ABSTRACT

Objective: Copper and manganese are essential minerals needed for various biological processes in small amounts. However, essential minerals are poorly absorbed in the form of salts or free form, leading to their low bioavailability. Forming complexes of essential minerals with protein can increase their bioavailability. Metal proteinate complexes are non-polar, thereby reducing their excretion from the body. Fish waste is quite abundant in Indonesia, and therefore, we used fish waste to synthesize metal-proteinate complexes.

Methods: Protein was extracted from fish waste using pancreatin. The extracted protein was mixed with copper or manganese in various ratios. The metal content in the complexes was analyzed using atomic absorption spectrophotometry; ion exchange chromatography was used for separating the complexes from free unbound metals.

Results: The optimum condition which yielded the highest protein content was the ratio of pancreatin enzyme to fish waste powder of 2:100. The optimum concentration of pancreatin was found to be 2% of the substrate. The yield of copper-proteinate complexes ranged from 97.87% to 98.55%, whereas the yield of manganese-proteinate complexes ranged from 97.05% to 98.36%. The free metal content was only found in the manganese-proteinate complex in the 1:2:1 ratio, which was determined to be 0.0198 mg/g.

Conclusion: We demonstrated that copper and manganese can react with proteins extracted by enzymatic hydrolysis of fish waste.
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INTRODUCTION

Fish waste comprises various body parts from fish, such as the head, skin, stomach contents, fins, bones, and eggs [1,2]. Fish waste is generally discarded rather than being used for other applications [3,4]. Converting fish waste into useful compounds such as drugs or functional foods that use protein ingredients is a solution to this problem [5]. Fish waste contains protein, fat, amino acids, minerals, enzymes, peptides, collagen, and gelatin [6,7]. Chemical hydrolysis produces heterogeneous and non-specific peptides which reduce protein quality, whereas enzymatic reaction produces a more precise and better quality hydrolyzed protein. Thus, enzymatic reactions using protease enzymes are better than chemical hydrolysis reactions [7,8].

The protein extracted from fish waste can be used as a ligand to form metal proteinate complexes using essential metals, such as Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn, Mg, Ca, Co, Mo, and Cr. All of that is generally easy to be excreted because of their polar nature. However, forming a metal proteinate complex reduces their polar properties, preventing their rapid excretion and is, therefore, better absorbed into tissues [9-16].

The reaction of metals with proteins results in the formation of ions, which can be separated or purified using a cation exchange column. The metal proteinate is retained in the stationary phase of the Amberlite XAD-16 adsorption column, whereas the free metal is easily carried away by the mobile phase flowing out of the column [17].

To date, no metal proteinate has been synthesized using protein extracted from fish waste. In the present study, a proprietary fish waste protein extract was used to synthesize the metal proteinate and demonstrated an increase in the absorption of essential minerals chelated by the protein. This study shows the potential economic benefits derived from fish waste, particularly in the health and wellness industry, where metal proteinate supplements have found a niche market and thereby reduced the problems associated with processing fish waste.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Fish waste (Local fish market), copper sulfate (Merck-Germany), manganese sulfate (Merck-Germany), pancreatin protease enzyme 4NF (Excellent Biotechnologies), potassium sulfate, copper (II) sulfate pentahydrate or copper (II) oxide, granulated zinc, sulfuric acid (p20 = 1.84 g/ml), 0.1 M sulfuric acid, methyl red solution (300 mg in 100 ml ethanol or methanol), 40% (m/v) NaOH solution, 0.25 M and 0.1 M standard NaOH solution, acetylone, acetic acid, acetone, 1.0 M nitric acid in acetic acid, aquadest, and adsorption resin Amberlite XAD-16.

Equipment

Atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Shimadzu AA-6300) equipped with a hollow-cathode lamp, column chromatograph, silent phase ion exchange chromatograph, and adsorption resin Amberlite XAD-16.

Preparation of fish waste powder

Approximately 500 g of fish waste was sun-dried for 8 h, after which the dried fish waste was mashed with a blender to yield a fine powder that was weighed.
Protein extraction from the enzymatic reaction of fish waste with protease

Protein was extracted from the fish waste by pancreatin 4NF enzyme – hydrolysis. Dried fish waste powder (5.0 g) was dissolved in 25 ml distilled water (pH 8.0). Pancreatin 4NF (100 mg) was added to the mixture and incubated for 1 h to allow enzymatic hydrolysis. The mixture was then heated on a water bath for 5 min to inactivate the enzyme. The protein suspension in water was decanted, and the volume was measured. Of this suspension, 50% was used to determine protein content by the Kjeldahl method, and the remaining was reacted with metal to synthesize the metal proteinate.

The hydrolysate was washed with 30 ml acetone and incubated for 8 h at 4°C. This process separated protein from residual fat; subsequently, protein deposit and acetone were separated by decantation. The protein deposit obtained was then dissolved in 30 ml of 0.6% sodium chloride solution (pH 5.5) and heated on a water bath at 70°C for 1 h to allow the protein to precipitate. The solution was then filtered with a Buchner funnel using a Whatman® filter paper No. 42 equipped with Erlenmeyer reservoirs and vacuum pumps. The protein deposits were trapped on filter paper in the Buchner funnel and sequentially washed with aquadest, ethanol, and ether, as previously described [18].

Synthesis of metal proteinate complexes

Metal proteinate was synthesized by reacting copper sulfate and manganese sulfate with protein obtained from fish waste. Metal proteinate complexes of three ratios, 0.8:1, 1:1, and 1.2:1, were synthesized in this study. Protein concentration, determined by the Kjeldahl method, was used to prepare the metal proteinate complex. Extracted protein was resuspended in 15 ml aquadest and heated on a hotplate until it reached 40°C. Metal compounds in the proportion described above were added into the suspension to allow metal proteinate complex formation.

Deposits at the bottom of the container were filtered using Millipore 0.22 µm filter paper. The yield of the precipitate obtained and the water content was determined. The steps were repeated for other complex formation for comparison purposes [19].

Sample preparation for atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS)

For spectrophotometry, 60 mg of the metal proteinate complex was dissolved in 10 ml 65% HNO₃ and heated on a hotplate at a temperature of 100°C to accelerate the destruction of the complex. Destruction was considered complete if the sample dissolved or no white vapor was released. This resulted in a clear yellow solution with a little residual sediment. The solution was filtered using a 50-ml volumetric flask equipped with a funnel and filter paper at the top to sieve the remaining deposits. The sample was diluted with an appropriate volume of distilled water before AAS.

Separation of free metals from metal proteinate complexes

Sixty milligrams of the metal-proteinate complex were suspended in distilled water, filtered, and separated by column chromatography with the stationary phase Amberlite XAD-16 resin. The mobile phase was conditioned first by washing columns in sequence using methanol, aquadest, 1.0 M nitric acid solution in acetone, aquadest, and 1.0 M

Table 1: Protein content based on the Kjeldahl method

| Pancreatin concentration (%) | Protein conversion | Protein concentration (%) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 2                           | 6.25               | 0.625                      |
| 3                           | 6.25               | 0.575                      |
| 4                           | 6.25               | 0.569                      |
**Table 2: Yield of metal proteinate complexes**

| Compound name       | Protein weight (mg) | Metal weight (mg) | Complexes theoretical weight (mg) | Complexes weight from synthesis (mg) | Yield (%) |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Copper-proteinate (0.8:1) | 66.7                | 53.36             | 120.06                            | 118.32                               | 98.55     |
| Copper-proteinate (1:1)   | 66.7                | 66.70             | 133.40                            | 130.56                               | 97.87     |
| Copper-proteinate (1.2:1) | 66.7                | 80.04             | 146.74                            | 144.31                               | 98.34     |
| Manganese-proteinate (0.8:1) | 66.7               | 53.36             | 120.06                            | 117.73                               | 98.06     |
| Manganese-proteinate (1:1)   | 66.7                | 66.70             | 133.40                            | 129.47                               | 97.05     |
| Manganese-proteinate (1.2:1) | 66.7                | 80.04             | 146.74                            | 144.34                               | 98.36     |

**Table 3: Water content in metal proteinate complexes**

| Compound name       | Weight (before heating) (g) | Weight (after heating) (g) | Water content (%) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Copper-proteinate (0.8:1) | 11.4706                     | 11.4680                    | 0.02              |
| Copper-proteinate (1:1)   | 22.3542                     | 22.3455                    | 0.04              |
| Copper-proteinate (1.2:1) | 11.9566                     | 11.9178                    | 0.30              |
| Manganese-proteinate (0.8:1) | 22.3836                    | 22.3743                    | 0.04              |
| Manganese-proteinate (1:1)   | 11.4579                     | 11.4570                    | 0.01              |
| Manganese-proteinate (1.2:1) | 22.3953                     | 22.3908                    | 0.02              |

**Table 4: Concentration of metal content in metal proteinate complexes determined by AAS**

| Compound name       | Concentration (µg/ml) | Volume (ml) | Dilute factor | Concentration (µg/g) | Bound metal concentration (mg/g) |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Copper-proteinate (0.8:1) | 1.2232               | 50          | 5             | 5.0098               | 5.0098                           |
| Free copper (0.8:1)   | 2.4901               | 50          | 5             | 10.3599              | 10.3599                          |
| Free copper (1:1)     | 2.623                | 10          | 1             | -                    | -                                |
| Copper-proteinate (1.2:1) | 2.1623              | 50          | 5             | 8.9901               | 8.9901                           |
| Free copper (1:1)     | 4.3902               | 10          | 1             | -                    | -                                |
| Manganese-proteinate (0.8:1) | 4.4144              | 50          | 5             | 18.3140              | 18.3140                          |
| Free manganese (0.8:1) | 4.060                | 10          | 1             | -                    | -                                |
| Manganese-proteinate (1:1) | 4.9060              | 50          | 5             | 20.3063              | 20.2865                          |
| Free manganese (1:1)  | 0.1191               | 10          | 1             | 0.0198               |                                   |

AAS: Atomic absorption spectrophotometry

**Determination of the metal content using AAS**

First, a calibration curve was prepared using a standard solution with a concentration of 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 ppm for each metal. The sample levels with the conditions of each metal were determined as follows: Copper (flame: Air, acetylene [oxidation]; temperature: 2450 K; speed: 160 cm/s; and wavelength: 324.7 nm) and manganese (flame: Air, acetylene [oxidation]; temperature: 2450 K; speed: 160 cm/s; and wavelength: 279.5 nm).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Preparation of fish waste powder**

The powdered fish waste obtained in this study had a fishy odor and was brown in color. Loss due to drying to remove water content was 3.49%.

**Protease enzymatic extraction of protein from fish waste**

Enzymatic hydrolysis yielded 15 ml of protein, which was a suspension of pancreatin enzyme fish waste powder in three different ratios (2:100, 3:100, and 4:100). The protein contents obtained from these suspensions were 0.625%, 0.575%, and 0.569% (Table 1). The optimum condition which yielded the highest protein content was the ratio of pancreatin enzyme to fish waste powder of 2:100. Consequently, metal proteinate complexes were synthesized using protein extracted using pancreatin from fish waste powder at a ratio of 2:100.

**Synthesis metal proteinate complexes**

The copper proteinate complex obtained in powder form was dark green Pantone 5743 U color. The manganese proteinate complex was brown Pantone 464 U color. The yield of each metal proteinate complex in various ratios is summarized in Table 2 and Fig. 1. The water content of each metal proteinate complex in various ratios is listed in Table 3.

**Determination of the metal content using AAS**

The concentration of metal bound to proteins was obtained by subtracting the concentration of metal in samples that were subjected to destruction from the concentration of free metals obtained from separation. In this study, free copper was not found in copper proteinate complexes. However, free manganese was found in all manganese proteinate complexes with the highest in the 1:2.1 ratio, whereas the highest concentration of bound manganese was in the 1:2.1 ratio (Table 4).

**CONCLUSION**

It was found that copper and manganese can be reacted with proteins extracted from fish waste using enzymatic hydrolysis. This reaction yielded dark green Pantone 5743 U colored copper proteinate and...
brown Pantone 464 U color manganese proteinate in powder form. The optimum concentration of pancreatin enzyme was found to be 2% of the substrate. The yield of copper proteinate complexes ranged from 97.87% to 98.55%, whereas the yield of manganese-proteinate ranged from 97.05% to 98.36%. The free metal content was only found in the manganese proteinate complex in 1:2:1 ratio which was determined to be 0.0198 mg/g.

The copper-proteinate complex (1:1) yielded the highest amount of copper content, whereas a ratio of 1:2:1 was ideal for the highest yield of manganese-proteinate complex and also the highest free metal content.
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