Sublethal Effects of Crude Oil and Chemical Dispersants on Multiple Life History Stages of the Eastern Oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*
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Abstract: The eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* is an ecologically and economically important species that is vulnerable to oil pollution. We assessed sublethal effects of soluble fractions of crude oil alone (WAF) and crude oil in combination with Corexit 9500 dispersant (CEWAF) on oysters at three life history stages. Veliger swimming, pediveliger settlement, and adult clearance rates were quantified after 24 h exposures to the contaminants. Veliger swimming speeds were not significantly impacted by 24 h exposures to WAF or CEWAF. A larger proportion of veligers were inactive following WAF and CEWAF exposure as compared to the control, but the effect was greater for pediveligers, and pediveliger settlement in the highest concentration CEWAF treatment decreased by 50% compared to controls. Thus, pediveligers may be particularly vulnerable to oil exposure. In the adults, we found significant clearance rates reductions that persisted 33 days after acute exposure to CEWAF. Knowledge of sublethal effects of oil and dispersant at multiple life history stages aids understanding of how this important species will respond to an oil spill.
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1. Introduction

Offshore oil spills, such as the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) spill that occurred from 20 April to 15 July of 2010 in the Gulf of Mexico, have wide ranging impacts. Due to the semi-enclosed nature of the Gulf of Mexico and prevailing currents, surface and subsurface oil were distributed over large distances and impacted over 2100 km of coastline [1,2]. During the DWH spill, an estimated $7.2 \times 10^6$ L of chemical dispersants (Corexit 9500 and Corexit 9527) was employed as traditional surface applications as well as $2 \times 10^6$ L subsurface at the well-head [1,3]. These powerful surfactants alter the chemical and physical components of oil, reducing the surface tension between oil and water, and allow the oil to be emulsified and dispersed in the water column more easily with sufficient wave energy [4]. While these methods enhance the effectiveness of oil dispersal, they also increase the persistence of soluble crude oil fractions, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), in the water column [5,6]. Once emulsified, the small droplets are unable to re-coalesce, increasing interactions of microdroplets with planktonic organisms or sessile organisms that feed on small particulates [1].

Sessile species are particularly at risk for exposure during an oil spill. One such species is the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*). These animals live within shallow subtidal and low intertidal coastal zones [7], making them vulnerable to oiling during a spill. Oysters are typically found in large, structurally complex reefs [8] that provide hard substrate for recruitment [9] and increase biodiversity by offering habitat for many other organisms [10]. Oysters and other bivalves are an important link in...
estuarine food webs and are consumed by resident crab, fish, and bird species [11–13]. In addition to the oyster’s ecological contributions, they are an important economic driver for coastal communities, with annual landings in the United States of approximately $17.3 million [1,14]. The ecological and economic value of oysters underlies the importance of understanding the effect of oil pollution on this species.

The DWH blowout coincided with the *C. virginica*’s spawning season in the northern Gulf of Mexico [15–17], suggesting multiple life history stages may have been affected. Like other bivalves, *C. virginica* has a planktonic larval veliger stage that transitions to a pediveliger stage, which settles and metamorphoses into a sessile adult oyster [18]. As adults, oysters are effective filter feeders that remove plankton and suspended sediments from the water column, improving water clarity and quality [19,20]. Compared to adults, early developmental stages of marine invertebrates are generally more sensitive to crude oil exposure [21]. Exposure to oil pollution can cause a variety of adverse effects in larvae, including narcosis [21] and decreased growth [15,16,22–24]. Additionally, the presence of chemical dispersants has been found to increase toxicity up to 52-fold [25]. Sublethal exposures to PAHs have also been shown to have metabolic costs for adult bivalves [26].

While a number of studies have examined effects of crude oil and/or chemical dispersant exposure on oyster larvae [15,16,27–31], many of these focused on developmental effects related to early exposures shortly after fertilization. In this study, we quantify behavioral effects of sublethal effects of crude oil with and without chemical dispersant on the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) at multiple life history stages (from veliger to adult) immediately after exposure at discrete life history stages with environmentally realistic oil concentrations. We also explore the impact on adult feeding rates up to 30 days after acute exposures.

Here we address the effects of acute exposure to ecologically relevant concentrations of crude oil water accommodated fractions (WAF) and chemically enhanced water accommodated fractions (CEWAF) on: (1) veliger swimming kinematics (cruising speed, sinking speed, and total distance traveled), (2) pediveliger settlement, and (3) adult clearance rates. Results from this study will aid in our understanding of the biological impacts of crude oil pollution on an ecologically and economically important species, and may help to inform response decisions in the event of a future spill.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Preparation of WAF and CEWAF

All exposures used a Louisiana Light Sweet Crude oil that is a surrogate for the Macondo (MC252) crude oil released in the DWH oil spill [22,32]. PAH concentrations for each exposure were calculated from loading rates based on a previously published chemical analysis of the same stock ([22], Table 1). Corexit 9500A (Nalco/Exxon Energy Chemicals, L.P., Naperville, IL USA) was used as the chemical dispersant, as it was the primary dispersant used during remediation operations during the DWH oil spill. All experiments used artificial seawater (ASW), prepared at salinity 20 in deionized water (Instant Ocean).

### Table 1. Crude oil concentrations in µL L⁻¹ and mg L⁻¹ and PAH concentrations in µg L⁻¹ for each exposure, modified from Almeda et al. 2013 [22]. Abbreviations: Naphthalene (Nap), acenaphthene (Ace), acenaphthylene (Acy), fluorene (Flu), phenanthrene (Phe), anthracene (An), fluoranthene (Flua), pyrene (Pyr), benzo[a]anthracene (BaA), chrysene (Chr), benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF).

| [Crude Oil] [µL L⁻¹] | [PAH] µg L⁻¹ | Nap | Ace | Acy | Flu | Phe | An | Flua | Pyr | BaA | Chr | BbF |
|----------------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 10                   | 8.5          | 8.45| 0.85| 0.14| 2.82| 6.08| 0.08| 0.15| 0.31| 0.14| 1.94| 0.2 |
| 25                   | 21.1         | 21.12| 2.14| 0.35| 7.06| 15.21| 0.2 | 0.38| 0.77| 0.35| 4.85| 0.49|
| 50                   | 42.3         | 42.23| 4.27| 0.7 | 14.11| 30.42| 0.4 | 0.76| 1.54| 0.7 | 9.7 | 0.98|
| 100                  | 84.5         | 84.46| 8.54| 1.4 | 28.23| 60.83| 0.8 | 1.53| 3.08| 1.4 | 19.39| 1.96|
Water accommodated fraction (WAF) and chemically enhanced water accommodated fraction (CEWAF) treatments were prepared according to the Chemical Response to Oil Spills: Ecological Effects Research Forum (CROSERF) procedure [33]. For each treatment, three liters of ASW was circulated in a 4 L glass beaker on a stir plate using a magnetic stir bar. Treatments were brought to a vortex 25% of the depth of the beaker, and crude oil and chemical dispersant were added to produce the desired concentrations. The solution was allowed to mix at room temperature for 21 h and given 3 h to settle undisturbed [34–37]. For both WAF and CEWAF treatments, only the supernatant was used in experiments.

Exposures ranged from 10 to 100 µL of crude oil per liter of water. These values are within the recorded range of crude oil concentrations (up to 710 µL L⁻¹) detected historically in the water column 24 h after an oil spill [6,38]. Additionally, these concentrations are considered sublethal because they are well below the lethal concentrations (215–1300 µL L⁻¹) detected for pediveligers after 24 h of exposure [29]. For CEWAF treatments, Corexit was added at a 20:1 oil to dispersant ratio, as recommended by the manufacturer.

2.2. Veliger Swimming

*Crassostrea virginica* larvae (6–8 days old) were obtained from Southern Cross aquaculture facility in Cedar Key, FL, USA and immediately transported back to the laboratory where they were maintained in two 20-L aquaria, fed with *Isochrysis galbana*, and gently aerated. Larvae were examined under a stereo microscope to ensure mobility prior to use in experiments. Two hundred veligers of similar size (~55 µm) were selected using a stereo microscope and transferred into 1 L glass bottles for experiments. Three replicates of each treatment were performed. Veligers were exposed for 24 h to WAF, CEWAF, or ASW then collected from each bottle by gently filtering through a 41-µm mesh sieve and placed in a petri dish for analysis under a stereo microscope. Crude oil concentrations in the WAF and CEWAF treatments were 10, 50, and 100 µL L⁻¹.

The number of recovered veligers per bottle was recorded and each was designated as active or inactive. A veliger was counted as active if it was swimming and inactive if it appeared to be non-responsive but still had an active heartbeat, as in Laramore et al. 2014 [29].

Active veligers from each condition were transferred to a glass filming cuvette (45 × 12.5 × 52.5 mm) containing clean ASW. A high-resolution camera (Edgartronic SC1) was used to record veliger swimming, and illumination was provided by infrared (808 nm) LED lighting in an otherwise unlit room so as not to influence the swimming behavior of these phototactic organisms. Each experimental vessel was filmed for a total of 60 s at a frame rate of 60 frames per second. Analysis of video recordings were performed using ImageJ software. The individual trajectories of swimming veligers (n = 11–59 per treatment) were measured and the mean cruising speed, sinking speed, and total displacement of identified trajectories were calculated using MATLAB. Cruising was defined as an instantaneous velocity < 2.0 mm s⁻¹, sinking was defined as an instantaneous velocity ≥ 2.0 mm s⁻¹, and total displacement included all displacement regardless of activity.

2.3. Pediveliger Settlement

Competent eyed pediveliger *C. virginica* larvae (18–21 days old) were obtained from Research Aquaculture Inc. in Tequesta, FL, USA and immediately transported back to the laboratory. Pediveligers were given 24 h to acclimate prior to experimentation. Two hundred pediveligers of similar size (~200 µm) were selected and transferred via soft pipette into 1 L glass bottles for experimental trials. Three replicates of each treatment were performed.

Pediveligers were exposed for 24 h to WAF, CWAF, or ASW, then gently collected onto a 320-µm mesh sieve and placed in a petri dish for analysis under a stereo microscope to determine whether each was active or inactive. Crude oil concentrations in the WAF and CEWAF treatments were 10 and 50 µL L⁻¹. Active (swimming) individuals were then transferred to 20 L aquaria filled with 1.5 L of clean, artificial seawater. Ceramic tiles were placed on the bottom of the aquaria to allow a hard
substrate for settlement. Larvae were gently aerated for 48 h to provide time for settlement. *Isochrysis galbana* (approximately 200 cells mL$^{-1}$) was added daily as a food source. After 48 h, pediveligers were filtered out with a 320 µm mesh sieve and analyzed. The number of settled spat on each surface was recorded, as well as the numbers of swimming and inactive individuals remaining in each trial.

2.4. Adult Clearance Rate

Adult oysters were collected from Upper Tampa Bay Park in Tampa, FL, USA. Before experimentation, all algae, sediment, epiphytes, and fouling organisms (e.g., barnacles and other small oysters) were removed from the exterior of the shells. Oysters were given 24 h to acclimate to laboratory conditions before exposure to treatments.

For short-term (24 h) experiments, eighteen oysters of similar shell length (shell length mean 60, s.d. 12 mm; $n = 6$ per treatment) were chosen. Animals were exposed to WAF, CEWAF, or ASW for 24 h. Crude oil concentrations in the WAF and CEWAF treatments were 25, 50, and 100 µL L$^{-1}$. After exposure, clearance rate tests were performed in either clean seawater or in the same water conditions as the 24 h exposure treatments.

Additionally, a longer-term study was conducted over 33 days after an acute 24-h exposure to determine rates of recovery from sublethal exposures. The crude oil concentration in this experiment was 100 µL L$^{-1}$. Eighteen oysters (shell length mean 71, s.d. 8 mm; $n = 6$ per treatment) were exposed for 24 h to WAF, CEWAF, or ASW (control treatment), then marked and maintained together in clean ASW (salinity 20) in a 38 L aquarium, with recirculating filtration for the recovery portion of the experiment. *Isochrysis galbana* (approximately 1000 cells mL$^{-1}$) was added daily as a food source. Clearance rate measurements were conducted on the same individuals in filtered seawater at 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 19, and 33 days after the initial exposure.

Clearance rate measurements were conducted in 1.5 L Carolina dishes with one oyster per dish. Oysters were allowed to acclimate for 30 min prior to the introduction of the microalgal food, as in Dupuy et al. 1999 [39]. *Isochrysis galbana* was introduced at approximately 1000 cells mL$^{-1}$ and water aliquots of 5 mL were taken from each dish at 0 and 30 min. Aliquots were preserved in a 5% Lugol’s Iodine solution for quantification. Oyster shell lengths were measured. Oysters were then shucked, internal tissues were removed, and wet tissue weights were recorded. Samples were transferred to a drying oven and allowed to dry for 36 h at 100 °C after which crucibles containing tissues were weighed and dry tissue weights calculated [40].

Cell counts of preserved *Isochrysis galbana* samples were completed using a 1 mL Sedgewick Rafter counting chamber. The size independent clearance rate ($F_{NW}$, L h$^{-1}$) was calculated with the following equation:

$$F_{NW} = \frac{\ln C_0 - \ln C_t}{t - t_0} \times V$$

The initial ($C_0$) and final ($C_t$) concentration are recorded in number of cells per liter. The volume of suspension ($V$) for experiments was 1.3 L and the amount of elapsed time ($t - t_0$) was recorded in hours. Since the above clearance rate value ($F_{NW}$) does not standardize the rates based on oyster size or weight, an additional calculation was required. Using dry weight, $W$, and a standardized constant used for clearance rate calculations, $b = 0.73$ for the eastern oyster [41], a size specific clearance rate ($F_{WW}$) was calculated for each condition and time point using the formula [39]:

$$F_{WW} = \frac{F_{NW}}{W^b}$$

2.5. Statistical Analyses

Statistical comparisons were made using one-way ANOVAs with Holm-Sidak Pairwise Test comparisons or a Student’s t-test when only two groups were considered. In cases where only differences between controls and experimental groups were considered, the results of the Holm-Sidak
Pairwise test are presented. Data from the long-term adult clearance rate experiment were tested using a two-way ANOVA. Significant differences were considered at $\alpha = 0.05$. Normality of the data was confirmed using Shapiro–Wilks tests, and equal variance was confirmed using the Brown–Forsyth test.

3. Results

3.1. Veliger Swimming

Kinematic measurements on veligers revealed that exposure to environmentally relevant concentrations of soluble fractions of crude oil and Corexit 9500 had little impact on swimming capabilities after 24 h. Oyster veligers undergo an alternating swim-sink activity pattern where these periods are distinctive from each other, differing greatly in speed. Sinking occurred at a magnitude approximately six-fold higher than swimming. Mean cruising speed of the control group ($0.467 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$ s.d. $0.232$, $n = 57$) was not significantly different from any of the other treatment groups (one-way ANOVA, $p = 0.06$; Figure 1A). Likewise for mean sinking speed, while the result of the one-way ANOVA was significant ($p = 0.009$) indicating significant differences between groups, there were no significant differences between the control group ($2.802 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$ s.d. $0.704$, $n = 46$) and any of the other tested treatments (Figure 1B). The proportion of time that veligers spent cruising versus sinking also did not vary significantly between any of the experimental treatments. As a result, no significant differences in total displacement of swimming larvae were observed (one-way ANOVA, $p = 0.09$; Figure 1C).
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Figure 1. Mean (A) cruise speed (B) sink speed and (C) total displacement traveled of veligers ($n \geq 30$ per treatment) after 24 h exposure to varying concentrations of WAF and CEWAF. An organism was considered cruising if its instantaneous velocity was $<2.0 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$ and sinking if its instantaneous velocity was $>2.0 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$. Error bars represent standard deviations.

3.2. WAF/CEWAF Induced Inactivity

Exposure to environmentally relevant concentrations of soluble fractions of crude oil (WAF) and Corexit 9500 (CEWAF) reduced activity of late-stage competent pediveligers more than early-stage veligers (Figure 2). The percentage of inactive veligers was expectedly low in the control treatments at 1.10% (s.d. 1.00, $n = 3$), but the mean inactivity was nearly an order of magnitude higher in the pediveliger control treatments at 9.60% (s.d. 2.30, $n = 3$). Inactivity in both developmental stages increased with WAF concentration, but the effect appeared to be more pronounced in pediveligers. This was evidenced by the fact that a one-way ANOVA ($p < 0.01$) showed significantly more inactive individuals than the control in all treatments ($p < 0.01$) at the pediveliger stage (Figure 2B), but a significant difference in inactivity was not observed at concentrations below 50 µL L$^{-1}$ WAF at the veliger stage (ANOVA, $p = 0.041$; Figure 2A). It should also be noted that in all comparable treatments (control, 10 and 50 µL L$^{-1}$ WAF and CEWAF), the inactivity of pediveligers was higher than that of the veligers.
3.3. Pediveliger Settlement

We observed the highest proportion of settlement in control and 10 µL L\(^{-1}\) WAF treatments, where mean settlement was 52.1\% (s.d. 1.62, \(n = 3\)) and 51.4\% (s.d. 5.56, \(n = 3\)), respectively. Results of one-way ANOVA (\(p = 0.023\)) indicated significantly lower sinking percentages in the 10 µL L\(^{-1}\) CEWAF treatment (\(p = 0.035\)) and the 50 µL L\(^{-1}\) WAF (\(p < 0.001\)) and CEWAF (\(p < 0.001\)) treatments (Figure 3).
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**Figure 2.** Mean percentage of (A) veliger (\(n = 3\) per treatment) and (B) pediveliger (\(n = 3\) per treatment) inactivity observed after 24 h exposures to varying concentrations of WAF and CEWAF. An organism was considered inactive if it was not swimming but had an active heartbeat. Error bars represent standard deviations. Stars (*) denote significant differences between treatments and the control.

3.4. Short-Term Adult Clearance Rates

When clearance rates were measured in the same oil/dispersant conditions in which the oysters had been exposed for 24 h, we generally observed a decrease in clearance rates for adult oysters compared to controls (Figure 4A). The clearance rate of WAF exposed oysters significantly decreased...
(ANOVA, \( p = 0.013 \)) only at an oil concentration of 100 \( \mu L \, L^{-1} \). Here the clearance rates went from 3.31 \( L \, h^{-1} \, g^{-1} \) (s.d. 0.90, \( n = 6 \)) in control treatments to 2.01 \( L \, h^{-1} \, g^{-1} \) (s.d. 0.56, \( n = 6 \)) in the WAF treatment. When chemical dispersant was added (CEWAF), significant decreases (ANOVA, \( p = 0.025 \)) in clearance rates were observed at both 50 and 100 \( \mu L \, L^{-1} \) oil concentrations (Figure 4A). However, the clearance rates of WAF and CEWAF exposed oysters were not significantly different from each other at any of the concentrations tested (ANOVA, \( p = 0.312 \)).

3.4. Short-Term Adult Clearance Rates

When clearance rates were measured in clean seawater (no oil or dispersants) after a 24 h exposure, we generally observed no change or an increase in clearance rates compared to controls (Figure 4B). No significant differences in mean clearance rate were observed between control oysters and those exposed to WAF conditions at any of the concentrations tested (ANOVA, \( p = 0.287 \)). After exposure to CEWAF conditions and subsequent feeding in clean seawater, the mean clearance rates increased at all tested concentrations relative to controls but was only significantly higher at a 50 \( \mu L \, L^{-1} \) oil concentration (ANOVA, \( p = 0.006 \); Figure 4B). Here, control treatment clearance rates were 3.17 \( L \, h^{-1} \, g^{-1} \), (s.d. 0.889, \( n = 6 \)) and the 50 \( \mu L \, L^{-1} \) CEWAF clearance rates 5.77 \( L \, h^{-1} \, g^{-1} \) (s.d. 1.63, \( n = 6 \)).

3.5. Long-Term Adult Clearance Rates

To assess the extended impact of short-term oil/dispersant exposure on adult oysters, an additional experiment was performed using an oil concentration of 100 \( \mu L \, L^{-1} \). A two-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of treatment (\( p < 0.001 \)) and a significant interaction between day and treatment (\( p = 0.01 \)) but no significant effect of day (\( p = 0.14 \)). The clearance rates of WAF exposed oysters had overall slightly lower mean values than control oysters, but over the 33-day recovery period the means differed only on day 2 (\( p = 0.045 \); Figure 5A).
After 33 days, the depressed clearance rates of the CEWAF exposed oysters were significantly lower after day 5 of the experimental trial ($p = 0.004, 0.044$, and $0.001$ for days 12, 19, and 33; Figure 5B). After 33 days, the depressed clearance rates of the CEWAF exposed oysters showed no sign of recovery with CEWAF exposed oysters clearing less than three times the water volume of control oysters (Figure 5B).

4. Discussion

4.1. Veliger and Pediveliger Inactivity

In this study, we found that the younger veliger stage had a smaller proportion of inactive individuals than the more developed pediveliger stages after exposure to WAF or CEWAF (Figure 2). These results differ from the general consensus in the literature where early life history stages display greater mortality/inactivity to pollutants compared to later staged organisms [21,22,41–43] and suggest that greater attention should be paid to later developmental stages in oysters. Several studies have investigated effects of oil and dispersant exposures on *C. virginica* at different larval stages [15,16,28–32]. However, in most of these studies regardless of the larval stage examined exposures began shortly after fertilization (4–48 h) [15,30–32], making it difficult to assess the sensitivity of a given larval stage due to the confounding effects, such as variable exposure duration and lingering effects from exposure at earlier life stages. One study by Vignier et al. found increased mortality, slower shell growth, and reduced settlement following 72–96 h exposures of veliger and pediveliger *C. virginica* larvae to WAF, CEWAF, and WAF produced with Corexit alone [16]. They concluded that all larval stages were affected by exposures, though veligers were somewhat more sensitive than pediveligers. Laramore et al. found that mortality increased during long-term WAF exposures (up to 96 h) of early but not late-stage *C. virginica* veligers but that long-term CEWAF exposure increased mortality for both stages [29]. Discrepancies between our results and those of Vignier et al. and Laramore et al. may be due in part to differences in exposure methods. For example, the concentrations of the WAFs used in this study (8.5–84.5 mg L$^{-1}$) were generally lower than those used by Vignier et al. (62.5–2000 mg L$^{-1}$) and Laramore et al. (100–1200 mg L$^{-1}$), and Vignier et al. used a high-energy water accommodated fraction (HEWAF) preparation method and performed exposures for 96 h as compared to our 24 h exposures. Sublethal effects, such as decreased growth rates, have been recorded for larval oysters that were exposed at earlier life stages to oil pollution for longer durations (48–96 h) than those in this study [15,16,29–31,44,45].
Although we observed no mortality in this study (all inactive larvae had active heartbeats), inactivity, even if just for a short time, can quickly lead to death if an individual sinks out of the water column or is otherwise unable to perform functions required for survival. Based on this result, it is apparent that the timing of oil exposure relative to life history stage is a critical factor affecting potential impacts on larval populations. Given that 50% of the exposed pediveligers were inactive after their settlement period (Figure 2B), oil pollution may potentially induce a bottleneck effect on oyster populations if this developmental stage is abundant during a significant oiling event. It is important to note that while many larval oysters do not become inactive after brief exposure to sublethal concentrations of crude oil or crude oil with chemical dispersants, surviving pediveligers may still be at an enhanced risk of death. This is because oil pollution can delay metamorphosis and ultimately extend the planktonic stage [46].

4.2. Veliger Swimming

For planktotrophic larvae like oysters, effective swimming and other coordinated appendage movements are critical for successfully feeding, avoiding predators, and seeking suitable habitats for settlement. Exposure to oil pollution at concentrations lower than those tested in this experiment showed strong negative effects on swimming performance and growth of many zooplankton species, such as copepods [47,48] and barnacle larvae [49,50]. For example, copepods exposed to dispersed crude oil at concentrations as low as 1 µL L\(^{-1}\) for 48 h experienced significant declines in growth and swimming speeds [47] and showed signs of narcosis and loss of balance after WAF exposure [47,50,51]. Reductions in phytoplankton consumption and fecal pellet production have been observed for copepods exposed to crude oil [52,53], in which reduced swimming lowers prey encounter rates [54]. Oyster veligers appeared to be quite resistant to acute exposure of soluble fractions of crude oil and chemical dispersant, as we found no significant differences in swimming behavior (Figure 1). This is consistent with other bivalve species, which have been found to be less vulnerable than other taxa to sublethal concentrations of oil pollution [30].

Given that no significant differences in cruising or sinking speeds were detected between control and oil exposed veligers (Figure 1A,B) after 24 h exposures to a wide array of crude oil concentrations (10–100 µL L\(^{-1}\)), individuals able to withstand initial non-lethal doses of WAF or CEWAF would likely be able to orient in the water column [55], to feed normally, and to develop to competency. This is supported by a study in which Laramore et al. exposed eastern oyster veligers to a sublethal CEWAF concentration (20 µL L\(^{-1}\)) for 24 h then monitored growth for 3 weeks in clean water [29]. The results indicated that there were no severe impacts on development. However, following exposure to pollutants, some oyster veligers have been observed to show evidence of modified behaviors, such as quickly retracting their velum, which causes their valves to close and results in a dramatic sinking behavior [56]. In some cases, the valves shut before the velum had completely retracted, resulting in an exposed and protruding velum, which could lead to long-term reductions in feeding efficiency [16,56].

4.3. Pediveliger Settlement

Although indicators of larval health can be observed by examining growth, development, or activity measurements, Langdon et al. argued settlement success was a better indicator of potential stress of meroplankton because it involves a critically and energetically costly metamorphic change [31]. The irreversible process of settlement for bivalves involves ending the planktonic life cycle phase and transitioning into a sessile adult. Functionality at the pediveliger stage is particularly important because pediveligers must actively respond to settlement cues in order to seek suitable benthic habitats [57]. During this period, both internal and external morphological alterations occur as crucial organs are rearranged for life as a sessile adult [58]. Given the numerous and complex modifications that occur, crude oil pollution has the potential to disrupt a number of physiological processes required for successful metamorphosis. Competent pediveligers exposed to oil pollution for 24 h in this study had significantly reduced settlement compared to control pediveligers (Figure 3). Decreased settlement has
also been observed in pediveligers when exposed to WAF and CEWAF for 72 h [16], but here we show that negative effects can occur at lower concentrations and shorter exposure durations. We observed that increasing concentrations of crude oil caused a stronger negative effect on settlement success of pediveligers but did not find an additional synergistic effect with the addition of chemical dispersant (Figure 3). This result suggests larval oysters may not be as susceptible as other marine invertebrates to the strong increase in toxicological effects when dispersant is added to crude oil [25].

Another potential inhibitor to settlement success in the natural environment (not tested in this study) is the presence of an oily film on oyster shells. Previous studies found that shells with an oil film were not suitable for pediveliger settlement and resulted in decreased spat abundance [59]. Given the location of most oyster reefs in shallow subtidal and low intertidal zones, the potential for oiling of the substrate as well as the water column may result in a stronger negative affect on larval settlement than indicated in this study. Large reductions in spat recruitment could ultimately lead to a decrease in adult populations.

4.4. Adult Clearance Rates

Adult oysters, like other sessile animals, cannot move away from a toxicant and are thus especially vulnerable to crude oil pollution. When feeding experiments were conducted in the same oiled conditions as the initial exposure, we found that clearance rates of individuals exposed for 24 h to WAF or CEWAF were significantly lower than those for individuals in the control (Figure 4A). Previous studies have reported reduced feeding rates for bivalves exposed to crude oil and its byproducts [26,60–62]. Axiak and George [60] attributed decreases in clearance rates following exposure to WAF to decreased pumping by the lateral cilia. In addition, mussels exposed to crude oil have been shown to have reduced valve gape and to spend more time closed than control mussels [63].

Results of the 33-day exposure experiment suggest that there may be lingering effects after acute exposures that are not detected after only 24 h. Oysters exposed to a combination of crude oil and chemical dispersant did not recover to clearance rates comparable to controls after 33 days, even when maintained continuously in clean seawater (Figure 5B). In contrast, oysters exposed to only crude oil did not exhibit a difference in clearance rates after the 33-day trial (Figure 5A). These results appear to suggest a synergistic effect of crude oil and chemical dispersants. The presence of chemical dispersants has been shown to increase the solubility [23,64] and bioavailability [65,66] of PAHs and to increase the proportion of high molecular weight PAHs in solution [23,64]. High molecular weight PAHs are more toxic and persistent than lower molecular weight PAHs [23] and have been shown to reduce clearance rates at lower concentrations [61]. PAHs can be retained in the lipid pools of internal tissues up to 2 months after exposure [67], which may help to explain our observation of reduced clearance rates 33 days after an acute CEWAF exposure. Additional clearance rate studies may ultimately be required to determine if recovery is possible for oysters if given longer recovery times.

In order to determine if the decreased feeding response was due to the presence of WAF or CEWAF in the water column itself, adult oysters were also fed in clean seawater after an initial 24 h exposure to soluble fractions of crude oil and chemical dispersant. When oysters were allowed to feed in clean seawater conditions after their initial oil exposure, they exhibited clearance rates similar to or somewhat higher than those of the controls (Figure 4B). This scenario represents a situation where an oil spill occurs then is rapidly diluted due to tidal and/or wind driven mixing. An increase in clearance rates after oil exposure, such as observed here for the 50 µL L⁻¹ (and possibly the 100 µL L⁻¹) CEWAF treatments, has been attributed to compensation for decreased feeding performed in the presence of oil [26].

5. Conclusions

The present study examined sublethal effects, which occur at various life history stages, on the eastern oyster and provided insights into potential impacts on oyster populations after an oil spill. No significant negative impacts to oyster veliger swimming kinematics were observed. However, there was a significant decrease in settlement of pediveligers exposed to realistic concentrations of
soluble fractions of crude oil and chemical dispersant. The result that late-stage oyster pediveligers were more strongly impacted than early-stage veligers is contrary to the findings of many other studies that suggest the earliest life history stages are most susceptible. It is thus important to consider that in oysters the effects of oil exposure may not be manifested until a later developmental stages when metamorphosis should occur. Events that cause substantial reduction in late staged larvae may cause a bottleneck effect for future oyster populations.

Adult oysters can be used as a bio-indicator for the health and recovery of estuarine ecosystems after an oil spill. Non-invasive measurements such as clearance rates can help signify if adult oysters have recovered from oil pollution. Impacts to adult populations can also influence the reproductive output available to support future generations. Reduced clearance rates due to the addition of chemical dispersants may have negative impacts on the surrounding marine environment. After acute exposures to crude oil and chemical dispersants adult *C. virginica* can exhibit lasting effects on feeding performance. Such results should be considered by emergency responders to oil spills in order to minimize impacts to both future adult oyster populations and the marine environment. The consequences of reduced oyster populations after an oil spill can severely impact economically and ecologically significant coastal ecosystems.
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