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Abstract. The Paleozoic intracratonic North African Platform is characterized by an association of arches (ridges, domes, swells, or paleo-highs) and low subsidence rate syncline basins of different wavelengths (75–620 km). The Reggane, Ahnet, Mouydir and Illizi basins are successively delimited from east to west by the Amguid El Biod, Arak-Foum Belrem, and Azzel Matti arches. Through the analysis of new unpublished geological data (i.e., satellite images, well logs, seismic lines), the deposits associated with these arches and syncline basins exhibit thickness variations and facies changes ranging from continental to marine environments. The arches are characterized by thin amalgamated deposits with condensed and erosional surfaces, whereas the syncline basins exhibit thicker and well-preserved successions. In addition, the vertical facies succession evokes forms thin Silurian to Givetian deposits into thick Upper Devonian sediments. Synsedimentary structures and major unconformities are related to several tectonic events such as the Cambrian–Ordovician extension, the Ordovician–Silurian glacial rebound, the Silurian–Devonian “Caledonian” extension/compression, the late Devonian extension/compression, and the “Hercynian” compression. Locally, deformation is characterized by near-vertical planar normal faults responsible for horst and graben structuring associated with folding during the Cambrian–Ordovician–Silurian period. These structures may have been inverted or reactivated during the Devonian (i.e., Caledonian, Mid–Late Devonian) compression and the Carboniferous (i.e., pre–Hercynian to Hercynian). Additionally, basement characterization from geological and geophysics data (aeromagnetic and gravity maps), shows an interesting age-dependent zonation of the terranes which are bounded by mega-shear zones within the arches–basins framework. The “old” terranes are situated under arches while the “young” terranes are located under the basins depocenter. This structural framework results from the accretion of Archean and Proterozoic terranes inherited from former orogeny (e.g., Pan-African orogeny 900–520 Ma). Therefore, the sedimentary infilling pattern and the nature of deformation result from the repeated slow Paleozoic reactivation of Precambrian terranes bounded by subvertical lithospheric fault systems. Alternating periods of tectonic quiescence and low-rate subsidence acceleration associated with extension and local inversion tectonics correspond to a succession of Paleozoic geodynamic events (i.e., far-field orogenic belt, glaciation).
1 Introduction

Paleozoic deposits fill numerous intracratonic basins, which may also be referred to as “cratonic basins”, “interior cratonic basins”, or “intracontinental sags”. Intracratonic basins are widespread around the world (Heine et al., 2008) and exploration for unconventional petroleum has revived interest in them. They are located in “stable” lithospheric areas and share several common features (Allen and Armitage, 2011). Their geometries are large circular, elliptical, and/or saucer-shaped to oval. Their stratigraphy is filled with continental sediments and their subsidence rate is low (5 to 50 m Ma\(^{-1}\)) and long (sometimes more than 540 Myr). Their structural framework shows the reaction of structures and emergence of arches also referred to in the literature as “ridges”, “paleo-highs”, “domes”, and “swells”. Multiple hypotheses and models have been proposed to explain how these slowly subsiding, long-lived intracratonic basins formed and evolved (see Allen and Armitage, 2011 and references therein or Hartley and Allen, 1994). However, their tectonic and sedimentary architectures are often poorly constrained.

The main specificities of intracratonic basins are found on the Paleozoic North Saharan Platform. The sedimentary infilling during ca. 250 Myr is relatively thin (i.e., around a few hundred to a few thousand meters), of great lateral extent (i.e., 9 million km\(^2\)), and is separated by major regional unconformities (Beuf et al., 1968a, 1971; Carr, 2002; Eschard et al., 2005, 2010; Fabre, 1988, 2005; Fekirine and Abdallah, 1998; Guiraud et al., 2005; Kracha, 2011; Legrand, 2003a). Depositional environments were mainly continental to shallow-marine and homogeneous. Very slow and subtle lateral variations occurred over time (Beuf et al., 1971; Carr, 2002; Fabre, 1988; Guiraud et al., 2005; Legrand, 2003a). The Paleozoic North Saharan Platform is arranged (Fig. 1) into an association of long-lived broad synclines (i.e., basins or subbasins) and anticlines (i.e., arches) of different wavelengths (λ: 75–620 km). Burov and Cloetingh (2009) report deformation wavelengths of the order of 200–600 km when the whole lithosphere is involved and of 50–100 km when the crust is decoupled from the lithospheric mantle. This insight suggests that the inherited basement fabric influences intracratonic basin architecture at a large scale. In addition, pre-existing structures, such as shear zones and terrane sutures zones, are present throughout the lithosphere, affecting the geometry and evolution of upper-crustal structural framework forming during later tectonic events (Peace et al., 2018; Phillips et al., 2018).

In this study of the Reggane, Ahnet, Mouydir, and Illizi basins, a multidisciplinary workflow involving various tools (e.g., seismic profiles, satellite images) and techniques (e.g., photogeology, seismic interpretation, well correlation, geophysics, geochronology) has enabled us to (1) make a tectono-sedimentary analysis, (2) determine the spatial arrangement of depositional environments calibrated by stratigraphic zonation, (3) characterize basin geometry, and (4) ascertain the inherited architecture of the basement and its tectonic evolution. We propose a conceptual coupled model explaining the architecture of the intracratonic basins of the North Saharan Platform. This model highlights the role of basement heritage heterogeneities in an accreted mobile belt and their influence on the structure and evolution of intracratonic basins. It is a first step towards a better understanding of the factors and mechanisms that drive intracratonic basins.

2 Geological setting: the Paleozoic North Saharan Platform and the Reggane, Ahnet, Mouydir, and Illizi basins

The Reggane, Ahnet, Mouydir and Illizi basins (Figs. 1 and 2) are located in southwestern Algeria, north of the Hoggar Massif (Ahaggar). They are depressions filled by Paleozoic deposits. The basins are bounded to the south by the Hoggar Massif (Tuareg Shield) and they are separated one another by the Azzel Matti, the Arak-Foum Belrem, and the Amguid El Biod arches.

Figure 3 synthesizes the lithostratigraphy, the large-scale sequence stratigraphic framework delimited by six main regional unconformities (A to F), and the tectonic events proposed in the literature (cf. references under Fig. 3) affecting the Paleozoic North Saharan Platform.

During the Paleozoic, the Reggane, Ahnet, Mouydir and Illizi basins were part of a set of the supercontinent Gondwana (Fig. 1). This supercontinent resulted from the collision of the West African Craton (WAC) and the East Saharan Craton (ESC), which sandwiched the Tuareg Shield (TS) mobile belt during the Pan-African orogeny (Craig et al., 2008; Guiraud et al., 2005; Trompette, 2000). This orogenic cycle followed by the chain’s collapse (ca. 1000–525 Ma) was also marked by phases of oceanization and continentalization (ca. 900–600 Ma) giving rise to the heterogeneous terranes in the accreted mobile belt (Trompette, 2000). The Hoggar Massif is composed of several accreted, sutured, and amalgamated terranes of various ages and compositions resulting from multiple phases of geodynamic events (Bertrand and Caby, 1978; Black et al., 1994; Caby, 2003; Liégeois et al., 2003). Twenty-three well preserved terranes were identified in the Hoggar Massif and grouped into Archean, Paleoproterozoic, and Mesoproterozoic–Neoproterozoic juvenile Pan-African terranes (see legend in Fig. 1). In the West African Craton, the Reguibat Shield is composed of Archean terrains in the west and of Paleoproterozoic terranes in the east (Peucat et al., 2003, 2005).

Then, there is evidence of a complex and polyphased history throughout the Paleozoic (Fig. 3), with alternating periods of quiescence and tectonic activity, individualizing and rejuvenating ancient N–S, NE–SW, or NW–SE structures in arch and basin configurations (Badalini et al., 2002; Boote et al., 1998; Boudjema, 1987; Coward and Ries, 2003; Craig
Figure 1. Geological map of the Paleozoic North Saharan Platform (North Gondwana) georeferenced, compiled and modified from (1) a Paleozoic subcrop distribution below the Hercynian unconformity geology of the Saharan Platform (Boote et al., 1998; Galeazzi et al., 2010), (2) a geological map (1/500000) of the Djado Basin (Jacquemont et al., 1959), (3) a geological map (1/200000) of Algeria (Bennacef et al., 1971), (4) a geological map (1/500000) of Air (Jouilla, 1963), (5) a geological map (1/200000) of Niger (Greigertt and Pougnet, 1965), (6) a geological map (1/5000000) of the Lower Paleozoic of the central Sahara (Beuf et al., 1971), (7) a geological map (1/1000000) of Morocco (Holland et al., 1985), (8) a geological map of the Djebel Fezzan (Massa, 1988), and (9) a basement characterization of the different terranes from geochronological data compilation (see Supplement) and geological maps (Berger et al., 2014; Bertrand and Caby, 1978; Black et al., 1994; Caby, 2003; Fezaa et al., 2010; Liégeois et al., 1994, 2003, 2005, 2013). Terrane names and abbreviations: Tassendjanet (Tas), Tassendjanet nappe (Tas n.), Ahnet (Ah), In Ouzzal Granulitic Unit (IOGU), Iforas Granulitic Unit (UGI), Kidal (Ki), Timétrine (Tim), Tilemsi (Til), Tirek (Tir), In Zaouatene (Za), In Teidini (It), Iskel (Isk), Tefedest (Te), Laouni (La), Azrou-n-Fad (Az), Egéré-Aleskod (Eg-Al), Serouenout (Se), Tazat (Ta), Issalane (Is), Assodé (As), Barghot (Bu), Tchilli (Tch), Aouzegueur (Ao), Edembo (Ed), and Djanet (Dj). Shear zone and lineament names and abbreviations: suture zone East Saharan Craton (SZ ESC), west Ouzzal shear zone (WOSZ), east Ouzzal shear zone (EOSZ), Raghane shear zone (RSZ), Tim Amali shear zone (TASZ), 4°10′ shear zone, 4°50′ shear zone, and 8°30′ shear zone.
et al., 2008; Guiraud et al., 2005; Logan and Duddy, 1998; Lüning, 2005). The Paleozoic successions of the North Saharan Platform are predominantly composed of siliciclastic detrital sediments (Beuf et al., 1971; Eschard et al., 2005). They form the largest area of detrital sediments ever found on continental crust (Burke et al., 2003), dipping gently NNW (Beuf et al., 1971, 1969; Fabre, 1988, 2005; Fröhlich et al., 2010; Gariel et al., 1968; Le Heron et al., 2009). Carbonate deposits are observed from the Middle–Late Devonian to the Carboniferous (Wendt, 1985, 1988, 1995; Wendt et al., 1993, 1997, 2006, 2009a; Wendt and Kaufmann, 1998). From south to north, the facies progressively evolve from continental fluviatile to shallow marine (i.e., upper to lower shoreface) and then to offshore facies (Beuf et al., 1971; Carr, 2002; Eschard et al., 2005; Fabre, 1988; Fekirine and Abdallah, 1998; Legrand, 1967a).

3 Data and methods

A multidisciplinary approach was used in this study integrating new data (i.e., satellite images, seismic lines and well-
Figure 3. Paleozoic litho-stratigraphic, sequence stratigraphy, and tectonic framework of the north peri-Hoggar basins (North African Saharan Platform) compiled from (1) a chronostratigraphic chart (Ogg et al., 2016), (2) the Cambrian–Silurian (Askri et al., 1995) and the Devonian–Carboniferous stratigraphy of the Reggane Basin (Cózar et al., 2016; Lubeseder, 2005; Lubeseder et al., 2010; Magloire, 1967; Wendt et al., 2006), (3) the Cambrian–Silurian (Paris, 1990; Wendt et al., 2006) and the Devonian–Carboniferous stratigraphy of the Ahnet Basin (Beuf et al., 1971; Conrad, 1973, 1984; Legrand-Blain, 1985; Wendt et al., 2006, 2009a), (4) the Cambrian–Silurian (Askri et al., 1995; Paris, 1990; Videt et al., 2010) and the Devonian–Carboniferous stratigraphy of the Mouydir Basin (Askri et al., 1995; Beuf et al., 1971; Conrad, 1973, 1984; Wendt et al., 2006, 2009a), (5) the Cambrian–Silurian (Eschard et al., 2005; Fekirine and Abdallah, 1998; Jardiné and Yapaudjian, 1968; Videt et al., 2010) and the Devonian–Carboniferous stratigraphy of the Illizi Basin (Eschard et al., 2005; Fekirine and Abdallah, 1998; Jardiné and Yapaudjian, 1968), (6) the Cambrian–Silurian (Dubois et al., 1967; Eschard et al., 2005; Henniche, 2002; Videt et al., 2010) and the Devonian–Carboniferous stratigraphy of the Tassili-N-Ajers (Dubois et al., 1967; Eschard et al., 2005; Henniche, 2002; Wendt et al., 2009a), (7) the sequence stratigraphy of the Saharan Platform (Carr, 2002; Eschard et al., 2005; Fekirine and Abdallah, 1998), (8) a eustatic and climatic chart (Haq and Schutter, 2008; Scotese et al., 1999), and (9) tectonic events (Boudjema, 1987; Coward and Ries, 2003; Craig et al., 2008; Guiraud et al., 2005; Lüning, 2005). (A) Infra-Tassilian (Pan-African) unconformity, (B) intra-Arenig unconformity, (C) Taconic and glacial unconformity, (D) isostatic rebound unconformity, (E) Caledonian unconformity, and (F) Hercynian unconformity.
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logs data) in particular from the Reggane, Ahnet, Mouydir, and Illizi basins and the Hoggar Massif (Fig. 4).

The Paleozoic series of the Ahnet and Mouydir basins are well-exposed over an area of approximately 170,000 km² and are well observed in satellite images (Google Earth and Landsat from USGS). Furthermore, a significant geological database (i.e., wells, seismic records, geological reports) has been compiled in the course of petroleum exploration since the 1950s. The sedimentological dataset is based on the integration and analysis of cores, outcrops, well logs, and of lithological and biostratigraphic data. They were synthesized from internal SONATRACH (Dokka, 1999), IFP-SONATRACH consortium reports (Eschard et al., 1999), and published articles (Beuf et al., 1971; Biju-Duval et al., 1968; Wendt et al., 2006). Facies described from cores and outcrops of these studies were grouped into facies associations corresponding to the main depositional environments observed on the Saharan Platform (Table 1). Characteristic gamma-ray (GR) patterns (electrofacies) are proposed to illustrate the different facies associations. The gamma-ray peaks are commonly interpreted as the maximum flooding surfaces (MFS) (e.g., Catuneanu et al., 2009; Galloway, 1989; Milton et al., 1990; Serra and Serra, 2003). Time calibration of well logs is based on palynomorphs (essentially Chitinozoans and spores) and outcrops on conodonts, goniatites, and brachiopods (Wendt et al., 2006). Palynological data of wells (W1, W7, W12, W19 and W20) from internal unpublished data (Abdesselam-Rouighi, 1991; Azzoune, 1999; Hassan, 1984; Khiai, 1974) are based on biozonations from Magloire (1967) and Boumendjel et al. (1988). Well W18 is supported by palynological data and biozonations from Kermandji et al. (2008).

Synsedimentary extensional and compressional markers are characterized in this structural framework based on the analyses of satellite images (Figs. 5 and 6), seismic profiles (Fig. 7), 21 wells (W1 to W21), and 12 outcrop cross sections (O1 to O12). Wells and outcrop sections are arranged into three E–W sections (Figs. 10, 11 and 12) and one N–S section (Fig. 13). Satellite images (Figs. 5 and 6) and seismic profiles (Fig. 7) are located at key areas (i.e., near arches) illustrating the relevant structures (Fig. 2). The calibration of the key stratigraphic horizon on seismic profiles (Fig. 7) was settled by sonic well-log data using Petrel and OpendTect software. Nine key horizons easily extendable at the regional scale are identified and essentially correspond to major depositional unconformities: near top
Table 1. Synthesis of facies associations (AF1 to AF5), depositional environments, and electrofacies in the Devonian series compiled from internal (Eschard et al., 1999) and published studies (Beuf et al., 1971; Biju-Duval et al., 1968; Wendt et al., 2006).

| Facies associations | Criteria & characteristics | Formations | Depositional environments |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Textures/lithology  | Sedimentary structures    | Biotic/non-biotic grains | Ichnofacies |
| AF1                 | Conglomerates, mid to coarse sandstones, siltstones, shales | Trough cross-bedding, mud class, lag deposits, fluidal and overturn structures, imbricated grains, lenticular laminations, oblique stratification | Rare oolitic intercalations, imbricated pebbles, sandstones, ironstones, phosphorites, corroded quartz grains, calcareous matrix, brachiopod coquinas, phosphatized pebbles, hematite, azurite, quartz | Rare bioturbation | Oued Samane Fm., Barre Supérieur, Barre Moyenne | Fluvial (fluvial) |
| AF2                 | Silt to argillaceous fine sandstone | Current ripples, climbing ripples, crevasse splay, root traces, paleosols, plant debris | Nodules, Ferruginous horizon | Oued Samane Fm., Barre Supérieur, Barre Moyenne | Flood plain |
| AF3a                | Fine to coarse sandstones, argillaceous siltstones, shales (heterolithic) | Trough cross-bedding, some planar bedding, flaser bedding, mud cracks, water escape, wavy bedding, shale pebble, sigmoidal cross-bedding | Brachiopods, trilobites, tentaculites, graptolites | Bioturbation, Skolithos (Sk), Planolites, (Pl) | Oued Samane Fm., Grès du Kheng, Barre Supérieur, Barre Moyenne | Deltaic estuarine channels |
| AF3b                | Very coarse-grained poorly sorted sandstone | Trough cross-bedding, sigmoidal cross-bedding, abundant mud clasts and mud drapes | Increasing upward bioturbation Skolithos (Sk) | Oued Samane Fm., Grès du Kheng, Barre Supérieur, Barre Moyenne | Fluvial/tidal distributary channels |
| AF3c                | Fine-grained to very coarse-grained heterolithic sandstone | Sigmoidal cross-bedding with multidirectional tidal bundles, wavy, lenticular, flaser bedding, occasional current and oscillation ripples, occasional mud cracks | Intense bioturbation, Skolithos (Sk), Planolites, (Pl), Thalassinoides (Th) | Talus à Tigillites | Tidal sand flat |
| AF3d                | Mudstones, varicolored shales, thin sandstone layers | Occasional wave ripples, mud cracks, horizontal lamination, rare multidirectional ripples | Absence of ammonoids, goniatites, calymenids, pelecypod molds, brachiopods coquinas | Intense bioturbation, Skolithos (Sk), Planolites, (Pl), Thalassinoides (Th) | Oued Samane Fm., Grès du Kheng, Atafaïta Fm. | Lagoon/mudflat |
| AF4a                | Silty mudstone associated with coarse to very coarse argillaceous sandstone, poorly sorted, heterolithic silty mudstone | Sigmoidal cross-bedding, abundant mud clasts, wavy, lenticular cross-bedding and flaser bedding, abundant current and oscillation ripples, mud drapes | Shell debris (crinoids, brachiopods) | Strongly bioturbated Skolithos (Sk), Planolites, (Pl) | Oued Samane Fm., Talus à Tigillites | Subtidal |
| AF4b                | Fine- to mid-grained sandstones interbedded with argillicaceous siltstone and mudstone, bielastic carbonates sandstones, brownish sandstones and clays, silts | Oscillation ripples, swaley cross-bedding, bidirectional bedding, flaser bedding, rare hummocky cross-bedding, mud cracks (syneresis), convolute bedding, wavy bedding, combined flow ripples, planar cross low angle stratification, cross-bedding, ripple marks, centimetric bedding, shale pebbles | Ooids, crinoids, bryozoans, coral clasts, fossil debris,stromatoporoids, tabulates, colonial rugose corals, myriad pelagic styliolids, neritic tentaculitids, brachiopods, iron ooliths, abundant micas | Skolithos (Sk), Crinacrinia, Planolites, (Pl) Chondrites (Ch), Teichichnus (Te), Spirophysnum (Sp) | Atafaïta Fm., Zone de passage, Grès de Mehden, Yahia, Cacaires d’Azzel Matti | Open marine–upper shoreface |
| Criteria & characteristics | Formations | Depositional environments |
|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| **Facies associations**    |            |                           |
| Textures/lithology         |            |                           |
| Sedimentary structures     |            |                           |
| Biotic/non-biotic grains   |            |                           |
| Ichnofacies                |            |                           |
|                            | AF4c       | Silty shales to fine sandstones (heterolithic) |
|                            |            | Hummocky cross-bedding, planar bedding, combined flow ripples, convolute bedding, dish structures, mud drapes, remnant ripples, flat lenses, slumping |
|                            |            | Intense bioturbation, *Cruziana Thalassinoides*, *Planolites*, *Skolithos*, *Diplocraterion*, *Teichichnus*, *Chondrites*, *Rogerella*, *Climacitichnites* |
|                            | AF5a       | Grey silty-shales, bundles of skeletal wackestones, silty greenish shale interlayers, fine grained sandstones, calcareous mudstones, black shales, polychrome clays (black, brown, grey, green, red, pink), grey and reddish shales |
|                            |            | Lenticular sandstones, rare hummocky cross-bedding, mud mounds, mud buildups, low-angle cross-bedding, tempestite bedding, slumping, deep groove marks |
|                            |            | Intensive burrowing, bivalve debris, horizontal burrows, skeletal remains (goniatites, orthoconic, nautiloids, styliolinids, trilobites, solitary rugose, corals), limestones nodules, ironstone nodules and layers |
|                            | AF5b       | Black silty-shales (mudstones), bituminous mudstones–wackestones, packstones |
|                            |            | Rare structures Parallel-aligned styliolinids, goniatites, orthoconic nautiloids, pelagic pelecypod *Buchiola*, anoxic conditions, limestone nodules, goniatites, *Buchiola*, tentaculitids, ostracods and rare fish remains, *Tornoceras*, *Aulatornoceras*, *Lobotornoceras*, *Manticoceras*, *Costamanticoceras* and *Virginoceras*, graptolites |
|                            |            | Zoophycos *Z*, *Teichichnus* *Te*, *Planolites* *Pl* |
|                            |            | Argiles à Graptolites, Orsine Fm., Argiles de Mehden Yahia, Argiles de Temertasset |
|                            |            | Upper offshore Offshore |
|                            | AF7a       | Grey silty-shales, bundles of skeletal wackestones, silty greenish shale interlayers, fine grained sandstones, calcareous mudstones, black shales, polychrome clays (black, brown, grey, green, red, pink), grey and reddish shales |
|                            |            | Lenticular sandstones, rare hummocky cross-bedding, mud mounds, mud buildups, low-angle cross-bedding, tempestite bedding, slumping, deep groove marks |
|                            |            | Intensive burrowing, bivalve debris, horizontal burrows, skeletal remains (goniatites, orthoconic, nautiloids, styliolinids, trilobites, solitary rugose, corals), limestones nodules, ironstone nodules and layers |
|                            | AF8a       | Black silty-shales (mudstones), bituminous mudstones–wackestones, packstones |
|                            |            | Rare structures Parallel-aligned styliolinids, goniatites, orthoconic nautiloids, pelagic pelecypod *Buchiola*, anoxic conditions, limestone nodules, goniatites, *Buchiola*, tentaculitids, ostracods and rare fish remains, *Tornoceras*, *Aulatornoceras*, *Lobotornoceras*, *Manticoceras*, *Costamanticoceras* and *Virginoceras*, graptolites |
|                            |            | Zoophycos *Z*, *Teichichnus* *Te*, *Planolites* *Pl* |
|                            |            | Argiles à Graptolites, Orsine Fm., Argiles de Mehden Yahia, Argiles de Temertasset |
|                            |            | Lower offshore |
|                            | AF9a       | Grey silty-shales, bundles of skeletal wackestones, silty greenish shale interlayers, fine grained sandstones, calcareous mudstones, black shales, polychrome clays (black, brown, grey, green, red, pink), grey and reddish shales |
|                            |            | Lenticular sandstones, rare hummocky cross-bedding, mud mounds, mud buildups, low-angle cross-bedding, tempestite bedding, slumping, deep groove marks |
|                            |            | Intensive burrowing, bivalve debris, horizontal burrows, skeletal remains (goniatites, orthoconic, nautiloids, styliolinids, trilobites, solitary rugose, corals), limestones nodules, ironstone nodules and layers |

*Table 1. Continued.*
infra-Cambrian, near top Ordovician, near top Silurian, near top Pragian, near top Givetian, near top mid-Frasnian, near top Famennian, near base Quaternary and near Hercynian unconformities (Fig. 7). The stratigraphic layers are identified by the integration of satellite images (Google Earth and Landsat USGS: https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/, last access: 29 November 2016), digital elevation models (DEM), and the 1 : 200 000 geological maps of Algeria (Bennacef et al., 1974; Bensalah et al., 1971).

Subsidence analysis characterizes the vertical displacements of a given sedimentary depositional surface by tracking its subsidence and uplift history (Van Hinte, 1978). The resulting curve details the total subsidence history for a given stratigraphic column (Allen and Allen, 2005; Van Hinte, 1978). Backstripping is also used to restore the initial thicknesses of a sedimentary column (Allen and Allen, 2005; Angevine et al., 1990). Lithologies and paleobathymetries have been defined using facies analysis or literature data. Porosity and the compaction proxy are based on experimental data from (Sclater and Christie, 1980). In this study, subsidence analyses were performed on sections using OSXBackstrip software performing 1D Airy backstripping (following Allen and Allen, 2005; Watts, 2001); available at: http://www.ux.uis.no/nestor/work/programs.html, last access: 5 January 2017).

The 800 km² outcrop of basement rocks of the Hoggar Massif provides an exceptional case study of an exhumed mobile belt composed of accreted terranes of different ages. To reconstruct the nature of the basement, a terrane map (Figs. 15 and 16) was put together by integrating geophysical data (aeromagnetic anomaly map: https://www.geomag.us/, last access: 1 December 2016, Bouguer gravity anomaly map: http://bgi.omp.obs-mip.fr/, last access: 1 December 2016, satellite images (7ETM+ from Landsat USGS: https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/, last access: 29 November 2016) data, geological maps (Berger et al., 2014; Bertrand and Caby, 1978; Black et al., 1994; Caby, 2003; Fezzaa et al., 2010; Liégeois et al., 1994, 2003, 2005, 2013), and geochronological data (e.g., U/Pb radiochronology, see Supplement data 1). Geochronological data from published studies were compiled and georeferenced (Fig. 1). Thermo-tectonic ages were grouped into eight main thermo-orogenic events (Fig. 1): The Liberian-Ouazzañal event (Archean, >2500 Ma), the Archean, Eburnean (i.e., Paleo-proterozoic, 2500–1600 Ma), the Kibarian (i.e., Mesoproterozoic, 1600–1100 Ma), the Neo-proterozoic ozeanization-rifting (1100–750 Ma), the syn-Pan-African orogeny (i.e., Neo-proterozoic, 750–541 Ma), the post-Pan-African (i.e., Neo-proterozoic, 541–443 Ma), the Caledonian orogeny (i.e., Siluro-Devonian, 443–358 Ma), and the Hercynian orogeny (i.e., Carbo-Permian, 358–252 Ma).

4 Structural framework and tectono-sedimentary structure analyses

The structural architecture of the North Saharan Platform is characterized by mostly circular to oval shaped basins structured by major faults frequently associated with broad asymmetrical folds displayed by three main trends (Fig. 1): (1) near-N–S, varying from 0 to 10 or 160° N , (2) from 40 to 60° N, and from (3) 100 to 140° N (Figs. 1, 3a, and 4). These fault zones are about 100 km (e.g., faults F1 and F2, Fig. 5) to tens of kilometers long (e.g., faults F3 to F8, Fig. 5). They correspond to the mainly N–S Azzel-Matti, Arak-Foum Belrem, Amguid El Biod, and Tihemboka arches, the NE–SW Bou Bernous, Ahara, and Gargaf arches, and the NW–SE Saoura and Azzene arches (Fig. 1).

4.1 Synsedimentary extensional markers

Extensional markers are characterized by the settlement of steeply westward or eastward-dipping basement normal faults associated with colinear synsedimentary folds of several kilometers in length (e.g., Figs. 6a to e and 7a), represented by footwall anticline and hanging wall syncline-shaped forced folds. They are located in the vicinity of different arches (Fig. 2) such as the Tihemboka Arch (Figs. 5b and 6a, b), Arak-Foum Belrem Arch (Figs. 5a, 6c to f and 7a, c), Azzel Matti Arch (Fig. 7b), and Bahar El Hamar area intra-basin arch (Fig. 7d). These tectonic structures can be featured by basement blind faults (e.g., fault F1 in Fig. 7a). The deformation pattern is mainly characterized by brittle faulting in Cambrian–Ordovician series down to the basement and fault-dipping in Silurian series (e.g., faults F1 to F6 in Fig. 7b). The other terms of the series (i.e., Silurian to Carboniferous) are usually affected by folding except (see F1 faults in Figs. 6d, 7b, d, and c) where the brittle deformation can be propagated to the Upper Devonian (due to reactivation and/or inversion as suggested in the next paragraph).

In association with the extensional markers, thickness variations and tilted divergent onlaps of the sedimentary series (i.e., wedge-shaped units, progressive unconformities) in the hanging wall syncline of the fault escarpments are observed (Figs. 6 and 7). These are attested using photogeological analysis of satellite images (Fig. 6) and are marked by a gentler dip angle of the stratification planes away from the fault plane (i.e., fault core zone). The markers of synsedimentary deformation structures are visible in the hanging-wall synclines of Precambrian to Upper Devonian series (Figs. 6 and 7).

The footwall anticline and hanging-wall syncline-shaped forced folds recognized in this study are very similar to those described in the literature by Grasemann et al. (2005), Khalil and McClay (2002), Schlische (1995), Stearns (1978), Withjack et al. (1990, 2002), and Withjack and Callaway (2000). The wedge-shaped units (DO0 to DO3; Figs. 5, 6, and 7) associated with the hanging-wall synclines are interpreted as
Figure 5. (a) Typology of different types of faults (inherited straight faults vs. sinuous short synlithification propagation faults) in the Cambrian–Ordovician series of the Djebel Settaf (Arak-Foum Belrem Arch; interbasin boundary secondary arch between the Ahnet and Mouydir basins). (b) Structural control of channelized sandstone bodies in Late Ordovician series of South Adrar Assouatene, Tassili-N-Ajjers (Tihemboka interbasin boundary secondary arch between the Illizi and Murzuq basins). The dotted red line represents Tamadjert Fm. channelized sandstone bodies. The abbreviations used in the figure are as follows: \(OTh\) – In Tahouite Fm. (Early to Late Ordovician, Floian to Katian); \(OTj\) – Tamadjert Fm. (Late Ordovician, Hirnantian); \(sIm\) – Imirhou Fm. (Early Silurian); \(sdAs1\) – Asedjrad Fm. 1 (Late Silurian to Early Devonian); \(dAs2\) – Asedjrad Fm. 2 (Early Devonian, Lochkovian); \(dSa\) – Oued Samene Fm. (Lower Devonian, Pragian). See Fig. 2 for map and cross-section location.

The sinuous faults are arranged “en echelon” into several segments with relay ramps. These faults are ten to several tens of kilometers long with vertical throws of hundreds of meters that fade rapidly toward the fault tips. The sinuous geometry of normal undulated faults as well as the rapid lateral variation in fault throw are controlled by the propagation and the linkage of growing parent and tip synsedimentary normal faults (Marchal et al., 2003, 1998). We use the stratigraphic age of impacted layers (here Tamadjert Fm.) to date (re)activation of the faults.

According to Holbrook and Schumm (1999), river patterns are extremely sensitive to tectonic structure activity. Here we find that the synsedimentary activity of the extensional structures is also evidenced by the influence of the fault scarp on the distribution and orientation of sinuous channelized sand-
Figure 6. (a) Normal fault (F2) associated with a footwall anticline and a hanging wall syncline with divergent onlaps (i.e., wedge-shaped unit DO1) in the Early to Late Ordovician In Tahouite series (Tassili-N-Ajiers, Tihemboka interbasin boundary secondary arch between the Illizi and Murzuq basins). (b) Ancient normal fault (F2) escarpment reactivated and sealed during Silurian deposition (poly-historic paleo-reliefs) linked to thickness variation, divergent onlaps (DO2) in the hanging wall synclines, and onlaps on the fold hinge anticline (Tassili-N-Ajiers, Tihemboka interbasin boundary secondary arch between the Illizi and Murzuq basins). 1: Early to Late Ordovician extension, 2: Late Ordovician to Early Silurian extension, and 3: Middle to Late Silurian sealing (horizontal drape). (c) Normal fault (F5) associated with forced fold with divergent strata (syncline-shaped hanging wall syncline and associated wedge-shaped unit DO2) and truncation in the Silurian–Devonian series of Dejbel Settaf (Arak-Foum Belrem Arch; interbasin boundary secondary arch between the Mouydir and Ahnet basins). 1: Cambrian–Ordovician extension and 2: Silurian–Devonian extensional reactivation (Caledonian extension). (d) Blind basement normal fault (F1) associated with forced fold with in the hanging wall syncline divergent onlaps of the Lower to Upper Devonian series (wedge-shaped unit DO3) and intra-Emsian truncation (Arak-Foum Belrem Arch; interbasin boundary secondary arch between the Mouydir and Ahnet basins). (e) N170° normal blind faults F1 and F2 forming a horst and graben system associated with a forced fold with Lower to Upper Devonian series divergent onlaps (wedge-shaped unit DO3) and intra-Emsian truncation in the hanging-wall syncline (in the Mouydir Basin near Arak-Foum Belrem Arch, eastward interbasin boundary secondary arch). (f) Inherited normal fault (F1) transported from footwall to hanging wall associated with an inverse fault (F1’) and accommodated by a detachment layer in the Silurian shales series (thickness variation of Imirhou Fm. between the footwall and hanging wall) and spilled dip strata markers of overturned folding (Djebel Idjerane, Arak-Foum Belrem Arch, eastwards interbasin boundary secondary arch). (g) Cambrian–Ordovician extension and 2: Middle to Late Devonian compression. The abbreviations used in the figure are as follows: OTh – In Tahouite Fm. (Early to Late Ordovician, Floian to Katian); OTj – Tamadjert Fm (Late Ordovician, Hirnantian); sIm – Imirhou Fm. (Early to Mid-Silurian); sdt – Atafaïtafa Fm. (Middle Silurian); dTi – Tifernine Fm. (Middle Silurian); sdAs1 – Asedjrad Fm. 1 (Late Silurian to Early Devonian); dAs2 – Asedjrad Fm. 2 (Early Devonian, Lochkovian); dSa: Oued Samene Fm. (Early Devonian, Pragian); diag: Oued Samene shaly-sandstones Fm. (Early Devonian, Emsian?); d2b – Givetian; d3a – Mehden Yahia Fm. (Late Devonian, Frasnian); d3b – Mehden Yahia Fm. (Late Devonian, Famennian); dh – Kheng sandstones (late Famennian to early Tournaisian); hTN2 – late Tournaisian; hV1 – early Visean. The red line represents unconformity. See Figs. 1, 2, and 5 for map and cross-section location.
Figure 7. (a) N–S interpreted seismic profile in the Ahnet Basin near Erg Tegunentour (near Arak-Foum Belrem Arch, westward interbasin boundary secondary arch) showing steeply dipping northward basement normal blind faults associated with forced folding. (b) NW–SE interpreted seismic profile of near Azzel Matti Arch (interbasin principal arch) showing steeply dipping southeastward basement normal blind faults associated with forced folds. The westernmost structures are featured by reverse fault related propagation fold. (c) W–E interpreted profile of the Ahnet Basin (Arak-Foum Belrem Arch, westward interbasin boundary secondary arch) showing horst and graben structures influencing Paleozoic tectonics associated with forced folds. (d) W–E interpreted seismic profile of Bahar el Hammar in the Ahnet Basin (Ahnet intra-basin secondary arch) showing steeply dipping normal faults F1 and F2 forming a positively inverted horst associated with folding. Multiple activation and inversion of normal faults are correlated with divergent onlaps (wedge-shaped units): DO0 infra-Cambrian extension, DO1 Cambrian–Ordovician extension, DO2 Silurian extension with local Silurian–Devonian positive inversion, and DO3 Frasnian–Famennian extension–local compression. See Fig. 2 for map and cross-section location.
Figure 8. (a) Core description, palynological calibration, and gamma-ray signatures of well W7 modified from an internal core description report (Dokka, 1999) and an internal palynological report (Azzoune, 1999). (b) Devonian sequential stratigraphy of well-log W7. For the location of well W7 see Fig. 2a.
stone body systems (dotted red lines in Fig. 5b). It highlights the (re)activation of the faults during the deposition of these channels, i.e., Late Hirnantian dated by (Girard et al., 2012).

4.2 Synsedimentary compressional markers (inversion tectonics)

After the development of the extensional tectonism described previously, evidence of synsedimentary compressional markers can be identified. These markers are located and preferentially observable near the Arak-Forum Bellrem Arch (Fig. 6f; F2 in Fig. 7c), the Azzel Matti Arch (2 in Fig. 7v), and the Bahar El Hamar area intra-basin arch (2 in Fig. 7d). The tectonic structures take the form of inverse faulting reactivating former basement faults (F1’ in Fig. 6f, F1 in Fig. 7c, F1’ in Fig. 7d, F1 in Fig. 7b). The synsedimentary inverse faulting is demonstrated by the characterization of asymmetric anticlines and is especially observable in satellite images and restricted to the fault footwalls (Fig. 5a along F1–F2).

Landsat image analysis combined with the line drawing of certain seismic lines reveals several thickness variations reflecting divergent onlaps (i.e., wedge-shaped units) which are restricted to the hanging-wall asymmetric anticlines (2 in Figs. 6f, 7b, c and d). The compressional synsedimentary markers clearly post-date extensional divergent onlaps at hanging-wall syncline-shaped forced folds (1 in Figs. 7c, c and d). This architecture is very similar to classical positive inversion structures of former inherited normal faults (Bel-lahsen and Daniel, 2005; Bonini et al., 2012; Buchanan and McClay, 1991; Ústaszewski et al., 2005). Tectonic transport from the paleo-graben hanging wall toward the paleo-horst footwall (F1, F2–F2’, F4–F4’ in Fig. 7b; F1–F1’ in Fig. 7d) is evidenced. Further positive tectonic inversion architecture is identified by tectonic transport from the paleo-horst footwall to the paleo-graben hanging wall (F1–F1’ in Fig. 6f; F1, F5, and F6 in Fig. 7c). This second type of tectonic inversion is very similar to the transported fault models defined by Butler (1989) and Madritsch et al. (2008). The local positive inversions of inherited normal faults occurred during Silurian–Devonian (F4’ Fig. 7b) and Middle to Late Devonian times (Figs. 7b, c and d). A late significant compression event between the end of the Carboniferous and the Early Mesozoic was responsible for the exhumation and erosion of the tilted Paleozoic series. This series is related to the Hercynian angular unconformity surface (Fig. 7b).

5 Stratigraphy and sedimentology

The whole sedimentary series described in the literature is composed of fluvial to braided deltaic plain Cambrian, not only fluvialite (e.g., Brahmaputra River analogue), with a transitional facies from continental to shallow marine (Beuf et al., 1968a, b, 1971; Eschard et al., 2005, 2010; Sabau et al., 2009), Upper Ordovician glaciogenic deposits (Beuf et al., 1968a, b, 1971; Eschard et al., 2005, 2010), argillaceous deep marine Silurian deposits (Djouder et al., 2018; Eschard et al., 2005, 2010; Legrand, 1986, 2003b; Lüning et al., 2000), and offshore to embayment Carboniferous deposits (Wendt et al., 2009a). In this complete sedimentary succession, we have focused on the Devonian deposits as they are very sensitive to and representative of basin dynamics. The architecture of the Devonian deposits allows us to approximate the main forcing factors controlling the sedimentary infilling of the basin and its synsedimentary deformation. Eleven facies associations organized into four depositional environments (Table 1) are defined to reconstruct the architecture and the lateral and vertical sedimentary evolution of the basins (Figs. 10, 11, 12 and 13).

5.1 Facies association, depositional environments, and erosional unconformities

Based on the compilation and synthesis of internal studies (Eschard et al., 1999), and published papers on the Saharan Platform (Beuf et al., 1971; Eschard et al., 2005, 2010; Hen-niche, 2002) and on the Ahnet and Moutydir basins (Biju-Duval et al., 1968; Wendt et al., 2006), eleven main facies associations (AF1 to AF5) and four depositional environments are proposed for the Devonian succession (Table 1). They are associated with their gamma-ray responses (Figs. 8 and 9). They are organized into two continental fluvial (AF1 to AF2), four transitional coastal plain (AF3a to AF3d), three shoreface (AF4a to AF4c), and two offshore (AF5a to AF5b) sedimentary environments.

5.1.1 Continental fluvial environments

This depositional environment features the AF1 (fluvial) and the AF2 (flood plain) facies association (Table 1). Facies association AF1 is mainly characterized by a thinning-up sequence with a basal erosional surface and trough cross-beded intraformational conglomerates with mud clast lag deposits, quartz pebbles, and imbricated grains (Table 1). It passes into medium to coarse trough cross-beded sandstones, planar cross-beded siltstones, and laminated shales. These deposits are associated with rare bioturbation (except at the surface of the sets), ironstones, phosphorites, corroded quartz grains, and phosphatized pebbles. Laterally, facies association AF2 is characterized by horizontally laminated and very poorly sorted silt to argillaceous fine sandstones. They contain frequent root traces, plant debris, well-developed paleosols, bioturbation, nodules, and ferruginous horizons. Current ripples and climbing ripples are associated in prograding thin sandy layers.

In AF1, the basal erosional reworking and high energy processes are characteristic of channel-filling of fluvial systems (Allen, 1983; Owen, 1995). Eschard et al. (1999) identify three fluvial systems (see A, B, and C in Fig. 9) in the Tassili-N-Ajiers outcrops: braided dominant (AF1a), meandering
dominant (AF1b), and straight dominant (AF1c). They differentiate them by their different sinuosity, direction of accretion (lateral or frontal), the presence of mud drapes, bioturbation, and giant epsilon cross-bedding. Gamma-ray signatures of these facies associations (A, B, and C in Fig. 9) are cylindrical with an average value of 20 gAPI. The gamma-ray shapes are largely representative of fluvial environments (Rider, 1996; Serra and Serra, 2003; Wagoner et al., 1990).

The bottom is sharp with high value peaks and the tops are frequently fining-up, which may be associated with high values caused by argillaceous flood plain deposits and roots (Eschard et al., 1999). AF2 is interpreted as humid floodplain deposits (Allen, 1983; Owen, 1995) with crevasse splays or preserved levees of fluvial channels (Eschard et al., 1999). Gamma-ray curves of AF2 (D, Fig. 9) show a rapid succession of low to very high peak values, ranging from 50 to 120 gAPI. AF1 and AF2 are typical of the Pragian “Oued Samene” Formation (Wendt et al., 2006).

In the Illizi Basin, these facies are mainly recorded in the Ajers Formation (dated Upper Cambrian? to Ordovician, see Fabre, 2005; Vecoli, 2000; Vecoli et al., 1995, 1999, 2008; Vecoli and Playford, 1997) and the Lochkovian to Pragian “Barre Moyenne” and “Barre Supérieure” formations (Beuf et al., 1971; Eschard et al., 2005).

### 5.1.2 Transitional coastal plain environments

This depositional environment comprises facies associations AF3a (delta/estuarine), AF3b (fluvial/tidal distributary channels), AF3c (tidal sand flat), and AF3d (lagoon/mudflat) (Table 1). AF3a is mainly dominated by sigmoidal cross-bedded heterolithic rocks with mud drapes. It is also characterized by fine to coarse, poorly sorted sandstones and siltstones often structured by combined flow ripples, flaser bedding, wavy bedding, and some rare planar bedding. Mud clasts, root traces, desiccation cracks, water escape features, and shale pebbles are common. The presence of epsilon bedding is attested, which is formed by lateral accretion of a river point bar (Allen, 1983). The bed surface sets are intensively bioturbated (*Skolithos* and *Planolites*) indicating a shallow marine subtidal setting (Pemberton and Frey, 1982). Faunas such as brachiopods, trilobites, tentaculites, and graptolites are present. AF3b exhibits a fining-up sequence featured by a sharp erosional surface, trough cross-bedded, very coarse-grained, poorly sorted sandstone at the base and sigmoidal cross-bedding at the top (Figs. 8 and 9). AF3c is formed by fine-grained to very coarse-grained sigmoidal cross-bedded heterolithic sandstones with multidirectional tidal bundles. They are also structured by lenticular, flaser bedding and occasional current and oscillation ripples with mud cracks. They reveal intense bioturbation composed of *Skolithos* (Sk), *Thalassinoides* (Th), and *Planolites* (Pl) ichnofacies indicating a shallow marine subtidal setting (Frey et al., 1990; Pemberton and Frey, 1982). AF4d is characterized by horizontally laminated mudstones associated with varicolored shales and fine-grained sandstones. They exhibit mud cracks, occasional wave ripples, and rare multidirectional current ripples. These sedimentary structures are poorly preserved because of intense bioturbation composed of *Skolithos* (Sk), *Thalassinoides* (Th), and *Planolites* (Pl). The fauna includes ammonoids (rare), goniatites, calymenids, pelecypod molds, and brachiopod coquinas.

In AF3a, both tidal and fluvial systems in the same facies association can be interpreted as an estuarine system (Dalrymple et al., 1992; Dalrymple and Choi, 2007). The gamma-ray signature is characterized by a convex bell shape with rapidly alternating low to mid values (30 to 60 gAPI) due to the mud draping of the sets (see E Fig. 9). These forms of gamma ray are typical of fluvial–tidal influenced environments with upward-finishing parasequences (Rider, 1996; Serra and Serra, 2003; Wagoner et al., 1990). AF3a is identified at the top of the Pragian “Oued Samene” Formation and in Famennian “Khenig” Formation (Wendt et al., 2006) in the Ahnet and Mouydir basins. In the Illizi Basin, AF3a is mostly recorded at the top Cambrian of the Ajers Formation, in the Lochkovian “Barre Moyenne”, and at the top Pragian of the “Barre Supérieure” Formation (Beuf et al., 1971; Eschard et al., 2005). The AF3b association can be characterized by a mixed fluvial and tidal dynamic based on criteria such as erosional basal contacts, fining-upward trends, or heterolythic facies (Dalrymple et al., 1992; Dalrymple and Choi, 2007). They are associated with abundant mud clasts, mud drapes, and bioturbation indicating tidal influences (Dalrymple et al., 1992, 2012; Dalrymple and Choi, 2007). The major difference with the estuarine facies association (AF3a) is the slight lateral extent of the channels which are only visible in outcrops (Eschard et al., 1999). The gamma-ray pattern is very similar to the estuarine electrofacies (see F Fig. 9). AF3c is interpreted as a tidal sand flat laterally present near a delta (Lessa and Masselink, 1995) and associated with an estuarine environment (Leuven et al., 2016). The gamma-ray signature (see G Fig. 9) is distinguishable by its concave funnel shape with alternating low and mid peaks (25 to 60 gAPI) due to the heterogeneity of the deposits and rapid variations in the sand/shale ratio. These facies are observed in the “Talus à Tigillites” Formation of the Illizi Basin (Eschard et al., 2005). In AF4d, both ichnofacies and facies are indicative of tidal mudflat/lagoonal depositional environments (Dalrymple et al., 1992; Dalrymple and Choi, 2007; Frey et al., 1990). The gamma-ray signature has a distinctively high value (80 to 130 gAPI) and an erratic shape (see H Fig. 9). AF4d is observed in the “Atafaitafa” Formation and in the Emsian prograding shoreface sequence of the Illizi Basin (Eschard et al., 2005). It is also recorded in the Lochkovian “Oued Samene” Formation and the Famennian “Khenig” sandstones (Wendt et al., 2006).
Figure 9. The main depositional environments (a–l) and their associated electrofacies (i.e., gamma-ray patterns) modified and compiled from Eschard et al. (1999).
5.1.3 Shoreface environments

This depositional environment is composed of AF4a (subtidal), AF4b (upper shoreface), and AF4c (lower shoreface) facies associations (Table 1). AF4a is characterized by the presence of brachiopods, crinoids, and diversified bioturbations, by the absence of emersion, and by the greater amplitude of the sets in a dominant mud lithology (Eschard et al., 1999). AF4b is heterolithic and composed of fine to medium-grained sandstones (brownish) interbedded with argillaceous siltstones and bioclastic carbonated sandstones. Sedimentary structures include oscillation ripples, swaley cross-bedding, flaser bedding, cross-bedding, convolute bedding, wavy bedding, and low-angle planar cross-stratification. Sediments were affected by moderate to highly diversified bioturbation by Skolithos (Sk), Cruziana, Planolites, (Pl) Chondrites (Ch), Teichichnus (Te), Spirophytonts (Sp) and are composed of ooids, crinoids, byrozoans, stromatoporoids, tabulate and rugose corals, pelagic styliolids, neritic tentaculitids, and brachiopods. AF4c can be distinguished by a low sand/shale ratio, thick interbeds, abundant hummocky cross-stratification (HCS), deep groove marks, slumping, and intense bioturbation (Table 1).

AF4a is interpreted as a lagoonal shoreface. The gamma-ray pattern (see I Fig. 9) is characterized by a concave bell shape influenced by a low sand/shale ratio with values fluctuating between 100 and 200 gAPI. AF4a is identified in the “Talus à Tígliłites” Formation and the Emsian “Illizi Basin” (Eschard et al., 2005) and in the Lochkovian “Oued Samene” Formation (Wendt et al., 2006). AF4b is interpreted as a shoreface environment. The presence of swaley cross-bedding produced by the amalgamation of storm beds (Dumas and Arnott, 2006) and other cross-stratified beds is indicative of upper shoreface environments (Loi et al., 2010). The gamma-ray pattern (see J and K Fig. 9) displays concave erratic egg shapes with a very regularly decreasing-upward trend and ranging from offshore shales with mid values (80 to 60 gAPI) to clean sandstone with lower values at the top (40 to 60 gAPI). AF4b is observed in the “Atafaitafia” Formation corresponding to the “Zone de passage” Formation of the Illizi Basin (Eschard et al., 2005). AF4c is interpreted as a lower shoreface environment (Dumas and Arnott, 2006; Suter, 2006). The gamma-ray pattern displays the same features as the upper shoreface deposits with higher values (i.e., muddier facies) ranging from 100 to 80 gAPI (see J and K Fig. 9).

5.1.4 Offshore marine environments

This depositional environment is composed of AF5a and AF5b facies associations (Table 1). AF5a is mainly defined by wavy to planar-bedded heterolithic silty-shales interlayered with fine-grained sandstones. It also contains bundles of skeletal wackestones and calcareous mudstones. The main sedimentary structures are lenticular sandstones, HCS, mud mounds, low-angle cross-bedding, tempestite bedding, slumping, and deep groove marks. Sediments can present rare horizontal bioturbation such as Zoophycos (Z), Teichichnus (Te), and Planolites (Pl). AF5b is characterized by an association of black silty shales with occasional bituminous wackestones and packstones. It is composed of graptolites, goniatitides, orthoconic nautiloids, pelagic pelecypods, limestone nodules, tentaculitids, ostracods, and rare fish remains. Rare bioturbation such as Zoophycos (Z) is visible.

In AF5a, the occurrence of HCS, the decrease in sand thickness and grain size, and the bioturbation and the florofaunal associations indicate a deeper marine environment under the influence of storms (Aigner, 1985; Dott and Bourgeois, 1982; Reading and Collinson, 2009). AF5a is interpreted as upper offshore deposits (i.e., offshore transitional). The gamma-ray pattern is serrated and erratic with values well grouped around high values from 120 to 140 gAPI (see L Fig. 9). Positive peaks may indicate siltstone to sandstone ripple beds. AF5b is interpreted as lower offshore deposits (Aigner, 1985; Stow et al., 2001; Stow and Piper, 1984). Here again the gamma-ray signature is serrated and erratic with values well grouped around 140 gAPI (see L Fig. 9). Hot shales with anoxic conditions are characterized by gamma-ray peaks (>140 gAPI). These gamma-ray patterns are typical of offshore environments dominated by shales (Rider, 1996; Serra and Serra, 2003; Wagoner et al., 1990). AF5a and AF5b are observed in the Silurian “Argiles à Graptolites” Formation and the Emsian “Orsine” Formation of the Illizi Basin (Beuf et al., 1971; Eschard et al., 2005; Legrand, 1986, 2003b). The “Argiles de Mehden Yahia” and “Argiles de Temertasset” shales have the same facies (Wendt et al., 2006).

5.2 Sequential framework and unconformities

The high-resolution facies analysis, depositional environments, stacking patterns, and surface geometries observed in the Devonian succession reveal at least two different orders of depositional sequences (large and medium scale, Fig. 8) considered as transgressive/regressive (T/R) (Catuneanu et al., 2009). The sequential framework proposed in Fig. 8b results from the integration of the vertical evolution of the main surfaces (Fig. 8a) and the gamma-ray pattern (Fig. 9). The Devonian series under focus exhibits 9 medium-scale sequences (D1 to D9, Fig. 8; Figs. 10, 11, 12, and 13) bounded by 10 major sequence boundaries (HD0 to HD9), and 9 major flooding surfaces (MFS1 to MFS9). The correlation of the different sequences at the scale of the different basins and arches is used to build three cross sections – two E–W (Figs. 10, 11 and 12) and one N–S (Fig. 13).

The result of the analysis of the general pattern displayed by the successive sequences reveal two major patterns (Figs. 10, 12 and 13) limited by a major flooding surface MFS5. The first pattern extends from the Oued Samene to Adrar Morrat formations and is dated from the Lochko-
Figure 10. SE–W cross-section between the Reggane Basin, the Azzel Matti Arch, the Ahnet Basin, the Arak-Foum Belrem Arch, the Mouydir Basin, and the Amguid El Biod Arch (well locations in Fig. 3). The well W1 biozone calibration is from Hassan (1984) and the internal report is based on the Magloire (1967) classification: biozone G3-H (Wenlock–Ludlow, Upper Silurian), biozone I-K (Lochkovian–Emsian, Lower Devonian), biozone L1-3 (Eifelian–Givetian, Middle Devonian), biozone L4 (Frasnian, Upper Devonian), biozone L5-7 (Famennian, Upper Devonian), and biozone M2 (Tournaisian–Lower Carboniferous). The well W7 biozone calibration is from Azzoune (1999) and the internal report is based on the Boumendjel (1987) classification: biozone 7–12 (Lochkovian, Lower Devonian) and biozone 15 (Emsian, Lower Devonian). Interpretation of the basement is based on Figs. 1, 2, and 15. Well location is in Fig. 2.
vian to Givetian. D1 to D5 medium-scale sequences indicate a general proximal clastic depositional environment (dominated by fluviol to transitional and shoreface facies) with intensive lateral facies evolution. This first pattern is thin (from 500 m in the basin depocenter to 200 m around the basin rim) and with successive amalgamated surfaces on the edge of the arches between the “Zone de passage” and “Oued Samene” formations (e.g., Figs. 10 and 13). It is delimited at the bottom by the HD0 surface corresponding to the Silurian–Devonian boundary. D1 to D3 are composed of T/R sequences with a first deepening transgressive trend indicative of a transition from continental to marine deposits bounded by a major MFS and evolving into a second shallowing trend from deep marine to shallow marine depositional environments. D1 to D3 thin progressively toward the edge and the continental deposits, in the central part of the basin, pass laterally into a major unconformity. The amalgamation of the surfaces and lateral variations of facies between the Ahnet Basin and Azzel Matti and Arak-Foum Belrem arches demonstrate a tectonic control related to the presence of subsiding basins and paleo-highs (i.e., arches).

D4 and D5 display the same T/R pattern with a reduced continental influence and upward decrease in lateral facies variations and thicknesses where the MFS4 marks the beginning of a marine-dominated regime in the entire area. It is identified as the Early Eifelian transgression defined by Wendt et al. (2006). The D5 sequence is mainly composed of shoreface carbonates. Evidence of mud mounds preferentially located along faults are well-documented in the area for that time (Wendt et al., 1993, 1997, 2006; Wendt and Kaufmann, 1998). This change in the general pattern indicates reduced tectonic influence.

MFS5, at the transition between the two main patterns, represents a major flooding surface on the platform and is featured worldwide by deposition of “hot shales” during the early Frasnian (Lüning et al., 2003, 2004; Wendt et al., 2006).

The second pattern extends from the “Mehden Yahia”, “Temertasset” to the “Khéning” formations dated Frasnian to Lower Tournaisian. This pattern is composed of part of D5–D9 medium-scale sequences. It corresponds to homogenous offshore depositional environments with no lateral facies variations. However, local deltaic (fluvio-marine) conditions are observed during the Frasnian at the Arak Foun Belrem Arch (“Grès de Mehden Yahia” in Fig. 12). A successive alternation of shoreface and offshore deposits is organized into five medium-scale sequences (part of D5, and D6 to D9; Figs. 10, 11 and 12). They, in particular, show some regressive phases with the deposition of both “Grès de Mehden Yahia” and “Grès du Khéning” sandstones (bounded by HD6 and HD9). This pattern (i.e., part of D5 to D9) corresponds to the general maximum flooding (Lüning et al., 2003, 2004; Wendt et al., 2006) under eustatic control with no tectonic influences.

6 Subsidence and tectonic history: an association of low rate extensional subsidence and positive inversion pulses

The backstripping approach (Fig. 14) was applied to five wells (W1, W5, W7, W17, and W21). The morphology of the backstripped curve and subsidence rates can provide clues as to the nature of the sedimentary basin (Xie and Heller, 2009). In intracratonic basins, reconstructed tectonic subsidence curves are almost linear to gently exponential in shape, similar to those of passive margins and rifts (Xie and Heller, 2009). The compilation of tectonic backstripped curves from several wells in peri-Hoggar basins (Fig. 14a, see Fig. 1 for location) and from wells in the study area (Fig. 14b) display low rates of subsidence (from 5 to 50 m Myr$^{-1}$) organized in subsidence patterns of: inversion of the low rate subsidence (ILRS type c, red line, Fig. 14c), deceleration of the low rate subsidence (DLRS type b, black line), and acceleration of the low rate subsidence (ALRS type a, blue line).

Each period of ILRS, DLRS, and ALRS may be synchronous among the different wells studied (see B1 to J, Fig. 14b) and some wells from published data (see D to J Fig. 14a).

The Saharan Platform is marked by a rejuvenation of basement structures, around arches (Figs. 1, 2, and 3), linked to regional geodynamic pulses during Neoproterozoic to Paleozoic times (Fig. 14). A compilation of the literature shows that the main geodynamic events are associated with discriminant association of subsidence patterns:

a. Late Pan-African compression and collapse (patterns a, b, and c, A Fig. 14a). The infra-Cambrian (i.e., top Neoproterozoic) is characterized by horst and graben architecture associated with wedge-shaped unit DO0 in the basement (Fig. 7). This structuring, probably related to Pan-African post-orogenic collapse, is illustrated by intracratonic basins infilled with volcanosedimentary molasses series (Ahmed and Moussine-Pouchkine, 1987; Coward and Ries, 2003; Fabre et al., 1988; Oudra et al., 2005).

b. Cambrian–Ordovician geodynamic pulse (Fig. 14). Highlighted by the wedge-shaped units DO1 (Figs. 6a and 7), the horst and graben system is correlated with deceleration (DLRS pattern a, B1) and with local acceleration of the subsidence (ALRS pattern b, B2). The Cambrian–Ordovician extension is documented on arches (Arak-Foum Belrem, Azzel Matti, Amguid El Biod, Tihemboka, Gargaf, Murizidié, Dor El Gussa, etc.) of the Saharan Platform by synsedimentary normal faults, reduced sedimentary successions (Bennacef et al., 1971; Beuf et al., 1968a, b, 1971; Beuf and Montadert, 1962; Borocco and Nyssen, 1959; Claraçq et al., 1958; Echikh, 1998; Eschard et al., 2010; Fabre, 1988; Ghienne et al., 2003, 2013; Zazoun and Mahdjoub, 2011), and by stratigraphic hiatuses (Mélou et al.,
1999; Oulebsir and Paris, 1995; Paris et al., 2000; Vecoli et al., 1995, 1999).

c. Late Ordovician geodynamic pulse (i.e., Hirnantian glacial and isostatic rebound; Fig. 14). Late Ordovician incisions mainly situated at the hanging walls of normal faults (Fig. 7c and d) are interpreted as Hirnantian glacial-paleovalleys (Le Heron, 2010; Smart, 2000) and followed by local inversion of low rate subsidence (ILRS of type c, C in Fig. 14).

d. Silurian extensional geodynamic pulse (D, Fig. 14). The Silurian post-glaciation period is featured by the reactivation and sealing of the inherited horst and graben fault system (i.e., wedge-shaped unit DO2; Figs. 6b, c, 7a and b). It is linked to an acceleration of the subsidence (ALRS of pattern b in Fig. 14). This tectonic extension is documented in seismic records (Najem et al., 2015) and is associated with the Silurian major transgression on the Saharan Platform (e.g., Eschard et al., 2005; Lüning et al., 2000).

e. Late Silurian to Early Devonian geodynamic pulse (Caledonian compression; E Fig. 14). Late Silurian times are marked by reactivation and local positive inversion of the former structures (Figs. 6c and 7b); this occurs due to truncations located at fold hinges (Figs. 6c and 7) and due to a major shift from marine to fluvial/transitional environments (e.g., Fig. 10). Backstripped curves register an inversion of the subsidence (ILRS of pattern c, in Fig. 14). The Caledonian event is mentioned as related to large-scale folding or uplifted arches (e.g., the Gargaff, Tihemboka, Ahara, Murizidé-Dor el Gussa and Amguid El Biod arches) and it is associated with breaks in the series and with angular unconformities (Beuf et al., 1971; Biju-Duval et al., 1968; Boote et al., 1998; Boudjema, 1987; Boumendjel et al., 1988; Carruba et al., 2014; Chavand and Claracq, 1960; Coward and Ries, 2003; Dubois and Mazelet, 1964; Echikh, 1998; Eschard et al., 2010; Fekirine and Abdallah, 1998; Follot, 1950; Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2013; Ghienne et al., 2013; Gindre et al., 2012; Legrand, 1967a, b; Magloire, 1967).

f. Early Devonian tectonic quiescence (F Fig. 14). This is characterized by a deceleration of the low rate subsidence (DLRS of pattern a, F in Fig. 14). During this period, we have detected Emsian truncation from satellite images (Fig. 6d and e) and erosion and pinch out of Upper Emsian to Eifelian series from well cross sections (Figs. 10, 12 and 13). In previous works, these hiatuses/gaps (i.e., Upper Lochkovian, Lower Pragian,
Figure 12. NE–W cross section between the Reggane Basin, the Azzel Matti Arch, the Ahnet Basin, the Arak-Foum Belrem Arch, the Mouydir Basin, and the Amguid El Biod Arch. The well W18 biozone calibration is based on Kermandji et al. (2009): biozone (Tm) *tidikeltense microbaculatus* (Lochkovian, Lower Devonian), biozone (Es) *emsiensis spinaeformis* (Lochkovian–Pragian, Lower Devonian), biozone (Ac) *arenorugosa caperatus* (Pragian, Lower Devonian), biozone (Ps) *poligonalis subgranifer* (Pragian–Emsian, Lower Devonian), biozone (As) *annulatus svalbardiae* (Emsian, Lower Devonian), biozone (Mp) *microancyreus protea* (Emsian–Eifelian, Lower to Middle Devonian), and biozone (Vl) *velatus langii* (Eifelian, Middle Devonian). The well W19 and W20 biozones calibration from internal reports (Abdesselam-Rouighi, 1991; Khiar, 1974) is based on the Magloire (1967) classification: biozone H (Pridoli, Upper Silurian), biozone I (Lochkovian, Lower Devonian), biozone J (Pragian, Lower Devonian), biozone K (Emsian, Lower Devonian), and biozone L1-5 (Middle Devonian to Upper Devonian). Interpretation of the basement is based on Figs. 1, 2, and 15. Outcrop and well location is in Fig. 2.
Figure 13. N–S cross section in the Ahnet Basin between Azzel Matti Arch and Arak-Foum Beltrem Arch; well W7 biozone calibration from Azzoune, (1999) internal report based on the Boumendjel (1987) classification: biozones 7–12 (Lochkovian, Lower Devonian), biozone 15 (Emsian, Lower Devonian). Well W18 biozone calibration is based on Kermandji et al. (2009): biozone (Tm) *tidikeltense microbaculatus* (Lochkovian, Lower Devonian), biozone (Es) *emsiensis spinaeformis* (Lochkovian–Pragian, Lower Devonian), biozone (Ac) *arenorugosa caperatus* (Pragian, Lower Devonian), biozone (Ps) *poligonais subgranifer* (Pragian–Emsian, Lower Devonian), biozone (As) *annulatus svalbardiae* (Emsian, Lower Devonian), biozone (Mp) *microancyreus protea* (Emsian–Eifelian, Lower to Middle Devonian), and biozone (Vl) *velatus langii* (Eifelian, Middle Devonian). The well W12 biozone calibration from Abdesselam-Rouighi (1977) internal report is based on the Boumendjel (1987) classification: biozone J (Pragian, Lower Devonian), biozone K (Emsian, Lower Devonian), biozone L1 (Eifelian, Middle Devonian), and biozone L7-3, L7-9 (Frasnian–Famennian, Upper Devonian). Interpretation of the basement is based on Figs. 1, 2, and 15. Outcrop and well location is in Fig. 2.
Figure 14. (a) Tectonic backstripped curves of the Paleozoic North Saharan Platform (peri-Hoggar basins) compiled from literature. 1: HAD-1 well in Ghadamès Basin (Makhous and Galushkin, 2003b); 2: well RPL-101 in Reggane Basin (Makhous and Galushkin, 2003b); 3: L1-1 well in Murzuq Basin (Galushkin and Eloghbi, 2014); 4: TGE-1 in Illizi Basin (Makhous and Galushkin, 2003a); 5: REG-1 in Timimoun Basin (Makhous and Galushkin, 2003b); 6: Ghadamès-Berkine Basin (Allen and Armitage, 2011; Yahi, 1999); 7: well in Sbâa Basin (Tournier, 2010); and 8: well B1NC43 in Al Kufrah Basin (Holt et al., 2010). (b) Tectonic backstripped curves of wells in the study area 1: well W17 in Ahnet Basin; 2: well W5 in Ahnet Basin; 3: well W7 in Ahnet Basin; 4: well W21 in Mouydir Basin; and 5: well W1 in Reggane Basin. (c) Typologies of subsidence curves morphologies. A: Late Pan-African compression and collapse (type a, b, and c subsidence), B: undifferentiated Cambrian–Ordovician (type a, b, and c subsidence), B1: Cambrian–Ordovician tectonic quiescence (type a subsidence), B2: Cambrian–Ordovician extension (type b subsidence), C: Late Ordovician glacial and isostatic rebound (type c subsidence), D: Silurian extension (type b subsidence), E: Late Silurian Caledonian compression (type c subsidence), F: Early Devonian tectonic quiescence (type a subsidence), G–H: Middle to late Devonian extension with local compression (i.e., inversion structures, type b and c subsidence), I: Early Carboniferous extension with local tectonic pre-Hercynian compression (type c and b subsidence), and J: Middle Carboniferous tectonic extension (type b subsidence).
Upper Pragian, Upper Emsian, Lower Eifelian) are observed in the Ahnet Basin (Kermandji, 2007; Kermandji et al., 2003, 2008, 2009; Wendt et al., 2006), in the Illizi (Boudjema, 1987) and in the Reggane (Jäger et al., 2009).

g. h. Middle to late Devonian geodynamic pulse (extension and local inversions, G and H Fig. 14). The Middle to Late Devonian period is characterized by large wedge hiatuses and truncations associated with the reactivation of horst and graben structures and local positive inversion (OD3 in Figs. 6d, e, f, 7 and 10 to 13). This period is characterized by inversion and acceleration of low rate subsidence (patterns c and b: ILRS – ALRS, Fig. 14). Some of the Middle to Late Devonian syntectonic structures and hiatuses (e.g., Givetian/Frasnian) are noticed in the Ahnet Basin (Wendt et al., 2006), on the Amguid Ridge (Wendt et al., 2009b), in the Illizi Basin (Boudjema, 1987; Chaumeau et al., 1961; Eschard et al., 2010; Fabre, 2005; Legrand, 1967a), on the Gargaf (Carruba et al., 2014; Collomb, 1962; Fabre, 2005; Massa, 1988) and elsewhere on the platform (Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2013).

i. j. Pre-Hercynian to Hercynian geodynamic pulses (I and J Fig. 14). This period is organized in Early Carboniferous–Early Permian Hercynian compressions limited by Mid Carboniferous tectonic quiescence/extension (J, Fig. 14). The Carboniferous period is characterized by a normal reactivation and local positive inversion of the previous structural patterns involving reverse faults, overturned folds, transpressional flower structures along strike-slip fault zones (Figs. 6f, 7b, c and d). The major Carboniferous tectonic event on the Saharan Platform impacted all arches and it is mainly controlled by near-vertical basement faults with a strike-slip component (Boote et al., 1998; Caby, 2003; Carruba et al., 2014; Haddoum et al., 2001, 2013; Liégeois et al., 2003; Wendt et al., 2009a; Zazoun, 2001, 2008). According to these authors basement fabric features exerted a very strong control on the structural evolution during the Hercynian deformation. Two major hiatuses (i.e., Mid Tournaisian to Mid Visean–Serpukhovian) are recognized (Wendt et al., 2009a).

The geodynamic pulses attest to the reactivation of the terranes and associated lithospheric fault zones. This observation questions the nature of the Precambrian basement and associated structural heritage.

7 Basement characterization: Precambrian structural heritage

Geochronological data show that the different terranes were reworked during several main thermo-orogenic events. The two main events deduced from geochronological data are the Neoproterozoic (i.e., Pan-African) and Paleoproterozoic (i.e., Eburnean) episodes (Bertrand and Caby, 1978). Aeromagnetic anomaly surveys are commonly used to analyze geological features such as rock types and fault zones (e.g., Turner et al., 2007). A similar study was led in the meantime showing similar interpretations (Bournas et al., 2003; Brahimi et al., 2018). In this study, these data highlight the geometries and the extension of the different terranes under the sedimentary cover. Four main domains can be identified from the aeromagnetic anomaly map, delimited by contrasted magnetic signatures and interpreted as suture zones (thick black lines, Fig. 15a). The study area is bounded to the south by the Tuareg Shield (TS), to the north by the south Al-tassic Range, to the west by the West African Craton (WAC), and to the east by the East Saharan Craton (ESC) or Saharan Metacraton (Abdelsalam et al., 2002).

The magnetic disturbance features (Fig. 15a) show three main magnetic trends. A major N–S sinuous fabric and two minor sinuous 130–140° E and N45° E trends. The major N–S lineaments coincide with terrane boundaries and mega-shear zones (e.g., 4°50′, 4°10′, WOSZ, EOSZ, 8°30′, RSZ shear zones; Fig. 1). Sigmoidal-shaped terranes 200 to 500 km long and 100 km wide are characterized (red lines in Fig. 15a). The whole assemblage forms a typical SC-shaped shear fabric (Choukroune et al., 1987) associated with vertical mega-shear zones and suture zones (e.g., WOSZ, EOSZ, 4°10′, 4°50′ or 8°30′ Hoggar shear zones in Fig. 1). The SC fabrics combined with subvertical lithospheric shear zones (Fig. 16b and c) are typical features of the Paleoproterozoic accretionary orogens (Cagnard et al., 2011; Chardon et al., 2009). This architecture is concordant with the Neoproterozoic collage of the Tuareg Shield (i.e., mobile belt) between the West African Craton and the East Saharan Craton (i.e., cratonic blocks) described by (Coward and Ries, 2003; Craig et al., 2008).

The gravimetric anomaly map (Fig. 15b) shows a correlation between gravimetric anomalies and tectonic architecture (intracratonic syncline-shaped basin and neighboring arches). Positive anomalies (>66 mGal) are mainly associated with arches, whereas negative anomalies are related to intracratonic basins (<66 mGal). Nevertheless, negative anomaly disturbance is found in the Hoggar Massif probably due to Cenozoic volcanism and the Hoggar swell (Liégeois et al., 2005) or to Eocene Alpine intraplate lithospheric buckling (Rougier et al., 2013).

The Precambrian structural heritage is characterized by accreted lithospheric terranes limited by vertical strike-slip mega-shear zones (Fig. 16b and c). A zonation is observed between the Paleozoic basins and arches configurations and the different terranes (thermo-tectonic age). Arches are linked to Archean to Paleoproterozoic continental terranes in contrast to syncline-shaped basins which are associated with Meso-Neoproterozoic terranes (Figs. 1, 2 and 16a).
Figure 15. (a) Interpreted aeromagnetic anomaly map (https://www.geomag.us/, last access: 1 December 2016) of the Paleozoic North Saharan Platform (peri-Hoggar basins) showing the different terranes delimited by N–S, NW–SE, and NE–SW lineaments and megasigmoid structures (SC – shear fabrics). (b) Bouguer anomaly map (from the International Gravimetric Bureau: http://bgi.omp.obs-mip.fr/, last access: 1 December 2016) of the North Saharan Platform (peri-Hoggar basins) presenting evidence of positive anomalies under arches and negative anomalies under basins.
Figure 16. (a) Interpreted map of basement terranes according to their age (compilation of datasets in Fig. 1 and Supplement data 1); (b) Satellite images (7ETM+ from USGS: https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/, last access: 29 October 2016) of Paleoproterozoic Issalane-Tarat terrane, central Hoggar (see C for location). (c) Interpreted satellite images of Paleoproterozoic Issalane-Tarat terrane showing sinistral sigmoid mega-structures associated with transcurrent lithospheric shear fabrics (SC).
Figure 17. (a) Different structural model styles identified from the analysis of seismic profiles and from interpretation of the satellite images. (b) A conceptual model of the architecture of an intracratonic low rate subsidence basin and synthesis of the tectonic kinematics during the Paleozoic. Note that the differential subsidence between arches and basins is controlled by terrane heterogeneity (i.e., thermo-chronologic age, rheology, etc.).
8 Low subsidence rate intracratonic Paleozoic basins of the central Sahara provide a basis for an integrated modeling study

Paleozoic intracratonic basins with similar characteristics (architecture, subsidence rate, stratigraphic partitioning, alternating episodes of intraplate extension, and short duration compressions with periods of tectonic quiescence, etc.) have been documented in North America (e.g., Allen and Armitage, 2011; Beaumont et al., 1988; Burgess, 2008; Burgess et al., 1997; Eaton and Darbyshire, 2010; Pinet et al., 2013; Potter, 2006; Sloss, 1963; Xie and Heller, 2006), South America (Allen and Armitage, 2011; de Brito Neves et al., 1984; Milani and Zalan, 1999; de Oliveira and Mohriak, 2003; Soares et al., 1978; Zalan et al., 1990), Russia (Allen and Armitage, 2011; Nikishin et al., 1996) and Australia (Harris, 1994; Lindsay and Leven, 1996; Mory et al., 2017). However, the nature of the potential driving processes (lithospheric folding, far-field stresses, local increase in the geotherm, mechanical anisotropy from lithospheric rheological heterogeneity, etc.) associated with the formation of intracratonic Paleozoic basins remains highly speculative (Allen and Armitage, 2011; Armitage and Allen, 2010; Braun et al., 2014; Burgess and Gurnis, 1995; Burov and Cloetingh, 2009; Cacace and Scheck-Wenderoth, 2016; Célérier et al., 2005; Gac et al., 2013; Heine et al., 2008; Leeder, 1991; Vauchez et al., 1998).

The multiscale and multidisciplinary analysis performed in this study enable us to document a model of Paleozoic intracratonic central Saharan basins that couples basin architecture and basement structures (Fig. 17). While we do not provide any quantitative explanations for the dynamics of these basins, our synthesis highlights that their subsidence is not the result of a single process and we attempt to make a check-list here of the properties that a generic model of formation of such basins must capture:

a. The association of syncline-shaped wide basins and neighboring arches (i.e., paleo-highs). The structural framework shows a close association of syncline-shaped basins, interbasin principal to secondary arches, and intra-basin secondary arches (see Fig. 2).

b. By local horst and graben architecture linked to steep-dipping planar normal faults and associated with normal fault-related fold structures (i.e., forced folds; a, Fig. 17a). Locally, the extensional structures are disrupted by positive inversion structures (b, Fig. 17a) or transported normal faults (c, Fig. 17a).

c. A low rate of subsidence ranging between 5 to 50 m Myr⁻¹ (Fig. 14).

d. Long periods of extension and tectonic quiescence are interrupted by brief periods of compression or glaciation/deglaciation events (Beuf et al., 1971; Denis et al., 2007; Le Heron et al., 2006). These periods of compression are possibly related to intraplate compression linked to distal orogenies (i.e., Late Silurian Caledonian event, Late Carboniferous Hercynian, (Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2013) or to intraplate arch uplift related to magmatism (Derder et al., 2016; Fabre, 2005; Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2013; Moreau et al., 1994).

e. Synsedimentary divergent onlaps and local unconformities are identified from integrated seismic data, satellite images, and borehole data (Figs. 5, 6, 7 and 10 to 13). The periods of tectonic activity are characterized by normal to reverse reactivation of border faults, emplacement of wedge-shaped units, and erosional unconformities neighboring the arches.

f. The stratigraphic architecture displays a lateral facies variation and partitioning between distal marine facies infilling the intracratonic basins (i.e., offshore deposits) and proximal amalgamated facies (i.e., fluvio–marine, shoreface) associated with prominent stratigraphic hiatus and erosional unconformities in the vicinity of the arches.

g. A close connection is evidenced between the period of tectonic deformation and the presence of erosional unconformities (i.e., 2, 3, 6, 8, 10 geodynamic events in Fig. 17b). By contrast, the periods of tectonic quiescence and extension are characterized by low lateral facies variations, thin deposits, and the absence of erosional surfaces.

h. The Precambrian heritage corresponds to Archean to PaleoProterozoic terranes identified in the Hoggar Massif and reactivated during the Meso-Proterozoic Pan-African cycle (Fig. 1). The Precambrian lithospheric heterogeneity illustrated by the different characteristics of Precambrian terranes (wavelength, age, nature, fault zones) spatially control the emplacement of the syncline-shaped intracratonic basins underlain by Meso–NeoProterozoic oceanic terranes and the arches underlain by Archean to PaleoProterozoic continental terranes (Figs. 1, 2 and 16). Many authors suggest control of the basement fabrics is inherited from the Pan-African orogeny in the Saharan basins (Beuf et al., 1968b, 1971; Boote et al., 1998; Carruba et al., 2014; Coward and Ries, 2003; Eschard et al., 2010; Guiraud et al., 2005; Sharata et al., 2015).

9 Conclusions

Our integrated approach using both geophysical (seismic, gravity, aeromagnetic, etc.) and geological (well, seismic, satellite images, etc.) data has enabled us to decrypt the characteristics of the intracratonic Paleozoic Saharan basins and the control of the heterogeneous lithospheric heritage of the
horst and graben architecture, low rate subsidence, and the association of long-lived broad synclines and anticlines (i.e., arches swells, domes, highs or ridges) with very different wavelengths (λ) (tens to hundreds of kilometers). A coupled basin architecture and basement structures model is proposed (Fig. 17).

This study highlights a tight control of the heterogeneous lithofacies zonation over the structuring of the intracratonic central Saharan Basin. This particular type of basin is characterized by a low rate of subsidence and fault activation controlling the homogeneity of sedimentary facies and the distribution of the main unconformities. The low rate activation of vertical mega-shear zones bounding the intracratonic basin during Paleozoic times contrasts markedly with classic rift kinematics and architecture. Three different periods of tectonic compressional pulses (i.e., Caledonian, Middle to Late Devonian, and pre-Hercynian), extension, and quiescence are identified and controlled the sedimentary distribution (Fig. 17). An understanding of tectono-sedimentary interaction is key to understanding the distribution of the Paleozoic petroleum reservoirs of this first-order oil province.
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