Dynamic force spectroscopy on multiple bonds: Experiments and model
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Abstract – We probe the dynamic strength of multiple biotin-streptavidin adhesion bonds under linear loading using the biomembrane force probe setup for dynamic force spectroscopy. Measured rupture force histograms are compared to results from a master equation model for the stochastic dynamics of bond rupture under load. This allows us to extract the distribution of the number of initially closed bonds. We also extract the molecular parameters of the adhesion bonds, in good agreement with earlier results from single-bond experiments. Our analysis shows that the peaks in the measured histograms are not simple multiples of the single-bond values, but follow from a superposition procedure which generates different peak positions.

Introduction. – Cell adhesion in multicellular organisms is mediated by highly specific, but weak receptor-ligand bonds [1–3]. Typical bond energies are in the range of several $k_B T$ so that bond lifetimes are finite due to thermal activation from the environment. Since cellular adhesion sites usually operate under mechanical load, different experimental techniques have been developed to study rupture of single biomolecular bonds under an applied load. Motivated by atomic force microscope (AFM) measurements on biotin-streptavidin [4], it has been shown that the force at which a bond breaks under an applied load is a stochastic variable with a probability distribution which depends on the loading protocol and which can be explained by Kramers theory for the escape of an overdamped particle from a potential well over a sharp transition state barrier [5,6]. This insight led to the new field of dynamic force spectroscopy (DFS) [7]. For the biotin-streptavidin system, the concept of dynamic bond strength has been confirmed by experiments with the biomembrane force probe (BFP) [8]. If force $F$ increases linearly in time $t$ with loading rate $m$, i.e. if $F = mt$, a rupture force distribution results in which the most frequent rupture force $F^*$ is proportional to the logarithm of loading rate (the same result has been found for the average rupture force [9]). Dynamic force spectra use this relation to chart the binding landscape: a straight line in the plot of $F^*$ vs. $\ln m$ is characteristic for a single dominant barrier limiting escape. The slope is determined by the distance of the barrier from the ground state (the reactive compliance $x_b$) and extrapolation to $F^* = 0$ yields the unstressed off-rate $k_0$ of the bond over this barrier. A series of energy barriers reveals itself as a sequence of straight lines with increasing slope, thus defining different regimes, each dominated by one transition state barrier.

Single-bond DFS experiments require a very low frequency of successful binding events to avoid multiple attachments. This renders single-bond experiments time consuming and accumulation of rupture force histograms difficult. In the following, we describe DFS experiments with the BFP on the biotin-streptavidin system at four different loading rates, in which we allow the formation of multiple bonds. Experimentally, the streptavidin-biotin system is the best-studied example for molecular bonding. In detail, it has been investigated with AFM [4], BFP [8] and flow chambers [10]. In the latter case, multiple bonds have been probed, but a persistent problem with flow chambers is that force is distributed in a heterogeneous way over the different bonds, thus rendering a quantitative analysis difficult. In contrast, force distribution is expected to be significantly more homogeneous for the BFP as used here. The higher frequency of binding events introduced here makes the acquisition of rupture
force histograms considerably easier. However, in order to evaluate the histograms, a theoretical model is required which allows to extract the single-bond properties from the multiple-bond data.

Deterministic models for the rupture of multiple, parallel bonds have been analysed before for constant [1] as well as linear loading [11]. While deterministic models describe the average number of bonds and are most appropriate for large systems, stochastic models are required for small systems with a finite number of bonds. Here the simplest possible case is the irreversible rupture of a small number of equivalent bonds, which can be described in the mathematical framework of a one-step master equation [9]. Multiple bonds also allow rebinding of broken bonds, which can be described in the same framework [12,13]. It has also been applied before to the case of linear loading commonly used in DFS [14]. Similar approaches have been also used to describe DFS on titin, where the different bonds may correspond to different hydrogen bonds within a single Ig27-domain [15] or to the different Ig27-domains within titin [16].

In this paper, we use the framework of a one-step master equation to evaluate data from multiple-bond DFS on the well-established streptavidin-biotin system. Because multiple bonds are now allowed in the experimental setup, the exact number of initial bonds in each experiment is a stochastic variable. By comparing numerical solutions of the master equation to experimental histograms, we can estimate the corresponding probability distribution. In this way, we show for the first time how the dissociation spectrum of multiple-bond DFS results from the superposition of the contributions from different numbers of initially closed bonds.

**Experiments.** – To monitor rupture forces between multiple streptavidin-biotin bonds at different loading rates, we used the BFP instrument as described previously [8] and depicted in fig. 1. In brief, for use as force transducers, biotinylated red blood cells were pressurized into a spherical shape with a micropipette. The suction pressure sets the red cell membrane tension, and thus the BFP spring constant, $k_F$, in a tunable fashion at values as low as 0.1–1 pN/nm. Probe and test glass microspheres were decorated, respectively, with streptavidin and biotin following a common procedure, in which polyethylene glycol (PEG) crosslinkers (Nektar) were used to inhibit non-specific adhesion [17]. The streptavidin-coated probe bead was firmly attached to the apex of the biotinylated red blood cell capsule. The biotin-coated test bead was maintained in a second micropipette and manoeuvred to/from the BFP probe at controlled impingement forces (<25 pN) and retraction speeds by precision piezo-translators. Bead positions were determined by on-line video processing at a sampling rate up to 180 frames/s and with a spatial resolution of about 6 nm. Validation of our set-up was achieved by measuring rupture forces of individual streptavidin-biotin bonds for loading rates between 5 and 50 000 pN/s (data not shown, see [18] for details). The obtained dynamic force spectrum, which collects the most probable unbinding force for each loading rate, was in excellent agreement with previous reports [8]. In particular, the derived energy landscape exhibits two transition barriers characterised by two reactive compliances, $x_{b,1} = 0.14 \mu m$ and $x_{b,2} = 0.51 \mu m$.

As compared with single-bond DFS, we mainly implemented two changes in the experimental procedure. First, while an attachment frequency of 1 per 10 touches is the usual criterion that ensures high probability of single-bond formation, we increased the surface density of specific sites on the glass beads to reach an adhesion frequency of about 1 per 3 touches. According to Poisson statistics, this increases the likelihood of picking multiple bonds. Second, and more important, since our goal was to quantitatively account for the distribution of all measured rupture forces, good accuracy for both low and high forces was required. Despite its advantages of tunability and softness, the red blood cell-based transducer is only linear for extensions below ~0.5 µm [19]. In practice, this led us to set the BFP spring constant around 1 pN/nm and the range of explored loading rates between 100 and 5000 pN/s. In consequence, we focused on the regime dominated by the outer barrier of the streptavidin-biotin energy landscape (with a reactive compliance $x_{b,2} = 0.51 \mu m$).

For biotin-streptavidin, rupture experiments with different experimental techniques indicated a dependence of unbinding forces on binding history, namely on the contact time that is available for binding before loading begins. In [20] this was assigned to the existence of an additional energy minimum (the absolute ground state) which is reached only after a large contact time and is more stable against rupture than the intermediate ground state that is reached first. In our experiments, we use the same contact protocol as in [8] with short contact times of 2–3 s, thus we expected the bonds to unbind from the same initial state probed before.

**Model.** – Figure 2 shows a schematic representation of the experimental setup from fig. 1. Between two opposing surfaces $N_r$ receptor-ligand pairs are arranged in parallel.
Each receptor can either be bound to its ligand (closed bond) or unbound (open bond). At a given time $t$ there are $i$ closed and $N_i - i$ open bonds. For the large loading rates that we use in our experiments, it is sufficient to consider irreversible bonds which cannot rebind after rupture [11,14]. Thus, the number $N_i$ of bonds which is relevant for the rupture process is the number of closed bonds that has formed prior to loading. Rupture of a bond occurs stochastically through thermal activation. Following Bell [1] the off-rate for rupture of a bond increases exponentially with the force $F_b$ exerted to it, i.e. $k_{off} = k_b \exp(F_b/F_0)$. Here $k_b$ is the unstressed off-rate at vanishing force and $F_0 = k_b T/x_b$ is the intrinsic force scale for bond rupture, which is set by thermal energy $k_b T = 4.1 \text{pN nm}$ and reactive compliance $x_b$.

An essential part of the modelling is a reasonable assumption on how force is distributed over the closed bonds in a cluster. Here we assume that the force $F = mt$, which is determined by the displacement of the red blood cell, is independent of the number of closed bonds and is shared equally between them, i.e. $F_b = F/i$. In our setup, this assumption is appropriate because the bonds are attached to a solid support of finite curvature. Because the binding times of tethered polymer bonds increase very rapidly with the separation of the opposing surfaces [21], our short contact times make formation of bonds at large separations unlikely. Moreover, biomolecular bonds carried by extended polymer tethers dissociate rapidly under force [22]. Therefore we expect the relevant bonds to form mainly within a narrow range of surface separations corresponding to the area of closest approach. Because the tethers that attach the ligands to the solid support have a contour length ($\sim 23.2 \text{nm}$) which is small compared to the extension of the soft transducer, unbinding of a tether has a negligible effect on transducer extension and force.

The number of closed bonds $i$ in a cluster reduces with time from $i = N_i$ (initial, bound state) to $i = 0$ (final, dissociated state). Because for shared loading all bonds are equivalent, the probabilities $p_i(t)$ ($i = 0, \ldots, N_i$) to find $i$ closed bonds at time $t$ completely characterise the system. Their time evolution follows the one-step master equation:

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = r_{i+1}p_{i+1} - r_ip_i. \tag{1}$$

This equation states that $i$ decreases through the rupture of a closed bond with the rate $r_i = ik_{off} = ik_b \exp(mt/iF_0)$, where the linear factor $i$ accounts for the fact that at any given time, each of the $i$ remaining bonds can be the next to break. Once the master equation is solved, one can calculate the cluster dissociation rate $D_{N_i}(t) = p_0(t) = r_1p_1(t)$ as a function of time, which can be converted to $D_{N_i}(F)$ using the linear relation $F = mt$ between time and force.

Our model contains three unknown parameters, which can be determined through comparison with experiment: the unstressed off-rate $k_b$, the intrinsic force scale $F_0$ (or, equivalently, the reactive compliance $x_b$) and the initial number of closed bonds $N_i$. In our experiments, the latter is itself a stochastic variable and cannot be controlled for every rupture event. Instead, we assume that $N_i$ follows a probability distribution:

$$p_\alpha(N_i) = \alpha N_i/(\alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha N_i^m), \tag{2}$$

which is characterised by the set of $N_i^m$ coefficients $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha N_i^m\}$. $N_i^m$ is the maximal number of initial bonds considered and will be chosen in such a way that it does not affect the outcome of our parameter estimation. Because experimentally we only record data involving deformation of the transducer, events with $N_i = 0$ are not considered in the theoretical analysis. If closed bonds formed at a constant rate over a given time interval, $p_\alpha(N_i)$ would be a Poisson distribution

$$p_\lambda(N_i) = \lambda^{N_i} e^{-\lambda} / (1 - e^{-\lambda}) N_i! , \tag{3}$$

as has been reported before for similar experimental setups [10,23]. The Poisson distribution contains the single parameter $\lambda$ which determines the average number of broken bonds as $\langle N_i\rangle = \lambda/(1 - e^{-\lambda})$.

Experimental histograms are linear combinations of the distributions $D_{N_i}(F)$ for single $N_i$ in which the distribution eq. (2) determines the coefficients:

$$D(F) = \sum_{N_i=1}^{N_i^m} p_\alpha(N_i) D_{N_i}(F). \tag{4}$$

For shared loading with a time-dependent force, analytical solutions for eq. (1) do not exist [14]. Therefore we use the
Fig. 3: Rupture force histograms for loading rates (a) \(m = 130\), (b) 615, (c) 1250 and (d) 5000 pN/s which include 220, 170, 230 and 228 attachment events, respectively. The shaded bars show experimental results which were collected in bins of approximately 12 pN width. The histograms are normalized in respect to the total number of events and the bin width, so that they show the probability density of events. The solid lines are the simulation results fitted simultaneously to the complete data set (a-d). For the fitting procedure, the numerical results were discretized. These discretized curves are shown as dashed lines. The optimal fit parameters are \(k_0 = 0.02\) Hz and \(F_0 = 7.83\) pN.

Gillespie algorithm [24] for exact stochastic simulations to generate rupture trajectories with the stochastic dynamics described by the master equation. For given values of \(k_0\) and \(F_0\), distributions \(D_{N_i}(F)\) of rupture force \(F\) are calculated for \(N_i = 1, \ldots, N_t^m\) at the four loading rates. The distributions are superimposed via \(p_0(N_i)\) as in eq. (4) and the relative weights are determined by a least-mean-square fit of \(D(F)\) to the complete set of experimental data. For this purpose, the simulation data are discretized in the same way as the experimental data and every bin is used as one data point. This procedure is iterated until values for \(k_0\) and \(F_0\) are found that minimize the deviations between simulations and experimental histograms.

**Results.** Figure 3 shows experimentally obtained rupture force histograms including 220, 170, 230 and 228 rupture events for the four different loading rates \(m = 130\), 615, 1250 and 5000 pN/s, respectively. The histograms are compared to simulated rupture force distributions which are calculated using \(\sim 10^7\) rupture trajectories at each value of \(N_i\) and loading rate. Fitting simulations to measurements yielded the unstressed off-rate \(k_0 = 0.02\) Hz and the intrinsic force scale \(F_0 = 7.83\) pN, corresponding to the reactive compliance \(x_0 = k_B T / F_0 = 0.52\) nm. Thus our results extracted from multiple-bond data compare very favorably with earlier results from single-bond experiments, which gave \(x_0 = 0.51\) nm (a value for \(k_0\) has not been given before). Closer inspection of fig. 3 shows that the simulated distributions approximate the positions and heights of the peaks in the histograms in a reasonable way. Note that the fits were not done separately to the different data sets for the different loading rates, but simultaneously to the complete set of experimental data. Thus the model is capable to fit well the whole range of loading rates probed.

Figure 4 shows for the case \(m = 1250\) pN/s how the different rupture force distributions \(D_{N_i}(F)\) combine to give \(D(F)\). Each curve \(D_{N_i}(F)\) displays a single maximum followed by a super-exponential decay at large forces. In general, their peaks cannot be identified with the peaks in the experimental histograms as it was done in previous analyses (e.g., in [4,25,26]). The best agreement is found for \(N_i = 1\), i.e. between the peak of \(D_1(F)\) and the first experimental peak. In contrast, the positions of the peaks in \(D_2(F)\) or \(D_4(F)\) cannot be guessed from the histograms. In general, the peak positions of the \(D_{N_i}(F)\) do not increase linearly with \(N_i\). Although this has been pointed out before [11], a force quantum has occasionally been discussed in the literature. Our analysis shows that the situation is more complex and that accurate identification of the \(D_{N_i}(F)\) from the simulation data is required to extract the single-bond data from the multiple-bond histograms.

The extracted probability distribution \(p_0(N_i)\) for the number of initial closed bonds \(N_t\) is the histogram shown as inset in fig. 4. Its average is \(\langle N_i \rangle = 3.8\). The largest \(N_t\) included was \(N_t^m = 10\), because larger \(N_t^m\) did not make
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a difference for the estimate of the molecular parameters. The inset also shows the result of a least-mean-square fit to a Poisson distribution, which is characterized by \( \langle N_t \rangle = 3.0 \) (\( \lambda = 2.8 \)). The reduced average reflects the fact that the Poisson distribution underrepresents the tail of the distribution extracted from the experimental data. However, it is also important to note that the reconstruction at large forces is not very reliable due to the few events in the experimental data (compare fig. 3). In order to test whether the Poisson distribution is compatible with our experimental data, we generated artificial rupture histograms by drawing random values for \( N_t \) from the Poisson distribution exactly as often as experimental data points were present. Figure 5 shows a corresponding histogram for loading rate \( m = 1250 \, \text{pN/s} \). The lines and the inset represent the same analysis as done before for the experimental data. The new Poisson distribution is slightly different, with \( \lambda = 3.1 \) instead of \( \lambda = 2.8 \), while the extracted values for \( k_0 \) and \( F_0 \) are essentially unchanged. Taken together, the data simulation suggests that the finite size of the data set can explain the deviations between experimental data and model fit.

Figures 3 and 4 suggest that the most salient feature of both the experimental and simulation data is the first peak, which corresponds to single-bond rupture. In fig. 6 we plot the fitted positions of the first peaks as a function of \( \ln m \). As expected for dynamic force spectra, the data points can be fitted to a linear curve with the slope \( F_0 = 7.85 \, \text{pN} \) and the intersection with \( F^* = 0 \) at \( k_0 = 0.159 \, \text{pN/s} \). This again yields \( k_0 = 0.02 \, \text{Hz} \) and \( x_0 = 0.52 \, \text{nm} \) for the unstressed off-rate and the reactive compliance, respectively, demonstrating that our analysis is consistent. These results suggest that the first peaks in a series of multiple-bond rupture force histograms at different loading rates, as shown in fig. 3, can be used to achieve a quick and simple estimate of the molecular parameters. In particular, this estimate can then be used as a starting point for the detailed numerical analysis presented above.

**Discussion.** – We have used multiple-bond DFS measurements with the BFP setup to probe the outer barrier of the biotin-streptavidin bond. If multiple attachments are allowed, the frequency of successful binding events is larger than in classical single-bond experiments so that information can be obtained more efficiently in the form of rupture force histograms. As demonstrated here, analysis of these histograms requires theoretical modelling of the rupture of parallel adhesion bonds under an applied load because the peaks of the histograms follow from a superposition procedure. Using

---
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an established stochastic model for bond rupture under shared loading in combination with the assumption of a variable number of initial bonds, we showed for the first time how this superposition looks in practice, compare fig. 4. The molecular bond parameters \( k_0 \) and \( x_s \) were extracted in good agreement with previous results from single-bond experiments.

One particular feature of our approach is that with a simple model for multiple bond rupture we arrived at an extraction of single bond data which is both successful and efficient. Our model for force transduction and adhesion is rather generic and can be easily extended to include additional effects like finite transducer and tether stiffness or generalised models for the binding landscape. E.g. it was shown for protein unfolding that analysis of histograms can be used to identify the shape of the binding landscape and identify deviations from the assumption of sharp transition barriers underlying DFS [27]. We expect that the agreement between experimental and theoretical histograms can be improved by including more substructure into the model. Recently, it has been proposed that deviations in rupture force histograms from the DFS predictions are caused by an intrinsic heterogeneity of bond parameters [28]. The increased width and large force tails could be described imposing Gaussian noise on \( F_0 \). Although this effect will be small compared to the variations caused by the shape of the binding landscape and identify deviations from the assumption of sharp transition barriers underlying DFS [27].

Finally, investigating multiple-bond rupture will help to understand properties of biological adhesion sites which usually consist of clusters of adhesion molecules. For example, it has been found with image correlation microscopy that in living cells during cell migration, integrin adhesion receptors are preclustered with an average cluster size of three to four, which is very similar to the average cluster size studied here [29]. Thus biomimetic studies like the one presented here are essential to understand the way biological systems make use of adhesion clusters.
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