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Abstract The Crank-Nicolson (short for C-N) scheme for solving \textit{backward stochastic differential equation} (BSDE), driven by Brownian motions, was first developed by the authors W. Zhao, L. Chen and S. Peng [SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 28 (2006), 1563–1581], and numerical experiments showed that the accuracy of this C-N scheme was of second order for solving BSDE. This C-N scheme was extended to solve decoupled \textit{forward-backward stochastic differential equations} (FBSDEs) by W. Zhao, Y. Li and Y. Fu [Sci. China. Math., 57 (2014), 665–686], and it was numerically shown that the accuracy of the extended C-N scheme was also of second order. To our best knowledge, among all one-step (two-time level) numerical schemes with second-order accuracy for solving BSDE or FBSDEs, such as the ones in the above two papers and the one developed by the authors D. Crisan and K. Manolarakis [Ann. Appl. Probab., 24, 2 (2014), 652–678], the C-N scheme is the simplest one in applications. The theoretical proofs of second-order error estimates reported in the literature for these schemes for solving decoupled FBSDEs did not include the C-N scheme. The purpose of this work is to theoretically analyze the error estimate of the C-N scheme for solving decoupled FBSDEs. Based on the Taylor and Itô-Taylor expansions, the Malliavin calculus theory (e.g., the multiple Malliavin integration-by-parts formula), and our new truncation error cancelation techniques, we rigorously prove that the strong convergence rate of the C-N scheme is of second order for solving decoupled FBSDEs, which fills the gap between the second-order numerical and theoretical analysis of the C-N scheme.
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1 Introduction

Let (\(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}\)) be a filtered complete probability space, where \(\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}\) is the natural filtration of the standard \(d\)-dimensional Brownian motion \(W_t = (W^1_t, \ldots, W^d_t)^\top\), \(t \in [0, T]\), on the probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\), and \(T\) is a fixed finite horizon. Let \(L^2 = L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^T, \mathbb{P})\) be the set of all \(\mathcal{F}_t\)-adapted and mean-square-integrable vector or matrix processes for \(t \in [0, T]\).

In this paper, on the space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\), we consider numerical solutions of decoupled \textit{forward-backward stochastic differential equations} (FBSDEs) in the following integral form.

\[
\begin{align*}
X_t &= X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s)dW_s, \quad \text{(SDE)} \\
Y_t &= \varphi(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad \text{(BSDE)}
\end{align*}
\] (1.1)
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for \( t \in [0, T] \), where \( X_0 \) is the initial condition of the forward stochastic differential equation (SDE), \( \varphi(X_T) \) is the terminal condition of the backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE), \( b \) is the drift coefficient valued in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), \( \sigma \) is the diffusion matrix valued in \( \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \), and \( f \) valued in \( \mathbb{R} \) is the generator function. Note that the two integrals with respect to \( W_s \) in (1.1) are the Itô-type integrals.

A triple \((X_s, Y_s, Z_s) : [0, T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \) is called an \( L^2 \)-adapted solution of (1.1) if it is \( \mathcal{F}_T \)-adapted, \( L^2 \)-integrable, and satisfies (1.1). In [19], under some standard conditions on the coefficients of (1.1), Pardoux and Peng originally proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution of nonlinear BSDE or FBSDEs. For solving BSDE [5] and for decoupled FBSDEs in [32], the introduced new Gaussian processes simplified introducing new Gaussian processes, second-order numerical schemes were presented and analyzed for FBSDEs [29], and theoretically proved second-order convergence of them but not of the C-N one. By and Fu proposed three one-step second-order schemes, including the C-N scheme, for solving decoupled BSDE and its second-order convergence was theoretically proved in [28]. And in 2014, Zhao, Li Peng proposed numerical schemes for solving BSDE in [25], in which the Crank-Nicolson (short for C-N) scheme are high-order numerical methods, such as [5, 16, 25–32].

The theoretical second-order error estimate of the scheme for solving the decoupled FBSDEs was introduced in [29]. The second-order convergence rate of the C-N scheme for BSDE was proved in [28], but for decoupled FBSDEs is still open until now. By the Taylor and Itô-Taylor expansions, the extension for solving decoupled FBSDEs is much more difficult and complex. By the Taylor and Itô-Taylor expansions, some are Euler-type methods with convergence rate \( 1 \), such as [2–4, 6–8, 10, 11, 15, 24] and some are high-order numerical methods, such as [5, 16, 25].

To our best knowledge in the literature, up to now, one-step second-order numerical schemes for solving BSDE and decoupled FBSDEs were proposed and studied in [5, 25, 28, 29]. In 2006, Zhao, Chen and Peng proposed numerical schemes for solving BSDE in [25], in which the Crank-Nicolson (short for C-N) is included. Numerical experiments showed that the accuracy of the C-N scheme was of second order for solving BSDE and its second-order convergence was theoretically proved in [28]. And in 2014, Zhao, Li and Fu proposed three one-step second-order schemes, including the C-N scheme, for solving decoupled FBSDEs [29], and theoretically proved second-order convergence of them but not of the C-N one. By introducing new Gaussian processes, second-order numerical schemes were presented and analyzed for solving BSDE [2] and for decoupled FBSDEs in [22]. The introduced new Gaussian processes simplified the proof of error estimates of the schemes, but doubled the computational complexity for solving BSDE or FBSDEs.

Among all these one-step second-order schemes, concerning their applications and coding in solving BSDE or FBSDEs, the simplest one is the C-N scheme. It was proposed in [25] for solving BSDE and the extension for solving decoupled BSDEs was introduced in [29]. The second-order convergence rate of the C-N scheme for BSDE was proved in [28], but for decoupled BSDEs is still open until now.

The purpose of this paper is to give a rigorously theoretical analysis on second-order convergence of the C-N scheme for solving decoupled FBSDEs [24]. Compared with the proof in [28] for BSDE, the analysis for decoupled FBSDEs is much more difficult and complex. By the Taylor and Itô-Taylor expansions, the theory of multiple Malliavin calculus, and the error cancellation techniques, we are able to rigorously prove a general error estimate result for the C-N scheme, and based on this result, we finally obtained the theoretical second-order error estimate of the scheme for solving the decoupled FBSDEs.

Some notation to be used:

- \( A^\top \): the transpose of vector or matrix \( A \).
- \(| \cdot |\): the norm for vector or matrix defined by \(|A|^2 = \text{trace}(A^\top A)\).
- \( C_{b,k,k,k}^l \): the set of continuously differentiable functions \( \psi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) with uniformly bounded partial derivatives \( \partial_{t_1}^l \psi \) and \( \partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \partial_{t_2}^{k_2} \partial_{x_2}^{k_3} \psi \) for \( \frac{1}{2} \leq l_1 \leq l \) and \( 1 \leq k_1 + k_2 + k_3 \leq k \). Analogously we define \( C_{b,k,k}^l \) and \( C_b^l \).
- \( \mathcal{F}_{s,x}^r(t \leq s \leq T) \): the \( \sigma \)-field generated by the diffusion process \( \{X_t, t \leq r \leq s, X_t = x\} \).
- \( \mathbb{E}_{s,x}^r[\eta] \): the conditional mathematical expectation of the random variable \( \eta \) under the \( \sigma \)-field \( \mathcal{F}_{s,x}^r \), i.e., \( \mathbb{E}_{s,x}^r[\eta] = \mathbb{E}[\eta | \mathcal{F}_{s,x}^r] \). Let \( \mathbb{E}_r[\eta] = \mathbb{E}[\eta | \mathcal{F}_r^r] \).
The rest of the paper is organized as follows. After we introduce some preliminaries in Section 2, we review the C-N scheme proposed in [29] for solving FBSDEs in Section 3. Then we state our main error estimate results for the C-N scheme in Section 4, and prove them in Section 5. In Section 6, some conclusions are given.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Variational equations of the decoupled FBSDEs

Let \((X^{t,x}_r, Y^{t,x}_r, Z^{t,x}_r)\) be the solution of the FBSDEs

\[
\begin{align*}
X^{t,x}_r & = x + \int_t^r b(s, X^{t,x}_s)ds + \int_t^r \sigma(s, X^{t,x}_s)dW_s, \\
Y^{t,x}_r & = \varphi(X^{t,x}_T) + \int_r^T f(s, X^{t,x}_s, Y^{t,x}_s, Z^{t,x}_s)ds - \int_r^T Z^{t,x}_s dW_s,
\end{align*}
\]

for \(r \in [t, T]\). Here the superscript \(^{t,x}\) means that the forward SDE starts from time \(t\) at space point \(x\).

Let \(\nabla_x X^{t,x}_r\) and \(\nabla_x Y^{t,x}_r\) be respectively the variation of \(X^{t,x}_r\) and \(Y^{t,x}_r\) with respect to (w.r.t.) \(x_i\) which is the \(i\)-th component of \(x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^T\). Taking variation \(\nabla_x\) on both sides of the equations in (2.1), we deduce

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_x X^{t,x}_r &= e_i + \int_t^r b_x(s, X^{t,x}_s)\nabla_x X^{t,x}_s ds + \int_t^r \sigma_x(s, X^{t,x}_s)\nabla_x X^{t,x}_s dW_s, \\
\nabla_x Y^{t,x}_r &= \varphi_x(X^{t,x}_T)\nabla_x X^{t,x}_r + \int_r^T \nabla_x f(s, X^{t,x}_s, Y^{t,x}_s, Z^{t,x}_s) ds - \int_r^T \nabla_x Z^{t,x}_s dW_s,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(e_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)\) is the \(i\)-th coordinate basis vector of \(\mathbb{R}^d\), \(\sigma^j\) is the \(j\)-th column of \(\sigma(\cdot)\), and

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_x X^{t,x}_r &= [\nabla_{x_1} X^{t,x}_r, \ldots, \nabla_{x_{d'}} X^{t,x}_r]_{1 \times d}, \\
\nabla_x Y^{t,x}_r &= [\nabla_{x_1} Y^{t,x}_r, \ldots, \nabla_{x_{d'}} Y^{t,x}_r]_{1 \times d'}, \\
\nabla_x f(s, X^{t,x}_s, Y^{t,x}_s, Z^{t,x}_s) &= f_x(s, X^{t,x}_s, Y^{t,x}_s, Z^{t,x}_s)\nabla_x X^{t,x}_s + f_y(s, X^{t,x}_s, Y^{t,x}_s, Z^{t,x}_s)\nabla_x Y^{t,x}_s \\
&\quad + f_z(s, X^{t,x}_s, Y^{t,x}_s, Z^{t,x}_s)\nabla_x Z^{t,x}_s.
\end{align*}
\]

2.2 The Itô-Taylor scheme for forward SDE

For the time interval \([0, T]\), we first introduce the following time partition:

\[0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_{N-1} < t_N = T\]

with \(\Delta = t_{n+1} - t_n\) for \(n = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 2\), and \(t_N - t_{N-1} = \Delta^2\).

We shall call a row vector \(\alpha = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_l)\) with \(j_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}\) for \(i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}\), a multi-index of length \(l := l(\alpha) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}\), and denote by \(v\) the multi-index of length zero \((l(v) := 0)\). Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be the set of all multi-indices; that is,

\[\mathcal{M} = \{(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_l) : j_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\} \text{ for } l = 1, 2, \ldots \} \cup \{v\}.
\]

Given a multi-index \(\alpha \in \mathcal{M}\) with \(l(\alpha) \geq 1\), we write \(-\alpha\) and \(\alpha-\) for the multi-index in \(\mathcal{M}\) by deleting the first and last component of \(\alpha\), respectively. Denote by \(I_{\alpha}[g_{\alpha}(\cdot)]_{t_n, t_{n+1}}\) the multiple Itô integral recursively defined by

\[
I_{\alpha}[g_{\alpha}(\cdot)]_{t_n, t_{n+1}} = \begin{cases}
X_n^{t_n} & l = 0, \\
\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_{\alpha-}[g_{\alpha}(\cdot)]_{t_n, s} ds, & l \geq 1, j_l = 0, \\
\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_{\alpha-}[g_{\alpha}(\cdot)]_{t_n, s} dW_{j_l}, & l \geq 1, j_l \geq 1,
\end{cases}
\]
where the Itô coefficient functions \( g_\alpha(t, x) \) are defined by

\[
g_\alpha(t, x) = \begin{cases} x, & l = 0, \\ g(0) = b(t, x), & g(1) = \sigma(t, x), \\ L^j g_\alpha, & l > 1, \end{cases}
\]

for all \((t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d\), and \(L^j\) are the differential operators defined by

\[
L^0 = \partial_t + \sum_{k=1}^d b_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^d \sigma_{kj} \sigma_{lj} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_l}; \quad L^j = \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d.
\] (2.3)

In this paper, we will use the following weak order-2 Itô-Taylor schemes for solving SDE:

\[
X^{n+1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2} g_\alpha(t_n, X^n) I_{\alpha, n} = X^n + \phi^n,
\] (2.4)

where \(X^n = (X^n_1, \ldots, X^n_d)^\top\), \(\Gamma_2 = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{M} : l(\alpha) \leq 2 \}\), \(I_{\alpha, n} := I_{\alpha}[1]_{t_n, t_{n+1}}\) are the multiple Itô integrals for the index \(\alpha\) over the time interval \([t_n, t_{n+1}]\), and \(\phi^n = (\phi^n_1, \ldots, \phi^n_d)^\top\) with its \(i\)-th component

\[
\phi^n_i = b_i \Delta + \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sigma_{ij_1} I_{(j_1), n} + \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^d L^{j_1} \sigma_{ij_2} I_{(j_1, j_2), n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1=1}^d (L^{j_1} b_i + L^0 \sigma_{ij_1}) \Delta I_{(j_1), n} + \frac{1}{2} L^0 b_i \Delta^2
\]

with \(I_{(j_1, j_2), n} = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^{t} dW_{j_1}^n dW_{j_2}^n\) for \(j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\). In the sequel, if there is no confusion, for a function \(a = a(t, x)\), we denote \(a(t_n, X^n)\) by \(a\).

### 2.3 The Malliavin calculus on SDE and BSDE

Suppose that \(H\) is a real separable Hilbert space with scalar product denoted by \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H\). The norm of an element \(h \in H\) will be denoted by \(\|h\|_H\). Let \(\mathcal{W} = \{W(h), h \in H\}\) denote an isonormal Gaussian process associated with the Hilbert space \(H\) on \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\).

For the Brownian motion \(W_t = (W^1_t, \ldots, W^d_t)^\top\), we define a random variable of the form \(F = f(W(h^1), \ldots, W(h^d))\), where \(h^k = (h^{k,1}, \ldots, h^{k,d})\) and

\[
W(h^k) = \int_0^\infty h^k_t dW_t, \quad 1 \leq k \leq d.
\] (2.5)

Similarly we define \(W^i(h^{k,i}) = \int_0^\infty h^k_i dW^i_t\), then it is easy to see \(W(h^k) = \sum_{i=1}^d W^i(h^{k,i})\). For the index \(\alpha = (i)\) \((0 \leq i \leq d)\), let \(D_\alpha^t = D_{i,t}^t\) \((0 \leq t \leq T)\) be the Malliavin derivative of order one w.r.t. \(W^i\), with the convention that \(D_{0,t}\) is just the identity, i.e., \(D_{0,t} = 1\) and

\[
D_{i,t} F = \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial h^{k,i}_t}(W(h^1), \ldots, W(h^d)) h^{k,i}_t, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, d.
\]

From an intuitive point of view \(D_{i,t} F\) represents the derivative of \(F\) w.r.t. the increment of \(i\)-th Brownian motion \(W^i\) corresponding to \(t\). We will sometimes use the following intuitive notation

\[
D_{0,t} = 1, \quad D_{i,t} F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Delta_i^t},
\]

where \(\Delta_i^t = \Delta W^n_i = W^i_{t_{n+1}} - W^i_{t_n}\) for \(1 \leq i \leq d\) and \(t_n \leq t \leq t_{n+1}\). For a random variable \(X = (X^1, \ldots, X^d)^\top\), we assume

\[
D_{i,t} X = (D_{i,t} X^1, \ldots, D_{i,t} X^d)^\top, \quad D_{i,t} X = (D_{1,t} X, \ldots, D_{d,t} X)_{d \times d}
\] (2.6)

and

\[
D_{s_1, \ldots, s_l} = D_{s_1, \ldots, s_l}^{(j_1, \ldots, j_l)} = D_{j_1, s_1, \ldots, j_l, s_l}
\] (2.7)
for the multi-indices $\alpha = (j_1, \ldots, j_l) \in \mathcal{A}_l$ with $j_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, l$), where $\mathcal{A}_l = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{M} : l(\alpha) = l \}$, and $s_t \in [0, T]$. For any integer $p \geq 1$, $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}$ is the domain of $\mathbb{D}^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) in $L^p(\Omega)$, that is, $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}$ is the closure of the class of smooth random variables $F$ w.r.t. the norm

$$
\|F\|_{k,p}^2 = \mathbb{E}[|F|^2] + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=l} \int_{0}^{T} \cdots \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}[|D_{s_1,\ldots,s_l}^{\alpha} F|^2] ds_1 \ldots ds_l.
$$

For $p = 2$, the space $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$
\langle F, G \rangle = \mathbb{E}[FG] + \mathbb{E}[\langle DF, DG \rangle_H],
$$

where $\langle DF, DG \rangle_H := \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{i,t} F D_{i,t} G dt$.

For $t_n < r \leq s \leq t_{n+1}$ and $i,j,k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, by taking the Malliavin derivative $D_{j,t}$, $D_{i,s} D_{j,t}$ and $D_{k,r} D_{i,s} D_{j,t}$ to the multiple integral $I_{(j_1,j_2),n}$, we easily get

$$
D_{j,t} I_{(j_1,j_2),n} = \left( \int_{t_n}^{t} dW_{j_1}^i \right)_{s_{j_2}} \delta_{j_1 j_2} + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} D_{j,t} (W_{j_1}^i - W_{j_1}^i) dW_{j_2}^i \hspace{1cm}
$$

$$
= (W_{j_1}^i - W_{j_1}^i) \delta_{j_1 j_2} + (W_{j_2}^i - W_{j_2}^i) \delta_{j_1 j_2},
$$

$$
D_{i,s} D_{j,t} I_{(j_1,j_2),n} = (W_{j_1}^i - W_{j_1}^i) \delta_{j_1 j_2} + D_{i,s} (W_{j_2}^i - W_{j_2}^i) \delta_{j_1 j_2} = \delta_{i,j_1} \delta_{j_2 j_2},
$$

$$
D_{k,r} D_{i,s} D_{j,t} I_{(j_1,j_2),n} = 0.
$$

Then for $s_1 < s_2 < s_3$ and $\alpha = (j_1, j_2, j_3)$, it holds that

$$
D_{s_1 s_2 s_3}^{\alpha} \phi_{i} = D_{j_1, s_3} \phi_{i} = \sigma_{i j_3} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} L^j \sigma_{i j_3} (W_{j_3}^j - W_{j_3}^j) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} L^j \sigma_{i j_3} (W_{j_3}^j - W_{j_3}^j) \hspace{1cm}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( L^3 b_t + L^0 \sigma_{j_3 j_3} \right) \Delta, \quad j_1 = j_2 = 0, \ j_3 \geq 1,
$$

$$
D_{s_1 s_2 s_3}^{\alpha} \phi_{i} = D_{j_2, s_3} \phi_{i} = \sigma_{i j_3} \delta_{j_2 j_3} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} L^j \sigma_{i j_3} \delta_{j_3 j_2} = L^j \sigma_{i j_3}, \quad j_1 = 0, \ j_2, j_3 \geq 1,
$$

$$
D_{s_1 s_2 s_3}^{\alpha} \phi_{i} = D_{j_1, j_2, s_3} \phi_{i} = 0, \quad j_1, j_2, j_2 \geq 1.
$$

For the Malliavin derivative operator $D_t$, we introduce the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.3.1 (Integration-by-parts formula).** For $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, $u \in L^2(\Omega; H)$ and $i,j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[F \int_{0}^{T} u_t dW_t] = \mathbb{E}[\int_{0}^{T} D_{i,t} F u_t dt], \quad D_{i,t} \int_{0}^{T} u_t ds = \int_{0}^{T} D_{i,t} u_s ds,
$$

$$
D_{i,t} \int_{0}^{T} u_t dW_t = u_t \delta_{i j} + \int_{0}^{T} D_{i,t} u_s dW_s, \quad 0 < t < T,
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta function.

**Lemma 2.3.2 (Chain-rule).** If $\psi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuously differential function with bounded partial derivatives, $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_m)$ ($F_i \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$) is a random vector, and the solution $(X^{t,x}_r, Y^{t,x}_r, Z^{t,x}_r)$ of (2.1) is in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, then the following identities hold

$$
D_t \psi(F) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} (F) D_{i,j} F_j,
$$

$$
D_s X^{t,x}_r I_{s \leq r} = \nabla_x X^{t,x}_r (\nabla_x X^{t,x}_r)^{-1} \sigma(s, X^{t,x}_s) I_{s \leq r}, \quad D_s Y^{t,x}_r I_{s \leq r} = \nabla_x Y^{t,x}_r D_s X^{t,x}_r I_{s \leq r}.
$$

**3 The C-N Scheme for solving decoupled FBSDEs**

Let $(X^{t_n,X}_n, Y^{t_n,X}_n, Z^{t_n,X}_n)_{t_n \leq \cdot \leq T}$ ($0 \leq n \leq N - 1$) be the solution of the FBSDEs (2.1) with $t$ replaced by $t_n$ and $X_n$, respectively. Then we have

$$
Y^{t_n,X}_n = Y^{t_n,X}_{t_{n+1}} + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} f(s, X^{t_n,X}_s, Y^{t_n,X}_s, Z^{t_n,X}_s) ds - \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} Z^{t_n,X}_s dW_s.
$$
By taking the conditional mathematical expectation $E^{X_n}_{t_n} [\cdot]$ to the above equation gives
\[
Y_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} = E^{X_n}_{t_n} [Y_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_n] + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} E^{X_n}_{t_n} [f_{s}^{t_n} X_n] ds
\]
(3.2)
with $f_{s}^{t_n} X_n := f(s, X_{s}^{t_n} X_n, Y_{s}^{t_n} X_n, Z_{s}^{t_n} X_n)$. When $n = N - 1$, we use the Euler scheme to approximate the integral in (3.2) and obtain
\[
Y_{t_{N-1}}^{t_N-1} = E^{X_{N-1}}_{t_{N-1}} [\varphi(X^N)] + \Delta^2 f_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N-1}} X_{N-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{2} R_{y_j}^{N-1},
\]
(3.3)
where
\[
R_{y_1}^{N-1} = \int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_N} \{ E^{X_{N-1}}_{t_{N-1}} [f_{s}^{t_{N-1}} X_{N-1}] - f_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N-1}} X_{N-1} \} ds, \quad R_{y_2}^{N-1} = E^{X_{N-1}}_{t_{N-1}} [\varphi(X_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N-1}} X_{N-1}) - \varphi(X^N)].
\]
For $0 \leq n \leq N - 2$, by using the trapezoidal rule to approximate the integral in (3.2), we deduce
\[
Y_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} = E^{X_n}_{t_n} [Y_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_n] + \frac{1}{2} \Delta f_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta E^{X_n}_{t_n} [f_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_n] + \sum_{j=1}^{2} R_{y_j}^{n},
\]
(3.4)
where
\[
R_{y_1}^{n} = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \{ E^{X_n}_{t_n} [f_{s}^{t_n} X_n] - f_{t_n}^{t_n} X_n \} ds, \quad R_{y_2}^{n} = E^{X_n}_{t_n} [Y_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_n - f_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_n].
\]
(3.5)
Let $\Delta W_n = W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}$ for $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$. Multiplying (3.1) by $\Delta W_n^T$, taking the conditional mathematical expectation $E^{X_n}_{t_n} [\cdot]$ on both sides of the derived equation, and then using the Itô isometry formula we obtain
\[
- E^{X_n}_{t_n} [Y_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_n \Delta W_n^T] = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} E^{X_n}_{t_n} [f_{s}^{t_n} X_n \Delta W_n^T] ds - \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} E^{X_n}_{t_n} [Z_{s}^{t_n} X_n] ds.
\]
When $n = N - 1$, the Euler scheme is applied to approximate the integral in the above equation, then
\[
Z_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N-1}} X_{N-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta} E^{X_{N-1}}_{t_{N-1}} [\varphi(X^N) \Delta W_{N-1}^T] + \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} R_{z_j}^{N-1},
\]
(3.6)
where
\[
R_{z_1}^{N-1} = \int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_N} E^{X_{N-1}}_{t_{N-1}} [f_{s}^{t_{N-1}} X_{N-1} \Delta W_{N-1}^T] ds - \int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_N} E^{X_{N-1}}_{t_{N-1}} [(Z_{s}^{t_{N-1}} X_{N-1}^T) - Z_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N-1}} X_{N-1}^T] ds,
\]
\[
R_{z_2}^{N-1} = E^{X_{N-1}}_{t_{N-1}} [\varphi(X_{t_{N-1}}^{t_{N-1}} X_{N-1}) \Delta W_{N-1}^T - \varphi(X^N) \Delta W_{N-1}^T].
\]
For $0 \leq n \leq N - 2$, following similar derivation of the equation (3.4), we obtain the second reference equation as
\[
\frac{1}{\Delta} \Delta Z_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_n = -\frac{1}{\Delta} \Delta E^{X_n}_{t_n} [Z_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_{n+1}^T] + E^{X_n}_{t_n} [Y_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_n \Delta W_n^T] + \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \Delta E^{X_n}_{t_n} [f_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_n \Delta W_n^T] + \sum_{j=1}^{2} R_{z_j}^{n},
\]
(3.7)
where
\[
R_{z_1}^{n} = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} E^{X_n}_{t_n} [f_{s}^{t_n} X_n \Delta W_n^T] ds - \frac{1}{\Delta} \Delta E^{X_n}_{t_n} [f_{t_n}^{t_n} X_n \Delta W_n^T] - \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} E^{X_n}_{t_n} [(Z_{s}^{t_n} X_n) - Z_{t_n}^{t_n} X_n] ds,
\]
\[
R_{z_2}^{n} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \Delta E^{X_n}_{t_n} [Z_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_n - Z_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_{n+1}^T] + E^{X_n}_{t_n} [(Y_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_n - Y_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} X_{n+1}^T) \Delta W_n^T]
\]
(3.8)
Let $(Y^n, Z^n)$ denote the approximation to the exact solution $(Y_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_n, Z_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_n)$ of BSDE (3.1) for $n = N - 1, \ldots, 0$. For simple representation, we denote $f^n := f(t_n, X_n, Y^n, Z^n)$. Now, based on the reference equations (3.2), (3.3), (3.6) and (3.7), we introduce the Crank-Nicolson scheme (Scheme 2.1 proposed in [29]) for solving decoupled FBSDEs (1.1).
Scheme 3.1. Suppose that the initial condition $X_0$ for the forward SDE in (1.1) and the terminal condition $\varphi$ for the BSDE in (1.1) are given.

1. For $n = N - 1$, $t_N - t_{N-1} = \Delta^2$, solve $X^N, Y^{N-1}$ and $Z^{N-1}$ by

$$X^N = X^{N-1} + b(t_{N-1}, X^{N-1}) \Delta^2 + \sigma(t_{N-1}, X^{N-1}) \Delta W^T_{N-1}, \tag{3.9a}$$

$$Z^{N-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} E_{t_{N-1}} [Y^N \Delta W^T_{N-1}], \tag{3.9b}$$

$$Y^{N-1} = E_{t_{N-1}} [Y^N] + \Delta^2 f^{N-1}. \tag{3.9c}$$

2. For $n = N - 2, \ldots, 0$, solve $X^{n+1}, Y^n, Z^n$ by

$$X^{n+1} = X^n + \sum_{\alpha \in I_2 \setminus \{v\}} g_{\alpha}(t_n, X^n) I_{\alpha, n}, \tag{3.10a}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta Z^n = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta E_{t_n} [Z^{n+1}] + E_{t_n} [Y^{n+1} \Delta W^T_n] + \frac{1}{2} \Delta E_{t_n} [f^{n+1} \Delta W^T_n], \tag{3.10b}$$

$$Y^n = E_{t_n} [Y^{n+1}] + \frac{1}{2} \Delta f^n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta E_{t_n} [f^{n+1}]. \tag{3.10c}$$

Remark 3.1. 1. In 2006, the authors in [25] proposed the following scheme for solving BSDE:

$$Y^n = E_{t_n}^\gamma [Y^{n+1}] + \Delta t_n [(1 - \theta_1^n) E_{t_n}^\gamma [f^{n+1}] + \theta_1^n f^n],$$

$$0 = E_{t_n}^\gamma [Y^{n+1} \Delta W_n] + \Delta t_n (1 - \theta_2^n) E_{t_n}^\gamma [f^{n+1} \Delta W^T_n] - \Delta t_n \{ (1 - \theta_2^n) E_{t_n}^\gamma [Z^{n+1}] + \theta_2^n Z^n \}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $\Delta t_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$ and $f^n = f(t_n, Y^n, Z^n)$ for $n = N - 1, \ldots, 0$ with the parameters $\theta_1^n$ and $\theta_2^n$ in $[0, 1]$. The C-N scheme for BSDE is the case $\theta_1^n = \theta_2^n = \frac{1}{2}$. The second-order error estimate results were proved in [28].

2. In 2012, the authors in [27] developed the following $\theta$-scheme for BSDE: Given the terminal values $Y^N$ and $Z^N$, solve $Y^n$ and $Z^n$ by

$$Y^n = E_{t_n}^\gamma [Y^{n+1}] + \Delta t_n [(1 - \theta_1) E_{t_n}^\gamma [f^{n+1}] + \theta_1 f^n],$$

$$\theta_3 \Delta t_n Z^n = \theta_4 \Delta t_n E_{t_n}^\gamma [Z^{n+1}] + (\theta_3 - \theta_4) E_{t_n}^\gamma [Y^{n+1} \Delta W^T_n] + (1 - \theta_3) \Delta t_n E_{t_n}^\gamma [f^{n+1} \Delta W^T_n], \tag{3.12}$$

where $\Delta t_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$ and $f^n = f(t_n, Y^n, Z^n)$ for $n = N - 1, \ldots, 0$ with the deterministic parameters $\theta_i \in [0, 1]$ $(i = 1, 2)$, $\theta_3 \in [0, 1]$, and $\theta_4 \in [-1, 1]$ constrained by $|\theta_4| \leq \theta_3$.

When $\theta_i = \frac{1}{2} (i = 1, 2, 3)$ and $\theta_4 = -\frac{1}{2}$, the above scheme becomes the C-N scheme for BSDE. In [27] the second-order convergence rate of the above scheme was theoretically proved with the parameters $\theta_i \in [0, 1]$ $(i = 1, 2)$, $\theta_3 \in [0, 1]$, and $\theta_4 \in [-1, 1]$ constrained by $|\theta_4| < \theta_3$.

3. By introducing the Gaussian process

$$\Delta \tilde{W}_n = 4 \frac{W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}}{\Delta t_n} - 6 \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (s - t_n) dW_s \left(\frac{\Delta t_n}{2}\right)^2,$$

the authors in [5] proposed the following scheme for solving BSDE:

$$Z^n = E_{t_n} [Y^{n+1} [\Delta t_n f^{n+1}] \Delta \tilde{W}_n], \quad Y_n = E_{t_n} [Y^{n+1}] + \frac{\Delta t_n}{2} (f^n + E_{t_n} [f^{n+1}]), \tag{3.13}$$

where $f^n = f(X^n, Y^n, Z^n)$. The authors in [5] only obtained the second-order convergence rate of the above scheme for $X^n = X_{t_n}$, i.e., the forward SDE was not discretized. Note that the introduced stochastic process $\Delta \tilde{W}_n$ in the scheme will cause computation expensive for solving BSDE, and further it is too complex to use the scheme to solve FBSDEs.
4 Error estimates of the C-N scheme

4.1 Assumptions on approximations of $X_t$

It is obvious that the accuracy of Scheme \[ \text{(5.1)} \] depends on the accuracy of \[ \text{(2.4)} \] for solving the forward SDE $X_t$ in \[ \text{(1.1)}. \] In this subsection, we investigate the effect of approximation of forward SDE on the approximation solutions $(Y^n, Z^n)$ in the Crank-Nicolson scheme, the following assumptions are made.

**Assumption 4.1.** Suppose that $X_0$ is $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable with $\mathbb{E}[|X_0|^2] < \infty$, and that $b$ and $\sigma$ are $L^2$-measurable in $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, are linear growth bounded and uniformly Lipschitz continuous, i.e., there exist positive constants $K$ and $L$ such that

\[
|b(t, x)|^2 \leq K(1 + |x|^2), \quad |\sigma(t, x)|^2 \leq K(1 + |x|^2),
\]

\[
|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| \leq L|x - y|, \quad |\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)| \leq L|x - y|.
\]

**Assumption 4.2.** There is a constant $K' > 0$ such that the coefficient matrix $\sigma$ satisfies the uniformly elliptic condition

\[
\sigma(t, x)\sigma(t, x)^T \geq \frac{1}{K}I_{d \times d}, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Under the Assumption \[ \text{(1.1)} \] if $\mathbb{E}[|X_0|^{2m}] < \infty$ for some integer $m \geq 1$, the solution of SDE in \[ \text{(2.1)} \] has the estimate

\[
\mathbb{E}[|X^n_{t_n}|^{2m}] \leq (1 + |X^n|^{2m})e^{C(s-t_n)},
\]

for any $s \in [t_n, T]$, where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on the constants $K$, $L$ and $m$ \[ \text{(12)}. \]

In fact, the weak order-2 Itô-Taylor schemes \[ \text{(2.4)} \] for solving SDE in \[ \text{(1.1)} \] have the following approximation properties and the stability property (see Proposition 5.11.1 in \[ \text{[13]} \] and Assumption 4.2 in \[ \text{[72]} \]).

**Assumption 4.3.** The approximation solution $X^n$ $(n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1)$ has the approximation properties

\[
|\mathbb{E}^X_{t_n}[g(X^{n+1}) - g(X^n)]| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^{2r_1})\Delta,
\]

\[
|\mathbb{E}^X_{t_n}[g(X^1_{t_{n+1}} - X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1})] | \leq C(1 + |X^n|^{2r_2})\Delta^3,
\]

\[
|\mathbb{E}^X_{t_n}[(g(X^1_{t_{n+1}} - X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1}))W^n_{t_{n+1}}^m] | \leq C(1 + |X^n|^{2r_3})\Delta^3,
\]

and the stable estimate property

\[
\max_{0 \leq n \leq N} \mathbb{E}[|X^n|^r] \leq C(1 + \mathbb{E}[|X_0|^r]),
\]

where $r_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and $r$ are positive integers, and $C$ is a positive constant depending on $g \in C_b^{2r+2}$.

The proposition below for the approximation \[ \text{(2.3)} \] of $X_t$ holds as well.

**Proposition 4.1.** Suppose the functions $b, \sigma \in C_b^{1,2}$. For $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1$, we have the estimates

\[
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{I}_{\Omega} \setminus \{i\}} \mathbb{E}^X_{t_n}[|a(t_{n+1}, X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1})I_{a\cap n+1}|^2] \leq C\Delta,
\]

\[
\mathbb{E}^X_{t_n}[|\sigma(t_{n+1}, X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1})|^2] \leq C\Delta,
\]

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $X^n$, $X^{n+1}$, and the time partition.

4.2 Error equations

Let $(X_{t_{r}}^{lx}, Y_{t_{r}}^{lx}, Z_{t_{r}}^{lx})_{t \leq r \leq T}$ be the solution of the FBSDEs \[ \text{(2.1)} \] with the terminal condition $Y_{T}^{lx} = \varphi(Y_{T}^{lx})$, $(X^{n+1}, Y^n, Z^n) (0 \leq n \leq N - 1)$ be its approximation solution of Scheme \[ \text{(5.1)} \] and let the truncation errors $R^n_{y_1}, R^n_{y_2}, R^n_{z_1}$ and $R^n_{z_2}$ be defined in \[ \text{(5.5)} \] and \[ \text{(5.8)} \] for $0 \leq n \leq N$, respectively.

For the sake of presentation simplicity, we denote

\[
e^n_{Y} := Y^n_{t_n} - Y_n, \quad e^n_{Z} := Z^n_{t_n} - Z^n, \quad e^n_{f} := f(t_n, X^n, Y^n_{t_n}, Z^n_{t_n}) - f(t_n, X^n, Y^n, Z^n),
\]

\[
e^n_{\varphi} := \varphi(Y^n_{t_n}) - \varphi(Y_n).
\]
for $n = N - 2, \ldots, 1, 0$. Subtracting (3.4) and (3.7) from (3.10e) and (3.10f), respectively, we get

$$
e^n_\nu = \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{Y}] + \frac{1}{2} \Delta e^n_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{f}] + \sum_{j=1}^{2} R^n_{yj}, \tag{4.8}
$$

$$
\Delta e^n_Z = -\Delta \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{Y}] + 2 \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{f} \Delta W^n_{T}] + \Delta \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{f} \Delta W^n_{T}] + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} R^n_{zj}. \tag{4.9}
$$

Let $e^n_{\nu Y} := \nabla_{x^n} Y^n_{t_n} X^n - \nabla_{x^n} Z^n$ and $e^n_{\nu Z} := \nabla_{x^n} Z^n_{t_n} X^n - \nabla_{x^n} Z^n$. Taking variations w.r.t. $X^n$ on both sides of equations (4.8) and (4.9) gives us the following two equations:

$$
e^n_{\nu Y} = \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{\nu Y} \nabla_{x^n} X^n_{n+1}] + \frac{1}{2} \Delta e^n_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{f} \Delta x^n X^n_{n+1}] + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \nabla_{x^n} R^n_{yj}, \tag{4.10}
$$

and

$$
\Delta e^n_{\nu Z} = -\Delta \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{\nu Z} \nabla_{x^n} X^n_{n+1}] + 2 \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [\Delta W^n_{y} e^n_{\nu Y} \nabla_{x^n} X^n_{n+1}] + \Delta \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [\Delta W^n_{y} e^n_{\nu Y} \nabla_{x^n} X^n_{n+1}] + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} \nabla_{x^n} R^n_{zj}, \tag{4.11}
$$

where $\Delta W_n = (\Delta W^1_{n}, \ldots, \Delta W^d_{n})^T$, $e^n_{\nu Y} := (e^n_{1,Y}, \ldots, e^n_{d,Y}) = (\nabla_{x^n} e^n_{Y}, \ldots, \nabla_{x^n} e^n_{Y})$, and

$$
e^n_{\nu f} = f^n_{X} X^n - f^n_{X} + (f^n_{Y} X^n - f^n_{Y} Y^n) \nabla_{x^n} Y^n_{t_n} X^n + f^n_{Y} e^n_{\nu Y},
$$

$$
+ (f^n_{Z} X^n - f^n_{Z}) \nabla_{x^n} Z^n_{t_n} X^n + f^n_{Z} e^n_{\nu Z}.
$$

4.3 Main error estimate results

Now we state our main error estimate results in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 below.

**Theorem 4.1.** For the weak order-2 Itô-Taylor approximation $X^{n+1}$ satisfying (2.4), if $b, \sigma \in C^{1,3}_b$ and $f \in C^{1,2,2,2}_b$, then under Hypotheses 4.1 for $0 \leq n \leq N - 2$, it holds that

$$
\mathbb{E} \left[ |e^n_\nu|^2 + |e^n_\nu|^2 + |e^n_{\nu Y}|^2 + |e^n_{\nu Z}|^2 \right] 
\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ |e^{n-1}_{\nu}|^2 + |e^{n-1}_{\nu Y}|^2 + \Delta |e^{n-1}_{\nu Z}|^2 \right]
+ \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{i=n}^{N-2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( |\mathbb{E}_{t_i}^{X^n} [\Delta W^n_{T}]|^2 + |\mathbb{E}_{t_i}^{X^n} [\Delta W^n_{T}]|^2 \right) \right] \tag{4.12}
$$

where $C$ is a generic positive constant depending on $d, T, K'$, and upper bounds of derivatives of $b, \sigma$ and $f$.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose $b, \sigma \in C^{3,6}_b$, $f \in C^{3,6,6,6}_b$, and $\varphi \in C^{3+\alpha}_b$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then for the weak order-2 Itô-Taylor approximation solution $X^{n+1}$, $0 \leq n \leq N - 2$, under Assumptions 4.1 and 4.3, it holds that

$$
\max_{0 \leq n \leq N} \mathbb{E} \left[ |e^n_\nu|^2 + |e^n_\nu|^2 + |e^n_{\nu Y}|^2 + |e^n_{\nu Z}|^2 \right] \leq C \Delta^4, \tag{4.13}
$$

where $C$ is a generic positive constant depending on $d, T, K', K, L$, the initial value of $X_1$ in (1.1), and upper bounds of derivatives of $b, \sigma, f$ and $\varphi$.

**Remark 4.1.** Scheme 3.1 is stable, which is implied by Theorem 4.1 and its solution continuously depends on terminal condition. That is, for any given positive number $\varepsilon$, there exists a positive number $\delta$, for different terminal conditions $(Y^1_1, Z^1_1)$ and $(Y^2_1, Z^2_1)$, if $\mathbb{E}[(Y^1_1 - Y^2_1)^2] < \delta$, then, for $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[(Y^n_1 - Y^n_2)^2 + (Z^n_1 - Z^n_2)^2] < \varepsilon.
$$
5 Proofs of the main results

In this section, we will give rigorous proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. In the sequel, we will use $Var^n(G)$ to denote the conditional variance of random variable $G$, i.e., $Var^n(G) = \mathbb{E}_t^n [|G|^2] - \mathbb{E}_t^n [|G|^2]^2$.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 4.1, we introduce the following useful lemma.

Lemma 5.0.1. For the weak order-2 Itô-Taylor approximation $X^{n+1}$ satisfying (2.4), let $b, \sigma \in C_b^{1,3}$ and $f \in C_b^{1,2,2,2}$. Then for $0 \leq n \leq N - 2$, it holds that

$$\Delta \left( |e_Y^n|^2 + |e_Z^n|^2 + |e_{Y'}|^2 + |e_{Z'}|^2 \right) \leq C \Delta \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_Y^{n+1}\}^2 + |e_{Y'}|^2 + |e_{Z'}|^2] + CVar^n(e_Y^n) + CVar^n(e_{Y'}^n)$$

$$+ C \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \Delta |R_{yj}|^2 + \Delta |\nabla x^n R_{yj}|^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta} |R_{zj}|^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta} |\nabla x^n R_{zj}|^2 \right),$$

(5.1)

where $C$ is a positive generic constant depending only on $d$, and upper bounds of derivatives of $b, \sigma, f$ and $\varphi$.

Proof. By (4.8) and the Lipschitz continuity of function $f$, we easily deduce

$$|e_Y^n|^2 \leq (1 + \frac{L'}{2}) \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_Y^{n+1}\}] + \frac{L'}{2} \Delta (|e_Y^n|^2 + |e_Z^n|^2 + \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_{Y'}\}^2]) + \sum_{j=1}^2 |R_{yj}|^2,$$

where $L'$ is the Lipschitz constant. Then taking square on both sides of the above inequality and using the inequality $(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i)^2 \leq m \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2$, we deduce

$$|e_Y^n|^2 \leq C \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_Y^{n+1}\}] + C \Delta^2 (|e_Y^n|^2 + |e_Z^n|^2 + \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_{Y'}\}^2]) + C \sum_{j=1}^2 |R_{yj}|^2.$$

Similarly, from the error equations (4.9)–(4.11) we obtain

$$\Delta |e_Y^n|^2 \leq C \Delta \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_Y^{n+1}\}] + CVar^n(e_Y^{n+1})$$

$$+ C \Delta^2 \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_Y^{n+1}\}] + |e_Z^{n+1}|^2 + \frac{C}{\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^2 |R_{zj}|^2,$$

$$|e_{Y'}|^2 \leq C \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_{Y'}\}^2] + C \Delta^2 (|e_{Y'}|^2 + |e_{Z'}|^2 + |e_{Y'}|^2 + |e_{Z'}|^2)$$

$$+ C \Delta^2 \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_{Y'}\}^2] + |e_{Z'}|^2 + |e_{Y'}|^2 + |e_{Z'}|^2 + \frac{C}{\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^2 |\nabla x^n R_{yj}|^2,$$

and

$$\Delta |e_{Z'}|^2 \leq C \Delta \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_{Z'}\}^2] + CVar^n(e_{Z'}^{n+1})$$

$$+ C \Delta \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_{Z'}\}^2] + |e_{Z'}|^2 + |e_{Y'}|^2 + |e_{Z'}|^2 + \frac{C}{\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^2 |\nabla x^n R_{zj}|^2.$$

Now combining the above four inequalities yields

$$\Delta (|e_Y^n|^2 + |e_Z^n|^2 + |e_{Y'}|^2 + |e_{Z'}|^2) \leq C \Delta \mathbb{E}_t^n [\{e_Y^{n+1}\}] + CVar^n(e_Y^{n+1}) + CVar^n(e_{Y'}^{n+1})$$

$$+ C \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \Delta |R_{yj}|^2 + \Delta |\nabla x^n R_{yj}|^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta} |R_{zj}|^2 + |\nabla x^n R_{zj}|^2 \right).$$

The proof is completed. ∎
5.1 Proof of Theorem 4.1

Now, we give the proof of Theorem 4.1 which is divided into five steps. In each step of the proof except the last step, we deduce an estimate for \( e^n_Y, e^n_Z, e^n_{XY}, \) and \( e^n_{YZ} \) successively.

Proof. (1) The estimate of \( e^n_Y \).

By (4.8) we have

\[
e^n_Y = E_{t_{n+1}}^{X^n} [e^n_{Y^n+1}] + \frac{1}{2} \Delta (e^n_f + E_{t_{n+1}}^{X^n} [e^n_{f^n+1}]) + \sum_{j=1}^{2} R^n_{yj+1}.
\]  (5.2)

Inserting the \( e^n_{Y^n+1} \) in (4.2) into (4.8) gives

\[
e^n_Y = E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{Y^n+1}] + \frac{1}{2} \Delta e^n_f + \Delta E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{f^n+1}] + \frac{1}{2} e^n_f + \sum_{j=1}^{2} (R^n_{yj} + E_{t_n}^{X^n} [R^n_{yj+1}]).
\]  (5.3)

Then taking square on both sides of the above inequality and using Young’s inequality \((a + b)^2 \leq (1 + \gamma_1 \Delta) a^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_1 \Delta}) b^2 \) (for any \( \gamma_1 > 0 \)) yield

\[
|e^n_Y|^2 \leq (1 + \gamma_1 \Delta) E_{t_n}^{X^n} [|e^n_{Y^n+1}|^2] + \frac{C}{\gamma_1} (1 + \gamma_1 \Delta) \left\{ \Delta (|e^n_f|^2 + |e^n_Z|^2)
+ \Delta E_{t_n}^{X^n} [|e^n_f|^2 + |e^n_{f^n+1}|^2 + |e^n_{f^n+2}|^2 + |e^n_Z|^2]
+ \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left[ R^n_{yj}|^2 + |E_{t_n}^{X^n} [R^n_{yj+1}]|^2 \right] \right\}.
\]  (5.4)

(2) The estimate of \( e^n_Z \).

Replacing the \( n \) in (4.9) by \( n + 1 \), we deduce

\[
- E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{Z^n+1}] = E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{Z^n+2}] - \frac{2}{\Delta} E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{Y^n+2} \Delta W_{n+1}^T] - E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{f^n+2} \Delta W_{n+1}^T] - \frac{2}{\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{2} E_{t_n}^{X^n} [R^n_{zj+1}].
\]  (5.5)

Inserting \( e^n_{Y^n+1} \) in (5.2) and \(- E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{Y^n+1}] \) in (5.5) into (4.9), we deduce

\[
e^n_Z = E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{Z^n+2}] + \frac{2}{\Delta} E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{Y^n+2} (\Delta W_n^T - \Delta W_{n+1}^T)]
+ E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{f^n+2} \Delta W_n^T] - E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{f^n+2} \Delta W_{n+1}^T] + 2E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{f^n+1} \Delta W_n^T]
+ \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left( E_{t_n}^{X^n} [R^n_{yj+1} \Delta W_n^T] + R^n_{zj} - E_{t_n}^{X^n} [R^n_{zj+1}] \right).
\]  (5.6)

By the Malliavin integration-by-parts formula (2.9) and the chain rule (2.10), we have

\[
E_{t_n}^{X^n} [e^n_{Y^n+2} (\Delta W_n^T - \Delta W_{n+1}^T)] = E_{t_n}^{X^n} \left[ e^n_{Y^n+2} \left( \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} D_t X^n + \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} D_t X^n^2 \right) dt - \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} D_t X^n + \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} D_t X^n^2 dt \right],
\]  (5.7)

where \( D_t X^n = [D_{1,t} X^n, \ldots, D_{d,t} X^n]_{dx} \) is a \( d \times d \) square matrix with

\[
D_{i,j} X^n = (D_{j,t} X^n, \ldots, D_{d,t} X^n)' \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq d.
\]

The weak order-2 Itô-Taylor approximation solution \( X^n+2 \) can be represented as

\[
X^n+2 = X^{n+1} + b(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta + \sigma(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta W_{n+1} + \sum_{\alpha \in A_2} g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) I_{\alpha,n+1}.
\]
Taking the Malliavin derivative $D_t$ to both sides of the above equation yields
\[
\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} D_t X^{n+2} dt = \sigma(t_n, X^{n+1}) \Delta + \sum_{\alpha \in A_2} g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} D_t I_{\alpha,n+1} dt, \tag{5.8}
\]
and
\[
\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} D_t X^{n+2} dt = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} D_t X^{n+1} dt + \sum_{\alpha \in A_2} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} D_t \{g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) I_{\alpha,n+1}\} dt
\]
\[= \sigma(t_n, X^n) \Delta + \sum_{\alpha \in A_2} g_\alpha(t_n, X^n) \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} D_t I_{\alpha,n} dt + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{v\}} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} D_t \{g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) I_{\alpha,n+1}\} dt. \tag{5.9}
\]
By \ref{5.7}, \ref{5.8} and \ref{5.9}, we deduce
\[
\mathbb{E}_t^n \left[ e^{n+2}_Y (\Delta W^{n+1}_T - \Delta W^{n+1}_{n+1}) \right] = \mathbb{E}_t^n \left[ e^{n+2}_Y (\sigma(t_n, X^n) - \sigma(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1})) \right] \Delta + \sum_{\alpha \in A_2} \mathbb{E}_t^n \left[ e^{n+2}_Y g_\alpha(t_n, X^n) \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} D_t I_{\alpha,n} dt \right] - \sum_{\alpha \in A_2} \mathbb{E}_t^n \left[ e^{n+2}_Y g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} D_t I_{\alpha,n+1} dt \right] + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{v\}} \mathbb{E}_t^n \left[ e^{n+2}_Y D_t \left\{g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) I_{\alpha,n+1}\right\} dt \right]. \tag{5.10}
\]
Also,
\[
\mathbb{E}_t^n \left[ e^{n+1}_f \Delta W^n_T \right] = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}_t^n \left[ D_t e^{n+1}_f \right] dt = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}_t^n \left[ e^{n+1}_f D_t X^{n+1} \right] dt, \tag{5.11}
\]
\[
\mathbb{E}_t^n \left[ e^{n+2}_f \Delta W^n_{n+1} \right] = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}_t^n \left[ e^{n+2}_f D_t X^{n+2} \right] dt.
\]
Under the assumption $b, \sigma \in C^{1,2}_b$ and Proposition 4.1 using the equalities in \ref{5.10}, \ref{5.11} and the H"older inequality, we have
\[
|\mathbb{E}_t^n [e^{n+2}_Y (\Delta W^n_T - \Delta W^n_{n+1})]|^2 \leq C \Delta^3 \mathbb{E}_t^n [|e^{n+2}_Y|^2],
\]
\[
|\mathbb{E}_t^n [e^{n+1}_f \Delta W^n_T]|^2 \leq C \Delta^2 \mathbb{E}_t^n [|e^{n+1}_f|^2 + |e^{n+1}_Z|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Y|^2], \tag{5.12}
\]
\[
|\mathbb{E}_t^n [e^{n+2}_f \Delta W^n_{n+1}]|^2 \leq C \Delta^2 \mathbb{E}_t^n [|e^{n+2}_f|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Z|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Y|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Z|^2],
\]
\[
|\mathbb{E}_t^n [e^{n+2}_f \Delta W^n_{n+1}]|^2 \leq C \Delta^2 \mathbb{E}_t^n [|e^{n+2}_f|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Z|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Y|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Z|^2].
\]
Similarly, by taking square on both sides of the \sectionref{5.6}, and using the inequalities in \ref{5.12} and the Young's inequality again, we obtain
\[
|e^{n}_Z|^2 \leq (1 + \gamma_2 \Delta) |\mathbb{E}_t^n [e^{n+2}_Z]|^2 + \frac{C}{\gamma_2} \left\{ \Delta \mathbb{E}_t^n [|e^{n+1}_f|^2 + |e^{n+1}_Z|^2] + |e^{n+1}_f|^2 + |e^{n+1}_Y|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Z|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Y|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Z|^2 + |e^{n+2}_Z|^2 \right\} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{|\mathbb{E}_t^n [R_{n+1}^{j+1} - \Delta W^n_T]|^2 + |R_{n+1}^2 - \mathbb{E}_t^n [R_{n+1}^{j+1}]|^2}{\Delta^5}. \tag{5.13}
\]
(3) The estimate of $e^{n}_Y$. 

Taking variation on both sides of the equation (5.3) gives
\[ e_{XY}^n = \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x X^{n+2}] + \frac{1}{2} \Delta e_{XY}^n + \Delta \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+1} \nabla_x X^{n+1}] \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x X^{n+2}] + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \nabla_x R_{y_j}^n + \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} \nabla_x R_{y_j}^{n+1} \right). \] (5.14)

Using the Taylor expansion to \( \sigma(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \), we have
\[ \sigma(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) = \sigma(t_{n+1}, X^n) + \int_0^1 \sigma_x(t_{n+1}, X^n + \lambda(X^{n+1} - X^n))(X^{n+1} - X^n) \, d\lambda, \]
which combining the equation (5.1) implies
\[ X^{n+2} = X^n + \sigma(t_{n+1}, X^n) \Delta W_n + \sigma(t_{n+1}, X^n) \Delta W_{n+1} + \Lambda_n, \] (5.15)
where
\[ \Lambda_n = b(t_n, X^n) \Delta + \sum_{\alpha \in A_2} g_{\alpha}(t_n, X^n) I_{\alpha,n} \]
\[ + b(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta + \sum_{\alpha \in A_2} g_{\alpha}(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) I_{\alpha,n+1} \]
\[ + \int_0^1 \sigma_x(t_{n+1}, X^n + \lambda(X^{n+1} - X^n))(X^{n+1} - X^n) \Delta W_n \, d\lambda. \] (5.16)

Taking variation on both sides of the equation (5.15) gives
\[ \nabla_x X^{n+2} = I_{d \times d} + \sigma_x(t_n, X^n) \Delta W_n + \sigma_x(t_{n+1}, X^n) \Delta W_{n+1} + \nabla_x \Lambda_n. \] (5.17)

Then,
\[ \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x X^{n+2}] = \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+2}] + \sigma_x(t_n, X^n) \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x W_n^T] \]
\[ + \sigma_x(t_{n+1}, X^n) \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x W_{n+1}^T] + \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x \Lambda_n]. \] (5.18)

By the Hölder inequality, under the assumption \( b, \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{b, \gamma_3} \), we get
\[ \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[|\nabla_x X^n \Lambda_n|^2] \leq C(1 + |X^n|^9) \Delta^2, \]
\[ |\sigma_x(t_n, X^n)\mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x W_n^T]|^2 \leq C \text{Var}^n(e_{XY}^{n+2}), \]
\[ |\sigma_x(t_{n+1}, X^n)\mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x W_{n+1}^T]|^2 \leq C \text{Var}^n(e_{XY}^{n+2}). \] (5.19)

Taking square on both sides of the equation (5.14) and using Young’s inequality \((a+b)^2 \leq (1 + \gamma_3 \Delta) a^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_3 \Delta}) b^2\) (for any \( \gamma_3 > 0 \)), we obtain
\[ |e_{XY}^n|^2 \leq (1 + \gamma_3 \Delta)|\mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{XY}^{n+2}]|^2 + \frac{C}{\gamma_3}(1 + \gamma_3 \Delta)\left\{ \Delta \left( |e_{XY}^n|^2 + |e_{Z}^n|^2 \right) + \Delta \left( |e_{Z}^{n+1}|^2 + |e_{XY}^{n+1}|^2 + |e_{XY}^{n+1}|^2 + |e_{XY}^{n+1}|^2 \right) \right. \]
\[ \left. + |e_{Z}^{n+2}|^2 + |e_{XY}^{n+2}|^2 + |e_{XY}^{n+2}|^2 \right\} \]
\[ + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 |\nabla_x R_{y_j}^n|}{\Delta} + \left| e_{XY}^n \nabla_x R_{y_j}^n \right| + \left| e_{XY}^n \nabla_x R_{y_j}^n \right|. \] (5.20)

(4) The estimate of \( e_{YX}^n \).

Taking variation on both sides of the equation (5.6) leads to
\[ \Delta e_{YZ}^n = \Delta \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{Z}^{n+2} \nabla_x X^{n+2}] - 2 \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [\Delta W_{n+1} e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x X^{n+2}] \]
\[ + \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [\Delta W_n e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x X^{n+2}] + \Delta \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}[e_{YZ}^{n+2} \nabla_x X^{n+2}] \]
\[ - \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [\Delta W_{n+1} e_{XY}^{n+2} \nabla_x X^{n+2}] + 2 \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [\Delta W_n e_{XY}^{n+1} \nabla_x X^{n+1}] \]
\[ + 2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [\Delta W_n \nabla_x R_{y_j}^{n+1}] + \nabla_x R_{y_j}^n - \mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n} [\nabla_x R_{y_j}^n] \right). \] (5.21)
By the facts $\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+1} = I_{d \times d} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{v\}} \partial_x g_\alpha(t_n, X^n) I_{\alpha,n}$ and

$$\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2} = \nabla_{x^{n+1}}X^{n+2} \nabla_{x^n}X^{n+1} = \left( I_{d \times d} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{v\}} \partial_x g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) I_{\alpha,n+1} \right) \nabla_{x^n}X^{n+1},$$

and using the Hölder inequality, we have the estimate

$$|E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}]|^2 \leq 2|E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+1}}]|^2 + 2|E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}] \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{v\}} \partial_x g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) I_{\alpha,n+1} \nabla_{x^n}X^{n+1}|^2 \leq 2d \Delta \text{Var}^{-n}(e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}) + C \Delta^2 E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}].$$

Similarly we have the estimates

$$|E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n+1} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}]|^2 \leq 2d \Delta \text{Var}^{-n}(e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}) + C \Delta^2 E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}].$$

Now by \(5.21\), the above five estimates, and using \(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 \leq m \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2\), we deduce

$$\Delta|e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}| \leq C \Delta \sum_{j=1}^2 |E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}]|^2 + CV \text{Var}^{-n}(e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}) + C \sum_{j=1}^2 |E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n+1} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}]|^2 + |\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}|^2$$

We remain to estimate the first term on the right side of \(5.22\). Taking the variation $\nabla_{x^n}$ to $X^{n+2}$, which gives

$$\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2} = \nabla_{x^n} \left[ X^n + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{v\}} g_\alpha(t_n, X^n) I_{\alpha,n} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{v\}} g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) I_{\alpha,n+1} \right] = I_{d \times d} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{v\}} \partial_x g_\alpha(t_n, X^n) I_{\alpha,n} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{v\}} \partial_x g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \nabla_{x^n}X^{n+1} I_{\alpha,n+1},$$

and using the inequality \(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 \leq m \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2\), we get

$$|E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}]|^2 \leq 3|E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}]|^2 + C \Delta |E^{X^n}_{t_n} [\Delta W_{n} e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}]|^2.$$

Using the integration-by-parts formula of Malliavin calculus \(2.9\) we obtain

$$E^{X^{n+1}}_{t_{n+1}} [e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}] = E^{X^{n+1}}_{t_{n+1}} [e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}] \sigma(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} E^{X^{n+1}}_{t_{n+1}} [e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}} g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1})] \Delta t_{n+1} dt_{n+1},$$

By the definition of the norm $|\cdot|$, we have

$$|e^{\nabla_{x^n}X^{n+2}}|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^d |e^{i,j,n+2}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d |e^{i,n+2}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d g_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta t_{n+1} dt_{n+1}.$$
where $e_{\nabla Z}^{n+2} := [e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2}]_{d \times d}$, and $e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2} := (e_{\nabla Z}^{i,1,n+2}, \ldots, e_{\nabla Z}^{i,d,n+2})_{1 \times d}$ is the $i$-th row vector of $e_{\nabla Z}^{n+2}$. The uniformly elliptic condition

$$
\sigma(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1})^\top (t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \geq \frac{1}{K'} I_{d \times d}
$$

in Assumption 4.2 implies that $\sigma(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1})^\top (t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{K'} I_{d \times d}$ is a positive semi-definite matrix, that is

$$
E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2}] (\sigma(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1})^\top (t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{K'} I_{d \times d}) E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2}]^\top \geq 0,
$$

which yields

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d} E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2}]^2 \sigma(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2}] E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2}]^\top \geq \frac{1}{K'} \sum_{i=1}^{d} E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2}]^2.
$$

Thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it holds that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d} E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2}]^2 \leq d \Delta \sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{\alpha}^{n+1} (e_{Z}^{i,n+2}) = d \Delta V_{\alpha}^{n+1} (e_{Z}^{n+2}).
$$

Now, using (5.21), (5.24) and (5.26) we deduce

$$
\frac{1}{K'} \Delta^2 |E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{n+2}]|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{d} |E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2} \Delta W_{n+1}]|^2
$$

$$
+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{a \in A_2} |E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{i,n+2} g_{a}(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} D t \mid I_{\alpha,n+1} dt]|^2
$$

$$
\leq 2d \Delta V_{\alpha}^{n+1} (e_{Z}^{n+2}) + C \Delta^2 E^{X^{n+1}}_t [\|e_{\nabla Z}^{n+2}\|^2],
$$

which implies

$$
\Delta |E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{n+2}]|^2 \leq 2K' d \Delta V_{\alpha}^{n+1} (e_{Z}^{n+2}) + C \Delta^2 E^{X^{n+1}}_t [\|e_{\nabla Z}^{n+2}\|^2].
$$

By the inequality

$$
|E^{X^n}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{n+2}]|^2 = |E^{X^n}_t [E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{n+2}]]|^2 \leq E^{X^n}_t [\|E^{X^{n+1}}_t [e_{\nabla Z}^{n+2}]\|^2],
$$

(5.22) and (5.27), we obtain

$$
\Delta |e_{\nabla Z}^{n+2}|^2 \leq C E^{X^n}_t [\|Var^{n+1}(e_{Z}^{n+2})\| + C V_{\alpha}^{n} (e_{Z}^{n+2}) + C E^{X^n}_t [\|Var^{n+1}(e_{Z}^{n+2})\|]
$$

$$
+ C \Delta E^{X^n}_t [\|e_{\nabla Y}^{n+1}\|^2] + C \Delta^2 E^{X^n}_t [\|e_{\nabla Y}^{n+1}\|^2 + \|e_{\nabla Y}^{n+1}\|^2 + \|e_{\nabla Y}^{n+1}\|^2 + \|e_{\nabla Y}^{n+1}\|^2]
$$

$$
+ C \Delta^2 E^{X^n}_t [\|e_{\nabla Y}^{n+2}\|^2 + \|e_{\nabla Y}^{n+2}\|^2 + \|e_{\nabla Y}^{n+2}\|^2 + \|e_{\nabla Y}^{n+2}\|^2 + \|e_{\nabla Y}^{n+2}\|^2] + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{C}{\Delta} (|E^{X^n}_t [\Delta W_{n} \nabla_x R_{n+1}]|^2)
$$

$$
+ |\nabla_x R_{n}^{n+2}|^2 + |E^{X^n}_t [\nabla_x R_{n+1}]|^2).
$$

(5) The estimate (1.12) in the theorem.
Combining the inequalities (5.4), (5.13), (5.20) and (5.28), and applying Lemma 5.0.1, we deduce
\[
|e_t^y|^2 + |e_t^z|^2 + |e_t^{\psi_y}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta|e_t^{\psi_z}|^2 \\
\leq (1 + C)E_{t_n}^X \left[ |e_{t_{n+1}}^y|^2 + |e_{t_{n+1}}^z|^2 + |e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_y}|^2 + |e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_z}|^2 \right] \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{4} + C \right) Var_n(e_{t_{n+1}}^y) + \left( \frac{1}{4} + C \right) Var_n(e_{t_{n+1}}^z) + \left( \frac{1}{4} + C \right) Var_n(e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_y}) + \left( \frac{1}{4} + C \right) Var_n(e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_z}) \\
+ C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}]|^2 \right\} \\
+ C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{zj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj}]|^2 \right\}.
\]
(5.29)

Notice that
\[
|e_{t_{n+1}}^y|^2 + |e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_y}|^2 \leq \frac{5}{8} Var_n(e_{t_{n+1}}^y) + \frac{5}{8} Var_n(e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_y}) \\
|e_{t_{n+1}}^z|^2 + |e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_z}|^2 \leq \frac{3}{4} Var_n(e_{t_{n+1}}^z) + \frac{1}{4} Var_n(e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_z}) \\
|e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}]|^2 \\
\leq \left( \frac{1}{4} + \gamma \right) \left| e_{t_n}^X([e_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}]) \right| ^2 + \left( \frac{3}{4} - \gamma \right) E_{t_n}^X \left[ [e_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}]^2 \right] \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{4} + \gamma \right) \left| e_{t_n}^X([e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_y}]) \right| ^2 + \left( \frac{1}{4} - \gamma \right) E_{t_n}^X \left[ [e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_y}]^2 \right] \leq E_{t_n}^X \left[ [e_{t_{n+1}}^{\psi_y}]^2 \right], \quad 0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{4}
\]

Now let \( \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 8C \) and \( \Delta \) be sufficient small such that \( 0 < C(\frac{1}{\gamma_3} + \Delta) < \frac{1}{4} \) for \( \Delta < \Delta_0 \). Then, by inequality (5.29), we deduce
\[
\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}]|^2 \right\} \\
+ C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{zj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj}]|^2 \right\} \\
+ C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}]|^2 \right\} \\
\leq C \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X|^2 + |e_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}|^2 + |e_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta|e_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}|^2 \right\} \\
+ C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}]|^2 \right\} \\
+ C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{zj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj}]|^2 \right\} \\
\leq C \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X|^2 + |e_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}|^2 + |e_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta|e_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}|^2 \right\} \\
+ C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}]|^2 \right\} \\
+ C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{zj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj}]|^2 \right\} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}]|^2 \right\} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |e_{t_n}^X([R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{zj}])|^2 + |R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} - E_{t_n}^X[R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj}]|^2 \right\}.
\]
(5.30)

And then by taking the mathematical expectation \( \mathbb{E} \cdot \) on both sides of (5.30), we complete the proof. \( \square \)

**Remark 5.1.** The remainder terms include three types: (1) the truncation error terms, e.g., \( R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} \) and \( R_{t_{n+1}}^{zj} \); (2) the discretization errors caused by the discretization of SDE, e.g., \( \nabla x_i R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} \); (3) the error terms in (2) multiplied by \( \Delta W_t^{\psi} \) (e.g., \( E_{t_n}^{X_i}([R_{t_{n+1}}^{yj} \Delta W_{t_{n+1}}^{yj}]) \)). Under certain regularity conditions on the data \( b, \sigma, f \) and \( \varphi \), by the Itô-Taylor and Taylor expansion, and the Malliavin calculus, we can obtain the estimates of these remainder terms (which are proved in detail in Section 5.2). Subsequently, it is easy to get error estimates for Scheme 3.1 by Theorem 4.1.
5.2 Proof of Theorem 4.2

We consider the case that the generator $f$ of FBSDEs (1.1) is a deterministic function.

5.2.1 Useful lemmas

In this subsection, we introduce some lemmas which will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.2. They may also be very useful in error analysis for other numerical methods for solving FBSDEs.

Lemma 5.2.1. For $X_{n+1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2} g_\alpha(t_n, X^n)I_{\alpha,n}$, if $b, \sigma \in C^2_b$ and $H \in C^5_b$, then under Hypothesis 4.1, for $1 \leq n \leq N - 2$, there exists a positive integer $q$ such that

$$\left| \nabla_{x^n} E_{t_n}^X [H(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+1}, X^n}) - H(X_{n+1}^n)] \right| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q) \Delta^3,$$

(5.31)

where $C$ is a positive constant depending on $K$, and upper bounds of the derivatives of $b, \sigma$ and $H$.

Proof. For $0 \leq n \leq N - 2$, using the multiple Taylor expansion, we obtain

$$E_{t_n}^X [H(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+1}, X^n}) - H(X_{n+1}^n)] = \sum_{i=1}^d E_{t_n}^X [h_i F_{x_i}^X],$$

(5.32)

where $h_i^n = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_3} I_{\alpha}[g_\alpha(t_n, X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+1}, X^n})]_{t_{n+1}^n}$ and $F_{x_i}^X = \int_0^1 H'_{x_i}(X^n_{t_{n+1}}, \lambda(X^n_{t_{n+1}}, X^n_{n+1}) - X^n_{n+1})d\lambda$. Then under the assumption $b, \sigma \in C^2_b$ and $H \in C^5_b$, by the integration-by-parts formula (2.9) of Malliavin calculus and inequality (4.3), we deduce

$$\left| \nabla_{x^n} E_{t_n}^X [H(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+1}, X^n}) - H(X_{n+1}^n)] \right| = \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_3} \sum_{i=1}^d \nabla_{x^n} E_{t_n}^X [h_i F_{x_i}^X] \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_3} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \sum_{s_1, s_2, s_3} E_{t_n}^X \nabla_{x^n} [D_{s_1,s_2,s_3}^n \{ F_{x_i}^X(s_1, X_{s_1}^{t_{n+1}, X^n}) \}] ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 \right|$$

$$\leq C(1 + |X^n|^q) \Delta^3.$$

The proof is completed.

Lemma 5.2.2. If $b, \sigma \in C^2_b$ and $H \in C^3_b$, then under Hypothesis 4.1, for $1 \leq n \leq N - 2$, there exists a generic positive integer $q$ such that

$$\left| E_{t_n}^X [\Delta W_n R_{n+1}^{n+2}] \right| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q) \Delta^4,$$

(5.33)

moreover, if $b, \sigma \in C^2_b$ and $H \in C^3_b$, then

$$\left| E_{t_n}^X [\Delta W_n \nabla_{x^n} R_{n+1}^{n+2}] \right| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q) \Delta^4.$$

(5.34)

where $R_{n+1}^{n+2} = E_{t_{n+1}}^X \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \{ H(t, X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+1}, X^n}) - \frac{H(t_{n+1}, X^n_{n+1}) + H(t_{n+2}, X_{t_{n+2}}^{t_{n+2}, X^n})}{2} \} dt$, and $C$ is a positive constant depending on $K$, and upper bounds of the derivatives of $b, \sigma$ and $H$.

Proof. Since $\Delta W_n$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t_{n+1}}$-measurable increment, we have the identity

$$E_{t_n}^X [\Delta W_n \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \{ H(t, X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+1}, X^n}) - \frac{H(t_{n+1}, X^n_{n+1}) + H(t_{n+2}, X_{t_{n+2}}^{t_{n+2}, X^n})}{2} \} dt]$$

$$= E_{t_n}^X [\Delta W_n E_{t_{n+1}}^X \left[ \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \{ H(t, X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+1}, X^n}) - \frac{H(t_{n+1}, X^n_{n+1}) + H(t_{n+2}, X_{t_{n+2}}^{t_{n+2}, X^n})}{2} \} dt \right]].$$

(5.35)
The Itô formula then shows that
\[ H(t, X_t^{n+1}) = H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) + \int_{t_{n+1}}^t L^0 H(s, X_s^{n+1}) ds + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{t_{n+1}}^t L_j^0 H(s, X_s^{n+1}) dW_j^s, \]
\[ L^0 H(s, X_s^{n+1}) = L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) + \int_{t_{n+1}}^s L^0 L^0 H(\tau, X_\tau^{n+1}) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{t_{n+1}}^s L_j^0 L^0 H(\tau, X_\tau^{n+1}) dW_j^\tau, \]
\[ L^0 L^0 H(\tau, X_\tau^{n+1}) = L^0 L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) + \int_{t_{n+1}}^\tau L^0 L^0 L^0 H(\nu, X_\nu^{n+1}) d\nu + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{t_{n+1}}^\tau L_j^0 L^0 L^0 H(\nu, X_\nu^{n+1}) dW_j^\nu. \]

By the equalities in (5.36), we have
\[ E_t^{X^{n+1}} \left[ \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} H(t, X_t^{n+1}) dt \right] = H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta + \frac{1}{2} L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta^2 + \frac{1}{6} L^0 L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta^3 \]
\[ + \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^t \int_{t_{n+1}}^s \int_{t_{n+1}}^\tau E_t^{X^{n+1}} [L^0 L^0 L^0 H(\nu, X_\nu^{n+1})] d\nu d\tau ds dt, \]
and
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} E_t^{X^{n+1}} [H(t_{n+2}, X_{t_{n+2}}^{n+1})] dt \]
\[ = - \frac{1}{2} H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta - \frac{1}{2} L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta^2 - \frac{1}{4} L^0 L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta^3 \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^s \int_{t_{n+1}}^\tau E_t^{X^{n+1}} [L^0 L^0 L^0 H(\nu, X_\nu^{n+1})] d\nu d\tau ds dt. \]

Then by the above two identities, we deduce
\[ E_t^{X^{n}} [\Delta W_n R_{n+1}^{n+2}] = - \frac{1}{2} E_t^{X^{n}} [\Delta W_n L^0 L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1})] \Delta^3 \]
\[ + \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^t \int_{t_{n+1}}^s \int_{t_{n+1}}^\tau E_t^{X^{n}} [\Delta W_n L^0 L^0 L^0 H(\nu, X_\nu^{n+1})] d\nu d\tau ds dt \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^s \int_{t_{n+1}}^\tau E_t^{X^{n}} [\Delta W_n L^0 L^0 L^0 H(\nu, X_\nu^{n+1})] d\nu d\tau ds dt. \]

From the Malliavin integration-by-parts formula (2.3), we deduce
\[ E_t^{X^{n}} [\Delta W_n L^0 L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1})] = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} E_t^{X^{n}} [D_s L^0 L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1})] ds. \]

Now, under the assumptions of the lemma, combining (5.35), (5.37) and (5.38) we easily obtain the inequality (5.33). Similarly we can prove the inequality (5.34). The proof is completed.

\[ \textbf{Lemma 5.2.3.} \text{ Suppose } b, \sigma \in C_b^{2,4} \text{ and } H \in C_b^{3,6}, \text{ then under Hypotheses (3.1) for } 0 \leq n \leq N - 2, \text{ there exists a positive integer } q \text{ such that} \]
\[ |E_t^{X^{n}} [R_{n+1}^{n+1} - R_{n+1}^{n+2}]| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q) \Delta^4, \]
where \( R_{n+1}^{n+1} = E_t^{X^{n}} \left[ \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \left( H(t, X_t^{n+1}) - H(t_{n+1}, X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1}) \right) dt \right], \text{ and } C \text{ is a positive constant depending on } K, \text{ and upper bounds of the derivatives of } b, \sigma \text{ and } H. \]

\[ \text{Proof.} \text{ Similar to get (5.37), we have the following two equalities:} \]
\[ E_t^{X^{n}} [R_{n+1}^{n+1}] = - \frac{1}{12} L^0 L^0 L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \Delta^3 + \frac{1}{12} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^t \int_{t_{n+1}}^s \int_{t_{n+1}}^\tau E_t^{X^{n}} [L^0 L^0 L^0 H(\nu, X_\nu^{n+1})] d\nu d\tau ds dt \]
\[ - \frac{1}{12} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^s \int_{t_{n+1}}^\tau E_t^{X^{n}} [L^0 L^0 L^0 H(\nu, X_\nu^{n+1})] d\nu d\tau ds dt. \]
By the integration-by-parts of Malliavin calculus (2.9) again we deduce

\[
\mathbb{E}_{t_{n+1}}^{X^{n+1}}(R_{n+1}^{n+2}) = -\frac{1}{12} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \mathbb{E}_{t_{n+1}}^{X^{n+1}}[L^0 L^0 H(t, X_{t_{n+1}}, X_{t_{n+2}})] \, dr\, ds\, dt.
\]

Now, under the conditions of the lemma and from the above two equations, we deduce

\[
|\mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}(R_{n+1}^{n+2})| \leq \frac{1}{12} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n+2}} \mathbb{E}_{t_{n+1}}^{X^{n+1}}[L^0 L^0 H(t_{n+1}, X_{t_{n+1}}, X_{t_{n+2}})] \, dr\, ds\, dt \Delta^4.
\]

We complete the proof.

Lemma 5.2.4. For \(X^{n+1} = \sum_{\alpha \in A_2} g_{\alpha}(t_n, X^n) I_{(n,n)}\), if \(b, \sigma \in C^2_b \) and \(H \in C^5_b\), then under Hypothesis \(4.1\) for \(1 \leq n \leq N-2\), there exists a positive generic integer \(q\) such that

\[
|\mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}([\Delta W_n, U_{n+2}^{n+2}])| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q) \Delta^4,
\]

moreover, if \(b, \sigma \in C^2_b \) and \(H \in C^6_b\), then

\[
|\mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}([\Delta W_n, \nabla_x U_{n+2}^{n+2}])| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q) \Delta^4,
\]

where \(U_{n+2}^{n+2} = \mathbb{E}_{t_{n+1}}^{X^{n+1}}[H(t_{n+1}, X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1}) - H(X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1})]\), and \(C\) is a positive constant depending on \(K\), and upper bounds of the derivatives of \(b, \sigma\) and \(H\).

Proof. The Taylor expansion shows that

\[
H(t_{n+2}, X_{t_{n+2}}^{n+1}) = H(X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} h^i_{n+1} F^X_{x_i}^{n+1},
\]

where \(h^i_{n+1} = \sum_{\alpha \in A_2} I_{(i,0)}(X_{t_{n+1}, t_{n+2}}^{n+1}) g_{\alpha}^i(s, X_{s_1}^{n+1}, X_{s_2}^{n+1}) I_{(n,n)}\) and

\[
U_{n+2}^{n+2} = \mathbb{E}_{t_{n+1}}^{X^{n+1}}[H(t_{n+1}, X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1}) - H(X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1})] = \int_0^{1/2} H_{t_0}^t(X_{t_{n+2}}^{n+2} + \lambda(X_{t_{n+1}}^{n+1} - X_{t_{n+2}}^{n+2})) \, d\lambda.
\]

Now, under the conditions of the lemma, using the integration-by-parts formula of Malliavin calculus (2.9), we have

\[
E_{t_n}^{X^n}([\Delta W_n, U_{n+2}^{n+2}]) = \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} \sum_{i=1}^{d} E_{t_{n+1}}^{X^{n+1}}[\Delta W_n, F^X_{x_i}^{n+1}, I_{(0,0)}(g_{\alpha}^i(s, X_{s_1}^{n+1}, X_{s_2}^{n+1})) I_{(n,n)}]_{t_{n+1}, t_{n+2}}.
\]

By the integration-by-parts of Malliavin calculus (2.9) again we deduce

\[
|\mathbb{E}_{t_n}^{X^n}([\Delta W_n, U_{n+2}^{n+2}])| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q) \Delta^4,
\]

which proves (5.39). The estimate (5.40) can be similarly proved. The proof is complete.
Lemma 5.2.5. For $X^{n+1} = \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} g_\alpha(t_n, X^n) I_{\alpha,n}$ if $b, \sigma \in C_b^{3,4}$, $H \in C_b^5$, then under Hypotheses 4.1 for $1 \leq n \leq N - 2$, there exists a generic positive integer $q$ such that

$$|U^{n+1}_n - \mathbb{E}^{X^n}[U^{n+2}_n]| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q)\Delta^4$$

(5.41)

for $1 \leq n \leq N - 2$, where $U^{n+1}_n = \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t\{H(X^{t_{n+1}}) - X^{n+1}\}$, and $C$ is a positive constant depending on $K$, and upper bounds of the derivatives of $b, \sigma$ and $H$.

Proof. By the multiply Taylor expansion and the definition of $U^{n+1}_n$, we know

$$|U^{n+1}_n - \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t[U^{n+2}_n]| = \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t[H(X^{t_{n+1}}) - H(X^{n+1}) - \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t\{H(X^{t_{n+1}} + \Delta) - H(X^{t_{n+1}})\}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t[h^i_{t_n} F_{x_i}] - \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_{t_{n+1}}[h^i_{t_n} F_{x_i}]$$

(5.42)

where $h^i_{t_n} = \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} I_{\alpha}[g_\alpha(\cdot, X^{t_n,X^n})]_{t_n.t_{n+1}}$ and $F_{x_i} = \int_0^1 H'_{x_i}(X^{n+1} + \lambda(X^{t_{n+1}}, X^{n+1}) - X^{n+1})d\lambda$. Thus, by the integration-by-parts formula (2.9) of Malliavin calculus, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t[F_{x_i} h^i_{t_n}] = \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t\left[ \sum_{\alpha \in A_4} F_{x_i}^{\alpha} I_{\alpha,n} g^\alpha_\alpha(t_n, X^n) + \sum_{\alpha \in A_4} F_{x_i}^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}[g^\alpha_\alpha(\cdot, X^{t_n,X^n})]_{t_n,t_{n+1}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} \sum_{\alpha \in A_4} I_{\alpha^{(0,0)}} [\mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t [D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3}\{F_{x_i}\}]]_{t_n,t_{n+1}} g^\alpha_\alpha(t_n, X^n)$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} \sum_{\alpha \in A_4} I_{\alpha^{(0,0)}} [\mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t [D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3s_4}\{F_{x_i}\}g^\alpha_\alpha(s_1, X^{s_1,X^n})]]_{t_n,t_{n+1}}$$

For $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, we assume $\psi_n = (\psi_{n,1}, \psi_{n,2}, \ldots, \psi_{n,d}) := X^{n+1} - X^n + \lambda(X^{t_{n+1}}, X^{n+1})$ with its $i$-th component $\psi_{n,i} = \phi^i_n + \lambda \lambda^i_n$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$), then by the Taylor expansion, we deduce

$$F_{x_i}^{\alpha} = \int_0^1 H'_{x_i}(X^{n+1} + \lambda(X^{t_{n+1}}, X^{n+1}) - X^{n+1})d\lambda = \int_0^1 H'_{x_i}(X^n + \psi_n)d\lambda$$

$$= H'_{x_i}(X^n) + \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{j!} \left( \psi_{n,1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \psi_{n,2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \cdots + \psi_{n,d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} \right)^j H'_{x_i}(X^n)d\lambda + R_5,$$

where

$$\left( \psi_{n,1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \psi_{n,2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \cdots + \psi_{n,d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} \right)^j = \sum_{r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_d = j} \frac{1}{r_1!r_2!\cdots r_d!} \psi_{n,1}^{r_1} \psi_{n,2}^{r_2} \cdots \psi_{n,d}^{r_d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \partial x_2^{r_2} \cdots \partial x_d^{r_d}},$$

and

$$R_5 = \frac{1}{5!} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( \psi_{n,1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \psi_{n,2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \cdots + \psi_{n,d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} \right)^5 H'_{x_i}(X^n + \mu \psi_n)d\mu d\lambda.$$

Further, taking the Malliavin derivative $D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3}$ to $F_{x_i}^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha = (j_1, j_2, j_3) \in A_3$ implies

$$\mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t [D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3}(F_{x_i}^{\alpha})] = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t [D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3}H'_{x_i}(X^n + \psi_n)]d\lambda$$

$$= D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3} H'_{x_i}(X^n) + \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{j!} \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t [D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3} \left( \psi_{n,1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \psi_{n,2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \cdots + \psi_{n,d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} \right)^j H'_{x_i}(X^n) \right] d\lambda$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t [D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3}(R_5)]$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_d = j} \frac{1}{r_1!r_2!\cdots r_d!} \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t \left[ D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3} \left( \psi_{n,1}^{r_1} \psi_{n,2}^{r_2} \cdots \psi_{n,d}^{r_d} \right) \right] \frac{\partial^{j+1}}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \partial x_2^{r_2} \cdots \partial x_d^{r_d}}(X^n) d\lambda$$

$$+ D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3} H'_{x_i}(X^n) + \mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t [D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3}(R_5)].$$

If $b, \sigma \in C_b^{3,4}$, $H \in C_b^5$, using (2.8) we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{X^n}_t [D^\alpha_{s_1s_2s_3}(F_{x_i}^{\alpha})] = \omega_\alpha(t_n, X^n) + O_n(\Delta),$$
where $\omega_\alpha(t_n, X^n)$ is a function depending only on the index $\alpha$, $t_n$, $b_i(t_n, X^n)$, $\sigma_{ij}(t_n, X^n)$, $H_{t_i}^\alpha(X^n)$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq d$), and their derivatives; the notation $O_n(\Delta)$ means that it has the estimate $|O_n(\Delta)| \leq (1 + |X^n|^q)\Delta$ with a prior known integer $q$ which does not depend on $n$. From $I_{(0,0),n} = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{s_1}^{t_n} \int_{s_2}^{s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 = \frac{1}{6}\Delta^3$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} I_{(0,0),0} \left[ \mathbb{E}^X \left[ D^\alpha_{s_1,s_2,s_3} \left\{ F^X_{s_3} \right\} \right] \right]_{t_n,t_{n+1}} g^i_\alpha(t_n, X^n) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} \left( \omega_\alpha(t_n, X^n) + O_n(\Delta) \right) g^i_\alpha(t_n, X^n) I_{(0,0),0,n} = \frac{1}{6}\Delta^3 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} \omega_\alpha(t_n, X^n) g^i_\alpha(t_n, X^n) + O_n(\Delta^4).
$$

Then under the assumptions of the lemma, by the inequality (4.41) in Hypothesis 4.43 it holds

$$
\frac{1}{6}\Delta^3 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} \left| \mathbb{E}^X \left[ \omega_\alpha(t_n, X^n) g^i_\alpha(t_n, X^n) - \omega_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) g^i_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \right] \right| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q)\Delta^4.
$$

Under Hypothesis 4.43 from the equations (4.42) and the above inequality we obtain

$$
\left| \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\alpha \in A_3} \left( I_{(0,0),0} \left[ \mathbb{E}^X \left[ D^\alpha_{s_1,s_2,s_3} \left\{ F^X_{s_3} \right\} \right] \right]_{t_n,t_{n+1}} g^i_\alpha(t_n, X^n) - I_{(0,0),0} \left[ \mathbb{E}^X \left[ D^\alpha_{s_1,s_2,s_3} \left\{ F^X_{s_3} \right\} \right] \right]_{t_{n+1},t_{n+2}} g^i_\alpha(t_{n+1}, X^{n+1}) \right) \right| \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q)\Delta^4.
$$

And under the assumption, it holds that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\alpha \in A_4} I_{(0,0),0,0} \left[ \mathbb{E}^X \left[ D^\alpha_{s_1,s_2,s_3} \left\{ F^X_{s_3} \right\} \right] \right]_{t_n,t_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{6}\Delta^3 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\alpha \in A_4} I_{(0,0),0,0} \left[ \mathbb{E}^X \left[ D^\alpha_{s_1,s_2,s_3} \left\{ F^X_{s_3} \right\} \right] \right]_{t_{n+1},t_{n+2}} \leq C(1 + |X^n|^q)\Delta^4.
$$

Now combining the estimates (4.42), (4.43) and (4.44), we complete the proof.}

**Lemma 5.2.6.** (See [39]) Let $(X^t_{r,x}, Y^t_{r,x}, Z^t_{r,x})_{1 \leq r \leq T}$ be the solution of (4.21), and let $R^n_y$ and $R^n_z$ be the truncation errors defined in (4.3.3) for the C-N scheme. If the terminal function $\phi \in C^{4+4}_b$ for some $\alpha = (0, 1)$, $b, \sigma$ are bounded, $b, \sigma \in C_{2,4}^4$, and $f \in C_{2,4,4,4}^4$, then it holds that

$$
\mathbb{E} \left[ |R^n_y|^{-1/2} \right] \leq C(t_N - t_N^{-1})^4 \leq C \Delta^8, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ |R^n_z|^{-1/2} \right] \leq C(t_N - t_N^{-1})^4 \leq C \Delta^8, 
$$

$$
\mathbb{E} \left[ |R^n_y|^2 \right] \leq C \Delta^6, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ |R^n_z|^2 \right] \leq C \Delta^6, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 2.
$$

And if $\phi \in C^{5+\alpha}_b$ for some $\alpha = (0, 1)$, $b, \sigma \in C_{2,5}^5$ and $f \in C_{2,5,5,5}^5$, then it holds that

$$
\mathbb{E} \left[ |\nabla X \cdot R^n_y|^2 \right] \leq C \Delta^6, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ |\nabla X \cdot R^n_z|^2 \right] \leq C \Delta^6, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 2.
$$

Here $C$ is a generic positive constant depending on $K$, the initial condition of $X_t$, and upper bounds of the derivatives of $b, \sigma, f$ and $\phi$.

The above lemma can be proved by using the Taylor and Itô-Taylor expansion. Here we omit the proof. Please see the details in [39]. Now combining Lemmas 5.2.1, 5.2.6, we state our truncation error estimates in the following lemma.
Lemma 5.2.7. For $X^{n+1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_2} g_{\alpha}(t_n, X^n) I_{\alpha,n}$, if $b, \sigma \in C^{2,5}_b$, $f(t, X, Y, Z) \in C^{3,5,5,5}_b$ and $\varphi \in C^{6+\alpha}_b$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then under Hypotheses 4.11 and 4.3 there exists a generic positive integer $q$ such that

$$
\max_{0 \leq n \leq N-2} |\nabla_x R_{2}\varphi| \leq C(1 + |X^n|) \Delta^3,
$$

and

$$
\max_{0 \leq n \leq N-2} |\nabla_x R_{2}\varphi| \leq C(1 + |X^n|) \Delta^3,
$$

for some $b, \sigma, f \in C^{2,5}_b$, $f(t, X, Y, Z) \in C^{3,6,6,6}_b$ and $\varphi \in C^{7+\alpha}_b$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then

$$
\max_{0 \leq n \leq N-2} |R_{2}^{n} - E_{t}^{X^n}[R_{2}^{n+1}]| \leq C(1 + |X^n|) |\Delta|^4,
$$

and

$$
\max_{0 \leq n \leq N-2} |R_{2}^{n} - E_{t}^{X^n}[R_{2}^{n+1}]| \leq C(1 + |X^n|) |\Delta|^4.
$$

Proof. Under the conditions of the lemma, by the Feynman-Kac formula (1.2), the solution $(Y^{t_n,X^n}_t, Z^{t_n,X^n}_t)$ of FBSDEs (2.1) can be represented as

$$
Y^{t_n,X^n}_t = u(t, X^{t_n,X^n}_t), \quad Z^{t_n,X^n}_t = u_x(t, X^{t_n,X^n}_t)\sigma(t, X^{t_n,X^n}_t), \forall t \in [0, T),
$$

where $u(t, x)$ satisfies the parabolic PDE (1.3). According to (5.50), we set

$$
H(X^{t_n,X^n}_{n+1}) = E_{t_n}^{X^n}[Y^{t_n,X^n}_{n+1}] + \frac{1}{2} \Delta E_{t_n}^{X^n}[f^{t_n,X^n}]
$$

and

$$
H(X^{n+1}) = E_{t_{n+1}}^{X^{n+1}}[Y^{t_{n+1},X^{n+1}}_{n+1}] + \frac{1}{2} \Delta E_{t_{n+1}}^{X^{n+1}}[f^{t_{n+1},X^{n+1}}]
$$

Then,

$$
R_{y}^{n} = H(X^{t_n,X^n}_{n+1}) - H(X^{n+1}).
$$

By the theory of partial differential equations [9], under the conditions of the lemma, it is easy to check that the function $H$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 5.2.2, thus we have the estimate $\nabla_x R_{y}^{n} \leq (5.47)$ in [5.47]. Similarly under the conditions of this lemma, we have the estimates in (5.48) and (5.49) by using Lemma 5.2.2. The proof is completed. 

Lemma 5.2.8. Assume $Y^{N} = \varphi(X^{N})$. Under Hypothesis 4.3 and the conditions of Lemma 5.2.9 it holds that

$$
E[|e_N^{Y}|^2] \leq C \Delta^4, \quad E[|e_N^{Z}|^2] \leq C \Delta^4, \quad E[|e_N^{Y}\gamma|] \leq C \Delta^4, \quad E[|e_N^{Z}|^2] \leq C \Delta^4.
$$

where $C$ is a generic constant depending on $K, L$, the initial condition of $X_t$, and upper bounds of derivatives of $b, \sigma, f$ and $\varphi$.

Proof. We know that for weak order-2 scheme (2.3), Hypothesis 4.3 holds true with $\beta = \gamma = 2$ (readers can refer to Section 4.3.2 in [32] for the detailed proof). Combining with Lemma 5.2.9 we get

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{2} E[|R_{yj}^{N-1}|^2] \leq C \Delta^8, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{2} E[|R_{zj}^{N-1}|^2] \leq C \Delta^8.
$$
By the equalities \(3.3, 3.6\) and \(3.9\), we deduce
\[
E[|e_Z^{N-1}|^2] = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} R_{zj}^{N-1}|^2\right] \leq \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} E[|R_{zj}^{N-1}|^2] \leq C\Delta^4,
\]
and
\[
E[|e_Y^{N-1}|^2] \leq C\Delta^2 E[|e_Y^{N-1}|^2] + C |e_Z^{N-1}|^2 + C^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} E[|R_{yj}^{N-1}|^2] \leq C\Delta^2 E[|e_Y^{N-1}|^2] + C\Delta^4,
\]
which implies \(E[|e_Y^{N-1}|^2] \leq \frac{C\Delta^2}{1-C\Delta} \leq C\Delta^4\). Similarly we can prove \(E[|e_Z^{N-1}|^2] \leq C\Delta^4\) and \(E[|e_{\bar{Z}}^{N-1}|^2] \leq C\Delta^4\). The proof is completed.

### 5.2.2 Proof of Theorem 4.2

After the above preparations, we now give the proof of Theorem 4.2 as follows.

**Proof.** Under the conditions of the theorem, if Hypothesis 4.3 holds, we have
\[
E[|X^{n}|^2] \leq C(1 + E[|X_0|^2]),
\]
then according to Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8, we obtain the estimates
\[
\max_{0 \leq n \leq N-2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} E\left[|R_{yj}^{n}|^2 + |\nabla x_n R_{yj}^{n}|^2 + |\nabla x_n R_{zj}^{n}|^2 + |E_{t_n}X^{n} [R_{yj}^{n+1}]|^2 + |E_{t_n}X^{n} [R_{zj}^{n+1}]|^2
\]
\[
+ |E_{t_n}X^{n} [\nabla x_n R_{yj}^{n+1}]|^2 + |E_{t_n}X^{n} [\nabla x_n R_{zj}^{n+1}]|^2 + |E_{t_n}X^{n} [\nabla x_{n+1} R_{zj}^{n+1}]|^2 \right] \leq C\Delta^6,
\]
\[
\max_{0 \leq n \leq N-2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} E\left[|E_{t_n}X^{n} [\Delta W_n \nabla x_n R_{yj}^{n+1}]|^2 + |E_{t_n}X^{n} [R_{yj}^{n+1} \Delta W_n]^T|^2 + |R_{zj}^{n} - E_{t_n}X^{n} [R_{zj}^{n+1}]|^2 \right] \leq C\Delta^6.
\]
Inserting the above estimates into (4.12) in Theorem 4.1, we easily deduce (4.13). The proof is completed.

### 6 Conclusions

In this paper, we considered the theoretical error estimates of the Crank-Nicolson (C-N) scheme for solving decoupled FBSDEs proposed in [29]. By properly using the Young’s inequality to the error equations of the C-N scheme and their associated variational equations, we first rigorously obtained a general error estimate result for the C-N scheme. This result also implies the stability of the scheme. Then by the Taylor and Itô-Taylor expansions, the theory of multiple Malliavin calculus, and the local truncation error cancelation techniques, we theoretically obtained the truncation error estimates of the scheme. Finally based on the general error estimate result and the truncation error estimates, we theoretically proved that the accuracy of the C-N scheme for solving decoupled FBSDEs is of second order.
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