Impacts of Multiple Stressors on a Benthic Foraminiferal Community: A Long-Term Experiment Assessing Response to Ocean Acidification, Hypoxia and Warming
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Ocean chemistry is changing as a result of human activities. Atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2) concentrations are increasing, causing an increase in oceanic pCO2 that drives a decrease in oceanic pH, a process called ocean acidification (OA). Higher CO2 concentrations are also linked to rising global temperatures that can result in more stratified surface waters, reducing the exchange between surface and deep waters; this stronger stratification, along with nutrient pollution, contributes to an expansion of oxygen-depleted zones (so called hypoxia or deoxygenation). Determining the response of marine organisms to environmental changes is important for assessments of future ecosystem functioning. While many studies have assessed the impact of individual or paired stressors, fewer studies have assessed the combined impact of pCO2, O2, and temperature. A long-term experiment (~10 months) with different treatments of these three stressors was conducted to determine their sole or combined impact on the abundance and survival of a benthic foraminiferal community collected from a continental-shelf site. Foraminifera are well suited to such study because of their small size, relatively rapid growth, varied mineralogies and physiologies. Inoculation materials were collected from a ~77-m deep site south of Woods Hole, MA. Very fine sediments (<53 µm) were used as inoculum, to allow the entire community to respond. Thirty-eight morphologically identified taxa grew during the experiment. Multivariate statistical analysis indicates that hypoxia was the major driving factor distinguishing the yields, while warming was secondary. Species responses were not consistent, with different species being most abundant in different treatments. Some taxa grew in all of the triple-stressor samples. Results from the experiment suggest that foraminiferal species’ responses will vary considerably, with some being negatively impacted by predicted environmental changes, while other taxa will tolerate, and perhaps even benefit, from deoxygenation, warming and OA.
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INTRODUCTION

The oceans are undergoing significant environmental change. The average sea surface temperature (SST) has increased over the last century (e.g., Hansen et al., 2006), rising atmospheric partial pressure of carbon dioxide (pCO₂) is lowering the pH of the oceans (Doney et al., 2009), and the extent and intensity of low-oxygen (i.e., hypoxic) bottom waters is growing, at least in certain regions (e.g., Rabalais et al., 2007; Stramma et al., 2010; Capone and Hutchins, 2013; Altiere and Geden, 2015). The biological and ecological impacts of these ongoing changes—warming, ocean acidification (OA), and hypoxia—on marine organisms are not yet fully understood.

Great progress has been made understanding the responses of organisms to each of these stressors over the past two decades (e.g., see reviews by Levin et al., 2009; Mathis et al., 2015; Gobler and Baumann, 2016; Smale, 2020). Because many environmental factors typically co-vary in nature, single-stressor studies may not be the best predictor of species’ future responses. Also, different stressors can have different impacts on any given organism: they may be additive, synergistic (greater than additive), or antagonistic (offsetting) (reviewed by Breitburg et al., 2015). For instance, one stressor can be beneficial to reproduction of an organism while another can be detrimental to reproduction. In that situation, such antagonistic stressors may have no net impact on reproduction of that organism. To enable better predictions of future organism and ecosystem responses to ongoing global change, analysis of multiple stressors is critical.

Foraminiferal protists are good candidates to study differential responses to OA and hypoxia because the class includes calcareous and non-calcareous species (Sen Gupta, 1999) as well as aerobes and anaerobes (e.g., Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006). Many marine organisms that secrete carbonate structures have been shown to be negatively impacted by OA (e.g., Courtney et al., 2013; Kroeker et al., 2013; Diner et al., 2015) and the majority of calcareous foraminifera subject to OA manipulations showed a decrease in calcification (e.g., shell normalized weight, growth rate, wall thickness) with increased pCO₂ (reviewed by Keul et al., 2013). From the perspective of oxygen stress, few eukaryotic taxa have both aerobic and anaerobic representatives. While generally thought to be aerobes, foraminifera are known to survive anoxia for extended periods (e.g., Bernhard and Reimers, 1991; Bernhard and Alve, 1996; Moodley et al., 1998; Langlet et al., 2013; Nardelli et al., 2014), with many species able to perform deminification (Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006; Koho et al., 2011; Bernhard et al., 2012; Woehle et al., 2018; Orsi et al., 2020).

Many studies have documented foraminiferal response to a single environmental stressor or two stressors (reviewed in Keul et al., 2013; Doo et al., 2014). These studies have addressed single species responses in lab experiments (Nigam et al., 2008; Dissard et al., 2010; Guamán-Guevara et al., 2019), single species in field assessments (e.g., Uthicke and Fabricius, 2012) or multiple species in lab cultures (OA, Haynert et al., 2014), or in field settings (temperature and salinity; Titelboim et al., 2016) (pH, salinity, oxygen; Charrieau et al., 2018a). Because of their conspicuous presence on tropical reefs, perhaps most notable climate change stressor impact studies are those on Larger Benthic Foraminifera (LBF) (Sinutok et al., 2011; Uthicke and Fabricius, 2012; Schmidt et al., 2014; Botté et al., 2020; Oron et al., 2020), which are mm-scale species that typically host algal symbionts. These foraminifera are major contributors to calcification in coral reef and tropical settings (e.g., Langer, 2008). Additional studies of other representatives of the vastly diverse benthic foraminiferal community are warranted to assess climate change impacts to deeper water and/or non-tropical habitats.

Attributing the impacts of climate change on each environmental parameter from field studies are difficult given that many parameters co-vary in the environment. Laboratory-based multiple stressor studies are a way to vary environmental parameters individually to better differentiate impacts. To date, few multiple-stressor laboratory studies on foraminifera have been published. A dual-stressor experiment showed that some calcareous foraminifera are able to survive when carbonate was undersaturated (i.e., Ω < 1) only if salinities approached marine values as opposed to brackish waters (Charrieau et al., 2018b). In this contribution, we focus on so-called smaller benthic foraminifera rather than LBFs or planktonic foraminifera, both of which often have photosynthetic symbionts that may impact their response to environmental changes.

Because there will be ecological “winners and losers” in response to global change (Fabricius et al., 2011), analysis of an entire community or ecosystem might be expected to provide additional insights into future community/ecosystem structuring. We employed the “propagule approach” (Goldstein and Alve, 2011) that exposed an entire “juvenile” foraminiferal community to experiment manipulations. Because these propagules are small (e.g., < 53 µm) and likely transported by currents (Alve and Goldstein, 2010), the propagule bank is composed of both endemic as well as exotic species. If the environment changes, only the propagules that are able to grow in those particular conditions will ultimately compose the living “adult” assemblage. In sum, propagules provide a rapid-response mechanism to environmental perturbations, thereby conferring resilience to this important microeukaryote group.

Applying the propagule approach imposes minimal stress prior to the experiment: most prior foraminiferal environmental-response studies used larger (“adult”) specimens, individually separated from sediments prior to experiment initiation. Depending on the richness of the sample, such isolations can take days to weeks, potentially introducing laboratory artifacts. Goldstein and Alve (2011) performed a multistressor study assessing impacts of salinity, temperature and disturbance on foraminiferal propagules; their results showed differential response of their intertidal propagule inoculum.

Here, we describe results from a long-term (~10 month) experiment on New England-shelf benthic foraminifera where oxygen, pCO₂, and temperature were variables, to mimic a range from pre-Industrial pCO₂ to present day pCO₂ to predicted end-of-the-century pCO₂, aerated to hypoxic conditions, and 2°C warming. While the life span of benthal benthic foraminifera is unknown, rapid growth over 3 months in field populations (Corliss and Silva, 1993) implies life spans on the order of 6–12 months. Thus, benthal benthic foraminifera are good candidate
organisms for lab-based incubation studies similar to ours. We show that, while two taxa were ubiquitous across all treatments and samples, resulting foraminiferal assemblages differed among treatments, indicating some species will likely fare better than others as climate change continues.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Field Sampling**

All sediment and seawater samples were collected from the R/V Endeavor in May 2013 at one ~77 m deep site, informally called the “Mud Patch,” on the New England continental shelf [centered approximately at 41°30’N, 70°30’W (Bothner et al., 1981)]. Bottom waters, which were collected from within 5 m of the seafloor using a CTD-O2-equipped Niskin rosette, were analyzed for total alkalinity and Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) as described and reported by Wit et al. (2016). Bottom-water [O2] was 5.9 mL/L (n = 3; Wit et al., 2016). Additional bottom water was transferred from Niskin bottles into 20-L Cubitainers® and chilled in a temperature-controlled environmental van (7°C). Water-column conductivity, temperature, and oxygen profiles were obtained on the same casts performed for bottom-water collections.

Sediments were obtained with a Soutar boxcorer. The top ~2 cm of each box core was siphoned into a stack of serially finer sieves (500, 125, 90, 53 µm) and sieved using chilled bottom water dispensed via a pressurized container (typical gardener's herbicide dispenser). Each sediment-size fraction along with bottom water was kept separately in sealable plastic tubes, which were stored in the environmental van. The same size fractions from 2 to 3 boxcores were pooled into one container. At the end of the cruise, these samples were transported chilled to WHOI where they served as the source of foraminifera for the experiment as described below. Extra bottom water was also transported to the lab in Cubitainers®.

**Experiment Overview**

The experiment had two stages: incubations were conducted at 7°C for ~7.5 months and then at 9°C for ~2.5 months. Five different combinations of [O2] and pCO2 were established in closed, humid chambers, with elevated and lowered concentrations maintained by additions of compressed gases controlled by O2 and CO2 sensors. These five treatments included: present day pCO2 and normoxic (arated) (“Present Day”; Treatment 1); present-day pCO2 with hypoxia (“Hypoxia”; Treatment 2); high pCO2 normoxic (“OA”; Treatment 3); high pCO2 with hypoxia (“OA + Hypoxia”; Treatment 4); and Pre-industrial pCO2 normoxic (“Pre-industrial”; Treatment 5) (Table 1). Very fine (<53 µm) foraminifera-laden sediments were placed in plastic containers (four containers per treatment) open to the treatment atmosphere in each chamber. The experiment began on 5 June 2013 and ended on 4 April 2014. On 14 January, half of each container was processed and designated the 7°C sample; the remaining half continued through a 2°C increase. The temperature increase was 0.5°C for each of four days to allow acclimation. These remaining samples were processed on 4 April and designated as 9°C samples. Thus, the 9°C assemblages also experienced 7°C for ~7.5 months. Throughout the experiment, weekly feeding, salinity monitoring and adjustment, and bi-weekly sampling for carbonate chemistry were performed, as described in detail below.

**Environmental Controllers**

The O2 and pCO2 conditions for each treatment were set in BioSpherix C-chambers (Parish, New York, United States), which allow installation of CO2 and/or O2 sensors (Figure 1; see below). Each C-chamber had an open tray of distilled water installed to maintain high humidity, minimizing evaporation. Additionally, extra plastic containers, which were filled with Mud Patch bottom water, were open to the C-chamber atmosphere. This seawater was allowed to equilibrate for at least 1 week, typically ≥ 2 weeks, prior to being used as fresh seawater for sample containers in that chamber (see below).

Four of the five C-chambers were connected to a compressed gas source(s) via a BioSpherix sensor-controlled valve. To produce hypoxia, a ProO2 sensor was attached to 100% N2 and controlled with a BioSpherix ProO2 controller. To control CO2 above ambient (i.e., at 2,000 ppmv), a ProCO2 sensor was attached to 1% CO2 /99% N2 and controlled with a BioSpherix ProCO2 controller. To control CO2 below ambient (i.e., at 275 ppmv), a ProCO2 sensor was attached to 100% N2 and controlled with a BioSpherix ProCO2 controller. The sensors monitored atmospheric concentrations of the gas(es) and released gases into the C-chamber as necessary. Controllers are rated to ±2%, after initial calibration by the manufacturer just prior to experiment initiation. It was established previously that the seawater of plastic containers inside C-chambers equilibrated with the chamber atmosphere within about 40 h (McIntyre-Wressnig et al., 2014). Four treatments (Treatments 2–5) were housed in a climate-controlled environmental room. The environmental room remained dark unless laboratory personnel were tending to the experiment or other cultures housed in the facility. Generally, the environmental room remained dark ~23 h per day.

The fifth C-chamber lacked sensors/controllers because it was to mimic present-day atmospheric conditions (Treatment 1) and due to resource limitations. Thus, we relied on ambient room conditions rather than stringent controls. This Treatment 1 chamber was ventilated periodically, usually daily, and the pCO2 was measured approximately every 2 weeks with an infrared CO2 analyzer (Qubit Systems Inc.).

**Treatment Values**

Values for the variables were selected to invoke responses, not mortality of the entire assemblage. Although the Mud Patch bottom-water temperature at the time of collection was ~10.5°C (Wit et al., 2016), the average in situ bottom-water temperatures on the continental shelf encompassing our sampling site range from ~4°C in winter, to 6–7°C in spring, to 7–8°C in summer, to 10–11°C in autumn (Richaud et al., 2016). Thus, our cooler temperature (7°C) was warmer than average winter temperature and approximately equalled average in situ temperatures for half the year. Our warmer phase (9°C), while lower than the average
The oxygen value selected for hypoxia treatments was 0.7 mL O$_2$/L (≈29 μM), to ensure that a diverse population survived and grew during the experiment. While some foraminifera can live in lower [O$_2$] (e.g., Nonionella stella, Buliminella tenuata, Bolivina argentea, Globobulimina turgida; Bernhard and Reimers, 1991; Bernhard et al., 1997; Koho et al., 2011), we do not know what extended hypoxia exposure would do to most foraminiferal juveniles, so we selected a mid-range hypoxia value (i.e., Levin et al. (2009) defined the threshold of hypoxia as 1.4 mL O$_2$/L or 62.5 μM O$_2$). For treatments that did not have oxygen sensors (Treatments 1, 3, 5), dissolved oxygen concentrations were assumed to be in equilibrium with the treatment gas (or, for Treatment 1, air) and calculated using mass balance of the added gases and the known gas concentrations and temperature. Because these three [O$_2$] levels were all well above the hypoxia threshold defined by Levin et al. (2009), all three were considered “aerated.”

### Experiment Details

Each treatment was represented by four samples (containers), each comprised of fine-grained sediments (<53 μm) in a separate plastic container. In the lab, the fine-grained sediments that passed through the 53-μm screen at sea were re-sieved again over a 53-μm screen with fresh bottom water immediately prior to aliquot dispensation. While still in suspension, 20 mL of this fine-grained sediment-seawater slurry was measured via syringe and placed in each 140-mL plastic container and an additional 120-mL of fresh bottom water was added to each container. Twenty containers were filled in this manner. The 20 containers were randomly divided into 5 sets, each of which was placed into one of the 5 treatment chambers. Once settled, the fine-grained sediment was ~7 mm in thickness. Salinity of the treatments was monitored weekly with a hand-held refractometer and, if necessary, adjusted to 35 by addition of deionized water across all treatments and containers. Because the chambers were humid, salinity was monitored, and seawater was changed weekly, the system configuration was able to maintain near-constant salinity. All containers of each treatment were fed weekly a concentrated mixture (1 mL) of live Dunaliella tertiolecta and Isochrysis galbana.

Because the experimental design had only one chamber per treatment (i.e., combination of [O$_2$] and pCO$_2$), the experiment lacked true replication of treatments. However, having duplicate or more sets (replicates) of sensors, controllers and chambers was logistically and financially unrealistic. We report on the results of autumn temperature, was higher than in situ temperatures over three seasons.

The value selected for acidified treatments (Treatments 3, 4) was 2,000 ppm CO$_2$, a value that is known to be tolerated by other benthic foraminifera (e.g., McIntyre-Wressnig et al., 2013, 2014) and is known to be within the range of present day seasonal variability of pore waters at our sampling site (Wit et al., 2016) and from shallow-water sites (<30 m) in a restricted embayment of the Baltic (Haynert et al., 2012). The Pre-industrial pCO$_2$ selected was 275 ppmv CO$_2$, close to inferred concentrations by climate modelers (e.g., Lerman et al., 2011).
and placed in another identical vessel containing appropriate gently stirred and half of each container was removed via syringe On 13 January 2014 (the 7°C sampling event), each container was incubated in the appropriate treatment for 2.5 months of the experiment. As noted, the remaining ~2.5 months of the experiment was conducted at 9°C. The day prior to experiment termination on 16 April 2014, CellTracker Green was introduced into each remaining sample container as described above and allowed to incubate in the appropriate treatment for ~20 h, at which time samples were preserved in ~3% buffered formalin.

Just prior to isolation of foraminifera, preserved samples were sieved over a 63-µm screen with artificial seawater. The > 63-µm fraction was examined with a Leica MZ FLIII epifluorescence stereomicroscope equipped for fluorescein detection (~480-nm excitation; ~520-nm emission). All Rhizarian protists (foraminifera and their close relative gromiids) were isolated, being recorded as either CellTracker-Green labeled (i.e., fluorescent; live) or unlabeled (i.e., non-fluorescent; dead). Thecate and other “soft-bodied” foraminifera were stored in buffered formalin; “hard shelled” calcareous and agglutinated specimens were air dried and sorted on micropaleontology slides. Species identifications are based on previous identifications of the in situ assemblage (Lang, 2013) and on authors’ expertise. Identifications of some morphospecies are tentative, especially for most thecate and rare forms.

The most appropriate count data to analyze across the whole experiment is the total assemblage (fluorescent + non-fluorescent specimens) for the 7°C time point and solely the CellTracker Green-labeled (fluorescent; live) populations for the 9°C treatment. We used the combination of 7°C Total and 9°C Live because (1) all individuals, including dead specimens, in the 7°C samples must have grown during the 7°C exposure; (2) dead specimens in the 9°C samples had an unknown time of death during the experiment–either during 7 or 9°C exposure, or during the 4 days of warming.

Statistical Analysis
To determine the similarity of the communities and species trends between treatments, experiment-yield data (specimen counts, entered by morphospecies; live + dead for 7°C treatments; live only for 9°C treatments) were square-root transformed prior to statistical analysis with Primer version 7.0.11 (Clarke et al., 2014), with the PERMANOVA+ for Primer add on (Anderson et al., 2008). Data was not standardized prior to analyses because we are generally interested in total instead of proportional responses. Also, diversity measures (Shannon’s diversity, species richness) were calculated in Primer.
SSU rRNA Sequencing
Specimens of the ubiquitous thecate morphospecies were isolated for sequencing. DNA from a pooled sample of ∼10 specimens was extracted by homogenization in DOC lysis buffer as described in Pawlowski et al. (1994). Small subunit rRNA gene fragments were PCR amplified using the foraminifera-targeting primers S14F3A (Habura et al., 2004) and Rib sB (Pawlowski, 2000). PCR conditions were: 95°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 1 min at 48°C, and 2 min at 70°C with a final 10-min extension at 70°C. PCR products of the expected size were gel purified using the Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery Kit (Zymo Research) and cloned into the pCR4 vector in the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Plasmid DNA from a single clone was sequenced bi-directionally with sequencing primers S14F1 and S17 (Pawlowski, 2000) at Beckman Coulter Genomics. Sequences were edited and assembled into a contig using Sequencher (Gene Codes Corporation) and subsequently deposited into the Genbank sequence database under accession number KY129824.

RESULTS
Experimental Design
The experiment was not replicated due to resource limitations: multiple sets of chambers, controllers and sensors were not available. Further, because two environmental rooms were not simultaneously available, the temperature aspect of this investigation was linked to time. The statistical results should be considered with these caveats in mind.

Carbonate Chemistry
The average carbonate chemistry of the treatments differed as expected (Table 1 and Figure 2); the acidified treatments (3, 4) had pCO₂ values > 3 times higher than those of the remaining treatments (1, 2, 5). However, the carbonate chemistry data from the earliest samples revealed that two treatments (1 and 2) required adjustments. The pCO₂ of the Present Day treatment (1) was too high (evidenced by the relatively high DIC for the initial data points; Figure 2B). It became clear that the environmental room had relatively high pCO₂ due to the presence of the other treatments, where chambers with positive pressure resulted in CO₂ leakage into the environmental room atmosphere, and the lower rate of air exchange in environmental rooms in general. Thus, in Week 6, Treatment 1 was moved into a darkened, temperature-controlled incubator (Nuaire) in our main lab, which had better ventilation and no sources of elevated CO₂. The average calculated pCO₂ for Treatment 1 after it was moved from the environmental room into the Nuaire was 408 (± 70) ppmv (Table 1). Treatment 2 (Hypoxia) had low DIC concentrations for the initial ∼1.5 months of the experiment (Figure 2B). This low pCO₂ concentration was inadvertently caused by the simultaneous loss of CO₂ while lowering [O₂] without N₂ control. A CO₂ sensor and controller were added to Treatment 2 in Week 6.

Because of the aberrant initial data in Treatments 1 and 2, the average carbonate chemistry data reported in Table 1 is based on readings during the stable portion of the experiment (i.e., from 24 July 2013 to 19 March 2014). Also, suspect readings occurred in the last time point for Treatments 2 and 4; these values are also omitted from calculations presented in Table 1, but all of the data are plotted in Figure 2. Calculated pCO₂ values, over the stable period, indicate that Treatments 3 and 4 were considerably below nominal pCO₂ values (21.6 and 23.4% too low, respectively) while Treatments 2 and 5 were generally above nominal pCO₂ values (12.5 and 14.5% too high, respectively) (Figure 2D).

The alkalinity and DIC of acidified Treatments 3 and 4 (each nominally 2,000 ppm CO₂) trended downward over approximately the last half of the experiment (Figures 2A, B). The calcium carbonate content of the inoculum sediments that were used in the experiment was 4.1% dry weight (Wit et al., 2016). Calculations based on the observed alkalinity and DIC elevations in the first months of the acidified treatments and the number and volume of water changes in each treatment suggest the bulk calcium carbonate of the fine-grained sediments supporting the foraminiferal communities was likely dissolved after ∼5 months, approximately half way through the experiment. Thus, the DIC and alkalinity in these high pCO₂ treatments began to decrease after all sedimentary carbonate was dissolved. Additionally, it is likely that calcification of foraminifera growing in these treatments (as well as other treatments) also impacted the carbonate chemistry by removing carbonate ions.

The calcite saturation states (Ωcalcite) for the Present Day, Hypoxic, and Pre-industrial treatments (1, 2, 5, respectively) exceeded saturation throughout the experiment while the saturation states of the OA and OA + Hypoxia treatments (3, 4, respectively) each approximated 1 over that time frame (Figure 2C).

Community Responses
Due to the fact that we inoculated with propagules (sediments < 53 μm) and harvested only material > 63 μm, we are confident that the reported assemblages grew during the experiment. Hundreds of specimens were recovered from each container (218–1,210 specimens, live and dead combined). CellTracker Green-labeled (i.e., live) specimens comprised approximately half of the yields with 94–628 live specimens per container. A total of 36 foraminiferal and two gromiid morphotypes were present across the full dataset1 (Bernhard, 2016b). When considering yields from both temperatures, the Hypoxia treatment (Treatment 2) had the highest foraminiferal yield (live + dead combined), with the OA + Hypoxia treatment (Treatment 4) having the second highest total yield. When considering temperature separately, the highest total yield was in the warm Hypoxia treatment. Generally, the non-acidified warm samples had higher abundances than the comparable cool samples. Species richness indicated no clear trend although the lowest richness was in the triple-stress treatment (Table 1). Shannon’s diversity index also showed no clear trend, although the cool OA + Hypoxia treatment had the lowest value (<0.8) and the highest values were in the Present Day and Pre-industrial treatments (Table 1).

1http://www.bco-dmo.org/dataset/670613
Cluster analysis of the 7°C Total/9°C Live assemblages (i.e., morphotype (taxon) counts; all containers) revealed two large clusters along with two singletons and a doublet (Figure 3). The larger clusters roughly assembled into aerated vs. hypoxic groupings. Specifically, 21 of the 24 assemblages from the aerated treatments clustered together while there was more distinction among the hypoxic assemblages, with three major groupings: (1) all four cool OA + Hypoxia assemblages (Treatment 4–7) clustered with one warm OA + Hypoxia assemblage (4–9); (2) all four cool Hyopxia assemblages (2–7) clustered with one warm Hypoxia (2–9) assemblage; (3) two warm Hyopxia assemblages (2–9) clustered with two warm OA + Hypoxia (4–9) assemblages. One warm Hypoxia assemblage (2–9) clustered in the larger Hypoxia cluster while one warm OA + Hypoxia assemblage (4–9) plotted individually.

Count data (7°C Total and 9°C Live) from each container was averaged within each treatment to ascertain impacts via non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (nMDS) analysis. A two-dimensional nMDS plot shows a clear distinction on the primary axis, between hypoxic treatments (Treatments 2 and 4) and aerated treatments (1, 3, 5) (Figure 4). Additionally, the secondary axis suggests temperature was also an important driving force. Surprisingly, Pre-industrial, Present Day and cool OA assemblages all plotted close together in this projection, indicating that pCO₂ had less impact on these assemblages than did hypoxia and warming. The stress level for the 2-D nMDS was 0.1, which is the threshold between “good ordination with no real prospect of a misleading interpretation” (Clarke et al., 2014). Thus, examination of this data in a 3-D nMDS, which has a stress value that “gives an excellent representation with no prospect of misinterpretation” (Clarke et al., 2014), confirms that hypoxia and temperature were the major drivers while pCO₂ had a lesser impact (Supplementary Figure 1).

Plotting all containers on a two-dimensional nMDS graph provides the opportunity to observe relative positions of each container (Supplementary Figure 2), noting the projection into two dimensions is only marginally representative of reality. Additionally, the stress level of this 2-D nMDS (0.19) is not robust, which is why additional statistical analyses were executed. Regardless and in general, the four containers of each treatment plot in the same vicinity (Supplementary Figure 2).

A comparison of the experiment yields (7°C Total and 9°C Live) indicates that approximately 43% of the taxa resulting from the experiment occur at the sampling site. At the species to genus level, over 58% of the in situ foraminiferal community occurred in the experiment yields.
Species Responses

Taxa co-occurrences and relative abundances are best illustrated in a shade plot (Figure 5) presented by treatment. Many species showed clear affinity for treatment and/or temperature. For example, Stainforthia fusiformis and Leptohalysis scotti occurred more often in hypoxic samples compared to aerated samples. Additional comments about particular species appear below.

Two morphospecies grew in each container of each treatment. The most abundant ubiquitous morphospecies was a thin cylindrical thecate foraminifer (Figure 6A), which was typically present in high abundances (145–868 total specimens per sample) with a total of 16,554 specimens. This morphotype often, but not always, dominated samples. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTN) analysis of a partial SSU rRNA gene fragment (308 nucleotides) recovered from this ubiquitous thecate morphospecies indicated high similarity to the Allogromiidae, with Micrometula sp. being the top score. Thus, we tentatively identify this taxon as Micrometula?
The other ubiquitous morphospecies, which rarely dominated samples, was the agglutinated *Spiroplectammina* sp., which had a total of 539 live specimens and 97 dead specimens. Generally, *Spiroplectammina* sp. abundances were highest in warm samples compared to cool samples from the same treatments (Figure 6B). Other common agglutinated species were *Leptohalysis scotti*, *Ovammina cf. O. opaca*, and *Textularia earlandi*. *L. scotti* had higher abundances and more consistent occurrences in both hypoxic treatments compared to aerated treatments (Figure 7A). *Ovammina cf. O. opaca* occurred most commonly in samples from both temperatures of Treatment 1 and some OA samples; it rarely occurred in hypoxic samples (with or without OA; Figure 7B). Each of *Spiroplectammina* sp., *L. scotti* and *T. earlandi* had survivors in each of the four triple-stress samples (sub-treatment 4–9). *Gromia* sp., which is a close relative of foraminifera, occurred in all but one sample, including all triple-stress assemblages; it did best in the warm Pre-industrial treatment (5–9; Supplementary Figure 3).

The calcareous *Stainforthia fusiformis* was the second most common species in the experiment, with 694 live specimens and 202 dead specimens. This taxon was far more abundant in hypoxic assemblages than in aerated assemblages (Figure 8A). *Nonionella iridea*, another calcareous species, was similarly more abundant in hypoxic vs. aerated assemblages (Figure 8B). Both of these calcareous species had considerable numbers of survivors in each of the four triple-stress samples (4–9). Although not as common, live *Bulimina marginata*, another calcareous species, also occurred in all triple-stress assemblages and in all but two samples, generally, with higher abundances in warm assemblages (Figure 9A).

*Elphidium cf. E. excavatum* was most abundant in Pre-industrial assemblages, as well as in cool acidified assemblages (Figure 9B). Lesser abundances of this calcareous taxon occurred in Present Day and cool OA + Hypoxia samples. The calcareous
**FIGURE 6** | Bubble plots projecting abundances in containers (7°C Total/9°C Live) by morphospecies on 2-D nMDS plots. Bubbles are color coded to treatment; lighter shade represents warmer assemblages. Circle diameter is keyed to abundance. (A) *Micrometula?* sp., inset includes reflected light micrograph of partial specimen and SEM image of apertural end; (B) *Spiroplectammina* sp., inset is SEM image. Treatment 1 (blue) is Present Day; Treatment 2 (orange) is Hypoxia; Treatment 3 (green) is OA; Treatment 4 (red) is OA + Hypoxia; Treatment 5 (purple) is Pre-industrial.

*Cornuspira* sp. only occurred in Pre-industrial and Present Day samples plus one cool Hypoxia (2–7) sample; it did not occur in any acidified assemblages (*Supplementary Figure 4*).

Taxa that did not survive in any of the triple-stress assemblages (4–9) included *Allogromia* spp., *Elphidium* cf. *E. excavatum*, *Rosalina* cf. *R. floridana*, *Quinqueloculina* spp., *Miliammina fusca*, and *Buliminella tenuata*. While rare, *Quinqueloculina* sp. did best in the Warm Pre-industrial and cool Present Day treatments, but also occurred in 7 of the 8 Hypoxia assemblages, never appearing in any high pCO$_2$ samples. A number of taxa had rare occurrences in general (e.g., *Epistominella exigua*, *Paratrochammina challengeri*, *Textularia* spp.) or were absent in all cool Present Day samples (1–7; e.g., *Bolivina* spp.), so we do not assess species-specific responses for these cases.
DISCUSSION

Our experiment to assess the response of a continental shelf benthic foraminiferal propagule “bank” to warming, deoxygenation and ocean acidification (OA), as single or combined stressors, was successful in that each sample of each treatment produced substantial populations. The densities produced in the experiment containers (up to 60 specimens cm\(^{-3}\) of sediment) approximated the in situ abundances at the Mud Patch collection site, which were ~10–44 specimens cm\(^{-3}\) of sediment (Bernhard, 2016a) at the time materials were collected for the experiment.

The Propagule Method
The fact that our propagule-inoculum assemblages differed at the end of experimentation depending on environmental
conditions harkens the microbial ecology premise by Baas Becking, “Everything is everywhere but the environment selects” (de Wit and Bouvier, 2006). The length of time foraminiferal propagules remain viable in sediments is unknown, but one study showed that propagules grew after 2 years in adverse conditions (Alve and Goldstein, 2010). Additionally, dormancy, which has been documented in foraminifera (reviewed in Ross and Hallock, 2016), can bolster their persistence as a group.

The use of propagules had three important benefits. First, this approach yielded high numbers of individuals, typically in the hundreds per container. Use of propagules also enabled a short time between collection and experiment initiation, an important factor because keeping foraminifera in the laboratory prior to experiments may be stressful to target populations. And finally, as noted above, the propagule method guaranteed that all adult foraminifera found in the final samples had been alive and
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**FIGURE 9** Bubble plots projecting abundances in containers (7°C Total/9°C Live) by morphospecies on 2-D nMDS plots. Bubbles are color coded to treatment; lighter shade represents warmer assemblages. Circle diameter is keyed to abundance. (A) *Bulimina marginata*; (B) *Elphidium cf. E. excavatum*, inset is SEM micrograph. Treatment 1 (blue) is Present Day; Treatment 2 (orange) is Hypoxia; Treatment 3 (green) is OA; Treatment 4 (red) is OA + Hypoxia; Treatment 5 (purple) is Pre-industrial.

growing during the course of the experiment. This eliminated the need to rely on methods such as uptake of a fluorescent marker to mark pre-experimental carbonate (Hintz et al., 2006a,b).

There are some limitations to the propagule method, including initiation with an unknown number and composition of propagules and the necessity for relatively long experiments to allow sufficient growth. In addition, some specimens may be omitted from the inoculum due to their large proloculus size, either due to the taxon’s overall size (e.g., *Globobulimina*) or because they are megalospheric individuals (those resulting from asexual reproduction) (Alve and Goldstein, 2003). Depending on the investigator’s objective, the advantages of the propagule method may far outnumber its limitations.

**Impact of pCO₂**

Most carbonate-producing organisms such as foraminifera are thought to be significantly challenged by exposure to low pH or OA (i.e., high pCO₂) (e.g., Riebesell et al., 2000; Orr et al., 2005;
Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Doney et al., 2009). However, prior studies have shown that responses vary by taxon (e.g., Ries et al., 2009). Most calcareous foraminifera are negatively impacted by OA (Keul et al., 2013), although prior reports indicate some calcareous foraminifera seem to be unaffected by high pHCO2 (e.g., Haynert et al., 2014; McIntyre-Wressnig et al., 2014; Wit et al., 2016).

Our result that the Pre-industrial assemblages (Treatment 5) clustered with Present Day and OA assemblages (Treatments 1, 3; Figure 3) indicates that pHCO2 is not the major driving factor distinguishing the assemblage response in this experiment. Clustering of Pre-industrial and OA assemblages suggests that (1) species that grew in those samples were highly adaptable, (2) CO2 did not have a significant impact on survival and growth of our propagule community or subsets thereof, and/or (3) that culture conditions were not ideal. Prior long-term benthic foraminiferal culturing experiments (e.g., Hintz et al., 2004; McCorkle et al., 2008; Barras et al., 2010; Filipsson et al., 2010) indicate that responses and yields can be difficult to predict, with some taxa seemingly more tolerant to varied conditions than others. A prior long-term experiment that varied pHCO2 (430–3247 µatm) showed a shallow-water Baltic foraminiferal assemblage dominated by Ammonia aomoriensis and Elphidium spp. was not greatly affected by relatively high pHCO2 in terms of abundance, growth and reproduction (Haynert et al., 2014). Since our source material was collected from a site that can have high pore-water [CO2] (4,143 ppmv; Wit et al., 2016), we could expect to have foraminiferal survivors, as local variability can invoke adaptation (Vargas et al., 2017).

There was no universal response of calcareous foraminifer species to higher pHCO2: one calcareous species was consistently present, albeit in rather low abundances, in the OA treatments (B. marginata; Treatments 3, 4) but other calcareous foraminifera were not (e.g., Quinqueloculina sp.).

While some non-calcareous foraminifer species (i.e., agglutinated and thecate forms) were rare in the OA treatments (e.g., Ovammina cf. O. opaca), others had high abundances in those high pHCO2 treatments (Micrometula? sp.). Such results support earlier studies that revealed thecate foraminifera tolerate exposure to high pHCO2 (Bernhard et al., 2009a,b).

**Impact of Hypoxia**

Of the three variables, oxygen was the most influential factor driving our foraminiferal assemblage response (Figure 3). While some foraminifer species, such as Cornuspira sp. and Ovammina cf. O. opaca, only rarely occurred in the hypoxic samples, others, such as Stainforthia fusiformis, Leptohalysis scotti and Nonionella iridea, had higher abundances in hypoxic treatments (2, 4) compared to well aerated treatments (1,3,5). This increased abundance in response to deoxygenation is not surprising given many foraminifer species are known to survive hypoxia and, sometimes, anoxia (e.g., Moodley et al., 1997; Bernhard et al., 2006a; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006; Glock et al., 2019). Stainforthia fusiformis has been documented from low oxygen habitats (Høgslund et al., 2008; Leiter and Altenbach, 2010), as have species of nonionellids (Cedhagen, 1991; Bernhard et al., 1997; Høgslund et al., 2008; Leiter and Altenbach, 2010; Fontanier et al., 2014). At least some species of Nonionella are known to store nitrate and denitrify and it is assumed that some Stainforthia denitrify (Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006; Høgslund et al., 2008). Some species of Gromia are known to denitrify (Høgslund et al., 2017) and, thus, not be significantly challenged by low oxygen. This is consistent with the result that its highest abundances were in the warm Pre-industrial samples, a well-aerated treatment.

**Impact of Warming**

Yields in our samples subject to warmer conditions must be considered with due caution because our experiment did not allow any propagule aliquots to grow starting at 9°C. The survivors of the warm treatments had to survive their cooler treatment, so the yields of the warm treatments may be biased, necessitating survival of the experiment’s cooler phase. Therefore, the species surviving our warm treatments may not necessarily be actual “winners” in the face of global warming.

In general, many of the 9°C sub-treatments had higher numbers of conspecifics compared to their respective 7°C sub-treatments (e.g., Spiroplectammina sp., Nonionella iridea). Higher abundances of a given morphotype in the warm sub-treatments compared to cooler sub-treatments may be a species-specific response or may merely indicate a longer time to grow beyond the screen size threshold of 63 µm. Of the common taxa, however, only Micrometula? sp. consistently had fewer specimens in samples from the warmer conditions than in samples from the cooler temperature.

A prior long-term temperature-impact experiment indicated that B. marginata grew in treatments between 3.8 and 21.0°C (Filipsson et al., 2010). Here, B. marginata occurred in all treatments, although in relatively low abundances, with a slight abundance increase in warmer samples. Furthermore, B. marginata’s ability to occur in all five treatments, including all triple stressor containers, demonstrates its adaptability over the range of environmental variables tested here.

**Impact of Multiple Stressors**

The experiment had three combinations of dual stressors (OA + hypoxia, Treatment 4–7; OA + warming, Treatment 3–9; hypoxia + warming, Treatment 2–9).

Considering the full experiment, the highest average abundance occurred in the cooler Hypoxic + OA containers (Treatment 4–7) suggesting that this combination of dual stressors was additive or beneficial to this foraminiferal assemblage. This highest overall yield was mostly due to the plethora of Micrometula? sp. that occurred in Treatment 4–7. Other species that had relatively high yields after exposure to these two stressors were Stainforthia fusiformis and Leptohalysis scotti, although their highest abundance was in other treatments. The lower pH imposed by high pHCO2 appeared to negatively impact S. fusiformis, most likely because it has a calcareous test. The other common species in Treatment 4–7 samples generally lacked calcareous tests.

The other two dual stressor treatments (Treatments 2–9, 3–9) both involved warming. In Treatment 2 (hypoxia), most of the species that grew in hypoxia were not negatively impacted.
by the 2°C warming. Species that were more abundant in the hypoxia samples exposed to warming were *Spiroplectammina* sp. and *Nonionella iridea*. These results are consistent with natural distributions, where congeners of both these species occur in the oxygen-deficient Santa Barbara Basin, often in high abundance (Bernhard et al., 1997). When combined with hypoxia, warming had a negative impact on *Micrometula?* sp., which had fewer specimens in Treatment 2–9 compared to Treatment 2–7, suggesting that warming with hypoxia negatively impacted this thecate species.

In Treatment 3 (OA), species that were less abundant in the samples exposed to warming in addition to OA were the calcareous *Epistominella exigua* and *Bulimina tenuata*, the agglutinants *Leptohalysis scotti*, *Psammobuliminella fusca*, *Textularia* cf. *T. earlandi* and the thecate *Micrometula?* sp. Thus, our results agree with prior results, generally indicating that warming and OA negatively impact calcareous foraminifera, ranging from *T. earlandi* cf. *sp.* and *Buliminella tenuata* to foraminifera. For example, Haynert et al. (2014) performed and coastal taxa (e.g., Dissard et al., 2010).

A culture experiment examining three stressors (oxygen, pCO₂, and temperature) suggests that many species of benthic foraminifera will be challenged in the next few decades, perhaps to the point of extirpation. However, these negative impacts did not affect all of the species cultured, suggesting that some benthic foraminiferal species in continental shelf settings are likely to survive the twenty-first century, unlike the extinction that has been suggested for some benthic foraminiferal assemblages (i.e., shallow-water tropical settings; Uthicke et al., 2013). If the benthic foraminiferal assemblage cultured here is representative of temperate bathyal settings, those community compositions will be altered from currently occurring taxa in response to OA, deoxygenation, and warming, and will likely include higher proportions of agglutinated and, perhaps, thecate taxa vs. those that precipitate carbonate tests. As has been noted in other marine ecosystems, there will be winners and losers in the benthic foraminiferal response to the multiple stressors predicted to result from anthropogenic global change.

Conversely, other morphospecies persisted in the triple-stressor sub-treatment (4–9), occurring in all four containers, typically in low numbers. The majority of these taxa were agglutinated (*L. scotti*, *E. advena*) or thecate (*Gromia* sp.) forms although some calcareous foraminifers (*S. fusiformis*, *N. iridea*, *B. marginata*) also occurred in all four triple stressed samples. The observation that some calcareous species tolerated and grew in our hypoxic, warmer, and relatively high pCO₂ treatments suggests that carbonate from one foraminiferal species can be analyzed geochemically for proxies of low oxygen (e.g., Mn/Ca, I/Ca), acidification (B isotopes), and for temperature (e.g., Mg/Ca).

While many species grew in the triple-stressor sub-treatment, none of the common morphotypes had their highest average abundance in those samples. For *Micrometula*, the dual stressors of low oxygen and high pCO₂ were favorable in that the taxon produced its largest population, while the addition of the third stressor (warming) was antagonistic, causing the population to decline. Because *Spiroplectammina* sp. abundances were generally slightly higher in the warmer samples, including their abundances in the triple-stressor samples (4–9) compared to the hypoxic, high pCO₂ cooler samples (4–7), we infer the triple-stressor combination did not severely impact this morphotype over the time period tested. Thus, it is possible that some foraminiferal species may benefit from combined impacts of warming, OA, and deoxygenation.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/GenBank/, KY129824, www.bco-dmo.org/project/2267, 670458, 670613, 670597, 670390.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JB and DM designed the experiment. JB and JW collected the samples. JW performed the experiment. JW and DM analyzed the water chemistry. JB, VS, and WP performed the statistical analyses. DB did the sequencing. JB, JW, and DM wrote the manuscript. All authors provided manuscript comments and approved the final version.
FUNDING
This work was supported by the US NSF SEES-OA grant OCE-1219948 to JB and the Investment in Science Program at WHOI. DM also received support from the NSF Independent Research and Development Program.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
We thank the captain and crew of the RV Endeavor, the science party of EN524; Ellen Roosen for coring expertise; Scott Formisari, Ed Fiore, and Steve Alsop for environmental-room maintenance; Bob Clarke and Steve Holland for statistics advice; Marti Jeglinski, Megan Davis, Katherine Hoering, and Elizabeth Bonk for lab assistance; and Virginia Edgcomb for molecular lab support.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2021.643339/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES
Altieri, A. H., and Gedan, K. B. (2015). Climate change and dead zones. Glob. Change Biol. 21, 1395–1406. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12754
Alve, E., and Goldstein, S. T. (2003). Propagule transport as a key method of dispersal in benthic foraminifera (Protista). Limnol. Oceanogr. 48, 2163–2170. doi: 10.4319/lo.2003.48.6.2163
Alve, E., and Goldstein, S. T. (2010). Dispersal, survival and delayed growth of benthic foraminiferal propagules. J. Sea Res. 63, 36–51. doi: 10.1016/j.seares.2009.09.003
Anderson, M. J., Gorley, R. N., and Clarke, K. R. (2008). PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide to Software and Statistical Methods. Plymouth: PRIMER-E.
Barras, C., Duplessy, J. C., Geslin, E., Michel, E., and Jorissen, F. J. (2010). Benthic Foraminiferal Abundances of Mud Patch Multicores from R/V Endeavor EN524 in the Continental Shelf off New England; 40.43 N 70.5 W from May 2013 (OA, Hypoxia and Warming Project). Falmouth, MA: Biological and Chemical Oceanography Data Management Office (BCO-DMO).
Bernhard, J. M. (2016b). Multistressor-Experiment Counts for Foram Propagule Community (OA, Hypoxia and Warming Project). Falmouth, MA: Biological and Chemical Oceanography Data Management Office (BCO-DMO).
Bernhard, J. M., and Alve, E. (1996). Survival, ATP pool, and ultrastructural characterization of benthic foraminifera from Drømmensfjord (Norway): response to anoxia. Mar. Micropaleontol. 28, 5–17. doi: 10.1016/0377-8398(95)00036-4
Bernhard, J. M., Barry, J. P., Buck, K. R., and Starczak, V. R. (2009a). Impact of intentionally injected carbon dioxide hydrate on deep-sea benthic foraminiferal survival. Glob. Change Biol. 15, 2078–2088. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01822.x
Bernhard, J. M., Casciotti, K. L., McIlvin, M. R., Beaudoin, D. J., Visscher, P. T., and Edgcomb, V. P. (2012). Potential importance of physiologically diverse benthic foraminifera in sedimentary nitrate storage and respiration. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 117, G03002. doi: 10.1029/2012G001949
Bernhard, J. M., Habura, A., and Bowser, S. S. (2006a). An endobiont-bearing allogromiid from the Santa Barbara Basin: implications for the early diversification of foraminifera. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 111, G03002.
Bernhard, J. M., Mollo-Christensen, E., Eisenkolb, N., and Starczak, V. R. (2009b). Tolerance of allogromiid foraminifera to severely elevated carbon dioxide concentrations: implications to future ecosystem functioning and paleoceanographic interpretations. Glob. Planet. Change 65, 107–114. doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2008.10.013
Bernhard, J. M., Ostermann, D. R., Williams, D. S., and Blanks, J. K. (2006b). Comparison of two methods to identify live benthic foraminifera: a test between Rose Bengal and CellTracker Green with implications for stable isotope paleo reconstructions. Paleoceanography 21:A4210.
Bernhard, J. M., and Reimers, C. E. (1991). Benthic foraminiferal population fluctuations related to anoxia- Santa Barbara Basin. Biogeochemistry 15, 127–149.
Bernhard, J. M., Sen Gupta, B. K., and Borne, P. F. (1997). Benthic foraminiferal proxy to estimate dysoxic bottom-water oxygen concentrations: Santa Barbara Basin, US Pacific continental margin. J. Foraminif. Res. 27, 301–310. doi: 10.2113/gsjfr.27.4.301
Bothner, M. H., Spiker, E. C., Johnson, P. P., Rendigs, R. R., and Aruscavage, P. J. (1981). Geochemical evidence for modern sediment accumulation on the continental shelf off Southern New England. J. Sediment. Petrol. 51, 281–292.
Botté, E. S., Luter, H. M., Marangoz, E., Patel, F., Uthicke, S., and Webster, N. S. (2020). Simulated future conditions of ocean warming and acidification disrupt the microbiome of the calcifying foraminifera Marginopora veratriformis across life stages. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 12, 693–701. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12900
Breitbart, D. L., Salisbury, J., Bernhard, J. M., Cai, W. J., Dupont, S., Doney, S. C., et al. (2015). And on top of all that. Coping with ocean acidification in the midst of many stressors. Oceanography 28, 48–61. doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2015.31
Capone, D. G., and Hutchins, D. A. (2013). Microbial biogeochecmistry of coastal upwelling regimes in a changing ocean. Nat. Geosci. 6, 711–717. doi: 10.1038/ngeo1916
Cedhagen, T. (1991). Retention of chloroplasts and bathymetric distribution in the sublittoral foraminiferan Nonionellina labradorica. Ophelia 33, 17–30. doi: 10.1080/00785326.1991.10429739
Charrieu, I. M., Filipsson, H. L., Ljung, K., Chierici, M., Knudsén, K. L., and Kritzberg, E. (2018a). The effects of multiple stressors on the distribution of coastal benthic foraminifera: a case study from the Sakagerrak-Baltic Sea region. Mar. Micropaleontol. 139, 42–56. doi: 10.1016/j.marmicro.2017.11.004
Charrieu, I. M., Filipsson, H. L., Nagai, Y., Kawada, S., Ljung, K., Kritzberg, E., et al. (2018b). Decalcification and survival of benthic foraminifera under the combined impacts of varying pH and salinity. Mar. Environ. Res. 138, 36–45. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2018.03.015
Clarke, K. R., Gorley, R. N., Sommerfield, P. J., and Warwick, R. M. (2014). Change in Marine Communities: An Approach to Statistical Analysis and Interpretation. Plymouth: Primer-E Ltd.
Corliss, B., and Silva, K. A. (1993). Rapid growth of deep-sea benthic foraminifera. Geology 21, 991–994. doi: 10.1130/0091-7613(1993)021<0991:rgodsb>2.3.co;2
Courtney, T., Westfield, I., and Rees, J. B. (2013). CO2-induced ocean acidification impairs calcification in the tropical urchin Echinothrix viridis. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 440, 169–175. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2012.11.013
de Wit, R., and Bouvier, T. (2006). ‘Everything is everywhere, but, the environment selects’: what did Baas Becking and Beijerinck really say? Environ. Microbiol. 8, 755–758. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2006.01017.x
Diner, R. E., Benner, I., Passow, U., Komada, T., Carpenter, E. J., and Stillman, J. H. (2015). Negative effects of ocean acidification on calcification vary within the coccolithophore genus Calcidiscus. Mar. Biol. 162, 1287–1305. doi: 10.1007/s00227-015-2669-x
Dissard, D., Nehric, G., Reichart, G. J., and Bijma, J. (2010). Impact of seawater pCO2 on calcification and Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios in benthic foraminifera calcite: results from culturing experiments with Ammonia tepida. Biogeosciences 7, 81–93. doi: 10.5194/bg-7-81-2010
Doney, S. C., Fabry, V. J., Feely, R. A., and Kleypas, J. A. (2009). Ocean acidification: the other CO2 problem. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 1, 169–192.
Doo, S. S., Fujita, K., Byrne, M., and Uthicke, S. (2014). Fate of calcifying tropical symbiont-bearing large benthic foraminifera: living sands in a changing ocean. Biol. Bull. 226, 169–186. doi: 10.1086/bb2263p169
Fabricius, K. E., Langdon, C., Uthicke, S., Humphrey, C., Noonan, S., De’ath, G., et al. (2011). Losers and winners in coral reefs acclimatized to elevated
carbon dioxide concentrations. *Nat. Clim. Change* 1, 165–169. doi: 10.1038/nclim1322

Filippov, H. L., Bernhard, J. M., Lincoln, S. A., and McCorkle, D. C. (2010). A culture-based calibration of benthic foraminiferal palaeotemperature proxies: $^{18}$O and Mg/Ca results. *Biogeosciences* 7, 1335–1347. doi: 10.5194/bg-7-1335-2010

Fontanier, C., Koho, K. A., Goni-Urriza, M. S., Deflandre, B., Galupa, S., Ivanovska, A., et al. (2014). Benthic foraminifera from the deep-water Niger Delta (Gulf of Guinea): assessing present-day and past activity of hydrate pockmarks. *Deep Sea Res. I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 94, 87–106. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2014.08.011

Glock, N., Roy, A.-S., Romero, D., Wein, T., Weissenbach, J., Revsbech, N. P., et al. (2019). Metabolic preference of nitrate over oxygen as an electron acceptor in foraminifera from the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 116, 2860–2865. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1813887116

Gobler, C. J., and Baumann, H. (2016). Hypoxia and acidification in ocean ecosystems: coupled dynamics and effects on marine life. *Bioll. Lett.* 12:20150976. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2015.0976

Goldstein, S. T., and Alve, E. (2011). Experimental assembly of foraminiferal communities from coastal propague banks. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 472, 45–60. doi: 10.3354/meps09918

Gomes, E., et al. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. *Science* 318, 1737–1742. doi: 10.1126/science.1148376

Gomez, E., et al. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. *Science* 318, 1737–1742. doi: 10.1126/science.1148376
Orr, J. C., Fabry, V. J., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Doney, S. C., Feely, R. A., et al. (2005). Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. *Nature* 437, 681–686. doi: 10.1038/nature04095

Orsi, W. D., Morard, R., Vuillemin, A., Eitel, M., Wörheide, G., Milucka, J., et al. (2020). Anaerobic metabolism of Foraminifera thriving below the seafloor. *ISME J.* 14, 2580–2594. doi: 10.1038/s41396-020-0708-1

Pawlowski, J. (2000). Introduction to the molecular systematics of foraminifera. In *Modern Foraminifera*, editors Pawlowski, J., Bolivar, I., Guiard-maffia, J., and Gouy, M. (1994). Phylogenetic position of foraminifera inferred from LSU ribosomal-RNA gene sequences. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 11, 929–938.

Rabalais, N. N., Turner, R. E., Sen Gupta, B. K., Platon, E., and Parsons, M. L. (2007). Sediments tell the history of eutrophication and hypoxia in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. *Ecol. Appl.* 17, S129–S143.

Riebesell, U., Zondervan, I., Rost, B., Tortell, P. D., Zeebe, R. E., and Morel, F. M. M. (2000). Reduced calcification of marine plankton in response to increased atmospheric CO$_2$. *Nature* 407, 364–367. doi: 10.1038/35030078

Ries, J. B., Cohen, A. L., and McCorkle, D. C. (2009). Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses to CO$_2$-induced ocean acidification. *Geology* 37, 1131–1134. doi: 10.1130/g30210a.1

Risgaard-Petersen, N., Langezaal, A. M., Ingvarsdson, S., Schmid, M. C., Jetten, M. S. M., Op den Camp, H. J. M., et al. (2006). Evidence for complete denitrification in a benthic foraminifer. *Nature* 443, 93–96. doi: 10.1038/nature05070

Ross, B. J., and Hallock, P. (2016). Dormancy in the foraminifera: a review. *J. Foraminifer. Res.* 46, 358–368. doi: 10.2113/gsfjr.46.4.358

Schmidt, C., Kucera, M., and Uthicke, S. (2014). Combined effects of warming and ocean acidification on coral reef foraminifera Marginopora vertebralis and Heterostegina depressa. *Coral Reefs* 33, 805–818. doi: 10.1007/s00338-014-1151-4

Sen Gupta, B. K. (1999). *Modern Foraminifera*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.

Sinutok, S., Hill, R., Doblin, M. A., Wuhrer, R., and Ralph, P. J. (2011). Warmer more acidic conditions cause decreased productivity and calcification in subtropical coral reef sediment-dwelling calcifiers. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 56, 1200–1212. doi: 10.4319/lo.2011.56.4.1200

Smale, D. A. (2020). Impacts of ocean warming on kelp forest ecosystems. *New Phytol.* 225, 1447–1454. doi: 10.1111/nph.16107

Stramma, L., Schmidtko, S., Levin, L. A., and Johnson, G. C. (2010). Ocean oxygen minima expansions and their biological impacts. *Deep Sea Res. I* 57, 587–595. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2010.01.005

Tittelboim, D., Almogi-Labin, A., Herut, B., Kucera, M., Schmidt, C., Hyams-Kaphzan, O., et al. (2016). Selective responses of benthic foraminifera to thermal pollution. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 105, 324–336. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.02.002

Uthicke, S., and Fabricius, K. E. (2012). Productivity gains do not compensate for reduced calcification under near-future ocean acidification in the photosynthetic benthic foraminifer species Marginopora vertebralis. * Glob. Change Biol.* 18, 2781–2791. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02715.x

Uthicke, S., Momigliano, P., and Fabricius, K. E. (2013). High risk of extinction of benthic foraminifera in this century due to ocean acidification. *Sci. Rep.* 3:1769.

Vargas, C. A., Lagos, N. A., Lardies, M. A., Duarte, C., Manriquez, P. H., Aguilera, V. M., et al. (2017). Species-specific responses to ocean acidification should account for local adaptation and adaptive plasticity. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1:0084.

Wit, J. C., Davis, M. M., McCorkle, D. C., and Bernhard, J. M. (2016). A short-term survival experiment assessing impacts of ocean acidification and hypoxia on the benthic foraminifera Globobulimina turgida. *J. Foraminifer. Res.* 46, 25–33. doi: 10.2113/gsfjr.46.1.25

Woehle, C., Roy, A. S., Glock, N., Wein, T., Weissenbach, J., Rosenstiel, P., et al. (2018). A novel eukaryotic denitrification pathway in foraminifera. *Curr. Biol.* 28, 2536–2543. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2018.06.027

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Bernhard, Wit, Starczak, Beaudoin, Phalen and McCorkle. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.