The asymptotic iteration method (AIM) is applied to obtain highly accurate eigenvalues of the radial Schrödinger equation with the singular potential $V(r) = r^2 + \lambda/r^\alpha$ ($\alpha, \lambda > 0$) in arbitrary dimensions. Certain fundamental conditions for the application of AIM, such as a suitable asymptotic form for the wave function, and the termination condition for the iteration process, are discussed. Several suggestions are introduced to improve the rate of convergence and to stabilize the computation. AIM offers a simple, accurate, and efficient method for the treatment of singular potentials such as $V(r)$ valid for all ranges of coupling $\lambda$.

PACS Nos.: 03.65.Ge.

I. INTRODUCTION

Attractive potentials with a strong repulsive core are common in atomic, nuclear and molecular physics \cite{1}–\cite{13}. A typical class of such potentials \cite{4}–\cite{22} are the spiked harmonic oscillators $V(r) = r^2 + \lambda/r^\alpha$. The potential $V(r)$ is called 'spiked' because of its pronounced peak near the origin. For $\alpha > 2$, the potential is of relevance to quantum field theory, describing so called supersingular interactions for which matrix elements of the perturbation in the harmonic-oscillator basis diverge: thus every term in the perturbation series is infinite, and the perturbation expansion does not exist \cite{2}. There are several other reasons for interest in this class of potentials. First, it represents the simplest example of certain class of realistic interaction models in atomic, nuclear and molecular physics. Second, in the one-dimensional case, the perturbed-oscillator operator $H = p^2 + V(r) = -d^2/dr^2 + r^2 + \lambda/r^\alpha = H_0 + \lambda/r^\alpha$, where $p = -i\partial/\partial r$, may not converge to the original one $H_0$ as $\lambda \to 0$ (The Klauder phenomenon). Third, the perturbation series is ordered in fractional power $\lambda$ in $\lambda$. Since the early study of Harrell on singular perturbation theory for the ground-state energy of the Hamiltonian $H$, an enormous amount of work has been done to investigate the spectral problems of this operator. Most of the work, however, is either devoted to the study of the ground-state energy for $\lambda$ near zero, which represents the most challenging problem, or for particular values of the potential parameters. Owing to the difficulties inherited by the potential structure near the origin, much attention must be paid to the selected method for tackling these problems. The purpose of this letter is twofold. First to develop a simple and easily adopted technique, based on the asymptotic iteration method \cite{22}, to compute the eigenvalues of the radial
Schrödinger equation
\[-\frac{d^2\psi(r)}{dr^2} + \left(r^2 + \frac{\gamma(\gamma + 1)}{r^2} + \frac{\lambda}{r^n}\right)\psi(r) = E\psi(r), \quad r \in [0, \infty),\]

where \(\alpha > 0\), and the eigenfunctions \(\{\psi(r)\}\) satisfy the Dirichlet boundary condition \(\psi(0) = 0\). This is valid for the one-dimensional case as well as for higher dimensions \(N > 1\) through \(\gamma = l + \frac{1}{2}(N - 3)\), regardless of the values of the potential parameters. Second, to point out the importance of the correct form of asymptotic wave function to stabilize the iteration technique, and also to provide some suggestions to improve the rate of convergence of AIM when it is used to tackle Schrödinger eigenvalue problems with a wide variety of other singular potentials of physical and chemical interest. In order to achieve these goals, we develop first a wave function with the right exponential tail and which satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition at the origin. This optimizes and stabilizes the use of AIM for computing the eigenvalues. The asymptotic iteration method (AIM) was originally introduced \[22\] to investigate the solutions of differential equations of the form

\[y'' = \lambda_0(r)y' + s_0(r)y, \quad (' = \frac{d}{dr})\]

where \(\lambda_0(r)\) and \(s_0(r)\) are \(C^{\infty}\)-differentiable functions. Using AIM, the differential equation \((2)\) has a general solution \[22\]:

\[y(r) = \exp\left(-\int \rho(t)dt\right) \left[C_2 + C_1 \int \exp\left(\int (\lambda_0(\tau) + 2\rho(\tau))d\tau\right) dt\right]\]

where, for sufficiently large \(n > 0\), we obtain the \(\rho(r)\) values from

\[\frac{s_n(r)}{\lambda_n(r)} = \frac{s_{n-1}(r)}{\lambda_{n-1}(r)} \equiv \rho(r)\]

for

\[\lambda_n = \lambda_{n-1}' + s_{n-1} + \lambda_0 \lambda_{n-1}\]
\[s_n = s_{n-1}' + s_0 \lambda_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\]

It should be noted that one can start the iteration from \(n = 0\) with the initial condition \(\lambda_{-1} = 1\) and \(s_{-1} = 0\). Since Ref.\[22\] the method has been adopted to investigate the spectrum of many problems in relativistic and non-relativistic quantum mechanics \[22\]-\[33\]. In the process of applying AIM, especially in its application to eigenvalue problems of Schrödinger-type, such as \[11\], one usually faces the following two problems.

**A. Asymptotic solution Problem:**

The first problem we are confronted with in applying AIM is the conversion of the eigenvalue problem (the absence of first derivative) to standard form suitable to utilize AIM \[2\]. A general strategy to overcome this problem is to use an asymptotic solution \(\psi_n(r)\) which satisfies the boundary conditions of the given eigenvalue equation. By substitution of the assumed exact solution with the form \(\psi(r) = \psi_n(r)f(r)\) into the eigenvalue problem, once the \(\lambda_0\) and \(s_0\) have been determined, the sequences \(\lambda_n\) and \(s_n\) can be computed using \[5\]. The energy eigenvalues are then obtained from the roots of the termination condition \[11\], which can be written in more convenient form as

\[\delta_n(r; E) = \lambda_n(r; E)s_{n-1}(r; E) - \lambda_{n-1}(r; E)s_n(r; E) = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots\]

For Schrödinger’s equation \[11\], the asymptotic solution is dominated by the harmonic oscillator wave function, since, for larger \(r\), the dominant term of the potential is the harmonic oscillator term \(r^2\). The problem with such asymptotic solution, however, is that the behavior of the wave function near the origin has not been considered.

**B. Termination Condition Problem:**

The second problem results when the eigenvalue problem (now in the standard form for AIM application) fails to be exactly solvable. Indeed, if the eigenvalue problem has exact analytic solutions, the termination condition \[10\] leads...
to an expression that depends only on the eigenvalues \( E \), that is to say, independent of \( r \). In such cases, the energy eigenvalues are simply the roots of \( \delta_n(E) = 0 \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \). For example, if \( \alpha = 2 \), Eq. (1) is exactly solvable, and the termination condition (3) yields

\[
\delta_n(E) = \prod_{i=0}^{n} (4i + 3 + 2\gamma - E), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{7}
\]

The condition \( \delta_n(E) = 0 \) leads to the exact solutions \( E_n = 4n + 3 + 2\gamma \), \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) as expected [22]. If the eigenvalue problem is not analytically solvable with the analytic form chosen, as for \( 0 < \alpha \neq 2 \), then the termination condition (3) produces for each iteration an expression that depends on both \( r \) and \( E \). In such a case, one faces the problem of finding the best possible starting value \( r = r_0 \) that stabilizes the process so that it doesn’t oscillate but converges. In principle, the computation of the roots of \( \delta_n(r_0; E) = 0 \) should be independent of the choice of \( r_0 \), nevertheless, the right choice of \( r \), as we shall show in the present work, usually accelerates the rate of convergence to accurate eigenvalues \( E \) within a reasonable number of iterations. Generally, a suitable \( r_0 \) value is determined either as the location of the maximum of value of the asymptotic wave function, or as the position of the minimum value of the potential under consideration. A more general and systematic way to choose a suitable value for \( r_0 \) is still open question for further research.

For the Schrödinger equation (1), we face these two problems. In the next section we develop an asymptotic wave function that satisfies the boundary conditions at zero and infinity. This asymptotic form is then used in section 3 to initialize the asymptotic iteration method. In section 4, using a suitable value of \( r_0 \), we exhibit and discuss the numerical results of AIM for a wide range of \( \lambda \) and \( \alpha > 0 \). Finally, in section 5, we comment on these results.

## II. ASYMPTOTIC WAVEFUNCTION FOR SINGULAR POTENTIALS

For small \( r \), one can neglect the energy \( E \) and the harmonic oscillator term as compared with the perturbative term \( \lambda/r^\alpha \). Hence near the origin, (1) can be written as

\[
- \frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + \left( \frac{\gamma(\gamma + 1)}{r^2} + \frac{\lambda}{r^\alpha} \right) \psi = 0. \tag{8}
\]

Using the transformation

\[
\psi(r) = \sqrt{r} \phi(t), \quad t = \beta r^\sigma
\]

a straightforward calculation shows that Eq. (8) can be written as

\[
\frac{1}{4r^{3/2}} \phi(t) - \frac{\sigma^2 \beta}{r^{\sigma+3/2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt} - \frac{\sigma^2 \beta^2}{r^{-2\sigma+3/2}} \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + \frac{\gamma(\gamma + 1)}{r^{3/2}} \phi(t) + \frac{\lambda}{r^{\alpha-1/2}} \phi(t) = 0
\]

or, in more compact form, as

\[
\frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{t} \frac{d\phi}{dt} - \left[ \frac{(2\gamma + 1)^2}{4\sigma^2 t^2} + \frac{\lambda}{\sigma^2 \beta^2} r^{-\alpha+2-2\sigma} \right] \phi = 0.
\]

Therefore, for \( 2\sigma = 2 - \alpha \) and \( \beta = \sqrt{\lambda}/\sigma \), we obtain the modified Bessel’s differential equation

\[
\frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{t} \frac{d\phi}{dt} - \left[ \frac{\nu^2}{t^2} + 1 \right] \phi = 0, \tag{9}
\]

where \( \nu = \frac{2\sigma + 1}{2 - \alpha} \). The general solution of this differential equation is [34]

\[
\phi(t) = c_1 I_\nu(t) + c_2 K_\nu(t),
\]

where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are constants and \( I_\nu \) and \( K_\nu \) are the modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind respectively [34]. Consequently, an asymptotic solution of (1) for zero energy is given by

\[
\psi(r) = \sqrt{r}[c_1 I_\nu(\beta r^\sigma) + c_2 K_\nu(\beta r^\sigma)].
\]

(10)
We may now consider two cases, depending on the value of \( \alpha \).

**Case I (\( \alpha > 2 \))**: In this case \( \sigma < 0 \), the boundary condition \( \psi(0) = 0 \) forces \( c_1 = 0 \), hence

\[
\psi_a(r) \equiv c_2 \cdot \sqrt{r} K_{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\alpha - 2} r^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right).
\]

From the asymptotic approximation \([34]\) of \( K_\nu(z) \), we know for large argument \( z \) that \( K_\nu(z) \equiv e^{-z}/\sqrt{2\pi z} \). Therefore, since \( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \leq 0 \), we have for small \( r \), that

\[
\psi_a(r) \equiv c_1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi(\alpha-2)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}} r^{\alpha/4} e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda} r^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}. \tag{12}
\]

Consequently, for \( \alpha = 2m + 2, \ m > 0 \),

\[
\psi_a(r) \equiv r^{(m+1)/2} e^{-\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda} r}{m}} \quad m > 0 \tag{13}
\]

up to a constant. In particular, if \( \alpha = 4 \), (i.e. \( m = 1 \)), one recovers the familiar limiting form of the solution when the repulsive potential is proportional to \( r^{-4} \):

\[
\psi_a(r) \equiv r^{\gamma+1} \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 0. \tag{14}
\]

**Case II (\( 0 < \alpha < 2 \))**: In this case \( \sigma > 0 \), the boundary condition \( \psi(0) = 0 \) forces \( c_2 = 0 \) in (10), thus

\[
\psi_a(r) \equiv c_1 \cdot \sqrt{r} I_{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 - \alpha} r^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right). \tag{14}
\]

For small argument \( z \), the asymptotic approximation \([34]\) of \( I_\nu(z) \equiv \left( \frac{2}{\pi z} \right)^{\nu} / \Gamma(\nu + 1) \) yields

\[
\psi_a(r) \equiv r^{\gamma+1} \quad \text{up to a constant.} \tag{15}
\]

### III. APPLICATIONS

Depending on the degree of singularity of the potential at the origin, that is characterized by the positive parameter \( \alpha \), we have the following two cases:

**A. The case \( \alpha = 2m + 2, \ m > 0 \)**:

In this case the Hamiltonian operator

\[
H = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + r^2 + \frac{\gamma(\gamma+1)}{r^2} + \frac{\lambda}{r^\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 2 \tag{16}
\]

leads to a non-Fuchsian singularity \([3]\) at \( r = 0 \) of the Schrödinger equation \( H\psi = E\psi \), because the potential term \( \lambda/r^\alpha \) has a pole of order \( > 2 \). The asymptotic wave function developed in the previous section suggests that the exact solution of (1), in the case \( \alpha > 2 \), takes the form

\[
\psi(r) = r^{\frac{m+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda} r}{m}} f(r). \tag{17}
\]

The first exponential term in (17) takes into account the fact that for large \( r \), the term \( r^2 \) in (16) dominates over all other terms of the potential, including, of course, \( \gamma(\gamma+1)/r^2 \). For this wave function, Schrödinger’s equation (1) now reads

\[
f''(r) = \left[ 2r - \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{r^m} \frac{1+m}{r} \right] f'(r) + \left[ 2 + m + \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{r^m} + \frac{(2\gamma + 1 - m)(2\gamma + 1 + m)}{4r^2} - E \right] f(r), \tag{18}
\]

which is now amenable to AIM applications. Here, the primes of \( f(r) \) in (18) denote the derivatives with respect to \( r \).
B. The case $\alpha = 2m + 2$, $-1 < m < 0$:

In this case, the exact solution of (11) assumes, for $\alpha < 2$, the form

$$\psi(r) = r^{\gamma+1}e^{-\frac{r^{\alpha}}{2}}f(r),$$

(19)

where again the exponential term in (19) takes into account that for large $r$, the term $r^2$ dominates over all other terms of the potential. In this case, Schrödinger’s equation (1) reads

$$f''(r) = 2\left(r - \frac{\gamma+1}{r}\right)f'(r) + \left(2\gamma + 3 + \frac{\lambda}{r^\alpha} - E\right)f(r),$$

(20)

which is suitable for an AIM application.

IV. ITERATIVE SOLUTIONS

For a given $\alpha$, using (18) or (20), we can explicitly write $\lambda_0(r)$ and $s_0(r)$ as:

- $\alpha > 2$:

  $$\begin{cases} 
  \lambda_0(r) = 2r - \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{r^{m+1}} - \frac{1+m}{r}, \\
  s_0(r) = 2 + m + \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{r^{m}} + \frac{(2\gamma+1-m)(2\gamma+1+m)}{4r^2} - E 
  \end{cases}$$

  (21)

  where $m = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 2)$.

- $\alpha < 2$:

  $$\begin{cases} 
  \lambda_0(r) = 2\left(r - \frac{\gamma+1}{r}\right), \\
  s_0(r) = 2\gamma + 3 + \frac{\lambda}{r^\alpha} - E 
  \end{cases}$$

  (22)

and, by means of the iteration formulas (5), we calculate $\lambda_n(r)$ and $s_n(r)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. The eigenvalues are then computed by means of the termination condition (6), namely $\delta_n(r; E) = 0$. With several symbolic mathematical programs available (Maple, Mathematica, etc), the computation of the eigenvalues by means of the iteration method, provided it is set up correctly, is a straightforward calculation, even for the higher iteration steps. Most of our computations in the present work were done using Maple version 9 running on an IBM architecture personal computer (Dell Dimension 4400). As we mentioned above, the computation of the eigenvalues by means of (6) should be independent of the choice of $r$. However, in some applications, for certain values of $r$, we may encounter oscillations.

TABLE I: The effect of using different values of $r = r_0$ on computing the eigenvalues using AIM for the Schrödinger equation $- \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \left(r^2 + \frac{\alpha}{r^2}\right)\psi = E\psi$. An accurate value (to seven figures) is $E_{\text{exact}} = 3.575\,552$. Here, $N$ refers to the number of iterations needed to achieve such accuracy.

| $r_0$ | N   | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 15    | 3.478854 | 3.574644 | 3.570009 | 3.561477 | 3.555018 |
| 20    | Fails | 3.575335 | 3.573786 | 3.569455 | 3.562441 |
| 40    | ""   | 3.575551 | 3.575505 | 3.575298 | 3.574726 |
| 60    | ""   | Fails  | 3.575549 | 3.575529 | 3.575458 |
| 85    | ""   | ""    | 3.575552 | 3.575550 | 3.575542 |
| 90    | ""   | ""    | Fails  | 3.575551 | 3.575545 |
| 115   | ""   | ""    | ""    | 3.575552 | 3.575551 |
| 120   | ""   | ""    | ""    | ""    | 3.575551 |
of the computed roots that seem to diverge in behavior. This is presumably due to rounding and computational errors in the algorithms used. In Table 1, we show the effects of choosing different starting values of \( r = r_0 \) on the number of iterations. It is clear that \( r_0 \geq 3 \) is sufficient as starting value of \( r \). However, suitable choices for \( r_0 \) can significantly reduce the number of iterations needed to achieve the required accuracy. In many cases, we have removed the oscillating behavior by increasing the number of significant digits that \( \text{Maple} \) uses in numerical computations. In Table 2, we illustrate the effect of using different numbers of significant digits on the iteration convergence using a \( \text{Maple} \) environment. As indicated by the results in the table, a higher-precision environment can remove the oscillation behavior, as well as stabilize the numerical computation of the root problem by means of the iteration process. In order to accelerate the computation we have written our code for root-finding algorithm instead of using the default procedure \( \text{Solve} \) of \( \text{Maple} \). For values of \( \alpha = 1 \), AIM gives excellent results, even for extremely small value of the coupling parameter \( \lambda \). In table 3, we report the AIM results for a considerable range of \( \lambda \) values. These computations have been made with \( r_0 = 3 \). For fractional \( \alpha \), such as \( 1/2, 3/2, 1.9 \) etc., the method is still stable and works well; however the number of iterations is much larger than that needed for integer \( \alpha \). The eigenvalues reported in Table 3 are in excellent agreement with the exact eigenvalue computed by means of a numerical integration of Schrödinger’s equation.

| \( N \) | Number of Digits |
|---|---|
| 70 | 7.0301659550 7.0298160740 7.0298162024 7.0298162024 |
| 75 | 7.0298754200 7.0298162383 Done |
| 80 | 7.0298152350 7.0298162511 |
| 85 | 7.0298160500 7.0298162636 |
| 90 | 7.0298162400 Done |

In Table 4 we report the AIM results for the case \( \alpha = 4 \), again for considerable range of \( \lambda \). The large number of iteration for small values of \( \lambda \) reflect the stability of the method and also the applicability of AIM to treat such cases in one single formalism. Similar tables can be easily constructed for \( \alpha = 3, 5, 6 \), etc. Fewer numbers of iterations are usually needed to achieve any required accuracy for the cases \( \gamma > 0 \). For the ground state energy with \( \gamma = 0 \), much attention to the value of \( r_0 \) must be paid to obtain accurate eigenvalues. An important observation is that: for large \( n \), the computed roots by means of the termination condition (6) are either in descending order or in ascending order; if an oscillation is observed, which appears to change the order, then the starting \( r_0 \) should be revised accordingly. The main point is that with the proper choice of \( r_0 \), AIM is a stable and efficient method to obtain the eigenenergies to any degree of accuracy.

| \( \lambda \) | \( E_{\text{AIM}} \) | \( N \) |
|---|---|---|
| 1000 | 190.723 307 439 784 825 395 54 | 90 |
| 100 | 42.462 918 114 619 200 840 54 | 32 |
| 10 | 10.577 483 539 371 157 357 99 | 52 |
| 1 | 4.057 877 007 967 971 192 93 | 64 |
| 0.1 | 3.112 066 906 502 466 751 74 | 65 |
| 0.01 | 3.011 276 010 524 898 166 93 | 62 |
| 0.001 | 3.001 128 301 284 079 220 13 | 60 |
| 0.0001 | 3.000 112 837 137 807 781 38 | 56 |
| 0.00001 | 3.000 011 283 783 881 865 84 | 55 |
| 0.000001 | 3.000 001 128 379 089 204 58 | 54 |
V. CONCLUSION

The present work points out the importance of the asymptotic wave function used for initializing AIM sequences for Schrödinger eigenvalue problems. By introducing a wave function form that satisfies both boundary conditions at zero and at infinity, we were able to obtain accurate eigenvalues for the Schrödinger equation with singular potentials. Several suggestions are discussed to remove the numerical instabilities that may be encountered with direct utilization of AIM. Although we have focused our attention on the calculation of eigenenergies, the method also yields the corresponding eigenfunctions via equations (3) and (4).
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TABLE IV: The energy eigenvalues for Schrödinger’s equation \( \text{(1)} \) with \( \alpha = 4 \) and different values of \( \gamma \) for a wide range of values of the coupling \( \lambda \). For these computations, \( r_0 \) varies over the range \([4, 6.5] \) as \( \lambda \) approaches zero. \( N \) is the number of iterations.

| \( \lambda \) | \( \gamma \) | \( E_{AIM} \) | \( N \) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| 1000        | 0           | 21.369 462 532 163 464 497 98 43 |  |
|             | 1           | 21.522 859 814 112 640 999 87 39 |  |
|             | 2           | 21.827 883 093 646 909 321 84 40 |  |
|             | 3           | 22.281 057 275 014 819 956 75 38 |  |
|             | 4           | 22.877 334 674 778 463 023 90 37 |  |
|             | 5           | 23.610 282 631 878 614 495 76 36 |  |
| 100         | 0           | 11.265 080 431 752 838 088 14 68 |  |
|             | 1           | 16.235 741 726 872 875 703 15 69 |  |
|             | 2           | 16.801 763 365 978 787 670 47 67 |  |
|             | 3           | 17.624 891 020 204 476 919 71 65 |  |
|             | 4           | 18.677 251 190 728 170 156 30 63 |  |
|             | 5           | 19.926 538 009 871 777 284 42 58 |  |
| 10          | 0           | 6.606 622 512 024 943 661 69 129 |  |
|             | 1           | 7.223 520 393 149 576 761 99 124 |  |
|             | 2           | 8.352 483 528 249 905 501 41 116 |  |
|             | 3           | 9.839 231 320 856 383 508 94 100 |  |
|             | 4           | 11.544 000 451 519 493 138 54 89 |  |
|             | 5           | 13.711 330 123 959 945 355 25 78 |  |
| 1           | 0           | 4.494 177 983 369 188 275 |  |
|             | 1           | 5.559 167 225 784 086 246 |  |
|             | 2           | 7.224 287 163 959 573 158 |  |
|             | 3           | 9.108 658 607 516 353 131 |  |
|             | 4           | 11.062 241 719 384 166 107 |  |
|             | 5           | 13.040 015 183 057 043 88 |  |
| 0.1         | 0           | 3.575 551 992 260 |  |
|             | 1           | 5.095 284 821 200 |  |
|             | 2           | 7.025 961 149 133 |  |
|             | 3           | 9.011 364 026 90 |  |
|             | 4           | 11.006 336 099 68 |  |
|             | 5           | 13.004 036 433 50 |  |
| 0.01        | 0           | 3.205 067 495 450 |  |
|             | 1           | 5.011 917 775 356 |  |
|             | 2           | 7.002 658 316 155 |  |
|             | 3           | 9.001 142 200 85 |  |
|             | 4           | 11.000 634 789 60 |  |
|             | 5           | 13.000 404 001 51 |  |
| 0.001       | 0           | 3.068 765 335 |  |
|             | 1           | 5.001 286 52 259 |  |
|             | 2           | 7.000 266 58 88 |  |
|             | 3           | 9.000 114 28 53 |  |
|             | 4           | 11.000 063 49 39 |  |
|             | 5           | 13.000 040 40 33 |  |