The mitochondrial genomes of five spring and groundwater amphipods of the family Crangonyctidae (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from eastern North America

Joseph B. Benito\textsuperscript{a}, Megan L. Porter\textsuperscript{b} and Matthew L. Niemiller\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a}Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL, USA; \textsuperscript{b}School of Life Sciences, University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI, USA

**ABSTRACT**

We sequenced the mitochondrial genomes of one spring-dwelling (Crangonyx forbesi) and four groundwater amphipods (Bactrurus brachycaudus, Stygobromus allegheniensis, S. pizzinii, and S. t. potomacus) from eastern North America using a shotgun sequencing approach on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA). All five mitochondrial genomes encoded 13 protein-coding genes, 22 transfer RNAs (tRNAs), and two ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) representative of subphylum Crustacea. Although the four groundwater species exhibited gene orders nearly identical to the ancestral pancrustacean gene order, the spring-dwelling species, C. forbesi, possessed a transposition of the trnH–nad4–nad4l loci downstream after nad6–cytb–trnS2. Moreover, a long nad5 locus, longer rml, and rns loci, and unconventional start codons distinguished C. forbesi from the four groundwater amphipods. Overall, our five amphipod mitogenomes add to the increasing publicly available mitogenome resources for amphipods that are not only valuable for studying the evolutionary relationships of this diverse group of crustaceans but for exploring the evolution of mitochondrial genomes in general.

**Introduction**

Amphipods of the family Crangonyctidae are a diverse group of crustaceans, many of which are associated with groundwater-related habitats, such as cave streams, small springs, seeps, hyporheic zones, and wells. This family is particularly diverse in groundwater of the eastern United States with over 100 described species in three genera: Bactrurus, Crangonyx, and Stygobromus (Holsinger 1977, 1978; Zhang 1997; Konemann and Holsinger 2002). Much of this diversity is troglomorphic, lacking eyes, pigment, and frequently with attenuated bodies (Holsinger 1967). Mitogenomic data presented are the first available for the genera Bactrurus or Crangonyx. Aunins et al. (2016) described the mitogenome of S. t. potomacus previously, but we present the mitogenome sampled from a different population offering the first intraspecific comparison of amphipod mitogenomes. We also provide a comparative analysis of structure and gene order of other amphipod mitogenomes available.

**Materials and methods**

Specimens of Stygobromus pizzinii and S. t. potomacus were collected from Pimmit Run Seepage Spring (38.929432°N; –77.118613°W) (Arlington County, VA), in May 2015. Specimens of Bactrurus brachycaudus were collected from Fogpole Cave (38.198055°N; –90.129722°W) (Monroe County, IL), in October 2014. Specimens of Stygobromus allegheniensis were collected from Caskey Spring (35.50319°N; –77.85139°W) (Berkeley County, WV), in September 2013. Specimens of Crangonyx forbesi were collected from a unidentified spring (Monroe County, IL) in 2013. All specimens were preserved in 100% ethanol in the field. Specimens and DNA extracts are maintained in the CaveBio collection at The University of Alabama in Huntsville. Whole genomic DNA was isolated using the Qiagen DNA Easy Blood and Tissue kit and libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA).
We assessed the quality of the raw reads using FastQC v0.11.5 (Andrews 2010), and the reads were trimmed and filtered using Trimmomatic v0.33 (Bolger et al. 2014). De-novo assembly was carried out using NOVOPlasty v2.6.3 assembler (Dierckxsens et al. 2017). We then annotated the protein-coding genes, transfer RNAs (tRNAs), and ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) for each of the five mitogenomes using the mitochondrial genome annotation web server MITOS (Bernt et al. 2013). The annotation of tRNAs were further confirmed using the Mitochondrial tRNA finder MITFi with Amphipoda models to search specific mitochondrial tRNA (Jühling et al. 2012; Romanova et al. 2020). The locations of start and stop codons of protein coding genes were further confirmed using NCBI ORFFinder (Wheeler et al. 2003) and by visual comparison to other published amphipod mitogenomes. The location of the control region was confirmed by the presence of a large intergenic spacer region with a string of thymines found immediately after rrnS and before trnl. However, the control region was located in a different region within the C. forbesi mitogenome. The secondary structures of tRNAs were inferred using MITFi (Jühling et al. 2012), a built-in module in MITOS. We downloaded from GenBank the annotated mitogenomes of 18 related amphipods that occupy the groundwater and spring habitats and three isopods as outgroup for comparative analyses (Table 1). The amino acid sequences of 13 protein-coding genes of the five new mitogenomes, 18 previously published amphipod mitogenomes, and three isopod mitogenomes were aligned using MAFFT version 7 (Katoh and Standley 2013). Poorly aligned regions were eliminated using Gblocks version 0.91b (Castresana 2000). The best partitioning strategy and best-fit evolutionary models for each partition were inferred using PartitionFinder version 2.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2012). Phylogenetic relationships of the 23 amphipod mitogenomes and three isopod mitogenomes using the concatenated 13 protein-coding gene alignment were determined using Bayesian inference in MrBayes v3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012). All analyses were conducted using the PhyloSuite v1.1.15 (Zhang et al. 2020).

**Results and discussion**

We assembled and annotated the complete mitogenomes of *Stygobromus pizzinii* (15,176 bp, GenBank accession no. MN175620), *Stygobromus tenuis potomacus* (14,712 bp, GenBank accession no. MN175621), *Stygobromus allegheniensis* (15,164 bp, GenBank accession no. MN175622), *Bacptrurus brachycaudus* (14,661 bp, GenBank accession no. MN175619), and *Crangonyx forbesi* (15,469 bp, GenBank accession no. MN175623). All mitogenomes contained 13 protein-coding genes, 22 tRNA genes, one small ribosomal RNA (rrnS) gene, and one large ribosomal RNA (rrnL) gene, and a non-coding control region representative of the Kingdom Animalia. In all mitogenomes, the heavy strand encoded a total of 23 genes, whereas the light strand encoded 14 genes. Similar to the ancestral gene order of pancrustaceans (crustaceans and hexapods; Kilpert and Podosiadowski 2006; Yang and Yang 2008), the light strand encoded the same four protein-coding genes (nad1, nad4, nad4l, and nad5) in all five mitogenomes. AT content of the *Stygobromus* and *Crangonyx* mitogenomes ranged 67.2–69.1%, consistent with the mitogenomes of other amphipods (Aunins et al. 2016; Romanova et al. 2016). However, AT content of the *B. brachycaudus* mitogenome was slightly lower at 63.9%.

Both *C. forbesi* and *S. allegheniensis* had more intergenic spacers (18 and 16, respectively) than *B. brachycaudus*, *S. pizzinii*, or *S. tenuis potomacus*, which all possessed just eight intergenic spacers. These intergenic spacers were found primarily between protein-coding genes, but at times also between tRNA genes. The high number of spacers in *C. forbesi* and *S. allegheniensis* could be a result of gene rearrangements (De Melo Rodovalho et al. 2014). However, additional studies characterizing the mitochondrial genomes of other Crangonyctidae species are needed to better understand the possible association of these intergenic spacers with gene rearrangements.

Gene order in *S. tenuis potomacus*, *S. pizzinii*, *S. allegheniensis*, and *B. brachycaudus* was almost identical to the ancestral pancrustacean gene order (Boore et al. 1998; Pons et al. 2014), except for the transposition of a few tRNA genes. However, *C. forbesi* exhibited quite distinctive gene rearrangements. *Stygobromus pizzinii*, *S. tenuis potomacus*, *S. allegheniensis*, and *B. brachycaudus* shared the conserved gene order of trnF–nad5–trnH–nad4–nad4l with other amphipod mitogenomes. Surprisingly, *C. forbesi* had a transposition of trnH–nad4–nad4l downstream after nad6–cytb–trmS2. The gene order in *C. forbesi* also differed from the ancestral pancrustacean gene order of nad1–trnL1–rrnL–trnV–rrnS with a transposition of trnV upstream between trnM and nad2 and a transposition of nad1 upstream between trnT and trnM. In addition, *S. tenuis potomacus*, *S. pizzinii*, *S. allegheniensis*, and *B. brachycaudus* shared the conserved gene order of trnC–trnY–trnQ; however, *C. forbesi* exhibited a transposition of trnC downstream after trnL–trmG. In addition, *C. forbesi* displayed several other transposed tRNA genes when compared to other amphipods.

ATN start codons, including ATT, ATC, ATG, and ATA, were the most frequently used start codons of most protein-coding genes. However, a few unconventional start codons were also used by protein-coding genes of a few species, including TTG for the nad1 locus in *S. tenuis potomacus*, *S. pizzinii*, *S. allegheniensis*, and *B. brachycaudus*. However, *C. forbesi* used start codon GTG for nad1. Another unconventional start codon included GTG for the cox2 locus in *S. tenuis potomacus* and *S. pizzinii* that has not been observed in other amphipod mitogenomes. In addition, *S. allegheniensis* used start codon GTG for the nad5 locus. Most of the protein-coding genes used TAA or TAG stop codons; however, there were genes that used an incomplete TA– or T– stop codon. Previous studies have shown that these incomplete stop codons are frequently observed in other amphipods (Pons et al. 2014; Romanova et al. 2016). These incomplete stop codons can be
modified into complete stop codons by post-transcriptional polyadenylation (Ojala et al. 1981).

Variation in the length of protein-coding genes and overlap between some adjacent protein-coding genes were observed among the five new crangonyctid mitogenomes and when in comparison with other amphipod mitogenomes. The nad5 gene of *C. forbesi* was 1974 bp in length and was substantially larger than in other amphipods (1665–1719 bp). This length difference is because of additional nucleotides found at the 3' end of the nad5 gene. Contrastingly, the nad2 gene of *S. allegheniensis* was 895 bp in length and was shorter than nad2 in other species (943–1023 bp). In addition, the nad6 gene of *B. brachycaudus* was 531 bp in length and was longer than in other species (486–507 bp), while the cytb gene was 1098 bp in length and was shorter than in other compared species (1117–1140 bp). The atp6 gene of *S. tenuis potomacus*, *S. pizzinii*, and *S. allegheniensis* overlapped with the adjacent atp8 gene by 41 bp, whereas no overlap was observed in *B. brachycaudus* and *C. forbesi*. An overlap between the atp8 and atp6 genes has been reported in other *Stygobromus* species including *S. tenuis* and *S. indentatus* (Aunins et al. 2016).

All 22 tRNA genes were encoded in the mitogenomes of *S. tenuis potomacus*, *S. pizzinii*, *S. allegheniensis*, *B. brachycaudus*, and *C. forbesi*. A total of 14 tRNA genes were encoded on the heavy strand, and a total of eight tRNA genes were encoded on the light strand in all species. However, *C. forbesi* possessed several transposed tRNAs when compared to other amphipods and the ancestral pancrustacean gene order. The length of the tRNAs ranged from 50 to 66 bp, and most of the tRNAs had ideal cloverleaf secondary structures. However, trnS1 and trnS2 of *S. tenuis potomacus*, *S. pizzinii*, *B. brachycaudus*, and *C. forbesi* were missing the D loop, while trnQ was missing the TYC loop, which has been observed previously in other *Stygobromus* species (Aunins et al. 2016). In addition, tRNAs of *B. brachycaudus* and *S. allegheniensis* displayed additional unique differences. The tRNAs trnC and trnR of *B. brachycaudus* lacked the D loop and trnQ lacked the acceptor stem in addition to missing the TYC loop, which was not observed in other species. In contrast, tRNAs trnS1 and trnS2 of *S. allegheniensis* contained the D loop.

Alignment of the large ribosomal RNA (rrnL) gene of all five crangonyctid amphipods revealed the presence of a long sequence (52 bp) overhang on the 5' end of the rrnL gene of

![Figure 1](image-url). Bayesian phylogeny of aligned protein-coding loci (3169 aa) for five new amphipod mitogenomes (*Stygobromus allegheniensis*, *S. pizzinii*, *S. tenuis potomacus*, *Bactrurus brachycaudus*, and *Crangonyx forbesi*) in addition to 18 additional amphipod mitogenomes available on GenBank. The three isopods *Ligia oceanica*, *Limnoria quadripunctata*, and *Eophreatoicus sp.14 FK-2009* were included as an outgroup to root the phylogeny. New mitogenomes generated in this study are highlighted. GenBank accession numbers were included as suffix next to the species names. Values at nodes represent posterior probabilities.
C. forbesi. In the crangonyctid amphipod mitogenomes, the rrnL gene was flanked by tRNAs trnL1 and trnV; however, the rrnL gene of C. forbesi was immediately located upstream of the small ribosomal RNA (rrnS) and both loci were flanked by tRNAs trnL1 and trnI. The length of the rrnL gene in the other crangonyctid species (1034–1037 bp) was similar to that of other amphipod species.

The small ribosomal RNA (rrnS) gene of all five amphipod species had a relatively conserved 3' end. Analogous to the rrnL gene, a long sequence (47 bp) overhang was observed on the 5' end of the rrnS gene in C. forbesi. The 3' end of the rrnS gene in all crangonyctid mitogenomes was followed by a continuous stretch of thymines, which was identified as the 5' end of the non-coding control region. However, the rrnS gene in C. forbesi was immediately followed by tRNA trnL. The control region of C. forbesi contained a transposition and was located downstream of the nad1 gene.

A comparison between the two S. tenuis potomacus mitogenomes revealed few differences. Their gene order and AT content (69.1%) were identical, and both had equal numbers of intergenic spacers. The unconventional start codon GTG for the cox2 locus found in the S. tenuis potomacus (MN175621) mitogenome we sequenced was not observed in the S. tenuis potomacus sequenced previously (KU869712; Aunins et al. 2016). The conventional start codon ATC was used instead. In addition, start codons for three other protein-coding loci (cox1, nad3, and nad5) differed in their 3rd position between the two mitogenomes. The cytb locus of S. tenuis potomacus (KU869712) used the incomplete stop codon T—while the conventional stop codon TAA was used in S. tenuis potomacus (MN175621). In addition, the stop codon for nad3 locus differed in their 3rd position (TAA versus TAG) between the two mitogenomes. Another difference observed was in lengths of the non-coding control region and nad3 locus found. The control region of S. tenuis potomacus (KU869712) was 773 bp in length and was substantially larger than in S. tenuis potomacus (MN175621, 556 bp). In addition, the nad3 locus of S. tenuis potomacus (KU869712) was 381 bp in length and larger than in S. tenuis potomacus (MN175621, 354 bp). Apart from these differences, the location, structure, and length of transfer and ribosomal RNAs were nearly identical between the two mitogenomes.

Bayesian phylogenetic inference of the 13 protein-coding gene alignment (Figure 1) yielded a topology congruent with previous studies of amphipod phylogenetic relationships (Aunins et al. 2016; Romanova et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2017). Members of Crangonyctidae (Bacotrus, Crangonyx, and Stygobromus) form a well-supported clade. However, the genus Stygobromus was not monophyletic, as B. brachycaudus and C. forbesi were nested within Stygobromus. Crangonyx forbesi was sister to all other crangonyctids, although support for this relationship was lower. The paraphyly of Stygobromus was also recovered in a phylogenetic analysis of the cox1 locus (Niemiller et al. 2018).

Conclusions

In this study, we have added the complete mitogenomes of four groundwater amphipods – S. pizzinii, S. allegheniensis, S. tenuis potomacus, and B. brachycaudus – as well as the complete mitogenome of a spring-dwelling amphipod C. forbesi to the growing list of publicly available amphipod mitogenomes. Although all five new mitogenomes exhibited the complete set of 37 genes commonly observed in other amphipods, C. forbesi displayed several unique gene arrangements, including the transposition of genes trnH–nad4–nad4l downstream after nad6–cytb–trnS2. This particular gene

| GenBank accession | Species Family | Full length (bp) |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| KU869712          | Stygobromus tenuis potomacus Crangonyctidae | 14,915 |
| KU869713          | Stygobromus foliatus Crangonyctidae | 15,563 |
| MN175619          | Bacotrus brachycaudus Crangonyctidae | 14,661 |
| MN175620          | Stygobromus pizzinii Crangonyctidae | 15,176 |
| MN175621          | Stygobromus tenuis potomacus Crangonyctidae | 14,712 |
| MN175622          | Stygobromus allegheniensis Crangonyctidae | 15,164 |
| MN175623          | Crangonyx forbesi Crangonyctidae | 15,469 |
| NC_008412         | Ligia oceanica Crangonyctidae | 15,289 |
| NC_013032         | Metacrangonyx longipes Metacrangonyctidae | 14,113 |
| NC_013976         | Ephreataeus sp. 14 FK-2009 Phreatoicidae | 14,994 |
| NC_017760         | Gammarus duebeni Gammaridae | 15,651 |
| NC_019653         | Metacrangonyx repens Metacrangonyctidae | 14,355 |
| NC_019654         | Metacrangonyx dominicanus Metacrangonyctidae | 14,543 |
| NC_019655         | Metacrangonyx gauliminensis Metacrangonyctidae | 14,507 |
| NC_019656         | Metacrangonyx ilvanus Metacrangonyctidae | 14,770 |
| NC_019657         | Metacrangonyx spinicaudatus Metacrangonyctidae | 15,037 |
| NC_019658         | Metacrangonyx longicaudus Metacrangonyctidae | 14,711 |
| NC_019659         | Metacrangonyx panousei Metacrangonyctidae | 14,478 |
| NC_019660         | Metacrangonyx remyi Metacrangonyctidae | 14,787 |
| NC_023104         | Eulimnogammarus verrucosus Eulimnogammaridae | 15,315 |
| NC_024054         | Limnorina quadripunctata Limnoriidae | 16,515 |
| NC_025364         | Eulimnogammarus vitatus Eulimnogammaridae | 15,534 |
| NC_030261         | Stygobromus cf. crangonyx Crangonyctidae | 14,638 |
| NC_033360         | Eulimnogammarus cyaneus Eulimnogammaridae | 14,370 |
| NC_034937         | Gammarus fossarum Gammaridae | 15,989 |
| NC_037481         | Gammarus roeselii Gammaridae | 16,073 |

*Partial mitogenome.
Isopod mitogenomes.
arrangement deviates significantly from the ancestral par-crustacean gene order and has not been detected in other amphipod species to date. Phylogenetic analysis supports the monophyly of Crangonyctidae but suggests that the genus *Stygobromus* is not a monophyletic group. In general, our contribution of these five additional crangonyctid mitogenomes will be highly valuable for inferring the phylogenetic relationships, biogeography, and trait evolution of amphipods and investigating mitogenome evolution.
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