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X-ray and neutron methods of texture investigation are used to get experimental pole figures (PF) of polycrystalline samples and geological materials (Bunge, 1982). Usually several PF are used, 1--4 for polycrystalline samples with cubic, hexagonal lattice symmetry (copper, iron, beryllium...), 6-19 for low-symmetry materials of geological samples (quartz, biotite...). Pole figures are the sum of solutions of two ultrahyperbolic equations (Savyolova, 1982). If the solutions of ultrahyperbolic equations are known in some domains we can determine them uniquely in other domains using the Asgeirsson's theorem (Courant, 1962) and their generalizations by ultra-Lorentz transformations. We get the domains of dependence of pole figures and the methods of continuations of solutions of ultrahyperbolic equations.
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INTRODUCTION

The orientation distribution function (ODF) in a polycrystalline sample $f(g)$, where $g = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \in SO(3)$ is the rotation group, $0 \leq \alpha, \gamma < 2\pi$, $0 \leq \beta \leq \pi$, are the three Eulerian angles, is determined from experimental pole figures $P_{h}(\vec{y})$ where $\vec{h}$ describes the crystal direction in space $R^3$ and $\vec{y}$ describes the sample direction in space $R^3$.

The ODF $f(g)$ is the solution of the equation (Matthies, 1979)

$$P_{h}(\vec{y}) = Pf(g) = (4\pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \{ f(\{\Phi, \theta, \varphi\}^{-1} \{\eta, \chi, 0\}) + f(\{\Phi + \pi, \pi - \theta, \varphi\}^{-1} \{\eta, \chi, 0\}) \} d\varphi,$$

(1)

where $\vec{h} = \{\theta, \Phi\}$, $\vec{y} = \{\chi, \eta\}$, $0 \leq \theta, \chi \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \Phi, \eta < 2\pi$ are the spherical coordinates of unit vectors $\vec{h}$, $\vec{y}$.

Using the isomorphisms

$$SO(3) \sim SU(2)/\{\pm 1\} \sim RP^3,$$

where $SO(3)$ is the rotation group, $SU(2)$ is the group of unitary unimodular matrices of second order, $RP^3$ is the three-dimensional projective space, $SU(2)/\{\pm 1\}$ is the factor-group for subgroup $\{\pm 1\}$, 1 is the unit of group $SU(2)$, we find (Savyolova, 1982, 1993), that the curves

$$\pm \vec{h} = g \vec{y}$$
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can be written as
\[ \vec{x}^\pm = \vec{a}^\pm t + \vec{b}^\pm \] (2)

with
\[ \vec{a}^\pm = \{- \frac{y_1 \pm h_1}{y_3 \mp h_3}, - \frac{y_2 \mp h_2}{y_3 \mp h_3}, 1\}, \]
\[ \vec{b}^\pm = \{ \frac{y_2 \pm h_2}{y_3 \mp h_3}, - \frac{y_1 \mp h_1}{y_3 \mp h_3}, 0\}, \]

where \( \vec{h}_i = [h_1, h_2, h_3] \), \( \vec{y} = [y_1, y_2, y_3] \) are the coordinates of unit vectors \( \vec{h}_i \), \( \vec{y} \) respectively, \( h_3 \neq \pm y_3 \), \( \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \) is the point in space \( R^3 \), \( |t| < \infty \) is a parameter.

Using (2), we get from the equation (1)
\[ P_{h_1}(\vec{y}) = \frac{1}{|h_3 - y_3|} F_\vec{x}(\vec{a}^+, \vec{b}^+) + \frac{1}{|y_3 + h_3|} F_{-\vec{a}^+, \vec{b}^+}, \]
\[ F^\vec{x}(\vec{a}^+, \vec{b}^+) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{8f(\vec{a}^+ t + \vec{b}^+ t)}{A^2 t^2 + 2B^2 t + C^2} dt, \] (3)

where
\[ A^\vec{x} = (a_1^+)^2 + (a_2^+)^2 + 1, \quad C^\vec{x} = (b_1^+)^2 + (b_2^+)^2 + 1, \]
\[ B^\vec{x} = a_1^+ b_1^+ + a_2^+ b_2^+. \]

From the equation (3) we get the ultrahyperbolic differential equations for pole figures
\[ \frac{\partial^2 F^\vec{x}}{\partial a_1^+ \partial b_2^+} = \frac{\partial^2 F^\vec{x}}{\partial a_2^+ \partial b_1^+}, \] (4)

where
\[ a_1^+ = - \frac{y_1 \pm h_1}{y_3 \mp h_3}, \quad a_2^+ = - \frac{y_2 \mp h_2}{y_3 \mp h_3}, \]
\[ b_1^+ = \frac{y_2 \pm h_2}{y_3 \mp h_3}, \quad b_2^+ = - \frac{y_1 \mp h_1}{y_3 \mp h_3}. \]

We have \( \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R^3 \) through the Eulerian angles \( g = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in SO(3) \)
\[ x_1 = (\tan \frac{\beta}{2}) (\cos \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2}) (\cos \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2})^{-1}, \]
\[ x_2 = (\tan \frac{\beta}{2}) (\sin \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2}) (\cos \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2})^{-1}, \]
\[ x_3 = -\tan \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2}. \] (5)

The Jacobian of transformation (5) is
\[ \frac{\partial (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{\partial (x_1, x_2, x_3)} = 4(1 + x_1^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-1}. \]
Remark. Let us have pole figures in spherical coordinates
\[ P_{h_i}(\vec{y}) = F(\Phi, \theta, \eta, \chi) = \sum_{m,n=-1}^{l} \sum_{m,n=0}^{l} C_{m,n} Y_{m,n}(\vec{y}) [Y_{m,n}(\vec{h_i})]^*, \]  
(6)
where \( \vec{h_i} = \{ \theta, \Phi \}, \vec{y} = \{ \chi, \eta \}, 0 \leq \theta, \chi \leq \pi, 0 \leq \Phi, \eta < 2\pi \) are the spherical coordinates of the unit vectors \( h_i, y \),
\[ Y_{m,n}(\vec{y}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-im\eta) \, P_{m}(\cos \chi), \]
\[ Y_{m,n}(\vec{h_i}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(im\Phi) \, P_{m}(\cos \theta), \]
P_{m}(\cos \chi) are generalized Legendre polynomials.

Equation (4) corresponds to the differential equation for \( PF(6) \) in spherical coordinates (Savyolova, 1988)
\[
(1 - z_1^2) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z_1^2} - 2z \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_1} + \frac{1}{1 - z_1^2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta^2} = (1 - z_1^2) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial w^2} - 2z_1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial w} + \frac{1}{1 - z_1^2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta^2},
\]
where \( z = \cos \chi, w = \cos \theta \).

Ultrahyperbolic equations

Let
\[
x_1^+ = -\frac{2y_1}{y_3 + h_3}, \quad x_2^+ = -\frac{2y_2}{y_3 + h_3},
\]
\[
z_1^+ = \pm \frac{2h_1}{y_3 + h_3}, \quad z_2^+ = \mp \frac{2h_1}{y_3 + h_3},
\]
then we get from equations (4)
\[
\frac{\partial^2 F^\pm}{\partial (x_1^\pm)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 F^\pm}{\partial (x_2^\pm)^2} = \frac{\partial^2 F^\pm}{\partial (z_1^\pm)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 F^\pm}{\partial (z_2^\pm)^2},
\]
(7)
Consider the problem of solution continuation of ultrahyperbolic differential equations (7).
We drop the superscript "\( \pm \)" in equations (7).
Using the Asgeirsson's theorem we have the following result (Ivanova, Savyolova, 1993): if the function \( F(x, z) \) is solution of equation (7), even in the variable \( z_2 - z_1 = t \) and is known in the domain
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - x_1^0)^2 \leq a^2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - z_1^0)^2 \leq \varepsilon^2,
\]
then it is determined uniquely in the cone
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - x_1^0)^2 \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - z_1^0)^2 \frac{1}{2} \leq a \quad (a > \varepsilon).
\]
(9)
This result can be generalized for many other domains by ultra-Lorentz transformations
\[
x_1' = (x_1 + \beta z_1) (1 - \beta^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}},
\]
\[
x_2' = (x_2 + \alpha z_2) (1 - \alpha^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}},
\]
\[
z_1' = (\beta x_1 + z_1) (1 - \beta^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}},
\]
\[
z_2' = (\alpha x_2 + z_2) (1 - \alpha^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}},
\]
with different parameters \( |\alpha|, |\beta| < 1 \).
Let \( x_1^0 = x_2^0 = z_1^0 = z_2^0 = 0 \) in (8), (9). Consider the method of continuation of solution of ultrahyperbolic equation (7). Let

\[ r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \quad \tan \phi = \frac{x_2}{x_1}, \quad \rho = \sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2}, \quad \tan \phi = \frac{z_2}{z_1}, \]

\[ F(r, \phi, \rho, \phi) = F(\tilde{x}(r, \phi), \tilde{z}(\rho, \phi)), \]

with \( r = a \). From equation (7) in polar coordinates

\[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \phi^2} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho \frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \phi^2}, \]

we get the solutions

\[ J_n(\lambda \frac{r}{a}) J_m(\lambda \frac{\rho}{a}) e^{i(n \phi + m \phi)}, \]

because \( J_n(\lambda \frac{r}{a}) e^{i(n \phi)} \) are the eigenfunctions of Laplace operator in polar coordinates \((r, \phi)\) corresponding to the eigenvalue \(-\frac{n^2}{\lambda^2}\) (Vilenkin, 1965).

Thus we have

\[ F(r, \phi, \rho, \phi) = \sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{mnk} J_n(\lambda \frac{r}{a}) J_m(\lambda \frac{\rho}{a}) e^{i(n \phi + m \phi)}, \quad (10) \]

where \( \lambda_{nk} \) are the roots of equations for Bessel’s functions \( J_n(\lambda_{nk}) = 0 \). The coefficients \( A_{mnk} \) can be calculated by the formulae

\[ A_{mnk} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\int_0^\epsilon F_{mnk}(\rho) d\rho}{2 \pi a^2 J_{n+1}(\lambda_{nk}) \int_0^\epsilon J_m(\lambda_{nk} \rho) d\rho}, \]

\[ F_{mnk}(\rho) = \int_0^\rho \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(r, \phi, \rho, \phi) r J_n(\lambda_{nk} \rho) e^{i(n \phi + m \phi)} dr d\phi d\rho. \]

Using the theorem of sum of Bessel’s functions (Korn, 1973), we have

\[ J_{n+m}(\lambda \frac{r}{a}) J_m(\lambda \frac{\rho}{a}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{|p+i|}^{r+p} \frac{R J_n(\lambda \frac{r}{a}) e^{-i(n \phi + m \phi)} + in \rho \cos(\phi + \phi)}{\sqrt{4 \rho^2 - (R^2 - r^2 - \rho^2)^2}} sgn \sin(\phi + \phi), \quad (12) \]

where

\[ R^2 = r^2 + \rho^2 - 2r \rho \cos(\phi + \phi), \quad e^{2i\omega} = \frac{r - \rho e^{-i(\phi + \phi)}}{r - \rho e^{i(\phi + \phi)}}. \]

Thus if the function \( F(r, \phi, \rho, \phi) \) is known in domain \( 0 \leq r \leq a, 0 \leq \rho \leq \epsilon \), then we can calculate the series (10) with the coefficients (11). But the right-hand part of expression (10) is known in the domain \( 0 \leq r + \rho \leq a \) because in formula (12) the variables \( r \) and \( \rho \) are equivalent (interchangeable).

Consequently we have

**Theorem 1.** If the function \( F(x, z) \) is known in the domain (8), then it is determined in the cone (9) by formulae (10), (11).

There are some difficulties with the choice of boundary condition for the function \( F(r, \phi, \rho, \phi) \) when \( r = a \). Apparently the boundary condition \( \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=a} = 0 \) is less restrictive. In that case \( \lambda_{nk} \) in the formula (10) are the roots of equation \( J_n(\lambda_{nk}) = 0, k = 1,2, \ldots \).
If we have \( a = \infty \), then we can determine the function \( F(r, \varphi, \rho, \psi) \) for \( 0 \leq r + \rho < +\infty \) by using the Fourier-Bessel transform

\[
F(r, \varphi, \rho, \psi) = \sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} A_{mn}(\lambda) J_n(\lambda r) J_m(\lambda \rho) d\lambda d\phi e^{-i(m\varphi + n\psi)} \right),
\]

where

\[
A_{mn}(\lambda) = (2\pi)^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(r, \varphi, \rho, \psi) e^{-i(m\varphi + n\psi)} J_n(\lambda r) J_m(\lambda \rho) dr d\phi.
\]

**The domains of dependence of pole figures**

Considers the applications of the given results to the problem of calculating domains of dependence of pole figures for polycrystalline samples with different lattice symmetries. We have the variables

\[
\vec{x}^{\pm} = (x_1^{\pm}, x_2^{\pm}), \quad \vec{z}^{\pm} = (z_1^{\pm}, z_2^{\pm})
\]
in spherical coordinates of the unit vectors

\[
\vec{h}_i = \{\theta, \Phi\}, \quad y = \{\chi, \eta\}, \quad 0 \leq \theta, \quad \chi \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \phi, \quad \eta < 2\pi,
\]

\[
x_1^{\pm} = \frac{2\sin \chi \cos \eta}{\cos \chi \cos \theta}, \quad x_2^{\pm} = \frac{2\sin \chi \sin \eta}{\cos \chi \cos \theta},
\]

\[
z_1^{\pm} = \pm \frac{2\sin \phi \sin \chi}{\cos \chi \cos \theta}, \quad z_2^{\pm} = \pm \frac{2\sin \phi \cos \chi}{\cos \chi \cos \theta}, \quad \cos \chi \neq \pm \cos \theta.
\]

For polar coordinates we get

\[
r^* = \frac{2\sin \chi}{|\cos \chi \cos \theta|}, \quad \rho^* = \frac{2\sin \phi}{|\cos \chi \cos \theta|}, \quad \varphi = \eta, \quad \psi = \Phi.
\]

We have the following implication from (3), (8), (9).

If the functions \( F^* \) are known in the domains

\[
D^* = \{\sin \chi \leq \frac{a}{2} \mid \cos \chi \cos \theta \}, \quad D^* = \{\sin \phi \leq \frac{a}{2} \mid \cos \chi \cos \theta \},
\]

then they are determined uniquely in the domains

\[
G^* = \{ (\sin \chi + \sin \phi) \leq \frac{\max(a, \epsilon)}{2} \mid \cos \chi \cos \theta \},
\]

The application of the results for continuation of solutions of ultrahyperbolic equations for pole figures is in (Ivanova, Savyolova, 1993). We cannot distinguish every component \( F^* \) and \( F^- \) for all pole figures except one \( PF \) with \( h_0 = \{\theta_0, \Phi_0\}, \theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2} \). The equality \( F^* = F^- \) holds for this \( PF \).

From \( PF \) with \( h = (\theta_0, \Phi_0), \theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2} \) the function \( F(r, \varphi, \rho, \psi) \) is known for \( \rho = \sqrt{r^2 + 4}, \)

\[
\varphi = \phi_0 = \Phi_0, \quad 0 \leq r < +\infty, \quad 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi.
\]

We can calculate

\[
F_n(r, \rho, \phi_0) = \int_0^{2\pi} F(r, \varphi, \rho, \psi)e^{-i\phi} d\varphi,
\]

when \( \rho = \sqrt{r^2 + 4}, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \ldots \)
The function \( F_n(r, \rho, \phi_0) \) with \( \rho = \sqrt{r^2 + 4} \) can be defined with the formula (10) by

\[
F_n(r, \rho, \phi_0) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{mk}^n \left( \lambda_{nk} \frac{r}{a} \right) J_n \left( \lambda_{nk} \frac{\rho}{a} \right) e^{im\phi_0} (2\pi).
\]  

(16)

Denote the integral for the function \( F_n(r, \sqrt{r^2 + 4}, \phi_0) \) by

\[
F_{np} = \int_0^a F_n(r, \sqrt{r^2 + 4}, \phi_0) r J_s(\lambda_{sp} \frac{r}{a}) \frac{dr}{\sqrt{r^2 + 4}} = \frac{a^2}{2} J_{s+1}^2(\lambda_{sp}).
\]  

(17)

Using the formulas (16), (17) we get the system of algebraic equations for the unknown coefficients \( A_{mk}^n \)

\[
F_{np} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{mk}^n B_{mkp},
\]

where

\[
B_{mkp} = (2\pi)e^{im\phi_0} \int_0^a r J_s(\lambda_{sp} \frac{r}{a}) J_s(\lambda_{sp} \frac{\rho}{a}) J_m(\lambda_{mk} \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + 4}}{a}) dr,
\]

\( m, n, s = 0, \pm 1, ..., k, p = 0, 1, ... \)

Then all \( PF \) can be determined in the domains \( 2 \leq r^2 + \rho^2 \leq a \) \((a > 2)\) by formulas (3), (10) with the calculated coefficients \( A_{mk}^n \). The system has the unique solution when the function \( F \) does not depend on the variable \( \phi \).

Let us consider the application of these results to materials with every kind of lattice symmetry. If we know \( PF \) with \( \vec{h}_o = \{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \Phi_0 \} \), the function \( F(r, \Phi, \rho) \) can be calculated in the domain

\[
D = \{ r + \rho \geq 2 \}.
\]

Then every \( PF \) with \( \vec{h}_i = \{ \theta_i, \Phi_i \}, 0 < \theta_i < \frac{\pi}{2} \), can be determined by the formula (3) in the domain

\[
G = \{ \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i \leq \chi \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_i \},
\]

where \( G = G^+ \cap G^- \),

\[
G^z = \{ r^z + \rho^z \geq 2 \} = \left\{ \frac{\sin \chi + \sin \theta_i}{\cos \chi + \cos \theta_i} \geq 1 \right\} \quad \text{(see appendix)}.
\]

If \( PF \) with \( \vec{h}_o = \{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \Phi_0 \} \) is known partially in the domain

\[
D = \{ 2 \leq r + \rho \leq a \} = \{ 0 \leq \chi \leq \chi_1, \pi - \chi_1 \leq \chi \leq \pi \},
\]

where \( 2 < a < +\infty \)

\[
\chi_1 = \cos^{-1}(a^2 + 4),
\]

then every \( PF \) with \( \vec{h}_i = \{ \theta_i, \Phi_i \}, 0 < \theta_i < \frac{\pi}{2} \), can be calculated in the domain

\[
G = G^+ \cap G^- \quad \text{and} \quad G^z = \{ 2 \leq r^2 + \rho^2 \leq a \} = \left\{ 1 \leq \frac{\sin \chi + \sin \theta_i}{\cos \chi + \cos \theta_i} \leq \frac{a}{2} \right\}.
\]
Two cases are possible. Let \( 2 \leq a \leq 2(2 + \sqrt{3}) \), then \( 0 < \theta^* \leq \frac{\pi}{6} \), where
\[
\theta^* = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - \frac{\pi}{4}.
\]
In this case we have 4 possibilities (see appendix):

1a) if \( \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta^* < \theta_i < \frac{\pi}{2} \), then
\[
G = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta < \chi < -\frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_i + 2\theta^*, \quad 3\pi - 2\theta^* - \theta_i < \chi < \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_i \right\};
\]

1b) if \( 2\theta^* < \theta_i < \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta^* \), then
\[
G = \emptyset;
\]

1c) if \( \theta^* < \theta_i < 2\theta^* \), then
\[
G = \left\{ \frac{3\pi}{2} - 2\theta^* + \theta_i < \chi < \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\theta^* - \theta_i \right\};
\]

1d) if \( 0 < \theta_i < \theta^* \), then
\[
G = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i < \chi < \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_i \right\}.
\]

In Figures 1, 1a – 1d the domain \( G \) with \( 2 \leq a < +\infty \) is shown as a black portion of the entire domain \( G \) with \( a = \infty \) that can be determined from \( PF \) with \( \hat{h}_o = \{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \Phi_o \} \). The difference between them, corresponding to the domain
\[
\{ r^2 + \rho^2 \geq a \},
\]
is hatched in Figures 1, 1a – 1d. Four variants 1a – 1d for the domain \( G \) are given in Figures 1a – 1d respectively.

The domain \( \{ r^2 + \rho^2 \geq 2 \} \) cannot be determined from \( PF \) with \( \hat{h}_o = \{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \Phi_o \} \) (blank part of \( PF \) in Figures 1a – 1d).

In the second case we have \( a > 2(2 + \sqrt{3}) \), \( \theta^* > \frac{\pi}{6} \) (see appendix). Let
\[
\theta^{**} = \frac{3\pi}{4} - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta^*.
\]

Figure 1 The domain \( D \) with \( 2 < a < 2(2 + \sqrt{3}) \) (black) and the other part of \( PF \) with \( \hat{h}_o = \{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \Phi_o \} \) (hatched).
Four variants are possible:

2a) if $2\theta^* < \theta_i < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then

$$G = \{\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i < \chi < -\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\theta^* + \theta_i, \frac{3\pi}{2} - 2\theta^* - \theta_i < \chi < \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_i\};$$

2b) if $\theta^{**} < \theta_i < 2\theta^*$, then

$$G = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i < \chi < -\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\theta^* + \theta_i, \\ \frac{3\pi}{2} - 2\theta^* - \theta_i < \chi < \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_i. \end{cases}$$

2c) if $\theta^* < \theta_i < \theta^{**}$, then

$$G = \{\frac{3\pi}{2} - 2\theta^* + \theta_i < \chi < 2\theta^* - \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i\};$$

2d) if $0 < \theta_i < \theta^*$, then

$$G = \{\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i < \chi < \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_i\}.$$
Figure 2  The domain $D$ with $a > 2(2 + \sqrt{3})$ (black) and the other part of $PF$ with $\overline{h_o} = \{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \Phi_o \}$ (hatched).

Figure 2a  The domain $G$ for $2\theta^* < \theta_i < \frac{\pi}{2}$.  Figure 2b  The domain $G$ for $\theta^* < \theta_i < 2\theta^*$.

Figure 2c  The domain $G$ for $\theta^* < \theta_i < \theta^{**}$.  Figure 2d  The domain $G$ for $0 < \theta_i < \theta^*$.

In Figures 2, 2a – 2d the domain $G$ with $2(2 + \sqrt{3}) \leq a < +\infty$ and the domain with $\{ r^z + \rho^z \geq a \}$

are marked off like in the first case. By contrast to the Figures 1, 1a – 1d for every $PF$ with $0 < \theta_i < \frac{\pi}{2}$ the domain $G$ is not equal to zero (see figure 1b), because in the second case the parameter $a$ is greater than in the first case. The domain $G$ has the form of two rings in Figure 2b. For every $a \geq 2$ for $PF$ with $\overline{h_i} = \{ \theta_i, \Phi_i \}$, $\theta_i = 0$, we have $G = \emptyset$. 
If in formula (10) we have no variables $\varphi$, $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$ then we can get every pole figure from one \(PF\) with $\vec{h}_0 = \{\theta_0, \Phi_0\}$, $\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ from its analytical formula by replacing

$$r = \frac{2\sin x}{\cos x}, \quad \rho = \frac{2}{\cos x},$$

with

$$r^\pm = \frac{2\sin x}{\cos x + \cos \theta}, \quad \rho^\pm = \frac{2\sin \theta}{\cos x + \cos \theta},$$

(18)

respectively.

For example, for approximation of \(PF\{10\bar{1}0\}\) for a simple axial texture of beryllium in Figure 3a we have

$$P_{(10\bar{1}0)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{4\varepsilon^2}\right)$$

with $\varepsilon = 0.62$

$$\cos \beta = \frac{16 - (r^2 - \rho^2)^2 + 16\rho}{16 + (r^2 - \rho^2)^2 + 8(r^2 - \rho^2)^2}, \quad r = \frac{2\sin x}{\cos x}, \quad \rho = \frac{2}{\cos x}.$$  

For every \(PF\) with $\vec{h} = \{\theta, \Phi\}$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \Phi < 2\pi$ we get the formula

$$P_x(r^\pm, \rho^\pm) = F^+ + F^-, \quad F^\pm = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{4\varepsilon^2}\right),$$

(19)

$$\cos \beta^\pm = \frac{16 - [(r^\pm)^2 - (\rho^\pm)^2]^2 + 16r^\pm\rho^\pm}{16 + [(r^\pm)^2 - (\rho^\pm)^2]^2 + 8[(r^\pm)^2 - (\rho^\pm)^2]}$$

with $r^\pm, \rho^\pm$ (18).

We get for \(PF\{0001\}\) (see Figure 3b) $\vec{h}_0 = \{0, 0\}$ from formula (19)

$$P_{(0001)}(r^\pm, \rho^\pm) = F^+ + F^-,$$

where

$$r_\pm = \frac{2\sin x}{1 + \cos x}, \quad \rho = 0,$$

thus

$$P_{(0001)}(r^\pm) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\{\exp\left(-\frac{(\beta^+)^2}{4\varepsilon^2}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{(\beta^-)^2}{4\varepsilon^2}\right)\},$$

$$\cos \beta^\pm = \frac{4 - (r^\pm)^2}{4 + (r^\pm)^2}.$$

In Figures 3a and 3b \(PF\{10\bar{1}0\}\) and \{0001\} are shown respectively for beryllium (continuous line) and for the model function (dotted line).
Figure 3a  $PF\{10\bar{1}0\}$ for beryllium (continuous line), model function (dotted line).

Figure 3b  $PF\{0002\}$ for beryllium (continuous line), model function (dotted line).
CONCLUSION

In the present paper we get ultrahyperbolic differential equations that allow us to calculate the domains of dependence for pole figures with different lattice symmetries. We get the methods of continuation of solutions of ultrahyperbolic equations and domains of dependence of pole figures. Using these results we can calculate the domains of PF without measuring.
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Appendix

For the domains

\[ G^± = \{ r^± + \rho^± ≥ 2 \} = \{ \sin χ + \sin θ ≥ |\cos χ ± \cos θ| \}, \quad 0 ≤ χ, \quad θ ≤ π, \]

we get

\[ G^± = \{ |χ - θ| ≤ \frac{π}{2} \}, \quad G^+ = \{ \frac{π}{2} ≤ χ + θ ≤ \frac{3π}{2} \}. \]

Thus for the domain \( G = G^+ ∩ G^- \) we have

\[ G = \{ \frac{π}{2} - θ < χ < \frac{π}{2} + θ \}. \]

In picture 4 the domains \( G^+, G^-, G \) are shown. In Figures 4a - 4c the domains \( G^+, G^-, G \) are shown, where

\[ G^± = \{ 2 ≤ r^± + \rho^± ≤ a \} = \{ 1 ≤ \frac{\sin χ + \sin θ}{|\cos χ ± \cos θ|} ≤ a \}, \quad G = G^+ ∩ G^-, \]

for cases:

in Figure 4a we have \( 2 < a < 2(2 + \sqrt{3}) \), or \( 0 < θ^* ≤ \frac{π}{6} \), where

\[ θ^* = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) - \frac{π}{4}; \]

in Figure 4b the parameter \( a \) is equal to \( 2(2 + \sqrt{3}) \) or \( θ^* = \frac{π}{6} \);

in Figure 4c we have \( 2(2 + \sqrt{3}) < a < +∞ \) or \( \frac{π}{6} < θ^* < \frac{π}{2} \).

When \( a = ∞ \) we get the maximum domain \( G \) given in Figure 4. We can see all the variants, written in 1a – 1d, 2a – 2d and represented in Figures 1a – 1d, 2a – 2d respectively.
Figure 4  The domain $G$ when $a = \infty$.

Figure 4a  The domain $G$ when $2 \leq a < 2(2 + \sqrt{3})$. 
Figure 4b  The domain $G$ when $a = 2(2 + \sqrt{3})$.

Figure 4c  The domain $G$ when $a > 2(2 + \sqrt{3})$. 
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