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Abstract: The objective is to develop a time series image representation of the skeletal action data and use it for recognition through a convolutional long short-term deep learning framework. Consequently, Kinect captured human skeletal data is transformed into a Joint Change Distance Image (JCDI) descriptor which maps the time changes in the joints. Subsequently, JCDIs are decoded spatially well with a Convolutional (CNN). Temporal decomposition is executed on long short term memory (LSTM) with data changes along x, y and z position vectors of the skeleton. We propose a combination of CNN and LSTM which maps the spatio temporal information to generate a generalized time series features for recognition. Finally, scores are fused from spatially vibrant CNNs and temporally sound LSTMs for action recognition. Publicly available action datasets such as NTU RGBD, MSR Action, UTKinect and G3D were used as test inputs for experimentation. The results showed a better performance due to spatio temporal modeling at both the representation and the recognition stages when compared to other state-of-the-arts.

Index Terms: Human action recognition, convolutional neural networks, long short term memory, Joint Change Distance Image, Microsoft Kinect.

I. INTRODUCTION

Human actions in a video sequence have shown to have far reaching applications in security, surveillance and identification. Consequently, these applications add value, if a certain level of end to end automation is achieved. However, convolutional neural networks (CNN) has shown an increasing presence in this area of research. Previously, CNNs performed exceptionally well on images and fixed length video sequences. However, for variable length video sequences they showed a decrease in performance due to the traditional one versus all prediction models. Here, we propose an end to end trainable recurrent CNN with long short-term memory (LSTM) network to improve spatio temporal image recognition task on skeletal human action recognition.

Human action recognition has been researched extensively in the past decade using images, videos, depth and skeletal data as inputs. The processing algorithms usually used saliency detection, human extraction, tracking with kalman and particle filters; modeling the extractions using features and finally recognition with models in machine learning such as trees, support vector machines (SVMs), kernels and hidden markov models (HMMs). However, the processing is quite intense and requires a lot of algorithms to work synchronously resulting in accurate recognition. On the other hand, this computationally intensive approach has given away to efficient deep learning frameworks that accepted the raw video data to make decisions that are more accurate respectively.

CNNs were widely considered for image processing tasks such as recognition and classification. They rapidly extend their presence into video data Search and identification applications. However, video RGB data seems to contain sensor irregularities, which became a hindrance in the recognition of human actions. Hence, the researchers turned their focus towards multi modal data obtained from Kinect sensor which contained RGB video, depth and skeletal data. The use of all three data has improved the recognition with CNNs, RNNs and other deep learning architectures.

Eventually, the large multi modal data has increased computational complexity of the algorithms which up scaled hardware requirements. In contrast to the previous models on human action recognition with multi modal data, we propose to use single modal data to achieve higher accuracies. This work presents a CNN based recurrent network on images generated from skeletal joint distance changes. The proposed CNN based LSTM architecture has the potential to mark spatial and temporal changes in the spatio temporal images.

To test the proposed CNN -LSTM architecture, we use NTU RGBD, MSR Action, UTKinect and G3D human action datasets. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the literature review related to the proposed framework. Section 3 gives the CNN - LSTM architecture followed by results and discussion in section 4. Finally, section 5 concludes the work.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Human action recognition has gained popularity in the last two decades due to widespread applications such as behavioural analysis, surveillance, security, interactive environments and animation synthesis [1]. Action recognition involves some generic steps such extraction of low-level features in spatial domain or mid-level action representations using motion or high-level semantic features [2]. Whatever are the features, most of the works used a learning algorithm to learn the exacted features. HMMs [3], SVMs [4] and decision trees [5] were most popular in HAR.
The data for the above-mentioned methods were videos consisting of actions.

However, the video data has shown sensitivity towards various factors such as lighting, motion blurring and inter subject occultation. The results of most of the algorithms performed randomly due to the above sensitive factors on evaluations such as cross subject and cross views. On the other hand, sensors such as Kinect provided multi modal data in the form of depth maps and skeletons additionally, to RGB videos. This additional information has transformed human action recognition greatly in the current situation [6].

Initially, depth maps in spatial and motion domains were used as features for recognition with SVM or ANN or HMM [7]. These methods were performing better than the traditional RGB video-based models due to ease in identification of the human subject which is at a variable depth compared to other video background objects. Despite their success, the depth data was inaccurately represented by the sensors for intra subject occultations and orientations during the action process [8].

The skeletal data has transformed the mediocre recognition human action systems into an exceptionally good system. The skeletal data was used in raw \((x, y, z)\) position points [9] as features as inputs to different machine learning techniques. The raw skeletal Joints were used for action recognition as the points on a lie group [10], covariance Joint descriptors of 3D positions [11] and most frequently as the inputs to a LSTM networks [12,13]. Despite their better performances, LSTMs alone weren't able to decode spatio temporal patterns in raw position vectors of joints.

Hence, modeling joints as distance maps will bring the entire action sequence into a RGB image of the skeletal data. This map representation has improved both the recognition accuracy as well as training time latency [14,15]. These distance maps produce patterns on the image representing the underlying changes between Joint distances during an action sequence.

However, these maps contain distance variations from as low as a zero towards a very large value. Most of the previous study's used CNNs [16] to decode by using the joint distance maps (JDM) [17]. In order to extract meaning from the spatio temporal maps, we apply hybrid model of CNN followed by LSTM [18]. These hybrid networks have shown extraordinary accuracies related to skeletal based action recognition [19]. In this work, we apply serial CNN LSTM network which decodes the spatio temporal information embedded in the joint change distance image (JCDI). This work is different from previous study's in two ways:

1. The proposed network can model spatio temporal time series data from an image.
2. The training process is quite simple and faster due to fewer operations.

In the next section, we describe the proposed framework for developing an end to end action recognition system.

III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

The methodology has been developed in three phases as shown in figure 1. The first phase is feature extraction for the four deep networks. The second and third phases are designing and training the proposed action recognition framework respectively. Two types of features are available from skeletal data: spatial and temporal. Spatial data features (SDF) are joint change distance features (JCD) represented as an RGB color image. Similarly, the temporal data features (TDF) are position vectors in the action video sequence represented as \((x_{j1}, y_{j1}, z_{j1})\) to \((x_{j20}, y_{j20}, z_{j20})\) . The SDF is the input to the CNN and the TDF becomes inputs to 3-layer LSTMs. The TDF are Joint trajectories represented as time series \(x\), \(y\) and \(z\) axis data of the 20 joints on the human skeleton.

![Fig.1. Flowchart of the proposed CNN-LSTM architecture](image)

A. Feature Extraction

The actions of the human subject are embedded in the skeletal system represented by 20 joints. These joints are uniquely accessed through their location information in 3D world coordinates as \((x_j, y_j, z_j)\), where \(j\) is joint number \(j=1 \text{ to } 20\) . Consequently, spatial and temporal changes occur between the joints during an action. In order to obtain TDF, the position vectors form a set of time series \(x\), \(y\) and \(z\) across actions. Fig. 2 shows the time series TDFs for actions such as walking, running, jumping and waving. These time varying positions in an action are to be learned by a network that preserves the relationship between joints across action frames. Hence, we use LSTM for learning these time varying position vectors in an action.

![Fig.2. Visualization of skeleton action sequence](image)
with \( J(J - 1)/2 \) entries. For \( N \) frames, the JCD is a matrix of size \( N \times J(J - 1)/2 \). Intuitively JCD matrix characterizes the spatial changes in the skeletal action joints, which are then encoded into a color image of the same size to form joint change distance image (JCDI). However, for uniformity, the variable size JCDIs are resized to color images of uniform size of \( J(J - 1)/2 \times N_u \times 3 \), where \( N_u \) is the modified image resolution for training. The 3D labelled skeletal model used in our system for action recognition is shown in Fig. 3.

\[ \text{H}_t = \text{LSTM} \left( \text{input}, \text{H}_{t-1} \right) \]

where the parameters \( i_t, f_t, o_t, u_t, c_t \) denote input gate, forget gate, output gate, input modulation gate, output state and internal memory cell state respectively [20]. The input to the network at time step \( t \) is given by variable \( x_t \) and the symbol \( \odot \) points to an element-wise product operation. The symbol \( \sigma \) denotes the sigmoid function.

The LSTMs in the proposed framework forms a three layer network as shown in figure1. The input to the 1st set of LSTMs is a 3D vector at \( x_t \). The output of \( 1^{st} \) LSTM layer i.e. \( h_t \) becomes input to the \( 2^{nd} \) LSTM and its output as input to the \( 3^{rd} \) stage LSTM. Finally, the output of all \( 3^{rd} \) stage LSTMs feed the softmax that translates the coded outputs to probability scores for class identification.

In this paper the CNN architecture has been inspired from shallow VGG – 16 [14]. The CNN has 8 convolutional layers, 2 fully connected and a softmax layer. The filter resolutions were constant at 3 x 3, whereas the number of filters increased from 16 to 64 respectively. In between two convolutional layers, a max pooling is introduced with a factor 2. In the end we have dropouts to generalize the feature vector.

**B. ML Architecture**

Unlike the previous models [14,15] where only CNN or LSTMs were used for action recognition, this paper proposes a CNN-LSTM integrated network named as Memory CNN or MCNN. The proposed MCNN is being adopted to process different types of features. The CNN compliments LSTM in a way to improve their discovery on cross subject and cross view data. LSTMs have shown the ability to effectively process temporal data, whereas CNNs are good at decoding spatial changes. Thus, improving the performance of the complimentary network MCNN.

Traditional RNNs perform well for discovering short term relationships in time series data. However, for long term relationships, LSTMs have shown better performance over RNNs. In this work, we propose to design our network with LSTMs. A LSTM block consists of 4 gates, an output state and a memory unit state. A schematic of LSTM block is shown in figure 5. The state transition expression of an LSTM block is

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma & = \sigma(u_t) = \sigma(W_{xu}x_t + W_{hu}h_{t-1}) \\
\tan h & = \tanh(x_t) = \tanh(W_{xx}x_t + W_{hh}h_{t-1}) \\
e_t & = i_t \odot f_t + o_t \odot e_{t-1} \\
h_t & = o_t \odot \tanh(e_t)
\end{align*}
\]

where the parameters \( i_t, f_t, o_t, u_t, c_t \) denote input gate, forget gate, output gate, input modulation gate, output state and internal memory cell state respectively [20]. The input to the network at time step \( t \) is given by variable \( x_t \) and the symbol \( \odot \) points to an element-wise product operation. The symbol \( \sigma \) denotes the sigmoid function.

The goal of training is to optimize the multinomial logistic regression objective function by means of mini-batch gradient descent with momentum of 0.5. The penalization multiplier is set to 0.002 to...
regularize weight decay during training for a video frame size of 200. The RGB JCDIs are resized to $200 \times 200$ using down sampling. The max pooling in the CNN stream is set to 0.5 for all 8 conv net layers. Weights in each layer are randomly initialized with a gaussian distribution function with zero mean and 0.01 variance for all layers. Intermediate validation showed overfitting in the dense layers during training for all the datasets. This is handle by inducing dropout of 0.5 before the dense layer, which improved the validation score.

For the three LSTMs the training algorithm used was Root Mean Square Propagation with a max dropout of 0.5. The input skeletal vectors are grouped into batches of 20 frames or sub sequences to feed into LSTMs. The initial learning rate was set at 0.001 and was decreased by 10% whenever there the error became constant. The time step on LSTM was set to 20 in each epoch. Finally, the outputs of the SoftMax layers in the CNN and LSTM streams are fused using average the similarity scores for making a decision on the output class labels.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed Memory CNN (MCNN) network was evaluated over skeletal action data in publicly available datasets such as NTU RGB-D, MSR Action 3D, UTKinect and G3D. Experimentation on the proposed framework were conducted using the following datasets to evaluate the effectiveness in recognizing actions with respect to cross subject and cross view. We also check the robustness of the proposed hybrid framework against singular CNNs and LSTMs.

A. Action Datasets

All the datasets were recorded using Microsoft Kinect producing RGB, depth and skeletal video frames of 3D human action. However, in this work the focus will be on using skeletal data only. The NTU RGB D has a rich variety of actions recorded with 40 subjects in 5 multiple viewing angles consisting of around 56k video samples [21]. MSR Daily Action 3D is an action dataset with 20 actions collected from 10 subjects, with a total of 567 videos [22]. UTKinect action dataset has 10 actions from 10 subjects [23]. Finally, the G3D dataset contains 10 subjects performing 20 gaming actions such as punch right, punch left, kick right, kick left, defend, golf swing, tennis swing, tennis swing backhand, tennis serve, throw bowling ball, aim and fire gun, walk, run, jump, climb, crouch, steer a car, wave, flap and clap. This is the most naturalistic dataset with 80,000 frames of skeletal video data, where the subjects were free to perform an action based on their comfort [24]. Each action was performed by 10 subjects and was repeated 3 times. All datasets are available with 20 joints on the skeleton. The hardware used in all experiments has been a 8GB GPU from NVIDIA with a 16GB memory running on 2.4GHz Intel core process.

B. CNN LSTM Performance

Firstly, we evaluate the performance of the proposed hybrid CNN LSTM architecture against the state of the arts CNN, LSTM and deep learning frameworks. The evaluating criteria is based on recognition percentage, precision and recall on each of the datasets. Testing the networks was based on one left training model, where one sample was set aside for testing and remaining were used for training. In this experiment only cross subject testing was performed. Training of each network chosen has been accomplished using 5 subjects and tested with remaining subjects, one at a time. Figures 6 shows the confusion matrices for few actions in the datasets using the proposed MCNN architecture. The averaged results of the experimentation were presented in table 1 (table 2 in word).

![Confusion matrix of the proposed method on (a) NTU RGBD dataset (b) MSR Action 3D dataset (c) UTKinect action dataset (d) G3D dataset.](image-url)

The comparison set is a combination of CNN and LSTM models from literature. The models VGG, AlexNet, ImageNet and CNN are based on convolutional neural network which were imputed with JCDI RGB images. The recurrent models tested were RNN and LSTM with time series skeletal data from 20 frames per step. Finally, our proposed MCNN uses both the inputs and average score fusion. The table 1 shows that the proposed framework performs better when compared to the other deep networks. This is because of the hybrid architecture which decodes spatial and temporal information embedded within the action sequence. In contrast with the other methods which use only one type of features for recognition.

| Datasets              | Networks | Precision (%) | Recall (%) | Recognition (%) |
|----------------------|----------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| NTU RGB-D            | VGG      | 82.1          | 81.4       | 84.3            |
|                      | AlexNet  | 79.1          | 78.6       | 80.7            |
|                      | ImageNet | 77.6          | 77.8       | 79.2            |
|                      | RNN      | 81.9          | 82.7       | 83.6            |
|                      | CNN      | 85.2          | 85.4       | 86.2            |
|                      | LSTM     | 84.1          | 83.5       | 85.1            |
|                      | Proposed | 86.7          | 86.1       | 87.6            |
| MSR Action 3D        | VGG      | 87.1          | 86.6       | 86.4            |
|                      | AlexNet  | 82.9          | 82.4       | 83.1            |
|                      | ImageNet | 81.2          | 80.6       | 82.1            |
|                      | RNN      | 84.2          | 83.9       | 85.6            |
|                      | CNN      | 86.1          | 85.4       | 87.5            |
|                      | LSTM     | 86.4          | 86.2       | 87.1            |
|                      | Proposed | 88.9          | 88.3       | 89.2            |
| UTKinect Action 3D   | VGG      | 92.1          | 92.7       | 92.9            |
|                      | AlexNet  | 87.4          | 86.9       | 90.4            |
constant. Table 2 shows the recognition rates on all datasets using various methods of representing spatial skeletal data. It shows raw joints, edges, surfaces and joint angular displacement maps (JADM) against our proposed JCDIs. The experimentation was done on our network to test its performance across subjects and views. The recognition percentages indicate that the proposed JCDIs are a better choice along with time series data for MCNN architecture. The superiority of JCDIs can be attributed to the change representation among joint changes across action frames compared to direct modeling of distance features in the remaining representations. Hence, JCDIs model spatial changes in data to accommodate joint variations in space.

C. Spatial Maps Evaluation

In this study, spatial representation of skeletal information is being evaluated keeping the temporal data constant. Table 2 shows the recognition rates on all datasets using various methods of representing spatial skeletal data. It shows raw joints, edges, surfaces and joint angular displacement maps (JADM) against our proposed JCDIs. The experimentation was done on our network to test its performance across subjects and views. The recognition percentages indicate that the proposed JCDIs are a better choice along with time series data for MCNN architecture. The superiority of JCDIs can be attributed to the change representation among joint changes across action frames compared to direct modeling of distance features in the remaining representations. Hence, JCDIs model spatial changes in data to accommodate joint variations in space.

Table 2: Comparison of different skeleton features on proposed algorithm

| Datasets           | Raw Skeleton Data | Edge | Surface | JADM | JCDI |
|--------------------|-------------------|------|---------|------|------|
|                    | Cross view | Cross Subject | Cross view | Cross Subject | Cross view | Cross Subject | Cross view | Cross Subject | Cross view | Cross Subject |
| NTU RGB-D          | 67.87       | 72.49       | 70.28   | 74.68   | 71.25     | 75.31     | 81.15     | 89.92     | 85.74     | 90.12     |
| MSR Action 3D      | 71.32       | 74.84       | 73.82   | 76.82   | 74.11     | 76.28     | 82.96     | 90.91     | 87.15     | 91.25     |
| UT-Kinect Action 3D| 77.27       | 80.84       | 79.37   | 82.37   | 80.14     | 83.67     | 87.27     | 95.37     | 92.77     | 96.47     |
| G3D                | 74.94       | 76.98       | 76.92   | 81.59   | 77.95     | 82.17     | 85.37     | 94.14     | 90.59     | 94.79     |

The results also indicate higher recognition accuracies for cross view data from our JCDIs as against other maps.

D. Cross data evaluation

Table 3 gives an insight into the behaviour of the proposed MCNN towards generalizing an action. Hence a cross data evaluation is being conducted for common actions in all datasets. The recognition rates shown by the proposed framework are found to be of good interest and are better than the minimum average rates.

Table 3: Cross data validation using proposed CNN-LSTM architecture

| Training Dataset | Testing Dataset | % of Recognition |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| NTU RGB-D        | MSR Action 3D   | 83.4             |
|                  | UT-Kinect Action 3D | 85.7             |
|                  | G3D             | 84.2             |
| MSR Action 3D    | NTU RGB-D       | 84.2             |
|                  | UT-Kinect Action 3D | 84.9             |
|                  | G3D             | 83.4             |

E. Deep model architecture evaluation

Finally, a variety of deep learning frameworks from literature are compared against our proposed MCNN network on various aspects with respect to the considered datasets. Table 4 gives the recognition rates from literature on the considered datasets using CNN and LSTM based architectures. In all, the hybrid model proposed in this work has shown to outperform the state of the arts from literature. The proposed method recorded an average recognition of 84.77% on all challenging datasets, which is better than other deep learning frameworks.

Table 4: Comparison of proposed method against CNN and LSTM based architectures

|                  | NTU RGB-D | MSR Action 3D | UT-Kinect Action 3D | G3D |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|-----|
|                  | Cross view | Cross Subject | Cross view | Cross Subject | Cross view | Cross Subject | Cross view | Cross Subject | Cross view | Cross Subject |
| LSTM [25]        | 76.5       | 82.3          | 85.9          | 91.7          | 89.9          |
| 2 Stream LSTM [26] | 78.3       | 83.7          | 86.7          | 92.1          | 90.4          |
| Multi Stream LSTM [27] | 80.2       | 84.1          | 87.6          | 92.4          | 91.7          |
| CNN [15]         | 79.7       | 83.9          | 86.9          | 92.1          | 90.9          |
| 2 Stream CNN [28] | 81.3       | 85.1          | 87.1          | 92.9          | 91.4          |
| Multi Stream CNN [29] | 82.4       | 86.7          | 87.4          | 93.9          | 91.7          |
| Proposed (CNN+LSTM) | 85.7       | 90.1          | 89.2          | 94.5          | 92.4          |
V. CONCLUSION

This paper proposes an effective approach for 3D skeleton based action recognition. The system uses a hybrid deep learning framework with CNN and LSTM score fusion. Consequently, the architecture takes advantage of LSTM and CNN models for recognition. The CNN model decodes spatial information and LSTMs show their might in modeling temporal data. Average score fusion has shown highest recognition accuracies on the hybrid Memory CNN. State of the art results were achieved on skeletal data in challenging datasets such as NTU RGBD, MSR Action 3D, UTKinect and G3D which have verified the effectiveness of the proposed hybrid framework.
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