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Abstract. We introduce the concept of a direct $C^\omega$-system and show that every non-separable unital $C^*$-algebra is the limit of essentially unique direct $C^*_\omega$-system. This result is then applied to the problem of characterization of projective unital $C^*$-algebras. It is shown that a non-separable unital $C^*$-algebra $X$ of density $\tau$ is projective if and only if it is the limit of a well ordered direct system $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i^{\alpha+1}_\alpha, \alpha < \tau\}$ of length $\tau$, consisting of unital projective $C^*$-subalgebras $X_\alpha$ of $X$ and doubly projective homomorphisms (inclusions) $i^{\alpha+1}_\alpha: X_\alpha \to X_{\alpha+1}, \alpha < \tau$, so that $X_0$ is separable and each $i^{\alpha+1}_\alpha, \alpha < \tau$, has a separable type. In addition we show that a doubly projective homomorphism $f: X \to Y$ of unital projective $C^*$-algebras has a separable type if and only if there exists a pushout diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
| \uparrow & & \uparrow | \\
X_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & Y_0,
\end{array}
\]

where $X_0$ and $Y_0$ are separable unital projective $C^*$-algebras and the homomorphisms $i_0: X_0 \to Y_0, p: X_0 \to X$ and $q: Y_0 \to Y$ are doubly projective. These two results provide a complete characterization of non-separable projective unital $C^*$-algebras in terms of separable ones.

1. Introduction

The concept of the direct system in the $C^*$-algebra theory has been successfully used in a wide range of situations. While playing an important role in different constructions and proofs of various statements, direct systems have been used as a tool of introducing new concepts as well. But perhaps the most significant demonstration of the power of direct systems is a possibility of investigation of complicated $C^*$-algebras by means of their approximation (via direct systems) by simpler $C^*$-algebras. Such an approach is standard in almost any category which possesses direct (or dually, inverse) systems. Difficulties in systematic implementation of such a method have variety of sources. If, for
instance, we wish to investigate a particular property of an arbitrarily given $C^*$-algebra $X$ by analyzing a randomly taken direct system $S_X$, the limit of which is isomorphic to $X$, then we immediately face the fundamental problem of choice. Is the information encoded in the direct system $S_X$ relevant to the property of its limit under consideration? Does there exist a direct system with the same limit which is better designed for detecting that property? Are there effective ways of finding such a system? In other words, if two direct systems $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ and $S_Y = \{Y_\alpha, j_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ have isomorphic limits are these systems internally related to each other? Do they, for instance, contain isomorphic subsystems? Trivial examples show that the answer in general is negative. Two direct sequences $S_0 = \{\mathcal{C}(\{0, 1\}^{2n}), \mathcal{C}(\pi_{2n}^{2(n+1)}), \omega\}$ and $S_1 = \{\mathcal{C}(\{0, 1\}^{2n+1}), \mathcal{C}(\pi_{2n+1}^{2(n+1)+1}), \omega\}$, where $\{0, 1\}$ is the two-point discrete space and $\pi_k^n: \{0, 1\}^k \to \{0, 1\}^n$, $k > n$, stands for the natural projection, obviously have the same limit – the $C^*$-algebra of continuous complex-valued functions of the Cantor discontinuum – but contain no isomorphic subsequences whatsoever.

In Section 3 we introduce (Definition 3.1) the concept of direct $C^*_\omega$-system and prove (Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.6) that if a unital $C^*$-algebra is represented as the limit of two direct $C^*_\omega$-systems, then these systems necessarily contain cofinal isomorphic subsystems. It is important to note that every non-separable unital $C^*$-algebra is the limit of at least one direct $C^*_\omega$-system (Proposition 3.2). Therefore every non-separable unital $C^*$-algebra $X$ admits essentially unique direct $C^*_\omega$-system $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ and we conclude that any information about $X$ is contained in $S_X$. The remaining problem of recovering such an information is, generally speaking, still quite challenging, but has an explicit technical, and not a philosophical, nature. An effective method of searching for such an information is based on Proposition 2.3.

Actually Theorem 3.5 states much more than it might seem to be the case. Not only it states, as was indicated above, that every two direct $C^*_\omega$-systems with isomorphic limits contain isomorphic cofinal subsystems, but it essentially guarantees that any homomorphism $f: \varinjlim S_X \to \varinjlim S_Y$ between the limits of two direct $C^*_\omega$-systems $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ and $S_Y = \{Y_\alpha, j_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ is itself the limit $f = \varinjlim \{f_\alpha; \alpha \in A_f\}$ of a certain morphism $\{f_\alpha: X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha, A_f\}: S_X|A_f \to S_Y|A_f$, consisting of “level” homomorphisms, between cofinal subsystems of the given ones. Such a phenomenon, as was indicated above, is not possible for direct sequences.
We apply the above outlined results to the problem of characterization of non-separable projective unital $C^*$-algebras in terms of separable ones. Here is the scheme we follow. First we show (Lemma 5.12) that any non-separable projective unital $C^*$-algebra $X$ is the limit of a direct $C^*$-system $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\beta^\alpha, A\}$, where $X_\alpha$'s, $\alpha \in A$, are separable projective unital $C^*$-subalgebras of $X$ and the unital $*$-homomorphisms $i_\beta^\alpha: X_\alpha \to X_\beta$, $\alpha \leq \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in A$, are inclusions. It should be pointed out here that the converse of this fact fails to be true, i.e. there does exist a non-separable non-projective unital $C^*$-algebra which is the limit of a direct $C^*$-system consisting of separable and projective unital $C^*$-subalgebras. This is how we arrive to the necessity of analyzing inclusion homomorphisms $i_\alpha^\beta$. What kind of property of these inclusion homomorphisms must be present in order to guarantee that the limit of a direct system $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\beta^\alpha, A\}$, consisting of projective $C^*$-subalgebras, is projective? We especially emphasize this step because it is a crucial ingredient of a typical argument based on Theorem 3.5. In our particular situation explanation is simple. The concept of a projective object has an explicit categorical nature and seems logical to anticipate that the required property of inclusion homomorphisms is closely related to it. Consequently it makes sense to examine what does the projectivity of a unital $*$-homomorphism, considered as an object of the category $\text{Mor}(C^*_1)$ of unital $*$-homomorphisms of unital $C^*$-algebras, mean. It turns out (Proposition 5.11) that projective objects of the category $\text{Mor}(C^*_1)$ are precisely doubly projective unital $*$-homomorphisms in the sense of [7].

In Section 5 we establish certain properties of doubly projective homomorphisms and present two characterizations of non-separable projective unital $C^*$-algebras – one (condition (b) of Theorem 5.13) in terms of direct $C^*$-systems and the other (condition (c) of Theorem 5.13) in terms of well ordered continuous direct systems. The latter states that a non-separable unital $C^*$-algebra $X$ of density $\tau$ is projective if and only if it is the limit of a well ordered direct system $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \alpha < \tau\}$ of length $\tau$, consisting of unital projective $C^*$-subalgebras $X_\alpha$ of $X$ and doubly projective homomorphisms (inclusions) $i_\alpha^{\alpha+1}: X_\alpha \to X_{\alpha+1}$, $\alpha < \tau$, so that $X_0$ is separable and each $i_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$, $\alpha < \tau$, has a separable type (Definition 5.8).

Obviously this result can not be accepted as the one providing a satisfactory reduction of the non-separable case to the separable one. Of course, everything is fine if the density of $X$ is $\omega_1$ – in such a case all $X_\alpha$'s, $\alpha < \omega_1$, (and not only the very first one, i.e. $X_0$) are indeed separable. But if the density of $X$ is greater than $\omega_1$, then all $X_\alpha$'s, with $\alpha \geq \omega_1$, are non-separable.

In order to achieve our final goal and complete the reduction, we, in Section 6, analyze doubly projective homomorphisms of separable type between (generally speaking, non-separable) projective unital $C^*$-algebras. A characterization of such homomorphisms, which is recorded in Theorem 6.8 (see also Corollary 6.9), states that a doubly projective homomorphism $f: X \to Y$ of projective...
unital $C^*$-algebras has a separable type if and only if there exists a pushout diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\uparrow p & & \uparrow q \\
X_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & Y_0,
\end{array}
\]

where $X_0$ and $Y_0$ are separable unital projective $C^*$-algebras and the homomorphisms $i_0: X_0 \to Y_0$, $p: X_0 \to X$ and $q: Y_0 \to Y$ are doubly projective.

Theorems 5.13 and 6.8 together complete the required reduction.

Proofs of above statements are based on some properties of unital free products. These properties are undoubtedly known to the experts in the field. For the readers convenience we discuss them in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

All $C^*$-algebras below are assumed to be unital and all $*$-homomorphisms between unital $C^*$-algebras are also unital. The category formed by such $C^*$-algebras and homomorphisms is denoted by $C^*_1$. The density $d(X)$ of a $C^*$-algebra $X$ is the minimal cardinality of dense subspaces (in a purely topological sense) of $X$. Thus $d(X) \leq \omega$ ($\omega$ denotes the first infinite cardinal number) means that $X$ is separable. The unital $C^*$-algebra, consisting of only one element, is denoted by $0$. $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the $C^*$-algebra of complex numbers.

2.1. Set-theoretical facts. For the reader’s convenience we begin by presenting necessary set-theoretic facts. Their complete proofs can be found in [4].

Let $A$ be a partially ordered directed set (i.e. for every two elements $\alpha, \beta \in A$ there exists an element $\gamma \in A$ such that $\gamma \geq \alpha$ and $\gamma \geq \beta$). We say that a subset $A_1 \subseteq A$ of $A$ majorates another subset $A_2 \subseteq A$ of $A$ if for each element $\alpha_2 \in A_2$ there exists an element $\alpha_1 \in A_1$ such that $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$. A subset which majorates $A$ is called cofinal in $A$. A subset of $A$ is said to be a chain if every two elements of it are comparable. The symbol sup $B$, where $B \subseteq A$, denotes the lower upper bound of $B$ (if such an element exists in $A$). Let now $\tau$ be an infinite cardinal number. A subset $B$ of $A$ is said to be $\tau$-closed in $A$ if for each chain $C \subseteq B$, with $|C| \leq \tau$, we have sup $C \in B$, whenever the element sup $C$ exists in $A$. Finally, a directed set $A$ is said to be $\tau$-complete if for each chain $B$ of elements of $A$ with $|C| \leq \tau$, there exists an element sup $C$ in $A$.

The standard example of a $\tau$-complete set can be obtained as follows. For an arbitrary set $A$ let exp $A$ denote, as usual, the collection of all subsets of $A$. There is a natural partial order on exp $A$: $A_1 \geq A_2$ if and only if $A_1 \supseteq A_2$. With this partial order exp $A$ becomes a directed set. If we consider only those subsets
of the set $A$ which have cardinality $\leq \tau$, then the corresponding subcollection of $\exp A$, denoted by $\exp_\tau A$, serves as a basic example of a $\tau$-complete set.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $\{A_t : t \in T\}$ be a collection of $\tau$-closed and cofinal subsets of a $\tau$-complete set $A$. If $|T| \leq \tau$, then the intersection $\cap\{A_t : t \in T\}$ is also cofinal (in particular, non-empty) and $\tau$-closed in $A$.

**Corollary 2.2.** For each subset $B$, with $|B| \leq \tau$, of a $\tau$-complete set $A$ there exists an element $\gamma \in A$ such that $\gamma \geq \beta$ for each $\beta \in B$.

**Proposition 2.3** (Spectral Search). Let $A$ be a $\tau$-complete set, $L \subseteq A^2$, and suppose the following three conditions are satisfied:

- **Existence:** For each $\alpha \in A$ there exists $\beta \in A$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$.
- **Majorantness:** If $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$ and $\gamma \geq \beta$, then $(\alpha, \gamma) \in L$.
- **$\tau$-closeness:** Let $\{\alpha_t : t \in T\}$ be a chain in $A$ with $|T| \leq \tau$. If $(\alpha_t, \beta) \in L$ for some $\beta \in A$ and each $t \in T$, then $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$ where $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_t : t \in T\}$.

Then the set of all $L$-reflexive elements of $A$ (an element $\alpha \in A$ is $L$-reflexive if $(\alpha, \alpha) \in L$) is cofinal and $\tau$-closed in $A$.

Various applications of the above set-theoretical statements are presented in [4, Chapter 8].

### 3. Direct systems of unital $C^*$-algebras

Let us recall definitions of some of the concepts related to the notion of a direct system.

**3.1. Morphisms of direct systems.** A direct system $S = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ of unital $C^*$-algebras consists of a partially ordered directed indexing set $A$, unital $C^*$-algebras $X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, and unital $*$-homomorphisms $i_\alpha^\beta : X_\alpha \to X_\beta$, defined for each pair of indexes $\alpha, \beta \in A$ with $\alpha \leq \beta$, and satisfying the condition $i_\beta^\gamma = i_\beta^\beta \circ i_\beta^\alpha$ for each triple of indexes $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in A$ with $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$. The limit unital $C^*$-algebra of the above direct system is denoted by $\lim_{\rightarrow} S$. For each $\alpha \in A$ there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $i_\alpha : X_\alpha \to \lim_{\rightarrow} S$ which will be called the $\alpha$-th limit homomorphism of $S$.

If $A'$ is a directed subset of the indexing set $A$, then the subsystem $\{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A'\}$ of $S$ is denoted $S|A'$.

Suppose that we are given two direct systems (with the same indexing set) $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ and $S_Y = \{Y_\alpha, j_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ consisting of unital $C^*$-algebras and unital $*$-homomorphisms. A morphism

$$\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} : S_X \to S_Y$$
of the system $S_X$ into the system $S_Y$ is a collection $\{f_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ of unital $\ast$-homomorphisms $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha$, defined for all $\alpha \in A$, such that

$$j_\alpha \circ f_\alpha = f_\beta \circ i_\alpha,$$

whenever $\alpha, \beta \in A$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$. In other words, we require (in the above situation) the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_\beta & \xrightarrow{f_\beta} & Y_\beta \\
\uparrow{i_\alpha} & & \uparrow{j_\alpha} \\
X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & Y_\alpha
\end{array}$$

Any morphism $\{f_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}: S_X \to S_Y$ induces the unital $\ast$-homomorphism, called the **limit homomorphism of the morphism**, $\lim \{f_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}: \lim S_X \to \lim S_Y$ such that $\lim \{f_\alpha: \alpha \in A\} \circ i_\alpha = j_\alpha \circ f_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$. This obviously means that all diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\lim S_X & \xrightarrow{\lim \{f_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}} & \lim S_X \\
\uparrow{i_\alpha} & & \uparrow{j_\alpha} \\
X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & Y_\alpha
\end{array}$$

commute.

In particular, if for a direct system $S = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^+, A\}$ of unital $C^*$-algebras and for a unital $C^*$-algebra $Y$, we are given unital $\ast$-homomorphisms $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \to Y$ so that $f_\alpha = f_\beta \circ i_\alpha^+$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in A$ with $\alpha \leq \beta$, then there exists the unique unital $\ast$-homomorphism $\lim \{f_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}: \lim S \to Y$ such that $f_\alpha = \lim \{f_\alpha: \alpha \in A\} \circ i_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$. To see this apply the above observation to the trivial direct system $S_Y = \{Y_\alpha, j_\alpha, A\}$, where $Y_\alpha = Y$ and $j_\alpha = \text{id}_Y$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in A$ with $\alpha \leq \beta$.

In the cases when all homomorphisms $i_\alpha^+: X_\alpha \to X_\beta$ and $i_\alpha: X_\alpha \to \lim S$ are inclusions we will sometimes identify $X_\alpha$ with its image $i_\alpha(X_\alpha)$ in $\lim S$ and denote the corresponding direct system shortly by $S = \{X_\alpha, A\}$.

A direct system $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^+, \tau\}$, the indexing set of which is an infinite cardinal number $\tau$, is called well ordered. We say that such a direct system is continuous if for each limit ordinal number $\beta < \tau$ the homomorphism

$$\lim \{i_\alpha^+: \alpha < \beta\}: \lim \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^+, \beta\} \to X_\beta$$
is an isomorphism.

3.2. Direct $C^*$-systems of $C^*$-algebras. The concept of the direct $C^*_τ$-system, introduced in the following definition, will be used below.

Definition 3.1. Let $τ \geq ω$ be a cardinal number. A direct system $S = \{X_α, i_α^β, A\}$ of unital $C^*$-algebras and unital $*$-homomorphisms is called a direct $C^*_τ$-system if the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) $A$ is a $τ$-complete set.
(b) Density of $X_α$ is at most $τ$ (i.e. $d(X_α) \leq τ$), $α \in A$.
(c) The $α$-th limit homomorphism $i_α : X_α \to \lim S$ is an injective $*$-homomorphism for each $α \in A$.
(d) If $B = \{α_t : t \in T\}$ is a chain of elements of $A$ with $|T| \leq τ$ and $α = \sup B$, then the limit homomorphism $\lim \{i_α^α : t \in T\} : \lim (S_α|B) \to X_α$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 3.2. Let $τ$ be an infinite cardinal number. Every unital $C^*$-algebra $X$ can be represented as the limit of a direct $C^*_τ$-system $S_X = \{X_α, i_α^β, \exp_τ d(X)\}$.

Proof. If $d(X) \leq τ$, then consider the direct $C^*_τ$-system $S_X = \{X_α, i_α^β, \exp_τ d(X)\}$, where $X_α = X$ for each $α \in \exp_τ d(X)$ and $i_α^β = \text{id}_X$ for each $α, β \in \exp_τ d(X)$ with $α \leq β$.

If $d(X) > τ$, then consider any subset $Y$ of $X$ such that $\text{cl}_X Y = X$ and $|Y| = d(X)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $Y$ contains the unit of $X$. Each $α \in \exp_τ d(X)$ can obviously be identified with a subset (denoted by the same letter $α$) of $Y$ of cardinality $≤ τ$. Let $X_α$ be the smallest $C^*$-subalgebra of $X$ containing $α$. If $α, β \in \exp_τ d(X)$ and $α \leq β$, then $α \subseteq β$ (as subsets of $Y$) and consequently $X_α \subseteq X_β$. This inclusion map is denoted by $i_α^β : X_α \to X_β$. It is easy to verify that the collection $S_X = \{X_α, i_α^β, \exp_τ d(X)\}$ is indeed a direct $C^*_τ$-system such that $\lim S_X = X$.

Lemma 3.3. If $S_X = \{X_α, i_α^β, A\}$ is a direct $C^*_τ$-system, then

$$\lim S_X = \bigcup\{i_α(X_α) : α \in A\}.$$

Proof. Clearly $\bigcup\{i_α(X_α) : α \in A\}$ is dense in $\lim S_X$ (this fact remains true for arbitrary direct systems of $C^*$-algebras). Consequently, for any point $x \in \lim S_X$ there exists a sequence $\{x_n : n \in ω\}$, consisting of elements from $\bigcup\{i_α(X_α) : α \in A\}$, such that $x = \lim \{x_n : n \in ω\}$. For each $n \in ω$ choose an index $α_n \in A$ such that $x_n \in i_α(X_α)$. By Corollary 2.2, there exists an index $α \in A$ such that $α \geq α_n$ for each $n \in ω$. Since $i_α = i_α \circ i_α$, it follows that

$$x_n \in i_α(X_α) = i_α(i_α(X_α)) \subseteq i_α(X_α) \text{ for each } n \in ω.$$
Finally, since \( i_\alpha(X_\alpha) \) is closed in \( \varprojlim S_X \), it follows that
\[
x = \lim\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \in i_\alpha(X_\alpha).
\]

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\} \) be a direct \( C^*_\tau \)-system and \( f : Y \to \varprojlim S_X \) be a unital \(*\)-homomorphism of a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( Y \) into the direct limit of \( S_X \). If \( d(Y) \leq \tau \), then there exist an index \( \alpha \in A \) and a unital \(*\)-homomorphism \( f_\alpha : Y \to X_\alpha \) such that \( f = i_\alpha \circ f_\alpha \).

**Proof.** Since \( d(Y) \leq \tau \), there exists a dense subset \( Z = \{z_t : t \in T\} \) of \( Y \) such that \( |T| \leq \tau \). For each \( t \in T \) there exists, by Lemma 3.3, an index \( \alpha_t \in A \) such that \( f(z_t) \in i_{\alpha_t}(X_{\alpha_t}) \). Since \( A \) is a \( \tau \)-complete (condition (a) of Definition 3.1), there exists, by Corollary 2.2, an index \( \alpha \in A \) such that \( \alpha \geq \alpha_t \) for each \( t \in T \). As in the proof of Lemma 3.3 we can conclude that
\[
f(Z) = f(\{z_t : t \in T\}) = \{f(z_t) : t \in T\} \subseteq i_\alpha(X_\alpha).
\]

Since \( Z \) is dense in \( Y \) and since \( i_\alpha(X_\alpha) \) is closed in \( \varprojlim S_X \) it follows that
\[
f(Y) = f(\text{cl}_{Y} Z) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\varprojlim S_X} f(Z) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\varprojlim S_X} i_\alpha(X_\alpha) = i_\alpha(X_\alpha).
\]

By condition (c) of Definition 3.1, the \( \alpha \)-th limit homomorphism \( i_\alpha \) of the direct \( C^*_\tau \)-system \( S_X \) is an injective unital \(*\)-homomorphism. Thus the composition \( f_\alpha = i_\alpha^{-1} \circ f : Y \to X_\alpha \) is a well defined unital \(*\)-homomorphism. It only remains to note that \( i_\alpha \circ f_\alpha = i_\alpha \circ i_\alpha^{-1} \circ f = f \), as required.

The following statement is one of our main results.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\} \) and \( S_Y = \{Y_\alpha, j_\alpha^\beta, A\} \) be two direct \( C^*_\tau \)-systems with the same indexing set \( A \). If \( f : \varprojlim S_X \to \varprojlim S_Y \) is a unital \(*\)-homomorphism between the limit \( C^* \)-algebras of \( S_X \) and \( S_Y \), then there exist a cofinal and \( \tau \)-closed subset \( A_f \subseteq A \) and a morphism
\[
\{f_\alpha : X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha, \alpha \in A_f\} : S_X|A_f \to S_Y|A_f
\]
such that \( f = \varprojlim \{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A_f\} \).

**Proof.** We perform the spectral search (see Proposition 2.3) with respect to the relation \( L_f \subseteq A^2 \) which is defined as follows. An ordered pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) of indeces is an element of \( L_f \) if and only if \( \alpha \leq \beta \) and there exists a unital \(*\)-homomorphism \( f_\alpha^{\beta} : X_\alpha \to Y_\beta \) such that \( f \circ i_\alpha = j_\beta \circ f_\alpha^{\beta} \), i.e. if the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\varprojlim S_X & \xrightarrow{f} & \varprojlim S_Y \\
i_\alpha \uparrow & & \uparrow j_\beta \\
X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha^{\beta}} & Y_\beta \\
\end{array}
\]
commutes. Let us verify conditions of Proposition 2.3.

Existence. For each $\alpha \in A$ we need to find an index $\beta \in A$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in L_f$. Indeed, according to condition (b) of Definition 3.1, $d(X_\alpha) \leq \tau$. Consider the unital $\ast$-homomorphism $f \circ i_\alpha : X_\alpha \to \lim S_Y$. By Lemma 3.4, there exist an index $\beta \in A$ (which, without loss of generality, may be assumed to be greater than $\alpha$) and a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\alpha \to Y_\beta$ such that $f \circ i_\alpha = j_\beta \circ f_\alpha^\beta$. This obviously means that $(\alpha, \beta) \in L_f$.

Majorantness. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in L_f$ and $\gamma \geq \beta$. In order to show that $(\alpha, \gamma) \in L_f$, consider the composition $f_\alpha^\gamma = j_\beta \circ f_\alpha^\beta : X_\alpha \to Y_\gamma$, where the unital $\ast$-homomorphism $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\alpha \to Y_\beta$ is supplied by the condition $(\alpha, \beta) \in L_f$. Clearly $j_\gamma \circ f_\alpha^\gamma = j_\gamma \circ j_\beta \circ f_\alpha^\beta = j_\gamma \circ f_\alpha^\beta = f \circ i_\alpha$. This shows that $(\alpha, \gamma) \in L_f$.

$\tau$-closeness. Let $B = \{\alpha_t : t \in T\}$ be a chain of elements in $A$ with $|T| \leq \tau$. Suppose that $(\alpha_t, \beta) \in L_f$ for some $\beta \in A$ and each $t \in T$. We need to show that $(\alpha, \beta) \in L_f$, where $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_t : t \in T\}$. First observe that if $\alpha_t \leq \alpha_s$ for $t, s \in T$, then

$$j_\beta \circ f_\alpha^{\beta_t} = f \circ i_{\alpha_t} = f \circ i_{\alpha_s} \circ j_\alpha^{\beta_t} = j_\beta \circ f_\alpha^{\beta_s} \circ j_\alpha^{\beta_t}.$$  

Since, by condition (c) of Definition 3.1, the $\beta$-th limit $\ast$-homomorphism $j_\beta$ of the direct system $S_Y$ is injective, it follows that $f_\alpha^{\beta_t} = f_\alpha^{\beta_s} \circ j_\alpha^{\beta_t}$. This means that the collection $\{f_\alpha^{\beta_t} : t \in T\}$ forms a morphism of the subsystem $S_X|B$ of the direct $C_\ast^*$-system $S_X$ into the $C^*$-algebra $Y_\beta$. Consider (see Subsection 3.1) the unital $\ast$-homomorphism

$$\lim\{f_\alpha^{\beta_t} : t \in T\} : \lim (S_X|B) \to Y_\beta.$$  

Finally, applying condition (d) of Definition 3.1, we define the unital $\ast$-homomorphism $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\alpha \to Y_\beta$ as the composition

$$X_\alpha \xrightarrow{\lim\{i_\alpha^{t} : t \in T\}^{-1}} \lim (S_X|B) \xrightarrow{\lim\{f_\alpha^{\beta_t} : t \in T\}} Y_\beta.$$  

The straightforward verification shows that $f_\alpha^\beta$ indeed satisfies the required equality $f \circ i_\alpha = j_\beta \circ f_\alpha^\beta$ and, consequently, $(\alpha, \beta) \in L_f$.

Now, by applying Proposition 2.3, we conclude that the set $A_f$ of $L_f$-reflexive elements is cofinal and $\tau$-closed in $A$. Observe that an element $\alpha \in A$ is $L_f$-reflexive if and only if there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha$ such that $f \circ i_\alpha = j_\alpha \circ f_\alpha$.

It follows from the above construction that the collection

$$\{f_\alpha : X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha, \alpha \in A_f\} : S_X|A_f \to S_Y|A_f$$  

is indeed a morphism between the systems $S_X|A_f$ and $S_Y|A_f$ such that $f = \lim\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A_f\}$.

**Proposition 3.6.** If $f : \lim S_X \to \lim S_Y$ is a unital $\ast$-isomorphism between the limit $C^*$-algebras of direct $C_\ast^*$-systems $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ and $S_Y = \{Y_\alpha, j_\alpha^\beta, A\}$
with the same indexing set, then there exist a cofinal and $\tau$-closed subset $A_f \subseteq A$ and a morphism
\[
\{ f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha, \alpha \in A_f \} : \mathcal{S}_X|A_f \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_Y|A_f
\]
such that $f = \lim \{ f_\alpha : \alpha \in A_f \}$ and $f_\alpha$ is a unital $\ast$-isomorphism for each $\alpha \in A_f$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.5, applied to the unital $\ast$-homomorphism $f : \lim \mathcal{S}_X \rightarrow \lim \mathcal{S}_Y$, there exist a cofinal and $\tau$-closed subset $\tilde{A}_f \subseteq A$ and a morphism
\[
\{ f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha, \alpha \in \tilde{A}_f \} : \mathcal{S}_X|\tilde{A}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_Y|\tilde{A}_f
\]
such that $f = \lim \{ f_\alpha : \alpha \in \tilde{A}_f \}$.

Similarly, by Theorem 3.5, applied to the unital $\ast$-homomorphism $f^{-1} : \lim \mathcal{S}_Y \rightarrow \lim \mathcal{S}_X$ (recall that $f$ is a unital $\ast$-isomorphism), there exist a cofinal and $\tau$-closed subset $\tilde{A}_{f^{-1}} \subseteq A$ and a morphism
\[
\{ g_\alpha : Y_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \in \tilde{A}_{f^{-1}} \} : \mathcal{S}_Y|\tilde{A}_{f^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_X|\tilde{A}_{f^{-1}}
\]
such that $f^{-1} = \lim \{ g_\alpha : \alpha \in \tilde{A}_{f^{-1}} \}$.

By Proposition 2.1, the intersection $A_f = \tilde{A}_f \cap \tilde{A}_{f^{-1}}$, is still cofinal and $\tau$-closed subset of $A$. Note that for each $\alpha \in A_f$ we have two unital $\ast$-homomorphisms $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ and $g_\alpha : Y_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ satisfying the equalities $f \circ i_\alpha = j_\alpha \circ f_\alpha$ and $f^{-1} \circ j_\alpha = i_\alpha \circ g_\alpha$. Consequently, having also in mind condition (c) of Definition 3.1, we have
\[
g_\alpha \circ f_\alpha = g_\alpha \circ j_\alpha^{-1} \circ j_\alpha \circ f_\alpha = g_\alpha \circ j_\alpha^{-1} \circ f \circ i_\alpha = i_\alpha^{-1} \circ i_\alpha \circ g_\alpha = j_\alpha^{-1} \circ f \circ i_\alpha = i_\alpha^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ j_\alpha^{-1} \circ f \circ i_\alpha = i_\alpha^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ f \circ i_\alpha = i_\alpha^{-1} \circ i_\alpha = \text{id}_{X_\alpha}.
\]
Similarly, $f_\alpha \circ g_\alpha = \text{id}_{Y_\alpha}$. This obviously means that both $f_\alpha$ and $g_\alpha$ are $\ast$-isomorphisms (inverses of each other).

\[\square\]

4. Unital free products of unital $C^*$-algebras and their direct $C^*_\omega$-systems

Definition and various properties of (amalgamated) free products of $C^*$-algebras can be found in [3], [5], [6], [1], [2], [11]. Below we consider only the unital free products of unital $C^*$-algebras (see [2, Example 1.3(f)]).

Recall that the unital free product of a collection $\{X_t : t \in T\}$ of unital $C^*$-algebras (i.e. the amalgamated free product over the common unit of $X_t$'s) is the unital $C^*$-algebra $\bigstar C \{X_t : t \in T\}$, together with unital injective $\ast$-homomorphisms $\pi_t : X_t \rightarrow \bigstar C \{X_t : t \in T\}$, $t \in T$, satisfying the following universality property:
for any unital *-homomorphisms \( f_t : X_t \to Y, \ t \in T \), into any unital C*-algebra \( Y \), there exists a unique unital *-homomorphism
\[
\bigstar C \{ f_t : t \in T \} : \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in T \} \to Y \text{ such that } f \circ \pi_t = f_t, \ t \in T.
\]

For the case \(|T| = 2\) this universality property of the unital free products is explicitly stated by several authors (see, for instance, [5, p. 81] [6, p. 156], [7, p. 89], [10, 2.2. Pushouts]). The existence of unital free products of infinite (uncountable) collections of unital C*-algebras is proved in [1, Theorem 3.1] (see also [2, Example 1.3(f)]). Even though the property \((\bigstar C)\) is not explicitly stated in [1], it can be extracted from the proofs provided there.

An alternative approach for establishing the property \((\bigstar C)\) for arbitrary \( T \) is standard, although less constructive. It is based on the following observation. The unital direct product \((X_1 \bigstar C X_2, \pi_1, \pi_2)\) of two unital C*-algebras \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) is precisely the coproduct of the objects \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) in the category \( C_1 \) (see [8, p. 63]). Also note that the category \( C_1 \) is the category with the initial object – namely, the C*-algebra \( \mathbb{C} \). These two facts suffice [8, Section III.5] to conclude the existence of unital free products of finite collections of unital C*-algebras.

For an arbitrary indexing set \( T \), consider the directed set \( \exp_{<\omega} T \) of all finite subsets of \( T \) with the natural partial order generated by the inclusion relation. Next consider the direct system
\[
S = \left\{ \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in A \}, \pi_B^A, A, B \in \exp_{<\omega} T \right\},
\]
consisting of the unital free products \( \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in A \} \) of finite subcollections and associated injective unital *-homomorphisms
\[
\pi_B^A : \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in A \} \to \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in B \}, A \subseteq B, A, B \in \exp_{<\omega} T.
\]
The limit \( \lim \downarrow S \) of this direct system is in fact the unital free product of the given collection. Unital injective *-homomorphisms \( \pi_t \), participating in the definition of unital free products, are precisely the \( t \)-th limit *-homomorphisms of the direct system \( S \) (see Subsection 3.1).

To see that the property \((\bigstar C)\) is satisfied for so defined unital free products, consider unital *-homomorphisms
\[
\bigstar C \{ f_t : t \in A \} : \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in A \} \to Y,
\]
uniquely defined (in the above discussed case of the unital free products of finite collections of unital C*-algebras) for each finite subset \( A \) of \( T \). It follows that
\[
\bigstar C \{ f_t : t \in A \} = \bigstar C \{ f_t : t \in B \} \circ \pi_B^A
\]
whenever \( A, B \in \exp_{<\omega} T \) and \( A \subseteq B \). This guarantees (see Subsection 3.1) that the limit *-homomorphism
\[
\bigstar C \{ f_t : t \in T \} = \lim \downarrow \left\{ \bigstar C \{ f_t : t \in A \} : A \in \exp_{<\omega} T \right\} : \lim \downarrow S \to Y
\]
satisfies the required equalities

\[ \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ f_t : t \in T \} \circ \pi_t = f_t, t \in T. \]

We now state some of the properties of the unital free products which will be needed in later sections.

**Lemma 4.1.** If \( S \subseteq T \), then \( \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T \} \) is canonically isomorphic to \( (\bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in S \}) \bigstar \mathcal{C} (\bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T - S \}) \).

**Proof.** Let

\[ \pi_1 : \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in S \} \to (\bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in S \}) \bigstar \mathcal{C} (\bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T - S \}) \]

and

\[ \pi_2 : \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T - S \} \to (\bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in S \}) \bigstar \mathcal{C} (\bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T - S \}) \]

denote the canonical inclusions (see [1, Theorem 3.1]).

Let

\[ \pi^S_t : X_t \to \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T \} \text{ and } \pi^T - S_t : X_t \to \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T - S \} \]

and

\[ \pi^T_t : X_t \to \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T \} \]

do notate canonical inclusions into the corresponding unital free products.

Now consider the homomorphisms

\[ \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ \pi^T_t : t \in S \} : \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in S \} \to \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T \} \]

and

\[ \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ \pi^T_t : t \in T - S \} : \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T - S \} \to \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T \}. \]

These two homomorphisms define the unique unital \(*\)-homomorphism

\[ f : (\bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in S \}) \bigstar \mathcal{C} (\bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T - S \}) \to \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ X_t : t \in T \} \]

such that

\[ f \circ \pi_1 = \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ \pi^T_t : t \in S \} \]

(4.1)

and

\[ f \circ \pi_2 = \bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ \pi^T_t : t \in T - S \}. \]

(4.2)

Here \( f = (\bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ \pi^T_t : t \in S \}) \bigstar \mathcal{C} (\bigstar \mathcal{C} \{ \pi^T_t : t \in T - S \}) \).
Similarly consider the unique unital \( * \)-homomorphism  
\[
g : \star_{\mathbb{C}}\{X_t : t \in T\} \to (\star_{\mathbb{C}}\{X_t : t \in S\}) \star_{\mathbb{C}}(\star_{\mathbb{C}}\{X_t : t \in T - S\})
\]
satisfying the equalities

(4.3)  
\[
g \circ \pi^T_t = \begin{cases} 
\pi_1 \circ \pi^S_t, & \text{if } t \in S, \\
\pi_2 \circ \pi^{T-S}_t, & \text{if } t \in T - S.
\end{cases}
\]

Next observe that if \( t \in S \), then

(4.4)  
\[
f \circ g \circ \pi^T_t = f \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi^S_t = \star_{\mathbb{C}}\{\pi^T_t : t \in S\} \circ \pi^S_t = \pi^T_t.
\]

Similarly, if \( t \in T - S \), then

(4.5)  
\[
f \circ g \circ \pi^{T-S}_t = f \circ \pi_2 \circ \pi^{T-S}_t = \star_{\mathbb{C}}\{\pi^T_t : t \in T - S\} \circ \pi^{T-S}_t = \pi^T_t.
\]

Now observe that (4.4) and (4.5) guarantee the validity of the equality

(4.6)  
\[
f \circ g = \text{id}_{\star_{\mathbb{C}}\{X_t : t \in T\}}.
\]

In order to prove the equality

(4.7)  
\[
g \circ f = \text{id}_{\star_{\mathbb{C}}\{\pi^T_t : t \in S\}}\star_{\mathbb{C}}(\star_{\mathbb{C}}\{\pi^T_t : t \in T - S\})
\]
it suffices to show that

(4.8)  
\[
g \circ f \circ \pi_1 = \pi_1
\]
and

(4.9)  
\[
g \circ f \circ \pi_2 = \pi_2.
\]

Note that (4.8) follows from the following observation \((t \in S)\):

(4.10)  
\[
g \circ f \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi^S_t \overset{(4.1)}{=} g \circ \star_{\mathbb{C}}\{\pi^T_t : t \in S\} \circ \pi^S_t = g \circ \pi^T_t \overset{(4.3)}{=} \pi_1 \circ \pi^S_t.
\]

Similarly (4.9) follows from the following observation \((t \in T - S)\):

(4.11)  
\[
g \circ f \circ \pi_2 \circ \pi^{T-S}_t \overset{(4.2)}{=} g \circ \star_{\mathbb{C}}\{\pi^T_t : t \in S\} \circ \pi^{T-S}_t = g \circ \pi^{T-S}_t \overset{(4.3)}{=} \pi_2 \circ \pi^{T-S}_t.
\]

This finishes proof of (4.7).

It only remains to note that, by (4.6) and (4.7), both \( f \) and \( g \) are isomorphisms as required. \qed
Lemma 4.2. If $S \subseteq T$, then the unital $\ast$-homomorphism

$$
\pi^T_S = \star_C \{\text{id}_{X_t} : t \in S\} : \star_C \{X_t : t \in T\} \to \star_C \{X_t : t \in T - S\}
$$

is injective.

Proof. It can be shown, by applying the argument similar to the one used in the proof of Lemma 4.1, that the homomorphism $\pi^T_S$ coincides with the homomorphism

$$
\pi_1 : \star_C \{X_t : t \in S\} \to (\star_C \{X_t : t \in S\}) \star_C (\star_C \{X_t : t \in T - S\}).
$$

It only remains to note that $\pi_1$ is an inclusion by [1, Theorem 3.1]. \qed

Lemma 4.3. If $\{T_a : \alpha < \tau\}$ is an increasing well ordered collection of subsets of $T$ and $T = \bigcup \{T_a : \alpha < \tau\}$, then $\star_C \{X_t : t \in T\}$ is canonically isomorphic to the direct limit of the well ordered direct system $\{\star_C \{X_t : t \in T\}, \pi^{T_{a+1}}_{T_a}, \tau\}$

Proof. For each $\alpha < \tau$ consider the unital $\ast$-homomorphism

$$
\pi^T_{T_a} : \star_C \{X_t : t \in T_a\} \to \star_C \{X_t : t \in T\},
$$

defined in Lemma 4.2. Clearly $\pi^T_{T_{a+1}} \circ \pi^{T_{a+1}}_{T_a} = \pi^T_{T_a}$ for each $\alpha < \tau$. Consider the unique unital $\ast$-homomorphism (see Subsection 3.1)

$$
f : \lim_{\rightarrow} \{\star_C \{X_t : t \in T_a\}, \pi^{T_{a+1}}_{T_a}, \tau\} \to \star_C \{X_t : t \in T\}
$$

such that $f \circ \pi_\alpha = \pi_{T_a}$ for each $\alpha < \tau$ (here

$$
\pi_\alpha : \star_C \{X_t : t \in T_a\} \to \lim_{\rightarrow} \{\star_C \{X_t : t \in T_a\}, \pi^{T_{a+1}}_{T_a}, \tau\}
$$

denotes the $\alpha$-th limit injection of the above direct system). Applying property $(\star_C)$ it is easy to see that $f$ is an isomorphism. \qed

Finally we record the following statement.

Proposition 4.4. Let $\{X_t : t \in T\}$ be an infinite collection of unital $C^*$-algebras. Then the collection $\{\star_C \{X_t : t \in S\}, \pi^S_S, S, R \in \exp_{<\omega} T\}$, consisting of the unital free products of finite subcollections and above defined canonical injections, is a direct system whose direct limit is the unital free product $\star_C \{X_t : t \in T\}$.

If the given collection $\{X_t : t \in T\}$ is uncountable and consists of separable unital $C^*$-algebras, then the collection $\{\star_C \{X_t : t \in S\}, \pi^S_S, S, R \in \exp_{\omega} T\}$, consisting of the unital free products of countable subcollections and above defined canonical injections, is a direct $C^*_\omega$-system of the unital free product $\star_C \{X_t : t \in T\}$.
Proof. The first part of this statement follows from the above given definition of unital free products. In order to prove the second part we need to show that \( \mathfrak{C} \{ X_t : t \in S \}, \pi^R_S, S, R \in \exp \omega T \) is a direct \( C^* \)-system associated with the unital free product \( \mathfrak{C} \{ X_t : t \in T \} \). Let us verify condition (a)–(d) of Definition 3.1. Condition (a) is obvious since the set \( \exp \omega T \) is \( \omega \)-complete. Conditions (c) and (d) follow from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. Finally condition (b), i.e. the fact that \( \mathfrak{C} \{ X_t : t \in S \} \) is separable for a countable subset \( S \subseteq T \), follows from [1, Theorem 3.1].

Remark 4.5. The fact that the homomorphism \( \pi^T_S \), indicated in Lemma 4.2, is injective can be significantly strengthened in the situation when each \( X_t \) admits a unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( \varphi_t : X_t \to \mathbb{C} \). Indeed, in such a case, we can choose an index \( s_0 \in S \) and view the homomorphism \( \varphi_t \) as a unital \( * \)-homomorphism of \( X_t \) into \( X_{s_0} \). Next consider the unital \( * \)-homomorphism

\[
g^T_S = \mathfrak{C} \{ g_t : t \in T \} : \mathfrak{C} \{ X_t : t \in T \} \to \mathfrak{C} \{ X_t : t \in S \},
\]

where

\[
g_t = \begin{cases} 
    \text{id}_{X_t} : X_t \to X_t, & \text{if } t \in S, \\
    \varphi_t : X_t \to X_{s_0}, & \text{if } t \in T - S.
\end{cases}
\]

It is easy to show that \( g^T_S \circ \pi^T_S = \text{id} \mathfrak{C} \{ X_t : t \in S \} \). This means that \( \pi^T_S \) is a coretraction and, in particular, is injective.

Lemma 4.6. Let \( X \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra admitting a unital \( * \)-homomorphism into \( \mathbb{C} \). If \( Y \) is a \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( X \), then \( Y \) also admits a unital \( * \)-homomorphism into \( \mathbb{C} \). Projective unital \( C^* \)-algebra admits a unital \( * \)-homomorphism into \( \mathbb{C} \).

Proof. The first part is trivial. If \( X \) is a projective unital \( C^* \)-algebra, then the projection \( \pi_1 : X \times \mathbb{C} \to X \) of the direct product \( X \times \mathbb{C} \) onto the first coordinate has the inverse, i.e. there exists a unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( i : X \to X \times \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \pi_1 \circ i = \text{id}_X \). Clearly the projection \( \pi_2 : X \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) onto the second coordinate is a unital \( * \)-homomorphism. It only remains to note that the composition \( \pi_2 \circ i : X \to \mathbb{C} \) is a unital \( * \)-homomorphism.

5. Basic properties of doubly projective homomorphisms and characterization of projective unital \( C^* \)-algebras

Recall that a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( P \) is projective if for any surjective unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( p : X \to Y \) of unital \( C^* \)-algebras and for any unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( f : P \to Y \) there exists a unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( g : P \to X \) such that \( p \circ g = f \).
5.1. **Doubly projective homomorphisms.** The concept of doubly projective homomorphism was introduced in [7, Definition 3.1]. In the definition given below we do not assume that $X$ and $Y$ are projective $C^*$-algebras.

**Definition 5.1.** A unital *-homomorphism $i: X \to Y$ of unital $C^*$-algebras $X$ and $Y$ is **doubly projective** if for any surjective unital *-homomorphism $p: A \to B$ between unital $C^*$-algebras $A$ and $B$ and any two unital *-homomorphisms $f: X \to A$ and $g: Y \to B$ with $g \circ i = p \circ f$, there exists a unital *-homomorphism $h: B \to X$ such that $f = h \circ i$ and $g = p \circ h$. In other words, any commutative square diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B & & Y \\
& g & \\
A & & X \\
& h & \\
P & i & \\
\end{array}
\]

with surjective $p$ can be completed by the diagonal arrow with commuting triangles.

We need some properties of doubly projective homomorphisms.

**Lemma 5.2.** A doubly projective homomorphism $i: X \to Y$ of unital $C^*$-algebras is a coretraction, i.e. there exists a unital *-homomorphism $r: Y \to X$ such that $r \circ i = \text{id}_X$. In particular, a doubly projective homomorphism is injective.

**Proof.** Let $i: X \to Y$ be a doubly projective homomorphism. Consider the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & Y \\
& g=\text{const} & \\
X & & X \\
& \text{id}_X & \\
P=\text{const} & i & \\
\end{array}
\]

Since $i$ is doubly projective, there exists a unital *-homomorphism $r: Y \to X$ such that $r \circ i = \text{id}_X$.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $i: X \to Y$ be a doubly projective homomorphism of unital $C^*$-algebras. Then $X$ is projective if and only if $Y$ is projective.
Proof. First suppose that $X$ is projective. In order to show that $Y$ is projective, consider a surjective unital $\ast$-homomorphism $p: A \to B$ and a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $g: Y \to B$. Our goal is to find a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $\tilde{g}: Y \to A$ such that $p \circ \tilde{g} = g$. Since $X$ is projective, there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $f: X \to A$ such that $p \circ f = g \circ i$. Since $i$ is doubly projective there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $\hat{g}: Y \to A$ such that $g = p \circ \hat{g}$ (and $f = \hat{g} \circ i$). Obviously $\tilde{g}$ is a required lift of $g$ and, consequently, $Y$ is projective.

Now assume that $Y$ is projective. In order to show that $X$ is projective, consider a surjective unital $\ast$-homomorphism $p: A \to B$ and a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $f: X \to B$. Our goal is to find a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $\tilde{f}: X \to A$ such that $p \circ \tilde{f} = f$. By Lemma 5.2, there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $r: Y \to X$ such that $r \circ i = \text{id}_X$. Consider the composition $g = f \circ r: Y \to B$. Since $Y$ is projective, there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $\hat{g}: Y \to A$ such that $p \circ \hat{g} = g$. Let $\tilde{f} = \hat{g} \circ i$. It only remains to note that $p \circ \tilde{f} = p \circ \hat{g} \circ i = g \circ i = f \circ r \circ i = f$.

Lemma 5.4. A finite composition of doubly projective homomorphisms is doubly projective.

Proof. Let $i_1: X_1 \to X_2$ and $i_2: X_2 \to X_3$ be doubly projective homomorphisms of unital $C^*$-algebras. We need to show that the composition $i = i_3 \circ i_1: X_1 \to X_3$ is also doubly projective. Consider a surjective unital $\ast$-homomorphism $p: A \to B$ and two unital $\ast$-homomorphisms $g: X_3 \to B$ and $f: X_1 \to A$ such that $g \circ i = p \circ f$. Consider the following commutative diagram

Since $i_1$ is doubly projective and since $(g \circ i_2) \circ i_1 = g \circ i = p \circ f$, there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $f_1: X_2 \to A$ such that $p \circ f_1 = g \circ i_2$ and $f = f_1 \circ i_1$.

Next consider the commutative diagram
Since $i_2$ is doubly projective and since $p \circ f_1 = g \circ i_2$, there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $h: X_3 \to A$ such that $p \circ h = g$ and $f_1 = h \circ i_2$. It only remains to note that

$$h \circ i = h \circ (i_2 \circ i_1) = (h \circ i_2) \circ i_1 = f_1 \circ i_1 = f.$$

Lemma 5.5. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a doubly projective homomorphism. Suppose that $f = f_2 \circ f_1$, where $f_2: Z \to Y$ is a coretraction (i.e. there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $r: Y \to Z$ such that $r \circ f_2 = \text{id}_Z$). Then $f_1: X \to Z$ is also doubly projective.

Proof. Let $p: A \to B$ be a surjective homomorphism of unital $C^*$-algebras. Let also $g: X \to A$ and $h: Z \to B$ be unital $\ast$-homomorphisms such that $p \circ g = h \circ f_1$. We need to find a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $k: Z \to A$ such that $k \circ f_1 = g$ and $p \circ k = h$. Note that

$$p \circ g = h \circ f_1 = h \circ r \circ f_2 \circ f_1 = (h \circ r) \circ f.$$

Since $f$ is doubly projective, there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $\tilde{k}: Y \to A$ such that $\tilde{k} \circ f = g$ and $p \circ \tilde{k} = h \circ r$. Finally note that the composition $k = \tilde{k} \circ f_2: Z \to A$ has all the required properties. Indeed,

$$k \circ f_1 = \tilde{k} \circ f_2 \circ f_1 = \tilde{k} \circ f = g$$

and

$$p \circ k = p \circ \tilde{k} \circ f_2 = h \circ r \circ f_2 = h.$$

Lemma 5.6. Let $i: X \to Y$ be a unital $\ast$-homomorphism which is a retract of a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $i': X' \to Y'$. This means that there exist unital $\ast$-homomorphisms $\varphi_X: X' \to X$, $\varphi_Y: Y' \to Y$, $\phi_X: X \to X'$ and $\phi_Y: Y \to Y'$ such that $i \circ \varphi_X = \varphi_Y \circ i'$, $i' \circ \phi_X = \phi_Y \circ i$, $\varphi_X \circ \phi_X = \text{id}_X$ and $\varphi_Y \circ \phi_Y = \text{id}_Y$. In other words the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  B & \xrightarrow{g} & X_3 \\
  \downarrow{p} & & \downarrow{h} \\
  A & \xleftarrow{f_1} & X_2 \\
\end{array}
\]
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\[ Y \xleftarrow{\varphi_Y} Y' \xleftarrow{\phi_Y} Y \]
\[ X \xleftarrow{\varphi_X} X' \xleftarrow{\phi_X} X \]

commutes. In this situation, if $i'$ is doubly projective, then $i$ is also doubly projective.

**Proof.** Consider a surjective unital $\ast$-homomorphism $p: A \to B$ and two unital $\ast$-homomorphisms $f: X \to A$ and $g: Y \to B$ such that $g \circ i = p \circ f$. Here is the corresponding diagram

\[ B \xleftarrow{g} Y \xleftarrow{\varphi_Y} Y' \xleftarrow{\phi_Y} Y \]
\[ A \xleftarrow{f} X \xleftarrow{\varphi_X} X' \xleftarrow{\phi_X} X \]

Let $f' = f \circ \varphi_X: X' \to A$ and $g' = g \circ \varphi_Y: Y' \to B$. Note that

\[ g' \circ i' = g \circ \varphi_Y \circ i = g \circ i \circ \varphi_X = p \circ f \circ \varphi_X = p \circ f'. \]

Since $i'$ is doubly projective, there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $h': Y' \to A$ such that $p \circ h' = g'$ and $h' \circ i' = f'$.

Now consider the composition $h = h' \circ \phi_Y: Y \to B$ and observe that

\[ p \circ h = p \circ h' \circ \phi_Y = g' \circ \phi_Y = g \circ \varphi_Y \circ \phi_Y = g \]

and

\[ h \circ i = h' \circ \phi_Y \circ i = h' \circ i' \circ \phi_X = f' \circ \phi_X = f \circ \varphi_X \circ \phi_X = f. \]

This shows that $i$ is doubly projective.

The following statement provides an important class of doubly projective homomorphisms.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let $X$ be a unital $C^*$-algebra and $Y$ be a projective unital $C^*$-algebra. Then the canonical inclusion $\pi_X: X \hookrightarrow X \star C Y$ is doubly projective.

**Proof.** Consider a surjective unital $\ast$-homomorphism $p: A \to B$ and two unital $\ast$-homomorphisms $f: X \to A$ and $g: X \star C Y \to B$ such that $p \circ f = g \circ i_X$. Our goal is to construct a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $h: X \star C Y \to A$ such that $p \circ h = g$ and $h \circ \pi_X = f$. Let $\pi_Y: Y \to X \star C Y$ denote the canonical embedding of $Y$ into $X \star C Y$. Since $Y$ is projective, there exists a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $h_1: Y \to A$
such that \( p \circ h_1 = g \circ i_Y \). The two unital \( * \)-homomorphisms \( f: X \to A \) and \( h_1: Y \to A \) define the unique unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( h: X \star C Y \to A \) such that \( h \circ \pi_X = f \) and \( h \circ \pi_Y = h_1 \). Finally, observe that \( g \circ \pi_X = p \circ f = (p \circ h) \circ \pi_X \) and \( g \circ \pi_Y = p \circ h_1 = (p \circ h) \circ \pi_Y \). This shows that \( p \circ h = g \).

Next we introduce the concept of a doubly projective homomorphism of separable type.

**Definition 5.8.** We say that a doubly projective homomorphism \( i: X \to Y \) between projective unital \( C^* \)-algebras has a separable type, if there exist a projective unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( X' \) such that \( d(X') = d(X) \), a separable projective unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( Y' \) and two surjective unital \( * \)-homomorphisms \( \varphi_X: X' \to X \) and \( \varphi_Y: X' \star C Y' \to Y \) such that \( i \circ \varphi_X = \varphi_Y \circ \pi_{X'} \), where \( \pi_{X'}: X' \to X' \star C Y' \) denotes the natural inclusion. In other words we require the commutativity of the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \xleftarrow{\varphi_Y} & X' \star C Y' \\
\uparrow i & & \uparrow \pi_{X'} \\
X & \xleftarrow{\varphi_X} & X'.
\end{array}
\]

**Lemma 5.9.** Every doubly projective homomorphism between separable projective unital \( C^* \)-algebras has a separable type.

**Proof.** Let \( i: X \to Y \) be a doubly projective homomorphism and \( X \) and \( Y \) be separable projective unital \( C^* \)-algebras. Consider the unital free product \( X \star C Y \) and note that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \xleftarrow{i \star C \text{id}_Y} & X \star C Y \\
\uparrow i & & \uparrow \pi_X \\
X & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_X} & X
\end{array}
\]

commutes. Also observe that \( X \star C Y \) is a projective unital \( C^* \)-algebra. Clearly \( i \star C \text{id}_Y: X \star C Y \to X \) is surjective, because \((i \star C \text{id}_Y) \circ \pi_Y = \text{id}_Y \) is surjective.

**Lemma 5.10.** Let \( S = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha+1, \tau\} \) be a well-ordered continuous direct system of unital \( C^* \)-algebras. If the short injection \( i_\alpha+1: X_\alpha \to X_{\alpha+1} \) of the system \( S \) is doubly projective for each \( \alpha < \tau \), then the limit injection \( i_0: X_0 \to \varinjlim S \) is also doubly projective.

**Proof.** Let \( p: A \to B \) be a surjective unital \( * \)-homomorphism of unital \( C^* \)-algebras. Let also \( g: X_0 \to A \) and \( h: \varinjlim S \to B \) be unital \( * \)-homomorphisms such that \( p \circ g = h \circ i_0 \).
By induction we construct a well ordered collection \( \{ k_\alpha \colon X_\alpha \to A; \alpha < \tau \} \) of unital *-homomorphisms. Let \( k_0 = g \) and suppose that we have already constructed *-homomorphisms \( k_\alpha \) for each \( \alpha < \gamma \), where \( \gamma < \tau \), in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) \( k_\alpha = k_{\alpha+1} \circ i_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \) for each \( \alpha < \gamma \).
(b) \( p \circ k_\alpha = h \circ i_\alpha \) for each \( \alpha < \tau \).
(c) \( k_\beta = \lim \downarrow \{ k_\alpha; \alpha < \beta \} \) whenever \( \beta \) is a limit ordinal number with \( \beta < \gamma \).

Let us construct a *-homomorphism \( k_\gamma \colon X_\gamma \to A \).

If \( \gamma \) is a limit ordinal number, then let \( k_\gamma = \lim \downarrow \{ k_\alpha; \alpha < \gamma \} \).

If \( \gamma = \alpha + 1 \), then consider the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{p} & B \\
\uparrow{k_\alpha} & & \uparrow{h \circ i_\alpha} \\
X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{i_\alpha^{\alpha+1}} & X_{\alpha+1}
\end{array}
\]

Since \( i_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \colon X_\alpha \to X_{\alpha+1} \) is doubly projective there exists a unital *-homomorphism \( k_{\alpha+1} \colon X_{\alpha+1} \to A \) such that \( k_\alpha = k_{\alpha+1} \circ i_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \) and \( p \circ k_{\alpha+1} = h \circ i_\alpha \).

Thus, the homomorphisms \( k_\alpha \colon X_\alpha \to A \) are constructed for each \( \alpha < \tau \) and satisfy the above stated properties for each \( \alpha < \tau \). It only remains to note that for the unital *-homomorphism \( k = \lim \downarrow \{ k_\alpha; \alpha < \gamma \} \colon \lim \downarrow S_X \to A \) we have \( g = k_0 = k \circ i_0 \) and \( h = p \circ k \) as required.

As was pointed out in the introduction, there is a deeper relation between doubly projective homomorphisms and projective \( C^* \)-algebras, than it might appear to be the case. Let \( \text{Mor}(C^*_1) \) denote the category of unital *-homomorphisms of unital \( C^* \)-algebras. The following statement is true.

**Proposition 5.11.** The following conditions are equivalent for a unital *-homomorphism \( f \colon X \to Y \) of projective unital \( C^* \)-algebras:

(a) \( f \) is doubly projective.
(b) \( f \) is a projective object of the category \( \text{Mor}(C^*_1) \).

**Proof.** (a) \( \Rightarrow \) (b). Let \( p : A \to B \) and \( q : C \to D \) be objects of the category \( \text{Mor}(C^*_1) \) and \( (s, r) : p \to q \) be an epimorphism of the same category. Our goal is to show that for any morphism \( (\alpha, \beta) : f \to q \) of \( \text{Mor}(C^*_1) \) there exists a morphism \( (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) : f \to p \) of \( \text{Mor}(C^*_1) \) such that \( (s, r) \circ (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = (\alpha, \beta) \).
Since \((r, s)\) is an epimorphism in \(\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}^*_1)\) it follows that each of the homomorphisms \(r\) and \(s\) is surjective. Since \(X\) is projective, there exists a unital \(*\)-homomorphism \(\tilde{\alpha}: X \to A\) such that \(s \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha\). Clearly \(r \circ p \circ \tilde{\alpha} = q \circ s \circ \tilde{\alpha} = q \circ \alpha = \beta \circ f\). Consequently, since \(f\) is doubly projective, there exists a unital \(*\)-homomorphism \(\tilde{\beta}: Y \to B\) such that \(\tilde{\beta} \circ f = p \circ \tilde{\alpha}\) and \(r \circ \tilde{\beta} = \beta\). In other words the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y \\
\downarrow{r} & & \downarrow{f} \\
B & \xleftarrow{q} & C \\
\downarrow{s} & & \downarrow{f} \\
A & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & X \\
\end{array}
\]

commutes. The straightforward verification shows that \((s, r) \circ (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = (\alpha, \beta)\) as required.

\((b) \implies (a)\). Now suppose that \(f: X \to Y\) is a projective object of the category \(\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}^*_1)\). In order to show that \(f\) is doubly projective, consider a surjective unital \(*\)-homomorphism \(p: A \to B\) and two unital \(*\)-homomorphisms \(g: X \to A\) and \(h: Y \to B\) such that \(p \circ g = h \circ f\). Clearly the pair \((g, h)\) forms a morphism \((g, h): f \to p\) in the category \(\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}^*_1)\). Consider also the epimorphism (in the category \(\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}^*_1)\)) \((\text{id}_A, p): \text{id}_A \to p\) sending the left vertical arrow in the following diagram onto the middle one.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y \\
\downarrow{r} & & \downarrow{f} \\
B & \xleftarrow{p \circ \tilde{\alpha}} & C \\
\downarrow{s} & & \downarrow{f} \\
A & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & X \\
\end{array}
\]
Since $f$ is a projective object in the category $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}^*_1)$, it follows that there exists a morphism $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) : f \to \text{id}_A$, consisting of the unital $\ast$-homomorphisms $\tilde{g} : X \to A$ and $\tilde{h} : Y \to A$, such that $(\text{id}_A, p) \circ (\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) = (g, h)$. This implies that $\text{id}_A \circ \tilde{g} = g$, i.e. $\tilde{g} = g$, and $p \circ \tilde{h} = h$. In order to prove the equality $\tilde{h} \circ f = g$, simply note that $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) : f \to \text{id}_A$ is a morphism in the category $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}^*_1)$. Thus $f$ is doubly projective.

5.2. Characterization of projective unital $C^*$-algebras. We begin with the following preliminary result.

**Lemma 5.12.** Let $X$ be a projective unital $C^*$-algebra of density $\tau > \omega$. Then $X$ admits a direct $C^*_\omega$-system $S_X = \{X_t, i^*_t, A\}$, consisting of separable projective unital $C^*$-subalgebras of $X$. We may assume that $A$ is a cofinal and $\omega$-closed subset of $\exp_\omega \tau$.

**Proof.** Let $A$ be a dense subset of $X$ such that $|A| = \tau$. Let also $T = \exp_\omega A$. Since $\tau > \omega$, it follows that $|T| = \tau$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can conclude that $X$ is the limit of the direct system $\{X_t, i^*_t, T\}$, consisting of separable unital $C^*$-subalgebras of $X$ (generated by countable subsets of $A$) and associated inclusion maps.

Next consider the unital $\ast$-homomorphism $\varphi : \bigstar C\{X_t : t \in T\} \to X$, generated by the homomorphisms $i_t : X_t \to X$. This means that $\varphi \circ \pi_{X_t} = i_t$ for each $t \in T$ (here $\pi_{X_t} : X_t \to \bigstar C\{X_t : t \in T\}$ denotes the canonical inclusion). Note that $\varphi$ is a surjective unital $\ast$-homomorphism. This follows from Lemma 3.3.

Recall that by Proposition 4.4, the collection

$$S = \{\bigstar C\{X_t : t \in S\}, \pi^R_S, \exp_\omega T\}$$

is a direct $C^*_\omega$-system such that $\bigstar C\{X_t : t \in T\} = \varprojlim S$.

For each $S \subseteq T$ let $X_S = \cl_X \varphi (\bigstar C\{X_t : t \in S\})$. Also by $\varphi_S : \bigstar C\{X_t : t \in S\} \to X_S$ we denote the restriction of the homomorphism $\varphi$ onto the unital free product $\bigstar C\{X_t : t \in S\}$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & \bigstar C\{X_t : t \in T\} \\
\downarrow{i_S} & & \downarrow{\pi^R_S} \\
X_S & \xleftarrow{\varphi_S} & \bigstar C\{X_t : t \in S\},
\end{array}$$
where \( i_S : X_S \to X \) denotes the inclusion.

It is obvious that the system \( S_X = \{ X_S, i_S^R, \exp_\omega T \} \), consisting of \( C^* \)-sub-

algebras \( X_S \) of \( X \) and their natural inclusions \( i_S^R : X_S \to X_R \), forms a direct \( C^* \)-system such that \( X = \varinjlim S_X \). Also note that

\[
\{ \varphi_S : S \in \exp_\omega T \} : S \to S_X
\]

is a morphism between the indicated direct systems such that \( \varphi = \varinjlim \{ \varphi_S : S \in \exp_\omega T \} \).

Since \( X \) is a projective \( C^* \)-algebra, there exists a unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( \phi : X \to \star C \{ X_t : t \in T \} \) such that \( \varphi \circ \phi = \text{id}_X \).

According to Theorem 3.5, applied to the homomorphism \( \phi : \varinjlim S_X \to \varinjlim S \), there exist a cofinal and \( \omega \)-closed subset \( A \) of \( \exp_\omega \tau \) and a morphism

\[
\{ \phi_S : S \in A \} : S_X | A \to S | A
\]

such that \( \phi = \varinjlim \{ \phi_S : S \in A \} \). In particular, the square diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \star C \{ X_t \mid t \in T \} \\
\downarrow{i_S} & & \downarrow{\pi_S^T} \\
X_S & \xrightarrow{\phi_S} & \star C \{ X_t \mid t \in S \},
\end{array}
\]

commutes for each \( S \in A \). Note also that \( \varphi_S \circ \phi_S = \text{id}_{X_S} \) for each \( S \in A \).

According to Lemma 4.6, the \( C^* \)-algebra \( X \), and hence each \( X_t, t \in T \), admits

a unital \( * \)-homomorphism into \( \mathbb{C} \). Consequently, by Remark 4.5, the inclusion \( \pi_S^T : \star C \{ X_t \mid t \in S \} \to \star C \{ X_t \mid t \in T \} \) is a coretraction with the associated retraction \( g_S^T : \star C \{ X_t \mid t \in T \} \to \star C \{ X_t \mid t \in S \} \). Consider the unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( r_S : X \to X_S \), defined as the composition \( r_S = \varphi_S \circ g_S^T \circ \phi \). Note that

\[
r_S \circ i_S = \varphi_S \circ g_S^T \circ \phi \circ i_S = \varphi_S \circ g_S^T \circ \pi_S^T \circ \phi_S = \varphi_S \circ \phi_S = \text{id}_{X_S},
\]

which shows that \( r_S \) is a retraction. It only remains to note that \( X_S \), as a retract of \( X \), is projective. \( \square \)

The following statement provides a characterization of non-separable projective unital \( C^* \)-algebras. It should be noted that condition (b) of Theorem 5.13 is significantly stronger than the conclusion of Lemma 5.12.

**Theorem 5.13.** The following conditions are equivalent for any unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( X \) of density \( d(X) = \tau > \omega \):

(a) \( X \) is projective.

(b) \( X \) is isomorphic to the limit of a direct \( C^*_\omega \)-system \( S_X = \{ X_\alpha, i_\alpha^R, A \} \), consisting of separable projective unital \( C^* \)-algebras \( X_\alpha \) and doubly projective
Proof. Part I. First we show that if $X$ is a projective $C^*$-algebra, then there exists a well ordered continuous direct system $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \tau\}$ of length $\tau$ satisfying the following properties:

1. $X_\alpha$ is a projective unital $C^*$-algebra for each $\alpha < \tau$.
2. Short injection $i_\alpha^{\alpha+1}: X_\alpha \to X_{\alpha+1}$ is doubly projective and has a separable type for each $\alpha < \tau$.
3. $X_0$ is a separable projective unital $C^*$-algebra.

In proving this we will show the existence of a direct $C^*$-system such that $\{\cdots \to X_{t-1} \to X_t \to X_{t+1} \to \cdots\}$ generates the surjective unital $C^*$-homomorphism $\phi: \bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in T\} \to X$ that is a direct $C^*$-algebra, consisting of separable unital projective $C^*$-subalgebra of $X$, such that $X = \bigcup \{X_t: t \in T\}$ and $|T| = \tau$.

Below we follow the proof of Lemma 5.12. The fact that each $X_t, t \in A$, is projective becomes crucial later in this proof.

As in the proof of Lemma 5.12, the homomorphisms $i_t: X_t \to X, t \in T$, generate the surjective unital $*$-homomorphism $\varphi: \bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in T\} \to X$ such that $\varphi \circ \pi_{X_t} = i_t$ for each $t \in T$ (here $\pi_{X_t}: X_t \to \bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in T\}$ denotes the canonical inclusion).

Recall that by Proposition 4.4, the collection

$$S = \{\bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in S\}, \pi_S^R, \exp T\}$$

is a direct $C^*_\omega$-system such that $\bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in T\} = \lim_{\to} S$.

For each $S \subseteq T$ let $X_S = \cl_X \varphi(\bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in S\})$. Also by $\varphi_S: \bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in S\} \to X_S$ we denote the restriction of the homomorphism $\varphi$ onto the unital free product $\bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in S\}$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & \bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in T\} \\
\uparrow{i_S} & & \uparrow{\pi_T} \\
X_S & \xleftarrow{\varphi_S} & \bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in S\},
\end{array}$$

where $i_S: X_S \to X$ denotes the inclusion.

It is obvious that the system $S_X = \{X_S, i_S^R, \exp T\}$, consisting of $C^*$-subalgebras $X_S$ of $X$ and their natural inclusions $i_S^R: X_S \to X_R$, forms a direct $C^*_\omega$-system such that $X = \lim_{\to} S_X$.

Since, by (a), $X$ is a projective $C^*$-algebra, there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $\phi: X \to \bigstar_C \{X_t: t \in T\}$ such that $\varphi \circ \phi = \text{id}_X$. 
Let us say that a subset $S \subseteq T$ is admissible if \( \phi(X_S) \subseteq \star C \{X_t: t \in S\} \). This clearly means that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \star C \{X_t: t \in T\} \\
i_S & & \phi_S \\
X_S & \xrightarrow{\phi_S} & \star C \{X_t: t \in S\}
\end{array}
\]

where $\phi_S = \phi|_{X_S}: X_S \to \star C \{X_t: t \in S\}$, commutes.

We need to state some of the properties of admissible subsets.

**Claim 1.** If $S \subseteq T$ is admissible, then $\phi_S \circ \phi_S = \text{id}_{X_S}$.

*Proof of Claim 1.* Follows from the above constructions and the equality $\phi \circ \phi = \text{id}_X$ (see the proof of Lemma 5.12).

**Claim 2.** If $S$ is an admissible subset of $T$, then $X_S = \varphi(\star C \{X_t: t \in S\})$.

*Proof of Claim 2.* Follows from Claim 1 (see the proof of Lemma 5.12).

**Claim 3.** The union of an arbitrary collection of admissible subsets of $T$ is admissible.

*Proof of Claim 3.* Let $S_i, i \in I$ be an admissible subset of $T$ and let $S = \bigcup\{S_i: i \in I\}$. First observe that

\[
X_S = \text{cl}_{X} \varphi(\star C \{X_t: t \in S\}) = \text{cl}_{X} \varphi \left( \bigcup \{\star C \{X_t: t \in S_i\}: i \in I\} \right) = \text{cl}_{X} \left( \bigcup \varphi(\{X_t: t \in S_i\}) : i \in I \right) \subseteq \text{cl}_{X} \left( \bigcup \{X_{S_i}: i \in I\} \right).
\]

Consequently

\[
\phi(X_S) \subseteq \phi \left( \text{cl}_{X} \left( \bigcup \{X_{S_i}: i \in I\} \right) \right) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\star C \{X_t: t \in T\}} \left( \phi \left( \bigcup \{X_{S_i}: i \in I\} \right) \right) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\star C \{X_t: t \in T\}} \left( \bigcup \{\phi(X_{S_i}): i \in I\} \right) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\star C \{X_t: t \in S\}} \left( \bigcup \star C \{X_t: t \in S_i\} : i \in I \right) \subseteq \star C \{X_t: t \in S\}.
\]

**Claim 4.** If $S$ is an admissible subset of $T$, then $X_S$ is a projective $C^*$-subalgebra of $X$.

*Proof of Claim 4.* See the proof of lemma 5.12.

**Claim 5.** Every countable subset of $T$ is contained in a countable admissible subset of $T$.

*Proof of Claim 5.* According to Theorem 3.5, applied to the homomorphism $\phi: \lim\sup S_X \to \lim\sup S$, there exist a cofinal and $\omega$-closed subset $A$ of $\exp_\omega \tau$ and a morphism

\[
\{\phi_S: S \in A\}: S_X|A \to S|A
\]
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such that $\phi = \lim \{ \phi_S : S \in \mathcal{A} \}$. Clearly each $S \in \mathcal{A}$ is admissible.

Claim 6. If $S$ is an admissible subset of $T$, then the inclusion $i_S : X_S \to X$ is doubly projective.

Proof of Claim 6. Recall that the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in T \} \\
\uparrow i_S & & \uparrow \pi_T^S \\
X_S & \xleftarrow{\phi_S} & \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in S \}
\end{array}
$$

commutes and that $\varphi \circ \phi = \text{id}_X$ and $\varphi_S \circ \phi_S = \text{id}_{X_S}$.

Since each $X_t$, $t \in T$, is projective (this is where Lemma 5.12 is actually being used) we easily conclude that $\bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in T - S \}$ is also projective (compare to [2, Propositions 2.31, 2.32]). By Lemmas 4.1 and 5.7, the inclusion $\pi_T^S : \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in S \} \to \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in T \}$ is doubly projective. Finally, Lemma 5.6 guarantees that the inclusion $i_S : X_S \to X$ is also doubly projective. This completes proof of Claim 6.

Now consider the direct system $S_X|\mathcal{A} = \{ X_S, i_S^R, \mathcal{A} \}$. Clearly $S_X|\mathcal{A}$ is a direct $C^*_\omega$-system such that $X = \lim S_X|\mathcal{A}$ (see Claim 5). By Claim 4, each $X_S$, $S \in \mathcal{A}$, is a separable unital projective subalgebra of $X$ and, by Claim 6, each limit inclusion $i_S : X_S \to X$, $S \in \mathcal{A}$, is doubly projective. This finishes the proof of the implication (a) $\implies$ (b).

Next we prove the implication (a) $\implies$ (c). Since $|T| = \tau$, we can write $T = \{ t_\alpha : \alpha < \tau \}$. By Claim 5, for each $\alpha < \tau$ there exists a countable admissible subset $S_\alpha \subseteq T$ such that $t_\alpha \in S_\alpha$. Let $T_0 = \cup \{ S_\alpha : \beta < \alpha \}$ and $X_\alpha = X_{T_\alpha}$. Also let $i_{\alpha+1} : X_\alpha \to X_{\alpha+1}$ denote the inclusion. Thus we have the well ordered continuous direct system $S_X = \{ X_\alpha, i_{\alpha+1}, \tau \}$. It follows from the above constructions that $X = \lim S_X$. According to Claims 3 and 4, each $X_\alpha$, $\alpha < \tau$, is a unital projective $C^*$-subalgebra of $X$. Since $T_0 = S_0$ is countable, we conclude that $X_0$ is separable. Claim 6 guarantees that for each $\alpha < \tau$, both limit inclusions $i_\alpha : X_\alpha \to X$ and $i_{\alpha+1} : X_{\alpha+1} \to X$ are doubly projective. Note that $i_\alpha = i_{\alpha+1} \circ i_{\alpha+1}^\alpha$. By Lemma 5.2, $i_{\alpha+1}$ is a coretraction. Consequently, by Lemma 5.5, $i_{\alpha+1}^\alpha$ is also doubly projective. Finally, in order to see that $i_{\alpha+1}^\alpha$ has a separable type, note that according to the above constructions and Lemma 4.1, we have the following commuting diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{\alpha+1} & \xleftarrow{i_{\alpha+1}} & \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in T_\alpha \} \bigstar C \{ \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in S_{\alpha+1} \} \} \\
\uparrow i_S & & \uparrow \pi \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in T_\alpha \} \\
X_\alpha & \xleftarrow{i_\alpha} & \bigstar C \{ X_t : t \in T_\alpha \},
\end{array}
$$
with surjective \( \varphi_{T_\alpha} \) and \( \varphi_{T_{\alpha+1}} \). Clearly both

\[
\ast_{\mathbb{C}} \{ X_t : t \in T_\alpha \} \quad \text{and} \quad (\ast_{\mathbb{C}} \{ X_t : t \in T_\alpha \}) \ast_{\mathbb{C}} (\ast_{\mathbb{C}} \{ X_t : t \in S_{\alpha+1} \})
\]

are projective (as unital free products of projective \( \mathcal{C}^* \)-algebras). It only remains to note that since \( S_{\alpha+1} \) is countable and since each \( X_t \) is separable, the unital free product \( \ast_{\mathbb{C}} \{ X_t : t \in S_{\alpha+1} \} \) is also separable ([1, Theorem 3.1]). This completes the proof of the implication (a) \( \Rightarrow \) (c).

In order to prove the implication (b) \( \Rightarrow \) (a) observe that if \( S_X = \{ X_\alpha, i_\alpha, A \} \) is a direct \( \mathcal{C}^* \)-system satisfying properties indicated in condition (b), then for any \( \alpha \in A \) the \( \alpha \)-th limit inclusion \( i_\alpha : X_\alpha \to X \) is doubly projective and the \( \mathcal{C}^* \)-algebra \( X_\alpha \) is projective. Consequently, by lemma 5.3, \( X \) is also projective.

Finally, the implication (c) \( \Rightarrow \) (a) follows from Lemmas 5.10 and 5.3. \( \square \)

6. Basic properties of doubly projective square diagrams and characterization of doubly projective homomorphisms

6.1. Doubly projective diagrams. The pushout construction [8] applied to the category \( \mathcal{C}^*_1 \) leads us to the following definition [7], [10]. A commutative square diagram \( X_1X_2Y_2Y_1 \), consisting of unital \( \mathcal{C}^* \)-algebras and unital \( * \)-homomorphisms, is called pushout, if for any two coherent unital \( * \)-homomorphisms \( g : X_2 \to Z \) and \( h : Y_1 \to Z \) into any unital \( \mathcal{C}^* \)-algebra \( Z \) (i.e. \( g \circ i = h \circ f_1 \)), there exists unique unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( g \star h : Y_2 \to Z \) (a more informative notation \( g \star_{X_1} h \) for the sake of simplicity is replaced by \( g \star h \)) such that \( (g \star h) \circ f_2 = g \) and \( (g \star h) \circ j = h \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y_2 \\
\downarrow i & & \downarrow j \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Y_1 \\
& & \\
& \uparrow & \\
& & \downarrow h \\
& & Z \\
& & g \star h \\
& & \downarrow g \\
& & Z \\
\end{array}
\]

\( \mathcal{C}^* \)-algebra \( Y_2 \) in such a case is isomorphic to the amalgamated free product \( X_2 \star_{X_1} Y_1 \), which is the quotient of the unital free product \( X_2 \ast_{\mathbb{C}} Y_1 \) by the
closed ideal generated by \{i(x) - f_1(x) : x \in X_1\}. Also if \(\pi : X_2 \star C Y_1 \to Y_2\) denotes this quotient homomorphism, then \(\pi \circ \pi_{Y_1} = j\) and \(\pi \circ \pi_{X_2} = f_2\), where \(\pi_{Y_1} : Y_1 \to X_2 \star C Y_1\) and \(\pi_{X_2} : X_2 \to X_2 \star C Y_1\) denote canonical embeddings. Here is the corresponding diagram

![Diagram]

Lemma 6.1. Let

\[
\begin{align*}
X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} Y_2 \\
\uparrow i & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \uparrow j \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} Y_1
\end{align*}
\]

be a pushout diagram, consisting of unital \(C^*\)-algebras and unital \(\ast\)-homomorphisms. If \(f_1\) is doubly projective, then \(f_2\) is also doubly projective.

Proof. Let \(p : A \to B\) be a surjective unital \(\ast\)-homomorphism of unital \(C^*\)-algebras. Consider also two unital \(\ast\)-homomorphisms \(g : X_2 \to A\) and \(h : Y_2 \to B\) such that \(p \circ g = h \circ f_2\). Clearly

\[
p \circ (g \circ i) = (p \circ g) \circ i = (h \circ f_2) \circ i = (h \circ j) \circ f_1 = h \circ (j \circ f_1).
\]

Since \(f_1\) is doubly projective, there exists a unital \(\ast\)-homomorphism \(\tilde{k} : Y_2 \to A\) such that \(g \circ i = \tilde{k} \circ f_1\) and \(h \circ j = p \circ \tilde{k}\). Since the given diagram is a pushout, we have a unital \(\ast\)-homomorphism \(k = g \star \tilde{k} : Y_2 \to A\). Recall that \(g = (g \star \tilde{k}) \circ f_2\) and \(\tilde{k} = (g \star \tilde{k}) \circ j\). Consequently it only remains to show that \(p \circ (g \star \tilde{k}) = h\).

In order to prove this equality note that

\[
[p \circ (g \star \tilde{k})] \circ f_2 = p \circ g = h \circ f_2 \quad \text{and} \quad [p \circ (g \star \tilde{k})] \circ j = p \circ \tilde{k} = h \circ j.
\]

Again, since the given diagram is a pushout, the above equalities imply that \(p \circ (g \star \tilde{k}) = h\) as required. \(\square\)
Lemma 6.2. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a unital $\ast$-homomorphism of unital $C^\ast$-algebras. Let also $A$ be a unital $C^\ast$-algebra. Then the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y \star_{\mathbb{C}} A \\
\uparrow f & & \uparrow \left( (\pi_Y \circ f) \star \text{id}_A \right) \\
X & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & X \star_{\mathbb{C}} A
\end{array}
$$

is a pushout.

Proof. Consider the pushout

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Z \\
\uparrow f & & \uparrow \phi \\
X & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & X \star_{\mathbb{C}} A
\end{array}
$$

generated by the homomorphisms $f: X \to Y$ and $\pi_X: X \to X \star_{\mathbb{C}} A$. Since, by the commutativity of the first diagram,

(6.1) \hspace{1cm} \pi_Y \circ f = \left( (\pi_Y \circ f) \star \text{id}_A \right) \circ \pi_X ,

it follows that there exists unique unital $\ast$-homomorphism $p: Z \to Y \star_{\mathbb{C}} A$ such that

(6.2) \hspace{1cm} p \circ \varphi = \pi_Y \hspace{1cm} \text{and}

(6.3) \hspace{1cm} p \circ \phi = (\pi_Y \circ f) \star \text{id}_A .

Let $\pi_A: A \to X \star_{\mathbb{C}} A$ denote the canonical injection of $A$ into the unital free product $X \star_{\mathbb{C}} A$. Consider the homomorphisms $\varphi: Y \to Z$ and $\phi \circ \pi_A: A \to Z$. Since $Y \star_{\mathbb{C}} A$ is the unital free product, there exists unique unital $\ast$-homomorphism $q: Y \star_{\mathbb{C}} A \to Z$ such that

(6.4) \hspace{1cm} q \circ \pi_Y = \varphi \hspace{1cm} \text{and}

(6.5) \hspace{1cm} q \circ \lambda_A = \phi \circ \pi_A ,

where $\lambda_A: A \to Y \star_{\mathbb{C}} A$ denotes the canonical injection (not to be confused with $\pi_A$). Note that
\[ \lambda_A = \left( (\pi_Y \circ f) \star \text{id}_A \right) \circ \pi_A. \]

In order to prove our statement we need to show that \( p \) is an isomorphism. We accomplish this by proving that \( q \circ p = \text{id}_Z \) and \( p \circ q = \text{id}_{Y \star \mathbb{C}A} \). The following diagram helps to visualize the situation.

First let us show that

\[ q \circ \left( (\pi_Y \circ f) \star \text{id}_A \right) = \phi. \]

Since both \( q \circ \left( (\pi_Y \circ f) \star \text{id}_A \right) \) and \( \phi \) are defined on the unital free product \( X \star \mathbb{C}A \), (6.7) will be proved by examining compositions of the above homomorphisms with \( \pi_A \) and \( \pi_X \). Observe that

\[ \left( q \circ \left( (\pi_Y \circ f) \star \text{id}_A \right) \right) \circ \pi_A \overset{(6.6)}{=} q \circ \lambda_A \overset{(6.5)}{=} \phi \circ \pi_A \]

and

\[ \left( q \circ \left( (\pi_Y \circ f) \star \text{id}_A \right) \right) \circ \pi_X \overset{(6.1)}{=} q \circ \pi_Y \circ \pi_A \overset{(6.4)}{=} \phi \circ f = \phi \circ \pi_X. \]

Note that (6.8) and (6.9) imply (6.7).

Next note that

\[ q \circ p \circ \phi \overset{(6.3)}{=} q \circ \left( (\pi_Y \circ f) \star \text{id}_A \right) \overset{(6.7)}{=} \phi \]

and
Clearly (6.10) and (6.11) imply the equality $q \circ p = \text{id}_Z$.

In order to establish the second equality $p \circ q = \text{id}_{Y \star C A}$ we proceed in a similar way. Observe that

\[(6.12) \quad p \circ q \circ \lambda_A \equiv p \circ \phi \circ \pi_A = [(\pi_Y \circ f) \star \text{id}_A] \circ \pi_A = \lambda_A\]

and

\[(6.13) \quad p \circ q \circ \pi_Y = p \circ \phi = \pi_Y.\]

As above, (6.12) and (6.13) imply the required equality $p \circ q = \text{id}_{Y \star C A}$.

This shows that $p$ is an isomorphism and completes the proof.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} Y_2 \\
\downarrow i \quad \downarrow j \\
X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y_1
\end{array}
\]

be a pushout diagram, consisting of projective unital $C^*$-algebras and doubly projective homomorphisms. If $f_1$ has a separable type, then $f_2$ also has a separable type.

**Proof.** Since $f_1$ has a separable type, we have the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
Y_1 \leftarrow \varphi_{Y_1} X'_1 \star C Y'_1 \\
\downarrow f_1 \quad \downarrow \pi_{X'_1} \\
X_1 \leftarrow \varphi_{X_1} X'_1,
\end{array}
\]

where $X'_1$ and $Y'_1$ are projective unital $C^*$-algebras, $Y'_1$ in addition is separable and the unital $*$-homomorphisms $\varphi_{X_1}$ and $\varphi_{Y_1}$ are surjective. By Lemma 6.2, the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_1 \star C Y'_1 \leftarrow \varphi_{X_1} X'_1 \star C Y'_1 \\
\downarrow \pi_{X_1} \quad \downarrow \pi_{X'_1} \\
X_1 \leftarrow \varphi_{X_1} X'_1
\end{array}
\]
is a pushout. Consequently there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $r: X_1 \star_{C} Y_1' \to Y_1$ such that $\varphi_{Y_1} = r \circ [(\pi_{X_1} \circ \varphi_{X_1}) \star_{C} \text{id}_{Y_1'}]$. Since $\varphi_{Y_1}$ is surjective, the latter equality guarantees that $r$ is also surjective. Thus we have the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
Y_1 \leftarrow r & X_1 \star_{C} Y_1' \\
\uparrow f_1 & \uparrow \pi_{X_1} \\
X_1 & \leftarrow \text{id}_{X_1} & X_1.
\end{array}
$$

Next consider the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
X_1 \star_{C} Y_1' \leftarrow X_2 \star_{C} Y_1' \\
\downarrow \pi_{X_2} & \downarrow \pi_{X_2} \\
X_2 & Y_2 \\
\downarrow f_2 & \downarrow f_2 \\
X_1 & Y_1 \\
\downarrow \pi_{X_1} & \downarrow \pi_{X_1} \\
X_2 & X_2
\end{array}
$$

in which, according to Lemma 6.2, the subdiagram, represented by the back face of the above diagram, is a pushout. Since $r$ is surjective and since $f_1$ is doubly projective, there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $s: Y_1 \to X_1 \to Y_1'$ such that $r \circ s = \text{id}_{Y_1}$ and $\pi_{X_1} = s \circ f_1$. Now consider the unital $*$-homomorphisms $j \circ r: X_1 \star_{C} Y_1' \to Y_2$ and $f_2: X_2 \to Y_2$. Note that $j \circ r \circ \pi_{X_1} = j \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ i$. Since, as was indicated, the back face is a pushout, there exists the unique unital $*$-homomorphism $\tilde{r}: X_2 \star_{C} Y_1' \to Y_2$ such that

$$
\tilde{r} \circ [(\pi_{X_2} \circ i) \star_{C} \text{id}_{Y_1'}] = j \circ r \text{ and } \tilde{r} \circ \pi_{X_2} = f_2.
$$

It only remains to show that $\tilde{r}$ is surjective. To see this consider the homomorphisms $[(\pi_{X_2} \circ i) \star_{C} \text{id}_{Y_1'}] \circ s: Y_1 \to X_2 \star_{C} Y_1'$ and $\pi_{X_2}: X_2 \to X_2 \star_{C} Y_1'$. Clearly

$$
[(\pi_{X_2} \circ i) \star_{C} \text{id}_{Y_1'}] \circ s \circ f_1 = [(\pi_{X_2} \circ i) \star_{C} \text{id}_{Y_1'}] \circ \pi_{X_1} = \pi_{X_2} \circ i.
$$

Since the originally given diagram is a pushout, there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $\tilde{s}: Y_2 \to X_2 \star_{C} Y_1'$ such that $\tilde{s} \circ j = [(\pi_{X_2} \circ i) \star_{C} \text{id}_{Y_1'}] \circ s$ and
\[ \tilde{s} \circ f_2 = \pi_{X_2}. \] Straightforward verification (based on the universality properties of the two pushout diagrams involved) shows that \( \tilde{r} \circ \tilde{s} = \text{id}_{Y_2} \). This suffices to conclude that \( \tilde{r} \) is surjective. Consequently the homomorphism \( f_2 \) has a separable type.

**Definition 6.4.** A characteristic *-homomorphism of a commutative square diagram \( X_1X_2Y_1Y_2 \) is the *-homomorphism \( \chi = f_2 \star j \)

![Diagram](attachment:diagram.png)

Note that a commutative square diagram is a pushout if and only if its characteristic *-homomorphism is an isomorphism.

**Definition 6.5.** A commutative square diagram, consisting of unital \( C^* \)-algebras and unital *-homomorphisms, is called doubly projective, if its characteristic *-homomorphism is doubly projective.

**Lemma 6.6.** Let

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} Y_2 \\
\uparrow i \quad \uparrow j \\
X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y_1
\end{array}
\]

be a doubly projective square diagram. If \( f_1 \) is doubly projective, then \( f_2 \) is also doubly projective. Moreover, for any unital surjective *-homomorphism \( p: A \to B \) of unital \( C^* \)-algebras and any three unital *-homomorphisms \( g: X_2 \to A \), \( h: Y_2 \to B \) and \( k_1: Y_1 \to A \) such that \( h \circ f_2 = p \circ g \), \( g \circ i = k_1 \circ f_1 \) and \( h \circ j = p \circ k_1 \),
there exists a unital *-homomorphism $k_2 : Y_2 \to A$ such that $k_2 \circ f_2 = g$, $p \circ k_2 = h$ and $k_2 \circ j = k_1$.

Proof. Consider the pushout diagram $X_1 X_2 X_2 \star X_1 Y_2 Y_2$ generated by the *-homomorphisms $i : X_1 \to X_2$ and $f_1 : X_1 \to Y_1$. Since $f_1$ is doubly projective, it follows, by Lemma 6.1, that $\varphi_{X_2}$ is also doubly projective. Since the characteristic *-homomorphism $\chi : X_2 \star X_1 X_1 \to Y_2$ of the originally given diagram is doubly projective, it follows, by Lemma 5.4, that the composition $f_2 = \chi \circ \varphi_{X_2}$ is doubly projective. This proves the first part of our statement.

In order to prove the second part of Lemma consider the following diagram in which all objects satisfy the above formulated assumptions:
Since $X_1X_2X_2\star X_1Y_2Y_2$ is a pushout diagram and since the $\ast$-homomorphisms $g: X_2 \to A$ and $k_1: Y_1 \to A$ satisfy the equality $g \circ i = k_1 \circ f_1$, there exists unique $\ast$-homomorphism $g \star k_1: X_2 \star X_1Y_1 \to A$ such that
\[(6.14)\quad g = (g \star k_1) \circ \varphi_{X_2}\]
and
\[(6.15)\quad k_1 = (g \star k_1) \circ \varphi_{Y_1}.\]

In order to prove that $p \circ (g \star k_1) = h \circ \chi$, first observe that
\[(6.16)\quad [p \circ (g \star k_1)] \circ \varphi_{Y_1} = p \circ k_1 = h \circ j = [h \circ \chi] \circ \varphi_{Y_1}.\]
Secondly,
\[(6.17)\quad [p \circ (g \star k_1)] \circ \varphi_{X_2} = p \circ g = h \circ f_2 = [h \circ \chi] \circ \varphi_{X_2}.
\]
Since $X_1X_2X_2\star X_1Y_2Y_2$ is a pushout diagram, (6.16) and (6.17), imply the required equality $p \circ (g \star k_1) = h \circ \chi$.

Since $\chi$ is doubly projective the latter equality guarantees the existence of a unital $\ast$-homomorphism $k_2: Y_2 \to A$ such that $p \circ k_2 = h$ and $k_2 \circ \chi = g \star k_1$. The straightforward verification shows that $k_2 \circ f_2 = g$ and $k_2 \circ j = k_1$
\[k_2 \circ f_2 = k_2 \circ \chi \circ \varphi_{X_2} = (g \star k_1) \circ \varphi_{X_2} = g \quad \text{(6.14)}\]
and
\[k_2 \circ j = k_2 \circ \chi \circ \varphi_{Y_1} = (g \star k_1) \circ \varphi_{Y_1} = k_1 \quad \text{(6.15)}\]
This completes the proof of Lemma 6.6.

**Proposition 6.7.** Let $S_X = \{X_\alpha, j_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}, \tau\}$ and $S_Y = \{Y_\alpha, j_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}, \tau\}$ be two well ordered continuous direct systems consisting of unital $C^\ast$-algebras and unital $\ast$-homomorphisms. Let
\[
\{f_\alpha: X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha; \alpha \in \tau\}: S_X \to S_Y
\]
be a morphism between these systems such that all arising adjacent square diagrams
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\alpha+1}} & Y_{\alpha+1} \\
\uparrow{j_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}} & & \uparrow{j_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}} \\
X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & Y_\alpha
\end{array}
\]
are doubly projective. If $f_0: X_0 \to Y_0$ is doubly projective, then the limit homomorphism $\varinjlim f_\alpha: \varinjlim S_X \to S_Y$ is also doubly projective.
Proof. Let $p: A \to B$ be a unital surjective $*$-homomorphism of unital $C^*$-algebras. Consider two unital $*$-homomorphisms
\[ g: \lim_{\to} S_X \to A \quad \text{and} \quad h: \lim_{\to} S_Y \to B \]
such that $p \circ g = h \circ \lim\{f_\alpha\}$. Our goal is to construct a unital $*$-homomorphism
\[ k: \lim_{\to} S_Y \to A \]
such that $k \circ \lim\{f_\alpha\} = g$ and $p \circ k = h$. Let
\[ g_\alpha = g \circ i_\alpha: X_\alpha \to A \quad \text{and} \quad h_\alpha = h \circ j_\alpha: Y_\alpha \to B, \alpha < \tau. \]

We now construct (by induction) a collection of unital $*$-homomorphisms
\[ k_\alpha: Y_\alpha \to A, \alpha < \tau, \]
so that the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) $g_\alpha = k_\alpha \circ f_\alpha, \alpha < \tau$.
(b) $h_\alpha = p \circ k_\alpha, \alpha < \tau$.
(c) $k_\alpha = k_{\alpha+1} \circ j_\alpha^\alpha, \alpha < \tau$.
(d) $k_\alpha = \lim\{k_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$, whenever $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal number with $\alpha < \tau$.

By our assumption, the $*$-homomorphism $f_0$ is doubly projective. Consequently there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $k_0: Y_0 \to A$ such that $g_0 = k_0 \circ f_0$ and $h_0 = p \circ k_0$.

Suppose that for each $\alpha < \gamma$, where $\gamma < \tau$, we have already constructed unital $*$-homomorphisms $k_\alpha: Y_\alpha \to A$ satisfying conditions (a)–(d) for appropriate indices. Let us construct a unital $*$-homomorphism $k_\gamma: Y_\gamma \to A$.

If $\gamma$ is a limit ordinal number, then let (consult with Subsection 3.1)
\[ k_\gamma = \lim\{f_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\}. \]

The continuity of the direct systems $S_X$ and $S_Y$ guarantees that $g_\gamma = k_\gamma \circ f_\gamma, h_\gamma = p \circ k_\gamma$ and $k_\alpha = j_\alpha^\gamma \circ k_\gamma$ for each $\alpha < \gamma$.

If $\gamma = \alpha + 1$, then, by the assumption, the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\alpha+1}} & Y_{\alpha+1} \\
\uparrow{i_{\alpha+1}^\alpha} & & \uparrow{j_{\alpha+1}^\alpha} \\
X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & Y_\alpha
\end{array}
\]
is doubly projective. Therefore, by Lemma 6.6, there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $k_{\alpha+1}: Y_{\alpha+1} \to A$ such that $g_{\alpha+1} = k_{\alpha+1} \circ f_{\alpha+1}, h_{\alpha+1} = p \circ k_{\alpha+1}$ and $k_\alpha = j_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \circ k_{\alpha+1}$.
Thus, the $*$-homomorphisms $k_\alpha$ are now constructed for each $\alpha < \tau$. It only remains to note that the $*$-homomorphism $k = \varinjlim\{k_\alpha\}: \varprojlim S_Y \to A$ satisfies all the required properties.

### 6.2. Characterization of doubly projective homomorphisms.

**Theorem 6.8.** Let $f: X \to Y$ be a unital $*$-homomorphism between unital $C^*$-algebras of the same density. Then $f$ is doubly projective homomorphism of separable type if and only if there exist direct $C_\omega^*$-systems $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha, A\}$, $S_Y = \{Y_\alpha, j_\beta, A\}$ and a morphism $\{f_\alpha: X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}: S_X \to S_Y$, satisfying the following conditions:

(a) The indexing set $A$ is cofinal and $\omega$-closed in $\exp_\omega \tau$.
(b) $X = \varinjlim S_X$, $Y = \varinjlim S_Y$, $f = \varinjlim \{f_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$.
(c) $X_\alpha$ and $Y_\alpha$ are separable unital projective $C^*$-algebras, $\alpha \in A$.
(d) The $\alpha$-th limit inclusions $i_\alpha: X_\alpha \to X$ and $j_\alpha: Y_\alpha \to Y$ are doubly projective, $\alpha \in A$.
(e) $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha$ is doubly projective, $\alpha \in A$.
(f) All $\alpha$-th limit diagrams ($\alpha \in A$)

$$
\begin{array}{c}
X \xrightarrow{f} Y \\
\uparrow i_\alpha \quad \quad \quad \uparrow j_\alpha \\
X_\alpha \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} Y_\alpha,
\end{array}
$$

are pushouts.

**Proof.** Part I. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a doubly projective homomorphism of separable type. We will show the existence of the above indicated direct $C_\omega^*$-systems and of a morphism, satisfying the required properties.

If $Y$ is separable, then the statement is trivial. Indeed, by Lemma 5.2, $f$ is injective and consequently $X$ is also separable. Let $X_0 = X$, $Y_0 = Y$, $p = \text{id}_X$, $q = \text{id}_Y$ and $f_0 = f$. Obviously the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
X \xrightarrow{f} Y \\
\uparrow \text{id}_X \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \text{id}_Y \\
X \xrightarrow{f} Y,
\end{array}
$$

is a pushout.

Now consider the case $d(Y) = \tau > \omega$. By our assumption, the homomorphism $f$ has a separable type. This means (see Definition 5.8) that there exist a projective unital $C^*$-algebra $Z$ such that $d(Z) = d(X)$, a separable projective unital $C^*$-algebra $K$ and two surjective unital $*$-homomorphisms $\varphi_X: Z \to X$.
and $\varphi_Y: Z\star cK \to Y$ such that $f \circ \varphi_X = \varphi_Y \circ \pi_Z$, where $\pi_Z: Z \to Z\star cK$ denotes the natural inclusion. In other words, the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
Y \\ \downarrow f \\
X
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
Z\star cK \\ \uparrow \pi_Z \\
Z
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_Y \\
\downarrow \varphi_X
\end{array}
$$

commutes.

Since $X$ is projective and $\varphi_X: Z \to X$ is surjective, there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $\phi_X: X \to Z$ such that $\varphi_X \circ \phi_X = \text{id}_X$. Now consider the square diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
Y \\ \downarrow f \\
X
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
Z\star cK \\ \uparrow \pi_Z \circ \phi_X
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_Y \\
\downarrow \phi_Y
\end{array}
$$

which obviously commutes. To see this note that

$$
\varphi_Y \circ \pi_Z \circ \phi_X = f \circ \varphi_X \circ \phi_X = f.
$$

Since $\varphi_Y$ is surjective and since $f$ is doubly projective, there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $\phi_Y: Z\star cK \to Y$ (indicated in the above diagram as the diagonal arrow) such that $\varphi_Y \circ \phi_Y = \text{id}_Y$ and $\phi_Y \circ f = \pi_Z \circ \phi_X$. Thus we have the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
Y \\ \downarrow f \\
X
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
Z\star cK \\ \uparrow \pi_Z \\
\varphi_Y
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phi_Y \\
\downarrow \phi_X
\end{array}
$$

Next observe that the $C^*$-algebras $X$, $Y$, $Z$ and $Z\star cK$ all have density $\leq \tau$. Consequently, by Theorem 5.13, $X = \lim S_X$, $Y = \lim S_Y$ and $Z = \lim S_Z$, where $S_X = \{X_\alpha, i^\alpha_\alpha, A_X\}$, $S_Y = \{Y_\alpha, j^\alpha_\alpha, A_Y\}$ and $S_Z = \{Z_\alpha, s^\alpha_\alpha, A_Z\}$ are direct $C^*_\omega$-systems consisting of separable unital projective $C^*$-algebras and doubly projective limit inclusions $i_\alpha: X_\alpha \to X$, $\alpha \in A_X$, $j_\alpha: Y_\alpha \to Y$, $\alpha \in A_Y$, and $s_\alpha: Z_\alpha \to Z$, $\alpha \in A_Z$. Also note that all three indexing sets $A_X$, $A_Y$ and $A_Z$ are cofinal and $\omega$-closed subsets of $\text{exp}_\omega \tau$. Next observe that the unital free product $Z\star cK$ is also the limit of the direct system $S_{Z\star cK} = \{Z_\alpha\star cK, s^\alpha_\alpha\star c id_K, A_Z\}$.
(straightforward verification using the universality properties of unital free products and limits of direct systems; see also Section 4). An important consequence of the fact that $f$ has a separable type is that $K$ is a separable $C^\ast$-algebra. This guarantees, according to [1, Theorem 3.1], that each $C^\ast$-algebra $Z_\alpha \boxplus K$, $\alpha \in A_Z$, is separable and, as a result, $S_{Z \boxplus K}$ is actually a direct $C^\ast_{\omega}$-system.

For each $\alpha \in A_Z$ let $\tilde{X}_\alpha = \mathrm{cl}_X (\varphi_X (Z_\alpha))$. Let also $\tilde{i}_\alpha^\beta : \tilde{X}_\alpha \to \tilde{X}_\beta$, $\alpha \leq \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in A_Z$ denote the corresponding inclusion. Similarly, for each $\alpha \in A_Z$ let $\tilde{Y}_\alpha = \mathrm{cl}_Y (\varphi_Y (Z_\alpha \boxplus K))$ and $\tilde{j}_\alpha^\beta : \tilde{Y}_\alpha \to \tilde{Y}_\beta$, $\alpha \leq \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in A_Z$ denote the corresponding inclusion. It is easy to see that the systems $\tilde{S}_X = \{ \tilde{X}_\alpha, \tilde{i}_\alpha^\beta, A_Z \}$ and $\tilde{S}_Y = \{ \tilde{Y}_\alpha, \tilde{j}_\alpha^\beta, A_Z \}$ are direct $C^\ast_{\omega}$-systems such that $\lim_{\omega} \tilde{S}_X = X$ and $\lim_{\omega} \tilde{S}_Y = Y$.

Since the indexing sets $A_X$, $A_Y$ and $A_Z$ are cofinal and $\omega$-closed in $\exp_\omega \tau$, we can conclude, by Proposition 2.1, that the intersection $B = A_X \cap A_Y \cap A_Z$ is still cofinal and $\omega$-closed in $\exp_\omega \tau$.

Next we consider six homomorphisms

\[
\varphi_X : \lim_{\omega} S_{Z_\alpha} | B \to \lim_{\omega} S_X | B, \quad \varphi_Y : \lim_{\omega} S_{Z \boxplus K} | B \to \lim_{\omega} S_Y | B,
\]

\[
\phi_X : \lim_{\omega} S_X | B \to \lim_{\omega} S_{Z_\alpha} | B, \quad \phi_Y : \lim_{\omega} S_Y | B \to \lim_{\omega} S_{Z \boxplus K} | B,
\]

\[
\pi_Z : \lim_{\omega} S_{Z_\alpha} | B \to \lim_{\omega} S_{Z \boxplus K} | B \quad \text{and} \quad f : \lim_{\omega} S_X | B \to \lim_{\omega} S_Y | B.
\]

Three of these homomorphisms are, by construction, the limits of associated morphisms

\[
\varphi_X = \lim_{\omega} \{ \varphi_X^\alpha : Z_\alpha \to X_\alpha ; B \}, \quad \text{where} \quad \varphi_X^\alpha = \varphi_X | Z_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in B,
\]

\[
\varphi_Y = \lim_{\omega} \{ \varphi_Y^\alpha : Z_\alpha \boxplus K \to Y_\alpha ; \}, \quad \text{where} \quad \varphi_Y^\alpha = \varphi_Y | (Z_\alpha \boxplus K), \quad \alpha \in B,
\]

and

\[
\pi_Z = \lim_{\omega} \{ \pi_Z^\alpha : Z_\alpha \to Z_\alpha \boxplus K ; B \}, \quad \text{where} \quad \pi_Z^\alpha \text{ is the canonical inclusion}, \quad \alpha \in B.
\]

We apply Theorem 3.5 to the remaining three homomorphisms $\varphi_X$, $\varphi_Y$ and $f$ and conclude that there exist cofinal and $\omega$-complete subsets $B_{\varphi_X}$, $B_{\varphi_Y}$ and $B_f$ of $B$ and morphisms

\[
\{ \varphi_X^\alpha : X_\alpha \to Z_\alpha ; B_{\varphi_X} \} : S_X | B_{\varphi_X} \to S_Z | B_{\varphi_X},
\]

\[
\{ \varphi_Y^\alpha : Y_\alpha \to Z_\alpha \boxplus K ; B_{\varphi_Y} \} : S_Y | B_{\varphi_X} \to S_Z \boxplus K | B_{\varphi_Y},
\]

and

\[
\{ f_\alpha : X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha ; B_f \} : S_X | B_f \to S_Y | B_f
\]
such that

\[ \varphi_X = \lim_{\to} \{ \varphi^\alpha_X; \alpha \in B_{\varphi_X} \}, \quad \varphi_Y = \lim_{\to} \{ \varphi^\alpha_Y; \alpha \in B_{\varphi_Y} \} \text{ and } f = \lim_{\to} \{ f_\alpha; \alpha \in B_f \}. \]

Note that, by Proposition 2.1, the intersection \( A = B_{\varphi_X} \cap B_{\varphi_Y} \cap B_f \) is cofinal and \( \omega \)-closed in \( B \) (and consequently in \( \exp_\omega \tau \)).

For each \( \alpha \in A \) we have the following commutative diagram:

Note that, by Theorem 5.13, we may without loss of generality assume that the limit inclusions \( i_\alpha: X_\alpha \to X \) and \( j_\alpha: Y_\alpha \to Y, \alpha \in A \), are doubly projective. This observation coupled with Lemma 5.5 guarantees that the homomorphism \( f_\alpha: X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha, \alpha \in A \), is also doubly projective.

It is now clear that in order to complete the proof it suffices to show that the diagram (the front face of the above cubic diagram)

is a pushout for an arbitrary index \( \alpha \in A \). Let \( p: X \to R \) and \( q: Y_\alpha \to R \) be unital \(*\)-homomorphisms into a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( R \) such that \( p \circ i_\alpha = q \circ f_\alpha \).

Consider the homomorphisms \( \tilde{p} = p \circ \varphi_X: Z \to R \) and \( \tilde{q} = q \circ \varphi^\alpha_Y: Z_\alpha \star_c K \to R \).

Note that

\[ \tilde{p} \circ s_\alpha = p \circ \varphi_X \circ s_\alpha = p \circ i_\alpha \circ \varphi^\alpha_X = q \circ f_\alpha \circ \varphi^\alpha_X = q \circ \varphi^\alpha_Y \circ \pi_{Z_\alpha} = \tilde{q} \circ \pi_{Z_\alpha}. \]

Now let \( \alpha \in A \). Since, by Lemma 6.2, the diagram (the back face of the above cubic diagram)
is a pushout, it follows that there exists a unique unital \(*\)-homomorphism \(\tilde{r}: Z \star_C K \to R\) such that \(\tilde{p} = \tilde{r} \circ \pi_Z\) and \(\tilde{q} = \tilde{r} \circ (s_\alpha \star_C \text{id}_K)\). Now let \(r = \tilde{r} \circ \phi_Y: Y \to R\). We have

\[
r \circ j_\alpha = \tilde{r} \circ \phi_Y \circ j_\alpha = \tilde{r} \circ (s_\alpha \star_C \text{id}_K) \circ \phi_Y^\alpha = \tilde{q} \circ \phi_X^\alpha = q \circ \varphi_Y^\alpha \circ \phi_Y^\alpha = q
\]

and

\[
r \circ f = \tilde{r} \circ \phi_Y \circ f = \tilde{r} \circ \pi_Z \circ \phi_X = \tilde{p} \circ \phi_X = p \circ \varphi_X \circ \phi_X = p.
\]

This simply means that the diagram under consideration has the corresponding universality property. Finally the uniqueness of \(\tilde{r}\) guarantees that \(r\) is the only unital \(*\)-homomorphism with the just indicated properties. This shows that our diagram is pushout and completes the proof of part I.

\textit{Part II.} Suppose that we are given direct \(C^*_\omega\)-systems \(S_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, \mathcal{A}\}\), \(S_Y = \{Y_\alpha, j_\alpha^\beta, \mathcal{A}\}\) and a morphism \(\{f_\alpha: X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha; \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}: S_X \to S_Y\), satisfying the above indicated properties.

Let \(\alpha \in \mathcal{A}\). By conditions (d), (e) and Lemma 5.4, the composition \(j_\alpha \circ f_\alpha\) is doubly projective. Since, by condition (d), the inclusion \(i_\alpha\) is doubly projective, it follows from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.5, that \(f\) is also doubly projective. By condition (c) and Lemma 5.9, the homomorphism \(f_\alpha\) has a separable type. Finally, by condition (f) and Lemma 6.3, \(f\) also has a separable type. \(\square\)

**Corollary 6.9.** Let \(f: X \to Y\) be a doubly projective homomorphism of unital projective \(C^*_\omega\)-algebras. If \(f\) has a separable type, then there exists a pushout

\[
\begin{align*}
X & \xrightarrow{f} Y \\
p & \quad \uparrow q \\
X_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} Y_0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(X_0\) and \(Y_0\) are separable unital projective \(C^*_\omega\)-algebras and the homomorphisms \(i_0: X_0 \to Y_0\), \(p: X_0 \to X\) and \(q: Y_0 \to Y\) are doubly projective.

**Remark 6.10.** Combining methods of proofs of Theorems 5.13 and 6.8 it is possible to obtain a characterization of arbitrary (not necessarily of a separable type) doubly projective homomorphisms of unital \(C^*_\omega\)-algebras. This characterization is recorded in Theorem 6.11. We only note here that the sufficiency follows from Proposition 6.7.
Theorem 6.11. A unital ∗-homomorphism \( f : X \to Y \) of projective unital \( C^* \)-algebras is doubly projective if and only if there exist well ordered continuous direct systems \( S_X = \{ X_\alpha, i_{\alpha+1}^\alpha, \tau \} \) and \( S_Y = \{ Y_\alpha, j_{\alpha+1}^\alpha, \tau \} \) and a morphism \( \{ f_\alpha ; \tau \} : S_X \to S_Y \) satisfying the following conditions:

(a) \( X = \lim_{\longrightarrow} S_X, Y = \lim_{\longrightarrow} S_Y \) and \( f = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \{ f_\alpha ; \tau \} \).

(b) \( C^* \)-algebras \( X_0 \) and \( Y_0 \) are separable projective and the homomorphism \( f_0 : X_0 \to Y_0 \) is doubly projective.

(c) \( C^* \)-algebras \( X_\alpha \) and \( Y_\alpha \) are projective and the homomorphism \( f_\alpha : X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha \) is doubly projective, \( \alpha < \tau \).

(d) All short injections \( i_{\alpha+1}^\alpha : X_\alpha \to X_{\alpha+1} \) and \( j_{\alpha+1}^\alpha : Y_\alpha \to Y_{\alpha+1} \) are doubly projective and have a separable type.

(e) All adjacent square diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\alpha+1}} & Y_{\alpha+1} \\
\uparrow{i_{\alpha+1}^\alpha} & & \uparrow{j_{\alpha+1}^\alpha} \\
X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & Y_\alpha
\end{array}
\]

are doubly projective and their characteristic homomorphisms have separable type.

(f) If the homomorphism \( f \) itself has a separable type, then all the square diagrams indicated in (d) are pushouts.
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