Trend in Fine Sulfate Concentrations and the Associated Secondary Formation Processes at an Urban Site in North China
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ABSTRACT

There has been a significant decline in SO₂, the main precursor of sulfate, in North China over the past decade due to strict sulfur removal measures, whereas the amount of photochemical oxidants such as ozone has continued to increase in this region. In this study, we examined temporal variation in the concentrations of fine sulfate in urban Ji'nan in North China from 2008 till 2015. Over this period, the sulfate concentration decreased by –3.86 ± 2.50 µg m⁻³ yr⁻¹ (–10.0 % yr⁻¹), which is slower that the rate of decrease for SO₂ during the same period (–11.6 % yr⁻¹). Nevertheless, the sulfur oxidation ratio and the concentrations of ozone and calcium (an indicator of dust particles) increased over this period. An analysis of the seasonal and diurnal variations in sulfate and the related parameters in 2015 indicated that the ambient sulfate concentration was largely influenced by the amount of SO₂, atmospheric oxidants, aerosol loading, and meteorological conditions. A detailed investigation of the production of sulfate in eight case studies found that the observed sulfate production rate was in the range of 1.1–10.8 µg m⁻³ h⁻¹. Numerical calculations revealed that SO₂ oxidation by OH and H₂O₂ was a major contributor to sulfate production during the daytime in warm seasons. At all times of the day in cold seasons and at nighttime in warm seasons, the heterogeneous SO₂ reaction on aerosol surfaces contributed 30.1%–65.7% of the sulfate production. The increasing amount of ozone and dust particles in this region, which are associated with photochemical pollution and urban dust emissions, are responsible for the slower decrease in sulfate concentration. Therefore, photochemical smog and urban dust should receive adequate attention in order to mitigate the sulfate pollution.
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INTRODUCTION

Fine particulate matter pollution has frequently occurred in China in the past decade owing to the rapid urbanization and the concentrated industries (Yue et al., 2015; Fang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017b; Li et al., 2018). Sulfate (SO₄²⁻) is an important secondary inorganic component in fine particulate matters and has an adverse impact on air quality, climate change, ecosystems, and human health (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Fang et al., 2016). Sulfate contributes a large fraction to PM₁₂.₅, ranging from 10% to 35% in urban areas in China (Cao et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2014a; Huang et al., 2017; Fang et al., 2017). High loadings of sulfate aerosols are significant contributors to haze formation and have led to frequent haze episodes in North China (Zhao et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014ba; Jiang et al., 2015). In addition, sulfate aerosols are hygroscopic and act as efficient cloud condensation nuclei, which affect cloud formation and precipitation (Li et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011). Furthermore, they exert an important cooling effect on the climate (Li et al., 2016). The deposition of sulfates causes soil and lake acidification, which is a serious threat to the earth's ecosystem (Zhao et al., 2009). Sulfate aerosols also directly endanger human health by invading respiratory systems (Pope III et al., 2002).

Sulfate can be produced by multiple formation pathways and the contributions of the different pathways vary with the concentrations of precursors, oxidants, aerosol properties, dust particles, and meteorological conditions. The gas-phase oxidation of SO₂ by OH radicals produces sulfuric acid during the daytime, which in turn promotes new particle formation. The aqueous oxidation of SO₂ involves H₂O₂, O₃, NO₂, and O₃ (catalyzed by transition metals) and occurs within both small cloud/fog droplets and in the surface liquid water layer of aerosols (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). The aqueous formation pathways of sulfate largely depend
on aerosol acidity, due to its influence on the solubility of 
\( \text{SO}_2 \) and the subsequent ionic forms. At a low aerosol pH (< 4.5), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) oxidation is generally the fastest pathway for aqueous sulfate formation (Shen et al., 2012). However, at a high aerosol pH (> 5.5), \( \text{O}_3 \) and \( \text{NO}_2 \) act as the most important oxidants (Shen et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016a; Xue et al., 2016a). The heterogeneous reaction of \( \text{SO}_2 \) on the surfaces of aerosols (in particular dust particles) also produces sulfate, with a production rate that is largely influenced by aerosol surface area density and humidity (Fairlie et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012; He et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015b; Fu et al., 2016). To date, other formation pathways of sulfate, including gas-phase oxidation of \( \text{SO}_2 \) by Criegee intermediates and aqueous oxidation involving organic peroxides and OH radicals, have not been well configured. Because of the large diversity in global atmospheric compositions, the predominant formation pathways and the key influencing factors vary between locations and must be evaluated locally.

To mitigate sulfate aerosol pollution and its environmental impacts, a series of strict sulfur removal measures have been implemented in China over the past decade. There has been a significant decline in the \( \text{SO}_2 \) column concentration in the North China Plain since 2008 (Krotkov et al., 2016). Nevertheless, over the past decade, photochemical pollution has increased and the atmospheric oxidation capability in North China has also increased, as indicated by the rising amount of ozone (Ma et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016). In addition, dust pollution remains serious in some urban areas in North China, mainly due to the rapid urbanization in recent years (Anonymity, 2017). Therefore, it is essential to assess the temporal trends in sulfate concentrations and how its formation processes respond to changing precursors, oxidants, and dust particles in this region.

Ji’nan, the capital city of Shandong Province, is located almost in the center of North China. Due to the intensive emissions of air pollutants from various anthropogenic sources, urban Ji’nan has suffered from serious particulate matter and photochemical pollution in the past decade and sulfate is one of the major contributors to haze episodes (Gao et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014b). In this study, we examined the temporal trends in fine sulfate and the sulfate oxidation ratio in urban Ji’nan over the 2008 to 2015 period. To understand the formation processes of sulfate associated with the variation trend in the region, seasonal and diurnal variations of sulfate and the key influencing factors in 2015 were analyzed and the production rates of sulfate via various formation pathways were explored in detail.

**DATA AND METHODOLOGY**

**Field Measurements**

**Sampling Site and Period**

The field measurements were conducted at the Atmosphere Environment Observation Station located on top of a seven-story teaching building on the Central Campus of Shandong University (SDU-CC; 36°40′N, 117°03′E, ~22 m above ground level), in urban Ji’nan (see Fig. 1). The sampling site was surrounded by residential, educational and commercial districts and there were several major and minor roads nearby. In the past decade, Ji’nan has experienced intensive urban development and the area of land for construction increased 64.8% between 2005 and 2015 (Shandong Provincial Bureau of Statistics, http://www.stats-sd.gov.cn/col/col211/index.html). Field measurements of water-soluble ions, trace gases, PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations, and meteorological parameters were carried out from March 26 to November 30, 2015.

**Measurement Instruments**

The major water-soluble inorganic ions in PM\textsubscript{2.5} were measured using the monitor for aerosols and gases in ambient air (MARGA, ADI 2080, Applikon-ECN, Netherlands). Briefly, the sampling system was composed of two parts: a wet rotating denuder that captured the acidic and alkaline gases (HCl, NH\textsubscript{3}, HNO\textsubscript{2}, and HNO\textsubscript{3}) and a steam jet

![Fig. 1. Maps showing the locations of the sampling sites in urban Ji’nan in North China. The left map is color-coded to show SO\textsubscript{2} emission intensity in 2010 retrieved from MIX emission inventory (Li et al., 2017a).](image-url)
aerosol collector that collected the inorganic ions (SO$_4^{2-}$, NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, K$^+$, Cl$^-$, and Na$^+$). The sample solutions were analyzed hourly by two ion chromatographs with eluents of NaHCO$_3$-Na$_2$CO$_3$ for anions and methanesulfonic acid for cations. The contents of the water-soluble ions and the acidic and alkaline gases were quantified using multi-point standard curves and the LiBr internal standard. This technique has been applied in previous studies (Wang et al., 2015).

PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were measured using a particle monitor (SHARP, Model 5030, Thermo Scientific, USA) based on the scattering coefficient of 880 nm light and the absorption coefficient of beta rays. The surface area density of the aerosols in the range 5 nm to 1 µm was quantified using a wide-range particle spectrometer (WPS, Model 1000XP, MSP Corporation, USA). Concurrently, some other trace gases were monitored with online analyzers. SO$_2$ was measured with a UV fluorescence analyzer (Model 43C, Thermo Environment Instruments (TEI), USA). Ozone was detected using a commercial ultraviolet photometric technique (Model 49C, TEI). NO$_2$ was monitored by a chemiluminescence analyzer equipped with an internal MoO catalytic converter (Model 42C, TEI). In addition, meteorological parameters including relative humidity, ambient temperature, wind speed, and wind direction were monitored by an automatic meteorological station (Huayun, China).

Historical Data Collection
To evaluate trends in the inter-annual variations of fine sulfate concentrations and the influencing factors in urban Ji’nan, we collected available historical data on sulfate concentrations and related air pollutants in urban Ji’nan (see Table 1). The data of sulfate and calcium in PM$_{2.5}$ for the periods between December 2007 and October 2008 were obtained from an online ambient ion monitor (AIM, 9000B, URG, USA) with a time resolution of 1 h (Gao et al., 2011). In 2010, ion chromatography in a laboratory was used to measure the daily concentrations of sulfate and calcium in PM$_{2.5}$ filter samples (Gu et al., 2014). The PM$_{2.5}$ data for 2008 and 2010 were determined by filter sampling and weighing with a time resolution of 12–24 h. The methods and instruments used in 2013 were the same as those used in 2015. Trace gases of SO$_2$ and O$_3$ for all of the periods were measured with online gas analyzers, Model 43C and Model 49C, respectively.

Three sampling sites have been used in previous field measurements in urban Ji’nan (also shown in Fig. 1). The first site was located in the Central Campus of Shandong University (Gao et al., 2011), and is the same site used for the 2015 measurements. The second site was in the Hongjialou Campus of Shandong University (SDU-HC, 36°41ʹN, 117°04ʹE), which is 1.1 km south of the SDU-CC site (Gu et al., 2014). All of the three sampling sites are located in urban areas and are surrounded by educational, residential, and commercial districts with no large-scale emission source nearby. Therefore, the change of the sampling sites is believed having little influence on the long-term trends.

Calculation of Sulfate Production Rate
To identify the dominant factors in fine sulfate formation in pollution episodes in urban Ji’nan, the production rates of the five formation pathways of sulfate (P), including gas-phase SO$_2$ oxidation by OH radicals, aqueous oxidation of SO$_2$ with H$_2$O$_2$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$, and the heterogeneous process of SO$_2$, were calculated according to the methods described in previous studies (see Table 2). The calculation formulas are expressed as follows:

\[
\text{P(OH)} = k_1[\text{OH}][\text{SO}_2] \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{P(H}_2\text{O}_2) = k_2[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2(aq)][\text{H}^+][\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{P(O}_3) = k_3[\text{SO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2] \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{P(NO}_2) = k_4[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2][\text{NO}_2(aq)] \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{P(aerosol)} = 1/4\gamma_{\text{SO}_2}\text{v}_{\text{SO}_2}\text{S}_p[\text{SO}_2] \quad (5)
\]

here, [OH] and [SO$_2$] represent the abundances of OH radicals and SO$_2$, respectively. [SO$_2$·H$_2$O], [H$_2$O$_2$], [H$^+$], and [H$^+$] represent the respective concentrations in the aqueous phase. [H$_2$O$_2$], [H$_2$O], and [NO$_2(aq)$] represent the concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$ dissolved in the aqueous phase, respectively. k$_1$ is the gas-phase reaction rate constant of SO$_2$ with the OH radical, k$_2$, k$_3$, and k$_4$ represent the reaction rate constants of dissolved SO$_2$ with H$_2$O$_2$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$ in the aqueous phase, respectively. The $\gamma_{\text{SO}_2}$ is the uptake coefficient of SO$_2$ on the aerosol surface and ranges from 0.7 × 10$^{-5}$ to 1.8 × 10$^{-5}$. $v_{\text{SO}_2}$ expresses the average molecular velocity of SO$_2$, and S$_p$ indicates the aerosol surface area density.

The aqueous water content, aqueous-phase concentration of H$_2$O$_2$, and aerosol pH were calculated with the online ISORROPIA-II thermodynamic model (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007; Liu et al., 2017). The concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$ in the aqueous phase were assumed to be in equilibrium with their gas-phase concentrations and were calculated based on the following formulas:

\[
[\text{O}_3(aq)] = \text{P(O}_3)\text{H(O}_3) \quad (6)
\]

\[
[\text{SO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}] = \text{P(SO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{H(SO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}) \quad (7)
\]

here, [O$_3(aq)$] represents the concentrations of oxidants O$_3$ (H$_2$O$_2$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$). [SO$_2$·H$_2$O] represents the concentrations of dissolved SO$_2$ in the aqueous phase. P(O$_3$) and P(SO$_2$) are the partial pressures of O$_3$ and SO$_2$, respectively, H(O$_3$) and H(SO$_2$) are the Henry’s law constants of O$_3$ and SO$_2$, respectively. The dissociated components of HSO$_3^-$ and SO$_2^2-$ can be expressed by Eqs. (8) and (9), respectively:

\[
[\text{HSO}_3^-] = k_{5}\text{P(SO}_2\cdot\text{H(SO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O})}[\text{H}^+] \quad (8)
\]
Table 1. Average concentrations of sulfate, SO$_2$, SOR, O$_3$, PM$_{2.5}$, and Ca$^{2+}$ in four seasons at urban sites in Ji’nan in 2008, 2010, 2013, and 2015. The data are from previous studies and observations made during this study.

| Year | Site       | Periods                  | SO$_4^{2-}$ (µgm$^{-3}$) | SO$_2$ (ppb) | SOR (ppb) | O$_3$ (ppb) | PM$_{2.5}$ (µgm$^{-3}$) | Ca$^{2+}$ (µgm$^{-3}$) | Reference               |
|------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 2008 | SDU-CC, SDU-HC | Average                  | 38.3                      | 37.0         | 0.26       | 25.1        | 140.9                   | 0.76                 | Gao et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011 |
|      |            | Winter (12/01/2007–01/03/2008) | 42.8                      | 58.6         | 0.17       | 6.8         | 159.6                   | 1.41                 | 2011                   |
|      |            | Spring (04/01–04/18/2008)  | 27.2                      | 32.1         | 0.22       | 38.8        | 102.7                   | 0.83                 |                        |
|      |            | Summer (06/05–06/17/2008)  | 64.3                      | 26.3         | 0.47       | 45.9        | 173.2                   | 0.29                 |                        |
|      |            | Autumn (09/12–10/15/2008)  | 31.0                      | 22.3         | 0.30       | 28.3        | 99.3                    | 0.23                 |                        |
| 2010 | CEMSJN     | Average                  | 47.9                      | 32.5         | 0.30       | 166.7       | 2.42                    | Gu et al., 2014       |
|      |            | Spring (18 days)         | 26.0                      | 21.5         | 0.22       | 144.5       | 2.93                    |                       |
|      |            | Summer (11 days)         | 62.0                      | 11.8         | 0.55       | 145.4       | 0.98                    |                       |
|      |            | Autumn (17 days)         | 52.0                      | 23.6         | 0.34       | 133.3       | 1.05                    |                       |
|      |            | Winter (14 days)         | 60.0                      | 73.5         | 0.16       | 252.6       | 4.58                    |                       |
| 2013 | SDU-CC     | Average                  | 23.3                      | 29.6         | 0.20       | 31.1        | 129.5                   | 1.98                 | Wang et al., 2015, this study |
|      |            | Spring (03/01/2013–04/29/2013) | 19.8                      | 23.7         | 0.21       | 38.2        | 84.4                    | 2.21                 |                        |
|      |            | Summer (07/01–08/31/2013) | 49.5                      |              |            |             |                         |                      |                        |
|      |            | Autumn (10/11–11/14/2013) | 22.4                      | 17.3         | 0.28       | 28.1        | 113.2                   | 1.54                 |                        |
|      |            | Winter (12/18/2013–02/15/2014) | 27.4                      | 42.7         | 0.14       | 12.9        | 145.8                   | 2.01                 |                        |
| 2015 | SDU-CC     | Average                  | 15.6                      | 8.2          | 0.36       | 38.1        | 91.7                    | 4.36                 | This study              |
|      |            | Spring (03/27–05/31/2015) | 14.2                      | 8.1          | 0.39       | 35.8        | 83.9                    | 4.81                 |                        |
|      |            | Summer (06/01–07/31/2015) | 17.8                      | 4.9          | 0.48       | 50.4        | 47.0                    | 5.60                 |                        |
|      |            | Autumn (09/01/2015–11/14/2015) | 13.7                      | 9.6          | 0.26       | 35.2        | 96.0                    | 3.53                 |                        |
|      |            | Winter (11/15–11/30/2015) | 19.6                      | 14.1         | 0.27       | 12.4        | 132.1                   | 2.48                 |                        |
### Table 2. Five formation pathways of sulfate and the calculation formulas for their production rates.

| Reactions' Solution/ Dissociation equilibrium | Reaction rate (M/s or molecule/(cm\(^2\) s))/Henry's law constant (M atm\(^{-1}\))/ Dissociation constant (M) | References |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| **Gas-phase Reaction**                      |                                                                                                 |            |
| Eq. (1)\(^a\) OH + SO\(_2\) + M → sulfate + HO\(_2\) | \(P(OH) = k\_1[OH] \ [SO\(_2\)]\)\(^1\) \(k_1 = (k\_low[M]/(1 + k\_low[M]/k\_high)) \times 0.6^2\) \(Z = (1 + (\log k \_low[M]/k \_high))^{-1}\) \(k\_low = 3.3E-31 \times (T/300)^{-4.1}, k\_high = 1.6E-12, [M] = 2.7E19\) | Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Cheng et al., 2016 |
| **Aqueous-phase Reactions**                 |                                                                                                 |            |
| Eq. (2) HSO\(_3^-\) + H\(^+\) + H\(_2\)O\(_2\)(aq) → SO\(_4^{2-}\) | \(P(H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) = k \_3[H\(_2\)O\(_2\)(aq)][H\(^+\)] [H\(_2\)O\(_3\)]\)\(^1\) \(k_3 = (7.45E7 \times \exp(-4430 \times g(T))/(1 + 13 \times [H\(^+\)])\) | Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006 |
| Eq. (3) S(IV) + O\(_3\)(aq) → SO\(_4^{2-}\) | \(P(O_3) = (k\_1[SO\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\)] + k\_2[SO\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\)] + k\_3[SO\(_2\)\(_2\)] [O\(_3\)(aq)]\) | Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006 |
| Eq. (4) HSO\(_3^-\) + NO\(_2\)(aq) → SO\(_4^{2-}\) | \(P(NO_2) = k_4[HSO\(_3^-\)] [NO\(_2\)(aq)]\) | Sarwar et al., 2013 |
| **Heterogeneous Reaction**                 |                                                                                                 |            |
| Eq. (5)\(^d\) SO\(_2\) + aerosol surface → SO\(_4^{2-}\) | \(P(aerosol) = (1/4 \gamma\_SO\(_3\) v\_SO\(_3\) S\_P) [SO\(_2\)]\) | Zheng et al., 2015 |
| **Solution equilibrium**                   |                                                                                                 |            |
| Eq. (6) H\(_2\)O\(_2\)(g) ↔ H\(_2\)O\(_2\)(aq) | \(H_{H\(_2\)O\(_2\)} = 1E5 \times \exp(7297.1 \times g(T))\) | Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006 |
| Eq. (7) O\(_3\)(g) ↔ O\(_3\)(aq) | \(H_{O_3} = 1.1E-2 \times \exp(2536.4 \times g(T))\) | Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006 |
| Eq. (8) NO\(_2\)(g) ↔ NO\(_2\)(aq) | \(H_{NO_2} = 1E-2 \times \exp(2516.2 \times g(T))\) | Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006 |
| Eq. (9) SO\(_2\)(g) ↔ SO\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\)(aq) | \(H_{SO_2} = 1.23 \times \exp(3145.3 \times g(T))\) | Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006 |
| **Dissociation equilibrium**               |                                                                                                 |            |
| Eq. (8) SO\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\)H\(_2\)O ↔ H\(^+\) + HSO\(_3^-\) | \(k\_S1 = 1.3E-2 \times \exp(1960 \times g(T))\) | Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006 |
| Eq. (9) SO\(_4^{2-}\) ↔ H\(^+\) + SO\(_3^-\) | \(k\_S2 = 6.6E-8 \times \exp(1500 \times g(T))\) | Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006 |

\(^a\) [M]: the concentration of N\(_2\) and O\(_2\).
\(^b\) S(IV) = SO\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\) + H\(_2\)O\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_2\), g(T) = 1/T/1.298.
\(^c\) pH: aerosol acidity.
\(^d\) \(\beta\) SO\(_3\): uptake coefficient of SO\(_2\), v\_SO\(_3\): mean molecular velocity of SO\(_2\) (m s\(^{-1}\)), S\_P: aerosol surface area density.
\[ [\text{SO}_3^{2-}] = k_{S1} k_{S2} P(\text{SO}_2) \text{H(}\text{SO}_2)/[\text{H}^+]^2 \]  

(9)

here, \(k_{S1}\) and \(k_{S2}\) are dissociation constants of \(\text{HSO}_3^-\) and \(\text{SO}_3^{2-}\), respectively.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Temporal Trend of Fine Sulfate**

Fig. 2(a) shows the trend in fine sulfate concentration in urban Ji’nan for the 2008 to 2015 period. Note that the 2013 data was excluded when deriving the trend due to a lack of summer data (same to the trends for \(\text{SO}_2\), SOR, \(\text{PM}_{2.5}\) and calcium in Fig. 2). As shown, the annual average concentration of fine sulfate decreased at a rate of \(-3.86 \pm 2.50 \mu g \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}\) (equivalent to \(-10.0 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}\), \(p = 0.34\)). The precursor \(\text{SO}_2\) also exhibited a decreasing trend during the same period, with a declining rate of \(-4.26 \pm 0.58 \text{ ppbv yr}^{-1}\) (equivalent to \(-11.6 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}\), \(p = 0.05\)) (also see Fig. 2(a)). To verify the decreasing trends of sulfate and \(\text{SO}_2\), the seasonal average concentrations of fine sulfate and \(\text{SO}_2\) as well as meteorological parameters of temperature, humidity, and wind speed in urban Ji’nan for the 2008 to 2015 period were also examined (shown in Fig. S1 and Table S1). The small differences of meteorological parameters among the four years indicate little influence on the variation of air pollutants. As shown, the sulfate and \(\text{SO}_2\) exhibited decreasing trends in all four seasons, confirming the decrease of sulfate and \(\text{SO}_2\) in urban Ji’nan in the 2008 to 2015 period.

The decreasing amounts of sulfate and \(\text{SO}_2\) during the 2008 to 2015 period have been attributed to the reduction in \(\text{SO}_2\) emissions over the past decade. The reduction in \(\text{SO}_2\) emissions in China is mainly due to the installation of flue gas desulfurization (FGD) systems in power plants, the use of clean combustion technology in industry boilers, the application of a new vehicle standard in transportation, and the use of clean fuel in the residential sector (Wang et al., 2014a). The total emission of \(\text{SO}_2\) from coal-fired power plants in China was estimated to have decreased by 54% between 2006 and 2010 owing to the installation of FGD systems (Liu et al., 2015a) and by a further 19% from 2011 to 2014 due to improvements in the removal efficiency of FGD systems (Xia et al., 2016). The significant drop in \(\text{SO}_2\) emissions has resulted in the reduction of ambient \(\text{SO}_2\) concentrations. The \(\text{SO}_2\) vertical column concentration in East China decreased by 8.5% from 2008 to 2011 and 53.2% from 2011 to 2014 (Fu et al., 2017). Similarly, the ambient concentrations of \(\text{SO}_2\) and sulfate significantly decreased in urban Ji’nan from 2008 to 2015.

Notably, the sulfate concentration decreased at a substantially slower rate (\(-10.0 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}\)) than the \(\text{SO}_2\) mixing ratio (\(-11.6 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}\)), which is consistent with the increasing sulfur oxidation ratio (SOR, the mole ratio of sulfate to the sum of sulfate and \(\text{SO}_2\)) (Wang et al., 2005) in urban Ji’nan from 2008 to 2015 (shown in Fig. 2(b) and Fig. S1(c)). Sulfate formation and the concentration are usually dependent on characteristics of the atmospheric pollution and the formation mechanisms of sulfate and are influenced by the oxidant mixing ratio, aerosol loading and properties, and meteorological conditions. With examination of the trends...
in the concentrations of oxidants and aerosols (see Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) and Fig. S1), the enhancements of atmospheric oxidants (indicated by O₃) and the increase in dust particles (indicated by calcium) in urban Ji’nan were possibly the major factors that facilitated the SOR and thus led to the slowness of the sulfate concentration reduction. The impacts of the various influencing factors on the concentration and formation of fine sulfate in urban Ji’nan were analyzed in detail in this study and the results are presented in the following sections.

**Seasonal and Diurnal Variations of Sulfate**

To understand the pollution characteristics of concentrations of fine sulfate and the associated factors, the seasonal variations in the concentrations of fine sulfate, SO₂, O₃, and PM₂.₅ in urban Ji’nan in 2015 are depicted in Fig. 3 and the diurnal variations of these pollutants and the meteorological parameters are illustrated in Fig. 4.

As shown in Fig. 3, there were elevated concentrations of fine sulfate in summer and winter and relatively low levels in spring and autumn, these variations were associated with the mixing ratios of precursor SO₂ and oxidants and with the aerosol loading. The average concentration of fine sulfate was highest in July, 19.6 µg m⁻³ (as shown in Fig. 3), which was linked to high levels of photochemical oxidants (e.g., 57.0 ppbv of ozone, much higher than in other months due to intensive solar radiation). In November, the average sulfate concentration reached 18.2 µg m⁻³, which was accompanied by the highest concentrations of SO₂ (12.5 ppbv) and PM₂.₅ (126.8 µg m⁻³). Therefore, high levels of SO₂, oxidants, and aerosols together led to elevated concentrations of fine sulfate in summer and winter in urban Ji’nan.

As shown in Fig. 4, in urban Ji’nan there was a broad peak in fine sulfate concentration during the daytime (10:00–16:00 in spring, summer, and autumn and 9:00–13:00 in winter), with the maximum average hourly concentration of 15.5–21.9 µg m⁻³ appearing between 11:00 and 14:00 in all four seasons. The precursor SO₂ had a daytime concentration peak similar to that of sulfate, however, the concentration peak of sulfate emerged after a time lag of 1–3 h. Ozone, one of the representative photochemical oxidants, also exhibited a broad peak lasting from morning to early evening, with a maximum average hourly concentration of 19.3–82.7 ppbv. The above results indicate the strong dependence of sulfate concentration on the levels of precursors and oxidants. Sulfate concentrations occasionally peaked late at night, possibly due to elevated levels of SO₂ and aerosols and high humidity.

**Sulfate Formation and the Contributions of Different Pathways**

To further understand the dominant formation pathways of fine sulfate in urban Ji’nan and the dominant factors influencing the formation processes, eight pollution cases that had persistent increases in sulfate concentrations that lasted longer than two hours were analyzed in detail (see Fig. 5; there are four daytime cases (May 15, September 11, November 12, and November 15) and four nighttime cases (April 28, May 6, September 9, and November 11)). The selected cases had a stable wind direction, a low wind speed (mostly below 2 m s⁻¹), relatively high sulfate concentrations (maximum hourly concentration generally above 20 µg m⁻³), and low levels of SO₂ (maximum hourly concentration mostly below 30 ppbv), indicating there was little effect from the freshly emitted SO₂ plume and the change of air...
Fig. 4. Average diurnal variations in SO₂, fine sulfate, O₃, PM₂.₅, temperature, and related humidity in (a) spring, (b) summer, (c) autumn, and (d) winter in urban Ji’nan in 2015. The error bars represent a quarter of the standard deviation.

Fig. 5. Time series of the parameters of temperature, relative humidity, wind, aerosol pH, aerosol surface area density, and concentrations of calcium, O₃, SO₂, and fine sulfate in the eight selected cases (April 28, May 6 and 15, September 9 and 11, November 11, 12, and 15 in 2015 in urban Ji’nan). The shaded areas indicate the periods when significant sulfate production was observed.

In the eight selected cases, the observed sulfate production rate was in the range of 1.1–10.8 µg m⁻³ h⁻¹. The fastest sulfate production rate appeared during the daytime of November 12 and was accompanied by a high loading of PM₂.₅ (313.0–519.4 µg m⁻³) and high relative humidity (76%–91%). The second-fastest sulfate production rate (5.7 µg m⁻³ h⁻¹) occurred during the daytime on May 15 and was accompanied by a high concentration of ozone (48.7–65.1 ppbv). The aerosol pH was in the range of 1.6–5.1 in all eight cases, which is generally consistent with the...
aerosol pH values in urban Beijing in North China (3.0–4.9) (Liu et al., 2017) and in urban Guangzhou in South China (0.5–4.0) (Jia et al., 2018). Ambient temperature and relative humidity ranged from 6.1 to 23.4°C and from 36% to 92%, respectively. In addition, the concentrations of OH radicals and H2O2 were estimated using the Master Chemical Mechanism model (MCM), which has been used in our previous studies to simulate ROX chemistry (Xue et al., 2016b). In all eight cases, the estimated concentration of OH radicals was in the range of 0.1 × 10^6–15 × 10^6 molecule cm^-3, which is comparable to the range observed at a rural site in North China during the summer (0 × 10^6–20 × 10^6 molecule cm^-3) (Tan et al., 2017). The estimated H2O2 mixing ratio ranged from 0.1 to 2.5 ppbv, close to the values for other field measurements in this region (mostly 0.2–6.0 ppbv) (Wang et al., 2016b). With the above data as inputs, the sulfate production rates via each pathway were calculated based on the methods described in Section 2.3. Note that the sum of the calculated contributions of the five pathways only accounted for 45.7%–73.8% of the observed sulfate production, the remaining fraction was mainly ascribed to other formation pathways such as SO2 oxidation by Criegee intermediates in the gas-phase, by O2 (catalyzed by transition metals), organic peroxides, and OH radicals in aqueous phase, and potential missing sources. Besides, significant uncertainty exists in the estimated aerosol pH and the adopted SO2 uptake coefficient on the aerosol surface, which consequently lead to some inaccuracy to the calculated contributions.

As shown in Fig. 6, the gas-phase and aqueous-phase oxidation of SO2 by photochemical oxidants contributed a large fraction to sulfate formation particularly during the daytime in warm season. Among the several photochemical oxidants, the contribution of gas-phase oxidation by OH radicals varied from 4.4%–51.2%, which is comparable to the sulfate formation in Hong Kong in South China during the daytime in winter (28%–32%) and in megacities in eastern China during heavy haze-fog events in cold season (~3.1%) (Xue et al., 2014, 2016a). The higher values occurred during daytime in warm seasons due to intensive solar radiation. During the daytime on May 15, the high mixing ratios of OH radicals (4.3 × 10^10–12 × 10^6 molecule cm^-3) greatly promoted the gas-phase oxidation of SO2, and as a result, this pathway yielded a very high contribution of 51.2% to the total sulfate formation rate. Aqueous-phase oxidation by H2O2 made a minor contribution (0.3%–9.4%) to the sulfate formation during both daytime and nighttime in both warm and cold seasons, close to the values in Hong Kong during in South China the daytime in winter (5%–7%) and in megacities in eastern China during heavy haze-fog events in cold season (~0.9%) (Xue et al., 2014, 2016a).

During the daytime on November 12, the high aerosol acidity (1.6 < pH < 2.9) facilitated the sulfate formation via aqueous-phase SO2 oxidation by H2O2, resulting in a contribution of 9.4%. During the daytime on May 15, there was little liquid water due to low humidity (36%–43%), and thus the aqueous-phase oxidation by H2O2 only contributed 0.3% to sulfate formation. As for the oxidants of O3 and NO2, the aqueous-phase oxidations contributed negligible fractions to sulfate production, owning to the acidic aerosol property of this region (as shown in Fig. 6), which was generally consistent with the calculation results in urban Beijing in North China (Guo et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017).

The heterogeneous reactions of SO2 on aerosol surfaces contributed a very large proportion to the total sulfate production rate at all times of day in cold seasons and at nighttime in warm seasons. During the daytime cases on November 12 and 15, the very high levels of aerosol surface area density (5438–7484 µm^2 cm^-3 and 6835–8162 µm^2 cm^-3, respectively) promoted the sulfate formation via heterogeneous reaction of SO2, which accounted for approximately 50.9% and 65.7%, respectively. In the four nighttime cases, the contribution of this formation pathway was in the range of 30.1%–62.7%. Furthermore, the five cases with large contribution (> 40%) of heterogeneous reactions all appeared when the aerosol surface area density

Fig. 6. Contributions of each of the five formation pathways to the total sulfate production rates in the eight selected cases (April 28, May 6 and 15, September 9 and 11, November 11, 12, and 15 in 2015 in urban Ji’nan). The error bars represent half of the standard deviation.
was high (> 5000 µm² cm⁻³) and the calcium concentration was high (most above 3.5 µg m⁻³). The large contribution of the heterogeneous reactions of SO₂ on aerosol surfaces was primarily attributed to the very high aerosol loading and abundant dust particles in this region (Huang et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016) and also benefited from the relatively high humidity at nighttime.

To enhance the temporal representativeness of the calculation results on the contributions of different formation pathways to fine sulfate formation, additional eight cases in warm and cold seasons with fewer restrictions (maximum hourly sulfate concentration below 20 µg m⁻³ in the cases on May 22 and November 27) were chosen and analyzed (see Figs. S2 and S3). The results are similar to those shown in Fig. 6, confirming the importance of SO₂ oxidation by photochemical oxidants at the daytime in warm season and the dominant role of heterogeneous reactions of SO₂ in cold season. Overall, the sulfate production in urban Ji’nan was dominated by SO₂ oxidation via photochemical oxidants during the day in warm seasons and by heterogeneous SO₂ reactions on aerosol surfaces at all times of day in cold seasons and at nighttime in warm seasons. Therefore, the aggravating photochemical pollution caused by the rising VOCs (Ma et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016) and the increasing amount of dust particles caused by accelerated urbanization in the past decade are believed to be responsible for the rising sulfur oxidation ratio and thus the slow decrease in sulfate concentrations in urban Ji’nan. Therefore, strict control measures to control photochemical pollution and to reduce urban dust emissions are needed to efficiently mitigate the fine sulfate pollution in urban areas in North China.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To understand the trends in temporal variations in fine sulfate in North China and the factors that strongly influence the ambient concentration and secondary formation of fine sulfate, field measurements of fine sulfate and the related air pollutants and parameters were conducted in urban Ji’nan in 2015. Drawing on relevant data from previous studies of urban areas in Ji’nan, this study identified a significant decrease in the sulfate concentration over the period of 2008 till 2015, with an average decline rate of −3.86 ± 2.50 µg m⁻³ yr⁻¹ (−10.0 % yr⁻¹). The significant reduction in the sulfate concentration was facilitated by accelerated urbanization in the past decade and the implementation of a series of desulfurization techniques. However, the sulfate concentration decreased at a substantially slower rate than the SO₂ mixing ratios during the same period (−11.6 % yr⁻¹) due to a rising sulfur oxidation ratio. In 2015, the fine sulfate concentration in urban Ji’nan exhibited higher concentrations in summer and winter than spring and autumn, and in all seasons, the concentration was higher during the daytime than the nighttime due to the mixing ratios of SO₂ and oxidants, aerosol loading, and meteorological conditions. The observational data collected during the selected daytime and nighttime pollution cases showed that fine sulfate formed at rates of 1.1–10.8 µg m⁻³ h⁻¹. Among the various formation pathways, SO₂ oxidation by OH radicals and H₂O₂ dominated during the daytime in warm seasons, whereas heterogeneous reactions of SO₂ were the dominant driver in cold seasons and at nighttime in warm seasons. Given the enhancement of photochemical oxidants and the increase in dust particles in recent years, the severe photochemical pollution and large emission of dust particles caused by rapid urbanization are likely responsible for the rising sulfur oxidation ratio and the slow reduction of sulfate concentrations and thus should be paid close attention in the future.
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