Magnetic Contrast at Spin-Flip Excitations: An Advanced X-Ray Spectroscopy Tool to Study Magnetic-Ordering
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ABSTRACT: The determination of the local orientation and magnitude of the magnetization in spin textures plays a pivotal role in understanding and harnessing magnetic properties for technological applications. Here, we show that by employing the polarization dependence of resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS), we can directly probe the spin ordering with chemical and site selectivity. Applied on the prototypical ferrimagnetic mixed-valence system, magnetite ([Fe3+], [Fe3+,Fe2+]O4), we can distinguish spin-flip excitations at the A and B antiferromagnetically coupled Fe3+ sublattices and quantify the exchange field. Furthermore, it is possible to determine the orbital contribution to the magnetic moment from detailed angular dependence measurements. RIXS dichroism measurements performed at spin-flip excitations with nanometer spatial resolution will offer a powerful mapping contrast suitable for the characterization of magnetic ordering at interfaces and engineered spin textures.

KEYWORDS: site-selective spin excitations, resonant inelastic X-ray scattering, magnetite, spin-flip dichroism, spin-states mapping

1. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic phenomena associated with spin–orbit coupling, the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction, exchange bias, and magnetic anisotropy have led to a wide variety of emergent spin textures. In particular, many exotic spin states have been realized at interfaces of films, multilayers, and superstructures, ranging from emergent magnetism at thin film carbon-based magnets1 and cycloidal spin spirals at Fe/W bilayers5 to topologically protected magnetic skyrmions in Fe/ Ir-based films.5,6 Understanding and designing the emergent magnetic behavior by tuning such interactions is crucial for many technological applications such as information storage, spin transport, and sensing technology. However, for this progress to take place, new and advanced characterization tools of spin states are required.

A particularly challenging topic to investigate is that of transition-metal oxide interfaces where significant changes to the atomic and electronic structure are commonly induced. This can lead to interfacial magnetism and transport effects that are not a simple interpolation of the properties of the adjoined materials. An example of such intriguing systems is magnetite (Fe3O4) because of its half metallicity and strong spin polarization at the Fermi level. Fe3O4 can be interfaced with oxide electrodes, leading to interesting effects, such as spin-to-charge conversion, spin-dependent transport7 (spin filter, spin valve, spin injection, and magnetic tunnel junctions), and the spin Seebeck effect.7 Moreover, Fe3O4 exhibits a metal-to-insulator transition at ~125 K, which results in a spontaneous change of both the lattice symmetry and the electric conductivity. This drastic switch of conductivity was found to occur in the picosecond timescale,8,9 opening novel avenues for designing fast electronics. Verwey proposed that at Tc, a charge order-to-disorder transition takes place.10 Even since this formulation, extensive efforts have been exerted to finding evidence for the proposed charge ordering (and later...
on, orbital ordering) and the accompanying distortion at the low-temperature phase. To understand and design tailored functionality in such a complex system, it is desirable to directly probe and quantify the charge, spin, and orbital degrees of freedom with chemical and site selectivity. This remains a task beyond standard techniques such as scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM), magnetic optical Kerr effect (MOKE), X-ray and neutron non-resonant diffraction, and reflectivity measurements. Although spin-polarized X-ray photoemission (SP-XPS) and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) can potentially provide valuable information, they are surface-sensitive (a few monolayers) or require sophisticated sample treatment. Moreover, the popular resonant X-ray magnetic diffraction technique, where the different scattering amplitudes interfere coherently offering both the short-range order sensitivity of absorption and the long-range order sensitivity of diffraction, faces a challenge to satisfy both the energy condition for resonance and the momentum condition for the appropriate diffraction peak simultaneously.

Resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS) is a photon-in, photon-out technique where the incident energy is tuned such that it resonates with a specific element exciting an electron out of its core shells into an empty electronic state. The resonance provides chemical selectivity to a certain atom and enhances the inelastic scattering cross section, offering a unique way to probe charge, spin, and orbital degrees of freedom. The highly excited atom contains a localized hole in the core that exists for a few picoseconds. This intermediate state can decay emitting photons from which the energies are measured to determine the energy deposited in the material and construct a RIXS spectrum. Under the appropriate settings of energy, momenta, and photon polarization, the energy transferred to the atom can change the direction of an electron spin at the local absorbing atom (or multispins), an excitation referred to as a spin-flip excitation. As the RIXS process is coherent and conserves momentum, spin-flip excitations can propagate through the lattice (i.e., the local spin-flip response function represents the magnon density of states). At $L_3$, edges of transition metals, the spin-flip process gains intensity due to the strong spin–orbit coupling at the intermediate state. The energy required to change the direction of the spin is proportional to the strength of the exchange field and can be used to determine the exchange interaction using the linearized spin wave theory for a Heisenberg model on the Fe$_3$O$_4$ lattice with nearest-neighbor interactions. Spin-flip excitations are not only sensitive to the atomic spin state, but they also probe the long-range order in a magnetic system. Collective magnetic excitations can be probed by momentum-resolved RIXS measurements, offering a valuable alternative to neutron measurements. As core electrons do not disperse, the effective RIXS operator becomes local (depending on polarization, experimental geometry, and the excitation mechanism of the local absorbing atom) multiplied by an appropriate spin susceptibility, which acquires a strong momentum dependence for systems with interatomic spin–spin interactions. Through studying the effect of the incident X-ray polarization on the spin-flip excitations, it is possible to unambiguously identify these excitations among other low energy loss excitations (such as phonons or crystal-field excitations) and reconstruct the orientation of the magnetic moment. Two types of dichroic signals are particularly important to measure at the spin-flip excitation for building a comprehensive picture, namely, RIXS magnetic circular dichroism (RIXS-MCD) and RIXS magnetic linear dichroism (RIXS-MLD). Magnetic selectivity imposed by the incident polarization handedness, referred to as RIXS-MCD, enables the quantification of magnetic moments along the incident beam direction. The dependence of the intensity at the spin-flip excitation on the angle between the linearly polarized X-rays and the magnetic moment (with respect to the low-symmetry axis of the crystal) is referred to as RIXS-MLD. The RIXS-MLD signal can be used to determine the magnetic moment perpendicular to the incident beam. We note that it is also possible to study the polarization dependence in the emission channel to identify magnetic excitations using polarization analyzers; however, this is experimentally more difficult due to their low efficiency.

In this work, we employed a combination of high-resolution Fe 2p3d RIXS-MCD and RIXS-MLD measurements in a magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) single crystal to probe low-energy spin-flip excitations and demonstrate the capabilities of RIXS as an advanced magnetic probe. Fe$_3$O$_4$ serves as an adequate model system: it is a mixed-valence strongly correlated system with nearest-neighbor interactions.

**Figure 1.** Fe 2p3d RIXS measurements in Fe$_3$O$_4$. (a) Unit cell of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and the magnetic coupling between the Fe sites are illustrated. Octahedral (O$_6$) Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ B sites are antiferromagnetically coupled to the tetrahedral (T$_d$) Fe$^{3+}$ A site. The gray arrow represents the rapid hopping of the spin-down electron between the Fe B sites. (b) Fe $L_3$ RIXS map of Fe$_3$O$_4$. The $L_3$ XAS signal is shown on the right. The theoretical contributions of the three Fe ions to the XAS signal are plotted. Two incident energies were chosen for the high-resolution RIXS measurements, namely, $E_i = 708.8$ and $E_i = 709.1$ eV as shown at the bottom panel. A sketch of the scattering geometry used is shown in the right bottom corner where $V$ is the vertical incident polarization, $k_{\text{in}}$ and $k_{\text{out}}$ are the incident and scattered wave vectors, respectively, and $2\theta$ is set to 130°.
The methodology developed here has far-reaching implications as the magnetic orbital moment at the Fe A sites is quenched. Orbital contributions to the magnetic moment and proved that by theory, we demonstrated that it is possible to identify structures including non-collinear and chiral ordering. Guided RIXS-MLD can be used to characterize complex magnetic sensitivity of the measurement to in- and out-of-plane exchange interaction at each of the sublattices. Mapping the scattering angle possible at the beamline to minimize the elastic impinging at an angle of 90° with respect to the sample surface. The XAS spectra were recorded in total electron yield mode. The XAS spectra were recorded in total electron yield mode.

**2. METHODS**

2.1. Sample Characterization and Treatment. We studied a high-quality (001) Fe3O4 single crystal grown at the Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids in Dresden. The stoichiometry of the single crystal was examined by performing temperature-dependent magnetic measurements. A sharp transition was observed at 125 K as expected for a highly stoichiometric sample (see Figure S1 of the Supporting Information). The crystallinity and homogeneity of the sample were assessed by measuring the Darwin width of the (008) reflection across the sample at beamline ID28 of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Figure S3). In order to clean and remove damaged surface layers, we exposed the surface to boiling concentrated HCl for ~30 s before the measurement.

2.2. XAS and RIXS Measurements. Fe L₂,₃-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS) measurements were carried out at the ADRESS beamline of the Swiss Light Source at the Paul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland. The high-brilliance X-ray beam was monochromatized using a plane grating with a constant groove density of 800 mm⁻¹ and focused down to a spot of ≤ 4 μm size at the sample position using an elliptical refocusing mirror. All measurements were performed in a normal incidence scattering geometry, that is, with the incoming beam impinging at an angle of 90° with respect to the sample surface. The scattering angle was set to 2θ = 130°, which is the largest experimental scattering angle possible at the beamline to minimize the elastic scattering angular dependence (see Figure S12). The energy analysis of the emitted radiation was performed using a variable-line-spacing spherical grating, with an average groove density of 1500 mm⁻¹, dispersing the emitted radiation onto a high-resolution CCD camera. The combined energy resolution was ∼762 meV full width half-maximum (FWHM), determined by collecting the elastic scattering from a carbon tape reference (see Figure S6). The radiation source is a fixed-gap Apple-II type undulator producing left and right circular polarized light as well as linear polarized light. The angular direction of the linear polarization can be varied continuously, from horizontal to vertical with respect to the scattering plane. The XAS spectra were recorded in total electron yield mode.

A permanent gold-coated NdFeB magnet with a magnetic flux density on the surface of 0.4 T was used to saturate the magnetization (see Figure S1b). The magnet was placed in two configurations for the measurements: (i) Magnetic circular dichroism configuration where the external magnetic field was aligned parallel to the incident wave vector (k₀) (i.e., in the scattering plane) aligned along the [−1/2, √3/2, 0] crystallographic direction. This low-symmetry direction was chosen to investigate magnetocrystalline anisotropy at the Fe A sites of Fe3O4. All measurements were performed at a fixed temperature of 170 K (i.e., above the Verwey transition in the cubic phase). To avoid any radiation damage effects, we moved to a fresh spot on the sample every 10 min. During this time period, no signs of radiation damage were detected as shown in Figure S7.

2.3. Calculation Details. We used the quantum many-body program Quanty38–40 to simulate Fe XAS and 2p3d RIXS magnetic circular and linear dichroism in Fe3O4. Three independent cluster calculations were performed to account for the nominal Fe³⁺ ions in octahedral symmetry (O₈), Fe²⁺ ions in O₆ symmetry, and Fe³⁺ ions in tetrahedral symmetry (T₄). The Hamiltonian used for the calculations consists of the following terms: (i) Coulomb interaction, (ii) crystal field potential, (iii) spin-orbit coupling, and (iv) exchange interaction. The d−d (p−d) multipole part of the Coulomb interaction was scaled to 70% (80%) of the Hartree−Fock values of the Slater integral. The general parameters used for the simulations are in agreement with previous studies of Fe3O4 L₂,₃ edges.41–45 All technical details and calculation parameters are reported in the Supporting Information. We aligned the incident energy of the calculation by fitting the experimental XMCD signal. The relative shift between Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺ B sites was found to be 0.7 eV in agreement with previous elaborate investigations.45 This value is smaller than the expected chemical shift between Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺ ions in a pure-valence system. This observation is also confirmed by X-ray diffraction measurements where the average bond lengths for both the Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺ ions were found to be very similar in Fe3O4.15

**3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

3.1. Spin-Flip Excitations at the Fe A and B Sublattices. The fact that the three Fe ions in Fe3O4 contribute to the L₂,₃-edge XAS spectrum renders theoretical calculations necessary to assign spectral features of particular Fe sites. At an incidence energy of 708.8 eV (labeled E₀), the resonance is dominantly selective to the Fe³⁺ A sites as concluded by theoretical ligand field multiplet calculations (see the right panel of Figure 1b). On the other hand, tuning the incident energy to E₀ = 709.1 eV excites mainly the Fe³⁺ B sites.41–46 The Fe 2p3d RIXS map and high-energy-resolved RIXS slices at incident energies E_A and E_B are shown in Figure 1. A clear energy loss peak is observed at 90 meV for the RIXS measurement at E_A while only a minor shoulder is observed at E_B.

We measured the XMCD signal to provide us with an initial guide for the magnetic contrast. The circular photons carry angular momentum q = −1ℏ for right circularly polarized light or q = +1ℏ for left circularly polarized light, which leads to a strong magnetic contrast (dichroism) in a magnetic material as Fe3O4. Figure 2a shows the XMCD signal together with the theoretical XMCD calculations for the three Fe ions. The magnetic signal of the Fe³⁺ A sites and the Fe³⁺ B sites is maximized by tuning the incident energy to E_A and E_B. RIXS measurements using circularly polarized X-rays at E_A (E_B) are presented in Figure 2b (Figure 2c). Energy loss features centered at ~90 meV are now observed for both incident energies (peak fitting is shown in Figures S13 and S14 of the Supporting Information). A strong RIXS-MCD signal is observed for both energies emphasizing the magnetic nature of the feature (see Figure 2d,e). Most importantly, the RIXS-MCD signal reverses sign from E_A to E_B.

The origin of the sign reversal can be understood by a close inspection of the RIXS process. The RIXS cross section can be...
expressed as a sum of fundamental spectral functions multiplied with a geometry tensor involving the polarization of the incident and scattered photons. The fundamental spectra can be grouped according to their symmetry into an isotropic contribution, an MCD active contribution, and an MLD active contribution. Consequently, the 2p3d RIXS-MCD spin-flip excitation cross section is controlled by the XMCD spectral function, and the sign reversal stems from the incident energy site selectivity. Hence, by measuring Fe 2p3d RIXS at incident energies $E_A$ and $E_B$, we can directly probe magnetic excitations at the Fe A and B sites separately.

Theoretical calculations with an effective exchange field $J^* = 90$ meV reproduce the experimental data well (Figure 2b,c). Only a minor discrepancy in the intensity between the experimental and simulated elastic peak is observed, which is likely due to saturation and self-absorption effects (refer to the Supporting Information for more details). The 90 meV peak can be assigned to a spin-flip excitation enabled by the core hole spin–orbit coupling in the intermediate state. At $E_A$, a single spin-flip excitation ($\langle \Delta S_f \rangle = 1\hbar$) occurs at the A sites, while at $E_B$, a single spin-flip excitation ($\langle \Delta S_f \rangle = -1\hbar$) occurs at the B sites.

Figure 2. (a) Fe $L_3$ XMCD signal in Fe$_3$O$_4$. The contributions of the three Fe ions to the XMCD signal are shown in filled colors. High-resolution Fe 2p3d experimental RIXS measured at $E_A = 708.8$ eV and at $E_B = 709.1$ eV with right (R) and left (L) polarized X-rays are presented in panels (b) and (c), respectively. RIXS simulations performed at the two incident energies are shown in solid lines vertically displaced with respect to the experiment. The experimental RIXS-MCD signals at $E_A$ and at $E_B$ are shown in panels (d) and (e). Schematics of the excitations involved at both incident energies are depicted in panels (f) and (g). At $E_A$, a single spin-flip excitation ($\langle \Delta S_f \rangle = 1\hbar$) occurs at the A sites, while at $E_B$, a single spin-flip excitation ($\langle \Delta S_f \rangle = -1\hbar$) occurs at the B sites.

The RIXS-MCD measurement to couple with magnon modes that are associated with each sublattice separately. As these modes nearly do not disperse for Fe$_3$O$_4$, they are well explained by our localized multiplet calculations, and the effective exchange field can be extracted from the spin-flip peak. Although phononic excitations were also reported at the Fe $L_3$ A sites in the forthcoming section to illustrate the magnetic contrast attainable from such measurements. The RIXS magnetic linear angular dependence was measured by rotating the incident polarization ($\epsilon_{in}$) with respect to the crystallographic direction and the external magnetic field. We initially measured the RIXS magnetic linear angular dependence on the magnetic field parallel to $k_{in}$ (i.e., in the MCD...
configuration) as a reference measurement to check for in-plane magnetic contribution. Almost no angular dependence was observed for the spin-flip excitation as can be seen in Figures S8 and S9. This is expected for Fe₃O₄ because the spin moments are collinear and the magnetization is saturated along the k_{in} direction. In this case, the angle between $\epsilon_{in}$ and the magnetization does not change as a function of the rotation of $\epsilon_{out}$; only the elastic peak exhibits significant angular dependence due to the zero order Thomson elastic scattering cross section.

The situation changes when the external magnetic field is placed in-plane (the magnetic linear dichroism configuration) as shown in Figure 3a. In this case a strong angular dependence is observed (see Figure 3b) and the angle between $\epsilon_{in}$ and the magnetization as a function of rotation gives rise to a strong variation at the spin-flip excitation. Theoretical simulations reproduce the angular dependence well and show that the maximum intensity of the spin-flip excitation occurs at $\sim 120^\circ$ (Figure 4a). This angle is determined by the orientation of the magnetic moment (with respect to the scattering geometry), and hence a combination of RIXS-MCD and RIXS-MLD measurements can determine the full orientation of the magnetic moment.

Detailed analysis of the RIXS angular dependence can provide more information than just the site-selective exchange interaction and the orientation of the magnetic moment. By aligning the external magnetic field to a low-symmetry direction, we can study site anisotropic effects. Aligning the external magnetic field to a low crystallographic symmetry direction aligns the net spin moment parallel to the magnetic field. If the orbital moment is not fully quenched (because of charge transfer or distortion), it consequently also realigns toward the low-symmetry direction. The final orientation of the net magnetic moment depends on the strength of the competing interactions such as spin—orbit coupling, exchange, and distortion. Hence, the phase shift of the maximum intensity of the spin-flip excitation can be used to quantify magnetic-moment-induced distortion of the electron cloud. Based on this concept, we explored the possibility of having unquenched orbital moment at the Fe A sites. Nominal Fe$^{3+}$ T₄ ions should have no orbital moment (high-spin 3d$^{5}$ ion); however, it has been reported that a strong non-vanishing orbital moment is possibly present at the Fe A sites as a result of the charge transfer from the neighboring oxygens.$^{52}$ Our measurements show that the maximum of the spin-flip angular dependence occurs at $\sim 120^\circ$. This angle agrees well with the result of our calculation and corresponds purely to the sample displacement (90°+ 30°). The angular dependence follows that expected for the case of $\mathbf{I}_{fl} \approx 0$ (compare the experiment to the calculations in Figure 4a,b).

3.3. Charge Transfer Effects. It is of conceptual interest to investigate the sensitivity of the RIXS-MLD signal at spin-flip excitations to charge transfer and orbital polarization effects. At oxide interfaces, charge transfer can be driven by a difference in chemical potential. In addition, the symmetry lowering frequently induces interfacial orbital angular momentum$^{53}$ which in turn modifies the magnetic properties. In such scenarios, it is not only interesting to determine the orientation of the magnetic moment but also the orbital contribution to it. Only a few techniques can distinguish between the magnetic orbital and spin moment contributions. A particular challenge is encountered when the popular XMCD technique fails for antiferromagnetic systems and non-trivial spin textures. We investigated through theoretical simulations the effect of a small hybridization potential between the ligands (surrounding oxygen anions) and the Fe$^{3+}$ T₄ cation on the angular dependence of the spin-flip excitation. Two different hybridization types were studied, namely, $\pi$- and $\sigma$-type hybridization potentials (see Figure 5b,c).

We started by assuming that the effective exchange interaction is not affected by the hybridization. This is a reasonable assumption because only small changes in the spin angular momentum were found for calculations performed using realistic hybridization potentials. Typically, a reduction of $\langle S^2 \rangle$ from 8.75$\hbar^2$ to 8.74$\hbar^2$ was predicted by our calculations. Therefore, it can be concluded that the effective exchange field does not significantly change and that the spin-flip excitation will appear at the same (or very close) energy position to the previous case. The charge transfer from the ligands to the metal induces an orbital angular momentum at the metal site. Although the charge transfer is small, its effect
can potentially be large depending on the symmetry of the hybridization (i.e., depending on which orbitals the partially transferred electrons reside). We found a change from ⟨L⟩ = 0 to ⟨L⟩ = 3.17 h and ⟨L⟩ = 1.06 h for π-type (Figure 5b) and σ-type (Figure 5c) channels, respectively.

The change of the ground-state symmetry as a result of the charge transfer has visible effect on the angular dependence of the spin-flip excitation (compare the angular dependence in Figure 5a-c). Although in the three cases, the two-fold symmetry of the angular dependence is preserved, the angle at which the maximum intensity of the spin-flip excitation shows significant changes. Hence, the presence of an orbital contribution to the magnetic moment can be concluded when a phase shift of the spin-flip excitation is observed. Through comparing the phase shift to simulations, the magnetic orbital moment can be quantified.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the magnetic contrast obtained at spin-flip excitations is an element and site-selective direct probe of the magnetic ordering and the exchange interaction. Because RIXS is sensitive to the orbital degree of freedom, a thorough analysis of the angular dependence at spin-flip excitations can be used to identify the orbital polarization. The combination of RIXS-MCD and RIXS-MLD measurements at spin-flip excitations represent an important methodological step forward as they permit the study of magnetism in thin films and interfaces where many interesting spin textures emerge. The potential to use this magnetic contrast in an RIXS microscopy setup with nanometer resolution will open the realms of possibilities for investigating engineered magnetization. We expect that such mapping experiments will be possible in the near future with the upgrade of synchrotron sources to diffraction limited storage rings.
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