Stability of functional differential systems applied to the model of testosterone regulation
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Abstract
In this paper we propose a method for stability studies of functional differential systems. The idea of our method is to reduce the analysis of an n-dimensional system to one for an (n + m)-dimensional system, where m is a natural number, to obtain stability and then to come back and make conclusions on the stability of the given n-dimensional system. As an example, a model describing testosterone by distributed inputs feedback control is considered. The aim of the regulation is to hold testosterone concentration above an appropriate level. The feedback control with integral term is proposed. We have to increase the testosterone level to the normal one. The control we proposed could destroy the stability of the model. That is why we have to choose the parameters of our distributed control, namely a dosage or intensity of assimilation of a medicine in a human body in such a form that the stability of our system is preserved. Thus the problem of regulation of testosterone level leads us to the stability analysis of the functional differential system describing a connection between the concentrations of hormones (GnRH), (LH), and testosterone (Te). Constructing the system, we discard the connections which seem nonessential. To estimate the effect of these connections is an important problem. We construct the Cauchy matrix of integro-differential system to estimate this influence.
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1 Introduction
Functional differential equation of the form

\[ X'(t) + B(t)X(t) + (KX)(t) = f(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \]

where \( B(t) \) is an \( n \times n \) matrix with essentially bounded coefficients and \( K : C^n \to L_\infty^n \) is a linear bounded operator acting from the space of continuous functions \( C^n \) to the space of essentially bounded functions \( L_\infty^n, f \in L_\infty^n \) (all functions we understand as \( x : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n \)), appears as a mathematical model describing processes in medicine, biology, and technology [18].
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The operator \( K \) can be, for example, of the integral form

\[
(KX)(t) = \int_0^t k(t,s)X(s) \, ds.
\]  

Although the control with distributed input control frequently appears as a challenging problem, only a few papers are devoted to it (see, for example, the works [2, 11–14, 16, 17, 23]). Noise in the feedback delay control is the main obstacle appearing in mathematical models because of the fact that it is impossible to base our control on the value of process \( X(t) \) at a moment \( t_j \) only, and we have to use an average value of the process \( X(t) = \text{col}\{x_1(t), \ldots, x_n(t)\} \) at a corresponding neighborhood of the point \( t_j \). Increasing the number of points, we come actually to the control of the integral form (1.2).

It was demonstrated in the works [18, 21] that integro-differential systems can be used to model endocrine regulation in relation to the delay incurred by transport of hormone from the secretion site to receptors. It should be noted (see, for example, [17]) that signaling the receptors is sensitive to the mean value of the hormone concentration over a certain period of time rather than the instantaneous value. Another way to arrange integral terms is, for example, the time required for describing assimilation of medicine. The integral term with a kernel defining a weight of every value adopts this role. It is pointed out in [23] that models with distributed inputs can appear in population dynamics, in propellant rocket motors, and in network control systems. Sufficient conditions of stability for IDE (1.1) were obtained in many well-known works (see, for example, [7, 8, 14, 24, 25, 29]).

In our model, we have to increase the testosterone level to the normal one. The control we proposed could destroy the stability of the model. We have to be sure that the stability of the system is preserved. Note the positivity-based approach to stability of delay equations developed, for example, in the works [1, 9, 10, 15, 19, 20, 26, 28]. Our method and positivity-based approach can be used also for analysis of nonlinear systems on the basis of the classical approach of the book [22].

## 2 Description of the model

Simplifying the process of testosterone regulation, we can depict the signal transduction pathway initiated in the brain that leads to the testosterone production in the leading cells. This complex pathway encompasses a number of chemical and biological events and can be divided into three stages. The first stage takes place at the brain level. The hypothalamus produces the gonadotrophin releasing hormone (GnRH) that activates the luteinizing hormone (LH) in the pituitary gland. The second stage is reflected by release of LH into the bloodstream. The blood flow transfers the LH hormone to the Leydig cells. The third, final, stage begins with activation of the cascade of biochemical events in Leydig cells that results in production and subsequent release of testosterone [3, 5, 6, 27]. This pathway, as many others in our body, is cyclic and includes the mechanism of negative feedback control. Thus, when the level of testosterone rises, the hypothalamus receives a signal about a sufficient level of hormone and stops producing the GnRH that subsequently inhibits LH release and as a results leads to lowering the concentration of testosterone. After lowering the level of testosterone, the brain receives a signal about the renewal of the process. Some
relevant descriptions of the model can be found, for example, in [4–6].

\[
\begin{align*}
&x'_1(t) + b_1x_1(t) = 0, \\
&x'_2(t) + b_2x_2(t) - g_1x_1(t) = 0, \\
&x'_3(t) + b_3x_3(t) - c_1 \int_0^t e^{-a_1(t-s)}x_2(s) \, ds = 0, \\
&x_1(t_n) = x_1(t_n^-) + \lambda_n, \quad t_0 = 0, \quad t_{n+1} = t_n + \Delta t_n, \\
&\Delta t_n = \Phi(x_3(t_n^-)), \quad \lambda_n = F(x_3(t_n^-)),
\end{align*}
\] (2.1)

where $\Phi$ and $F$ are nondecreasing and nonincreasing functions, respectively.

The model describes an interaction of the concentration of hormones GnRH, LH, and Te which will be denoted as $x_1$, $x_2$, and $x_3$, respectively. The values $b_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, correspond to the respective half-life times of GnRH, LH, and Te. In the healthy male body all elements involved in the process work in consent.

We would like to propose a mechanism that allows us to hold the testosterone on a normal level $T(t)$, although the normative exchange of information in the biological system described by the impulses (see the formulas after equation (2.1)) falls and the signals do not enter the brain at all or their influence is not enough to hold the corresponding level of testosterone. We will use the control in the form

\[
u(t) = c_3 \int_0^t \left[ T(s) - x_3(s) \right] e^{-a_3(t-s)} \, ds,
\] (2.2)

setting it in the right-hand side of the third equation of system (2.1).

The idea is clear: if $T(t) > x_3(t)$, the control has to increase the testosterone level $x_3(t)$, if $T(t) < x_3(t)$, it has to decrease. Thus we come to the third equation in (2.1) of the form

\[
x'_3(t) + b_3x_3(t) - c_1 \int_0^t e^{-a_1(t-s)}x_2(s) \, ds + c_3 \int_0^t e^{-a_3(t-s)}x_3(s) \, ds = f(t),
\] (2.3)

where the operator $K : C \rightarrow L_\infty$ ($C$ and $L_\infty$ are the spaces of continuous and essentially bounded functions respectively) is defined by the equality

\[
(Kx_3)(t) = c_3 \int_0^t e^{-a_3(t-s)}x_3(s) \, ds,
\]

the function $f(t)$ is defined by the equality $f(t) = c_3 \int_0^t e^{-a_3(t-s)}T(s) \, ds$, where $T(s)$ is a corresponding “suitable” testosterone concentration.

After substituting control (2.2) in the third equation of system (2.1), we come to the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
&x'_1(t) + b_1x_1(t) = 0, \\
&x'_2(t) + b_2x_2(t) - g_1x_1(t) = 0, \\
&x'_3(t) + b_3x_3(t) - c_1 \int_0^t e^{-a_1(t-s)}x_2(s) \, ds + c_3 \int_0^t e^{-a_3(t-s)}x_3(s) \, ds = f(t).
\end{align*}
\] (2.4)
The corresponding homogeneous system is
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) + b_1 x_1(t) &= 0, \\
\dot{x}_2(t) + b_2 x_2(t) - g_1 x_1(t) &= 0, \\
\dot{x}_3(t) + b_3 x_3(t) - c_1 \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_1(t-s)} x_2(s) \, ds + c_3 \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_3(t-s)} x_3(s) \, ds &= 0. 
\end{align*}
\]
(2.5)

As usual, the coefficients are supposed to be positive, i.e.,
\[
\alpha_1 > 0, \quad \alpha_3 > 0, \quad b_1 > 0, \quad b_2 > 0, \quad b_3 > 0, \quad c_3 > 0. \quad (2.6)
\]

### 3 Stability of integro-differential system

Our approach is based on the fact that system (2.4) of integro-differential equations can be reduced to the following system of ordinary differential equations:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) + b_1 x_1(t) &= 0, \\
\dot{x}_2(t) + b_2 x_2(t) - g_1 x_1(t) &= 0, \\
\dot{x}_3(t) + b_3 x_3(t) - c_1 x_5(t) + c_3 x_4(t) &= f(t), \\
\dot{x}_4(t) + \alpha_3 x_4(t) - x_3(t) &= 0, \\
\dot{x}_5(t) + \alpha_1 x_5(t) - x_2(t) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
(3.1)

The corresponding homogeneous system is the following:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) + b_1 x_1(t) &= 0, \\
\dot{x}_2(t) + b_2 x_2(t) - g_1 x_1(t) &= 0, \\
\dot{x}_3(t) + b_3 x_3(t) - c_1 x_5(t) + c_3 x_4(t) &= 0, \\
\dot{x}_4(t) + \alpha_3 x_4(t) - x_3(t) &= 0, \\
\dot{x}_5(t) + \alpha_1 x_5(t) - x_2(t) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
(3.2)

**Lemma 3.1** *The solution-vectors \( \text{col}(x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t)) \) of system (2.4) and three first components of the solution-vector \( \text{col}(x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t), x_4(t), x_5(t)) \) of system (3.1) satisfying the initial condition \( x_4(0) = 0, x_5(0) = 0 \) coincide.*

If we write system (3.2) in the form \( X'(t) = AX(t) \), then the matrix of the coefficients is the following:
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
g_1 & -b_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -b_3 & -c_3 & c_1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -\alpha_3 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha_1 
\end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)
\]

**Theorem 3.1** *System (2.5) is exponentially stable if inequalities (2.6) are true.*

**Proof** The characteristic polynomial of system (3.2) is of the form
\[
P(\lambda) = (-b_1 - \lambda)(-b_2 - \lambda)(-\alpha_1 - \lambda)[\lambda^2 + (b_3 + \alpha_3)\lambda + \alpha_3 b_3 + c_3]. \quad (3.4)
\]
It is clear that all the roots
\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1 &= -b_1, \quad \lambda_2 = -b_2, \quad \lambda_3 = -\alpha_1, \\
\lambda_4 &= -(\alpha_3 + b_3) + \sqrt{(\alpha_3 - b_3)^2 - 4c_3}, \\
\lambda_5 &= -(\alpha_3 + b_3) - \sqrt{(\alpha_3 - b_3)^2 - 4c_3}
\end{align*}
\]
of \(P(x) = 0\) have negative real parts. It means, according to Lemma 3.1, the exponential stability of (2.5).

Remark 3.1 We would like to stress that the control in the form (2.2) in the case of this biological system cannot destroy stability. It means that there are no additional conditions from the mathematical point of view, only medical limitations should be taken into account.

4 Construction of the Cauchy matrix

Denote by \(C_i(t, s) = \text{col}\{c_{1i}(t, s), \ldots, c_{5i}(t, s)\}\) the \(i\)th column of the Cauchy matrix \(C(t, s) = \text{col}\{C_1(t, s), \ldots, C_5(t, s)\}\) (the fundamental matrix in another terminology). Consider \(C_i(t, s)\) as a 5-vector-function of \(t\) for fixed \(s\). It is known from the general theory of ordinary differential equations that \(C_i(t, s)\) can be defined as the solution of system (3.2) satisfying the initial conditions \(c_{ij}(s, s) = 0\) for \(i \neq j\), \(c_{ii}(s, s) = 1\).

Below we construct the Cauchy matrix of system (3.2), solving system (3.2) in the case of

\[
\begin{align*}
&b_2 \neq b_1, \quad \alpha_1 \neq b_1, \quad \alpha_1 \neq b_2, \\
&b_3^2 + \alpha_3 b_3 + c_3 \neq b_1(\alpha_3 + b_3), \\
&b_3^2 + \alpha_3 b_3 + c_3 \neq b_2(\alpha_3 + b_3), \\
&\alpha_3^2 + \alpha_3 b_3 + c_3 \neq \alpha_1(\alpha_3 + b_3), \\
&(\alpha_3 - b_3)^2 > 4c_3.
\end{align*}
\]

Remark 4.1 The parameters \(\alpha_3\) and \(c_3\) can be chosen such that inequalities (4.1) and (4.2) are fulfilled. It demonstrates that they are actually not limitations. Mathematical sense of all inequalities in (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) will be clear below when we construct the Cauchy matrix \(C(t, s)\) of system (3.2). We construct it only in the case of five different real roots of the characteristic polynomial \(P(x) = 0\) defined in (3.4). This will explain inequalities (4.1)–(4.3). In the case of complex roots \(\lambda_4, \lambda_5\) or of the multiple roots, the construction of Cauchy matrix can be made analogously. In this paper, we do not deal with it. The case of five different real roots looks most relevant from the medical point of view.
Solving system (3.2) in the case of (4.1)–(4.3), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1(t) &= D_1 e^{-b_1 t}, \\
x_2(t) &= g_1 D_1 e^{-b_1 t} + D_2 e^{-b_2 t}, \\
x_3(t) &= E_1 e^{\gamma_1 t} + E_2 e^{\gamma_2 t} + K_1 e^{-b_1 t} + K_2 e^{-b_2 t} + K_3 e^{-\alpha_1 t}, \\
x_4(t) &= -\frac{1}{c_3} \left( E_1 e^{\gamma_1 t} [\gamma_1 + b_3] + E_2 e^{\gamma_2 t} [\gamma_2 + b_3] + K_1 e^{-b_1 t} [b_3 - b_1] + K_2 e^{-b_2 t} [b_3 - b_2] + K_3 e^{-\alpha_1 t} [b_3 - \alpha_1] - \frac{c_1 g_1 D_1 e^{-b_1 t}}{(a_1 - b_1)(b_2 - b_1)} - \frac{c_1 D_2 e^{-b_2 t}}{a_1 - b_2} - c_1 D_3 e^{-\alpha_1 t} \right), \\
x_5(t) &= \frac{g_1 D_1 e^{-b_1 t}}{(a_1 - b_1)(b_2 - b_1)} + \frac{D_2 e^{-b_2 t}}{a_1 - b_2} + D_3 e^{-\alpha_1 t}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
K_1 &= \frac{c_1 g_1 D_1 [\alpha_3 - b_1]}{(a_1 - b_1)(b_2 - b_1)[b_1^2 - b_1(\alpha_3 + b_3) + (\alpha_3 b_3 + c_3)]}, \\
K_2 &= \frac{c_1 D_2 [\alpha_3 - b_2]}{(a_1 - b_2)[b_2^2 - b_2(\alpha_3 + b_3) + (\alpha_3 b_3 + c_3)]}, \\
K_3 &= \frac{c_1 D_3 [\alpha_3 - \alpha_1]}{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1 (\alpha_3 + b_3) + (\alpha_3 b_3 + c_3)},
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\gamma_1 = \lambda_4, \quad \gamma_2 = \lambda_5.
\]

Condition (4.3) implies that \(\gamma_1\) and \(\gamma_2\) are different.

Denoting \(X(t) = \text{col}[x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t), x_4(t), x_5(t)]\) and \(K = K_1 + K_2 + K_3\), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1(0) &= D_1, \\
x_2(0) &= \frac{g_1 D_1}{b_2 - b_1} + D_2, \\
x_3(0) &= E_1 + E_2 + K, \\
x_4(0) &= -\frac{1}{c_3} \left( E_1 \gamma_1 + b_3 ] + E_2 [\gamma_2 + b_3] + K_1 [b_3 - b_1] + K_2 [b_3 - b_2] + K_3 [b_3 - \alpha_1] - \frac{c_1 g_1 D_1}{(a_1 - b_1)(b_2 - b_1)} - \frac{c_1 D_2}{a_1 - b_2} - c_1 D_3 \right), \\
x_5(0) &= \frac{g_1 D_1}{(a_1 - b_1)(b_2 - b_1)} + \frac{D_2}{a_1 - b_2} + D_3
\end{align*}
\]

(1) If \(X(0) = \text{col}[1, 0, 0, 0, 0]\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
D_1 &= 1, \\
D_2 &= \frac{g_1}{b_2 - b_1}, \\
E_1 &= \frac{K_1 [b_3 - b_1] + K_2 [b_3 - b_2] + K_3 [b_3 - \alpha_1] - K [b_3 + \gamma_2]}{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}, \\
E_2 &= \frac{K_1 [b_3 - b_1] + K_2 [b_3 - b_2] + K_3 [b_3 - \alpha_1] - K [b_3 + \gamma_2]}{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}, \\
D_3 &= \frac{g_1}{(a_1 - b_1)(b_2 - b_1)} + \frac{g_1}{(a_1 - b_2)(b_2 - b_1)} = \frac{g_1}{(a_1 - b_1)(a_1 - b_2)},
\end{align*}
\]
where

\[
K_1 = \frac{c_1 g_1 (\alpha_3 - b_1)}{(\alpha_1 - b_1)(b_2 - b_1)[b_2^2 - b_2(\alpha_3 + b_3) + (\alpha_3 b_3 + c_3)]},
\]

\[
K_2 = \frac{c_1 g_1 (\alpha_3 - b_2)}{(\alpha_1 - b_2)(b_2 - b_1)[b_2^2 - b_2(\alpha_3 + b_3) + (\alpha_3 b_3 + c_3)]},
\]

\[
K_3 = \frac{c_1 g_1 (\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)}{(\alpha_1 - b_1)(\alpha_1 - b_2)[a_1^2 - \alpha_1(\alpha_3 + b_3) + (\alpha_3 b_3 + c_3)]}.
\]

The first column of the Cauchy matrix is the following:

\[
C_1(t, s) = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha \exp^{-b_1(t-s)} \\
\frac{g_1 \alpha \exp^{-b_1(t-s)}}{b_2-b_1} - \frac{g_1 \alpha \exp^{-b_2(t-s)}}{b_2-b_1} \\
\frac{K_1(b_2-b_1) + K_2(b_2-b_2) + K_1(b_2-\alpha_1) - K(b_3+b_3)}{\gamma_1-\gamma_2} \\
\frac{K_1(b_2-b_1) + K_2(b_2-b_2) + K_1(b_2-\alpha_1) - K(b_3+b_3)}{\gamma_1-\gamma_2} + K_1 \exp^{-b_1(t-s)}[b_3 - b_1] + K_2 \exp^{-b_2(t-s)}[b_3 - b_2] + K_3 \exp^{-\alpha_1(t-s)}[b_3 - \alpha_1] \\
\frac{-c_1 g_1 \exp^{-b_1(t-s)}}{(\alpha_1-b_1)(a_2-b_2)} + \frac{c_1 g_1 \exp^{-b_2(t-s)}}{(\alpha_1-b_1)(a_2-b_2)} - \frac{g_1 c_1 \exp^{-\alpha_1(t-s)}}{(\alpha_1-b_1)(\alpha_1-b_2)} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(2) If \(X(0) = \text{col}(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
D_1 &= 0, \\
D_2 &= 1, \\
E_1 &= -\frac{K_1(b_2-b_1) + K_2(b_2-b_2) + K_1(b_2-\alpha_1) - K(b_3+b_3)}{\gamma_1-\gamma_2}, \\
E_2 &= \frac{K_2(b_2-b_2) + K_3(b_2-\alpha_1) - K(b_1+b_1)}{\gamma_1-\gamma_2}, \\
D_5 &= -\frac{1}{\alpha_1-\alpha_2},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
K_1 = 0,
\]

\[
K_2 = \frac{c_1 (\alpha_3 - b_2)}{(\alpha_1 - b_2)[a_1^2 - \alpha_1(\alpha_3 + b_3) + (\alpha_3 b_3 + c_3)]},
\]

\[
K_3 = \frac{c_1 (\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)}{(b_2 - \alpha_1)[a_1^2 - \alpha_1(\alpha_3 + b_3) + (\alpha_3 b_3 + c_3)]}.
\]
The second column of the Cauchy matrix is the following:

\[ C_2(t,s) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\cdot \\
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\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
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-\frac{1}{c_3} e^{-b_2(t-s)}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
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The fourth column of the Cauchy matrix is the following:

\[
C_4(t, s) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{c_3 e^{\gamma_1(t-s)}}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1} \\
0 \\
\frac{c_3 e^{2\gamma_1(t-s)}}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1} \\
\frac{e^{\gamma_1(t-s)[\gamma_1 + b_3]}}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1} + \frac{e^{2\gamma_1(t-s)[\gamma_2 + b_3]}}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(5) If \( X(0) = \text{col}[0, 0, 0, 0, 1] \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
D_1 &= 0, \\
D_2 &= 0, \\
E_1 &= -\frac{c_1 - K_1[b_2 - b_1] - K_3[b_3 - b_1] - K_1[b_3 - \alpha_1] + K_3[b_2 + b_3]}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}, \\
E_2 &= -\frac{c_1 - K_1[b_2 - b_1] - K_3[b_3 - b_1] - K_3[b_3 - \alpha_1] + K_1[b_2 + b_3]}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}, \\
D_5 &= 1,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
K_1 = 0, \quad K_2 = 0, \quad K_3 = \frac{c_1[\alpha_3 - \alpha_1]}{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1(\alpha_3 + b_3) + (\alpha_3 b_3 + c_3)}.
\]

The fifth column of the Cauchy matrix is the following:

\[
C_5(t, s) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
-\frac{c_1 + K_3[b_2 + b_1]}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1} e^{\gamma_1(t-s)} + \frac{c_1 + K_1[b_1 + \alpha_1]}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1} e^{2\gamma_1(t-s)} + K_3 e^{-\alpha_1(t-s)} \\
-\frac{1}{c_3} \left[ \frac{c_1 + K_3[b_2 + b_1]}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1} e^{\gamma_1(t-s)} [\gamma_1 + b_3] + \frac{c_1 + K_1[b_1 + \alpha_1]}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1} e^{2\gamma_1(t-s)} [\gamma_2 + b_3] \\
+ K_3 e^{-\alpha_1(t-s)} [b_3 - \alpha_1] - c_1 e^{-\alpha_1(t-s)} \right] \\
e^{-\alpha_1(t-s)}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Construction of the Cauchy matrix of system with ordinary differential equations can be found, for example, in [13].

5 Effects of changes in the right-hand side and of uncertain coefficient on the behavior of solutions

Constructing a system, we neglect the influences of different factors that seem to be nonessential. We also cannot know exactly the values of the coefficients describing the model. The Cauchy matrix \( C(t, s) \) allows us to estimate the influences of all these factors on the testosterone concentration.

Consider the systems

\[
X'(t) - AX(t) = F(t)
\] (5.0)

and

\[
Y'(t) - AY(t) = F(t) + \Delta F(t),
\] (5.1)
where the \((5 \times 5)\) matrix \(A\) is described in (3.3), \(X(t) = \text{col}(x_1(t), \ldots, x_5(t))\), and \(\Delta F(t)\) describes a change of the right-hand side. We assume that \(F(t)\) and \(\Delta F(t)\) are 5-vectors with essentially bounded components \(F_i(t)\) and \(\Delta F_i(t)\). The general solution of system (5.0) has the following representation:

\[
X(t) = \int_0^t C(t, s)F(s)\, ds + C(t, 0)X(0),
\]

where \(C(t, s)\) is the Cauchy matrix of system (5.0). In the following assertion, we estimate the difference between the solution-vector \(Y(t)\) of system (5.1) and the solution \(X(t)\) of system (5.0) with the same initial condition (i.e., \(X(0) = Y(0)\)).

**Theorem 5.1** Under conditions (2.6), (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), system (3.2) is exponentially stable and the following inequality

\[
\|Y(t) - X(t)\| \leq \|C\| \|\Delta F(t)\|
\]

is true, where

\[
\|C\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 5} \left( \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_0^t \sum_{j=0}^5 \left| C_{ij}(t, s) \right| \, ds \right),
\]

\[
\|\Delta F\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 5} \left( \text{esssup}_{t \geq 0} |\Delta F_i(t)| \right).
\]

The elements \(C_{ij}(t, s)\) were obtained in paragraph 4. The proof follows from the representation of solution of system (5.0).

Consider now the following system of equations with uncertain coefficient:

\[
\begin{aligned}
    x_1'(t) + b_1 x_1(t) &= 0, \\
    x_2'(t) + b_2 x_2(t) - g_1 x_1(t) &= 0, \\
    x_3'(t) + b_3 x_3(t) - c_1 \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_1(t-s)} x_2(s)\, ds + (c_3 + \Delta c_3(t)) \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_3(t-s)} x_3(s)\, ds &= f(t), \\
    x_4'(t) + \alpha_3 x_4(t) - x_3(t) &= 0, \\
    x_5'(t) + \alpha_1 x_5(t) - x_2(t) &= 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

(5.2)

A “noise” in this parameter \(\Delta c_3(t)\) can be a result of individual conditions of the patient. For example, assimilation of a drug in the body of different patients can have different speeds. System (5.2) of integro-differential equations can be reduced to the following system of ordinary differential equations:

\[
\begin{aligned}
    x_1'(t) + b_1 x_1(t) &= 0, \\
    x_2'(t) + b_2 x_2(t) - g_1 x_1(t) &= 0, \\
    x_3'(t) + b_3 x_3(t) - c_1 x_2(t) + (c_3 + \Delta c_3(t)) x_4(t) &= f(t), \\
    x_4'(t) + \alpha_3 x_4(t) - x_3(t) &= 0, \\
    x_5'(t) + \alpha_1 x_5(t) - x_2(t) &= 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

(5.3)

where \(x_4(0) = 0, x_5(0) = 0\).

We can write our system in the following form:

\[
X' = AX + (\Delta A)X + F(t),
\]

(5.4)
where

\[
X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \\ x_3(t) \\ x_4(t) \\ x_5(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\Delta c_1(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The general solution of the auxiliary system

\[
X' - AX = Z
\]  

(5.5)
can be represented in the following form:

\[
X(t) = \int_0^t C(t, s)Z(s) \, ds + C(t, 0)X(0).
\]  

(5.6)

Finally, combining (5.5) and (5.4), we have

\[
Z = (\Delta A)X + F(t),
\]

whence, using expression (5.6), we arrive at

\[
Z(t) = (\Omega Z)(t) + \tilde{F}(t),
\]  

(5.7)

which can be written in the operator form as follows:

\[
Z(t) = (\Omega Z)(t) + \tilde{F}(t),
\]  

(5.8)

where \(\tilde{F}\) is the right-hand side in equation (5.7). It is clear from Theorem 3.1 that \(\tilde{F} \in L_5^\infty\), \(L_5^\infty\) is the space of measurable essentially bounded five-component vector-functions, and

\[
\Omega : L_\infty^5 \to L_\infty^5, \quad (\Omega Z)(t) = (\Delta A)(t) \int_0^t C(t, s)Z(s) \, ds.
\]

Below we estimate the norm \(\|\Omega\|\) of the operator \(\Omega\). It is clear that

\[
\|\Omega\| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq 5} \left( \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^5 \left| (\Delta A(t)C(t, s))^j \right| \, ds \right).
\]
Let us denote $Q_i = \text{esssup}_{t \geq 0} \int_0^t \sum_{j=2}^5 |(\Delta A(t)C(t,s))_{ij}| \, ds$, $\Delta c^*_3 = \text{esssup}_{t \geq 0} |\Delta c_3(t)|$, and

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_1^* &= \Delta c^*_3 \left( \frac{K_1[b_1+b_1]}{b_1} + \frac{K_1[b_3+b_3]}{b_3} \right), \\
Q_2^* &= \Delta c^*_3 \left( \frac{K_1[b_1+b_1]}{b_1} + \frac{K_1[b_3+b_3]}{b_3} \right), \\
Q_3^* &= \Delta c^*_3 \left( \frac{K_1[b_1+b_1]}{b_1} + \frac{K_1[b_3+b_3]}{b_3} \right), \\
Q_4^* &= \Delta c^*_3 \left( \frac{K_1[b_1+b_1]}{b_1} + \frac{K_1[b_3+b_3]}{b_3} \right), \\
Q_5^* &= \Delta c^*_3 \left( \frac{K_1[b_1+b_1]}{b_1} + \frac{K_1[b_3+b_3]}{b_3} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

(5.9)

It is clear from the estimates of the elements of the Cauchy matrix that $Q_i \leq Q_j^*$ for $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

**Theorem 5.2** Let conditions (2.6), (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) and the inequality $\max_{1 \leq j \leq 5} \{Q_j^*\} < 1$ be fulfilled, then system (5.3) with uncertain coefficient is also exponentially stable.
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