Case Study

Scheuermann’s disease: non-surgical improvement in whole spine sagittal alignment in the treatment of a symptomatic patient using Chiropractic BioPhysics® technique
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Abstract. [Purpose] To present the dramatic improvement of sagittal posture in a young male with Scheuermann’s disease suffering from pain ailments as treated by Chiropractic BioPhysics® technique. [Participant and Methods] An 18 year old reported low back pain and headaches for several years. Full spine radiographic assessment revealed pronounced thoracic hyperkyphosis, anterior head translation, posterior thoracolumbar sagittal balance, and a reduced sacral base orientation. The patient was treated by Chiropractic BioPhysics methods incorporating mirror image® exercises, traction, as well as spinal manipulation. [Results] Assessment after 35 treatment sessions over 14-weeks revealed a dramatic improvement in postural parameters. The thoracic kyphosis reduced by 13°, and was accompanied by a reduction in forward head posture, reduction in posterior sagittal balance, and an increase in sacral base angle to normal. The low back pain and headaches were alleviated. [Conclusion] This case adds to the accumulating evidence demonstrating CBP methods offers an effective approach to reduce the burden of postural disorders including those with Scheuermann’s disease. Since thoracic hyperkyphosis is a serious disorder, the routine comprehensive assessment via full-spine radiography is essential for the quantification of relevant postural parameters.
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INTRODUCTION

The incidence of poor posture is inevitably high1, 2). Many studies have determined that postural misalignment or spinal subluxation is associated with pain and disability3–6). Recently, in fact, it has been reported that those with poor posture had a significantly poorer quality of life scores as measured by the SF-36 questionnaire than those with various other well known diseases including self-reported arthritis, chronic lung disease, diabetes and congestive heart failure7).

Scheuermann’s disease (SD) is the development of exaggerated forward flexion of the thoracic spine (hyperkyphosis) that is also characterized by vertebral body wedging and endplate irregularities, diminished anterior vertebral body growth, Schmorl’s nodes, narrowing of the intervertebral discs and premature disc degeneration8, 9). The disease is more prevalent in males, commencing usually in young adolescence, where the bones are in a continuous growing state. The symptoms are more prominent at flexion state, with mild relief with extension. Despite a lack of research regarding SD, its prevalence is estimated to range from 0.4–8% within the general population10). SD can lead to pulmonary and cardiovascular compromise due to the nature of affected anatomy and alignment of the spine. The main treatment for SD is physiotherapy exercise programs as well as spinal bracing, however, spinal bracing is generally considered more effective when performed early and...
when the deformity has not progressed beyond 50°\(^9\). Surgical treatment is rarely indicated, and only when the deformity is severe and progressing (>75°), accompanied by refractory pain, or with neurologic deficits\(^9\).

Although there is evidence that Chiropractic BioPhysics\(^{®}\) (CBP\(^®\)) technique can successfully reduce thoracic hyperkyphosis\(^11\), there is no data specifically on patients with SD. We present a case showing the dramatic improvement of a severe thoracic hyperkyphosis postural subluxation in a young male with SD and associated low back and related pain complaints by incorporating CBP methods.

**PARTICIPANT AND METHODS**

On December 17, 2018, an 18-year-old male presented to the office with a chief complaint of lower back pain (LBP) radiating to his right hip and knee for the past ‘several years’. He described his pain as constant, occurring daily and it was relieved with rest but aggravated with movement associated with routine activities such as sitting, standing or sleeping. The patient also reported suffering from ‘horrible posture,’ which he described as a hunched back and rigid. He also suffered from mild and occasional mid back pain, neck pain and headaches. The patient rated his LBP at 5/10 on average (0=no pain; 10=worst pain ever) and an 8/10 at worst. The patient scored 42% on the Revised Oswestry Chronic Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire (ODI)\(^12\).

Initial physical examination revealed the patient reported pain upon palpation of the entire neck, mid and lower back area, and the muscles felt taught. Lumbar range of motion (ROM) was normal with the exception of being slightly limited and displayed crepitus while performing bilateral bending. Left and right lumbar rotation caused a pulling sensation. Cervical ROM was limited in all directions with the exception of flexion. All other orthopaedic tests were unremarkable.

The patient had a full spine and pelvis standing radiographic assessment which included antero-posterior (AP) and lateral cervical, thoracic, and lumbar images. The images were assessed and digitized using the PostureRay system (Trinity, FL, USA). Using this program, the lateral images were quantified via the Harrison posterior tangent (HPT) method, which measures the rotation between adjacent vertebra using the posterior tangents or lines contiguous with the posterior margins of each vertebral body\(^13–15\)). The AP views were evaluated via the modified Risser-Ferguson method, best fit lines from the estimated centre of mass of each vertebra\(^16\)). These methods have very good reliability as does the assessment of standing posture\(^13–17\)).

Several positive postural subluxation parameters were identified\(^18\) including forward head translation as measured as the horizontal distance from the posterior superior C2 body corner to a line drawn from the posterior inferior C7 vertebral body corner (36.8 mm vs. 0 to 15 mm normal\(^19, 20\)), posterior thoracic sagittal balance of the thoracolumbar spine as measured as the horizontal distance from the posterior inferior body corner of T12 and a line drawn vertically from the posterior inferior body corner of S1 (~17.8 mm vs. 0 mm ideal\(^21\)), and a T1–T12 absolute rotation angle (ARA) showing exaggerated thoracic hyperkyphosis (69.3° vs. 44° normal\(^22\)). The lateral lumbar view showed an L1–L5 ARA hyperlordosis (~48.5° vs. −40 normal\(^23, 24\)) and a decreased sacral base angle (31.5 vs. 40 normal\(^24\)). The C2–C7 ARA cervical lordosis was within normal limits (~31.0° vs. ~31 to 42° normal\(^18, 19, 25\)). The AP images were unremarkable (i.e. vertical).

It is noted that an individual’s pelvic morphology can dictate a unique and customization from ‘ideal’ lumbo-thoracic curves\(^26\), however, since the pelvic incidence for this patient was within normal limits (58° vs. 43 to 62° normal\(^27\)), the usual normative parameters were considered adequate for comparison\(^22–24\). Further, due to the patient’s age and thoracic hyperkyphosis, we evaluated the thoracic spine closely. It was noted that the patient had characteristic vertebral body wedging (upper thoracic spine) and endplate irregularities (lower thoracic spine) consistent with SD\(^8, 9\). We were the first to diagnosed the patient with SD.

Subsequent to initial assessment, the patient consented to treatment aimed at the correction of his poor sagittal posture. The patient started treatment integrating CBP methodology\(^11, 28, 29\). This rehabilitation technique uses the mirror image\(^®\) concept in the application of exercises, spinal adjustments, and traction. Although limited, there is evidence supporting the use of these methods in the attempt to reduce thoracic hyperkyphosis\(^31\).

The patient performed thoracic extension traction (Fig. 1) in the supine position on a Denneroll traction table (Denneroll Spinal Orthotics, Wheeler Heights, NSW, Australia). The patient hung their head off the end

**Fig. 1.** Traction set-up. Patient lay supine with the head hanging off the end of the table with weight placed on a chin/forehead strap. A convex block was placed at the peak of the hump created by the hyperkyphosis. Traction was performed for 10 minutes.
of the table with a chin/forehead strap where weight was placed, initially none, and progressing to 8 pounds for a duration of 10 minutes. A convex block was placed at the apex of the patient’s thoracic kyphosis to force a stretch as a reversal of the deformity (mirror image) as per CBP protocol.

The patient also performed four different back extension exercises. The first was the ‘birddog,’ where the patient was on all fours and alternated lifting the opposite leg and arm. The second exercise was a simple back extension while laying prone. The last two exercises were in the standing position. The third exercise was horizontal arm extensions with a resistance band, and the forth exercise consisted of arm ‘W’s’, where the hands faced forward and slowly the arms were raised with the elbow bent to create a ‘W’ and this was carried through until the arms were extended overhead, where the patient would then slowly reverse this position—all while the shoulder blades were being pinched together. All exercises were done in a repeated fashion for 60 seconds each on the PowerPlate to intensify the exercises

The patient also underwent full spine, spinal manipulative therapy each session. The patient was very compliant with the treatment plan that included three times per week from December 17, 2019 to April 3, 2019. The patient consented to the publication of these results including any pictures and radiographs.

**RESULTS**

Upon re-assessment after 35 treatments over 14 weeks, the patient reported to have total relief from LBP and headaches. He did report having occasional mid back pain, approximately 3–4 times per week when he sat for too long. All lumbar ROM was normal with no pain or discomfort, cervical ROM was improved but limited in bilateral bending. The ODI score was 0% for LBP. Repeat radiographic assessment showed significant improvement in several postural parameters (Figs. 2–5): forward head translation reduced to 18.4 mm (vs. 36.8 mm), the thoracic hyperkyphosis reduced to 56.1° (vs. 69.3°), the posterior thoracolumbar sagittal balance reduced to −1.3 mm (vs. −17.8 mm), and the sacral base increased to 39.7° (vs. 31.5°).

![Fig. 2. Lateral cervical views. Left: Initial image showing pronounced forward head position; Right: Reduction of forward head position. Note: patient had ear piercings he refused to remove for X-ray. Red line is patient, green line is ideal alignment.](image1)

![Fig. 3. Lateral thoracic images. Left: Initial image showing exaggerated thoracic hyperkyphosis; Right: Reduction of thoracic kyphosis. Red line is patient, green line is ideal alignment.](image2)

**DISCUSSION**

This case documents the dramatic improvement in sagittal plane posture in a young male having SD. There was a complete resolution of LBP and headaches and this was accomplished in 14 weeks.

As mentioned, postural deformity subluxation is common, it is causal for pain related complaints, and is associated with a lowered quality of life. Although the incidence of SD is low, in one study only 2% of 10,057 screened adolescents, it leads to symptoms decades later and those with hyperkyphosis in older ages have serious health detriments from the deformity including increased mortality. It is also known that “the earlier the start of treatment, the better the outcome” thus, we agree with Jaeger et al. who point out that the early diagnosis of thoracic hyperkyphosis in a younger individual may represent an opportunity to provide correction before the condition has had more time to evolve, and therefore should
be treated at the earliest recognition.

Although bracing is a main non-surgical treatment for SD, since it may lead to permanent correction of the deformity (unlike bracing for scoliosis), other effective methods have evolved. The Schroth method has been shown to be effective in reducing the deformity in patients with SD and a 9° reduction has been shown to occur after doing daily exercises for 12 months. It is also noted that in the Bezalel et al. trial, the control group performed anti-gravity exercises daily for 12 months and had a 4° reduction in kyphosis which is consistent with other exercise trials in thoracic hyperkyphosis in older individuals.

In our case, the patient had a 13° reduction in kyphosis in 14 weeks after 35 treatment sessions. This is consistent with other case reports and case series on CBP technique in reducing thoracic kyphosis as reported in a recent review where it was shown that the average patient with hyperkyphosis experienced a 12° reduction after 32 treatments over 14 weeks. It appears that the reduction in thoracic kyphosis by CBP non-surgical methods can result in significant curve reductions that translate into reducing associated symptoms and improving the quality of life in those with thoracic hyperkyphosis including SD. More research into the effectiveness of CBP methods for SD specifically is warranted.

Our patient experienced whole-spine postural improvement, simultaneously occurring with the reduction in thoracic kyphosis. This is important as postural compensation will occur as spinal deformities evolve. Therefore, it is always important to perform full-spine radiographic assessment in patients presenting with spinal deformity (including SD) to properly diagnose biomechanical parameters, as with contemporary methods such as CBP, the treatment will be dictated by the radiographic parameters.

Limitations to this case are that this is just a single case, however, it is pointed out that the results achieved in reducing the thoracic kyphosis are consistent with other CBP cases and are substantially greater than exercise only trials. A further limitation is that there is no long-term follow-up. A clinical trial is warranted to assess this treatment approach for the correction of poorly hunched posture in the sagittal plane.
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