The transcription and export complex THO/TREX contributes to transcription termination in plants
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Abstract

Transcription termination has important regulatory functions, impacting mRNA stability, localization and translation potential. Failure to appropriately terminate transcription can also lead to read-through transcription and the synthesis of antisense RNAs which can have profound impact on gene expression. The Transcription-Export (THO/TREX) protein complex plays an important role in coupling transcription with splicing and export of mRNA. However, little is known about the role of the THO/TREX complex in the control of transcription termination. In this work, we show that two proteins of the THO/TREX complex, namely TREX COMPONENT 1 (TEX1 or THO3) and HYPER RECOMBINATION1 (HPR1 or THO1) contribute to the correct transcription termination at several loci in Arabidopsis thaliana. We first demonstrate this by showing defective termination in tex1 and hpr1 mutants at the nopaline synthase (NOS) terminator present in a T-DNA inserted between exon 1 and 3 of the PHO1 locus in the pho1-7 mutant. Read-through transcription beyond the NOS terminator and splicing-out of the T-DNA resulted in the generation of a near full-length PHO1 mRNA (minus exon 2) in the tex1 pho1-7 and hpr1 pho1-7 double mutants, with enhanced production of a truncated PHO1 protein that retained phosphate export activity. Consequently, the strong reduction of shoot growth associated with the severe phosphate deficiency of the pho1-7 mutant was alleviated in the tex1 pho1-7 and hpr1 pho1-7 double mutants, with enhanced production of a truncated PHO1 protein that retained phosphate export activity. Consequently, the strong reduction of shoot growth associated with the severe phosphate deficiency of the pho1-7 mutant was alleviated in the tex1 pho1-7 and hpr1 pho1-7 double mutants. Additionally, we show that RNA termination defects in tex1 and hpr1 mutants lead to 3'UTR extensions in several endogenous genes. These results demonstrate that THO/TREX complex contributes to the regulation of transcription termination.

Author summary

Production of messenger RNAs (mRNAs) involves numerous steps including initiation of transcription, elongation, splicing, termination, as well as export out of the nucleus. All these steps are highly coordinated and failure in any steps has a profound impact on the
level and identity of mRNAs produced. The THO/TREX protein complex is associated with nascent RNAs and contributes to several mRNA biogenesis steps, including splicing and export. However, the contribution of the THO/TREX complex to mRNA termination was poorly defined. We have identified a role for two components of the THO/TREX complex, namely the proteins TEX1 and HPR1, in the control of transcription termination in the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. We show that the *tex1* and *hpr1* mutants have defects in terminating mRNA at the nopaline synthase (NOS) terminator found in a T-DNA insertion mutant leading to the transcriptional read-through pass the NOS terminator. We also show that *tex1* and *hpr1* mutants have defects in mRNA termination at several endogenous genes, leading to the production of 3'UTR extensions. Together, these results highlight a role for the THO/TREX complex in mRNA termination.

**Introduction**

In eukaryotes, mRNAs are generated by several dynamic and coordinated processes including transcriptional initiation, elongation and termination, as well as splicing and nuclear export. Failure in any of these processes has a profound impact on the level and identity of transcripts [1–3]. These transcriptional steps are sequentially orchestrated by a multitude of RNA-binding protein complexes that co-transcriptionally couple with the nascent RNA [4]. For example, protein complex required for transcription termination cleaves pre-mRNA close to RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) and adds a poly (A) tail to the 3’ end of nascent RNA [3]. Pre-mRNA cleavage exposes the 5’end of nascent mRNA to 5’-3’ exonucleases which degrades the RNA attached to RNAPII, leading to transcription termination [5].

Cleavage and polyadenylation define the transcription termination at a given locus and has a decisive role in regulating gene expression as it can influence stability and translation potential of the RNA via the inclusion of regulatory sequence elements [6]. Moreover, transcription termination avoid interference with the transcription of downstream genes and facilitates RNAPII recycling [7]. It also prevents synthesis of antisense RNAs which can have a severe effect on RNA production and overall gene expression [8]. Additional regulatory role of transcription termination is the synthesis of chimeric transcripts formed by the tethering of two neighboring genes on the same chromosomal strand [8]. Considering its importance, molecular mechanisms which regulate RNA termination are relatively poorly understood.

After transcription termination, nascent RNA is assembled in a ribonucleoprotein complex and is delivered for RNA export into the cytosol. Similar to other steps in RNA biogenesis which are closely coupled in a sequential manner, it is likely that transcription termination is associated with nuclear export of RNAs. The TREX (TRanscription-EXport) protein complex has emerged as an important component in coupling transcription with RNA processing and export [9]. In metazoans, TREX consists of the THO core complex, which includes THO1/HPR1, THO2, THO3/TEX1, THO5, THO6 and THO7 [10]. The proteins associating with the THO core components and forming the TREX complex include the RNA helicase and splicing factor DDX39B (SUB2 in yeast) as well as the RNA export adaptor protein ALY (YRA1 in yeast) [10]. TREX is co-transcriptionally recruited to the nascent mRNA and regulates splicing, elongation and export [11]. Moreover, THO/TREX complex is required for genetic stability as it is involved in preventing DNA:RNA hybrids that lead to transcription impairment and are responsible for genetic instability phenotypes observed in these mutants [12]. The genes encoding the THO core components are conserved in plants, including in the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* [13, 14]. *A. thaliana* mutants defective in THO components show a wide
range of phenotypes, from no obvious alteration and relatively mild phenotypes to lethal phenotypes, suggesting overlapping but independent functions of these components [14–16]. Arabidopsis thaliana mutants in THO components, including HPR1, THO2 and TEX1, show defects in small RNA biogenesis, mRNA elongation, splicing and export, but no defect in mRNA termination has been reported [14–20].

In this work, we explored the regulatory function of two components of the THO/TREX complex, namely TEX1 and HPR1, in transcription termination in Arabidopsis thaliana. We show that the tex1 and hpr1 mutants are defective in RNA termination at the Nopaline Synthase (NOS) terminator present on a T-DNA inserted in the PHO1 locus. Additionally, genome-wide analysis of mRNAs revealed RNAII termination defects in tex1 and hpr1 mutants at several loci leading to the 3'UTR extensions.

Results

A forward genetic screen using the T-DNA insertion mutant pho1-7 for reversion of the growth phenotype identified the TEX1 gene

The PHO1 gene encodes an inorganic phosphate (Pi) exporter involved in loading Pi into the root xylem for its transfer to the shoot [21–24]. Consequently, pho1 mutants in both Arabidopsis thaliana and rice have reduced shoot Pi contents and shows all symptoms associated with Pi deficiency, including highly reduced shoot growth and the expression of numerous genes associated with Pi deficiency [23, 25, 26]. However, it has previously been shown that low shoot Pi content can be dissociated from its major effects on growth and other responses normally associated with Pi deficiency through the modulation of PHO1 expression or activity [25, 27]. We thus used the pho1 mutant as a tool, in a forward genetic screen, to identify mutants which restore pho1 shoot growth to wild type (Col-0) level, while maintaining low shoot Pi contents. We performed ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) mutagenesis on seeds of the pho1-7 mutant, derived from the SALK line 119520 containing a single T-DNA inserted in the PHO1 gene in between the first and third exon of the PHO1 gene leading to the deletion of the second exon from the genome (S1 Text). Screening of 10'300 mutagenized pho1-7 plants grown in soil for improved rosette growth led to the isolation of a suppressor mutant that had rosette growth similar to Col-0 while maintaining a low shoot Pi content similar to pho1-7 (Fig 1A and 1B) (see Material and methods for further detail). Both pho1-7 and the suppressor mutant maintained resistance to kanamycin associated with the T-DNA insertion in PHO1.

Mapping-by-sequencing revealed that the mutation C116T is introduced into the TEX1 gene in the pho1-7 suppressor mutant. This leads to a conversion of serine 39 to phenylalanine in the TEX1 protein. Transformation of TEX1 gene into pho1-7 suppressor led to pho1-like phenotype, confirming that mutation in tex1 was the causal mutation for restoration of pho1-7 shoot growth (Fig 1A and 1B). Furthermore, crossing of a T-DNA allele tex1-4 (SALK_100012) to pho1-7 also resulted in the suppression of pho1-7 shoot growth phenotype (Fig 2A), further confirming that mutation in TEX1 is responsible for the suppression of shoot growth phenotype in pho1-7 suppressor mutant. Therefore, we named this new S39P mutant in the TEX1 gene as tex1-6. In agreement with previous reports, TEX1 protein was localized to the nucleus [19] (S1A Fig). TEX1 promoter fusion with GUS showed that TEX1 is expressed in root, cotyledon and rosette (S1B Fig).

The pho1-7 tex1-6 mutant shows Col-0-like shoot growth while maintaining a low Pi content that is only slightly higher to the parental pho1-7 (Fig 1A and 1B). A key molecular response of pho1 mutants is the manifestation of gene expression and lipid profiles in the shoots that are associated with strong Pi deficiency [25]. To determine if the tex1-6 mutation can also suppress the induction of Pi starvation responses (PSR) in the rosettes of pho1-7 tex1-
6, we performed quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) to see the expression of PSR genes. *pho1-7 tex1-6* shoots showed an expression profile of PSR genes that was comparable to Pi-sufficient Col-0 plants (S2A Fig). Additionally, lipid analysis in *pho1-7* mutants showed a decrease of phospholipids and an increase in galactolipids expected for Pi-deficient plants, while *pho1-7 tex1-6* plants showed lipid profiles similar to Col-0 plants (S2B Fig), confirming that the *tex1-6* mutation suppressed morphological as well as molecular response to Pi deficiency displayed by the *pho1-7* mutant.

**Mutation of TEX1 in pho1-7 resulted in the synthesis of a truncated PHO1 protein**

To determine if the *tex1* mutation also suppresses the growth phenotype associated with other *pho1* alleles generated by EMS mutagenesis, a double mutant *pho1-4 tex1-4* was generated. Surprisingly, *pho1-4 tex1-4* double mutant showed only minor improvement in shoot growth and maintained low shoot Pi content (Fig 2A and 2B). In order to understand how the *tex1* mutation can result in restoration of *pho1-7* shoot growth, we performed a detailed analysis of transcripts produced at the *PHO1* locus in the *pho1-7 tex1-4* mutant. Interestingly, we identified a truncated PHO1Δ249–342 transcript which only lacked the 2nd exon suggesting that the T-DNA is spliced out from the mature mRNA (Fig 2C, S1 Text). The mRNA produced is in frame and resulted in the production a truncated PHO1Δ84–114 protein (S1 Text). Western blot experiments confirmed the presence of a PHO1Δ84–114 truncated protein in both *pho1-7* and *pho1-7 tex1-4* roots with a strong increase of expression in the *pho1-7 tex1-4* double mutant as compared to *pho1-7* (Fig 2D). This increase in protein quantity can be attributed to an increase in expression of PHO1Δ84–342 RNA in the *pho1-7 tex1-4* double mutant (Fig 2E). We hypothesized that the PHO1Δ84–114 protein variant was at least partially active as a Pi exporter and that its increased expression in *pho1-7 tex1-4* partially restored PHO1 function, resulting in an improvement of the shoot growth phenotype. We confirmed this hypothesis by expressing the PHO1Δ84–114 variant and the wild type PHO1 fused to GFP using the PHO1 promoter in the...
pho1-4 null mutant. As expected, pho1-4 mutant which expressed the wild type PHO1 fully complemented the growth and Pi content to Col-0 level (Fig 3A and 3B). However, plants expressing the PHO1Δ84–114 variant only restored the shoot growth phenotype while maintaining low Pi contents comparable to pho1-7 tex1-4 plants (Fig 3A and 3B). Confocal analysis of
roots showed that PHO1Δ84–114 variant protein was localized similarly to wild type PHO1 (Fig 3C), which was previously shown to be primarily in the Golgi and trans-Golgi network (TGN) [21]. Furthermore, transient expression of the PHO1Δ83–114:GFP fusion in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves led to Pi export to the apoplastic space, demonstrating that the protein was competent in Pi export (Fig 3D) [21]. Collectively, these results confirmed that restored expression of PHO1Δ249–342 RNA is responsible for the improved shoot growth in pho1-7 tex1-4 double mutants.

Fig 3. Truncated PHO1Δ84–114 is sufficient to restore the shoot growth phenotype of pho1-4 null mutant. (A) Phenotype of four-week-old plants. (B) Pi contents in the rosettes of 4-week-old plants. Data from a representative experiment shows the means of Pi contents from six individual plants grown in independent pots. Error bars represent standard deviation. (C) Localization of full-length PHO1:GFP and PHO1Δ83–114:GFP in the roots of 7-day-old seedlings. Both PHO1:GFP and PHO1Δ83–114:GFP were expressed under the control of native PHO1 promoter in the pho1-4 null mutant. (D) Pi export mediated by PHO1:GFP and PHO1Δ84–114:GFP from transient expression in N. benthamiana leaf discs. As controls, Pi export was measured in leaf discs expressing either free GFP (EV) or not infiltrated (none). Data are means of 4 measurements taken from independent infiltrated leaves. Error bars represent standard deviation. For B and D, values marked with lowercase letters are statistically significantly different from those for other groups marked with different letters. (P < 0.05, ANOVA with the Tukey-Kramer HSD test).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008732.g003
Mutation in \(HPR1\), another component of THO/TREX complex, can also restore \(pho1-7\) shoot growth but not mutations affecting tasiRNA biogenesis

To investigate if \(TEX1\) exerts its function in the restoration of \(PHO1\) expression via the THO/TREX complex, we crossed \(pho1-7\) to \(hpr1-6\) which is a mutant in another component of THO/TREX core complex [14]. Double mutant \(pho1-7\ hpr1-6\) partially restored shoot growth while maintaining relatively low Pi contents comparable to the \(pho1-7\ tex1-6\) but slightly higher than \(pho1-7\) (Fig 1B and Fig 4A and 4B).

THO/TREX complex has previously been demonstrated to participate in the biogenesis of trans acting small interfering RNAs (tasiRNAs) and other small RNAs (siRNAs and miRNAs) that can affect levels of transcription through DNA methylation and some unknown mechanisms. We explored the possibility that changes in the biogenesis of tasiRNAs may be responsible for the growth phenotype associated with the \(pho1-7\ tex1-4\) and \(pho1-7\ hpr1-6\) mutants. We crossed \(pho1-7\) with two mutants in genes encoding core components required for the biogenesis of tasiRNAs, namely \(rdr6-11\) and \(sgs3-1\) [28, 29]. Double mutants \(pho1-7\ rdr6-11\) and \(pho1-7\ sgs3-1\) had shoot growth similar to the parental \(pho1-7\) (Fig 4C and 4D). Together, these results indicate disruption in distinct genes of the THO/TREX complex, namely \(TEX1\) and \(HPR1\), can revert the growth phenotype of the \(pho1-7\) mutant and that biogenesis of tasiRNAs is not implicated in these processes.

Impaired mRNA termination at the NOS terminator restores expression of truncated \(PHO1\) in \(pho1-7\ tex1-4\) mutant

To elucidate how mutations in \(TEX1\) and \(HPR1\) lead to changes in transcription at the \(PHO1\) locus, we performed paired-end next generation RNA sequencing of Col-0, \(pho1-7\), \(pho1-7\ tex1-4\) and \(pho1-7\ hpr1-6\ mutants from roots. We first mapped the RNA reads of Col-0 and \(pho1-7\) against the Col-0 genome and confirmed the absence of the second exon of \(PHO1\) in the genome of \(pho1-7\) (S3A Fig). To understand the transcription dynamics at the \(PHO1\) locus in the various mutants derived from \(pho1-7\), we mapped RNA sequencing reads to the \(pho1-7\) genomic configuration with the T-DNA insertion and exon 2 deletion. Detailed analysis of mRNAs from \(PHO1\) locus in \(pho1-7\) mutants indicated that transcription was initiated in the \(PHO1\) promoter and terminated at two different locations, namely at \(NOS\) terminator inside the T-DNA and at the endogenous \(PHO1\) transcription termination site (Fig 5A–5C). Using RT-PCR and various primer combinations, we could detect four types of transcripts in the \(pho1-7\) mutant, namely one unspliced and two spliced mRNA ending at the \(NOS\) terminator, and one long transcript ending at the endogenous \(PHO1\) terminator and where \(PHO1\) exons 1 and 3 were appropriately spliced, removing the T-DNA and generating the \(PHO1^{A249–342}\) RNA variant (Fig 5A–5D). While in the \(pho1-7\) mutant the four transcripts were expressed at similar low level, in \(pho1-7\ tex1-4\) and \(pho1-7\ hpr1-6\) double mutants the majority of transcripts was the \(PHO1^{A249–342}\) RNA variant (Fig 5C and 5D). Analysis by PacBio sequencing of full-length mRNAs produced at the \(PHO1\) locus in Col-0, \(pho1-7\), \(pho1-7\ tex1-4\) and \(pho1-7\ hpr1-6\ supported these conclusions and highlighted that essentially two classes of transcripts are produced in the various mutants, namely transcripts that include the 5’portion of the T-DNA and end at the NOS terminator and transcripts that end at the \(PHO1\) terminator and exclude the complete T-DNA (S3B Fig). While in the \(pho1-7\) mutant the majority of transcripts were of the first type, the \(pho1-7\ tex1-4\) and \(pho1-7\ hpr1-6\ mutants mostly expressed the second type. Such pattern of transcripts are not consistent with alternative splicing but rather indicate that transcription termination at the NOS terminator was suppressed in \(pho1-7\ tex1-4\) and \(pho1-7\ hpr1-6\ mutants, and this enabled the transcription machinery to reach the \(PHO1\) terminator.
and generate a transcript where exon 1 was spliced to exon 3, resulting in the removal of the T-DNA. Chromatin immunoprecipitation using an antibody against the elongating RNAPII (phosphorylated at S2 of the C-terminal domain) followed by qPCR showed that RNAPII

Fig 4. \( hpr1 \) can restore \( pho1-7 \) shoot growth but not mutations in tasiRNA biogenesis. (A, C, D) Phenotype of four-week-old plants. (B) Pi contents in the rosettes of four-week-old plants. Data from a representative experiment shows the means of Pi contents from ten different plants grown in independent pots. Errors bars represent standard deviation. Values marked with lowercase letters are statistically significantly different from those for other groups marked with different letters \((P < 0.05, \text{ANOVA with the Tukey-Kramer HSD test})\).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008732.g004
Fig 5. *tex1* mutant impairs transcription termination at the NOS terminator. (A) Structure of the wild type PHO1 gene (top) and T-DNA (red) integrated in the *pho1-7* mutant (bottom). Exons are indicated as black open boxes, except for the second exon, indicated as a green dotted box. Exon 2 is present in Col-0 but deleted in the *pho1-7* mutant as a result of T-DNA insertion, which is shown in red. Blue lines represent introns. pNOS, NOS promoter; NPTII, neomycin phosphotransferase gene; tNOS, NOS terminator. (B) Structure of the four mRNA variants produced at the PHO1 locus in *pho1-7* mutants. The thick black and blue lines represent sequences derived from PHO1 exons and introns, respectively, while the thick red lines are derived from the T-DNA. The sizes of the different transcripts are shown. Arrows indicate the location of primers used for the qPCR shown in panel D. Forward primers (discontinued arrows) in transcript 2 and 3 are at the junction of cryptic splicing sites to ensure specificity. (C) Illumina RNA sequencing reads density graph showing mRNA expression at the PHO1 locus in various genotypes. The RNA sequencing reads are mapped against the PHO1 locus in *pho1-7*, represented in the lower section of panel A (D) Quantification via qPCR of four different mRNA transcripts produced at the PHO1 locus in the roots of 4-week-old plants. The numbers associated with each transcript and primers used for qPCR are shown in panel B. (E) CHIP-qPCR experiments showing the density of RNAPII-S2 at the 3’end of the PHO1 gene. For D and E, data are means of three samples from plants grown in independent pots and three technical replicates for each sample. Error bars represent standard deviation. For D, statistical analysis was performed comparing each PHO1 transcript isoform in *pho1-7 tex1-4* and *pho1-7 hpr1-6* double mutants relative to the *pho1-7* parent, with asterisks denoting statistical significance (*, P < 0.05; and ***, P < 0.001) according to Student’s t test. For E, values marked with lowercase letters are statistically significantly different from those for other groups marked with different letters (P < 0.05, ANOVA with the Tukey-Kramer HSD test).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008732.g005
occupation at the PHO1 locus situated after the T-DNA insertion was significantly reduced in pho1-7 mutants but increased in pho1-7 tex1-4 mutant (Fig 5E), consistent with an increase in transcriptional read-through past the T-DNA in the pho1-7 tex1-4 double mutant (Fig 5D).

THO/TREX complex is required for the correct termination of mRNAs in endogenous loci

To understand if TEX1 and HPR1 contribute to the termination of RNA transcription at endogenous genes, RNA sequencing data generated from roots of Col-0, pho1-7, pho1-7 tex1-4 and pho1-7 hpr1-6 grown in soil for 3 weeks were analyzed for the presence of 3’ UTR extensions. We observed significant changes in 3’UTR extensions in pho1-7 tex1-4 and pho1-7 hpr1-6 mutants as compared to both Col-0 and pho1-7. Two examples of such 3’UTR extensions are shown in Fig 6A. While 3’UTR extensions were observed in only 3 transcripts in pho1-7, 72 and 51 transcripts showed 3’UTR extensions in the pho1-7 tex1-4 and pho1-7 hpr1-6 mutants, respectively, but not in the pho1-7 parent, with a subset of 38 transcripts found in common between pho1-7 tex1-4 and pho1-7 hpr1-6 but not pho1-7 (Fig 6B). These results indicate that while a large proportion of genes affected in their 3’UTR extension in the tex1-4 respond similarly in the hpr1-6, the effects of these two mutations on RNA termination are not completely redundant.

We hypothesized that if regulation of RNA termination by THO/TREX complex is generic and robust, changes in 3’UTR extensions should be relatively insensitive to growth conditions. To assess the robustness of 3’UTR extensions, we analyzed an independent RNA sequencing dataset generated from roots of Col-0 and tex1-4 mutant grown in vitro for 7 days in MS medium supplemented with sucrose. A total of 77 transcripts showed 3’UTR extensions in the tex1-4 mutant relative to Col-0, with 48 transcripts found also in the dataset of 3’UTR extensions for pho1-7 tex1-4 mutant grown for 3 weeks in soil (S4 Fig), indicating that a large proportion of transcripts with 3’UTR extensions observed in the tex1-4 genetic background are insensitive to major changes in growth conditions.

Validation of 3’UTR extensions in a set of genes identified by RNA sequencing was first performed by qRT-PCR (Fig 6C). A transient assay was also developed whereby the sequence 500 bp downstream of the stop codon (which includes the 3’UTR) of two genes, AT1G76560 and AT1G03160, was fused after the stop codon of the nano-luciferase gene. These constructs were expressed in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts and the ratio of transcripts with an extended 3’end relative to the main transcription termination site was determined by qRT-PCR 16 hours after transformation. Analysis revealed an increase, for both constructs, in the ratio of long-to-short transcripts by approximately 50–60% in the hpr1-6 and tex1-4 mutants compared to Col-0 (Fig 6D), further supporting the implication of both TEX1 and HPR1 in mRNA termination.

GO term enrichment analysis of transcripts with impaired RNA termination in the tex1-4, pho1-7 tex1-4 and pho1-7 hpr1-6 showed that these transcripts did not belong to a particular functional category (S5 Fig). Therefore, we looked at the sequences of RNA termination sites for mechanistic clues of impaired RNA termination and 3’UTR extension in tex1 and hpr1 mutants. RNA termination sites have defined characteristic motifs, including an A-rich region at approximately -20 nucleotides (position -1 being defined as the last nucleotide before the poly(A tail), which includes the AAUAAA-like sequence. This is followed by a U-rich region at -7 nucleotide and a second peak of U-rich region at approximately +25 nucleotides [30–32]. Analysis of the distribution of nucleotides upstream and downstream of the 3’ cleavage site did not reveal a significant difference from this distribution for genes showing a 3’extension in the tex1-4 and hpr1-6 mutant backgrounds (Fig 7A). Additionally, we analyzed the differences at
Although not statistically significant (p = 0.22), a lower representation of the canonical AAUAAA sequence appeared associated with the group of genes with 3' extension compared to all genes (Fig 7B). We used the transient expression to test the effect of changing the single AAUGAA polyadenylation signal present in gene AT1G76560 to the canonical AAUAAA. While the optimized polyadenylation signal led to a small decrease in 3'UTR extensions relative to the wild type sequence in Col-0, there was still an approximately 50% increase in the ratio of long-to-short transcripts in the hpr1-6 and tex1-4 mutant compared to Col-0 (Fig 7C). Altogether, these results indicate that while HPR1 and TEX4 contribute to mRNA termination, they do not appear to act primarily via the nature of the -20 polyadenylation signal.

Discussion
The contribution of the THO/TREX complex to mRNA biogenesis has been particularly studied for splicing and export [9]. In contrast, the direct implication of the THO/TREX complex in mRNA termination is poorly defined and reported only in few studies in human cells [33, 34]. The THO5 was shown to interact with both CPSF100 and CFIm, two proteins involved in 3'end-processing and polyadenylation site choice, and differences in mRNA polyadenylation
were observed in human cells depleted for THO5 [33, 34]. Recruitment of the cyclin-dependent kinase CDK11 by the THO/TREX complex was shown to be essential for the phosphorylation of RNAPII and the proper 3’end processing of the human immunodeficiency virus RNA, although it is unknown if this interaction is also mediated via THO5 [35].

Although considerably less is known about mRNA biogenesis in plants compared to yeast and metazoans, proteins forming the THO core complex are also found in plants, implicating a conservation in their mode of action [13]. Indeed, *A. thaliana* mutants in the *HPR1* and *TEX1* genes show defects in mRNA splicing and export [18, 19, 36]. However, most of our knowledge in plants on THO core complex relates to its implication in small RNA biogenesis. *A. thaliana* mutants in either *HPR1*, *TEX1*, *THO2* or *THO6* are defective in the synthesis of one or multiple forms of small RNAs, including miRNA, siRNA, and tasiRNAs, although the mode of action behind this defect is currently unknown [14–17]. Some of the phenotypes associated with the *tex1* and *hpr1* mutants in *A. thaliana*, such as repression of female germline specification or reduction in scopolin biosynthesis under abiotic stress, are likely caused by a reduction in tasiRNA or miRNA production [37, 38].
This current work highlights the contribution of both TEX1 and HPR1 to mRNA termination. Mutations in these genes in a pho1-7 mutant background having a T-DNA insertion between the PHO1 exons 1 and 3 led to the suppression of mRNA termination at the NOS terminator present in the T-DNA, followed by transcriptional read-through and splicing of the T-DNA, resulting in the generation of a near full-length PHO1 mRNA (minus exon 2). The truncated PHO1 protein generated from this mRNA maintained some Pi export activity, resulting in a reversion of the growth phenotype associated with the severe Pi deficiency of the pho1-7 mutant.

Beyond its effect on the NOS terminator, mutations in the HPR1 and TEX1 genes also affected mRNA 3' processing of endogenous loci leading to 3' UTR extensions. Interestingly, the majority of loci with impaired transcription termination were shared between tex1 and hpr1 mutants, suggesting that both proteins have overlapping functions in RNA termination. Analysis of nucleotides surrounding of the 3' cleavage site did not reveal a significant difference for genes showing a 3' extension in the tex1-4 and hpr1-6 mutants compared to the Arabidopsis genome. The best defined DNA sequence involved in the control of mRNA polyadenylation site is a A-rich region at approximately -20 nucleotides defined as the near upstream element (NUE). Although the NUE canonical AAUAAA sequence is found very frequently in animal genomes, the heterogeneity in NUE sequences is larger in plants [30, 39]. An apparent deviation (but not statistically significant) from the AAUAAA was observed in genes showing a 3'UTR extension in the hpr1-6 and tex1-4. Furthermore, optimization of the polyadenylation site of the gene AT1G76560 from AAGAAA to AAUAAA did not affect the extent of 3'UTR extension in the tex1-4 and hpr1-6 mutants compared to Col-0. It is likely that the relatively low number of genes showing 3'UTR extensions significantly limits our ability to identify nucleotide features that are important in 3'UTR extension in the tex1-4 and hpr1-6 mutants through a bioinformatic approach. A more systematic analysis of the 3'UTR of the genes affected in the tex1-4 and hpr1-6 mutants, such as AT1G76560 and AT1G03160, using the transient assay described in this study may lead to the identification of the cis-elements involved.

Analysis of the A. tumefaciens NOS transcript revealed two putative NUE sequences, namely AAUAAA and AAUAUAU, at position -135 and -50 nucleotides, which is much further upstream than the usual -20 nucleotides [40]. It is thus likely that other sequences within the NOS terminator play a determinant role in RNA transcription termination. Furthermore, while the prominent dinucleotide located immediately before the cleavage site is typically CA or UA, the dinucleotide GA is present in the NOS terminator [40]. While no detailed functional analysis of the polyadenylation signal of the NOS gene has been reported, it is likely that its unusual structure is related to the bacterial origin of the gene. While the NOS terminator is a common feature of many T-DNA vectors, several studies have shown that transgene expression can be considerably enhanced either when the NOS terminator is combined with a second terminator or when it is replaced by the terminator of plant endogenous genes [41–43]. For example, replacement of the NOS terminator with an extensin terminator was shown to reduce read-through transcript and improve expression of transgenes [44]. Altogether, these data suggest that mutations in the HPR1 and TEX1 genes may more prominently affect mRNA 3' processing for genes having unusual or weak polyadenylation signals, such as found in the NOS terminator.

The 3'UTR of mRNAs have important regulatory functions, impacting mRNA stability, localization and translation potential via interaction with numerous RNA binding proteins as well as miRNAs [45]. Extension of the 3'UTRs of genes in the hpr1-6 and tex1-4 genetic background may thus impact their expression in numerous ways. In some cases, extension of 3'UTR may also lead to disruption of the downstream gene by the formation of an antisense
RNA with potential to trigger siRNA-mediated gene silencing, or by transcriptional interference via RNAPII collision [46]. The tex1 and hpr1 mutant are known to have multiple phenotypes, ranging from defects in vegetative and reproductive development [18, 38], responses to both biotic and abiotic stress [36, 37] and the expression of genes encoding acid phosphatases [16] or ethylene signaling pathway repressor [20]. It would be of interest to determine if the genes affected by 3'UTR extensions contribute to some extent to these phenotypes.

Further work is necessary to gain detailed mechanistic insights as to how mutations in tex1 and hpr1 lead to both suppression of termination at the NOS terminator and changes in the 3'UTR of endogenous genes. Being part of the TREX complex associated with the mRNA transcription machinery, TEX1 and HPR1 could affect mRNA transcription termination through interactions with proteins more specifically involved in 3'end processing. This would be analogous to the implication of the THOC5 protein, another component of the TREX complex, in mRNA 3'-end processing in mammals via interactions with mRNA cleavage factors, including CPSF100 [33, 34]. Since TEX1 and HPR1 have both been implicated in the generation of small RNAs, including taSiRNA, siRNA and miRNA, the contribution of these pathway to mRNA termination should also be further examined. Reversion of the pho1-7 growth phenotype could not be reproduced by mutations in the SGS3 and RDR6 genes involved in small RNA biogenesis, in particular of taSiRNAs, indicating that the effects of the hpr1 and tex1 mutations in pho1-7 could not be caused by changes in taSiRNAs generation [14, 15, 17, 18], siRNA is associated with DNA methylation, which in turn could impact RNA polymerase activity and mRNA processing, including splicing and termination [47, 48]. Although the T-DNA present in the pho1-7 mutant is both well transcribed and mediates resistance to kanamycin, suggesting that it is unlikely to be strongly methylated, more subtle effects of siRNA-mediated methylation on RNA transcription termination at the NOS terminator and endogenous loci should be explored.

The N-terminal half of the PHO1 protein harbors a SPX domain which is involved in binding inositol polyphosphate at high affinity via interactions with conserved tyrosine and lysine residues [49, 50]. A PHO1 protein with mutations in these SPX conserved amino acids is unable to complement the pho1-2 null mutant, indicating that the binding of inositol polyphosphate is important for PHO1 activity in plantae [50]. The protein PHO1Δ84–114 synthesized from the pho1-7 mutant does not affect the core of the SPX domain but only leads to a small deletion at the N-terminal end of the second SPX subdomain (see S1 Text). Heterologous expression of the PHO1Δ84–114 protein in tobacco leaves led to specific Pi export activity, indicating that the 31 amino acid deletion does not completely inactivates the protein. Considering that pho1-7 tex1-4 and pho1-7 hpr1-6 as well as the pho1-4 null mutant expressing the PHO1Δ84–114 protein have low shoot Pi, is thus likely that the PHO1Δ84–114 retains some Pi export activity in root xylem parenchyma cells, but lower than the wild type protein. The high level of expression of the PHO1Δ84–114 protein in the pho1-7 tex1-4 mutant cannot be simply explained by a higher expression of the truncated PHO1Δ249–342 mRNA in the double mutant background relative the pho1-7 parent, since the PHO1Δ249–342 mRNA remained lower than the full length PHO1 mRNA in Col-0 plants. PHO1 is known to be degraded by PHO2, a key protein involved in the Pi-deficiency signaling pathway [51]. Whether the high level of PHO1Δ84–114 accumulation is a reflection of greater stability of the truncated protein and/or increased translation efficiency of the truncated mRNA remains to be determined.

Uncoupling low leaf Pi from its main effect on shoot growth has previously been reported for plants under-expressing PHO1 via silencing, indicating a role for high root Pi content and PHO1 in modulating the response of the shoot to Pi deficiency [25]. The improved shoot growth observed in the pho1-7 tex1-4 and pho1-7 hpr1-6 compared to the parent pho1-7 is likely due to the increased expression of the PHO1Δ84–114 hypomorphic protein and an
increase in Pi export activity. However, both hpr1 and tex1 mutants have low expression of the 
RTE1 gene involved in the repression of the ethylene signaling pathway which has been linked 
to an increase in root-associated acid phosphatase activity and root hair elongation in these 
mutants, two characteristics that can positively impact Pi acquisition [16, 20]. It is thus possible 
that a small part of the growth improvement observed in the tex1-4 pho1-7 and hpr1-6 pho1-7 
may also be associated with the repression of the ethylene pathway.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

All Arabidopsis plants used in this study, including mutants and transgenic plants, were in the 
Columbia (Col-0) background. For in vitro experiments, plants were grown in half-strength 
Murashige and Skoog (MS) salts (Duchefa M0255) containing 1% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% (w/ 
v) agar. For Pi-deficient medium MS salts without Pi (Caisson Labs, MSP11) and purified agar 
(Conda, 1806) was used. Pi buffer pH5.7 (93.5% KH$_2$PO$_4$ and 6.5% K$_2$HPO$_4$) was added to 
obtain different Pi concentrations. In the Pi-deficient media, Pi buffer was replaced by equi-
molar amounts of KCl. Plants were also grown either in soil or in a clay-based substrate (Sera-
mis) supplemented with half-strength MS for the isolation of roots. Growth chamber 
conditions were 22˚C, 60% humidity, and a 16h light/8h dark photoperiod with 100 
µE/m²/ 
per sec of white light for long days and 10h light/14h dark for short days. The pho1-2, pho1-4, 
pho1-7 (SALK_119529) and tex1-4 were previously described [15, 22, 52] and hpr1-6 
(SAIL_1209_F10) is a T-DNA mutant from SAIL collection. The rdr6-11 is an EMS-derived 
mutant while sgs3-1 is a T-DNA mutant from the SALK collection (SALK_001394) and have 
both been previously described [28].

pho1 suppressor screen

Ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) mutagenesis was performed on approximately 20,000 seeds of 
homozygous pho1-7. Seeds were treated with 0.2% (v/v) EMS in 100mM phosphate buffer for 
8 hours and were rinsed with water 10 times afterwards. Approximately 10,000 individual M1 
plants were grown and seeds of their progeny were collected in bulk. Approximately 10'300 
M2 plants were grown in soil for 4 weeks under an 16h/8h day/night light cycle. Plants show-
ing an increased rosettes size relative to the pho1-7 parent were identified and their seeds col-
lected. In the next generation, plants retaining 100% kanamycin resistance mediated by the 
T-DNA in PHO1 were sown in soil and the Pi content in 3-week-old rosettes was determined 
using the molybdate assay [53] as previously described [27]. Plants showing the combination 
of increased rosettes size with low shoot Pi similar to pho1-7 were then genotyped by PCR to 
 further confirm the presence of the T-DNA in the PHO1 locus in an homozygous state.

Identification of mutant genes by next generation sequencing

pho1-7 suppressor mutant was back-crossed to the parent pho1-7 line to test if the mutation 
was dominant or recessive and to generate an isogenic mapping population. Approximately 40 
plants with a pho1-7 suppressor phenotype were selected from the segregating F2 population. 
DNA was extracted from the pool of these 40 mutants and sequencing was performed using 
Illumina Hiseq 2000 system. DNA sequencing yielded an average coverage of 80 to 100 nucleo-
tides per nucleotide per sample. Sequencing reads were mapped with Burrows-Wheeler 
Aligner software (BWA) version 0.5.9-r16 using default parameters to the TAIR10 release of 
the A. thaliana genome. Using SAM (Sequence Alignment/Map) tool the alignments were 
converted to BAM format. SNPs were called with the Unified Genotyper tool of the Genome
Analysis Toolkit (GATK) version v1.4-24-g6ec686b. SNPs present in the parental line pho1-7 were filtered out using BEDTools utilities version v2.14.2. TAIR10_GFF3_genes_transposons. gff file was used to filter out the SNPs present in the transposons. The predicted effect of the remaining SNPs in coding regions was assessed with SNPEff version 2.0.4 RC1. Unix command awk was used to extract the SNP frequencies (the number of reads supporting a given SNP over the total number of reads covering the SNP location) and were plotted with R 2.15.1.

Cloning and transgenic lines

For complementation of pho1-7 suppressor with TEX1, genomic sequence including 2 kb promoter, 5’UTR and 3’UTR was amplified using primers TEX1-gen-F and TEX1-gen-R (sequences of all primers used are listed in S1 Table). The amplicon was cloned into pENTR/D TOPO vector (Invitrogen). The entry vector was then shuttled into the binary vector pMDC99 [54] using Gateway technology (Invitrogen). For pTEX1:TEX1:GFP fusion, TEX1 promoter and gene was amplified using primers TEX1-gen-F and TEX1-gen-R-w/o-stop. Reverse primer was designed to remove the stop codon from TEX1 gene. The amplicon was cloned into pENTR/D TOPO vector (Invitrogen). The entry vector was then shuttled into the binary vector pMDC107, which contains GFP at the C-terminal [54]. For TEX1 promoter GUS fusion, promoter was amplified using the primers TEX1-Pro-infu-LP and TEX1-Pro-infu-RP. The amplicon was cloned into the gateway entry vector pE2B using Infusion technology (Clonetech). The entry vector was then shuttled into the binary vector pMDC63 [54] using Gateway technology (Invitrogen). For cloning pPHO1:gPHO1Δ83–114:GFP, promoter and first exon was amplified using primers P2BJ pPHO1 1exon L and P2BJ pPHO1 1exon R (fragment 1), PHO1 gene from third exon until before the stop codon was amplified using primers P2BJ PHO1gene 3rd exon F and P2BJ PHO1gene 3rd exon R (fragment 2). The two fragments were combined together in the Gateway entry vector pE2B using Infusion technology (Clonetech). The entry vector was then shuttled into the binary vector pMDC107 [54] using Gateway technology (Invitrogen). All the binary vectors were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 and plants were transformed using flower dip method [55].

For analysis of transcript termination by transient expression in Arabidopsis protoplasts, the 500 nucleotides located immediately after the stop codon of the genes AT1G76560 and AT1G03160 were fused after the stop codon of the nano-luciferase (nLUC) gene. To achieve this, the 500 bp 3’sequences from AT1G76560 (wild-type and mutated) and AT1G03160 flanked by attR1 and attR2 sites were synthesized and inserted in the pUC57 plasmid by GenScript. The DNA insert was then shuttled by Gateway cloning into the dual-luciferase vector nLucFlucGW (Genbank MH552885) [56] modified to lack the original nLuc 3’UTR and terminator sequences. The final constructs had the hybrid genes expressed under the control of the ubiquitin promoter, as well as the firefly luciferase gene (Fluc) constitutively expressed, used for loading control.

Quantitative RT-PCR, phosphate measurement, lipid profile and Pi export assay

Quantitative RT-PCR and Pi export assay was performed as previously described [27]. For transient expression of PHO1, Nicotiana benthamiana tobacco plants were infiltrated with A. tumefaciens as previously described [21]. Pi measurements were performed using the molybdate-ascorbic acid method [53]. Analysis of leaf diacylglycerides was performed by the Kansas Lipidomics Research Center (www.k-state.edu/lipid/lipidomics/)
Confocal microscopy
Seedlings were incubated for 10 min in a solution of 15 mM Propidium Iodide (Sigma, P4170) and rinsed twice with water. Excitation and detection window for GFP was set at 488 nm for excitation and 490–555 nm for detection. Propidium iodide was excited at 555 nm and detected at 600-700nm. All experiments were performed using Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope.

Western blot analysis
Plants were grown on clay-based substrate (Seramis) supplemented with half-strength MS liquid medium. Proteins were extracted from homogenized 25-day-old roots at 4˚C in extraction buffer containing 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4, 300 mM sucrose, 150 mMNaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 20 mM NaF and 1× protease inhibitor (Roche EDTA free complete mini tablet), and sonicated for 10 min in an ice-cold water bath. Fifty micromgrams of protein were separated on an SDS-PAGE and transferred to an Amersham Hybond-P PVDF membrane (GE healthcare). The rabbit polyclonal antibody to PHO1 [51] and goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used along with the Western Bright Sirirus HRP substrate (Advanssta). Signal intensity was measured using a GE healthcare ImageQuant RT ECL Imager.

Illumina RNA-sequencing data analysis
RNA was extracted from roots of plants grown for 3 weeks in pots containing clay-based substrate (Seramis) or for 7 days on vertical agar plates containing half-strength MS media with 1% (w/v) sucrose. Strand-specific libraries were prepared using the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA kit (Illumina). PolyA⁺ RNAs were selected according to manufacturer’s instructions and the cDNA libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 2500 Illumina sequencer. The reads were mapped against TAIR10.31 reference genome using Hisat2 [57] and the readcount for each gene was determined using HTSeqcount [58]. Readcounts were normalized using DESeq2 [59]. Figures showing read density from RNAseq data were generated using Integrative genomics viewer (IGV) [60].

Analysis of full-length mRNA using PacBio sequencing
One μg of total RNA was used to generate cDNA with the SMARTer PCR cDNA Synthesis kit (Clontech). Fifty μl of cDNA were amplified by 13 PCR cycles with the Kapa HiFi PCR kit (Kapa Biosystems) followed by size selection from 1.5kb to 3.5kb with a BluePippin system (Sage Science). Seventy ng of the size selected fragment were further amplified with Kapa HiFi PCR kit for 5 cycles and 2 minutes extension time and 750 ng was used to prepare a SMRTbell library with the PacBio SMRTbell Template Prep Kit 1 (Pacific Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The resulting library was sequenced with P4/C2 chemistry and MagBeads on a PacBio RSII system (Pacific Biosciences) at 240 min movie length using one SMRT cell v2. Bioinformatics analysis were performed through SMRT Analysis Server v2.3.0. using RS_IsoSeq.1 workflow and TAIR10.31 as reference genome.

Identification of 3’UTR extensions
3’ UTR extensions were identified following a procedure adapted from Sun et al. 2017 [8]. Briefly, reads obtained by single or paired-end polyA+ RNAseq were mapped with Hisat2 [57] against the intergenic regions extracted from TAIR10.31 annotation. Each intergenic region was divided into 10 nucleotide bins and the normalized readcount was determined for each
bin with HTSeq-count [58] and DESeq2 [59]. 3’ extensions were then contiguously assembled from the 5’ end of intergenic intervals until a bin had a normalized readcount < 1. Only extensions longer than 200 nucleotides were kept for further analyses.

The number of reads mapping each TAIR10.31 gene and newly identified 3’ extensions was determined with HTSeq-count [58]. Differential expression analysis was performed with DESeq2 [59] to identify extensions significantly up- or down-regulated independently of the expression level of the TAIR10.31 annotated gene body, comparing different genotypes. An extension was considered significantly differentially expressed if the adjusted p-value corrected for false discovery rate was < 0.1 and the fold change of the ratios normalized readcount 3’ extension / normalized readcount gene body between 2 genotypes was > 2.

To analyze the polyadenylation signal present in genes with and without 3’UTR extensions, the frequency of each nucleotide at the polyadenylation consensus sequence AAUAAA was calculated for each gene and a Chi square test was used to test for statistical significance.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation analyzed by qPCR**

Leaves from 3-week-old *A. thaliana* seedlings from different genotypes were harvested and immediately incubated in 37 ml of pre-chilled fixation buffer (1% formaldehyde in 0.4 M sucrose, 10 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 0.05% Triton X-100) for 10 min under vacuum. 2.5 ml of Glycine (2.5 M) was added and samples were incubated for 5 additional min under vacuum, rinsed 3 times with water and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen samples were ground and the powder resuspended in 30 ml of extraction buffer I (0.4 M sucrose, 10 mM HEPES pH 8, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1 g/ml 4-(2-aminoethyl) benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride (AEBSF). After 20 min incubation at 4˚C, the mixture was filtered through Miracloth and centrifuged for 20 min at 3000 g at 4˚C. The pellet was resuspended in 300 μl of extraction buffer III (1.7 M sucrose, 10 mM HEPES pH 8, 0.15% Triton X-100, 2 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1 g/ml AEBSF), loaded on top of a layer of 300 μl of extraction buffer III and centrifuge for 1h at 16000 g at 4˚C. The pellet was resuspended in 300 μl of Nuclei Lysis Buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 0.1 g/ml AEBSF) and incubated on ice for 30 min.

Chromatin solution was centrifuged twice for 10 min at 14000 g, 4˚C and incubated overnight with S2P-RNAPolII specific antibodies. The mixture was then incubated with Protein A beads for 3h at 4˚C. After washing, immune complexes were eluted twice with 50 μl of Elution Buffer (1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO₃). To reverse crosslinking, 4 μl of a 5 M NaCl solution was added to 100 μl of eluate and the mixture incubated overnight at 65°C. Two μl of 0.5 M EDTA, 1.5 μl of 3 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8 and 20 μg of proteinase K were then added and the mixture incubated for 3h at 45˚C. DNA was then extracted using the NucleoSpin kit from Macherey Nagel. DNA samples were diluted 10 times and 2 μl were used for quantification by qPCR using Master Mix SYBR Select (Applied Biosystems).

**Transient expression in Arabidopsis protoplasts**

Arabidopsis protoplasts were produced and transformed as previously described [61]. In brief, wild type Col-0, as well as *hrp1-6* and *tex1-4* mutant plants were grown in long photoperiod (16 h light and 8 h dark at 21 °C) for 4–5 weeks and leaves were cut with razor blades to produce 0.5–1 mm leaf strips. These were submerged in enzyme solution (1% cellulase, 0.25% macerozyme, 0.4 M mannitol, 20 mM KCl, 20 mM MES and 10 mM CaCl₂), vacuum infiltrated and incubated at room temperature for 2 h. Protoplasts were harvested by centrifugation at 100 g for 3 min, washed with W5 solution (154 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM KCl and 2 mM MES) and resuspended in MMG solution (4 mM MES, pH 5.7, 0.4 M mannitol and
15 mM MgCl2) at 1x10⁶ protoplast/ml. Protoplast transformation was performed by combining ~1.5 x10⁵ protoplasts, 8µg of plasmid, and PEG solution (40% PEG4000, 0.2 M mannitol and 100 mM CaCl2). After replacing PEG solution with W5 solution by consecutive washings, protoplasts were kept in the dark for approximately 16 hours at 21°C.

Transformed protoplasts were harvested by centrifugation at 6000 g for 1 min, and resuspended in 1X Passive Lysis buffer (Promega, E1941). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation and RNA was extracted using RNA purification kit as described by the manufacture (Jena Bioscience, PP-210), followed by DNase I treatment. cDNA was synthesized from 0.1 µg RNA using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega, M3681) and oligo d(T)15 as primer following the manufacturer’s instructions. qPCR analysis was performed using SYBR select Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, 4472908) with primer pairs specific to transcripts of interest and firefly luciferase mRNA, used for data normalization. Long/short transcript ratio was calculated with the following formula:

\[
\Delta \text{ACT(long transcript)} = \text{CT(long transcript)} - \text{CT(Fluc)}
\]
\[
\Delta \text{ACT(short transcript)} = \text{CT(short transcript)} - \text{CT(Fluc)}
\]
\[
\Delta \Delta \text{ACT(long/short)} = \frac{\Delta \text{ACT(long transcript)}}{\Delta \text{ACT(short transcript)}}
\]
\[
\text{Long/short transcript ratio} = 2^{\Delta \Delta \text{ACT(long/short)}}.
\]

Supporting information

S1 Fig. **TEX1 is broadly expressed and localized in the nucleus.** (A) TEX1 localization in root tips of 5-day-old seedlings. Expression of TEX1::GFP fusion is under the control of the endogenous TEX1 promoter. (B) GUS expression from the TEX1 promoter in roots and cotyledons of 5-day-old seedlings (left) as well as rosette leaves of 4-week-old plants (right). Plants were transformed with a TEX1 promoter::GUS construct. Inset (lower right) shows expression in a section of mature root. (C) Expression profile of the TEX1 gene in Arabidopsis as visualized with the eFP Browser 2.0 (https://bar.utoronto.ca/efp2/).

S2 Fig. **pho1-7 suppressor mutant (pho1-7 tex1-6) restores the expression of phosphate starvation response genes and lipid dynamics comparable to Col-0 level.** (A) Relative expression of phosphate starvation-induced genes in the shoots of 4-week-old plants. (B) Lipid quantification in the shoots of 4-week-old plants. DGDG, digalactosyldiacylglycerol; PG, phosphatidylglycerol; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine. Data in A and B are means of three samples from plants grown in independent pots and three technical replicates. Error bars represent standard deviation. Values marked with lowercase letters are statistically significantly different from those for other groups marked with different letters (P < 0.05, ANOVA with the Tukey-Kramer HSD test).

S3 Fig. **Mapping of pho1-7 RNA onto the PHO1 locus.** (A) Illumina RNA sequencing reads density graph mapped against the wild-type PHO1 locus. Note that exon 2 of PHO1 is missing in the pho1-7 mutant because of T-DNA insertion while it is present in Col-0. (B) Pac-Bio read density graph showing full length mRNA structure at the PHO1 locus. The top red box shows the location of the T-DNA in pho1-7. pNOS, NOS promoter; NPTII, neomycin phosphotransferase gene; TOS, NOS terminator. The black boxes below shows the positions of the 15 PHO1 exons, except for exon 2 which is shown in green. Exon 2 is present in Col-0 but deleted in the pho1-7, pho1-7 tex1-4 and pho1-7 hpr1-6 mutants as a result of T-DNA insertion. For each genotype, the sequence of independent cDNAs are shown by individual lines (red and blue lines) with the grey areas representing the sequence density in each region.
S4 Fig. Overlap in genes showing 3’UTR extensions in tex1-4 mutant grown under various conditions. RNA extracted from roots of pho1-7 tex1-4 and pho1-7 plants grown in pots for 4 weeks (green) or tex1-4 and Col-0 plants grown in petri dishes for 7 days (blue) were used. (PDF)

S5 Fig. Gene ontology of genes showing 3’UTR extensions in the hpr1-6 and tex1-4 mutants. The histograms show the fold enrichment of a given gene ontology term. (PDF)

S1 Text. Sequence of the pho1-7 locus with the production of the truncated PHO1 protein. (PDF)

S1 Table. List of oligonucleotides used in this study. (XLSX)
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