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Background: Protein N-terminal methyltransferases (NTMTs) methylate protein N-terminal α-amines.

Results: Inhibition pattern and methylation progress analyses were performed to determine the kinetic mechanism and processivity of NTMT1.

Conclusion: NTMT1 utilizes a random sequential Bi Bi mechanism and proceeds in a distributive manner.

Significance: This information provides a rational basis for developing specific inhibitors targeting NTMT1.

The protein N-terminal methyltransferase 1 (NTMT1) catalyzes the transfer of the methyl group from the S-adenosyl-l-methionine to the protein α-amine, resulting in formation of S-adenosyl-l-homocysteine and α-N-methylated proteins. NTMT1 is an interesting potential anticancer target because it is overexpressed in gastrointestinal cancers and plays an important role in cell mitosis. To gain insight into the biochemical mechanism of NTMT1, we have characterized the kinetic mechanism of recombinant NTMT1 using a fluorescence assay and mass spectrometry. The results of initial velocity, product, and dead-end inhibition studies indicate that methylation by NTMT1 proceeds via a random sequential Bi Bi mechanism. In addition, our processivity studies demonstrate that NTMT1 proceeds via a distributive mechanism for multiple methylations. Together, our studies provide new knowledge about the kinetic mechanism of NTMT1 and lay the foundation for the development of mechanism-based inhibitors.

Protein methylation is an important epigenetic modification that has been implicated in regulation of transcription, DNA repair, signal transduction, and protein-protein interactions (1, 2). Enzymes that are responsible for methylation at arginine residues in proteins have received extensive attention over the past decades (1, 2). Although protein α-N-methylation was observed for a variety of proteins over 3 decades ago, little is known about this modification (3). In 2010, the first two eukaryotic α-N-terminal protein methyltransferases were discovered; the Macara laboratory (4) identified NTMT1/NRMT1 from humans, and the Clarke laboratory (5) identified YBR261C/Tae1 from yeast. Recently, Schaner Tooley and co-workers (6) showed that NTMT2/NRMT2/METTL11B also has α-N-terminal methylation activity.

NTMT1 transfers a methyl group from the S-adenosyl-l-methionine (AdoMet) to α-N-terminal amines, where the lone pair of electrons on the α-amine attacks the electrophilic methylsulfonyl group of AdoMet to yield the S-adenosyl-l-homocysteine (AdoHcy) and methylated protein (Fig. 1). There are generally three different methylation states: mono-, di-, and trimethylation. The different individual methylation states at the protein α-N terminus may have divergent roles with respect to biological functions (3, 7, 8). The relative populations of all four methylation states of regulator of chromosome condensation 1 (RCC1) have been shown to differ between asynchronous and mitotic cells, with the dimethylated species being the most prevalent (7). However, the centromere protein A (CENP-A) is predominantly trimethylated during mitosis (8).

NTMT1 recognizes a canonical motif XPK (where X represents A, P, or S) (4). Known substrates carrying this motif include RCC1, SET protein (also known as I2PP2A), tumor suppressor retinoblastoma protein, zinc finger protein 15, and damaged DNA-binding protein 2 (4, 9). Recent in vitro peptide methylation studies reveal that NTMT1 has a broader substrate specificity (10). Therefore, α-N-terminal methylation is likely to be a widespread post-translational modification.

NTMT1 has been shown to play an important role in regulating protein-DNA interactions (7, 9). Knockdown of NTMT1 increases multipolar spindle formation during cell mitosis and results in mitotic defects (7). The α-N-terminal methylation of RCC1 is essential to stabilize its interaction with chromatin during mitosis and to ensure proper mitotic division (7). The α-N-terminal methylation of CENP-A and -B was shown to enhance their binding to chromatin and CENP-B box during mitosis (8, 11). The α-N-terminal methylation of damaged DNA-binding protein 2 facilitates its recruitment to DNA damage foci for DNA repair (9). Also, the level of α-N-terminal methylation increases in response to a variety of extracellular stimuli, including increased cell density, heat shock, and arsenite treatment (11, 12).

Despite increased efforts to understand various NTMT1 activities within a cellular context, very little is known about its mechanism. Herein we sought to determine the kinetic mechanism for NTMT1. Additionally, we have examined the pro-
gession of methylation to determine how the distribution of methylation states varies over time. Our results indicate that NTMT1 follows a random sequential Bi Bi mechanism in which either AdoMet or protein substrate can initially bind to NTMT1. Furthermore, we find that NTMT1 catalysis displays a distributive mechanism for multiple methylations.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials**—All chemicals and reagents were used as purchased without further purification except for α-cyano-3-hydroxycinnamic acid. Most chemicals and reagents were purchased from Aldrich, Fisher, VWR, EMD, Calbiochem, and ChemImpex. Nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid resin was used as purchased from Qiagen. Human NTMT1 clone (AD-003) was obtained through a Materials Transfer Agreement with Dr. Raymond C. Trievel (University of Michigan) and was expressed and purified as described by Collazo et al. (13).

**Preparation of Peptide Substrates and Inhibitors**—Peptides representing hRCC1-6 (SPKRIA), hRCC1-9 (SPKRIAKRR), hRCC1-10 (SPKRIAKRRS), and hRCC1-12 (SPKRIAKRRSPP) were synthesized on Rink amide resin using standard Fmoc chemistry with a CEM Liberty microwave peptide synthesizer. Fmoc protection groups at the α-N termini were removed by 20% (v/v) piperidine in N,N-dimethylformamide. Me-RCC1-10 and Ac-RCC1-10 peptides were prepared according to the literature (14). The Me2-RCC1-10 peptide was synthesized as follows. To the deprotected RCC1-10 peptide on resin (0.1 mmol) was added methyl iodide (83 μl, 1.2 mmol) and K2CO3 (93 mg, 0.6 mmol) (16). The mixture was placed on a shaker for 4 h. The resin was washed with 20% (v/v) solution of 0.24 mmol), HOAc (20 μl), and NaBH3CN (15 mg, 0.24 mmol) (15). The mixture was placed on a shaker overnight. Peptides were cleaved from resin and the concentration was determined.

**Continuous Fluorescence Assay**—A fluorescence-based SAHH-coupled assay was adapted to study the kinetics of NTMT1, which monitors the formation of a ThioGlo1-thiol adduct that exhibits a strong fluorescence at 500 nm (13, 17, 18). NTMT1 activity was measured under the following conditions in a final well volume of 100 μl: 25 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5), 10 mM SAH, 0.2 μM NTMT1, 100 mM AdoMet, and 15 μM ThioGlo1. 10 μM SAH was used to ensure that the hydrolysis of AdoHcy

---

**FIGURE 1. NTMT1-catalyzed methylation reaction.** SAM, AdoMet; SAH, AdoHcy.
was not rate-limiting as compared with NTMT1. Thioglo1 was prepared as a stock solution in DMSO and stored protected from light at −20 °C. All components except for peptide substrate were initially mixed in a 96-well plate, and the reaction was initiated at 37 °C with the addition of a peptide substrate. All peptide substrate concentrations were varied from 0 to 40 μM except for RCC1-6, which was varied from 0 to 160 μM. Fluorescence was monitored on a FlexStation® 3 microplate reader with excitation = 370 nm and emission = 500 nm. The data for the initial rates were fit to the Michaelis-Menten model using least squares nonlinear regression with GraphPad Prism 5 software (version 5.04).

Initial Velocity Analysis—The initial rates for AdoMet were determined at different fixed concentrations of the RCC1-10 peptide (1, 2, 4, and 8 μM). For reactions where the RCC1-10 peptide was the varied substrate, the initial rates were examined at fixed concentration of AdoMet (12.5, 25, 50, and 100 μM). The initial rates were globally fit to the following equations using least squares nonlinear regression with GraphPad Prism 5 software (19).

\[
V = \frac{V_{\text{max}} [A][B]}{K^A + K^B + [A][B]} \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}
\]

When A is saturating, \( K^B = K^B_m \).

\[
V = \frac{V_{\text{max}} [A][B]}{K^A + K^B + [A][B]} \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]

The dissociation constants of the substrate A and B binding to the free enzyme, respectively (19). \( V_{\text{max}} \) and \( K_m \) are the Michaelis constants.

Mass Spectrometry-based Methylation Assay—Mass spectrometry (MS)-based methylation assays were performed and analyzed via an Applied Biosystems Voyager matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Methylation was performed under the following conditions: 0.2 μM NTMT1, 25 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 50 mM KOAc, peptide substrate, and 2 mM dithiothreitol at either 30 or 37 °C for 5 min before the addition of AdoMet to initiate the reaction. Aliquots were quenched in a 1:1 ratio with a quenching solution (20 mM M, Na) at 4 °C before analysis (20). We chose to use 0.2 μM enzyme for our assays, and the linearity of the methylation reaction with respect to enzyme concentration was confirmed in the range of 0–0.4 μM (Fig. 2A). The yield for the cleaved form of NTMT1 is 2.5 mg/liter after purification.

Kinetic Characterization of NTMT1 Activity—The steady-state kinetic parameters of both His-NTMT1 and NTMT1 were determined for RCC1-12 and AdoMet using a continuous fluorescence assay (13, 17, 18). For the fluorescence assay, the concentration of AdoHcy formed during the reaction was derived from a standard calibration curve generated with glutathione and Thioglo1. The linearity of the methylation reaction with respect to enzyme concentration was confirmed in the range of 0–0.4 μM (Fig. 2A). The yield for the cleaved form of NTMT1 is 2.5 mg/liter after purification.

Substrate Recognition—A series of peptides based on the N terminus of RCC1 were prepared with different lengths and N-terminal methylation states. We determined the steady-state kinetic parameters for methylation of these peptide substrates via fluorescence assay. The result rate constants are compiled in Table 2.

Kinetic studies on peptides with different lengths (6–12-mer) gave \( k_m \) values from 0.89 to 4.9 μM and \( k_{\text{cat}} \) values from 0.44 to 0.59 min⁻¹. The \( k_{\text{cat}}/k_m \) values ranged from 1.4 × 10⁸ to 4.9 × 10⁷ M⁻¹ min⁻¹. The \( k_m \) values for the unmethylated and dimethylated substrates are very similar (0.89 and 1.4 μM, respectively). The \( k_m \) value for the dimethylated substrate (4.3 μM) is about 5-fold higher than unmethylated substrate. The \( k_{\text{cat}}/k_m \) value for the dimethylated substrate is about 3–4-fold lower than unmethylated and monomethylated peptide substrates.

NTMT1 Catalysis Proceeds in a Distributive Manner—We applied a MALDI-MS assay to understand the progression of methylation for RCC1-10 and Me-RCC1-10. To determine whether ionization efficiency was a factor, we analyzed a sample
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FIGURE 2. Steady-state kinetics of His-NTMT1 activity as detected by fluorescence assay. A, linear relationship between His-NTMT1 concentration and initial velocity \( y = 0.252x - 0.001, R^2 = 0.9996 \); the linearity in the concentration of product with time \( y = 0.128x - 0.0126, R^2 = 0.9919 \). C, varied RCC1-12 concentration with AdoMet at 100 \( \mu M \). D, varied AdoMet (SAM) concentration with RCC1-12 at 40 \( \mu M \). Error bars, S.D.

**TABLE 1**
Comparison of activities of His-NTMT1 with NTMT1

| Substrate | \( K_m \) | \( k_{cat} \) | \( k_{cat}/K_m \) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| RCC1-12   | 3.1 ± 0.3 | 0.57 ± 0.01 | 4.9 ± 0.7 |
| AdoMet a  | 11.7 ± 1.3 | 0.57 ± 0.02 | 8.0 ± 1.6 |

*See Table 1 footnote a.*

**TABLE 2**
Effect of C-terminal truncations and N-terminal modifications of NTMT1 recognition

| Peptide ID | Sequence | \( K_m \) | \( k_{cat} \) | \( k_{cat}/K_m \) |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| RCC1-6    | SPKRI    | 3.2 ± 0.4 | 0.56 ± 0.02 | 1.8 \times 10^9 |
| RCC1-9    | SPKRIAKRR | 1.4 ± 0.1 | 0.53 ± 0.01 | 3.8 \times 10^9 |
| RCC1-10   | SPKRIAKRRS | 0.89 ± 0.09 | 0.44 ± 0.01 | 4.9 \times 10^9 |
| MeRCC1-10 | Me-SPKRIAKRR | 1.4 ± 0.1 | 0.58 ± 0.01 | 4.1 \times 10^9 |
| MeRCC1-10 | Me-SPKRIAKRRS | 4.3 ± 0.5 | 0.59 ± 0.02 | 1.4 \times 10^9 |

mixture containing variable amounts of peptides with differing methylation states (RCC1-10, Me-RCC1-10, Me\(_2\)-RCC1-10, and Me\(_3\)-RCC1-10) via MALDI-MS. The relative fraction of each peptide was calculated from the areas of all of the relevant peaks for each component. Results showed acceptable levels of precision and accuracy (less than 5% error), indicating that N-terminal methylation does not significantly affect the efficiency of ionization.

As shown in Figs. 3 and 4, we monitored product formation over 2 h. For both RCC1-10 and Me-RCC1-10 substrates, the concentrations of each of the intermediates (Me-RCC1-10 and Me\(_2\)-RCC1-10, respectively) increased to ~50% of the sample throughout the progression. The overall peptide concentration was 10 \( \mu M \), so the intermediate concentrations peaked at ~5 \( \mu M \). Because the enzyme concentration was only 0.2 \( \mu M \), the intermediates must be released and accumulated throughout the progress of the reaction, which is indicative of a distributive mechanism. The progression of methylation of the monomethylated RCC1 was faster than that of the dimethylated RCC1, with complete trimethylation occurring within 40 min. The RCC1 peptide was consumed at a slightly slower rate, with complete trimethylation occurring after about 2 h, which was partially due to the extra intermediate step for the RCC1 peptide compared with the monomethylated substrate. In both cases, the half-life time for initial substrate was about 3 min.

The data were fitted via Dynafit software to determine the rate constant \( k \) for each methylation step (Fig. 4). For the methylation progression from the RCC1 to Me\(_n\)RCC1, a \( k_1 \) value of 0.20 ± 0.01 \( \mu M^{-1} \) min\(^{-1} \) was obtained. The MeRCC1 fitting analysis afforded a \( k_1 \) value of 0.41 ± 0.03 \( \mu M^{-1} \) min\(^{-1} \).

Initial Velocity Studies—We carried out initial velocity studies to characterize the kinetic mechanism of NTMT1. The initial velocities were fit to a global nonlinear curve to obtain values for \( K_m \) (RCC1-10), \( K_m \) (AdoMet), and \( K_{(RCC1-10)} \) when the concentration of RCC1-10 peptide was varied at different fixed concentrations of the AdoMet. Similar experiments were performed to obtain \( K_m \) (RCC1-10), \( K_m \) (AdoMet), and \( K_{(AdoMet)} \) when the concentration of AdoMet was varied at different fixed concentrations of the RCC1-10 (Table 3). The resulting double reciprocal plots exhibit an increasing slope with decreasing AdoMet concentrations, producing intersecting lines with the intercept lying in the second quadrant (Fig. 5). This pattern indicates that NTMT1 catalysis proceeds in a sequential rather than a ping-pong mechanism.
Product and Dead-end Inhibition Studies—In order to determine the order of substrate binding and product release for the NTMT1-catalyzed reaction, product and dead-end inhibition studies were performed. We initiated the inhibition studies by determination of the IC50 values for each of the product and dead-end inhibitors via the MALDI-MS assay as described under “Experimental Procedures.” We used AdoHcy and Me3-RCC1-6 as product inhibitors. Sinefungin, which is a known methyltransferase inhibitor, and Ac-RCC1-10, which has an acetyl group at the N-\(\alpha\)-amine, were used as the inhibitors for the dead-end analogue studies. AdoHcy was found to have an IC50 value of \(3 \times 10^{-5}\) M. Sinefungin was found to be 10-fold less active than AdoHcy, with an IC50 of \(33 \times 10^{-5}\) M. However, neither of the peptide analogues (trimethylated or acetylated) showed significant inhibitory activity up to \(25 \times 10^{-5}\) M (Table 4).

For product inhibition studies, the results show that the Me3-RCC1-6 peptide acts as a competitive inhibitor when the concentration of RCC1-9 peptide is varied and AdoMet is at a fixed concentration (Fig. 6). AdoHcy was a competitive inhibitor when the concentration of AdoMet was varied and RCC1-9 substrate peptide was at a fixed concentration (Fig. 7). The results indicate that AdoHcy and Me3-RCC1-6 compete with AdoMet and RCC1-9 for the same form of the NTMT1, respectively. To further define the kinetic mechanism of NTMT1, we determined the inhibition pattern by Me3-RCC1-6 at fixed concentration of RCC1-9. The results showed that Me3-RCC1-6 is a noncompetitive inhibitor for AdoMet, and AdoHcy is a noncompetitive inhibitor for RCC1-9 (Figs. 6 and 7). These inhibition patterns indicate a rapid equilibrium random kinetic mechanism.

To further confirm the kinetic mechanism of NTMT1, we carried out dead-end analogue studies. Results show that the Ac-RCC1-10 peptide acts as a competitive inhibitor when the concentration of RCC1-9 peptide is varied and AdoMet is at a fixed concentration (Fig. 8). Sinefungin was a competitive inhibitor when the concentration of AdoMet was varied and RCC1-9 substrate peptide was at a fixed concentration (Fig. 9). The results indicate that sinefungin and Ac-RCC1-10 compete with AdoMet and RCC1-9 for the same form of the NTMT1, respectively. Noncompetitive inhibition patterns were observed by sinefungin and Ac-RCC1-10 for RCC1-9 and AdoMet, respectively (Figs. 8 and 9). Taken together, these results indicate that the enzyme proceeds via a random ordered
mechanism because an ordered or Theorell-Chance mechanism would require the observation of at least one uncompetitive inhibition pattern for either of the substrates (Scheme 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Specific chemical inhibitors can serve as valuable tools for manipulating the biological functions of NTMT1 and elucidating its role in cell growth and cancer research. In order to ratio-
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**TABLE 3**

| Varied substrate | Fixed substrate | $k_{cat}$ | $K_{cat}^{(RCC1)}$ | $K_{cat}^{(AdoMet)}$ | $K_m^{(RCC1)}$ | $K_m^{(AdoMet)}$ |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| RCC1-10 AdoMet$^a$ | 0.59 ± 0.03 µM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ | 3.3 ± 1.3 µM | 1.6 ± 0.4 µM | 13.6 ± 2.8 µM | 2.3 ± 0.4 µM | 7.9 ± 2.9 µM |
| AdoMet RCC1-10$^b$ | 1.4 ± 0.1 | 2.3 ± 0.4 | 7.9 ± 2.9 | 6.1 ± 1.7 |

$^a$ [AdoMet] = 12.5, 25, 50, or 100 µM.
$^b$ [RCC1-10] = 1, 2, 4, or 8 µM.
nally design specific NTMT1 inhibitors, we initiated our efforts to characterize the substrate recognition, processivity, and kinetic mechanism for NTMT1. To identify a short peptide substrate that could be used for mechanistic studies, we have prepared a series of peptides and determined their steady-state kinetic parameters. Our studies revealed that peptides that are derived from the N-terminal of RCC1 showed comparable \( K_m \) values and catalytic efficiency. The \( K_m \) values of peptide substrates decrease and the catalytic efficiency increases with increasing length of the substrate peptide up to 10-mer. The \( K_m \) value increases and catalytic efficiency decreases when the substrate length is increased to a 12-mer. It appears that a 10-mer is the optimal length for RCC1 peptides. All investigated peptides contain the consensus SPK sequence, which has been inferred to be important from previous docking studies (4). Our results further show that downstream residues also contribute to catalytic efficiency.

To investigate how NTMT1 catalyzes peptide substrates with different methylation states, we modified the \( \text{H}^9251-\text{N}^2\text{-amines} \) of RCC1-10 peptide to provide Me-RCC1-10, Me\(_2\)-RCC1-10, and Me\(_3\)-RCC1-10, as described under “Experimental Procedures.” We observed [M+30] \(^{+}\) for the trimethylated substrates instead of [M+H] \(^{+}\), which was commonly observed for the other peptides. This correlates with a loss of formaldehyde, most likely resulting from the N-terminal serine side chain. Loss of formaldehyde from protonated serine in MALDI-MS has been observed previously (25, 26). We noticed that this fragmentation is unique with trimethylated serine at the N terminus because we did not observe this phenomenon for other peptides. The reason that this fragmentation pattern was only observed with the trimethylated peptides could possibly stem from a contribution to the stability of the charged radical from the permanent positive charge of the tertiary amine on the \( \alpha \)-carbon. Neighboring groups have been shown to contribute to the stabilization of serine fragmentation in MALDI-MS (26).

Our results along with our progression of methylation studies suggest that NTMT1 has comparable catalytic efficiency for unmethylated and monomethylated peptide substrates, with ~3-fold lower efficiency for the dimethylated substrate. NTMT2, a close homologue of NTMT1, has been reported to

---

**TABLE 4**

| Inhibitor       | IC\(_{50}\) (\(\mu M\)) | Varying substrate | AdoMet\(^a\) | RCC1-9\(^b\) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Me\(_3\)RCC1-6  | >25                     | Noncompetitive    | Competitive   | Competitive   |
| SAH             | 3.3 ± 0.4               | Competitive       | Noncompetitive| Noncompetitive|
| Ac-RCC1-10      | >30                     | Noncompetitive    | Competitive   | Noncompetitive|
| Sinefungin      | 32.7 ± 5.0              | Competitive       | Noncompetitive| Noncompetitive|

\(^a\) [RCC1-9] = 4 \(\mu M\), \(^b\) [AdoMet] = 5 \(\mu M\).
be primarily a monomethyltransferase (6). It was proposed that NTMT2 primes for NTMT1 by providing monomethylated substrates based on concurrent expression of NTMT1 and NTMT2 (6). Because the kinetic constants for unmethylated and monomethylated peptide substrates from our study are comparable, it is unlikely that NTMT1 is solely dependent on NTMT2 for the production of monomethylated peptide substrates. However, NTMT2 certainly would facilitate progression toward trimethylation.

Although NTMT1 is known to catalyze the mono-, di-, and trimethylation of \(\alpha\)-N-amines, it is not clear whether the protein substrates remain bound to the enzyme throughout multiple methylations or if the substrate is released and rebinds between each methylation event. There have been conflicting reports in the literature as to the nature of the processivity of NTMT1. Immunoprecipitation analysis of the methylation distribution for the N terminus of CENP-A was determined to be indicative of a processive mechanism (8). However, substrate specificity studies with NTMT1, as well as the distributions of methylated states of RCC1 in HeLa cells, suggest that NTMT1 proceeds in a distributive manner (7, 10). The methylation processivity is important to guide the design of mechanism-based inhibitors for NTMT1. If the enzyme were processive, inhibitors designed to mimic the transition states could be difficult to develop, because the intermediates are not released until the final product is formed. Hence, it is critical to clarify the steady-state mechanism.

We decided to directly observe the relative population changes in the methylation states over time via a mass spectrometry method. By utilizing the concentration of the initial peptide as an internal standard and comparing the relative monoisotopic peak areas, we were able to measure the populations of all methylation states simultaneously. Our methylation progression study clearly showed that the population of the different intermediate methylation states achieved concentrations that were higher than the NTMT1 concentration used in

**FIGURE 7.** Product inhibition studies with AdoHcy (SAH). A, noncompetitive inhibition is observed when RCC1-9 is the varied substrate and AdoMet (SAM) is the fixed substrate (8 \(\mu\)M). B, competitive inhibition is observed when AdoMet is the varied substrate and RCC1-9 is the fixed substrate (5 \(\mu\)M). Error bars, S.D.

**FIGURE 8.** Dead-end analogue inhibition studies with Ac-RCC1-10. A, competitive inhibition is observed when RCC1-9 is the varied substrate and AdoMet is the fixed substrate (0.8 \(\mu\)M). B, noncompetitive inhibition is observed when AdoMet (SAM) is the varied substrate and RCC1-9 is the fixed substrate (5 \(\mu\)M). Error bars, S.D.
the assay, which is consistent with a distributive mechanism. However, a full-length protein substrate may exhibit a processive mechanism if it has significantly higher affinity to the enzyme or a slower off-rate than the enzyme turnover time. Future study with full-length protein substrates would be interesting to explore this possibility.

We used DynaFit software to fit both sets of progression data to generate the rate constants for each methylation step (Fig. 4). Because methylation is an irreversible process, the reaction rate is equivalent to \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \). This allowed us to compare the data obtained via the mass spectrometry assay with the \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) values determined in the continuous fluorescence assay (Table 2). We chose to compare the reaction constant for the first methylation step because produced AdoHcy would accumulate in sufficient concentrations to inhibit methylation rate for the following methylation steps. The initial rate constants for RCC1 and MeRCC1 (0.20 and 0.41 \( \mu \text{M}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1} \), respectively) are comparable with the \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) values determined for these substrates (0.49 and 0.41 \( \mu \text{M}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1} \), respectively), which validate the methylation progression analysis and kinetic data obtained from our assays.

The inhibition study indicates that AdoHcy is a very potent inhibitor for NTMT1, which is 10-fold better than sinefungin. This suggests that AdoHcy plays an important role in regulation of NTMT1 activity in a feedback manner. 3-Deazaneplanocin A that inhibits the activity of SAHH can inhibit the activity of NTMT1 through inhibiting the hydrolysis of AdoHcy (27). Interestingly, the other product, the trimethylated peptide, has a much higher IC\textsubscript{50} value. Further studies are needed to fully understand why the peptide inhibitors are not as efficient as the product AdoHcy. However, AdoHcy has a potential to serve as a lead compound to develop a small molecule inhibitor for NTMT1.

Herein we present the first evidence that NTMT1 is a distributive protein methyltransferase that follows a random sequential Bi Bi kinetic mechanism. A distributive processivity is favorable for the development of bisubstrate inhibitors of NTMT1 to mimic the ternary complex of both substrates bound to the enzyme. This information, in conjunction with further kinetic and inhibitory activity data, will help to better understand the substrate affinity and mechanism of the enzyme, which are an essential foundation for studying the function and regulation of protein N-terminal methylations, as well as provide a rational basis to facilitate the development of bisubstrate inhibitors as NTMT1 inhibitors to mimic the catalytic transition state.
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