Exploratory classification of clinical phenotypes in Japanese patients with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis using cluster analysis
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A novel patient cluster in antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV) may be identified in Japan. We performed multiple correspondence and cluster analysis regarding 427 clinically diagnosed AAV patients excluding eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis. Model 1 included the ANCA phenotype, items of the Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score, and interstitial lung disease; model 2 included serum creatinine (s-Cr) and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels with model 1 components. In seven clusters determined in model 1, the ANCA-negative (n = 8) and proteinase 3-ANCA-positive (n = 41) groups emerged as two distinct clusters. The other five myeloperoxidase-ANCA-positive clusters were characterized by ear, nose, and throat (ENT) (n = 47); cutaneous (n = 36); renal (n = 256), non-renal (n = 33); and both ENT and cutaneous symptoms (n = 6). Four clusters in model 2 were characterized by myeloperoxidase-ANCA negativity (n = 42), without s-Cr elevation (< 1.3 mg/dL) (n = 157), s-Cr elevation (≥ 1.3 mg/dL) with high CRP (> 10 mg/dL) (n = 71), or s-Cr elevation (≥ 1.3 mg/dL) without high CRP (≤ 10 mg/dL) (n = 157). Overall, renal, and relapse-free survival rates were significantly different across the four clusters in model 2. ENT, cutaneous, and renal symptoms may be useful in characterization of Japanese AAV patients with myeloperoxidase-ANCA. The combination of s-Cr and CRP levels may be predictive of prognosis.
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Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV) is a multisystem autoimmune disease characterized by ANCA production and small- and medium-sized blood vessel inflammation. Eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA), Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA), and microscopic polyangiitis (MPA), are the major categories of AAV, and proteinase 3 (PR3) and myeloperoxidase (MPO) are two major antigens of ANCA. PR3-ANCA is generally regarded as a marker for granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA), while MPO-ANCA is for microscopic polyangiitis (MPA)/renal-limited vasculitis. Though various classification and diagnostic criteria combining clinical symptoms and ANCA phenotypes have been used in the clinical study and practice, unclassifiable patients are still remain. Moreover, a recent genome-wide association study has revealed that the ANCA phenotype better classified patients with GPA and MPA than clinical classification, suggesting that better classification should be required.

Cluster analysis is a statistical method of exploratory data mining for grouping objects into homogenous groups by their similarity. A previous report using cluster analysis for AAV suggested that ANCA phenotype and renal involvement could better predict prognosis than clinical classification such as granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) and MPA. We previously have reported predominance of MPA and MPO-ANCA positivity as common characteristics in Japan and other East Asian countries, which is in marked contrast to the results of studies previously reported from Western countries. Based on the above mentioned genetic study, there should be marked differences between the genetic backgrounds of AAV patients in Japan and Western countries. Thus, another relevant cluster may be determined in Japan where MPO-ANCA and MPA are dominant among AAV patients.

Although prognostic factors in AAV have not yet fully explored, the Remission Induction Therapy in Japanese Patients with AAV (RemIT-JAV) study revealed that the European Vasculitis Study Group (EUVAS) criteria for disease severity was useful for predicting the prognosis of Japanese patients with AAV, while the Remission Induction Therapy in Japanese Patients with AAV and Rapidly Progressive Glomerulonephritis (RemIT-JAV-RPGN) study added the superior suitability of the Japanese RPGN clinical grading system compared to the EUVAS criteria for disease severity. The Japanese RPGN clinical grading system consists of four components: age, serum creatinine (s-Cr) levels, lung complication, and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels. CRP levels have been reported to be useful for predicting mortality and for distinguishing between active AAV and remission. However, no study has elucidated the characteristics of AAV that are represented by CRP levels.

The objectives of the present study were (1) to explore novel clinical groups of MPA, GPA, and unclassifiable patients using cluster analysis in terms of clinical phenotype or severity assessment; (2) to evaluate the associations between the determined clusters and clinical outcomes; and (3) to elucidate the characteristics of AAV associated with CRP levels.

### Methods

#### Database.  
This study used data from the RemIT-JAV study and RemIT-JAV-RPGN study. Twenty-two tertiary care institutions (university hospitals and referring hospitals) participated in RemIT-JAV, and 53 participated in RemIT-JAV-RPGN. The patients with newly diagnosed AAV were prospectively enrolled from April 2009 to December 2010 into RemIT-JAV and from April 2011 to March 2014 into RemIT-JAV-RPGN. There is not an overlap of patients between the two studies. The criteria for enrolment in RemIT-JAV and RemIT-JAV-RPGN were as follows: (1) clinical diagnosis of AAV by the site investigators, (2) fulfillment of the criteria for primary systemic vasculitis, as proposed by the European Medicines Agency (EMEA) algorithm, and (3) initiation of immunosuppressive treatment based on the discretion of the site investigators. The exclusion criteria in RemIT-JAV and RemIT-JAV-RPGN were (1) an age less than 20 years, (2) serological evidence of hepatitis B or C virus infection, and (3) a history of malignancy. The classification of AAV was conducted based on EMEA algorithm.

#### Clinical variables.  
Data from the two studies were merged in a single dataset. Forty-two patients with EGPA were excluded because EGPA phenotypes are markedly different from phenotypes presented by other types of AAV patients. We also excluded eight patients whose PR3-ANCA results were unavailable at diagnosis.
In the present study, nine items of the Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score (BVAS) 2003, ILD, s-Cr levels, serum CRP levels, and the ANCA phenotype (proteinase-3 (PR3)-ANCA or MPO-ANCA) were used as clinical variables. Interstitial lung disease (ILD) was confirmed radiologically. The BVAS 2003 includes following symptoms: general; cutaneous; mucous membrane/eye; ear, nose and throat (ENT); chest; cardiovascular; abdominal; renal; and nervous system symptoms. ILD was selected as a candidate clinical variable because of the high prevalence in our cohorts. The patients enrolled in RemIT-JAV and RemIT-JAV-RPGN were evaluated at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months after diagnosis and at the time of relapse. We collected the following outcome measures: remission rate, overall survival rate, end-stage renal disease (ESRD)-free survival rate, and relapse rate. Remission was defined as BVAS = 0 (new or worse) on two consecutive occasions that occurred at least one month apart. ESRD was defined as dependence on dialysis or an irreversible increase in s-Cr level of > 5.6 mg/dL (500 μmol/L). Relapse was defined as the recurrence or new onset of clinical signs and symptoms attributable to active vasculitis.

**Statistical analysis.** Cluster analysis was performed based on two models. Model 1 included the nine clinical symptoms considered in the BVAS, PR3-ANCA and MPO-ANCA, and ILD for assessment of the clinical phenotype. Model 2 included laboratory data for two additional characteristics (s-Cr and CRP levels) for the assessment of disease severity. The s-Cr levels were categorized on the basis of the thresholds of the EUVAS criteria for disease severity (1.3 mg/dL (120 μmol/L) and 5.6 mg/dL (500 μmol/L)), and the CRP levels were categorized on the basis of the Japanese RPGN clinical grading system (2.6 mg/dL and 10.0 mg/dL), which could stratify the prognosis in patients with AAV and/or RPGN. There are no missing data regarding these variables among enrolled patients.

At first, multiple correspondence analysis was performed to select candidate variables. Using principal component analysis (PCA), the contribution rate of each variable was calculated according to the distance from principal component 1 and principal component 2. Variables that explained at least 90% of the total contribution rates were included for the cluster analysis. Subsequently, hierarchical clustering based on the Ward method, followed by consolidation (K-means algorithm), was performed using the determined variables. To decide the optimal number of clusters, a dendrogram was plotted in each model. We determined the clusters by the branches and vertical distance based on each dendrogram. A dominant clinical feature (> 75% or 0% of patients in each cluster) was used to name each cluster.

For evaluation of the discrimination ability of the determined characteristics in each cluster, classification tree analysis was conducted subsequently. The predictive accuracies of the algorithms were calculated using the observed numbers of individuals allocated to the predicted classes. The overall survival, ESRD-free survival, cumulative remission, and relapse rates were analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method and the log-rank test across the determined clusters.

To explore the clinical symptoms associated with the serum CRP levels, multiple linear regression analysis was performed using stepwise backward selection to minimize the Bayesian information criterion. Among all 63 items of the BVAS and ILD, the items observed in > 5% of enrolled patients were used as candidate variables. The prevalence of each BVAS item or ILD is shown in Supplementary Table S1.

All statistical analyses were performed by a biostatistician using the JMP version 10.0.2 statistical package for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) or R 3.2.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). A two-tailed P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. When comparing seven or four clusters, the statistical significance was determined by P < 0.05/7 or P < 0.05/4 by the Bonferroni correction to adjust for multiple testing.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate.** This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences (authorization number: No. 1909-016), and conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the Ethical Guidelines for Epidemiological Research in Japan. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant, and the study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of each participating hospital. The RemIT-JAV study and RemIT-JAV-RPGN study were registered with the University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trials Registry (UMIN000001648 and 000005136).

**Results**

**Patient characteristics and clinical outcomes.** Of 477 patients with AAV enrolled in the two cohort studies, 427 patients were enrolled in the present study (142 patients from the RemIT-JAV and 285 patients from the RemIT-JAV-RPGN study, Supplementary Fig. S1). The enrolled patient characteristics are shown in Supplementary Table S2. Among enrolled 427 patients, fifteen patients showed positive results for both types of ANCA. Remission was achieved in 88% (n = 376) of the enrolled patients, and relapses occurred among 15% (n = 57) of the remitted patients. During the median (IQR) observational periods of 730 (654–730) days, 47 deaths and 46 ESRDs were reported.

**Cluster analysis in model 1.** On the basis of the contribution rates of the candidate variables in model 1 by PCA, eight variables were selected with 91% of total contribution rates: MPO-ANCA, PR3-ANCA, ENT symptoms, nervous system symptoms, general symptoms, renal symptoms, cutaneous symptoms, and ILD (Supplementary Table S3). By the dendrogram of model 1, seven clusters were suggested (Supplementary Fig. S2). Patient characteristics were compared across seven clusters, as presented in Table 1. Cluster 1 was characterized by PR3-ANCA positivity (34 of 41, 82.9%) and Cluster 2 by ANCA negativity (8 of 8, 100%). All patients in the other five clusters were MPO-ANCA-positive. Among the five clusters of MPO-ANCA-positive patients, Cluster...
Table 1. Comparison of patient characteristics across seven clusters based on model 1. Values expressed as the number of patients or median (interquartile). CRP C-reactive protein, GPA granulomatosis with polyangiitis, ILD interstitial lung disease, MPA microscopic polyangiitis, MPO-ANCA myeloperoxidase-antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, PR3-ANCA proteinase-3-antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody.

| Cluster | Cluster 1 (n = 41) | Cluster 2 (n = 8) | Cluster 3 (n = 47) | Cluster 4 (n = 36) | Cluster 5 (n = 256) | Cluster 6 (n = 33) | Cluster 7 (n = 6) |
|---------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| PR3-ANCA (+) | 34/22 (82.9) | 0/0 | 40 (86) | 5 (14) | 33 (100) | 2 (67) | 6 (100) |
| ANCA (−) | 2 (5) | 0 | 3 (6) | 1 (3) | 2 (6) | 0 | 0 |
| GPA (%) | 34 (83) | 34 (72) | 2 (6) | 26 (90) | 114 (45) | 125 (38) | 4 (67) |
| MPA (%) | 3 (7) | 2 (25) | 12 (26) | 25 (69) | 218 (85) | 7 (21) | 3 (50) |
| Unclassifiable (%) | 4 (10) | 4 (50) | 1 (2) | 9 (25) | 27 (11) | 25 (76) | 1 (17) |
| MPO-ANCA (%) | 2 (5) | 0 | 36 (100) | 256 (100) | 33 (100) | 6 (100) | 1 (17) |
| Female/male | 22/19 | 3/5 | 22/14 | 141/115 | 23/10 | 1/3 | 2 (33) |
| Age (years) | 63 (58–74) | 64 (60–73) | 72 (62–79) | 69 (59–76) | 73 (65–79) | 75 (68–80) | 71 (62–75) |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dL) | 0.79 (0.6–1.2) | 0.64 (0.57–0.71) | 1.2 (0.83–3.5) | 0.96 (0.66–1.9) | 2.1 (0.97–4.0) | 0.67 (0.57–0.82) | 0.99 (0.75–1.6) |
| CRP (mg/dL) | 3.9 (1.2–11) | 4.3 (1.2–11) | 11 (5.6–15) | 7.4 (2.6–12) | 6.5 (1.4–12) | 8.1 (4.6–12) | 9.3 (0.44–16.3) |
| ILD (%) | 3 (7) | 3 (38) | 12 (26) | 8 (22) | 126 (49) | 28 (85) | 5 (83) |
| General (%) | 26 (63) | 8 (100) | 37 (79) | 29 (81) | 155 (61) | 25 (76) | 4 (67) |
| Cutaneous (%) | 4 (10) | 4 (50) | 36 (100) | 8 (3) | 1 (3) | 6 (100) | 1 (17) |
| Mucous membranes/eyes (%) | 15 (37) | 1 (13) | 14 (30) | 2 (6) | 16 (6) | 2 (6) | 0 |
| Ear nose and throat (%) | 33 (80) | 2 (25) | 47 (100) | 1 (3) | 0 | 0 | 6 (100) |
| Chest (%) | 18 (44) | 2 (25) | 29 (62) | 12 (36) | 98 (38) | 9 (27) | 3 (50) |
| Cardiovascular (%) | 3 (7) | 0 | 3 (6) | 3 (8) | 25 (10) | 0 | 0 |
| Abdominal (%) | 1 (2) | 0 | 0 | 3 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Renal (%) | 22 (54) | 1 (13) | 37 (79) | 28 (78) | 256 (100) | 0 | 4 (67) |
| Nervous system (%) | 12 (29) | 7 (88) | 21 (45) | 21 (58) | 62 (24) | 9 (27) | 4 (67) |

Figure 1. Classification tree analysis of 427 patients with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis in model 1. The dominant clinical feature (over 80%) is used to name each class. The observed number of individuals allocated to each class is shown in each column. The algorithm was initiated on the basis of ANCA positivity and was subsequently allocated according to organ involvement. Among 47 patients with PR3-ANCA, 34 were assigned to Cluster 1. Among 15 patients with ANCA negativity, 8 were assigned to Cluster 2. Among 49 patients with MPO-ANCA and ENT symptoms, 48 were assigned to Cluster 3 or 7. Among 43 patients with MPO-ANCA and skin symptoms, 34 were assigned to Cluster 4. The rest were assigned to predicted clusters by cluster analysis. The overall concordance rate was 93%. ENT ear, nose and throat, ILD interstitial lung disease, MPO-ANCA myeloperoxidase-antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, PR3-ANCA proteinase-3-antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody.
no significant differences were found between any two clusters (Supplementary Fig. S3B). Cumulative remission rates did not differ (P = 0.173, Supplementary Fig. S5A), but relapse-free survival was significantly different across the four clusters (P = 0.023, Supplementary Fig. S5B). Cluster 2 exhibited worse relapse-free survival rates compared with Cluster 1 (P = 0.006 and P = 0.009, respectively, Supplementary Fig. S5B). When comparing MPO-ANCA negative patients (Cluster 1) with other MPO-ANCA positive clusters, the remission and overall survival rates showed no statistical difference (P = 0.585 and P = 0.065) while ESRD-free survival and relapse-free survival rates were better in MPO-ANCA negative cluster compared to other MPO-ANCA positive clusters (P = 0.020 and P = 0.014).

The overall survival rates differed significantly across the four clusters (P < 0.001, Fig. 4A). Cluster 2 (MPO-ANCA-positive renal patients with high CRP) showed a worse survival rate compared to Cluster 1 (MPO-ANCA-negative, P = 0.002) and Cluster 3 (MPO-ANCA-positive non-renal patients, P < 0.001, Fig. 4A). The ESRD-free survival rate also differed significantly among the 4 clusters (P < 0.001, Fig. 4B), and Cluster 2 exhibited a worse ESRD-free survival rate compared to Cluster 1 (P < 0.001) and Cluster 3 (P < 0.001). The cumulative remission rates did not differ (P = 0.173, Supplementary Fig. S5A), but relapse-free survival was significantly different across the four clusters of model 2 (P = 0.023, Supplementary Fig. S5B). Cluster 2 and Cluster 3 exhibited worse relapse-free survival rates compared with Cluster 1 (P = 0.006 and P = 0.009, respectively, Supplementary Fig. S5B). When comparing MPO-ANCA negative patients (Cluster 1) with other MPO-ANCA positive clusters, the remission and overall survival rates showed no statistical difference (P = 0.585 and P = 0.065) while ESRD-free survival and relapse-free survival rates were better in MPO-ANCA negative cluster compared to other MPO-ANCA positive clusters (P = 0.020 and P = 0.014).
Table 2. Comparison of patient characteristics across four clusters based on model 2. Values expressed as the number of patients or median (interquartile). **CRP** C-reactive protein, **GPA** granulomatosis with polyangiitis, **ILD** interstitial lung disease, **MPA** microscopic polyangiitis, **MPO-ANCA** myeloperoxidase-antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, **PR3-ANCA** proteinase-3-antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody.

| Cluster 1 MPO-ANCA (−) (n = 42) | Cluster 2 Renal with high CRP (n = 71) | Cluster 3 Non-renal (n = 157) | Cluster 4 Renal without high CRP (n = 157) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Female/male                     | 22/20                                  | 32/39                        | 96/61                                    |
| Ages (years)                    | 63 (59–73)                             | 73 (65–79)                   | 73 (64–78)                               |
| GPA (%)                         | 34 (81)                                | 19 (27)                      | 23 (15)                                  |
| MPA (%)                         | 5 (12)                                 | 49 (69)                      | 84 (53)                                  |
| Unclassifiable (%)              | 3 (7)                                  | 3 (4)                        | 50 (32)                                  |
| MPO + ANCA (%)                  | 3 (7)                                  | 71 (100)                     | 150 (96)                                 |
| PR3-ANCA (%)                    | 33 (79)                                | 6 (9)                        | 5 (3)                                    |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dL)        | 0.8 (0.6–1.4)                          | 2.9 (1.6–4.6)                | 0.76 (0.62–1.0)                          |
| < 1.3 (%)                       | 30 (71)                                | 8 (11)                       | 140 (89)                                 |
| ≥ 1.3, < 5.5 (%)                | 11 (26)                                | 47 (66)                      | 17 (11)                                  |
| ≥ 5.5 (%)                       | 1 (2)                                  | 16 (23)                      | 0                                        |
| CRP (mg/dL)                     | 3.9 (1–11)                             | 13 (11–17)                   | 9.6 (6.1–13)                             |
| < 2.6 (%)                       | 14 (33)                                | 0                            | 7 (4)                                    |
| ≥ 2.6, ≤ 10 (%)                 | 15 (36)                                | 10 (14)                      | 74 (47)                                  |
| > 10 (%)                        | 13 (31)                                | 61 (86)                      | 76 (48)                                  |
| ILD (%)                         | 6 (14)                                 | 31 (44)                      | 86 (55)                                  |
| General (%)                     | 26 (62)                                | 60 (85)                      | 140 (89)                                 |
| Cutaneous (%)                   | 5 (12)                                 | 12 (17)                      | 32 (20)                                  |
| Mucous membranes/eyes (%)       | 18 (43)                                | 8 (11)                       | 19 (12)                                  |
| Ear nose and throat (%)         | 34 (81)                                | 23 (32)                      | 19 (12)                                  |
| Chest (%)                       | 18 (43)                                | 49 (69)                      | 56 (36)                                  |
| Cardiovascular (%)              | 3 (7)                                  | 14 (20)                      | 3 (2)                                    |
| Abdominal (%)                   | 1 (2)                                  | 2 (3)                        | 1 (1)                                    |
| Renal (%)                       | 24 (57)                                | 71 (100)                     | 105 (67)                                 |
| Nervous system (%)              | 13 (31)                                | 27 (38)                      | 85 (54)                                  |

**Figure 3.** Classification tree analysis of 427 patients with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis in model 2. The dominant clinical feature (over 75%) is used to name each class. The observed number of individuals allocated to each class is shown in each column. The algorithm was initiated on the basis of MPO-ANCA positivity and was subsequently allocated by s-Cr and CRP levels. Among 47 patients with MPO-ANCA negativity, 39 were assigned to Cluster 1. Among 178 MPO-ANCA-positive patients without s-Cr elevation, 133 were assigned to Cluster 3. Among 65 MPO-ANCA-positive patients with s-Cr elevation and high CRP, 54 were assigned to Cluster 3. Among the remaining 137 patients with s-Cr elevation and without high CRP, 117 were assigned to Cluster 4. The overall concordance rate was 80%. **CRP** C-reactive protein, **MPO-ANCA** myeloperoxidase-antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, **s-Cr** serum creatinine.
Association between CRP and clinical symptoms. We explored the clinical symptoms associated with the CRP level using BVAS items and ILD. Univariate regression analysis revealed that ‘fever’, ‘weight loss’, ‘myalgia’, ‘arthralgia/arthritis’, ‘conductive hearing loss’, ‘pleural effusion/pleurisy’, ‘infiltrate’, ‘massive hemoptysis/alveolar hemorrhage’, ‘sensory peripheral neuropathy’, and ‘mononeuritis multiplex’ associated with CRP levels. A multiple linear regression analysis exhibited that fever (β coefficient (95% confidence interval) 2.1 (1.5–2.6)), myalgia (2.5 (2.0–3.1)), massive hemoptysis/alveolar hemorrhage (1.3 (0.4–2.2)), and mononeuritis multiplex (1.2 (0.5–2.0)) independently associated with CRP levels (Supplementary Table S5). Renal symptoms showed no association with CRP levels.

Discussion

This is the first study that classified Japanese AAV patients by cluster analysis and evaluated the prognosis among the determined clusters. In the first model, seven distinct clinical clusters were identified: ENT, cutaneous, non-renal, and renal in MPO-ANCA-positive patients; PR3-ANCA-positive patients; and ANCA-negative patients. Moreover, clusters separated on the basis of the CRP and s-Cr levels predicted prognosis with respect to overall, ESRD-free, and relapse-free survival rates. Further, this is the first study to evaluate the symptoms which contribute to the CRP level, and the analysis suggested that the CRP level represented general, pulmonary, and neural symptoms but not renal symptoms.

Although the ANCA phenotype and the ENT, cutaneous, and renal symptoms are universal and specific features of AAV, it is possible that the priority for each feature in the classification of AAV is different among different ethnicities or regions. In this study, PR3-ANCA-positive and ANCA-negative patients were first separated. A previous report from a Western country demonstrated that PR3-ANCA positivity was used to classify patients with GPA and MPA into two clusters in the final branch of classification5. In that study, 56% of patients was PR3-ANCA-positive and 32% was MPO-ANCA-positive, while, in our study, 89% was MPO-ANCA-positive but only 11% was PR3-ANCA-positive. Although the importance of the ANCA phenotype in our study was consistent with that in the previous study, this apparent difference in ANCA positivity might determine the distinction between two clusters according to the ANCA phenotype ahead of clinical symptoms5. Subsequently, patients with ENT symptoms were classified among MPO-ANCA-positive patients. Although ENT symptoms are generally regarded as surrogate markers for GPA11, which is characterized by PR3-ANCA, there are several reports regarding MPO-ANCA-positive GPA, in which the majority of patients show ENT symptoms, consistent with our results12,13. Recently, the notion of otitis media with AAV (OMAAV) irrespective of the ANCA phenotype has emerged20, which frequently accompanies facial palsy and hypertrophic pachymeningitis. Thus, ENT symptoms may be a surrogate clinical marker for AAV even in MPO-ANCA-positive AAV. Cutaneous vasculitis was also reported to be associated with general, renal, pulmonary, and nervous system symptoms20,21. Indeed, the cutaneous cluster showed a tendency toward worse overall survival in our study. Therefore, patients with cutaneous symptoms should be carefully evaluated for systemic organ involvements. Finally, clusters with and without...
renal symptoms were separated. In our previous report, using a different cohort, renal vasculitis was observed in 75% of MPO-ANCA-positive AAV. In the present study, we have been able to confirm that renal symptoms are specific for MPO-ANCA-positive MPA and GPA.

s-Cr and CRP levels could be good biomarkers for the prediction of prognosis. In the present study, patients with ≥ 1.3 mg/dL (120 μmol/L) of s-Cr levels showed poor overall and ESRD-free survival. Patients with < 1.3 mg/dL of s-Cr levels were categorized as having the localized type or early systemic type of AAV. The BVAS has categorized s-Cr levels into 3 groups: 1.4 mg/dL (125 μmol/L)–2.8 mg/dL (249 μmol/L), 2.8 mg/dL (250 μmol/L)–5.6 mg/dL (499 μmol/L), and ≥ 5.6 mg/dL (500 μmol/L). The 1996 Five-Factor Score also included s-Cr levels of > 1.6 mg/dL as the indicator for renal insufficiency. Although previous reports have shown that better renal function at diagnosis is associated with improved survival and renal outcome, the presence of renal insufficiency might be more important than the severity of renal insufficiency for the prediction of prognosis in patients with AAV. In addition to the s-Cr levels, the CRP levels could differentiate the prognosis of AAV. Previous reports have shown that an increase in CRP levels before treatment of AAV was associated with relapse and mortality. Though the appropriate cut-off of CRP for the prediction of AAV outcomes had not been determined, we have reported the utility of the Japanese RPGN clinical grading system for predicting prognosis, which consists of age, s-Cr levels, lung complication, and CRP levels where the CRP levels were separated by 2.6 mg/dL and 10.0 mg/dL. In the present study, we were able to validate these cut-offs of CRP levels. Further studies are needed to confirm the roles of s-Cr and CRP levels as biomarkers for prognosis in patients with AAV.

CRP is a surrogate marker for important organ involvements, except for renal symptoms. The CRP levels have not been observed to increase in patients with glomerulonephritis including IgA nephropathy, membranous nephropathy, and minimal change disease compared to that in controls. Consistent with these previous observations, the CRP levels associated with fever, myalgia, and pulmonary and neurological vasculitis but not with renal symptoms in the present study. Although several previous reports have described that CRP could be a biomarker in the patients with systemic lupus erythematosus or AAV with renal involvements, CRP levels may be related to organ involvements other than the kidney in the patients with AAV. Therefore, it could be rational and relevant to perform a combined evaluation of s-Cr and CRP as indicators of the severity of renal and non-renal symptoms, respectively, for predicting prognosis in patients with AAV. Importantly, these biomarkers are modifiable by treatment. Hence, future research should elucidate whether improvements in CRP and/or s-Cr levels by treatments can predict prognosis.

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, we could not validate our classification models. Different populations are required for the validation of our results, which will be conducted in the near future. Second, MPO-ANCA-positive MPA is dominant in the population of Japan in contrast to the dominance of PR3-ANCA in the Western population. However, this point might be strength of our study because new insights for clinical characteristics were provided by different cluster analyses in Western populations. Third, AAV was diagnosed clinically by the site investigators, though all patients were fulfilled the criteria for primary systemic vasculitis and classified by the EMEA algorithm. Forth, the treatment strategy was decided at the discretion of each attending physician; therefore, it is possible that patients with higher s-Cr levels were treated intensively, leading to underestimation of outcomes. Nevertheless, patients with higher s-Cr levels showed worse overall and renal survival, supporting the relevance of renal vasculitis in AAV. Fifth, BVAS items with low prevalence were excluded from the analysis of the association with CRP levels. Among the excluded items, congestive cardiac failure, peritonitis, bloody diarrhea, and ischemic abdominal pain have been reportedly related to survival in patients with AAV. Therefore, careful workup for these cardiovascular and abdominal involvements may be necessary, regardless of CRP levels.

Conclusions

In summary, we have identified novel clusters of AAV among Japanese patients. We have also identified s-Cr level, CRP level, and MPO-ANCA negativity as prognostic biomarkers for overall, ESRD-free, and relapse-free survival. Further, we found that CRP was associated with non-renal symptoms such as general, pulmonary and nervous system symptoms but not with renal symptoms.
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