Layered $\alpha$-RuCl$_3$ has been discussed as a proximate Kitaev spin liquid compound. Raman and THz spectroscopy of magnetic excitations confirm that the low-temperature antiferromagnetic ordered phase features a broad Raman continuum, together with two magnon-like excitations at 2.7 and 3.6 meV, respectively. The continuum strength is maximized as long-range order is suppressed by an external magnetic field. The state above the field-induced quantum phase transition around 7.5 T is characterized by a gapped multi-particle continuum out of which a two-particle bound state emerges, together with a well-defined single-particle excitation at lower energy. Exact diagonalization calculations demonstrate that Kitaev and off-diagonal exchange terms in the Fleury-Loudon model emerge, together with a well-defined single-particle excitation at lower energy. Exact diagonalization calculations demonstrate that Kitaev and off-diagonal exchange terms in the Fleury-Loudon model emerge, together with a well-defined single-particle excitation at lower energy. Exact diagonalization calculations demonstrate that Kitaev and off-diagonal exchange terms in the Fleury-Loudon model emerge, together with a well-defined single-particle excitation at lower energy. Exact diagonalization calculations demonstrate that Kitaev and off-diagonal exchange terms in the Fleury-Loudon model emerge, together with a well-defined single-particle excitation at lower energy.

In $\alpha$-RuCl$_3$, a variety of experimental studies have reported a single field-induced quantum phase transition with an in-plane critical field of $B_c = 6 - 8$ T [12,15-25]. The nature of the subsequent field-induced phase has been under strong scrutiny, with conflicting reports over whether it is gapped or gapless and whether it is the sought-after QSL or the partially field-polarized state (QDS), that is smoothly connected to the fully field-polarized limit (due to the lack of SU(2) symmetry, the polarized state is only approached asymptotically with increasing field strength).

Integrable models are of great interest, as the relevant physics of such models can be obtained in an exact sense. A canonical example is the fractionalization of excitations in the one-dimensional spin-1/2 Heisenberg-Ising chain. While a single spin-flip changes the total spin by 1, the elementary excitations of this model are spinons, which carry a fractional quantum number of spin 1/2 [1]. Spin fractionalization also occurs in two-dimensional integrable models, with a particularly prominent example being the spin-1/2 Kitaev honeycomb model composed of bond-dependent Ising interactions [2]. Its exact solution results in an exotic quantum spin-liquid (QSL) ground state, where spin-flip excitations fractionalize into gapless Majorana fermions and gapped flux excitations [2,6].

Candidate materials for realizing the fascinating physics of the Kitaev model feature Ir$^{4+}$ or Ru$^{3+}$ ions with spin-orbit entangled $j = 1/2$ moments [7]. The presence of dominant ferromagnetic Kitaev interactions is well established in $A_2$IrO$_3$ ($A = \text{Na or Li}$) and $\alpha$-RuCl$_3$ [3,6,8]. However, they appear simultaneously with subleading isotropic Heisenberg and anisotropic off-diagonal exchange interactions [3,6], resulting in long-range order below a finite temperature $T_N$, hampering experimental observation of the Kitaev QSL.

There remain though promising alternate avenues to observing the sought-after QSL physics. On the one hand, by going to elevated temperatures above $T_N$, various spectroscopic studies of spin dynamics have found features reminiscent of the Kitaev QSL in $\alpha$-RuCl$_3$ [9-14]. On the other hand, one may suppress the long-range order by tuning external parameters [12,15-29], e.g. magnetic field, in order to hopefully detect the QSL as a field-induced phase, before reaching the partially polarized quantum disordered state (QDS), that is smoothly connected to the fully field-polarized limit (due to the lack of SU(2) symmetry, the polarized state is only approached asymptotically with increasing field strength).

In $\alpha$-RuCl$_3$, a variety of experimental studies have reported a single field-induced quantum phase transition with an in-plane critical field of $B_c = 6 - 8$ T [12,15-25]. The nature of the subsequent field-induced phase has been under strong scrutiny, with conflicting reports over whether it is gapped or gapless and whether it is the sought-after QSL or the partially field-polarized state [12,15-25]. We also note that in some more recent studies, additional field-induced phase transitions were reported [26,27], within the narrow field range 6 – 10 T. An intermediate region with quantized thermal Hall conductivity has further been reported [28].

Raman scattering has been suggested as being particularly powerful in revealing signatures of spin fractionalization of the Kitaev QSL as it naturally probes the emergent Majorana fermion excitations [30]. In $\alpha$-RuCl$_3$, the experimentally observed broad Raman continuum at zero field was taken as evidence for spin fractionalization [9,30], while in particular its temperature dependence was claimed to reflect the character of fermionic excitations [9,13,31]. The Raman response of the possible
field-induced phases so far remained unexplored.

In this work, we study the magnetic excitations of $\alpha$-RuCl$_3$ as a function of in-plane magnetic field up to 33 T using Raman and THz spectroscopy. The Raman continuum exhibits maximum intensity as soon as the long-range zig-zag (ZZ) antiferromagnetic order is suppressed at $B_c = 7.5$ T. In the high-field limit, we observe a gapped continuum of multi-particle excitations. Below this continuum, both Raman and THz spectroscopy reveal a two-particle bound state as well as a sharp single-particle excitation. These features are most clearly resolved in the high-field regime above 15 T, yielding a clear picture of the properties of the high-field phase. At intermediate fields near $B_c$, the features start to overlap in energy, which partially explains previous difficulties in understanding the field-induced phase. The access to the high-field limit further allows us to resolve a long-standing controversy regarding anomalously steep slopes of the excitation modes at intermediate fields. Our numerical calculations essentially capture the field-dependent features, and highlight the crucial role of the Kitaev exchange and off-diagonal interactions in observing Raman features that are absent in conventional magnets.

High-quality $\alpha$-RuCl$_3$ crystals for our study were prepared by vacuum sublimation. They exhibit a sharp magnetic phase transition at $T_N = 6.5$ K. High-field Raman back-scattering and THz-transmission experiments on samples from Augsburg and Seoul were performed in Bitter magnets for in-plane fields up to 30 and 33 T, respectively, at a cryostat base temperature of 1.7 and 2 K. Both experiments were carried out in a Voigt geometry, i.e. $\mathbf{B} \perp \mathbf{k}$, with the incident wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ perpendicular to the sample’s hexagonal $ab$-plane. The field was oriented nearly perpendicular (within 10°) to the nearest-neighbor Ru-Ru bonds, while the in-plane field orientation was not determined for the THz measurements. For Raman spectroscopy, circularly polarized ($L$ for left and $R$ for right) light with a wavelength of 532 nm was focused into a spot of about 2 μm on freshly cleaved samples. THz transmission spectra were recorded on samples with typical $ab$ surface of $3 \times 3$ mm$^2$ and thickness of 1 mm using a Fourier-transform spectrometer Bruker IFS-113v, with a mercury lamp as source and a silicon bolometer as detector.

To minimize heating effects due to the incident beam, we applied a very low laser power of 10 μW for the Raman spectroscopy of the low-field ordered phase. Figure 1(a) presents the Raman spectra in the LR-circularly polarized channel in magnetic fields of up to 9 T. While the zero-field Raman spectrum is dominated by a broad scattering continuum in accordance with previous Raman studies, we can also discern two peaks of magnetic excitations in the low-energy regime at 2.7 and 3.6 meV, respectively, as indicated by the arrows in Fig. 1(a) and its inset, which shift systematically to lower energies with increasing magnetic field up to 7 T [Fig. 1(b)]. These two modes, in energy and field dependence comparable to those reported in previous neutron scattering [10], ESR [22], and THz spectroscopic studies [12, 25–27], correspond to the single-magnon excitations at the $\Gamma$ point of the ZZ ordered state. While this observation clearly indicates that our sample temperature stayed well below $T_N$, we found that increasing the laser power to 100 μW is sufficient to erase these two modes from the Raman spectra [see Fig. 2(a)], which explains the absence of these modes in the previous Raman studies. Above $B_c = 7.5$ T, only a single peak dominates the low-energy Raman response [Fig. 1(a)]. In particular, for 8 T, where evidence for a separate intermediate phase has been reported in recent thermodynamic measurements [28, 29], we find a sharp peak $m_{1\alpha}$ at 2 meV in the Raman spectrum. Consistent with reported THz results [12, 22], this mode hardens continuously in higher fields above $B_c$ (Fig. 2), without any obvious features indicating a second field-induced phase transition.

At higher energies, the zero-field Raman response presents a set of phonon modes, in accordance with previous Raman studies [9, 13, 34]. The lowest phonon at

![FIG. 1: (a) Circularly-polarized (LR) Raman spectra recorded at 1.7 K with low incident laser power of 10 μW in fields up to 9 T. Inset: Magnetic excitations are resolved at low energies, as indicated by the arrows. The spectra of different fields are shifted upward by a constant for clarity. (b) Energy transfer of the magnetic excitations in (a) is shown as a function of field. (c) Spectral weight for different frequency ranges, as indicated by the dashed lines in (a). (d) Fano parameter $1/|q|$ for the 15 meV phonon. The solid line is guide to the eye. The dashed line in (b)-(d) indicates the critical field $B_c = 7.5$ T.](image)
15 meV exhibits an asymmetric Fano lineshape with a pronounced field dependence [see Fig. 2(a)]. The Fano lineshape reflects interference of the phonons with an underlying continuum. The lineshape asymmetry quantified by the Fano parameter $q$ is represented as $1/Q$ in Fig. 1(d). Approaching the critical field from below, $1/Q$ displays an abrupt increase, indicating that the interference is strongly enhanced at $B_c$. This also indicates that the 15 meV phonon modulating the Ru-Ru bond [34] is strongly coupled to the magnetic degrees of freedom.

In addition to the high-energy phonons and the low-energy magnon-like excitations, the zero-field spectrum is marked by an extended continuum [8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 34], that shows a broad intensity maximum in the mid-energy region between 4 and 12 meV. Figure 1(c) displays the integrated spectral weight for three different energy regions [indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 1(a)] as a function of field strength. While the spectral weight of the 15 meV phonon is reduced at higher fields, both the low-energy excitations and the mid-frequency continuum are clearly enhanced upon approaching the field-induced quantum phase transition at $B_c = 7.5$ T.

To obtain a comprehensive picture of the field-induced phase above $B_c$, we carried out both Raman and THz spectroscopic measurements, the results of which are presented in Fig. 2. With an increased laser power of 100 μW, the signal-to-noise ratio of the Raman spectra is improved, allowing us to resolve the high-field features. At the same time, due to additional heating effects, the low-energy magnons that were present in Fig. 1(a) cannot be resolved here, and the $m_{1\alpha}$ mode seen sharply at 8 T is also weakened, but becomes stronger and easily recognized above 10 T. At 15 T, the $m_{1\alpha}$ mode is observed at 5.1 meV and accompanied by a satellite peak $m_{1\beta}$ at 6.1 meV, both of which become sharper and shift to higher energy with increasing fields. While the field dependence of the $m_{1\alpha}$ mode is consistently seen by the THz spectroscopy data [Fig. 2(b)], the satellite peak is hardly resolvable. It is worth noting that the $m_{1\alpha}$ peak position in the THz spectra is located slightly lower, about 0.6 meV, than in the corresponding Raman spectra, which is comparable to in-plane anisotropy [37] can be due to uncertainty in our in-plane field orientation.

Another sharp feature in the Raman spectra is the observation of mode $m_{2\alpha}$ at higher energy, which is located at 12.4 meV at 30 T and which shows a steeper increase in energy with field, as compared with the dominant $m_{1\alpha}$ mode. Consistent energy and field dependence is also found in the THz spectra, but the relative intensity $|I_{m_{2\alpha}}/I_{m_{1\alpha}}|$ is much stronger in THz [Fig. 2(b)].

A unique feature present in the high-field Raman response is the underlying continuum of excitations. In contrast to the spectra below $B_c$, one can clearly see a gap opening at $B_c$ with the lower bound of the continuum shifting towards higher energy upon increasing field. For instance, at 15 T, the spectral weight below 3 meV is almost fully depleted, just below the $m_{1\alpha}$ mode, signaling a gap opening. The lower bound of the continuum shifts with a similarly steep slope as $m_{1\alpha}$ to higher energies, approximately twice of that of $m_{1\alpha}$. These features are better illustrated in the color plot of the Raman intensity in Fig. 3(a). Usually, a featureless continuum is rare to resolve in THz spectroscopy, but as marked by the asterisk in Fig. 2(b), we can track a broad transmission minimum $m_{2\alpha}$ as a function of field, in agreement with that of the Raman continuum [Fig. 3(a)]. Thus, the THz $m_{2\alpha}$ band captures the broad maximum of the underlying continuum with its strong field dependency.

The fact that the modes $m_{1\alpha}$ and $m_{2\alpha}$ are observed both in the THz response and in Raman aids significantly in their identification. Based on comparison with previous linear-polarized lower-field THz studies [12, 20], we identify the $m_{1\alpha}$ mode as a single-particle excitation expected in the QDS, which is well described by linear spin-wave theory as a single-magnon only at sufficiently high fields. Going to stronger fields we observe that the higher-energy continuum exhibits a somewhat broad maximum $m_{2\alpha}$, which has approximately twice the energy of $m_{1\alpha}$, i.e. $m_{2\alpha} = 2m_{1\alpha}$ [Fig. 3(a)]. This identifies the lower band near $m_{2\alpha}$ of the multi-particle continuum to consist predominantly of two-particle ex-

FIG. 2: High-field (a) 100 μW LR-Raman and (b) unpolarized THz-transmission spectra. A variety of field-dependent features are indicated (see text for details). $P$ denotes phonon.
citations. However, an additional observation enabled by going to higher fields is the clear separation of an apparent two-particle bound state \( m_{2\gamma} \) slightly below the multi-particle continuum and well above \( m_{1\alpha} \). The two-particle nature of \( m_{2\gamma} \) is consistent with the polarization dependence in THz [22] [20]. Finally, the field dependence of the satellite peak \( m_{1\beta} \) follows that of \( m_{1\alpha} \) with a nearly field-independent energy difference of about 1 meV, which suggests that \( m_{1\beta} \) could be due to multi-particle scattering or interlayer coupling. We note that a recent neutron scattering study revealed out-of-plane dispersion of the single-particle excitations with a bandwidth of around 1 meV [29].

The observation of the single-particle mode \( m_{1\alpha} \) in Raman spectroscopy may appear surprising at first. Typically, via the so-called Fleury-Loudon scattering processes [38], the magnetic Raman response is dominated by two-magnon excitations. It is thus highly unusual to sharply resolve magnetic single-magnon excitations in Raman spectroscopy, such as \( m_{1\alpha} \) at high field. To see how it can occur here, consider the infinite-field polarized limit \( |\mathbf{B}| \to \infty \), in which case the exact ground state is an eigenstate of \( S_{\mu\alpha}^\mu \), with \( \mu \) being the direction of \( \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{B} \). In this case, terms in the Fleury-Loudon scattering operator like \( S^\mu_i S^\nu_j \), with \( \mu \neq \nu \), may create single spin-flip (\( |\Delta S| = 1 \)) excitations (which correspond to single-magnons in the polarized limit). Indeed, such terms naturally arise in the presence of Kitaev and off-diagonal exchanges, making \( \alpha \)-RuCl\(_3\) a striking example of this unusual Raman response.

An important quantity of interest is the slope of the excitation energy of the \( m_{1\alpha} \) mode as a function of field, \( g^* \equiv \frac{\partial m_{1\alpha}}{\partial |\mathbf{B}|} \). In the large-field limit, one expects this to approach \( g^*|_{B \to \infty} = g_{ab}|\Delta S| \). Since the intrinsic Landé in-plane \( g \)-value is constrained to \( 2 \leq g_{ab} \leq 2.8 \) [40] [41], the asymptotic slope provides information regarding \( g_{ab} \) and the character of the excitation. In this regard, the reported slopes of \( g^* \geq 8 \) for measurements near the critical field \( (B \gtrsim B_c) \) [12] [22] have been discussed as evidence for either fractionalized excitations of a field-induced QSL state, an enormous in-plane \( g \)-value \( g_{ab} \approx 10 \), or an apparent multi-particle bound-state character of mode \( m_{1\alpha} \). However, the direct comparison of the measured slopes with the polarized limit has two major caveats: (i) Level repulsion between \( m_{1\alpha} \) and the continuum may significantly increase the slope in the vicinity of \( B_c \), and (ii) since the anisotropic couplings produce an effective easy-plane anisotropy in the QDS [42] [43], the slope of the single-particle excitation is expected to approach \( g_{ab} \) only asymptotically (see Ref. [44]. Indeed we find that \( g^* \) drops from 8 at around 10 T [12] continuously to about 3 at 30 T. By analyzing the data over the full field range we extract an asymptotic \( g^*|_{B \to \infty} = 2.51 \pm 0.18 \) [39] which confirms \( m_{1\alpha} \) as the mode that evolves into the \( |\Delta S| = 1 \) spin-flip excitation in the infinite-field limit.

To complement the experimental results, we perform exact diagonalization calculations of suitable realistic models on a 24-site cluster [39], with parameters based on ab-initio studies [41] [45] [48]. The Raman intensity \( I(\omega) = \int dt e^{-i\omega t} \langle F(t)F(0) \rangle \) is evaluated using the Fleury-Loudon approach [38], with the scattering operator \( F \propto \sum_{ij} S_i \cdot J_{ij} \cdot S_j \cdot \text{E}_{\text{in}}(\delta_{ij} \cdot \text{E}_{\text{out}}) \) where \( J_{ij} \) contains the generic couplings between \( S_i \) and \( S_j \), \( \delta_{ij} \) is the distance vector between sites \( i \) and \( j \), and \( \text{E}_{\text{in}} \) (\( \text{E}_{\text{out}} \)) is the direction of the electric field of the incident (outgoing) light. We find that the essential features of the measured Raman response can be captured this way in realistic (JKT)\(_3\) models, for example the model of Ref. [40] (see Supplemental Material [39]). However not all models capture the strong field dependence of \( g^* \). Figure 3(b) shows the results for an adjusted model which does capture this, namely \( (J_1, K_1^{\perp \parallel}, K_1^\perp, \Gamma_1, J_3) = (-0.5, -7.5, -5, 2.5, 0.5) \cdot 1.5 \text{ meV} \). The employed breaking of \( C_3 \) symmetry \( (K_1^{\perp} = K_1^\parallel \neq K_1^\perp) \) is motivated by ab-initio calculations [46] for the \( C2/m \) structure of \( \alpha \)-RuCl\(_3\) [15] [50]. As observed in the experiment [Fig. 3(a)], a continuum extends throughout a wide energy range below \( B_c \) in the numerical results [Fig. 3(b)], while for \( B > B_c \), the continuum rises in energy. Above \( B_c \), a strong, sharp mode emerges at lowest energies, which shifts to higher energy and becomes the most intense excitation at high fields. This mode follows the

FIG. 3: (a) Contour plot of experimental 100 \( \mu \)W Raman intensity of \( \alpha \)-RuCl\(_3\) as a function of in-plane field [from Fig. 2(a)]. Peak positions obtained in the low-field 10 \( \mu \)W Raman spectra \( (B \leq 8 \text{ T}) \) [Fig. 1(b)] and in the high-field THz spectra \( (B \geq 10 \text{ T}) \) [Fig. 2(b)] are shown by symbols for comparison. (b) Theoretical \( g^* = 0 \) Raman response within the Fleury-Loudon approximation [38] of a \( C_3 \)-broken model for \( B \parallel a \) assuming \( g_{ab} = 2.3 \) [39].
field-induced excitation-gap opening, corresponding to the observed \( m_{140} \) mode in Fig. 3(a). We have further studied separately the contributions of the Heisenberg and the off-diagonal exchange terms \([39]\), and confirmed that the Kitaev and the off-diagonal exchanges in \( F \) are responsible for the observation of the single-particle excitation in the Raman response.

In conclusion, our study shows that the high-field phase in \( \alpha\)-RuCl\(_3\), which emerges through the suppression of antiferromagnetic order, is neither a quantum spin-liquid state nor a fully field-polarized state, but rather a quantum disordered state with partial field alignment of the spin-orbital moments. No clear evidence is found for an intermediate phase around 7.5 T in our experiments. The high-field phase is spectroscopically characterized by a strong and sharp single-particle excitation and a continuum of multi-particle nature, out of which a well-defined two-particle bound state emerges, characterized by a strong and sharp single-particle excitation and a continuum of multi-particle nature, out of which a well-defined two-particle bound state emerges, which a well-defined two-particle bound state emerges, which a well-defined two-particle bound state emerges, which a well-defined two-particle bound state emerges.
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