ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this work was to prepare chitosan nanoparticles (CS NPs) using sodium tripolyphosphate (TPP) as crosslinker and to study the effect of chitosan polymeric properties and experimental conditions on the properties and stability of NPs.

Methods: CS NPs were prepared by ionic gelation method, using TPP as a crosslinker. The particle size (PS), polydispersity index (PDI), zeta potential (ZP) and the morphologies of the NPs were studied. CS NPs prepared by varying the concentration of TPP, Chitosan molecular weight and its degree of deacetylation, the stirring speed, the rate of TPP addition and the freeze-drying method to study the effect of these variables on the NPs. The stability of the CS NPs was evaluated by storing aqueous suspensions of NPs and comparing the PS, PDI and ZP at the beginning and the end of the experiment.

Results: This study shows that the PS, ZP and dispersity of the NPs depend on the chitosan polymeric properties and experimental conditions. The NPs sizes range between 145.73 and 724.23 nm. They all carried positive charges ranging between +4.32 and +43.67 mV. Most of the NPs have the same sizes after freeze-drying, but showed higher monodispersity and ZP, indicating higher stability. After twenty days of studying the stability, the NPs that had low ZP showed a large increment in size in comparison to the highly charged NPs.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the polymeric properties and formulation variables in the ionic gelation method have a great influence on the CS NPs formed.
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INTRODUCTION

Chitosan (CS) is a polysaccharide that is used widely in pharmaceutical and biomedical preparations due to its unique biological properties. It is a safe, biocompatible, and biodegradable polymer. It has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for wound dressing [1].

Chitosan is a linear copolymer of β(1 → 4) with randomly distributed N-acetylglucosamine and glucosamine units. Chitosan is a basic polysaccharide with a pKa around 6.5, therefore, it is only dissolved in an acidic condition. Such acidic conditions may affect the chitosan toxicity on cells, especially if it is going to be applied for long times [2, 3].

The amine groups in the chitosan structure get charged in acidic media making the polymer a positively charged polyelectrolyte. The positive charges carried by chitosan interact strongly with many minerals and cells in the body that carry negative charges such as cholesterol, fats, proteins, mucin and tumor cells. Furthermore, chitosan can make electrostatic complexes that are used for drugs encapsulation [4, 5].

Chitosan has been used to prepare nanoparticles (NPs) by itself or to enhance other polymeric NPs properties. CS NPs loaded with different drugs were prepared and they proved to enhance the absorption of these agents from different routes such as nasal gastrointestinal and transdermal routes [6–8]. Furthermore, chitosan was used to coat NPs prepared from other polymers to enhance the properties of these NPs. Chitosan is reported to enhance the permeability of other polymeric NPs, decrease phagocytosis in blood, increase NPs residence time in the site of application, harmonize their release kinetics or charge, and enhance their mucoadhesive properties [9–13].

Chitosan nanoparticles (CS NPs) have been prepared by different methods such as emulsion cross linking, spray drying, reverse micellar method, template polymerization, polyelectrolyte complex, precipitation and ionotropic gelation methods [1, 4].

Ionic gelation technique is basically based on the ionic interaction between the positively charged amino groups of chitosan and the negatively charged groups of a polyanion. This polyanion is usually called a crosslinker. Different crosslinkers have been used, among which is sodium tripolyphosphate (TPP) [1–16]. TPP is the most extensively used crosslinker in preparing CS NPs because of its safety and multivalent properties. Polyphosphates including TPP are hydrolyzed into simpler phosphates, which are considered as nutritional. Because of that, the toxicity of polyphosphates is very low, and they are considered safe. In addition, TPP have been reported to be neither mutagenic nor carcinogenic [17, 18].

In nanomedicine, the particle properties such as the size and the charge play an important role in determining its biological behavior. These properties affect the cellular uptake, protein adsorption, and accumulation of the NPs and their distribution throughout the body. Unfortunately, chitosan/TPP nanoparticles are usually polydispersed and suffer from poor stability, which limit their use. The particle size distribution depends on several factors such as the chitosan/TPP mixing, chitosan concentration, degree of deacetylation and molecular weight, the ionic strength and the media pH [19, 20]. These problems must be overcome before CS NPs can move to the clinical trials level and then become commercially available.

In this study, the effect of some factors on the size, polydispersity and charge of CS NPs in the presence of TPP as the crosslinkers were studied. The effect of the concentration of TPP, Chitosan molecular weight and its degree of deacetylation, the stirring (speed and method), the rate of TPP addition and the freeze-drying method on NPs were investigated. Finally, the prepared CS NPs were evaluated in vitro to determine their stability.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Chitosan (250 kDa and 93.0% DDA) was purchased from Shanghai Hanshare Industry (Shanghai, China). Low molecular weight chitosan (50–190 kDa, 75% DDA) was purchased from...
Preparation of different molecular weights of chitosan

Two molecular weights of chitosan were used in this work, the first one was purchased from Sigma and has a molecular weight of 50 kDa. The other one was prepared in our laboratory by acid depolymerization and has a molecular weight of 15 kDa [21]. This polymer was a result of cleaving high molecular weight chitosan of 250 kDa. Hight molecular chitosan was dissolved in 2 M HCl to make 1% solution. Then the solution was refluxed for two hours. After that, the solution was added to ethanol in a ratio of 1:2 v/v and cooled down. Finally, the precipitate was filtered and washed several times with ethanol and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 min (Thermo Scientific, Germany). The obtained chitosan was freeze dried for 24 h (Telstar, Spain) and the powder was kept in an amber-airtight glass bottle and stored at room temperature of 4 °C using dynamic light scattering (DLS).
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Characterization of chitosan molecular weight

The average molecular weight of chitosan was calculated by applying the Mark–Houwink’s equation [22]. Briefly, chitosan was dissolved in water and the viscosity was measured using a viscometer (Visbro viscometer, Japan). Then, the viscosity average molecular weight was calculated.

Characterization of chitosan DDA

The DDA of the chitosan patches was determined using proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H-NMR) (Bruker Avance Ultra Shield 300 MHz, USA). Chitosan samples (30 mg/ml in D2O) were scanned 25 times. The DDA was calculated using the integrals of the H1 proton peak of the deacetylated monomer (H–D) at 5.2 ppm and the peak of the three protons of the acetyl group (H–Ac) at 2.8 ppm [23].

Preparation of CS NPs

The NPs were prepared using a modified ionic gelation method previously described in the literature with some modifications. Chitosan was dissolved in an aqueous solution of acetic acid (0.2 mg/ml) to form 0.5 mg/ml of chitosan solution. The chitosan solution was stirred overnight at room temperature using a magnetic stirrer at a pH of 3.6. After that, the chitosan solution passed through a syringe filter (0.45 μm) and was then preheated in a water bath at 60 °C for 10 min. In another beaker, TPP was dissolved in HPLC water in different concentrations and then passed through a syringe filter (0.25 μm) and cooled to 2-4 °C. Finally, 5 ml of TPP solution was added to 10 ml of chitosan solution and stirred for 10 min. The formed suspension was centrifuged (Thermo Scientific, Germany) for 30 min at 10000 rpm. The nanoparticles were repeatedly washed with deionized water and freeze-dried for 48 h at −80 °C to obtain the powdered nanoparticles [24, 25].

This procedure was repeatedly used to prepare CS nanoparticles, and in each time, one of the preparation factors was changed in order to investigate its effect on the physicochemical properties of the NPs. TPP concentration, stirring speed, molecular weight of CS, CS degree of deacetylation and rate of adding TPP were investigated.

Characterization of CS NPs

The mean particle size (PS), polydispersity index (PDI) and zeta potential (ZP) of the nanoparticles were measured using a Zetasizer Nano ZS instrument (Malvern, UK). The particle sizes and PDI were determined at 25°C using dynamic light scattering (DLS). The zeta potential was calculated from the electrophoretic mobility of the NPs in aqueous solution using the Helmholtz–Smoluchowski equation under an electrical field of 40 V/cm. All measurements were carried out in triplicate (n = 3).

The morphology of all CS nanoparticles was investigated using SEM (Thermo Scientific, Germany). The samples were coated with carbon film prior to analysis, and then, studied under a microscope. The stability of the CS nanoparticles was evaluated by storing aqueous suspensions of different formulations at room temperature (25 °C). Few milligrams of each NP formulation were dispersed in deionized water in a transparent glass bottle and observed for 20 days. The particle size, PDI, and the zeta potential were measured at the beginning and the end of the experiment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preparation of different DDA and molecular weights of chitosan

The molecular weight and DDA of the chitosan purchased from Sigma were determined and found to be 51.7±3.20 kDa and 75.0±1.34 % respectively. This polymer molecular weight will be referred to as 50 kDa and it’s DDA as 75% for simplicity. The chitosan that was prepared using high molecular weight chitosan had a molecular weight of 15.3±0.24 kDa and a DDA of 91.9±0.22 %. Further, the other two patches prepared using low molecular weight chitosan had DDA values of 75.0±1.37 % and 54.3±2.16 %. The molecular weight of these polymers will be referred to as 15 kDa and their DDAs as 90%, 75% and 50% for simplicity.

Preparation of CS NPs

Different patches of CS NPs were prepared by changing a single factor at each time. The effect of TPP concentration, stirring speed, the molecular weight of CS, CS DDA and rate of TPP addition were investigated and the mean particle size, PDI and zeta potential of the different formulations were measured (table 1). All NPs prepared in this work showed spherical morphology confirmed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (fig. 1). Following is the effect of each factor on nanoparticles physicochemical properties.

Effect of TPP Concentration on CS NPs

Different concentrations of TPP were used and their effects on the size, PDI and charge of the nanoparticles were investigated. As the
amount of TPP used in the formulation increases, the size of the NPs decreases to a minimum and then starts to increase again (fig. 2-A). In this study the amount of the TPP was increased while the amount of chitosan and the volumes of the liquids were constant. In general, crosslinking agents are used in CS NPs formulation to reduce the mobility of chitosan and enhance its physicochemical properties such as stability. TPP is a polyanion that interacts with the amino groups in chitosan to form a gel through inter-and intra-molecular cross-linkages during the ionic gelation procedure [16].

Table 1: CS NPs, prepared under different conditions, mean particles size (nm), PDI and ZP (mV) before and after freeze-drying (n=3)

| Formula | TPP (mg) | CS MWT* | CS DDA | TPP addition* | Stirring* | PS (nm) | PDI | ZP (mV) | PS (nm) | PDI | ZP (mV) |
|---------|----------|----------|--------|---------------|-----------|---------|-----|--------|---------|-----|--------|
| F1      | 7.5      | 50       | 90     | 0.25          | 700       | 208.50  | 0.08| 17.37  | 169.00  | 0.20| 20.33  |
| F2      | 7.5      | 50       | 90     | 0.50          | 700       | 277.20  | 0.10| 15.67  | 186.90  | 0.22| 8.04   |
| F3      | 7.5      | 50       | 90     | 1.00          | 700       | 247.13  | 0.15| 18.13  | 229.80  | 0.27| 6.06   |
| F4      | 7.5      | 50       | 90     | 1.50          | 700       | 257.93  | 0.22| 6.53   | 254.63  | 0.24| 12.80  |
| F5      | 7.5      | 15       | 90     | 2.50          | 700       | 388.07  | 0.41| 8.14   | 346.13  | 0.50| 13.73  |
| F6      | 7.5      | 15       | 80     | 2.50          | 700       | 555.10  | 0.35| 7.00   | 446.13  | 0.41| 10.63  |
| F7      | 7.5      | 15       | 55     | 2.50          | 500       | 663.07  | 0.51| 4.78   | 582.07  | 0.52| 4.32   |
| F8      | 7.5      | 50       | 90     | 2.50          | 500       | 383.97  | 0.47| 27.13  | 350.47  | 0.48| 30.31  |
| F9      | 7.5      | 50       | 90     | 2.50          | 1000      | 287.40  | 0.22| 5.69   | 262.23  | 0.25| 10.90  |
| F10     | 7.5      | 50       | 90     | 2.50          | 1500      | 145.73  | 0.28| 8.74   | 243.37  | 0.22| 12.23  |
| F11     | 1.5      | 50       | 90     | 2.50          | 700       | 610.00  | 0.54| 4.00   | 576.13  | 0.54| 43.67  |
| F12     | 2.0      | 50       | 90     | 2.50          | 700       | 400.00  | 0.55| 39.00  | 446.13  | 0.55| 43.53  |
| F13     | 3.0      | 50       | 90     | 2.50          | 700       | 356.70  | 0.28| 30.50  | 295.87  | 0.12| 35.30  |
| F14     | 7.5      | 50       | 90     | 2.50          | 700       | 296.83  | 0.30| 24.83  | 358.50  | 0.22| 33.00  |
| F15     | 15.0     | 50       | 90     | 2.50          | 700       | 508.43  | 0.28| 5.38   | 540.80  | 0.21| 8.16   |
| F16     | 30.0     | 50       | 90     | 2.50          | 700       | 329.60  | 0.25| 35.90  | 724.23  | 0.37| 37.00  |

* CS molecular weight in kDa. **TPP addition rate in ml/min.+Stirring the rate in rpm. n=3 and data are given in mean ± SD.

As the amount of TPP increases, the nanoparticle suspension became progressively more turbid due to the formation of chitosan nanoparticles. When TPP amount was very low, the reaction solution was clear and viscous like pure chitosan solution, which indicated that the TPP amount was not enough to form a cross-linked structure of chitosan. As TPP amount increased to more than 1.5 mg, the NPs started to be formed, and as the amount of TPP increased, the particle sizes decreased.

This may be due to increased inter and intra cross-linking between chitosan and TPP. On the other hand, as the amount of TPP continued to increase to more than 3 mg, CS NPs size started to increase. This may be due to the fact that after chitosan molecules were fully crosslinked, the excess TPP would lead to more chitosan molecules being involved in the formation of a single nanoparticle [4, 26]. In addition, as TPP concentration increases the samples become more monodispersed, and this is indicated by PDI values (fig 3-A).
In general, nanosuspensions having high positive charges above +10 or below -10 are expected to have good stability while suspensions with charges between -10 and +10 are expected to have a higher possibility of aggregation and less stability. All nanoparticles prepared in this work carried positive charges.

The charges of the nanoparticles decrease as the TPP concentration increases, which can be related to the neutralization of the protonated amino groups on chitosan by TPP anions (fig. 4-A). All formulas prepared with different TPP amounts, except F15, had surface charges above +10, which indicates the high stability [27].
Effect of the speed of stirring on CS NPs

The speed of stirring effect on particle size was also investigated and the results showed that the particle size decreased as the speed increased from 500 rpm to 1500 rpm (fig. 2-B). This trend is probably due to the increase of the shear force that accelerates the dispersion of TPP in chitosan solution. The monodispersity of the nanoparticles was improved as the stirring speed increased, which is expected, as a consequence of the better dispersion of TPP in chitosan solution (fig. 3-B). The surface charge of the nanoparticles was also affected by the stirring speed, where the higher the speed was, the lower was the charge (fig. 4-B). This observation may be related to the better dispersion of TPP in chitosan solution that led to more inter and intra cross-linkages in chitosan at higher speeds.

Effect of CS molecular weight on CS NPs

Two different chitosan molecular weights (15 and 50 kDa) were tested to investigate the effect of the molecular weight of chitosan on CS NPs properties. Under the same conditions, the nanoparticles sizes increased as the molecular weight of chitosan decreases. This effect of chitosan’s molecular weight may be related to the viscosity of the external phase. As the chitosan molecular weight increases, the viscosity of the solution increases, which leads to smaller NPs. On the other hand, both the PDI and zeta potential decreased as the molecular weight increased. This can be explained by referring to the length of the strand and its relation to the positive charge density. As the length of the strand decreases, the number of amine groups per strand also decreases. Since the amine groups are responsible for the positive charge, a sharp decrease of the zeta potential is expected as the molecular weight decreases. In general, the PDI in all NPs prepared using the low molecular weight chitosan was higher than those prepared with high molecular weight chitosan.

Effect of CS DDA on CS NPs

Three degrees of deacetylation of chitosan (55, 80, 90%) were investigated and the effect of DDA on PS, PDI and ZP was investigated (fig. 2-C, fig. 3-Cand fig.4-C). The results showed that as the DDA increased the particle size decreased. The DDA value is related to the percentage of the deacetylated amine groups along the molecular chain, and it is increased as the percentage of amine groups to acetylated groups increased. Therefore, the DDA value of chitosan determines the density of the positive charge that is expressed as the zeta potential [3]. The large positive charge density increases the potential of the chitosan molecules to cross-link with TPP. This eventually led to smaller NPs, as we mentioned previously. The PDI did not show a clear relation to chitosan DDA, but in general the samples prepared were less monodispersed, which may be related to the CS molecular weight since all NPs prepared using CS 15 kDa have higher PDIs in comparison to NPs prepared using CS 50 kDa. Increasing the DDA value of chitosan results in a higher density of the positive charge, which is expected to increase the zeta potential. Our results showed such behavior.

Effect of TPP addition rate on CS NPs

Moreover, the effect of TPP addition rate on nanoparticles properties was investigated. The flow rate of the TPP solution was between 0.25 and 2.5 ml/min. As the TPP solution flow rate increases, the particle size increases, as well as the PDI (fig.2-D and fig.3-D). This could be explained by the higher concentration of TPP at the interface between the two solutions at higher rates of addition. Consequently, this led to higher inter and intra cross-linkages that consequently produced larger particles. The zeta potential results showed a decrease at the beginning then it started to increase (fig. 4-D).

Effect of freeze-drying on CS NPs

It is well known that upon liquid removal from nanoparticles, they would agglomerate and grow up so that the huge specific surface energy is overcome. Freeze-drying is a process that is widely used for drying NPs to improve their stability. It is considered as a relatively slow and expensive method. In freeze-drying, the materials are freeze and then the surrounding pressure is reduced to allow the frozen liquids in the material to sublime directly from the solid phase to the gas phase[28,29]. This process is typically used to preserve delicate materials such as NPs. The effect of freeze-drying on the CS NPs size, PDI and ZP were studied and the results are summarized in table 1 and in fig. 2,3 and 4. Freeze-drying is expected to decrease the NPs size because it prevents the nanoparticles from agglomeration. Some of the NPs prepared in this work showed such behavior but the majority of the NPs have the same sizes before and after freeze-drying. The short time difference between measuring the NPs size before and after freezing may be the reason behind this observation. In general, the PDIs were lower after freeze-drying and the surface charges were higher. This may indicate that freeze-drying enhanced the NPs stability.

Stability study

The freeze-dried NPs were dispersed in deionized water and observed over twenty days in order to evaluate their stability. In general, all NPs suspensions did not change in appearance or get turbid. On the other hand, in certain cases, there were differences in the NPs sizes at the beginning of the study and after the twenty days elapsed. There is a clear relation between the surface charge and the particles size increment percentage (fig. 5). The particles size increment percentage was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Size increment} = \frac{\text{Size at the beginning of the study} - \text{size after 20 days}}{\text{Size at the beginning of the study}} \times 100\%
\]

When the NPs carry high positive charges, the suspensions are more stable, and the NPs sizes remain almost the same. On the contrast, the lower the surface charges are, the lower is the stability that is indicated by the size growth.

Fig. 5: The relationship between particles ZP (mV) and the particles size increment percentage. n=3 and data are given in mean±SD
CONCLUSION

CS NPs properties such as size, polydispersity and charge affect their biological properties and stability. The NPs size decreases as the amount of TPP increases but it decreases as both the stirring rate or chitosan molecular weight increases. Also, NPs size increases as TPP flow rate decreases. The NPs are more monodispersed at higher TPP concentrations, stirring rates, chitosan molecular weights or TPP flow rates, but they show no relation to chitosan DDA. All NPs have positive charges that decrease at higher TPP concentrations, stirring rates or chitosan molecular weights or lower chitosan DDA. All NPs have positive charges that decrease at higher TPP concentrations, stirring rates or chitosan molecular weights or lower chitosan DDA. ZP decreased, then increased as TPP flow rate increased. Most of the NPs have the same sizes but have a higher monodispersity and ZP after freeze-drying. The NPs stability shows a clear relation to the NPs charge and it increases as the charge becomes more positive. In conclusion, the polymeric properties and formulation variables in ionic gelation method has a great influence on the CS NPs formed.
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