Prophylactic administration of parenteral steroids for preventing airway complications after extubation in adults: meta-analysis of randomised placebo controlled trials
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ABSTRACT

Objective To determine whether steroids are effective in preventing laryngeal oedema after extubation and reducing the need for subsequent reintubation in critically ill adults.

Design Meta-analysis.

Data sources PubMed, Cochrane Controlled Trials Register, Web of Science, and Embase with no limitation on language, study year, or publication status.

Selection criteria Randomised placebo controlled trials in which parenteral steroids were compared with placebo for preventing complications after extubation in adults.

Review methods Search, application of inclusion and exclusion criteria, data extraction, and assessment of methodological quality, independently performed in duplicate. Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals, risk difference, and number needed to treat were calculated and pooled.

Main outcome measures Primary outcome: laryngeal oedema after extubation. Secondary outcome: subsequent reintubation because of laryngeal oedema.

Results Six trials (n=1923) were identified. Compared with placebo, steroids given before planned extubation decreased the odds ratio for laryngeal oedema (0.38, 95% confidence interval 0.17 to 0.85) and subsequent reintubation (0.29, 0.15 to 0.58), corresponding with a risk difference of −0.10 (−0.12 to −0.07; number needed to treat 10) and −0.02 (−0.04 to −0.01; 50), respectively. Subgroup analyses indicated that a multidose regimen of steroids had marked positive effects on the occurrence of laryngeal oedema (0.14; 0.08 to 0.23) and on the rate of subsequent reintubation (0.19; 0.07 to 0.50), with a risk difference of −0.19 (−0.24 to −0.15; 5) and −0.04 (−0.07 to −0.02; 25). In single doses there was only a trend towards benefit, with the confidence interval including 1. Side effects related to steroids were not found.

Conclusion Prophylactic administration of steroids in multidose regimens before planned extubation reduces the incidence of laryngeal oedema after extubation and the consequent reintubation rate in adults, with few adverse events.

INTRODUCTION

Critically ill patients and those undergoing surgery routinely undergo endotracheal intubation to facilitate mechanical ventilation in the intensive care unit and operating room.1 As these patients recover, respiratory support is gradually reduced until the patient can breathe unaided and the endotracheal tube can be removed. Although extubation is generally uneventful, in some patients mechanical irritation by the endotracheal tube causes substantial laryngeal oedema,2 despite use of a high volume and low pressure cuff3 or laryngeal ultrasound monitoring.4 Laryngeal oedema, one of the most common complications, can result in stridor and dyspnoea and need for reintubation.5 Such complications, particularly reintubation, might lead to a prolonged stay in intensive care, additional costs, potential morbidity, and mortality.5 Any intervention that increases the chances of successful extubation is therefore of great interest.

To avoid airway complications, patients are often given steroids before extubation. Prophylactic steroids substantially reduce the incidence of stridor after extubation in children and tend to decrease the rate of reintubation and stridor in neonates,6,9 but the findings might not be applicable to adults because of differences in anatomy of the upper airway and the approach to airway management. The evidence to support this approach in adults is therefore limited or controversial6,10 because of the limited number of randomised trials. Previous meta-analyses based on trials in adults up to 2007 yielded inconclusive or negative results and lack reliability because of small sample sizes.6,8 Furthermore, there have been no subgroup analyses by sex or number of doses or in high risk patients or examining the interval between administration of steroids and extubation.6,8

We carried out an updated meta-analysis to determine whether steroids are effective in preventing laryngeal oedema after extubation in adults and whether they reduce the need for subsequent reintubation and to examine any reported side effects.
METHODS

Search strategy and selection criteria

We searched electronic databases including PubMed (1966 to June 2008), CENTRAL (Cochrane Controlled Trials Register; issue 2, 2008), Web of Science (1994 to June 2008), and Embase (1984 to June 2008), adopting the search strategy combining the terms “(anti-inflammatory agents OR glucocorticoid* OR corticosteroid* OR steroid* OR dexamet* OR hydrocort* OR Predniso* OR beclomet* OR methylprednisolone) AND (intubation, intratracheal OR airway obstruction OR laryngeal oedema OR stridor OR extubation)” with the high sensitive searching filter provided by the Cochrane Library.11 We identified all clinical trials on steroids and complications after extubation and searched reference lists of review articles and included studies to identify other potentially eligible studies. There was no limitation on language, year of publication, or publication status.

Trials were included if they were randomised placebo controlled trials comparing the prophylactic administration of steroids versus placebo before planned extubation in adults, with adequately reported data on either the occurrence of laryngeal oedema after extubation or the rate of consequent reintubation. After exclusion of duplicates, TF and GW reviewed the full text of all citations with titles and abstracts that seemed to fit the criteria for inclusion. Citations that were clearly not relevant or were not randomised controlled trials were not reviewed in full. The numbers of citations rejected and the reasons for rejection were tracked.

Data extraction and quality assessment

From each article we extracted details of authors, year of publication, geographical location of the study, study population, sex, sample size, time constraints for investigation after extubation, dropouts or withdrawals, interventions, outcomes, adverse events, and intention to treat analysis.

The two reviewers independently assessed allocation concealment and likelihood of bias to determine methodological quality of the included trials. The allocation concealment was ranked as adequate, uncertain, or clearly inadequate; and the likelihood of bias was scored on a Jadad 5 point scale, which contains two questions each on randomisation and masking and one question on the reporting of dropouts and withdrawals.12 Any disagreement between reviewers was resolved by consensus.

Primary and second outcomes

In intubated patients direct laryngoscopic visualisation, the ideal method for diagnosing laryngeal oedema, requires movement of the endotracheal tube, which might be unsafe in unstable critically ill patients and thus is not routinely applied in clinical practice. After extubation, stridor or dyspnoea—an audible high pitched inspiratory wheeze caused by turbulent airflow through narrowed airways—is generally accepted as a clinical indication of laryngeal oedema.22 We therefore defined minor laryngeal oedema as stridor and dyspnoea after extubation and major laryngeal oedema as severe respiratory distress resulting in tracheal reintubation secondary to upper airway obstruction. Our primary outcome in this meta-analysis was laryngeal oedema after extubation, with subsequent reintubation caused by laryngeal oedema as the secondary outcome.

Statistical analysis

We treated our two outcomes as dichotomous variables and reported odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals. We examined heterogeneity with the Q statistic (P<0.1, considered significant). We used a random effects model if the Q statistic was significant,
| Study population | Placebo |
|------------------|---------|
| Gaussorgues 1987w1 (France and multicentre) | Pneumonia, haemodynamic instability, postoperative, neurological |
| Time constraint* | 48 hours |
| Mean (SD) age (years) | 55 (26) |
| Sample size (% female) | 138 (33.3) |
| Intervention in steroid group | 40 mg IV and 40 mg IM methylprednisolone 30 min before extubation |
| Laryngeal oedema | 4 |
| Reintubation | 2 |

| Darmon 1992w2 (France and multicentre) | Haemodynamic instability, neurological, postoperative |
| Time constraint* | 24 hours |
| Mean (SD) age (years) | 49 (18.8) intubated for <36 h; 55.4 (17.0) intubated for ≥36 h |
| Sample size (% female) | 348 (39.7) |
| Intervention in steroid group | 8 mg IV dexamethasone 1 h before extubation |
| Laryngeal oedema | 11 |
| Reintubation | 2 |

| Ho 1996w3 (Taiwan) | Haemodynamic instability, neurological, postoperative, trauma |
| Time constraint* | 24 hours |
| Mean (SD) age (years) | 61 (14) |
| Sample size (% female) | 39 (25.6) |
| Intervention in steroid group | 100 mg IV hydrocortisone 1 h before extubation |
| Laryngeal oedema | 7 |
| Reintubation | 0 |

| Cheng 2006w4 (Taiwan) | Medical, surgical |
| Time constraint* | 48 hours |
| Mean (SD) age (years) | 1 injection: 63 (16); 4 injections: 67 (18) |
| Sample size (% female) | 85 (1 injection: n=43 (65.1); 4 injections: n=42 (54.8)) |
| Intervention in steroid group | Over 24 h, 40 mg IV infusion methylprednisolone every 6 h (total 160 mg) in 4 injections group; one infusion of methylprednisolone followed by three injections of normal saline every 6 h (total 40 mg) in 1 injection group |
| Laryngeal oedema | 5 had 1 injection; 3 had 4 injections |
| Reintubation | 2 had 1 injection; 3 had 4 injections |

| Francois 2007w5 (France and multicentre) | Medical, surgical, and trauma (time constraint 24 h*) |
| Time constraint* | 24 hours |
| Mean (range) age (years) | 65 (46-75) |
| Sample size (% female) | 380 (37.0) |
| Intervention in steroid group | 20 mg methylprednisolone initiated 12 h before planned extubation and continued every 4 h with last injection removal (total 80 mg) |
| Laryngeal oedema | 11 |
| Reintubation | 1 |

| Lee 2007w6 (Taiwan) | Pneumonia, sepsis, heart failure, acute respiratory distress syndrome, COPD (time constraint 48 h*) |
| Time constraint* | 48 hours |
| Mean (SD) age (years) | 72.4 (14.7) |
| Sample size (% female) | 40 (85.0) |
| Intervention in steroid group | 5 mg IV dexamethasone every 6 h, total of four doses with last injection 24 h before extubation |
| Laryngeal oedema | 4 |
| Reintubation | 1 |

*Time constraints for investigation after extubation.
results. Most of the included studies were of high quality (Jadad score $\geq 3$) and clearly reported allocation concealment, except that of Gaussorgues et al (Jadad score 1), which gave no details of randomisation, blinding, or allocation concealment. Intention to treat analysis was rarely reported.

All participants in the six trials were adults who were traehcally intubated for at least 24 hours and were monitored for at least 24 hours after extubation. Two trials mentioned supportive treatments for laryngeal oedema after extubation, such as non-invasive positive pressure ventilation (bi-level positive airway pressure) and the inhalation of racemic adrenaline (epinephrine). In these studies patients were usually given intravenous steroids sometime before selected extubation but the steroids used and doses varied (table 2).

### Outcomes for meta-analysis

Table 2 summarises individual study data for the two outcomes. In the individual studies, most of the confidence intervals for the odds ratios for laryngeal oedema and reintubation include 1.0, whereas meta-analysis indicated that prophylactic steroids before planned extubation decreased the likelihood of laryngeal oedema after extubation (odds ratio 0.38; 95% confidence interval 0.17 to 0.85) and subsequent reintubation (0.29, 0.15 to 0.58) (figs 2 and 3), corresponding with a risk difference of −0.10 (−0.12 to −0.07; number needed to treat 10) and −0.02 (−0.04 to −0.01; 50), respectively. The Q test, however, showed statistical heterogeneity in the occurrence of laryngeal oedema ($\chi^2=19.48$, df=5, $P=0.002$, $I^2=74.3\%$) but not in the rate of reintubation ($\chi^2=5.76$, $P=0.33$, $I^2=13.2\%$). Reanalyses with a random effects model still suggested that the regimen reduced the occurrence of laryngeal oedema (0.38, 0.17 to 0.85), corresponding with a risk difference of −0.10 (−0.20 to 0.00; 10). The

### Table 3 | Subgroup and sensitivity analysis of occurrence of laryngeal oedema after extubation and subsequent reintubation

| Included trials | No of studies | Steroids | Placebo | Odds ratio (95% CI) | Power |
|-----------------|---------------|----------|---------|---------------------|-------|
| All studies     | 6             | 45/984   | 129/939 | 0.38 (0.17 to 0.85)* | 1.00  |
| Excluding study with Jadad score ≤3   | 5             | 41/846   | 127/801 | 0.31 (0.14 to 0.67)* | 1.00  |
| Excluding study with heaviest weight   | 5             | 34/629   | 53/596  | 0.51 (0.32 to 0.80)  | 0.77  |
| Inclusion of high risk patients   | 2             | 12/125   | 24/83   | 0.26 (0.12 to 0.56)  | 0.97  |

| Single dose regimen | No of studies | Steroids | Placebo | Odds ratio (95% CI) | Power |
|---------------------|---------------|----------|---------|---------------------|-------|
| Overall             | 4             | 27/547   | 42/556  | 0.61 (0.36 to 1.02)  | 0.56  |
| Dose equivalent 40 mg | 3             | 20/508   | 32/518  | 0.61 (0.34 to 1.09)  | 0.50  |
| Dose equivalent 20 mg | 1             | 7/39     | 10/38   | 0.61 (0.21 to 1.82)  | 0.22  |

| Multidose regimen   | No of studies | Steroids | Placebo | Odds ratio (95% CI) | Power |
|---------------------|---------------|----------|---------|---------------------|-------|
| Overall             | 3             | 18/437   | 100/426 | 0.14 (0.08 to 0.23)  | 1.00  |
| Dose equivalent 160 mg | 1             | 3/42    | 13/43   | 0.18 (0.05 to 0.68)  | 0.87  |
| Dose equivalent 100 mg | 1             | 4/40    | 11/40   | 0.29 (0.08 to 1.02)  | 0.58  |
| Dose equivalent 80 mg | 1             | 11/355  | 76/343  | 0.11 (0.06 to 0.22)  | 1.00  |

NA not applicable.

*Adoption of random effects model for significant heterogeneity.
†Dose equivalent to dose of methylprednisolone (mg).
funnel plot showed apparent asymmetry in laryngeal oedema and reintubation.

Subgroup and sensitivity analysis

Table 3 shows the results of subgroup and sensitivity analyses. The total incidence of laryngeal oedema after extubation and subsequent reintubation was 9.0% and 2.1%, whereas in the subgroup with a multidose regimen the figures were 13.7% and 3.4%. In subgroup analyses of different regimens, multiple intravenous steroids had a marked positive effect on the occurrence of laryngeal oedema (odds ratio 0.14, 0.08 to 0.23) and the rate of subsequent reintubation (0.19, 0.07 to 0.50), corresponding with a risk difference of −0.19 (−0.24 to −0.15; number needed to treat 5) and −0.04 (−0.07 to −0.02; 25), but there was only a trend towards benefit with single doses (data not shown). We also carried out subgroup analyses on the relation between dose of steroid and its effect on laryngeal oedema after extubation and reintubation. The included trials used various steroids—such as methylprednisolone, dexamethasone, and hydrocortisone. Because of the different anti-inflammation effects, we converted all doses of steroids to the equivalent dose of methylprednisolone.13

There was no significant difference between doses equivalent to 20 mg (odds ratio 0.61 for laryngeal oedema, 0.32 for reintubation) and 40 mg (0.61, 0.47) methylprednisolone, with all confidence intervals including 1 in the single dose regimen. In the multiple dose regimen, however, the effect of steroids equivalent to 160 mg methylprednisolone (0.18, 0.34) was much better than that of 100 mg (0.29, 0.49). In addition, the effect of steroids equivalent to 80 mg methylprednisolone (0.11, 0.07) was the best compared with the steroid doses of 100 mg and 160 mg, but this could have been because that study did not include high risk patients and had a large sample size.14 Exclusion of the low quality study14 (Jadad score <3) and the heaviest weight trial15 did not change the positive direction of our results.

Risk factors associated with development of laryngeal oedema after extubation included sex (female),15 high acute physiological and chronic health evaluation score,5 low score on the Glasgow coma scale,6 excessive endotracheal tube size14 or patient height/}

| Study                  | Odds ratio (fixed) (95% CI) | Weight (%) | Odds ratio (fixed) (95% CI) | Placebo |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Cheng 2006w6            | 0.27 (0.08 to 0.90)         |            |                             |         |
| Darmon 1992w2           | 0.41 (0.08 to 0.23)         | 14.82      |                             |         |
| Francois 2007w5         | 0.07 (0.01 to 0.51)         | 42.98      |                             |         |
| Gaussergues 1987w1      | 0.26 (0.12 to 0.56)         | 1.49       | 5.07 (0.24 to 106.65)       |         |
| Ho 1996w3               | 0.61 (0.01 to 0.51)         | 4.54       | 0.32 (0.01 to 8.01)         |         |
| Lee 2007w6              | 0.27 (0.08 to 0.90)         | 5.90       | 0.49 (0.04 to 5.60)         |         |
| Total (95% CI)          |                             | 100.00     | 0.29 (0.15 to 0.58)         |         |
| No of resultant reintubation/ No of intubated patients |                         |            |                             |         |
| Steroids               |                             |            |                             |         |
| Placebo                |                             |            |                             |         |

Test for heterogeneity: χ²=5.76, df=5, P=0.33, I²=13.2%

Test for overall effect: z=3.49, P<0.001

Favours steroids   Favours placebo

| 0.01 | 0.01 | 1 | 10 | 100 |
|------|------|---|----|-----|

Fig 3: Effect of steroids on reintubation after extubation

Adverse events analysis

Three of the studies (n=969) described adverse events,14-16 but these could not be pooled. In the steroid group, one patient developed septic shock and died 26 hours after extubation, and one developed afebrile, asymptomatic eosinophilia 24 hours after extubation; neither event was considered to be related to the use of steroids. In the placebo group, one patient developed respiratory failure and died 23 hours and 15 minutes after extubation.

DISCUSSION

Principal findings

Airway complications after extubation, especially reintubation secondary to laryngeal oedema in adults, are still a problem in intensive care.17 In our meta-analysis, the incidence of laryngeal oedema in the placebo group varied from 3% to 30% and the reintubation rates from less than 1% to 5%, possibly because of patients with different risk factors in individual trials. The routine use of steroids in adults before planned extubation, which has been done for decades,17-19 is not yet supported by clinical evidence with confidence intervals for odds ratios including 1. By pooling data from individual studies in a systematic review, however, we can gain more test power and add precision to the estimates of effect. The present meta-analysis confirms that, despite various confounding factors, intravenous steroids do decrease the global occurrence of laryngeal oedema after extubation by 62% and the subsequent reintubation by 71%. Multiple dose steroids have a more marked positive effect, leading to a reduction of laryngeal oedema after extubation by 86% and the subsequent reintubation by 81%, but we found no significant differences with single dose regimens. In such strategies, one event of laryngeal oedema after extubation or subsequent reintubation could be avoided by pretreatment with steroids for 10 or 50 patients, whereas with multidose...
Multiple dose regimens are more promising than single doses

Endotracheal intubation can result in laryngeal oedema; after the tube is removed this might lead to subsequent reintubation

Controversy exists regarding the prophylactic administration of steroids to prevent laryngeal oedema and reintubation in adults

Prophylactic administration of steroids before planned extubation reduces the incidence of laryngeal oedema after extubation and the consequent reintubation rate in adults.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

Profound administration of steroids before planned extubation reduces the incidence of laryngeal oedema after extubation and the consequent reintubation rate in adults.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

Endotracheal intubation can result in laryngeal oedema; after the tube is removed this might lead to subsequent reintubation.

Controversy exists regarding the prophylactic administration of steroids to prevent laryngeal oedema and reintubation in adults.

Steroids the same benefits can be achieved in five or 25 patients. We also found a possible dose-effect relation between steroid dose and its effect on preventing laryngeal oedema after extubation and reintubation in the multiple dose regimen. Although steroids have several potential adverse events, particularly in patients already at risk of hyperglycaemia and complications of infection, side effects with steroid treatments over 24 hours are minimal. The included studies found no side effects related to steroids, but adverse events were not often reported.

Single v multiple dose regimens

Our results confirm the benefit of multidose steroids before planned extubation of adults. It is difficult to predict the risk of laryngeal oedema that will require reintubation. Onset of laryngeal oedema usually occurs within eight hours after extubation so administration of steroids immediately after extubation might be too late. Administration of steroids before selected extubation is assumed to protect against or treat mucosal oedema in the glottic region caused by pressure or irritation from the endotracheal tube. Roberts et al compared trials published after 2000 with older studies and suggested that the lack of clinical benefit observed with earlier studies might have resulted from lower total steroid doses. These authors also pointed out that older studies generally used only a single dose of steroids and suggested that this, compared with the longer duration of treatment in newer studies, might account for the different conclusions. We found possible dose dependent effects in multiple dose regimens but not in single dose regimens. In multiple dose regimens steroids are usually administered at least 12 hours before extubation and repeated almost every plasma half life. This might maintain a high level of anti-inflammatory activity during the period of vulnerability to oedema after extubation.

Strengths and limitations

As we included high quality randomised controlled trials with strong power and obtained relative narrow confidence intervals, our results are more precise than those from previous studies. Previous published systematic reviews focusing on the preventive and therapeutic effect of steroids in different age groups showed no clear benefit on the occurrence of airway complications in adults, but our updated results do show such a benefit.

A recent meta-analysis found no clear effect of steroids on preventing laryngeal oedema after extubation and reintubation in adults. That study, however, did not include a recent trial with positive results. The addition of this small trial, which was not significant in its own right, was sufficient to make the results of our updated meta-analysis significant. The authors of the previous meta-analysis also did not define reintubation as major laryngeal oedema needing tracheal reintubation secondary to upper airway obstruction. This meant that patients who needed reintubation during the follow-up period because of clinical deterioration rather than major laryngeal oedema were added to the number of reintubations, especially in the steroid group. The meta-analysis also lacked subgroup analyses of single versus multidose regimens.

Our study also has some limitations. Few of the original studies reported intention to treat analyses, and that reduces the reliability of the data included in this meta-analysis. The use of supportive treatments for laryngeal oedema after extubation in some of the included studies might have reduced the apparent benefit of steroids compared with placebo treatment. The funnel plot shows asymmetry, so we cannot eliminate the possibility of publication bias. Sex is an important risk factor for laryngeal oedema after extubation and subsequent reintubation, but we could not do a subgroup analysis because so few trials reported results separately by sex. Finally, because of heterogeneity we had to use a random effects model, which results in wider confidence intervals and thus a more conservative estimate of treatment effect.

Conclusion

Our meta-analysis of currently available evidence strongly suggests that prophylactic administration of parenteral steroids in a multidose regimen before planned extubation is effective in reducing the global incidence of laryngeal oedema and subsequent need for reintubation, with few adverse events. Further trials are needed to establish the optimal dose of steroids and the optimal time between the start of treatment and planned extubation.
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