Multivariance solutions for designing new levels of coal mines
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Abstract
In this article, variants of technological designing of new mining enterprise levels for obtaining the skills of engineering task execution are considered. The complex approach for the justification of a mine’s parameters is examined. The combinations of quantitative and qualitative parameters for designing new coal-face and preparation works, transport, ventilation, and draining-out of gases, pumping, and mineral deposits while keeping cost reduction in mind for all stages of mining enterprise operations are presented. The obtained results allow us to lay the foundations for optimizing the parameters of the development system and the rational order for the coal reserves, under the changing mining and geological conditions of coal field deposit development. Conclusions regarding the implementation of the multivariance solutions in new coal mine level designing are made on the basis of undertaken investigations. The obtained results with sufficient accuracy in a practical application can be used to determine the development of new coal mine levels.
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1. Introduction

Coal is the main fossil fuel used in the power generation. At the same time, coal is the most abundant energy resource and will continue to be the dominant energy source for the next 20-40 years, but in the Ukraine even up to 50-60 years (Piwniak et al., 2005; Petlovanyi et al., 2018). The analysis of coal deposit development under modern conditions shows the necessity of new solutions for a line of problems to provide safety in mine exploitation, complex development of mineral resources and protection of the environment (Vivcharenko, 2012; Bondarenko et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2019; Kovalevska et al., 2019).

Assessment of new coal mine levels at the stage of their design, development and operation should take into account the current and future needs of the national economy (Piwniak et al., 2007). From this point of view, the volume of reserves and mineral content in them play the main role (Griadushchiy et al., 2007). Today, the world community determines the conditions of ecological and rational basis for natural resource development (Murray 1997; Jain et al., 2016; Petlovanyi 2019b). New engineering solutions, novel scientific and technological achievements, and the analysis of production experience all create a good basis for us to prototype mining facilities (Malanchuk et al., 2019a).

Further development of coal seams also requires a competent approach to the designing of mining operations and planning new levels (Kalybekov et al., 2019; Galiyev et al., 2019). All techno-economic indicators taken for calculation should be comparable in their ap-
The qualitative and quantitative parameters of the mine reflect these technological links. It is not difficult to establish this according to the technological schemes and methods of opening-up of a mine and ventilation, the preparation and transport schemes, the mining and security methods and maintaining excavations and the types of hoisting, etc. (Bondarenko et al., 2014; Stupnik et al., 2015; Altkazinova et al., 2016; Kalinichenko et al., 2018).

Interrelationships of the quantitative parameters, such as the mine thickness with the number of working faces and the loading on one face, the speed of carrying out the excavations with the speed of working faces, the cross-sections of the excavations with the load on the working face, the amount of air supplied to the mine (the parameters of the mine fans), with the productivity rate of the mine, the size of the excavation sites with the productivity and reliability of technical facilities, etc. are clear (Pivnyak et al., 2013; Petlovanyi et al., 2019b).

Accordingly, a characteristic feature of the technological nature of the mining enterprise is the direct relationship between production processes and mining operations (see Fig. 1), of all elements of the technological scheme.

The qualitative parameters of the mine are designed to provide certain quantitative parameters, which, in turn, require appropriate constructive and spatial properties of the qualitative parameters (Naduty et al., 2016; Dreus et al., 2016; Malanchuk et al., 2019b). From this, it follows that the change or replacement of any qualitative or quantitative parameter will affect the other technological parameters of the mine directly interconnected with it in one way or another. This influence can be cen-

Figure 1: Block diagram of operation processes technological connection; solid graphs denote continuous connections, dotted graphs represent discrete ones.
tered around most of the mining parameters and covered by the main elements of technology.

Economic indicators of the mine, depending directly or indirectly to a greater or lesser extent on all qualitative and quantitative parameters, are also assessed for their profitability which leads to changes in the mine’s parameters (Wachowicz, 2010; Bilan et al., 2017).

Let us take, for example, the case of the mechanical longwall set of equipment implementation using the barrier method. Possessing high productivity, the longwall set of equipment will provide a high speed of working face advance. There is a need for a significant advance in the carrying out of preliminary developments, and in the worst case to change over the combined longwall method. This leads to an increase in the speed of carrying out preliminary developments, moreover the conditions and costs for maintaining them are also changed.

From the point of view of the expensive complex effective usage, it is necessary to take into account the mineable ore, i.e. to increase the dimensions of extraction pillars and, possibly, face length to 1000–1500 m and more (Stupnik 2014 et al.; Makarov, 2017). At the same time, an increase in the working face load will require an increase in the throughput of transport and ventilation excavations, which is possible when replacing vehicles and increasing the cross-sections of excavations (Malanchuk et al., 2016). It will be necessary to increase the amount of air supplied to the working faces and development headings, i.e. increase the cross-section of general wind roadways and, possibly, upgrade or replace the mine fan (Tien, 2002; Golinko et al., 2012).

Such a relationship between the qualitative and quantitative parameters of the mine, its technological links and schematic solutions can be presented not only in technical and technological terms (Khomenko et al., 2017), but also in an economic manner (Vagonova and Volosheniuk, 2012). The economic advantages of one solution can simultaneously lead not only to advantages, but also to disadvantages in other solutions. At the same time, technological or economic advantages and disadvantages are exerted at different times (Buszko, 2009; Malanchuk, 2018).

In connection with this, the estimation for any changing parameter outside the connection with other parameters turns out to be biased and inaccurate. Proceeding from the interdependence of design solutions, the mine design is considered as a single process of all project sections and task implementation, based on a complex method of their simultaneous individual parameters’ application conditions and requirements.

3. Methodological approach

The complex optimization method of decision making on the basis of mine mathematical representation as a whole and the use of computers is used by modern design to justify the parameters of the mines in conventional mining (Haiko, 2019) as well as unconventional mining including new methods of resource development (Lozynskyi et al., 2018a; Lozynskyi et al., 2018b; Sai et al., 2019) such as the recently widely developed technology of reclamation (Hu et al., 2014; Rysbekov et al., 2019; Kalybekov et al., 2019).

The implementation of the complex optimization method of decision making makes the collaborative parameter optimization of the coal mine possible, such as (thickness, service life, allotment size), opening schemes, preparation and mining methods, ventilation and transport schemes with simultaneous determination of rational cuts of mine roadway network, mechanical means and others (Sontamino and Drebenstedt, 2014; Hu et al., 2014).

The simultaneous study of any design solution’s influence, the level and importance of any mine parameter and individual elements of the technological scheme on the generalized performance criterion give grounds for finding and choosing the most economical and technically feasible parameter (Sarycheva et al., 2003). The water filtration problem in conjunction with rock massif stability should also be taken into account (Moshynsky, 2001; Chui et al., 2018; Kuzlo et al., 2018; Bomba et al., 2018). At the same time, the complex mining parameters’ optimization turns into a complex task, both logically and mathematically, especially if one starts from the idea of a mine as a developing object. Obviously, for different mine parameters and elements of its technological schemes, the solution’s approval form at the neighboring stages will not be the same. Optimizing the stages and determining the optimal mine development path with all possible quantitative and qualitative parameters is not realistic because of the huge scale of the model, the insufficient accuracy of the initial information at the remote stages, etc. (Shashenko et al., 2009; Vladyko et al., 2012; Bondarenko et al., 2013).

It is possible to determine the best method of mine development, and the evolution of its qualitative parameters under certain optimal quantitative parameters (Wang et al., 2019; Sribna et al., 2019). However, the very definition of the optimum quantitative mining parameters and technological scheme elements are possible only after determining the qualitative parameters and, in particular, the optimal mine development path. A logical sequence that makes the optimization procedure really acceptable and simple through a step-by-step design, results in a method of consistent harmonization-optimization.

The application of this method is caused both by logical difficulties and optimization algorithm calculations. As is well known (Kolokolov et al., 2005), coal reserve development can be represented as the transition of a mine from the state $S_{0}$ to the state $S_{n}$ with the help of some controls:
of states (the optimal mine development way), which is a function of the optimal parameters; which depend on the optimal method of mine development:

\[ s^* \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow s_i^* \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow s_{m}^* = f\left\{ X_i^*; X_{i'}^*; X_{i''}^* \right\}. \]  

(4)

\[ s_i^* \in \left\{ S_i \right\}; \quad \left\{ X_i^*; X_{i'}^*; X_{i''}^* \right\} \in \left\{ X_i; X_{i'}; X_{i''} \right\}. \]  

(5)

\[ \left\{ X_i; X_{i'}; X_{i''} \right\} = f\left\{ S_0 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow S_i \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow S_m \right\}. \]  

(6)

To transfer the system from one optimal state to another, it is necessary to apply the optimal control, the value and the form of which are also individuated from the set \( U^0 \). As a result, the problem is difficult to solve, since multiplying combinations from sets by the set \( U^0 \) gives an enormous number of system states variants.

Thus, the intractable task of optimizing a stage-by-stage design model as a whole becomes solvable when it is divided into two connected sequential parts. The first part of the task is performed with the primary application of logical justification methods, the engineering analysis of a significant number of competing parameter combinations, while completing the task requires great human participation in the preparation of the initial data and in the calculations.

The sequential searching and selection of qualitative and quantitative parameters do not abolish the complex approach to their justification. The interrelations between them are taken into account at both stages of the solution of the problem as a whole.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Multivariate solutions in coal mine design

The mine as an operating mining enterprise is a set of many interrelated production processes and operations carried out with the help of machines and mechanisms according to a certain technological scheme. The general production processes interface circuit in a single continuous interaction through excavations and mechanization which means they can be defined as a technological scheme of the mine. Its main elements are opening and
preparing excavations, pit bottoms, pumping, transformer and other pits, transport and wind roadways, machines, stands and mechanisms (see Fig. 2).

Therefore, the technological scheme variant development comes down to the formation of qualitatively different combinations: the method and the scheme of opening, the method and scheme of preparation, the scheme of ventilation, the scheme of transport and hoisting in the whole representing a certain scheme. Such a multitude of technological chain variants, qualitatively different on any element, will be called a set of calculated variants. It is convenient to present this set of designed technological scheme variants of the mine in the form of block diagrams (see Fig. 3), thus providing clarity. In addition, such block diagrams display many competing variants, increasing the objectivity of their joint and complex comparison.

A lot of technological and areal-geological factors affect the overall topology (spacing arrangement) of all excavations, the general layout of the mechanization means and equipment in the mine. A generalized picture of the drill in excavations, the main transport-lifting and ventilation means a location is provided with a method and opening schemes. The general topology of the preliminary developments (main or level drift, braking inclines and slopes, cable line passes, air pits, etc.) is predetermined by the preparation method and layout, as well as the arrangement of transport means in the mine field, the placement of energy supplies and others.

Figure 3: Block diagram of the design case formation

Figure 2: Block diagram of the mine technological scheme main elements; total arrowed line – rigid connections, dotted ones – connections, taking into account some influence on the choice of solutions
The design solution for any of the listed elements of the technological scheme, leading to constructive and areal features, to different location of excavations, various mechanization means implementation, the order of mining, etc., is a qualitative parameter (characteristic) of the mine.

4.2 Design of the technological scheme of mineral deposits for underground mining

The technological scheme consists of both individual elements and subsystems. Its condition is characterized by qualitative and quantitative parameters.

Qualitative parameters include:
– scheme and method of opening;
– scheme and method of allotment preparation;
– ventilation and transport schemes;
– development system;
– means of production processes mechanization;
– procedure of extraction and excavation sites.

Quantitative parameters include:
– mining enterprise capacity;
– load on the working face;
– sizes of working faces, level drifts, panels, levels;
– the number of simultaneous working faces on mineral deposits (seams), level, panel or block.

The technological system setting or the mining and geological characteristics is changed discretely and its state is determined over time (see Fig. 4). The setting state depends on the areal location of the reserves. Their variability is of an accidental nature because of insufficient study degree by geological methods. Mining and geological conditions have a direct impact on the effective functioning of the technological scheme with both qualitative and quantitative parameters, such as:
– the allotment configuration and its dimensions;
– its location and mineral deposit (seam) quantity;
– distribution of reserves in the allotment;
– hypsometry of mineral occurrence, their thickness and angle of occurrence;
– the depth of mining;
– gas content;
– susceptibility to gas-dynamic phenomena;
– physical and mechanical properties of the massif and mineral resources.

The technological system setting of underground coal mining varies discretely. Its state is determined over time and depends on the areal location of reserves. Designers should learn to keep an open mind, without focusing on stereotypes, but they should apply various methods of options, while keeping a few options for the preparation of new excavation fields. For example, according to the classical method when designing coal reserve mining with long faces for an uprising with direct airing, it is necessary to have two permanent workings at the top of the level, and two below. Using a comprehensive approach, the main surveyor and the chief technologist are challenged according to the completeness of the minerals extraction, layout across the pitch or rise of seams with the formation of topological networks of ex-
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5. Conclusions

When designing new levels of coal mines, it is possible to take into account all influencing factors only when modeling a technology system with logical and mathematical dependencies that describe physical phenomena. A systematic approach to multivariance solutions are taken into consideration in mining design, regarding the main influencing factors, which contributes to the direct orientation of the technological scheme choice in their diversity.

Multivariance solutions in new coal mine level designing, which are based on the theoretical, methodological and organizational basis for mine design and their technological elements, methods of optimal parameters for the further development of mines, in which the interaction of working face and preliminary development, transport and hoisting, ventilation and power supply, management apparatus, maintenance service lead to a highly efficient operation of the entire enterprise.

The described aspects indicate that an essentially new approach for finding multivariance solutions in designing new levels of coal mines is needed and should be adopted for coal resource development.
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SAŽETAK

Multivarijantna rješenja u dizajniranju novih horizonata u rudnicima ugljena

U radu su opisana različita tehnoška rješenja kod projektiranja novih rudničkih horizonata, a kojima se povećava uspješnost inženjerskih zadataka prilikom iskapanja. Navedeni je pristup složena kombinacija kvantitativnih i kvalitativnih parametara s ciljem pripreme novoga radilišta (otkopa), potrebnih radova, prijevoza, prozračivanja, odvoda plinovra te crpljenja. Tako se omogućuje ušteda tijekom svih faza pripreme i rudarenja. Rezultati su omogućili optimizaciju varijabli razradnoga sustava te racionalnu kategorizaciju rezervi ugljena, čak i tijekom promjene rudarskih i geoloških uvjeta. Na temelju istraživanja donose se zaključci o načinu primjene takvih multivarijantnih rješenja u novim rudnicima. Rezultati su s dovoljnom točnošću potvrdili praktičnu primjenu toga modela kod planiranja novih horizonata.

Ključne riječi: rudnici ugljena, multivarijantnost, tehnološki planovi
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