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ABSTRACT

Introduction Atopic dermatitis (AD) is the most common childhood inflammatory skin problem affecting 15%–30% of children. Although AD adversely impacts the psychosocial well-being of children and their parent caregivers, parents’ psychosocial well-being is seldom mentioned in most non-pharmacological education programmes. A family-based psychosocial intervention, Integrative Body–Mind–Spirit (I-BMS) intervention, is examined. This study compares the efficacy of two versions of the I-BMS intervention (one delivered to both parents and children; one delivered to parents only) with a health education active control (delivered to parents only) in promoting adaptive emotional regulation and quality of life of children with AD and their parent caregivers.

Methods and analysis This is a three-arm, with equal randomisation, parallel randomised controlled trial. 192 parent–child dyads will be recruited through hospitals and non-governmental organisations in Hong Kong. Each dyad will complete an individual pre-group screening interview. Eligible dyads will be randomised in a ratio of 1:1:1 into one of the three arms. Each arm consists of six weekly sessions. A computer-generated list of random numbers will be used to perform randomisation. The primary outcomes are quality of life and emotional regulation. Assessments are administered at baseline, post-intervention and 6-week follow-up. Mixed factorial Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVAs) based on intention-to-treat principle will be conducted to examine the efficacy of the two I-BMS interventions. Structural equation modelling will be conducted to examine the parent–child interdependent effects of intervention.

Ethics and dissemination Ethics approval was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Hong Kong (EA2001001) and the Institutional Review Board of the Hospital Authority of Hong Kong (UW 21-400, KC/KE-20-0360/FR-2, NTEC-2021-0408). Consent will be sought from participating parents and children. Parental consent for child participants will also be obtained. Findings will be presented in peer-reviewed journals and at conferences in medical dermatology, paediatrics and social work.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our pioneer Integrative Body–Mind–Spirit intervention for families with children living from atopic dermatitis has been empirically tested and effectively enhanced parents’ psychosocial well-being, an area which is seldom mentioned by most non-pharmacological training programmes.
- This study supports the design of a more parsimonious atopic dermatitis intervention targeting at parent caregivers (without involving children) to transfer post-intervention parental improvements to their children.
- This study also supports the incorporation of a psychosocial component into childhood atopic dermatitis health education to address parental distress and needs.
- The generalisability of the findings is limited by the sample characteristics in terms of the age group, as well as by the self-reported intervention fidelity measure.

INTRODUCTION

Childhood atopic dermatitis is a chronic skin disease with increasing prevalence

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a recurrent inflammatory skin disease. Incidence of AD has increased two- to threefold in industrialised countries, impacting approximately 15%–30% of children. In Hong Kong, AD is the most common skin problem, and 60% of primary school children ranked AD as the highest in the list of skin problems. Although 60% of children will grow out of their AD when they reach their teens, about half of these children may continue to suffer from AD in adulthood. As such, childhood...
AD raises public health concerns in terms of medical and financial costs to patients, caregivers and healthcare systems.\(^5\)\(^6\)

**Interdependence between family members calls for a systemic family-based intervention**

Childhood AD not only engenders adverse physical–psychosocial impacts on children,\(^7\)\(^9\) but also creates tremendous burden for parents who provide primary care for them.\(^3\) Several studies found that parents suffered from poor physical health, emotional disturbance, social isolation and disruption of daily lives.\(^10\)\(^12\)

According to a review study on the familial impact of AD, the quality of life (QoL) of children and their family members was highly correlated, regardless of climate, living location and the socioeconomic background of the families.\(^13\) Likewise, Fung and colleagues\(^14\) found that parents were emotionally attached to their children, and they would feel depressed and anxious when their children expressed physical and emotional distress arising from AD.

Moreover, parents’ emotional well-being was found to have a spillover effect on children’s emotional regulation, acquisition of regulation strategies and relationship with their parents.\(^15\) Such new insight calls for a systemic family-based intervention on AD management by involving both the patients and their family caregivers.\(^16\)

**Moving beyond non-pharmacological childhood AD management programmes**

Childhood AD is a multifaceted health condition that requires both medical and psychosocial interventions. Yet, most of the non-pharmacological training programmes are recovery based and focus on treatment compliance and symptom management.\(^17\) Nurse-led parental education predominantly focuses on knowledge and skills in AD management, as shown by a systematic review on the psychological and educational interventions for childhood AD.\(^18\)\(^19\) These findings show that parents’ psychosocial well-being has received little attention in the illness trajectory of childhood AD.

The Integrative Body–Mind–Spirit (I-BMS) intervention is a non-pharmacological intervention approach that is effective in improving one’s physical and psychosocial well-being for various clientele, including those diagnosed with psoriasis.\(^20\)\(^22\) Recently, our research team developed and empirically tested a family-based I-BMS group intervention for families with children suffering from AD by conducting a randomised waitlist-controlled trial.\(^14\)\(^23\) in which parents and children attended group sessions simultaneously. Results are promising. Relative to a waitlist control group, parents in the I-BMS group reported significantly more improvements in perceived stress, depression and holistic well-being.\(^14\) Relative to a waitlist control group, children in the I-BMS group reported significantly more improvements in somatic AD severity, generalised anxiety, social phobia and emotional regulation.\(^24\)

With encouraging findings on the efficacy of our family-based I-BMS intervention, it is worth examining the mechanism underlying the intervention effect, an area that is poorly reported in past studies.\(^25\) The associations between parents’ and children’s post-intervention outcome improvements serve as a potential mechanism of change that underpins the family-based I-BMS intervention effect.

However, interventions that involve both children and their family caregivers can be costly, because several social workers and other supporting staff are employed to deliver parallel sessions in separate rooms in the long run. Extra human resources are also required to retain participants. Hence, designing a more cost-effective family-based group intervention and investigating its efficacy are of great value.

**Objectives**

This study aims to examine if the I-BMS intervention delivered only to the parents would be: (1) as efficacious as the I-BMS intervention delivered to both the parents and children, and (2) more efficacious than the health education active control delivered only to the parents, in improving children and their parent caregivers’ emotional regulation and QoL. The rationale for choosing health education as the active comparison group is to control for the amount of group effect on the intervention outcomes across the three arms. It also examines whether children’s baseline primary outcomes and post-intervention primary outcome improvements are associated with those of their parents. This paper outlines the study protocol, in accordance with the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials guidelines.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Trial design**

This is a three-arm, with equal randomisation, parallel randomised controlled trial (RCT).

**Hypotheses**

**H1**: after the intervention, parents and children in the two I-BMS intervention groups will report significantly more improvements in emotional regulation and QoL than those in the health education active control group.

**H2**: there is no significant difference between the two I-BMS intervention groups in terms of post-intervention improvements in emotional regulation and QoL. **H3**: the post-intervention improvements in emotional regulation and QoL will be maintained at 6-week follow-up for the two I-BMS intervention groups, but not for the health education active control group. **H4**: children’s baseline QoL is predicted by their own baseline emotional regulation and by their parents’ baseline QoL. **H5**: parents’ baseline QoL is predicted by their own baseline emotional regulation and by their children’s baseline QoL. **H6**: children’s post-intervention improvements in QoL are predicted by their own post-intervention improvements in emotional regulation and their parents’ post-intervention improvements.
in QoL. H7: parents’ post-intervention improvements in QoL are predicted by their own post-intervention improvements in emotional regulation and their children’s post-intervention improvements in QoL.

Recruitment
One hundred and ninety-two parent–child dyads will be recruited through hospitals and non-governmental organisations (NGOs) in various districts in Hong Kong. Our interdisciplinary research team and collaborating partners have an extensive network in recruiting participants through medical consultations by doctors, social service by NGOs, patient support networks, as well as publicity through social media. The project start date is 23 August 2021 and the expected project completion date is 31 December 2023. The participant recruitment started on 23 August 2021, and is expected to finish by 30 September 2023.

Sample size calculation
The sample size calculation for each parent’s primary outcome (ie, QoL and emotional regulation) is conducted using G*Power V.3.1.9.4 ‘ANOVA: Repeated measures, between factors’. The alpha value is set to 0.05. Correlation among repeated measures is set at 0.5. A minimum of 108 parents will be required to detect a small effect of 0.25 among the three arms across three assessment points with 80% power. Considering 25% attrition at each assessment point, a total sample of 192 parents is needed.

Sample size calculation for each child’s primary outcome (ie, QoL and emotional regulation) is the same as that of the parents. A minimum of 108 children will be required to detect a small effect of 0.25 among the three arms across three assessment points with 80% power. Considering 25% attrition at each assessment point, a total sample of 192 children is needed. Taken together, the final target sample consists of 192 parent–child dyads (64 dyads per arm).

Eligibility
Inclusion criteria
Children will be recruited if they: (1) age between 6 and 12 years; (2) are diagnosed with AD as assessed by the doctors (International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, codes L20–L30); (3) are able to communicate in Cantonese; (4) consent to participate; and (5) obtain parental consent to participate in this RCT. Their parents will be recruited if they: (1) are the primary caregivers; (2) are able to communicate in Cantonese; and (3) consent to participate.

Exclusion criteria
Children will be excluded if they are diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Parent caregivers will be excluded if they exhibit a history of family abuse and present with clinically significant psychiatric morbidity such as psychosis.

Participant timeline
Each prospective parent–child dyad will attend: (1) a pre-group medical screening performed by a doctor to assess the clinical condition of childhood AD so as to confirm the diagnosis of AD, and (2) a semistructured pre-group individual interview performed by a research assistant and a registered social worker to assess their eligibility, explain the purpose and process of the intervention, as well as obtain informed consent. Ineligible participants will be referred for follow-up if necessary. After eligible participants give their consent and complete the baseline assessment (T0), they will be randomly assigned in a 1:1:1 ratio into one of the three arms. A research team member who is not involved in the trial will perform the randomisation using a computer-generated list of random numbers. The allocation information will be saved in a password-protected Excel file, and will remain concealed until trial completion. Eligible participants will be contacted within 2 weeks after completing the baseline assessment to inform them of their intervention schedule. Clinical treatment of AD will continue as usual throughout the trial, regardless of the group assignment. Immediately after the intervention, participants will complete the post-intervention assessment (T1). Six weeks after finishing the intervention, participants will complete the follow-up assessment (T2). Participants will receive email or text reminders when their assessments are due and/or not completed. Participant flow chart is displayed in figure 1.

Non-pharmacological interventions: I-BMS versus health education intervention groups
Each arm consists of six 3-hour weekly sessions (see table 1).

Arm 1 (I-BMS intervention delivered to both children and parents)
Both children with AD and their parent caregivers will attend the six I-BMS sessions in a parallel group format. The I-BMS intervention is an evidence-based model that adopts a strength-based and empowerment perspective.21 22 It focuses on the connection between physical and emotional well-being, and the spiritual transformation of adverse life experiences through fostering forgiveness and letting go. It integrates Eastern philosophies and Western therapeutic techniques to transcend adverse life experiences under a meaning-oriented framework. Parent caregivers will attend the parents group led by a social worker in the first 2.5 hours; while their children will attend the children group led by two social workers in a separate room in the first 2.5 hours. Both parents and children will later reunite in the joint group led by the three social workers in the final 0.5 hours. All three social workers are well trained with the I-BMS intervention.

Arm 2 (I-BMS intervention delivered to parents only)
Only parent caregivers will attend the six I-BMS sessions led by one social worker. The content of the 2.5-hour session will be the same as the one in arm 1, with an additional 0.5 hour of reflective group discussion among the
parents. The children group will simultaneously attend a group activity class in a separate room for 3 hours.

Arm 3 (health education delivered to parents only)
Only parent caregivers will attend the six health education sessions led by a registered nurse specialised in paediatric dermatology, with a focus on AD management. It consists of a didactic approach to teaching different health-related topics (see table 2). Each session consists of 2.5-hour lecture and 0.5-hour question and answer. The children group will simultaneously attend a group activity class in a separate room for 3 hours.

Outcome measures
Primary outcomes
Quality of life
The 10-item Chinese version27 of the Family Dermatology Life Quality Index28 will be used to measure how much a child’s AD affects the QoL of their parent caregivers. It has been validated in a Hong Kong sample and the Cronbach’s alpha was 0.95.3 The 10-item Chinese version29 of the Children’s Dermatology Life Quality Index30 will be used to measure the impact of AD on the lives of children. It has been validated in a Hong Kong sample and the Cronbach’s alpha was 0.83.31

Emotional regulation
The 18-item Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire-Short Version32 will be modified and translated into Chinese to measure the specific cognitive emotion regulation strategies parent caregivers have used when caring for the participating child. References to the experience of threatening or stressful events in the original items will be modified to ‘taking care of my child with eczema’. Cronbach’s alpha ranged from 0.62 to 0.85.32 Eighteen

Figure 1  Trial profile. I-BMS, Integrative Body–Mind–Spirit.
items will be extracted and modified from the 36-item Chinese Child Version of the Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire to measure the specific cognitive emotion regulation strategies children have used to cope with AD. These 18 extracted items correspond to the 18-item Short Version of the Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire for Spanish Kids. References to the experience of threatening or stressful events in the original items will be modified to ‘having eczema’. Cronbach’s alpha was 0.88.

Secondary outcomes

**Depression and anxiety**
The 14-item Chinese version of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale measures parent caregivers’ levels of depression and anxiety. It has been validated in a Hong Kong sample and the Cronbach’s alpha was 0.82 for the depression subscale and 0.77 for the anxiety subscale. Because there is no Chinese translation for the 25-item Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale-Short Version, the corresponding (identical) 25 items will be extracted and modified from the 47-item Chinese version of the Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale to measure children’s levels of depression and anxiety. Grammar of several Chinese simplified translated items will be modified, without changing the original English items, to make the scale applicable to Hong Kong Chinese participants. Cronbach’s alpha for the depression subscale ranged from 0.79 to 0.80. Cronbach’s alpha for the anxiety scale ranged from 0.71 to 0.74.

**Stress**
The 14-item Chinese version of the Perceived Stress Scale will be used to measure parent caregivers’ perceived level of stress. It has been validated in a Hong Kong sample and the Cronbach’s alpha was 0.85. The 14-item Perceived Stress Scale for Children will be translated into Chinese to measure children’s perceived level of stress.

| Table 1 | The standardised I-BMS sessions for children with AD and their parent caregivers (arms 1 and 2) |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Session | I-BMS psychosocial intervention group for parents | Session | I-BMS psychosocial intervention group for children |
| P1      | Awareness of body–mind connection | C1      | Awareness of body–mind connection |
|         | ► To acknowledge the interconnectedness between mind and body |          | ► To acknowledge the interconnectedness between mind and body |
|         | ► To normalise the parental caregiving experience and its physical and psychosocial impact on health |          | ► To normalise the illness experience |
| P2      | Regulation of emotions | C2      | Regulation of emotions |
|         | ► To realise the negative emotions and their impacts on self and others |          | ► To realise the negative emotions and their impacts on self and others |
|         | ► To be aware of the condition of emotional interdependence |          | ► To learn different means of emotional expression |
| P3      | Acknowledgement of the gains and losses in the caregiving experience | C3      | Acknowledgement of the gains and losses in the illness experience |
|         | ► To acknowledge that losses and limitations are common humanity experiences |          | ► To acknowledge that living with AD is a normal and common experience |
|         | ► To facilitate benefit findings in the caregiving experience |          | ► To facilitate benefit findings in the caregiving experience |
| P4      | Appreciation of self and others | C4      | Appreciation of self and others |
|         | ► To identify personal and family strengths |          | ► To identify personal and family strengths |
|         | ► To appreciate the inner resources and those of the children |          | ► To appreciate the inner resources and those of the parents |
| P5      | Cultivation of acceptance | C5      | Cultivation of acceptance |
|         | ► To accept negative thoughts and emotions with openness and clarity, so that they are held in mindful awareness |          | ► To accept negative thoughts and emotions with openness and clarity, so that they are held in mindful awareness |
|         | ► To foster acceptance and forgiveness throughout the caregiving experience as parents |          | ► To cultivate self-kindness by accepting AD as part of their lives |
| P6      | Meaning reconstruction of caregiving experience | C6      | Meaning reconstruction of illness experience |
|         | ► To expand the identity from victim to survivor |          | ► To affirm self-identity, ‘I am much more than my eczema’ |

Arm 1 is I-BMS intervention delivered to both children (sessions C1–C6) and their parent caregivers (sessions P1–P6). Arm 2 is I-BMS intervention delivered to parent caregivers only (sessions P1–P6).

AD, atopic dermatitis; I-BMS, Integrative Body–Mind–Spirit.
of stress. One item is for illustration purposes and is not scored.

**Parent–child relationship**
The 10-item Personal Relationship Subscale of the Parent–Child Relationship Questionnaire (Parent Form) will be translated into Chinese to measure parents’ perception of their relationship with the participating child. Cronbach’s alpha ranged from 0.71 to 0.83. The 10-item Personal Relationship Subscale of the Parent–Child Relationship Questionnaire (Child Form) will be translated into Chinese to measure children’s perception of their relationship with the participating parent. Cronbach’s alpha ranged from 0.63 to 0.88.

**Parent-reported AD severity in children**
The 7-item Chinese version of the Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure for Child (Parent Form) measures parent caregivers’ perception of the participating child’s AD severity. Cronbach’s alpha was 0.88.

**Other measures**

**Demographics**
Single items will be used to measure the demographics of children (age, gender, and education level) and their parent caregivers (age, gender, education level, marital status, employment status, household income, type of housing, and number of children).

**Clinical information on childhood AD**
Eight self-constructed items will be used to measure the onset age of childhood AD, the types of AD treatments the children are receiving, and the presence of other clinical comorbidities such as allergy.

**Intervention evaluation**
The 5-item Satisfaction with Treatment Program Scale will be translated into Chinese to measure parent caregivers’ satisfaction with the intervention. The Cronbach’s alpha was 0.88.

**Cross-condition contamination check**
Only parent participants in the health education active control group will complete a self-constructed cross-condition contamination checklist to control for the confounding effect of unexpected exposure to I-BMS intervention materials during the trial on primary outcomes. These participants are asked if: (1) they have viewed part or all I-BMS intervention session content, or (2) they have completed part or all of the homework or activities as shown in the I-BMS intervention session materials. The schedule of enrolment, interventions and assessments is displayed in table 3.

**Data analysis**
Because the participants and our research team are not blinded from group assignment, statistical analyses will be carried out by an independent researcher who is blinded to the study protocol and group assignment. Intention-to-treat analysis will be conducted. Missing data will be handled by multiple imputation. Differences in baseline participants’ characteristics across the three arms will be examined by using $\chi^2$ tests and between-subject ANOVAs.

A three (arms) × two (assessment points) mixed factorial Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) will be conducted to test if the two I-BMS intervention groups are more efficacious than the health education active control group in improving the primary outcomes. Baseline participants’ characteristics that are found to differ significantly across the three arms will be the covariates. Planned comparisons with Bonferroni adjustment will be conducted to test: (1) if the post-intervention improvements in the two I-BMS intervention groups are greater than those in the health education active control group, and (2) if there is no significant difference in the post-intervention improvements between the two I-BMS intervention groups. Sensitivity analysis will be conducted to examine whether cross-condition contamination will affect the efficacy of I-BMS intervention in improving the primary outcomes.

Likewise, a three (arms) × two (assessment points) mixed factorial ANCOVA will be conducted to test if the post-intervention primary outcome improvements will be maintained at 6-week follow-up in the two I-BMS intervention groups, but not in the health education active control group. Baseline participants’ characteristics that differ significantly across the three arms will be the covariates. Planned comparisons with Bonferroni adjustment will be conducted to test: (1) if there is no significant change in primary outcomes from post-intervention to follow-up in the two I-BMS intervention groups, and (2) if there is significant deterioration in primary outcomes from post-intervention to follow-up in the health education active control group. Sensitivity analysis will be conducted to examine whether cross-condition contamination will affect the efficacy of I-BMS intervention in maintaining the primary outcome improvements.
Regression analyses and structural equation modelling will be used to examine the interdependent associations between children’s and their parent caregivers’ primary outcomes at baseline, and between children and their parent caregivers’ baseline to post-intervention changes in primary outcomes. Secondary outcomes will be analysed in line with that used for the primary outcomes as described above.

**Clinical trial monitoring**

Social workers who are leading the I-BMS groups will attend a 3-day training on the I-BMS intervention model, delivered by one coauthor (YLF) and the corresponding author (CHYC), to enhance their clinical competence. Nurses who are leading the health education active control group will attend a training delivered by one team member (Dr Vivian Fei-Wan Ngai) who is a nursing academic. Group leaders of the three arms will complete a treatment fidelity checklist for every session, which measures their competence and adherence to the intervention protocol. The research team will also conduct site visits during the intervention period to monitor treatment fidelity. All group leaders will maintain regular contact with the participants to monitor and encourage treatment adherence, as well as to facilitate participant retention. An independent clinical trial monitoring committee, which comprises of experienced dermatologists, I-BMS researchers and practitioners, will be set up to provide independent assessment regarding the safety, scientific validity, and integrity of the trial.

All adverse events will be recorded and reported to the clinical trial monitoring committee. Referrals for counselling at the collaborating hospitals and NGOs will be made.

---

**Table 3** The schedule of enrolment, interventions and assessments

| Time point (week) | Pre-group screening | Baseline assessment | Allocation | Post-intervention assessment | 6-week follow-up assessment |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Enrolment         |                     |                     |            |                             |                             |
| Eligibility       | ●                   | ●                   |            |                             |                             |
| informed consent  |●                    |                     |            |                             |                             |
| Allocation        |                     |                     |            |                             |                             |
| Interventions     |                     |                     |            |                             |                             |
| I-BMS intervention for parents and children (arm 1) | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| I-BMS intervention for parents only (arm 2) | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Health education for parents only (arm 3) | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Assessments       |                     |                     |            |                             |                             |
| Primary outcomes  |                     |                     |            |                             |                             |
| Quality of life (FDLQI, CDLQI) | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Emotional regulation (CERQ-SV, CERQ-SK-SV) | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Secondary outcomes |                     |                     |            |                             |                             |
| Depression and anxiety (HADS, RCADS-SV) | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Stress (PSS, PSS-C) | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Parent-child relationship (PRS-PF, PRS-CF) | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Clinical information on childhood AD (including POEM) | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |
| Other measures    |                     |                     |            |                             |                             |
| Demographics      |                     |                     |            |                             |                             |
| Intervention evaluation (STP) |                     |                     |            |                             | ○                             |
| Cross-condition contamination check |                     |                     |            |                             | ○                             |

●=parents and children; ○=parents only; ◯=parents in arm 3 only.

AD, atopic dermatitis; CDLQI, Children’s Dermatology Life Quality Index; CERQ-SK-SV, Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire for Spanish Kids-Short Version; CERQ-SV, Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire-Short Version; FDLQI, Family Dermatology Life Quality Index; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; I-BMS, Integrative Body–Mind–Spirit; POEM, Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure for Child (Parent Form); PRS-CF, Personal Relationship Subscale (Child Form); PRS-PF, Personal Relationship Subscale (Parent Form); PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; PSS-C, Perceived Stress Scale for Children; RCADS-SV, Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale-Short Version; STP, Satisfaction with Treatment Program Scale.
for participants, if deemed necessary. The sponsor organisation is the University of Hong Kong. The trial may be discontinued due to sponsor, principal investigator, clinical trial monitoring committee, ethics committee, or funder’s decision to terminate the trial for safety reasons.

Data management system
Participants will directly enter their data via Qualtrics and data collected will be reviewed immediately by the research team. Any missing data will be rectified, and exceptions, if they exist, will be managed in a timely manner. Data will be collected for research purposes only. Personal information will be handled with strict confidentiality and stored in locked cabinets. Questionnaire data and video recordings will be stored digitally in password-protected files. Unless otherwise agreed, all personal identifiers will be kept separate from the primary data file and will not appear in the analysis reports. Participants reserve the right to review and remove part or the entire of their video recordings at any time, or request that their identity be further anonymised in the video recordings. If participants do not agree to be videotaped, they may be left outside of the camera view or have their images blurred on editing. Data containing personal identifiers and anonymised data will be destroyed 3 years after publication of the first academic paper. The principal investigator and the research team will have access to the full dataset. Participants’ research files may be reviewed by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Hong Kong to ensure that the trial is being carried out correctly.

Patient and public involvement
Patients are not formally involved in the trial design, but initial results of our pioneer family-based I-BMS group intervention for families with children suffering from AD are promising. Besides, two team members (Professor Ellis Kam-Lun Hon and Dr Patrick Ip) are senior academics and clinicians in paediatrics with an extensive track record in researching children’s health and AD; while four team members (Dr David Chi-Kong Luk, Dr James Wesley Ching-Hei Cheng, Dr Ashleigh Ka-Ying Chu, and Dr Sam Ying-Yin Lam) are experienced paediatricians with clinical experience in providing medical care for children with AD. Furthermore, the corresponding author (CHYC) has already established a research and service collaboration with the healthcare and social service agencies from both public (eg, the police, local authorities) and private sectors to maximise the policy impact of this study.

Ethics and dissemination
Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Hong Kong (EA2001001), the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (UW 21-400), the Research Ethics Committee—Kowloon Central/Kowloon East (KC/KE-20-0360/FR-2), and the Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong-New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee (NTEC-2021-0408). Any amendments to the current protocol (EA2001001, version 2, last updated on 31 August 2021) will be submitted to the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Hong Kong for approval. Written and/or electronic consent for parents (see online supplemental file) and children, and parental consent for child participants will be obtained by the research assistant during the pre-group screening interview. A project website will be activated throughout the trial to publicise the research results to the wider Hong Kong and Chinese-speaking communities, as well as to promote ways of accessing support for potential participants. Findings will be presented in peer-reviewed journals and at practitioner conferences in the field of medical dermatology, paediatrics, and social work. Results will also be integrated into undergraduate and postgraduate courses to contribute to public education on the psychosocial impacts and treatments of childhood AD.

DISCUSSION
This is the first study of its kind in the world to examine the interdependence of changes in psychosocial intervention outcomes between children with AD and their parent caregivers. Such findings regarding the association between parents’ and children’s post-intervention outcome improvements will also serve to generate hypotheses about mechanism of change that underpins family-based psychosocial intervention for paediatric diseases.

Since the intervention targets at children aged between 6 and 12 years, it is difficult to generalise results to older children with AD (age over 12 years) in other geographical locations. Another limitation relates to the self-reported nature of the intervention fidelity check. There is a possibility that the ratings might be subjected to recall bias and social desirability, thereby reducing the reliability of the intervention fidelity check and the study results. Hence, in addition to self-reported treatment fidelity check, the research team will conduct site visits during the intervention period for monitoring treatment fidelity.

Despite these limitations, this study has several strengths. If the I-BMS intervention delivered only to the parents is found to be as efficacious as the I-BMS intervention delivered to both the parents and children, such results will shed light on how non-pharmacological interventions delivered only to parents could break the negative parent–child emotional transmission, as well as enhance the dyads’ QoL and emotional regulation. These prospective findings will also inform the future design of systemic family-based psychosocial AD interventions, for example, by employing a more parsimonious design targeting only at parent caregivers (without involving children) to transfer post-intervention parental improvements to their children.
If the two I-BMS interventions are found to be more efficacious than the health education, such findings suggest that incorporating a psychosocial component into childhood AD health education is needed to address parental distress and needs. Such results will also encourage wider dissemination and utilisation of I-BMS intervention as a community-based early intervention for at-risk parent caregivers.
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