Rates and Microbial Players of Iron-Driven Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane in Methanic Marine Sediments
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The flux of methane, a potent greenhouse gas, from the seabed is largely controlled by anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) coupled to sulfate reduction (S-AOM) in the sulfate methane transition (SMT). S-AOM is estimated to oxidize 90% of the methane produced in marine sediments and is mediated by a consortium of anaerobic methanotrophic archaea (ANME) and sulfate reducing bacteria. An additional methane sink, i.e., iron oxide coupled AOM (Fe-AOM), has been suggested to be active in the methanic zone of marine sediments. Geochemical signatures below the SMT such as high dissolved iron, low to undetectable sulfate and high methane concentrations, together with the presence of iron oxides are taken as prerequisites for this process. So far, Fe-AOM has neither been proven in marine sediments nor have the governing key microorganisms been identified. Here, using a multidisciplinary approach, we show that Fe-AOM occurs in iron oxide-rich methanic sediments of the Helgoland Mud Area (North Sea). When sulfate reduction was inhibited, different iron oxides facilitated AOM in long-term sediment slurry incubations but manganese oxide did not. Especially magnetite triggered substantial Fe-AOM activity and caused an enrichment of ANME-2a archaea. Methane oxidation rates of 0.095 ± 0.03 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ attributable to Fe-AOM were obtained in short-term radiotracer experiments. The decoupling of AOM from sulfate reduction in the methanic zone further corroborated that AOM was iron oxide-driven below the SMT. Thus, our findings prove that Fe-AOM occurs in methanic marine sediments containing mineral-bound ferric iron and is a previously overlooked but likely important component in the global methane budget. This process has the potential to sustain microbial life in the deep biosphere.
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INTRODUCTION

In marine sediments globally, methanogenic archaea form large amounts of the potent greenhouse gas methane (Reeburgh, 2007). Because of a rather effective biological filter – the anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) – an estimated 90% of this methane is consumed before escaping from the sediment (Hinrichs and Boetius, 2003; Knittel and Boetius, 2009). AOM is commonly mediated by a consortium of anaerobic methane oxidizing archaea (ANME) and sulfate reducing bacteria (Hinrichs et al., 1999; Boetius et al., 2000; Hinrichs and Boetius, 2003; Knittel and Boetius, 2009), which results in the establishment of a sulfate methane transition (SMT) (Iversen and Jørgensen, 1985; Niewöhner et al., 1998; Jorgensen and Kirsten, 2006), a reactive layer in which methane diffusing from the subsurface is oxidized with sulfate diffusing downward from the seawater. In addition to sulfate-coupled AOM (S-AOM), the role of other electron acceptors as additional sinks for methane in marine sediments is not fully established. Metal oxides such as those of iron and manganese have been suggested to serve as additional electron acceptors in AOM (Beal et al., 2009) in a number of terrestrial, coastal and marine environments (Sivan et al., 2011; Chang et al., 2012; Wankel et al., 2012; Segarra et al., 2013; Riedinger et al., 2014; Treude et al., 2014; Egger et al., 2015, 2016a,b, 2017; Oni et al., 2015; Ettwig et al., 2016; Rooze et al., 2016; Scheller et al., 2016; Bar-Or et al., 2017; Martinez-Cruz et al., 2017; Tu et al., 2017; Cai et al., 2018). To date, only few highly enriched cultures from freshwater sediments exist, in which Candidatus ‘Methanoperedens nitroreducens’ and Ca. ‘Methanoperedens ferrireducens’ (members of the clade ANME-2d) were shown to couple iron oxide reduction to anaerobic methane oxidation (Fe-AOM) (Ettwig et al., 2016; Cai et al., 2018):

\[
\text{CH}_4 + 8\text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 15\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{HCO}_3^- + 8\text{Fe}^{2+} + 21\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{1}
\]

Although Fe-AOM is thermodynamically feasible, especially with highly soluble iron citrate (Ettwig et al., 2016; Scheller et al., 2016), direct proof for the occurrence of the process in iron oxide-rich marine environments remains elusive.

Recently, Fe-AOM has been suggested to occur ubiquitously in the methanic zone of iron oxide-rich marine sediments (Riedinger et al., 2014; Egger et al., 2015, 2016a,b, 2017; Oni et al., 2015; Rooze et al., 2016). The existence of an additional methane sink in this zone of continental shelf and margin sediments fueled by iron oxides might hold important implications for biogeochemical cycles of iron and carbon and for microbial life in the energy-limited deep sedimentary biosphere. Elevated concentrations of dissolved iron in pore-water as signatures of ongoing iron reduction, low to undetectable concentrations of sulfate, high contents of buried reactive iron oxides and the presence of methane have been suggested as geochemical signposts for the feasibility of Fe-AOM (Riedinger et al., 2014; Egger et al., 2015, 2016a,b, 2017; Oni et al., 2015; Rooze et al., 2016). Shelf and continental margin sediments have been identified from various parts of the globe as typical depositional environments meeting most of these prerequisites (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table S1). In fact, geochemical modeling suggests Fe-AOM as the likely major mechanism driving iron oxide reduction in the methanic zone of some of these sites (Egger et al., 2015, 2016a,b, 2017; Oni et al., 2015; Rooze et al., 2016). Thus, whether Fe-AOM truly occurs in these environments is discussed controversially. The implication that a second important methane filter exists below the SMT of marine sediments necessitates clarification.

Here, we investigated methanic sediments of the Helgoland Mud Area (HMA) with high sedimentation rates (~1.6 mm/year – 13 mm/year) and located in the German Bight of the North Sea (Hebbeln et al., 2003), as a representative site bearing the geochemical features of a marine environment where Fe-AOM potentially occurs. In a multi-pronged approach including geochemical analysis of pore-water and sediments, labeling experiments with radiogenic and stable isotopes, molecular biology and lipid stable isotope probing (SIP), we demonstrate the occurrence of Fe-AOM, provide activity rates at near in situ conditions and identify ANME-2a as a key microorganism driving this process.

RESULTS

Biogeochemical Features of the HMA as a Representative Potential Fe-AOM Site

Pore-water and solid-phase measurements of sediment cores collected during multi-year sampling campaigns to the HMA showed that geochemical preconditions for Fe-AOM occurrence are met in the methanic zone (Figure 2). Pore-water profiles (Figure 2A) consistently showed undetectable sulfate concentrations below the SMT (SMT depth: 30–85 cm; detection limit: 50 µM). Methane concentrations were high (up to 6 mM) below the SMT. Furthermore, dissolved iron concentrations reach up to 380 µM in the methanic zone (>85 cm; Figure 2A). Although elevated dissolved manganese concentrations were also detected suggesting ongoing manganese reduction in the methanic zone, dissolved manganese concentrations were 2–10 folds lower than dissolved iron concentrations (Figure 2A). Solid-phase sediment analysis also revealed that sediments from the methanic zone are replete with metal oxides (mostly iron oxides ranging from 0.49 to 1.64 wt.%; Supplementary Table S1). Therefore, high amounts of buried reactive iron oxides that potentially serve as electron acceptors for AOM in the absence of sulfate are present in the methanic zone of these deposits.

In order to pinpoint microorganisms potentially involved in Fe-AOM at our study site, its microbial community composition was studied at various sediment depths. Based on sequencing of the functional gene marker mcrA encoding the methyl coenzyme M reductase alpha subunit (Hales et al., 1996; Luton et al., 2002), we detected phylogenetically diverse ANME populations in sediments from the methanic zone (Figure 3A). An "ANME-1-related" clade (Takeuchi et al., 2011) dominated the methane
metabolizing microbial community (up to 55% of mcrA genes; Figure 3A). Moreover, estimates of absolute mcrA gene copy numbers of the different ANME phylotypes showed that ANME-2a (Boetius et al., 2000; Orphan et al., 2001) and ANME-3 (Niemann et al., 2006) archaea, previously identified as key players during S-AOM, were abundant in the sediments from the iron oxide–rich methanic zone (Figure 3B). Of these groups, ANME-2a was the most abundant, particularly at 220 cm depth ($6.0 \times 10^6$ copies per gram wet weight; Figure 3B) and was also dominant based on mcrA gene sequencing (44%; Figure 3A).

Furthermore, the distribution profile of mcrA gene copies of the ANME-1-related clade correlates strongly with the dissolved iron concentration across all depths (Pearson’s $r = 0.64$, 95% CI 0.23–0.86, $p < 0.01$; Figures 2A, 3B and Supplementary Table S2). Domain-specific cell counts based on catalyzed reporter deposition fluorescence in situ hybridization (CARD-FISH) revealed potentially active archaeal cells in the methanic zone (at least $3.9 \times 10^6$ cells per gram wet weight; Figure 3C).

In summary, both pore-water and solid-phase analyses of sediments from HMA show features representative of a sulfate-depleted marine system with potential for iron oxide-driven methane consumption. In addition, these methanic sediments harbor several abundant ANME groups known from S-AOM (Boetius et al., 2000; Orphan et al., 2002; Niemann et al., 2006; Takeuchi et al., 2011) that are potentially involved in Fe-AOM in situ.

Incubation Experiments Demonstrate Iron Oxide-Driven Methane Consumption

Isotope tracer experiments with $^{13}$CH$_4$ were performed to stimulate iron oxide-driven methane consumption aiming for long-term enrichments, which would provide insights into the ecophysiology of Fe-AOM in marine sediments. The crystalline iron oxides lepidocrocite, hematite and magnetite were shown to be quantitatively important as potential Fe-AOM electron acceptors (Oni et al., 2015) (Figures 2B,C). Therefore, we set up various enrichments with aforementioned iron oxides to identify those iron oxides that are preferentially utilized by Fe-AOM mediating microorganisms in the methanic zone and compared these results with enrichments from the sulfate zone (Figure 4A). Because minimal sulfate concentrations (ranging from 70 to 100 µM) were shown to stimulate S-AOM (Segarra et al., 2015; Timmers et al., 2016), molybdate, a known (Oremland and Taylor, 1978; Oremland and Capone, 1988) and frequently used (Treude et al., 2014; Scheller et al., 2016; Timmers et al., 2016; Bar-Or et al., 2017; Martinez-Cruz et al., 2017) inhibitor of sulfate reduction, was added to a set of replicate incubations. Over 250 days, $\delta^{13}$C-DIC values, serving as proxy for methane oxidation, increased continuously in sediment incubations from both, sulfate and methanic zones (Figure 4A). In the methanic zone, incubations amended with iron oxides, in particular magnetite, under inhibition of sulfate reduction, resulted in higher rates of methane oxidation compared to the control incubation amended with $^{13}$CH$_4$ and molybdate (Figure 4A). These observations provided direct indication that sulfate-independent and likely iron oxide-driven AOM occurred in the incubations. In contrast, excess sulfate amendment (30 mM) resulted in lower rates of methane oxidation. In sulfate zone sediment incubations, AOM rates were higher compared to the methanic zone incubations, albeit sulfate-driven (Figure 4A). However, amendment with lepidocrocite and molybdate inhibited AOM in the sulfate zone incubations (Figure 4A). Besides Fe-AOM, the potential for manganese oxides to facilitate AOM in the methanic zone was also tested over 250 days. In contrast, amendments with the manganese oxide birnessite did not support AOM activity (Supplementary Figure S2).
As a complementary experiment, we measured AOM activity directly in short-term $^{14}$CH$_4$ incubation experiments at near in situ temperatures of 10°C (Oehler et al., 2015) using sediments from the methanic zone and the SMT (see Materials and Methods). In these incubations, methane consumption was observed during the 8-day incubation period at 2 m below sea floor but not at lower depths tested (Figure 4B). Methane was oxidized at a rate of $0.27 \pm 0.01$ nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ (see insert, Figure 4B) in the control treatment. For comparison, in SMT sediment incubations, the methane oxidation rate ($5.6 \pm 2.5$ nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$) was 14–31 times higher (Figure 4B). More importantly, in the incubations with methanic sediments, methane oxidation ($0.095 \pm 0.03$ nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$) was detected even under inhibition of sulfate reduction with molybdate (5 mM), indicating a decoupling of AOM from sulfate reduction below the SMT (Figure 4B). Both $^{13}$CH$_4$ and $^{14}$CH$_4$ incubation experiments revealed that iron oxide-driven methane turnover indeed occurs in methanic zone sediments of the HMA.

**Microbial Key Players Involved in Fe-AOM**

Potential key players for Fe-AOM in the long-term $^{13}$CH$_4$ incubation experiments were identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing, mcrA gene qPCR of specific ANME phylotypes, lipid SIP of bacterial fatty acids and archaeal ethers, as well as pmoA gene amplification and cloning. In incubations of methanic sediments showing Fe-AOM, 16S rRNA gene sequences
of detected archaeal methane-oxidizers were affiliated to ANME-1b, ANME-2a/2b, ANME-3 (up to 8.5% of all archaeal sequences; Figure 5B) but not ANME-2c/2d (Supplementary Figures S3, S4). More importantly, in magnetite-molybdate incubations, ANME-2a/2b increased strongly in relative 16S rRNA gene sequence abundance (7–40% of total ANMEs) and ANME-2a specific mcrA gene copies (50-fold, Figure 5A) between 120 and 250 days. Thus, in concert with the highest δ¹³C-DIC recorded (Figure 4A), ANME-2a seems to perform Fe-AOM under inhibition of sulfate reduction in magnetite added incubations of sediment from the methanic zone. Moreover, ANME-1-related and ANME-3 were stimulated as well in the other Fe-AOM incubations (Figures 4, 5A). However, a similar trend was observed without molybdate addition and in N₂ amended controls (Supplementary Figures S3–S5). The stimulation of ANMEs in the N₂ controls might have been due to methane supply via co-occurring methanogenesis in these incubations (Supplementary Figure S6) recently termed “cryptic methane cycling” and detected in the SMT of Aarhus Bay and other marine sediments (Beulig et al., 2018; Maltby et al., 2018; Xiao et al., 2018).

Known dissimilatory iron reducers from the order Desulfuromonadales were present in all ¹³CH₄ amended incubations (up to 6.4%, Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure S7). Their increase (ca. 5%) in relative 16S rRNA gene sequence abundance over 250 days is in tandem with the observed iron reduction detected by measuring dissolved iron over time (Supplementary Figure S8), and thus, they might serve as potential iron oxide-reducing partner bacteria in AOM (Chang et al., 2012; Tu et al., 2017). Besides the Desulfuromonadales, unclassified Gammaproteobacteria were highly stimulated compared to day 0 across all incubations in both geochemical zones (Supplementary Figure S9). Of these, known aerobic methanotrophs were below 0.2% of total bacteria 16S rRNA genes and so far nothing is known regarding members of Gammaproteobacteria being partners of ANME.

In order to exclude the possible involvement of methanotrophic bacteria in Fe-AOM (Bar-Or et al., 2017; Martinez-Cruz et al., 2017), we studied ¹³C uptake from ¹³CH₄ into bacterial lipids during Fe-AOM and for comparison, S-AOM. Minor label incorporation into bacterial lipids could be observed in both set-ups (Figure 6 and Supplementary Tables S3, S4) with a maximum incorporation of +60 and +200‰ under Fe-AOM and S-AOM, respectively, after 250 days. We also checked for the presence of pmoA genes, a molecular marker for methanotrophic bacteria (Murrell et al., 1998).
but amplification was non-specific with the pmoA primers used (Supplementary Figure S10). Cloning and sequencing of these products confirmed the non-specific amplification (Supplementary Table S5), and thus, the involvement of methanotrophic bacteria in methane oxidation in our incubations is unlikely, which is corroborated by aerobic methanotrophs being below 0.2% of total bacterial 16S rRNA genes. Investigation of archaeal ether lipids in selected AOM incubations did not show $^{13}$C-label incorporation during the 250-day incubation from both the methanic and the sulfate zone (Supplementary Table S6).

**DISCUSSION**

Anaerobic oxidation of methane in marine sediments is a crucial biological filter that mitigates the flux of the greenhouse gas methane into the ocean and eventually the atmosphere. Previous studies in marine environments extensively documented a coupling of AOM to sulfate reduction in the SMT (Hinrichs et al., 1999; Boetius et al., 2000; Hinrichs and Boetius, 2003; Knittel and Boetius, 2009). Coupling of methane oxidation to nitrate and metal oxide reduction was shown to occur in freshwater environments (Segarra et al., 2013; Ettwig et al., 2016; Cai et al., 2018) where sulfate concentrations are limited but S-AOM predominates as the biological methane filter in marine sediments. Geochemical evidence (Riedinger et al., 2014; Egger et al., 2015) alongside with enrichment efforts replacing sulfate with metal oxides (Beal et al., 2009) and humic acids (Scheller et al., 2016) in sulfate-rich marine sediments hinted toward metal oxide-dependent AOM as additional marine methane sink. However, unlike with S-AOM, a direct environmental signature for metal oxide-dependent AOM is so far lacking. Here, we used a multi-pronged approach to show that iron oxide-driven AOM (Fe-AOM) occurs in the methanic zone of iron oxide-rich marine sediments and especially identified ANME-2a as a key microorganism involved.

**Direct Evidence for Fe-AOM in Methanic Sediments**

By showing (I) the turnover of $^{14}$CH$_4$ and $^{13}$CH$_4$ to CO$_2$ and (II) the increased gene copy numbers of ANME phylotypes, especially...
FIGURE 5 | Molecular fingerprints providing insights into microbial activity during $^{13}$CH$_4$ oxidation and potential key players involved in Fe-AOM. (A) Abundance of mcrA gene copies assigned to different ANME clades in the methanic zone after 120 and 250 days of incubation in molybdate amended incubations from the methanic zone. Gray line in each plot represents estimates of gene copies of the different ANME phylotypes at respective incubation depths (see Figure 3B) as indication for increasing gene copies during the 250-day incubation experiment. INSET: log scaled, adjusted mcrA gene copies of ANME-2a. mcrA gene copies across all incubations from the sulfate zone and methanic zone are provided in Supplementary Figure S5. Error bars represent 1 s.d. of technical qPCR replicates. (B) Relative abundances of ANME and Deltaproteobacteria based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing in the Fe-AOM incubations from the methanic zone after 120 and 250 days. Relative abundance based on total sum scaling of archaeal and bacterial 16S rRNA genes is provided in Supplementary Figures S3, S4, S7, S9.

ANME-2a, in incubation experiments stimulating Fe-AOM, our study provides direct evidence for Fe-AOM and identifies ANME as important players during Fe-AOM in the methanic zone of marine sediments. At our study site of HMA, Fe-AOM in the methanic zone occurs at a low rate of $0.095 \pm 0.03$ nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$, which is roughly ~2% of that of S-AOM ($5.6 \pm 2.5$ nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$) in the SMT (Figure 4B). Modeled estimates from coastal sediments of the Bothnian Sea also suggested a 3 vs. 97% contribution of Fe-AOM and S-AOM, respectively, to the overall methane consumption (Egger et al., 2015). Similarly, AOM under molybdate inhibition was found in short-term incubations from the methanic zone of Alaskan Beaufort Sea sediment (Treude et al., 2014). However, unlike the Alaskan Beaufort Sea sediment where in situ sulfate concentrations (30–500 µM) might still fuel S-AOM (Treude et al., 2014), sulfate concentrations were below detection (<50 µM) in the methanic zone of multiple sediment cores from the HMA (Figure 2A; Oni et al., 2015).

Pore-water profiles show that manganese reduction is also ongoing in the methanic zone of the HMA (Figure 2A), however iron reduction is quantitatively more important (up to 10
TABLE 1 | Estimated Fe-AOM rates in sediments from various freshwater and marine environments.

| Ecosystem | Environment | Sediment zone | Fe-AOM Rates (µmol CH₄ cm⁻² yr⁻¹) | Data collection source | References |
|-----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Marine    | North Sea   | Methanic      | 0.0347                            | ¹⁴CH₄ incubations       | N.A.       | This study |
|           | Eel River Basin seep | Surface | *6                                  | ¹³CH₄ incubations       | Ferrihydrite | Beal et al., 2009 |
|           | Chowder Hill hydrothermal vent | Surface | 59                                  | ¹⁴CH₄ incubations       | N.A.       | Wankel et al., 2012 |
|           | Black Sea   | Methanic      | 0.00001459                        | Geochemical modeling estimates | N.A.       | Egger et al., 2016a |
|           | Baltic Sea  | Methanic      | 0.0011                            | Geochemical modeling estimates | N.A.       | Egger et al., 2017 |
|           | Bothnian Sea | Surface     | *1.3                              | ¹³CH₄ incubations       | Ferrihydrite | Egger et al., 2015 |
|           | Santa Monica Basin seep | Surface | *292                              | ¹³CH₄ incubations       | Ferric citrate | Scheller et al., 2016 |
| Freshwater | Lake Kinneret | Surface     | *36.5                             | ¹³CH₄ incubations       | Ferric EDTA | Scheller et al., 2016 |
|           | Danish Lake Ørn | Surface   | 13                                 | ¹³CH₄ incubations       | N.A.       | Sivan et al., 2011 |
|           | Dover Bluff salt marsh | Surface | 1.4                                | ¹³CH₄ incubations       | Ferrihydrite | Segarra et al., 2013 |
|           | Hammersmith Creek river | Surface | 4.5                                | ¹³CH₄ incubations       | Ferrihydrite | Segarra et al., 2013 |

Process rates were presented as annual rates for comparability between the different environments. The rates presented above are from iron oxide-rich geochemical zones of marine or freshwater sediments unless otherwise stated. * Rates converted from µmol CO₂ produced given the assumption that CH₄ conversion to CO₂ proceeds in a 1:1 ratio (equation 1). § Represents studies obtained from sulfate-rich geochemical zones. So far, activity-based Fe-AOM rates obtained from deep sedimentary biosphere, i.e., at 1 m below the seafloor (Jørgensen and Boetius, 2007), is only available from the HMA. Other environments where Fe-AOM was previously demonstrated were therefore referred to as surface sediments in the table above as the sediment depths evaluated are below 1 m. N.A., not available.

Folds higher; Figure 2A). In addition, the manganese oxide birnessite suppressed AOM activity (Supplementary Figure S2) in a direct contrast to what was observed with different iron oxides (Figure 4A). Therefore, iron oxides are the more likely electron acceptors for methane oxidation below the SMT in HMA. Previously, Beal et al. (2009) had found a stronger stimulation of AOM in the presence of birnessite than with added ferrihydrite in enrichments with sediment from the SMT of Eel River Basin; however, cryptic sulfur cycling might have occurred in their incubations as sulfate reduction was not inhibited. In addition, microbial communities in HMA and Eel River Basin sediment may differently respond to the presence of manganese oxide. Among the reactive iron minerals present in the methanic zone, magnetite, which quantitatively is the least important iron oxide fraction in the sediments (Figure 2C), stimulated Fe-AOM most strongly, suggesting that this iron oxide mineral could be important for in situ Fe-AOM. Similar findings were obtained from incubation experiments with lake sediments (Bar-Or et al., 2017) and supported by a geochemical modeling study on Baltic Sea sediments (Egger et al., 2017). The underlying mechanism for magnetite dependent AOM is unclear from the dataset presented; however, ‘cryptic’ methane cycling is feasible (Beugl et al., 2018, 2019). This process would be fueled by direct interspecies electron transfer (DIET; Kato et al., 2012; Rotaru et al., 2014) with magnetite serving as conductor based on its (semi)conductive properties, facilitating electron transfer to methanogens, which in turn perform CO₂ dependent methanogenesis in the background. This reasoning is supported by the observation of on-going methanogenesis in the N₂ control incubations and detection of lower levels of dissolved iron in the magnetite amended incubations over time (Supplementary Figures S6, S8). Bar-Or et al. (2017) recently demonstrated the importance of methanogens as electron outlet in similar incubation set-ups, in which addition of methanogenesis inhibitor 2-bromoethanesulfonate shut down Fe-AOM. In both, ¹³CH₄ and ¹⁴CH₄ slurry incubations, we observed that S-AOM was suppressed in the methanic sediment incubations, while Fe-AOM was suppressed in sulfate-rich sediment incubations (Figure 4). These observations indicate a geochemical niche separation that possibly occurs in the environment with sulfate and ferric iron as electron acceptors for AOM in the sulfate zone and methanic zone, respectively.

Our short-term radiotracer experiments suggest that Fe-AOM rates in the methanic zone are apparently low to undetectable as we only observed Fe-AOM at around ∼2 m below sea floor during our short-term 8-day incubation period (Figure 4B). The undetectable rates in more deeply buried sediments may be due to the decreasing cell numbers of ANME with increasing sediment depth (Figures 3B,C). In strong contrast, the long-term incubations with sediments from ∼3 m below sea floor (Figure 4A) show that Fe-AOM occurs in these deeper sediments given longer incubation time. In the surface sediments of Chowder Hill hydrothermal vents, characterized by a high flux of methane, Fe-AOM rates were an order of magnitude higher than what was observed in this study (Wankel et al., 2012; Table 1), but the sediment depths are shallow and above the SMT (16 cmbsf), unlike the HMA where methanic zone Fe-AOM rates were obtained. Other previously published Fe-AOM rate estimates
from marine sediments are either based on $^{13}$CH$_4$ enrichment studies from sulfate-rich sediments or geochemical modeling (Table 1). Therefore, Fe-AOM rates obtained from our short-term incubations represent novel unambiguous experimental demonstration of Fe-AOM from sulfate-depleted subsurface methanogenic marine sediments. Generally, Fe-AOM rates, not just in marine sediments, are low (Table 1) perhaps owing to the difficulty in accessing iron oxides as an electron acceptor (Lalonde et al., 2012) by microbes involved in the process or as a result of lower cell numbers, typically found with increasing sediment depth in marine sediments (Kallmeyer et al., 2012). But given the estimated global volume of sediments below the SMT ($10^8$ km$^3$ or 32% of total subsurface) (Bowles et al., 2014), considerable amounts of methane could be consumed over substantial time-scales in the methanic zone before upward diffusion into the SMT. The Fe-AOM rates (Table 1), which were obtained either by geochemical modeling, radiotracer based activity measurements or enrichment studies from both freshwater and marine environments, thus indicate that Fe-AOM is an additional methane sink in ferruginous environments.

**ANME-2a Identified as Key Player Involved in Fe-AOM**

While ANME clades ANME-1, ANME-2 and ANME-3 perform S-AOM (Boetius et al., 2000; Orphan et al., 2001, 2002; Niemann et al., 2006), knowledge on microbial key players involved in Fe-AOM is limited. In the few studies that demonstrated potential for Fe-AOM in marine sediments, either the microbial key players were not shown (Egger et al., 2015) or the geochemical preconditions for Fe-AOM do not exist in the environment where the sediments were obtained (Beal et al., 2009; Scheller et al., 2016). Sequencing of mcrA genes from the methanic zone sediments revealed that the canonical ANME-1 were the least dominant ANME group therein (Figure 3A). qPCR analysis of the mcrA gene further confirmed that ANME-1, although present, were not involved in Fe-AOM as there was no stimulation of this phylotype based on gene copies over time (Figure 5A). ANME-1-related gene copies correlated positively with the in situ dissolved iron concentrations (Supplementary Table S2) but was not the most important group stimulated in our incubation experiments. The general stimulation of ANME-1-related and ANME-3 both in the AOM performing incubations and the N$_2$ controls (Supplementary Figure S5) limits the interpretation that these groups were clearly involved in Fe-AOM. Lack of incorporation of $^{13}$C-label in archaeal lipids in the methanic zone incubations with lipodrococete suggested that ANMEs were neither directly assimilating CH$_4$, nor indirectly incorporating DIC into their biomass, with the latter previously shown to be the dominant mode for their relatives mediating S-AOM (Kellermann et al., 2012). This is, moreover, in accordance with archaeal lipid isotopes from Fe-AOM incubations using lake sediments where associated methanogens were similarly found with only marginal incorporation of $^{13}$C-label (Bar-Or et al., 2017).

Gene copies of ANME-2a, however, were strongly enriched between day 120 and 250 in magnetite-molybdate incubation experiments (Figure 5A), which coincided with increased $^{13}$CH$_4$ turnover (Figure 4A). Moreover, ANME-2a were also abundant in the methanic zone (Figure 3B). The enrichment of ANME-2a in magnetite-molybdate amended incubations supported by their high gene copy numbers in the methanic zone indicates that ANME-2a perform Fe-AOM. Therefore, our successful enrichment of ANME-2a with magnetite as electron acceptor advances our knowledge on Fe-AOM substantially as we identify for the first time ANME-2a as a microbial key player for Fe-AOM in marine environments.

In terrestrial mud volcanoes where Fe-AOM is also suggested to occur, high correlation between gene copies of Desulfituromonadales and ANME-2a was taken as indication for ANME-2a to oxidize methane with Desulfituromonadales as iron oxide reducing partners (Chang et al., 2012; Tu et al., 2017). While dissimilatory iron oxide reducers (e.g., Desulfituromonadales) have not been clearly shown to act as partners for ANMEs, the increased relative abundance of Desulfituromonadales 16S rRNA genes (Figure 5B) cannot be ignored. However, whether they are syntrophic partners of ANME in Fe-AOM requires further research as a clear indication for bacteria partner organisms was not obtained from the long-term incubations. Possibly, ANME-2a completely oxidize CH$_4$ to CO$_2$ without a bacterial partner. A previous study showed that ANME-2a, like ANME-2d, possess a variety of multi-heme cytochromes in their genome (Wang et al., 2014), and based on their metatranscriptome datasets they argued that ANME-2a may exist without bacterial partners in specific marine sediments. Therefore, they also could analogously perform Fe-AOM like their freshwater derived ANME-2d relatives (Etewig et al., 2016; Cai et al., 2018). Scheller et al. (2016) presented similar arguments after observing that ANME-2a and -2c archaea were able to decouple their syntrophic relationship with sulfate reducing bacteria when provided with artificial electron acceptors. Both studies indicate that ANME-2 archaea respire solid electron acceptors via direct extracellular electron transfer. A similar electron transfer mechanism may appear in our magnetite-molybdate amended incubations. This hypothesis offers a promising pathway to isolate ANME-2 archaea and should be a focus of future studies to glean a better understanding of the ecophysiology of methane cycling archaea.

**Methanotrophic Bacteria Are Not Involved in Marine Sediment Fe-AOM**

Based on their biochemistry Gammaproteobacterial methanotrophic bacteria such as members of the genus *Methylobacter* should be strict aerobes (Kalyuzhnaya et al., 2013; Chistoserdova, 2015). Yet recently, based on DNA and lipid stable isotope probing approaches, methylothrophic bacteria were suggested to be involved in Fe-AOM in lake sediments (Bar-Or et al., 2017; Martinez-Cruz et al., 2017). In these studies, direct incorporation of $^{13}$C-label from CH$_4$ into bacterial lipids was shown to be as high as +3200‰ (Bar-Or et al., 2017) when methanotrophic bacteria were directly involved in methane turnover. Bacterial lipid SIP data from our study with significantly lower label incorporation (+60‰, Figure 6)
suggest a rather indirect incorporation of $^{13}$C, most likely from $^{13}$C-DIC, which is produced via $^{13}$CH$_4$ oxidation but diluted into slurries. Given the substantially lower incorporation of $^{13}$C-label in bacterial fatty acids (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table S4) compared to lake sediment incubations (Bar-Or et al., 2017; Martinez-Cruz et al., 2017), there was no evidence to support direct uptake of label from CH$_4$ into bacteria in samples from both, the sulfate and the methanic zone. Lipid SIP results were corroborated by the lack of detection of methanotrophic bacteria specific pmoA genes in Fe-AOM performing incubations (Supplementary Figure S10 and Supplementary Table S5). Thus, in contrast to Fe-AOM in lake sediments, involvement of methanotrophic bacteria was conclusively ruled out in our marine sediment incubations.

Environmental Significance of Fe-AOM in Marine Sediments

Our study shows that Fe-AOM is an additional sink for methane in subsurface coastal and marine sediments where methane and iron oxides co-exist with low to undetectable sulfate concentrations. These environments are typically characterized by high sedimentation rates and/or high loading of iron oxides, facilitating the burial of reactive iron oxides beneath the SMT. Such environments bearing elevated dissolved iron concentrations as indicator for ongoing iron reduction are widely distributed from shallow sediments on coastal shelves to deep sub-seafloor settings at lower continental margins (Figure 1; Aller et al., 1986; Schulz et al., 1994; Kasten et al., 1998; Hensen et al., 2003; D’Hondt et al., 2004; März et al., 2008, 2018; Fulthorpe et al., 2011; Holmkvist et al., 2011; Lim et al., 2011; Takahashi et al., 2011; Riedinger et al., 2014; Treude et al., 2014; Egger et al., 2015, 2016a,b, 2017; Rooze et al., 2016). Besides, Fe-AOM might have been an important methane sink in the early Archean before the accumulation of sulfate in the ocean (Konhauser et al., 2005) and it was previously suggested that Fe-AOM should be considered in methane oxidation estimates from marine environments (Riedinger et al., 2014). Our study provides rate estimates for Fe-AOM and shows that ANME-2a archaea are key players for the process in iron oxide-rich methane marine sediments. Could Fe-AOM account for a large proportion of AOM in marine sediments? A back-of-the-envelope calculation (Supplementary Table S8) taking only the SMT based diffusive modeled methane flux of inner shelf sediments (0–50 m water depths, Egger et al., 2018) into account, showed that a methanic zone thickness of ~6 m would be required – which is feasible – albeit there is only our HMA based Fe-AOM measured rate so far. However, the stoichiometry of the reaction – 8 moles of iron(III) per mole of methane fully oxidized to CO$_2$ – requires sediments rich in reactive iron oxides. Thus, an evaluation of Fe-AOM on a global scale with rate measurements and the determination of reactive iron-oxide pools is required to advance current diagenetic models (Egger et al., 2015, 2016a,b, 2017; Rooze et al., 2016) and improve our understanding of its contribution to methane budgets in marine environments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Design

This study took a multi-year sampling approach to study Fe-AOM in the HMA sediments. Sediment sampling of 5 m gravity cores was done from the same site over four different years to understand the microbial ecophysiology behind Fe-AOM (see Table 2). In order to ascertain the potential of HMA to harbor Fe-AOM, some gravity cores were sampled on board to conduct geochemical solid-phase (e.g., total Fe and Mn) and pore-water analyses (dissolved iron and manganese, CH$_4$, SO$_4^{2-}$ etc.). Simultaneously, sediment samples were frozen on board and were used for identifying in situ microbial populations that may be involved in AOM, using molecular techniques such as next generation sequencing and qPCR. The other gravity cores were transported to the lab at 4°C and used to set up long-term (over 250 days) anaerobic microbial enrichments amended with $^{13}$CH$_4$. 
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TABLE 2 | Sampling information for all gravity cores retrieved from the Helgoland Mud Area.

| Sampling objective                                      | Sampling date | Station name       | Coordinates   |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Total Fe and Mn quantification and sequential extraction| July 2013     | HE406-004-2        | 54° 6.03′ N 07° 59.01′ E |
| Total Fe quantification and sequential extraction       | July 2013     | HE406-008-2        | 54° 5.01′ N 07° 58.04′ E |
| Total Fe quantification and sequential extraction, pore-water profiles, mcra gene sequencing and qPCR | May 2015      | HE443-010-3        | 54° 05.19′ N 07° 58.21′ E |
| Long-term experiments with $^{13}$CH$_4$ (including molecular analyses), CARD-FISH counts of active bacteria and archaea | May 2015      | HE443-077-1        | 54° 05.23′ N 07° 58.04′ E |
| Pore-water profiles                                     | April 2016    | HE461-004-4        | 54° 05.20′ N 07° 57.99′ E |
| Determination AOM rates experiment                      | April 2016    | HE461-064-1        | 54° 05.20′ N 07° 57.99′ E |
| Manganese AOM long-term experiments                     | April 2017    | HE483-002-02       | 54° 05.23′ N 07° 58.04′ E |

and different iron oxides and birnessite (manganese oxide). Fe- and Mn-AOM activity was detected by measuring $^{13}$C-DIC turnover over regular intervals and enriched archael methane oxidizers were identified using 16S rRNA gene sequencing and phylotype specific qPCR. Lipid-SIP was performed to confirm the absence of aerobic methanotrophs. Lastly, using freshly sampled sediments, short term (8 days) incubations amended with $^{13}$CH$_4$ were set up to quantify Fe-AOM rates. Thus, using geochemical, microbiological and molecular approaches we designed a multi-pronged approach to obtain clear evidence for Fe-AOM in the sediments of HMA.

### Sampling From the Helgoland Mud Area

Sediment samples were obtained from gravity cores collected during RV HEINCKE cruises HE406 (July, 2013), HE443 (May, 2015), HE461 (April, 2016) and HE483 (April, 2017) (Table 2). As data from previous campaigns show (Oni et al., 2015; Figures 2B,C), the geochemical zonation of the sediments at the study sites are consistent over the years. Pore-water sampling of gravity cores HE443-010-3 and HE461-004-1 for dedicated geochemical analysis was done on board using rhizon samplers (Seeberg-Elverfeldt et al., 2005; Dickens et al., 2007). Sediment samples for solid-phase geochemical analysis (Table 2) were collected as described in reference (Oni et al., 2015).

Geochemical and molecular assessments were done on samples that were directly taken on board after the gears were retrieved. The gravity cores HE443-077-1 and HE483-002-2 were stored on board at 4°C and sectioned immediately (at 25 cm intervals) after the expedition and stored in the dark at 4°C in 2.6 L anoxic jars. Within 3 months after collection, sediments from HE443-077-1 were used for $^{13}$CH$_4$ Fe-AOM incubation experiments and sediments from HE483-002-2 were used for $^{13}$CH$_4$ Mn-AOM incubation experiments. Potential for AOM was investigated with fresh sediments from HE461-064-1 gravity core sectioned and used for $^{14}$CH$_4$ experiments a week after core retrieval.

### Geochemical Analyses

Sulfate, hydrogen sulfide, and CH$_4$ measurements were done from pore-water and sediment slurry (for CH$_4$ samples as described in reference (Oni et al., 2015). Dissolved iron and manganese concentrations in pore-water were determined by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (Iris Intrepid II ICP-OES).

For the determination of total iron and manganese contents in the solid-phase, about 50 mg of freeze-dried and ground sediment were fully digested in a concentrated acid mixture of 3 mL HCl, 2 mL HNO$_3$, and 0.5 mL HF using a CEM Mars Xpress microwave system at the Alfred Wegener Institute, Bremerhaven. Sequential extractions were performed under anoxic conditions except for the oxalate step (Henkel et al., 2016) using ~50 mg of dry sediment and 5 mL of (a) MgCl$_2$ for adsorbed Fe, (b) Na-acetate for Fe-carbonates and surface-reduced Fe(II), (c) hydroxylamine-HCl for easily reducible iron oxides (ferrihydrite, lepidocrocite), (d) Na-dithionite/citrate for reducible iron oxides (mostly goethite and hematite and some magnetite) and (e) ammonium oxalate/oxalic acid for extractable magnetite. Sequential extractions of manganese oxides were done similarly without further determination of the specific manganese mineral phases being extracted. However, the extraction gave an indication regarding the quantity of reactive manganese oxides present in the sediment. Iron and manganese analysis for bulk contents and sequential extraction solutions were performed by ICP-OES.

### Long-Term Incubations With $^{13}$CH$_4$ Tracer

For the long-term Fe-AOM experiments, sediments from the sulfate zone (0–25 cm) and methanic zone (347–372 cm) (see Table 2) were used to set up slurry incubations. For the long-term Mn-AOM incubations, sediments from the methanic zone (195–220 cm) were used. Individual anoxic slurries were prepared by mixing 60 mL of sediments with sulfate-depleted ASW (1:3 w/v) in 120-mL serum vials. Headspace of slurries was filled with either CH$_4$ (99.999%, core treatments) or N$_2$ (99.999%, negative controls). Slurries were incubated at 4°C for 14 days to equilibrate the system and ensure the microcosms are completely reduced. Afterward, 15% (~9 mL) of the headspace of CH$_4$ carrying slurries was removed using an air tight syringe and replaced with 9 mL $^{13}$CH$_4$. $^{13}$CH$_4$ was added to the headspace to track CO$_2$ formation in form of DIC within incubations from the different sediment layers and with different amendments as a proxy for AOM. From the sulfate zone sediments, treatment...
sets (n = 3) were prepared with the following modifications: (I) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) and 5 mM sodium sulfate; (II) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\), 30 mM sodium molybdate and 30 mM lepidocrocite; (III) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) and 30 mM lepidocrocite; (IV) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) (V) N\(_2\) headspace, un-amended slurry; (VI) N\(_2\) headspace and 5 mM sodium sulfate; (VII) N\(_2\) headspace and 30 mM lepidocrocite. To slurry sets (n = 3) from the methanic zone, the following treatment modifications were made: (I) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) and 30 mM lepidocrocite; (II) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\), 5 mM sodium molybdate and 30 mM lepidocrocite; (III) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) and 30 mM hematite; (IV) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\), 5 mM sodium molybdate and 30 mM hematite; (V) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) and 30 mM magnetite; (VI) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\), 5 mM sodium molybdate and 30 mM magnetite; (VII) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) and 5 mM sodium molybdate; (VIII) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) and 30 mM sodium sulfate; (IX) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\); (X) N\(_2\) headspace, un-amended slurry; (XI) N\(_2\) headspace and 30 mM lepidocrocite; (XII) N\(_2\) headspace and 30 mM hematite; (XIII) N\(_2\) headspace and 30 mM magnetite; (XIV) N\(_2\) headspace and 30 mM sodium sulfate; (XV) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) and 30 mM birnessite; (XVI) \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\), 5 mM sodium molybdate and 30 mM birnessite; (XVII) N\(_2\) headspace and 30 mM birnessite. All iron oxides were obtained from Lanxess AG (Cologne, Germany) and their properties can be found in Supplementary Table S7. Birnessite was synthesized according to reference (Mc Kenzie, 1971). To be able to carry out lipid stable isotope probing (SIP) subsequently, we prepared several replicates for sacrificial sampling from two treatment types described above; (I) from the sulfate zone, where we expected to stimulate S-AOM \((^{13}\text{CH}_4 + \text{sulfate})\) and (II) from the methanic zone, where we expected to stimulate Fe-AOM \((^{13}\text{CH}_4 + \text{molybdate} + \text{lepidocrocite})\). All treatments for Fe-AOM were incubated at 30°C and all treatments for Mn-AOM were incubated at 10°C, sampled initially after 12–18 h (taken as time-point 0) for dissolved iron, manganese and DIC measurements and subsequently over the course of 250 days. Replicate samples for lipid SIP were also sacrificially sampled at each time-point (including time-point 0) by directly opening each serum bottle and transferring the contents into a sterile 50-mL falcon tube, which was stored immediately at −20°C until lipid extraction. Fe\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) formation in aqueous phase was monitored spectrophotometrically, according to references (McArthur and Osborn, 1989; Violette et al., 2000). For analysis of DIC isotopic composition, 2 mL of sediments from each microcosm, using syringes pre-flushed with N\(_2\), were transferred into 2.5-mL micro-centrifuge tubes pre-flushed with N\(_2\). The tubes were centrifuged at 15,300 g for 3 min following by careful transfer of the supernatants into 4-mL glass vials. Vials were stored at −20°C until measurements. DIC analysis was done using a Delta Ray Isotope Ratio Infrared Spectrometer (IRIS) with URI Connect and autosampler (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany). As preparation of the DIC analysis, 100 µL of 45% H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) was added to gas tight 12-mL extainer vials with septum caps and flushed for 3 min with CO\(_2\) free air using the Delta Ray system. Afterwards, 1 mL of stored liquid sample was transferred into each extainer vial using a gas tight syringe and left for equilibration at room temperature overnight. During equilibration, the DIC components in the liquid were released as CO\(_2\) into the headspace due to acidification. The headspace was analyzed for carbon isotope ratio of CO\(_2\) as \(δ^{13}\text{C-DIC against CO}_2\) reference gas using the Delta Ray IRIS with URI connect.

Concentrations of CH\(_4\) in headspace samples (100 µL) of N\(_2\) controls were measured on a GC (Shimadzu GC-2014, Tokyo, Japan) as described elsewhere (Aromokeye et al., 2018). CH\(_4\) concentrations formed in headspace were calculated using the ideal gas law with incubation temperature (30°C) as variable.

### Determination of Methane Oxidation Rates Using a \(^{14}\text{CH}_4\) Assay

Potential for AOM in the iron oxide-rich methanic zone and the SMT of the HMA was tested via \(^{14}\text{CH}_4\) AOM rate measurements. In 15 mL serum vials (n = 4 per treatment), 7 g of fresh sediment (50–75 cm from the SMT and 200–225 cm, 300–325 cm, 400–425 cm from the methanic zone) was anoxically homogenized (N\(_2\):CO\(_2\); 80%:20%, 152 kPa) with 7 mL sulfate-depleted artificial sea water (ASW; composition [L\(_2\)NaCl, 11.2 g MgCl\(_2\)-6H\(_2\)O, 1.5 g CaCl\(_2\)-2H\(_2\)O and 0.7 g KCl]. Sodium molybdate (10 mM; as inhibitor of dissimilatory sulfate reduction) and CH\(_4\) or only CH\(_4\) were supplemented to the treatments. Killed controls (n = 4) were similarly prepared using heat inactivated sediments (autoclave) to account for abiotic reactions. Headspace in the vials was subsequently exchanged with CH\(_4\) (99.999%) and the incubations were allowed to equilibrate at 10°C for 2 days, in the dark. After pre-incubation, the headspace in the vials was completely filled with CH\(_4\) saturated sulfate-depleted ASW. 100 µL of dissolved \(^{14}\text{CH}_4\) (~24 kBq; dissolved in slightly alkaline double-deionized water) was injected into each vial and the slurries were incubated in the dark at 10°C for 8 days. Afterward, the incubation was stopped by transferring the samples into 100 mL vials containing 10 mL of 25 g L\(^{-1}\) NaOH, to fix the formed radiolabeled DIC pool as solid-phase. The concentration of applied CH\(_4\) was determined from headspace CH\(_4\) using gas chromatography (GC) coupled to flame ionization detection (FID; Focus GC, Thermo Scientific; Porapak-Q column 60/80 mesh, 4 mm length, 2 mm inner diameter). The \(^{14}\text{C}\) content of applied CH\(_4\) (activity) was determined by stripping and combusting the headspace CH\(_4\) to CO\(_2\) at 850°C in a combustion furnace, trapping this gas in scintillation vials containing 7 mL phenethylamine (Crill and Martens, 1986). Blanks (air) were measured to estimate background activity within the system at the end of each day. Radioactivity was measured in a liquid scintillation counter (2900TR LSA, Packard) after adding 7 mL Irgasafe Plus (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States) scintillation cocktail. Radioactivity in the DIC pool was determined by acid digestion (Joye et al., 2004) with slight modifications. Briefly, slurries were transferred to 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing an antifoam agent and few drops of bromothymol blue as pH indicator. Serum vials were rinsed with 25 g L\(^{-1}\) NaOH 2–3 times to transfer the leftover slurry. A scintillation vial containing 1 mL, 0.5 M NaOH and 1 mL phenethylamine was placed in the plastic loop and flasks were sealed with rubber stoppers to which the plastic loop was attached using a metal wire. Acid digestion was carried out by adding 6 mL of 6 N HCl by passing a needle and a syringe alongside
the rubber stopper. Flasks were tightly sealed using metal clamps before shaking at 90 rpm for 4 h in order to release and trap the DIC in the scintillation vials. Radioactivity was measured as mentioned above after adding 2 mL of Rigosafe Plus scintillation cocktail. AOM rates were calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{AOM rate (nmol g}_{dw}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}) = \left( ^{14}\text{C} - \text{DIC}/^{14}\text{CH}_4 \right) \times [\text{CH}_4] \times (1/t) \times (1/g_{dw})$$

Where, $^{14}\text{C}$-DIC is the activity of the AOM product pool, $^{14}\text{CH}_4$ is the activity of the reactant pool, $[\text{CH}_4]$ is the concentration of headspace $\text{CH}_4$ in nmol, $t$ represents the incubation period and $g_{dw}$ is the dry weight of the sediment samples. Dry weight estimates were obtained from heat drying slurries as previously prepared in 50-mL tubes at 80°C for 48 h. Final rates were calculated after deducting rates measured in killed controls.

### Molecular Analyses of Sediments and $^{13}\text{CH}_4$ Tracer Experiments

#### Nucleic Acid Extraction

Aliquots of sediments were sampled depth-wise directly on board during pore-water sampling from HE443-010-3 gravity core (Table 2) and were immediately frozen at $-20^\circ\text{C}$. Using these sediment samples, DNA was extracted from 0.5 g of sediment per depth in duplicates following the phenol-chloroform-isoamylalcohol method (Lueders et al., 2004). Similarly, nucleic acids were extracted at specific time-points (day 0, 120 or 144 and 250) in the $^{13}\text{CH}_4$ experiments. Here, $\sim$0.5 g sediment pellets, which were stored during sampling from biological triplicates samples of each treatment, were used for the extraction (pore-water previously extracted for DIC measurement). 50 $\mu$L of diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC) treated water was added to elute nucleic acids from the first sample replicate. This eluent was subsequently transferred to other sample replicates in order to have the nucleic acids pooled together in one tube.

#### Next Generation Sequencing of $mcrA$ and 16S rRNA Genes

Using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method, $mcrA$ genes were amplified from DNA extracts from sediment samples taken from HE443-010-3 gravity core. The primer pairs mlasF (5′-GGTGGTGTMGGDDTCACMCARTA-3′) (Steinberg and Regan, 2008) and ME2mod (5′-TCATBGCRTAGTTNGRTAGT-3′) (Mori et al., 2012) were used for the amplification. DNA was amplified using AmpliTaq DNA polymerase kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany) containing 1X PCR buffer, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 1.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mg mL$^{-1}$ bovine serum albumin (BSA), 500 nM of each primer, 1U of AmpliTaq DNA polymerase and 2 $\mu$L of diluted DNA in a 50 $\mu$L reaction volume (final volume made up with DEPC treated water). Amplification was done at the following PCR conditions: 95°C: 5 min; 30 cycles at 95°C: 30 s, 50°C: 45 s, 72°C: 45 s and 72°C: 5 min. Amplicons were screened on gel electrophoresis (2% agarose, 100V, 60 min) and purified using the QIAGEN MinElute kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instruction. Purified amplicons were sent to MR DNA (Molecular Research LP, Shallowater, TX, United States) for sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq (2 × 300 bp) sequencing platform.

Bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes were amplified from DNA extracts from the $^{13}\text{CH}_4$ incubation experiments using Illumina HiSeq 4000 (2 × 150 bp) amplipore sequencing platform. Primer pairs Bac515F (5′-GTGYACGGCMCCGGCTTAA-3′) (Parada et al., 2016) and Bac805R (5′-GACTACVGGGTATCCTAATCC-3′) (Herlemann et al., 2011) were used for targeting bacteria, whereas Arc519F (5′-CAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3′) (Ovreås et al., 1997) and Arc806R (5′-GGACTACVSGGTTAATC-3′) (Takai and Horikoshi, 2000) were used for targeting archaea. Each primer was synthesized with an additional unique barcode sequence (8 bp long) that facilitated multiplexing of several samples in one sequence library (Hamady et al., 2008). PCR reaction mix (30 $\mu$L) contained 1 x KAPA HiFi buffer, 0.3 mM dNTP mix, 0.25 U KAPA HiFi DNA polymerase (KAPA Biosystems, Germany), 1.5 $\mu$L each of forward and reverse barcoded primer pairs, and 2 $\mu$L of 10-fold diluted DNA template from each sample (volume made up to 50 $\mu$L using DEPC treated water). PCR cycling conditions include: 95°C: 5 min; 28 cycles at 98°C: 20 s, 60°C: 20 s, 72°C: 20 s; 72°C: 1 min. PCR products were screened by gel electrophoresis as mentioned before and purified using Monarch® PCR & DNA purification kit (New England Biolabs, Germany). PCR products were quantified using Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA assay kit (Invitrogen-Thermo Fischer Scientific, Steinheim, Germany). Based on the estimated quantities from the PicoGreen assay, an equimolar library of samples was constructed. Amplicon library was sequenced at GATC Biotech GmbH, Germany.

### Cloning and Quantification of $mcrA$ Genes of Anaerobic Methane Oxidizing Archaea (ANME)

Due to the unavailability of cultured strains of methanotrophic archaea, we cloned genes obtained from HMA sediment samples to use them as standards for quantifying gene copy numbers of ANMEs. DNA extracts from different depths of the sediments [HE376-007-5 (Oni et al., 2015); HE443-10-3; this study] were amplified using the primer pairs (I) $mcrA$-312f (5′-CAACBNGCVATGCAGCAG 3′, this study)–ME2mod and (II) mlasF-ME2mod using the AmpliTaq DNA polymerase kit (same as before). The following PCR program was used for the $mcrA$-312f-ME2mod primer pairs: 95°C: 5 min; 30 cycles at 95°C: 30 s, 50°C: 1 min, 72°C: 1.5 min; and 72°C: 5 min. PCR products were purified using QIAGEN MinElute kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. Purified PCR products were cloned, sequenced and edited as described (Oni et al., 2015). An in-house $mcrA$ gene database was created by acquiring1 and manually aligning long (>1000 bp) gene sequences of cultured and published methanogenic, methanotrophic and

---

1https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/
fluorescence every 0.5 °C. In order to rule out PCR by-products by detecting change in
A post amplification melting curve analysis was performed in µL (methanic zone incubations). Two
µL (standards and sulfate zone incubations) and
50 pg/µL (methanic zone incubations). Two µL of diluted
data was used as template for all qPCR assays. qPCR assays
were then purified (QIAGEN MinElute kit) and quantified using Quant-iT PicoGreen dye and diluted
to 500 pg/µL (methanic zone incubations). Two
µL of diluted DNA was used as template for all qPCR assays. qPCR assays
were run using the following program: 95°C: 30 s, 52°C or 62°C: 20–30 s, 72°C: 40 s. A post amplification melting curve analysis was performed in order to rule out PCR by-products by detecting change in fluorescence every 0.5°C from 60°C to 95°C. qPCR primers, assay conditions, efficiencies and clone information are provided in Table 3.

**Analysis of mcrA and 16S rRNA Gene Sequences**

Sequence analysis was performed on the QIIME 1.8.0 platform (Caporaso et al., 2010) based on the analysis pipeline as recommended (Pylro et al., 2014) with modifications. To analyze mcrA gene sequences, barcodes were extracted and sequences were reoriented starting with the forward primer sequence. Reoriented reads were joined using a minimum overlap of 50 bases. Joined reads were demultiplexed with a filter quality of Q0 (Caporaso et al., 2011). Demultiplexed sequences were quality filtered using USEARCH 10 (expected error value of 0.5) (Edgar, 2010). At this step, all sequences were truncated to a length of 352 bp. USEARCH 10 was further used to dereplicate sequences, sort them by their abundances and subject them to remove singletons. OTU clustering and chimera removal was done using the UPARSE-OTU algorithm (Edgar, 2013) to create an OTU database. Chimeric sequences were checked and discarded by the UPARSE-OTU algorithm during this step. The truncated, non-dereplicated reads were mapped back to the OTU database to create an OTU table. OTUs were classified for their taxonomy using ucclust and an in-house mcrA gene database as reference (see mcrA genes cloning section). The taxonomic assignment was done on the family level at a sequence identity of 0.7 (Yang et al., 2014). The OTU table and taxonomy assignment files were merged together using a set of “biom” commands (McDonald et al., 2011) to obtain a tab-delimited text file useful for downstream analysis. A few modifications of the above pipeline were done to analyze 16S rRNA gene sequences. Forward reads were used to analyze the community composition. After extraction of barcodes, forward reads were de-multiplexed, quality filtered and their lengths were truncated to 143 bp. Taxonomic assignment was done on clustered OTUs against the 16S rRNA gene SILVA database (Release 128 for QIIME) (Quast et al., 2012).

**Table 3** | Supporting information for phylotype specific qPCR assays.

| Target mcrA gene | Annealing temperature/time | Average efficiency | R² | Clone used | Mass of one gene (Da) | Primer sequences (5’ - 3’) | References | Primer concentration | Product length (bp) |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| ANME-1           | 62°C/ 30 s                | 84.45%            | >0.99 | E-3       | 878414                | F: AYGACCAGYTGTGGTCCGGAAAGT<br>R: TCTCATGTTGCTGCTGGCCCTYR<br>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AMCCRCCGAAGTGC    | Miyazaki et al., 2009 | 600 nM       | 175 bp               |
| ANME-2a          | 62°C/ 30 s                | 84.96%            | >0.99 | F-79      | 862362                | F: ATATGGCCAGATATGGTCCGACCTCAAGG<br>R: ATTATCCAKCCGATTCYTC    | 600 nM       | 218 bp               |
| ANME-3           | 52°C/ 20 s                | 85.67%            | >0.984 | All58     | 440445                | F: AAGGYATYRS AACCGAATC<br>R: TTGAAAGGTACCCATSSKGAAAGACC  | 400 nM       | 180 bp               |
| ANME-1-related   | 52°C/ 20 s                | 89.08%            | >0.99 | E-155     | 870491                | F: GAGATCGCVRGTGACATGTTGCG<br>R: GCCCTMACAGAMCCGCCGAAGTC     | 400 nM       | 172 bp               |
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Cloning and Amplification of pmoA Gene
To maximize amplification of pmoA genes, a two-step PCR was conducted with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase kit with slight modifications compared to the mcrA gene amplification (1.25U of AmpliTaq polymerase and 3 mM MgCl₂ were used instead). Primer pairs of A189F (5’-GGNGACTGGGACTTCTGG-3’) (Holmes et al., 1995) and 682R (5’-GAASGCNGAAGAAGASGC-3’) (Holmes et al., 1995) were used for the first PCR at the following conditions: 94°C: 4 min; 35 cycles at 94°C: 30 s, 50°C to 60.5°C (0.3°C per cycle) and 72°C for 1 min; 72°C: 7 min. PCR products were purified using the QIAGEN MinElute kit. Purified products were further amplified using primer pairs A189F and mb661R (5’-CCGGMGAACGTCYTTACC-3’) (Smith et al., 1997) at the following conditions: 94°C: 4 min; 35 cycles at 94°C: 30 s, 55°C: 1 min and 72°C: 1 min. Cloning was done (see mcrA gene cloning) to identify the unspecific PCR products of ~300–350 bp from the pmoA gene PCR amplification (Supplementary Figure S10). PCR products from 13CH₄ + molybdate + magnetite (P) and 13CH₄ + molybdate + lepidocrocite (L) incubations were purified, cloned and sequenced as per mentioned in mcrA gene cloning section. Using BioEdit (Hall, 1999) (version 7.0.9.0), vector sequences were trimmed and the sequences were reoriented (if necessary) by locating the A189F primer sequence. A sorted six-frame translation of the nucleotide sequences was performed in BioEdit and the longest amino acid sequences (110 amino acids; without a stop codon) in the sequences was performed in BioEdit and the longest amino acid sequences (110 amino acids; without a stop codon) were uploaded to the Protein Amino acid sequence FASTA files were uploaded to the Protein BLAST suite (blastp suite) and BLAST hits were tabulated in Supplementary Table S5.

Bacterial and Archaeal Cell Counts Using Catalyzed Reporter Deposition-Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (CARD-FISH)
CARD-FISH was performed as previously described (Schmidt and Eickhorst, 2014) to quantify potentially active bacterial and archaeal cells at different sediment depths (HE443-077-1). Approximately 0.5 g of sediment samples were weighed in 2-mL vials and were fixed in 4% formaldehyde and 1X phosphate-buffered saline. The 2-mL vials were incubated at 4°C for 2.5 h under constant shaking (180 rpm on an overhead shaker). The suspension was centrifuged and the sediment was washed with 1.5 mL of 1X PBS twice. After decanting the supernatant, fixed samples were re-suspended using 1.5 mL 1X PBSethanol (v/v) solution. 100 µL of fixed samples were transferred to 900 µL of 1X PBSethanol (v/v) solution and sonicated in pulses at 10% power for 30 s (two times paused by 30 s) in a cryo-box. Two hundred fifty µL of sonicated samples were mixed with 10 mL of Milli-Q water (H₂O_MQ) and vacuum filtered through a polycarbonate filter (0.22 µm) in order to capture fixed cells on the filter. After air drying, the filter was dipped in molten, 0.2% low melting point Agarose and allowed to dry at 46°C. Permeabilization of the cell walls was done using 100 µL solutions of lysozyme (60 min, 37°C) and achromopeptidase (30 min, 37°C). The filters were washed with H₂O_MQ after each treatment. Inactivation of endogenous peroxides, hybridization with horseradish peroxidase labeled probes, washing of unbound probes and tyramide signal amplification (with 500 µL amplification buffer) was done as mentioned in reference (Schmidt and Eickhorst, 2014). Bacterial cells were targeted with a mixture of three probes namely, EUB338 (5’ GTGTCCTCCGTAGGAGT 3’) (Amann et al., 1990), EUB338III (5’ GACGCCCTCGTAGGTG 3’) (Amann et al., 1990) and EUB338III (5’ GTGCACCAGCTAGGTG 3’) (Daims et al., 1999). Archaeal cells were targeted with the ARCA915 probe (5’ GTGTCCTCCCGCAATTGCT 3’) (Amann et al., 1990). The filters were mounted on a clean glass slide containing a drop of VectaShield H-1200 containing DAPI in order to counter-stain cellular DNA. Cells were observed and counted following reference (Schmidt and Eickhorst, 2014).

Lipid SIP
13C incorporation into bacterial and archaeal membrane lipids was monitored during the 13CH₄ incubations. Sacrificed replicates from each time-point were used to investigate changes in stable carbon isotopic composition of the lipids. While bacterial lipids were continuously monitored over the whole incubation period, archaeal lipids were only determined at the start and the end.

Total lipids were extracted from freeze-dried slurries (10–12 g) following a modified Bligh and Dyer method (Sturt et al., 2004). Afterward, polar lipid derived fatty acids (PLFAs) of bacteria were released from aliquots (30%) of the total lipid extract (TLE) and converted into fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) (Elvert et al., 2003). Intact and core archaeal lipids from a second TLE aliquot (30%) were separated by preparative HPLC and ether lipids in each fraction were converted to hydrocarbons (Lin et al., 2010). Separation was achieved by a LiChrospher Diol-100 column (250 × 10 mm, 5 µm particle size, Alltech) connected to an Agilent 1200 series HPLC that was equipped with an Agilent 1200 series fraction collector, at 30°C, with a flow rate of 3 mL min⁻¹. The eluent gradient was 0–24% B in 15 min, to 100% B in 5 min and hold for 10 min where eluent A was composed of n-hexane and iso-propanol (IPA) (90/10; v/v) and eluent B of 100% IPA. FAMEs and ether-cleaved hydrocarbons were subsequently analyzed by a Thermquest Trace GC mass spectrometry (GC-MS) system and GC–isotope ratio–MS (GC-IRMS) using a Trace GC ultra-coupled via GC Isolink and a Conflo IV interface to a Thermo Scientific Delta V plus following published protocols (Kellermann et al., 2012).

Uptake of 13CH₄ into PLFAs was calculated as the product of excess 13C and the amount of PLFA carbon based on quantification via GC-FID measurements (Kellermann et al., 2012). Excess 13C is the difference between the fractional abundance (F) of 13C in PLFAs after 250 days relative to the T₀ sample where F = 13C/(13C + 12C) = R/(R + 1), with R being derived from the measured δ13C values as R = (δ13C/1000 + 1) × VPDB.
Statistical Analysis and Figures Production

Correlation analysis and figures were made within the R environment (R Core Team, 2019). Pearson correlation coefficients ($r$) were calculated along with confidence intervals (95%). $P$ values were adjusted for multiple testing (False Discovery Rate method).
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