Analysis of urinary 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-purine-2′-deoxyribonucleosides by LC-MS/MS and improved ELISA
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Abstract
Non-invasive monitoring of oxidative stress is highly desirable. Urinary 7,8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG) is a biologically relevant and convenient analytical target. However, immunoassays can over-estimate levels of urinary 8-oxodG. Measurement of more than one DNA oxidation product in urine would be advantageous in terms of mechanistic information. Urines samples were analysed for 8-oxodG by solid-phase extraction/LC-MS/MS and ELISA. The solid-phase extraction/LC-MS/MS assay was also applied to the analysis of urinary 7,8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodA). Concurring with previous reports, urinary 8-oxodG measured by ELISA was significantly higher than levels measured by LC-MS/MS. However, apparent improvement in the specificity of the commercially available Japanese Institute for the Control of Ageing (JaICA) ELISA brought mean LC-MS/MS and ELISA measurements of urinary 8-oxodG into agreement. Urinary 8-oxodA was undetectable in all urines, despite efficient recovery by solid phase extraction. Exploitation of the advantages of ELISA may be enhanced by a simple modification to the assay procedure, although chromatographic techniques still remain the ‘gold standard’ techniques for analysis of urinary 8-oxodG. Urinary 8-oxodA is either not present or below the limit of detection of the instrumentation.
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Introduction
There is growing literature on the role of oxidative stress in a wide variety of malignant and non-malignant conditions [1,2]. Whilst many methods of analysis assess cellular markers of oxidative stress [3], these require an invasive procedure, limiting their use in very young or very old subjects and also impeding their use in large-scale studies, where easy accessibility to samples and subsequent high throughput analysis are required. Long-term storage of samples, such as those in biobanks, are likely to lead to the formation of adventitious damage, further limiting their use. These drawbacks may be avoided by using urinary markers of oxidative stress and the analysis of 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG), in particular, has received widespread use, appearing eminently stable during long-term storage [4]. The relatively large number of reports measuring urinary 8-oxodG as a biomarker of oxidative stress or even DNA damage have been made in many cases without due consideration of the source of this lesion in urine. One can envisage three potential sources of nucleic acid oxidation products in urine: diet, cell death and repair (either of DNA or nucleotide pools) [5]. While
the contributions of diet and cell death to the presence of urinary 8-oxoGua and 8-oxodG, for example, continue to be assessed, the prevailing view is that neither of these processes contribute significantly to the presence of these compounds in urine, but a full understanding of their origins is critically important to evaluating their utility as biomarkers. The examination of the origins of other lesions remains largely un-investigated, however.

Broadly speaking, the approaches to urinary 8-oxodG determination are either chromatographic, such as liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry [6-12] and high performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection [13-17]; or immunoassay, such as the commercial ELISA kit available from the Japanese Institute for the Control of Ageing (JaICA) [18,19]. Immunoassay is clearly the most amenable approach, for most laboratories, not requiring expensive equipment, isotopically-labelled standards or specialist expertise. Furthermore, the ELISA from JaICA appears to be applicable to a variety of matrices: urine, serum, plasma, cell culture medium, saliva and DNA hydrolysates [19-22].

Although the JaICA ELISA is apparently applicable to several matrices in addition to urine, its use in saliva has recently been brought into question [19]. Furthermore, discrepancies in the levels of 8-oxodG in urine when measured by ELISA, compared to chromatographic techniques, has also questioned the utility of this ELISA (Figure 1). Early papers using the JaICA ELISA reported typical mean values of urinary 8-oxodG ranging from 18.6-24.3 µg/g creatinine, whereas chromatographic techniques give mean levels of 0.79-2.13 µg/g creatinine in healthy subjects [23,24]. More recently, a version of the ELISA, described as being ‘improved’, was released and typical values obtained with this ELISA were lower, ranging from 8.16-11.13 µg/g creatinine (Figure 1) [18,25]. However, with strict temperature control advocated by Yoshida et al. [18], we achieved levels of 4.12 µg/g creatinine, albeit still 4-times greater than LC-MS/MS analysis (1.03 µg/g creatinine) of the same samples [19]. Despite these differences in absolute levels, chromatographic and immunoassay approaches have been shown to correlate significantly [25-27], although with some notable exceptions [19,28]. Recent data from our laboratory have led us to conclude that whilst the ELISA is useful, the magnitude of the discrepancy in levels by the two approaches suggests that the ELISA is, at present, unable to specifically determine absolute levels of urinary 8-oxodG [19]. As a consequence, we undertook an investigation of means by which the specificity of the ELISA might be enhanced.

The oxidation of DNA yields multiple base damage products, of which 8-oxoG is only one. It would therefore be valuable to measure more than one lesion in urine, not least as different DNA lesions have different cellular consequences [29]. Indeed, there are reports of the analysis of several oxidized base and 2’-deoxyribonucleosides in urine [30]. The 2’-deoxyribonucleosides are preferred analytical targets in urine, largely for the same reasons that have often been cited with regard to the analysis of 8-oxoG vs the base, 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine (8-oxoGua), i.e. the perceived dietary contribution to the latter, even if the provenance of the 2’-deoxyribonucleosides is not as well defined. To further extend the repertoire of 2’-deoxyribonucleoside oxidation products we have applied our recently reported solid-phase extraction (SPE) LC-MS/MS procedure to the analysis of urinary 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2’-deoxyadenosine (8-oxodA) in a group of healthy subjects.

Materials and methods

Samples of human urine

For the analysis of urinary 8-oxodG by ELISA and LC-MS/MS, spot urine samples were collected from a total of 20 healthy individuals (11 males and nine females, mean age = 27, age range 22-37), following informed consent. For analysis of urinary 8-oxodA by LC-MS/MS, the above set of samples, plus an additional 10 samples independently collected from healthy individuals, were used, again following informed consent (16 males, 14 females, mean age = 29, age range 20-52). All urine samples were stored at -20°C until analysis. In order to provide a correction factor for urine concentration, aliquots of urine supernatant were also assayed for creatinine using the Jaffe method on an Olympus AU400 autoanalyser (Department of Chemical

![Figure 1. Representation of mean 8-oxodG levels, in spot urines, determined by chromatography techniques ('Chromatography'), the early version of the JaICA ELISA ('ELISA') and the improved (post-2002) JaICA ELISA ('ELISA improved'). Results are expressed as a ratio between 8-oxodG and creatinine. The information contained in this figure is a composite of information derived from multiple literature references [4,25,36-52].]
Pathology, Leicester Royal Infirmary, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, UK) and urinary oxidized purine measurements corrected accordingly.

**Solid-phase extraction (SPE) of 8-oxodG from urine and LC-MS/MS analysis**

The method for extraction of urinary 8-oxodG, as well as the synthesis of [15N5]8-oxodG internal standard, has been described in detail elsewhere [19]. All urine samples were spiked with 24 pmol [15N5]8-oxodG prior to manipulation. We had previously processed differing volumes of urine, based upon creatinine content [23], but noted that creatinine is not a reliable indicator of 8-oxodG content [19] and so routinely processed 1.2 mL aliquots of all urine samples.

Subsequent treatment of the urine samples was exactly as reported previously [19]. Briefly, following purification by SPE (3 mL, 60 mg, Waters Oasis HLB, Waters Ltd, Elstree, UK), extracts were analysed by LC-MS/MS. The LC-MS/MS system consisted of a Waters Alliance 2695 separations module connected to a Micromass Quattro Ultima Platinum (Waters-Micromass Ltd., Manchester, UK) tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer with an electrospray interface. Selected reaction monitoring analysis was performed for the [M + H]^+ ion to oxidized base [B + H]^+ transitions of 8-oxodG (m/z 284 to 168) and [15N5]8-oxodG (m/z 289 to 173). The level of 8-oxodG in each urine sample was determined from the ratio of the peak area of 8-oxodG to that of the internal standard.

**ELISA of urinary 8-oxodG**

Following thawing and centrifugation (300 g, 10 min), urine sample supernatants were applied to the competitive ELISA plate (50 μL/well) according to the protocol supplied by JaICA (Fukuroi, Japan). No other pre-treatment of the samples was performed. In line with the recommendation of Yoshida et al. [18], we again applied strict temperature control to the antibody incubations. Additionally, we investigated the effect of incubation temperature upon urinary 8-oxodG results, performing the primary antibody incubation step at 4°C overnight; or 37°C for 1 h, the latter as recommended by JaICA.

**Further characterization of 8-oxodG ELISA primary antibody**

Stock solutions of 8-oxodG, 8-chloroguanosine (8-chloroGuo; Axxora Platform, Nottingham, UK), 8-oxoguanosine (8-oxoGuo; Calbiochem, Nottingham, UK) and 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2′-deoxycytidino-sine-5′-monophosphate (8-oxodGMP) were made up to a concentration of 5 mM in ultrapure water. These stocks were then diluted to a final concentration of 20 μM with urine from the same, single urine sample. These standards were further serially diluted, again in the same urine, across the ELISA plate, to a range of final concentrations (20–0.000256 μM). Antibody recognition of a single-stranded oligodeoxyribonucleotide containing a single 8-oxodG was also investigated. The oligomer (22-mer, 5′ GAACCTAG-TOATCCCCGGGCTGC 3′, where O is 8-oxodG; Trevigen, Gaithersburg, USA) was made up to a maximal concentration of 243.9 nM, which resulted in a final concentration of 9.4 nM 8-oxodG, similar to that reported in a previous study [31], prior to serial dilution across the ELISA plate to a range of final concentrations (9.4–1.2 × 10⁻⁷ nM). The ELISA was then performed as described above and the effect of primary antibody (N45.1) incubation at 37°C and 4°C also investigated.

**Preparation of [15N5]8-oxodA**

Synthesis of [15N5]8-oxodA was performed using 600Gy of γ-irradiation, delivered by a 60Co-source at the University of Leicester, to a 1 mg/ml solution of [15N5]2′-deoxyadenosine (> 98% 15N; Spectra Stable Isotopes, Columbia, MD) in water saturated with nitrous oxide. An aliquot of irradiated material was analysed by HPLC and the identity of 8-oxodA confirmed based upon comparison of the retention time and spectral properties to that of an authentic, commercially-obtained standard. Isotopically-labelled 8-oxodA was isolated on a Columbus, 5 μm C8 semi-preparative column, 250 × 10 mm (Phenomenex, Macclesfield, UK), mobile phase was 10% methanol in water, flow rate 5 mL/min. Collected material was freeze-dried, reconstituted in water and the concentration determined via absorbance at 270 nm (ε₇₀ nm = 12764 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). [15N5]8-oxodA was also quantified by LC-MS/MS by comparison to a known amount of unlabelled commercially obtained standard, which additionally verified the identity of labelled material (unlabelled material was present at ~ 1.0%, undetectable at the levels used for internal standardization of samples). Aliquots of standard in solution were stored at −80°C.

**Solid-phase extraction of urinary 8-oxodA**

The SPE procedure used was identical to that used for urinary 8-oxodG, with 1.2 mL of urine processed following addition of 12 pmol [15N5]8-oxodA to all samples prior to manipulation. The recovery of 8-oxodA from urine by SPE was assessed by spiking 1.2 mL urine samples with additional unlabelled 8-oxodA (0, 50, 100, 200 pmol), performing SPE and then adding 12 pmol [15N5]8-oxodA post-SPE. A second set of urine samples were spiked with...
labelled and unlabelled 8-oxodA after SPE. Recovery was calculated according to equation 1, above.

The recovery experiments were done using urine samples from three individuals. The limit of detection was determined by reconstituting urine extracts (five different urine samples) in aqueous solutions containing various concentrations of $^{15}$N$_5$-8-oxodA prior to LC-MS/MS analysis. A signal:noise ratio of $\geq 3$ was used as a cut-off for the determination of the limit of detection. We have previously reported LOD, recovery and linearity of response data for 8-oxodG using this method [19].

**LC-MS/MS analysis of urinary 8-oxodA**

The same instrument as used for urinary 8-oxodG analysis was used for the analysis of urinary 8-oxodA. The electrospray source was maintained at 110°C and the desolvation temperature at 350°C. Nitrogen was used as the desolvation gas (650 L/h), with the cone gas set to zero. The capillary voltage was set at 3.20 kV. The cone and RF1 lens voltages were 42 V and 30 V, respectively. The mass spectrometer was tuned by using an 8-oxodA (10 pmol/L) standard solution dissolved in 0.1% acetic acid/methanol (85:15, v/v) introduced by continuous infusion at a flow rate of 10 L/min with a Harvard model 22 syringe pump (Havard Apparatus Ltd., Edenbridge, UK). A 10 μL aliquot of the purified sample was injected onto a Synergi Fusion-RP 80A C$_{18}$ (4 μ, 250 x 2.0 mm) column (Phenomenex, Macclesfield, UK) and a Synergi Fusion-RP 80 C$_{18}$ (4 μ, 4.0 x 2.0 mm) guard column attached to a KrudKatcher disposable pre-column (0.5 μm) filter. The column was eluted isocratically with 0.1% acetic acid/methanol (85:15, v/v) at a flow rate of 120 μL/min. The collision gas was argon (indicated cell pressure $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mbar) and the collision energy set at 12 eV. The dwell time was set to 200 ms and the resolution was two m/z units at peak base. Selected reaction monitoring (SRM) analysis was performed for the [M + H]$^+$ ion to oxidized base [B + H$_2$]$^+$ transitions of 8-oxodA (m/z 268 to 152) and the stable isotope internal standard $^{15}$N$_5$-8-oxodA (m/z 273 to 157).

**Statistical analysis**

Graphs and statistical analyses were performed by GraphPad Prism v.4.03 (GraphPad Software, San Diego). The analysis of differences between datasets were made using the Mann-Whitney U-test and data correlations tested using Spearman’s rank correlation.

(labelled 8-oxodA added before SPE/Peak area $^{15}$N$_5$-8-oxodA) × 100
(Peak area, unlabelled 8-oxodA added after SPE/Peak area $^{15}$N$_5$-8-oxodA)

Results

**ELISA and LC-MS/MS of urinary 8-oxodG**

We have attempted to enhance the specificity of N45.1 binding in the JaICA competitive ELISA, by performing the primary antibody incubation step at 4°C, overnight, rather than for 1 h at 37°C (Figure 2). Apart from at low concentrations of 8-oxodG (<2 ng/mL), altering the incubation temperature produced only subtle differences between the calibration curves (Figure 2). Mean urinary 8-oxodG values were significantly lower following ELISA primary antibody incubation at 4°C compared to 37°C (3.44 ± 1.62 pmol/μmol creatinine and 7.86 ± 3.92 pmol/μmol creatinine, respectively, p < 0.0001; Figure 3). While the mean level of urinary 8-oxodG was significantly (p < 0.0024) lower when measured by LC-MS/MS (4.65 ± 2.09 pmol/μmol creatinine) compared to ELISA at 37°C, this was not statistically different from the mean level of urinary 8-oxodG assessed by ELISA at 4°C (p = 0.054). Linear regression analysis revealed a good correlation between 8-oxodG values obtained at 37°C and 4°C (r$_C$ = 0.73; p < 0.0009; Figure 4A). Linear regression analysis also revealed a significant correlation between 4°C ELISA 8-oxodG values and those obtained by LC-MS/MS (r$_C$ = 0.65; p < 0.005; Figure 4B).

**Further characterization of 8-oxodG ELISA primary antibody**

Competitive ELISA was used to evaluate the ability of putative antigens to compete with 8-oxodG, bound to

![Figure 2. Calibration curves for the 8-oxodG ELISA when the primary antibody has been incubated for (i) 1 h at 37°C and (ii) overnight at 4°C.](image-url)
the ELISA plate, for primary antibody binding. There was no recognition of 8-chloroGuo, following primary antibody incubation at 37°C or 4°C, even at the highest concentration of competitor (20 μM; Figure 5A and B). It was only at the highest concentration of 8-oxodG-containing oligomer (9.4 μM 8-oxodG) and at 37°C that some inhibition of N45.1 was noted (Figure 5A). In contrast 8-oxoGuo appeared to compete effectively over most of the concentrations used, with an IC_{50} (concentration of competitor at which 50% of antibody binding is inhibited) of 0.867 μM. As expected, 8-oxodG proved to be a highly effective competitor, with an IC_{50} of 0.078 μM, approximately one tenth that of 8-oxoGuo. Surprisingly, 8-oxodGMP was over two-and-a-half times a more effective competitor than 8-oxdG at 37°C, with an IC_{50} of 0.029 μM (Figure 5A).

The IC_{50} values for 8-oxoGuo, 8-oxodG and 8-oxodGMP were all much lower at 4°C, compared to 37°C (0.022, 0.0009 and 0.0007 μM, respectively), indicating greater inhibition at much lower concentrations of competitor (Figure 5B). The results at 4°C also revealed that, in contrast to at 37°C, there was no significant difference in IC_{50} between 8-oxodG and 8-oxodGMP, at any concentration. Furthermore, the IC_{50} values for these two compounds were ~ 25-times less than 8-oxoGuo.

**Analysis of urinary 8-oxodA by SPE, LC-MS/MS**

We were unable to detect 8-oxodA in any of the urine samples examined (example chromatogram in Figure 6). This was despite efficient recovery of 8-oxodA from urine by the SPE procedure (95 ± 10%). Our method was also unable to detect 8-oxodA in urine by increasing the amount of urine processed to 3.2 mL (for two randomly selected healthy subjects) or performing a double SPE, using 3.2 mL urine, i.e. processing collected material from one SPE extraction through a second SPE column. With a limit of detection for 8-oxodA by our method of 10 fmol on column, this implies that 8-oxodA is present in urine at less than 20 fmol/mL urine.

**Discussion**

The development of the JaICA competitive ELISA for measurement of 8-oxodG in extracellular fluids...
has lead to widespread use in a variety of pathologies and extracellular fluids. Such an assay format has distinct advantages in terms of equipment requirements, user training and sample-throughput, compared to chromatographic techniques, such as HPLC-electrochemical detection or LC-mass spectrometry. However, for many years the ELISA has consistently given higher values for urinary 8-oxodG compared to chromatographic procedures and, from the viewpoint of our laboratory, this has partly been interpreted as recognition by the primary antibody (designated N45.1) of 8-oxodG in forms other than the free 2'-deoxyribonucleoside, e.g. 8-oxodG-containing oligodeoxyribonucleotides, or 8-oxodGMP. Previously unpublished data from our laboratory indicated that N45.1 can recognize single-stranded, 8-oxodG-containing oligomers, which is supported by our 37°C findings reported here. It appears that N45.1 is specific for 8-oxodG and of the compounds tested previously as potential competitors, the closest are 8-oxo-guanosine and 8-mercapto-2'-deoxyguanosine [32]. The latter compound has limited biological relevance and the former needs to be present at ~10-times the concentration of 8-oxodG, at 37°C, to be an effective competitor, in close agreement with our findings reported here. Results for the ELISA at 4°C would suggest that 8-oxoGuo needs to be present at 25-times the concentration of 8-oxodG, to affect equal competition, in both cases a situation, seemingly, not realized in urine [31]. This is the first report to demonstrate that 8-oxodGMP is an effective competitor for N45.1 binding, being over 2.5-times more effective than 8-oxodG, when the ELISA is performed at 37°C. We propose that the basis for this result might derive from the immunogen used in the production of N45.1. Conjugation of 8-oxodG, via a succinamide linkage, to a carrier protein for immunization would generate a structure with some similarities to 8-oxodGMP. This is an interesting observation, and adds to the criteria that determine which antigens are recognized by N45.1. At 4°C, however, this discrimination is lost, suggesting that it is a relatively weak interaction which accounts for the, albeit appreciable, selectivity for 8-oxodGMP over 8-oxodG. Previously, reactivity of 8-bromoGuo has been investigated and shown not to compete [32], likewise we show here that 8-chloroGuo does not compete for antibody binding, irrespective of incubation temperature.

It could be possible that, because of the competitive format of the 8-oxodG ELISA, species structurally dissimilar to 8-oxodG may interfere with N45.1 binding yielding false-positive signals. Such species could include proteins and carbohydrates. In fact, while this ELISA is recommended for the analysis of 8-oxodG in protein rich matrices such as serum, JaICA released further advice for the use of their kit in this situation, requiring sample clean-up using 10 kDa cut-off filter membranes, prior to use in the ELISA. Our speculation that the presence of relatively high levels of protein and carbohydrate could interfere with this assay was reinforced recently by our studies on salivary 8-oxodG [19]. Antibodies have also found use in immunoaffinity clean-up of urine samples prior to chromatographic analysis. However, there would seem to be significant advantages to using SPE, which avoids the need for ready availability of relatively large quantities of appropriately characterized, specific and potentially expensive antibodies, which are more liable to suffer from interference, particularly when analysing low molecular weight species in complex biological matrices.

Overnight incubation of N45.1 with pure standards at low temperature does not appear to markedly affect its binding to 8-oxodG (Figure 2), i.e. the primary and strongest, molecular interactions between antibody and antigen. Longer incubation, at lower temperatures, perhaps minimize secondary, weaker and more easily reversible antibody-antigen interactions.
interactions, by allowing the system greater time to reach equilibrium and it would also be expected that the stronger interactions would be those that would also form more easily at lower temperatures. This longer, low temperature, incubation period increases the specificity of the antibody–antigen interactions and brings the ELISA data into significant agreement with the chromatographic data for our samples (Figure 3). Whilst these data demonstrate that 8-oxodGMP and 8-oxoGuo may significantly compete and that 8-oxodG-containing, single-stranded oligomers may contribute to weak interactions, with N45.1, the experimental evidence of the presence of these in urine, at concentrations sufficient to be detected by the ELISA, is presently minimal [31,33]. Furthermore, these weak interactions can be prevented by incubation of N45.1 with samples in the initial step of the ELISA at least overnight (ca. 15–18 h), at 4–6°C. On this basis, for urine at least, we would recommend that this step is adopted.

Clearly however, our data still reveal discrepancies between ELISA and LC-MS/MS determinations of individual urinary 8-oxodG values, as although the mean level of urinary 8-oxodG is not statistically different between the two methods, there is still not a perfect correlation between values. Thus there is a considerable element of individual variability in the urine that is difficult to control for in competitive ELISA. Until the issues with this and perhaps other ELISA methods are rectified, chromatographic methods, preferably with robust internal standardization and compound identification techniques, have to remain as the ‘gold standard’ procedures for determination of urinary 8-oxodG, as there is no way of accurately ascribing differences between individuals to urinary 8-oxodG, specifically by ELISA.

Our apparent inability to detect 8-oxodA in any of the 30 urine samples from healthy donors is consistent with the one previous report of an attempt to detect this lesion in urine [8]. We suspect that this is due to sensitivity limitations of current instrumentation, however the SPE LC-MS/MS methodology we report has all the attendant advantages of mass spectrometry in terms of internal standardization and peak identification and we are confident of the ability of the SPE step to efficiently extract 8-oxodA from urine with a limit of detection of comparable sensitivity to 8-oxodG. In contrast to the 2’-deoxyribonucleoside, the base, 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroadenine (8-oxoAde), has been reported in urine, although it was present in the lowest amounts out of five base lesions examined [9]. However, the exact processes leading to the release of 8-oxoAde from DNA remain obscure. Our laboratory was amongst the first to consider the activity of the mutT homologue, MTH1, and perhaps other Nudix hydrolases, as a rational and significant source of extracellular 8-oxodG, following hydrolysis of 8-oxodGTP formed...
in nucleotide pools [22,24,34]. Thus, along with the biological importance of limiting the availability of modified and potentially mutagenic dNTPs, additional meaning may become ascribed to urinary 8-oxodG levels. The very low levels of 8-oxodA in urine, compared to 8-oxodG, perhaps reflect the higher oxidation potential of adenine. Even though 8-oxodATP is a substrate for MTH1, if formed to any extent in nucleotide pools, it is likely to be present at levels present even lower than 8-oxodGTP. There also remains the possibility that further oxidation of 8-oxodA could occur, as has been reported for some other oxidation-derived lesions, such as 8-oxodG, thus diminishing levels of the parent lesion even further [35].

Only with validated analytical tools will we be able to better understand the significance of these urinary markers of nucleic acid oxidation. In this paper we have shown that the specificity of a commercially available competitive ELISA for 8-oxodG may be improved by a minor alteration to the protocol, to bring the data into better agreement with an analytically robust technique such as LC-MS/MS. However, there still remain issues regarding the applicability of these immunoassays to selected biological matrices, e.g. saliva, and also determining the impacts of variation in individual urine samples on the ELISA. The potential exists to measure more than one nucleic acid oxidation product in a single chromatographic run, for example by LC-MS/MS with all its attendant advantages of internal standardization and analyte identification. However, in agreement with one other report, urinary 8-oxodA appears undetectable with our instrumentation, either because it is not present or, the more likely scenario, that it is below the limit of detection.
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