The indole and indoline ring systems represent ubiquitous structural motifs as natural alkaloids and biologically active compounds as well as in functional materials.1,2 With the development of C-H bond functionalization,3 a great deal of effort has been devoted to the formation C-2 and C-3 functionalized indoles.4 Nevertheless, only a few methods have been explored for the direct C-H bond functionalization of indoles at the C-7 position,5 in which the unique work for the direct Pd-catalyzed C-H arylation of indoles with aryloboronic acids at the C-7 position was developed by the Shi group using a designed di-tert-butylphosphine oxide (TBPO) directing-group.6 In general, the C-7 arylated indolines can be conveniently oxidized to transform into C-7 arylated indoles. So far, transition metals such as Pd,7 Rh,7 Ru,8 Ir,8 etc.,9 have been employed as catalysts for the C-7 C-H bond functionalization of indolines, among which the C-H arylation in the C-7 position of indoline was mainly established by using Pd complexes as catalysts (Scheme 1a).10 In 2016, we also reported the Pd-catalyzed C-7 arylation of indolines with arylsilanes via C-H bond activation.11 Recently, the Punniyamurthy group developed the site-selective C-7-arylation of indolines with aryloboronic acids by using low-cost and earth-abundant cobalt(u)-PCy3 as a catalyst.12 Even so, in view of the importance of indole and indoline scaffolds, it is still highly desirable to develop more convenient and efficient approaches for the synthesis of C-7 arylated indolines and C-7 arylated indoles.

Meanwhile, recent years have witnessed tremendous developments in the area of Rh(III)-catalyzed C-H bond functionalization,13 which has allowed effective construction of various C-C bonds. The major advantages of Rh(III) catalysis are (i) high functional-group tolerance, (ii) broad substrate scope, (iii) low catalyst loading, high activity, and high catalytic efficiency.

Since the Hiyama cross-coupling reaction had been first reported in 1988,14 as one of the most useful and reliable synthetic methods for the construction C-C bonds, the Hiyama cross-coupling reaction has drawn increasing attention.15 As attractive organometallic coupling partners with many unique advantages such as low toxicity, high stability and environmental benignity, organosilicon reagents were used as coupling partners for the C-H arylation of indoles and indolines (Scheme 1b).16 Zhang group reported the Pd-catalyzed C-2 arylation of indoles with arylsilanes in acidic medium in 2010. In 2014, Loh group developed the Rh(III) catalyzed C-2 C-H arylation of indoles with arylsilanes in aqueous media.17 Very recently, Zrostak group developed the Ru(u) catalyzed arylation of indoles with arylsilanes via C-H activation,18 it is worth noting that the reaction was carried out in water. However, the methods for the synthesis of C-7 arylated indolines and C-7 arylated indoles are very limited by using arylsilanes as the coupling partners. To the best of our knowledge, the Rh(III) catalyzed C-7 arylation of indolines with arylsilanes has not been achieved. Due to our continuous interest in the arylsilanes-based coupling reaction,19,20 we herein would like to report a Rh(III)-catalyzed arylation of indoles with arylsilanes via C-H activation.

Scheme 1 C-2 arylation of indoles with arylsilanes and C-7 arylation of indolines.
regioselective C-7 arylation of indolines with arylsilanes via C–H activation (Scheme 1c), the reaction provides a facile access to C-7 aminated indolines.

At the outset of the investigation, various N-protecting indolines (Table 1, 1a–1f) were initially used as coupling partners for the direct C-7 C–H arylation with phenyltrimethoxysilane 2a in the presence of 1 mol% of [Cp*RhCl2]2 in dioxane at 80 °C, 3.0 equiv. of AgF as the activator for C–Si bond as well as the oxidant, and 2.0 equiv of Cu(OAc)2 as the co-oxidant. The choice of the N-protecting group was found to be crucial for this arylation, and only pyrimidyl-protected indoline (1f) afforded desired aminated product 3fa. Then, different fluorine sources such as AgF, CsF, KF, and TBAF were examined (Table 1, entries 3–6). Only AgF was found to be effective, thus affording the desired product 3fa. The reaction can afford the desired product in 72% yield by using no co-oxidant (entry 7). In order to increase the yield, various co-oxidants such as Ag2CO3, Ag2O, Cu(OAc)2 and CuSO4 were utilized (entries 8–10), CuSO4 proved to be the optimal choice. Subsequently, the effect of solvent was then investigated (entries 10–15), and dioxane was found to be suitable for the reaction. To our surprise, this reaction could be performed in aqueous media (dioxane/H2O = 1/10) in 47% yield (Table 1, entry 15). Furthermore, in order to gain the milder reaction condition, the reaction temperature was tried to reduce. The results revealed that the reaction carried out at 60 °C offered a relatively low yield in 87% (Table 1, entry 16).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then proceeded to explore the scope of the direct C-7 C–H arylation of N-(2-pyrimidyl)-indoline with a series of arylsilanes (Table 2). It was found that various phenylsilanes had good compatibility under these reaction conditions. At first, phenyltrimethoxysilane shows good reactivity affording 67% yield. To investigate the steric effect, the phenyltrimethoxysilanes bearing methyl group on different positions of the phenyl ring were employed as the substrates (3fb–3fd). The results indicate that the phenyltrimethoxysilanes with ortho-methyl substituent was found to afford the desired product for the diminished yield in 33%, which could be due to the steric effect. The direct C–H arylation of N-(2-pyrimidyl)-indoline has shown excellent tolerance to both electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups as aromatic substituents, including alkyl (3fe–3fh), alkyloxyl (3ff–3fh), aryl (3fi), fluoro (3fj), chloro (3fk), and trifluoromethyl groups (3fl) in good to excellent yields except the ortho-methyl substituent (3fd). In addition, the heterocyclic triethoxy(thiophen-2-yl)silane was also used to explore the

### Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions for the Rh(III)-catalyzed C-7 arylation of indolines with arylsilane

| Entry | Substrates | Co-oxidant | F source | Solvent | Yield (%) |
|-------|------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| 1     | 1a–1d      | Ag2CO3     | AgF      | Dioxane | 0         |
| 2     | 1e         | Ag2CO3     | AgF      | Dioxane | 0         |
| 3     | 1f         | Ag2CO3     | AgF      | Dioxane | 81        |
| 4     | 1f         | Ag2CO3     | CsF      | Dioxane | 0         |
| 5     | 1f         | Ag2CO3     | KF       | Dioxane | 0         |
| 6     | 1f         | Ag2CO3     | TBAF     | Dioxane | 0         |
| 7     | 1f         | —          | AgF      | Dioxane | 72        |
| 8     | 1f         | AgOAc      | AgF      | Dioxane | 89        |
| 9     | 1f         | Cu(OAc)2   | AgF      | Dioxane | 85        |
| 10    | 1f         | CuSO4      | AgF      | Dioxane | 98        |
| 11    | 1f         | CuSO4      | AgF      | DMF     | 62        |
| 12    | 1f         | CuSO4      | AgF      | DMSO    | 0         |
| 13    | 1f         | CuSO4      | AgF      | iPrOH   | 81        |
| 14    | 1f         | CuSO4      | AgF      | H2O     | 47        |
| 15    | 1f         | CuSO4      | AgF      | Dioxane/H2O = 1/10 | 87 |
| 16    | 1f         | CuSO4      | AgF      | Dioxane | 87        |

* Unless otherwise noted, the reaction conditions are as follows: 1 (0.3 mmol), 2a (0.9 mmol), [Cp*Rh(n)(Cl)2]2 (1 mol%), Co-oxidant (0.6 mmol), F resource (0.9 mmol), solvent (3.0 mL). * Isolated yield after purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel, n.d.p or trace product was determined by TLC. * The reaction temperature was 60 °C.
possibility of the C–H arylation. To our delight, the reaction also afforded the corresponding product in moderate yield (3f).

To further evaluate the substrate scope, various substituted N-(2-pyrimidyl)-indolines were used to test the reaction with the phenyltriethoxysilane 2a under the optimized reaction conditions. The results are summarized in Table 3. In general, the (2-pyrimidyl)-indolines bearing electron-donating groups on the aromatic ring such as 5-methyl and 5-alkyloxyl group react smoothly to afford the corresponding arylated products in excellent yields (4ga–4ha). In addition, the reactions with the (2-pyrimidyl)-indolines bearing electron-withdrawing group on the aromatic ring also afforded moderate to good yield (4ja–4oa), except the substrate 1n with 6-bromo group showed low activity affording 17% yield, which may be attributed to the steric hindrance of the bromo functionality. Furthermore, the substrates with C-2 or C-3 methyl substituted group on the indoline ring also show excellent compatibility with the reaction condition in 86% (4pa) and 90% yield (4qa), respectively.

To explore the utility of this transformation, we tried to explore direct C-8 C–H arylation with N-(2-pyrimidyl)-tetrahydroquinoline 5 and phenyltrimethoxy-silane 2a under the standard condition, to our delight, the desired C-8 C–H arylated product was obtained in 48% yield (Scheme 2).

To further demonstrate the synthetic utility of the method, the transformation of C-7 arylated indoline into C-7 arylated indole was studied.13,21 As shown in Scheme 3, the transformation was begun with the oxidation of C-7 arylated N-(2-pyrimidyl)-indoline 3fa by the use of DDQ (2,3-dicyano-5,6-dichlorobenzoquinone), followed by removing pyrimidyl group in the present of base. Finally, 83% yield of the C-7 arylated indole product 7a was successfully obtained.

To gain some preliminary mechanistic insights, kinetic isotope experiments were conducted. The parallel reactions of 1f and deuterio-1f with 2a resulted $k_{H}/k_{D} = 0.80$ (Scheme 4), which suggested that the C–H cleavage was not the rate-determining step in the catalytic cycle. On the basis of previous literatures,18,22,23 a plausible mechanism is proposed as shown Scheme 5. The process is likely to be initiated by the

---

Table 2  
| Reaction Conditions | 1f (0.3 mmol) | 2a (0.9 mmol) | Dioxane (3.0 mL) | Yield |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Rh(III)–catalyzed    |              |               |                 |       |
| C-7 arylation of    |              |               |                 |       |
| indoline 1f         |              |               |                 |       |

Table 3  
| Reaction Conditions | 1 (0.3 mmol) | 2a (0.9 mmol) | Dioxane (3.0 mL) | Yield |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Rh(III)–catalyzed    |              |               |                 |       |
| C-7 arylation of     |              |               |                 |       |
| various indolines 1 |              |               |                 |       |

---

Scheme 2  
Rh(III)–catalyzed the direct C-8 arylation of N-(2-pyrimidyl)-tetrahydroquinoline 5 with phenyltrimethoxy-silane 2a.
coordination of the nitrogen atom of 2-pyrimidyl group of 1f to the rhodium catalyst, leading directly to cyclometalation process via C–H bond activation to afford the five-membered rhodacycle A. The Ph–Ag species can be generated via the C–Si activation by the nucleophilic attack of a fluoride ion on silicon, followed by the transmetalation with intermediate A to afford the Rh(III) intermediate B, from which reductive elimination would provide C-7 arylated indolines 3fa and regenerate the Rh(I) catalyst, which is reoxidized to Rh(III) species by Ag(I) or Cu(II) salts to complete the catalytic cycle.

In summary, we have demonstrated a Rh(III)-catalyzed oxidative arylation of indolines with arylsilanes via C–H activation by using CuSO₄ as an co-oxidant. This general transformation exhibits excellent reactivity and broad substrate scopes, various functional groups are well tolerated under the mild reaction conditions. This reaction constitutes a complement method for the synthesis the desired C-7 arylated indolines up to excellent yields, which can be conveniently transformed into C-7 arylated indoles.
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