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Multivalent immune complexes divert FcRn to lysosomes by exclusion from recycling sorting tubules

Andrew W. Weflen, Nina Baier, Qing-Juan Tang, Malon Van den Hof, Richard S. Blumberg, Wayne I. Lencer, and Ramiro H. Massol

INTRODUCTION

It has long been known that cell surface receptors involved in nutrient uptake, signal transduction, and phagocytosis are diverted from recycling to degradative pathways upon receptor cross-linking (Mellman and Plutner, 1984; Mellman et al., 1984; Neutra et al., 1985; Marsh et al., 1986; Ukkonen et al., 1986). How cells sense the oligomerization of membrane proteins to trigger such a switch in intracellular trafficking is unclear. This is particularly relevant for protein receptors that switch between recycling and degradative pathways upon cross-linking with important physiological consequences, as in the case of the immunoglobulin G (IgG) trafficking receptor FcRn.

Internalized cargoes destined for recycling are concentrated in narrow-diameter tubules that emerge from the early sorting endosome, whereas cargoes destined for degradation in lysosomes are excluded from these structures (Geuze et al., 1987, 1988; Mayor et al., 1993; Mukherjee and Maxfield, 2000; Maxfield and McGraw, 2004; Hsu et al., 2012). For soluble cargoes, sorting to the lysosome normally occurs passively after the bulk flow of internalized fluid retained within the larger lumenal volume of maturing endosomes (Dunn and Maxfield, 1992). For membrane proteins, however, many are actively sorted away from the recycling pathway to lysosomes by association with the endosomal sorting complex required for transport on the cytoplasmic side of the endosome limiting membrane (Hurley, 2008; Raiborg and Stenmark, 2009).

Similarly, sorting of some membrane proteins into the recycling pathways occurs by active mechanisms involving interaction with vesicle coat proteins and adaptors that bind regions of the protein’s cytoplasmic domain (Dai et al., 2004; Hsu et al., 2012). Sorting of many other membrane components into recycling tubules appears to occur passively by bulk flow, following along with the bulk of
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membrane pulled from early endosomes into the tubules (Maxfield and McGraw, 2004), or by biophysical properties that favor inclusion into recycling tubules (Mukherjee and Maxfield, 2000; Chinnapen et al., 2012). In either case, cross-linking of recycling membrane proteins (or lipids; Chinnapen et al., 2012) overrides normal mechanisms for sorting into the recycling pathway and diverts their trafficking to lysosomes. It is believed that cross-linking drives this switch from recycling to degradative pathways by somehow excluding proteins from entry into the narrow recycling tubules that emerge from early endosomes (Mellman et al., 1983, 1984; Mellman and Plutner, 1984; Marsh et al., 1995), but this hypothesis has not been directly tested.

Here we address this problem by using the rapidly recycling receptor for IgG, FcRn. FcRn resides predominantly within endosomal compartments, where it binds IgG at low pH and sorts the immunoglobulin away from lysosomes into the recycling pathway. This activity in vascular endothelial cells explains the extraordinarily long half-life of IgG in circulation (Akilesh et al., 2007; Montoyo et al., 2009). When FcRn binds to multimeric IgG-opsonized antigens or microbes, however, it switches trafficking from recycling to degradation, and this is important for host defense. In dendritic cells, for example, FcRn carries multivalent IgG-based immune complexes to lysosomes to affect antigen processing, presentation, and adaptive immune responses (Qiao et al., 2008; Baker et al., 2011). In epithelial cells, FcRn sequesters IgG-opsonized viral particles for inactivation within lysosomes (Bai et al., 2011).

By simultaneously visualizing the intracellular trafficking of FcRn carrying monomeric IgG, and IgG-immune complexes in live cells, we show that cross-linking FcRn diverts this receptor to lysosomes by preventing entry into recycling tubules. Mutagenesis studies on FcRn implicate geometrical aspects of the lumenal domain of FcRn–cargo complexes as the decisive sorting factors.

RESULTS

Diversion of FcRn to lysosomes

We used human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) to study how FcRn is diverted from recycling endosomes to lysosomes upon cross-linking. HMEC-1 cells, which lack detectable endogenous Fc receptor activity, were transfected to stably express human β2M and the FcRn heavy chain tagged with the hemagglutinin (HA) epitope on the N-terminal lumenal domain and enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) on the C-terminal cytoplasmic tail (HA-FcRn-EGFP). Cells expressing HA-FcRn-EGFP (HMEC-1-FcRn cells) showed rapid and pH-dependent endocytosis of IgG but no uptake of a mutant IgG that cannot bind FcRn (IgG-IHH; Spiekermann et al., 2002; data not shown; also see Tzaban et al., 2009). The free receptor or when bound to monomeric IgG localized predominantly to endosomes at steady state, with very low localization in lysosomes.

When HMEC-1-FcRn cells were incubated for 3 h at 37°C with IgG-opsonized ovalbumin (Ova; Figure 1, A–C) or IgG-opsonized fluorescent beads (Figure 1, D–F), however, a sizable fraction of these FcRn-bound cargoes were localized to the lysosomal compartment. There was less FcRn in lysosomes after incubations with the smaller IgG-opsonized ovalbumin complex (∼15%) compared with IgG-opsonized beads (∼40%), implicating an effect of cargo size and/or degree of receptor cross-linking on FcRn trafficking, an effect suggested before to operate on trafficking of the transferrin receptor (TfR; Marsh et al., 1995). No such signal was obtained in cells exposed to Ova or beads opsonized with the mutant IgG-IHH, which cannot bind FcRn (Spiekermann et al., 2002), showing specificity for FcRn-dependent trafficking. We also found that cross-linking FcRn, using primary rat antibodies against the extra-cellular HA tag (for 1 h at 37°C) followed by secondary goat anti-Fc antibodies (for 3 h at 37°C), neither of which detectably bind human FcRn via their Fc domains, diverted the majority (65%) of the cross-linked receptor to lysosomes. Similar results were obtained in the epithelial cell lines Madin–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) and BSC-1 expressing FcRn. Thus HMEC-1-FcRn cells model the switch in FcRn trafficking observed in dendritic and epithelial cells when internalizing IgG-opsonized antigen (Qiao et al., 2008) or viral particles (Bai et al., 2011).

Trafficking of monomeric IgG by FcRn transits via early endosomes before recycling or transcytosis. To determine whether cross-linked FcRn first entered early endosomes, we treated cells simultaneously with IgG and FcRn-cross-linking antibodies and assessed overlap with the early endosomal marker EEA-1 at early times after uptake. In all cases, FcRn and its ligands were found largely within early endosomes (Figure 2). More than 90% of antibody–cross-linked FcRn colocalized with monomeric IgG–FcRn complexes and EEA-1 (Figure 2, C and D). Thus all FcRn-bound cargoes and antibody–cross-linked receptor complexes share the same early endosomal compartment.

Cross-linked FcRn complexes fail to enter recycling tubules

Trafficking of IgG from early sorting endosomes through the recycling pathway was proposed to occur at least partially via narrow membrane tubules (Prabhat et al., 2007). When FcRn trafficking was observed in live cells, we found that cross-linked FcRn complexes were excluded from FcRn-positive sorting tubules, but FcRn bound to monomeric IgG was not (Figure 3, A and B). To increase the number of recycling tubules for study, we pretreated cells with brefeldin A (BFA; Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1991). FcRn labeled with fluorophore-conjugated anti-HA primary antibody alone or monomeric IgG was observed in endosomal sorting tubules (Figure 3, C and D), but larger immune complexes formed by cross-linking anti–HA-FcRn with secondary antibodies were not (Figure 3D). Similar results were obtained by induction of tubule formation using overexpression of Rab11 (Supplemental Figure S4). On using much lower concentrations of the primary antibody (Figure 3D), to allow for IgG binding, we observed some spots present in the recycling tubules. These spots could be vesicles containing cross-linked FcRn trafficking along the same microtubule tracks used by the sorting tubules, or they could be small monomeric or low-level cross-linked FcRn complexes contained within the recycling tubules, an interpretation we favor.

To test whether other cell surface receptors were similarly excluded from sorting tubules upon ligand-induced cross-linking, we evaluated the well-studied and structurally unrelated TfR. TfR also rapidly recycles from endosomes to the cell surface and populates almost identical cellular compartments to those occupied by FcRn (Supplemental Figure S1A). TfR was delivered to lysosomes upon primary and secondary antibody–induced cross-linking with kinetics similar to those of FcRn (Supplemental Figure S1, B–D). When bound to transferrin, the TfR was found within sorting tubules (Figure 4A), but after antibody-induced cross-linking, the TfR was not found in sorting tubules (Figure 4B). After cross-linking, both FcRn and TfR were localized instead in large intracellular vesicles presumed to be early endosomes (Figure 4C). Throughout these studies, we recorded many events in which tubules containing ligand-free FcRn, FcRn bound to monomeric IgG, or transferrin bound to TfR emerged from vesicles that also contained the antibody–cross-linked receptors (Figure 4B; see more examples in Supplemental Figure S2, A–D). Without exception, the cross-linked receptors were excluded from the sorting tubules.
The FcRn transmembrane and cytosolic regions are dispensable for diversion of FcRn to lysosomes

To test whether active sorting is involved in the trafficking of cross-linked FcRn to lysosomes, we studied HMEC-1 cells expressing FcRn lacking the tail domain (HA-FcRnΔ303-342). As in MDCK cells (Claypool et al., 2004; Dickinson et al., 2008), the mutant FcRn localized primarily to the plasma membrane at steady state (Supplemental Figure S3), with small amounts also localized in the EEA-1–positive endosomes (Supplemental Figure S3, A and B). This was unchanged by binding monomeric IgG, but after cross-linking, the mutant receptor was diverted to lysosomes (approximately threefold increase in LAMP-1 colocalization; Supplemental Figure S3, C and D). In live cells, the HA-FcRnΔ303-342 receptor was never visualized in endosomal sorting tubules (data not shown) before or after cross-linking (Figure 5A), implicating active sorting mechanisms for the efficient recycling of FcRn.

The chimeric receptor comprising the HA-tagged FcRn extracellular domain fused to the transmembrane and cytosolic domains of the polymeric immunoglobulin receptor (pIgR; Figure 5, B and C) was also tested. pIgR is structurally unrelated to FcRn, but like FcRn, it acts as a trafficking receptor, transporting IgA and IgM across polarized epithelial cells at mucosal surfaces (Kaetzel et al., 1991; Mostov, 1995). The chimeric HA-FcRn-pIgR receptor bound and internalized IgG into early endosomes (data not shown) and was also found in recycling tubules (Supplemental Figure S2E), confirming normal folding and function of the FcRn extracellular and pIgR cytosolic regions.

We also tested a chimeric receptor comprising the HA-tagged FcRn extracellular domain fused to the transmembrane and cytosolic domains of the polymeric immunoglobulin receptor (pIgR; Figure 5, B and C). pIgR is structurally unrelated to FcRn, but like FcRn, it acts as a trafficking receptor, transporting IgA and IgM across polarized epithelial cells at mucosal surfaces (Kaetzel et al., 1991; Mostov, 1995). The chimeric HA-FcRn-pIgR receptor bound and internalized IgG into early endosomes (data not shown) and was also found in recycling tubules (Supplemental Figure S2E), confirming normal folding and function of the FcRn extracellular and pIgR cytosolic regions.

FIGURE 1: Cross-linked HA-FcRn-EGFP is diverted from recycling endosomes to lysosomes. For indirect cross-linking experiments (see models A and D), HMEC-1 cells were incubated at pH 6 with 20 μM NIP-ovalbumin opsonized with IgG (1:5 M ratio; A–C) or 4.5 pM 100-nm IgG-opsonized fluorescent beads (1:100 beads-to-IgG molar ratio; D–F) for 3 h at 37°C. For direct cross-linking experiments (G–I), cells were incubated with HBSS-H buffer (row 1) or primary (rows 2 and 3) rat anti-HA antibodies (2 μg/ml) for 1 h at 37°C in HBSS-H (pH 7.4). Samples were washed with PBS buffer (pH 7.4) and further incubated with HBSS-H (row 2) or secondary (rows 1 and 3) Alexa 568–goat anti-rat antibodies (40 μg/ml) for 3 h at 37°C. Cells were washed, fixed, and stained for LAMP-1 (see Materials and Methods). Representative confocal middle sections of each condition are shown, with arrowheads indicating examples of colocalization between HA-FcRn-EGFP, ligands, and LAMP-1. Selected regions (stippled-line squares) were cropped and enlarged and are displayed using an inverted monochrome color scale to aid visualization. Scale bar, 10 μm. Bar plots (C, F, I) depict the quantification calculated by mask analysis (see Materials and Methods) of diversion of FcRn to the LAMP-1 compartment (average and SD of three to five independent experiments, n = 10–20 cells).
It is possible that FcRn is excluded from the narrow lumen of endosome sorting tubules due to the size of the FcRn–cargo complex, as seen for sorting of differentially sized cargoes in macrophages (Berthiaume et al., 1995). Another explanation could be that cross-linking FcRn prevents the receptor from conforming to the high membrane curvatures that typify sorting tubules (Jiang and Powers, 2008) or that cross-linking prevents the assembly of ribbon-like networks caused by IgG linking FcRn heterodimers on closely opposed endosomal membranes (Raghavan and Bjorkman, 1996; Tesar et al., 2006). Our experimental approach cannot discern between effects caused by cargo geometry and cross-linking of FcRn per se. These potential mechanisms are not mutually exclusive, and all could operate in parallel.

Many ideas emerging from earlier work on cross-linking Fc-γ and TfRs presage our experimental results on FcRn (Mellman and McGraw, 2004). It is possible that FcRn is excluded from the narrow lumen of endosome sorting tubules due to the size of the FcRn–cargo complex, as seen for sorting of differentially sized cargoes in macrophages (Berthiaume et al., 1995). Another explanation could be that cross-linking FcRn prevents the receptor from conforming to the high membrane curvatures that typify sorting tubules (Jiang and Powers, 2008) or that cross-linking prevents the assembly of ribbon-like networks caused by IgG linking FcRn heterodimers on closely opposed endosomal membranes (Raghavan and Bjorkman, 1996; Tesar et al., 2006). Our experimental approach cannot discern between effects caused by cargo geometry and cross-linking of FcRn per se. These potential mechanisms are not mutually exclusive, and all could operate in parallel.

Many ideas emerging from earlier work on cross-linking Fc-γ and TfRs presage our experimental results on FcRn (Mellman and McGraw, 2004). It is possible that FcRn is excluded from the narrow lumen of endosome sorting tubules due to the size of the FcRn–cargo complex, as seen for sorting of differentially sized cargoes in macrophages (Berthiaume et al., 1995). Another explanation could be that cross-linking FcRn prevents the receptor from conforming to the high membrane curvatures that typify sorting tubules (Jiang and Powers, 2008) or that cross-linking prevents the assembly of ribbon-like networks caused by IgG linking FcRn heterodimers on closely opposed endosomal membranes (Raghavan and Bjorkman, 1996; Tesar et al., 2006). Our experimental approach cannot discern between effects caused by cargo geometry and cross-linking of FcRn per se. These potential mechanisms are not mutually exclusive, and all could operate in parallel.
mechanism with respect to FcRn becomes evident in tissues such as emerging from early endosomes and serving these destinations. We predict that cross-linking will also block trafficking into these path-ways by affecting entry into the narrow-diameter sorting tubules. Association with the retromer complex (Bonifacino and Hurley, 2008) sort internalized cargoes retrograde to the is likely a general mechanism of action. Early endosomes also actively and diverted to lysosomes by multivalent cargo binding and that this normally recycling receptors can be excluded from sorting tubules. Our studies with FcRn, TfR, and the FcRn–pIgR chimera indicate that how receptors fail to sort into recycling pathways upon cross-linking. Cross-linking FcRn by binding IgG-opsonized cargoes and diversion to lysosomes could operate in immune surveillance and host defense. Depending on size and degree of cargo opsonization, it could also act to prevent pathogens from accessing the host by breeching the intestinal or respiratory epithelium after the normal pathway of IgG transport across mucosal surfaces by FcRn-mediated transcytosis. Finally, our results have important implications for the design of therapeutic Fc-fusion proteins with respect to how the size and geometry of these molecules might affect receptor-mediated recycling and thus half-life in circulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Plasmids and molecular cloning
Human FcRn with the murine H2-Kb signal sequence and HA epitope tag fused to the N-terminus and EGFP fused to the C-terminus was expressed from pCDNA3.1/HA-FcRn-EGFP (Tzaban et al., 2009). B2M was expressed from pEF6/V5-His-β2m (Claypool, 2002; Tzaban et al., 2009). The same FcRn construct lacking EGFP was expressed from pLVX-puro (Clontech). Tailless FcRn was created by PCR amplification of the 909 5’ nucleotides of pLVX-HA-FcRn and restriction cloning this fragment into pLVX-puro. DsRed-Rab11 was purchased from Addgene (Cambridge, MA). Rab11-EGFP was a kind gift from T. Kirchhausen (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA).

The FcRn/plgR chimera was derived from pIRE-B2M2A-FcRn-IRE-mThy1.1. This plasmid was created by cloning B2M-2A-FcRn and murine Thy1.1 CDNA into the first and second multiple cloning sites of the pIRE vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). B2M was fused to FcRn via a viral 2A peptide, which results in synthesis of a single mRNA that produces two distinct polypeptides via ribosomal skipping at the 2A site during translation (Szymczak et al., 2004). The transmembrane and tail portions of this FcRn construct were replaced with the corresponding regions of human plgR to produce the FcRn/plgR chimera. Murine Thy1.1 is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein that localizes to the cell surface and thus serves as an easily detectable proxy for B2M and FcRn expression by virtue of the intervening internal ribosome entry site. Primer sequences and other details regarding plasmid construction are available upon request.

Cell culture and transfection
HMEC-1 cells (a kind gift from Sean Colgan, Division of Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO) were maintained in MCDB 131 (Mediatech, Manassas, VA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 100 U/ml lung or gut, where epithelial cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells form a physical and functional barrier against the invasion of pathogens and other environmental anti gens. Here cross-linking FcRn by binding IgG-opsonized cargoes and diversion to lysosomes could operate in immune surveillance and host defense. Depending on size and degree of cargo opsonization, it could also act to prevent pathogens from accessing the host by breeching the intestinal or respiratory epithelium after the normal pathway of IgG transport across mucosal surfaces by FcRn-mediated transcytosis. Finally, our results have important implications for the design of therapeutic Fc-fusion proteins with respect to how the size and geometry of these molecules might affect receptor-mediated recycling and thus half-life in circulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Plasmids and molecular cloning
Human FcRn with the murine H2-Kb signal sequence and HA epitope tag fused to the N-terminus and EGFP fused to the C-terminus was expressed from pCDNA3.1/HA-FcRn-EGFP (Tzaban et al., 2009). B2M was expressed from pEF6/V5-His-β2m (Claypool, 2002; Tzaban et al., 2009). The same FcRn construct lacking EGFP was expressed from pLVX-puro (Clontech). Tailless FcRn was created by PCR amplification of the 909 5’ nucleotides of pLVX-HA-FcRn and restriction cloning this fragment into pLVX-puro. DsRed-Rab11 was purchased from Addgene (Cambridge, MA). Rab11-EGFP was a kind gift from T. Kirchhausen (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA).

The FcRn/plgR chimera was derived from pIRE-B2M2A-FcRn-IRE-mThy1.1. This plasmid was created by cloning B2M2A-FcRn and murine Thy1.1 CDNA into the first and second multiple cloning sites of the pIRE vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). B2M was fused to FcRn via a viral 2A peptide, which results in synthesis of a single mRNA that produces two distinct polypeptides via ribosomal skipping at the 2A site during translation (Szymczak et al., 2004). The transmembrane and tail portions of this FcRn construct were replaced with the corresponding regions of human plgR to produce the FcRn/plgR chimera. Murine Thy1.1 is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein that localizes to the cell surface and thus serves as an easily detectable proxy for B2M and FcRn expression by virtue of the intervening internal ribosome entry site. Primer sequences and other details regarding plasmid construction are available upon request.

Cell culture and transfection
HMEC-1 cells (a kind gift from Sean Colgan, Division of Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO) were maintained in MCDB 131 (Mediatech, Manassas, VA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 100 U/ml
supplemented with 2 mM l-glutamine, P/S, and 15% FBS.

Cross-linking and endocytosis experiments

The following were used as model IgG-opsonized particles: 1) purified human IgG (Innovative Research, Novi, MI) conjugated to Qdot 565 Goat F(ab’2) anti-human IgG conjugate (~20 nm; Invitrogen), with excess IgG removed by dialysis against phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) using 50-nm-pore size membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA); 2) 100-nm-diameter, carboxylate-modified, red fluorescent polystyrene microspheres (FluoSpheres, Invitrogen) adsorbed with NIP-ovalbumin (Biosearch Technologies, Novato, CA) and opsonized with anti-NIP human IgG wild type or IHH. Excess NIP-ova and IgG were removed via repeated centrifugation and resuspension steps using PBS. Recombinant human NIP-specific IgG and NIP-IgG-IHH were purified from supernatants of J558L myeloma cells maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM l-glutamine, and P/S (Claypool et al., 2004) using protein G and protein A affinity chromatography (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) and eluted with glycine buffer at pH 3, followed by neutralization. The eluate was dialyzed against PBS and concentrated using Centriprep (Millipore).

Cells were seeded on 18-mm glass coverslips (size 1.5; Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT) 48 h before treatment and then washed with PBS and placed on Parafilm M (VWR, Radnor, PA) inside a humidified chamber. Alexa Fluor–labeled human IgG (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, West Grove, PA) and IgG-opsonized particles were diluted in Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) buffered to pH 6 with 20 mM 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid. For direct cross-linking experiments, cells were incubated with 2 μg/ml rat anti-HA antibody (Roche) in HBSS (pH 7.4) for 1 h at 37°C. Unbound anti-HA antibody was removed with PBS. Cells were then further incubated with 40 μg/ml Alexa Fluor 568–labeled goat anti-rat antibodies (Invitrogen) for 2–3 h at 37°C. Where indicated, rat anti-HA antibodies were directly labeled with Alexa 568 using the APEX Antibody Labeling Kit (Invitrogen) following manufacturer’s instructions, in which case no secondary incubation was conducted. Antibodies were dialyzed against PBS at room temperature for 30 min using membrane filters with 25-nm pore size (Millipore) to remove sodium azide.

Immunocytochemistry

Cells were washed five times with PBS between steps. All incubations were performed at room temperature. After fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min, cells were permeabilized, quenched, and blocked with PBS/50 mM NH4Cl/5% BSA/0.1 g% saponin for 10 min. Cells were incubated for 1 h with primary antibodies diluted penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (P/S; Mediatech), and 1 μg/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). HMEC-1 cells were transfected using either FuGENE 6 (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany) or Xfect (Clontech) following manufacturer’s instructions. The following antibiotic concentrations were used to select for stable transgene expression: pcDNA3.1 and pRES, 1 mg/ml G418 (Mediatech); pEF6/VS-His, 8 μg/ml blasticidin S (EMD, San Diego, CA); and pLVX-Puro, 200 ng/ml Puromycin (EMD). Antibiotic-resistant cells were further screened for transgene expression by fluorescence-activated cell sorting. Cells expressing moderate to high levels of EGFP, where possible, or pH 6–dependent fluorescent IgG binding were collected using a BD FacsAriaII cell sorter (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) and maintained in selective media for all experiments.

MDCK II cells stably expressing human HA-FcRn-EGFP (ATCC CRL-2936; Tzaban et al., 2009) were maintained in DMEM 37°C. Unbound anti-HA antibody was removed with PBS. Cells were then further incubated with 40 μg/ml Alexa Fluor 568–labeled goat anti-rat antibodies (Invitrogen) for 2–3 h at 37°C. Where indicated, rat anti-HA antibodies were directly labeled with Alexa 568 using the APEX Antibody Labeling Kit (Invitrogen) following manufacturer’s instructions, in which case no secondary incubation was conducted. Antibodies were dialyzed against PBS at room temperature for 30 min using membrane filters with 25-nm pore size (Millipore) to remove sodium azide.
FIGURE 5: Diversion of cross-linked FcRn to lysosomes depends on its luminal domain. (A) Cross-linked FcRn tailless mutant is not efficiently sorted into recycling tubules. HMEC-1 cells stably expressing HA-FcRn(D303-342) were incubated with rat anti-HA antibody (2 μg/ml) for 1 h at 37°C. Samples were then washed and further incubated with Alexa 488–human Tf and Alexa 568–goat anti-rat antibody for 15 min at 37°C. Samples were then washed and transferred to an open perfusion chamber for live-cell imaging acquisition, and medium containing 10 μM BFA was added. Time series were recorded immediately after the addition of BFA. Representative confocal middle sections of each condition are shown, with arrowheads indicating examples of tubules containing transferrin and lacking cross-linked HA-FcRn(D303-342) multimeric complexes, and displayed as described in the Figure 1 legend. (B, C) Cross-linked HA-FcRn-plgR chimeric receptor complexes are delivered into lysosomes. Cells stably expressing HA-FcRn-plgR were first incubated with rat anti-HA primary antibodies (2 μg/ml) at pH 7.4 for 30 min at 37°C, followed by incubation with Alexa 568–anti-rat secondary antibodies (40 μg/ml) for 30 min at 37°C. All samples were then fixed and stained for LAMP-1. Representative confocal middle sections of each condition are shown, with arrowheads indicating examples of colocalization between FcRn-plgR and LAMP-1, and displayed as described in the Figure 1 legend. Scale bars, 10 μm. (B) Quantification of fraction of cross-linked FcRn-plgR in LAMP-1 (average and SD of three independent experiments, n = 27 cells).

Image acquisition, processing, and analysis

Confocal images were acquired using a spinning disk confocal head (CSU-X1, PerkinElmer, Boston, MA) coupled to a fully motorized inverted Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) equipped with a 63x lens (Pan Apochromat, 1.4 numerical aperture) and with a 175-W xenon lamp (Lambda DG-4; Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA) for wide-field illumination. Solid-state lasers (473, 568, and 660 nm; Crystal Laser, Reno, NV) were coupled to the spinning head through a fiber optic. An acoustic-optical tunable filter was used to switch between different wavelengths. The imaging system operated under control of SlideBook 5 (Intelligent Imaging Innovations, Denver, CO) and included a computer-controlled spherical aberration correction device (Intelligent Imaging Innovations) installed between the objective lens and the charge-coupled device camera (QuantEM:512SC; Photometrics, Tucson, AZ). Acquisition of sequential optical sections spaced 0.4 μm apart was achieved with the aid of a Piezoelectric Z motorized stage (Applied Scientific Instrumentation, Eugene, OR). For live-cell imaging, cells were placed on 25-mm coverslips (size 1.5; Warner Instruments). Coverslips were transferred into open perfusion chambers (Attofluor, Invitrogen), where they were washed with PBS, and prewarmed medium was added. The chamber was inserted into a sample holder placed on top of the microscope stage enclosed by an environmental chamber (37°C). Most experiments were carried out in HBSS, pH 7.4.

IMAB image analysis and processing software (Massol et al., 2006; Cureton et al., 2009, 2010; Utskarpen et al., 2010) was used to calculate the Manders colocalization coefficients as follows (Manders et al., 1992). Cellular regions containing receptors (FcRn or TfR) and early (EEA-1) or late (LAMP-1) endosomes were masked by segmentation of the corresponding channel with or without uniform background correction by a defined pixel intensity, which typically was greater than twofold the local background. Masks were further refined by eliminating objects <10 voxels in size and occupying fewer than three consecutive z-sections. Colocalization masks were obtained by a logical AND operation between the receptor and endosomal primary masks. Objects occupying <10 voxels, fewer than three consecutive z-sections, or with Pearson’s r <0.5 between selected receptor and endosomal channels were removed. Manders coefficients were calculated by dividing the fluorescence intensity under the colocalization mask (overlapping signal) by the fluorescence intensity under the primary mask (total signal). Plots and statistical analysis were performed using Excel 2007 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA).
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