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Abstract: We recast the Podleś spheres in the noncommutative physics context by showing that they can be regarded as slices along the time coordinate of the different regions of the quantum Minkowski space-time. The investigation of the transformations of the quantum sphere states under the left coaction of the $SO_q(3)$ group leads to a decomposition of the transformed Hilbert space states in terms of orthogonal subspaces exhibiting the periodicity of the quantum sphere states.
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1 Introduction

A great variety of works based on the quantum spheres have been developed since the appearance of Podleś spheres [1] and their symmetries [2]. Most part of these studies have been done either in the quantum bundle formalism where the quantum spheres provide concrete examples to test the different structures of this formalism [3, 4, 5] or, more recently, in quantum field theories on quantum spheres which should respect the $SU_q(2)$ quantum symmetries (see for example [6, 7, 8, 9] and references therein).

In the other hand, the evolution of a free particle in the quantum Minkowski space-time has been analysed in [10] and the transformations of its quantum velocity under the Lorentz subgroup of boost transformations in [11].

In section 1 of this paper we persue these studies by recasting the quantum spheres in the noncommutative special relativity where we show that we can regard them as quantum manifolds embedded into the quantum Minkowski space-time. This embedding preserves the reality structure and the commutation rules of the quantum Minkowski space-time coordinates. In particular, we show that in the time-like region of the quantum Minkowski space-time the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(L)}$ of states describing the noncommutative relativistic evolution of a free particle having a quantum velocity of length $|v|_q^2 = (1 + Q^2c(L))$, $c(L) = -\frac{1}{(q^{L+1}+q^{-L+1})^2}$ with $L \geq 1$ is an integer, $q$ is the deformation parameter and $Q = q + q^{-1}$ are precisely, for fixed time, the space of irreducible representations of the Podleś quantum spheres $S_q^2$ with $c = c(L)$. We also show in this section that the Hilbert space of representations of the space-like region of the quantum Minkowski space-time corresponds, for particular fixed time, to the Hilbert space of representations of the quantum spheres $S_q^2$ with $c \in [0, \infty)$ or $S_q^\infty$.

In section 3, we show that the state transformations under the coaction of the $SO_q(3)$ group exhibits the periodicity of the quantum sphere states through a decomposition of the transformed Hilbert space in terms of orthogonal subspaces each describes the same quantum sphere.

2 The quantum spheres

Before embedding the different quantum spheres into quantum Minkowski space-time, let us recall briefly some properties of the noncommutative special relativity presented in [10]. First it was shown in [12] that the generators $\Lambda_N^M$ ($N, M = 0, 1, 2, 3$) of quantum Lorentz group may be written in terms of those of quantum $SL(2, C)$ group as

$$
\Lambda_N^M = \frac{1}{Q} \varepsilon_{\gamma\delta} \sigma_N^{\delta\alpha} M_\alpha^\sigma \sigma_M^{\sigma\beta} M_\beta^\gamma \varepsilon_{\gamma\beta}
$$

(1)

where $M_\alpha^\beta$ $(\alpha, \beta = 1, 2)$ and $M_\alpha^\beta = (M_\alpha^\beta)^*$ are the generators of the quantum $SL(2, C)$ group subject to the unimodularity conditions $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} M_\gamma^\alpha M_\delta^\beta = \varepsilon_{\gamma\delta}$, $\varepsilon_{\gamma\delta} M_\gamma^\alpha M_\delta^\beta = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$, $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} M_\gamma^\alpha M_\delta^\beta = \varepsilon_{\gamma\delta}$, $\varepsilon_{\gamma\delta} M_\gamma^\alpha M_\delta^\beta = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$, $Q = q + q^{-1}$ and the spinor metrics are taken to be $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = -\varepsilon^{\beta\alpha} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & -q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
q^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
$ where $q \in [0, 1[$ is a real deformation parameter. $\sigma_N^{\alpha\beta}$ are a set of four in-
The quantum metric dependent matrices composed by the Pauli matrices \( \sigma^n_{\alpha\beta} (n = 1, 2, 3) \) and the identity matrix \( \sigma^0_{\alpha\beta} \) and \( \mathbf{T}^{\alpha\beta} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} R_{\lambda\nu}^{\gamma} \sigma^\lambda_{\gamma} \). The R-matrices are given by
\[
R^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} = \delta^\alpha_\gamma \delta^\beta_\gamma + q^{\pm_1} \varepsilon^\alpha_\gamma \varepsilon^\beta_\gamma \text{ satisfying } R^{\pm_1\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} R^{\pm_2\gamma\delta}_{\epsilon} = \delta^\alpha_\gamma \delta^\beta_\delta \text{ and }
R^{\pm_1\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} R^{\pm_2\gamma\delta}_{\epsilon} = \delta^\alpha_\delta \delta^\beta_\gamma .
\]

They induce the commutation rules \( M_\alpha^\rho M_\beta^\sigma R^{\pm_1\gamma\delta}_{\rho\sigma} = R^{\pm_2\rho\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} M_\gamma^\rho M_\delta^\sigma \) and \( M_\alpha^\rho M_\beta^\sigma R^{\pm_1\gamma\delta}_{\rho^\sigma} = R^{\pm_2\rho\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} M_\gamma^\rho M_\delta^\sigma \).

The Lorentz group generators are real, \( (\Lambda_\alpha^M)^* = \Lambda_\alpha^M \), and generate a Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{L} \) endowed with a coaction \( \Delta \), a counit \( \varepsilon \) and an antipode \( S \) acting as \( \Delta(\Lambda_\alpha^M) = \Lambda_\alpha^K \otimes \Lambda_\alpha^M \), \( \varepsilon(\Lambda_\alpha^M) = \delta_\alpha^M \) and \( S(\Lambda_\alpha^M) = G_{NK} \Lambda_\alpha^L G^{LM} \) respectively. \( G^{NM} \) is an invertible and hermitian quantum metric given by \( G^{IJ} = \frac{1}{q} \varepsilon^{\alpha\mu} \sigma^I_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{T}^{J\beta\gamma} \varepsilon_{\gamma\nu} = \frac{1}{q} \varepsilon_{\gamma\nu} \mathbf{T}^{I\gamma\alpha} \sigma^J_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\beta\delta} \).

The form of the antipode of \( \Lambda_N^M \) implies the orthogonality conditions \( G_{NM} \Lambda_L^N \Lambda_K^M = G_{LK} \) and \( G^{LK} \Lambda_L^N \Lambda_K^M = G^{NM} \) of the generators of the quantum Lorentz group.

The quantum metric \( G^{NM} \) can be considered as a metric of a quantum Minkowski space-time \( \mathcal{M}_4 \) equipped with real coordinates \( X_N \), \( (X_N)^* = X_N \). \( X_0 \) represents the time operator and \( X_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \) represent the space right invariant coordinates, \( \Delta_R(X_I) = X_I \otimes I \), which transform under the left coaction as
\[
\Delta_L(X_I) = \Lambda_I^K \otimes X_K .
\]

From the hermiticity of the Minkowskian metric and the orthogonality conditions we can see that the four-vector length \( G^{NM} X_N X_M = -\tau^2 \) is real and invariant. It was also shown in [12] that \( \tau^2 \) is central, it commutes with the Minkowski space-time coordinates and the quantum Lorentz group generators. \( \Lambda_N^M \) and \( X_N \) are subject to the commutation rules controlled by the \( \mathcal{R}_{PQ}^{NM} \) matrix as:
\[
\Lambda_L^P \Lambda_K^Q \mathcal{R}_{PQ}^{NM} = \mathcal{R}_{LK}^{PQ} \Lambda_P^N \Lambda_Q^M
\]
and
\[
X_N X_M = \mathcal{R}_{NM}^{PQ} X_P X_Q
\]
where the \( \mathcal{R} \)-matrix of the Lorentz group is constructed out of those of \( SL(2, C) \) group and satisfies the relations \( \mathcal{R}_{KL}^{NM} G^{KL} = G^{NM} \) and \( \mathcal{R}_{KL}^{NM} G_{NM} = G_{KL} \) which show the quantum symmetrization of the Minkowskian metric \( G^{NM} \) and its inverse.

To make an explicit calculation of the different commutation rules of the generators of the quantum Lorentz group, we take the following choice of Pauli hermitian matrices
\[
\sigma^0_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sigma^1_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sigma^2_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sigma^3_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} .
\]

This choice leads us to a quantum metric form \( G^{LK} \) exhibiting two independent blocks, one for the time index and the others for space components indices \( (k = 1, 2, 3) \) whose nonvanishing elements are \( G^{00} = -q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \), \( G^{11} = G^{22} = G^{33} = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \), \( G^{12} = -G^{21} = -iq^{\frac{1}{2}} \).
vanishing elements of its inverse are $G_{00} = -q^2$, $G_{11} = G_{22} = q^{-\frac{3}{2}}Q^2$, $G_{33} = q^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $G_{12} = -G_{21} = iq^{-\frac{1}{2}}(q^{-q^{-1}}Q)$. In the classical limit $q = 1$, this metric reduces to the classical Minkowski metric with signature $(-, +, +, +)$. Explicitly, the length of the four-vector $X_N$ reads

$$G^{NM}X_NX_M = -\tau^2 = -q^{-\frac{3}{2}}X_0^2 + q^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{qX_\alpha X_\alpha + q^{-1}X_\alpha X_\alpha}{Q}\right) + X_3^2$$ (5)

where $X_z = X_1 + iX_2$ and $X_\tau = X_1 - iX_2$. An explicit computation of (4) gives

$$[X_0, X_N] = 0,$$

$$X_3X_z - q^2X_zX_3 = (q - q^{-1})X_0X_z, \quad X_3X_\tau - q^{-2}X_\tau X_3 = -q^{-2}(q - q^{-1})X_0X_\tau$$

and

$$X_zX_\tau - X_\tau X_z = (q - q^{-1})Q(X_3^2 + q^{-1}X_0X_3).$$ (6)

The Pauli matrices satisfy $\sigma^0_{\alpha\beta} = -\sigma^0_{\beta\alpha} = -\delta^\beta_\alpha$, $\sigma_{N\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}} = \sigma_{N11} + \sigma_{N22} = -Q\delta^0_\alpha$ and $\sigma^{N\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = Q\delta^N_\alpha$ which make explicit the restriction of the quantum Lorentz group to the quantum subgroup of the three dimensional space rotations by restricting the quantum $SL(2, C)$ group generators to those of the $SU(2)$ group. In fact when we impose the unitarity conditions, $M_{\alpha\beta} = S(M_\beta^\alpha)$, in (1) we get

$$\Lambda_N^0 = \delta^0_N, \quad \Lambda_0^M = \delta^M_0$$ (7)

which lead us to the restriction of the Minkowski space-time transformations under the quantum Lorentz group to the orthogonal transformations group $SO_q(3)$. This subgroup leaves invariant the three dimensional quantum subspace $\mathcal{R}_3 \subset \mathcal{M}_q$ equipped with the real coordinate system $X_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and the Euclidian metric $G^{ij}$. More precisely as a consequence of (7), (2) reduces to

$$\overline{\Delta}(X_0) = \overline{\Lambda}_0^0 \otimes X_0 = I \otimes X_0, \quad \overline{\Delta}(X_i) = \overline{\Lambda}_i^j \otimes X_j$$ (8)

where $\overline{\Delta}_{(L)}$ is the restriction of (2) to the three dimensional quantum subspace $R_3$ of $\mathcal{M}_q$. $\overline{\Lambda}_i^j = \frac{1}{Q}\sigma_{ij}^\alpha M_\alpha \sigma_{\rho\sigma} S(M_\rho^\beta)\varepsilon^{ij\beta}$ generate a $SO_q(3)$ Hopf subalgebra $\mathcal{L}$ whose the axiomatic structure is derived from those of $\mathcal{L}$ as $\Delta(\overline{\Lambda}_i^j) = \overline{\Lambda}_i^k \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_k^j$, $\varepsilon(\overline{\Lambda}_i^j) = \delta^i_j$ and $S(\overline{\Lambda}_i^j) = G_{ikj} \overline{\Lambda}_i^k G^{Lj} = G_{ikj} \overline{\Lambda}_i^k G^{ij}$ where $G^{ij}$ is the restriction of $G^{lj}$ satisfying $G_{ik} G_{kj} = \delta^j_i = G_{jk} G_{ki}$, $G^{ij} R_{ij}^{kl} = G^{kl}$ and $G_{ik} R_{ij}^{kl} = G_{ij}$ which are the quantum symmetrization of the Euclidian metric $G^{ij}$ and its inverse. The form of the antipode $S(\overline{\Lambda}_i^j)$ implies the orthogonality properties of the generators of the quantum subgroup $SO_q(3)$ as $G^{ij} \overline{\Lambda}_i^k \overline{\Lambda}_j^k = G^{lk}$ and $G_{ik} \overline{\Lambda}_i^k \overline{\Lambda}_j^k = G_{ij}$. The commutation rules of the coordinate $X_i$ of $\mathcal{R}_3$ satisfy the same commutation rules (6) where $X_0$ is taken to be a constant parameter, recall that it commutes with the spacial coordinates $X_i$. 
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Therefore, $\mathcal{X}_i = \frac{1}{Q} \sigma_i^\alpha \sigma^j \sigma^\rho S(M^\beta) \varepsilon^{i\beta}$ establishes a correspondence between $SU_q(2)$, $M^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$ with the commutation relation

$$\alpha \alpha^* + q^2 \gamma \gamma^* = 1, \quad \alpha^* \alpha + \gamma \gamma^* = 1, \quad \gamma \gamma^* = \gamma^* \gamma, \quad \alpha \gamma^* = q \gamma^* \alpha, \quad \alpha \gamma = q \gamma \alpha$$

and $SO_q(3)$ group. In the three dimensional space $\mathcal{R}_3$ spanned by the basis $X_z$, $X_\tau$ and $X_3$, where the $SO_q(3)$ acts, the generators $\mathcal{X}_i = \frac{1}{Q} \sigma_i^\alpha \sigma^j \sigma^\rho S(M^\beta) \varepsilon^{i\beta}$ read

$$(\mathcal{X}_i)^j = \begin{pmatrix} -2q \gamma \gamma & 2 \alpha^* \alpha^* & Q_\gamma \alpha^* \\ 2 \alpha \alpha & -2q \gamma \gamma^* & Q_\alpha \gamma^* \\ -2 \alpha^* \gamma & -2 \gamma^* \alpha^* & 1 - q Q \gamma \gamma^* \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_3 \otimes C(SU_q(2))$$

where the indices $i, j$ run over $z = 1 + i 2, \tau = 1 - i 2$ and 3.

In the case $\tau^2 > 0$, time-like region, it was shown in [10] that the evolution of a free particle in the Minkowski space-time is described by states belonging to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(L)}$ whose basis is spanned by common eingenstate of $X_0$ and $X_3$

$$X_0|t, L, n\rangle = t|t, L, n\rangle, \quad x_3|t, L, n\rangle = x_3^{(L,n)}|t, L, n\rangle$$

where $\tau^2 = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon^{(L)}}$, $x_3^{(L,n)} = q^{-1} t (2 - n) (1 - q^{2n+1}) \frac{1}{n} (1 - q^{2L-n}) \frac{1}{n} |t, L, n + 1\rangle$

and

$$X_\tau|t, L, n\rangle = \lambda \overline{q}^{-1} t \overline{q}^{-(L-n+1)} (1 - q^{2n+1}) \frac{1}{n} (1 - q^{2L-n+1}) \frac{1}{n} |t, L, n - 1\rangle$$

respectively where $\overline{\lambda} = 1$. In the following we take $\lambda = -1$. The length of velocity of the particle is given by $|\vec{v}|^2 = q^2 (\frac{V_1^2}{q} + \frac{q^{-1} V_2}{q} + V_3^2) = 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{(L)}} \leq 1$. The light-cone, $\tau^2 = 0$, corresponds to $L = \infty$ leading to $|\vec{v}|^2 = 1$ which is the velocity of the light. In this region the evolution of the particle is described by states $|t, n\rangle$ ($n = 0, 1, ..., \infty$) satisfying

$$X_0|t, n\rangle = t|t, n\rangle, \quad X_3|t, n\rangle = q^{-1} t (q^{2n+1} Q - 1)|t, n\rangle,$$

$$X_\tau|t, n\rangle = -q^n t Q (1 - q^{2(n+1)}) ^{\frac{1}{n}} |t, n + 1\rangle$$

and

$$X_\tau|t, n\rangle = -q^{(n-1)} t Q (1 - q^{2n}) ^{\frac{1}{n}} |t, n - 1\rangle.$$
In the following we take the length of the quantum three-vector as \( |\vec{X}|_q^2 = \frac{(qX_x X_x + q^{-1} X^{-1}_x X_x)}{Q} + X_3^2 \). The quantum group \( SO_q(3) \) acts on the spatial coordinates \( X_i \) as (8) and lives invariant both \( X_0 \) and \( \tau^2 \), then \( q^{-2}X_0^2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau^2 = R^2 = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}G^{ij} X_i X_j = (\frac{qX_x X_x + q^{-1} X^{-1}_x X_x}{Q} + X_3^2) = |\vec{X}|_q^2 \). For fixed \( t^2 \) we have

\[
R^2(L)|L, n\rangle = q^{-2}t^2(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2(L)})|L, n\rangle,  \tag{14}
\]

and the relations (11,12) for finite \( L \geq 1 \) and

\[
R^2|n\rangle = q^{-2}t^2|n\rangle  \tag{15}
\]

and the relations (13) for \( L = \infty \) where the orthonormal states \( |L, n\rangle \) and \( |n\rangle \), \( \langle L, n'|L, n\rangle = \delta_{n', n}, n', n = 0, 1, ..., L \), and \( \langle n'|n\rangle = \delta_{n', n}, n, n = 0, 1, ..., \infty \), denote the states satisfying (11,12) and (13) respectively. The unique state \( |t, 0, 0\rangle \) corresponding to \( L = 0 \) describes a particle at rest at the origin of the spacial coordinate system, for \( t = 0 \) then \( \tau^2 = 0 \) it represents the origin of the four coordinate system of the quantum Minkowski space-time, \( X_N|0, 0, 0\rangle = 0|0, 0, 0\rangle \). Therefore, The \( L + 1 \) dimensional Hilbert subspace \( H^{(L)} \) of states describing the evolution of a free particle of a given length of the velocity in the noncommutative Minkowski space-time can be identified, for fixed time, with the Hilbert space \( H^{(L)}_{S_q^2} \) of irreducible representations of the quantum spheres of radius \( R^{(L)} = q^{-1}t(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2(L)})\frac{1}{2} \). This observation leads us to state

**Theorem:** the Podleś spheres \( S_{q^λρ}^2 \) are slices along the time coordinate of the different regions of the quantum Minkowski space-time \( M_4 \)

\[
S_{q^λρ}^2 = \{X_N \in M_4|X_0 = t_0, \tau^2 = \tau_0^2\}. \tag{16}
\]

The quantum spheres \( S_{q^c}^2 \) where \( c = c(n) = -\frac{1}{(q^n + q^{-n})^2} \) \( n = 2, 3, ..., \infty \) correspond to slices at \( t_0 = -q \) and \( \tau_0^2 = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\gamma^2(L)} \) where \( n = L + 1, L \geq 1 \).

The quantum spheres \( S_{q^c}^2 \) where \( c \in]0, 0\] \) correspond to slices at \( t_0 = -q \) and \( \tau_0^2 = -q^{\frac{1}{2}}Q^2c \) and \( S_{q^\infty}^2 \) corresponds to a slice at \( t_0 = 0 \) and \( \tau_0^2 = -q^{\frac{1}{2}}Q^2 \).

**proof:** Due to the fact that \( X_0 \) and \( \tau^2 \) commute with the spacial coordinates \( X_z, X_\bar{z} \) and \( X_3, X_0 \) and \( \tau^2 \) can be taken to be constants without contradict the commutation rules (6). If we set \( X_3 = Qe_1, X_3 = e_0, X_\bar{z} = Qe_{-1}, \lambda = (q - q^{-1})X_0 = (q - q^{-1})t \) and \( \rho = q^{-2}t^2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau^2 \) into (5-6), we see that we recover the algebra generators of the quantum sphere \( A(S_q^2) \) given by (2a-e) in [1].

For \( t_0 = -q \) and \( \tau_0^2 = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\gamma^2(L)} \geq 0, L \geq 1 \), we have \( \lambda = 1 - q^2 \) and \( \rho = R^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2(L)} = Q^2c + 1 \) giving \( c = c(L) = -\frac{1}{Q^2\gamma^2(L)} = c(n) = -\frac{1}{(q^n + q^{-n})^2} \) where \( n = L + 1 \). These constraints correspond to slices of the past time-like region for finite \( L \) or a slice of the past light-cone region for \( L = \infty \). They fit with the quantum spheres \( S_{q^c}^2 \) with \( c = c(n) \leq 0 \) which are described by states satisfying (11, 12, 14) for finite \( L \geq 1 \) and (13) and (15) for \( L = \infty \).
We follow the same procedure presented in [10] to investigate the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^s$ of space of representations of the space-like region of the Minkowski space-time. The elements $|t, n\rangle$ of irreducible representations of the Podleś quantum sphere $S^2_q$ follow the same procedure presented in [10] to investigate the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^s$ of space of representations of the space-like region of the Minkowski space-time. The elements $|t, n\rangle$ of irreducible representations of the Podleś quantum sphere $S^2_q$ satisfy

$$X_0|t, n\rangle = t|t, n\rangle, \quad X_3|t, n\rangle = (q^{2n}(\frac{Qt}{2} \pm (\frac{Q^2t^2 - 4\alpha}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - q^{-1}t)|t, n\rangle,$$

$$X_z|t, n\rangle = -q^{-1}(q^{2n}(\frac{Qt}{2} \pm (\frac{Q^2t^2 - 4\alpha}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}})(Qt - q^{2n}(\frac{Qt}{2} \pm (\frac{Q^2t^2 - 4\alpha}{2}))) - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}|t, n + 1\rangle$$

and

$$X_\bar{z}|t, n\rangle = -q^{-1}(q^{2n}(\frac{Qt}{2} \pm (\frac{Q^2t^2 - 4\alpha}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}})(Qt - q^{2n}(\frac{Qt}{2} \pm (\frac{Q^2t^2 - 4\alpha}{2}))) - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}|t, n - 1\rangle \quad (17)$$

where $\tau^2 = q^{-\frac{3}{2}}\alpha < 0$. By substituting $\alpha = -Q^2t^2$, $c \in [0, \infty[$ we get:

$$X_\bar{z}|t, n\rangle = q^{-1}t(q^{2n+1})Q(\frac{1}{2} \pm (c + \frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - 1)|t, n\rangle,$$

$$X_z|t, n\rangle = -q^{-1}Qt(q^{2n+1})(\frac{1}{2} \pm (c + \frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 - q^{2n+1})(\frac{1}{2} \pm (c + \frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}}) + c^{\frac{1}{2}}|t, n + 1\rangle$$

and

$$X_{\bar{z}}|t, n\rangle = -q^{-1}Qt(q^{2n+1})(\frac{1}{2} \pm (c + \frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 - q^{2n+1})(\frac{1}{2} \pm (c + \frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}}) + c^{\frac{1}{2}}|t, n - 1\rangle. \quad (18)$$

If we put in (18) $t = t_0 = -q$ the Hilbert space states $\mathcal{H}^s$ can be identified to the space of irreducible representations of the Podleś quantum spheres $S^2_{q^c}$ where $c \in [0, \infty[$ and if we put in (17) $t_0 = 0$ and $\alpha = -q^2Q^2$ we obtain the space of representations of the Podleś quantum sphere $S^2_{q^\infty}$. Q.E.D.

We may also consider, as for the time-like region, that the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^s$ spanned by $|t, n\rangle$ satisfying (18) as space of states describing the evolution in the space-like region of the quantum Minkowski space-time of a free particle moving with an operator velocity of components $V_x = X_x/t$, $V_\bar{x} = X_{\bar{x}}/t$ and $V_3 = X_3/t$. In this case we obtain from (18) a length of the velocity $|\vec{v}|^2_q = -\frac{q^{2J}}{\sqrt{q^2}}x_iV_j = 1 + Q^2c > 1$ great than the velocity of the light $|\vec{v}|^2_l = 1$ [10]. Note that from (18), (11, 12) and (13) we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathcal{H}^s \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(\infty)} \leftarrow \lim_{L \to \infty} \mathcal{H}^{(L)}.$$

To investigate the transformations of the quantum sphere states under the $SO_q(3)$ quantum group we have to construct the Hilbert space states $\mathcal{H}_{SO_q(3)}$ where the generators $\Lambda_i^j$ act. Since the $X'_0 = \Delta(L)(X_0) = I \otimes X_0$ and $X'_i = \Delta(L)(X_i) = \Lambda_i^j \otimes X_j$ fulfill the same commutation rules
(6) the transformed states of the quantum sphere satisfy the same relations (11, 12) in the
time-like region, (13) in the light-cone and (17) in the space-like region. Since the coordinates
$X_i$ transform under the tensorial product of $SO_q(3)$ and $S^2_q$: the transformed states also belong
to the tensorial product $H_{SO_q(3)} \otimes H_{S^2_q}$ [10] which needs the construction of the Hilbert space
$H_{SO_q(3)}$.

To construct $H_{SO_q(3)}$ we are not obliged to compute explicitly the complicated commutation rules (3) where we impose (7) but we simply consider the action of the $SU_q(2)$ generators on
the orthonormal Hilbert space states; $\gamma|n\rangle = q^n|n\rangle$, $\gamma^*|n\rangle = q^n|n\rangle$, $\alpha|n\rangle = (1 - q^{2n})^{1/2}|n - 1\rangle$ and $\alpha^*|n\rangle = (1 - q^{2(n+1)})^{1/2}|n + 1\rangle$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty$) which, combined with (10), give the
action of the generators of $SO_q(3)$ on the basis $|n\rangle$ of the Hilbert space $H_{SO_q(3)}$ as

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{X}_3^3|n\rangle &= (1 - q^{2n+1}Q)|n\rangle \ , \ \bar{X}_3^2|n\rangle = -2q^{(2n+1)}|n\rangle \ , \ \bar{X}_3^1|n\rangle = -2q^{2n+1}|n\rangle \\
\bar{X}_3^3|n\rangle &= -2q^n(1 - q^{2n})^{1/2}|n - 1\rangle \ , \ \bar{X}_3^2|n\rangle = -2q^{n+1}(1 - q^{2(n+1)})^{1/2}|n + 1\rangle, \\
\bar{X}_3^3|n\rangle &= Qq^{n+1}(1 - q^{2(n+1)})^{1/2}|n + 1\rangle \ , \ \bar{X}_3^2|n\rangle = Qq^n(1 - q^{2n})^{1/2}|n - 1\rangle, \\
\bar{X}_3^2|n\rangle &= 2(1 - q^{2n+1})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(n+2)})^{1/2}|n + 2\rangle \ , \ \bar{X}_3^1|n\rangle = 2(1 - q^{2n})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(n-1)})^{1/2}|n - 2\rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

Now we are ready to investigate the transformations of the quantum sphere states under the
$SO_q(3)$ group.

3 The transformations of the quantum sphere states

To investigate the transformed quantum sphere states under the $SO_q(3)$ quantum group, we follow the study of the quantum boost transformations in noncommutative special relativity
presented in [11]. Let us recall that in the boost transformation the four coordinates transform
by yielding a change of the time operator $X_0$, the length of the three spacial-vector
$qX_3, q^{-1}X_3 - q X_3$ and the component $X_3$ which leads to a change of the quantum number $L$
and $n$ in the transformed states. Under the $SO_q(3)$ transformations, the spacial coordinates
transform but the length $|\vec{X}|_q$ of the three-vector and the time operator $X_0$ remain invariant.
Then under the $SO_q(3)$ group the quantum number $L$ remains fixed but $n$ changes and,
therefore, the transformed states $|L, p\rangle$, $p = 0, 1, \ldots, L$ may be given either in the Hilbert
subspace states $H_{S^2_q}^{(L)}$ or in the tensorial product $H_{SO_q(3)} \otimes H_{S^2_q}^{(L)}$. More precisely, if we consider the orthonormal basis $|m, L, n\rangle = |m\rangle \otimes |L, n\rangle$ of the Hilbert space $H_{SO_q(3)} \otimes H_{S^2_q}^{(L)}$ or
$|m, n\rangle = |m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle$ of the Hilbert space $H_{SO_q(3)} \otimes H_{S^2_q}^{(\infty)}$, we have

\[
\langle m', L, n'|m, L, n\rangle = \delta_{m', m}\delta_{n', n},
\]

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{L} |m, L, n\rangle \langle m, L, n| = 1
\]

for finite $L$ and

\[
\langle m', n'|m, n\rangle = \delta_{m', m}\delta_{n', n},
\]

\[8\]
for \( L = \infty \) from which we deduce that under the coaction of \( \mathcal{SO}_q(3) \) quantum group the transformed sphere states may be written as

\[
|L, p\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{m=\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} |m, L, n\rangle \langle m, L, n|L, p\rangle , \quad p = 0, 1, \ldots, L
\]  

(22)

for finite \( L \) and

\[
|p\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{m=\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} |m, n\rangle \langle m, n|p\rangle , \quad p = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty
\]

(23)

for \( L = \infty \). In the space-like region we have the same relations (21) for the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{SO}_q(3) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}_2^p}} \) and the same transformed states (23) satisfying (17) or (18).

The transformations (8) of the coordinates act on the states (22) as

\[
X_i'|L, p\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{(L)}(X_i)|L, p\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{m=\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} (\hat{\mathcal{X}}_i \otimes X_j)|m, L, n\rangle \langle m, L, n|L, p\rangle
\]

(24)

where \((\hat{\mathcal{X}}_i \otimes X_j)|m, L, n\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{X}}_i^j|m\rangle \otimes X_j|L, n\rangle\). By using (11-12) and (19), we deduce from (24) the following relation

\[
X_3'|L, p\rangle = q^{-1}t\left(\frac{q^{-(L-2p)}}{\gamma(L)} - 1\right)|L, p\rangle = \frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)} \sum_{m=0}^{m=\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{n=L} \langle m, L, n|L, p\rangle
\]

\[
\times (1 - q^{2m+1})Q(q^{-(L-2m)}Q - q^{(L+1)} - q^{-(L+1)})|m, L, n\rangle
\]

\[
+ Qq^{-(L-n-m+1)}(1 - q^{2m})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2n})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-n+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m - 1, L, n - 1\rangle
\]

\[
+ Qq^{-(L-n-m-1)}(1 - q^{2(m+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(n+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-n)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m + 1, L, n + 1\rangle
\]

(25)

and

\[
X_2'|L, p\rangle = \frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)} \sum_{m=0}^{m=\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} \langle m, L, n|L, p\rangle
\]

\[
\times (q^{(m+1)}(1 - q^{2m+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}(q^{-(L-2n)}Q - q^{(L+1)} - q^{-(L+1)})|m + 1, L, n\rangle
\]

\[
+ q^{-(L-n-2m)}(1 - q^{2n})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-n+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m, L, n - 1\rangle
\]

\[
- q^{-(L-n)}(1 - q^{2(m+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(n+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-n)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m + 2, L, n + 1\rangle
\]

(26)

and

\[
X_1'|L, p\rangle = \frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)} \sum_{m=0}^{m=\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} \langle m, L, n|L, p\rangle
\]

\[
\times (q^{m}(1 - q^{2m})^{\frac{1}{2}}(q^{-(L-2n)}Q - q^{(L+1)} - q^{-(L+1)})|m - 1, L, n\rangle
\]

\[
+ q^{-(L-n-2m-1)}(1 - q^{2(n+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-n+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m, L, n + 1\rangle
\]

\[
- q^{-(L-n+1)}(1 - q^{2(m+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2n})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-n+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m - 2, L, n - 1\rangle
\]

(27)
By applying \( \langle m, L, n \rangle \) from the left we get because of linear independence the following conditions on \( \langle m, L, n | L, p \rangle \)

\[
(q^{2p} - q^{2n} - q^{2m}(1 - q^{2n})) \langle m, n | L, p \rangle + q^{(2m+2n+2)}(1 - q^{2(L-n)}) \langle m, L, n | L, p \rangle \\
eq q^{(m+n+1)}(1 - q^{2(m+1)}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(n+1)}) \frac{1}{2} \langle m + 1, L, n + 1 | L, p \rangle \\
+ q^{(m+n-1)}(1 - q^{2m}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2n}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(L-n+1)}) \frac{1}{2} \langle m - 1, L, n - 1 | L, p \rangle
\]  

(28)

from (25),

\[
-q^{-(L-p)}(1 - q^{2(p+1)}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(L-p)}) \frac{1}{2} \langle m, L, n | L, p + 1 \rangle \\
eq q^{m}(1 - q^{2m}) \frac{1}{2} (q^{-(L-2m)}Q - q^{(L+1)} - q^{-(L+1)}) \langle m - 1, L, n | L, p \rangle \\
+ q^{-(L-n-2m-1)}(1 - q^{2(n+1)}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(L-n)}) \frac{1}{2} \langle m, L, n + 1 | L, p \rangle \\
-q^{-(L-n+1)}(1 - q^{2(m-1)}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2m}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(L-n+1)}) \frac{1}{2} \langle m - 2, L, n - 1 | L, p \rangle
\]  

(29)

from (26) and

\[
-q^{-(L-p+1)}(1 - q^{2p}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(L-p+1)}) \frac{1}{2} \langle m, L, n | L, p - 1 \rangle \\
eq q^{(m+1)}(1 - q^{2(m+1)}) \frac{1}{2} (q^{-(L-2m)}Q - q^{(L+1)} - q^{-(L+1)}) \langle m + 1, L, n | L, p \rangle \\
+ q^{-(L-n-2m)}(1 - q^{2(m+1)}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(L-n+1)}) \frac{1}{2} \langle m, L, n - 1 | L, p \rangle \\
-q^{-(L-n)}(1 - q^{2(m+1)}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(m+2)}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(n+1)}) (1 - q^{2(L-n)}) \frac{1}{2} \langle m + 2, L, n + 1 | L, p \rangle
\]  

(30)

from (27). The relations (28-30) are the recursion formulas which permit to compute the coefficients \( \langle m, L, n | L, p \rangle \) giving the transformed states \( |L, p \rangle \) in terms of basis elements of the Hilbert space states \( \mathcal{H}_{SO_3(3)} \otimes \mathcal{H}_S^{(L)} \).

To investigate the different coefficients \( \langle m, L, n | L, p \rangle \) we start by inserting \( \langle 0, L, n | L, 0 \rangle \) and then \( \langle m, L, 0 | L, 0 \rangle \) into (28), the result can be iterated \( K \) times to get from

\[
\langle K, L, n + K | L, 0 \rangle = q^{K(n+K)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \frac{(1 - q^{2(L+1-n-k)}) \frac{1}{2}}{(1 - q^{2k}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(n+k)}) \frac{1}{2}} \langle 0, L, n | L, 0 \rangle, \quad 0 \leq n + K \leq L
\]  

(31)

and

\[
\langle m + K, L, K | L, 0 \rangle = q^{K(m+K)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \frac{(1 - q^{2(L+1-k)}) \frac{1}{2}}{(1 - q^{2k}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(m+k)}) \frac{1}{2}} \langle m, L, 0 | L, 0 \rangle, \quad 0 \leq K \leq L
\]  

(32)

By combining the relations (29) and (30) with (28) we may deduce by a recursive way the coefficients \( \langle m + K, L, K | L, p + 1 \rangle, \langle K, L, n + K | L, p + 1 \rangle, \langle m + K, L, K | L, p - 1 \rangle \) and \( \langle K, L, n + K | L, p - 1 \rangle \) in terms of those of the development of \( |L, p \rangle \) as

\[
\langle m, L, n | L, p + 1 \rangle = -q^{(n-p+1)}(1 - q^{2(n+1)}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - q^{2(L-n)}) \frac{1}{2} \langle m, L, n + 1 | L, p \rangle + \\
-q^{(m+p-1)}(1 - q^{2m}) \frac{1}{2} (q^{2p} - q^n) \frac{1}{2} \langle m - 1, L, n | L, p \rangle
\]  

(33)
\[ \langle m, L, n | L, p - 1 \rangle = -q^{(n-p+1)} \frac{(1 - q^{2n})^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - q^{2(L-n)})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^{2p})^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - q^{2(L+1-p)})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \langle m, L, n - 1 | L, p \rangle + \\
- q^{-(m+p+1)} \frac{(1 - q^{2(m+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}} (q^{2p} - q^{n})}{(1 - q^{2p})^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - q^{2(L+1-p)})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \langle m - 1, L, n | L, p \rangle. \quad (34) \]

Therefore all the coefficients in the development (22) of states may be obtained in terms of \( \langle m, L, 0 | L, 0 \rangle \) and \( \langle 0, L, n | L, 0 \rangle \).

Now we are in position to state

**Theorem:** The Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{SO}_q(3)} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{S^2_q}^{(L)} \) admits the decomposition

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{SO}_q(3)} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{S^2_q}^{(L)} = \sum_{m=-L}^{m=\infty} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(L,m)} \]  

where the \( L + 1 \)-dimensional Hilbert subspaces \( \mathcal{H}^{(L,m)} \) are space of representations of the same quantum spheres \( S^2_q \) where \( c = c(L) \).

**proof:** First, we may see that

\[ \mathcal{P}_{(L,m)} = \sum_{K=0}^{K=L} |m + K, L, K \rangle \langle m + K, L, K| , \quad m = -L, ..., \infty \]  

are projectors, \( \mathcal{P}^\dagger_{(L,m)} = \mathcal{P}_{(L,m)} \) and \( \mathcal{P}_{(L,m)} \mathcal{P}_{(L,m')} = \delta_{m,m'} \mathcal{P}_{(L,m)} \), leading to the decomposition

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{SO}_q(3)} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{S^2_q}^{(L)} = \sum_{m=-L}^{m=\infty} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(L,m)}. \]  

For \( m < 0 \) the sum starts from \( K = -m \) because for \( K + m < 0 \) the states \( |m + K, L, K \rangle \) vanish implying that the dimension of \( \mathcal{H}^{(L,m)} \) is \( L + 1 + m \) for \( -L \leq m < 0 \). In this case we have

\[ |L, p \rangle^{(n)} = \sum_{K=0}^{K=L-n} |K, L, n + K \rangle \langle K, L, n + K| L, p \rangle = \sum_{K=n}^{K=L} |K - n, L, K \rangle \langle K - n, L, K| L, p \rangle \in \mathcal{H}^{(L,n)} , \quad n = 1, 2, ..., L \]  

where \( n = -m \).

Now let in the Hilbert spaces \( \mathcal{H}^{(L,m)} \) the states

\[ |L, p \rangle^{(m)} = \sum_{K=0}^{K=L} |m + K, L, K \rangle \langle m + K, L, K| L, p \rangle. \quad (39) \]
The normalization condition of the states $|L, 0\rangle^n$ $0 \leq n \leq L$ and $|L, 0\rangle^m$ $m \geq 0$ gives from (31) and (32)

$$((0, L, n|L, 0\rangle)^2 \sum_{K=0}^{K=L-n} q^{2K(n+K)} \prod_{k=1}^{k=K} \frac{(1 - q^{2(L+1-n-k)})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(n+k)})^{\frac{1}{2}}}) = 1$$

(40)

and

$$((m, L, 0|L, 0\rangle)^2 \sum_{K=0}^{K=L} q^{2K(m+K)} \prod_{k=1}^{k=K} \frac{(1 - q^{2(L+1-k)})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^{2k})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(m+k)})^{\frac{1}{2}}}) = 1$$

(41)

respectively. The restriction of (25) to the states (39) gives

$$\sum_{L}(X_3)|L, p\rangle^{(m)} = \frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)Q} \sum_{K=0}^{K=L} (m + K, L, K|L, p) \times$$

$$((1 - q^{2(m+K)+1})Q)q^{-(L-2K)}Q - q^{(L+1)} - q^{-(L+1)})|m + K, L, K\rangle$$

$$+ Qq^{-(L-m-2K+1)}(1 - q^{2(m+K)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2K})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-K+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m + K - 1, L, K - 1\rangle$$

$$+ Qq^{-(L-m-2K-1)}(1 - q^{2(m+K+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2K+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-K)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m + K + 1, L, K + 1\rangle).$$

(42)

We evaluate this sum by parts. Each part contains a sum constructed by setting $K$ in the first term of the second hand, $K + 1$ in the second term and $K - 1$ in the third term and gives the same state $|m + K, L, K\rangle$ with coefficient

$$\frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)Q}(1 - q^{2(m+K)+1})Q(q^{-(L-2K)}Q - q^{(L+1)} - q^{-(L+1)})|m + K, L, K|L, p\rangle$$

$$+ Qq^{-(L-m-2K-1)}(1 - q^{2(m+K+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2K+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-K)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m + K + 1, L, K + 1|L, p\rangle$$

$$+ Qq^{-(L-m-2K-1)}(1 - q^{2(m+K)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2K})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-K+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m + K - 1, L, K - 1|L, p\rangle.$$ 

(43)

For $m = m + K$ and $n = K$, (28) reduces to the relation

$$q^{2p - q^{2K} - q^{2(m+K)}(1 - q^{2K})} + q^{(2m+4K+2)}(1 - q^{2(L-2K)})|m + K, L, K|L, p\rangle =$$

$$q^{(m+2K+1)}(1 - q^{2(m+K+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2K+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-K)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m + K + 1, L, K + 1|L, p\rangle +$$

$$q^{(m+2K-1)}(1 - q^{2(m+K)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2K})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^{2(L-K+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}|m + K - 1, L, K - 1|L, p\rangle$$

(44)

which, used into (43), leads to the same proportionality coefficient of the state $|m + K, L, K\rangle$ given by

$$\frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)Q}((1 - q^{2(m+K)+1})Q(q^{-(L-2K)}Q - q^{(L+1)} - q^{-(L+1)})$$

$$+ Qq^{-(L-p)}q^{2K} - q^{2(m+K)}(1 - q^{2K}) + q^{(2m+4K+2)}(1 - q^{2(L-K)})|m + K, L, K|L, p\rangle$$

$$= q^{-1}t(q^{-(L-2p)}) - 1)|m + K, L, K|L, p\rangle.$$ 

(45)
which leads to

\[
\Delta_L(X_3)|L,p\rangle^{(m)} = q^{-1}t(q^{-(L-2p)} - 1) \sum_{K=0}^{K=L} |m + K, L, K\rangle \langle m + K, L, K|L,p\rangle = q^{-1}t(q^{-(L-2p)} - 1)|L,p\rangle^{(m)}. \tag{46}
\]

Now if we apply \(\Delta(X_z)\) on the states (39), we obtain

\[
\Delta(X_z)|L,p\rangle^{(m)} = \frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)} \sum_{K=0}^{K=L} |m + K, L, K\rangle \langle m + K, L, K|L,p\rangle \\
\times (q^{(m+K+1)}(1 - q^{2(m+K+1)})^{1/2}(q^{-(L-2K)}Q - q^{(L+1)} - q^{-(L+1)})|m + K + 1, L, K\rangle \\
+ q^{-(L-2m-3K)}(1 - q^{2K})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(L-K+1)})^{1/2}|m + K, L, K - 1\rangle \\
- q^{-(L-K)}(1 - q^{2(m+K+1)})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(m+K+2)})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(K+1)})^{1/2} \\
(1 - q^{2(L-K)})^{1/2} |m + K + 2, L, K + 1\rangle. \tag{47}
\]

The computation of each part of this sum corresponding to \(K\) for the first term of the second hand of (47), \(K + 1\) for the second term and \(K - 1\) for the third term leads to a proportionality coefficient of a same state \(|m + 1 + K, L, K\rangle\) given by

\[
\frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)}(q^{(m+K+1)}(1 - q^{2(m+K+1)})^{1/2}(q^{-(L-2K)}Q - q^{(L+1)} - q^{-(L+1)})|m + K + 1, L, K\rangle \\
+ q^{-(L-2m-3K-3)}(1 - q^{2K})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(L-K+1)})^{1/2}|m + K, L, K + 1\rangle \\
- q^{-(L-K+1)}(1 - q^{2(m+K+1)})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(m+K+2)})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(K+1)})^{1/2} \\
(1 - q^{2(L-K)})^{1/2} |m + K + 2, L, K + 1\rangle. \tag{48}
\]

By replacing into (29) \(m\) by \(m + 1 + K\) and \(n\) by \(K\) we see that (48) reduces to

\[
- \frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)}q^{-(L-p)}(1 - q^{2(p+1)})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(L-p)})^{1/2} |m + 1 + K, L, K|L,p\rangle \tag{49}
\]

which show that (47) reads

\[
\Delta_L(X_z)|L,p\rangle^{(m)} = \\
- \frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)}q^{-(L-p)}(1 - q^{2(p+1)})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(L-p)})^{1/2} \sum_{K=0}^{K=L} |m + 1 + K, L, K\rangle \langle m + 1 + K, L, K|L,p+1\rangle \\
= - \frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)}q^{-(L-p)}(1 - q^{2(p+1)})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(L-p)})^{1/2}|L,p+1\rangle^{(m+1)}. \tag{50}
\]

The same way gives

\[
\Delta_L(X_{\bar{z}})|L,p\rangle^{(m)} = \\
- \frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)}q^{-(L-p+1)}(1 - q^{2p})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(L-p+1)})^{1/2} \sum_{K=0}^{K=L} |m - 1 + K, L, K\rangle \langle m - 1 + K, L, K|L,p-1\rangle \\
= - \frac{q^{-1}t}{\gamma(L)}q^{-(L-p+1)}(1 - q^{2p})^{1/2}(1 - q^{2(L-p+1)})^{1/2}|L,p-1\rangle^{(m-1)}. \tag{51}
\]
By using (46), (50) and (51), we can show from a straightforward computation that the states 
\[ |L, p\rangle_{(m)} \text{, } m = -L, -L+1, \ldots, \infty, \]
are eigenstates of \( qX_zq^{-1}X_z + X_z^2 \) with the same eigenvalue 
\[ q^{-2}\lambda_2(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda_2}) = R^2(L). \]
Note that for \( m = 0 \) and \( n = L \) (28) gives 
\[ (q^2p - 1)|0, L, L|p \rangle = 0 \]
yielding 
\[ \langle 0, L, L|p \rangle = 0 \text{ if } p > 0. \] (52)

In the other hand (40) leads to 
\[ ((0, L, L)|0, L, L\rangle)^2 = 1 \]
yielding \( \langle 0, L, L|0, L, L \rangle = \lambda \) with \( \lambda \lambda = 1 \). If we take \( \lambda = 1 \), we get 
\[ |L, 0\rangle(L) = |0, L, L\rangle \langle 0, L, L|0, L, L \rangle = |0, L, L \rangle \] (53)
which is the unique state of the one dimensional Hilbert subspace \( H^{(L,-L)} \). In the other hand for \( m = 0 \), (33) gives 
\[ \langle 0, L, L - 1|L, p + 1 \rangle = 0 \text{ if } p > 0 \text{ or } \langle 0, L, L - 1|L, p \rangle = 0 \text{ if } p > 1. \]
By iteration we get from (54)
\[ \langle 0, L, L - k|L, p \rangle = 0 \text{ if } p > k. \] (55)

Now by substituting (55) into the left hand side of (28) we get 
\[ \langle K, L, L|L, p \rangle = 0 \text{ if } p > k \] (56)
and by setting \( L - k = n \) we obtain
\[ \langle K, L, n + K|L, p \rangle = 0 \implies |L, p\rangle^{(n)} = 0 \text{ for } L - n < p \leq L. \] (57)

Then the Hilbert subspace states \( H^{(L,m)} \), \( -L \leq m \leq 1 \), do not describe the whole of the quantum sphere but only its parts described by the states \( |L, 0\rangle, \ldots, |L, L + m\rangle \). (50) and (51) show that \( X_z \) is a linear mapping \( X_z : H^{(L,m)} \rightarrow H^{(L,m+1)} \) and \( X^*_z \) is a linear mapping \( X^*_z : H^{(L,m)} \rightarrow H^{(L,m-1)}. \) To obtain the Hilbert space of representations, we considere the orthogonal subspaces \( H^{(L,m)}_m = -L, \ldots, \infty \) spanned by the bases \( |L, p\rangle^{(m+p)} \) with \( p = 0, 1, \ldots, L \) which are the Hilbert subspaces in the decomposition (35). The \( L + 1 \)-dimensional subspace \( H^{(L,m)}_m \) are irreducible space representations of the same quantum sphere \( S^2_q \), where \( c = c(L) \). Q.E.D.

Note that by using the eingenstate relations (13) and the relations (19), the same procedure show that in the light-cone \( L = \infty \) the states 
\[ |p\rangle^{(m)} = \sum_{K=0}^{K=\infty} |m + K, K\rangle\langle m + K, K|p \rangle, \text{ } m + K \geq 0 \] (58)
satisfy
\[ \Delta L(X_3) |p\rangle^{(m)} = q^{-1} t (q^{(2p+1)} Q - 1) \sum_{K=0}^{K=\infty} |m + K, K\rangle \langle m + K, K | p\rangle = \\
q^{-1} t (q^{(2p+1)} Q - 1) |p\rangle^{(m)}, \tag{59} \]
\[ \Delta L(X_2) |p\rangle^{(m)} = -q^{-1} t Q q^{p+1} (1 - q^{2(p+1)})^{1/2} \sum_{K=0}^{K=\infty} |m + 1 + K, K\rangle \langle m + 1 + K, K | p + 1\rangle = \\
- q^{-1} t Q q^{p+1} (1 - q^{2(p+1)})^{1/2} |p + 1\rangle^{(m+1)} \tag{60} \]
and
\[ \Delta L(X_\tau) |p\rangle^{(m)} = -q^{-1} t Q q^p (1 - q^{2p})^{1/2} \sum_{K=0}^{K=\infty} |m - 1 + K, K\rangle \langle m - 1 + K, K | p - 1\rangle = \\
- q^{-1} t Q q^p (1 - q^{2p})^{1/2} |p - 1\rangle^{(m-1)}. \tag{61} \]
The states \(|p\rangle^{(m)}\) are eigenstates of \(\frac{2X_3 + q^{-1}X_2}{Q} + X_3^2\) with the same eigenvalue \(q^{-2} t^2\). In this case we consider in the decomposition (35) the subspaces \(\mathcal{H}^{(m)}\) spanned by the bases \(|p\rangle^{(m+p)}\)
\(p = 0, 1, ..., \infty\). The same procedure may be done in the space-like region for the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}_{S^2_q}\).

**Conclusion:**
In this paper we have showed that the different Podleś spheres can be obtained as slices along the time coordinate of the different regions (light-cone, time-like or space-like) of the quantum Minkowski space-time. The representation of the coaction of the \(SO_q(3)\) quantum group on the quantum spheres \(S^2_{qc}\) in the Hilbert space states exhibits the periodicity of the quantum sphere states through a decomposition (35) of the Hilbert space transformed states in terms of orthogonal Hilbert subspaces whose each of them is a space of states of a same quantum sphere.
The state transformations in time-like region (finite \(L\)) or light-cone (\(L = \infty\)) may also be regarded as transformations under the \(SO_q(3)\) quantum subgroup of the Lorentz group of states describing the evolution in the quantum Minkowski space-time of a free particle moving with a velocity of the length \(|\vec{v}_q| = (1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2(t)})^{1/2}\). and of component \(v_3^{(L,n)} = q^{-1} (\frac{q^{(L-2n)}}{\gamma^2(t)} - 1)\) for finite \(L\) and of the length \(|\vec{v}_q| = 1\), velocity of the light, and of component \(v_3^{(n)} = q^{-1} (q^{2n+1}Q - 1)\) for \(L = \infty\).
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