Releasing cation diffusion in self-limited nanocrystalline defective ceria thin films
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Ceria is a poly-functional material, largely used in energy technologies such as fuel cells, electrolyzers and high temperature thermochemical water splitting.1-6 As thin films, ceria compounds have been proposed as key components for miniaturized solid oxide fuel cells and recently in memristors, electrostrictors and in a novel concept of “artificial” ionic conductor.7-12 In this respect, mass diffusion effects, i.e. the net flow of mass due to elemental diffusion, play a fundamental role in the materials properties.13-15 At the atomic scale, elemental diffusion controls composition, dopants and defect distribution, and segregation. At the microstructural level, mass diffusion controls grain size, porosity, grain boundaries as well as presence of dislocations and voids, therefore affecting the overall mechano-chemo-electrical properties.16-17 Due to the strong ionic Ce-O bonding at the atomic structure level (enthalpies of formation of CeO₂, Ce₂O₃ are 1089, 1796 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively), ceria-compounds are usually considered stable and highly refractory materials with slow mass flows.18 Moreover, acceptor doped ceria, a specific class of important defective ceria compounds, exhibits solute drag effects, where aliovalent and large dopants such as Sm³⁺, Gd³⁺, Ca²⁺, Y³⁺ can strongly limit the mass flow even at very high temperatures, especially at the grain boundary.19-23 Mass diffusion becomes extremely “slow” and/or “self-limited”, in highly doped nanocrystalline ceria thin films deposited on dense substrate, e.g. on single crystal or polycrystalline sintered substrates.24 As shown by Rupp et al., self-limited effects can severely inhibit diffusive phenomena at the grain boundaries even at temperatures up to 1200 °C.24

This is indeed due to the thermo-mechanical expansion of the substrate but also to the combined effect with the chemical solute drag effect in the polycrystalline material.24-26

Although hindered mass diffusion effects in doped ceria are generally dominant, there can be important exceptions. Our recent work showed that above 900 °C and under low oxygen activity (pO₂ < 10⁻¹⁶ atm) doped ceria becomes highly diffusive, with elemental diffusion increasing by several orders of magnitude. For Ce₀.₉Gd₀.₁O₁·₇⁵⁻δ (CGO) at 1400 °C, the mass diffusion coefficient increases from ca. 10⁻¹⁹ cm² s⁻¹ at pO₂ = 0.21 atm, to 10⁻¹⁰ cm² s⁻¹ at pO₂ = 10⁻¹² atm.27-29 Such a large change brings unexpected effects such as low temperature sintering, fast grain growth, porosity instability, low viscosity and even high reactivity toward other solids.27-32 Fast diffusion has indeed important implications in controlling properties and degradation as well as for the material processing.

In this paper, we analyse the “self-limited” case, where highly-doped CGO in the thin film form is constrained, as epitaxial thin film, on a sapphire substrate [Al₂O₃ single crystal]. The latter, also used in Rupp’s work, has a large lattice mismatch with ceria that gives the film columnar growth. Moreover, in air sapphire is usually considered highly refractory and chemically inert, especially toward ceria.30,31,33

Fig. 1 summarizes the structural and microstructural features of CGO deposition on (1000) Al₂O₃ by pulsed laser deposition (PLD). Scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) images in Fig. 1a-e illustrate the typical microstructural features of as-deposited film and for those thermally treated in air. As expected for epitaxial CGO on sapphire (single crystal) substrate, the film exhibited dense polycrystalline microstructure.12,24 The as-deposited film showed columnar grains of ca. 50 nm wide and 200 nm long (Fig. 1a and b) as a result of lattice mismatch between Al₂O₃ and CGO lattices.24 As a result of the thermal treatments in air, grain growth was observed at 800 °C (Fig. 1c), 1000 °C (Fig. 1d) and at 1200 °C (Fig. 1e). Thermal treatments as long as 15 hours were used to achieve the equilibrium-like configuration in the elemental interdiffusion process. Consistent with the report by Rupp et al., columnar CGO shows limited grain growth below 1000 °C, while grain boundary migration becomes relevant at 1200 °C.24 At high
temperatures, grain boundary migration occurs by inhomogeneous growth in a limited percentage of grains (ca. 20% of the grains), which achieve ca. 500 nm in size (Fig. 1e). Such effect of “abnormal grain growth” is reported here for the first time for highly doped ceria films. The abnormal growth would suggest that in an enhanced mass diffusion regime, columnar formations undergo an Ostwald ripening-like effect (OR). However, due to the columnar-like constrained microstructure, “oriented attachment” (OA) mechanisms are also possible. In the OA case, adjacent grains self-organize to share a common crystallographic orientation by joining a planar interface, i.e. the grain boundary. Crystal growth via OA mechanisms leads to the formation of crystals with irregular shapes made of “building blocks” of the primary particles. These features can be recognized in Fig. 1e, where larger grains are irregular in shape and are clearly composed of several adjacent smaller grains. The hypothesis of the OA mechanisms is also supported by the fact that the columnar epitaxial films have a preferential orientation. Fig. 1f especially shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns corresponding to the different thermal treatment in air. As expected for CGO grown on (100) Al2O3, the XRD peaks indicated that the as-deposited film grew with a dominant (111) crystalline arrangement along the out-of-plane direction. Calculation using the (111) peak measured on the 800 °C sample also indicated a rather stained lattice with a measured lattice constant of 5.49 Å vs. 5.41 Å of the expected bulk CGO. A (200)-orientation of the film was also revealed. The additional orientation can be attributed to a rearrangement of the crystal in highly strained conditions. As reported previously, both strain and multiple orientations in the film can be imposed by the large lattice mismatch, above 16%, between the hexagonal Al2O3 substrate and fluorite ceria, which can lead to both elastic and plastic effects on the lattice. However, XRD characterization in Fig. 1f shows that the film relaxes, leading to the out-of-plane direction reducing the lattice parameter to 5.43 Å at 800 °C, resulting in complete relaxation after the treatments at 1000 °C and 1200 °C for which the lattice parameter has decreased to 5.40 Å. Interestingly, as a result of the thermal treatments in air, the diffraction at the (200) direction diminished after the treatment at 800 °C and disappeared at 1000 °C and 1200 °C. It is worth remarking that, while lattice relaxation can occur without any mass transport, e.g. by structural defect formation and/or annihilation, changes in the film orientation are necessarily linked to a mass flow. The schematic drawing in Fig. 1g illustrates the hypothesis that limited mass diffusion in air occurs in the CGO films via grain boundary migration and orientation adjustments. Since mass diffusion in these thin films is self-limited, grain boundary migration occurs between adjacent columns with similar orientations, via OA mechanisms. This in principle requires minor mass transport compared with OR mechanisms, where mass has to be extensively moved from small grains to big ones.

For the samples treated in 9% H2–N2 a completely different scenario was observed. Fig. 2 shows a similar collection of SEM and XRD results as shown in Fig. 1. SEM images in Fig. 2a–c show that starting from the same as-deposited thin films and using the same temperatures shown in Fig. 1, low oxygen activity can dramatically affect the microstructure of the CGO film. Fig. 2a shows a clustering of the grains in micron-ranged domains for 15 hours thermal treatment at 800 °C, with formation of intergranular groves. Fast grain growth was also observed at 1000 °C (Fig. 1b), where the starting columnar dense microstructure is not recognizable, especially due to formation of porous regions and spherical grains. Remarkably, similar morphology was observed by Sanna et al. for Sm2O3-doped CeO2 as a result of intense oxidation-reduction cycles and electrical measurements at ca. 800 °C. Such effects are indeed the result of a mass diffusion in the CGO film which allows an extensive reorganization of the materials by flowing of mass. Moreover, these evidences indicated an intrinsic instability of the polycrystalline microstructure under low oxygen activity which was not observed in epitaxial thin films with highly coherent structure, i.e. single-crystal-like structure with no columnar growth.

The effect of mass diffusion on the films is even more dramatic at higher temperatures. Fig. 2c and d show top-view of the film treated at 1200 °C and a cold cross section of the film.
Analysis of the peaks reveals the possible presence of CeAlO$_3$, with dominance of the (110) orientation, and Al$_2$O$_3$ peaks with different orientation than the sapphire substrate. Similar diffraction pattern and formation of CeAlO$_3$ at low oxygen activity was reported in our previous work. However, that was the result of elemental interdiffusion at higher temperatures (1450 °C) and low $p_O_2$. In this work, clear evidences of CeAlO$_3$ formation are already registered at 1100 °C where the $p_O_2$ is 10$^{-12}$ atm at 800 °C, 10$^{-18}$ atm at 1000 °C and 10$^{-15}$ atm at 1200 °C. In such conditions, large defect concentration is expected in the CGO, with an incipient decomposition of the CeO$_2$ fluorite phase into Ce$_2$O$_3$ phase. This change brings chemical expansion, possibly influencing the microstructural evolution during the treatment. Although the kinetics of these drastic changes is difficult to understand by the ex situ characterization, the different steps in the transformations are clearly driven by a large mass flow in the materials. Fig. 2f illustrates a possible model for the microstructural evolution for the CGO thin film at low oxygen activity. This shows that fast mass diffusion occurred leading to microstructural changes, from the dense columnar to porous polycrystalline arrangement, toward new compounds that are formed in a single-crystal fashion.

To confirm such a hypothesis, structural and chemical composition of the phases formed at 1200 °C were investigated by High Resolution-Transmission Electron Microscope (HR-TEM) and Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy-Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (STEM-EDS) analysis, respectively. Fig. 3 shows HR-TEM and STEM-EDS line scan elemental treated at 1100 °C for 15 hours, respectively. After the high temperature treatment the continuous dense films were lost and wide single-crystal-like formations were formed together with large spherical isolated grains (see Fig. 2c). For metal oxides, such formations are generally the result of liquid-like diffusion in the range of above 10$^{-10}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$. Such a result is indeed unexpected for CGO which has high melting point (>2400 °C) and is self-limited in the nanocrystalline thin film form. Beside the microstructural changes in Fig. 2c, other evidences indicated presence of fast mass diffusion in the film. Particularly, Fig. 2d shows that embedded crystals at the film/substrate interface formed at 1100 °C. The presence of such crystals clearly indicates the presence of additional phases as a result of solid–solid reaction, i.e. by elemental interdiffusion between Al$_2$O$_3$ and CGO. Fig. 2e shows the XRD results on the sample after the treatments. Lattice relaxation was already registered at 800 °C, where the lattice contracts from 5.49 Å in the as-deposited film to the bulk value of 5.41 Å after treatment at 800 °C in 9% H$_2$–N$_2$. At temperature as high as 1000 °C and 1200 °C we registered clear evidences of degradation in the CGO phase with formation of extra peaks (see markers at the 1000 °C pattern) and formation of new dominant phases at 1200 °C.

Fig. 2 Panel showing SEM images of typical microstructural features of CGO thin film on sapphire samples thermally treated in 9% N$_2$–H$_2$ for 15 hours: (a) top after thermal treatment at 800 °C, (b) 1000 °C, (c) at 1200 °C and (d) cross-section of the sample treated at 1100 °C; (e) XRD characterization of the as-deposited and after thermal treatment at 800 °C, 1000 °C and 1200 °C; (f) schematic drawing representing the fast mass diffusion at the CGO films in 9% N$_2$–H$_2$.

Fig. 3 Panel figure showing (a) HR-TEM image of the interface between Al$_2$O$_3$ and CGO; the inset represents the FFT from an area (white) at the CGO side of the interface while the bright spots in the FFTs corresponding to lattice planes visible in the HRTEM image; (b) HR-TEM image of the interface between Al$_2$O$_3$ and the formed CeAlO$_3$; the inset represents the FFT from an area (white) at the CGO side of the interface. It reveals the presence of CeAlO$_3$; (c) STEM-EDS line scan for Al K-alpha, Ce L-alpha, O K-alpha and Gd L-alpha X-ray signal between Al$_2$O$_3$ and CGO. The upper part of the figure represents a high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF)-STEM image and the arrow indicates the scan path. The nominal beam size was 0.5 nm.
mapping of the sample at the interfacial region. Fig. 3a shows the presence of residual cubic CGO at the interface with the substrate. The cubic symmetry was revealed by Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis of the white square presented in the image in Fig. 3a (inset Fig. 3a). On the other hand, clear formation of CeAlO$_3$ was found in the sample. Fig. 3b shows an interfacial region at the film/substrate interface in a reacted area. The FFT (insets Fig. 3b) reveals the expected symmetry for the perovskite phase with a typical (110) orientation in the out-of-plane direction, as also shown in the inset of Fig. 3b. Presence of CeAlO$_3$ was also confirmed by the elemental analysis which showed a clear intermixing of Ce and Al. Particularly, the absence of both Ce and Gd at the interface (green and blue plots) suggests a preferential diffusion of Al into CGO film (yellow line) rather than a diffusion of ceria into the sapphire. This observation is also consistent with results and thermodynamic calculations we did on this system (results not reported here).

Conclusions

High oxygen defect concentration in cerium oxide can trigger fast cation diffusion mechanisms, leading to unexpected and dramatic structural and chemical changes in Ce$_{0.5}$Gd$_{0.5}$O$_{3-δ}$. While in high oxygen activity environment, mass diffusion in thin films shows very limited grain boundary migration driven by short-range grain boundary migration, i.e. oriented attachment mechanisms, it results released by the constrained conditions at the same temperatures under low oxygen activity. Some of the effects observed at low oxygen activity are formation of porosity from dense microstructure, abnormal grain growth and even chemical reaction with single crystal sapphire substrate at relatively low temperatures. These findings are thus in contrast with previous literature that showed how highly doped nanocrystalline epitaxial ceria is generally severely constrained by “microstrain and self-limited” effects and give a new insight on chemical and microstructural stability of these thin films under reducing conditions.
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