Port Metabolism and Benefits of Green Zones in City-Port Systems
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Abstract

Climate change has produced new challenges for port infrastructures, requiring the planning of long-term mitigation measures and adaptation actions. In order to be able to orient projects, it is necessary to read ports as complex systems and to understand the dependencies and flows between their parts, and the interaction with city and with environment. Among project actions, the inclusion of green areas provides both mitigation and adaptation benefits.
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Introduction

In the world there is a high concentration of urban settlements along the coast. Several cities have developed over the centuries, finding in the sea a vital element both in urban culture and in socio-economic and productive growth. Urban settlements have progressively consumed soils and resources, depriving and degrading space from the natural environment [1,2]. Ports are key elements in regional and sub-regional economies. In 2005, thirteen of the twenty most populated cities in the world were port cities, such as Shanghai which is also one of the world’s largest ports in terms of cargo traffic; trends show how this tendency is accelerating over the years due to globalisation and international trade mechanisms [3]. Dense urbanisation and high concentration of assets make coastal areas highly vulnerable in environmental and climate terms, at the same time urban areas are the main contributors to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [4]. In this scenario, port cities represent critical hot spots, since at the waste produced by urban metabolism must be added that produced by port metabolism [5].

Over the last few years there has been an increased consciousness of the effects of urban metabolism on the environment [6]. Cities, in fact, transform natural resources, such as raw materials, fuel and water; into the urban built environment, human biomass, and finally waste [7]. The metabolic perspective can support decision-makers in understanding the urban system and support urban planning, with a focus on resources and waste reduction, for sustainable urban development [8]. In this scenario, the concept of ecosystem service, referring to the integration of green areas, can support and make operational the models and theoretical studies on urban metabolism to improve their transferability to urban planning, influencing decision-making processes and design practices [9].

Today, economic infrastructures are called to respond urgently to the challenges imposed by climate change through mitigation and adaptation measures. Port areas have impacts on the natural environment degrading air, water, soil and sediment quality, damaging natural habitat ecosystems and biodiversity, consuming soils and resources, and producing waste, odours and noise [10]. In the climate context, greenhouse gas emissions are a highly critical element accelerating the dynamics of climate change and global warming, contributing to the increase in the intensity and frequency of natural hazards. In the port context, GHG emissions arise from ship discharges during navigation and docking, from the use and maintenance of buildings and open spaces, from equipment for handling and loading cargo, and finally from the use of heavy vehicles and/or railway systems [11]. About 70% of GHG emissions from the maritime sector occur in coastal areas [12].

Climate change has created new challenges for ports, producing both risks and opportunities for competitive
In urban areas, nature-based solutions – defined by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as actions to protect, sustainably manage and restore natural or anthropic ecosystems – decrease vulnerability and improve climate resilience by mitigating causes and reducing impacts through adaptation actions, also offering as valid solutions for port areas [13]. In terms of environmental management – understood as the functional organisation for environment protection and sustainable development – the European Sea Ports Organisation (ESPO) identifies every year the ten priorities for European port systems. Since 2017, climate change has been included, while air quality has always been a highly critical element accompanied by energy consumption, recently translated into energy efficiency, and problems related to noise and dredging [14].

In port areas climate mitigation aims to reduce and control emissions, the main strategies identified are the absorption of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) through the inclusion of specific natural ecosystems such as mangrove plantations, rather than traditional hard breakwater, the promotion and use of clean and renewable energies, the energy efficiency of processes and systems and finally the promotion of sustainable transport and mobility systems for employees, passengers and cargo traffics [15,16].

**Discussion**

Despite the increasing diffusion of international agendas, ordinances and protocols, the short-term objectives of port governance favour the most obvious and immediate socio-economic aspects. The short duration of governance mandates means that climate aspects are often not so much considered, except in terms of physical impacts on infrastructure, or functional impacts on operations and workforce.

To understand the way to intervene for climate risk management and for the orientation of climate mitigation and adaptation actions in port systems are necessary to analyse infrastructures as complex structures, composed of systems, subsystems and components. City and port systems are in fact composed of multiple subsystems such as the transport or the energy subsystems, which are themselves composed of multiple components. Between the parts there are direct and indirect flows and dependencies. It is this complexity that makes ports highly vulnerable [17,18] (Figure 1).

A functional block diagram can support the analysis of operational flows and dependencies within the port infrastructure. This type of analysis also highlights the complex interactions between the environment, the city and the port and the impacts of the port’s functionality and existence on the consumption of natural resources and soils, and the degradation of water, air, soil and/or sediment. As highlighted, the city-port interface is dense of interactions, highlighting the need of porosity and permeability to allow the development of activities and the flow of energy, goods and people. At the same time, the port-city limits are highly critical areas also in environmental terms. Recent studies on the port of Alicante show that the highest levels of PM$_{10}$ derive from the handling operations, mainly of liquid bulk, and from road traffic occurring in the port, the influence on the city area depends on meteorological conditions, in particular on the direction and intensity of the wind. In order to improve air quality, action must be taken in the port area by intervening on the most polluting processes, but also through more extensive measures [19].

Climate mitigation requires medium and long-term planning measures, going beyond the spatial confines of the port territory relating to urban scales, and the temporal limits of port authority mandates, requiring more holistic and long-term strategic objectives. Adaptation actions, which relate to shorter timescales, are at the same time an opportunity to increase competitiveness through improved flows and activities and more efficient management of both natural and human-induced hazards and by improving and optimising the life cycle of physical infrastructures.
The naturalisation of the port could also allow access to specific solutions should become part of port planning and design, and term climate scenarios. Functional block diagrams can be used to complexity of the infrastructure and move towards medium-long-term governance and sustainable development. In order to manage these processes, it is necessary to understand the cyclical production and consumption processes. In order to work on the port-city organisation to promote metabolism, modifying the liner processes that characterise strategic and long-term governance and sustainable development maritime transport system but of undertaking more holistic, The aim is not simply to accompany the energy transition of the Climate change imposes new challenges on port infrastructures. Investments are being made in both economic and social terms. added to the urban metabolism. Ports are a vulnerable area where when ships are docked in ports, since the port metabolism is avoided under sensor points of the port area reveals how the values have drastically decreased over the years, complying the permissible limit values since 2013. In the Port Vell area, which is adjacent to the Ciutat Vella, the values have complied with the standards for urban areas since 2008 [25].

Conclusion

Port areas are critical hot spots in urban systems, especially when ships are docked in ports, since the port metabolism is added to the urban metabolism. Ports are a vulnerable area where investments are being made in both economic and social terms. Climate change imposes new challenges on port infrastructures. The aim is not simply to accompany the energy transition of the maritime transport system but of undertaking more holistic, strategic and long-term governance and sustainable development processes, modifying the liner processes that characterise metabolism, working on the port-city organisation to promote cyclical production and consumption processes. In order to manage these processes, it is necessary to understand the complexity of the infrastructure and move towards medium-long-term climate scenarios. Functional block diagrams can be used to understand the interactions between environment, port and city.

Among climate actions, the incorporation of nature-based solutions should become part of port planning and design, and the benefits would be multiple in both direct and indirect aspects. The naturalisation of the port could also allow access to specific programs, funds and defiscalisation plans on a national and international scale, allowing competitive development.
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