Adjuvant chemotherapy after gastric resection in node-positive cancer patients: a multicentre randomised study
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Summary After curative resection for gastric adenocarcinoma, 103 patients, all with positive nodes, were randomised so that 48 received adjuvant chemotherapy of epideroxorubicin (EPI) 75 mg m⁻² on day 1, leucovorin (LV) 200 mg m⁻² on days 1–3 and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) 450 mg m⁻² on days 1–3, every 21 days for 7 months, whereas the remaining 55 did not. During the first year of observation, 21 control patients (38%) and five treated patients (10%) had recurrences. After a follow-up period of 36 months, 12 of the treated patients (25%) and only seven controls (13%) were still alive. At that point, the median survival was 13.6 months for the 55 untreated patients and 20.4 months for the 48 treated patients, a significant difference. We found a survival advantage for patients treated with the EPI–LV–5-FU regimen and a consistent delay in the appearance of recurrent or metastatic cancer. Acute toxicity was mild and treatment was well accepted by all patients. There was no long-term toxicity or any cardiac toxicity. We conclude that this particular chemotherapy, administered shortly after gastric resection, improves survival rate in node-positive gastric cancer patients, even although final assessment of this particular adjuvant approach must await completion of the trial.
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Gastric cancer represents the third most common cause of cancer death in Italy (Decarli et al., 1988). Because the early course of the disease is often silent, most patients present with advanced disease. In the last decade, 17,000 new patients were diagnosed but only 25% of these were candidates for curative surgery. The prognosis of untreated patients with gastric cancer is 4 months and this increases to 6 months for those undergoing palliative resection (Waxman, 1992). Despite standardisation of resection techniques, extensive lymph node dissection and the use of mechanical staplers for critical anastomoses, the results of surgical resection alone in patients with locally advanced gastric carcinoma are disappointing: in Western countries, including Italy, the 5 year survival rate ranges from 5% to 15%, with a median survival of only 8 months (Alexander et al., 1993). The relatively high incidence of residual tumour after surgical resection, disease spread to the peritoneal surface and rapid development of systemic metastases are the major causes of failure following surgery.

The purpose of adjuvant therapy is to enhance the efficacy of primary surgery so as to eradicate malignant cells disseminated before or at the time of surgery and to suppress the growth of hidden micrometastases.

In therapeutic terms, radiation therapy is only minimally effective in patients with gastric cancer (Balikdjian et al., 1980) and few studies have evaluated radiation therapy alone as an adjuvant to surgical resection in gastric cancer.

Because peritoneal and hepatic recurrence are common, intraperitoneal post-operative chemotherapy is being investigated at several centres, but this approach has yielded only limited success in the last few years (Bleiberg et al., 1992). Among combined regimens for systemic chemotherapy for gastric cancer, the most widely used is the FAM combination [5-fluorouracil (5-FU), doxorubicin and mitomycin C] (Macdonald et al., 1980; Haim et al., 1982), a number of FAM modifications, involving the replacement of mitomycin with other drugs or of doxorubicin with epideroxorubicin, have also been investigated (Ogawa et al., 1990; Havlin et al., 1992). In addition, the combination of etoposide, doxorubicin and cisplatin (EAP) (Preusser et al., 1989) as well as the biochemical modulation of 5-FU activity by the addition of leucovorin (Bruckner et al., 1991; Kornek et al., 1992) have proved highly efficacious. Recently, in a phase II study of advanced gastric cancer treated with epideroxorubicin and high-dose leucovorin plus 5-FU (EPI–LV–5-FU), we obtained a response rate of 49% and a median response duration of 13 months with a very low general toxicity (Neri et al., 1993). These data would lead one to suppose that treatments found to be active in advanced disease might also be tested as adjuvant therapy in resectable gastric cancer.

The attempt to reduce recurrences and prolong survival in patients with gastric carcinoma has led to intensive study of adjuvant chemotherapy after surgical gastric resection by cooperative groups and others (The Gastrointestinal Tumor Study Group 1982; Enghstrom et al., 1985; Coombes et al., 1990; Estape et al., 1991). Although the overall results of these trials failed to demonstrate a general advantage and no clear-cut benefits have emerged from trials involving random assignment to various adjuvant chemotherapy schedules (Alexander et al., 1993), such an approach seemed to be effective for certain subgroups of patients (de Braud et al., 1992).

Promising results with the EPI–LV–5-FU combination in advanced gastric cancer (Neri et al., 1993) prompted us to test this schedule as adjuvant chemotherapy in a randomised trial on resected, node-positive gastric cancer patients.

Patients and methods

Our experimental design took into account two basic factors. Gastric cancer is a disease with a very poor prognosis and survival for stages T3 and T4 range approximately from 3 to 15 months, with a very high mortality index in the first year of follow-up; therefore, a clinical trial involving 100–120 patients seemed to us both reasonable and appropriate.
(Simon, 1985), and an interim analysis after 36 months of follow-up adequate to reveal whether or not median survival could be doubled.

The criteria for entry into the trial were: histologically proven adenocarcinoma treated by potentially curative surgery, Karnofsky score greater than 60 and past good general health with no history of cardiac disorder or congestive cardiac failure. Exclusion criteria were: previous malignancy, previous chemotherapy or radiotherapy, evidence of disease at the resection margins or contiguous organ involvement. Moreover, all patients with negative lymph node status (as determined pathologically) were considered ineligible for this trial. Surgery was performed at each of the participating centres and the following surgical procedures were employed: gastric resection with limited lymphadenectomy of the perigastric lymph nodes (R-1A resection) or additionally with selective lymph node dissection for all other macroscopically suspicious nodes (R-1B resection); gastric resection with an extensive en bloc resection of secondary lymph nodes (R-2 resection).

In the 32 month period between May 1989 and December 1991, a total of 112 patients were reported by the participating centres to have undergone resection for histologically proven gastric carcinoma. During the 4- to 6-week period between surgery and the beginning of the study proper, more detailed case history and clinical evaluation revealed that nine of these patients were ineligible to take part in the study for the following reasons: two were found to have T1 N0 tumours, two had had previous carcinomas, three suffered from cardiac disorders and two declined to be followed. Table I outlines clinical characteristics of patients and their tumour stage. All patients were aware of the investigational nature of the treatment and had given written informed consent, in line with institutional regulations. Full staging of patients was carried out before they entered the trial. All subjects underwent chest radiology, ultrasonography and/or computerised tomography scanning, bone scan and evaluation of cardiac function by echocardiography, liver and renal function tests and blood count. In the randomisation carried out 4–6 weeks following gastric resection, patients were stratified by centre to receive either post-operative chemotherapy (Table II) or control follow-up. Patients in both groups were evaluated at 8 week intervals during the first post-operative year and at 3 month intervals during the second and third years. Before each chemotherapy cycle, a patient's white blood cell count (WBC) had to be greater than 4000 mm$^3$ and his platelet count greater than 120 000 mm$^3$. All treatments were given on an outpatient basis and continued for 7 months, unless discontinued at the patient's request or because of unacceptable side-effects or relapse. Before every course of treatment, haematological and biochemical values were measured and dosages adjusted accordingly. Only on day 1 did all treated patients receive anti-emetic pretreatment with ondansetron 8 mg (i.v.) and methylprednisolone 125 mg (i.v.). Toxicity was evaluated according to World Health Organization criteria (Miller et al., 1981). Post-operative survival was determined for all patients and was measured from the date of randomisation to death or last follow-up. Life-table estimates were computed using life-table options from a univariate analysis and were compared using the log-rank test and an estimate of the hazard ratio (HR) provided with associated confidence interval (SAS Institute, 1987).

### Results

The present study reports the results of 103 randomised patients. The percentage of the planned dose actually delivered was calculated for all patients. A total of 321 chemotherapy cycles were recorded. Forty-three patients (89%) received all of the planned seven cycles of the EPI-LV-5-FU schedule. One patient developed severe myelosuppression and completed only five cycles, at an attenuated dose. Three patients refused to go on with therapy after the fourth cycle, and one relapsed after the third cycle and died 7 months after the onset of chemotherapy. The total observation period extended over 36 months. In December 1994 the median survival time for the 55 untreated patients was 13.6 months (range 2–36+). The 48 treated patients achieved a median survival time of 20.4 months (7–36+), a significant increase ($P<0.01$), and HRs calculated for the whole period of observation support these findings (Table III). In the control arm 48 out of 55 patients died because of recurrence vs 36 out of 48 in the adjuvant EPI-LV-5-FU-treated group. But if we consider only the period of treatment, the difference between the groups in the number of cancer recurrences is even more striking: 5/48 (10%) of the treated group vs 21/55 (38%) in the control group ($P<0.01$). Survival time and the proportion of patients alive by the end of 36 months of observation are reported in Figure 1. Of the 48 patients with recurrence in the control arm, the liver was the site of recurrence in 18 (36%), half of whom were noted to have the liver as the only site of recurrence. The liver was a site of metastatic cancer in only 7% of the 36 recurrences seen in the adjuvant chemotherapy arm. In no

### Table I Clinical characteristics of patients

| Treatment arm | Control (arm A) | Chemotherapy (arm B) |
|---------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Evaluable patients | 55 | 48 |
| Median age (range) | 63 (35–73) | 61 (37–70) |
| Sex | | |
| Male | 39 | 33 |
| Female | 16 | 15 |
| Site of primary tumour | | |
| Pylorus or antrum | 19 | 15 |
| Body | 25 | 21 |
| Cardia or fundus | 11 | 12 |
| T stage* | | |
| T1 | 1 | – |
| T2 | 5 | 4 |
| T3 | 27 | 24 |
| T4 | 22 | 20 |
| N stage | | |
| N1 | 19 | 15 |
| N2 | 36 | 33 |
| Surgery | | |
| R-1A resection | 18 | 13 |
| R-1B resection | 30 | 29 |
| R-2 resection | 7 | 6 |
| Karnofsky score | | |
| <80 | 23 | 19 |
| >80 | 32 | 29 |

*International Union Against Cancer (1987).

### Table II Schema for chemotherapy following gastric resection for cancer

| Randomisation | | |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Arm A: | Control | Evaluate every 8 weeks for the first post-operative year and every 3 months in the second and third post-operative year |
| Arm B: | Chemotherapy | Epidoxorubicin (EPI) 75 mg m$^{-2}$ i.v. day 1 |
| | | Leucovorin (LV) 200 mg m$^{-2}$ i.v. days 1–3 |
| | | 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) 450 mg m$^{-2}$ i.v. days 1–3 |

### Table III Hazard ratio* and confidence limits

| Treatment | Hazard | LCL* | UCL* |
|-----------|--------|------|------|
| Arm A | 2.17 | 1.29 | 3.66 |
| Arm B | 1.00 | – | – |

*Analysis for 36 months of follow-up. 95% Confidence limits. Arm A, controls. Arm B, treated patients.
Discussion

Studies over the past few years seeking to define the role of post-surgical adjuvant chemotherapy in gastric cancer have yielded contradictory results (de Braud et al., 1992; Atiq et al., 1993; Hermans et al., 1993), leaving the issue still unresolved. However, the modulation of 5-FU by folinic acid has led to the testing of promising new combinations in advanced gastric cancer (Murad et al., 1993; Neri et al., 1993).

Some preliminary data of ours (Neri et al., 1992) had pointed to the ineffectiveness of post-surgical adjuvant chemotherapy in terms of disease-free interval and survival, for patients who at the time of surgery proved to be node negative. Since the presence of lymph node involvement is a highly unfavourable prognostic factor (Michelassi et al., 1994), hence one requiring adjuvant treatment, we considered node-positive patients as those who stood to benefit the most from post-surgical chemotherapy. This approach is in line with observations reported by other authors (The Gastrointestinal Tumor Study Group, 1982) who, with a therapeutic scheme different from ours, singled out patients with more advanced (T3–T4) gastric carcinomas as the ones likely to profit from adjuvant treatment, provided its dose intensity was high enough. At the same time, several investigators have focused on standardising the surgical techniques used in this pathology (Hermans et al., 1993; Bunt et al., 1994), since lymph node status plays a crucial role in the prognosis and choice of treatment. Moreover, starting from the observation that the majority of patients are diagnosed with stages III and IV gastric cancer, other researchers view preoperative chemotherapy as a more than promising approach to the integrated treatment of gastric cancer (Wilke et al., 1989; Fink et al., 1993; Rougier et al., 1994), so much so that preoperative chemotherapy appears to be an attractive tool for clinical investigation in earlier stages of gastric cancer (Wils et al., 1994).

In this study a survival advantage for patients treated with EPI–LV–5-FU was achieved and adjuvant chemotherapy was associated with a consistent delay in the appearance of recurrent or metastatic cancer. The treated patient group, moreover, had relatively fewer hepatic metastases than the controls, which, in agreement with Coombes et al. (1990) and Estapé et al. (1991), suggests a protective effect of adjuvant chemotherapy on blood-borne cancer dissemination. Acute toxicity was mild and treatment was well tolerated by patients, all of whom were treated on an outpatient basis. Long-term toxicity was non-existent and no case of cardiac toxicity was observed. We find these results sufficiently encouraging that, even before the completion of our 5 year follow-up, we have started using this adjuvant chemotherapy schedule with all node-positive gastric cancer patients. At the same time, we view as more promising candidates for adjuvant treatment patients earlier than stage III, that is, those with the lowest residual microscopic tumours after surgery. Furthermore, to optimise the chances of positive results we start treatment within 6 weeks after surgery and select a therapeutic schedule that produces a high degree of efficacy, with a grade of toxicity that is acceptable yet does not compromise the optimum dose intensity of treatment.
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Table IV  Toxicity according to World Health Organization grade

| Grade | Incidence of grade 3 or 4 toxicity (%) |
|-------|--------------------------------------|
| 0     | 1                                    | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Emesis| 11 | 19 | 6 | – | – |
| Diarrhoea| 12 | 17 | 16 | 3 | – | 3/48 (6.3) |
| Mucositis| 7 | 12 | 25 | 4 | – | 4/48 (8.3) |
| Alopecia| 9 | 11 | 28 | – | – | – |
| Cardiac| 18 | 26 | 4 | – | – | – |
| Hepatic| 14 | 18 | 16 | – | – | – |
| Neurological| 23 | 25 | – | – | – | – |
| Renal| 24 | 20 | – | – | – | – |
| Anaemia| 17 | 19 | 11 | 1 | – | 1/48 (2.1) |
| Leucopenia| 11 | 14 | 19 | 4 | – | 4/48 (8.3) |
| Thrombopenia| 15 | 19 | 14 | – | – | – |
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