Electrodeposition of Cu$_2$O thin Film Onto Copper Substrate by Linear Sweep Voltammetry at Low Duration: Effect of Bath pH
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Abstract: Copper (II) oxide (Cu$_2$O) has attracted much interest as a semiconductor material for solar cell applications. Here we report the synthesis of Cu$_2$O, thin films through an economical and simple electrodeposition method at low duration (10 min) by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) method at 50 °C bath temperature, with the use of citric acid as a complexing agent. The influence of pH value (pH = 9.5, 10.5, 11.5, and 12.5) on structural, morphological, and optical properties of the synthesized Cu$_2$O thin films onto copper substrate was investigated. The synthesized Cu$_2$O thin films have been characterized using various techniques like X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman spectroscopy, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM-EDX), UV-vis spectrophotometry. The X-ray diffraction showed that the deposited thin films at pH = 9.5, 10.5, 11.5 matched well with the cubic (Pn-3m) structure and showed an improvement of the crystallinity near the value pH=10.5. Raman spectroscopy confirms the cubic structure of the synthesized thin film. Thin films show a high absorption coefficient in the visible spectra, and the calculated band gap energy value is near 1.8 eV.
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1. Introduction

Solar energy is the most abundant energy on earth. In fact, the earth receives in one day the equivalent of energy that can cover the consumption of one year. Photovoltaic cells are the more appropriate choice for converting solar energy into electricity. This has led to thin-film solar cell technology developments due to their flexibility, low weight, low consumption of raw materials, and low-cost manufacturing [1-3].

The metal oxide material has been found interesting for use as absorbing layers in solar cells, especially the copper (II) oxide Cu$_2$O, due to its suitable bandgap ($E_g$=1.8–2.2 eV), high absorption coefficient ($\approx10^5$ cm$^{-1}$) in the visible- near-infrared spectra, earth-abundance and the non-toxicity of copper element [4-7].

In addition to its application in solar cells, this material offers great freedom. It can be used in different applications and thus satisfies industrial demands [8]. Among these remarkable applications, we find the use of Cu$_2$O material in photocatalytic material [9-11], photoelectrochemical cell [12-14], photodetector [15], thermoelectric [16], photodiode devices
[17], and electrochromic material [18]. Also, Cu$_2$O was introduced as an attractive anode material for sodium-ion battery systems [19]. Therefore, the investigation of this material as absorber material in solar cells was the goal of this study.

The low-cost production of thin-film solar cells has become the first preoccupation of the optoelectronic industry. The Cu$_2$O thin film can be synthesized using several physical and chemical methods such as chemical deposition technique [20], spray deposition [21], electrodeposition [22-26], chemical bath deposition [27], thermal evaporation [28], spin coating [29], vacuum diffusion [30], and SILAR [31].

Electrodeposition technic is a simple and low-cost technic, is a successful method for the deposition of quaternary, ternary, and binary material like Cu$_2$-II-Sn-S$_4$, Cu$_2$-Sn-S$_3$, and Cu$_2$O [32-35]. The electrodeposition method allows for controlling the physical, optical, and electrical characteristics of thin films by varying several factors such as the thickness of the film, bath composition, concentration, time of electrodeposition, the temperature of bath, pH, and potential of electrodeposition.

The pH is an important parameter for the synthesis of thin film by electrodeposition. For this reason, in the present work, the Cu$_2$O thin films were synthesized by electrodeposition method onto the copper substrate, using citric acid as a complexing agent. Also, the influence of pH on structural, morphological, and optical properties of the synthesized Cu$_2$O thin films was investigated using X-ray diffraction (XRD), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDX), Raman spectroscopy, and UV-Visible – NIR spectrophotometry.

2. Materials and Methods

The Cu$_2$O thin films were deposited onto copper substrates using the electrodeposition method. Before Cu2O thin films deposition, four equimolar solutions of Cu$^{2+}$ and citric acid (0.05 M) were prepared by dissolution the appropriate mass of copper sulfate (CuSO$_4$, Sigma-Aldrich, 99%) citric acid. (C$_6$H$_8$O$_7$, Sigma-Aldrich, 99.8%) in 25ml of distilled water, the pH of the four solutions was adjusted to 9.5, 10.5, 11.5, and 12.5, respectively, using sodium hydroxide. The citric acid was used as a complexing agent to increase the solubility of Cu$^{2+}$ ions and avoid their precipitation in basic media.

The Cu-substrates were ultrasonically cleaned for 15 min sequentially in dilute hydrochloric acid, acetone, ethanol, distilled water and then dried in the air before being used for deposition. The Cu$_2$O thin films were synthesized by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) method by the use of the three mounting electrodes connected to the potentiostat-galvanostat Origa-Flex. The potential was varied between -0.2V and -0.4V/SCE, and the scan rate was fixed at 0.3 mV/s.

Finally, the samples were then taken out and washed with distilled water, and dried in air at ambient temperature. The obtained films were characterized by an XPERT-3 X-ray diffractometer with a copper source monochromatic Cu-K$_{a1}$ radiation $\lambda = 1.5406$ Å. The determination of surface morphology and composition of the deposited thin films were obtained by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) equipped with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) and also with an element mapping scan. For optical properties, the JASCO V-670 spectrophotometer was used to measure the absorbance spectra with a wavelength range of 190 to 2700 nm. The Raman spectroscopy measurement was conducted using a Bruker RAM (II) FT-Raman spectrometer in the range of 100 to 700 cm$^{-1}$. 
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3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structural characterization.

Figure 1 displays the XRD spectra of all deposited Cu₂O thin films with different pH values (9.5, 10.5, 11.5, and 12.5). The peak at 2θ = 36.42° corresponds to the (111) plane of Cu₂O in cubic structure ([Pn-3m space group]), which is in good agreement with the standard Data card (JCPDS # 00-005-0667) and with other reports [36]. The (111) diffraction peaks become more pronounced for the synthesized Cu₂O thin film at pH=10.5. In addition, all the synthesized Cu₂O thin films show a preferential orientation of the crystallites along the (111) direction due to the influence of copper substrate [36]. The XRD shows that the pH=12.5 did not allow the formation of the Cu₂O thin film. Therefore, in the next section, we will only discuss the Cu₂O layers successfully formed at 9.5, 10.5, and 11.5.

![Figure 1](image_url)

Figure 1. XRD patterns of Cu₂O thin films electrodeposited with various pH bath values (9.5, 10.5, 11.5, and 12.5).

The lattice parameter was calculated using the formula (6) and found to be \( a = 4.2692 \) Å, which are almost in agreement with the standard data from JCPDS card No. 01-078-2076 (\( a = b = c = 4.2612 \) Å) [36].

\[
\frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{h^2}{a^2} + \frac{k^2}{b^2} + \frac{l^2}{c^2} \hspace{1cm} (1)
\]

The crystallite size of the film was calculated from the intense peak of (111) plan by using Schererrer's formula Eq (7) [37,38]:

\[
D = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos(\theta)} \hspace{1cm} (2)
\]

where, \( \lambda \) is the used wavelength (1.5406 Å); \( \beta \) is the angular line width at half maximum intensity (FWHM) of the diffraction peaks (in radians); \( \theta \) is the Bragg's angle, and \( k \) is the constant, which is equal to 0.94. To have more information on the measure of imperfections in the films, the dislocation density (\( \delta \)) was determined using the formula (8) [37,38].

\[
\delta = \frac{1}{D^2} \hspace{1cm} (3)
\]

The strain (\( \varepsilon \)) was calculated using the equation (9) [37,38].
\[ \varepsilon = \frac{\beta \cos(\theta)}{4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The variation of structural parameters is shown in table (1). It is observed that when the pH increase, the Crystallite size increase. Conversely, the dislocation density and microstrain decrease with the increase of pH.

| pH of deposition | Miller indices | Observation diffraction angle (\(\beta\)) (\(^o\)) | FWHM (\(\beta\)) (\(^o\)) | Crystallite size (D) (nm) | Dislocation density (\(\beta\)) \((\times 10^{-3} \text{nm}^{-2})\) | Microstrain (\(\varepsilon\)) \((\times 10^{-3})\) |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 9.5             | 1 1 1          | 36.24                           | 0.635           | 2.27             | 194                             | 133              |
| 10.5            |                | 36.37                           | 0.624           | 2.31             | 187                             | 131              |
| 11.5            |                | 36.31                           | 0.604           | 2.40             | 173                             | 127              |

3.2. Raman spectroscopy analysis.

The Raman technique was used with a 633 nm excitation wavelength. As copper (II) oxide, Cu\(_2\)O is a material that occurs in a cubic Pn-3m phase [36]. There are 6 atoms in the unit cell of Cu\(_2\)O, and therefore 18 phonon branches.

\[ \Gamma = A_{2u} \oplus E_u \oplus 3T_{1u} \oplus T_{2u} \oplus T_{2g} \]

where A, E, and T symmetry are one-, two-, and three-fold degenerated, respectively. In Figure 2, we can resolve sept broad bands in our Raman Spectra. According to the results obtained by Raman spectrometry, two active modes E\(_u\) and T\(_{1u}\), were observed, and the absence of A\(_{2u}\) and T\(_{2g}\) modes. Figure 2 shows the Raman spectrum of Cu\(_2\)O thin films within 100 – 700 cm\(^{-1}\). The peaks located at 108 cm\(^{-1}\) (E\(_u\)), 148 cm\(^{-1}\) (T\(_{1u}\)), 214 cm\(^{-1}\) (2E\(_u\)), 297 cm\(^{-1}\), 413 cm\(^{-1}\) (2E\(_u\)), 495 cm\(^{-1}\) (2E\(_u\)), and 647 cm\(^{-1}\) (T\(_{1u}\)) are characteristic of the cubic Cu\(_2\)O thin films, which is in good agreement well with other literature [39].

![Raman spectra of electrodeposited Cu\(_2\)O at PH=10.5 and applied potentials (-0.2V; -0.4V).](https://biointerfaceresearch.com/)

3.3. Morphological properties.

Figure 3 (a-c) shows the SEM image of Cu\(_2\)O thin films electrodeposited at different pH (9.5, 10.5, and 11.5). The Cu2O detected by SEM presents a uniform surface coverage with
good crystalline quality confirming XRD analysis and showing numerous well-distributed pyramid-like nanostructures (Figure 2). The sample prepared at pH=9.5 and Cu$_2$O shows a homogeneous nanostructure. When the pH increases to 10.5, the nanostructure becomes apparent with uniform pyramid distribution, as shown in Figure 3(b). Finally, for pH=11.5, the nano-grains were none uniformly distributed on the smooth surface, with variable size, and we observed the presence of some void.

Furthermore, the elemental mapping analyses (Figure 3 d-e) confirm the existence of Cu and O and indicate that the distributions of Cu and O are uniform, with the absence of the pinholes, confirming the homogeneous and uniform depositing Cu$_2$O thin films. The EDX (Figure 4) confirms the copper and oxygen atoms that make up our thin film. However, the quotient Cu/O is equal to 2.1 (55.61 at. % Cu and 45.39 at. % O) (Figure 4) against the ideal value of 2 for pure Cu$_2$O, which may be due to the copper substrate.

![Figure 3. SEM micrographs of Cu$_2$O thin films electrodeposited at different pH (a)9.5; (b) 10.5; (c) 11.5. (d, e): EDX-mapping of Cu and O at pH= 10.5.](https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC126.77157724)

### 3.4. Optical properties.

The optical absorption properties of Cu$_2$O thin films electrodeposited onto copper substrates are determined from UV-Vis transmittance spectra in the range 400 – 650nm and are shown in Figure 5. (A). Firstly, all the films indicated a better absorption in the solar spectrum's 400-500 nm spectral region. The absorbance spectra show a high absorbance for the
Cu$_2$O thin films at wavelengths below 500 nm with sharp optical absorption transitions at 457 nm. This absorption is due to the inter-band electron transition between the valence and conduction bands in the Cu$_2$O thin film \[40, 41\].

Figure 4. EDX spectrum of Cu$_2$O thin films electrodeposited at different pH (a) 9.5; (b) 10.5; (c) 11.5.

Figure 5 shows that the sample deposited at pH=10.6 exhibits the highest optical absorbance at most wavelengths, especially in the wavelength range below 500 nm, and the light absorbance in Cu$_2$O thin films notably reduces increasing the deposition time.

The bandgap values are determined by applying the Tauc’s relationship (Eq.10) \[42,43\] and were obtained from extrapolating the linear region of the plot ($\alpha \cdot h\nu$)$^2$ versus energy $h\nu$ (Figure 6), which indicates the existence of direct transitions.
Figure 5. Absorbance and transmittance spectra of Cu$_2$O thin films deposited on copper substrates at different pH.

\[(\alpha hv)^2 = A(hv - E_g)\]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where, $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient. The used thickness of the film to calculate $\alpha$ is about 800nm.

The values of the bandgap $E_g$ of Cu$_2$O thin films deposited at pH= 9.5, 10.5, and 11.5 are found to be 1.80 eV, respectively, which are well suited for solar cell application and near the other reported value [44]. The use of copper substrate has permitted the reduction of band gap value (1.8eV) compared to other reports. The band gaps ($E_g$) values are not affected by the variation of pH value.

Figure 6. The $(\alpha hv)^2$ versus $hv$ plot for Cu$_2$O thin-films deposited at pH= 9.5, 10.5 and 11.5.

4. Conclusions

The Cu$_2$O thin films were successfully deposited by the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) method at low duration on the copper substrate using the precursor copper sulfate and citric acid as a stabilizing agent. Summing up the resultants, it can be concluded that the crystallographic parameters, stoichiometry, morphological, and Raman analysis were showed the presence of cubic Cu$_2$O and have a preferred orientation along (111) planes due to the
copper substrate. Micrograph indicates the small pyramid nanostructure, homogeneous, dense, and adherent layers. The use of copper substrate has permitted the reduction of band gap value (1.8eV) compared to other reports and is not affected by the pH variation. Moreover, the absorption coefficient could reach the order of $10^5$ cm$^{-1}$ in the visible. This makes Cu$_2$O a promising material for solar cell application by the linear sweep voltammetry technique, and it can benefit from high photosensitivity and low-cost production.
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