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We study nanomachines whose relevant (effective) degrees of freedom $f \gg 1$ but smaller than $f$ of proteins. In these machines, both the entropic effect and the quantum effect over the whole system play the essential roles in producing nontrivial functions. We therefore call them entropic quantum machines (EQMs). We propose a systematic protocol for designing the EQMs, which enables the rough sketch, accurate design of equilibrium states, and accurate estimate of response time. As an illustration, we design a novel EQM, which shows two characteristic shapes. One can switch from one shape to the other by changing temperature or by applying a pulsed external field. We discuss two potential applications of this EQM.

I. INTRODUCTION

Along with the rapid development of nanomachine, methods of designing them have been attracting much attention. Although both the quantum and the entropic effects should be taken into account, in general, to design nanomachines, either one may be neglected or simplified in some cases. For example, when the relevant (i.e., effective) degrees of freedom $f$ of a nanomachine is small, i.e. $f \sim 1$ as in Refs. and one can neglect the entropic effect (apart from that of reservoirs). In this case, however, only a simple function is expected because only the quantum effect with small degrees of freedom is available. By contrast, when $f$ is large, as in proteins, more complex functions are expected that utilize the entropic effect as well. To design the machine in this case, it is customary to calculate the free energy of a classical mode, where the quantum effect is considered only locally to determine the model parameters (such as the spring constant) in the classical model.

Then, let us consider nanomachines whose $f \gg 1$ but smaller than $f$ of proteins. Since $f \gg 1$, they can have more complicated functions than the machines with $f \sim 1$. In particular, they can utilize the entropic effect to realize functions. At the same time, since $f$ is smaller than that of proteins, the nanomachines can utilize the quantum effect over the whole machine. This suggests that the machines can be smaller than a protein that has the same function. For these reasons, such nanomachines seem very interesting. We call them ‘entropic quantum machines’ (EQMs).

However, none of the previous methods that are mentioned above are applicable to quantitative design of EQMs because both the entropic effect and the quantum effect over the whole machine should be taken into account. A possible approximate method is the density functional method. However, for nontrivial quantum systems such as the frustrated many-body systems, its accuracy is generally insufficient, and other elaborate methods are usually employed. Since nontrivial quantum systems will be appropriate for EQMs, it seems better to adopt such elaborate methods. However, these methods focused mainly on the analyses of properties of given systems. To design a new nanomachine, one should also be able to sketch the system itself before analyzing its properties in detail.

In this paper, we propose a systematic protocol for designing the EQMs. It consists of three steps, 1: sketch the system itself, 2: optimize the values of the parameters, and 3: obtain the response time of the EQM. As an illustration, we design a novel EQM, which shows two characteristic shapes (particle distributions). One can switch from one shape to the other by changing temperature or by applying a pulsed external field. We discuss two potential applications of this EQM. One is to control reaction between a receptor and an agonist. The other is to work as a nanzyme, using which one can choose between two different reactions to catalyze.

II. PROTOCOL FOR DESIGNING EQMS

We focus on EQMs that operate not by chemical reactions but by physical stimuli such as an external field and temperature change.

To design such EQMs, we make full use of the thermal pure quantum (TPQ) formulation. The TPQ formulation is a full reformulation, based on the pure state statistical mechanics, of quantum statistical mechanics. It represents every equilibrium state by a single state vector, called a TPQ state, without introducing any ancilla systems (such as a reservoir). It was proved rigorously that one can obtain all statistical-mechanical quantities from a single TPQ state. Both the entropic and the quantum effects can be accurately calculated, with exponentially small errors.

Many useful formulas were developed, including the one by which the thermodynamic functions are obtained accurately from the norm of a single TPQ state. The TPQ formulation is not only interesting from a fundamental viewpoint but also useful for practical calculations because it gives accurate results for any quantum systems whose size is too large for numerical diagonal-
ization. Noting this advantage, we propose the following procedure for designing EQMs.

Step 1: By qualitative and semi-quantitative considerations, sketch an EQM according to the purpose. Utilize, for example, competing terms in the Hamiltonian and a large degree of degeneracy, as we will illustrate in the next section.

Step 2: Calculate equilibrium properties of the EQM at various temperatures using the TPQ formulation. This enables one to confirm the expected properties and to optimize the values of the parameters in the Hamiltonian.

Step 3: Calculate the time evolution of an initial TPQ (equilibrium) state after the quench, i.e., after the application of an external field. Confirm that the final stationary state agrees with the equilibrium state of the same energy, which is obtained in Step 2. This enables one to obtain the response time of the EQM and to determine appropriate values of the height and width of the pulse of the external field.

In the following sections, we explain the above protocol in detail by showing an example.

III. STEP 1: SKETCH OF AN EQM

A. Proposed system

From the sketchy considerations, which will be described shortly, we find that the following system exhibits two characteristic shapes. It is a system of particles (spinless fermions or hardcore bosons) on the double-circle lattice shown in Fig. 1(a). Such a system seems to be implemented experimentally in various systems, such as quantum-dot arrays, optical lattices, and large molecules. As its natural Hamiltonian, we assume

\[ H = H_{\text{hop}} + H_{\text{rep}}, \]

where

\[ H_{\text{hop}} = -J \sum c_{i}^\dagger c_{j} + h.c., \quad H_{\text{rep}} = V \sum n_{i}n_{j}. \]

Here, \( c_{i} \) annihilates a particle on site \( i \), \( n_{i} = c_{i}^\dagger c_{i} \), \( J \geq 0 \) is the hopping energy, \( V \geq 0 \) is the repulsion between two adjacent sites, and \( \sum \) denotes the sum over pairs of sites connected by a bond. We here take \( J \) and \( V \) common to all bonds because machines that require fine tuning of individual \( J \) and \( V \) are neither feasible nor interesting (since nontrivial behaviors are obviously expected by such a fine tuning). Therefore, \( H \) essentially has only a single parameter \( V/J \). [Multiplying \( J \) and \( V \) simultaneously by the same factor results only in change of the scales of temperature and time by that factor.]

In this system, \( H_{\text{hop}} \) and \( H_{\text{rep}} \) compete with each other, which induce the wave and particle natures, respectively (see Appendix for details). With increasing temperature \( T \), energy and entropy also compete with each other to minimize the free energy. By utilizing these

| TABLE I. Degree of degeneracy of the ground states, and the ratio \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} / n_{av} \) for \( T \ll V \), when \( H = H_{\text{rep}}. \)
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( N \) | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| degeneracy | 5088 | 29454 | 115320 | 313329 | 596202 | 791664 |
| \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} / n_{av} \) | 0.795 | 0.703 | 0.618 | 0.538 | 0.462 | 0.389 |

competitions, we realize a nontrivial switching of particle distribution with increasing \( T \).

Let \( \langle n_{i} \rangle_{T} \) be the particle density at site \( i \), where \( \langle \bullet \rangle_{T} \) denotes the expectation value at temperature \( T \). It is obvious that, at \( T = \infty \), the distribution becomes uniform to maximize entropy:

\[ \langle n_{i} \rangle_{\infty} = n_{av} := N/L \text{ for all } i. \]

Here, \( L = 36 \) is the number of sites on the lattice and \( N \) is the total number of particles, which is assumed to be fixed, independent of \( T \). At finite \( T \), \( \langle n_{i} \rangle_{T} \) can differ from site to site, but it takes the same value, denoted by \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} \), in the inner circle by symmetry. Starting from low \( T \), we shall realize a nontrivial switching with increasing \( T \), from \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} > n_{av} \) to \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} < n_{av} \) (and finally to \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} = n_{av} \)). By contrast, in most other systems with a single parameter \( V/J \) such a switching is impossible because the density distribution at low \( T \) just approaches monotonically to the uniform one with increasing \( T \).

B. Nontrivial switching

To sketch the system for the nontrivial switching, we investigate low-temperature states, assuming \( n_{av} \ll 1 \) so that the particles can hop easily.

When \( H = H_{\text{hop}} \) (i.e., \( V = 0 \)), we expect \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} > n_{av} \) for \( T \ll J \) because particles in the inner circle can hop to more sites and gain an energetic benefit. [This expectation is confirmed in Appendix.] This is a result of the wave nature and an energetic effect.

When \( H = H_{\text{rep}} \) (i.e., \( J = 0 \)), on the other hand, a ground state is a state such that no particles are adjacent to each other to reduce the repulsive interaction. Since there are many such configurations, the ground states are degenerate with a high degree, as shown in Table I. At low temperature such that \( T \ll V \), particles occupy these states with equal weights to maximize entropy. The ratio of \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} \) to \( n_{av} \) in this case is also shown in the table. We observe that \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} < n_{av} \), which happens because the outer circle has a greater number of possible configurations than the inner circle. This is a result of the particle nature and an entropic effect.

It is seen from these observations that the switching from \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} > n_{av} \) to \( \langle n_{in} \rangle_{T} < n_{av} \) should be possible if \( H_{\text{hop}} \) (wave nature and energetic effect) and \( H_{\text{rep}} \) (particle nature and entropic effect) play dominant roles at lower and higher \( T \), respectively. This idea is realized as follows.
FIG. 1. (a) Proposed EQM. The dots and the line segments represent the sites and the bonds of the lattice respectively. When an external field is applied, it is applied on the red area. (b) A part of the EQM. (c) Particle distribution as a function of $T$. The dashed line represents $n_{av}$.

We utilize the high degeneracy of the ground states of $H_{rep}$. For this purpose, we limit ourselves to the manifold of these ground states by taking

$$J \ll V,$$

$$T < V.$$

Under these conditions, we expect the following switching behavior, as $T$ is increased from $T < J$ to $T > J$.

(i) At low temperature $T < J$, $H_{hop}$ is significant because it lifts the degeneracy (whereas $H_{rep}$ just determines the manifold of the relevant states). It lowers the energies of states with larger $\langle n_{in} \rangle_T$ because particles in the inner circle can hop to more sites and gain an energetic benefit. Since particles occupy such lower-energy states for $T < J$, we expect $\langle n_{in} \rangle_T > n_{av}$. Note that this will be more effective for smaller $N$ and $V/J$ because hopping is suppressed for larger $N$ and $V/J$.

(ii) At higher temperature $J < T$ ($\ll V$), $H_{hop}$ becomes irrelevant, and particles occupy all states of the manifold with almost equal weights. Consequently, $\langle n_{in} \rangle_T < n_{av}$ should be realized. This is more effective for larger $N$ (as long as $n_{av} \ll 1$), as seen from Table I and for larger $V/J$.

We can estimate appropriate values of $N$ and $V/J$ from the above arguments, as follows. We have assumed $n_{av} \ll 1$, and take $V/J \gg 1$. Smaller $N$ and $V/J$ are better for (i), whereas larger $N$ and $V/J$ are better for (ii). Considering this trade-off between (i) and (ii), we here take $N = 6$ (so that $n_{av} = 1/6$) and $V/J \simeq 5$. We will show that the nontrivial switching is indeed realized for this choice of $N$ and $V/J$.

IV. STEP 2: QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF EQUILIBRIUM STATES

We analyze equilibrium states of the above system at various temperatures. For this purpose, we employ the TPQ formulation, as explained in Sec. II. Using this formulation, we can calculate both the entropic and the quantum effects accurately, with exponentially small errors at any non-vanishing temperature, with fewer computer resources than the exact diagonalization method.

To be concrete, we assume spinless fermions, which may be realized, e.g., as spin-polarized electrons. [We can obtain similar results for hardcore bosons, which may be realized, e.g., in optical lattices.] To find the optimal value of $V/J$, we introduce the figure of merit defined as the smaller one between the highest excess density $\max_T (\langle n_{in} \rangle_T - n_{av})$ and the deepest deficient density $\max_T (n_{av} - \langle n_{in} \rangle_T)$ in the inner circle, and find that $V/J = 4.7$ is optimal. We thus show the results at $V/J = 4.7$ in the following.

The calculated distribution of particles is shown in Fig. I (c) as a function of $T$. Here and after, we present the distribution of only four sites that are colored in Fig. I (b) because the other sites are identical to either of these sites by symmetry. At low temperature $T = T_0 := 0.1J$, we observe that the inner circle has higher density $\langle n_{in} \rangle_T = 0.208 > n_{av} = 0.166 \cdots$, as expected from (i) above. We also find that the particle distribution shows a characteristic pattern in the outer circle (whereas the distribution is always uniform in the inner circle by symmetry). This pattern is formed principally by $H_{hop}$ and will be useful for certain applications.
At higher temperature $T = T_* := 3.23 J$, for which $J < T < V$, we find that $\langle n_{\text{in}} \rangle_T$ takes the minimum value $\langle n_{\text{in}} \rangle_T = 0.125 < n_{\text{av}}$, as expected from (ii) above. In this case, the particle distribution in the outer circle is almost uniform, as in the inner circle, because $H_{\text{hop}}$ is irrelevant at this temperature. We have also found that the entropy increases quickly with increasing $T$, and, at $T = T_*$, it grows to almost 88% of the total value $S_{\text{total}} = \ln 1.6$. This confirms (ii), i.e., $\langle n_{\text{in}} \rangle_T < n_{\text{av}}$ is realized by the entropic effect.

At an intermediate temperature $T = T_m := 1.00 J$, we observe that the particle distribution is almost uniform all over the system, $\langle n_i \rangle_T \simeq n_{\text{av}}$ for all $i$, because of an interplay of the two effects discussed in (i) and (ii). At very high temperature $T \gg V$, it is obvious that $\langle n_i \rangle_T \simeq \langle n_i \rangle_{\infty} = n_{\text{av}}$ for all $i$, as shown in Fig. 1(b) for $T = 200 J$.

We have thus realized the switching from $\langle n_{\text{in}} \rangle_T > n_{\text{av}}$, to the nearly uniform distribution, to $\langle n_{\text{in}} \rangle_T < n_{\text{av}}$, and finally to the uniform distribution.

V. STEP 3: RESPONSE TO EXTERNAL FIELD

A. Thermalization

The above switching is realized by increasing $T$. There are various methods for increasing $T$, such as the heat contact with a hot reservoir. It has been recently clarified that, when an external field is applied to a quantum many-body system, it approaches a new equilibrium state, i.e. ‘thermalizes,’ even if the system is completely isolated from other systems, provided that the Hamiltonian is natural enough. Since heat exchange with a reservoir is unnecessary, we expect that the thermalization enables the system to reach a hot equilibrium state faster than the heat contact. We therefore study whether our EQM thermalizes, how quick it is, and what profile (spatial and temporal) of the external field is appropriate. This provides us with a way of fast switching of the EQM.

B. Method of calculating dynamical properties

For this purpose, we employ the recent method for analyzing dynamical properties of the TPQ states.

The initial state is taken as the equilibrium state of temperature $T_0 = 0.1 J$, for which $\langle n_{\text{in}} \rangle_{T_0} > n_{\text{av}}$, as shown above. Suppose that a pulsed external field $h$ shown in Fig. 2(a), is applied to the system, to feed energy. We consider the case where $h$ is applied on the red area of Fig. 1(a). We assume that $h$ interacts with the system via

$$H_{\text{ext}} = h \sum n_i,$$

where $\sum$ denotes the sum over the sites of the inner circle.

The initial equilibrium state is taken as the TPQ state $|T_0\rangle^{23}$. It was shown rigorously that $|T_0\rangle$ gives the same time evolution of statistical-mechanical observables as the Gibbs state, with an exponentially small error. Since $|T_0\rangle$ is a pure quantum state, its time evolution can be calculated with much less computational resources than that of the Gibbs state, which is a mixed quantum state. We use the Chebyshev-polynomial expansion for the time-evolution operator $U(t)$, where $t$ is time. The end point $t_{\text{end}}$ is taken sufficiently longer than the relaxation time $\tau$ (see below). We further calculate the round-trip evolution $|T_0\rangle := U(-t_{\text{end}})U(t_{\text{end}})|T_0\rangle$, which should equal $|T_0\rangle$ if the time evolution is correctly carried out. By taking the Chebyshev polynomials up to 671st order at every $\Delta t = 10$, we obtain the fidelity $|\langle T_0|T_0\rangle|^2 / \langle T_0|T_0\rangle\langle T_0|T_0\rangle$ as high as $1 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-8}$. This confirms the accuracy of our time evolution.

C. Results

Our purpose is to increase $T$ from $T_0$ to $T_*$ by applying $h$. We therefore take the magnitude $h$ and pulse width $t_{\text{pulse}}$ in such a way that the energy increase by $h$ agrees with the energy difference (which is calculated using the TPQ formulation) between the equilibrium states at these temperatures. We thus take $h = 20$ and $t_{\text{pulse}} = 0.1188$.

Figure 2(b) shows the time evolution of $\langle n_i(t) \rangle$ for the four sites shown in Fig. 2(b). It is seen that all $\langle n_i(t) \rangle$ approaches a stationary value, defined by the time average $\langle n_i \rangle_{T_*}$ over the interval $[t_{\text{end}} - 20, t_{\text{end}}]$, apart from small fluctuation. To confirm that $\langle n_i \rangle_{T_*}$ approaches the equilibrium value $\langle n_i \rangle_{T_*}$, we calculate $\sum_i [(\langle n_i \rangle - \langle n_i \rangle_{T_*})/n_{\text{av}}]^2$, and find it as small as 0.0234. Since such a small deviation is normally observed in thermalization of isolated systems of finite size, we conclude that the equilibrium values are well realized.

To estimate the response time, we also calculate $\sum_i [(\langle n_i(t) \rangle - \langle n_i \rangle_{T_0})/n_{\text{av}}]^2$, which is plotted in Fig. 2(c). It is well fitted by $ae^{-t/\tau} + b$ (dashed line) with $\tau = 3.777$, $a = 16.27$ and $b = 0.02381$. Since this $\tau$ is the same order of magnitude as the characteristic time scale $h/J$ of the system, the response is fast enough.

VI. POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF THE PROPOSED EQM

We have demonstrated an example of EQM, which exhibits nontrivial changes in the particle distribution. We here discuss its potential applications.

Note that, in these applications, we can change the state of the EQM reversibly and repeatably.
A. Control of agonist

Firstly, consider a receptor (a protein molecule) and an agonist that triggers a physiological response by binding to a certain site of the receptor (Fig. 3(a) left).

Suppose that we cover the site with the EQM. At low temperature $T = 0.1J$, the inner circle of the EQM has higher particle density, as shown in Fig. 1(c). Hence the EQM blocks the agonist (Fig. 3(a) middle), and the receptor is not activated. However, we can raise the temperature of the EQM to $T \approx 323J$ by applying an external field or by raising the temperature of the environment. Then, the density profile in the EQM is altered in such a way that the inner circle has a lower density, as shown in Fig. 1(c). Consequently, the agonist can pass through the EQM with a non-vanishing probability, binds to the site, and the receptor is activated (Fig. 3(a) right).

In this way, we can control the binding of the agonist by changing the temperature of the EQM.

B. Switchable nanozyme

Secondly, consider a catalyst $C$, such as a metallic atom, that accelerates two kinds of reactions $X_1 + Y_1 \rightarrow Z_1$ and $X_2 + Y_2 \rightarrow Z_2$.

Suppose that all the reactants are dissolved in a solvent, but we want to choose which one of $Z_1$ or $Z_2$ is produced. Our EQM makes this possible as follows. Put $C$ on the center of the EQM, as shown in Fig. 3(b). At $T = 0.10J$, the particle density in the EQM has a characteristic pattern as shown in Fig. 1(c). Hence, only the molecule $X_1$, that geometrically fits this pattern is catalyzed. When the temperature of the EQM is raised to $T \approx 3.23J$, the density profile in the EQM changes into a much different pattern, as Fig. 1(c). Then, the catalyzed reaction of $X_1$ is blocked, whereas the reaction of $X_2$ that fits the new geometric pattern is catalyzed.

Thus, the EQM works as a nanozyme that catalyzes different reactions depending on the temperature of the EQM, and the temperature can be controlled by an external field as well as by the temperature of the environment.

VII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have studied nanomachines which we call the entropic quantum machines. Since the relevant degrees of freedom of the EQM is large but smaller than those of proteins, both the entropic effect and the quantum effect over the whole system play the essential roles in producing nontrivial functions. To design the EQMs, we have proposed a systematic protocol, which is based on the recent progress of the pure-state quantum statistical mechanics. As a demonstration, we have proposed and analyzed an EQM, which exhibits two characteristic patterns of distributions of particles by changing temperature or by applying a pulsed external field. The two patterns can be switched reversibly and repeatably. We have also discussed potential applications of this EQM. Although a simple model is assumed for this EQM, we expect more practical and interesting EQMs can also be designed and analyzed using our protocol.
FIG. 3. (a) Left: An agonist binds to the receptor. Middle: At $T = 0.1 J$, agonists are blocked by the EQM on the receptor. Right: At $T \approx 3.23 J$, an agonist passes through the EQM and binds to the receptor. (b) The EQM with the catalyst in it. It catalyzes different reactions depending on the temperature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Onaka, K. Asai, S. Hiraoka, H. Katsura, Y. Arakawa and M. Ueda for discussions. R.H. was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science through Program for Leading Graduate Schools (ALPS). This work was supported by The Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, KAKENHI No. 15H05700 and No. 19H01810.

Appendix: Competition between hopping and repulsion terms

In Fig. 4, we show the distributions of particles in the EQM as a function of $T$, when (a) $J = 1, V = 0$ and (b) $J = 0, V = 4.7$.

In (a), the particles form the Fermi sea at low temperature. The sea is composed of single-particle wavefunctions that are coherent all over the system (wave nature). This results in higher density in the inner circle.

In (b), by contrast, such a wave nature is lost and the particles can be regarded as classical particles (particle nature). This results in smaller density in the inner circle because the outer circle has a greater number of possible configurations than the inner circle (entropic effect).

Comparing these results with those of Fig. 1, we conclude that the nontrivial switching of the particle distribution of our EQM is realized as a result of competition between the hopping and the repulsion terms in the Hamiltonian, i.e., between the quantum and the entropic effects.
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