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This paper examined the three-dimensional steady thin film flow of tangent hyperbolic fluid with nonlinear mixed convection flow and entropy generation past a stretching surface under the influence of magnetic field. For the flow problem, the Cattaneo–Christov heat and mass diffusion model was employed to examine heat and mass transfer characteristics and impacts of the normally directed magnetic field. To transform nonlinear PDEs into ODEs, the variable transformation technique was used. The bvp4c algorithm was implemented to solve these ODEs. The behavior of every leading parameter on the velocities, temperature, concentration profile, entropy generation, and Bejan number was reported with tabular and figurative form. The results show that as the values of Br increase, the entropy generation enhances, but the Bejan number decreases. Moreover, as the values of $B$ increase, the opposite characteristics are observed in entropy generation and Bejan number graphs. Furthermore, the skin friction coefficient number, local Nusselt number, and Sherwood number are graphically discussed for the active involved parameters. The best agreement is recorded when we compare this paper with the previous literature for various values of $M$.

1. Introduction

In physical sciences, one of the essential factors of flow of fluid which has very common applications is entropy generation. In different fields of sciences and technologies, some researchers have done a lot of work on it. In case of thin film fluid flow, very little work is available in the literature. The entropy productions due to transmission of convective heating were reported by Bejan [1, 2] for the first time. Later on, Lin et al. [3] examined the compressible flow through a high pressure turbine for local entropy generation due to delayed detached eddy simulation. Further, Khan et al. [4] studied entropy generation of rotating disks due to bio-convection flow of nanofluid. Opanuga et al. [5] have also carried out a study on entropy generation analysis with the effect of ion-slip and Hall current of couple stress fluid. Moreover, Bibi and Xu [6] have discussed entropy generation analysis of Jeffrey nanofluid under magnetic field effect on horizontal channel.

The study of entropy generation analysis nowadays is an active research area. Accordingly, Ji et al. [7] have presented performance evaluation of entropy generation due to forced convective heat transfer. Shit et al. [8] also investigated entropy generation performance under the effect of thermal radiation and unsteady flow over a flat plate. Gireesha et al. [9] have presented a study on entropy generation with inclined porous microchannel for Casson fluid flow with viscous and Joule heating. Muhammad and Sohail [10] have computed entropy generation under the impact of radiative heat transfer on rotating disks with Ree–Eyring fluid. Leonid and Martyushev [11] have analyzed the misconception about the production of entropies. A report on nonequilibrium thermodynamics with productions of entropy has been presented by Velasco et al. [12]. Gallavotti [13] has computed stationary states of nonequilibrium in a point of view. Additionally, Kurnia et al. [14] have studied the cooling of microchannel with heat transfer performance on entropy production, and Şahin [15] has numerically explored entropy generation in a square cavity filled with boron-water nanofluid. Moreover, Soltanipour et al. [16] have numerically examined ferrofluid forced convection with magnetic field and entropy generation in a curved pipe. Additionally,
conditions. Riaz et al. [17] have investigated the features of entropy generation and applied magnetic field in an annular part of two micro nonconcentric pipes on Jeffrey fluid with the inner one being of rigid nature traveling for uniform speed, and Riaz et al. [18] have discussed the entropy generation and Williamson fluid on the asymmetric peristaltic propulsion of non-Newtonian fluid with convective boundary conditions.

The attention of most recent researchers is focused on the study of flow and heat transfer in a thin liquid film on a stretching surface with its numerous applications in industrial and engineering fields. In manufacturing processes of aerodynamic extrusion of plastic sheets, foodstuff processing, fibre coating, reactor fluidization, annealing, and thinning of copper wires in relation to fluid flow and heat transfer within a thin liquid film are very important. It is essential to maintain surface quality of the exudate in the process of extrusion of materials. The best appearance with strength, good translucency, and low impeding friction for producing smooth glossy surfaces for all coating processes is necessary for a thin film. The behavior of flow and heat transfer in thin film is determined by the quality of the end product in the extrusion process of the fluid flow and heat transfer characteristics on stretching sheet. Gul et al. [19] have analyzed thin film flow and entropy generation over a stretching sheet with variable fluid properties. Saroajamma et al. [20] and Shah et al. [21] have discussed the influence of magnetic field on thin film flow and the generation of entropy for unsteady flow of Newtonian and non-Newtonian fluid over stretching sheet.

The attention of scientists and engineers in recent years is attracted by the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) phenomenon owing to its realistic application in MHD electric transformers, accelerators, and cooling of a metallic plate. Natural convection flow with electrically conducting fluid past different geometries with different fluids has been studied widely in most of the literature. Despite the fact that there are numerous studies on natural convection flow of electrically conducting fluid, there are just a few studies regarding natural convection flow of an electrically conducting tangent hyperbolic fluid. Consistent magnetic environment with steered square geometry under mixed convection thermal transfer and micropolar liquid material is discussed by Siddiqui et al. [22], and Jha et al. [23] have investigated magnetohydrodynamic flow in a vertical microchannel with hall effect under natural convection flow. Moreover, Khatera et al. [24] presented the impact of magnetic field diffusion using cylindrical coordinates system of Fokker–Planck equation which relates potential symmetry and invariant solution. Furthermore, Pal and Talukdar [25] have solved the problem of rotating porous channel with the effect of magnetic field, convective heat and mass transfers, hall current, and thermal radiation. Abiodun et al. [26] have checked MHD micropolar fluid with effect of hall current and analysis of entropy production. Liao et al. [27] have reported a numerical study on magnetohydrodynamics with planetary spheres. Basant et al. [28] have computed flow of magnetohydrodynamics with ion-slip current at vertical microchannel. Barik et al. [29], Yeh et al. [30], Usman et al. [31], Singh and Bhardwaj [32], and Kumar et al. [33] have numerically computed the effect of chemical reaction, free convection, and magnetic field on heat and mass transfer phenomenon past different geometry with different initial and boundary conditions for different kinds of fluids.

Mixed convection is the combined influence of both free and forced convection. Most technical applications of it have been discovered by some of the following researchers. Accordingly, Shayma and Litan [34] have analyzed incompressible fluid past a wedge with boundary layer flow mixed convection transient under magnetic field effects. Rashed et al. [35] have also investigated temperature distribution of a vertical porous annulus with mixed convection opposing flow. Arani et al. [36] and Mohamed et al. [37] also presented mixed convection, optimal distribution of heat transfer, and viscous dissipation effect of discrete heat sources. Alsabery et al. [38] have checked mixed convection flow and entropy generation for a heat source and rotating solid cylinder inside a wavy-walled enclosure. Wubshet and Temesgen [39] have reported Eyring–Powell nanofluid with ion-slip and hall effects under stretching sheet. Hashmi et al. [40] have computed mixed convection flow with homogeneous heterogeneous reactions of magnetized Maxwell fluid. Sajida et al. [41] have studied viscoelastic fluid under mixed convection flow of permeable parallel vertical plates. Basant et al. [42] have examined temperature dependent of vertical channel under viscosity and flow reversal of mixed convection flow. Ahmad et al. [43] have introduced Jeffrey fluid on mixed convection flow for magnetohydrodynamic effects with exponentially stretching surface. Khan et al. [44] have carried out a theoretical analysis for mixed convection flow of Maxwell fluid between two infinite isothermal stretching disks. Basant et al. [45] have analyzed mixed convection flow on hall and ion-slip effects with wall heating at vertical microchannel. Additionally, Jawali et al. [46] have investigated mixed convection flow with Soret effects under condition of Robin boundary conditions. Wubshet and Gosa [47] have presented inclined stretching cylinder with mixed convective flow under Cattaneo–Christov heat flux theory. Ashraf et al. [48] have solved three-dimensional mixed convection radiative flow in presence of convective condition and thermophoresis stretching sheet. Shah and Dennis [49] have solved mixed convection flow of vertical plate under oscillating of heat transfer analysis. Moreover, Khan et al. [50] have checked nonlinear mixed convection flow of tangent hyperbolic fluid and entropy generation optimization under activation energy stretching sheet. In many engineering and industrial processes, such as food mixing, multigrade oils, plasma, and composite materials, non-Newtonian fluids are found. For those applications, many researchers concentrated on non-Newtonian fluids. Hyperbolic tangent fluid is considered the best one of branches of the non-Newtonian fluid which is capable of describing the thinning shear effects. Recently, Kumar et al. [51] have reported the dusty flow of hyperbolic tangent fluid with magnetic field and thermal radiation over stretching sheet. Naseer et al. [52] have computed the hyperbolic tangent fluid with boundary layer flow of cylindrical stretching sheet.
Khan et al. [53] have introduced double stratification tangent hyperbolic fluid flow under radiation and chemical reaction. Shahzad et al. [54] have developed MHD tangent hyperbolic fluid with Joule heating, viscous dissipation, and chemical reaction. Naseer et al. [55] have analyzed hyperbolic tangent fluid of cylinder under vertical stretching surface. Hayat et al. [56] have investigated Soret–Dufour effects on hyperbolic tangent fluid flow at stagnation point. Kumar et al. [57], Rehman et al. [58], and Hayat et al. [59] have studied tangent hyperbolic fluid under the effects of unsteady flow, variable thermal conductivity, Joule heating, and thermal radiation. Nadeem et al. [60] also reported magnetohydrodynamic peristaltic flow in a vertical asymmetric channel with heat transfer. Additionally, Akbar et al. [61] and Hussain et al. [62] have examined the effects of convective heating and nonlinear stretching parameters on the MHD boundary layer flow analysis of tangent hyperbolic fluid. Furthermore, behavior of incompressible magnetohydrodynamic flow tangent hyperbolic fluid with the effect of melting heat transfer in the stagnation point has been reported by Hayat et al. [63], and the analysis of tangent hyperbolic material in the presence of both nanoparticles past an exponential surface with the heat, mass, and motile microorganisms transfer rate in the magnetohydrodynamic flow tangent hyperbolic fluid of cylinder under vertical stretching. Hayat et al. [66] have studied tangent hyperbolic nanofluid past bidirectional extending surface under the application of some physical conditions such as thermal radiation, Joule heating, activation energy, and chemical reaction of high order by employing Cattaneo–Christov heat flux model. To our knowledge, no one has attempted to investigate the effect of three-dimensional thin film flow of tangent hyperbolic fluid with nonlinear mixed convection flow and entropy generation past stretching surface.

2. Mathematical Formulation

This paper deals with three-dimensional flow of hyperbolic tangent fluid thin film. The fluid satisfies the condition of incompressible, steady, laminar, and viscous flow due to entropy generation and mixed convection with applied magnetic field in the perpendicular direction. For the flow formulation, Cattaneo–Christov heat and mass diffusion model is employed for the examination of heat and mass transfer characteristics. \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are established as \( z \) is normal to the surface in the Cartesian coordinate system. Magnitudes of velocities at \( z = 0 \) are \( U_w = ex \) and \( V_w = ey \) where \( e < 0 \) represents the contraction of the sheet and \( e > 0 \) represents the stretching of the sheet. In this article, the description of temperature field and the concentration distribution are defined as \( T_w = T_{ref} \) and \( C_w = C_{ref} \), where \( T_{ref} \), \( C_{ref} \), and \( C_{ref} \) are demonstrated by the temperature at the surface of a sheet, the nanofluid concentration at the surface of a sheet, and the constant reference temperature, respectively, as shown in Figure 1.

Constitutive equation of non-Newtonian fluid which is assumed in this article is presented by [66] as follows:

\[
\gamma = \left[ \eta_{\infty} + (\eta_{\infty} + \eta_0) \tanh(\Phi \Psi)^\theta \right] \Psi, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \Psi \) is defined as

\[
\Psi = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \Psi_{ij} \Psi_{ji} \right) = \sqrt{2} \bar{\nabla} 
\]

for

\[
\bar{\Psi} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( \nabla \Psi + (\nabla \Psi)^T \right)^2 
\]

\[
\bar{\Psi} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( \nabla \Psi + (\nabla \Psi)^T \right)^2 
\]

The equation is assumed when \( \eta_{\infty} = 0 \) and \( \Phi \Psi \ll 1 \); by using this idea, (1) becomes

\[
\gamma = \eta_0 (1 + \Phi \Psi) \Psi = \eta_0 (1 + \Phi \Psi^2) \Psi = \eta_0 [1 + (\Phi \Psi^2 - 1)] \Psi, \tag{4}
\]

where \( \gamma, \eta_0, \eta_{\infty}, \Phi, r, \bar{\Psi}, \) and \( \Psi \) are extra stress tensor, zero shear rate viscosity, infinity shear rate viscosity, time constant, dimensionless power-law index, strain rate tensor of second invariant, and shear-thinning characteristics which represent tangent hyperbolic fluid being considered, respectively.

Governing equations of this problem are defined as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0, \tag{5}
\]

\[
u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{\rho_f} \left[ qu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \sigma B_0^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\rho_f} \right] - g \left[ \tau_1 (T_{\infty} - T) + \tau_3 (C_{\infty} - C) + \tau_4 (T_{\infty} - T)^2 + \tau_3 (C_{\infty} - C)^2 \right],
\]

\[
u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \nu \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{\rho_f} \left[ qu \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} - \sigma B_0^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\rho_f} \right] - g \left[ \tau_1 (T_{\infty} - T) + \tau_3 (C_{\infty} - C) + \tau_4 (T_{\infty} - T)^2 + \tau_3 (C_{\infty} - C)^2 \right].
\]
The equations of Cattaneo–Christov heat and mass model flux as used by [67] are

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_\varphi \left( \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + V \cdot \nabla q - q \cdot \nabla V + (V \cdot \nabla)q \right) &= -(k_f \nabla T + q),
\varphi_j \left( \frac{\partial J}{\partial t} + V \cdot \nabla J - J \cdot \nabla V + (V \cdot \nabla)J \right) &= -(D_b \nabla C + J).
\end{align*}
\tag{6}
\]

(7)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} &= -\varphi_q \chi_q(x_i) + \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \left( k_0 \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + \varphi \left[ D_h \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{D_T}{T_c} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] \\
(1-r) & \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} \right) + \sqrt{2} \nu \Phi \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right) + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} \right) \right) + \sigma B_i^2 \rho_f (u),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \chi_q \) and \( \chi_j \) are defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_q &= u \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} + \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_j &= u \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} + \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} + \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} + \left( u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2},
\end{align*}
\tag{8}
\]
The boundary condition announcement by [19] in case of the present flow model is given as follows:

\[ \begin{align*}
    u &= U_w, v = V_w, w = 0, T = T_w, C = C_w, \text{ at } z = 0, \\
    \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = 0, \text{ at } z = h.
\end{align*} \]

(9)

Similarity transformations used by [19] are given as

\[ 
    u = exh'(\eta), \\
    v = eyk'(\eta), \\
    w = -\sqrt{e^2 (h(\eta) + k(\eta))}, \quad \eta = \frac{e}{\sqrt{y}z},
\]

(10)

The flow equations as a result of conservation principles such as momentums, energy, and concentration are the following equations, respectively.

\[ (1 - r)h''' + (h + k)h'' - (h')^2 - Mh' + rW_e h'' h''' + (1 + r_\theta)\lambda \theta + (1 + r_\phi)\beta r_\phi = 0, \]

(11)

\[ (1 - r)k''' + (h + k)k'' - k'2 - Mk' + rW_e k'' k''' = 0, \]

(12)

\[ \theta'' + \theta' p_r (h + k) - p_r \delta_e (\theta' (h + k) (h' + k') + \theta' (h + k)^2) + \phi' \theta' p_r N_b \\
+ \theta'^2 p_r N_t + M (h' + k') + (1 - r) (h''' + k''') + rW_e (h'' h''' + k'' k''') = 0, \]

(13)

\[ \phi'' + \phi' Sc (h + k) + \frac{N_e}{N_{b}} \theta'' - Sc \delta_e ((h' + k') \phi' (h + k) + \phi'' (h + k)^2) = 0. \]

(14)
Thickness of film of a flowing liquid in nondimensional form \((\beta)\) is \(\beta = (\varepsilon/\nu)^{1/2}\) by considering \(\beta = \eta\) the unknown parameter to determine the boundary of the problem according to the next equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
h(0) &= k(0) = 0, \\
h'(0) &= 1 = k'(0) = \theta(0) = \phi(0), \\
h(\beta) &= 0 = k(\beta) = h''(\beta) = k''(\beta) = \theta'(\beta) = \phi'(\beta),
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

where the governing parameters are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Nb} &= \frac{\tau D_f}{\nu T_h} (T_w - T_h), \\
\text{Nt} &= \frac{\tau D_R}{\nu} (C_w - C_h), \\
M &= \frac{\sigma B_\theta^2}{\rho e}, \\
\text{Sc} &= \frac{\nu}{D_B}, \\
\text{Pr} &= \frac{\nu}{a_D}, \\
\text{We} &= \sqrt{2} r T \sqrt{\frac{e^3}{\nu}}, \\
\delta_c &= c \chi_c, \\
\lambda &= \frac{Gr}{Re_x}, \\
\tau_f &= \frac{B_R T_0}{Br_1}, \\
\tau_c &= \frac{B_R C_0}{Br_1}, \\
\tau_n &= \frac{B_R C_0}{Br_1 T_0}
\end{align*}
\]

The skin friction coefficient \((c_f)\), the local Nusselt number \((\text{Nu}_x)\), and the local Sherwood number \((\text{Sh}_x)\) are physical quantities as used by [67], defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
c_f &= \frac{r_w}{\rho w}, \\
\text{Nu}_x &= \frac{x q_w}{k_0 (T_w - T_\infty)}, \\
\text{Sh}_x &= \frac{x h_w}{k_0 (C_w - C_\infty)},
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

when

\[
\begin{align*}
y_w &= (1 - r) \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right] + r \Phi \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right]^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right)^2, \\
q_w &= -k_0 \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)_{z=0}, \\
h_w &= -D_B \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \right)_{z=0}, \\
(R_e)^{0.5} &= \left( 1 - r \right) + \frac{r W_h}{2} h''(0), \\
\text{Nu}_x (R_e)^{-0.5} &= -\theta'(0), \\
\text{Sh}_x (R_e)^{-0.5} &= -\phi'(0), \quad \text{when} \quad R_e = \frac{U_x (x + e)}{\nu}
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

2.1. Entropy Generation Analysis. Thermal irreversibility of the system is measured by systems of entropy generation. Mathematically, the present model of the entropy generation rate per unit volume is given in [19]. This model is used to reduce entropy generation of physical parameters in any scientific and engineering applications by controlling the output.

\[
N_G = \frac{k_0}{T \rho C_p} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \frac{D_B}{T} \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \frac{D_B}{T} \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \right)^2
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1 - r}{\nu} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2} rv \Phi}{T} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right)^2 \\
\right) + \frac{\sigma B_\theta^2}{T \rho_f} (u),
\end{align*}
\]

(19)
where representation of entropy generation due to the first term is thermal irreversibility, the second term is nanoparticle interdependence with base fluid, the third term is local mass transfer of the nanoparticle volume fraction, the fourth term is the viscous dissipation, and the fifth term is the magnetic field, respectively, in the above equation.

Dimensionless form of the entropy generation can be expressed as follows by using the similarity transformation.

\[
N_G = \frac{\beta_1 \theta'^2 + L_1 \phi' \theta' + L_1 \beta_2 / \beta_1 \phi' + (1 - r)B_r \left(h''^2 + k''^2\right) + \frac{W_e B_r}{2} \left(h''^3 + k''^3\right) + B_r M h''^2}{\Delta T k},
\]

(20)

where the representations of temperature difference variable, concentration difference parameter, Brinkman number, Diffusion parameter, and entropy generation are given as \(\beta_1, \beta_2, B_r, L_1, \text{ and } N_G\), respectively.

Bejan number for locality of quantifying the irreversibility of heat transfer process is now appropriate to be determined. Mathematically, the Bejan number in the boundary layer is defined by [32] according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Be} = \frac{\text{entropy generation owing to heat and mass transfers}}{\text{total entropy generation}}.
\]

\[
\text{Be} = \frac{\beta_1 \theta'^2 + L_1 \phi' \theta' + L_1 (\beta_2 / \beta_1) \phi' + (1 - r)B_r \left(h''^2 + k''^2\right) + \left(W_e B_r / 2\right) \left(h''^3 + k''^3\right) + B_r M h''^2}{\beta_1 \theta'^2 + L_1 \phi' \theta' + L_1 (\beta_2 / \beta_1) \phi' + (1 - r)B_r \left(h''^2 + k''^2\right) + \left(W_e B_r / 2\right) \left(h''^3 + k''^3\right) + B_r M h''^2}.
\]

(21)
3. Numerical Method

The bvpc4 method is used to solve the converted ODEs of the problem, considering \( h = f_1, \ h' = f_2, \ h'' = f_3, \ k = f_4, \)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    f_1' \\
    f_2' \\
    f_3' \\
    f_4' \\
    f_5' \\
    f_6' \\
    f_7' \\
    f_8' \\
    f_9' \\
    f_{10}'
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
    f_2 \\
    f_3 \\
    -f(3)(f(1) + f(4)) + f(2)^2 + Mf(2) - \lambda(1 + \pi f(7))f(7) - \lambda r n(1 + \tau c f(9))f(9) \\
    1 - r + r W e f(3) \\
    f_5 \\
    f_6 \\
    -f(1)f(6) + M f(5) + (f(5)^2) - f(4)f(6) \\
    1 - r + r W e f(6) \\
    f_8 \\
    \zeta \\
    f_9 \\
    f_{10}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[ (22) \]

\( k' = f_5, k'' = f_6, \theta = f_7, \theta' = f_8, \phi = f_9, \) and \( \phi' = f_{10}. \) The given matrix form is
for

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta &= 1 - \frac{Pr\partial\theta}{\partial y} (f(1) + f(4))^2, \\
\zeta &= \frac{a}{Pr}, \\
\lambda &= \frac{1 - Pr\text{Re}(\theta(1) + \theta(4))}{1 - Sc\text{Re}(\theta(1) + \theta(4))^2} \\
\gamma &= \frac{1}{1 - Sc\text{Re}(\theta(1) + \theta(4))^2} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The vector form of boundary conditions is given as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial f_0(1)}{\partial y} \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{24}
\]

The vector form of boundary conditions is given as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial f_0(1)}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial f_0(2)}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial f_0(4)}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial f_0(5)}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial f_0(7)}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial f_0(9)}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial f_b(3)}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial f_b(6)}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial f_b(8)}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial f_b(10)}{\partial y}
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{25}
\]

Numerical integration of the bvp4c Matlab solver is used to solve (22).

4. Results and Discussion

The characteristics of velocity against \( r \) are illustrated by the graph in Figure 2. Physically, an enlargement in the values of \( r \) reduces the resistance to flow for the case of small viscosity shear-thinning fluid by enhancing the fluid velocity. By this fact and as can be seen from Figure 2, the values of \( r \) extremely enhance as the velocity of nanofluid is deceased. Figure 3 is plotted to demonstrate the behaviors of \( r_c \) on the velocity of the fluid. As the value of \( r_c \) is upgraded from 0.2 to 0.4, the nanofluid velocity is enhanced. Figures 4 and 5 are plotted to investigate the characteristic of mixed convection (or thermal buoyancy) parameter \( \lambda \) and nonlinear mixed convection due to temperature \( r_t \) on velocity profile along \( x \)-axis, respectively. As we seen from the graphs, the influences of these parameters are similar.

The behavior of \( Nb \) (Brownian motion parameter) is illustrated on temperature by Figure 6. Physically, \( D_B \) (Brownian diffusion coefficients) and \( Nb \) (Brownian motion parameter) are directly proportional to each other. For this case, nanofluid temperature increases as both Brownian diffusion coefficients \( (D_B) \) and Brownian motion parameters \( (Nb) \) were enhanced. Moreover, the graph of Figure 6 is uphill due to Brownian motion parameter resulting in an increment in temperature nanofluid.

The impact of magnetic parameter \( M \) is examined on the temperature graph as shown in Figure 7. Physically, viscous force and electromagnetic force are inversely and directly proportional to magnetic parameter, respectively. For both
physical and graphical descriptions, Figure 7 confirms that as the values of magnetic parameter $M$ increase, the temperature graph decreases.

The influence of the Weissenberg number $We$ on temperature of nanofluid is depicted in Figure 8. The ratio of a specific process time to the relaxation time of the fluid is the physical determination of the Weissenberg number. Therefore, an increment in the Weissenberg number $We$ resulted in an enlargement of temperature of nanofluid. The thermophoretic force ($Nt$) is developed in temperature of nanofluid as sketched in Figure 9. Physically, an elevation of the temperature of the fluids and more thickness of thermal boundary layers are the result of pushing away nanoparticle from hot boundary toward fluid. Therefore, enlargement in the values of $Nt$ resulted in downward graph of temperature of nanofluid. Figure 10 reports the impact of Prandtl number ($Pr$) on the temperature profile. Physically, the fluid with high Prandtl number has low thermal diffusion when compared with viscous diffusion. As a result, when the values of Prandtl number are enlarged, temperature graph is increased.

Figure 11 reports the characteristics of $\delta_c$ on concentration profile. An increment of the modulus of $\delta_c$ corresponds to an enlargement in the concentration of nanofluid particles.

The importance of the relaxation time parameter (Cattaneo–Christov heat flux model), $\delta_c$, is explained in concentration of nanofluid as plotted in Figure 12. The time needed by the fluid particle to transfer the heat energy to the neighboring particles is physical description of thermal relaxation time (Cattaneo–Christov heat flux model). This shows that thermal relaxation time is the time needed for material particles to transfer heat to adjacent particles. Therefore, thermal relaxation parameter increased for the
case of small heat transfer to the fluid from the surfaces of the sheets. Moreover, the absolute value of relaxation time parameter is enlarged as concentration nanofluid is developed. The influence of magnetic parameter \( M \) is discussed on the concentration graph as plotted in Figure 13. As we can see from the graph, an enlargement of the values of magnetic parameter \( M \) causes a decrease in the concentration graph. Figure 14 depicts the behavior of Schmidt number (Sc) on concentration profile. Physically, the ratio of momentum to mass diffusivity is said to be Schmidt number (Sc). Thus, as the values of Sc increase, the graph of concentration profile increases.

Figures 15–17 give an analysis of the skin friction coefficient, local Nusselt number, and Sherwood number for different values of nonlinear mixed convection due to temperature \( \tau t \), thermophoresis parameter \( Nt \), and concentration relaxation parameter \( \delta c \). An increment in the values of all the mentioned parameters resulted in a decrement in Figures 15–17.

The influence of various governing parameters on the rate of entropy generation and Bejan number will be described below. Figures 18 and 19 report the characteristics of Br on entropy generation number and Bejan number, respectively. As the values of Br increase, the entropy generation rate increases, but a decreasing trend of Bejan number is observed as the values of Br grow. It is interesting to note that zero entropy generation is observed far away from the surface of the stretching sheet. Dominance of viscous effects over mass and heat transfer by entropy generation is enhanced and Bejan number is decreased due to enlargement of Br.
The characteristics of $B$ on entropy generation number and Bejan number are reported by Figures 20 and 21, respectively. Similar impacts have been presented by increasing both entropy generation number and Bejan number. That means, as we see from the figures, increasing the values of $B$ resulted in enhancement of both entropy generation number and Bejan number of the problem under consideration. Figure 22 reports the influence of concentration profile $\beta_2$ on Bejan number. As we understand from Figure 22, the graph of Bejan number ($Be$) increases when the values of $\beta_2$ enlarge.
In Table 1, comparison of this paper with the open literature of the previous work is reported. More agreement is recorded when we compare the result of this paper with the literature on the various values of \( M \) according to the presentation in this table. Moreover, Table 2 discusses the characteristics of \( r, \tau_c, \Pr, \) and \( \Sc \) on \(-h''(\eta), -k''(\eta), -\theta'(\eta), \) and \(-\phi'(\eta)\). A thorough discussion on the behavior of those parameters is reported in this table.

**Table 1:** Comparison showing that this article agrees with the literature of \( f''(0) \).

| \( M \) | Ref [50] | This paper |
|-------|---------|------------|
| 0.0   | -1.0000 | -1.000046  |
| 0.5   | -1.1804 | -1.180400  |
| 1.0   | -1.4142 | -1.414214  |
| 2.0   | —       | -1.7647    |
| 3.0   | —       | -2.0487    |
| 4.0   | —       | -2.2907    |

The authors assumed the parameter \( B = \beta_1 \).

**Table 2:** Computed values for skin friction coefficient, local Nusselt number, and Sherwood number for this manuscript.

| \( r \)  | \( \tau_c \) | \( \Pr \) | \( \Sc \) | \(-h''(\eta)\) | \(-k''(\eta)\) | \(-\theta'(\eta)\) | \(-\phi'(\eta)\) |
|-------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| 0.2   | 0.2     | 2.0   | 0.3   | 3.12768   | 3.429777 | 1.185088    | 0.119707    |
| 0.3   | 0.3     | 3.764443 | 3.913524 | 1.177402 | 0.114871  | 0.109524    |
| 0.4   | 0.4     | 4.713001 | 4.981438 | 1.164543 | 0.109524  | 0.109524    |
| 0.5   | 0.5     | 12.125562 | 7.155310 | 1.110244 | 0.099446  | 0.099446    |
| 0.3   | 0.3     | 3.309783 | 3.429883 | 1.185091 | 0.119914  | 0.119914    |
| 0.4   | 0.4     | 3.306798 | 3.429842 | 1.185090 | 0.120121  | 0.120121    |
| 0.5   | 0.5     | 3.303812 | 3.429883 | 1.185091 | 0.120329  | 0.120329    |
| 2.1   | 2.1     | 3.312107 | 3.429788 | 1.157631 | 0.117182  | 0.117182    |
| 2.2   | 2.2     | 3.311488 | 3.429797 | 1.132022 | 0.114823  | 0.114823    |
| 2.3   | 2.3     | 3.310907 | 3.429806 | 1.108063 | 0.112614  | 0.112614    |
| 2.4   | 2.4     | 3.310360 | 3.429815 | 1.085583 | 0.110539  | 0.110539    |
| 0.4   | 0.4     | 3.312837 | 3.429776 | 1.191370 | 0.131638  | 0.131638    |
| 0.5   | 0.5     | 3.312927 | 3.429775 | 1.199489 | 0.146965  | 0.146965    |
| 0.6   | 0.6     | 3.313048 | 3.429773 | 1.210305 | 0.167227  | 0.167227    |
| 0.7   | 0.7     | 3.313218 | 3.429770 | 1.225225 | 0.194895  | 0.194895    |
5. Conclusions

In this article, we investigate the influence of entropy generation and magnetic field on a thin film flow of tangent hyperbolic fluid flow which is an incompressible, laminar, viscous, and steady flow past a three-dimensional stretching surface under forced and natural convection flow condition. Cattaneo–Christov heat and mass flux model is employed in the governing problem of this study. This article outputs are given as follows:

1. Velocity along x-axis decreases as both the values of power-law index parameter $r$ and Schmidt number $Sc$ increase.
2. Mixed convection (or thermal buoyancy) parameter $\lambda$ and nonlinear mixed convection due to temperature $\tau t$ show similar agreement on velocity profile along the x-axis.
3. As the values of thermophoresis parameter $Nt$ and magnetic field parameter $M$ increase, the temperature profile decreases.
4. As the value of concentration relaxation parameter $\delta_1$ decreases, the concentration profile increases.
5. Impact of nonlinear mixed convection due to concentration $\tau c$ and Schmidt number $Sc$ on concentration profile is similar when their values are uphill in Table 2.
6. Nonlinear mixed convection due to temperature $\tau t$, thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, and concentration relaxation parameter $\delta_1$ have similar impact on the skin friction coefficient number, local Nusselt number, and Sherwood number.
7. Brinkman number $Br$ and parameter $B$ have opposite impact on entropy generation number $NG$ and Bejan number $Be$.

Investigation of the application of unsteady Dufour and Soret effect with cylindrical form of tangent hyperbolic fluid at thin film flow is the future work of the authors.

Nomenclature

- $\tau t$: Nonlinear mixed convection due to temperature
- $\tau c$: Nonlinear mixed convection due to concentration
- $\tau n$: Ratio of concentration to thermal buoyancy forces
- $\lambda$: Mixed convection (or thermal buoyancy) parameter
- $\delta_1$: Temperature difference parameter for entropy
- $\beta_2$: Concentration difference variable
- $\omega, \nu, u$: Velocity components in directions
- $y$: Direction normal to the surfaces
- $x$: Direction along the surfaces
- $h, k$: Dimensionless velocities
- $T$: Temperature of the fluids
- $C$: Concentration of the fluids
- $Nb$: Motion of Brownian parameter
- $r$: Power-law index parameter
- $Sc$: Schmidt number
- $M$: Magnetic field parameter
- $Nt$: Parameter of thermophoresis
- $NG$: Entropy generation number

Greek letters

- $\rho$: Density of the fluid
- $\nu$: Kinematic viscosity
- $\mu$: Dynamic viscosity
- $\theta$: Dimensionless temperature
- $\phi$: Dimensionless concentration
- $\delta_2$: Temperature relaxation parameter
- $\delta_1$: Concentration relaxation parameter
- $\eta$: Similarity variable.

Subscripts

- $\infty$: Surface condition
- $w$: Free stream condition.
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