Interaction-induced impeding of decoherence and anomalous diffusion
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We study how the interplay of dissipation and interactions affects the dynamics of a bosonic many-body quantum system. In the presence of both dissipation and strongly repulsive interactions, observables such as the coherence and the density fluctuations display three dynamical regimes: an initial exponential variation followed by a power-law regime, and finally a slow exponential convergence to their asymptotic values. These very long-time scales arise as dissipation forces the population of states disfavored by interactions. The long-time, strong coupling dynamics are understood by performing a mapping onto a classical diffusion process displaying non-Brownian behavior. While both dissipation and strong interactions tend to suppress coherence when acting separately, we find that strong interaction impedes the decoherence process generated by the dissipation.
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Understanding the influence of the environment on the dynamics of physical systems is of paramount importance in the development of quantum-based technologies. For systems as diverse as those encountered in solid-state physics [1,2,3], atomic and molecular physics [4], or quantum optics [5,6], coupling to an environment often results in the loss of coherence. Devising methods to control and suppress decoherence is therefore at the heart of various present and future applications such as high precision clocks, measuring devices [8,9], and quantum computers [10]. A clear understanding of the effects of an environment on correlated quantum many-body systems is presently lacking. For example, the consequences resulting from the interplay of interactions and dissipation are not well known. Even though each process individually tends to suppress coherence, it is not understood if they cooperate or destructively compete when acting simultaneously. An ideal testbed to answer such questions is provided by cold atomic gases as, in these systems, both the interactions and dissipative processes are highly controllable.

Exciting phenomena have already been proposed to occur when cold gases are coupled to an environment: a Zeno-like behavior due to local atom losses in systems of interacting atoms confined to double wells [11,12] or optical lattices [13]; improved stability against weak dissipative effects for bosonic atoms in both the weakly interacting [13] and Mott-insulating regimes [14]; a dynamical phase transition between a condensed and a thermal steady state [15] for a coherence-enhancing dissipative process; and the possibility to engineer dark states with highly desirable properties [17,22].

In this work, we investigate the influence of a Markovian (memory-less) environment, e.g. a light field or a thermal cloud, on strongly interacting bosonic atoms in a double well potential. We find that dissipation and interactions destructively compete, resulting in two surprising phenomena: (i) contrary to naive intuition, decoherence is slowed down in the presence of strong interactions, (ii) at intermediate times, physical quantities such as the coherence and density fluctuations are found to display a slow power-law time-dependence characterized by a time-scale $t^*$ which is considerably enhanced by interactions.

The algebraic regime can be characterized in detail in the limit of a large number of atoms $N$ by mapping the evolution onto a classical diffusion in the configuration space of all Fock states. For $N$ bosons confined to two wells, this space is described by a single coordinate $x$. The diffusion rate is found to be strongly dependent on the configuration: it is large (small) for configurations which have a low (high) cost in interaction energy. As shown analytically, this translates into a non-Brownian diffusion [23] with $\sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle} \sim \tau^{1/4}$ and a non-Gaussian probability density $p(x,\tau) \propto \tau^{-1/4} e^{-x^2/4\tau}$. Here $\tau = t/t^*$, with the long time-scale $t^*$ given by $\gamma t^* = 2N^2(U/J)^2$ ($\gamma$ is the Markovian dissipation rate, $U$ the interaction strength and $J$ the tunneling coefficient between the two wells).

Considering $N$ bosonic atoms trapped in a sufficiently deep optical lattice with $L$ sites, the evolution of the system is described by the master equation

$$i\hbar \partial_t \hat{\rho} = [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + i\hbar \mathcal{D}(\hat{\rho}).$$

(1)

The first term $[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}]$ describes the unitary evolution of the density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ governed by the Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -J \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} (\hat{b}_l^\dagger \hat{b}_{l+1} + h.c.) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \hat{n}_l (\hat{n}_l - 1)$. The operators $\hat{b}_l^\dagger$ and $\hat{b}_l$ are bosonic creation and annihilation operators on site $l$ and $\hat{n}_l = \hat{b}_l^\dagger \hat{b}_l$ counts the number of atoms. At large $U/J$ the atoms tend to
be more localized which typically results in a reduction of the coherence between neighboring sites \( C = \sum_n (\hat{b}_n\hat{b}_{n+1} + \hat{b}_{n+1}^\dagger\hat{b}_n) \). The dissipative process is modeled by \( \mathcal{D}(\hat{\rho}) = \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{L} (\hat{n}_n\hat{\rho}\hat{n}_n - \frac{1}{2}\hat{n}_n^2\hat{\rho} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\rho}\hat{n}_n^2) \), where \( \gamma \) is the coupling to the environment and the quantum jump operators correspond to local density operators \( \hat{n}_n \). This form of dissipation has been identified as one of the most important heating processes in the presence of a red-detuned optical lattice potential [16 24]. In the absence of interactions \((U=0)\), it causes a rapid exponential loss of coherence \( C(t) = C(0)e^{-\gamma t} \).

It is useful to recast the quantum master equation (1) into an eigenvalue equation \( \mathcal{M}\rho = -\lambda \rho \), with \( \rho_\alpha \) and \( -\lambda_\alpha \) being respectively eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the matrix \( \mathcal{M} \). The positive real parts of \( \lambda_\alpha \) are the inverse relaxation times, since \( \rho_\alpha(t) = e^{-\lambda_\alpha t}\rho_\alpha(0) \). Hence, the longest living states are associated with the smallest \( \text{Re}(\lambda_\alpha) \). For \( J = 0 \), all combinations of diagonal Fock states \(|\{n_l\}\rangle\langle\{n_l\}|\) are steady-states \((\lambda_\alpha = 0)\). However, for \( J \neq 0 \) (and \( L \) finite), the unique steady-state is the completely mixed state \( \hat{\rho}_b \propto \sum_{\{n_l\}} |\{n_l\}\rangle\langle\{n_l\}| \) in which all Fock states have equal weight (corresponding to maximal Von-Neumann entropy). We will show that \( \hat{\rho}_b \) is reached in a highly non-trivial way.

To pinpoint this, we concentrate on the two site Bose-Hubbard model \((L = 2)\). Various aspects of the influence of dissipation have been studied in this set-up, focusing mainly on weak interactions [25 26]. For our study the atoms are prepared in the symmetric ground state of the Hamiltonian and the dissipation is turned on at time \( t = 0 \) [30]. We monitor different experimentally measurable quantities, such as the coherence between the two wells \( C \), the local density fluctuations \( \kappa = \langle n_l^2 \rangle - \langle n_l \rangle^2 \), and the probability \( P_b \) to measure a balanced configuration with \( N/2 \) particles in each well. At low interaction strength \( U \) or small particle number \( N \), exponential evolutions dominate. Their time-scales depend crucially on the parameter regime considered. In contrast, for sufficiently large \( N \) and \( UN \gg \hbar\gamma \), three distinct regimes can be identified: (i) a fast exponential variation at short times \((\gamma t \ll 1)\), (ii) a drastic slowing down associated with a power-law regime at intermediate times \((1/\gamma \ll t \ll t^* )\), and (iii) a slow exponential approach to the asymptotic value at long times \((t \gtrsim t^* )\). These regimes are exemplified in Fig. 1 for the rise of density fluctuations and the decay of coherence obtained by solving Eq. (1) numerically.

A first insight into the origin of regimes (ii) and (iii) can be gained by analyzing the eigenvalue spectrum (inset of Fig. 1(a)). The final exponential regime is due to the fact that the lowest non-vanishing real part of an eigenvalue \( \lambda \propto \gamma^2/U^2 N^2 \) is isolated. The algebraic regime arises from the complex interplay of many slowly decaying states \( \rho_\alpha \) (having non-zero overlap with the initial state) corresponding to the band of eigenvalues in the inset of Fig. 1(a).

To understand quantitatively the different dynamical behaviors, we reduce the system to a simpler model for \( t \gg 1/\gamma \) and strong interaction \( U \gg J, \hbar\gamma \). This in turn can be mapped using the large \( N \) limit onto a classical diffusion problem in configuration space. We represent the density matrix in the basis of Fock states as \( \hat{\rho} = \sum \rho_{n,m} |n\rangle\langle m| \) where \( n, m = 0, 1, \ldots, N \) labels the number of atoms in the left well. In this regime, it is justified to use adiabatic elimination and map the full evolution onto an equation for the diagonal elements \( \rho_{n,n} \) only. This is done by integrating \( \partial_t \rho_{n,n+1} \) (derived from Eq. (1)) by noticing that the diagonal terms of the density matrix are slowly varying compared to the off-diagonal ones (following [31] and for even \( N \)). This gives

\[
\rho_{n,n+1}(t) \approx J_R \left( 1 - \frac{\hbar\gamma}{(N-2N-1)t} \right) \Delta \rho_n(t) \tag{2}
\]

where \( J_R = \sqrt{\frac{W_{n+1}}{20N^2}}, W_{n+1} = \frac{(n+1)(N-n)}{(n+1/2)(N+1/2)}, \) and \( \Delta \rho_n = N^2(\rho_{n+1,n+1} - \rho_{n,n}) \). Using (2) into \( \partial_t \rho_{n,n} \), one obtains

\[
\partial_t \rho_{n,n} = 2(W_{n+1} \Delta \rho_n - W_n \Delta \rho_{n-1}) \tag{3}
\]
Remarkably, the interaction, dissipative coupling, tunneling amplitude and particle number only enter the equations for the diagonal elements $\rho_{n,n}$ via the dimensionless time $\tau = t/t^*$. The emerging time-scale $t^* = 2N^2U^2/\gamma^2$ controls the long-time behavior of the system, and becomes very large for strong coupling $U$ and large $N$ [32]. Additionally, this dependence explains the scaling of the lowest eigenvalues.

We now perform the large $N$ limit calculation in which the discrete master equation (3) is mapped onto a classiﬁcation matrix are related to a probability density $\rho_{n,n}$ versus rescaled time $\tau = t/t^*$, where the center ($x=0$) corresponds to the balanced conﬁguration. The diagonal elements of the density matrix are related to a probability density $p(x,\tau)$ by $N\rho_{n,n}(\tau) = p(x,\tau)$, with normalization $\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} p(x)dx = 1$ (insuring $\text{tr} \hat{\rho} = 1$). We thus obtain the diffusion equation

$$\partial_x [D(x)\partial_x p(x,\tau)] = \partial_{\tau} p(x,\tau).$$

The diffusion function $D(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\gamma}} - 1$ is strongly dependent on the variable $x$: it diverges at the center ($x = 0$) and vanishes at the boundaries ($x \approx \pm 1/2$). Physically this slow diffusion at the boundaries corresponds to the slow population of the energetically costly imbalanced configurations.

![FIG. 2. (color online) Diagonal terms of the density matrix $\rho_{n,n}$ versus rescaled time $\tau$ in log-log form: the exact solutions of Eq. (4) for $n = 41$ (top) ... 80 (bottom) are represented by blue continuous lines; the element $n = 40$ (thick red line) is proportional to the probability of finding a balanced configuration $P_b$; the corresponding diffusion density $p(x = n/N,\tau)$ Eq. (4) up to $n/N = 60$ are shown in dashed green lines. Inset: 3D plot of the evolution. Parameters: $U/J = 20$, $h\gamma/J = 1$, $N = 80$ and $L = 2$.](image)

Within this mapping, the initial ground state corresponds to $p(x,\tau = 0)$ and is peaked at $x = 0$. The asymptotic long-time limit is the uniform distribution $p(x,\tau = \infty) = 1$ representing the totally mixed state $\hat{\rho}_S$. To gain more insight into the actual diffusion process, we insert the scaling ansatz $p(x,\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu}} f(\xi)$ with $\xi = x/\tau^{\nu}$ into (4). We find that a scaling solution exists provided $\nu = 1/4$ and $\tau \ll 1$. The function $f(\xi)$ satisfies $\xi f'' + (\xi^4 - 2)f' + \xi^3 f = 0$, whose solution can be found in closed form: $f(\xi) \propto \exp(-\xi^4/4)$. Therefore, the diffusion process at short rescaled time ($\tau \ll 1$, i.e. $t \ll t^*$) is non-Brownian and described by

$$p(x,\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\Gamma(1/4)} \frac{1}{\tau^{1/4}} \exp(-x^4/4\tau)$$

where $\Gamma(1/4)$ in the normalization denotes the gamma function. Compared to normal diffusion given by $p(x,\tau) = 1/\sqrt{4\pi\sigma\tau} \exp(-x^2/4\sigma\tau)$ for a space-independent diffusion constant $\sigma$, the divergence in $D(x)$ for $x \approx 0$ leads to a highly accelerated initial diffusion.

The analytical expression (5) reproduces accurately the exact evolution of the diagonal terms of the density matrix computed from (1) as shown in Fig. 2. The very fast initial broadening of the peak is followed by a much slower occupation of the boundary (imbalance) regions. In particular, we observe a clear power-law decay (with exponent $1/4$) in the exact evolution of the density matrix elements, in very good agreement with the analytical form (5) even for a relatively low number of atoms. For times $\tau = t/t^* \sim 0.1$ (time-scales which can actually be very long in an experiment), the lowest eigenvalue of the discrete spectrum of (1) due to the finite atom number controls the eventual exponential convergence of $p(x,\tau)$ to the uniform distribution.

The diffusion in configuration space has important consequences for experimentally measurable quantities. The probability of measuring a balanced configuration $P_b(t)$ is directly proportional to the central density $p(x = 0,t)$. Therefore, its detection would reveal the passage from the initially exponential to the algebraic one in $\tau^{-1/4}$ (see the red thick line in Fig. 4). The density fluctuations $\kappa$ show an increase which in the power-law region is well described, using $\kappa/N^2 = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} (x^2 + x)p(x,\tau)dx$, by

$$\kappa/N^2 = \frac{2\Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(1/4)} \sqrt{\tau} = \frac{2\Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(1/4)} \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt{U}} \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2}}.$$  

As shown in Fig. (4a), this equation describes accurately the exact density fluctuations at intermediate times without any adjustable parameter. At longer times, the density fluctuations tend towards its asymptotic value $\kappa/N^2 = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{6N^2}$. For the coherence, from the continuum limit of (2), we can derive a scaling behavior $C(t) = J/U C(t/t^*)$ where $C(\tau) \sim f_{1/2}^1 (x^2 - x^4/4)\partial_x p(x,\tau)dx$. This scaling is verified over a large range of different parameters $U > h\gamma$, $J$ as shown in Fig. (4b). In the power-law regime, where $\tau$ is small, this reads

$$\frac{C(t)}{N} = \frac{\Gamma(3/4)}{2\Gamma(1/4) U N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} = \frac{\Gamma(3/4)}{\sqrt{2\Gamma(1/4)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma} \sqrt{\tau}}.$$
with again excellent agreement with the exact results over a large range of intermediate times without any adjustable parameter (Fig. 1(b) and Fig. 3). We note that in this regime the coherence only depends on the original dissipative coupling $\gamma$ and not on $J$ or $U$.

To probe these phenomena experimentally, one could use a single double well potential or an array of decoupled double wells generated by an optical super-lattice potential. Observing the different regimes proposed here requires strong interactions while maintaining the single band approximation during the whole experiment.

In Fig. 3 we compare the decay of the coherence for stronger and weaker interaction strengths. A weaker interaction strength typically leads to a larger value of the coherence in the initial state and at small times (inset). After the initial exponential decay, the regime of algebraic decay $\propto 1/\sqrt{t}$ is manifest for large interaction strength $UN \gg h\gamma, J$. This regime shrinks when lowering the interaction, and is followed by the eventual exponential decay at long times. Surprisingly, the coherence for larger interactions exceeds that for lower interactions and survives for much longer times. Hence the presence of interactions impedes the dissipation-induced decoherence. The physical reason behind this finding is that it is difficult to populate Fock space configurations which are energetically disfavored by strong interactions. We stress that the slowing down of decoherence, unlike the use of particular squeezed, “decoherence-free”, states [33], generally holds independently of the initial condition.

To summarize, we found that the time-evolution of the coherence and density fluctuations of strongly interacting bosonic systems subject to dissipative effects can present three consecutive regimes: an initial fast exponential one, and an intermediate power-law regime associated with anomalous diffusion which is eventually followed by a final exponential regime. The latter sets in after a time $\sim t^*$ which becomes very large for strong interactions and large number of particles, and the decay rate is reduced accordingly. Simulations on three-site systems and mean-field calculations for an infinite lattice confirm the existence of the slowing down of decoherence and the presence of a power law regime. We understand that a power-law dynamics, atypical for the considered Markovian environment, also occurs for different kinds of Markovian dissipative mechanisms [34].

To meet this requirement, we suggest the use of a light species, for example Lithium, whose interaction can be tuned by a Feshbach resonance [35, 36]. The light mass enables the use of a deep optical lattice potential (a band gap of about 250 kHz is realistically achievable) while keeping reasonable time-scales. An alternative realization would be to trap two bosonic species in one well and to tune their interaction with a Feshbach resonance [37].
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