D-Aspartate Prevents Corticostriatal Long-Term Depression and Attenuates Schizophrenia-Like Symptoms Induced by Amphetamine and MK-801
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Since their discovery in the mammalian CNS, D-aspartate and D-serine have aroused a strong interest with regard to their role as putative neuromodulatory molecules. Whereas the functional role of D-serine as an endogenous coagonist of NMDA receptors (NMDARs) has been elucidated, the biological significance of D-aspartate in the brain is still mostly unclear. In the present study, we demonstrated that nonphysiological high levels of D-aspartate (1) increased in vivo NMDAR activity, (2) attenuated prepulse inhibition deficits induced by amphetamine and MK-801 [(+)-5-methyl-10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d]-cyclohepten-5,10-imine hydrogen maleate], (3) produced striatal adaptations of glutamate synapses resembling those observed after chronic haloperidol treatment, and (4) enhanced hippocampal NMDAR-dependent memory. This evidence was obtained using two different experimental strategies that produced an abnormal increase of endogenous D-aspartate levels in the mouse: a genetic approach based on the targeted deletion of the D-aspartate oxidase gene and a pharmacological approach based on oral administration of D-aspartate. This work provides in vivo evidence of a neuromodulatory role exerted by D-aspartate on NMDAR signaling and raises the intriguing hypothesis that also this D-amino acid, like D-serine, could be used as a therapeutic agent in the treatment of schizophrenia-related symptoms.
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Introduction

Schizophrenia (SCZ) is a severe mental disorder afflicting ~1% of the population worldwide. Despite decades of intensive research, the precise etiology of this devastating mental illness remains, so far, an unresolved puzzle (Sawa and Snyder, 2002). It has been proposed that dysfunction of dopaminergic neurotransmission occurs in SCZ patients (Snyder, 1976); however, a large body of evidence recently proposed that abnormal serotonergic and glutamatergic neurotransmission might also be implicated in the pathophysiological processes leading to the development of schizophrenia (González-Maeso et al., 2008). Compelling evidence from genetic, brain imaging, and clinical studies strongly suggests that psychotic symptoms might be associated with a persistent hypoglutamatergic transmission involving reduced NMDA receptor (NMDAR) activation (Tsai and Coyle, 2002). This hypothesis is mainly based on two fundamental observations: first, administration to healthy subjects of noncompetitive NMDAR antagonists, such as phencyclidine (PCP) or ketamine, results in severe mental symptoms resembling those of schizophrenic patients; second, administration of PCP to psychotic patients exacerbates positive and negative symptoms and worsens their cognitive functions (Javitt and Zukin, 1991; Olney and Farber, 1995). Together, these findings suggest that interventions able to enhance NMDAR transmission might be beneficial for the treatment of SCZ (Kristiansen et al., 2007). In particular, administration of D-serine to clozapine-treated patients ameliorates their positive, negative, and cognitive deficits (Tsai et al., 1999).

D-Serine is a D-amino acid occurring in the mammalian forebrain throughout postnatal lifetime (Schell et al., 1995), which acts as an endogenous ligand at strychnine-insensitive glycine-binding site of NMDAR (Martineau et al., 2006). The striking discovery that D-serine could have therapeutic efficacy led to intensive investigations of the possible central functions of “unusual” free D-amino acids. Another D-amino acid, named D-aspartate, occurs in the mammalian brain. D-Aspartate levels are high in the embryonic phase and dramatically decrease during postnatal life, in concomitance with increased expression of D-aspartate oxidase (DDO), the enzyme responsible for its deg-
radiation (Schell et al., 1997; Errico et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2006). In contrast to D-serine, now well characterized for its implication in NMDAR-dependent functions, the role of D-aspartate in the CNS remains elusive.

We have shown previously that D-aspartate enhances hippocampal NMDAR-dependent long-term potentiation (LTP) (Errico et al., 2008a). In the present work, we examined whether higher levels of this in-embryo occurring molecule might increase glutamatergic transmission and exert antipsychotic effects in adult animals. Using genetic and pharmacological interventions able to enhance D-aspartate levels, we tested the ability of this D-amino acid to modulate cognition and gating abilities, which are thought, when altered, to be involved in the generation of SCZ-like symptoms. Furthermore, we investigated the ability of D-aspartate to modulate NMDAR-dependent electrophysiological responses and plasticity in the striatum, in an attempt to provide a physiological substrate for its action and putative antipsychotic-like effects.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Mutant mice for the Ddo gene were generated as described previously (Errico et al., 2006). Four-month-old male wild-type (Ddo \(+^{+/+}\)) and knock-out (Ddo \(+^{-/-}\)) mice were used in this study and derived from mating of heterozygous (Ddo \(+^{+/+}\)) mice, back-crossed to the F5 generation to C57BL/6j strain (Silva et al., 1997). Animals were genotyped by PCR according to Errico et al. (2006). C57BL/6j male mice were used to test the effects of 2 months of oral administration of D-aspartate in neurochemical, behavioral, biochemical, and electrophysiological studies. D-aspartate was delivered in drinking water at the concentration of 20 mM to 45-d-old mice until the age of ~4 months, when they were used for experiments. Although indistinguishable from untreated animals, D-aspartate-treated mice were slightly heavier than control counterparts (H2O vs D-aspartate, 29.9 ± 0.4 g vs 32.4 ± 0.4 g, p < 0.01).

Mice were housed in groups (n = 4–5) in standard cages (29 × 17.5 × 12.5 cm) at a constant temperature (22 ± 1°C) and maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle, with food and water ad libitum. Experiments were conducted in conformity with protocols approved by the veterinary department of the Italian Ministry of Health and in accordance with the ethical and safety rules and guidelines for the use of animals in biomedical research provided by the relevant Italian laws and European Union directives (n. 86/609/EC). All efforts were made to minimize the animals’ suffering.

HPLC analysis

Four-month-old Ddo \(+^{+/+}\) (n = 5), Ddo \(+^{-/-}\) (n = 5), and C57BL/6j mice that drank for 2 months 20 mM D-aspartate (n = 7) or H2O (n = 7) were killed, and the striatum, cortex, hippocampus, and cerebellum were dissected and stored at −80°C. The determination of D-aspartate was performed by HPLC, based on the diastereomeric separation of D-aspartate from the L-form and other L-amino acids, as described previously (D’Aniello et al., 2000). Data were analyzed with a Student’s t test and expressed as means ± SEM.

Behavioral tests

Acoustic startle response profile. Acoustic startle response (ASR) was measured using SR-Lab System (San Diego Instruments), according to a modified version of the protocol described by Paylor and Crawley (1997). Mice were gently handled 5 min/d for 1 week before the experiment. Each test session began by placing a mouse in the Plexiglas cylinder, where it was left undisturbed for 5 min at the background noise level. After the acclimation period, each mouse was subjected to 40 trials. There were 10 different sound levels presented: 65, 70, 74, 78, 82, 86, 90, 100, 110, and 120 dB. Each stimulus lasted 40 ms and was presented four times in pseudorandom order such that each sound level was presented within a block of 10 trials. The average intertrial interval was 1.5 s (ranged from 10 to 20 s). The startle response was recorded for 65 ms (measuring the response every 1 ms) starting with the onset of the startle stimulus. The background noise level in each chamber was 65 dB. The maximum startle amplitude recorded during the 65 ms sampling window was used as dependent variable. ASR was analyzed using two-way (genotype or treatment × startle stimulus levels) ANOVA with repeated measures.

Prepulse inhibition of the startle reflex. Prepulse inhibition (PPI) was measured using the SR-Lab System. The procedure was essentially as described previously (Errico et al., 2008b). Mice were gently handled 5 min/d for 1 week before the experiment. A test session began by placing a mouse in the Plexiglas cylinder, where it was left undisturbed for 5 min at the background noise level. A test session consisted of eight trial types. One trial type was a 40 ms, 120 dB sound burst, used as the startle stimulus. There were six different acoustic prepulse plus acoustic startle stimulus trials. The prepulse sound was presented 100 ms before the startle stimulus. The 20 ms prepulse sounds were 70, 74, 78, 82, 86, or 90 dB. Finally, there were trials in which no stimulus was presented to measure baseline movements in the cylinder. Six blocks of the eight trial types were presented in a pseudorandom order such that each trial type was presented once within a block of seven trials. The average intertrial interval was 15 s (ranged from 10 to 20 s). The startle response was recorded for 65 ms (measuring the response every 1 ms) starting with the onset of the startle stimulus. The background noise level in each chamber was 65 dB. The maximum startle amplitude recorded during the 65 ms sampling window was used as dependent variable. PPI was analyzed using two-way (genotype or treatment × prepulse sound levels) ANOVA with repeated measures. In challenge experiments with amphetamine sulfate (AMPH) (free base, 10 and 5 mg/kg) and (±)-5-methyl-10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5,10-imine hydrogen maleate (MK-801) (0.5 and 0.25 mg/kg), mice were assigned to receive either drug or vehicle (balanced for genotype or pretreatment and startle chamber assignment) and tested 5 min or 30 min after intraperitoneal injection, respectively. In these experiments, we chose to test only 70, 74, 78, and 82 dB prepulse sound. PPI data were analyzed using three-way (genotype or pretreatment × drug treatment × prepulse sound levels) ANOVA with repeated measures.

Motor responses induced by AMPH or MK-801. The procedure was as described previously (Usiello et al., 2000). After 1 h of habituation to the test cage (35 × 25 × 30 cm), AMPH (0.5, 1, and 2.5 mg/kg), MK-801 (0.05, 0.1, and 0.25 mg/kg), or vehicle was injected to Ddo \(+^{+/+}\) and Ddo \(+^{-/-}\) mice 5 or 30 min before the beginning of the test session, respectively. Locomotion, expressed in centimeters, was recorded over 1 h by using a computerized video tracking system (Videotrack; Viewpoint). Motor responses to both drugs were evaluated by two-way (genotype × treatment) ANOVA.

Morris water maze. The Morris water maze test was performed similarly to that described by Tang et al. (1999). The apparatus consisted of a circular pool (100 cm in diameter), surrounded by the wall containing visual cues, containing opaque water at 21 ± 1°C with a platform (8 cm in diameter) submerged 1 cm beneath the water surface. Mice were gently handled 5 min/d for 1 week before the experiment. The training phase consisted of two sessions per day (3 h interval between sessions) over a 5 d period. Each session was composed of four trials with an intertrial interval of ~5 min. The time to reach the target was measured. Two probe tests were performed along training, 24 h after the last session (to evaluate time-dependent memory retention of mice), in which animals were allowed to swim for 60 s in the absence of the platform. The first (probe 1) was done at day 4, before the seventh session, whereas the second (probe 2) was conducted at the end of the training phase, at day 11. The percentage of time spent in each quadrant was recorded. A computerized video tracking system (Videotrack; Viewpoint) was used for all Morris water maze tasks performed, to collect data during learning phase and probe tests. In the acquisition phase, the measure of the escape latency was used as dependent variable, and data were examined using two-way ANOVA (genotype or treatment × days) with repeated measures. Data obtained in the probe trials were analyzed by Fischer’s post hoc comparison, to evaluate the spatial preference of each experimental group for each quadrant. Moreover, Student’s t test was used to determine genotype effect on the spatial preference.

Contextual fear conditioning. Mice were gently handled 5 min/d for 1 week before the experiment. On the training day, animals from the Ddo
line (Ddo+/+, n = 18; Ddo−/−, n = 17) or C57BL/6J mice (H2O-treated, n = 11; α-aspartate-treated, n = 11) were placed individually in a conditioning shock chamber (Freeze Monitor; San Diego Instruments) and tested according to a previously described protocol (Shumyatsky et al., 2002). Conditioning was assessed immediately and 24 h after training (retention session) by scoring freezing behavior, defined as the complete lack of movement (with the exception of respiratory movements). On testing day, contextual fear conditioning was evaluated and analyzed for 3 min in the context in which mice were trained. Both training and testing sessions were videotaped and analyzed ethologically for contextual freezing by an experimenter blind to the genotype or treatment. Student’s t test was used to determine genotype or treatment effect on the freezing behavior, expressed as percentage of time spent in freezing.

A significance level of p < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant in all the experiments performed. All measures are expressed as mean ± SEM. All statistical analyses were performed with StatView software (version 5.0.1.0; SAS Institute).

Drugs. MK-801, AMPH, and α-aspartate were obtained from Sigma.

Detection of cerebellar cGMP
Cerebellar cGMP was measured under basal conditions in 4-month-old Ddo+/+ and Ddo−/− mice and in H2O- and α-aspartate-treated 4-month-old C57BL/6J animals according to the study by Wood et al. (1994). Moreover, cGMP was determined 20 or 40 min after intraperitoneal administration of 500 mg/kg α-aspartate or vehicle to C57BL/6J mice. Animals were killed by decapitation, the brains were then removed, and the cerebellum was dissected out on an ice-cold surface and stored at −80°C. Frozen cerebellum was ground to a fine powder using dry-iced-cooled stainless-steel pestle and mortar. Powder was then homogenized in 10 volumes of 0.1 M HCl. After the addition of 4 M TCA and centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, supernatants were analyzed for cGMP by radioimmunoassay (GE Healthcare).

Electrophysiology
Male Ddo−/− mice and mice chronically treated with α-aspartate (2 months) were used along with their respective controls for the electrophysiological experiments. All the experiments were performed in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EEC) and with approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Tor Vergata. Vibratome-cut coronal corticostriatal slices (200–300 μm) from the dorsal striatum were prepared for electrophysiological recordings as described previously (Centonze et al., 2004a) and then transferred to a recording chamber submerged in a continuously flowing artificial CSF (ACSF) (33°C, 2–3 ml/min) gassed with 95% O2–5% CO2. The composition of the control solution was (in mM) 126 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 MgCl2, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 2.4 CaCl2, 11 glucose, and 25 NaHCO3.

Spontaneous excitatory currents were analyzed off-line on a personal computer with Mini Analysis 5.1 (SynaptoSoft) software. The detection threshold of these events was set at twice the baseline noise. The fact that no false events would be identified was confirmed by visual inspection for each experiment. Off-line analysis was performed on spontaneous synaptic events recorded during a fixed time epoch (1–3 min), sampled every 2 or 3 min for a total of ~15–20 samplings. Only cells that exhibited stable frequencies (<20% changes during the samplings) were taken into account. For kinetic analysis, events with peak amplitude between 10 and 50 pA were grouped, aligned by half-rise time, and normalized by peak amplitude. Events with complex peaks were eliminated. In each cell, all events between 10 and 50 pA were averaged to obtain rise times, decay times, and half-widths.

Corticostriatal long-term depression (LTD) was studied by means of intracellular recordings. Intracellular recording electrodes were filled with 2 M KCl (tip resistance of 30–60 MΩ). Signals were recorded using an Axoclamp 2A amplifier, displayed on a separate oscilloscope, and stored and analyzed on a digital system (pClamp 8; Molecular Devices). For synaptic stimulation, bipolar electrodes were used. They were located in the white matter between the cortex and the striatum to activate corticostriatal fibers. EPSPs were evoked at 0.1 Hz. Bicuculline was added to block GABAa-mediated transmission. Under this experimental condition, high-frequency stimulation (HFS) of corticostriatal fibers (3 trains, 3 s duration, 100 Hz frequency, 20 s interval) was used as an LTD-inducing protocol (Calabresi et al., 1992). Quantitative data on modifications of EPSPs are expressed as percentage of the controls, the latter representing the mean of response recorded during a stable period (5–10 min) before the repetitive HFS synaptic stimulation.

Only one cell per slice and less than five neurons per animal were recorded. For data presented as the mean ± SEM, statistical analysis was performed using a paired or unpaired Student’s t test or Wilcoxon’s test. The significance level was established at p < 0.05. To determine whether two cumulative distributions of spontaneous synaptic activity were significantly different, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used. Drugs used for the electrophysiological experiments were applied by dissolving them to the desired final concentration in the bathing ACSF. APV, CNQX, NMDA, and MK-801 were purchased from Tocris Bioscience. Bicuculline was from Sigma/RBI.

Western blotting
Four-month-old Ddo+/+ (n = 10) and Ddo−/− (n = 10) and C57BL/6J mice that drank for 2 months 20 ml α-aspartate (n = 6) or H2O (n = 6) were killed, and the striatum was dissected out, sonicated in 200 μl of 1% SDS, and boiled for 10 min. Aliquots (2 μl) of the homogenate were used for protein determination using a Bio-Rad Protein Assay kit. Equal amounts of total proteins (30 μg) for each sample were loaded onto 10% polyacrylamide gels. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred overnight to membranes (polyvinylidene difluoride) (GE Healthcare). The membranes were immunoblotted using selective antibodies against NR1 (1:1000; Sigma), NR2A (1:1000; Sigma), NR2B (1:1000; Millipore), GluR1 (1:5000; Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents), GluR2/3 (1:1000; Millipore), and DARPP32 (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology). Blots were then incubated in horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies, and target proteins were visualized by ECL detection (Pierce), followed by imaging using a Bio-Rad Chemidoc instrument with quantitation by Quantity One software (Bio-Rad). Optical density values were normalized to DARPP32 for variations in loading and transfer. Normalized values were then averaged and used for statistical comparisons (Student’s t test).

Results
High levels of α-aspartate in discrete brain regions of Ddo−/− and 2 month chronically treated mice
Recently, two independent laboratories have demonstrated that ablation of the Ddo gene, obtained by genetic homologous re-
that animals do not show any hearing or startle reflex impairment. Thus, to assess whether deregulated d-aspartate levels resulted in sensory or startle disturbance, we first tested ASR in 4-month-old Ddo \(-/-\) and d-aspartate-treated C57BL/6j mice. We showed that startle amplitude did not differ between knock-out and wild-type animals, at each tone intensity tested (Fig. 2a). Indeed, statistical analysis indicated a nonsignificant (n.s.) genotype effect (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)) and n.s. interaction between genotype and decibel levels (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)). Similarly, no difference in ASR occurred between chronically treated mice and control group (Fig. 2b), as indicated by the absence of d-aspartate pretreatment effect (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)) as well as by an n.s. interaction between pretreatment and decibel levels (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)). Then, we analyzed both animal models for their PPI baseline. Our data indicated that PPI was indistinguishable between genotypes, at all prepulse levels tested (Fig. 2c). Indeed, there was no difference between Ddo \(-/-\) and Ddo \(+/-\) littermates (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)) and no interaction between genotype and prepulse decibel levels (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)). In addition, no statistical difference was found in basal PPI responses between d-aspartate-pretreated mice and control group (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)), and no n.s. interaction effect was observed between pretreatment and prepulse decibel levels (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)) (Fig. 2d).

### D-Aspartate elevation attenuates the disruptive effects of AMPH and MK-801 on PPI of startle reflex

It is well established that AMPH, a potent dopamine releaser, induces PPI deficits in humans and rodents, most likely through its aberrant stimulation of dopaminergic transmission (Mansbach et al., 1988; Braff et al., 1992). To investigate the functional consequences of high brain levels of d-aspartate in AMPH-induced PPI deficits, Ddo \(-/-\) and Ddo \(+/-\) mice were treated with this psychostimulant, at the dose of 5 mg/kg (Fig. 3a). A significant attenuation of AMPH-induced disruption of PPI was found in Ddo knock-out mice. Indeed, statistical analysis showed a main effect of genotype (ANOVA, \(p < 0.05\)) and, more relevant, a significant interaction between AMPH and genotype (ANOVA, \(p < 0.05\)). Interestingly, AMPH significantly lowered PPI in Ddo \(+/-\) mice, at each tone intensity tested (ANOVA, \(p < 0.01\)), but such PPI disruptive effect was absent in mice lacking the DDO enzyme (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)). Conversely, when tested for its motor stimulant properties, AMPH induced comparable effects between genotypes, as indicated by n.s. main effect of genotype (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)) and n.s. interaction between genotype and doses (ANOVA, \(p > 0.1\)) (supplemental Fig. S1a, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). To explore whether d-aspartate oral administration produced a similar protective effect on sensorimotor gating disruption, we challenged treated C57BL/6j mice and their controls with AMPH (5 mg/kg). Similarly to Ddo knock-out mice, d-aspartate-treated animals also displayed a significant reduction in PPI deficit (Fig. 3b). Statistical analysis indicated a main effect of AMPH (ANOVA, \(p < 0.0001\)). However, whereas in C57BL/6j control mice this drug significantly lowered inhibition at each tone intensity tested (ANOVA, \(p < 0.01\)), in d-aspartate-pretreated mice we found a blunted AMPH effect (ANOVA, \(p > 0.05\)).

Similarly to AMPH, blockade of NMDAR also results in severe sensorimotor gating deficits (Curzon and Decker, 1998). Therefore, we investigated the effects of d-aspartate on MK-801-induced disruption of PPI. Pharmacological blockade of NMDAR with MK-801 (0.25 mg/kg) induced a PPI deficit in both Ddo \(-/-\) and Ddo \(+/-\) siblings, as indicated by a significant treatment effect (ANOVA, \(p < 0.0001\)). However, a significant inter-

---

**Figure 1.** Altered high levels of d-aspartate in discrete brain regions of Ddo \(-/-\) and 2 month D-aspartate-treated C57BL/6j mice. a, b, D-aspartate levels were measured by HPLC in the cortex, striatum, and cerebellum of 4-month-old Ddo \(+/-\) (\(n = 5\)) and Ddo \(-/-\) (\(n = 5\)) mice (a) and 4-month-old C57BL/6j mice, untreated (\(n = 7\)) or treated with d-aspartate (\(n = 7\)) (b). Treated animals drank a 20 mM d-aspartate solution for 2 months before HPLC detection. **\(* * p < 0.01, ** * * * p < 0.0001\), compared with control groups. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM. Genotypes and treatments are as indicated.**
action between MK-801 and genotype was detected (ANOVA, \( p < 0.01 \)), because in knock-out mice these effects were less pronounced than those occurring in wild-type littermates (ANOVA: treatment effect, \( Ddo^{+/+} \), \( p < 0.0001 \); \( Ddo^{-/-} \), \( p < 0.05 \)) (Fig. 3c). In addition, we also analyzed the motor stimulant properties of MK-801 administration in \( Ddo^{-/-} \) and \( Ddo^{+/+} \) mice. Interestingly, the results indicated a comparable motor stimulation in both genotypes, as indicated by a significant MK-801 effect (ANOVA, \( p < 0.01 \)), and MK-801 (ANOVA, effect and genotype (ANOVA, \( p > 0.1 \)) (supplemental Fig. S1b, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). In contrast, MK-801 induced a significant disruption of PPI in C57BL/6J untreated animals (ANOVA, \( p < 0.05 \)), whereas in \( Ddo^{-/-} \)-treated mice, the dose of 0.25 mg/kg did not produce any detectable deficit (ANOVA, \( p > 0.1 \)) (Fig. 3d).

Furthermore, to establish the extent of the protective properties of \( Ddo^{-/-} \) on sensorimotor gating deficits, we tested higher doses of AMPH and MK-801 (10 mg/kg and 0.5 mg/kg, respectively). Interestingly, at these concentrations, a comparable disruption of PPI was found, regardless of genotype, for both AMPH (ANOVA, genotype effect and genotype \( \times \) AMPH interaction, \( p > 0.1 \)) (supplemental Fig. S2a, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and MK-801 (ANOVA, genotype effect and genotype \( \times \) MK-801 interaction, \( p > 0.1 \)) (supplemental Fig. S2c, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Similarly, comparable PPI deficits were found between control and \( Ddo^{-/-} \)-treated mice, both with AMPH (ANOVA, pretreatment effect and pretreatment \( \times \) AMPH interaction, \( p > 0.1 \)) (supplemental Fig. S2b, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and MK-801 (ANOVA, pretreatment effect and pretreatment \( \times \) MK-801 interaction, \( p > 0.1 \)) (supplemental Fig. S2d, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

Finally, we analyzed AMPH and MK-801 effects on ASR in both \( Ddo^{-/-} \) and \( Ddo^{+/+} \)-treated mice. Overall, our results indicated no main differences between genotypes and \( Ddo^{-/-} \)-treated mice. However, even in the presence of these antagonists, \( Ddo^{-/-} \)-treated mice were still able to excite striatal neurons, possibly because of the stimulation of \( Ddo^{-/-} \)-insensitive ionotropic receptors. The ability of both MK-801 and APV to fully block NMDARs was tested in a further set of experiments after the application of AMPH. As shown in Figure 4c, AMPH induced inward currents in striatal neurons \((n = 11; p < 0.001) \) that were fully antagonized by MK-801 \((n = 5) \) or APV \((n = 5) \) (\( p > 0.05 \) vs predrug values and \( p < 0.01 \) vs \( Ddo^{-/-} \) alone) (Fig. 4b). However, even in the presence of these antagonists, \( Ddo^{-/-} \) was still able to excite striatal neurons, possibly because of the stimulation of \( APV^{-/1} \) and MK-801-insensitive ionotropic receptors. The ability of both MK-801 and APV to fully block NMDARs was tested in a further set of experiments after the application of AMPH. As shown in Figure 4c, AMPH induced inward currents in striatal neurons \((n = 11; p < 0.001) \) that were fully antagonized by MK-801 \((n = 5) \) or APV \((n = 5) \) (\( p > 0.05 \) vs predrug values and \( p < 0.01 \) vs NMDA alone). Neither \( Ddo^{-/-} \) \((n = 5) \) nor NMDA responses \((n = 4) \) were affected by CNQX (10 \( \mu M \)), an antagonist of glutamate AMPARs (\( p > 0.05 \) compared with \( Ddo^{-/-} \) or NMDA alone) (Fig. 4d).

To further scrutinize \( Ddo^{-/-} \)-aspartate effects on NMDARs, we also studied the \textit{in vivo} changes in their activity evoked by persistent deregulation of this \( Ddo^{-/-} \) acid or by its acute administration. According to Wood et al. (1994), we used cerebellar cGMP assay as a reliable \textit{in vivo} index of NMDAR activity. In this regard, we evaluated cerebellar cGMP levels 20 or 40 min after \( Ddo^{-/-} \) (500 mg/kg) acute challenge and in chronically treated \( Ddo^{-/-} \) animals. Consistent with the idea that \( Ddo^{-/-} \)-induced NMDAR modulation, we observed that acute administration of \( Ddo^{-/-} \) induced a significant increase in cerebellar cGMP levels, compared with vehicle-treated group (ANOVA, treatment effect, \( p < 0.0001 \)) (Fig. 4e). The specific effect observed in acute \( Ddo^{-/-} \)-treated mice occurred exclusively at 40 min, but not at 20 min, indicating a time-dependent requirement for this \( Ddo^{-/-} \) acid to trigger NMDAR stimulation effect (ANOVA,
used as dependent variable. (Calabresi et al., 1992), as well as in response to antipsychotic
treatment with haloperidol (Centonze et al., 2004a). Accordingly, corticostriatal LTD was absent in both Ddo−/− mice (n = 9; p > 0.05) and mice chronically treated with D-aspartate (n = 8; p > 0.05), whereas it was normally expressed in the relative control groups (n = 8 and p < 0.01 for both groups) (Fig. 5a).

Moreover, we evaluated the properties of sEPSCs after D-aspartate elevation. sEPSC frequency, in fact, is typically altered after inactivation of dopamine D2-Rs (Gepeda et al., 2001; Tang et al., 2001; Centonze et al., 2004b), which is also known to prevent PPI disruption by AMPH (Ralph et al., 1999). In Ddo−/− mice (n = 15 cells), the frequency of striatal sEPSCs was higher than in control animals (n = 13 cells; p < 0.01), thereby mimicking the effect of D2-R blockade on this physiological parameter. Amplitude and kinetic properties of sEPSCs were conversely unaffected by Ddo gene ablation (n = 15 for Ddo−/− mice; n = 13 for Ddo+/− mice; p > 0.05 for each electrophysiological parameter). However, sEPSCs were unchanged in mice treated chronically with D-aspartate (n = at least 10 cells for each experimental group; p > 0.05), suggesting that Ddo genetic inactivation since early developmental stages might trigger this neuroadaptative process (Fig. 5b).

In light of the changes of glutamate transmission seen in Ddo−/− and D-aspartate-treated mice, we then analyzed whether nonphysiological levels of D-aspartate might affect the expression of NMDAR and/or AMPAR subunits within their striatum. Overall, Western blotting analysis revealed a comparable expression between genotypes for each NMDAR and AMPAR subunit (p > 0.1, per each protein) (Fig. 5c,d, respectively). Likewise, both striatal NMDAR and AMPAR subunit levels were unaf-
Improved hippocampus-dependent memory in Ddo<sup>−/−</sup> mice

It is established that NMDAR signaling plays a pivotal role in hippocampus-related learning and memory (Lynch, 2004). Thus, based on the ability of D-aspartate to act as NMDAR agonist, we studied Ddo<sup>−/−</sup> and D-aspartate chronically treated animals, displaying exacerbated hippocampal levels of this D-amino acid (Ddo<sup>+/+</sup> vs Ddo<sup>−/−</sup>, 33.8 ± 4.0 vs 408.0 ± 26.7 nmol/g tissue, p < 0.0001; H<sub>2</sub>O vs D-aspartate, 35.2 ± 3.2 vs 133.6 ± 9.5 nmol/g tissue, p < 0.0001; Student’s t test), in a hidden platform version of the Morris water maze and in a context-dependent fear conditioning paradigm.

In the Morris maze test, we found unaltered spatial learning abilities in Ddo<sup>−/−</sup> and D-aspartate chronically treated mice (Fig. 6a,d). Indeed, statistical analysis did not reveal significant differences in spatial learning, as confirmed by comparable escape latencies between genotypes (ANOVA, genotype effect and genotype × sessions interaction, p > 0.1) and D-aspartate treatments (ANOVA, treatment effect and treatment × sessions interaction, p > 0.1), throughout the acquisition phase. Differently from our previous report (Errico et al., 2008a), here we evaluated the spatial mapping formation of mice in two retention tests, resulting from a short (6 trials) and a long (10 trials) training exposure. According to Errico et al. (2008a), after 10 sessions all animals, regardless of genotypes and treatments, presented comparable bias spatial search, as indicated by similar percentage of time spent in the goal quadrant (Ddo<sup>+/+</sup>, p < 0.05 at least; Ddo<sup>−/−</sup>, p < 0.05 at least; H<sub>2</sub>O, p < 0.05 at least; D-aspartate, p < 0.01, compared with other quadrants, Fischer’s post hoc analysis) (Fig. 6c,f). Conversely, a significant difference in spatial retention was specifically found between genotypes after the short training. Indeed, Ddo<sup>−/−</sup> mice significantly spent more time in the goal quadrant (p < 0.05 at least, compared with others, Fischer’s post hoc analysis), whereas Ddo<sup>+/+</sup> animals still exhibited, after six sessions of acquisition, a random spatial search, as indicated by comparable, ~25%, time spent in each quadrant (p > 0.1, compared with others, Fischer’s post hoc analysis) (Fig. 6b). In the pharmacological animal model, after six sessions of training D-aspartate-treated animals showed a selective search preference only for the goal quadrant (p < 0.05 at least, compared with others, Fischer’s post hoc analysis), whereas control group exhibited a bias spatial search not only for the goal but also for the left quadrant (p < 0.05, compared with right and opposite; p > 0.1, compared with left, Fischer’s post hoc analysis) (Fig. 6e).

After 10 training sessions, a similar spatial search was found between treated and untreated mice (Fig. 6f).

Ddo knock-out mice and D-aspartate-treated mice were also analyzed in a context-dependent fear conditioning paradigm (Shumyatksy et al., 2002). Interestingly, the results obtained using this hippocampus-dependent task indicated a stronger fear response (i.e., freezing behavior) in Ddo<sup>−/−</sup> mice, compared with their wild-type littermates, in the retention test (24 h after the conditioning session) (genotype effect, p < 0.05, Student’s t test) (Fig. 6g). Conversely, no differences in freezing behavior were...
detected between genotypes immediately after shock delivery during training session (data not shown). In contrast to mutants, comparable context-dependent freezing responses were recorded in D-aspartate-treated and in control animals, both immediately (data not shown) and 24 h after conditioning session (treatment effect, $p < 0.1$) (Fig. 6h).

**Discussion**

Although the function of D-aspartate in mammalian brain is still matter of debate, mounting evidence has indicated that this D-amino acid may act as a putative neuromodulator of the glutamatergic system (Schell et al., 1997; Errico et al., 2008a). Here we examined the hypothesis that elevation of D-aspartate concentrations in adult mice might unveil its central influence in behaviors and electrophysiological paradigms associated with NMDAR signaling. To this purpose, we adopted two alternative strategies to generate higher D-aspartate levels in animals: a genetic approach based on the targeted deletion of Ddo gene (Errico et al., 2006) and another based on 2 months of oral administration of this D-amino acid to C57BL/6J mice (Errico et al., 2008a).

In line with previous reports (Errico et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2006; Errico et al., 2008a), we detected a strong enhancement of D-aspartate levels in several brain regions, including the striatum, of Ddo+/− mice and C57BL/6J treated mice. We also established that such increase did not alter the expression levels of NMDAR and AMPAR subunits. Based on the ability of D-aspartate to act as an agonist at NMDARs, we assessed the functional consequences of increased D-aspartate levels on sensorimotor gating processes. NMDARs are implicated in sensory gating mechanisms, whose alteration is postulated to induce the development of positive symptoms and cognitive fragmentation in obsessive-compulsive disorders and SCZ (Cadenhead et al., 1997). Studies in humans have shown that patients with SCZ have impaired PPI (Braff et al., 1992) and this behavioral paradigm is commonly
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**Figure 5.** Glutamate transmission and plasticity are altered in striatal neurons from Ddo+/− mice and in animals chronically exposed to D-aspartate. a, The graph shows that corticostriatal LTD was absent in slices from Ddo+/− mice and from mice chronically exposed to D-aspartate. The physiological traces on the right are examples of EPSPs recorded before and after HFS of corticostriatal fibers in slices from Ddo+/− mice, from mice treated with D-aspartate, and from controls. b, The frequency of sEPSCs recorded from striatal neurons was significantly enhanced in Ddo−/− striatal neurons. In contrast, amplitude and kinetic properties of sEPSCs were unaffected by this genetic manipulation. In neurons from mice given chronic D-aspartate administration, the properties of sEPSCs were unchanged. Traces below are examples of patch-clamp recordings from single striatal neurons recorded from Ddo−/− mice, from mice exposed to D-aspartate in their drinking solution, and from control animals. Altered glutamatergic transmission and plasticity do not derive from a differential expression of glutamate receptors subunits within the striatum of mutants or D-aspartate-treated animals. c, d, Indeed, Ddo+/− (n = 10) and Ddo−/− (n = 10) mice display comparable levels of each NMDAR (c) and AMPAR (d) subunit examined. e, f, Similarly, D-aspartate administration to C57BL/6J animals produced no significant changes in any of the NMDAR (e) or AMPAR (f) subunits (n = 6 per treatment). Representative blots comparing the different genotypes or treatments are shown for each protein detected. All values are expressed as mean ± SEM. Genotypes and treatments are as indicated.
used in rodents to screen potential psychotic-like or antipsychotic-like properties of new molecules or genes (Geyer et al., 2002). Interestingly, our results indicated that increasing D-aspartate levels in adulthood did not affect basal ASR and PPI. In contrast, D-aspartate attenuated, in both genetic and pharmacological animal models, sensory gating deficits induced by moderate, but not high, doses of MK-801. Such dose-dependent protective effect suggests that D-aspartate may exert a modulatory action in brain areas involved in the processing and filtering of ordered information through its modulation of NMDAR transmission. These results suggest that elevation of glutamatergic signaling, produced by increased D-aspartate levels, could counteract the disruption of gating processes induced by MK-801, resulting in a shift to the right of the dose of NMDAR antagonist required to elicit PPI deficits.

Our results show that increased D-aspartate concentration in the brain is also able to reduce the impairment of PPI produced by AMPH. Behavioral experiments using mutant mice for dopamine receptors indicated a mandatory role of D2R in controlling AMPH-induced disruption of PPI (Ralph et al., 1999). Furthermore, previous studies performed in genetically altered mice with a reduction of NMDARs showed enhanced susceptibility to the disruptive effect of AMPH on PPI (Moy et al., 2006). In contrast, GlyT1 heterozygous mice, which are characterized by increased NMDAR transmission (Tsai et al., 2004), showed attenuated sensorimotor gating disturbances in response to AMPH challenge. An intriguing hypothesis to conciliate the present and the above-mentioned studies is therefore based on the involvement of a reciprocal antagonistic interaction between NMDAR and D2R in the modulation of the disruptive effects produced by AMPH on PPI. In turn, this scenario has an interesting implication, because it suggests a potential common pathway in AMPH-induced PPI disruption that may involve, in addition to dopamine, glutamate transmission.

In line with this possibility, previous electrophysiological studies have shown that genetic inactivation or pharmacological D2R blockade upregulates glutamate-mediated transmission in the striatum (Usiello et al., 2000; Centonze et al., 2004a). This effect is mainly achieved through NMDAR-dependent blockade of striatal LTD, a form of synaptic plasticity that causes persistent downregulation of excitatory inputs to this brain area (Centonze et al., 2004a). In light of these observations, our data obtained in Ddo−/− and in D-aspartate-treated mice are strikingly consistent with the idea that D-aspartate-mediated antipsychotic-like effects might be associated with enhancement of glutamate transmission in the striatum, through the prevention of LTD induction. It should be pointed out that the disruption of LTD produced by genetic or pharmacological elevation of D-aspartate contents does not necessarily involve increased NMDAR signaling. Indeed, the resting membrane potential of striatal neurons was not altered in the two mouse models, and relatively high concentrations of exogenously applied D-aspartate were required to excite striatal neurons. Therefore, many other adaptive processes might occur in Ddo−/− and in D-aspartate-treated mice that could explain our findings, including changes in the activity of dopamine and metabotropic glutamate receptors. Whatever mechanism causes the loss of LTD after chronic D-aspartate elevation, the
effect of this alteration is an increased glutamatergic drive to striatal neurons. The importance of striatal synaptic adaptations in the antipsychotic action of \( \alpha \)-aspartate and of other agents is evident considering that deficits in striatal function produce sensorimotorial dysfunctions and alteration of goal-directed behavior in SCZ patients (Swerdlow and Koob, 1987; Goldman-Rakic and Selemmon, 1990; Graybiel, 1995, 1997; Calabresi et al., 1997; Canales and Graybiel, 2000). Interestingly, acute administration of \( \alpha \)-aspartate to C57BL/6J mice at a dose (500 mg/kg) able to stimulate NMDARs in vivo failed to attenuate the deficits induced by moderate doses of AMPH or MK-801 (5 and 0.25 mg/kg, respectively) (data not shown). Such findings suggest that the protective effect of this \( \alpha \)-amino acid requires its long-term action, during which still-unknown plastic processes along NMDAR signaling cascade may take place. It should also be noted that increased \( \alpha \)-aspartate levels did not appear to be implicated in the regulation of ASR. Furthermore, when challenged with AMPH or MK-801, \( D\text{-aspartate}^{-/-} \) and \( D\text{-aspartate}^{+/+} \) mice displayed comparable motor stimulations. This suggests that deregulated \( \alpha \)-aspartate levels do not alter the overall behavioral output elicited by these drugs, but selectively modulate the inhibitory processes involved in gating mechanisms. The basis of such intriguing differential modulation remains so far unclear.

In line with a previous study performed in the hippocampus (Errico et al., 2008a), the ability of \( \alpha \)-aspartate to act on NMDARs, has been further demonstrated by electrophysiological experiments in the striatum, which also point out that this \( \alpha \)-amino acid does not induce striatal AMPA currents. In addition, biochemical evaluation of NMDAR-dependent cerebellar cGMP accumulation indicated that, in C57BL/6J mice, acute and chronic administrations of \( \alpha \)-aspartate resulted in higher levels of receptor activity. Conversely, no alterations in cerebellar cGMP levels were found in \( D\text{-aspartate}^{-/-} \) mice. Notably, also in \( \text{d}^{-}\text{yDAO}^{-/-} \) mutants, characterized to have higher levels of the endogenous coagonist \( \text{d}-\text{serine} \), basal in vivo NMDAR activity did not differ from their controls (Almond et al., 2006). To explain this paradox, in line with Almond et al. (2006), we suggest that the absence of DDO since early postnatal developmental stages might result in an overall desensitization of the NMDAR-nitric-oxide-mediated response.

In addition to displaying the well known positive and negative symptoms, schizophrenic patients are also affected by deficits in virtually all domains of cognitive function, possibly as a result of a NMDAR hypofunctionality (Barch, 2005). In accordance to a role for \( \alpha \)-aspartate as a potential antipsychotic molecule, we found a selective enhancement of hippocampus-mediated spatial memory in \( D\text{-aspartate}^{-/-} \) mice, as revealed by Morris water maze and contextual fear conditioning tasks. Together, these data are in line with those reported for \( \text{d}^{-}\text{yDAO}^{-/-} \), \( \text{Gly}^{-}\text{T1}^{-/-} \), and \( \text{NR2B} \) transgenic mice, and further support a crucial role for NMDAR activation in the regulation of spatial memory (Tang et al., 1999; Tsai et al., 2004; Maekawa et al., 2005). Although \( \alpha \)-aspartate administration induces a substantial enhancement of hippocampal LTP in C57BL/6J mice (Errico et al., 2008), it failed to strongly improve their cognitive functions. This finding may be explained by the influence of this particular genetic background, known to be highly performing in hippocampus-related memory tasks (Nguyen and Gerlai, 2002) and therefore poorly responsive to pharmacological manipulations.

It should be reported that a previous work using another \( D\text{-aspartate} \) knock-out line indicated an opposite effect of increased brain levels of \( \alpha \)-aspartate on sensorimotor gating modulation (Weil et al., 2006). The reasons of these conflicting results are still elusive. However, differences in breeding strategies and/or in genetic background may account as possible factors to explain such discrepancies.

In conclusion, the present work supports a central neuro-modulatory role for \( \alpha \)-aspartate and provides, for the first time, evidence that increased levels of this molecule may have beneficial effects in treating SCZ. It should be noted that persistent NMDAR activation triggers excitotoxic processes. Although \( \text{d}-\text{aspartate}^{-/-} \) animals showed a lifespan comparable to their \( D\text{-aspartate}^{+/+} \) littermates, future studies are necessary to determine the existence of possible side effects associated with a long-term \( \alpha \)-aspartate exposure.
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