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ABSTRACT
The COVID-19 outbreak has profoundly affected adolescents’ life. Adolescents with pre-existing psychiatric disorders have been at particular risk of increased mental health problems and problematic media use. 178 patients, aged 12–18 years, referred before the COVID-19 outbreak to child and adolescent psychiatry, participated in an anonymous online survey on the impact of the lockdown on media use and mental well-being. The survey was conducted approximately one month after the first easing of restrictions following a six-week lockdown in Switzerland. Based on self-report, half of the patients had been diagnosed with internalizing disorders (ID; depression or anxiety disorder) and the other half with other disorders (non-ID, e.g. ADHD, autistic spectrum disorder). Patients with ID reported higher emotional distress during the lockdown, and a larger number of patients with ID indicated a deterioration of pre-existing symptoms compared to non-ID patients. Although more patients with ID than with non-ID indicated spending a large amount of time on social media, social media time per day in hours was not significantly higher in ID. Patients with ID indicated a higher impact of media use on well-being and mood in everyday life during the lockdown. Social media time was higher in worsened than in improved non-ID patients, while the opposite was found in ID patients, indicating a possible protective effect of media use at least for some ID patients. The results confirm positive as well as negative associations between mental health, emotional well-being and media use for adolescents with ID during the lockdown.

1. Introduction

The dynamic between the threat of the COVID-19 pandemic itself and its associated confinement measures such as lockdowns and physical distancing imposes greater challenges on the younger population than initially anticipated (Fegert et al., 2020; Green et al., 2021; Guessoum et al., 2020; Holmes et al., 2020; Lee, 2020; Liu et al., 2021; Ozamiz-Etxebarri et al., 2020; Palacio-Ortiz et al., 2020; Racine et al., 2020). Adolescents have been facing a period of insecurity, uncertainty, and anxiety (Buzzi et al., 2020; Duan et al., 2020; Jiao et al., 2020; Yeasmin et al., 2020), higher levels of stress (Styck et al., 2021; see review by Marques de Miranda et al., 2020) and fears for the future with no definite end to the pandemic in sight (Ellis et al., 2020). Unsurprisingly, cross-sectional studies indicate that adolescents have shown high rates of new-onset internalizing disorders (ID) like depressive (Duan et al., 2020; Magson et al., 2021; Xie et al., 2020) and anxiety disorders (Chen et al., 2020; Duan et al., 2020; Lee, 2020; Torales et al., 2020). Moreover, adolescents with pre-existing psychiatric disorders may encounter greater difficulties in adapting to the present challenges and seem to be more susceptible to an exacerbation of their symptoms (Hawes et al., 2021; Colizzi et al., 2020; Palacio-Ortiz et al., 2020). However, not all pre-COVID psychopathologies are consistently associated with a symptom deterioration. According to the few existing studies in this area, the results are heterogeneous: While some children showed a deterioration of depression, irritability, obsession/compulsions and hyperactivity others showed also an
improvement in depression, anxiety and irritability under lockdown conditions (Bobo et al., 2020; Cost et al., 2021; Lavenne-Collot et al., 2021). The restrictions under lockdown may have provided potential relief, especially for adolescents with pre-existing social anxiety disorder, whereas individuals with other non-ID psychopathologies such as ADHD suffered from the loss of structure and were more likely to present with increased irritability, low mood or increased hyperactivity (Cost et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2020; Mohler-Kuo et al., 2021). A further factor leading to symptom deterioration may lie in increased stress due to social isolation (Cost et al., 2021; Mohler-Kuo et al., 2021).

1.1. Internalizing disorders and media use

Pre-pandemic studies and meta-analyses revealed potential adverse effects of excessive or problematic internet use (e.g. Iannotti et al., 2009; Livingstone, 2014) associated with behavioral addiction (La Barbera et al., 2009), poor well-being (Richards et al., 2015) or ID (Keles et al., 2020; Maras et al., 2015; Mariano et al., 2018; Restrepo et al., 2020). There appears to be a relationship between increased digital technology use and poorer psychiatric outcomes (“digital depression”; Ghaemi, 2020). Teenagers who increased their social media use, video gaming or TV watching showed more depression and/or anxiety problems (e.g. Barry et al., 2017; Fardoulis et al., 2018; Ohannessian et al., 2021).

As could be expected, screen media time increased during the lockdown both in healthy adolescents (Drouin et al., 2020; Lopez-Bueno et al., 2020; Magson et al., 2021) and in those with pre-existing psychiatric or other disorders (Pietrobelli et al., 2020; Werling et al., 2021), at least temporarily, and in some adolescents, unfavorable developments arose, e.g. excessive online gaming (Balhara et al., 2020; Magson et al., 2021), excessive social media use (Ammar et al., 2020; Burchamah et al., 2020). During the pandemic, children and adolescents with higher anxiety symptoms increased their consumption of digital and social media to a greater degree than those with lower anxiety levels (Drouin et al., 2020). Reduced levels of depressive symptoms were associated with less internet time (Ellis et al., 2020), and increases of digital media time with higher depressive symptoms or anxiety (Burke et al., 2021). On the other hand, during the pandemic with its societal and recreational restrictions, media use has become an important tool, besides its role in education, for social connectedness, entertainment, distraction and information. The use of digital media may be beneficial to cope with feelings of loneliness, anxiety and stress in general (Hoge et al., 2017; Radovic et al., 2017) or during the pandemic in particular (Burke et al., 2021; Caubergh et al., 2021; Jiao et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2020; Muzi et al., 2021; Werling et al., 2021; Xiang et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2021). In adolescents aged 16 years or older, a positive association between anxiety and social media time has been found (Hosain et al., 2020), especially in girls (Hafstad et al., 2021).

The present study aims to investigate the impact of COVID-19 during the spring 2020 lockdown in Switzerland on media behavior and mental well-being in clinically referred adolescents with ID compared to adolescents with other pre-existing psychopathology (non-ID). The following research questions and hypotheses guided our research:

- We intended to investigate whether adolescents with ID and non-ID differed with regard to the amount and impact of media use during the lockdown (self-rated retrospectively). We hypothesized that adolescents with ID would report higher social media time and a higher negative impact of media use on everyday life.
- We hypothesized that the lockdown would have a stronger negative effect on pre-existing symptoms and cause greater emotional distress in adolescents with ID compared to adolescents with other psychopathologies. We expected a higher impact of the lockdown on behavioral problems in non-ID patients.
- The third research question concerned the relation between media use and mental health. We hypothesized that elevated social media time would be associated with a deterioration of the main psychopathological problem. We further assumed that high emotional distress during the lockdown would be associated with a high impact of media use on everyday life and with elevated social media and gaming time.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Recruitment

Patients (aged 12–18 years) who had been treated in outpatient clinics of the Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy of the University of Zurich in the last two years, were invited via email to participate in the present anonymous online survey. Patients over the age of 14 years were addressed directly, and younger patients were addressed via their parents, who were asked to forward the link to their child. Responses from participants younger or older than the indicated age range or without information on gender, age, or main psychopathological problem were excluded. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and in agreement with the local ethics committee.

2.2. Context

Data collection started on May 30th and was completed on 4th July 2020. In Switzerland, a complete lockdown with school closure – the only one so far – lasted from March 16th to the end of April 2020. From May 11th onwards, the first schools reopened. At the time of the survey, the majority of students had returned to class just now, albeit often with reduced onsite hours.

2.3. Instruments

Media-related items were based on a modified version of the Screening Questionnaire for Problematic Use of the Internet (PUI-SQ) for children and adolescents referred to child and adolescent psychiatry (Werling et al., 2021a, 2021b). The PUI-SQ comprises the following subscales/domains: 1. Self-evaluation of frequent media use (five items), 2. Leisure media time per day (six items), 3. Impact of media use on everyday life (five items), 4. Addictive tendencies (four items), 5. Risks and problem behaviors on the internet (six items). All items were rated on 4-point Likert scales, except for Leisure media time per day, which was rated on a 5-point Likert scale (no time at all, <1 hrs, 1–3 hrs, 4–6 hrs, >6 hrs). For further analyses, the mean of each time range was used as an estimate of mean media time (0 hrs, 0.5 hrs, 2 hrs, 5 hrs, 7 hrs).

With the exception of the Risks and problem behaviors subscale, each item had to be rated in relation to three time points: retrospectively before the COVID-19 outbreak (January 2020), during the lockdown (March/April 2020), and regarding the current situation (last two weeks).

To assess demographic characteristics, main psychopathology, emotional distress, behavioral problems, and worry, we used items from the European collaboration study on the impact of COVID-19 on children and adolescents with pre-existing mental health problems (CRISIS) of the ECNP group (Coghill et al., unpublished, Nikolaidis et al., 2021). Patients rated items relating to their mood, mental well-being and behavioral problems during the lockdown and the last two weeks on 5-point Likert scales. An emotional distress subscale score was established using five items on sadness, exhaustion/fatigue, enjoyment of activities, anxiousness, and irritability. The behavioral problems subscale comprised four items: inattention/concentration, hyperactivity, physical aggressiveness, and overall behavioral problems. The classification of items into an emotional and behavioural score is based, with slight modifications, on the factor analysis of a large English sample (E. Simonoff, personal communication) and on a study recently published by Stevanovic and colleagues (Stevanovic et al., 2022). The psychopathological self-assessment was based on an item where patients had to
indicate their main psychopathological problem by selecting one out of eight disorders or alternately by writing their main problem and possible comments into a text field. In the next item, patients were asked whether this problem had changed during the lockdown.

2.4. Statistics

On the item level, the ID and non-ID groups were compared using Chi² tests. Repeated measures MANOVAs with group as between subject variable were used to compared subscale scores over two or three assessment times, with planned contrasts. Age as covariate was tentatively included but did not yield significant effects and was therefore dropped. ANOVA was used to calculate differences in social media time per day between subgroups with improved or deteriorated main psychopathology. Two multiple regression analyses were calculated separately for the ID and non-ID patient groups. All four PUI-SQ subscales and six media time per day scores (two activities, four devices) were entered into the analysis with a) the emotional distress score and b) the behavioral problems score as dependent variables.

3. Results

3.1. Sample description

Due to the low number of younger patients, which precluded the analysis of separate age groups as in our previous study (lockdown survey conducted with parents of patients; Werling et al., 2021b), we decided to eliminate patients under 12 and over 18 years from our sample of N = 226 patients in order to obtain a more homogeneous sample. Categorization into ID or non-ID was based on the category of the main problem selected by the patients; free text self-assessments were categorized by agreement between the first and last author. Female patients were largely overrepresented in the ID group. To achieve a better match, we also eliminated the six youngest male patients with non-ID, meaning that N = 89 non-ID patients and N = 89 ID patients were ultimately included in the analysis. In the final sample, a small age difference remained between ID and non-ID, but gender differences were not significant (Table 1). In the non-ID group, only one patient indicated “gaming” as main psychopathological problem (included in the category “other”, Table 1).

| Table 1 |
| --- |
| Description of the sample. |
|   | Internalizing disorders (ID) | Other disorders (non-ID) | p |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total N | 89 | 89 |  |
| Age mean (years) (SD) | 15.7 (1.5) | 15.2 (1.7) | *0.024 |
| Age range (years) | 12–18 | 12–18 |  |
| Gender ratio male/female/other | 14/69/6 | 23/56/10 | n.s. |
| Main psychopathology subgroups (N) % | Depression (59) 60% | Autism spectrum disorder (22) 25% |  |
| Anxiety disorder (30) 33% | Eating disorder (15) 17% | ADHD (15) 17% |  |
| ADHD (15) 17% | Gender conditions (10) 11% | Borderline (7) 8% |  |
| Gender conditions (10) 11% | Other (20) 22% | Never/rarely (20) 20% | n.s. |
| Frequency of online home-schooling during the lockdown | Never/rarely 27% | Sometimes 15% | n.s. |
| Sometimes 15% | Often/always 58% | Sometimes 19% |  |
| Often/always 61% |  |

3.2. Media use-related behaviors

3.2.1. Frequent leisure media use before, during and after lockdown

During the lockdown, more patients with ID than with non-ID disorders agreed with the statement that they spend a lot of time on their mobile phone and on social media. While in both groups, a large proportion of patients reported spending a lot of time on the internet (ID 35%, non-ID 36%), only a minority indicated frequent TV watching (ID 5%, non-ID 4%) or frequent video gaming (ID 11%, non-ID 12%) (Fig. 1). On item level, more ID than non-ID patients reported frequent social media use at all three time points before (Chi² = 8.942; p < 0.030), during (Chi² = 11.591; p < 0.009), and after the lockdown (Chi² = 10.445; p < 0.015), while higher mobile use was only significant during the lockdown (Chi² = 8.114; p < 0.24). On the subscale level, both groups of patients reported more frequent media use during the lockdown than before (Table 4). This increase appeared to be higher in the ID group but group differences were not statistically significant.

3.2.2. Leisure time per day on screen media activities and devices

Patients with ID did not differ from non-ID patients in time per day spent on social media, either before, during or after the lockdown (Fig. 2B). During the lockdown, 42% of ID patients and 35% of non-ID patients indicated having spent more than 4 h per day on social media, and 14% of each group reported more than 6 h (Fig. 2A). Across-time analysis of social media time per day revealed no significant group difference or group by time interaction. Mean social media time increased from pre-lockdown to lockdown and decreased thereafter but did not return to pre-COVID values (Fig. 2B). The majority of ID patients (67%) and about half of non-ID patients (49%) indicated that before the lockdown, they did not play video games on a daily basis, and this remained the case for 56% of ID patients and 46% of non-ID patients during the lockdown. On the item level, time spent on video gaming did not significantly differ between the two groups (Fig. 2 A). Across-time analyses of mean time scores did not reveal significant group differences or time by group interactions (Fig. 2B).

Interestingly, the higher social media use indicated by ID patients when the item was formulated in general terms, was not reflected in the reported social media time per day during the lockdown (Fig. 3). What is meant by spending “a lot of time” on social media seems to be interpreted somewhat differently in the two groups.

With regard to different media devices, the mobile phone proved to be the most frequently used device by both groups, followed by PC/tablet, TV and video game console. Even during the lockdown, the latter two were only used by the minority of participants and only to a very small extent (Fig. 4A). Analyses of items or mean time of use showed neither group differences nor interaction effects. Mobile usage time did not differ between ID and non-ID during the lockdown on the item level (Chi² = 5.749; p < 0.219, Fig. 4A) but repeated measures analyses revealed a trend for group differences (p < 0.059), with an overall longer mean time of use in ID. For all devices, mean media time significantly increased from pre-COVID to lockdown, and decreased from lockdown to the last two weeks. PC/tablet use after the lockdown remained higher than before the pandemic (p < 0.001) (Fig. 4B).

3.2.3. Impact of media use on everyday life

A significant difference between groups emerged on the item level regarding the impact of media on mood and well-being during the lockdown, and a trend emerged regarding the impact on friendships, with a higher impact in ID patients (Table 2). On the subscale level, ID patients scored higher compared to non-ID (Table 4). On the item level, we found one significant difference between ID and non-ID on the Addictive tendencies subscale, namely on the item referring to unsuccessful attempts to reduce media time (Table 2). However, the Addictive tendencies subscale scores did not significantly differ between the two groups. In general, Addictive tendencies subscale scores were low (Table 4).
During the lockdown: In my leisure time, I spend a lot of time...

Fig. 1. Frequent leisure media use during the lockdown related to different activities or devices in patients with ID and non-ID (percent of responses).

Fig. 2. A) Time per day (percent of responses) and B) mean time per day spent on social media and video games before, during and after the lockdown in patients with internalizing disorders (ID) and other psychiatric disorders (non-ID). Note. A) None of the group comparisons on item level was significant. B) MANOVA social media mean time per day: time effects $F_{2/175} = 22.321^{***}$; group by time: n.s.; group effects: n.s.; time contrasts: T1 $<$ T2, T2 $>$ T3, T1 $<$ T3. MANOVA gaming mean time per day: time effect $F_{2/175} = 17.169^{***}$; time by group n.s.; group effects n.s.; time contrasts T1 $<$ T2; T2 $>$ T3, T3 $>$ T1. T1 = before Lockdown, T2 = Lockdown; T3 = last 2 weeks. LD = Lockdown, n.s. = non significant, *** = $p < 0.001$.

Risks and problem behaviors were very rarely reported by both patients with ID and non-ID. No significant differences were found between patient groups on the item level (Table 3). Approximately 10% more non-ID patients frequented problematic chat groups compared to non-ID patients, but this difference was not significant. There was also no significant difference between the patient groups on the subscale...
score level or across time.

3.3. Pre-existing symptoms, emotional distress and behavioral problems

3.3.1. Improvement or deterioration of psychopathological condition

Of the ID patients, 44% reported a deterioration of the main psychopathological problem and 30% an improvement; of the non-ID group, 26% reported a deterioration and 32% an improvement (based on the CRISIS item on changes of the main psychopathological problem). These group differences were significant (Chi² = 7.408; p < 0.025). Thus, in contrast to non-ID patients, the majority of ID patients showed a deterioration of problems, which was evidently due to a higher number of depressed patients with worsened symptoms, while in patients with anxiety disorder, improvement and deterioration were equally distributed (Fig. 5). After lockdown, group differences were no longer
3.3.3. Emotional distress and behavioral problems

More patients with ID compared to non-ID indicated greater emotional distress on items related to sadness (Chi² = 11.234, p < 0.023) and irritability (Chi² = 10.850, p < 0.0028) during the lockdown. On items related to behavioral problems, more ID patients reported problems concerning concentration/attention (Chi² = 9.437, p < 0.051) and overall behavioral problems (Chi² = 12.521, p < 0.014) during the lockdown. On the subscale level, patients with ID scored higher on the emotional distress as well as on the behavioral problems subscale during the lockdown and on the emotional distress subscale in the last 2 weeks. Across time comparison revealed no significant changes (Table 4).

3.3.3. General worry and fear of infection

Three items from the CRISIS questionnaire were related to general worry and to fear that oneself or family and friends might become infected. None of these items differentiated between ID and non-ID. Most adolescents reported mild to moderate worry under lockdown. About 25% indicated major general concern, 35% were quite or very worried that family or friends might become infected. None of these items differentiated between ID and non-ID.

3.4. Relation between media use and mental health under lockdown

When we investigated the relation between social media time per day and changes in severity of the main psychopathological problem in ID and non-ID, a significant interaction (F = 3.638, p < 0.028) was detected. While in non-ID patients, a deterioration of problems was associated with high social media time (mean 4.14 h, SD 2.37), the opposite was observed in ID: The highest mean social media time, almost 4 h per day (mean 3.83 h, SD 2.43), was found in the ID subgroup with an improvement of psychopathology (Fig. 6).

In ID patients, multiple regression analyses revealed significant
associations between emotional distress and the Everyday life impact score, and an inverse relation between emotional distress and mean gaming time and Addictive tendencies. The behavioral problems score was related to Everyday life impact and inversely related to mobile time per day (Table 5; A, B). In non-ID patients, the emotional distress score was related to the Everyday life impact score, to the Risks and problems score and to TV time per day. Moreover, the behavioral problems score was related to the Everyday life impact score and to the Risks and problem behaviors score (Table 5; C, D).

4. Discussion

The analysis of the responses from adolescent patients with ID compared to patients with other psychiatric disorders revealed several significant and clinically relevant differences. As expected, female patients were largely overrepresented, in line with data on higher

Table 4

PUI-SQ subscales, emotional distress and behavioral problems scale scores before, during, and after lockdown.

| Subscale scores | Before Lockdown | Post hoc | Lockdown | Post hoc | Last two weeks | Post hoc | MANOVA, contrasts |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|-------------------|
|                 | ID Mean (SD)    | Non-ID Mean (SD) | ID Mean (SD) | Non-ID Mean (SD) | ID Mean (SD) | Non-ID Mean (SD) | Time: F<sub>2,175</sub> = 76.513***<br>Time by group: n.s.<br>Group: n.s.<br>T1 < T2; T2 > T3; T3 > T1<br>Time: F<sub>2,175</sub> = 21.859***<br>Time by group: n.s.<br>Group: F = 7752*<br>T1 < T2; T2 > T3; T3 > T1 | Time: F<sub>2,175</sub> = 12.450***<br>Time by group: n.s.<br>Group: n.s.<br>T1 < T2; T2 > T3; T3 = T1 | Time: n.s.<br>Time by group: n.s.<br>Group: n.s. |
| 1. Frequent media use | 10.98 (2.44) | 10.83 (2.94) | 13.40 (2.36) | 12.60 (3.15) | 11.97 (2.75) | 11.44 (3.07) |
| 2. Impact on everyday life | 8.89 (2.62) | 8.10 (2.53) | p < 0.043 | 10.08 (2.99) | 9.07 (3.10) | p < 0.028 | 9.40 (2.79) | 8.46 (3.01) | p < 0.081 |
| 3. Addictive tendencies | 6.62 (2.11) | 6.63 (2.34) | 7.12 (2.46) | 7.06 (2.52) | 6.48 (2.21) | 6.75 (2.52) |
| 4. Internet risk and problem behaviors | 5.70 (1.30) | 6.00 (1.69) | 5.75 (1.36) | 5.921 (1.62) |

Emotion and behavior (based on CRISIS items)

|                          | Emotional distress | Behavioral problems |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                          | T1 Mean (SD)       | T2 Mean (SD)        |
|                          | p < 0.003          | p < 0.030           |
|                          | T3 Mean (SD)       | T4 Mean (SD)        |
|                          | p < 0.001          |
|                          | Time: n.s.; time by group: n.s. Group: F = 12.600***<br>Time by group: n.s. Group: T1 < T2; T2 > T3; T3 = T1 | Time: n.s.; time by group: n.s. Group: F = 4.412* |

Emotion and behavior (based on CRISIS items)

|                          | Emotional distress | Behavioral problems |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                          | T1 Mean (SD)       | T2 Mean (SD)        |
|                          | p < 0.003          | p < 0.030           |
|                          | T3 Mean (SD)       | T4 Mean (SD)        |
|                          | p < 0.001          |
|                          | Time: n.s.; time by group: n.s. Group: F = 12.600***<br>Time by group: n.s. Group: T1 < T2; T2 > T3; T3 = T1 | Time: n.s.; time by group: n.s. Group: F = 4.412* |

Note. PUI-SQ = Screening Questionnaire for Problematic Use of the Internet. ID = internalizing disorders; non-ID = non-internalizing disorders. T1 = before Lockdown, T2 = Lockdown; T3 = last 2 weeks. n.s. = non significant. *** = p < 0.001; * = p < 0.05.
indicated a high usage of their mobile phone more often than those with non-ID. In this regard, at least a trend regarding the time per day spent on mobile phones was found, with higher means in ID patients. Nevertheless, this remained the only difference between groups in terms of media hours per day during the lockdown. Thus, one main difference between the groups may be seen in a differing evaluation of their media use. The importance of the subjective component is also reflected in a further group difference: Compared to non-ID patients, adolescents with ID reported a higher negative impact of their media use on everyday life, with the groups predominantly differing above all in their assessment of the influence of media on their mood and mental well-being. Addictive tendencies did not differentiate between the groups on the subscale level, while on the item level, ID patients more often reported unsuccessful attempts to reduce their media time. Risks and problem behaviors related to the internet were reported by a very small proportion of ID as well as non-ID patients and did not differentiate between the groups. Media-related subscale scores generally increased from pre-COVID to the lockdown, and subsequently decreased from lockdown to the last two weeks, but often did not return to pre-COVID-values. One might be tempted to interpret this as a sign of a permanent increase due to habituation, but it should also be kept in mind that at this time, “normal life” had not yet resumed.

As expected, more patients with ID compared to non-ID indicated a self-estimated deterioration of the main psychopathological problem during the lockdown. This confirms earlier reports of a particular burden of the lockdown on patients with depression and anxiety (Hawes et al., 2021). After the easing of measures, the two groups seem to have converged again in terms of symptom severity. Patients with ID indicated stronger emotional distress under lockdown, but as the two groups did not differ in terms of general worry or fear of being infected, this difference appears to be related rather to a greater vulnerability and differences in the ability to cope with confinement. Patients with ID scored higher on items related to emotions such as sadness and irritability, and on behavioral items related to concentration or general behavioral problems (comprising attentional problems, hyperactivity, etc.). Thus, upon closer inspection of the item content, the unexpected stronger behavioral problems on items that were originally aimed at externalizing disorders may equally be seen as characteristic effects of depression or anxiety.

Finally, we found interesting and in part differential interactions between media-related behavior, changes in the severity of psycho-pathological problems and emotional distress during the lockdown. While in non-ID patients, the highest social media time was associated with a worsening of symptoms, in patients with ID, the highest social media time was found in the subgroup with improved symptoms. One possible interpretation of these findings is that the use of social media seems to have rather beneficial effects on some ID patients, but rarely on non-ID patients. This complements earlier literature suggesting that social media use fulfils an important coping function in some patients, but has a detrimental effect on others (Liu et al., 2016; Riehm et al., 2019; Twenge et al., 2018). In ID and non-ID patients, the impact of media use on everyday life strongly predicted emotional distress and behavioral problems. Those patients who indicated a strong effect of media on their family life, school, and physical or mental well-being also indicated higher emotional distress and behavioral problems. In patients with ID, emotional distress was additionally inversely related to gaming time and addictive tendencies. Possibly, in times with unlimited access to media, patients with pre-existing PUI addictive tendencies benefitted from the opportunity to game at will, which is reflected by higher gaming time and lower emotional distress. Behavioral problems were inversely related to mean mobile time which supports the above interpretation that higher media time in ID is related to stress relief and lower emotional distress. One has, however, to keep in mind that all data are self-assessed and that the relation between media time and emotional distress may be assessed completely differently from the parents’ point of view.

In non-ID patients we found an association between emotional distress, internet related risks and problem behaviors and TV consumption. Compared to other media, TV is non-interactive, and those

---

**Fig. 6.** Social media time per day (hrs) during the lockdown in subgroups of patients with ID and other disorders (non-ID) with improvement, no change or deterioration of the main psychopathological problem. Note. Unequal group sizes: worse: ID n = 39, non-ID n = 23; same: ID n = 23, non-ID n = 38; better: ID n = 27, non-ID n = 28.

**Table 5**

Multiple regression analyses among variables influencing A) the emotional distress score, and B) behavioral problems score under lockdown in patients with internalizing disorders and C) emotional distress score and D) behavioral problems score in patients with other disorders (non-ID).

| A. Emotional distress | Independent variable | SE | Beta | T     | P     | F     | R2   | Adjusted R2 | p     |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------------|-------|
| Everyday life impact  | 0.152                | 0.553 | 5.213 | <0.001 | 13.690*** | 0.326 | 0.302 |
| Mean gaming time      | 0.210                | -0.310 | -3.465 | <0.001 | 16.170*** | 0.289 | 0.263 |
| Addictive tendencies  | 0.185                | -0.226 | -2.129 | 0.036  | 18.881*** | 0.305 | 0.289 |

| B. Behavioral problems | Independent variable | SE | Beta | T     | P     | F     | R2   | Adjusted R2 | p     |
|------------------------|----------------------|----|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------------|-------|
| Everyday life impact   | 0.092                | 0.493 | 5.100 | <0.001 | 13.461*** | 0.239 | 0.221 |
| Mean mobile time       | 0.126                | -0.200 | -2.074 | 0.041  | 0.341  | 0.305 | 0.289 |

| C. Emotional distress  | Independent variable | SE | Beta | T     | P     | F     | R2   | Adjusted R2 | p     |
|------------------------|----------------------|----|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------------|-------|
| Everyday life impact   | 0.128                | 0.405 | 4.605 | <0.001 | 16.170*** | 0.336 | 0.317 |
| Internet risks and problems | 0.244       | 0.262 | 2.974 | 0.004  | 0.363  | 0.326 | 0.317 |
| Mean TV time           | 0.258                | 0.244 | 2.776 | 0.007  | 0.341  | 0.302 | 0.289 |

| D. Behavioral problems | Independent variable | SE | Beta | T     | P     | F     | R2   | Adjusted R2 | p     |
|------------------------|----------------------|----|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------------|-------|
| Everyday life impact   | 0.082                | 0.453 | 4.740 | <0.001 | 18.881*** | 0.305 | 0.289 |
| Internet risks and problems | 0.148       | 0.351 | 3.515 | <0.001 | 0.363  | 0.326 | 0.317 |

Note: Only variables remaining in the models are listed.

---
who watched more TV were possibly more solitary or bored or unable to engage in a more social activity. A higher degree of internet risks or problem behaviors would have been expected in a patient group that also includes externalizing disorders. However, the only differential trend among items of this subscale was related to harmful chat groups, which one would rather associate with, for example, eating disorders (Mento et al., 2021). All items from the internet related risks or problems subscale may have contributed in differential ways to enhanced emotional distress and behavioral problems in this heterogeneous clinical group and in consequence no clear pattern emerged.

5. Limitations

The first limitation, linked to the anonymous data collection, is that all diagnoses are based on self-reports. Also, possible comorbidity and severity of disorders were not taken into account. Moreover, data relating to pre-COVID and lockdown states were gathered retrospectively and may thus be subject to bias. To a certain degree, the data reflect patients’ perspective on events, behaviors and feelings considered at a very specific point in time of the pandemic, namely one month after experiencing a complete lockdown of six weeks. The sample is rather small compared to other pandemic-related surveys, at least too small to allow for meaningful comparison between subgroups with depression and anxiety, and the non-ID group was heterogeneous. Also, the non-ID group corresponds to a clinical control but cannot be considered as representative for clinically referred non-ID disorders, as the willingness and ability to participate in an anonymous online survey may differ between disorders and according to age and gender.

6. Conclusion

The present findings revealed that the lockdown had stronger adverse effects on both mental well-being and pre-existing psychopathology in patients with ID compared to other psychiatric disorders. Patients with ID reported a higher subjective importance of media use for their mental well-being, and high social media time evidently had a protective function at least for some ID patients. We conclude that in patients with ID, high media use does not always correspond to worrying of symptoms and that not only the duration but also the function of media use should be considered in a differentiated way for each individual case. While these results relate to the effects of the lockdown experience in spring 2020, the ongoing pandemic and social containment measures still threaten to exacerbate symptoms in adolescents with pre-existing psychiatric disorders and may increase media use as a functional or dysfunctional coping mechanism (Kiraly et al., 2020). Further investigations are needed to assess the long-term impact and to compare with other sources, such as informant-reported data.
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