Original Research Article

Study of Uropathogenic Multidrug Resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella Species with Reference to Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase and Amp C Beta Lactamase Detection by Phenotypic Method

Surender Kaur* and Abhijit Awari

Department of Microbiology, Peoples College of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Bhopal-462037 (M.P), India

*Corresponding author email id:

Abstract

Urinary tract infections are one of the most common bacterial infections in humans, both in the community as well as in the hospital settings. This study shows the changing antibiotic resistance pattern is pertinent for an appropriate treatment, prevention and control of the different mechanisms of resistance. Aim of this study was Detection of ESBL and AmpC in multidrug resistant uropathogenic E.coli and Klebsiella spp. E.coli & Klebsiella spp. were isolated by conventional method from 115 urine samples received in department of microbiology from Oct.2012 to Sept. 2013. The antimicrobial susceptibility to various drugs was studied by the disc diffusion method, by following the CLSI guidelines. Isolates were diagnosed for ESBL and AmpC production by phenotypic method i.e. Phenotypic Confirmatory Test for ESBL and Modified Hodge test using Cefoxitin disk for AmpC production. Total 115 isolates were collected for the study (E.coli-87 & Klebsiella spp-28), of which 52(45.21%) isolates were resistant to third generation cephalosporin. Out of 52 isolates, 28(53.8%) were ESBL producers and 13(25%) were AmpC producers.08 (21.1%) isolates were negative by both tests. From our study we concluded that it is essential to report ESBL and AmpC β-lactamase production along with the routine susceptibility testing, which will help the clinicians in prescribing antibiotics.
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Introduction

Urinary tract infection is one of the most common bacterial infections in humans, both in the community as well as in the hospital settings. The production of β-lactamases is the foremost mechanism of antibiotic resistance leading to treatment failure. The β-lactamases which confer resistance to extended-spectrum cephalosporins are extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) and Amp C. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) were first reported in 1983, and plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamases were reported in 1988. ESBLs are Ambler class A or D β-lactamases which confer resistance to 3rd and 4th generation cephalosporins and monobactams but are inhibited by cephemycins and β-lactamase inhibitors like clavulanic acid (CA), sulbactam, and tazobactam. These enzymes are most
commonly produced by Klebsiella spp. and Escherichia coli but may also occur in other gram-negative bacteria.

AmpC are class C β-lactamases which confer resistance to a variety of β-lactams, including oximino-cephalosporins and some cephamycins as well as penicillins and monobactam, when they are produced in large amounts but they are poorly inhibited by β-lactamase inhibitors such as CA and sulbactam.

This study shows the changing antibiotic resistance pattern is pertinent for an appropriate treatment and for the prevention and control of the different mechanisms of resistance. So, considering in view of all these facts, study was planned with the following objectives

To find out the drug option for the treatment of UTI due to Escherichia coli and Klebsiella species in the current scenario of increasing antimicrobial resistance, with special reference to ESBL and AmpC β-lactamase.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial isolates: A total of 115 consecutive, non-repetitive, clinical isolates which were obtained from the patients of UTI in the clinical bacteriology laboratory, Peoples College of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Bhopal, (M.P) from October 2012 to September 2013, were included in the study. Urine samples were cultured using .001ml loop on CLED, incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hrs and number of colonies was counted. Significant bacteuria was defined as greater than 10^5 CFU/ml of single pathogen. Isolates were identified by standard procedure.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing: The isolates were tested by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method on Muller Hinton agar (Hi-Media), by following the zone size criteria as per CLSI guidelines. The antibiotics (μg) which were included for the gram negative isolates were amikacin (30), piperacillin (100), piperacillin/tazobactum (100/10), cefepime (30), cefotaxime (30), ceftriaxone (30), ceftazidime (30), amoxyclav (20/10), cotrimoxazole (25), norfloxacin (10), imipenam (10), nitrofurantoin (300) and cefoxitin (30).

Criteria for the selection of the ESBL producing strains: These isolates were tested for their susceptibility to the third generation cephalosporins (3GCs) e.g. ceftazidime (30 μg), cefotaxime (30 μg) and ceftriaxone (30 μg) by using the standard disc diffusion method, as per CLSI guidelines. Zone diameter of < 22 mm for Ceftazidime (CAZ) was considered to be “suspicious” for ESBL production.

The Phenotypic Confirmatory Disc Diffusion Test (PCT)

All the strains which were screened out for the ESBL production were subjected to confirmation by using the PCT, as per recommended by the CLSI. In this test, ceftazidime (30 μg) discs alone and in combination with clavulanic acid (Ceftazidime + clavulanic Acid, 30/10 μg) discs, placed on Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) which was inoculated with the test strain. An increase of ≥ 5mm in the zone of inhibition of the combination discs in comparison to that of the Ceftazidime disc alone was considered to be a marker for ESBL production.

Criteria for the selection of the Amp c producing strains: Screening of clinical isolates of E. coli and Klebsiella was performed with Cefoxitin disc (CX). The
isolates that yielded a CX zone diameter <18 mm was CX screen positive. Both screen positive and negative isolates were subjected for confirmation of AmpC enzyme by phenotypic test, Modified Hodge Test.

**Modified Hodge test**

All the strains which were screened out for the ESBL production were subjected to Amp c detection by Modified Hodge test (MHT). Lawn culture of *E.coli* Atcc strain 25922 was prepared, Cefoxitin disc (30 µg) was placed at centre and test stain was streaked towards the streak. If the test organism showed Amp c, it hydrolyzed Cefoxitin and showed growth along intersection of the streak and the zone of inhibition from Cefoxitin disk.

**Result and Discussion**

The present study was conducted in clinical bacteriology laboratory, Peoples College of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Bhopal, (M.P) from October 2012 to September 2013 to know antibiotic resistance pattern in uropathogen *E.coli and Klebsiella spp.*

Antibiotic sensitivity (Table-1) observed in isolates was highest sensitive for Imipenem (96.5%) followed by Cefoxitin (88.6%), Piperacillin Tazobactum (80.8%).

Out of total 115 isolates, 52 were suspicious ESBl (Table-2) which were processed for confirmation of ESBl and AmpC production by PCT and MHT respectively, found to be 53.8% ESBL and 25% Amp C producers (Table-3). ESBL producers were maximum resistant for Piperacillin (82.1%), Cotrimoxazole (75%) and Norfloxacina (71.2%), hence we were left over with parenteral drugs only (Table-4).

AmpC producers showed maximum resistant for Piperacillin (92.3%), Cefepime (84.6%) and Cotrimoxazole (84.6%) (Table-5). Henceforth AmpC producers were more resistant to cephalosporin than Non AmpC producers.

The study revealed *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* species to be the dominant organisms among other uropathogens, which coincides with study by Moyo *et al.*, 2010.

As antimicrobial susceptibility patterns vary with region. Isolates in current study showed maximum resistance for Cotrimoxazole followed by Amoxicillin clavulanate, and Norfloxacillin. This may be due to wide use of these drugs empirically as are cheap and oral antibiotic. In the outpatient setting, oral antibiotics are preferred for administration but here we are left with very limited options of oral drugs for the treatment of UTI.

Current study showed percentage of ESBL as 53.8%, AmpC 25% and ESBL and AmpC coproducers 5.7% in urinary pathogen which was less than study by Dalela *et al.*, 2012.

Co-existence of both AmpC β-lactamase and ESBL has been detected in many studies this could be because plasmid mediated AmpC β-lactamase which has been disseminated among the *Enterobacteriaceae*. It has seen that AmpC β-lactamase when present along with ESBLs can mask phenotypic detection of latter. These strains may not be detected by phenotypic method. It may be one of the limitations of the study, which could not detect 08 isolates.

Thus there is need of reliable phenotypic test to identify AmpC β-lactamase and to discriminate AmpC and ESBL co producers.
Table.1 Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *E.coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. isolates (n=115)

| Antibiotics          | Sensitive (%) | Intermediate (%) | Resistant (%) |
|----------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Amikacin             | 87(75.6)      | 03(2.6)          | 25(21.7)      |
| Norfloxacin          | 32(27.8)      | 02(1.7)          | 81(70.4)      |
| Cefepime             | 93(80.8)      | 00               | 22(20.8)      |
| Piperacillin         | 28(24.3)      | 01(0.8)          | 86(74.7)      |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam | 93(80.8)    | 00               | 22(20.8)      |
| Imipenam             | 111(96.5)     | 00               | 04(03.4)      |
| Co-trimoxazole       | 21(18.2)      | 03(2.6)          | 91(79.1)      |
| Amoxycillin/clavulanate | 23(20.0)     | 02(1.7)          | 90(78.2)      |
| Nitrofurantoin       | 61(53.0)      | 05(4.3)          | 49(42.6)      |
| Cefoxitin            | 102(88.6)     | 00               | 13(11.3)      |
| Cefotaxime           | 70(60.8)      | 00               | 45(39.1)      |
| Ceftriaxone          | 69(60.0)      | 01(0.8)          | 45(39.1)      |
| Ceftazidime          | 63(54.7)      | 00               | 52(45.2)      |

Table.2 Screening of ESBL, AmpC β-lactamase among *E.coli* and *Klebsiella* spp.

| Isolates       | Screening positive ESBL CAZ≤.22 mm | Screening positive AmpC CX<18mm |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| *E.coli*       | 33 (63.4%)                        | 11(84.6%)                      |
| *Klebsiella* spp | 19 (36.5%)                       | 2(15.3%)                       |
| Total          | 52 (45.21%)                       | 13(11.3%)                      |

Table.3 Prevalence of ESBL and AmpC β-lactamase among *E.coli* and *Klebsiella* spp

| Isolates       | ESBL  | Amp C | ESBL and AmpC co producers | Not detected |
|----------------|-------|-------|----------------------------|--------------|
| *E.coli*       | 13(46%) | 11(84%) | 02                          | 07           |
| *Klebsiella* spp | 15(53%) | 02(15.3%) | 01                          | 01           |
| Total          | 28(53.8%) | 13(25%) | 03(5.7%)                    | 08(21.1%)    |

Table.4 Comparison of antibiotic resistance pattern between ESBL and non ESBL producers

| Antibiotics          | ESBL (n=28)% | Non ESBL (n= 87)% |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Amikacin             | 08(28.5)     | 17(19.5)          |
| Norfloxacin          | 20(71.2)     | 61(70.1)          |
| Cefepime             | 19(67.8)     | 05(05.7)          |
| Piperacillin         | 23(82.1)     | 63(72.4)          |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam | 05(17.8)    | 19(21.8)          |
| Imipenam             | 01(3.5)      | 03(03.4)          |
| Co-trimoxazole       | 21(75.0)     | 70(80.4)          |
| Amoxycillin/clavulanate | 17(60.7 )   | 73(83.9)          |
| Nitrofurantoin       | 14(50.0)     | 35(40.2)          |
| Cefoxitin            | 00(00)       | 13(14.9)          |
| Cefotaxime           | 23(82.1)     | 22(25.2)          |
| Ceftriaxone          | 22(78.5)     | 23(26.4)          |
| Ceftazidime          | 28(100)      | 24(27.5)          |
Our study also corroborates the finding that ESBL and Amp C producing isolates are much more multidrug-resistant than ESBLs-negative and Amp C-negative isolates thereby, narrowing down the choice of antibiotics for treatment. Considering above findings, there is a dire need of introducing some new antimicrobial drug for UTIs.

In conclusion, multidrug resistant strains of *E.coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. are widely prevalent and their isolation in UTI is a matter of grave concern. Our study shows a high degree of MDR *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. which showed resistance to five and six groups of antibiotics and nearly 50% exhibited ESBL production and 25% Amp C production.

Most of these isolates including the ESBL and Amp C strains were sensitive to Imipenem, Piperacillin–tazobactum and Amikacin. Antibiotics like Imipenem being a parenteral drug require hospitalization and drug monitoring, all of which incurs high cost to the patient and cannot be used as the first line of treatment. Inspite of low percentage of resistance to Imipenem in our study, the threat of spread of carbapenemases still looms large. Hence, the use of carbapenems has to be restricted to complicated and long standing UTIs. Judicious use of antibiotics is the need of the hour to prevent spread of the multidrug resistant strains in the community.
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