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Objectives: This study aims to discover patients loyal to a hospital and model their medical service usage patterns. Consequently, this study proposes a data mining application in customer relationship management (CRM) for hospital inpatients.

Methods: A recency, frequency, monetary (RFM) model has been applied toward 14,072 patients discharged from a university hospital. Cluster analysis was conducted to segment customers, and it modeled the patterns of the loyal customers’ medical services usage via a decision tree.

Results: Patients were divided into two groups according to the variables of the RFM model and the group which had significantly high frequency of medical use and expenses was defined as loyal customers, a target market. As a result of the decision tree, the predictable factors of the loyal clients were; length of stay, certainty of selectable treatment, surgery, number of accompanying treatments, kind of patient room, and department from which they were discharged. Particularly, this research showed that when a patient within the internal medicine department who did not have surgery stayed for more than 13.5 days, their probability of being a classified as a loyal customer was 70.0%.

Conclusions: To discover a hospital’s loyal patients and model their medical usage patterns, the application of data-mining has been suggested. This paper suggests practical use of combining segmentation, targeting, positioning (STP) strategy and the RFM model with data-mining in CRM.
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I. Introduction

According to recent changes of medical service from treatment focus to prevention focus, the dominant market of providing treatment services is being replaced by the market of consumers visiting hospitals to receive prevention services [1]. Also clients now select hospitals more freely by collecting information from various sources and therefore, hospitals have a higher threat to their business environment due to leaving patients [2].

So far, general companies and hospitals have put in much effort to attract new customers for management improvement. Awareness of existing customers was relatively small and as a result the hospitals are experiencing many leaving existing customers [1,3,4]. According to some studies, the expenses for attracting new customers have been about five times as high as the expenses for maintaining existing cus-
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II. Methods

This study used a database of discharged patients from a university hospital in Seoul between January 1st and December 31st 2009. Among a total of 16,346 discharged patients, we excluded unsuitable patients for this study purpose (younger than 19, foreigners, and patients who were participating in clinical trials), and 14,072 patients were selected as final subjects.

In this study, STP strategy and RFM model are combined with data-mining in order to perform CRM of hospitals. In order to discover fidelity customers, segmentation and targeting was performed by applying a core marketing strategy, i.e., STP strategy [9] and while using this, variables of RFM model were used, which has been used for the criteria of segmentation in marketing. It is also being used as an extremely important method when commencing marketing activity or assessing customers’ values [10,11]. And more recently, this is being used as a classification method of fidelity customers to perform CRM in hospitals [12]. In this study, the variables representing consuming frequency was the number of admission and visiting out-patient department (OPD) prior to one year of index admission and the variables representing monetary were expenses for being discharged from the hospital and the expenses per visit for out-patient care.

Independent variables consisted of the factors associated with loyal customers revealed in previous study [1,12]. And it can be classified into three characteristics: demographic characteristics, medical service use characteristics and disease group characteristics. First, the variables of demographic characteristics are gender, age, place of residence, and insurance, and the variables of medical service use characteristics are a department (internal/surgical affiliation), kind of patient room, route of admission, surgery certainty, readmission certainty, length of stay (LOS), and a number of accompanying treatments. Also, International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10 code of main diagnostic criteria at the time of discharge was used for disease group characteristics.

The analyzing method for market segmentation and target market selection, k-means algorithm cluster analysis was performed by applying RFM variables and comparing the groups’ differences through a t-test. Furthermore, to compare target market of fidelity customers and general customers’ demographic characteristics, medical service use characteristics, disease group characteristics, t-test and chi-square test were performed. Lastly, the medical use pattern modeling was performed by applying a decision tree. During this process, the full analysis of the data was segmented to 70% of training data and 30% of validation data. This study relied on...
on the training data to create a model; it applied the created model to the validation data [13-15].

To assess the decision tree, it has been compared with a logistic regression by using root asymptotic standard error (ASE), misclassification rate and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve which are the most fundamentally used for comparing the predictive power of models.

For data analysis, t-test and chi-square tests were performed using the statistical package SAS ver. 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and the cluster analysis and decision tree used the Enterprise Miner ver. 4.0 (SAS Institute Inc.).

III. Results

1. Classification of Fidelity Patients

For market segmentation and target market selection, the customers were classified into two groups on the basis of RFM standards and the differences of the two groups are as follows (Table 1).

First, among the variables that represent customers’ hospital use frequency, the number of admission within 1 year prior to discharge showed no statistical significant difference \( (p = 0.092) \). It showed an average of 2.3 times in group I and an average of 2.5 times in group II. But in the case of the number of visiting OPD, statistically, group I showed an average of 20.2 times which is significantly higher than the average of 14.1 times of the group II \( (p = 0.000) \). For expenses for being discharged from the hospital among the variables that represent customers’ consumption scale, the group I shows 21,182.5 thousand KRW and is significantly higher than 3,201.0 thousand KRW in the group II statistically \( (p = 0.000) \). For expenses per one visit OPD, group I was significantly higher with 62.1 thousand KRW, while group II was 28.8 thousand KRW \( (p = 0.000) \).

After comparing differences between the two groups, group I that had significantly higher in hospital use frequency and consumption scale were defined as loyal customers in the target market and group II was defined as ordinary customers.

2. Comparison of Characteristics between Loyal Customers and Ordinary Customers

After comparing the demographic characteristics of general customers with loyal customers who had been selected through market segmentation, in gender of fidelity customers, men were 58.2% and were higher than women, 52.9% \( (p = 0.000) \). In a case of age, those aged 65 years old above (40.7%) were most common in loyal customers but ordinary customers were mostly aged between 50-64 years old as 33.5% \( (p = 0.000) \) (Table 2).

When examining characteristics of medical use, surgical department in loyal customers was 65.6% and this was relatively higher than 58.3% of ordinary customers \( (p = 0.000) \). The percentage of private room use in loyal customers was 28.2% and this was approximately three times higher than 10.1% of ordinary customers \( (p = 0.000) \). Furthermore, admissions through the emergency room in loyal customers was 47.6% and ordinary customers was 25.3% \( (p = 0.000) \). LOS was significantly longer in loyal customers \( (28.0 \pm 21.3 \text{ days}) \) than ordinary customers \( (6.2 \pm 5.6 \text{ days}; p = 0.000) \), and accompanying treatments also showed a greater number in loyal customers \( (6.4 \pm 6.6) \) than general customers \( (0.9 \pm 1.7; p = 0.000) \) (Table 3).

When disease group characteristics are compared of ordinary patients with loyal patients, through ICD-10 code at the time of discharge, the percentages of diseases of the digestive system and neoplasm were high in both groups. The diseases of the circulatory system was the second-highest in the case of loyal customers; but in the case of ordinary patients, symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified was the second-highest.

Table 1. Patient segmentation by variables of recency, frequency, and monetary

|                         | Group I    | Group II   | \( p \)-value |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| No. of patient (%)      | 1,647 (11.7) | 12,425 (88.3) |               |
| Frequency of purchase   |            |            |               |
| No. of admission        | 2.3        | 2.5        | 0.092         |
| No. of OPD visit        | 20.2       | 14.1       | 0.000         |
| Monetary scale (thousand KRW) |        |            |               |
| Expenses for being discharged | 21,182.5     | 3,201.0    | 0.000         |
| Expenses per case for out-patient care | 62.1        | 28.8       | 0.000         |

OPD: out-patient department.
### Table 2. Demographic characteristics of subjects

| Characteristic          | Loyal customer | Ordinary customer | p-value |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|
| Gender                  |                |                   | 0.000   |
| Male                    | 959 (58.2)     | 5,857 (47.1)      |         |
| Female                  | 688 (41.8)     | 6,568 (52.9)      |         |
| Age                     |                |                   | 0.000   |
| 20-34                   | 142 (8.6)      | 1,822 (14.7)      |         |
| 35-49                   | 305 (18.5)     | 2,891 (23.3)      |         |
| 50-64                   | 529 (32.1)     | 4,161 (33.5)      |         |
| 65+                     | 671 (40.7)     | 3,551 (28.6)      |         |
| Residential regions     |                |                   | 0.093   |
| Seoul                   | 885 (53.7)     | 6,986 (56.2)      |         |
| Gyeonggi/Incheon        | 422 (25.6)     | 2,905 (23.4)      |         |
| Others                  | 340 (20.6)     | 2,534 (20.4)      |         |
| Insurance               |                |                   | 0.000   |
| National health insurance| 1,523 (92.5) | 11,580 (93.2)    |         |
| Medical aid             | 62 (3.8)       | 570 (4.6)         |         |
| Others                  | 62 (3.8)       | 275 (2.2)         |         |
| Total                   | 1,647 (100.0)  | 12,425 (100.0)    |         |

Values are presented as number (%).

### Table 3. Health care utilization characteristics of subjects

| Characteristic          | Loyal customer | Ordinary customer | p-value |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|
| Department              |                |                   | 0.000   |
| Internal                | 566 (34.4)     | 5,176 (41.7)      |         |
| Surgical                | 1,081 (65.6)   | 7,249 (58.3)      |         |
| Patient room            |                |                   | 0.000   |
| Private room            | 465 (28.2)     | 1,256 (10.1)      |         |
| Two beds                | 131 (8.0)      | 1,411 (11.4)      |         |
| Three beds over         | 1,051 (63.8)   | 9,758 (78.5)      |         |
| Route of admission      |                |                   | 0.000   |
| Out-patient department  | 863 (52.4)     | 9,284 (74.7)      |         |
| Emergency room          | 784 (47.6)     | 3,141 (25.3)      |         |
| Surgery                 |                |                   | 0.000   |
| Yes                     | 798 (48.5)     | 4,941 (39.8)      |         |
| No                      | 849 (51.5)     | 7,484 (60.2)      |         |
| Readmission             |                |                   | 0.001   |
| Yes                     | 399 (24.2)     | 3,509 (28.2)      |         |
| No                      | 1,248 (75.8)   | 8,916 (71.8)      |         |
| Length of stay (day)    | 28.0 ± 21.3    | 6.2 ± 5.6         | 0.000   |
| No. of accompanying treatment | 6.4 ± 6.6 | 0.9 ± 1.7        | 0.000   |
| Total                   | 1,647 (100.0)  | 12,425 (100.0)    |         |

Values are presented as number (%) or mean ± standard deviation.
Moreover, loyal customers (2.4%) were roughly double the amount than ordinary customers (1.1%) in diseases of the nervous system and in pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium case, loyal customers were 0.2% but ordinary customers were relatively high, 2.2% ($p = 0.000$) (Table 4).

### 3. Model of the Loyal Customers’ Patterns of Medical Service Use

After analyzing the medical use patterns of loyal customers by applying the decision tree, factors that classify into loyal and ordinary customers were LOS, certainty of selectable treatment, surgery, number of accompanying treatments, kind of patient room, and department from which they were discharged (Figure 1).

The most important variable in classifying into loyal customers and ordinary customers was the LOS. While if a patient was in the hospital shorter than 13.5 days, the probability of being classified into a loyal customer was 3.1%, if a patient was in the hospital 13.5 days or longer, the probability was 30.0%.

In case of patients who were in the hospital longer than 13.5 days, factor that classify into loyal and ordinary customers was whether or not they had surgery. As for the patients who had surgery, the probability of being classified into loyal customers was 41.1%; as for the patients who did not, it was 21.2%. The factor in classifying patients who were in the hospital 13.5 days or longer and had surgery was what kind of patient room they stayed in. As for patients who stayed in a one-patient room, the probability was 46.2%. And as for patients who stayed in the others, it was 30.9%. In contrast, the factor in classifying patients who stayed 13.5 days or longer but did not have surgery was the department from which they were discharged. As for the patients who stayed in the department of internal medicine, the probability was 70.0%; as for the patients who stayed in the department of surgery, it was 17.9%.

### Table 4. Disease group characteristics of subjects (ICD-10 code)

| Disease (ICD-10)                                                                 | Loyal customer | Ordinary customer | $p$-value |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Certain infectious and parasitic diseases                                        | 67 (4.1)       | 467 (3.8)         | 0.000     |
| Neoplasms                                                                        | 237 (14.4)     | 1,872 (15.1)      |           |
| Diseases of the blood and blood-forming organs and certain disorders involving the immune mechanism | 28 (1.7)       | 189 (1.5)         |           |
| Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases                                    | 88 (5.3)       | 683 (5.5)         |           |
| Mental and behavioural disorders                                                 | 29 (1.8)       | 286 (2.3)         |           |
| Diseases of the nervous system                                                   | 39 (2.4)       | 131 (1.1)         |           |
| Diseases of the eye and adnexa                                                   | 10 (0.6)       | 422 (3.4)         |           |
| Diseases of the ear and mastoid process                                          | 2 (0.1)        | 115 (0.9)         |           |
| Diseases of the circulatory system                                               | 249 (15.1)     | 968 (7.8)         |           |
| Diseases of the respiratory system                                               | 131 (8.0)      | 598 (4.8)         |           |
| Diseases of the digestive system                                                 | 284 (17.2)     | 2,027 (16.3)      |           |
| Diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue                                    | 10 (0.6)       | 72 (0.6)          |           |
| Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue                     | 83 (5.0)       | 787 (6.3)         |           |
| Diseases of the genitourinary system                                             | 85 (5.2)       | 987 (7.9)         |           |
| Pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium                                        | 3 (0.2)        | 276 (2.2)         |           |
| Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period                           | 0 (0.0)        | 11 (0.1)          |           |
| Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalities             | 4 (0.2)        | 33 (0.3)          |           |
| Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified | 193 (11.7)    | 1,551 (12.5)      |           |
| Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes              | 69 (4.2)       | 458 (3.7)         |           |
| Other                                                                            | 36 (2.2)       | 492 (4.0)         |           |
| Total                                                                            | 1,647 (100.0)  | 12,425 (100.0)    |           |

Values are presented as number (%).

ICD: International Classification of Diseases.
4. Evaluation of the Model
In order to evaluate the model, this study compared the decision tree with the logistic regression. As for the root ASE, the decision tree was 0.230 and the logistic data was 0.244, in the training data, and that the decision tree was 0.228 and the logistic regression was 0.243, in the validation data, indicating that the decision tree was a little more excellent than the logistic regression. And, the result of misclassification rate showed that the decision tree was 0.065 and the logistic regression was 0.075 in the training data and that the decision tree was 0.061 and the logistic regression was 0.077 in the validation data, indicating the model of decision tree was relatively excellent (Table 5). And according to the comparison of the ROC curves of the two models, it was judged that the model of decision tree was relatively excellent (Figure 2). In summary of above contents, the decision tree model used in this study as a whole, judged to appropriate.

IV. Discussion
For selected loyal customers through market segmentation, a medical use pattern model of loyal customers was established by using a decision tree which is one of the data-mining methods. A decision tree is a set rule that predicts

Table 5. Comparison between decision tree and logistic regression

|                | Decision tree | Logistic regression |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Root ASE       | Training data | 0.230               | 0.244               |
|                | Validation data | 0.228             | 0.243               |
| Misclassification rate | Training data | 0.065               | 0.075               |
|                | Validation data | 0.061               | 0.077               |

ASE: asymptotic standard error.
target variables and creating the classification trees by repeatedly dividing the data. During this process, a tree branch is made and every branch decides the classification criteria of the dividing data. Therefore, it explores the set of data and determines the variable that is predicted as the most significant of the predictor variable. Structure of the decision tree provides good visualization, and helps understand and explain the process with ease, but its weakness is that when the sample size is small there are a large number of end branches formed [13,14,16]. In this study, by applying the data 70% of training and 30% of validation, a model overfitting that can be occur during estimating the model was solved [13,15]. And to evaluate decision tree model, it was compared with the logistic regression model, which is fundamentally used when the dependent variable is binomial.

The decision tree showed that the most important factor of classifying loyal customers is LOS and when they were relatively higher than the criteria based on 13.5 days, there was a higher probability of being loyal customers. A study on the model of predicting expenses of patients who were admitted in the hospital showed that the most important factor in predicting expenses was the LOS (by standards of 14.5 days). This is because hospitalized treatments expenses were included mostly with patients’ rooms fees and this increases with the prolongation of hospitalized days [17,18]. Even in this report, longer LOS increased profitability of patients due to their hospitalized treatments fees and implying that they have a higher probability of being selected as loyal customers.

In case of the LOS was 13.5 days or longer, a factor that classifies the loyal customer was certainty of surgery, when one had a surgical operation, the probability of being a loyal customer was 41.1%. In previous study, the number of operations was shown as a factor of affecting increase in treatments fees and this is because the increase in profitability in respect to increase in treatments fees of patients due to surgical operation [17]. In a case of 13.5 days or longer LOS with a surgery, the factor of classifying into loyal customers was kind of the patient’s room and when patient stayed in the private room, the probability of being loyal customers was high (46.2%). Room type is an important factor of affecting expenses for inpatient like LOS because treatment fees increase with using higher class rooms [18]. In the case of patients whose LOS were 13.5 days or longer and has not been operated on, then the loyal customer classifying factor was department from which they were discharged. When a patient was treated in an internal medicine department, the probability of being a loyal customer was high (70.0%). Patients from the internal medicine department is generally higher due to the relatively high proportion of patients with chronic disease and complications, hence it was reported that they have higher frequency of medical use [19].

In the case where LOS was shorter than 13.5 days, the factor of classifying loyal customers was certainty of selectable treatments and the customers who had selected treatments had higher chance of being loyal customers (28.0%). In previous study, the loyalty of customers was shown as being affected by selectable treatments [1]. In selectable treatments, patients choose doctors who they want to receive treatments from and are based on the relationship of the doctor and patient. Moreover, the relationship with doctors positively affects the hospital’s impression on customers. So customers who choose treatments than those who choose not to receive them have a higher credibility regarding the hospital and doctors also increasing their loyalty.

The limitation of this research is first, subjects are limited to only one hospital so the results cannot be generalized. Second, a variable that represents a degree of severity of patients was not included. In order to compromise this, surgery certainty and the number of accompanying treatments were used as independent variables yet it is hard to determine the severity of the disease directly. Thirdly, the proportion of unpaid treatment fees was a variable that represented the loyalty of customers in the previous study [1] but this study was unable to confirm unpaid treatment fees.

Despite the above limitations, the significance of this study is that is suggested an application method of data-mining for a hospital’s CRM. To distinguish loyal customer and by modeling their medical use pattern, classification and prediction which are data-mining’s important functions were applied to a hospital’s marketing. A successful marketer must identify the needs of customers in different segments [12]. This study used RFM model and STP strategy, which are generally used in marketing, to classify patients and target loyal customers. This paper suggests practical use of combining STP strategy and the RFM model with data-mining in CRM. And medical use patterns of loyal customers were established in modeling by applying a decision tree so, it assisted in not just finding factors associated with loyal customers but also to find increase loyal customers’ predictable probability in steps according to each factors.

Through the hospital CRM that was introduced in this study along with the uses of the data-mining method, we look forward to helping hospitals that are faced with recently increasing competitions improve their management activities.
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