| タイトル  | A centennial-resolution terrestrial climatostratigraphy and Matuyama–Brunhes transition record from a loess sequence in China |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 著者      | Hyodo, Masayuki / Banjo, Kenta / Yang, Tianshui / Katoh, Shigehiro / Shi, Meinan / Yasuda, Yuki / Fukuda, Jun-ichi / Miki, Masako / Bradák, Balázs |
| 掲載誌・巻号・ページ | Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 7:26 |
| 刊行日      | 2020-06-17 |
| 資源タイプ    | Journal Article / 学術雑誌論文 |
| 版区分      | publisher |
| 権利       | © The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. |
| DOI        | 10.1186/s40645-020-00337-z |
| JaLCDOI    | |
| URL        | http://www.lib.kobe-u.ac.jp/handle_kernel/90007693 |

PDF issue: 2021-04-28
A centennial-resolution terrestrial climatostratigraphy and Matuyama–Brunhes transition record from a loess sequence in China

Masayuki Hyodo 1,2*, Kenta Banjo 2, Tianshui Yang 3, Shigehiro Katoh 4, Meinan Shi 5, Yuki Yasuda 1,6, Jun-ichi Fukuda 1,2, Masako Miki 2 and Balázs Bradák 7

Abstract

Terrestrial records of the last geomagnetic reversal often have few age constraints. Chronostratigraphy using suborbital-scale paleoceanic events during marine isotope stage 19 may contribute to solving this problem. We applied the method to an 8 m long, high-resolution paleomagnetic record from a loess sequence in China and revealed millennial-to-sub-centennial scale features of the Matuyama–Brunhes (MB) transition. All samples were subjected to progressive thermal demagnetization with 14–15 steps up to 650–680 °C. As a result, 96% of the samples yielded a high-quality remanent magnetization. The MB transition terminated with a 75 cm thick zone with nine polarity flips. The polarity flip zone, dated at about 779–777 ka, began between the warm events "I" and "J" and terminated at the end of the cooling event coincident with the lowest axial-dipole strength interval. Most polarity flips occurred within 70 years. The virtual geomagnetic poles (VGPs) in the upper polarity flip zone clustered in the SW Pacific region, where the MB transitional VGPs from lavas of the Hawaiian and Canary Islands and lacustrine deposits of Java also clustered. These sites were probably dominated by dipolar fields. The absence of transitional fields across polarity flips implies a short time span for averaging fields due to a thin loess-magnetization lock-in zone. The reverse-to-normal polarity reversal dated at about 778 ka in Lingtai occurred at the end of the SW Pacific VGP zone, an important key bed for MB transition stratigraphy. The reversal is a good candidate for the main MB boundary. We found an excursion at about 766 ka spanning about 1 ka.
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Introduction

Pleistocene marine and terrestrial sequences can provide continuous records of geomagnetic field variations as well as climate changes, on various time scales. Therefore, these records are useful for constructing “magneto-climatostratigraphy,” a hybrid stratigraphy that enables more precise stratigraphic correlations on global scales.

For the marine isotope stage (MIS) 19 interglacial period, decadal-to-centennial-resolution paleoceanic and paleoclimate variation records have been obtained from marine sediments (Kitaba et al. 2009, 2013, 2017; Ferretti et al. 2015; Maegakiuch et al. 2016; Hyodo et al. 2017), from which a number of centennial-to-millennial scale climate events have been found (Hyodo et al. 2017). In addition, many high-resolution Matuyama–Brunhes (MB) magnetic polarity transition records from various regions have revealed submillennial-scale features of geomagnetic field changes (e.g., Wang et al. 2006; Hyodo
et al. 2006, 2011; Channell et al. 2010; Okada et al. 2017). However, the detailed geomagnetic records have never been analyzed together with the centennial-scale climate changes. This may partly be a result of the lock-in of magnetization of sediments being incompletely understood (e.g., Hyodo 1984; deMenocal et al. 1990; Suganuma et al. 2010).

Loess–paleosol sequences in the Chinese Loess Plateau (CLP) are excellent archives of geomagnetic field variations because loess–paleosol layers have stable remanent magnetizations (e.g., Zhu et al. 1994; Yang et al. 2004, 2007, 2008). In addition, orbital-scale correlation of loess and paleosol units with marine oxygen isotope stages (MISs) has almost been established (Kukla 1987; Sun et al. 2006). Each paleosol layer is numbered and correlated, such as paleosol S0 (MIS 1), S1 (MIS 5), and S2 (MIS 7). Furthermore, the Dansgaard–Oeschger and Bond events recorded in loess–paleosol sequences (Porter and An 1995; Wang et al. 2005) may enable the construction of even millennial-scale climatostratigraphy.

The MB magnetic polarity transition, the last geomagnetic reversal, has been investigated often using loess–paleosol sequences (e.g., Spassov et al. 2001; Liu et al. 2008; Jin and Liu 2011; Yang et al. 2010). Many high-resolution loess records of the transition are characterized by multiple, rapid polarity flips (Wang et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2010; Spassov et al. 2001). However, the sequential polarity flips have seldom been correlated between distant sites (Yang et al. 2010). The different numbers of flips in each record may be one of the reasons. Therefore, the question of whether the rapid polarity flips are even real geomagnetic phenomena has been discussed (Jin and Liu 2010). Nonetheless, the multiple-polarity flips during the MB transition are also evidenced by terrestrial sequences of lava flows (Coe et al. 2004; Singer et al. 2005) and marine and lacustrine sediments (e.g., Channell and Kleiven 2000; Channell et al. 2010; Hyodo et al. 2006, 2011). Another unresolved problem is that there are different climatostratigraphic interpretations for the MB transition, which lies in the upper part of the S8 paleosol layer. The S8 paleosol layer is mainly correlated in two ways: to MIS 21 (Ding et al. 2002; Sun et al. 2006; Hao et al. 2012) and to MIS 19 (Liu et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2006; Ueno et al. 2019). The different correlations are strongly related to the lock-in depths of magnetization of the loess. These problems of loess–paleosols may be resolved by combination with high-resolution climatostratigraphy.

In this study, a high-resolution and high-quality paleomagnetic record was obtained from an 8 m thick loess–paleosol sequence in Lintai, central CLP. We evaluated the timing and millennial-to-centennial scale behaviors of the MB transition in combination with the published centennial-resolution paleoclimate record from the same section (Ueno et al. 2019).

**Methods/Experimental**

Oriented block samples (each 15–20 cm high) were collected from a 7.8 m section covering the stratigraphy of the loess L9, paleosol S8, loess L8, and paleosol S7 layers (in ascending order). In the laboratory, each block was cut into cubes, 2.2 cm on each side, using a knife and then dressed into 2 \( \times 2 \times 2 \) cm\(^3\) specimens using sandpaper. Specimens were collected at 2.5 cm depth intervals around the magnetic polarity transition interval and at 6 cm depth intervals on average throughout the section. In total, 130 specimens were taken for paleomagnetic measurements, and 61 specimens were taken for rock magnetic experiments.

All specimens were subjected to progressive thermal demagnetization (THD) at intervals of 30–50 °C up to 680 °C. Natural remanent magnetization (NRM) of specimens was measured using a 2G cryogenic magnetometer. Low-field magnetic susceptibility (\(\chi\)) was measured using a magnetic susceptibility meter (SM-100, ZH Instruments, Brno, Czech Republic). The anisotropy of low-field magnetic susceptibility (AMS) was measured using a KLY-3S Kappabridge susceptometer (AGICO, Brno, Czech Republic). Anhysteretic remanent magnetization (ARM) was imparted with a peak alternating field of 100 mT superimposed on a direct current biased field of 50 μT.

We performed thermomagnetic analyses in an air atmosphere on selected samples using an NMB-89 magnetic balance (Natsuhara Giken Corporation, Osaka, Japan). A sample was heated from 50 to 700 °C and then cooled to 50 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min. Isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) acquisition, hysteresis experiments, and first-order reversal curve (FORC) measurements (Pike et al. 1999; Roberts et al. 2000) were performed for selected bulk loess and paleosol samples using a VSM MicroMag 3900 (Princeton Measurements Corporation, Westerville, OH, USA). The IRM was measured at 100 levels from 0.1 to 1000 mT, and the data were analyzed using IRMUNMIX 2.2 software (Heslop et al. 2002). FORC distributions were processed using FORCineli 1.18 software (Harrison and Feinberg 2008) with a smoothing factor of 3–5.

**Results**

**Thermomagnetic analysis, IRM spectra, and AMS**

Representative thermomagnetic (J–T) curves for samples from loess and paleosol layers (Fig. 1a, b) and the transition zone (Fig. 1c, d) exhibit the same features. All samples show magnetization reductions at approximately 580 and 680 °C, indicating the presence of magnetite and hematite, respectively. In addition, the inflections in the heating curves at around 300 °C and the absence of corresponding signals in the cooling curves imply the presence of maghemite. Thefirst derivatives of the heating curves (Fig. 1a–d) show that maghemite decomposes mainly between 240 and 340 °C; it is then oxidized to...
Fig. 1 Results of rock magnetic experiments and anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility measurements. 

(a) Temperature dependence of magnetization normalized by an initial value ($J$). The red/blue curves show heating/cooling. $-\frac{dJ}{dT}$ indicates the first derivative of $J$–$T$ heating curves calculated at intervals of 10 °C. 

(b) The loss of total magnetization versus magnetic susceptibility ($\chi$). 

(c) Polarity transition $(r)$. 

(d) $J$–$T$ curves calculated at intervals of 10 °C. 

(e) $J$–$T$ curves calculated at intervals of 10 °C. 

(f) $J$–$T$ curves calculated at intervals of 10 °C. 

(g) Results of isothermal remanent magnetization component analysis for loess and paleosol. 

(h) Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility. The blue solid square/red open triangle/green open circle symbols represent the maximum/intermediate/minimum axes of magnetic susceptibility, respectively.
form hematite. The loess and paleosols contain coarse, detrital magnetite particles with ultrathin maghemite shells formed by low-temperature oxidation in the surrounding air (van Velzen and Dekkers 1999; Liu et al. 2003, 2004). The inflection in the paleosol sample heating curve at around 300°C is smaller than that in the loess sample heating curve, which is more clearly seen in the first derivatives of the heating curves (Fig. 1a, b). Thus, the relative content of maghemite in the paleosol is much smaller than that in the loess.

The $J$–$T$ curves show clear reductions in sample magnetization after heating–cooling treatments, which reflect the high-temperature oxidation of maghemite and fine-grained magnetite to form weakly magnetic hematite. The reductions range from 27 to 46% (Fig. 1). The reduction levels depend on the $\chi$ values of the samples, as the smallest $\chi$ sample (nearly pristine loess) had the largest reduction, and the largest $\chi$ sample (mature paleosol) had the smallest reduction (Fig. 1e). Similar $\chi$ dependence is observed in the reduction component of maghemite decomposition estimated using the first derivatives of the $J$–$T$ heating curves (Fig. 1f). These results imply that pedogenic fine-grained magnetites, which must be dominant in paleosols, contributed little to the reduction. It is plausible that the reduction was attributable mainly to thermal oxidation of fine-grained detrital magnetites and thermal decomposition of maghemites, most of which were present as shells around coarse detrital magnetite grains. The present results indicate a near absence of pedogenic maghemites, consistent with previous studies that showed that most of the maghemite in the paleosol samples is detrital (Spassov et al. 2003; Maher 2016; Hyodo et al. 2020). Therefore, the superfine (single-domain (SD)/superparamagnetic (SP)) ferrimagnets that must be contained in the mature paleosols probably underwent neither maghemitization nor thermal oxidation. This inference is consistent with the TEM observation that pedogenic magnetites formed authigenically within phyllosilicate grains (muscovite and chlorite), in addition to discrete detrital hematite particles between silicate grains (Hyodo et al. 2020). The medium $B_{1/2}$ component comprises coarse detrital magnetites with maghemite rims. The higher $B_{1/2}$ values (~100 mT) for the coarse magnetites would be caused by the maghemite rim, which increases the coercivity of magnetite particles due to the enhanced stress induced by the oxidation gradient between the maghematized rim and the magnetite core (van Velzen and Zijderveld 1995). The correlation of the magnetic minerals based on the $J$–$T$ curves with the IRM components in this study is consistent with the correlation based on the same experiments using many more samples from different regions (Hyodo et al. 2020).

The AMS data show that the maximum axes represent nearly horizontal directions and that the minimum axes represent vertical ones (Fig. 1i). Therefore, the loess–paleosol sequence underwent little disturbance of sediment structure. If they formed on silicate surfaces or between silicate grains, the crystal growth directions of pedogenic magnetic particles would be independent of the depositional plane. The observed horizontal maximum axes (Fig. 1i) indicate that pedogenic magnetites consist mainly of authigenic ones grown along layers of phyllosilicates deposited horizontally.

**Hysteresis loops and FORC diagrams**

The hysteresis loops of samples with different magnetic susceptibilities show slight differences in magnetic coercivity (Hc) and loop shape (Fig. 2) between loess and paleosol samples. The higher Hc of the loess samples, dominated by detrital magnetic particles (Fig. 2a), would be caused mainly by detrital magnetites with a maghemite shell. The slightly “wasp-waisted” shape of the loop for the paleosol samples, dominated by pedogenic magnetic particles (Fig. 2b, c), may be caused by a mixture of two magnetic components with strongly contrasting coercivities (Roberts et al. 1995). The two components are probably pedogenic magnetites and hematites. The FORC diagrams of both the loess and paleosol samples show a peak at $<20$ mT, which indicates a dominance of SD particles, and also show modestly divergent distributions at low coercivities, which indicates the presence of vortex-state particles (Fig. 2). The slightly higher coercivity and broader vertical spread for the loess sample is probably the effect of coarse detrital magnetites with a maghemite shell. In contrast, the lower coercivity and similar FORCs (Fig. 2b, c) of the paleosol reflect the
dominance of pedogenic magnetites. The asymmetrical diverging contours lying close to the origin in all FORCs are likely due to SP particles (Pike et al. 2001; Roberts et al. 2000).

Progressive thermal demagnetization

As shown by the typical results of progressive THD (Fig. 3a, b), most of the samples have NRMs that show quite linear vectorial decay toward the origin with temperatures ranging from 200–300 to 620–680 °C. The range of temperature implies that the NRM is carried by magnetite and hematite, and each mineral component has the same direction. Such NRM data were obtained from about 70% of the samples, all collected from the full-normal or full-reverse polarity zones, independent of the \( \chi \) or the degree of pedogenesis. The remaining samples mostly had a single NRM component with a temperature range from 200–300 to 530–590 °C carried by magnetite (Fig. 3c, d). These samples had no isolatable higher-temperature (> 590 °C) component carried by hematite. Several samples had multi-component NRMs (Fig. 3e–i) with weak intensity. These samples were all from a magnetic polarity flip zone and just above it. Five samples had a quite low-intensity NRM that showed no linear decay of the magnetic vector. These samples were also from the magnetic polarity flip zone, or just below it.

Paleomagnetic directions

Characteristic remanent magnetization (ChRM) directions were determined by principle component analysis using the THD data of 125 samples, 2 of which were from the same depth (197 cm). Some of the results are listed in Table 1. The average number of demagnetization steps of the data used for the analysis was 10.4, and the mean maximum angular deviation (MAD) (Kirschvink 1980) was 3.6. Most of the ChRMs were carried by magnetite (Fig. 3a–d). Of the 125 samples, 4 had another component carried mainly by hematite (Fig. 3e–h). The two components had relatively close directions (Fig. 3f–h), except for the sample at 337 cm, which had magnetite-carrying normal-polarity and hematite-carrying reverse-polarity components (Fig. 3e). The sample was located at a depth underlain by a short reverse-polarity episode and overlain by a normal-polarity succession (Table 1). From this situation, the reverse-polarity hematite-carrying component was probably acquired first, followed by acquisition of the normal-polarity magnetite-carrying component. This delay in acquisition...
would reflect the time to the adjacent sample (5 cm distance in this case). The former should be the result of detrital remanent magnetization (DRM), and the latter should be the result of pedogenic remanent magnetization (PRM). The sample at 357.5 cm comprised three components with temperature ranges of 250–450 °C (low), 450–560 °C (middle), and 560–650 °C (high) (Fig. 3i). The low/middle- and high-temperature components would be carried mainly by magnetite and hematite, respectively. The low-temperature magnetite-carrying component and the hematite-carrying component had similar low-inclination reverse-polarity directions, which probably indicate DRM. On the other hand, the middle-temperature magnetite-carrying component had a low-inclination normal-polarity direction, which probably indicates PRM (Fig. 3i). The depth of the three-component sample was 2.5 cm beneath/above normal-polarity horizons (Table 1). The reverse-polarity field carried by the DRM should reflect a brief episode that occurred within quite a short time (< 5 cm thickness). For this depth, we calculated a composite ChRM of low- and high-temperature components.

The ChRM directions and virtual geomagnetic pole (VGP) latitudes clearly define polarity boundaries (Fig. 4a–c). The reverse-polarity zone below 415 cm is overlain by a mixed-polarity zone consisting of 28
| Depth (cm) | Age (ka)  | T1  | T2  | No of steps | MAD  | Dec (°) | Inc  | VGP lat. | VGP lon. |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|-------------|------|---------|------|----------|----------|
| 154.0     | 764.37    | 200 | 680 | 11          | 1.6  | 7.7     | 48.0 | 81.1     | 122.1    |
| 166.5     | 764.69    | 250 | 650 | 11          | 4.1  | −7.5    | 55.6 | 83.8     | 29.8     |
| 176.0     | 764.93    | 200 | 400 | 5           | 13.3 | −4.4    | 25.4 | 41.4     | −67.0    |
| 197.0     | 765.55    | 200 | 560 | 9           | 7.1  | 51.1    | −64.3| −4.7     | −109.0   |
| 197.0     | 765.55    | 250 | 530 | 7           | 5.9  | 56.7    | −56.8| 0.5      | −114.2   |
| 204.5     | 765.77    | 200 | 650 | 12          | 6.8  | 144.1   | 2.5  | −40.6    | 157.9    |
| 209.5     | 765.91    | 200 | 590 | 10          | 3.1  | 2.8     | 55.3 | 87.6     | 177.4    |
| 212.0     | 765.95    | 200 | 590 | 10          | 5.6  | −4.1    | 46.9 | 82.2     | −44.7    |
| 230.0     | 766.50    | 250 | 620 | 11          | 2.6  | −4.4    | 50.9 | 85.0     | −24.5    |
| 247.0     | 767.99    | 200 | 590 | 10          | 3.9  | 0.5     | 54.5 | 89.6     | −160.4   |
| 258.0     | 768.95    | 200 | 560 | 7           | 1.9  | −5.2    | 48.0 | 82.6     | −34.9    |
| 271.0     | 770.09    | 350 | 620 | 8           | 2.9  | −5.7    | 49.7 | 83.4     | −24.3    |
| 281.0     | 771.32    | 200 | 620 | 11          | 2.7  | 1.1     | 55.0 | 89.0     | 168.5    |
| 290.0     | 772.48    | 450 | 680 | 8           | 6.4  | 18.5    | 32.5 | 66.1     | −120.8   |
| 300.0     | 773.76    | 200 | 590 | 10          | 5.7  | −16.1   | 46.8 | 74.6     | −5.3     |
| 304.0     | 774.28    | 300 | 620 | 9           | 3.1  | 7.2     | 43.1 | 78.3     | −106.5   |
| 309.0     | 774.84    | 200 | 530 | 8           | 5.4  | −7.0    | 50.2 | 82.9     | −15.4    |
| 314.0     | 775.32    | 200 | 560 | 9           | 5.4  | −10.1   | 50.7 | 80.8     | −3.0     |
| 321.5     | 776.04    | 300 | 560 | 7           | 11.3 | 35.2    | 68.5 | 59.9     | 152.7    |
| 326.5     | 776.52    | 300 | 530 | 6           | 12.1 | 9.6     | 41.6 | 76.1     | −112.1   |
| 331.5     | 776.82    | 350 | 590 | 7           | 9.3  | 23.4    | 58.8 | 70.9     | 176.8    |
| 332.0     | 776.84    | 250 | 560 | 8           | 8.9  | −2.0    | 40.4 | 77.9     | −63.8    |
| 337.0     | 776.96    | 450 | 590 | 5           | 9.9  | 16.7    | 21.7 | 61.8     | −109.2   |
| 342.0     | 777.09    | 200 | 560 | 9           | 7.2  | 184.0   | −61.2| −82.1    | −50.5    |
| 347.0     | 777.21    | 250 | 590 | 9           | 10.0 | 126.2   | −34.4| −40.1    | −166.8   |
| 350.0     | 777.29    | 350 | 590 | 7           | 11.8 | 25.0    | 34.8 | 62.8     | −133.4   |
| 355.0     | 777.41    | 250 | 530 | 7           | 6.0  | −7.4    | 43.3 | 78.3     | −37.6    |
| 357.5     | 777.47    | 250 | 650 | 8           | 6.9  | 160.1   | −1.6 | −51.1    | 140.2    |
| 360.0     | 777.54    | 250 | 590 | 9           | 8.4  | 7.0     | 50.9 | 83.2     | −134.0   |
| 362.5     | 777.60    | 250 | 530 | 7           | 6.8  | 16.6    | 56.5 | 76.4     | −176.1   |
| 363.0     | 777.61    | 250 | 560 | 8           | 5.8  | −6.8    | 44.1 | 79.1     | −38.3    |
| 368.0     | 777.74    | 250 | 590 | 9           | 10.2 | 9.2     | 45.9 | 79.0     | −120.5   |
| 370.5     | 777.80    | 250 | 620 | 11          | 6.4  | 161.3   | −21.1| −60.5    | 147.1    |
| 373.0     | 777.86    | 200 | 590 | 10          | 5.6  | 160.1   | −28.1| −63.1    | 154.0    |
| 375.5     | 777.93    | 200 | 620 | 11          | 1.4  | 176.8   | −46.4| −82.2    | 128.7    |
| 379.5     | 778.03    | 200 | 590 | 10          | 5.7  | 0.2     | 45.0 | 81.5     | −73.8    |
| 382.0     | 778.09    | 300 | 620 | 9           | 11.6 | 183.8   | −56.9| −86.1    | −22.3    |
| 384.5     | 778.15    | 200 | 680 | 13          | 2.6  | 167.0   | −57.6| −79.1    | −141.8   |
| 387.0     | 778.21    | 200 | 680 | 13          | 2.5  | 164.5   | −40.6| −72.2    | 160.3    |
| 389.5     | 778.27    | 300 | 530 | 6           | 7.7  | 164.1   | −37.2| −71.8    | 149.0    |
| 392.0     | 778.34    | 200 | 680 | 13          | 1.3  | 180.3   | −43.5| −80.3    | 105.8    |
| 394.5     | 778.40    | 200 | 680 | 13          | 1.3  | 178.3   | −49.2| −84.8    | 124.0    |
| 395.0     | 778.42    | 250 | 680 | 12          | 6.9  | 179.1   | −57.3| −87.0    | −86.5    |
Table 1 Results of paleomagnetic analysis (Continued)

| Depth (cm) | Age (ka) | T1 (°C) | T2 (°C) | No of steps | MAD (°) | Dec (°) | Inc (°) | VGP lat. (°) | VGP lon. (°) |
|-----------|----------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 397.5     | 778.48   | 200     | 680     | 13          | 2.2     | 170.1   | −45.1   | −78.1       | 155.4       |
| 400.0     | 778.55   | 200     | 680     | 12          | 2.5     | 167.6   | −48.5   | −78.1       | 172.9       |
| 402.5     | 778.61   | 200     | 680     | 12          | 1.3     | 175.1   | −43.7   | −79.6       | 132.7       |
| 405.0     | 778.68   | 200     | 680     | 13          | 1.7     | 169.2   | −52.2   | −80.8       | −173.5      |
| 406.0     | 778.71   | 250     | 680     | 12          | 1.7     | 176.5   | −52.1   | −86.3       | 159.7       |
| 408.5     | 778.77   | 200     | 680     | 13          | 2.4     | 163.4   | −47.3   | −74.4       | 176.9       |
| 411.0     | 778.84   | 300     | 530     | 6           | 9.8     | −88.3   | 71.3    | 29.3        | 67.4        |
| 413.5     | 778.90   | 300     | 530     | 6           | 9.8     | −47.0   | 53.3    | 51.6        | 29.6        |
| 416.0     | 778.97   | 300     | 560     | 7           | 4.1     | 198.4   | −45.7   | −72.4       | 39.0        |
| 418.5     | 779.04   | 300     | 560     | 7           | 3.5     | 160.2   | −45.0   | −71.0       | 176.3       |

T1, T2 Temperature ranges of thermal demagnetization data used for principal component analysis

Fig. 4 Paleomagnetic results. a, b Declination and inclination of a ChRM calculated by the principal component analysis. c Latitude of a VGP calculated from the ChRM direction. d MAD (Kirschvink 1980) of the ChRM. e Intensity of NRM after demagnetization at 300 °C (NRM$_{300\,\text{°C}}$). f Intensity of NRM$_{300\,\text{°C}}$ normalized by magnetic susceptibility $\chi$. g Intensity of NRM$_{300\,\text{°C}}$ normalized by ARM. h NRM components of temperature ranges of 200–350 °C, 350–590 °C, and > 590 °C. i Contribution to the total NRM of the components of temperature ranges of 200–350 °C, 350–590 °C, and > 590 °C. The blue band shows the MB polarity flip zone (see text).
horizon data, which is further overlain by the normal-polarity zone above 341 cm. The normal-polarity zone includes an excursion at 206–176 cm that consists of four excursional fields with VGP latitudes shallower than 45°. Throughout the section, the paleomagnetic directions are dominated by the full-normal/reverse-polarity directions. Except for those in the excursion, there are only two transitional directions, both within the polarity flip zone. The reverse- and normal-polarity zones correlate with the Matuyama and Brunhes chron, respectively. The mixed-polarity zone includes nine polarity flips. Each polarity flip occurred within 2.5–5.0 cm of depth. Similar high numbers of rapid polarity changes have often been observed in high-resolution MB transition loess records (e.g., Yang et al. 2010; Jin and Liu 2011). We named the zone the “Matuyama–Brunhes polarity flip (MBpf) zone” (Ueno et al. 2019), which is the part of the MB transition that contains the low field intensity interval.

Six samples, from within the MBpf zone and just above it and within the excursion (Fig. 4d), had ChRM with MAD values > 10. They had quite low intensities of the NRMs demagnetized at 300 °C (NRM<300 °C) and the normalized NRMs (NRM<300 °C/χ and NRM<300 °C/ARM) (Fig. 4d–g). Thus, the MAD values may reflect mainly the variability of field direction and partly the field intensity. The normalized NRM intensity curves may show that the upper ends of the curves do not reach the broad paleointensity peak spanning about 760–715 ka (Guyodo and Valet 1999).

High-resolution MB transition records from the CLP, including the present one, obtained by progressive THDs of samples collected at 2–3 cm depth intervals, have common features (Spassov et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2006; Jin and Liu 2010; Yang et al. 2010). All have a multiple-polarity flip zone and comprise paleomagnetic fields dominated by full-normal/reverse-polarity directions. On the other hand, there are differences between our record and those of others. The latter include more directions with VGP latitudes between 45° and −45° and have larger MADs, which may be the result of errors due to smaller demagnetization steps used in ChRM calculations. Using data of only five steps (Spassov et al. 2001) or five steps/more than five steps (Yang et al. 2010) results in larger mean MAD values > 10 for the MBpf zone, values that are much larger than the value of 5.6 in this study. The polarity flip zone of each site differs in thickness (about 50–100 cm), with different numbers of flips (7 to 13); we do not include the top short episode that lies far (25–50 cm) above the MBpf zone in the Baoji and Xifeng sections (Yang et al. 2010). These differences would reflect dust accumulation rates, sampling intervals, and physical/chemical properties related to magnetization acquisition. As far as we can compare the present and previous high-resolution MB transition records, detailed stratigraphic correlations of short episodes between sites seem to be difficult. This may indicate centennial-scale variability in dust accumulation rates.

Temperature components of NRM
A viscous remanent magnetization (VRM) component was almost completely demagnetized at 200 °C. We divided the NRM above 200 °C into components between 200 and 350 °C (NRM<200–350 °C), between 350 and 590 °C (NRM<350–590 °C), and above 590 °C (NRM<590 °C), which would be carried by magnetite + maghemite, magnetite, and hematite, respectively. For the S8 and S7 paleosol layers, all three components increase as χ increases. Thus, each component includes pedogenic components. However, except for the mature paleosol intervals, not all components match in variations; NRM<200–350 °C changes consistently with NRM<590 °C throughout and inconsistently with NRM<350–590 °C in part (Fig. 4h, i). Therefore, again, the low-temperature magnetite-carrying component (NRM<200–350 °C) and the hematite-carrying component (NRM<590 °C) are probably dominated by DRM, whereas the middle-temperature magnetite-carrying component (NRM<350–590 °C) mainly reflects PRM.

The vertical change in ARM shows a rapid increase above ca. 600–580 cm, with a broad peak from 560–500 cm, followed by a gradual decrease until about 270 cm (Fig. 5a). Above 200 cm, it repeats another increase/decrease that is relatively gradual. The NRM component < 200 °C (NRM<200 °C) is probably dominated by a VRM with the present field direction, carried by multi-domain (MD) magnetites. The NRM<200 °C magnetic grain size proxy (ARM/χ), and χ show a rapid increase at 600–580 cm, like the ARM (Fig. 5a–c, e). Above 580 cm up to 440 cm, the curves show complex changes. The NRM<200 °C has double peaks, correlated with the double peaks of the summer monsoon (SM) proxy 1FD (Fig. 5b, d). At these peaks, the magnetic grain size (ARM/χ) has depressions that indicate an increase in coarse magnetite particles under strong pedogenesis. The TEM observation of a 1–10 μm grain-size host silicate fraction revealed that Lingtai paleosol S8 samples included several-hundred-nanometer-long magnetite and 1–1.5 μm long hematite particles between layers of phyllosilicates (Hyodo et al. 2020). This TEM observation implies that authigenic magnetites could coarsen to MD-size and increase VRM at SM peaks. The median size, a winter monsoon (WM) proxy, and the ARM/χ represent anti-phase changes with 1FD on the whole. However, the ARM/χ and the median size represent different changes in the depth interval from about 440 to 330 cm (Fig. 5c, f), where the grain size of silicates has been affected by WM strengthening related to an intensive increase of galactic cosmic rays (Ueno et al. 2019). For this interval,
instead of the median grain size, the $\text{ARM}/\chi$ shows anti-phase variations with the SM proxy $\chi_{FD}$ (Fig. 5c, d).

**Discussion**

**Nearly syndepositional acquisitions of detrital and pedogenic remanences**

The detailed THDs in this study revealed that the DRM and PRM components have the same directions throughout the sequence, except at the MB transition zone. Thus, the DRM and PRM directions are indistinguishable as far as they are acquired in the geomagnetic secular variation. The multi-component NRM are present only in the MB transition zone, especially just above or just below (2.5 cm) the polarity flip. The isolated DRM and PRM directions of samples deviate from each other by a few tens of degrees to more than 100°. In addition, such multi-component samples do not appear over two successive horizons. These results imply that the PRM was probably acquired mostly within 2.5 cm below the depth of DRM acquisition and that the polarity flip occurred mostly within a 2.5 cm thickness of loess. The isolated single reverse-polarity short episode at 357.5 cm (Fig. 3i) implies the occurrence of a normal–reverse–normal polarity change within 2.5–5 cm.

Laboratory experiments imply syndepositional acquisition of DRM in loess (Wang and Løvlie 2010; Zhao and Roberts 2010). In addition, the $\chi$ profiles of modern loess sections (Yang et al. 2015; Kang et al. 2018) demonstrate that the majority of pedogenic ferrimagnets are formed in the subsurface layer, i.e., the $\chi$ shows mature-paleosol values ($> 100 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$) at 0-cm depth. The $\chi$ of modern soils represents a linear relationship with modern precipitation, providing a basis for a modern analog method to estimate past summer precipitation (Maher and Thompson 1995; Balsam et al. 2011). Therefore, PRM would be acquired within a short time after deposition, probably at decadal time scales. Thus, small lock-in depths are likely for PRM as well as DRM. Therefore, the acquisition of DRM and PRM by loess–paleosols is nearly syndepositional in general paleomagnetic records such as that of Lingtai with a resolution of 2.5 cm.
Suborbital-scale climate-event stratigraphy-based age model

Loess and paleosol layers are correlated with glacial and interglacial periods, respectively. The χ variation of a loess sequence, an East Asian SM proxy, is generally used for stratigraphic correlation with marine oxygen isotope data (Kukla 1987). The sequential correlation with MISs from the top to paleosol layer S6 (MIS 17) is consistent among studies. However, the correlations of layers from paleosol S7 to paleosol S8, within which lies the MB transition, differ. The MIS 19 interglacial period has generally been correlated with S7 (Ding et al. 2002; Sun et al. 2006; Hao et al. 2012) or S8 (Wang et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2008; Ueno et al. 2019). In consideration of the MB boundary lying in S8 or the S8–L8 transition, and between the sea-level highstand MIS 19.3 and lowstand MIS 19.2 (Bassinot et al. 1994; Ferretti et al. 2015; Hyodo and Kitaba 2015), the latter correlation seems more likely. In addition, the correlation of S7 with MIS 19 requires the assumption of extraordinarily large lock-in depths of magnetization, e.g., a few to several meters. Such large lock-in depths are supported by neither the laboratory experiments (Wang and Lovlie 2010; Zhao and Roberts 2010) nor the loess records of the geomagnetic excursion and secular variation without significant downward shifts (Zhu et al. 1994; Heslop et al. 1999).

The East Asian SM and WM show inverse correlations in their orbital-scale changes; the SM strengthens and the WM weakens during interglacial periods, and vice versa during glacial periods (Kukla 1987; Maher 2016). In the high-resolution monsoon records of S7–L9 from Lingtai and Xifeng, even millennial-scale climate events exhibit anti-phase changes that are well correlated with MIS 19 Northern Hemisphere mid-latitude climate episodes (Ueno et al. 2019). In this study, we adopted an age model based on sequential correlation of more than 10 climate events, using the brief sea-level drop/cooling events observed in the Northwest Pacific and North Atlantic mid-latitudes (Ueno et al. 2019). At present, this is the only age model with multiple suborbital-scale age constraints. The chronology for the original climatostratigraphy is based on orbital tuning with at least three age controls for the MIS 19 interval, related to MIS 20.0, MIS 19.2, and MIS 19.0 (Hyodo et al. 2017). The MIS 19.2 sea-level lowstand provides quite an important age constraint for the MB transition. The deep-sea benthic δ18O data are usually orbitally tuned with only the control point of MIS 20.0, and without the points of MIS 19.2 and even MIS 19.0 (based on the assumption of a constant accumulation rate), whose published astronomical ages can be revised to several thousand years older assuming the point of MIS 19.2 (Hyodo and Kitaba 2015).

The time variations of the Lingtai magnetic polarity, SM, and WM proxies are plotted in Fig. 6a–c, where the age-control points are shown by the solid circle and the square symbols denoted “1 to 10b” defined in the core TB2 of the Chiba Section, central Japan, and the IODP Site U1313 core in the North Atlantic (Hyodo et al. 2017; Ueno et al. 2019). “x1 to x4” represent SM features defined in the Xifeng data (Ueno et al. 2019). For comparison, the high-resolution magnetic polarity stratigraphy and the paleoceanic/paleoclimate data are plotted in Fig. 6. In addition to the magnetic polarity stratigraphy (Hyodo et al. 2006), diatom-based sea-level proxies (Maegakiuchi et al. 2016) and pollen-based paleoclimate data (Kitaba et al. 2009, 2013, 2017), both from Osaka Bay, were obtained (Fig. 6d–g). The 10-year resolution biogenic productivity proxy record (Ca/Ti) from the TB2 core dominantly reflects the sea-level and water-temperature changes in the Northwest Pacific (Fig. 6h) (Hyodo et al. 2017). The planktonic oxygen isotope data of the core from IODP Site U1313 mainly reflect sea level, water temperature, and salinity. The age models for these datasets are based on orbital tuning using the ice-volume model (Fig. 6j) (Hyodo et al. 2017). The age controls used for the tuning include the MIS 19.2 sea-level lowstand, an important key point for the MB transition (Hyodo and Kitaba 2015). The depths of the Lingtai sequence were dated by linear interpolation between the control points and extrapolation beyond the uppermost and lowermost control points with the mean accumulation rates of the nearest intervals.

In the present age model, we assume an absence of large hiatuses in the loess sequence. The sequential correlation of millennial-scale climate events (Fig. 6) may support the assumption, although the correlation does not certify the absence of submillennial-scale hiatuses. A series of centennial-scale climate variations over the past 1000 years, including the Little Ice Age recorded in a loess sequence (Kang et al. 2018), indicates continuous dust deposition at a centennial scale. However, there is another case; the Laschamp geomagnetic excursion observed at many profiles in the CLP is absent in the profile near the Yellow River, which indicates either a hiatus or a large decrease in the dust accumulation rate (Zhu et al. 2007). Thus, we need to be careful when discussing centennial-scale events.

Background climate for the MB transition

Based on the quantitative climate estimate from pollen assemblage data (Kitaba et al. 2013), the climate of the early MIS 19 interglacial is characterized by a cooling event (783–777 ka) intercalated by the warmest (777–775 ka) and a warm (786–783 ka) interval (Fig. 6). The climate signal corresponding to the warmest interval is observed at all of the sites: the SM maximum “x3” and WM minimum at Lingtai and the warm events “G–H” in the Northwest Pacific and North Atlantic mid-
latitudes. In the North Atlantic mid-latitudes, the alkenone-based sea surface temperature (SST) values are highest within and just after the warmest interval (Ferretti et al. 2015). The cooling event that occurred during the low geomagnetic field interval, which has been interpreted as having been caused by increased galactic cosmic ray flux and low cloud cover (Kitaba et al. 2012, 2013, 2017), is observed in Lingtai (and Xifeng) as a WM intensification event due to the more highly cooled continent that resulted from an umbrella effect of low cloud (Fig. 6g) (Ueno et al. 2019). Around the sea-level highstand MIS 19.3 in the North Atlantic, the cooling event may have affected the flattened planktic δ18O curve and the 1–2 °C lower SST than in the warmest interval in the North Atlantic (Fig. 6i), and furthermore the much increased accumulation rate (see Fig. 5 of Hyodo and Kitaba 2015, and Fig. S10 of Hyodo et al. 2017). The relatively low-resolution pollen assemblage data after 770 ka show a cool climate with no evergreen tree pollen, but the sum of deciduous broad-leaved tree pollen (Fagus and Quercus (deciduous)) shows two relatively warm intervals at about 767–764 ka and 762–757 ka, respectively. The warm/high sea-level events “A” to “C” occurred during the former warm interval, and the latter warm-interval climate seems to be reflected in the paleoceanic data of the Northwest Pacific and North Atlantic mid-latitudes (Fig. 6h, i).

The MBpf zone, dated at about 779 to 777 ka, lies in the latest stage of the cooling event. It occurred during the weakest dipole field, which was < 1/4 the present field (Fig. 6k). Therefore, the frequent magnetic polarity reversals are likely strongly related to the low geomagnetic field. The MBpf interval includes the main MB boundary reported from the Northwest Pacific and North Atlantic, within the warm event “I” (Fig. 6h, i). The MBpf interval may correlate with the interval from the base of short episode “b” to the top of episode “c” within event “I” in Osaka Bay (Fig. 6a, d). Episode “d”

---

**Fig. 6** Comparison of magnetic, climatic and paleoceanic variations. a-c The data of Lingtai. a The magnetic polarity stratigraphy. b The frequency dependence of magnetic susceptibility χFD (an SM proxy). The dots and squares represent age controls of the cool/low sea-level events and “x1–x5” show the SM events defined in the Xifeng loess sequence (Ueno et al. 2019). c The coarse grain size (a WM proxy) (Ueno et al. 2019). d-g The data from Osaka Bay. d The magnetic polarity stratigraphy (Hyodo et al. 2006). e Diatom-based salinity (sea-level proxy) (Maegakiuchi et al. 2016). f Mean temperatures of the coldest month (MTCO) and warmest month (MTWA) (Kitaba et al. 2013). g Proportion of deciduous trees (warm proxy) (Hyodo and Kitaba 2015). h The δ18O from the mid-latitude North Atlantic, with iceberg discharge events and the highest sea surface temperature interval (after Ferretti et al. 2015). The age model is after Hyodo et al. (2017). i Ice-volume model (after Hyodo et al. 2017). j Virtual dipole moment (after Guyodo and Valet 1999). Black/white/hatched areas in the magnetic polarity stratigraphy: normal-polarity/reverse-polarity/excursion. M: Matuyama. B: Brunhes. MBpf: Matuyama-Brunhes polarity flip (see text). MB in h and i represents the Matuyama–Brunhes boundary. The arrow heads in e, h, and i show the MIS 19.3 sea-level highstand.
just after the warmest interval in Osaka Bay is absent in the Lingtai magnetic record, possibly due to resolution-related problems. The short episode observed just above the sharp peak of $\chi$ ("x3") in Xifeng (Yang et al. 2010), dated at about 775 ka by the age model (Ueno et al. 2019), correlates well with episode "d" in Osaka Bay. The Lingtai sequence did not record an event comparable to episode “a” in the warm interval in Osaka Bay (Fig. 6d), which correlates well with the precursor to the MB reversal in the Luochuan loess sequence (Jin et al. 2012). The precursor in Luochuan is recorded in the flattened broad $\chi$ peak that is correlated to the SM peak “x5” in Lingtai. The absence of the precursor in the Lingtai loess sequence may be due to a hiatus or a slower accumulation rate, relative to that of the Luochuan sequence.

Post-reversal geomagnetic excursion
The geomagnetic excursion in paleosol S7, comprising only excursionial fields with no full-reversal field (Figs. 3c and 4a), lies around warm event B and is dated at ca. 766 ka, with an interval of about 1 ka. This excursion can be correlated with neither episode “e” at about 762 ka (Fig. 6d) nor the Stage 17 excursion ranging in depth from 356 to 352 m in the Osaka Bay 1700 m core (Biswas et al. 1999). The latter is dominated by full-reversal fields. According to the recent linear age model for the MIS 17 marine clay layer (Kitaba et al. 2013), the Stage 17 excursion ranges in age from 710 to 703 ka. The excursion in Lingtai is stratigraphically well correlated with the Baoji A excursion in the S7 paleosol layer (Yang et al. 2007), although the detailed data represent a complex field behavior that is frequently interrupted by normal-polarity intervals (Yang et al. 2010). The complex field behavior may be the result of the much higher resolution; the excursion comprises 23 data points at 2.2 cm intervals on average.

Rapid polarity changes during the MB polarity flip zone
The polarity reversals are numbered 1–9, as in the VGP path (Fig. 7). The model age shows that each occurred within 65 years (2.5 cm sample spacing), except for no. 9, which occurred within ca. 130 years (5 cm sample spacing). The span of each polarity zone ranges from 65 years for nos. 3–4/6–7 to 800 years for nos. 2–3. The minimum polarity intervals (65 years) consist of a single NRM record that comprises two components with almost antipodal directions for nos. 3–4 (Fig. 3i) and one component for nos. 6–7. These results imply that each full polarity reversal, and even a full round of polarity reversals, occurred within 65 years and that the DRM and PRM were acquired within a time difference < 65 years, the limit for our 65-year resolution paleomagnetic record.

Such rapid reversal may have been caused by the fields in the liquid outer core of the Earth. The field in the outer core may reverse on time scales < 500 years (Gubbins 1999). Polarity changes with few transitional directions have been observed often in high-accumulation rate and fine-grained sediments (e.g., Hyodo et al. 2006, 2011; Sagnotti et al. 2014). This will be discussed further later.

VGP clusters and few low-latitude VGPs
Our paleomagnetic data from Lingtai have only six excursional VGPs (lower than 45° in latitude), four of which are in the excursion zone and two of which are adjacent to the polarity flip in the MBpf zone (Fig. 4). It is noted that the relatively low-latitude (40–65°S) VGPs concentrate in the upper MBpf zone, all just before/after the polarity flip. In addition, they cluster in the SW Pacific region (Fig. 7), where MB transitional VGPs from lava flows of the Hawaiian and Canary Islands (Coe et al. 2004; Singer et al. 2005) and lacustrine deposits of Java (Hyodo et al. 2011) cluster. The results imply that these sites were dominated by dipolar fields with a pole located in the SW Pacific.

There is no low-latitude VGP in Lingtai. Few low-latitude VGPs may be a feature of high-resolution polarity transition records of high-accumulation-rate fine-grained sediments; marine clays in Osaka Bay (accumulation rate; 60 cm/ka) (Hyodo et al. 2006) and lacustrine clays/silty clays in Java (the MB transition spans about 700 cm thick sequence) (Hyodo et al. 2011). Sediment records a time-averaged geomagnetic field. The time represented by the sample thickness is a minimum duration for averaging, and it lengthens in DRM due to gradual post-depositional compaction depending on the sediment materials (Hyodo 1984; Hyodo et al. 1993). The time for a 2 cm thick sample in the present study is estimated to be about 50 years based on our age model. An averaging time of fields significantly longer than 50 years is implausible in this study because the data resolved rapid polarity reversals within 65 years and the multi-component NRM adjacent to a polarity reversal did not span two horizons (5 cm/130 years).

As mentioned above, small lock-in depths/time for loess are also supported by laboratory experiments (Wang and Löwlie 2010; Zhao and Roberts 2010) and the $\chi$ values of modern soils (Maher and Thompson 1995; Balsam et al. 2011; Yang et al. 2015; Kang et al. 2018).

There may be transitional fields with low-latitude VGPs, as shown by the data from the Canary Islands (Fig. 7b). However, they were probably short in persistence time and/or weak in strength and so easily averaged out in sediment magnetization. Under the dominant dipolar fields (Fig. 7b), slow accumulation rates and/or thick lock-in zone sediments can have magnetizations of VGPs with various latitudes, including low latitudes, forged by the vector sum of two wide-angle direction components with various ratios. Thus, the presence or
absence of low-latitude VGPs can be used as a criterion to assess the fidelity and resolution of transition records determined by the accumulation rate and lock-in zone of a sediment.

**MB transition stratigraphy from loess and lacustrine and lava sequences**

The age of the MB reversal has been discussed by combining the radiometric dates of lavas and the astronomical ages of deep-sea sediments (e.g., Channell et al. 2010; Singer et al. 2019). However, researchers have never discussed the stratigraphic position of the radiometrically dated lavas’ MB transition fields in the sediment record of the MB transition, which spans several thousand years. Here, we discuss the stratigraphic position of the MB transitional fields of Hawaiian lavas (Coe et al. 2004; Singer et al. 2005) in comparison with the MB transition stratigraphy records.

The horizon mean paleomagnetic directions from lacustrine clays of Java reveal the MB transition over about a 700 cm thick sequence characterized by few low-latitude VGPs (Hyodo et al. 2011) (Fig. 8b). There are only four reverse-polarity low-latitude VGPs that cluster in the South Pacific. Thermoremanent magnetizations of lava flows in the Haleakala section of Maui Island, Hawaii, yield snapshot fields of the MB transition (Coe et al. 2004). A vertical plot of the VGP latitude against flow unit numbers shows a succession of nine

![Fig. 7 The Matuyama–Brunhes (MB) transition VGPs.](image-url)
lava flows with almost the same VGP located in the SW Pacific just above the short normal-polarity interval (Fig. 8c). At Lingtai, the no. 5 polarity reversal is underlain by two successive horizons of the SW Pacific VGP (over 5 cm/130 years), above which two isolated horizons also show the SW Pacific VGP (Fig. 8a). In Java, the SW Pacific VGPs are concentrated in three successive horizons from 133 to 153 cm in elevation. In Hawaii, as mentioned above, the SW Pacific VGPs are concentrated in nine successive lava flow units. Thus, it is reasonable to correlate these successive SW Pacific VGP intervals among Lingtai, Java, and Hawaii (Fig. 8).

Centennial-scale magnetic polarity stratigraphy is defined for the Lingtai sequence as in Fig. 8a, where the polarity zones are numbered “n1–n4” for normal polarity and “r1–r4” for reverse polarity in ascending order. We propose correlating the beginning of the MBpf to the polarity change at 0 cm elevation (the base of the upper tuff (UT)) and the end to the polarity change at 336 cm elevation in Java. In this correlation model, the short polarity zone r3 is absent in the Java sequence, possibly due to the large sample intervals (10 cm) or filtering out by a magnetization lock-in zone. The correlation provides an average accumulation rate of about...
170 cm/ka for the Java sequence. The SW Pacific VGP interval is estimated to be about 120 years in Java, which is consistent with the estimate of about 130 years for Lingtai. Based on these estimates, the nine MB transitional Hawaiian lavas would have erupted within a period of 120–130 years, in the late stage of warm event “I”.

We also propose defining the main MB boundary at the no. 5 reverse-to-normal polarity change (the r2/n3 boundary) (Fig. 8a), because the time spans of normal-polarity intervals subsequently become longer than those of reverse-polarity intervals, as proposed in the Osaka Bay core (Hyodo et al. 2006). Consequently, radiometric dating of the MB transitionally magnetized lavas of Hawaii becomes important for the chronostratigraphy of the Early to Middle Pleistocene transition as well as the geomagnetic polarity timescale. Our age model estimate, ca. 777.8–777.9 ka, for the SW Pacific VGP interval is mostly consistent within errors for the reported Ar/Ar dates ranging from 770.8 ± 4.2 to 782.42 ± 6.4 ka (Coe et al. 2004; Singer et al. 2019) and the weighted mean age of 776 ± 2 ka (Singer et al. 2005). The short normal-polarity zones n1/n2 and the short reverse-polarity zones r3/r4 can be regarded as parts of the precursor and rebound episodes of the main MB reversal, respectively (Valet et al. 2012).

Conclusion
We performed detailed magnetic analyses of the loess–paleosol sequence at Lingtai in the CLP with centennial-resolution climatostratigraphy correlated with paleoeceanic events in the Northwest Pacific and North Atlantic mid-latitudes. Our chronology is based on orbital tuning with control points, including the sea-level lowstand MIS 19.2, an important anchor point for the MB transition chronology. Based on the results, we drew the following conclusions. (1) The pedogenic magnetite-carrying remanent magnetization was mostly acquired within 2.5 cm below the acquisition depth of DRM. (2) The thickness of the lock-in zone of both DRM and PRM in the loess does not exceed 5 cm and is most probably < 2.5 cm. (3) The MB transition terminated with the multiple-polarity flip zone coinciding with the lowest dipole strength. The polarity flip zone, dated at 779–777 ka and tentatively named the MBpf zone, began between the warm events “I” and “J” and terminated with the end of the cooling event. (4) Most of the polarity flips during the MBpf zone occurred within 2.5 cm (65 years), i.e., they did not occur over two successive horizons (5.0 cm/130 years). (5) Four VGPs adjacent to a polarity flip in the upper part of the MBpf zone cluster in the SW Pacific, where MB transitional VGPs from lavas of the Hawaiian and Canary Islands and lacustrine deposits of Java also cluster. These sites would have been governed by dipolar fields in the late MBpf interval. (6) The SW Pacific VGP zone probably spans 120–130 years in Lingtai and Java and is a useful key bed for MB transition stratigraphy. The reverse-to-normal polarity reversal dated at 778 ka at Lingtai occurs at the upper end of the SW Pacific VGP zone in Java and Hawaii, as well as in Lingtai. The reversal is a good candidate for the main MB boundary. (7) We found an excursion that occurred during warm event B, which is dated at about 766 ka and spanned about 1 ka.
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