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Summary

- A higher minimum (night-time) temperature is considered a greater limiting factor for reduced rice yield than a similar increase in maximum (daytime) temperature. While the physiological impact of high night temperature (HNT) has been studied, the genetic and molecular basis of HNT stress response remains unexplored.
- We examined the phenotypic variation for mature grain size (length and width) in a diverse set of rice accessions under HNT stress. Genome-wide association analysis identified several HNT-specific loci regulating grain size as well as loci that are common for optimal and HNT stress conditions.
- A novel locus contributing to grain width under HNT conditions colocalized with Fie1, a component of the FIS-PRC2 complex. Our results suggest that the allelic difference controlling grain width under HNT is a result of differential transcript-level response of Fie1 in grains developing under HNT stress.
- We present evidence to support the role of Fie1 in grain size regulation by testing overexpression (OE) and knockout mutants under heat stress. The OE mutants were either unaltered or had a positive impact on mature grain size under HNT, while the knockouts exhibited significant grain size reduction under these conditions.

Introduction

Much of the extraordinary period of exponential crop productivity over the second half of the 20th century is owed to the success of the Green Revolution (Wik et al., 2008; Pingali, 2012; Bailey-Serres et al., 2019). Despite increasing land scarcity and rising population, the development of high-yielding cultivars and improved agronomic practices have substantially decreased food deficits (Foley et al., 2011; Pingali, 2012). Although these improvements have reduced poverty and malnourishment, sustaining these gains will require even greater innovations to address the present-day challenges in agriculture. In this context, climate change, especially the rising temperatures, threatens crop productivity (Porter & Gawith, 1999; Zhao et al., 2017). The global mean surface air temperature has increased by 0.5°C over the last century, which in part is a result of a faster increase in daily minimum temperatures ($T_{\text{min}}$) compared with daily maximum temperatures ($T_{\text{max}}$) (Karl et al., 1993; Easterling et al., 1997; Vose et al., 2005; Lobell, 2007; Thorne et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2019). Rice, which is a major source of calories and household income in many developing countries (Khush, 2005; Khush & Jena, 2009; Muthayya et al., 2014; Jagadish et al., 2015), is highly sensitive to increments in average minimum temperatures. Field-level studies indicate a nearly 10% decline in grain yield for every 1°C increment in $T_{\text{min}}$ (Peng et al., 2004; Lyman et al., 2013). An extensive regional (tropical/subtropical Asia) scale study suggested that rice productivity improved with a small increase in $T_{\text{max}}$ but declined with higher $T_{\text{min}}$ which is projected to have a net negative impact on the rice yield (Welch et al., 2010). Further, it has been shown that $T_{\text{min}}$ has increased more sharply than $T_{\text{max}}$ for some of the major rice-growing regions of the world (Zhou et al., 2004; Padma Kumari et al., 2007).

Rice yield is a quantitative trait determined by the number of panicles, number of grains per panicle and grain weight (Sakamoto & Matsuoka, 2008; Xing & Zhang, 2010). Grain weight is predominantly determined by grain size – a function of grain length, width, and thickness as well as its degree of filling (Olsen, 2004; Hong et al., 2015). Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified major quantitative trait loci (QTLs) or genes regulating grain size under optimal conditions, for example GRAIN SIZE 2 (GS2), GS3, GRAIN LENGTH...
AND WEIGHT 7 (GLW7), GRAIN WEIGHT 6a (GW6a), GRAIN SHAPE 9 (G59), GRAIN LENGTH 4 (GL4), GRAIN SIZE ON CHROMOSOME 5 (GSE5), and GRAIN WIDTH 8 (GW8) (Fan et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2012; Che et al., 2015; Duan et al., 2015, 2017; Hu et al., 2015; Song et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Si et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018). Several additional QTLs or genes involved in signaling (G-proteins and mitogen-activated protein kinases) and phytohormone homeostasis have also been reported to control grain size (Li et al., 2018, 2019 and references therein). Collectively, these studies have greatly advanced our understanding of rice grain size regulation. However, it is not clear if these genetic determinants will persist under higher temperatures predicted for many rice-growing regions.

The effects of high day temperature (HDT) and high day-night temperature (HDNT) on yield parameters are well studied. For instance, heat stress during reproductive development results in reduced seed set owing to decreased pollen viability (Prasad et al., 2006; Zinn et al., 2010; Boksccznin & Fragkostefanakis, 2013; Hasanuzzaman et al., 2013; Jagadish et al., 2015; Fragkostefanakis et al., 2016; Röth et al., 2016; Arshad et al., 2017). Heat stress during early grain development alters the timing of endosperm cellularization (Folsom et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2016), while heat stress exposure during grain filling impacts rice grain size and quality (Lisle et al., 2000; Kadan et al., 2008; Fitzgerald et al., 2009; Sreenivasulu et al., 2015; Ali et al., 2019). Recently, it has been suggested that high night temperature (HNT) negatively impacts rice grain yield, primarily because of higher whole-plant respiratory rates (Ziska & Manalo, 1996; Peng et al., 2004; Morita et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2009; Ishimaru et al., 2009; Mohammed et al., 2013; Coast et al., 2015; Peraudeau et al., 2015; Bahuguna et al., 2017). Higher night temperatures can also alter the source-to-sink translocation of nitrogen and nonstructural carbohydrates, leading to reduced grain-filling rates, thus influencing grain weight, width, and quality parameters (Shi et al., 2013). By contrast, our understanding of the genetic and molecular variation for HNT stress response in rice germplasm is largely unexplored. Therefore, we examined a diverse set of rice accessions from the rice diversity panel 1 (RDP1, Liakat Ali et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2011; Eizenga et al., 2014) to identify loci controlling mature grain size under HNT by imposing a terminal HNT stress initiated just after fertilization. Here, we present results from two of the size determinant, length and width. GWAS revealed that Fie1, a component of the FIS-PRC2 complex, regulates grain width under HNT but is not a significant source of variation under optimal night temperatures. We provide functional validation for the role of Fie1 in grain size regulation using overexpression and knockout mutants under heat stress.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

We selected 273 accessions from the Rice Diversity Panel 1 (RDP1) corresponding to different subpopulations to screen for response to HNT stress (Table S1). Dehulled grains were sterilized with bleach (40% v/v) and water and germinated in dark on half-strength Murashige & Skoog media. Six seedlings per accession were transplanted to pasteurized soil in 4 inch (101.6 mm) pots in a randomized complete block design. Plants were grown in a glasshouse under controlled conditions (16 h 30 ± 1°C : 8 h 23 ± 1°C, light : dark, relative humidity 55–60%). At 1 d after c. 50–70% of the primary panicle underwent flowering (Sandhu et al., 2019), three plants from each accession were transferred to a glasshouse under 16 h 30 ± 1°C : 8 h 28 ± 1°C, light : dark conditions for a terminal HNT treatment and the remaining three plants for each accession were maintained under the control glasshouse conditions (30 ± 1°C : 23 ± 1°C, light : dark). HNT stress and control conditions for this study represent the glasshouse air temperatures. All plants were harvested at physiological maturity. The primary panicles were tagged at flowering and harvested separately.

Mature grain morphometric measurements and analysis

The harvested panicles were dried (30 ± 1°C) for 2 weeks. The dehulled mature grains from primary panicles were scanned using an Epson Expression 12000 XL (Epson America Inc., Los Alamitos, CA, USA) scanner at 600 dpi resolution (Dhatt et al., 2019). The scanned images were used to obtain morphometric measurements on mature grain size (length and width) using an inhouse developed MATLAB application (Zhu et al., 2020). The grain dimensions derived from the scanned grain images were checked for normality and outliers were removed. The mature grain size data were analyzed, and adjusted means for each accession across the replicates were obtained using the following statistical model:

\[ y_{ik} = g_i + r_k + e_{ik} \]

where \( y_{ik} \) refers to the performance of the \( i \)th accession in the \( k \)th replication, \( \mu \) is the intercept, \( g_i \) is the effect of the \( i \)th accession, \( r_k \) is the effect of \( \theta \)th replication, and \( e_{ik} \) is the residual error associated with the observation \( y_{ik} \). All analyses was performed in the R environment (R Core Team, 2019). Further, the adjusted means of each accession were used for GWAS.

Genome-wide association study (GWAS)

For GWAS analysis, a high-density rice array (HDRA) of a 700k single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) marker dataset was used (McCouch et al., 2016). After filtering for the missing data (< 20%) and minor allele frequency (< 5%), 411 066 SNPs were retained for GWAS. Before GWAS, principle component analysis (PCA) was performed (Zheng et al., 2012) to assess the population structure of the rice accessions (Fig. S1). Next, GWAS analysis was carried out in the R package rrBLUP (Endelman, 2011) using the following single marker linear mixed model:

\[ y = \mu + X\beta + s\alpha + Zg + \varepsilon \]
where, $y$ is a vector of observations, $\mu$ is the overall mean, $X$ is the design matrix for fixed effects, $\beta$ is a vector of principle components accounting for population structure, $s$ is a vector reflecting the number of alleles (0, 2) of each genotype at particular SNP locus, $\alpha$ is the effect of the SNP, $Z$ is the design matrix for random effects, $g$ is the vector of random effects accounting for relatedness and $g \sim N(0, G\sigma^2_g)$; $G$ is the genomic relationship matrix of the genotypes, $\sigma^2_g$ is the genetic variance, and $\epsilon$ is the vector of residuals. The outputs generated from GWAS analysis were used to plot the Q-Q plots and Manhattan plots using the QMan package in R (Turner, 2014). The suggested threshold level of $P < 3.3 \times 10^{-6}$ or $-\log_{10}(P) > 5.4$ was used to declare the genome-wide significance of SNP markers (Bai et al., 2016). Additionally, $R^2$-values representing phenotypic variance contribution of each marker (or SNP) to the total variance were calculated using the bglr package (Pérez & De Los Campos, 2014). Narrow-sense heritability ($h^2$) of the lead SNP with or without accounting for linkage disequilibrium (LD) was estimated by jointly fitting the lead SNP along with all the other SNPs or fitting the lead SNP alone via a genomic restricted maximum likelihood method (Yang et al., 2017) using the R package sommer (Covarrubias-Pazaran, 2016) as $h^2 = \frac{\sigma^2_g}{\sigma^2_g + \sigma^2_r}$, where $\sigma^2_g$ is the genetic variance and $\sigma^2_r$ is the residual variance.

Generation of transgenic plants and gene expression analysis

To generate CRISPR-Cas9 mutants for Fie1 (Os08g04290), single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) were designed using crispr-P 1.0 (http://crispr.hzau.edu.cn/CRISPR/) (Lei et al., 2014). CRISPR-Cas9 constructs were developed following the protocols described in Lowder et al. (2015). pYPQ141C vector was used to clone all sgRNAs, which were then transferred to a destination vector (pANIC6B) along with a vector containing Cas9 (pYPQ167) using LR clonase. The destination vector was transformed into Agrobacterium tumifaciens, which was further used to infect callii of Kitaake, a temperate japonica accession that carries a major allele for Fie1 (Paul et al., 2020a). For Fie1 knockout mutants, T1 segregates carrying mutations and lacking Cas9 (confirmed by β-glucuronidase screening assay) were considered for downstream analysis. T0, T1, and T2 plants were screened for mutations by Sanger sequencing. T2 or later generations of mutants (fie1<sup>CR2</sup> and fie1<sup>CR3</sup>) were used in the study. Overexpression (OE) mutants (Fie1<sup>OE10</sup> and Fie1<sup>OE11</sup>) were considered from Folsom et al. (2014).

Developing and mature grain analysis of Fie1 mutants

To precisely assess the impact of high temperatures on Fie1 mutants with respect to grains, florets marked at the time of fertilization (anthesis) were evaluated for downstream analysis: morphometric measurements of developing and mature grain and single-grain weight at maturity. For developing grain analysis, florets were marked at the time of fertilization, and 1 d after fertilization (DAF), plants were subjected to HNT (30 ± 1°C : 28 ± 1°C, light : dark), HDNT (36 ± 1°C : 32 ± 1°C, light : dark) or constantly kept under control conditions (30 ± 1°C : 23 ± 1°C, light : dark). The marked florets from the respective temperature treatments were harvested at 4, 7, and 10 DAF. The images were processed using ImageJ (Abramoff et al., 2004) to extract the developing grain length and width. For this, 15–20 marked florets from four plants per treatment per mutant line were evaluated. For analysis of mature grain traits, plants were subjected to HNT until maturity, HDNT from 1 to 10 DAF and moved back to control, or constantly kept under control conditions. The plants harvested at physiological maturity were used for downstream analysis. For this, 300–600 marked grains from 15–20 plants per treatment per mutant line were evaluated at maturity. For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), mature rice grains were processed as described in Dhatt et al. (2019).

Genomic DNA and RNA extraction, RT-qPCR, and DNA methylation assay

To screen for mutations in the knockouts, genomic DNA was isolated from leaves using the sucrose method (Berendzen et al., 2005). The region of interest was amplified using Kapa3G Plant PCR Kits (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The amplicon was then sequenced for genotyping. RNA extraction and quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) were performed as described in Dhatt et al. (2019). Briefly, 1 μg of total RNA extracted from developing grains (4, 7 and 10 DAF) was used for cDNA synthesis. Gene-specific primers were used for RT-qPCR. Ubiquitin (UBQ5) gene was used as reference (Jain et al., 2006; Paul et al., 2020b). A minimum of two independent biological replicates and three technical replicates were used. The analysis was done using standard methods (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001) and plotted as log2(fold-change) (Fragkostefanakis et al., 2015). DNA methylation assay was performed using the McrBC enzyme as described by Folsom et al. (2014). Primers used in the study are listed in Table S2.

Results

Phenotypic variation for grain size under HNT stress

To determine the extent of phenotypic variation in rice for grain size under heat stress, we imposed a terminal HNT treatment beginning 1 d after flowering and a corresponding control temperature treatment on 273 rice accessions from the rice diversity panel 1 (RDP1; Fig. S1; Table S1). The grains harvested at physiological maturity from the primary panicle were measured for length and width. Both these parameters were normally distributed and were hence directly accessible for downstream GWAS (Figs S2, S3). To gain an initial insight into the extent of phenotypic variation for HNT response in the diversity panel, we examined the accessions that exhibited high and low degrees of sensitivity to HNT stress by comparing the upper and lower tenth percentiles of accessions across grain length and width. For grain length, 13 and 19 accessions corresponded to upper and...
lower tenth percentiles, respectively, while 10 and 18 accessions corresponded to upper and lower tenth percentiles for grain width, respectively (Table S3). The sensitivity or tolerance was determined by the ratio of HNT and control for each of the parameters. We identified only three tolerant accessions that exhibited low sensitivity (range 1.10–1.17; HNT/control) for both mature grain length and width. Seven accessions had high sensitivity (range 0.85–0.94) for these two traits under HNT stress relative to control conditions (Table S3). This suggested that tolerance to HNT stress for grain length and width traits is probably determined independently in rice (Philipp et al., 2018) as there are very few accessions that can maintain both grain length and width under HNT stress conditions.

Next, we aimed to elucidate the genetic basis of grain size variation under HNT stress and control conditions by performing independent genome-wide association (GWA) analysis for mature grain length and width (Figs 1, S4). We identified a total of 63 significant SNPs associated with grain size under control (length = 13, width = 8) and HNT stress (length = 13, width = 29) conditions (Table S4). The most significant SNP for mature grain length was detected on chromosome (chr) 3 (chr3.16732086) under both control and HNT conditions (Fig. 1). The gene underlying this locus encodes GS3, a major regulator of grain size (Fan et al., 2006) and explained 13.24% and 12.71% of phenotypic variation under control and HNT conditions, respectively (Table S4). Further, heritability ($h^2$) for this SNP with and without correcting for linkage disequilibrium (LD) was 0.35 and 0.42 under control and 0.37 and 0.46 under HNT stress (Table S5). In addition, two significant SNPs (chr4.4655556 and chr6.1112028) for grain length were detected only under control conditions. The region spanning the SNP on chr 4 has previously been reported as deformed interior floral organ 1, which regulates rice reproductive development (Sun et al., 2017). The SNP on chr 6 is an expressed protein (Os06g03030). A single major locus on chr 12 (SNP: chr12.25310347) was only detected for mature grain length under HNT and explained 6.18% of phenotypic variation (Table S4). This SNP is in the intergenic region of two expressed proteins, Os12g40930 and Os12g40940, which have low transcript abundance in developing seeds. This HNT-specific locus is not known to be associated with rice grain length in other mapping studies under optimal temperatures.

For grain width, we identified several SNPs that had a higher significance under HNT or were only detected under HNT conditions. For example, the peak on chr 5 had higher significance under the HNT condition ($P < 6.87$) compared with the control ($P < 5.56$). The lead SNP (chr5.5348012) underlying this peak explained 4.1% and 6.3% of phenotypic variation under control and HNT conditions, respectively (Table S4). The phenotypic variation explained by this lead SNP is similar to the phenotypic variation detected for the same SNP from previous studies (Huang et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2011), and this SNP corresponds to qSW5/GW5, that is, a known regulator for grain width.
Another significant SNP for grain width that was stronger under HNT was detected on chr 8. The lead SNP (chr8.24070386) underlying this peak explained 5.7% of phenotypic variation and was in proximity with four genes (Os08g37980, Os08g37990, Os08g38000 and Os08g38010) encoding three expressed/hypothetical genes and a retrotransposon (Tables S4, S6). A second significant SNP on chr 8 (SNP: chr8.2098482; \( P < 5.65 \)) was associated with grain width under the HNT condition only (Fig. 1; Table S4). This SNP localizes to the intronic region of *Fertilization Independent Endosperm 1* (*Fie1*), which encodes for a protein component of the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (FIS-PRC2; Figs 1, 2a). PRC2 is involved in endosperm development in plants and some of the processes regulated by PRC2 and *Fie1* are sensitive to heat stress (Folsom *et al*., 2014). Further, \( b^2 \) values for this SNP with and without correcting for LD were 0.19 and 0.31, respectively (Table S7). Accounting for LD removes confounding effects arising from the rest of genome-wide markers and provides a more realistic contribution of *Fie1* SNP to the total genetic variation. Considering all these assessments, we reasoned that the *Fie1* locus is a promising candidate to examine further for genetic control of grain width under HNT stress.

### Allelic variation in *Fie1* may contribute to grain width under HNT stress

The two allelic groups at chr8.2098482 SNP located in *Fie1* differed in grain width under HNT stress and explained 4.88% of phenotypic variation (Fig. 2a; Tables S4, S7). The group of accessions \( (n = 190) \) exhibiting significantly higher mature grain width under HNT have the ‘CC’ allele, referred to as the major allele. The other group of accessesions \( (n = 11) \) with lower mature grain width under HNT have ‘GG’ allele and is referred to as the minor allele (Fig. 2a,b). Although the mean value for grain width for the major allelic group was higher than that of the minor allelic group under control conditions, the difference was not statistically significant.

Rice *Fie1* is only expressed in developing endosperm from 4 to 10 DAF (Zhang *et al*., 2012) and its transcript abundance is altered in response to heat stress treatment (34°C : 29°C, light : dark; Folsom *et al*., 2014). Therefore, we investigated whether...
the Fie1 transcript abundance is also sensitive to HNT stress alone, and if so, whether the allelic difference at the locus manifests through differential transcript abundance. For this, we randomly selected four accessions from each allelic group (Fig. 2b) and measured transcript abundances of Fie1 under HNT stress conditions, as well as re-evaluating mature grain size parameters in the respective accessions (Fig. 2b,c; Table S8). The transcript abundance of Fie1 coincides with the early grain-filling period in rice (Fig. S5). The major allelic group accessions (M1–M4) exhibited significantly higher transcript abundance of Fie1 in developing grain at 7 DAF in response to HNT stress (Fig. 2c). By contrast, minor allelic group accessions (m1–m4) showed significantly lower Fie1 transcript abundances at 4 and 7 DAF under HNT stress (Fig. 2c). This result supports our hypothesis that Fie1 accounts for some of the genetic variation for grain width under HNT stress. As Fie1 exhibits differential methylation status between leaves and developing seed tissue, we examined if the site of the lead SNP could be a causal SNP (CC vs GG allele) resulting in differential transcript abundance owing to presence of cytosine DNA methylation. We examined the methylation status of the region encompassing the Fie1 SNP in the four major and minor allelic accessions and did not find evidence of DNA methylation at this SNP (Fig. S6). To assess whether variation in transcript abundance for nearby genes might be involved, we examined the expression level response of four additional genes in the two allelic groups under HNT (Fig. S7). We did not detect any variations in transcript abundance for three of the four neighboring genes (Os08g04270, Os08g04280 and Os08g04310) in developing grains (4 and 7 DAF) of the two allelic groups under HNT stress (Fig. S7). One of the neighboring genes (Os08g04300) exhibited increased transcript abundance in developing grains (7 DAF) under HNT, but there was no difference in the response between the major and minor allelic accessions (Fig. S7). Overall, our results suggest that allelic difference in Fie1 transcript abundance could be a determinant of grain width under the HNT condition.

Fie1 negatively regulates grain width under control conditions

To directly address whether Fie1 abundance in developing grain regulates grain width under HNT stress, we generated OE lines and CRISPR-Cas9 (CR)-based knockout mutants in Kitaake, a temperate japonica cultivar, which naturally carries the major allele (CC) for SNP chr 8:2098482. Two homozygous knockout mutants (fie1CR2 and fie1CR3) had 1 bp insertions in the targeted region and resulted in a premature stop codon, probably resulting in truncated proteins (Fig. S8). The OE (fie1OE10 and fie1OE11) showed a markedly reduced plant height, while no vegetative stage phenotypic differences were observed for knockout relative to the wild-type (WT; Fig. S9). Under optimal growth conditions, the OE mutants exhibited a significant decrease in grain length and width compared with the WT, while knockouts showed a significant increase in these two parameters at maturity (Fig. 3a). These results are consistent with the observation of outer epidermal cells of mature grains via scanning electron microscopy (SEM), which show that the knockouts have increased cell width and length as compared with the WT under control conditions, while the OE mutants exhibited a decrease in the respective parameters (Table S9). Further, we observed a significant decline in single-grain weight for both OE and knockout mutants at maturity; however, the reduction was more severe for OE mutants than for knockouts (Fig. 3a). As Fie1 is preferentially expressed in developing grains (from 4 to 10 DAF; Fig. S5), we asked whether differences in grain length and width observed in mutants and OE lines at maturity are discernible in the developmental window that coincides with Fie1 activity. For this, we recorded the growth dynamics of developing grains (4, 7 and 10 DAF) from the mutants under control conditions (Fig. 3b). We detected a significant decrease in developing grain length and width for OE mutants relative to the WT (Fig. 3b). By contrast, knockouts exhibited an increase in developing grain length and width relative to WT grains (Fig. 3b).

Grain width in Fie1 knockouts is sensitive to HNT

Next, we examined the phenotypic response of grains that are overabundant or deficient in FIE1 under HNT stress conditions (Fig. 4). As average Tmin is moderately and positively correlated with Tmax for some of the major rice-growing regions in Asia (Welch et al., 2010), we also included HDNT (36°C: 32°C, light: dark) stress treatment to determine the impact of Fie1 misregulation on grain size under higher temperatures (Fig. 4). Unlike the terminal HNT stress treatment, HDNT stress is more severe, and hence it was only imposed from 1 to 10 DAF (Fig. 4a), which overlaps with Fie1 transcriptional activity (Fig. S5). It is pertinent to point out that given the difference in intensity and duration of these two stress treatments, they are not directly comparable for discerning the impact of daytime higher temperature. The variation in means for WT mature grain length, width and single-grain weight was not significant between the control and HNT (Fig. 4b). However, grain size, length and weight were significantly reduced when the WT plants were subjected to the HDNT stress treatment (Fig. 4b). We detected significant (P < 0.001) reduction in mature grain length, width and single-grain weight for fie1CR2 and fie1CR3 subjected to HNT (until physiological maturity) and HDNT (from 1–10 DAF) compared with the control (Fig. 4b). This is consistent with our earlier finding that minor allele accessions with reduced Fie1 transcript abundance had greater sensitivity to HNT stress. Collectively, our results suggest that grains that are deficient in Fie1 are larger (although they weigh less) than WT grains under control conditions but are more sensitive to HNT stress with regard to grain size.

Only one of the two OE mutant line (fie1OE11) exhibited a significant (P < 0.05) increase in mature grain length subjected to higher temperatures (Fig. 5b). However, it should be noted that the control level for all these parameters in the OE lines was well below that of WT, possibly as a result of reduced plant stature in these lines. The OE mutant lines did not show any alteration with respect to mature grain width and single-grain weight upon exposure to both heat treatments (Fig. 5b). Similarly, OE
mutants were insensitive to heat treatments with respect to spikelet number and filled spikelet per panicle determined at the whole-plant level (Table S10). We detected a similar outcome from SEM observations of the outer epidermal cells of the mature grain. While the knockouts and WT showed significant reduction in cell length and cell width of the outer epidermal cell on exposure to higher temperatures, no alterations were observed for OE mutants (Fig. 5; Table 1). Given the difference in seed size, we examined the transcript abundance of several known rice genes that positively (\textit{BIG GRAIN 1}, \textit{BG1}) or negatively (\textit{GRAIN SIZE ON CHROMOSOME 5}, \textit{GSE5}; \textit{GRAIN LENGTH 7}, \textit{GL7}; \textit{SLENDER GRAIN}, \textit{SLG}; \textit{WIDE THICK GRAIN 1}, \textit{WTG1}) regulate grain size. We observed that three out of the four negative regulators show higher transcript abundance in knockout mutants compared with the WT and OE mutants at 4, 7 and 10 DAF under higher temperatures (HNT and HDNT; Fig. S10). By contrast, \textit{BG1} showed lower transcript abundance in the knockouts compared with the WT and OE mutants at 7 and 10 DAF under higher temperatures (Fig. S10). These results suggest that lower abundance of \textit{Fie1} in developing grains under heat stress alters expression of several key rice grain size genes.

The contrasting response of OE and knockout \textit{Fie1} mutants on mature grain phenotypes in response to heat stress led us to investigate its effect on earlier stages of grain development (Fig. 6). For this, we examined the \textit{Fie1} transcript abundances at 4, 7, and 10 DAF under HNT and HDNT in WT grains (Fig. 6a,b). The \textit{Fie1} transcript abundance at 4 DAF declines significantly under HNT as well as HDNT conditions relative to control (Fig. 6b). At 7 and 10 DAF, the \textit{Fie1} transcript abundance was significantly lower under HDNT than under control conditions, whereas it did not show any alteration under HNT at the 7 and 10 DAF time points. This suggested that the molecular response of \textit{Fie1} to HNT and HDNT stress may be distinct over a temporal scale. We next measured the length and width of developing grains corresponding to 4, 7 and 10 DAF, a developmental window that coincides with \textit{Fie1} transcript abundance (Fig. S5). Consistent with the mature grain phenotype, WT and knockouts exhibited thermal sensitivity as evident from the reduced developing grain length and width under HNT and HDNT relative to control (Fig. 6c). On the other hand, \textit{fie1OE10} and \textit{fie1OE11} mutants showed a significant increase in developing grain length and width under higher temperatures compared with the control. Collectively, these results suggest that the grains deficient in \textit{Fie1} exhibit increased sensitivity for decrease in grain size and weight under high temperatures, thus further supporting our hypothesis that higher susceptibility of minor allelic group to HNT stress could possibly be a result of lower transcript abundance of \textit{Fie1} under HNT compared with the major allelic group.

High night temperatures deteriorate grain quality in grains deficient in \textit{Fie1}

Exposure to high temperatures during grain development greatly increases chalkiness in rice (Tashiro & Wardlaw, 1991;
Chalkiness is often a result of loosely packed starch granules and abnormal protein bodies (Wang et al., 2010) in the endosperm. Loose packing creates more air space, resulting in decreased transmittance of light. We observed increased chalkiness in Fie1 knockouts when subjected to either HNT or HDNT (Fig. 7a). Further inspection of mature rice grains of the Fie1 mutants via SEM revealed structural abnormalities in starch granules. Less tightly packed starch grains with a more rounded appearance were seen in WT following HNT and HDNT treatment (Fig. 7b). Mutant grains exhibited similar aberrations in starch structure even under control treatment. These observations prompted us to investigate transcript abundance of a selected set of genes known to be involved in the starch biosynthesis pathway in the developing grains (4, 7 and 10 DAF) of mutants subjected to higher temperature treatments (HNT and HDNT; Fig. S11). We detected higher transcript abundance for all the tested genes in developing grains of mutants (both OE and knockouts) under control conditions (Fig. S11a). Developing grains of knockouts exhibited a general trend of reduced transcript abundances for most of the tested genes at all three developmental time points under heat stress (HNT and HDNT); however, the reduction was much more severe under HDNT conditions (Fig. S11b). Interestingly, grains from the OE mutants developing under HNT stress showed lower transcript abundances at 4 and 7 DAF, while their transcript repression was relieved at 10 DAF for four of the six tested genes (Fig. S11b).

We next examined whether the loose starch packaging associated with Fie1 knockouts is also observed in the minor allele accessions under stress, given that minor allele accessions have lower Fie1 transcript abundance relative to major allele accessions under HNT conditions (Fig. 2). Our SEM scans indicate that three of the four minor allele accessions have abnormal starch packing when exposed to a terminal HNT stress (Fig. S12). The four major and one minor allele accessions did not have an obvious starch packaging defect (Fig. S12). These results suggest that the allelic variation associated with Fie1, which results in differential transcript abundance, could be a contributing factor in determining chalkiness under HNT stress conditions.

Lisle et al., 2000; Tsutsui et al., 2013). Chalkiness is often a result of loosely packed starch granules and abnormal protein bodies (Wang et al., 2010) in the endosperm. Loose packing creates more air space, resulting in decreased transmittance of light. We observed increased chalkiness in Fie1 knockouts when subjected to either HNT or HDNT (Fig. 7a). Further inspection of mature rice grains of the Fie1 mutants via SEM revealed structural abnormalities in starch granules. Less tightly packed starch grains with a more rounded appearance were seen in WT following HNT and HDNT treatment (Fig. 7b). Mutant grains exhibited similar aberrations in starch structure even under control treatment. These observations prompted us to investigate transcript abundance of a selected set of genes known to be involved in the starch biosynthesis pathway in the developing grains (4, 7 and 10 DAF) of mutants subjected to higher temperature treatments (HNT and HDNT; Fig. S11). We detected higher transcript abundance for all the tested genes in developing grains of mutants (both OE and knockouts) under control conditions (Fig. S11a). Developing grains of knockouts exhibited a general trend of reduced transcript abundances for most of the tested genes at all three developmental time points under heat stress (HNT and HDNT); however, the reduction was much more severe under HDNT conditions (Fig. S11b). Interestingly, grains from the OE mutants developing under HNT stress showed lower transcript abundances at 4 and 7 DAF, while their transcript repression was relieved at 10 DAF for four of the six tested genes (Fig. S11b).
Discussion

We screened a large set of rice accessions to elucidate the extent of natural variation for impact of HNT stress on grain size (length and width). Our analysis suggests that the genetic basis of HNT sensitivity of grain length and width may not be determined by common regulators, as there are very few accessions where both grain length and width are highly sensitive or tolerant to HNT stress. Rice grain length is primarily determined during early grain development and probably earlier than grain width (Lizana et al., 2010; Pielot et al., 2015). It is therefore expected that the genetic determinants of these two traits have distinct temperature sensitivity across a diverse population. Our GWA analysis identified several novel loci regulating mature grain length and width under control and HNT conditions (Fig. 1; Table S4). We also identified several previously determined loci (GS3 and qSW5) regulating these traits (Fan et al., 2006; Shomura et al., 2008; Weng et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2010; Mao et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2011; Duan et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017), thus validating our experimental setup and analysis. About 10 loci (GS3, qSW5, and others) were identified as significant contributors for grain size traits under both temperature regimes in our analysis. Some loci, such as ch12:25310347 for grain length, are unique to HNT stress conditions (Fig. 1). Another notable SNP (chr8:2098482) colocalized with the Fie1, which is identified as a significant contributor to grain width under HNT stress only (Fig. 1). The major allele for the SNP colocalizing with Fie1 is highly prevalent in the diversity panel assayed in this study, indicating that the favorable allele is predominant in rice germplasm. This is not surprising, given the undesirable starch structure observed in some of the minor allele accessions under higher temperatures (Fig. S12). This inferior grain trait has probably undergone negative selection in the rice germplasm, resulting in selection against the minor allele.

![Image](https://example.com/image_url)
Table 1  Morphometric analysis of outer epidermal surface of rice mature seeds from wild-type (WT), overexpression (fie1OE10 and fie1OE11) and knockout mutants (fie1CR2 and fie1CR3) subjected to control, high night temperature (HNT) and high day-night temperature (HDNT) stress.

| Line     | Treatment | Total number of columns | Cells per column | Number of cells per unit area | Length (µm) | Width (µm) |
|----------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| WT       | Control   | 6.33 ± 0.57             | 8.66 ± 0.51     | 54.83 ± 4.31               | 95.88 ± 11.06 | 74.26 ± 4.86 |
|          | HNT       | 7.41 ± 0.52             | 8.33 ± 0.51     | 61.75 ± 5.01               | 81.09 ± 8.65*** | 59.16 ± 5.04*** |
| fie1OE10 | Control   | 8.25 ± 0.95***          | 9.80 ± 1.12*    | 81.75 ± 15.96***           | 66.73 ± 8.50*** | 53.14 ± 5.71*** |
|          | HNT       | 8.62 ± 0.47             | 8.87 ± 0.83     | 76.56 ± 7.99               | 78.14 ± 16.11*** | 46.85 ± 4.48   |
| fie1OE11 | Control   | 8.50 ± 0.57             | 8.62 ± 0.51     | 73.25 ± 5.50               | 69.79 ± 7.97  | 48.03 ± 4.45   |
|          | HNT       | 8.50 ± 0.57             | 8.12 ± 0.35     | 69.12 ± 6.19               | 76.86 ± 17.86 | 51.94 ± 10.81  |
| fie1CR2  | Control   | 8.25 ± 0.95*            | 8.50 ± 0.75     | 69.75 ± 5.60               | 77.42 ± 13.63 | 50.30 ± 11.12  |
|          | HNT       | 8.57 ± 0.25             | 8.00 ± 0.00     | 47.00 ± 2.00               | 103.67 ± 6.54 | 80.09 ± 5.28   |
| fie1CR3  | Control   | 8.06 ± 0.12***          | 8.50 ± 0.53     | 68.53 ± 3.43***            | 76.55 ± 7.91*** | 51.27 ± 4.50*** |
|          | HNT       | 7.75 ± 0.5***           | 10.50 ± 0.75*** | 81.25 ± 5.73***            | 74.05 ± 7.81*** | 56.46 ± 4.20*** |
|           | HDNT      | 6.41 ± 0.52             | 7.83 ± 0.40     | 50.16 ± 2.25               | 103.61 ± 8.01 | 80.09 ± 6.16   |
|           | HNT       | 7.50 ± 0.43*            | 10.33 ± 1.03**  | 77.66 ± 9.65***            | 73.34 ± 10.31** | 52.74 ± 5.39** |
|           | HDNT      | 6.12 ± 0.25             | 11.25 ± 1.90*** | 69.25 ± 15.37***           | 76.74 ± 13.87*** | 62.96 ± 4.92*** |

Total number of columns, cells per column and number of cells per unit area were quantified from three biological replicates. The cell length and width of outer epidermal cells were quantified from 10 random cells per biological replicate. For statistics, Student’s t-test was used to compare control vs HNT and control vs HDNT conditions for the respective plant line (± SD; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001).

Fie1 encodes a component of the FIS-PRC2 complex in rice and is related to the duplicated gene Fie2, which is a core component of the FIS-PRC2 complex. Unlike Fie1, Fie2 is expressed in most plant developmental stages, including grain development (Luo et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2016). Although, there are several instances of allelic variation in epigenetic modifications (e.g. differential methylation) underlying variation for agronomic traits (Shindo et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2014; Fang et al., 2016), we present evidence of allelic variation associated with the Fie1 as a contributing factor in grain size and quality determination in rice under high temperatures. Fie1 is preferentially expressed in endosperm (between 4 and 10 DAF; Fig. S5) and its transcript abundance in developing grains is highly sensitive to incremental changes in temperature (Folsom et al., 2014). The phenotypic variation observed for mature grain width in response to HNT is associated with a polymorphism detected in the Fie1 intron, although we have no evidence that this is a causal SNP (Fig. 2a,b). The major accesses with the ‘CC’ allele (chr 8, location 2099480) tend to have higher grain width under HNT, while minor allele accesses with the ‘GG’ allele are generally associated with narrower grains under HNT (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, two of the four tested minor allele accesses (m2, m3) have red pericarp. Red pericarp in rice is linked to a 14 bp mutation in the Rc gene (Sweeney et al., 2006). Hence, we wondered if the Fie1 allelic variation could be linked to the red pericarp. A direct link between the minor allele for Fie1 and red pericarp is unlikely as Fie1 mutants do not have altered seed color. Further, a recent work where the Rc gene is functionally restored reported that grain size is not altered (Zhu et al., 2019).

The allelic difference in the grain width appears to be a result of contrasting transcript abundance response of Fie1 in developing grains under HNT at 7 DAF (Fig. 2c). In this context, the four accesses tested with higher (major allelic accesses) and lower (minor allelic accesses) transcript abundance of Fie1 in developing grains under HNT could be contributing to wider and narrower mature grains, respectively (Fig. 2). It is noteworthy that at 4 DAF, the expression level response of Fie1 to HNT stress was highest for the major accession M2, which exhibited the greatest grain width under HNT, and was lowest for m1, which had the most slender grains among the examined accesses (Fig. 2c; Table S8). Overall, our expression analysis indicated that transcript abundance of Fie1 in developing grains is likely to be a contributing factor in determining grain width under HNT stress.

We directly tested this hypothesis with mutants (OE, fie1OE10 and fie1OE11; knockouts, fie1CR2 and fie1CR3) misregulating Fie1 abundance (Fig. S8). Knockouts should be specifically affected in grain development, as Fie1 expression is narrowly limited to this developmental stage. However, OE lines were generated with the ubiquitin promoter, resulting in expression throughout the plant. This was evident from the markedly decreased stature of OE plants in the absence of any heat treatment. Under control conditions, the mutants possessing overabundance of Fie1 transcripts showed a significant decrease in mature and developing grain length and width (Fig. 3). These results are in accordance with the previous findings that have found the smaller grain size in OE mutants to be partly a result of precocious endosperm cellularization (Folsom et al., 2014). Furthermore, the mutants deficient in Fie1 exhibited a small but significant increase in mature and developing grain length and width under control conditions (Fig. 3) suggesting that Fie1 might act as a negative regulator of grain size under control conditions. As the GWAS revealed Fie1 as a potential candidate regulating grain width under HNT, we investigated the impact of HNT as well as HDNT on Fie1 mutants (Figs 4–6). The grains deficient in Fie1 exhibited higher thermal sensitivity, as evidenced by significant reduction in mature grain length, width and single-grain weight, as well as cell width of the outer epidermal cells (Figs 4, 5; Table 1). By
contrast, the grains overexpressing Fie1 were mostly unaltered, although one line had a small positive impact on mature grain length under HNT and HDNT (Fig. 4b). Likewise, the developing grains from the Fie1-deficient mutants showed reduced length and width at all three time points of early grain development (Fig. 6c). This indicates a critical role for Fie1 acting early during grain development to maintain mature grain size under heat stress. Although overabundance of Fie1 transcripts in the OE mutants exhibited a significant increase in developing grain length and width under HNT and HDNT conditions relative to the control, this did not carry through to the mature grains in most cases. This may be related to the fact that OE plants were

Fig. 6 Developing grains of Fie1 knockouts are sensitive to high night temperature (HNT) in rice. (a) Pictogram illustrating heat stress regime. Florets were marked at the time of fertilization, and at 1 d after fertilization (DAF) plants were subjected to either HNT (30 ± 1°C : 28 ± 1°C, light : dark), high day-night temperature (HDNT; 36 ± 1°C : 32 ± 1°C, light : dark) or constantly kept under control conditions (30 ± 1°C : 23 ± 1°C, light : dark). The marked florets were harvested at 4, 7 and 10 DAF (marked with black dots) for downstream analysis. (b) Relative transcript abundance of Fie1 in the wild-type (WT) at 4, 7 and 10 DAF under HNT and HDNT conditions. Values were normalized against control for the respective time point. Error bars represent SD. For statistics, a t-test was used: ***, P < 0.001; *, P < 0.05. (c) Top panel: representative images of developing grain (4, 7 and 10 DAF) of WT, overexpression (fie1OE10 and fie1OE11) and knockout mutants (fie1CR2 and fie1CR3) under control, HNT, and HDNT conditions. Images were digitally extracted and scaled for comparison (bar, 1 cm). Developing grain length (middle panel) and width (bottom panel) of the mutants. Error bars represent SE. For statistics, a t-test was used to compare HNT and HDNT to the control (n = 15–20 marked developing seeds from four plants per treatment per line). ***, P < 0.001; **, P < 0.01; *, P < 0.05.
smaller in stature and were possibly compromised in their ability to supply resources to grains throughout their development in comparison to control plants. Also, the WT Kitaake variety used for transformation already has the ‘CC’ major allele, which may have maximized the benefit from Fie1 under these conditions. The increase in mature grain size and size of outer epidermal cells in the knockout mutants under optimal conditions (Fig. 3; Table S9) could be a consequence of a longer period of cellular expansion of seeds and nuclear proliferation, respectively, in seeds that are deficient in Fie1, a member of the PRC2 complex in rice. The PRC2 complex is required for timely initiation of endosperm cellularization, and Fie1 transcript starts to accumulate just as the endosperm initiates cellularization (Gehring, 2013; Pires, 2014). Therefore, Fie1 deficiency could delay this PRC2-mediated endosperm transition. Delayed cellularization is also associated with increased auxin concentrations in Arabidopsis (Figueiredo et al., 2016; Batista et al., 2019). It is feasible that higher auxin concentrations in Fie1-deficient, overproliferating coenocytic endosperm inhibit cell wall formation and increase auxin transport to seed coat tissue, resulting in cellular expansion and hence larger cell size (Batista et al., 2019; Paul et al., 2020a). The potential mechanistic link between Fie1 and auxin accumulation and transport needs further examination. Similarly, the potential molecular association between reduced grain size of Fie1 knockouts under heat stress and transcript abundance of grain size regulators needs to be explored in future studies.

It is noteworthy that even though Fie1 knockouts have larger grains, their grain weight under control conditions is lower relative to the WT. We reasoned that it could be a result of less dense packaging of the starch in the knockout endosperm (Fig. 7). The striking difference in packaging density in the knockouts relative to the WT, and to a considerable degree for OE mutants (Fig. 7), is consistent with greater grain size and lower grain weight (Fig. 3). Further, gene expression analysis in the developing grains exposed to HNT and HDNT suggested that the observed chalky phenotype is associated with misregulation of starch biosynthesis genes (Fig. S11). Chalkiness in rice is also associated with nitrogen status of the seeds (Wada et al., 2019). It remains to be determined if some of the Fie1 mutant phenotypes under heat stress could be ameliorated by supplemental nitrogen. Collectively, these data suggest that the early endosperm development events in response to heat stress likely have downstream impact on starch accumulation, packaging, and overall grain quality besides decreasing grain size.

**Conclusion**

Several studies exploring natural variation in the regulation of important agronomic traits to improve rice grain yield and quality have been conducted. However, these studies have largely focused on testing the performance of the identified alleles under optimal conditions. The impact of these alleles under suboptimal temperatures has not been determined. Here, we show that for two grain size traits, some of the allelic variation is persistent across optimal and HNT stress conditions, while other novel alleles are specific for HNT.

---

**Fig. 7** Misregulation of Fie1 alters starch quality under heat stress in rice. (a) Representative light-box images of 50 mature grains from wild-type (WT), overexpression (fie1OE10 and fie1OE11) and knockout mutants (fie1CR2 and fie1CR3) subjected to control, high night temperature (HNT) and high day-night temperature (HDNT) during grain development (bar, 1 cm). (b) Cross-sections of mature grain of WT and mutants subjected to control, HNT and HDNT conditions observed via scanning electron microscopy (bar, 20 µm).
conditions. We present evidence for one such variant within Fie1, which is probably associated with grain width under HNT stress. Although differential methylation at DNA and histone level has been reported to be the basis for variation of some agronomic traits, there are not many instances reported for allelic variation associated with an epigenetic regulator itself which results in phenotypic variation for agronomic traits. Our work suggests that Fie1 is one such regulator. The mechanistic basis of differential transcript abundance of Fie1 among the two allelic groups remains to be elucidated. Based on findings from our work and previous reports, it is possible that Fie1 function diverged following duplication from Fie2 to specifically enhance reproductive success in a range of environments with varying temperatures. As higher dosage of Fie1 could potentially stabilize grain size and grain quality across a wider temperature range, it was probably selected by farmers and/or breeders across multiple environments, and hence the observed predominance of the major favorable allele in rice germplasm. Future work will also focus on deciphering the role of uncharacterized novel loci identified in this study for their role in the regulation of grain yield-related parameters under heat stress.
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