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Abstract: Tungsten (W) as a structural component has grown roots in many special applications owing to its radiation-shielding capabilities and its properties at elevated temperatures. The high ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) and the very high melting point of tungsten however have limited its processability to certain technologies such as powder metallurgy. Laser powder bed fusion (LPBF) has been introduced in recent years as an alternative for manufacturing tungsten parts to overcome the design limitations posed by powder metallurgy technology. A review of the literature shows significant improvements in the quality of tungsten components produced by LPBF, implying a strong potential for manufacturing tungsten with this technology and a need for further research on this subject. This review paper presents the current state-of-the-art in LPBF of unalloyed tungsten, with a focus on the effect of process parameters on the developed structure/properties and identifies current knowledge gaps.
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1. Introduction

Tungsten (W) in its unalloyed form has been used as a structural component in a variety of applications including fusion reactors, heat exchangers, rocket engines, blast furnaces, and ammunition [1–9]. The unique properties of unalloyed tungsten including its exceptionally high melting temperature (~3422 °C), good thermal conductivity (~173 W/mK), and low thermal expansion coefficient (~4.9 µm/mK) are of significant importance for the mentioned applications [10,11]. Tungsten is also a very dense metal (~19.3 g/cm³) with X-ray attenuation characteristics that are comparable to lead (Pb) [12,13], thus making it useful as an electron target in x-ray tubes, collimators in radiosurgery, anti-scatter grids in CT scanners, and radiation shields in nuclear applications [14–18].

Bulk tungsten parts have conventionally been produced by solid state sintering via powder metallurgy technology following the process of chemical extraction of the metal powder from its minerals [19]. The sintering process often requires post-densification operations such as mechanical working, hot isostatic pressing (HIP) or post-infiltration in order to reduce the inherent porosity in sintered parts [10,20,21]. A recent trend in consolidating unalloyed tungsten powder is the use of laser powder bed fusion LPBF, given the ability to fully melt it using laser energy [22]. Another advantage is the significant shape versatility offered by LPBF relative to compaction molds used in powder metallurgy.

Despite the several publications that have focused on utilizing LPBF to produce unalloyed tungsten, little effort has been expended to summarize what has been achieved so far. Only one related review, to the best of the present authors’ knowledge, was published with focus on classifying the crack/porosity suppression methods in LPBF of tungsten [23]. The published literature however still lacks a review that compiles the reported process windows/maps and the related microstructural and physical properties.
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A preliminary search for references was conducted on google scholar using the keywords: tungsten, laser powder bed fusion, and selective laser melting. Additional sub-topics such as microstructure defects, process maps, properties, and applications were also included in the preliminary search. Recent publications with direct relevance to the subject of LPBF of unalloyed tungsten were then identified. Following a snowball approach, additional sources were also obtained from the cited references in each publication. Reported data from each reference with relevance to tungsten powder production and characterization, process maps for LPBF of tungsten, and microstructure/properties of the consolidated powder have been collected, evaluated, and organized in one coherent construction. References for established concepts were also included wherever needed in the present context.

This review paper provides a reference for researchers on the current state-of-the-art in LPBF of unalloyed tungsten following a process-structure-properties paradigm. The next section (2. Process) begins with a description of the LPBF process, followed by a comparative review of the reported feedstock/substrate characteristics and the available process maps. The subsequent section (3. Structure) covers the expected microstructure of the LPBF printed parts as reported in the literature with an emphasis on the influence of process parameters on common microstructural defects. The paper also covers the expected physical properties in a separate section (4. Properties) and concludes with a summary (5. Summary and Outlook) of the main findings as well as the knowledge gaps in the literature. The final section of this paper also includes a discussion of the present and foreseen applications of unalloyed tungsten as manufactured by LPBF.

2. Process

The production of tungsten 3D parts by Laser powder bed fusion LPBF is done by full melting of tungsten powder in a layer-by-layer fashion. A powder layer with a predefined thickness (t) is spread over the substrate (building platform) followed by selective laser melting along a predefined path generated by computer software. Upon solidification, each consolidated layer then serves as a new substrate for the subsequent powder layer Figure 1a. The process repeats in this fashion until the entire component is built [24–26]. Figure 1b shows the process parameters in the LPBF process.

Figure 1. (a) Schematic drawing of the LPBF process [25]; (b) main process parameters in LPBF [26].
2.1. Selection of Feedstock and Substrate

2.1.1. Feedstock

Tungsten metal powder (feedstock) is extracted from its oxides (WO$_3$ and WO$_{3-x}$) by hot hydrogen reduction [10]. Process parameters such as humidity and temperature are controlled during the reduction process to produce powder particle sizes between 0.1 and 10 µm. Other extraction techniques (doped oxides) are also used to produce larger particle sizes up to 100 µm. The resulting morphology of as-extracted powder, often referred to as irregular powder, is shown in Figure 2 [10].

The irregular powder has been utilized as feedstock at the beginnings of LPBF of tungsten [27–33]. The maximum achieved densities however could not exceed 96.5% of theoretical density [33], which could be attributed to the fact that such powders were originally tailored for processing via powder metallurgy techniques (pressing, sintering, etc.,). Unlike powder metallurgy, production of unalloyed tungsten via LPBF involves spreading of thin layers of powder (<50 µm [18]) over the substrate or the previous layer.

The flowability of tungsten powder is therefore of significant importance to ensure uniform distribution of the powder in the layer. Wang et al. [34] studied the effect of radio frequency (RF) plasma spheroidization of irregular tungsten powder. They reported improvements in powder flowability and packing density by (60%) and (104%) respectively after spheroidization. The increase in flowability of spherical powder was attributed to the absence of the crystal facets, Figure 2, which are normally present in irregular powder, and contribute to particle clogging [10,32,34].
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Figure 2. Irregular tungsten feedstock: (a) small size (<10 µm) loosely agglomerated W powder obtained by hydrogen reduction at low temperature and humidity; (b) medium size (10–25 µm) W powder obtained by hydrogen reduction at high temperature and humidity; (c) large size (25–100 µm) W powder obtained by lithium doping [10].

The spheroidization of irregular powder also improves stacking of particles upon each other and results in a noticeable increase in powder packing density as well as the final solid part density [34,35]. The adoption of spherical powder particles instead of irregular ones has led to more than a 4% increase in relative density at optimum process parameters as reported by Zi et al. [32] (Figure 3). Wang et al. [34] have also reported a reduction in lack of fusion porosity when using spherical powder instead of irregular powder under same process parameters (Figure 4). Relative densities of unalloyed tungsten above 98% have been recently achieved by LPBF of spherical powder feedstock [36–45].
Figure 3. (a) Influence of W feedstock particle shape on the produced relative density, (b) irregular powder particles, (c) spherical powder particles [32].

The research on RF plasma spheroidization of tungsten powder has also revealed favorable effect on powder particle size as reported by Zi et al. [32] and Wang et al. [31]. Particle size measurements done by Zi et al. [32] after spheroidization of irregular tungsten powder have shown a reduction in average diameter by 50.5%, which led to a significant increase in packing density. The size reduction of powder particles after RF plasma spheroidization has also resulted in an overall increase of the exposed surface area and improved the laser energy absorption by powder particles.

Figure 4. (SEM) images comparing surface morphology of tungsten coupons under similar process conditions. Coupon (c) was produced using the feedstock (a), while coupon (d) was produced using feedstock (b) [34].

Wang et al. [31] have noticed a more than 14% increase in laser energy absorptivity after spheroidization of irregular tungsten powder (Figure 5). Zhang et al. [30] have also found that tungsten powder particle size is inversely correlated with powder energy absorption. They conducted numerical simulations by which they predicted that particles with smaller size are likely to experience a more uniform distribution of laser energy (Figure 6). The effect of particle size on melt track continuity was also reported in the same study (Figure 7). The smaller particle feedstock experienced full melting and continuous melt track as a result of sufficient energy absorption, while at the same laser conditions, larger particles showed balling and lack of fusion to the substrate surface.
Although small sized particles are favored in terms of packing density and energy absorption, the use of very fine powders is likely detrimental to producing bulk tungsten parts by LPBF. Agglomeration tendency is increased at smaller particle sizes and is much noticeable in sub-micron sized particles as a result of Van Der Waals attractive forces and reduced powder flowability [28,30,46]. Fine powders are also more susceptible to oxidation and humidity as a result of the increased surface area [32]. Table 1 presents the feedstock (spherical) size distribution reported in literature for theoretical densities 97% and
above. The recommended feedstock size and morphology for LPBF of unalloyed tungsten is spherical powder with size distribution between 5 µm and 25 µm.

Table 1. Feedstock size distribution and substrate material type used for LPBF of high-density tungsten (W).

| Relative Density | Powder Size Distribution (µm) | Substrate Material | Ref. |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------|
| 98.71%           | D10 = 9.04                    | -                  | [37] |
|                  | D50 = 14.8                    |                    |      |
|                  | D90 = 23.7                    |                    |      |
| 98.7%            | D10 = 17.7                    | -                  | [36] |
|                  | D50 = 27.4                    |                    |      |
|                  | D90 = 41.8                    |                    |      |
| 98.7%            | 15–53                         | Tungsten           | [44] |
| 98.51%           | D10 = 10.4                    | 316L stainless steel | [38] |
|                  | D50 = 16.24                   |                    |      |
|                  | D90 = 23.67                   |                    |      |
| 98.5%            | 15–45                         | Tungsten           | [40] |
| 98.4%            | D10 = 10.4                    | -                  | [41] |
|                  | D50 = 15.8                    |                    |      |
|                  | D90 = 23.8                    |                    |      |
| 98.4%            | D10 = 8.25                    | Stainless steel    | [43] |
|                  | D50 = 14.41                   |                    |      |
|                  | D90 = 24.25                   |                    |      |
| 98.31%           | D10 = 10                      | 316L stainless steel | [42] |
|                  | D50 = 15.87                   |                    |      |
|                  | D90 = 24.86                   |                    |      |
| 97.3%            | D10 = 8.5                     | Tungsten           | [35] |
|                  | D50 = 31.1                    |                    |      |
|                  | D90 = 41.31                   |                    |      |
| 97.5%            | D10 = 10.3                    | -                  | [47] |
|                  | D50 = 16.6                    |                    |      |
|                  | D90 = 28.2                    |                    |      |
| 97%              | 42–52                         | Titanium           | [48] |
|                  | D10 = 9.04                    | Tungsten           | [49] |
| 97%              | D50 = 14.8                    |                    |      |
|                  | D90 = 23.7                    |                    |      |

2.1.2. Substrate

The substrate material compatibility with the selected feedstock material is a main contributor to successful LPBF operations. A sufficient bond between the first layers and the underlaying substrate is essential to keeping components in place until process completion. In analogy to metal fusion welding, compatibility is typically existent between the powder metal and a substrate of the same kind [50–52]. The selective laser melting and subsequent solidification of unalloyed tungsten on unalloyed tungsten substrate encourages a homologous wetting condition, in which nucleation energy barriers are typically diminished. The result is immediate and seamless grain growth across the solid–liquid interface [40,49].

A number of publications have also reported successful LPBF of tungsten (W) on compositionally different substrates such as steel [33,38,42,53,54] and titanium [48]. The presence of compositional difference between the liquid and solid phases in such cases is
expected to impose a nucleation energy barrier and hinder the spread of molten metal on the substrate, leading to insufficient wetting. This problem could be redeemed to some extent in LPBF of tungsten (W) using a substrate material with matching crystal structure such as steel (bcc crystal structure), given that a clean and oxide free surface is maintained during the process [29,30]. An ongoing study by the present authors (Figure 8) confirms the published reports on successful printing of unalloyed tungsten on steel.

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** Tungsten (W) successfully printed via LPBF on carbon steel substrate (ongoing study by the present authors); (a) tungsten samples as appeared inside the LBPF build chamber; (b) part of the substrate cut by EDM with the samples on top; and (c) closeup of the printed samples on the steel substrate.

### 2.2. Process Maps

#### 2.2.1. Single Track

Melt track continuity has direct influence on layer quality as well as part density [55–58]. Process optimization for single track continuity in LPBF of unalloyed tungsten is also important for anti-scatter grids applications that involve thin-walled features (<100 µm) [31,40,59]. The continuity of a single melt track is influenced by the melt pool geometry, which is influenced by the ratio of laser power to scanning speed $p/v$ [38,59–63].

Xie et al. [59] studied the effect of laser power and scanning speed on single melt track continuity (melted powder on solid layer) and defined a continuous melt track zone between (0.4 and 6 J/mm). The optimum window for production of thin-walled features was however narrowed to (0.6–1.3 J/mm). Ren et al. [38] also studied the effect of laser power and scanning speed on tungsten melt track continuity (melted powder on powder bed) and defined an optimal process map (Figure 9a) for continuous and uniform melt tracks. Based on both studies, a common $p/v$ ratio of (0.6–1.5 J/mm) may be expected to produce continuous melt tracks.

Another study by Vrancken et al. [60] on the influence of laser power and scanning speed on crack initiation was done on the substrate surface under LPBF conditions (no powder). They noticed a higher cracking tendency at power levels above 380 W (Figure 9b) and defined a $p/v$ limit below 3.5 J/mm for macrocrack-free tracks. Microcracks however were still present at lower $p/v$ ratios covering a 300–400 µm zone around the melt track.
2.2.2. Process Maps for Bulk Objects

Li et al. [42] investigated the influence of process parameters such as laser power $P$, scanning speed $v$, and hatch spacing $h$ on the density of as-LPBF bulk tungsten (W) parts and achieved maximum relative density of 98.31%. Densities were calculated using the Archimedes method and process maps were produced in the study (Figure 10). They found a direct correlation between the applied laser power and the resulting density as shown in Figure 10a. The influence of hatch spacing and scanning speed was however insignificant as reported by the study (Figure 10b,c). Densities above 98% were obtained at powers in the range of 250–300 W with hatch distance between 0.08 and 0.1 mm and layer thickness of 0.03 mm.

Xiong et al. [41] have also conducted density measurements via image analysis and formulated process windows for the scanning speeds and laser powers required for high-density tungsten (W). They produced coupons with densities 97.3–98.1% at powers between 250 and 300 W and scanning speeds between 400 and 500 mm/s. It should be noted that density measurements by the image processing technique were found slightly higher as compared to Archimedes method when both methods were applied to the same samples (Figure 11a,b) [37,39]. The difference in density measurements between the two methods was attributed to the effect of open pores according to [39]. Another plausible reason behind the higher density measurements by image analysis is the possibility that some of the pores are filled with metal debris during cutting/polishing prior to image analysis, unlike the case when measuring density by the Archimedes method.
The effect of open pores being infiltrated by water during density measurements by Archimedes method was utilized by Huang et al. [54] to obtain the percentage of open pores in tungsten specimens produced by LPBF. They measured the density by Archimedes method for the same samples before and after boiling in deionized water for three hours. They found that the percentage of open (apparent) porosity is higher as compared to closed porosity in unalloyed tungsten made by LPBF when using pulsed laser mode (Figure 12). They also found that increasing the hatch distance and laser point distance (equivalent to increasing the scanning speed) increased the lack of fusion (open) porosity. On the other hand, using smaller hatch distances and laser point distances promoted keyhole (closed) porosity.

A number of studies have investigated the resulting density at different levels of volumetric energy density ($E_v$), a quantity that represents the collective effect of laser power ($P$), scanning speed ($v$), hatch spacing ($h$), and layer thickness ($t$), as follows [38,40,43]:
Some discrepancies however are present in the reported $E_v$ values for high-density tungsten (W). Guo et al. [43] reported an optimum $E_v$ around 1000 J/mm³, by which they produced unalloyed tungsten coupons with relative density of 98.4%. The process windows for high densities were defined in the study at laser powers in the range of 200–350 W, scanning speeds between 200 mm/s and 400 mm/s, layer thickness of 20 µm, and hatch spacing of 50 µm. Others have defined a much lower optimum window using 30 µm layer thickness ($E_v = 214–350$ J/mm³ for >98% of theoretical density). The reported ranges for laser powers, scanning speeds, and hatch distance were 150–300 W, 200–400 mm/s, and 80–100 µm respectively. Table 2 shows the process parameters at which densities of 97% and above were achieved according to reports from literature.

It seems that the aforementioned discrepancy in the optimum $E_v$ values is attributed to the differences in layer thickness in the reported studies. The change in the layer thickness from 0.03 mm [38] to 0.02 mm [43] has caused an increase in the calculated $E_v$ from 333 J/mm³ to 1000 J/mm³ and also reduced the optimum hatch distance from 0.1 mm to 0.05 mm. The difference in layer thickness values between the aforementioned studies however did not show similar influence on the reported optimum laser power values.

Table 2. Process conditions for high for LPBF of high-density tungsten (W).

| Relative Density | Process Parameters 1 | Scanning Strategy | Build Chamber Conditions | Post Process Treatment | Ref. |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| 98.71%           | $P = 200$ W          |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $v = 200$ mm/s       |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $h = 0.1$ mm         |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $t = 0.03$ mm        |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $E_t = 1$ J/mm       |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $E_v = 333$ J/mm³    |                   |                          |                       |     |
| 98.7%            | $P = 360$ W          |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $v = 600$ mm/s       |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $h = 0.08$ mm        |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $t = 0.02$ mm        |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $E_t = 0.6$ J/mm     |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $E_v = 375$ J/mm³    |                   |                          |                       |     |
| 98.7%            | $P = 150$ W          |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $v = 350$ mm/s       |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $h = 0.08$ mm        |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $t = 0.025$ mm       |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $E_t = 0.43$ J/mm    |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $E_v = 214$ J/mm³    |                   |                          |                       |     |
| 98.51%           | $P = 300$ W          |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $v = 300$ mm/s       |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $h = 0.1$ mm         |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $t = 0.03$ mm        |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $E_t = 1$ J/mm       |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $E_v = 333$ J/mm³    |                   |                          |                       |     |
| 98.50%           | $P = 200–400$ W      |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $v = 200–300$ mm/s   |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $h = -$              |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $t = 0.02$ mm        |                   |                          |                       |     |
|                  | $E_t = -$            |                   |                          |                       |     |

$E_v = \frac{P}{vht}$
| $E_v$ | $P$ | $v$ | $h$ | $t$ | $E_1$ | $E_e$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| -     | 400 W | 454 mm/s (calculated) | 0.08 mm | 0.04 mm | 0.88 J/mm (calculated) | 275 J/mm$^3$ | 98.5% | 90° rotation between layers | 1273 K preheating under Argon shield | None [40] |
| -     | 300 W | 400 mm/s | - | 0.02 mm | 0.75 J/mm | - | 98.4% | 67° rotation with double remelting between layers | 453 K preheating under Argon shield | None [41] |
| -     | 300 W | 300 mm/s | 0.05 mm | 0.02 mm | 1 J/mm | 1000 J/mm$^3$ | 98.4% | 67° rotation between layers | 473 K preheating under Argon shield | None [43] |
| -     | 300 W | 400 mm/s | 0.08 mm | 0.03 mm | 0.75 J/mm | 312 J/mm$^3$ | 98.31% | 67° rotation between layers | 423 K preheating under Argon shield | None [42] |
| -     | 300 W | 400 mm/s | - | 0.02 mm | 0.75 J/mm | - | 98.1% | 67° rotation between layers | 453 K preheating under Argon shield | None [41] |
| -     | 400 W | 600 mm/s | 0.1 mm | 0.03 mm | 0.67 J/mm | 222 J/mm$^3$ | 97.5% | 67° rotation with $h/3$ offset between layers | 1273 K preheating under Argon shield | None [47] |
| -     | 300 W | 750 mm/s | 0.06 mm | 0.03 mm | 0.4 J/mm | 222 J/mm$^3$ | 97.3% | - | Argon shield | None [35] |
| -     | 200 W | 100 mm/s | 0.115 mm | 0.05 mm | 2 J/mm | 348 J/mm$^3$ | 97% | 67° rotation between layers | Argon shield | None [48] |
\[ P = 400 \text{ W} \]
\[ v = 300 \text{ mm/s} \]
\[ h = 0.1 \text{ mm} \]
\[ t = 0.03 \text{ mm} \]
\[ E_l = 1.33 \text{ J/mm} \]
\[ E_v = 444 \text{ J/mm}^3 \]

\[ P \] (laser power), \[ v \] (scanning speed), \[ h \] (hatch distance), \[ t \] (layer thickness), \[ E_l \] (linear energy density = \[ \frac{P}{v} \]), \[ E_v \] (volumetric energy density = \[ \frac{P}{vht} \]).

3. Structure

3.1. Microstructure: Grain Structure and Size Distribution

Solidification of molten tungsten on a solid tungsten surface (substrate or previous solid layer) exhibits equiaxed epitaxial grain growth following the orientation of wetted grains at the solid–liquid interface (Figure 13a) [38]. The grain structure then follows a competitive grain growth process in the direction of maximum temperature gradient, which is typically normal to the solid/liquid front line (dashed line in Figure 13a), then bends toward the vertical direction resulting in the columnar grains shape [29,38,52,60]. The growth direction at the full product scale is also aligned with the direction of maximum temperature gradient, being also the vertical build direction as shown in Figure 13b [38].

![Image](a)

![Image](b)

**Figure 13.** (a) Single W melt track on W substrate [60]; (b) grains structure along the build direction (colors are only used for elaboration) [38].

The study by Wen et al. [37] shows that the microstructure as shown in the top view (horizontal microstructure Figure 14) is influenced by the laser beam movements (scanning direction and speed). Grains grow perpendicular starting from liquid–solid interface at both sides of the melt track, Figure 14a, and gradually change direction toward the laser scanning direction (SD). As the scanning speed is increased, a more distinct feather-like pattern becomes more obvious (Figure 15). The bidirectional pattern in the microstructure shown is also indicative of the bidirectional scanning strategy that was adopted in the study (Figure 16a), and becomes much noticeable as the hatch spacing increases (Figure 16b,c) [42]. The microstructure figures also show higher cracking tendency along the melt track path when higher scanning speeds and hatch spacings are used.
Figure 14. Horizontal microstructure of tungsten (W) produced by LPBF; notice the scanning direction influence on grains growth (a) low magnification, (b) high magnification [37].

Figure 15. Change of horizontal microstructure with scanning speed variation; all other parameters were kept identical at 300 W laser power, 0.1 mm hatch spacing [42]. (a) 200 mm/s, (b) 300 mm/s, (c) 400 mm/s.

Figure 16. (a) Scanning strategy, (b) 0.06 mm, (c) 0.8 mm. (a) Bidirectional scanning strategy; (b,c) change of grain growth pattern with hatch spacing variation, all other parameters were kept identical at 300 W laser power, 300 mm/s scanning speed, [42].

The same authors also studied the effect of scanning speed on grain refinement and found consistent grains size reduction as the scanning speed increased (Figure 17) [37]. Huang et al. [54] studied the grain size distribution in unalloyed tungsten coupons made by LPBF. The measured grain size distribution has shown variation in grain sizes between the top, bottom, and side surfaces of the printed coupons. As shown in Figure 18, the bottom surface had much smaller grain size as compared to the top surface, and the sides had much larger grains than both the top and bottom surfaces. The variation in the grain
sizes is an indication of the different cooling rates between the three zones, as explained by the study.

![Figure 17](image1.png)

**Figure 17.** Effect of scanning speed on grain size in LPBF of tungsten (W). (a) 200 mm/s, (b) 400 mm/s [37].

![Figure 18](image2.png)

**Figure 18.** Grain size distribution in pure tungsten samples prepared by powder bed fusion [54].

### 3.2. Microstructural Defects

The mechanical properties, and hence the functionality of as-built tungsten components after LPBF are dependent on the types and population of the developed external and internal defects. The most common defects that are reported with regard to the LPBF of unalloyed tungsten are balling, porosity, and cracking.

#### 3.2.1. Balling and Porosity

Zhou et al. [29] investigated the balling phenomenon in unalloyed tungsten produced by LPBF. They concluded that tungsten has high susceptibility to balling as a result of its relatively high viscosity, high thermal conductivity, high temperature-dependent surface tension, and high temperature oxygen affinity [29]. The first two features were found responsible for rapid solidification of the molten metal outer shell without allowing sufficient time for spreading of the internal unsolidified metal (Figure 19) [29,37]. The other two features were also found to promote balling by the presence of inverted thermocapillary flow (Marangoni Flow).

In ideal melt pool conditions, the metal will flow from the melt pool center (higher temperature and lower surface tension) to the outer shell (lower temperature and higher surface tension) (Figure 19a). In less ideal conditions, however, the presence of oxides at
the outer shell decreases its surface tension below that of the melt pool center, thus inverting the flow from the periphery toward the melt pool center and results in a much-humped pool (Figure 19b) [29,58].

The balling effect produces a rough surface morphology as shown in Figure 19c, which obstructs the distribution of the subsequent powder layer, thus increasing the presence of porosity and entrapped unmelted powder particles. In addition to that, the presence of oxide layers at the solid surface leads to deficient bonding between the successive melt tracks and layers, which ultimately leads to internal cracking and porosity. Such an effect explains the higher oxygen concentration at porosity locations that was reported in the study (Figure 19d) [29,58].
Figure 19. Effect of inverted Marangoni flow direction; (a) from center to periphery (good spreading) and (b) from periphery to center (humping/balling); (c) balling of the consolidated tungsten layer in LB-PBF process; (d) distribution of tungsten and oxygen; notice the match between high oxygen concentration zones and porosity locations [29].

Reduction of balling and porosity has been achieved by process parameters optimization [29,42,51]. Li et al. [42] studied the effect of laser power, scanning speed, and hatch spacing on the surface and cross-section morphology of unalloyed tungsten produced by LPBF. They have found that laser power has the most significant impact on the formation of surface defects as compared to scanning speed and hatch spacing. Figure 20a,b adopted from the study, shows that higher laser powers reduce the presence of surface defects such as pores (yellow arrow), gaps (red arrow), humps (white arrow), and cavities (black arrow). On the other hand, increasing the scanning speed and hatch distance had less significant effect as compared to the laser power (Figure 20c–f). The application of laser remelting scanning strategies has also shown a reduction in porosity and surface roughness by 0.3% and 28% respectively as reported in a study by Xiong et al. [41].
3.2.2. Cracking

Tungsten possesses properties such as high melting point, high ductile-brittle transition temperature DBTT, and high notch sensitivity, present a challenge in producing crack-free tungsten parts [64]. In fact, tungsten resides at the bottom in the list of refractory metals ranked for weldability [52]. The relatively high thermal energy that is required to melt tungsten exposes the metal to a wider cooling range, resulting in significant heterogeneous thermal stresses as it cools, and eventually leads to cracks formation [52].

The high DBTT and notch sensitivity of tungsten also promote cracking upon solidification and cooling below 673 K [60]. Tungsten samples that are produced by LPBF have
also shown cracking at temperatures above the normal DBTT (463–673 K) as a result of oxides presence as well as other impurities such as carbon and nitrogen [65]. The underlying mechanism behind the above DBTT cracking is that such impurities interstitially precipitate in small sizes (nm) within the material lattice dislocations and restrict its mobility, leading to cracking instead of plastic relief in the stressed zones even at temperatures within the normal DBTT [60,65]. The higher concentration of metal oxides between the solidified grains also causes low-melt eutectic layers between the grains and promotes hot cracking [47]. The presence of such impurities is not only attributed to the shielding atmosphere during the printing process, but also rely on the storage conditions of the feedstock material, and the level of contamination it might have been exposed to before the LPBF process.

Wang et al. [53] investigated the crack development under different scanning strategies during LPBF of unalloyed tungsten (Figure 21). Three different scanning strategies were adopted in the study, the first employed parallel scan directions for all the layers. The second strategy involved a 67 degrees rotation of the scan lines between successive layers, and the third included laser remelting for each printed layer adopting the same 67 degrees rotation strategy. The resulting internal structure of the printed samples reveal that applying matching scan tracks between layers promotes cracking at the same locations in each layer, and results in crack growth along the build direction. On the other hand, adoption of scan track rotation between layers obstructs growth of cracks that are initiated in the previous layer and can significantly reduce cracking along the build direction. The application of remelting however is apparently not beneficial in terms of crack reduction. Such result is explained by the fact that most of the developed cracks had lengths exceeding the remelted thickness. Therefore, instead of reducing the presence of cracks, the remelting operation promoted thermal fatigue crack growth as shown in Figure 21c as compared to Figure 21b [53].

The development of thermal stresses upon cooling of unalloyed tungsten results in cracking once they exceed its fracture strength. Wang et al. [53] reported that such condition is satisfied for a metal with 2 GPa tensile strength if subjected to thermal gradient above 800 K. Attempts to produce unalloyed tungsten by LPBF with substrate preheating temperature of 1273 K have shown noticeable reduction in cracking as reported by Müller et al. [40] and are shown in Figure 22. A complete prevention of cracking in tungsten however is expected to require substrate preheating at much higher temperatures to maintain thermal gradient below the calculated 800 K which is practically difficult to achieve.
Introduction of alloying elements such as tantalum, vanadium, rhenium, niobium as well as nano secondary phases such as ZrC, Y₂O₃, La₂O₃, and TiC has been discussed in literature as an approach to reducing cracking in tungsten bulks [16,66–79]. The addition of such phases has been widely used in powder metallurgy as a method for grain size refinement, oxidation restraining, and dislocation mobility improvement. Attempts to add small amounts (<6%wt) of tantalum and titanium as well as nano ZrC and Y₂O₃ have shown promising results in terms of crack suppression and grain refinement in tungsten produced by LPBF [33,80–84]. The underlying mechanisms by which such additions can change the produced microstructure/properties are however out of the scope of this review and have been discussed in a review by Li et al. [23].

Other attempts to reducing cracking in LPBF of unalloyed tungsten by post-process treatments have also been reported. Ren et al. [38] studied the influence of post LPBF annealing on crack reduction. Annealing after LPBF for two hours at 1100 °C in vacuum resulted in a minor growth in grain size leading to mechanical crack closure. The resulting microstructure was free of macro-crack defects with fewer micro-cracks and pores as reported in the study. Chen et al. [49] studied the effect of hot isostatic pressing (HIP) on tungsten samples after LPBF. The HIP process was carried out at 2073 K and 180 MPa for four hours. The resulting microstructure after HIP revealed noticeable crack-healing effect as shown by the microstructure in Figure 23. The study also reported a minor improvement in the relative density after HIP (0.5%).

![Figure 22](image_url)  
Figure 22. Effect of substrate preheating on crack development (a) 200 °C, (b) 1000 °C [40].

![Figure 23](image_url)  
Figure 23. Crack healing effect after post-LPBF HIP of unalloyed tungsten. (a–c) Before HIP, (d–f) after HIP [49].
The main factors that have been found to promote balling and porosity as well as cracking during the production of tungsten by LPBF are summarized in Table 3. The table also summarizes the expected effects that such defects will have on the produced parts and the solutions reported in literature.

Table 3. Cause-effect-solution of the main defects that are reported in LPBF of unalloyed tungsten (W).

| Balling/Porosity Cause | Cracking Cause |
|------------------------|----------------|
| **Feedstock properties** | **Feedstock properties** |
| - Low energy absorptivity | - High melting temperature |
| - High melt pool viscosity | - High Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperature DBTT |
| - High thermal conductivity | - High notch sensitivity |
| - High temperature dependent surface tension | - High level of impurities |
| - High temperature oxygen affinity | **Process conditions** |
| - High level of impurities | - High cooling rates and thermal gradients |
| - High humidity | - High thermal fatigue |
| **Process conditions** | **Process conditions** |
| - Low laser power (balling and lack of fusion-porosity). | - High level of impurities |
| - Excessive Laser power (keyhole porosity). | **Solution** |
| - High scanning speed | - Proper Feedstock selection |
| - High level of impurities | - Use spherical particle feedstock |
| **Effect** | **Solution** |
| - Poor powder layer spreading | **Process optimization** |
| - Low relative density | - Substrate preheating |
| - Low Compressive strength | - 67° rotation scanning strategy |
| - High surface roughness | **Postprocess treatments** |
| | - Annealing |
| | - HIP |

4. Properties

The main efforts in literature with regard to LPBF of unalloyed tungsten have been focused on achieving near-fully dense components with minimal microstructural defects. Other than density, only a few publications have reported properties such as surface roughness, wear resistance, friction coefficient, compressive strength, hardness, bending strength, and thermal conductivity.

Tan et al. [39] reported that unalloyed tungsten specimens produced by LPBF have higher hardness values (461–474 HV) compared to tungsten produced by traditional powder metallurgy methods (344–419 HV). The printed tungsten samples also showed ultimate compressive strength (933–1015 MPa) and compressive yield strength (791–882 MPa).
MPa) that are comparable to tungsten produced by traditional powder metallurgy methods (780–1480 MPa and 750–1010 MPa). Similar findings were also reported by Wen et al. [37] and Guo et al. [43]. The aforementioned studies as well as other studies [34] also show consistent agreement between the increase of relative density and the improvement in ultimate compressive strength. SEM fractography of specimens also show typical brittle behavior in as-LPBF tungsten coupons as well as post-LPBF annealed coupons (Figure 24) [37,38,43].

![Figure 24. (a) Brittle fracture in as-LPBF tungsten (W) [37], (b) brittle fracture in post-LPBF annealed tungsten (W) (2 hrs. annealing in vacuum at 1100 °C) [38].](image)

Xiong et al. [41] conducted three-point bending tests on unalloyed tungsten specimens (5 × 5 × 35 mm) made by PBF-L under different scanning speeds (400 mm/s, 500 mm/s and 600 mm/s). Bending strength measurements correlated with the measured relative density, and a maximum bending strength of 131 MPa was achieved at a maximum relative density of 98.1%. The same study also examined the effect of laser remelting on surface roughness of pure tungsten specimens made by LPBF. They reported a surface roughness reduction of 28% after double remelting. The applied linear energy density \( \frac{p}{v} \) for the layer remelting scan was set 50% higher than that of the powder melting scan.

Chen et al. [49] measured the thermal conductivity of unalloyed tungsten made by LPBF and reported a maximum value of 129 Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\) and 146 Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\) without and with post-process HIP, respectively. The measured thermal conductivity vs density values for as-LPBF coupons were also extrapolated in the study to estimate the maximum possible thermal conductivity at 100% relative density (Figure 25). The maximum possible thermal conductivity in as-LPBF tungsten specimens was however predicted to be around 140 Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\), which is 20% less than that of theoretical pure tungsten (173 Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\) ) [49,85]. Table 4 summarizes the mechanical properties at maximum density that are reported in literature. In some cases, the optimum achievable value was found in specimens with lower densities than the maximum. In that case, the corresponding and maximum mechanical properties values are reported.
Figure 25. Thermal conductivity of tungsten (W) as measured vs relative density; the maximum extrapolated value of thermal conductivity at 100% density is 20% less than that of theoretical pure tungsten [49].

Table 4. Properties of unalloyed tungsten produced by LPBF.

| Ultimate Compressive Strength (MPa) | Yield Compressive Strength (MPa) | Bending Strength (MPa) | Hardness (HV) | Surface Roughness, Ra (μm) | Coefficient of Friction | Wear Resistance mm³/(N·m) | Thermal Conductivity W/(m·K) | Ref. |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 1523                              | 1067                            | --                    | 428          | --                       | --                     | --                       | --                          | [37] |
| --                                | --                              | 407                   | 467¹         | 6.74                     | --                     | --                       | --                          | [36] |
| 1007                              | 882                             | --                    | --           | --                       | --                     | --                       | --                          | [38] |
| 1015                              | 882                             | --                    | 461          | 6.74                     | --                     | --                       | --                          | [39] |
| 1200                              | 1100                            | 131                   | 456          | 14.72                    | --                     | --                       | --                          | [41] |
| 1197¹                             |                                 |                       |              |                          | 10.62¹                 |                          |                             |      |
| 902                               | 474                             | --                    | 0.45         | 1.3 × 10⁻⁵               | --                     |                          | 146²                       | [43] |
| 982                               | 370                             | --                    | --           | --                       | --                     | --                       | 150                         | [44] |
| --                                | --                              | --                    | --           | --                       | --                     | --                       | 150                         | [86] |
| 920                               | 480                             |                        |              |                          |                        |                          |                             | [87] |

¹ Not at maximum density. ² With post-process HIP.

The presence of microstructural defects ultimately reflects on the measured properties of the printed components. With regard to unalloyed tungsten, the presence of microcracks and porosity can demote the tensile strength and compressive strength respectively. Other properties such as thermal conductivity and bending strength have been found affected by the presence of microcracks and porosity in unalloyed tungsten as well.

Depending on parameters such as the laser power, scanning speed/strategy, and the interrupted layer-by-layer nature of LPBF, the buildup process of the product via powder bed fusion is usually associated with heterogeneous distribution of the developed thermal gradients and cooling rates, which reflects on the reported heterogeneity in grain size distribution as well as properties such as the surface hardness. In a recent study by Zhou et al. [44], Vickers hardness tests were conducted along different planes of the tungsten coupons after LPBF. The reported hardness measurements in the study were found ≈ 14% lower on the XY plane as compared to the XZ/YZ planes (Figure 26). Such anisotropy in
hardness measurements was attributed to the lower thermal gradients and higher stress relief along the XY plane (layer plane), resulting from the adopted zigzag scanning strategy and the partial remelting of each solid layer under the powder bed.

Another aspect of the relation between microstructure and mechanical properties is the induced grains shape/directionality as discussed in the previous section. The same study by Zhou et al. [44] has shown 4% increase in compressibility when similar tungsten coupons were pressed along the vertical build direction (grains columnar direction), as compared to the horizontal direction. The test coupons have also experienced clear deformation/tearing and trans-granular fracture across the columnar grains when compressed along the build direction (Figure 26). The compressive strength of dense coupons however was found less dependent on grains orientation (= 0.6% difference between vertical and horizontal directions).

Figure 26. Fracture behavior under compression along different directions [83].

5. Summary and Outlook

This review presented the state-of-the-art in selective laser melting of unalloyed tungsten by laser powder bed fusion LPBF, with a focus on the effect of process parameters on the developed structure and properties.

The successful implementation of LPBF technology as a substitute of traditional tungsten manufacturing techniques can significantly improve the design flexibility and consequently improve the product performance and cost. Such potential has been demonstrated by the commercialization of 3D-printed tungsten 2D anti-scatter grids that were developed to substitute the less efficient and labor costly 1D grids in medical imaging systems [88]. In addition to the medical sector, other sectors such as aerospace, defense, and energy are expected to benefit from the production of unalloyed tungsten by LPBF.

The foreseen research directions in such sectors include the development of single-piece thrusters for space propulsion systems as well as single-piece high heat flux exchanges for nuclear reactors [89]. The following points highlight the main findings in this topic and suggest topics that could be addressed in future work:

- Unalloyed tungsten has been successfully produced by LPBF with up to 98.71% of theoretical density without post-process densification.
- The recommended tungsten feedstock characteristics for high density are spherical powder particles with D10 = 9–10 µm, D50 = 14–17 µm, D90 = 23–25 µm.
- Spherical tungsten powder possesses higher flowability and packing density as compared to faceted irregular powder.
- Numerical studies have shown inverse correlation between powder particle size and the powder absorption of laser energy.
- The LPBF processing window based on literature for high-density unalloyed tungsten is laser power between 200 W and 400 W, scanning speed between 200 mm/s and 400 mm/s, layer thickness between 0.02 mm and 0.03 mm, and hatch distance between 0.08 and 0.1 mm.
• The recommended volumetric energy densities based on the literature range between 300 J/mm³ and 350 J/mm³.
• The expected properties of as-LPBF tungsten (W) include compressive strength of 1523 MPa, yield compressive strength of 1197 MPa, hardness of 474 HV, bending strength of 131 Mpa, and thermal conductivity of 129 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹.
• Micro-cracks still present a problem in as-LPBF tungsten and could be reduced partially by substrate preheating, hot isostatic pressing, and annealing.
• Laser remelting can significantly improve the surface roughness but can unfavorably promote thermal fatigue crack growth in tungsten.
• HIP after LPBF can cause a minor increase in part densification (0.5%) and a much noticeable increase in thermal conductivity (13%).
• Relative density above 98% could be achieved at lower volumetric energy densities with the aid of substrate preheating (170 J/mm³ and 1273 K preheating).
• Further work is needed to understand the effect of process parameters on part distortion, residual stresses, and spatter formation.
• The evolution of microstructure and bond strength at the substrate/coupon interface when printing tungsten on different substrate material is not discussed in detail in the literature.
• Very limited research has been done on the influence of post processing on mechanical properties.
• To the best of the present authors’ knowledge, properties such as tensile, fatigue, fracture toughness, and impact strength of unalloyed tungsten after LPBF have not been identified in the open literature.
• 3D-printed tungsten anti-scatter grids have been successfully developed and commercialized for medical imaging systems.
• A successful production of tungsten via LPBF will significantly subserve in medical, aerospace, defense, and energy applications.
• The future perspective of the present research topic includes utilization of LPBF technology to produce single-piece tungsten heat exchangers for high heat flux applications as well as single-piece rocket thrusters for space exploration and defense systems.
• Another prospective idea for the present research topic includes the production of W-Eurofer bi-materials via LPBF for first wall applications in future fusion reactors [90,91].
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