Individualized Surgery Combined with Radiotherapy and Triamcinolone Acetonide Injection for the treatment of Auricular Keloids
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Abstract

Background: Although multiple methods have been proposed to treat auricular keloids, low curative effects and high recurrence rates are currently major clinical problems. Thereinto, surgery combined with radiotherapy and triamcinolone acetonide injection is considered to be the proper choice for comprehensive treatment of auricular keloids.

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate the therapeutic effect of individualized surgery combined with radiotherapy for the treatment of auricular keloids.

Methods: From February 2014 to February 2017, a total of 67 patients with 113 auricular keloids were enrolled in this study. According to the specific conditions of the lesions, the local tissue and the patient's wishes, different surgical methods were selected for scar excision and repair of the defect. Within 24 hours after the keloid was excised, 5 Mev electron beam irradiation by the linear accelerator was used for radiotherapy with a total dose of 20 Gy at interval of 1 day for 10 consecutive times. Triamcinolone acetonide was injected immediately after 1, 2, and 3 months after surgery.

Results: A total of 113 keloids were received treatment. The follow-up period was 24 months. Fourteen keloids (12.39%) showed subjective recurrence with a success rate of 87.61%. The VSS scores were as follows: 82 keloids (72.57%) scored less than 5 points (good result), 21 keloids (18.58%) scored 6 to 10 points (fair result), and only 10 keloids (8.85%) scored more than 10 points (bad result). The effective rate was 91.15%.

Conclusions: Individualized surgery combined with early postoperative radiotherapy and triamcinolone acetonide injection is an ideal treatment method to ensure good auricular appearance, low incidences of complications and recurrence based on effective treatment of auricular keloids.

Background

Skin scars are the inevitable results of tissue repair after a certain degree of trauma. Keloid occurs when skin fibers abnormally proliferate and the wounds were repair excessively. Its appearance is unsightly and cause discomfort to the patients with various degrees of dysfunction. If it ruptures, it tends to become a unhealed ulcer and may even become malignant. Auricular keloids are usually caused by ear piercing, infection, surgery and trauma, and so on, with high risks of local malformation, complications and recurrence. In addition, it often proliferates and enlarges for many years, or even invades the surrounding normal skin, seriously affecting the appearance.

Effective treatment relies to a large extent on the understanding of pathogenesis, but the pathogenesis is complex and has not yet been fully revealed. As a result, the treatment is greatly restricted. At present, there are many treatment methods for auricular keloids, but the treatment effects were poor and the recurrence rates were high. It was reported that the recurrence rate of surgery alone was as high as 45% to 100%, and that of glucocorticoid injection alone in lesions was 9% to 50%. Therefore, most scholars currently advocated comprehensive treatment, that is, surgery first, and then combined with some relevant auxiliary treatment, such as hormones, pressure therapy, radiotherapy, and so on. Among them, surgery combined with radiotherapy and triamcinolone acetonide injection may be the preferred scheme of comprehensive treatment for auricular keloids.

Patients And Methods

Patient Group

A total of 67 cases of auricular keloid patients with 113 auricular keloids were selected from plastic surgery in The First Hospital of China Medical University between February 2014 to February 2017. There were 11 males and 56 women. The patients ranged in age from 18 to 50 years, with an average age of 28.0 years. The primary cause of auricular keloids were 60 cases of ear piercing, 5 cases of trauma, and 2 cases of surgery. The medical history ranged from 1 year to 18 years. Before our treatment, 10 patients underwent surgical excision, 2 patients received external drug treatment, 3 patients received hormone treatment, and 2 patients received laser treatment, but all these treatments were ineffective.

The study was approved by ethical review board of China Medical University, which followed the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki 1964, and all the patients provided the written informed consent.
Diagnostic Criteria

All the patients were diagnosed with auricular keloids:

1. The scar proliferation lasted for more than 12 months after formation, and there was no sign of stop.
2. The scar was flush and higher than the surface of the normal skin, proliferating like a tumor to attract the surrounding normal skin and extend beyond the borders of the original wound, and causing itching and pain.
3. Recurrence of patients after surgical excision, freezing, laser, hormone or radiotherapy.

Treatment Protocol

Surgical Therapy

1. Suture immediately after excision (): For the small basal area of keloid, if the suture immediately after excision could have very little effect on the appearance of auricle, we could completely resect the keloid along the outer edge of the keloid or directly performed the fusiform excision. Sometimes, part of auricular cartilage needed to be resected together (Fig. 1).
2. Keloid core excision and scar flap plasty (): For large basal area of keloid, if the suture immediately after excision had a great influence on the appearance of auricle, we can perform keloid core excision and scar flap plasty. We designed a “C” shape incision along the trailing edge of keloid or fusiform incision on keloid surface design. We then performed a sharp dissection between the keloid skin and the keloid core and between the keloid core and the ear cartilage. The keloid core excision and the scar flap formation were completed at the time. Finally, we covered the ear cartilage with a scar flap (Fig. 2).
3. Complete keloid excision and adjacent flap plasty (): For large basal area of keloid, if the suture immediately after excision could have a great effect on the appearance of auricle, but the patient urges complete keloid excision, we could excise keloid completely along keloid outer edge, and then use local advancing flap or rotating flap, such as postauricular flap, to repair ear deformation (Fig. 3).

In all operations, 1% lidocaine was used for local infiltration anesthesia, and a 6-0 nylon thread was applied to the skin. In addition, a local compression bandage was used appropriately, and the stitches was taken 7 days after the operation.

Radiotherapy

All patients received 5 Mev electron beam irradiation by the linear accelerator within 24 hours after excision of the keloid. According the conventional standard of the department of radiotherapy in our hospital, the total dose was 20 Gy (2 Gy for each time), and the total times of irradiation was 10 (1 day interval). The margin of the irradiated area was 0.5-1 cm around the scar, and all wounds were radiated when a local flap was used.

Corticosteroid injection

In this study, 1 mL of triamcinolone acetonide injection (Kunming Jida Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., National Pharmaceutical Standard H53021604, 40mg/mL) and 1 mL of 2% lidocaine injection (Shanghai Zhaohui Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. National Pharmaceutical Standard H31021072, 100mg/5mL) were mixed with a 2.5 mL syringe to prepare a 1:1 ratio mixture. The mixed solution in a 1 mL syringe was injected in parallel to the edge of the scar and the surrounding skin immediately 1, 2, and 3 months after surgery. The drug was uniformly and slowly injected into the scar at a distance of 1 cm, and 0.1 mL of the mixture was injected at each point. The infiltration range was 0.5 cm in diameter until the lesion became white.

Therapeutic Effect Evaluation Standard

According to Masoodi Z, subjective recurrence was diagnosed on the basis of clinical examination. When the lesion surfaced at the same site, it is difficult to feel because the patient's perspective similar to the previous lesion), and the lesions remained at 24 months of follow-up.

The lesion was further measured at 24 months using the Vancouver Scar Scale (VSS). Scores were tallied by different observers. The observers did not know the pretreatment scores, which were categorized as follows: 0-5: Good Outcome; 6-10: Fair Outcome; and ≥10:
Poor Outcome. Effective rate = (Good Outcome + Fair Outcome)/ Poor Outcome. Herein, 24-month postoperative VSS score was compared to the preoperative VSS scores.

Statistical Analysis

All data was entered into a database and processed using the Statistical Package Social Sciences (SPSS version 18.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago). Wilcoxon matched-pairs rank-sum test was used to compare the differences of the 24-month postoperative VSS scores and the preoperative VSS scores. *P* ≤ 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

A total of 113 keloids were treated in this study, including, 63 keloids in left ear, 50 in right ears, 65 in helix, and 45 in earlobe. The size ranged from 0.2 cm × 0.2 cm × 0.2 cm to 4.0 cm × 4.0 cm× 2.0 cm. According to the above treatment method, 52 cases of keloids were sutured immediately after excision (i), 43 cases of keloids were treated with core excision and scar ap plasty (ii), 18 cases of keloids were completely treated with keloid excision and adjacent flap plasty (iii). The typical cases were shown in Figure 1, 2 and 3. All patients were cured well without any complication. Radiotherapy was treated within 24 hours after surgery, and triamcinolone acetonide was injected immediately after surgery. The follow-up period was 24 months.

Subjective recurrence occurred in 14 cases of keloids (12.39%). Among them, 6 of 52 keloids (11.54%) sutured immediately after excision (i), 6 of 43 keloids (13.95%) were underwent keloid core excision and scar ap plasty (ii), and 2 of 18 keloids (11.11%) were underwent complete keloid excision and adjacent flap plasty (iii). Furthermore, injection of triamcinolone acetonide is the most adaptive and easiest method to control the recurrence of keloids after our treatment procedure.

The VSS scores showed that 82 cases of keloids (72.57%) scored less than 5 points (good outcome), 21 cases of keloids (18.58%) scored 6 to 10 points (fair outcome), and only 10 cases of keloids (8.85%) scored more than 10 (bad outcome). The effective rate was 91.15% (Table 1).

Discussion

The ears are the exposed part and the fine anatomic structures of the body. Fortunately, the two ears are located on either side of the head, and a slight change does not attract attention. Surgery is the most important part of the treatment of auricular keloids. Since no special operation can be considered as the best approach, personalized medicine should be performed. For repairing the auricular defect, the available auricular tissue for surgery is extremely limited. Especially for large auricular keloids, complete excision may affect auricular appearance, and may cause protruding ears, cup ear, narrow cranio-auricular angle, bilateral obvious asymmetry deformity, and so on. Some scholars perform ear full-thickness wedge excision in the treatment of large auricular keloids as we did. 11 Although this method was performed very thoroughly, it is difficult to avoid damage to normal ear tissue. Even it may cause obvious asymmetry on both sides. Thus, we used this method for the small basal area of keloid.

Meanwhile, as we know, greater tissue tension increases the risk of recurrence. Therefore, we retained tissue to the greatest extent and cover the wound with available tissue to maintain good auricular appearance without secondary deformities. It has been reported that the residual thin layer keloid tissue under scar flap did not increase the risk of recurrence. 12,13,14 For large basal area of keloid, we recommend the use of keloid core excision and scar flap plasty (iii), which can ensure effective treatment of disease and good auricular appearance. The “C” shape incision is designed along the keloid trailing edge. Different to ”X” shape incision, the purpose of “C” shape incision is that surgical scars may be located behind the ear. 15 Besides, the “C” shape incision was less than half of the keloid circumference to ensuring the blood supply of scar flap. In particular, injection between the skin and keloid core can easily excised the keloid core. After the keloid core excision was completed, the excess scar flap tissues were resected and sutured without tissue tension, ensuring effective treatment of disease and good auricular appearance.

There is still a controversy about whether to use radiotherapy that may lead to malignant tumors. However, data suggested that there is no need to worry if it is not used indiscriminately. 16,17 The best time of radiotherapy for auricular keloid in the literature is different. It was found that early postoperative radiotherapy can better prevent the recurrence. 18 Infantile fibroblasts appeared within 24 hours after post-traumatic incision. If radiotherapy was used at this time, it could effectively inhibit the proliferation of fibroblasts and capillaries. Therefore, we chose to start radiotherapy within 24 hours after operation. As with radiotherapy, triamcinolone acetonide injection...
should be also performed as early as possible. Therefore, in our study, triamcinolone acetonide was injected for the first time immediately after the suturing.

A total of 113 keloids were received treatment. The subjective recurrence rate was 12.39%, the success rate was 87.61%, the objective recurrence rate (VVS scores) was 8.85%, and the effective rate was 91.15%. Compared with other reports, the recurrence rate after combined surgical excision and postoperative radiation varied from 2.8% to 71%\textsuperscript{9,19,20}, and the recurrence rates of our method were satisfactory.

**Conclusions**

Compared with some scholars who concrete numerical range and location of lesion compared to specific surgery,\textsuperscript{19,20} we demonstrated specific surgical methods according to specific conditions. We not only considered the size of the lesions, but also considered the patient's wishes and the specificity of the local skin of different patients, such as tissue quantity, elasticity, tension, etc. It makes the surgery more flexible and can fully reflect the individual characteristics of the patients during surgery. Furthermore, early postoperative radiotherapy and triamcinolone acetonide injection should be performed.
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**Tables**

| Table 1 Therapeutic effect evaluation by VSS |
|-------------------------------------------|
| Therapeutic effect (n, %) | Poor | Effective rate | Preoperative VSS Mean | Postoperative VSS (at 1 year) Mean | Scores | Z(Wilcoxon Matched-Pairs rank-sum test) | P |
|---------------------------|------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------|---|
| n=18.58                   | 10(8.85) | 91.15 | 10 | 10.81 | 2 | 4.02 | -9.068 | <0.001 |
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This female was underwent immediate suture after excision (Ⅱ). A: preoperation; B: keloid and part auricular cartilage were resected together; C: immediately after operation; D: 12 months after operation.
This female was underwent keloid core excision and scar flap plasty (©). A: preoperation; B: designed "C" shape incision; C: immediately after operation; D: 12 months after operation.
Figure 3

This female was underwent complete keloid excision and adjacent flap plasty (ii). A: preoperation; B: designed postauricular flap with two pedicles; C: immediately after operation; D: 12 months after operation.