Perception of Eye Care Services among Patients Attending Mercy Eye Centre, Abak

Abstract

Background: The assessment of patients’ perception of eye care services is an important tool for achieving excellence in eye care service delivery.

Objectives: To determine the perception of eye care service delivery, personnel and facility among patients attending a mission hospital in a rural community in Nigeria.

Methods: This was a hospital based cross-sectional study. Ethical approval was obtained and 127 consecutive consenting patients were selected. Data were obtained using a pre tested structured questionnaire and analysed using SPSS version 21.0.

Results: Most of the participants (79.5%) were generally satisfied with the eye care services. The main areas of dissatisfaction were the waiting time, cost of services and the number of doctors. Areas with high satisfaction levels include attitude of the staff, examination and consultation with doctors and outcome of treatment. Majority (94.5%) of the participants would like to return to/continue with the hospital for their eye care services.

Conclusion: The findings of the study show that there is need for improvement in the areas of waiting time, cost of services and the number of doctors in order to provide better eye care services in the hospital.

Introduction

Patients’ judgement and feedback regarding eye care service are vital in organization and implementation of changes within the service [1]. They serve to complement evaluations conducted from the point of view of the health professionals and public health authorities [2]. Assessing the patients’ perception aim at striking a balance between the expectations of the patients and the quality of services rendered by the eye care team. It is a yardstick that measures the success of the available services [3]. The goal of any service provider is to create satisfaction among the consumers [3]. The patient is the ultimate consumer in the eye care setting [3] and is said to be the most important person in the system [4]. Thus, the eye care system should aim at adopting a patient oriented system to ensure maximum patient satisfaction [4]. Patients’ perception of eye care services is a reflection of their satisfaction and has been noted to vary from that of the service providers [5]. Determinants of overall satisfaction with received care have been noted to include the medical aspects of care such as trained personnel, use of appropriate medication and proper instruments, and the use of newer technologies [4]; as well as the non-medical aspect of care such as waiting time, access to available services and communication [4].

Eye care services just like other health care services are people based and are therefore said to be heterogeneous in nature. They vary depending on factors such as the environment, the mood of both the service provider and receiver. In other words eye care providers vary in the way they handle the same illness and patients vary in their opinion of the same eye care provider [3].

In a study by Ademola-Popoola et al. [6] on Patients’ Assessment of Quality of Eye Care in a Nigerian Teaching Hospital in north-central Nigeria, long waiting time was found to be a major source of dissatisfaction to the patients. This finding is in keeping with that of Sudhan et al. [7]. While studying patient satisfaction regarding eye care services at tertiary hospital of central India, Ezegwui et al. [5] noted that the cost of services was a major source of dissatisfaction while studying Patients’ satisfaction with eye care services in a Nigerian teaching hospital in south-east Nigeria. This is at variance with the finding of Ademola-Popoola et al. [6] where most of the participants perceived the cost of services to be affordable.

Sudhan et al. [7] also noted that most of the participants were highly satisfied with the attitude of the eye care personnel. In another study by Ghosh in India, communication and behaviour of the nurses were described as pleasant and satisfactory in 46% and 32% of the cases respectively, while 84% of participants perceived the efficiency of the doctors to be satisfactory [3]. Patients’ satisfaction with eye care services will determine their continued use of the facility [5]. It has therefore been recommended that surveys analysing the satisfaction of patients be carried out regularly as a means of assessing and improving the eye care services being offered to the patients [5,7]. This study is therefore aimed at determining the perception of eye care services among patients by analysing their satisfaction with eye care delivery, personnel and facility with a view to improving the quality of eye care services offered and making the system more patient oriented for better patient satisfaction.
Materials and Methods

This was a descriptive cross-sectional study, carried out at Mercy Eye Centre, which is a section of the Mercy Hospital, a mission hospital in Abak. The eye section has two consultant Ophthalmologists while resident doctors come in batches of 1-3 for surgical experience. Abak is a rural community located in Abak Local Government Area of Akwa-Ibom State, Nigeria. It has a landmass of 190 km², and its geographical coordinates are latitude 4°59'N and longitude 7°47'E. It is located in the tropical rain forest belt of south-south Nigeria. The study population consisted of consecutive patients visiting the Eye Centre during the period of the study, until the sample size was completed.

The sample size was calculated using the formula

\[
\hat{n}_f = \frac{n}{1 + n}
\]

Where

\[
\hat{n}_f = \text{Desired sample size when the population is less than 10,000}
\]

\[
n = \text{The desired sample size when the population is more than 10,000}
\]

\[
N = \text{the estimate of the population size} = 1000
\]

Substituting in the equation,

\[
\hat{n}_f = \frac{146}{1 + \frac{146}{1000}} = 146
\]

Ethical approval was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Committee of the hospital before commencement of the study. In the course of the study, the tenets of the Helsinki declaration and the National code of Health research were adhered to. The purpose and benefits of the study were explained to the participants in details and an informed consent obtained from each participant before being included in the study. Confidentiality was also guaranteed. All consenting consecutive patients attending the eye clinic in December 2016 were recruited into the study until the estimated sample size was attained. Those who did not give consent were excluded from the study.

A pre tested structured was used to obtain data. The questionnaire was made up of five sections, AB, C D and E. Section A was used to collect the demographic data of the participants, their perception of eye care delivery process, eye care personnel and the eye care facility were recorded in sections B, C and D; while section E was used to compare the facility with other eye care facilities. The authors and a trained assistant administered the questionnaire. Data was coded and double entered into a computer and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 21.0 Chicago, Illinois, USA. All statistical calculations were done at the significance of \(P < 0.05\). Chi-square was used for the test of significance.

Results

A total of 127 consecutive consenting patients were interviewed. The age range was from 18-85 years and the mean age 48.13±17.02 years. The demographic data of the participants are shown in Table 1. Concerning the perception of eye care delivery by the participants, more than half of them 67 (52.8%) felt they waited too long to see the doctor, 10 (7.9%) were undecided while 50 (39.4%) felt otherwise. Most of the participants 88 (69.3%) noted that the drugs prescribed for them by the doctors were usually available in the hospital, 18 (14.2%) said theirs were usually unavailable, while 21 (16.5%) were unsure. Only 18 (14.2%) felt the medical treatment they received was inadequate, 89 (70.1%) felt the medical treatment was adequate, while 20 (15.7%) were uncertain. Of the 33 (26.0%) that have had surgery in the hospital, only 4 (12.1%) felt the outcome was poor while 29 (87.9%) felt their outcome was good.

Regarding the perception of the eye care personnel by the participants, 107 (84.3%) felt they were compassionate and supportive, 10 (7.9%) felt they were not, while the remaining 10 (7.9%) were undecided. Most of the participants 108 (85.0%) felt the eye care personnel were honest, 9 (7.1%) felt otherwise while 10 (7.9%) were uncertain. Majority 110 (86.6%) also felt the eye care personnel were respectful, 8 (6.3%) were unsure while 9 (7.1%) felt otherwise. Only 16 (12.6%) felt they were not adequately examined by the doctors, 8 (6.3%) were unsure while 103 (81.1%) felt otherwise. With regards to the time allowed for discussion in view of the patients’ eye condition, 98 (77.2%) felt sufficient time was allowed, 21 (16.5%), felt the time was insufficient while 8 (6.3%) were unsure. A few of the participants 20 (15.7%) felt the eye care personnel gave inadequate information concerning their eye problems, most 97 (76.4%) felt the information they were given was adequate while 10 (7.6%) were uncertain. More than half of the participants 71 (55.9%) felt their appointments were not too often while 31 (24.4%) felt their appointments were too often, 25 (19.7%) were undecided.

Figures 1 & 2 show the duration the patients have been coming to the hospital and the frequency of their appointments respectively. The participants visit the hospital from various states of the country as shown in Table 2. The time it takes to get to the hospital from the location of the participants is as shown in Table 3. Most participants, 103 (81.1%) felt the location of the hospital was adequate, 7 (5.5%) were not certain, while 17 (13.4%) felt it was not adequate. More than half of the participants, 67 (52.8%) felt the hospital fees were affordable, 39 (30.7%) felt they were not, 21 (16.5%) were uncertain. Only a few, 16 (12.6%) felt the payment process was not easy and straight forward, 99 (78.0%) felt otherwise. Up to 42 (33.1%) felt the number of doctors was inadequate, while 53 (41.7%) felt there were enough doctors. A few 18 (14.2%) felt the eye care equipment were not adequate, while 82 (64.6%) felt they were. Only 19 (15%) felt the number and sizes of rooms were not adequate, 75 (59.1%) felt they were. Generally, most of the participants 101 (79.5%) felt the eye care services rendered were adequate, 10 (7.9%) felt they were not.
while 16 (12.6%) were uncertain. Almost all the participants 120 (94.5%) would like to return or continue with the hospital for their eye care services, 4 (3.1%) would not like to, while 3 (2.4%) were uncertain.

Table 1: Demographic data of the participants.

| Sex          | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Male         | 69        | 54.3    |
| Female       | 58        | 45.7    |
| Total        | 127       | 100.0   |

| Marital status | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Single         | 48        | 37.8    |
| Married        | 64        | 50.4    |
| Divorced       | 1         | .8      |
| Widowed        | 14        | 11.0    |
| Total          | 127       | 100.0   |

| Educational Status | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| Primary            | 29        | 22.8    |
| Secondary          | 40        | 31.5    |
| Tertiary           | 49        | 38.6    |
| None               | 9         | 7.1     |
| Total              | 127       | 100.0   |

| Occupation | Frequency | Percent |
|------------|-----------|---------|
| Trading    | 40        | 31.5    |
| Farming    | 21        | 16.5    |
| Artisan    | 3         | 2.4     |
| Driver     | 2         | 1.6     |
| Others     | 16        | 12.6    |
| Civil Servant | 11  | 8.7    |
| Pensioneer | 10        | 7.9     |
| Buss. Man  | 3         | 2.4     |
| Clergy     | 5         | 4.0     |
| Fishing    | 1         | .8      |
| Public Servant | 2      | 1.6    |
| Student    | 9         | 7.1     |
| Teaching   | 4         | 3.2     |
| Total      | 127       | 100.0   |

| Nationality | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Nigerian    | 127       | 100.0   |

| Tribe       | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Adoni       | 2         | 1.6     |
| Annang      | 39        | 30.7    |
| Efik        | 1         | .8      |
| Epi         | 2         | 1.6     |
| Ibibio      | 36        | 28.3    |
| Idoma       | 3         | 2.4     |
| Igbo        | 26        | 20.5    |
| Igw         | 10        | 7.9     |
| Ogoni       | 6         | 4.7     |
| Onon        | 1         | .8      |
| Yoruba      | 1         | .8      |
| Total       | 127       | 100.0   |

| Religion | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Christianity | 127 | 100.0 |

Some of the participants, 56 (44.1%) have visited other hospitals, of these, only 8 (14.3%) have visited a mission hospital just like ours, 24 (42.9%) each have been to government and private hospitals. Of the participants that have visited other hospitals, 24 (42.9%) each feel the waiting time is shorter and longer while 8 (14.3%) feel it is the same. More people, 25 (44.6%) feel the treatment is cheaper here, 21 (37.5%) feel it is costlier, while 10 (17.9%) feel it is the same. The perception of the participants regarding the effectiveness of our treatment, proficiency and attitude of our staff compared to other hospitals visited is shown in Figure 3.

Table 2: Locations where the patients come from for their appointments.

| Location     | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Akwa Ibom    | 87        | 68.5    |
| Rivers State | 28        | 22.0    |
| Abia State   | 3         | 2.4     |
| Bayelsa      | 4         | 3.1     |
| Cross R      | 1         | .8      |
| Imo          | 4         | 3.1     |
| Total        | 127       | 100.0   |

Table 3: Duration from participant’s location to the hospital.

| Frequency | Percent |
|-----------|---------|
| <2hrs     | 69      | 54.3   |
| 2hrs-<4hrs| 39      | 30.7   |
| 4hrs-<6hrs| 11      | 8.7    |
| not specified | 8  | 6.3    |
| Total     | 127     | 100.0  |

Of the 101 participants who felt the eye care service rendered in the hospital was adequate, 98 (97.0%) would like to continue/return to the centre for eye care (p = 0.03). A hundred (97.1%) of the 103 who felt the facility was adequately located would like...
to continue/return for their eye care, while 16 (94.1%) out of 17 people that felt otherwise would also like to continue/return for their eye care (p = 0.00). Of the 67 that felt the fees were affordable, 63 (94.0%) would like to continue/return for eye care, but this was not statistically significant (p = 0.33). Eighty-six (86.9%) of the 99 that felt the process of payment was easy and straightforward felt that the services rendered were generally adequate, while 62.5% of those that felt the process of payment was not easy and straightforward also felt that the services rendered were generally adequate (p = 0.00). All those who felt that the number of doctors was inadequate would still like to continue/return for eye care, while 92.5% of those who felt the number of doctors was adequate would also like to continue/return for eye care but this was not statistically significant (p = 0.39).

Although 88.1% of those who felt the number of doctors was inadequate also felt they were usually adequately examined by the doctors, this was not statistically significant (p = 0.05). Similarly, all those who felt their surgical outcome was not good would still like to continue/return for eye care but this was not statistically significant (p = 0.86). Of those who felt the medical treatment given by the doctors was inadequate, 94.4% would still like to continue/return for eye care while 97.8% of those who felt the medical treatment was adequate would also like to continue/return for eye care and this was statistically significant (p = 0.03). Of the 67 who felt they waited too long to see the doctor, 73.1% still felt the services rendered were generally adequate and 95.5% of the 67 would like to continue/return for eye care but these were not statistically significant (p = 0.24 and 0.53 respectively).

Discussion

Constant evaluation of patients’ perception of eye care services is paramount to achieving excellence in eye care delivery. This study aims at assessing the patients’ perception of eye care service delivery, personnel and facility.

Perception of Eye Care Delivery

More than half of the participants (52.8%) reported long waiting time. This is more than the 30.3% reported by Ezegwui et al. [5] in south-eastern Nigeria, and 37.8% by Rizyal [8] in Nepal. However waiting time has been noted as a major area of dissatisfaction among patients by other researchers [1,6,9]. The long waiting time may be attributed to the high patient load with few eye care personnel to attend to them. Most of the participants were satisfied with both the medical (70.1%) and surgical (87.9%) treatment they received. This compares with the 79.3% of patients who were satisfied with their surgical outcome in a study by Patel et al. [10]. Well above half of the participants, 69.3% noted that their prescribed drugs were available in the hospital pharmacy. This is similar to the 64.3% reported by Sagaro et al. [11] who were able to buy their prescribed drugs from outside the hospital. On the contrary, some researchers [6,12] have noted availability of drugs as a major dissatisfaction area as up to 60% and 70% were reported to source for prescribed drugs from outside the hospital. Although 78.0% of the participants agreed the payment process was easy and straightforward, only 52.8% feel the fees are affordable. Cost of services constitutes a major dissatisfaction area for the patients in this study. In a study by Ezegwui et al. [5], where only 6.2% of the patients were satisfied with the cost of services, cost was also noted as a major dissatisfaction area. However, some other researchers [6,9] have reported higher satisfaction levels in contrast to our findings.

Perception of Eye Care Personnel

Most of the patients interviewed feel the staff are compassionate and supportive (84.3%), honest (85.0%), and respectful (86.6%) and therefore satisfied with the general attitude of the staff. This is in keeping with the findings by other researchers in Asia [1,3,7,8,10] however, Ezegwui et al. [5] noted attitude of the staff as a major area of dissatisfaction in their study. It has been noted that patient information and communication are some of the areas that must be addressed in other to improve eye care services [4]. In our study, 77.2% feel sufficient time is allowed for discussions in view of their eye conditions, and 76.4% feel they receive adequate information from the eye care personnel concerning their eye problems. This compare to the 83.6% reported by Ademola-Popoola et al. [6] as those who rated the explanation given to them by the health workers as good. Although only 41.7% feel the number of doctors is adequate, 81.1% feel they are usually adequately examined by the doctors during consultation.

Perception Of Eye Care Facility

Majority of the patients, 68.5% visit the hospital from within Akwa Ibom state, the rest come from areas around the state. In as much as a significant number of patients (31.5%) come from outside the state, it takes most of them (85.0%) less than 4 hours to get to the hospital. Although up to 39.4% of the patients spend ≥2 hours on the road to get to the hospital, 81.1% still feel the location of the hospital is adequate, and therefore easily accessible. This may be explained by the fact that most of the patients reside within the state. Easy accessibility has been noted as an important factor that must be considered for better service delivery [4]. Although most of the patients 58.2% have their appointments as often as ≤ 6 monthly, 55.9% are satisfied with the frequency of their appointments. The use of appropriate equipment and instrument is an important medical component of patient care [4]. In our study, 64.6% of the participants noted that the eye care equipment were adequate. The physical facilities such as the number of consulting rooms were noted to be adequate by 59.1% of the participants. Ezegwui et al. [5] also noted physical facilities to be a major dissatisfaction area in their study.
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The major areas of dissatisfaction noted in our study are the waiting time, cost of treatment and number of doctors. Despite these challenges 79.5% of the participants were generally satisfied with the services provided by the hospital. Other researchers [5,6,9] have reported even higher levels of general satisfaction. Of the participants who have visited other hospitals for eye care, 80.4% feel our treatment is more effective, 73.2% feel our staff are more proficient and 73.2% feel our staff have better attitude. This will explain the reason 94.5% would like to continue/return to our hospital for eye care. This compares to the 90.9% reported by Ezegwui et al. [5] as those that would like to return for eye care.

Conclusion

Patients’ perception of eye care services is dynamic. Periodic monitoring and evaluation of quality of eye care from patients’ view will serve as a good audit tool to ensure continuous quality improvement. This study has highlighted some areas of need which should be focused on in an attempt to improve eye care services in the hospital.
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