Computer algebra derives the slow manifold of patch or element dynamics on lattices in two dimensions
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Abstract

Developments in dynamical systems theory provides new support for the discretisation of PDEs and other microscale systems. Here we explore the methodology applied to the gap-tooth scheme in the equation-free approach of Kevrekidis in two spatial dimensions. The algebraic detail is enormous so we detail computer algebra procedures to handle the enormity. However, modelling the dynamics on 2D spatial patches appears to require a mixed numerical and algebraic approach that is detailed in this report. Being based upon the computation of residuals, the procedures here may be simply adapted to a wide class of reaction-diffusion equations.
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1 Introduction

We extend the dynamical systems, holistic, approach to the macroscale discrete modelling [8, 10, 11, e.g.] to two dimensional, homogeneous, nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations on coupled patches of space. Following the ‘equation free’ approach of Kevrekidis and colleagues [5, e.g.], we address the extraction, using dynamical systems theory, of computationally efficient macroscale models from given microscopic models, whether PDE or lattice dynamics or other microscale systems. Here we bridge space scales by generalising to multiple dimensions (specifically 2D) the methodology and supporting theory for the ‘equation free’, gap-tooth method for microsimulators [3, 13, 14]. As a particular example, this report uses the real valued, two dimensional, Ginzburg–Landau equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 u + \alpha(u - u^3).$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We choose this 2D real Ginzburg–Landau equation as a prototype PDE because it is well studied and its dynamics well understood [4, 7, e.g.]. This
report details the construction by computer algebra of the macroscale discrete model of its dynamics in two spatial dimensions. The general theoretical support, the performance and the physical interpretation are detailed elsewhere.

Place the discrete modelling of two dimensional, reaction-diffusion equations within the purview of centre manifold theory by dividing the domain into square patches and introducing special interpatch coupling conditions. Define a grid of points \((X_i, Y_j)\) with, for simplicity, constant spacing \(H\). The \(i, j\)th patch, \(E_{i,j}\), is then centred upon \((X_i, Y_j)\) and of width \(\Delta x = \Delta y = 2rH\); when the ratio \(r < 1/2\), \(E_{i,j}\) forms a patch separated by empty space (gaps) from neighbouring patches; when the ratio \(r = 1\) the patches overlap and the analysis reduces to that of holistic discretisation [8, 10, 11, e.g.]. Define that \(u_{i,j}(x, y, t)\) denotes the field in the \(i, j\)th element and so evolves according to the Ginzburg–Landau PDE (1). Using the parameter \(\gamma\) to control the strength of the coupling, use coupling conditions around the \(i, j\)th patch of

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_i^{\pm r}(\gamma)\mathcal{E}_j^{\pm n}(\gamma)u_{i,j}(X_i, Y_j, t), & \quad |y - Y_j| < rH, \\
u_{i,j}(x, Y_j \pm rH, t) = E_i^{\pm r}\gamma E_j^{\pm n}u_{i,j}(X_i, Y_j, t), & \quad |x - X_i| < rH,
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

In the overlapping element case, \(r = 1\), we use ‘interelement coupling conditions’ around the \(i, j\)th element of

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_i^{\pm 1}(\gamma)\mathcal{E}_j^{\pm 1}(\gamma)u_{i,j}(X_i, Y_j, t) + (1 - \gamma)u_{i,j}(X_i, Y_j, t), & \quad |y - Y_j| < H, \\
u_{i,j}(X_i, Y_j \pm 1, t) = \gamma u_{i,j}(X_i, Y_j \pm 1, t) + (1 - \gamma)u_{i,j}(X_i, Y_j, t), & \quad |x - X_i| < H.
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

These are a natural extension to 2D of those established for 1D dynamics [12]. Then centre manifold theory [1, 2, 6, e.g.] assures us of the existence, relevance and approximation of a slow manifold, macroscale model parametrised by a measure of the amplitude in each element and the coupling strength \(\gamma\).

However, it appears that models of the PDE (1) cannot be constructed algebraically. Thus Section 4 details computer algebra to numerically solve for the microscopic subgrid scale field. That is, we actually create a coarse grid model of the fine grid/lattice dynamics of an approximation to the PDE. Its application here to the discretisation of the Ginzburg–Landau PDE (1) serves as a proof of principle for applying holistic discretisation to general PDEs of two or more spatial dimensions.

For example, consider the PDE (1) when discretised inside patches by a \(5 \times 5\) microscale lattice \((n = 2)\). Using the patch IBCs (2) the slow manifold discretisation is

\[
\dot{U}_{i,j} = \frac{\gamma^2}{H^2} \partial^2 U_{i,j} - \frac{\gamma^2}{12H^2} (1 - r^2) \partial^4 U_{i,j} + \frac{\gamma^3}{90H^2} (1 - r^2) (1 - \frac{r^2}{n}) \partial^6 U_{i,j}
\]
\[ + \alpha (u_{i,j} - u_{i,j}^3) \]
\[ + \alpha \gamma r \frac{1}{18} \left\{ \left[ 3(\mu_y \delta_y u_{i,j})^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\delta^2_y u_{i,j})^2 \right] (2 + \delta_y^2) + (\delta^2_y u_{i,j})^2 \right\} u_{i,j} \]
\[ + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2 + \gamma^4), \quad (4) \]

where the bold centred difference operator applies in both spatial dimensions,
\[ \delta^2 u_{i,j} = u_{i+1,j} + u_{i-1,j} + u_{i,j+1} + u_{i,j-1} - 4u_{i,j}, \quad (5) \]
\[ \delta^4 u_{i,j} = u_{i+2,j} + u_{i-2,j} + u_{i,j+2} + u_{i,j-2} \]
\[ - 4(u_{i+1,j} + u_{i-1,j} + u_{i,j+1} + u_{i,j-1}) + 12u_{i,j}, \quad (6) \]

2 Construct the algebraic slow manifold

This section provides computer algebra code to generate analytic holistic discretisations of reaction-diffusion PDEs in 2D. Different PDEs are analysed by changing the nonlinear term. Higher order models are constructed by changing the order of neglected terms. Unfortunately, analytic construction can only be carried out to low order accuracy: Section 4 describes code for a numerical construction that also applies to patches in the gap-tooth scheme.

All code is written in the computer algebra package REDUCE.\(^1\)

2.1 Initialisation

Set some parameters to improve printing of the results.

\[ \gg \text{casm2d} \ll \]
\% see cadsmpd2d.pdf for documentation
\texttt{on div; off allfac; on revpri;}
\texttt{factor gam,h,eps,nu,alf;}
\texttt{\hfill \hfill \hfill \hfill \hfill \hfill \hfill \hfill \hfill \hfill \hfill \hfill}

Subgrid variables The subgrid, intra-element, structures are functions of intra-element microscale variables \( x_i = (x - x_i)/h \) and \( y_i = (y - y_i)/h \).

\[ \gg \text{casm2d} \ll \ll \]
\texttt{depend xi,x;}
\texttt{let df(xi,x)==1/h;}
\texttt{depend yi,y;}

\(^1\text{http://www.reduce-algebra.com}\)
let df(yi,y)\Rightarrow 1/h;

\[\odot\odot\odot\]

**Parametrise the slow manifold**  Parametrise the slow manifold, macroscale, evolution by the evolving grid values \(u(i,j) = U_{ij}\) such that \(dU_{ij}/dt = g_{ij}\).

\[\odot\odot\odot\]

```casm2d
type u;
depend u,t;
let df(u(^k,^m),t)\Rightarrow sub({i=k,j=m},g_{ij});
\[\odot\odot\odot\]
```

The linear, slow subspace, approximation is that of piecewise constant fields and no evolution.

\[\odot\odot\odot\]

```casm2d
type u;
uij:=u(i,j);
gij:=0;
\[\odot\odot\odot\]
```

**Set asymptotic truncation**  Here scale nonlinearity parameter \(\alpha\) with parameter \(\gamma\) so we truncate to residuals and errors of order \(O(\alpha^3 + \gamma^3)\).

\[\odot\odot\odot\]

```casm2d
type eps^3;gamma:=gam*eps;
alpha:=alf*eps;
\[\odot\odot\odot\]
```

**General multinomial**  For the method of undetermined coefficients we set up a general multinomial solution with unknown coefficients \(cc(m,n)\), up to order \(o\) in the intra-element variables. Collect the unknown coefficients in the set \(cs\).

\[\odot\odot\odot\]

```casm2d
let o:=6;
operator cc;
vv:=for m:=0:o sum for n:=0:o-m sum cc(m,n)*xi^m*yi^n$
cs:=for m:=0:o join for n:=0:o-m collect cc(m,n)$
\[\odot\odot\odot\]
```

Need to find the coefficients in multivariate expressions so define a recursive procedure `coeffs` that given a list of expressions and a list of variables it creates a new extended list of all the coefficients of the variables.
procedure coeffs(exps,vars);
    if vars={} then exps else coeffs(
        foreach z in exps join coeff(z,first vars),
        rest vars);

2.2 Iteratively construct the slow manifold

Now start the iteration, repeating corrections until all residuals are zero (to a maximum of ten iterations).

for it:=1:10 do begin

Compute residuals of governing equations  Compute the residual of the reaction-diffusion PDE in the general i,jth element. Could easily change the reaction term to any polynomial in the field $u_{i,j}$. Could also incorporate advection terms into the microscale PDE. Also compute the residuals of the inter-element coupling IBCss.

de:=df(u_{i,j},t)-df(u_{i,j},x,2)-df(u_{i,j},y,2)-alpha*(u_{i,j}-u_{i,j}^3)$
bcr:=sub(xi=1,u_{i,j})-sub(xi=0,u_{i,j})
    -gamma*(sub({xi=0,i=i+1},u_{i,j})-sub(xi=0,u_{i,j}))$
bcl:=sub(xi=-1,u_{i,j})-sub(xi=0,u_{i,j})
    -gamma*(sub({xi=0,i=i-1},u_{i,j})-sub(xi=0,u_{i,j}))$
bct:=sub(yi=1,u_{i,j})-sub(yi=0,u_{i,j})
    -gamma*(sub({yi=0,j=j+1},u_{i,j})-sub(yi=0,u_{i,j}))$
bcb:=sub(yi=-1,u_{i,j})-sub(yi=0,u_{i,j})
    -gamma*(sub({yi=0,j=j-1},u_{i,j})-sub(yi=0,u_{i,j}))$

Include the computation of the residuals both here and later.

For information as to the progress of the iteration, print out the lengths of the residuals: when all are one, then all residuals are probably zero.
Add the as yet unknown corrections To find the desired update in each iteration, first substitute the form of the update into the computed residuals.

Solve for the corrections Then extract equate coefficients of each power of the multinomial in the intra-element variables, and append boundary conditions.

Update Update the field and the evolution, assuming a solution was found (not true for higher orders).

Terminate End the iteration when all residuals are zero, or too many iterations have been performed.
2.3 Scrounge an extra order of evolution using solvability

First define the linear integral operator \( \text{inthat}(a,\xi) = \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - |\xi|) a \, d\xi \) in order to quickly apply the solvability condition.

\[ \text{operator inthat; linear inthat; let \{ inthat(\sim a \sim p, \sim b) \Rightarrow 0 \text{ when } (a=b) \text{and} (\text{not even}p(p)) , inthat(\sim a \sim p, \sim b) \Rightarrow 2/(p+1)/(p+2) \text{ when } (a=b) \text{and even}p(p) , inthat(\sim a, \sim b) \Rightarrow 0 \text{ when } (a=b) , inthat(1, \sim b) \Rightarrow 1 \}; \]

Set the requisite next order of truncation in the asymptotic expansion by finding the highest order currently retained in coupling \( \gamma \), then setting to discard terms of two orders higher. Use the ‘instant evaluation’ property of for-loop variables in Reduce.

\[ \text{for } p := o : o \text{ do let } \varepsilon^p \Rightarrow 0; \]

Compute exactly the same residuals of the PDE and the inter-element coupling conditions.

\[ \text{Compute the next correction to the evolution } g_{ij} \text{ by integrating the residual of the PDE over an element, and including the contributions from the boundary coupling residuals.} \]
3 Postprocessing analytic

3.1 Write a sci/matlab function script

Optionally generate an efficient sci/matlab function in file gl2dnumred. This function file is to be processed with the unix script red2mat and then it can be used in matlab to integrate solutions using ode solvers.

```matlab
gij:=gij-gd$
showtime;

3.1 Write a sci/matlab function script

Optionally generate an efficient sci/matlab function in file gl2dnumred. This function file is to be processed with the unix script red2mat and then it can be used in matlab to integrate solutions using ode solvers.

```
\[ n = \sqrt{nn}; \]
\[ u = \text{zeros}(n, n); \; u(:, :) = uv(1:nn); \]

Allow for two possible boundary conditions: either doubly periodic; or odd-even solutions. Choose in the reduce code by changing the switch in this if-statement.

\[ \text{functionfile} \]
\[ \text{if 0 then } \% \text{ use periodic BCs or odd-even BCs} \]
\[ \text{periodicBCs else oddevenBCs} \]

Pad the data array with the periodic BCs: the two cases depend upon the stencil width.

\[ \text{functionfile} \]
\[ \text{if } o=1 \text{ then write } \% \text{ periodic BCs} \]
\[ u = [u(end, :)\; u(1, :)]; \]
\[ u = [u(:, end)\; u(:, 1)]" \]
\[ \text{else write } \% \text{ periodic BCs} \]
\[ u = [u(end-"\; o-1,"\; end)\; u(1:"\; o,"\; :)]; \]
\[ u = [u(:, end-"\; o-1,"\; end)\; u(:, 1:"\; o,"\; :)]" \]

Alternatively, pad the data array with the odd/even BCs: the two cases depend upon the stencil width. The odd/even BCs suit a sine expansion in \(x\) and a cosine expansion in \(y\).

\[ \text{functionfile} \]
\[ \text{if } o=1 \text{ then write } \% \text{ odd-even, sine-cosine BCs} \]
\[ u = [-u(1, :)\; u(-end, :)]; \]
\[ u = [+u(:, 1)\; u(:, end)]" \]
\[ \text{else write } \% \text{ odd-even, sine-cosine BCs} \]
\[ u = [-u("\; o,"\; -1:\; 1)\; u(-end-1:\; end-"\; o-1,"\; :)]; \]
\[ u = [+u(:, "\; o,"\; -1:\; 1)\; u(+end-1:\; end-"\; o-1,"\; :)]]" \]

Define index vectors that apply to every macroscale grid point in the interior of the padded data array. \[\text{functionfile write } "j","o","+(1:n); i=j"\]

Use the scope package optimisation to generate the code for the computation of an array of time derivatives. \[\text{functionfile optimize udot:=:gij iname o}\]
Write code to form the array into a vector.  

```plaintext
functionfile
+ write "udot=udot(:)"
Close the function file as all code is done.  

functionfile
+ shut "gl2dnumred";

Undo a printing option, and clear gam and eps so we can see the coupling parameter influence again.  

functionfile
+ on nat; clear gam; clear eps;

3.2 Derive the equivalent PDE

Optionally derive the equivalent PDE for this model.

```plaintext
casm2d
+ if 1 then begin write "
Optionally find the equivalent partial differential equation of the holistic discretisation. 
",
equivpde
+ showtime;
end;
```

Depending upon the order of inter-element coupling, as measured by eps, then set the order of truncation in the grid size h. This code assumes gamma is first order in eps, and needs changing if otherwise. Use a dummy for-loop because, in Reduce. loop parameters, here q, have the “instant evaluation” property that means it can be used usefully as an index in the let statement.

```plaintext
equivpde
+ o:=2+2*deg((1+eps)^9,eps);
for q:=o:o do let h^(q+1)=>0;
```

Use operator uu(p,q) to denote the mixed derivative $\partial^{p+q}U/\partial x^p \partial y^q$. Hence define a rule to expand $u(i+k',j+l')$ in a multivariable Taylor series. Reduce does not like $0^0$ so handle the zero cases separately in the summation.

```plaintext
equivpde
+ operator uu;
rules:={u(~k,~l)=> uu(0,0)
  +(for q:=1:o sum uu(0,q)*(1-j)^q*h^q/factorial(q))
  +(for p:=1:o sum uu(p,0)*(k-i)^p*h^p/factorial(p))
```
\[+(\text{for } p:=1:o \ \text{sum for } q:=1:o-p \ \text{sum}\]
\[\text{uu}(p,q)\times(k-i)^p\times(l-j)^q\times h^{p+q}/\text{factorial}(p)/\text{factorial}(q)\]
\]

Make different printing. \[\texttt{equivpde} \leftrightarrow \texttt{off revpri}; \texttt{on list};\]

Transform the discrete model to its equivalent \texttt{PDE} using the Taylor expansion. Remove the artificial expansion parameter \texttt{eps} by setting to one. Sum at full coupling \texttt{gam}; remove this to see the \(\gamma\) dependence.

\[\texttt{equivpde} \leftrightarrow \]
\[\texttt{write}\]
\[\texttt{gpde:=(sub\{\texttt{eps}=1,\texttt{gam}=1\},\texttt{gij} \texttt{where rules})}\]
\[\texttt{off list};\]

3.3 Derive the centred finite difference form

Optionally derive the finite difference form of the evolution.

\[\texttt{casm2d} \leftrightarrow \]

if 1 then begin write "
Generate evolution in terms of centred finite difference operators \(\texttt{md}=\mu\delta\) and \(\texttt{dd}=\delta^2\) in each of the \(x\) and \(y\) directions. ";
\[\texttt{finitediff} \leftrightarrow\]
\[\texttt{showtime};;\]

Define the four centred difference operators, two in each spatial direction. Then define commutation rules so that expressions involving these operators change to a canonical form where \(x\) operators come before \(y\) operators, and \(\mu\delta\) operators come before \(\delta^2\) operators. Lastly, invoke the operator rule that \(\mu^2 = 1 + \delta^2/4\).

\[\texttt{finitediff} \leftrightarrow\]
\[\texttt{operator mdx; operator mdy;}\]
\[\texttt{operator ddx; operator ddy;}\]
\[\texttt{linear mdx; linear mdy;}\]
\[\texttt{linear ddx; linear ddy;}\]
Define the shift rules that $U_{i\pm 1} = U_i \pm \mu \delta U_i + \frac{1}{2} \delta^2 U_i$. Apply them to the four cases of $U_{i\pm k,j}$ and $U_{i,j\pm k}$.

```
>> finitediff <<+
rules:={u(i,j)=>u,
    u(i+^k,^j)=>u(i+k-1,j)+mdx(u(i+k-1,j),u)
        +1/2*ddx(u(i+k-1,j),u) when k>0,
    u(i+^k,^j)=>u(i+k+1,j)-mdx(u(i+k+1,j),u)
        +1/2*ddx(u(i+k+1,j),u) when k<0,
    u(^i,j+^k)=>u(i,j+k-1)+mdy(u(i,j+k-1),u)
        +1/2*ddy(u(i,j+k-1),u) when k>0,
    u(^i,j+^k)=>u(i,j+k+1)-mdy(u(i,j+k+1),u)
        +1/2*ddy(u(i,j+k+1),u) when k<0
};
```

Transform the evolution of the grid values. Could also transform the microscale structure in $u_{i,j}$, but have not done so here.

```
>> finitediff <<+
write gop:=(sub(eps=1,gij) where rules);
```

### 3.4 Fin analytic

Give the overall grand final ‘end’ statement, then output all the code.

```
>> casm2d <<+
end;
```
4 Numerically construct the slow manifold

This section describes computer algebra code to generates macroscale models of the gap-tooth scheme applied to reaction-diffusion PDEs in 2D. Different PDEs are analysed by simply changing the nonlinear term, or more carefully changing the Laplacian. Higher order models are constructed by changing the order of neglected terms. Instead of trying to solve analytically for the subgrid scale structure, here solve for the structure numerically.

All code is written in the freely available computer algebra package REDUCE.2

4.1 Initialisation

Improve the format of printing.

\begin{verbatim}
ncsm2d <<
% see cadsmpd2d.pdf for documentation
on div; off allfac; on revpri;
factor gam,h,eps,nu,alf;

Load routines to do the LU decomposition, Section 6.1, and subsequent back substitutions, Section 6.2.

ncsm2d <<+
in "lu_decomp.red"$
in "lu_backsub.red"$

Need to find the coefficients in multivariate expressions so define a recursive procedure coeffs that given a list of expressions and a list of variables it creates a new extended list of all the coefficients of the variables.

ncsm2d <<+
procedure coeffs(exps,vars);
    if vars={} then exps else coeffs(
        foreach z in exps join coeff(z,first vars),
        rest vars);

Use patches of size ratio \( r \) where: \( r = 1 \) is holistic overlapping; \( r = 1/2 \) the elements abut; and for \( r < 1/2 \) there is a gap in the gap-tooth scheme.
\end{verbatim}

\footnote{http://www.reduce-algebra.com}
The subgrid, microscale, numerical resolution is improved by increasing \( n \). The subgrid grid step is \( dx = \frac{H}{n} \) and the number of equations and unknowns is \( nn = N = (2n + 1)^2 + 1 \). Takes some minutes to construct solutions for lattice \( n = 8 \), but it is reasonably doable; have not tried \( n \geq 9 \) yet.

\[
\begin{align*}
n &= 2; \quad \% \text{ number of dynamic points per half side} \\
\text{dx} &= r \ast h / n \$ \% \text{ microgrid step size, scaled by factor of } r \\
n\text{s} &= 2n + 1 \$ \% \text{ number of variables per side of 2D patch} \\
nn &= n^2 + 1 \$ \% \text{ total number of equations and variables}
\end{align*}
\]

Define the matrices used to store the subgrid, and to represent and solve the equations. The scope of matrices are global in Reduce.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{matrix eqns} &\equiv (nn, 1), \text{zeros} (nn, 1); \\
\text{matrix indx} &\equiv (nn, 1), \text{vv} (nn, 1), \text{lu} (nn, nn); \% \text{ used by LU}
\end{align*}
\]

**Parametrise the slow manifold**  The slow manifold of the macroscale discretisation is to be parametrised by the evolution of \( u_{i,j} = u(i,j) \). The evolution \( \frac{dU_{i,j}}{dt} = g_{i,j} = g_{ij} \) and unknown updates are stored in \( gd \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{operator u; depend u, t;} \\
\text{let df(u(~k, ~m), t) = \text{sub}\{i=k, j=m\}, g_{ij};} \\
g_{ij} &= \frac{gd}{dx^2};
\end{align*}
\]

Initialise with constant field in \( u_{i,j} = u_{i,j} \). Also add in the unknown update field \( ud \). Use \( ii \) and \( jj \) as the subgrid microscale lattice indices.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{matrix uij} &\equiv (ns, ns) \\
\text{operator ud;}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{for } ii:=1:ns \text{ do for } jj:=1:ns \text{ do} \\
\text{uij}(ii, jj) &= u(i, j) + ud(ii, jj)\
\end{align*}
\]
Possibly include a forcing function \( f_u(p, q) = \frac{df}{df_x}x + \frac{df}{df_y}y + q \), the physical derivatives, evaluated at the \((i, j)\) grid point. But omit for the moment.

\[
\text{Set asymptotic truncation} \quad \text{Truncate the asymptotic expansion in powers of the coupling parameter } \gamma = \text{gam}. \text{ Here scale the nonlinearity parameter } \alpha \text{ with } \gamma \text{ to most easily control the truncation.}
\]

4.2 Classic Lagrange interpolation

Coupling conditions are written in terms of classic Lagrange interpolation. Thus set up the interpolation fields here as functions of the surrounding macroscale grid values. As written so far we can go to errors \( O(\gamma^3) \). Suspect I should be able to code this better.

First define procedures for centred mean and difference operators in each of the two spatial directions.

\[
\text{procedure } \text{mudi}(u) \text{; } (\text{sub}(i=i+1,u) - \text{sub}(i=i-1,u))/2;
\text{procedure } \text{mudj}(u) \text{; } (\text{sub}(j=j+1,u) - \text{sub}(j=j-1,u))/2;
\text{procedure } \text{dedi}(u) \text{; } (\text{sub}(i=i+1,u) - 2*sub(i=i-1,u));
\]
procedure dedj(u); (sub(j=j+1,u)-2*u+sub(j=j-1,u)); 

Second, interpolate in the x direction where \( x_i = (x - X_{i,j})/H \).

\[
\text{uu}:=u(i,j) \\
\text{uu}:=\text{uu}+\gamma \ast (\mu_d(uu)+\text{ded}_i(uu)\times x/2)\times x \\
\hspace{1cm}+\gamma^2 \ast \text{ded}_i(\mu_d(uu)+\text{ded}_i(uu)\times x/4)\times x*(x^2-1)/6 \\
\hspace{1cm}+\gamma^3 \ast \text{ded}_i(\mu_d(uu)+\text{ded}_i(uu)\times x/6) \\
\hspace{1cm}*x*(x^2-1)*(x^2-4)/120 \\
\hspace{1cm}+\gamma^4 \ast \text{ded}_i(\mu_d(uu)+\text{ded}_i(uu)\times x/8)) \\
\hspace{1cm}*x*(x^2-1)*(x^2-4)*(x^2-9)/5040 \\
\]

Third, interpolate in the y direction where \( y_i = (y - Y_{i,j})/H \).

\[
\text{uu}:=u(i,j) \\
\text{uu}:=\text{uu}+\gamma \ast (\mu_d(uu)+\text{ded}_j(uu)\times y/2)\times y \\
\hspace{1cm}+\gamma^2 \ast \text{ded}_j(\mu_d(uu)+\text{ded}_j(uu)\times y/4)\times y*(y^2-1)/6 \\
\hspace{1cm}+\gamma^3 \ast \text{ded}_j(\mu_d(uu)+\text{ded}_j(uu)\times y/6) \\
\hspace{1cm}*y*(y^2-1)*(y^2-4)/120 \\
\hspace{1cm}+\gamma^4 \ast \text{ded}_j(\mu_d(uu)+\text{ded}_j(uu)\times y/8)) \\
\hspace{1cm}*y*(y^2-1)*(y^2-4)*(y^2-9)/5040 \\
\]

4.3 Iteratively construct the slow manifold

Repetitively find updates to the subgrid microscale field until all residuals are zero.

\[
\text{for iter:=1:19 do begin} \\
\text{Update the u field and evolution} \\
\text{Form the residuals of lattice equations} \\
\text{and all the coupling conditions, using q to count the number of equations.} \\
\text{First the corner equations.} \\
\text{write "Compute the u residual";}
\]
q:=0; % counts the number of equations used
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=ud(1,1);
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=ud(1,ns);
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=ud(ns,1);
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=ud(ns,ns);

Now adjoin the residuals of the interpatch/element coupling conditions. First, if \( r = 1 \), then use the interelement coupling conditions.

\[
\text{if } r=1 \text{ then for } ll:=2:ns-1 \text{ do begin}
\]
\[
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=uij(ns,ll)-(1-gamma)*uij(n+1,ll)
-\gamma*\text{sub}(i=i+1,uij(n+1,ll));
\]
\[
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=uij(1,ll)-(1-gamma)*uij(n+1,ll)
-\gamma*\text{sub}(i=i-1,uij(n+1,ll));
\]
\[
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=uij(ll,ns)-(1-gamma)*uij(ll,n+1)
-\gamma*\text{sub}(j=j+1,uij(ll,n+1));
\]
\[
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=uij(ll,1)-(1-gamma)*uij(ll,n+1)
-\gamma*\text{sub}(j=j-1,uij(ll,n+1));
\]
\[
\text{end}
\]

For the following patch coupling conditions (when \( r < 1 \)), recall that \( uu \) stores an expression for classic Lagrange interpolation from neighbouring grid values.

\[
\text{else for } ll:=2:ns-1 \text{ do begin}
\]
\[
xy:=r*(ll-n-1)/n; % fraction distance along side
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=uij(ns,ll)-\text{sub}\{xi=r,yi=xy\},uu;
\]
\[
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=uij(1,ll)-\text{sub}\{xi=-r,yi=xy\},uu;
\]
\[
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=uij(ll,ns)-\text{sub}\{yi=r,xi=xy\},uu;
\]
\[
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=uij(ll,1)-\text{sub}\{yi=-r,xi=xy\},uu;
\]
\[
\text{end}
\]

Adjoin the residuals of the interior subgrid equations which are a simple, second order, centred difference approximations to the PDE.

\[
\text{for } ii:=2:ns-1 \text{ do for } jj:=2:ns-1 \text{ do}
\]
\[
eqns(q:=q+1,1):=-df(uij(ii,jj),t)*dx^2
+nu*((uij(ii+1,jj)-2*uij(ii,jj)+uij(ii-1,jj))}
\[(uij(ii, jj+1)-2*uij(ii, jj)+uij(ii, jj-1)))\]
\[+alpha*dx^2*(uij(ii, jj)-uij(ii, jj)^3);\]
\[+%+eps*dx^2*rr*uij(ii, jj);\]
\[+%+eps*dx^2*sub{{xi=(ii-n-1)/n,yi=(jj-n-1)/n},ffiij};\]

Last is the amplitude condition that the central value is the unchanged amplitude (could make the amplitude equal to the element mean if necessary), and set ok if all the right-hand sides are zero.

\[\text{%+eps*dx^2*sub}{{xi=(ii-n-1)/n,yi=(jj-n-1)/n},ffiij};\]

Form matrix of the linear equations  In the first iteration only, now form matrix of the linear equations from the perturbation variables ud and gd that have been carried around in this first iterate. Extract these unknowns and assign their coefficients to the lu matrix. Then perform the LU decomposition for later solution of the homological equation. Lastly remove the symbolic unknowns.

\[\text{if iter=1 then begin}\]
\[\text{for qq:=1:nn do begin q:=0; for ii:=1:ns do for jj:=1:ns do lu(qq,q:=q+1):=-coeffn(eqns(qq,1),ud(ii,jj),1); lu(qq,q:=q+1):=-coeffn(eqns(qq,1),gd,1); end; write "starting LU decomposition to store"; lu_decomp(); write "finished LU decomposition"; showtime; Comment get rid of perturbation variables hereafter; let { ud(\^ii,^jj)\(=>0,\ gd\(=>0 }{; end; \% the first iterate linear equation stuff}

Use the factorisation stored in lu to solve for the updates, and assign to the relevant g and u_{i,j}.

\[\text{write "Back substitution solves for updates";}\]
lu_backsub();
gij:=gij+eqns(nn,1)/dx^2;
q:=0;
for ii:=1:ns do for jj:=1:ns do
  uij(ii,jj):=uij(ii,jj)+eqns(q:=q+1,1);
     □ □ □
Terminate the loop when all residuals are zero.
     □ □ □
showtime;
  if ok then write iter:=1000000+iter;
end;
     □ □ □

5 Postprocessing numerical

5.1 Write a sci/matlab function script
Optionally generate an efficient sci/matlab function in file gl2dnumred. This function file is to be processed with the unix script red2mat and then it can be used in matlab to integrate solutions using ode solvers.

     □ □ □
write "
Generating mat/scilab function file in gl2dnumred ";
<< functionfile >>
write "finished generating mat/scilab file";
write "Use sed -f red2mat gl2dnumred > gl2dnpde.m";
showtime;
     □ □ □

5.2 Derive the equivalent PDE
Optionally derive the equivalent PDE for this model.

     □ □ □
if 1 then begin write "
Optionally find the equivalent partial differential equation of the holistic discretisation.
";
5.3 Derive the centred difference form

Optionally derive the finite difference form of the evolution.

```plaintext
if 1 then begin
    write "Generate evolution in terms of centred finite difference operators md=mu*delta and dd=delta^2 in each of the x and y directions."
end;
```

Additionally write the coefficients of three parts in the $O(\alpha^3 + \gamma^3)$ model.

```plaintext
write g2nd:=factorize(coefn(h^2*gop,gam,2));
write g3rd:=factorize(coefn(h^2*gop,gam,3));
write gnon:=factorize(coefn(coefn(h^2*gop,alf,1),gam,1));
```

Gather results of gnon into a list and fit rational Pade functions in $1/n^2$.

Need an odd number of results. Note that $r_n = 1/n$ and the multiplication by four of the coefficient.

```plaintext
write "Some meta-analysis of different subgrid resolutions";
ns:={2,3,4,5,6,7,8};
cs:={1/72
    ,1/60
    ,1/79/10136
    ,1/75/42762
    ,1/679909/36998632
    ,1/237808723/12834019900
    ,1/133046058951/7141880630840
};
```
operator a; operator b;
procedure paden(ns,cs);
begin
  o:=(length(ns)-1)/2;
  vars:=for p:=0:o join {a(p),b(p)};
  eqns:=(b(0)=1).(for l:=1:length(ns) collect
  (for p:=0:o sum b(p)/part(ns,l)^(2*p)) * part(cs,l)*4=
  (for p:=0:o sum a(p)/part(ns,l)^(2*p)));
  soln:=solve(eqns,vars);
  return sub(soln,(for p:=0:o sum a(p)*rn^(2*p))/
  (for p:=0:o sum b(p)*rn^(2*p)));
end;
padefull:=paden(ns,cs);
on rounded; write largenlimit:=sub(rn=0,padefull); off rounded;
write pade4:=paden({2,3,4},
  {1/72,
   1/60,
   179/10136});

5.4 Fin numerical
Give the grand final ‘end’ statement, and output all the code.

\[\text{end;}

6 Factorisation and solution of linear equations
6.1 LU decomposition
This LU decomposition was adapted from Numerical recipes in Fortran 77 [9].
Requires matrices lu(n,n), indx(n,1) and eqns(n,1) to be predefined. Matrices are global in scope in Reduce so we do not bother passing parameters.

\[\text{lu_decomp }

% see cadsmpd2d.pdf for documentation, adapted from Press et al.
procedure lu_decomp;
begin scalar n,np,i,j,k,imax,dd,aamax,dum,sum,tiny;
tiny:=1.0e-20;
n:=first(length(lu));
dd:=1;
for i:=1:n do begin
  aamax:=abs(lu(i,1));
  for j:=2:n do aamax:=max(aamax,abs(lu(i,j)));
  vv(i,1):=1/aamax;
end;
for j:=1:n do begin
  for i:=1:(j-1) do
    lu(i,j):=lu(i,j)-(for k:=1:(i-1) sum lu(i,k)*lu(k,j));
aamax:=0;
  for i:=j:n do begin
    lu(i,j):=lu(i,j)-(for k:=1:(j-1) sum lu(i,k)*lu(k,j));
    dum:=vv(i,1)*abs(lu(i,j));
    if dum>=aamax then begin
      imax:=i;
      aamax:=dum;
    end;
  end;
  if not(j=imax) then begin
    for k:=1:n do begin
      dum:=lu(imax,k);
      lu(imax,k):=lu(j,k);
      lu(j,k):=dum;
    end;
    dd:=-dd;
    vv(imax,1):=vv(j,1);
  end;
  indx(j,1):=imax;
  if lu(j,j)=0 then lu(j,j):=tiny;
  if not(j=n) then begin
    dum:=1/lu(j,j);
    for i:=(j+1):n do lu(i,j):=lu(i,j)*dum;
  end;
end;
end;

⋄ ⋄ ⋄
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6.2 LU back substitution

This LU back substitution was adapted from Numerical recipes in Fortran 77 [9]. Requires matrices \( lu(n,n) \), \( indx(n,1) \) and \( eqns(n,1) \) to be predefined: the first two must be obtained from procedure \( lu\_decomp \); and the last may be algebraic. Matrices are global in scope in Reduce so we do not bother passing parameters.

\[
\text{procedure lu\_backsub;}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
% \text{see cadsmpd2d.pdf for documentation, adapted from Press et al.} \\
\begin{align*}
\text{procedure lu\_backsub;}
\text{begin scalar n,i,j,ii,ll,sum;} \\
\text{n:=first(length(lu));} \\
\text{ii:=0;} \\
\text{for i:=1:n do begin} \\
\text{ll:=indx(i,1);} \\
\text{sm:=eqns(ll,1);} \\
\text{eqns(ll,1):=eqns(i,1);} \\
\text{if not(ii=0) then} \\
\quad \text{sm:=sm-(for j:=ii:(i-1) sum lu(i,j)*eqns(j,1))} \\
\text{else if not(sm=0) then ii:=i;} \\
\text{eqns(i,1):=sm;} \\
\text{end;} \\
\text{for i:=n step -1 until 1 do eqns(i,1):=(eqns(i,1)} \\
\quad -(for j:=(i+1):n sum lu(i,j)*eqns(j,1)))/lu(i,i); \\
\text{end;} \\
\text{end;} \\
\end{align*}
\]
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