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The multiphase motor drive systems have become a focus in many application areas such as ship electric propulsion, urban mass transit, aerospace, and weapon equipment, as they are characterized by high power density, low torque pulsation as small torque ripple, large output power, strong fault tolerance, and high reliability. However, with the increase of the phase number of the motor, the current harmonic component increases correspondingly, which leads to the decrease of the control performance compared with the three-phase system. In order to overcome this challenge, implementation method of driving control technology for seven-phase permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) based on SVPWM algorithm is discussed thoroughly in this paper. Simulink and experiments have been developed to check its practical feasibility. The results show that the near-six vector SVPWM algorithm (NSV-SVPWM) achieves better performance than other methods.

1. Introduction

Multiphase PMSM is widely used in special occasions such as high current and high voltage, and it works in the case of low voltage and high power drive without any restriction of current or voltage. Compared with three-phase permanent magnet motor, PMSM has many advantages, such as simple structure, small volume, high power output density, high efficiency, low torque ripple, and low vibration noise, and it has been widely used in the field of micro electric vehicle [1–5]. As the number of motor phases increases, the pulse frequency of the output torque of the multiphase motor drive system increases, and the amplitude of the mechanical torque ripple decreases; thus, the static and dynamic performance of the multiphase motor drive system can be improved, and the reliability of the whole drive system is improved. For the dimension of the multiphase motor drive system, the three-dimensional system of the traditional three-phase motor is transformed into a multidimensional system. The increase of the dimension of the motor makes the control strategy of the multiphase motor more abundant, thus making the more complex motor control algorithm more easily realized.

In view of the above advantages of multiphase PMSM, the seven-phase PMSM is considered. However, due to the increase of the phases of motors, the current harmonic contents of multiphase motor system are larger, which leads to the decrease of the control performance compared with the three-phase system [6, 7]. The inverter nonlinearity is the key source of current harmonics. To solve this problem, domestic and foreign experts and scholars have conducted a lot of research [6, 8–10], such as starting from the design improvement of motor, changing the distribution of motor winding and distribution of groove to reduce the harmonic current, and starting from the angle of control strategy, current decoupling to different harmonic subspaces to reduce the harmonic content [6].

With regard to the PWM of seven-phase system, the SVPWM theory can be still employed to represent the behavior of seven-phase systems as a natural extension of the traditional three-phase SVPWM transformation [11, 12]. In this paper, the space vector modulation (SVM) has been extended to a seven-phase voltage source inverter, considering reference space vectors in all the three d-q planes [13]. Especially, the proposed SVM strategy univocally selects the
inverter switch configurations among the 128 possibility by privileging the space vector on the first subspace plane, d1-q1, the one responsible of balanced sinusoidal output voltage waveforms [14]. Then, vector analysis of the other two planes that produce the harmonic current is made to find out whether the vector that generates harmonics can be counteracted as much as possible. So, the implementation method of driving control algorithm based on SVPWM for low-order current harmonics suppression is proposed. We also describe in Section 3. Simulations and experiments analysis of seven-phase PMSM. The seven-phase SVPWM algorithm is organized as follows. Section 2 gives mathematic model of seven-phase PMSM; the mathematical model of the static coordinate system of the seven-phase PMSM is analyzed in detail. Then, a novel synchronous rotating coordinate transformation matrix was presented, and a mathematical model of the rotating coordinate system is obtained by matrix converter. And then, the 3rd harmonic subspace and the 5th harmonic subspace are derived based on the spatial voltage vector distribution of seven-phase bridge inverter. After analyzing the performance of these methods, namely, the near-four vectors SVPWM (NFV-SVPWM) algorithm and NSV-SVPWM algorithm, NSV-SVPWM algorithm is carried out. Finally, a driving control platform based on STM32F407 primary control chip is designed for seven-phase PMSM.

Preliminary results have been announced in the conference paper [15]. A more complete framework of control technology of seven-phase PMSM for low-order current harmonics suppression is proposed. We also describe in detail the experiments analysis. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives mathematic model of seven-phase PMSM. The seven-phase SVPWM algorithm is described in Section 3. Simulations and experiments analysis of PMSM and the detailed discussion are described in Section 4. Finally, a summary is provided in Section 5.

2. Mathematic Model of Seven-Phase PMSM

The investigated motor is a hidden pole type seven-phase PMSM. Before studying the driving control system of the seven-phase PMSM, the mathematical model of the motor is established at first. Therefore, for the convenience of analysis, the following assumptions are made for the seven-phase PMSM studied:

1. Neglect the influence of eddy current and hysteresis in iron core
2. The magnetic circuit of the motor is linear, and the saturation effect will not occur
3. The stator surface of the motor is smooth
4. Neglect influence of motor temperature on motor winding
5. The structure of the motor is implicit, and the reactance is equal
6. The seven-phase winding is “Y” type connection, and the spatial distribution is completely symmetrical

In the stationary coordinate system, the flux equation, the stator voltage equation, the electromagnetic torque equation, and the mechanical motion equation are important mathematical model of the seven-phase PMSM.

In natural coordinate, the voltage equation for seven-phase PMSM is given:

$$U_v = R_v I_v + g \Psi_v,$$

where $U_v = [u_a, u_b, u_c, u_d, u_e, u_f]^T$ is the stator voltage matrix, $R_v$ represents the stator resistance matrix, $I_v = [i_a, i_b, i_c, i_d, i_e, i_f]^T$ is denoted as the stator current matrix, and $g = d/dt$. $\Psi_v = [\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3, \Psi_4, \Psi_5, \Psi_6, \Psi_7]^T$ is interpreted as the stator flux matrix.

The flux equation for seven-phase PMSM is given:

$$\Psi_v = L_s I_v + \Psi_n,$$

where $L_s$ is the stator inductance matrix, and $\Psi_n$ represents the flux linkage of permanent magnet.

It can be defined as

$$\psi_n = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta \\
\cos(\theta - \alpha) \\
\cos(\theta - 2\alpha) \\
\cos(\theta - 3\alpha) \\
\cos(\theta - 4\alpha) \\
\cos(\theta - 5\alpha) \\
\cos(\theta - 6\alpha)
\end{bmatrix},$$

where $\Psi$, $\theta$ are the flux linkage amplitude of permanent magnet and the rotor position angle, respectively. $\alpha = 2\pi/7$ is the angle between adjacent two-phase winding axes.

The torque equation for seven-phase PMSM is given:

$$M_{eo} = \frac{1}{2} I_v^T L_s I_v + I_v^T \Psi_n,$$

where $T_e$ is motor electromagnetic torque, $M_{eo}$ is denoted as motor magnetic energy, and $a$ is the polar logarithm of motor.

For the hidden pole rotor of motor, $L_s$ and $\theta$ are independent of each other, then the above function can be rewritten as

$$T_e = a \frac{dM_{eo}}{d\theta},$$

The mechanical motion equation for seven-phase PMSM is

$$\frac{J}{a} \frac{d\omega}{dt} = T_e - T_L,$$

where $T_L$ is denoted as motor load torque, and $J$ is moment of inertia of mechanical and electrical system. Seven-phase
PMSM is a nonlinear system. The methods [16, 17] can provide a reference for some parameters estimated and model constructed.

According to equations (2)–(6) of electromagnetic torque equation, it can be seen that the straight axis and quadrature axis currents of stator of seven-phase PMSM are coupled with each other, which brings inconvenience to the subsequent research on vector control theory. Therefore, it is necessary to select appropriate spatial transformation and simplify the mathematical model of the seven-phase PMSM.

According to the principle of constant power, the transformation matrix from natural coordinate system to rotating coordinate system \((d_1 - q_1 - d_3 - q_3 - d_5 - q_5 - 0)\) based on the matrix transformation of Clark and Park is given by

\[
\Pi(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}} \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta & \cos(\theta - \alpha) & \ldots & \cos(\theta + \alpha) \\
-\sin \theta & -\sin(\theta - \alpha) & \ldots & -\sin(\theta + \alpha) \\
\cos 3 \theta & \cos 3(\theta - \alpha) & \ldots & \cos 3(\theta + \alpha) \\
-\sin 3 \theta & -\sin 3(\theta - \alpha) & \ldots & -\sin 3(\theta + \alpha) \\
\cos 5 \theta & \cos 5(\theta - \alpha) & \ldots & \cos 5(\theta + \alpha) \\
-\sin 5 \theta & -\sin 5(\theta - \alpha) & \ldots & -\sin 5(\theta + \alpha) \\
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

If equation (7) is introduced into equations (2)–(6), through matrix transformation, the mathematical model of the motor in the rotating coordinate system can be obtained.

The voltage equation for seven-phase PMSM is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{d_q} & = \Pi(\theta)U_v = \Pi(\theta)R_iI_v + \Pi(\theta)g\Psi_v \\
& = \Pi(\theta)R_i\Pi(\theta)^{-1}\Pi(\theta)V_v + g[\Pi(\theta)V_v] - g\Pi(\theta)\Pi(\theta)^{-1}\Pi(\theta)V_v \\
& = R_{d_q}I_{d_q} + g\Psi_{d_q} + \Lambda\Psi_{d_q},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{d_q} & = \Pi(\theta)U_v = \begin{bmatrix} U_{d1} & U_{q1} & U_{d3} & U_{q3} & U_{d5} & U_{q5} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T, \\
R_{d_q} & = \Pi(\theta)R_i\Pi(\theta)^{-1} = r_I g_{\gamma \gamma}, \\
I_{d_q} & = \Pi(\theta)I_v = \begin{bmatrix} i_{d1} & i_{q1} & i_{d3} & i_{q3} & i_{d5} & i_{q5} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T, \\
\Psi_{d_q} & = \Pi(\theta)\Psi_v = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{d1} & \Psi_{q1} & \Psi_{d3} & \Psi_{q3} & \Psi_{d5} & \Psi_{q5} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T.
\end{align*}
\]

In this way, other equations can be obtained. The flux equation for seven-phase PMSM is given by

\[
\Psi_{d_q} = \Pi(\theta)\nu_v = \Pi(\theta)(L_r I_v + \Psi_v).
\]

For the hidden pole rotor of motor, the torque equation can be calculated as

\[
T_e = \frac{\partial M_{eo}}{\partial \theta} = a \left[ I_v \frac{\partial \Psi_v}{\partial \theta} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{7}{2} a I_{d_q} \Pi(\theta) \frac{\partial \Psi_v}{\partial \theta}
\]

\[
= \frac{7}{2} a \Psi_{i_q1},
\]

where \(i_{q1}\) is the component of stator current on the \(q\) axis.

### 3. Seven-Phase SVPWM Algorithm

#### 3.1. Seven-Phase Bridge Inverter

The seven-phase motor drive systems are generally supplied by a seven-phase voltage source bridge inverter. Similar to three-phase bridge inverter, the topology of seven-phase bridge inverter is connected by 14 power switch devices, as shown in Figure 1.

In order to approach the circular magnetic field well, there should be as many regular polygon edges as possible; that is, more inverter switching state combinations should be generated. For seven-phase voltage inverter, the state variable \(S_i (i = a, b, c, d, e, f, g)\) is introduced to represent the switching state of each bridge arm, and the turn-on and turn-off of the switch are represented by “0” and “1.” Then, the seven-phase voltage type inverter can be combined into \(27 = 128\) switching states [18, 19].

In a multiphase voltage source inverter (VSI), switching states determine load equivalent circuit configurations. Therefore, switching states can be divided into corresponding sets. In a seven-phase inverter, these basic equivalent circuit configurations can be categorized into three types, namely, \(C_{16}\) equivalent circuit, \(C_{25}\) equivalent circuit, and \(C_{34}\) equivalent circuit, as shown in Figure 2. Therefore, the switching states can be represented by three sets: \(\{C_{16}\}, \{C_{25}\}\) and \(\{C_{34}\}\).

From the above, the space vector is obtained as

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{i1} & = \frac{2}{U_{dc}}(S_a + aS_b + a^2S_c + a^3S_d + a^4S_e + a^5S_f + a^6S_g), \\
U_{i3} & = \frac{2}{U_{dc}}(S_a + a^2S_b + a^3S_c + a^4S_d + a^5S_e + a^6S_f + a^7S_g), \\
U_{i5} & = \frac{2}{U_{dc}}(S_a + a^3S_b + a^4S_c + a^5S_d + a^6S_e + a^7S_f + a^8S_g).
\end{align*}
\]

Aiming to produce the desired fundamental (sinusoidal) component in the output phase voltage without low-order harmonics, SVPWM algorithm is used. Supposing that the DC bus voltage is considered as “1,” Table 1 presents the magnitude relation of the voltage vector.

#### 3.2. Current Harmonics Suppression Method

Current harmonics (3rd, 5th) caused by the inverter nonlinearity in phase currents are considered. To increase efficiency and
performance of multiphase power converters, the current total harmonic should be eliminated, which highly relies on their control strategy. In this section, two control strategies of SVPWM algorithm are presented in detail.

3.2.1. The NFV-SVPWM Algorithm. Through analysis of the near-four vectors SVPWM (NTV-SVPWM) algorithm [15], it is found that the medium and small vector groups of the space voltage vector in the seven-phase motor system are not fully utilized to remove the harmonic component of the phase current. Therefore, we take into account the more abundant basic voltage vectors in the seven-phase inverter to reduce the harmonic component. Two near vectors, two large vectors, and zero vectors in the fundamental wave subspace are used to synthesize the reference voltage vector, that is, NFV-SVPWM algorithm [20], whose control strategy is the same as the three-phase SVPWM. The reference voltage in the sector is obtained by using the parallelogram rule synthesis. The maximum voltage vector and the middle vector correspond to the \( U_a \) vector group and the \( U_f \) vector group in Table 1, respectively.

The NFV-SVPWM algorithm of seven-phase motor system follows the following principles: The selected basic voltage vectors are given the voltage reference vector in the synthetic fundamental wave space, and the voltage vector synthesized in the 3rd harmonic subspace should be guaranteed to be zero. As shown in Figure 3, in the basic wave space, voltage vectors \( U_{71}, U_3 \) and \( U_{67}, U_{103} \) are in the same direction, while in the 3rd harmonic subspace, voltage vectors \( U_{71}, U_3 \) and \( U_{67}, U_{103} \) are opposite to each other in direction. In order to make the voltage vector of the 3rd harmonic subspace zero, the action time of voltage vectors \( U_{71}, U_3 \) and \( U_{67}, U_{103} \) is inversely proportional to the amplitude of the voltage vector in the 3rd harmonic subspace. In this way, the voltage vectors cancel each other without affecting the synthesis of voltage vectors in fundamental wave space.

For example, in the first sector, fundamental voltage vectors \( U_3, U_{67}, U_{71}, U_{103} \) and \( U_0, U_{127} \) two zero vectors are

| Vectors | \( U_a \) | \( U_b \) | \( U_c \) | \( U_d \) | \( U_e \) | \( U_f \) | \( U_g \) |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Amplitude | 0.127U_{dc} | 0.159U_{dc} | 0.229U_{dc} | 0.286U_{dc} | 0.356U_{dc} | 0.515U_{dc} | 0.642U_{dc} |
selected to synthesize the reference vector $U_{\text{ref}}$. The time of action is defined as $T_1$, $T_2$, $T_3$, $T_4$, and $T_0$, respectively.

Similar to the NTV-SVPWM analysis method, the following relations can be obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_1 &= \frac{U_a \sin[(m-1)(\pi/7)] - U_\beta \cos[(m-1)(\pi/7)]}{(1 + 1.2466^2)[U_M/7][\cos(m\pi/7)\sin[(m-1)(\pi/7)] - \sin(m\pi/7)\cos[(m-1)(\pi/7)]]} T_s, \\
T_2 &= 1.2466 T_4, \\
T_3 &= 1.2466 T_1, \\
T_4 &= \frac{U_a \sin(m\pi/7) - U_\beta \cos(m\pi/7)}{(1 + 1.2466^2)[U_M/7][\cos(m\pi/7)\sin[(m-1)(\pi/7)] - \sin(m\pi/7)\cos[(m-1)(\pi/7)]]} T_s.
\end{align*}
$$

(13)

To reduce the switching losses, the switching signal of the voltage vector in sector one is shown in Figure 4.

3.2.2. The NSV-SVPWM Algorithm. It is well known that 6 groups of voltage vector can synthesize the desired voltage vector. However, voltage vectors $U_a$, $U_b$, $U_c$, and $U_d$ with small amplitude are not continuously switched, which lead to desired voltage vector different direction. So, the voltage vector of the stator flux may offset. In NSV-SVPWM algorithm, the reference vector needs six voltage vectors to be synthesized in each sector of the fundamental wavelet subspace. The previous analysis shows that only three vectors $U_a$, $U_b$, and $U_c$ of seven groups of voltage vectors in the fundamental subspace are used. Therefore, NSV-SVPWM algorithm is used to synthesize the reference voltage vector by a total of three groups of six voltage vectors: $U_{a1}$, $U_{b1}$, and $U_{c1}$.

For example, in the first sector, select fundamental voltage vectors $U_1$, $U_3$, $U_{67}$, $U_{91}$, $U_{103}$, $U_{111}$ and two zero vectors $U_{01}$, $U_{127}$ to synthesize the reference vector $U_{\text{ref}}$. The time of action is defined as $T_1$, $T_2$, $T_3$, $T_4$, $T_5$, and $T_6$, respectively.

Aiming to cut down the harmonic content of phase current, the voltage vector synthesized in the $\alpha_1 - \beta_1$ and $\alpha_2 - \beta_2$ subspaces should be zero. As shown in Figure 5, it can be seen that voltage vectors $U_1$, $U_67$, and $U_{103}$ are in the same direction in $\alpha_1 - \beta_1$ subspace, while vector $U_{67}$ is opposite to the corresponding two vectors in the $\alpha_2 - \beta_2$ and $\alpha_5 - \beta_5$ subspaces. To make the synthesized voltage vectors be zero in the subspaces $\alpha_2 - \beta_2$ and $\alpha_5 - \beta_5$, the reverse vector can be counteracted by the corresponding two other vectors. Thus, the resultant voltage of each direction is zero, and the current harmonic is suppressed.

In the $\alpha_1 - \beta_1$ fundamental subspace, the following equations came up

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{a1} &= \frac{T_1}{T_s} |U_1| + \frac{T_2}{T_s} |U_3|\cos \frac{\pi}{7} + \frac{T_3}{T_s} |U_{67}| + \frac{T_4}{T_s} |U_{91}|\cos \frac{\pi}{7} + \frac{T_5}{T_s} |U_{103}| + \frac{T_6}{T_s} |U_{111}|\cos \frac{\pi}{7}, \\
U_{b1} &= \frac{T_2}{T_s} |U_3|\sin \frac{\pi}{7} + \frac{T_4}{T_s} |U_{91}|\sin \frac{\pi}{7} + \frac{T_6}{T_s} |U_{111}|\sin \frac{\pi}{7}.
\end{align*}
$$

(14)
In the 3rd harmonic subspaces, the following equations are obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
T_1 &+ Ts U_1 + Ts U_{103} - Ts U_{67} = 0, \\
T_2 &+ Ts U_3 + Ts U_{111} - Ts U_{71} = 0.
\end{align*}
\] (15)

In the same way, the following equations came up in the 5th harmonic subspace

\[
\begin{align*}
T_3 &+ Ts U_1 + Ts U_{103} - Ts U_{67} = 0, \\
T_4 &+ Ts U_3 + Ts U_{111} - Ts U_{71} = 0.
\end{align*}
\] (16)

According to the vector magnitude of Table 1, the substitution formulae (14)–(16), a set of equations with six variables and solving the equations can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
T_3 &= \frac{U_{al1} \sin(\pi/7) - U_{b1} \cos(\pi/7)}{2.6638U_{d}} T_s, \\
T_2 &= \frac{U_{b1}}{1.4773U_{d}} \sin(\pi/7) T_s, \\
T_4 &= 2.2524 T_1, \\
T_5 &= 1.8093 T_1, \\
T_6 &= 1.8093 T_2.
\end{align*}
\] (17)

In this way, the voltage equations are listed for each sector based on the fundamental subspace \((\alpha_1 - \beta_1)\), 3rd harmonic subspace \((\alpha_3 - \beta_3)\) and 5th harmonic subspace \((\alpha_5 - \beta_5)\), respectively. These equations are simplified to obtain a new six-element first-order functions within each sector. For example, in sector \(m\) \((1 \leq m \leq 14)\), the following relation holds
4. Simulations and Experiments Analysis

4.1. Simulations and Analysis. The proposed algorithm is implemented in Matlab/Simulink platform. The performance of the three control algorithms (NTV-SVPWM, NFV-SVPWM, and NSV-SVPWM) mentioned above section is analyzed. The parameters of the seven-phase PMSM are consistent with the mathematical model. The motor has a load of 1 N.m, the switching frequency is 20 kHz, and the DC bus voltage is 72V. The stator resistance is 1.78Ω, and d-axis inductance $L_d$ and q-axis inductance $L_q$ are 4.5 × 10⁻³ H and 4.5 × 10⁻³ H, respectively. The permanent magnet flux linkage $\psi_f$ is 0.175 Wb. The moment of inertia $J$ is 0.4 × 10⁻³ kg · m².

Simulation results of NTV-SVPWM are shown in Figure 7. In Figures 7(a) and 7(b), x-coordinate is times (s) and y-coordinate is phase current (A). It can be seen that the phase current is less sinusoidal, and the FFT harmonic analysis shows that the 3rd and 5th harmonic contents are as high as 36.65% and 17.22%, respectively, and the total harmonic THD is 40.61%. The results show that the seven-phase system extended from traditional three-phase SVPWM algorithm has the problem of harmonic phase current.

Figure 8 presents the simulation results of NFV-SVPWM. Although the sinusoidal component of the phase current is slightly higher than the NTV-SVPWM algorithm, the sinusoidal phase current is still very poor as shown in Figure 8(b). Through the FFT harmonic analysis, it can be seen that the 3rd harmonic content is much less than that of the NTV-SVPWM algorithm, only 5.31%, while the 5th harmonic content is still large, 29.13%, and the overall THD is 16.01%. Though the NFV-SVPWM algorithm controls the influence of the harmonic subspace, only the 3rd harmonic subspace is considered, and the interference of the 5th harmonic subspace is not considered, which leads to the greater content of the 5th harmonic in the phase current. In Figures 8(a) and 8(b), x-coordinate is times (s) and y-coordinate is phase current (A).

Figure 9 provides the simulation results of NSV-SVPWM. Using the same motor parameters, the simulation results show that the phase current of NSV-SVPWM appears with tiny distortion, as shown in Figure 9(b). At the same time, the phase voltage waveform is saddle waveform, as shown in Figure 9(c), where x-coordinate is times (s) and y-coordinate is phase current (A).
From the FFT harmonic analysis, it can be seen that the 3rd and 5th harmonic currents are much lower than those of the NTV-SVPWM algorithm, as shown in Figure 9(d). The harmonic contents of 3rd and 5th currents are only 4.29% and 1.76%, and the total THD is 3.51%.

According to the simulation results of above three algorithms, we can see that NSV-SVPWM achieves much better performance than the other methods.

4.2. Experiments and Analysis. A prototype of the proposed algorithm was then built in the lab and tested. In order to ensure that the driving system has good real-time, economic and good motor control characteristics, the STM32F407VET6 chip of the ARM Cortex-M4 kernel as the main control chip of the drive system has been used. Combined with the rated parameters of seven-phase PMSM, a motor experimental platform with a rated voltage of 72 V is built, and its physical map is shown in Figure 10.
Figure 9: Simulation results of NSV-SVPWM, (a) seven-phase current waveform, (b) phase current waveform, (c) phase voltage waveform, (d) FFT analysis.

Figure 10: Seven-phase PMSM experiment platform.

Figure 11: Continued.
Figure 11: Phase voltage experimental waveform of NSV-SVPWM. (a) a phase and b phase voltage (b) a phase and c phase voltage, (c) a phase and d phase voltage, (d) a phase and e phase voltage, (e) a phase and f phase voltage, (f) a phase and g phase voltage.

Figure 12: Phase current experimental waveform of NSV-SVPWM.
The phase voltage waveform of the NSV-SVPWM control mode is shown in Figure 11. It is not difficult to see that the phase voltage waveform is a saddle wave, in which the phase difference between a phase voltage $U_a$ and $b$ phase voltage $U_b$ is $2\pi/7$. The difference between a phase voltage $U_a$ and $c$ phase voltage $U_c$ is $4\pi/7$, as shown in Figure 11(b). In a similar fashion, a phase voltage $U_a$ lags $g$ phase voltage $U_g$ $2\pi/7$, as shown in Figure 11(f). Therefore, on the basis of the experimental results, the phase voltage waveform is consistent with the simulation results.

Figure 12 presents the phase current experimental waveform $i_a$. The sinusoidal component of phase current is very high and without current harmonics. It proves the feasibility of the NSV-SVPWM algorithm in the seven-phase PMSM drive control system. The experimental results are in agreement with the theoretical analysis and simulation results.

5. Conclusion

Multiphase PMSM is needed to produce the sinusoidal component in the output phase voltage, without low-order harmonics. In this paper, the rotating coordinate system of the seven-phase PMSM is obtained by matrix converter. To eliminate low-order harmonics, NTV-SVPWM algorithm, NFV-SVPWM algorithm, and NSV-SVPWM algorithm are discussed thoroughly based on simulations and experiments analysis. According to the simulation and experimental results, it can be concluded that the NSV-SVPWM algorithm not only suppresses the current high order harmonics, but also has excellent control performance.
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