Evaluation and Analysis of Noise Pollution in the Manufacturing Industry
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Abstract. In the past few decades, a rapid of industrialization increasing the noise pollution and provides a people awareness to combat this issue. Here, apart from providing employment opportunities to worker, manufacturing industry also produce disturbing noise that is generated by various sources especially from the machineries. The aim of this study is to estimate the maximum working hours due to the over exposure of the noise hazard in the industry. Initially, the reading of noise exposure was obtained using the dosimeter. Then, criteria for a recommended standard occupation noise exposure based on NIOSH (1998) and OSHA (1989) noise working safe condition was measured. The results indicate that there is high risk of noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) among workers that come from the noise exposure was obtained. The critical is CAM & CNC department with less than 0.125 hours and 1.33 hours following NIOSH and OSHA regulation respectively. In addition, the proposedly related noise exposure working period are also included.

1. Introduction
Noise is generally well-defined as any undesirable or unattractive sound. The general effect of noise pollution has been a topic of debate among the researchers for a number of years [1-5]. In order to combat this issue, developed countries such as Japan had enacted such legislation in 1972, the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 (United Kingdom) and United States of America with the Occupational Health & Safety Act in 1970. In Sweden and Norway, the Act was called Internal Control Regulation. The overview of wide-ranging Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) was updated in 1994 to the need of wider employee base and newer hazardous act in the workplace. Here, OSHA states that the maximum working hours for industrial workers shouldn’t been exposed to noise level of 90 dBA for 8 hours of period.

The ordinary human ear and nervous system have their own maximum volume to accept and perceive level of sound. Depending on period, level of noise exposure and distance from the source typically effect of the human nervous system and comfortable. The effect of noise to human health is divided into four groups: physiological effect (high blood pressure, irregularity of heart rhythms and ulcer), psychological effect (stress and irritability), performance effect (reduction in productivity) and physical effect (hearing loss). Sensor neural hearing loss is one such disease that occur which hair cells of the inner ear (stereocilia) lose their ability to transmit sound information to the brain. This noise-induced hearing loss is the most common aetiology hearing loss caused by exposures to hazardous levels of noise in a manufacturing plant [2]. In addition, Babish et. al. [3] found that physiological cardiovascular effects is due to over exposure to level of noise. They suggested that acute of noise can cause increases in blood pressure, heart rate and cardiac output resultant by release of stress hormones including catecholamine. Thomas et. al. [4] reviewed the cardiovascular effect on overexposed of environmental noise exposure.

The auditory system, noise causes annoyance and disturbs sleep, and it impairs cognitive performance. Furthermore, evidence from epidemiologic studies demonstrates that environmental noise is associated with an increased incidence of arterial hypertension, myocardial infarction, and...
stroke. This study also show studies indicate that in particular night-time noise can cause disruptions of sleep structure, vegetative arousals and increases in stress hormone levels and oxidative stress, which in turn may result in endothelial dysfunction and arterial hypertension.

Many attempts have been made in order to investigate the hazardous level of noise in a workplace. Attarchi et. al. [5] conducted an industrial noise exposure at concrete traverse, iron, cement and textile factories located in Sivas, Turkey. It has been shown that the noise level in all the above industries are much above the noise level of 80 dBA. The degree of disturbance from noise amongst the employees employed for 5-10 years is 74 % and increase to 100% among worker occupied for more than 21 years was recorded. In addition, it is conceivable to state that the weightiest disturbance produced by noise is uneasiness. Investigations made on the type of hearing complications designate that 31% and 35% of the employees are commonly complaining about pollutions like hearing loss and distressed from noise hearing complications respectively. Nadya et. al. [6] investigated occupational noise for the toll tellers employed at toll plaza that extensively over contact to noise. The greatest dangerous was disclosure that the peak level of sound produced from the heavy vehicles such as buses and trailers. In spite, the overexposure of noise also affected the health including hearing loss, the level of noise also informed significantly annoyance and had troubled the job of toll tellers. It also suggested that a toll tellers are at risk unprotected to high level of noise which significantly exceeded threshold limit values, and majority of the toll tellers apparent noisy and getting irritated by the sources of noise at toll plaza.

In addition, the discussion of the study regarding to occupational noise hazard which extracted from Voorhees et. al. [7] indicates lower noise stages from different tank rooms has been reported, however the highest value 83.2 dBA recorded immediately when the pavilion tanks was been adjacent to the power washer. Attarchi et. al. [8] indicates that shift operational and separate noise contact have preservative result on incidence of hypertension in rubber manufacturing. Other than that Ismail et. al. [9] conducted a noise level awareness amongst the workers in quarry industry. They said that noise is one of the occupational hazard and it may lead to higher prevalence of noise induced hearing loss. Based on Kisku et. al. [10] recently suggested the benefit of power plant to adopt precautionary procedures and safety approaches in thermal power plant to reduce the noise level. The noise monitoring was carried out using sound meter level for 30 min at a height of 1.5 m and 1 m away covering 73 locations of small scale power plant. The noise level was found at control, compressors and fan rooms were recorded more than 85 dBA. They concluded that noise may not jeopardize employee's life immediately but might be the cause of neurobehavioral change, psychological stress and unhappiness in daily life without showing the symptoms of chronic diseases. Oyedepo et. al. [11] found that there is no significant different of hazardous noise level between community and industrial. They show that the noise pollution levels in Ilorin metropolis exceeded the recommended level by WHO at 34 of 47 measuring points. It can be concluded that the city is environmentally noise polluted and road traffic and industrial machineries are the major sources of it. In 2015, Anjorin et. al. [12] found that there is high hazard of rising noise induced hearing loss in manufacturing and processing businesses. The average noise equivalent level (LAEq) was studied to identify the noisy machines and generate baseline data. A precision grade sound level meter was used to determine the various pressure levels of sound at thirty minutes interval for five days. It was observed that noise limit values were exceeded at almost all machines regarding to regulation criteria and international standard. Also, the results of this study shows that noise control measures were not adequate in the industries surveyed.

This particular study was attempted to investigate the noise pollution level at different department of a manufacturing industry. The result will be useful for related workers as their awareness and for the industry management to implement mitigation strategy. This study was adapting NIOSH and OSHA guidelines for data experiment and collection procedure.

2. Material and method

Sound Level Mater (SLM) is a device that used to obtain the level of noise in the unit decibel (dBA). The Impulse Integrating Sound Meter model Quest SoundPro SP SE-2SLM was selected and able to take readings in fast and slow mode. This SLM is obtained the reading of sound pressure level in a
3. Results and discussion

Figure 2 shows a noise level against time traces at Polishing Department for two days. The recording was taken between 7am to 4pm. It can be seen that the peak noise values occurred at 8.30am and 3.30pm for those days. In other hand, the peak values of noise level at 12.00pm on Tuesday was also been recorded. The values are reached above than 90 dBA. Here, the communication between operators that associated with the polishing machine noise is primary annoyance at this time. As can be seen from Figure 2, the noise levels were constantly found to be exceeding 85 dBA at 14% and 27% for those Monday and Tuesday respectively.
Figure 2 Typical noise level - time traces for (a) Monday and (b) Tuesday at Polishing Department

Figure 3 shows noise level (dBA) against time traces at Surface Treatment department. The noise level was recorded from 8am – 5pm on daily basis for a week. The noise level at peak value, 90 dBA at 8.30 am for every day and the average noise levels approximately at 76 dBA were recorded. The noise levels in this section were somewhat less severe with 5% of time exceeding the 85 dBA. From the result indicated in Figure 3, most of the occurrence of noise that contributed from the surface treatment machine may contributed to the level that exceeded 65 dBA and interrupt the normal conversation between operators especially those who work in this section.

Figure 3 Typical noise level (dBA) – time for a week at Surface Treatment Department

Another high-risk noise exposure within the factory is the area of CAM & CNC. Figure 4 shows noise reading recorded in this area at the average of 103.27 dBA which much higher than the allowable limits by NIOSH and OSHA. The noise level recorded seem to be fluctuated between day to
day and hour by hour. In addition, Thursday and Friday recorded the highest noise level at 120 dBA, however Monday recorded the lowest values. Whereas on the hourly basis, it is observed that maximum sound level reading in the early morning and the level slightly reduced toward afternoon. The level is significantly regained in the afternoon and declined again toward the end of the shift.

There are many possibilities to influence the sound level in this area. It is expected that all machines fully operate in the early morning and many other production activities such as conveyor movement, material handling activities during this period may contribute the higher noise reading. This intolerable noise level could harm the workers within this area. A special attention need to be done by the factory management to mitigate noise risk.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4** Daily and hourly noise level at CAM & CNC area

The hourly-average noise level has been calculated for all days studied. **Figure 5** shows the average noise level recorded for all departments. It is apparently CNC & CAM area recorded the highest compared to the other departments. The hourly reading within 90dBA to 120dBA are exceed the allowable limit recommended by NIOSH and OSHA. For the other departments, similar noise levels were recorded. The noise level of these departments are within the allowable limit between 62dBA and 90dBA.
3.1 Assessment of noise dose and shift exposure

In order to determine the of shift exposure following NIOSH and OSHA recommendation, the actual noise exposure (L_{EX}) and noise dose needs to be calculated. L_{EX} is a noise energy level-averaged over 8 hours and it is closely related to the Leq. Leq is the equivalent steady sound level of a noise energy-averaged over time. Those values can be measured using the following Equation 1 [13].

\[ L_{EX} = \text{Leq} + \text{correction for the shift length} \]  

where the correction is given by the Figure 6

Table 1 tabulates the comparison of noise level with NIOSH (1998) and OSHA (1983) guidelines. The L_{eq} values calculated based on 8 hours/day and 5 days/week work pattern. In addition, the noise dose (%) was measured following interpolation and the nomograph in Appendix 1 of WorkSafeBC [13]. As can be seen from Table 1, the permissible working hours for CAM and CNC per shift should be less than 0.125 and 1.33 hours based on NIOSH and OSHA guidelines respectively. Here, the noise exposure significantly 64 times and 6300% of noise dose level which is higher than permissible level. In contrast, other departments are permitted to work for more than 8 hours per shift.
Table 1 The comparison of noise level with NIOSH (1998) and OSHA (1983) guidelines

| Department            | Leq (dBA) | LEX (dBA) | Noise Dose (%) | Permitted Shift Length (hr)/day | Permitted Shift Length (hr)/day |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Polishing             | 77.97     | 77.97     | 20             | >8 hours                         | >8 hours                         |
| CAM & CNC             | 103.27    | 103.27    | 6300           | 0.125 hours                      | 1.33 hours                       |
| Quality Control       | 77.10     | 77.10     | 16             | >8 hours                         | >8 hours                         |
| Surface Treatment     | 76.67     | 76.67     | 15             | >8 hours                         | >8 hours                         |

4. Conclusion

The noise survey was successfully carried out in this manufacturing factory. Since the exposure monitoring confirmed levels are over the permissible exposure limit as stipulated in the NIOSH and OSHA regulations, remedial action need to be undertaken by the management. It has been identified that the CAM & CNC department recorded highest noise reading at average of 103.27dBA. The entire departments are allowed to operate more than 8 hours per shift except CNC & CAM department which limited to 1.33 hours per shift to reduce the hearing impairment issue. In order to minimize the noise exposure the employer should been issued an ear plug or ear muff to respective department as additional control measures in the factory.

Acknowledgments

The appreciation is given to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and Ministry of Higher Education under Fundamental Research Grant Scheme, R.K130000.7840.4F880 and “Geran Universiti Penyelidik’ (GUP) Tier 1, (Q.K130000.2540.15H70) for financially support provided throughout the course of this research project.

References

[1] Bakhori N, Hassan M, Ab’lah Feiruz Nausherwan A, Suhot M, Daud MY, Sarip S, and Ismail Z, Noise Measurement and Awareness At Construction Site – A Case Study, Journal of Advanced Research Design, 2017; 31; pp9-16
[2] Rabinowitz P, Galusha D and Slade M. Audiogram notches in noise-exposed workers. Ear Hear, 2006; 27:pp742–750.
[3] Babisch W, Cardiovascular effects of noise, Noise & Health, 2011; 13(52);pp201-204
[4] Thomas M, Tommaso G, Babisch W, and Mathias Basner, cardiovascular effects of environmental noise exposure, Eur. Heart J. 2014; 35(13): pp829–836.
[5] Atmaca, A., Peker, I. And Atlin, A., Industrial noise and its effects on humans. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies 2005; 14 (6): pp721-726.
[6] S. Nadya, Dawal S, Tuan Y and Hamidi M., A study of occupational noise exposure among toll tellers at toll plaza in Malaysia, Proceedings of the International Multi-conference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2010:pp17 - 19
[7] Voorhees, J., and Barnes, M.E. Occupational noise levels in two fish rearing buildings at an aquaculture facility. Occupational Diseases and Environmental Medicine 2017; 5:pp58-66.
[8] Attarchi M, Dehghan F, Safakhah F, Nojomi M, Mohammadi S. Effect of exposure to occupational noise and shift working on blood pressure in rubber manufacturing company workers. *Ind Health*. 2012; 50(3):pp205-13

[9] Ismail AF1, Daud A, Ismail Z, Abdullah B. Noise-induced hearing loss among quarry workers in a north-eastern state of Malaysia: a study on knowledge, attitude and practice. *Oman Med J*. 2013; 28(5):pp331-336.

[10] GC Kisku, SK Bhargava Assessment of noise level of a medium scale thermal power plant *Indian Journal of Occupational & Environmental Medicine*. 2006, 10:pp133-139.

[11] Oyedepo O, and Abdullahi S., A comparative study of noise pollution levels in some selected areas in Ilorin Metropolis, Nigeria, *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Journal* 2009: 158: pp155-157

[12] S. A. Anjorin, A. O. Jemiluyi & Akintayo T. C. Evaluation of industrial noise: a case study of two Nigerian industries, *European Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 2015: 3(6): pp1-16

[13] WorkSafeBC, Occupational noise surveys and basic noise calculations, *Workers compensation board of British Columbia*, 2007
We would appreciate a thorough and critical review. Please evaluate the paper based on the following criteria and return this form with your review.

Please insert check marks to indicate your opinion of the manuscript.

|                          | POOR | MARGINAL | ACCEPTABLE | GOOD | EXCELLENT |
|--------------------------|------|----------|------------|------|-----------|
| Originality              |      |          |            | X    |           |
| Significance             |      | X        |            |      |           |
| Abstract                 |      | X        |            |      |           |
| Methodology well discussed |     |          | X          |      |           |
| Presentation of tables and figures | |          | X          |      |           |
| Conclusion supported by results of work | |            |      | X     |
| Structure of presentation |      |          | X          |      |           |
| Completeness             |      | X        |            |      |           |
| References               |      |          |            |      | X         |
| Clarity                  |      | X        |            |      |           |
| Language used            |      | X        |            |      |           |
| Overall Rating           |      |          |            |      | X         |

If the decision is revision required, the author should follow the correct journal template (or format guidelines) for the revised version.

Comments Below:

1. Please indicate the maximum working hours based on noise exposure in abstract

   Abstract is updated.

2. OSHA 1994 doesn’t mention about noise exposure regulation as per stated inside Factory & Machinery Act 1967

   Please refer Noise Exposure (201X) under OSHA 1994 where action level is equal 82dB(A) and PEL is 85 dB(A) for 8 hours.
3. Nowadays, there were no more toll tellers after highway management started to implement electronic transaction using touch & go at every toll plaza. Thus, this noise problem had been controlled.

   *This section is referring to manuscript by Nadya et al. They studied the effect of noise among toll tellers in 2010. Sure, the result is valid at that time.*

4. Personal noise measurement shall be measured by using dosimeter. The methodology indicates the sound source measurement.

   *We are not measuring a personal noise and the study focus on sound source measurement using SLM.*

5. Do justify whether the graph that shown in Figure is Leq or Lpeak.

   *We use Leq recording modes from SLM*

6. Do justify whether the mode for SLM is fast or slow response

   *SLM is recorded in slow response. In slow response mode, the noise measurements are easier to read and data is smoothed out recorded in average noise level.*

7. The high noise generation were due to background noise and additional of noise for others machinery.

   *No it isn’t. No it isn’t. Each department is separated and the noise not penetrate others section*

8. Figure 5 is unclear

   *Figure 5 is updated*

9. Do show the formula or equation which convert Leq to dose value.

   *Please refer to Appendix 1, WorkSafeBC. It is nomograph.*