Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR and HOTTIP as potential biomarkers for hepatitis C virus genotype 4-induced hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract
Background: Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) homeobox (Hox) transcript antisense intergenic RNA (HOTAIR) and HOXA transcript at the distal tip (HOTTIP) have been suggested to be implicated in liver cancer tumorigenesis and progression; however, little is known about the role of the plasma HOTAIR and HOTTIP in liver cancer diagnosis and prognosis. The current study aimed at measuring the plasma levels of long non-coding RNAs (HOTAIR and HOTTIP) expression in chronic liver disease (CLD) due to HCV genotype 4 infection with/without cirrhosis and HCC patients in an attempt to evaluate the potential benefits of these new circulating as non-invasive diagnostic biomarkers and a novel therapeutic strategy for liver cirrhosis and carcinogenesis of Egyptian patients. Hundred subjects were included in this study, divided into two groups; group I (50 patients) were classified into subgroup Ia (CLD without cirrhosis, n = 25) and subgroup Ib (CLD with cirrhosis, n = 25), group II (CLD patients with HCC, n = 25), and control (healthy volunteer, n = 25). The expression of lncRNAs (HOTAIR and HOTTIP) genes was analyzed by real-time PCR.

Results: LncRNAs (HOTAIR and HOTTIP) showed upregulation in all diseased groups, which was in consistent with the progression of the disease toward the HCC stage. In addition, HOTAIR and HOTTIP showed a diagnostic ability to discriminate between cases of cirrhosis and HCC compared with healthy control (p < 0.001), while HOTAIR and HOTTIP did not show a discrimination significant differences between cirrhotic cases and non-cirrhotic cases. By using receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis, it was found that LncRNAs (HOTAIR and HOTTIP) could diagnose liver cancer with 64.0% sensitivity and 86.0% specificity and 48.0% sensitivity and 88.0% specificity. Furthermore, both genes can be considered as the predictor and prognostic parameters for liver cirrhosis and carcinogenesis of Egyptian patients. Hundred subjects were included in this study, divided into two groups; group I (50 patients) were classified into subgroup Ia (CLD without cirrhosis, n = 25) and subgroup Ib (CLD with cirrhosis, n = 25), group II (CLD patients with HCC, n = 25), and control (healthy volunteer, n = 25). The expression of lncRNAs (HOTAIR and HOTTIP) genes was analyzed by real-time PCR.

Conclusion: These results strongly prompt us that HOTAIR and HOTTIP genes can be used as non-invasive prognostic biomarkers and new therapeutic targets for HCV genotype 4-induced HCC.
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Background

Egypt has a very high prevalence of the hepatitis C virus (HCV) worldwide, about 22% of Egyptian blood donors suffer from HCV with 170,000 to 200,000 new cases yearly and 40,000 dying from the disease every year (http://egyptianstreets.com/2015/05/04/egypt-has-highest-prevalence-of-hepatitis-c-in-the-world-who). HCV infection is a major cause of CLD, which can progress to liver fibrosis, cirrhosis and even HCC [1]. HCV increases the risk of HCC development by nearly 17-fold compared with healthy individuals [2]. Although the overall prevalence of chronic hepatitis C is declining, the complications of the disease are increasing because of the aging of the infected population and the progression of liver fibrosis [3].

LncRNAs account for a large portion of the non-coding transcripts. They comprise of in excess of 200 nucleotides and have no or restricted protein-coding potential. Previously, they were believed to be transcriptional noise [4]. But recently, expression analyses of lncRNAs in a wide range of tissues have revealed their importance for different homeostatic and physiological functions, such as gene imprinting, cell differentiation, and organogenesis [5, 6]. A solid affiliation has been found between deregulated lncRNA articulation and the improvement of maladies.

Through the modulation of oncogenic and tumor-suppressing pathways, it was noted that the aberrant expression of lncRNAs contributed to the development and progression of cancer [7–10]. LncRNA has attracted attention as a new participant in carcinogenesis and emerged as a new source of non-invasive cancer biomarkers [3, 11–13]. Most of these lncRNAs are upregulated in HCCs, but less expressed or undetectable in normal individuals [14].

The HOX family qualities are realized interpretation factors with a key job in embryogenesis and carcinogenesis [15, 16]. Their expression is deregulated in many cancers [17]. In humans, HOX genes are organized into 4 clusters (A, B, C, and D), which are situated on various chromosomes [18]. Interestingly, several lncRNAs associated with HOX genomic regions can partake in the regulation of HOX genes and work together in their functions [19]. HOTAIR IncRNA is transcribed from the antisense strand of the HoxC gene, which is located on chromosome 12q13.13 between the HoxC11 and HoxC12 genes [20] and one of the most significant administrative RNAs in human cells. It was first described by Rinn et al. [21] as a spliced and polyadenylated RNA with six exons and 2.2 kb nucleotides. HOTTIP gene is located at the homeobox A (HOXA) locus (chromosomal locus 7p15.2) which encodes the 3764 bp transcript. Therefore, the lncRNA is termed ‘HOXA transcript at the distal tip’ (HOTTIP) [22, 23].

HOTAIR promotes the carcinogenic activity of HCC cells via multiple mechanisms, such as the suppression of RNA-binding motif protein, triggering of epithelial-mesenchymal transition, and interaction with miRNAs [24]. The expression of HOTAIR was found to be stimulated by c-Myc in gallbladder cancer cells [25]. c-Myc also may have a pivotal role in hepatocarcinogenesis [25, 26]. HOTAIR was also noticed to be regulated by IκB kinase [27]. Suppression of HOTAIR was noticed to promote the inhibition of cell proliferation and invasion [28]. HOTTIP is overexpressed in numerous cancers [23, 29, 30] and in HCC [31]. The knockdown of HOTTIP in pancreatic cancer was found to lead to cell proliferation arrest and impaired cell invasion via inhibiting epithelial-mesenchymal transition [32].

Previous research has shown that circulating non-coding RNAs (nRNA) could be used as a non-invasive biomarker for the early detection of cancers [33–35], such as lncRNAs, can be detected in body fluids and may have diagnostic and prognostic roles in cancer. Recent studies have investigated the clinical implication of cell-free lncRNAs in cancer patients [36, 37].

Aim of the work

The aim of the study is to develop a non-invasive diagnostic tool based on measuring the plasma levels of different lncRNAs markers namely HOTAIR and HOTTIP in order to detect HCV genotype 4-induced HCC at the early stages of the disease.

Methods

Study design

We are planning a study of subjects in which we will regress their values of the patient’s against control. Prior data indicate that the standard deviation of control is 0.6 and the standard deviation of the regression errors will be 1.9. If the true slope of the line obtained by regressing patients against control is 1.7, we will need to study 25 subjects for each group to be able to reject the null hypothesis that this slope equals zero with probability (power) 90%. The Type I error probability associated with this test of this null hypothesis is 0.05.

Patient’s criteria

Patients enrolled in this study were admitted to the Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department in affiliated hospital of our institution from November 2016 to August 2018. Diagnosis of patients was based on full medical history, thorough clinical examination, abdominal ultrasonography and laboratory assessment including CBC, and liver function tests, serological and HCV genotyping by HybProbe probes with the light cycler carousel-based system.

This study was approved by our institution Ethics Review Board and informed consent was obtained from all the subjects included in this study according to the roles...
of the Declaration of Helsinki 1975. Approval of local ethical committee (REC number 01/19).

Inclusion criteria
All included patients were suffering from chronic hepatitis C genotype (4), persisting more than 6 months (HCV RNA positive). They did not receive any specific treatment for HCV during the last 6 months. All chronic liver disease (CLD) who developed malignancy on top of previous HCV infection. Diagnosis of cirrhosis was depending upon ultrasonographic criteria (surface irregularity, coarse echo pattern, portal vein diameter, splenic size, presence or absence of ascites), laboratory findings of hypoalbuminemia and hypoprothrombinemia, in addition to APRI score (APRI score calculated regarding AST to Platelet Ratio Index (APRI) = [AST Level (IU/L)/AST (upper limit of normal) (IU/L)]/platelet count (109/L)×100, (normal < 0.05, CLD without cirrhosis 0.5–1.5 and cirrhosis ≤ 1.5) [38] and esophageal or gastric varices diagnosed by endoscopy as a sign of portal hypertension in indicated patients. Diagnosis of HCC was depending upon the presence of focal hepatic lesions diagnosed by abdominal ultrasound and confirmed by triphasic spiral computed tomography (CT) and/or magnetic resonance imaging according to the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) 2011 guidelines [39].

Exclusion criteria
The exclusion criteria included any concomitant cause CLD such as patients with history of schistosomiasis, chronic viral diseases other than HCV, dual HBV and HCV infection, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), autoimmune hepatitis, biliary disorders, malignancies other than HCC, regular intake of hepatotoxic drugs, alcohol abuse, diabetes, and HCV-infected patients receiving direct-acting antiviral or immunomodulatory interferon-α therapy.

Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 100 patients were included in this study. Seventy-five patients with chronic hepatitis C were classified into two major groups: group I, CLD without HCC (n = 50), and group II, CLD with HCC (n = 25). Group I was further subdivided into two subgroups: (a) CLD without cirrhosis (n = 25) and (b) CLD with cirrhosis (n = 25). In addition, twenty-five age- and sex-matched healthy adults served as a control group.

Specimen collection and handling
About 7 ml peripheral venous blood were collected under strict aseptic conditions by clean venipuncture using vacuum blood collection tubes and distributed as 2.5 ml in EDTA tubes for complete blood picture, 2.5 ml in another sterile EDTA tube (stored at −80°C) for viral RNA extraction for HCV genotyping, miRNA, and mRNA extraction. In addition, 2 ml in a plane tube were allowed to clot at 37°C, and then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min and the collected serum was stored at −80°C to be used for performing liver and kidney functions, and other specific serological tests.

Laboratory investigations
All individuals were subjected to general investigations including haemogram, using an automated cell counter (Celltac 5, Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan). A battery of liver function tests was performed using standard methods. Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) was measured using an autoanalyzer (Hitachi 736, Hitachi Japan). Coagulation tests were measured using Stago Compact Max, USA. Serological diagnosis of HCV infection was done using Murex anti-HCV, version IV, Murex Diagnostics limited, Dartford, England. HCV-RNA by PCR. Hepatitis B surface antigen and HBV core antibody were examined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) system assay. Autoimmune hepatitis was investigated by detecting anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA) using the immunoSpec ANA screen ELISA test system. A serological examination of schistosomiasis (Schistosoma mansoni) Ab was done using antibody detection, an in-house ELISA.

HCV genotyping: Viral RNA Extraction was done using a high pure viral RNA kit (version 18, 2011), cat. no: (11858882001) (“https://www.roche.com”). cDNA synthesis (transcriptor first strand) was done according to the cDNA synthesis kit (transcriptor first strand) (version 6.0, 2010), cat. no: (04379012001) (“https://www.roche.com”). HCV genotyping detection was done using hot start reaction mix detection for PCR using HybProbe probes with the light cycler carousel-based system (version 15, 2011), cat. no: (03003248001) (“https://www.roche.com”).

Target gene expression: Total RNA extraction was done according to a high pure RNA isolation kit (version 12, 2011), cat. no: (11828665001) (“https://www.roche.com”). Gene expression detections were performed using light cycler Evoscript RNA SYBR green I master (version 2, 2017), easy to use reaction mix for one-step RT-qPCR cat. no: (07800134001) (“https://www.roche.com”). The primer sequences are illustrated in Table 1.

Analysis of results depending on the SYBR green I filter combination (465–510) on light cycler Evoscript RNA SYBR green I master and comparative CT methods were applied to analyze data. Housekeeping gene B-actin was used as an endogenous control to normalize the amount of total mRNA in each sample of HOTAIR and HOTTIP between different samples. Genes expression was calculated relative to the control samples (used as the calibrator sample) using the formula 2_ΔΔCT and were expressed as fold change (“https://bitesizebio.com”).

Statistical analysis
The data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2010 and statistical package for social science (SPSS version 24.0)
Results

Individual demographic and routine laboratory characteristics of the studied groups are shown in Table 2.

Depending on the fold change low, the fold change results showed that the gene expressions of HOTAIR and HOTTIP constantly upregulated in the studied groups (Table 3).

Gene expression of HOTAIR in all studied diseased groups was highly significantly ($p < 0.001$) upregulated compared with the control group. There was no significant upregulation in subgroup Ia when compared with subgroup Ib, while group II showed a significant upregulation when compared with subgroup Ia and subgroup Ib ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.01$) respectively (Fig. 1) (Table 4).

In contrast, HOTTIP expression, a highly significantly ($p < 0.001$) upregulated in all diseased groups when compared with the control group. But there was no significant difference in subgroup Ia when compared with subgroup Ib. While it was obvious, the expression of HOTTIP in group II was significantly ($p < 0.01$) upregulated compared with the subgroup Ia, subgroup Ib, and the group I (Fig. 2) (Table 4).

The correlation study revealed a significant direct moderate correlation of HOTAIR with HOTTIP ($r = 0.652$ and $p$ value = 0.001 (Fig. 3) and fair correlation between HOTAIR with AFP ($r = 0.481$ and $p$ value = 0.001) (Fig. 4). While there were inverse fair correlations with albumin ($r = -0.304$ and $p$ value = 0.007) (Table 5).

HOTTIP expression showed a significant direct fair correlation with AFP ($r = 0.400$ and $p$ value = 0.001) (Fig. 5), while there were inverse fair correlations with albumin ($r = -0.392$ and $p$ value = 0.001) (Table 5).

Receiver operating curves (ROC) were established to show the diagnostic performances of the HOTAIR and HOTTIP genes in the studied groups (Table 6).

ROC curve

In HOTAIR gene, for discrimination of cirrhotic group from without cirrhosis group, it was found that plasma HOTAIR at the cut-off value of 4.7, with sensitivity of 48.0% and specificity of 72.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 52.0 ($p$ value = 0.8, 95% confidence interval CI 35.6%–68.4%) and accuracy of 60.0% (Fig. 6a), for discrimination of HCC group from cirrhotic group, it was found that plasma HOTAIR at the cut-off value of >7.0, with sensitivity of 64.0% and specificity of 76.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 69.8 ($p$ value = 0.007, 95% confidence interval CI 55.5%–84.1%) and accuracy of 60.0% (Fig. 6c), and for discrimination of HCC group from CLD patients, it was found plasma HOTAIR at the cut-off value of > 9.2, with sensitivity of 64.0% and specificity of 86.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 74.2 ($p$ value = 0.001, 95% confidence interval CI 61.9%–86.5%) and accuracy of 60.0% (Fig. 6d) (Table 6).

---

Table 1: Primers of genes included in the study

| Gene     | Sequence                        | Tm   | Reference                                      |
|----------|---------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------|
| HOTAIR   | Forward 5'-GCA GTA GAA AAA TAG ACA TAG GAGA-3' | 58°C | *(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4774541/)* |
|          | Reverse 5'-AAT GAT AGG GAC ACA TCG GGG AAC T-3' | 58°C | *(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4637691/)* |
| HOTTIP   | Forward 5'-GTG GGG CCC AGA CCC GC-3'       | 58°C | *(http://hgsv.washington.edu)*                |
|          | Reverse 5'-AAT GAT AGG GAC ACA TCG GGG AAC T-3' | 58°C |                                                |
| B-actin  | Forward 5'-GCACCACACCTCTACAATG-3       | 58°C |                                                |
|          | Reverse 5'-TGCTTGCCTGATCCACATCG-3      | 58°C |                                                |
In HOTTIP gene, for discrimination of cirrhotic group from without cirrhosis group, it was found plasma HOT-TIP at the cut-off value of 13.4, with sensitivity of 32.0% and specificity of 100.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 53.9 (\(p\) value = 0.640, 95% confidence interval C.I 37.1%–70.8%) and accuracy of 66.0% (Fig. 7a), for discrimination of HCC group from cirrhotic group, it was found plasma HOTTIP at the cut-off value of >34.8, with sensitivity of 36.0% and specificity of 92.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 65.8 (\(p\) value = 0.033, 95% confidence interval C.I 51.3%–80.4%) and accuracy of 64.0% (Fig. 7c), and for discrimination of HCC group from without cirrhosis, it was found that the fold change results depend on the fold change low: fold-change (2^{\text{−} \Delta \text{Δ Ct}}) is the normalized gene expression (2^{\text{−} \Delta \text{Δ Ct}}) in the test sample divided the normalized gene expression (2^{\text{−} \Delta \text{Δ Ct}}) in the control sample. (Fold-change values less than 1 indicate a negative or downregulation [https://www.biostars.org/]).

### Table 3: Biomarkers fold change in the studied groups

| Biomarkers | Control \(n = 25\) | Group I (CLD without HCC) \(n = 50\) | Group II (CLD with HCC) \(n = 25\) |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|            |                     | Subgroup Ia (CLD without cirrhosis) \(n = 25\) | Subgroup Ib (CLD with cirrhosis) \(n = 25\) |
|            |                     | Fold-change | Type of Regulation | Fold-change | Type of Regulation | Fold-change | Type of Regulation |
|            |                     | HOTAIR | 1 | 4.136 | Up | 10.724 | Up | 20.508 | Up |
|            |                     | HOTTIP | 1 | 3.9372 | Up | 11.46 | Up | 22.788 | Up |
from CLD patients, it was found plasma HOTTIP at the cut-off value of > 16.6, with sensitivity of 48.0% and specificity of 88.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 68.3 (p value = 0.007, 95% confidence interval CI 55.0%–81.7%) and accuracy of 74.7% (Fig. 7d) (Table 6).

Univariate logistic regression analysis performed to characterize the two markers (HOTAIR and HOTTIP) as a predictor and/or prognostic parameter are shown in Table 7.

- Cirrhosis risk according to gene expression

Regarding univariate logistic regression analysis, the HOTAIR and HOTTIP were considered predictor and/or prognostic parameters for cirrhotic progression. An increase in 1 ° of HOTAIR increased the odds of being cirrhosis by a factor of 1.111 with p value = 0.05, but an increase in 1 ° of HOTTIP increased the odds of being cirrhosis by a factor of 1.07 with p value = 0.05.

- HCC risk according to gene expression

The HOTTIP was considered a predictor and/or prognostic parameter for HCC progression. An increase in 1 ° of its expression level increased the odds of being HCC by a factor of 1.029 with p value = 0.05. But there is no significance for HOTAIR in the characterization of HCC progression (OR = 1.027, p value = 0.1).

Concerning CLD as a general group (subgroups Ia and Ib) had an increase in 1 ° of HOTAIR which increased the odds of being HCC by a factor of 1.047 with p value = 0.01. For HOTTIP, an increase in 1 ° of HOTTIP which increased the odds of being HCC by a factor of 1.05 with p value = 0.003.

**Discussion**

LncRNAs play a central role in the regulation of differentiation, cell development, and proliferation [40]. Moreover, specific expression of lncRNA in the

---

**Table 4 Biomarkers gene expression in the studied groups**

| Biomarkers | Control n = 25 | Group I CLD without HCC | Group II CLD with HCC n = 25 |
|------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
|            | Subgroup Ia (CLD without Cirrhosis) n = 25 | Subgroup Ib (CLD with Cirrhosis) n = 25 |                          |
| HOTAIR     | 0             | 3.1(2.5–5.45) aa        | 3.6(1.45–9.4) aa              | 12.9(3.95–23.35) aa, b, c, # |
| HOTTIP     | 0             | 2.4(1.15–5.8) aa        | 4.6(0.2–19.95) aa             | 14.8(1.55–42.05) aa, b, c, 4 |

All parameters are represented as median with interquartile range (25%–75%) of the fold change of the studied groups, the data were analyzed by Mann-Whitney U test

- **p value bearing (a)** initial is significantly different comparing with control group
- **p value bearing (b)** initial is significantly different comparing with HCV group
- **p value bearing (c)** initial is significantly different comparing with cirrhotic group
- **p value bearing (#)** initial is significantly different comparing with CLD group

* **p value < 0.05** is significant, **p value < 0.01** is highly significant
development of tumors has been revealed and can be used as a promising biomarker to diagnose and monitor tumors, and lncRNAs also could be collected easily from body fluids and tumor tissues [41]. The aim of our study is to develop a non-invasive diagnostic tool based on measuring the plasma levels of different long non-coding RNAs in order to detect HCV-induced HCC at the early stages of the disease.

Our results revealed a significant upregulation of HOTAIR gene in cirrhotic and HCC patients when compared with controls ($p < 0.001$). Similarly, a significant increase was noticed on comparing HCC with chronic HCV without and with cirrhosis patients ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.01$) respectively. No significant difference was found between chronic HCV with cirrhosis and chronic HCV without cirrhosis. Our results are in agreement with Eman et al. [42] and Nande et al. [43] who found a similar increase in HOTAIR expression in patients with gastric cancer and non-small cell cancer patients. Gupta et al. reported that HOTAIR was overexpressed in breast cancer and its high expression in primary breast tumors was a significant predictor of subsequent metastasis and mortality [44]. HOTAIR expression was found to be higher in HCC tissues than in adjacent non-cancerous tissues [13, 45–47].

Regarding HOTTIP gene, a significant upregulation was revealed in cirrhotic and HCC patients when compared with controls ($p < 0.001$). In addition, there is a
Fig. 4 Correlation between HOTAIR and AFP

|         | Correlation coefficient | Sig. (2-tailed) |         | Correlation coefficient | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|---------|-------------------------|----------------|---------|-------------------------|----------------|
| HOTAIR  | 0.652**‡                | 0.001          | HOTTIP  | 0.652**‡                | 0.001          |
| Age     | 0.231*‡                 | 0.044          | Age     | 0.202                   | 0.078          |
| Sex     | -0.211                  | 0.065          | Sex     | -0.221                  | 0.054          |
| AFP     | 0.481**‡                | 0.001          | AFP     | 0.400**‡                | 0.001          |
| Albumin | -0.304**‡               | 0.007          | Albumin | -0.392**‡               | 0.001          |
| T. bil  | 0.116                   | 0.316          | T. bil  | 0.133                   | 0.248          |
| D. bil  | 0.164                   | 0.154          | D. bil  | 0.123                   | 0.286          |
| ALT     | 0.394**‡                | 0.001          | ALT     | 0.228*‡                 | 0.046          |
| AST     | 0.200                   | 0.081          | AST     | 0.188                   | 0.102          |
| ALP     | 0.402**‡                | 0.001          | ALP     | 0.452**‡                | 0.001          |
| UREA    | 0.227*‡                 | 0.047          | UREA    | 0.307**‡                | 0.007          |
| CREAT   | 0.187                   | 0.103          | CREAT   | 0.222                   | 0.052          |
| PT      | 0.483**‡                | 0.001          | PT      | 0.458**‡                | 0.001          |
| PC      | -0.411**‡               | 0.001          | PC      | -0.306**‡               | 0.007          |
| INR     | 0.379**‡                | 0.001          | INR     | 0.340**‡                | 0.002          |
| HB      | -0.172                  | 0.135          | HB      | -0.200                  | 0.08           |
| WBCs    | 0.104                   | 0.369          | WBCs    | 0.175                   | 0.128          |
| Platelets | -0.418**‡              | 0.001          | Platelets | -0.330**‡            | 0.003          |

All parametric parameters were analyzed by Pearson’s correlation test, while all nonparametric parameters were analyzed by Spearman rho (rank correlation).

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)
significant increase in HCC patients when compared with chronic HCV without and with cirrhosis patients ($p < 0.01$). The contribution of HOTTIP as having oncogenic roles had been revealed in tumorigenesis; recent advances have confirmed that HOTTIP is frequently overexpressed in many tumors to play oncogenic roles in cancer development and progression, including HCC [31]. HOTTIP expression was noticed to increase the HCC cell proliferation [48] and was associated with HCC progression and poor clinical outcome [31, 49].

The diagnostic performance of HOTAIR and HOTTIP gene expressions as markers in cirrhotic patients at different cut-off points using ROC curve showed that no significant difference between cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients regarding HOTAIR at the cut-off value of 4.7, with sensitivity of 48.0% and specificity of 72.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 52.0 ($p$ value = 0.8, 95% confidence interval CI 35.6%–68.4%) and accuracy of 60.0%, also no significant difference between cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients regarding HOTTIP at the cut-off value of 13.4, with sensitivity of 32.0% and specificity of 100.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 53.9 ($p$ value = 0.640, 95% confidence interval CI 37.1%–70.8%) and accuracy of 66.0%. The results indicated that HOTAIR and HOTTIP could not be used for discrimination between cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients.

In case of HCC, for discrimination of HCC group from cirrhotic group, it was found that a highly significant difference between HCC and cirrhotic patients regarding HOTAIR at the cut-off value of > 7.0, with sensitivity of 64.0% and specificity of 76.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 69.8 ($p$ value = 0.007, 95% confidence interval CI 55.5%–84.1%) and accuracy of 60.0%, also a significant difference between HCC and cirrhotic patients regarding HOTTIP at the cut-off value of > 34.8, with sensitivity of 36.0% and specificity of 92.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 65.8 ($p$ value = 0.033, 95% confidence interval CI 51.3%–80.4%) and accuracy of 64.0%. The results indicated that HOTAIR and HOTTIP can be used to discriminate between HCC patients than cirrhotic patients.

**Table 6** Diagnostic performances of HOTAIR and HOTTIP to discriminate (cirrhotic and HCC) patients from CLD patients

| Biomarkers in the studied groups | Cutoff | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV | Accuracy | AUC | 95% CI       | p value |
|---------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|----------|-----|-------------|---------|
| HOTAIR                          |        |             |             |     |     |          |     |             |         |
| Cirrhotic vs non-cirrhotic      | 4.7    | 48.0        | 72.0        | 63.2| 58.1| 60.0     | 52.0| 35.6–68.4   | 0.8     |
| HCC vs non-cirrhotic            | > 9.2  | 64.0        | 96.0        | 94.1| 72.7| 80.0     | 78.6| 65.6–91.7   | < 0.0001** |
| HCC vs cirrhotic                | > 7.0  | 64.0        | 76.0        | 72.7| 67.9| 70.0     | 69.8| 55.5–84.1   | 0.007** |
| HCC vs CLD without HCC          | > 9.2  | 64.0        | 86.0        | 69.6| 82.7| 78.7     | 74.2| 61.9–86.5   | 0.001** |
| HOTTIP                          |        |             |             |     |     |          |     |             |         |
| Cirrhotic vs non-cirrhotic      | 13.4   | 32.0        | 100.0       | 100.0| 59.5| 66.0     | 53.9| 37.1–70.8   | 0.64    |
| HCC vs non-cirrhotic            | > 13.4 | 52.0        | 100.0       | 100.0| 67.6| 76.0     | 70.8| 56.2–85.4   | 0.005** |
| HCC vs cirrhotic                | > 34.8 | 36.0        | 92.0        | 81.8| 59.0| 64.0     | 65.8| 51.3–80.4   | 0.03*   |
| HCC vs CLD without HCC          | > 16.6 | 48.0        | 88.0        | 66.7| 77.2| 74.7     | 68.3| 55.0–81.7   | 0.007** |

PPV Positive predictive value, NPV Negative predictive value and AUC Area under curve, *p value <0.05 is significant, **p value <0.01 is highly significant
Concerning for discrimination of HCC patients from CLD (cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic) patients, it was found that a highly significant difference between HCC and CLD patients regarding HOTAIR at the cut-off value of > 9.2, with sensitivity of 64.0% and specificity of 86.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 74.2 (p value = 0.001, 95% confidence interval C.I 61.9%–86.5%) and accuracy of 60.0%, also a highly significant difference between HCC and CLD patients regarding HOTTIP at the cut-off value of > 16.6, with sensitivity of 48.0% and specificity of 88.0% with areas under curve (AUC) of 68.3 (p value = 0.007, 95% confidence interval C.I 55.0%–81.7%) and accuracy of 74.7%. These results indicated that HOTAIR and HOTTIP can be used for discrimination between HCC and CLD without HCC.

Interestingly, according to regression analysis, the expression levels of HOTAIR and HOTTIP were considered as significant predictors associated with the changes of the cirrhotic progression and cirrhosis risk assessment (p value = 0.05 and p value = 0.05) respectively. Ge et al. suggested that the miR-192/-204-
HOTTIP axis was a significant molecular pathway during tumorigenesis of HCC and demonstrated the prognostic and potential therapeutic roles of HOTTIP [50]. In contrast, Quagliata et al. reported that the patients with higher IncRNAs HOTTIP/HOXA13 expression had poorer prognosis in liver cancer [32]. Also, overexpression of HOTAIR was found to be strongly associated with unfavorable prognosis for patients with HCC [51]. Evidently, the expression levels of HOTTIP increased the odds of being cirrhosis when selected as significant predictors associated with the chances of diagnosis HCC versus cirrhosis patients \((p \text{ value } = 0.05)\) and concerning CLD as a general group (non-cirrhotic and cirrhotic) had the expression levels of HOTAIR and HOTTIP were considered as predictor parameters associated with the chances of diagnosis for HCC progression and HCC risk assessment \((p \text{ value } = 0.01 \text{ and } p \text{ value } = 0.003)\) respectively.

Correlation analysis revealed a significant correlation between HOTAIR and HOTTIP \((r = 0.652 \text{ and } p = 0.001)\). A significant direct correlation of HOTAIR and HOTTIP with AFP \((r = 0.481 \text{ and } p \text{ value } = 0.001 \text{ and } r = 0.400 \text{ and } p \text{ value } = 0.001)\) respectively. A significant inverse correlation of HOTAIR and HOTTIP with

Fig. 7 ROC curve of HOTTIP in the studied groups

a) Cirrhotic Vs Non-cirrhotic.

b) HCC Vs Non-cirrhotic.

c) HCC Vs Cirrhotic.

d) HCC Vs CLD.
Table 7 Univariate analysis showing the predictive power of different biomarkers for cirrhosis and HCC diagnosis

| Biomarker         | OR      | 95% CI       | p value |
|-------------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Cirrhotic vs non-cirrhotic |         |              |         |
| HOTAIR            | 1.111   | 0.998–1.236  | 0.05*   |
| HOTTIP            | 1.07    | 0.999–1.147  | 0.05*   |
| HCC vs non-cirrhotic |        |              |         |
| HOTAIR            | 1.141   | 1.026–1.270  | 0.01*   |
| HOTTIP            | 1.103   | 1.030–1.182  | 0.005** |
| HCC vs cirrhotic  |         |              |         |
| HOTAIR            | 1.027   | 0.994–1.062  | 0.1     |
| HOTTIP            | 1.029   | 0.998–1.062  | 0.05*   |
| HCC vs CLD without HCC |      |              |         |
| HOTAIR            | 1.047   | 1.011–1.084  | 0.01*   |
| HOTTIP            | 1.05    | 1.017–1.084  | 0.003** |

OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval

*p value calculated depend on logistic regression analysis, *p value <0.05 is significant, **p value <0.01 is highly significant

albumin $(r = -0.304$ and $p = 0.001$ and $r = -0.392$ and $p = 0.001$) respectively.

Conclusions

Our results suggested that the gradual overexpression of HOTAIR and HOTTIP gene expressions with the progression of disease prompts us to use it as a non-invasive diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for HCV-induced HCC in HCV genotype 4 patients.
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