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Sialic acid transport and catabolism are cooperatively regulated by SiaR and CRP in nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*

Jason W Johnston1*, Haider Shamsulddin2, Anne-Frances Miller2, Michael A Apicella3

Abstract

**Background:** The transport and catabolism of sialic acid, a critical virulence factor for nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*, is regulated by two transcription factors, SiaR and CRP.

**Results:** Using a mutagenesis approach, glucosamine-6-phosphate (GlcN-6P) was identified as a co-activator for SiaR. Evidence for the cooperative regulation of both the sialic acid catabolic and transport operons suggested that cooperativity between SiaR and CRP is required for regulation. cAMP was unable to influence the expression of the catabolic operon in the absence of SiaR but was able to induce catabolic operon expression when both SiaR and GlcN-6P were present. Alteration of helical phasing supported this observation by uncoupling SiaR and CRP regulation. The insertion of one half-turn of DNA between the SiaR and CRP operators resulted in the loss of SiaR-mediated repression of the transport operon while eliminating cAMP-dependent induction of the catabolic operon when GlcN-6P was present. SiaR and CRP were found to bind to their respective operators simultaneously and GlcN-6P altered the interaction of SiaR with its operator.

**Conclusions:** These results suggest multiple novel features for the regulation of these two adjacent operons. SiaR functions as both a repressor and an activator and SiaR and CRP interact to regulate both operons from a single set of operators.

Background

Sialic acid (5-N-acetylneuraminic acid, Neu5Ac) is used by nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* (NTHi) to assist in the evasion of the host innate immune response. Sialic acid is used to decorate the cell surface, primarily as the terminal non-reducing sugar on the lipoooligosaccharide (LOS) and the biofilm matrix [1,2]. The presence of sialic acid on the cell surface protects the cell from complement-mediated killing, although the precise mechanism of this protection is unknown and may even vary among strains of NTHi [3-5]. Regardless, the acquisition and utilization of sialic acid is a crucial factor in the virulence of the majority of NTHi [3,4,6-8].

NTHi cannot synthesize sialic acid and therefore must scavenge it from the host. NTHi possess a high-affinity transporter for sialic acid, encoded by *siaPT* (also referred to as *siaPQM*) [6,9,10]. The SiaPT transporter is a member of the TRAP transporter family, with SiaP functioning as the solute-binding protein and SiaT functioning as the transmembrane transporter protein. An ortholog of the *E. coli* sialic acid mutarotase *nanM* is found downstream of the *siaPT* operon (HI0148) [11], although *nanM* does not appear to be co-transcribed with *siaPT* in *H. influenzae* strain Rd [12]. The genes required for the catabolism of sialic acid are found in the adjacent, divergently transcribed *nan* operon (Figure 1A). The genes of the *nan* operon encode all the enzymes required to convert sialic acid to fructose-6-phosphate (Figure 1B), which can then enter the glycolysis pathway [13]. Prior to the decoration of the cell surface, sialic acid must be activated by SiaB, the CMP-sialic acid synthetase, forming the nucleotide sugar donor used by sialyltransferases [4]. Once transported into the cell, sialic acid is either catabolized by the enzymes of the *nan* operon or activated by SiaB. Thus, these two pathways compete for the same substrate [13]. The organism must therefore...
maintain a balance between these two pathways, ensuring that a sufficient amount of sialic acid is available to decorate the cell surface and adequately protect the cell from the host immune response.

Also present in the nan operon is the transcriptional regulator SiaR. SiaR is involved in the regulation of the nan and siaPT operons [14]. SiaR was found to repress the expression of both the siaPT and nan operons, thus regulating both transport and catabolism. Binding of SiaR to the intergenic region between these two operons was demonstrated and the region of DNA protected by SiaR was identified. As expected, it was found that inactivation of siaR lead to a reduction in surface sialylation, demonstrating the need to control the expression of sialic acid catabolism. In addition to SiaR, the cAMP receptor protein (CRP) was identified as a regulator of the siaPT operon, however a role in the regulation of the nan operon was not observed [12,14]. This is in part consistent with the observation that sialic acid is a cAMP-independent sugar [15]. In H. influenzae, CRP has been shown to regulate utilization of galactose, ribose, xylose, and fucose [15], in addition to regulating the development of competence [16].

We now report on the role of intermediates in the Neu5Ac catabolic pathway in SiaR-mediated regulation. Also, the potential interaction between SiaR and CRP was investigated. SiaR was found to utilize glucosamine-6-phosphate (GlcN-6P) as a co-activator in the presence of the CRP-cAMP complex. SiaR and CRP were found to act in a cooperative manner to regulate the expression of the divergent transporter and catabolic operons. Our results reveal a unique mechanism of regulation of two divergent operons regulated by two transcription factors from a single location.

Results
Promoter structure of the nan and siaPT operons
The transcriptional start sites of the nan and siaPT operons were identified using primer extension analysis. Primers that bound in the nanE and siaP open reading frames were used. Two major start sites were identified for the nan operon, 104 (TS-1nan) and 20 (TS-2nan) bp from the start codon of nanE (Figure 2A). The presence of additional minor bands may be the result of additional start sites or RNA degradation or processing. The analysis identified a single transcriptional start site (TS-1siaPT) 107 bp upstream of the start codon of siaP (Figure 2B). This organization leaves 140 bp in between TS-1nan and TS-1siaPT. The putative CRP binding site is located at -59 to -80 relative to TS-1siaPT and at -59 to -80 relative to TS-1nan (Figure 2C). This organization suggests that the siaPT promoter falls into the class I group of CRP-dependent promoters [17]. A consensus -10 sequence was identified for TS-1nan and was found to partially
overlap the SiaR binding site, consistent with the role SiaR plays in repression of the nan operon. The relative location of TS-1_{nan} to the SiaR operator, in addition to the identification of a consensus -10 box, suggests that this start site would be primarily involved in SiaR-mediated regulation, however, the relative contribution of the two nan promoters will need to be examined in more detail.

**Glucosamine-6-phosphate is a co-activator for SiaR**

Previous studies found limited activation of SiaR-regulated operons by sialic acid [14]. The potential for intermediates in the sialic acid catabolic pathway to influence regulation by SiaR was explored. *H. influenzae* is unable to transport any of the intermediate sugars or phosphosugars of the sialic acid catabolic pathway [13,18], therefore a mutagenesis strategy was necessary. Each gene encoding an enzyme in the catabolic pathway was deleted in an adenylate cyclase (*cyaA*) mutant strain, resulting in a series of double mutants. The ΔcyaA mutant strain was used to allow for CRP to be activated only by the addition of cAMP in subsequent experiments. In each mutant, sialic acid can be catabolized, but the sugar or phosphosugar immediately upstream of the inactivated enzyme should accumulate (Figure 1B).

The mutants were grown to early exponential phase and then either sialic acid, cAMP, or both were added. Expression levels of nanE and siaP, the first genes of

---

**Figure 2 Primer extension analysis of the nan and siaPT operons**

Complement of sequence surrounding the transcriptional start sites included with the sites indicated in bold and with asterisks. A. Primer extension analysis identified at least two major transcriptional start sites for the nan operon. Two bands were present for TS-2_{nan}, as indicated. B. Primer extension identified one start site for the siaPT operon. C. Schematic diagram of the nan and siaPT promoters. Binding sites for SiaR (red box) and CRP (blue box) are indicated as well as putative -10 boxes for TS-1_{nan} and TS-1_{siaPT} (yellow boxes).
the catabolic and transport operons, respectively, were compared using real time quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). RNA from a culture that received neither sialic acid nor cAMP served as a reference for each experiment. When both sialic acid and cAMP were added to cultures, expression of *nanE* was only moderately affected in strains 2019ΔcyAA, 2019ΔcyAA ΔnanK, 2019ΔcyAA ΔnanA, and 2019ΔcyAA ΔnanA (0.7- to 5-fold change). The most striking change in *nanE* expression occurred in 2019ΔcyAA ΔnagB, with expression elevated 83-fold (Fig. 3). This mutant would be unable to convert GlcN-6P to fructose-6P, thus accumulating GlcN-6P. These results suggest that GlcN-6P is a major co-activator in SiaR-mediated regulation.

The regulation of *siaP* appears to be more complex. Expression of *siaP* was elevated 30- to 52-fold in strains 2019ΔcyAA ΔnanE, 2019ΔcyAA ΔnanK, 2019ΔcyAA ΔnagB, and 2019ΔcyAA ΔnagA (Figure 3). In contrast, increases of only 2- and 6-fold were observed in 2019ΔcyAA and 2019ΔcyAA ΔnanA, respectively (Figure 3). While SiaR can repress *siaP* expression [14], transcription of the transporter operon is more directly influenced by CRP. Despite this, *siaP* expression was not as responsive to cAMP in 2019ΔcyAA ΔsiaR mutant. CRP and cAMP are unable to influence *siaP* expression [14]. Since the current studies were performed using different mutant constructs, the experiments were repeated with the double deletion mutant to confirm the previously observed phenotype. The 2019ΔcyAA ΔsiaR mutant was examined by qRT-PCR (Figure 4) and regardless of whether sialic acid or cAMP was added, expression of *nanE* did not change relative to the control. In the absence of SiaR, cAMP activated the expression of the *siaPT* operon, while the *nan* operon was unaffected.

Examination of the results obtained from 2019ΔcyAA ΔnagB revealed a large change in the expression of *nanE* that was cAMP-dependent (Figure 5B). The addition of sialic acid alone (which would be converted to GlcN-6P) led to a 16-fold induction of *nanE* while the addition of cAMP alone had no effect. The addition of both sialic acid and cAMP resulted in an 83-fold induction of *nanE*, indicating that the combination of GlcN-6P and cAMP significantly increase the induction of the *nan* operon. These results provide evidence of cAMP-dependent activation of both the *nan* and *siaPT* operons. Since cAMP does not induce *nanE* expression in a *siaR* mutant, this suggests that cAMP-dependent activation of *nanE* requires SiaR. SiaR and CRP may physically interact to activate *nan* operon expression.

To demonstrate that SiaR and CRP interact to regulate the *nan* and *siaPT* operons, alteration of helical phasing was used. Alteration of helical phasing is accomplished by the insertion of one half turn to the helix between the SiaR and CRP operators. Briefly, 5 bp was inserted between the SiaR and CRP binding sites in strains 2019ΔcyAA and 2019ΔcyAA ΔnagB, resulting in strains 2019ΔcyAA ΔnagB+5 and 2019ΔcyAA ΔnagB+5, respectively. These strains were examined by qRT-PCR and the results were compared with those obtained from the parent strains.

In the 2019ΔcyAA ΔnagB background, altered helical phasing resulted in a steep reduction in *nanE* expression (from 83-fold in 2019ΔcyAA ΔnagB to 13-fold in 2019ΔcyAA ΔnagB+5, respectively).
2019ΔcyA ΔnagB+5) in the presence of both sialic acid and cAMP (Figures 5B and 5D). The induction level of nanE in the presence of sialic acid and cAMP was similar to the expression observed when sialic acid alone was added. The 5 bp insertion eliminated the cAMP-dependent activation of nanE that was observed in the 2019ΔcyA ΔnagB strain.

In both the 2019ΔcyA and 2019ΔcyA ΔnagB backgrounds, altered helical phasing also resulted in the induction of siaP when cAMP was added (Figures 5A and 5C). In the 2019ΔcyA+5 strain, the 5 bp insertion led to a 43-fold increase in siaP expression in the presence of cAMP (from 6-fold in 2019ΔcyA) and a 29-fold increase (from 2-fold in 2019ΔcyA) when both cAMP and sialic acid were present.

Taken together, these results indicate that altering the helical phasing succeeded in uncoupling SiaR- and CRP-mediated regulation of the nan and siaPT operons.
It resulted in nanE expression becoming unresponsive to cAMP, much like it is in the 2019ΔcyaA ΔsiaR mutant. Altered helical phasing also prevented SiaR from exerting a negative influence on the expression of siaP. We conclude that the insertion eliminated the ability of SiaR and CRP to interact to regulate both the nan and siaPT operons.

SiaR and CRP bind to their respective operators simultaneously

Binding of SiaR to an operator in the intergenic region between nanE and siaP was demonstrated previously [14]. The putative operator of CRP was identified in silico and was found to overlap the region protected by SiaR in a DNase I protection assay by three base pairs. The ability of both proteins to bind to their operators was examined using the electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). Both proteins were able to bind to a probe comprising the region between the two operons and CRP binding was dependent on the addition of cAMP (Figure 6A). When both proteins were included in the binding reaction, the DNA probe was shifted slightly higher than the SiaR-bound probe. This indicates that both proteins bind to their operators simultaneously, further supporting the hypothesis that the two regulators interact to regulate the adjacent nan and siaPT operons.

GlcN-6P alters binding of SiaR to its operator

Many transcriptional regulators exhibit altered binding affinity for their operator sequences when a co-regulator is bound. To determine the effect of GlcN-6P on SiaR binding, EMSA was used. Serial dilutions of SiaR were incubated with DNA probes in the absence and presence of GlcN-6P (Figure 6B). In the presence of GlcN-6P, SiaR bound the probe and GlcN-6P slightly increased the binding affinity. While the presence of GlcN-6P did not result in a major change in the binding affinity of SiaR, the change in the shift does suggest that GlcN-6P is interacting with SiaR and impacting its ability to bind to its operator. Other phosphosugars of the sialic acid catabolic pathway (sialic acid, ManNAc, and GlcNAc-6P) nor GlcN-1P altered SiaR-binding (unpublished data) [14]. Taken together with the expression data, this demonstrates that GlcN-6P interacts with SiaR and has an effect on its DNA-binding properties. SiaR is not displaced from the DNA, but instead functions as an activator with GlcN-6P as a co-activator.

As in our previous studies [14], the binding of SiaR to the EMSA probe resulted in the appearance of two shifted bands (Figure 6). This was even more apparent when lower concentrations of SiaR were present in the binding reaction. The double shift is possibly caused by the binding of multiple SiaR proteins to the probe. This is a likely explanation, considering that the region protected by SiaR is large (53 bp) [14]. Further work will be necessary to determine the exact cause for the double shift.

GlcN-6P accumulates in a nagB mutant

To confirm that Neu5Ac was transported and catabolized in the 2019ΔcyaA ΔnagB mutant strain, 31PNMR spectroscopy of intact cells was used. Cultures of wild-type 2019 and 2019ΔcyaA ΔnagB were grown to early exponential phase and cAMP and/or Neu5Ac were added and the 31P spectrum was obtained (Figure 7). A peak was detected near 5 ppm when cAMP was added to either strain. When Neu5Ac was added, a peak was detected near 7 ppm in the 2019ΔcyaA ΔnagB mutant that was absent in the wild-type strain. This
peak was also absent in either strain when Neu5Ac was omitted. This indicated the accumulation of a significant amount of a phosphorylated compound in the mutant strain when exogenous Neu5Ac was present. Since the Neu5Ac catabolic pathway is blocked at NagB in the mutant strain, Neu5Ac would be converted to GlcN-6P, but not Fru-6P. Taken together with the interaction of GlcN-6P with purified SiaR, this indicates that GlcN-6P is accumulating in the 2019ΔcyaAΔnagB mutant and is responsible for the activation of the nan operon.

Discussion

The importance of sialic acid in the protection of NTHi from the host immune response requires that most of the sialic acid transported into the cell is activated by SiaB and utilized for the decoration of the LOS and biofilm matrix. Therefore, it is important that the catabolism of sialic acid be tightly regulated. We previously identified SiaR as a repressor for these two operons, in addition to the role of CRP in activating the expression of the transporter [14]. In this study, we present data that expands on our previous work, providing key details about the unique regulation of these adjacent operons. The two operons required for the transport and catabolism of sialic acid were found to be simultaneously regulated by SiaR and CRP in a novel mechanism for cooperative regulation. SiaR functions as both a repressor and activator, utilizes GlcN-6P as a co-activator, and interacts with CRP to regulate two adjacent and divergently transcribed promoters.

Since H. influenzae cannot transport the intermediates of the sialic acid catabolic pathway [13,18], mutants in each gene of the pathway were used to examine the role of the sugar and phosphosugar intermediates in the expression of the SiaR-regulated operons. Increased expression of the nan operon in the 2019ΔcyaAΔnagB double mutant suggested that GlcN-6P functions as a co-activator. This is unusual because catabolic pathways are typically regulated by the presence of the substrate. SiaR likely uses GlcN-6P as a co-activator because sialic acid is utilized rapidly after transport by H. influenzae, either by activation with SiaB or catabolism beginning with NanA. Thus, sialic acid never accumulates to levels that would allow for sufficient expression of the transporter. In contrast, using GlcN-6P allows for moderate activation of siaPT to provide for transport of sialic acid. Since GlcN-6P can also be synthesized by the cell, expression of the transporter is not reliant on the presence of high levels of sialic acid, while increased sialic acid and catabolism will elevate levels of GlcN-6P and increase expression of the nan and siaPT operons. Even though GlcN-6P is not an endpoint in the catabolic pathway, transient levels of the phosphosugar likely allow for sufficient expression of the two operons.

In addition to identifying GlcN-6P as a co-activator, we found that SiaR and CRP interact to regulate both the nan and siaPT operons. Both regulators were able to bind to their operators simultaneously, demonstrating that binding of one protein does not prevent the binding of the other. cAMP-dependent activation of nanE requires SiaR. Furthermore, regulation of the two operons was uncoupled by the insertion of one half-turn of DNA between the SiaR and CRP operators. This insertion resulted in the loss of SiaR influence on siaPT expression and the loss of nan induction by cAMP. Based on this data and the proximity of the two operators, it can be concluded that SiaR and CRP interact to impact the expression of the two operons. This interaction may be the result of direct contacts between the two regulators or cooperative effects on DNA topography, however we cannot make any conclusions on the mechanism at this time. Our results have led to the description of a novel regulatory mechanism for two regulators of adjacent operons. This model, in the context of the experiments carried out in this study, is displayed in Figure 8. SiaR by itself functions as a repressor for both the nan and siaPT operons (Figure 8A). When cAMP levels are elevated, the CRP-cAMP complex can bind to its operator and partially activate expression of
the transport operon, but not the catabolic operon (Figure 8B). When both GlcN-6P and CRP-cAMP are present, an activating complex is formed with SiaR that induces expression of the two adjacent operons (Figure 8C). When the helical phase of the two operators is altered, SiaR can only regulate the *nan* operon while CRP can only regulate the *siaPT* operon (Figure 8D). Interaction between SiaR and CRP is necessary for regulation.

The interaction of CRP with another transcriptional regulator is not an unusual phenomenon, however the regulation of the adjacent *nan* and *siaPT* operons by CRP and SiaR appears to operate via a novel regulatory mechanism. What makes this regulatory region unique is that it appears that the two operons are regulated by one set of operators. Other examples of divergent operons regulated by CRP and additional regulators operate by distinctly different mechanisms. The most common mechanism is the formation of a repression loop. An example of this is in the *glp* regulon of *E. coli* [19]. As with the *siaPT* operon of NTHi, only one of the divergent *glp* operons is induced by CRP [19]. The difference between these two systems is that the repressor GlpR binds to four operators in the intergenic region and forms a repression loop [19]. The two divergent operons of the L-rhamnose catabolic regulon of *E. coli* utilize yet another mechanism. In addition to having multiple CRP binding sites, the two *rha* operons are regulated by separate transcriptional regulators, RhaR and RhaS [20]. RhaR and CRP interact to regulate the *rhaSR* operon while RhaS and CRP interact to regulate the *rhaBAD* operon [20,21].

SiaR shares functional similarity to NagC, the regulator of N-acetylg glucosamine catabolism in *E. coli*. Like SiaR, NagC regulates the expression of *nagA* and *nagB*, as well as a number of additional genes. Also, SiaR and NagC both regulate divergently transcribed operons and CRP is involved in regulation [22,23]. There are a number of striking differences as well. GlcNAc-6P is the inducer of the NagC regulon. Just as inactivation of *nagB* causes induction of SiaR-regulated genes, the inactivation of *nagA*, and the subsequent accumulation of GlcNAc-6P, induces NagC-related genes [22]. NagC is displaced from its binding site in the presence of GlcNAc-6P [22] while SiaR appears to always be bound to its operator. In *E. coli*, the alteration of phasing between NagC operator sequences results in derepression of both divergently transcribed operons. This is due to the inability of NagC to form a repression loop that is required for NagC-mediated repression [24]. This differs significantly with what we observed in SiaR regulation. In our studies, the alteration of phasing did not result in derepression, but instead uncoupled SiaR- and CRP-mediated regulation of the *nanE* and *siaP* genes. The differences between SiaR and NagC suggest that, while some functional similarity exists between the two regulators, they both employ different mechanisms.

Given the nature of regulation by SiaR and CRP, the *nan* and *siaPT* operons will never be maximally expressed when *H. influenzae* is in its natural environment. This is due to a number of factors, including the low abundance of sialic acid in the host and the rapid utilization of intracellular sialic acid. Instead, regulation acts to subtly modulate expression of the operons, keeping expression under constant control so that catabolism does not outpace utilization and the expression of the transporter is appropriate for the availability of the ligand. These requirements are also in balance with the need to prevent the accumulation of inhibitory amounts of sialic acid, however, this need is likely minimal considering the factors of sialic acid availability and utilization discussed above.
The role of CRP in the regulation of sialic acid transport and catabolism suggests that sialic acid is utilized as an emergency carbon source in the host. *H. influenzae* can use sialic acid as a sole carbon source as efficiently as glucose [10]. Sialic acid catabolism is not required for virulence as a *nanA* mutant exhibits increased fitness in multiple infection models [13]. However, the fact that catabolism is present and conserved among *H. influenzae* strains suggests that it provides some advantage to the organism. The previous study examining virulence of a *nanA* mutant was performed using an encapsulated, invasive type B strain rather than a non-typeable strain and did not test all possible environments within the host [13]. Additionally, intranasal mixed-challenge experiments did not reveal an advantage for either the wild-type or *nanA* mutant strain [13]. Therefore, it is possible that sialic acid catabolism is advantageous in certain conditions or has increased importance for non-typeable strains. The need for cell surface sialylation has been well established in multiple infection models for NTHi, but it is unclear at this time if and when catabolism is required.

**Conclusions**

GlCN-6P, an intermediate in the catabolism of sialic acid, was found to function as a co-activator of SiaR in the regulation of the catabolic and transport operons for sialic acid in NTHi. SiaR functions as both a repressor and an activator, depending on conditions, and is required for CRP-dependent activation of the catabolic operon. Direct interactions between SiaR and CRP are likely involved in regulation.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains, media and growth**

The strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. *E. coli* was grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium with or without agar (2%) and supplemented with antibiotics as needed. NTHi strain 2019 [25] and derivatives thereof were used in this study. *H. influenzae* was grown at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO₂ on brain heart infusion agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) supplemented with 10 μg/ml hemin and 10 μg/ml β-NAD (sBHI). Kanamycin-resistant *H. influenzae* were selected on sBHI agar containing 15 μg/ml ribostamycin in the absence of additional CO₂. Spectinomycin was added to sBHI at a concentration of 25 μg/ml. RPMI 1640 media (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO) was used as a sialic acid-free chemically defined media. Supplemented RPMI (sRPMI) was prepared with protoporphyrin IX (1 μg/ml), hypoxanthine (0.1 mg/ml), uracil (0.1 mg/ml), β-NAD (10 μg/ml), and sodium pyruvate (0.8 mM). Neu5Ac (100 μM) and cAMP (1 mM) were added as indicated.

**Construction of mutants**

Genetic manipulations were performed using established techniques. Restriction enzymes, Antarctic Phosphatase, and T4 polymerase were obtained from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA) and were used following established protocols. The Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN) was used for PCR reactions. Oligonucleotide primers were designed and ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA) and are listed in Table 2. Plasmids were transformed and maintained in MAX Efficiency DH5α Chemically Competent cells (Invitrogen). Competent *H. influenzae* cells were prepared using the M-IV method and transformed as described previously [26]. cAMP (1 mM final concentration) was added to cyaA mutant strains 30 minutes prior to the addition of DNA to aid in the development of competence.

All mutants used in this study were constructed as non-polar deletions using a counter-selectable cassette. The cassette used was a variation of *sacB* cassettes that have been described previously [27,28]. In this cassette, which is described in more detail in work to be submitted elsewhere, *sacB* is under the control of the tetracycline promoter and Tet repressor. The cassette also contains genes for the repressor, tetR, and *nptII*, a kanamycin resistance marker. This allows for inducible expression of *sacB* in the presence of the tetracycline analog chlorotetracycline. Constructs for mutagenesis were prepared for each gene using the design detailed as follows. Regions flanking the target gene were amplified by PCR using primers that had restriction sites added to the 5’-end. These primers were designed to contain the start codon for the upstream fragment and stop codon for the downstream fragment. These products were cloned into pGEM-T (Promega, Madison, WI) and sequentially subcloned into pUC19 using the primer-encoded restriction sites. The resulting plasmid contained the flanking regions ligated to form an open reading frame consisting of a start codon, Smal site, and stop codon. This plasmid would serve as the deletion construct. Smal was then used to open the plasmid and the *sacB*-Kan⁸ cassette was inserted. The resulting plasmid was transformed into the desired NTHi strain, selecting for resistance to ribostamycin. A Rib⁸, Suc⁵ isolate was then transformed with the deletion construct and transformants were selected on LB agar supplemented with 5% sucrose, chlorotetracycline (1 μg/ml), hemin, and NAD. Deletions were confirmed by PCR. Confirmed mutants were then able to be transformed with the *sacB*-Kan⁸ cassette to delete additional genes.

**PCR SOEing and mutagenesis**

PCR splicing by overlap extension (PCR SOEing) was used to insert 5 bp between SiaR and CRP operators.
Primers were designed to insert 5 bp between the operators of SiaR and CRP while conserving the 3 bp that are shared between the two (Table 2). The junction primers contained a 24 bp overlap to allow for splicing. Fragments were amplified by PCR with primer pairs 145R8/145M2 and 145M3/146R2 and products were purified using the QiaQuick PCR Clean Up Kit (Qiagen). PCR products were quantified with NanoDrop and mixed to yield a final concentration of 5 ng/μl. This mixture was used as the template in the SOEing reaction with primers 145R8 and 146R2. The product from the splicing reaction was cleaned up and used for transformation.

Transformation of NTHi strains was performed as detailed above. JWJ091 and JWJ116 were transformed with the plasmid pJJ331, a construct that spans from within the nan operon and into the siaPT operon and has the sacB-Kan\textsuperscript{R} cassette inserted near the insertion target. pJJ331 had an unintentional mutation in the CRP binding site that allowed for the plasmid to be maintained in E. coli. Kan\textsuperscript{R}, Suc\textsuperscript{S} transformants were then transformed with the PCR SOEing product and selected for growth on

**Table 1 Strains and plasmids**

| Strain or plasmid | Genotype, relevant phenotype or selection marker | Source or reference |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| **Strains**       |                                                |                   |
| E. coli DH5α      | Invitrogen                                    |                   |
| E. coli BL21 Star | Invitrogen                                    |                   |
| NTHi 2019         | Clinical respiratory isolate                  | [25]              |
| JWJ091            | NTHi 2019ΔcyaA mutant                         | This study        |
| JWJ093            | NTHi 2019ΔcyaA ΔsiaR mutant, kanamycin resistant | This study        |
| JWJ112            | NTHi 2019ΔcyaA ΔnanA mutant                    | This study        |
| JWJ114            | NTHi 2019ΔcyaA ΔnagA mutant                    | This study        |
| JWJ116            | NTHi 2019ΔcyaA ΔnagB mutant                    | This study        |
| JWJ118            | NTHi 2019ΔcyaA ΔnanK mutant                    | This study        |
| JWJ120            | NTHi 2019ΔcyaA ΔnanE mutant                    | This study        |
| JWJ159            | NTHi 2019ΔcyaA mutant with 5 bp insertion between SiaR and Crp operators | This study        |
| JWJ160            | NTHi 2019ΔcyaA ΔnagB mutant with 5 bp insertion between SiaR and Crp operators | This study        |
| **Plasmids**      |                                                |                   |
| pGEM-T Easy       | PCR-cloning vector                            | Promega           |
| pGEM-T            | PCR-cloning vector                            | Promega           |
| pCR2.1            | PCR-cloning vector                            | Invitrogen        |
| pCR2.1_443        | pCR2.1 with PCR fragment spanning             | This study        |
| pUC19             | General cloning vector                        | New England Biolabs |
| pET-24(+)         | Expression vector                             | Novagen           |
| pUC19-142del      | nanA deletion construct                       | This study        |
| pUC19-142 sacB    | nanA::tetR-sacB/Kan\textsuperscript{R}        | This study        |
| pJJ150            | siaR knockout vector                          | [14]              |
| pJJ185            | C-terminal his-tagged SiaR expression vector   | [14]              |
| pJJ260            | tetR-sacB/Kan\textsuperscript{R} cassette    |                   |
| pJJ276            | C-terminal his-tagged CRP expression vector   | This study        |
| pJJ279            | cyaA deletion construct                       | This study        |
| pJJ290            | cyaA::tetR-sacB/Kan\textsuperscript{R}        | This study        |
| pJJ308            | nagA deletion construct                       | This study        |
| pJJ309            | nagB deletion construct                       | This study        |
| pJJ310            | nanK deletion construct                       | This study        |
| pJJ311            | nanE deletion construct                       | This study        |
| pJJ313            | nagA::tetR-sacB/Kan\textsuperscript{R}        | This study        |
| pJJ314            | nagB::tetR-sacB/Kan\textsuperscript{R}        | This study        |
| pJJ315            | nanK::tetR-sacB/Kan\textsuperscript{R}        | This study        |
| pJJ316            | nanE::tetR-sacB/Kan\textsuperscript{R}        | This study        |
| pJJ321            | pCR2.1_443 with 5 bp deletion                 | This study        |
| pJJ331            | pJJ321 with tetR-sacB/Kan\textsuperscript{R} | This study        |
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sucrose. Transformants were then screened by PCR and sequenced to confirm the presence of the 5 bp insertion and the absence of additional mutations. The resultant strains, JWJ159 (2019cyaA+5 bp) and JWJ160 (2019cyaA-nagB+5 bp) were used for subsequent analysis.

RNA extraction and transcriptional analysis
RNA was extracted using the hot acid phenol method as described previously [29]. DNA was removed from extracted RNA by digestion with DNase I (New England Biolabs) and cleaned up with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RNA quality was assessed with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) and the concentration was determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). For real time RT-PCR analysis, primer/probe sets were obtained using the Custom TaqMan Gene Expression Service (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Primer/probe sets were designed using the sequence of HI0145 and HI0146 from *H. influenzae* 2019. A primer/probe set for the 16S rRNA of *H. influenzae* was designed and used as a control. The TaqMan RNA-To-CT 1-Step Kit (Applied Biosystems) was used following the manufacturer’s protocol. Reactions were set up in triplicate using 20 ng of RNA. Reactions were carried out using the StepOnePlus Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) with StepOne analysis software. Results were calculated using the comparative CT method to determine the relative expression ratio between RNA samples. The primer and probe set for HI16S rRNA was used as the endogenous reference to normalize the results. Two independent sets of RNA samples were used for each experiment and the mean fold change is reported. Data are expressed as mean +/- SD.

**Protein expression and purification**
SiaR was expressed and purified as described previously [14], with modified buffers to enhance stability of the

---

**Table 2 Oligonucleotide primers**

| Oligonucleotide primer | Sequence | Use               |
|------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 140F3                  | gaa ttc CTG CTT CTT CAT TAA GTT CTC GC | nagA upstream |
| 140R5                  | ccc ggg CAT ATT CTG TCC CTA ATA ACA ACA TCA GTT | nagA upstream |
| 140F6                  | ccc ggg TAA TAG TAA ACA CTT AAA TAG TTA ATT GAT TTA AAA ATC | nagA downstream |
| 140R6                  | gca tgc TCA AAA ACA GCA ACA CGG TGC | nagA downstream |
| 141F1                  | gaa ttc CAT CAT CGC TGA AAC AGG C | nagB upstream |
| 141R3                  | ccc ggg CAT ATT AGG CCT CTT TTA TTA TTA TTA ACC G | nagB upstream |
| 141F3                  | ccc ggg TAG AGA TCT ATT CAT CTT TAT GTA GGG | nagB downstream |
| 141R4                  | gca tgc GTT TTC AAC GCT AGT GTG GTC G | nagB downstream |
| 144F1                  | gaa ttc CGC TCC TTT GTT GAA TGT CC | nanK upstream |
| 144F3                  | ccc ggg CAT AAC TTA TCC TTA TAG TGT AAA GTC TTT TCT CAC | nanK upstream |
| 144F2                  | ccc ggg AAG CCT GAA GGA ACA ATT TAT GGC TAA | nanK downstream |
| 144R2                  | gca tgc GCC TCA GCA GAA TAA CCA G | nanK downstream |
| 145F5                  | gaa ttc CGC TCC TGT GTA AAC TTA TG | nanE upstream |
| 145R5                  | ccc ggg CAT ATA ACA CCC CTC ATT TAA ATC TGA AT | nanE upstream |
| 145F6                  | ccc ggg TAG TCG TAA GAC GTG TGA AAA AAG ACT T | nanE downstream |
| 145R6                  | gca tgc CGA AGG GAA TAT CGT ATC GCC | nanE downstream |
| 604F1                  | gag ctc CAT TTT GCT GAC GAG GAA CTG | cyaA upstream |
| 604R1                  | ccc ggg CAT TAC ATA AAC ACC TAA AAT TGG TG | cyaA upstream |
| 604F2                  | ccc ggg TAA TAT CCT GTG GTT GAT AGG TTA CC | cyaA downstream |
| 604R2                  | aag ctt AAA GCA ATG GAG TGG AGC ACC ACA ATT | cyaA downstream |
| 145R8                  | CGG CAG CAA TTT TGT TCC | PCR SOEmg |
| 145M2                  | TTT ATG AAA AAA AAC CTC TAA AAA AT | PCR SOEmg |
| 145M3                  | ATT TTT GAA GTG TTT TTT CAT AAA TTA AAT GTG ATC AAC TTT TC | PCR SOEmg |
| 146R2                  | CCA TTA CGG CAC ACT AAA GAG G | PCR SOEmg |
| 957F2                  | aag ctt AAT AAA ACA AGG AAA TTT TGG AAA CAG G | CRP expression |
| 957R2                  | ctc gag TCT TGC GCC ATA TAC AAC GAT GTT | CRP expression |
| P146F1                 | ACA CCC CTC ATT TAA ATC TGA ATA AAT CAC | EMSA |
| P146R4                 | CCC CCA AAA TAG GTG TGC | EMSA |
| 145R7                  | CGA CAG GTT GGC AAG AAG AAA TAA GAC C | Primer extension |
| 145R1                  | ATC AGC GGC AGG AAG AGC AG | Primer extension |

Restriction sites added to aid in subcloning are indicated by lowercase letters. Bases added for 5 bp insertion are italicized.
purified protein and an additional purification step. Cells were resuspended in the SiaR lysis and equilibration buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.1% CHAPS) prior to lysis by French press. After protein binding, the resin was washed with the SiaR wash buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1,150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.1% CHAPS, 5 mM imidazole) and protein was eluted with the SiaR elution buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.1% CHAPS, 500 mM imidazole). The purified protein was concentrated using an Amicon Ultra centrifugation filter (Millipore, Billerica, MA) with a 10 kDa molecular weight cutoff. The protein sample was then desalted into the SiaR storage buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.1% CHAPS) using FPLC through a 10 ml (2-5 ml) HiTrap Desalting Column (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). Protein concentration was determined using the NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer and an extinction coefficient of 7,575 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Aliquots of the purified protein were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until needed.

CRP was expressed and purified in a similar manner. Primers were used to amplify crp with the restriction sites HindIII and Xhol on the 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively (Table 2). The 41 bases immediately upstream of crp sites were included to ensure that the native bacterial translation signals were present. The downstream primer included the last codon of the crp open reading frame, excluding the stop codon, to allow for the fusion of a multiple-histidine tag. The PCR product was cloned into pGEM-T and subsequently subcloned into pET-24 (+) (Novagen, Madison, WI) using the HindIII and XhoI sites. The resulting plasmid, pJJ276, was expected to express CRP with a carboxy-terminal His•Tag.

Protein expression was induced using the Overnight Express Autoinduction System 1 (Novagen) grown at 37°C for 15 min. Nucleic acids were precipitated by the addition of 300 μl of chilled ethanol, incubation in a dry ice bath for 15 min, and centrifugation at 4°C. Dried samples were dissolved in loading buffer (98% deionized formamide, 10 mM EDTA, 0.025% xylene cyanol FF, 0.025% bromphenol blue) prior to loading on sequencing gel. Sequencing reactions were set up for each probe. Reactions were incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes. After incubation, 6x EMSA gel-loading solution was added and reactions were loaded onto a 6% DNA Retardation Gel (Invitrogen) with prechilled 0.5× TBE buffer and run at 200 V for 60 minutes. After electrophoresis, the gel was stained with SYBR Green EMSA gel stain and bands were visualized by UV transillumination. Images were captured using a Kodak EDAS 120 camera with an EDAS 590 mm filter (Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY). cAMP was added to reactions when indicated to a final concentration of 100 μM.

**Primer extension analysis**

Primer extension analysis was used to identify the transcriptional start sites for both nan and siaPT operons. Primers 145R7 (nan) and 146R1 (siaPT) were labeled with 32P using T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs) and γ-[32P]-ATP (GE Healthcare). Illustra Microspin G-25 columns (GE Healthcare) were used to remove unincorporated 32P. The primer extension reaction was performed using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix (Invitrogen) following the supplied protocol. After first-strand synthesis RNA was degraded by incubation with RNase A (New England Biolabs) at 37°C for 15 min. Nucleic acids were precipitated by the addition of 300 μl of chilled ethanol, incubation in a dry ice bath for 15 min, and centrifugation at 4°C. Dried samples were dissolved in loading buffer (98% deionized formamide, 10 mM EDTA, 0.025% xylene cyanol FF, 0.025% bromphenol blue) prior to loading on sequencing gel. Sequencing reactions were set up for each labeled primer using the SequiTherm EXCEL II DNA Sequencing Kit (Epicentre Technologies, Madison, WI). A PCR fragment amplified with the primers 145R7 and 146R1 was used as a template. Sequencing and primer extension reactions were loaded onto an 8% sequencing gel. After electrophoresis, the gel was dried and exposed to film at -80°C.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay**

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to study the binding of SiaR and CRP to potential promoter sequences as done previously [14]. The probe for EMSA was amplified by PCR using primer pairs P146F1 and P146R4 (Table 2), resulting in a probe that spans the region from the nanE start codon to +18 of the siaPT transcript. Binding reactions were prepared using the EMSA Kit (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) following the manufacturer’s directions with some modifications. Binding reactions consisted of the binding buffer (150 mM KCl, 0.1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4), the DNA probe (15 nM), and 1 μM SiaR and/or CRP. Control reactions without protein were set up for each probe. Reactions were incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes. After incubation, 6x EMSA gel-loading solution was added and reactions were loaded onto a 6% DNA Retardation Gel (Invitrogen) with prechilled 0.5× TBE buffer and run at 200 V for 60 minutes. After electrophoresis, the gel was stained with SYBR Green EMSA gel stain and bands were visualized by UV transillumination. Images were captured using a Kodak EDAS 120 camera with an EDAS 590 mm filter (Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY). cAMP was added to reactions when indicated to a final concentration of 100 μM.

**NMR spectroscopy**

Strains 2019 wild-type and the 2019ΔcyA ΔnagB mutant were grown in 100 ml cultures of sRPMI without Neu5Ac to early exponential phase. Neu5Ac, cAMP, or both were added and cultures were incubated for...
20 min. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in 0.5 ml of MOPS buffer (40 mM MOPS, pH 7.3, with 50 μl D2O). Phosphorus NMR spectra were acquired at 162 MHz on a 400 MHz Varian Inova spectrometer in a 5 mm probe. Spectra were obtained upon excitation with 45° pulse and digitization of 0.8 s followed by a delay of 1.7 s for recovery between runs. Spectra 20 kHz wide were collected and processed with gaussian line-broadening of 0.1 s prior to Fourier transformation. Samples were maintained at 15°C, 2048 transients were averaged in an experiment lasting 1.5 hours. For each sample, two such spectra were collected one after the other. These were not significantly different, indicating that relatively minor changes take place on the time scale of data collection. However, a third spectrum collected some 13 hours later indicated significant change in some cases. Chemical shifts were referenced relative to external 85% phosphoric acid at 0 ppm.
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