Novel selective, potent naphthyl TRPM8 antagonists identified through a combined ligand- and structure-based virtual screening approach
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Transient receptor potential melastatin 8 (TRPM8), a nonselective cation channel, is the predominant mammalian cold temperature thermosensor and it is activated by cold temperatures and cooling compounds, such as menthol and icilin. Because of its role in cold allodynia, cold hyperalgesia and painful syndromes TRPM8 antagonists are currently being pursued as potential therapeutic agents for the treatment of pain hypersensitivity. Recently TRPM8 has been found in subsets of bladder sensory nerve fibres, providing an opportunity to understand and treat chronic hypersensitivity. However, most of the known TRPM8 inhibitors lack selectivity, and only three selective compounds have reached clinical trials to date. Here, we applied two virtual screening strategies to find new, clinics suitable, TRPM8 inhibitors. This strategy enabled us to identify naphthyl derivatives as a novel class of potent and selective TRPM8 inhibitors. Further characterization of the pharmacologic properties of the most potent compound identified, compound 1, confirmed that it is a selective, competitive antagonist inhibitor of TRPM8. Compound 1 also proved itself active in a overreactive bladder model in vivo. Thus, the novel naphthyl derivative compound identified here could be optimized for clinical treatment of pain hypersensitivity in bladder disorders but also in different other pathologies.
they are not expressed in primary somatosensory neurons. TRP channels are not particularly closely related by either structure or function. In fact, the most similar TRPM channel to TRPM8 is TRPM2, but this channel is involved in oxidative stress sensing and is expressed in dopaminergic neurons and other tissues in which TRPM8 is not present.

Transient receptor potential melastatin type 8 (TRPM8) is a member of the transient receptor potential (TRP) superfamily. More specifically, TRPM8 is a ligand-gated, nonspecific cation channel that is activated by cold temperatures, by natural cooling compounds, such as menthol and eucalyptol, and by synthetic agents, such as icilin.

TRPM8 expression defines a population of sensory afferents that innervate tissues known to be highly sensitive to cold stimuli, as well as nociceptive stimuli. In addition, the localization of TRPM8 on nociceptive A-delta and C-fiber neurons and its modulation by inflammation-mediated second messenger signals, may account for abnormally cold sensitivity in some pathologic states, providing a good molecular basis and a rationale for the design of TRPM8 antagonists. Indeed, characterization of TRPM8 has revealed its role in pain hypersensitivity that is manifested in two forms: cold allodynia and cold hyperalgesia. Cold allodynia refers to pain induced by a normally innocuous cold temperature, and is one of the main clinical symptoms experienced by patients undergoing chemotherapy. It can also occur in patients with other conditions such as diabetic neuropathy, fibromyalgia, and traumatic neuropathy. Cold hyperalgesia, in which a noxious stimulus results in a prolonged or intense pain response, commonly occurs with chronic inflammation, such as in rheumatoid arthritis. During the time, many other painful conditions have been related to TRPM8 activity. The recent discovery of different receptors in the bladder, in subsets of sensory nerve fibres, responsive to temperature or molecules as capsaicin, may account for abnormally cold sensitivity in some pathologic states, providing a good molecular basis and a rationale for the design of TRPM8 antagonists. Indeed, characterization of TRPM8 has revealed its role in pain hypersensitivity that is manifested in two forms: cold allodynia and cold hyperalgesia.

Currently, virtual screening plays a key role in the discovery of novel drugs, representing a cost-effective and efficient method to increase hit rates compared to wet-lab high-throughput screening (HTS). Virtual screening techniques can be subdivided into ligand-based and structure-based approaches; the former is mainly based on similarity analyses and the latter mostly involves docking simulations. Recent studies have indicated the possible fruitful combination of both methods.

**Methods**

**Pharmacophore mapping.** Pharmacophore feature extraction was performed using the Smiles Arbitrary Target Specification (SMARTS) language to encode the structure-activity relationship of a selection of structurally unrelated TRPM8 antagonists, as schematized in Fig. 1. SMARTS queries are commonly used in the definition of molecular motifs and have a wide application in sub-structures filtering, such as flagging toxicological structures in library screening. First, SMARTS language was used to encode the structure-activity relationship on a selection of structurally unrelated TRPM8 antagonists, as schematized in Fig. 1. Subsequently, SMARTS queries were extended to include additional chemical motifs not represented in the reference set and to exclude undesired chemical moieties. Together, these derived SMARTS queries were used to virtually screen a proprietary database and to generate a ligand-based targeted library of putative TRPM8 antagonists.

To date, approximately 120 different chemotypes can be enumerated among all known TRPM8 antagonists (as defined by Bemis et al.). To represent this wide chemical spectrum, 12 structurally diverse TRPM8 antagonists were selected for pharmacophore development, each reporting on a characteristic structural moiety and spacing in molecular flexibility and ring heterogeneity (size and complexity), as well as accounting for different
polar groups (Figure S1). As shown schematically in Fig. 1A the resulting pharmacophore consisted of a hydrogen bond acceptor system (HBA) and a hydrogen bond donor system (HBD) between two terminal rings with variable length spacers separating each functional group (Fig. 1B). This general motif, in addition to covering the 12 selected antagonists, included approximately 50 of the abovementioned TRPM8 antagonist chemotypes.

In more details, the HBA group is represented by direct and reverse sulfonamide, ether, ester, ketone and imine groups, whereas the HBD group is represented by amido, amino and hydroxyl groups. In the twelve selected TRPM8 antagonists (Figure S1), the two H-bonding groups can be spaced by the following number of atoms: (i) one atom, as in the case of compounds 1, 6, 8, 10 and 11; (ii) two atoms, as for compounds 3, 5, 9 and 12; or (iii) three atoms, as in compounds 2 and 7. This pattern, which condenses the structure-activity relationships among the active hits, can be encoded by three SMARTS strings (Fig. 1B). Considering the constrained systems it has been proposed that the cis orientation is preferred, which is consistent with the proposed binding mode for TRPM8 inhibitors on the basis of the derived 3D homology models of the ion channel (Fig. 2). One of the 12 antagonists selected and presented in Figure S1, the fourth, by Bayer, despite having five atoms separating the two H-bonding functions, was included in the set because the high flexibility of the ethylamine chain (HBD) can approach the para-ether group (HBA), thus “spatially” matching the pharmacophore. Up to two atoms are allowed between the terminal ring and the HBA group, whereas a maximum of one atom is permitted between HBD and the other ring. Either aromatic or aliphatic rings are allowed and can include the H-bonding function.

To further enlarge the selection to encompass different scaffolds, the pharmacophore was extended to include other HBA or HBD groups. Additionally, a collection of more than 200,000 biologically relevant compounds from the MDDR Database which are already annotated for their chemical structure, physicochemical properties and biological activity. This collection was then analyzed to identify chemical moieties sharing the same pharmacophore, although with different biological activities and different chemotypes. Chemical moieties with undesired mechanisms of action (or specific targets) were excluded. Using the same rationale used to define the pharmacophore model, a total of 37 SMARTS strings related to the undesired moieties were defined (seven representative SMARTS are presented in Table S2). After the optimal set of SMARTS strings was defined, they were used to screen the corporate library to identify TRMP8 blockers while discarding nonselective or undesired scaffolds and maximizing chemical diversity.

**Structure-based virtual screening strategy optimization.** We used already known TRPM8 inhibitors to develop a docking-based screening strategy on the TRPM8 receptor structure. For this purpose, we collected a benchmarking set comprising 50 published TRPM8 antagonists and 4,950 decoy compounds taken from known inactive compounds, as identified in previous HTS campaigns (data not shown). To avoid bias in the results, inactive compounds were selected only if they fulfilled several physicochemical property ranges, as determined by analyzing the active compounds.

The obtained compound set was used in preliminary docking simulations on a previously published human TRPM8 homology model. We used a homology model generated by fragments of the resolved structure of the Kv1.2 Shaker channel as the overall template. To date, the only additional structure available is the recently resolved TRPV1 structure; however, TRPV1 does not have a significantly high degree of similarity with TRPM8 with respect to our model (pairwise alignment BLOSUM62 score 0.39 vs 0.35). Moreover, TRPV1 structure allows for reliable generation of only the transmembrane bundle. The Kv1.2 Shaker channel remains reliable for modeling the binding site within the transmembrane bundle in an unbound conformation, as supported by the positive results obtained in previous publications, as well as by the satisfactory docking results reported here. Both the benchmarking set and the virtually screened library were prepared using an automatic script in the VEGAZZ suite of programs, which performs the following tasks for each compound: (i) generating the 3D structure; (ii) adding the hydrogen atoms; (iii) assigning the atom types and the Gasteiger's atomic charges; (iv)
selecting the predominant form of ionizable molecules at physiological pH; (v) generating all possible stereoisomers for chiral molecules; and (vi) minimizing the obtained molecules by combining steepest descent and conjugate gradient algorithms.

Docking simulations were performed using the LiGen program and were optimized by tuning the arrangement and the extension of the box where the docking search was performed. Note that the docking parameters of the program were not adjusted because they had already been optimized by experimental design in a previous study, thus maximizing virtual screening performance. Before performing docking simulations, LiGen requires the development of a suitable pharmacophore model by using LiGenPocket. To this end, a relatively large and highly potent TRPM8 antagonist (Cpd 13 WO2007017094, belonging to the class of benzyloxy-phenylmethylcarbamates with an IC50 = 0.45 nM) not included in the benchmarking set was utilized to avoid biasing results and to develop the pharmacophore as exhaustively as possible.

To the best of our knowledge, experimental evidence indicates that TRPM8 antagonists bind the menthol-binding site of TRPM8 to exert their action. Hence, the LiGen simulations were focused on the menthol-binding site and were tuned by varying the radius of the considered sphere (i.e., equal to 12, 10, 8, and 6.5 Å) and its center (around Asp802 or Tyr745) to also evaluate possible adjacent sub-pockets. The ligand was flexible, and the results were ranked on the basis of the LigenScore function. Figure 3 shows that the active compounds are satisfactorily distributed across the entire rank (subdivided into 100 bins) and that the enrichment factors obtained by the best-performing LiGen simulation involved an 8 Å radius sphere around Asp802.

**In vitro TRPM8 assays and high-throughput screening campaigns through analysis of intracellular calcium mobilization.** All experiments were performed on HEK-293/hTRPM8 cells stably transfected with the human TRPM8 gene, except for site-directed mutagenesis analysis, which was performed on transient transfectants. In details, HEK-293 cells (ATCC, Manassas, Virginia) were transfected with the pcDNA3/htTRPM8 vector by electroporation (300 Volts, 950 µF) then selected with medium containing 0.8 mg/ml G418 for 10–15 days. Then the stable transfected pool underwent two rounds of limiting dilutions, in eight 96 well plates at a cell density of 1 cell/well, in order to obtain pure clones. The best responder clone was selected based on its response to 1 mM menthol in calcium mobilization experiments. HEK-293/hTRPM8 cells were maintained in EMEM (Minimum Essential Medium Eagle with Earl's salts Balanced Salt Solution, LONZA) supplemented with 2 mM Ultraglutamine (Lonza), 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (LONZA), 10% of Fetal Bovine Serum (Euroclone) and 0.4 mg/mL G418 (InvivoGen).

For calcium mobilization assays, cells were seeded at 10,000 cells/well in 384-well plates coated with poly-D-lysine (MATRIX black/clear bottom #4332-CPL, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in complete medium. Twenty-four hours after seeding, the plates were washed with Tyrode's buffer with a Bio-Tek-ELx405 Microplate Washer (Merck Millipore; Billerica, MA, USA), and then 10 µl/well of a solution containing the
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**Figure 2.** TRPM8–Compound 1 complex, detail of the binding site. (A) Top view, perpendicular to the membrane plane, extra- to intracellular perspective. The naphthyl moiety of the ligand, here highlighted in cyan and represented in CPK, well fits into the sub-pocket framed by Ile746, Tyr745, Leu750 and Leu806 (in grey and CPK). (B) Side view, perpendicular to the transmembrane helices. Compound 1, represented in cyan and ball-end-stick, lands parallel to TM helices 2 and 3 surface, here in red cartoon. Dotted blue line represents the Hydrogen bond between the amine group of Compound 1 and the carboxyl function of Asp802, interaction further strengthened by opposite charge attraction. The furane ring locates between Leu750 and Phe794 (in grey and CPK).
fluorescent Ca\(^{2+}\) indicator Fluo-4 NW dye was added. Cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature before test compounds were added at 3X the concentration with respect to the final wanted concentration to be tested with a FLIPR TETRA system (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Calcium mobilization was monitored over a period of 5 min. A second injection of 10 \(\mu\)l/well of a reference agonist (icilin or Cooling agent 10) at 4X concentration in assay buffer was performed using the FLIPR TETRA system. Of note, for selectivity assays, the following agonists were used: 10 \(\mu\)M AITC (allyl isothiocyanate) for TRPA1; 100 nM Capsaicin for TRPV1; and 20 nM GSK1016790A for TRPV4.

After addition of the agonist, the fluorescence signal was recorded over the next 3 min. The bioactivity exerted by the compounds was expressed as a percentage of inhibition. The percentage scale is defined as follows: 100% inhibition was considered as the relative fluorescence units (RFUs) of the value of the MIN controls in the second injection (antagonist Capsazepine at IC\(_{100}\) = 50 \(\mu\)M) and 0% inhibition was considered as the RFU values of the value of the MAX controls in the second injection (Cooling agent 10 at EC\(_{80}\) = 30 \(\mu\)M). Data from four replicates were analyzed using GraphPad PRISM\textsuperscript{®} software (version 5, GraphPad Software Inc.) to calculate the mean and standard error of the mean, to create sigmoidal concentration-response curves and to calculate IC\(_{50}\) values. Agonist and antagonist concentrations for each calcium mobilization experiment are reported in Table S1.

### Generation of TRMP8 single point mutation variants

Single point mutations were introduced in the hTRPM8-coding sequence, and the mutated TRPM8 was cloned as N-terminal c-myc tagged version into the pcDNA3 expression vector. This was done in that way that the single variations could be easily swapped from the myc-tagged expression construct into the non-tagged TRPM8 wild type expression construct.

The presence of the myc tag did not alter the functionality of TRPM8 receptor (data not shown). The list of the variants is the following: variant 1, t2233g, a2234c (=Y745A); variant 2, a2236g, t2237c (=I746A); variant 3, a2395g, a2396c (=N799A) and variant 4, a2405c (D802A). The cloning project comprised three steps. First of all, a unique XhoI restriction site was inserted by site-directed mutagenesis (SDM) using DNA of the pcDNA3_TRPM8 construct as template. The 0.6 kb DNA fragment between the unique 5′ XhoI (introduced) and 3′ BamHI (already present) restriction sites comprised all positions of the four single point mutations and could be later used for their insertion as well as swapping between myc-tagged and non-tagged TRPM8 versions. In a second step, the c-myc tag sequence was introduced embedded within the TRPM8 coding sequence by PCR primers in two partially overlapping PCRs that were then joined by bridge PCR with the external 5′KpnI and internal 3′EcoRI primers and in the last step the point mutations 1 to 4 were inserted into pcDNA3_c-myc-TRPM8 by exchanging the wild type 5′XhoI-3′BamHI fragment (0.6kb) with each of the corresponding variation fragment derived from synthetic DNA (provided by GeneArt, ThermoFisher Scientific). The myc-tagged constructs were transiently transfected in HEK-293 cells with Lipoectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The pcDNA vectors containing the wt myc-tagged TRPM8 gene and the empty pcDNA3 (mock) were separately transfected into HEK-293 cells as controls. Cells were transfected and seeded on the same day at 17,500 cells/well in poly-D-lysine-coated 384-well plates (MATRIX #4332-CPL). Twenty-four hours after seeding, the activity of transfected TRPM8 receptors was tested using the calcium mobilization assay as described above. The analysis was performed on the basis of \(\Delta F/F^0\) (normalized MAX-MIN), where \(\Delta F\) is the MAX between time points 117 and 182 minus the MIN between time points TP114 and TP116, and \(F^0\) is the basal signal at time point TP0. The obtained data from at least four wells (as replicates) were analyzed with GraphPad PRISM\textsuperscript{®} software.

### Figure 3

Docking results obtained by LiGen on the benchmarking dataset. Distribution of the active compounds within the entire ranking as subdivided into 100 bins, resulting from the Docking campaign targeted in an 8 Å radius sphere around Asp802. Active compounds and enrichment factor (EF) are reported for each top N %.

| Top N % | Compounds | Actives | EF |
|---------|-----------|---------|----|
| 1       | 50        | 18      | 36.0 |
| 2       | 100       | 27      | 27.0 |
| 5       | 250       | 38      | 15.2 |
| 10      | 500       | 41      | 8.2  |
| 20      | 1000      | 43      | 4.3  |
Cold Stimulation Experiment. The cold stimulation assay was performed as previously described. Cells were seeded at 1.5 × 10⁶ cells in a T75 flask in complete medium. When cells were 80% confluent, the medium was removed, and cells were loaded by 5 ml flask of a solution of Screen QuestTM Fluor-8 NW dye (ABD Bioquest, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) added to the flask in a dark environment. Dye-loaded cell flasks were incubated for 45 minutes at room temperature in the dark. The Fluor-8 NW solution was then removed, and 10 ml of Tyrode's buffer (5 mM KCl, 130 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM NaHCO₃, 1 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) was then added to the flasks; cells were detached by pipetting, counted by a cell counter, and then centrifuged and resuspended in Tyrode's buffer at 5 × 10⁶ cells/ml.

Cells were then seeded in 96-well assay plates (MicroAmpTM Optical 96-Well Reaction Plates by Applied Biosystems, S51811-5A, Part No. N801-0560) at 100,000 cells/well; test compounds at 5X concentration were manually added to the wells at a 5 µl volume, and the assay plates were incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes; then, the experiment was performed using an ABI Prism® 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Life Technologies, USA).

The assay plates were initially held at 25°C inside the instrument for 5 minutes, and the signal was recorded for 2 minutes. The temperature was subsequently lowered to sub-physiological levels, and the signal was recorded for 3 minutes. The raw results were analyzed for the extraction of the fluorescence data collected at starting and final temperatures, which were used to calculate a fluorescence difference (ΔF = fluorescence at 25°C - fluorescence at sub-physiological temperature). The analysis was performed by computing ΔF/F0, where F0 is the fluorescence signal at the starting temperature (25°C), IC₅₀ (half maximal concentration) curves were generated by fitting the fluorescence data with a sigmoidal curve equation using GraphPad PRISM® software (version 5, GraphPad Software Inc.). All data point determinations were performed in duplicate before a mean value was calculated, and error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Manual Patch Clamp Experiment. Sixteen hours before an experiment, cells were seeded onto poly-D-lysine-coated glass slides (150,000 cells each) and placed in 6-well plates in antibiotic-free medium. Immediately before experiments, the coated glass slides with seeded cells were washed five times with patch-clamp extracellular solution (145 mM NaCl, 10 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM Glucose, pH 7.4 with NaOH) and then placed into the recording chamber.

The electrophysiology study involved a whole-cell voltage clamp and intracellular solution consisting of 128 mM CsCl, 10 mM EGTA, 0.7 mM CaCl₂, 3 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM HEPES, and 5 mM Na₂ATP at pH 7.2 with CsOH. For the pulse protocols, cells were held at +40 mV, and outward currents were acquired in uninterrupted recording mode. Data were recorded in standard whole-cell voltage experiments performed at room temperature. For data acquisition and further analysis, an EPC10 digitally controlled amplifier was utilized in combination with PATCHMASTER software (HEKA Electronics, Lambrecht, Germany). The EPC10 provides automatic subtraction of capacitive currents by means of the prepulse. The data were filtered at 2.9 KHz (−3 dB, 4-pole Bessel lowpass) and digitized at 100 µs per point. Liquid junction potential showed no correction. The input resistance of the patch pipettes was 2–5 MΩ, and the series resistances were carefully compensated up to 80%. Outward currents elicited by the addition of 50 µM cooling agent 10 or of cooling agent 10 (50 µM) plus compound 1 (300 nM) were recorded.

In vivo experiments in isovolumetric bladder rat model. To assess the activity of compound 1 in preclinical models of painful urologic syndromes as OBS we used an isovolumetric rat bladder animal model. A preliminary PK/ADMET study was conducted to assess the behaviour of compound 1 in vivo (Table S3).

Female Wistar rats (190–250 g) obtained from Charles River Laboratories were housed according to animal welfare guidelines. Rats were housed in a specific-pathogen-free environment under strictly controlled light cycle conditions, and food and water were provided ad libitum. All experimental protocols were carried out by Urosephere in accordance with the European Community Council Directive 86/609/EEC. They were performed in accordance with French legislation concerning the protection of laboratory animals and they were approved by French Ministry for Agriculture and Fisheries which provided a currently valid license for experiments on vertebrate animals to Dr. Philippe Lluel.

For intravenous administration, compound 1 was diluted in vehicle (10% of 2:1 solutol:NMP, 16% buffer, and 74% H₂O) according to body weight to obtain doses of 10 mg/kg. For intravesical administration, compound 1 was diluted at a concentration of 7.56 mg/ml in a solution of 7% 2:1 solutol:NMP, 11.2% buffer, and 81.2% H₂O. Eight rats were used for the control group and eight were used for the treated group.

Rats were anesthetized with urethane (1 g/kg, i.p.), given as a divided dose of 80% initially and 20% 15 min later. After abdominal incision, the ureters were ligated and sectioned. A catheter was placed into the bladder through the urethra. Then, the urethra was ligated at the level of the urinary meatus. For cystometric experiments with intravesical compound 1 administration a bladder catheter was connected via a T-tube to a strain gauge, which was used to measure the intravesical pressure. Isovolumetric bladder contractions were induced by stepwise injections of physiological saline at room temperature (100 µl every 5 min) until stable RBC occurred. After stabilization and a control period of at least 30 min, characterized by stable and reproducible bladder contractions (basal values), the test substance or vehicle was administered by intravesical infusion (1 ml within 5 min). The effects of compound 1 on micturition frequency (MF) (peaks/30 min), time of inhibition (t₅₀), and threshold volume (ml) (volume inducing RBC) were analyzed. The drug effects were evaluated from the end of the administration up to 1 hour after administration. For intravesical administration, compounds were administered intravesically 3 × 100 µl every 5 min. Then, the bladder was filled with 100 µl of saline every 5 min until the occurrence of
rhythmic bladder contraction (RBC) with a maximal volume of 3 mL. When RBC appeared, the intravesical pressure was followed over the next 90 min. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism® 4.02. The results are presented as the mean values ± standard error of the mean58. For all tests, p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

For the intravenous administration results, basal values corresponded to the 30-min period before administration. Basal values of compound 1-treated groups and corresponding vehicle groups were compared using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni test. One-way ANOVA with repeated measures followed by Bonferroni test was used to compare MF basal values with MF values post-administration in each group. Inhibition time and threshold volume in compound 1-treated and corresponding vehicle groups were compared using an unpaired Student’s t-test. For the intravesical administration results, MF values of compound 1-treated and corresponding vehicle groups were compared using two-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni test. Threshold volume values obtained in each group were compared using one-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni test.

Results

SMARTS-based (ligand-based approach) and Docking-based (structure-based approach) virtual screenings. Since both ligand-based and structure-based approaches are limited by their own characteristics we explored the possibility to use a combination of them to obtain a better search for TRPM8 inhibitors in in silico screening of the above cited proprietary library.

The SMARTS strings encoding the derived pharmacophore and those designed to filter undesired moieties were used to screen a corporate library of 124,107 compounds45 (see Supporting Information for details). As reported in Table 1, this analysis resulted in the selection of 6,400 molecules (384-well plates, 320 compounds each plate), and the subsequent HTS analysis revealed that this selected subset included 479 confirmed hits (i.e., with a response score > 50). Hence, the percentage of confirmed hits was 7.48% with an enrichment factor (EF) of 5.35, which was notable compared with the available random hit rate (1.4%) obtained in previous HTS studies. The ratio of scaffolds vs. active compounds of the selected set of compounds was 0.49, which was in line with that obtained by docking simulations (0.42; described further below). This result illustrates that suitably designed SMARTS strings can provide reasonably heterogeneous results that are not heavily constrained by the exploited pharmacophore rules (Table 1). The 10 best-performing SMARTS strings are reported in Table 2; notably, for all the reported strings, the k value was greater than 0, thus confirming that the obtained results were not due to a randomly correct prediction.

As for docking-based virtual screening, using the protocol described in the methods section, we screened the same corporate library of 124,107 compounds used in SMART-based screening (see Supporting Information for details), and the top-ranked 6,400 compounds were selected (the same number of compounds selected by SMARTS-based filtering). These 6,400 molecules were added to the compounds derived from the ligand based strategy, thus yielding a library of 11,725 diverse compounds that were subsequently analyzed by HTS.

Docking simulations indicated that TRPM8 antagonists simultaneously interact with both Tyr745 and Asp802; hence, the beneficial roles of a suitably spaced hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA), which interacts with

| Method        | Compounds | Active | Hit rate | EF  | Active Scaffolds (AS/Compounds) |
|---------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----|---------------------------------|
| Total         | 11725     | 1030   | 8.78     | 6.27| 483 (0.47)                     |
| Ligand-based  | 6400      | 479    | 7.48     | 5.34| 238 (0.49)                     |
| Structure-based| 6400     | 677    | 10.57    | 7.55| 282 (0.42)                     |
| Consensus     | 1075      | 126    | 11.72    | 8.37| 37 (0.29)                      |

Table 1. Major results for the here performed virtual screening campaigns. The enrichment factors refer to a random hit rate equal to 1.40% as obtained by previous HTS campaigns on the same target (unpublished data).

| SMARTS | Total | SMARTS Ratio | EF | SMARTS Positive | SMARTS Negative | K Value* |
|--------|-------|--------------|----|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 1      | 0.014 | 0.4          | 28.57 | 2              | 3              | 0.591    |
| 2      | 0.014 | 0.132        | 9.43  | 177            | 1164           | 0.120    |
| 3      | 0.014 | 0.127        | 9.04  | 10             | 69             | 0.114    |
| 4      | 0.014 | 0.104        | 7.41  | 11             | 95             | 0.091    |
| 5      | 0.014 | 0.093        | 6.66  | 11             | 107            | 0.080    |
| 6      | 0.014 | 0.088        | 6.31  | 25             | 258            | 0.075    |
| 7      | 0.014 | 0.08         | 5.71  | 24             | 276            | 0.067    |
| 8      | 0.014 | 0.079        | 5.67  | 17             | 197            | 0.066    |
| 9      | 0.014 | 0.063        | 4.48  | 14             | 209            | 0.049    |
| 10     | 0.014 | 0.052        | 3.75  | 275            | 4961           | 0.039    |

Table 2. Enrichment Factors (EF) and k values for the 10 SMARTS strings related to the pharmacophoric model (*K values computed as reported by ref.46).
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Figure 4. Naphthyl derivatives. Structure and TRPM8 inhibition values for the naphthyl derivatives identified by the HTS campaign (the %inhibition values are obtained at 10 mM).

Ty2745, and a hydrogen bond donor (HBD) system, which interacts with Asp802 (Fig. 2), were consistent with the conserved pharmacophoric features identified in the proposed ligand-based approach. The first cyclic sys-

tem should mimic the menthol carbon skeleton, whereas the second aromatic ring should approach Asn799. The interactions of both cyclic systems are strengthened by apolar contacts with the surrounding hydrophobic residues.

As reported in Table 1, there is limited overlap between the subsets selected via ligand-based and structure-based approaches: 1075 compounds are common to both sets (approximately 1/6 of the entire subset). This result suggested that SMARTS strings and docking simulations cover different chemical spaces owing to the different criteria through which the two methods select their molecules. Notably, the docking-based subset included 677 confirmed hits with an enrichment factor of 7.55, which was greater than that achieved by SMARTS strings. Interestingly, and contrary to what was expected, the docking-selected library showed chemical vari-

ability, as represented by a ratio of scaffolds per active compound that was comparable to that obtained using SMARTS strings (0.42 vs. 0.49). These results demonstrate that the extension of the pharmacophore overcome the limits of the atom mapping of the SMARTS encoding.

High-Throughput Screening. To confirm the biological activity of the compounds selected by above described in silico screening approaches, we performed a wet-lab HTS analysis of a focused subset of 11,725 compounds. In the primary screen step, all 11,725 compounds were tested once at 10μM. The screening quality parameter Z′ was evaluated for each plate both in the compound addition phase (CA; when the compound was applied to the cells) and in the subsequent target activation phase (TA; when the reference agonist was added at EC80 concentration to open the channel). An assay plate was considered valid in CA if Z′ was greater than 0.4, whereas for TA, Z′ had to be greater than 0.5 (the HTS evaluation strategy is reported in the Supporting Information). Overall, the mean Z′ for TA was 0.77 with a standard deviation of 0.068, whereas for CA, the mean Z′ was 0.88 with a standard deviation of 0.06. Z′ prime calculation is based on the signal distribution of the positive and negative controls (MIN and MAX signals) allocated on fixed well positions on each plate.

Compounds active upon CA (potential agonists) and compounds that exhibited an unusual and rapid increase in the fluorescent signal upon CA (indicating potential auto-fluorescence) were flagged and excluded from further characterization. The threshold for the primary screening hit selection was set at 50% inhibition. The primary screen identified 1727 compounds, corresponding to an impressive hit rate of 14.7%. The selected compounds were next subjected to the hit confirmation phase in which they were tested at three concentrations (1.0, 3, and 10μM) with triplicate data points. Next, on the basis of performance, a response score was computed for each compound (i.e., a weighted score averaging the inhibition percentage values at the three tested concentrations) and was used to rank the hit confirmation data.

In this way, 1,030 compounds were selected for activity determination (IC50 calculations) because they showed response scores >50. During the activity determination assay, full dose-response curves were obtained for eight different concentrations in triplicate. Remarkably, 21 compounds were identified as strong blockers with submicromolar IC50 values and entered a lead optimization process. As summarized in Table 1, the hit confirmation assay yielded an overall hit rate of 8.78, corresponding to an enrichment factor of 6.27. This EF was calculated using a random hit rate of 1.40%, a value that was obtained from previous HTS studies of TRPM8.

Naphthyl derivatives identified as a new class of TRPM8 inhibitors. Among the diverse chemical classes selected by SMARTS-based and docking-based strategies, naphthyl derivatives, resulted in the 21 more active compounds identified from HTS screening. We identified four naphthyl derivatives: one naphthyl derivative with an inhibition percentage greater than 50% (compound 1) and three inactive compounds (compounds 2–4) (Fig. 4). Naphthyl derivatives are a previously undescribed chemical class of TRPM8 inhibitors and are interesting because of their drug-likeness, chemical tractability and potency.

Structure-activity relationships can be inferred through ligand-based speculation; however, the high degree of diversity induced by the screening selection criteria prevented the development of a congenic series (different scaffolds) of the naphthyl compounds, and the multiple points of modification in the structure do not allow clear structure-activity relationships to be derived. Nevertheless, docking simulations using a TRPM8 homology
model were performed for the naphthyl compounds, which enabled some characteristics to be elucidated regarding
the role of the different functional groups on the activity of the compounds (Figure S2).

Figure 2 shows in detail the putative complex for compound 1 within the TRPM8 binding site, and provides
evidence for the key role played by the ionic interaction between the ligand’s ammonium head and Asp802. In
detail, the naphthyl moiety engages the hydrophobic sub-pocket normally occupied by menthol, a region framed
by Tyr745, Ile746, Leu806 and Phe809, and elicits \( \pi-\pi \) stacking and hydrophobic interactions with these residues.
The positively charged amino group strongly interacts with Asp802 via a salt bridge, and the furan ring is located
between Leu750 and Phe794 near the amidic group of Asn799. Interestingly, the inactive naphthyl compounds
also locate in the orthosteric binding site similarly to compound 1, substantially contacting the same key resi-
dues with a common pattern of interactions. However, the major differences common to all inactive compounds
include the substitution of the amine group with amide. These differences led to i) the consequent loss of flex-
ibility of the central region of the molecule (bringing the polar groups) and, above all, ii) positive charge loss,
thus weakening the H-bond with Asp802, which, as demonstrated by single point mutagenesis experiments (see
below), is the key residue for the interaction of this class of molecules.

Pharmacological characterization of compound 1.  
Analysis of binding mode. To investigate the
binding mode of our naphthyl TRPM8 antagonist, we generated four different TRPM8 single point mutants.
The following amino acid positions were selected to be mutated in alanine in separate constructs: Tyr745, Ile746,
Asn799, and Asp802. Asn799 and Asp802 are required for icilin sensitivity in mammalian TRPM861, whereas
Tyr745 and Ile746 are the residues involved in activation by menthol and its related molecules, such as Cooling
agent 1062,63. Activities of icilin and menthol-related molecule Cooling agent 10 were evaluated on appropri-
ate mutant set (Fig. 5A and B). Data obtained from at least two separate experiments were used to calculate the
icilin and Cooling agent 10 EC \(_{50}\) values for each TRPM8 mutant to properly activate them in the subsequent
antagonist-challenge experiments.

As expected, for the Tyr745 and Ile746 mutants, it was not possible to obtain good activation nor by Cooling
agent 10 neither by icilin62,63 (Fig. 5A and B); the Tyr745 mutation completely abolished the activation, whereas
with Ile746, minimal residual activation was still detected. Moreover, mutations of Asn799 and Asp802 in Ala
completely abolished TRPM8 activation by icilin, thus indicating that these amino acids are necessary for icilin
binding41 (Fig. 5A); however, these mutations did not affect either maximal TRPM8 activation or \( \text{EC}_{50} \) in TRPM8
activation by Cooling agent 10 (Fig. 5B). On the basis of these results, the activity of compound 1 was evaluated
for TRPM8 with mutations of the following residues: Ile746 (to assess its ability to inhibit icilin-mediated activation),
and Asn799, Asp802, and Ile746 (to test its ability to inhibit Cooling agent 10 activation).

For the icilin-mediated TRPM8 activation inhibition, the Ile746 substitution significantly (p-value < 0.05)
shifted the pIC \(_{50}\) of compound 1 from 7.2 to 7.7 (Fig. 6A). By contrast, two different phenomena were detected in
inhibiting the activation of cooling agent 10 (Fig. 6B). First, Asp802 substitution markedly affected the inhibition
efficacy of compound 1, shifting its pIC \(_{50}\) from 7.1 to 5.5, almost a 2-fold decrease; second, Asn799 mutation

Figure 5. Effects of individual TRPM8 point mutations on calcium responses induced by cooling agent 10
and icilin. Shown data represent mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) of quadruplicate determinations
of a representative experiment (n = 4). Statistical analysis was performed using unpaired Student’s t test with
GraphPad Prism (95% confidence interval). (A) Dose response curves of icilin on mutant TRPM8 receptors.
The substitutions Y745A, D802A and N799A abrogated activity. The I746A substitution significantly
(p < 0.05) shifted pIC \(_{50}\) of Icilin from 6.6 to 6.9. (B) Dose response curves of Cooling Agent 10 on mutant
TRPM8 receptors. The substitution I746A strongly reduced agonist activity in term of efficacy while D802A
significantly (p < 0.0001) shifted the pIC \(_{50}\) of the agonist from 5.1 to 4.5. Other mutations did not have effects on
Cooling agent 10 activity.
enhanced the potency of compound 1 from a pIC₅₀ of 7.1 to 8. If the former effect was expected, the latter effect was surprising, because only the K856A mutation has been reported result in a gain of function⁶⁴. In this case, the mutation appears to affect the TRPM8 channel sensitivity in chemical and physically related activation.

A possible explanation for the effect of Asn799 was found by examining the results from the docking experiments and homology modeling. In contrast to Asp802, whose carboxylic function clearly interacts with the amine of the ligand, the Asn799 side chain does not bind directly to compound 1. Additionally, docking studies suggested that, in the resting state, Asn799 engages the nearby Asp802 side chain with an intramolecular hydrogen bond; thus, the alanine mutation, by not allowing this interaction, makes Asp802 more available for the interaction with compound 1.

Compound 1 was also tested in schild regression experiments⁶⁵ and compared with icilin and cooling agent (data not shown) to better characterize its mechanism of action. In these experiments, seven points for dose-response curves with icilin at seven different concentrations were analyzed. Schild regression analysis of compound 1 versus icilin suggested an orthosteric binding mechanism, causing a right shift of the icilin dose-response curves at increasing concentrations of antagonist (data not shown).

These experiments demonstrated that compound 1 binds in the ligand binding pocket of TRPM8 contacting Ile746, Asp802 and Asn799. Since we mutated the pocket in which physiological agonists of TRPM8 are described to bind and schild regression experiments revealed a right shift of the icilin dose-response curves, we supposed that compound 1 act as an orthosteric inhibitor.

**Inhibitory activity of compound 1.** In HTS compound 1 resulted a potent inhibitor of wild type TRPM8 so we analyzed its inhibitory behavior in deeper details performing calcium mobilization assays in dose-response with different TRPM8 agonists as icilin, cooling agent 10 or cold. Moreover, we also analyzed the inhibitory activity of compound 1 in experiments with different read out as patch clamp assay.

Compound 1 was found to be a potent inhibitor of TRPM8, showing activity in the nanomolar range in the calcium mobilization assay regardless of the agonist used (pIC₅₀ value of 7.38 with Cooling agent 10 and 7.23 with icilin) (Fig. 7A).

To further validate the TRPM8 blocking behavior of compound 1 we analyzed its behavior towards the physiological stimulus of TRPM8: cold. Increasing concentrations of compound 1 were incubated with HEK-293/ hTRPM8 cells exposed to a temperature decrease from 25 to 14 °C, and Ca²⁺ mobilization was recorded with
In this physiological stimulus assay, compound 1 inhibited TRPM8 activation (Fig. 7B) with a pIC₅₀ of 6.76.

In a second orthogonal assay, TRPM8 inhibition by compound 1 was evaluated by manual patch-clamping. Outward currents were elicited through the addition of Cooling agent 10 at +40 mV in the presence and absence of compound 1. When compound 1 was perfused, the outward current was almost completely blocked, thus suggesting a decrease in TRPM8 activity (Fig. 7C). As expected, after compound 1 was washed out, TRPM8 activity was restored.

Remarkably, compound 1 also showed an excellent selectivity profile toward other members of the TRP channels family, because it was completely inactive on TRPA1, TRPV1 and TRPV4 (Fig. 7D). The three channels selected, TRPV1, TRPA1 and TRPV3, have an integrated functional role in common with TRPM8. Moreover, TRPV1 and TRPA1 have been associated with side effects including burning and hot flushing.

In conclusion, we demonstrated the inhibitory activity of compound 1 with three different stimuli (icilin, cooling agent 10 and cold) and two orthogonal assays (calcium mobilization and manual patch clamp). With all stimuli, the IC₅₀ of compound 1 were similar. Additionally, we demonstrated the selectivity of compound one on clinically relevant related receptors TRPA1, TRPV1 and TRPV4.

**In vivo efficacy of compound 1 in an overactive bladder rat model.** To evaluate the potential of compound 1 in the treatment of urologic painful syndromes TRPM8-related we tested its activity in an isovolumetric rat bladder model analyzing its effect on MF, inhibition time and threshold volume with or without intravenous or intravesical administration of compound 1.

When MF basal values of vehicle-treated and compound 1-treated groups were compared, no significant difference between the values was observed (Fig. 8A). Compound 1 significantly decreased MF 0–30 min post-administration (p < 0.01; one-way ANOVA with repeated measures followed by Newman-Keul test) (Fig. 8A). However, no effect was observed at 30–60 min post-administration. Intravenous administration of vehicle did not modify MF. Additionally, after compound 1 administration, the inhibition time of RBC was significantly higher than the inhibition time observed after vehicle administration (526 ± 106 versus 204 ± 63 sec; p < 0.05; unpaired Student’s t-test) (Fig. 8B). No significant difference between threshold volumes in these two groups was observed.
Intravesical administration of compound 1 (2.268 mg) abolished the occurrence of RBC. Indeed, the maximal volume of filling (3 mL) was reached without the occurrence of RBC. Compared with vehicle, the threshold volume after compound 1 administration was significantly higher (3 mL versus 0.70 ± 0.09 mL) (Fig. 8C).

In conclusion, compound 1 slightly reduced RBC frequency when it was administered by the intravenous route but abolished the occurrence of RBC when it was administered intravesically. These results suggest that this TRPM8 receptor antagonist inhibits the afferent pathway of the bladder.

Discussion

Although more than 100 papers on the TRPM8 ion channel have been indexed in PubMed in the last year, thus revealing the strong interest of the scientific community regarding the role of TRPM8 in many pathological conditions, there is a lack of candidate drugs for further investigation of the potential use of TRPM8 modulators in the clinic.

Notably, most of the available TRPM8 antagonists exhibit an unsuitable selectivity profile, which hampers their clinical applications; consequently, very few compounds have advanced to clinical trials. Only three compounds, PF-05105679 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01393652) from Pfizer, AMG-333 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01953341) from Amgen, and the cannabinoid Cannabidivarin (GWP-42006) (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02365610) from GW Pharmaceuticals, have entered clinical trials. However, PF-05105679 was discontinued because of side effects, and results of the AMG-33 trial have not yet been disclosed even though the study ended in September of 2014. Given this landscape, the naphthyl analogs reported here may provide a good balance of potency and selectivity, thus decreasing unfavorable off-target effects and representing valuable candidates for clinical studies.

Here we used two virtual screening approaches in combination: one ligand-based approach using SMARTS strings as a pharmacophoric filter and one structure-based approach using molecular docking techniques on a validated homology model to identify a novel class of TRPM8 inhibitors with clinics suitable characteristics. Analysis of the screening results highlighted the beneficial effects of combining ligand- and structure-based methods. As detailed in Table 2, the compounds identified by both methods included 126 confirmed hits, thus yielding an enhanced enrichment factor of 8.4. Hence, the two methods, while covering a diverse chemical space, can be successfully combined in a parallel approach, thereby allowing the selection of only compounds able to form the most stable complexes from among the compounds fulfilling the pharmacophore filters. Ligand-based approach are limited by the selection of compounds used to derive the pharmacophore, so is likely that with this approach it would be found molecules similar to those selected at the beginning, on the other hand structure-based approach is limited by a rigid conformation of the receptor which selected only molecules which would fit in such rigid structure. A combined approach covers a wide chemical space and can identify different chemical classes of TRPM8 inhibitors.
With the described combined in silico approach, we identified naphthyl derivatives as a promising novel chemical class of TRPM8 inhibitors. To date, approximately 120 different chemotypes can be enumerated among all known TRPM8 antagonists. These chemotypes include the following examples: benzoxylbenzene derivatives, such as AMT (Bay y745, Cpd 87 (Glennmark, WO2010014035), 4-amidamines, 4-amidinooxazoles, and close analogs, such as BQ-00203078 (RaQualia) and Cpd 7’s (Janssen); AMGEN tetrahydroisoquinolines derivatives; and chromanes and chromene, such as Cpd 8f (Glennmark) and Cannabichromene (GW Pharmaceuticals), respectively; azetidin-2-ones; and diphenylpyrazoles (Kissele, WO2016208602) Naphthyl derivatives have the proper chemical characteristics and tractability to overcome the lead optimization process. The compound 1 lead presented in this paper demonstrated to be potent and selective before any chemical optimization. Moreover, in vivo proof of concept compound 1 had adequate PK/ADME properties and presented a positive outcome in approaching the treatment of painful syndromes in urologic field, thus supporting the further optimization of this compound.

It is noteworthy that compound 1 didn’t have any effect on inhibition of three selected TRP channels, namely TRPV1, TRPA1 and TRPV3. The three channels selected for the selectivity study share with TRPM8 an integrated role in pain, inflammation, and cancer, and they can be co-regulated by proklin.14,15,19. TRPV1, TRPA1, TRPV3 and TRPM8 are expressed in distinct populations of primary afferent neurons and have been demonstrated to mediate hypersensitivity to thermal, mechanical and chemical stimuli after tissue and nerve injury and inflammation.17,21,22. Most TRPV1 neurons also express TRPA1, whereas TRPM8 neurons are different from TRPV1/ TRPA1 fibers.19. The selected receptors have been demonstrated to play a pivotal role in nociception and hence are potential targets of new analgesic therapeutics; however, ligands are often poorly selective toward these TRP receptors. Additionally, because TRPV1 and TRPA1 have been associated with side effects such as burning sensations, the reported side effects because of which PF-05105679 has been retired from clinical testing11,77-80, enhanced selectivity toward these receptors may provide a good starting point for avoiding these adverse effects.

In conclusion, we present a case study of the benefits of a combined in silico approach to increase the enrichment factor of screening campaigns for new lead compounds. Our approach identified a new class of potent and selective TRPM8 inhibitors belonging to the class of naphthyl derivatives that may be developed as clinical candidates because of their favorable pharmacological profiles (potency, efficacy, selectivity and chemical tractability).

References
1. Montell, C. The history of TRP channels, a commentary and reflection. Pflugers Arch 461, 499–506, doi:10.1007/s00424-010-0920-3 (2011).
2. Yudin, Y. & Rohacs, T. Regulation of TRPM channel activity. Mol Cell Endocrinol 353, 68–74, doi:10.1016/j.mce.2011.10.023 (2012).
3. Ramsey, I. S., Delling, M. & Clapham, D. E. An introduction to TRP channels. Annu Rev Physiol 68, 619–647, doi:10.1146/annurev.physiol.68.040204.100431 (2006).
4. Vay, L., Gu, C. & McNaughton, P. A. The thermo-TRP ion channel family: properties and therapeutic implications. Br J Pharmacol 165, 787–801, doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01601.x (2012).
5. Nilius, B. & Owsianik, G. The transient receptor potential family of ion channels. Genome Biol 12, 218, doi:10.1186/gb-2011-12-3-218 (2011).
6. Chung, K. K., Freestone, P. S. & Lipski, J. Expression and functional properties of TRPM2 channels in dopaminergic neurons of the substantia nigra of the rat. J Neurophysiol 106, 2865–2875, doi:10.1152/jn.00994.2010 (2011).
7. McKemy, D. D. The molecular and cellular basis of cold sensation. ACS Chem Neurosci 4, 238–247, doi:10.1021/nn300193h (2013).
8. In TRP Ion Channel Function in Sensory Transduction and Cellular Signaling Cascades Frontiers in Neuroscience (eds W. B. Liedtke & S. Heller) (2007).
9. Babes, A., Ciobanu, A. C., Neacsu, C. & Babes, R. M. TRPM8, a sensor for mild cooling in mammalian sensory nerve endings. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 12, 78–88 (2011).
10. Julius, D. TRP channels and pain. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 29, 355–384, doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101011-155833 (2013).
11. Chung, K. K., Jung, S. I. & Oh, S. B. Role of TRP channels in pain sensation. Adv Exp Med Biol 704, 615–636, doi:10.1007/978-94-007-0265-3_33 (2011).
12. Baron, R. Neuropathic pain: a clinical perspective. Handb Exp Pharmacol, 3–30, doi:10.1007/978-3-540-79090-7_1 (2009).
13. Ciobanu, A. C., Selescu, T., Gasler, I., Soltuzu, L. & Babes, A. Glycolytic metabolite methylglyoxal inhibits cold and menthol activation of the transient receptor potential melanin type 8 channel. J Neurosci Res 94, 282–294, doi:10.1002/jnr.23780 (2016).
14. Straub, R. H. TRPV1, TRPA1, and TRPM8 channels in inflammation, energy redirection, and water retention: role in chronic inflammatory diseases with an evolutionary perspective. J Mol Med (Berl) 92, 925–937, doi:10.1007/s00109-014-1175-9 (2014).
15. Zhu, S. et al. Involvement of transient receptor potential melastatin-8 (TRPM8) in menthol-induced calcium entry, reactive oxygen species production and cell death in rheumatoid arthritis rat synovial fibroblasts. Eur J Pharmacol 725, 1–9, doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2014.01.001 (2014).
16. Potapova, T. A. et al. Association of cold receptor TRPM8 gene polymorphism with blood lipid indices and anthropometric parameters in Shorians. Bull Exp Biol Med 151, 223–226 (2011).
17. Mukerji, G. et al. Cool and menthol receptor TRPM8 in human urinary bladder disorders and clinical correlations. BMC Urol 6, 6, doi:10.1186/1471-2490-6-6 (2006).
18. Jun, J. H. et al. Function of the Cold Receptor (TRPM8) Associated with Voiding Dysfunction in Bladder Outlet Obstruction in Rats. Int Urol Nephrol 46, 69–76, doi:10.1007/s11255-012-0629-9 (2014).
19. Bederson, J. D., Kym, P. R. & Sallaz, A. Targeting TRP channels for pain relief. Eur J Pharmacol 716, 61–76, doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2013.03.003 (2013).
20. Salat, K., Moniczewski, A. & Librowski, T. Transient receptor potential channels - emerging novel drug targets for the treatment of pain. Curr Med Chem 20, 1409–1436 (2013).
21. Perez de Vega, M. J., Gomez-Monterrey, I., Ferrer-Montiel, A. & Gonzalez-Muniz, R. Transient Receptor Potential Melastatin 8 Channel (TRPM8) Modulation: Cool Entryway for Treating Pain and Cancer. J Med Chem, doi:10.2123/acs.jmedchem.6.000305 (2016).
22. Journigan, V. B. & Zaveri, N. T. TRPM8 ion channel ligands for new therapeutic applications and as probes to study menthol pharmacology. Life Sci 92, 425–437, doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2012.03.032 (2013).
23. Ferrer-Montiel, A. et al. Advances in modulating thermosensory TRP channels. Expert Opin Ther Pat 22, 999–1017, doi:10.1517/13543776.2012.713320 (2012).
24. Chaudhari, S. S. et al. Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of novel N-aryl-3,4-dihydro-1H-spiro[chromene-2,4′-piperidine]-1′-carboxamides as TRPM8 antagonists. Bioorg Med Chem 21, 6542–6553, doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2013.08.031 (2013).
34. Braga, R. C. & Andrade, C. H. Assessing the performance of 3D pharmacophore models in virtual screening: how good are they?  
35. Ghemtio, L.  
36. Scior, T.  
37. Kaserer, T., Beck, K. R., Akram, M., Odermatt, A. & Schuster, D. Pharmacophore Models and Pharmacophore-Based Virtual Screening: Concepts and Applications Exemplified on Hydroxyx sterols. Molecules 20, 22799–22832, doi:10.3390/ 
molecules201219880 (2015).  
38. Muegge, I. & Mukherjee, P. An overview of molecular fingerprint similarity search in virtual screening. Expert Opin Drug Discov 11, 137–148, doi:10.1517/17460441.2016.1117070 (2016).  
39. Spyrakis, F. & Cavasotto, C. N. Open challenges in structure-based virtual screening: Receptor modeling, target flexibility consideration and active site water molecules structure. Arch Biochem Biophys 583, 105–119, doi:10.1016/j.abb.2015.08.002 (2015).  
40. Ferreira, L. G., Dos Santos, R. N., Oliva, G. & Andricopulo, A. D. Molecular docking and structure-based drug design strategies. Molecules 20, 13384–13421, doi:10.3390/molecules200713384 (2015).  
41. Wilson, G. L. & Lill, M. A. Integrating structure-based and ligand-based approaches for computational drug design. Future Med Chem 3, 735–750, doi:10.4155/fmc.11.18 (2011).  
42. Bianchi, B. R., Moreland, R. B., Faltynek, C. R. & Chen, J. Application of large-scale transiently transfected cells to functional assays of ion channels: different targets and assay formats. Assay Drug Dev Technol 5, 417–424, doi:10.1089/adt.2006.041 (2007).  
43. Beck, E. J., Hutchinson, T. L., Qin, N., Flores, C. M. & Liu, Y. Development and validation of a secondary screening assay for TRPM8 antagonists using QPatch HT. Assay Drug Dev Technol 8, 63–72, doi:10.1089/adt.2009.0214 (2010).  
44. McMurray, G., Casey, J. H. & Naylor, A. M. Animal models in urological disease and sexual dysfunction. Br J Pharmacol 147(Suppl 2), S62–79, doi:10.1038/bjpharm.2006.115 (2006).  
45. Bietz, S., Schomburg, K. T., Hilbig, M. & Rarey, M. Discriminative Chemical Patterns: Automatic and Interactive Design. J Chem Inf Model 55, 1535–1546, doi:10.1021/ci500323s (2015).  
46. Wilson, G. L. & Lill, M. A. Integrating structure-based and ligand-based approaches for computational drug design. Future Med Chem 3, 735–750, doi:10.4155/fmc.11.18 (2011).
64. Voets, T., Owsianik, G., Janssens, A., Talavera, K. & Nilius, B. TRPM8 voltage sensor mutants reveal a mechanism for integrating thermal and chemical stimuli. Nat Chem Biol 3, 174–182, doi:10.1038/nchembio862 (2007).

65. Kenakin, T. P. The Schild regression in the process of receptor classification. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 60, 249–265 (1982).

66. Andrews, M. D. et al. Discovery of a Selective TRPM8 Antagonist with Clinical Efficacy in Cold-Related Pain. ACS Med Chem Lett 6, 419–424, doi:10.1021/ml500479v (2015).

67. Felekta, V. V. & Marrelli, S. P. Induction of therapeutic hypothermia by pharmacological modulation of temperature-sensitive TRP channels: theoretical framework and practical considerations. Temperature (Austin) 2, 244–257, doi:10.1080/23328940.2015.1024383 (2015).

68. Lashinger, E. S. et al. AMTB, a TRPM8 channel blocker: evidence in rats for activity in overactive bladder and painful bladder syndrome. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 295, F803–810, doi:10.1152/ajprenal.90269.2008 (2008).

69. Brown, A. et al. Serendipity in drug-discovery: a new series of 2-(benzylxoxy)benzamides as TRPM8 antagonists. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 23, 2234–2237, doi:10.1016/j.bml.2013.01.062 (2013).

70. Zhu, B. et al. Arylglycine derivatives as potent transient receptor potential melastatin 8 (TRPM8) antagonists. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 23, 2238–2243, doi:10.1016/j.bcll.2013.08.076 (2013).

71. Tamayo, N. A. et al. Fused piperidines as a novel class of potent and orally available transient receptor potential melastatin type 8 (TRPM8) antagonists. J Med Chem 55, 1593–1611, doi:10.1021/jm2013634 (2012).

72. Borrelli, F. et al. Colon carcinogenesis is inhibited by the TRPM8 antagonist cannabigerol, a Cannabis-derived non-psychotropic cannabinoid. Carcinogenesis 35, 2787–2797, doi:10.1093/carcin/bgu205 (2014).

73. Patil, M. J., Ruparel, S. B., Henry, M. A. & Akopian, A. N. Prolactin regulates TRPV1, TRPA1, and TRPM8 in sensory neurons in a sex-dependent manner: Contribution of prolactin receptor to inflammatory pain. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 305, E1154–1164, doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00187.2013 (2015).

74. Salat, K. & Filipek, B. Antinociceptive activity of transient receptor potential channel TRPV1, TRPA1, and TRPM8 antagonists in neonergic and neuropathic pain models in mice. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B 16, 167–178, doi:10.1631/jzus.B1400189 (2015).

75. Huang, D., Li, S., Dhaka, A., Story, G. M. & Cao, Y. Q. Expression of the transient receptor potential channels TRPV1, TRPA1 and TRPM8 in mouse trigeminal primary afferent neurons innervating the dura. Mol Pain 8, 66, doi:10.1186/1744-8069-8-66 (2012).

76. Tham, J. Y., Li, L., Hasan, R. & Zhang, X. Excitation and modulation of the transient receptor potential channels TRPV1, TRPA1 and TRPM8 in mouse trigeminal primary afferent neurons by the pruritogen chloroquine. J Biol Chem 288, 12818–12827, doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.509072 (2013).

77. Perez de Vega, M. J., Gomez-Monterrey, I., Ferrer-Montiel, A. & Gonzalez-Muniz, R. Transient Receptor Potential Melastatin 8 (TRPM8) antagonists. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 13, 167–178, doi:10.2174/157015908784533888 (2008).

78. Brown, A. Serendipity in drug-discovery: a new series of 2-(benzylxoxy)benzamides as TRPM8 antagonists. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 23, 2234–2237, doi:10.1016/j.bcll.2013.01.062 (2013).

79. Kwan, K. Y. & Corey, D. P. Burning cold: involvement of TRPA1 in noxious cold sensation. Mol Pain 8, 55, doi:10.1186/1744-8069-8-55 (2012).

80. Premkumar, L. S. & Sikand, P. TRPV1: a target for next generation analgesics. J Med Chem 59, 3739–3760, doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00305 (2016).

81. Borrelli, F. TRP channel modulation: cool entryway for treating pain and cancer. J Med Chem 59, 10006–10029, doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b00305 (2016).

82. White, J. P., Urban, L. & Nagy, I. TRPV1 function in health and disease. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 13, 120–129, doi:10.2174/157015908784533888 (2008).

83. Premkumar, L. S. & Sikand, P. TRPV1: a target for next generation analgesics. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 13, 120–129, doi:10.2174/157015908784533888 (2008).

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Programma Operativo Nazionale “Ricerca & Competitività” 2007–2013 (PON “R&C”) - PON01_00862. We thank Candida Cesta for critical review of the paper and Candida Manelli for technical support.

Author Contributions

A.R.B., M.G., and G.V. conceived the idea for the project, wrote the paper, and revised the data. N.M. and M.F. performed the ligand-based virtual screening. M.L.M. and A.P. performed the structure-based virtual screening and analyzed and revised the data and the paper. A.M., C.N., S.B., L.Z., A.R., and A.T. performed the biological experiments and statistical analyses of the biological data. L.B. supervised in vivo experiments and C.L. supervised the biological experiments.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41598-017-11194-0

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher’s note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.