Spatio-temporal patterns of macrourid fish species in the northern Mediterranean Sea
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Summary: The present study describes for the first time the spatial distribution of five macrourid species throughout the Mediterranean Sea and analyses depth, geographical and time-related trends regarding their abundance, biomass and mean fish weight. The data were collected as part of the MEDITS annual bottom trawl survey carried out by several European Mediterranean countries from 1994 to 2015, using the same standardized gear and sampling protocol. The most represented species in terms of abundance and biomass was Coelorinchus caelorhincus. The bathymetric trend was different for each species. The shallowest occurring species was Coelorinchus caelorhincus, followed by Hymenoecephalus italicus and Nezumia sclerorhynchus, while Nezumia aequalis and Trachyrincus scabrus were the deepest. Overall, the mean weight of all the species increased with depth. C. caelorhincus and H. italicus occurred in the entire study area: the first species showed relatively high catches in most areas, while the second was more abundant in the central and easternmost areas. N. aequalis and T. scabrus were mainly reported in the western basin, and N. sclerorhynchus in the central-eastern areas of the Mediterranean. An increasing inter-annual trend in abundance was only detected for C. caelorhincus and N. sclerorhynchus, while variable fluctuations were observed in the other species.
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Patrones de distribución espacial temporal de las especies de macrúridos en el Mediterráneo norte

Resumen: El presente estudio describe por primera vez la distribución espacial de cinco especies de macrúridos a lo largo del Mediterráneo en su vertiente europea, analizando las tendencias batiométricas, geográficas y temporales de la abundancia, la biomasa y el peso medio de las especies. Los datos utilizados provienen de las campañas de arrastre de fondo anuales, MEDITS, desde 1994 a 2015, llevadas a cabo por los países mediterráneos europeos utilizando un arte de arrastre y un protocolo de muestreo estandarizado. La especie más representativa en términos de abundancia y biomasa fue Coelorinchus caelorhincus. Las tendencias batiométricas fueron variables según la especie. La más costera fue C. caelorhincus seguida de Hymenoecephalus italicus y Nezumia sclerorhynchus mientras que Nezumia aequalis y Trachyrincus scabrus son las que se localizaron a mayor profundidad. En general, el peso medio de las especies se incrementó con la profundidad. Geográficamente, C. caelorhincus e H. italicus se encontraron distribuidas a lo largo de toda el área de estudio: la primera mostró capturas relativamente elevadas en la mayoría de las áreas mientras que la segunda fue más abundante en las zonas central y...
INTRODUCTION

The Macrouridae are one of the most dominant fish families in deep-sea habitats due to their high number of species and their positive contribution to the global biomass of ecosystems (Shi et al. 2016). According to Eschmeyer and Fong (2017), the group consists of 405 valid species with four subfamilies: Bathygadinae (27 spp.), Macrouridinae (2 spp.), Trachyrincinae (7 spp.) and Macourinae (369 spp.). Macrourids are globally distributed across a wide depth range, but 90% of the species inhabit the continental slope between 200 and 2000 m depth (Sobrino et al. 2012). They are often close to the top of the food chain, playing a vital role in many communities by controlling prey populations, exerting selective pressure and influencing general community dynamics (Drazen 2002).

Macrourid fisheries occur on the upper and middle continental slopes, either as by-catch (most common) or as target species (Devine et al. 2012).

In the Mediterranean Sea, the family Macrouridae includes eight species belonging to five genera (Lloris 2015). Five macrourid species can be found in the depth range sampled by the MEDITS programme (Coelorinchus caelorhinchus [Risso, 1810], Hymenoceras italicus [Giglioli, 1884], Nezumia aequalis [Günther, 1878], Nezumia sclerorhyncha [Valenciennes, 1838] and Trachyrincus scabrus [Rafinesque, 1810]), although most of them exceed this depth range.

The Mediterranean is a semi-enclosed sea separated from the Atlantic Ocean by a sill in the Strait of Gibraltar, with a high degree of environmental stability below 200 m depth in terms of temperature and salinity (Hopkins 1985). It is characterized by a pronounced longitudinal gradient, since deep waters of the eastern basin are significantly more saline and warmer than those of the western basin (Tanhua et al. 2013).

Comprehension of the spatio-temporal patterns in the distribution of benthiopelagic fauna, as well as of the factors controlling them, is a major ecological challenge. Due to its peculiarities, the Mediterranean Sea is an optimal reference for examining the spatio-temporal patterns of species distribution and for testing the influence of possible system drivers. In the Mediterranean Sea, several studies have focused on biological and distributional aspects of macrourids, but only restricted to certain areas (e.g. D’Onghia et al. 2000, Moranta et al. 2007, Fernandez-Arcaya et al. 2012). To date, no studies have been carried out throughout the Mediterranean based on long-term and large-scale geographic data. This study focuses on the Mediterranean distribution of five macrourid species (C. caelorhinchus, H. italicus, N. aequalis, N. sclerorhyncha and T. scabrus) from the northern Alboran to the Aegean Sea. It aims to describe the depth-related trends, geographical patterns and inter-annual changes of these species from data collected during trawl surveys, using the same methodology and gear, throughout the northern Mediterranean Sea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data source

Catch data (abundance and biomass) of five Macrouridae species (C. caelorhinchus, H. italicus, N. aequalis, N. sclerorhyncha and T. scabrus) were analysed from a total of 22 annual cruises of the MEDITS survey programme (1994-2015). The study area covered the northern Mediterranean, from the northern Alboran Sea to the Aegean Sea, and comprised six countries (Spain, France, Italy, Albania, Montenegro and Greece) and 15 different geographical sub-areas (GSAs) (Fig. 1). Although there are gaps in the time series of some GSAs (2: 1995-1999, 2001-2005, 2010; 5: 1994-2006; 8: 2002; 20, 22 and 23: 2002, 2007, 2009-2013, 2015) because MEDITS surveys were not conducted in several years for specific reasons, they have been included to cover the largest geographical range. However, the northern Adriatic Sea (GSA 17) was excluded from this study due to the absence of Macrouridae species in its shallow seabed features.

Data analysis

For each haul, the number and weight of individuals belonging to the Macrouridae species were standardized to one-hour towing to calculate both species abundance (number of individuals per hour of towing time [ind h⁻¹]) and biomass [kg h⁻¹]). The mean fish weight was obtained for each species by dividing biomass by abundance. Data were pooled in a matrix of species abundance, biomass and mean weight according to each haul (species vs haul). Frequency of occurrence
of each species (F) was expressed as a percentage and was calculated, for the whole area, as the ratio of the number of occurrences of a species to the total number of hauls. For each GSA, as the ratio of the number of occurrences of a species to the total number of hauls per GSA. Since the abundance of the macrourids studied was negligible at depths shallower than 200 m, further analysis was confined to bathymetric strata deeper than 200 m.

The centre of gravity (COG) was computed for abundance data in order to describe the mean bathymetric distribution of each species and to indicate the depth interval in which the species reaches its maximum abundance (Stefanescu 1991). For this analysis, the bathymetric range (200-800 m) was divided into six 100-m strata, and COG was calculated as $\text{COG} = \frac{\sum x_i}{6}$, where $x_i$ represents the calculated mean abundance value of species $x$ in stratum $i$.

Generalized additive models (GAMs) were applied to assess the bathymetric, geographic and temporal effects on abundance, biomass and mean weight of species by haul. Year was considered as a factor. A one-dimensional smoother was used to investigate the bathymetric effect, while a two-dimensional smoother was used to account for the geographic effect, combining latitude and longitude. The logarithmically transformed values ($\log(x+1)$) of abundance and biomass were used in order to ensure a Gaussian distribution of the residuals. For the selection of the best model for each response variable, minimization of the Akaike information criterion (AIC) was applied. The complete applied model for each response variable (RV, log-transformed values of abundance and biomass, and mean weight) and each Macrouridae species was as follows:

$$\text{RV} = \text{factor (Year)} + s(\text{Depth}) + g(\text{Latitude, Longitude}) + \epsilon,$$

with $s$ and $g$ as the univariate and the bi-variate smoothers, respectively, and $\epsilon$ denoting the Gaussian error term. The package mgcv in R (http://www.r-project.org) was used to apply the GAMs (Wood 2006).

Dynamic factor analysis (DFA) was used to identify common trends in standardized abundance data series among GSAs. DFA is a multivariate analysis belonging to dimension reduction techniques; it is designed for relatives in which a set of time series is modelled as a linear combination of underlying common trends, factor loadings and error terms to explain the temporal variability. Correlation of observation errors was modelled using different error matrices (Zuur et al. 2003). For all species, the correlations of observation errors were fitted to all possible model structures in the time series, including one to three common trends (Keller et al. 2017). The corrected AIC (AICc) was used to measure for goodness of fit having the best model the lowest AIC (Zuur et al. 2003). Model implementation was done in R (version 3.3.3), using the multivariate autoregressive state-space (MARSS) package.

Scientific names for species followed the nomenclature of the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS 2017).

RESULTS

Among the five macrourids investigated, C. caelorhincus was the most represented species in terms of abundance and biomass throughout the area (48±3 ind h⁻¹ and 0.988±0.052 kg h⁻¹). The highest F corresponded to H. italicus (F=60% of the total hauls carried out), which was also the second most abundant species (44±1 ind h⁻¹), while T. scabrus represented the second one in terms of biomass (0.854±0.086 kg h⁻¹) (Fig. 2).

C. caelorhincus and H. italicus were collected in each GSA of the study area. All five species occurred
sympatrically in the Gulf of Lions and in the Ligurian, northern Tyrrhenian and Sardinian seas (GSAs 7, 9, 11) (Fig. 3, Table 1).

According to the COG values, *C. caelorhincus* was the shallowest species, followed by *H. italicus* and *N. sclerorhynchus*; *N. aequalis* and *T. scabrus* were the deepest species (Fig. 4A). The five macrourids were distributed along the entire bathymetric range (200-800 m) analysed. In terms of species bathymetry by area, *C. caelorhincus* and *H. italicus* were the shallowest species while *N. sclerorhynchus*, *N. aequalis* or *T. scabrus* were the deepest in every GSA with the exception of northern Alboran Sea and southern Sicily (GSAs 1 and 16). Lower COG values were found for *C. caelorhincus* from GSAs 1 to 7 than in the rest of the areas.

**Table 1.** Depth range (m) and frequency of occurrence (F) (%), within each GSA, of Macrouridae species sampled on the continental slope (200-800 m). The depth range sampled (minimum and maximum depth explored) is shown for each GSA.

| GSA  | Depth range sampled | C. caelorhincus | H. italicus | N. aequalis | N. sclerorhynchus | T. scabrus |
|------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 1    | 200-798             | 215-776         | 245-732     | 235-798     | 326-798           | 362-798   |
| 2    | 200-800             | 204-797         | 241-692     | 245-798     | 386-797           | 301-798   |
| 3    | 200-797             | 200-692         | 241-759     | 380-793     | 326-798           | 240-782   |
| 4    | 200-796             | 245-798         | 382-620     | 280-797     | 326-798           | 240-782   |
| 5    | 200-795             | 386-798         | 304-676     | 326-798     | 326-798           | 240-782   |
| 6    | 200-794             | 380-793         | 301-676     | 326-798     | 326-798           | 240-782   |
| 7    | 200-793             | 280-797         | 304-676     | 326-798     | 326-798           | 240-782   |
| 8    | 200-792             | 326-798         | 304-676     | 326-798     | 326-798           | 240-782   |
| 9    | 200-791             | 280-797         | 304-676     | 326-798     | 326-798           | 240-782   |
| 10   | 200-790             | 326-798         | 304-676     | 326-798     | 326-798           | 240-782   |
| 11   | 200-789             | 280-797         | 304-676     | 326-798     | 326-798           | 240-782   |

Fig. 2. – Graphical representation of percentages of mean abundance value per haul (A), mean biomass value per haul (B) and frequency of occurrence (C) of the five Macrouridae species for the total area sampled between 200 and 800 m during the MEDITS surveys 1994-2015.

Fig. 3. – Mean abundance value (+SE) of the five Macrouridae species by GSA in MEDITS surveys between 200 and 800 m. Data are logarithmically transformed (log[x+1]).
Coelorinchus caelorhincus was present in every GSA sampled and was caught on 4107 of the 8289 hauls undertaken on the continental slope (F=50%). The highest F values were observed in the northern Alboran Sea and Corsica (GSAs 1 and 8), followed by Alboran Island and the Gulf of Lions (GSAs 2 and 7) (Table 1).

GAM analysis showed a statistically significant effect of depth and latitude-longitude on log-transformed indices of abundance, biomass and mean weight of the species. The best models, explaining 40.3%, 42.9% and 35% of the deviance (for abundance, biomass and mean weight of the species, respectively), included depth, latitude-longitude and year as a factor (Table 2).

The bathymetric effect showed a non-linear pattern, with biomass and abundance peaking at 400 to 500 m depth. As depth increases, the mean weight of the species also increases, with a maximum value between 500 and 600 m depth. Abundance and biomass were highest in the northern Alboran Sea, Alboran Island, the Gulf of Lions, Corsica and the Ligurian Sea (GSAs 1, 2, 7 and part of 9) (Fig. 5).

The DFA model for C. caelorhincus abundances showed a common and general rise in abundance in the whole region, with the highest recorded values corresponding to the last years of the time series. An exception to this pattern was found for the Balearic Islands, Sardinia and Crete (GSAs 5, 11 and 23) (Fig. 6).

Hymenocephalus italicus

This species was present in every GSA sampled and recorded on 4934 of the 8289 hauls undertaken on the continental slope (F=60%). The highest F values were observed in the southern and central Tyrrhenian Sea and southern Sicily (GSAs 10 and 16) and the lowest in the northern Alboran Sea and Alboran Island (GSAs 1 and 2) (Table 1).

The best GAM models explaining 51.3%, 54.2% and 7.4% of the deviance (for abundance, biomass and mean weight of the species, respectively) included depth, latitude-longitude and year as a factor (Table 2).

Abundance and biomass peaked between 400 and 600 m, whereas the mean weight showed a positive relationship with depth. An increase in abundance and biomass of the species was detected over the central Mediterranean Sea, while no clear geographical effect was observed for mean weight (Fig. 5).

For H. italicus, DFA results showed one common non-linear trend with two periods of generally higher values. However, this trend was not geographically homogeneous and had a clear geographic segregation: positive loadings for most eastern areas, except for the Aegean Sea (GSA 22), and negative (i.e. generally opposite trend) for western areas (Fig. 6).

Nezumia aequalis

This species was absent in Corsica, the southern Adriatic, the western and eastern Ionian Sea, Aegean Sea and Crete (GSAs 8, 18, 19, 20, 22 and 23) during the period analysed. It was caught on 842 of the 8289 hauls (F=10%). The highest F values corresponded to Spanish GSAs and were null or very low in the other areas (Table 1).

The best GAM models explaining 53%, 51.3% and 26.8% of the deviance (for abundance, biomass and mean weight of the species, respectively) included depth, latitude-longitude and year as a factor (Table 2).
Fig. 5. – Partial effects of depth (left column), and distribution (right column) on the five Macrouridae species analysed using a logarithmic transformation of their abundance (A), logarithmic transformation of their biomass (B) and the mean fish weight estimated from the generalized additive models (C). The colour gradient of the geographical effect codes from low values (darker colours) to high values (lighter colours).
Abundance, biomass and mean weight increased with depth. The abundance and biomass of *N. aequalis* increased in the western areas, mainly in Spanish waters and particularly in the northern Alboran Sea and Alboran Island (GSAs 1 and 2). However, the geographical pattern of mean weight was quite heterogeneous, with noteworthy small-scale differences. Low mean weight values were observed along the Spanish coasts, with the exception of the high values recorded for GSAs 1 and 2 (Fig. 5).

For this species, DFA showed the lowest values during the first years and the highest values around 2006. Positive loadings for most western areas suggest a general positive relationship of the abundances with this trend. Negative loadings were obtained for the Sardinian Sea and southern Sicily (GSAs 11 and 16) (Fig. 6).

**Nezumia sclerorhynchus**

This species was not recorded in the northern Alboran Sea, Alboran Island, the Balearic Islands, northern Spain and Crete (GSAs 1, 2, 5 and 23). It was caught on 2927 of the 8289 hauls (F=35%), and the highest F values were recorded in the western Ionian Sea (GSA 19), followed by the southern Sicily and the southern Adriatic Sea (GSAs 16 and 18) (Table 1).

The best GAM model explaining 54.5%, 55.7% and 17.9% of the deviance (for abundance, biomass and mean weight, respectively) included depth, latitude-longitude and year as a factor (Table 2). Abundance and biomass displayed sharp increases from 500 m depth, with maximum values around 700 m and a further decrease to 800 m. The species mean weight increased slightly from 300 to 700 m and sharply below this depth range. The species increased its abundance in the central and eastern Mediterranean areas, but showed an opposite pattern when the mean weight was analysed (Fig. 5).

The DFA showed one non-linear but general increasing trend, with relatively stable values from 1998 to 2006. All areas showed positive factor loadings, with the exception of Corsica (GSA 8) (Fig. 6).

**Trachyrincus scabrus**

The species was not recorded in the southern and central Tyrrhenian Sea, southern Sicily, the eastern Ionian Sea, the Aegean Sea and Crete (GSAs 10, 16, 20, 22 and 23). It was recorded on 1035 of the 8289 hauls (F=13%). The highest F values were reached in Alboran Island, the Gulf of Lions and the northern Alboran Sea (GSAs 2, 7 and 1) (Table 1).
The best model explaining 39.3%, 41.2% and 48.5% of the deviance (for abundance, biomass and mean weight, respectively) included latitude-longitude, depth and year as a factor (Table 2).

Species abundance and biomass rose from 300 to 700 m depth, with a noteworthy increase from 700 to 800 m depth. The mean weight remained stable to 500 m and increased continuously beyond this depth to 800 m. This species was mainly present in the western Mediterranean, particularly in the northern Alboran Sea, Alboran Island and the Gulf of Lions (GSAs 1, 2 and 7), with a consistent pattern of highest mean weight of the species in these areas (Fig. 5).

Overall, increasing trends were detected by DFA models, the highest values being reached in 2006. After this year, the lowest values were reached in 2008, but they increased again thereafter. All areas showed positive factor loadings, with the exception of the southern Adriatic Sea (GSA 18), with the highest values recorded in the westernmost Mediterranean areas (GSAs 1, 2 and 5) (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

Spatial distribution

Depth and geographical distribution trends in abundance, biomass or mean weight differed among the five macrourid species. Abundance and biomass of *C. caelorhincus* increased with depth and peaked at 400 to 500 m. A similar trend was seen for *H. italicus*, but the peak was reached at 400 to 600 m. These results concur with those of studies carried out in the Catalan Sea (Massutí et al. 1995), in the western Ionian Sea (D’Onghia et al. 2000) and along the Iberian Mediterranean Sea (Massutí et al. 1995), in the western Ionian Sea (D’Onghia et al. 2000) and along the Iberian Mediterranean margin (Moranta et al. 2007). Massutí et al. (1995) reported a progressive decrease in *H. italicus* below 600 m depth. However, this species has been collected at a maximum depth of 1202 m in the central-western Mediterranean, particularly in the northern Alboran Sea, Alboran Island and the Gulf of Lions (GSAs 1, 2 and 7), with a consistent pattern of highest mean weight of the species in these areas (Fig. 5).

The five species studied showed a general pattern of mean weight increasing with depth. The bigger-deeper phenomenon has been previously described for Mediterranean macrourids as a well-defined rule (Massutí et al. 1995, D’Onghia et al. 2000, Moranta et al. 2007). Macpherson (1979) showed that rates of competitive exclusion were low among four species of macrourids (*C. caelorhincus*, *H. italicus*, *N. aequalis* and *T. scabrus*) on the upper-middle slope (200-800 m depth) of the western Mediterranean Sea. Even so, *H. italicus* overlaps considerably with the other species, probably due to its more pelagic habitats. Copepods, amphipods and other pelagic crustaceans form the main fraction of the diet of *H. italicus*. The diets of *N. aequalis* and *C. caelorhincus* consist largely of polychaetes, isopods, amphipods, mysids and decapod crustaceans, while *T. scabrus* feeds heavily on decapods (Macpherson 1979).

In marine environments, depth is one of the main factors influencing biological distributions and is generally coupled with the combination of the gradients that co-occur with depth, affecting the biology and physiology of marine organisms and the ecological interactions between taxa (e.g. Rex 1977, Carney 2005, Drazen and Haedrich 2012). On the Mediterranean continental shelf, given the prevailing conditions of relative stability below 200 m (Hopkins 1985), several authors suggest that depth-related changes are due to biological causes (e.g. Stefanescu 1991, Cartes and Carrassón 2004), such as the dispersal capabilities of early developmental stages and trophic causes (Rex 1977, Grassle et al. 1979). The existence of different niche dimensions enables both coexistence and habitat substitution of morphologically neighbouring species of Macrouridae along environmental gradients (e.g. depth). All Mediterranean macrourids are generalist feeders (Macpherson 1979), which probably constitutes an adaptive advantage in low-productivity deep-water environments inhabited by macrourids (Madurell and Cartes 2006). Their ecological segregation is maintained by a combination of differential depth distributions and feeding habits (Carrassón and Matallanas 2002). Food partitioning among coexisting macrourid species is usually related to differences in their bathymetric distribution and in their morphology (Macpherson 1979, Mauchline and Gordon 1984, Carrassón and Matallanas 2002). The different head morphologies of macrourids are correlated with their various diets and foraging tactics. Furthermore, the bathymetric centres of distribution also tended to be different, reinforcing the dietary differences as a means of niche separation (Mauchline and Gordon 1984). Macpherson (1979) showed that rates of competitive exclusion were low among four species of macrourids (*C. caelorhincus*, *H. italicus*, *N. aequalis* and *T. scabrus*) on the upper-middle slope (200-800 m depth) of the western Mediterranean Sea. Even so, *H. italicus* overlaps considerably with the other species, probably due to its more pelagic habitats. Copepods, amphipods and other pelagic crustaceans form the main fraction of the diet of *H. italicus*. The diets of *N. aequalis* and *C. caelorhincus* consist largely of polychaetes, isopods, amphipods, mysids and decapod crustaceans, while *T. scabrus* feeds heavily on decapods (Macpherson 1979).

In marine environments, depth is one of the main factors influencing biological distributions and is generally coupled with the combination of the gradients that co-occur with depth, affecting the biology and physiology of marine organisms and the ecological interactions between taxa (e.g. Rex 1977, Carney 2005, Drazen and Haedrich 2012). On the Mediterranean continental shelf, given the prevailing conditions of relative stability below 200 m (Hopkins 1985), several authors suggest that depth-related changes are due to biological causes (e.g. Stefanescu 1991, Cartes and Carrassón 2004), such as the dispersal capabilities of early developmental stages and trophic causes (Rex 1977, Grassle et al. 1979). The existence of different niche dimensions enables both coexistence and habitat substitution of morphologically neighbouring species of Macrouridae along environmental gradients (e.g. depth). All Mediterranean macrourids are generalist feeders (Macpherson 1979), which probably constitutes an adaptive advantage in low-productivity deep-water environments inhabited by macrourids (Madurell and Cartes 2006). Their ecological segregation is maintained by a combination of differential depth distributions and feeding habits (Carrassón and Matallanas 2002). Food partitioning among coexisting macrourid species is usually related to differences in their bathymetric distribution and in their morphology (Macpherson 1979, Mauchline and Gordon 1984, Carrassón and Matallanas 2002). The different head morphologies of macrourids are correlated with their various diets and foraging tactics. Furthermore, the bathymetric centres of distribution also tended to be different, reinforcing the dietary differences as a means of niche separation (Mauchline and Gordon 1984). Macpherson (1979) showed that rates of competitive exclusion were low among four species of macrourids (*C. caelorhincus*, *H. italicus*, *N. aequalis* and *T. scabrus*) on the upper-middle slope (200-800 m depth) of the western Mediterranean Sea. Even so, *H. italicus* overlaps considerably with the other species, probably due to its more pelagic habitats. Copepods, amphipods and other pelagic crustaceans form the main fraction of the diet of *H. italicus*. The diets of *N. aequalis* and *C. caelorhincus* consist largely of polychaetes, isopods, amphipods, mysids and decapod crustaceans, while *T. scabrus* feeds heavily on decapods (Macpherson 1979).

The five species studied showed a general pattern of mean weight increasing with depth. The bigger-deeper phenomenon has been previously described for Mediterranean macrourids as a well-defined rule (Massutí et al. 1995, D’Onghia et al. 2000, Moranta et al. 2007). Macpherson (1979) detected positive size-depth correlations in macrourids, as well as an increase in the mean size of prey with the body size of these species. Indeed, *T. scabrus* shows prey changes, since it mainly consumes *Calocaris macandreae* at around 600 m depth, and its bathymetric distribution seems to be strongly linked to that of its preferred prey. However, below 1000 m, larger *T. scabrus* consume more suprabenthic-bathypelagic prey (Carrassón and Cartes 2002, Cartes et al. 2009).

Emig and Geistdoerfer (2004) stated that species occurring in both the western and eastern Mediterranean basins are always found at lower depths in the eastern one. In this study, the COG shows that *C. caelorhincus*, the macrourid species analysed with the most homogeneous distribution throughout the Mediterranean Sea, appears to be found deeper in some of the eastern areas. However, this pattern has not been identified for the other species.
Different relationships were detected between the abundance and biomass of the five macrourid species and different geographical areas of the Mediterranean basins. *C. caelorhinchus* and *H. italicus* occurred in the entire area studied, unlike the other species analysed, but their distribution patterns were different. The shallowest species, *C. caelorhinchus*, with relatively high catch levels in most areas, seems to be the best adapted to the environmental differences between the western and eastern Mediterranean basins. However, differences among areas for *H. italicus* were evident, since it was more abundant in central and easternmost Mediterranean areas. This is in agreement with D’Onghia et al. (2000), who reported *H. italicus* in 80% of trawl hauls carried out in the Ionian Sea, and with Moranta et al. (2007), who recorded low catch along the Spanish Mediterranean coast. They were attributed to the more pelagic feeding habits that might make this species less catchable by bottom trawls. Since our study was carried out with the same gear throughout the Mediterranean Sea, differences between areas may be due to other causes. This species appears to favour warmer water masses, since it is commonly found in the tropical Atlantic and western Indian Ocean (Froese and Pauly 2017). Indeed, Sobrino et al. (2012) identified *H. italicus* as one of the most abundant species in African Atlantic waters.

Large differences between the western and eastern Mediterranean basins were found for *N. aequalis*, *T. scabrus* and *N. sclerorhynchus*. Basically, *N. aequalis* and *T. scabrus* were reported in the western part of the Mediterranean, with low or no catches in other areas. By contrast, *N. sclerorhynchus* was more abundant in the central and eastern parts. These species also seemed to display latitudinal differences throughout the Atlantic Ocean, with a more northward distribution for *N. aequalis* and *T. scabrus* than for *N. sclerorhynchus*, which has a more tropical Atlantic distribution (Froese and Pauly 2017). Differences between the western and eastern Mediterranean Sea in *N. aequalis* and *N. sclerorhynchus* were previously reported by D’Onghia et al. (2004a, b) in the Balearic Islands and Ionian Sea. These authors argued that the overlapping diet of the two species may be responsible for their competitive exclusion, although the presence of both species on the western side of the Mediterranean Sea has long been known (e.g. Rannou 1975, 1976). In our study, *N. aequalis* was more abundant in the Alboran Sea (northern Alboran Sea and Alboran Island) than in the remaining areas, with a sharp decrease in the Catalan Sea and Balearic Islands. None of these areas reported any *N. sclerorhynchus*. Both species were caught in the Gulf of Lions, the Ligurian, Tyrrhenian and Sardinian seas, and southern Sicily, although catches of *N. aequalis* were very low in these areas. Likewise, both species were reported off the southern Sardinian Sea (Follesa et al. 2011). Therefore, these areas between the western and eastern Mediterranean Sea could be transition zones for the species, where *N. aequalis* begins to disappear. In Atlantic waters, both species were found by Sobrino et al. (2012), while Serrano et al. (2011) did not report *N. sclerorhynchus*. However, D’Onghia et al. (2004b) also pointed out the misidentifica- tion of the two species as the underlying reason for different species distribution. This prospect should also be considered in this study.

According to our results, *T. scabrus* was also more abundant in western Mediterranean areas, showing higher values of abundance, biomass and mean weight in the Alboran Sea and Gulf of Lions than in the remaining areas. It is widely known that these two areas display the highest primary production values for the Mediterranean Sea (Vargas-Yáñez et al. 2010). This might indicate some species’ preference for more productive systems that may facilitate a more efficient bentho-pelagic coupling and energy transfer efficiency.

Overall, in this study, the high recorded values of abundance, biomass and mean weight were recurrent for some species in the Alboran Sea and Gulf of Lions. Local hydrographic features and topographic differences greatly influence the spatial variability of the environmental parameters within each sub-basin (Tselepides et al. 2004). Regarding the Alboran Sea, Moranta et al. (2007) have already found differences in abundance and body size for Macrourid species along the Iberian Mediterranean coast, pinpointing the particular oceanographic conditions of the Alboran Sea as a possible cause. These authors finally concluded that the impact of fishing exploitation could mask the effect of abiotic factors since, unlike the other Iberian Mediterranean areas, the open slopes of the western Alboran Sea have remained almost unexploited below depths of 500 m (Gil de Sola 1993). Other deep-sea fish species show differences between Alboran and the rest of the Mediterranean. *Galeus melastomus* and *Chimaera monstrosa* are more abundant in the Alboran Sea (Massutí and Moranta 2003). Cabo de Gata (located further east of the Alboran Sea) is a boundary for *Galeus atlanticus*, which is absent in the rest of the Mediterranean (Rey et al. 2010). However, these species are also abundant in Atlantic waters, on the northern Iberian slope (Serrano et al. 2011). The particular geomorphological and associated oceanographic conditions of the Alboran Sea make this region a distinct transition zone between Mediterranean and Atlantic fauna (Abelló et al. 2002).

### Time-related trends

Regarding inter-annual trends, abundance of macrourid species showed no decreasing trends over the 22 years analysed, despite the fact that these species constitute a large fraction of the discards from the deep-water bottom trawl fishery (Moranta et al. 2000, D’Onghia et al. 2003). In agreement with this, Granger et al. (2015) found that the diversity of Mediterranean demersal fish species did not decrease during the period 1994-2012. Fishery pressure causes changes in the composition of marine communities over short time scales, especially in vulnerable habitats such as deep-sea grounds. Decreases in the catch per unit effort and size of exploited deep-sea fish have occurred just a few decades after the commencement of exploitation (Cartes et al. 2013). Granger et al. (2015) suggested that human pressure had probably already impacted fish diversity prior to 1994. Overall, macrourids are known to have adapted to various
ranges of food availability by employing generalist and opportunistic foraging strategies (Pearcy and Ambler 1974, Macpherson 1979, Mauchline and Gordon 1984). In addition, they can have a continuous spawning span in the Mediterranean Sea (Massuti et al. 1995, D’Onghia et al. 1996, 1999, Fernandez-Arcaya et al. 2013) and can be found in habitats less impacted by fishing activities, such as submarine canyons and cold-water coral communities, which can act as shelters from trawling (Tursi et al. 2004; D’Onghia et al. 2010, Fernandez-Arcaya et al. 2013). Most macrourid species find refuge in deep waters since they exceed the depth range sought by fishing in the Mediterranean (D’Onghia et al. 2004a, b, Follesa et al. 2011, Tecchio et al. 2013).

Inter-annual abundance increasing trends were detected for C. caelorhinchus and N. aequalis and T. scabrous, showed similar inter-annual trends, with abundances peaking in 2006 and fluctuating thereafter. No consistent increasing or decreasing trends, with differences between western and eastern Mediterranean areas, as reported above, were observed for H. italicus. Trophic factors are the most likely link between climatic and physical oceanographic processes and the abundance and fisheries yields of top predators (Cartes et al. 2009). Cartes et al. (2009) compared recent (2007 and 2008) and former data (from 1988 to 1992), finding a dominance of planktonic suprabenthic feeders (e.g. fish such as H. italicus) in the former time series (1988-1992) and a higher abundance of benthic feeders in 2007, coinciding with changes recorded in the North Atlantic Oscillation index.

The Mediterranean Sea is a complex ecosystem with contrasting regions in terms of productivity (Tecchio et al. 2011), seafloor topography and hydrography (Millot 2005). In an ecosystem with such pronounced regional differences, animal populations are prone to exhibit patchy distributions due to different habitat conditions (Keller et al. 2017), such as the spatial distribution throughout the Mediterranean of the five macrourid species described and discussed here for the first time. Our results indicate that both depth and latitude-longitude are determinant factors of their distribution throughout the Mediterranean of the five macrourid species described and discussed here for the first time. Our results indicate that both depth and latitude-longitude are determinant factors of their distribution. Carnets Geol. 4: 2004/01.
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Table S1. – DFA results for each species analysed from the Mediterranean basin. For each model, the model number, error matrix structure (R), number of common trends (m) and corrected Aike information criterion (AICc) are recorded.

Fig. S1. – Model fits (black lines) to the best models obtained by DFA on standardized abundance time series for the five Macrouridae species. Data are logarithmically transformed (log[x+1]).
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Table S1. – DFA results for each species analysed from the Mediterranean basin. For each model, the model number, error matrix structure (R), number of common trends (m) and corrected Aikake information criterion (AICc) are recorded.

| Model | Coelorinchus caelorhincus |  | | Hymenocephalus italicus |  |  |
|-------|---------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|---|---|
|       | R                         | m | AICc |                           | R | m | AICc |
| 1     | diagonal and equal        | 1 | 762.10 | 8 | equalvarcov | 2 | 745.90 |
| 7     | equalvarcov               | 1 | 763.91 | 7 | equalvarcov | 1 | 747.60 |
| 2     | diagonal and equal        | 2 | 770.82 | 1 | diagonal and equal | 1 | 748.45 |
| 8     | equalvarcov               | 2 | 771.61 | 2 | diagonal and equal | 2 | 750.69 |
| 4     | diagonal and unequal      | 1 | 791.12 | 4 | diagonal and unequal | 1 | 764.95 |
| 5     | diagonal and unequal      | 2 | 792.35 | 3 | diagonal and equal | 3 | 767.15 |
| 3     | diagonal and equal        | 3 | 796.06 | 5 | diagonal and unequal | 2 | 768.20 |
| 9     | equalvarcov               | 3 | 801.09 | 9 | equalvarcov | 3 | 768.47 |
| 6     | diagonal and unequal      | 3 | 812.88 | 6 | diagonal and unequal | 3 | 788.76 |

| Model | Nezumia aequulis |  | | Nezumia sclerorhynchus |  |  |
|-------|-----------------|---|---|------------------------|---|---|
|       | R               | m | AICc |                           | R | m | AICc |
| 2     | diagonal and equal | 2 | 331.19 | 1 | diagonal and equal | 1 | 504.75 |
| 3     | diagonal and equal | 3 | 332.75 | 7 | equalvarcov | 1 | 505.26 |
| 1     | diagonal and equal | 1 | 333.22 | 2 | diagonal and equal | 2 | 507.54 |
| 8     | equalvarcov      | 2 | 334.95 | 8 | equalvarcov | 2 | 509.49 |
| 9     | equalvarcov      | 3 | 335.40 | 4 | diagonal and unequal | 1 | 512.02 |
| 7     | equalvarcov      | 1 | 339.18 | 5 | diagonal and unequal | 2 | 515.39 |
| 5     | diagonal and unequal | 2 | 339.25 | 3 | diagonal and equal | 3 | 522.51 |
| 4     | diagonal and unequal | 1 | 343.03 | 9 | equalvarcov | 3 | 525.29 |
| 6     | diagonal and unequal | 3 | 344.21 | 6 | diagonal and unequal | 3 | 530.62 |

| Model | Trachyrincus scabrus |  |  |
|-------|----------------------|---|---|
|       | R                    | m | AICc |
| 5     | diagonal and unequal | 2 | 493.78 |
| 8     | equalvarcov          | 2 | 500.32 |
| 6     | diagonal and unequal | 3 | 504.71 |
| 7     | equalvarcov          | 1 | 505.88 |
| 4     | diagonal and unequal | 1 | 507.44 |
| 2     | diagonal and equal   | 2 | 510.24 |
| 3     | diagonal and equal   | 3 | 513.53 |
| 1     | diagonal and equal   | 1 | 515.42 |
| 9     | equalvarcov          | 3 | 519.25 |
Fig. S1. – Model fits (black lines) to the best models obtained by DFA on standardized abundance time series for the five Macrouridae species. Data are logarithmically transformed (log[x+1]).