Assessing the influential factors of cybersecurity awareness in Malaysia during the pandemic outbreak: a structural equation modeling
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Abstract
The advanced growth of communication technology today greatly has brought benefits in many ways, but it has indirectly led to the exposure of data privacy and safety of end users to the outsiders. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, all citizens are required to stay at home and most of their times have been used on the internet for their leisure, study and work purposes. The massive use of internet lead to cybercriminal like scam, fraud, hacking and cyber bullying. It has been reported that the senior adult is the most affected group. Using the Information Security Awareness Model (ISACM) and the Situation Awareness Cybersecurity Education Model (SAOCE), this study aims to develop a cybersecurity awareness model that can assist the elderly from attacks in cyberspace. Methodologically, this paper is quantitative in nature and recruits 300 senior adults from age 50 until above 60 years via convenience sampling technique. We identified three factors namely organization, social and individual influencing cybersecurity awareness. The result revealed that organization factors significantly related to cybersecurity awareness with value of $\beta=0.151$, $p=0.025$, meanwhile for social and individual factors are found less significant to cybersecurity awareness with values $\beta=-0.066$, $p=0.328$ and $\beta=0.045$, $p=0.463$ respectively. With the development of cybersecurity awareness model, we are confident that our model can contribute to the body of knowledge within the cybersecurity context.

Keywords
Covid-19, Cybersecurity awareness, Elderly, Structural equation modelling.

1. Introduction

The advanced growth of communication technology today has brought great benefits to the people of Malaysia in many ways. One of those is the introduction of Society 5.0 concept, in which referring to smart cities which will solve future issues and challenges using digital approaches including artificial intelligence (AI), big data and Internet of Things (IoT). Big data collected by the various devices will be analysed and transformed into new type of innovation technologies by AI and will be beneficial for all levels of the community. The aim of society 5.0 is to change our lifestyle to becoming more comfortable with digital technology and its services whenever it is needed. Despite of its advantage, some problems have come into the picture.

One of the problems is cybersecurity awareness among the elderly people. It had been reported in 2017 in the UK, the risk of senior citizens being cyber fraud victims is getting higher, which predicted more than one million elderlies will be attacked by scammer through email [1].

Statistically, 8% of 75 years old and above internet users claimed that they were a victim and almost 75% of the age group said technology had exposed them to online danger although it offers many benefits [2, 3]. There are about 62,000 complaints about fraud received from senior citizens with total loss of almost $650 million, whereas tech support fraud is speeding up and the elderlies will be the majority victims [4–6]. Therefore, it is timely to create cybersecurity awareness among the elderlies. Thus, the objective of this study is to further investigate the relationship of
the identified factors in creating the cybersecurity awareness to the elderly.

2. Literature review

The ideology of the proposed conceptual framework is derived from two prominent models which are Information Security Awareness Capability Model (ISACM) and Situation Awareness-Oriented Cybersecurity Education (SAOCE). Previous work had developed a model of ISACM based on several theories that combined the aspect of information security best practices and security awareness theory [7]. The design of ISACM comprises three key features in which all these features are according to the controls listed within ISO/IEC 27002. The first feature is awareness importance, in which people’s awareness about cybersecurity control will influence the process of avoiding being a scam victim. The second key feature is the awareness capacity, which refers to a person’s capability in dealing with a problem. For example, how a person is capable to understand the type, characteristic and situation of a scam activity. This understanding could influence the rate of successful scam avoidance. Finally, the awareness risk which investigated the gap between the amounts of awareness importance being bigger than the amount portrayed by a person (awareness capability). Unfortunately, this model is limited to the individual factor only whereby we develop a comprehensive model with the aim at identifying the organization and social factor concurrently.

Another advanced model is called SAOCE. This approach was explained by [8] in his research on developing a cybersecurity education curriculum for university students. The approach was based on Situation Knowledge Reference Model (SKRM) which capture the students’ awareness on the cybersecurity situations. In the proposed curriculum, several hands-on lab activities that represent real-world cyber problems were introduced to bring the conceptual knowledge unit. The proposed curriculum comprises four modules: the research module, the laboratory module, the situation awareness module and the presentation module. The advantage of this approach is it has been proven beneficial for curriculum in the university which the students are able to have intensive understanding on cybersecurity background. On the other hand, this model cannot be implemented for the senior citizens awareness and education because of the complicated computer science terminologies, concepts and technical steps that are difficult to be delivered to this group of users. Thus, a simpler cybersecurity education model is needed to teach senior citizens on how to recognize cyber-attack and prevent them from being a victim.

To capture all the different aspects prior to cybersecurity awareness, we proposed a research model (see Figure 1) that includes variables to address organizational, social and individual factors of cybersecurity awareness. Those dimensions are the most important factors in identifying cybersecurity awareness [9–11]. Therefore, we included all the dimensions in our proposed cybersecurity awareness model for the elderly.

2.1 Organization factors

The Information Security Policy contains a set of rules issued by the organization that defines the principles and responsibilities necessary to protect information. In general, the aim of determining the Information Security Policy (ISPP) is as follows: to ensure business continuity, minimize security incidents, prevent unauthorized access and protect the organization’s reputation. Ideally, the policy should be well formatted and understandable. Moreover, IT security representative of the organization must ensure all policies should be reachable in both soft and hardcopy to create alertness and awareness. It should be noted that a clear and understandable ISPP will impact the cybersecurity awareness [12] especially if there is a senior citizen involved as a part of the company’s team.

On the other hand, awareness programs and security training are alternative ways to increase cybersecurity awareness. A responsible organization should hold training session that engage employees and ensure they comprehend the procedures and mechanisms in place to protect the information [10, 11]. It is advisable the training session should cover the broad scope from collect/use/delete data until the appropriate use of social networking. Frequent security training is needed if the company employs more senior citizens. It is a firm belief that the key to enhance the security awareness is through security training [12].

Theoretically, the security culture should reflect the information security beliefs and values that are collectively shared by all the employees at every level of an organization [12]. It is suggested that the ISC should change the employee’s motivation for cyber awareness [13]. In another development, understanding the facets of information security can help all employees, especially the elderlies, in their daily work [14]. In other development, by educating every employee especially among the elderly people
on the aspects of information security can help them to perform in their daily job [14]. From the abovementioned discussion, the following hypothesis is developed:

H1: The organization factors (i.e., security training and awareness program, information security policy provision and information security culture) is positively related to cybersecurity awareness.

### 2.2 Social factors

The social factors can be divided into “primary sources that include family members, friends or coworkers and secondary sources like the mass media” [11] and public administration [9]. These sources are particularly significant in assisting individuals especially the elderly to minimize the cybersecurity risk involved [9]. For example, the consequences of cybersecurity incidents are not always noticeable so the word-of-mouth spreading by family and friends can enhance the learning process for cybersecurity issues.

Researches have confirmed that information that are received from multiple medium such as television, books and articles are significantly related to security behavior [15,16]. In Malaysia, campaigns on awareness had long been conducted to educate the people about cybercrime and online safety. Several departments have joined hand to promote and organize special program to support the awareness campaign. In similar fashion, [17] argues on the impact that the mass media have on the public awareness concerning information security issues. We argue that the awareness has largely increased the interest and knowledge on information security.

Public administrations play a significant role in promoting cybersecurity awareness [18,19]. Therefore, majority of the citizens will comply or oblige with the information provided by the public administrations that highlight the significance of cybersecurity and the delivery of security advices [9]. Based on the preceding discussion, the following hypothesis is developed:

H2: The social factors (i.e., influence of mass media, family & friend and public administration) is positively related to cybersecurity awareness.

### 2.3 Individual factors

The idea of self-initiated learning about cybersecurity topics is compulsory. We need to adjust ourselves to understand the general security actions in order to create awareness. Ironically, it is assumed that an individual who shows initiative (e.g., finds solutions to any attack problem, takes immediate countermeasures) has a positive effect on awareness [20–24]. However, for the elderly people to initiate a first-move they require strong assistance. According to [25], senior citizens have an undesirable attitude towards computers. This is due to the elderly’s dubious attitude towards the Internet, including the belief that it is unsafe, too expensive and too complex to use.

Another individual factor that requires equal exposure is the Information Security Knowledge (ISK). At this point, we are referring ISK as a general knowledge of basic application systems that are used on a daily basis. For example, an individual who knows how to open and close a document as well as able to use the copy and paste function. There is a positive relationship between the awareness of cybersecurity and computer skills [9]. Empirically, this shows that the individual’s computer level (e.g., high, medium and low), knowledge of the Internet and experience has a positive influence on behavior towards security [26].

Education is another sector that requires individual’s attention on cybersecurity. Being able to know, use and apply the computer system starts from school or college. In today’s advanced technology, learning and practicing cybersecurity is easy. We also believe that senior adults learn a lot to adapt with the changes. Therefore, we introduced the following hypothesis:

H3: The individual factors (i.e., personal initiative, information system knowledge, security education) are positively related to cybersecurity awareness.

### 3. Methodology

This section presents materials and methodology of the study.

#### 3.1 Population and sample procedures

This study population consists of senior adults age 50 years old and above in Malaysia. The respondents were categorized as working, not working and retired. Selecting this group is in line with the suggestion that senior citizens are mostly at risk for any cybercrime [4–6]. Almost 75% of this age group blame technologies have made them expose to online danger. The data collection process included an online questionnaire, which was conducted via a Google form survey. Although using self-reported,
we conducted Harman’s One Factor Solution analysis which allows the controlling for Common Method Variance (CMV) which was essential for this study. The data collection took place on 15 June 2020 and lasted eight weeks. The current study uses a convenience sampling technique, which refers to sampling designs that use the sample of the population that is conveniently available to provide responses [27]. The advantages of this sampling technique are quick and convenient. Previous researchers have recommended some guidelines for sample size. After examining their suggestions, we have considered 300 samples.

3.2 Measurement and scaling of the theoretical constructs

In this paper, we have used two statistical software for data analysis. First, the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software was used for the preliminary analysis (i.e., descriptive statistics). Later, another advanced statistics software named Analysis Moment of Structures (AMOS) was utilized to support the central analysis (i.e., Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)). The Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) “is the best multi variate procedure for testing both the construct validity and theoretical relationships.” SEM is used as a more powerful approach compared to covariance analysis, path analysis, factor analysis, time series analysis, and multiple regressions [27]. By using SEM, the strength of the associations between constructs could be more accurately identified because it takes measurement errors into account.

Moreover, the SEM strategy of comparing alternative models to assess relative fit model makes it a more robust method. Nevertheless, SEM requires that several procedural steps be taken. SEM provides a conceptually engaging way to precisely test a theory regarding relationships among variables and latent constructs. In this paper, we utilized two prominent models which are Information Security Awareness Capability Model (ISACM) and Situation Awareness-Oriented Cybersecurity Education (SAOCE). When the data is presented, SEM can prove how well the theory fits [27]. Moreover, SEM produces accurate results without measurement errors. As mentioned earlier, to perform SEM, the analytical software called AMOS is used. This software is user friendly with an advanced computing engine for analysing multi-dimensional constructs which consists of multiple underlying concepts [28]. IBM AMOS software allows the analysis and testing that is fast, efficient and user-friendly. Its popularity is accredited to its descriptive ability and statistical proficiency for testing models with a single comprehensive method using various measures which reduces measurement errors and provides a better understanding of the phenomenon being studied [27].

4. Results and discussions

This section discusses the results of the study.

4.1 Demographic profile

Table 1 shows the demographic profile for the senior adults who responded to the questionnaire. The 300 senior adults who took part in this survey were 56.3% (N=169) male and 43.7% (N=131) female. Most of them are 50-59 years old (59.7%, N=179), followed by above 60 years old (40.3%, N=121). In terms of race, 75.7% (N=227) are Malay, 12.3% (N=37) are Chinese, 6.0% (N=18) are Indian and 6.0% (N=18) are labelled as others. The information on the working sectors reveals that 32.3% (N=97) work at the public sectors, while 28.0% (N=84) work at the private sectors. The remaining 39.7% are from the self-employed, retired and not working group. Lastly, 49.0% (N=147) of the senior adults who are still working; work at the non-IT companies whilst, 11.3% (N=34) are working with IT companies. The 34 senior adults are more expert and advanced compared to the junior executives in the workplace in terms of securing information.

| Characteristic | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender         |           |            |
| Male           | 169       | 56.3       |
| Female         | 131       | 43.7       |
| Age            |           |            |
| 50-59 years old| 179       | 59.7       |
| Above 60 years old| 121 | 40.3 |
| Race           |           |            |
| Malay          | 227       | 75.7       |
| Chinese        | 37        | 12.3       |
| Indian         | 18        | 6.0        |
| Others         | 18        | 6.0        |

Table 1 Demographic profile
4.2 Assessment of SEM

In this section, we assessed four important elements of SEM. Firstly, we conducted the Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) followed by the second element which is the measurement model. Thirdly, we tested the structural model to confirm the hypotheses development. Lastly, we executed CMV procedures through Harman’s One Factor Solution analysis.

4.2.1 Testing the confirmatory factor analysis (CFA)

CFA is a procedure to validate all latent variables in the model. The purpose of conducting CFA is to evaluate the fit model, the standard factor loadings, and the standard errors. The CFA is a pre-requisite for measurement models in which both the number of factor loadings and their corresponding indicators are clearly defined [29]. In CFA, the theory is proposed first, then tested to see how the constructs systematically represent latent variables [27]. There are two methods available to execute CFA: Individual-CFA and Pooled-CFA. We decided to employ the Pooled-CFA since it is more efficient, accurate and able to monitor one set of fitness indexes for all the constructs in the model. More importantly, through Pooled-CFA, it could assess the correlation between variables [30]. In the Pooled-CFA, all the constructs are assessed simultaneously.

By using this method, all the constructs are grouped and linked using the double-headed arrows to evaluate the correlation among the constructs. The CFA model for four (4) latent variables ranges from 0.737 to 0.923. The model also shows that the correlation coefficients among the constructs ranges between 0.016 to 0.435, which is less than 0.900, therefore, suggesting no multicollinearity among the variables.

4.2.2 Testing the measurement model

The first step of SEM is to test the measurement model. The result obtained from the Pooled-CFA process was assessed to form the measurement model. The fit indices values are Relative Chi-Square=1.626, RMSEA=0.040, CFI=0.989, TLI=0.985 and PGFI=0.596. As these fit indices meet the requirement as recommended by [27] who suggested that if three to four of the Goodness-of-Fit (GOF) indices meet the requirement, then the model is acceptable. Therefore, in this study the measurement model is declared to be a good fit. The summary of the fit model for measurement model is shown in Table 2. In the measurement model, we also tested the convergent validity, the construct reliability and the discriminant validity. The convergent validity refers to a set of variables or items that are assumed to measure a construct and to share a high percentage of common variance [27].

It is tested by using the factor loadings and the Average Variance Extracted (AVE). Both the factor loadings and the AVE should measure a minimum of 0.500 which indicates high convergent validity [27].

The Composite Reliability (CR) refers to the degree to which an instrument is measured according to the dimensions of the constructs [27]. The acceptable cut-off point of CR is in between 0.600 to 0.700 [27]. The overall result is presented in Table 3.

| Characteristic | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------|-----------|------------|
| Working sector |           |            |
| Public         | 97        | 32.3       |
| Private        | 84        | 28.0       |
| Self-employed  | 40        | 13.3       |
| Retired        | 50        | 16.7       |
| Not working    | 29        | 9.7        |
| Working category |      |            |
| IT company     | 34        | 11.3       |
| Non-IT company | 147       | 49.0       |
| Others         | 119       | 39.7       |

| Fit Indices | AFI | IFI | PFI |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Relative Chi-Square (≤0.080) | 1.626 | 0.989 | 0.985 |
| RMSEA (≤0.900) | 0.040 |       |       |
| CFI (≥0.900) |       |       |       |
| TLI (≥0.900) |       |       |       |
| PGFI (≥0.500) | 0.596 |       |       |

Notes: AFI-Absolute fit indices, IFI-Incremental fit indices, PFI-Parsimonious fit indices RMSEA- Root mean square error of approximation, CFI-Comparative fit index, TLI-Tucker-Lewis index, PGFI-parsimonious goodness of fit index
Table 3 Analysis for convergent validity and composite reliability

| Constructs                    | Items | Factor loadings (>0.500) | AVE (>0.500) | CR  |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------|-----|
| Organizational Factors (OF)   | SETA  | 0.854                    | 0.699        | 0.874 |
|                               | ISPP  | 0.823                    |              |     |
|                               | ISC   | 0.830                    |              |     |
| Social Factors (SF)           | MMI   | 0.834                    | 0.663        | 0.855 |
|                               | FFI   | 0.867                    |              |     |
|                               | PAI   | 0.737                    |              |     |
| Individual Factors (IF)       | PI    | 0.905                    | 0.790        | 0.919 |
|                               | ISK   | 0.865                    |              |     |
|                               | SE    | 0.896                    |              |     |
| Cybersecurity Awareness (CA)  | PV    | 0.803                    | 0.718        | 0.884 |
|                               | PS    | 0.923                    |              |     |
|                               | PSE   | 0.810                    |              |     |

Notes: SETA- security training and awareness programs, ISPP- information security policy provision, ISC- information security culture, MMI- mass media influence, FFI- family & friend influence, PAI- public administration influence, PI- personal initiatives, ISK- information security knowledge, SE- security education, PV- perceived vulnerability, PS- perceived severity and PSE- perceived self-efficacy.

Meanwhile, the discriminant validity refers to “the extent to which a construct is truly distinct from other constructs” [27]. It also means that the factors or items only measure one latent construct. The threshold for AVEs is greater than 0.500. The point of discriminant validity of the constructs is to explain whether the items are redundant. Furthermore, as presented in Table 4 by comparing the r² values with the AVE value, the findings show that the r² of all variables’ values are less than AVEs’. Consequently, it indicates that each construct is distinct.

Table 4 Analysis for discriminant validity

| Tested path | r²   | AVE₁ | AVE₂ | Result |
|-------------|------|------|------|--------|
| OF          | SF   | 0.189| 0.699| 0.663  | Valid  |
| OF          | IF   | 0.127| 0.699| 0.790  | Valid  |
| SF          | IF   | 0.118| 0.663| 0.790  | Valid  |
| SF          | CA   | 0.000| 0.663| 0.718  | Valid  |
| IF          | CA   | 0.006| 0.790| 0.718  | Valid  |

Notes: OF-Organizational factors, SF-social factors, IF-individual factors, CA-cybersecurity awareness

4.2.3 Testing the structural model

The second stage in SEM is to evaluate the structural model by examining the hypothesized relationships among latent variables (see Figure 1).

This structural model denotes one endogenous relationship linking the hypothesized model’s variables. In this study, the focus of structural model is to examine and test the interrelationship between exogenous and endogenous variables. This present study adopted the Total Disaggregation Structural Model in which suggested by [27], involving only latent variables. A total of 3 hypotheses were analyzed. The focus of testing this structural model is to examine the interrelationship between exogenous (organization, social and individual factors) and endogenous (cybersecurity awareness) variables. The results are presented in Table 5, showing mixed results. For OF and CA, there is a significant correlation between them, β=0.151, p=0.025. Meanwhile, the relationship between SF and CA is insignificant, β=-0.066, p=0.328. Lastly, the interplay between IF and CA also not significant, β=0.045, p=0.463.
There are mixed findings for the personal, social and organizational determinants on an elderly. The main findings of the hypothetical path: weak to moderate social influences and personal initiative has insignificant effect. Similar to other studies with bounded limitations, this study contains some limitations too. Since this study depends on self-report data, it may contain a bias. Although the study sample displays a good balance in the gender and age items, with diversified job areas, this study discovered that most of the participants are involved in the public sector. The workplace item could effect and offer different findings, as such any future work should consider other working sectors such as the private, and the voluntary sector.

Table 6 Analysis for common method variance

| Fit Indices | AFI | IFI | PFI |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Measurement Model | 1.626 | 0.040 | 0.989 | 0.985 | 0.596 |
| Harman’s One Factor Solution Model | 12.831 | 0.168 | 0.575 | 0.593 | 0.530 |

Notes: AFI-Absolute fit indices, IFI-Incremental fit indices, PFI-Parsimonious fit indices RMSEA- Root mean square error of approximation, CFI-Comparative fit index, TLI-Tucker-Lewis index, PGFI-parsimonious goodness of fit index.
5. Conclusion
This study explores the personal, social and organizational determinants of the elderly society in Malaysia. This study contributes by providing valuable insights into the research field of study in cybersecurity awareness. These insights can help to support the security practitioners in the review of security strategies to further develop and strengthen the awareness and education among the elderly society. Overall, the organization factors which consist of SETA, ISPP, ISC influence cybersecurity awareness directly. However, this study is limited to a small group of the elderly due to the short time frame. In the future, the study can be extended to the larger elderly group with longer time and extra items can be added up for the elderly that is going to be retired.
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