13C15N: glucagon-based novel isotope dilution mass spectrometry method for measurement of glucagon metabolism in humans

Santosh Renuse1,2, Linda M. Benson3, Patrick M. Vanderboom1, F. N. U. Ruchi4, Yogesh R. Yadav4, Kenneth L. Johnson3, Benjamin C. Brown3, Jane A. Peterson3, Rita Basu4, Daniel J. McCormick5, Akhilesh Pandey1,2,3,5,6,7* and Ananda Basu4*

Abstract

Background: Glucagon serves as an important regulatory hormone for regulating blood glucose concentration with tight feedback control exerted by insulin and glucose. There are critical gaps in our understanding of glucagon kinetics, pancreatic α cell function and intra-islet feedback network that are disrupted in type 1 diabetes. This is important for translational research applications of evolving dual-hormone (insulin + glucagon) closed-loop artificial pancreas algorithms and their usage in type 1 diabetes. Thus, it is important to accurately measure glucagon kinetics in vivo and to develop robust models of glucose-insulin-glucagon interplay that could inform next generation of artificial pancreas algorithms.

Methods: Here, we describe the administration of novel 13C15N heavy isotope-containing glucagon tracers—FF glucagon [(Phe 6 13C9,15N; Phe 22 13C9,15N)] and FFLA glucagon [(Phe 6 13C9,15N; Phe 22 13C9,15N; Leu 14 13C6,15N; Ala 19 13C3)] followed by anti-glucagon antibody-based enrichment and LC–MS/MS based-targeted assays using high-resolution mass spectrometry to determine levels of infused glucagon in plasma samples. The optimized assay results were applied for measurement of glucagon turnover in subjects with and without type 1 diabetes infused with isotopically labeled glucagon tracers.

Results: The limit of quantitation was found to be 1.56 pg/ml using stable isotope-labeled glucagon as an internal standard. Intra and inter-assay variability was < 6% and < 16%, respectively, for FF glucagon while it was < 5% and < 23%, respectively, for FFLA glucagon. Further, we carried out a novel isotope dilution technique using glucagon tracers for studying glucagon kinetics in type 1 diabetes.

Conclusions: The methods described in this study for simultaneous detection and quantitation of glucagon tracers have clinical utility for investigating glucagon kinetics in vivo in humans.
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Background

Post-absorptive and early post-prandial hyper-glucagonemia is a feature of both type 1 and type 2 diabetes and likely contributes to fasting and prandial hyperglycemia in these individuals [1–3]. In addition, there is inadequate plasma glucagon concentration in response to declining plasma glucose levels in the late post-prandial period increasing the risk for and delayed recovery from hypoglycemia [1, 4]. Similarly, plasma glucagon concentrations are suppressed during exercise in people with type 1 diabetes (T1D) thus increasing their risk for hypoglycemia [5]. However, the causes of these abnormalities are anticipated but not proven to be due to abnormal glucagon secretion. Circulating plasma glucagon concentration at any given point in time reflects a net balance between the rates of glucagon secretion from the α cells in the pancreatic islets of Langerhans, hepatic glucagon extraction if any, glucagon volume of distribution (VD), and systemic glucagon clearance/disappearance. In contrast to the C-peptide model that is available to measure insulin secretion in vivo [6, 7], no such model exists that measures glucagon secretion and kinetics. A better understanding of glucagon kinetics and its dysregulation in humans with diabetes that results in abnormalities in circulating plasma glucagon concentrations should lead to rational and informed therapeutic strategies to mitigate both hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia in these individuals.

There have been previous attempts to measure glucagon kinetics, clearance, and VD in animals [8–10] and in humans with [11, 12] and without diabetes [13, 14]. These have provided differing results that are due, at least in part, to variations in methods used, species tested, and glucagon assays utilized. However, rather than using specific glucagon tracers to measure glucagon kinetics, all of these experiments relied upon changes in plasma glucagon concentrations in the presence/absence of inhibition of endogenous glucagon secretion to infer glucagon secretion rates. Notably, none of these experiments conducted an in-depth analysis of glucagon kinetics in T1D. Deacon et al. [8] used antibodies specific to the C-terminal, N-terminal, and mid-region of glucagon molecule to examine glucagon metabolism in pigs. A few studies have described the detection of glucagon by LC–MS-based approaches [15, 16].

To circumvent the methodological limitations, we employed novel isotopically heavy glucagon tracers in combination with high resolution mass spectrometry-based assays to directly measure glucagon in plasma samples. Here, we describe anti-glucagon antibody-based enrichment of glucagon from plasma samples followed by targeted liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) assays for absolute quantitation of endogenous and heavy tracers of glucagon. The limit of quantitation was measured at 1.56 pg/ml. This novel isotope dilution mass spectrometry assay has numerous applications for measuring glucagon secretion, post-hepatic glucagon appearance, glucagon volume of distribution and systemic glucagon clearance in the fasted and fed state in human research participants. The proposed method will also allow, for the first time, to precisely determine the key paracrine interaction, its dysregulation and help develop an explicit glucagon model for testing in the FDA-approved T1D simulator [17, 18] prior to clinical trials.

Methods

Chemicals and reagents

Reference pooled plasma for calibration curves was purchased from Innovative Research, Inc. (Catalog# IPLA-K2EDTA-29411, Novi, MI). Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was purchased from Thermo (Catalog# AM9625, San Jose, CA), trifluoroacetic acid was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Catalog# 85183, Waltham, MA), zwittergent Z3-16 was purchased from CalBiochem (Catalog# CAS 2281-11-0, EMD Millipore, Billericia, MA), Dynabeads M-280 streptavidin was purchased from Invitrogen (Catalog# 11206D, Carlsbad, CA), formic acid was purchased from Thermo Scientific (Catalog# TS-28905, San Jose, CA) and acetonitrile was purchased from JT. Baker (Catalog# 75-05-8, Phillipsburg, NJ). Leupeptin (Catalog#L2884) and aprotinin (Catalog#A6279) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and biotinylated rabbit polyclonal anti-glucagon antibody was purchased from Abcam (Catalog# ab48287, Cambridge, MA).

Synthesis of isotopically labeled heavy glucagon tracers

All isotopically labeled synthetic glucagon tracers were synthesized using standard FMOC chemistry on a Liberty Blue peptide synthesizer (CEM Corp. Matthews, NC). A stable isotope labeled amino acid was incorporated into the sequence using Fmoc-Phenylalanine-OH $^{13}$C$_6$$^{15}$N (Catalog# 651443), Fmoc-Leucine-OH $^{13}$C$_6$$^{15}$N (Catalog#593532), or Fmoc-Alanine-OH $^{13}$C$_6$$^{15}$N (Catalog#605131) (IsoTec, Inc., Miamisburg, OH). Peptides were cleaved using the CEM Razor cleavage module heated to 42 ºC for 40 min. Cleavage cocktail was trifluoroacetic acid was purchased from Thermo Scientific (Catalog# 85183, Waltham, MA), zwittergent Z3-16 was purchased from CalBiochem (Catalog# CAS 2281-11-0, EMD Millipore, Billericia, MA), Dynabeads M-280 streptavidin was purchased from Invitrogen (Catalog# 11206D, Carlsbad, CA), formic acid was purchased from Thermo Scientific (Catalog# TS-28905, San Jose, CA) and acetonitrile was purchased from JT. Baker (Catalog# 75-05-8, Phillipsburg, NJ). Leupeptin (Catalog#L2884) and aprotinin (Catalog#A6279) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and biotinylated rabbit polyclonal anti-glucagon antibody was purchased from Abcam (Catalog# ab48287, Cambridge, MA).
acid (Catalog# TX1275-3, Fisher Scientific, Hanover Park, IL), water, trisopropyl silane (Catalog# 233781, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 3,6-Dioxo-1,8-octanediethiol (Catalog#D264925G, Fisher Scientific, Hanover Park, IL) (92.5%/2.5%/2.5%/2.5% v/v/v/v). Peptides were precipitated in cold methyl-t-butyl ether (Catalog# E1274, Fisher Scientific, Hanover Park, IL), washed, and dried for purification. Purification was achieved by reversed-phase HPLC using a Phenomenex Jupiter C18 column, 250 mm x 21.2 mm (Catalog# 00G-4057-P0, Torrance, CA), using a water/acetonitrile buffer system. Peptide masses were verified using 6230B time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies Inc. Santa Clara, CA) interfaced with 1100 series high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (Agilent Technologies Inc. Santa Clara, CA). Primary stock standards were prepared at 1 mM concentration in dimethyl sulfoxide and stored at 4 °C. Net peptide content was determined by amino acid analysis.

**Preparation of infusate containing heavy glucagon tracers**

Preparation of [13C15N] glucagon single dose vials: [13C15N] glucagon tracer was synthesized and stored in freezer desiccator (−20 °C) in powder form. On the morning of preparation of single dose vials, the powder was placed at room temperature for one hour in a desiccator under a class II biosafety cabinet hood. Strict aseptic and sterile precautions were maintained throughout the process. 1% human serum albumin (HSA) solution was prepared by mixing 800 µl of 25% HSA with 19.2 ml sterile water in a sterile evacuated glass vial. Appropriate and measured amount of [13C15N] glucagon powder was mixed with 1% HSA solution to obtain 10 µg in each vial. Subsequently, each vial was tightly covered with sterile seals, transferred to lyophilization flasks, and covered with sterile blanket and placed in a −80 °C freezer until completely frozen. Three holes were made in the sterile seal of each vial using a sterile needle and the lyophilization flasks were quickly transferred to lyophilizer. Vials were then lyophilized until dry and sterile caps applied under the biosafety cabinet. All lyophilized vials containing the [13C15N] glucagon tracers were stored in desiccator in a −20 °C freezer for future use (bolus FF and bolus FFLA). Aliquots of glucagon tracers were stored at pre-lyophilization (FF Pre and FFLA Pre), post-lyophilization (FF Post and FFLA Post).

Preparation of [13C15N] glucagon infusate: lyophilized [13C15N] glucagon (10 µg/vial) was reconstituted by adding 9.9 ml of sterile water and 0.1 ml of 5% HSA to achieve the final concentration of stock solution equivalent to 1 µg/ml. This was done in the morning of the research study under sterile and aseptic precautions in a class II biosafety cabinet. The solution was then mixed slowly to avoid frothing. The calculated amount of [13C15N] glucagon (based on subject body weight) was then withdrawn from the stock solution and mixed with required amount of normal saline and 0.4 ml of 25% HSA to achieve ~5% enrichment of glucagon. 1 ml of the diluted infusate sample was withdrawn into a Rohren tube and stored at −80 °C to measure the concentration of [13C15N] glucagon in the infusate.

**Administration of heavy tracers to study participants and sample collection**

All samples were collected after informed consent and approval by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at University of Virginia. A 20-gauge IV catheter was inserted into a forearm vein for the infusion of glucagon tracers and another IV catheter was inserted in a retrograde fashion into a hand vein of the contralateral arm and the hand placed in a heated box, whose temperature was regulated at 55 °C, for drawing arterialized venous blood samples throughout the study. Blood samples were aliquoted into EDTA tubes, centrifuged for 15 min and separated plasma was then aliquoted and stored at −80 °C until analyses. Samples from non-diabetic and type 1 diabetes patient were collected at predefined time intervals.

**Sample processing for enrichment of glucagon tracers**

All steps were performed on ice unless indicated. The streptavidin-magnetic beads were resuspended in storage buffer with brief vortex and storage buffer was discarded using magnetic rack. The beads were washed twice with PBS/0.03% CHAPS. Antibody was added to magnetic beads to a final volume ratio of 6:75 and incubated at 4 °C/1400 rpm for 2 h. The antibody-beads were then washed thrice with PBS/0.03% CHAPS and stored on ice until needed. Calibration curve samples were prepared using reference human plasma spiked-in with light and heavy tracers of glucagon as shown in Table 1. Quality control samples were prepared at high (light glucagon—80 pg/ml, heavy tracers 40 pg/ml), medium (light glucagon—26.67 pg/ml, heavy tracers 13.33 pg/ml) or low (light glucagon—8.88 pg/ml, heavy tracers—4.44 pg/ml) concentrations as shown in Table 2. The infused samples were prepared for FF [(Phe 6 13C9,15N; Phe 22 13C9,15N)—glucagon] and FFLA [(Phe 6 13C9,15N; Phe 22 13C9,15N; Leu 14 13C9,15N; Ala 19 13C9)—glucagon] in pooled reference plasma by using 1/100 (Dilution A), 1/500 (Dilution B), and 1/2000 (Dilution C) dilutions as shown in Table 3 for pre, post and bolus FF and FFLA glucagon.

Plasma samples (0.5 ml) from subjects infused with heavy glucagon tracers were thawed and centrifuged for 10 min at 4000 x g at 4 °C. The enrichment was carried out in 2 ml 96-well plates. Briefly, all samples (specimens,
calibration curve, quality control and infusates) were diluted 1:1 with PBS/0.03% CHAPS with protease inhibitors, mixed at 1350 rpm for 1 min at 4 °C. F22 glucagon internal standard was added to each sample at 50 pg/ml except the plasma only control. The plate was centrifuged briefly to 1000 × g at 4 °C. 5 µg of antibody was added to each sample and incubated by shaking overnight at 1350 rpm at 3 °C. After incubation, the supernatant was collected, and the beads were washed three times using PBS/0.03% CHAPS. Beads were then washed with PBS/0.002% zwittergent 3–16 followed by a wash using 50 mM NH4Ac (pH 4.6). Glucagon was eluted from the beads using extraction buffer (aqueous 0.2% formic acid, 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, 0.002% zwittergent 3–16), collected in autosampler vials and immediately analyzed by LC–MS/MS.

Development of targeted SIM and parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) assay

Targeted SIM scan followed by parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) analysis was performed on a Q-Exactive Plus Orbitrap mass spectrometer interfaced with an Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano system (Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Extracted glucagon samples were loaded on a trap column (Halo ES-C18; 2.7 µm, 160 Å, 0.33 µl) at a flow rate of 40 µl/min for 5 min followed by separation using an analytical column (PicoFrit Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18; 2.7 µm; 100 µm × 30 cm) at 400 nl/min flow rate with a 17 min linear gradient from 5% solvent B (0.2% formic acid/80% acetonitrile/10% IPA/10% water) to 70% solvent B (6 min to 23 min) with a total run time of 33 min. Data acquisition parameters included MS1 SIM scan at 875 m/z with a isolation width of 12 m/z followed by ddMS2/PRM analysis of m/z 875 with a isolation width of 12 m/z using higher energy collision dissociation (HCD) normalized collision energy (NCE) of 24. The targeted SIM scan parameters were: Orbitrap resolution of 70,000@400 m/z, AGC target value of 5 × 10⁵, injection time of 300 ms while PRM scan parameters were: Orbitrap resolution of 35,000@400 m/z, AGC target value of 5 × 10⁵, injection time of 300 ms. The calibration curve, quality control and infusion samples were analyzed prior to analysis of subject specimens.

Absolute quantitation of glucagon tracers

The raw mass spectrometry data was processed using Xcalibur Quan Browser (version 3.0) (ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose, CA). A processing method was prepared with default integration algorithm—ICIS, 7 smoothing points, baseline window of 40, area noise factor of 5 and a peak noise factor of 10. Samples were integrated using the automatic functions and peak integration for light glucagon, internal standard (F22 glucagon) and heavy glucagon tracers (FF and FFLA glucagon) (Table 4) were assessed for consistency. Calibration curves were generated using control plasma spiked-in with light, FF and FFLA glucagon at 7 concentration levels (Table 1) and 50 pg/ml of internal standard (F22 glucagon). The concentration of heavy glucagon tracers was determined using equation from respective standard curves. The peak area results were exported, and glucagon kinetics determined from subject specimens.

Assay validation

Intra-day and inter-day accuracy and precision were measured on three separate days. Each batch included a set of calibration standards and three replicates of

| Table 1  | Calibration curve concentration range |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
|          | Std 7 (pg/ml) | Std 6 (pg/ml) | Std 5 (pg/ml) | Std 4 (pg/ml) | Std 3 (pg/ml) | Std 2 (pg/ml) | Std 1 (pg/ml) |
| Light glucagon | 200         | 100          | 50           | 25           | 12.5         | 6.25         | 3.125         |
| FF glucagon   | 100         | 50           | 25           | 12.5         | 6.25         | 3.125         | 1.56          |
| FFLA glucagon | 100         | 50           | 25           | 12.5         | 6.25         | 3.125         | 1.56          |

| Table 2  | List of plasma quality control samples |
|----------|---------------------------------------|
| QC standard | QC1 (pg/ml) | QC2 (pg/ml) |
| Light glucagon | 26.67 | 80 |
| FF glucagon   | 13.33 | 40 |
| FFLA glucagon | 13.33 | 40 |

| Table 3  | Dilution scheme for glucagon tracers (FF and FFLA) infusates |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Infusate | Dilution A | Dilution B | Dilution C |
| 0.05 µg/ml | Expected conc. | Expected conc. | Expected conc. |
| Expected conc. | (pg/ml) | (pg/ml) | (pg/ml) |
| FF pre    | 465         | 93         | 23.25      |
| Bolus FF  | 465         | 93         | 23.25      |
| FF post   | 465         | 93         | 23.25      |
| FFLA pre  | 465         | 93         | 23.25      |
| Bolus FFLA | 465       | 93         | 23.25      |
| FFLA post | 465         | 93         | 23.25      |
reference plasma quality control samples spiked in with light/heavy tracers of glucagon at medium and high concentration (Table 2).

**Systemic glucagon appearance and disappearance rate calculation**

The rates of systemic glucagon appearance and disappearance were calculated by the isotope dilution technique based on non-steady state substrate turnover method as originally described for calculating endogenous glucose turnover by Steele et al. [19–21]. The method has subsequently been refined and applied using single isotope (tracer), dual isotope and triple isotope methods to estimate fasting and post prandial glucose [22–24] metabolism by using glucose isotopes, and endogenous cortisol metabolism [25] by using cortisol isotopes. Similar principles were applied to estimate endogenous glucagon metabolism (appearance, disappearance) using FF and FFLA glucagon as the glucagon isotopes (tracers).

\[
R_{a\text{glucagon}} = \left( \frac{F_{F\text{Fglucagon}}}{TTR_{F\text{Fglucagon}}} - F_{F\text{Fglucagon}} - F_{F\text{FLAglucagon}} \right) - \left( V \times C \times \left( \frac{dTTR}{dT} \right) / \text{meanTTR} \right);
\]

\[
R_{d\text{glucagon}} = \left( R_{a\text{glucagon}} + F_{F\text{Fglucagon}} + F_{F\text{FLAglucagon}} \right) - \left( V \times \left( \frac{dC}{dT} \right) / \text{TBW} \right);
\]

where \( R_{a\text{glucagon}} \) is rate of appearance of glucagon, \( F_{F\text{Fglucagon}} \) is rate of infusion of FF glucagon, \( F_{F\text{FLAglucagon}} \) is rate of infusion of FFLA glucagon, \( TTR_{F\text{Fglucagon}} \) is the tracer tracee ratio of FF glucagon to total glucagon concentrations in plasma, \( V \) is the volume of distribution of glucagon, \( C \) is the concentration of total glucagon, \( T \) is the time (in minutes), \( R_{d\text{glucagon}} \) is the rate of disappearance of glucagon, \( d \) is the integral of measures over time and \( \text{TBW} \) is the total body weight of the individual. FF glucagon decay following a bolus injection followed a linear single compartment model and was used to calculate glucagon volume of distribution—\( V \), by non-linear least-squares regression analysis using Kinetica 5.1 SP1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). This was calculated to be 40 ± 1.4 ml/kg total body weight in a cohort of 9 healthy subjects and 10 subjects with type 1 diabetes.

Plasma glucagon concentration (endogenous + glucagon tracer) was measured by standardized and conventional ELISA technique (Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden). Intra-assay CVs were 6.7% and 7.8% at 65 and 183 pg/ml respectively. Inter-assay CVs were 7.5%, 4.0% and 2.9% at 62, 144 and 84 pg/ml respectively. The assay specificity is 100% for human glucagon with < 0.1% to oxyntomodulin and none to human insulin, proinsulin, SRIF or C-peptide.

**Results**

Here, we describe the synthesis of novel isotopically heavy labeled glucagon as tracers for measurement of glucagon kinetics in clinical samples. We deployed anti-glucagon antibody-based enrichment of glucagon followed by high resolution targeted mass spectrometry for detection and quantitation of glucagon as shown in Fig. 1. The method described in this study can be used for simultaneous quantitation of endogenous glucagon and isotopically labeled heavy tracers.
Synthesis of novel heavy isotopically labeled glucagon as tracers

We designed and synthesized non-radioactive (i.e. stable) isotopes of human glucagon which were infused into human subjects without any adverse effects [26]. These heavy tracers include FF-glucagon: (Phe 6 $^{13}$C$_9$$^{15}$N$_1$; Phe 22 $^{13}$C$_9$$^{15}$N$_1$) and FFLA-glucagon: (Phe 6 $^{13}$C$_9$$^{15}$N; Phe 22 $^{13}$C$_9$$^{15}$N; Leu 14 $^{13}$C$_6$$^{15}$N$_1$; Ala 19 $^{13}$C$_3$). The positions of the isotope-labeled residue replacements (bold underline) at 6, 22 for FF-glucagon and 6, 14, 19, 22 for FFLA-glucagon are shown: H$_2$N-HSQGTFDTSDYK-LDSRAQQDFVQWLMT-OH. We also synthesized stable isotope labeled (SIL) glucagon with a single heavy label on phenyl alanine as F22 (Phe 22 $^{13}$C$_9$$^{15}$N$_1$) to be used as an internal standard. SIL peptides are commonly used as internal standards in targeted proteomics applications including immuno-precipitation (IP)-MRM/PRM as normalization controls for monitoring sample to sample variations in ionization efficiency and, in some cases, enzymatic digestion. We carried out IP-PRM experiments using synthetic glucagon spiked-into reference pooled plasma for the determination of limit of detection and limit of quantitation.

Anti-glucagon antibody-based enrichment of glucagon tracers

Plasma samples were processed for enrichment of endogenous glucagon and heavy tracers using anti-glucagon antibody. Briefly, a biotinylated rabbit polyclonal antibody from Abcam (Catalog# 48287) was incubated with the plasma containing heavy glucagon tracers overnight at 4°C. The antibody-antigen complexes were then purified using streptavidin magnetic beads. The peptides were released using 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in 0.002% Z-316. The eluted peptides were then analyzed on a Q-Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer in targeted SIM scan as well as wide window PRM modes (Fig. 1). The 12 m/z window (m/z 869–871) was chosen to cover precursor ions of all four glucagon species (endogenous light Glucagon, F22, FF and FFLA glucagon tracers). The representative MS1 and MS/MS spectra are shown in Fig. 2A, B, respectively. The inset in Fig. 2B shows a magnified view of the MS/MS spectrum from m/z 1000–1100 showing b253$^+$, b263$^+$ and b273$^+$ ions corresponding to light glucagon, IS, FF glucagon and FFLA glucagon, respectively (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). The data analysis and absolute quantitation was carried out using Xcalibur Quan Browser considering precursor and product ions (Table 3).

Limit of detection

The analyte (either FF glucagon or FFLA glucagon) to spike-in standard (F22 glucagon) ratios were used for quantitation analysis. Glucagon calibration curve samples were prepared by spiking glucagon light and heavy peptides in 500 µl of reference pooled plasma. The concentrations of FF and FFLA were spiked-in at 1.56, 3.12,
Fig. 2  Representative mass spectrum showing targeted wide SIM scan (869–881) MS1 precursor ions for light glucagon, IS (F22 glucagon), FF glucagon and FFLA glucagon (A). Representative PRM scan showing abundant b-ions (b25\textsuperscript{3+}, b26\textsuperscript{3+} and b27\textsuperscript{3+}) (B). Inset shows respective b-ions for endogenous glucagon, F22 glucagon (spike-in standard), FF glucagon and FFLA glucagon.
6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, 100 pg/ml while light glucagon was at 3.12, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, 100 and 200 pg/ml (Table 1). The IS F22 glucagon was spiked in at 50 pg/ml. Figure 3 shows calibration curve for FF glucagon at MS1 (Fig. 3A) and MS2 (Fig. 3B) levels while Fig. 3C and Fig. 3D show calibration curves for FFLA glucagon at MS1 and MS2 levels, respectively. Calibration curves were prepared using dilution series in triplicates and a linear regression analysis was carried out. The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) was observed to be > 0.99 for both FF and FFLA glucagon. The LOD was defined as the lowest concentration at which the peak for the analyte is detected with an S/N ratio of 3 and the mean result of the lowest standard is 3 SD above the blank results. LOQ was established as the lowest concentration that met the criteria of precision (CV ≤ 25%) and accuracy (RE ≤ 25%).

Assay validation
Intra-day accuracy and precision were measured in triplicates followed by inter-day accuracy and precision measurements on three separate days. Each batch included a set of calibration standards and three replicates of reference plasma QC samples spiked-in with light/heavy tracers of glucagon. QC standards were prepared at two different concentration levels—13.3 pg/ml (QC1) and 44 pg/ml (QC2) of synthetic light and heavy (FF and FFLA) glucagon in addition to F22 glucagon as an internal standard. The calibration standards and QC samples were subjected to IP-PRM analyses and quantitation of glucagon tracers. The intra-day and inter-day precision were observed to be < 16% for FF glucagon and < 23% for FFLA glucagon (Table 5).

Glucagon turnover in type 1 diabetes
The optimized targeted assay was then applied to measure glucagon turnover in study participants with and without type 1 diabetes. Participants with or without type 1 diabetes were infused with heavy tracers of glucagon and arterIALIZED venous samples were drawn periodically for measurements of $^{13}$C$^{15}$N glucagon. The samples were analyzed by LC–MS/MS for measuring glucagon concentration as described above. The
measured concentrations were then used for calculation of postprandial rates of appearance (Fig. 4A) and disappearance (Fig. 4B) of glucagon using non-steady-state equation applying isotope dilution technique. Representative examples of turnover data from a non-diabetic (blue) and a type 1 diabetic (orange) participant is shown.

Discussion
We have demonstrated successful application of the isotope dilution technique to estimate glucagon turnover directly in vivo in humans with and without type 1 diabetes. The process included ex vivo synthesis of non-radioactive stable isotopes of glucagon, its purification, preparation of infusates and administration into human research participants after IRB approval, followed by accurate, sensitive, and precise analyses of plasma samples using tandem mass spectrometry. We applied the optimized assay for calculation and estimation of glucagon turnover in vivo in humans with and without type 1 diabetes [27]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first ever use of this technique in humans to help in our understanding of glucagon physiology (secretion, clearance, volume of distribution) in health and disease. Avoidance of use of radioactive labelled glucagon significantly enhanced the safety and viability of its use in humans. Further, the structure and composition of glucagon, i.e., its single chain 29 amino acids peptide sequence devoid of any disulfide bonds made this a feasible approach to understand glucagon physiology and pathophysiology in type 1 diabetes where major abnormalities in circulating glucagon concentrations occur during various free-living conditions, e.g., fasting, postprandial and exercise. A better understanding of the reasons why glucagon concentrations differ in diabetes (changes in glucagon secretion, its clearance and/or volume of distribution) will help us design informed rational therapeutic approaches to normalize glucose control in these individuals.

It is important to note that glucagon kinetics (appearance, disappearance, clearance and volume of distribution) cannot be determined using only endogenous glucagon concentrations without the use of glucagon tracers/isotopes. This is because plasma glucagon concentrations measured at any given time reflect the net balance of rate of glucagon entering the circulation (glucagon appearance), rate of glucagon leaving the circulation (glucagon disappearance), its volume of distribution (Vd) and metabolic clearance rate. The use of glucagon isotope that we can measure accurately (by LC–MS/MS) and distinguish from endogenous glucagon is essential to estimate endogenous glucagon turnover.

Table 5 Intra-day (n = 3) and inter-day (n = 3) precision measurements of heavy tracers of glucagon spiked-in to pooled reference plasma

| Glucagon Type | Conc. (pg/ml) | Intra-day precision, CV (%) | Inter-day precision, CV (%) |
|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| FF glucagon  | 13.3         | 5.2                        | 15.9                      |
|              | 40           | 5.6                        | 13.6                      |
| FFLA glucagon| 13.3         | 3.9                        | 22.9                      |
|              | 40           | 4.9                        | 18.9                      |
principle also holds true for estimation of turnover of other endogenous substrates and hormones e.g., glucose, cortisol, free fatty acids, lactate and ketone bodies, where corresponding isotopes have been utilized for measuring similar parameters for the respective endogenous substances.

Further, isotope dilution method is based on the principle that one should be able to measurably distinguish the isotope of the substrate from the endogenous substrate. Here, we have fulfilled that premise by using LC–MS/MS to measure the glucagon isotope and ELISA to measure total glucagon (endogenous + isotope). The ELISA method cannot distinguish the glucagon isotope from endogenous glucagon and therefore measures all glucagon in plasma. We are thereafter able to calculate endogenous glucagon concentrations by subtracting the glucagon in plasma. We are thereafter able to calculate endogenous glucagon concentrations by subtracting the glucagon in plasma. The tracers behave the same way as endogenous glucagon since the 29 amino acid backbone of the glucagon isotope is identical to that of endogenous glucagon molecule.

The end point of ~350 min was selected to measure postprandial glucagon turnover after a mixed meal. Based on our extensive prior experience of measuring meal carbohydrate turnover in individuals with and without type 1 diabetes, a duration of ~6 h after meal consumption is sufficient to define postprandial turnover of substrates (e.g., carbohydrates, fats and protein) and the relevant hormones (i.e., glucagon).

Finally, mechanisms of action of novel therapeutic strategies that are currently being investigated (glucagon receptor antagonists) or have already been approved (SGLT2 inhibitors) could be better probed, as they relate to pancreatic α cell function, by applying this technique. For example, plasma glucagon concentrations increase during SGLT2 inhibitor therapy for diabetes, contributing, at least in part to the three- to fourfold increased risk of ketoacidosis in these individuals. The reasons for this increase are currently unknown. Using the glucagon isotope dilution technique would help determine if the rise in circulating glucagon concentrations are related to increase in pancreatic glucagon secretion, reduction in systemic glucagon clearance, change in glucagon volume of distribution or a combination of all or some of the above mechanisms. Once understood, rational therapeutic approaches could be fashioned to mitigate this rise in glucagon concentrations thus reducing the risk for ketoacidosis, a potentially problematic acute complication of diabetes.

Conclusions

A novel isotope dilution mass spectrometry method using $^{13}$C$^{15}$N-glucagon was developed using an anti-glucagon antibody-based approach. The optimized targeted assay developed in this study was applied to measurement of glucagon turnover in human plasma. Understanding glucagon kinetics is important in diabetes for better management and therapeutics. We envision that the method describe in this study will pave the way for monitoring of glucagon levels in individuals with diabetes.
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