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Abstract
In the last two decades there has been growing interest in silicon photonics and in the possibility to integrate new materials to overcome the silicon intrinsic limitations. Erbium has represented a viable solution for the realization of light sources at telecommunications wavelengths opening the path to the investigation of various photonic devices based on rare earth.

In this work we investigate a photodetector operating at 1550 nm whose detection mechanism is based on the internal photoemission effect through an Er/Si Schottky junction. The Er/Si junction has been carefully electrically characterized showing a potential barrier and cut-off wavelength of 0.59 eV and 2105 nm, respectively. Moreover, a responsivity of 0.62 mA/W has been measured for a 3 μm-width waveguide at 1550 nm and at reverse voltage of -8 V. Finally, the noise equivalent power of the device has been evaluated as high as 0.53 nW/(Hz)^{1/2} at -8 V.

Even if device responsivity is still low, we believe that our insights may suggest Er/Si as a new platform for the integration of various optical functionalities on the same chip opening new frontiers in the field of low-cost silicon micro and nanophotonics.
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Introduction
Photons is the science of manipulation, detection and generation light by using optical components that include lasers, fiber-optics and electro-optical devices in several application fields. Integrated photonics is a branch of photonics in which the optical devices are integrated on a flat surface together the electronic devices, on the same platform. By using optical interconnections and devices intra-chip it’s possible to overcome the bandwidth limitation and the high-power dissipation by electrical signals inside the semiconductor microchips, one of the most important problem in the modern computer system [1, 2]. A photonic integrated circuit (PIC) requires active and passive components, like couplers [3], interferometers [4], gratings [5], sources [6], beam splitters [7], detectors [8], sensors [9] and so on, which must be able to communicate with the electrical world, on and off-chip. To integrate different photonics components on an electronic platform it is now possible to use standard microelectronic foundry processes, with obvious advantages due to the re-use of the same electronic design tools and production technologies of the micro-electronic sector. In this context Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) technological processes based on the use of substrates has become a key technology for the manufacture of optoelectronic devices [6, 10–14]. The high quality of SOI wafers, associated with the relatively low manufacturing costs (per unit area) of CMOS technology, makes them the ideal platform for the PIC fabrication [15]. These positive aspects are accompanied by some important physical problems. Silicon (Si) is an indirect band semiconductor with a very low optical absorption at the NIR wavelengths, which makes it unsuitable for the realization of laser sources or NIR photodetectors.

An approach to overcome these problems is to use the integration of Si with Germanium (Ge). However, there are further technical problems because Ge is grown on
Si substrates with epitaxial techniques [16, 17] which involve difficulties both in thermal budget and flatness [8], making complicated the monolithic integration of a Ge-based PIC with electronic components on the same substrate. There are some examples in which it was possible to integrate photonics components, based on Ge, on an electronic chip, but these components were fabricated separately and then subsequently mounted on a chip [18, 19]. A further example consists in the manufacture of photodetectors (PDs) based on SiGe using a “zero-change” CMOS manufacturing process, with a percentage of Ge in the active part estimated between 25% and 35% [20], but in this case the operative wavelength is limited to maximum 1.2 μm [21]. With this technique it was possible to realize a microprocessor with about 850 integrated photonic devices operating at 1180 nm with over 70 million transistors on the same chip [1], but at the moment no Ge-based PD’s operating at 1550 nm have been realized. An alternative approach is the use of alternative materials exploiting their physical characteristics associated with innovative device geometries. An example is an IR PD’s made by using lead sulphide quantum dots (PbS QDs) combined with a conjugated polymer (MEH-PPV). These devices showed a detection capability at wavelengths up to 1.355 μm by varying the size of the QDs [22]. The PbS QDs were also deposited on a graphene film by spin-casting, in order to increase the sensitivity of a camera operating both in the visible and SWIR range [23]. However, these applications showed an inefficient integration with Si technology. At the moment an all-Si approach could be preferable [24].

An effect that can be used to increase the efficiency of Si-based PDs is the internal photoemission effect (IPE) that exploits the photo-excitation of carriers at an energy higher than the Schottky barrier of a metal/Si junction with consequent injection of carriers from metal to semiconductor. In recent years, numerous examples of PD using IPE in a metal/Si Schottky junction have been reported [25–28], showing however a responsivity of a few tens of mA/W. In particular the use of resonant structure has resulted beneficial in term of efficiency [29, 30]. In more recent works a responsivity of 0.37A/W at 1550 nm was obtained using a graphene/silicon junction [31].

On the other hand, concerning light emission in Si, recently considerable efforts have been made to realize erbium-doped silicon light sources that emit in the telecommunications band at 1550 nm in MOS geometry where the voltage between metal and silicon is used to excite the erbium (Er) crystals in the oxide gate [32]. These devices achieve efficiency of 10% but with a poor reliability. In the second version of these devices the silicon and erbium nanocrystals are dispersed together in the same oxide gate [32] showing a reduced efficiency but increased reliability. However, the energy back-transfer process that takes place between silicon and erbium reduces the emission at room temperature. Furthermore, an emissive decrease is noted as the current density increases, probably this behaviour is due to the absorption of free carriers and Auger processes. Many efforts are therefore necessary to improve the performance of these kinds of devices. Few studies have been done instead on the use of hybrid Er/Si structures as near-infrared (NIR) PDs. There are some studies showing the possibility of making Schottky junctions with Er deposited on Si [33] and this capability has been recently employed to realize free-space illuminated Er/Si Schottky PD operating at 1550 nm [34]. Therefore, it would be possible to easily integrate Er-based source and photodetector on the same chip, with considerable savings in terms of technology and costs. In fact, Erbium can be deposited with PVD techniques, such as sputtering, at low temperatures without compromising the functionality of pre-existing electronic/optic devices. Despite of these potentialities, no Er/Si Schottky PDs integrated in silicon waveguides have been reported, as far.

In this paper, we present an integrated PD whose detection mechanism is based on IPE through an Er/p-Si junction realized on the end facet of a SOI waveguide operating at 1550 nm. First the IPE theory has been elucidated and the main models proposed in literature discussed. Then the Er/p-Si Schottky junction has been carefully electrically characterized in order to investigate its rectifying behaviour and to extract the electrical parameters in term of Schottky barrier, ideality factor and device series resistance. Finally, electro-optical measurements have been performed in order to achieve the responsivity at 1550 nm in function of the reverse bias applied, the spectral responsivity at -8 V in the range 1540–1570 nm and the noise equivalent power (NEP).

**Methods**

**Internal photoemission effect theory**

IPE can be used in a Schottky junction for detecting a range of wavelengths corresponding to energies higher than the potential barrier at the metal/silicon interface. Metal is typically the active medium able to absorb the incoming radiation and to emit electrons (holes) through the Schottky junction into the Si conduction (valence) band. Energy band diagram for a metal/p-Si junction is sketched Fig. 1.

In order to quantify IPE-based PDs performance, a figure of merit named responsivity is typically used, it is the ratio between the photogenerated current $I_{ph}$ and the optical power $P_{inc}$ that affects the active absorbing medium:

$$R = \frac{I_{ph}}{P_{inc}} = \frac{\lambda [nm]}{1242} \cdot \eta_e \quad [A/W]$$
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where λ is the wavelength of the near-infrared (NIR) light in nm and ηₑ is the external quantum efficiency (number of carriers generated per incident photon). In addition, can be defined the internal quantum efficiency ηᵢ, i.e., the number of charge carriers generated per absorbed photon. The optical absorbance of the active medium S allows for linking the external efficiency to the internal efficiency (ηₑ = S ∙ ηᵢ).

The first theoretical model was proposed by Fowler and focused on the emission of electrons from metal into vacuum [35]. The Fowler’s theory was extended by Cohen, Vilms and Archer in 1960 [36] to the carrier emission from a metal into a semiconductor, extracting under the zero bias approximation the following formula for the internal quantum efficiency:

\[ \eta_i = \frac{1}{8E_F h} \left( \frac{(hν - ϕ_B)^2 + (k_B T \pi)^2}{hν} \right) \] (3)

where \( E_F \) is the metal Fermi level, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann and \( T \) is the absolute temperature. Eq. (3) is able to approximate the rigorous equation proposed by Vicker with an error within 10% when \( hν - ϕ_B \geq 0.035 \) eV, within 5% when \( hν - ϕ_B \geq 0.046 \) eV and within 1% when \( hν - ϕ_B \geq 0.074 \) eV. The advantage of Eq. (3) is that it can be used at room temperature in the limit of the aforementioned errors.

Device concept and fabrication
The proposed device is a NIR PD based on IPE through an Er/p-Si Schottky integrated on the end facet of a SOI rib waveguide projected for single-mode operations, schematically illustrated in Fig. 2. All the fabrication operations are compatible with Si technology. As first step, a standard RCA cleaning process on the SOI wafer was carried out. The waveguide was obtained via a combination of photolithographic processes and inductively coupled plasma reactive ion etching (ICP-RIE): first a trench of 220 nm
in the silicon top layer was realized in order to build the waveguide and then a second etching up to the buried oxide was performed to define the slab. The Schottky junction was formed through the deposition of thin layer of erbium on the vertical facet of the waveguide by sputtering. Erbium constitutes the active medium of the PD, absorbing the evanescent mode which propagates into the device. Finally, the Ohmic contacts, necessary for the collection of the photogenerated electrons in the active medium and emitted in the silicon, were realized by thermal evaporation of 150 nm thick aluminium pads, annealed for 30 min at 475 °C.

A top view image of the proposed photodetector, taken by an optical microscope and a SEM image of the Er/p-Si Schottky junction are shown in Fig. 3.

Electrical characterization
Current-voltage I-V measurements at room temperature were performed with the objective to show the rectifying behavior of the Er/Si junction. The current was measured by varying the voltage across the junction from −10 V to 1 V by taking advantage of a Source Meter (Agilent B2902A).

Responsivity measurements and NEP evaluation
Responsivity measurements were performed by using near-infrared light at telecom wavelengths around 1550 nm from a tunable laser (ANDO AQ4321D). The modulated laser beam was launched into the rib waveguide thanks to a tapered optical fiber. The photogenerated current was amplified with a transimpedance amplifier, which also provided a bias voltage to the junction, and measured by a lock-in technique. All photocurrent values are achieved as an average of 10 different measurements. The incident optical power was measured separately with a commercial calibrated InGaAs PD. In order to estimate the responsivity R of the devices it is important to evaluate the optical power incoming on the active absorbing layer Er. To this aim we evaluated the waveguide coupling and propagation optical losses by using the cut-back method [41]. The NEP [42], i.e. the optical signal power required to generate a photocurrent signal that is equal to the total noise current i_n in the PD at a given wavelength and within a bandwidth of 1 Hz, has been simply evaluated as $\text{NEP} = i_n/R$ [42].

Results and discussion
In this section both current-voltage and responsivity measurements on a 3 μm-width Er/p-Si waveguide photodetectors were reported and discussed.

Fig. 4 shows a forward current of 0.85 μA at 1 V, two order of magnitude higher than reverse current at -1 V, confirming the rectifying behavior of the Er/Si junction. However, the forward curve seems characterized by a high series resistance, probably due to the reduced Er thickness that can be
deposited on the vertical side of the rib waveguide by a non-conformal deposition technique as is the sputtering process.

By taking advantage of the canonical equation of the Schottky junction \[43\]:

\[ I = I_d \cdot \left( e^{\frac{V}{V_t}} - 1 \right) \quad (4) \]

being the saturation current \(I_d\) (named also dark current) written as:

\[ I_d = A_{ph} A^* T^2 \cdot e^{-\phi_B V_t} \quad (5) \]

where \(A_{ph}\) is the area of the Er/Si junction, \(A^*\) is the Richardson constant (32 A/cm\(^2\)K\(^2\)), \(V_t = k_B T / q\) is the thermal voltage and \(q\) is the electron charge. It is possible to extract the Schottky barrier \(\phi_B\), the series resistance \(R_s\) and the ideality coefficient \(\eta\) by using a fitting procedure \[44\]. In this way a Schottky barrier \(\phi_B\) of \((0.59 \pm 0.01)\) eV, corresponding to a cut-off wavelength of \((2105 \pm 36)\) nm \(\lambda_{\text{cut-off}} = 1242/\phi_B\), was extrapolated while the series resistance and the ideality factor \(\eta\) resulted as high as \((543 \pm 11)\) k\(\Omega\) and \((1.94 \pm 0.05)\), respectively.

It should be worth noting that the fitting procedure is applied only on the forward region of the IV characteristic where the Schottky barrier \(\phi_B\) can be considered independent of the bias voltage and the diode current can be well-described by Eqs. (4) and (5). On the contrary in the reverse region of the IV characteristic, the Schottky

![Fig. 5](a) Measured responsivity at 1550 nm in function of the increased reverse bias applied. (b) Responsivity of the photodetector in the range of 1540–1570 nm. (c) Dark current of the photodetector (black) and Increase of the current (red) due to the photogeneration of charge carriers when the laser beam approaches the photodetector. (d) Responsivity of the photodetector as function of the bias voltage applied to the junction, evaluated by measuring the photogenerated current with a lock-in technique (red) and with a source-meter (black).
barrier $\Phi_B$ decreases by increasing the negative bias voltage due to the very well-known image force effect [43], therefore this effect should be taken into account in Eq. (5) for an accurate prediction of the diode reverse current. Indeed, moving our attention on the reverse region of Fig. 4 it is possible to observe that the higher the reverse voltage applied, the higher the dark current $I_D$. The maximum dark current is 458 nA at $-10$ V of reverse bias applied.

Fig. 5(a) shows the measured responsivity in function of the increased reverse bias applied. Responsivity increases by increasing the reverse voltage applied up to -8 V, over -8 V we can speculate that a saturation level seems reached, indeed the error bars at $-8$ an $-10$ V are partially overlapped. The maximum measured responsivity is 0.62 mA/W. In addition, in Fig. 5(b) is reported the spectral responsivity in the range of 1540–1570 nm (step of 0.05 nm) at -8 V. Given that the waveguide was designed for operating at 1550 nm at this value corresponds to the maximum value of responsivity. Of course, the lock-in measurement technique allows for strongly reducing the noise current providing the measure of a very precise photocurrent signal.

In order to prove that the responsivity can be also measured without a lock-in technique, we repeated the same measurements by using a simple source meter and we performed measurements both in dark and under a continuous optical power of 256 $\mu$W at 1550 nm. All photocurrent values shown in Fig. 5(c) were achieved as an average of 5 different measurements. Fig. 5(c) clearly shows that the photocurrent signal is out of the noise margins and in Fig. 5(d) a comparison in term of measurement standard error provided by two techniques is reported.

Concerning the NEP evaluation, according to Llopis et al [45], we suppose in a PD both Johnson and shot noise are higher than the 1/f noise at low frequencies. The noise current $i_n$ is, indeed, the sum of the shot and Johnson noise current $i_n = i_s + i_j$ where $i_s = (2q(I_{ph} + I_{dark}))^{1/2}$ and $i_j = ((4k_BT)/R_{eq})^{1/2}$ with $R_{eq}$ the equivalent resistance of the photodetector when a reverse bias is applied. In our photodetector the Johnson noise current results to be over than 5 order of magnitude lower than the shot noise current, consequently, we compute the NEP as the ratio of the shot noise $i_s$ and the responsivity $R$. In Fig. 6 the NEP and the total noise current as function of the bias applied to the Schottky junction, are reported. It could be worth mentioning that when responsivity measurements are performed by the simple source-meter technique, Fig. 5(d) clearly shows that the higher the reverse voltage, the larger the error bars. This behavior is linked to the increase in dark current due to the lowering of the Schottky barrier $\Phi_B$ (see Eq. 5) at reverse voltage generated by the well-known image force effect [43]. Increased dark current leads to increased shot noise $i_s$ and, consequently, increased noise $i_n$, which represents the rms signal of the total noise fluctuations overlapped to the useful signal.

**Conclusions**

In this paper the fabrication and characterization of an Er/Si Schottky PDs integrated on the end facet of optical SOI waveguides and operating at 1550 nm has been reported. Electrical characterization shows that the junction obtained by replacing metal with Er can be well described by the canonical theory of the Schottky diodes with an ideality coefficient and potential barrier of 1.94 and 0.59 eV, respectively. The I-V curve shows the classical rectifying behavior with the forward current two orders of magnitude higher than the reverse one.

Concerning electro-optical characterization, we have used modulated NIR signal and a lock-in technique in order to achieve precise measurements of both the responsivity in function of the reverse bias applied at 1550 nm and the spectral responsivity in the range of 1540–1570 nm at -8 V. Moreover, we have shown that the same measurements could be obtained also without a lock-in technique even if with increased standard errors. A maximum responsivity of 0.62 mA/W has been measured for a 3 $\mu$m-width waveguide at 1550 nm and at a reverse voltage of -8 V. Finally, the noise current and NEP have been evaluated and discussed.

In order to achieve an increase in responsivity two aspects could be considered: the reduction of the Er/Si Schottky barrier and the enhancement of the optical absorption of the Er layer. Concerning the first option, it is worth noting that the Er/Si potential barrier of 0.59 eV extracted in this work is further high and limits the device efficiency as it is possible to understand by looking at Eqs. (2) or (3). However, as widely reported in
literature, a rapid thermal annealing at limited temperature can contribute to reduce the Er/Si Schottky barrier thanks to the formation of an erbium-silicidoe layer at Si interface [33]. Of course, in this case, the impact of the reduced Schottky barrier on the dark current should be evaluated. The second option concerns the possibility to enhance the optical absorption of the active medium by using well-designed integrated optical microcavities as disk or ring resonators, one simple possibility could be to realize Si Fabry-Perot integrated microcavities whose mirrors are realized by etching deep trenches on the Si rib waveguide as proposed by Barrios et al. [46].

Despite of low responsivity, we believe that this work can represent a proof-of-concept of PDs to be integrated with different Er-based optical functionalities in hybrid Er/Si platforms opening new frontiers in the field of low-cost silicon photonics.
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