Factorisation and holomorphic blocks in 4d
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Abstract: We study $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories on Hermitian manifolds of the form $M^4 = S^1 \times M^3$ with $M^3$ a $U(1)$ fibration over $S^2$, and their 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ reductions. These manifolds admit an Heegaard-like decomposition in solid tori $D^2 \times T^2$ and $D^2 \times S^1$. We prove that when the 4d and 3d anomalies are cancelled, the matrix integrands in the Coulomb branch partition functions can be factorised in terms of 1-loop factors on $D^2 \times T^2$ and $D^2 \times S^1$ respectively. By evaluating the Coulomb branch matrix integrals we show that the 4d and 3d partition functions can be expressed as sums of products of 4d and 3d holomorphic blocks.
1 Introduction

In recent years thanks to the development of a new method to formulate SUSY gauge theories on curved spaces initiated by [1] and to the application of Witten’s localisation technique to the path integral of theories defined on compact spaces, a plethora of new exact results for SUSY gauge theories in various dimensions have been obtained.

The focus of this note is on 4d theories defined on Hermitian manifolds of the form $M^4 = S^1 \times M^3$ where $M^3$ is a possibly non-trivial $U(1)$ fibration over the 2-sphere, and their 3d reductions. These 4-manifolds can preserve 2 supercharges with opposite R-charge and a holomorphic Killing vector generating the torus action on $M^4$ [2], [3], [4]. General results [5], [6] state that partition functions on these spaces do not depend on the Hermitian metric but are holomorphic functions of the complex structure parameters and of the background gauge fields through the corresponding vector bundles. Similar results hold for the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ reductions of these theories.

For these spaces it has also been observed that the partition function can be expressed in terms of simpler building blocks. It turns out that for 3-manifolds $M_3^3$, which can be realised by gluing two solid tori $D^2 \times S^1$ with an element $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and likewise for 4-manifolds $M_4^4$ constructed from the fusion of two solid tori $D^2 \times T^2$ with appropriate elements in $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$, the geometric block decomposition is very non-trivially realised also at the level of the partition functions.

This phenomenon was first observed for 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories on $M_3^3 = S^3$ and $M_4^4 = S^2_{id} \times S^1$ which were shown in [7] and [8] (see also [9], [10], [11]) to admit a block decomposition

$$Z[S^3] = \sum_c \left\| B_{c}^{3d}\right\|^2_S, \quad Z[S^2_{id} \times S^1] = \sum_c \left\| B_{c}^{3d}\right\|^2_{id}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the 3d holomorphic blocks $B_{c}^{3d}$ are solid tori $D^2 \times S^1$ partition functions. The two blocks are glued by the appropriate $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ element $S$ or $id$ acting on the modular...
parameter of the boundary torus and on the mass parameters. The sum is over the
supersymmetric Higgs vacua of the theory which remarkably are the only states con-
tributing to the sums in (1.1), even though these partition functions, although metric
independent, are not properly topological objects. In fact, in the case of \( M^3_S = S^3 \),
the factorisation was proved to follow from a stretching invariance argument \[12\]. Indeed
in \[12\] it is shown that it is possible to deform the \( S^3 \) geometry into two cigars \( D^2 \times S^1 \)
connected by a long tube, which effectively projects the theory into the SUSY ground
states, without changing the value of the partition function.

In \[8\] it was developed an integral formalism to compute the holomorphic blocks which
build on the fact that they are solutions to a set of difference equations. The 3d
blocks are obtained by integrating a meromorphic one-form \( \Upsilon_{3d} \), consisting of the mixed
Chern-Simons, vector and chiral multiplet contributions on \( D^2 \times S^1 \), on an appropriate
basis of middle-dimensional cycles in \((\mathbb{C}^*)^G\)

\[
B^3_{c} = \int_{\Gamma_c} \Upsilon_{3d} .
\]  

(1.2)

Later on, in \[13\], block integrals were derived from localisation on \( D^2 \times S^1 \). Curiously
the integrand \( \Upsilon_{3d} \) turns out to be the “square” root of the integrand appearing in the
Coulomb branch partition function on the compact space, so that by combining (1.1)
and (1.2) one finds

\[
Z[M_g] = \oint_{\Gamma_c} \Upsilon_{3d}^2 = \sum_c \left| B^3_{c} \right|^2 = \sum_c \left| \int_{\Gamma_c} \Upsilon_{3d} \right|^2 ,
\]

(1.3)

where the gluing rule can be \( g = S, id \). The first term of the equality is a smart rewriting
of the partition function on the Coulomb branch, where the localising locus may contain
a continuous and a discrete part. As observed in \[8\] this suggestive chain of equalities
hints that factorisation commutes with integration.

The factorisation of partition functions has been observed also on lens spaces \( L_r \) \[14\],
on \( S^2_A \times S^1 \) with R-flux (3d twisted index) \[15\], in 4d \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) theories on \( S^3 \times S^1 \) (4d
index) \[16\], \[17\] and in 2d \( \mathcal{N} = (2, 2) \) theories on \( S^2 \), \[18\], \[19\], \[20\]. In fact for all
these cases the block factorisation can be incorporated in the general analysis of 2d,
3d and 4d \( tt^* \) geometries \[21\], \[22\]. An alternative perspective on the factorisation is
the localisation scheme known as the Higgs branch localisation considered in \[18\], \[19\],
\[23\], \[24\].

Results on block factorisation of partition functions have been obtained also for 5d
\( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) theories on \( S^5 \) \[25\], \[26\], \( S^4 \times S^1 \) \[27\], \[28\], \[29\], on \( Y^{p,q} \) \[30\], \[31\], general toric
Sasaki-Einstein manifolds \[32\] and for 6d and 7d theories on \( S^6 \), \( S^7 \) \[33\].
The goal of this note is to elucidate the block decomposition of partition functions for theories defined on \( L_r, L_r \times S^1, S^3 \times S^1 \) and \( S^2 \times T^2 \). The Coulomb branch partition functions on these spaces have been computed in [34], [35], [15] and [36], [37], [38].

Our main result in 3d is the extension of the remarkable identity in (1.3) to the lens space \( M_3^r = L_r \) and to the twisted index \( M_3^A = S^2 \times S^1 \), which are respectively obtained through the \( r \)-gluing implementing the appropriate \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) transformation on the boundary of one solid torus to obtain the lens space geometry, and through the \( A \)-gluing which realises the topological \( A \)-twist on \( S^2 \).

We then move to 4d, where for \( M_4^S = S^3 \times S^1 \), \( M_4^r = L_r \times S^1 \) and \( M_4^A = S^2 \times T^2 \) we are able to prove an identical relation

\[
Z[M_4^g] = \oint \left\| \Upsilon^{4d} \right\|^2_g = \sum_c \left\| B^{4d}_c \right\|^2_g = \sum_c \oint_{\Gamma_c} \Upsilon^{4d} \right\|^2_g. \tag{1.4}
\]

In the case of the index \( S^3 \times S^1 \) and lens index \( L_r \times S^1 \), the factorised form of the integrand emerges after we perform a modular transformation on the complex structure parameters by means of the remarkable property of the elliptic Gamma function discovered in [39]. This transformation generates a term which can be identified with the 4d anomaly polynomial and represents an obstruction to factorisation. However, for anomaly free theories this factor is one and we can express the integrand as \( \left\| \Upsilon^{4d} \right\|^2_g \). It is then fairly easy to check that the \( S^2 \times T^2 \) integrand can also be expressed in terms of the same meromorphic function \( \left\| \Upsilon^{4d} \right\|^2_A \).

The paper is organised as follows. We begin section 2 with the study of \( N = 2 \) theories on the lens space where, thanks to a new identity for the generalised double Sine function, we can prove the integrand factorisation. We then show the block factorisation for two interacting cases. We take a small detour to discuss the \( T[SU(2)] \) theory. In this case, thanks to the transformation properties of the holomorphic blocks, we are able to prove that partition functions on generic 3-manifolds admitting a block decomposition are invariant under mirror symmetry. In section 3 we discuss the 3d twisted index. In section 4 we introduce the lens index partition function and show that the integrand can be expressed in a factorised form after cancelling the anomalies. We then show two examples of block factorisation. We check the analogue factorisation of \( S^2 \times T^2 \) partition
functions in section 5. Finally in section 6 we introduce the 4d block integrals. The paper is supplemented by several appendices where we discuss many technical details and computations.

2 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ partition functions on $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_r$.

We consider the free orbifold $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_r$ of the squashed 3-sphere $S^3 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2| b^2|x|^2 + b^{-2}|y|^2 = 1\}$, with the identification

$$(x, y) \sim (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} x}, e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} y}) .$$

(2.1)

The resulting smooth 3-manifold is the squashed lens space $L_r$.

The partition function of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories on $L_r$ has been first obtained in [34] and revised in [35]. The localising locus is labelled by the continuous variables $Z$ in the Cartan of the gauge group $G$ and discrete holonomies $\ell$ in the maximal torus. The integer variables $0 \leq \ell_1 \leq \ldots \leq \ell_{|G|}$, $\ell_n \in [0, r - 1]$, parameterise the topological sectors. The holonomy is non-trivial since the fundamental group of the background manifold is $\pi_1(L_r) = \mathbb{Z}_r$ and breaks the gauge group to $^1$

$$G \rightarrow \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} G_k ,$$

(2.2)

where the subgroup $G_k$ has rank given by the number of $\ell_n = k$. We also turn on continuous $\Xi$ and discrete $H$ variables for the non-dynamical symmetries.

The partition function reads

$$Z[L_r] = \sum_{\ell} \int \frac{dZ}{2\pi i |W_k|} Z_{\text{cl}} \times Z_{1\text{-loop}}^V \times Z_{1\text{-loop}}^\text{matter} ,$$

(2.3)

where $|W_k|$ is the order of the Weyl group of $G_k$. The classical terms is given by the mixed Chern-Simons action (CS). For example, a pure $U(N)$ CS term contributes as$^2$

$$e^{-\frac{i\pi}{r} \sum_n \ell_n \phi} e^\frac{i\pi}{r} \sum_n \ell_n^2 .$$

(2.4)

For $U(1)$ factors we can also turn on an FI term $\xi$

$$e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \sum_n Z_n \xi} e^\frac{2\pi i}{r} \sum_n \ell_n \theta .$$

(2.5)

$^1$Throughout this paper we restrict to $U(N)$ or $SU(N)$ gauge groups, so we don’t have to worry about global issues [43].

$^2$In [14] it has been suggested to add the sign factor $e^{i\pi \phi \sum_n \ell_n^2}$ in eq. (2.4).
where we have considered a background holonomy $\theta$ also for the topological $U(1)$. The 1-loop contribution of matter multiplets is given by

$$Z_{1\text{-loop}}^{\text{matter}} = \prod_i \prod_{\rho_i} \prod_{\phi_i} \hat{s}_{b,-\rho_i,\ell_i}(H) \left( i\frac{Q}{2} (1 - \Delta_i) - \rho_i(Z) - \phi_i(\Xi) \right),$$

(2.6)

where $i$ runs over the chiral multiplets, $\rho_i, \phi_i$, are respectively the weights of the representation of the gauge and flavour groups and $\Delta_i$ the Weyl weight. For convenience we will absorb the Weyl weight into the mass parameter, and we will be denoting the squashing parameter by $b = \omega_2 = \omega^{-1}_1$, with $Q = \omega_1 + \omega_2$. The 1-loop contribution of the vector multiplet is given by

$$Z_{1\text{-loop}}^{V} = \prod_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\hat{s}_{b,\ell,\alpha}(i\frac{Q}{2} + Z_{\alpha})} = \prod_{\alpha > 0} 4 \sinh \frac{\pi}{r} \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{\omega_1} + i\ell_\alpha \right) \sinh \frac{\pi}{r} \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{\omega_2} - i\ell_\alpha \right),$$

(2.7)

where the product is over the positive roots $\alpha$ of $G$ and we set $Z_{\alpha} = \alpha(Z), \ell_\alpha = \alpha(\ell)$. The function $\hat{s}_{b,H}$ is the projection of the (shifted) double Sine function improved by a sign factor $\sigma$, and it is defined as the $\zeta$-regularised product

$$\hat{s}_{b,-H}(X) = \sigma(H) \prod_{n_1,n_2 \geq 0 \mod r} \frac{n_1 \omega_1 + n_2 \omega_2 + Q/2 - iX}{n_2 \omega_1 + n_1 \omega_2 + Q/2 + iX},$$

(2.8)

where the sign factor is given by

$$\sigma(H) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{r} ([H] - [rH] - (r-1)H^2)}.$$  

(2.9)

In appendix A we have derived a new expression for $\hat{s}_{b,H}$ in terms of ordinary double Sine functions

$$\hat{s}_{b,-H}(X) = \sigma(H)S_2(\omega_1(r - [H]) + X|Q, r\omega_1)S_2(\omega_2[H] + X|Q, r\omega_2).$$

(2.10)

This expression allows us to easily evaluate the asymptotic, locate zeros and poles, take the residues and express it in a factorised form

$$\hat{s}_{b,-H}(X) = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{r} (r-1)H^2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} \Phi_2(Q/2+iX)} \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r+1} (iQ/2 + X)} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} H} ; e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \frac{Q}{r-1}} \right)_{H \rightarrow r-H}^{2} \omega_1 \omega_2,$$

(2.11)

where $\Phi_2$ is a combination of quadratic Bernoulli polynomials defined in (A.5). Notice that inside the $q$-Pochhammer symbols we can take $[H] \sim H$ because of the periodicity. Moreover, the sign factor erases the residual dependence on $[H]$ so that the function $\hat{s}_{b,-H}(X)$ depends only on $H$. 
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2.1 Factorisation

We will now show that by using our expression (2.11) the partition function of theories with integer effective CS couplings (parity anomaly free) can be expressed in terms of a suitable set of holomorphic variables and factorised in 3d holomorphic blocks.

We begin with the simplest parity anomaly free theory, the free chiral with $-1/2$ CS unit
\[
Z_\Delta(X, H) = e^{\frac{i \pi}{r}(r-1)H^2} e^{-\frac{i \pi}{r} \Phi_2(Q+iX)} \hat{s}_{b-H}(iQ/2 - X). \tag{2.12}
\]

The subscript $\Delta$ is due the fact that, in the context of the 3d-3d correspondence relating 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories to analytically continued CS on hyperbolic 3-manifolds, this theory is associated to the ideal tetrahedron [40]. In this context the fundamental Abelian mirror duality relating the anomaly free chiral to the $U(1)$ theory with 1 chiral and 1/2 CS unit is interpreted as a change of polarisation. At the level of lens space partition functions this duality reads
\[
\sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \int_R \frac{dZ}{2\pi i} e^{-\frac{i \pi}{r}(Z^2 + 2Z(X - iQ/2))} e^{-(r-1)\frac{i \pi}{r}(\ell^2 + \frac{2}{r} \ell H)} Z_\Delta(Z, \ell) = Z_\Delta(X, H). \tag{2.13}
\]

We prove this equality in appendix B.1.\footnote{This identity has also been derived from the pentagon identity on the lens space in [40].}

The half CS unit in (2.12) has the effect to cancel the quadratic factor in (2.11) so that the anomaly free result can be written in a block factorised form\footnote{The block factorised form (2.14) for the tetrahedron theory on the lens space was derived via projection in [14] and appeared as the fundmanetal building block for the state integral model for analytically continued CS at level $r$ [40].}
\[
Z_\Delta(X, H) = \left(\frac{q x^{-1}}{\bar{x}^{-1} q^{-1}}\right)_\infty = \|B_{\Delta}^{3d}(x; q)\|^2_r, \tag{2.14}
\]

in terms of holomorphic variables
\[
x = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}X} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}H} = e^{2\pi i \frac{X}{r}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}H}, \quad \bar{x} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}X} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r}H} = e^{2\pi i \frac{X}{r}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r}H},
\]
\[
q = e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{r^2}} = e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{r}}, \quad \bar{q} = e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{r^2}} = e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{r}}. \tag{2.15}
\]

The 3d holomorphic block
\[
B_{\Delta}^{3d}(x; q) = (q x^{-1}; q)_{\infty}, \tag{2.16}
\]
is the partition function on $D^2 \times_r S^1$ of the tetrahedron theory defined in [8]. Notice that when $|q| < 1$ we have $|\bar{q}| > 1$ and
\[
(x; q)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)}_x x^n = \begin{cases} \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^r x) & \text{if } |q| < 1 \\ \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{-r-1} x)^{-1} & \text{if } |q| > 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.17}
\]
Basically blocks in $x, q$, and $\tilde{x}, \tilde{q}$, share the same series expansion but they converge to different functions. This is actually a key feature of holomorphic blocks which has been extensively discussed in [8] and will play an crucial role in the example we discuss in section 2.3.

The two blocks are glued through the $r$-pairing acting as

$$\tau \rightarrow \tilde{\tau} = -\hat{r}(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{r\tau - 1}, \quad \hat{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -r & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

(2.18)

where $\tau$ is to be identified with the modular parameter of the boundary $T^2$, while the flavour fugacity and holonomy transform as

$$\chi \rightarrow \tilde{\chi} = \frac{\chi}{r\tau - 1}, \quad H \rightarrow \tilde{H} = r - H.$$

(2.19)

This gluing rule as expected coincides with the $\hat{r} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ element (composed with the inversion) realising the $L_r$ geometry from a pair of solid tori.

CS terms at integer level and FI terms can be expressed in terms of periodic variables as $r$-squares of Theta functions defined in (A.47) by means of (A.49)

$$e^{-\frac{i\pi}{r} s^2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{r} \ell^2} \propto \|\Theta(-q^\frac{1}{2} s; q)\|_r^{-2}, \quad e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} Z \xi} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell \theta} \propto \|\frac{\Theta(s^{-1} u; q)}{\Theta(s^{-1}; q) \Theta(u; q)}\|_r^{-2},$$

(2.20)

with $s = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell s} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell s}$ and $u = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell s} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell s}$. Similarly, the vector multiplet can be factorised as

$$Z^V_{1\text{-loop}} = \prod_{\alpha > 0} 4 \sinh \frac{\pi}{r} \left( \frac{Z_\alpha}{\omega_1} + i \ell_\alpha \right) \sinh \frac{\pi}{r} \left( \frac{Z_\alpha}{\omega_2} - i \ell_\alpha \right) \propto \|\prod_{\alpha > 0} \left( s_\alpha - s_{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \|_r^2.$$

(2.21)

The $\propto$ means that we are dropping background contact terms depending on $\omega_{1,2}$ and $r$ only. From now on we will assume equalities up to these constants.

Obviously the factorised expressions are not unique. As pointed out in [8] the ambiguity amounts to the freedom to multiply the blocks by “q-phases” (elliptic ratios of Theta functions with unit $S, id, r$-squares). For example another possibility is to factorise the vector multiplet contribution as in [8]\(6\)

$$Z^V_{1\text{-loop}} = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \frac{\Theta(q^\frac{1}{2} s_\alpha; q)}{(q s_\alpha; q)_{\infty} (q s_{-\frac{1}{2}}; q)_{\infty}} \|_r^2.$$

(2.22)

5 For the improved CS term proposed in [14] we simply have $e^{-\frac{i\pi}{r} Z^2} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{r} (r-1)\ell^2} = \|\Theta(q^{\frac{1}{2}} s; q)\|_r^{-2}$.

6 The vector multiplet factorised form in [8] differs from ours by a sign factor $(-1)^{\ell}$. Notice that $\|\Theta(-q^{\frac{1}{2}} s_\alpha; q)\|_r^2 = (-1)^{\ell} \|\Theta(q^{\frac{1}{2}} s_\alpha; q)\|_r^2$.
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These observations imply that on parity anomaly free theories, where the total effective CS couplings are integers, we can replace each 1-loop vector multiplet with (2.21), each chiral contribution with \( \| B_{3d}(x; q) \| \gamma \) and then factorise the remaining integer CS units using (2.20). This procedure allows us to rewrite the partition function as

\[
Z[L_r] = e^{-i\pi P} \sum_{\ell} \int \frac{dZ}{2\pi i} \prod_k |W_k| \| \Upsilon^{3d} \|_r^2,
\]

with exactly the same integrand \( \Upsilon^{3d} \) appearing in the analogous factorisation observed in [8] for \( S^3 \) and \( S^2_{id} \times S^1 \). The three cases differ only for the integration measure which can include also a summation over a discrete set and for the gluing rule. The prefactor \( e^{-i\pi P} \) is the contribution of background mixed CS terms which can have half-integer coupling preventing their factorisation.

The integrand \( \Upsilon^{3d} \) appears also in the definition 3d blocks via block integrals proposed in [8]

\[
B^{3d}_c = \oint_{\Gamma_c} \frac{ds}{2\pi i s} \Upsilon^{3d},
\]

where \( \Gamma_c \) is an appropriate basis of middle-dimensional cycles in \((C^*)^{|G|}\). Recently block integrals were rederived via localisation on \( D^2 \times S^1 \) by [13]. In their analysis the \( B^{3d}_\Delta(x; q) \) block corresponds to imposing Dirichlet (D) boundary conditions

\[
B^{3d}_\Delta(x; q) = (qx^{-1}; q)_\infty = B^{3d}_D(x; q),
\]

whereas by imposing Neumann (N) boundary conditions leads to

\[
B^{3d}_N(x; q) = \frac{1}{(x; q)_\infty},
\]

the two choices being related by

\[
B^{3d}_D(x; q) = \Theta(x; q)B^{3d}_N(x; q).
\]

In our language on the l.h.s. we have a chiral of charge +1, R charge 0 with added \(-1/2\) CS units. On the r.h.s. we have a chiral of charge -1, R charge 2 with added \(+1/2\) CS units. From the perspective of [13], the Theta functions represent the elliptic genus of a Fermi multiplet on the boundary torus.

We are then able to extend to the lens space the remarkable Riemann bilinear-like relation discovered for \( S^3 \) and \( S^2_{id} \times S^1 \) [8]:

\[
\sum_{\ell} \int \frac{dZ}{2\pi i} \prod_k |W_k| \| \Upsilon^{3d} \|_r^2 = e^{-i\pi P} \sum_c \| B^{3d}_c \|_r^2 = e^{-i\pi P} \sum_c \int_{\Gamma_c} \frac{ds}{2\pi i s} \Upsilon^{3d} \|_r^2.
\]
The intermediate step, the block factorisation of the partition function, is checked for two specific examples in the next subsections, for earlier results see [14]. Notice that, while the parity anomaly cancellation condition is a sufficient condition to factorise the integrand in the first step, in the second step it is only a necessary condition. The actual evaluation of the integral might require additional conditions to ensure convergence. However as we already mentioned, there are other ways to prove factorisation besides explicit integral evaluation. For example, Higgs branch localisation, stretching/projection arguments or the existence of a commuting set of difference operators in $x, q$ and $\tilde{x}, \tilde{q}$ acting on the partition functions.

2.2 SQED

We now consider the $U(1)$ theory with $N_f$ charge $+1$ and $N_f$ charge $-1$ chirals (SQED), for which we turn on masses $X_a, \tilde{X}_b$, and background holonomies $H_a, \tilde{H}_b$. We also turn on the FI $\xi$ and the associated holonomy $\theta$. The $L_r$ partition function reads

$$Z_{\text{SQED}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dZ}{2\pi i} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} Z \xi} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell \theta} \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \hat{s}_{b,-\ell-H_a}(-Z-X_a+iQ/2) \hat{s}_{b,\ell+H_b}(Z+\tilde{X}_b+iQ/2) =$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dZ}{2\pi i} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} Z \xi} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell \theta} \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \hat{s}_{b,-\ell-H_a}(Z-X_a+iQ/2) \hat{s}_{b,\ell-H_b}(Z-\tilde{X}_b-iQ/2),$$

(2.29)

where in the last step we simply sent $Z \to -Z$ and used the reflection property (A.43). In order to evaluate the integral we can close the contour in the upper-half plane (assuming $\xi > 0$) and take the sum of the residues at the poles of the numerator

$$Z = Z_{(1)} = X_c + i\omega_1[\ell + H_c] + ijQ + ikr\omega_1, \quad c = 1, \ldots, N_f, \quad j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$  

(2.30)

The details of the computation and notations are given in appendix B.2, the result is

$$Z_{\text{SQED}} = e^{-i\pi P} \sum_{c=1}^{N_f} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (X_c \xi_{\text{eff}} - H_c \theta_{\text{eff}})},$$

$$\times \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \left(\frac{q e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} X_a}}{(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} X_a} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} H_{ca}}; q)_{\infty}}\right)^{N_f} \hat{\Phi}_{N_f}^{-1} \left(\frac{q e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} X_{cb}}}{(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} X_{cb}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} H_{cb}}; q)_{\infty}}\right)^{N_f} \right)^{2},$$

(2.31)

where we introduced the notation

$$X_{ca} = X_c - X_a, \quad X_{cb} = X_c - \tilde{X}_b, \quad H_{ca} = H_c - H_a, \quad H_{cb} = H_c - \tilde{H}_b.$$  

(2.32)
and set
\[ u = e^{-2\pi i r \xi_{\text{eff}}} e^{-2\pi i \theta_{\text{eff}}}, \quad \bar{u} = e^{-2\pi i r \xi_{\text{eff}}} e^{2\pi i \theta_{\text{eff}}}. \]  

(2.33)

We can finally express everything in terms of the “holomorphic” variables

\[ x_a = e^{2\pi i r \omega_1} x_a e^{2\pi i r \xi_{\text{eff}}} e^{2\pi i r \theta_{\text{eff}}}, \quad \bar{x}_b = e^{2\pi i r \omega_1} \bar{x}_b e^{2\pi i r \theta_{\text{eff}}}, \]  

(2.34)

factorising the classical part as

\[ e^{2\pi i r (X_c \xi_{\text{eff}} - H_c \theta_{\text{eff}})} = \left\| \frac{\Theta(x_c^{-1} u; q)}{\Theta(u; q) \Theta(x_c^{-1}; q)} \right\|_r^2, \]  

(2.35)

where we used (2.20). Therefore, we finally obtain

\[ Z_{\text{SQED}} = e^{-i\pi P} \sum_{c=1}^{N_f} \left\| B_{3d}^c \right\|_r^2, \]  

(2.36)

where

\[ B_{3d}^c = \frac{\Theta(x_c^{-1} u; q)}{\Theta(u; q) \Theta(x_c^{-1}; q)} \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} (q x_c x_a^{-1}; q)^\infty (x_c \bar{x}_b^{-1}; q)^\infty N_f \Phi_{N_f-1} \left( x_c \bar{x}_b^{-1} u; q x_c x_a^{-1} q x_c x_a^{-1} \right) \]  

(2.37)

are the same SQED holomorphic blocks derived for $S^3$ and $S^2 \times S^1$.

### 2.3 $T[SU(2)]$

As an application of the result obtained in the previous section we consider the mass deformed $T[SU(2)]$ theory. This is a $U(1)$ theory with 2 charge $+1$ and 2 charge $-1$ chirals and a neutral chiral. We turn on vector and axial masses $m^2$, $\mu^2$, the FI parameter $\xi$ and their respective holonomies $H_2^V$, $H_2^A$, $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_r$.

The $T[SU(2)]$ theory is part of a family of theories $T[G]$ introduced in [41] as boundary field theories coupled to the bulk 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with gauge group $G$ for which they provide $S$-dual of Dirichlet boundary conditions. $T[G]$ are 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories with $G \times G^L$ global symmetry rotating the Coulomb and Higgs branches. 3d mirror symmetry acts by exchanging Higgs and Coulomb branches hence swapping $T[G]$ to $T[G^L]$.

In [42] it was shown that the $S^3$ partition function of the mass deformed $T[SU(2)]$ theory (the axial mass $m$ coincides with the mass of the 4d adjoint breaking the 4d SYM to $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$) coincides with the $S$-duality kernel in Liouville theory acting on the torus conformal blocks. It was also explicitly proved that the $S^3$ partition function is invariant under the action of mirror symmetry. Actually, as we are about to see, the self mirror property can proved on generic 3-manifolds that can be decomposed in
solid tori. This result follows from the highly non-trivial transformation of holomorphic blocks across mirror frames.

The lens space partition function of $T[SU(2)]$ reads

$$Z^I = Z(m, \xi, \mu; H_V, \theta, H_A) = \frac{1}{\tilde{s}_{b,H_A}(\mu)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} e^{\frac{z\pi i}{\mu}} \frac{\tilde{s}_{b,-\ell+s \mu/2}^{\ell+s \mu/2}}{\tilde{s}_{b,-\ell+s \mu/2}^{\ell+s \mu/2}} \frac{(Z + \frac{m}{2} + \frac{\mu}{2} + i/4)}{(Z + \frac{m}{2} - \frac{\mu}{2} - i/4)} ,$$

where we used the notation $f_{\pm h}(\pm x) = f_h(x) f_{-h}(-x)$. Introducing

$$z = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}\mu} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}H_A}, \quad x = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}m} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}H_V}, \quad y = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}\xi} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}\theta} ,$$

and using the result (2.31), we can write

$$Z(m, \xi, \mu; H_V, \theta, H_A) = e^{-i\pi \mathcal{P}} \left( \left\| \mathcal{B}^{3d,I}_1 \right\|_r^2 + \left\| \mathcal{B}^{3d,I}_2 \right\|_r^2 \right) ,$$

with

$$\mathcal{B}^{3d,I}_1 = \frac{(qx^{-1};q)_\infty}{(q^{1/2}x^{-1}z^{-1};q)_\infty} 2\Phi_1 \left( \frac{q^{1/2}z^{-1} q^{1/2}x^{-1}z^{-1}}{q} q^{-1} ; q^{1/2}zy^{-1} \right) ,$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{3d,I}_2 = \frac{\Theta(yq^{-1};q) \Theta(q^{1/2}x^{-1}z^{-1};q) (qx;q)_\infty}{\Theta(yx^{-1}q) \Theta(q^{1/2}x^{-1}z^{-1};q) (q^{1/2}xz^{-1};q)_\infty} 2\Phi_1 \left( \frac{q^{1/2}z^{-1} q^{1/2}x^{-1}z^{-1}}{q} q^{-1} ; q^{1/2}zy^{-1} \right) ,$$

and

$$e^{-i\pi \mathcal{P}} = e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2\mathcal{P}} ((r-1)H_A^2 + \mu^2 + 2(m+\mu+2(\xi-iQ/2)(\xi-\mu+iQ/2)-(H_V+H_A)(\theta+(r-1)H_A))} ,$$

is the contribution of background CS terms.

Mirror symmetry acts by exchanging Higgs and Coulomb branches, correspondently the vector mass and the FI parameter are swapped while the axial mass is inverted, and similarly for the associated holonomies

$$\xi \to m, \quad \mu \to -\mu, \quad \theta \to H_V, \quad H_A \to -H_A ,$$

so that the partition function in the mirror frame reads

$$Z^{II} = Z(\xi,m,-\mu;-\theta,-H_V,-H_A) = e^{-i\pi \mathcal{P}} \left( \left\| \mathcal{B}^{3d,II}_1 \right\|_r^2 + \left\| \mathcal{B}^{3d,II}_2 \right\|_r^2 \right) ,$$

We introduced the index $I$ to distinguish the theory from its mirror as it will be clear later.
where we used that $P$ is invariant under the mirror map and obtained the blocks in phase $II$ from the ones in phase $I$ by applying the mirror map $x \to y$, $y \to x$, $z \to z^{-1}$

$$
B_{1}^{3d,II} = \frac{(qy^{-1};q)_{\infty}}{(q^{2}y^{-1}z;q)_{\infty}} 2\Phi_{1}\left( q^{2}z q^{2}y^{-1}z \Bigg| q \quad qy^{-1} \quad q^{2}z^{-1}x^{-1} \right),
$$

$$
B_{2}^{3d,II} = \frac{\Theta(x;q)\Theta(q^{2}yz;q)}{\Theta(xy^{-1};q)\Theta(q^{2}z;q)} \frac{(qy;q)_{\infty}}{(q^{2}y^{2}z;q)_{\infty}} 2\Phi_{1}\left( q^{2}z q^{2}y^{2}z \Bigg| q \quad qy \quad q^{2}z^{-1}x^{-1} \right). \tag{2.45}
$$

At this point proving that the partition function is invariant under mirror symmetry amounts to prove the following equality

$$
\left\| B_{1}^{3d,II} \right\|_{r}^{2} + \left\| B_{2}^{3d,II} \right\|_{r}^{2} = \left\| B_{1}^{3d,II} \right\|_{r}^{2} + \left\| B_{2}^{3d,II} \right\|_{r}^{2}. \tag{2.46}
$$

As we already mentioned the two sets of blocks inside an $r$-square (with $|q| > 1$ if $|q| < 1$) share the same series expansion but converge to different functions which crucially have different transformation properties. Indeed by using identities (A.63), (A.64), (A.65), (A.66) we can show that

$$
|q| < 1 : \begin{cases} 
B_{1}^{3d,II} = B_{1}^{3d,I} \\
B_{2}^{3d,II} = B_{1}^{3d,I} - B_{2}^{3d,I}
\end{cases}, \quad |q| > 1 : \begin{cases} 
B_{1}^{3d,II} = B_{1}^{3d,I} + B_{2}^{3d,I} \\
B_{2}^{3d,II} = -B_{2}^{3d,I}
\end{cases}, \tag{2.47}
$$

which ensures (2.46). The transformations of the blocks across mirror frames has the characteristic structure of a jump across a Stokes wall. The interplay between mirror symmetry and Stokes phenomenon for 3d blocks and its relation to analytically continued CS theory has been extensively discussed in [8].

Notice that our proof relies only on the blocks transformation properties and makes no reference to the specific gluing rule, hence it can be extended to all the cases in which the partition function can be block factorized.

### 2.4 SQCD

We now continue our examples with the $SU(2)$ theory with $N_{f}$ fundamentals and $N_{f}$ antifundamentals chirals (SQCD). The partition function reads

$$
Z_{SQCD} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dZ}{2\pi i} 4\sinh \frac{2\pi}{r\omega_{1}} (Z-i\omega_{1}\ell) \sinh \frac{2\pi}{r\omega_{2}} (Z+i\omega_{2}\ell) \times \prod_{a',b'=1}^{2N_{f}} \hat{s}_{b'_{-\ell}-H_{a'}}(Z-X_{a'} + iQ/2) \hat{s}_{b_{-\ell}-H_{b'}}(Z-X_{b'} - iQ/2), \tag{2.48}
$$

where we defined

$$
X_{a'} = (X_{a},-\bar{X}_{b}) = -\bar{X}_{b'}; \quad H_{a'} = (H_{a},-\bar{H}_{b}) = -\bar{H}_{b'} \tag{2.49}
$$


In this form the matter sector reads formally the same as the previous abelian theory with the replacements $a \rightarrow a'$, $b \rightarrow b'$. In fact also the vector multiplet contribution is equivalent to a pair of charge $\pm 2$ chiral. Therefore, there is a canonical Abelian theory $\hat{Z}_{\text{SQCD}}[\xi, \theta]$ associated to the $SU(2)$ theory, for which we also turn on an FI coupling $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \xi e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell \theta}}$. Since the vector multiplet does not bring any pole, the residue computation proceeds exactly as in the SQED case and the $SU(2)$ partition function can be obtained from the limit

$$Z_{\text{SQCD}} = \lim_{\xi, \theta \to 0} \hat{Z}_{\text{SQCD}}[\xi, \theta],$$

where

$$\hat{Z}_{\text{SQCD}}[\xi, \theta] = e^{-\pi P} \sum_{a' \neq 1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (X_{a'} \xi_{a'} - H_{a'} \theta_{a'})} \prod_{a', b' = 1}^{2N_f} \left( q e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r^2} X_{a'} \xi_{b'} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} H_{a'} \theta_{b'}}; q)_{\infty} \right) \prod_{a', b' = 1}^{2N_f} \left( q e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r^2} X_{a'} \xi_{b'} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} H_{a'} \theta_{b'}}; q)_{\infty} \right) \times \sum_{n \geq 0} 4 \sinh \frac{2\pi}{r \omega_1} (-X_{a'} - i\omega_1 H_{a'} - i nQ) \left( q e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r^2} X_{a'} \xi_{b'} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} H_{a'} \theta_{b'}}; q)_{n} \right)^2 u^n,$$

with

$$\xi_{\text{eff}} = \xi + \sum_{a'} X_{a'} - iN_f Q, \quad \theta_{\text{eff}} = \theta - (r - 1) \sum_{a'} H_{a'}.$$

### 3d twisted index

We now consider $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories with R-symmetry on $S^2_A \times S^1$ with a topological $A$-twist on $S^2$. This background has been recently reconsidered in [15]. The topological twist is performed by turning on a background for the R-symmetry proportional to the spin connection with a quantised magnetic flux, as a consequence R-charges are integers. Magnetic fluxes are also turned on for all the flavour symmetries.

The path integral on this space localises on BPS configurations labelled by continuous variables $Z$ in the Cartan and discrete variables $\ell$ in the maximal torus of the gauge algebra. The integer variables $\ell$ parameterise the magnetic flux while $z = e^{2\pi i Z}$ is the holomorphic combination of the $S^1$ holonomy and of the real scalar. We also turn on analogous continuous and discrete variables for the non-dynamical symmetries. The partition function reads

$$Z[S^2_A \times S^1] = \sum_{\ell} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i |\mathcal{W}|} \ Z_{\text{cl}} \times Z_{Y-\text{loop}} \times Z_{\text{matter}}.$$
The contributions to the classical part come from (mixed) CS terms. In particular, a pure CS and FI read

\[ z^{\kappa \ell}, \quad z^{\theta \xi \ell}, \quad (3.2) \]

where \( \xi, \theta \) are the holonomy and flux associated to the topological \( U(1) \) symmetry.

The contribution of a chiral multiplet with R-charge \( R \) is given by

\[ Z^{(B)}_{\chi}[S^2_A \times S^1] = \frac{z^{\frac{B}{2}}}{(q^{\frac{1-B}{2}} z; q)_B}, \quad (3.3) \]

where the shifted R-charge \( B = \ell - R + 1 \) is quantised. Finally the vector multiplet contribution is given by

\[ Z_{V}[S^2_A \times S^1] = \prod_{\alpha > 0} q^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} (1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{\alpha}), \quad (3.4) \]

where we used the usual shorthand notation \( f(x) f(x^{-1}) = f(x^\pm) \). We refer the reader to [15] for a detailed analysis of the integration contour in (3.1).

Geometrically, the twisted index background is realised by gluing two solid tori twisted in the same direction so to realise the A-twist on \( S^2 \). We then expect that also in this case the partition function can be expressed in terms of the universal blocks \( B^{3d}_c \).

We begin studying the free chiral with R-charge 0 and \(-1/2\) CS unit (the tetrahedron theory). It is easy to see that by defining the \( A \)-gluing acting as

\[ \tau \to -\tau, \quad Z \to Z, \quad \text{or} \quad q \to q^{-1}, \quad z \to z, \quad (3.5) \]

we obtain the twisted index of the tetrahedron theory by \( A \)-fusing two 3d blocks

\[ \left\| B^{3d}_A(x; q) \right\|_A^2 = (q^{\frac{2\ell}{2}} z; q)_\infty (q^{-\frac{2\ell}{2}} z; q^{-1})_\infty = \frac{1}{(q^{\frac{1-B}{2}} z; q)_{\ell+1}} = \frac{1}{(q^{\frac{1-B}{2}} z; q)_B} = Z_{\Delta}[S^2_A \times S^1], \quad (3.6) \]

where the the holomorphic variable \( x \) is identified with the combination \( x = z^{-1} q^{-\ell/2} \). As expected

\[ Z^{(B)}_{\chi}[S^2_A \times S^1] = Z_{\Delta}[S^2_A \times S^1] z^{\frac{B}{2}}, \quad (3.7) \]

with the factor \( z^{B/2} \) contributing the +1/2 CS unit.

CS terms at integer level and FI terms can also be expressed as \( A \)-squares of the same blocks appearing in (2.20)

\[ \left\| \Theta(-q^{1/2} x; q) \right\|_A^{-2} = z^\ell, \quad \left\| \Theta(x^{-1}; q) \Theta(u; q) \right\|_A^{-2} = z^{\theta \xi \ell}, \quad (3.8) \]
where $u = q^{\theta/2}\xi$. Finally also the vector multiplet can be factorised as in (2.21)

$$
\left\| \prod_{\alpha>0} \left( s_{\alpha} - s_{\alpha}^{-1} \right) \right\|_A^2 = \prod_{\alpha>0} q^{\frac{\|_{\alpha}}{2}} (1 - q^{\frac{\|_{\alpha}}{2}} z_{\alpha}^{\pm}) = Z_V[S_A^2 \times S^1],
$$

with $s_{\alpha} = q^{-\frac{\ell_{\alpha}/2}{z_{\alpha}^{-1}}}$ or alternatively

$$
\left\| \prod_{\alpha>0} \frac{\Theta(-q^{\frac{\|_{\alpha}}{2}} z_{\alpha}; q)}{(q^2 q^{-\frac{\ell_{\alpha}}{2}} z_{\alpha}; q) (q^2 q^{-\frac{\ell_{\alpha}}{2}} z_{\alpha}^{-1}; q)} \right\|_A^2 = \prod_{\alpha>0} q^{\frac{\|_{\alpha}}{2}} (1 - q^{\frac{\|_{\alpha}}{2}} z_{\alpha}^{\pm}).
$$

From eqs. (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) it follows straightforwardly that for parity anomaly free theories the integrand is factorised

$$
Z[S^2 \times S^1] = \sum_{\ell} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i z |\mathcal{W}|} \left\| \gamma^{3d} \right\|_A^2.
$$

Clearly one expects the result of the contour integral to take factorised form too. Indeed in [15] it has been observed that this is the case. For example it is an easy exercise to show that the SQED partition function can be written in terms of the 3d holomorphic blocks

$$
Z_{\text{SQED}} = e^{-i\pi \mathcal{P}} \sum_c \left\| \mathcal{B}^{3d} \right\|_A^2.
$$

We will not show the details of the computation because we will perform an almost identical computation for the $S^2 \times T^2$ case in section 5.

In the end we can extend also to the twisted index case the identity

$$
\sum_{\ell} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i z |\mathcal{W}|} \left\| \gamma^{3d} \right\|_A^2 = \sum_c \int_{\Gamma_c} \frac{ds}{2\pi i s} \left\| \gamma^{3d} \right\|_A^2,
$$

suggesting that the factorisation commutes with integration.

## 4 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ lens index

In this section we consider $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories formulated on $L_r \times S^1$. The lens index of a chiral multiplet of R-charge $R$ and unit charge under a $U(1)$ symmetry is [43]

$$
\tilde{I}^{(R)}_\chi(w, H) = \sigma(H) I^{(R)}_{0,\chi}(w, H) I^{(R)}_\chi(w, H),
$$

with

$$
I^{(R)}_\chi(w, H) = \Gamma((pq)^{\frac{R}{2}} wp^{[H]}; pq, p^*) \Gamma((pq)^{\frac{R}{2}} wq^{-[H]}; pq, p^*).
$$

---

8 Up to a factor $(-1)^{\ell_{\alpha}}$, see discussion in [15].
where \( w \) is the \( U(1) \) fugacity and \( H \) the holonomy along the non-contractible circle of \( L_r \). \( \mathcal{I}_0(w, H) \) is the zero-point energy

\[
\mathcal{I}_0^{(R)}(w, H) = \left( (pq)^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}[H](r-[H])} \right) \left( (pq)^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}[H](r-[H])} \right) \left( (pq)^{-1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2\pi}[H](r-[H])(r-2[H]) \right),
\]

and, as suggested in [14], we included the sign \( \sigma(H) \) defined in (2.9).

For a chiral multiplet in a given representation of a gauge group \( G \) and global flavour group, the lens index reads

\[
\prod_{\rho, \phi} \hat{I}^{(R)}_\chi(\rho(z)\phi(\zeta) + \phi(H)),
\]

where \( z, \zeta \), are respectively the gauge and global fugacities associated to the Cartan, \( \rho, \phi \), the weights of the gauge and flavour representations, while \( \ell, H \), are respectively the gauge and background holonomies in the maximal torus, which can be represented by vectors with components in \( \mathbb{Z}_r \). The gauge theory lens index is then obtained by summing over the dynamical holonomies \( 0 \leq \ell_1 \leq \ldots \leq \ell_{|G|} \leq r - 1 \), \( \ell_n \in [0, r - 1] \)

and integrating the matter contribution with integration measure given by the vector multiplet of the unbroken gauge group

\[
I = \sum_\ell \int_{T^{(G)}} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z \prod_k |W_k|} \prod_\alpha \hat{I}_V(\alpha(z), \alpha(\ell)) \times \prod_i \hat{I}^{(R)}_\chi(\rho_i(z)\phi_i(\zeta) + \phi_i(H)),
\]

where \( \alpha \) denote the gauge roots, and we defined

\[
\hat{I}_V(w, H) = \sigma(H)\mathcal{I}_{0,V}(w, H)\mathcal{I}_V(w, H),
\]

with

\[
\mathcal{I}_V(w, H) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(w^{-1}p^{r-[H]}q; pq, p^r)} \Gamma(w^{-1}q^{[H]}; pq, q^r),
\]

and zero-point energy

\[
\mathcal{I}_{0,V}(w, H) = w^\frac{1}{2\pi}[H](r-[H]) \left( (pq)^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}[H](r-[H])} \right) \left( (pq)^{-1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2\pi}[H](r-[H])(r-2[H]) \right).
\]

If the gauge group has an abelian factor we can introduce an FI term which contributes to the partition function as

\[
z^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \theta},
\]

where we turned on also a background holonomy \( \theta \) for the topological \( U(1) \) symmetry. As argued in [44] the 4d FI parameter \( \frac{2\pi i}{r} \) needs to be quantised. This allows the index, which is independent on continuous couplings, to actually depend on the FI parameter.
In the following we will show that by performing a modular transformation and cancelling the anomalies it is possible to express the lens index integrand in a very neat factorised form.

4.1 Chiral multiplet

Let us consider the index of a single chiral and introduce the following parametrisation

\[ w = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} M, \quad p = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} q, \quad q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \omega, \quad pq = e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{n^2}}, \]

where \( Q = \omega_1 + \omega_2 \), and \( \omega_2 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \) measures the (inverse) \( S^1 \) radius \( \beta \). For convergence, we also assume \( \text{Im}(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{n^2}) > 0 \). Also, since it is going to appear quite often, we define the combination

\[ X = \frac{QR}{2} + M. \]

By using the modular transformation (A.61) and the reflection properties of the elliptic Gamma function (appendix A) we can rewrite

\[ \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_\chi^{(R)}(w, H) = e^{-\pi i \frac{1}{3} \Phi_3(X)} \times \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\chi}^{4d}(X, H), \]

where

\[ \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\chi}^{4d}(X, H) = \frac{e^{-\pi i \frac{1}{3} H^2(r-1)}}{\mathcal{G}(Q - X, -H)} \times e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{n^2}} \times e^{2\pi i \frac{\omega_2}{n^2}}, \]

The cubic polynomial \( \Phi_3(X) \) is defined in (A.11). As we will see in section 4.3, these polynomials contribute to the 4d gauge and global anomalies. In the above expression we introduced the function

\[ \mathcal{G}(X, H) = \Gamma(\frac{2\pi i}{r \tau} (X + \omega_1[H])); e^{-\pi i \frac{2\pi i}{r \tau}} e^{-2\pi i \frac{\omega_2}{r \tau}} \Gamma(\frac{2\pi i}{r \tau} (X + \omega_2[-H])); e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{n^2}} e^{-2\pi i \frac{\omega_2}{n^2}}, \]

satisfying

\[ \mathcal{G}(X, H) \mathcal{G}(Q - X, -H) = e^{-\pi \frac{1}{r} H^2} e^{i\pi \Phi_2(X)}, \]

and which can be factorised as

\[ \mathcal{G}(X, H) = \Gamma(x; q_r, q_\sigma) \Gamma(\bar{x}; \bar{q}_r, \bar{q}_\sigma) = \frac{\left\| \Gamma(x; q_r, q_\sigma) \right\|_{r}^2}{r}, \]

where the 4d \( r \)-pairing acts according to

\[
\begin{align*}
q_r &= e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{r \tau}} = e^{2\pi i r}, \\
\bar{q}_r &= e^{-2\pi i \frac{Q}{r \tau}} = e^{-2\pi i r}, \\
q_\sigma &= e^{2\pi i \frac{2\pi i}{r \tau}}, \\
\bar{q}_\sigma &= e^{-2\pi i \frac{2\pi i}{r \tau}}, \\
x &= e^{\frac{2\pi i X}{r \tau}} e^{\frac{2\pi i H}{r \tau}} = e^{2\pi i \tilde{x} e^{\frac{2\pi i H}{r \tau}}}, \\
\tilde{x} &= e^{\frac{2\pi i X}{r \tau}} e^{\frac{2\pi i H}{r \tau}} e^{2\pi i \tilde{\tilde{x}}},
\end{align*}
\]

\[9\]For \( r = 1 \), \( \mathcal{G} \) coincides with the so-called modified elliptic Gamma function, see for example [45].
with
\[ \tilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{r \tau - 1}, \quad \tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma}{r \tau - 1}, \quad \tilde{\chi} = \frac{\chi}{r \tau - 1}, \quad \tilde{H} = r - H. \] (4.18)

Notice that in the 3d limit \( \omega_3 \to +\infty \mathbb{R} \) (or \( q_\sigma \to 0 \)), we have
\[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q_r x^{-1}; q_r, q_\sigma)} \quad (q_r x^{-1}; q_r)_\infty = B_D^{3d}(x; q_r), \] (4.19)
and
\[ \hat{Z}^{4d}_X(X, H) \xrightarrow{\omega_3 \to +\infty} \hat{s}_{b, -H}(iQ/2 - iX), \] (4.20)
with the quadratic polynomial \( \Phi_2(Q - X) \) in (4.13) contributing the correct half CS unit in 3d. The function \( Z^{4d}_X(X, H) \) satisfies
\[ \hat{Z}^{4d}_X(X, H) \hat{Z}^{4d}_X(Q - X, -H) = 1, \] (4.21)
compatible with a superpotential term \( W \propto \Psi_1 \Psi_2 \) for two chiral superfields \( \Psi_{1, 2} \), which disappear from the IR physics. In the case \( r = 1 \), \( Z^{4d}_X \) can be shown to reduce to the result for a chiral multiplet found in [36, 46].\(^{10}\)

We see that there are two natural ways to rewrite the lens index for a chiral
\[ \hat{L}^{(R)}_X(w, H) = e^{-i\pi \left( \frac{1}{2} \Phi_3(x) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_2(x) \right)} \times e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} H^2 (r - 1)} e^{-i \frac{\pi}{2} \Phi_2(X)} \| B^{4d}_D(x; q_r, q_\sigma) \|_r^2, \] (4.22)
or
\[ \hat{L}^{(R)}_X(w, H) = e^{-i\pi \left( \frac{1}{2} \Phi_3(x) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_2(x) \right)} \times e^{-i \frac{\pi}{2} H^2 (r - 1)} e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \Phi_2(X)} \| B^{4d}_N(x; q_r, q_\sigma) \|_r^2, \] (4.23)
where, in analogy with the 3d case, we defined the 4d holomorphic blocks for the anomaly free chiral
\[ B^{4d}_D(x; q_r, q_\sigma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q_r x^{-1}; q_r, q_\sigma)}, \quad B^{4d}_N(x; q_r, q_\sigma) = \Gamma(x; q_r, q_\sigma), \] (4.24)
with
\[ B^{4d}_D(x; q_r, q_\sigma) = \Theta(x; q_r) B^{4d}_N(x; q_r, q_\sigma). \] (4.25)

We interpret the 4d blocks as partition functions on \( D^2 \times T^2_\sigma \), where \( \epsilon = \tau/R_1 \) is the cigar equivariant parameter and \( \sigma \) is the torus modular parameter. From (4.22) and (4.23) we see that the polynomials \( \Phi_3, \Phi_2 \), which we will identify with anomaly contributions, are obstructions to factorization, while the anomaly free chiral indexes
\[ Z_D[L_r \times S^1] = \| B^{4d}_D(x; q_r, q_\sigma) \|_r^2, \quad Z_N[L_r \times S^1] = \| B^{4d}_N(x; q_r, q_\sigma) \|_r^2, \] (4.26)

\(^{10}\)In order to compare with the result of [46], we need \( \zeta_3(0, x|\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) = -\frac{1}{6} B_{33}(x|\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) \) and some property of the Bernoulli polynomials and elliptic Gamma function summarised in appendix A.
have a neat geometric realisation as 4d blocks glued through the 4d $r$-pairing (4.18), which implements the gluing of two solid tori $D^2 \times T^2_\sigma$ to form the $L_r \times S^1$ geometry.

Similarly to the 3d case, 4d holomorphic blocks are annihilated by a set of difference equations which can be interpreted as Ward identities for surface operators wrapping the torus $T^2_\sigma$ and acting at the tip of the cigar.

For example for $B^{4d}_D$ we find

$$
(T_{q_r,x} - \Theta(x^{-1}; q_\sigma)) B^{4d}_D(x; q_r, q_\sigma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(x^{-1}; q_r, q_\sigma)} - \frac{\Theta(x^{-1}; q_\sigma)}{\Gamma(q_r^{-1}; q_r, q_\sigma)} = 0 ,
$$

(4.27)

where $T_{q,x} f(x) = f(qx)$ is the $q$-shift operator acting on $x$. The lens index is annihilated also by another equation for the tilde variables

$$
(T_{q_r,x} - \Theta(x^{-1}; q_\sigma)) Z_D[L_r \times S^1] = (T_{\tilde{q}_r,\tilde{x}} - \Theta(\tilde{x}^{-1}; \tilde{q}_\sigma)) Z_D[L_r \times S^1] = 0 ,
$$

(4.28)

and similarly for $B^{4d}_N$, $Z_N[L_r \times S^1]$.

The existence of two commuting sets of difference operators annihilating the lens index indicates that it might be expressed in a block factorised form. Indeed we will shortly see that anomaly free interacting theories can also be factorised in 4d holomorphic blocks. We also expect that our 4d holomorphic blocks will be the building blocks to construct partition functions on more general geometries through suitable pairings. For example, in section 5 we will discuss the $S^2 \times T^2$ case.

We close this section by observing that our definition of the blocks $B^{4d}_D$ and $B^{4d}_N$ via factorisation or as solutions to difference equations suffers from an obvious ambiguity. It is clear that we have the freedom to multiply our blocks by $q_r$-phases $c(x; q_r)$ satisfying

$$
c(q_r x; q_r) = c(x; q_r) , \quad \left\| c(x; q_r) \right\|_{q_r}^2 = 1 .
$$

(4.29)

The first condition ensures that the $c(x; q_r)$ is a $q_r$-constant passing through the difference operator while the second condition ensures that these ambiguities disappear once two blocks are glued. 4d blocks for more complicated theories will be also defined up to $q_r$-phases, which can be expressed as elliptic ratios of theta functions.

---

11For the free chiral case, there is an apparent symmetry between $q_\sigma$ and $q_r$, for example we also have $(T_{q_r,x} - \Theta(x^{-1}; q_\sigma)) \frac{1}{\Gamma(q_r^{-1}; q_r, q_\sigma)} = 0$. However there is a profound difference between $q_\sigma$ and $q_r$. This clearly visible if we realise these 4d theories as defects in 6d theories engineered on elliptic Calabi-Yau’s. In that setup $q_\sigma$ corresponds to a Kähler parameter while $q_r$ is related to the topological string coupling.
4.2 Vector multiplet

Repeating the steps we have done for the chiral multiplet, we can also bring the vector multiplet contribution to the following form

\[
\prod_\alpha \tilde{Z}_V(\alpha(z), \alpha(\ell)) = e^{i\pi \sum_\alpha \left( \frac{1}{2} \Phi_2(\alpha(Z)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_2(\alpha(Z)) \right) \times\tilde{Z}_{4d}^V(Z, \ell),
\]

with

\[
\tilde{Z}_{4d}^V(Z, \ell) = \prod_\alpha e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2r}(r-1)\alpha(\ell)^2} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} \Phi_2(\alpha(Z))} \frac{G(\alpha(Z), \alpha(\ell))}{s_{b,\alpha}(\ell)} \times \hat{Z}_{4d}^V(Z, \ell),
\]

where \( z = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \). Also in this case the prefactor of (4.30) is an exponential of a cubic polynomial contributing to the anomaly, which we will discuss in subsection (4.3). In the 3d limit \( \omega_3 \to +\infty \) we have

\[
\hat{Z}_{4d}^V(Z, \ell) = \prod_\alpha \frac{1}{s_{b,\alpha}(\ell)(\frac{iQ}{2} + i\alpha(Z))},
\]

matching the 3d vector contribution (2.7) with the identifications \( (\alpha(Z), \alpha(\ell)) = (iZ_\alpha, \ell_\alpha) \). It the case \( r = 1 \), \( \hat{Z}_{4d}^V \) reduces to the contribution of the vector multiplet in [46]. By using the factorised form of the \( G \) function we can express \( \hat{Z}_{4d}^V \) as

\[
\hat{Z}_{4d}^V(Z, \ell) = \prod_\alpha \frac{1}{s_{b,\alpha}(\ell)(\frac{iQ}{2} + i\alpha(Z))},
\]

where we used (A.48), (A.49), (A.56), and defined the holomorphic variables

\[
s_\alpha = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3} \alpha(Z)} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3} \alpha(\ell)}.
\]

In this form we immediately see that in the 3d limit \( q_\sigma \to 0 \), \( \hat{Z}_{4d}^V \) matches the 3d vector contribution (2.21) (notice that \( \Theta(x; 0) = 1 - x \)). We then define

\[
\mathcal{B}_{4d}^V(\{s_\alpha\}; q_\tau, q_\sigma) = \prod_{\alpha>0} s_{\alpha}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(s_{\alpha}^{-1}; q_\sigma),
\]

such that

\[
\hat{Z}_{4d}^V(Z, \ell) = \mathcal{B}_{4d}^V(\{s_\alpha\}; q_\tau, q_\sigma),
\]

Other choices of \( \mathcal{B}_{4d}^V \) are clearly possible possible. For example we can also write

\[
\hat{Z}_{4d}^V(Z, \ell) = \prod_{\alpha>0} \Theta(q_\tau^2 s_{\alpha}^{-1}; q_\tau) \Gamma(q_\tau s_{\alpha}^{\pm}; q_\tau, q_\sigma),
\]

\[
\hat{Z}_{4d}^V(Z, \ell) = \prod_{\alpha>0} \Theta(q_\tau^2 s_{\alpha}^{-1}; q_\tau) \Gamma(q_\tau s_{\alpha}^{\pm}; q_\tau, q_\sigma),
\]
with

\[ B_{\text{vec}}^{4d}\left(\{s_\alpha\}; q_r, q_\sigma\right) = \prod_{\alpha>0} \Theta(q_3^{\frac{1}{3}} s_\alpha; q_r) \Gamma(q_r s_\alpha^*; q_r, q_\sigma), \]  
(4.38)

which in the 3d limit \( q_\sigma \to 0 \) reduces to the 3d block \( 2.22 \).

Finally, we observe that the FI terms can also be naturally factorised as in 3d \( 2.20 \)

\[ e^{2\pi i \omega_3 Z^{4d}} e^{2\pi i \theta \ell^{4d}} \to \frac{\Theta(s^{-1} u_{4d}; q_r)}{\Theta(u_{4d}; q_r) \Theta(s^{-1}; q_r)} \left[ \frac{\Theta(s^{-1} u_{4d}; q_r)}{\Theta(u_{4d}; q_r) \Theta(s^{-1}; q_r)} \right]^{-2}, \]  
(4.39)

with

\[ s = e^{2\pi i \omega_3 Z^{4d}} e^{2\pi i \theta \ell^{4d}}, \quad u_{4d} = e^{2\pi i \omega_1 (\omega_2 + \omega_3) \xi^{4d}} e^{-2\pi i \theta}. \]  
(4.40)

### 4.3 Anomalies and factorisation

We now return to the polynomials \( \Phi_3, \Phi_2 \) appearing in the modular transformations \( 4.12, 4.30 \). We will see that their total contributions reconstructs the 4d anomaly polynomial. This interplay between modular transformations and anomalies was first observed in \([45]\) (see also \([46]\), \([47]\)).

Collecting the contribution of the chiral multiplets we find

\[ P_i(Z, \Xi) = \frac{1}{3} \Phi_3 \left( \frac{Q R_i}{2} + \rho_i(Z) + \phi_i(\Xi) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_2 \left( \frac{Q R_i}{2} + \rho_i(Z) + \phi_i(\Xi) \right), \]  
(4.41)

where we introduced the exponentiated flavour fugacities \( \zeta = e^{2\pi i \Xi} \). Similarly, the vector contributes with a factor \( e^{-i \pi \sum_\alpha P_\alpha} \), where

\[ \sum_\alpha P_\alpha(Z) = - \sum_\alpha \left( \frac{1}{3} \Phi_3(\alpha(Z)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_2(\alpha(Z)) \right). \]  
(4.42)

In total we find

\[ P_{\text{tot}}(Z, \Xi) = \sum_i \sum_{\rho_i, \phi_i} P_i(Z, \Xi) + \sum_\alpha P_\alpha(Z) = P_{\text{loc}}(Z, \Xi) + P_{\text{gl}}(\Xi), \]  
(4.43)

where in the last step we further distinguished between local (gauge (G)) and global (flavour (F), R-symmetry (R) and gravity (g)) contributions.
• **Gauge and mixed gauge anomalies.** Collecting the various powers of $Z$ we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{GGG: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\rho_i(Z)^3}{3w_1w_2w_3} \\
\text{GGR: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\rho_i(Z)^2}{2w_1w_2w_3} Q(R_i - 1) + \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\alpha(Z)^2}{2w_1w_2w_3} Q \cdot 1 \\
\text{GGF: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\rho_i(Z)^2}{w_1w_2w_3} \phi_i(\Xi) \\
\text{GRR: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\rho_i(Z)}{4w_1w_2w_3} (Q(R_i - 1))^2 \\
\text{GRF: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\rho_i(Z)}{w_1w_2w_3} Q(R_i - 1) \phi_i(\Xi) \\
\text{GFF: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\rho_i(Z)}{w_1w_2w_3} \phi_i(\Xi)^2 \\
\text{Ggg: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\rho_i(Z)}{12w_1w_2w_3} (2\omega_3^2 - \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + 2\omega_1\omega_2(r^2 - 1)) .
\end{align*}
\]

All these terms have to vanish on physical theories theories, leading to conditions on the $R$-charge and on the flavour fugacities.

• **Global anomalies.** For the $Z$ independent terms we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{FFF: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\phi_i(\Xi)^3}{3w_1w_2w_3} \\
\text{RRR: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{(Q(R_i - 1))^3}{24w_1w_2w_3} + \sum_{\alpha} \frac{(Q \cdot 1)^3}{24w_1w_2w_3} \\
\text{FFR: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\phi_i(\Xi)^2}{2w_1w_2w_3} Q(R_I - 1) \\
\text{FRR: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\phi_i(\Xi)}{4w_1w_2w_3} (Q(R - 1))^2 \\
\text{Fgg: } & \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{\phi_i(\Xi)}{12w_1w_2w_3} (2\omega_3^2 - \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + 2\omega_1\omega_2(r^2 - 1)) \\
\text{Rgg: } & \left( \sum_{i} \sum_{\rho_i,\phi_i} \frac{Q(R_i - 1)}{24w_1w_2w_3} + \sum_{\alpha} \frac{Q \cdot 1}{24w_1w_2w_3} \right) (2\omega_3^2 - \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + 2\omega_1\omega_2(r^2 - 1)) .
\end{align*}
\]
In [48] it was observed that partition functions on $M^3 \times S^1_\beta$ have a divergent limit when the $S^1$ radius $\beta$ shrinks to zero. The leading term is

$$\ln Z[M^3 \times S^1_\beta] \beta \to 0 \sim - \frac{\pi^2}{\beta} \text{Tr}(R) L_R[M^3] - \frac{1}{12\beta} \text{Tr}(U(1)) L_F[M^3] + \text{subleading}$$

where $L_{R,F}[M^3]$ are integrals of local quantities which can be computed for the given 3d (Seifert) manifold $M^3$ and supergravity background. In the $M^3 = S^3_\beta$ case in particular

$$\ln Z[S^3_\beta \times S^1_\beta] \beta \to 0 \sim - \frac{\pi^2 r_3 (b + b^{-1})}{6\beta} \text{Tr}(R) - \frac{\pi^2 r_3^2}{3\beta} \text{Tr}(U(1))$$

where $m$ is a real mass for the $U(1)$ symmetry and $r_3$ the $S^3_\beta$ scale. By using the asymptotics of $\Phi_3, \Phi_2$, it is not difficult to verify that

$$\ln e^{-i \pi P_{\text{gl}} \omega_3 \to +\infty} = \frac{-i\pi \omega_3}{12r \omega_1 \omega_2} \left( \sum_i \sum_{\rho_i, \phi_i} Q(R_i - 1) + \sum_\alpha Q \cdot 1 \right) - \frac{i\pi \omega_3}{6r \omega_1 \omega_2} \sum_i \sum_{\rho_i, \phi_i} \phi_i(\zeta)$$

reproducing the expected universal divergent factor with the identifications $\beta = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_3}$, $i\omega_1 = \frac{b}{r_3}$, $i\omega_2 = \frac{b^{-1}}{r_3}$, the volume being rescaled by $1/r$.

Finally we consider the extra exponential quadratic terms appearing in the definition of $\hat{Z}^{3d}_S$ in (4.13). We already observed that in the 3d limit $\omega_3 \to +\infty$, these polynomials contribute the expected half CS units. These polynomials are actually $\omega_3$ independent, and for convenience we refer to their total contribution as 3d anomaly contribution. Each chiral of weights $\rho_i, \phi_i$, contributes with

$$P_{3d}^i = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_2 \left( \frac{QR_i}{2} + \rho_i(Z) + \phi_i(\Xi) \right) - \frac{r - 1}{2r} \left( \rho_i(L) + \phi_i(H) \right)^2$$

where the sign $\pm$ depends on the choice (4.22) or (4.23) respectively. In total we find

$$P_{3d}(Z, \Xi) = \sum_i \sum_{\rho_i, \phi_i} P_{3d}^i(Z, \Xi) = P_{\text{loc}}^{3d}(Z, \Xi) + P_{\text{gl}}^{3d}(\Xi)$$

On physical 4d theories, where the 4d gauge anomaly is cancelled, the would be 3d parity anomaly is also automatically cancelled, namely in the 3d limit $e^{-i \pi P_{\text{loc}}^{3d}}$ would contribute integer CS units. This implies that the factor $e^{-i \pi P_{\text{loc}}^{3d}}$ can always be factorised in Theta functions as in (2.20).

We arrive at the conclusion that, on physical theories where there is no obstruction from anomalies, the lens index integrand can be expressed in terms of the holomorphic variables and arranged in the factorised form

$$I = e^{-i \pi (P_{\text{gl}} + P_{\text{gl}}^{3d})} \times \sum_{\ell} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \prod |W_{\ell}|^2 \left\| Y^{3d} \right\|^2_r$$
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up to prefactors due to the non-dynamical anomalies. As we will see in some explicit case, for anomaly free theories we also have

$$I = e^{-i\pi(P_{gl} + P_{3d}^{gl})} \times \sum_c \left\| B^{4d}_c \right\|_r^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.63)

We are thus led to try to use the integrand $\Upsilon^{4d}$ to define 4d blocks via block integrals as in the 3d case. We will return to this in section 6.

In [46] it was pointed out that the anomaly cancellation conditions are necessary to express the partition function on Hopf surfaces $H_{p,q} \approx S^1 \times S^3$ in terms of periodic variables (under $S^1$ shifts) consistent with the invariance under large gauge transformations.

To understand the effect of large gauge transformations at the level of the blocks, it is useful to look first at the semiclassical limit $\tau = R_1 \epsilon \to 0$, where we remove the $\Omega$-deformation on the disk by turning off the equivariant parameter ($\epsilon \to 0$). In this limit the theory is effectively described by a twisted superpotential obtained by summing over the KK masses $\frac{i}{R_1}$ and $\frac{i \sigma}{R_1}$ due to the torus compactification of the 4d theory [49].

The contribution of a chiral multiplet to the twisted superpotential is given by

$$\tilde{W}(a) = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(a + \frac{i}{R_1} (\sigma n + m)\right) \left(\ln(a + \frac{i}{R_1} (\sigma n + m)) - 1\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.64)

This sum needs to be regularised, in appendix B.3 we briefly review how one can do that, the result is

$$\tilde{W}(a) = \frac{\pi}{R_1} P_3(iR_1 a) + \frac{1}{2\pi R_1} \sum_{k \neq 0} e^{-2\pi R_1 ak} k^2 (1 - q^k_\sigma).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.65)

where

$$P_3(X) = \frac{X^3}{3\sigma} - \frac{X^2(1 + \sigma)}{2\sigma} + \frac{X(1 + \sigma)(3 + \sigma)}{6\sigma} - \frac{(1 + 6\sigma(1 + \sigma))}{72\sigma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.66)

We can immediately identify in (4.65) the semiclassical limit of the anomaly free chiral

$$\ln B_N(e^{-2\pi R_1 a}; \tau, \sigma) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{e^{-2\pi R_1 ka} \tau^{-0}}{k(1 - q^k_\sigma)(1 - q^k_\tau)} \sim -\frac{1}{2\pi i \tau} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{e^{-2\pi k R_1 a}}{k^2 (1 - q^k_\sigma)} = \frac{i \tilde{W}_N(a)}{\epsilon},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.67)

while $P_3$ contributes to the anomaly polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times T^2_\sigma$.

As it will become important later on, we observe that while the twisted superpotential as defined in (4.64) is invariant under large gauge transformations being manifestly doubly periodic on the torus $T^2_\sigma$, i.e. invariant under $a \to a + \frac{i}{R_1} (\sigma n + m)$, the regularisation produces polynomial terms which explicitly break the periodicity. Therefore the
semiclassical analysis shows that anomalies represent an obstruction to the periodicity/gauge invariance of the superpotential.\footnote{See \cite{22} for a thorough analysis of the periodicity in the context of the 4d \( tt^* \) equations.}

We then see that the block integrands of anomaly free theories defined in (4.62), in the semiclassical limit

\[
\log \gamma^{4d} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{i\tilde{W}}{\epsilon},
\]

are doubly periodic on the torus. In section 6 we will return to this point and see that at the quantum level, the invariance under large gauge transformation will be preserved only up to \( q_\tau \)-phases.

### 4.4 SQED

We will now study two interacting theories to illustrate the general mechanism of factorisation. Our first example will be the \( U(1) \) theory with \( N_f \) chiral and \( N_f \) antichiral, with R-charge \( R \) and an FI terms (SQED). In this case the lens index reads

\[
I_{\text{SQED}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} z^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3} \theta} \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \hat{T}_\chi^{(R)}(z^{-1} \zeta_a, \ell + H_a) \hat{T}_\chi^{(R)}(z \bar{\zeta}_b^{-1}, -\ell - \bar{H}_b),
\]

where we parametrise the fugacities as

\[
z = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3} Z}, \quad \zeta_a = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3} M_a}, \quad \bar{\zeta}_b = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3} \bar{M}_b},
\]

with associated holonomies \( \ell, H_a, \bar{H}_b \). It is also useful to introduce the combinations

\[
X_a = \frac{QR}{2} + M_a, \quad \bar{X}_b = -\frac{QR}{2} + \bar{M}_b.
\]

We evaluate the lens index by taking the sum of the residues inside the unit circle at the poles

\[
Z_1 = jQ + kr\omega_1 + X_c + \omega_1[\ell + H_c], \quad Z_2 = jQ + kr\omega_2 + X_c + \omega_2(r - [\ell + H_c]),
\]

where \( j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). The detailed computation is performed in appendix B.4, here we report the key steps. We first perform the modular transformation using (4.22) for the
fundamentals and (4.23) for the antifundamentals, and we get

$$\prod_{a,b} \hat{T}_\chi^{(R)}(z^{-1}\zeta_a, \ell + H_a) \hat{T}_\chi^{(R)}(z^{-1}\bar{\zeta}_b, -\ell - \bar{H}_b) =$$

$$= e^{-i\pi P_{gl}} e^{-i\pi P_{loc}} \prod_{a,b} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\ell + H_a)^2(r-1)} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2} \Phi_2(2 - Z - X_a)} \frac{G(Z - \bar{X}_b, -\ell - \bar{H}_b)}{G(Q + Z - X_a, -\ell - H_a)} =$$

$$= e^{-i\pi (P_{gl} + P_{3d})} e^{-i\pi (P_{loc} + P_{3d}_{loc})} \prod_{a,b} \frac{G(Z - \bar{X}_b, -\ell - \bar{H}_b)}{G(Q + Z - X_a, -\ell - H_a)} . \quad (4.73)$$

As we discussed, the modular transformation produces polynomials contributing to the global and local anomalies. The dynamical part of the 4d anomaly ($P_{loc}$) must vanish on this physical theory. In fact, as this theory is non-chiral, the GGG anomaly vanishes automatically, while the cancellation of the GGF anomaly requires the balancing of the $U(1)$ flavour charges of fundamentals and antifundamentals

$$\sum_i \sum_a \phi_i(\Xi) = \sum_a M_a - \sum_b \bar{M}_b = 0 . \quad (4.74)$$

This is actually automatic since the flavour symmetry group is $SU(N_f) \times SU(\bar{N}_f) \times U(1)$ with fundamentals and antifundamentals oppositely charged under the baryonic symmetry. Then we also have

$$\sum_a H_a - \sum_b \bar{H}_b = 0 \mod r . \quad (4.75)$$

In order to cancel the GGR anomaly the condition is\textsuperscript{13}

$$N_f T_2(f) (R - 1) + \bar{N}_f T_2(\bar{f}) (R - 1) + T_2(ad) \cdot 1 = 0 , \quad (4.76)$$

which fixes $R = 1$. For the vanishing of the GFF anomaly we must require

$$\sum_i \sum_a \phi_i(\Xi)^2 = \sum_a M_a^2 - \sum_b \bar{M}_b^2 = 0 , \quad (4.77)$$

$$\sum_a H_a^2 - \sum_b \bar{H}_b^2 = 0 . \quad (4.78)$$

The other anomalies also vanish without imposing any further constraint. What is left of the 4d anomaly is the global part ($P_{gl}$), which reduces just to the FFF term.

\textsuperscript{13}We denote $\text{Tr}_R(T_n T_m) = T_2(R) \delta_{nm}$. For $SU(N_c)$ the fundamental and adjoint generators are normalised according to $T_2(f) = 1/2, T_2(ad) = N_c$. 
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Since we used (4.22) for the fundamentals and (4.23) for the antifundamentals, the $Z^2$ terms in $\mathcal{P}^{3d}_{\text{loc}}$ are automatically cancelled. We could have also used (4.23) or (4.22) for both fundamentals and antifundamentals as well. This would have led to a different but of course equivalent form of the integrand. Altogether the 3d anomaly contributions yield the global factor $\mathcal{P}^{3d}_{\text{gl}}$ and a renormalisation of $\xi^{4d}$, $\theta$, which are however trivial once we impose (4.74), (4.75), (4.77) and (4.78).

Finally we find

$$I_{\text{SQED}} = e^{-i\pi \mathcal{P}^{3d}_{\text{gl}}} \sum_{\ell = 0}^{r-1} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \left\| \mathcal{Y}_{\text{SQED}}^{4d} \right\|_r^2,$$

with

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\text{SQED}}^{4d} = \frac{\Theta(s^{-1}u_{4d}; q_r)}{\Theta(u_{4d}; q_r)\Theta(s^{-1}; q_r)} \prod_{a,b = 1}^{N_f} \frac{\Gamma(s_{b}^{-1}; q_r, q_\sigma)}{\Gamma(q_r x_a^{-1}; q_r, q_\sigma)},$$

where we introduced the holomorphic variables

$$s = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r\omega} Z} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \xi^{4d}}{r}}, \quad x_a = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r\omega} X_a} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \theta}{r} H_a}, \quad \bar{x}_b = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r\omega} \bar{X}_b} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \bar{\theta}}{r} \bar{H}_b}, \quad u_{4d} = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r\omega} \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^3} \xi^{4d} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \theta}{r}}},$$

and used (A.49) to write

$$e^{-\frac{2\pi i \xi^{4d}}{r} Z} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \theta}{r}} = \left\| \frac{\Theta(s^{-1}u_{4d}; q_r)}{\Theta(u_{4d}; q_r)\Theta(s^{-1}; q_r)} \right\|_r^2,$$

as in 3d. Notice the integrand $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{SQED}}^{4d}$ in (4.80) could have been assembled by adding a 4d block $B_{B}^{4d}$ for each chiral and a block $B_{N}^{4d}$ for each anti-chiral plus the FI contribution. In this case the polynomial $\mathcal{P}^{3d}_{\text{loc}}$ defined in (4.61) vanishes.

Finally by taking the sum of the residues at the poles (4.72), we obtain

$$I_{\text{SQED}} = e^{-i\pi \mathcal{P}^{3d}_{\text{gl}}} \sum_{c = 1}^{N_f} \left\| B_{c}^{4d} \right\|_r^2,$$

with

$$B_{c}^{4d} = \frac{\Theta(x_c^{-1}u_{4d}; q_r)}{\Theta(u_{4d}; q_r)\Theta(x_c^{-1}; q_r)} \prod_{a,b = 1}^{N_f} \frac{\Gamma(x_c \bar{x}_b^{-1}; q_r, q_\sigma)}{\Gamma(q_r x_a^{-1}; q_r, q_\sigma)} N_f E_{N_f-1} \left( x_c \bar{x}_b^{-1}; q_r, q_\sigma; u_{4d} \right),$$

where the elliptic series $N E_{N-1}$ is defined in (A.67). For $r = 1$ our result agrees with [17] (after a modular transformation). Notice that the cancellation of the GGF anomaly is related to the balancing condition (A.68) of the elliptic series, while the GFF anomaly cancellation to its modular properties (A.73). The sum over $c$ runs over the supersymmetric vacua given by the minima of the the twisted superpotential discussed in the previous section.
It is easy to write down a difference operator for these blocks. We find that the elliptic hypergeometric series (A.67) is annihilated by the operator

\[ \hat{H}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; u, T_{q, u}) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \Theta(q_{r}^{-1} y_i; T_{q, u}; q_{\sigma}) - u \prod_{i=1}^{N} \Theta(x_i T_{q, u}; q_{\sigma}) \right). \] (4.85)

Since

\[ B_{c}^{3d} \propto t(u_{4d}; x_{c}) N_{f} E_{N_{f}}^{-1} \left( x_{c}^{-1} \bar{q} q_{r} x_{a}^{-1}; q_{r}, q_{\sigma}; u_{4d} \right), \] (4.86)

where for convenience we denoted

\[ t(u_{4d}; x_{c}) = \frac{\Theta(x_{c}^{-1} u_{4d}; q_{r})}{\Theta(u_{4d}; q_{r}) \Theta(x_{c}^{-1}; q_{r})}, \] (4.87)

satisfying

\[ T_{q, u}^{n} t(u_{4d}; x_{c})^{-1} = x_{c}^{-n} t(u_{4d}; x_{c})^{-1}, \] (4.88)

we see that the blocks \( B_{c}^{4d} \) are solutions to the difference operator

\[ t(u_{4d}; x_{c}) \hat{H}(x_{c}^{-1} \bar{q} q_{r} x_{a}^{-1}; u_{4d}, T_{q, u}) t(u_{4d}; x_{c})^{-1} = \hat{H}(x_{c}^{-1} \bar{q} q_{r} x_{a}^{-1}; u_{4d}, T_{q, u}), \] (4.89)

for \( c = 1, \ldots, N_{f} \). As we have already noticed in the case of the free chiral, if we define the blocks \( B_{c}^{4d} \) as solutions to this difference operator with the additional requirement that their \( r \)-square reproduces the partition function (4.83), we still have the \( q_{r} \)-phases ambiguity. For example we can multiply the blocks by the elliptic ratio of \( \Theta \) functions

\[ c(u_{4d}; q_{r}) = \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_{f}} \frac{\Theta(u_{4d} x_{b}^{-1}; q_{r})}{\Theta(u_{4d} q_{r} x_{a}^{-1}; q_{r})}, \] (4.90)

which satisfies \( c(q_{r} u_{4d}; q_{r}) = c(u_{4d}; q_{r}) \) and has unit \( r \)-square when the anomaly cancellation conditions (4.74), (4.75), (4.77), (4.78) are imposed. It is also easy to check that

\[ \Theta(q_{r}/2; x_{r}) \xrightarrow{r \to 0} e^{-i \pi (q_{r}/h c) x_{r}^{2}} , \]

eq. (4.90) has a trivial semiclassical limit. Indeed in general \( q_{r} \)-phases are not visible in the the semiclassical asymptotics.

We conclude by checking the 3d limit of our results. At the level of the 4d blocks this amounts to take \( q_{\sigma} \to 0 \), yielding

\[ B_{c}^{4d}(\vec{x}; u_{4d}; q_{r}, q_{\sigma}) \to B_{c}^{3d}(\vec{x}; u_{3d}, q), \] (4.91)

with the obvious identifications

\[ q_{r} = q, \quad (i X_{a}, H_{a})_{4d} = (X_{a}, H_{a})_{3d}, \quad (i X_{b}, \bar{H}_{b})_{4d} = (\bar{X}_{b}, \bar{H}_{b})_{3d}. \] (4.92)
Notice that the 3d mass parameters are still restricted to satisfy the 4d anomaly cancellation conditions. As explained in [50], the reduction of the 4d index to 3d generates theories with the same gauge and matter content of the original theory but with a compact Coulomb branch and with non-trivial superpotential terms enforcing the restriction on the masses [50]. Moreover the relation between 4d and 3d FI parameters

\[
i \frac{\xi^{4d}}{\omega^3} \xrightarrow{\omega^3 \to +\infty} \xi^{3d}
\]

is consistent with a continuous 3d FI.

4.5 SQCD

We now move to the $SU(2)$ theory with $N_f$ chiral and $N_f$ antichiral. The lens index reads:

\[
I_{\text{SQCD}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \tilde{L}_V(z^{+2}, \pm 2\ell) \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \tilde{L}_\chi^{(R)}(z^+ \zeta_\alpha, \pm \ell + H_\alpha) \tilde{L}_\chi^{(R)}(z^+ \zeta_\beta^{-1}, \pm \ell - H_\beta) .
\]

(4.94)

We can collect the flavour fugacities and background holonomies into

\[
\zeta_{\alpha'} = (\zeta_\alpha, \zeta_\beta^{-1}) = \zeta_{\beta'}^{-1}, \quad H_{\alpha'} = (H_\alpha, -H_\beta) = -H_{\beta'}, \quad a', b' = 1, \ldots, 2N_f .
\]

(4.95)

We also define

\[
X_{\alpha'} = \frac{Q R}{2} + M_{\alpha'} = -\bar{X}_{\beta'}, \quad M_{\alpha'} = (M_\alpha, -M_\beta) = -\bar{M}_{\beta'},
\]

where $M_{\alpha'} = (M_\alpha, -M_\beta) = -\bar{M}_{\beta'}$. In this notation the matter sector reads exactly the same as the SQED theory with the replacements $a \to a'$ and $b \to b'$, the only differences being the different $R$ charge and the “reality” constraints $X_{\alpha'} = -\bar{X}_{\beta'}$, $H_{\alpha'} = -H_{\beta'}$. The set of poles inside the unit circle we will sum over is also formally unchanged with respect to the abelian case (4.72) because the vector does not bring any pole.

The first step is to perform the modular transformation, which upon imposing the anomaly cancellation allows us to factorise the integrand as

\[
I_{\text{SQCD}} = e^{-i \pi (P_{gl} + P_{3d})} \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \left\| \gamma_{\text{SQCD}}^{4d} \right\|^2 ,
\]

with

\[
\gamma_{\text{SQCD}}^{4d} = s \frac{\Gamma(q_\tau s^2; q_\tau, q_\sigma)}{\Gamma(s^2; q_\tau, q_\sigma)} \prod_{\alpha', \beta'} \frac{\Gamma(s\bar{\xi}_{\alpha'}^{-1}; q_\tau, q_\sigma)}{\Gamma(q_\tau sx_{\alpha'}^{-1}; q_\tau, q_\sigma)} ,
\]

where

\[
s = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} Z} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell} , \quad X_{\alpha'} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} X_{\alpha}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} H_{\alpha'}} .
\]

(4.97)

(4.98)

(4.99)
The GGF cancellation parallels the abelian case. The GGR anomaly cancellation
\[ N_f T_2(f)(R - 1) + \tilde{N}_f T_2(\tilde{f})(R - 1) + T_2(ad) \cdot 1 = 0 , \quad (4.100) \]
in this case yields \( R = \frac{N_f - N_c}{N_f} \) for \( SU(N_c) \). All other anomalies vanish without imposing further conditions.

Also in this case we observe that the integrand \( \Upsilon_{\text{SQCD}}^{\text{4d}} \) in (4.98) can be obtained by adding a 4d block \( B_{\text{D/N}}^{\text{4d}} \) for each chiral/anti-chiral plus the vector multiplet contribution. In this case however we need to take into account the polynomial \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{3d}} \) which, once the 4d anomaly cancellation conditions are imposed, contributes a factor \( \|s^2\|_r^2 \) to the partition function.

We then take the sum of the residues at the poles. The detailed computation is performed in appendix B.5, here we give the final result in the fully factorised form
\[ I_{\text{SQCD}} = e^{-i\pi \mathcal{P}_{\text{gl}}^{2N_f} \frac{N_f}{N_c}} \sum_{c' = 1}^{2N_f} \left\| B_{c'}^{\text{4d}} \right\|_r^2 , \quad (4.101) \]
with
\[ B_{c'}^{\text{4d}} = x_{c'}^2 \Theta(x_{c'}^2; q_\sigma) \prod_{a'} \frac{\Gamma(x_{c'} x_{a'}^2; q_\tau, q_\sigma)}{\Gamma(q_\tau x_{c'} x_{a'}^2; q_\tau, q_\sigma)} 2^{2N_f+4} E_{2N_f+3} (x_{c'}; x_{c'} x_{a'}^2; q_\tau, q_\sigma; 1) , \quad (4.102) \]
where we introduced the very-well-poised elliptic hypergeometric series defined in (A.74).

For \( r = 1 \) our result agrees with [17] (after a modular transformation).

5 \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) theories on \( S^2 \times T^2 \)

We now turn to the manifold \( S^2 \times T^2 \) which supports \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) supersymmetric theories with R-symmetry. To preserve supersymmetry the theories need to be topologically twisted on \( S^2 \) and the R-charges need to be quantised. This background has been studied in [36],[37] and more recently in [15] and [38].

As in the twisted index case reviewed in section 3, the localising locus is parameterised by continuous variables \( Z \) in the Cartan and discrete variables \( \ell \) in the maximal torus of the gauge algebra. The integer variables \( \ell \) parameterise the quantised magnetic flux while \( z = e^{2\pi i Z} \) is a combination of the two holonomies on the torus. We also turn on analogous continuous and discrete variables for the non-dynamical symmetries. The partition function reads
\[ Z[S^2 \times T^2] = \sum_{\ell} \oint_{1,2,3} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} |\mathcal{W}| Z_{c1} \times Z_{V_{1\text{-loop}}} V_{1\text{-loop}} \times Z_{\text{matter}} . \quad (5.1) \]
The contributions to the classical part come only from possible FI terms for $U(1)$ factors
\[ e^{-\text{Vol}(T^2)\xi^\ell} = \xi^\ell. \] (5.2)

The contribution of a chiral multiplet with R-charge $R$, $U(1)$ fugacity $z$ and flux $H$ is given by
\[
Z^{(B)}_\chi[S^2 \times T^2] = q^{R/2} z^{\frac{H}{2}} \prod_{k=-[\frac{B}{2}]-1}^{[\frac{B}{2}]-1} \frac{1}{\Theta(q^k \tau^2; q^\sigma)_{\text{sign}(B)}} = \frac{q^{R/2} z^{\frac{H}{2}}}{\Theta(q^{-B/2} \tau^2; q^\sigma)_{B}},
\] (5.3)

where we used the definition of $\Theta$-factorials in (A.58) and defined $B = H - R + 1$. The vector multiplet contribution is given by
\[
Z_V[S^2 \times T^2] = \prod_{\alpha>0} q^{- \frac{|\alpha|}{2}} \Theta(q^{-B/2} \tau^2 z^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}; q^\sigma).
\] (5.4)

In the above expressions $q^\sigma = e^{2\pi i \sigma}$ is identified with the torus complex modulus and $q^\tau = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ with the angular momentum fugacity. By using that $\Theta(x; 0) = 1 - x$, it is immediate to check that, in the $q^\sigma \to 0$ limit, the 1-loop contributions (5.3) and (5.4) tend to their counterpart on $S^2_A \times S^1$ (up to the zero-point energy factor).

Geometrically, the $S^2 \times_T T^2_\sigma$ background is realised by gluing two solid tori $D^2 \times_T T^2_\sigma$ twisted in the same direction so that to realise the A-twist on $S^2$. We then expect that also in this case partition functions can be expressed in terms of the universal blocks $B^{4d}_c$ fused with the A-gluing defined by
\[
\tau \to -\tau, \quad \sigma \to \sigma, \quad Z \to Z, \quad \text{or} \quad q^\tau \to q^{-1}_\tau, \quad q^\sigma \to q^\sigma, \quad z \to z.
\] (5.5)

As clear from our discussion on anomalies, the free chiral alone is not expected to factorise, we need instead to look at an anomaly free object, for example
\[ \|B^{4d}_D(x; q^\tau, q^\sigma)\|_A^2 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q^{-\frac{2\pi h}{2}} \tau^2 z; q^\tau, q^\sigma) \Gamma(q^{-\frac{2\pi h}{2}} \tau^{-1} z; q^\tau^{-1}, q^\sigma)} = \frac{1}{\Theta(q^{-B/2} \tau^2 z; q^\tau, q^\sigma)_{B}} = Z_D[S^2 \times T^2], \] (5.6)

---

\[14\] The relation between our Theta function $\Theta(x; q^\sigma)$ and the theta function $\theta_1(x; q^\sigma)$ appearing in [15, 36–38] is $\theta_1(x; q^\sigma) = i \eta(q^\sigma) q^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(x; q^\sigma)$, $\eta(q^\sigma) = q^{\frac{1}{2}} (q^\sigma)_{\infty}$.

\[15\] Up to a zero-point energy contribution $\eta(q^\sigma)^2 G_0 \prod_{\alpha} q^{\frac{24}{\alpha}}$ which can be absorbed in the integration measure. In [15] an extra $(-1)^{\ell_\alpha}$ appears in the definition of the vector multiplet.
where we identified the holomorphic variable $x$ with the combination $x = z^{-1} q_x^{-H/2}$. As expected

$$Z^{(B)}_X[S^2 \times T^2] = Z_D[S^2 \times T^2] \times z^{\frac{B}{4}} q_x^{-\frac{B}{12}},$$

(5.7)

showing that we need to multiply the anomaly free chiral by the factor $z^{B/2}$, which in the 3d twisted index limit we identified with a half CS unit, and by the zero-point energy.

FI terms can also be expressed as $A$-squares as in (3.8). Similarly, the vector multiplet contribution can be re-obtained by fusing two 4d blocks $B_{4d}^{4d}$ with $s_a = z^{-1} q_x^{-\ell_a/2}$

$$\left\| \prod_{\alpha > 0} s_a^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(s_a^{-1}, q_x) \right\|^2_A = \prod_{\alpha > 0} q_x^{\frac{1}{2}} q_x^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta(q_x^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{\alpha}^{+}, q_x) = Z_V[S^2 \times T^2].$$

(5.8)

So we arrive at the conjectured relation

$$Z[S^2 \times T^2] = e^{-i \pi \beta} \sum_{\ell} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} |W| \left\| Y_{4d} \right\|^2_A = e^{-i \pi \beta} \sum_{c} \left\| B_{4d}^c \right\|^2_A.$$

(5.9)

The first equality states the factorisation of the integrand of the Coulomb branch partition function. This follows from the above discussion on chiral and vector multiplets. For anomaly free theories, the induced effective half CS units either cancel between chirals and antichirals or add up to integer values and can be factorised as in (3.8).

The second non-trivial equality states the factorisation of the $S^2 \times T^2$ partition function in terms of the very same 4d blocks $B_{4d}$ found in the $L_r \times S^1$ case.

Let us explicitly check this relation in the SQED case. The partition function is given by

$$Z_{SQED}[S^2 \times T^2] = \sum_{\ell \in Z} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \xi_{\ell} Z_{1-loop}(z, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}, B, \bar{B}),$$

(5.10)

$$Z_{1-loop}(z, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}, B, \bar{B}) = \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \frac{q_x^{-\frac{B_a}{12}} (z \zeta_a)^{\frac{B_a}{2}} q_x^{-\frac{B_b}{12}} (z^{-1} \bar{\zeta}_b)^{-\frac{B_b}{2}}}{\Theta(q_x^{1/2}, \zeta_a, q_x^{1/2}, \zeta_b) \Theta(q_x^{1/2}, z^{-1} \bar{\zeta}_b, q_x^{1/2}, z^{-1} \bar{\zeta}_b) },$$

where

$$B_a = 1 + h_a + \ell, \quad \bar{B}_b = 1 + \bar{h}_b - \ell.$$

(5.11)

In this case the anomaly cancellation conditions are

$$\prod_{a,b} \zeta_a \bar{\zeta}_b^{-1} = 1, \quad \sum_{a,b} (h_a + \bar{h}_b) + 2N_f = 0, \quad \prod_{a,b} \zeta_a^{h_a + 1} \bar{\zeta}_b^{h_b + 1} = 1.$$

(5.12)
By using the definition of Θ-factorials in (A.58) it is easy to show that we can equivalently rewrite the partition function as
\[
Z_{\text{SQED}}[S^2 \times T^2] = e^{-i\pi P_{\text{SQED}}} \sum_{\ell} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \| \mathcal{T}_{\text{SQED}}^{4d} \|_A^2 ,
\]
with the SQED integrand defined in (4.80) with the identifications
\[
s = q_{\ell}^2 z \quad x_a = q_{\ell}^{h_a} \zeta_a^{-1} \quad \bar{x}_b = q_{\ell}^{\bar{h}_b} \bar{\zeta}_b^{-1} \quad u_{4d} = (-1)^N \xi ,
\]
and
\[
e^{-i\pi P_{\text{SQED}}} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{a,b} (h_a - \bar{h}_b) .
\]
The integration contour is determined by the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue prescription, which in this case simply amounts in taking the contribution from the simple poles associated to the fundamental matter (mod $q_{\ell}^2$). Such factors have poles only for $B_{c} = \ell + h_c + 1 > 0$, which are then at
\[
z = z_* = \zeta_c^{-1} q_{\ell}^{\frac{B_c - 1 - 2k}{2}} = \zeta_c^{-1} q_{\ell}^{\frac{\ell + h_c - 2k}{2}} , \quad k = 0, \ldots, \ell + h_c , \quad c = 1, \ldots, N_f .
\]
Therefore
\[
Z_{\text{SQED}}[S^2 \times T^2] = e^{-i\pi P_{\text{SQED}}} \sum_{c} \sum_{\ell_2 - h_c} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell + h_c} \| \mathcal{T}_{\text{SQED}}^{4d} \|_A^2 ,
\]
and we can replace
\[
\sum_{\ell_2 - h_c} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell + h_c} \sum_{k_1,k_2 \geq 0}^{} , \quad k_1 = \ell + h_c - k , \quad k_2 = k .
\]
Substituting $s_* = q_{\ell}^{\ell/2} z_* = q_{\ell}^{k_1} x_c , \bar{s}_* = q_{\ell}^{-\ell/2} z_* = q_{\ell}^{-k_2} \bar{x}_c$ into (5.17), with the help of (A.58), (A.59), one can finally show that
\[
Z_{\text{SQED}}[S^2 \times T^2] = e^{-i\pi P_{\text{SQED}}} \sum_{c} \| \mathcal{B}_{c}^{4d} \|_A^2 ,
\]
with the very same $\mathcal{B}_{c}^{4d}$ defined in (4.84). This is result agrees perfectly with the expected result following our analysis.

The $SU(2)$ case is essentially the same, since the vector multiplet does not bring new poles to the integrand. We define
\[
\zeta_{a'} = (\zeta_a, \bar{\zeta}_b^{-1}) = \bar{\zeta}_{b'}^{-1} , \quad h_{a'} = (h_a, \bar{h}_b) = \bar{h}_{b'} ,
\]
and
and \( x_{a'} = (x_a, \bar{x}_b^{-1}) = \bar{x}_b^{-1} \) with the same parametrisation as in (5.14). The anomaly cancellation requires
\[
\prod_{a'} c_{a'} = 1 \quad , \quad \sum_{a'} h_{a'} + 2N_f - 4 = 0 .
\]
(5.21)

As expected also the SQCD can be expressed in terms of the blocks \( B^{4d}_{c'} \) given in (4.102)
\[
Z_{\text{SQCD}}[S^2 \times T^2] = e^{-i\pi P_{\text{SQCD}}} \sum_{c'} \left\| B^{4d}_{c'} \right\|^2_A .
\]
(5.22)

6 4d holomorphic blocks

In this section we would like to develop a formalism to compute the holomorphic blocks from first principles by extending to 4d the 3d formalism introduced in [8]. We tentatively define 4d blocks via block integrals as
\[
B^{4d}_{c} = \oint_{c} \frac{ds}{2\pi i s} \Upsilon^{4d},
\]
(6.1)
where \( \Upsilon^{4d} \) is the “square root” of the compact space integrand. As we have seen in sections 4.3 and 5, when there are no obstructions from anomalies it is always possible to factorise the compact space integrand. Alternatively one can assemble directly \( \Upsilon^{4d} \).

For each chiral multiplet we insert a factor \( B^{4d}_{D} \) or \( B^{4d}_{N} \) and adding an appropriate ratio of Theta functions associated to \( P_{\text{loc}}^{3d} \) to cancel the induced mixed CS units. We then add \( B^{4d}_{\text{vec}} \) for each vector multiplet and in presence of \( U(1) \) gauge factors we multiply by the FI contributions given in (4.39).

Before discussing the integration contour it is important to make the following observation. In section 4.3 we observed that as a result of invariance under large gauge transformations, block integrals are semiclassically doubly periodic on the torus \( T^2_\sigma \).

As we anticipated, at the quantum level there is a mild modification, that is under the shift \( s \rightarrow sq_\sigma \) the blocks are multiplied by \( q_\tau \)-phases with unit \( r,A \)-square, representing the intrinsic ambiguity in their definition.

For example consider the SQCD block integrand
\[
\Upsilon^{4d}_{\text{SQCD}}(s) = s\Theta(s^2; q_\sigma) \prod_{a',b'} \frac{\Gamma(s\bar{x}_b^{-1}; q_\tau, q_\sigma)}{\Gamma(q_\tau sx_a^{-1}; q_\tau, q_\sigma)} .
\]
(6.2)

It is easy to check that the effect of the shift \( s \rightarrow sq_\sigma \) is simply to multiply the integrand by the \( q_\tau \)-phase
\[
\frac{\Upsilon^{4d}_{\text{SQCD}}(sq_\sigma)}{\Upsilon^{4d}_{\text{SQCD}}(s)} = s^{-1} \prod_{a',b'} \frac{\Theta(s\bar{x}_b^{-1}; q_\tau)}{\Theta(q_\tau sx_a^{-1}; q_\tau)} , \quad \left\| \frac{\Upsilon^{4d}_{\text{SQCD}}(sq_\sigma)}{\Upsilon^{4d}_{\text{SQCD}}(s)} \right\|^2_{r,A} = 1 .
\]
(6.3)
To see this we observe that thanks to the anomaly cancellation condition \( \sum_{a'} (Q - 2X_{a'}) = 4Q \) we have
\[
\prod_{a',b'} \left\| \frac{\Theta(s_{a'}^{-1}; q_r)}{\Theta(q_r s_{a'}^{-1}; q_r)} \right\|_{a'}^{2} = \prod_{a'} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{4} (Q - 2X_{a'})} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{4} 4ZQ} = \left\| s^4 \right\|_{r}^{2},
\]
and similarly
\[
\prod_{a',b'} \left\| \frac{\Theta(s_{a'}^{-1}; q_r)}{\Theta(q_r s_{a'}^{-1}; q_r)} \right\|_{a'}^{2} = \prod_{a'} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{4} 2h_{a'} \cdot C_{2F}} = \left\| s^4 \right\|_{A}^{2},
\]
for \( \prod_{a'} \zeta_{a'} = 1, \sum_{a'} h_{a'} + 2N_f - 4 = 0. \) As \( q_r \)-phases have trivial semiclassical limit, the doubly periodicity is indeed restored in the semiclassical limit.

This observation will guide us in the definition of the integration contour. For example the SQCD block integrand (6.2) has poles at \( s = x_c q_r^k q_{\sigma}^{n+1} \) and \( s = \bar{x}_c q_r^k q_{\sigma}^{n}, \) \( k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \) However our discussion indicates that we should restrict to a \( q_{\sigma} \) period. Indeed a shift by \( q_{\sigma}^n \) (where \( n \) may be negative) would only multiply the integrand and the integrated result by a \( q_{\sigma} \)-phase. We then suggest that the proper integration contour \( \Gamma_c \) will encircle the poles located at \( s = x_c q_r^k \) coming from the fundamental chirals. Indeed it is easy to check that
\[
\oint_{s=x_c q_r^k} \frac{ds}{2\pi i s} \mathcal{Y}_{\text{SQCD}}^{4d} = \frac{x_c \Theta(x_c^2; q_{\sigma}) \prod_{a',b'} \Gamma(x_{a'} x_{b'}, q_{\sigma})}{\Theta(x_c^2; q_{\sigma}) \prod_{a',b'} \Theta(q_r s_{a'}^{-1}; q_{\sigma}) \cdot \prod_{a',b'} \Theta(q_r s_{a'}^{-1}; q_{\sigma}) \cdot q_r^k},
\]
and integrating over \( \Gamma_c \) we recover the SQCD blocks defined in (4.102)
\[
\oint_{\Gamma_c} \frac{ds}{2\pi i s} \mathcal{Y}_{\text{SQCD}}^{4d} = \mathcal{B}_{\text{c}}^{4d}.
\]

In general determining convergent contours could be quite delicate. For example the analogy with the 3d case suggests that by moving in the moduli we could encounter Stokes walls where contours jump [8]. We leave the general discussion of integration contours to future analysis. However, we can check that our prescription works also in the SQED case where blocks can be obtained by integrating the SQED integrand (4.79)
\[
\mathcal{Y}_{\text{SQED}}^{4d}(s) = \frac{\Theta(s^{-1} u_{a'}; q_r)}{\Theta(u_{a'}; q_r) \Theta(s^{-1}; q_r)} \prod_{a,b} \frac{\Gamma(s_{a'}^{-1}; q_{\sigma}, q_r)}{\Gamma(q_r s_{a'}^{-1}; q_{\sigma}, q_r)},
\]
along the contour \( \Gamma_c \) encircing the poles located at \( z = x_c q_r^k \)
\[
\oint_{\Gamma_c} \frac{ds}{2\pi i s} \mathcal{Y}_{\text{SQED}}^{4d} = \mathcal{B}_{\text{c}}^{4d},
\]
Indeed the second factor is a $q_r$-period to restrict to a $q_{\sigma}$ period. However, in this case the FI term explicitly breaks the periodicity already at the semiclassical level. Nevertheless we find that also in this case a $q_{\sigma}$-shift has a trivial effect:

$$\frac{\Upsilon_{\text{SQED}}(q\sigma s)}{\Upsilon_{\text{SQED}}(s)} = \frac{\Theta(q^{-1}s^{-1}u_{4d}; q_r)\Theta(s^{-1}; q_r)}{\Theta(s^{-1}u_{4d}; q_r)\Theta(q^{-1}s^{-1}; q_r)} \prod_{a,b} \frac{\Theta(s\bar{x}_b^{-1}; q_r)}{\Theta(q_r s x_a^{-1}; q_r)}. \quad (6.10)$$

Indeed the second factor is a $q_r$-phase

$$\prod_{a,b} \left\| \frac{\Theta(s\bar{x}_b^{-1}; q_r)}{\Theta(q_r s x_a^{-1}; q_r)} \right\|_{r,A}^2 = 1, \quad (6.11)$$

once we impose all the anomaly cancellations. The first factor also has unit square

$$\left\| \frac{\Theta(q^{-n}s^{-1}u_{4d}; q_r)\Theta(s^{-1}; q_r)}{\Theta(s^{-1}u_{4d}; q_r)\Theta(q^{-n}s^{-1}; q_r)} \right\|_{r} = e^{-2\pi \xi^{4d}/r} = 1, \quad \left\| \frac{\Theta(q^{-n}s^{-1}u_{4d}; q_r)\Theta(s^{-1}; q_r)}{\Theta(s^{-1}u_{4d}; q_r)\Theta(q^{-n}s^{-1}; q_r)} \right\|_{A} = 1, \quad (6.12)$$

since $\xi^{4d}/r$ is integer on the lens index.

Summarising we have argued that for $L_r \times S^1$ (which includes $S^3 \times S^1$) and $S^2 \times T^2$ we have the following remarkable Riemann bilinear-like relations

$$\sum_{\ell} \oint_{\Gamma_{\ell}} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \prod_{k} |{\mathcal{W}}_k| \left\| \Upsilon^{4d} \right\|^2_r = \sum_{\ell} \oint_{\Gamma_{\ell}} \frac{dz}{2\pi i s} \left\| \Upsilon^{4d} \right\|^2_r, \quad (6.13)$$

$$\sum_{\ell} \oint_{\Gamma_{\ell}} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz |{\mathcal{W}}|} \left\| \Upsilon^{4d} \right\|^2_A = \sum_{\ell} \oint_{\Gamma_{\ell}} \frac{dz}{2\pi i s} \left\| \Upsilon^{4d} \right\|^2_A. \quad (6.14)$$

This identities seem to be quite ubiquitous for these backgrounds and it would be important to have a deeper understanding of their geometrical meaning. Riemann-bilinear like identities appear also in the analytic continuation of Chern-Simons theory [51] and in the the study of $tt^*$ geometries [21].

While 3d holomorphic blocks have been relatively well studied, here we have only initiated the study of 4d blocks and there are various directions to explore. For example it would be interesting to study the behaviour of 4d blocks under 4d dualities. It should be also fairly simple to re-derive our 4d block integrand prescription via localisation on $D^2 \times T^2$, however the general definition of integration contours seems quite challenging. Another aspect to investigate is the relation of 4d blocks to integrable systems and to CFT correlators. 3d block integrals have been identified with $q$-deformed Virasoro free-field correlators in [52], [53]. The possibility to interpret 4d block integrals as free-field correlators in an elliptic deformation of the Virasoro algebra will be investigated in [54].
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A Special functions

A.1 Bernoulli polynomials

The quadratic Bernoulli polynomial $B_{22}$ is

$$B_{22}(X | ω_1, ω_2) = \frac{1}{ω_1ω_2} \left( \left( X - \frac{Q}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{ω_1^2 + ω_2^2}{12} \right), \quad Q = ω_1 + ω_2 . \quad (A.1)$$

Useful properties are

$$B_{22}(λX | λω_1, λω_2) = B_{22}(X | ω_1, ω_2), \quad λ ≠ 0 , \quad (A.2)$$

$$B_{22}(X + ω_2 | ω_1, ω_2) = B_{22}(X | ω_1, ω_2) + \frac{2X - ω_1}{ω_1} , \quad (A.3)$$

$$B_{22}(X | ω_1, ω_2) = B_{22}(Q - X | ω_1, ω_2) . \quad (A.4)$$

We define the combination

$$Φ_2(X) = B_{22}(X | Q, rω_1) + B_{22}(X + rω_2 | Q, rω_2) =$$

$$= B_{22}(X + rω_1 | Q, rω_1) + B_{22}(X | Q, rω_2) = Φ_2(Q - X) . \quad (A.5)$$

We also have

$$Φ_2(X) = \frac{1}{r} B_{22}(X | ω_1, ω_2) + \frac{r^2 - 1}{6r} . \quad (A.6)$$

The cubic Bernoulli polynomial $B_{33}$ is

$$B_{33}(X | ω_1, ω_2, ω_3) = \frac{1}{ω_1ω_2ω_3} \left( X - \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{ω_3}{2} \right) \left( \left( X - \frac{Q}{2} \right)^2 - ω_3 \left( X - \frac{Q}{2} \right) - \frac{ω_1^2 + ω_2^2}{4} \right) . \quad (A.7)$$

Useful properties are

$$B_{33}(λX | λω_1, λω_2, λω_3) = B_{33}(X | ω_1, ω_2, ω_3), \quad λ ≠ 0 , \quad (A.8)$$

$$B_{33}(X + ω_3 | ω_1, ω_2, ω_3) = B_{33}(X | ω_1, ω_2, ω_3) + 3B_{22}(X | ω_1, ω_2) , \quad (A.9)$$

$$B_{33}(X | ω_1, ω_2, -ω_3) = -B_{33}(X + ω_3 | ω_1, ω_2, ω_3) . \quad (A.10)$$
We define the combination
\[ \Phi_3(X) = B_{33}(X|Q, r\omega_1, \omega_3) + B_{33}(X + r\omega_2|Q, r\omega_2, \omega_3) . \]  
(A.11)

We also have
\[ \Phi_3(X) = \frac{1}{r} B_{33}(X|\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) + \frac{r^2 - 1}{4r\omega_3} (2X - Q) - \frac{r^2 - 1}{4r} , \]  
(A.12)
\[ \Phi_3(X + \omega_3) = 3\Phi_2(X) + \Phi_3(X) = -\Phi_3(Q - X) . \]  
(A.13)

### A.2 Double Gamma and Sine functions

The Barnes double Gamma function \( \Gamma_2 \) is defined as the \( \zeta \)-regularized product
\[ \Gamma_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2) = \prod_{n_1,n_2\geq 0} \frac{1}{X + n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2} . \]  
(A.14)

It satisfies the functional relation
\[ \frac{\Gamma_2(X + \omega_2|\omega_1, \omega_2)}{\Gamma_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(X|\omega_1)} , \]  
(A.15)
where \( \Gamma_1 \) is simply related to the Euler \( \Gamma \) function,
\[ \Gamma_1(X|\omega_1) = \frac{\omega_1^{X/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{X}{\omega_1}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} . \]

The double Sine function \( S_2 \) is defined as the \( \zeta \)-regularized product
\[ S_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2) = \prod_{n_1,n_2\geq 0} \frac{n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 + X}{n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 + Q - X} , \]  
(A.16)
where \( Q = \omega_1 + \omega_2 \). The regularised expression is given by
\[ S_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{\Gamma_2(Q - X|\omega_1, \omega_2)}{\Gamma_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2)} . \]  
(A.17)

For irrational \( \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} \), the \( S_2 \) has simple poles and zeros at
\[ \text{zeros} : X = -n_1\omega_1 - n_2\omega_2 \]
\[ \text{poles} : X = Q + n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 , \quad n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} . \]  
(A.18)

It enjoys the properties
\[ S_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2) S_2(Q - X|\omega_1, \omega_2) = 1 , \]  
(A.19)
\[ \frac{S_2(X + \omega_2|\omega_1, \omega_2)}{S_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2)} = \frac{1}{S_1(X|\omega_1)} , \]  
(A.20)
\[ S_2(\lambda X|\lambda\omega_1, \lambda\omega_2) = S_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2) , \quad \lambda \neq 0 , \]  
(A.21)
where the $S_1$ function is simply related to the sine function, $S_1(X|\omega_1) = 2\sin\left(\frac{\pi X}{\omega_1}\right)$.

For $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, formulas (A.15), (A.20) are generalized to

\[
\frac{\Gamma_2(X + n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2|\omega_1, \omega_2)}{\Gamma_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2)} = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{k=0}^{n_2-1} (X + j\omega_1 + k\omega_2)^{-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{n_1-1} \Gamma_1(X + j\omega_1|\omega_2) \prod_{k=0}^{n_2-1} \Gamma_1(X + k\omega_2|\omega_1)}, \tag{A.22}
\]

\[
\frac{\Gamma_2(X - n_1\omega_1 - n_2\omega_2|\omega_1, \omega_2)}{\Gamma_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2)} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \Gamma_1(X - j\omega_1|\omega_2) \prod_{k=1}^{n_2} \Gamma_1(X - k\omega_2|\omega_1)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \prod_{k=1}^{n_2} (X - j\omega_1 - k\omega_2)}, \tag{A.23}
\]

and

\[
\frac{S_2(n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 + X|\omega_1, \omega_2)}{S_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2)} = \frac{(-1)^{n_1 n_2}}{\prod_{j=0}^{n_1-1} \prod_{k=0}^{n_2-1} S_1(j\omega_1 + X|\omega_2) S_1(k\omega_2 + X|\omega_1)}, \tag{A.24}
\]

\[
\frac{S_2(n_1\omega_1 - n_2\omega_2 + X|\omega_1, \omega_2)}{S_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2)} = (-1)^{n_1 n_2} \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n_2-1} S_1(k\omega_2 + Q - X|\omega_1)}{\prod_{j=0}^{n_1-1} S_1(j\omega_1 + X|\omega_2)}, \tag{A.25}
\]

For $\text{Im}\left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}\right) \neq 0$, using the $q$-Pochhammer defined in eq. (2.17) we can express the double sine function in a factorised form:

\[
S_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} B_{22}(X|\omega_1, \omega_2)} (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1}}; e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}})_\infty (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}}; e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1}})_\infty . \tag{A.26}
\]

In order to compute contour integrals, we will also be interested in the asymptotic behaviour of $S_2$ for $X \to \infty$

\[
S_2(X|\omega_1, \omega_2) \sim \begin{cases} 
  e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} B_{22}(X)} & \text{if } \arg(\omega_1) < \arg(X) < \arg(\omega_2) + \pi \\
  e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2} B_{22}(X)} & \text{if } \arg(\omega_1) - \pi < \arg(X) < \arg(\omega_2) 
\end{cases}. \tag{A.27}
\]

Another useful function is the shifted double Sine function $s_b$

\[
s_b(X) = S_2\left(\frac{Q}{2} - iX|\omega_1, \omega_2\right), \tag{A.28}
\]

in which case it is usually assumed $\omega_2 = \omega_1^{-1} = b$.

### A.3 Generalised double Sine function

The following $\zeta$-regularised product

\[
S_{2,h}(X) = \prod_{n_{1, n_2 \geq 0} \quad n_2 - n_1 \equiv h \mod r} \frac{n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 + X}{n_2\omega_1 + n_1\omega_2 + Q - X}, \tag{A.29}
\]
defines a generalisation of the $S_2$ function (which is recovered for $r = 1$). The parameters $\omega_1$, $\omega_2$ and $r$ are not displayed amongst the arguments for compactness. For irrational $\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}$, it has simple zeros and poles at

\begin{align*}
\text{zeros : } X &= -n_1 \omega_1 - n_2 \omega_2 , \\
\text{poles : } X &= Q + n_1 \omega_2 + n_2 \omega_1 , \quad n_1 - n_2 = h \mod r , \quad n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} . \tag{A.30}
\end{align*}

We can rewrite $S_{2,h}$ in terms of the ordinary $S_2$ as follows. First of all, we can resolve the constraint $n_2 - n_1 = h \mod r$ as

\begin{equation}
n_2 = n_1 + [h] + k r \geq 0 , \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} , \tag{A.31}
\end{equation}

where $[h]$ denotes the smallest non negative number mod $r$. Then we can write (A.29) as

\begin{equation}
S_{2,h}(X) = \prod_{n_1 \geq 0} \prod_{k \geq -\lfloor \frac{n_1 + |h|}{r} \rfloor} \frac{n_1 \omega_1 + (n_1 + [h] + k r) \omega_2 + X}{(n_1 + [h]) \omega_1 + n_1 \omega_2 + Q - X} = \\
= \frac{\prod_{s \geq 0} \prod_{k \geq -\lfloor \frac{s}{r} \rfloor} (s - [h] \omega_1 + (s + k r) \omega_2 + X)}{\prod_{s = 0}^{[h] - 1} \prod_{k \geq -\lfloor \frac{s}{r} \rfloor} (s - [h] \omega_1 + (s + k r) \omega_2 + Q - X)} , \tag{A.32}
\end{equation}

where we set $s = n_1 + [h]$. Moreover, for a generic sequence of functions $f_{s,k}$ we have

\begin{equation}
\frac{\prod_{s \geq 0} \prod_{k \geq -\lfloor \frac{s}{r} \rfloor} f_{s,k}}{\prod_{s = 0}^{[h] - 1} \prod_{k \geq -\lfloor \frac{s}{r} \rfloor} f_{s,k}} = \frac{\prod_{s,k \geq 0} f_{s,k+1} f_{s+k,r,-k}}{\prod_{s = 0}^{[h] - 1} \prod_{k \geq 0} f_{s,k}} , \tag{A.33}
\end{equation}

where in the last step we used that in the denominator $s \in [0, r - 1] < r$ so that $\lfloor s/r \rfloor = 0$. Substituting the actual expression (A.32) for $f_{s,k}$, we finally get

\begin{equation}
S_{2,h}(X) = S_2(\omega_1 (r - [h]) + X | Q, r \omega_1) S_2(\omega_2 [h] + X | Q, r \omega_2) , \tag{A.34}
\end{equation}

where we used the definition (A.17) of $S_2$ and repeatedly used the relation (A.15). It is easy to check the following reflection property

\begin{equation}
S_{2,h}(X) S_{2,-h}(Q - X) = 1 . \tag{A.35}
\end{equation}

From (A.34) we see that zeros and poles are located at

\begin{align*}
\text{zeros : } X &= -\omega_1 (p - [h]) - k Q - nr \omega_1 , \quad X = -\omega_2 [h] - Q k - np \omega_2 , \\
\text{poles : } X &= Q + \omega_1 [h] + k Q + nr \omega_1 , \quad X = Q + \omega_2 (r - [h]) + k Q + nr \omega_2 , \tag{A.36}
\end{align*}

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item[$^{16}$] Another class of generalised multiple Sine functions has been extensively studied in [55].
\item[$^{17}$] For positive $h$ we have $h = [h] + r [h/r]$, while for negative $h$ we have $h = [h] + r ([h/r] - 1)$. Also, for non-zero $h$ we have $[-h] = r - [h]$. In any case, we have $h = [h] + rn_h$, $[h] \geq 0$ for a suitable $n_h \in \mathbb{Z}$.\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
for \( k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), which are all simple and distinct as long as \( \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} \) is irrational. Using (A.26) we can obtain the factorised form

\[
S_{2,h}(X) = e^{-\frac{i\pi}{h}[r-\omega_1]} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} \Phi_2(X)} (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1} (X-\omega_1)}; e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{\omega_2}})_{\infty} (e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{\omega_2}})_{\infty} .
\] (A.37)

This leads us to define the \( r \)-pairing

\[
\| f(\omega_1, \omega_2, [h]) \|_r^2 = \| f(\omega_1, \omega_2, [h]) \|_{\omega_1 + \omega_2}^2 = f(\omega_1, \omega_2, [h]) f(\omega_2, \omega_1, r - [h]) ,
\] (A.38)

exchanging \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \) and reflecting the holonomy variable, so that \( S_{2,h} \) can be compactly represented as

\[
S_{2,h}(X) = e^{-\frac{i\pi}{h}[r-\omega_1]} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} \Phi_2(X)} (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1} (X-\omega_1)}; e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{\omega_2}})_{\infty}^2 .
\] (A.39)

Notice we may remove the \([.]\) inside the \( q \)-Pochhammer symbols because of the periodicity. Moreover, the asymptotic behaviour of \( S_{2,h} \) for \( X \to \infty \) can be deduced from (A.27)

\[
S_{2,h}(X) \sim \begin{cases} 
    e^{-\frac{i\pi}{h}[r-\omega_1]} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} \Phi_2(X)} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1} (X-\omega_1)}; e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{\omega_2}} & \text{if } \arg(\omega_1) < \arg(X) < \arg(\omega_2) + \pi \\
    e^{\frac{i\pi}{h}[r-\omega_1]} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2} \Phi_2(X)} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1} (X-\omega_1)}; e^{2\pi i \frac{Q}{\omega_2}} & \text{if } \arg(\omega_1) - \pi < \arg(X) < \arg(\omega_2) 
\end{cases} .
\] (A.40)

In the main text we need also to introduce an improved \( S_{2,h} \), defined by

\[
\hat{S}_{2,h}(X) = \sigma(h) S_{2,h}(X) , \quad \sigma(h) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{h}([r-\omega_1] - (r-1)h^2)} ,
\] (A.41)

where \( \sigma(h) \) is a sign factor, namely \( \sigma(h) = \pm 1 \) depending on the value of \( h \). Also, it is convenient to introduce the improved \( s_h \) function

\[
\hat{s}_{h,-h}(X) = \hat{S}_{2,h}(Q/2 - iX[\omega_1, \omega_2)] ,
\] (A.42)

satisfying the reflection property

\[
\hat{s}_{h,+h}(X) \hat{s}_{h,-h}(-X) = 1 .
\] (A.43)

In the particular case \( r = 1 \) (and hence \( h = 0 \)), we obtain an interesting identity for the ordinary \( S_2 \). In fact, for \( r = 1 \) the product in (A.29) is not actually restricted, and we obtain the relation

\[
S_{2,0}(X)|_{r=1} = S_2(X[\omega_1, \omega_2]) = S_2(\omega_1 + X|Q, \omega_1) S_2(X|Q, \omega_2) ,
\] (A.44)

or, in terms of the modular parameter \( \tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \)

\[
S_2(\chi|1, \tau) = S_2(1 + \chi|1, 1 + \tau) S_2 \left( \frac{\chi}{1 + \tau} |1, \frac{\tau}{1 + \tau} \right) ,
\] (A.45)

where we rescaled \( \chi = X/\omega_1 \). This identity appears in eq. (3.38) of [56], where

\[
e^{-\frac{i\pi}{h} B_{22}(z|1, \tau)} S_2(z|1, \tau) = \Phi \left( z - \frac{1 + \tau}{2}; \tau \right)
\] (A.46)
in their notation.
A.4 Elliptic functions

The short Jacobi Theta function is defined by

$$\Theta(x; q) = (x; q)_\infty (qx^{-1}; q)_\infty.$$  \hfill (A.47)

Useful properties are

$$\frac{\Theta(q^mx; q)}{\Theta(x; q)} = (-xq^{(m-1)/2})^{-m}, \quad \frac{\Theta(q^{-m}x; q)}{\Theta(x; q)} = (-x^{-1}q^{(m+1)/2})^{-m},$$  \hfill (A.48)

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We will be using the generalised modular transformation property of the theta function

$$\Theta(e^{2\pi i x}; e^{2\pi i q}; e^{2\pi i r h}) = e^{-\pi i \Phi_2(x)} e^{\pi i h(r-h)},$$  \hfill (A.49)

For $r = 1$ this formula reduce to the standard modular transformation of the theta function (see for example [57]).

The elliptic Gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma(x; p, q) = \frac{(pqx^{-1}; p, q)_\infty}{(x; p, q)_\infty},$$  \hfill (A.50)

where the double $q$-Pochhammer symbol is defined by

$$(x; p, q)_\infty = \prod_{j,k=0}^{\infty} (1 - xp^j q^k).$$  \hfill (A.51)

It is assumed $|p|, |q| < 1$ for convergence, and it can be extended to $|q| > 1$ by means of

$$(x; p, q)_\infty \rightarrow \frac{1}{(q^{-1}x; p, q^{-1})_\infty}.$$  \hfill (A.52)

The elliptic Gamma function $\Gamma(x; p, q)$ has zeros and poles outside and inside the unit circle at

zeros : $x = pq^{m+1}q^{n+1}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

poles : $x = pq^{-m}q^{-n}$.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, useful properties of the elliptic Gamma function are

$$\Gamma(x; p, q) \Gamma(pqx^{-1}; p, q) = 1,$$  \hfill (A.54)

$$\Gamma(p^m q^n x) \Gamma(x) = (-xp^{(m-1)/2}q^{(n-1)/2})^{-mn} \Theta(x; p, q)_n \Theta(x; q, p)_m,$$  \hfill (A.55)

$$\Gamma(p^m q^{-n} x) \Gamma(x) = (-xp^{(m-1)/2}q^{-(n+1)/2})^{mn} \frac{\Theta(x; q, p)_m}{\Theta(pqx^{-1}; p, q)_n},$$  \hfill (A.56)

$$\text{Res}_{x=t, p^mq^n} \frac{\Gamma(t; x^{-1})}{x} = \text{Res}_{x=1} \Gamma(x) \frac{(pq q^{(n-1)/2})^{mn}}{\Theta(pq; p, q)_n \Theta(pq; q, p)_m}.$$  \hfill (A.57)
where we introduced the Θ-factorial

$$\Theta(x; p, q)_n = \frac{\Gamma(q^n x; p, q)}{\Gamma(x; p, q)} = \begin{cases} 
\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \Theta(xq^k; p) & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\
\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \Theta(q^{-1}xq^{-k}; p)^{-1} & \text{if } n < 0 
\end{cases} \; . \quad (A.58)$$

A useful property which can be derived from the definition is

$$\Theta(x; p, q)_n = \Theta(q^{-n}x; p, q)_n^{-1} = \Theta(q^{-1}x; p, q^{-1})_n^{-1} \; . \quad (A.59)$$

The elliptic Gamma function has a very non-trivial behaviour under modular transformations [39, 57]

$$\Gamma(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1}}; e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_3}}) = \frac{\Gamma(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1}X}; e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}X}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_3}X})}{\Gamma(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1}X}; e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_3}})} = e^{\frac{i\pi}{12}\mathcal{B}_{\omega_1}(X|\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)} \; . \quad (A.60)$$

Expression (A.60) is valid for $\text{Im} \left( \frac{\omega_i}{\omega_j} \right) \neq 0$. In particular, by assuming $\text{Im} \left( \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_3}, \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_3} \right) > 0$ we get

$$\Gamma(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1}X}; e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_3}}) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{12}\mathcal{B}_{\omega_3}(X; \omega_1, \omega_2, -\omega_3)} \Gamma(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1}X}; e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}X}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_3}X}) \Gamma(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_2}X}; e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_1}X}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\omega_3}X}) \; . \quad (A.61)$$

**Basic hypergeometric identities**

The $q$-hypergeometric function

$$\,_2\Phi_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} a \ b \\ c \ q \end{array} ; u \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (a; q)_k (b; q)_k (c; q)_k u^k \; , \quad (A.62)$$

for $|q| < 1$ satisfies the following identities

$$\,\,\,
\begin{align*}
\,_2\Phi_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} a \ b \\ c \ q \end{array} ; u \right) &= \frac{(b; q)_\infty (au; q)_\infty}{(c; q)_\infty (u; q)_\infty} \,\,\, 2\Phi_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} cb^{-1} \ u \\ au \ q \end{array} ; b \right) , \\
\,_2\Phi_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} a \ b \\ c \ q \end{array} ; u \right) &= \frac{(b; q)_\infty (ca^{-1}; q)_\infty (au; q)_\infty (qa^{-1}u^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(c; q)_\infty (ba^{-1}; q)_\infty (u; q)_\infty (qu^{-1}; q)_\infty} \,\,\, 2\Phi_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} a \ qac^{-1} \ q \ \ ; \ q \ \ ; \ \ qab^{-1} \ q \ \ ; \ \ abu \end{array} \right) + \\
&+ \frac{(a; q)_\infty (cb^{-1}; q)_\infty (bu; q)_\infty (qb^{-1}u^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(c; q)_\infty (ab^{-1}; q)_\infty (u; q)_\infty (qu^{-1}; q)_\infty} \,\,\, 2\Phi_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} b \ qbc^{-1} \ q \ \ ; \ \ q \ \ ; \ \ qba^{-1} \ q \ \ ; \ \ abu \end{array} \right) .
\end{align*}
\quad (A.63)$$

(A.64)
Now consider $2\Phi_1\left(\frac{a b}{c \tilde{q}}; u\right)$ with $|\tilde{q}| > 1$. In this case we have

$$2\Phi_1\left(\frac{a b}{c \tilde{q}}; u\right) = \frac{(\tilde{q}c^{-1}; \tilde{q})_\infty (\tilde{q}ab^{-1}; \tilde{q})_\infty (abc^{-1}u; \tilde{q})_\infty (\tilde{q}ca^{-1}b^{-1}u^{-1}; \tilde{q})_\infty 2\Phi_1\left(\frac{a \tilde{q}ac^{-1}}{\tilde{q}}, \frac{\tilde{q}c}{abu}\right)}{(\tilde{q}a^{-1}; \tilde{q})_\infty (\tilde{q}ab^{-1}; \tilde{q})_\infty (bc^{-1}u; \tilde{q})_\infty (\tilde{q}c^{-1}b^{-1}u^{-1}; \tilde{q})_\infty 2\Phi_1\left(\frac{b \tilde{q}bc^{-1}}{\tilde{q}}, \frac{\tilde{q}c}{abu}\right)}.$$ 

Also, for $|q| > 1$ we have the following identity

$$2\Phi_1\left(\frac{a b}{c q}; u\right) = \frac{(abc^{-1}u; q)_\infty (qca^{-1}b^{-1}u^{-1}; q)_\infty 2\Phi_1\left(\frac{cb^{-1}}{q}, \frac{qca^{-1}b^{-1}u^{-1}}{q}; \frac{qa}{c}\right)}{(qb^{-1}; q)_\infty (bc^{-1}u; q)_\infty (ac^{-1}u; q)_\infty (abc^{-1}u^{-1}; q)_\infty 2\Phi_1\left(\frac{b qbc^{-1}}{q}, \frac{qcb^{-1}}{q}; \frac{qa}{c}\right)}.$$ 

(A.65)

(A.66)

### A.5 Elliptic series

Let us consider the elliptic hypergeometric series [58]

$$N E_{N-1}\left(\frac{\pi}{\tilde{q}}; q, q\sigma; u\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \prod_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta(x_i; q\sigma, q\tau)_n}{\Theta(y_j; q\sigma, q\tau)_n} u^n, \quad y_N = q\tau. \quad (A.67)$$

This series is usually considered to be balanced, namely

$$\prod_{i,j} x_i y_j^{-1} = 1. \quad (A.68)$$

We now introduce the parametrisation

$$q\tau = e^{2\pi i \tau}, \quad q\sigma = e^{2\pi i \sigma}, \quad x_i = e^{2\pi i X_i}, \quad y_j = e^{2\pi i Y_j}, \quad (A.69)$$

and study the modular properties of the series under

$$\sigma \to -\frac{1}{\sigma}, \quad \tau \to -\frac{\tau}{\sigma}, \quad X_i \to -\frac{X_i}{\sigma}, \quad Y_j \to -\frac{Y_j}{\sigma}. \quad (A.70)$$

Using the modular transformation property

$$\Theta(e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{\sigma} X}; e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{\sigma}}) = e^{i\pi B_{2\sigma}(X|1,\sigma)} \Theta(e^{2\pi i X}; e^{2\pi i \sigma}),$$

we get

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta(e^{-2\pi i X_i}/\sigma; e^{-2\pi i \sigma}/\sigma, e^{-2\pi i \tau})_n}{\Theta(e^{-2\pi i X_i}/\sigma; e^{-2\pi i \sigma}/\sigma, e^{-2\pi i \tau})_n} = \prod_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta(e^{2\pi i X_i}; e^{2\pi i \sigma}, e^{2\pi i \tau})_n}{\Theta(e^{2\pi i Y_j}; e^{2\pi i \sigma}, e^{2\pi i \tau})_n} \times$$

$$\times \prod_{i,j=1}^{N} e^{i\pi (X^2_i - Y^2_j)} (X_i - Y_j) \left((X^2_i - Y^2_j) + (r(n-1) - \sigma - 1)(X_i - Y_j)\right). \quad (A.72)$$
Once the balancing condition (A.68) \( \sum_{i,j} (X_i - Y_j) = 0 \) is imposed, the series can be made modular invariant either by imposing
\[
\sum_{i,j} (X_i^2 - Y_j^2) = 0 ,
\] (A.73)
or by a suitable transformation of the expansion parameter \( u \).

Next, let us consider the very-well-poised elliptic hypergeometric series [58]
\[
N+1 E_{N}(t_0; \tilde{t}, q, q_\sigma; u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Theta(t_0 t_0^n; q, q_\sigma)}{\prod_{i=0}^{N-4} \Theta(q_r t_0 t_0^{i-1}; q, q_\sigma)} (q_r u)^n ,
\] (A.74)
subjected to the balancing condition
\[
\prod_{i=0}^{N-4} t_i = q^{\frac{N-7}{2}} .
\] (A.75)
In this case, proceeding as above, it is easy to see that the series is automatically modular invariant.

B Computations

B.1 Fundamental Abelian relation

The free chiral theory with \(-1/2\) Chern-Simons units has a mirror given by the \( U(1) \) theory with 1 chiral and 1/2 Chern-Simons units (also for the holonomies).

At the level of lens space partition functions the duality reads (up to a trivial proportionality constant)
\[
\sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dZ}{2\pi i} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{\tau} (Z^2 + 2Z(\xi - iQ/2))} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{\tau} (\ell^2 + 2\ell\theta)} Z_{\Delta}(Z, \ell) = Z_{\Delta}(\xi, \theta) ,
\] (B.1)
where we have also turned on the FI and \( \theta \) terms. To prove this identity we evaluate the l.h.s. integral by closing the contour in the lower half plane (assuming \( \xi > 0 \)) and taking the sum of the residues at the poles of \( Z_{\Delta} \). By using (A.36) we can see that there are two sets of poles located at
\[
Z = Z_{(1)} = -i\omega_1 \ell - ijQ - ikr\omega_1 ,
\]
\[
Z = Z_{(2)} = -i\omega_2 (r - \ell) - ijQ - ikr\omega_2 ,
\] (B.2)
The integral is then given by
\[
\sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \oint \frac{dZ}{2\pi i} e^{-i\pi(Z^2+2\xi Z-iQZ)} e^{-(r-1)i\pi(\ell^2+2\ell \theta)} Z\Delta(Z, \ell) = I_1 + I_2, \tag{B.3}
\]
with
\[
I_1 = \left\| \left( q; q \right) \right\|^2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j,k \geq 0} q^{j(j-1)/2} q^{jkr} (q; q)_\ell^{kr} \frac{(-q e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} 2} e^{-2\pi i \theta})^j}{(-\bar{q} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} 2} e^{-2\pi i \theta})^\ell} \right. \right. 
\]
\[
\left. \left. \text{(B.4)}\right. \right. 
\]
The sum of residues at the second set of poles is simply obtained by \( \omega_1 \leftrightarrow \omega_2 \) and \( \ell \leftrightarrow r-\ell, \theta \leftrightarrow r-\theta \). Combining the two sums we see that the original integral (B.3) has the schematic form
\[
I_1 + I_2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j,k \geq 0} f_{j,j+\ell+kr} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j,k \geq 0} f_{r-\ell+kr+j,j}. \tag{B.5}
\]
Since \( \ell+kr \) runs from 0 to \( \infty \) while \( r-\ell+kr \) runs from 1 to \( \infty \), we can replace \( r-\ell \rightarrow \ell+1 \), set \( j'' = j + \ell + kr \), and write
\[
I_1 + I_2 = \sum_{j,j'' \geq 2} f_{j,j''} + \sum_{j,j'' \geq 2} f_{j,j''+1} = \sum_{j,j'' \geq 2} f_{j,j''} + \sum_{j,j'' \geq 3} f_{j,j''} + \sum_{j,j'' \geq 2} f_{j,j''} = \sum_{j,j'' \geq 2} f_{j,j''}, \tag{B.6}
\]
so that we find as expected
\[
I_1 + I_2 \propto \left\| \left( q e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} 2} e^{-2\pi i \theta}; q \right) \right\|^2 = Z\Delta(\xi, \theta). \tag{B.7}
\]

B.2 SQED lens space partition function

Here we compute the residues at the poles given in \text{eq.} (2.30) of the partition function
\[
Z_{\text{SQED}} = e^{-i\pi p} \sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \text{Res}_{Z=Z(c)} e^{2\pi i \ell} e^{2\pi i \theta} \ell_{\text{eff}} \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \left\| \left( e^{i\pi \ell} e^{2\pi i \theta} e^{2\pi i (\ell+H_b)}; q \right) \right\|^2 \right. \right. 
\]
\[
\left. \left. \text{(B.8)}\right. \right. 
\]
where
\[
q = e^{i\pi \frac{Q}{r^2}} = q_1, \quad \bar{q} = e^{i\pi \frac{Q}{r^2}} = q_2, \tag{B.9}
\]
and
\[
\xi_{\text{eff}} = \xi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} (\bar{H}_b - X_a) - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{a,b} N_f Q, \quad \theta_{\text{eff}} = \theta + \frac{r-1}{2} \sum_{a,b} (H_b - H_a). \tag{B.10}
\]

\[\text{– 46 –}\]
The latter must be integer (we can add contact terms to ensure that it is). The exponential prefactor is
\[
e^{-i\pi \mathcal{P}} = e^{i\pi} \sum_{a,b} (\bar{X}_b^2 - X_a^2) e^{-\frac{Q_{a,b}}{2\pi} (X_a + \bar{X}_b)} e^{i\pi (r-1) \sum_{a,b} (\bar{H}_b^2 - H_a^2)},
\]
representing background CS terms. We rewrite the classical part evaluated at the first set of poles \(Z_{(1)}\) as follows\(^ {18}\)
\[
e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} R_{(1)}} \xi_{\text{eff}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \theta_{\text{eff}}} X e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} [\theta_{\text{eff}}][H_c]} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} [\theta_{\text{eff}}] ([\ell + H_c] + kr + j)} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \xi_{\text{eff}} X e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} [\theta_{\text{eff}}][H_c]} u_1^j u_2^k [\ell + H_c] + kr + j},
\]
where
\[
u = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \xi_{\text{eff}}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \theta_{\text{eff}}} = u_1, \quad \bar{\nu} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \xi_{\text{eff}}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \theta_{\text{eff}}} = u_2, \quad (B.13)
\]
and similarly for the second set of poles \(Z_{(2)}\). Summing over (2.30) yields\(^ {19}\)
\[
Z_{\text{SQED}} = e^{-i\pi \mathcal{P}} \sum_{c=1}^{N_f} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (X_c \xi_{\text{eff}} - H_c \theta_{\text{eff}})} \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j,k \geq 0} \left( u_1^j u_2^k [\ell + H_c] + kr + j \times \right.
\]
\[
\times \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (iQ + X_{ca} + \omega_2 [H_{ca}]) q_1^j q_2^k}}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (X_{cb} + \omega_1 [H_{cb}]) q_1^j q_2^k}} \left( e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} [\theta_{\text{eff}}] ([\ell + H_c] + kr)} \right)_\infty +
\]
\[
\left. + \bar{u}_1^{r-\ell} [\ell + H_c] + kr + j u_2^j \right) \times \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (iQ + X_{cb} + \omega_1 [H_{cb}]) q_1^j q_2^k}}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (X_{ca} + \omega_2 [H_{ca}]) q_1^j q_2^k}} \left( e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} [\theta_{\text{eff}}] ([\ell + H_c] + kr)} \right)_\infty \right),
\]
where we defined
\[
X_{ca} = X_c - X_a, \quad X_{cb} = X_c - \bar{X}_b, \quad H_{ca} = H_c - H_a, \quad H_{cb} = H_c - \bar{H}_b.
\]
\(^{18}\)We use \(\omega_1 \omega_2 = 1, [\ell + H_c] - [H_c] = [\ell] \mod r,\) and \(\theta_{\text{eff}} \ell = [\theta_{\text{eff}}][\ell] \mod r,\) this is why we need \(\theta_{\text{eff}}\) to be integer.
\(^{19}\)It is understood that we are taking the residue of the \(a = c\) term.
Using \((q^n x; q)_\infty = \frac{(xq)_\infty}{(xq)_n}\), we get

\[
Z_{SQED} = e^{-\pi \mathcal{P}} \sum_{c=1}^{N_f} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau} (X_c \xi \epsilon - H_c \theta_{ct})} \times \\
\times \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau_1} (iQ + X_{ca} \pm i\omega_1 [H_{ca}])} ; q_1 \right)_\infty \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau_2} (iQ + X_{ca} - i\omega_2 [H_{ca}])} ; q_2 \right)_\infty
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j,k \geq 0} u_1^{\ell + H_c + kr + j} u_2^j \right\} \ . \ (B.16)
\]

We see that the first term in brackets is a sequence \(f_{j,j+[\ell + H_c] + kr}\), whereas the second one is \(f_{j,j+[\ell + H_c] + kr}^j\). Since \(\ell + kr\) runs from 0 to \(+\infty\) while \(r - (\ell + H_c) + kr\) runs from 1 to \(+\infty\), we can replace \(r - (\ell + H_c) + kr\) with \(j'' = [\ell + H_c] + kr\), and write

\[
\{ \ldots \} = \sum_{j,j''z j} f_{j,j''} + \sum_{j,j''z j} f_{j,j'' + 1,j = \sum_{j,j''z j} f_{j,j''} + \sum_{j,j''z j + 1} f_{j'' , j} = \\
= \sum_{j,j''z j} f_{j,j''} + \sum_{j'',j''z j} f_{j,j''} = \sum_{j,j''z j} f_{j,j''} \ . \ (B.17)
\]

Therefore we find \(Z_{SQED}\) can be expressed in terms of the \(r\)-square of the \(q\)-hypergeometric series

\[
N \Phi_{N-1} \left( \frac{x}{y}; u \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{x_i; q}{y_i; q} \right)_k^j u^k , \quad y/s = q \ , \ (B.18)
\]

namely

\[
Z_{SQED} = e^{-\pi \mathcal{P}} \sum_{c=1}^{N_f} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau} (X_c \xi \epsilon - H_c \theta_{ct})} \times \\
\times \prod_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \left( q e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau_1} X_{ca} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau_1} H_{ca}; q}} ; q_1 \right)_\infty \left( q e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau_2} X_{ca} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau_2} H_{ca}; q}} ; q_2 \right)_\infty \Phi_{N-1} \left( q e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau_1} X_{ca} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau_1} H_{ca}; q}} ; u \right) \left( q e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau_2} X_{ca} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau_2} H_{ca}; q}} ; u \right) \right\}^{2} \frac{1 + \omega_1 - \omega_2}{H + \tau - H} \ . \ (B.19)
\]
B.3 Twisted superpotential

In this appendix we briefly review how the double sum defining the twisted superpotential (4.64) can be regularized in two steps, first regularizing the sum over \( m \), and then over \( n \).\(^{20}\) In order to regularise the sum over \( m \), let us consider the exponential derivative

\[
\frac{d}{da} \ln \left( a + \frac{i}{R_1} m \right) \left( \ln \left( a + \frac{i}{R_1} m \right) - 1 \right) = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( a + \frac{i}{R_1} m \right).
\]

By using the definition

\[
\prod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( a + \frac{i}{R_1} m \right) = 2 \sinh (\pi R_1 a),
\]

by integrating we find

\[
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( a + \frac{i}{R_1} m \right) \left( \ln \left( a + \frac{i}{R_1} m \right) - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi R_1} \text{Li}_2(e^{-2\pi R_1 a}) + \frac{\pi R_1 a^2}{2},
\]

up to linear terms. Next, we shift \( a \to a + \frac{i}{R_1} n \sigma \) and compute

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi R_1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Li}_2(e^{2\pi i(n\sigma + iR_1 a)}) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\pi R_1}{2} \left( a + \frac{i}{R_1} n \sigma \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi R_1} \sum_{k \neq 0} e^{-2\pi R_1 a k} +
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2\pi R_1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 2\pi^2 (n \sigma - iR_1 a) + 2\pi^2 (n \sigma - iR_1 a)^2 \right) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\pi R_1}{2} \left( a + \frac{i}{R_1} n \sigma \right)^2,
\]

where we used

\[
\text{Li}_2(e^{-X}) = -\text{Li}_2(e^X) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} - i\pi X - \frac{X^2}{2}.
\]

We regularize the other infinite sums by means of Hurwitz \( \zeta \)-function\(^{21}\) and we get

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi R_1} \sum_{n \leq 1} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 2\pi^2 (n \sigma - iR_1 a) + 2\pi^2 (n \sigma - iR_1 a)^2 \right) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\pi R_1}{2} \left( a + \frac{i}{R_1} n \sigma \right)^2 = \mathcal{P}_3(iR_1 a).
\]

B.4 SQED lens index

In this appendix we provide the explicit derivation of (4.83), which amounts to the evaluation of the residues of the integrand (4.73) on the poles (4.72) given in the main

\(^{20}\) We verified the 1-step regularization by means of double Gamma functions yields the same result.

\(^{21}\) \( \zeta(s, X) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (X + n)^{-s}, \zeta(-1, X) = -\frac{X^3}{3} + \frac{X}{2} - \frac{1}{12}, \zeta(-2, X) = -\frac{X^3}{3} + \frac{X^2}{2} - \frac{X}{6}.\)
text. First of all, expanding the polynomials $\Phi_2$ we get the exponential factor

$$
\prod_{a,b} e^{\frac{ie}{2r} (\ell + H_a)^2 (r-1)} e^{-\frac{ie}{2} \Phi_2 (Z - \bar{X}_b)} =
$$

$$
= e^{\frac{ie}{2r} (r-1) \sum_{a,b} (H_a^2 - H_b^2)} e^{\frac{ie}{2r} \sum_{a,b} (M_a^2 - M_b^2)} e^{\frac{ie}{2r} \sum_{a,b} (M_a - \bar{M}_b)} Q(R-1) \times
$$

$$
\times e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell (r-1)} \sum_{a,b} (H_a - H_b) e^{-2\pi i Z \frac{1}{2r} (Q(R-1) N_f + \sum_{a,b} (M_a - \bar{M}_b))} . \quad (B.26)
$$

The first line represent the global prefactor $e^{-i\pi \mathcal{P}_{3d}}$. In the second line the dynamical term $e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell (r-1)} \sum_{a,b} (H_a - H_b)$ can be absorbed into a renormalisation of $\theta$

$$
\theta_{\text{eff}} = \theta - \frac{(r-1)}{2} \sum_{a,b} (H_a - \bar{H}_b) , \quad (B.27)
$$

provided $\theta_{\text{eff}}$ is integer, while $e^{-2\pi i Z \frac{1}{2r} (Q(R-1) N_f + \sum_{a,b} (M_a - \bar{M}_b))}$ goes into a renormalisation of $\xi^{4d}$

$$
\frac{\xi^{4d}}{r \omega_3^2} = \frac{\xi^{4d}}{r \omega_3^2} + \frac{1}{2r \omega_1 \omega_2} (N_f Q(R-1) + \sum_{a,b} (M_a - \bar{M}_b)) . \quad (B.28)
$$

Then, the residues series reads as

$$
I_{\text{SQED}} = e^{-i\pi (\mathcal{P}_{4d} + \mathcal{P}_{3d})} \sum_{c} \sum_{s=1,2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j,k=0}^{r-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \xi_{\text{eff}} Z(s)} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \theta_{\text{eff}}} \prod_{a,b} G(Z(s) - \bar{X}_b, -\ell - \bar{H}_b) / G(Q + Z(s) - X_a, -\ell - H_a) . \quad (B.29)
$$

Using the definition (4.14) of $G$ and the properties in appendix A, on the first family of poles the ratio of $G$ functions yields

$$
\prod_{a,b} G(X_{\delta b}, H_{\delta b}) / G(Q + X_{\delta a}, H_{\delta a}) \times
$$

$$
\times \frac{\Theta(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (X_{\delta b} + \omega_1 H_{\delta b})}; e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_1}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_1})}{\Theta(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (Q + X_{\delta a} + \omega_1 H_{\delta a})}; e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_1}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_1})} \times
$$

$$
\times \frac{\Theta(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (X_{\delta b} - \omega_2 H_{\delta b})}; e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_2}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_2})}{\Theta(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} (Q + X_{\delta a} - \omega_2 H_{\delta a})}; e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_2}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_2})} \frac{j + k r + [\ell + H_c]}{j + k r + [\ell + H_c]} , \quad (B.30)
$$

while on the second family of poles we simply have $j \rightarrow j + k r + r - [\ell + H_c]$ and $j + k r + [\ell + H_c] \rightarrow j$ in the subindex of the $\Theta$-factorials. The FI terms on the first family read as

$$
e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \xi^{4d} Z(1)} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell \theta_{\text{eff}}} = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \xi^{4d} \ell \theta_{\text{eff}}} X e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \ell \theta_{\text{eff}} H_c} \left( e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_1 \xi^{4d} \ell \theta_{\text{eff}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \theta_{\text{eff}}}} \left( e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_2 \xi^{4d} \ell \theta_{\text{eff}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \theta_{\text{eff}}}} \right)^j \left( e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{r} \omega_2 \xi^{4d} \ell \theta_{\text{eff}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r} \theta_{\text{eff}}}} \right)^{j + k r + [\ell + H_c]} , \quad (B.31)
$$

\footnote{It is understood that we are taking the residue of the $a = c$ term.}
and similarly on the second family. We can now resolve the sum by using (B.6) as in 3d, and we find \( I_{\text{SQED}} \) can be written in terms of the \( r \)-square of the elliptic hypergeometric series \( N E_{N-1} \) defined in (A.67)

\[
I_{\text{SQED}} = e^{-\pi (p_0^a + p_3^a)} \sum_c e^{\frac{2\pi i k_{\text{eff}}}{\omega^3} X_c} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \theta_{\text{eff}} H_c} r} \prod_{a,b} \frac{\mathcal{G}(X_{cb}, H_c - \tilde{H}_b)}{\mathcal{G}(Q + X_{ca}, H_c - H_a)} \times \nonumber \\
\times \pi_{N_f-1} e^{2\pi i \chi_{cb} H_{cb}} e^{2\pi i H_{cb}} e^{2\pi i Q_{\omega_1}} e^{-2\pi i \omega_1 \text{r}^{-1}} e^{-2\pi i \frac{\omega_1 \omega_2 \ell^4}{\text{r}^{-1}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \theta_{\text{eff}}}} \left( r_1^2 \right)^2 H_{\tilde{c}+r-H}, \quad (B.32)
\]

### B.5 SQCD lens index

Here we present the derivation of (4.101). For the chiral multiplets the discussion parallels the SQED case, so we focus on the vector multiplet. From (4.30) we find

\[
\hat{I}_V(z^2, \pm 2\ell) = e^{-\pi \sum \mathcal{P}_a} \times e^{\frac{2\pi i Q}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \times \frac{\mathcal{G}(Q + 2Z, -2\ell)}{\mathcal{G}(2Z, -2\ell)}, \quad (B.33)
\]

where we used the reflection property (4.15). The first factor can be neglected as it contributes to the vanishing of the total gauge anomaly. The factor \( e^{-\frac{2\pi i Q}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \) combines with an analogue contribution from the chiral multiplets (B.26)

\[
e^{-\frac{2\pi i Q}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} (Q(R-1)2N_f + \sum_{a'} M_{a'} \tilde{M}_{a'}) \quad (B.34)
\]

to give a total contribution

\[
e^{\frac{2\pi i Q}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \quad (B.35)
\]

when anomaly cancellation conditions \( R = \frac{N_f-2}{N_f} \), and \( \sum_{a'} M_{a'} = -\sum_{b'} \tilde{M}_{b'} = 0 \). When evaluated on the first family of poles, these exponential factors give the expansion parameters

\[
e^{\frac{2\pi i X_{c'}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i Y_{c'}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i Q_{\omega_1}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \right)^j \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i Q_{\omega_1}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \right)^{j+kr+[\ell+H_{c'}]} \quad (B.36)
\]

while the ratio of the \( \mathcal{G} \) functions in (B.33) yields

\[
\frac{\mathcal{G}(2X_{c'} + Q, 2H_{c'})}{\mathcal{G}(2X_{c'} + H_{c'})} \times \nonumber \\
\times \Theta\left( e^{\frac{2\pi i Q_{\omega_1}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i X_{c'}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i H_{c'}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \omega_3}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \right) \Theta\left( e^{\frac{2\pi i Q_{\omega_1}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i X_{c'}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i H_{c'}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \omega_3}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \right) \nonumber \\
\Theta\left( e^{\frac{2\pi i X_{c'}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i H_{c'}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \omega_3}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \right) \Theta\left( e^{\frac{2\pi i X_{c'}}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i \omega_3}{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \right) \quad (B.37)
\]
Similar results hold also for the other family of poles, we have just to consider the substitutions \( j \to j + kr + r - [\ell + H_c'] \) and \( j + kr + [\ell + H_c] \to j \). By the usual argument for resolving the sums we find \( I_{SQCD} \) can be written in terms of the \( r \)-square of a very-well-poised elliptic hypergeometric series \( \frac{N_f}{2} E_N \) defined in (A.74)

\[
I_{SQCD} = e^{-i \pi (\frac{P_{\ell} + P_{2H_c}}{2})} \sum_{c'} e^{\frac{2 \pi i X_{c'}}{r_1}} e^{\frac{2 \pi i X_{c'}}{r_2}} \times \frac{\mathcal{G}(Q + 2X_{c'}, 2H_c') \prod_{a', \beta'} \mathcal{G}(X_{c'q}, H_{c'q})}{\mathcal{G}(2X_{c'}, 2H_c') \prod_{a', \beta'} \mathcal{G}(Q + X_{c'a'}, H_{c'a'})} \times \]

\[
\times \left\| 2N_f + 4E_{2N_f+3} \left( e^{\frac{2 \pi i X_{c'}}{r_1}} e^{\frac{2 \pi i H_c'}{r - H}} e^{\frac{2 \pi i X_{c'}}{r_1}} e^{\frac{2 \pi i H_c'}{r - H}} e^{\frac{2 \pi i Q}{r_1}}, e^{-\frac{2 \pi i \omega_3}{r_1}} ; 1 \right) \right\|^2 \frac{1}{H \leftrightarrow r - H}. \quad (B.38)
\]
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