INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in research into essential oils and their applications in the food and human health. Essential oils are volatile aromatic substances that are obtained from glandular trichomes and other secretory structures of plants. Subsequently, they are distributed mainly on the surface of plant organs, especially flowers, leaves, stems, and roots. Essential oils and their ingredients are a safe alternative to chemical preservatives. They have biological activity that inhibits the growth of microorganisms (Zhang et al., 2020).

Rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis) is a perennial aromatic herb native to the Mediterranean Sea. It belongs to the family Lamiaceae. R. officinalis is an important source of volatile and non-volatile bioactive compounds (Rahbardin et al., 2017). The main components of R. officinalis essential oil are camphor, camphene, 1,8-cineole, β-thujene, α-thujene, chrysanthenone, and β-cubebene. These compounds show a variety of biological activities, including antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anticarcinogenic properties (Touazi et al., 2018). Due to its therapeutic effects, it was used in the Middle Ages for the treatment of various diseases and it was also used as a preservative and flavouring agent (Elyenmi et al., 2019).

Biofilms are defined as complex bacterial communities found in an exopolysaccharide matrix on both biotic and abiotic surfaces. Biofilm formation is usually a cyclic multistage process. It is necessary to understand this process to develop effective strategies to combat pathogenic biofilms (Khatoon et al., 2018). The issue of biofilm formation concerns many food sectors such as the dairy industry, poultry and red meat processing, and fresh fish products (Kocot and Olszewska, 2017).

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia plays an important role in the colonization of biotic and abiotic surfaces, which significantly increases its resistance to antibiotics. This opportunistic pathogen is the originator of many nosocomial infections (Pomplio et al., 2020). It is also often found in the food industry, for example in raw milk, fish products, vegetables, and also in drinking water reservoirs. The presence of S. maltophilia in food products causes deterioration and significantly endangers human health (Zhang et al., 2020).

Bacillus subtilis, a non-pathogenic, gram-positive bacterium, is one of the most studied biofilm-forming microorganisms. Its importance in the food industry lies in the formation of a colony biofilm at the water-air interface (Yahav et al., 2018). Under industrial conditions, biofilm formation leads to costly regular cleaning, equipment corrosion, and the production of extracellular enzymes by biofilm bacteria. Importantly, endospore-producing biofilm genera such as Bacillus can become a significant source of persistent contamination (Ranmadugala et al., 2017).

Keywords: biofilm; Stenotrophomonas maltophilia; Bacillus subtilis; essential oil; MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper
The work was aimed to evaluate the antioxidant and antibiofilm activity of the essential oil *Rosmarinus officinalis* against *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Bacillus subtilis*. To evaluate the molecular profiles of biofilms on glass and wood after the application of *R. officinalis* essential oil using MALDI-TOF MS Biotype. To evaluate the effectiveness of essential oil against biofilms in a food model (carrot, potato, apple) using the vapor phase antimicrobial analysis.

**Scientific hypothesis**

After studying the available literature, we assume the presence of bioactive substances and the antioxidant potential of the essential oil *Rosmarinus officinalis*. Given the available publications, we anticipate an antibiofilm effect against *S. maltophilia* and *B. subtilis*. We believe that the antibiofilm effect could also be manifested in the gas phase in the food model.

**MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY**

**Samples**

*Rosmarinus officinalis* essential oil was purchased from the Slovak company Hanus s.r.o (Nitra, Slovakia). It was obtained by steam distillation of a flowering flower. The manufacturer states as the main components of the essential oil 1,8-cineole 38 – 55%, camphor 5 – 15%,  α + β pinene 13 – 23%, limonene 1 – 4%, borneol 1 – 5%. The sample was stored in the cold (4 °C) and in the dark throughout the experiments.

**Chemicals**

2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH, Sigma Aldrich, Germany), Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK). The solution of DPPH was prepared by weighing 0.0025 g of DPPH into 100 mL of ethanol (96%). 3.9 mL of stock solution was pipetted into the tube and 0.1 mL of distilled water was added to the first column of the microplate. Subsequently, 100 μL of essential oil was added. The prepared mixture of DPPH into 100 mL of ethanol (96%) was stored in the cold (4 °C) and in the dark throughout the analyses.

**Animals and Biological Material:**

Bacterial strains forming the biofilm of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Bacillus subtilis* were obtained from the dairy industry in the Czech Republic. They were identified by 16S rRNA sequencing and MALDI-TOF MS Biotype.

**Instruments**

Glomax spectrophotometer (Promega Inc., Madison, USA), MALDI-TOF MS Biotype (Bruker, Daltonics, Bremen, Germany).

**Laboratory Methods**

To determine the antioxidant activity of *Rosmarinus officinalis* essential oil, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH, Sigma Aldrich, Germany) was used according to the method of Sánchez-Moreno, Larrauri and Saura-Calixto (1998). It is a colorimetric method in which the deep purple colour of DPPH changes to yellow after scavenging free radicals. The colour change is detected by a spectrophotometer. For essential oil analysis, a stock solution of DPPH was prepared by weighing 0.0025 g of DPPH into 100 mL of ethanol (96%). 3.9 mL of stock solution was pipetted into the tube and 0.1 mL of *R. officinalis* essential oil was added. The prepared mixture in triplicate was incubated at laboratory temperature in the dark place for 10 minutes. The absorbance of the sample was measured with Glomax spectrophotometer (Promega Inc., Madison, USA) at 515 nm and the average absorbance of the sample was calculated. The percentage of antioxidant activity was calculated according to the formula:

\[
\text{AA\%} = \left[ \frac{(A_0 - A_{AT})}{A_0} \times 100 \right]
\]

Where:

- **A**₀ – is the absorbance of the control reaction (DPPH radical)
- **A**ₘₐₜ – is the absorbance of tested sample

Minimal Biofilm Inhibitory Concentration (MBIC) was determined according to Hassan et al. (2011). The bacterial suspensions were incubated in the Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) under aerobic conditions for 24 h at 37 °C. After incubation, an inoculum with optical density of 0.5 McFarland standard was prepared. 100 μL MHB and 50 μL inoculum were pipetted into a 96-well microtiter plate. Subsequently, 100 μL of essential oil was added. The mixture with a pipette gave a two-fold dilution with concentrations from 400 μL.mL⁻¹ to 0.195 μL.mL⁻¹. MHB with essential oil was used as a negative control and MHB with bacterial inoculum was used as maximal growth control. After culturing for 24 hours at 37 °C in an aerostat, the supernatant was discarded, the wells were washed three times with 250 μL of saline and allowed to dry for 30 minutes at laboratory temperature. After drying, the wells were stained with 200 μL crystal violet (0.1% w/v) for 15 minutes. The plates were repeatedly washed with distilled water and allowed to dry. Subsequently, 200 μL of 33% acetic acid was added to resolubilize the samples. Samples were measured on Glomax spectrophotometer (Promega Inc., Madison, USA) at 570 nm. The concentration at which the absorbance was lower or equal to the negative control was determined as MBIC.

**Description of the Experiment**

**Sample preparation:**

The analysis of the developmental stages of the biofilm and the evaluation of the molecular differences on the glass and the wood were performed in the same way as in Kačániová et al. (2020a) using MALDI-TOF MS Biotype (Bruker, Daltonics, Bremen, Germany).

The antibiofilm activity of *R. officinalis* in a food model was analysed by a vapor phase antimicrobial assay. The antibiofilm effect was analysed on potato, carrot, and apple. Vegetables and fruit were cut into 5 mm slices and washed with distilled water. A layer of Muller Hinton agar (MHA, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) was poured onto 60 mm Petri dishes and lids. After the agar solidification, one slice of the sample was placed on the plates. *S. maltophilia* and *B. subtilis* were applied to the samples by stabbing. The essential oil was diluted in ethyl acetate to final concentrations of 500, 250, and 125 μg.mL⁻¹. A circle of sterile 55 mm diameter filter paper was placed in the lid. 100 μL of the appropriate concentration of essential oil was pipetted onto the surface of the filter paper. The filter paper was allowed to dry for 1 minute to evaporate the ethyl acetate and the dishes were sealed. Petri dishes were incubated for 7 days at 37 °C. Inhibition of bacterial growth by the essential oil was expressed as a percentage of inhibition compared to the control, where the control represented 0% inhibition. Inhibition by more than 50% was considered effective.

**Number of samples analyzed:** biofilm18, food model 24

**Number of repeated analyses:** 3

**Number of experiment replication:** 3
Statistical analysis
All analyses were performed in triplicate. Statistical variability of data was processed using Microsoft-Excel® software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The antioxidant activity of Rosmarinus officinalis essential oil was 28.76% ±2.68%. Wang et al. (2008) in their work determined a free radical scavenging activity 62.45% ±3.42%. Gachkar et al. (2007) found out, that antioxidant activity is 69.30%. Kasparavičienė et al. (2013) determined the antioxidant activity of R. officinalis at 75.96% ±1.12%. Hussain et al. (2010) found out free radical scavenging activity 33.60%. Okoh, Sadimenko and Afolayan (2011) detected, that antioxidant activity is 48.80%. Teneva et al. (2020) compared the antioxidant activity of essential oils from the leaves and flowers of R. officinalis. They recorded antioxidant activity in range 25 – 82%. This variability was due to the different chemical composition of the essential oils. Mohammed et al. (2020) set the percentage of free radical scavenging at 44.50%. Nie et al. (2020) determined free radical scavenging activity 39.50%. Amjadi et al. (2020) determined the antioxidant activity of rosemary essential oil at 24.00% ±3.10%. Kanth et al. (2018) examined the antioxidant activity at concentrations range 1250 – 25000 ppm and found free radical scavenging activity 8.16 – 51.8%. The differences between the individual findings may be due to different chemical composition of the essential oils and the different concentrations of the active substances. The authors agree that R. officinalis essential oil has increased antioxidant activity, and our findings confirm this.

The minimal biofilm inhibiting concentrations determined by us were 25 µL.mL⁻¹ for Stenotrophomonas maltophilia and 12.5 µL.mL⁻¹ for Bacillus subtilis. Vieira et al. (2017) determined MBIC for B. subtilis 20 µL.mL⁻¹. Elhariry et al. (2013) determined MBIC of rosemary 12 µL.mL⁻¹ for the genera Bacillus and Pseudomonas. Jardak et al. (2017) investigated the antibiofilm activity against S. epidermidis and recorded an effect at oncetration 25 µL.mL⁻¹. Kanth et al. (2018) determined the MBIC for the biofilm of L. monocytogenes and S. aureus at 1.25 µL.mL⁻¹. Quave et al. (2008) tested the antibiotic effect of rosemary on S. aureus and determined MBIC 8 µL.mL⁻¹. Ceylan et al. (2014) evaluated the antioxidant activity of rosemary on S. aureus and determined MBIC 1.25 µL.mL⁻¹. Nasr-Eldin, Abdelhamid and Baraka (2017) focused on the antibiotic effect of essential oil on S. aureus and found MBIC 10 µL.mL⁻¹. Rahnama et al. (2019) tested antibiotic activity on B. cereus with MBIC 5 µL.mL⁻¹. Miladi et al. (2016) determined MBIC 25 µL.mL⁻¹ for the genus Salmonella in their work. All authors agree that Rosmarinus officinalis essential oil has significant antibiofilm effect. Different minimum inhibitory concentrations are due to different origins of essential oils with different chemical compositions and because they were tested on different strains of bacteria.

Analysis of the mass spectra of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia showed that on the third day of the experiment (Figure 1A), the similarity between the experimental spectra (wood and glass) and the control planktonic spectrum was maintained. On the fifth day of the experiment (Figure 1B), we recorded a gradual change in the experimental spectra compared to the control planktonic spectrum. The same trend was observed on the seventh day of the experiment (Figure 1C). On the ninth day of the experiment (Figure 1D) there was a decrease in the number of peaks in the experimental groups compared to the planktonic spectrum. The decrease was maintained on the twelfth day (Figure 1E) as well as the difference compared to the planktonic spectrum. On the last day (Figure 1F) of the experiment, there was an increase in the number of peaks in the experimental group compared to days 9 and 12, while maintaining the difference from the planktonic spectrum. The results show the inhibitory effect of the essential oil Rosmarinus officinalis on the structure of the biofilm.

The S. maltophilia dendrogram (Figure 2) is divided into two main clusters and 5 subclusters. In the constructed dendrogram, it is possible to observe the grouping primarily to the time point of view of the analysed samples. The planktonic spectrum showed the most significant relationship with the experimental group on day 3 of the experiment in MSP distance. The control groups showed shorter MSP distances, and thus higher similarity of spectra from planktonic cells than the experimental groups in the following days. This finding confirms the inhibitory effect of R. officinalis essential oil.

Analysis of the mass spectra of Bacillus subtilis showed that on days 3 and 5 of the experiment (Figures 3A and 3B), the similarity between the experimental and planktonic spectra was maintained. On day 7 of the experiment, the difference between the experimental and control groups began to show (Figure 3C). On the ninth and twelfth days of the experiment (Figures 3D and 3E), the change in experimental spectra compared to the control planktonic spectrum continued. On the last day of the experiment (Figure 3F), the most significant difference between the experimental and control groups was noted. These findings confirm the inhibitory effect of the essential oil on the structure of the B. subtilis biofilm.

The B. subtilis dendrogram (Figure 4) consists of two main clusters, and it is possible to observe that analysed samples were grouped primarily according to time progression. The most significant similarity of the planktonic spectrum with the experimental group was recorded on days 3 and 5 of the experiment. All control groups were in the same cluster as the planktonic cells. Experimental groups from day 7 were divided into a separate cluster. This finding confirms the inhibitory effect of R. officinalis from day 7 of the experiment.

Pereira et al. (2015) report that profiling with MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper is a very useful tool. Their results showed that the MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper approach is sufficiently sensitive to detect phenotypic changes in biofilm progression and can detect differences in biofilms cultured on different surfaces. Lo and Chang (2014) stated that the MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper is suitable for the investigation and identification of clinical isolates, including biofilm-forming ones. Gaudreau et al. (2018) found that the MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper is useful for biofilm studies. Hasan, Gopal and Wu (2011) confirm the suitability of the MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper method for biofilm analysis.

Kačániová et al. (2020a) analysed the effect of Coriandrum sativum essential oil on the inhibition of S. maltophilia and B. subtilis biofilm with positive effect.
Figure 1 Representative MALDI-TOF mass spectra of *S. maltophilia*: (A) 3 days; (B) 5 days; (C) 7 days; (D) 9 days; (E) 12 days; and (F) 14 days.
Figure 2 Dendrogram of *S. maltophilia* generated using the MSPs for all experimental group: SM – *S. maltophilia*; K – control; S – glass; D – wood; P – planktonic cell.
Figure 3 Representative MALDI-TOF mass spectra of B. subtilis: (A) 3 days; (B) 5 days; (C) 7 days; (D) 9 days; (E) 12 days; and (F) 14 days.

Figure 4 Dendrogram of B. subtilis generated using the MSPs for all experimental group: BS – B. subtilis; K. – control; S – glass; D – wood; P – planktonic cell.

Table 1 In situ antimicrobial analyses of vegetables and fruit with S. maltophilia in vapor phase with essential oil R. officinalis.

| Concentration of EO | Food model | 125 µL.L⁻¹ | 250 µL.L⁻¹ | 500 µL.L⁻¹ |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Carrot              |            | 50.78 ±2.59 | 69.82 ±3.21 | 87.80 ±1.41 |
| Potato              |            | 24.36 ±2.11 | 47.44 ±3.56 | 79.92 ±1.27 |
| Apple               |            | 42.56 ±2.28 | 53.46 ±1.41 | 85.45 ±1.69 |

Table 2 In situ antimicrobial analyses of vegetables and fruit with B. subtilis in vapor phase with essential oil R. officinalis.

| Concentration of EO | Food model | 125 µL.L⁻¹ | 250 µL.L⁻¹ | 500 µL.L⁻¹ |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Carrot              |            | 20.39 ±1.21 | 39.73 ±2.67 | 67.42 ±1.32 |
| Potato              |            | 62.53 ±2.52 | 74.46 ±2.71 | 92.48 ±1.83 |
| Apple               |            | 64.56 ±1.33 | 73.82 ±1.19 | 89.35 ±1.87 |
In another work, Kačániová et al. (2020b) addressed the antibiofilm effect of Citrus aurantium essential oil by using MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper with positive result. The results of the analysis of antibiofilm activity in the food model show that the essential oil of R. officinalis showed an inhibitory effect. The essential oil inhibited the growth of S. maltophilia on carrots by more than 50% (Table 1) at concentration 250 μg.mL⁻¹. The effect was observed on potato at 500 μg.mL⁻¹ and apple at concentration 250 μg.mL⁻¹. The essential oil inhibited the growth of B. subtilis by more than 50% (Table 2) on carrots at concentration 500 μg.mL⁻¹. The effect on potato and apple was already manifested at concentration 125 μg.mL⁻¹. Laird and Phillips (2011) report that vapor phase essential oils are effective antimicrobial systems and have advantages over the use of liquid phase essential oils. Kačániová et al. (2020b) used this method in their work to determine the antifungal activity of the essential oil Citrus aurantium.

**CONCLUSION**

The work confirmed the antioxidant potential of Rosmarinus officinalis essential oil using the DPPH method. The findings suggest that the essential oil has significant effect on biofilm inhibition. This effect was confirmed by the change in biofilm structure recorded by the MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper and by vapor phase inhibition in a food model. It is believed that the effect of the essential oil is to disrupt the polysaccharide structure of the biofilm and consequently reduce the resistance of the biofilm. MALDI-TOF Biotyper is a suitable tool for evaluating changes in biofilm structure. It could find more significant application for the study of biofilms in the food and clinical practice.
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