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Introduction

A Topological index also known as connectivity index is a type of molecular descriptor that is calculated based on the molecular graph of a chemical compound. It is a numerical parameter of a graph which characterizes its topology and usually graphs invariant. According to [2] topological indices are extensively used as molecular descriptors in building:

- QSAR-Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship which are extensively used in pharmaceutical and agricultural chemistry for screening compound.
- QSPR-Quantitative Structure-Property Relationship.
- QSTR-Quantitative Structure-Toxicity Relations which is used in predicting toxicity of chemicals.

These have become a powerful tools in contemporary chemical and medicinal research as it is possible to predict the biological activity, specific chemical activity, toxicity and the environmental fate even before its synthesis. An important and oldest topological index introduced by Gutman and Trinajstić [5], and Zagreb index study of structure property and correlation of molecules. They are namely first and second Zagreb indices and defined as follows:

\[ M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} \text{deg}(u)^2 = \sum_{e \in E(G)} [\text{deg}(u) + \text{deg}(v)] \]

\[ M_2(G) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} \text{deg}(u)\text{deg}(v) \]

Furtula and Gutman [4] defined F-index of graph G as:

\[ F(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} \text{deg}(u)^3 = \sum_{e \in E(G)} [\text{deg}(u)^2 + \text{deg}(v)^2] \]

Azari et al. [1] found some interesting results on Zagreb indices of bridges and chain graphs. In fact this is the first seminal paper on topological indices of bridges and chain graph structures. In [8], Nilanjan De computed exact values for the F-index of bridge and chain graphs.

Naji et al. [7] introduced graph invariants based on 2-degree of vertices called Leap Zagreb indices. The 2-degree of a vertex v in G is defined as the number of vertices which are
at distance two from \( v \) in \( G \) and denoted by \( d_2(v ; G) \) or simply \( d_2(v) \).

The following are the definitions of leap Zagreb indices given in [7].

First leap Zagreb index:

\[
LM_1(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_2(v)^2
\]  

(3)

Second leap Zagreb index:

\[
LM_2(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_2(u)d_2(v)
\]  

(4)

Third leap Zagreb index:

\[
LM_3(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)d_2(v).
\]  

(5)

X. Zhang et al. [9, 10] studied the edge version of atom bomb connectivity and geometric arithmetic indices for Cartesian product and join of graphs [9, 10]. For further research work on these indices refer to [11-17].

In this sequel, V.R. Kulli [6] recently defined another distance based topological index called F-leap index of a graph \( G \) and defined as

\[
LF(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_2(v)^3.
\]

We brief the F-leap index of some well known graphs as follows:

(i) For a path \( P_n \) on \( n \) vertices,

\[
LF(P_n) = \begin{cases}
  2, & \text{if } n = 3 \\
  8n - 28, & \text{if } n \geq 4
\end{cases}
\]

(ii) For a cycle \( C_n \) on \( n \) vertices,

\[
LF(C_n) = \begin{cases}
  0, & \text{if } n = 3 \\
  8n, & \text{if } n \geq 4
\end{cases}
\]

(iii) For a star graph \( K_{1,n} \),

\[
LF(K_{1,n}) = \begin{cases}
  0, & \text{if } n = 1 \\
  2, & \text{if } n = 2 \\
  n(n-1)^3, & \text{if } n \geq 3.
\end{cases}
\]

(iv) It is easy to observe that the \( LF(K_n) = 0 \) as the 2-degree is zero for every vertex in the complete graph \( K_n \).

(v) For a complete bipartitite graph \( K_{r,s} \) with \( r \leq s \),

\[
LF(K_{r,s}) = r(r-1)^3 + s(s-1)^3.
\]

(vi) For a wheel graph \( W_{n,r} \),

\[
LF(W_{n,r}) = \begin{cases}
  0, & \text{if } n = 3 \\
  n(n-3)^3, & \text{if } n \geq 4.
\end{cases}
\]

Results and discussion

Bridge graphs

The bridge graph \( B_1 = B(G_1 G_2, \ldots G_d ; v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d) \) of a set of connected graphs \( \{G_i : 1 \leq i \leq d\} \) is the graph obtained from \( G_1 G_2, \ldots G_d \) by connecting the vertices \( v_i \) and \( v_{i+1} \) by an edge for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, d \).

![FIGURE 1 Bridge graph B_1]

The bridge graph \( B_2 = B(G_1 G_2, \ldots G_d ; v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d, w_i, w_{i+1}) \) of a set of connected graphs \( \{G_i : 1 \leq i \leq d\} \) is the graph obtained from \( G_1 G_2, \ldots G_d \) by connecting the vertices \( w_i \) and \( v_{i+1} \) by an edge for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, d \).

**Lemma-1:** For \( 1 \leq i \leq d \),

\[
\gamma_i + \mu_{i+1} + 1, \text{if } u = v_i \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i-1} + 1, \text{if } u = v_d \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i} + 1, \text{if } u = v_{i+1} \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i+1} + \mu_{i-1} + 1, \text{if } u = v_{i-1} \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i-1} + \mu_{i+1} + 2, \text{if } u = v_i, 3 \leq i \leq d - 2 \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i} + 1, \text{if } u = N(v_i) \backslash G_{i+1} \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i-1} + 1, \text{if } u = N(v_i) \backslash G_{i} \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i+1} + 1, \text{if } u = N(v_i) \backslash G_{i-1} \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i} + 1, \text{if } u = N(v_i) \backslash G_{i} \backslash G_{i+1} \backslash G_{i-1} \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i+1} + \mu_{i-1} + 2, \text{if } u = v_i, 2 \leq i \leq d - 1 \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i} + 1, \text{if } u \in V(G_i) \backslash [N(v_i) \cup G_i] \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i+1} + \mu_{i-1} + 2, \text{if } u = v_i, 2 \leq i \leq d - 1 \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i} + 1, \text{if } u \in V(G_i) \backslash [N(v_i) \cup G_i] \backslash G_{i+1} \backslash G_{i-1} \\
\gamma_i + \mu_{i+1} + \mu_{i-1} + 2, \text{if } u = v_i, 2 \leq i \leq d - 1
\]

where \( \gamma_i = d_2(v_i ; G_i) \) and \( \mu_i = \deg(v_i ; G_i) \).

![FIGURE 2 Bridge graph B_2]
Theorem 1:
\[
LF(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} LF(G_i) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mu_i^1 - \mu_i^0 + \\
3 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} LM_i(G_i) - 3 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{u \in V(G_i)} d_u(G_i)^2 + 3 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \gamma_i^2 \\
+ 3 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sum_{u \in N(G_i)} d_u(G_i)^2 + 3 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \gamma_i^2 \mu_{i+1} + \gamma_i^2 (\mu_i) \\
+ 3 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left( \gamma_i^2 \mu_{i+1}^2 + \gamma_{i+1}^2 \mu_i^2 \right) + 3 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left( 12 \mu_i^2 + \gamma_i^2 (\mu_i+1) \right) \\
+ 3 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \gamma_i^2 + 3 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \gamma_i^2 + 3 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{u \in N(G_i)} d_u(G_i) \\
+ 9 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{u \in N(V_i \cup G_i)} d_u(G_i)^2 + 3 \gamma_i^2 + \gamma_i^2 (\gamma_i^2 + \gamma_{i+1}^2 + \gamma_{i-1}^2 + \mu_i^2) + 2 (\mu_i^2 + \mu_{i+1}^2) \\
- 4 (\gamma_i^2 + \gamma_{i+1}^2 + \gamma_{i-1}^2 + \mu_i^2) + 2 \left( \gamma_i^2 + \gamma_{i+1}^2 + \gamma_{i-1}^2 + \mu_i^2 \right) + 28.
\]

Proof: The proof of this result follows the definition of F-leap index and Lemma 1 through some algebraic operations.

Chain graph
Farkhanda Afzal et al. [3] discussed the weighted entropy of penta chains. Here we discussed the F-leap index of a more general chain graph.

The chain graph \( C = C(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2d}, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d) \) of \( \{ G_i : 1 \leq i \leq d \} \) with respect to the vertices \( \{ v_i, w_i : 1 \leq i \leq d \} \) is the graph obtained from the graphs \( \{ G_i : 1 \leq i \leq d \} \) by identifying the vertices \( v_i \) and \( v_{i-1} \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq d - 1 \).

Lemma 2: Let \( \{ G_i : 1 \leq i \leq d \} \) be \( C_2 \)-free connected graphs for \( d \geq 5 \) and let \( C \) be the chain graph formed using these graphs. Then the 2-degree of any arbitrary vertex \( u \) in \( C \) is given as follows:

\[
d_2(u : C) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
d_2(u : G_i), & \text{if } u \in V(G_i) \setminus N[w_i ; G_i] \\
d_2(u : G_i) + \mu_i, & \text{if } u \in N[w_i ; G_i] \\
d_2(u : G_d), & \text{if } u \in V(G_d) \setminus N[v_d ; G_d] \\
d_2(u : G_d) + \lambda_{d-1}, & \text{if } u \in N(v_d ; G_d) \\
d_2(u : G_i), & \text{if } u \in V(G_i) \setminus \{ N[w_i ; G_i] \cup N[v_i ; G_i] \}, 2 \leq i \leq d - 1 \\
d_2(u : G_i) + \mu_i, & \text{if } u \in N(w_i ; G_i) \setminus N(v_i ; G_i), 2 \leq i \leq d - 1 \\
d_2(u : G_i) + \lambda_{i-1}, & \text{if } u \in N(v_i ; G_i) \setminus N(w_i ; G_i), 2 \leq i \leq d - 1 \\
d_2(u : G_i) + \lambda_{i-1} + \mu_i, & \text{if } u \in N(w_i ; G_i) \setminus N(v_i ; G_i), 2 \leq i \leq d - 1 \\
d_2(u : G_i) + \lambda_{i-1} + \mu_i, & \text{if } u = w_i = v_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq d - 1
\end{array} \right.
\]

where \( \gamma_i = d_2(v_i : G_i), \delta_i = d_2(w_i : G_i), \mu_i = \deg(v_i : G_i) \) and \( \lambda_i = \deg(w_i : G_i) \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq d \).

Theorem 2: \( LF(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} LF(G_i) + 3 \mu_i \sum_{u \in N(V_i \cup G_i)} d_u(G_i)[d_2(u : G_i)] + 3 \lambda_i \sum_{u \in N(V_i \cup G_i)} d_u(G_i)[d_2(u : G_i) + \lambda_{i-1}] + \sum_{u \in N(V_i \cup G_i)} (3d_u(G_i)\mu_i + d_2(u : G_i)) + \mu_i \]

Proof: By definition of F-leap index and applying Lemma-2 through algebraic calculations we get the required result.

Special classes of bridge graph \( B_i \)
Let \( B_i(P) \) denote the bridge graph \( B(P_{n_1}, P_{n_2}, \ldots, P_{n_k} : v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k) \) formed using
paths $P_{n_i}$ on $\{n_i: 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ vertices by identifying a vertex $v_i$ in each such path and joining $v_i$ with $v_{i+1}$.

**Theorem 3:** $LF(B_i(P)) = 8\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i + 103k - 318$

Proof: We first observe the vertex partition of $B_i(P)$.

**TABLE 1** Vertex partition of $B_1(P)$

| 2-degree | #vertices |
|----------|-----------|
| 1        | 2k        |
| 2        | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (n_i - 3)$ |
| 3        | 2         |
| 4        | 2         |
| 5        | k-4       |

By the definition of F-leap index we have

$$LF(B_i(P)) = \sum_{v \in V(B_i(P))} d_2(v)^3.$$  

By applying the 2-degrees given in Table 1,

$$LF(B_i(P)) = 8\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i + 103k - 318.$$  

**Corollary:** If $n_1=n_2=...=n_k=r$, then we denote the bridge graph in this case by $B_{r,k}$. Now $LF(B_{r,k}) = 8rk + 103k - 318$.

Let $B_1(K)$ denote the bridge graph $B(K_{n_1}, K_{n_2}, ..., K_{n_k} ; v_1, v_2, ..., v_k)$ over the complete graphs $K_{n_i}; 1 \leq i \leq k$.

**Theorem-4:**

$$LF(B_1(K)) = \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (n_{i-1} + n_{i+1})^3 + 8\sum_{i=2}^{k-1} n_i + (n_i^3 + 2n_{i+1}^3)$$  

$$+(n_i + n_{i-1} - 1)^3 + (n_{i+2} + n_{i+1} - 1)^3 + (n_i + n_k - 8k + 14).$$  

Proof: It is easy to note that there are $(n_i + n_{i-1} - 2)$ vertices of 2-degree one and

$$\sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (n_i - 1)$$  

vertices of 2-degree two in $B_1(K)$. Further we obtain the 2-degree of the vertices $v_i$ as follows:

**TABLE 2 2-degree of vertices in $B_1(K)$**

| Vertex $v$ | $d_2(v)$ |
|-----------|-----------|
| $v_1$     | $n_2$     |
| $v_2$     | $n_{i-1} + 1$ |
| $v_i$     | $n_{i-1} + n_{i+1}, 3 \leq i \leq k - 2$ |
| $v_{k-1}$ | $n_{k-2} + n_k - 1$ |
| $v_k$     | $n_{k-1}$ |

Now, based on the definition of F-leap index, we have following results:

**Corollary:** If $n_1=n_2=...=n_k=n$, then $LF(B_1(K)) = 8(n-1)k + (8k - 14)n^3 - 24n^2 - 2n + 12$.

**Applications**

(i) The square comb lattice $C_q(N)$ having open ends and $N = n^2$ vertices can be viewed as a bridge graph $B_{n,n}$.

**FIGURE 3** Square comb lattice

Therefore by corollary of Theorem-3, we get $LF(C_q(N)) = 8n^2 + 103n - 318$.

(ii) Consider the van Hove comb lattice graph $CvH(N)$ with open ends. This graph can be represented as the bridge graph $B_1(P_1, P_2, P_3, ..., P_{n-1}, P_n, P_{n-1}, P_{n-2}, ..., P_2, P_1, v_1, v_2, ..., v_n, u_{n-1}, ..., u_1)$.

Here we observe the following table. So, applying Theorem-1, we get $LF(CvH(N)) = 8n^2 + 244n - 634$.

(iii) Two vertices $v$ and $w$ of a cycle $C$ are said to be in...
(a) ortho-position, if they are adjacent in C
(b) meta-position, if they are distance two in C
(c) para-position, if they are distances three in C.

**FIGURE 4** Van Hove comb lattice $CvH(N)$

**TABLE 3** Vertex partition in $CvH(N)$

| 2-degree | #vertices |
|-----------|-----------|
| 5         | $2n-5$    |
| 3         | $2n-7$    |
| 2         | $2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i - 7n + 36$ |
| 1         | $4n-12$   |

**FIGURE 5** Ortho, meta, para positions in a cycle.

**Theorem 5.** The F-leap index of bridges over $k$ cycles of different lengths $n_i > 4$ in ortho position is

$$LF(B_1(C)) = 8\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i + 616k - 1260.$$ 

We decide this computation from Table 4.

**TABLE 4** Vertex partition in $B_1(C)$

| 2-degree | #vertices |
|-----------|-----------|
| 2         | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (n_i - 3)$ |
| 3         | 4         |
| 4         | $2k-4$    |
| 5         | 2         |
| 7         | 2         |
| 8         | $k-4$     |

**Corollary:** In particular, if $n_i = n, 1 \leq i \leq k$, then $LF(B_1(C)) = 8nk + 616k - 1260$.

**Theorem 6:** The F-leap index of bridge graph over $k$ cycles of different lengths $n_i \geq 5$ in meta-position is

$$LF(B_2(C)) = 8\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i + 188k - 188.$$ 

Proof: The proof is straight forward using the following vertex partition of $B_2(C)$.

**Table 5** Vertex partition of $B_2(C)$

| 2-degree | #vertices |
|-----------|-----------|
| 2         | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i - 6k + 6$ |
| 3         | $4k-4$    |
| 4         | $2k-2$    |

**Theorem 7:** The F-leap index of bridge graph over $k$ cycles of different lengths $n_i \geq 9$ in para-position is

$$LF(B_2(C)) = 8\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i + 188k - 188.$$ 

Proof: The proof of this result is just the same as that of Theorem 6.

The polyphenyl chain of $h$ hexagons is said to be an ortho-($O_h$), meta-($M_h$) and para-($L_h$), if all its internal hexagons are ortho-hexagons, meta-hexagons and para-hexagons, respectively.

**FIGURE 6** Polyphenyl chains in ortho, meta, para positions
The polyphenyl chain $O_h$ is represented as the bridge graph $B_1 = B_1(C_{6h}, \ldots, C_{6h} v, v, \ldots, v(h \text{ times}))$. Therefore by corollary of Theorem 5, $LF(O_h) = 664h - 1260$.

Similarly, the polyphenyl chains $M_h$ and $L_h$ are represented as the bridge graph $B_2 = B_2(C_6, C_{6h}, \ldots, C_{6h} v, v, \ldots, v(h \text{ times}))$. Therefore by corollary of Theorem 6 (or Theorem 7) we get $LF(M_h) = LF(L_h) = 236h - 188$.

The spiro chain $SP(C_{6d})$ is the chain graph $C = C(C_{6d}, C_{6d}, \ldots, C_{6d} v, w, w, \ldots, v, w)$. The spiro chains of $C_4$ and $C_6$ are given by Figure

![Figure 7: Spiro chains SP(Cn,d) and SP(C6,d)](image)

Clearly, $LF(SP(C_4, d)) = 136d - 154$ and $LF(SP(C_6, d)) = 264d - 160$.

**Conclusion**

In this work, F-leap index of bridges and chain graphs were analyzed and the topological invariant was computed for some special types of bridge graphs. Several applications of these generalized graph structures were highlighted, as well. One may try to correlate these results with chemical compounds which have similar structure such as bridge graphs and chain graphs to obtain fruitful results before conducting the experiments.
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