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ABSTRACT

We have analyzed the first XMM-Newton, Swift, and archival ROSAT PSPC observations of the quasar LBQS 0102-2713. The object was selected from the ROSAT archive as being notable due to the steep soft X-ray photon index and due to the UV brightness based on Hubble Space Telescope and optical spectroscopic observations. The first XMM-Newton observations carried out in 2009 December and the first Swift observations from 2010 have confirmed the steepness of the soft X-ray photon index, which ranges between 3.35 and 4.41 for the different XMM-Newton and ROSAT detectors, the UV brightness of the source, and the absence of significant absorption by neutral hydrogen. The new data allow a combined spectral fitting to the Swift Ultra-Violet/Optical Telescope and the XMM-Newton/ROSAT data which results in a huge luminosity of $(6.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $\alpha_{ox}$ values ranging between $(-1.87 \pm 0.11)$ and $(-2.11 \pm 0.12)$. The nature of the soft X-ray emission can be explained as local Comptonized emission of the UV disk photons in the pseudo-Newtonian potential. The black hole mass is estimated from the Mg $\textsc{ii}$ line and translates into an Eddington ratio of $L/L_{\text{edd}} = 18^{+33}_{-12}$. For the dimensionless electron temperature of the plasma cloud $\theta = kT_e/m_e c^2$ we derive an upper limit of about 10 keV.
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1. INTRODUCTION

LBQS 0102–2713 is a narrow-line quasar at a redshift of $z = 0.780 \pm 0.005$ with a $B$ magnitude of 17.52 $\pm$ 0.15 (Hewett et al. 1995). The object was selected from the catalog of ROSAT pointed observations as being notable and important due to its steep photon index for a quasar (Boller et al. 2009). The photon index obtained from a power-law fit was $\Gamma = (6.0 \pm 1.3)$ for an $N_H$ value of $(4.8 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. The photon index remained steep at about 3.5 when the $N_H$ value was fixed to the Galactic value of $1.2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Dickey & Lockman 1990). The $\alpha_{ox}$ was steep with a value of about $-2.3$, comparable to the steepest values detected in BAL quasars. However, the maximum intrinsic absorption was at least a factor of about 20 lower compared to BAL quasars.

The Mg $\textsc{ii}$ line at 2800 Å rest frame has an FWHM of about 2200 km s$^{-1}$ (cf. Figure 4 of Boller et al. 2009). This value is very close to the somewhat arbitrary line between narrow-line Seyfert 1 galaxies (NLS1s) and broad-line Seyfert galaxies of 2000 km s$^{-1}$ following the definition of Osterbrock & Pogge (1985). As the 2000 km s$^{-1}$ arbitrary line is luminosity dependent (Shemmer et al. 2008), LBQS 0102-2713 can be considered as a luminous NLS1. In addition, there is strong UV Fe $\textsc{ii}$ multiplet emission between about 2200 and 2500 Å in the rest frame. All of this is typical for NLS1s (cf. Trümp & Hasinger 2008).

There are no significant indications that the object is intrinsically X-ray weak, in contrast to the argument used for PHL 1811 by Leighly et al. (2007). In the case of an intrinsically weak X-ray source one expects weak low ionization or semi-forbidden UV lines. The rest-frame EW values of LBQS 0102-2713 for the blend of Ly$\beta$ and the O $\textsc{v}$ lines, and the Ly$\alpha$ and the N $\textsc{v}$ lines are about 12 and 50 Å, respectively, when comparing these values with quasar composites the source appears not to be intrinsically X-ray weak. For the Ly$\beta$ plus O $\textsc{v}$ lines, Brotherton et al. (2001) give an EW value of 11 Å in the rest frame and for the blend of Ly$\alpha$ and N $\textsc{v}$ a value of 87 Å. Similar values can be found in the quasar composites of Vanden Berk et al. (2001) and Zheng et al. (1997).

In this Letter, we analyze the first XMM-Newton and Swift observations of the source and give tighter constrains on the important $\alpha_{ox}$ and soft X-ray photon index values, the black hole mass, UV-soft X-ray luminosity, and the Eddington ratio.

2. X-RAY, UV OBSERVATIONS, AND DATA ANALYSIS

LBQS 0102-2713 was observed for the first time with XMM-Newton during revolution 1829 in 2009 December for 22218 s. The Swift X-Ray Telescope (XRT) and Ultra-Violet/Optical Telescope (UVOT) observations were taken in 2010 August with total exposure times of 5079 s for the UVOT and 5151 s for the XRT measurements. ROSAT PSPC observations are available for three pointings in 1992 January, June, and December with 6157, 2191, and 6724 s exposures, respectively. In Table 1 we list the count rates and exposure times for the individual detectors. The unobscured flux and luminosity is derived in the Section 4.

The XMM-Newton observations were processed using SAS 10.0.0 (xmsas_20100423_180110.0.0). The spectra and response values have been calculated by using the latest pn and MOS chains. We have fitted the XMM-Newton spectra in the energy range between 0.3 and 2.0 keV where the source is not background dominated. We note that we have carefully ignored high background flaring events and find that more than 90% of MOS observations and more than 65% of the pn observations could be used in the subsequent data analysis. The spectra are binned using the grppha command. Each bin contains at least 30 counts. The Swift data were processed via the standard data analysis procedures. The data analysis of the archival ROSAT observation are described in detail in Boller et al. (2009) and are based on the xselect command interface. The spectral fitting results are obtained using XSPEC, version 12.4.0 (Arnaud 1996).

3. XMM-NEWTON, ROSAT, AND SWIFT XRT X-RAY DATA

3.1. LBQS 0102-2713 as a Steep Soft X-ray Spectrum Quasar

The ROSAT and XMM-Newton spectra have been fitted simultaneously, but allowing the respective values for the photon
indices and normalizations to vary, given the large time difference between the observations. A simple power-law model with neutral absorption has been applied. All parameters are free in the fit, except the neutral absorption, which was obtained from the ROSAT observations and which gives the most precise value due to its low energy coverage. The color coding is as follows: ROSAT PSPC (blue), EPIC pn (black), MOS1 (red), and MOS2 (green).

Table 1
Count Rates and Exposure Times for the XMM-Newton EPIC pn, MOS1, MOS2, and the Swift UVOT Detectors

| Instrument | Count Rate (counts s⁻¹) | Exposure Time (ks) |
|------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| pn         | (1.20 ± 0.01) × 10⁻¹   | 15.150            |
| M1         | (2.26 ± 0.13) × 10⁻²   | 20.280            |
| M2         | (2.10 ± 0.12) × 10⁻²   | 20.780            |
| ROSAT      | (4.80 ± 0.29) × 10⁻²   | 12.540            |
| Swift u    | 1.70 ± 0.06            | 1.073             |
| Swift u    | 5.30 ± 0.15            | 1.017             |
| Swift uv1  | 3.10 ± 0.08            | 1.218             |
| Swift uv2  | 3.00 ± 0.08            | 1.245             |

3.2. \( \alpha_{ox} \) Determination

The 2 keV rest-frame flux density is determined following Weedman (1986). For a photon index not equal to 2, the rest-frame 2 keV flux density is given by \( f_{2\text{keV}} = f(0.5–2.0) \times ((1 + \alpha_{ox})(1 + z)^{\alpha_{ox}}) \times ((1 + \alpha_{2\text{keV}}/\alpha^{\alpha_{2\text{keV}}})^{-1} - 1)^{-1} \). The unabsorbed flux in the 0.5–2.0 keV energy band is \((1.04 ± 0.06) × 10^{-13}\) for MOS1, \((9.21 ± 0.53) × 10^{-14}\) for MOS2, \((8.82 ± 0.07) × 10^{-14}\) for pn, and \((6.73 ± 0.41) × 10^{-14}\) erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ for ROSAT. Using the full range of unabsorbed 0.5–2.0 keV flux measurements and the photon indices listed in Table 2, one obtains a 2 keV flux density that ranges between \((8.83 ± 0.52) × 10^{-32}\) and \((2.05 ± 0.12) × 10^{-31}\) erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹. From the Swift UVOT data we derive an extinction corrected flux at 2500 Å rest-frame wavelength of \((6.35 ± 0.02) × 10^{-22}\) erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹. The corresponding \( \alpha_{ox} \) values range between \(−1.87 ± 0.11\) and \(−2.11 ± 0.12\), respectively. These values are somewhat lower compared to the \(−2.2\) value obtained from the ROSAT data in Boller et al. (2009) due to the steeper photon index assumed of 6. The range in the \( \alpha_{ox} \) values obtained from the additional first XMM-Newton and Swift observations provides more precise measurements.

Just et al. (2007) argued that \( \alpha_{ox} \) decreases with increasing 2500 Å luminosity density. In their Figure 7, the \( \alpha_{ox} \) values range between about −1.0 and −2.2, and the log \( L_{2500\text{Å}} \) luminosity density ranges between about 27.8 and 32.5. LBQS 0102-2713 exhibits a luminosity density at 2500 Å of

\[ L_{2500\text{Å}} = 10^{30} \text{L}_{\odot} \]
whereby in the data, the electron temperature has been fixed to 50 keV. The luminosity. The $\Delta$ value is allowed to vary to obtain the best value for the total

Figure 2. Left panel: fit to the Swift UVOT, XMM-Newton, and ROSAT data for an accretion disk spectrum which is partially Comptonized. The UVOT data describe the emission from the accretion disk and the soft X-ray flux is arising due to Comptonization of parts of the accretion disk by a hot electron layer. Right panel: the unfolded model spectrum. Note the strong UV luminosity and the X-ray luminosity close to 2 keV, which differ by about four orders of magnitude in the $\nu f_\nu$ parameter space.

$L_{\text{2500}\AA} = (5.67 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$ corresponding to a value of $L_{1\text{UV}} = (31.75 \pm 0.001)$. Therefore, the source is located at the higher end of the $L_{1\text{UV}}$ distribution. The $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ values given above on the other hand are at the lower end compared Just et al. (2007). The corresponding $\Delta\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ values are ranging between $-0.05$ and $-0.5$. All this is further supporting the trend whereby $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ decreases with increasing UV luminosity density.

4. SED SPECTRAL FITTING

We have fitted the Swift UVOT, XMM-Newton, and ROSAT data with an accretion disk spectrum $\text{diskpn}$ and the $\text{compTT}$ model including absorption by neutral hydrogen $\text{TBabs}$ and the corresponding extinction value $\text{redden}$ (see Figure 2). As absorption above the Galactic value is required, the $N_H$ value is allowed to vary to obtain the best value for the total luminosity. The $\Delta \chi^2 = 2.71$ confidence levels range between (2.3 and 3.9) $\times 10^{26}$ cm$^{-2}$, slightly above the values derived from the ROSAT power-law fit. The X-ray absorption by the interstellar medium is accounted for by the XSPEC model $\text{TBabs}$ (Wilms et al. 2000). The reddened model describes the infrared, optical, and ultraviolet extinction (Cardelli et al. 1989). The $\text{diskpn}$ model available within XSPEC is an extension of the $\text{diskbb}$ model of Mitsuda et al. (1984) and Makishima et al. (1986), and describes the blackbody emission of a disk in the pseudo-Newtonian potential (for details see Gierlinski et al. 1999). A part of the thermal disk photons are Comptonized in a hot plasma described by the $\text{compTT}$ model Titarchuk (1994). Both models components are available within the version of XSPEC that we used. The phenomenological model gives an acceptable fit to the Swift UVOT, XMM-Newton, and ROSAT data. The temperature of the $\text{diskpn}$ model is 30 $\pm$ 4 eV. The inner radius is fixed to 10 RG and the normalization is 171 $\pm$ 5. The normalization is given in units of $(M^2 \cos i)/(D^2 \beta^4)$. The input soft photon temperature of the $\text{compTT}$ model is fixed to the temperature of the $\text{diskpn}$ spectrum and the normalization is $(1.80 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$. As the high energy cutoff is not detected in the data, the electron temperature has been fixed to 50 keV. The plasma optical depth is $(4.20 \pm 0.69) \times 10^{-2}$ which is a lower limit given the fact the high energy cutoff is not detected. The reduced $\chi^2$ value is 1.09 for 114 dof.

The unabsorbed luminosity derived from the spectral fit is $6.20 \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the energy range between 0.001 and 2 keV. Using the two extreme normalization values for each relevant model component, we derive a lower value and a upper value for the unabsorbed luminosity of $6.01 \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $6.42 \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$. We note that the luminosity is comparable to the mean value of the most luminous quasars obtained by Richards et al. (2006). Assuming an Eddington ratio of 1, this translates to a lower limit of the black hole mass of $(4.50^{+0.15}_{-0.17}) \times 10^9 M_\odot$, which would appear on the upper mass scale for black holes. However, as it is known for the lower luminosity NLS1 analogues, the Eddington ratio might exceed 1 for objects with steep soft X-ray photon indices and narrow optical line widths.

A more reliable mass and Eddington ratio estimation can be obtained using the Mg II rest-frame line width which is $2200$ km s$^{-1}$ (Boller et al. 2009) and the optical observation obtained by Morris et al. (1991). Following Equation (10) of Wang et al. (2009), the Mg II FWHM line width and the $\lambda \ L_\lambda$ 3000 $\AA$ value of $3.2 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ translate into a black hole mass of $(2.51^{+4.34}_{-1.50}) \times 10^8 M_\odot$ assuming a luminosity distance of $D_L = 1.5 \times 10^{28}$ cm. The corresponding Eddington luminosity is $(3.46^{+5.99}_{-2.20}) \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Taking into account the lower and upper values for the unabsorbed luminosity and the Eddington luminosity, respectively, the Eddington ratio is $L/L_{\text{edd}} = 17.92^{+31.0}_{-11.5}$. Eddington ratios have been estimated by several authors. Onken & Kollmeier (2008) found Eddington ratios for SDSS quasars ranging between 0.01 and 1. Shemmer et al. (2004) derive values for the Eddington ratio ranging between 0.14 and 1.71 (their Table 2). We note that LBQS 0102-2713 appears to exhibit one of the highest Eddington ratios measured from accreting black holes so far.

5. SUMMARY

The NLS1 quasar LBQS 0102-2713 exhibits an unusual parameter combination derived from archival ROSAT and the first XMM-Newton and Swift observations that can be summarized as follows: (1) the soft X-ray photon index ranges between 3.35 and 4.41 and belongs to the steepest values observed so far; (2) the $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ value ranges between $(-1.87 \pm 0.11)$ and $(-2.11 \pm 0.12)$.
similar to the highest values observed in BAL quasars (cf. table 3 of Gallagher et al. 2006); (3) in contrast to BAL quasars, LBQS 0102-2713 is not significantly absorbed, the most precise measurement, which comes from ROSAT observations, is $2.85 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$, at least two orders of magnitude lower compared to BAL quasars; (4) the 2 keV monochromatic luminosity is comparable to the mean value for quasar SED's; however, the object is not intrinsically X-ray weak, in contrast to PHL 1811 which shows similar observational properties; (5) the UV–X-ray luminosity is $6.20^{+0.22}_{-0.12} \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$, about two orders of magnitude above the mean of quasar SEDs, and the ratio of the UV peak to 2 keV X-ray luminosity is about $10^{4}$ in the $\nu F_{\nu}$ space; (6) the Eddington ratio appears extremely high with $L/L_{\text{Edd}} = 17.92^{+3.0}_{-1.15}$ compared to other quasars studies; and (7) no X-ray emission is detected above 2 keV and the upper limit obtained from the first XMM-Newton observations is $2 \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

6. DISCUSSION

We have fitted the Swift UVOT, XMM-Newton, and the ROSAT data with an accretion disk spectrum in the pseudo-Newton potential. The temperature of the seed photons is $T_{\text{seed max}} = (30 \pm 4)$ eV. A part of the thermal disk photons are Comptonized in a plasma cloud with some Thomson depth $\tau_{\text{electrons}}$ and a temperature $T_{\text{electrons}}$. The mean change in photon frequency is given by $\Delta \nu/\nu = (4kT_e/h\nu)/m_e c^2$ (Titarchuk & Hua 1997). For a thermal distribution of electrons the dimensionless electron temperature is $\theta = kT_e/m_e c^2$. The scattered input and output photon energies are related by $\epsilon_{\text{out}} = (1 + \theta)^{\nu} \epsilon_{\text{in}} = 3\theta$, where $N$ is the number of scattering events which depend on the plasma optical depth. The shape of the spectrum is determined by the Comptonization parameter $\gamma = kT/m_e c^2 N$ (Titarchuk & Hua 1997). The relation between the photon index and the electron temperature is $\Gamma = (\ln\nu/\nu)(1 + \theta)$. For a given optical depth a steep power law means that the electron temperature is low. The Comptonized UV seed photons give rise to the observed X-rays as detected with ROSAT and XMM-Newton. With this model we are able for the first time to explain the UV and X-ray emission. As no thermal energy cutoff is detected in the Comptonized spectrum, the plasma optical depth $\tau_{\text{electrons}}$ and the electron temperature $T_{\text{electrons}}$ cannot be reliably determined from the compTT model. However, the Comptonization parameter $\gamma$ can be derived from the power-law spectrum. The $\Delta X^{2} = 2.71$ confidence levels for the photon indices listed in Table 1 translate to $\gamma$ values ranging between $4.5 \times 10^{-2}$ and $7.9 \times 10^{-2}$.

As the thermal cutoff is not detected, only some estimates on the temperature of the plasma can be made. We note that the source is accreting above the Eddington limit and that in such cases outflowing winds are expected with some significant optical depth. Following Rybicki & Lightman (1979), the relation between the Comptonization parameter, the dimensionless electron temperature, and the plasma optical depth is $\gamma = 4\theta \times \tau_{\text{electrons}}$. Assuming a plasma optical depth $\theta \geq 1$, an upper limit for $\theta$ can be derived. Using the $\gamma$ values given above and $\tau_{\text{electrons}} = 1$, the upper limit on $\theta$ ranges between $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ and $2.0 \times 10^{-2}$, corresponding to electron temperatures ranging between 5.6 and 10.2 keV. To check that this is consistent with our spectral data, we fixed the plasma optical depth to $\tau_{\text{electrons}} = 1$ in the spectral fit described in Section 4 and derived an electron temperature of $(6.8 \pm 0.4)$ keV, in agreement with the analytical calculations. Longer X-ray observations are required to finally detect the thermal cutoff and to precisely determine the coronal parameters.
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