Long-term effect of ethanol on the bond strength of fiber-glass posts to root dentin
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Abstract
Objective: To evaluate the long-term effect of ethanol (EtOH) application on the bond strength of adhesive systems used in post luting to root dentin.
Methods: Bovine roots (n=40) had their root channel prepared for post luting and were etched with 37% phosphoric acid (15 s). Specimens were distributed according to adhesive system and EtOH application. Control groups received only the application of adhesives Adper ScothBond Multi-Purpose Plus (ASb), ou Adper Single Bond (SB). Experimental groups received previous EtOH application for 1 minute (EtOH+ASb and EtOH+SB groups). After fiber-glass post luting, specimens were stored for 24 h, or 12 months, and later subjected to push-out test (n=5). Data were analyzed by three-way analysis of variance and Tukey test (α<0.05).
Results: The EtOH application did not influence the bond strength values in immediate evaluation (24 h), regardless of the adhesive system used (p>0.05). After 12 months, it was observed significant statistically reduction of adhesive resistance (p<0.05); however the EtOH+SB group did not presented statistical difference between the evaluated storage periods (p>0.05).
Conclusion: EtOH pre-treatment contributes to maintain the long-term bond strength, when water and ethanol-based adhesive system is used.
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Efeito em longo prazo da aplicação de etanol na resistência adesiva de pinos de fibra de vidro cimentados à dentina radicular

Resumo
Objetivo: Avaliar o efeito em longo prazo da aplicação de etanol (EtOH) na resistência adesiva de sistemas adesivos utilizados na cimentação de pinos à dentina radicular.
Métodos: Raízes bovinas (n=40) tiveram o canal radicular preparado para receber pino, sendo realizado o condicionamento com ácido fosfórico 37% (15 s). Os espécimes foram distribuídos de acordo o sistema adesivo e aplicação de EtOH. Grupos experimentais receberam apenas aplicação dos adesivos Adper ScothBond Multi-Purpose Plus (ASb), ou Adper Single Bond (SB). Grupos experimentais receberam aplicação prévia de EtOH durante 1 minuto (EtOH+ASb e EtOH+SB). Após a cimentação, os espécimes, foram armazenados por 24h, ou 12 meses, sendo submetidos ao teste push out (n=5). Os dados foram avaliados estatisticamente por análise de variância a 3 critérios e teste Tukey (α<0,05).
Resultados: O tratamento com EtOH não influenciou os valores de resistência de união na avaliação imediata (24h), independente do sistema adesivo empregado (p>0,05). Após 12 meses, observou-se redução estatisticamente significante da resistência adesiva (p<0,05), entretanto o grupo EtOH+SB não mostrou diferença estatística entre os tempos avaliados (p>0,05).
Conclusão: O pré-tratamento com EtOH contribui para manutenção da resistência de união em longo prazo, quando utilizado sistema adesivo a base de água e etanol.
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Introduction

Fiber-glass posts have been used to restore teeth with significant loss of coronal structure, as an alternative to metal cast posts. Besides the esthetic advantages related with its light transmission characteristics and similar color to teeth structures, the fiber-glass posts may be adhesively cemented and have a modulus of elasticity close to that of dentin, which minimizes the possibility of fracture of the remaining root [1]. However, the adhesive bonding of such posts to root dentin remains a frequently observed problem, due to hydrolytic degradation of the hybrid layer, which can result to the long-term reduction in bond strength [2-4].

Therefore, the adhesive failure within the hybrid layer can be the result of incomplete replacement of water prior to adhesive application [5-8]. Current adhesive systems use solvents such as water, acetone, alcohol or a combination of those components in order to remove the excess of moisture within the demineralized dentinal matrix, thus optimizing the long-term stability of the hybrid layer [9,10]. By contrast, the inclusion of a higher volume of solvent in the adhesive system results in a weakened hybrid layer [8,11].

Pretreatment of the dentin with ethanol (EtOH), following acid etching and prior to the application of the adhesive, has been used to remove the excess of residual water from the etched dentin, thus optimizing the stability of hybrid layer, due to higher infiltration of the adhesive, as well as maintaining the bond strength values through time [9,10,12].

Studies have evaluated the effect of pretreating root dentin with EtOH on the bond strength of different adhesive systems, which revealed bond strength values that were maintained initially [8,13]. However, such studies have tested self-etching adhesive systems or self-etching resin cements only for a short period of time [8,13-15]. Consequently, long-term evaluation is necessary, including single or two-bottles systems, which contain different formulations of solvents in their composition (water or a mixture of water and alcohol), when used after acid etching in combination with conventional resin cements.

Considering the diversity of adhesive systems available in the market, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the long-term effect of ethanol (EtOH) application on the bond strength of adhesive systems used in post luting to root dentin. Tested hypothesis was that the bond strength is influenced through time by pre-treatment with EtOH, and by the adhesive system used.

Methods

Experimental design

This was a blind in vitro study, randomized in relation to the analyses, where the study factors were: the application of ethanol (EtOH), the adhesive system used and the long-term bond strength. Forty bovine roots were prepared and etched using 37% phosphoric acid for 15 seconds and subsequently divided according to treatments: no treatment (control) – application of the adhesive system Adper Scotchbond Multi-Purpose® (ASb); no treatment (control) – application of the adhesive system Single Bond® (SB); irrigation with 100% ethanol for 1 minute, followed by the application of Adper Scotchbond Multi-Purpose® (EtOH+ASb); irrigation with 100% ethanol for 1 minute, followed by the application Single Bond® (EtOH+SB).

Specimen preparation

Forty bovine incisors of similar root anatomy and fully developed root apices were selected, stored in 0,02% Thymol solution and prepared one month after extraction. The crown of every tooth was removed at the cemento-enamel junction at a right angle with the longitudinal axis of the root using a diamond disk at low speed and water-cooling (Isomet 2000; Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, IL, USA). Subsequently, apical sealing was performed using temporary restoration material (Cavit® W, Premier Dental Products, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil).

All root canals were prepared by an appropriately trained operator. The root pulp and the pre-dentin were removed from the canal, which was then prepared using a rotary bur Largo #6 and a K-type file #130 (Maillefer®, Ballaigues, VD, Switzerland). The root canals were irrigated using 5 mL of saline solution (0.85% NaCl) to remove the remaining tissue. The canals were etched using 37% phosphoric acid (Cond AC 37®, FGM, Joinville, SC, Brazil) for 15 seconds, rinsed with 20 mL of saline solution, and randomly divided by lottery into 4 groups, according to the treatments and adhesive systems used.

For the control groups, the adhesive systems were applied without the previous EtOH treatment. Control groups were named as Adper Scotchbond Multi-Purpose® – ASb (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, EUA); and Adper Single Bond – SB (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, EUA). The composition and classification of adhesive systems used in this study are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Composition and classification of adhesive systems used in this study.

| Adhesive System              | Adhesive System classification | Adhesive Solvent |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Adper Scotchbond Multi-Purpose® (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, EUA) | Conventional three-steps adhesive. Two bottles. | Water |
| Single Bond® (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, EUA) | Conventional two-steps adhesive. One bottle | Water and Ethanol |

For ASb group, two applications of primer were performed for 15 seconds, within 1 minute interval between them, for solvent evaporation. After this, an layer of adhesive was applied, followed by removing the excess with the aid of sterile absorbent paper cones (Tanar®, Manaus, AM, Brazil) and light curing for 30 seconds (Ultraled®, Dabi Atlante, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil). The SB group received two applications of adhesive system, within
1 minute interval between them, for solvent evaporation. After removing the excess with the aid of sterile absorbent paper cones, light curing was performed for 30 seconds. Experimental groups had the roots rinsed with EtOH, for 1 minute, previously the adhesive system application. The adhesive system application followed the same protocol described before, and groups were named as EtOH+ASb and EtOH+SB.

The fiber-glass posts were cleaned with 37% phosphoric acid for 30 seconds, rinsed with saline (0.85% NaCl), and dried using jets of air. Two layers of bonding agent Silane (Silano®, Angelus®, Londrina, PR, Brasil) were applied onto the posts (2 minutes apart), followed by a layer of the adhesive (Scotchbond Multi-Purpose®), which was light-cured (Ultraled®, Dabi Atlante, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brasil) from each aspect for 30 seconds, i.e. 2 minutes altogether [13].

The dual-cure resin cement (AllCem® dual-cure A1, FGM®, Joinville, SC, Brazil) was mixed and inserted into the canal using a spiral bur. Subsequently, the fiber-glass post (White Post DC®, size 4, FGM®, Joinville, SC, Brasil) was coated in resin cement and placed into the canal under finger pressure for 20 seconds. The excess of material was removed and the cement light-cured for 2 minutes.

The specimens from each group were randomly divided by lottery into two subgroups (n=5), according to storage time: 24 h (immediate group) and 12 months in sterile distilled and deionized water (long-term group). The number of specimens in each group was determined by preliminary tests, which demonstrated that the sample size was enough to yield an adequate power (80%) to detect statistically significant differences.

Push-out test

Each root was cross-sectioned perpendicular to the long axis using a water-cooled diamond disk at low speed (Isomet 2000, Buehler Ltd.), yielding six slices of approximately 1 mm in thickness. The first slice was excluded, thus leaving 5 slices for testing. The mean bond strength was calculated for each root (n=5).

The push-out test was performed via the application of a load in the apex-crown direction at a speed of 0.5 mm/min, until the fiber-glass post was dislodged.

Bond strength values were recorded in Newton (N) and converted into MPa by dividing the reading in N by the interface area (mm²) between the post and the dentin. The latter was calculated using the formula \( \pi (R+r) \sqrt{(h^2+(R-r)^2)/2} \), where R is the radius of the coronal aspect of the root, r is the radius of the apical aspect of the root and h is the thickness of the slice [13]. The thickness of each slice was measured using a digital gauge (Vonder, Curitiba, PR, Brazil) and the bonding area of each root segment was measured at 20× magnification, using a stereoscopic lens (Lambda Let 2, ATTO Instruments Co., Hong Kong, China) and the software ImageLab 2.3 (University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil).

Statistical analyses

The mean bond strength values were statistically tested using three-way Analysis of Variance and Tukey’s comparison tests (α<0.05). The factors under study were: the application of ethanol (EtOH), the adhesive system used and the long-term bond strength.

Results

Table 2 presents the bond strength values of fiber-glass posts according to root dentin treatment, adhesive system and storage time. EtOH treatment did not have an effect on the immediate bond strength values (24h), regardless of the adhesive system used (p>0.05). After 12 months, a statistically significant reduction in bond strength was observed (p<0.05), except for the EtOH+SB group, which did not show a significant difference between the two conditions evaluated (p>0.05). Table 2 shows the bond strength values of fiber-glass posts according to the root dentin treatment, the adhesive system and the storage times evaluated.

There was a statistically significant interaction (p<0.05) for the combined effect of the three factors under study. It was observed that pre-treatment with EtOH, associated with the use of an adhesive system with water and ethanol-based solvent, contributed to maintaining the integrity of union after 12 months of storage. A statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between storage periods was observed for all the other groups.

Table 2. Bond strength of fiber-glass posts according to root dentin treatment, adhesive system and storage time.

| Pretreatment | Adhesive System | Storage time |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|
|              |                | Immediate    | 12 months    |
| No treatment (control) | Adper Scotchbond Multi-Purpose® | 9.16 ± 3.87 4a b | 4.49 ± 1.99 9ab |
|               | Single Bond®   | 9.26 ± 4.08 4a b | 4.66 ± 2.03 9ab |
| Ethanol       | Adper Scotchbond Multi-Purpose® | 8.61 ± 3.00 4a b | 5.84 ± 2.71 9ab |
|               | Single Bond®   | 9.31 ± 2.80 4a b | 9.46 ± 3.26 9ab |

Different capital letters indicate significant difference between pretreatments (p<0.05)
Different lower case letters indicate significant difference between storage times (p<0.05)
Different symbols indicate significant difference between adhesive systems (p<0.05)
Discussion

The tested hypothesis in this study was partially rejected, once the results have demonstrated that the preservation of the bond strength by EtOH was obtained only for the group in which a two-steps adhesive system (EtOH+SB) was used, due probably to the solvent incorporated into the adhesive system (water and ethanol). The EtOH present in the SB system, when combined with the EtOH applied onto the root dentin, may have removed the excess of water from the etched dentin, creating a stable long-term bond [15].

In the specimens with no Et-OH pre-treatment (control), for which a water and ethanol-based adhesive was used (SB), the adhesive solvent in its composition was not able to effectively remove the excess of moisture, resulting in similar bond strength values to the groups for which the adhesive containing water-based solvents was used (ASb and EtOH+ASb). Therefore, the maintenance of the bond strength values was influenced by the use of EtOH as a pretreatment combined with a water and ethanol-based adhesive system (SB). These findings corroborate those in the literature, which suggest that the remaining moisture in the matrix contributes towards the hydrolytic degradation of the adhesive interface and the lixiviation of resin components [2,3].

The use of adhesive systems that contain water as a solvent resulted in a long-term reduction in bond strength values, as per observed in this study, corroborating the data in the literature [10]. In the groups treated with Adper ScotchBond Multi-Purpose®, the expected evaporation of excess water by EtOH pretreatment may have been inhibited by the presence of water in the composition of the adhesive system, which may have accounted for the reduced values after 12 months.

Another relevant aspect to EtOH pretreatment is the lesser diameter of the collagen fibers when compared with the dentin matrix saturated with water. When the dentin is saturated with ethanol, horizontal shortening of the fibers occurs by approximately 83%, resulting in greater space between the fibrils. This shortening happens due to hydrogen bridges formed within each fibril and the greater interfibrillar space becomes available for resin impregnation [8,16]. Therefore, a greater interfibrillar space may explain the higher bond strength [15].

Similar to the findings from this study, the effectiveness of the immediate bond by adhesive systems may be considered satisfactory and not influenced by EtOH pretreatment [12,17]. Nonetheless, the maintenance of long-term bond strength values (after 12 months) was observed only when EtOH pretreatment was used with an adhesive system containing water and ethanol as solvents (EtOH+SB), which corroborate the findings in the literature [3,12,13,16,18].

The use of simplified adhesive systems, such as the SB, can inhibit the complete polymerization of resin cements with chemical or dual activation, due to acid-base reaction of acidic monomers with amines used as polymerization initiators [20-22]. However, the incomplete polymerization does not occur in all the layer of the resin cement, but in some isolated spots in the surface layer [21], which may reduce the bond strength, but without derail their use [20]. The incompatibility of these materials, however, seems not to have been observed in this study, where the use of EtOH pre-treatment and the SB adhesive system contributed to greater long-term bond strength.

In the present study, bovine teeth were used for performing bond strength tests because the bovine dentin has been increasingly used as a substitute for human dentin, due to its availability and easily sample standardization [23,24]. In addition, the bovine dentin morphological features, such as number of dentinal tubules and organic matrix, are similar to those found in human dentin [23,25].

The analysis of bond strength durability in this study was limited to 12 months, following storage in sterile distilled and deionized water. The evaluation of bond strength and durability using other adhesive systems, following ageing assays and thermocycling, may contribute to ameliorate the knowledge on the effects of dentinal pretreatment with EtOH on the bond strength to root dentin. With the advance of new restorative materials and adhesive protocols, further studies are needed to evaluate materials and techniques, as well as the durability of adhesive procedures and their clinical behavior.

Conclusion

It was concluded that the ethanol application, prior to the use of an adhesive system which solvent is a mixture of water and ethanol, helps to maintain the long-term bond strength of fiber-glass posts cemented to the root dentine.
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