Planar polarity genes and inhibition of supernumerary neurites
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The first overt sign of polarization in a newly born (post-migratory) neuron is the formation and directed outgrowth of an axon from a more or less featureless cell soma. In *C. elegans*, the ability to directly visualize nascent neurite formation and extension in vivo has led to several recent insights into the mechanisms that govern this aspect of early neuronal polarization. A key finding is that extracellular cues, such as netrins, slits and wnts, which impart directionality to migrating growth cones also specify the site of initial neurite emergence by polarizing guidance receptors and downstream effector molecules along the axis of extension.1,2,3 However, mechanisms that may act to inhibit non-specific neurite protrusion during neuritogenesis are less well understood.

We have recently shown that a PCP-like pathway that includes *vang-1* (Van Gogh/Strabismus), *prkl-1* (Prickle) and *dsh-1* (Dishevelled) acts to block inappropriate neurite formation in VC4 and VC5 motor neurons.4

An Emerging Role for PCP Components in Axon Guidance

The Frizzled/PCP pathway was first identified in Drosophila as a key regulator of cell polarity and organization in the plane of the epithelium in eyes, wings, and abdomen.5 Genetic studies defined a core group of conserved PCP molecules that include the transmembrane proteins Frizzled, Van Gogh and Flamingo and the cytoplasmic proteins Prickle and Dishevelled. In vertebrates, PCP signaling has been implicated in epithelial surface polarity and directed cell migrations during gastrulation and neurulation.6 In *C. elegans*, PCP-like pathways are known to regulate asymmetric cell division, vulval precursor cell polarity and cell intercalations during intestinal morphogenesis.6,7,8 Over the last several years, the involvement of non-canonical Wnt/Frizzled pathways in axon pathfinding kindled speculation that some of these pathways would also include PCP-like mechanisms.9 This notion was supported by evidence that loss of PCP genes such as *Frizzled3* and *Celsr3/Flamingo* caused similar axon tract formation defects in mouse.10,11 It has since become apparent that several distinct non-canonical Wnt pathways act in axon guidance, many of which share components that are common to all Wnt/Frizzled pathways including classic PCP pathways. However, only recently have deficits in genes that are specific to PCP signal transduction such as *Van Gogh/Vangl2*...
and Prickle have been shown to display axon tract defects in mice, flies and worms.4,12,13,14,15,16

**A vang-1-prkl-1 Pathway Blocks Inappropriate Neurite Formation in VC4 and VC5 Neurons**

The VC neurons are a set of six peripheral motor neurons (VC1–6) that innervate vulval muscles to control egg-laying. Born postembryonically during L1, they undergo neuritogenesis in late L3 and reach final synaptic targets in late L4.17 The VCs are an advantageous neuronal polarity model as they are bipolar neurons with stereotypical differences in the orientation of process extension along the AP body axis. VC1–3 and VC6 orient process extension along the AP body axis, whereas the processes of VC4 and VC5 (VC4/5) extend along the orthogonal LR axis generated by the developing vulva, an intermediate target tissue during vulval muscle innervation. Time lapse studies indicate that while all VC neurons initially project processes bidirectionally along the AP axis in L3, the vulval proximal VC4/5 neurons rapidly reorient unidirectionally toward the vulval axis of symmetry and eventually bifurcate laterally to grow bidirectionally along the LR axis of the L4-stage vulva.4 The directional cues that orient VC process growth along the AP axis or toward vulval cells are not known. However, in animals in which the vulva has been physically or genetically ablated, VC4/5 processes, like those of VC1–3 and VC6, extend along the AP axis,18 suggesting that bidirectional AP growth constitutes the default polarity in the absence of vulval-derived cues.

How is a differential VC4/5 polarity achieved? A PCP-like pathway appears to play an important role (Fig. 1). In VC4/5 neurons, vang-1, prkl-1 and dsh-1 act to ensure that neurite outgrowth is exclusively polarized along the vulval axis. Loss-of-function mutants fail to maintain polarized VC4/5 morphology which manifests as a gradual increase in the proportion of neurons with AP-directed ectopic neurites as worms mature from L3 to adulthood. Cell-specific rescue experiments revealed that vang-1, prkl-1 and dsh-1 act cell-autonomously to maintain VC4/5 polarity. Interestingly, epithelial-specific expression of vang-1 and dsh-1 also rescued VC4/5 polarity defects in mutant animals. This is consistent with PCP in flies and vertebrates where some components play both autonomous and non-autonomous roles to transduce polarity signals.5 The observation that all three genes are expressed in VC neurons and vulval cells during neurite formation and pathfinding suggests that the non-autonomous activity of vang-1 and dsh-1 resides in the vulval epithelial cells.

Several observations suggest that prkl-1 plays a prominent role in mediating neurite growth inhibition. First, prkl-1 loss and gain-of-function display opposite VC4/5 polarity phenotypes (too many or too few neurites respectively), while loss and gain of vang-1 and dsh-1 display similar phenotypes (too many neurites) (Fig. 1A). Second, prkl-1 overexpression restores normal VC4/5 polarity in vang-1 and dsh-1 mutants. And third, prkl-1 overexpression but not that of vang-1 is sufficient to block neurite emergence or

**Figure 1.** A PCP-like pathway blocks inappropriate neurite formation in VC4 and VC5. (A) VC4 and VC5 neurons polarize neurite extension bidirectionally along the LR axis of the vulval epithelium while vulval distal neurons like VC6 polarize bidirectionally along the AP axis. In PCP mutants such as vang-1 or prkl-1, VC4 and VC5 display ectopic AP-directed neurites. Overexpression of prkl-1 but not vang-1 inhibits VC neurite formation in vulva-distal and vulval-proximal VC neurons. In vulval-less animals all VC neurons polarize bidirectionally along the AP axis. (B) A PCP-like pathway in VC4 and VC5 involving cell-cell interactions with vulval guidepost cells (orange) acts to antagonize or silence default AP polarity signals (brown) which would otherwise promote ectopic neurite formation. Polarity cues are depicted as hypothetical permissive corridors or instructive gradients.
extension in VC6 resulting in a bipolar to unipolar-like morphology change. Surprisingly, while prkl-1 could block VC6 neurite growth in a vang-1 and dsh-1-independent manner, the resulting orientation of VC6 along the AP axis was vang-1 and dsh-1-dependent. In other words, prkl-1 overexpression preferentially resulted in the loss of the anterior-directed neurite in a vang-1 and dsh-1−/− background and the posterior-directed neurite in a vang-1 and dsh-1−/− background. Collectively, these results are consistent with PRKL-1 acting both upstream and downstream of VANG-1 and DSH-1 and suggest that an output of PCP-like signaling is to orient a neurite inhibitory complex in VC4/5 cell bodies.

Asymmetrical PCP Complexes?
Van Gogh and Prickle orthologs are known to physically interact in vitro and found to colocalize in vivo in asymmetrically distributed complexes that correlate with later visible features of morphological polarization.19,20 Interactions among asymmetric PCP complexes appear to be an important feature of polarity generation in tightly packed cell sheets such as epithelia but whether they are a defining feature of PCP in cells with more transient cell contacts is less clear.21 Localization of GFP-tagged VANG-1 and PRKL-1 proteins revealed distinct punctate plasma membrane distributions but no apparent asymmetries in VC4/5 somas during neuritogenesis. This finding suggests that polarized distributions may not be an important determinant of VC polarity. Alternatively, polarized protein distributions in VC4/5 may be transient (for example in collapsing growth cones) or involve post-translational modifications and therefore difficult to detect. Notably, in Drosophila mushroom body neurons, where a PCP-like pathway regulates axon branching, Van Gogh, Frizzled, Prickle, and Dishevelled were also not found to be differentially distributed.14 The dynamic and transient aspect of PCP-like signaling during neurite extension is highlighted in commissural growth cones where Vangl2 is preferentially localized to the tips of leading edge filopodia to promote Wnt/Frizzled3-dependent advance.29

A Model for PCP-Like Regulation of VC4/5 Polarity
VC4/5 polarity shows several hallmarks of classic PCP-like signaling including autonomous and non-autonomous gene activity, similar loss and gain-of-function phenotypes, and complex genetic interactions; however, the PCP-like mechanism that inhibits VC4/5 neurite formation along the AP axis is currently not known. VC4/5 neurons likely encounter at least two polarity cues during neuritogenesis, a default polarity cue that promotes neurite outgrowth along the AP axis and a vulval-derived one that promotes outgrowth toward and along the LR axis of the developing vulva. The observation that many VC4/5 neurons in PCP mutants show normal early polarization suggests that the initial responses to these cues are PCP-independent. Given that only VC4/5 among the VC neurons are in continuous direct contact with vulval cells during neurite formation and pathfinding, a logical hypothesis is that VC neuron-vulval cell interactions, involving vang-1 and dsh-1, activate a prkl-1-dependent PCP effector pathway in the VC4/5 neurons to block or collapse non-specific supernumerary growth cones (Fig. 1). In this model, vulval cells are predicted to act as guidepost cells that participate in the reorientation of VC4/5 neurite growth toward the vulva and in a PCP-like pathway to antagonize or silence default polarity signals that would otherwise promote neurite formation or extension along the AP axis. Testing this model will require a better understanding of the extrinsic cues that guide VC neurite growth and intrinsic factors that control PCP-dependent cytoskeletal changes that promote growth cone collapse.

References
1. Adler CE, Fetter RD, Bargmann CI. UNC-6/Netrin induces neuronal asymmetry and defines the site of axon formation. Nat Neurosci 2006; 9:511-8; PMID:16520734; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn1666
2. Kisszenblat I, Patterabramian D, Hilliard MA. LIN-4/4/ Wnt directs dendrite outgrowth through LIN-17/ Frizzled in C. elegans Neurons. PLoS Biol 2011; 9: e1001157; PMID:21949641; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001157
3. Salinas PC, Zou Y. Wnt signaling in neuronal circuit assembly. Annu Rev Neurosci 2008; 31:339-58; PMID:18558859; http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.31.060407.125649
4. Sanchez-Abre uez L, Vivasaurvil J, McEwan A, Su A, Imai JH, Colavita A. VANG-1 and PRKL-1 cooperate to negatively regulate neurite formation in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Genet 2011; 7: e1002257; PMID:21912529; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002257
5. Goodrich LV, Strue D. Principles of planar polarity in animal development. Development 2011; 138:1877-92; PMID:21521735; http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.054080
6. Green JL, Inoue T, Sternberg PW. Opposing Wnt pathways orient cell polarity during organogenesis. Cell 2008; 134:646-56; PMID:18724937; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.06.026
7. Hoffmann M, Sgehrt C, Helbig G, Bossinger O. Intestinal tube formation in Caenorhabditis elegans requires vang-1 and egl-15 signaling. Dev Biol 2010; 339:268-79; PMID:20004187; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.12.002
8. Wu M, Herman MA. A novel noncanonical Wnt signaling pathway is involved in the regulation of the asymmetric B cell division in C. elegans. Dev Biol 2006; 293:316-29; PMID:16651156; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.12.024
9. Goodrich LV. The plane facts of PCP in the CNS. Neuron 2008; 60:9-16; PMID:18940584; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.003
10. Tissir F, Bar I, Josim Y, De Backer O, Goffinet AM. Protocadherin Cdelta5 is crucial in axonal tract development. Nat Neurosci 2005; 8:451-7; PMID:15778712
11. Wang Y, Thelkdi N, Smallwood PM, Macke JP, Nathans J. Frizzled-3 is required for the development of major fiber tracts in the rostral CNS. J Neurosci 2002; 22:8563-73; PMID:12351730
12. Fenstermaker AG, Prasad AA, Bechara A, Adolfs Y, Tissir F, Goffinet A, et al. Wnt/planar cell polarity signaling controls the anterior-posterior organization of monoaminergic axons in the brainstem. J Neurosci 2010; 30:16053-64; PMID:21106844; http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4580-10.2010
13. Mukaish EM, Flanagan DJ, Whittington PM. The core planar cell polarity gene prickle interacts with flaminos to promote sensory axon advance in the Drosophila embryo. Dev Biol 2011; 358:224-30; PMID:21827745; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.07.032
14. Ng J. Wnt/PCP proteins regulate stereotyped axon branch extension in Drosophila. Development 2012; 139:165-77; PMID:22147954; http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.068668
15. Shaffer B, Onishi K, Lo C, Colakoglu G, Zou Y. Vangl2 promotes Wnt/planar cell polarity-like signaling by antagonizing Dvl1-mediated feedback inhibition in growth cone guidance. Dev Cell 2011; 20:177-91; PMID:21361586; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.01.002
16. Shimizu K, Sato M, Tahara T. The Wnt5/planar cell polarity pathway regulates axonal development of the Drosophila mushroom body neuron. J Neurosci 2011; 31:4944-54; PMID:21455033; http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0514-11.2011
17. White JS, Sourgate E, Thomson JN, Brenner S. The structure of the ventral nerve cord of Caenorhabditis elegans. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1976; 275:327-48; PMID:88006; http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1976.0086
18. Li C, Chalfie M. Organogenesis in C. elegans: positioning of neurons and muscles in the egg-laying system. Neuron 1990; 4:681-95; PMID:2344407; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(90)90195-L
19. Bastock R, Strutt H, Strutt D. Strabismus is asymmetrically localised and binds to Prickle and Dishevelled during Drosophila planar polarity patterning. Development 2003; 130:3007-14; PMID:12756182; http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.00526
20. Jenny A, Darken RS, Wilson PA, Mlodzik M. Prickle and Strabismus form a functional complex to generate a correct axis during planar cell polarity signaling. EMBO J 2003; 22:4409-20; PMID:12941693; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg424
21. Bayly R, Axelrod JD. Pointing in the right direction: new developments in the field of planar cell polarity. Nat Rev Genet 2011; 12:385-91; PMID:21502960; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg2956