Congenital Nystagmus Gene FRMD7 Is Necessary for Establishing a Neuronal Circuit Asymmetry for Direction Selectivity
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In Brief
Yonehara et al. show that FRMD7, a gene that is defective in human congenital nystagmus, is required in the mouse retina to establish spatially asymmetric inhibitory inputs from starburst cells to horizontal direction-selective ganglion cells.
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SUMMARY

Neuronal circuit asymmetries are important components of brain circuits, but the molecular pathways leading to their establishment remain unknown. Here we found that the mutation of FRMD7, a gene that is defective in human congenital nystagmus, leads to the selective loss of the horizontal optokinetic reflex in mice, as it does in humans. This is accompanied by the selective loss of horizontal direction selectivity in retinal ganglion cells and the transition from asymmetric to symmetric inhibitory input to horizontal direction-selective ganglion cells. In wild-type retinas, we found FRMD7 specifically expressed in starburst amacrine cells, the interneuron type that provides asymmetric inhibition to direction-selective retinal ganglion cells. This work identifies FRMD7 as a key regulator in establishing a neuronal circuit asymmetry, and it suggests the involvement of a specific inhibitory neuron type in the pathophysiology of a neurological disease.

INTRODUCTION

Neuronal circuit asymmetries are important building blocks of the nervous system. Sensory circuits rely on circuit asymmetries to detect external features, like the position of sound sources, the orientation of visual objects, or the direction of visual motion.

In both invertebrates and vertebrates, neurons have been identified that respond selectively to the direction of visual motion as follows: vigorously to motion in a preferred direction, but only weakly to motion in the opposite null direction (Borst and Euler, 2011). Direction-selective neurons are already present at the sensory periphery, in the lobula and lobular plate of flies and in the retina of vertebrates (Borst and Helmstaedter, 2015). Retinal direction-selective neurons have preferred directions and corresponding circuit asymmetries along the cardinal directions. Due to their accessibility for physiological recordings and genetic manipulation, these circuits serve as model systems for understanding the formation of neuronal circuit asymmetries (Wei and Feller, 2011). However, the molecules establishing the asymmetry of direction-selective circuits along the cardinal axes remain unknown.

A potential source for identifying candidate molecules involved in the development of cardinal direction selectivity are monogenic diseases, which disrupt human visual behaviors that depend on the activity of direction-selective retinal cells. A visually guided behavior that relies on the activity of retinal direction-selective neurons is the optokinetic reflex (Osterhout et al., 2015; Oyster et al., 1972; Sun et al., 2015; Yoshida et al., 2001). The optokinetic reflex is initiated by a visual scene drifting on the retina, which triggers the eye to follow it, thus keeping the image stable on the retina. The optokinetic reflex works together with the vestibulo-ocular reflex, in which eye movement is initiated by head or body motion, to stabilize the gaze while the animal moves its head or entire body (Schweigart et al., 1997). These two reflexes, driven by visual and body motions, are complementary. The optokinetic reflex dominates gaze stabilization at lower speeds and the vestibular reflex does so at higher...
preferred directions along the vertical axis have been recorded on-off DS cells (Kay et al., 2011); the NOT/DTN complex receives retinal inputs from superior and inferior motion-preferring on DS cells (Dhande et al., 2013). Direction-selective responses with retinal inputs from temporal motion-preferring on and on-off DS cells (van Alphen et al., 2001; Faulstich et al., 2004). The optokinetic reflex can be separated from the vestibulo-ocular reflex if the head is fixed in place (Bryan and Angelaki, 2009).

A neurological disease in which the optokinetic reflex is disturbed is idiopathic congenital nystagmus. Individuals with idiopathic congenital nystagmus, which occurs in 1 in 1,500 humans, have impaired eye movements resulting in impaired vision (Gottlob and Proudlock, 2014). In 70% of the detected cases, mutations in the FRMD7 gene on the X chromosome have been reported (Tarpey et al., 2006). Individuals without a functional FRMD7 allele have involuntary horizontal eye oscillations (nystagmus) and lack the optokinetic reflex along the horizontal axis (Thomas et al., 2008, 2011). In contrast, along the vertical axis no nystagmus can be observed and the optokinetic reflex is unaffected. The symptoms begin in early childhood at an age of 2–3 months. While FRMD7 expression has been localized to the retina and the vestibular system (Tarpey et al., 2006; Thomas et al., 2011), the neuronal circuit dysfunction responsible for the symptoms of the disease is unknown.

In the retina of mammals, including mice, three classes of direction-selective ganglion cells (DS cells) have been described as follows: on-off DS cells, on DS cells, and off DS cells (Sanes and Masland, 2015). The on-off cells respond to both light increments and decrements, while on cells respond only to increments and off cells only to decrements. The on-off DS cells consist of four types with preferred directions corresponding to each of the four cardinal directions (inferior, superior, temporal, and nasal; note that throughout the text the direction of motion is defined based on the direction of motion on the retina). The on DS cells can be classified into three types, with preferred motion directions being inferior, superior, and temporal. The off DS cells prefer motion in the inferior direction. Most on DS cells and a type of on-off DS cell are tuned to slow motion, while most on-off DS cells and a group of on DS cell prefer faster motion (Dhande et al., 2013; Gauvain and Murphy, 2015). DS cell types in the mouse retina are genetically determined populations of neurons: they can be labeled by distinct molecular markers and they form retinal mosaics (Sanes and Masland, 2015).

It has been suggested that slow-motion-tuned DS cells are the main source of direction-selective input driving the optokinetic reflex in response to slow drifts of the visual scene (Oyster et al., 1972). Indeed, the optokinetic reflex is lost when retinal direction selectivity is abolished by genetic ablation of starburst cells, which are a key circuit component of the retinal direction-selective circuit (Yoshida et al., 2001). Slow-motion-tuned on and on-off DS cells project their axons to the nuclei of the accessory optic system (Dhande et al., 2013; Yonehara et al., 2009), which consists of the medial terminal nucleus (MTN), the lateral terminal nucleus (LTN), and the nucleus of the optic tract (NOT/ dorsal terminal nucleus (DTN) complex (Giolli et al., 2006; Simpson, 1984; Figure 6C). In mice, the MTN receives retinal inputs from superior and inferior motion-preferring on DS cells (Dhande et al., 2013; Yonehara et al., 2009), and inferior motion-preferring on-off DS cells (Kay et al., 2011); the NOT/DTN complex receives retinal inputs from temporal motion-preferring on and on-off DS cells (Dhande et al., 2013). Direction-selective responses with preferred directions along the vertical axis have been recorded in the MTN and LTN, while responses with preferred directions along the horizontal axis have been recorded in the NOT/DTN complex (Soodak and Simpson, 1988). Activity in the NOT/DTN complex has been shown to be required selectively for the horizontal optokinetic reflex (Hoffmann and Fischer, 2001), while MTN activity is required for the vertical optokinetic reflex (Sun et al., 2015). The accessory optic system is conserved across species, as the MTN and NOT/DTN have been anatomically identified in a number of species including mouse, rabbit, cat, monkey, and human (Giolli et al., 2006; Simpson, 1984).

The retinal circuitry underlying the direction-selective responses of on-off and on DS cells has been investigated in detail. DS cells receive excitatory input from glutamatergic bipolar cells, as well as inhibitory and excitatory inputs from starburst amacrine cells. Starburst cells release both GABA and acetylcholine (Vaney et al., 2012). The glutamatergic excitatory input from bipolar cells and the cholinergic excitatory input, which likely arrives via paracrine secretion from starburst cells (Briggman et al., 2011), are not direction selective (Lee et al., 2010; Yonehara et al., 2013; but see Pei et al., 2015). The GABAergic inhibitory input from starburst cells is spatially asymmetric: in response to motion in the null direction, inhibitory input is maximal; in response to motion in the preferred direction, inhibitory input is minimal (Vaney et al., 2012). Furthermore, active integration mechanisms in the dendrites of DS cells sharpen the spiking output of DS cells (Oesch et al., 2005; Sivyer and Williams, 2013; Trenholm et al., 2014). With the exception of the responses of a single on-off DS cell type to slow motion (Trenholm et al., 2011) and the responses of the off DS cell type (Kim et al., 2008), the inhibitory input from starburst cells is necessary for the direction-selective responses of DS cells (Fried et al., 2002; Yoshida et al., 2001).

The direction selectivity of the inhibitory input to DS cells relies on two features of the retinal circuit. The first feature is an asymmetric neurotransmitter release from starburst cells. Starburst cell processes radiate away from the soma; they act both as dendrites, receiving input from bipolar cells and other starburst cells, and as axons, providing input to DS cells and other starburst cells (Famiglietti, 1991; Kim et al., 2014). A starburst cell process preferentially releases GABA if motion occurs in a centrifugal direction along the process, from the soma to the tip (Euler et al., 2002). This asymmetric release could be due to inputs from different types of bipolar cells with different temporal characteristics along the starburst cell process (Kim et al., 2014), an excitability gradient (Gavrikov et al., 2003; Hausselt et al., 2007), or inhibitory interactions between starburst cells (Lee and Zhou, 2006). This asymmetry is radial, centered on each starburst soma, and likely has no information about the cardinal directions. Therefore, the disruption of its development would likely result in a decrease in direction selectivity along all four cardinal directions. The second circuit feature, on which direction selectivity relies, is the spatially asymmetric inhibitory connectivity between starburst cells and DS cell types (Briggman et al., 2011; Fried et al., 2002). The angle of a starburst cell process relative to the cardinal directions in the retina determines the connectivity between the starburst cell process and the DS cell type (Figures 1A and 1B). For instance, starburst processes that point nasally connect to DS cell types preferring temporal motion, and starburst processes that point superiorly connect to DS types preferring inferior motion (Briggman et al., 2011). This
spatially asymmetric connectivity is believed to be necessary for defining cardinal direction selectivity. Developmental disruption could potentially result in the loss of direction selectivity in specific directions or combinations of directions.

Spatially asymmetric inhibitory connectivity between starburst cells and DS cells forms independent of visual activity or spontaneous retinal waves (Eistrott et al., 2008), and occurs rapidly between postnatal day 6 (P6) and eye opening, from previously established symmetric inputs (Wei et al., 2011; Yonehara et al., 2011). Molecules responsible for positioning DS cell dendrites, for establishing bipolar cell input, and for defining the morphology and spacing of starburst cells have been described already (Duan et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2013), and molecules responsible for creating centrifugal direction selectivity in starburst cell processes have been proposed (Gavrikov et al., 2003). While disruption of some of these molecules results in decreased tuning of direction-selective responses (Sun et al., 2013), no molecules have been identified that are necessary for motion detection in specific directions (Duan et al., 2014; Gavrikov et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2013). Therefore, the molecular pathway responsible for setting up the circuit asymmetry along the cardinal directions has remained unidentified.

Recently, using a transcriptional map of adult retinal cell types in mice, we found that FRMD7, the gene in which mutations result in the lack of the horizontal optokinetic reflex in humans, is enriched in starburst cells (Siegert et al., 2012), suggesting that direction-selective circuits in the retina could be involved in the disease. The FRMD7 gene encodes a member of the FERM domain family of proteins (Moleirinho et al., 2013) and has been implicated in the reorganization of the cytoskeleton (Pu et al., 2013). Here we investigate a potential link between the function of FRMD7, the development of retina direction selectivity, and the lack of the horizontal optokinetic reflex in FRMD7-based congenital nystagmus.

RESULTS

FRMD7 Is Required for the Horizontal Optokinetic Reflex in Mice

We compared the optokinetic reflex and spontaneous eye movements of wild-type and FRMD7 hypomorphic mutant (FRMD7<sup>hm</sup>; Experimental Procedures; Figure S1) mice (Figures 1 and S2). Head-fixed mice were presented with drifting gratings while their eye movements were tracked with a camera. In wild-type mice, a strong optokinetic reflex could be elicited in nasal, temporal, and inferior directions (Figures 1C–1E). The reflex was weak in the superior direction (Figure S2A), as has been reported previously (Yonehara et al., 2009). Similar to human subjects with FRMD7 mutation (Thomas et al., 2011; Figures 1H–1J and S2), FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> mice lacked the horizontal optokinetic reflex, both in the nasal and temporal directions (Figures 1G and 1D; Movie S1), but the vertical inferior optokinetic reflex of FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> mice was similar to that of wild-type mice (Figure 1E). The absence of the horizontal optokinetic reflex did not appear to arise from an inability of the mice to move their eyes horizontally, as we observed spontaneous horizontal eye movements in wild-type and FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> mice (Figures 1F and 1G). Similarly, human subjects with FRMD7 mutation could perform voluntary horizontal eye movements (Figures 1K and 1L). We observed no spontaneous oscillatory eye movements (nystagmus) in FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> mice. These results suggest that one of the symptoms, the lack of the horizontal optokinetic reflex, is shared between FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> mice and human subjects with FRMD7 mutation and that the motor circuits of both humans and mice remain capable of moving the eyes horizontally.

Lack of Horizontal Direction Selectivity in the Retina of FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> Mice

We investigated whether the lack of the horizontal optokinetic reflex is accompanied by altered retinal activity in FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> mice. We recorded the spiking activity of retinal ganglion cells in wild-type and FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> mice using microelectrode arrays. The retina was stimulated with light flashes and bars moving in different directions and at different velocities. Light flashes were used to segregate on and on-off cells, motion in different directions was used to determine direction selectivity, and different velocities were used to differentiate between slow- and fast-motion-prefering DS cells (Experimental Procedures). Retinas of FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> mice responded well to both light flashes and slow and fast motions (Figures S3A–S3C). However, in FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> mice the fractions of cells with direction-selective responses were significantly lower (by 52% and 44%) than in wild-type mice.

Figure 1. Horizontal Optokinetic Reflex Is Absent in FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> Mice and in Human Subjects with FRMD7 Mutation

(A) Retinal cardinal axes are shown.
(B) (Left) A schematic of a starburst cell showing the direction of centrifugal motion (red arrowheads) that evokes transmitter release. (Right) Spatial organization of synaptic connectivity between a starburst cell (center, black) and four types of DS cells, color coded according to their preferred directions (colored arrows), is shown. (C–E) Optokinetic reflex eye movements produced by wild-type (WT, left) and FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> (middle) mice in response to motion in the temporal (top), nasal (middle), and inferior (bottom) directions on the retina. Gray bars represent the motion stimulus and arrows colored according to the color code in (A) indicate the direction of eye movements. The right column shows the quantification of optokinetic reflex eye-tracking movements per minute (ETMs, Supplemental Experimental Procedures) for WT and FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> mice in the three directions. Filled and open arrowheads indicate the slow phase and fast phase of eye movements, respectively. (F and G) Spontaneous horizontal eye movements in WT (F) and FRMD7<sup>hm</sup> (G) mice along horizontal axes. Open and filled arrows indicate eye movements to the left and right, respectively. (H–J) Optokinetic reflex in a control human subject (left) and a subject with FRMD7<sup>mutation</sup> (middle) in response to motion in the temporal (top), nasal (middle), and inferior (bottom) directions on the retina. Gray bars represent the motion stimulus and arrows colored according to the color code in (A) indicate the direction of eye movements. The right column shows the quantification of optokinetic reflex ETMs for control human subjects and for subjects with FRMD7<sup>mutation</sup> in the three directions (Supplemental Experimental Procedures). Filled and open arrowheads indicate slow phase and fast phase of eye movements, respectively. (K–M) Voluntary pursuit movements in a human subject with FRMD7<sup>mutation</sup> in response to the motion protocols as in (H–J). Data are shown as mean ± SEM; n refers to the number of animals in (C–E) and subjects in (H–J).

See also Figures S1 and S2 and Movie S1.
mice when stimulated with fast and slow motions, respectively (Figure S3C).

In wild-type retinas, we recorded direction-selective responses along both the horizontal and the vertical axes: we identified fast-motion-tuned DS cells, preferring motion along the cardinal directions, and slow-motion-tuned DS cells types, preferring superior, inferior, or temporal motion (Figure 2). Similarly, we found on-off DS cells preferring motion along the cardinal directions and on DS cells preferring mainly superior, inferior, or temporal motion (Figures S4A–S4C). Strikingly, in FRMD7tm mice, the fraction of (temporal or nasal) horizontal motion-prefering DS cells decreased by 95% (fast motion) and 93% (slow motion) compared to wild-type mice (Figure 2). The nearly complete lack of direction selectivity along the horizontal axis was found in both on and on-off DS cells (Figures S4A–S4C). Nevertheless, in FRMD7tm mice, the number of vertical motion-prefering direction-selective cells relative to all recorded ganglion cells remained similar to wild-type (Figure 2F). Thus, the loss of FRMD7 leads to the specific loss of horizontal direction-selective responses in the retina.

FRMD7 Is Selectively Expressed in Starburst Cells in the Retina
A transcripational map of adult retinal cell types in mice suggested that FRMD7 is enriched in adult starburst cells (Siegert et al., 2012). To test whether starburst cells specifically express FRMD7, we performed double-label quantitative fluorescence in situ hybridization with antisense probes for FRMD7 mRNA and ChAT mRNA at different developmental times (Figures 3A, 3B, and S5A). ChAT is a specific marker for starburst cells. Both FRMD7 and ChAT expressions were first observed at P3. Once expressed, FRMD7 and ChAT mRNAs were co-localized in the same cells, both in the ganglion cell layer and in the inner nuclear layer. We did not detect signals with control sense probe for FRMD7 mRNA (Figures 3C and S5B).

We obtained further evidence that FRMD7 expression is specific to starburst cells using immunohistochemistry: in FRMD7tm mice, lacZ is inserted into the locus between exons 3 and 4. By performing antibody staining against LacZ and ChAT, we confirmed that the expression of LacZ is restricted to ChAT-positive cells in the retina (Figure S1F). Thus, in the developing and adult retina, FRMD7 is specifically expressed in starburst cells, the key cell type for establishing retinal direction selectivity.

Starburst Cells in FRMD7tm Mice Have Normal Morphology and Stratification
We then tested whether the morphology of starburst cells is affected in FRMD7tm mice. Starburst cell processes stratify into on and off sublayers as early as P3, and bistratified ganglion cell dendrites follow these processes as early as P3–P4 (Stacy and Wong, 2003). The on-off DS cells receive inhibitory input from starburst cells already at P4 (Wei et al., 2011). The density of starburst cell somas, labeled with an antibody against ChAT, was similar in wild-type and FRMD7tm mice, both in the ganglion cell and in the inner plexiform layer (Figures 3D and 3E). Furthermore, starburst cells extended their processes to the same depths in the inner plexiform layer in wild-type and FRMD7tm mice (Figure 3F).

To examine the morphology of individual starburst cells, we sparsely labeled them in both control and FRMD7tm mice. For this we used control Chat-Cre mice and FRMD7tm;Chat-Cre mice and infected the retina in vivo with conditional adeno-associated virus (AAV), expressing a mutant TVA receptor (TVAA667) (Miyamichi et al., 2013), and Enva-coated SAD1G-GFP rabies virus. Confocal imaging of infected starburst cells revealed that the gross morphology of starburst cells, the size of the dendritic field, the symmetry of the processes, and the number of primary processes were similar in control and FRMD7tm mice (Figures 3G and 3H).

To visualize the output synapses of starburst cells, we labeled starburst cells with a fluorescently tagged presynaptic marker in both control and FRMD7tm mice. We infected the retinas of control Chat-Cre mice and FRMD7tm;Chat-Cre mice in vivo with AAV, expressing GFP-tagged synaptophysin in the presence of Cre recombinase. Confocal imaging of the infected starburst cells indicated no sign of abnormal density of output synapses in FRMD7tm mice (Figure 3I).

Loss of the Asymmetry of Inhibitory Inputs to Horizontal DS Cells
There could be several reasons for the lack of horizontal direction selectivity in the FRMD7tm retinas. First, it is possible that horizontal DS cells are lost in FRMD7tm mice. Alternatively, horizontal DS cells might remain present, but lose their horizontal direction-selective responses due to changes in the retinal circuit. To further examine the circuit mechanism underlying the lack of horizontal direction selectivity, we used Hoxd10-GFP mice, in which the three on DS cell types and one temporal on-off DS cell type, but no other retinal cell type, are genetically labeled (Chande et al., 2013). All GFP-labeled ganglion cells in Hoxd10-GFP mice project to the nuclei of the accessory optic system and prefer slow motion. We crossed FRMD7tm mice with Hoxd10-GFP mice and compared the labeled ganglion cell population with that of control Hoxd10-GFP mice. We found that the density of GFP-labeled cells was unchanged in FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP mice compared to control mice (Figures S6B and S6C), suggesting that cells with the genetic identity of wild-type horizontal DS cells are not lost in the FRMD7tm background.

The lack of horizontal direction selectivity in FRMD7tm retinas can be a sign either of no motion responses or of responses that have similar magnitudes in all motion directions in ganglion cells that have the genetic identity of wild-type horizontal DS cells. The third possibility, that horizontal motion-prefering DS cells are converted to vertical motion-prefering cells in FRMD7tm retinas, is not likely since the number of vertical DS cells does not increase in FRMD7tm retinas compared to wild-type (Figure 2F). We performed two-photon targeted patch-clamp recordings from GFP-labeled cells (Figure S6A) in isolated retinas of control Hoxd10-GFP mice and FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP mice. We recorded spiking activity, as well as inhibitory and excitatory currents, while stimulating the retina with light spots, either flashed to the receptive field center or moving across the retina in eight different directions (Figure 4).

We first analyzed GFP-labeled on-off cells since these cells belong to a single horizontal motion-prefering DS cell type in
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control Hoxd10-GFP retinas. Targeting GFP-labeled on-off cells in FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP retinas, therefore, allows for identifying ganglion cells with the genetic identity of wild-type horizontal DS cells in the FRMD7tm background. In control mice, spike recordings performed in cell-attached mode confirmed that GFP-labeled on-off cells respond to motion stimulation and preferred temporal motion (Figures 4A and 4E). In contrast, in FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP mice, GFP-labeled on-off cells lacked direction selectivity by not responding to motion stimulation in any direction (Figures 4B and 4E). However, the spike responses to flashed spots remained similar to those in control mice (Figure 4E). To understand the cause for the lack of direction selectivity, we recorded excitatory and inhibitory currents from GFP-labeled on-off cells in whole-cell patch-clamp mode after the spike recording from the same cells was finished. The excitatory inputs during motion stimulation remained similar to those in the control (Figure S6E). As far as inhibition, in control retinas, GFP-labeled on-off cells received asymmetric inhibitory inputs: the inhibition was largest when the stimulus moved nasally, the null direction. In contrast, in FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP mice, GFP-labeled non-DS on-off cells received symmetric inhibitory inputs: the magnitude of inhibition was similar across all directions and its value ranged between the nasal and temporal values of the motion-evoked inhibition measured in control retinas (Figures 4A, 4B, 4E, and S6). These results suggest that the increased inhibition evoked by motion in the temporal direction abolishes motion-evoked spiking activity in GFP-labeled on-off cells and, furthermore, that the decreased magnitude of inhibition in the nasal direction is enough to suppress spiking in this direction.

We then analyzed the spiking activity of GFP-labeled on cells that were not vertically tuned. While these cells preferred horizontal motion in control mice (Figures 4C and 4F), they responded in all motion directions, indiscriminately, in FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP mice (Figures 4D and 4F). Analysis of the inhibitory input to these cells revealed that the asymmetry of the inhibition was significantly reduced along the horizontal axis (Figure 4F). The magnitude of the inhibition lay between the nasal and temporal values of the motion-evoked inhibition measured in control retinas, but closer to the temporal side (Figure S6I). The distribution of the motion-evoked inhibitory responses of on cells was significantly different from that of on-off cells in FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP retinas (Figure S6J).

Comparing the timing of spiking, inhibition, and excitation evoked by motion stimulation in on-off and on cells revealed that, while inhibition and excitation temporally overlapped in the non-spiking on-off cells, the spiking in on cells corresponded to the sustained phase of excitation, suggesting that the reduced inhibition is unable to block the effect of this part of the excitatory input (Figures S6F–S6H). Taken together, in both GFP-labeled on-off and on cells (which were not tuned vertically) of FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP mice, the inhibitory input is symmetric. Depending on the magnitude and time course of excitation and inhibition, the symmetric inhibition either blocks spiking in all motion directions, as in on-off cells, or leads to indiscriminate spiking in all motion directions, as found in on cells.

To examine whether the dendrites of GFP-labeled non-DS on-off and on cells in FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP mice were mistargeted, we filled the cells with neurobiotin during the recording and subsequently reconstructed their dendritic stratification. Similar to GFP-labeled cells in control Hoxd10-GFP mice, the dendrites of the recorded GFP-labeled non-DS on-off and on cells in retinas of FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP mice co-stratified with either the proximal or both the proximal and distal ChAT-positive strata (Figures 4G–4J). This is consistent with a view that the symmetric inhibitory input to GFP-labeled non-DS cells is delivered by starburst cells in FRMD7tm mice.

We encountered only a few GFP-labeled vertical motion-preferring DS cells in Hoxd10-GFP retinas, both in the control and FRMD7tm background. Therefore, we used a different approach to label vertical DS cells and compare their tuning in wild-type and FRMD7tm retinas. We injected a retrograde fluorescent tracer, cholera toxin subunit B Alexa 488 conjugate, into the MTN of wild-type and FRMD7tm mice. We performed two-photon targeted patch-clamp recordings from Alexa 488-labeled cells in isolated retinas (Figures 5 and S6D). We recorded spiking activity in cell-attached mode and inhibitory currents in whole-cell mode. The MTN back-labeled ganglion cells in FRMD7tm retinas had direction-selective spiking responses and inhibitory currents similar to the MTN back-labeled ganglion cells recorded in wild-type retinas. The preferred direction of the spiking responses and inhibitory currents opposed each other and pointed either superior or inferior (Figure 5). Thus, in FRMD7tm mice, vertical motion-preferring ON DS cells are direction selective similar to wild-type mice.

Figure 2. Lack of Horizontal Direction Selectivity in the Retina of FRMD7tm Mice

The figure shows data obtained with microelectrode arrays. In (A)–(E), the left two columns correspond to cells tuned to fast motion and the right two columns to cells tuned to slow motion (Supplemental Experimental Procedures). The radius of each circle corresponds to direction selectivity index (DSI) = 1.

(A) Polar plots showing the preferred directions (direction of arrow) and DSI (length of an arrow) of individual DS cells (DSI > 0.5, each recorded DS cell is represented by an arrow) in WT and FRMD7tm retinas. The color code shows the different directions according to Figure 1A.

(B) Contour plots showing the density of DS cells at different DSI's and preferred directions. Red indicates maximal density.

(C) The proportions of horizontal (naso-temporal) and vertical (superior and inferior) motion-preferring DS cells in WT and FRMD7tm retinas are shown.

(D) Raster plots showing the spike responses (each black line is a spike) of example DS cells in WT and FRMD7tm retinas in response to motion in eight different directions, indicated by the arrows at the bottom of the plot. Responses to stimulus repetitions (n = 5) are shown in different rows. Large colored dots indicate the preferred directions of DS cells according to the color code in Figure 1A.

(E) Polar plots of the normalized mean spike numbers of cells shown in (D). The preferred direction and DSI of each cell are shown by the direction and length of the corresponding (color-coded) arrow.

(F) Distributions of the horizontal (top) and vertical (bottom) DSIs (Supplemental Experimental Procedures) of DS cells in WT (black) and FRMD7tm (red) retinas for fast (left) and slow (right) stimulus speeds are shown. See also Figures S3 and S4.
Developmental Time Window in which FRMD7 Is Required for Establishing Horizontal Direction Selectivity

We investigated whether FRMD7 is required for the formation or for the maintenance of horizontal direction selectivity. The in situ hybridization experiments show that FRMD7 expression is first detected at P3 (Figures S3B and S5). To narrow down the time window of FRMD7 function, we tested whether the lack of horizontal direction selectivity in FRMD7tm mice is already present at eye opening. We performed microelectrode array recordings from FRMD7tm retinas just after eye opening, at P13–P14. Whereas P13–P14 wild-type retinas had both vertical and horizontal direction-selective responses, P13–P14 retinas of FRMD7tm mice lacked horizontal direction-selective responses, suggesting that the mechanism leading to the loss of horizontal direction selectivity operates before eye opening (Figures S4D–S4F). Thus, FRMD7 is required for the formation of horizontal direction selectivity between birth and eye opening.

The Accessory Optic System in FRMD7tm Mice

We asked whether those on and on-off DS cells in FRMD7tm mice that lost their horizontal direction selectivity and that normally project their axons to the NOT/DTN nuclei of the accessory optic system keep their central target. We labeled the retino-recipient areas of FRMD7tm;Hoxd10-GFP mice by injecting CTB conjugated to Alexa dye into one of the eyes. CTB is taken up by retinal ganglion cells and is transported to their axon terminals (Morin and Studholme, 2014). Subsequently, we examined the GFP-labeled axons in the retino-recipient brain areas labeled with CTB. We found that all nuclei of the accessory optic system, MTN and NOT/DTN, were innervated by GFP-positive axons, as in wild-type mice (Figure 6).

Next, we mapped FRMD7 and ChAT expression in the brain of P11 wild-type mice using fluorescence in situ hybridization (Figure S7A). The nuclei of the accessory optic system were labeled by injecting CTB conjugated to Alexa dye into both eyes at P8. ChAT probe was used as a landmark to identify motor nuclei. The nuclei of the accessory optic system, MTN and NOT/DTN, were innervated by GFP-positive axons, as in P11 wild-type mice (Figure 6).

We then performed fluorescence in situ hybridization with antisense and control sense probes for FRMD7 mRNA and antisense probes for ChAT mRNA (Figures 6B and S7B). Almost all the ChAT-positive cells were also positive for FRMD7. Conversely, a substantial fraction (70%) of FRMD7-positive cells in both the ganglion cell layer and inner nuclear layer were ChAT labeled (Figure 8C). We did not detect signals with control sense probe for FRMD7 mRNA (Figure S7B). Thus, the mosaics of ChAT-labeled cells, and the ChAT-marked retinal strata, as

Figure 3. FRMD7 Is Specifically Expressed in Starburst Cells in the Mouse Retina

(A) Confocal images of a mouse retinal section stained by double-label quantitative fluorescence in situ hybridization using antisense probes for mouse FRMD7 mRNA and mouse ChAT mRNA and DAPI. Bottom panels are magnifications of the insets in top panels.

(B) Fluorescent dots per cell for FRMD7 mRNA (magenta) and ChAT mRNA (green) at different developmental stages are shown (Figure S5A for images).

(C) Quantification of hybridization signal for control sense probe is shown (see Figure S5A for images).

(D) Confocal images show the inner nuclear layer (INL) and ganglion cell layer (GCL) of WT (left) and FRMD7tm (right) retinas stained with anti-ChAT antibody.

(E) Quantification of the density of ChAT-positive cells from images, as given in (D), is shown.

(F) Top view of confocal images of WT (left) and FRMD7tm (right) retinas stained with anti-ChAT antibody at the proximal (top) and distal (middle) ChAT-positive strata in the inner plexiform layer. Side view is shown at the bottom.

(G) Confocal images show starburst cells sparsely labeled with GFP-expressing rabies virus in ChAT-Cre mice in control (left) and FRMD7tm (right) background.

(H) Dendritic field size (left), dendritic asymmetry index (middle), and the number of primary processes (right) of GFP-labeled starburst cells quantified from images as shown in (G). Dendritic asymmetry index refers to the ratio of length of widest diameter to that of narrowest diameter of the dendritic arbor (%).

(i) Confocal images of starburst cell processes at the proximal inner plexiform layer (IPL) sublayer labeled with synaptophysin-GFP-expressing AAV in ChAT-Cre mice in control (top) and FRMD7tm (bottom) background. Data are shown as mean ± SEM; n refers to the number of retinas in (E) and cells in (C) and (H).

See also Figures S1 and S5.

FRMD7 Is Distributed Symmetrically within Starburst Cell Processes

We examined where FRMD7 is localized within starburst cells. We performed immunohistochemistry with anti-FRMD7 and anti-ChAT antibodies on retinas at different developmental stages (P3, P5, and P7), and we examined the stained retinas using confocal microscopy (Figure 7). In neonatal stages, FRMD7 signals were present in the basal part of the cell body and processes (Figures 7A and 7B). To quantify the degree of asymmetry in the distribution of the FRMD7 signal within individual starburst cells, we determined the angle of FRMD7-labeled primary processes at P5 in whole-mount retinas (Figure 7C). We found no sign of an asymmetric FRMD7 localization, suggesting that the localization of FRMD7 is not biased to specific starburst cell processes.

FRMD7 Is Expressed in ChAT-Expressing Cells in the Retina of Non-human Primates

To determine whether FRMD7 is expressed in ChAT-expressing cells in non-human primate retinas, we first performed immunohistochemistry with antibodies against ChAT in whole-mount retinas (Figure 8A). Similar to the findings from mice, mosaics of ChAT-labeled cells were present in both the inner nuclear and ganglion cell layers of non-human primate retinas (Rodeck and Marshak, 1992). Moreover, as in mice, the ChAT antibody labeled two retinal strata in the inner plexiform layer (Figure 8A).

We then performed fluorescence in situ hybridization in non-human primate retinas (Figure 8B). FRMD7 expression was detected in ChAT-expressing cells, as well as in non-ChAT expressing cells (Figure 8C). Almost all the ChAT-positive cells were also positive for FRMD7. Conversely, a substantial fraction (70%) of FRMD7-positive cells in both the ganglion cell layer and inner nuclear layer were ChAT labeled (Figure 8C). We did not detect signals with control sense probe for FRMD7 mRNA (Figure S7B). Thus, the mosaics of ChAT-labeled cells, and the ChAT-marked retinal strata, as
Figure 4. Ganglion Cells in FRMD7<sup>tm</sup> Retinas with Genetic Identity of Horizontal Motion-Preferring DS Cells Lack Asymmetric Inhibitory Input

(A–D) Examples of cell-attached and whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings of GFP-labeled on-off cells (A and B) and on cells (C and D) in Hoxd10-GFP (Control; A and C) and FRMD7<sup>tm</sup>; Hoxd10-GFP (FRMD7<sup>tm</sup>; B and D) retinas. (Left column) Spike raster plot (black, top), spike rate (black, middle), and inhibition (red, bottom) in response to motion stimulus are shown. Arrows indicate the direction of motion. (Right column top) Polar plot of normalized (to the maximum) spike number (black) and peak inhibition (red) during motion stimulation is shown. The vector sum of spiking (black) and inhibitory (red) responses are shown by arrows. The vector sum for spikes was only plotted if the cell responded to stimulation (Supplemental Experimental Procedures). (Right column bottom) Spike raster plot in response to a 300-μm flashed-spot stimulus centered onto the cell body is shown. Gray, white, and dark areas indicate the stimulus contrast. N, nasal; T, temporal; S, superior; I, inferior.

[legend continued on next page]
Asymmetric Connectivity Potential Role of FRMD7 in Establishing Horizontal Asymmetric Connectivity

The results obtained are consistent with a role of FRMD7 in the reorganization of the synaptic input from starburst cells to DS cells. During the development of wild-type mice, by P6, in both on-off and on DS cells, first symmetric connections between starburst cells and DS cell and the centrifugal direction-organized asymmetric GABA release from starburst cell processes, it is the asymmetric connectivity between starburst cells and horizontal DS cells that is defective.

Potential Role of FRMD7 in Establishing Horizontal Asymmetric Connectivity

FRMD7 was only expressed in ChAT-labeled cells (Figures 3A, 3B, 7, and S5), and ChAT is a selective marker of starburst cells in mice (Ivanova et al., 2010). Second, we found symmetric inhibitory currents in GFP-labeled on-off cells in the "FRMD7" retina background in a mouse line in which, in the wild-type background, all GFP-labeled on-off cells are DS cells preferring horizontal motion (Figures 4 and S6). Third, vertical direction selectivity persisted in "FRMD7" retina (Figures 2 and 5). The first and second points indicate that starburst cells are the defective circuit element. The third point favors the hypothesis that, between the two key features determining asymmetric inhibition, namely the cardinal direction-organized asymmetric connectivity between starburst cell and DS cell and the centrifugal direction-organized asymmetric GABA release from starburst cell processes, it is the asymmetric connectivity between starburst cells and horizontal DS cells that is defective.

Circuit Mechanism Underlying the Lack of Horizontal Direction Selectivity in FRMD7m Mouse Retina

We suggest that the lack of horizontal direction selectivity in the retina of FRMD7m mice is due to the lack of asymmetric connectivity between starburst cells and ganglion cells with the genetic identity of wild-type horizontal motion-prefering DS cells. The following set of evidence supports this conclusion. First, FRMD7 was only expressed in ChAT-labeled cells (Figures 3A, 3B, 7, and S5), and ChAT is a selective marker of starburst cells in mice (Ivanova et al., 2010). Second, we found symmetric inhibitory currents in GFP-labeled on-off cells in the FRMD7m retina background in a mouse line in which, in the wild-type background, all GFP-labeled on-off cells are DS cells preferring horizontal motion (Figures 4 and S6). Third, vertical direction selectivity persisted in FRMD7m retina (Figures 2 and 5). The first and second points indicate that starburst cells are the defective circuit element. The third point favors the hypothesis that, between the two key features determining asymmetric inhibition, namely the cardinal direction-organized asymmetric connectivity between starburst cell and DS cell and the centrifugal direction-organized asymmetric GABA release from starburst cell processes, it is the asymmetric connectivity between starburst cells and horizontal DS cells that is defective.

DISCUSSION

We found that FRMD7, a gene responsible for 70% of cases of idiopathic congenital nystagmus in humans, is required in the mouse retina to establish spatially asymmetric inhibitory inputs from starburst cells to DS cells along the horizontal axis, and is thus required for horizontal direction selectivity. The retinal expression of FRMD7 is restricted to starburst cells in mice and enriched in ChAT-labeled cells in primates. Vertical direction selectivity was not dependent on FRMD7. Similar to results in humans, the dysfunction of FRMD7 in mice leads to the loss of the horizontal optokinetic reflex. These results establish FRMD7 as a member of a previously unidentified molecular pathway that is necessary for the establishment of neuronal circuit asymmetries.

well as the enrichment of FRMD7 in ChAT-positive cells are conserved between mice and non-human primates.

Figure 5. Vertical Direction Selectivity and Asymmetric Inhibitory Input in MTN Back-Labeled Ganglion Cells in FRMD7m Mice (A and C) Examples of cell-attached and whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings of MTN back-labeled ganglion cells in WT and FRMD7m retinas. Spiking responses (black) and inhibitory currents (red) of vertically tuned on DS cells in WT (A) and FRMD7m (C) retina are shown. (Left column) Spike raster plot (black, top), spike rate (black, middle), and inhibition (red, bottom) in response to motion stimulus are shown. Arrows indicate the direction of motion. (Right column top) Polar plot of normalized (to the maximum) spike number (black) and peak inhibition (red) during motion stimulation is shown. The vector sum of spiking (black) and inhibitory (red) responses are shown by arrows. (Right column bottom) Spike raster plot in response to a 300-μm flashed-spot stimulus centered on the cell body is shown. Gray and white areas indicate the stimulus contrast. (B and D) Bar graphs showing DSI of spiking (B) and inhibition (D) in MTN back-labeled ganglion cells in WT and FRMD7m retinas. Data points represent mean ± SEM; n refers to the number of recorded cells. See also Figure S6.
Our findings suggest that, in FRMD7tm mice, this symmetric-to-asymmetric transition is defective along the horizontal axis (Figure 8D).

How could FRMD7 contribute to the establishment of the selective connectivity between nasally or temporally pointing starburst cell processes and temporal or nasal motion-prefering DS cells? To enable the correct matching of starburst cell processes and DS cell type, it is likely that nasally and temporally pointing starburst cell processes are labeled by distinct molecules or combinations of molecules. This would require a sorting machinery in the soma that knows about the horizontal directions and sends different molecules to nasally and temporally pointing processes. Since it is widely documented that the retina has a number of molecules forming nasal-temporal gradients, such as...
ephrins and BMPs (Sakuta et al., 2006), it is likely that the knowledge of starburst cells about the opposing horizontal directions is learned from these gradients. Along the vertical axis a similar differential sorting mechanism may label superiorly and inferiorly pointing starburst cell processes. The findings that both nasal and temporal direction selectivities are abolished in FRMD7tm mice (Figures 2 and S4) and that FRMD7 protein was found symmetrically distributed in the processes of starburst cells (Figures 7B and 7C) suggest that FRMD7 is not a marker for nasal or temporal processes. It is more likely that FRMD7 is part of the molecular machinery that is either involved in sensing or sorting along the horizontal axis.

While it is an open question where and how FRMD7 exerts its function in the starburst cell to establish asymmetric connectivity, the organization of the protein and the precise location of the mutations found in individuals with congenital nystagmus provide insights. The FRMD7 gene encodes a member of the FERM domain family of proteins (Moleirinho et al., 2013). The FERM domain of FRMD7 is located in the N terminus and is thought to link FRMD7 to the cell membrane. Next to the FERM domain is a FERM-adjacent domain, which in other FERM-containing proteins is thought to be subject to phosphorylation. Notably, the mutations causing congenital nystagmus in humans are concentrated in the FERM domain and a region around the FERM-adjacent domain (Thomas et al., 2011). The C-terminal part of FRMD7 has no homology with other proteins. Other FERM domain-containing proteins are involved in the signal transduction between the plasma membrane and the actin cytoskeleton (Moleirinho et al., 2013). Indeed, the FRMD7 protein interacts with the Rho GDP-dissociation inhibitor alpha, the main regulator of Rho GDPases, which are key regulators of the reorganization of actin cytoskeleton (Pu et al., 2013). These findings raise the possibility that FRMD7 also signals between the plasma membrane and the cytoskeleton.

Circuit Mechanism Underlying the Lack of the Horizontal Optokinetic Reflex in FRMD7tm Mice

We propose that the lack of horizontal direction selectivity in the retina contributes significantly to the lack of the horizontal optokinetic reflex in FRMD7tm mice.
Figure 8. FRMD7 Is Expressed in ChAT-Labeled Cells in the Retina of Non-human Primates

(A) Confocal images show whole-mount non-human primate retinas stained with antibody for ChAT (magenta) and DAPI (white) in top view (left) and side view (right).

(B) Confocal images of retinal sections stained by double-label fluorescence in situ hybridization using antisense probes for FRMD7 mRNA and ChAT mRNA as well as DAPI in non-human primate retinas. Two example regions (top and middle) and magnification of inset in middle panels (bottom) are shown.

(C) Relationship between FRMD7 mRNA-expressing and ChAT mRNA-expressing cells is shown. (legend continued on next page)
optokinetic reflex in FRMD7tm mice. The following evidence supports this conclusion. First, it has been shown previously that mice whose retinal direction selectivity has been abolished by the genetic ablation of starburst cells lose the optokinetic reflex (Yoshida et al., 2001). In that study, the genetic manipulation was done in the retina alone, without affecting any circuits in the brain. Second, it has been shown in cats that activity in the NOT/DTN complex, which processes horizontal direction-selective input, is required selectively for the horizontal optokinetic reflex (Hoffmann and Fischer, 2001). Third, we found that the defective DS cells in FRMD7tm mice project to their normal brain targets (Figure 6). Fourth, FRMD7tm mice were able to produce spontaneous, large-amplitude horizontal eye motions (Figures 1F and 1G). Fifth, FRMD7tm mice had normal vertical retinal direction selectivity (Figures 2 and 5) and showed a normal vertical optokinetic reflex (Figure 1E). Sixth, the optokinetic reflex was measured in head-fixed mice, limiting possible interactions with the vestibular system. Taken together, these results suggest that the lack of horizontal direction selectivity in the retina is sufficient to abolish the horizontal optokinetic reflex. However, as we detected FRMD7 mRNA expression in the motor nuclei responsible for eye movements and in the vestibular nuclei (Figure S7A), we cannot rule out contributions to the defective optokinetic response from motor and vestibular nuclei.

Circuit Mechanism Underlying the Symptoms of FRMD7-Based Idiopathic Congenital Nystagmus in Humans

Can FRMD7 dysfunction in starburst cells be a contributor to, or cause of, the lack of horizontal optokinetic reflex in FRMD7-based congenital nystagmus in humans? The following findings support this interpretation. First, the neuronal pathways controlling the optokinetic reflex are highly conserved across mammals. Although on DS cells have not yet been recorded in primate retinas, on direction-selective cells preferring the ipsiversive direction (i.e., left NOT/DTN is activated by the leftward motion and vice versa) have been recorded in primate NOT/DTN brain areas (Distler and Hoffmann, 2011; Hoffmann, 1989), which are the targets of horizontal on DS cells in other animals (Dhande et al., 2013). Second, in adult non-human primate retinas, the same ChAT antibody, which in mice labels two mosaics of starburst cells and two retinal strata in the inner plexiform layer where the processes of starburst cells ramify, also labeled two mosaics of cells in the same nuclear layers and two retina strata in the inner plexiform layer (Rodieck and Marshak, 1992; Figure 8A). Third, FRMD7 was expressed in those non-human primate retinal cells that were marked by ChAT (Figures 8B and 8C). Fourth, we show that human subjects with congenital nystagmus were able to produce voluntary, smooth-pursuit, horizontal eye movements (Figures 1K and 1L). Fifth, the vertical optokinetic reflex is still present in individuals with congenital nystagmus (Figure 1J). Taken together, this evidence indicates that, in primates, FRMD7 is expressed in a retinal cell population that has the morphological and genetic attributes of starburst cells in mice and that the motor system controlling horizontal eye movements in individuals with congenital nystagmus is functional. These findings are consistent with a hypothesis that the loss of the horizontal optokinetic reflex in humans is, at least partly, due to the loss of FRMD7 function in starburst cells. Note that, in humans, FRMD7 mRNA expression also has been observed in the brain regions involved in vestibulo-ocular reflex (Tarpey et al., 2006; Thomas et al., 2011). However, since the optokinetic reflex was assessed in head-fixed human subjects, a potential dysfunction in the vestibular system is unlikely to fully explain the loss of the horizontal optokinetic reflex.

In contrast to individuals with FRMD7-based nystagmus, we did not observe spontaneous oscillatory eye movements (nystagmus) in FRMD7tm mice. This lack can be explained in at least two different ways. First, it is possible that the presence of horizontal nystagmus is linked to the lack of the horizontal optokinetic reflex in humans. For example, an inhibitory interaction between the control circuits generating the optokinetic reflex and microsaccades in humans (Otero-Millan et al., 2011) may exist. When the horizontal optokinetic reflex is lost, inhibition decreases and horizontal microsaccades become larger and uncontrolled, appearing as horizontal nystagmus. As wild-type mice are not confirmed to have microsaccades, the absence of this type of eye movement may explain why there is no nystagmus in FRMD7tm mice. Alternatively, the two symptoms, nystagmus and the lack of the horizontal optokinetic reflex, could be caused by two independent circuit mechanisms. Indeed, the presence of horizontal nystagmus together with a normal optokinetic reflex in achromatopsia shows that the two symptoms can be independent of each other (Yee et al., 1981). It is possible that a defect in the connectivity between starburst cells and DS cells leads to the lack of the horizontal optokinetic reflex, and, independently, either a defect in the retinal fovea (Thomas et al., 2014), which is absent in mouse retinas, or a dysfunction of another brain circuit causes nystagmus.
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