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Abstract

Background: Vitamin D is required for normal bone growth and mineralization. We sought to determine whether vitamin D deficiency at birth is associated with bone mineral content (BMC) of Canadian infants.

Methods: We measured plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] as an indicator of vitamin D status in 50 healthy mothers and their newborn term infants. In the infants, anthropometry and lumbar, femur and whole-body BMC were measured within 15 days of delivery. Mothers completed a 24-hour recall and 3-day food and supplement record. We categorized the vitamin D status of mothers and infants as deficient or adequate and then compared infant bone mass in these groups using nonpaired t tests. Maternal and infant variables known to be related to bone mass were tested for their relation to BMC using backward stepwise regression analysis.

Results: Twenty-three (46%) of the mothers and 18 (36%) of the infants had a plasma 25(OH)D concentration consistent with deficiency. Infants who were vitamin D deficient were larger at birth and follow-up. Absolute lumbar spine, femur and whole-body BMC were not different between infants with adequate vitamin D and those who were deficient, despite larger body size in the latter group. In the regression analysis, higher whole-body BMC was associated with greater gestational age and weight at birth as well as higher infant plasma 25(OH)D.

Conclusion: A high rate of vitamin D deficiency was observed among women and their newborn infants. Among infants, vitamin D deficiency was associated with greater weight and length but lower bone mass relative to body weight. Whether a return to normal vitamin D status, achieved through supplements or fortified infant formula, can reset the trajectory for acquisition of BMC requires investigation.
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In northern countries, endogenous synthesis of vitamin D is thought to be limited to the months of April through September. During the winter months, dietary or supplemental vitamin D intake at values similar to the recommended intake of 200 IU/day (5 µg/day) is not enough to prevent vitamin D deficiency in young women. Vitamin D deficiency is well documented among Canadian women and young children and has been reported at levels as high as 76% of women and 43% of children (3–24 months) in northern Manitoba and 48.4%–88.6% of Aboriginal women and 15.1%–63.5% of non-Aboriginal women in the Inuvik zone of the former Northwest Territories. Vitamin D dependent rickets in children and osteomalacia in adults are the most commonly reported features of deficiency. We sought to determine whether maternal or infant vitamin D deficiency at birth is associated with BMC of Canadian infants.

Methods

The head obstetrics nurse screened all women admitted to the Winnipeg Health Sciences Centre (located at latitude 49.54°N) for delivery of an infant during all weekdays (except holidays) until the desired sample size of 72 was achieved (August 2001 to April 2003). For entry to the study, an infant had to have an appropriate birth weight for gestational age as determined from US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention growth charts and had to be free of congenital malformations. Mothers had to have undergone ultrasound dating to confirm gestational age of the infant, had to be healthy (as indicated by absence of gestational diabetes, hypertension and any long-term medical therapy) and had to be abstaining from use of nonmedicinal drugs such as cocaine, marijuana and alcohol. Women were entered into the study if they agreed to sampling of the infant’s cord blood and follow-up dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry of the infant.

Cord blood and maternal blood (obtained within 48 hours of delivery) were collected into heparinized tubes, and plasma was separated from the red blood cells (by centrifugation at 2000 × g) followed by storage at −80°C until analysis. Vitamin D was measured as 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] in plasma using a radioimmunoassay (Diasorin, Stillwater, Minn.). The assay was run in accordance with the manufacturer’s specifications, with a coefficient of variation of less than 10%.

Infant weight and gestational age (based on ultrasound dating between 8 and 13 weeks) at birth were obtained from the medical record, as were maternal age at time of delivery, weight gain during pregnancy, height and use of prenatal vitamin and mineral supplements. Maternal prepregnancy weight was not consistently documented in the charts and thus was not used for analysis.
At a follow-up visit (within 15 days of birth), the following infant dimensions were measured: weight to the nearest gram using an electric scale (model SB 32000, Mettler-Toledo Inc., Greifensee, Switzerland), length to the nearest 0.1 cm using an infant length board (O’Leary LengthBoards, Ellard Instrumentation Ltd., Seattle, Wash.) and head circumference to the nearest 0.1 cm using a nonstretchable tape (Perspective Enterprises, Portage, Mich.). The array mode of a dual-energy x-ray absorptiometer (QDR 4500A, Hologic Inc., Waltham, Mass.) was used to measure whole-body, lumbar spine (vertebrae 1–4) and whole-femur BMC. For the absorptiometry, each infant wore a single light sleeper with no metal or plastic components and a diaper and was wrapped in a single receiving blanket. BMC was expressed as absolute weight in grams and as grams per kilogram body weight (i.e., the body weight measured on the same day). At this visit, mothers were asked to self-identify their ethnicity from the following choices: white, First Nations, Asian, black, Filipino or other. They were also asked to provide a 24-hour recall of food intake, including supplement use. The 24-hour recall represented a weekday, and food models were used to help estimate portion sizes. In addition, 30 women also completed 3-day (2 nonconsecutive weekdays plus 1 weekend day) written food records within the first 4 weeks after delivery. Records were checked for completeness, including portion sizes, cooking method and brand names. Where clarification was required, mothers were contacted by telephone. Vitamin D intake from food was estimated using a nutrient analysis program (Nutrient Analysis Program, E. Warwick, Long Creek, PEI) that includes the 1997 Canadian Nutrient File Database. The average total daily vitamin D intake for the 30 women completing both assessments was 200 IU/day based on the 24-hour recalls and 248 IU/day based on the 3-day record (r = 0.76, p < 0.001). As these results were strongly correlated, only the results for the 24-hour recall are reported for the full cohort.

The sample size was calculated on the basis of a sampling distribution of whole-body BMC that would vary from the population mean14 by 3 g with a standard deviation of 13 g.15 The difference of 3 g was based on the error of 4% and an estimated population mean of 74 g.15 Precision of measurement for infants is less than 2.3%15 and differences between Hologic machines approximate 1.4% in children and adults.17 According to this calculation, the sample estimate was 51 infants, but we recruited 72 infants to allow for a potential attrition rate of 30%.

The vitamin D status of mothers and infants was categorized as deficient or adequate, where deficiency was defined as plasma 25(OH)D concentration less than 37.5 nmol/L for mothers2 and less than 27.5 nmol/L for infants.2 Differences between deficient and adequate groups were tested using nonpaired t tests for continuous data, and differences in proportions between groups were detected using a χ² test for categorical data. The level of significance was set at a probability of 0.05 for all tests.

To determine if vitamin D status contributed to BMC outcomes, multiple linear regression models were constructed using backward stepwise regression analyses. The predictor variables included infant sex; maternal ethnicity; infant weight and gestational age at birth; infant weight, length, lean mass, postnatal and gestational age at time of follow-up; and maternal and infant vitamin D status.

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the University of Manitoba Ethics Review Board.

Results

In total, 342 mothers who met the entry criteria permitted sampling of cord blood at the time of delivery, and 72 (21%) consented to participate in the full study. The most common reasons for declining to participate were time constraints and maternal concerns about the use of x-ray absorptiometry to measure BMC. Of the 72 mother–infant pairs who agreed to participate, 22 were not included in the analysis because of dropping out (n = 11), lack of a maternal blood sample (n = 4) or late follow-up visit (n = 7). The results for 50 mother–infant pairs with a complete data set and studied within 15 days of delivery are reported here.

Plasma 25(OH)D was less than 37.5 nmol/L in 23 (46%) of the 50 mothers, with an average of 28.6 (standard deviation [SD] 7.8) nmol/L in the deficient group and 61.6 (SD 24.7) nmol/L in the adequate group. Maternal characteristics stratified by vitamin D status are reported in Table 1. A higher proportion of vitamin D deficient mothers had nonwhite ethnicity and delivered an infant with vitamin D deficiency. A lower proportion of deficient mothers took a maternal supplement. No other differences were observed between the maternal groups.

Eighteen (36%) of the infants had plasma 25(OH)D less than 27.5 nmol/L, with an average of 19.0 (SD 6.0) nmol/L in the deficient group and 39.7 (SD 10.6) nmol/L in the adequate group. Infants deficient in vitamin D had higher birth weight as well as greater weight, length and head circumference at follow-up (Table 2), but lower whole-body and femur BMC relative to weight (Table 2). No other differences were observed between the infant groups.

### Table 1: Characteristics of mothers with deficient* or adequate vitamin D status

| Characteristic                              | Vitamin D status; mean (SD)† |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                             | Deficient n = 23 | Adequate n = 27 | p value‡ |
| Race (white/nonwhite)§                      | 8/15 22/5               | 0.002           |
| Age, yr                                     | 24.8 (5.5) 28.9 (7.1)   | 0.029           |
| Height, cm                                  | 162 (8) 161 (7)        | 0.64            |
| No. (% ) with education < grade 12          | 8 (35) 4 (15)          | 0.19            |
| Total weight gain in pregnancy, kg          | 12.1 (5.5) 15.3 (12.0)  | 0.24            |
| No. (% ) delivering between October and March | 14 (61) 9 (33)      | 0.10            |
| No. (% ) who took a maternal supplement during pregnancy | 14 (61) 25 (93) | 0.018           |
| Vitamin D intake (IU/day)                   | 149 (145) 242 (218)   | 0.09            |
| No. (% ) delivering a vitamin D deficient infant¶ | 16 (70) 2 (7)       | < 0.001         |

Note: SD = standard deviation.

*Deficiency defined as plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) less than 37.5 nmol/L.
‡Derived from t tests for continuous data and chi-square tests for proportions or categorical data.
§Nonwhite comprises First Nations (n = 10), Asian (n = 5), Filipino (n = 3) and black (n = 2).
Whole-body BMC was predicted by a combination of 4 factors in the regression analysis. The final regression equation was BMC = –178.8 + 4.305 (gestational age at birth [weeks]) + 0.021 (birth weight [g]) + 0.332 (infant vitamin D [nmol/L]) – 0.139 (maternal vitamin D [nmol/L]); \( R^2 = 0.859, p < 0.001 \) for gestational age and weight and \( p < 0.028 \) for both vitamin D measurements. Thus, higher values for gestational age, birth weight and infant vitamin D status were associated with higher infant BMC, but (after accounting for infant vitamin D) maternal vitamin D was negatively associated with BMC. Collinearity between infant and maternal vitamin D was not considered a contributing factor since these vitamin D concentrations were only correlated with each other at \( r = 0.67 \). Infant sex, infant length and maternal ethnicity were not included in the final model since they did not significantly predict infant BMC.

**Interpretation**

Forty-six percent of healthy mothers and 36% of their infants were vitamin D deficient. Although all infants were of normal birth weight, as dictated by the entry criteria, those with vitamin D deficiency were on average heavier and longer than those with adequate vitamin D. Despite their greater size, these vitamin D deficient infants had lower whole-body BMC relative to body weight. This finding suggests that the vitamin D status observed among deficient infants in this study was unable to support adequate mineralization of bone. These heavier, longer infants were expected to have a higher BMC because their skeletons were larger; however, because of their vitamin D deficient state, they did not.

The findings that whole-body BMC is related to infant weight and gestational age but not to maternal ethnicity and infant sex have been reported by others. The present study adds to this knowledge the fact that after accounting for these variables, infant BMC is positively associated with infant vitamin D status. Therefore, larger infants will have greater BMC only if they have adequate vitamin D. The inverse relation between infant BMC and maternal vitamin D concentration observed in the regression analysis, after accounting for infant gestational age, weight and vitamin D status at birth, is new and difficult to explain. Kamycheva and associates observed that women with higher vitamin D intake (> 112 IU/day) were likely to have a healthy body mass index (BMI) of 24–25 kg/m². It is also known that women whose BMI is in this range in their first trimester have smaller infants (by 170 g) than those with a higher BMI (> 25 kg/m²). In

| Characteristic | Deficient, mean (SD) | Adequate, mean (SD) | p value‡ |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| **Demographic** |                       |                     |          |
| Sex (M/F)     | 13/5                  | 16/16               | 0.22     |
| Race (white/nonwhite) | 6/12                     | 24/8                | 0.010    |
| No. (and %) born between October and March | 11 (611) | 13 (41) | 0.27 |
| **At birth** |                       |                     |          |
| Gestational age, wk | 39.5 (0.8)             | 39.6 (0.9)          | 0.70     |
| Weight, g     | 3698 (380)            | 3399 (451)          | 0.022    |
| **At time of DXA** |                   |                     |          |
| Postnatal age, wk | 1.4 (0.8)              | 1.4 (0.7)           | > 0.99   |
| Weight, g     | 3932 (401)            | 3511 (414)          | 0.001    |
| Length, cm    | 53.7 (1.6)            | 51.4 (2.0)          | < 0.001  |
| Head circumference, cm | 36.6 (1.2)         | 35.6 (1.3)          | 0.01     |
| **Bone and fat** |                   |                     |          |
| Lumbar spine (1–4) BMC, g | 2.3 (0.5)            | 2.3 (0.5)           | > 0.99   |
| Lumbar spine (1–4) BMC/body weight, g/kg | 0.589 (0.136)       | 0.658 (0.125)      | 0.08     |
| Femur BMC, g | 2.8 (0.7)             | 2.9 (0.6)           | 0.60     |
| Femur BMC/body weight, g/kg | 0.706 (0.174)       | 0.813 (0.146)      | 0.027    |
| Whole-body BMC, g | 76.4 (12.9)           | 75.7 (13.7)         | 0.86     |
| Whole-body BMC/body weight, g/kg | 19.490 (3.053)      | 21.330 (2.033)     | 0.017    |
| Whole-body fat, % | 12.7 (4.1)            | 10.6 (4.1)          | 0.09     |

Note: DXA = dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry, BMC = bone mineral content.
*Deficiency defined as plasma 25(OH)D less than 37.5 nmol/L.
†Except where otherwise indicated.
‡Derived from t tests for continuous data and \( \chi^2 \) tests for proportions or categorical data.
§Nonwhite comprises First Nations (n = 10), Asian (n = 5), Filipino (n = 3) and black (n = 2).
addition, mothers randomly assigned to receive a bolus dose of vitamin D (200 000 IU) to correct deficiency at the seventh month of gestation delivered infants 250 g lighter than the control group.22 The possibility that behaviours related to maternal bone mass and vitamin D status interact to regulate infant body weight requires further investigation.

This study was limited by the small sample size. In addition, our study population had a higher proportion (n = 10 [20%]) of First Nations people than the Winnipeg population in 2001 (8.4%).21 This difference may be explained by the higher birth rate for this group (23.0 births per 1000 people within the First Nations population vs. 11.1 births per 1000 people in the Canadian population), as well as its younger age.22 There are no data on BMC of First Nations infants to indicate if this high proportion affected our results. Nonetheless, the values we obtained for whole-body BMC were similar to other Canadian data,3,15 and the values for maternal vitamin D intake and status were similar to data presented in other Canadian reports.6,7

The cause of persistent vitamin D deficiency among women26-30 and infants26-32 throughout the world is not entirely clear. Vitamin D deficiency persists despite consumption by some women of more than 200 IU of vitamin D per day, as documented in our study and by others.6 In Canada, vitamin D fortification of commercially available cow’s milk and margarine for the primary prevention of vitamin D deficiency was thought to be effective.11 While dietary assessment is difficult and might not reflect true intake, our data suggest that the dietary requirements for pregnant women in Canada and the United States should be higher than the currently recommended levels of at least 200 IU/day.2 The recommendation of 900 IU vitamin D per day for Aboriginal women who are pregnant28 might be more appropriate in northern Canada and other areas where exposure to sunshine is limited. Canadian recommendations for healthy term infants suggest that, in communities where deficiency is prevalent, infants should receive 800 IU of vitamin D daily.11 A comprehensive assessment of vitamin D status among Canadians, through documentation of dietary intake from foods and supplements and assessment of endogenous synthesis, is required to guide fortification and supplementation policies for Canadians.

Although the perils of overt vitamin D deficiency are known, the effects of mild to moderate vitamin D deficiency and lowered BMC at birth remain to be seen. Although there are no reports of an association between BMC and vitamin D status at birth and subsequent development of osteoporosis, a growing body of literature links suboptimal intruterine environment with later bone mass of the hip.33 Whether correction of vitamin D deficiency after birth will prevent subsequent negative outcomes related to bone health is unknown. The vitamin D status of pregnant women and infants should be optimized to achieve bone health that will last a lifetime.
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