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Abstract: Perioperative hypertension is commonly encountered in patients that undergo surgery. While attempts have been made to standardize the method to characterize the intraoperative hemodynamics, these methods still vary widely. In addition, there is a lack of consensus concerning treatment thresholds and appropriate therapeutic targets, making absolute recommendations about treatment difficult. Nevertheless, perioperative hypertension requires careful management. When treatment is necessary, therapy should be individualized for the patient. This paper reviews the pharmacologic agents and strategies commonly used in the management of perioperative hypertension.
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Introduction

In the United States, it is estimated approximately 72 million people suffer hypertension (Rosamond et al 2007). Affecting ~30% of the US population >20 years-old, hypertension is one of the most common chronic medical conditions (Hajjar and Kotchen 2003; US National Center for Health Statistics 2005), and occurs almost twice as often in African-Americans as opposed to Caucasians (Burt et al 1995a, 1995b; Kearse et al 1998). Moreover, the incidence of hypertension increases with age (Dannenberg et al 1988; Borzecki et al 2003) and affects men at a slightly higher rate than women. Worldwide, hypertension may affect as many as 1 billion people and be responsible for ~7.1 million deaths per year (WHO 2002). Considering the prevalence of chronic hypertension, the management of patients with chronic hypertension undergoing surgery is of major clinical importance as these patients are at an increased risk of morbidity and mortality after surgery.

Hypertension is a frequent complication of cardiac surgery (Estafanous et al 1973; Viljoen et al 1976; Estafanous and Tarazi 1980). Perioperative hypertension occurs in 25% of hypertensive patients that undergo surgery (Prys-Roberts et al 1971; Goldman and Caldera 1979). During surgery, patients with and without preexisting hypertension are likely to develop blood pressure elevations and tachycardia during the induction of anesthesia (Erstad and Barletta 2000). Common predictors of perioperative hypertension are previous history of hypertension, especially a diastolic blood pressure greater than 110 mm Hg, and the type of surgery (Khuri et al 1995; Aronson et al 2002, 2007).

The Sixth Report of the Joint National Committee on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC6) (Chobanian et al 2003a; JNC 1997) identifies patients with a systolic blood pressure (BP) of >180 mm Hg, or a diastolic that is >110 mm Hg, as having a “hypertensive crisis”. Hypertensive crisis is a term referring to either hypertensive emergencies or urgencies.

Hypertensive emergencies (ie, severe elevations in BP [>180/110 mm Hg] complicated by evidence of impending or progressive target organ dysfunction) require immediate BP reduction to prevent or limit end organ damage. Examples of hypertensive emergencies...
emergencies include hypertensive encephalopathy, intracerebral hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and acute stroke; hypertension-induced acute renal dysfunction; and hypertension associated with unstable angina, acute myocardial infarction, acute coronary heart failure, and acute aortic dissection. Blood pressure should be reduced by 10%–15% (maximum of 20%) in a controlled fashion within the first hour with a continued decrease towards 160/100 mm Hg over the next 2–6 hours as tolerated by the patient. A more rapid reduction is indicated in patients with aortic dissection.

Hypertensive urgencies are those situations associated with severe elevations in BP without progressive target organ dysfunction. Since end organ dysfunction is not present, hypertensive urgencies require less rapid reductions in pressure (ie, hours to days).

This article reviews the treatment options available for the management of hypertension in patients undergoing surgery. Due to the low incidence of hypertension in the pediatric population, this review is limited to the management of perioperative hypertension in adult patients. In this article, the term perioperative refers to the time of hospitalization directly related to a surgical procedure; and includes the preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative (ie, 3 or 4 days post) periods.

Incidence

Perioperative hypertension often occurs in conjunction with one of the following events: during the induction of anesthesia; intraoperatively as associated with acute pain-induced sympathetic stimulation leading to vasoconstriction; in the early postanesthesia period, associated with pain induced sympathetic stimulation, hypothermia, hypoxia, or intravascular volume overload from excessive intraoperative fluid therapy; and in the 24 to 48 hours after postoperatively as fluid is mobilized from the extravascular space. In addition, blood pressure elevation secondary to discontinuation of long-term antihypertensive medication may occur postoperatively.

Hypertensive events occur most commonly with carotid surgery, abdominal aortic surgery, peripheral vascular procedures, and intraperitoneal, or intrathoracic, surgery (Goldman and Caldera 1979). At least 25% of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery have hypertension prior to their surgical procedure; elevated blood pressures (eg, systolic ≥170 mm Hg, diastolic ≥110 mm Hg) have been associated with complications such as myocardial ischemia (Goldman et al 1977, 1997).

Data suggest that diastolic blood pressure of ≥110 mm Hg is a preoperative marker of perioperative cardiac complications in patients with chronic hypertension (Goldman et al 1977). Patients with uncontrolled hypertension (ie, >170/95 mm Hg) undergoing carotid endarterectomies have been shown to have a significantly greater number of postoperative neurologic deficits (p < 0.01) than patients with better control or normotensive patients (Towne and Bernhard 1980; Asiddao et al 1982). Therefore, patients on antihypertensive therapy should maintain compliance to their treatment regimen prior to surgery, including the morning of surgery, as it may contribute to fewer intraoperative blood pressure fluctuations (Goldberg and Larijani 1998; Chobanian et al 2003a).

Preoperative hypertension

Preoperative hypertension is frequently a hypertensive urgency, not an emergency, as it typically does not involve end organ damage and there usually adequate time to reduce the BP (Goldberg and Larijani 1998). A prospective, randomized multicenter study of more than 17,000 patients found that preoperative hypertension was associated with perioperative bradycardia, tachycardia, and hypertension (Forrest et al 1992). In a multivariate analysis of risk factors for perioperative complications in men who underwent noncardiac surgery, the presence of preoperative hypertension increased the odds ratio for postoperative death to 3.8 times that of normotensives (Browner et al 1992). In a case-controlled study of patients who died of a cardiac cause within 30 days of elective surgery, a preoperative history of hypertension was 4 times more likely than in an equal number of age-matched controls (Howell et al 1996).

Overall, the incidence of substantial intraoperative BP elevations (ie, systolic pressure >160 mm Hg) in hypertensive patients undergoing noncardiac elective surgery appears to be <10% with appropriate patient selection (ie, controlled hypertension) (Mangano et al 1990). Patients with higher preoperative BP undergoing elective surgery are at risk for increased perioperative hypertensive episodes, though the relationship of these episodes to clinically important complications has not been clearly demonstrated. Nonetheless, postponement of elective surgery is usually recommended until the BP is adequately controlled (Wolfthal 1993). In patients with chronic hypertension, as long as the diastolic BP is <110 mm Hg, it is not considered a reason to delay surgery.

When emergent surgery is necessary, excessive BP elevations should be lowered to limit or prevent possible aggravation of bleeding and damage to vital organs. Assuming there is no immediate threat to vital organ function, as may occur in patients with end organ disease secondary to
chronic hypertension, such perioperative elevations in BP can generally be considered hypertensive urgencies (Mann and Atlas 1995).

Characteized by excessive sympathetic activity with rebound hypertension, clonidine withdrawal syndrome often resembles the hypertensive crisis of pheochromocytoma and may be misdiagnosed (Houston 1981; O’Connor 1981; Berge and Lanier 1991; Neusy and Lowenstein 1989). Symptoms of clonidine withdrawal syndrome are typically encountered 18 to 24 hours after sudden discontinuation of clonidine in patients taking more than 1.0 mg/day. The simultaneous use of a nonselective beta-blocker may exacerbate clonidine withdrawal syndrome. Because there is no rapidly acting, parenteral form of this drug for use in patients who are unable to take oral medication, clonidine withdrawal syndrome is of particular concern in the perioperative period. Clonidine withdrawal syndrome may be corrected by reintroducing clonidine, either intramuscularly, or through treatment with methyldopa and labetalol. Preoperatively, the clonidine withdrawal syndrome can be prevented by converting the patient to a clonidine patch.

Intraoperative and postoperative hypertension

Acute elevations in blood pressure (>20%) in the intraoperative period are typically considered hypertensive emergencies (Goldberg and Larijani 1998). Postoperative hypertension (arbitrarily defined as systolic BP ≥190 mm Hg and/or diastolic BP 100 mm Hg on 2 consecutive readings following surgery) (Plets 1989; Chobanian et al 2003b) may have significant adverse sequelae in both cardiac and noncardiac patients. Hypertension, and hypertensive crises, are very common in the early postoperative period and are related to increased sympathetic tone and vascular resistance (Roberts et al 1977; Alper and Calhoun 2002). Postoperative hypertension often begins ~10–20 minutes after surgery and may last up to 4 hours (Towne and Bernhard 1980). If left untreated, patients are at increased risk for bleeding, cerebrovascular events, and myocardial infarctions (Goldberg and Larijani 1998).

In the surgical setting, acute severe hypertension may be encountered during cardiac surgery, major vascular surgery (eg, carotid endarterectomy, aortic surgery), neurosurgery, head and neck surgery, renal transplantation, and major trauma (ie, burns or head injury). The incidence of postoperative hypertensive crises varies depending on the population examined, being reported in 4 to 35% of patients shortly after the surgical procedure (Gal and Cooperman 1975; Prys-Roberts 1984; Halpern et al 1990).

Patients with a personal or family history of cerebral hemorrhage are an example of a population at particular risk for complications related to these abrupt increases in blood pressure (Frohlich 1998). In addition, certain types of surgery (eg, coronary artery bypass surgery, aortic aneurysm repair, carotid endarterectomy) are associated with high rates of postoperative hypertension (McKillion and Dellinger 1997). Like other forms of hypertensive crises, a history of hypertension is commonly seen in patients with perioperative hypertension. The transient nature of postoperative hypertension, and the unique clinical factors present in the postoperative period, requires that this clinical syndrome be given particular attention.

Treatment

The approach to the treatment of perioperative hypertension is considerably different than the treatment of chronic hypertension (Levy 1993). The initial approach to treatment is prevention. Because many patients that develop postoperative hypertension do so as a result of withdrawal of their long-term antihypertensive regimen, this withdrawal should be minimized in the postoperative period. One preventive approach is to substitute long-acting preparations of the patient’s long-term antihypertensive regimen starting, if possible, several days before surgery and to be given in the morning of the day of surgery.

In all cases, the possible causes of the patient’s BP elevation should be considered, and it should be decided if the hypertension is a hypertensive emergency or urgency. In patients with a hypertensive emergency, it usually is necessary to treat with a parenteral antihypertensive agent. In the acute setting, the treatment goal is to decrease blood pressure by no more than 25% (Chobanian et al 2003a). Advancing these guidelines, the authors believe the immediate goal of therapy in hypertensive emergencies to reduce diastolic BP by 10% to 15%, or to approximately 110 mm Hg, over a period of 30 to 60 minutes. Sodium and volume depletion can be significant, and gentle volume expansion with IV saline solution will serve to restore organ perfusion and prevent an abrupt decline in BP when antihypertensive regimens are initiated. This goal decreases the likelihood of too-aggressive control, which may result in target organ hypoperfusion. Patients with chronic hypertension have cerebral and renal perfusion autoregulation shifted to a higher range. The brain and kidneys are particularly prone to hypoperfusion if blood pressure is lowered too rapidly. With the threat of organ
injury diminished, attempts should be made to control blood pressure to baseline levels during 24 to 48 hours.

Postoperative hypertensive emergencies are uncommon after noncardiac surgery. Hypertension that occurs in relation to tracheal intubation, surgical incision, and emergence from anesthesia may be treated with short-acting β-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, or vasodilators (Weiss and Longnecker 1993). Postoperative situations that may result in a hypertensive emergency include rebound hypertension after withdrawal of antihypertensive medications, hypertension resulting in bleeding from vascular surgery suture lines, hypertension associated with head trauma, and hypertension caused by acute catecholamine excess (eg, pheochromocytoma). An initial approach is to reverse precipitating factors (pain, hypervolemia, hypoxia, hypercarbia, and hypothermia).

**Pharmacotherapy**

Pharmacotherapy for hypertensive crisis involves a wide variety of agents with different mechanisms of action and pharmacologic properties. The agent of choice in any particular situation will depend on the clinical presentation. The ideal agent for treatment of hypertensive emergencies should be rapid acting, predictable and easily titrated, safe, inexpensive, and convenient. Currently, many options are available (Table 1), each with distinct advantages and disadvantages. Preferred agents include labetalol, esmolol, nicardipine, and fenoldopam. Since an immediate reduction in blood pressure is desired, parenteral agents are discussed, with emphasis placed on newer agents. Clonidine and ACE inhibitors are long acting and poorly titratable; however, these agents may be useful in the management of hypertensive urgencies. ACE inhibitors are contraindicated in pregnancy (DiPette et al 1985; Hirsch et al 1997). A review of agents used in the management of perioperative hypertension, preferred conditions, and dosing is presented in Table 2.

**Enalaprilat**

Enalaprilat, an ACE inhibitor available for intravenous (IV) administration has been studied extensively in the perioperative setting (Yates and Hunter 1988; Acampora et al 1989; Murphy et al 1989; Colson et al 1990; Boldt et al 1995; Heropoulou et al 1995; Tohmo and Karanko 1995). ACE inhibitors have shown efficacy in treating hypertension associated with congestive heart failure, essential hypertension, and prevention of worsening renal function in patients with diabetic, and nondiabetic, nephropathy. Enalaprilat has also been used preventatively in patients undergoing craniotomy (Kross et al 2000).

| Table 1 Initial dosing of antihypertensive agents* |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Agent** | **Comment** |
| Enalaprilat | Intravenous intermittent: 0.625–1.25 mg (lower dose if hyponatremia, possible volume depletion, concomitant diuretic therapy, or renal failure) over 5 min, then double at 4- to 6-h intervals until desired response, a single maximal dose of 1.25–5 mg (doses ≥1.25 mg have not been of additional benefit, but doses ≤5 mg have been given), toxicity, or a cumulative dose of 20 mg within a 24-h period; contraindicated in 2nd and 3rd trimester of pregnancy |
| Esmolol | Intravenous infusion: 250–500 μg/kg/min for 1 min, followed by a 50–100 μg/kg/min infusion for 4 min, then titrate using same sequence (ie, with bolus before each rate increase) until desired response, a maximal dose of 300 μg/kg/min, or toxicity |
| Fenoldopam | Intravenous intermittent: 0.1 μg/kg/min initially, then titrate in 0.1 μg/kg/min increments every 15 min until desired response, a maximal dose of 1.6 μg/kg/min, or toxicity |
| Hydralazine | Intravenous intermittent: 3–20 mg (the lower end of the dosing range is preferred in the immediate perioperative period and in patients with renal failure) slow IV push every 20–60 min |
| Labetalol | Intravenous intermittent: 20 mg over 2 min, then double at 10 min intervals until desired response, a single maximal dose of 80 mg, toxicity, or a cumulative dose of 300 mg/d Intravenous infusion: 2 mg/min initially, then titrate in 2 mg increments every 10 min until response, toxicity, or a cumulative dose of 300 mg/24 h |
| Nicardipine | Intravenous infusion: 5 mg/h initially, then titrate dose by 2.5 mg/h increments every 5–15 min until desired response, a maximal dose of 15 mg/h, or toxicity |
| Nitroglycerin | Intravenous infusion: 5 μg/min initially, then titrate in 5 μg/min increments (may use 10 to 20 μg/min increments when doses ≥20 μg/min) every 3–5 min until desired response or toxicity; no absolute dosing limit, but the risk of hypotension increases with doses ≥200 μg/min; therefore, alternative therapy should be considered |
| Nitroprusside | Intravenous infusion: 0.25–0.5 μg/kg/min initially, then titrate dose every 1–2 min until desired response, a maximal dose of 10 μg/kg/min (limit to duration ≤10 min), or toxicity |

*Use oral dosing when gastrointestinal absorption is documented and when an early response (eg, <2 h) is not needed; the IV dose titration times are the shortest times recommended for BP control but not necessarily the best for a given patient; slower titrations are often warranted to preclude excessively rapid decreases in pressure, with subsequent perfusion complications.
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In general, enalaprilat is administered as an IV injection of 1.25 mg over 5 minutes every 6 hours, titrated by increments of 1.25 mg at 12- to 24-hour intervals up to a maximum of 5 mg every 6 hours. Enalaprilat is effective in reducing the hypertensive response to endotracheal intubation during induction of anesthesia, but caution should be used when enalaprilat is used in conjunction with anesthetic agents (Hirschl et al 1995).

While the advantages of enalaprilat include bolus dosing versus continuous infusion, a lack of reflex tachycardia, and no effects on intracranial pressure (Schmidt et al 1990; Boldt et al 1995), a disadvantage is the delayed onset of action (15 minutes). In addition, enalaprilat does not reach peak effect for ~1 hour, and its duration of action is ~6 hours. The effective half-life for accumulation of enalaprilat is approximately 11 hours (Merck and Co. 2000). The relatively slow onset and long duration of action make enalaprilat a poor choice for use in a hypertensive emergency.

In addition, ACE inhibitors have the potential to cause acute renal failure, acute renal dysfunction, or hyperkalemia in patients in circulatory decompensated states or when mean arterial pressure is insufficient to support renal perfusion. Since surgical patients are at an increased risk for circulatory decompensation in the post operative period, ACE inhibitors should not be considered first-line agents in the treatment of acute perioperative hypertension.

**Esmolol**

Esmolol is an ultra-short-acting cardioselective, β-adrenergic blocking agent (Lowenthal et al 1985; Reynolds et al 1986; Gray 1988). Esmolol has been used successfully for hypertension in the perioperative period (Cucchiara et al 1986; Girard et al 1986; Newsome et al 1986; Gray et al 1987; Gibson et al 1988). The metabolism of esmolol is via rapid hydrolysis of ester linkages by red blood cell (RBC) esterases and is not dependant on renal or hepatic function. Esmolol is especially useful in severe postoperative hypertension (Gray et al 1985, 1987; Stumpf 1988; Platia et al 1989; Muzzi et al 1990; Smerling and Gersony 1990; Balser et al 1998) and is an ideal choice when cardiac output, heart rate, and BP are increased.

Esmolol has no direct vasodilatory actions. It decreases atrial pressure by decreasing heart rate and myocardial contractility and thus cardiac output. In general, the drug is administered as a 500–1000 µg/kg loading dose over 1 minute, followed by an infusion starting at 25 to 50 µg/kg/min, which may be increased by 25 µg/kg/min every 10 to 20 minutes until the desired response to a maximum of 300 µg/kg/min is achieved. An initial bolus dose of 0.1 µg/kg/min, titrated by increments of 0.05 to 0.1 µg/kg/min to a maximum of 1.6 µg/kg/min.
bolus doses prior to incrementally increasing the maintenance infusion rate complicates the dosing of the drug. Additionally, because it is metabolized by RBC esterases, any condition that precipitates anemia will prolong its “short half-life”.

Caution needs to be exercised when using esmolol in patients with chronic obstructive lung disease as it can precipitate bronchospasm. In addition, ACC/AHA guidelines conclude it may be contraindicated for patients already on β-blocker therapy, bradycardic patients, and decompensated heart failure patients as its use may compromise myocardial function (Hunt et al 2005).

Labetalol

Labetalol is a combined selective α1-adrenergic receptor blocker and nonselective β-adrenergic blocker given by IV bolus or continuous infusion. When given IV, the α- to β-blocking ratio of is 7:1 (Lund-Johansen 1984). Labetalol is metabolized by the liver to form an inactive glucuronide conjugate (Kanto et al 1981). This agent has been used in the setting of pregnancy-induced hypertensive crisis because little placental transfer occurs mainly due to the negligible lipid solubility of the drug (Pearce and Wallin 1994).

The hypotensive effect of labetalol begins within 2 to 5 minutes after its IV administration. The onset of action occurs within 2 to 5 minutes after its IV administration, reaching a peak at 5 to 15 minutes following administration, and lasting for about 2 to 4 hours (Kanto et al 1981; Goldberg et al 1990). The elimination half-life of labetalol is approximately 5.5 hours (Bedford Laboratories 2000). This variability makes labetalol extremely difficult to titrate as a continuous infusion.

Labetalol may be administered at a loading dose of 20 mg, followed by incremental doses of 20 to 80 mg at repeated 10-minute intervals until the desired BP is achieved. Alternatively, after the initial loading dose, an infusion commencing at 1 to 2 mg/min and titrated up to until the desired hypotensive effect is achieved is particularly effective. Bolus injections of 1 to 2 mg/kg have been reported to produce precipitous falls in BP and should therefore be avoided (Rosei et al 1975).

Labetalol reduces the systemic vascular resistance without reducing total peripheral blood flow. In addition, the cerebral, renal, and coronary blood flows are maintained (Marx and Reid 1979; Wallin 1985; Pearce and Wallin 1994; Olsen et al 1995). Due to its β-blocking effects, the heart rate is either maintained or slightly reduced. Unlike pure β-adrenergic blocking agents that decrease cardiac output, labetalol maintains cardiac output (Pearce and Wallin 1994).

However, the use of labetalol following cardiac surgery has produced mixed results (Meretoja et al 1980; Morel et al 1982; Cruise et al 1989). Some studies (Meretoja et al 1980; Cruise et al 1989) noted a significant reduction in heart rate and blood pressure along with reductions in cardiac index. These differences in results may be attributable to the doses used and the study population differences, particularly regarding left ventricular function. Labetalol should be used with caution in patients with heart failure and avoided in patients with severe sinus bradycardia, heart block greater than first degree, and asthma.

Clevidipine

Clevidipine, a third generation dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker (CCB) developed for use in situations where stringent BP control is vital, is an ultrashort-acting selective arteriolar vasodilator (Ericsson et al 1999a; Bailey et al 2002; Rodríguez and Varon 2006). Clevidipine has a mean blood flow clearance of 0.105 L/kg/min and a volume of distribution of 0.51 L/kg. The half-life is approximately 2 minutes (Garcia and Vidt 1987).

Clevidipine acts by selectively inhibiting the influx of extracellular calcium through the L-type channel, relaxing smooth muscle of small arteries, and reducing peripheral vascular resistance (Ericsson et al 1999b). Clevidipine is rapidly metabolized by RBC esterases; therefore its metabolism is not affected by renal or hepatic function. Clevidipine reduces BP by a direct selective effect on arterioles, thereby reducing afterload without affecting cardiac filling pressures or causing reflex tachycardia (Nordlander et al 1996). Stroke volume and cardiac output usually increase. In addition to maintaining renal function and splanchnic blood flow, clevidipine has been shown to protect against ischemia/reperfusion injury in an animal model of myocardial ischemia (Segawa et al 2000, 2002; Stephens and Jandhyala 2002).

Several trials have shown clevidipine to be very effective in the control of preoperative and postoperative hypertension (Kieler-Jensen et al 2000; Powroznik et al 2003). In a recent randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter trial of 152 patients scheduled for cardiac surgery with current or recent hypertension were randomized to receive clevidipine or placebo preoperatively (Levy et al 2007). Patients received infusions of either clevidipine (0.4–8.0 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) or placebo (20% lipid emulsion) for at least 30 minutes. Patients treated with clevidipine demonstrated a significantly higher rate of treatment success as compared to placebo treated group (92.5% vs 17.3% respectively, P < 0.0001), and a significantly lower treatment failure rate than patients receiving placebo (7.5% vs 82.7% respectively, P < 0.0001). Clevidipine achieved target blood pressures (systolic blood
pressure reduced by $\geq 15\%$) at a median of 6.0 minutes. Adverse events for each treatment group were similar.

While the role of this drug in hypertensive emergencies is yet to be determined, its profile makes it a potentially ideal drug for this indication. Clevidipine is not yet available for use in the United States beyond clinical trials. In the author’s own experience, the use of clevidipine in acute hypertension in the emergency department setting is safe and provides a predictable blood pressure control. In addition, this drug is safe when infused for as long as 96 hours (Varon et al 2007).

Nicardipine

Nicardipine is a short-acting dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker (CCB) available for both IV and oral use. Likely due to its augmentation of coronary blood flow and ability to produce potent, more selective vasodilation in the coronary circulation than in the systemic vascular bed, IV nicardipine has been shown to reduce both cardiac and cerebral ischemia (Schillinger 1987); (Kaplan 1990).

The nicardipine dosage is independent of the patient’s weight, with an initial infusion rate of 5 mg/h, increasing by 2.5 mg/h every 5 minutes to a maximum of 15 mg/h until the desired BP reduction is achieved (Varon and Marik 2000). In the author’s experiences much higher doses are commonly used (30–45 mg/hr). The onset of action of IV nicardipine is from 5 to 15 minutes, with duration of action of 4 to 6 hours.

A therapeutic benefit of nicardipine is that the agent has been demonstrated to increase both stroke volume and coronary blood flow with a favorable effect on myocardial oxygen balance (Lambert et al 1985, 1986, 1987; Schillinger 1987; Vincent et al 1997). This property is beneficial in patients with coronary artery disease and systolic heart failure. In addition, this agent has been recommended in the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association’s guidelines for the treatment of ischemic stroke when diastolic blood pressure is $>120$ mm Hg or the systolic blood pressure is $>220$ mm Hg (Adams et al 2003, 2005; Broderick et al 2007).

Fenoldopam

Fenoldopam is a peripheral dopamine-1 (DA) receptor agonist administered by IV infusion for the treatment of severe hypertension. Fenoldopam is unique among the parenteral BP agents because it mediates peripheral vasodilation by acting on peripheral dopamine-1 receptors. Fenoldopam is rapidly and extensively metabolized by conjugation in the liver, without participation of cytochrome P-450 enzymes. Fenoldopam has been associated with an increase in urine output and occasionally an increase in creatinine clearance (White and Halley 1989; Elliott et al 1990; Shusterman et al 1993) which makes fenoldopam use appealing in perioperative patients with or at risk for renal dysfunction.

The onset of action of fenoldopam is within 5 minutes, with the maximal response being achieved by 15 minutes (Weber et al 1988; White et al 1988; Munger et al 1990; Bodmann et al 1993). The elimination half-life of fenoldopam is ~5 minutes (Bedford Laboratories 2004), with a duration of action from 30 to 60 minutes. Blood pressure gradually returns to pretreatment values without rebound once the infusion is stopped (White et al 1988; Munger et al 1990; Bodmann et al 1993).

An initial starting dose of 0.1 $\mu$g/kg/min is recommended for fenoldopam, titrated by increments of 0.05 to 0.1 $\mu$g/kg/min to a maximum of 1.6 $\mu$g/kg/min.

While fenoldopam provides rapid blood pressure reduction, this change is often accompanied by reflex tachycardia (Bednarczyk et al 1989; Elliott et al 1990). Therefore, as with sodium nitroprusside, fenoldopam should be used with caution in patients at risk for myocardial ischemia. Additionally, fenoldopam causes dose-dependent increases in intraocular pressure, and its use should be avoided in patients at risk with intraocular hypertension and intracranial hypertension. In addition, fenoldopam is contained in a solution that contains a sodium metabisulfate, and patients with potential sulfite sensitivity may develop acute allergic reactions.

Hydralazine

Hydralazine is a direct-acting arteriolar vasodilator, often chosen as a first-line agent for critically ill patients with pregnancy-induced hypertension that produces a rapid blood pressure reduction, affecting diastolic more than systolic blood pressure.

The onset of action after either IM or IV administration is approximately 5 to 15 minutes followed by a progressive and often precipitous fall in BP that can last up to 12 hours (Schroeder 1951; Shepherd et al 1980), however; its maximum effect is usually noted between 10–80 minutes. Some anesthesiologists have found that 2 to 3 mg boluses can allow for a more controlled reduction in blood pressure in the perioperative setting (Tietjen et al 1996).

Although the circulating half-life of hydralazine is only approximately 3 hours, the half-time of its effect on BP is approximately 10 hours (O’Malley et al 1975; Ludden et al 1980). Duration is dependent on hepatic acetylation and inactivation, making it difficult to titrate the drug to effect.

Its reduction of peripheral vascular resistance leads to a reflex tachycardia that can increase cardiac output. Therefore,
hydralazine would not be a good choice in a patient with ischemic heart disease who may not tolerate the increased myocardial oxygen consumption associated with the cardio-vascular effects (Powers et al 1998).

It should also be avoided in patients suspected of having a dissecting aneurysm. Because of the potent vasodilation of cerebral vessels caused by hydralazine, increased intracranial pressure may occur (James and Bedford 1982). Although the clinical importance of this action is controversial, hydralazine is best avoided in patients with intracranial processes (eg, head injury) in which increased intracranial pressure is an issue. In contrast, the increased renal blood flow attributable to hydralazine can be considered a potential advantage in patients with impaired renal function.

Because of the prolonged and unpredictable antihypertensive effects of hydralazine and the inability to effectively titrate its hypotensive effect, it is best avoided in the management of hypertensive crises.

Nitroglycerin
Nitroglycerin, an antianginal as well as antihypertensive drug, is a direct vasodilator of peripheral capacitance and resistance vessels. It is a greater venodilator than it is an arterial dilator. Nitroglycerin is more potent as a venodilator than it is an arterial dilator, but arterial dilation does occur at high doses (Bussmann et al 1992). By decreasing preload, nitroglycerin decreases left ventricular end diastolic volume and pressure, and reduces myocardial oxygen demand. Nitroglycerin also dilates coronary arteries, increasing the blood supply to ischemic regions of the heart.

Nitroglycerin reduces BP by reducing preload and cardiac output; undesirable effects in patients with compromised cerebral and renal perfusion. Intravenous nitroglycerin has an onset time of 2–5 minutes, duration of action of ~10–20 minutes, and is eliminated by hepatic metabolism in ~1–4 minutes (Gerber and Nies 1990; Straka et al 1996) (Parke Davis Pharmaceuticals Ltd. 2000). It has pharmacokinetic properties similar to sodium nitroprusside. Hypotension and reflex tachycardia are common occurrences with nitroglycerin therapy, which are exacerbated by the volume depletion characteristic of hypertensive emergencies.

Nitroglycerin is not to be considered an acceptable primary therapy in the management of either hypertensive emergencies or urgencies (Varon and Marik, 2003), but may be a suitable adjunct therapy as it may have little or no efficacy when used alone and its antihypertensive action is caused by venodilation. Low-dose administration (approximately 60 mg/min) may, however, be used as an adjunct to IV antihypertensive therapy in patients with hypertensive emergencies associated with acute coronary syndromes or acute pulmonary edema.

Sodium nitroprusside
Sodium nitroprusside is an arterial and venous vasodilator that decreases both afterload and preload (Robin and McCauley 1992; Friederich and Butterworth 1995). This results in a decrease in peripheral resistance without causing an increase in venous return.

Sodium nitroprusside is often considered a drug of choice for hypertensive emergencies, since it has an immediate onset of action and duration of effect of only 2 minutes. In patients with adequate circulating blood volume, nitroprusside has a predictable dose-response relationship, making it easy to titrate, and its efficacy has been widely documented in the perioperative setting (Kaplan and Jones 1979; Domenighetti and Perret 1982; van Wezel et al 1989; Halpern et al 1992; Leslie et al 1994).

Nitroprusside is a very potent agent, with an onset of action of seconds, a duration of action of 1 to 2 minutes, and a plasma half-life of 3 to 4 minutes (Friederich and Butterworth 1995). Due to its potency, rapidity of action, and the development of tachyphylaxis, the authors recommend intraarterial BP monitoring. In addition, sodium nitroprusside requires special handling to prevent its degradation by light. These factors limit the use of this drug (Tumlin et al 2000).

Nitroprusside decreases cerebral blood flow while increasing intracranial pressure (ICP), effects that are particularly detrimental in patients with hypertensive encephalopathy or following a cerebrovascular accident (Anile et al 1981; Griswold et al 1981; Kondo et al 1984; Hartmann et al 1989). In unanesthetized patient’s sodium nitroprusside can increase ICP, causing cerebral perfusion pressure to drop (Turner et al 1977; Henriksen and Paulson 1982; Murphy and Sage 1988). These elevations in ICP may therefore be associated with cerebral ischemia despite the benefits of lower blood pressure. In patients with coronary artery disease, a significant reduction in coronary perfusion pressure (coronary steal) can occur (Mann et al 1978). In addition, the drug’s vasodilatory adverse effects are frequently not predictable or preventable, and may involve organs other than the heart (eg, pulmonary shunting).

Another disadvantage of sodium nitroprusside involves accumulation of cyanide and thiocyanate. Sodium nitroprusside is nonenzymatically converted to cyanide, which
is converted by the liver to thiocyanate which is 100 times less toxic than cyanide (Pasch et al 1983; Hall and Guest 1992). Thiocyanate is mainly eliminated through the kidneys. Cyanide removal therefore requires adequate liver function, renal function, and bioavailability of thiosulfate. Thus, nitroprusside may cause cytotoxicity due to the release of cyanide, with interference of cellular respiration (Izumi et al 1993; Niknahad and O' Brien 1996). In the authors’ experience, patients can develop cyanide toxicity as early as 6–8 hours after initiation of the infusion.

Cyanide will also interfere with the vasodilator activity of sodium nitroprusside and lead to tachyphylaxis. This occurrence is more prevalent with high infusion rates (>3 µg/kg/min) and prolonged administration (>72 hours). Patients receiving high infusion rates for an extended duration may require periodic thiocyanate monitoring.

Data suggest that nitroprusside infusion rates >4 µg/kg/min, for as little as 2 to 3 hours may lead to cyanide levels in the toxic range (Pasch et al 1983). Unfortunately, the development of toxicity cannot be predicted and may occur with lower doses (Cetnarowski and Conti 1986; Murphy and Sage 1988; Robin and McCauley 1992). Moreover, the recommended doses of nitroprusside of up to 10 µg/kg/min results in cyanide formation at a far greater rate than human beings can detoxify. Sodium nitroprusside has also been demonstrated to cause cytotoxicity through the release of nitric oxide, with hydroxyl radical and peroxynitrite generation leading to lipid peroxidation (Niknahad and O'Brien 1996; Gobbel et al 1997; Nakamura et al 1997; Rauhala et al 1998).

Considering the potential for severe toxicity with nitroprusside, this drug should only be used when other IV antihypertensive agents are not available and then, only in specific clinical circumstances in patients with normal renal and hepatic function (Robin and McCauley 1992). An initial starting dose of 0.5 µg/kg/min; titrate as tolerated. The duration of treatment should be as short as possible, and the infusion rate should not be >2 µg/kg/min. An infusion of thiosulfate should be used in patients receiving higher dosages (4–10 µg/kg/min) of nitroprusside (Hall and Guest 1992).

Conclusions
Acute hypertension is common after major surgery and may be associated with an increased risk of serious cardiac and neurologic, complications. Hypertensive urgencies, and emergencies, occur in approximately 50% of patients during and immediately after cardiac surgery.

The goal of controlling perioperative hypertension is to protect organ function, and is currently recommended based on the assumption that the risk of complications will be reduced and outcomes improved. However, the treatment of acute elevations in BP (defined as an increase in systolic BP, diastolic BP, or mean arterial pressure by >20% over baseline in the perioperative period) is without a uniform approach. While attempts have been made to standardize the method to characterize the intraoperative hemodynamics, these methods still vary widely. Moreover, there is a lack of consensus concerning treatment thresholds and appropriate therapeutic targets, making absolute recommendations about treatment difficult. Nevertheless, perioperative hypertension requires careful management.

In general, the treatment goal should be based on the patient’s preoperative BP. A conservative target would be approximately 10% above that baseline; however, a more aggressive approach to lowering BP may be warranted for patients at very high risk of bleeding or with severe heart failure who would benefit from afterload reduction. Careful monitoring of patient response to therapy, and adjustment of treatment, are paramount to safe and effective treatment of perioperative hypertension. After surgery, the clinician can safely transition the patient to an effective oral antihypertensive regimen to manage the long-term risks of hypertension and cardiovascular diseases.

When considering a potential course of treatment, the central question is how to balance the risks associated with hypertension versus the risk of end organ hypoperfusion that may accompany antihypertensive therapy. When treatment is necessary, therapy should be individualized for the patient. The choice of agent in specific cases should be determined by the clinical situation, the patient’s characteristics, the setting of care, and the experience of the clinicians. A wide selection of available intravenous antihypertensive agents has provided the clinician with the ability to optimize therapy based on the specific needs of the situation and condition of the patient.

The ideal antihypertensive agent should provide immediate onset of action, a short to intermediate duration of action, be easy to titrate precisely, and have demonstrated safety and efficacy in the treatment of perioperative hypertension. Newer agents such as fenoldopam, nicardipine and clevidipine are valuable additions to the arena of effective pharmacological options such as enalaprilat, labetalol, nitroglycerin, esmolol, and hydralazine. Sodium nitroprusside should only be used when other IV antihypertensive agents are not available and then, only in specific clinical situations.
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