Ovarian growing teratoma syndrome with multiple metastases in the abdominal cavity and liver: A case report

Xu Hu, Zhong Jia, Li-Xin Zhou, Nisile Kakongoma

Abstract

BACKGROUND
Growing teratoma syndrome (GTS) is an unusual presentation of an amazing transformation of teratoma from malignant to benign on pathology during or after chemotherapy. The definitive pathogenesis is still not fully understood due to the lack of large-sample studies.

CASE SUMMARY
A 53-year-old woman underwent radical surgery and postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy due to immature teratoma of the right ovary at the age of 28. She remained well during a 25-year follow-up period after surgery. Multiple asymptomatic solid masses were found in the liver on ultrasonography a month ago. Enhanced computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen revealed multiple masses in the abdominal cavity. The largest one was located in the posterior peritoneum next to the sixth segment of the right liver, about 7.9 cm × 7.5 cm in size. Three masses were present inside the liver, and one mass was in the right pelvic floor. Multiple lumps in the abdominal cavity were completely removed by surgery. During the operation, multiple space-occupying lesions were seen, ranging in size from 0.5 to 3 cm, and grayish white in color and hard in texture. Ovarian GTS was finally diagnosed based on postoperative pathology. After surgery, she recovered uneventfully. During a 3-year follow-up, the patient remained free of the disease without any recurrence on CT scan.

CONCLUSION
GTS is a rare phenomenon characterized by conversion of immature teratoma to mature one during or after chemotherapy and presents as growing and meta-
Growing tumor syndrome (GTS) is a rare entity that refers to an amazing phenomenon characterized by complete conversion of the primary malignant tissues to benign components on pathology during or after systemic or intraperitoneal chemotherapy, leaving the benign acquiring a regrowing performance and tolerance to radiotherapy or chemotherapy\(^1\). It was first reported in 1982\(^2\). However, its definitive pathogenesis is still not fully understood due to lack of large sample studies. In addition, GTS in the liver is often misdiagnosed as multiple primary or metastatic masses\(^3\). Herein, we describe a middle-aged woman who was finally diagnosed with an ovarian growing teratoma syndrome (OGTS) that mimicked multiple metastatic hepatic masses at first, aiming to investigate its diagnosis, treatment, and possible pathogenesis.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

**Chief complaints**
A 53-year-old woman underwent radical surgery and postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy due to immature teratoma of the right ovary at the age of 28. She remained well during a 25-year follow-up period after surgery. Multiple asymptomatic solid masses were found in the liver on ultrasonography a month ago and she was then hospitalized in the Hangzhou First People's Hospital.

**History of present illness**
The patient was accidentally found to have multiple asymptomatic solid masses in the liver during an ultrasound examination at a local community clinic a month ago, and a palpable mass in the abdomen, but no tenderness. She visited our hospital for relevant examinations and further treatment. The patient underwent pathological examination of liver tumor guided by B-ultrasound before operation, which suggested mature teratoma. Finally, the patient decided to undergo surgery.

**History of past illness**
The patient underwent bilateral appendage + total hysterectomy + omentectomy + appendectomy + lymph node dissection 25 years ago due to immature solid teratoma of the right ovary. Postoperative pathological diagnosis was immature solid teratoma of the right ovary, involving the omentum and appendix, with lymph node metastasis (4/21). From the 3rd day after surgery, she received intraperitoneal chemotherapy of cisplatin 6 times. The patient had no history of hepatitis and gastrointestinal malignancies.

**Personal and family history**
The patient did not smoke or drink, and had no relevant family history.
Figure 1 Abdominal computed tomography showed multiple masses in the abdominal cavity. A: Showing three small masses in the liver; B: Showed the largest one was located in the posterior peritoneum next to the sixth segment of the right liver. The largest one was located in the posterior peritoneum next to the sixth segment of the right liver, three masses were present inside of liver and one mass was in the right pelvic floor.

Physical examination
A non-tender hard mass on the right upper abdomen with clear boundary and smooth surface, about 7 cm × 6 cm in size, was noted. In the middle and lower abdomen, incision scar was observed.

Laboratory examinations
Carbohydrate antigen (CA) 242 was 23.7 ku/L and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9) was 93.6 ku/L. Alpha-fetoprotein and lactate dehydrogenase were within the normal range.

Imaging examinations
Abdominal computed tomography (CT) showed multiple masses in the abdominal cavity. The largest one was located in the posterior peritoneum next to the sixth segment of the right liver, about 7.9 cm × 7.5 cm in size, with uneven internal density, calcification and adipose tissue. Three masses were present inside the liver, the largest was about 3 cm × 2 cm, and one mass was in the right pelvic floor, which was about 2 cm × 2 cm (Figure 1).

FINAL DIAGNOSIS
Based on the patient’s medical history and related examinations, the patient was diagnosed with OGTS (Figure 2).

TREATMENT
The patient underwent liver mass resection + laparoscopic-assisted pelvic nodule resection + retroperitoneal mass resection.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
The patient recovered uneventfully after surgery and was discharged after 8 d, and has returned to the hospital for review every 3 mo. On a 3-year follow-up, the patient remained free of the tumor without recurrence on CT scan.

DISCUSSION
OGTS refers to selective extinction of ovary-origin immature teratoma acquiring tolerance to radiochemotherapy[1]. It often occurs in young women, the youngest patient is 4 years old[4]. And 2%-7% of GTS occur in non-spermatogonia reproductive tumors, the main modes of metastasis are
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Figure 2 Postoperative histopathology combined with immunohistochemistry analysis demonstrated purely mature teratomatous tissues. A: Shows that the pathological immunohistochemical results are positive for cytokeratin; B: Shows that the pathological immunohistochemical results are negative for cytokeratin 7; C: Shows that the pathological immunohistochemical results are is HE staining; D: Shows that the pathological immunohistochemical results are positive for cluster of differentiation 56. The pathological results were obtained under a microscope with a magnification of 40 times, in which figure A is cytokeratin (+), figure B is cytokeratin 7 (-), figure C is HE staining, figure D is cluster of differentiation 56 (+), and orange arrows mark a large number of nerves endocrine cell aggregation, and all pathological results showed no immature neural tube, suggesting a mature teratoma.

transperitoneal dissemination, lymph node metastasis and hematological dissemination, and the most common metastatic site is retroperitoneum, but also in the liver, kidney and mediastinum, with a median growth rate of 0.5 cm/mo[5]. So far, there are no standardized criteria for GTS diagnosis and treatment[6]. The mainstream of hypothesis concerning its pathogenesis hold that extinction of immature teratomatous cells or benign lesion transformation might be a genetic mutation triggered by sensitive chemotherapy, leaving mature teratomatous cells regrowing behind[7]. However, the hypothesis seemed to be difficult to explain the OGTS presenting as multiple foci with different growing pace just like this case. For this reason, we proposed a new hypothesis of tumoral competitive inhibition and dormancy. We supposed that immature and mature teratomatous cells most likely co-existed in the primary malignant ovarian teratoma and its metastatic foci, but the benign growth arrested due to the malignant aggressive behavior. When encountering sensitive chemotherapeutic drugs such as cisplatin, immature teratomatous cells were selectively killed, while mature teratomatous cells were completely inhibited to an occult status that refers to dormancy stage until they might be awakened at an uncertain time. The key to successful diagnosis includes four tips: (1) Serum tumor markers are normal or tending to be normal; (2) During or after systemic chemotherapy or thoracic or abdominal chemotherapy, the recurring tumor has growth characteristics and is resistant to radiotherapy or chemotherapy; (3) The postoperative pathology of the tumor specimen suggests a benign tumor (mature teratoma); and (4) Preoperative pathological examination or imaging examination of the mass has no evidence of malignancy, but shows teratoma characteristics. In this case, the elevated serum CA 19-9 was thought to be most likely associated with these metastatic masses involving the liver and pelvic peritoneum because the abnormal CA 19-9 was back to normal after removal of these metastatic foci. Complications of OGTS include focal carcinoma transformation, spontaneous rupture, and symptoms of compressing adjacent viscus[8,9]. Additionally, the incomplete resection might lead to a higher recurrence rate (72%-83%) compared to complete resection (< 4%)[10]. Therefore, thorough surgical removal of all newly emerged masses should be the only curative management.
CONCLUSION

OGTSs presenting as multiple hepatic masses might be misdiagnosed as metastatic or primary liver lesions, or result in disastrous consequence due to invalid radio-chemotherapy. Therefore, early recognition of this disease and complete removal of all the masses are crucial in the treatment of this disease, and long-term follow-up should be carried out after the operation. Hypothesis of tumoral competitive inhibition and dormancy could better explain its occurrence.
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