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Abstract
To identify early predictors of late left ventricular remodelling (LVR) post-myocardial infarction (MI) and related molecular signatures, a porcine model of closed-chest balloon MI was used. LVR was assessed by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMRI) at baseline, 12-48 hours (acute), and 5-6 weeks (chronic) post-MI. Changes in myocardial strain and strain rates were derived from CMRI data. Tissue proteomics was compared between infarcted and non-infarcted territories. Peak values of left ventricular (LV) apical circumferential strain (ACS) changed over time together with peak global circumferential strain (GCS) while peak GLS epicardial strains or strain rates did not change over time. LVR post-MI enhanced abundance of 39 proteins in infarcted LV territories, 21 of which correlated with LV equatorial circumferential strain rate (ECSR). The strongest associations were observed for D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (D-3PGDH), cysteine and glycine-rich protein-2 (CG-RP-2), and secreted frizzled-related protein 1 (sFRP1). Results indicate that early changes in regional peak ACS predict late LV remodelling and LVR post-MI is associated with augmented levels of D-3PGDH and sFRP1, which show the strongest association with peak ECSR. These findings might help to prevent LVR post-MI by influencing/directing LV unloading strategies or by pharmacological control of tissue levels of D-3PGDH and sFRP1.
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Introduction

Myocardial infarction (MI) leads to left ventricular remodelling (LVR), fibrosis, heart failure (HF), and death and identifying early predictors of late LVR may benefit patients. Changes in LVEF are not reliable in predicting LVR due to confounding factors and do not represent regional changes. It is suggested that changes in LV myocardial strain (MS) may predict LVR, tracking contractility both globally and regionally. Hence, quantifying changes in MS early post-MI may predict late LVR. The most effective imaging to measure changes in MS is still debated. Speckle-tracking echocardiography is more common than cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMRI), with 2D speckle-tracking echocardiography featuring comparable spatial resolution to CMRI. However, 3D speckle-tracking echocardiography has a lower spatial and temporal resolution. CMRI is considered the reference method for analysis of LV function and mass and provides superior image quality with less interference from anatomical structures, and higher reproducibility, especially for circumferential parameters of strain.

The efficacy of MS has been investigated in HF with normal or recovered LVEF; cardiac amyloidosis and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. A meta-analysis, pooling 16 studies on HF, acute MI and valvular heart disease, has shown global longitudinal strain (GLS) to be a better predictor of mortality than LVEF, with its prognostic ability surpassing that of radial strain or circumferential strain (CS). Yet, MS remains largely a research tool. Longitudinal comparisons of MS between healthy and diseased myocardial territories could predict LVR more effectively, but little has been done in this area, partially due to a lack of relevant pre-clinical models.

Consequently, the use of GLS as predictor of late LVR post-MI remains controversial. Global strain measures, calculated as averaged values, may result in loss of sensitivity due to missing key information on regional LV areas, a factor also applicable to measurements of LVEF. However, they can reduce the errors that can be associated with regional measures, with the associated improved reproducibility and ease of explaining their popularity. A regional approach to MS, dividing the myocardium into sections and layers (endocardium vs epicardium), has the potential to be a superior predictor of LVR. However, there are no established values for healthy or cardiac disease-specific myocardial strains.

The aim of this study was to identify early strain-based predictors of late LVR post-MI and related molecular signatures in a preclinical model.
Methods

Ethical Approval for animal procedures

The animal regulated procedures were in line with UK Home Office regulations (Animal Act 1986). The procedures were undertaken under a Project License (No 7008975) granted by the Home Office after formal review and approval by the University of Bristol Animal Welfare and Ethics Review Body (AWERB).

Myocardial infarction model

Ten Yorkshire female pigs represented the overall cohort of analysis. MI was induced by percutaneous balloon occlusion of the mid portion of either the proximal left anterior descending (LAD, n=8) or the circumflex (Cx) artery (n=2). The coronary occlusion was conducted at the mid portion of the targeted coronary arteries, after the first diagonal or the first obtuse marginal branches. Global and regional morphology, function, volumes and scar size were assessed by CMRI at: a. baseline; b. 12-48 hours (acute); and c. 5-6 weeks (chronic) post-MI.

Previous studies have shown that the immune response post MI can be temporally divided into the early pro-inflammatory phase and the late inflammatory resolution/reparative phase, involving components of both the innate and adaptive immune systems. To better evaluate the remodelling and reparative phase we evaluated the scar 5-6 weeks. Left ventricular remodelling was defined as 10% or more changes in left ventricular end-systolic and end-diastolic volumes. The additional methods and results for the MI protocol can be found in the Online Supplement.

Deformation analysis

The strain-based metric used in this study was formulated to be robust and reproducible across sites/users/software, use a transparent, non-proprietary algorithm, and be sufficiently sensitive to characterise local ventricular function in a layer-wise manner, as recently reported by our group. The methodology is summarised on the LV schematic in Figure 1. Slice by slice circumferential strains, $\varepsilon$, for the endocardium, were calculated throughout the cardiac cycle for each specimen at each time point, according to Equation 1.

$$\varepsilon = \frac{L - L_0}{L_0}$$ (1)
The reference length, \(L_0\), was the endocardial perimeter length at end-diastole, and this was then compared to the endocardial perimeter length, \(L\), throughout the cardiac cycle for a given CMRI slice. Between seven and nine short-axis slices were contoured depending on the specimen and time point; for example, the degree of eccentric hypertrophy and specimen growth could increase the number of slices for a given specimen over time. Strain rate, \(\dot{\varepsilon}\), as defined by Equation 2, was also calculated for the circumferential direction, where \(L(x, t_n)\) and \(L(x, t_{n+1})\) are endocardial lengths on one cine MRI stack \((x)\) at consecutive points in time \((t_n)\), \(\Delta t\) is the time between successive images, and \(L_0(x)\) is the end diastolic length for that cine slice.

\[
\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{L(x, t_{n+1}) - L(x, t_n)}{L_0(x)\Delta t} \quad (2)
\]

The same short-axis slices were also divided into three ‘vertical regions’: apex, equator/mid, and base with two or three slices per region, and regional circumferential strains and strain rates were calculated. Similar analyses were conducted for the epicardium. To assess statistically significant changes through time, peak strain and strain rate values were measured. The strain values were averaged over 2-3 slices per region rather than from only one slice as reported by others\(^{19} \). Accordingly, the global CS defined here is the average of 7-9 regional slices, rather than of only 3 slices reported by others\(^{19} \).

Four-chamber long-axis data were used to investigate LV longitudinal strains and strain rates in both the endocardium and epicardium. Volumes were calculated for LV end-systole and end-diastole by multiplying the area within the endocardial contour for a given slice by the slice thickness, and then all slice volumes were summed to give the ventricle blood pool volume. LV end-systolic volume indexed to weight (LVESVi) was calculated by dividing the end-systolic volume by the body surface area (BSA) of the animal. BSA was found through the relation suggested by Kelley et al. shown in Equation 3\(^{20} \).

\[
BSA \ (m^2) = 0.0734 \times Weight \ (kg)^{0.656} \quad (3)
\]

The endocardial and epicardial contours were manually traced on all short and long axis images in the commercially available software package OsiriX (Pixmeo, Geneva, Switzerland), by one experienced user, and checked by another. Contours were analysed and strain & strain rate values were calculated using an in-house MATLAB script (Release 2017b, The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, United States).

Myocardial proteomics
Proteomics analysis of infarcted vs remote viable myocardium was performed in five animals in keeping with established methods. Tissue homogenization was obtained with ceramic beads with Ripa Buffer and a protease and phosphatase inhibitors cocktail. The BCA method was used to quantify the protein concentration and samples were prepared at 2 mg/ml for the mass spectroscopic analysis (MSA). Additional details are available in the Online Supplement.

Statistical Analysis

Non-parametric analysis was performed. Variables are presented as medians and confidence intervals. LVEF, peak values of global LS, global and regional CS, and peak values of corresponding strain rates measured at acute and chronic time-points post-MI were compared with the baseline data using a Kruskall-Wallis test. Observed significant differences were analysed further by using Mann-Whitney U tests. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant, but due to the high number of hypotheses tested, Bonferroni corrections were performed which suggested a p<0.0024 as statistical significance. One-way ANOVA was used for initial assessment with Gabriel’s test to find differences between pairs of means. Linear regression and correlation analyses were performed to assess relationships between the scar weight and other mechanical properties, and between biomarker expression and strain. Statistical analyses were performed in IBM SPSS (IBM Corp. Released 2015).

Proteomics data analysis

For each protein, an abundance ratio between infarcted and non-infarcted samples was calculated. Proteins found to be at least two-fold more expressed in the infarcted myocardium were correlated with the endocardial strain data of the acute phase, with those showing the strongest correlation ($R^2 \geq 0.95$) being more closely evaluated; a series of univariate linear regression models were performed to correlate each identified protein to each mechanical variable. This statistical analysis was conducted using R version 3.4.4. For western blotting analysis quantification of band intensity was performed using AlphaEase v5.5 software followed by background subtraction and correction for protein loading. For evaluation of the differences between the protein expression in the non-ischaemic and ischaemic myocardium, the two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test was used.
Results

Animals were 5-6 months old. Weight range was 55-70 kg, median 62.5 kg at the time of MI and 72-92 kg, median 84 kg at termination.

Characterisation of MI by CMRI and serial troponin I release

CMRI outcome is shown in Table S1, Online Supplement. Overall mean LVEF dropped from 56.6% ± 2.5% at baseline to 45.3% ± 7.6% at 4 to 72 hours (acute) and to 49% ± 4.6% at 5-6 weeks (chronic). Mean LV scar size was 16.9g ± 9.1g at the acute time point and 9.38g ± 5.62g at the chronic time-point. Mean LV end-diastolic volume (LVEDV) increased from 131±11.3mL at baseline to 144.3± 5mL at 12-48 hours and to 194.6±27.6mL at 5-6 weeks post-MI suggesting occurrence of significant LVR over time. Mean LV end-systolic volume (LVESV) increased from 56.8±4.9mL at baseline to 77.8±16.4 mL at 12-48 hours and to 100.4±17.7mL at 4-6 weeks. Representative longitudinal CMRI scans from the same experiment are reported in Figure 2 A-C and in Supplemental Videos 1-3). The peak of troponin I release was recorded at 4 hours with a value of 49.6±39.71 ng/ml (Table S2, Online Supplement).

Myocardial strains

Myocardial strains were calculated from all 10 MI experiments (n=8 LAD territory and n=2 CX territory; see Figure S1 in Supplemental file). The occurrence of MI in these two different coronary territories caused tissue damage in the apical and lateral LV wall regions respectively. For the majority of the analysis focusing on LV global metrics, such as LVEF, GCS and GLS, myocardial strains from all 10 experiments were included as these indices should be able to characterise the severity of an infarct & the subsequent LV remodelling regardless of the affected coronary territory. LV remodelling, occurring due to myocardial tissue’s response to the imposed occlusion, encompasses changes in ventricular shape, volume, and function throughout the cardiac cycle. Similarly, MRI data from all baseline, pre-MI scans were retained in the analysis. Nevertheless, for, the statistical analysis of myocardial strain changes in ACS at acute and chronic timepoints only data from the 8 LAD experiments were included, as only these cases were expected to determine an MI affecting the apical region i.e. that covered by the ACS metric. The same approach was taken for the other regional strains ECS and BCS. An evaluation of the regional strains for the CX territory was not performed, because with only n=2 experiments in this sub-group such an evaluation would not have been meaningful.

Long-axis global and transmural LV strains
Changes in myocardial strains over time for all animals are shown in Table 1 and Table S3 (Online Supplement). Assessment of endocardial and epicardial GLS did not differ at the acute or chronic time-points versus baseline strain. Endocardial and epicardial strain rates did not differ at the acute or chronic time-point compared to baseline values. No correlations were observed between scar weight and GLS or strain rate.

**Short-axis global and regional LV strains**

Endocardial global CS (GCS) and apical CS (ACS) are shown in Figure 3 A-D. Endocardial ACS decreased significantly at the chronic time point (-19.1%, p=0.002) point compared to baseline (-37.5%), whereas the change at the acute time point did not reach statistical significance (-17.7%, p=0.004) (Table 1). GCS showed a significant change at the acute time-point (-23.8%, p=0.002) vs. baseline (-34.9%), whereas the change observed at the chronic time-point did not reach statistical significance (-27.7%, p=0.006). The endocardial equatorial CS (ECS) and basal CS (BCS) strains showed no statistical changes across the two time-points, with all p>0.01 (Table S4, Online Supplement). No significant changes were seen in epicardial CS, with all p>0.03 (Table S4). Endocardial and epicardial strain rates did not differ at the acute or chronic time-points vs. baseline (p>0.008; Figure 3 E-G and Table S4). No significant correlations were observed between scar weight and circumferential strains. The intra-observer variability for LVEF and LVEDV were 3% and 2% respectively, and the inter-observer variation were 8% and 7% respectively.

**LV Global Function and Associations with Strain**

LVEF and left ventricular end systolic volume index (LVESVi) were measured by established CMRI methods (Table S1, Online Supplement) with relevant strain contours derived by hand (Table 1). LVEF dropped significantly only at the acute time-point vs. baseline (p=0.0023) (Table 1 and Figure 3 E-G). Scatterplots of GCS versus LVEF (R=-0.95, p<0.0001) and GLS versus LVEF (R=-0.73, p=0.0006) indicated strong correlations (Figure 4). LV volumes indexed to weight were assessed given the substantial weight gain observed from acute to chronic time-points. This showed that there were no significant changes in LVESVi from acute to chronic time-points (Table 1). No difference was seen in LVEF values between those measured by CMRI and the relevant strain contours derived by hand.

**Myocardial proteomics, LV strains, and validation of D-3PGDH and sFRP1 by western blotting**

Proteomics data and correlation with LV strains are reported in Figure 5 and Table 2. 5981 proteins were identified, and 39 proteins were increased in infarcted territories (Figure 5). For the analysis correlating
proteomics with strains, proteomics data from 4 hearts was used as strains were not available for the 5 experiments. Significant linear correlations were found between endocardial circumferential strain rate (ECSR) and 21 of the proteins increased in the infarcted territories (Table 2). The proteins showing the strongest correlation (R² ≥ 0.95) with the ECSR were: D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (D-3PGDH, R² = 0.96, p = 0.01), cysteine and glycine-rich protein-2 (CG-RP, R² = 0.95, p = 0.02), and secreted frizzled-related protein 1 (sFRP1, R² 0.96, p = 0.01). Western blotting for D-3PGDH and sFRP1 confirmed that the level of D-3PGDH and sFRP1 protein in the infarcted myocardium was significantly increased compared to the non-infarcted myocardium (both P<0.05, Figure 6, Figure S2 in Supplemental file). Western blotting for CG-RP showed no difference.

**Discussion**

This study identifies an association between early change in regional strain, late LVR post-MI and enhanced abundance of D-3PGDH and sFRP1 proteins. An early change in regional ACS was observed (but not in global measures such as GCS, LVEF or GLS), which was predictive of late LVR. Additionally, LVR post-MI is associated with an hyperexpression of 39 myocardial proteins, of which 21 correlated specifically with ECSR, with D-3PGDH and sFRP1 exhibiting the strongest correlation with ECSR.

Our findings show early changes in regional ACS and GCS strains reflecting accurately the affected myocardial territories. This was associated with early changes in strain that predicted late LVR: ACS: baseline: -37.5%, chronic: -19.1%, p=0.002; GCS: baseline: -34.9%, acute: -23.8%, p=0.002, chronic: -27.7%, p=0.006.

GLS has been suggested as a predictor of late LVR in STEMI patients and in an open-chest coronary ligation porcine MI model. It is argued that GLS may predict LVR as the LV apical region affected by ischemia contains more longitudinal fibres, which contributes more to the local contractile performance and are less affected by ischemia. However, the distribution of the circumferential fibres across the LV might reflect changes to longitudinal and circumferential deformations, therefore suggesting that CS metric might add significantly to gauge predictive information on myocardial deformation.

In our study GLS did not change over time. The results seem to suggest that regional CS might be more sensitive than GLS in predicting late LVR and quantifying LV function. Averaging strains over few slices within a specific LV region, as opposed to inspecting individual slices or global metrics, might ensure that small differences in image location (from patient movement or from scans at different times or different patients), become less critical when comparing data, boosting the reproducibility and robustness of the method. In
addition, performing strain over smaller volume/regions is associated with less variation, hence with higher
potential of identifying smaller changes. Accordingly, CS has been shown to be an effective indicator of MI,
marker of LV function, and infarct transmurality (25). These findings, if confirmed, might affect the type and
timing of pharmacological and/or mechanical LV unloading approaches post-MI to prevent heart failure (27).
Correlations were also found between GCS and LVEF as well as between GLS and LVEF. Both GCS and
LVEF were calculated using the same short-axis data, and so a strong correlation was expected based on
geometrical considerations. Long-axis data was used for GLS, so the correlation found between these
parameters suggests that GLS might be able to detect MI and changes to LV function in keeping with findings
by others (26).

The occurrence of MI and related ischemia/reperfusion injury trigger a storm of molecular signalling, cellular
remodelling, inflammatory reaction and fibrosis leading to scar formation and LVR (27,28). Farah and colleagues (29)
defined LV remodelling as an increase of 10% in ventricular end-systolic or end-diastolic diameter, and found a
58% incidence of LV remodelling after an anterior MI compared with other studies. In the Acute Myocardial
Infarction Contrast Imaging (AMICI) trial, the term ’reverse REM’ was employed to denote a >10 % reduction
in LVESV found at 6 months in 39% of patients following PPCI (30), being the only independent predictor of 2-
year event-free survival. Based on this definition we found that 75% of our experiments had an LV remodeling
at both LVEDV and LVESV at 5-6 weeks at serial CMR.

Binek et al. found that ischaemia triggers changes in the levels of many myocardial proteins, some of which are
linked to contractile function or systolic wall thickness (31). Proteomics analysis in this study showed 39 hyper
expressed proteins, 21 of these being strongly correlated with early changes in regional ECSR. The western
blotting analysis showed that D-3PGDH and sFRP1 are significantly expressed within the infarcted
myocardium. This finding might indicate their involvement in the early changes in ECSR as well as in
determining LVR post-MI. D-3PGDH is the key enzyme for the L-Serine biosynthesis pathway that branches
from glycolysis. It participates in a metabolic network interlinking folate and methionine cycles to support cell
proliferation and an amplification of function has been associated with a pro-oncogenic role (32). sFRP1 acts as an
inhibitor of the Wnt signalling pathway by binding to Wnt proteins and preventing their association with
Frizzled receptors (34). Interestingly, sFRP1 protein has been associated with reduced scar size, improved
cardiac function and decreased neutrophil infiltration in a mice model of coronary ligation, indicating a
protective role of this protein via reduction of post-MI inflammation (34). This anti-inflammatory role has been
suggested by others in rodents but not in pigs. sFRP1 to suppress the Wnt pathway has potential clinical
translation for novel therapies aiming to reduce scar size post-MI and warrants further investigation.

There are limitations to this study. The animals did not have atherosclerotic disease, which might have
determined a different proteomic profile. However, the MI size and other CMRI measures were in keeping with
what observed in humans. In addition, the animals gained a substantial amount of weight over the study period
with a possible confounding effect on scar size and proteomics. However, it has been suggested that the use of
CMRI parameters indexed to the weight of the animal can minimise this effect. Finally, a relatively small
number of animals (n=10) was used, with strain analyses and proteomics undertaken on sub-groups: non-
parametric statistical tests were used to compensate. Another limitation is related to the lack of information on
the dynamic changes that occur after MI: due to the nature of our study design we were unable to characterise
the dynamic proteomic processes as previously described by other authors.31

In conclusion, this study reveals novel associations between MI, early changes in regional ACS, prediction of
late LV remodelling, the related abundance of myocardial D-3PGDH and sFRP1, and their association with
ECSR. These findings might have clinical implications: the observation of early changes in regional strain may
influence type and timing of pharmacological and/or mechanical LV unloading to prevent LV remodelling; in
addition, future therapies modulating the tissue levels of D-3PGDH or delivering sFRP1 might help reducing LV
remodelling post-MI.
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**Figures Legends**

**Figure 1: Depiction of workflow from imaging to derived final strains.**
Short-axis CMRIs with endocardial (green) and epicardial (blue) borders were traced, stacked and grouped into three regions: base, equator/mid, and apex. Strain was calculated for all slices, and the mean was then found by averaging strains in their regions. Finally, the resultant regional and global circumferential strains were found for each time point.

**Figure 2: Representative longitudinal CMRI imaging of failing Left Ventricle**
From left to right showing CMRI images from the same animal at baseline (A), acute (B) and chronic (C) time-points. Large images show stills of cinematic imaging in 3-chamber view orientation at end diastole demonstrating progressive thinning of the mid to apical antero-septal wall (orange arrows). Inset images show corresponding late gadolinium enhancement imaging demonstrating full thickness late gadolinium enhancement (red arrows).

**Figure 3**
**A-D: Overtime changes in apical and global circumferential strains.**
A = Endocardial apical circumferential strain (ACS); B = Epicardial apical circumferential strain (ACS); C = Endocardial global circumferential strain (GCS); D = Epicardial global circumferential strain (GCS). The two data points at the chronic time point with a red cross (in endocardial ACS and GCS) represent outliers greater than the third quartile plus 1.5 times the interquartile range.

**E-G: Overtime changes in LVEF, endocardial and epicardial ACS rates.**
E = Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF); F = Endocardial apical circumferential strain (ACS) rates; G = Epicardial ACS rates. The asterisk denotes changes considered significant with p<0.0024. Statistical test: Mann-Whitney (* identifies significant difference)"

**Figure 4: Correlation between endocardial GCS and GLS with LVEF**
Scatterplot between endocardial GCS and LVEF (A) and between endocardial GLS and LVEF (B). Each individual porcine specimen is denoted by a different marker and three different line colours are used to indicate the experimental time point of baseline, acute, and chronic.

**Figure 5: Volcano plot representation of proteomics**
Abundance ratios for changes in each protein are shown as log10 of p-value of infarcted/health segments within the same hearts (n=5)
Figure 6: Quantification of D-3PGDH and sFRP1 proteins by western blotting

All data presented as Mean ± SEM; n=5 in each group. Quantification of D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (D-3PGDH) and secreted frizzled-related protein 1 (sFRP1) in lysates of the infarcted myocardium (I) and non-infarcted myocardium (N).

A = Representative western blot of D-3PGDH; B = Densitometric quantification of D-3PGDH; C = Representative western blot for sFRP1; D = Densitometric quantification of sFRP1 expression; E = Representative western blot for anti-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, used for control of protein loading); * P<0.05 vs. non-ischaemic myocardium. MW: molecular weight.

Statistical Test used: Mann-Whitney. (Figure S1 shows the full blots for all the proteins shown in this figure)
Table 1: Changes in myocardial strains over time.

| Parameter         | Baseline | 95% CI    | Acute MI | 95% CI    | p-value | Chronic MI | 95% CI    | p-value |
|-------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|---------|
|                   | Upper    | Lower     | Upper    | Lower     |         | Upper      | Lower     |         |
| Endocardial ACS (%) | -37.5    | -31.2     | -17.7    | -16.8     | -27.0   | 0.004      | -19.1     | -12.3   | -26.3   | 0.002*  |
| Endocardial GCS (%) | -34.9    | -30.5     | -23.8    | -21.1     | -26.1   | 0.002*     | -27.7     | -17.8   | -31.6   | 0.006   |
| Endocardial GLS (%) | -24.7    | -19.9     | -18.0    | -14.8     | -22.7   | 0.008      | -21.7     | -12.8   | -27.6   | 0.171   |
| LVEF (%)          | 57       | 52        | 45       | 40        | 50      | 0.002*     | 50        | 46      | 54      | 0.019   |
| LVESVi (ml/m²)    | 35.9     | 26.8      | 52.1     | 45.3      | 60.3    | 0.045      | 50.0      | 37.4    | 63.3    | 0.127   |

CI=Confidence interval; ACS=Apical circumferential strain; GCS=Global circumferential strain; GLS=Global longitudinal strain; LVESVi=Left ventricular end-systolic volume index; P-values are for differences compared to baseline results. * denotes changes significant to p < 0.0024.
Table 2: Correlation between endocardial ECSR and most overexpressed proteins after MI

| Protein Accession | Infarcted vs Healthy myocardium | Correlation with ECSR |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                   |                                 | R-squared  | p-value  |
| A5G FY8           | 2.027                           | 0.96       | 0.01     |
| I3LB66            | 2.39                            | 0.96       | 0.01     |
| F1RYJ8            | 2.42                            | 0.95       | 0.02     |
| F1RVS9            | 2.65                            | 0.94       | 0.02     |
| F1SCR9            | 2.09                            | 0.94       | 0.02     |
| Q6EEI0            | 2.66                            | 0.94       | 0.02     |
| F1RF27            | 3.63                            | 0.93       | 0.03     |
| F1RF28            | 2.36                            | 0.93       | 0.03     |
| F1S5Q1            | 2.6                             | 0.93       | 0.03     |
| I3L7W9            | 2.01                            | 0.93       | 0.03     |
| F1RPQ0            | 2.15                            | 0.92       | 0.03     |
| F1SLT8            | 2.19                            | 0.92       | 0.03     |
| F1SSF7            | 2.52                            | 0.92       | 0.03     |
| F1S1D2            | 2.81                            | 0.91       | 0.04     |
| F1S6B5            | 4.02                            | 0.91       | 0.04     |
| F1SJL4            | 2.26                            | 0.91       | 0.04     |
| Q29116            | 2.9                             | 0.91       | 0.04     |
| F1RQI0            | 5.29                            | 0.9        | 0.04     |
| F1RQI2            | 12.1                            | 0.9        | 0.04     |
| F1RIP3            | 2.29                            | 0.9        | 0.05     |
| I3LPW3            | 2.63                            | 0.89       | 0.05     |
| B3F0B7            | 2.13                            | 0.86       | 0.06     |

ECSR= equatorial circumferential strain rates, MI= Myocardial Infarction
Figures

Both endocardial and epicardial borders were contoured for investigation.

Figure 1

Depiction of workflow from imaging to derived final strains. Short-axis CMRIs with endocardial (green) and epicardial (blue) borders were traced, stacked and grouped into three regions: base, equator/mid, and apex. Strain was calculated for all slices, and the mean was then found by averaging strains in their regions. Finally, the resultant regional and global circumferential strains were found for each time point.

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{L - L_0}{L_0}
\]

Calculated for all slices, which were then grouped into regions.

\[
\bar{\varepsilon}_{\text{Base}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_i
\]

\[
\bar{\varepsilon}_{\text{Eqtr}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_i
\]

\[
\bar{\varepsilon}_{\text{Apex}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_i
\]

N is the number of slices in a region. This was either 2 or 3 depending on the MRI slices acquired.

Regional and global circumferential strains were calculated from short-axis data. Only global longitudinal strain was calculated from the long-axis data.
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Figure 2

Representative longitudinal CMRI imaging of failing Left Ventricle from left to right showing CMRI images from the same animal at baseline (A), acute (B) and chronic (C) time-points. Large images show stills of cinemetic imaging in 3-chamber view orientation at end diastole demonstrating progressive thinning of the mid to apical antero-septal wall (orange arrows). Inset images show corresponding late gadolinium enhancement imaging demonstrating full thickness late gadolinium enhancement (red arrows).

Figure 3
A-D: Overtime changes in apical and global circumferential strains. A = Endocardial apical circumferential strain (ACS); B = Epicardial apical circumferential strain (ACS); C = Endocardial global circumferential strain (GCS); D = Epicardial global circumferential strain (GCS). The two data points at the chronic time point with a red cross (in endocardial ACS and GCS) represent outliers greater than the third quartile plus 1.5 times the interquartile range. E-G: Overtime changes in LVEF, endocardial and epicardial ACS rates. E = Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF); F = Endocardial apical circumferential strain (ACS) rates; G = Epicardial ACS rates. The asterisk denotes changes considered significant with p<0.0024. Statistical test: Mann-Whitney (* = identifies significant difference)

**Figure 4**

Correlation between endocardial GCS and GLS with LVEF Scatterplot between endocardial GCS and LVEF (A) and between endocardial GLS and LVEF (B). Each individual porcine specimen is denoted by a different marker and three different line colours are used to indicate the experimental time point of baseline, acute, and chronic.
Figure 5

Volcano plot representation of proteomics Abundance ratios for changes in each protein are shown as log10 of p-value of infarcted/health segments within the same hearts (n=5)
Quantification of D-3PGDH and sFRP1 proteins by western blotting. All data presented as Mean ± SEM; n=5 in each group. Quantification of D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (D-3PGDH) and secreted frizzled-related protein 1 (sFRP1) in lysates of the infarcted myocardium (I) and non-infarcted myocardium (N). A=Representative western blot of D-3PGDH; B=Densitometric quantification of D-3PGDH; C=Representative western blot for sFRP1; D=Densitometric quantification of sFRP1 expression; E=Representative western blot for anti-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, used for control of protein loading); * P<0.05 vs. non-ischaemic myocardium. MW: molecular weight. Statistical Test used: Mann-Whitney. (Figure S1 shows the full blots for all the proteins shown in this figure)
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