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ABSTRACT

We present observations of wavelength-dependent flux ratios for four gravitational lens systems (SDSS J1650+4251, HE 0435−1223, FBQ 0951+2635, and Q 0142−100) obtained with the Nordic Optical Telescope. The use of narrowband photometry, as well as the excellent seeing conditions during the observations, allows us to study their chromatic behavior. For SDSS J1650+4251, we determine the extinction curve of the dust in the Zac = 0.58 lens galaxy and find that the 2175 Å feature is absent. In the case of HE 0435−1223, we clearly detect chromatic microlensing. This allows us to estimate the wavelength-dependent size of the accretion disk. We find an R-band disk size of rs = 13 ± 5 light days for a linear prior on rs and rs = 7 ± 6 light days for a logarithmic prior. For a power-law size-wavelength scaling of rs ∝ λp, we were able to constrain the value of the exponent to p = 1.3 ± 0.3 for both rs priors, which is in agreement with the temperature profiles of thin disk models (p = 4/3).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Gravitational lenses can act in many cases as cosmic telescopes. Since they can magnify the flux of background sources, they allow us to look far away in cosmic time, and to study in more detail the physics of the universe at cosmological distances, and beyond the resolution capabilities and detection thresholds of current telescopes. In particular, in gravitationally lensed quasars where variations in color are observed between their images, the “chromaticity” can be used to probe active galactic nucleus (AGN) and galactic structure using microlensing (see the review by Wambsganss 2006) and to study the properties of dust in intermediate- and high-redshift galaxies, as these are the effects leading to color differences between lensed images (see the review by Kochanek 2006).

The extinction effects are due to differences in the amounts and properties of dust in the lens galaxy near each image (Nadeau et al. 1991). In many cases the extinction curve of the dust in the lens galaxy can be accurately determined by measuring the wavelength dependence of the image brightnesses. This method is similar to the “pair method” (Massa et al. 1983), comparing the spectral energy distributions of stars with the same spectral type but different reddenings, used to study dust properties in the Milky Way (MW) and in nearby galaxies where the stars can be individually resolved. In the MW, most of the observed extinction curves are well fit by the so-called Cardelli et al. (1989, hereafter CCM) parameterization, a relationship that only depends upon the ratio of the total to the selective extinction, R_V. This parameter can take values from 2.1 to 5.8 depending on the line of sight, with a typical value of R_V ≈ 3.1 (Draine 2003). The CCM parameterization includes a spectral feature at 2175 Å, but with some important differences that depend on environment. The Large Magellanic Cloud, for example, shows a weaker 2175 Å feature in some regions (e.g., Nandy et al. 1981; Misselt et al. 1999; Gordon et al. 2003), while it is entirely absent for dust in the bar of the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC, e.g., Prévot et al. 1984; Gordon et al. 2003). The classical “pair method” has been applied successfully up to distances ~10 Mpc (e.g., Bianchi et al. 1996), but cannot be used at longer distances because it requires observations of individual stars.

At cosmological distances, the pairs of lensed quasar images provide a powerful extension of the classical “pair method.” Falco et al. (1999) studied extinction properties for a sample of 23 intermediate-redshift galaxies. They were able to estimate 37 differential extinctions, and also some absolute extinctions when the total absorption was large enough. The first measurement of an extinction curve at high redshift of comparable quality to those obtained in the MW was obtained by Motta et al. (2002). Muñoz et al. (2004) estimated the extinction laws in two intermediate-redshift galaxies and found unusual extinction curves compared with the MW. Mediavilla et al. (2005) extended the study of dust extinction properties to the far-UV, where extinction is strongest and different behaviors have been observed in different environments (Gordon et al. 2003). Elíasdóttir et al. (2006) used theoretical analysis and simulations to study the effects of extinction in 10 intermediate-redshift galaxies and found no evolution of the dust properties with redshift even though, as they point out, a larger sample of lenses would be needed to reach a robust conclusion. Dai & Kochanek (2009) measured the dust-to-gas ratio in six distant lens galaxies using Chandra observations to measure the difference in the gas column for comparison to the extinction differences, and they found a value consistent with the average ratio in the MW.

There are few other methods for studying dust extinction outside the MW and nearby galaxies. Another “non-classical” pair method compares reddened and unreddened photometrically similar Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia; Riess et al. 1996; Perlmutter et al. 1997). Quasars with foreground absorbers have been used to measure the excess reddening produced by these systems. For instance, Murphy & Liske (2004) studied the properties of dust...
associated with damped Lyα systems. Galactic environments were also traced with absorbers such as CIV (Richards et al. 2001), Ca II (e.g., Wild et al. 2006), and Mg II (e.g., Ménard et al. 2008). Finally, the spectra of the afterglows of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) have also been used to determine extinction curves of dust in the host galaxies (e.g., Jakobsson et al. 2004). These methods have found that extinction curves similar to those in our neighbor galaxies are also found at high redshift. The 2175 Å feature has been confirmed in several systems (Motta et al. 2002; Junkkarinen et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2004; Ellison et al. 2006), and its highest redshift (z = 2.45) detection to date was made by Eliasdóttir et al. (2009) using GRBs. The SMC extinction law also appears to reproduce the extinction in some intermediate- and high-redshift systems, such as the line of sights of many quasars (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2004), and to other GRB afterglows (e.g., Kann et al. 2006), although the sample of robustly confirmed SMC-like extinction laws is very small.

Besides extinction, microlensing-induced variability by stars and compact objects in the lens galaxy (see the review by Wambsganss 2006 and references therein) can also produce chromatic variations between the quasar images. The magnification produced by microlensing depends strongly upon the source size, with smaller emission regions showing larger variations produced by microlensing. Since the broad line emitting regions of quasars are generally very small, this chromatic variation is more difficult to observe compared with achromatic microlensing, because microlensing magnification gradients on the scale of the source size are required to produce the effect. However, microlensing-induced chromaticity has been detected and analyzed in many lens systems (Anguita et al. 2008; Bate et al. 2008; Eigenbrod et al. 2008; Pointdexter et al. 2008; Floyd et al. 2009; Mosquera et al. 2009; Blackburne et al. 2010). The sizes of the non-thermal emission regions have also been studied using the differences between optical and X-ray flux ratios (Pooley et al. 2007; Morgan et al. 2008; Chartas et al. 2009; Dai et al. 2010; Blackburne et al. 2010). These results showed that microlensing is a unique tool to zoom in on AGN and measure their physical properties. Unfortunately, it is not always easy to separate extinction from chromatic microlensing when variations in color are observed.

One successful approach to studying chromatic microlensing is the use of narrowband photometry (Mosquera et al. 2009). Since the broad line emitting regions of quasars are generally too large to be microlensed (e.g., Abajjas et al. 2002; Bentz et al. 2009), offsets should be observed between the flux ratios of adjacent continuum and emission line wavelengths when microlensing is present. These offsets cannot be accurately measured using broadband photometry, since each filter typically contains contributions from both emission regions. Narrowband filters can separate the two, and thus distinguish microlensing from extinction.

In this work, we examine the wavelength-dependent flux ratios of SDSS J1650+4251, HE 0435−1223, FBQ 0951+2635, and Q 0142−100 measured at eight different wavelengths and at several epochs using the Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT). These systems were selected because they have previously shown evidence for extinction or achromatic microlensing. The details of the observations and data reduction techniques are described in Section 2. The modeling and analysis of each individual system appears in Section 3. The conclusions and the main results are summarized in Section 4.

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

We observed the gravitational lens systems SDSS J1650+4251, HE 0435−1223, FBQ 0951+2635, and Q 0142−100 with the 2.56 m NOT located at Roque de Los Muchachos, La Palma, Spain. The images were taken with the 2048 × 2048 ALSFOC detector, which has a spatial scale of 0.188 arcsec pixel^−1. We used seven narrowband filters, plus Bessel-I, which cover the wavelength interval 3510−8130 Å. In the case of SDSS J1650+4251, a second round of monitoring observations was performed using the Liverpool Telescope (LT) with the 2048 × 2048 RATCam CCD, the scale of which is 0.135 arcsec pixel^−1. We used the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) ugriz filters covering the wavelength range from 3000 Å to 10,000 Å. Tables 1 and 2 provide a log of our observations.
and describe the filters. The data were reduced using standard IRAF procedures. After overscan and bias correction, a master flat-field image was created for each filter and then applied. Finally, frames of the same object were aligned and combined for each filter. Cosmic rays were removed when combining the frames by using a 3σ reject rejection algorithm.

We used imrefit (McLeod et al. 1998; Lehar et al. 2000) to model the images and derive the magnitude differences between the quasar images as a function of wavelength. The quasar images were fit as point sources and the galaxy luminosity profile was described either with a de Vaucouleurs model or an exponential disk. The model consisted of the positions and intensities of all the components, as well as the parameters describing the lens galaxy morphology (effective scale radius, ellipticity, and position angle). As these parameters were allowed to vary, the models were convolved with several point-spread functions (PSFs; typically three or four) defined by stars near each lens system and optimized by computing the χ2 to fit the observed image. If Hubble Space Telescope (HST) data were available, the component positions and the structure of the lens galaxy were fixed to the values derived from the HST data.

Since the main contribution to the residuals is caused by systematic errors arising from the PSF models rather than statistical fluctuations, we estimated the final magnitude differences from the model whose PSF best fits the combined frame. The final uncertainties in the magnitude differences were calculated by adding in quadrature two contributions: one that comes from the dispersion of the results from the PSFs and the other from the dispersion of the fits to the individual frames. In all cases, the residuals were negligible compared to the image fluxes. Tables 3 and 4 report the results, and the details of the individual models are discussed in the next section.

3. THE INDIVIDUAL SYSTEMS

3.1. SDSS J1650+4251

SDSS J1650+4251 is a two image lens discovered by Morgan et al. (2003). It has a source redshift of z_s = 1.547. The separation of the two QSO components is 1′2 with B-band magnitudes of 17.8 and 20.0. The detection of Mg II and Fe II absorption lines in the spectra of the lensed quasar suggests a lens redshift of z_L = 0.58 (Morgan et al. 2003), although a resolved spectrum of the lensing galaxy is needed to confirm this value. The time delay for the system is estimated to be ~1 month (Morgan et al. 2003; Vuissoz et al. 2007).

The first series of observations of SDSS J1650+4251 were obtained with the NOT telescope using eight mostly narrowband filters. Due to the good seeing conditions, the two quasar components A and B (the brightest and the faintest, respectively) were clearly resolved. An unassociated galaxy 4 arcsec south of image A was also detected. To estimate the I-band magnitudes, we fit the two components as point sources and modeled the lens galaxy and the nearby "external" galaxy as either a de Vaucouleurs model or an exponential disk. Since the lens galaxy is very faint, it is difficult to distinguish between these two luminosity profiles. The best fit was obtained with a de Vaucouleurs profile for the lens galaxy and an exponential disk for the nearby galaxy. The excellent seeing conditions (0.′547) allowed us to determine the relative positions and brightnesses of the quasar images, as well as the position of the lens galaxy and its flux. The results for the relative component positions in Table 5 are in agreement with the ones obtained by Morgan et al. (2003). The broad I-band filter is the only one in which the lens galaxy is well detected, appearing as a clear residual if we use a model excluding it.

In the seven narrowband filters, the contribution from the lens galaxy is very small, and the lens system can be modeled simply as two point sources. The resulting fits are excellent, as illustrated by the residuals for the Strömgren-γ image shown in Figure 1. The peak residuals for the images are less than 1.7% of the peak intensity of image A. The absence of the lens galaxy in the residuals, even at wavelengths beyond the 4000 Å break, is consistent with the expected level of contamination. Assuming that the calculated flux ratios (with and without galaxy) are well determined in the I band, we can estimate the contamination in the blue filters using a quasar spectrum template for the images and an early-type spectrum template for the lens galaxy (Assef et al. 2010). We find that the lens galaxy contamination in the flux ratios would be at most ~0.04 mag in the blue filters, within the level of the model uncertainties. The photometric results appear in Table 4 and are plotted as a function of wavelength in Figure 2 (black squares). In Figure 2 we have also included the results of Morgan et al. (2003) (empty triangles), which are in good agreement with the ones we obtained.

To model the effects of differential extinction we fit the magnitude differences as a function of wavelength following Falco et al. (1999) as

\[ m_B(\lambda) - m_A(\lambda) = \Delta M + \Delta E R \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 + z_l} \right), \]

where \( \Delta M = M_B - M_A \) is the relative magnification, \( \Delta E(B - V) = E_B(B - V) - E_A(B - V) \) is the differential extinction, and \( R(\lambda) \) is the mean extinction law. The fits can also be done
Figure 1. Str−y image (left) of SDSS J1650+4251, our photometric model consisting of two point sources (middle), and the residuals (right) after subtracting the model from the data. The peak residuals (white) are roughly 2% of the quasar peak.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
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Table 4
NOT Photometry

| Target          | \(\Delta m\) | Str−u   | Str−v   | Str−b   | Str−y   | Iac#28 | Hα     | Iac#29 | I Band |
|-----------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| SDSS 1650+4251  | 2.37 ± 0.09  | 2.25 ± 0.09 | 2.12 ± 0.09 | 2.00 ± 0.09 | 1.95 ± 0.09 | 1.90 ± 0.09 | 1.85 ± 0.09 | 1.93 ± 0.09 |
| HE 0435−1223    | 0.65 ± 0.02  | 0.71 ± 0.01  | 0.66 ± 0.02  | 0.61 ± 0.05  | 0.61 ± 0.05  | 0.61 ± 0.05  | 0.61 ± 0.05  | 0.61 ± 0.05  |
| HE 0435−1223    | −0.01 ± 0.03 | 0.01 ± 0.01  | 0.04 ± 0.03  | 0.07 ± 0.05  | 0.07 ± 0.05  | 0.07 ± 0.05  | 0.07 ± 0.05  | 0.07 ± 0.05  |
| HE 0435−1223    | 0.15 ± 0.03  | 0.16 ± 0.01  | 0.22 ± 0.02  | 0.22 ± 0.04  | 0.22 ± 0.04  | 0.22 ± 0.04  | 0.22 ± 0.04  | 0.22 ± 0.04  |
| FBQ 0951+2635   | 0.87 ± 0.14  | 0.69 ± 0.02  | 0.81 ± 0.08  | 0.82 ± 0.10  | 0.68 ± 0.02  | 0.67 ± 0.07  | 0.61 ± 0.04  | 0.61 ± 0.04  |
| FBQ 0951+2635   | 0.06 ± 0.14  | 0.03 ± 0.03  | 0.03 ± 0.09  | 0.01 ± 0.11  | 0.05 ± 0.09  | 0.06 ± 0.02  | 0.04 ± 0.07  | 0.07 ± 0.03  |
| FBQ 0951+2635   | −0.06 ± 0.13 | −0.24 ± 0.02 | −0.13 ± 0.06 | −0.21 ± 0.07 | −0.20 ± 0.07 | −0.23 ± 0.02 | −0.24 ± 0.06 | −0.19 ± 0.05 |
| Q 0142−100\(^a\) | 1.30 ± 0.05  | 1.42 ± 0.05  | 1.37 ± 0.05  | 1.44 ± 0.05  | 1.33 ± 0.05  | 1.36 ± 0.05  | 1.43 ± 0.05  | 1.43 ± 0.05  |
| Q 0142−100\(^d\) | 2.23 ± 0.05  | 2.31 ± 0.05  | 2.37 ± 0.05  | 2.29 ± 0.05  | 2.21 ± 0.05  | 2.21 ± 0.05  | 2.39 ± 0.05  | 2.39 ± 0.05  |
| Q 0142−100\(^d\) | 2.24 ± 0.05  | 2.36 ± 0.05  | 2.18 ± 0.05  | 2.18 ± 0.05  | 2.37 ± 0.05  | 2.37 ± 0.05  | 2.36 ± 0.05  | 2.36 ± 0.05  |

Table 5
SDSS J1650+4251 Component Positions

| COMPONENT | WIYN\(^a\) | NOT |
|-----------|-----------|-----|
| \(\Delta R.A.\) | \(\Delta Decl.\) | \(\Delta R.A.\) | \(\Delta Decl.\) |
| Image A   | \(\equiv 0\) | \(\equiv 0\) | \(\equiv 0\) | \(\equiv 0\) |
| Image B   | 0.223 ± 0.002 | 1.163 ± 0.001 | 0.24 ± 0.02 | 1.17 ± 0.02 |
| Lens galaxy | 0.017 ± 0.032 | −0.872 ± 0.026 | 0.015 ± 0.001 | −0.88 ± 0.07 |

Note. \(^a\) Relative positions to image A obtained by Morgan et al. (2003) with the WIYN 3.5 m telescope at the Kitt Peak National Observatory.

as a function of the “dust redshift” (Jean & Surdej 1998), either to estimate the lens redshift or as an added confirmation of extinction. All these quantities can be determined without needing to know the intrinsic spectrum of the quasar, \(m_0(\lambda)\). We use a \(\chi^2\) statistic for the fits and either the CCM (1989) parameterized models for the Galactic extinction curve or the Fitzpatrick & Massa (1990) model with its parameters set to the values found by Gordon et al. (2003) for the average extinction in the SMC. The results are shown in Figure 2.

Our best fit for the data with \(z_{\text{dust}} = 0.58\) is obtained for an SMC extinction law with \(\chi^2_{\text{dof}} = 0.08\), where \(\chi^2_{\text{dof}}\) is \(\chi^2\) per degree of freedom. The parameters for this fit are \(\Delta M = 1.8 \pm 0.1\) and \(\Delta E = 0.10 \pm 0.02\) at 1σ. For the CCM extinction model with \(R_V = 3.1\), we find \(\chi^2_{\text{dof}} = 2.2\), which is a significantly worse fit because the Str−u point is in conflict with the presence of a 2175 Å feature. Excluding the Str−u point, the CCM model would also fit well (\(\chi^2_{\text{dof}} = 0.03\)).

\(^b\) We neglect the somewhat offset \(I\)-band point. We think that it is discrepant because the lens galaxy was slightly oversubtracted.

Notes.
\(^a\) Observation date: 2007 October 15.
\(^b\) Observation date: 2007 October 31.
\(^c\) Observation date: 2006 September 23.
\(^d\) Observation date: 2006 November 17.
The alternative to extinction as an explanation for the wavelength-dependent flux ratios is chromatic microlensing. We view this as a less likely explanation because the wavelength dependence observed in the NOT data is consistent with that observed \(\sim 4\) months earlier by Morgan et al. (2003) and \(\sim 3\) years later in our LT observations, as shown in Figure 3. There is a wavelength-independent shift of \(\sim 0.2\) mag that could be due to microlensing, but the chromatic structure is unchanged. Since the observations made at the LT cover a period different than the expected time delay and no significant variations were observed between the different nights, the magnitude shifts are probably not due to intrinsic variability in the quasar modulated by the time delay.

3.2. HE 0435−1223

HE 0435−1223 was discovered by Wisotzki et al. (2000) in the Hamburg/ESO survey for bright QSOs and later identified as a gravitational lens (Wisotzki et al. 2002). In this quadruple system, a background quasar with a redshift of \(z_S = 1.689\) is gravitationally lensed by an early-type galaxy at \(z_L = 0.4541\) (Morgan et al. 2005). Integral-field spectrophotometry (Wisotzki et al. 2003) showed no evidence for differential extinction, while there was evidence for microlensing. Kochanek et al. (2006) also observed microlensing variations \(\sim 0.1\) mag yr\(^{-1}\). Due to the symmetric distribution of the images around the lensing galaxy, the time delays of \(\Delta t_{AB} = -8.00^{+0.73}_{-0.82}\) days, \(\Delta t_{AC} = -2.10^{+0.79}_{-0.85}\) days, and \(\Delta t_{AD} = -14.37^{+0.75}_{-0.85}\) days (Kochanek et al. 2006) are relatively small. Courbin et al. (2010) found similar time delays using a longer monitoring period but with larger formal uncertainties.

Observations of this system were made using the narrowband filter set at the NOT on two nights separated 15 days (see Table 1), and the photometric results for the two epochs agree at the \(1\sigma\) level. Figure 4 shows the results of the PSF photometry for one of those nights, in which the lens galaxy was modeled with a de Vaucouleurs profile, and the quasar images were included as point sources. Their relative positions and the structure of the lens galaxy were fixed to the HST values (Kochanek et al. 2006).

The behavior of the flux differences in Figure 4 looks very similar to what we found when studying Q 2237+0305 (Mosquera et al. 2009), suggesting that we have detected chromatic microlensing in another quadruple system. Considering the quasar redshift, the Strömgren-\(u\) and Strömgren-\(v\) filters are the only ones affected by the broad emission lines of the quasar. The Ly\(\alpha\) emission line contributes about 38% of the flux in the Strömgren-\(u\) filter, and part of the C\(iv\) emission line extends over the full width of the Strömgren-\(v\) filter based on the SDSS composite quasar spectrum (Vanden Berk et al. 2001). Therefore, in a system affected by microlensing, these two filters will be offset from the continuum flux ratios at those wavelengths, because the broad line regions are much larger than the length scales for microlensing (e.g., Abajas et al. 2002; Bentz et al. 2009).

Looking in Figure 4 at the filters that are not affected by emission lines, it appears that chromatic microlensing is affecting image A. The observed chromaticity between the bluest (Str-\(b\)) and the reddest (\(I\)-band) filters is \((\Delta m)_I - b = 0.20 \pm 0.09\). As none of B, C, or D shows significant signs of a wavelength dependence, even at wavelengths contaminated by emission lines, achromatic microlensing must be weak for these images. This is supported by the \(R\)-band light curves obtained with the SMARTS 1.3 telescope located at the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, in Chile (Blackburne & Kochanek 2010). Figure 5 shows the brightness fluctuations observed in the difference \((m_B - m_A)\), once a time delay correction of \(-8.0\) days was applied, and time-dependent changes are not seen in the other two differences \((m_B - m_C) \sim 0.05\) and
$m_B - m_D \sim -0.25$). Thus, image A seems to have been undergoing a microlensing event near the time of our NOT observations, while no-microlensing variations were observed in B, C, and D. Our flux ratios for the redshift zero Hα filter agree well with the similar-wavelength SMARTS R-band observation (Figure 5, filled squares).

We modeled the microlensing of image A as follows. First, we fit a simple singular isothermal ellipsoid plus external shear model to the HST positions from Kochanek et al. (2006) using the lensmodel package (Keeton 2001) to determine a convergence and shear for image A of $\kappa = 0.43$ and $\gamma = 0.39$. Second, we generated microlensing magnification patterns using the inverse polygon mapping method of Mediavilla et al. (2006). We generated patterns with stellar mass fractions of $\kappa_s/\kappa = 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.25$. We used stellar masses of $M = 1 M_\odot$, an outer scale of 20 $r_E$ and a dimension of 2048 x 2048 pixels to get a resolution of 0.01 $r_E$ pixel$^{-1}$. With the present data we cannot determine M, and our size estimates can be rescaled as $(M/M_\odot)^{1/2}$. Finally, we estimated the R-band microlensing magnification of image A assuming that the H-band fluxes from Kochanek et al. (2006) represented the true flux ratios. The H-band data are the reddest ones reported in the literature for HE 0435−1223, and will be less affected by extinction and chromatic microlensing. Even though chromatic effects could be contaminating the H-band measurements, our observations support the hypothesis that they are negligible. The H-band magnitude differences, $(m_B - m_C)_H = -0.02 \pm 0.04$ and $(m_B - m_D)_H = -0.23 \pm 0.06$, match the respective SMARTS R-band differences (see Figure 5). Since from the NOT chromatic data we know that neither B, C, nor D is significantly microlensed, the H-band flux ratios should be a good estimate of the real fluxes of the images. This means that at R-band image A is magnified by microlensing by $\Delta M_B = -0.19 \pm 0.04$. The differential microlensing of image A between the Str-b and R bands was simply estimated from the observed chromatic microlensing, and is $\Delta M_{R-b} = -0.13 \pm 0.08$.

Finally, we convolved the magnification patterns with Gaussian source profiles ($\propto \exp(-r/2r_*)$) of varying size $r_*$ to model the R-band and $r^b = r^R(\lambda_b/\lambda_R)^p$ for the Str-b band to compute the probability of reproducing $\Delta M_R$ and $\Delta M_{R-b}$ as a function of the size $r_*$, the power-law index $p$, and the stellar fraction $\kappa_s/\kappa$ (see Mosquera et al. (2009) for details on the probability distribution calculations). We adopted $\alpha = 1/3$, $i = 1, ..., 6$, and $r_s^R = (1 + 2i)$ light-days, $i = 0, ..., 12$. Figure 6 (solid lines) shows the probability distribution $P(r^R, p)$ for the different values of the stellar fraction $\kappa_s/\kappa$. The contours correspond to 15%, 47%, 68%, and 90% confidence intervals. The integrated values of the probabilities, $P_\alpha(r^R)$ and $P_\alpha(p)$, are shown in Figures 7 and 8, respectively, for the considered stellar fractions. If we adopt the likelihood maximum as our estimator for $r^R$ and $p$, they can be constrained at 68% confidence for $\alpha < 0.15$ (Table 6). For $\alpha > 0.15$ the shape of the probability distributions does not allow us to determine the corresponding uncertainties. However, we know from Mediavilla et al. (2009) and from time delay measurements (Kochanek et al. 2006) that low values of $\kappa_s/\kappa \lesssim 0.2$ are favored. Therefore we calculated $P(r^R)$ and $P(p)$ using the probability distribution of the stellar mass fraction found by Mediavilla et al. (2009) as a prior (solid line in Figures 9 and 10), interpolating over the probability distributions since the sampling in $\alpha$ is not uniform. From these weighted probability distributions we estimate that the disk size and the power-law slope are $r^R = 13 \pm 5$ light days and $p = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ at 68% confidence. We also estimated these values for a logarithmic prior on $r^R$ (Table 6) just by dividing by $r^R$ the distributions obtained with a uniform prior. The probability distributions $P(r^R, p)$ using this prior, and the corresponding integrated values $P_\alpha(r^R)$ and $P_\alpha(p)$, are also shown in Figures 6, 7, and 8, respectively (dashed lines). In this case we found a disk size of $r^R = 7 \pm 6$ light days and a similar slope $p$. Pooley et al. (2007, 2009) and Blackburne et al. (2010) found similar disk sizes to these estimates by comparing optical and X-ray flux ratios, and similar results were found by Morgan et al. (2008, 2010) modeling the R-band light curves (they estimated $r^R = 15 \pm 3$ light days for a face-on quasar). The slope $p$ for the wavelength dependence is in agreement with the Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) simple disk model ($p = 4/3$). Blackburne et al. (2010) also found solutions compatible with our results for HE 0435−1223 with $p = 0.55 \pm 0.49$ and $p = 0.67 \pm 0.55$ for a linear and for a logarithmic prior, respectively, although they found a shallower average slope for their full sample of lenses.

If we compare the probability distribution $P(\alpha)$ without the Mediavilla et al. (2009) prior, it increases monotonically with $\alpha$ (Figure 11, dashed line). This is the expected behavior for $P(\alpha)$, since a single epoch chromatic microlensing detection likely introduces a strong bias toward large $\alpha$ values. With $\alpha$ large there are many more regions with the strong micro-magnification gradients needed to produce the observed chromaticity. This is
Figure 6. Probability of reproducing the observed microlensing magnification and chromaticity of HE 0435−1223 as a function of the $R$-band disk size, $r_R^s$, and the power-law index, $p$, where $r_R^s \propto \lambda^p$. The panels show the results for different stellar mass fractions $\alpha$. The contours correspond to 15%, 47%, 68%, and 90% confidence intervals. Uniform (solid line) and logarithmic (dashed line) priors on $r_R^s$ were assumed. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 7. Probability of reproducing the observed microlensing magnification and chromaticity of HE 0435−1223 as a function of the $R$-band disk size, $r_R^s$ for different stellar mass fractions $\alpha$, and normalized by the total probability in the $(r_R^s, p)$ grid. Uniform (solid line) and logarithmic (dashed line) priors on $r_R^s$ were assumed.
3.3. FBQ 0951+2635

The gravitational lens system FBQ 0951+2635 was discovered by Schechter et al. (1998). It has two quasar images separated by 1′1, and the time delay between them is estimated to be approximately two weeks (e.g., Jakobsson et al. 2005). The quasar redshift is $z_S = 1.246$. However, the redshift of the lens

---

Figure 8. Probability of reproducing the observed microlensing magnification and chromaticity of HE 0435−1223 as a function of the power-law index, $p$, for different stellar mass fractions $\alpha$, and normalized by the total probability in the ($r^R_s$, $p$) grid. Uniform (solid line) and logarithmic (dashed line) priors on $r^R_s$ were assumed.

Figure 9. Probability of reproducing the observed microlensing magnification and chromaticity in HE 0435−1223 as a function of the $R$-band disk size, $r^R_s$, after marginalizing over $\alpha$. The solid line was obtained using the prior on $\alpha$ from Mediavilla et al. (2009). The dashed line corresponds to the probability distribution without this prior. These results are for the uniform prior on $r^R_s$.

Figure 10. Probability of reproducing the observed microlensing magnification and chromaticity in HE 0435−1223 as a function of the power-law index, $p$, after marginalizing over $\alpha$. The solid line was obtained using the prior on $\alpha$ from Mediavilla et al. (2009). The dashed line corresponds to the probability distribution without this prior. These results are for the uniform prior on $r^R_s$.

---

the reason why we cannot constrain the stellar fraction with our procedure. When we include the Mediavilla et al. (2009) prior, the resulting $P(\alpha)$ resembles that prior (Figure 11, solid line). In the end, our procedure is essentially equivalent to computing the probability distributions $P(r^R_s)$ and $P(p)$ for a fixed value of $\alpha \approx 0.1$ that is also consistent with the time delays.
galaxy was much more difficult to measure, since it was very difficult to disentangle its flux from the quasar images. Kochanek et al. (2000) suggested a value of $z_L = 0.21$ from the position of the lens in the fundamental plane, and this was spectroscopically confirmed to be $z_L = 0.260 \pm 0.002$ by Eigenbrod et al. (2007). Indications of microlensing in the system were found by several authors (e.g., Schechter et al. 1998; Jakobsson et al. 2005), but the chromatic behavior of FBQ 0951+2635 is still not well understood. This system was observed during one night at the NOT (see Table 1) using our narrowband filter set. We fit the data using point sources for the quasar images, and the lens galaxy was included in the $I$-band model as a de Vaucouleurs profile. Figure 12 shows the result of our photometry compared with $HST$ measurements at two different epochs (CASTLES and J. A. Muñoz et al. 2011, in preparation).

If we focus on the NOT data in Figure 12 (open squares), our results are compatible with no chromaticity. However, our data shed little light on the chromatic behavior of FBQ 0951+2635, because almost all the filters contain strong emission lines. The iac#29 filter corresponds to the O III line, iac#28 is blended with Mg II, [Ne IV], Fe III, and [O II] lines lie in the Str-$v$ filter, Str-$u$ is affected at $\sim$50% by C III], and the Str-$u$ filter contains Si IV, O IV, C IV, and He II. In any case, our results as well as those obtained by J. A. Muñoz et al. (2011, in preparation; Figure 12), confirm the lack of extinction because no chromatic fluctuations are observed. The J. A. Muñoz et al. (2011, in preparation) flux ratios are shifted by $\sim$0.1 mag, while the earlier CASTLES observations show a larger shift and a significant wavelength dependence, suggesting that there is significant microlensing in this system. In any case further observations are needed to better understand the chromatic behavior in this system.

3.4. Q 0142−100

The gravitational lens Q 0142−100 (UM 673) was discovered by Surdej et al. (1987). It is a doubly imaged quasar with components separated by 2″2. The source redshift is $z_S = 2.72$ (MacAlpine & Feldman 1982), and absorption lines detected in the quasar spectra suggest a lensing galaxy at $z_L = 0.49$ (e.g., Surdej et al. 1987). Several authors have discussed the chromatic behavior of this lens system (Falco et al. 1999; Wisotzki et al. 2004; Elfsdottir et al. 2006), but its nature is still a matter of discussion. The photometric data obtained by Nakos et al. (2005) possibly detected chromatic microlensing and renewed interest in this lens system. The narrowband observations of Q 0142−100 were obtained at the NOT on two nights separated by 15 days (see Table 1). The best fit to the images was found using a de Vaucouleurs profile for the lensing galaxy, and point sources for the quasar images using the astrometry and structural models from Lehár et al. (2000).

Unfortunately, these observations shed little light on the chromatic behavior of Q 0142−100 (open squares in Figure 13). Because most of the NOT filters are again contaminated in varying amounts by the emission lines of the quasar. The most affected filters are the iac#29 and Sty-$b$ bands, which lie on the C III] and Lyα emission lines. However, comparing our results with the data obtained by Elfsdottir et al. (2006) (filled squares in Figure 13) and by CASTLES (Falco et al. 1999) (filled triangles), somewhat constrains the origin of the observed chromaticity. All three data sets, spanning a 10 year period, show the same color trends. Koptelova et al. (2010) also monitored this system during a 2 year period in $V$, $R$, and $I$ bands, and their average $m_B - m_A$ values for the different filters are consistent with our observations. This similarity between the color trends essentially eliminates the possibility that intrinsic source variability modulated by the time delay could produce the color trends, since the delay, while unknown, is small compared with the 10 year interval between epochs.
Extinction alone is also ruled out because the wavelengths of the observations correspond to the regime where all the extinction laws are roughly proportional to $\lambda$, with the 2175 Å feature lying blueward of all the data, leaving nothing to create the observed parabolic wavelength dependence. Therefore, the explanation for the observed chromaticity should be chromatic microlensing, even though the chromaticity changed little over a decade. Microlensing variations for Q 0142−100 are assured on 0.3−1 Mpc and used the black hole mass of $M_{\bullet} = 2.26 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ estimated by Peng et al. (2006). For these assumptions the disk size in the V band ($r_{\text{V}} \approx 0.15 \mu m$) is $R_{\text{V}} \approx 2.9 \times 10^{15}$ cm.

4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we studied the chromatic behavior of four lens systems using optical multi-wavelength data from a monitoring campaign performed at the NOT. The use of narrowband photometry under excellent seeing conditions has proved to be a powerful tool to disentangle chromatic microlensing from extinction, since depending on the source redshift, emission line contamination can be better separated from the continuum emission.

In particular, the color variations in SDSS J1650+4251 are probably dominated by extinction and require an extinction law without a 2175 Å feature. This indicates that we have found a galaxy in which the extinction curve is similar to that of the SMC but at a cosmological redshift ($z_L = 0.58$). This result significantly increases the small sample of high-redshift galaxies with similar extinction features, and it is the first robustly determined from the pair method. This was possible due to the good wavelength resolution achieved by the use of narrow filters. The firm confirmation of an SMC-like dust at a cosmological distance is very important since many models including dust at higher redshifts assume the “featureless” SMC extinction law (e.g., Richards et al. 2003; Hopkins et al. 2004).

The selection criteria would certainly be crucial in many fields of astrophysics, like, for instance, in the correct understanding of the expansion of the universe through SNe Ia (e.g., Jha et al. 2006, 2007).

We clearly detect chromatic microlensing in the image A of HE 0435−1223. This single epoch observation, with microlensing $\Delta M_R = -0.19 \pm 0.04$ and chromatic microlensing $\Delta M_{\text{microlensing}} = -0.13 \pm 0.08$, allows us to estimate the disk size and to constrain the power-law index in the size-wavelength scaling of the accretion disk. We found an R-band disk size of $r_{\text{V}} = 13 \pm 5$ light days for a prior on $r_{\text{V}}^0$, and of $r_{\text{V}}^0 = 7 \pm 6$ light days for a logarithmic prior, and a value of $p = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ consistent with the Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) thin disk model. Our result is in good agreement with those of other authors (Pooley et al. 2007, 2009; Morgan et al. 2010; Blackburne et al. 2010).

In the case of FBQ 0951+2635 and Q 0142−100, unfortunately, further observations are needed to completely understand the chromatic behavior of the systems, although our observations shed some light on their chromatic variations. The lack of chromaticity observed in the FBQ 0951+2635 NOT data is compatible with the absence of extinction, and the different chromatic behaviors observed at different epochs suggest that there is significant microlensing in the system. In the case of Q 0142−100, microlensing scenarios are also favored to explain the observed chromaticity, although wavelength-dependent contamination by the lens galaxy in the flux of image B, due to its proximity, is not ruled out.
