MAGNETIC FIELDS IN LARGE-DIAMETER H II REGIONS REVEALED BY THE FARADAY ROTATION OF COMPACT EXTRAGALACTIC RADIO SOURCES

L. Harvey-Smith1, G. J. Madsen, and B. M. Gaensler2

Sydney Institute for Astronomy (SIfA), School of Physics, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia; lisa.harvey-smith@csiro.au

Received 2011 January 11; accepted 2011 June 3; published 2011 July 11

ABSTRACT

We present a study of the line-of-sight magnetic fields in five large-diameter Galactic H II regions. Using the Faraday rotation of background polarized radio sources, as well as dust-corrected Hz surface brightness as a probe of electron density, we estimated the strength and orientation of the magnetic field along 93 individual sight lines through the H II regions. Each of the H II regions displayed a coherent magnetic field. The magnetic field strength (line-of-sight component) in the regions ranges from 2 to 6 μG, which is similar to the typical magnetic field strength in the diffuse interstellar medium. We investigated the relationship between magnetic field strength and electron density in the five H II regions. The slope of magnetic field versus density in the low-density regime (0.8 cm\(^{-3}\) < \(n_e\) < 30 cm\(^{-3}\)) is very slightly above zero. We also calculated the ratio of thermal to magnetic pressure, \(\beta_{th}\), for each data point, which fell in the range 1.01 < \(\beta_{th}\) < 25. Finally, we studied the orientation of the magnetic field in the solar neighborhood (\(d < 1.1\) kpc) using our data from five H II regions along with existing measurements of the line-of-sight magnetic field strength from polarized pulsars whose distances have been determined from their annual parallax. We identify a net direction for the magnetic field in the solar neighborhood, but find no evidence for a preferred vertical direction of the magnetic field above or below the Galactic plane.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the interactions between neutral and ionized matter and magnetic fields is crucial in testing models of star formation in the Galaxy. The expectation from ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flux freezing is that the total magnetic field strength, \(B\), is proportional to \(n^\kappa\), where \(n\) is the gas number density and \(0 < \kappa < 1\), depending on the geometry of bulk gas motions relative to the magnetic field lines (Crutcher 1999). To date, observational estimates of magnetic field strengths in a large number of Galactic molecular clouds suggest that \(\kappa \sim 0.4\)–0.6 in regions with volume densities between \(10^3\) and \(10^9\) cm\(^{-3}\) (Troland & Heiles 1986; Vallée 1995; Crutcher 2007; Crutcher et al. 2010). This range of \(\kappa\) probably represents an upper limit, as processes such as fast ambipolar diffusion (ion-neutral drift) may also play an important role within dense, turbulent regions (Zweibel 2002).

In contrast, regions with lower gas densities (in the range of \(10^{-1}\)–\(10^3\) cm\(^{-3}\)) appear to have magnetic fields of a fairly constant \(\sim 5\)–\(10\) μG (Crutcher 2007). A simple interpretation is that, in the absence of strong turbulence, low-density gas in the interstellar medium (ISM) is free to move along magnetic field lines without inciting a change in magnetic field strength.

The constancy in the strength of field lines without inciting a change in magnetic field strength is that, in the absence of strong turbulence, low-density gas in the interstellar medium (ISM) is free to move along magnetic field lines.

Calculating the magnetic pressure requires a robust in situ measurement of magnetic field strength, which is a difficult task. An excellent probe of magnetic fields is Faraday rotation of extragalactic radio sources, provided that the Faraday rotation due to the magnetized plasma in the region under scrutiny can be separated from the Faraday rotation due to the remainder of the Galactic ISM along the line of sight. By focusing on discrete regions of relatively high electron density, i.e., H II regions, it is possible to detect the Faraday rotation “signal” due to these localized regions above the “noise” provided by Galactic foreground and background. With this in mind, we here present a study of a small sample of large-diameter H II regions, which we use to derive the magnetic field strength and \(\beta_{th}\) across a range of gas densities.
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In this experiment, we have used Faraday rotation of background polarized radio sources and previously published maps of H$\alpha$ surface brightness to measure the magnetic field and gas density in five H$\alpha$ regions that lie within 1.1 kpc of the Sun. By focusing on discrete regions of relatively high electron density and calibrating against the mean Faraday rotation along similar sight lines, it becomes possible to isolate the Faraday rotation due to the H$\alpha$ region. The technique we use is based upon the foundations laid by Heiles & Chu (1980) and Heiles et al. (1981), who estimated magnetic field strengths in H$\alpha$ regions using the Faraday rotation of just one or two background polarized sources. With a much larger number of background polarized sources (93 in total) to choose from, we are able to significantly advance this technique to accurately measure the line-of-sight component of the magnetic field strength in five discrete ionized volumes of the Galaxy.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce our method for measuring $B_\parallel$, $n$, and $\beta_{\phi_0}$ in H$\alpha$ regions. Section 3 contains a description of our source selection process and our model for the H$\alpha$ regions. Section 4 describes our results, including maps of the H$\alpha$ regions, plots of rotation measure versus H$\alpha$ surface brightness, and plots of $B$ versus $n$. In Section 5, we compare our work with previous results, examine the relationship between magnetic field strength and gas density in our H$\alpha$ regions, and estimate the corresponding ratio of magnetic to thermal pressure. We comment on the orientation of the large-scale magnetic field in the local Galaxy, both above and below the plane. Our conclusions are presented in Section 6.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

2.1. Probes of Magnetic Field and Electron Density in H$\alpha$ Regions

H$\alpha$ regions are Faraday screens, i.e., the magnetized ionized plasma causes the electric field vector of a linearly polarized wave traversing the region to rotate by an angle that is proportional to the square of the observed wavelength, $\lambda^2$. Rotation measure, RM, is the scaling factor between $\lambda^2$ and the change in polarization position angle, $\chi$, due to Faraday rotation, i.e., $\Delta \chi = \text{RM} \cdot \lambda^2$. Quantitatively, the RM of an H$\alpha$ region is described by Equation (1)

$$\text{RM} = 0.81 \int n_e B_\parallel dl \text{ rad m}^{-2}. \quad (1)$$

where $n_e$ is the density in cm$^{-3}$ of free electrons in the Faraday rotating medium, $B_\parallel$ is the parallel component of the magnetic field in $\mu$G, and the integral along a line element $dl$ runs from the source to the observer in parsecs. Positive and negative RMs indicate average magnetic fields pointed toward and away from the observer, respectively.

The rotation measure of polarized radiation from a background source can be used as a probe of the electron-weighted parallel component of the magnetic field strength along a single sight line through an H$\alpha$ region. The most straightforward way to infer $B_\parallel$ from RM is to use a background pulsar. The dispersion measure, DM, of a pulsed electromagnetic signal from a pulsar is given by $DM = \int_{\text{obs}} n_e dl \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ pc}$, where the integral limits are the positions of the observer and the pulsar. The average magnetic field strength along the line of sight to the pulsar is simply given by $B_\parallel = \frac{\text{RM}}{\pi^2 n_e \text{DM}} \text{ G}$. However, the measured DM represents the sum of free electrons inside the H$\alpha$ region and in other gas along the same sight line. Therefore, the magnetic field within the H$\alpha$ region may only be inferred if a foreground subtraction can be made for DM using other pulsars at similar distances in the same region of sky that do not intersect the H$\alpha$ region. Similarly, a correction for Faraday rotation in the Galaxy is made using RMs of polarized extragalactic sources that lie close to, but outside the H$\alpha$ region boundary. Unfortunately, the number of pulsars for which distances have been accurately determined is sufficiently low that this situation does not commonly arise.

There is another source of information on rotation measure aside from pulsars, namely, the increasingly large sample of unresolved polarized extragalactic sources. All extragalactic sources lie at essentially the same distance (i.e. at infinity) relative to the magneto-ionized material in the Galaxy, so reliable corrections for the Galactic RM can be made using an ensemble of extragalactic sources in a surrounding region of the sky. We use the RMs of polarized background extragalactic point sources to probe the electron-weighted magnetic field strength of several different paths through H$\alpha$ regions. Our source of data on Faraday rotation is a recently published catalog of the RMs of 37,543 polarized radio sources (Taylor et al. 2009) derived from the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS; Condon et al. 1998) at 1.4 GHz.

2.2. Source Selection

To identify a suitable source sample for this study, we searched maps of the H$\alpha$ sky for prominent H$\alpha$ regions. To do this, we used maps of H$\alpha$ surface brightness $I_{H\alpha}$, with 6 resolution (Finkbeiner 2003) compiled from the WHAM (Haffner et al. 2003), SHASSA (Gastad et al. 2001), and VTSS (Dennison et al. 1998) surveys. Our search was restricted to regions at least 5$^\circ$ away from the Galactic plane, as the RM signal close to the plane is dominated by the dense magnetized plasma in the Galaxy and dust extinction maps used to correct emission measures are unreliable (Schlegel et al. 1998). We identified five H$\alpha$ regions which lie at Galactic latitudes $|b| > 5^\circ$, which have a peak $I_{H\alpha}$ at least three times the root mean square surface brightness of the surrounding region and have at least four background RM measurements in the catalog of Taylor et al. (2009). These were Sh 2-27, Sh 2-264, Sivan 3, Sh 2-171 (NGC 7822), and Sh 2-220 (the California Nebula).

Table 1 shows the basic parameters for each region adopted in our analysis, including the number of RMs from Taylor et al. (2009) lying behind each region, the diameter of the H$\alpha$ region, and properties of the ionizing star: distance, reddening, and spectral type. For each H$\alpha$ region, we defined the boundary as the line within the regions of sky shown in Figure 1 for which $I_{H\alpha} = 3\sigma_{rms}$, where $\sigma_{rms}$ is the root mean square of $I_{H\alpha}$ outside the boundary (see details in Table 2).

2.3. Calculating $B_\parallel$ for Our H$\alpha$ Region Sample

In Section 2.1, we stated that RM probes the electron-weighted magnetic field strength along the line of sight. Therefore, by estimating the electron density we can disentangle $B_\parallel$ from RM in H$\alpha$ regions. We do this by measuring the Emission Measure, EM, which is defined as $EM = \int_0^\infty n_e^2 dl \text{ cm}^{-6}$. There are several observational probes of EM, the most common being the $n = 3$–2 Balmer (H$\beta$) recombination line of atomic hydrogen at 6563 Å. In order to determine the EM corresponding to each RM from the Taylor et al. (2009) catalog, we used the Finkbeiner (2003) compilations of $I_{H\alpha}$ to estimate the electron density corresponding to each measured value of RM.
The EM along a path is related to \( I_{\text{H}\alpha} \) (Reynolds 1988) in the following way:

\[
\text{EM} = 2.75 \left( \frac{T_e}{10^4 \text{ K}} \right)^{0.9} \left( \frac{I_{\text{H}\alpha}}{\text{R}} \right) e^\tau \text{ cm}^{-6}\text{pc}.
\]  

(2)

Here, \( T_e \) is the electron temperature and \( I_{\text{H}\alpha} \) is in rayleighs, where 1 R = 10^8/4\pi photons s^{-1} cm^{-2} sr^{-1}. A correction term for dust extinction, \( e^\tau \), where the optical depth \( \tau = 2.44 \times E_{B-V} \) (Finkbeiner 2003), is included to extract the intrinsic \( I_{\text{H}\alpha} \) from the measured value. This assumes that all the dust is in front of the source, rather than mixed in the source or behind the source. Several features in the dust maps toward our five H\( \alpha \) regions were also visible in the H\( \alpha \) maps, indicating that much of the dust is in front of the H\( \alpha \) regions. We therefore applied the maximum possible correction to \( I_{\text{H}\alpha} \), assuming that all the dust is situated between the H\( \alpha \) region and the Earth. Our dust-corrected EMs should therefore be regarded as upper limits.

We adopt the following formalism to allow for possible variations in electron density along any line of sight through an H\( \alpha \) region. Our model assumes that along a single line of sight with depth \( L \) pc, the ionized material is composed of discrete clumps. These clumps occupy a total length \( fL \), where \( f \) is the volume filling factor. Where ionized material is present (i.e., within a clump), the electron density is \( n_e = n_0 \). Outside the clumps we assume that \( n_e = 0 \).

The electron density in a clump is given by

\[
n_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\text{EM}}}{fL} \text{ cm}^{-3},
\]  

(3)

and the average density along a sight line is \( \langle n \rangle = f n_0 \).

In this case, the strength of the parallel component of the magnetic field in the H\( \alpha \) region is

\[
B_{\|} = \frac{\text{RM}}{0.81 \sqrt{\text{EM}} \sqrt{fL}} \text{ } \mu\text{G},
\]  

(4)

assuming that \( n_0 \) and \( B_{\|} \) are uncorrelated along a single sight line. If fluctuations in the electron density and magnetic field are positively (negatively) correlated, then the use of Equation (4) will lead to an overestimate (underestimate) of the magnetic field strength (see Beck et al. 2003). We will discuss this point further in Section 3.

To evaluate Equation (4), RM and EM are determined from observations. The total path through the H\( \alpha \) region, \( L \), can be estimated from the geometry of the region and \( f \) may be assumed to within some reasonable physical limits. Therefore, using Equations (3) and (4) we can estimate \( n_0 \) and \( B_{\|} \) at the position of each RM measurement.

Once these quantities are known, we can calculate the magnetic pressure \( P_{\text{mag}} \), thermal pressure \( P_{\text{ther}} \), and hence the

### Table 1

| H\( \alpha \) Region | \( l \) | \( b \) | \( d \) (pc) | Ionizing Star | Spectral Type | \( z \) (pc) | \( N \) | \( E_{B-V} \) |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------|-----|----------|
| Sh 2-27             | 8.0   | +23.5 | 146\(^{a}\) | \( \xi \) Oph | O9.0 V       | +59      | 57  | 0.47     |
| Sh 2-264            | 195.0 | −12   | 386\(^{a}\) | \( \lambda \) Ori | O8 III      | −80     | 17  | 0.45     |
| Sivan 3             | 144.5 | +14   | 1010\(^{a}\) | \( \alpha \) Cam | O9.5 Ia     | +244    | 10  | 0.32     |
| Sh 2-171            | 118.0 | +6    | 840\(^{a}\) | BD+66 1673      | O5 V        | −81     | 5   | 1.40     |
| Sh 2-220            | 161.3 | −12.5 | 398\(^{b}\) | \( \chi \) Per  | O7.5 III    | −89     | 4   | 0.28     |

**Notes.** The Galactic coordinates \( l, b \) define the central position of the H\( \alpha \) region. The estimated distance, \( d \), to each H\( \alpha \) region is listed in parsecs. We include the name of the principle ionizing star near the center of each H\( \alpha \) region, along with its spectral type. The vertical distance from the Galactic plane, \( z \), is given in parsecs. The number of useful polarized sources, \( N \), from the catalog of Taylor et al. (2009) behind each H\( \alpha \) region is also given. Column 9 shows the color excess: \( E_{B-V} \) is the mean value from Schlegel et al. (1998) within the H\( \alpha \) region boundary defined in Table 2.

\(^a\) Sota et al. (2008).

\(^b\) Shull & van Steenberg (1985).

\(^c\) Blitz et al. (1982).

### Table 2

| H\( \alpha \) Region | On Region Boundary | Off Region Boundary | Extrinsic \( I_{\text{H}\alpha} \) (R) | Extrinsic RM (rad m\(^{-2}\)) | Survey |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Sh 2-27             | 0° < \( l \) < 15° | 20 -5° < \( l \) < 15° | 4.7 | +2.2 \( l \)-14.4 | SHASSA |
| Sh 2-264            | +15° < \( b \) < 35° | 4° < \( r \) < 6° | 17.4 | +18.8 | SHASSA |
| Sivan 3             | +164°:5, 12° | 4° < \( r \) < 5°<10 | 4.5 | −41.6 | WHAM |
| Sh 2-171            | 116° < \( l \) < 125° | 0° < \( b \) < 10° | 5.2 | −58.4 | WHAM |
| Sh 2-220            | +155° < \( l \) < 165° | -15° < \( b \) < -10° | 9.2 | +5.2 \( l \)-799 | WHAM |

**Notes.** Pixels that lie within an “On Region Boundary” (Column 2) in Galactic coordinates that also have \( I_{\text{H}\alpha} \) greater than “\( I_{\text{H}\alpha} \) On” (defined in Column 3) are defined as being part of the H\( \alpha \) region. Pixels that lie within the “Off Region Boundary” (Column 4) and have \( I_{\text{H}\alpha} \) less than “\( I_{\text{H}\alpha} \) On” were used to subtract the Galactic background and foreground emission that does not originate from the H\( \alpha \) region. The “Extrinsic \( I_{\text{H}\alpha} \)” is the mean \( \text{Hz} \) surface brightness in the off region. Where an extrinsic RM gradient is quoted, \( l \) is the galactic longitude, in degrees.

The vertical distance from the Galactic plane, \( z \), is given in parsecs. The number of useful polarized sources, \( N \), from the catalog of Taylor et al. (2009) behind each H\( \alpha \) region is also given. Column 9 shows the color excess: \( E_{B-V} \) is the mean value from Schlegel et al. (1998) within the H\( \alpha \) region boundary defined in Table 2.
Figure 1. Rotation measures (circles) and smoothed Hα surface brightness with no extinction correction applied (gray scale) in (a) Sh 2-27, (b) Sh 2-264, (c) Sivan 3, (d) Sh 2-171, and (e) Sh 2-220. Maps are labeled in Galactic coordinates. Blue and red circles indicate negative and positive RMs, respectively, and the diameter of the circles are proportional to |RM|. The legend gives five examples of circle sizes and the corresponding |RM| values in rad m$^{-2}$. The green circle denotes the position of PSR J1643$-$1224. The dashed line denotes the boundary of the Hα region, as defined in Table 2. The gray scale varies linearly between given minimum and maximum values and the color bar shows the observed $I_{\text{H\alpha}}$ in rayleighs. Note that the dashed line indicating the boundary of each region is a guide, as the Hα images have been smoothed.
plasma beta ($\beta_{th} = P_{th}/P_{mag}$) for each data point as follows. Using the statistical approximation $B_{tot} \sim \sqrt{3} B_{||}$ and the observational estimate $\langle B_{uniform}/B_{th0} \rangle \leq \sqrt{2}$ (Beck 2001) we first estimate the total magnetic field strength $B_{tot} \sim \sqrt{3} B_{||}$ from the measured magnetic field strength, $B_{||}$ for each data point. The magnetic pressure $P_{mag} = B_{||}^2/8\pi$ and the thermal pressure, $P_{th0} = 2n_{th}kT_{c}$ can then be calculated, where $k$ is Boltzmann’s constant and assuming $T_{e} = 7000$ K and a 100% ionization fraction. Finally, $\beta_{th}$ can be determined for each data point.

2.4. Background Pulsar Search

In Section 2.1, we explained how measurements of the RM and DM of pulsars can directly yield $B_{||}$ along a line of sight. In order to identify possible pulsars intersecting our sample of H II regions, we carried out a search of the ATNF pulsar database3 (Manchester et al. 2005). Of our sample of five H II regions, Sh 2-27 has three pulsars and Sh 2-264 has one pulsar that overlaps the H II region. DM-derived distances from the pulsar catalog are unreliable, as they rely on models of electron density in the Galaxy (Taylor & Cordes 1993; Cordes & Lazio 2002) that do not include the H II regions in our sample. In order to ensure accurate distance estimates, thus selecting only pulsars that lie behind H II regions, we selected only the pulsars with distances derived from measurements of annual parallax. This left a single pulsar, PSR J1643–1224 (Lorimer et al. 1995), which lies behind Sh 2-27. We perform a consistency check on our results for Sh 2-27 in Section 3, using the measured properties of this pulsar.

3. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the observed Hα surface brightness (gray scale) and rotation measures (circles) for each of our H II regions, displayed in a stereographic projection. Blue and red circles indicate negative and positive RMs, respectively. The position of PSR J1643–1224 behind Sh 2-27 is denoted by a filled green circle. Hα maps were smoothed to a resolution of 1′ (the resolution of the WHAM beam). For each background polarized source, we measured the $I_{Hα}$ of the Hα pixel closest to the NVSS source. In the case of two overlapping pixels, the source was assigned the mean $I_{Hα}$ of the two.

It appears that the magnitude of the RM of polarized sources is greater inside the H II region boundary than outside the boundary, particularly for Sh 2-27 and Sh 2-264 with the highest Hα surface brightnesses. In order to inspect this relationship more clearly, we made plots of RM versus $I_{Hα}$ for each region. Figure 2 shows these plots of the observed RM versus $I_{Hα}$ for polarized background sources in each region of sky shown in Figure 1. Black circles indicate NVSS sources that lie outside the boundary of the H II region. Red and blue circles denote sources from Taylor et al. (2009) with positive and negative RMs, respectively, that lie behind the H II region.

The plots in Figure 2 show unambiguously that, for polarized sources whose radiation has passed through an H II region, there is a positive correlation between $I_{Hα}$ and [RM]. This is most clearly evident for Sh 2-27 and Sh 2-264, which have a large number of background polarized radio sources. The fact that RM has a consistent sign (positive or negative) within each region indicates that the magnetic field is largely coherent. Such a large-scale coherent magnetic field in the ionized gas of H II regions has been predicted by the MHD simulations of Arthur et al. (2011). In addition to this coherent signal there is a scatter in RM $\sim \pm 100$ rad m$^{-2}$, which is likely related to the random component of the magnetic field. We defer our discussion of random magnetic fields to a future paper.

For Sh 2-220 (the California Nebula), inspection of Figure 1(e) initially suggests that the H II region is introducing a negative RM to the extragalactic sources. However, in Figure 2(e) we also see four positive RMs between 60 and 90 rad m$^{-2}$ that apparently lie within the boundary of the H II region. Closer investigation reveals that these points lie on the northern edge of the Sh 2-220 H II region, which has a very sharp drop-off in Hα surface brightness due to the interaction between the H II region and a molecular cloud (Elmegreen & Elmegreen 1978). The diameter of the WHAM beam is 1° and any polarized source along the edge of the H II region is assigned a mean value of $I_{Hα}$ for the whole WHAM beam. We therefore assume that the Hα surface brightness plotted in Figure 1 for these four sources is artificially high due to beam averaging effects. Future, high-resolution Hα observations could confirm this interpretation.

Next, we present the calculations of electron density, $n_{e}$, and the magnetic field parallel to the line of sight, $B_{||}$. The measured value of $I_{Hα}$ includes emission from the H II region plus contributions from the foreground and background warm ionized material in the Galaxy. In order to account for this, we calculated the mean Hα surface brightness from a representative region of sky surrounding the H II region boundary and subtracted this value from all Hα surface brightness measurements within the boundary of the H II region. Similarly, the extrinsic RM (or in some cases, a systematic RM gradient across the field) was subtracted from all RM values within the H II region boundary. Details of the $I_{Hα}$ boundary conditions and magnitudes of the extrinsic RM and $I_{Hα}$ corrections in the H II regions are given in Table 2.

After correcting the measured values of RM and $I_{Hα}$ for foreground and background contributions, we converted $I_{Hα}$ to EM using Equation (2), assuming that $T_{e} = 7000$ K (Reynolds 1988; Madsen et al. 2006) and adopting values of $E_{B−V}$ from the literature (listed in Table 1). We then calculated the electron density, $n_{e}$, of the H II region along the sight line to each background polarized source. We defined a central position for each H II region and calculated the path length through the region for each background source, using the equation $L = 2[R^2−D^2 \sin^2(\theta)]^{1/2}$, where $R$ is the radius of the sphere, $D$ is the distance from the observer to the center of the sphere, and $\theta$ is the angle between the line that goes from the observer to the center and the line that goes from the observer to the background source in question. The electron density $n_{e}$ derived from each Hα measurement was then calculated using Equation (3). We adopted a volume filling factor $f = 0.1$, which is representative of the filling factors estimated for a number of H II regions of this size in the literature (Kassim et al. 1989; Herter et al. 1982). Finally, we calculated $B_{||}$ for the H II region toward each polarized source using Equation (4).

Figure 3 shows a plot of log $n_{e}$ against log $B_{||}$ for our five H II regions. The black line represents equilibrium between magnetic and thermal pressures, which is discussed in Section 4.3. There is a significant scatter of both $n_{e}$ and $B_{||}$ within each region. This scatter in $B_{||}$ is possibly related to turbulence, as the magnitude of $B_{||}$ changes with the direction of the magnetic field vector with respect to the line of sight. Some of this scatter may also be
Figure 2. $I_{\text{H}}\alpha$ vs. RM for (a) Sh 2-27, (b) Sh 2-264, (c) Sivan 3, (d) Sh 2-171, and (e) Sh 2-220, showing the presence of coherent magnetic fields. Black points: extragalactic sources intersecting the sky plane outside the boundary of the H\textsc{ii} region; red and blue circles: point sources that intersect the H\textsc{ii} region and that have positive and negative RMs, respectively.
due to the uncertainty in $E_{B-V}$ along individual lines of sight, which affects the calculation of $B_{||}$. Another contributing factor to the scatter in the data may be the uncertainty in the electron filling factor for each sight line. In Figure 3 (bottom right), we have indicated with an arrow the distance and direction by which each data point will move if the filling factor changes from $f = 0.1$ (plotted) to values of $f = 0.04$ and $f = 0.25$. This range in $f$ is representative of filling factors measured in H II regions. The standard deviation of the optical depth, $\sigma_{\tau}$, for each H II region is displayed as an arrow on the left-hand side of Figure 3. Colored arrows (left) indicate the distance and direction each data point would move were the optical depth reduced by one standard deviation.

Table 3 lists the derived values of the diameter, $B_{||}$, and $n_0$ of each H II region, where bars indicate median values for each H II region. The estimated median electron densities for each region (approximately equal to the density of hydrogen atoms, $n(H)$, assuming a fully ionized medium) have values 1.5 cm$^{-3}$ < $n_0$ < 14.1 cm$^{-3}$. The line-of-sight magnetic field strengths in our H II regions range from $-6.3$ to $+2.2$ $\mu$G, similar in magnitude to estimates of the magnetic field strength in the diffuse ISM using other methods (Heiles & Crutcher 2005; Crutcher 2007). This suggests that the magnetic field in these large-diameter H II regions may be the ambient magnetic field of the Galaxy that is "lit up" by virtue of the high electron density of the H II region. Estimates of the uncertainties in $B_{||}$ with $n_0$ are quoted, although the derived magnetic field strengths may be over- or underestimated by approximately a factor of three, due to possible correlation of fluctuations in electron density and magnetic fields in turbulent media (Beck et al. 2003).

Following our analysis, we carried out a consistency check on our results by using the RM and DM of PSR J1643–1224 to independently measure the filling factor and magnetic field strength of Sh 2–27. Pulsar J1643–1224 was discovered by Lorimer et al. (1995) and lies at a distance (derived by annual parallax) of 455 ± 144 pc (Verbiest et al. 2009). The RM of PSR J1643–1224 is $-263 \pm 15$ rad m$^{-2}$ (Manchester & Han 2004) and its DM is 62.4 cm$^{-3}$ pc (Toscano et al. 1999). We removed the foreground contributions to the RM and DM due to the magneto-ionic medium of the Galaxy in the direction of PSR J1643–1224 by subtracting the RM = $+6.5 \pm 10$ rad m$^{-2}$ (Manchester 1974) and DM = 10.7 cm$^{-6}$ (Hobbs et al. 2004) of PSR B1604–00 from the measured values for PSR J1643–1224. We used PSR B1604–00 for this correction as it lies at a similar distance to PSR J1643–1224 (590 ± 148 pc), derived by the electron density model of Taylor & Cordes (1993) and has coordinates close to, but outside the boundary of Sh 2–27.

Putting the foreground-corrected RM and DM into the relation $B_{||} = \frac{RM}{0.81DM}$, we find that $B_{||} = -6.4 \mu$G. This is consistent with the value $B_{||} = -6.1 \pm 2.8 \mu$G that we derived from polarized extragalactic sources, within the uncertainties. Hα composite maps published by Finkbeiner (2003) allowed us to calculate a residual $I_{5100}$ (after subtracting the mean background of 0.9 R) of 70 R at the position of PSR J1643–1224. Using Equation (2), assuming a temperature of 7000 K and using the value of $E_{B-V}$ from Schlegel et al. (1998) to derive the dust correction factor $e^z = 2.79$, we calculated the EM due to the
ionized gas in Sh 2-27 toward PSR J1643−1224 to be EM = 395 cm$^{-6}$ pc. We find that $n_0 = \frac{EM}{DM} = 7.6$ cm$^{-3}$, which is broadly consistent with the median value 10.6 ± 2.8 cm$^{-3}$ derived from background RMs for Sh 2-27, within the estimated uncertainties. We also calculate a filling factor, $f = \frac{DM}{EM} = 0.2$ for Sh 2-27, which is comparable to the value $f = 0.1$ that we have assumed in our calculations for density and magnetic field strength.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Comparison with Previous Results

The strengths of magnetic fields in and around HII regions have previously been investigated using a wide variety of observational methods, including Zeeman splitting of radio recombination lines (Troland & Heiles 1977; Roberts et al. 1991; Roshi 2007) and H I, OH, and H2O maser lines (Bloemen et al. 1992; Crutcher et al. 1996; Sarma et al. 2001; Brogan & Troland 2001). Most of these spectral lines originate in relatively dense regions in neutral and molecular gas, therefore none of these observational probes is suited to examining the B versus $n$ relation in warm ionized gas, where the results of existing observations are very poorly constrained.

Depolarization of radio synchrotron emission can be used to probe magnetic fields in HII regions, provided the distance to the HII region is known. Gray et al. (1999) studied the Faraday rotation of Galactic synchrotron emission by the HII region W4, placing an upper limit on the average magnetic field strength of $\langle B_\| \rangle < 20 \mu G$, where angle brackets indicate a line-of-sight average. A similar approach was taken by Gaensler et al. (2001), who modeled the depolarization of Galactic radio synchrotron emission by the HII regions RCW 94 and “void 1” (which we identify as RCW 105) with $n_e \sim 20$ cm$^{-3}$, to obtain an estimate of the random magnetic field strengths $B_r \sim 5 \mu G$ (void 1) and $B_r = 1.2 \mu G$ (RCW 94). Using the fact that depolarization is negligible toward the edges of the voids were the gradient in RM is most severe, and assuming that the random and uniform components of the magnetic field are approximately equal, they determined that $B_\| \sim 5 \mu G$ in void 1. For RCW 94, the polarization profile was modeled and the assumption that the uniform and random components of the field are equal was adopted, leading to the estimated value of $B_\| \sim 1.2 \mu G$.

Heiles & Chu (1980) and Heiles et al. (1981) were the first to use Faraday rotation of background polarized point sources to probe the line-of-sight strength and orientation of the magnetic fields in HII regions. They estimated the parallel component of the magnetic field strengths in four HII regions, finding field strengths of the order $B_\| \sim 1-10 \mu G$. Heiles et al. (1981) constrained the magnetic field strength of Sh 2-264 to the range $B_\| = 0$ to $+2.6 \mu G$. This agrees with the magnitude and direction for the magnetic field of +2.2 ± 1.6 $\mu G$ derived by us, within the errors, although they used an average value of $n_e$ for the whole HII region that was much lower than the median value of $n_0$ that we derived. More recently, Mitra et al. (2003) used the RM and DM of two pulsars to estimate the line-of-sight component of the uniform magnetic field in the HII region Sh 2-205, finding that $B_\| \sim +5.7 \mu G$. In each of these studies, only one or two polarized background sources were available to probe each region and the foreground correction was poorly constrained. In our study, we have used the Faraday rotation of a large sample of polarized extragalactic point sources to isolate $B_\| \sim$ in five HII regions. As we have a far larger set of measurements than Heiles & Chu (1980), Heiles et al. (1981), or Mitra et al. (2003), the value and scatter of RM in our HII regions are much better characterized. As well as employing a large number of background sources in our study, we have also used detailed maps of Hα surface brightness. We are therefore able to take many independent measurements of $n_e$ and $B_\| \rho$ for each HII region. In addition, the large number of extragalactic point sources in each field enables us to carry out a correction for the RM contribution of the background and foreground to the HII region, thus isolating the magnetic field within a discrete volume of interstellar space.

A similar technique to ours was employed by Whiting et al. (2009), who described a “Faraday rotation anomaly” caused by an ionized shell surrounding the Cygnus OB1 association. Within a $2^\circ-5^\circ$ region surrounding the massive star cluster, they confirmed that the RMs of background radio sources change by several hundred rad m$^{-2}$. The authors presented a model of an ionized plasma shell driven by stellar winds, in which the magnetic field of the ISM is enhanced by compression. The RM distribution of the nine polarized sources measured by Whiting et al. (2009) could not confirm or refute the possibility of an enhanced magnetic field in the shell, however the intensity distribution of Hα emission from the WHAM survey was consistent with a limb-brightened shell surrounding Cygnus OB1. A similar scenario was proposed by Stil et al. (2009), who produced three-dimensional MHD models of a magnetized superbubble and predicted the distribution of RMs and the gas density that would result from this bubble. They found that if the line of sight is along the Galactic magnetic field, the largest rotation measures are associated with a thick shell at the rim of the HII region. Comparing this model to our data, the RM data from Taylor et al. (2009) show no evidence for the existence of a shell with an enhanced magnetic field in any of our five HII regions, although we cannot rule out a thin shell. To measure an enhanced magnetic field in a thin shell would require a far denser grid of background RMs. We searched for evidence for Hα emission from an ionized shell in our HII regions, but in each case we found no systematic increase in Hα surface brightness toward the rim. The only exception was Sh 2-220, where the HII region is interacting with a molecular cloud, producing a sharp edge to the Hα surface brightness. For these reasons, we do not consider a magnetized shell model to reflect the data in our sample of large-diameter HII regions.

4.2. The Reliability of RMs from the Taylor et al. (2009) Catalog

Mao et al. (2010) claimed to find discrepancies between the RMs from Taylor et al. (2009) produced by angle fitting between two adjacent spectral channels and their own RM measurements of the same sources, calculated using multichannel RM synthesis. This difference was attributed by Mao et al. (2010) to incorrect RM assignments in the Taylor catalog caused by multiple RM components that produce nonlinearity in the polarization angle against $\lambda^2$ relation. Stil et al. (2011) addressed to these claims in Section 4.2 of their paper, reporting that the variance in the correlation of RMs common to the Taylor and Mao catalogs was underestimated compared to the errors quoted in each catalog. Stil et al. (2011) also pointed out that in the Mao et al. (2010) RM sample, RMs with amplitude more than 20 rad m$^{-2}$ are much more likely to have a large error, which introduces a correlation between RM error and RM amplitude. This appears to explain the discrepancy between the two data sets, and also indicates that the uncertainties in RMs that satisfy $|RM|<8$ rad m$^{-2}$ are approximately 22% larger than quoted in
the Taylor catalog. If this interpretation is correct, it would have the effect of increasing the smallest error bars on our plots by 22% (Figure 2). Given the small size of the error bars for these sources, such an increase would have a negligible effect on our analysis. An observational study by Law et al. (2011) measured the RM of 37 polarized sources using RM synthesis on data from the Allen Telescope Array. They compared their results with published RMs from Taylor et al. (2009) and found that in that catalog, the RMs of sources with fluxes above 200 mJy do not suffer from \( n\pi \) ambiguities and have at least a 95% reliability. Van Eck et al. (2011) also compared their RMs to those of Taylor et al. (2009), finding a 96% correlation between the data sets.

### 4.3. Magnetic versus Thermal Pressure in H\( \text{II} \) Regions

The magnetic field of the Galaxy is largely confined to the disk by the pressure of the gas threaded by the field (Parker 1966). Measuring the individual components of the total pressure in the ISM is important in testing multi-phase models of hydrostatic support in the Galactic disk, allowing simulations of the regulation of galactic star formation rates (Ostriker et al. 2010) and determination of the scale height of galactic disks (Koyama & Ostriker 2009). Using our measurements of magnetic field strength and electron density, we were able to estimate the magnetic and thermal pressure in five H\( \text{II} \) regions that lie in the local Galactic disk.

Figure 3 shows the relationship \( B_\parallel \) versus \( n \), for a total of 93 measurements of polarized sources behind 5 H\( \text{II} \) regions. For each data point we calculated the thermal pressure, magnetic pressure, and hence their ratio \( \beta_{\text{th}} \). The median \( \frac{P_{\text{mag}}}{P_{\text{ther}}}, \frac{P_{\text{ther}}}{P_{\text{mag}}} \), and the ranges that encompass 68% of the values of \( \beta_{\text{th}} \), centered on the median for each H\( \text{II} \) region, are listed in Table 3. Note that quoted \( \beta_{\text{th}} = \frac{P_{\text{ther}}}{P_{\text{mag}}} \) for all the data points in an H\( \text{II} \) region, not the ratio \( \frac{P_{\text{ther}}}{P_{\text{mag}}} \) for that region. The black line in Figure 4 indicates equipartition between thermal and magnetic pressures, \( 2n_0 k T_e = \frac{B_{\parallel}^2}{8\pi} \), assuming a temperature of 7000 K and a 100% ionization fraction.

Our data reveal a wide range of values of \( \beta_{\text{th}} \) in our five H\( \text{II} \) regions, with median values \( 2.0 < \beta_{\text{th}} < 22.4 \). In Sh 2-264 and Sh 2-171 \( \beta_{\text{th}} \gg 1 \) within the estimated uncertainties and in the other three H\( \text{II} \) regions, \( \beta_{\text{th}} \sim 2 \). Values of \( \beta_{\text{th}} \geq 1 \) suggest that the H\( \text{II} \) regions are still in a thermally evolving/expanding phase, i.e., their morphology is dominated by thermal pressure and is not yet shaped by the magnetic field of the surrounding medium (Krumholz et al. 2007). In molecular clouds and the cold neutral medium, typical values of \( \beta_{\text{th}} \) are \( \sim 0.04 \) and \( \sim 0.3 \), respectively (Crutcher 1999; Heiles & Crutcher 2005).

Improving the method by which measurements of electron density are made (e.g., by studying multiple radio recombination lines or measuring radio continuum emission) may help to reduce the uncertainties in \( \beta_{\text{th}} \). Another way to achieve this is to improve the number density of background polarized radio sources, thus allowing a more sophisticated removal of the foreground RM and reducing the measurement error in \( B_\parallel \). A density of 100 polarized sources per square degree will likely be achieved by a planned survey of the RM sky using the Australian Square Kilometer Array Pathfinder (Gaensler et al. 2010).

The strengths of the magnetic fields in our H\( \text{II} \) regions are similar to estimates of the magnetic field strength in the diffuse ISM. This suggests that the magnetic field that we measure in these regions is simply the magnetic field of the warm ionized medium of the Galaxy. The influence of the OB star at the center of the H\( \text{II} \) region is simply to ionize the surrounding few tens of parsecs, allowing us to use the Faraday rotation of background sources to measure the properties of the Galactic magnetic field along the line of sight. In this way, we have measured the strength and orientation of the Galaxy’s magnetic field in five discrete, isolated regions of the warm ionized medium. Future work on a larger sample of H\( \text{II} \) regions will assist in constraining \( \beta_{\text{th}} \) in a wider range of H\( \text{II} \) regions.

#### 4.4. The Magnetic Field–Density Relation

In Section 1, we introduced the \( B \) versus \( n \) relation and its relevance to molecular cloud formation and the large-scale structure of the ISM. We now measure the slope of \( B \) versus \( n \) in the low-density ISM by adding our data to existing observations of magnetic fields in atomic and molecular gas.

The relationship between magnetic field and density in neutral gas and molecular clouds is well constrained by a wealth of observations (see, e.g., Crutcher et al. 2003). This relationship in the ISM is well described by \( B \propto n^\kappa \), where the exponent \( \kappa \) has a value of approximately 0.4–0.6 in molecular clouds (e.g., Crutcher 1999).\(^4\) In low-density gas traced by neutral hydrogen and the Faraday rotation and dispersion of pulsar signals, existing observations suggest that the strength of the magnetic field is invariant across three orders of magnitude in density (Troland & Heiles 1986; Heiles & Crutcher 2005; Crutcher 2007). However, the \( B \) versus \( n \) relationship in the diffuse ISM is very poorly constrained by observations (see Section 1) with a sparsely sampled density range and many observations being upper limits. Our results from low-density H\( \text{II} \) regions (where \( n_0 \sim 0.8–30 \text{ cm}^{-3} \)), in addition to existing measurements of magnetic field strengths in the ISM allow us to parameterize the \( B \) versus \( n \) relationship across this range of densities.

\(^4\) The relationship \( B \propto \sigma_{\text{line}} n^\kappa \), where \( \sigma_{\text{line}} \) is the one-dimensional velocity dispersion of the gas, is also sometimes used (Basu 2000).
Figure 5. Orientation of the magnetic field in the solar neighborhood, shown in three orthogonal projections. The X-Y plane is parallel and the Z-axis is perpendicular to the Galactic plane. The Sun lies at the origin. Galactic longitude increases from zero (solid line) in a counterclockwise direction (top left panel). Blue and red symbols indicate magnetic fields oriented away from and toward the Earth, respectively. The square symbols represent our data for H\textsc{ii} regions. The pulsars with parallax distance measurements (Gwinn et al. 1986; Bailes et al. 1990; Kaspi et al. 1994; Brisken et al. 2002, 2003; Dodson et al. 2003; Chatterjee et al. 2004, 2009; Deller et al. 2009; Verbiest et al. 2009) are represented by crosses. Circles indicate sources for which the rms uncertainty in $B_{||}$ exceeds the magnitude of $B_{||}$. The size of each symbol represents the magnitude of $B_{||}$ and the black lines indicate the rms uncertainty in the distances to pulsars measured by the annual parallax method.

Figure 5 shows a plot of $B_{||}$ versus $n$ in the density range $1-10^7$ cm$^{-3}$, using a compilation of published data from Crutcher et al. (2010, green circles and blue squares) overplotted with our data from extragalactic point sources seen through H\textsc{ii} regions (red diamonds). The plotted density, $n$, is that of hydrogen atoms and molecules in neutral and molecular gas, respectively, and is the electron density $n_0$ in H\textsc{ii} region clumps. We have plotted $B_{||}$, the quantity directly measured both by Zeeman splitting and Faraday rotation. The error bars on our data arise from the uncertainties in measurements of RM and H\alpha surface brightness. There are additional uncertainties in $E_{B-V}$ and $f$, which are shown in Figure 3. We employed the Levenberg–Marquardt iteration technique (More 1978) to carry out separate parametric nonlinear least-squares fits to the data in the dense molecular gas and in the low-density (H\textsc{ii} + H\textsc{i}) gas. Using a function of the form $|B_{||}| = An^\kappa$ in the density range $n > 480$ cm$^{-3}$ (i.e., for the neutral and ionized medium), our fit yielded $\kappa = 0.66 \pm 0.05$, which is consistent with the slope quoted by Crutcher et al. (2010) for the same data. In the density range $n < 480$ cm$^{-3}$, populated by H\textsc{i} data and our new measurements of H\textsc{ii} regions, our fit yielded $\kappa = 0.11 \pm 0.09$. Quoted uncertainties represent a 68% confidence interval.

Our data have allowed us to probe magnetic fields in discrete volumes of ionized gas with densities in the range 0.8–30 cm$^{-3}$. The value of the density exponent $\kappa$ that we derived for the neutral plus ionized gas is very close to but slightly above zero. This means that neither the atomic hydrogen in the cold neutral medium nor the ionized gas in clumps within our H\textsc{ii} regions is strongly coupled to the magnetic field. It may be that there is no coupling ($\kappa = 0$) and we have underestimated our uncertainties. It seems more likely that we have chosen our density cutoff slightly higher than the limit for self-gravitating clouds (for example, Crutcher et al. 2010, chose a cutoff point at $n = 300$ cm$^{-3}$). Our data have significantly expanded the density parameter space for which magnetic field strengths are known. Further observations of ionized gas at even lower densities will help to constrain this slope further. Such studies would benefit from using a measure of electron density that does not suffer from extinction, such as radio recombination lines or free–free radio continuum emission. The uncertainties in our measured values of $B_{||}$ were affected to a large degree by the dust extinction correction, $e^\tau$, as well as the filling factor, $f$. Although the uncertainties in $f$ are very difficult to remove, maps of radio continuum emission would allow a more accurate
determination of the total electron density along each line of sight.

4.5. Magnetic Field Orientation in the Local Galaxy

Several authors have mapped out the magnetic field of the Galaxy using measurements of pulsar RMs and DMs (Lyne & Smith 1989; Rand & Lyne 1994; Han et al. 2006; Noutsos et al. 2008; Han & Zhang 2007) although the uncertainties in the distances to most pulsars, which depend on models of the Galactic electron density (Taylor & Cordes 1993; Cordes & Lazio 2002), place strong constraints on the accuracy of the derived magnetic field configuration. Studies of the Galactic magnetic field have also been made by measuring Faraday rotation of extragalactic point sources (Brown et al. 2007; Roy et al. 2008; Taylor et al. 2009; Mao et al. 2010;Nota & Katgert 2010). Pulsar RMs probe the electron density-weighted line-of-sight magnetic field between the pulsar and Earth, whereas individual extragalactic RMs probe the electron density-weighted average magnetic field throughout the entire Galaxy. Both of these techniques are powerful and complementary, provided that the distances to pulsars are known well and the number of extragalactic point sources is sufficient to subtract out local fluctuations in \( B_\| \) and \( n_e \). What they cannot do well is to measure the configuration of the magnetic field in the solar neighborhood. Here in H\( ^\text{ii} \) regions, we have measured \( B_\| \) in five volumes of the local Galaxy spread around three quadrants, thus providing a valuable addition to these existing data on the configuration of the local magnetic field within 1 kpc of the Sun. At the time of writing, there were also 25 pulsars with measured RMs that have distance estimates from parallax measurements within the local volume. Using these combined data, we have attempted to map out the magnetic field configuration in the solar neighborhood.

Figure 5 shows a map of the local 1 kpc of the Galaxy, viewed from three orthogonal directions, onto which we have plotted our five H\( ^\text{ii} \) regions and all the pulsars with both measured RMs and with published distances measured by parallax. Both above and below the Galactic plane, our measurements of \( B_\| \) are consistent with a preferred direction of \( B \) toward \( l \sim 90^\circ \) within 1 kpc of the Sun. This agrees with the conclusions of Manchester (1972, 1974), Thomson & Nelson (1980), Han & Qiao (1994), Rand & Lyne (1994), Han et al. (2006), Brown et al. (2007), and Noutsos et al. (2008), who found that the uniform magnetic field in the Galactic plane is oriented counterclockwise in Sagittarius–Carina spiral arm. Our data do not allow us to comment on the proposed reversal in the Scutum–Crux arm, proposed by Lyne & Smith (1989), Rand & Lyne (1994), Frick et al. (2001), and Brown et al. (2007). Previous studies of large pulsar data sets (Han & Qiao 1994; Han et al. 1999) have claimed to see a weak vertical field component in the local neighborhood. A study of extragalactic point-source RMs found a preferred vertical magnetic field direction below the Galactic plane but no preferred direction above it (Mao et al. 2010). Our RMs (X–Z and \( Y–Z \) projections) show no evidence of a preferred uniform magnetic field direction orthogonal to the Galactic plane in the solar neighborhood.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Using the RMs of an ensemble of background polarized sources and maps of surface brightness from published H\( \alpha \) surveys, we have measured the line-of-sight component of the magnetic field strength in five Galactic H\( ^\text{ii} \) regions. Within each H\( ^\text{ii} \) region, the line-of-sight component of the magnetic field is coherent. The median strength of \( B_\| \) in each region lies between 2.2 and 6.3 \( \mu \)G and the estimated median electron density ranges from 1.5 to 14.1 cm\(^{-3} \), where the electrons are assumed to reside in clumps that occupy 10% of each sight line through the H\( ^\text{ii} \) region. For one of the H\( ^\text{ii} \) regions, Sh 2-27, we also verified our estimate of \( B_\| \) and \( n_e \) using the RM of a background pulsar.

With such a large number of background polarized sources, we have been able to subtract the RM due to the Galaxy and determine an independent measure of electron density and \( B_\| \) for each sight line for which we had a background RM. Our measurements offer a significant improvement on existing published data (or upper limits) in the low-density ionized ISM. Future, similar studies of H\( ^\text{ii} \) regions with a larger range of densities will constrain the relationship between \( B_\| \) and \( n_e \) further.

Our measurements of \( B_\| \) and \( n_e \) allowed us to estimate the magnetic and thermal pressure in five Galactic H\( ^\text{ii} \) regions. In two regions the thermal pressure was dominant, and in the other three thermal and magnetic pressures were approximately equal within the relatively large uncertainties of the measurements. This suggests that the H\( ^\text{ii} \) regions are moving toward an advanced stage of their formative phase, where the dominance of thermal pressure is diminishing and the external magnetic field is able to take a role in shaping the regions.

The consistent sign (positive or negative) of the RM within each H\( ^\text{ii} \) region indicates that the magnetic field is largely coherent. As a consequence, we were able to measure the direction of \( B_\| \) in five regions of the local Galaxy. Both above and below the Galactic plane, the magnetic field is oriented roughly toward \( l = 90^\circ \). There is no preferred vertical direction of the Galactic magnetic field apparent from our data. Future studies involving measurements of \( B_\| \) in a larger number of H\( ^\text{ii} \) regions will extend our understanding of the magnetic field in the local Galaxy.
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