Comparison of Demographic Profile, Laboratory, Epidemiology and Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Native Valve and Prosthetic Valve Endocarditis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Infective endocarditis (IE) is a heterogeneous infection that affects the endothelial surface of the intracardiac structures and other implanted intracardiac devices. We aimed to compare demographical characteristics, causative microorganisms, treatment, and prognosis of prosthetic and native valve endocarditis diagnosed in two separate hospitals.

Material and methods: Between 2010 and 2020, patients admitted with the diagnosis of IE were retrospectively included in our multicenter study. Patients' demographic and epidemiological data, clinical characteristics, infected intracardiac structure and sort of valve, culprit microorganisms, laboratory findings, treatment manifestations and in-hospital outcomes with a period of 6 months were obtained from an electronic medical record system.

Results: A total of 173 consecutive patients had diagnosed IE, 60.1% (104 patients) of them native valve endocarditis (NVE) and 39.8 % (69 patients) of them prosthetic valve endocarditis (PVE). Baseline demographic properties were not different except hypertension and atrial fibrillation. Patients with prior hypertension were 25% (26 patients) in NVE; 39.1% (27 patients) in PVE and the difference was statistically significant. Septic shock was significantly higher in the PVE group than the NVE group (7.4% versus 1%; P = .036), and also recurrent endocarditis occurred more frequently in the PVE group than the NVE group (8.8% versus 1%; P = .016).

Conclusion: In our study, although we detected higher mean age, HT, RDW and atrial fibrillation rates compared with NVE, we did not detect a significant difference in mortality and morbidity.
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INTRODUCTION

Infective endocarditis (IE) is a heterogeneous infection that affects the endothelial surface of the intracardiac structures and other implanted intracardiac devices. Despite significant improvements in the diagnosis and treatment of IE, in-hospital mortality rates are approaching nearly 15% [Bor 2013].

The incidence in prospective studies of developed countries was determined 3–10/100000 per year and remained constant for years [Leone 2012]. The risk factors and predisposing conditions progressively have changed in recent years. More specifically, the causative infectious agents especially Staphylococcus aureus, which is acquired from medical interventions, are on an increasing trend [Selton-Suty 2012]. In industrialized countries, as a consequence of aging population and increasing comorbidities, the incidence of rheumatic vascular disease has decreased, while the incidence of prosthetic valve and intracardiac device endocarditis consistently is increasing [Şimşek-Yavuz 2019]. Furthermore, the increased number of immunocompromised patients and individuals using intravenous drugs has modified the epidemiological characteristics of patients over the years. With the advancement in microbiology laboratories, new microorganisms like Coxiella burnetti, Brucella, Bartonella, Tropheryma whipplei and fungi recently have been described as rare but fatal pathogens [Loupa 2004]. Advancement of echocardiography devices and description of modified Duke criteria have facilitated and enhanced the accuracy of diagnosis [Gomes 2017].

Prosthetic valve endocarditis (PVE) is more demanding to certainly diagnose, therefore prognosis of PVE is worse than native valve endocarditis (NVE). Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) in prosthetic valves, especially in mechanical valves is more complicated both in performing and interpreting because of the shields and artifacts of stiff substances [Zogbi 2010]. The difficulties of diagnosis bring higher mortality rates in PVE, due to delay of certain diagnosis and the prognosis is getting worse since the diagnosis continues to delay [Schulz 1996]. Because of the closer anatomical distance between the esophagus and cardiac structures (i.e., mitral and tricuspid valve), the widespread usage of transesophageal
echocardiography (TEE) improves the imaging of prosthetic valves refraining from undesired acoustic shadows and artifacts. The common application of TEE markedly improves the diagnosis of PVE. However, the studies comparatively establishing the clinical manifestations and outcomes of PVE and NVE are limited. We aimed to compare demographic characteristics, causative microorganisms, treatment, and prognosis of PVE and NVE with those diagnosed in two separate hospitals.

**METHODS**

Study population: Between January 2010 and January 2020, patients admitted with the diagnosis of IE retrospectively were included in our multicenter study. The modified Duke diagnostic criteria, which was mentioned in the latest guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology, was used to achieve a certain diagnosis and uncertain cases were excluded from the analysis of the study [Horstkotte 2004; Li 2000]. Patients’ demographic and epidemiological data, clinical characteristics, infected intracardiac structure and sort of valve, culprit microorganisms, laboratory findings, treatment manifestations, and in-hospital outcomes with a period of 6 months were obtained from an electronic medical record system. Mortality, cerebrovascular accident, septic shock, renal failure, and arrhythmia were considered outcomes. All the treatments were performed, in accordance with relevant guidelines of The European Society of Cardiology [Habib 2015].

Patients were separated into two groups, according to valve structure whether native or prosthetic valve (mechanical and bioprosthesis valve). The following variables were recorded for each patient: Patient demographic, comorbid condition, prior congestive heart failure, valvular heart disease, cardiac valve operation, prosthetic valve, pacemaker implantation, intravenous drug usage, microbiological results, echocardiographic findings, treatment manifestations, and adverse outcomes. Septic pulmonary infarcts, major arterial emboli, mycotic aneurysms, intracranial bleeding, conjunctival bleeding, and Janeway lesions were defined as vascular phenomena and immunological phenomena were glomerulonephritis, Osler’s nodes, Roth spots, or positive rheumatoid factor. Routine laboratory findings included blood glucose, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, C-reactive protein (CRP), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), rheumatoid factor, hemoglobin level, platelet and white blood cell (WBC) counts, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) at the time of diagnosis. Three samples of blood culture were obtained at admission to the hospital from different venipuncture sites with at least one-hour intervals. Each collected set included one aerobic and one anaerobic bottle. In case of any specific analyses like polymerase chain reaction, Wright agglutination test and other serological tests were performed, and the results of tests also were recorded. All patients were evaluated with TTE and/or TEE within 24 hours of admission. All the echocardiographies were performed by Vivid 5 (GE, Horten, Norway) or Epic 7 (Philips, Amsterdam, Netherlands) and diameters, valve functions and morphology, left ventricular

| Table 1. Demographic data |
|---------------------------|
|                          | All patients (N = 173) | Native valve (N = 104) | Prosthetic valve (N = 69) | P   |
|----------------------------|
| Age  | 57 (42.5-66) | 55 (42-64) | 61 (45-71) | .036 |
| Gender (female) | 58 (33.5) | 29 (27.9) | 29 (42.0) | .054 |
| Substance abuse | 5 (2.9) | 4 (3.8) | 1 (1.4) | .386 |
| DM  | 27 (15.6) | 16 (15.4) | 11 (15.9) | .921 |
| HT  | 53 (30.6) | 26 (25.0) | 27 (39.1) | .048 |
| CAD | 38 (22.0) | 21 (20.2) | 17 (24.6) | .614 |
| CHF | 28 (16.2) | 16 (15.4) | 12 (17.4) | .889 |
| AF  | 26 (15.0) | 9 (8.7) | 17 (24.6) | .008 |
| PM-ICD-CRT-D (P) | 13 (7.5) | 5 (4.8) | 8 (11.6) | .173 |
| CRF | 25 (14.5) | 17 (16.3) | 8 (11.6) | .516 |
| Dialysis | 3 (1.7) | 3 (2.9) | 0 (0) | .215 |
| COPD | 6 (3.5) | 4 (3.8) | 2 (2.9) | .547 |
| Malignancy | 5 (2.9) | 1 (1.0) | 4 (5.8) | .083 |
| Immunosuppressive disease | 3 (1.7) | 3 (2.9) | 0 (0) | .215 |
| Cyanotic congenital heart disease | 3 (1.7) | 1 (1.0) | 2 (2.9) | .344 |
| Noncyanotic congenital heart disease | 1 (0.6) | 1 (1.0) | 0 (0) | .601 |

DM, diabetes mellitus; HT, hypertension; CAD, coronary artery disease; CHF, chronic heart failure; AF, atrial fibrillation; PM, pacemaker; ICD, implantable cardiac defibrillator; CRT-D (P), cardiac resynchronization therapy defibrillator (pacemaker); CRF, chronic renal failure; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
Table 2. Signs and symptoms of infective endocarditis

| N (%) | All patients | Native valve | Prosthetic valve | P  |
|-------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|----|
| Angina| 53 (30.6)    | 32 (30.8)    | 21 (30.4)       | .963|
| Weakness| 86 (49.7)  | 48 (46.2)    | 38 (55.1)       | .251|
| Dyspnea| 100 (57.8)  | 56 (53.8)    | 44 (63.8)       | .196|
| Palpitations| 56 (32.4) | 29 (27.9)    | 27 (39.1)       | .122|
| Syncope| 18 (10.4)    | 9 (8.7)      | 9 (13.0)        | .502|
| Fever | 109 (63.0)   | 70 (67.3)    | 39 (56.5)       | .150|
| SVO-TIA| 21 (12.1)    | 14 (13.5)    | 7 (10.1)        | .677|
| Peripheral embolism| 3 (1.7)     | 0 (0)        | 3 (4.3)         | .062|
| Weight loss| 32 (18.5)  | 16 (15.4)    | 16 (23.2)       | .274|
| Immune phenomenon| 9 (5.2)     | 5 (4.8)      | 4 (5.8)         | .516|
| Vascular phenomenon| 22 (12.7)  | 13 (12.5)    | 9 (13.0)        | .916|
| ECG finding|          |              |                 |     |
| AV block| 4 (2.3)      | 2 (1.9)      | 2 (2.9)         | .524|
| AF    | 37 (21.4)    | 14 (13.5)    | 23 (33.3)       | .003|
| VT    | 1 (0.6)      | 0 (0)        | 1 (1.4)         | .399|
| PAAC graph finding|          |              |                 |     |
| Pleural effusion| 47 (27.2)   | 30 (28.8)    | 17 (24.6)       | .664|
| Bronchovascular increase| 33 (19.1)  | 18 (17.3)    | 15 (21.7)       | .597|
| Pneumonia| 18 (10.4)   | 10 (9.6)     | 8 (11.6)        | .870|
| Operated cover|          |              |                 |     |
| Mechanical cover| -         | -            | 51 (73.9)       |     |
| Bioprosthetics| -          | -            | 18 (26.1)       |     |
| Vegetation number|          |              |                 |     |
| 1     | 109 (69.9)   | 62 (67.4)    | 47 (73.4)       |     |
| 2     | 34 (21.8)    | 23 (25.0)    | 11 (17.2)       | .155|
| 3     | 8 (5.1)      | 6 (6.5)      | 2 (3.1)         |     |
| Vegetation size (mm)|          |              |                 |     |
| <10   | 42 (31.1)    | 23 (27.1)    | 19 (38.0)       | .446|
| 10-15 | 34 (25.2)    | 24 (28.2)    | 10 (20.0)       | .446|
| >15   | 58 (43.0)    | 37 (43.5)    | 21 (42.0)       | .477|
| Ischemic infarction| 11 (6.4)    | 6 (5.9)      | 5 (7.2)         | .678|
| Hemorrhagic infarction| 5 (2.9)     | 3 (2.9)      | 2 (2.9)         | .644|
| Septic embolism| 3 (1.8)      | 2 (2.0)      | 1 (1.4)         | .356|
| Subdural hematoma| 3 (1.8)      | 1 (1.0)      | 2 (2.9)         | .356|
| Predisposing factor|          |              |                 |     |
| Dental| 6 (3.5)      | 3 (2.9)      | 3 (4.3)         | .157|
| Genitourinary| 2 (1.2)     | 0 (0.0)      | 2 (2.9)         |     |
| Surgical indication|          |              |                 |     |
| CHF   | 59 (34.1)    | 36 (34.6)    | 23 (33.3)       | .217|
| Uncontrollable infection| 29 (16.8)  | 16 (15.4)    | 13 (18.8)       |     |
| Embolism| 32 (18.5)   | 24 (23.1)    | 8 (11.6)        |     |
| Surgery| 117 (67.6)   | 76 (73.1)    | 41 (59.4)       | .060|
functions, and intracardiac IE complications (i.e., vegetations, perivalvular abscess, leaflet perforation, paravalvular regurgitation and prosthetic valve dehiscence) were evaluated. Left ventricular ejection fraction was calculated by modified Simpson method and valvular stenosis diagnosed by continuous wave and pulse valve Doppler imaging, according to current guidelines [Lancellotti 2010]. The study was approved by the local ethics committee and conformed to ethical norms and Declaration of Helsinki for human research.

Statistical analysis: The data were presented as mean ± standard deviation and median (25th–75th percentiles) values for continuous variables, as percentage and number of cases for categorical variables. Normal distribution was tested with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Unpaired t test was used to test the difference between the two group continuous variants, which showed normal distribution. Two-group non-parametric comparisons were calculated by the Mann–Whitney U test when non-normally distributed. Pearson’s Chi-square, Fisher’s exact tests were performed to test the categorical variants. The significance level was considered $P < .05$. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical package for social science of SPSS software version 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

### RESULTS

A total of 173 consecutive patients had diagnosed IE, 60.1% (104 patients) of them NVE and 39.8% (69 patients) of them PVE. The mean age of NVE was 55 (42–64), and PVE was 61 (45–71). The mean age of patients suffering from PVE was significantly higher than NVE ($P = .036$).

### Table 2. Signs and symptoms of infective endocarditis [CONT.]

| N (%) | All patients | Native valve | Prosthetic valve | $P$ |
|-------|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----|
| How many days after surgery | 16 (9.75-30) | 16 (10-26) | 21 (9-38) | .236 |
| Pre-procedural antibiotic | 4 (2.3) | 1 (1.0) | 3 (4.3) | .175 |

CV, cerebrovascular event; TIA, transient ischemic attack; ECG, electrocardiogram; AV block, atrioventricular block; AF, atrial fibrillation; VT, ventricular fibrillation; PAAC, posteroanterior chest radiography; CHF, chronic heart failure

### Table 3. Initial laboratory findings of infective endocarditis patients

| All patients | Native valve | Prosthetic valve | $P$ |
|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----|
| Hb | 10.86 ± 1.80 | 10.95 ± 1.88 | 10.38 ± 2.19 | .069 |
| Htc | 31.4 ± 4.6 | 33.24 ± 5.37 | 31.60 ± 6.28 | .069 |
| WBC | 10.8 (8.0-14.2) | 10.42 (7.93-14.0) | 11.14 (8.10-16.0) | .343 |
| Neutrophil | 8.1 (5.5-11.7) | 8.06 (5.33-10.97) | 8.53 (5.6-12.63) | .189 |
| Lymphocyte | 1.57 (1.09-2.06) | 1.63 (1.16-2.20) | 1.47 (1.0-1.9) | .191 |
| Monocyte | 0.77 (0.57-0.95) | 0.7 (1.16-2.2) | 1.47 (1.0-1.9) | .001 |
| Platelet | 255 ± 78.6 | 262.4 ± 104.8 | 244.3 ± 107.8 | .271 |
| MPV | 9.5 (7.9-10.6) | 9.4 (7.9-10.6) | 9.7 (8.3-10.9) | .285 |
| CRP | 74.1 (31.5-127.0) | 72.73 (26.75-114.0) | 81.1 (35.0-155.0) | .102 |
| RDW | 15.3 (13.6-17.1) | 14.9 (13.5-16.1) | 16.0 (14.1-18.3) | .011 |
| Urea | 19 (13-29.5) | 20 (13-30) | 17 (13-29) | .784 |
| Creatinine | 0.95 (0.70-1.20) | 0.95 (0.75-1.18) | 1.0 (0.70-1.3) | .991 |
| Na | 135.6 ± 5.0 | 136.1 ± 4.8 | 135.2 ± 5.0 | .250 |
| K | 4.2 (3.8-4.6) | 4.4 (3.9-4.7) | 4.2 (3.7-4.6) | .155 |
| Ca | 8.4 ± 0.57 | 8.55 ± 0.74 | 8.57 ± 0.67 | .845 |
| ALT | 18 (12-28.5) | 19 (13-26.5) | 16 (10-32) | .162 |
| AST | 22 (16-35) | 20 (16-33) | 24 (14-37) | .582 |

Hb, hemoglobin; Htc, hematocrit; WBC, white blood cell; MPV, mean platelet volume; CRP, C-reactive protein; RDW, red cell distribution width; ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase
Table 4. Transesophageal echocardiography findings of the patients

|                  | All patients | Native valve | Prosthetic valve | P   |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----|
| Aortic veg.      | 70 (43.5)    | 47 (49.5)    | 23 (34.8)        | .093|
| Mitral veg.      | 95 (59.0)    | 54 (56.8)    | 41 (62.1)        | .503|
| Tricuspid veg.   | 5 (3.1)      | 3 (3.2)      | 2 (3.0)          | .667|
| Pulmonary veg.   | 1 (0.6)      | 0 (0)        | 1 (1.5)          | .410|
| Intracardiac device veg. | 8 (5.0) | 6 (6.3) | 2 (3.0) | .289|
| Abscess          | 22 (13.6)    | 11 (11.6)    | 11 (16.4)        | .514|
| Fistula          | 7 (4.3)      | 3 (3.2)      | 4 (6.0)          | .313|
| Perforation      | 25 (15.4)    | 21 (22.1)    | 4 (6.0)          | .004|
| Pseudoaneurysm   | 7 (4.3)      | 2 (2.1)      | 5 (7.5)          | .105|
| Paravalvular leak| 15 (9.3)     | 0 (0)        | 15 (22.4)        | <.001|
| Prosthetic valve dehiscens | 11 (6.8) | 0 (0) | 11 (16.4) | <.001|

Table 5. Culture and causative microorganisms

|                          | All patients | Native valve | Prosthesis valve | P   |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----|
| Positive blood culture, n (%) | 90 (52.0)    | 51 (49.0)    | 39 (56.5)        | .335|
| Factor, n (%)            |              |              |                  |     |
| Enterekok                | 13 (7.5)     | 4 (3.8)a     | 9 (13.0) b       |     |
| Streptococcus            | 11 (6.4)     | 11 (10.6)a   | 0 (0)c           |     |
| KNS                      | 36 (20.8)    | 19 (18.3)a   | 17 (24.6)a       |     |
| MRKNS                    | 24 (13.9)    | 12 (11.5)    | 12 (17.4)        |     |
| MSKNS                    | 12 (6.9)     | 7 (6.7)      | 5 (7.2)          |     |
| Staphylococcus           | 18 (10.4)    | 12 (11.5)a   | 6 (8.7)a         |     |
| MRSA                     | 7 (4.0)      | 4 (3.8)      | 3 (4.3)          |     |
| MSSA                     | 11 (6.4)     | 8 (7.7)      | 3 (4.3)          |     |
| Other                    | 14 (8.1)     | 6 (5.8)a     | 8 (11.6)a        |     |
| Brucella                 | 1 (0.6)      | 1 (1.0)      | 0 (0)            |     |
| Candida                  | 3 (1.7)      | 2 (1.9)      | 1 (1.4)          | .007|
| Corynebacterium          | 2 (1.2)      | 1 (1.0)      | 1 (1.4)          |     |
| Escherichia coli         | 1 (0.6)      | 1 (1.0)      | 0 (0)            |     |
| Gram positive bacteria   | 2 (1.2)      | 1 (1.0)      | 1 (1.4)          |     |
| Pseudomonas              | 1 (0.6)      | 0 (0)        | 0 (0)            |     |
| Serratia Marcensens      | 1 (0.6)      | 0 (0)        | 1 (1.4)          |     |
| Stenotrophomonas         | 2 (1.2)      | 0 (0)        | 2 (2.9)          |     |
| Abiotraophia Deflectiva  | 1 (0.6)      | 0 (0)        | 1 (1.4)          |     |

CNS, Coagulase-negative staphylococcus; MSCNS, Methicillin-sensitive coagulase-negative staphylococcus; MRCNS: Methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococcus; MRSA, Methicillin-sensitive staphylococcus aureus; MSSA, Methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus
Male patients accounted for 72.1% (75 patients) in the NVE group and 58% (40 patients) in the PVE group. There was no statistically significant gender difference between the groups. The mean duration of hospital stay was shorter in the NVE group than the PVE group (31 days (18–44) versus 40 days (24–50); *P* = .053, respectively). The median duration of intensive care unit stay time was similar in the two groups (4 days (2–9) versus 4 days (2–10); *P* = .821, respectively). Table 1 shows the differences between NVE and PVE, regarding demographic, clinic and echocardiographic findings (Table 1). Baseline demographic properties were not different, with the exception of hypertension (HT) and atrial fibrillation (AF). Patients with prior HT were 25% (26 patients) in NVE; 39.1% (27 patients) in PVE, and the difference was statistically significant. AF rates were found significantly higher in PVE cases than NVE cases (8.7% versus 24.6%; *P* = 0.008, respectively). In the NVE and PVE groups, the number of implantable intracardiac device rates were 4.8% (five patients) and 11.6% (eight patients), respectively. The NVE group contained three congenital heart disease patients (one atrial septal defect, one ventricular septal defect, and one subaortic membrane). Five congenital heart disease patients were in the PVE group, including one patent ductus arteriosus, one ventricular septal defect, one patent foramen ovale, one transposition of the great arteries with pulmonary stenosis, and one Tetralogy of Fallot. Fifty-one patients (73.9%) had mechanical valve and 18 patients (26.1%) had bioprosthesis valve in the PVE group.

The symptoms were non-specific and demonstrated in Table 2. The most frequent symptom of the NVE group at admission was fewer (67.3%) and in the PVE group was dyspnea (63.8%). Congestive heart failure symptoms at admission were 53.8% and 63.8%, respectively, in the NVE and PVE groups (*P* = .196). The symptoms at admission were not significant. Immunological phenomena were found in 4.8% (five patients) and 5.8% (four patients) in the NVE and PVE groups, respectively, and not significant (*P* = .516). Vascular phenomena were found 12.5% (13 patients) and 13% (nine patients) in the NVE and PVE groups and not statistically significant (*P* = .916). In the NVE and PVE groups, laboratory parameters were listed in Table 3 and not statistically significant.

### Table 6. Comparison of patients according to medical and surgical treatment

|                  | Medically treated (N = 56) | Surgically treated (N = 117) | *P*  |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| Age              | 58 ± 16                   | 52 ± 15                     | .016 |
| Gender (women), n (%) | 24 (57.1)                 | 34 (29.1)                   | .072 |
| DM, n (%)        | 16 (28.6)                 | 11 (9.4)                    | .001 |
| HT, n (%)        | 26 (46.4)                 | 27 (23.1)                   | .002 |
| CAD, n (%)       | 17 (30.4)                 | 21 (17.9)                   | .065 |
| CHF, n (%)       | 14 (25.0)                 | 14 (12.0)                   | .029 |
| AF, n (%)        | 11 (19.6)                 | 15 (12.8)                   | .240 |

### Table 7. Comparison of native and prosthetic valve endocarditis undergoing surgical treatment

|                  | Surgical group (N = 117) | Native valve (N = 76) | Prosthetic valve (N = 41) | *P*  |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Age              | 51±14                    | 55±15                 | .181                      |
| Gender (women), n (%) | 18 (23.7)                | 16 (39.0)             | .081                      |
| DM, n (%)        | 8 (10.5)                 | 3 (7.3)               | .418                      |
| HT, n (%)        | 17 (22.4)                | 10 (24.4)             | .804                      |
| CAD, n (%)       | 13 (17.1)                | 8 (19.5)              | .746                      |
| CHF, n (%)       | 9 (11.8)                 | 5 (12.2)              | .587                      |
| AF, n (%)        | 7 (9.2)                  | 8 (19.5)              | .112                      |

DM, diabetes mellitus; HT, hypertension; CHF, chronic heart failure; CAD, coronary artery disease; AF, atrial fibrillation; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; TIA, trans ischemic attack; GIS, gastrointestinal system
significant between the two groups, except monocyte and red cell distribution width (RDW) (Table 3). In the NVE and PVE groups, the mean monocyte count was 0.7 (1.16-2.2) and 1.47 (1.0-1.9), respectively, and there was a statistically significant difference ($P = .001$). Also, RDW was significantly higher in the PVE group with a mean value of 14.9 (13.5-16.1) compared with the NVE group with a mean value of 16.0 (14.1-18.3) ($P = .011$).

In our study, while the rate of mitral regurgitation was significantly higher in NVE ($P < .001$), among echocardiography findings, no difference was found between the two groups in other findings. In terms of TEE findings, the valve perforation was significantly higher in NVE ($P = .004$) (Table 4). Coagulase negative staphylococcus (CNS) was the most common pathogen in both groups (20.8%). Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) was significantly higher in NVE (11.5) compared with PVE (8.7) ($P = .007$) (Table 5).

Management and clinical outcomes: All patients received treatment regimes, including antibiotics according to IE guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology [Habib 2015]. Seventy-six patients (73.1%) with NVE and 41 patients (59.4%) with PVE underwent cardiac surgery ($P = .06$). The median delay time to surgery was 16 days (10-26) and 21 days (9–38) in the NVE and PVE groups respectively, also not statistically significant ($P = .236$). In the NVE and PVE groups, patients underwent surgery as a consequence of severe CHF (34.6% and 33.3%), persistent infection despite adequate antibiotic therapy (15.4% and 18.8%), and recurrent systemic embolization (23.1% and 11.6%), respectively. In addition, endocarditis patients were examined, according to medical and surgical treatment by performing additional analyses in our study (Table 6). Patients with natural and prosthetic valve endocarditis who underwent surgical treatment were compared (Table 7).

During their hospital stay, 21.2% (22 patients) of the NVE patients and 22.1% (15 patients) of the PVE patients died ($P = .888$). CHF (NYHA class III and IV) developed in 5.8% (six patients) of the NVE group and 10.3% (seven patients) with PVE ($P = .422$). In the PVE and NVE groups, peripheral embolization rates were similar (2.9% versus 2.9%; $P = .658$). Septic shock was significantly higher in the PVE group than the NVE group (7.4% versus 1%; $P = .036$) and recurrent endocarditis occurred more frequently in the PVE group than the NVE group (8.8% versus 1%; $P = .016$). Cerebrovascular accidents in PVE in-hospital mortality rate were similar in surgically and non-surgically treated patients (21% versus 17%). In NVE, patients had a lower rate of cerebrovascular accidents than patients with PVE, but it was not statistically significant (8.6% versus 4.3%; $P = .155$) (Table 8).

### DISCUSSION

This study was a retrospective cohort that included patients from a tertiary care center in Turkey. Despite the advancement in diagnosis and treatment, still 15-30% hospital mortality rates were reported in recent studies [Nunes 2010]. According to studies, PVE accounts for 20% of overall IE cases and is associated with worse outcomes [Cahill 2016]. The challenge of PVE initiates from diagnosis as the acoustic shadowing and reverberations significantly affect imaging and can cause delays. In our study, we can attribute the high rate of

---

**Table 8. Clinical complications in patients with infective endocarditis**

| N (%) | All patients | Native valve | Prosthetic valve | $P$ |
|-------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----|
| Heart failure | 13 (7.6) | 6 (5.8) | 7 (10.3) | .422 |
| Renal insufficiency | 20 (11.6) | 11 (10.6) | 9 (13.2) | .773 |
| SVO-TIA | 7 (4.1) | 6 (5.8) | 1 (1.5) | .159 |
| Infracerebral bleeding | 5 (2.9) | 3 (2.9) | 2 (2.9) | .658 |
| Peripheral embolism | 5 (2.9) | 3 (2.9) | 2 (2.9) | .658 |
| Osteomyelitis | 1 (0.6) | 0 (0) | 1 (1.5) | .395 |
| Rhythm conduction disorder | 13 (7.6) | 9 (8.7) | 4 (5.9) | .706 |
| Septic shock | 6 (3.5) | 1 (1.0) | 5 (7.4) | .036 |
| Pericarditis-myocarditis | 2 (1.2) | 1 (1.0) | 1 (1.5) | .636 |
| GIS bleeding | 2 (1.2) | 0 (0) | 2 (2.9) | .155 |
| Re-endocarditis | 7 (4.1) | 1 (1.0) | 6 (8.8) | .016 |
| In-hospital death | 37 (21.5) | 22 (21.2) | 15 (22.1) | .888 |
| Total length of hospital stay | 3 (20-45.5) | 31 (18-44) | 40 (24-50) | .053 |
| ICU stay | 4 (2-9.5) | 4 (2-9) | 4 (2-10) | .821 |
| Service stay | 25 (12-41) | 24 (12-39) | 25 (15-44) | .176 |

GIS, gastrointestinal system; SVO, cerebrovascular accident; TIA, transient ischemic attack; ICU, intensive care unit.
PVE (39.8%) compared with similar studies to the improvements in image quality of TEE devices, which recently have been developed with the advancement of technology. In our study, the similarity between PVE and NVE in-hospital mortality rate can be associated with the advances in diagnosis.

Due to the high prevalence of rheumatic valve disease in our country, in our cohort, patients with NVE tend to be younger than patients with PVE [Tuğcu 2009]. According to studies from developed countries, the mean age gradually was higher than our cohort, which arises from the increased rate of implanted cardiac devices and high rate of degenerative valves [Nunes 2010; Durante-Mangoni 2008]. Although the average age of patients with IE has increased over the years, IE still is affecting the younger population in our country. With the increase in the average life expectancy and interventions for older patients, such as cardiac devices and transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI), it is certain that the mean age of patients with IE tends to increase in the near future. In addition, in our cohort, the rate of HT and AF was higher in patients with PVE. We consider this a result of the higher mean age of PVE patients.

The most common microorganisms causing IE both in our country and in the world are S. aureus, streptococcus, CNS and enterococcus, respectively [Baddour 2015]. In addition, Brucella ranks 5th among the most common pathogens in our country [Şimşek-Yavuz 2019]. It was shown by Murdoch et al that pathogens in IE etiology show regional differences. While the most common pathogen in Europe and North America was S. aureus, the most common pathogen in South America was streptococcus. Briefly, CNS is the main pathogen in our cohort both in NVE and PVE patients. Among the NVE patients, S. aureus is more frequent than PVE patients [Murdoch 2009].

Despite the advances in diagnosis, treatment and surgery in the last century, the mortality rate has not decreased. In early reports, in-hospital mortality of patients with PVE mentioned about 17%, whereas in the NVE group 21% patients died in hospital [Schulz 1996]. Leone et al reported 12.3% and 23.8% in-hospital mortality in patients with NVE and PVE, respectively [Leone 2012]. In a recent study by Marques et al, they found the mortality rate to be 31.3%. It is noteworthy the increase in mortality rate over time. In our study, the in-hospital mortality rate was 21.5% [Erbay 2010]. Many prognostic factors have been identified to predict mortality in hospital. So far, S. aureus endocarditis, cerebral hemorrhage and embolism, renal failure, septic shock, involvement of multiple valves, previous IE, and bigger vegetation size were independent predictors for in-hospital mortality reported in previous studies [Hashun 2003]. A few studies showed that associated heart failure, periannular complications, and staphylococcus aureus infection is related to poor prognosis [Granowitz 2003; Marques 2020; San Román 2007]. A study showed that the combination of all these three criteria causes the need for surgery and mortality up to 79% [San Román 2007]. These criteria mentioned above as risk factors for mortality also are considered a surgery indication and significantly affect postoperative outcomes. However, the mortality predictors, such as vegetation size and number, cerebrovascular accidents were more often in the PVE group. In our cohort, this non-statistically significant difference did not affect in-hospital mortality rates between patients with NVE and PVE.

Septic shock is a serious complication with poor prognosis and high mortality in patients with IE [Habib 2015; San Román 2007; Werdan 2014]. Another important finding of our study was that the rate of septic shock in patients with PVE was significantly higher than in patients with NVE. However, this complication did not affect the hospital and ICU stay or in-hospital mortality among the groups. In the study by Alonso-Valle et al, in which they evaluated PVE patients, they determined the most common cause of in-hospital mortality as septic shock. In the study of Olmos et al., it was shown that the presence of diabetes, acute renal failure, S. aureus infection, supraventricular tachycardia, vegetation size (15 mm) and persistent signs of infection in patients with IE were associated with the development of SS [Olmos 2013]. However, this study did not distinguish prosthetic and native valves. In our study, these findings were at similar rates in both prosthetic and natural valves. Also, despite the previous studies, although the S. aureus endocarditis is less common in prosthetic valves, septic shock rate was found higher in prosthetic valves in our study. We believe that the relationship between septic shock and PVE should be examined in more detailed studies.

Knowing the prognosis of patients forces clinicians to consider possible complications that a patient may encounter and makes us think of and be prepared for the future. It is difficult to talk about a definite prognosis for IE, which has difficulties in diagnosis and treatment. From laboratory findings, thrombocytopenia is common in IE patients, and it has been shown that the mortality of patients with thrombocytopenia is higher than those without it [Ferrera 2015]. In addition, the effects of RDW, another laboratory finding, on prognosis in IE patients, were previously reported in a single-center study showing that higher RDW is associated with higher mortality rates and provides valuable prognostic information about prognosis [Guray 2014]. RDW is a novel biomarker that recent studies showed the value of predicting adverse outcomes in a wide spectrum of diseases, including sepsis and cardiovascular diseases like heart failure, pulmonary embolism, and acute coronary syndromes [Jo 2013; Aung 2013; Zorlu 2012; Wang 2011]. Another single center study reported baseline RDW and increased rates of RDW was significantly associated with mortality in TAVI patients [Aung 2013]. In our study, RDW was significantly higher in patients with PVE. The severe hemolysis induced by prosthetic valves may increase RDW levels in the nature prognosis of prosthetic valves or serious complications, such as structural deformation, paravalvular leak and excess turbulent flow as a consequence of IE may enhance RDW levels. However, RDW levels were significantly higher in patients with PVE, as analysis did not represent any anticipated mortality difference between the groups.

**CONCLUSION**

Although the incidence of PVE is lower than NVE, this rate is increasing day by day with the increase in valve...
surgery and use of intracardiac devices. Therefore, making the distinction between PVE and NVE is valuable for clinicians, in terms of prognosis and morality. Although we found higher mean age, HT, AF and RDW rates compared with NVE in our study, we did not find a significant difference in mortality and morbidity. Clinicians should be prepared for septic shock, which is another result of our study, which is a fatal complication with a higher rate in patients with PVE.
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