Evaluation of Dynamic Intimal Flap Movement Using IVUS in Patients with Type B Aortic Dissections Before and after TEVAR
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Abstract

Objective: Evaluation and characterization of intimal flaps within the visceral aorta before and after thoracic endovascular stent graft placement in Stanford type B aortic dissections (TBAD).

Methods: We evaluated 19 patients with TBAD: 11 chronic and 8 acute (less than 14 days). Intravascular Ultrasound (IVUS) recorded aortic flap movement at the level of the superior mesenteric artery during one RR-interval of the ECG. Flap movement was recorded before and after stent-graft placement. We defined and evaluated the following: intimal flap as the flap movement index (FMI) and flap area index (FAI) with measurements of the minimum and maximum aortic diameters (ADmin, ADmax), minimum and maximum true lumen diameters (TDmin, TDmax), as well as true lumen areas (TAmin, TAmx). Flap movement index was defined as [(TDmax/ADmax-TDmin/ADmin)/TDmin/ADmin] x 100 (%). The flap area index was defined as [TAmax-TAmin/TAmin] x100. Results are reported as the mean +/- the standard deviation. Significant P values are less than 0.05.

Results: Mortality and SCI rates were 0%. All patients were successfully treated with complete thrombosis of the false lumen of the thoracic aorta at 1-month follow-up as shown by CTA. There was nearly complete remodeling of the thoracic aorta. There was an immediate and significant increase in the true lumen diameters and areas following stent graft repair in the visceral aorta. Following thoracic stent graft repair, the flap movement index and flap area index were reduced from 19.63 ± 2.3% to 10.66 ± 1.9% (P=.0001) and 95.65 ± 21 % to 18.85 ± 4.2% (P=.0001), respectively.

Conclusion: Thoracic aortic stent grafting has become the treatment of choice for patients with complicated TBAD. This is the first study to evaluate and characterize the dynamic aortic flap movement of the visceral aorta in patients with TBAD immediately before and after thoracic stent graft repair using IVUS.

Introduction

Since its initial use in treating TBAD was reported in 1999, thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) has evolved as the treatment of choice for complicated descending thoracic aortic dissections [1-6]. Endovascular repair in TBAD attempts to reestablish the pre-dissection hemodynamics of the aorta by covering the proximal entry tear and stimulating aortic remodeling [7]. Numerous studies have demonstrated aortic remodeling after TEVAR for TBAD [8,9]. Aortic remodeling, characterized by expansion of the true lumen and thrombosis and healing of the false lumen, is associated with the best outcomes following TEVAR [8,10-12].

The immediate effects of TEVAR, however, are less frequently described. In an aortic dissection, the septum is of varying thickness and mobility. It is also sensitive to changes in the hemodynamic pressures within the aorta, often contributing to dynamic stenosis or occlusion of branch vessels. The placement of a thoracic stent...
graft has the potential to immediately improve flow within the true lumen and reduce dynamic obstruction caused by the intimal flap. The purpose of this study was to describe the intimal flap movement at the level of the visceral aorta intra-operatively prior to and following the deployment of the endograft in patients with TBAD.

Methods

Between Sept 2011 and Aug 2012, 19 consecutive patients were treated with thoracic stent grafts for type B aortic dissections. IVUS examination prior to stent graft placement was performed from the ascending aorta to the external iliac arteries. An 8.2F, 8.35MHz Visions catheter (Volcano Corp., Rancho Cordova, CA, USA) was introduced over a 0.035inch stiff wire through a 10cm 8F sheath and advanced to the ascending aorta. The IVUS catheter was oriented when the renal vein was identified to correctly adjust the image. With the gain set at 40 to more accurately delineate the aortic flap and lumen, a 10 second video loop of aortic and aortic flap motion was recorded within the visceral aorta at the SMA level during RR-intervals of the ECG prior to and after the deployment of the stent graft.

Analysis of IVUS Data

The video recordings of the aortic and aortic flap movement were played on a DICOM viewer (Rubo Medical, Aerdenhout, The Netherlands) to evaluate the movement throughout a single cardiac cycle. A Scion PCI Frame Grabber (Scion Corporation, Frederick, MD, USA) was used to capture still images, which were then analyzed using ImageJ software to measure diameters and luminal areas. The minimum (at diastole) and maximum (at systole) total aortic lumen diameter and area as well as the minimum and maximum true lumen diameter and area were measured. Measurements were obtained from the inner wall to the inner wall, the lumen was then bounded and the total areas of the aorta and of the true lumen were assessed quantitatively in square centimeters. Each movement was independently reviewed by two blinded observers to evaluate the diameter and area change of the aorta and the true lumen. Measurements were taken of minimum and maximum aortic diameters (ADmin, ADmax), minimum and maximum true lumen diameters (TDmin, TDmax), and true lumen areas (TAmin, TAmx). Flap movement index (FMI), a description of the amount of movement of the intimal flap over the course of a single cardiac cycle, was defined as [(TDmax/ADmax-TDmin/ADmin)/(TDmin/ADmin x 100)]. Flap area index (FAI), a description of change in true lumen area over the course of a single cardiac cycle, was defined as [(TAmx-TAmin)/TAmin x 100].

Statistical Analysis

Measures of diameter and area are described as mean ± standard deviation. Difference in area and diameters from systole to diastole were evaluated using a Student t-test for paired data. Differences in measurements before and after TEVAR were evaluated using analysis of variance for repeated measurements. Analyses of measurement method comparison data according to Bland and Altman were performed to analyze repeatability and to compare measurements by two observers (there was no significant difference between the measurements of the two observers at any point during this study). A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Of the 19 patients with TBAD treated with TEVAR, 11 were chronic (>2 weeks), and 8 acute (<2 weeks). All patients were treated with the Valiant thoracic stent graft. Coverage in all patients was proximally from zone 2 (coverage of the left subclavian artery), with a distal extent down to 2 cm above the celiac artery. All procedures were considered successful, and 1 month follow-up CTA demonstrated complete thrombosis of the false lumen with nearly complete remodeling within the thoracic aorta. There were no instances of mortality or spinal cord ischemia within 30 days postoperatively. Table 1 demonstrates the measurements seen on IVUS. The placement of a thoracic stent graft did not cause significant change in the total aortic diameter (AD), either at systole (ADmax) or at diastole (ADmin).

Table 1: IVUS measurements of total aortic diameter and true lumen area and diameter at systole (max) and diastole (min) before and after placement of thoracic stent to treat TBAD.

| Measurements     | Pre Thoracic Stent | Post Thoracic Stent | P value |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| TD min (mm)*     | 11.25 ± 1.7        | 16.00 ± 1.8         | 0.0001  |
| TD max (mm)†     | 14.50 ± 1.29       | 19.25 ± 2.2         | 0.0001  |
| TA min (cm²)‡    | 1.38 ± 0.21        | 2.97 ± 0.33         | 0.0001  |
| TA max (cm²)§    | 2.70 ± 0.42        | 3.53 ± 0.22         | 0.0001  |
| AD min (mm)[]    | 22.75 ± 1.5        | 22.75 ± 1.5         | 0.9     |
| AD max (mm)[|    | 24.5 ± 2.08        | 24.75 ± 2.2         | 0.8     |

* TD min: minimum true lumen diameter
† TD max: maximum true lumen diameter
‡ TA min: minimum true lumen area
§ TA max: maximum true lumen area
[] AD min: minimum aortic diameter
| AD max: maximum aortic diameter

Prior to intervention, the true lumen diameter (TD) changed significantly throughout the cardiac cycle, with the true lumen diameter changing from 11.25 ± 1.7mm in diastole (TDmin) to 14.50 ± 1.29mm in systole (TDmax) (P=.01). Following TEVAR, true lumen diameter was significantly larger than before at both systole and diastole (P<.001). It also continued to change significantly during the cardiac cycle (TDmin 16.00 ± 1.8mm vs TD max 19.25 ± 2.2mm P=.01). Prior to TEVAR, the true lumen area (TA) changed significantly during the cardiac cycle between diastole (TAmx) and systole (TAmx) (1.38 ± 0.21cm² vs 2.70 ± 0.42cm²)
respectively P=.0001). After TEVAR, the true lumen area became significantly larger. In addition, it continued to change significantly during the cardiac cycle (TAm 2.97 ± 0.33cm2 vs TAm 3.53 ± 0.22cm2 P=.0001). Following thoracic stent graft repair, FMI was significantly decreased from 19.63 ± 2.3% to 10.66 ± 1.9% (P=.0001). In addition, FAL was also significantly decreased from 95.65 ± 21 % to 18.85 ± 4.2% (P=.0001).

Discussion

Since 1999, when the first papers describing the use of endografts to treat complicated type B dissections were published, TEVAR has been found to be a safe and effective alternative to open repair. It has been also shown to provide improved 5-year outcomes when compared to optimal medical therapy alone [1-3,6]. The primary goal of TEVAR during treatment of TBAD is to exclude blood flow to the false lumen. Persistent flow within the false lumen, especially partial thrombosis of the false lumen, is an important risk factor for aortic enlargement, and false lumen thrombosis is associated with improved outcomes [13-16]. TEVAR promotes false lumen thrombosis and remodeling by covering the primary entry tear and expanding the true lumen.

TEVAR can significantly alter the morphology of the true and false lumen [8,17]. Schoder et al. followed changes in the TL and FL diameter in 20 patients after TEVAR for acute complicated dissection with 90% achievement of FL thrombosis at one year with a mean decrease in the FL diameter of 11.6mm [15]. Conrad et al. also documented aortic remodeling in 21 patients in the year following TEVAR, 88% of whom had thrombosis of the FL [10]. The mean maximum diameter of the TL in Conrad’s study significantly increased from 23.7 to 31.1mm and the mean maximum aortic diameter decreased from 39.9 to 34.8mm [10]. In our study, 100% of patients experienced false lumen thrombosis within 30 days after TEVAR, and we found that there was a significant increase in the size of the true lumen in all patients. Similarly, we found a significant increase in the volume of the true lumen after TEVAR. In a volumetric analysis study, Stanley et al. showed that false lumen thrombosis after TEVAR was associated with a significant increase in the volume of the true lumen as a percentage of the total aortic volume from 42.7% to 61.7%.

On the other hand, continued false lumen patency did not affect the true lumen volume percentage after TEVAR (46.7% to 47.7%) [18]. It is our practice to maximize the coverage of the thoracic aorta in patients with TBAD, since remodeling may not occur in segments that are not stented [19]. In this study, we evaluated the visceral segment of the aorta, which often remains untreated and has fenestrations present, allowing continued flow into the false lumen. In this study, we were able to clearly demonstrate that with maximum thoracic stent graft coverage to just above the celiac artery, there is a significant increase in the true lumen diameter and volume even in the untreated visceral segment of the aorta. Compression of the true lumen can be dramatic, especially in acute dissections, significantly reducing flow through distal branches perfused by the normal flow channel. TEVAR has the potential to stabilize the often highly mobile septum, which is sensitive to changes in the hemodynamic pressures within the aorta and can cause dynamic stenosis or occlusion of branch vessels.

Our data suggest that not only does TEVAR promote long-term aortic remodeling, it provides immediate stabilization of the intimal flap and increase in true lumen diameter and volume at the visceral segment, reducing the time that patients may experience reduced blood-flow due to true lumen compression, be it static or dynamic. Unlike other studies which have utilized postoperative CTA to assess aortic remodeling, the current study assessed aortic diameter, true lumen diameter, and true lumen area intraoperatively, immediately after insertion of the stent-graft. IVUS clearly demonstrated that there is a significant increase in true lumen diameter and volume immediately after TEVAR. We were also able to dynamically study the aortic intimal flap with the use of IVUS and to assess the immediate effects of intervention on flap mobility. We found a significant decrease in the movement (i.e. stabilization of the intimal flap) immediately after maximum coverage of the thoracic aorta.

Conclusions

Thoracic aortic stent grafting is becoming the standard of care in patients with complicated TBAD. Aortic morphologic changes occur immediately after stent graft placement with a significant increase in the true lumen diameter and area. Furthermore, the stent graft significantly minimizes the movement of the intimal flap. Further studies to determine the clinical significance of flap stabilization are required, however, the use of intravascular ultrasound to measure the flap intimal index and area may be a useful adjunct to predict false lumen thrombosis.
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