Insecticide Susceptibility Status of *Aedes albopictus* in Dengue Hotspot Areas in Malaysia
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The vector control program has become challenging due to the resistance problem occurs in *Aedes* mosquitoes. *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse, 1894) is the most dominant species contributing as a vector of dengue, Chikungunya and Zika viruses in Malaysia. Knowledge of the current insecticide resistance of *Ae. albopictus* is essential for the success of vector control program. Here, we reported the susceptibility status of *Ae. albopictus* collected from the dengue hotspot areas in the Northern District of Penang Island, Malaysia on three common use insecticides in vector control program. *Aedes albopictus* was sampled from three localities at Flat Hamna, Kampung Sungai Gelugor and Kampung Tanjung Tokong in the Northern District of Penang Island, Malaysia. The adult bioassay results suggested the Flat Hamna strain (FH) was found to develop incipient resistance after 24h exposure towards all three insecticides tested; permethrin, deltamethrin and malathion (mortality 97\(-87\%\)). With 1.93 fold of Resistance Ratio 50 (RR\(_{50}\)) values, FH strains have the highest chances to develop resistance towards permethrin. Among all insecticides tested, malathion was contributed to significantly higher KdT\(_{50}\) in all *Ae. albopictus* strains as compared to VCRU reference strain (p<0.001). Thus suggesting malathion insecticide is the least effective insecticide in our vector control program. Our finding can be used as a baseline for insecticide resistance of *Ae. albopictus* to improve vector control across Malaysia. Permethrin and deltamethrin are still reliable to be used in the control program, nonetheless require continuous monitoring on their susceptibility towards *Ae. albopictus*.
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I. INTRODUCTION

*Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* are two main important vectors for the transmission of dengue viruses (DENV), Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) and latest with reemergence of Zika virus (ZIKV) which risk million global population life (Muso & Gubler, 2016). In Malaysia, the transmission of dengue fever is mainly caused *Aedes aegypti* as a principal vector, while *Ae. albopictus* is a secondary vector (Smith, 1956). Dengue being endemic in Malaysia, spread irrespective of the urbanization level. Both of these species are day-bitters and share the same behavioural attributes (Chuaycharoensuk *et al.*, 2011).

Hence, there is still no promising vaccine for DENV, CHIKV and ZIKV, a control program for both vector species is essential to prevent an outbreak (Chuaycharoensuk *et al.*, 2011; Polsomboon *et al.*, 2008; Kamgang *et al.*, 2011) with attention to eliminate breeding sites and larval habitats via source reduction. However, the existing permanent breeding sites cannot easily be accessed (Chuaycharoensuk *et al.*, 2011; Gomez *et al.*, 2011) to reduce the mosquito populations. To prevent the spread of dengue, especially during a dengue outbreak still relies on the insecticide usage (Montella *et al.*, 2007; Ocampo *et al.*, 2011). Three major pyrethroid class mainly selected in to control *Aedes* species through cold or thermal spraying in vector control programs is permethrin, deltamethrin and cypermethrin (Teng & Singh, 2001).

However, the massive use of insecticides has lead to the resistance problem in *Aedes* mosquitoes. Resistance decreases the susceptibility of vectors to insecticide and
defines as the ability of the insect to tolerate the dosage of insecticides that could kill the majority of individuals in a population (WHO, 1960). Ever since the first discovery of insecticide resistance in mosquitoes in the late 1940s (Brown, 1986), there were increasing concerns and research efforts in understanding the resistance development in mosquitoes. The failures in vector borne disease control programs, especially during epidemic outbreaks are mainly driven by resistance problem (Marcombe et al., 2012). The evolution of insecticide resistance has been linked to the failure of dengue control activities and continuous use of certain insecticide has led to faster resistance in mosquitoes. Increasing resistance among mosquitoes also related to the exposure to chemicals in agriculture programs and other activities that use synthetic chemicals (Nazni et al., 2005; Overgaard, 2006). The first incident of mosquito resistance was recorded in 1947 against DDT (Baber, 1958) on Culex pipiens (Linnaeus) in Italy (Mosna, 1947) and Aedes sollicitans (Walker) in Florida (Brown, 1986). Afterwards, Ae. aegypti has been recorded to become resistant towards pyrethroids (Mebrahtu et al., 1997; Macoris et al., 2007; Rong et al., 2012).

Despite the increasing advances in alternative strategies in vector control, chemical controls remain as the fundamental tool in suppressing mosquito population during the epidemic period. However, more than 100 species of mosquitoes are reported to have developed resistance towards one or more insecticides, with more than 50 species of these are from the genus Culicinae (WHO, 1992). Resistance towards pyrethroids, in particular, leads to significant operational failure of Ae. aegypti control and subsequently, the increased transmission of the disease (Amelia-Yap et al., 2018).

Therefore, we evaluate the current susceptibility status of commonly used insecticide by the Health Department of Penang Island in vector control program on Aedes albopictus from the dengue hotspot areas of the northern district of Penang Island.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. Mosquitoes

Three wild strains of Ae. albopictus mosquitoes were collected randomly from three localities which has been known as dengue hotspot areas in Flat Hamna (FH-5°20’S, 100°17’E), Kampung Sungai Gelugor (KSG-5°21’S, 100°18’E) and Kampung Tanjun Tokong (KTT-5°27’S, 100°18’E), Penang Island, Malaysia (Figure 1). These three areas reported a high number of confirmed dengue cases every year and frequently treated with insecticides for vector control program. Wild strain mosquitoes were collected from natural breeding sites, such as tires, discarded tins, cans and flower pots. While, Aedes albopictus VCRU susceptible strains were used as a mosquito reference baseline for susceptibility test to permethrin, deltamethrin, and malathion was provided by the Vector Control Unit, Universiti Sains Malaysia (5°21’S, 100°18’E). The VCRU mosquito strain has been cultured in the laboratory since the 1970s for more than 800 generations.

Figure 1. Aedes albopictus sampling sites at the Northern District Penang Island, Malaysia

Larvae and pupae of Aedes albopictus wild strain were identified, colonized and cultured until adults in the laboratory at a temperature of 26±2°C and 70–80% of RH. The larvae were fed with 10mg of larval food daily made of cat biscuits, beef liver, yeast and milk at a ratio of 2:1:1:1 by weight. At two to five days old, adult female mosquitoes were offered blood fed from mice confined in mesh wire to obtain the eggs. Blood fed adult female mosquitoes were then provided with 10% sucrose containing B-complex vitamin. A moist cone shape filter paper was provided as an oviposition substrate for egg laying. The optimum number of eggs was collected over three to four days, and the eggs were allowed to hatch in the seasoned water and reared to adult.
B. WHO Adult Bioassay Test Procedure

The non-blood fed female of *Ae. albopictus* from *F*₁ generation aged two to five days old was used for bioassay test according to WHO standard procedures for insecticide resistance testing (WHO, 1998). However, in certain cases, *F*₂ generation was used due to an insufficient number of *F*₁ progeny. Whatman paper sized 12cm x 15 cm were impregnated separately with 2 ml of diagnostic dose of 0.75% of permethrin, 0.05% deltamethrin or 5% malathion. Olive oil was used for OP control and silicone oil for pyrethroid control. The impregnated paper was air-dried or three days and stored in 4ºC until used.

A total of 20 non-blood fed female mosquitoes per tube were introduced into each holding tube during the bioassay test. Mosquitoes were given one hour of acclimation time and any dead or abnormal mosquitoes were replaced with a new individual. Each set of impregnated paper with a concentration of 0.75% of permethrin, 0.05% deltamethrin or 5% malathion was placed into the test tube separately. The mosquitoes from the holding tube were then transferred into the test tube containing treated impregnated paper. Knockdown data were recorded every five minutes for an interval of one hour. After the exposure period, all of the mosquitoes were transferred to the 300ml paper cup covered with muslin cloth and mosquitoes were provided with 10% sucrose. The mortality rate was observed after 24h. All experiments were run separately and each was replicated 15 times for each strain.

C. Data Analysis

Percentage of mortality after the 24h exposure to insecticides in the adult bioassay test was characterized for the susceptibility status using WHO criteria where mosquitoes are considered as: (1) susceptible, if the percentage mortality was between 98 and 100%, (2) incipient resistant if mortality was 80–98% and (3) resistant if mortality was <80% (WHO, 1998). The mortality data were log-transformed subjected to ANOVA analysis to determine the differences between strains. To obtain knockdown time 50 (KdT₅₀) and knockdown time 95 (KdT₉₅), all of the data were analyzed using a Probit analysis in SPSS version 20.0. Data were log-transformed prior to the statistical analysis to fulfil the assumption of the Probit analysis. KdT₅₀ was defined as the time required to knock down 50% of the mosquitoes (Ocampo et al., 2011), whereas KdT₉₅ was the time required for 95% knockdown of mosquitoes. The value of KdT₅₀ and KdT₉₅ was analyzed using ANOVA in order to compare the susceptibility of insecticides of the wild field mosquito population from different localities. Based on the KdT₅₀ and KdT₉₅, the values of the resistance ratio (RR) were determined by dividing the knockdown time of the wild field strain to the knockdown time of the susceptible strain. The mosquito population was considered susceptible (RR<3), low resistance (3 < RR < 5), moderate resistance (5 < RR < 10) and high resistance (RR >10) (Mazzarri & Georghiou, 1995).

III. RESULT

After 24 hours *Ae. albopictus* were exposed to insecticides, population in Flat Hamna (FH) was detected performed incipient resistance to permethrin, deltamethrin and malathion for Flat with given mortality of 93%, 97% and 87%, respectively (Table 1). Thus, it indicated that the FH strain started to develop resistance towards insecticides that used in a vector control program. Incipient resistance towards permethrin also detected in KSG strain (mortality 96%) but not in KTT strain (100%). Whereas, both strains KSG and KTT are still susceptible to deltamethrin and malathion (100%). The significant difference in adult mortality between localities was only detected in malathion with the highest mortality (87%) occurred in FH strain (ANOVA, F=13.72, df=3, p<0.05; Table 1). VCRU strain (control) confirmed its susceptibility status as reference strains in the adult bioassay test with 100% mortality for all insecticides. No mortality was found in mosquitoes treated in control set of OP and silicone oil.
Table 1. Resistance status of *Aedes albopictus* female adults from Flat Hamna, Kampung Sungai Gelugor and Kampung Tanjung Tokong strain to permethrin, deltamethrin and malathion after 24 hours exposure

| Strain                        | 0.75% Permethrin | 0.05% Deltamethrin | 2% Malathion |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Mortality (%)                 | Status           | Mortality (%)      | Status     |
| VCRU Susceptible              | 100a             | S                  | 100a       |
| Flat Hamna (FH)               | 93b              | IR                 | 97b        |
| Kampung Sungai Gelugor (KSG)  | 96e              | IR                 | 87b        |
| Kampung Tanjung Tokong (KTT)  | 100a             | S                  | 100a       |

*All KdT50 for all of the Ae. albopictus strains were found significantly higher than VCRU reference strain (p<0.05; Figure 2). The significantly higher KdT50 (43.27 min) and KdT95 (68.71 min) was recorded for malathion in FH Ae. albopictus population to the VCRU resistance strains (F= 27.18, df=3, p<0.001; Table 2), even though the 24h resistance status was recorded as susceptible with 100% mortality (Table 1).*

All KdT50 for all of the *Ae. albopictus* strains were found significantly higher than VCRU reference strain (p<0.05; Figure 2). The significantly higher KdT50 (43.27 min) and KdT95 (68.71 min) was recorded for malathion in FH *Ae. albopictus* population to the VCRU resistance strains (KdT50 F= 27.18, df=3, p<0.001; KdT95 F=21.39, df=3, p<0.001; Table 2), even though the 24h resistance status was recorded as susceptible with 100% mortality (Table 1).

Table 2. Knockdown time (KdT) and resistance ratio (RR) of *Aedes albopictus* from different localities to the organophosphates and pyrethroid insecticides after an hour exposure

| Localities            | KdT50 (minutes) | RR | KdT50 (minutes) | RR | KdT50 (minutes) | RR |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----|-----------------|----|-----------------|----|
| VCRU                 | 17.40 (15.31-19.46) | 1.00 | 23.29 (21.46-25.39) | 1.00 | 13.12 (10.94-16.12) | 1.00 |
| FH                   | 25.30 (23.48-28.29) | 1.47 | 25.37 (23.16-27.51) | 1.45 | 18.21 (16.77-19.55) | 1.39 |
| KSG                  | 23.11 (21.96-24.66) | 1.21 | 23.11 (21.33-24.49) | 1.20 | 18.13 (14.83-17.51) | 1.23 |
| KTT                  | 23.11 (21.96-24.66) | 1.21 | 23.11 (21.33-24.49) | 1.20 | 18.13 (14.83-17.51) | 1.23 |

*All KdT50 values are expressed as means (95% confidence interval). RR = resistance ratio.

IV. DISCUSSION

We found that all of *Ae. albopictus* sample collected from the hotspot dengue areas is still susceptible towards all three commonly used insecticides in Malaysia; permethrin, deltamethrin and malathion based on resistance ratio values. However, *Ae. albopictus* population from Flat Hamna (FH) started to develop incipient resistance to all permethrin, deltamethrin and malathion. Given that the malathion diagnostic dose was at 5%, which is the highest dose among all insecticide dosages, malathion is considered the least effective insecticide. In Malaysia, malathion has been used since 1960 for dengue vector control to control the *Aedes* populations during the dengue outbreak in Penang, Malaysia for thermal fogging, Ultra Low Volume (ULV) fogging and in agricultural sites (Muda, 1985). In addition, the usage of malathion was stopped in 1996 and replaced with a pyrethroid water-based solution product (Teng & Singh, 2001). The pyrethroid products use in vector control program in Malaysia is Aqua Resigen (a.i; permethrin (25/75): 10.11% w/w, s-bioallethrin: 0.14% w/w, piperonil butoxide: 9.96% w/w) and Resigen (a.i; permethrin (25/75): 18.7% w/w, s-bioallethrin: 0.8% w/w, piperonil butoxide: 16.8% w/w), which are manufactured by Bayer Co. (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. In 2005, the application of malathion is adapted again in the vector control program due to its effectiveness and efficiency to control *Aedes* populations (VBDC, 2004). The same
malathion insecticide has been used in Jaffna, Sri Lanka (Surendran et al., 2007; Pimsamarn et al., 2009) in their vector control program and also Brazil have utilized it since 1999 (Macoris et al., 2007).

Even, the resistance ratio value indicates susceptibility, but two strains of \textit{Ae. albopictus} from FH and KSG exhibited slight incipient resistance towards 0.75% permethrin after 24h exposure. Similar findings from the Shah Alam, Selangor \textit{Ae. albopictus} strain indicated low mortality with an RR value of 2.1 (Rong et al., 2012). In 2011, the \textit{Ae. albopictus} strain from the same Flat Hamna, Sungai Dua, Penang locality exhibited resistance ratio of 0.98 for permethrin and 1.19 for deltamethrin (Chan et al., 2011). Our study found that the resistance ratio for permethrin and deltamethrin have increased to 1.45 for permethrin and 1.19 for deltamethrin. In which, the risk towards resistance in permethrin increases by 47.96% within three years and 16.81% for deltamethrin. Once dengue cases are reported in all of the dengue cases areas, action is taken in the infected areas and treatment will be begin with the thermal fog using Aqua Resigen (Bayer Co. (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd), Pesguard FG 161 (Agricultural Chemicals (M) Sdn. Bhd). Resigen and Pesguard products which classified under pyrethroid class. Similar vector control management has been applied in both areas of Flat Hamna and Kampung Sungai Gelugor when dengue cases were reported. Whereas, ULV has normally been used for emergency control of \textit{Aedes} in urban areas (Kawada et al., 2009). Since the resistance becomes an issue in effectiveness for controlling \textit{Aedes} population in dengue hotspot areas, the Department of Health Penang has monitored and evaluated the use of thermal fogging and ULV activities, as well as the effect of this insecticide on resistance. The authority is now scheduling a morning ULV trip to cover all localities. The \textit{Aedes} survey also has been done according to the schedule and the area is fogged once the density of \textit{Aedes} mosquitoes exceeded the sensitivity limit of the \textit{Aedes} Index (AI) at >1%.

Deltamethrin at 0.05% dose is still considered as an effective means of control for \textit{Ae. albopictus} population at the moment for all three dengue hotspot areas. But, the study by Ishak et al., (2016) found that the sibling species, \textit{Ae. aegypti} collected from Bayan Baru, Penang was found resistance towards permethrin and deltamethrin. A study in Surabaya and Palembang found that the mosquitoes are still susceptible to deltamethrin (Intan et al., 2006). This is similar to the study in Central Africa, Vietnam (North and Center strains) and Southern Thailand which found that \textit{Ae. albopictus} populations are still susceptible to pemethrin and deltamethrin (Jirakanjanakit et al., 2007). However, in Yaounde, the mosquitoes had incipient resistance towards deltamethrin with 83.3% mortality rate (Kamgang et al., 2011).

The same standard mosquito control management techniques were applied at these three study sites with the fogging activities was carried out twice in 14 days after dengue case is reported. During the outbreak, the fogging activities were conducted every three days until the outbreak was over. Flat Hamna (FH) is known to be the most prevalent dengue hotspot area and had the highest frequency of vector control activity, followed by Kampung Sungai Gelugor and Kampung Tanjung Tokong. This was in response to the potential and reported number of dengue cases. Therefore, more frequent fogging was conducted caused the \textit{Aedes} population increasingly exposed to the insecticide and may increase the mosquitoes' tolerance towards the resistance mechanism in selected areas. The intensity of selection may decline if the mosquitoes are occasionally or routinely exposed to the insecticide that brings an advantage to the resistance (Komalamisra et al., 2003).

There were two main alterations in the resistance mechanisms of the target site, as well as an increased rate of insecticide detoxification (Serrano, 2012). Insects will increase the production of monooxygenases, nonspecific esterase, and GST, as well as reduces the sensitivity of sodium channel in neuron membranes (Flores et. al., 2009; Pimsamarn et al., 2009). In Malaysia, kdr mutation of F1534C and V1016G were detected in \textit{Ae. aegypti} with signatures of selection were detected on the Voltage-gated sodium channel gene, but not in \textit{Ae. albopictus} (Ishak et al., 2016). The study in the northeast province of Thailand on permethrin and deltamethrin found that monooxygenase, glutathione-S-tranferase (GSTs) and esterase have a tendency to increase in the Bora Bora mosquito strain and are associated with a genetic cost in the absence of selection. In turn, this causes other forms of resistance to create mechanisms apart from the increased enzyme in order to detoxify the aforementioned insecticide toxin (Pimsamarn et
The Surabaya mosquito strain also responded to the pyrethroid insecticide by significantly increasing the enzyme activities and presuming the cooperation of the enzyme in detoxifying the pyrethroid entering the insect (Intan et al., 2006). This situation may occur due to selection by certain insecticides on one or more genes that generally extend to other compounds sharing the same target sites (Selvi et al., 2005). Aedes aegypti in Bangkok were also found to be resistant towards deltamethrin and permethrin with significantly higher levels of activities related to nonspecific esterase and GSTs (Pethuan et al., 2007). The addition of PBO synergist in the insecticides was suggested to cause metabolic resistance in both Aedes species (Ishak et al., 2016).

The pattern of insecticide resistance may vary among localities and it is very important to adopt a new strategy of surveillance for dengue control management. Households and agriculture have used higher amounts of insecticides (Huong et al., 2004). This may accelerate resistance, making it quicker and more severe than our expectation. The tolerance of Aedes albopictus to insecticides shows that they will develop full resistance in the future and this will become a major problem in vector control programs. Therefore, entomological risk assessments are one of the important factors of a successful insecticide management strategy. In addition to the assessment of resistance status, the types of insecticide used, the impact of the insecticide (Reiter & Nanthan, 2011), the type of vector habitat (Esu et al., 2010), geographical variation in rainfall, temperature and land coverage can influence the density of the mosquito population (Abeyewickreme et al., 2012). Regular resistance surveillance of mosquitoes should be focused on areas with a high level of dengue fever transmission and intensive usage of insecticides.

V. CONCLUSION

Our finding concludes that the Aedes albopictus of Flat Hamna strain from which area frequently reported dengue cases has started to develop incipient resistance towards all insecticides used in vector control program. In which, the highest chances to develop resistance is towards permethrin. Whereas, malathion was found less effective insecticides and possibly related to the frequency of fogging activity in this high dengue cases areas. Continuous monitoring on the current susceptibility status of Aedes albopictus is necessary especially in dengue hotspot areas and finding new control methods such as integrated vector management (IVM) using combination technique between biological and chemical controls should be the focus on near future.
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