Hypothalamic CNTF volume transmission shapes cortical noradrenergic excitability upon acute stress
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Abstract

Stress-induced cortical alertness is maintained by a heightened excitability of noradrenergic neurons innervating, notably, the prefrontal cortex. However, neither the signaling axis linking hypothalamic activation to delayed and lasting noradrenergic excitability nor the molecular cascade gating noradrenaline synthesis is defined. Here, we show that hypothalamic corticotropin-releasing hormone-releasing neurons innervate ependymal cells of the third ventricle to induce ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) release for transport through the brain’s aqueductal system. CNTF binding to its cognate receptors on norepinephrinergic neurons in the locus coeruleus then initiates sequential phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 and tyrosine hydroxylase with the Ca2+-sensor secretagogin ensuring activity dependence in both rodent and human brains. Both CNTF and secretagogin ablation occlude stress-induced cortical norepinephrine synthesis, ensuing neuronal excitation and behavioral stereotypes. Cumulatively, we identify a multimodal pathway that is rate-limited by CNTF volume transmission and poised to directly convert hypothalamic activation into long-lasting cortical excitability following acute stress.
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Introduction

Ensuring species’ survival in perilous environments is a primary evolutionary demand. Therefore, central stress pathways, efficiently linking the brain and periphery, have evolved to form the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal axis (HPA) (Selye & Fortier, 1949; Bale & Vale, 2004; McEwen, 2008). By principle, corticotropin-releasing
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hormone (CRH)-containing (*) neurons of the paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus (PVN) gate output from the central nervous system (Swanson & Sawchenko, 1980) with CRH (Swanson et al, 1983, 1986), through pituitary amplification steps, triggering corticosteroid release from the adrenal glands for immediate metabolic mobilization (Rivier & Vale, 1983; Kovacs & Sawchenko, 1996). Nevertheless, stress, whether due to, e.g., predation or competition for reproduction, is unlikely a singular event. Therefore, secondary response pathways to enable an individual’s prolonged vigilance might have evolved to confer added evolutionary benefit when responding to recurrent challenges. Seminal studies (Schulkin et al, 1994; McEwen & Sapolsky, 1995; Popoli et al, 2011) support this notion by documenting that corticosteroids released from the adrenals do not only produce feedback inhibition of hypothalamic and pituitary hormone secretion (Akana et al, 1992) but directly regulate limbic and reward circuits (Sapolsky, 2003) to gate coping and flexibility (e.g., “flight or fight” behaviors; Eriksen et al, 1999; McEwen et al, 2012), motivation (McEwen, 2005), memory (Roouzenaal et al, 2009), and fear extinction (Korte, 2001; McEwen, 2005). The prefrontal cortex (PFC) has emerged as a central site to orchestrate coordinated responses to acute stress (McEwen, 2007) with glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors modulating its ability to integrate upstream emotional, sensory, cognitive, and spatial inputs (Patel et al, 2008; Gadek-Michalska et al, 2013; Caudal et al, 2014).

In general terms, corticosteroids can change neuronal excitability through the cell-type-specific engagement of mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors with the former increasing and the latter suppressing neuronal activity (Joels & de Kloet, 1992). However, stress-induced alertness (defined as heightened cortical network excitability for prolonged periods) might benefit from a single neural trigger, such as CRH (neuroendocrine cells, for the tight temporal coupling and scaling of cortical excitability for conscious execution of stereotyped behaviors associated with vigilance and HPA-induced peripheral energy mobilization. A neural link between CRH+ parvocellular cells and norepinephrinergic (NE) neurons of the locus coeruleus (LC) is of particular appeal because NE activity increases with the severity and duration of stress (Aston-Jones et al, 1996; Chowdhury et al, 2000) and NE afferents of the PFC are poised to facilitate adaptive behaviors (Uematsu et al, 2017). Recently, CRH− innervation of NE neurons has been described (Zhang et al, 2017), including at the ultrastructural level (Van Bockstaele et al, 1996). However, a monosynaptic circuit operating through excitatory CRH [i.e., CRH acting at G protein-coupled Cnr1 and/or Cnr2 receptors (De Souza, 1995)] seems insufficient to functionally convert short-lived surges of excitability into long-lasting NE sensitization for cortical stress adaptation, particularly since neuropeptide release likely commences only upon intense burst firing (Overton & Clark, 1997).

Here, we unmask an efficient mechanism coordinated by gluta
tate release from CRH neurons onto ependymal cells that line the wall of the 3rd ventricle to trigger long-range volume transmission by ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) in the brain aqueductal system. Once reaching the LC, CNTF heightens NE output (Fig 1A), as opposed to fast synaptic coupling known to evoke anxiety acutely (Zhang et al, 2017). We show the maintenance of NE excitability through CNTF-induced sequential recruitment of secretagogin (Scgn) and extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 (Erk1) to increase tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) activity by phosphorylation for cortical NE production. Despite extensive NE innervation of the entire cortical mantle (Fuxe et al, 1968; Moore & Bloom, 1979; Aston-Jones, 1995), this mechanism centers on the PFC where it is poised to efficiently reset network excitability (Fig 1A; McCormick et al, 1991). Thus, the combination of genetic manipulation of Cntf and Scgn with opto-/chemogenetics and biochemistry not only uncovers previously undescribed molecular determinants gating stress-induced behavioral phenotypes but also offers targets for stress resilience.

Results

Ependymal cells are an intrahypothalamic target of CRH neurons

Paraventricular CRH neurons of the hypothalamus release CRH into the median eminence to control the HPA stress axis by facilitating adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) release from the anterior pituitary (Bale & Vale, 2004). However, whether paraventricular CRH neurons project to other targets within the hypothalamus, as proposed for other types of parvocellular neurons (Ter Horst & Luiten, 1987; Dai et al, 1998), remains undefined. First, we addressed alternative synaptic sites for CRH neurons by microinjecting adeno-associated virus (AAV8) particles encapsulating an mCherry reporter into adult Cnr-Ires-Cre mice that are commonly used to test stress-related behaviors (Fuzesi et al, 2016). By postoperative days 5–7, mCherry-labeled processes emanating from CRH neurons that reside in the PVN coursed toward the 3rd ventricle (Fig 1B) with mCherry+ bouton-like varicosities lining the outermost ependymal layer of the 3rd ventricle. Next, we tested whether ependymal cells could directly respond to synaptic signals of CRH+ neurons by using single-cell RNA-seq to survey their CRH, glutamate, and GABA receptor contents (Romanov et al, 2017b). Ependymal cells, clustered by their expression of Erk1 and Foxj1 proteomes (Romanov et al, 2017b), predominantly expressed mRNA transcripts for glutamate and select GABAα receptor subunits (Fig 1C) with unexpectedly sparse mRNA content for Cnr1 and Cnr2 receptors. These data suggest that ependymal cells could respond to glutamate (co-)released from “stress-on” CRH+ neuroendocrine cells (Romanov et al, 2015, 2017b).

We have developed Cnr-Ires-Cre:egfp mice to demonstrate that EGFP+ nerve endings contained vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (VGLUT2; Fig EV1A and A1) and less so VGLUT1 (Fig EV1A) along the 3rd ventricle wall, suggesting the likelihood of glutamate release from CRH+ terminals. We then confirmed that VGLUT2+ nerve endings apposed ependymal cells that expressed GRIA1 (Fig 1C1), the α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionate (AMPA) receptor subunit most abundantly expressed by ependymal cells at the mRNA level (Fig 1C). Notably, our three-dimensional tissue reconstructions revealed that only a subset of ependymal cells received VGLUT2+ innervation (Fig 1C1), which could preclude their widespread and synchronous synaptic activation. However, ultrastructural analysis demonstrated that ependymal cells in the dorsolateral segment of the 3rd ventricle wall are connected by gap junctions (Fig 1D1) with their neighbors (Fig 1D2). Thus, gap junctions are the structural basis to convert the synaptic activation of “first-responder” ependymal cells to synchronous cell-state changes in a larger ependymal network.

We used patch-clamp electrophysiology ex vivo to monitor whether ependymal cells receive synaptic inputs. Firstly, ependymal
Figure 1. Hypothalamic corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH)-releasing neurons innervate ependymal cells lining the 3rd ventricle.

A Cartoon depicting a multimodal signaling axis including a direct pathway between the paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus (PVN) and ventricular ependyma (1), volume transmission to the locus coeruleus (LC; 2) with noradrenergic projections to the prefrontal cortex (PFC; 3).

B Microinjection of AAV-DIO-mCherry virus particles into the PVN of Crh-Ires-Cre mice reveals mCherry-containing processes oriented toward the 3rd ventricle (3V; arrowheads). Scale bar = 60 μm.

C Single-cell RNA-seq reveals infrequent expression of Crhr1, Crhr2, and Crhbp, as opposed to glutamate and GABA receptor subunits (in red), by ependymal cells. Ependymal cells were classified by Enk1 and Foxj1 expression (Romanov et al., 2017b), and also contained Cntf mRNAs. (C1) Reconstruction of GRIA1+ ependymal cells receiving VGLUT2+ synapses (arrowheads). Asterisks denote nuclei. Scale bar = 12 μm.

D (1) Electron micrograph showing gap junction coupling (arrowheads) between ependymal cells. Scale bar = 250 nm. (2) Dye transfer among ependymal cells. ‘1st’ indicates the cell probed directly. Arrowheads indicate secondary labeling in adjacent cells. Scale bar = 15 μm. (3) Postsynaptic currents (arrowheads) recorded in ependymal cells in control and upon exposure to AMPA (10 μM).

E Upper panel: Tonic inward current produced by bath-applied AMPA (10 μM). Lower panel: Quantitative data from ependymal cells from n > 3 mice. Data in box plots represent medians and 10th, 25th, 75th, and 90th percentiles. **P < 0.01 vs. baseline and wash-out (ANOVA).

F Activating DREADD (hM3Dq) was microinjected into the PVN of Crh-Ires-Cre mice 14–17 days prior to ex vivo recordings. (1) Reconstruction of mCherry-labeled terminals (arrowheads) in apposition to nestin+ ependyma. Scale bar = 7 μm. (2) DREADD activation by CNO in CRH terminals innervating ependymal cells induces inward currents (arrowheads), which are sensitive to NBQX, an AMPA receptor antagonist (20 μM).
cells (for basic membrane properties, see Fig EV1C–C3) produced spontaneous postsynaptic currents, which increased in frequency when bath-applying AMPA (10 μM; Figs ID3 and EVID–D3). Secondly, they invariably responded to AMPA superfusion by generating long-lasting inward currents when held at −70 mV (Fig 1E). We then addressed whether glutamatergic innervation of ependymal cells originates from CRH neurons by microinjecting adenovirus–associated virus (AAV) particles carrying Cre-dependent activating DREADD (hM3Dq) in tandem with an mCherry reporter (Alexander et al, 2009) into the PVN (Fig 1F). Histochemical localization of mCherry recapitulated the distribution of VGLUT2+ synaptic puncta along ventricular ependyma (Fig 1F1), supporting the existence of a direct projection from parvocellular CRH neurons. Thereafter, we applied the DREADD agonist clozapine N-oxide (CNO, 10 μM) to acute brain slices to show the emergence of inward currents in ependymal cells, which were completely abolished by superfusion of 2,3-dihydroxy-6-nitro-7-sulfamoyl-benzo[f]chinoloxalin-2,3-dion (NBQX, 20 μM), an AMPA receptor antagonist (Fig 1F2). Overall, these data suggest that ependymal cells along the anterior-dorsal segment of the 3rd ventricle are intrahypothalamic targets for CRH neurons and are tonically excited by glutamate.

Glutamatergic neurotransmission facilitates CNTF release into the cerebrospinal fluid upon acute stress

The existence of a monosynaptic pathway originating from CRH+ neurons and innervating ventricular ependyma points to the stress-induced release of bioactive substances into the cerebrospinal fluid. Crh-Ires-Cre:egfp mice were informative to reveal the genuine extent of EGFP+ innervation within the proximity (< 15 μm) of the wall of the 3rd ventricle through lifetime synapse labeling (Fig 2A). In turn, quantitative histochemistry for CRH showed that acute formalin stress significantly increases the density of CRH+ boutons targeting the wall of the 3rd ventricle (in rats: 6.93 ± 0.67 in control vs. 13.41 ± 0.93 20 min after stress, *P < 0.05; Fig 2A1; Appendix Fig S1A). Subsequently, we used cfos-CreERT2::ROSA26-stop-ZsGreen1/+ mice in an activity “TRAP” approach (Guenther et al, 2013; Fig 2B), as well as histochemical detection of c-Fos itself (Fig 2C; Appendix Fig S1B) to show that formalin stress significantly increases the density of both ZsGreen1+ (at 48 h; Fig 2B1) and c-Fos+ (at 2 h; Fig 2C) ependymal cells that co-express glial fibrillary acidic protein (Fig 2B1). The localization of stress-activated ependymal cells to the cranial domain of the 3rd ventricle (P < 0.05; Appendix Fig S1C) resembles that of “light cells”, which carry stereocilia and supposedly secrete bioactive substrates into the ventricular space (Mitro, 1981).

Ependymal cells also expressed Cntf mRNA (Fig 1C), a neurotrophin implicated in neurogenesis and repair (Kazim & Iqbal, 2016). We validated these data by anti-ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) histochemistry (Severi et al, 2012; Fig 2D and D1), by RT–PCR in micropunches from the ventricular wall (Appendix Fig S1D), and by comparing cultured neurons and astroglia (Appendix Fig S1D1). High-resolution imaging showed EGFP+ terminals in Crh-Ires-Cre:::egfp mice in close apposition to CNTF+ ependymal cells (Fig 2D2). Because acute stress increases CRH+ synaptic input on ependymal cells (Fig 2A1), we measured whether this translates into CNTF being liberated into the cerebrospinal fluid (Appendix Fig S1E). Indeed, an ~threefold increase in CNTF levels was observed 20 min after acute stress in liquor collected from the 4th ventricle (59.04 ± 26.41 pg/ml in control vs. 220.27 ± 98.51 in stress, *P < 0.05; Fig 2E). Acute stress invariably evokes characteristic defensive behaviors, with an initial period of immobility (“freezing”) followed by hypolocomotion (Morilak et al, 2005; de Andrade et al, 2012; Niemann et al, 2017) used as key indicators. If CNTF volume transmission mediates acute stress responses, then CNTF infusion into the cerebrospinal fluid under ambient conditions ought to mimic stress-induced behaviors. Indeed, intracerebroventricular (icv) CNTF infusion (4 µl, 6 ng/µl) in freely moving rats resulted in significant hypolocomotion (P < 0.05; Fig 2F). These data cumulatively suggest that stress-activated CRH neurons initiate phasic CNTF release into the aqueductal system for action by volume transmission in adult brain.

CNTF activates tyrosine hydroxylase in norepinephrine neurons that project to the prefrontal cortex

CNTF released into the aqueductal system might act at sites distant from its place of production. We inferred that any neuronal contingent responding to CNTF stimuli must directly be exposed to the cerebrospinal fluid, likely through dendrites targeting the ependymal surface, and express CNTF tyrosine kinase receptors (CNTFRs; Ip et al, 1993). The open-source Allen brain atlas highlights CNTFR mRNA in the locus coeruleus (LC; Fig 3A). By using dual-label histochemistry, we demonstrate that tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)+ processes targeting the overlying ependymal surface of the 4th ventricle (Appendix Fig S2A) contain CNTFRs (Fig 3B and B1). We further integrated the LC into the neural circuitry responding to acute stress by showing stress-induced c-Fos immunoreactivity in this brain area (Fig 3C).

TH is the rate-limiting enzyme of NE production with its activity regulated by phosphorylation at Ser31 (but not Ser40; Zigmond et al, 1989; Dickson & Briggs, 2013; Tekin et al, 2014). Particularly, CNTF, like physical stressors (Ong et al, 2014), stimulates TH activity through Ser31 phosphorylation (Shi et al, 2012B). Here, we find that recombiant CNTF induces significant Ser31 phosphorylation of TH, along with prototypic Erk1 phosphorylation (Haycock et al, 1992) in cranial pons explants containing the LC (45 and 58% increase in the phosphorylated forms of TH and Erk, respectively, *P < 0.05; Fig 3D–D2; Appendix Fig S2B). When applying subcutaneous formalin stress, Ser31 phosphorylation of TH was transient (~twofold at 20 min, P < 0.05) and eluded its Ser40 residue, which seems unrelated to augmenting NE synthesis upon pain-induced stress (Ong et al, 2014; Appendix Fig S2C and C1). To substantiate that ventricular ependyma is the source of CNTF to induce TH activity in LC, we performed site-directed Cntf silencing through icv siRNA infusion (Fig 3E). We then harvested LC tissues from animals that had been subjected to acute formalin stress 72 h after siRNA application and find the complete lack of Ser31 phosphorylation of TH (Fig 3E1). In sum, we suggest that liquor-borne CNTF modulates NE output from the LC upon acute stress particularly since neither neurons nor glia prepared from cranial pons can produce CNTF and act as a local (and alternative) source (Appendix Fig S1D1).

NE neurons innervate the entire cortical mantle with particularly dense efferent projections to the PFC (Porrino & Goldman-Rakic, 1982; Nakane et al, 1994). PFC-projecting NE neurons were recently sub-classified functionally, being indispensable for behavioral flexibility (Uematsu et al, 2017). Considering the hypolocomotion...
evoked by CNTF infusion, we posit that those NE neurons that innervate the PFC might be particularly endowed with CNTFRs. We have tested this hypothesis by microinjecting biotinylated dextran amine, serving as retrograde tracer, into the medial PFC (mPFC) and quantifying CNTFR density on NE neurons 7 days later (Fig 3F and F1). Indeed, we find an ~threefold enrichment in CNTFRs in NE

Figure 2. Acute stress induces ciliary neurotrophic factor release from ependymal cells into the cerebrospinal fluid.
A CRH terminals around ependymal cells (asterisks) of the 3rd ventricle marked by lifetime GFP labeling in Crh-ires-egfp mice (n > 3 mice/group). Scale bar = 10 μm. (A1) The density of CRH+ boutons is increased upon acute stress. *P < 0.05.
B Experimental design of activity "TRAP" experiments using coincidence detection in cfas-CreERT2 mice. (B1) Left: Representative images of ZGreen1+ ependymal cells in the dorsolateral domain of the 3rd ventricle wall, closest to the PVN, after acute stress (arrowheads). Inset identifies GFAP+/glutamine synthetase (GS)+ ependyma. Scale bar = 150 μm. Right: Quantitative analysis collected within a 15-μm-broad band around the 3rd ventricle. *P < 0.05, n = 7 mice/group.
C Quantitative histochemistry for c-Fos 2 h after formalin stress. Left: Open arrowheads point to the increased density of c-Fos+ ependymal lining the 3rd ventricle. n = 4 mice/group. Scale bar = 150 μm. Right: Quantitative data on ependymal cells directly exposed at the ventricular surface. **P < 0.01.
D Ependymal cells express CNTF in wild-type mice (solid arrowheads). Cntf−/− mice were used to validate our histochemical results with their ependyma devoid of CNTF-like immunoreactivity (open arrowheads). (D1) CNTF+ ependyma co-express GFAP. Hoechst 33,342 was used as nuclear counterstain. (D2) EGFP+ nerve endings of EGFP-expressing CRH neurons appose ependymal cells (EC; arrowheads) lining the 3rd ventricle. Orthogonal projection. Scale bars = 120 μm (D), 5 μm (D1), and 8 μm (D2).
E CNTF concentration in the liquor filling the 4th ventricle is ~threefold increased 20 min after acute noxious stress. n = 4 rats/group were used. *P < 0.05.
F CNTF infusion (4 μl, 6 ng/μl) in the 3rd ventricle induces hypolocomotion. Dashed lines indicate the center–periphery boundary used. *P < 0.05, n = 7/group. Representative traces of ambulation over a period of 10 min are shown.

Data information: Data are expressed as means ± s.e.m. and were analyzed by either Student’s t-test (A1, B1, and C) or Mann–Whitney U-test (F).
Figure 3. CNTF activates tyrosine hydroxylase by phosphorylation in mouse and human locus coeruleus.

A  Cntfr mRNA expression in mouse locus coeruleus (LC, white arrows). Increasing mRNA content is indicated by a color gradient ranging from dark blue (low) to red (high). The ependymal surface as contact point with the 4th ventricle is shown in blue. Scale bar = 100 μm.

B  Hypothetical orientation of NE neurons with their dendrites emanating toward the 4th ventricle. (B1) CNTF receptor (CNTFR) localization in tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)+ processes extending toward the ventricular wall (arrow marks ventricular surface). Scale bar = 10 μm.

C  c-Fos activation in LC 2 h after formalin stress. Left: Increased density of c-Fos+ cells in the LC. Scale bar = 150 μm. Right: Quantitative data. *P < 0.05, n = 4/group.

D  Representative Western blots of ex vivo LC explants acutely exposed to recombinant CNTF (for 20 min) show increased TH (D1) and Erk1 (D2) phosphorylation (n ≥ 3 samples/group). *P < 0.05.

E  Intracerebroventricular infusion of Cntf siRNA blunts stress-induced TH phosphorylation in the LC. (E1) Acute stress was triggered by injecting 4% PFA into a left hind paw unilaterally. Sampling followed 20 min later. *P < 0.05, n = 8/group.

F  Schematic diagram of the BDA-based retrograde labeling of LC neurons projecting to the mPFC. (F1) Multiple-labeling immunofluorescence detection of CNTFRs in a BDA+/TH+ neuron. Solid arrowheads point to perisomatic CNTFRs, and open arrowheads label BDA accumulation, while asterisks identify BDA+/C0+ neurons. Scale bar = 7 μm. (F2) Quantitative analysis of CNTFR density on the plasmalemmal surface of BDA+ vs. BDA+ NE neurons in n = 4 rats. *P < 0.05.

Data information: Data are expressed as means ± s.e.m. and were statistically evaluated using Student’s t-test (C, D1, D2, E1, F2).
neurons that provide efferentation to the mPFC (Fig 3F2). Finally, we tested whether mPFC-targeting NE neurons respond to CNTF by injecting AAVs encoding the Ca2+ indicator GCaMP6 in a Cre-dependent manner into the PFC (Fig 3G). For this, we have developed secretagogin (Scgn)-Cre mice (Appendix Fig S2D and D1) because secretagogin, an EF-hand tetra-Ca2+-sensor protein (Wagner et al, 2000; Alpár et al, 2012; Romanov et al, 2015), is abundantly expressed in NE neurons residing in LC (Mulder et al, 2009). Fourteen days after viral GCaMP6 delivery, we used Ca2+ imaging to monitor whether CNTF superfusion affects NE neurons ex vivo. As Fig 3G1 shows, CNTF induced Ca2+ influx in NE neurons projecting to the mPFC. Thus, we suggest that heparin-borne CNTF can modulate NE output in the mPFC upon acute stress.

**Secretagogin regulates tyrosine hydroxylase phosphorylation in NE neurons**

We next interrogated whether CNTFR-dependent TH phosphorylation may be mediated by a hierarchical Erk1-dependent signaling cascade (Haycock et al, 1992). We inferred that the precise timing and duration of any such activation step is likely reliant on coincidence detectors, such as Ca2+-sensor proteins (Romanov et al, 2015; Fig 4A). As such, NE neurons in both rodents (Figs 4B–B2 and EV2A–D) and humans (Fig 5A and A1) meet these criteria since they express secretagogin (Figs 4B1 and 5A1). Secretagogin is neuron-specific in mammalian brain (Mulder et al, 2009) and also coordinates acute stress-induced fast CRH release from parvocellular neurons at the median eminence (Romanov et al, 2015). Besides somatic expression (Fig EV2C), we localized secretagogin to both NE dendrites (Fig EV2C1) and axons (Figs EV2D and EV4B), including synaptosomes (Fig EV2F), suggesting that its interactome could modulate NE activity even at locations positioned distally from NE perikarya. We then used neuropeptide Y and enkephalin to mark subsets of TH+ neurons (Finley et al, 1981; Everitt et al, 1984) to show that secretagogin labeled both NE subsets in the LC (Fig EV2F, enkephalin not shown). This suggests a functional relationship to TH itself rather than to a specific neuregulatory modulator.

We sought to address causality between liquor-borne CNTF, secretagogin, and TH phosphorylation at its Ser31 residue by acute icv administration of CNTF in freely moving Cntf+/−, Scgn−/−, and wild-type mice. We reasoned that Cntf−/− mice could respond like wild-type littermates with CNTFR signaling in NE neurons remaining signal competent. In turn, Scgn ablation could occlude CNTF-induced TH phosphorylation by disrupting the signal transduction machinery downstream from CNTFRs (Fig 4A). As such, we find Ser31-phosphorylated TH in Cntf−/− at levels equivalent to those in wild-type mice (~1.5-fold increase; Figs 4C and EV2G). In contrast, CNTF infusion was ineffective to modulate TH phosphorylation in Scgn−/− mice (Fig 4C). These results provide genetic evidence for secretagogin linking CNTFR activation to TH phosphorylation in NE neurons.

To further dissect how secretagogin regulates TH phosphorylation, we first identified INS-1E cells (Merglen et al, 2004) as a cellular model in which CNTFR, TH, secretagogin, and Erk1 are natively co-expressed (Fig EV2H and H1). We then showed that RNAi-mediated Scgn silencing in INS-1E cells occludes CNTF-induced TH phosphorylation at Ser31 (Figs 4D, and EV2 and J). Likewise, CNTF-induced Erk1 phosphorylation was abolished by Scgn knock-down (Fig 4E).

Conspicuously, immunoprecipitation for secretagogin led to Erk1 co-precipitation in cranial pons homogenates (Fig 4F), suggesting that secretagogin could modulate TH phosphorylation through protein–protein interactions with Erk1. We then tested whether secretagogin regulates TH phosphorylation in vivo by subjecting Scgn−/− and wild-type littermate mice to formalin stress and assaying Ser31 phosphorylation in their brainstem. Stress-induced TH phosphorylation did not occur in Scgn−/− mice (P = 0.89 control vs. stress) as opposed to their wild-type littermates (43% increase, P < 0.05 vs. Scgn+/− mice; Fig 4G) when, notably, Scgn ablation did not change total TH levels (Fig EV2K). In sum, our in vivo and in vitro data mechanistically place secretagogin into a hierarchical signal transduction cascade controlling TH phosphorylation in NE neurons.

If secretagogin+/− NE neurons that project to the PFC drive stress-induced defense, then their chemogenetic manipulation could manifest as freezing/hypolocomotion in a novel environment. We have tested this hypothesis by injectting AAV particles carrying Cre-dependent DREADD expression systems for neuronal activation (hM3Dq; Alexander et al, 2009) or inactivation (hM4Di; Armbruster et al, 2007) into the LC of Scgn-Cre mice (Fig 4H). Once placing CNO pre-treated animals (10 min; 2 mg/kg) into an open field, we find that chemogenetic NE activation induces freezing, rendering the animals persistently immobile (Figs 4H1 and EV3A; Movies EV1–EV3). In contrast, hM4Di-treated animals remained mobile (Fig 4H1). We then repeated this experiment by exposing subsets of mice to formalin stress and CNO infusion 15–20 min prior to recordings. Here, hM3Dq-treated animals that underwent formalin stress exhibited a more uniform freezing response (Fig EV3A and A1) suggesting the formalin-induced reinforcement of CNO action. By showing that hM3Dq-carrier mice lacked an anxiogenic response when subjected to an elevated plus maze task (Harkany et al, 1999; Fig EV3B), we excluded that changes in open-field activity were due to anxiety.

**Scgn−/− mice are resilient to acute stress**

Next, we argued that Scgn−/− mice could be resilient to acute stress because of their blunted NE production and, consequently, NE inactivity in PFC when exposed to stress acutely. Considering that NE inputs to the mPFC drive behavioral flexibility (Uematsu et al, 2017), we opted for behavioral paradigms that reveal sensory components of stress sensitivity without being reliant on enforcement or association (Toyote et al, 2015). Since secretagogin is expressed in sensory dorsal root ganglia (Shi et al, 2012a), we first verified that Scgn−/− mice had unchanged sensory thresholds in the von Frey filament test, as compared to wild-type littermates (P = 0.19; Fig EV3C). Thereafter, we showed that Scgn−/− mice produce reduced escape responses when triggering their pinna reflex (Fig EV3C1) or exposing them to toe pinch (P < 0.05 vs. wild-type littermates; Fig EV3C2). Additionally, Scgn−/− mice do not bite when provoked [0.33 ± 0.17 (wild-type) vs. 0.92 ± 0.21 (Scgn−/−, biting index, P < 0.05)]. Lastly, Scgn−/− mice habituate to a novel environment indistinguishable from wild-type controls (Fig EV3D and D1). Nevertheless, when delivering a random sequence of unavoidable foot-shocks, their period of immobility (“freezing”) is significantly reduced as compared to wild-type littermates (P < 0.05; Fig EV3D1). Since neither the distance nor the pattern of their mobility differs significantly from control mice (Fig EV3D and D2), we conclude that genetic ablation of Scgn could impede NE network determinants of stress-associated behaviors to produce resilience.
Severe pathogenic stress induces TH phosphorylation in human LC

If stress-induced TH phosphorylation is of pathophysiological significance, then it might be detected in humans with severe acute stress (and associated pain). In support of this hypothesis, we find increased Ser31 phosphorylation post-mortem (with concomitant increases in TH content itself) in LC micropunches (Palkovits, 1973) in suicide subjects (108 and 44% increase in native and pSer31-phosphorylated TH levels, respectively, P < 0.05; Figs 5B and 5C1, as well as those succumbing to acute heart failure (150 and 129% increase in total and Ser31-phosphorylated TH levels relative to controls, respectively, P < 0.05; Fig 5C and C1). Moreover, when performing immunoprecipitation with secretagogin as bait in post-mortem LC specimens of subjects with acute heart failure, we find substantially higher Erk1 content in the eluent fraction, as compared to control subjects and non-targeting IgG controls (Fig 5D).

In sum, these data suggest that stress-induced NE sensitization is a mechanism conserved among mammalian species, including humans.

Figure 4. Secretagogin recruits Erk1 for tyrosine hydroxylase phosphorylation in mouse noradrenergic neurons.

A Graphical rendering of the proposed intracellular signaling cascade based on reports placing Erk1 as a function determinant for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) by phosphorylation (Tekin et al, 2014).

B Histochemical detection of secretagogin (SCGN) in mouse LC. (1) depicts the dense meshwork of local fibers, likely dendrites, emanating toward the 4th ventricle (4V). (B1) Secretagogin co-localizes with TH in many (solid arrowheads) but not all (open arrowheads) neurons in the LC. (B2) Micropunches of native tissue followed by Western analysis confirm the presence of both TH and SCGN in the LC. Scale bars = 100 μm (B), 30 μm (B inset), and 10 μm (B1).

C TH phosphorylation upon acute CNTF infusion into the 3rd ventricle in freely moving Cntf−/−, Scgn−/− and wild-type mice. n = 10/group; *P < 0.05 vs. wild-type. Data were normalized to controls not exposed to formalin stress. Representative Western blots are shown in Fig EV2G.

D Recombinant CNTF induces TH phosphorylation at Ser31 in INS-1E cells, which co-express SCGN, Erk1, and TH. RNAi silencing of Scgn abolishes TH activation (from triplicate experiments; *P < 0.05).

E Recombinant CNTF also induces Erk1 phosphorylation in a Scgn-dependent manner in INS-1E cells (from triplicate experiments; *P < 0.05).

F Immunoprecipitation (IP) using an anti-secretagogin antibody as bait led to the co-elution of Erk1 in mouse brainstem.

G Formalin stress increases Ser31 phosphorylated TH levels in the LC of wild-type but not Scgn−/− mice. n = 5/group; *P < 0.05.

H Microinjection of AAV particles carrying activating (hM3Dq) and inactivating (hM4Di) DREADD constructs in Scgn-Cre mice. Histochemistry shows site-directed mCherry expression in the LC 14–28 days later. Scale bar = 250 μm. (H2) Left: CNO-induced freezing in hM3Dq but not hM4Di carriers. Data in box plots represent medians and 10th, 25th, 75th, and 90th percentiles. **P < 0.01 vs. control or hM4Di, n ≥ 4 animals/group. Right: Representative trajectories in the open field during 5 min.

Data information: Data are expressed as means ± s.e.m. and were statistically analyzed by either Student’s t-test (C, D, E, G) or ANOVA (general linear model; H).
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Secretagogin controls NE-induced neuronal excitability in the prefrontal cortex

Brainstem NE neurons regulate alertness and emotional flexibility (Uematsu et al., 2017) through their direct efferents to the mPFC (Fig 6A), which is identified as the cortical “hub” for escape behaviors (McNaughton & Corr, 2004; Wu et al., 2016; Schwabe, 2017). Therefore, and based on our experimental results, we posited that the mPFC is the final element of a hypothalamus-LC-PFC tripartite circuit that drives stress-induced behaviors. In mice, we focused on the infra- and prelimbic cortices, which correspond to the ventromedial area of the mPFC in humans and are connected to the hypothalamus and amygdala to regulate emotional actions (Arnsten, 2009). First, we used BDA-based anterograde tract tracing (Fig 6A) to show that TH⁺ efferents to the mPFC contain Ser₃¹-phosphorylated TH (Fig 6B and B1), which is typically present in en-passant boutons. For secretagogin to modulate TH activity in NE axons, it ought to be co-expressed with pSer₃¹-TH in the mPFC. Indeed, Western analysis suggests that secretagogin–TH regulatory interactions could dominate in the mPFC (Fig 6C, more so than in, e.g., somatosensory areas) with its presence in synaptosomal fractions reinforcing presynaptic modulation (Fig EV2F). Moreover, Scgn⁻/⁻ mice failed to display Ser₃¹ phosphorylation of TH in the mPFC relative to wild-type littermates [-18% (Scgn⁻/⁻) vs. +30% (wild-type), P < 0.05; Fig 6D and D1]. Since stress-induced TH activation by phosphorylation supports NE synthesis in loco, we hypothesized that NE signaling is chronically impaired in Scgn⁻/⁻ mice and the lack of a stress-induced NE surge precludes stress-induced behavioral phenotypes. To this end, we determined cortical NE content in Scgn⁻/⁻ and wild-type mice exposed to acute stress. Indeed, peripheral formalin injection increased NE production in the mPFC of wild-type animals [0.25 ± 0.02 (control) vs. 0.31 ± 0.02 (stress) NE µg/mg tissue at 120 min after stress induction (Fig 6E)]. In turn, Scgn⁻/⁻ mice failed to produce a stress-induced NE surge [0.28 ± 0.02 (control) vs. 0.27 ± 0.03 NE µg/mg tissue, P = 0.73; Fig 6E]. These changes did not affect, e.g., the somatosensory cortex and only perturbed NE but neither dopamine nor 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC) levels (Fig EV5H). These data suggest that NE production is impaired in the mPFC of Scgn⁻/⁻ mice, which could underpin behavioral insensitivity to acute stress.

If the lack of NE inputs to the mPFC of Scgn⁻/⁻ mice is functionally significant, then altered excitability of pyramidal neurons might be expected to ensue. Scgn⁻/⁻ layer 5 pyramidal cells exhibited significantly hyperpolarized resting membrane potentials.
Figure 6. Secretagogin gates noradrenergic activity in PFC.

A Schema of noradrenergic projections to the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) used for tract tracing and the analysis of axonal tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) phosphorylation. (1) Biotinylated dextran amine (BDA) injected into the mouse LC led to (2) BDA-labeled TH^+ axons contacting pyramidal cells (upper) and interneurons (lower) in the mPFC (open arrowheads). Scale bars = 100 µm (1) and 10 µm (2).

B TH^+ axons (open arrowheads; B) contained TH phosphorylated at Ser^31 (open arrowheads, B1). Scale bars = 10 µm (B, B1/Left) and 2 µm (B1/Right).

C Secretagogin (SCGN) is abundantly co-expressed with Ser^31-phosphorylated TH in mPFC (note marginal expression in somatosensory cortex). cbl, cerebellum; hp, hippocampus; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; S^1, primary somatosensory cortex.

D Formalin stress induces TH phosphorylation in the mPFC of wild-type but not Scgn^−/− mice; n = 5/genotype; * P < 0.05.

E Scgn^−/− mice fail to produce NE in mPFC upon acute stress (n ≥ 4/group). Figure EV5H is referred to for data on unchanged dopamine and DOPAC levels. Note that acute formalin stress failed to significantly elevate NE in the somatosensory cortex (P = 0.259) even if a differential response to stress between wild-type and Scgn^−/− mice is noticeable. * P < 0.05.

F NE superfusion ex vivo increases the excitability of layer (L) 5 pyramidal cells in the mPFC of Scgn^−/− mice relative to wild-type littermates. (F1) Quantitative data. *P < 0.05, n ≥ 3 mice/genotype.

G Experimental protocol to test whether optogenetic activation of NE efferents to the mPFC is sufficient to increase cortical network excitability. Fourteen days after AAV infusion, experiments were performed in acute brain slices spanning the mPFC using 470 and 535 nm (control) excitation wavelengths.

H Biocytin-filled L5 pyramidal neuron receives channelrhodopsin-2/mCherry-containing NE inputs. Open arrowheads point to varicosities apposing pyramidal dendrites. Scale bar = 100 µm.

I Representative images of heightened glutamatergic responses in L5 pyramidal cells upon 470-nm light excitation (blue shading). Gray traces (top) show the lack of excitability when exposed to light at 535 nm. (I1) Amplitude of postsynaptic currents at both wavelengths. *P < 0.05 vs. control at 535 nm. (I2) Light-induced response frequencies. **P < 0.01 vs. control at 535 nm.

Data information: Data are expressed as means ± s.e.m. and were statistically tested by Student’s t-test (D1, E) or one-way ANOVA (F1, I1, I2).
(Fig EV5A–C) and lowered voltage thresholds to generate action potentials (APs; Fig EV5D–D2) ex vivo, suggesting their increased excitability. We also found that Scgn−/− pyramidal cells are increasingly sensitive to NE (10 µM) applied exogenously [2.5 ± 0.7 mV (wild-type) vs. 8.1 ± 2.1 (Scgn−/−), P < 0.05; Figs 6F and F1, and EV5E–G], which we interpret as network sensitization to, and resetting of inherent flexibility toward the lifelong lack of tonic NE triggers. This notion is supported by marked increases in afterdepolarization effects on electrical self-stimulation in the presence of NE (Figs 6F and EV5G). We then employed an optogenetic approach to confirm that the activation of secretagogin-expressing NE neurons in the LC is sufficient to reset mPFC network excitability (Fig 6G). By introducing channelrhodopsin into NE neurons in Scgn-Cre mice, we labeled PFC projections 14–17 days later (Fig 6H). Since NE does not induce electrogenic currents per se but instead lowers the excitation threshold for glutamate through metabotropic α-adrenergic receptors (Ramos & Arnsten, 2007; Santana et al, 2013), single short-lived excitation pulses were without effect (data not shown). However, when using 30-s laser excitation at 470 nm (but not 535 nm), repetitive high-amplitude synaptic currents (9/15 cells; Fig 6I, upper trace) or activation of an inward current in the 30–150 pA range (3/15 cells; Fig 6I, lower trace) was recorded in layer 5 pyramidal cells (Fig 6J–L). No such event was recorded under non-stimulated conditions. These data show that secretagogin selectively co-exists in the mPFC where it can control NE release onto pyramidal cells to modulate network output. Since NE neurotransmission is increased in stress, mediates cortical excitability in chronic states (Arnsten, 2009), and underpins fight/flight behaviors (Bremner et al, 2008), our results uncover a multimodal pathway that combines volume and synaptic neurotransmission to modulate excitatory output commands from the PFC.

**Discussion**

Collectively, we demonstrate the existence of a multimodal signaling pathway including both synaptic signaling and volume transmission from ependymal cells via the cerebrospinal fluid to maintain NE-induced cortical excitability over prolonged periods. This pathway bypasses classical anatomical units of ascending sensory pathways (spinal, thalamic, and somatosensory cortical nodes) to express post-traumatic vigilance. At the molecular level, and alike the HPA axis, CRH (Swanson & Sawchenko, 1980), secretagogin (Romanov et al, 2015), and NE (Korf et al, 1973) are used as mediators yet through the recruitment of novel cellular interactions for effective modulation of mPFC output. Moreover, our results suggest that CRH neurons might simultaneously orchestrate HPA activation and cortical excitability, which can be an advantage to overcome metabolic restrictions at the periphery.

Acute stress triggers a complex set of behaviors, which substantially differ in their magnitude and repertoire dependent on the context and duration. Consequently, substantial variations have been recorded in the extent, temporal dynamics, and connectivity diagrams of the neuronal circuits that underpin responses to stressful stimuli; e.g., hunger and fasting (but also overfeeding) activates proopiomelanocortin, neuropeptide Y, and glutamate (VGLUT2+) neurons in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus through circulating hormones (Sternson et al, 2005; Campbell et al, 2017; Fenselau et al, 2017), and aggression toward (and defense against) an intruder activates the ventromedial hypothalamus (Lin et al, 2011), while maternal behaviors are executed by galanin-containing neurons of the medial preoptic area (Kohl et al, 2018). Regardless of the cellular identity of the primary responder network, stress-related command is executed by CRH neurons of the PVN, which are activated by ascending glutamatergic pathways (Fenselau et al, 2017). Undoubtedly, CRH (and likely glutamate) release at the median eminence is the dominant function for CRH neurons to mobilize glucocorticoids to set bodily (particularly muscular) “fight/flight” responses. Nevertheless, a key postulate of our present and earlier (Romanov et al, 2017a) studies is that molecularly heterogeneous CRH neurons shape more than a single output pathway, with glutamatergic CRH+ neurons distributing and propagating the most diverse hypothalamic network activity into a binary code. Once activated, ependymal cells convert synaptic inputs into a long-lasting metabolic state change with CNTF released into the 3rd ventricle. This is important since it not only links PVN output to distal midbrain effector systems (such as NE efferents) but also suggests that this event ensures context independence: NE activation occurs under the most diverse stress conditions (Arnsten, 2009). This understanding also mandated our approach to assess stress-related behaviors. Irrespective of the threat, acute stress invariably triggers an initial freezing response before context-dependent avoidance behavior manifests (Korte, 2001; Swiergiel et al, 2007, 2008; Egan et al, 2009). Therefore, we focused on this critical period of immobility with its duration interpreted as a behavioral correlate of the extent of NE afferent activation in the PFC. We show at successive levels of the PVN-LC-PFC circuit (Fig 1A) that manipulation of CNTF release in ventricular ependyma, secretagogin-dependent NE production in LC, or glutamate signaling in the PFC lengthens freezing behavior. Thus, we uncover a causal neural determinant that drives the initial behavioral stage of the stress response, which then can be followed by a refined repertoire of conscious and/or metabolic decisions to ensure adequate and proportionate response to a particular environmental stressor.

CRH+ parvocellular cells of the PVN innervate the median eminence to release their neuropeptide content into the portal circulation for stimulated ACTH release. Recently, we have demonstrated that both glutamatergic and GABAergic subtypes of CRH+ neurons exist in the mammalian hypothalamus (Romanov et al, 2015, 2017b). We have recently tied CRH+ neuroendocrine cells to the HPA axis as its first-responder “stress-on” components by identifying their use of Scgn, a parvocellular neuron-specific Ca2+-sensor protein, to facilitate fast vesicular neuropeptide secretion (Romanov et al, 2015, 2017a). However, the classical concept of CRH release leaves the fast neurotransmitter content (irrespective of that being glutamate or GABA) unaccounted for. Here, we combine viral circuit mapping, high-resolution morphological analysis of GFP-tagged synapses formed by CRH+ neurons, single-cell RNA-seq of their ependymal targets, and chemical probing to suggest the predominant use of glutamate for the focal stimulation of CNTF release. Our interpretation is supported by the lack of CRH receptors mRNAs (Chrh1/Chrh2) in ependymal cells together with frequent VGLUT2 and VGLUT1 co-localization in CRH+/GFP+ presynapses. Thus, we have successfully exploited the power of “forward transcriptomics” (Romanov et al, 2015) to predict molecular circuit determinants, including the identity of the effector molecule released by ependymal cells in an activity-dependent fashion.
Mono- or polysynaptic neurotransmission is the most precise form of intercellular communication in the brain. The “tripartite synapse doctrine” includes astroglial end-feet (Araque et al., 2000) as well as efficient re-uptake mechanisms to eliminate surplus neurotransmitters, thus spatially confining their action and limiting spillover (Huang & Bordey, 2004). In contrast, to modulate brain functions, the action of blood-borne peripheral messengers, such as peptide hormones and lipids, is specified by their ability to cross the blood–brain barrier and the cell-type specificity of their cognate receptors (Alpár & Harkány, 2013). An intermediary between these mechanisms is volume transmission, defined as a lack of specific and spatially demarcated conduits between the site of ligand production and receptor-mediated signaling within a specific tissue (Fuxe et al., 2013). Purines and purine nucleotides (e.g., ATP), catecholamines, and hormones are commonly used for both short- and long-range volume transmission (Fuxe et al., 1988; Roper, 2007; Housley et al., 2009). Here, we define a novel function for a developmentally regulated neurotrophin (Sendtner et al., 1994) by showing stress-induced CNTF release into the cerebrospinal fluid in the adult brain. This finding is significant since it allows the conversion of fast synaptic excitation (“bouts of activity”) into long-lived neuromodulation through the use of the brain’s aqueductal system. Our results also strengthen the recent concept that most signaling systems for axon growth and directional guidance including brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)–tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB; Song et al., 1997), Slit–Roundabout (Alpár et al., 2014; Guan et al., 2007), endocannabinoid (Berghuis et al., 2007), and semaphorin–plexin signaling (Orr et al., 2017) switch from their developmental roles to tune synaptic neurotransmission and plasticity, often via retrograde signaling, in the postnatal brain. Here, CNTF satisfies a role to enhance NE activity in the LC, alike BDNF released onto cortical interneurons (Inagaki et al., 2008; Magby et al., 2006), but does so through volume transmission in the liquor space. Then, CNTF action is specified by the expression of its cognate Trk receptor (CNTFRa) in NE neurons of the brainstem (Ip et al., 1993). It is noteworthy that Trk receptor activation assures fast onset (within 1–2 min) with signaling up to hours depending on the signal transduction pathway (Sermasi et al., 2000; Mizoguchi et al., 2002; Rosch et al., 2005). Thereby, Trk receptors might be best suited to produce activity patterns that match the species’ needs for survival and produce evolutionary advantage.

CNTF is only one neuromodulator whose mRNA is found in ependymal cells (Fig 1C). Single-cell RNA-seq also resolved gene expression for BDNF, vgf, which produces alternative peptide products for release in endocrine tissues (Ferri & Possenti, 1996), and neuropeptides, suggesting their combinatorial action if co-released. Alternatively, mature peptides might be partitioned to spatially segregated subsets of ependymal cells entrained by distinct neuronal inputs for function determination, or their release depends on specific biophysical properties to gain specificity. Even if these neuroactive substances were ubiquitously co-released, then the receptor repertoire of their cellular targets could diversify their action. Ultimately, ependymal cells could thus produce temporary neuropeptide constellations for volume transmission whose differential binding and output modulation in nearby midbrain and hindbrain structures could account for substantial functional heterogeneity and explain key features of neuropeptide contributions to anxiety and depression (Belzung et al., 2006; Barde et al., 2016).

NE neurons are commonly identified by their ubiquitous TH expression. Recently, functional evidence together with viral circuit tracing and optogenetic analysis demonstrates that a subset of NE neurons specifically innervates the PFC to drive emotional flexibility, while others project to the amygdala to modulate fear (Uematsu et al., 2017). Here, our data suggest that the co-existence of CNTFRa, secretagogin, and TH is minimally sufficient to distinguish PFC-projecting NE neurons even without making use of their deeper transcriptome. Molecular characteristics of NE neurons in humans suggest evolutionary conservation of this circuit, which is supported by clinicopathological data from stratified cohorts of humans with acute heart attack-induced pain, which is considered as the strongest stressor known to humans. Notably, our animal models confirm that breaking hypothalamus–LC–mPFC signaling at the level of secretagogin in NE neurons renders animals insensitive to pain-induced stress. Thus, our findings are not only significant in the context of psychiatric disorders (e.g., post-traumatic stress disorder) but extend to a wider spectrum of states, including nosocomial interventions (e.g., patients who show oversensitivity to dental intervention-induced pain; Klepac et al., 1980). Considering that the maintenance of heightened NE activity in the cerebral cortex characterizes the transition from acute to chronic stress (Armenst, 2009), our findings might gain importance as a drug-gable cellular platform (e.g., CNTFRa inhibitors or modulators of Ca2+ sensor proteins) to combat devastating human neuropsychiatric conditions (Bremner et al., 2008).

Materials and Methods

Reagents and tools table

| Antibodies                  | Source      | Identifier |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| β-Actin                     | Sigma       | Cat#A1978  |
| Calbindin                   | Synaptic Systems | Cat#201404 |
| CNTF                        | R&D Systems | Cat#HAF-557-NA |
| CNTFR receptor              | R&D Systems | Cat#HAF-559-NA |
| CRH                         | Santa Cruz  | Cat#SC-1759 |
| Enkephalin                  | Immunostar  | Cat#20065  |
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| Reagent/resource | Source | Identifier |
|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Erk1/2          | Cell Signaling | Cat#9107   |
| Phosphorylated Erk1/2 | Cell Signaling | Cat#9101   |
| GFAP            | DAKO   | Cat#20334  |
| GRIA1           | Alomone | Cat#0-009  |
| Neuropeptide Y  | Immunostar | Cat#22940  |
| Nestin          | Millipore | MA8353     |
| Secretagogin    | Gift from L. Wagner (rabbit) | Cat#AF4878 |
| Secretagogin    | R&D Systems | Cat#101-002 |
| Synaptophysin   | Synaptic Systems | Cat#801702 |
| SMI301          | BioLegend | Cat#801702 |
| Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) | Merck/Millipore | Cat#A8152 |
| TH phosphorylated at Ser<sup>31</sup> | Sigma | Cat#SAB4300674 |
| TH phosphorylated at Ser<sup>40</sup> | Sigma | Cat#T9573 |
| VGLUT1          | Synaptic Systems | Cat#135-202 |
| VGLUT2          | Synaptic Systems | Cat#135-404 |
| Biotinylated Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#711-065-152 |
| Cy2-conjugated Donkey Anti-Goat IgG | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#705-225-003 |
| Cy2-conjugated Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#711-225-152 |
| Cy3-conjugated Donkey Anti-Goat IgG | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#705-165-003 |
| Cy3-conjugated Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#711-165-152 |
| Cy3-conjugated Donkey Anti-Guinea Pig IgG | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#706-165-148 |
| Cy5-conjugated Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#711-175-152 |
| Cy2-Streptavidin | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#016-220-084 |
| Normal Goat IgG | Santa Cruz | Cat#sc-2028 |
| Dynabeads Protein G | Invitrogen | Cat#10004D |
| Donkey Anti-Rabbit HRP | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#711-035-152 |
| Donkey Anti-Mouse HRP | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#715-035-151 |
| Donkey Anti-Goat HRP | Jackson ImmunoR. | Cat#705-035-147 |

**Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins**

| Chemical/Peptide/Protein | Source | Identifier |
|--------------------------|--------|------------|
| L-norepinephrine         | Sigma  | Cat#74480  |
| Recombinant Ciliary Neurotrophic Factor | Sigma | Cat#C3710 |
| Biotinylated Dextran Amine (BDA, 10,000 MW) | Invitrogen | Cat#D1956 |
| Biotinylated Dextran Amine (BDA, 3,000 MW) | Molecular Probes | Cat#D7135 |
| Clarity Western ECL Substrate | BIO-RAD | Cat#170-5060 |
| Vectastain ABC Kit       | Vector Labs | Cat#PK-6101 |
| DAB Peroxidase Substrate Kit | Vector Labs | Cat#SK-4100 |
| jetPRIME                 | Polyclus | Cat#114-15 |
| TSA Plus Fluorescein System Kit | PerkinElmer | NELT14001KT |
| Ketamine (Calypsol)      | Richter | Cat#A4A066 |
| Xylazine (Nerfasin)      | Le Vet Pharma | Cat#15H044 |
| Isoflurane (Forane®)     | AbbVie GmbH | Cat#B506 |
| Hoechst 33,342           | Thermo Fisher | Cat#H1399 |

**Critical commercial assays**

| Assay Name               | Source       | Identifier |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------|
| CNTF Rat ELISA kit       | Thermo Fisher Sci. | Cat#E4RCNTF |
### Reagents and tools table (continued)

| Reagent/resource | Source | Identifier |
|------------------|--------|------------|
| Deposited data   |        |            |
| Single-cell RNA-seq of mouse hypothalamus cells from control and acute formalin-stressed animals | NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus | GSE74672 |
| **Experimental models: cell lines** |        |            |
| INS-1E | Gift from P. Maechler | |
| cfas-Cre<sup>loxP</sup>:egfp mouse | Gift from J.S. Bains | Cat#012704 |
| **Experimental models: organisms/strains** |        |            |
| Crh-Ires-Cre::egfp mouse | Gift from J.S. Bains | Cat#021882 |
| cfos-CreERT<sup>2</sup> mouse | JAX Labs | Cat#007906 |
| Scgn<sup>−/−</sup> mice | MMRRC for authors | Malenczyk et al (2017) |
| Cntf<sup>−/−</sup> mice | A. Giordano | Masu et al (1993) |
| Scgn-Cre mouse | G. Szabó | Developed for this study |
| AAV8-EF1a-double floxed-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry-WPRE-HGHpA | Addgene Viral Service | Cat#20297 |
| AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM<sub>3</sub>D(Gq)-mCherry | Addgene Viral Service | Cat#44361 |
| AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM<sub>4</sub>D(Gi)-mCherry | Addgene Viral Service | Cat#44362 |
| AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM<sub>3</sub>D(Gi)-mCherry | Addgene Viral Service | Cat#44361 |
| pAAV-hSyn-DIO-mCherry | Addgene Viral Service | Cat#50459 |
| AAV-hSyn1-CaMP56-F2A-nls-dTomato | Addgene Viral Service | Cat#S1085 |
| **Sequence-based reagents** |        |            |
| Primers for CNTF | IDT | Ref#72797892-3 |
| Control siRNA | Santa Cruz | Cat#sc-37007 |
| SCCGN siRNA | Santa Cruz | Cat#sc-153255 |
| Accell SMARTpool CNTF siRNA | GE Dharmacon | Cat#A-091086 |
| Accell SMARTpool SCCGN siRNA | GE Dharmacon | Cat#A-092169 |
| Accell SMARTpool non-targeting siRNA | GE Dharmacon | Cat#D-001950-01 |
| **Software and algorithms** |        |            |
| Clampfit 10.0 | Molecular Devices | Ver. pCLAMP-10 |
| MiniAnalysis | Synaptosoft | “MiniAnalysis” |
| SigmaPlot | Systat |         |
| Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 17.0 | SPSS Inc |         |
| Image Lab 5.0 | BIO-RAD |         |

### Methods and Protocols

**Animals**

Scgn<sup>−/−</sup> mice were custom-generated at MMRRC (Mouse Biology Program, University of California) using the “two-in-one” targeting strategy (Skarnes et al, 2011), which generates full knock-outs by expressing a targeting signal after exon 3 of the secretagogin gene. The ensuing truncated protein terminates before the first EF-hand domain, excluding Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding activity. *Crh-Ires-Cre* (from J.S. Bains, University of Calgary, Canada) were crossed with B6.Cg-Gf(ROSA)26Sor<sup>tm16(CAG-ZsGreen1)Hze</sup>/J mice (Ai6; JAX stock #012704 and #007906) to visualize periventricular innervation by CRH neurons (Romanov et al, 2017a,b). Scgn-Cre mice were developed using the BAC technology (Calvigioni et al, 2017; Z.M., F.E., and G.S., Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hungarian Academy of Sciences). When using Wistar rats, experiments were performed at 12 weeks of age. Animals were kept under standard housing condition (including a 12-h/12-h light/dark cycle) with food and water available ad libitum. Experimental procedures, including CSF sampling from the 4<sup>th</sup> ventricle and transcardial perfusion, were approved by the ethical review boards of the Semmelweis University (PE/EA/1234-3/2017, Hungary) and the Medical University of Vienna (BMWF-66.009/0277-WF/V/3b/2017, Tierversuchgesetz 2012, BGBl, Nr. 114/2012), including the size estimate of each experimental cohort. All procedures conformed to the European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes (86/609/EEC). Wherever possible, animals of the same genotype were randomly assigned to experimental manipulations (control vs. various treatments) to reduce procedural bias. During surgery or transcardial perfusion, animals were anesthetized intramuscularly (i.m.) or intraperitoneally (i.p.) with a mixture of ketamine (50 mg/kg...
b.wt.) and xylazine (4 mg/kg b.wt.) or by inhalation of isoflurane (at 5% with 1 l/min flow rate of tubed air).

**Locus coeruleus explants**
Postnatal day (P) 5 rat brains (n = 6) were vibratome-sectioned coronally at 300 µm thickness in ice-cold DMEM containing penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 µg/ml, both from Invitrogen). Ex vivo slices containing the locus coeruleus (LC) were mounted on Millicell-CM culture inserts (0.4 µm pore size; Millipore) and equilibrated in Neurobasal Medium containing 2 mM GlutaMAX and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) for 2 h. Subsequently, the medium was replaced with Neurobasal Medium, GlutaMAX (2 mM), and B27 supplement (2%) for 24 h when recombinant CNTF (100 ng in 2.5 µl medium; Sigma) was applied directly onto each brain slice for 20 min. Tissues were then collected, washed, homogenized in TNE lysis buffer, and processed for Western blotting or qPCR.

**Human samples**
For Western analysis, micropunched LC samples were obtained from subjects deceased due to acute heart failure or suicide at the Human Brain Tissue Bank and Laboratory, Semmelweis University, Hungary (Appendix Table S1). Tissues were obtained and used in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and following relevant institutional guidelines [Regional and Institutional Committee of Science and Research Ethics of Semmelweis University (TUKEB 84/2014)]. All patient material was coded to ensure anonymity throughout tissue processing.

**Formalin stress**
Twelve-week-old animals were used in all experiments. Rats (n = 8) received an injection of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; in 50 µl physiological saline) into their right hind paw and subsequently returned to their home cages. After either 20 or 120 min, the animals (n = 4/time point) were decapitated and their brains dissected out. The medullary–pontine brainstem and medial prefrontal cortex were isolated and subjected to protein analysis by Western blotting. Control animals (n = 4) were removed from their home cages only for decapitation and tissue sampling. Scgn−− mice and their wild-type littermates (n = 3 each) were subjected to an identical treatment but with subsequent tissue collection restricted to 20 min post-induction. Control mice of both genotypes were left in their home cages until decapitation to minimize bias by acute handling and immobilization. To discriminate the pool of stress-activated periventricular ependymal cells, cfos-CreERT2::ROSA26-stop-ZsGreen1/+ “TRAP mice” were used (JAX stock #021882 and #007906) as per published protocols (Guenthner et al., 2013). In brief, 24 h after a single-bolus tamoxifen injection (150 mg/kg), 4% PFA (in 50 µl physiological saline) was injected as above to induce stress acutely. After a lag-time of 72 h to allow for ZsGreen expression in “stress-responder” cells, animals were transcendally perfused with a fixative containing 4% PFA in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4) for quantitative histochemical analysis (see below).

**Virus microinjections in vivo**
Stereotaxic injections were performed as described (Romanov et al., 2017a,b). Briefly, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (5%, 1 l/min flow rate) and placed in a stereotaxic frame (Narishige). A Quintessential Stereotaxic Injector (Stoelting) was used to inject virus particles at a speed of 50–100 nl/min. The pipette (Drummond) was slowly withdrawn 5 min after injection. Fourteen to twenty-five days after viral injections, animals were used for (i) mapping local and long-range projections, (ii) slice electrophysiology, (iii) Ca²⁺ imaging, and (iv) behavioral tests. AAV8–EF1a-double floxed-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry–WPRE–HGHpA (Addgene Viral Service, #20297) was unilaterally injected on LC (100 nl; coordinates from bregma: AP: −5.4 mm, DV: −3.7 mm, ML: ±0.9 mm) of Scgn-Cre mice. For behavioral testing, AAV8–hSyn–DIO–hM3D (Gq)-mCherry (Addgene, #44361) or AAV8–hSyn–DIO–hM4D(Gi)-mCherry (Addgene, #44362) was bilaterally injected in LC (125 nl/side; coordinates relative to bregma: AP: −5.4 mm, DV: −3.7 mm, ML: ±0.9 mm) of Scgn-Cre mice. Likewise, AAV8–hSyn–DIO–hM3D (Gq)-mCherry or pAAV–hSyn–DIO–mCherry (Addgene, #50459) was unilaterally injected into the PVN (40 and 25 nl, respectively, coordinates relative to bregma: AP: −0.7 mm, DV: −4.8 mm, ML: ±0.2 mm) for ex vivo electrophysiology and projection mapping. For Ca²⁺ imaging, LC neurons projecting to the mPFC were retrogradely loaded by using AAV–hSyn1–GCaMP6f–P2A–ns–dTomato (Addgene, #51085, 300 nl, coordinates relative to bregma: AP: +1.8 mm, DV: −2.6 mm, ML: ±0.25 mm).

**Anterograde tracing**
Rats (n = 4 at 12 weeks of age) received an injection of biotinylated dextran amine (BDA, 10,000 Da, 10%, 0.2 µl injection volume; Molecular Probes) into the locus coeruleus (LC) under deep anesthesia (coordinates: 1.5 mm lateral, +9.7 mm caudal to bregma, and −6.0 mm ventral from the dural surface) with their heads fixed in a stereotaxic frame. Seven days after surgery, the animals were transcendally perfused and their brains processed for immunohistochemistry.

**Retrograde tracing**
Rats (n = 4 at 12 weeks of age) were deeply anesthetized and their head fixed in a stereotaxic frame and biotinylated dextran amine (3,000 MW, 2 µl, 10%; Molecular Probes) infused in the PFC unilaterally at the coordinates: AP: 0.5 mm, DV: 2.5 mm, and ML: −4.5 mm. After a 7-day survival period, animals were transcendally perfused and their brains processed for immunohistochemistry.

**Ventricular administration of CNTF via icv cannula**
For the experiments, rats (n = 14 at 12 weeks of age) and transgenic mice [Cnfn−− (n = 10), Scgn−− (n = 10), and corresponding littermates (n = 10 each)] were used. To administer CNTF in awake animals, an icv cannula (PlasticsOne) was introduced into the lateral ventricle and fixed to the skull by using ZnCl₂(P0₄)₄ cement (Adheor; Pentron). A guide cannula was placed into the brains of both rats
(4 mm long, i.d. × o.d. = 0.39 × 0.71 mm, at AP: −0.9 mm, ML: +1.4 mm, DV: −4.0 mm) and mice (3 mm long, i.d. × o.d. = 0.39 × 0.71 mm, t AP: −0.2 mm, ML: +1.0 mm, DV: −3.0 mm) under deep anesthesia. After 7 days, rats received CNTF (n = 7, 4 µl, 6 ng/µl) or artificial cerebrospinal fluid (n = 7, aCSF, 4 µl) through an internal cannula (4.5 mm long, i.d. × o.d. = 0.18 × 0.36 mm). In mice (n = 5/genotype for CNTF or aCSF), drug infusion (3 µl) was made by using internal cannulas with specifications as follows: 3 mm long, i.d. × o.d. = 0.39 × 0.71 mm; and 3.5 mm long, i.d. × o.d. = 0.39 × 0.71 mm. Sixty minutes after CNTF or vehicle infusion, animals were subjected to an open-field test. Subsequently, animals were decapitated and their medullopontine brainstem and mPFC removed and processed for protein (Western blotting) and catecholamine (HPLC) analysis, respectively.

### Sampling of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)

Formalin-stressed (n = 4) and control (n = 4) rats (12 weeks of age) were deeply anesthetized and their head fixed into a stereotaxic frame with maximal anteflexion. To approach the 4th ventricle, the skin was incised, nuchal muscles pulled to the sides, and the bony tissue below the cerebellum removed using a surgical drill. The lamina epithelialis was identified as being immediately caudal to the cerebellum and pierced with a 26-G syringe. Subsequently, 15 µl CSF was removed from the 4th ventricle using a standard 20-µl laboratory pipette (Eppendorf; Cottrell et al., 2004).

### Transcardial perfusion

Animals were routinely perfusion-fixed with 4% PFA in 0.1M PB (pH 7.4) under deep anesthesia as described (Alpár et al., 2014; Lendvai et al., 2013; Mulder et al., 2009; Romanov et al., 2015).

### Immunohistochemistry, microscopy, and imaging

Free-floating sections (30 µm) were rinsed in PB (pH 7.4) and pre-treated with 0.3% Triton X-100 (in PB) at 22–24°C for 1 h to enhance the penetration of antibodies (for references, see Alpár et al., 2004, 2014; Lendvai et al., 2013; Mulder et al., 2009; Ong et al., 2014; Romanov et al., 2015; Severi et al., 2012; Severi et al., 2013).

Non-specific immunoreactivity was suppressed by incubating our specimens in a cocktail of 5% normal donkey serum (NDS; Jackson ImmunoResearch), 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma), and 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PB at 22–24°C for 1 h. Sections were then exposed (16–72 h at 4°C) to select combinations of primary antibodies (Tools and Reagents Table) diluted in PB to which 0.1% NDS and 0.3% Triton X-100 had been added. We used tyramide signal amplification (PerkinElmer) to detect CNTF receptor immunoreactivity. After extensive rinsing in PB, sections were processed by using chromogenic or immunofluorescence detection methods as described previously (Lendvai et al., 2013). In single labeling experiments using chromogenic amplification, biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG raised in donkey (1:1,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch, at 22–24°C for 2 h) was used as secondary antibody followed by exposure to pre-formed avidin–biotin complexes also incorporating horseradish peroxidase at 22–24°C for 1 h. Immunosignals were visualized by 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (Sigma, 0.025%) as chromogen intensified with Ni-ammonium sulfate (Merck, 0.05%) in the presence of 0.001% H2O2 as substrate (dissolved in 0.05 M Tris buffer, pH 8.0). In multiple immunofluorescence labeling experiments, immunoreactivities were revealed by carboxy cyanine (Cy) 2-, 3-, or 5-tagged secondary antibodies raised in donkey [1:200 (Jackson ImmunoResearch), at 22–24°C for 2 h]. In human samples, lipofuscin autofluorescence was quenched by applying Sudan Black B (1%, dissolved in 70% ethanol; Schnell et al., 1999). Glass-mounted sections were coverslipped with glycerol/gelatin (GG-1; Sigma).

Results of chromogenic histochemistry were captured on a NIKON Eclipse Microscope. The sections processed for multiple immunofluorescence histochemistry were inspected and images acquired on a LSM780 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Zeiss) with optical zoom ranging from 1× to 3× at 63× primary magnification (Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.40), and pinhole settings limiting signal detection to 0.5–0.7 µm “optical thickness”. Emission spectra for each dye were limited as follows: Cy2 (505–530 nm), Cy3 (560–610 nm), and Cy5 (650–720 nm). VGLUT2+ and GRIA1+ profiles were 3D-reconstructed using the cell surface reconstruction tool of Imaris x64 (version 9.0.2, BitPlane). Multi-panel figures were assembled in CorelDraw X7 (Corel Corp.).

### Electron microscopy

Animals were perfusion-fixed with 4% PFA and 0.1% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PB and their brains dissected out and cut on a vibratome (Leica V1200S). Sections were osmificated, dehydrated, and embedded in Durcupan (Fluka, ACM). Thirty-nanometer-thick ultrathin sections were prepared on an Ultracut UCT ultramicrotome (Leica) and analyzed on a Tecnai 10 electron microscope.

### Quantitative image analysis

Images from serial sections (n = 4 per animal and condition) were captured using a Zeiss LSM880 confocal laser-scanning microscope. CRH+ boutons or GFAP+/ZsGreen1+ cells were identified offline by an experimenter blinded to the case conditions and their density calculated in demarcated regions of interest (ROIs) using the ZEN software (Zeiss). In all cases, histochemical specimens were also pre-coded to minimize bias. ROIs were defined as a 15-µm-wide periventricular rim around the 3rd ventricle. Thereafter, the length of the ventricular surface was measured (in µm) with GFAP+/ZsGreen1+ cell numbers or CRH+ bouton numbers normalized and expressed per mm or per 100 µm2, respectively. CNTFR+ boutons were counted along the perimeter of BDA+ (retrogradely labeled)/TH+ and BDA+/TH+ LC neurons. The perimeter of neurons was measured using ZEN2010 (Zeiss), expressed as µm, and used to normalize the density of CNTFR+ profiles.

### Immunoprecipitation

Braintstems were isolated from 12-week-old rats (n = 3) and collected in lysis buffer containing 50 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES, 10 µM CaCl2, 0.2% Triton X-100, and a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Roche; pH was adjusted to 7.4). Tissues were homogenized by sonication and centrifuged at 18,000 g for 30 min. Only supernatants were used in subsequent experiments. Samples (50 µl) were incubated with rabbit anti-secretagogin primary antibody (1:2,000; provided by L. Wagner) overnight at 4°C. An aliquot of each sample was probed in parallel with rabbit IgG (2 µg/50 µl; Santa Cruz) to control for non-specific binding. Subsequently, samples were incubated with protein G Dynabeads (Novex; Life Technologies) for 90 min. After repeated rinses, Dynabeads were collected and bound proteins eluted with Laemmli buffer and separated on 10% resolving gels under denaturing conditions (SDS-PAGE). LC micropunches from human subjects deceased...
due to acute heart failure were processed according to a protocol identical to that used for rat samples.

**Western blotting**

Protein samples were prepared from both rat (n = 8) and mouse (n = 12) brain stems by natively cutting them at 300 μm thickness on a Leica 1850 cryostat. Consecutive sections (six in rats, four in mice) containing the locus coeruleus (LC) were collected to form a sample. Medial prefrontal cortices were excised on a pre-chilled surface under a stereomicroscope. Tissues were sonicated in TNE buffer containing 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma), 1% octyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (Calbiochem), 5 mM NaF, 100 μM Na₃VO₄, and a mixture of protease inhibitors (Complete™; Roche). Cellular debris and nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation (800 g, 4°C, 10 min). Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford’s colorimetric method (Bradford, 1976). Samples were diluted to a final protein concentration of 2 μg/μl, denatured in 5× Laemmli buffer, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE on 8 or 10% resolving gels. After transfer onto Immobilon-FL PVDF membranes (Millipore), membrane-bound proteins were blocked (1.5 h) with 3% BSA and 0.5% Tween-20 in Tris-buffered saline and subsequently exposed to primary antibodies (Tools and Reagents Table) at 4°C overnight. Combinations of HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (from goat, rabbit, or mouse hosts, Jackson ImmunoResearch, 1:10,000, 2 h) were used for signal amplification. Image acquisition and analysis were performed on a Bio-Rad XRS⁺ imaging platform equipped with Image Lab 3.01 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories). β-Actin (1:10,000; Sigma) was used as loading control.

**Synaptosomal proteins**

Rats (n = 2, 12 weeks of age) were perfused with ice-cold saline with their brains rapidly dissected out. The medial prefrontal cortex was excised and homogenized in 0.32 M sucrose-containing HEPES buffer (in mM: 145 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 5 glucose, and 5 HEPES at pH 7.4). After centrifugation, the supernatant was repeatedly cleared (by centrifugation at 15,000 g) with 3% BSA and 0.5% Tween-20 in Tris-buffered saline and subsequently exposed to primary antibodies (Tools and Reagents Table) at 4°C overnight. Combinations of HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (from goat, rabbit, or mouse hosts, Jackson ImmunoResearch, 1:10,000, 2 h) were used for signal amplification. Image acquisition and analysis were performed on a Bio-Rad XRS⁺ imaging platform equipped with Image Lab 3.01 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories). β-Actin (1:10,000; Sigma) was used as loading control.

**Quantitative PCR**

RNA was extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) with a DNase I step performed to eliminate traces of genomic DNA, and reverse-transcribed using a high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). Reactions were performed after an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 2 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 min denaturation, annealing and extension at 60°C (1 min each), and a dissociation stage at 72°C (2 min) on a CFX 96 apparatus (Bio-Rad). Primer pairs amplified short fragments of the Cntf gene (forward: 5’-ATGCTTTCAGGACCAAAAC-3’, reverse: 5’-CAACAGAT CAGTCCTGCCCAC-3’). Samples without reverse transcriptase served as negative controls. In select experiments, amplicons were resolved on 1% agarose gels (Appendix Fig S1C).

**RNAi-mediated gene silencing in vitro**

Knock-down of Scgn mRNA expression in INS-1E cells was through the application of Scgn siRNA (Santa Cruz, 250 pmol/500 μl, diluted and transfection carried out using JETPRIME reagents) for 48 h. To induce tyrosine hydroxylase phosphorylation, recombinant CNTF was added (40 ng/μl; Sigma) for 48 h. INS-1E cells were lysed and processed for Western blotting.

**RNAi-mediated gene silencing in vivo**

Male rats (12 weeks of age) received icv injections of either SMARTpool Accell Cntf siRNA (n = 8; 4 μl volume, 1 nmol siRNA in total) or non-targeting siRNA (n = 8) as described previously (Romanov et al, 2015). After 4 days, rats (n = 4) were subjected to formalin stress (4% PFA, 50 μl injection volume) or were left undisturbed in their home cages (n = 4). After 60 min of PFA administration, animals were decapitated and their brains removed with the medullary–pontine brainstem and mPFC isolated and subjected to protein analysis by Western blotting. The remaining mPFC samples were subjected to HPLC analysis.

In a parallel series of experiments, the LC of male mice (12 weeks of age) was targeted stereotaxically by either SMARTpool Accell Scgn siRNA (n = 3; 4 μl volume, 1 nmol siRNA in total) or non-targeting siRNA (n = 3). After 3 days, mice were subjected to formalin stress, by decapitation after 60 min. The medullary–pontine brainstem and bilateral mPFCs were used for Western blotting.

**High-performance liquid chromatography**

Scgn−/− and wild-type littermates (n = 12 in total, all 10–12 weeks old) were sacrificed and their brains extracted and frozen on dry ice within 30 s. The medial prefrontal and parietal cortices (the latter equivalent to the S1 somatosensory area) were dissected from frozen coronal slices on a cold plate (at constant −10°C). Samples were ultrasonicated in 25 volumes of perchloric acid, Na-bisulfite, and 3,4-dihydroxybenzylamine as internal standard (final concentration 0.1 M, 0.4 mM, and 5 μg/l, respectively) and centrifuged at 16,100 g for 10 min. For the determination of tissue levels of norepinephrine (NE), dopamine (DA), and 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC), supernatants were extracted with aluminum oxide and injected into a HPLC system with electrochemical detection as described (Pifl et al, 1991) with minor modifications (LiChroCART® 250-4, RP18µ, 5-μm column, HP Programmable Electrochemical Detector 1049A, and a mobile phase with 9% methanol).

**Single-cell RNA-seq**

C57Bl6/N juvenile mice (P14–28) of both sexes in control were used for single-cell collection as described (Romanov et al, 2017a,b). The processing of cells from male and female animals was random to minimize methodological bias. Mice were deeply anesthetized (5% isoflurane) and transcardially perfused with 40 ml ice-cold pre-oxygenated (95% O₂/5% CO₂) cutting solution containing (in mM) 90 NaCl, 26 NaHCO₃, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, 10 HEPES-NaOH, 5 Na-ascorbate, 5 Na-pyruvate, 0.5 CaCl₂, 8 MgSO₄, and 20 glucose. A central column of the mouse hypothalamus spanning the posterior preoptic area to the arcaue nucleus along its rostrocaudal axis, paraventricular nucleus (dorsally), and the ventrolateral hypothalamic area (laterally) was microdissected from serial 300-μm-thick coronal slices under microscopy guidance and then dissociated using the Papain Dissociation System (Worthington). Thus, isolated single cells included those that line the anterior extent of the 3rd ventricle. Cells were concentrated by centrifugation to a density of 600–1,000 cells/μl. After mixing C1 suspension reagent (4 μl; Fluidigm) with the cell suspension (7 μl), this mixture was loaded into
a C1-AutoPrep IFC microfluidic chip designed for cells 10–17 µm in diameter (Fluidigm) and processed on a Fluidigm C1 instrument using the mRNA Seq: Cell Load (1,772×/1,773×) script (30 min at 4°C). The microfluidic plate was then transferred to an automated microscope (Nikon TE2000E) to acquire a bright-field image of each capture site at 20× magnification using μManager (https://micro-manager.org/) in <15 min. Quality control for exclusion of debris or doublets was performed after each capture experiment. Following lysis, cDNA synthesis, amplification, and tagmentation, high-throughput RNA sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencer (Islam et al., 2014). Next, the dataset was processed with the BackSpinV2 algorithm (Romanov et al, 2017a,b) and first grouped for main cell lineages. Ependymal cells were separated using genes associated with motile cilia, such as the Enkur and Foxj1 gene pair (Romanov et al, 2017a,b; Roy, 2009; Zeisel et al, 2015). Here, we focused on neurotrophins, ionotropic and metabotropic receptors for glutamate and GABA, and neuropeptide receptors given that “stress-on” CRH neurons in the paraventricular nucleus always contain a fast neurotransmitter in conjunction with CRH (Romanov et al, 2017a,b). Data were then rendered as heat-maps (GenePattern) for improved visual clarity.

Neurobehavioral assessment

Behavioral tests were conducted by the same experimenter—who was blinded to mouse phenotypes—in an isolated room and at the same time of day throughout. Three- to six-week-old mice [n = 9 (wild-type) and n = 13 Scgn−/−] were used. The animals were housed in groups of 3–5 per cage in standard macrolon cages under a 12-h/12-h light/dark schedule (lights on at 08:00) in controlled environmental conditions of humidity (50–65%) and temperature (22 ± 2°C) with food and water available ad libitum. All experimental procedures were approved by the local ethical committee of the Medical University of Vienna (BMWFW-66.009/0277-WF/V/3b/2017) and also met European legislative requirements. A modified SHIRPA protocol (Rogers et al, 2001) was used as comprehensive phenotyping tool. Herein, data on provoked sensory performance were presented as measures of stress responsiveness. First, mice were transferred to an arena (56 × 34 cm) for observation of sensory functions, including their pinna reflex response/refraction, withdrawal, present, “1” = slight withdrawal, “2” = moderate withdrawal, “3” = rapid withdrawal, “4” = rapid, brisk withdrawal with extension/flexion). Provoked biting was scored by approaching each gently immobilized mouse from the frontal direction with a cotton tab (“0” = absent, “1” = slight withdrawal, “2” = active exploration and embracing instead of defense). Subsequently, behavioral scores were ranked and processed statistically. Another cohort of mice (n = 6/6 Scgn−/−/wild-type littermates, all 12–16 weeks old) were placed into a programmable foot-shock chamber to habituate for 10 min each. The subsequent day, n = 3 from both genotypes received a series of five foot-shocks (0.8 mA) each during a period of 10.5 min with random intervals ranging from 90 to 120 s. Control animals (n = 3 each) spent equivalent periods in the chamber without any manipulation. We used EthoVision (Noldus) to analyze the following parameters: (i) average latency to first movement after foot-shock (only for mice from shock-exposed groups; data represent average latency/mouse), (ii) total distance covered, and (iii) time spent at center ("cumulative duration") during 10.5 min.

The von Frey test, a mechanical non-invasive nociceptive assay, was used to evaluate sensory threshold in Scgn−/− and in wild-type littermates. Animals were placed on a metal frame, and their left hind paw was pressed from below with a series of Nylon rods (Touch Test Sensory Evaluator, EXACTA, North Coast Medical) corresponding to increasing applied weight. The sensory threshold of the animal was identified as the first rod which evoked withdrawal of the extremity.

Open-field and elevated plus maze tests

To determine the acute effect of icv-infused CNTF on open-field behaviors, animals were transferred to a rectangular arena of either 90 × 90 cm for rats or 40 × 40 cm for mice 60 min after CNTF application through a guide cannula. Spontaneous locomotor behaviors were recorded with an overhead camera for 10 min. Video recordings were analyzed offline using the “Animal Tracker” plug-in developed for ImageJ (Gulyas et al, 2016), including a virtual “spit arena” made up of central and peripheral areas, the latter defined as 8 and 18 cm from the wall for mice and rats, respectively. The time spent in each area, as well as the distance covered, was measured.

For Scgn-Cre mice, a rectangular open-field arena of 70 × 70 cm footprint with 30-cm walls was used with assays lasting for 5 min. Subsequently, anxiety-like behavioral phenotypes were determined in an elevated plus maze with uniform dimensions for its open and closed arms (32 cm in length and 5 cm in width) for 3 min. The apparatus was elevated 76.5 cm above the floor surface. A Smart Video Tracking System (Harvard Apparatus) was used for data processing offline. CNO was administered i.p. at a dose of 2 mg/kg of body weight to activate DREADD constructs in vivo, 15–20 min before each test. In both tests, animals were handled by an experimenter blinded to the case condition to ensure objectivity.

Ex vivo electrophysiology and Ca2+ imaging

We used 3- to 4-week-old mice (n = 4–5/genotype) and a protective recovery method for acute brain slice preparation (Zhao et al, 2011). Briefly, mice were deeply anesthetized and perfused with 20 ml ice-cold pre-oxygenated (95% O2/5% CO2) solution containing (in mM) 93 N-methyl-D-glutamine–HCl, 30 NaHCO3, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 20 HEPES-NaOH, 5 Na-aspartate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 0.5 CaCl2, 8 MgSO4, and 25 glucose (pH 7.4). Brains were rapidly extracted and immersed in the same solution. Subsequently, 300-μm-thick coronal slices of the prefrontal cortex were cut on Leica VT1200S vibratome. Slices were then transferred to a recovery chamber filled with the same solution (32°C) for 12 min and later kept (minimum 60 min prior to the recordings) in a solution containing (in mM) 90 NaCl, 26 NaHCO3, 3 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 20 HEPES-NaOH, 5 Na-aspartate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 1.5 CaCl2, 2 MgSO4, 0.5 L-glutathione, and 25 glucose (pH 7.4).

Patch-clamp recordings as well as Ca2+ imaging of ependymal cells lining the 3rd ventricle, NE neurons in the LC, and layer 5 pyramidal neurons in the mPFC (at ±1.3 to ±2.2 mm relative to bregma and prelimbic and infralimbic cortices) were performed in
oxygenated (95% O₂/5% CO₂) artificial CSF containing (in mM) 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 MgCl₂, 1.5 CaCl₂, 24 NaHCO₃, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, 5 HEPES, and 12.5 glucose. Patch pipettes with a resistance of 3–5 MΩ contained (in mM) 120 K-gluconate, 6 KCl, 10 HEPES-KOH, 5 EGTA, 4 ATP-Mg, and 0.3 GTP (pH was adjusted to 7.3 with KOH). Perfusion speed was set to 2.5–3 ml/min. To record base current and spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) in control and after application of 10 μM L-norepinephrine (Sigma), pyramidal cells were clamped at −70 mV. CNO was used at a concentration of 10 μM to activate DREADDs ex vivo. Data were analyzed using Clampfit 10.0 (Molecular Devices), MiniAnalysis (Synaptosoft), and SigmaPlot (Systat).

Ca²⁺ imaging on retrogradely labeled NE neurons was done on an AxioExaminer.D1 microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a water-immersion 20× Plan-Apochromat objective (Zeiss) and a CoolSNAP HQ² camera (Photometrics). Illumination was provided by a VisiChrome monochromator (Visitron Systems) to excite GCaMP6f with data recorded and processed in the VisiView software (Romanov et al., 2017b).

Ex vivo optogenetics employed excitation switches between 470 nm and 535 nm on a pE-100 CoolLED illumination system (CoolLED) at a uniform light intensity of 0.2 mW measured at the tissue surface.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 21.0, SPSS Inc.). Integrated optical densities of immunoreactive targets in Western blotting or immunohistochemical experiments were evaluated using Student’s t-test (on independent samples). Drug effects in electrophysiology studies were defined by either one-way ANOVA or paired Student’s t-test as appropriate. The behavior of knock-out animals in the open-field and elevated plus maze tests was evaluated by ANOVA using a general linear model with genotype, sex, and treatment being fixed factors followed by appropriate group comparisons. Behavioral data on pairs of treatment groups were routinely analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U-test (one-tailed where deemed appropriate) or Student’s t-test. Data are expressed as means ± s.e.m. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Data availability
Raw data files for single-cell RNA sequencing have been deposited in NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number GEO: GSE74672 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc = GSE74672).

Acknowledgements
We thank A. Reinthaler, E. Borok, P. Rebernik, and A. Németh for their expert laboratory assistance; F. Girach for her initial help with cfos-CreR222-Rosa26-stop-ZsGreen1077 mice; H. Reither, A. Wolf, and E. Dögl for HPLC analysis; and B. Töröcsik and A. Dobolyi for constructive discussions. A. Zeisel and S. Linnarsson are acknowledged for their initial processing of single-cell RNA-seq data. Anti-secretagogin antibody, INS-1E cells, and Chv-ires-Cre mice were kindly provided by L. Wagner, P. Maechler, and J.S. Bains, respectively. This work was supported by the National Brain Research Program of Hungary (KTIA_NAP_13-2014-0013, AA.; KTIA_NAP_13-201-0001, M.P.; and 2017-1.2.1.-NKFP-2017-00002, AA.); Excellence Program for Higher Education of Hungary (FKP-2018, AA.); Swedish Research Council (T.G.M.H., T.H.); Nordov Fondisk Foundation (T.G.M.H., T.H.); Hjämfonden (T.H.); European Research Council (SECRET-CELLS, 2015-AdG-695136, T.H.); intramural funds of the Medical University of Vienna (T.H.); and NIH grant AG051459 (T.L.H.).

Author contributions
TH and AA conceived the project; AA, TMH, TLH, and TH procured funding; AA, PZ, TGMH, RAR, TLH, and TH designed experiments; PZ, JH, and ZH performed quantitative neuroanatomy and circuit tracing; CP determined monoamine levels; RAR, SK, and MB conducted and analyzed patch-clamp electrophysiology and chemogenetics; JP, IS, and AG contributed Cntf−/− mice and performed neuroanatomy and behavioral assessment; JB and AA contributed to circuit tracing; PL, AGM, and RAR demonstrated ependymal cell activation using TRAP and histochemistry; ET and RAR conducted and analyzed single-cell RNA-seq data; GA and GZ assayed behavioral phenotypes; EK, ROT, GSP, KM, ZE, FE, Gsz, and GL contributed unique reagents and transgenic mouse lines; MP contributed human subject cohort; and AA and TH wrote the manuscript with input from all co-authors.

Conflict of interest
The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References
Akana SF, Scribner KA, Bradbury MJ, Strack AM, Walker CD, Dallman MF (1992) Feedback sensitivity of the rat hypothalamo–pituitary–adrenal axis and its capacity to adjust to exogenous corticosterone. Endocrinology 131: 585–594
Alexander GM, Rogan SC, Abbas AI, Armbruster BN, Pei Y, Allen JA, Nonneman RJ, Hartmann J, Moyer SS, Nicollis MA, McNamara JO, Roth BL (2009) Remote control of neuronal activity in transgenic mice expressing evolved G protein-coupled receptors. Neuron 63: 27–39
Alpár A, Attems J, Mulder J, Hokfelt T, Harkányi T (2012) The renaissance of Ca²⁺-binding proteins in the nervous system: secretagogin takes center stage. Cell Signal 24: 378–387
Alpár A, Gartner U, Seeger G, Hartig W, Brauer K, Arendt U (2004) Constitutive expression of p21H-ras(Val12) in pyramidal neurons results in reorganization of mouse neocortical afferents. J Neurobiol 60: 263–274
Alpár A, Harkányi T (2013) Orexin neurons use endocannabinoids to break obesity-induced inhibition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110: 9625–9626
Alpár A, Tortorelli G, Calvigioni D, Niphaks M, Milenkovic I, Bakker J, Cameron GA, Hanics J, Morris CV, Fuzik J, Kovacs GC, Cravatt BF, Parvanehalas JC, Andrews WD, Hurd YL, Kieppima E, Harkányi T (2014) Endocannabinoids modulate cortical development by configuring Slit2/Robo1 signalling. Nat Commun 5: 4421
de Andrade JS, Abrao RO, Cespedes IC, Garcia MC, Nascimento JO, Spadari-Braffisch RC, Melo LL, da Silva RC, Viana MB (2012) Acute restraint differently alters defensive responses and fos immunoreactivity in the rat brain. Behav Brain Res 232: 20–29
Araque A, Parpura V, Sanzgiri RP, Hayden PG (1999) Tripartite synapses: glia, the unacknowledged partner. Trends Neurosci 22: 208–215
Armbruster BN, Li X, Pausch MH, Herlitze S, Roth BL (2007) Evolving the lock to fit the key to create a family of G protein-coupled receptors potently activated by an inert ligand. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 5163–5168
Arnstén AF (2009) Stress signalling pathways that impair prefrontal cortex structure and function. Nat Rev Neurosci 10: 410–422

Aston-Jones SMGR (1996) The locus coeruleus, A5 and A7 noradrenergic cell groups. In The rat nervous system, Paxinos G (ed.), pp 183–213. New York, NY: Academic Press

Aston-Jones G, Rajkowsky J, Kubiak P, Valentino RJ, Shipley MT (1996) Role of the locus coeruleus in emotional activation. Prog Brain Res 107: 379–402

Bale TL, Vale WW (2004) CRF and CRF receptors: role in stress responsivity and other behaviors. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 44: 525–557

Barde S, Rueegg J, Prud’homme J, Ekstrom Tj, Palkovits M, Turecki G, Bagdy G, Ihnatko R, Theodorsson E, Juhasz G, Diaz-Hejtjz R, Mechawar N, Hokfelt TG (2016) Alterations in the neuropeptide galanin system in major depressive disorder involve levels of transcripts, methylation, and peptide. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113: E8472–E8481

Belzung C, Yalcin I, Griebel G, Surget A, Leman S (2006) Neuropeptides in psychiatric diseases: an overview with a particular focus on depression and anxiety disorders. CNS Neural Disord Drug Targets 5: 135–145

Berghuis P, Rajnicek AM, Morozov YM, Ross RA, Mulder J, Urban GM, Monory K, Marsicano G, Matteoli M, Canty A, Irving AJ, Katona I, Yanagawa Y, Rakic P, Lutz B, Mackie K, Harkany T (2007) Hardwiring the brain: endocannabinoids shape neuronal connectivity. Science 316: 1216–1216

Bremner JD, Elzinga B, Schmahl C, Vermetten E (2008) Structural and functional plasticity of the human brain in posttraumatic stress disorder. Prog Brain Res 167: 171–186

Calvignoni D, Matte Z, Fuzik J, Girach F, Zhang MD, Varro A, Beiersdorf J, Han C, Tian Z, Harkany T (2017) Functional differentiation of cholecystokinin-containing interneurons destined for the cerebral cortex. Cereb Cortex 27: 2453–2468

Campbell JN, Macamso EZ, Fensela H, Pers TH, Lyubetskaya A, Tenen D, Goldman M, Verstegen AM, Resch JM, Rookie SA, Rosseto ED, Lowell BB, Tsai LT (2017) A molecular census of arcuate hypothalamus and median eminence cell types. Nat Neurosci 20: 484–496

Caudal D, Jay TM, Godsil BP (2014) Behavioral stress induces regionally-differentiated nuclei from mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptor levels. Front Behav Neurosci 8: 19

Chowdhury GM, Fujioka T, Nakamura S (2000) Induction and adaptation of fos expression in the rat brain by two types of acute stressors. Brain Res Bull 52: 171–182

Cottrell JR, Borok E, Horvath TL, Nedivi E (2004) CPG2: a brain- and synapse-specific protein that regulates the endocytosis of glutamate receptors. Neuron 44: 677–690

Dai J, Van Der Vliet J, Swaab DF, Bujs RM (1998) Postmortem anterograde tracing of intrahypothalamic projections of the human dorsomedial nucleus of the hypothalamus. J Comp Neurol 401: 16–33

De Souza EB (1995) Corticotropin-releasing factor receptors: physiology, pharmacology, biochemistry and role in central nervous system and immune disorders. Psychoneuroendocrinology 20: 789–819

Dickson PW, Briggs GD (2013) Tyrosine hydroxylase: regulation by feedback inhibition and phosphorylation. Adv Pharmacol 68: 13–21

Egan RJ, Bergner CL, Hart PC, Cachat JM, Canavello PR, El-Khayat SI, Bartels BK, Tien AK, Tien DH, Mohnot S, Beeson E, Glasgow E, Amri H, Sukwasa Z, Kalueff AV (2009) Understanding behavioral and physiological phenotypes of stress and anxiety in zebrafish. Behav Brain Res 205: 38–44

Eriksen HR, Oliff M, Murison R, Ursin H (1999) The time dimension in stress responses: relevance for survival and health. Psychiatry Res 85: 39–50

Everitt BJ, Hokfelt T, Terenius L, Tatamoto K, Mutt V, Goldstein M (1984) Differential co-existence of neuropeptide Y (NPY)-like immunoreactivity with catecholamines in the central nervous system of the rat. Neuroscience 11: 443–462

Fenselau H, Campbell JN, Verstegen AM, Madara JC, Xu J, Shah BP, Resch JM, Yang Z, Mandelblat-Cerf Y, Livneh Y, Lowell BB (2017) A rapidly acting glutamatergic ARC→PVH sity circuit postsynaptically regulated by alpha-MSH. Nat Neurosci 20: 42–51

Ferni GL, Possenti R (1996) vgf A neurotrophin-inducible gene expressed in neuroendocrine tissues. Trends Endocrinol Metab 7: 233–239

Finley JC, Maderdrut JL, Petrusz P (1981) The immunocytochemical localization of enkephalin in the central nervous system of the rat. J Comp Neurol 198: 541–565

Fuxe K, Hamberger B, Hokfelt T (1968) Distribution of noradrenaline nerve terminals in cortical areas of the rat. Brain Res 8: 125–131

Fuxe K, Agnati LF, Cintra A, Andersson K, Eneroth P, Harfstrand A, Zoli M, Goldstein M (1988) Studies on central D1 receptors role in volume transmission, neuroendocrine regulation and release of noradrenaline. Adv Exp Med Biol 235: 83–119

Fuxe K, Borroto-Escuela DO, Romero-Fernandez W, Zhang WB, Agnati LF (2013) Volume transmission and its different forms in the central nervous system. Chin J Integr Med 19: 323–329

Fuzesi T, Daviu N, Watmeeke Cusulin JL, Bonin RP, Bains JS (2016) Hypothalamic CRH neurons orchestrate complex behaviours after stress. Nat Commun 7: 11937

Gadek-Michalska A, Spykja J, Rachwalska P, Tadeusz J, Bugajski J (2013) Influence of chronic stress on brain corticosterone receptors and HPA axis activity. Pharmacol Rep 65: 1163–1175

Guan CB, Xu HT, Jin M, Yuan XB, Poo MM (2007) Long-range Ca2+ signaling from growth cone to soma mediates reversal of neuronal migration induced by slit-2. Cell 129: 385–395

Guenther CJ, Miayimichi K, Yang HH, Heller HC, Luo L (2013) Permanent genetic access to transiently active neurons via TRAP: targeted recombination in active populations. Neuron 78: 773–784

Gulyas M, Bencsik N, Pusztai S, Liliom H, Schlett K (2016) AnimalTracker: an image-based tracking API to create a customized behaviour analysis program. Neuroinformatics 14: 479–481

Harkany T, Mulder J, Sasvari M, Abraham I, Konya C, Zarandi M, Penke B, Luiten PG, Nyakas C (1999) N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist MK-801 and radical scavengers protect cholinergic nucleus basalis neurons against beta-amyloid neurotoxicity. Neurobiol Dis 6: 109–121

Haycock JW, Ahn NG, Cobb MH, Kresbi EG (1992) ERK1 and ERK2, two microtubule-associated protein 2 kinases, mediate the phosphorylation of tyrosine hydroxylase at serine-31 in situ. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89: 2365–2369

Housley GD, Bringmann A, Reichenbach A (2009) Purinergic signaling in special senses. Trends Neurosci 32: 128–141

Huang H, Bordey A (2004) Ciliary glutamate transporters limit slippover activation of presynaptic NMDA receptors and influence synaptic inhibition of Purkinje neurons. J Neurosci 24: 5659–5669

Inagaki T, Begum T, Reza F, Horibe S, Inaba M, Yoshimura Y, Komatsu Y (2008) Brain-derived neurotrophic factor-mediated retrograde signaling required for the induction of long-term potentiation at inhibitory synapses of visual cortical pyramidal neurons. Neurosci Res 61: 192–200

Ip NY, McClain J, Barrezeuta NX, Aldrich TH, Pan L, Li Y, Wiegand SJ, Friedman B, Davis S, Yancopoulos GD (1999) The alpha component of theCNTF receptor is required for signaling and defines potential CNTF targets in the adult and during development. Neuron 10: 89–102
Islam S, Zeisel A, Joost S, La Manno G, Zajac P, Kasper M, Lonnerberg P, Linnarsson S (2014) Quantitative single-cell RNA-seq with unique molecular identifiers. Nat Methods 11: 163–166
Joels M, de Kloet ER (1992) Control of neuronal excitability by corticosteroid hormones. Trends Neurosci 15: 25–30
Kazim SF, Iqbal K (2016) Neurotrophic factor small-molecule mimetics mediated neuroregeneration and synaptic repair: emerging therapeutic modality for Alzheimer’s disease. Mol Neurodegener 11: 50
Klepaczko P, McDonald M, Hauge C, Dowling J (1980) Reactions to pain among subjects high and low in dental fear. J Behav Med 3: 373–384
Koh J, Babayan BM, Rubinstein ND, Austre AE, Marin-Rodriguez B, Kapoor V, Miyamishi K, Zweifel LS, Luo L, Uchida N, Dulac C (2018) Functional circuit architecture underlying parental behaviour. Nature 556: 326–331
Korf J, Aghajanian GK, Roth RH (1973) Increased turnover of norepinephrine in the rat cerebral cortex during stress: role of the locus coeruleus. Neuropharmacology 12: 933–938
Korte SM (2001) Corticosteroids in relation to fear, anxiety and psychopathology. Neurosurg Biobehav Rev 25: 117–142
Kovacs KJ, Sawchenko PE (1996) Sequence of stress-induced alterations in indices of synaptic and transcriptional activation in paraventricular neurosecretory neurons. J Neurosci 16: 262–273
Lendvai D, Morawski M, Negyessy L, Gati G, Jager C, Baksa G, Glasz T, Attems J, Tanila H, Arendt T, Harkány T, Alpár A (2013) Neurochemical mapping of the human hippocampus reveals perisynaptic matrix around functional synapses in Alzheimer’s disease. Acta Neuropathol 125: 215–229
Lin D, Boyle MP, Dollar P, Lee H, Lein ES, Perona P, Anderson DJ (2011) Functional identification of an aggression locus in the mouse hypothalamus. Nature 470: 221–226
Magby JP, Bi C, Chen ZY, Lee FS, Plummer MR (2006) Single-cell characterization of retrograde signaling by brain-derived neurotrophic factor. J Neurosci 26: 13531–13536
Malenczyk K, Girach F, Szodorai E, Storm P, Segerstolpe A, Tortoriello G, Schnell R, Mulder J, Romanov RA, Borok E, Piscitelli F, Di Marzo V, Szabo A, Harkány T (2017) A TRPV1-secretogog protein regulatory axis controls pancreatic beta-cell survival by modulating protein turnover. EMBO J 36: 2107–2125
Masu Y, Wolf E, Holtmann B, Sendtner M, Brem G, Thoenen H (1993) Disruption of the CNF1 gene results in motor neuron degeneration. Nature 365: 27–32
McCormick DA, Pape HC, Williamson A (1991) Actions of norepinephrine in the cerebral cortex and thalamus: implications for function of the central noradrenergic system. Prog Brain Res 88: 293–305
McEwen BS, Sapolsky RM (1995) Stress and cognitive function. Curr Opin Neurobiol 5: 205–216
McEwen BS (2005) Glucocorticoids, depression, and mood disorders: structural remodeling in the brain. Metabolism 54: 20–23
McEwen BS (2007) Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the brain. Physiol Rev 87: 873–904
McEwen BS (2008) Central effects of stress hormones in health and disease: understanding the protective and damaging effects of stress and stress mediators. Eur J Pharmacol 583: 174–185
McEwen BS, Eiland L, Hunter RG, Miller MM (2012) Stress and anxiety: structural plasticity and epigenetic regulation as a consequence of stress. Neuropharmacology 62: 3–12
McNaughton N, Corr PJ (2004) A two-dimensional neuropsychology of defense: fear/anxiety and defensive distance. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 28: 285–305
Merglen A, Theander S, Rubi B, Chaffard G, Wollheim CB, Maechler P (2004) Glucose sensitivity and metabolism-secretion coupling studied during two-year continuous culture in INS-1E insulinoma cells. Endocrinology 145: 667–678
Mitro PM (1981) Morphology of the rat brain ventricles, ependyma and periventricular structures. Basel: Karger
Mizoguchi Y, Monji A, Nabekura J (2002) Brain-derived neurotrophic factor induces long-lasting Ca2+-activated K+ currents in rat visual cortex neurons. Eur J Neurosci 16: 1417–1424
Moore RY, Bloom FE (1979) Central catecholamine neuron systems: anatomy and physiology of the norepinephrine and epinephrine systems. Annu Rev Neurosci 2: 113–168
Morilak DA, Barrera G, Echevarria DJ, Garcia AS, Hernandez A, Ma S, Petre CO (2005) Role of brain norepinephrine in the behavioral response to stress. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 29: 1214–1224
Mulder J, Zilberter M, Spence L, Tortoriello G, Uhlen M, Yanagawa Y, Aujard F, Hökfelt T, Harkány T (2009) Secretogogin is a Ca2+-binding protein specifying subpopulations of telencephalic neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106: 22492–22497
Nakane H, Shimizu N, Hori T (1994) Stress-induced norepinephrine release in the rat prefrontal cortex measured by microdialysis. Am J Physiol 267: R1559–R1566
Niermann HCM, Figner B, Tyborowska A, van Peer JM, Cillesen AHN, Roelofs K (2017) Defensive freezing links Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal-axis activity and internalizing symptoms in humans. Psychoneuroendocrinology 82: 83–90
Ong LK, Guan L, Damanhuri H, Goodchild AK, Bobrovskaya L, Dickson PW, Dunkley PR (2014) Neurobiological consequences of acute footshock stress: effects on tyrosine hydroxylase phosphorylation and activation in the rat brain and adrenal medulla. J Neurochem 128: 547–560
Orr BO, Fetter RD, Davis GW (2017) Retrograde semaphorin-plexin signalling drives homeostatic synaptic plasticity. Nature 550: 109–113
Overton PG, Clark D (1997) Burst firing in midbrain dopaminergic neurons. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 25: 312–334
Palkovits M (1973) Isolated removal of hypothalamic or other brain nuclei of the rat. Brain Res 59: 449–450
Patel PD, Katz M, Karssen AM, Lyons DM (2008) Stress-induced changes in corticosteroid receptor expression in primate hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. Psychoneuroendocrinology 33: 360–367
Pflit C, Schingnitz G, Hornykiewicz O (1993) Effect of 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine on the regional distribution of brain monoamines in the rhesus monkey. Neuroscience 44: 591–605
Popoli M, Yan Z, McEwen BS, Sanacora G (2011) The stressed synapse: the impact of stress and glucocorticoids on glutamate transmission. Nat Rev Neurosci 13: 22–37
Porroni LJ, Goldman-Rakic PS (1982) Brainstem innervation of prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex in the rhesus monkey revealed by retrograde transport of HRP. J Comp Neurol 205: 63–76
Ramos BP, Arnsten AF (2007) Adrenergic pharmacology and cognition: focus on the prefrontal cortex. Pharmacol Ther 113: 523–536
Ramos-Ortolaza DL, Bushlin I, Abul-Husn N, Annangudi SP, Sweekler J, Devi LA (2010) Quantitative neuroproteomics of the synapse. Methods Mol Biol 615: 227–246
Rivier C, Vale W (1983) Influence of the frequency of ovine corticotropin-releasing factor administration on adrenocorticotropic and corticosterone secretion in the rat. Endocrinology 113: 1422–1426
Hypothalamus-driven cortical activation

Santana N, Mengod G, Artigas F (2017) Molecular diversity of corticotropin-releasing hormone mRNA-containing neurons in the hypothalamus. J Endocrinol 232: R161–R172

Romanov RA, Alpár A, Hokfelt T, Harkany T (2017a) Opposite effects of a high-fat diet and calorie restriction on ciliary neurotrophic factor-containing axon terminals synapse onto catecholamine dendrites and may presynaptically modulate other afferents in the rostral pole of the nucleus locus coeruleus in the rat brain. J Comp Neurol 526: 523–534

Shi X, Woodward WR, Habecker BA (2012b) Ciliary neurotrophic factor stimulates tyrosine hydroxylase activity. J Neurochem 121: 706–704

Skarnes WC, Rosen B, West AP, Koutsourakis M, Bushell W, Iyer V, Mujica AO, Thomas M, Harrow J, Cox T, Jackson D, Severin J, Biggs P, Fu J, Nefedov M, de Jong PJ, Stewart AF, Bradley A (2011) A conditional knockout resource for the genome-wide study of mouse gene function. Nature 474: 337–342

Song HJ, Ming GL, Poo MM (1997) cAMP-induced switching in turning direction of nerve growth cones. Nature 388: 275–279

Stermon SM, Shepherd CM, Friedman JM (2005) Topographic mapping of VMH→ arcuate nucleus microcircuits and their reorganization by fasting. Nat Neurosci 8: 1356–1363

Swanson LW, Sawchenko PE (1980) Paraventricular nucleus: a site for the integration of neuroendocrine and autonomic mechanisms. Neuroendocrinology 31: 410–417

Swanson LW, Sawchenko PE, Rivier J, Vale WW (1983) Organization of ovine corticotropin-releasing factor immunoreactive cells and fibers in the rat brain: an immunohistochemical study. Neuroendocrinology 36: 165–186

Swanson LW, Sawchenko PE, Lind RW (1986) Regulation of multiple peptides in CRF parvocellular neurosecretory neurons: implications for the stress response. Prog Brain Res 68: 169–190

Swiergiel AH, Zhou Y, Dunn AJ (2007) Effects of chronic footshock, restraint and corticotropin-releasing factor on freezing, ultrasonic vocalization and forced swim behavior in rats. Behav Brain Res 183: 178–187

Swiergiel AH, Leskov IL, Dunn AJ (2008) Effects of chronic and acute stressors and CRF on depression-like behavior in mice. Behav Brain Res 186: 32–40

Tekin I, Roskoski R Jr, Carkaci-Salli N, Vrana KE (2014) Complex molecular regulation of tyrosine hydroxylase. J Neural Transm (Vienna) 121: 1451–1481

Ter Horst GJ, Luiten PG (1987) Phaseolus vulgaris leuco-aggulatin tracing of intrahypothalamic connections of the lateral, ventromedial, dorsomedial and paraventricular hypothalamic nuclei in the rat. Brain Res Bull 18: 191–203

Toyota P, Vadok JP, Luthi A (2015) Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. Nat Rev Neurosci 16: 317–331

Uematsu A, Tan BZ, Ycu EA, Cuevas JS, Koivumaa J, Junyent F, Kremer EJ, Wittgen IB, Deisseroth K, Johansen JP (2017) Modular organization of the brainstem noradrenaline system coordinates opposing learning states. Nat Neurosci 20: 1602–1611

Van Bockstael EJ, Colago EE, Valentino RJ (1996) CORTicotropin-releasing factor-containing axon terminals synapse onto catecholamine dendrites and may presynaptically modulate other afferents in the rostral pole of the nucleus locus coeruleus in the rat brain. J Comp Neurol 364: 479–490

Wagner L, Oliyarnyk O, Gärtner W, Nowotny P, Groeger M, Kaserer K, Waidhaus W, Pasternack MS (2000) Cloning and expression of secretagogin, a novel neuroendocrine- and pancreatic islet of Langerhans-specific Ca2+-binding protein. J Biol Chem 275: 24740–24751

Wu TH, Tu CH, Chao HT, Li WC, Low I, Chuang CY, Yeh TC, Cheng CM, Chou CC, Chen LF, Hsieh JC (2016) Dynamic changes of functional pain connectome in women with primary dysmenorrhea. Sci Rep 6: 24543

Zeisel A, Munoz-Manchado AB, Codeluppi S, Lonnerberg P, La Manno G, Jureus A, Marques S, Munguba H, He L, Betsholtz C, Rolny C, Castelo-Branco G, Hjerling-Leffler J, Linnarsson S (2015) Brain structure.
Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNA-seq. Science 347: 1138 – 1142
Zhang R, Asai M, Mahoney CE, Joachim M, Shen Y, Gunner G, Majzoub JA (2017) Loss of hypothalamic corticotropin-releasing hormone markedly reduces anxiety behaviors in mice. Mol Psychiatry 22: 733 – 744
Zhao S, Ting JT, Atallah HE, Qiu L, Tan J, Gloss B, Augustine GJ, Deisseroth K, Luo M, Graybiel AM, Feng G (2011) Cell type-specific channelrhodopsin-2 transgenic mice for optogenetic dissection of neural circuitry function. Nat Methods 8: 745 – 752
Zigmond RE, Schwarzschild MA, Rittenhouse AR (1989) Acute regulation of tyrosine hydroxylase by nerve activity and by neurotransmitters via phosphorylation. Annu Rev Neurosci 12: 415 – 461

License: This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.