Photoswitching in nanoporous, crystalline solids: an experimental and theoretical study for azobenzene linkers incorporated in MOFs†
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In this article, we use the popular photoswitchable molecule, azobenzene, to demonstrate that the embedding in a nanoporous, crystalline solid enables a precise understanding of light-induced, reversible molecular motion. We investigate two similar azobenzene–containing, pillared-layer metal–organic frameworks (MOFs): Cu2(AzoBPDC)2(BiPy) and Cu2(NDC)2(AzoBiPy). Experimental results from UV–vis spectroscopy and molecular uptake experiments as well as theoretical results based on density-functional theory (DFT) show that in the Cu2(AzoBPDC)2(BiPy) MOF structure, the azobenzene side groups undergo photosomerization when irradiated with UV or visible light. In a very similar MOF structure, Cu2(NDC)2(AzoBiPy), the experimental studies show an unexpected absence of photoisomerization. The DFT calculations reveal that in both MOFs the initial and final states of the photoswitching process (the trans and the cis conformation) have similar energies, which strongly suggests that the reason for the effective blocking of photoswitching in the AzoBiPy-based MOFs must be related to the switching process itself. More detailed calculations show that in Cu2(NDC)2(AzoBiPy) a naphthalene linker from the molecular framework blocks the photosomerization trajectory which leads from the trans to the cis conformation. For Cu2(AzoBPDC)2(BiPy), as a result of the different geometry, such a steric hindrance is absent.

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are crystalline, porous solids, self-assembled from metal knots and organic linker molecules.1 Due to their large structural variety, MOFs enable many different applications, ranging from gas storage and separation2 over catalysis3 to potential applications, such as in biomedicine4 and sensor techniques.5 One particularly interesting property of MOFs is the availability of free space, which allows the incorporation of photoswitchable molecules. This may enable the switching of physical or chemical properties in a fully remote-controlled way, i.e. without any (e.g. electrical) contacts. So far, only a few MOFs, which contains linker molecules with photoswitchable azobenzene side groups have been synthesized.6–12 Some proof-of-principle studies show how the adsorption capacity of gas molecules, like methane and carbon dioxide, can be varied.7,10 Enabled by the photoswitching of the azobenzene in the MOF structure, other pioneering experiments demonstrated the remote-controlled release of guest molecules.11,12

In order to have a successful incorporation of photoswitchable molecules into solids, a detailed analysis of the dynamics of the photoswitching process itself is necessary. In this context it should be noted that pure azobenzene (C12H10N2) shows no switching behavior in the condensed, crystalline form.13 However, for azobenzene derivatives, like azobenzene functionalized with various halogens,14 photoswitching can be observed also in the condensed, crystalline phase. When pure azobenzene is in solution, switching can typically be observed with precise dynamics (switching speed, relaxation times, etc.) depending on the properties (viscosity, polarizability, etc.) of the liquid. In the gas phase, switching can also be observed directly.15 When azobenzene...
units are embedded into polymers\textsuperscript{16} or bound to other materials like graphene,\textsuperscript{17} photoswitching is often enabled, but the properties are affected by the environment.\textsuperscript{16,18,19} Switching is only possible if sufficient space is available within the polymer. A number of studies showed that the switching, \textit{i.e.} the achieved \textit{cis} percentage, can vary between more than 80% in rather porous polymers\textsuperscript{20,21} and virtually zero in densely packed polymers,\textsuperscript{22,23} where the photoswitching is sterically hindered.

In this work, we study azobenzene units that have been incorporated into crystalline, nanoporous solids. The use of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) allows us to perform detailed experimental and theoretical studies of the switching process since the structure of these compounds is well-defined. We used thin, epitaxially grown films of two different azobenzene-containing pillared-layer MOF structures which were already published: \textit{Cu\textsubscript{2}(NDC)\textsubscript{2}(AzoBiPy)\textsuperscript{9}}\textsuperscript{9} and \textit{Cu\textsubscript{2}(AzoBPDC)\textsubscript{2}(BiPy)},\textsuperscript{11} see Fig. 1 and Fig. S1 (ESI\textsuperscript{†}) (NDC: 2,6-naphthalenedicarboxylic acid; BiPy: 4,4′-bipyridine; AzoBiPy: 3-azobenzene-4,4′-bipryidine; AzoBPDC: 3-azobenzene 4,4′-biphenyldicarboxylic acid. Cu\textsubscript{2}(NDC)\textsubscript{2}(AzoBiPy) is also known as Cu-CAU-5.\textsuperscript{6,14}) For these MOF-types, we were able to fabricate well-ordered SURMOFs, which is not always the case.\textsuperscript{24} These thin surface-mounted MOF films (SURMOFs) were grown on a functionalized solid surface in a layer-by-layer fashion using liquid-phase epitaxy.\textsuperscript{25} The out-of-plane and in-plane X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (Fig. S2, ESI\textsuperscript{†}) verify the highly crystalline and oriented SURMOF growths (Fig. S2, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). By exploiting UV-vis spectroscopy, uptake experiments using a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) and density-functional theory (DFT) calculations, we are able to show that the azobenzene units within the \textit{Cu\textsubscript{2}(AzoBPDC)\textsubscript{2}(BiPy)} MOF undergo photoisomerization when irradiated with UV light, whereas the other MOF structure cannot be photoswitched due to steric hindrance.

The UV-vis spectra of both linkers recorded in solution (ethanol) and after incorporation into the corresponding MOF films are shown in Fig. 2. When irradiated with UV light, the photoswitching of the linker molecules in solution, \textit{i.e.} the light-induced isomerization of the azobenzene side groups from the planar \textit{trans} to the \textit{cis} state, is clearly visible as a decrease of the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ band (at about 320 nm) and an increase of the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ band (at about 440 nm; Fig. 2(a) and (b)). The intensity shifts of both bands are generally contributed to the photoswitching process.\textsuperscript{26,27} By irradiating these solutions with visible light (in this case a 560 nm LED, which usually results in an efficient \textit{cis}-to-\textit{trans} photoisomerization\textsuperscript{27}), the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ band decreases and the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ band increases again, indicating that the molecules are switched back to the \textit{trans} state. Thus, in ethanol solution both linker molecules, AzoBiPy and AzoBPDC, are clearly photoswitchable.

With azobenzene-linkers incorporated in thin MOF films, the situation is quite different. In this case, only the MOF of type \textit{Cu\textsubscript{2}(AzoBPDC)\textsubscript{2}(BiPy)} shows comparable shifts of the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ and $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ band intensities when irradiated with UV light (see Fig. 2(c)). Similar to the linker molecules in solution, irradiation of \textit{Cu\textsubscript{2}(AzoBPDC)\textsubscript{2}(BiPy)} with visible light results in an $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ band decrease and the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ band increases again. This indicates that the azobenzene moieties in \textit{Cu\textsubscript{2}(AzoBPDC)\textsubscript{2}(BiPy)} can be switched by UV light from the \textit{trans} to the \textit{cis} state and back again by visible light. However, when thin films of type \textit{Cu\textsubscript{2}(NDC)\textsubscript{2}(AzoBiPy)} are irradiated with UV or visible light, no changes in the UV-vis spectra could be observed (see Fig. 2(d)).

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{structure.pdf}
\caption{Structure of the azobenzene-containing linkers and MOFs. AzoBPDC (a) and AzoBiPy (b) linkers in the planar \textit{trans} state can be switched to the bent \textit{cis} state by UV light. By irradiation with visible light (or by thermal relaxation), the azobenzene side group goes back to its basic \textit{trans} state. Side views of the structures of MOF Cu\textsubscript{2}(AzoBPDC)\textsubscript{2}(BiPy) (c) and MOF Cu\textsubscript{2}(NDC)\textsubscript{2}(AzoBiPy) (d) are shown with the azobenzene side groups in the \textit{trans} state (the Cu atoms are plotted orange, O red, C grey, N blue and H white). While the photoisomerization in MOF Cu\textsubscript{2}(AzoBPDC)\textsubscript{2}(BiPy) is enabled (e), the photoisomerization in MOF Cu\textsubscript{2}(NDC)\textsubscript{2}(AzoBiPy) is sterically hindered (f).}
\end{figure}
Even after irradiating the sample with UV or visible light for long periods of time of up to 12 h, the UV-vis spectrum did not significantly change. This is a clear indication that no photo-switching occurs in Cu$_2$(AzoBiPy).

By means of X-ray diffraction (Fig. S3, ESI†), it was shown that the crystallinities of the SURMOF samples are not affected by the UV irradiation.

An independent way to investigate the switching of the azo-based linkers used here is to monitor the effect of the transport of guest molecules inside the MOF. Due to the different conformation in the trans and the cis state, the corresponding diffusion coefficients and adsorption capacities are expected to be different. A convenient way to study these effects in thin MOF films is to monitor the molecular uptake of small guest molecules using an appropriate sensor. We used 1,4-butanediol as a probe molecule and studied the loading by the corresponding SURMOFs by means of a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM).\(^{11}\) The corresponding results for the uptake by the thin MOF film in the pristine state (trans) and by the thin MOF film after UV irradiation are shown in Fig. 3. While the UV irradiation resulted in a significant increase of the uptake amount and a decrease of the uptake rate for Cu$_2$(AzoBPDC)$_2$(BiPy), no significant change of the uptake was observed for Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy) after irradiation with UV light. Thus, the uptake data verify the findings from UV-vis spectroscopy that Cu$_2$(AzoBPDC)$_2$(BiPy) is photoswitchable and can perform isomerization when irradiated with UV light, while Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy) cannot.

For a better understanding of why in one MOF structure the photoinduced isomerization is enabled and in the other it is not, we performed DFT calculations for the optimized trans and cis states in each compound. The geometries of these two states are characterized by values of the dihedral reaction coordinate of $\omega = 180^\circ$ (trans) and $\omega = \sim 20^\circ$ (cis), respectively. Like in plain azobenzene, both states are (meta-)stable for the two MOF structures, with trans being the ground state at a lower potential energy than the cis state ($\Delta E_{\text{Cu}_2(\text{AzoBPDC})_2(\text{BiPy})} = 0.76$ eV and $\Delta E_{\text{Cu}_2(\text{NDC})_2(\text{AzoBiPy})} = 0.89$ eV). A similarly minute influence of the MOF structures is seen in the vertical excitation energies at the two metastable states as calculated with time-dependent DFT. There is no significant difference when the azobenzene in the two MOF structures are compared to each other or when they are compared to plain azobenzene. With respect to the ground-state energetics, this similarity also extends beyond these two states. As shown in Fig. 4, both MOFs exhibit similar minimum energy pathways along the reaction coordinate $\omega$, i.e. when all other degrees of freedom are fully optimized for a given value of $\omega$ (black lines in Fig. 4(c) and (d) for Cu$_2$(AzoBPDC)$_2$(BiPy) and Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy), respectively).

Since the initial and the final states as well as the minimum energy pathways show no indication of the absence of the photoswitching in Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy), we focus on the dynamics of the process itself. This pertains specifically to the trajectories of the molecular fragments during the course of the photoswitching process. Such investigations would be hardly meaningful in the

---

**Fig. 2** UV-vis spectra of the AzoBPDC (a) and AzoBiPy (b) linker molecules in ethanol solution as well as of the SURMOFs of type Cu$_2$(AzoBPDC)$_2$(BiPy) (c) and Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy) (d). Measurements of the pristine sample, i.e. before the samples were exposed to any light (black lines), after UV irradiation for 20 min (blue lines) and after irradiation with visible light for 3 h (red lines) are compared. The spectra in (d) are essentially identical.
Next to the azobenzene-rotation angle potential energy hypersurface (PES) are plotted for the two MOFs. Projections of the corresponding high-dimensional ground-state projections also consider a second generalized coordinate, i.e. coordinates $q$ corresponding to the respective trans $\leftrightarrow$ cis minimum energy pathways, which are discussed above, i.e. all other coordinates can optimize perfectly for each value of $q$. Coordinates $q = 180^\circ$ (20°) indicate that all other coordinates remain as they are in the trans (cis) state. As shown in Fig. 4(c), the azobenzene group in Cu$_2$(AzobPDC)$_2$(BiPy) can be brought from the trans to the cis energy minimum by a simple rotation along $\omega$ with little influence from other degrees of freedom (red line). This means, even if all other coordinates were frozen at the trans configuration, a cis-like local minimum along $\omega$ exists. This minimum can be reached through the photoswitching, after which further relaxation of $q$ directly leads to the actual cis state. On the other hand, in Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy), the situation is quite different. Here, only a concerted motion of $\omega$ and $q$ could lead to a stable cis state. Starting from a structure with $q$ frozen at the trans state, a simple rotation along $\omega$ alone does not lead to the cis state’s energetic minimum (red line in Fig. 4(d)). This qualitative difference in the ground-state energetics is a result of the steric hindrance for the rotation of the azo bond. Whereas in Cu$_2$(AzobPDC)$_2$(BiPy) this rotation can proceed relatively unhindered, the PES of Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy) requires a substantial reorganization of other (MOF-) degrees of freedom in order to avoid collision with neighboring NDC linkers of the framework. For a successful switching, energy would need to be transferred within the excitation lifetime (typically in the order of picoseconds$^{26}$) from the photo-excited $\omega$ mode to other modes, including modes of the MOF itself. The experimentally observed absence of switching capability in the Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy) framework suggests that the photo-excitation and relaxation in the azobenzene is too fast for this rearrangement to happen. Therefore, it is the Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy) framework itself that blocks the photoisomerization of the azobenzene side group.

It can be inferred that photoswitching in Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy) should be enabled by modifying the linker in such a way that there is more free space around the azobenzene moiety. This can be achieved, for instance, by extending the linear backbone of the AzoBiPy linker by one phenyl ring resulting in AzoBiPyB linker (see ESI 2†). It was shown by UV-vis spectroscopy and QCM uptake experiments that pillared-layer MOFs containing AzoBiPyB (this means MOFs of type Cu$_2$(BDC)$_2$(AzoBiPyB)) enables photoswitching (see S4 and S5, ESI†).

In conclusion, the incorporation of photoactive compounds into MOFs enables the fabrication of functional, smart materials, where properties such as the uptake of guest molecules can be remote-controlled. However, for the design of such materials, it is not sufficient to study whether the corresponding cis and trans states known from gas-phase or solution are compatible with the MOF-structure. Instead, the entire trajectories of the switching unit have to be considered. In this paper, we exemplified this for the photoswitching in two similar, azobenzene-containing pillared-layer MOFs. By means of UV-vis spectroscopy and QCM uptake experiments, we showed that the photoswitching is enabled in one MOF structure, while it is not possible in the other. DFT calculations indicate that steric hindrance along the switching trajectory oppresses the photoisomerization process in the non-switching MOF. The results obtained here demonstrate that the highly crystalline structure of MOFs allows for straightforward experimental and theoretical investigations of photoswitching. In the future, we plan to perform such studies in a time-resolved fashion to gain deeper insights into the photoswitching process.

Methods
Sample syntheses
The investigated MOF films were prepared by liquid-phase epitaxy (LPE) on a functionalized solid substrate in a well-defined layer-by-layer fashion. These thin MOF films, called surface-mounted MOFs (SURMOFs), are oriented and highly-crystalline. SURMOFs are prepared by the sequential immersion...
of the substrate in each of the two reactant solutions, i.e. the solutions of the metal complexes and of the organic linker molecules in ethanol. For Cu$_2$(AzoBPDC)$_2$(BiPy), the solutions were 0.5 mM copper acetate as well as 0.1 mM BiPy and AzoBPDC. For Cu$_2$(NDC)$_2$(AzoBiPy), the solutions were 0.5 mM copper acetate as well as 0.1 mM NDC and AzoBiPy in ethanol. After each immersion step, the substrate was rinsed with ethanol to remove any unreacted, weakly adsorbed reactants. To nucleate the growth on the substrate surface (here: 150 nm gold on a silicon wafer) and to control the SURMOF’s crystal orientation, the substrate surface was functionalized with an 11-mercapto-1-undecanol self-assembled monolayer (MUD SAM). Here, all samples were synthesized in 80 cycles.

UV-vis spectroscopy

The UV-vis spectra were recorded by means of a Cary5000 spectrometer with a UMA unit from Agilent. The linker molecules were studied in ethanolic solution in transmission mode. The UV-vis spectra of the SURMOF’s on the gold substrate were functionalized with an 11-mercapto-1-undecanol self-assembled monolayer (MUD SAM). Here, all samples were synthesized in 80 cycles.

Uptake experiments using a quartz crystal microbalance

The uptake of the guest molecules by the thin MOF films was studied by using a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM). The QCM cell is connected to a gas flow system with Argon as carrier gas. With this gas flow system, it is possible to switch instantly between a pure argon flow and a argon flow enriched with the guest molecule, here 1,4-butanediol, at room temperature (25 °C). This results in a butanediol partial pressure of roughly 1 Pa. All QCM experiments were performed at a constant temperature of 30 °C, which is precisely controlled by the Q-Sense setup. Before each experiment, the samples were activated in a pure argon flow at 65 °C overnight.

Computational details

All DFT calculations were performed with the all-electron code FHI-aims.$^{31,32}$ The MOF structures were fully relaxed (residual forces below $10^{-4}$ eV Å$^{-1}$) in periodic boundary conditions and Γ-point sampling of the Brillouin zone. All relaxations and single point energy calculations were conducted non spin-polarized with the PBE generalized gradient exchange-correlation (XC) functional.$^{33}$ Electron orbitals and density were expanded in a numeric atomic orbital basis at the “tier1” level using “light” integration grids. Convergence checks with respect
to basis set and integration grid size, spin-polarization and XC-functional (against hybrid functional calculations) showed no appreciable influence of these factors on the relative ground-state energetics used to identify the steric hindrance. Dispersion interactions were accounted for using the correction scheme of Tkatchenko and Scheffler. The time-dependent DFT calculations were performed with TURBOMOLE based on the B3LYP hybrid functional with an optimized triple-zeta basis (def-TZVPP).
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