Group B Streptococcal Maternal Colonization and Neonatal Disease: Molecular Mechanisms and Preventative Approaches
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Group B Streptococcus (GBS) colonizes the gastrointestinal and vaginal epithelium of a significant percentage of healthy women, with potential for ascending intrauterine infection or transmission during parturition, creating a risk of serious disease in the vulnerable newborn. This review highlights new insights on the bacterial virulence determinants, host immune responses, and microbiome interactions that underpin GBS vaginal colonization, the proximal step in newborn infectious disease pathogenesis. From the pathogen perspective, the function GBS adhesins and biofilms, \(\beta\)-hemolysin/cytolysin toxin, immune resistance factors, sialic acid mimicry, and two-component transcriptional regulatory systems are reviewed. From the host standpoint, pathogen recognition, cytokine responses, and the vaginal mucosal and placental immunity to the pathogen are detailed. Finally, the rationale, efficacy, and potential unintended consequences of current universal recommended intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis are considered, with updates on new developments toward a GBS vaccine or alternative approaches to reducing vaginal colonization.
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Summary: This review provides an update on group B Streptococcus factors promoting maternal colonization and considerations for current and developing neonatal disease prevention strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Streptococcus agalactiae [group B Streptococcus (GBS)] is an encapsulated Gram-positive bacterium that colonizes the lower gastrointestinal tract, and in females, the urogenital tract, of 20–30% of healthy human adults (1). GBS utilizes multiple adhesins and stress response mechanisms, defenses against other microbes, and immune evasion strategies to achieve persistent or intermittent vaginal colonization. During the peripartum period, GBS gains access to a new host, the immune-deficient neonate, where GBS can again serve as a commensal organism or transition to an invasive pathogen resulting in sepsis or meningitis. GBS displays an arsenal of virulence factors, including a potent hemolytic toxin and multiple surface proteins to invade host tissues, as well as molecular mimicry and proteases to impede host immune recognition and responses. Maternal screening and antibiotic therapy during labor are the current preventive measures against GBS neonatal disease. However,
this early exposure to broad-spectrum antibiotics alters the infant gut flora and may be accompanied with life-long consequences. This review provides a collection of recent findings on the epidemiology, molecular pathogenesis and host immune responses related to GBS vaginal colonization, and an outlook on emerging alternative prophylactic strategies to limiting maternal vaginal colonization and neonatal exposure.

EMERGENCE OF GBS AS A HUMAN NEONATAL PATHOGEN

In the 1970s, GBS emerged as the leading cause of mortality and morbidity in human neonates, causing over 7,000 cases of invasive neonatal infections annually in the U.S. at that time (2). Early-onset GBS disease (EOD) results from ascending infection of the womb or by neonatal acquisition during vaginal passage and manifests on days 0–6 of life with pneumonia or respiratory distress commonly advancing to sepsis. Late-onset disease (LOD) is classified with onset from days 7 to 90, arising from maternal, nosocomial, or community sources, and presents with bacteremia with a high complication rate of meningitis (3, 4). Of the children developing GBS meningitis, almost 50% will have consequences of neurological disability (5). An estimated four million newborns die each year within the first 4 weeks of life globally, and one in four of these deaths stems from severe infection including sepsis or pneumonia with 99% of neonatal deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries (6). In developed countries, GBS and E. coli combined cause approximately 70% of early-onset neonatal sepsis of both term and preterm infants (7). Neonatal colonization occurs in approximately 40–75% of births from GBS colonized mothers, with 1–2% of cases leading to invasive disease (8–11). Risk factors for neonatal colonization include intrapartum fever and heavy maternal colonization, lack of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis (IAP) exposure, and also African ethnicity (12). Risk factors for neonatal infection include preterm delivery <37 weeks, prolonged rupture of membranes (>18 h), intrapartum fever temperature of at least 38°C or 100.4°F, a prior infant with GBS infection, or exposure but not infection with HIV (13, 14). Infants born to GBS-positive mothers are also three times more likely to be transferred to the neonatal intensive care unit (15). GBS exposure or colonization may also impact health later in childhood, as maternal GBS colonization has been associated with a significant increased risk of childhood asthma (16). Increasing in immune-compromised adults, including pregnant women, diabetics and the elderly, GBS is recognized as an invasive pathogen, with reports of sepsis, urinary tract infections, soft tissue infections, and meningitis (3).

GBS PHYLOGENY AND HOST RANGE

Until the 1930s, GBS was considered primarily bovine in origin and recognized as a frequent etiologic agent of mastitis (17). GBS has since been readily isolated from various mammals, reptiles, and fishes, both as a commensal and pathogen (18). GBS is now a rising concern in aquaculture, particularly the tilapia industry, causing an estimated 40 million dollar in losses annually, and serving as a potential additional route of zoonotic infection (19). Little is known about the dissemination of GBS across species; however, a cross-sectional cohort study revealed that cattle exposure was a predictor of human GBS colonization indicating interspecies transmission can occur (20). Phylogenetic analysis of bovine and human invasive GBS strains suggests that hyperinvasive human neonatal isolates have recently diverged from a bovine ancestor (17). In the 1930s, Dr. Rebecca Lancefield described two polysaccharide antigens: the conserved Group B carbohydrate, and the diverse S substance that generates type-specific antisera (21). Since then, 10 variants of the capsule have been described (Ia, Ib, and II–IX), with serotypes Ia, Ib, II, III, and V most commonly isolated from humans (4, 22, 23). More recently, GBS has been classified by sequence type (ST) based on an allelic profile of seven different loci, with the majority of GBS human isolates being ST-1, ST-17, ST-19, or ST-23 (24). Diversity of the GBS polysaccharide capsule may allow for its broad range of hosts, in part through the establishment of biofilms (25).

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF GBS VAGINAL COLONIZATION

A broad range of GBS vaginal colonization rates during pregnancy have been reported, and this variance depends on the regions or populations of individual studies as well as the method of sampling and culturing. The most recent report of global vaginal GBS colonization estimates a prevalence of 18%, after adjusting for sample collection and methodology, with the lowest regional prevalence in Southern and Eastern Asia (11–13%) and highest prevalence in the Caribbean (35%) (26). Previous global estimates were similar, falling in the range of 8–18% (27–29). Numerous risk factors for GBS vaginal colonization have been identified both biological and socioeconomic in nature. Biological factors include a history of premature rupture of membranes (PROM) (30), gastrointestinal GBS colonization (31), and increased maternal age. In one study, maternal age >36 years of age was associated with persistent colonization (32), and another demonstrated a higher GBS colonization rate in women >40 years of age (33). Ethnicity, obesity, low vitamin D intake, hygiene, sexual activity, health care occupation, and illiteracy have also been associated with GBS vaginal carriage (31, 34–36). During pregnancy, GBS vaginal colonization may be continuous, intermittent, or transient among individual women (37). The majority of GBS-positive women are stably colonized during the peripartum period; however, changes in serotype or ST or subsequent loss of specific STs have been documented (32). Many studies have examined the most common serotypes of colonizing strains in the United States and are in agreement that serotypes Ia, III, and V are the most represented serotypes (32, 38, 39) with serotype III being more likely to result in persistent colonization than Ia or V (40). Given the possibility of capsular switching, more recent studies have determined GBS STs and found STs 1, 23, and 19 the most abundant colonizing strains (32, 41). Strong biofilm formation was recently determined to be a trait of asymptomatic colonizing strains, with weak biofilm capacity present in invasive strains (42).
**BACTERIAL DETERMINANTS OF GBS VAGINAL COLONIZATION**

The transient nature of GBS vaginal colonization likely reflects a combination of GBS determinants, antagonism by commensal flora, host immune responses, and changes in pregnancy status, vaginal pH and estrous cycle, among other factors which are summarized in Figure 1. One of the most critical steps in successful GBS colonization of the mucosal surface is adherence to luminal epithelial cells and/or surface host proteins. Increased GBS adherence to vaginal epithelial cells and extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins has been observed in vitro as pH shifts from acidic to neutral, suggesting a propensity for tissue attachment at vaginal pH (43). Several specific GBS surface-expressed determinants have been shown to contribute to vaginal and cervical epithelial cell adherence, including surface serine-rich repeat (Srr) proteins, Srr-1 and Srr-2 (44, 45), alpha-like proteins (46), the pilus protein PilA of the GBS pilus island (PI)-2a (44), bacterial surface adhesins BsaB (47), BspA (48), and BibA (49). In addition, GBS pili, and other surface proteins, promote adherence to ECM constituents such as collagen (50), fibrinogen (51–53), fibronectin (52, 54–56), and laminin (57, 58), all of which have been identified in multiple vaginal proteome studies (59). Of note, GBS possesses metalloproteinases capable of cleaving all four of these ECM proteins (60), which may aid in local tissue contact and invasion, or niche establishment. GBS constituents apart from surface adherence proteins can influence cervicovaginal adherence or vaginal persistence, including particular capsular serotypes (61, 62), expression of β-hemolysin/cytolysin (β-H/C) toxin and carotenoid pigment (63, 64), and MntH, an H+–dependent manganese transporter (65).

**BIOFILM FORMATION AND GBS VAGINAL COLONIZATION**

Group B Streptococcus biofilm development appears to support vaginal tract colonization by affording protection from harsh environmental factors and host defenses (66). Acidic conditions characteristic of the vaginal tract may promote GBS biofilms...
(67, 68), although some studies have yielded contradictory results (69). Under acidic conditions, some hypervirulent ST-17 strains show heightened biofilm production compared with other sequences types (67), whereas in neutral conditions, neonatal ST-17 and ST-19 strains formed weaker biofilms vs. colonizing isolates (42). In addition, GBS surface proteins FbsA and FbsC have been implicated in the formation of biofilms in vitro (66, 70, 71). GBS vaginal biofilms using in vivo models, or in human clinical observations, have not been demonstrated and future development of such models would increase our understanding of GBS colonization at the mucosal surface.

**INFLUENCE OF VAGINAL MICROBIOTA ON GBS COLONIZATION**

In contemporary schema, the healthy human vaginal microbiome has been clustered into five different communities. Four communities are dominated by *Lactobacillus* species that are believed to lower the environmental pH through lactic acid production, helping protect the host from various microbial pathogens (72). During pregnancy, there is a reduction in species diversity within the vaginal microbiota, with a dominance of *Lactobacillus* species and the orders Lactobacillales, followed by Clostridiales, Bacteroidales, and Actinomycetales, which drive a further lowering of vaginal pH to protect both mother and fetus from infection (73, 74). Whether or not GBS should be considered a native component of the vaginal microbiota is still debated. A number of recent reports have described a relative reduction of vaginal *Lactobacillus* populations in GBS-positive women (75–77), although other studies have failed to establish such changes (37, 78). Furthermore, an absence of *Lactobacillus* within the gut has been established as a risk factor for GBS vaginal colonization (31). Interestingly, an inverse relationship between *Lactobacillus* and GBS has also been observed in cows with subclinical mastitis (79). Certain *Lactobacillus* strains have the capacity to inhibit GBS adherence to vaginal epithelial cells (80, 81), and antimicrobial activity of *Lactobacillus* against GBS has been documented in vitro (82) and reduction of colonization seen in vivo (83, 84). Although the full complexity of the vaginal microbiome is only now being characterized, preliminary in vitro studies have begun to probe GBS communication and cooperation with other microbes in this host microenvironment. In pregnant women, GBS is frequently co-isolated with *C. albicans* (28, 76, 85), whereas co-isolation with other pathogens such as *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, and *Mycoplasma hominis* has not been observed (28). In a recent study in non-pregnant women, GBS colonization was positively correlated with vaginal *Prevotella bivia*, and increased rates of GBS colonization were observed in the non-*Lactobacillus* dominated vaginal community state type IV-A (86). GBS binds directly to *C. albicans*, in part through interactions facilitated by the surface-anchored BspA protein, which also assists in epithelial cell adherence (48). GBS also utilizes products derived from vaginal microbes, such as exogenous 1,4-dihydroxy-2-naphthoic acid, to stimulate its own respiratory metabolism (87). Moreover, in vitro studies suggest GBS may exchange quorum sensing molecules with other *Streptococcus* species to reciprocally influence each other’s gene expression (88). The presence of GBS may also affect the virulence properties of other reproductive tract pathogens. For example, GBS culture supernatants increase production of toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 in *Staphylococcus aureus* (89), and these two organisms are frequently co-isolated from vaginal swabs (90, 91) as well as the infant nasopharynx (92). GBS possesses several resistance mechanisms for competing with the dominant *Lactobacillus* spp. and other native flora. These include the manganese transporter MntH that supports GBS growth during lactic acid exposure (65). GBS is inherently resistant to the antimicrobial activity of nisin, a lantibiotic produced by *Lactococcus lactis*, through the action of SaNSR, a nisin-degrading enzyme that cleaves off the terminal six amino acids of the peptide to dramatically reduce (100-fold) its bactericidal activity (93, 94). GBS can also inhibit the growth of groups A, B, C, and G streptococci, *Gardnerella vaginalis*, lactobacilli, and diphtheroids under *in vitro* coculture conditions (95). Interestingly, GBS was never isolated with other β-hemolytic streptococci in a clinical study of vaginal swabs (90) or infant nasopharyngeal swabs (92). However, more studies are required to fully elucidate the molecular mechanisms governing GBS persistence and competition among the normal vaginal microbiota.

**REGULATORY SYSTEMS INFLUENCING GBS PATHOGENICITY**

Group B *Streptococcus* has several genetically encoded regulatory systems in place that impact the transition from a commensal niche (e.g., vaginal or gastrointestinal tract) to invasive niches (e.g., blood, lungs, or brain). Like many bacterial pathogens, GBS respond to changes in environmental stimuli using two-component systems (TCS), which typically consist of a membrane-associated histidine kinase, with a sensor or input domain and an intracellular kinase domain, and a cytoplasmic transcriptional response regulator (96, 97). Sequence analyses reveal that GBS strains typically have 17–21 TCS (98–100), a curious abundance compared with the related important human streptococcal pathogens *S. pneumoniae* (~14 TCS) and *S. pyogenes* (~13 TCS), suggesting that GBS may have a more nuanced capacity to sense and respond to various environmental conditions within the host (98). GBS TCS have important roles in controlling virulence, adherence, resistance to host defenses, and bacterial metabolism. The most well-characterized GBS TCS to date is the sensor histidine kinase CovS (Cov = control of virulence) coupled to response regulator CovR, which coordinately regulate up to 27% of the entire genome (101). CovR/S has largely inhibitory effects on virulence gene expression, including downregulation of fibrinogen-binding proteins A, B, and C (FbsA, FbsB, and FbsC), multiple non-pilus adherence factors, genes involved in iron uptake, and the cym operon implicated in production of the β-H/C toxin and antioxidant carotenoid pigment (43, 66, 102–104). TCS RgfA/C controls expression of the GBS Csa peptide, which inactivates a critical host complement-derived chemokine, as well as expression of several surface proteins including fibrinogen-binding proteins FbsA and FbsB (99, 105, 106). The recently characterized TCS HssRS
senses and regulates heme utilization and metabolism critical for colonization of blood-rich organs (107). TCS CiaR/H promotes GBS oxidant resistance and intracellular trafficking, and by regulating several putative peptidases may enhance GBS resistance to endogenous host antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) (108, 109). Likewise, TCS LiaR/S responds to host AMPs acting on cell wall integrity to modulate cell wall synthesis (110), and TCS DltR/S maintains levels of d-alanine in GBS cell wall lipoteichoic acid (LTA) to increase AMP resistance (111). By coordinately regulating virulence factors, stress response, and AMP sensitivity, GBS mutants deficient in several of the above TCS (with the exception of RgfA/C) have been shown to have attenuated virulence in vivo. Conversely, mutation in one TCS BgrR/S that controls expression of the β-antigen (bac gene) is associated with increased GBS virulence in a mouse sepsis model (112). With respect to GBS vaginal colonization, only the CovR/S system has been proven to regulate vaginal epithelial cell attachment and promote vaginal persistence in vivo (43, 63, 99). Recently identified TCS FspS/R regulates fructose metabolism and plays a role in GBS vaginal colonization (99), but its effect on vaginal epithelial cell attachment per se has not been studied. Likewise, TCS NsrR/K senses and regulates resistance genes involved in lantibiotic resistance, which theoretically could enable GBS to better compete against lantibiotic-producing mucosal flora (113), although this has not yet been confirmed in vivo.

GBS INTERACTION WITH HOST INNATE IMMUNE RECEPTORS

Immediate host recognition of invading bacterial pathogens includes complement deposition and engagement of receptors for pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), including toll-like receptors (TLRs) (114). GBS has a number of factors to counteract the opsonizing effects of complement, including its surface polysaccharide capsule, which prevents C3b deposition (115, 116), and lessens host production of C5a (117); C5a levels are further degraded by the specific GBS peptidase ScpB (118, 119). GBS also thwarts the complement system through a secreted complement interfering protein (CIP) (120), surface protein BibA (49), and binding of inhibitory complement factor H to the surface-expressed β-protein (Bac) (121) and streptococcal histidine triad (122). TLRs implicated in GBS recognition including TLR2 and TLR6 which engage cell wall LTA and lipoproteins (123, 124), and processes of intracellular sensing by endosomal TLR7 (125) and the murine endosomal TLR13 (124, 126). TLR signaling activates adaptor protein MyD88 and GBS-induced NF-κB translocation, and phagocyte generation of reactive oxygen species (though not phagocytosis itself) is significantly impaired in MyD88−/− mice (127). In macrophages, MyD88 and fellow TLR adaptor UNC-93 signaling elicits the production multiple cytokines in response to GBS (128). Compared with WT mice, TLR2- and MyD88-deficient animals were less able to control systemic GBS infection at lower doses, but conversely, were protected from cytokine storm induced by lethal GBS challenge, highlighting the importance of MyD88 in the magnitude to host cytokine response to the pathogen (129). In contrast to other pyogenic bacterial pathogens such as S. aureus, intracellular NOD2 receptor signaling was not critical in host defense against GBS (130, 131).

GBS SIALIC ACID MOLECULAR MIMICRY AND SIGLECS

Another unique mechanism by which GBS evades innate immunity is through molecular mimicry of a critical host glycan. All GBS capsular polysaccharide serotypes present a prominent α2,3-linked terminal sialic acid in their repeat units, which is precisely identical to a common host cell epitope present on glycolipids and glycoproteins decorating the surface of all mammalian cells (132). A family of inhibitory leukocyte receptors, the sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectins (Siglecs), plays an important role in immune homeostasis by recognizing sialic acid as a “self” epitope, and GBS molecular mimicry allows inhibitory Siglec engagement to downregulate macrophage and neutrophil responses including phagocytosis, oxidative burst, cytokine release and bacterial killing (133–138). Interestingly, the surface-anchored β-protein present in some GBS strains can engage inhibitory Siglec-5 on human macrophages in a sialic-acid-independent manner but still elicit promote inhibitory signaling to suppress innate immune responsiveness (135). Transgenic mice expressing a soluble form of human Siglec-9, that competitively inhibits GBS engagement of the inhibitory Siglec-9 to downregulate neutrophil function, demonstrated improved survival, suggesting a potential novel therapeutic avenue (139).

PATTERNS OF GBS CYTOKINE STIMULATION

Group B Streptococcus cytokine stimulation has been examined in many human immune, epithelial, and endothelial cells that comprise host barriers and defenses including dendritic cells (140), monocytes (141), lung epithelial cells (142), urinary bladder epithelial cells (143), vaginal and cervical epithelial cells (63), brain microvascular endothelial cells (144), astrocytes (145), and coronary artery endothelial cells (146). Intravenous or intraperitoneal GBS infection in mouse models induced robust production of TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 (147, 148); and these cytokines as well as IFN-γ, IL-8, and IL-10 were activated upon intraperitoneal infection of humanized mice (149). Although important for control of GBS in sublethal challenge doses, TNF-α may be detrimental to the host during GBS septic shock as anti-TNF-α antibody treatment reduced mortality upon high-dose GBS challenge (129, 150). IL-1β contributes to CXCL1 and CXCL2 chemokine signaling resulting in neutrophil recruitment to GBS-infected tissues (151, 152), and neutrophils themselves are a key producer of IL-1β in an amplification loop for innate immune and inflammation (153). IL-1 receptor signaling contributes to host clearance of GBS, even at sublethal doses (152). IFN-γ, as well as IFN-γ inducers IL-12 and IL-18, protects the host during GBS infection (154–156). Recent studies have shown that blocking IL-10, or IL-10 deficiency, promotes resistance to GBS sepsis in part by restoring neutrophil recruitment to sites of infection...
VAGINAL IMMUNITY TO GBS INFECTION

Studies examining potential host immune responses resulting from asymptomatic GBS vaginal colonization, or from other tissues of the female reproductive tract, are few in number. One study comparing phagocyte engulfment of GBS in colonized and non-colonized pregnant women observed that monocytes from colonized women engulfed significantly more GBS but released more superoxide extracellularly suggesting impaired or insufficient immune function may contribute to GBS vaginal persistence (166). Another work demonstrated that vaginally colonized women possessed elevated levels of IgG and IgA antibodies to GBS in cervical secretions compared with non-colonized women (167). In addition, increased levels of maternal serum IL-1β have been associated with increased risk of GBS neonatal infection and early term birth (168). Vaginal fluid collected from GBS-positive pregnant women contains higher concentrations of MMP-8 and neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin compared with GBS-negative samples (169). Rectal inoculation of GBS in mice stimulated vaginal secretion of lGA (170).

Until recently, GBS induction of host responses of the vaginal epithelium had not been examined. In vitro analyses of vaginal and cervical epithelial cells demonstrate robust induction of inflammation including IL-1β production and epithelial disruption by matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) and VEGF, and neutrophil recruitment through IL-8, CXCL1, and CXCL2, as well as inflammatory mediators such as IL-6 and IL-36γ (62, 63). In murine models of vaginal colonization, GBS was found to stimulate IL-1β, IL-6, IL-17, CXCL1, TNF-α, and GM-CSF (62–64, 84). In addition, IL-17+ neutrophils and T cells, and mast cells have been implicated in GBS clearance from the vaginal tract (62, 171).

PLACENTAL IMMUNE RESPONSES TO GBS INFECTION

Since GBS is capable of crossing placental barriers in utero, the final physical barrier to the developing fetus, the host responses to GBS within these tissues is actively investigated. Ascension of GBS from the vaginal tract has been observed in both non-pregnant (62) and pregnant mice (172) suggesting this is the main route by which GBS compromises placental tissues. GBS stimulates HBD-2, IL-1β, IL-8, IL-10, and TNF-α in human extraplacental or chorionicamniotic membranes ex vivo (103, 173–175). In a rhesus monkey GBS infection model, increased amniotic fluid TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 occurred before uterine contractility or any clinical signs of infection, suggesting a direct role for infection in triggering preterm labor (176). Additional non-human primate GBS chorioamnionitis studies demonstrated increased amniotic fluid TNF-α, IL-8, IL-1β, IL-6, and fetal IL-8 along with fetal lung injury (177), combined with reduced cytokeratin and other cytoskeletal genes which may compromise fetal membrane integrity (178). In an in utero infection model, GBS β-H/C was implicated in GBS-mediated fetal injury through both NLRP3 inflammasome-dependent and -independent pathways (179). In a non-human primate model, a hyperhemolytic GBS mutant induced inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-8 in the amniotic fluid compared with non-hemolytic and uninfected controls (180). In a rat model of GBS-induced chorioamnionitis, IL-1β increased in both placental tissues and fetal blood followed by neutrophil invasion into the placenta (181). Up to one-fourth of invasive GBS infection during pregnancy end in stillbirth or abortion (182). Furthermore, recent work suggests that not only can GBS cause placental dysfunction but also maternal inflammation may affect offspring brain development and neurobehavioral traits particularly in male offspring (181, 183, 184).

GBS DISEASE IN PREGNANCY AND IN UTERO COMPLICATIONS

While notorious for its preeminent role in neonatal infections, GBS also causes various maternal infections, with pregnant women displaying an increased incidence of invasive GBS disease, both during gestation (0.04 cases per 1,000 woman-years) and postpartum (0.49 cases per 1,000 woman-years), compared with non-pregnant women (0.02 cases per 1,000 woman-years) (182). GBS carriage is increased in women presenting with vaginitis (185) and in some cases, GBS may even be the etiologic agent of the clinical syndrome (186). GBS bacteremia without focus is also exceptionally prevalent during pregnancy and the immediate postpartum period, accounting for 75% of cases in adults. GBS vaginal colonization, particularly heavy colonization, at the time of delivery has been associated with preterm birth and premature rupture of membranes (PROM) in several individual study populations (9, 187–190), but not in one systematic review (191). GBS ascension of the reproductive tract during pregnancy may result in intra-amniotic infection, chorioamnionitis, or stillbirth (13, 192–195), and GBS burden is increased in placentas from individuals with preterm birth and severe chorioamnionitis.
Briefly, women with mastitis (208, 209) Interestingly, mothers who are breastfeeding of 3–10% of lactating women, and in up to 20% of cases, parturients present with late-onset neonatal disease (192). GBS may be present in the maternal vaginal flora, including GBS, does not appear to develop antisepsis, and meningitis (192, 213).

Recently, a number of research groups have developed animal models of GBS ascending in utero infection to study disease mechanisms. The GBS β-H/C toxin was identified as a key virulence factor contributing to in utero infection in several such models. In ascending GBS infection during pregnancy in mice, wild-type GBS instigated more preterm birth and fetal demise, along with increased placental inflammation and fetal bacterial burdens, compared with isogenic β-H/C-deficient mutants (172). Upon direct intrauterine instillation of bacteria, β-H/C was implicated as a driver of GBS-mediated fetal injury in mice (179). Furthermore, in a non-human primate model, hyperhemolytic GBS initiated preterm birth more readily that non-hemolytic GBS controls (180). GBS hyaluronidase was another virulence determinant shown to contribute to bacterial ascension into the uterus, fetal demise, and preterm birth, and further acts to suppress uterine inflammatory responses when live GBS is recovered from uterine horns (197). These animal studies correlate well with human clinical observations regarding the GBS virulence mechanisms at play. Primary human amniotic epithelial cells and intact chorioamniotic membranes are more readily invaded or traversed by a hyperhemolytic ΔcovR mutant of GBS, compared with wild-type or β-H/C-deficient strains (103), and increased GBS hyaluronidase activity is observed in GBS clinical isolates from preterm birth cases compared with invasive neonatal or colonizing vaginal isolates (197).

RATIONAL AND EFFICACY FOR GBS IAP

By the mid-1990s, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention issued the recommendation for intrapartum (intrauterine) antibiotic prophylaxis (IAP) to GBS-positive mothers, and in 2002, further recommended universal screening of pregnant women for vaginorectal colonization in weeks 35–37 of gestation (2). Oral antibiotics are not recommended, as no reduction in maternal colonization or neonatal transmission has been observed (214, 215). Current recommendations for GBS neonatal disease prevention consists of universal maternal screening for GBS in the 35–37th week of gestation, with IAP given to GBS-positive mothers during labor (2). Unfortunately, even with screening women just before full term is still not a completely accurate depiction of colonization status at delivery. In one study, over 20% of 37th week GBS-positive women were GBS-negative at the time of delivery (216). Four hours of IAP with a beta-lactam has been shown to be highly effective in preventing early-onset disease (219) yet there is evidence to support treating for longer than 4 h when possible (220). Another benefit of IAP is that neonates are less likely to be colonized with GBS at birth, although maternal transmission may occur in the months following (221, 222). Furthermore, the maternal vaginal flora, including GBS, does not appear to develop selective antibiotic resistance after IAP administration (223). IAP has led to a dramatic reduction in GBS EOD to approximately 1,000 cases in the U.S. annually. Nevertheless, GBS remains the leading cause of early-onset neonatal sepsis in term infants, and late-onset occurrence remains unaffected, and may be on the rise (2, 224–226). The CDC Active Bacterial Core surveillance program currently estimates a total of 28,150 cases of GBS infection including neonatal and adult populations resulting in 1,865 deaths annually in the U.S. (227).

POTENTIAL UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES OF IAP

Whether or not IAP alters infection rates of other pathogens or increases GBS antibiotic resistance remains unclear. Some studies have alerted to the possibility of negative IAP effects
including increased infections with Gram-negative bacteria such as ampicillin-resistant *E. coli* (228, 229), whereas others have not (230). A recent epidemiological study found an increase in GBS LOD from 1997–2001 to 2002–2010, but it is not known whether this is due to a shift in GBS pathogenicity, or due to an increase in survival of preterm infants, or delay in disease onset from IAP (226). As determined by oral swab, immediate vertical transmission of lactobacilli within hours of birth is reduced in infants exposed to IAP (231). Several studies have recorded a reduction of *Bifidobacteria* counts in IAP-exposed neonates at 1 week of age, but this difference may be nullified by 1 month of age (232, 233). Levels of gut lactobacilli are not altered by IAP exposure; however, and exclusively breastfed infants have higher *Lactobacillus* counts in the first month of life (233). A combination of IAP and emergency cesarean section may have even more pronounced effects on the infant microbiome (234). In one study, which adjusted for IAP use, infants born to GBS-positive mothers had increased abundance of *Clostridiaceae*, *Ruminococcaceae*, and *Enterococci* at 6 months of age, suggesting that GBS exposure in and of itself may alter gut composition in early life (235). Furthermore, both mother and infant are more susceptible to fungal infections postpartum when IAP is administered (236), and maternal vaginal flora is altered with exposure to antibiotics (78, 237). Apart from altered infant microbiota, IAP exposure may also predispose neonates to various health and developmental disorders. Children born to women receiving antibiotics for spontaneous preterm labor displayed increased functional impairment as well as an increased risk of cerebral palsy (238). Additional studies have examined antibiotic exposure later in infancy, where it can be speculated that at least some of the health impacts are similar. Infants exposed to prenatal antibiotics or receiving antibiotics within the first 6 months of life display increased risk of childhood obesity, or increased body mass by 2 years of age, respectively (239, 240). Maternal GBS colonization and IAP have also been associated with increased infant aortic intima-media thickness, an early marker for risk of cardiovascular disease (241). Risk of developing childhood asthma is increased with early exposure to antibiotics including administration during infancy, or in utero exposure from maternal UTI treatment (16, 242). A reduction in intestinal *Bifidobacteria* has been reported in children with atopic dermatitis (243), similar to the effect seen with IAP in the first 6 months of life; however, no direct correlation has been made between allergy development and maternal IAP or GBS vaginal colonization to date (244). The heightened incidence of allergies and autoimmune diseases in modern Western cultures, particularly with early childhood onset, merits further clarification of long-term impacts of IAP. In addition, adverse maternal and neonatal events resulting from IAP has been recently systematically reviewed (245). The current considerations for IAP to prevent neonatal GBS disease are summarized in Table 1 and highlight the need for more targeted or narrow spectrum prophylaxis.

**STATUS OF GBS VACCINE DEVELOPMENT**

In hallmark studies, Lancefield demonstrated that GBS elicits antibody production in the host in a strain-specific manner (21). For decades, we have known that humans generate serum antibodies against the GBS capsule, and these antibodies are specific to a particular serotype (248). Now recent work has attempted to identify all major GBS proteins that elicit the production of human serum antibodies (249). Antibodies mounted to GBS influence disease susceptibility in neonatal infection, as infants born to women with higher levels of anti-GBS IgG were at lower risk for early-onset disease than women with low levels of anti-GBS IgG (250). A recent murine model suggests that mucosal immunization can result in vaginal IgG production combined with enhanced GBS vaginal clearance (251). Moreover, both IgG and IgM type antibody responses are present in infants surviving meningitis, suggesting that the neonatal immune response may also participate in protection (252). Work performed by Drs. Carol Baker, Dennis Kasper and colleagues in the mid-1970s examined production of maternal IgG to GBS capsular polysaccharide types laid the foundation for the development of a GBS vaccine (253). The vaccine strategy that has progressed the furthest is a Novartis/GSK trivalent GBS conjugate vaccine (against serotypes Ia, Ib, and III), which has completed Phase II clinical trials in pregnant women (246), with Phase III trials proposed (254). This trivalent conjugate has achieved significantly higher GBS-specific titers (measured out to 90 days) in infants born to vaccinated mothers compared with placebo controls, without impacting antibody responses to diphtheria and pneumococcal vaccination (255). Furthermore, a recent study has demonstrated a striking negative correlation between GBS antibody titers in cord blood and infant colonization at birth through 90 days of life (256). GBS surface proteins have also been proposed as conserved antigens including pilus proteins (257), Srr-1 (258), alpha C protein (259), Sip, and ScpB (260). Analytic models have determined a GBS vaccine has comparable cost-effectiveness to other pediatric vaccines (261), and a combination of a GBS vaccine with ≥70% efficacy, and IAP for unimmunized women, would prevent more GBS-associated disease than the current screening/IAP at a similar cost (262). The history of vaccine development, analysis of current candidates, obstacles especially within low- and middle-income

| **TABLE 1 | Benefits and considerations for maternal intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis (IAP).** |
| **Benefits of IAP** | **Considerations for IAP** |
| Maternal | Neonatal |
| Two-fold to eight-fold reduction in vaginal colonization at delivery | Dra stic reduction (>80%) in early-onset disease |
| No increase in antibiotic resistance of vaginal flora | Reduction in colonization at birth |
| Increased risk of fungal infection | Potential increase in Gram-negative infections |
| Altered vaginal flora | Altered transmission of maternal flora |
| Altered gut flora | Altered gut flora |
| Increased risk of cerebral palsy | Increased aortic intima-media thickness |

Reference

(218) (223) (221, 222) (236) (78, 237) (231) (228–230) (232, 233) (238) (241)
countries, and future development pathways have just recently been extensively reviewed and discussed (263, 264).

**ALTERNATIVE TARGETED PREVENTION STRATEGIES AGAINST GBS COLONIZATION**

Several alternative strategies to prevent or limit maternal GBS colonization, in place of the current IAP or proposed vaccine candidates, have been recently explored. The first type of strategy consists of applying purified or synthetic compounds with specific antimicrobial or inhibitory activity toward GBS. Potential agents suggested thus far come from various natural or synthetic sources and have not been explored beyond preliminary in vitro and animal studies. Multiple plant-derived crude extracts and phytochemicals hinder GBS growth in minimum inhibitory concentration assays (265). For example, plant-based lipids from *Aristolochia longa* and *Bryonia dioica* show inhibitory activity against GBS in vitro (266), as does the vaginal microbicide octylglycerol (267). Bacteriostatic synthetic polymers may represent a barrier for selectively blocking GBS adherence to the vaginal mucosa, while allowing normal constituents of the vaginal flora, such as lactobacilli, to persist (268). Recently, a synthetic peptide mimicking human C5a was shown to be directly bacteriocidal toward GBS and displayed therapeutic in vivo activity in both peritonitis and vaginal colonization mouse models (269). Although these compounds show preclinical efficacy in controlling GBS in animal models, it has yet to be established if any of them are feasible or cost-effective for human use. As an alternative to antibiotic treatment, intrapartum chlorhexidine vaginal washes have been considered, but resulted in no significant reduction of EOD, but did significantly lower neonatal colonization (270). Another alternative strategy explores the growing trend of probiotics agents to limit pathogen overgrowth while promoting healthy native vaginal flora (271, 272). To date, the most studied probiotic candidates for controlling GBS are within the *Lactobacillus* genus. Multiple studies have documented the inhibitory activity of lactobacilli on GBS growth in vitro including *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus gasseri*, *Lactobacillus salivarius*, and *Lactobacillus fermentum* (82, 273–277). Pretreatment with *L. reuteri*, but not a combination of *L. gasseri* and *L. salivarius*, reduced GBS vaginal colonization in a murine model (82, 83) indicating some probiotic strains may be more efficacious than others. In recent human clinical trials, daily oral probiotic treatment of two *Lactobacillus* species, *L. rhamnosus* and *L. reuteri*, to GBS-positive women at the 35–37th week screening visit was found to reduce GBS colonization at the time of delivery (278). Other probiotic species such as *Bifidobacterium*, known to be reduced in the neonatal gut after IAP, have antibacterial activity against GBS (232). The efficacy of a mixture of *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Bifidobacterium lactis*, and *Bifidobacterium longum* has been examined in one small pilot study, and while potentially effective, results did not achieve significant due to modest sample size. Nevertheless, an inverse relationship of yogurt consumption and GBS vaginal colonization was observed (279). Finally, an oral probiotic, *S. salivarius*, was found to inhibit GBS adherence and vaginal colonization in a mouse model (280).

**CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE**

Over the last 50 years, GBS has remained a prominent concern for mother and neonatal health. Although universal screening and IAP have reduced the incidence of early-onset GBS sepsis, maternal and infant colonization rates remain unchanged, and LOD and potential GBS-induced preterm birth are not impacted by IAP. Recent discoveries in the molecular and microbial determinants of GBS vaginal colonization and placental disease have given a better understanding of host–microbe interactions within the female reproductive tract. Furthermore, advances in GBS vaccines and human trials, as well as the emergence of novel targeted strategies to control GBS vaginal colonization, point to a new era beyond broad-spectrum antibiotics, and its detrimental consequences, to prevent neonatal GBS pathogenesis.
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