from which they were collected two weeks after the pulse. $^{15}$N was clearly detected in the ant subcolonies from pitchers in which tracer had been fed (mean APE = 6.511 ± 1.085, n = 5) and from those pitchers in which it had not (APE = 1.019 and APE = 10.452, n = 2), implying migration of workers between pitchers/transfer of food between workers (trophallaxis). Frequent exchange within the colony is had not (APE = 1.019 and APE = 10.452, n = 2), implying migration of workers between pitchers/transfer of food between workers (trophallaxis). Frequent exchange within the colony is further indicated by the lack of correlations between leaf APE and subcolony APE as well as between leaf APE and subcolony dry weight (Pearson’s correlation, only ant-colonised leaves included, both $P>0.8$).

We found that N. bicalcarata readily absorbed $^{15}$N-Glycine or its derivates directly from the pitcher fluid (APE for youngest leaf: 2.289). However, a strong increase in $^{15}$N abundance was also found following tracer injection into uncolonised domatia (APE for youngest leaf: 2.237). To clarify whether C. schmitzi transferred nitrogen via plant surfaces other than the pitchers, we fed tracer to the ants of a plant whose pitchers had been severed while the domatia and leaf bases remained intact. The ant colony was strongly enriched two weeks later despite not entirely consuming the offered tracer (APE = 1.327). Remarkably, tracer was also detected in this plant (APE for second youngest leaf: 0.014), confirming that C. schmitzi can also transfer nitrogen via other plant tissues.

C. schmitzi Ants Prey on Dipteran Infauna

We trapped the emerging dipterans from 40 natural larval communities in N. bicalcarata lower pitchers. The overall number of emerged insects (ignoring taxonomy) was 160, corresponding to a rate of approximately four animals per pitcher per week. Both the Culicidae and the relatively small Chironomidae emerged in similar numbers unaffected by C. schmitzi colonisation (Table 1; $\chi^2$ goodness of fit test; both $P>0.2$). However, the larger Phoridae (the only family of Brachycera (true flies) in Table 1, all others being Nematocera) emerged almost three times more frequently from pitchers without C. schmitzi (Table 1; $\chi^2$ goodness of fit test; $\chi^2_1 = 6.368$, $P = 0.012$). Other rare families identified were Corethrellidae (Corethrella sp.), Ceratopogonidae (Dasyhelea sp.) and Cecidomyiidae (Lestodiplosis sp.).

Brachyceran puparia were significantly more abundant when C. schmitzi was absent (Wilcoxon rank sum test on No. of puparia per pitcher; 60 ant-free pitchers, 67 ant-colonised pitchers, $W = 3353.5$, $P = 4.38 \times 10^{-14}$). Puparium counts in ant-free pitchers ranged from 0–12 with a median of one, and they contained a total of 130 puparia. In contrast, the ant-colonised pitchers held a total of only three puparia with a maximum of one and a median of zero.

We tested the behaviour of C. schmitzi towards aquatic mosquito pupae in N. bicalcarata pitchers. Within one day, ant presence significantly reduced the number of surviving pupae (Wilcoxon rank sum test; $W = 78$, $P = 0.007$) and the number of successfully emerging mosquitoes (Wilcoxon rank sum test; $W = 78.5$, $P = 0.003$), while the number of dead or missing individuals increased (Fig. 4; Wilcoxon rank sum test; $W = 6.5$, $P = 0.002$). Summing up the emerged adults over four days, after which no living pupae were left, significantly fewer mosquitoes emerged from pitchers containing C. schmitzi (Fig. 4; Wilcoxon rank sum test; $W = 78$, $P = 0.006$). A similar result was obtained for syrphids, where the number of surviving larvae after two days in a pitcher was strongly reduced by C. schmitzi (ants absent: 18 survivors out of 31; ants present: 1 survivor out of 30; Fisher’s exact test; $P = 3.05 \times 10^{-6}$).

Data from two pitchers with naturally occurring culicid infauna confirm predation by C. schmitzi ants (9 mosquitos emerged from 11 pupae in one ant-free pitcher; 2 mosquitoes emerged from 11 pupae in one colonised pitcher; Fisher’s exact test; $P = 0.009$).

In the field, C. schmitzi also behaved aggressively towards experimentally offered mosquito pupae (Video S1 in Supporting Information). The ants captured them either from the fluid margin whilst standing on the pitcher wall or from within the fluid whilst swimming with the mandibles spread wide open. Ants grabbed pupae most frequently with their mandibles but when submerged, they occasionally also used their legs. At the fluid margin/pitcher wall, pupae were quickly pulled through the meniscus and dragged up the pitcher wall (the ant moving backwards). However, when they had caught a pupa in the fluid, the ants struggled to reach the pitcher wall because of the strong swimming movements of the pupa. Such a struggle could last for several minutes. While

---

**Figure 3. Flux of nitrogen from ant colony to pitcher plant.** Bars indicate the change in $^{15}$N abundance in the leaves of a N. bicalcarata plant two weeks after feeding a $^{15}$N pulse to the symbiotic C. schmitzi colony. Leaf node 1 bears the youngest leaf. The pichtogrammes under the graph explain the structure of the host plant, its ant colony and mark where tracer was fed. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063556.g003
dragging a pupa through the fluid or along the fluid surface, the ants were observed to swim backwards, apparently using their middle and hind legs to generate backward thrust (in contrast to forward swimming, where the thrust is mainly produced by the front legs [12]). We are not aware of any other recording of backward swimming in insects; for the ants, swimming in reverse is apparently the only way they can approach the pitcher wall, as their front legs are blocked by the struggling prey. The reversing ants, adhering to the pitcher wall mainly by middle and hind legs, then pulled the pupae from the fluid. This behaviour was also observed with naturally occurring prey. Occasionally, ants that had already caught a pupa were joined by a second worker that also grabbed the struggling pupa.

Syrphid larvae were also observed under attack by swimming ants (Video S2 in Supporting Information). Biting made the maggots writhe but *C. schmitzi* were very persistent and only sometimes let go because of oxygen depletion (such “suffocated” ants drifted to the water surface where they regained mobility within a few seconds). The ants’ attacks usually resulted in the maggot’s death and subsequent transport to their shelter underneath the peristome within minutes to hours.

**Discussion**

Our results show that the presence of *C. schmitzi* ants increases the natural $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N stable isotope abundance ratio ($\delta^{15}$N) in *N. bicalcarata* plants. Recently, a similar pattern was reported for *N. bicalcarata* plants of mixed growth phase, where it was shown that plants with many leaves, large total leaf area, low nutrient stress and more frequent pitcher production were more often occupied by *C. schmitzi* [17]. Higher $\delta^{15}$N and plant fitness were attributed to an increased prey capture rate caused by the “ambush

| Experimental conditions and plant characteristics: | ants absent (n = 20) | ants present (n = 20) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| mean sampling interval [d]                          | 7.85                | 7.75                 |
| mean leaf node                                      | 6.3                 | 6.3                  |
| peristome diameter ± S.E. [mm]                      | 46.9±1.23           | 48.58±0.94           |
| fluid volume ± S.E. [ml]                            | 75.7±8.3            | 88.25±7.7            |

**Adult infauna emerged:**

- Chironomidae (*Polypedilum* spp.) 50 60
- Phoridae 15 4
- Culicidae 6 6
- Ceratopogonidae (*Dasyhelea* sp.) 1 0
- Corethrellidae (*Corethrella* sp.) 1 1
- Cecidomyiidae (*Lestodiplosis* sp.) 0 1
- undetermined specimens 8 7
- all taxa 81 79

Table 1. Adult infauna emerged from *N. bicalcarata* pitchers. Unless otherwise stated, values are total numbers of individuals.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063556.t001

Figure 4. Effect of *C. schmitzi* presence on numbers of surviving *Aedes* sp. pupae and successfully emerging mosquitoes. The experiment started with 20 living pupae in each pitcher. Boxplot shows medians, interquartile ranges, and the largest and smallest values that are not outliers (outliers shown as circles).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063556.g004
behaviour’’ of \textit{C. schmitzi} ants and to myrmecotrophy, i.e. the feeding of \textit{N. bicalcarata} by its ant partner. However, nitrogen transfer from the ants to their host was not demonstrated, and it remained unclear whether the higher plant fitness was cause or consequence of ant occupation \cite{17}. Our study demonstrates that \textit{N. bicalcarata} plants are indeed fed by \textit{C. schmitzi} ants. However, the higher $\delta^{15}N$ of colonised plants cannot be explained by myrmecotrophy alone if the ants feed only on pitcher prey and nectar, because the cumulative waste of \textit{C. schmitzi} colonies (carcases, excreta etc.) would not be enriched in $^{15}N$ relative to the diet. Trophic enrichment occurs via the selective retention of $^{15}N$ in body tissue, so the excreta of an animal are depleted in $^{15}N$ relative to its diet \cite{34}, cancelling out any possible enrichment via the carcases of \textit{C. schmitzi}. The isotope mixing model used by Bazile et al. \cite{17} considers the nutrient input by \textit{C. schmitzi} as a separate, external source of nitrogen and does not include nitrogen from soil or insect prey, leading to an overestimation of the nitrogen provision by myrmecotrophy.

Considering these factors, the elevated $\delta^{15}N$ in colonised \textit{N. bicalcarata} must reflect a change in the relative contributions of soil and prey nitrogen. \textit{Nepenthes} have functional roots and may acquire substantial proportions of their nitrogen from the soil \cite{27,33–35}. Foliar $\delta^{15}N$, as well as total available nitrogen, are predicted to rise if more prey is captured. We applied an isotope mixing model that includes soil nitrogen and assumes \textit{C. schmitzi} waste (i.e. excreta and carcases) to be homogenous with prey nitrogen. It appears that colonised \textit{N. bicalcarata} obtain almost all of their nitrogen from insect prey and very little from the soil (for a discussion of values $>100\%$ see below), whereas uncolonised plants received only around $77\%$ from prey. The lower value is in keeping with previous findings from other insectivorous \textit{Nepenthes} species (61.5\% in \textit{N. mirabilis} \cite{33}; 68.1\% in \textit{N. rafflesiana} \cite{35}) that do not form symbioses with ants.

The reasons for the higher $\delta^{15}N$ of \textit{N. bicalcarata} rosettes compared to climbers are still unclear, but it could result from subtle differences in the prey spectra of lower and upper pitchers. Such differences have been reported for other \textit{Nepenthes} species \cite{36,37}. For example, it has been shown for \textit{N. rafflesiana} that lower pitchers capture relatively more ants than upper pitchers \cite{36}. If a similar difference occurred in \textit{N. bicalcarata}, it could potentially explain the observed higher $\delta^{15}N$ of rosette plants.

Surprisingly, some \textit{N. bicalcarata} had $\delta^{15}N$ values exceeding those of prey insects, leading (with Equation 1) to ‘impossible’ values of more than $100\%$ insect-derived nitrogen. It is unlikely that we underestimated $\delta^{15}N_{\text{insect}}$, as the ants we sampled were typical representatives of insect prey and their $\delta^{15}N$ varied only little. Levels of $^{15}N$ in younger leaves exceeding those of prey insects have also been observed in other pitcher plant species by Schulze et al. \cite{33}. These authors suggested that a plant-internal discrimination of $^{15}N$ may occur, which would explain the impossible values of $\geq100\%$ insect nitrogen we obtained. In general, remobilisation and reallocation of nitrogen may cause intra-plant variation in $\delta^{15}N$ \cite{38}. If the involved physiological processes differ for climbers and rosettes, plant-internal discrimination could also contribute to the higher $\delta^{15}N$ of rosette plants.

Regardless of these limitations, only ant colonisation can explain the observed difference in $\delta^{15}N$ between colonised and uncolonised plants. Both plant-internal isotope discrimination and prey spectra are probably independent of \textit{C. schmitzi} (and even if prey spectra differ, the prey $\delta^{15}N$ values are likely to be comparable).

How is the origin of nitrogen related to available quantity? Soil nitrogen should have a similar availability to all \textit{N. bicalcarata} in the same habitat, unaffected by \textit{C. schmitzi}. There was no indication of local differences in soil nutrient availability in our field site, since vegetation was more or less uniform. Furthermore, all plant categories were sampled intermixed along transects. The high $\delta^{15}N$ of colonised plants indicates that soil nitrogen is strongly diluted and masked by an overwhelming amount of insect nitrogen. In a habitat where nitrogen is limiting, plant fitness may be a function of the amount of insect-derived nitrogen. Thornham et al. \cite{5} showed that pitchers of comparable size and age contained on average 1.45 times more prey ants when \textit{C. schmitzi} were present. This difference is most likely a consequence of ant occupation rather than a cause, since the host cleaning behaviour of \textit{C. schmitzi} enhances the capture rate of pitcher traps \cite{5}. A positive effect on prey retention by the “ambush behaviour” of \textit{C. schmitzi} has also been reported \cite{4}, but this effect could not be reproduced in our experiments \cite{5}.

We present evidence that the increased provision with insect-derived nitrogen also occurs through the ants’ predation on infauna. \textit{C. schmitzi} ants reduced the emergence rate of flies from \textit{N. bicalcarata} pitchers, and they were effective predators of culicid pupae and syrphid larvae. Our $^{15}N$ pulse-chase experiment confirmed that nitrogen ingested by \textit{C. schmitzi} ants is taken up by \textit{N. bicalcarata} plants. This demonstrates that the ants’ predation on dipteran infauna will return nitrogen to the host plant which would have been lost otherwise. Exactly how much nitrogen is lost via emerging dipterans is still unknown, but we can make a rough estimate from our emergence trap data, where 81 Dipterans emerged from 20 pitchers in 7.85 observation days (i.e. 0.5 emerged insects per pitcher and day). Assuming a mean dry weight of 0.5 mg and 11\% nitrogen \cite{39} for each emerging fly, this would represent a nitrogen loss of 0.028 mg per day and pitcher. Shoots of \textit{N. bicalcarata} have a growth rate of 31.9 days per leaf \cite{5} and thus spend ca. 0.75 mg nitrogen per day on new leaves (calculated from a mean leaf dry mass of 4 g with 0.6\% nitrogen \cite{40}). As \textit{N. bicalcarata} shoots typically have c. 5 active pitchers (median of 27 shoots), the loss by emerging infauna for the whole shoot amounts to ca. 0.14 mg per day or approximately 18.7\% of the daily nitrogen consumption for growing new leaves. This suggests that plants would have access to 18.7\% more nitrogen if the infauna did not escape. Thus, it is likely that even a moderate reduction of this loss by \textit{C. schmitzi} ants will be of significant benefit to the plant.

Although previous authors have reported that \textit{C. schmitzi} ants can catch mosquito larvae in the pitcher fluid \cite{8,16}, the ants’ predatory behaviour had not been observed in detail. Our video recordings confirm that \textit{C. schmitzi} shows a vigorous hunting behaviour which is highly effective against active culicid pupae.

Our results suggest the ants’ predation on infauna is selective, and clearly they do not eliminate dipteran kleptoparasitism entirely. In our emergence traps, the numbers of phorids were reduced, and fly puparia were virtually absent from pitchers colonised by \textit{C. schmitzi}. True flies (Brachycera) are among the largest animals in pitcher communities, and their exclusion from ant-colonised pitchers may significantly reduce nitrogen losses. Considering that ant-colonised \textit{N. bicalcarata} pitchers have a higher prey capture rate than uncolonised ones \cite{5}, the null hypothesis should be that they also support a higher infaunal biomass. Thus, the observed overall parity between ant-colonised and uncolonised pitchers in emergence frequency might indicate an interference of the ants with other fly families, too.

It is likely that \textit{C. schmitzi} ants prey more efficiently on vulnerable stages (pupae) of dipterans than on their more mobile larvae. Clarke and Kitching \cite{8} introduced 10 culicid larvae into ant-colonised and uncolonised pitchers and found a small but significant decrease in larval survival attributable to the ants after one week (9.6 survived in uncolonised pitchers compared to 7.6 in colonised pitchers). Compared to the effect on culicid larvae, the
ants’ effect on culicid pupae observed in this study was much stronger.

Our analysis of trophic relationships in the *N. bicalcarata* pitcher food web indicates that all the “predators” examined, i.e. *C. schmitzi*, *Toxorhynchites* sp. and *Corethrella* sp., were actually feeding at a similar trophic level as their presumed food source, the other phytotelm larvae. This surprising result suggests that these insects were predominantly scavengers of drowned prey rather than hunters, in contrast to previous notions [19,21,22,40–42]. Thus, the predation of infauna by *C. schmitzi* may be facultative or opportunistic [8]. However, it is possible that an existing difference in trophic level between *C. schmitzi*, *Toxorhynchites* sp., *Corethrella* sp. and the group of “other larvae” has been obscured, because the latter group included consumers of microscopic infauna (filter feeders) and potentially other predators. Similarly, the intermediate/overlapping δ15N of detritus between prey and macro-invertebrate consumers may result from colonisation of the particles with microscopic infauna (bacteria, nematodes, mites, etc.), which may comprise several trophic levels in itself.

Interestingly, foliar nitrogen concentration was not increased by partner ant colonisation, in agreement with the results of Bazile et al. [17]. Apparently, better nutrition enhances growth in *Nepenthes* but does not increase foliar nutrient concentration. Moran and Moran [14] found that prey-deprived *N. rafflesiana* plants had a less efficient photosynthesis and produced less biomass than a fed control group, but tissue nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations were not reduced. A higher biomass production in fed plants was also found in *N. talangensis* and *N. ampullaria* [43,44]. As *N. bicalcarata* with *C. schmitzi* are likely to have a better supply of nutrients, their growth rates may be higher, making it beneficial for *N. bicalcarata* plants to house *C. schmitzi* ants. A further positive effect of *C. schmitzi* for *N. bicalcarata* is that the ants may disperse nutrients both in space (through movement between pitchers) and time (through temporary ‘sequestration’ in the ants’ bodies) on the host plant. *C. schmitzi* colonies may buffer fluctuations of nutrient availability such as mass capture events or capture of very large prey items [9] by feeding on such “excess” prey and then returning nutrients as waste more continuously.

*C. schmitzi* provides nutritional benefits to its host plant not only by increasing the rate of pitcher formation and enhancing the pitchers’ capture rate [4,5], but also by preventing nutrient export via dipteran kleptoparasites, as shown in this study. Uniquely, feeding of *N. bicalcarata* by *C. schmitzi* ants does not occur by the active collection of nutrients from outside the host plant as in other ant-fed plants [45–48]. It is likely that the nutritional benefits more than compensate the costs that *N. bicalcarata* might incur for housing the ants, such as the possible nutrient export via winged males and queens of *C. schmitzi* itself, the production of hollow tendril domatia and an increased nectar secretion [18]. Our study adds to a growing body of evidence showing that many alternative nutrient acquisition strategies have evolved in the genus *Nepenthes* [27,37,49–54].

The ecological role of *C. schmitzi* is comparable to that documented for aquatic predators attacking dipteran kleptoparasites in bromeliad phytotelmata [32,55]. Kleptoparasitism is known for many other carnivorous plants [36–38] and it is likely that selection pressure has favoured plant adaptations to combat the resulting nutrient loss. Carnivorous plants may have evolved direct defences against kleptoparasites such as actively closing traps which help to make prey less accessible to thieves (e.g. venus fly trap or sundew leaves [59–63]). The nutritional interaction between *N. bicalcarata*, pitcher infauna and *C. schmitzi* ants presented here constitutes a novel type of indirect plant defence against kleptoparasites, similar to the biotic defence by ants against herbivores and plant pathogens [64].

**Materials and Methods**

**Field Work**

Experiments were carried out in the Badas peat swamp forest (PSF) in Brunei Darussalam (4°33’34”N, 114°25’16”E). Leaf samples of *N. bicalcarata* were taken there and at three nearby sites along Labi Road, Belait district (one disturbed Alun Bunga PSF, one swampy kerangas secondary growth and one primary forest with intermixed patches of peat swamp and mixed dipterocarp). Our work was conducted under the research permit UBD/PSR/5(a) issued by the Brunei Department of Forestry and *Nepenthes* samples were exported to the UK for analysis under CITES permit BA/MAP/191/1108.

**Stable Isotope Natural Abundance**

**a) Effect of *C. schmitzi* and growth habit on *N. bicalcarata* isotope signature.** To assess the effect of *C. schmitzi* ants on the nutrition of *N. bicalcarata*, we analysed the amount and stable isotope composition for nitrogen (δ15N) of plants with at least three pitchers that were either uncolonised or colonised by *C. schmitzi*. "Uncolonised" plants were free of *C. schmitzi* and showed either no traces of previous colonisation or their last deserted domatium entrance was at least five leaf nodes below the sampled leaf, indicating that the plant had been without *C. schmitzi* ants for at least three months [5]. “Uncolonised” plants were only included if all adjacent *N. bicalcarata* and those with a possible rhizome connection were also ant-free. Each sample was taken from a different plant, and study plants belonged to clearly separated stands to avoid sampling from the same plant clone. The youngest offshoots from rhizomes were excluded to ensure that the nitrogen sequestration of sampled shoots was as independent as possible. 2–2.5 cm samples were cut from the middle of the leaf belonging to the youngest fully functional (= open) pitcher, as in previous studies [35,37]. Samples were washed and dried at 80°C on the day of collection.

We also compared climbing plants (climbing stems with at least 1 m of length) with non-climbing rosettes (at least 80 cm in diameter, consisting of at least four fully developed leaves and some independent stem). Leaf samples had a comparable age within the climbing/rosette categories, since the leaf node from which the sample was taken was similar between the colonised and uncolonised plants (two-way ANOVA on “leaf node”; “growth habit” $F_{1,55} = 19.76$, $P<0.001$; “ant colonisation” $F_{1,55} = 0.45$, $P=0.5$). Furthermore, the total stem length above ground was also similar between the colonised and uncolonised plants (two-way ANOVA on “total stem length”; “growth habit” $F_{1,43} = 12.12$, $P=0.001$; “ant colonisation” $F_{1,43} = 1.21$, $P=0.28$; these data were available for 46 of the study plants).

The δ15N of *N. bicalcarata* did not differ between the different study sites [Kruskal-Wallis tests, all $P\geq0.2$].

**b) Estimation of the amount of insect-derived nitrogen in *N. bicalcarata*.** We estimated the contribution of insect-derived nitrogen as opposed to soil-derived nitrogen to the nutrition of *N. bicalcarata* using a two-end member mixing model [47,65]:

$$\%N_{\text{insect}} = \left(\frac{\delta^{15}N_{\text{sample}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{non-CP}}}{\delta^{15}N_{\text{insect}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{non-CP}}}\right) \times 100$$

where δ15Nnon-CP is the mean δ15N of seven species of sympatric, non-carnivorous reference plants, and δ15NInsects is the mean δ15N of typical insect prey of *N. bicalcarata*. The δ15N of
the non-carnivorous reference plants was used as an estimate of the $815N$ of soil-derived nitrogen. Samples were taken as before from plants in the herb to tree strata at the Badas site. The species sampled were Aloesia longloba (Araceae), Clerodendrum fistulosum (Lamiaceae), Macaranga punctulata, M. caladiifolia, M. boncana (Euphorbiaceae), Pandanus andersonii (Pandanaeaceae) and Shorea albida (Dipterocarpaceae). 815NInsects was used to estimate the insect-derived nitrogen signature in N. bicalcarata, assuming that no isotopic fractionation takes place during digestion and absorption by the plant. As more than 90% of the prey animals of N. bicalcarata are ants [3,4], we sampled six morphospecies of ants that are frequently found in the pitchers or foraging on N. bicalcarata (three Camponotus spp., two Crematogaster spp., one unidentified species; one worker each) to obtain 815NInsects. We avoided the sampling of drowned animal remains from pitchers to exclude a possible isotopic alteration by digestive processes and contamination by microfauna.

c) Assessment of trophic relationships in N. bicalcarata phytotelmata. Food web components were sampled from ten pitchers of N. bicalcarata (see Table 2). Entire C. schmitzi subcolonies were harvested comprising all developmental stages from a pitcher and the appendent domatium. Aquatic larvae were killed by freezing and sorted under a stereo microscope into two categories “predators” (Toxorhynchites sp. and Corethrella sp.) and “other larvae” before drying as before. From each pitcher, several larvae were pooled as a single sample. Detritus samples included the empty exoskeletons of pitcher prey; we removed any discernible living infauna under the stereo microscope and dried the sample immediately. For “prey insects”, we used the same data from six morphospecies of ants as for the isotope mixing model (above).

Flux of Nitrogen from Ant Colony to Host Plant: Pulse-chase Experiments

We tested whether N. bicalcarata can absorb the nitrogen contained in a C. schmitzi colony, and if so, which surfaces of the plant are capable of absorbing. Four treatments were performed, each on a different plant. We used $15^N$-glycine (99 atom% $15^N$, Sigma-Aldrich Co., Ltd., Gillingham, UK) as a tracer. $15^N$-glycine was dissolved to give a $15^N$-concentration of 1.302 M (100 mg of 99 atom% $15^N$-glycine per ml) in either water (“water tracer”) or in a 1.25 M (30 mass-%) sucrose solution (“sugar tracer”). The solution was administered to the plants or to ant feeders using a microliter pipette. Samples for isotopic analysis were taken both immediately before tracer application (as control) and 14 days later. Leaves were sampled twice, from their tips, left of the midrib at t = 0 and from their middle, avoiding the midrib, at t = 14 d. In all except for the first treatment, only the youngest leaves were sampled. Two ants were sampled from each pitcher at t = 0. Whole colonies including workers, alates and brood from the domatia were collected separately for each pitcher at t = 14 d. Ants were first stored in alcohol (storage in alcohol has no isotopic effect [66]), then dried and ground to a powder to analyze 15N abundance for the whole colony or subcolonies as appropriate. Results were calculated as APE (atom% excess), defined here as the difference in atom% (percentage of $15^N$ among all nitrogen isotopes) between the samples of t = 0 and t = 14 d.

The following experiments were conducted:

a) Nitrogen transfer from C. schmitzi to N. bicalcarata. 50 μl sugar tracer were offered to the C. schmitzi colony in each of five pitchers on a N. bicalcarata plant of around 1.4 m diameter that had eight pitchers in total. Thus, a total of 250 μl tracer ($n(15^N) = 325.4 \mu$mol; $m(15^N) = 4.882$ mg) was fed to the ant colony. We mounted ant feeders inside N. bicalcarata pitchers in order to exclude visitors other than C. schmitzi and to avoid direct contact between tracer and host plant. The feeders consisted of lidless Eppendorf tubes, held upright, with a droplet of tracer solution inside. The depth of the feeders ensured that the ants would need to take several steps after drinking and thereby wipe off any tracer fluid that might stick to their feet. We observed that the animals avoided stepping into the fluid while drinking from it. A plastic bag was placed over the whole pitcher to exclude rain and flying insects. Ants were allowed to ingest the solution for two full days, after which no more liquid was left inside the feeders. After 14 days, all 19 leaves on the plant were sampled.

b) Absorptive surfaces of N. bicalcarata. To investigate which surfaces of N. bicalcarata that are frequently occupied by C. schmitzi have the potential to absorb nitrogenous compounds, we applied water tracer directly to two uncolonised rosette plants, each with a diameter of 60 cm. One plant was given 50 μl water tracer into each of its three pitchers, totalling 195.3 μmol of $15^N$-glycine. In the other plant, the unopened domatia in three pitcher tendrils were carefully cut open using a scalpel and into each, 50 μl of the same tracer solution were injected, ensuring that no tracer came into contact with the tendril wounds. The wounds were then

| C. schmitzi | pitcher type | leaf node | samples (each item = one combusted sample) |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------------------------|
| yes         | aerial       | 16        | ant colony, other larvae, Corethrella, detritus |
| yes         | aerial       | 6         | ant colony, other larvae, detritus        |
| yes         | ground       | 3         | ant colony, other larvae, Toxorhynchites, detritus |
| yes         | aerial       | 14        | ant colony, other larvae, Toxorhynchites, detritus |
| yes         | aerial       | 6         | ant colony, other larvae, detritus        |
| no          | aerial       | 10        | other larvae, detritus                    |
| no          | aerial       | 5         | other larvae, detritus                    |
| no          | ground       | 5         | other larvae, Toxorhynchites, detritus    |
| no          | ground       | 3         | other larvae, Toxorhynchites, detritus    |
| no          | aerial       | 10        | other larvae, detritus                    |

Table 2. Samples taken for the analysis of the natural abundance of $15^N$ in N. bicalcarata phytotelm food webs.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063556.t002

Each of the ten pitchers grew on a different plant, and food web components were sampled and analysed from each pitcher separately. “Other larvae” refers to Brachycera and putative non-predatory Nematocera.
scaled with Tanglefoot® (Contech Ent. Inc., Victoria, BC, Canada).

c) Nitrogen transfer from C. schmitzi to N. bicalcarata without pitchers. To examine whether C. schmitzi are able to transfer nitrogen to their host via surfaces other than the pitcher, we selected a plant of similar shape as in the first treatment, but with a smaller C. schmitzi colony (assessed by counting ants under the pitcher rim using a dentist’s mirror). In this treatment, all pitchers on the plant were cut from their tendrils at the point of insertion to interrupt vascular flow, and then mounted back onto the tendril to allow the ants to move between the pitcher and the domatia as under natural conditions. This procedure left the domatia intact, but it inevitably led to draining of the pitcher fluid. Tracer–sucrose solution was supplied in ant feeders in the same way as explained above in three of the four pitchers of the plant. The ants were allowed to feed on the tracer solution for seven days, after which some of it was still left.

Stable Isotope Chemical Analysis

Dried samples were analysed for their nitrogen isotope composition using an elemental analyser (Costech International SpA, Milan, Italy) attached to a MAT 253 mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, USA). Weighed samples of standards were analysed at various points throughout the run allowing percentage nitrogen to be calculated for the batch of samples. Calibration reference standards for δ15N (air) were obtained from IAEA in Vienna, and were run in parallel with the samples. Precision of analyses was ±0.5% for amounts of nitrogen, and better than 0.1 %o for 14N/15N.

Interaction of C. schmitzi with Aquatic Dipteran Larvae

a) Rate of dipteran emergence from natural pitcher communities. To test whether C. schmitzi ants affect the numbers of dipterans emerging from pitchers, we equipped 20 pitchers from plants colonised by C. schmitzi and 20 pitchers from uncolonised plants with specially designed "emergence traps" (Fig. 5). The traps consisted of a sealed enclosure around the pitcher leading into a collection bottle containing 1.5% CuSO₄ solution in which emerging insects were killed. From the collection bottle, insects were transferred to 70% ethanol, counted and identified to family level under a stereo microscope using identification keys [67,68]. We ensured that pitchers in both groups had comparable ages (leaf node numbers), controlling for possible age effects on the infaunal community. Traps were set up for 7–9 days in June 2011, ensuring that sampling interval did not differ between the groups (Wilcoxon signed rank test; W = 210, P = 0.78). In the colonised pitchers, the number of C. schmitzi ants decreased slightly but not significantly over the trapping period (start: 12.9 ± 3.14 ants; end: 11.6 ± 3.20 ants (mean ± S.E.); paired Wilcoxon signed rank test; V = 143, P = 0.054). Habitat (pitcher) size tended to be larger in colonised pitchers but was also not significantly different between the treatment groups (peristome diameter: Wilcoxon rank sum test; W = 139, P = 0.15; fluid volume: Wilcoxon rank sum test; W = 135.5, P = 0.083).

b) Abundance of fly puparia in pitchers. We investigated whether C. schmitzi presence has an effect on the abundance of infauna puparia of (brachyceran) fly species that pupate on the inner wall of N. bicalcarata. Between 4 June 2011 and 13 July 2011, empty and living puparia were counted in randomly chosen ant-colonised (n = 67) and uncolonised pitchers (n = 60) using a dentist’s mirror. Additionally, approximately 400 pitchers of N. bicalcarata were visually examined for Brachycera larvae presence in the fluid. When found, notes were taken on the colonisation status of the pitcher by C. schmitzi ants. Ten larvae and two puparia were collected and reared in plastic cups for identification. Although most larvae began pupation, only two were successfully reared. One of these was Wilhelmina nepenthicola, the other was Nepenthosyrphus oudemansi [69]. A third species was putatively identified as Nepenthosyrphus sp. from larval characters.

c) Test of the predatory behaviour of C. schmitzi against aquatic Diptera. To test the hypothesis that C. schmitzi predate pitcher infauna, we observed the ants’ interactions with syrphid...
larae (Eristalis sp.) and culicid pupae (Aedes sp.) released into the pitcher fluid.

As previous studies had reported relatively low predation rates for culicid larvae [9], and larvae of Culicidae, Chironomidae and Ceratopogonidae are commonly found in ant-colonised pitchers, we treat pitcher Nepenthes bicalcarata as one of the culicid’s most vulnerable life stages. We tested the effect of C. schmitzi on the survival and emergence of culicid pupae collected from a drainage ditch where they were available in very large numbers. Individual pitchers, one per plant, were emptied of their contents using a modified aspirator (poorer). The fluid was then replaced with tap water to facilitate identification later. After counting the number of C. schmitzi ants under the peristome, 20 healthy mosquito pupae were released into the pitcher fluid. The pitcher was then enclosed in a transparent 1.5 l plastic bottle (Fig. 5, but without upper collection bottle), which allowed free passage for ants but trapped emerging flies. The experiment was repeated for nine C. schmitzi colonised plants and ten uncolonised plants. Bottles and pitcher fluids were inspected daily, until no more living mosquito pupae could be found (they had either died, emerged or disappeared). The number of surviving mosquito pupae, dead or missing individuals as well as living, emerged adults after one day was counted and compared between ant-colonised and control pitchers, as was the total (final) number of successfully emerged adults.

On one occasion, we were able to collect 22 culicid pupae from a single N. bicalcarata pitcher, belonging to two morphospecies. This allowed us to repeat the above experiment with natural infauna. Eleven pupae each (9+2 specimens from each morphospecies per pitcher) were put into one ant-colonised and one ant-free control pitcher. As before, pitchers were enclosed in emergence traps and inspected daily, collecting the same data as above.

A variation of the above experiment was performed using third instar syrphid larvae, again collected from a drainage ditch in large numbers. Here, one syrphid larva was put into each of 30 N. bicalcarata pitchers with C. schmitzi present. As few uninhabited pitchers were available and as the larval mortality was assumed to be independent of the number of individuals in one pitcher 31 syrphid larvae were put into 12 uncolonised pitchers with a maximum of three larvae per pitcher. The pitchers were enclosed in emergence traps and the number of surviving syrphid larvae after two days was compared between ant-colonised and control pitchers.

The behaviour of C. schmitzi towards the ‘model’ culicid pupae and syrphid larvae was documented on video (Sony Handycam DCR-SR35E, Sony Corp., Japan).

Supporting Information

Video S1 Movie of Camponotus schmitzi ants showing aquatic hunting for mosquito pupae. (AVI)

Video S2 Movie of a Camponotus schmitzi ant showing aquatic hunting for a large fly larva. (AVI)

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the family of Abdul Hadzid Harith Tinggal and Jeney Daud (Telamba Homestay), as well as Yen Shan Lim and family, for their help and support in all logistical matters during our stay in Brunei. The isotope samples were analysed by the Godwin Laboratory for Palaeoclimate Research, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge. We thank Charles Clarke, Geoff Hancock and Graham Rotheray for their help in identifying insects.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MS DGT WF. Performed the experiments: MS DGT WF. Analyzed the data: MS DGT WF. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: TUG WF. Wrote the paper: MS DGT WF.

References

1. Beattie AJ (1985) The Evolutionary Ecology of Ant-Plant Mutualisms. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
2. Heil M, McKay D (2003) Protective anti-plant interactions as model systems in ecological and evolutionary research. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 34: 425–453.
3. Ausden M, Hales C (2003) A simple method to estimate the populations of important species using a peristome trap. J Appl Ecol 40: 411–415.
4. Bonhomme V, Gounand I, Alaux C, Jousselin E, Barthelemy D, et al. (2011) Feeding efficiency of field-collected ants foraging on pitcher plants. Oecologia 166: 569–578.
5. Thornham DG, Smith JM, Grafe TU, Federle W (2012) Setting the trap: characteristic prey with the peristome, a fully wettable water-lubricated anisotropic surface. J Comp Physiol A Neuroethol Sens Neural Behav Physiol 198: 465–476.
6. Merbach MA, Zizka G, Fiala B, Merbach DJ, Booth WE, et al. (2007) Why a carnivorous pitcher plant (Nepenthes bicalcarata) is so successful. J Comp Physiol B 177: 607–616.
7. Sta¨rcke A (1933) Contribution a l’e´tude de la faune ne´penthicole. Art. III. Un nouveau Camponotus de Bornéo, habitant les tiges creuses de Nepenthaceae: Anatomy and functional aspects. Ecotropica 3: 45–50.
8. Kitching RL, van der Maarel S, Rotheray G (2000) Foraging strategies of ants in pitcher plants: fauna and infauna. J Comp Physiol A Neuroethol Sens Neural Behav Physiol 180: 465–476.
9. cheque J, Ebel C (2001) Nepenthaceae. Flora Malaisiana 1: 1–157.
10. Bohn HF, Federle W (2004) Insect aquaplaning: a single ANV I Video S1 Movie of a Camponotus schmitzi ant showing aquatic hunting for a large fly larva. (AVI)

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the family of Abdul Hadzid Harith Tinggal and Jeney Daud (Telamba Homestay), as well as Yen Shan Lim and family, for their help and support in all logistical matters during our stay in Brunei. The isotope samples were analysed by the Godwin Laboratory for Palaeoclimate Research, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge. We thank Charles Clarke, Geoff Hancock and Graham Rotheray for their help in identifying insects.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MS DGT WF. Performed the experiments: MS DGT WF. Analyzed the data: MS DGT WF. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: TUG WF. Wrote the paper: MS DGT WF.

References

1. Beattie AJ (1985) The Evolutionary Ecology of Ant-Plant Mutualisms. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
2. Heil M, McKay D (2003) Protective anti-plant interactions as model systems in ecological and evolutionary research. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 34: 425–453.
3. Ausden M, Hales C (2003) A simple method to estimate the populations of important species using a peristome trap. J Appl Ecol 40: 411–415.
4. Bonhomme V, Gounand I, Alaux C, Jousselin E, Barthelemy D, et al. (2011) Feeding efficiency of field-collected ants foraging on pitcher plants. Oecologia 166: 569–578.
5. Thornham DG, Smith JM, Grafe TU, Federle W (2012) Setting the trap: characteristic prey with the peristome, a fully wettable water-lubricated anisotropic surface. J Comp Physiol A Neuroethol Sens Neural Behav Physiol 198: 465–476.
6. Merbach MA, Zizka G, Fiala B, Merbach DJ, Booth WE, et al. (2007) Why a carnivorous pitcher plant (Nepenthes bicalcarata) is so successful. J Comp Physiol B 177: 607–616.
7. Sta¨rcke A (1933) Contribution a l’e´tude de la faune ne´penthicole. Art. III. Un nouveau Camponotus de Bornéo, habitant les tiges creuses de Nepenthaceae: Anatomy and functional aspects. Ecotropica 3: 45–50.
8. Kitching RL, van der Maarel S, Rotheray G (2000) Foraging strategies of ants in pitcher plants: fauna and infauna. J Comp Physiol A Neuroethol Sens Neural Behav Physiol 180: 465–476.
9. cheque J, Ebel C (2001) Nepenthaceae. Flora Malaisiana 1: 1–157.
10. Bohn HF, Federle W (2004) Insect aquaplaning: a single ANV I Video S1 Movie of a Camponotus schmitzi ant showing aquatic hunting for a large fly larva. (AVI)

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the family of Abdul Hadzid Harith Tinggal and Jeney Daud (Telamba Homestay), as well as Yen Shan Lim and family, for their help and support in all logistical matters during our stay in Brunei. The isotope samples were analysed by the Godwin Laboratory for Palaeoclimate Research, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge. We thank Charles Clarke, Geoff Hancock and Graham Rotheray for their help in identifying insects.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MS DGT WF. Performed the experiments: MS DGT WF. Analyzed the data: MS DGT WF. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: TUG WF. Wrote the paper: MS DGT WF.

References

1. Beattie AJ (1985) The Evolutionary Ecology of Ant-Plant Mutualisms. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
2. Heil M, McKay D (2003) Protective anti-plant interactions as model systems in ecological and evolutionary research. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 34: 425–453.
3. Ausden M, Hales C (2003) A simple method to estimate the populations of important species using a peristome trap. J Appl Ecol 40: 411–415.
4. Bonhomme V, Gounand I, Alaux C, Jousselin E, Barthelemy D, et al. (2011) Feeding efficiency of field-collected ants foraging on pitcher plants. Oecologia 166: 569–578.
5. Thornham DG, Smith JM, Grafe TU, Federle W (2012) Setting the trap: characteristic prey with the peristome, a fully wettable water-lubricated anisotropic surface. J Comp Physiol A Neuroethol Sens Neural Behav Physiol 198: 465–476.
44. Pavlovic A, Slováková L, Santrucek J (2011) Nutritional benefit from leaf litter utilization by the tropical pitcher plant Nepenthes ampullaria. Int J Plant Sci 164: 635-639.

45. Beattie A (1989) Myrmecotrophy - plants fed by ants. Trends Ecol Evol 4: 172–176.

46. Gay H (1993) Animal-feeding plants: an investigation into the uptake of ant-derived nutrients by the far-eastern epiphytic fern Lomatosperma reinw. (Polypodiaceae). Biodiversity 50: 221–233.

47. Treutler KK, Davidson DW, Eldering JR (1993) Absorption of ant-provided carbon dioxide and nitrogen by a tropical epiphyte. Nature 365: 137–139.

48. Waters KE, Cardelús CL, Mack MC (2008) Ants mediate nitrogen relations of an epiphytic fern. New Phytol 180: 5–8.

49. Merbach MA, Merbach DJ, Maschwitz U, Booth WE, Fiala B, et al. (2002) Carnivorous plants: Mass march of termites into the deadly trap. Nature 415: 36–37.

50. Bauer U, Grafe TU, Federle W (2011) Evidence for alternative trapping strategies in two forms of the pitcher plant, Nepenthes rafflesiana. J Exp Bot 62: 3963–3972.

51. Bonhomme V, Pelloux-Prayer H, Jousselin E, Forterre Y, Labat JJ, et al. (2011) Slippery or sticky? Functional diversity in the trapping strategy of Nepenthes carnivorous plants. New Phytol 191: 543–554.

52. Grafe TU, Schoener CR, Keith G, Junsadi A, Schoener MG (2011) A novel resource-service mutualism between bats and pitcher plants. Biol Lett 7: 436–439.

53. Bauer U, Clemente CJ, Reuner T, Federle W (2012) Form follows function: morphological diversification and alternative trapping strategies in carnivorous Nepenthes pitcher plants. J Evol Biol 25: 90–102.

54. Bauer U, Di Giusto B, Skepper J, Grafe TU, Federle W (2012) With a flick of the lid: a novel trapping mechanism in Nepenthes graminata pitcher plants. PLoS ONE 7: e30051.

55. Starzomski BM, Suen D, Sivasravasa DS (2010) Predation and facilitation determine chironomid emergence in a bromeliad-insect food web. Ecol Entomol 35: 53–60.

56. Zamora R, Gomez JM (1996) Carnivorous plant slug interaction: A trip from herbivory to kleptoparasitism. J Anim Ecol 65: 154–160.

57. Zamora R (1999) Observational and experimental study of a carnivorous plant - ant kleptobiotic interaction. Oikos 59: 368–372.

58. Anderson B, Midgley JJ (2002) It takes two to tango but three is a tangle: mutualists and cheaters on the carnivorous plant Rhodina. Oecologia 132: 369–373.

59. Gibson TC, Waller DM (2009) Evolving Darwin’s ‘most wonderful’ plant: ecological steps to a snap-trap. New Phytol 183: 573–577.

60. Poppina S, Hartmeyer SRH, Seidel R, Masselter T, Hartmeyer I, et al. (2012) Catapulating tentacles in a sticky carnivorous plant. PLoS ONE 7: e35735.

61. Fortune T, Skotheim JM, Dumas J, Mahadevan L (2003) How the Venus flytrap snaps. Nature 433: 421–425.

62. Darvin C (1875) Insectivorous plants. London: Appleton.

63. Lloyd FE (1942) The carnivorous plants. New York: Ronald Press.

64. Heil M (2008) Indirect defence via tritrophic interactions. New Phytol 178: 41–61.

65. Schulze E, Schulze W, Pate J, Gillison A (1997) The nitrogen supply from soils and insects during growth of the pitcher plants Nepenthes mutabilis, Cephalotus follicularis and Darlingtonia californica. Oecologia 112: 464–471.

66. Clarke CM (1992) The ecology of metazoan communities in Nepenthes pitcher plants in Borneo, with special reference to the community of Nepenthes sikkimensis Hook. [PhD thesis]: University of New England.

67. Kitching RL, Schofield C (1986) Every pitcher tells a story. New Scientist 109: 46–50.

68. Moran JA, Hawkins BJ, Gowen BE, Robbins SL (2010) Ion fluxes across the pitcher walls of three Bornean Nepenthes pitcher plant species: flux rates and gland distribution patterns reflect nitrogen sequestration strategies. J Exp Bot 61: 1365–1374.

69. Bradshaw WE, Credner RA (1984) Mutualism between the carnivorous purple pitcher plant and its inhabitants. Am Midl Nat 112: 294–304.

70. Butler JL, Gestell NJ, Ellison AM (2008) Linking the brown and green: nutrient transformation and fate in the Sarracenia microecosystem. Ecology 89: 898–904.

71. Karatzides JD, Butler JL, Ellison AM (2009) The pitcher plant Sarracenia purpurea can directly acquire organic nitrogen and short-circuit the inorganic nitrogen cycle. PLoS ONE 4: e6164.

72. Butler JL, Gotelli NJ, Ellison AM (2008) Linking the brown and green: nutrient transformation and fate in the Sarracenia microecosystem. Ecology 89: 898–904.

73. Morán JA, Merbach MA, Livingston NJ, Clarke CM, Booth WE (2001) Termite prey specialization in the pitcher plant Nepenthes albocongina - Evidence from stable isotope analysis. Ann Bot 88: 307–311.

74. Moran JA (1996) Pitcher dimorphism, prey composition and the mechanisms of prey attraction in the pitcher plant Nepenthes rafflesiana in Borneo. J Ecol 84: 515–525.

75. Clarke CM, Bauer U, Lee CC, Tsien AA, Rembold K, et al. (2009) Tree shrews lavatories: a novel nitrogen sequestration strategy in a tropical pitcher plant. Biol Lett 5: 632–635.

76. Kolb KJ, Evans RD (2002) Implications of leaf nitrogen recycling on the nitrogen isotope composition of deciduous plant tissues. New Phytol 156: 57–64.

77. Fagan WF, Niemann E, Mitter C, Dembo RF, Huberty AF, et al. (2002) Nitrogen in insects: Implications for trophic complexity and species diversification. Ann Nat 160: 784–802.

78. Mogi M, Yosh HS (1992) Aquatic arthropod communities in Nepenthes pitchers - the role of niche differentiation, aggregation, predation and competition in community organization. Oecologia 90: 172–184.

79. Mogi M, Chan KL (1996) Predatory habits of dipteran larvae inhabiting Nepenthes pitchers. Calif Fish Bull Zool 44: 233–245.

80. Sota T, Mogi M, Kato K (1998) Local and regional-scale food web structure in Nepenthes alata pitchers. Biota tropica 30: 82–91.

81. Pavlovic A, Singerova L, Demko V, Hudak J (2010) Root nutrient uptake enhances photosynthetic assimilation in prey-deprived carnivorous pitcher plant Nepenthes taliangensis. Photosynthetica 48: 227–233.

82. Moran JA, Merbach MA, Livingston NJ, Clarke CM, Booth WE (2001) Termite prey specialization in the pitcher plant Nepenthes albocongina - Evidence from stable isotope analysis. Ann Bot 88: 307–311.

83. Moran JA (1996) Pitcher dimorphism, prey composition and the mechanisms of prey attraction in the pitcher plant Nepenthes rafflesiana in Borneo. J Ecol 84: 515–525.

84. Clarke CM, Bauer U, Lee CC, Tsien AA, Rembold K, et al. (2009) Tree shrews lavatories: a novel nitrogen sequestration strategy in a tropical pitcher plant. Biol Lett 5: 632–635.