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Introduction

Developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) is one of the most common pediatric orthopedic conditions seen in orthopedic clinics [1]. Treatment varies from conservative methods such as Pavlik harness or abduction splints to advanced surgeries with open reduction and acetabular/femoral osteotomies [1-4]. The treatment aims to achieve a stable, concentric reduction to facilitate normal hip and acetabular growth. For those infants who fail initial conservative management or those who present late, treatment often starts with closed reduction (CR) and hip spica. Although this procedure is generally safe and successful, the risk for iatrogenic avascular necrosis (AVN) of the femoral head is a significant concern [4-6]. Other problems include redislocation in spica and the need for secondary surgery to address residual acetabular dysplasia [5,6]. Due to the mixed results of this procedure and the long-term negative impact of femoral head AVN, the role of CR and hip spica in the management of DDH is increasingly being questioned [7-10]. The purpose of this retrospective study is to determine the success rate when immobilization was done in 100° of hip flexion and 45° of abduction with a strict commitment to these limits.

Methods

After institutional ethical committee approval was obtained, data of all patients who underwent CR and hip spica in Queen Rania Hospital for Children / Royal Medical Services for children were reviewed retrospectively. All hips were frankly dislocated by radiograph (International Hip Dysplasia Institute (IHDI) grade 3 or 4) at the time of CR and hip spica. Bilateral hips were counted separately. Failure of CR (unsuccessful procedure) was defined as either dislocation in immediate postoperative CT scan or at most recent radiographic follow-up. Residual dysplasia was defined as Acetabular Index (AI) > 25°. Descriptive analysis with the mean and standard deviation was applied to continuous variables, while for categorical variables, frequencies and percentages were considered. The bivariate (χ2-test) of independence was used to assess the correlations between the patients’ categorically...
measured variables with their risk of high residual dysplasia for both hips, each hip separately. The unpaired samples t-test was applied to assess the statistical significance of mean differences on continuous variables across the levels of the binary variables, i.e., the risk of residual dysplasia. The multivariate logistic binary regression analysis was used to assess the predictors’ statistical significance for the hip displacement patients’ odds of having had a high right and left residual hip dysplasia despite the completed hip reduction. The association between predictors with the patient’s residual dysplasia risk was expressed as an Odds Ratio (OR) with a 95% Confidence Interval. The SPSS IBM V21 commercially available statistical data analysis program was used, and the alpha significance level was considered at 0.050 level.

### Surgical Technique

All procedures were performed under general anesthesia. Examination under anesthesia was done routinely to evaluate the reducibility and stability of the dislocated hip. Percutaneous adductor tenotomy was performed for clinically unstable hips with soft-tissue contracture and limited abduction. While one surgeon gently reduced the hip and reduced the safe position (45° abduction, 100° flexion,) the other surgeon applied a double hip spica. All patients underwent postoperative selected cuts pelvic CT scan to confirm the reduction. Once reduction is established, the patient was given a clinic appointment in 6 weeks to re-evaluate reduction and spica. Then we continued the treatment for another six weeks in the same spica to complete 12 weeks of hip spica treatment. After removing the hip spica, we routinely prescribed an abduction splint for another 12 weeks to maintain the reduction and conclude the treatment.

### Results

A total of 202 patients (292 hips) who underwent CR and hip spica for DDH were reviewed retrospectively. The sociodemographic characteristics and outcomes were collected and analyzed (Table 1). The median age at initial CR was 7.63 months (range, 5 to 10 months). Of these, 24 patients (11.9%) could not be successfully reduced on the initial attempt of CR. Table 2 describes the analysis findings based on the treatment and the resulting outcomes from the various treatments/interventions. Our data showed that 36.6% of patients presented with left unilateral DDH, 18.8% of the children had right unilateral DDH, and 44.6% of the children had bilateral DDH. In addition, 72.8% of the patients had applied Pavlik harness at some stage, and 63.9% had been treated with adductor tenotomy. The chi-squared test of independence showed that 98 (66.7%) of the patients treated with Pavlik harness (n=129) required a tenotomy. However, there was no statistically significant association between the use of the Pavlik harness and tenotomy ($\chi^2 (1) = 1.84$, $p=0.174$).

#### Table 1: Descriptive analysis of the patients sociodemographic. N=2.

|                          | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Sex                      |           |            |
| Female                   | 173       | 85.6       |
| Male                     | 29        | 14.4       |
| Age (months) at surgical time |         | 7.63 (1.70) |
| Age group                |           |            |
| <=7 months               | 93        | 46         |
| >7 Months                | 109       | 54         |

#### Table 2: The descriptive analysis of the patients displaced hip, received treatments and outcomes from treatment. N=202.

|                          | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Affected hip side        |           |            |
| Left                     | 74        | 36.6       |
| Right                    | 38        | 18.8       |
| Bilateral                | 90        | 44.6       |
| Received Pelvic Harness therapy |       |            |
| No                       | 55        | 27.2       |
| Yes                      | 147       | 72.8       |
| Required Adductor Tenotomy |         |            |
| No                       | 73        | 36.1       |
| Yes                      | 129       | 63.9       |
| Initial Success of CR documented by CT scan |       |            |
| No                       | 24        | 11.9       |
| Yes                      | 178       | 88.1       |
| Final Success of CR documented by X-ray |       |            |
| No                       | 7         | 3.9        |
| Yes                      | 171       | 96.1       |
| Final Right acetabular Index (degrees), Mean (SD) |       |            |
|                          |           | 27.03 (5.22) |
| Right Hip acetabular angle |   |            |
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The initial success of CR documented by postoperative CT scan continued to have satisfactory reduction as documented by the last follow-up radiograph. Eight patients (4.5%) failed to maintain a stable and concentric reduction at the final follow-up radiograph. The AI was measured on AP pelvic radiographs at the final follow-up radiograph. Residual dysplasia was defined as AI > 25° as this value is predicted for later acetabuloplasty [11,12]. The results showed that the mean acetabular index measurements for the right hip were 27.03 degrees (SD= 5.22). For the left hip (27.64 degrees, SD= 3.79), it was found that 5.3% of patients had residual dysplasia on the right side, and 76.2% patients had residual dysplasia on the left side.

We studied the association between patient sociodemographic data, treatments received, and the final acetabular index measurements. The bivariant and multivariant analyses were used, and the finding for each hip was analyzed separately. The results are shown in Table 3A & 3B, Table 4A & 4B. The analysis results showed that the patient’s sex, age, age group, and use of Pavlik harness therapy did not converge significantly on their likelihood of having acetabular index value above 25 degrees. However, another chi-squared test of independence suggested that the patients who operated after seven months of age were slightly less predictable for higher left hip acetabular index than patients managed at a younger age (p=0.083). The final success of any treatment did not correlate significantly with their measured acetabular index levels (p>0.050) for both hips.

| Table 3A: Bivariate Analysis of the patient’s high right hip acetabular index post-surgery. N=115 |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Right Hip Acetabular Index                    | <=25 degrees    | >25 degrees     | Test Statistic  | p-value         |
| Sex                                           | n=49            | n=66            |
| Female                                        | 43 (87.8)       | 56 (84.8)       | χ2 (1)=0.20     | 0.656           |
| Male                                          | 6 (12.2)        | 10 (15.2)       |                 |                 |
| Age (months) at surgical time                 | t(106)=0.60     | 0.552           |
| Age group                                     |                 |                 |
| <=7 months                                    | 21 (42.9)       | 33 (50)         | χ2 (1)=0.58     | 0.448           |
| >7 Months                                     | 28 (57.1)       | 33 (50)         |                 |                 |
| Affected hip side                             |                 |                 |
| Unilateral-Right                              | 9 (18.4)        | 27 (40.9)       | χ2 (1)=6.65     | 0.010           |
| Bilateral                                     | 40 (81.6)       | 39 (59.1)       |                 |                 |
| Received Pelvic Harness therapy               |                 |                 |
| No                                            | 14 (28.6)       | 19 (28.8)       | χ2 (1)=0.001    | 0.98            |
| Yes                                           | 35 (71.4)       | 47 (71.2)       |                 |                 |
| Required Tenotomy surgery                     |                 |                 |
| No                                            | 11 (22.4)       | 27 (40.9)       | χ2 (1)=4.33     | 0.037           |
| Yes                                           | 38 (77.6)       | 39 (59.1)       |                 |                 |
| Final Success of CR documented by X-ray       |                 |                 |
| No                                            | 5 (10.2)        | 1 (1.5)         | χ2 (1)=2.65     | 0.104           |
| Yes                                           | 44 (89.8)       | 64 (98.5)       |                 |                 |
| Final Left acetabular Index (degrees), Mean (SD) Left Hip acetabular angle |                 |                 |
| <=25 degrees                                  | 16 (40)         | 8 (20.5)        | χ2 (1)=3.55     | 0.06            |
| >25 Degrees                                   | 24 (60)         | 31 (79.5)       |                 |                 |
| Follow up duration (months) , mean            |                 |                 |
| (SD)                                          | 21.88 (15.75)   | (14.25)         | t(97.5)=2.40    | 0.020           |
Table 3B: Bivariate Analysis of the patient’s high left hip acetabular residual angles post-surgery. N=143

| Left Hip Angle Residual | <=25 degrees, n=34 | >25 degrees, n=109 | Test statistic | p-value |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Sex                     |                     |                   |                |         |
| Female                  | 32 (94.1)           | 91 (83.5)         | χ² (1)=2.44    | 0.119   |
| Male                    | 2 (5.9)             | 18 (16.5)         |                |         |
| Age (months) at surgical time | 7.79 (1.50)      | 7.50 (1.7)        | t(141)=1       | 0.329   |
| Age group               |                     |                   |                |         |
| <=7 months              | 12 (35.3)           | 57 (52.3)         | χ² (1)=2.99    | 0.083   |
| >7 Months               | 22 (64.7)           | 52 (47.7)         |                |         |
| Affected hip side       |                     |                   |                |         |
| Unilateral-Left Hip Only| 10 (29.4)           | 54 (49.5)         | χ² (1)=4.25    | 0.039   |
| Bilateral               | 24 (70.6)           | 55 (50.5)         |                |         |
| Received Pelvic Harness therapy |             |                   |                |         |
| No                      | 9 (26.5)            | 30 (27.5)         | χ² (1)=0.014   | 0.904   |
| Yes                     | 25 (73.5)           | 79 (72.5)         |                |         |
| Required Tenotomy surgery |                 |                   |                |         |
| No                      | 11 (32.4)           | 42 (38.5)         | χ² (1)=0.42    | 0.515   |
| Yes                     | 23 (67.6)           | 67 (61.5)         |                |         |
| Final Success of CR documented by X-ray | | | | |
| No                      | 2 (5.9)             | 3 (2.8)           | χ² (1)=0.104   | 0.747   |
| Yes                     | 32 (94.1)           | 105 (92.2)        |                |         |
| Follow up duration (months), mean (SD) | 29.56 (13.30) | 23.30 (15.50) | t(63.3)=2.32 | 0.024   |

Table 4A: Multivariate Binary Logistic Regression Analysis of the Patients odds of having final High Right Hip Acetabular Residual. N=115

| Multivariate adjusted Odds Ratio | 95% C.I.for Odds Ratio | p-value |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Sex=Male                        | 1.383                  | .415    | 4.608   | .598   |
| Age at surgery time >7          | .494                   | .205    | 1.194   | .117   |
| Follow up months                | 1.037                  | 1.007   | 1.067   | .015   |
| Underwent Salter surgery        | .997                   | .439    | 2.263   | .994   |
| Has Unilateral affected right hip | 2.955                 | 1.172   | 7.448   | .022   |
| Constant                        | .957                   |         | .935    |        |

Dependent variable= Right acetabular index >25 (No/Yes).
We found that patients with unilateral right DDH were substantially more predictable for high acetabular index (>25 degrees) than those who had bilateral DDH (p=0.010) according to the chi-squared test of independence. Moreover, the patients who had tenotomy were significantly less predictable for a high acetabular index (p=0.037). The unpaired samples t-test showed that the children who had high acetabular index (i.e., those with acetabular index >25 degrees) required significantly longer follow-up months (M=28.62) on average than those who had measured low acetabular index (M=21.88) (p=0.020). Our findings suggested that patients with unilateral left DDH were significantly less predictable for high acetabular index angle than the children who had bilateral DDH (p=0.039). Interestingly, the follow-up period for patients with high left side acetabular index was significantly lower (mean follow-up time =23.30 months) than patients with standard left acetabular index (mean follow-up time =29.56 months) on average (p=0.024).

Discussion

DDH treatment can be conservative or surgical. If conservative treatment fails or the patient presented late, we perform close reduction under anesthesia with or without an adductor tenotomy. This procedure is followed by post reduction immobilization of the affected hip in a spica cast for twelve weeks [1,3,4,6]. Although largely successful CR may lead to several complications, including AVN of the femoral head and loss of reduction. Even though osteonecrosis is one of the most concerning complications following CR [3,4,12-14], we have not included the evaluation incidence of AVN in this study as this needs prolonged follow-up. Some authors have suggested 10-12 years of follow-up to ascertain the true incidence of AVN, and others argued that follow-up beyond two years will not identify any additional cases of AVN [4,12,15,16].

Achieving and maintaining a concentric reduction of the hip is the ultimate treatment goal and is the primary stimulus of future acetabular growth and development [1,3]. Rates of failed initial reduction across the literature vary between 2% and 17%, and the rates of loss of reduction vary from 0% to 18% [17-20] (Table 5). In this study, 88.1% of hips were successfully reduced on the initial attempt, with 4.5% failed CR at the latest follow-up. Our results fall within the lower half of the previously reported ranges, suggesting reasonable success rates may be achieved. MacEwen and Zionts [13] reported the outcomes in 51 dislocated hips in 42 children between 1 and 3 years of age at the time of reduction. Thirty-eight hips (75%) were treated by traction prior to reduction, gentle CR, and hip spica with or without adductor tenotomy. Thirteen hips (25%) required an open reduction via anterior approach when stable CR could not be achieved.

Residual dysplasia following CR is another concern [5,16,21-22]. Since young patients with acetabular dysplasia are usually asymptomatic, the decision to undertake surgical correction through acetabuloplasty during childhood depends more on radiological characteristics. Tonnis [11] & Schwartz [12] have suggested that surgical correction of residual acetabular dysplasia should be performed when the acetabular index (AI) is >25°. In this study, we have determined residual dysplasia by measuring the acetabular index. We have found that 68.5% of hips in this study had residual acetabular dysplasia at final follow-

| Authors                  | Years & Journal             | Redislocation rate |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| NH Kim [3]               | 1990, Yonsei Med J          | 7.7%(1/13)         |
| RH Quinn [4]             | 1994, J Pediatr Orthop      | 5.8%(3/52)         |
| N Yamada [5]             | 2003, J Bone Joint Surg Br  | 1.7%(1/58)         |
| R Pospischill [6]        | 2012, Clin Orthop Relat Res| 17.4%(8/46)        |
| WN Sankar [7]            | 2016, Pediatr Orthop        | 8.9%(7/79)         |
| A Bhaskar [8]            | 2016, Indian J Orthop       | 11.8%(2/17)        |
up. However, that rate will likely rise with continued follow-up. In comparison, the rates of residual dysplasia across the literature range from 57% to 100%, with most published studies identifying a frequency of 35% [13-18]. This study has some limitations. First, longer follow-up may lead to different values for rates of acetabular dysplasia and further corrective surgery. Second, due to the large number of surgeons contributing to the database, there is considerable variation in treatment practices. However, we consider this heterogeneity a strength rather than a weakness in that it accurately reflects real-life practice. Third, our determined reference value for the acetabular index is only valid in symptomatic children. They can be used for diagnosis and treatment in these children but must be used in context with patient history and clinical findings.

Conclusion

CR and hip spica were able to achieve a stable and concentric reduction in most patients. However, this procedure is associated with a high rate of residual acetabular dysplasia. We recommend that the parents of such patients be counseled about the possibility of further surgery at a later stage.
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