Phosphate and Potassium Retention and Release during Chrysanthemum Production from Precharged Materials: I. Alumina
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A B S T R A C T. Soilless root media have little capacity to retain PO₄ or K, and this contributes to leaching of these nutrients during greenhouse crop production. The objective of this research was to evaluate the suitability of precharged alumina as a sole source of PO₄ and K during greenhouse production of potted chrysanthemum [Dendranthema ×grandiflora Kitam. (syn. Chrysanthemum ×morifolium Ramat.)]. Phosphate and K adsorption and desorption curves were created at 25 °C for two particle sizes (0.5 to 0.9 and 1.8 to 3.2 mm) of alumina (Al₂O₃; acid-washed and unwashed), and a medium of 7 peat : 3 perlite (v/v) using solutions of KH₂PO₄ (P at 0 to 20,000 mg·L⁻¹). Based on these curves, 1.8 to 3.2 mm, unwashed alumina was selected for use in the studies. Precharged alumina was tested in two greenhouse studies at 10% and 30% (v/v) of a peat-perlite medium used to produce ‘Sunny Mandalay’ chrysanthemum. Phosphate, K, and pH were determined on unaltered root medium solutions collected throughout the 10-week cropping cycle, and foliar analyses were conducted on tissue collected at the middle and end of the cycle. Potassium release was adequate to meet chrysanthemum demand for 4 weeks, but inadequate for the remainder of the production cycle. Precharged alumina retained and released PO₄ at sustained concentrations (P at <2 mg·L⁻¹) over the course of a 10-week cropping cycle. Growth of plants receiving PO₄ from precharged alumina was not significantly different from the controls receiving liquid fertilizer (P at 46.5 mg·L⁻¹) at each watering when precharged alumina comprised 30% of the medium, and only slightly less when precharged alumina comprised 10% of the medium. A phosphorus budget showed that while 36% (103 mg) of the applied PO₄-P was lost in the leachate of the controls, only 0.1% (2 mg) was lost from plants produced with alumina-P. This research demonstrates that in a soilless medium with physical properties similar to standard commercial mixes, low but adequate PO₄ concentrations can be achieved and sustained using current production practices.

The greenhouse industry currently faces the need to substantially reduce the content of nutrients such as PO₄ in greenhouse effluent. Eutrophication of surface waters and increasing concern over PO₄ in lakes and near coastal waters are major water quality issues that are generating national attention (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989). This environmental contamination which results in part from PO₄ runoff from agricultural areas is one reason for the enactment of restrictive legislation on a broad scale (Pyle, 1991).

Phosphate leaching from containers is a problem because excessive levels of PO₄ fertilizer are applied commonly during greenhouse crop production. The typical PO₄ nutrition program of heavily fertilized crops like chrysanthemum [Dendranthema ×grandiflora (syn. Chrysanthemum ×morifolium)] frequently includes preplant amendments of superphosphate, followed by liquid fertilizer PO₄ applications at high rates (Nelson, 1998).
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Even slow-release fertilizers, applied at standard rates, result in unnecessarily high PO₄ concentrations in the root medium solution (Williams and Nelson, 1992) and thus substantial PO₄ may be lost through leaching. However, if PO₄ is available for plant uptake in accordance with the rate of plant removal, equilibrium PO₄-P soil solution concentrations as low as 0.2 mg·L⁻¹ are adequate for optimal growth of most plant species (Beckwith, 1965), including chrysanthemum (Nishimoto et al., 1975).

Soilless media commonly used for greenhouse crop production have almost no capacity to adsorb and retain PO₄, unlike soils and soil-based media which predate soilless mixes (Marconi and Nelson, 1984). The challenge, therefore, is to develop a commercial solid root medium production system in which low PO₄ concentrations are achieved and sustained in the medium solution. Low, relatively constant root medium solution PO₄ concentrations theoretically would be maintained if PO₄ was released from the root medium exchange sites in direct proportion to plant removal and leaching losses from the medium solution. Such a cultural production system could substantially reduce PO₄ losses through leaching. An additional advantage of producing floricultural crops under low PO₄ regimes is that these plants may be more tolerant of drought stress (Borch et al., 1998).

One material that could be precharged with PO₄ and combined with soilless medium components to accomplish this task is alumina (Al₂O₃·H₂O). Several researchers have used alumina as a component of sand culture and solid-phase buffer systems to maintain low PO₄ concentrations in nutrient solutions (Coltman et al., 1982; Elliott et al., 1983; Lynch et al., 1990). Lin et al. (1996) used alumina precharged with PO₄ as a component of a 2 peat : 2 vermiculite : 1 sand medium (by volume), with P-alumina substituted for sand at various concentrations to produce African marigolds (Tagetes erecta L.). This approach
resulted in growth comparable to traditionally fertilized controls, while decreasing PO₄ losses through leaching.

Alumina can also retain cations through pH-dependent negative surface charges. The capacity to do so increases with increasing pH and increasing amounts of specifically adsorbed anions, such as PO₄. The negative surface charge of oxide minerals increases substantially as the pH is raised above the point of zero net proton charge (PZNPC), the pH where 50% of the surface hydroxyl groups are deprotonated and negatively charged. The PZNPC of alumina (γ-Al₂O₃) is 8.2 + 0.5 (Sposito, 1984). Although the bulk solution from a soilless root medium should not have a pH this high, the higher pH in localized around each alumina particle could be lowered by the effects of the bulk medium solution. Thus, K would be released and available for plant uptake. Therefore, when alumina is precharged with PO₄ from a soluble P source such as KH₂PO₄, K retention, as well as PO₄ adsorption, is possible. Potassium retention and release from alumina during crop production requires evaluation. The objectives of this research were to 1) determine the PO₄ and K release patterns and concentrations from precharged alumina used as a component of a soilless medium during a 10-week cropping cycle, 2) to determine the quantity of PO₄ leached over time from this medium, and 3) determine the effectiveness of precharged alumina in providing PO₄ and K during chrysanthemum production.

Materials and Methods

TREATMENT MATERIALS. The following particle sizes of alumina were obtained from Alcoa, Vidalia, La.: grade F-1, 0.5 to 0.9 mm (28 to 48 mesh); and 1.8 to 3.2 mm (8 to 14 mesh). The 0.5 to 0.9 mm particle size has been used in previous studies (e.g., Lin et al., 1996; Lynch et al., 1990); the larger particle size of 1.8 to 3.2 mm would allow substitution of alumina for other root medium components and maintain physical properties comparable to commercial root media. Acid-washed alumina was prepared by soaking in 1 mol·L⁻¹ HCl (1 g alumina: 2.5 mL HCl) for 0.5 h, decanting, and repeating once. The alumina was rinsed with distilled water 10 times and dried at 70 °C. The purpose of acid pretreatment was to standardize the surface charge effects of alumina on PO₄ retention, which affects equilibrium desorption PO₄ concentration and solution pH (Elliott, 1989). Unwashed alumina received no preparatory treatment. Unwashed and acid-washed alumina were tested in the laboratory experiments; only unwashed alumina was used in the greenhouse experiments.

LABORATORY EXPERIMENTS. Initial extractions of P and K were conducted on alumina to determine levels of these elements present before sorption. Dilute acids (0.05 mol·L⁻¹ HCl and 0.0125 mol·L⁻¹ H₂SO₄) were used for P extraction (Olsen and Sommers, 1982) and K was extracted with NH₄OAc (Knudsen et al., 1982). Phosphate and K adsorption and desorption curves (also known as isotherms) were obtained by modifying the procedures of Fox and Kamprath (1970). To create adsorption curves, 5 cm³ samples of the treatment materials were measured into 50 mL centrifuge tubes (sample weight was the same for all replications of each treatment material). Thirty milliliters of 0.01 m CaCl₂, which contained P at 0, 500, 1000, 1500, 3000, 5000, 10000, or 20000 mg·L⁻¹ from KH₂PO₄, was added to each tube, as well as two drops of toluene to limit microbial growth. The tubes were capped and placed on their sides on a circular motion shaker (100 rpm) for 10 d at 23 °C. Next, the tubes were centrifuged at 5856 g, and the supernatant solution was removed and analyzed for PO₄-P using an ultraviolet/visible (UV/VIS) spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, Conn.) following the methods of Chapman and Pratt (1961) for concentrations >10 mg·L⁻¹ or Murphy and Riley (1962) for concentrations <10 mg·L⁻¹. Potassium was analyzed using atomic absorption spectroscopy. pH measurements were taken with a combined pH and temperature meter (Extech Instruments Corp., Waltham, Mass.). Phosphorus that disappeared from solution was considered to be sorbed by the alumina.

To create desorption curves, untreated alumina was charged with PO₄ from KH₂PO₄ at a P concentration of 20,000 mg·L⁻¹ as described for the adsorption curves. After a 10 d equilibration period and volumetric removal of 15 mL supernatant solution, the removed solution was replaced with 15 mL 0.01 m CaCl₂. To further reduce the concentration of PO₄ in the solution and encourage release of PO₄ from the solid phase, a second aliquot of supernatant solution was then removed after inverting the tube several times by hand and centrifuging. Fifteen milliliters of 0.01 m CaCl₂ and two drops toluene were added, and the tubes were capped and placed on the shaker for a minimum of 9 h for equilibration. Desorption sampling continued twice daily until 22 desorption events, each consisting of two replacements of supernatant solutions, had been completed. Phosphate, K, and pH were determined in all supernatant solutions for calculation (by mass balance) of PO₄ and K sorbed, but only results from the first supernatant solution of each desorption event are presented.

GREENHOUSE EXPERIMENTS: GENERAL PROCEDURES. Two experiments were conducted with the following procedures in common. Alumina was precharged with PO₄ and K as described above for adsorption curves except that larger batches of precharged alumina were produced by using the same 1 : 6 solid volume : solution ratio in Erlenmeyer flasks. The concentration of P from KH₂PO₄ at which the materials were precharged was 3,510 mg·L⁻¹. The precharged alumina was taken through two desorption events before drying and incorporation into the media for the greenhouse experiments. Appropriate volumes of root medium components were measured with a graduated cylinder and then mixed by hand to create the treatment root media.

Rooted stem cuttings of ‘Sunny Mandalay’ chrysanthemum were potted one cutting per 0.5-L pot. Root medium was amended with the following materials and rates per liter: 6 g dolomitic limestone; 0.09 g gypsum; and 0.074 g FTE-555 micronutrient mix (Frit Industries, Ozark, Ala.) in Expt. 1 or 3.3 g Esmingraf micronutrient mix (Scotts-Sierra Horticultural Products, Marysville, Ohio) in Expt. 2. The water source had a pH of 7.0, Ca at 10 mg·L⁻¹, Mg at 3 mg·L⁻¹, and Ca-carbonate equivalent alkalinity of 25 mg·L⁻¹. Pots were spaced 20 × 28 cm. Day/night greenhouse temperatures were set at 25/17 °C. Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three blocks and two pots per experimental unit. Data were subjected to analysis of variance procedures (PROC ANOVA, SAS Institute, Cary, N.C.). Least significant differences (LSD s) were calculated to separate treatment means as follows. If the percentage alumina level by fertilizer treatment interaction was significant, a single LSD was calculated to compare means across both percentage alumina levels and fertilizer treatments. If the percentage alumina by fertilizer interaction was nonsignificant, two separate LSD values were calculated, one to compare means across percentage alumina levels and one to compare means across fertilizer treatments.

Unaltered bulk root medium solution was sampled by vacuum
removal. To accomplish this, fertilizer solutions were applied to pots, allowed to equilibrate for 1 h, then pots were sealed in a suction funnel and a vacuum was drawn on the base of the pot. Ten milliliters of solution was collected from each of the two pots within an experimental unit and combined. Solutions were collected on days 1 (first day after planting), 5, 8, 15, 22, 29, 43, 57, and 70 in Expt. 1 or day 66 in Expt. 2. Media solution samples were analyzed for PO₄-P, K, and pH. Soluble Al was determined on unfiltered root medium solution samples collected in Expt. 1 using an inductively coupled argon plasma emission spectrophotometer (Fisons Instruments, Dearborn, Mich.).

Plant height, width, and quality ratings were recorded weekly. Height was measured from the rim of the pot to the top of the foliage or flower canopy and width was the average of two measurements taken at right angles to each other. Plant quality ratings were assigned subjectively after consideration of plant volume, form, foliage color, and number and size of flowers on a scale of 1 to 10 with 7 to 10 representing quality meeting commercial standards and 10 as optimum. Shoot fresh weights and shoot dry weights (dried for a minimum of 48 h at 70°C) were recorded at the end of the crop.

Tissue samples consisted of the youngest, fully expanded leaves at midcrop and whole shoots at the end of the crop. Shoots were sampled at the end of the experiment so that total nutrient uptake (shoot dry weight × concentration) could be determined. Mobile elements such as N, P, and K are generally lower in whole shoots compared to recently mature leaves; thus, if whole shoot levels of mobile nutrients are equal to or greater than standards for recently matured leaves, it follows that these nutrients are not deficient. Plant tissue was rinsed under warm tap water, washed for 30 s in 0.2 mol·L⁻¹ HCl, rinsed under warm tap water, and rinsed for 30 s in distilled water. Dried tissue was ground in a stainless steel Wiley mill to pass a 20-mesh (1.3-mm) screen. Tissue used for P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn, and Cu was determined using atomic absorption spectroscopy. Phosphorus determination was accomplished by the previously mentioned colorimetric methods. Sulfur was determined by a turbidimetric procedure in which Ba(SO₄)₂ was dispersed with polyvinyl pyrolidone (A.H. Hunter, personal communication), and colorimetric analyses were performed for B (Grinstein and Snider, 1967).

Physical properties of bulk density (BD), particle density (PD), air-filled porosity at container capacity (AFP), container capacity (CC), and total porosity (TP) were determined on four replications of fallow pots of each unique medium by using the tube method of Niedziela and Nelson (1992).

**Greenhouse Experiment 1: Phosphate and K Release from Precharged Alumina.** Rooted stem cuttings were potted on 2 Feb. 1994. Plants received 2 weeks of long days (lighted from 2200 to 0200 hr with incandescent bulbs) followed by 8 weeks of natural short days, and were pinched 2 weeks after potting to leave nine nodes. Lateral flower buds were removed 5 weeks after pinching. The production cycle was 71 d.

The control plants were grown in a medium of 7 low-humified sphagnum peat : 3 coarse perlite (v/v) (Krum, Silbrico Co., Hodgkins, Ill.). Alumina was incorporated into the medium as 0%, 10%, or 30% (v/v) of the mix by replacing perlite. The 10% and 30% volumes were large compared to those used in other studies (Lin, et al., 1996; Lynch et al., 1990) in an effort to decrease the occurrence of localized PO₄ depletion in the rhizosphere. Previous studies indicated that these different volumes of Al₂O₃ in the medium should result in the same equilibrium PO₄ concentration (Cotman et al., 1982). The treatment structure was factorial with alumina at three rates (0%, 10%, and 30% by volume of the root medium) by three fertilizer treatments: precharged alumina fertilized with –P fertilizer, precharged alumina fertilized with –K fertilizer, and uncharged alumina fertilized with complete fertilizer. The treatment termed complete control consisted of the medium by fertilizer combination of 0% alumina [7 peat : 3 perlite (v/v)] and complete fertilizer.

The three fertilizer treatments used each provided N at 210 mg·L⁻¹ from the following fertilizers at each watering: the complete fertilizer consisted of 4.5 mM KNO₃, 1.5 mM NH₄H₂PO₄, and 4.5 mM NH₄NO₃ (2 NH₄-N : 3 NO₃-N); the –P fertilizer

![Fig. 1](image-url) Fig. 1. (A) Phosphate and (B) K adsorption curves and (C) pH of supernatants during adsorption for the control medium and alumina at two particle sizes, large (1.8 to 3.2 mm) or small (0.5 to 0.9 mm), and unwashed or acid-washed. In each figure the se (n = 3) for every data point is smaller than the graphed symbol; 7 peat : 3 perlite control medium; alunina, large, unwashed; () alunina, small, unwashed; () alumina, large, acid-washed; and () alumina, small, acid-washed.
Fig. 2. (A) Phosphate and (B) K adsorption and desorption curves for alumina at two particle sizes, large (1.8 to 3.2 mm) and small (0.5 to 0.9 mm). Unattached desorption points are amounts of PO$_4$-P or K calculated to be adsorbed before beginning desorption. In each figure the SE (n = 3) for every data point is smaller than the graphed symbol; (●) adsorption, large particle size, (○) adsorption, small particle size, (■) desorption, large particle size, and (□) desorption, small particle size.

Table 1. Growth measurements for shoot growth at the end of the crop (day 71) of ‘Sunny Mandalay’ chrysanthemums grown with alumina comprising 0%, 10%, and 30% of the medium (Expt. 1).

| Alumina (%) | Ht (cm) | Width (cm) | Fresh wt (g) | Dry wt (g) | Rating*
|-------------|---------|------------|--------------|------------|--------
| 0           | 8.0     | 8.5        | 6.4          | 1.0        | 1.0    |
| 10          | 16.6    | 25.3       | 101.3        | 10.6       | 7.7    |
| 30          | 17.7    | 26.7       | 124.5        | 13.3       | 8.7    |
|             |         |            | –P fertilizer* |          |        |
| 0           | 10.4    | 15.4       | 39.2         | 3.0        | 1.7    |
| 10          | 17.5    | 24.5       | 99.3         | 9.8        | 7.0    |
| 30          | 17.0    | 26.2       | 108.7        | 11.3       | 7.7    |
|             |         |            | –K fertilizer* |          |        |
| 0*          | 20.2    | 30.0       | 162.6        | 16.3       | 10.0   |
| 10          | 19.1    | 29.0       | 141.0        | 13.5       | 9.3    |
| 30          | 16.1    | 22.2       | 79.6         | 7.9        | 6.0    |
| LSD$_{0.05}$ | 1.4     | 2.4        | 27.1         | 2.4        | 1.2    |

*Scale of 1 to 10 with 1 = death and 10 = optimum commercial growth.

*Used with precharged alumina.

*Used with uncharged alumina.

*Complete control.

*LSD used to compare fertilizer treatment (–P, –K, or complete) for a given percentage alumina level (0%, 10%, or 30%) and percentage alumina level for a given fertilizer treatment. Fertilizer treatment, percentage alumina level, and fertilizer by percentage alumina interaction are significant at $P = 0.0001$ for height, width, fresh weight, dry weight, and rating.
Table 2. Mineral nutrient content (dry weight basis) of the youngest fully expanded leaves collected at midcrop (day 42) and whole shoots at end of the crop (day 71) of 'Sunny Mandalay' chrysanthemums grown with alumina comprising 0%, 10%, and 30% of the medium (Expt. 1).

| Alumina (%) | N (%) | P (%) | K (%) | Ca (%) | Mg (%) | Fe (%) | Mn (%) | Zn (%) | Cu (%) |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Midcrop     |       |       |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 0           | 4.19  | 0.06  | 3.52  | 0.62   | 0.41   | 23     | 192    | 111    | 4      |
| 10          | 5.51  | 0.26  | 6.09  | 1.02   | 0.53   | 47     | 184    | 108    | 2      |
| 30          | 5.76  | 0.31  | 5.93  | 1.15   | 0.54   | 42     | 117    | 86     | 4      |
| –K fertilizer<sup>y</sup> |       |       |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 0           | 7.49  | 0.88  | 1.06  | 1.09   | 0.85   | 20     | 295    | 177    | 7      |
| 10          | 6.53  | 0.67  | 1.06  | 1.69   | 1.46   | 39     | 186    | 136    | 4      |
| 30          | 6.31  | 0.49  | 3.44  | 1.67   | 0.92   | 40     | 146    | 87     | 7      |
| Complete fertilizer<sup>x</sup> |       |       |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 0           | 6.29  | 0.90  | 5.39  | 0.96   | 0.72   | 69     | 230    | 56     | 3      |
| 10          | 6.15  | 0.41  | 5.95  | 0.88   | 0.65   | 49     | 221    | 42     | 5      |
| 30          | 5.61  | 0.35  | 5.77  | 0.66   | 0.48   | 44     | 157    | 41     | 4      |
| Standards<sup>w</sup> | 4.00  | 0.25  | 4.00  | 1.00   | 0.25   | 50     | 50     | 20     | 6      |
| LSD<sub>0.05</sub> | 0.53<sup>v</sup> | 0.05<sup>v</sup> | 0.54<sup>v</sup> | 0.20<sup>v</sup> | 0.13<sup>v</sup> | 12<sup>v</sup> | 39<sup>v</sup> | 35<sup>v</sup> | NS<sup>v</sup> |

| Significance | Fertilizer treatment | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | NS | ** |
|--------------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| % alumina level | NS | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | NS | *** | **** |
| Fertilizer × alumina (%) | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | NS | * | NS |

End crop

| Alumina (%) | N (%) | P (%) | K (%) | Ca (%) | Mg (%) | Fe (%) | Mn (%) | Zn (%) | Cu (%) |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0           | 3.23  | 0.14  | 3.18  | 0.65   | 0.27   | 74     | 116    | 214    | 5      |
| 10          | 3.66  | 0.29  | 4.91  | 1.35   | 0.46   | 100    | 87     | 107    | 5      |
| 30          | 3.78  | 0.34  | 5.25  | 1.59   | 0.46   | 77     | 62     | 80     | 5      |
| –K fertilizer<sup>y</sup> |       |       |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 0           | 5.65  | 1.04  | 0.66  | 2.00   | 1.12   | 97     | 266    | 361    | 8      |
| 10          | 4.72  | 0.76  | 0.87  | 1.93   | 1.09   | 75     | 99     | 147    | 5      |
| 30          | 4.25  | 0.62  | 1.67  | 1.81   | 0.84   | 77     | 71     | 89     | 7      |
| Complete fertilizer<sup>x</sup> |       |       |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 0           | 4.40  | 0.84  | 4.29  | 0.96   | 0.53   | 63     | 194    | 93     | 9      |
| 10          | 4.73  | 0.45  | 4.95  | 0.96   | 0.51   | 85     | 201    | 48     | 6      |
| 30          | 4.36  | 0.38  | 4.89  | 0.97   | 0.45   | 88     | 223    | 55     | 8      |
| Standards<sup>w</sup> | 4.00  | 0.20  | 1.00  | 0.50   | 0.10   | 20     | 25     | 5      | 5      |
| LSD<sub>0.05</sub> | 0.58<sup>v</sup> | 0.08<sup>v</sup> | 0.50<sup>v</sup> | 0.27<sup>v</sup> | 0.11<sup>v</sup> | NS<sup>v</sup> | 34<sup>v</sup> | 61<sup>v</sup> | 2<sup>2</sup> |

| Significance | Fertilizer treatment | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | NS | ** |
|--------------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| % alumina level | NS | **** | ** | **** | **** | **** | NS | **** | **** |
| Fertilizer × alumina (%) | **** | **** | NS | **** | **** | **** | NS | **** | **** |

<sup>1</sup>Used with precharged alumina.<br>
<sup>2</sup>Used with uncharged alumina.<br>
<sup>x</sup>Complete control.<br>
<sup>y</sup>Minimum critical standards for recently matured leaves, for comparative purposes only (Mills and Jones, 1996).<br>
<sup>z</sup>LSD used to compare fertilizer treatment (–P, –K or complete) for a given percent alumina level (0%, 10%, or 30%) and percent alumina level for a given fertilizer treatment; fertilizer × percent alumina interaction is significant.<br>
<sup>t</sup>LSD used to compare percent alumina levels for a given fertilizer treatment; fertilizer × percent alumina interaction is nonsignificant.<br>
<sup>u</sup>LSD used to compare percent alumina levels for a given fertilizer treatment; fertilizer × percent alumina interaction is nonsignificant.<br>
<sup>v</sup>,<sup>ns</sup>,<sup>***</sup>,<sup>****</sup>Nonsignificant or significant at P = 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, or 0.0001, respectively.

### Results and Discussion

**Laboratory experiments.** The adsorption curves (Fig. 1) indicated that the 7 peat : 3 perlite medium did not retain PO<sub>4</sub>, and capacity to retain K was limited in the pH range of supernatant solutions in this study (Fig. 1). All alumina treatments had a higher capacity to retain PO<sub>4</sub> and K (Fig. 1). The overlapping curves for treatments with large and small particle size fractions indicated little difference in the amount of PO<sub>4</sub> or K retention at lower P concentrations (Fig. 1A and B). Similarly, acid-washed and unwashed alumina resulted in comparable curves for PO<sub>4</sub> and K retention, although the curves differed at a P concentration of 20,000 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> (K at 25,250 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>), whereas acid-washed alumina resulted in lower sorbed PO<sub>4</sub> and K.

Acid pretreatment resulted in a slightly lower pH in the supernatant solution than unwashed alumina (Fig. 1C).
greater when PO4 is being added to the system than when it is decreased as the pH approached equilibrium. These trends desorption event to an equilibrium pH around 5.8 after desorption ranged from a high of 6.5 on the second being withdrawn.

The curves for PO4-P and K desorption from alumina (Fig. 2) showed that large and small particle-size fractions have similar sorption characteristics (Fig. 2); therefore, the large particle size was used in greenhouse studies because at 10% and 30% volumes, it resulted in more suitable physical properties in media. The difference between the adsorption and desorption phases of alumina, PO4 solubility is shown that large and small particle-size fractions have similar sorption characteristics (Fig. 2); therefore, the large particle size was used in greenhouse studies because at 10% and 30% volumes, it resulted in more suitable physical properties in media. The difference between the adsorption and desorption phases of alumina, PO4 solubility is higher initial pH level of unwashed alumina could potentially enhance retention of K by increasing negative surface charge, and eliminating the acid pretreatment would make the use of alumina easier and more commercially feasible. Therefore, unwashed alumina was used for the greenhouse experiments.

The curves for PO4-P and K desorption from alumina (Fig. 2) showed that large and small particle-size fractions have similar sorption characteristics (Fig. 2); therefore, the large particle size was used in greenhouse studies because at 10% and 30% volumes, it resulted in more suitable physical properties in media. The difference between the adsorption and desorption phases of the curves demonstrated that for alumina, PO4 solubility is greater when PO4 is being added to the system than when it is being withdrawn.

For the large particle size, the pH of the supernatant solution was reached. The mixes were reformulated for Expt. 2 by

GREENHOUSE EXPERIMENT 1. Plants receiving PO4 only from 10% and 30% precharged alumina grew less than the complete controls based on all criteria (Table 1). However, less growth was not due necessarily to a deficiency of PO4 because levels of P above the accepted standard minimum critical levels of 0.25% at midcrop and 0.23% at end of the crop were recovered in the tissue of plants receiving PO4 only from precharged alumina (Table 2). The higher P levels recovered in the complete control may indicate luxury consumption from overapplication of PO4. Adequate levels of all other essential nutrients were found at midcrop and end of the crop in the complete control and plants grown with PO4 only from precharged alumina with inconsequential exceptions, including S and B (data not presented). Thus, it can be argued that reduction of growth in plants grown with PO4 from precharged alumina was possibly not due to lack of nutrient availability.

The root medium solution PO4 concentrations for plants grown with PO4 only from precharged alumina were apparently adequate throughout the cropping cycle. Concentrations stayed above the minimum critical P level of 0.2 mg·L–1 established by Beckwith (1965) and Nishimoto et al. (1975) (Fig. 3A and B).

One possible explanation for reduced growth was the effect on nutrient availability of the elevated pH levels of the medium solutions of plants fertilized with the –P fertilizer (0%, 10% and 30% precharged alumina) as compared to the complete control (Fig. 3C). High pH may result in decreased availability of PO4, micronutrients, and eliminating the acid pretreatment would make the use of alumina easier and more commercially feasible. Therefore, unwashed alumina was used for the greenhouse experiments.

The curves for PO4-P and K desorption from alumina (Fig. 2) showed that large and small particle-size fractions have similar sorption characteristics (Fig. 2); therefore, the large particle size was used in greenhouse studies because at 10% and 30% volumes, it resulted in more suitable physical properties in media. The difference between the adsorption and desorption phases of the curves demonstrated that for alumina, PO4 solubility is greater when PO4 is being added to the system than when it is being withdrawn.

For the large particle size, the pH of the supernatant solution during desorption ranged from a high of 6.5 on the second desorption event to an equilibrium pH around 5.8 after desorption event 8 (data not presented). Release of K from the alumina decreased as the pH approached equilibrium. These trends suggested that as the pH decreased and pH-dependent charge became more positive, K was released (H+–K+ exchange) from the alumina. The desorption curves suggested that PO4 and K would be released by alumina during greenhouse production, and the sorption capacity of this mineral suggested that it could maintain PO4 and K at dissolved concentrations <1 mg·L–1 and 10 mg·L–1, respectively.

Fig. 3. Mineral nutrient concentrations of unaltered bulk root medium solution during greenhouse Expt. 1 of root media treated with precharged and uncharged alumina. (A and B) PO4-P (se = 1.0), (C) pH for precharged alumina with –P fertilizer and uncharged treatments (se = 0.2), (D) soluble Al for precharged alumina with –P fertilizer treatments, (se = 0.1), (E) K for precharged alumina with –K fertilizer and uncharged treatments, (se = 7.3), and (F) pH for precharged alumina with –K fertilizer treatments, (se = 0.2); ( ) complete control, ( ) 0% precharged alumina, ( ) 10% precharged alumina, ( ) 30% precharged alumina, ( ) 10% uncharged alumina, and ( ) 30% uncharged alumina. In all figures each symbol is based on three observations (two plants were used to obtain each observation).

Higher initial pH levels of plants fertilized with the –P fertilizer treatments, (SE = 0.1), ( ) PO4-P (SE = 1.0), ( ) B, ( ) pH for precharged alumina with –P fertilizer treatments, (SE = 7.3), and ( ) pH for precharged alumina with –K fertilizer treatments, (SE = 0.2); ( ) complete control, ( ) 0% precharged alumina, ( ) 10% uncharged alumina, and ( ) 30% uncharged alumina. In all figures each symbol is based on three observations (two plants were used to obtain each observation).
only from precharged alumina was similar to the complete control and helped explain the reduction in growth of plants in uncharged alumina. Such a sorption process would also have retained other cations from the soluble fertilizer and as the sites which initially held K were emptied, H+ from the solution during the pH decline of the first part of the cropping cycle, and as the plants grew, K demand increased to between 6.5 and 7.5 (Fig. 3F). It was possible that the precharged alumina released K into the medium solution during the pH decline of the first part of the cropping cycle, and as the sites which initially held K were emptied, H+ from the medium solution was sorbed by the alumina. This may have resulted in the pH increase observed in the medium solution. Growth and tissue data (Tables 1 and 2) verify that while precharged alumina met some of the K needs of the crop, it could not sustain its release to meet chrysanthemum demands at midcrop and later.

Medium solution K levels show that release of K from precharged alumina is substantial, but not excessive for the first 25 d of the cropping cycle (Fig. 3E) based on the standards of Warncke and Krauskopf (1983). By day 43, soluble concentrations of K decreased below 10 mg·L–1 for both treatments which received K only from precharged alumina, a level that was inadequate to meet plant demands. During the initial period of K release, pH of the medium solution in treatments of precharged alumina with –K fertilizer was between 6.7 and 7.5 (Fig. 3F). By day 25 to 30, pH was 5.5 to 5.8 in these treatments. Near the end of the experiment, pH of these treatments had increased to between 6.5 and 7.5 (Fig. 3F). It was possible that the precharged alumina released K into the medium solution during the pH decline of the first part of the cropping cycle, and as the sites which initially held K were emptied, H+ from the medium solution was sorbed by the alumina. This may have resulted in the pH increase observed in the medium solution. Growth and tissue data (Tables 1 and 2) verify that while precharged alumina met some of the K needs of the crop, it could not sustain its release to meet chrysanthemum demands at midcrop and later.

Growth characteristics of plants grown in 10% uncharged alumina is substantial, but not excessive for the first 25 d of the cropping cycle (Fig. 3E) based on the standards of Warncke and Krauskopf (1983). By day 43, soluble concentrations of K decreased below 10 mg·L–1 for both treatments which received K only from precharged alumina, a level that was inadequate to meet plant demands. During the initial period of K release, pH of the medium solution in treatments of precharged alumina with –K fertilizer was between 6.7 and 7.5 (Fig. 3F). By day 25 to 30, pH was 5.5 to 5.8 in these treatments. Near the end of the experiment, pH of these treatments had increased to between 6.5 and 7.5 (Fig. 3F). It was possible that the precharged alumina released K into the medium solution during the pH decline of the first part of the cropping cycle, and as the sites which initially held K were emptied, H+ from the medium solution was sorbed by the alumina. This may have resulted in the pH increase observed in the medium solution. Growth and tissue data (Tables 1 and 2) verify that while precharged alumina met some of the K needs of the crop, it could not sustain its release to meet chrysanthemum demands at midcrop and later.

Growth characteristics of plants grown in 10% uncharged alumina were significantly different from the complete control in only dry weight. However, plants grown in 30% uncharged alumina were significantly smaller based on all growth characteristics (Table 1). Graphs of medium solution PO4 and K levels (Fig. 3A, B, and E) indicated that PO4 concentrations in the medium solutions were not significantly affected by percentage alumina. Results of this experiment reaffirmed that observation. While the PO4 concentrations in the medium solution were not substantially different (Fig. 4B), it is speculated that the increase in distribution of the precharged alumina particles throughout the medium at 30% volume may have increased the effectiveness of PO4 diffusion to the plant and reduced the occurrence of localized zones of PO4 depletion in the rhizosphere. A similar result may occur if lesser volumes of a smaller particle size alumina (e.g., 0.5 to 0.9 mm) are used because the smaller particles can be distributed more uniformly throughout the medium at lesser volumes. Tissue K concentrations in plants grown with precharged alumina (Table 5) were significantly greater than in the complete less based on three of the five growth measurements when it made up 10% of the medium (Table 4). The medium solution PO4 concentrations were again below 1.5 mg·L–1 in treatments with precharged alumina (Fig. 4A and B). These low medium solution PO4 concentrations were evidently sufficient to meet chrysanthemum demand based on tissue P concentration, which exceeded minimum critical levels at both midcrop and end of the crop (Table 5). This confirms that PO4 concentrations do not have to be high in the medium solution to achieve optimal plant growth, but they must be sustained. Elliott (1989) reported that dry matter accumulation in corn (Zea mays L.) increased with increasing percentage of precharged alumina in sand : alumina mixes while the PO4 concentrations in the medium solutions were not significantly affected by percentage alumina. Results of this experiment reaffirmed that observation. While the PO4 concentrations in the medium solution were not substantially different (Fig. 4B), it is speculated that the increase in distribution of the precharged alumina particles throughout the medium at 30% volume may have increased the effectiveness of PO4 diffusion to the plant and reduced the occurrence of localized zones of PO4 depletion in the rhizosphere. A similar result may occur if lesser volumes of a smaller particle size alumina (e.g., 0.5 to 0.9 mm) are used because the smaller particles can be distributed more uniformly throughout the medium at lesser volumes. Tissue K concentrations in plants grown with precharged alumina (Table 5) were significantly greater than in the complete

Table 3. Physical property characteristics of root medium formulations used in Expts. 1 and 2 as measured in a 10-cm-deep, 10-cm-round, 0.5-L plastic pot following the procedures of Niedziela and Nelson (1992).

| Medium (v/v) | Bulk density (g·cm–3) | Particle density (g·cm–3) | Air-filled porosity (% by vol) | Container capacity (% by vol) | Total porosity (% by vol) |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 7 peat : 3 perlite | 0.17 | 0.96 | 11.7 | 68.0 | 79.7 |
| 7 peat : 2 perlite : 1 alumina | 0.29 | 1.91 | 8.5 | 74.2 | 82.7 |
| 7 peat : 3 alumina | 0.50 | 3.06 | 6.6 | 74.9 | 81.5 |
| LSD0.05 | 0.06 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.0 | NS |
| 7 peat : 3 perlite | 0.20 | 2.20 | 13.3 | 74.8 | 88.1 |
| 6.5 peat : 2.5 perlite : 1 alumina | 0.24 | 2.48 | 16.9 | 69.8 | 86.6 |
| 5.5 peat : 1.5 perlite : 3 alumina | 0.40 | 2.35 | 12.1 | 68.6 | 80.7 |
| LSD0.05 | 0.04 | NS | NS | 4.5 | NS |

LSD = least significant difference.

Table 4. Plant growth characteristics for shoots at end of the crop (day 670 of ‘Sunny Mandalay’ chrysanthemums grown with precharged alumina comprising 0%, 10%, and 30% of the medium (Expt. 2).

| Alumina (%) | Ht (cm) | Width (cm) | Fresh wt (g) | Dry wt (g) | Rating a |
|------------|---------|------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| Complete fertilizer | | | | | |
| 0 | 22.3 | 38.5 | 144.7 | 16.1 | 10.0 |
| –P fertilizer | | | | | |
| 0 | 14.7 | 17.2 | 13.7 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| 10 | 20.6 | 33.0 | 109.8 | 13.8 | 9.3 |
| 30 | 22.0 | 37.9 | 141.7 | 15.7 | 10.0 |
| LSD0.05 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 18.2 | 2.8 | 0.6 |

aScale of 1–10 with 1 = death and 10 = optimum commercial growth.

bComplete control.
cUsed with precharged alumina.
control. This can be attributed to the release of K from the precharged alumina as described in Expt. 1.

The pH of the root medium solution from plants grown with precharged alumina was still 1.5 to 2 units higher than in the complete control plants (Fig. 4C), similar to results in Expt. 1 (Fig. 3C). Based on these data, we believe that the differences in fertilizer NH₃ : NO₃ ratio had little effect on medium pH compared to the effects of the alumina.

In Expt. 1, PO₄ from 30% precharged alumina did not result in growth similar to the complete control (Table 1), but it did in Expt. 2. This success in Expt. 2 may have been attributed to improved aeration in the root medium due to the change in media formulation and/or the more carefully controlled leaching and watering procedures which resulted in avoidance of overwatering some treatment plants.

The nutrient budget data from Expt. 2 (Table 6) indicated that 36% (103 mg) of the applied PO₄-P of the complete control treatment was lost in the leachate, compared to only 0.1% (1 mg) for the precharged alumina treatments. However, the majority of the PO₄ applied as precharged alumina was fixed in the pots and not utilized during crop production. While this is not an advantage for production of potted flowering crops, sustained release of PO₄ may be useful in cut flower production and in interiorscapes where the root medium sustains plant growth for several crops or many years. With 36% of the applied PO₄ recovered in the leachate of the complete control (P at 46.5 mg·L⁻¹ CLF), the budget suggests that the concentration of PO₄ applied during routine water-soluble fertilization could be reduced, perhaps by as much as 40%.

Use of precharged alumina in soilless container media can sustain low concentrations of PO₄ that meet chrysanthemum needs during production. Its potential for use by the floricultural industry may be limited by its cost (currently $0.24/kg). In addition, the heavier bulk density may increase shipping and handling costs of both media and finished crops. Another limitation of this system is that the majority of applied PO₄ remained

Table 5. Mineral nutrient content (dry weight basis) of the youngest fully expanded leaves collected at midcrop (day 36) and whole shoots at end of the crop (day 67) of ‘Sunny Mandalay’ chrysanthemums grown with precharged alumina comprising 0%, 10%, and 30% of the medium (Expt. 2).

| Alumina (%) | N (%) | P (%) | K (%) | Ca (%) | Mg (%) | S (µg·g⁻¹) | Fe (%) | Mn (%) | Zn (%) | Cu (%) | B (%) |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Complete fertilizer 0z | 4.94 | 0.64 | 3.88 | 0.91 | 0.56 | --- | 68 | 503 | 383 | 15 | --- |
| Complete fertilizer –P fertilizery | 3.29 | 0.10 | 3.93 | 0.47 | 0.27 | --- | 59 | 487 | 410 | 17 | --- |
| –P fertilizer | 10 | 5.00 | 0.33 | 5.47 | 0.74 | 0.33 | --- | 81 | 450 | 161 | 11 | --- |
| 30 | 4.70 | 0.41 | 5.57 | 0.75 | 0.27 | --- | 119 | 244 | 90 | 9 | --- |
| LSD₉₀ | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.51 | 0.09 | 0.04 | --- | NS | 76 | 74 | 2 | --- |

Midcrop

| Complete fertilizer 0z | 3.95 | 0.79 | 3.79 | 0.89 | 0.53 | 0.21 | 64 | 269 | 439 | 15 | 46 |
| Complete fertilizer –P fertilizery | 2.91 | 0.09 | 3.44 | 0.63 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 58 | 604 | 737 | 23 | 67 |
| –P fertilizer | 10 | 3.64 | 0.25 | 4.66 | 0.88 | 0.38 | 0.24 | 61 | 378 | 293 | 15 | 53 |
| 30 | 3.86 | 0.31 | 4.85 | 1.12 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 58 | 151 | 179 | 13 | 48 |
| LSD₉₀ | 0.27 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.02 | NS | 75 | 96 | 2 | 8 |

zComplete control.
yUsed with precharged alumina.
*NS Nonsignificant.*
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