Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* cagA and iceA Genes and Their Association with Gastrointestinal Diseases
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*H. pylori* infection causes peptic ulcer, chronic gastritis, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma, and gastric carcinoma. It has several virulence factors such as cytotoxin-associated gene A (*cagA*) and the induced by contact with epithelium antigen (*iceA*). We aimed to explore the relationship between *cagA* and *iceA* of *H. pylori* and gastrointestinal diseases. One hundred and eighteen patients who attended Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Unit at Zagazig University Hospitals, Egypt, were included in this study. Two gastric biopsies were collected and evaluated by rapid urease test (RUT) and PCR. *cagA* and *iceA* genes were amplified by PCR. We found that 54 patients (45.76%) were positive by both RUT and PCR. *cagA* and *iceA* genes were present in 57.4% and 46.29% of the studied patients, respectively. *cagA* was the most prevalent gene in gastritis (33.3%) and peptic ulcer (68.7%). *iceA1/iceA2* positive genes were the most prevalent in gastric cancer (75%). *iceA1* gene was present in 38.7% of *cagA* positive cases, but *iceA2* gene was present in 45.2% of *cagA* positive cases. *iceA1/iceA2* positive genes were present in 29% of *cagA* positive cases. In conclusion, *cagA* and *iceA* genes could be used as markers for severe gastrointestinal diseases. *iceA* gene was strongly related to *cagA* gene.

1. Introduction

*Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) is a gram-negative microaerophilic spiral bacterium, which colonizes the gastric mucosa of approximately 50% of the human population in the world. A minority of the infected population suffer from chronic gastritis and peptic ulcer disease (PUD), and some even progress to gastric carcinoma (GC) and gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma [1]. Since 1994, the World Health Organization has classified it as class I carcinogen that the eradication of *H. pylori* can reduce the risk of gastric cancer [2].

Different virulence factors which play a role in the pathogenesis of the disease have been described, such as urease enzyme, flagella, adhesins, cytotoxin-associated gene A (*cagA*), vacuolating cytotoxin A (*vacA*), and the induced by contact with epithelium (*iceA*) gene [3].

*H. pylori* enters the host stomach, and then it uses its urease enzyme to neutralize the acidic gastric condition at the start of infection. Flagella-intervened motility is then needed for *H. pylori* to move toward host gastric epithelium cells. After that, colonization and persistent infection are achieved by particular interactions between bacterial adhesins with host cell receptors. At last, *H. pylori* discharges multiple effector proteins/toxins, such as *cagA* and *vacA*, leading to host tissue damage. Moreover, the gastric epithelium layer secretes chemokines to start innate immunity and activate neutrophils, further leading to the formation of clinical diseases such as gastritis and ulcer [4].

"The *cagA*, a highly immunogenic protein, is encoded at one end of the *cag* pathogenicity island (*cag PAI*), which encodes the components to form the type IV secretion system (TSS)" [5]. *cagA* production has been supposed to be a measure of the virulence of *H. pylori* isolates. *cagA* was initially
considered to act as a bacterial cytotoxin [6]. The cagA gene is reported to be found in more than half of the H. pylori isolates. It is known that cagA is associated with increased IL-8 production, nuclear factor-kB activation, mucosal inflammation, and development of PUD and GC [7].

The cagA was recognized as a cancer-associated factor long before its function was distinguished. Isolated strains from cancer patients frequently expressed cagA, while others from asymptomatic individuals or patients experiencing mild gastritis did not [8]. In addition to gastric carcinoma, cagA positive H. pylori is related to the development of gastric MALT lymphoma of B-cell origin. Eradication of H. pylori by antibiotics prompts regression of gastric MALT lymphoma in more than 75% of patients [9].

The iceA gene was identified in the H. pylori isolated from PUD and gastritis patients. There are at least two alleles of iceA, iceA1, and iceA2 [10]. The expression of iceA1 was upregulated on contact between H. pylori and human epithelial cells. The iceA1 genotype was associated with enhanced mucosal IL-8 expression and acute antral inflammation. Furthermore, it was shown that adherence to gastric epithelial cells in vitro stimulates iceA1 transcription [11]. Several studies suggest an association of the iceA1 variant and PUD [12]. On the other hand, iceA2 has no homology to known genes, and the function of the iceA2 product remains vague in spite of the fact that this allele is associated with asymptomatic gastritis and nonulcer dyspepsia [12].

The vacA is a virulence factor present in nearly half of H. pylori isolates encoding the vacuolating cytotoxin in various mammalian cell lines in vitro. The H. pylori isolates are classified according to the presence of different families of vacA signal sequences (s1a, s1b, s2) and middle region alleles (m1, m2) [13]. Consistent with in vitro results, studies in the Middle East, Africa, and Western countries have revealed that individuals infected with vacA s1 or m1 H. pylori strains have an elevated risk of peptic ulcer or gastric cancer compared with individuals infected with s2 or m2 strains [14]. On the other hand, in East Asia, as most strains are vacA s1, the type of “s” region cannot clarify the differences in pathogenesis. In turn, the “m” region in East Asia shows variations suggesting that it may play a role in the regional difference [15].

dupA (Duodenal ulcer promoting gene) may enhance duodenal ulceration and/or diminish gastric cancer development in some populations [16]. dupA product stimulates the production of IL-8 and -12 from the gastric mucosa of the antrum in vivo and from gastric epithelial cells in vitro as well [17]. It can be considered as a disease-specific virulence marker even in East Asian nations such as Japan and South Korea [18]. Furthermore, an investigation also revealed that the presence of dupA was significantly associated with eradication failure [19].

Various studies have been conducted to demonstrate the relation between different virulence genes of H. pylori and the severity of gastrointestinal diseases. Most of the previous studies investigated cagA and vacA genes [20, 21]. In the current study, we explored the relation between cagA and iceA genes and severe gastrointestinal diseases as a continuation of the previous studies in Zagazig University Hospitals, Egypt, where H. pylori prevalence is expected to be high.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Setting. This study was conducted in Immunology Research and Molecular Biology Laboratories in the Microbiology and Immunology Department, Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Unit at Zagazig University Hospitals, and Scientific and Medical Research Center of Zagazig University, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt, from January 2016 to May 2017.

2.2. Study Design. This is a cross-sectional study.

2.3. Study Participants. One hundred and eighteen patients were enrolled in this study by systematic random sample. They attended Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Unit at Zagazig University Hospitals, Egypt, for diagnostic endoscopy suffering from upper GIT symptoms or for any other diagnostic purposes or patients with previously diagnosed gastric carcinoma attending for follow-up endoscopy.

Careful history was taken from all subjects as regards age, sex, symptoms they suffer from, medications, previous endoscopy or operations in the stomach, and other extra GIT diseases. Subjects, who were less than 18 years old and received antimicrobial therapy, H2-receptor blockers, proton-pump inhibitors, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs 2 months prior to endoscopy were excluded from the study. The endoscopic findings of each patient were classified into four categories: gastritis, peptic ulcer, gastric cancer, and mixed lesions (combinations between ulcer, gastritis, and polyp or mass).

2.4. Ethics. Approval for the study was provided by Microbiology and Immunology Department Committee, Ethical Committee at Faculty of Medicine and Institutional Review Board (IRB). The ethical committee number is ZU-IRB: 22062-24-8-2015. All participants were informed about the nature and the purpose of the study and written informed consent was obtained.

2.5. Diagnosis of H. pylori Infection. For every patient, two biopsy specimens were taken from the antrum and fundus using a disinfected endoscope; one was examined by RUT, and the other one was placed in 0.1 ml of sterile saline solution and was stored in −80°C for DNA extraction and PCR [22].

(i) RUT was done by using commercial paper RUT according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Helicote-CUT® Plus; Catalog number HUP01, Strong Biotech Corporation, Taiwan). The biopsy specimen was transferred onto the test paper with the applicator included in the test kit. Color changes were observed within one hour.

(ii) DNA extraction and PCR amplification:

(a) DNA was extracted from biopsies using the genomic DNA purification system according to the manufacturer’s instructions (QIAamp® DNA Mini kit; catalog number 51304, QIAGEN, Germany) and stored at −20°C until analysis.
Table 1: Primer sequences used in this study.

| Gene      | Primer sequence                          | Size (bp) |
|-----------|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| UreC (glmM) | Forward: 5'- AA GCTTTTAGGGTGTTAGGGGTTT -3' | 294       |
|           | Reverse: 5'- AAGCTTTACTTTCTAACACTAACCGC -3' |           |
| cagA      | Forward: 5'- AATACACCAAGGGCTCCAAG -3'       | 400       |
|           | Reverse: 5'- TTGTTGGCGCTTGCTGCTC -3'         |           |
| iceA1     | Forward: 5'- CGTTGGGTGAAGCGTTACAGAATTT -3'   | 558       |
|           | Reverse: 5'- TCATTGTATATCCTATCATTACAAG -3'    |           |
| iceA2     | Forward: 5'- GTTGTCTTGTGTATTAATGAA -3'       | 120       |
|           | Reverse: 5'- GTCTTAAACCCACGATTAA -3'          |           |

(b) A sequence of 294bp in the ureC (glmM) gene was amplified by PCR (Maxime PCR Premix Kit (i-Taq), catalog number 25025, INTRON Biotechnology, Korea). Maxime PCR Premix (i-Taq) beads were designed as a premixed format, freeze-dried into a pellet. They were kept at $-20^\circ$C. When reconstituted, each bead contained 2.5U of i-Taq DNA polymerase (from thermus thermophilus HB7), 200 $\mu$M of each dNTPs, 10 mM Tris- HCl (pH 9.0), 50 mM KCL, 1.5 mM MgCl2, and 1x gel loading dye. It had every component for PCR, so PCR was done by adding a template DNA, primer set, and distilled water. It had gel loading buffer (1x) to do electrophoresis, so we did gel loading without any treatment. Primer pair used for ureC amplification was shown in Table 1. The amplification was carried out in a thermal cycler (Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler, Applied Biosystems, Singapore) according to the following program: an initial denaturation step at 95$^\circ$C for 10 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95$^\circ$C for 30 s, annealing at 55$^\circ$C for 1 min, and a final extension step at 72$^\circ$C for 5 min.

(c) Amplified PCR products were resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis (5 V/60 min) using 1.5% agarose in Tris Acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer containing 0.5 ug/mL of ethidium bromide. Molecular size ladder of 100 bp (Roche, Lewes, East Sussex) was used to determine the size of the bands. The gel was viewed and photographed over the UV transilluminator at 320 nm.

2.6. Detection of Virulence Genes. All DNA extracts of positive cases of both RUT and PCR tests were subjected to molecular detection of virulence genes (cagA, iceA1, and iceA2) [23]. These genes were amplified by PCR (Maxime PCR Premix Kit (i-Taq), catalog number 25025, INTRON Biotechnology, Korea). Primer pair used was shown in Table 1.

The amplification was carried out in a thermal cycler as previously mentioned in ureC (glmM) gene but the annealing temperature was 55$^\circ$C for cagA, 56$^\circ$C for iceA1, and 50$^\circ$C for iceA2.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. Data were collected and coded, and all analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS version 20, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA.). Data were entered as variables, represented by tables. A Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were used to assess the association among the genotypes and between specific genotypes and upper gastrointestinal diseases. Mann–Whitney $U$ test and $t$-test were used for calculation of mean difference between different groups. All analyses were 2-tailed. Results were considered statistically significant when $P$ (probability) values were equal to or less than 0.05 at confidence interval (CI) 95%.

3. Results

3.1. Diagnosis of H. pylori Infection. RUT results were observed within a few minutes up to 1 hour. If the test paper changes color to pink or red, the test of H. pylori is positive. If it remains yellow in color, then the test is negative. Positive PCR results were observed on agarose gel as a band with 294 bp in size for glmM gene (Figure 1). There were 54 patients positive for H. pylori infection by both RUT and PCR.

3.2. Detection of Virulence Genes and Their Relation to Clinical Status. cagA and iceA genes were visualized under ultraviolet light as shown in Figures 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Analysis of the detected virulence genes revealed that there were 31 (57.4%) cagA positive strains and 25 (46.29%) iceA positive strains among the 54 positive H. pylori patients. Meanwhile, iceA1 positive strains were six (11.11%) and iceA2 positive strains were six (11.11%) as well. Thirteen (24.07%) iceA1/iceA2
Among patients with mixed lesions, positive genotype was the most prevalent (75%) and 18.8%, respectively. Meanwhile, in gastric cancer patients, statistical significant association between positive were the most prevalent (30%) (Table 2). There was statistically significant difference in the relation between clinical status by endoscope and different genes of H. pylori (P ≤ 0.05) as shown in Table 2. Among patients with gastritis, 33.3% of patient were cagA positive and about 68.7% of patients with peptic ulcer were cagA positive. Fifty percent of patients with gastric cancer were cagA positive. Meanwhile, all patients with mixed lesions were cagA positive.

Among patients with gastritis, about 16.7% of patients were iceA1/A2 positive. The percentages of iceA1, iceA2, and iceA1/A2 positive in peptic ulcer patients were 6.25%, 12.5%, and 18.8%, respectively. Meanwhile, in gastric cancer patients iceA1/A2 positive genotype was the most prevalent (75%). Among patients with mixed lesions, iceA1 and iceA1/A2 positive were the most prevalent (30%) (Table 2).

(i) Association between cagA and iceA Genes. There was statistical significant association between cagA and iceA genes (P ≤ 0.05). IceA1 was present in 12 cases out of 31 cagA positive cases (38.7%). On the other hand, iceA1 was present in 7 cases out of 23 cagA negative cases (30.4%). IceA2 was present in 14 cases out of 31 cagA positive cases (45.2%). Meanwhile, iceA2 was present in 7 cases out of 23 cagA negative cases (30.4%). As regards iceA1/A2+, they were present in 9 cases out of 31 cagA positive cases (29%). However, iceA1/A2 was present in 15 cases out of 23 cagA negative cases (65.2%) (Table 3).

4. Discussion

H. pylori is a standout among the most widely recognized infectious agents around the world, and roughly half of the world’s population is estimated to be infected [24]. Multiple diagnostic techniques are developed to detect H. pylori infection and are divided into two groups of invasive and non-invasive methods according to the necessity of endoscopic biopsy [25]. Several studies considered that one invasive test could be used for diagnosis of H. pylori infection and confirmation of H. pylori eradication after treatment [26, 27]. On the other hand, other studies considered that the combination of two positive invasive tests is required for diagnosis of H. pylori infection. The present study used the combination of RUT and PCR for diagnosis of H. pylori infection.

Different genotypes of H. pylori produce various virulence factors. Urease enzyme, adhesins, cagA, and vacA are conclusively associated with severe gastroduodenal diseases. Some other virulence genes have been found, one of which is iceA, which is independent of cagA and vacA [29].

The present study has focused on characterizing the virulence genes of H. pylori from gastric biopsy specimens from patients with upper gastrointestinal diseases and their relationship with clinical status. H. pylori was analyzed for the presence of the genes for cagA and iceA.

Our study revealed that cagA gene was present in 57.4% of the studied subjects. However, several studies reported different percentages of cagA gene in different countries [22, 30–32].

In Egypt, several studies investigated the prevalence of cagA and they reported variable results [20, 33, 34]. Amer and her colleagues (2013) reported high prevalence of cagA gene (65%) [20]. Moreover, Said Essa and his colleagues (2008) reported that 62.2% of H. pylori infected patients were cagA positive [33]. On the other hand, El-Shenawy and his colleagues (2017) reported low prevalence of cagA gene (26.6%) [34]. This could be attributed to different sample sizes, different socioeconomic status, and living conditions of the studied patients.

Interestingly, our study found that cagA was present in 68.7% of peptic ulcer patients, 50% of patients with gastric carcinoma, 33.3% of patients with gastritis, and all patients with mixed lesions (100%). Likewise, several studies reported that cagA was more prevalent in peptic ulcer and gastric carcinoma than gastritis [31, 35]. Meanwhile, Kadi and her colleagues (2014) observed that cagA gene was more prevalent in patients with gastritis than peptic ulcer (85% and 77%, resp.) [32]. In the same context, Feliciano and his colleagues (2015) found no association between cagA gene and peptic ulcer, which could be influenced by the small number of patients studied with this pathology [36].

The present study reported that iceA was present in 46.29% of the studied patients. The percentages of iceA1
Table 2: Relation between clinical status by endoscope of *H. pylori* infection and different virulence genes.

| Clinical status by endoscope | Gastritis  
(N = 50) | Peptic ulcer  
(n = 27) | Gastric cancer  
(n = 4) | Mixed lesion  
(n = 9) | Test of significance | P value |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|---------|
| *cag A*                     |            |            |             |             |                     |         |
| *cag A*+                    | 22         | 44.0       | 18          | 66.7        | 2                   | 50.0    | 9                   | 100.0    | Fisher’s exact test | <0.001*  |
| *cag A*−                    | 28         | 56.0       | 9           | 33.3        | 2                   | 50.0    | 0                   | 0.0      |                     |         |
| *ice A*                     |            |            |             |             |                     |         |
| *ice A*1+                  | 5          | 10.0       | 2           | 7.4         | 0                   | 0.0     | 3                   | 33.3     | Fisher’s exact test | <0.001*  |
| *ice A*2+                  | 7          | 14.0       | 3           | 11.1        | 0                   | 0.0     | 2                   | 22.2     |                     |         |
| *ice A*/A2+                | 11         | 22.0       | 5           | 18.5        | 3                   | 75.0    | 3                   | 33.3     |                     |         |
| *ice A*−                  | 27         | 54.0       | 17         | 62.9        | 1                   | 25.0    | 1                   | 11.2     |                     |         |

N: number, %: percentage, P of Fisher’s exact test, *P* ≤ 0.05.

Table 3: Association between *cag A* and *Ice A* genes.

| *cag A*+  
(n = 51) | *cag A*−  
(n = 67) | Test of significance, Fisher’s exact test | P value |
|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| *ice A1*   |            |                                   |         |
| *ice A1*+  | 16         | 31.4                             | 15      | 22.4                             | 161.94  | <0.001*  |
| *ice A1*−  | 35         | 68.6                             | 52      | 77.6                             |         |         |
| *ice A2*   |            |                                   |         |
| *ice A2*+  | 20         | 39.2                             | 15      | 22.4                             | 164.54  | <0.001*  |
| *ice A2*−  | 31         | 60.8                             | 52      | 77.6                             |         |         |
| *ice A1*/A2* | |                                   |         |
| *ice A1*/A2*+ | 12       | 54.5                             | 39      | 40.6                             | 162.39  | <0.001*  |
| *ice A1*/A2*− | 10       | 45.5                             | 57      | 59.4                             |         |         |

In the same context, Huang and his colleagues (2016) demonstrated that the prevalence of *ice A1* significantly increased the risk of peptic ulcer compared with gastritis [1]. Our findings agreed with Huang and his colleagues (2016) as regards gastric carcinoma [1]. They found no significant risk association between *ice A1* status and gastric carcinoma in any country population, possibly due to the relatively small sample size of gastric carcinoma cases compared to peptic ulcer or gastritis. Surprisingly, Wei and his colleagues (2012) have found that only *ice A1* gene had a statistically significant association with gastric cancer [31].

On the other hand, Feliciano and his colleagues (2015) did not agree with our finding as regards *ice A2* [36]. They found an association between *H. pylori* strains harboring the *ice A2* allele in patients with nonulcer disease. This behavior has also been described in Europe, Saudi Arabia, and Turkey [12]. However, Huang and his colleagues (2016) revealed no significant association between *ice A2* and clinical outcomes [1].
The current study reported a statistical significant association between \textit{cagA} and \textit{iceA} genes, \((P \leq 0.05)\). \textit{iceA}1 gene was present in 38.7\% \textit{cagA} positive cases, but \textit{iceA}2 gene was present in 45.2\% \textit{cagA} positive cases. As regards \textit{iceA}1/\textit{A}2, they were present in 29\% of \textit{cagA} positive cases. These findings were in agreement with several studies \cite{31, 32, 37}. These findings clarify that \textit{cagA} gene could be considered a predictor for the presence of \textit{iceA} gene.

5. Conclusion

The present study showed that \textit{H. pylori} virulence genes (\textit{cagA} and \textit{iceA}) were prevalent among patients with upper gastrointestinal diseases. The \textit{cagA}+ was associated with peptic ulcer and mixed lesions. Interestingly, \textit{iceA}1/\textit{A}2+ was associated with increased risk of gastric cancer. Meanwhile, \textit{iceA}1+ was more prevalent in patients with mixed lesions and \textit{iceA}2+ was more prevalent in patients with gastritis and peptic ulcer. Therefore, these genes could be used as markers for severe upper gastrointestinal diseases. The \textit{iceA} gene was significantly related to \textit{cagA} gene.
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