METHODS AND RESOURCES

SiCTeC: An inexpensive, easily assembled Peltier device for rapid temperature shifting during single-cell imaging

Benjamin D. Knapp\(^1\)\(^*\), Lillian Zhu\(^2\)\(^*\), Kerwyn Casey Huang\(^{1,2,3,4}\)

1 Biophysics Program, Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States of America, 2 Department of Bioengineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States of America, 3 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California, United States of America, 4 Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, California, United States of America

\(^*\) These authors contributed equally to this work.
\(^{*}\) kchuang@stanford.edu

Abstract

Single-cell imaging, combined with recent advances in image analysis and microfluidic technologies, have enabled fundamental discoveries of cellular responses to chemical perturbations that are often obscured by traditional liquid-culture experiments. Temperature is an environmental variable well known to impact growth and to elicit specific stress responses at extreme values; it is often used as a genetic tool to interrogate essential genes. However, the dynamic effects of temperature shifts have remained mostly unstudied at the single-cell level, due largely to engineering challenges related to sample stability, heatsink considerations, and temperature measurement and feedback. Additionally, the few commercially available temperature-control platforms are costly. Here, we report an inexpensive (<$110) and modular Single-Cell Temperature Controller (SiCTeC) device for microbial imaging—based on straightforward modifications of the typical slide-sample-coverslip approach to microbial imaging—that controls temperature using a ring-shaped Peltier module and microcontroller feedback. Through stable and precise (±0.15°C) temperature control, SiCTeC achieves reproducible and fast (1–2 min) temperature transitions with programmable waveforms between room temperature and 45°C with an air objective. At the device’s maximum temperature of 89°C, SiCTeC revealed that Escherichia coli cells progressively shrink and lose cellular contents. During oscillations between 30°C and 37°C, cells rapidly adapted their response to temperature upshifts. Furthermore, SiCTeC enabled the discovery of rapid morphological changes and enhanced sensitivity to substrate stiffness during upshifts to nonpermissive temperatures in temperature-sensitive mutants of cell-wall synthesis enzymes. Overall, the simplicity and affordability of SiCTeC empowers future studies of the temperature dependence of single-cell physiology.
Introduction

While chemical perturbations during single-cell imaging experiments have been made possible by microfluidic technologies [1, 2], other environmental variables such as temperature have been more difficult to precisely and rapidly manipulate during an experiment. Temperature has dramatic effects on virtually all cellular processes, including polymer behavior [3, 4], RNA and DNA polymerases [5, 6], ribosomal elongation [7], and enzyme kinetics and function [8]. These diverse, temperature-dependent processes have global impacts on cell growth, which cells must integrate and collectively optimize at each temperature [9].

Two predominant elements of experimental design limit our understanding of how cells respond to changes in temperature. First, bulk experiments are the standard for investigating the effects of temperature on steady-state cellular growth [10, 11]. By contrast, single-cell investigations reveal insights into morphological dynamics and population heterogeneity that cannot be achieved through bulk experimentation. Second, experimental designs tend to employ a single experimental temperature that maximizes growth rate (e.g., 37˚C for the enteric bacterium Escherichia coli). As a result, how cells respond to temperature fluctuations, such as during transitions into and out of a host, remains understudied; in E. coli, the few studies of temperature shifts have shown long timescales for growth-rate equilibration [12] and transient changes to the synthesis rate of tRNA synthetases [13]. While intriguing, these limited results highlight the need for a device that enables single-cell imaging to investigate how individual cells respond to temperature fluctuations at high spatiotemporal resolution.

Current microscope incubators are useful for maintaining temperature during time-lapse imaging but are unable to precisely control the temperature of samples at short timescales. Consequently, a stage-top temperature controller for single-cell imaging is ideal for studying how cells transition between temperatures at high temporal resolution (approximately 1 min). Engineering such a device has been challenging, as modifying temperature in real time requires sample stability, careful temperature measurement, and control feedback. Most commercial devices lack the capacity to program arbitrary temperature shift profiles and often can only heat samples to temperatures slightly higher than 37˚C. Furthermore, the high cost of these devices (> $10,000) prohibits broad accessibility. While an inexpensive design for stage-top temperature control has been demonstrated [14], it is not compatible with traditional single-cell imaging techniques, and the capacity to shift sample temperatures at short timescales has not been demonstrated.

Recent low-cost alternatives to expensive laboratory techniques include electroporation [15], fluorescence microscopy and optogenetics [14], and nucleic acid extraction [16]. In addition to making science more open and accessible, particularly in resource-challenged institutions and countries [17], open-source experimentation can drive new applications that are not currently achievable with commercial equipment, akin to the impact of the development of the open-source Linux kernel on computing [18]. Inspired by these successes, here we built an affordable and flexible temperature controller for single-cell imaging that meets several crucial design objectives: (1) has inexpensive and accessible components, (2) is easy to assemble and compatible with traditional slide-mount techniques, (3) is temperature stable, (4) is reusable, (5) is capable of rapid temperature switching, (6) has programmable complex temperature routines, and (7) has digital temperature readout in real time. We provide instructions to construct this device in just a few hours using a ring-shaped Peltier module heater and open-source temperature control software for < $110. We used this device, the Single-Cell Temperature Controller (SiCTeC), to discover that wild-type E. coli cells rapidly adapt to temperature oscillations and lose cellular material at extreme temperatures, and that the phenotypes of temperature-sensitive E. coli cell-wall mutants quickly manifest but depend on the stiffness of the
substrate on which they are grown. We anticipate that SiCTeC will drive fundamental research into the temperature dependence of cellular physiology and serve as a highly accessible device for precisely controlling environmental temperature.

**Results**

**Design of the temperature-control device**

To increase the utility of an inexpensive microscope stage-top temperature-control device, we based our development on existing imaging and sample preparation approaches. Our design modifies the traditional use of agarose hydrogel pads on glass slides as sample mounts [19] by adding a simple heating component and a temperature sensor (Fig 1). To enable rapid and precise temperature changes, we implemented a Peltier-module design. Briefly, the Peltier effect is a temperature difference that arises when a current is driven across the junction between two conductors. Modern Peltier modules are inexpensive devices that utilize semiconductor technology to drive large temperature differences across junctions, with ceramic plates commonly used to dissipate heat [20]. Although Peltier modules usually require large heatsinks to dissipate excess heat during cooling, we allowed the SiCTeC to self-heat (without a heatsink) to increase speed and performance, owing to its small size. SiCTeC consists of a ring-shaped Peltier module that permits illumination of samples during microscopy—which is crucial for single-cell investigations (Fig 1A and 1B)—and also ensures that heating is uniform across the sample.

We monitor temperature using a thermistor, a device for which log(resistance) depends approximately linearly on the inverse of temperature, which we calibrated using a commercial thermocouple device (Methods). We sealed the thermistor to the coverslip by wrapping thermal tape around the slide and coverslip (Fig 1C).

Fig 1. SiCTeC: An easy-to-assemble temperature controller for single-cell imaging. (A) Schematic of a temperature-controlled sample slide. A ring-shaped Peltier module delivers heat to the sample, and the temperature is monitored at the coverslip using a thermistor. Images of cells can be acquired using most microscopy systems and processed using automated segmentation and tracking algorithms. (B) Exploded schematic of the slide in (A). (C) Photo of sample slide with Peltier module and thermistor. The thermistor is sealed to the coverslip with thermal tape, and power is delivered to the Peltier module using electronic control components. SiCTeC, Single-Cell Temperature Controller.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000786.g001
To actively control the temperature, we use the open-source Arduino (https://www.arduino.cc/) platform to implement a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) algorithm, which is commonplace in systems design control [21] (Fig 2A). Two separate methods control the temperature setpoint: (1) manual control, using a potentiometer with a set range of temperature values (Fig 2B, S1A Fig), or (2) programmed control with an executable function using the Arduino software. Having two options allows the user to either set target temperatures dynamically or execute more complex temperature programs. The open-source software Processing (https://processing.org/) [22] displays the temperature readout from the Arduino in real time and writes power and temperature data to a table (S1B Fig). The temperature is read every 500 ms, and the error, defined as the difference between the current and target temperatures, is used as input for the PID algorithm (Fig 2A). Starting from a 12 V power supply, we use a buck converter to step down the power input, given the 5 V limit on the Peltier module (Fig 2B, S1A Fig). To modulate the power, we use a motor driver, which receives a pulse-width-modulation (PWM) signal from the Arduino’s PID output (Fig 2B, S1A Fig). Together, these components are soldered onto a breadboard and placed into a plastic enclosure (S1A Fig).

Due to their small size, the imaging of bacteria is conventionally performed with high-magnification oil-immersion objectives, which act as heatsinks when in contact with samples. This issue can lead to incorrect temperature measurements and/or lack of temperature control at the sample plane due to heat dissipation and also dramatically limits the speed of temperature shifts. Furthermore, the physical constraints of keeping the thermistor in contact with the cover-slip near the sample could obstruct imaging. To circumvent these issues, SiCTeC uses a 40X air objective with a relatively high numerical aperture (NA; 0.95) and a 1.5X tube lens to achieve an effective magnification of 60X. We found that this setup produces measurements of cellular dimensions similar to a 100X oil-immersion objective (NA: 1.45), which is commonly employed in bacterial imaging (S2 Fig).

The largest design challenge was to achieve imaging stability at high temperatures (>40˚C); hydrogel melting and subsequent focus and sample drifting rendered this goal problematic. To address these issues, we used a silicone gasket to secure hydrogels of at least 3% agarose (w/v), which have higher melting point and stiffness than lower agarose concentrations [23] (Fig 1B and 1C). We also limited the maximum power delivered to the device at PWM = 50, out of a possible PWM = 255. In addition, we found that slower PID parameters allowed the hydrogel to equilibrate gradually while still reaching the target temperature over a time period much shorter (1–2 min) than the doubling time of a fast-growing species such as *E. coli* (20–60 min) (S2 Table).

### SiCTeC maintains and increases temperature quickly across a wide range of temperatures

To determine whether SiCTeC could maintain temperature for long periods of time, we set up an agarose pad with exponentially growing wild-type *E. coli* MG1655 cells from a 37˚C liquid culture to mimic a typical time-lapse imaging experiment and attempted to maintain the temperature of the pad at 37˚C using our device. Monitoring the temperature at the coverslip using a thermistor for 24 h revealed that the temperature was highly stable, with a mean of 37.0˚C and standard deviation of 0.15˚C, and a maximum deviation from the target temperature of 0.6˚C (Fig 3A, S3A Fig). To validate that the temperature of the cells was maintained at the same temperature as the coverslip, we monitored cell growth for the first 70 min before the population became crowded, resulting in growth inhibition by surrounding cells (Fig 3B and 3C). Cell morphology was maintained throughout the 70 min (Fig 3B) and was consistent with
Fig 2. Design of an inexpensive control system. (A) Control design for PID temperature control. The temperature ($T$) is read by the thermistor at the coverslip, and its difference with respect to the setpoint ($T_{\text{setpoint}}$) is used as an input to the PID algorithm. PWM signals are used to modulate the power input to the Peltier module. (B) Circuit design for the temperature-control system. Components are labeled with their estimated itemized price in US dollars. Asterisks indicate additional components.
previous studies performed at steady state [24]. Growth rate was maintained with an average of 1.98 h$^{-1}$ (Fig 3C), similar to previous steady-state measurements of growth at 37˚C [25], indicating that the device was maintaining the correct temperature at the sample.

To measure the capacity of our device to maintain temperature across a range of temperatures, we heated an agarose pad from room temperature to temperatures ranging from 25˚C to 45˚C (Fig 3D). In each case, the temperature equilibrated at the desired value within 2 min and was maintained thereafter with a standard deviation <0.2˚C (Fig 3D and 3E). Up to 40˚C, the temperature control error did not depend on the target temperature (Fig 3E); at higher temperatures the standard deviation remained low (<0.3˚C; Fig 3E), although the slower PID parameters produced small oscillations around the target temperature (Fig 3D), likely due to rapid cooling of the device at higher temperatures.

Fig 3. SiCTeC can maintain a wide range of temperatures with very small fluctuations. (A) Temperature readout during maintenance of a sample at 37˚C for 24 h. The temperature was binned every 1 min; the shaded region represents ±1 standard deviation. Arrow points to the most extreme outlier (0.6˚C). The data underlying this figure can be found in file 1 in the Stanford Data Repository at https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308. (B) Time-lapse images of E. coli grown on an agarose pad maintained at 37˚C using SiCTeC as monitored in (A). Cell morphology and viability were maintained throughout 70 min of tracking. Scale bar: 4 μm. (C) Population-averaged growth rate of E. coli cells was approximately constant during 70 min of maintenance at 37˚C as monitored in (A). Shaded region represents ±1 standard deviation (n = 301 cells). The data underlying this figure can be found in file 2 in the Stanford Data Repository at https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308. (D) Temperature-shift experiments from 25˚C demonstrate rapid equilibration at target temperatures of 27˚C–45˚C. The device was maintained at 25˚C for 2 min and then shifted to the target temperature. Arrow indicates time of shift. The data underlying this figure can be found in file 3 in the Stanford Data Repository at https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308. (E) The error in temperature maintenance was less than 0.3˚C at each target temperature in (D), defined as the standard deviation over 3-min windows. The data underlying this figure can be found in file 3 in the Stanford Data Repository at https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308. (F) Temperature stepping experiment from 25˚C to 46˚C demonstrates the ability of SiCTeC to achieve near arbitrary upshift waveforms. The initial step was to 28˚C, while all subsequent steps were increments of 2˚C at 5-min intervals. The data underlying this figure can be found in file 4 in the Stanford Data Repository at https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308.
To further interrogate the speed and flexibility of SiCTeC, we increased the temperature from 25˚C to 46˚C in a stepwise manner (Fig 3F). The setpoint was first increased to 28˚C and then increased by 2˚C every 5 min. In each case, the target temperature was reached within 2 min and remained stable thereafter (Fig 3F). Higher temperatures underwent small oscillations (Fig 3D), consistent with the single shift from room temperature to 45˚C (Fig 3D).

SiCTeC can also achieve much higher temperatures than have been previously considered accessible for single-cell time-lapse imaging. At approximately one-fifth the maximum power of the Peltier module, the device stably reached 89˚C (Fig 4A). Shifting the temperature from 37˚C to 89˚C melted the agarose hydrogel, but the silicone gasket provided a reservoir for the liquid to be retained during imaging (Fig 4A and 4B). Some of the cells remained adhered to the coverslip at high temperatures, allowing single-cell tracking throughout the 30 min at 89˚C and subsequent cooling (Fig 4B). At 89˚C, cells maintained their shape but decreased in size, coincident with blebbing (Fig 4B and 4C). Similar blebbing has been observed at very high temperatures using electron microscopy and has been attributed to loss of outer-membrane material through vesiculation [26]. After cooling to room temperature, cells eventually became phase-bright, indicative of extreme protein stress (Fig 4D) [27].

Taken together, these data indicate that SiCTeC can impose temperature increases with almost arbitrary waveforms over an extremely wide range of temperatures.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000786.g004
SiCTeC enables temperature oscillations via passive cooling for rapid temperature downshifts

Complex temperature dynamics can also involve temperature downshifts. To determine the speed at which the temperature drops back to ambient levels after the device was turned off, we grew *E. coli* cells to steady state at 30˚C and then placed them on an agarose pad subjected to oscillatory cycles of upshifts to 37˚C for 10 min followed by passive cooling (PWM = 0, S3B Fig) for 10 min (Fig 5A). Active heating to 37˚C was achieved with a time constant of approximately 0.6 min, similar to our previous measurements (Fig 3D). Cooling exhibited a time constant of approximately 1.9 min (Fig 5B), which is slower than the heating time constant but much faster than the doubling time of *E. coli* (approximately 20 min at 37˚C). Thus, temperature changes in both directions can be achieved sufficiently quickly to program repeatable, precise, and nearly arbitrary temperature dynamics.

SiCTeC enables discovery of phenotypes associated with temperature-sensitive mutations

A common strategy for studying loss-of-function mutations in essential genes has been to isolate temperature-sensitive mutants that only grow at the permissive temperature [28].
cases, it is thought that the switch to the nonpermissive temperature destabilizes the protein of interest, making it nonfunctional, and eventually results in growth inhibition. SiCTeC is ideal for examining how quickly growth inhibition emerges upon the temperature transition, as well as the phenotypic consequences of the switch. We focused on temperature-sensitive mutants of two penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) in *E. coli*. PBP2 is an essential enzyme responsible for cell-wall cross-linking during elongation [29]. When PBP2 is fully inhibited by the antibiotic mecillinam, cells are unable to properly maintain their rod-like shape and become round [30]. PBP3 carries out cell-wall cross-linking during cell division [31], and its inhibition by the antibiotic cephalixin blocks division and causes filamentation [32]. For both proteins, temperature-sensitive mutants have been isolated in which the proteins become functionally compromised and cells ultimately cannot grow at high temperatures (42˚C) [30, 32]. SiCTeC allowed us to shift these mutants from the lower, permissive temperature (30˚C) up to the nonpermissive temperature (42˚C) and to track the entire trajectory of morphological changes in individual cells; such single-cell investigations have been inaccessible using traditional techniques.

To verify single-cell phenotypes at nonpermissive temperatures, we grew temperature-sensitive PBP2ts (SP4500, Methods) and PBP3ts (JE7611) cells in lysogeny broth (LB) at 30˚C in liquid culture into log phase and then back-diluted the cultures and grew them at 42˚C in LB for 2 h before imaging them on agarose pads. PBP2ts cells were football-shaped (Fig 6A, left), and PBP3ts cells were filamentous (Fig 6A, right), as expected.

To monitor single-cell morphology during the upshift, we grew the PBPts mutants into steady state (Methods) in liquid LB at 30˚C, placed them on a 3% agarose pad, and shifted the temperature to 42˚C using SiCTeC (Fig 6B). In PBP3ts cells, division halted almost immediately, but cells continued to elongate (Fig 6C and 6D). Within 75 min after shifting to 42˚C, mean cell length increased nearly 4-fold (Fig 6E), while the growth rate was maintained (S4A Fig). Thus, the temperature shift inactivates PBP3 almost immediately without causing any obvious side effects at the cellular level.

On 3% agarose pads, PBP2ts cells remained approximately rod-shaped 40 min after the shift to 42˚C (Fig 6F, top). By 40 min, some cells had started to lyse (Fig 6F, top); lysis continued at 100 min, at which point cells were either small spheres or short rods (S4B Fig). These data suggested that growth on the 3% agarose pad unexpectedly altered the morphological trajectory and compromised the mechanical integrity of the cell wall. To test this hypothesis, we performed a similar experiment on 1.5% agarose pads, which have substantially lower mechanical stiffness [23]. In this case, we observed swelling of PBP2ts cells within 15 min after shifting to 42˚C, and the football-shaped phenotype was reached within 45 min without any signs of lysis (Fig 6F, bottom).

These results demonstrate that SiCTeC enables novel biological discoveries by providing information about single-cell dynamics that is inaccessible in bulk liquid-culture experiments.

**Discussion**

Here, we have demonstrated that a stage-top temperature controller for single-cell imaging at above-ambient temperatures can be assembled using low-cost and accessible components (S1 Table). SiCTeC can achieve relatively fast temperature increases (1–2 min; Fig 3D), and passive cooling is sufficiently fast for single-cell studies (4–5 min; Fig 5A and 5B). While the SiCTeC can in principle achieve much faster heating using more aggressive PID parameters, we found that melting of the pad and subsequent image plane and cell drifting made such conditions incompatible with traditional agarose pad-based single-cell imaging. To achieve faster cooling, the device would need to draw heat to maintain a temperature gradient (heatsink) and include
a method for quick heat dissipation (such as a fan). While we were able to incorporate both features in prototype devices, our studies indicate that the simpler and less complex device we have presented here is sufficient for studies of cell growth. A large heatsink with fan-assisted...
heat dissipation would potentially allow for stable below-ambient temperatures in future iterations of the device. Additionally, multiple thermistors at different locations across the sample could be used to assess and correct for fluctuations and spatial inhomogeneities in temperature, as has been done with other temperature devices [33].

Some commercial devices are capable of very short heating and cooling times (approximately 10 s) using a fluidic approach [33]. These devices are limited in agarose pad sample sizes, making long-term experiments difficult. Using large agarose pads, we can image for many hours on our device without drying or starvation. Furthermore, fluidic designs have a maximum temperature of 45°C [33], whereas SiCTeC can maintain extreme temperatures of nearly 90°C (Fig 4). The flexibility of our device in terms of programming near-arbitrary temperature dynamics is also unmatched. While other modified devices have recently been constructed to allow for imaging at extreme temperatures [34, 35], these instruments are more expensive than SiCTeC, highly customized, and limited to fluorescence imaging. Our device is entirely compatible with both brightfield and fluorescence imaging, which can be utilized to study protein localization and expression changes during temperature shifts as long as the temperature dependence of fluorescence is accounted for [36].

Perhaps most importantly, SiCTeC offers a highly affordable, flexible, reusable alternative that can be constructed by nearly any lab. Our device has many use cases, such as on-the-fly temperature changes and more complex, programmable temperature changes. Sample temperature can be varied in a stepwise fashion (Fig 3) or be driven in oscillations (Fig 5); although the consequences of both of these perturbations have yet to be fully explored, here we discovered that, during temperature oscillations, *E. coli* cells experience a much faster acceleration of growth during the second temperature upshift than during the first (Fig 5C). By employing open-source components and software, this design also allows for customizable and user-specific needs. Fundamentally, this design makes a minimal alteration to the traditional (and inexpensive) agarose pad technique familiar to microbiologists, with components collectively priced at less than $110 (S1 Table) and assembled in just a few hours.

For bacteria, temperature changes are unavoidable within a host (e.g., fever) and in the environment (diurnal cycles and climate change on short and long timescales, respectively). Yet we know little about how key processes such as bacterial responses to antibiotics depend on temperature. Tracking single-cell dynamics is often critical to understanding how cells respond to environmental perturbations. For instance, *E. coli* cells grow more slowly at steady state at high osmolarity yet maintain their growth rate immediately after an increase in medium osmolarity [37], demonstrating that turgor pressure does not determine growth rate (despite the decrease in steady-state growth rate as osmolarity increases). In this capacity, SiCTeC has the potential to spur future studies of the temperature dependence of cellular physiology in a wide variety of organisms. Agarose pads can be used to modify the nutrient and chemical environment, and the modification of pad stiffness provides the ability to examine the impact of mechanics on cellular responses to temperature changes. Temperature can even be used to modulate the stiffness of a hydrogel [38], enabling the study of how cells adapt to dynamic substrate mechanics. With a simple extension of our design, we anticipate that a similar Peltier-based strategy can be incorporated into a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microfluidic platform [39], enabling both long-term imaging (e.g., repeated oscillations) and chemical perturbations throughout a single temperature-shift experiment; for example, the Peltier module can be attached to the slide, as in SiCTeC, while the thermistor(s) can be attached to the bonded PDMS structure close to sample channels. The straightforward and inexpensive construction of our device should facilitate addressing many important questions across organisms that inhabit environments whose temperatures are well-regulated (e.g., the mammalian gut) and fluctuating (e.g., soil).
Methods

Strains and cell culture

The *E. coli* strains used in this study were MG1655, ftsI730 (JE7611, PBP3ts) [40], and *pbpA*45 (SP4500, PBP2ts) [41]. All experiments were carried out in rich medium (LB).

Wild-type cells were inoculated directly into LB from frozen stocks and grown overnight at 37˚C, then diluted 1:200 into fresh LB and grown for 2 h at the desired temperature. For temperature-shift experiments, cells were diluted 1:10 twice at the initial temperature to establish steady-state growth.

PBPts strains were grown from frozen stocks overnight at 30˚C, diluted 1:100 into fresh LB, and grown for 4 h (due to their slower growth rates). Cells were then diluted 1:10 twice to establish steady-state growth before shifting to the nonpermissive temperature.

Thermistor calibration

From 20˚C to 50˚C, thermistor temperature *T* is approximately related to the resistance *R* through the equation \(\frac{1}{T} = A + B \ln R\). Thus, we estimated *B* based on pairwise measurements at two temperatures *T*₁ and *T*₂ using the equation \(B = \ln \left( \frac{R(T_1)}{R(T_2)} \right) \left( \frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2} \right)\). The resistances at 4˚C, 22˚C, 30˚C, and 37˚C were 274, 114, 81, and 60 kΩ, respectively. The average estimate of *B* across all pairwise combinations was 3950˚C⁻¹, suggesting that the nominal resistance at 25˚C was close to 100 kΩ, in agreement with the manufacturer’s specifications (https://www.makeralot.com/download/Reprrap-Hotend-Thermistor-NTC-3950-100K.pdf). Measurements were in close agreement with a highly sensitive thermocouple (Omega Engineering, Norwalk, CT).

Sample preparation and imaging

LB-agarose pads were prepared by boiling 1.5% or 3% ultrapure agarose (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in LB, then pipetting 150 μL of liquid agarose medium into a silicone gasket (Grace Bio-Labs, Bend, OR) on a glass slide, which was compressed with another glass slide. The pad was allowed to solidify at the initial temperature for shift experiments. Typically, the microscope was kept at the initial temperature using a temperature-controlled microscope enclosure (Haison Technology, Taipei, Taiwan).

One microliter of cells at the initial temperature was placed onto the center of the pad and allowed to dry at the initial temperature before placing a coverslip on the pad. The thermistor was sealed on the coverslip with thermal tape. The device was placed onto the microscope slide mount, and leads from the electrical control components were attached. During time-lapse imaging, the temperature readout and PWM signal were visualized in real time using the open-source software Processing version 3.5.4. All relevant data from the device were saved as a .csv file upon exit from the visualization tool.

Samples were imaged in phase-contrast using a Ti-Eclipse stand (Nikon Instruments) with a 40X Ph2 air objective (NA: 0.95) (Nikon Instruments, Tokyo, Japan) along with a 1.5X tube lens, or a 100X Ph3 oil-immersion objective (NA: 1.45) (Nikon Instruments, Tokyo, Japan). Images were acquired using a Zyla 4.2 sCMOS camera (Andor Technology, Belfast, UK); time-lapse images were acquired every 30 s. The microscope system was integrated using μManager version 1.41 (https://micro-manager.org/) [42].

Image analysis

To account for the drift that can occur during temperature shifts, time-lapse data were aligned using the Template-Matching plugin [43] in ImageJ (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) [44]. These
aligned images were segmented by the deep neural network-based machine learning framework DeepCell (https://github.com/vanvalenlab/deepcell-tf) [45]. To train the network, approximately 200 cells were manually outlined to produce a training dataset for our specific imaging conditions. Trained networks were used to generate binary images for feature (extracellular/cell perimeter/cytoplasm) identification. These images were used as the input for gradient segmentation in Morphometrics version 1.1 (https://simtk.org/projects/morphometrics) [46] to define cell contours at subpixel resolution. Custom MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA) scripts were used to track individual cells, and to measure the centerline of rod-shaped cells to calculate cellular geometries [47]. Growth rate was defined as the time derivative of the logarithm of cell length, and growth-rate trajectories were binned to show population-average behaviors.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Components of the SiCTeC device. The total bulk cost is $145.16, and the total itemized cost is $105.97 (excluding the optional plastic enclosure). All prices are in US dollars. SiCTeC, Single-Cell Temperature Controller.

S2 Table. List of PID parameters optimized for imaging stability. The equation for PWM is as follows: 

$$\text{PWM} = K_p e(t) + \int K_i e(t) dt + K_d \frac{de}{dt}$$

in which 

$$e(t) = T_{\text{setpoint}} - T(t)$$

is the temperature difference from the set point. PID, proportional-integral-derivative; PWM, pulse-width-modulation.

S1 Fig. SiCTeC enables real-time temperature tracking. (A) Enclosed electrical components assembled into the temperature-control system. (B) Simultaneous imaging in μManager (right window) and temperature readout with the open-source software Processing (left window). SiCTeC, Single-Cell Temperature Controller.

S2 Fig. Measurements of *E. coli* cellular dimensions are similar between 40X air and 100X oil-immersion objectives. The same field of wild-type *E. coli* cells was imaged with 100X oil-immersion and 40X air objectives (*n* = 12 cells). (A) Measurements of cell width using 40X and 100X objectives were strongly correlated. Black line is *y* = *x*. *r* is Pearson’s correlation coefficient. The data underlying this figure can be found in file 11 in the Stanford Data Repository at https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308. (B) Measurements of cell length using 40X and 100X objectives were nearly perfectly correlated. Black line is *y* = *x*. *r* is Pearson’s correlation coefficient. The data underlying this figure can be found in file 11 in the Stanford Data Repository at https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308. (C) The uncertainty in individual width measurements with 40X and 100X objectives, defined as the mean absolute difference between the local width and the median width for each cell. Black-filled markers indicate mean values across cells. The data underlying this figure can be found in file 12 in the Stanford Data Repository at https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308.

S3 Fig. PWM signals actively control temperature in the SiCTeC device. (A) The PWM signal during the 24-h experiment in which temperature was maintained at 37˚C in Fig 3A. Ambient temperature was set to 25˚C using the microscope temperature enclosure. The dashed line at PWM = 50 is the maximum signal delivered to SiCTeC (limited out of a possible 255). The data underlying this figure can be found in file 13 in the Stanford Data Repository at
https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308. (B) The PWM signal during the experiment in which temperature was cycled between 30˚C and 37˚C in Fig 5A. Ambient temperature was set to 30˚C (PWM = 0) using the microscope temperature enclosure. The data underlying this figure can be found in file 14 in the Stanford Data Repository at https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308. PWM, pulse-width-modulation; SiCTeC, Single-Cell Temperature Controller.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. Shift to nonpermissive temperature reveals the role of hydrogel stiffness in cell morphology and viability. (A) PBP3ts cells maintained their growth rate throughout a temperature shift from 30˚C to 42˚C. Shaded error bars represent ±1 standard deviation (n = 102 cells). The data underlying this figure can be found in file 15 in the Stanford Data Repository at https://purl.stanford.edu/cy453zg4308. (B) After 100 min at 42˚C, PBP2ts cells growing on 3% agarose pads were round or short rods. Arrows indicate lysed cells. Scale bar: 8 μm. PBP, penicillin-binding protein; ts, temperature sensitive.

(TIF)
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