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Abstract The current paper generalizes the Edelstein fixed point theorem for digital $(\varepsilon, k)$-chainable metric spaces. In order to generalize Edelstein fixed point theorem, we study the digital topological properties of digital images. Further, we establish the Banach fixed point theorem for digital images. We give the notion of digital $(\varepsilon, \lambda, k)$-uniformly locally contraction mapping on digital $(\varepsilon, k)$-chainable metric spaces. Finally, we generalize the Banach fixed point theorem to digital $(\varepsilon, k)$-chainable metric spaces which is known as the Edelstein fixed point theorem for digital images on digital $(\varepsilon, k)$-chainable metric spaces.
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1. Introduction

Fixed point theory plays an essential role in various branches of mathematics. The knowledge of the existence of fixed points has relevant applications in many branches of analysis and topology. Besides, it has application to some areas of computer sciences such as computer graphics, image processing, mathematical morphology and so forth. It is very useful to find out a solution if an equation has any solution. Many mathematical problems, originating from various branches of mathematics can be equivalently formulated as fixed point problems meaning that one has to find a fixed point of some functions. In metric spaces, this theory begins with the Banach fixed point theorem (also known as the Banach contraction mapping principle) by Stefan Banach in 1922 [2]. It is an important tool for solution of some problems in mathematics and engineering. Up to now, there are many generalizations of Banach fixed point theorem have been established [12]. In 1961, Michael Edelstein generalized that theorem on $\varepsilon$-chainable metric spaces [10,12].

Digital topology deals with the digital topological properties of $nD$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ digital images. It was first introduced by Rosenfeld in 1979 [17]. To be specific, he developed the notion of digital continuity for studying 2D and 3D digital images in 1986 [16]. In 1994, Boxer [3,4] expanded the digital versions of several notions such as digital continuous functions including homeomorphisms retraction and homotopies. Few years ago, the concept of digital continuity was extended into the study of $nD$ digital images [13].

The fixed point properties and fixed point theory for digital images were first given by Ege and Karaca [6] named as Lefschetz fixed point theorem. They developed some applications of the Lefschetz fixed point theorem and Nielsen fixed point theorem in digital images to count fixed points [7,9]. They also got some new results associating digital homotopy and fixed point theory [8]. In 2015, they studied the Banach fixed point theorem for digital images [5]. Han [12] refined and improved several notions of that paper such as digital versions of both Cauchy sequence and limit of a sequence in a digital metric spaces. Recently, approximate fixed points and the approximate fixed point property (AFPP) of digitally continuous functions are introduced [4].

This paper is organized as follows. In the first part, we give the required background about the digital images and digital topology. After that, we study the property of the completeness of digital metric spaces. In the next part, we state and prove the Banach fixed point theorem for digital images. Finally, we give the notion of digital $(\varepsilon, k)$-chainable metric spaces and then state and prove the Edelstein fixed point theorem for digital images. Lastly, we give the conclusion.

2. Preliminaries

To study the Banach fixed point theorem and Edelstein fixed point theorem from the viewpoint of digital topology, we discuss some basic notions from digital topology.

Let $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ represent the sets of natural numbers and integer numbers respectively. Let $\mathbb{Z}^n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the sets of lattice points in the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space. It is useful to distinguish between a digital picture and a digital image. We say $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is a digital picture [17]. A digital image is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with the adjacency relation of the
Definition 1.1: We say that two distinct points \( x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) are \( k \)-(or \( k(l, n) \)) adjacent for \( nD \) digital images if they satisfy the followings [13]:

\[
N_k(x, 1) = N_k^*(x) \cap X.
\]

Proposition 2.1 [13]: Let \((X, k_0)\) and \((Y, k_1)\) be digital images in \( \mathbb{Z}^{n_0} \) and \( \mathbb{Z}^{n_1} \) respectively. A function \( f: (X, k_0) \rightarrow (Y, k_1) \) is digitally \( (k_0, k_1) \)-continuous if and only if for every \( x \in X, f(N_{k_0}(x, 1)) \subset N_{k_1}(f(x), 1) \), which implies that for the points \( x, y \) which are \( k_0 \)-adjacent a \( (k_0, k_1) \)-continuous function \( f \) has the property

\[
f(x) = f(y)
\]

or \( f(y) \in N_{k_1}(f(x), 1) \).

Definition 2.1 [3,12]: Let \((X, k_0)\) and \((Y, k_1)\) be digital images in \( \mathbb{Z}^{n_0} \) and \( \mathbb{Z}^{n_1} \) respectively. Then a map \( g: X \rightarrow Y \) is called a \((k_0, k_1)\)-isomorphism if \( g \) is a digital \((k_0, k_1)\)-continuous, bijective and further \( g^{-1} \) is \((k_0, k_1)\)-continuous which can be denoted by \( X \cong_{(k_0, k_1)} Y \).

3. Completeness of the Digital Metric Spaces

Definition 3.1 [5]: Let \((X, d, k)\) denote a digital metric space with \( k \)-adjacency relation, where \( d \) is the standard Euclidean function on \( X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \).

In relation to the study of Banach fixed point theorem and Edelstein fixed point theorem, we recall the following:

Definition 3.2 [5]: A sequence \( \{x_n\} \) of points of a digital metric space \((X, d, k)\) is a Cauchy sequence if for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( n, m > N \), then

\[
d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon.
\]

Since the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is defined in the digital metric space \((X, d, k)\), Han [12] observed that the Euclidean distance between any two distinct points \( x, y \in X \) is greater than or equal to 1 as follows:

Proposition 3.1 [12]: In a digital metric space \((X, d, k)\), consider two points \( x_i, x_j \) in a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) of \( X \) such that they are \( k \)-adjacent \( i.e. x_i, x_j \in N_k(x_i, 1) \) or \( x_j \in N_k(x_i, 1) \) and \( x_i \neq x_j \). Then they have the Euclidean distance \( d(x_i, x_j) \epsilon \{ \sqrt{t} | t \epsilon [1, l] \} \).
Proof: Since the Definition 2.1 implies that any two distinct points \( x_i, x_j \in \{x_n\} \) in the digital metric space \((X, d, k)\) has at most \( l \) of their co-ordinates which differs by \( \pm 1 \) and all other coincide. Thus the Euclidean distance \( d(x_i, x_j) \in (1, \sqrt{2}, \ldots, \sqrt{l}) \) depending on the position of that two points. For instance, in Figure 1 (a), the Euclidean distance of any two points 2-adjacent in \( \mathbb{Z} \) is 1. In Figure 1 (b), the Euclidean distance of any two points 4-adjacent in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) is 1. In Figure 1 (c), the Euclidean distance of any two points \( m \)-adjacent in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) is either 1 or \( \sqrt{2} \) depending on the position of the given two points. For instance, consider two 8-adjacent points of \( p(0, 0) \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) are \( p_1(0, 1) \) and \( p_2(1, 1) \). Now the Euclidean distance of these two points are \( d(p, p_1) = 1 \) and \( d(p, p_2) = \sqrt{2} \).

Similarly, in three dimensional case, we have Euclidean distances \( \{\sqrt{t}\} \ t \in [1, 3] \) depending on the positions of the two points.

Thus by using the above Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 3.2** [12]: A sequence \( \{x_n\} \) of points of a digital metric space \((X, d, k)\) is a Cauchy sequence if and only if there is \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( n, m > N \), we have
\[
 d(x_n, x_m) < 1,
\]
i.e. \( x_n = x_m \) for all \( n, m > N \).

By using the Proposition 3.1, we can define the convergency of a sequence of a digital metric space \((X, d, k)\) as follows:

**Definition 3.2** [12]: A sequence \( \{x_n\} \) of points of a digital metric space \((X, d, k)\) converges to a limit \( L \in X \) if there is \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( n > N \), we have
\[
 x_n = L, \text{i.e. } x_n = x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} = \cdots = L.
\]

**Definition 3.3** [12]: A digital metric space \((X, d, k)\) is a complete digital metric space if any Cauchy sequence \( \{x_n\} \) of points of \((X, d, k)\) converges to a point \( L \in X \).

4. Banach Fixed Point Theorem for Digital Contraction Mapping

**Definition 4.1** [5]: Let \((X, d, k)\) be a digital metric space and \( f : (X, d, k) \to (X, d, k) \) be a self-mapping. If there exists \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \) such that for all \( x, y \in X \), we have
\[
 d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y)
\]
then \( f \) is called a digital contraction mapping.

**Proposition 4.1**: Every digital contraction mapping is digitally continuous.

**Theorem 4.1** (Banach contraction principle for digital images): Let \((X, d, k)\) be a complete digital metric space with Euclidean metric \( d \) on \( X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \). Let \( f : (X, d, k) \to (X, d, k) \) be a digital contraction mapping. Then \( f \) has a unique fixed point.

Proof: For notational purposes, we define \( f^n(x), x \in X \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), inductively by \( f^0(x) = x \) and \( f^{n+1}(x) = f(f^n(x)) \). To show existence, we select \( x \in X \). We first show that \( \{f^n(x)\} \) is a Cauchy sequence.

Notice for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) that
\[
 d\left(f^n(x), f^{n+1}(x)\right) \leq \lambda d\left(f^{n-1}(x), f^n(x)\right)
\]
\[
 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda^n d\left(x, f(x)\right).
\]

Thus for \( m > n \), we have
\[
 d\left(f^n(x), f^m(x)\right) \leq d\left(f^n(x), f^{n+1}(x)\right) + d\left(f^{n+1}(x), f^{n+2}(x)\right) + \cdots + d\left(f^{m-1}(x), f^m(x)\right)
\]
\[
 = \lambda^n \left[1 + \lambda + \cdots + \lambda^{m-n-1}\right] d\left(x, f(x)\right)
\]
\[
 = \lambda^n \sum_{s=0}^{m-n-1} \lambda^s d\left(x, f(x)\right)
\]
\[
 \leq \lambda^n \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \lambda^s d\left(x, f(x)\right)
\]
\[
 \therefore d\left(f^n(x), f^m(x)\right) \leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} d\left(x, f(x)\right) < 1.
\]

By Proposition 3.1, we have \( d\left(f^n(x), f^m(x)\right) = 0 \), which implies \( f^n(x) = f^m(x) \). This shows that \( \{f^n(x)\} \) is a Cauchy sequence and since \( X \) is complete, so there exists \( p \in X \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(x) = p \).

Moreover, the continuity of \( f \) yields
\[
 p = \lim_{n \to \infty} f^{n+1}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(f^n(x)\right)
\]
\[
 = f\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(x)\right) = f(p).
\]

Therefore, \( p \) is a fixed point of \( f \).

For uniqueness, suppose that \( q \) is another fixed point of \( f \) such that \( f(q) = q \) and \( p \neq q \), then
\[
 d\left(p, q\right) = d\left(f(p), f(q)\right) \leq \lambda d\left(p, q\right)
\]
\[
 \Rightarrow (1-\lambda) d\left(p, q\right) \leq 0.
\]

But \( 1-\lambda > 0 \), so \( d\left(p, q\right) = 0 \). Therefore, \( p = q \) and our proof is completed.

5. Edelstein Fixed Point Theorem for Digital \((\varepsilon, k)\) Chainable Metric Spaces

An extension of Banach fixed point theorem was given by Edelstein to a class of mappings on \( \varepsilon \)-chainable metric spaces [10]. Based on that we discuss Edelstein fixed point theorem for digital \((\varepsilon, k)\) chainable metric spaces.
from the viewpoint of digital topology. In this regard, we discuss digital $\varepsilon$-chain, $(\varepsilon,\lambda,k)$-uniformly locally contractive mapping and $\varepsilon$-chainable metric spaces for digital topology.

**Definition 5.1:** Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points of a digital metric space $(X,d,k)$ and suppose $\varepsilon = 1$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be $(\varepsilon,k)$ chain joining $x_0$ and $x_n$ if $x_{i-1}$ and $x_i$ are neighbors, $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\},$ i.e., $d(x_{i-1},x_i) \leq 1$.

**Definition 5.2:** The digital metric space $(X,d,k)$ is said to be digitally $(\varepsilon,k)$-chainable metric space if each pair $(x,y)$ of its points, there exists an $\varepsilon$-chain joining $x$ and $y$.

**Definition 5.3:** A mapping $f : (X,d,k) \rightarrow (X,d,k)$ is called digitally $(\varepsilon,\lambda,k)$-uniformly locally contractive if there exists $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\lambda \in [0,1)$ such that $d(f(x),y) \leq \lambda d(x,y)$ for each $x,y \in X$.

**Theorem 5.1** (Edelstein fixed point theorem for digital images): Let $(X,d,k)$ be a complete digital $(\varepsilon,\lambda,k)$-chainable metric space and $f : (X,d,k) \rightarrow (X,d,k)$ be a digital $(\varepsilon,\lambda,k)$-uniformly locally contractive mapping. Then $f$ has a unique fixed point $c$ in $X$.

Proof: Given $(X,d,k)$ is a complete digital $(\varepsilon,k)$-chainable metric space. Now we define a new metric by

$$d_\varepsilon(x,y) = \inf \sum_{i=0}^{n} d(x_{i-1},x_i)$$

where infimum is taken over all $(\varepsilon,k)$ chains $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$ joining $x_0 = x$ and $x_n = y$. Then the metric $d_\varepsilon$ on $X$ satisfies

(i) $d(x,y) \leq d_\varepsilon(x,y)$

(ii) $d_\varepsilon(x,y) = d_\varepsilon(x,y)$ for $d(x,y) < 1$.

Then the metric $(X,d_\varepsilon,k)$ is complete whenever $(X,d,k)$ is complete. With given $x,y \in X$ and any $(\varepsilon,k)$-chains $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$ joining $x_0 = x$ and $x_n = y$, we have

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_{i-1},x_i),$$

where $d(x_{i-1},x_i) \leq 1$ for $i = 1,2, \ldots, n$.

Now we can write

$$d(f(x),f(y)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(f(x_{i-1}),f(x_i)).$$

Hence, by the Definition 5.3, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(f(x_{i-1}),f(x_i)) \leq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_{i-1},x_i) \leq \lambda n < 1.$$

So $f(x_0), f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_n)$ is an $(\varepsilon,k)$-chain joining $f(x)$ and $f(y)$.

Then

$$d_\varepsilon(f(x),f(y)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(f(x_{i-1}),f(x_i)) \leq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_{i-1},x_i).$$

Now $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$ being an arbitrary $(\varepsilon,k)$-chain, we have

$$d_\varepsilon(f(x),f(y)) \leq \lambda d_\varepsilon(x,y).$$

Since $f$ has a unique fixed point $c \in X$, given by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\varepsilon(f^n(x),c) = 0$ for any $x \in X$ [By Banach fixed point theorem].

But by (i), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x),c) = 0$. Therefore, our proof is completed.

6. Conclusion

We have reviewed some notions of digital topology for image processing technique. Further, we have studied the Banach fixed point theorem for digital images. At last, we have discussed the digital version of Edelstein fixed point theorem for digital images which is the generalization of Banach fixed point theorem in metric spaces. We hope that all results in this paper help us to understand better structure of digital images.

In future, we will study the other fixed point theorem for digital images in digital topology.
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