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INTRODUCTION

Chemotaxis or directional movement toward a chemical compound is an essential property of many cells (Van Haastert and Devreotes, 2004). It plays a role in diverse functions such as the sourcing of nutrients by prokaryotes, the formation of multicellular structures in protozoa, the tracking of bacterial infections by neutrophils, and the organization of the embryo in metazoa (Baggiolini, 1998; Campbell and Kay, 2007). Recent studies have shown the presence of a third soluble guanylyl cyclase-dependent pathway in cells starved for longer times. Kamimura et al. (2008) recently described another PIP3-independent pathway regulating chemotaxis, involving activation of TorC2 and protein kinase B (PKB). However, although P13K is not essential for chemotaxis, it is clear that it plays an important role in directional sensing, especially in shallow gradients (Takeda et al., 2007; Van Haastert et al., 2007).

Rap proteins belong to the Ras subfamily of small G-proteins and are involved in processes like adhesion, exocytosis, differentiation, and cell proliferation (Bos et al., 2001; Bos, 2005). Small G-proteins, acting as molecular switches, which cycle between an active GTP-bound and inactive GDP-bound state. Activation is regulated by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), which catalyze the exchange...
of GDP for GTP, and inactivation is regulated by GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) that stimulate the hydrolysis of bound GTP to GDP (Bourne et al., 1991). Recently, the completed assembly of the Dictyostelium genome has led to the identification of 14 Ras subfamily members, an unusually large number (Eichinger et al., 2005; Weeks, 2005). Thus far, six Ras subfamily proteins have been characterized and have been shown to be involved in a wide variety of processes including cell movement, polarity, cytokinesis, chemotaxis, macropinocytosis, and multicellular development (Chubb and Insall, 2001; Wilkins and Insall, 2001; Weeks, 2005; Kortholt and Van Haastert, 2008). RasC and RasG are the best characterized Dictyostelium Ras proteins; both are activated in response to cAMP (Kae et al., 2004), are involved in regulation of the cAMP relay, and are important for cAMP-dependent chemotaxis (Boleman et al., 2006; Boleman et al., 2008). Disruption of both rasC and rasG results in a total loss of cAMP-mediated signaling, suggesting that all cAMP signal transduction in early development is partitioned between pathways that use either RasC or RasG (Boleman et al., 2006, 2008). The only thus far characterized Rap subfamily member, Rap1, is essential and is involved in proliferation, growth, adhesion, development, and regulation of the cytoskeleton (Rebstein et al., 1993; Seastone et al., 1999; Kang et al., 2002; Kortholt et al., 2006; Jeon et al., 2007b; Parkinson et al., 2009).

Previously we have characterized GbpD as a Rap-specific D. discoideum GEF important for adhesion, cell polarity, and chemotaxis. GbpD contains a CDC25-homology domain, a Ras exchange motif (REM) domain, a GRAM domain, and two cyclic nucleotide-binding (CNB) domains (Goldberg et al., 2002). Overexpression of GbpD results in an increased level of active Rap1 and subsequently in increased cell flattening, increased cell-substrate attachment, and severely reduced chemotaxis, as these cells extend many bifurcated and lateral pseudopodia (Bosgraaf et al., 2005; Kortholt et al., 2006). Phg2, a serine/threonine-specific kinase, directly interacts with active Rap1 via its Ras association (RA) domain and is necessary for GbpD/Rap1-regulated adhesion but is not essential for GbpD/Rap1-regulated cell polarity (Kortholt et al., 2006). Jeon et al. (2007a,b) showed that Phg2 mediates cell adhesion at the leading edge by regulating myosin II disassembly. In this study we characterized the role of GbpD/Rap1 in cell polarity and chemotaxis in more detail. Together our data indicate that a GbpD/Rap1/PI3K pathway helps controlling pseudopod formation in Dictyostelium.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture

All cell lines were grown either on 9-cm dishes or in shaking culture containing HG5 medium (14.3 g/l of peptone, 7.15 g/l of glucose, 0.49 g/l of KH₂PO₄, and 1.36 g/l of Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O). To select for transformants with one of the extrachromosomal plasmids described below, cells were grown in HG5 supplemented with 10 µg/ml G418 (Gibco BRL, Rockville, MD) and/or hygromycin B (Invitrogen). The pII4M12- null strain was obtained from the Dictyostelium Stock Center (DSC; Columbia University, New York, NY).

Aggregation Test

Dictyostelium cells were grown to an amount of 2 × 10⁷ cells per 9-cm dish. Cells were collected, washed twice in 10 mM phosphate buffer (PB), pH 6.5, and suspended in 500 µl of PB. Subsequently cells were plated on nonnutrient agar plates (15.0 g/l agar in PB), and pictures were taken after 24 h with an Olympus DP10 camera (Melville, NY).

Construction of Plasmids

For expression of GbpD in Dictyostelium the previously described MB74HYG GbpD vector and MB74GbpD-GFP were used (Bosgraaf et al., 2005). The PIP3 detector pIIFeR-GFP and GbpD were expressed from a single vector. For this the pleckstrin homology (PH) domain of CRAC was subcloned in the previously described shuttle vector pDM329 (Veltman et al., 2009a). Subsequently the expression cassette with the PH domain of CRAC was amplified using NgomIV and ligated into the unique NcoI site of MB74HYG-GFP. Expression and purification of the βBD domain of PβK2 (amino acids 757-918) the coding DNA fragment was cloned in pGEX-4T-3 (Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ) leading to an N-terminal glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion protein. The βBD domain of PβK2 was amplified using the forward primer (5′-CACGATCC-\text{ATGCCCTCTTACCAAGCATGC-3}′) and reverse primer (5′-CTTCCGGC-\text{CGTTTAATAGTCATAGTCCATTAATGAG-3}′) with a BamHI site and cloned into pGEX-4T-3 (Pharmacia). The expression vector was checked by sequencing. For expression of Rap1G12V and Rap1S17N the previously described tetracycline-controlled inducible expression system was used (Veltman et al., 2009b). The Rap1 mutants were amplified using the forward primers Rap1G12VF (5′-GGATCCAAAATGCTCCTTACGAGAAAATCTGCGTGTTTATAGTCATAGTCCATTAATGAG-3′) and Rap1S17NF (5′-GGATCCAAAATGCTCCTTACGAGAAAATCTGCGTGTTTATAGTCATAGTCCATTAATGAG-3′) with BamHI site and cloned into MB74GFP vector that contained a hygromycin-resistance cassette (Bosgraaf et al., 2005), resulting in the plasmid pDM115-RalGDS-RBD-GFP. For the regulated expression of Rap1G12V and Rap1S17N the previously described tetracycline-controlled inducible expression system was used (Veltman et al., 2009a). The Rap1 mutants were amplified using the forward primers Rap1G12VF (5′-GGATCCAAAATGCTCCTTACGAGAAAATCTGCGTGTTTATAGTCATAGTCCATTAATGAG-3′) and Rap1S17NF (5′-GGATCCAAAATGCTCCTTACGAGAAAATCTGCGTGTTTATAGTCATAGTCCATTAATGAG-3′) with BamHI site and cloned into MB74GFP vector that contained a hygromycin-resistance cassette (Bosgraaf et al., 2005), resulting in the plasmid pDM115-RalGDS-RBD-GFP.

Protein Preparation

The GST fusion constructs were expressed in B211(DE3)odonoplus-RIL cells. Streptomycin-resistant cells were grown in rich medium (7.5 g/l of peptone, 3.75 g/l of glucose, 0.25 g/l of KH₂PO₄, 1.5 g/l of Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O, 20 mM of KCl, 1 mM of HEPES containing 20 mM of NaCl, and 0.1 mM of CaCl₂) and grown up to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.2 to 0.3. The GST tag was cleaved on-column using 300 U of thrombin (Serva, Paramus, NJ), followed by elution with 50 mM of Tris HCl, pH 7.4, and 5 mM of dithioerythritol (DTE) and 2 mM of EDTA. The GST fusion proteins were purified from the lysates by GST-agarose affinity chromatography.

Confocal Analysis and Chemotaxis Assays

Chemotaxis toward cAMP was used to test GM1-α detected by mAbs in cell surface staining and the staining intensity in 1-μm cell size was measured using a computer-assisted method previously described (Soll, 1999). Briefly, images were recorded every 10 s during 15 min. The contour of the cell and the position of the cell centroid were determined at each time point for ~25 cells at a distance of 50–100 μm from the pipette.

Adhesion Assay

To quantify the strength of cell adhesion to the surface, we used a previously published protocol (Fey et al., 2002) with a few modifications. Briefly, cells were grown on HG5 medium in six-well plates (Nunc, Wiesbaden-Biebrich, Germany) by maintaining a maximum of 70% confluency. The medium was refreshed and incubated for 1 h. The plates were then rotated on a shaker at 150 rpm, and after 1 h a sample of 150 µl of the medium was taken. The remaining medium was removed and replenished with fresh HG5, and the remaining adhering cells were detached by repeated pipetting. The number of cells in the two samples was determined in triplicate using a hemocytometer. The number of nonadhered cells in the first sample was divided by the total number of cells (first plus second sample) to yield the percentage of looser-adhered cells.
Guanine Nucleotide Dissociation Inhibition

For the guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibition (GDI) measurements, Rap1 was loaded by incubating in ammonium sulfate-buffer (200 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 50 mM DTE, 10 μM ZnCl₂, pH 7.6) containing alkaline phosphatase (2 U/mg protein) and a 1.5 M excess of the fluorescence GTP analogue mGppNHp (Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD) at 4°C for 1 h. The glutathione-protein complex was separated from unbound nucleotides by size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 75 16/20, Pharmacia). The affinity between Pi3K2-RBD and the indicated G-proteins was determined using the inhibition of the mGppNHp release as described (Herrmann et al., 1996). Briefly, mGppNHp-loaded G-proteins were incubated in the presence of varying concentrations of Pi3K2-RBD and a 200-fold excess of unlabeled GppNHP in assay buffer at 25°C. The decay in fluorescence was measured in a Spectrofluorometer (Spectronics Industries, Edison, NJ), with excitation and emission wavelengths of 366 and 450 nm, respectively. The observed rate constants \( k_o \) were single exponential fitting using the program Grafit (Ettre Software, West Sussex, United Kingdom). The dependence of the observed rate constants on the effector concentration was fitted to yield the dissociation constant \( k_o \) as described (Herrmann et al., 1996).

GST-Ras-binding Domain Pulldown Assay

The GST-Ras-binding domains (RBDs) of PI3K1–3 and Byr2 were expressed in Escherichia coli and purified as described previously (Kae et al., 2004). After cAMP pulsing, AX-2 cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended at a density of 2 × 10⁷ cells/ml in PB containing 1 mM caffeine. After 30 min, aliquots (2 ml) of cell suspension were stimulated by addition of cAMP to 15 μM. Cell suspensions (350 μl) were lysed at the indicated times by mixing with an equal volume of 2× lysis buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.2, 2% Triton X-100, 200 mM NaCl, 300 mM NaF, 20 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM EDTA, 5 mM Na₃VO₄, 10 mM NaF, containing two tablets of Roche Complete protease inhibitor per 50 ml buffer; Mannheim, Germany). The lysates were centrifuged for 10 min, and the protein concentrations of the supernatants were determined using the Bio-Rad protein assay from 400 μg of lysate protein. GST-RBDs were then incubated with 100 μg of GST-RBB on glutathione-Sepharose beads (Amersham Biosciences, Freiburg, Germany) at 4°C for 1 h. The glutathione-Sepharose beads were harvested by centrifugation and washed three times in 1× lysis buffer. Cell loading buffer (50 μl of 1× SDS) was then added to the pelleted beads, and the suspension boiled for 5 min. Samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and Western blots probed with anti-Rap1 or anti-Ras-G-specific antibody.

PKB/Akt Phosphorylation Assays

PKB/Akt phosphorylation assays were performed as previously described (Lim et al., 2001). Briefly, 100-μl aliquots of cAMP-stimulated cell suspension were removed at the indicated time points and immediately lysed as described above for the RBD pulldown assays. Protein samples (10 μg) were fractionated by SDS-PAGE, blotted onto nitrocellulose, and probed with the specific antibody.

RESULTS

Pi3K Is Essential for GbpD/Rap1-mediated Cell Protrusions and Adhesion

Previously the strong GbpDOE phenotype was used to screen for downstream targets of GbpD and Rap1 in Dictyostelium (Kortholt et al., 2006). GbpD was overexpressed in mutants defective in adhesion or cell polarity, and Phg2, a serine/threonine-specific kinase, was identified as a Rap1 effector necessary for adhesion but not for cell polarity and chemotaxis (Kortholt et al., 2006).

PiP3 is known to be a strong inducer of pseudopod formation (Parent et al., 1998; Funamoto et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2003). To investigate the possible role of PiP3 in the increased extension of pseudopodia by GbpD OE cells, we overexpressed GbpD in cells lacking Pi3K1/Pi3K2 (pi3k1/2-null). Disruption of Pi3K1/Pi3K2, results in cells that have a strongly reduced amount of PiP3, a 90% reduced Akt/PKB activation in response to cAMP, defects in polarity, and a reduced chemotaxis speed (Zhou et al., 1998; Funamoto et al., 2001, 2002). First, the morphology of the mutants was analyzed microscopically. Wild-type cells overexpressing GbpD (AX3/GbpDOE) extended more pseudopodia and were very large and flat compared with wild-type cells (AX3; Figure 1A). Confocal fluorescence microscopy revealed that GFP-tagged GbpD was expressed in pi3k1/2-null cells at levels approximately equal to that of wild-type cells (data not shown). Although expressing similar amounts of GbpD, pi3k1/2-null cells overexpressing GbpD (pi3k1/2-GbpDOE) did not show an increased amount of pseudopodia or a flattened cell morphology (Figure 1, A and B). Furthermore, starved pi3k1/2-null and pi3k1/2-null/GbpDOE cells, although defective in cell polarity, are able to aggregate and showed normal development, whereas AX3/GbpDOE cells were unable to aggregate (Figure 1C).

Second, the adhesive capacities of the cell lines were tested via an adhesion assay. As shown in Figure 1D, 77% of the pi3k1/2-null cells were detached from the substratum after 1 h of shaking, a slightly higher percentage than that for wild-type cells (68%). AX3/GbpDOE cells showed a large increase in cell-substrate adhesion, because only 18% of the cells were detached after 1 h of shaking. In contrast, cell attachment in pi3k1/2-GbpDOE cells is similar to that for pi3k1/2-null cells (76% detached). These results suggest that Pi3K is essential for GbpD-mediated adhesion. To investigate the role of Rap1 in a more direct way, we expressed constitutive active (Rap1G12V) and dominant negative (Rap1S17N) mutants in wild-type cells and pi3k1/2-null cells. Cell attachment is substantially enhanced in AX3/Rap1G12V cells and is reduced in AX3/Rap1S17N cells as previously described (Figure 1E; Jeon et al., 2007b). Consistent with an essential role for Pi3K in GbpD/Rap1-mediated adhesion, expression of Rap1G12V or Rap1S17N does not alter cell-substratum attachment of pi3k1/2-null cells.

Thirdly we analyzed the chemotaxis properties of the mutants. When a pipette filled with cAMP is placed in the surrounding of starved wild-type cells, cells rapidly respond and chemotax persistently toward the source of cAMP, as depicted in Figure 2. pi3k1/2-null cells exhibit good chemotaxis toward the pipette (Funamoto et al., 2002). Overexpression of GbpD in wild-type cells strongly inhibits chemotaxis as these cells showed little movement toward the pipette. In contrast pi3k1/2-GbpDOE cells exhibit a chemotaxis response that is nearly identical to the response of the parent pi3k1/2-cell line (Figure 2).

Overexpression of GbpD in wild type affects cell morphology, adhesion, and chemotaxis. The results presented here show that none of these effects are observed upon overexpression of GbpD in pi3k1/2-null cells or addition of the Pi3K-inhibitor LY294002 (data not shown), indicating that Pi3K is essential for all these GbpD-mediated signaling pathways.

Enhanced PiP3 Levels in GbpDOE Cells

To investigate if GbpD is able to regulate Pi3K in vivo, the effect of GbpD overexpression on PiP3 levels was measured by expressing the PiP3 detector PHcracGFP in GbpDOE cells (Parent et al., 1998). Nonstimulated, differentiated AX3/ PHcracGFP-expressing cells moved in random directions and showed an evenly distributed PHcrac localization in the cytosol (Figure 3A, Movie 1). On the contrary, nonstimulated GbpDOE/PHcracGFP cells made multiple and broad PiP3 patches along the entire plasma membrane, from which multiple pseudopodia are extended (Figure 3A, Movie 2). Both AX3/PHcracGFP and GbpDOE/PHcracGFP cells were stimulated with cAMP. Similar to previous investigations (Parent et al., 1998; Funamoto et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2003), introduction of a pipette filled with cAMP in the surroundings of wild-type cells induced a strong translocation of PHcracGFP from the cytosol to the leading edge (Figure 3A, Movie 1). Pseudopodia are extended from PHcracGFP-containing areas of the plasma membrane, and cells move persistently toward the pipette. On the contrary, GbpDOE/PHcracGFP cells make multiple and broad PiP3
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patches along the entire plasma membrane. Cells extend multiple, functional pseudopodia at the front and at lateral sides and showed little movement toward the pipette (Figure 3A, Movie 2). In Dictyostelium the activity of Akt/PKB is transiently stimulated in response to cAMP, in a PIP3-dependent manner (Meili et al., 1999; Lim et al., 2005). Akt/PKB is activated by phosphorylation at a conserved threonine residue, which can be detected by using a phospho-threonine specific antibody (Lim et al., 2005; Kortholt et al., 2007).

Wild-type and GbpD OE cells were stimulated with cAMP, and cell lysates were analyzed by Western blotting. In lysates from wild-type cells, a 51-kDa protein was transiently phosphorylated, peaking at 10 s after stimulation (Figure 3, B and C). GbpDOE cells show about twofold higher basal level of active PKB; the response to cAMP is similar as in wild type, but it recovers to the elevated basal activity.

These experiments show that GbpD OE cells have enhanced PIP3 formation. Consistently, cells expressing dominant active RapG12V also make broad PIP3 patches and show poor chemotaxis (Figure 3A). These data suggest a stimulatory role for GbpD and Rap1 in the regulation of PI3K activity.

Subcellular Distribution of Activated Rap1 Coincides with PI3K Activation and PIP3 Formation

To examine the localization of Rap1 activation, a GFP-fused RalGDS-RBD construct (GFP-RBD$_{RalGDS}$) was expressed in
AX3 and AX3/GbpD^OE cells. Previously it has been shown that GFP-RBD RalGDS only interacts with the GTP-bound form of Rap and can be used as a reporter to study dynamic changes in Rap activation in human cells (Bivona et al., 2004). Like PHcracGFP, GFP-RBD RalGDS is mainly localized in the cytosol of nonstimulated wild-type cells (Figure 4A, Movie 3), whereas in GbpD^OE cells multiple and broad patches of GFP-RBD RalGDS along the entire plasma membrane were observed (Figure 4B). Because GbpD does not activate RasC or RasG, these results indicate that the GFP-RBD RalGDS probe can be used to visualize Rap activation, although it may not be completely specific. As shown in the right panel of Figure 4A, introduction of a pipette filled with cAMP in the surroundings of wild-type cells resulted in the rapid translocation of cytosolic GFP-RBD RalGDS to the membrane, similar to the translocation of the PIP3 detector PHcracGFP (Parent et al., 1998; Funamoto et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2003). Jeon et al. (2007) previously presented a similar localization of activated Rap in wild-type cells to that presented here. On the contrary, GbpD^OE cells make multiple and broad GFP-RBD RalGDS patches along the entire plasma membrane (Figure 4B), similar to the distribution of PHcracGFP. These data show that the localization of Rap1 activation coincides with the localization of PI3K activation and PIP3 formation.

Rap1 and RasG Bind to the RBD Domain of PI3K

PI3K consist of an N-terminal membrane-targeting region, an RBD, a C2 lipid-binding domain, a PI3K catalytic association domain (PI3Ka), and a PI3K catalytic domain (PI3Kc; Figure 5A). RBD domains have an ubiquitin fold and interact tightly only with the GTP-bound but not with the GDP-bound conformation of Ras-like proteins (Herrmann, 2003). Previous studies have shown that the RBD of PI3K is essential for its activation and has been shown to interact weakly with RasD and RasC and strongly with RasG (Funamoto et
RasG with similar properties. Jeon PI3K RBD domains are able to bind activated Rap1 and PI3K1–3 were performed. As shown in Figure 5B, all three specificity, pulldown experiments with the RBD domain of Rap with several effectors (Wohlgemuth et al., 2005). To further investigate the binding specificity, pulldown experiments with the RBD domain of Rap1 and RasG with similar properties. Jeon et al., (2002) showed that Rap1 is rapidly activated upon cAMP stimulation. Consistent with these results, more active Rap1 was detected in cell lysates stimulated with cAMP than in lysates from unstimulated cells (Figure 5B). To further characterize the PI3K/Rap1 and PI3K/RasG interaction, in vitro GDI assays were performed. The interaction of the GTP-bound G-protein with an effector protein stabilizes the interaction between the G-protein and the nucleotide. This stabilization results in decreased dissociation of the nucleotide from the G-protein/nucleotide/effector complex (Herrmann et al., 1996). Incubating G-protein loaded with mGppNHp, a hydrolysis-resistant fluorescent GTP analogue, with an excess of unlabeled GppNHp results in the exchange of mGppNHp for GppNHp. This exchange is monitored as decay in fluorescence, from which the rate constant $k_{obs}$ is calculated. The addition of increasing concentrations of the effector results in a concentration dependent decrease of $k_{obs}$. The affinity ($K_d$) between the G-protein and the effector is determined from this dependency (Herrmann et al., 1996). For the PI3K/Rap1 and PI3K/RasG interaction we determined a $K_d$ of 40 and 24 μM, respectively (Figure 5, C and D). This affinity is similar to that described for the interaction between Rap1 and Phg2 (Kortholt et al., 2006) and the interaction of human Rap with several effectors (Wohlgemuth et al., 2005). Together our data show that both in vivo and vitro Rap1 and RasG bind with approximately the same affinity and specificity to PI3K.

The GbpDOE Phenotype Is Lost upon Overexpression of the PIP3-degrading Enzyme PTEN

The data presented in the previous sections suggest that GbpD/Rap regulated PIP3 formation is important for cell morphology, adhesion, and chemotaxis. If PIP3 formation is essential for GbpD mediated signaling, expression of the PIP3 degrading enzyme PTEN should interfere with GbpD-mediated processes. To investigate this hypothesis, PTEN was expressed in wild-type (AX3) and GbpDOE cells. Expression of PTEN in wild-type cells did not influence cell morphology (Figure 1A) or adhesive capacity (Figure 1D). In contrast, the strong phenotype of GbpDOE cells was lost upon expression of PTEN. Overexpression of GbpD in AX3 cells induced a ~125% increase of the surface contact area and the number of protrusions (Figure 1B). Overexpression of PTEN-GFP in these cells (AX3/GbpDOE/PTEN-GFP) resulted in a pronounced reduction of the GbpDOE-induced increase of the surface contact area and the number of protrusions (Figure 1B). Furthermore, the strong substrate attachment of GbpDOE cells (only 18% of cells detached from the substratum after 1-h shaking) is almost completely lost upon expression of PTEN (64% detached cells; Figure 4D). Finally, although AX3/GbpD cells fail to aggregate upon starvation, overexpression PTEN in these cells allows cell aggregation (Figure 1C). These data reveal that the PIP3-degrading enzyme PTEN largely reverses all the phenotypic defects induced by overexpression of GbpD.

**DISCUSSION**

Overexpression of GbpD in wild-type cells results in a very strong phenotype; cells have a flat morphology and exhibit enhanced adhesion, many protrusions, and impaired chemotaxis (Kortholt et al., 2006). In our previous study we showed that GbpD is a GEF specific for Rap1. In a screen for effectors, Phg2 was identified as a Rap1 effector necessary for adhesion (Kortholt et al., 2006). Overexpression of GbpD in phg2-null cells still resulted in a flattened cells with many protrusions and defective chemotaxis (Figure S1), indicating that Dictyostelium cells have at least two Rap1 effectors: Phg2 mediating cell substrate attachment and another effector mediating cell polarity (Kortholt et al., 2006). In this study we demonstrate that PI3K is the second Rap1 effector in Dictyostelium and is essential for the adhesion, cell morphology, and chemotaxis phenotypes of GbpDOE cells.

**GbpD/Rap1-mediated PIP3 Production**

Dictyostelium contains eight putative PI3K family members (Janetopoulos et al., 2005). It has been shown that PI3K1 and PI3K2 contribute most to the regulated PI3K activity (Huang et al., 2003). We show here that GbpD/Rap1 activates PI3K, which is essential for GbpD/Rap1-mediated signaling, because 1) GbpDOE cells contain strongly enhanced basal and cAMP-stimulated level of PIP3; 2) the strong GbpDOE phenotype is lost in pi3k1/2-null cells and upon overexpression of the PIP3 degrading enzyme PTEN; 3) expression of Rap1G12V or Rap1S17N does not alter cell-substratum attachment of pi3k1/2-null cells; 4) Rap1 both in vivo and vitro directly interacts with the RBD domain of PI3K; and 5) GFP-RBDRalGDS, the detector of Rap1-GTP, colocalizes with PI3K and PIP3 at the sides of enhanced pseudopod formation.

**Rap1 Regulates PI3K Activation in Conjunction with Ras Proteins**

Recent studies on the regulation of Dictyostelium PI3K by small G-proteins have suggested that RasG is the main regulator of PI3K signaling (Bolourani et al., 2006). GbpDOE cells, like cells overexpressing constitutive active RasG12V, have enhanced basal and cAMP-stimulated PIP3 formation. GbpDOE cells do not exhibit elevated levels of RasG-GTP or RasC-GTP (Kortholt et al., 2006). In addition, the characteristic GbpDOE phenotype, including the elevated levels of PIP3, is still present in rasC−/GbpDOE, rasG−/GbpDOE, rasC−/rasG−/GbpDOE, and RasG117N/GbpDOE cells (unpublished data; Kortholt et al., 2006), but is completely lost.

**A Rap/PI3kinase Pathway**

Figure 4. Subcellular localization of Rap1 activation. To analyze the subcellular localization of Rap1 activation, the GFP-RBD_RalGDS reporter was expressed in AX3 (A) and AX3/GbpDOE (b). Confocal images are shown for unstimulated cells (left) and for cells stimulated with cAMP from a micropipette on the right (right).
upon expression of dominant negative Rap1S17N (Figure S1). These results indicate that Rap1 does not activate PI3K indirectly, by activating RasC or RasG. Binding studies with different PI3K RBD domains show that Rap1 and RasG bind with approximately the same affinity and specificity to PI3K. Together these data suggest that RasG-GTP and Rap1-GTP may independently activate PI3K. These two proteins may have a different contribution in PI3K activation for basal movement, cAMP-stimulation chemotaxis, and feedback mechanisms, which we will discuss in more detail below.

**Rap1 Regulates Basal Pseudopod Formation in Conjugation with Ras Proteins**

A recent study by Sasaki et al. (2007) showed that a Gβ-independent PI3K/Ras pathway is important for the regulation of random cell movement and the extension of F-actin projections in the absence of extracellular stimuli. Gβ− cells exhibit normal random movement and show spontaneous Ras activation and PIP3 accumulation at sites of actin protrusion. In contrast, wild-type cells treated with LY294002 or pi3k1/2/β-null cells lack spontaneous Ras activation and show defects in random movement. Active RasG is localized at sites of actin protrusion, and rasG-null cells have decreased random cell movement, suggesting that RasG is one of the Ras isoforms that plays a role in the Ras/PI3K regulatory circuit (Tuxworth et al., 1997; Sasaki and Firtel, 2006; Sasaki et al., 2007). However, because rasG-null cells only show modest defects in random movement, most likely other Ras/Rap isoforms are also involved. GbpD OE cells moving randomly in buffer make multiple and broad PIP3 patches along the entire plasma membrane, from which multiple pseudopodia are extended, a phenotype similar to that described for cells expressing membrane-bound PI3K2 (Funamoto et al., 2002; Sasaki et al., 2007). Furthermore, randomly moving gbpD-null cells are, like pi3k1/2/β-null cells, much rounder and extend fewer pseudopodia than wild-type cells. Therefore, our data suggest that Rap1 is the second Ras isoform involved in regulation of random cell movement. The GbpD/Rap1/PI3K pathway, which regulates local activation of PIP3 and the basal formation of pseudopodia, apparently escalates in GbpD OE cells leading to many intense PIP3 patches and multiple pseudopodia. Because, nonstimulated wild-type cells do not extend multiple pseudopodia and show an even distribution of PHcrac,
there has to be down-regulation or inactivation of the GbpD/Rap pathway in wild-type cells after its initial activation. GbpD/Rap signaling could be blocked at several steps, including inhibition of GbpD activity, inactivation of Rap-GTP levels by a yet unidentified Rap-specific GAP protein, or reduced PI3K activity by, for instance, depletion of PI(4,5)P₂ levels. One of the proteins involved in the down-regulation of the Rap/PI3K loop could be RapGAP1 (Jeon et al., 2007a). Deletion of RapGAP1 results in a phenotype similar to that described for cells overexpressing GbpD or RapG12V, and the localization of RapGAP1 is regulated by F-actin.

**Role of cAMP-stimulated Rap1 Activation in Chemotaxis**

In response to chemoattractant stimulation, PI3K accumulates at the leading edge of chemotaxing cells (Parent et al., 1998; Funamoto et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2003). The localized production of PI3K is mediated by PI3K at the leading edge and the PI3K-degrading enzyme PTEN in the back of the cell (Parent et al., 1998; Funamoto et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2003). The RBD domain of PI3K is essential for its activation by extracellular cAMP (Funamoto et al., 2002). We have shown that cAMP mediates Rap1 activation at the leading edge, that Rap1-GTP binds to the RBD domain of PI3K, and that the Rap1-GTP detector GFP-RBD₁₅₋₇₋₄₋₄₋₄ localizes with the formed PI3P, suggesting that Rap1 may also mediate chemotaxant stimulation of PI3K. It was previously shown that in a cell line lacking RasC and RasG, cAMP-mediated PI3K activation is almost completely absent (Bolourani et al., 2006). Furthermore, since the defect in PI3K signaling is much more severe in rasG-null cells than in rasC-null cells, and the translocation of the PI3P detector PHocracGFPlP₂₅₋₇₋₄₋₄₋₄ to the leading edge is almost absent in cells expressing dominant negative RasCS17N (Figure S1), RasG seems to be the main regulator of PI3K signaling. Also Rap1 activity seems to be predominantly regulated by RasG because Rap1 activation is totally abolished in rasC⁻/⁻/rasG⁻/⁻/rasG⁻ cells, but only slightly reduced in rasC⁻/⁻/rasG⁻/⁻ cells (Bolourani et al., 2008). On cAMP stimulation, Ras is activated with levels peaking at 3–6 s, and PI3K translocates to the membrane with similar kinetics, whereas PHocrac is translocated with slightly slower kinetics (Sasaki and Firtel, 2006). This is consistent with the model that Ras-driven PI3K production initiates PI3P formation. On the contrary, the kinetics of Rap1 activation are significantly more slowly, with levels peaking at 6–9 s (Jeon et al., 2007b). Because of these slower kinetics, it is unlikely that Rap1 activation is necessary for the initial PI3K activation. Consistently, cells expressing dominant negative Rap1S17N are capable of producing PI3P at the leading edge and can chemotax persistently toward cAMP (Figure 2). However Rap1 signaling could be involved in amplifying and stabilizing the initial PI3P gradient. Our data and previous studies by Jeon et al. (2007a, b) suggest that Rap1 regulates leading-edge formation through two mechanisms: it regulates PI3P formation by activation of PI3K, and it activates Phg2, which controls leading edge myosin II disassembly thereby facilitating actin-mediated leading edge protrusions.

The data of this report allow for a refinement of our previous published model of the Rap1 pathway in Dicyostelium mediating adhesion and cell polarity (Kortholt et al., 2006; Figure 6). GbpD is one of the GEFs that catalyze the exchange of GDP for GTP thereby activating the Rap1 protein. The mechanism of regulation of GbpD remains unclear. Although containing cyclic nucleotide binding domains, radioactively cyclic nucleotide binding assays have revealed no detectable binding of cAMP or cGMP to GbpD (Bosgraaf et al., 2002). In support of these data, it has been reported that activity of GbpD is not dependent on intracellular cAMP or cGMP (Kortholt et al., 2006). Because lipid dot-blot assays showed that the regulatory domain of GbpD can bind various lipids (unpublished observations), it is possible that lipids are involved in the regulation of GbpD activity. The targets of Rap1 are Phg2 and PI3K. Phg2, a serine/threonine kinase, is essential for Rap1-regulated adhesion. PI3K directly interacts with active Rap1, thereby regulating PI3P formation and subsequently adhesion and basal cell morphology. Binding of cAMP to the cAR1 receptor results in the rapid activation of GEFs and subsequently Ras at the leading edge. RasG activation is essential for regulating PI3P signaling and subsequently essential for amplifying the initial signal, establishing cell polarity and actin polymerization at the leading edge. Rap1 activation in response to cAMP occurs downstream of RasG. Rap1 may regulate leading edge formation through two mechanisms: it amplifies and stabilizes the initial PI3P gradient, and it activates Phg2, which directly or indirectly phosphorylates MHCKα that controls leading edge myosin II disassembly thereby facilitating actin-mediated leading edge protrusions.

![Figure 6. Model of the Rap1 pathway in Dicyostelium regulating adhesion and cell morphology.](image-url)

**Figure 6.** Model of the Rap1 pathway in Dicyostelium regulating adhesion and cell morphology. Dicyostelium Rap1 switches between an active GTP-bound and inactive GDP-bound state. GbpD is one of the GEFs that catalyze the exchange of GDP for GTP, thereby activating the Rap1 protein. Phg2, a serine/threonine kinase, is necessary for Rap1-regulated adhesion. PI3K directly interacts with active Rap1, thereby regulating PI3P formation and subsequently adhesion and basal cell morphology. Binding of cAMP to the cAR1 receptor results in the rapid activation of GEFs and subsequently Ras at the leading edge. RasG activation is essential for regulating PI3P signaling and subsequently essential for amplifying the initial signal, establishing cell polarity and actin polymerization at the leading edge. Rap1 activation in response to cAMP occurs downstream of RasG. Rap1 may regulate leading edge formation through two mechanisms: it amplifies and stabilizes the initial PI3P gradient, and it activates Phg2, which directly or indirectly phosphorylates MHCKα that controls leading edge myosin II disassembly thereby facilitating actin-mediated leading edge protrusions.
specific. In some cells, such as human embryonic kidney cells (HEK 293) and thyroid cells (Tsygankova et al., 2001; Mei et al., 2002), Rap activation increases PI3K activity, whereas in PCCl3 and B-cells (Lou et al., 2002; Christian et al., 2003) activation of Rap leads to inhibition of PI3K. In terms of cell polarity and cell migration, mammalian Rap plays an essential role in the determination of neuronal polarity (Schwamborn and Puschel, 2004). In addition, mammalian PI3K is essential for axon specification and probably acts both upstream and downstream of Rap (Shi et al., 2003; Schwamborn and Puschel, 2004), a situation similar to that for Rap1 regulated pseudopod formation in Dictyostelium.

In summary, PI3K is the Rap1 effector in Dictyostelium necessary for Rap1-regulated adhesion and cell polarity. We propose a role for PI3P and GbpD in the basal formation of pseudopodia, whereas RasC and RasG may be more important during cAMP stimulation. The Rap pathway that regulates pseudopod formation in Dictyostelium is reminiscent of the pathway that determines neuronal polarity, suggesting that the Rap/PI3K pathway is a conserved module regulating the establishment of cell polarity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The pfl3K1/2-null strain used in this study was obtained from the Dictyostelium stock center. A.K. is a research fellow of the Alexander von Humboldt foundation. H.R. was supported by the Chemical Sciences of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO-CW) and is recipient of the Otto-Hahn-Medaille of the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft. The studies were partially supported by a grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research to G.W.

REFERENCES

Baggiolini, M. (1998). Chemokines and leukocyte traffic. Nature 392, 565–568.
Bivona, T. G., Wiener, H. H., Ahearn, I. M., Silletti, J., Chiu, V. K., and Phillips, M. R. (2004). Rap1 up-regulation and activation on plasma membrane regulates T cell adhesion. J. Cell Biol. 164, 461–470.
Bolourani, P., Spiegelman, G. B., and Weeks, G. (2006). Delineation of the roles played by RasG and RasC in cAMP-dependent signal transduction during the early development of Dictyostelium discoideum. Mol. Biol. Cell 17, 4543–4550.
Bolourani, P., Spiegelman, G. B., and Weeks, G. (2008). Rap1 activation in response to cAMP occurs downstream of ras activation during Dictyostelium aggregation. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 10232–10240.

Bo, J. L. (2005). Linking Rap to cell adhesion. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17, 123–128.

Bo, J. L., de Rooij, J., and Reedquist, K. A. (2001). Rap1 signalling: adhering to new models. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 369–377.
Bosgraaf, L., Russcher, H., Smith, J. L., Wessels, D., Soll, D. R., and Van Haastert, P.J.M. (2002). A novel GTPase-activating protein associated with a Ras effector molecule. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 25942–25949.

Bosgraaf, L., Russcher, H., Smith, J. L., Wessels, D., Soll, D. R., and Van Haastert, P.J.M. (2002). A novel GTPase-activating protein associated with a Ras effector molecule. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 25942–25949.

Bos, J. L., de Rooij, J., and Reedquist, K. A. (2001). Rap1 signalling: adhering to new models. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 369–377.
Bos, J. L., de Rooij, J., and Reedquist, K. A. (2001). Rap1 signalling: adhering to new models. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 369–377.
Bos, J. L. (2005). Linking Rap to cell adhesion. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17, 123–128.

Bos, J. L., de Rooij, J., and Reedquist, K. A. (2001). Rap1 signalling: adhering to new models. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 369–377.
Bos, J. L. (2005). Linking Rap to cell adhesion. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17, 123–128.

Bo, J. L. (2005). Linking Rap to cell adhesion. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17, 123–128.

Bos, J. L., de Rooij, J., and Reedquist, K. A. (2001). Rap1 signalling: adhering to new models. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 369–377.
Bos, J. L. (2005). Linking Rap to cell adhesion. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17, 123–128.

Bos, J. L., de Rooij, J., and Reedquist, K. A. (2001). Rap1 signalling: adhering to new models. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 369–377.
Bos, J. L. (2005). Linking Rap to cell adhesion. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17, 123–128.

Bos, J. L., de Rooij, J., and Reedquist, K. A. (2001). Rap1 signalling: adhering to new models. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 369–377.
Bos, J. L. (2005). Linking Rap to cell adhesion. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17, 123–128.

Bos, J. L., de Rooij, J., and Reedquist, K. A. (2001). Rap1 signalling: adhering to new models. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 369–377.
Bos, J. L. (2005). Linking Rap to cell adhesion. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17, 123–128.

Bos, J. L. (2005). Linking Rap to cell adhesion. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 17, 123–128.
and the phospholipase C pathway act to regulate phagocytosis in Dictyostelium.

Spiegelman, G., and Cardelli, J. (1999). The small M-r Ras-like GTPase Rap1 regulates the sequential activity of the Ras/PI3K/F-actin circuit. Nat. Neurosci. 7, 1503–1513.

Lou, L. G., Urbani, J., Ribeiro-Neto, F., and Albsdluer, D. L. (2002). cAMP inhibition of Akt is mediated by activated and phosphorylated Rap1b. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 32799–32806.

Mei, F. C., Qiao, J. B., Tsygankova, O. M., Meinkoth, J. L., Quilliam, L. A., and Cheng, X. D. (2002). Differential signaling of cyclic AMP—opposing effects of exchange protein directly activated by cyclic AMP and cAMP-dependent protein kinase on protein kinase B activation. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 11497–11504.

Meili, R., Ellsworth, C., Lee, S., Reddy, T. B., Ma, H., and Firtel, R. A. (1999). Chemoattractant-mediated transient activation and membrane localization of Akt/PKB is required for efficient chemotaxis to cAMP in Dictyostelium. EMBO J. 18, 2092–2105.

Parent, C. A., Blacklock, B. J., Froehlich, W. M., Murphy, D. B., and Devreotes, P. N. (1998). G protein signaling events are activated at the leading edge of chemotactic cells. Cell 95, 81–91.

Parkinson, K., Bolourani, P., Traynor, D., Aldren, N. L., Kay, R. R., Weeks, G., and Thompson, C. R. (2009). Regulation of chemotaxis by the small GTPase superfamily. In: Small, extrachromosomal expression vectors for Dictyostelium. EMBO J. 61, 1503–1513.

Lim, C. J., Zawadzki, K. A., Khosla, M., Secco, D. M., Spiegelman, G. B., and Weeks, G. (2005). Loss of the Dictyostelium RasC protein alters vegetative cell size, motility and endocytosis. Exp. Cell Res. 306, 47–55.

Lovvors, H. M., Postma, M., Keizer-Gunnink, I., Huang, Y. E., Devreotes, P. N., and Van Haastert, P. J. (2006). Distinct roles of PI(3,4,5)P3 during chemoattractant signaling in Dictyostelium: a quantitative in vivo analysis by inhibition of PI3-kinase. Mol. Biol. Cell 17, 1503–1513.

Parent, C. A., Blacklock, B. J., Froehlich, W. M., Murphy, D. B., and Devreotes, P. N. (1998). G protein signaling events are activated at the leading edge of chemotactic cells. Cell 95, 81–91.

Parent, C. A., Blacklock, B. J., Froehlich, W. M., Murphy, D. B., and Devreotes, P. N. (1998). G protein signaling events are activated at the leading edge of chemotactic cells. Cell 95, 81–91.

Parent, C. A., Blacklock, B. J., Froehlich, W. M., Murphy, D. B., and Devreotes, P. N. (1998). G protein signaling events are activated at the leading edge of chemotactic cells. Cell 95, 81–91.

Parent, C. A., Blacklock, B. J., Froehlich, W. M., Murphy, D. B., and Devreotes, P. N. (1998). G protein signaling events are activated at the leading edge of chemotactic cells. Cell 95, 81–91.

Parent, C. A., Blacklock, B. J., Froehlich, W. M., Murphy, D. B., and Devreotes, P. N. (1998). G protein signaling events are activated at the leading edge of chemotactic cells. Cell 95, 81–91.