Subalgebras of $gc_N$ and Jacobi polynomials

Alberto De Sole , Victor G. Kac

Department of Mathematics, MIT
77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
E–mails: desole@math.mit.edu
kac@math.mit.edu

To Robert Moody on his 60–th birthday.

Abstract

We classify the subalgebras of the general Lie conformal algebra $gc_N$ that act irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^N$ and that are normalized by the $sl_2$–part of a Virasoro element. The problem turns out to be closely related to classical Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(-\sigma,\sigma)}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$.

The connection goes both ways – we use in our classification some classical properties of Jacobi polynomials, and we derive from the theory of conformal algebras some apparently new properties of Jacobi polynomials.

1. Introduction: basic definitions and techniques

Lie conformal algebras encode the singular part of the operator product expansion of formal distributions on the circle. Therefore they are important for conformal field theory on the one hand, and for the theory of infinite–dimensional Lie algebras on the other hand [1].

In this paper we study subalgebras of the Lie conformal algebra $gc_N$ associated to the Lie algebra of differential operators on the circle with coefficients in the space of $N \times N$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$. Quite surprisingly, this problem turns out to be closely related to classical Jacobi polynomials.

Recall that a Lie conformal algebra $R$ is defined (see [2], [3]) as a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module endowed with a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $R \otimes R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes R$ denoted by $a \otimes b \rightarrow [a_\lambda b]$ and called $\lambda$-bracket, satisfying the following axioms:

$$
[\partial a_\lambda b] = -\lambda[a_\lambda b], \quad [a_\lambda \partial b] = (\lambda + \partial)[a_\lambda b] \quad \text{(sesquilinearity)}
$$
$$
[b_\lambda a] = -[a_{-\lambda-\partial} b] \quad \text{(skewsymmetry)}
$$
$$
[a_\lambda [b_\mu c]] - [b_\mu [a_\lambda c]] = [[a_\lambda b]_{\lambda+\mu} c] \quad \text{(Jacobi identity)}
$$

for $a, b, c \in R$. Here and further, $\otimes$ stands for the tensor product of vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$. We call rank of a Lie conformal algebra its rank as $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ module.

A module $M$ over the Lie conformal algebra $R$ is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module endowed with a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear map $R \otimes M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes M$ denoted by $a \otimes v \rightarrow a_\lambda v$ and called $\lambda$-action, satisfying the following axioms:

$$
(\partial a)_\lambda v = -\lambda(a_\lambda v), \quad a_\lambda(\partial v) = (\lambda + \partial)(a_\lambda v)
$$
$$
a_\lambda(b_\mu v) - b_\mu(a_\lambda v) = [a_\lambda b]_{\lambda+\mu} v \quad (1.1)
$$
for $a, b \in R$ and $v \in M$. The notions of homomorphism, ideal and subalgebras of a Lie conformal algebra are defined in the usual way. For a Lie conformal algebra we can define a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear product $R \otimes R \to R$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denoted by: $a \otimes b \to a_{(n)} b$ and given by:

$$[a \lambda b] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \lambda^{(n)} a_{(n)} b,$$

(1.2)

where we are using the notation: $\lambda^{(n)} := \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}$. Similarly, for a conformal module over a Lie conformal algebra, we have the corresponding notion of $n$th action $R \otimes M \to M$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, given by:

$$a \lambda v = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \lambda^{(n)} a_{(n)} v.$$

Particularly important in physics is the Virasoro conformal algebra. It is defined as the free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module of rank 1 generated by an element $L$, with $\lambda$-bracket defined by

$$[L \lambda L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L$$

(1.3)

and extended to $\mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes L$ using sesquilinearity. In any Lie conformal algebra $R$ we call a Virasoro element $L \in R$ any element satisfying the $\lambda$-bracket relation (1.3) and such that $L_{(0)} a = \partial a \ \forall a \in R$ and $L_{(1)}$ is a diagonalizable operator over $\mathbb{C}$ in $R$. Clearly, given a Virasoro element $L \in R$, we construct a Virasoro conformal subalgebra in $R$ by taking $\mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes L \subset R$.

We emphasize here the following important fact about any Virasoro element $L$ of a Lie conformal algebra $R$. Consider the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields in $\mathbb{C}$,

$$W = \bigoplus_{n \geq -1} \mathbb{C}L_n,$$

(1.4)

where $L_n = -z^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, so that $[L_m , L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n}$. Then we get a representation of $W$ on $R$ given by:

$$L_n(a) = L_{(n+1)} a.$$

As an immediate consequence we have a representation on $R$ of the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2 = \text{span}\{E, F, H\} \subset W,$$

(1.5)

where: $E = L_1$, $F = -L_{-1}$, $H = -2L_0$ and $H$ is a diagonalizable operator.

In the present paper we will be concerned mainly with the most important example of infinite rank Lie conformal algebra, the general Lie conformal algebra $\mathfrak{gc}_N$ [1, sec 2.10], [3]. As a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module, it is defined as

$$\mathfrak{gc}_N = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}[\partial] (J^n \otimes \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}).$$

If we denote $J^m_A := J^n \otimes A$, the $\lambda$-bracket between two such elements is given by

$$[J^m_A \lambda J^n_B] = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \binom{m}{j} (\lambda + \partial)^j J^{m+n-j}_{AB} - \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} (-\lambda)^j J^{m+n-j}_{BA}$$
and it is extended to the whole space $g_c N$ using sesquilinearity. There is a natural structure of a $g_c N$–module on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^N$ corresponding to the action of differential operators on functions, given by

$$J^m_A \cdot v := (\lambda + \partial)^m A v$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$, and extended to $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^N$ using axioms (1.1).

It is convenient to identify $g_c N$ with the space of $N \times N$ matrices with entries being polynomials in $\partial$ and $x$

$$g_c N \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x]$$

via the so called symbol map: $\partial^k J^m_A \mapsto \partial^k x^m A$. With this notation the $\lambda$–bracket structure of $g_c N$ becomes

$$[A(\partial, x) \cdot B(\partial, x)] = A(-\lambda, \lambda + \partial + x) \cdot B(\lambda + \partial, x)$$

where $A(\partial, x)$ and $B(\partial, x) \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x]$ and $\cdot$ denotes the product of $N \times N$ matrices. The $\lambda$–action of $g_c N$ on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^N$ becomes

$$A(\partial, x) \cdot v(\partial) = A(-\lambda, \lambda + \partial) \cdot v(\lambda + \partial)$$

so that the $\lambda$–bracket (1.6) takes the more symmetric form

$$[A(\partial, y) \cdot B(\partial, y)] = A(-\lambda, y + \lambda + \partial) \cdot B(\lambda + \partial, y + \lambda)$$

$$-B(\lambda + \partial, y - \lambda) \cdot A(-\lambda, y - \lambda - \partial) .$$

The Lie conformal algebra $g_c N$ plays the same role in the theory of Lie conformal algebras as $\text{gl}_N$ does in the theory of Lie algebras: any module $M = \mathbb{C}[\partial]^N$ over a Lie conformal algebra $R$ is obtained via a homomorphism $R \to g_c N$, [1], [3].

It is an easy exercise to prove that all elements of the form $L = (x + \alpha \partial) \mathbb{I}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ are Virasoro elements of $g_c N$. Here and further $\mathbb{I}$ stands for the identity matrix. In terms of the $y$ variable introduced in (1.8), it becomes

$$L = (x + \alpha \partial) \mathbb{I} = \frac{1}{2} (y - \sigma \partial) \mathbb{I} , \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma = 1 - 2\alpha .$$

These are all Virasoro elements in $g_c 1$, but already for $N = 2$ the complete list is quite complicated [2], and the answer is unknown for $N > 2$. Note also that all Virasoro subalgebras of $g_c 1$ are conjugate to those generated by Virasoro elements.

One knows the classification of finite Lie conformal subalgebras $R$ of $g_c N$ that act irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^N$: $R$ is conjugate to a subalgebra of the finite subalgebra of $g_c N$ consisting of the elements $a(\partial) + \alpha x \mathbb{I}$, where $a(\partial) \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial], \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ [3, Theorem 8.6].
The problem of classifying all infinite rank subalgebras of \( gc_N \) that act irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}[\partial]^N \) is still open. In the present paper we classify all such subalgebras which are normalized with respect to a Virasoro element \( L \) of kind (1.9), in the sense defined below. In particular, we classify all irreducible subalgebras of \( gc_N \) that contain a Virasoro element (1.9) (they are the most interesting \( gc_N \)-subalgebras from the point of view of physics). The analogous, though easier, problem of classification of infinite rank subalgebras of the associative conformal algebra \( C_{end}^N \) has been solved in \([5]\) for \( N = 1 \) (but the problem is still open for \( N > 1 \)). In that paper a complete list of infinite rank subalgebras of \( gc_N \) is also conjectured and our result gives a partial confirmation of that conjecture.

In order to classify subalgebras of the general conformal algebra \( gc_N \) containing a given Virasoro element \( L \), we will use the so called quasi–primary elements. An element \( a \in gc_N \) is called a primary element (with respect to the Virasoro element \( L \)) of conformal weight \( \Delta \) if:

\[
[L \lambda a] = (\partial + \Delta \lambda)a.
\]

This has a nice interpretation in terms of the \( W \)-module structure of \( gc_N \) defined by (1.4). A primary element \( a \in gc_N \) is any element which is annihilated by the subalgebra \( n_+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{C}L_n \) and is an eigenvector of \( L_0 \), the eigenvalue being the conformal weight \( \Delta \). Clearly \( L \) itself is a primary element of conformal weight \( \Delta = 2 \). More generally one defines a quasi–primary element to be an element \( a \in gc_N \) such that:

\[
[L \lambda a] = \partial a + \lambda \Delta a + O(\lambda^3)
\]

In other words \( a \) is a highest weight vector of the Lie algebra \( sl_2 = \text{span}\{E, F, H\} \) defined above, with highest weight \(-2\Delta\).

Notice that for the particular choice of \( L \) as in (1.9) one gets immediately

\[
L_{(1)} a = (n + 1)a
\]

as soon as \( a \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] \simeq \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, y] \) is a homogeneous polynomial in \( \partial, x \) (or, equivalently, in \( \partial, y \)) of degree \( n \). Hence \( L_0 = L_{(1)} \) is diagonal in the basis of monomials \( \partial^k x^n e_{ij} \) (or equivalently \( \partial^k y^n e_{ij} \)), and the eigenvalues are all positive integers. In other words, the Cartan element \( H \in sl_2 \) is diagonalizable on \( gc_N \) with negative even integer eigenvalues. We can apply the following well known result in representation theory of \( sl_2 \):

**Lemma 1.1.** Suppose \( V \) is an \( sl_2 \)-module such that \( H \) is diagonalizable with \( \text{spec}(H) < \text{const} \) and \( \text{spec}(H) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+ = \emptyset \). Then \( V \) decomposes in a direct sum of irreducible Verma modules.

**Corollary 1.2.** For \( L \) defined by (1.9), \( gc_N \) is a direct sum of irreducible Verma modules over \( sl_2 \).

Any element in \( gc_N \) is therefore obtained starting with quasi–primary elements and applying the “lowering operator” \( L_{-1} = \partial \). Conversely, starting with any element \( a \in gc_N \) we get a quasi–primary element by applying a power of the “raising operator” \( L_1 \).

Fix a Virasoro element \( L \) of the form (1.9). The reduced space \( gc_N \) (with respect to \( L \)) is the \( \mathbb{C} \)-span of all quasi–primary elements. It follows by the
previous remarks that any element \( a \in \mathfrak{g}c_N \) can be decomposed uniquely in the following way:

\[
a = \sum_{i \geq 0} \partial^i a^i ,
\]

where \( a^i \in \mathfrak{g}c_N \) and only finitely many terms are non zero. This defines a projection map \( \pi : R \to \mathfrak{g}c_N \) by taking \( \pi(a) = a^0 \).

We now want to define in the reduced space \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_N \) a structure induced by the conformal algebra structure of \( \mathfrak{g}c_N \). Following the idea introduced in [4], for any \( n \geq 0 \) we define a product \( \mathfrak{g}c_N \otimes \mathfrak{g}c_N \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_N \), denoted by \( a \otimes b \to a_{<n>}b \), in the following way: first we take the \( n \)-th product \( a_{(n)}b \) in \( \mathfrak{g}c_N \) and then we project on \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_N \). Namely, if \( a_{(n)}b \) decomposes as in (1.10): \( a_{(n)}b = \sum_{i \geq 0} \partial^i (a_{(n)}b)^i \), we define

\[
a_{<n>}b = (a_{(n)}b)^0 .
\]

We will denote by \( [a_{<\lambda>}b] \) the corresponding \( \lambda \)-bracket in the reduced space:

\[
[a_{<\lambda>}b] = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{(n)} a_{<n>}b = \pi([a \lambda b]) .
\]

Remark 1.3. The skew symmetry of the \( \lambda \)-bracket implies that

\[
a_{<n>}b = (-1)^{n+1} b_{<n>}a .
\]

One can also write down the identity corresponding to the Jacobi identity [4], but we will not need it.

A conformal subalgebra \( R \subset \mathfrak{g}c_N \) is called a normalized subalgebra (with respect \( L \)), if \( L_{(n)}R \subset R \) for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \) or, equivalently, \( R \) is a submodule of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{s}l_2 \subset W \), defined in (1.3).

We shall establish a natural correspondence between normalized subalgebras \( R \subset \mathfrak{g}c_N \) (with respect to \( L \)) and subalgebras \( \hat{R} \) of the space \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_N \) (reduced with respect to the same \( L \)).

Lemma 1.4. Let \( R \subset \mathfrak{g}c_N \) be any normalized subalgebra.

(i) For \( a \in R \), all the coefficients \( a^i \) of the decomposition (1.10) are in \( R \cap \mathfrak{g}c_N \).

In particular \( \pi(R) = R \cap \mathfrak{g}c_N \).

(ii) Moreover, the projection \( \pi(R) \) is a subalgebra of the reduced space \( \mathfrak{g}c_N \), namely it is closed with respect to all \( n \)-th products defined in \( \mathfrak{g}c_N \).

Proof. The first statement follows from Corollary 1.2. For (ii), let \( a, b \in \pi(R) = R \cap \mathfrak{g}c_N \). By definition \( a_{<n>}b = (a_{(n)}b)^0 \), and this is again in \( R \cap \mathfrak{g}c_N \) by part (i).

Lemma 1.5. Let \( \hat{R} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_N \) be a subalgebra of the reduced space.

(i) Suppose \( a, b \in \hat{R} \) are quasi–primary elements of conformal weights \( \Delta(a) \) and \( \Delta(b) \) respectively. For \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) consider the elements \( (a_{(n)}b)^i \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_N \) of the decomposition (1.10). One has

\[
(a_{(n)}b)^i = Ca_{<n+i>}b ,
\]

where \( C \in \mathbb{C} \) is some constant depending on \( \Delta(a) \), \( \Delta(b) \), \( n \) and \( i \). In particular \( (a_{(n)}b)^i \in \hat{R} \) for all \( i \geq 0 \).

(ii) \( \mathbb{C}[\partial] \hat{R} \) is a normalized subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{g}c_N \).
Proof. (i) is obtained by applying recursively \( L(2) \) to both sides of the decomposition \( a_{(n)} b = \sum_{i \geq 0} \partial^i (a_{(n)} b)^i \). We omit this calculation, which can be found in [4]. By (i) we then have that \( a_{(n)} b \in \mathbb{C}[\partial] \hat{R} \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). But then \( \hat{R}_{(n)} R \subset \mathbb{C}[\partial] \hat{R} \), so that, by sesquilinearity, \( \mathbb{C}[\partial] \hat{R} \) is a conformal subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{g}c_N \), thus proving (ii).

As immediate consequence of Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.5 we get the following result:

**Corollary 1.6.** Given a Virasoro element \( L \) of the form (1.9), the maps \( \phi : R \rightarrow \pi(R) \) and \( \phi^{-1} : \hat{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\partial] \hat{R} \) give a bijective correspondence between normalized subalgebras \( R \subset \mathfrak{g}c_N \) and subalgebras \( \hat{R} \) of the reduced space \( \hat{g}c_N \).

In the following sections we will fix a Virasoro element \( L = (x + \alpha \partial) \mathbb{I} = \frac{1}{2}(y - \sigma \partial) \mathbb{I} \) in the general conformal algebra \( \mathfrak{g}c_N \) and we will study the algebra structure of the corresponding reduced space \( \hat{g}c_N \). Remarkably, we find that the quasi–primary elements turn out to be the well-known Jacobi polynomials (in homogeneous form). We will use this fact and Corollary 1.6 in order to classify the subalgebras of \( \mathfrak{g}c_N \) which are normalized with respect to \( L \) and which act irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}[\partial]^N \). To simplify notation, we will consider first the case of \( \mathfrak{g}c_1 \). The generalization to \( \mathfrak{g}c_N \), for any positive integer \( N \), will be discussed in Section 4.

## 2. Algebra structure of the reduced space \( \hat{g}c_1 \)

### 2.1. Basis for \( \hat{g}c_1 \)

We consider the general conformal algebra \( \mathfrak{g}c_1 = \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] \) and we fix a Virasoro element \( L = x + \alpha \partial \). Our first task will be to classify all quasi–primary elements in \( \mathfrak{g}c_1 \), i.e. all elements \( A(\partial, x) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] \) such that \( L_{(2)} A(\partial, x) = 0 \).

As a vector space over \( \mathbb{C} \), \( \mathfrak{g}c_1 \) has a basis consisting of the elements \( \partial^k x^n \).

By (1.4) we have:

\[
[L \lambda \partial^k x^n] = ((1-\alpha)\lambda + \partial + x) (\lambda + \partial)^k x^n - (\alpha \lambda + x) (\lambda + \partial)^k (-\lambda + x)^n.
\]

After a straightforward computation we get the \( (2) \)-nd product:

\[
L_{(2)}(\partial^k x^n) = \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} [L \lambda (\partial^k x^n)] \bigg|_{\lambda=0} = (k(k+1) + 2kn) \partial^{k-1} x^n - (n(n-1) + 2\alpha)n \partial^k x^{n-1}.
\]

By an induction argument, we then get a basis \( \{ Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x), n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \} \) for the space of quasi–primary elements by taking:

\[
Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} \partial^k x^{n-k},
\]

where the coefficients \( c_{n,k} \) are such that the condition of quasi–primarity is satisfied:

\[
0 = L_{(2)} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} L_{(2)}(\partial^k x^{n-k}).
\]
This condition becomes, after using (2.1) and some simple algebraic manipulations, the following recursive relation on the coefficients $c_{n,k}$

$$c_{n,k}(2n - k + 1) = c_{n,k-1}(n - k + 1)(n - k + 2\alpha), \forall n \geq 0, \ k = 1, \ldots, n.$$  

The choice of the leading coefficient $c_{n,0}$ is arbitrary. If we fix $c_{n,0} = 1$ we get at once all other coefficients

$$c_{n,k} = \frac{(2n-k)\binom{n+2\alpha-1}{k}}{\binom{2n}{k}}.$$  

Thus we have proved the following

**Theorem 2.1.** The space $\hat{g}c_1 \subset gc_1$, reduced with respect to the Virasoro element $L = x + \alpha\partial$, is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis

$$Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) = \frac{1}{\binom{2n}{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{2n-k}{n} \binom{n-\sigma}{k} \partial^k x^{n-k}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\sigma = 1 - 2\alpha$. We can write these polynomials in terms of the $y$ variable defined in (1.8), so that a basis of the reduced space $\hat{g}c_1$ is given by the following polynomials in $\partial$ and $y$

$$R_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, y) = Q_n^{(\sigma)}\left(\partial, \frac{1}{2}(y - \partial)\right). \quad (2.3)$$

The first two basis elements are $Q_0^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) = 1$ and $Q_1^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) = x + \alpha\partial = L$. The basis (2.3) for the space of quasi–primary elements was first found in [6] in the particular situation $\sigma = 0$, i.e. for the particular choice of the Virasoro element $L = x + \frac{1}{2}\partial = \frac{1}{2}y$.

### 2.2. Relation with Jacobi polynomials

It is an interesting fact that the polynomials $Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)$ defined by (2.2) are closely related to the Jacobi polynomials. Let us briefly recall the definition and the main properties of Jacobi polynomials. For a more exhaustive discussion on special functions and orthogonal systems, see, for example, [7]. Given two parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the *Jacobi polynomial* $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ of degree $n$ can be defined by:

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) := \binom{\alpha + n}{n} F\left(-n, n + \alpha + \beta + 1; \alpha + 1; \frac{1-y}{2}\right), \quad (2.4)$$

where the hypergeometric function $F(a, b; c; x)$ is given by

$$F(a, b; c; x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\binom{a+n-1}{n}\binom{b+n-1}{n}}{\binom{c+n-1}{n}} x^n. \quad (2.5)$$

The generating function for Jacobi polynomials is given by

$$F^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y, w) := \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)w^n = 2^{\alpha+\beta}R^{-1}[1-w+R]^{-\alpha}[1+w+R]^{-\beta} \quad (2.6)$$
where
\[ R = \sqrt{1 - 2yw + w^2}. \]

Another way to define Jacobi polynomials is as solutions of second order differential equations. More precisely, the Jacobi polynomial \( P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) \) is the unique polynomial of degree \( n \) with leading coefficient \( \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{2n+\alpha+\beta}{n} \) which is a solution of the differential equation
\[
(1 - y^2)u'' + [\beta - \alpha - (\alpha + \beta + 2)y]u' + n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)u = 0 .
\]

In the following, we will also need the symmetry relation between Jacobi polynomials
\[
P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) = (-1)^n P_n^{(\beta, \alpha)}(-y) .
\]

Now we want to relate the quasi–primary elements discussed in the previous section to Jacobi polynomials. Starting by the definition (2.2), it is an easy matter to check the following relation between the polynomials \( Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) \) and the hypergeometric function \( F(a, b; c; x) \)
\[
\binom{2n}{n} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(-1, x) = (-1)^n \binom{n - \sigma}{n} F(-n, n + 1; -\sigma + 1; x) .
\]

But then it follows by the definition (2.4) of Jacobi polynomials and by the fact that \( Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) \) is a homogeneous polynomial in \( \partial \) and \( x \), that the following interesting relation holds
\[
\binom{2n}{n} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) = \partial^n P_n^{(-\sigma, -\sigma)} \left( \frac{2}{\partial} \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \partial \right) \right) .
\]

This relation takes a nicer form in terms of the \( y \) variable defined in (1.8) and the polynomials \( R_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, y) \) defined in (2.3):
\[
\binom{2n}{n} R_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, y) = \partial^n P_n^{(-\sigma, -\sigma)} \left( \frac{y}{\partial} \right) .
\]

Notice how relation (2.10) can also be derived by using the fact that Jacobi polynomials are solutions of the differential equation (2.7). The \( \lambda \)-bracket of \( L = \frac{1}{2}(y - \sigma \partial) \) with a generic element \( q(\partial, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_C \) is:
\[
[L \lambda, q(\partial, y)] = \frac{1}{2} ((1 + \sigma)\lambda + \partial + y)q(\lambda + \partial, \lambda + y) + \frac{1}{2} ((1 - \sigma)\lambda + \partial - y)q(\lambda + \partial, -\lambda + y) .
\]

Looking at this expression as a polynomial in \( \lambda \), we clearly have that the constant term is \( L(0)q(\partial, y) = \partial q(\partial, y) \). Now let’s consider the coefficient of \( \lambda \). We get
\[
L_{(1)}q(\partial, y) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} [L \lambda, q(\partial, y)] \bigg|_{\lambda=0} = (1 + \partial D_1 + y D_2)q(\partial, y) ,
\]
where \( D_1 \) (resp. \( D_2 \)) denotes derivative with respect to the first (resp. second) variable. In particular, if we assume that \( q(\partial, y) \) is a homogeneous polynomial
in $\partial$ and $y$ of degree $n$, it is an eigenvector of $L(1)$ with eigenvalue $n + 1$. In this case $q(\partial, y)$ satisfies the identity

$$ (\partial D_1 + y D_2 - n)q(\partial, y) = 0 \ .$$

By imposing that the coefficient of $\lambda^2$ in (2.11) be equal to zero, we get a necessary and sufficient condition for $q(\partial, y)$ to be a quasi–primary element:

$$ L(2)q(\partial, y) = \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^2 \left[ L \lambda q(\partial, y) \right] \bigg|_{\lambda=0} $$

$$ = [\partial D_1 + \partial D_2 + 2y D_1 D_2 + 2D_1 + 2\sigma D_2]q(\partial, y) = 0 \ .$$

But then just multiplying by $\partial$ and using relation (2.12) we get the differential equation

$$ [(\partial^2 - y^2)D_2^2 + 2(\sigma \partial - y)D_2 + n(n + 1)]q(\partial, y) = 0 \ ,$$

which, after putting $\partial = 1$, is the same as equation (2.7) in the particular case $\beta = -\alpha = \sigma$. Considering that $q(\partial, y)$ is homogeneous in its variables, this implies, apart from a constant factor, that the quasi–primary element $R^{(\sigma)}(\partial, y)$ is related to the Jacobi polynomial $P_n^{(-\sigma,\sigma)}(y)$ by (2.10).

We point out the following interesting symmetry relation satisfied by the polynomials $R^{(\sigma)}(\partial, y)$, which is an immediate consequence of (2.8) for Jacobi polynomials and (2.10):

$$ R^{(\sigma)}(\partial, y) = R^{(-\sigma)}(-\partial, y) \ .$$

In terms of the variable $x$ and the polynomials $Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)$, this same relation becomes

$$ Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) = Q_n^{(-\sigma)}(-\partial, \partial + x) \ .$$

We also find the generating function for the polynomials $R^{(\sigma)}(\partial, y)$ by using the expression (2.6) of the generating function for Jacobi polynomials. The result is the following

$$ R^{(\sigma)}(\partial, y, z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n} R^{(\sigma)}(\partial, y) z^n $$

$$ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2yz + \partial^2 z^2}} \left[ 1 - \partial z + \sqrt{1 - 2yz + \partial^2 z^2} \right]^{\sigma} \ .$$

2.3. Products in $\hat{gc}_1$

In order to find the algebra structure of the reduced space we need to study the $\lambda$-bracket among elements in $\hat{gc}_1$. It is sufficient to consider the basis elements $Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)$ defined in (2.2). By (1.4) we have

$$ [Q_m^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) \lambda Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)] = Q_m^{(\sigma)}(-\lambda, \lambda + \partial + x)Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\lambda + \partial, x) $$

$$ - Q_m^{(\sigma)}(-\lambda, x)Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\lambda + \partial, -\lambda + x) \ .$$

Notice that we are not interested in the entire expression of this $\lambda$-bracket, but only in its projection on the reduced space $\hat{gc}_1$. In order to get it we can use the following:
Lemma 2.2. The projection $\pi : \mathfrak{g}c_1 \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$ is obtained by first putting $\partial = 0$ and then replacing $x^n$ by $Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)$, namely

$$\pi(A(\partial, x)) = A(0, x)|_{x^n \to Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)} .$$  

(2.16)

Proof. Suppose $A(\partial, x)$ decomposes as in (1.10)

$$A(\partial, x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial^k \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n^{(k)} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) \right).$$

Then its projection is

$$\pi(A(\partial, x)) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n^{(0)} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x).$$

On the other hand, by putting $\partial = 0$ in the expression of $A(\partial, x)$ we get

$$A(0, x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n^{(0)} x^n$$

since $Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)$ are defined such that $Q_n^{(\sigma)}(0, x) = x^n$. By comparing the last two equalities we immediately get (2.16). \hfill \Box

If we put $\partial = 0$ in the right hand side of (2.15) we get, after using the symmetry relation (2.13):

$$Q_m^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, x)Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, x) - Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, x)Q_m^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, x).$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.2 we just need to replace $x^n$ with $Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)$ in order to get the $\lambda$–bracket structure of the reduced space $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$:

$$[Q_m^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)_{<\lambda>} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)]$$

(2.17)

$$= Q_m^{(-\sigma)}(\lambda, x)Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, x) - Q_m^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, x)Q_n^{(-\sigma)}(\lambda, x)|_{x^n \to Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)}$$

By (1.11) the $k$-th product is the coefficient of $\lambda^{(k)}$ in this expression. This defines completely the algebra structure of the reduced space $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$.

It is convenient to introduce the following simplified notation for the reduced space $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$. We define the isomorphism

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X]$$

obtained by identifying $Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) = X^n$ and by taking on $\mathbb{C}[X]$ the induced algebra structure. The expression (2.17) for the $\lambda$–bracket in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$ thus becomes

$$[X^m_{<\lambda>} X^n] = Q_m^{(-\sigma)}(\lambda, x)Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, x) - Q_m^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, x)Q_n^{(-\sigma)}(\lambda, x).$$

(2.18)

We now want to find an explicit expression for all $k$-th products. For this, notice that in the right hand side of (2.18) the two terms are obtained one from each other by exchanging

$$\sigma \to -\sigma, \lambda \to -\lambda.$$
Therefore it is sufficient to analyze only the first term. A straightforward computation gives:

\[
Q_m^{(-\sigma)}(\lambda, X)Q_m^{(\sigma)}(\lambda, X) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)} X^{m+n-k},
\]

where:

\[
d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)} = \frac{k!}{(2m)^{(2n)}} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m \atop 0 \leq j \leq n \atop i+j \leq k} \binom{2m-i}{m} \binom{m+\sigma}{i} \binom{2n-j}{n} \binom{n-\sigma}{j}.
\]  \(2.19\)

Using this result we get immediately by Lemma 2.4 the following

**Theorem 2.3.** The k-th product of basis elements in the reduced space \( \mathfrak{g}c_1 \simeq \mathbb{C}[X] \) is given by

\[
X_{<k>}^m X^n = [d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)} + (-1)^{k+1} d_{m,n,k}^{(-\sigma)}] X^{m+n-k},
\]  \(2.20\)

where the coefficients \( d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)} \) are given by \( 2.19 \).

**Remark 2.4.** Notice that coefficients \( d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)} \) satisfy the symmetry relation (cf. Remark 1.3): \( d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)} = d_{n,m,k}^{(-\sigma)} \).

One can compute explicitly products for low values of \( k \). We can assume, by Remark 2.4, \( m \leq n \). For \( k = 0, \ldots, 4 \) one gets:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_{<0>}^m X^n & = 0 \quad \forall m, n \geq 0, \\
X_{<1>}^m X^n & = (m+n)X^{m+n-1} \quad \forall m, n \geq 0 \text{ such that } m+n \geq 1, \\
X_{<2>}^m X^n & = 0 \quad \forall m, n \geq 1, \\
X_{<3>}^m X^n & = -\sigma(n-1)X^{n-2} \quad \forall n \geq 2, \\
X_{<4>}^m X^n & = \begin{cases} 
(2m^2n + 2n^2m - m^2 - n^2 - 5mn + 2m + 2n - 3\sigma^2)X^{m+n-3} & \forall m, n \geq 0 \text{ such that } m+n \geq 3, \\
0 & \forall m, n \geq 0 \\
0 & \forall m, n \geq 2, \\
-\sigma(n-1)(n-2)X^{n-3} & \forall n \geq 3, \\
-\sigma(n-1)(n-3)X^{n-4} & \forall n \geq 4.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

It is also possible to compute explicitly products for values of \( k \) which are close to \( m+n \). For \( k = m+n \) one gets:

\[
X_{<m+n>}^m X^n = \frac{(m+n)!}{(2m)^{(2n)}} \left[ \binom{m+\sigma}{m} \binom{n-\sigma}{n} - (-1)^{m+n} \binom{m-\sigma}{m} \binom{n+\sigma}{n} \right] X^0.
\]

In particular, for \( n = m, m+1, m+2 \) one has:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_{<2m>}^m X^n & = 0, \\
X_{<2m+1>}^m X^{m+1} & = \frac{m+1}{2m} \prod_{k=1}^{m} (k^2 - \sigma^2) X^0, \\
X_{<2m+2>}^m X^{m+2} & = -\sigma \frac{m+1}{2m} \prod_{k=1}^{m} (k^2 - \sigma^2) X^0.
\end{align*}
\]  \(2.22\)
We will also need the expression for the $k$–th product in the particular case of $n = m \geq 1$ and $k = 2n - 1$. In this situation we get:

$$X_{<2m-1>}^m X^m = \frac{2(m+1)}{(2m)} \prod_{k=2}^{m} (k^2 - \sigma^2) X^1. \quad (2.23)$$

In the next section we will use Theorem 2.3 in order to classify subalgebras of $\hat{\text{gc}}_1$ and $\text{gc}_1$.

### 3. Normalized subalgebras of $\text{gc}_1$

We want to classify all subalgebras of $\text{gc}_1$ which are normalized with respect to a given Virasoro element $L = x + \alpha \partial$ and act irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$. Finite subalgebras have been classified in [3], so that it will be sufficient to consider only infinite rank subalgebras.

The main technique consists in looking at the space $\hat{\text{gc}}_1 \simeq \mathbb{C}[X]$, reduced with respect to the same Virasoro element $L$. By Corollary 1.4 every normalized subalgebra $R \subset \text{gc}_1$ corresponds (via the projection map $\pi$) to a subalgebra $\hat{R} \subset \hat{\text{gc}}_1$ of the corresponding reduced space. In particular $R \subset \text{gc}_1$ is of infinite rank as $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$–module if and only if the corresponding subalgebra $\hat{R} \subset \hat{\text{gc}}_1$ is infinite–dimensional over $\mathbb{C}$. Our goal is therefore equivalent to classifying all infinite–dimensional subalgebras of the reduced space $\hat{\text{gc}}_1$.

The following simple fact is very useful in studying subalgebras of $\hat{\text{gc}}_1$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Any subalgebra $\hat{R}$ of the reduced space $\hat{\text{gc}}_1$ decomposes as

$$\hat{R} = \bigoplus_{n \in I} \mathbb{C}X^n, \quad (3.1)$$

for some index set $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$.

**Proof.** Any normalized subalgebra $R \subset \text{gc}_1$ is a module of the Lie algebra $\text{sl}_2$ defined in (1.3). It thus follows by Corollary 1.2 and general arguments in representation theory that $R$ decomposes as direct sum of weight subspaces:

$$R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (R \cap \text{gc}_1[n+1]),$$

where $\text{gc}_1[n+1]$ denotes the eigenspace of $L_0$ with weight $n+1$, namely the space of homogeneous polynomials in $\partial$ and $x$ of degree $n$. Therefore, as immediate consequence of Corollary 1.6 any subalgebra $\hat{R}$ of the reduced space $\hat{\text{gc}}_1$ decomposes accordingly, namely as in (3.1).

According to this Lemma, we describe completely a subalgebra $\hat{R} \subset \hat{\text{gc}}_1$ once we specify the collection $\{X^n, \ n \in I\}$ of basis elements which are in $\hat{R}$.

In order to classify all infinite–dimensional subalgebras $\hat{R} \subset \hat{\text{gc}}_1$ we need to notice the following facts.

**Lemma 3.2.** If $X^0 \in \hat{R}$ then $\hat{R} = \hat{\text{gc}}_1$.

**Proof.** For this, just notice that $X^0_{<1>} X^{n+1} = (n+1)X^n \neq 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ so that $X^{n+1} \in \hat{R}$ implies $X^n \in \hat{R}$. The claim follows by the fact that, since $\hat{R}$ is infinite dimensional, there will be some element $X^n \in \hat{R}$ for arbitrarily large $n$. \qed
Lemma 3.3. For $\sigma \notin \mathbb{Z}$ there are no proper infinite-dimensional subalgebras of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$.

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$ be an infinite-dimensional subalgebra, and suppose $X^m \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ for some $m \gg 0$. By $(2.23)$ we get that $X^m_{\leq 2m-1} \propto X^1$ with non-zero coefficient, so that $X^1 \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. By $(2.21)$ we get that $X^m_{\leq 3} \propto X^{m-2}$ with coefficient $\frac{m(m-1)}{2(2m-1)}[m^2 - m + 1 - 3\sigma^2]$, which will be non-zero for $m$ large enough.

Therefore $X^{m-2} \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Finally by $(2.23)$ we get that $X^m_{\leq 2m + 2} \propto X^0$ with non-zero coefficient. But then $X^0 \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ so that, by Lemma 3.3, $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$. $\Box$

In the following we assume that $\sigma$ is integer, and we denote $\sigma = \pm S$, $S \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Lemma 3.4. If $X^n \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ for some $n$ such that $0 \leq n < S$, then $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$. In other words, any proper subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{R}} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$ is contained in $\bigoplus_{n \geq S} \mathbb{C}X^n$.

Proof. If $n = 0$, the claim follows immediately from Lemma 3.3. Therefore we can assume $1 \leq n < S$. By $(2.23)$ we get $X^m_{\leq 2n-1} \propto X^1$ and the coefficient is non-zero since, by assumption, $\sigma^2 > n^2$. We then have that $X^1 \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$. By $(2.21)$ we get, for $m \geq 2$, that $X^m_{\leq 3} \propto X^m$ and the coefficient is non-zero for all but at most one value of $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (the positive solution of the quadratic equation $m^2 - m + 1 = 3S^2$). By $(2.23)$ we have, for $m \geq 3$ that $X^1_{<k} \propto X^m$ with non-zero coefficient (since, by assumption, $S > 1$). Putting together these two facts it is easy to understand that the only possible situation is $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$. $\Box$

Assume $m$, $n \geq S$ where, as before, $\sigma = \pm S$. It is immediate to check that the coefficient $d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)}$ defined in $(2.19)$ is positive if $m + n - k \geq S$ and it is zero otherwise. In particular, under the condition $m + n - k \geq S$ all products $X^m_{<k} \propto X^n$ with odd $k$ are non-zero. As immediate consequence we have the following:

Lemma 3.5. (i) For $\sigma = \pm S$, $S \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there is a unique maximal proper subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$, namely

\[ \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{S}^{(\pm)} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ X^n : n \geq S \} . \]

(ii) The following subspace

\[ \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{S}^{(\pm)} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ X^n : n \geq S, \ n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 \} \]

is the only other candidate to be a subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$.

In fact we will see that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{S}^{(\pm)}$ is also a subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$. But instead of showing it directly (which requires to prove some non-trivial identities of sums of binomial coefficients) it will follow by Corollary 4 after showing that $\mathbb{C}[\partial]R_{S}^{(\pm)}$ is indeed a normalized subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}c_1$.

Lemma 3.6. The following spaces:

\[ R_{S}^{(+)} = x^S \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] , \]

\[ R_{S}^{(+)}_{S} = \{ x^S[p(\partial, x) + (-1)^{S+1}p(\partial, -\partial - x)] \}, \ p(\partial, x) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] \}, \]

\[ R_{S}^{(-)} = (x + \partial)^S \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] , \]

\[ R_{S}^{(-)}_{S} = \{ (x + \partial)^S[p(\partial, x) + (-1)^{S+1}p(\partial, -\partial - x)] \}, \ p(\partial, x) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] \}, \]
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are subalgebras of $gc_1$. They are normalized with respect to the Virasoro element $L_S^{(±)} = x + \frac{1±S}{2} \partial$.

**Proof.** The proof that $R_S^{(±)}$ and $R_{*, S}^{(±)}$ are closed under $\lambda$-bracket is straightforward and can be found in [3]. We need to prove that $R_S^{(±)}$ and $R_{*, S}^{(±)}$ are normalized subalgebras. In other words we need to show:

\[
\begin{align*}
L_S^{(±)} (i) R_S^{(±)} & \subset R_S^{(±)} \\
L_S^{(±)} (i) R_{*, S}^{(±)} & \subset R_{*, S}^{(±)} , \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2 ,
\end{align*}
\]

where $L_S^{(±)} = x + \frac{±S+1}{2} \partial$. We already know that $L_S^{(±)} (0) A(\partial, y) = \partial A(\partial, y)$ and $L_S^{(±)} (1) A(\partial, y) = (n + 1) A(\partial, y)$ if $A(\partial, y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n$. Therefore (3.2) is obviously satisfied for $i = 0, 1$, and we are left to prove that $R_S^{(±)}$ and $R_{*, S}^{(±)}$ are invariant under the action of $L_S^{(±)} (2)$. By the expression (1.6) of $\lambda$-bracket we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
[L_S^{(±)} (\lambda x^S \partial, x)] & = (x + \partial + \frac{S+1}{2} \lambda)x^S \partial(\lambda + x) \\
& = (x + \frac{S-1}{2} \lambda)(-\lambda + x)^S \partial(\lambda + x, -\lambda + x) .
\end{align*}
\]

To get the action of $L_S^{(±)} (2)$ we need to get the second derivative with respect to $\lambda$ of both sides of (3.3) and put $\lambda = 0$. After some algebraic manipulations one gets:

\[
\begin{align*}
L_S^{(±)} (2) x^S \partial(\partial, x) & = x^S \{ xD_2(2D_1 - D_2) + \partial D_1^2 + (s + 1)(2D_1 - D_2) \} p(\partial, x) ,
\end{align*}
\]

where $D_1$ (resp. $D_2$) denotes the partial derivative with respect to $\partial$ (resp. $x$). From this expression we immediately get that $R_S^{(±)}$ is invariant under the action of $L_S^{(±)} (2)$. Also notice that the differential operator in parenthesis in the right hand side of (3.4) is invariant under the change of variables $\partial \to \partial, x \to x - \partial - x$. This implies that $R_{*, S}^{(±)}$ is also invariant under the action of $L_S^{(±)} (2)$. A similar calculation shows that $R_S^{(-)}$ and $R_{*, S}^{(-)}$ are invariant under the action of $L_S^{(-)} (2)$.

**Corollary 3.7.** For every $S \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
R_S^{(±)} & = \mathbb{C}[\partial] \hat{R}_S^{(±)} , \\
R_{*, S}^{(±)} & = \mathbb{C}[\partial] \hat{R}_{*, S}^{(±)} .
\end{align*}
\]

Moreover, given $L = x + \frac{±S}{2} \partial$, $\{ \hat{R}_S^{(±)}, \hat{R}_{*, S}^{(±)} \}$ is a complete list of infinite-dimensional subalgebras of $\hat{gc}_1$ and $\{ R_S^{(±)}, R_{*, S}^{(±)} \}$ is a complete list of infinite rank normalized subalgebras of $\hat{gc}_1$.

**Proof.** By Corollary 1.6 there is a bijective correspondence between infinite-dimensional subalgebras $\hat{R} \subset \hat{gc}_1$ and infinite rank normalized subalgebras
$R \subset gc_1$, given by $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial] \hat{R}$. By Lemma 3.8 there are at most two infinite-dimensional subalgebras of $\hat{gc}_1$, namely $\hat{R}^{(\pm)}_S$ and $\hat{R}^{(\pm)}_{*S}$, and they satisfy $\hat{R}^{(\pm)}_S \subset \hat{R}^{(\pm)}_S$. By Lemma 3.8 there are at least two infinite rank normalized subalgebras of $gc_1$, namely $R^{(\pm)}_S$ and $R^{(\pm)}_{*S}$, and they satisfy: $\hat{R}^{(\pm)}_{*S} \subset \hat{R}^{(\pm)}_S$. These two facts of course imply the claim. 

We can summarize all the results we have obtained:

**Theorem 3.8.** (i) Let $L = x + \frac{1+\sigma}{2} \partial$ be a Virasoro element of $gc_1 = \mathbb{C}[\partial, x]$. Let $\hat{gc}_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}Q^{(\sigma)}_n(\partial, x)$ be the corresponding reduced space. For $\sigma \not\in \mathbb{Z}$ the reduced space $gc_1$ has no proper infinite-dimensional subalgebras. In the case $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\sigma = \pm S$ with $S \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. A complete list of infinite-dimensional proper subalgebras of $\hat{gc}_1$ is the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{R}^{(\pm)}_S &= \text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{Q^{(\sigma)}_n(\partial, x) ; n \geq S\} \\
\hat{R}^{(\pm)}_{*S} &= \text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{Q^{(\sigma)}_n(\partial, x) ; n \geq S, n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1\}.
\end{align*}
\]

(ii) A complete list of infinite rank, normalized (with respect to a Virasoro element), proper subalgebras of $gc_1$ in the following ($S \in \mathbb{Z}_+$):

\[
\begin{align*}
R^{(+)}_S &= x^SC[\partial, x] , \\
R^{(+)\ast}_S &= \{x^S[p(\partial, x) + (-1)^{S+1}p(\partial, -\partial - x)] , p(\partial, x) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, x]\} , \\
R^{(-)}_S &= (x + \partial)^S\mathbb{C}[\partial, x] , \\
R^{(-\ast)}_S &= \{(x + \partial)^S[p(\partial, x) + (-1)^{S+1}p(\partial, -\partial - x)] , p(\partial, x) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, x]\} ,
\end{align*}
\]

where the corresponding Virasoro element is $L^{(\pm)}_S = x + \frac{1 \pm S}{2} \partial$.

**Remark 3.9.** The subalgebras from Theorem 3.8(ii) that act irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ are $R^{(+)\ast}_S$ and $R^{(-\ast)}_{*S}$.

**Remark 3.10.** From Theorem 3.8 we get, in particular, that all infinite rank subalgebras of $gc_1$ that contain a Virasoro element are $R^{(\pm)}_0, R^{(\pm)}_1, R^{(\pm)}_{*0}, R^{(\pm)}_{*1}$.

4. Generalization to $gc_N$

We want to generalize the results obtained in the previous section to $gc_N = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x]$, $N \geq 1$. Our goal is to classify all conformal subalgebras $R \subset gc_N$ which are of infinite rank, which act irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^N$ and which are normalized with respect to a given Virasoro element $L \in gc_N$. We will restrict ourselves to the case $L = (x + \alpha \partial)I$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. As we pointed out earlier, this assumption is not restrictive only for $N = 1$.

First, we want to study the reduced space $\hat{gc}_N$ and its algebra structure. Due to the fact that $L$ is proportional to the identity matrix, all calculations done to find a basis for $\hat{gc}_1$ can be repeated for the reduced space $\hat{gc}_N$. In other words we have the following immediate generalization of Theorem 2.1.
Theorem 4.1. The space $\hat{g}c_N \subset gc_N$, reduced with respect to the Virasoro element $L = (x + \alpha \partial)1$, is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis

$$Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)E_{ij} , \quad n \geq 0 , \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N ,$$

where $Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)$ is defined by (2.3) and $\sigma = 1 - 2\alpha$.

By denoting, as before, $X^n = Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)$, we can identify the reduced space $\hat{g}c_N$ with $\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[X]$ (and the induced algebra structure). To find the explicit expression of the products in $\hat{g}c_N$, we notice that the projection $\pi : gc_N \rightarrow \hat{g}c_N$ is simply obtained by:

$$\pi(A(\partial, x)) = A(0, X) , \quad A(\partial, x) \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] .$$

The proof of this relation is the same as the proof of Lemma 2.2. Therefore the same calculation leading to Theorem 2.3 gives the following:

Theorem 4.2. The $k$-th product of elements of the reduced space $\hat{g}c_N = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[X]$ is given by:

$$X^n A_{<k>} X^n B = [d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)} A \cdot B + (-1)^{k+1} d_{m,n,k}^{(-\sigma)} B \cdot A] X^{n+k} , \quad (4.1)$$

where the coefficients $d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)}$ are given by (2.19).

In order to classify normalized subalgebras of $gc_N$ we use the same considerations that we had for $gc_N$. By Corollary 1.8 every normalized subalgebra $R \subset gc_N$ corresponds, via canonical projection, to a subalgebra of the reduced space: $\hat{R} \subset \hat{g}c_N$. Furthermore $R$ is of infinite rank if and only if $\hat{R}$ is infinite-dimensional over $\mathbb{C}$. For $N > 1$ we also need to impose the condition that $R$ acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^N$. We will use the following:

Lemma 4.3. (i) Any subalgebra $\hat{R} \subset \hat{g}c_N = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[X]$ decomposes as:

$$\hat{R} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} X^n V_n , \quad (4.2)$$

where $V_n \subset \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$ are linear subspaces.

(ii) If $R \subset gc_N$ is a normalized subalgebra acting irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^N$ and its projection $\hat{R} \subset \hat{g}c_N$ decomposes as in (4.2), then $V = \sum_{n \geq 0} V_n \subset \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$ acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}^N$.

Proof. The proof of the first part is the same as the proof of Lemma 3.1. For the second part, suppose $\hat{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is a subspace which is invariant under the action of $V = \sum_{n \geq 0} V_n$. We want to prove that $U := \mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes \hat{U}$ is a proper submodule of $R$, namely: $R_{(n)} U \subset U$, $\forall n \geq 0$. Given $a \in R$ and $v \in U$, we can decompose (uniquely) $a = \sum_{i \geq 0} \partial^i a_i$, with $a_i \in \hat{R}$ and $v = \sum_{j \geq 0} \partial^j v_j$, with $v_j \in \hat{U}$, so that, by sesquilinearity, it suffices to prove: $R_{(n)} U \subset U$, $\forall n \geq 0$. Let $a \in \hat{R}$ and $v \in \hat{U}$. By decomposition (4.2) we can write: $a = \sum_{n \geq 0} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) A_n$, where $A_n \in V_n$. The $\lambda$ action on $v$ is, by (1.4):

$$a_\lambda v = \sum_{n \geq 0} (Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) A_n) \lambda v = \sum_{n \geq 0} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(-\lambda, \lambda + \partial) A_n \cdot v \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda, \partial] \otimes \hat{U} = \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes U .$$

This completes the proof. \qed
Remark 4.4. As immediate consequence of Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.2, a complete classification of infinite-dimensional subalgebras \( R \subset \mathfrak{g}c_N \) such that \( V \), defined in Lemma 4.3, acts irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}^N \) (or, equivalently, by Corollary 1.6, a complete classification of infinite rank normalized subalgebras \( R \subset \mathfrak{gc}_N \) acting irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}(\partial)^N \)) is achieved once we find a list of all sequences \( \{V_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \) of subspaces of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) satisfying the following conditions:

1. \( V = \sum_{n \geq 0} V_n \) acts irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}^N \),
2. infinitely many of \( V_n \)'s are non zero,
3. if \( A \in V_m \) and \( B \in V_n \), then:
\[
[d^{(\sigma)}_{m,n,k}AB + (-1)^{k+1}d^{(-\sigma)}_{m,n,k}BA] \in V_{m+n-k} ,
\]
where \( 0 \leq k \leq m + n \) and the coefficients \( d^{(\sigma)}_{m,n,k} \) are defined by (2.19).

Remark 4.5. The last condition on the spaces \( V_n \)'s takes a particularly simple form for \( k = 0, 1 \). By (2.19) we have:
\[
d^{(\sigma)}_{m,n,0} = 1 , \quad \forall m, n \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d^{(\sigma)}_{m,n,1} = \frac{1}{2}(m + n) , \quad \forall m, n \geq 1 ,
\]
so that (4.3) implies the following condition:

3'. If \( A \in V_m \), \( B \in V_n \), then:
\[
[A, B]_+ \in V_{m+n} , \quad \forall m, n \geq 0
\]
\[
[A, B]_- \in V_{m+n-1} , \quad \forall m, n \geq 1 .
\]

Here and further, \( [A, B]_\pm := AB \pm BA \), as usual.

4.1. Preliminary results

We want to classify all sequences \( \{V_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \) satisfying conditions 1–3 of Remark 4.4. We will use the following notation (assuming \( V_{-1} = 0 \)):
\[
V^{(i)}_+ = \sum_{n \geq i} V_{2n-1} , \quad V^{(i)}_- = \sum_{n \geq i} V_{2n} ,
\]
\[
V^{(i)} = V^{(i)}_+ + V^{(i)}_- = \sum_{n \geq 2i-1} V_n ,
\]
where \( i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). In this section we classify all possibilities for the spaces \( V^{(i)}_\pm \). The final result is:

**Proposition 4.6.** The spaces \( V^{(i)}_\pm \) are all equal, independently on \( i \):
\[
V^{(i)}_+ = V_+ \quad , \quad V^{(i)}_- = V_- \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ ,
\]
and the only allowed possibilities for \( V_\pm \) are:

1. \( V_+ = V_- = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \),
2. $V_\pm = \{ A \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \mid A^* = \pm A \}$, where $*$ is any linear antiinvolution on $\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$, namely a linear map $*: \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \to \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$ such that: $A^{**} = A$ and $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$ for all $A, B \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$.

In particular

$$V^{(i)} = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}, \; \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$  

The proof of this proposition is based on several lemmas.

**Lemma 4.7.** For each $i \geq 1$ the space $V^{(i)}$ is isomorphic to a matrix algebra: $V^{(i)} \simeq \text{Mat}_{M_i} \mathbb{C}$ for some positive integer $M_i \leq N$.

**Proof.** By relations (4.4) it follows that $[V, V]_- \subset V$, so that $V$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ acting irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}^N$. By Cartan–Jacobson Theorem, $V$ is then either semisimple or semisimple plus scalars. By (4.4) we also have $[V, V^{(i)}]_- \subset V^{(i)}$, so that $V^{(i)}$ is an ideal of $V$; the only possibilities are either: $V^{(i)} = \bigoplus (\text{simple components of } V)$, or: $V^{(i)} = \bigoplus (\text{simple components of } V) \oplus \mathbb{C} \mathfrak{I}$. In particular, for each $i \geq 1$, $V$ decomposes as a direct sum of Lie algebras:

$$V = V^{(i)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \quad (4.6)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$ is semisimple and the center of $V^{(i)}$ is either zero or $\mathbb{C} \mathfrak{I}$. Again by (4.4) we have $[V^{(i)}, V^{(i)}]_\pm \subset V^{(i)}$, so that $V^{(i)}$ is an associative subalgebra of $\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$. Let us denote by $I \subset V^{(i)}$ the nilradical of $V^{(i)}$ (viewed as associative algebra). By definition $I$ is a two-sided ideal of the associative algebra $V^{(i)}$; in particular it is an ideal of $V^{(i)}$, viewed as Lie algebra, and it is invariant under the action of any automorphism of the Lie algebra $V$. This guarantees that $I$ is an ideal of the Lie algebra $V$. But then $I$ is an ideal of $V$ consisting of nilpotents elements, and, since $V$ is semisimple or semisimple plus scalars, we conclude that $I = 0$. In other words $V^{(i)}$ is a semisimple associative algebra, so that it decomposes as a direct sum of matrix algebras:

$$V^{(i)} \simeq \bigoplus_k \text{Mat}_{M_k} \mathbb{C}. \quad$$

But then if we view $V^{(i)}$ as a Lie algebra, its center will have dimension equal to the number of matrix algebras in this decomposition, so that, by the previous result, $V^{(i)}$ must be isomorphic to a single matrix algebra: $V^{(i)} \simeq \text{Mat}_{M_i} \mathbb{C}$ for some $M_i \leq N$.

We can now prove the following stronger result:

**Lemma 4.8.**

$$V^{(i)} = V = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}, \; \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$  

**Proof.** By definition $V^{(i+1)} \subset V^{(i)}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and, by the second condition in Remark 4.4, all spaces $V^{(i)}$ are non-zero. We then have a non increasing sequence of positive integers: $N \geq M_1 \geq M_2 \geq \cdots > 0$ such that: $V^{(i)} \simeq \text{Mat}_{M_i} \mathbb{C}$. Such a sequence eventually will stabilize, namely for some $I >> 0$ we have:

$$N \geq M_i = \bar{M} > 0, \; \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \supset V^{(i)} = \bar{V} \simeq \text{Mat}_{\bar{M}} \mathbb{C}, \; \forall i \geq I.$$
It is clear that, in order to prove the Lemma, it suffices to prove: \( \bar{\mathcal{M}} = N \). Recall that by (4.6) the Lie algebra \( V = \sum_{n \geq 0} V_n \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N \) decomposes as a direct sum of Lie algebras: \( V = \bar{V} \oplus \bar{g} \) where \( \bar{V} \), by Lemma 4.7, is an ideal isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{gl}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}} \) for some \( \bar{\mathcal{M}} \leq N \), while \( \bar{g} \) is a complementary ideal and it is a semisimple Lie algebra. As a Lie algebra, \( \bar{V} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}} \) has to be equal to \( \mathbb{C} \mathfrak{N} \). Hence \( \mathbb{I}_N \in \bar{V} \). By (4.6) and (4.5) it follows, for \( i \geq 1 \):

\[
g = [\mathbb{I}_N, g]_+ \subset [\bar{V}, V]_+ = [V^{i+1}, V]_+ \subset V^{(i)} = \bar{V}
\]

and this is possible only if \( g = 0 \). In conclusion we have \( V = \bar{V} \simeq \text{Mat}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}} \mathbb{C} \), which clearly implies \( \bar{\mathcal{M}} = N \) (since, by assumption, \( V \) acts irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}^N \)).

We can now consider the spaces \( V^{(i)}_\pm \). By definition (4.3) and conditions (4.4) it is immediate to check that for \( i \geq 1 \):

\[
[V^{(i)}_a, V^{(i)}_b]_c \subset V^{(i)}_{abc}, \quad a, b, c = \pm,
\]

and, by Lemma 4.8 we also have:

\[
V^{(i)}_+ + V^{(i)}_- = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}.
\]

There are only two possibilities for spaces \( V^{(i)}_\pm \) satisfying the above conditions. This is stated in the following:

**Lemma 4.9.** Let \( \{V_+, V_-\} \) be a pair of linear subspaces of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) such that:

\[
V_+ \cap V_- \neq \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C},
\]

\[
V_+ + V_- = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}, \quad [V_a, V_b]_c \subset V_{abc}, \forall a, b, c = \pm.
\]

Then there is a (unique) linear antiinvolution \( * : \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \to \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) such that:

\[
V_\pm = \{ A \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \mid A^* = \pm A \}.
\]

Conversely, given any antiinvolution \( * \) of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \), the subspaces \( V_\pm \) defined by (4.8) satisfy all conditions (4.3).

**Proof.** Let \( * \) be a linear antiinvolution of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) and define \( V_\pm \) as in (4.8). It is clear that \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} = V_+ \oplus V_- \). Furthermore, let \( a, b, c = \pm \) and suppose \( A \in V_a, B \in V_b \). We have:

\[
([A, B]_c)^* = (AB + cBA)^* = abc(AB + cBA) = abc [A, B]_c^*.
\]

which proves that \( V_\pm \) satisfy conditions (4.7). Conversely, let \( V_\pm \) be subspaces of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) satisfying (4.3). We want to construct the corresponding antiinvolution \( * : \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \to \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \). For this, notice that

\[
[V_+ + V_-, V_+ \cap V_-]_\pm \subset V_+ \cap V_-,
\]

so that \( V_+ \cap V_- \) is an ideal of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \simeq V_+ + V_- \) with respect to both the Lie algebra structure and the Jordan algebra structure. Since \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) is a simple associative algebra, it follows that \( V_+ \cap V_- = 0 \) or \( = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \). Since by (4.7) \( V_+ \cap V_- \neq \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \), we have \( V_+ \cap V_- = 0 \), which implies: \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} = V_+ \oplus V_- \).

In this case the corresponding linear map \( * : \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \to \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) is uniquely defined by the conditions: \( A^* = \pm A \) for \( A \in V_\pm \), and it is easy to check that it is an antiinvolution of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \).
Lemma 4.10. All spaces $V^{(i)}_\pm$ are equal (i.e. independent on $i$).

Proof. By definition we have: $V^{(0)}_\pm \supset V^{(1)}_\pm \supset V^{(2)}_\pm \supset \cdots$, so that at some point the sequence will stabilize, namely there is $I > 0$ such that: $V^{(i)}_\pm = \bar{V}_\pm$, for all $i \geq I$. Since for each $j \geq 0$ we have: $V^{(0)}_\pm \supset V^{(j)}_\pm \supset \bar{V}_\pm$, we just need to show: $V^{(0)}_\pm = \bar{V}_\pm$. For this we use Lemma 4.11. Clearly if $V^{(0)}_\pm = \{ A \in \mathcal{M}_N \mathbb{C} \mid A^* = \pm A \}\not\subset \mathcal{M}_N \mathbb{C}$, then $V^{(0)}_\pm \subset \bar{V}_\pm$ which implies $V^{(0)}_\pm = \bar{V}_\pm$. Therefore we are left to consider the case: $V^{(0)}_\pm = V^{(0)}_\pm = \mathcal{M}_N \mathbb{C}$. We want to prove in this case that $\bar{V}_+ = \bar{V}_- = \mathcal{M}_N \mathbb{C}$. Since $1 \in \bar{V}_+$, we have, for $i \geq I$:

$$\mathcal{M}_N \mathbb{C} = [\mathcal{M}_N \mathbb{C}, 1] + \left[ V^{(0)}_-, V^{(i+1)}_+ \right] + \sum_{m \geq 0, n \geq 0} [V_{2m}, V_{2n-1}]_+ \subset \sum_{m \geq 0, n \geq 0} V_{2(m+n)-2} \subset V^{(i)}_- = \bar{V}_-$$

so that: $\bar{V}_- = \mathcal{M}_N \mathbb{C}$ and then, by Lemma 4.9, $\bar{V}_+ = \mathcal{M}_N \mathbb{C}$ as well, thus proving the claim. \hfill \qed

4.2. Case $\sigma \not\subset \mathbb{Z}$

We will first consider the case $\sigma \not\subset \mathbb{Z}$. The basic observation is that the coefficients $d^{(\sigma)}_{n,n,k}$ defined in (2.19) take, for $m = n$, $k = 2n - 1$, the simple form: $d^{(\sigma)}_{n,n,2n-1} = \frac{n+1}{(\pi n)^2} \prod_{i=2}^{n} (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$, so that, for $\sigma$ not integer, we have $d^{(\sigma)}_{n,n,2n-1} = d^{(-\sigma)}_{n,n,2n-1} \neq 0$ and (4.3) implies the following:

Lemma 4.11. If $A, B \in V_n$ for some $n \geq 1$ then: $[A, B]_+ \in V_1$.

As a consequence of Lemma 4.11 we can prove the following:

Lemma 4.12. $1 \in V_1$.

Proof. First notice that, if for some $n \geq 1$ there is a nondegenerate matrix $A \in V_n$, then $B := A^2$ is non degenerate and, by Lemma 4.11, $B, B^2, B^3, \cdots \in V_1$, so that, by the Cayley–Hamilton Theorem

$$1 \in \text{span}\{B, B^2, \cdots, B^N\} \subset V_1,$$

and the claim is proved. Given a matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_N \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $U_A[0]$ the generalized eigenspace of $A$ with eigenvalue zero. Let:

$$k := \min \{ \dim U_A[0] \mid A \in V_n, n \geq 1 \}.$$ 

For $k = 0$ the Lemma has already been proved. On the other hand $k < N$ since, by Proposition 4.14, $1 \in \mathcal{M}_N \mathbb{C} = \sum_{n \geq 1} V_n$ and it is not possible to write the identity matrix as a linear combination of nilpotent matrices. We are left to consider the case $0 < k < N$. Let $A \in V_n, n \geq 1$, be a matrix such that $\dim U_A[0] = k$. By Lemma 4.11 we have $A^2 \in V_1$ and clearly dim
\[ U_{A^2}[0] = \dim U_A[0] = k, \text{ so that we can assume, without loss of generality, that } A \in V_1. \]

After a change of basis \( A \) can be written in Jordan form:

\[
A = T \begin{bmatrix}
\Lambda' & 0 \\
0 & \Lambda_0
\end{bmatrix} T^{-1}
\]

where \( \Lambda' \) is a non degenerate upper triangular \((N-k) \times (N-k)\) matrix and \( \Lambda_0 \) is a nilpotent strictly upper triangular \(k \times k\) matrix. By Lemma 4.11 we know that \( A, A^2, A^3, \ldots \in V_1 \) and, since \( \Lambda' \) is non degenerate and \( \Lambda_0 \) is nilpotent, we get using the Cayley–Hamilton Theorem that:

\[
P = T \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbb{I} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} T^{-1} \in V_1.
\]

(After taking a sufficiently large power, \( \Lambda_0 \) becomes zero, and after taking a suitable polynomial of the resulting matrix \( A^n \), \( \Lambda' \) becomes the identity matrix.)

Now let \( C \in V_n \) for some \( n \geq 1 \). We can write it as:

\[
C = T \begin{bmatrix}
C' & C_1 \\
C_2 & C_0
\end{bmatrix} T^{-1},
\]

where \( C' \) is \((N-k) \times (N-k)\) and \( C_0 \) is \( k \times k \). Since \( \sum_{n \geq 1} V_n = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) we can choose \( C \) so that \( C_0 \) is not nilpotent (i.e. \( \dim U_{C_0}[0] < k \)). The second equation of (4.4) guarantees:

\[
\tilde{C} = C - [P, C]_+ = T \begin{bmatrix}
-C' & 0 \\
0 & C_0
\end{bmatrix} T^{-1} \in V_n.
\]

But then, by Lemma 4.11 we get that:

\[
D = \tilde{C}^2 = T \begin{bmatrix}
D' & 0 \\
0 & D_0
\end{bmatrix} T^{-1} \in V_1
\]

and since \( C_0 \) is not nilpotent, so is \( D_0 = C_0^2 \). We then proved that both \( P \) and \( D \) are in \( V_1 \), and, since \( \dim U_{D_0}[0] < k \), we can always find a linear combination \( E = D + \alpha P \in V_1 \) such that \( \dim U_E[0] < k \). This contradicts the very definition of \( k \) and shows that the case \( k > 0 \) is not allowed.

Using the previous result we can finally prove:

**Lemma 4.13.** \( V_n = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}, \forall n \geq 0. \)

**Proof.** By looking at the coefficients \( d^{(\sigma)}_{m,n,k} \) defined in (2.19) for the particular case of \( m = 1, k = 3 \), we have:

\[
d^{(\sigma)}_{1,n,3} + d^{(-\sigma)}_{1,n,3} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2(2n-1)}((n^2 - n + 1) - 3\sigma^2),
\]

which is non zero as soon as \( n \geq I \), where \( I \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) is sufficiently large. Since \( \mathbb{I} \in V_1 \), condition (4.3) implies, by taking \( m = 1 \) and \( k = 3 \), that: \( V_n \subset V_{n-2} \).

We then have by Proposition 4.6 and a simple induction argument:

\[
V_{2n-1} = V_+ , \quad V_{2n} = V_- , \quad \forall n \geq I.
\]
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Now let us apply (4.3) with $m = 1$, $n \geq I$ and $k = 4$. After a straightforward calculation, one gets by (2.19):

$$d_{1,n,4}^{(\sigma)} + d_{1,-n,4}^{(-\sigma)} = -\sigma(\sigma^2 - 1)(n - 2) \neq 0.$$ 

Using again Lemma 4.12 we thus get $V_- = V_{2n} \subset V_{2n-4} = V_+$, and this is possible only if $V_+ = V_- = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$. We therefore proved that $V_n = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$ for sufficiently large $n$. Hence for $n$ large enough: $\mathbb{I} \in V_n, V_{n+1}$, so that:

$$(d_{0,n,1,2n+1}^{(\sigma)} + d_{0,n,1,2n+1}^{(-\sigma)}) \mathbb{I} \in V_0,$$

and since, by a computation:

$$d_{n,n+1,2n+1}^{(\sigma)} + d_{n,n+1,2n+1}^{(-\sigma)} = \frac{n+1-n}{2(n)} \prod_{k=1}^{n}(k^2 - \sigma^2),$$

we have that $d_{n,n+1,2n+1}^{(\sigma)} + d_{n,n+1,2n+1}^{(-\sigma)} \neq 0$ for $\sigma \notin \mathbb{Z}$, so that $\mathbb{I} \in V_0$. To conclude we just notice that, since $\mathbb{I} \in V_0$, condition (4.3) guarantees:

$$A \in V_n \Rightarrow (d_{0,n,1}^{(\sigma)} + d_{0,n,1}^{(-\sigma)})A \in V_{n-1}.$$ 

Since, by a computation: $d_{0,n,1}^{(\sigma)} + d_{0,n,1}^{(-\sigma)} = n \neq 0$, we have $V_n \subset V_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$, which clearly implies the claim. 

We summarize the above results in the following:

**Proposition 4.14.** For $\sigma \notin \mathbb{Z}$ the only sequence $\{V_n, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ of subspaces of $\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$ satisfying conditions 1–3 of Remark 4.14 is given by: $V_n = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

4.3. Case $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$

We are left to consider the situation $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will denote, as in Section 3, $\sigma = \pm S$, $S \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and we will let $\bar{S} = S$ (resp. $= S + 1$) if $S$ is odd (resp. even). As we already pointed out (immediately after Lemma 3.4), for $m,n \geq S$ the coefficients $d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)}$ defined by (2.19) are positive for $m + n - k \geq S$ and zero otherwise. We then get that (4.3) with $k = 2n - \bar{S} \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ + 1$ implies the following:

**Lemma 4.15.** Let $n \geq S$. If $A \in V_n$, then $A^2 \in V_{\bar{S}}$.

We can then use arguments similar to the ones used to prove Lemma 4.12 to get:

**Lemma 4.16.** $\mathbb{I} \in V_{\bar{S}}$.

**Proof.** Let $k := \min \{ \dim U_A[0] \mid A \in V_n, \ n \geq \bar{S} \}$, where again $U_A[0]$ denotes the generalized eigenspace of $A$ with eigenvalue zero. $k = N$ is not allowed since it contradicts $\sum_{n \geq \bar{S}} V_n = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$, and for $k = 0$ the claim follows by the Cayley–Hamilton Theorem. Suppose then $0 < k < N$. Using (4.3) and the Cayley–Hamilton Theorem we get, as in the proof of Lemma 4.12, that:

$$P = T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T^{-1} \in V_{\bar{S}}$$
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for some invertible matrix $T$. Here $\dim \ker(P) = k$. We will consider separately the two cases $\bar{S} = 1$ and $\bar{S} > 1$.

For $\bar{S} = 1$ (namely $\sigma = 0$ or $\pm 1$) we will proceed as in the proof of Lemma 4.12. Let $C \in V_n$, $n \geq \bar{S}$. We can write it as:

$$C = T \begin{bmatrix} C' & C_1 \\ C_2 & C_0 \end{bmatrix} T^{-1} \in V_n,$$

where $C_0$ is a $k \times k$ matrix and we may assume, without loss of generality, that it is not nilpotent. By (4.3) we then have: $d^{(\sigma)}_{\bar{S},n,S} PC + d^{(-\sigma)}_{\bar{S},n,S} CP \in V_n$. For $\bar{S} = 1$ we already know that

$$d^{(\sigma)}_{\bar{S},n,S} = d^{(-\sigma)}_{\bar{S},n,S} \neq 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

so that we deduce:

$$\hat{C} = C - [P,C] = T \begin{bmatrix} -C' & 0 \\ 0 & C_0 \end{bmatrix} T^{-1} \in V_n,$$

and, as for Lemma 4.13, we can conclude from this that $k > 0$ is not allowed. Notice that for $\bar{S} > 1$ this argument works provided that (4.9) holds for every value of $\bar{S}$. This is actually true, but the proof of it is not trivial and involves rather complicated identities of sum and products of binomial coefficients. Instead we will use, for $\bar{S} > 1$, a different argument. Then the validity of (4.9) for $\bar{S} > 1$ will follow as a corollary of Theorem 4.28.

In the case $\bar{S} > 1$ we first notice that $P \in V_n$ for every odd integer $n$ such that $n \geq \bar{S}$. This follows by (4.3) and the fact that $P$ is a projection. We then have that, for some odd $n \geq \bar{S}$,

$$P = T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T^{-1}, \quad C = T \begin{bmatrix} C' & C_1 \\ C_2 & C_0 \end{bmatrix} T^{-1} \in V_n,$$

with $C_0$ not nilpotent, so that, by (4.3):

$$d^{(\sigma)}_{n,n,n} PC + d^{(-\sigma)}_{n,n,n} CP \in V_n,$$

and, since obviously: $d^{(\sigma)}_{n,n,n} = d^{(-\sigma)}_{n,n,n}$, this means $[P,C]_+ \in V_n$. We then have: $\hat{C} = C - [P,C]_+ \in V_n$. From here we can repeat the same argument as before to conclude the proof.

Using the previous result we can show:

**Lemma 4.17.** $V_n = V_{(-1)n+1}$ \hspace{1cm} $\forall n \geq S$.

**Proof.** By (4.3) we have that, for $A \in V_n$, $n \geq S + 2$:

$$(d^{(\sigma)}_{\bar{S},n,S+2} + d^{(-\sigma)}_{\bar{S},n,S+2})A \in V_{n-2}$$

Since both coefficients $d^{(\sigma)}_{\bar{S},n,S+2}$ and $d^{(-\sigma)}_{\bar{S},n,S+2}$ are positive, we deduce that $V_n \subset V_{n-2}$ for any $n \geq S + 2$. This, combined to the fact that $V_+ = \sum_{n \geq S} V_{2n-1}$, $V_- = \sum_{n \geq S} V_{2n}$, gives by induction the claim. \hfill $\square$
We are left to consider the spaces $V_n$ with $n < S$. We can assume in the following that $S \geq 1$. The solution is stated in the following:

**Lemma 4.18.** (i) All spaces $V_n$ with $n < S$ are equal. We will denote: $J = V_n$ for $0 \leq n < S$. For $\sigma = S$ (resp. $\sigma = -S$), $J$ is a left (resp. right) ideal of the associative algebra $\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$, i.e.: $\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \cdot J \subset J$ (resp. $J \cdot \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \subset J$).

(ii) Furthermore, if $J \neq 0$, then necessarily: $V_+ = V_- = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$.

**Proof.** For the proof we will use the following simple facts about the coefficients $d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)}$:

1. $d_{m,n,k}^{(S)} = 0$, if $0 \leq m < S$, $n \geq S$, $k > m + n - S$;

2. in general $d_{m,n,k}^{(-S)}$ can be zero, but it is certainly non zero for some specific values of $k$:

   (a) $d_{m,n,m+n}^{(-S)} \neq 0$, if $0 \leq m < S$, $n \geq S$;

   (b) $d_{0,n,k}^{(-S)} \neq 0$, if $n - S < k \leq n$.

It follows from (4.3) and the above facts that:

1. if $A \in V_m$, $B \in V_n$ for $0 \leq m < S$, $n \geq S$, then:
   
   $$
   \begin{align*}
   & \text{for } \sigma = +S : \quad BA \in V_0 \quad (4.10) \\
   & \text{for } \sigma = -S : \quad AB \in V_0
   \end{align*}
   $$

2. if $A \in V_0$, $B \in V_n$ with $n \geq S$, then:

   $$
   \begin{align*}
   & \text{for } \sigma = +S : \quad BA \in V_m \, \forall m \text{ such that } 0 \leq m < S \quad (4.11) \\
   & \text{for } \sigma = -S : \quad AB \in V_m \, \forall m \text{ such that } 0 \leq m < S
   \end{align*}
   $$

From (4.10) we immediately deduce that $V_0 = \sum_{0 \leq n < S} V_n$ and it is a left (resp. right) ideal of $\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$ for $\sigma = +S$ (resp. $\sigma = -S$). From (4.11) we get that $V_m = V_0$, $\forall m < S$, thus proving the first part of the lemma.

For the second part assume $V_n = J \neq 0$ for every $n$ such that $0 \leq n < S$. Let $A \in V_0$ be a non zero matrix. Since $I \in V_n$ for every odd integer $n \geq S$, we get from (4.3), by choosing $k = 1, 2$, that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (d_{0,n,1}^{(\sigma)} + d_{0,n,1}^{(-\sigma)}) A \in V_{n-1} \\
& (d_{0,n,2}^{(\sigma)} - d_{0,n,2}^{(-\sigma)}) A \in V_{n-2} . \quad (4.12)
\end{align*}
$$

By explicit computation we have: $d_{0,n,1}^{(\sigma)} + d_{0,n,1}^{(-\sigma)} = n \neq 0$ and $d_{0,n,2}^{(\sigma)} - d_{0,n,2}^{(-\sigma)} = -(n - 1)\sigma \neq 0$, so that we have $0 \neq A \in V_{n-1} \cap V_{n-2} = V_+ \cap V_-$. and this is possible, by Proposition (4.4), only if $V_+ = V_- = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$.

We can summarize the results obtained so far in the following:

**Proposition 4.19.** Suppose $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$ and denote $\sigma = \pm S$, $S \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. If a sequence \( \{V_n\, , \, n \geq 0\} \) of subspaces of $\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$ satisfies conditions 1–3 of Remark (4.4), then it must be either:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_n = J \quad \text{for } 0 \leq n < S , \\
& V_n = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \quad \text{for } n \geq S ,
\end{align*}
$$
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where \( J \) is a left (resp. right) ideal of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) if \( \sigma = +S \) (resp. \( \sigma = -S \)), or:

\[
V_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq n < S, \\ V_{(-1)^n+1} & \text{for } n \geq S, \end{cases}
\]

where \( V_\pm = \{ A \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \mid A^* = \pm A \} \) for some linear antiinvolution \(*\) of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \).

Remark 4.20. We did not prove so far that all the above sequences \( \{V_n, n \geq 0\} \) satisfy conditions 1–3 of Remark 4.4. A direct proof of it would involve non trivial identities between sums of multinomial coefficients. Instead we will prove this fact by looking at the corresponding normalized subalgebras of \( \hat{\text{gc}}_N \), and we will deduce from that some interesting combinatorial identities.

4.4. Classification of normalized subalgebras of \( \hat{\text{gc}}_N \)

According to Lemma 4.3 and Remark 4.4 we can translate the results obtained in the previous Sections in term of classification of infinite–dimensional subalgebras of the reduced space \( \hat{\text{gc}}_N \):

**Corollary 4.21.** (i) For \( \sigma \notin \mathbb{Z} \) there are no proper infinite–dimensional subalgebras of \( \hat{\text{gc}}_N \).

(ii) For \( \sigma = \pm S, S \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), the only candidates to be infinite–dimensional, proper subalgebras of \( \hat{\text{gc}}_N \) acting irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}^n \) are:

\[
\hat{R}^{(\pm)}_{S,J} = \left( \bigoplus_{0 \leq n < S} X^n J \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq S} X^n \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \right),
\]

where \( J \subset \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) is a left (resp. right) ideal of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) for \( \sigma = +S \) (resp. \( \sigma = -S \)), and:

\[
\hat{R}^{(\pm)}_{*,S} = \bigoplus_{n \geq S} X^n V_{(-1)^n+1} \quad (4.13)
\]

where \( V_\pm = \{ A \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \mid A^* = \pm A \} \) and \(*\) is a linear antiinvolution of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \).

Remark 4.22. Any left (resp. right) ideal of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) is principal, namely it is of the form \( J = (\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C})A \) (resp. \( J = A(\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}) \), for some matrix \( A \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \). A special case is when we take the matrix \( A \) to be:

\[
I_{k,N} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.14)
\]

of rank \( k \). The left (resp. right) ideal generated by \( I_{k,N} \) is \( J^+_k = (\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C})I_{k,N} \) (resp. \( J^-_k = I_{k,N}(\text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}) \)). In the following we will denote:

\[
\hat{R}^{(\pm)}_{S,k} = \hat{R}^{(\pm)}_{S,J^\pm_k}, \quad k = 0, \ldots, N,
\]
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one gets, after a straightforward computation:

\[ R_{S,k}^{(+)} = \left( \bigoplus_{0 \leq n < S} X^n \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} I_{k,N} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq S} X^n \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \right), \]

\[ \hat{R}_{S,k}^{(-)} = \left( \bigoplus_{0 \leq n < S} X^n I_{k,N} \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq S} X^n \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \right). \] (4.15)

We now want to find the corresponding normalized subalgebras of gc$_N$. We will use an argument similar to the one used for gc$_1$.

**Lemma 4.23.** The following spaces \((S \in \mathbb{Z}_+)\):

\[ R_{S,k}^{(+)} = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x](I_{k,N} + x^S \bar{I}_{k,N}), \]

\[ R_{*,S}^{(+)} = \{ x^S[P(\partial, x) + (-1)^{S+1}P^*(\partial, -\partial - x)] \mid P(\partial, x) \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] \}, \]

\[ R_{S,k}^{(-)} = (I_{k,N} + (x + \partial)^S \bar{I}_{k,N}) \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x], \] (4.16)

\[ R_{*,S}^{(-)} = \{ (x + \partial)^S[P(\partial, x) + (-1)^{S+1}P^*(\partial, -\partial - x)] \mid P(\partial, x) \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] \}, \]

where \(I_{k,N}\) is defined in (4.14) and \(\bar{I}_{k,N} = \mathbb{I} - I_{k,N}\) are subalgebras of gc$_N$ for every \(k = 0, \ldots, N\). They are normalized with respect to the Virasoro element \(L_S^{(\pm)} = (x + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}) \mathbb{I}\).

**Proof.** The proof that \(R_{S,k}^{(\pm)}\) and \(R_{*,S}^{(\pm)}\) are subalgebras of gc$_N$ is straightforward (see [3]). We need to prove that \(R_{S,k}^{(\pm)}, k = 0, \ldots, N\) and \(R_{*,S}^{(\pm)}\) are normalized subalgebras, namely:

\[ L_S^{(\pm)} (i) R_{S,k}^{(\pm)} \subset R_{S,k}^{(\pm)}, \]

\[ L_S^{(\pm)} (i) R_{*,S}^{(\pm)} \subset R_{*,S}^{(\pm)}, \] for \(i = 0, 1, 2\).

For \(i = 0, 1\), (4.17) is obviously true and we are left to check it for \(i = 2\). By (4.8) one gets, after a straightforward computation:

\[ L_S^{(+)} (2) P(\partial, x)(I_{k,N} + x^S \bar{I}_{k,N}) \]

\[ = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[ \left( x - \frac{S - 1}{2} \partial \right) \mathbb{I} \lambda P(\partial, x)(I_{k,N} + x^S \bar{I}_{k,N}) \right]_{\lambda = 0} \]

\[ = \{ x(2D_1 - D_2)D_2 + \partial D_1^2 + SD_2 + (2D_1 - D_2) \} P(\partial, x) \]

\[ \times (I_{k,N} + x^S \bar{I}_{k,N}) + 2S(D_1 - D_2)P(\partial, x) \cdot x^S \bar{I}_{k,N}, \]

where \(D_1\) (resp. \(D_2\)) denotes partial derivative with respect to \(\partial\) (resp. \(y\)).

The left hand side is clearly in \(R_{S,k}^{(+)}\) since, by (4.14), \(I_{k,N}^2 = I_{k,N}, \bar{I}_{k,N}^2 = \bar{I}_{k,N}, I_{k,N} \bar{I}_{k,N} = 0\), so that we can write:

\[ x^S \bar{I}_{k,N} = \bar{I}_{k,N}(I_{k,N} + x^S \bar{I}_{k,N}). \]

This proves that \(R_{S,k}^{(\pm)}\) is invariant under the action of \(L_S^{(+)} (2)\). In the particular case \(k = 0\), the previous calculation gives:

\[ L_S^{(+)} (2) x^S P(\partial, x) = x^S \{ x(2D_1 - D_2)D_2 + \partial D_1^2 + (S + 1)(2D_1 - D_2)P(\partial, x) \}. \]
Since the differential operator in parenthesis is invariant under the change of variables $\partial \to \partial, x \to -\partial - x$, this equation clearly implies that $R_{s,k}^{(+)}$ in invariant under the action of $L_{S}^{(+)_{2}}$. A similar calculation shows that $R_{S,k}^{(-)}$ and $R_{s,S}^{(-)}$ are invariant under the action of $L_{S}^{(-)_{2}}$. 

The particular choice of the matrix $I_{k,N}$ is not canonical, and can always be redefined with a change of basis. This fact is stated in the following:

**Lemma 4.24. (i)** Suppose $P(x) \in \text{Mat}_{N} \mathbb{C}[x]$ is an invertible matrix. Then we get an automorphism of $\mathfrak{gc}_{N}$ by conjugation by $P(x)$: 

$$A(\partial, x) \mapsto P(\partial + x)A(\partial, x)P(x)^{-1}.$$ 

(ii) In particular, if $R$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gc}_{N}$, so is $RP = P(\partial + x)RP(x)^{-1}$. Moreover, if $R$ is normalized with respect to a Virasoro element $L$ of kind $[L_{2}]$ and $P$ is a constant invertible matrix, then $RP$ is also normalized with respect to $L$. The corresponding subalgebra of the reduced space is $\pi(RP) = \pi(R)P^{-1}$.

**Proof.** The proof of (i) is straightforward and can be found in [1]. (ii) Follows immediately by the fact that $L$ is a scalar matrix, so that it is unchanged after conjugation.

We did not prove so far that all subalgebras $R_{s,k}^{(\pm)}$ and $R_{s,S}^{(\pm)} \subset \mathfrak{gc}_{N}$ act irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^{N}$. In fact this is not true. More precisely one can prove the following:

**Lemma 4.25. (i)** $R_{S,k}^{(+)}$ and $R_{s,S}^{(+)}$ always act irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^{N}$,

(ii) $R_{S,k}^{(-)}$ and $R_{s,S}^{(-)}$ never act irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^{N}$, unless $R_{S,k}^{(-)} = \mathfrak{gc}_{N}$, which happens only when $k = N$ or $S = 0$, or $R_{s,S}^{(-)} = R_{s,S}^{(+)}$, which happens only when $S = 0$.

**Proof.** Since for any choice of the antiinvolution $*$ and of the integer $k = 0, \ldots, n$ we have: $R_{s,S}^{(\pm)} \subset R_{S,k}^{(\pm)}$, it will suffices to prove:

1. $R_{s,S}^{(\pm)}$ acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]^{N}$,

2. there is a proper $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-submodule $U_{k} \subset \mathbb{C}[\partial]^{N}$ which is invariant under the action of $R_{S,k}^{(-)}$, unless $k = N$ or $S = 0$.

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}[\partial]^{N}$ is a non zero $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-submodule which is invariant under the $\lambda$-action of $R_{s,S}^{(+)}$. Let us denote $U_{0} = U \cap \mathbb{C}^{N}$. Let $u = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i}v^{i} \in U$ with $v^{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$ and $v^{k} \neq 0$. A generic element of $R_{s,S}^{(+)}$ is $a = x^{S}P(\partial, x)$, where $P(\partial, x)$ is such that $P(\partial, x) = (-1)^{S+1}P^{*}(\partial, -\partial - x)$. In particular we can choose: $P(\partial, x) = p_{S}(2x + \partial)1$, where $p_{S}(y) = 1$ for $S$ odd and $p_{S}(y) = y$ for $S$ even. By (1.7) we have that the $\lambda$-action of $a$ on $u$ is:

$$a_{\lambda}u = (\lambda + \partial)^{S}p_{S}(\lambda + 2\partial)\sum_{i=0}^{k}(\lambda + \partial)^{i}v^{i} \in U \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda],$$

so that, if we look at the coefficient of the highest power of $\lambda$ (which is $S + k + \text{deg}(p_{S})$), we get that $v^{k} \in U_{0} \neq 0$. Let us now consider elements: $a = A$, $b =
B(2x + ∂) ∈ R_{S}^{(+)}{s}, where A ∈ V_{(-)}{s+1} and B ∈ V_{(-)}{s}. By taking the λ-action on a generic element v ∈ U_0 we get:

\[ a_λ v = Av \Rightarrow Av ∈ U_0 \]
\[ b_λ v = (λ + 2∂)Bv \Rightarrow Bv ∈ U_0 . \]

But then U_0 is invariant under multiplication of both V_+ and V_−, and since V_+ + V_− = Mat_N C, this is possible only if U_0 = C^N. Since U by definition is a C[∂]-module and C^N ⊂ U, we finally get U = C[∂]^N. We are left to prove the second part. A generic element of R_{S,k}^{(-)} is: \[ a = (I_{k,N} + (x + ∂)^S I_{k,N})P(∂, x), \]
where P(∂, x) ∈ Mat_N C[∂, x]. Its action on v(∂) ∈ C[∂]^N is, by [1.7]:

\[ a_λ v(∂) = (I_{k,N} + ∂^S I_{k,N})P(-λ, λ + ∂)v(λ + ∂) . \]

From this expression it is clear that:

\[ U = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} v_k(∂) \\ ∂^S v_{N-k}(∂) \end{array} \right), v_k(∂) ∈ C[∂]^k, v_{N-k}(∂) ∈ C[∂]^{N-k} \right\} , \]

is a C[∂]-submodule which is invariant under the action of R_{S,k}^{(-)}. Obviously U is a proper submodule as soon as k ≠ N and S ≠ 0.

**Corollary 4.26.** (i) Given \( I_S^{(±)} = (x + \frac{1±S}{2}∂) \mathbb{1} \), all the spaces \( \hat{R}_{S,k}^{(±)} \) defined by [4.13] are subalgebras of the reduced space \( \hat{g} \hat{c}_N \). The corresponding normalized subalgebras of \( \hat{g} \hat{c}_N \) are:

\[ \mathbb{C}[∂]\hat{R}_{S,k}^{(±)} = R_{S,k}^{(±)} \]

(ii) Given a left or right ideal J ⊂ Mat_N C, depending whether σ = +S or −S, the space \( \hat{R}_{S,J}^{(±)} \) is also a subalgebra of \( \hat{g} \hat{c}_N \), and it is obtained by \( \hat{R}_{S,k}^{(±)} \) for some k = 0, . . . , N by conjugation by an invertible matrix:

\[ \hat{R}_{S,J}^{(±)} = PR_{S,k}^{(±)}P^{-1} , \]

so that the corresponding normalized subalgebra of \( \hat{g} \hat{c}_N \) is:

\[ \mathbb{C}[∂]\hat{R}_{S,J}^{(±)} = PR_{S,k}^{(±)}P^{-1} . \]

(iii) The space \( \hat{R}_{S,S}^{(±)} \) defined in [4.13], where * is a linear antimvolution of Mat_N C, is a subalgebra of the reduced space \( \hat{g} \hat{c}_N \). The corresponding normalized subalgebra of \( \hat{g} \hat{c}_N \) is:

\[ \mathbb{C}[∂]\hat{R}_{S,S}^{(±)} = R_{S,S}^{(±)} \]

**Remark 4.27.** According to Corollary [4.21] there are no other subalgebras \( \hat{R} \subset \hat{g} \hat{c}_N \) with the property that \( \sum_{n≥0} V_n \) (defined by (4.3)) acts irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}^N \). Thus, by Corollary [1.6], Lemma [4.3] and Lemma [4.25], we get that \( R_{S,k}^{(±)} \), k = 0, . . . , N and \( R_{S,S}^{(±)} \), where * is an antiinvolution of Mat_N C, are, up to conjugation by an invertible constant matrix, all possibilities of infinite rank subalgebras of \( \hat{g} \hat{c}_N \) acting irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}[∂]^N \).
Proof. Consider for simplicity $\sigma = +S$; the argument for $\sigma = -S$ is the same, after replacing left ideals with right ideals. By definition:

$$\tilde{R}^{(+)}_{S,k} = \left( \bigoplus_{0 \leq n < S} Q^{(S)}_n(\partial, x) \mathbb{C} \right) \bigoplus_{n \geq S} Q^{(S)}_n(\partial, x) \mathbb{C} \bigoplus I_{k,N} \subset C \mathbb{R}^N$$

$$= \mathbb{R}^{(+)} C \bigoplus N I_{k,N}$$

where $\tilde{R}^{(+)}_S = \bigoplus_{n \geq S} \mathbb{C} Q^{(S)}_n(\partial, x) \subset gc_1$ was defined in Lemma 3.3. It follows that the $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module generated by $\tilde{R}^{(+)}_{S,k}$ is:

$$\mathbb{C}[\partial] \tilde{R}^{(+)}_{S,k} = gc_N I_{k,N} + x^S.$$ 

Here we used the fact that $\mathbb{C}[\partial] \tilde{R}^{(+)}_S = x^S gc_1$, as stated in Corollary 3.7. In order to prove (i), we just need to show that:

$$gc_N I_{k,N} + x^S = gc_N(I_{k,N} + \bar{I}_{k,N} x^S),$$

and this follows by the fact that $I_{k,N}$ and $\bar{I}_{k,N}$ are complementary idempotent matrices: $I_{k,N}^2 = I_{k,N} = \mathbb{I} - \bar{I}_{k,N}$. We just proved $\tilde{R}^{(+)}_{S,k} = \pi(R^{(+)}_{S,k})$, which implies, by Corollary 4.23, that $\tilde{R}^{(+)}_{S,k}$ is a subalgebra of $gc_N$.

For (ii), suppose the left ideal $J \subset \mathbb{C} \mathbb{R}^N$ is generated by the matrix $A$, namely $J = (\mathbb{C} \mathbb{R}^N \subset A$. If $rk(A) = k$, we can always find invertible matrices $P, Q$ such that $A = Q I_{k,N} P^{-1}$, which implies:

$$J = P(\mathbb{C} \mathbb{R}^N \subset I_{k,N}) P^{-1}.$$ 

We then get, as we wanted, $\tilde{R}^{(+)}_{S,k} = P R^{(+)}_{S,k} P^{-1}$. We are left to prove (iii). By Lemma 4.23, $R^{(+)}_{S,S}$ is a subalgebra of $gc_N$ normalized with respect to $L^{(+)}_S$. It follows by Corollary 4.23 that the projection $\tilde{R} = \pi(R^{(+)}_{S,S})$ is a subalgebra of the reduced space $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C} gc_N$. We want to prove that, if we decompose $\tilde{R}$ as in (4.2), then $V = \sum_{n \geq 0} V_n \subset \mathbb{C} \mathbb{R}^N$ acts irreducibly on $C \mathbb{C}^N$.

By (2.3) we know that $Q^{(S)}_n(\partial, x) = x^S$ and $Q^{(S)}_{n+1}(\partial, x) = x^S(x + \frac{1}{2} \partial)$. We then have, by definition of $R^{(+)}_{S,S}$, that $Q^{(S)}_n(\partial, x) A$, $Q^{(S)}_{n+1}(\partial, x) B \in R^{(+)}_{S,S}$ for every $A \in V_{(-1)^{n+1}}$ and $B \in V_{(-1)^{n+2}}$, or equivalently: $V_{(-1)^{n+1}} \subset V_S$ and $V_{(-1)^{n+2}} \subset V_{S+1}$. But then $\mathbb{C} \mathbb{R}^N = V_+ \cup V_- \subset V$ and $V$ acts irreducibly on $C \mathbb{C}^N$. Corollary 4.21 provides a list of all possibilities of infinite-dimensional subalgebras $R \subset gc_N$ such that $\sum_{n \geq 0} V_n$ acts irreducibly on $C \mathbb{C}^N$. It is not hard to understand, from this, that the only allowed possibility is $\pi(R^{(+)}_{S,S}) = \tilde{R}^{(+)}_{S,S}$, or equivalently $R^{(+)}_{S,S} = \mathbb{C}[\partial] \tilde{R}^{(+)}_{S,S}$, which is what we wanted.

We thus obtained our main result:

Theorem 4.28. (i) Consider a Virasoro element in $gc_N$ of the form

$$L = \left( x + \frac{1 - \sigma}{2} \partial \right) \mathbb{I}.$$ 

(4.18)
Let \( \hat{g}_N = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) be the corresponding reduced space. A complete list of subalgebras \( \hat{R} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) V_n \subset \hat{g}_N \) which are infinite-dimensional and such that \( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_n \) acts irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}^N \) is the following:

1. for \( \sigma \notin \mathbb{Z} \) the only possibility is \( \hat{R} = \hat{g}_N \);
2. for \( \sigma \in \mathbb{Z} \), let \( \sigma = \pm S \) with \( S \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Then the only possibilities are:

\[
\hat{R}_{S,J}^{(\pm)} = \left( \bigoplus_{0 \leq n < S} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) J \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq S} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \right),
\]

where \( J \) is a left (resp. right) ideal of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \) for \( \sigma = +S \) (resp. \( \sigma = -S \)), and

\[
\hat{R}_{S,S}^{(\pm)} = \bigoplus_{n \geq S} Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) V_{(-1)^{n+1}},
\]

where \( V_\pm = \{ A \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \mid A^* = \pm A \} \) and * is a linear antiinvolution of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \).

(ii) A complete list of infinite rank, normalized (with respect to some Virasoro element of the form (4.13)), subalgebras of \( \hat{g}_N \) acting irreducibly on \( \mathbb{C}[\partial]^N \) is the following:

\[
R_{S,k}^{(+)} = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x](I_{k,N} + x^S \bar{I}_{k,N})
\]

and their conjugates by a constant invertible matrix,

\[
R_{S,S}^{(+)} = \{ x^S[P(\partial, x) + (-1)^{S+1} P^*(\partial, -\partial - x)] \mid P(\partial, x) \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}[\partial, x] \},
\]

where \( S \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), \( k = 0, \ldots, N \), \( I_{k,N} \) is defined by (4.14), \( \bar{I}_{k,N} = \mathbb{I} - I_{k,N} \) and * is a linear antiinvolution of \( \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \). The corresponding Virasoro element is \( L_S^{(+)} = (x + \frac{1-S}{2} \partial) \mathbb{I} \).

4.5. An application to Jacobi polynomials . . .

By Corollary 4.26 we have \( \hat{R}_{S,S}^{(+)} \subset R_{S,S}^{(+)} \), which means, in terms of basis elements, that \( Q_n^{(\pm S)}(\partial, x) A \in R_{S,S}^{(+)} \) for every \( A \in V_{(-1)^{n+1}}, n \geq S \), or equivalently, by (4.14):

\[
Q_n^{(S)}(\partial, x) A = x^S \hat{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, x) A
\]

where:

\[
\hat{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, x) A = (\partial - x)^{n-S} \hat{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, -\partial - x) A^* = (-1)^{n-S} \hat{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, -\partial - x) A,
\]

so that \( \hat{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, x) = (-1)^{n-S} \hat{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, -\partial - x) \). Similarly \( \hat{Q}_n^{(-S)}(\partial, x) = (\partial + x)^{n-S} \hat{Q}_n^{(-S)}(\partial, \partial - x) \), with \( \hat{Q}_n^{(-S)}(\partial, x) = (-1)^{n-S} \hat{Q}_n^{(-S)}(\partial, -\partial - x) \). Moreover it follows, by symmetry relation (4.13) and the fact that \( Q_n^{(\pm S)}(\partial, y) \) is homogeneous of degree \( n \), that:

\[
\hat{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, x) = (-1)^{n-S} \hat{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, -\partial - x) = (-1)^{n-S} \frac{\hat{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, -\partial - x)}{(-\partial - x)^S},
\]

\[
\hat{Q}_n^{(-S)}(\partial, x) = \frac{\hat{Q}_n^{(-S)}(\partial, -\partial - x)}{(-\partial - x)^S} = \frac{\hat{Q}_n^{(-S)}(\partial, x)}{(\partial + x)^S} = \hat{Q}_n^{(-S)}(\partial, x)
\]

Thus, we proved the following:
Lemma 4.29. Let $S \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The polynomials $Q_n^{(\pm,S)}(\partial, x)$ defined in (2.1) have the following properties:

$$Q_n^{(S)}(\partial, x) = x^S \tilde{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, x),$$
$$Q_n^{(-S)}(\partial, x) = (x + \partial)^S \tilde{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, x),$$

where $\tilde{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $\partial$ and $x$ of degree $n - S$ satisfying:

$$\tilde{Q}_n^{(S)}(\partial, x) = \tilde{Q}_n^{(S)}(-\partial, \partial + x).$$

Remark 4.30. Equivalently, in terms of the $y$ variable defined in (1.8), the polynomials $R_n^{(\pm,S)}(\partial, y)$ defined in (2.3) are such that:

$$R_n^{(S)}(\partial, y) = (y - \partial)^S \tilde{R}_n^{(S)}(\partial, y),$$
$$R_n^{(-S)}(\partial, y) = (y + \partial)^S \tilde{R}_n^{(S)}(\partial, y),$$

where $\tilde{R}_n^{(S)}(\partial, y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $\partial$ and $y$ of degree $n - S$ satisfying:

$$\tilde{R}_n^{(S)}(\partial, y) = \tilde{R}_n^{(S)}(-\partial, y).$$

We can translate this result in terms of Jacobi polynomials, simply by using (2.11) (namely by putting $\partial = 1$ in the above relations for $R_n^{(\pm,S)}(\partial, y)$).

Corollary 4.31. For $S \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the Jacobi polynomial $P_n^{(-S,S)}(y)$ is divisible by $(y - 1)^S$ and the Jacobi polynomial $P_n^{(S,-S)}(y)$ is divisible by $(y + 1)^S$. The ratio polynomials coincide:

$$\tilde{P}_n^{(S)}(y) := \frac{P_n^{(-S,S)}(y)}{(y - 1)^S} = \frac{P_n^{(S,-S)}(y)}{(y + 1)^S}. \quad (4.19)$$

Furthermore $\tilde{P}_n^{(S)}(y)$ is a polynomial of degree $n - S$ with the parity of $n - S$:

$$\tilde{P}_n^{(S)}(y) = (-1)^{n - S} \tilde{P}_n^{(S)}(-y).$$

Remark 4.32. This result is a generalization of the classical parity property $P_n^{(0,0)}(y) = (-1)^n P_n^{(0,0)}(-y)$ of Legendre polynomials.

Of course one can prove Corollary 4.31 directly from the definition of Jacobi polynomials, but the proof is rather involved.

4.6. ... and to products of Jacobi polynomials

Recall the coefficients $d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)}$ are defined in (2.18) and (2.19) by expanding the product of two polynomials $Q_m^{(-\sigma)}(\partial, x)$ and $Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x)$ in powers of $x$:

$$Q_m^{(-\sigma)}(\partial, x)Q_n^{(\sigma)}(\partial, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \frac{1}{k!} d_{m,n,k}^{(\sigma)} \partial^k x^{m+n-k}.$$ 

We can rewrite this relation in terms of products of Jacobi polynomials, or rather hypergeometric functions, using relation (2.9):

$$P_m^{(\sigma,-\sigma)}(2x + 1)P_n^{(-\sigma,\sigma)}(2x + 1) = \sum_{l=0}^{m+n} D(\sigma; m, n, l)x^l.$$
where we denoted $D(\sigma; m, n, l) = \binom{2m}{m+n-l} d(\sigma)_{m,n,m+n-l}$, which means, by (2.19):

$$D(\sigma; m, n, l) = \sum_{i,j} \binom{m+i}{m} \binom{n+j}{n} \binom{n-\sigma}{n-j}.$$

(4.20)

In this Section we will show how the classification of normalized subalgebras of $g_{c_N}$, namely Theorem 4.28, can be used to prove interesting properties of the coefficients $D(\sigma; m, n, l)$, whose direct prove would require rather complicated identities involving sums of multinomial coefficients.

For any $m, n, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $l \leq m + n$, $D(\sigma; m, n, l)$ is by (4.20) a polynomial in $\sigma$ of degree less than or equal to $m + n - l$. Furthermore it is manifestly invariant under the simultaneous exchange $\sigma \leftrightarrow -\sigma$ and $m \leftrightarrow n$, namely $D(\sigma; m, n, l) = D(-\sigma; n, m, l)$, so that we can assume, without loss of generality, $m \leq n$.

By Remark 4.4 we have that if $\hat{R} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} X^n V_n$ is a subalgebra of the reduced space $\hat{g}_{c_N}$, then for any $A \in V_m$ and $B \in V_n$ one has:

$$D(\sigma; m, n, l)AB + (-1)^{m+n-l+1} D(-\sigma; m, n, l)BA \in V_1.$$  

(4.21)

On the other hand Theorem 4.28 provides us a list of subalgebras of $\hat{g}_{c_N}$. Let us use these two facts to get conditions on the coefficients $D(\sigma; m, n, l)$. For $\sigma = S \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $k = 0, \ldots, N$, we have the subalgebra

$$\hat{R}^{(+)}_{S,k} = \left( \bigoplus_{0 \leq n < S} X^n \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} I_{k,N} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq S} X^n \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} \right),$$

which means $V_n = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} I_{k,N}$ for $n < S$ and $V_n = \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$ for $n \geq S$. For $m < S$, $n \geq S$ ans $l < S$, relation (4.21) becomes:

$$D(S; m, n, l)AI_{k,N}B + D(-S; m, n, l)BAI_{k,N} \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} I_{k,N},$$

for every $A, B \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$, which of course implies $D(S; m, n, l) = 0$. For $m, n \geq S$ and $l < S$, (4.21) becomes:

$$D(S; m, n, l)AB + (-1)^{m+n-l+1} D(-S; m, n, l)BA \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C} I_{k,N},$$

for every $A, B \in \text{Mat}_N \mathbb{C}$, which implies $D(S; m, n, l) = D(-S; m, n, l) = 0$. Finally, given a linear antinvolution $*$ of Mat$_N \mathbb{C}$, we have the subalgebra $\hat{R}^{(+)}_{*,S} = \bigoplus_{n \geq S} X^n V_{(-1)^{n+1}}$. By taking $m, n, l \geq S$ one gets by (4.21) that, for $A \in V_{(-1)^{m+1}}$ and $B \in V_{(-1)^{n+1}}$:

$$D(S; m, n, l)AB + (-1)^{m+n-l+1} D(-S; m, n, l)BA \in V_{(-1)^{l+1}},$$

which implies $D(S; m, n, l) = D(-S; m, n, l)$. We just proved the following:

**Lemma 4.33.** Let $m, n, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that $m \leq n$ and consider the function $D(\sigma; m, n, l)$ defined in (4.21). It is a polynomial in $\sigma$ of degree less than or equal to $m + n - l$ such that:

1. $D(\sigma; m, n, l) = D(-\sigma; m, n, l)$ for $\sigma = 0, 1, \ldots, m$,
2. if \( l < n \), then \( D(\sigma; m, n, l) = 0 \) for \( \sigma = l + 1, \ldots, n \).

Remark 4.34. Notice that the second part follows immediately by the explicit expression (4.20) of \( D(\sigma; m, n, l) \), but to check the first part directly is non trivial.

We now want to use the following:

Lemma 4.35. Let \( P(\sigma) \) be a polynomial in \( \sigma \) of degree \( d \) such that:

\[
P(y_i) = P(-y_i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, s,
\]

for distinct positive number \( y_1, \ldots, y_s \), and

\[
P(x_j) = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, t,
\]

for distinct positive number \( x_1, \ldots, x_t \).

(i) If \( 2s \geq d \), then \( P(\sigma) \) is an even polynomial in \( \sigma \).

(ii) If \( s + t \geq d \), but \( 2s < d \), then \( P(\sigma) \) is uniquely defined, up to scalar multiplication, by the above conditions (4.22) and (4.23). In this case it must be \( s + t = d \).

Proof. In general, if a polynomial \( P(\sigma) \) satisfies (4.22), we can always decompose it as:

\[
P(\sigma) = \text{(even polynomial)} + \prod_{i=1}^{s} (\sigma^2 - i^2) \text{(odd polynomial)}.
\]

(i) follows immediately by this decomposition. Suppose now \( 2s < d \), \( s + t \geq d \). We denote \( \tilde{d} = d \) (resp. \( = d-1 \)) if \( d \) is odd (resp. even). If we write \( P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i \sigma^i \), then (4.22) and (4.23) give the following condition on the coefficients \( a_i, i = 1, \ldots, d \):

\[
a_0 + a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_d x_1^d = 0
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
a_0 + a_1 x_t + \cdots + a_d x_t^d = 0
\]

\[
a_1 y_1 + a_3 y_1^3 + \cdots + a_d y_1^d = 0
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
a_1 y_s + a_3 y_s^3 + \cdots + a_d y_s^d = 0.
\]

In order to prove (ii) it thus suffices to prove that the matrix:

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{d-1} \\
1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{d-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & x_t & x_t^2 & \cdots & x_t^{d-1} \\
0 & y_1 & 0 & \cdots & y_1 \bar{d} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & y_s & 0 & \cdots & y_s \bar{d}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

has rank greater than or equal to \( d - 1 \). Without loss of generality we can assume \( s + t = d \). If we denote by \( A \) the \( t \times (s + t) \) matrix obtained by taking
the upper $t$ rows of $M$ and by $B$ the $s \times (s + t)$ matrix consisting of the lower $s$ rows of $M$, we get, by the Laplace expansion:

$$\det M = (-1)^{s+t} \sum_{|I|=s} (-1)^{|I|} \det A_{Ic} \det B_I,$$

where $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_s)$ is a subset of $(1, \ldots, s + t)$ with $s$ elements, $I^c = (1, \ldots, s + t) - I = (j_1, \ldots, j_t)$ and $B_I$ (resp. $A_{Ic}$) is the $s \times s$ (resp. $t \times t$) matrix obtained by taking columns $i_1, \ldots, i_s$ (resp. $j_1, \ldots, j_t$) of $B$ (resp. $A$). Since $\det B_I = 0$ as soon as one of the $i_l$’s is odd, all the signs in the sum are positive:

$$\det M = \pm \sum_{|I|=s} \det A_{Ic} \det B_I.$$

Finally we notice that, if we denote $\lambda = (i_1/2 - 1, i_2/2 - 1, \ldots, i_s/2 - 1)$ and $\mu = (j_1 - 1, \ldots, j_t - 1)$, then the determinants of $A_{Ic}$ and $B_I$ can be written in terms of Schur’s polynomials as:

$$\det A_{Ic} = \prod_{0 \leq h < k \leq t} (x_k - x_h) S_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_t)$$

$$\det B_I = \prod_{0 \leq l \leq s} y_l \prod_{0 \leq h < k \leq s} (y_k^2 - y_h^2) S_{\mu}(y_1^2, \ldots, y_s^2),$$

and they are never zero, under our assumptions on $x_i$’s and $y_j$’s. \hfill $\Box$

We now want to combine Lemma 4.33 and Lemma 4.35. Suppose first that $0 \leq l \leq m \leq n$. In this case Lemma 4.33 guarantees that:

$$D(\sigma; m, n, l) = \prod_{i=l+1}^{m} (\sigma^2 - i^2) R(\sigma),$$

where $R(\sigma)$ is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to $n - m + l$ such that:

$$R(\sigma) = R(-\sigma), \text{ for } \sigma = 0, \ldots, l$$

$$R(\sigma) = 0, \text{ for } \sigma = m + 1, \ldots, n.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.24)

We can therefore apply Lemma 4.35 to conclude:

1. for $n - m \leq l \leq m + n$, $R(\sigma)$ is an even polynomial in $\sigma$,

2. for $l < n - m$, $R(\sigma)$ is uniquely defined, up to scalar multiplication, by the conditions (4.24).

For $0 \leq m \leq l \leq n$, Lemma 4.33 and Lemma 4.35 imply:

1. if $n - m \leq l \leq m + n$, then $D(\sigma; m, n, l)$ is an even polynomial in $\sigma$,

2. is $l < n - m$, then $D(\sigma; m, n, l)$ is uniquely defined, up to scalar multiplication, by conditions (4.24).

Finally, for $l > n$ one immediately gets by Lemma 4.33 that $D(\sigma; m, n, l)$ is an even polynomial in $\sigma$. We can summarize these results in the following:
Corollary 4.36. (i) For \( n - m \leq l \leq m + n \) one can write:

\[
D(\sigma; m, n, l) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{l,n}} (i^2 - \sigma^2) R(\sigma),
\]

where \( \mathcal{A}_{l,n} = \{l+1, \ldots, n\} \) if \( l < n \) and \( \mathcal{A}_{l,n} = \emptyset \) if \( l \geq n \), and \( R(\sigma) \) is an even polynomial in \( \sigma \) of degree less than or equal to \( m + n - l - 2|\mathcal{A}_{l,n}| \).

(ii) If \( l < n - m \), then:

\[
D(\sigma; m, n, l) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{l,m}} (i^2 - \sigma^2) \prod_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{m,n}} (i - \sigma) R(\sigma),
\]

where \( R(\sigma) \) is a polynomial of degree \( m + n - l - 2|\mathcal{A}_{l,m}| - |\mathcal{A}_{m,n}| \), uniquely defined, up to scalar multiplication, by the conditions:

\[
R(\sigma) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{m,n}} \frac{(i + \sigma)}{(i - \sigma)} R(-\sigma), \quad \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, \min\{m, l\}.
\]
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