The DOF Transcription Factor SlDOF10 Regulates Vascular Tissue Formation During Ovary Development in Tomato
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The formation of fruits is an important step in the life cycle of flowering plants. The process of fruit development is highly regulated and involves the interaction of a complex regulatory network of genes in both space and time. To identify regulatory genes involved in fruit initiation in tomato we analyzed the transcriptomic profile of ovaries from the parthenocarpic PsEND1:barnase transgenic line. This line was generated using the cytotoxic gene barnase targeted to the anthers with the PsEND1 anther-specific promoter from pea. Among the differentially expressed genes we identified SlDOF10, a gene coding a DNA-binding with one finger (DOF) transcription factor which is activated in unpollinated ovaries of the parthenocarpic plants. SlDOF10 is preferentially expressed in the vasculature of the cotyledons and young leaves and in the root tip. During floral development, expression is visible in the vascular tissue of the sepals, the flower pedicel and in the ovary connecting the placenta with the developing ovules. The induction of the gene was observed in response to exogenous gibberellins and auxins treatments. To evaluate the gene function during reproductive development, we have generated SlDOF10 overexpressing and silencing stable transgenic lines. In particular, down-regulation of SlDOF10 activity led to a decrease in the area occupied by individual vascular bundles in the flower pedicel. Associated with this phenotype we observed induction of parthenocarpic fruit set. In summary, expression and functional analyses revealed a role for SlDOF10 gene in the development of the vascular tissue specifically during reproductive development highlighting the importance of this tissue in the process of fruit set.
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INTRODUCTION

The reproductive phase of angiosperms is characterized by the appearance of flowers and fruits. The flower is the reproductive organ of the plant and contains the male and female reproductive organs. The formation and development of the fruit is closely linked to the formation of the flower and under the control of both environmental and hormonal factors. Accordingly, flower and fruit development require the joint and coordinated action of a network of transcription factors (TFs)
that act throughout the regulation of gene expression (Karlova et al., 2014). The establishment of distinct transcriptional domains is a fundamental mechanism for determining different cell fates within tissues and organs (Moreno-Risueno et al., 2012). TFs regulate gene expression by binding specific cis-regulatory elements in the promoter region of the target genes. In tomato, at least 998 putative TFs have identified from 62 different TF families that correspond to 2.87% of the estimated total number of genes (Guo et al., 2008; The Tomato Genome Consortium et al., 2012).

The DNA binding with one finger (DOF) proteins constitute a plant-specific family of TFs harboring a DNA-binding domain, which forms a single zinc-finger (Noguero et al., 2013). The highly conserved DOF domain is a region of 52 amino acid residues structured as a Cys2/Cys2 (C2/C2) zinc finger that recognizes a cis-regulatory element containing the common core sequence 5′-(T/A)AAAG-3′ (Yanagisawa, 2004). Besides the N-terminal conserved DNA-binding domain, these proteins contain a more variable C-terminal transcriptional regulation domain having diverse amino acid sequences (Yanagisawa, 2001; Gupta et al., 2015). DOF proteins are present across plant lineage, from green unicellular algae to higher angiosperms, and represent a unique class of TFs having bifunctional binding activities with both DNA and proteins (Gupta et al., 2015). The number of DOF genes is quite variable among different species that ranges from 9 genes identified in Physcomitrella patens to 36 and 54 DOF genes identified in Arabidopsis and maize, respectively (Gupta et al., 2015).

DNA-binding with one finger TFs play key role in a variety of biological processes during development and in response to environmental stimulus. They are often associated to plant specific processes such as light-responsiveness, tuber formation, seed development, seed germination, flowering, and plant hormone responses [reviewed by Noguero et al. (2013)]. The DOF proteins are also involved in general cellular activities such as cell cycle progression, cell expansion, metabolism regulation, and more. During plant development these proteins regulate the formation of a diverse number of structures including stomata guard cells (Negi et al., 2013), pollen (Peng et al., 2017), and the vascular system (Konishi and Yanagisawa, 2007; Guo et al., 2009; Gardiner et al., 2010).

In tomato, 34 DOF proteins have been identified distributed in 11 chromosomes and classified in 4 classes and 6 clusters (Cai et al., 2013). In addition to the highly conserved DOF domain, up to 25 conserved domains have been identified in this gene family. These additional domains result in a high divergence in the structure of the genes between the different groups or subgroups (Cai et al., 2013). Despite the importance of this gene family during plant growth, only a small number of members have been functionally characterized in tomato. A group of five tomato DOF genes, homologous to Arabidopsis Cycling DOF Factors (CDFs) are reported to be involved in the control of flowering time and abiotic stress responses (Corrales et al., 2014). More recently, the TDDFI gene was characterized and shown to be involved in circadian regulation and stress resistance (Ewas et al., 2017). Therefore, additional work is required to fully understand the role of DOF genes during tomato plant growth and development.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Material and Growth Conditions**

Tomato plants (Solanum lycopersicum L.) from the MicroTom (MT) cultivar were used as the wild-type genotype. The transgenic line PsEND1::barnase MT TR1d (Roque et al., 2007) was used in the transcriptomic analyses. Plants were grown in pots with coconut fiber at 25–30°C (day) and 18–20°C (night) and were irrigated daily with Hoagland’s solution. Natural light was supplemented with Osram lamps (Powerstar HQI-BT, 400 W) to get a 16 h light photoperiod.

The treatment with IAA (2000 ng/ovary; Duchefa) and GA3 (2000 ng/ovary; Duchefa) was carried out to unpollinated ovaries, on the day equivalent to anthesis, in 10 µl of 5% ethanol, 0.1% Tween 20 solution (Serrani et al., 2008). Control ovaries were treated with the same volume of solvent solution. Samples were collected in pools 10, 30, 60, and 120 min after the treatment, frozen in liquid N2 and kept at −80°C until processed for expression analysis.

For fruit analyses the four first inflorescences of 10 independent plants were collected. To assess facultative parthenocarpy 12 flowers from each genotype were emasculated 2 days before anthesis. After 18 days ovaries were collected and weighed on a precision balance.

**Microarray Experiment Design**

A comparative gene expression profiling was conducted using the microarray chip TOM2 (Cornell University, United States), a long oligonucleotide array representing 11862 tomato unigenes. Total RNA was isolated from tomato ovaries of wild-type (MT) and transgenic (PsEND1::barnase MT-TR1d) plants collected at 5 different time points during development. The selected floral stages were 6, 4, and 2-days-before anthesis (db); at anthesis and 2-days-after anthesis (daa). The experiment was conducted with three biological replicates for each sample and a RNA reference sample obtained by pooling equivalent amounts of all RNA samples. After labeling, the reference sample was mixed with each individual sample to be used as a probe (30 hybridizations) using a dye swap approach. Microarray hybridization with labeled cDNA was performed using the protocols provided by the Tomato Functional Genomics Database (TFGD) at http://ted.bti.
cornell.edu/cgi-bin/TFGD/array/TOM2_hybridization.cgi. The microarray slide was scanned for spot intensity using GenePix 4000B scanner (Molecular Devices) at 10 µm resolution. GenePix Pro software was used to quantify the spot intensity after subtracting the background, and optimization of the appropriate signal to noise ratio.

Microarray Data Analysis
Data files were imported into Acuity 4.0 (Axon Instruments), and background-subtracted intensity was normalized by using the Lowess normalization method (Yang and Speed, 2002) using Acuity default values (smoothing filter, 0.4; iterations, 3; δ = 0.01). Finally, only spots with valid values in 80% hybridizations were considered for further analyses. To detect differentially expressed genes, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to compare the mean Lowess-normalized values for a gene between experimental groups (parthenocarpic and wild type). A P-value cutoff of 0.05 was used to flag genes as being differentially expressed. Mean values of differential genes were calculated from each sample as log2 values. For the visual presentation of the results showing differential expression of the genes between wild-type and transgenic lines, as well as for Wilcoxon rank sum test calculation, MapMan software was used (Thimm et al., 2004).

Quantitative RT-PCR
Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. One microgram of total RNA was used to synthesize first-strand cDNA, using the SuperScript First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States). Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was carried out using the SYBR GREEN PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, United States) in an ABI PRISM 7000 Sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, United States) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. In a single experiment, each sample was assayed in triplicate. Expression levels were calculated relative to the constitutively expressed SlACTINE8 gene (Martin-Trillo et al., 2011) using the ΔΔCt method. qRT-PCR data were obtained using three biological replicates. Primers were designed using Primer Express software from Applied Biosystems and are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

In situ Hybridization
RNA in situ hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled probes was performed on 8 µM longitudinal paraffin sections of tomato seedlings and inflorescences as described previously (Gomez-Mena and Roque, 2018). The RNA antisense and sense probes were generated using the T7 polymerases, using a fragment of SlDOF10 (positions 289–734 from the ATG codon) cloned in both orientations into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega).

Histological Techniques
For histological studies, tissue was fixed and embedded in paraffin or resin (Technovit 7100; Kulzer, Wehrheim, Germany). Thin sections (1 µm) were stained with 0.05% toluidine blue in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 6.8 (O’Brien et al., 1964). For whole-mount GUS detection, tissues were fixed for 10 min in ice-cold 90% acetone and GUS activity was revealed by incubation in 100 mM NaPO₄ (pH 7.2), 2.5 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl-β-D-glucuronide, 0.5 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆, 0.5 m MK₄Fe(CN)₆ and 0.25% Triton X-100. Plant tissue was incubated at 37°C for 20 h. After staining, chlorophyll was cleared from the samples by dehydration through an ethanol series. For GUS detection in sectioned tissues, seedlings were first stained for GUS, followed by fixation and sectioning as for in situ hybridization. Digital images were processed (cropping, brightness, contrast, and color balance) with Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems) and analyzed quantitatively using Image J1. Whole-mount GUS images were obtained from 10 z-stack images corresponding to different focal planes.

Subcellular Localization of SlDOF10 Protein by Transient Expression in N. benthamiana
The SlDOF10 coding sequence was cloned via Gateway LR reaction into the destination vectors pEarleyGate101 and pEarleyGate 104 (Earley et al., 2006) to obtain N- and C-terminal fusions to the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP). The constructs were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58 GV3850 and overnight cultures were diluted in infiltration buffer and used to infiltrate 4 week-old Nicotiana benthamiana leaves (Sparkes et al., 2006). Observations were performed on leave disks 48 h after infiltration under a confocal scanning microscopy (LSM 780, Zeiss).

Plasmid Construction and Stable Plant Transformation
To make the Gus reporter fusion, approximately 2.5-kb of the 5′ promoter region of the SlDOF10 gene was amplified using Advantage 2 Polymerase (Clontech) and oligonucleotides DOF10pro-For and DOF10pro-Rev, then cloned in the pCR8 vector (Invitrogen). Destination vector pKGWFS7,0 (VIB/Gent, Belgium) was used to generate the SlDOF10pro:GUS construct. To make the 35S::SlDOF10 construct, SlDOF10 cdNA was amplified with oligos SlDOF10-ORF-for and SlDOF10-ORF-rev and inserted into pK2GW7 binary vector (VIB/Gent, Belgium) using Gateway technology (Invitrogen) that placed the cDNA under the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter. The SlDOF10 RNAi construct was generated using a 428 bp fragment of SlDOF10 gene (positions 349–777 from the ATG codon), amplified using primers SlDOF10-RNAi-For and SlDOF10-RNAi-Rev and cloned into pK7GW1WG2(I) vector (VIB/Gent, Belgium). The fragment used in this construct is located outside of the conserved motifs of the protein (DOF domain and bipartite NLS signal). Primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

These three binary vectors were then introduced into A. tumefaciens LBA4404 by electroporation. The cotyledon co-cultivation method (Ellui et al., 2003) was used to transform wild-type tomato plants (cv. Micro-Tom). The transgenic plants were screened on antibiotic plates and transformants were transferred to soil for propagation.

1http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/
Transactivation Assay in Nicotiana benthamiana Leaves

A reporter plasmid was generated that consists in a fusion 2 consecutive DOF binding motif (TAAAG), the minimal TATA region of the 35S promoter and the Firefly Luciferase (LUC) gene. The two copies of the DOF cis-DNA element were produced by annealing the complementary single-stranded oligonucleotides 2 × DOF For and 2 × DOF Rev (Supplementary Table S1). In the same plasmid, the Renilla (REN) LUC under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter was used as control. The effector plasmid contains the complete SDOF10 cDNA driven by the CaMV 35S promoter. A. tumefaciens C58C1 (pMP90) was transformed by electroporation with the independent constructs.

Equivalent amounts of the LUC fusion plasmid and effector plasmid (in combination with the suppressor of gene silencing p19) were coinfiltred in 4 week-old N. benthamiana leaves. After infiltration, plants were incubated at 22°C with 16 h photoperiod for 2 days before analysis. The luciferase activity was measured using the dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega, United States) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Relative light units were measured on a GloMax 96 Microplate Luminometer (Promega). The relative luciferase activity was calculated as the ratio between the LUC and the control REN luciferase activity. Four biological repeats were measured for each sample in three independent experiments.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical treatments of the data were made using the SPSS program, version 16.0 for windows, IBM. The analyses were made by Student t-test and one-way ANOVA for p < 0.05 followed by Tukey correction for multiple comparisons; (P < 0.05). Different letters above the data bars represent significant differences between treatments.

RESULTS

Identification of SDOF10 Gene and Sequence Analysis

To identify regulatory genes that participate in the process of fruit set in tomato we looked for genes precociously activated in tomato parthenocarpic plants. We compared the transcriptome of ovaries form the parthenocarpic line PsEND1::barnase (Roque et al., 2007; Medina et al., 2013) and wild-type plants using the TOM2 oligo array (Figure 1). We compared the two samples over 5 independent time points that corresponded to 5 floral stages (6, 4, and 2 days before anthesis = dba; at anthesis and 2 days after anthesis = daa). The bigger number of changes in gene expression corresponded to stage 1 (6 dba) and stage 5 that corresponded to anthesis (Figure 1A). We have focused our study in the earliest floral stage that present significant gene modulation associated to precocious ovary growth in the parthenocarpic plants. Microarray analyses revealed 437 up-regulated and 507 down-regulated genes (Figure 1B and Supplementary Table S2) at this floral stage using a 2-fold threshold change. Genes were classified according to their annotated function and we selected a set of 89 unigenes were include in the functional category “Regulation of transcription” (Figure 1C and Supplementary Table S3).

Among the TFs differently expressed we identify a unigene that presented a conserved domain characteristic of DOF TFs. Unigene SGN-U584226, corresponded to SDOF10 gene (Solyc02g090310) and was up-regulated in PsEND1::barnase ovaries 6 dba (Supplementary Figure S1). SDOF10 cDNA sequence was 1059 bp long and contained a 783 bp open reading frame flanked by 5′ untranslated (5′ UTR) and 3′ untranslated (3′ UTR) sequences of 97 and 176 pb, respectively. SDOF10 protein contained a conserved N-terminal binding domain of 52 residues spanning a single C2/C2 zinc finger structure (DOF domain) and a bipartite nuclear localization signal (NLS) (Supplementary Figure S1) also described to be present in Arabidopsis DOF proteins (Krebs et al., 2010).

Genome-wide analysis of the tomato DOF family (34 members) revealed that gene family expansion originated after several duplication events where SDOF10 and SDOF31 are paralogs located on different chromosomes (Cai et al., 2013). However, SDOF10 and SDOF31 genes strongly differed in exon/intron structure in terms of intron number and exon length (Figure 2A) and presented low protein homology outside the DOF domain (Supplementary Figure S2). To analyze the duplication event of these paralogs in the context of time we inferred a phylogeny using a nucleotide dataset containing the two paralogs and several DOF homologs from a variety of species. The topology of the phylogenetic tree showed that SDOF10 and SDOF31 proteins placed in different clades, suggesting that the duplication resulting in these paralogs occurred early and prior to the speciation of the Solanaceae species included in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 2B). The homology among the proteins included in the SDOF10 clade is not restricted to the DOF domain but extended to several stretches of amino acids throughout the whole protein (Figure 2C). Our data indicate a strong structural divergence of the two paralogs after duplication both at the DNA and protein level that might result in functional diversification.

SDOF10 Protein Is Located in the Nucleus and Shows Transcriptional Activity

SDOF10 protein contains a highly conserved bipartite NLS characteristic of this family of proteins (Krebs et al., 2010). In order to determine the subcellular location of SDOF10 we fused the YFP to either the C and N terminal part of the protein. YFP-tagged proteins were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves and observed under the confocal microscope. The fusion protein localized exclusively to the nucleus of the epidermal cells (Figures 3A,B) consistent with a role for SDOF10 as a transcription factor.

It has been reported that DOF proteins bind to the TATAAAG core sequence motif found in many plant promoters (Mena et al., 2002; Yanagisawa, 2004). To test the transcriptional activation activity of SDOF10 we performed transient transactivation assays in N. benthamiana leaves.
The reporter constructs contain 2 consensus DOF-binding sequences and a minimal 35S promoter. The effector plasmid expressing the full-length NtSVP protein was also constructed (Figure 3C). When both plasmids were co-expressed, the expression of the LUC reporter was significantly activated compared to negative controls (Figure 3C). These results support the idea that SlDOF10 has the ability to bind specific DNA sequences and activate transcription.

**SlDOF10 Expression During Plant Development**

In order to understand the function of SlDOF10 gene during plant development we studied the expression patterns of the gene in different tissues from seedlings and adult plants. qRT-PCR analyses showed that the gene is expressed during both vegetative and reproductive development (Figure 4A). SlDOF10 was expressed in 2 week-old seedling in the apical region containing the cotyledons, shoot and leaf primordia and in the basal region (hypocotyl and root) and in expanded true leaves and roots from adult plants. In the reproductive organs the expression of SlDOF10 was detected at early stages of flower development (6 dba) and decreases during flower maturation (Figure 4A). In dissected floral organs from flowers at anthesis the expression was higher in sepals than in the other floral organs (Figure 4B). Among the analyzed tissues the higher levels of expression was observed in the roots from 1 month-old adult plants.

The expression of the gene at tissular level was analyzed performing in situ hybridizations. SlDOF10 mRNA was visible in apical shoots from 2 week-old seedlings and ovaries from 2 dba flowers, specifically localized in the vascular tissue (Figure 5A). In ovaries, the signal was observed in the vascular tissues of the funiculus and the placenta (Figures 5B,C). We also generated reporter lines by fusing 2.4 Kb of the SlDOF10 promoter to the GUS reporter gene and transformed tomato plants. Consistent with the SlDOF10 pattern detected by in situ hybridization, SlDOF10pro::GUS expression in tomato plants was observed also in vascular tissue from seedling and adult plants (Figures 5D–I). SlDOF10pro::GUS seedlings showed expression of the GUS reporter in the vasculature of cotyledons, hypocotyls, root tips and lateral root primordia (Figures 5D,F). Fragment of leaf pedicels and stem were analyzed and showed no expression of the gene in the vascular tissue. However, we detected rapid activation of SlDOF10pro::GUS expression near wound sites although further analyses are required to stablish the wound-induced expression of the gene (Supplementary Figure S3). During floral development, expression accumulated in the receptacle, the pedicel and in the vascular tissue of sepals (Figure 5H). Transversal sections of SlDOF10pro::GUS flower pedicels showed expression activity in the vascular ring (Figure 5I). These results
suggest that SlDOF10 could have a transcriptional regulatory role on the formation of vascular tissues during reproductive development in tomato.

To evaluate whether the 2.4 kb fragment from SlDOF10 promoter can be used as a tissue-specific marker for vascular tissues, we transformed Arabidopsis thaliana with the SlDOF10pro::GUS construct. In seedlings from the transgenic plants, GUS expression was observed in the vascular tissues of cotyledons, true leaves and primary roots (Supplementary Figure S4). During reproductive development GUS staining was visible in the vascular tissues of all floral organs (Supplementary Figure S2). As reported in tomato plants, in the ovary GUS staining is observed in the placenta and the vascular tissue of the funiculus (Supplementary Figure S4E). This pattern of expression was maintained in the mature fruit (Supplementary Figure S4G). These results indicate that the 2.4 Kb fragment form the SlDOF10 promoter used in the construct contains cis-regulatory elements that are conserved
across tomato and Arabidopsis species. Moreover, the promoter from the SlDOF10 gene could be used as a vascular-tissue-specific promoter for additional studies.

**Functional Analysis of SlDOF10 Gene**

To elucidate the function of SlDOF10 during plant development transgenic tomato plants with reduced levels of the gene (SlDOF10-RNAi) were generated. Additionally, as a complementary strategy gain-of-function lines (35S:SlDOF10) were also generated (see Materials and Methods for details of the constructs). The expression of the targeted gene was analyzed in the T0 RNAi lines (14) and 3 of the lines showed a reduction of 80% in the expression level of SlDOF10 (Supplementary Figure S5A). Four independent T0 35S:SlDOF10 plants were generated with increased SlDOF10 transcript level that range from two- to fivefold (Supplementary Figure S5B). Vegetative growth was not altered in the overexpressing or RNAi transgenic lines as expected by the absence of expression in the plant stems and leaf pedicels (Supplementary Figure S3). These plants were able to produce flowers and fruits. Two RNAi lines...
(L29 and L31) and the overexpression line with the higher level of expression (L16) were selected for further characterization in the T2 generation.

Mild to severe defects were observed in the flowers of the RNAi lines that consisted in the incomplete fusion of the staminal cone (Figure 6A). These defects were shown by 45% of the flowers being only 16% severe defects on anther fusion. The overexpression line showed a greater proportion of affected flowers (56%) and also a higher rate of severe defects (27%). Despite these defects on stamen formation, overexpressing plants did not show alterations in the size of the fruits, the number of seeds or the formation of parthenocarpic fruits (Figures 6B,C). On the contrary, SlDOF10-RNAi lines showed smaller fruits than the wild type and a high number of seedless fruits (Figures 6B,C). In tomato, a relationship between fruit weight/size and seed content within a variety has been reported (Pet and Garretsen, 1983). Accordingly, the fruits from the SlDOF10-RNAi lines contained a reduced number of seeds and the occasional presence of pseudo-embryos (Figure 6B). However, histological sections of anthesis flowers showed that ovule development was not affected in the RNAi lines (Supplementary Figure S6). On the other hand results from Figure 6D showed that MT plants (the wild type genotype) has a natural tendency to produce seedless fruits under our growing conditions. This tendency is maintained in the 35S:DOF10 line and greatly enhanced in the SlDOF10-RNAi lines. Therefore, facultative parthenocarpy was evaluated in these plants by emasculation of unpollinated flowers. The ovaries form wild-type and overexpressing lines arrested growth whereas all the ovaries from the RNAi lines continued growing in the absence of pollination (Figure 6C). In the RNAi lines the weight of the ovaries (measured 18 days after emasculation) ranged from 3 to 33 times higher than the average ovary weight of the emasculated wild-type ovaries. This experiment suggests that silencing of SlDOF10 gene promotes the autonomous growth of the ovary in the absence of pollination and fertilization.

According to the expression analyses, SlDOF10 transcript is located in the vascular tissue of the ovary (Figures 5B,C,I) that connects the ovules with the placental tissue and the flower pedicel. We then analyzed possible changes in the ovary vascular tissue development caused by altered function of the SlDOF10 gene. We performed histological section of flower pedicels from the overexpressing and silenced transgenic lines. The pedicel is the nearest tissue connected with the ovary and the use of histological sections allows morphological studies of the vascular system in a two-dimensional distribution. Cross section of tomato pedicels showed a vascular ring with 10ñ12 vascular bundles. The arrangement of the vascular bundles is bicollateral, where xylem is lined with phloem on both its inner and outer faces (Figure 7A). Cross sections of flower pedicels showed that the area of the vascular ring was smaller in the SlDOF10-RNAi lines and bigger in the overexpressing lines when compared to the control (Figure 7B). However, these differences in the size of the vascular ring were not the result of changes in the number of vascular bundles (Figure 7C). Looking at the individual vascular bundles we observed alterations in their total area and also in the number of cells from the xylem and phloem. In fact, cell quantification showed higher number of cell in 35S:SlDOF10 and the opposite effect in the SlDOF10-RNAi line (Figure 7D). These results suggest a regulatory role for the SlDOF10 protein in the control of cell proliferation during the development of the vascular tissue in the flower.
**In silico Analysis of Cis-Acting Regulatory Elements in SlDOF10 5′ Regulatory Region**

We scanned the 5′ regulatory region (2463 bp) used in the pSlDOF10::GUS construct for the presence of putative cis-acting regulatory elements registered in Plant CARE (Lescot et al., 2002) and PLACE (Higo et al., 1999). Several functional significant cis-acting regulatory elements associated with different processes in plant development were identified upstream of the SlDOF10 gene. The names of the identified putative cis-acting elements and their predicted functions are tabulated in Supplementary Table S4. Among them we identified at least twenty cis-acting regulatory element involved in light responsiveness element (GAG-motif and G-box). Also, the region contains sequences involved in different stress response. Besides, we identify cis-acting regulatory elements involved in hormones responsiveness including cytokinins, salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, gibberellin, and auxin response (Supplementary Table S4).

We have paid special attention to cis-acting auxin regulatory elements because fruit set and development processes are initiated by auxin-induced changes in gene expression and followed by gibberellin (Serrani et al., 2008). Therefore, we subsequently treated tomato ovaries with auxin (IAA) and gibberellins (GA3) and examined the expression levels of SlDOF10 by qRT-PCR. The results showed that auxin treatments rapidly activated SlDOF10 expression after 30 min of the treatment, with maxima expression 1 h after auxin application (Figure 8A). Gibberellin treatment induces the rapid and strong activation of the gene after 30 min and then declined (Figure 8A). In addition we tested the expression of a reporter gene driven by 2.5-kb of the 5′ promoter region of the SlDOF10 gene. A single exogenous treatment of flowers with auxin was sufficient to induce strong GUS expression in SlDOF10pro::GUS plants (Figures 8B,C) especially in the vascular system of sepals and in the stamens. Taken together these results suggest that SlDOF10 gene could be regulated by gibberellins and auxins during reproductive development.

**DISCUSSION**

We have characterized SlDOF10 gene, coding the first tomato DOF transcription factor known to be involved in the regulation of plant development. Our results revealed that SlDOF10 controls the formation of the vascular tissue during reproductive development. Several DOF proteins have been reported to regulate vascular system development in Arabidopsis. Indeed, in Arabidopsis half of the identified DOF TFs have been found expressed in the vascular tissues (Le Hir and Bellini, 2013). Most of the DOF genes characterized so far are required during the vegetative growth phase. Both AtDof5.8 and AtDof2.4 promoters become sequentially activated at early but distinct stages of procambium formation in leaf primordia. However, AtDof5.8 is also activated during the development of flower buds, in developing stamens at the early developmental stage and in carpels at the later developmental stages (Konishi and Yanagisawa, 2007).
Despite the similarities between the pattern of expression of SIDO10 and AtDOF5.8 genes during flower development, phylogenetic analyses showed that they belong to separate clusters (Cai et al., 2013). Three additional members of the Arabidopsis DOF family (DOF2.1, DOF4.6, and DOF5.3) were also activated at early stages of vascular strand formation in the leaf (Gardiner et al., 2010). Experimental manipulation of leaf vascular patterning correlated with changes in the expression of these genes, suggesting that DOF expression identifies characteristic steps in vein ontogeny (Gardiner et al., 2010). The role of these genes during reproductive development was not investigated. Additional DOF genes have been identified using genetic approaches. Dof5.6/HCA2 (HIGH CAMBIAL ACTIVITY 2) encodes a DOF protein with an EAR-motif associated with transcription repression. The Dof5.6/HCA2 transcript was ubiquitously expressed in all the plant organs and hca2 mutants showed pleiotropic effects on plant morphology. Interestingly, although the flowers of hca2 mutants were normal, the hca2 siliques were shorter and contained fewer seeds per siliqua (Guo et al., 2009). Similarly, down-regulation of SIDO10 activity affected fruit set and seed development (Figure 6).

Several genes from the DOF family have been identified to be specifically involved in seed development, including DAG1/AtDOF3.7 (Papi et al., 2000; Gualberti et al., 2002), AtDOF6 (Rueda-Romero et al., 2012), and DAG2 (Gualberti et al., 2002). In particular homozygous dag1 plants showed twisted siliques with a reduced number of seeds that do not develop dormancy and germinate in the absence of light (Papi et al., 2000). This phenotype correlates with the expression pattern of the DAG1 (AtDOF3.7) gene that was observed in the gynoecium, specifically localized in the vascular tissue and the funiculus that connects the placenta to the ovule (Papi et al., 2000). This expression pattern is similar to the expression of SIDO10 in tomato ovaries (Figure 5B) and the pattern shown in DAG2::GUS lines (Gualberti et al., 2002) suggesting a common function for these genes. However, in the case of tomato, additional experiments are required to evaluate a possible role for SIDO10 during seed germination and dormancy. Taken together, the results from Arabidopsis and tomato suggest an important and conserved role for this subset of DOF genes during reproductive development and in particular in the formation of flowers and seeds.

Phylogenetic analyses of DOF family in Arabidopsis and rice revealed four major clusters and nine subfamilies of orthologous genes of subfamilies named A, B1, B2, C1, C2, C3, D1, D2, and D3 (Lijavetzky et al., 2003). The tomato, Arabidopsis and rice DOF families contain a similar number of members (34, 35, and 30, respectively) and similar phylogenetic relationships (Cai et al., 2013) suggesting that they may have evolved conservatively. In the tomato family segmental duplication is predominant for DOF gene evolution although tandem duplication is also involved giving rise to ten pairs of paralogous genes (Cai et al., 2013). SIDO31 gene was recognized as the putative paralog of SIDO10 that probably resulted from ancient whole-genome duplication (Song et al., 2012). These two genes are located in two different chromosomes and showed important differences in the structure of the genes and the size and sequence of the protein (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S2). This strong structural divergence of the two paralogs and the non-overlapping expression patterns suggests that after duplication, functional diversification might occur. On the other hand, our results showed that SIDO10 is expressed in vegetative and reproductive tissues during plant development (Figures 4, 5). However, SIDO10-RNAi plants did not show obvious defects during vegetative development possibly due to genetic or functional redundancy. In this regard, previous expression analyses of tomato DOF genes showed that SlDo1, SlDo29, SlDo10, and SlDo32 have similar expression patterns (Cai et al., 2013) implying possible redundant functions. Nowadays, the lack of functional analyses of most of these genes does not permit to evaluate the genetic interactions among them.

SIDO10 gene encodes a protein of 260 amino acids with a well conserved DOF domain (Supplementary Figure S1). The protein was localized in the nuclei and showed transcriptional activity...
The auxin-efflux carriers PIN-FORMED1 coexpression cluster with several auxin related genes including of the C2C2-DOF family of TFs, including development (Pattison et al., 2015). Interestingly, ten members tissue-specific transcriptomic analysis during early tomato fruit and high-throughput RNA sequencing reported a comprehensive expression (Mena et al., 2002; Washio, 2003) and in tobacco in response to auxin (Baumann et al., 1999). Our data indicate that SIDO10 expression is transcriptionally regulated by auxins and gibberellins during reproductive development, key regulatory elements on fruit set initiation (Goetz et al., 2006; Serrani et al., 2008). Moreover SIDO10 shows an overlapping expression pattern with the auxin response factors ARF8 and SIARF7 within the ovary (Goetz et al., 2006). A recent study using laser-capture microdissection and high-throughput RNA sequencing reported a comprehensive tissue-specific transcriptomic analysis during early tomato fruit development (Pattison et al., 2015). Interestingly, ten members of the C2C2-DOF family of TFs, including SIDO10, form a coexpression cluster with several auxin related genes including the auxin-efflux carriers PIN-FORMED1 (PIN1) and PIN7, two AUX/IAA family genes (IAA13 and IAA17) involved in auxin signal transduction, and a GH3 family gene involved in auxin conjugation (Pattison et al., 2015). A transcriptional association between C2C2-DOF TFs and their potential target genes involved in auxin transport and signaling has been suggested (Pattison et al., 2015). Taken together, the data suggest that transcriptional regulation of SIDO10 and gene function largely depends on the hormone dynamics during tomato reproductive development.

Polar auxin transport controls multiple developmental processes in plants, including the formation of vascular tissue (Gälweiler et al., 1998). During tomato fruit development, the application of auxin transport inhibitors that block export of auxins from the ovary stimulates parthenocarpic fruit set (Serrani et al., 2010). In addition the down-regulation of the auxin efflux carrier SIPIN4 leads to parthenocarpic fruit growth (Mounet et al., 2012). Also SIPIN1 has been shown to plays central roles in leaf initiation and fruit development promoting the basipetal auxin efflux from the ovary to the flower pedicel (Shi et al., 2017). SIDO10 down-regulation reduced vascular tissue development in the flower pedicel (Figure 7) and induced parthenocarpic fruit growth. Precocious ovary growth could be the consequence of reduced polar auxin transport from the ovary leading to changes in the local distribution of hormones. Although additional experiments are needed to confirm this hypothesis, the functional analyses of SIDO10 gene highlight the importance of the vascular tissue in the process of fruit set.

In tomato, further work is needed to investigate the function of the DOF genes family during plant development. However, the functional characterization of SIDO10, the first tomato DOF gene involved in vascular tissue formation, provides insight on the role of this family of TFs during reproductive plant development.
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