Thrombo-inflammatory biomarkers to predict sepsis outcome
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Abstract
Background: Sepsis has been redefined recently as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by dysregulated host responses to infection and septic shock. Soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (SuPAR) and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) concentration positively correlate to the activation level of the immune system, and are markers of disease severity and aggressiveness. Objective: The study aimed to identify the blood level of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) and soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (SuPAR) in sepsis and its association with mortality. Patient and methods: This is an observational prospective study that enrolled 60 adult patients with sepsis (according to SOFA), admitted to Menoufa and Zagazig university hospitals during the period from December 2019 till October 2020. Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) and soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (SuPAR) were checked in all participants. Results: SuPAR and PAI.1 were significant independent predictors of hospital mortality. SuPAR showed sensitivity 100%, specificity 95.9%, and accuracy 94% for prediction of early mortality at a cutoff value of 13.4(pg/ml). While, PAI-1 demonstrated sensitivity 100%, specificity 93.9%, and accuracy of 95% at a cutoff value of 122.5 for predicting mortality. Conclusion: PAI-1 and suPAR were significant predictors of hospital mortality among sepsis patients. The sample size was relatively small, which may have decreased the statistical power of the results of the present study. Hence, additional studies with large sample sizes are required for further validation of the present results.
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Introduction
In 1992, sepsis was defined as a systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) to infection that results from an activation of the innate immune response, regardless of the cause.1 Sepsis has been redefined again as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by dysregulated host responses to infection and septic shock as a subset of sepsis in which particularly profound circulatory, cellular, and metabolic abnormalities are associated with a greater risk of mortality
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than with sepsis alone. Globally, sepsis is common, with an estimated population incidence of 270 cases per 100,000 person yearly and acute mortality of 26.0%. Many reasons suggest even this underestimates the magnitude of sepsis-associated mortality and morbidity.

The biomarkers of sepsis can be classified as markers of acute-phase protein (C-reactive protein [CRP], procalcitonin [PCT], and lipopolysaccharide-binding protein), cytokine/chemokine biomarkers (IL-6, IL-8), and markers of other pathophysiologic processes (coagulation factors and soluble cell surface receptors). Also, complement factors (C3a, C5a, and the soluble form of the C5a receptor, sC5aR) have been defined as early markers of sepsis and sepsis severity. CRP and PCT are the most practically used for the detection of bloodstream infections.

Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) is a protein that in humans is encoded by the SERPINE 1 gene, elevated PAI-1 is a risk factor for thrombosis and atherosclerosis. Soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (SuPAR) is a soluble protein form; SuPAR concentration positively correlates to the activation level of the immune system and is present in plasma, urine, blood, serum, and CSF. SuPAR is an indicator of disease severity and aggressiveness. The use of plasma suPAR level enhanced the efficiency of sepsis diagnosis, and the combination of plasma suPAR and APACHE II score improved mortality prediction. Studying long-term outcomes of sepsis is that poor functional status is a risk factor for becoming critically ill as well as a frequent consequence. Many co-morbidities, age, and chronic diseases are risk factors both for sepsis and for impaired quality of life. Therefore, studies work to distinguish between the potentially causal effects of sepsis and that simply describe morbidity and mortality events.

**Aim of the study**

This study aimed to identify the blood level of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) and soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (SuPAR) in sepsis and its association with mortality.

**Patient and methods**

This is an observational prospective study that included 60 adult patients with sepsis admitted to Menoufia and Zagazig university hospitals during the period from December 2019 till October 2020.

All participants were volunteers, and all of them signed written informed consent with explaining the aim of this study before the study initiation.

Approval of the study protocol was obtained by the local Ethical Scientific Committee of Menoufia University’s institutional review board under number (MNF112/2019).

**Statistical analysis**

Method of calculation and justification for sample size

**The sample size calculation**

Sample size was calculated using G*power version 3.1.9.2 based on previous studies and our experience, we expected to find large effect size \((d = 0.8)\) between group I/group II. With a power of 80% (using t-test and alpha of 0.05). The allocation ratio N2/N1 is 2/1. The sample needed for the study was estimated to be 48 patients taking in our consideration 25% drop off. Finally, the total sample size was estimated **60 patients** (**40 patients for group I, 20 patients for group II**).

\[ N = 60 \text{ patients.} \]

Confidence interval = 95%

Alpha = 0.05
The clinical data were recorded on a report form. These data were tabulated and analyzed using the computer program SPSS (Statistical package for social science) version 21 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). The variables were tested using Chi-Squared ($\chi^2$) test for qualitative data, Mann Whitney U test for testing quantitative data, correlation coefficient test (Pearson test), multivariate logistic regression analysis, and the ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curves to detect validity of different markers for prediction of early hospital mortality. When $p$ value was less than 0.05, it was considered significant.

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of studied patients ($n=60$).

| Item                      | Patients ($n = 60$) |   |   |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| Sex: no, %                |                     |   |   |
| Male                      | 31                  | 51.7 |
| Female                    | 29                  | 48.3 |
| Age/Year                  | Mean ± SD | Min-Max | 64.90 ± 7.40 | 30–74 |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$)            | 24.91 ± 2.92        | 21–34 |
| Comorbidities:            | No                  | %  | 30.0 |
| Diabetes mellitus         | 14                  | 23.3 |
| Hypertension              |                     |   |   |
| Primary site of infection:|                     |   |   |
| Pulmonary                 | 34                  | 56.7 |
| Cutaneous                 | 10                  | 16.7 |
| Digestive                 | 41                  | 6.7 |
| Urinary                   |                     |   | 1.7 |
| Arterial                  |                     |   |   |
| Vital signs:              |                     |   |   |
| MABP (mmHg)               | 79.1 ± 5.5          | 67–98 |
| HR (beats/minutes)        | 107.5 ± 8.5         | 95–120 |
| Temp. (°C)                | 37.3 ± 1.4          | 27.8–38.4 |
| RR (cycles/minutes)       | 22.3 ± 2.6          | 19–28 |
| SOFA score                | 7.2 ± 2.25          | 4–12 |
| CRP (mg/L)                | 105.8 ± 70.7        | 2–454 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)         | 10.3 ± 0.6          | 9.3–11.5 |
| WBCs ($\times 10^9$/ml)   | 17.3 ± 9.6          | 2.8–43.8 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)        | 3.42 ± 2.30         | 0.2–8.5 |
| Urea (mg/dl)              | 158.30 ± 124.93     | 8.3–434 |
| AST (U/l)                 | 65.72 ± 32.38       | 28–239 |
| ALT (U/l)                 | 62.37 ± 24.46       | 35–186 |
| PT (second)               | 13.60 ± 1.29        | 12–16 |
| PAI-1 (pg/ml)             | 80.75 ± 61.86       | 26–412 |
| SuPAR (pg/ml)             | 11.29 ± 5.84        | 3.2–45 |

MABP: Mean arterial blood pressure is defined as the average pressure in the patients arteries during one cardiac cycle, MABP = SBP + 2 (DBP)/3. HR: heart rate; Temp.: temperature; RR: respiratory rate.

### Table 2. Comparison between survivors and non-survivors regarding baseline characteristics.

| Variable   | Survivors ($n = 49$) | Non-survivors ($n = 11$) | U Test | p Value |
|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------|---------|
| Age/year   | 64.24 ± 67.81        | 7.81 ± 4.31              | 1.57   | 0.12    |
| Sex        | 25 ± 5.10            | 4 ± 3.64                 | $\chi^2$ | 0.38   |
| Male       | 24.9 ± 7.63          | 7 ± 6.36                 | 0.77   | 0.49    |
| Female     | 25.03 ± 24.37        | 3.14 ± 1.66              | 0.26   | 0.80    |
| Blood pressure | 78.97 ± 79.63    | 5.82 ± 3.96              | 0.49   | 0.63    |
| Heart rate | 106.73 ± 110.64      | 8.42 ± 8.42              | 1.40   | 0.16    |
| Respiratory rate | 21.90 ± 23.91 | 2.46 ± 2.88              | 2.19   | 0.03*   |
| Temperature| 37.29 ± 37.49        | 1.48 ± 0.51              | 0.05   | 0.96    |
| SOFA score | 6.49 ± 10.36         | 1.72 ± 1.50              | 4.66   | <0.001* |
| CRP (mg/L) | 103.39 ± 116.64      | 73.47 ± 58.42            | 0.34   | 0.35    |
| HB (g/dl)  | 10.33 ± 10.18        | 0.55 ± 0.76              | 0.72   | 0.47    |
| WBCs ($\times 10^9$/ml) | 17.22 ± 17.74 | 10.39 ± 4.86              | 0.72   | 0.47    |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)  | 3.49 ± 3.14         | 2.47 ± 1.32              | 0.17   | 0.86    |
| Urea (mg/dl) | 159.64 ± 152.35    | 135.82 ± 59.11           | 0.65   | 0.52    |
| AST (U/L)   | 65.94 ± 64.73        | 35.08 ± 16.71            | 0.60   | 0.55    |
| ALT (U/L)   | 62.57 ± 61.45        | 26.64 ± 11.07            | 0.59   | 0.55    |

(continued)
The mean age of the included patients was 62.1±10.7 years with 51.7% of them being females. Diabetes mellitus was reported in 30.0% of the included patients. The pulmonary primary site of infection was found in 56.7%. Also, the mean BMI of the studied patients was 24.9±2.9 Kg/m². The mean CRP, hemoglobin, and WBCs count were (105.8±70.7, 10.3±0.6, and 17.32±9.6) respectively. The mean creatinine and urea levels were 3.4±2.3 and 158.3±124.9, respectively. Additionally, mean AST, ALT, PT, PAI-1, and SuPAR were 65.7±32.4, 62.4±24.5, 13.6±7.9, 74.9±11.3, and 11.3±5.7, respectively, as shown in Table 1.

Respirator rate, SuPAR, PAI-1, and SOFA score were significantly higher among the non-survivor group than the survivor one \((p<0.05)\), all other variables were insignificant between the two groups as shown in Table 2.

The SOFA score had a sensitivity of 90.9%, specificity of 87.8%, and accuracy of 0.90 at a cutoff value of ≥8.5 for predicting mortality (Figure 1). suPAR had a sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 95.9%, and accuracy of 0.94 at a cutoff value of ≥13.4 for predicting mortality (Figure 2). Finally,
PAI-1 had a sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 93.9%, and accuracy of 0.95 at a cutoff value of ≥122.5 for predicting mortality (Figure 3), as shown in Table 3.

The correlation coefficient between PAI-1 and other parameters, as shown in Table 4. There was a significant correlation according to PT (as shown also in Figure 4) and also there were significant positive correlations between PAI-1 and each of SOFA score and SuPAR level (as shown in Figure 5). Other parameters’ correlations to PAI-1 were insignificant.

SuPAR level had a significant correlation with each of PT (Figure 6) and SOFA score. Other parameters’ correlations to SuPAR were insignificant, as shown in Table 4.

Finally Table 5 shows the logistic regression for risk, and from that table PAI-1 and SuPAR blood levels had a significant statistical prediction for early sepsis mortality/7 days.

**Discussion**

Plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 (PAI-1) is a 50-kDa glycoprotein of the serine protease inhibitor family. The primary role of PAI-1 in vivo is the inhibition of both tissue- and urokinase-type plasminogen activators. In addition to this function, PAI-1 acts as an acute-phase protein during acute inflammation. PAI1 is a pivotal player in the pathogenesis of sepsis, a complex clinical syndrome that results from a systemic inflammatory response.

The present study showed the mean age of the included patients was 62.1 ± 10.7 years and this agreed with the study by Mohamed et al., who found (71.25%) of patients were males and (28.75%) were females. Maximum patients had belonged to the age group of 50–80 years of age. The mean age of the study population by Ref. 12 was 60.97 years. The study by Kim et al., found the mean SOFA score was 8.0 ± 2.8. In addition, mean MPV was 8.64 fL at baseline and 8.96 fL at 72 h after ED admission. The main infection sites were the urinary tract (25.2%) and lung (24.1%) followed by the intra-abdominal cavity (22.0%). But, we found the pulmonary primary site of infection was in 56.7%, followed by the cutaneous site in 18.3%, and while the digestive site was reported in 16.7%. The mean BMI of the studied patients was 24.9 ± 2.9 Kg/m2.

Mohamed and his colleagues found that type 2 diabetes mellitus and systemic hypertension were the major comorbidities present in the study population, both being
present in 37 patients each (46.25%). Respiratory co-morbidities, chronic liver, and kidney diseases along with heart diseases were also present in a significant number of patients. Fever was the most common presenting feature (72.50%) followed by breathlessness (43.75%), cough (32.50%), abdominal, and neurologic symptoms. Based on the presenting symptoms and clinical examination findings, the majority of the patients (66.25%) had respiratory tract as the suspected source of sepsis. For us, we had found diabetes mellitus was 30.0% of the included patients while 23.3% of them had hypertension.

According to the inflammatory labs’ assessment (mean CRP, hemoglobin, and WBCs count), mean creatinine and urea levels, mean AST, ALT, and PT showed that we are similar also to Mohamed et al.,12 who found that, 67.5% mortality among the patients with severe sepsis. Low platelet count, high CRP, and elevated levels of serum lactate along with need for invasive mechanical ventilation were found to be a clear predictor of mortality in severely septic patients. SOFA score of more than 8.5, at the time of admission to the ICU. Also, with Ghany et al.,14 found that, forty-four (19%) of 232 patients with baseline AST/ALT ratio >0.8 experienced clinical decompensation compared to 16 (6.7%) of 238 with baseline AST/ALT ratio ≤0.8. Within each stratum of baseline AST/ALT ratio, patients who had severe worsening (>15% increase between month 24 and baseline) had a higher rate of clinical decompensation.

Our study showed that respiratory rate, SuPAR, PAI-1, and SOFA score were significantly higher among the non-

| Variable         | AUC       | Cutoff value | 95% CI   | Sensitivity, % | Specificity, % | PPV, % | NPV, % | Accuracy, % |
|------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|-------------|
| SOFA score       | 0.947     | ≥8.5         | 0.89–1.0 | 90.9           | 87.8           | 62.5   | 97.7   | 88.3        |
| SuPAR (pg/ml)    | 0.981     | ≥13.4        | 0.94–1.0 | 100            | 95.9           | 84.6   | 100    | 94          |
| PAI-1 (pg/ml)    | 0.983     | ≥122.5       | 0.95–1.0 | 100            | 93.9           | 78.6   | 100    | 95          |

Sens: sensitivity; Spec.: specificity; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value.
survivor group than the survivor one. While there was no significant difference between the two groups regarding age, sex, heart rate, temperature, SOFA score, CRP, HB, WBCs, creatinine, urea, AST, ALT, and PT. These results agreed with that reported by Kim et al., as the non-survivors exhibited significantly higher SOFA score than did the survivors. Also according to they there were no significant differences in age, mean arterial pressure, WBC, Hb, serum creatinine, total bilirubin, RBC transfusion, and heparin use between the two groups. Also, Mohamed et al., found that, none of the difference in mean values of liver enzymes, serum bilirubin, serum albumin, and international normalized ratio between the mortality and survivor groups was statistically significant.

While, the current findings disagreed with the study by Li et al., who had found the patients who survived were more likely to have higher baseline levels of hemoglobin and serum albumin and lower breathing rates, lactate levels, platelet (PLT) counts, urea nitrogen, creatinine, eGFR, and cystatin-C (Cys-C) values than the patients who died. Also, Kim et al., revealed that non-survivors exhibited significantly higher C-reactive protein (CRP) and lactate levels than did survivors, whereas body mass index (BMI); platelet count; estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR); and albumin, total cholesterol, and pH levels in non-survivors were significantly lower than those in survivors.

The current study revealed that the sensitivity of SOFA score for predicting early sepsis mortality was 90.9%, specificity of 87.8%, and accuracy of 90.9 at a cutoff value of ≥8.5. Also, the sensitivity of SuPAR for predicting mortality was 100%, specificity of 95.9%, and accuracy of 0.94 at a cutoff value of ≥13.4. While the sensitivity of PAI.1 was 100%, specificity of 93.9%, and accuracy of 0.95 at a cutoff value of ≥122.5. In this line, two studies by Koch et al. and Loonen et al. evaluated diagnostic

| Variable                  | PAI-1 (pg/ml) | p value | suPAR (pg/ml) | p value |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------|---------------|---------|
| Age/year                  | 0.004        | 0.970   | -0.086        | 0.417   |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | 0.140        | 0.184   | 0.051         | 0.630   |
| CRP (mg/L)                | 0.191        | 0.070   | -0.033        | 0.756   |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)         | 0.0123       | 0.246   | -0.171        | 0.104   |
| WBCs (10³)/ml³            | 0.074        | 0.486   | 0.022         | 0.836   |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)        | -0.145       | 0.169   | 0.005         | 0.959   |
| Urea (mg/dl)              | -0.063       | 0.552   | 0.047         | 0.655   |
| AST (UL)                  | 0.001        | 0.992   | -0.061        | 0.564   |
| ALT (UL)                  | 0.137        | 0.194   | -0.031        | 0.771   |
| PT (second)               | 0.346        | 0.001a  | 0.483         | <0.001a |
| SOFA score                | 0.400        | <0.001a | 0.389         | <0.001a |
| SuPAR (pg/ml)             | 0.327        | 0.002a  | —             | —       |
| PAI-1 (pg/ml)             | —            | —       | 0.327         | 0.002a  |

**Table 4.** Pearson’s correlation coefficient between PAI-1, SuPAR, and other parameters.

BMI: body mass index; CRP: C-reactive protein; WBC: white blood cells; AST: Aspartate transaminase; ALT: Alanine transaminase; PT: prothrombin time; PAI-1: Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1; SuPAR: Soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor.

Figure 4. Correlation between PAI-1 and PT.
accuracy of suPAR have shown specificity from 64–77%. Also, the current findings agreed with the study by López-Izquierdo et al.\textsuperscript{18} found that for 28-day mortality, the qSOFA presented a cut-off of two points, with a sensitivity of 74.3 and specificity of 73.1. The SOFA score presented a cut-off of three points for 30-day mortality, with a sensitivity of 81.6 and a specificity of 76.5.

The current study revealed that, there was a significant positive correlation between SOFA score and each of suPAR and PAI-1. Also, there was a significant positive correlation between SuPAR level with PT, PAI-1. In addition, PAI-1 level significantly positively correlated with PT. This agreed with the study by Jalkanen et al.,\textsuperscript{19} found that the SuPAR and PAI-1 concentrations were higher in
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\caption{Correlation between PAI-1 and SuPAR.}
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\caption{Correlation between SuPAR and PT.}
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critically ill patients compared to healthy volunteers. SuPAR and PAI-1 concentrations were higher in critically ill patients compared to healthy volunteers. Another study by Silvestre et al., found that, SOFA was independently associated with a higher risk of in-hospital mortality, 28-day mortality and 90-day mortality.

As mentioned above our study showed that SuPAR, PAI-1, and SOFA score were significant predictors to hospital mortality. This agreed with the study by Winge yer et al., found that in total, 76.4% of deaths but only 55.6% of surviving patients could be predicted with a SOFA score greater than 4 at time 0, with a global prediction of 65.4%. However, when they combined a SOFA of ≥ 4 and the presence of the PAI-1, in combination with plasma levels of PAI-1 ≥ 16 (UA/l), the global prediction rose to 71.9%, with a prediction of survival of 74.1% and a prediction of death of 69.4%. Another study by Vincent, found that, invasive mechanical ventilation in patients with severe sepsis was identified to be an independent predictor of mortality. Previously, Prabhakaran et al., and Sapru et al., reported PAI-1 has been considered valuable in prognostication in patients with ARF. Previous reports indicate that PAI-1 levels are elevated in sepsis and VAP, and predict mortality and MOF.

On the other hand, Jalkanen et al., reported that like other biomarkers, suPAR as a single biomarker is not strong enough for clinical decision-making. Also, PAI-1 was a poor prognostic marker for mortality or development of sepsis. The highest quartile of PAI-1 concentrations did not have predictive value for 90-day mortality or association with ALI/ARDS. There was a marked variation in suPAR in the healthy volunteers, Koch et al., found the highest suPAR concentrations in healthy volunteers were lower than the concentrations of patients with an increased risk of poor outcome. PAI-1 levels in healthy volunteers were stable and low. Varied results may be due to different inclusion and exclusion criteria and different study samples.

**Limitations**

One limitation of this study is the limited number of patients as a developing country we have not simply had a registry for all patients and due to environmental and cultural reasons, many critically ill patients from old age not seeking hospital consultation.

Another limitation is the PAI-1 and SuPAR serum levels had been studied a lot in critically ill patients and not a novel hypothesis but to our knowledge, it is the first Egyptian study to elicit its level with early mortality of sepsis and we consider it a trial to use these markers as prognostic predictors not only a diagnostic.

**Conclusion**

Our study concluded that SuPAR and PAI-1 both can be used for predicting early mortality. Also, SOFA score, PAI-1, and suPAR were significant predictors of hospital morbidity and mortality. The sample size was relatively small, which may have decreased the statistical power of the results of the present study. Hence, additional studies with large sample sizes are required for further validation of the present results.
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