Rotigotine transdermal patch and sleep in Parkinson’s disease: where are we now?
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INTRODUCTION

A range of sleep dysfunctions complicate Parkinson’s disease (PD) and at some stage during the course of the disease, patients may report insomnia, sleep-related breathing disorders, hyper-somnolence, parasomnias, and sleep-related movement disorders.1 Rapid eye movement (REM) sleep behavior disorder (RBD), as well as excessive daytime somnolence, can be key clinical markers of nocturnal sleep disturbances in Parkinson’s disease.2–4 Later on, motor symptoms can disturb sleep maintenance. Frequent awakenings at night due to RLS, periodic limb movements in sleep (PLMS) or early morning off (EMO) associated with non-motor symptoms (NMS) likely contribute to the complexity of night-time sleep dysfunction.5 6

Rotigotine (RTG) transdermal patch has been suggested to be efficacious for management of some sleep related problems in PD and is currently the only therapeutic strategy examined with the rotigotine transdermal patch in this setting. A more detailed description of studies addressing specific features of sleep disruption and the use of RTG follows. We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, and PsycINFO from inception through to October 15, 2016 using a combination of the following search terms: Parkinson* AND rotigotine AND sleep. The literature search was limited to open-label and blinded studies in the English language. To ensure a comprehensive coverage of the potential effects of RTG on sleep, studies addressing nocturnal pain and RBD were also identified through cited references and authors’ databases.

Night-time sleep disturbances in Parkinson’s disease

Nocturnal sleep related problems in PD encompass a wide range of disturbances such as insomnia, RBD, nocturia, RLS, PLMS and sleep disordered breathing (SDB) that are often associated with one another.1 One of the most frequent reported however in PD is sleep fragmentation.6 9 In community-based studies, frequent night-time awakenings were shown to occur in nearly 40% of patients.10 11 Sleep fragmentation is clinically defined as the presence of recurrent, involuntary and frequent nocturnal awakenings (conscious arousals) that interrupt normal sleep maintenance and prevent patients from getting a normal amount of deep sleep. It is one of the earliest sleep problems to develop in PD patients, increasing in frequency with disease duration and motor stage.8 10

The high frequency of sleep fragmentation is partly explained by its many potential etiologies, including nocturnal recurrence of motor symptoms, pain, restless legs-like symptoms, coexistent SDB, depression, or nocturia.10 12 In one study, higher levodopa equivalent daily dose of levodopa preparations and dopamine agonists together with more severe depressive symptoms, better cognition and more severe motor fluctuations were shown to account for almost one third of the night-time sleep problems
variance. An association with the type of dopamine agonist was not mentioned in the manuscript, yet, in clinical practice, potential drug induced sleep problems (including dopamine agonists) have always to be considered when approaching PD patients with insomnia. In addition, sleep disturbances have increasingly been considered as an inherent component of the degenerative process itself, associated with neuronal degeneration and both α-synuclein and tau deposition in key structures involved in sleep cycle and maintenance, such as the locus coeruleus, raphe nuclei, paraventricular and posterior hypothalamic nuclei, amygdala, and thalamus. More recently, the basal ganglia and dopaminergic pathways have implicated the role of glutamatergic and dopaminergic neurotransmission and the role of dopaminergic drugs targeting central pain in PD patients, and the use of validated scales of pain in PD might help to further differentiate and address different pain syndromes in clinical practice.

Despite the overwhelming evidence of night-time sleep disruption in PD and the different components that contribute to a bad quality of sleep, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of all randomized trials comparing pharmacological interventions has highlighted the lack of evidence supporting specific interventions. In essence, the management of sleep dysfunction in PD remains complex and, in many cases, a key unmet need.

Rotigotine pharmacology and why it may help with sleep related problems in Parkinson’s disease

RTG (previously N-0923) is a non-ergolinic aminotetralin dopamine agonist delivered using a silicone-based transdermal patch. The transdermal formulation has proven to be useful due to RTG’s extensive first-pass gastrointestinal metabolism, short elimination half-life after intravenous administration, and high lipid soluble properties. The important advantages of a 24-hour delivery system, bypassing the gastrointestinal (GI) system and a once daily application have been previously described. Caregivers’ perceived advantages over oral therapies have also been highlighted recently, adding a much needed perspective in PD management.

RTG is a D3/D2/D1 dopamine agonist with antagonistic activity at α2B receptors and weak, but significant, agonistic activity at 5-HT1A. The transdermal formulation has proven to be useful due to RTG’s extensive first-pass gastrointestinal metabolism, short elimination half-life after intravenous administration, and high lipid soluble properties. The important advantages of a 24-hour delivery system, bypassing the gastrointestinal (GI) system and a once daily application have been previously described. Caregivers’ perceived advantages over oral therapies have also been highlighted recently, adding a much needed perspective in PD management.

The reported prevalence of pain in PD patients varies depending on methodological assessments and definitions used; a recent systematic review indicated a mean pain prevalence of 68%. Different types of pain have been recognized in PD, including musculoskeletal, dystonic, neuropathic, and central, which further contribute to the complexity of the management of this NMS. A systematic review and meta-analysis of experimental studies has emphasized the potential role of dopaminergic neurotransmission and the role of dopaminergic drugs targeting central pain in PD patients, and the use of validated scales of pain in PD might help to further differentiate and address different pain syndromes in clinical practice.

Variability in the diagnosis of RLS has been noted. RTG has demonstrated significant and rapid improvement in patients with idiopathic RLS, with a good safety and tolerability profile. The treatment of RLS with RTG has been shown to provide rapid and clinically meaningful improvements in the proportion of patients that become RLS free, in the median RLS frequency, and the median nightly urge to move.

It is reasonable to assume that the non-oral route and the continuous stimulation achieved by RTG patch would be helpful for several aspects of sleep dysfunction in PD as outlined in Table 1 and further described in the following sections. Moreover, the RTG’s specific effects on different types dopamine receptors and others, might further contribute to its potential beneficial effects on nocturnal sleep disruption in PD, although this remains speculative. In the following sections we discuss open-label and blinded studies investigating the effects of RTG in night-time sleep disturbances.
Nocturnal motor symptoms, sleep fragmentation, pain

Improved quality of nocturnal sleep and difficulty in staying asleep (PDSS-2 individual items, both $p < 0.05$) in advanced PD (14+ years)

Nocturnal sleep disturbances, nocturia

Overall improvement in sleep quality (PDSS total score)

Sleep quality, nocturia

Decrease of number of nocturias (from 2.05 (0–6) to 1.4 (0–3.5) counts per night)

Early morning motor symptoms, nocturnal sleep disturbance

Improvement of sleep quality and nocturia (VAS)

Sleep fragmentation, nocturnal sleep disturbance

Stable improvement in sleep seen over a period of up to 1 year (PDSS-2)

Sleep fragmentation, nocturnal motor symptoms, RLLS, nocturia

Improvement of sleep fragmentation (PDSS-2, $p < 0.001$), nocturnal motor symptoms ($p < 0.001$), RLLS ($p < 0.005$) and nocturia ($p < 0.004$)

Nocturnal sleep disturbances, nocturia, pain

Nocturia as a major complain in 69.1% of patients at baseline

Sleep fragmentation, RLS, nocturia

Improvement of pain (VAS Pain, $p < 0.001$)

Nocturnal sleep disturbances, sleep fragmentation, PLMS

Overall improvement in sleep quality (PDSS-2 total score, $p < 0.011$)

Nocturnal sleep disturbances, sleep fragmentation

Improvement of sleep fragmentation (PDSS-2 total score and PSQI, $p < 0.01$), SE ($p = 0.017$), mean duration of wake episodes ($p < 0.005$)

Nocturnal pain

No statistically significant improvement in KPPD, including nocturnal pain improvement in quality of life (PDQ-8, $p = 0.038$)

Sleep fragmentation

No specific treatment for sleep fragmentation is currently established in clinical guidelines for PD, but some of its causes can be treated by the use of long-acting dopaminergic agents. The multinational, double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled RECOVER study investigated the effects of RTG in a sample of 246 PD patients with unsatisfactory early-morning motor symptom control. 7 Nocturnal sleep disturbance measured by PDSS-2 was assessed as a coprimary endpoint. RTG transdermal patch (n = 191; 2–16 mg/24 h; mean age 64.8 ± 9.3 years, 64% male) compared to placebo (n = 96; mean age 64.4 ± 10.6 years; 64% male) significantly improved motor function, early morning akinesia, and nocturnal sleep disturbances in patients with PD (PDSS-2 treatment difference −4.26 [95% CI −6.08, −2.45]; $p < 0.0001$). Moreover, the reported beneficial effects of RTG patch have been shown to be sustained in a 1 year open label extension of that study as shown in Fig. 1. 85 However, regarding individual items of the PDSS-2, specifically individual item 3 (‘Did you have difficulty falling asleep?’), no significant improvement was observed in the original RECOVER study. 7 Whereas patients receiving RTG or placebo showed no significant differences in PDSS-2 total score at baseline, it is not clear whether patients with sleep maintenance problems were well-balanced between treatment arms. Considering the limitations of the study and the importance of specifically assessing whether RTG could be effective for improving sleep fragmentation, posterior studies were performed including patients with self-reported complaints of recurrent nocturnal awakenings.

---

### Table 1. List of sleep related dysfunction/abnormality in PD where use of RTG transdermal patch may be helpful

| Sleep Symptoms in PD | Nature of trial | RTG dose* | Sleep-related important findings |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Nocturnal motor symptoms, sleep fragmentation, pain | Single center, open-label, single-arm study 26 | 2–4 mg/day (overnight) | Improvement of quality of nocturnal sleep and difficulty in staying asleep (PDSS-2 items, both $p < 0.05$) in advanced PD (14+ years) |
| Nocturnal sleep disturbances, nocturia | Single center, open-label, single-arm study 27 | 11.8 ± 3.9 mg/24 h | Overall improvement in sleep quality (PDSS total score) |
| Sleep quality, nocturia | German multicenter, open-label, single-arm study 30 | 6.6 ± 2.5 mg/24 h | Improvement of sleep quality and nocturia (VAS) |
| Early morning motor symptoms, nocturnal sleep disturbance | Multinational, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled (RECOVER) study 9 | 2–16 mg/24 h | All 15 individual PDSS-2 items except ‘distressing hallucinations’ showed significant improvements, particularly difficulty falling asleep, ‘urge to move arms or legs’ and ‘uncomfortable or immobile’ ($p < 0.001$) |
| Early morning motor symptoms, nocturnal sleep disturbance | Open label extension of the RECOVER study 31 | 11.5 ± 3.8 mg/24 h | Stable improvement in sleep seen over a period of up to 1 year (PDSS-2) |
| Sleep fragmentation, nocturnal motor symptoms, RLLS, nocturia | Spanish, multicenter, open-label, single-arm (SLEEP-FRAM) study 32 | 8.5 ± 3.0 mg/24 h | Improvement of sleep fragmentation (PDSS-2, $p < 0.0001$), nocturnal motor symptoms ($p < 0.001$), RLLS ($p < 0.005$) and nocturia ($p < 0.004$) |
| Nocturnal sleep disturbances, nocturia, pain | Spanish, multicenter, open-label, single arm study 33 | 11.8 mg/day (overnight) | Nocturia as a major complain in 69.1% of patients at baseline |
| Sleep fragmentation, RLS, nocturia | Single-center, open-label, single-arm study 34 | 6–8 mg/24 h | Overall improvement in sleep quality (PDSS-2 total score, $p < 0.001$) |
| Nocturnal sleep disturbances, sleep fragmentation, PLMS | Single-center, open-label, single-arm study 35 | 10.56 ± 6.34 mg/24 h | Improvement of pain (VAS Pain, $p < 0.001$) |
| Nocturnal sleep disturbances, sleep fragmentation | Single-center, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study 36 | 9.14 ± 1.85 mg/day (overnight) | Amelioration of sleep maintenance (PDSS-2, $p = 0.018$), uncomfortable sensation due to immobility ($p = 0.011$), RLLS ($p = 0.026$), and nocturia ($p = 0.04$) |
| Nocturnal sleep disturbances, sleep fragmentation | Single-center, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study 37 | 14.7 ± 5.1 mg/24 h | Improvements in WASO (actigraphy, $p = 0.013$), SE ($p = 0.017$), mean duration of wake episodes ($p < 0.005$) |

*As most presented studies were designed in a pragmatic fashion, the wide range of RTG dosages used might reflect different PD population groups regarding disease duration, concomitant dopaminergic therapy, side-effects or local standard clinical practice.

---

KPD King's PD pain scale, PD Parkinson's disease, PDSS Parkinson's disease sleep scale, PDSS-2 Parkinson's disease sleep scale 2, PMLS periodic limb movement of sleep, RBD rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder, RLLS restless legs-like symptoms, RTG rotigotine, SE sleep efficiency, SL sleep latency, VAS visual analog scale, WASO wake after sleep onset
The multicenter prospective SLEEP-FRAM study explored the effects of RTG on sleep disruption in a sample of 62 PD patients (mean age 70.2 ± 7 years; 66% male; mean Hoehn and Yahr stage (HY) 2.2 ± 0.8) with self-reported complaints of nocturnal awakenings, but well-controlled parkinsonian motor symptoms during daytime. The main primary endpoint was a change from baseline in sleep fragmentation, as assessed by the item 3 of the PDSS-2. Secondary outcome variables included a small number of PDSS-2 subscale scores and other self-reported sleep measures. After 3 months of treatment, RTG (mean dose 8.9 mg/day) significantly improved sleep fragmentation [from 3.4 ± 0.9 to 1.9 ± 1.4 (p < 0.0001)]; patients improved from getting up at night 4–5 times to twice per week. By analyzing the different nocturnal symptoms explored by the PDSS-2, improvement in sleep fragmentation was driven by significant improvement of parkinsonian nocturnal motor symptoms (e.g., difficulties turning around in bed, rigidity, muscle cramps), restless-legs like symptoms and nocturia. This study had some important limitations. It was an open-label trial with no control group and the primary outcome was solely based on subjective reports. Moreover, patients were followed up for only three months and other sleep problems that can only be assessed using polysomnography (SDB, RBD, PLMS), were not analyzed. Correction for multiple testing was not mentioned in the manuscript, however, the study was restricted to a small number of planned comparisons clearly described in the protocol.

A more objective improvement of RTG on sleep fragmentation was observed in another open-label study that included 15 PD patients (mean age 67 ± 9 years; 80% males; mean disease duration 5 ± 3 years) with self-reported sleep complaints and a PDSS-2 total score ≥ 10. In stable PD patients with HY < 3, the effect of RTG (6–8 mg/day) on different sleep parameters was assessed by sleep diaries, the PDSS-2, and actigraphic recordings at baseline and after 2 months of treatment. Similarly to previous studies, RTG significantly improved PDSS-2 total score [from 20 (16–30) to 9 (4–20); p = 0.001], which correlated with significant improvement in the PD Questionnaire-39 (PDQ-39) total score (p = 0.016). More specifically, the PDSS-2 symptoms that improved were very similar to those found in the SLEEP-FRAM study, with amelioration of sleep maintenance, uncomfortable sensation due to immobility, restless-legs like symptoms (limb restlessness, urge to move limbs), and nocturia. According to patients’ diaries, nights of good sleep per week significantly increased, and number and duration of nocturnal awakenings significantly decreased. In the actigraphic recordings, improvements were observed in Wake-time After Sleep Onset (WASO; p = 0.013), sleep efficiency (total sleep time /time in bed; p = 0.017), and mean duration of wake episodes (p = 0.005), which patients often report as most bothersome. Besides the open-label nature of the study and the lack of a control group, other important limitations include the small sample size and the short period of follow-up. Additionally, specific primary outcomes on sleep were not clearly defined in the protocol, and a number of self-reported sleep and nocturnal actigraphic measures were used to assess potential beneficial effects of RTG on sleep disturbance. Statistical significance was set at p ≤ 0.05 and a rationale for the potential adjustment of p values was not stated.

Objective improvements of RTG were also evaluated in another open-label study in a sample of 25 PD patients (mean age 63.12 ± 12.21 years; 64% males; mean HY 2.58 ± 0.94) with self-reported unsatisfactory nocturnal sleep disturbances. In addition to subjective sleep measures (PDSS-2 total score for nocturnal sleep; Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) for daytime sleepiness), participants underwent overnight video-polysomnography (VPSG) tests. This allowed objective measurements of sleep quality at baseline and at the end of the up to 6 months dose-maintenance period (RTG daily dose 10.56 ± 6.34 mg; mean time of RTG therapy 20.6 ± 8.08 weeks). The significant improvement in the PDSS-2 observed
was small [from baseline 19.96 ± 8.51 to end of treatment 18.32 ± 7.83; \( p = 0.011 \)] but in line with previous studies showing an overall improvement, and the worsening of ESS total score was found not to be significant [from baseline 4.72 ± 2.99, end of treatment 6.24 ± 4.00; \( p = 0.077 \)]. More importantly, sleep efficiency was significantly increased [from 68.59 ± 16.06 to 74.04 ± 15.39%, \( p = 0.034 \)], while the sleep latency [from 28.28 ± 32.56 to 18.08 ± 13.14 min; \( p = 0.044 \)], WASO [from 14.44 ± 8.68 to 10.76 ± 6.08 times; \( p = 0.048 \)], and PLMS index [from 22.68 ± 18.97 to 14.24 ± 14.58; \( p = 0.000 \)] were significantly decreased after RTG treatment. Improvements of specific individual items of the PDSS-2 and the mean duration of wake episodes were not mentioned in the manuscript. Limitations of this study include some of the above mentioned shortcomings such as the open-label design, lack of a control group and the small sample size. However, despite its exploratory nature, the main outcome variables were defined in the protocol and restricted to a limited number of subjective and objective endpoint parameters; statistical significance was set up at \( p < 0.05 \).

Open-label studies limitations have been overcome by a recent double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled study that have assessed the efficacy of RTG on sleep fragmentation in PD patients, by using subjective sleep scales (PDSS-2, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index) and polysomnography.69 The study enrolled 42 patients that received either RTG (\( n = 21 \); 9.14 ± 1.85 mg/day; mean age 63.28 ± 2.98 years; mean HY 2.28 ± 0.25) or placebo (\( n = 21 \); mean age 64.04 ± 2.90 years; mean HY 2.23 ± 0.25) during 10 weeks. RTG patches were administered from 18:00 h to 21:00 h. RTG signiﬁcantly improved sleep efﬁciency (\( p < 0.001 \)), WASO (\( p < 0.001 \)), as well as sleep latency (\( p < 0.001 \)) compared to baseline and the placebo group; \( p \)-values were compensated by the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Mean duration of wake episodes was not measured. It is remarkable–both for clinical and research purposes–that clear and signiﬁcant correlations were shown between scores in subjective sleep assessment tools and polysomnographic parameters, suggesting that the use of the PDSS-2 seems appropriate for assessing changes in sleep when polysomnography is not available.

Restless legs syndrome

There are currently no trials assessing the efﬁcacy of RTG with RLS in PD as a primary outcome measure. Extrapolation of data from the RECOVER study allowed analysis of the PDSS-2 (which has RLS related questions under ‘Motor symptoms at night’ domain) which suggested that the two items of the PDSS-2 related to RLS (‘Restlessness of arms and legs’ and ‘Urge to move arms or legs’, as shown in Fig. 2) are signiﬁcantly improved by application of RTG patch compared to placebo (\( p < 0.01 \) and \( p < 0.001 \), respectively). Further studies have corroborated the potential beneﬁcial effect of RTG on restless legs-like symptoms as assessed by the two PDSS-2 individual items related to RLS.66, 67 Furthermore, PLMS has also been shown to improved when assessed by the PMLS index in recently published open-label studies using VPSG recordings.68, 70

Nocturia

In the SLEEP-FRAM study and in the study by Calandra-Buonaura and colleagues, patients with sleep complaints receiving RTG had signiﬁcantly less nocturia when compared to baseline at 3-month and 2-month of follow-up, respectively (\( p = 0.04 \) in both studies), as assessed by the PDSS-2 individual item 8.66, 67 Another open-label single-arm study addressing the effect of night-time use of RTG in a sample of 54 PD patients (mean age 65.0 ± 10.0 years; 64.8% male; mean disease duration 5.25 ± 3.4 years) demonstrated improvement on diurnal motor symptoms, as assessed with a BMSQ-D scale (% improvement: 21.7 ± 13.7; \( p = 0.000 \)).
years) with self-reported unsatisfactory control of early morning motor impairment observed encouraging beneficial effects on nocturia as well. An improvement of the number of nocturias [from baseline to end of treatment of −0.66 ± 0.9] was noted after an up to 4 week dose-maintenance period (11.8 mg/24 h). An open-label study that selected 74 PD patients (mean age 71.5 ± 8.6 years; 54.3% male; mean disease duration 5.6 ± 5.2 years) with unsatisfactory control of nocturnal and early morning symptoms observed that nocturia was the major complaint of night-time sleep disturbance in two third of the patients. The authors also noted a significant improvement in all 3 domains of the PDSS-2, including nocturia, after 3 months of night-time RTG treatment (mean 5.31 mg/day) compared to baseline assessments.

An open-label study investigating the potential effects of RTG in combination therapy in a sample of 969 PD patients (age 70.0 ± 9.3 years; 61.7% male) used individual items 1 (‘The overall quality of your night’s sleep is’) and 8 (‘Do you get up at night to pass urine?’) from the PD Sleep Scale (PDSS) to assess sleep quality and nocturia. For each item, participants were given a visual analog 100 mm scale from 0 (worst state) to 100 (best state) and were asked to rate their respective state at baseline and at two follow-up visits, 5 to 10 weeks and 12 to 16 weeks following RTG initiation, respectively (5.8 ± 2.3 mg/24 h and 6.6 ± 2.5 mg/). Eligible participants did not need to have specific sleep problems to be enrolled as the primary objective of the study was to evaluate RTG administration in combination with other antiparkinsonian medication in routine clinical practice. Both items assessing sleep quality and nocturia continuously improved during RTG treatment, and the occurrence of nocturias showed an increase by 13.4 points from baseline to the last follow up visit in the above mentioned analog scale. Despite the evidence from open-label studies, these positive results were not replicated in the RECOVER study, where no significant improvement of nocturia could be found in the RTG treated group when compared to placebo as assessed by the PDSS-2 individual item 8 (‘Got up to pass urine.’) The NMS Scale (NMSS) score used as a secondary outcome measure to address nocturia, did not show a significant improvement when comparing the RTG treated and the placebo-controlled group. The reasons for the discrepancy remain unknown, however the RECOVER study was designed to specifically address early morning symptoms, not including potential patients without EMO but with significant night-time sleep disturbance associated with nocturia.

Nocturnal pain
Pain poses a big burden on affected PD patients and has been reported by as many as one third of the patients included in the RECOVER study. The effect of RTG treatment on pain has been assessed by a post-hoc analysis of the RECOVER study by means of the Likert pain scale, as well as analyzing pain in other scales including Nocturnal Akinesia Dystonia and Cramp Score (NADC5), PDQ-8, PDSS-2 and NMSS. The results showed a significant improvement in pain in the subgroup of moderate to severe pain (pain score above 3 points in the Likert pain scale) when compared to placebo, but not in the subgroup of mild pain (1–3 points in the Likert pain scale).

A double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled study in a sample of 60 patients further investigated the effect of the RTG patch on PD-associated pain as a primary outcome. RTG transdermal patch (n = 35; 14.7 ± 5.1 mg/24 h; age 66.5 ± 11.9 years; 54% male [safety set]) compared to placebo (n = 33; age 65.3 ± 13.8 years; 52% male [safety set]) did not show statistically significant improvements in the King’s PD Pain Scale (KPSS). However, when assessing the changes in pain in the PDQ-8 score in the RTG and the placebo arms, significance levels were reached, postulating a possible improvement of pain by RTG therapy.

Moreover, improvement of pain by RTG treatment has also been reported by an open-label study. Pain, as assessed by the visual analog scale (VAS) score, significantly improved after 3 months of exclusively night-time RTG treatment (mean 5.31 mg/day) when compared to baseline [from 3.2 ± 2.5 to 2.3 ± 2.4; p < 0.001] in a group of 74 patients.

REM sleep behavior disorder
While the pathophysiological origin of RBD is unclear and likely to be non-dopaminergic, one study from China performed an open label study in 11 PD patients using a RBD Questionnaire-Hong Kong (RBDQ-HK) and blinded VPSG assessments. The authors reported a subjective improvement of the motor aspects of RBD after RTG along with improvement in PLMS index and total sleep time, although RBD related sleep parameters were unaffected. The role of RTG in the management of RBD therefore, needs to be explored.

Rotigotine in apomorphine or other advanced therapies treated advanced Parkinson’s patients
The effects of RTG on sleep disturbances in PD are most frequently assessed in groups of patients with a fairly early disease course, however the described potential beneficial effects of RTG might be observed in advanced PD patients as well. An open-label single-arm study in a small sample of 6 PD patients (age 60.4 ± 7.8 years; 83.3% male; disease duration 17.4 ± 3.2 years) investigated the effects of RTG patch on sleep disorders in advanced PD treated with day-time apomorphine infusion (3.1 ± 0.8 mg/h for 12 h). Compared to baseline, the PDSS total score decreased on average 44.8% [from 21.2 ± 8.2 to 11.7 ± 8.8; p < 0.05] at 4-month follow up. Improvements in 12 out of the 15 items of the scale were recorded, with quality of sleep and difficulty in staying asleep showing statistically significant differences (both p < 0.05). Furthermore, the VAS score used to quantify sleep problems improved by 65% [from 2.6 ± 0.6 to 7.4 ± 0.6]. This small-open label study supports the use of low-dosage RTG in advanced PD patients with sleep disturbances, indicating a good tolerance with no incidence of relevant side effect such as hallucinations. Further studies addressing the effect and tolerability of RTG on sleep disturbances in advanced PD patients are needed to confirm these preliminary observations.

Possible adverse sleep related events
Most studies do not mention any specific sleep related side effects of RTG use and it seems well tolerated. In the RECOVER study, two RTG treated subjects reported a sleep attack and one participant had suggestive findings of compulsive sexual behavior.

An open-label study investigated the Clinical Global Impression item 4 (CGI-4) assessing safety as a primary outcome of RTG as an add-on to oral dopamine agonist therapy. In a sample of 79 PD patients (age 61.3 ± 9.3 years; 52% male; disease duration 7.4 ± 3.9 years) with EMO or nocturnal sleep disturbance, the RTG maintenance dose was 5.71 ± 2.28 mg/24 h for 58.7 ± 14.9 days, while the concomitant oral dopamine agonist (pramipexole 57%, ropinirole 43%) as RTG converted dose at baseline was 4.02 ± 1.66 mg/24 h. The authors noted an adverse event profile similar to previous studies of RTG in patients with advanced PD. Hallucinations were observed in two subjects, and somnolence, insomnia and impulse compulsive behavior in three subjects. Furthermore, no obvious relationship with total dopamine agonist dose was found. Improvements in the PDSS-2 total score, PSQI global score, and PDSS-2 individual items relating to sleep maintenance, while worsening of item 7 (distressing hallucinations at night) were also observed. Most importantly, 93% of the subjects showed a CGI-4 score < 3 indicating that the add-on therapy did not interfere with
functioning. Besides the above mentioned nocturnal hallucinations in two subjects and insomnia in one patient, no other sleep related events were reported.

Other open-label studies have observed a decrease in number or duration of daytime sleep episodes with RTG therapy or no significant increase in day-time somnolence.

While other dopamine agonists may have variable effects on sleep architecture and thus insomnia, RTG therapy, as noted in the selected studies, did not appear to have any adverse events related to insomnia or indeed there are no reports of RTG related nocturnal binge eating as a manifestation of impulse control disorder. Furthermore, preliminary studies also suggest that adding RTG to other dopamine agonist therapy is well tolerated in most patients.

CONCLUSION

Original evidence for the use of RTG for nocturnal dysfunction in PD has been suggested in several open-label studies, which have been eluded to in this review and, importantly, in the RECOVER study which was the first one to use the PDSS-2 as a core primary outcome measure. The data were extremely powerful, suggesting a strong effect of RTG transdermal patch on several aspects of sleep problems in PD, particularly around maintenance of sleep, nocturnal restlessness, nocturnal akinesia, as well as sleep refreshment. At the same time, it showed that the RTG transdermal patch did not aggravate daytime somnolence as the rates were similar to that of placebo. These observations were confirmed in a post hoc analysis that was published based on the RECOVER study data. Furthermore, subsequently published studies have overall confirmed the potential beneficial effects of RTG transdermal patch on sleep dysfunction in PD, including nocturia, one of the most frequent and distressing symptoms reported as shown by the NIGHT-PD study.

While clinically, sleep dysfunction in PD can be evaluated by the PDSS, now widely used and validated across the globe, the effect of dopaminergic drugs on sleep architecture is rather more complex. Subjective sleep complaints are particularly sensitive to a placebo effect and most studies discussed have not used objective sleep parameters such as polysomnography. However, available evidence suggests that the RTG transdermal has a sustained benefit on sleep fragmentation and sleep efficiency as well.

Suggested specific clinical uses

Taken all this into consideration, one would therefore be inclined to suggest that currently the evidence base substantiate that, if a
PD patient reports sleep problems, which can be further defined and delineated by using the PDSS, RTG transdermal patch may be a good treatment alternative (Fig. 3). Obviously, one has to be aware that the neurobiology of sleep dysfunction in PD is complex and not only dopaminergic in nature, and therefore, we do not envisage the use of RTG transdermal patch for all sleep problems in PD.

Further large scale studies, in a controlled fashion using objective measures of sleep in PD, preferably with a multicenter design, would therefore be welcome to confirm the efficacy of RTG transdermal patch and sleep dysfunction in PD.
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