Influence of endothelial function and arterial stiffness on the behavior of cervicocephalic arterial dissections: An observational study
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Background: The mechanical and physiological properties of the arterial wall might affect the behavior of spontaneous cervicocephalic arterial dissections (CCAD). We aimed to determine the effects of endothelial function and arterial stiffness on the clinical characteristics and outcomes of CCAD using brachial flow-mediated dilation (FMD) and brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity (PWV).

Methods: From a single-center database, we identified patients admitted from April 2011 to December 2021 with a diagnosis of CCAD who underwent both FMD and PWV. FMD was classified as normal and decreased according to institutional thresholds. PWV was categorized into tertiles. Comparative and multivariable analyses were performed to determine the effects of FMD and PWV values on major clinical outcomes.

Results: A total of 146 patients (age: 47 ± 11 years; men: 77.4%) were included. The main presentation was ischemic stroke in 76.7% of the patients, while 23.3% presented with headache or other symptoms. Healing of the dissection was observed in 55.8%. In multivariable analysis, Normal FMD levels (vs. decreased; adjusted OR: 4.52, 95% CI [1.95 – 10.52]) were associated with spontaneous healing of the dissection. Highest PWV tertile (vs. lowest; adjusted OR: 17.05, 95% CI [3.07 – 94.82]) was associated with ischemic presentation. There was a higher ischemic stroke recurrence in the 3rd PWV tertile, and more frequent aneurysmal enlargement in the lowest PWV tertile, but their frequency was low, precluding multivariable analysis.

Conclusion: In spontaneous CCAD, preserved endothelial function was associated with spontaneous arterial healing. Arterial stiffness is associated with ischemic presentation.
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Background

The clinical behavior of cervicocephalic arterial dissection (CCAD) is dynamic. It can cause both ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke and is a leading cause of stroke in patients below 45 years of age, accounting for almost one-fourth of all cases of strokes in this population (1). They may also present with headache, neck pain, or signs of compression of cranial nerves. Unlike atherosclerotic diseases, which are static lesions, a dissecting arterial lesion tends to undergo morphological changes, and a decrease in the size of the dissecting aneurysm and resolution of luminal stenosis is observed in ~60% of patients with intracranial dissection of the vertebrobasilar artery (2). However, spontaneous healing is not universal. Some patients develop aneurysmal enlargement (3), which might be fatal if the aneurysm ruptures, resulting in subarachnoid hemorrhage. For ischemic stroke, there is currently no evidence that incomplete vascular healing increases future ischemic events. However, it is rational to infer that incomplete vascular healing might result in a longer duration of medical therapy, increased medical costs, and recurrent stroke.

The arterial wall exhibits physiological and mechanical properties. Its physiological properties are mainly defined by the endothelium, which is arguably the largest and most diffuse endocrine organ of the body (4). The endothelium also plays an important role in the healing of an arterial injury. Following the loss of endothelial cells due to vessel injury, endothelial regrowth occurs, and the quality and extent of its regrowth have important interactions with arterial thrombogenicity, neointimal hyperplasia, and vessel remodeling following arterial injury (5). It is plausible that differences in the endothelial function might alter the arterial response to spontaneous dissections. Endothelial function mediated by a nitric oxide-dependent mechanism can be easily evaluated by flow-mediated dilation (FMD), which is a non-invasive method for evaluating endothelial function through an increase in shear stress by reactive hyperemia (6). Endothelial dysfunction, as measured by FMD, is generally considered the earliest stage of atherosclerosis, and is a predictor of future cerebrovascular disease (7, 8). Alteration in endothelial function precedes morphological atherosclerotic changes and can lead to lesion development and clinical complications (9).

Among the mechanical properties of the arterial wall, arterial stiffness is an important parameter as a biomarker of arteriosclerosis and is also associated with end-organ injury (10). Arterial stiffness might also affect the arterial behavior following spontaneous dissections. Arterial stiffness can be evaluated by pulse wave velocity (PWV), which evaluates the artery’s ability to expand and contract with cardiac pulsation and relaxation (11). There is little correlation between PWV and classical risk factors for atherosclerosis other than age and blood pressure, while it is associated with aortic calcification that occurs within advanced atherosclerotic plaque (7).

In this study, we hypothesized that endothelial function and arterial wall stiffness will be associated with behavior of arterial wall in response to vessel injury. In a single center CCAD population, we evaluated the association between FMD, PWV, and major clinicoradiologic outcomes.

Methods

Study population and management

Data were collected by a retrospective medical record search. The hospital’s electronic medical records were first searched for patients diagnosed with “dissection of intracranial, extracranial, or cerebral arteries, ruptured or unruptured.” Next, the neurology admission patient database was reviewed for the diagnosis of CCAD. Third, patients admitted with a diagnosis of subarachnoid hemorrhage were screened based on the term ‘dissection’ in the imaging reports of the brain. The brain images of the patients were then reviewed by a researcher for the confirmatory diagnosis of CCAD (12). In this registry (n = 601), patients who met the below criteria were included for analysis; 1) patients who presented between April 2011 and December 2021, with the primary dissection nidus located at the major cervicocephalic arteries (extracranial and intracranial internal carotid artery and vertebral artery, up to the middle cerebral artery M2 and anterior cerebral artery A2 and the basilar artery to posterior cerebral artery), 2) exclusion of patients who presented with subarachnoid hemorrhage, 3) the patient presented to the emergency department with duration between the onset of symptoms to presentation within 31 days, 4) the patient was admitted to the neurology department for acute treatments, and 5) both FMD and PWV performed as part of routine work-up for recurrent cerebrovascular disease risk stratification, were selected for the analysis (Figure 1). Ethics approval was obtained from Ajou University Hospital IRB and have therefore been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. The board waived the need for patient consent.

Variables and image analysis

The clinical presentation was classified as ischemic stroke (confirmation by diffusion weighted imaging), hemorrhagic stroke, or headache and other symptoms. Baseline demographics were collected. The primary arterial luminal morphology was

Abbreviations: CCAD, cervicocephalic arterial dissection; FMD, flow-mediated dilation; PWV, pulse wave velocity; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; mRS, modified Rankin Scale.
described as stenosis pattern, dilatation pattern (including stenosis and dilatation), and occlusion pattern. The stenosis and dilatation pattern was classified as dilatation pattern according to a previous report that showed similarly higher rupture risk compared to steno-occlusive patterns in these two patterns (2). The location of the dissection was categorized into intracranial and extracranial (including extended extracranial to intracranial dissections, tandem extracranial dissection with intracranial occlusions). The primary treatment modality of the patient was classified as acute neurointervention, delayed neurointervention, bypass surgery, or medical management. In patients with ischemic presentation, the initial clinical severity was graded using the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), which was measured 3 times daily during acute stroke unit care, and then daily until discharge. Early neurological deterioration (END) was classified as an increase of 2 or more points in the NIHSS score within 7 days post-admission (13). Recurrent ischemic stroke or recurrent subarachnoid hemorrhage events were identified. Functional outcomes were graded using the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score at 3 months. Serial noninvasive angiographic images of the same modality were analyzed to evaluate arterial healing in patients not treated with early neurointervention. Computed tomography angiographic images were used for most patients because it is the primary imaging modality for patients presenting with cerebrovascular disease to our emergency department. Spontaneous arterial healing was classified as any improvement in the luminal diameter for stenotic or occlusive lesions and any decrease in the aneurysm size for dilatation patterns (2). Aneurysmal changes or increase in aneurysm sizes were specifically evaluated (Figure 2).

Measurement of endothelial function and arterial stiffness

In all ischemic stroke and cerebrovascular disease patients admitted to the department of neurology in our hospital, brachial FMD and ankle-brachial artery PWV is usually evaluated as a second line routine work-up for recurrent cerebrovascular disease risk stratification and prevention, along with first line work up such as transthoracic echocardiography and 24 h holter monitoring, which is strongly recommended as stroke etiologic evaluation by current guidelines (14). It is usually performed after the 3 or 4 days of acute stroke management period, during admission.

Endothelial function was measured by FMD in the brachial artery using the post-ischemic forearm hyperemia method. Cross-sectional imaging with M-mode using a fixation device was used to manually measure the arterial diameter (15). FMD values were dichotomized (16), and FMD values ≤5.8% were classified as decreased endothelial function, according to institutional thresholds (lower than 1 standard deviation of FMD values of normal volunteers). Arterial stiffness was measured using brachial-ankle PWV (VP-1000, Omron, Kyoto, Japan). The mean value of right and left PWV was used, and the values were categorized according to tertiles (17).

Statistical analysis

To evaluate the significance of FMD values on the behavior of CCAD, the study population was classified into decreased FMD and normal FMD groups. A comparative analysis was
FIGURE 2
Examples of spontaneous arterial healing and aneurysmal changes. (A) An originally stenotic dissection segment shows arterial healing with improved stenosis degree. (B) A dissection segment with dilatation pattern (dilatation & stenosis) shows arterial healing with reduced aneurysm size and improved stenosis. (C) An initially dilated dissection segment shows aneurysmal enlargement on serial imaging.

Results

Among 601 CCAD patients, a total of 320 patients presented to the emergency department with a CCAD involving the major cervicocephalic arteries during the study period and was admitted for acute management, excluding those who presented with subarachnoid hemorrhage. Among them, 51 were admitted to the neurosurgery department for concerns of dissecting aneurysmal rupture. Among 266 patients admitted to the neurology department, 146 patients performed both PWV and FMD, and were included in the analysis (Figure 1). Comparison of clinical characteristics and outcomes between patients admitted to the neurology department with FMD and PWV performed, those admitted to the neurology department but without FMD and PWV data, and patients that were admitted to the neurosurgery department is shown as Supplementary Table 1. A total of 146 patients (age: 47 ± 11 years; men: 77.4%) were included for the main analysis. The main presentation was ischemic stroke in 76.7% of the patients, while 23.3% presented with headache or other symptoms. Healing of the dissection was observed in 55.8%.

FMD and its association between clinicoradiologic variables

When the patients were categorized into the decreased FMD group (n = 53; 36.3%) and normal FMD group (n = 93; 63.7%) (Table 1), the decreased FMD group were older (51 ± 11 vs. 45 ± 10, p = 0.002) and were more frequently male (46/53 [86.8%] vs. 67/93 [72.0%], p = 0.040). There were no differences in symptom onset to presentation or symptoms onset to FMD evaluation. There were no differences in the presentation patterns, dissection luminal morphology, or anterior vs. posterior circulation predominance. Intracranial dissections were predominant in the decreased FMD group (43/53 [81.1%] vs. 52/93 [55.9%], p = 0.002), and a higher rate of comorbid hypertension was seen (28/53 [52.8%] vs. 30/93 [32.3%], p = 0.015). A lower proportion of patients in the decreased FMD group received anticoagulants (22/53 [41.5%] vs. 65/93 [69.9%], p = 0.003). The results of clinical follow-up did not show any difference between the two groups, with similar 3-month mRS, similar rates of new ischemic stroke, and no recurrent subarachnoid hemorrhage in each group. However, in the arterial healing analysis, spontaneous arterial healing was more common in the normal FMD group than in the decreased FMD group (57/81 [70.4%] vs. 15/48 [31.3%], p < 0.001). A multivariable analysis was performed to identify the association between FMD values and spontaneous arterial healing including clinically significant variables, and variables identified through the univariate analysis (Supplementary Table 2). In the multivariable analysis, a normal performed between the two groups. To evaluate the significance of PWV findings on the behavior of CCAD, the population was categorized according to the PWV tertile. Comparative analyses were performed for all three groups. Continuous variables were compared using the student’s t-test and Mann–Whitney U-test, and categorical variables were analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. Based on the univariate analysis, the association between FMD and spontaneous arterial healing was evaluated using multiple logistic regression, including clinically significant co-variables and variables identified in univariate analysis. It was performed with FMD values in both dichotomized form and as a continuous variable. The association between PWV tertile and ischemic presentation was also evaluated using multiple logistic regression, including clinically significant co-variables. This analysis was performed with PWV values in both trichotomized form and as a continuous variable (PWV divided by 100 [PWV/100]). All statistical analyses were performed by use of R software, version 4.0.5. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.
TABLE 1 Baseline profiles, treatment, clinical, and imaging characteristics according to dichotomized state of flow mediated dilatation.

| Variable                        | Decreased FMD (N = 53) | Normal FMD (N = 93) | P-value |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age                             | 51 ± 11                | 45 ± 10             | 0.002   |
| Sex, male                       | 46 (86.8%)             | 67 (72.0%)          | 0.040   |
| Onset to presentation, d        | 1 [0–3.5]              | 1 [0–5.5]           | 0.489   |
| Presentation pattern            |                        |                     | 0.340   |
| Ischemic stroke                 | 43 (81.1%)             | 69 (74.2%)          |         |
| Headache, others                | 10 (18.9%)             | 24 (25.8%)          |         |
| Onset to FMD, d                 | 9 [6–13]               | 10 [6–14]           | 0.860   |
| Morphology                      |                        |                     | 0.368   |
| Stenosis                        | 17 (32.1%)             | 20 (21.5%)          |         |
| Dilatation                      | 16 (30.2%)             | 32 (34.4%)          |         |
| Occlusion                       | 20 (37.7%)             | 41 (44.1%)          |         |
| Intracranial                    | 37 (69.8%)             | 65 (69.9%)          | 0.002   |
| Circulation                     |                        |                     | 0.992   |
| Carotid                         | 16 (30.2%)             | 28 (30.1%)          |         |
| Vertebral-basilar               | 25 (47.2%)             | 35 (37.6%)          | 0.260   |
| Dyslipidemia                    | 13 (24.5%)             | 20 (21.5%)          | 0.675   |
| Medications                     |                        |                     | 0.398   |
| Medical                         | 47 (88.7%)             | 81 (87.1%)          |         |
| Acute intervention              | 5 (9.4%)               | 7 (7.5%)            | 0.687   |
| Delayed intervention            | 1 (1.9%)               | 0 (0.0%)            |         |
| Bypass operation                | 0 (0.0%)               | 2 (2.2%)            |         |
| Clinical follow-up              |                        |                     | 0.003   |
| 3-month mRS                     | 1.0 [1.0–2.0]          | 1.0 [0.0–1.0]       | 0.068   |
| New ischemic stroke             | 5 (9.4%)               | 3 (3.3%)            | 0.125   |
| New SAH                         | 0 (0.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)            |         |
| Image follow-up                 |                        |                     |         |
| Spont. arterial healing         | 15 (31.3%)             | 57 (70.4%)          | <0.001  |
| Aneurysm enlargement            | 3 (6.3%)               | 1 (12.5%)           | 0.112   |
| Ischemic course                 |                        |                     |         |
| NIHSS                           | 3.0 [1.0–5.0]          | 2.0 [1.0–5.0]       | 0.875   |
| END                             | 7 (16.3%)              | 6 (8.7%)            | 0.223   |
| 3-month mRS                     | 1.0 [1.0–2.0]          | 1.0 [0.0–2.0]       | 0.308   |

FMD, flow mediated dilatation; HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; END, early neurological deterioration.

TABLE 2 Multivariable analysis to determine the association between flow mediated dilatation values and spontaneous arterial healing.

| Variable                        | Odds ratio     | P-value |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Model 1                         |                |         |
| Normal FMD (vs. decreased)      | 4.52 [1.95–10.52] | <0.001 |
| Age                             | 0.98 [0.94–1.02] | 0.347   |
| DM                              | 0.07 [0.01–0.70] | 0.023   |
| Morphology                      |                |         |
| Stenosis                        | Reference      |         |
| Dilatation                      | 1.71 [0.60–4.93] | 0.318   |
| Occlusion                       | 1.42 [0.49–4.10] | 0.516   |
| Extracranial (vs. intracranial) | 1.51 [0.57–4.00] | 0.411   |
| Model 2                         |                |         |
| FMD as a continuous variable    | 1.37 [1.06–1.756] | 0.014   |
| Age                             | 0.98 [0.94–1.02] | 0.278   |
| DM                              | 0.06 [0.01–0.64] | 0.02    |
| Morphology                      |                |         |
| Stenosis                        | Reference      |         |
| Dilatation                      | 1.94 [0.69–5.47] | 0.21    |
| Occlusion                       | 1.58 [0.55–4.48] | 0.394   |
| Extracranial (vs. intracranial) | 1.45 [0.55–3.81] | 0.457   |

FMD, flow mediated dilatation; DM, diabetes mellitus.

FMD (as compared to decreased FMD, dichotomized form) was associated with spontaneous arterial healing (odds ratio: 4.52, 95% confidence interval [1.95–10.52], p < 0.001) along with comorbid diabetes mellitus (OR: 0.07, 95% CI [0.01–0.70], p = 0.023), age, luminal morphology, and extracranial (vs. intracranial) location as co-variables (Table 2, Model 1). FMD values as a continuous variable was also associated with spontaneous arterial healing (OR: 1.37, 95% CI [1.06–1.76], p = 0.014) along with the same co-variables (Table 2, Model 2). Aneurysmal change was not associated with FMD.

PWV and its association between clinicoradiologic variables

When the patients were categorized according to the PWV tertiles (Table 3), higher PWV tertile groups were older (40 ± 10 vs. 47 ± 8 vs. 54 ± 10, p < 0.001 [Post-hoc Bonferroni test, p < 0.005 for all inter-group comparisons]). The proportion of patients with ischemic presentation increased as PWV tertiles increased (32/49 [65.3%] vs. 35/50 [70.0%] vs. 45/47 [95.7%], p = 0.001). While symptom onset to presentation time was shorter in 3rd tertile group compared to 1st tertile (days, 2 [0–6.5] vs. 1.5 [0–6] vs. 1 [0–2], p = 0.007), likely due to a higher ischemic stroke presentation, there were no differences
|                          | 1st tertile ($N = 49$) | 2nd tertile ($N = 50$) | 3rd tertile ($N = 47$) | $P$-value         |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Age                      | 40 ± 10                | 47 ± 8                 | 54 ± 10                | <0.001*           |
| Sex, male                | 36 (73.5%)             | 37 (74.0%)             | 40 (85.1%)             | 0.307             |
| Onset to presentation, d | 2 [0–6.5]              | 1.5 [0–6]              | 1 [0–2]                | 0.007             |
| Presentation pattern     |                        |                        |                        | 0.001             |
| Ischemic stroke          | 32 (65.3%)             | 35 (70.0%)             | 45 (95.7%)             |                   |
| Headache, others         | 17 (34.7%)             | 15 (30.0%)             | 2 (4.3%)               |                   |
| Onset to PWV             | 11 [6–18]              | 10 [6–16]              | 8 [5–12]               | 0.198             |
| Morphology               |                        |                        |                        | 0.037             |
| Stenosis                 | 14 (28.6%)             | 11 (22.0%)             | 12 (25.5%)             |                   |
| Dilatation               | 23 (46.9%)             | 13 (26.0%)             | 12 (25.5%)             |                   |
| Occlusion                | 12 (24.5%)             | 26 (52.0%)             | 23 (48.9%)             |                   |
| Circulation              |                        |                        |                        | 0.879             |
| Carotid                  | 16 (32.7%)             | 14 (28.0%)             | 14 (29.8%)             |                   |
| Vertebro-basilar         | 33 (67.3%)             | 36 (72.0%)             | 33 (70.2%)             |                   |
| Intra vs. extracranial   |                        |                        |                        | 0.809             |
| Intracranial             | 32 (65.3%)             | 34 (68.0%)             | 29 (61.7%)             |                   |
| Extracranial             | 17 (34.7%)             | 16 (32.0%)             | 18 (38.3%)             |                   |
| HTN                      | 10 (20.4%)             | 19 (38.0%)             | 29 (61.7%)             | <0.001            |
| DM                       | 3 (6.1%)               | 3 (6.0%)               | 6 (12.8%)              | 0.387             |
| Smoking                  | 18 (36.7%)             | 22 (44.0%)             | 20 (42.6%)             | 0.741             |
| Dyslipidemia             | 7 (14.3%)              | 13 (26.0%)             | 13 (27.7%)             | 0.228             |
| Treatments               |                        |                        |                        | 0.762             |
| Medical                  | 42 (85.7%)             | 44 (88.0%)             | 42 (89.4%)             |                   |
| Acute intervention       | 6 (12.2%)              | 5 (10.0%)              | 4 (8.5%)               |                   |
| Delayed intervention     | 1 (2.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)               |                   |
| Bypass operation         | 0 (0.0%)               | 1 (2.0%)               | 1 (2.1%)               |                   |
| Medications              |                        |                        |                        | 0.323             |
| None                     | 3 (6.1%)               | 4 (8.0%)               | 1 (2.1%)               |                   |
| Antiplatelet             | 17 (34.7%)             | 13 (26.0%)             | 21 (44.7%)             |                   |
| Anticoagulation          | 29 (59.2%)             | 33 (66.0%)             | 25 (53.2%)             |                   |
| Clinical follow-up       | (N = 48)               | (N = 48)               | (N = 47)               |                   |
| 3-month mRS              | 1.0 [0.0–1.0]          | 1.0 [0.25–2.0]         | 1.0 [0.0–2.0]          | 0.055             |
| New ischemic stroke      | 1 (2.1%)               | 0 (0.0%)               | 7 (14.9%)              | 0.003             |
| New SAH                  | 0 (0.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)               |                   |
| Image follow-up          | (N = 44)               | (N = 44)               | (N = 41)               |                   |
| Spont. arterial healing  | 25 (56.8%)             | 28 (63.6%)             | 19 (46.3%)             | 0.272             |
| Aneurysm enlargement     | 4 (9.1%)               | 0 (0.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)               | 0.019             |
| Ischemic course          | (N = 32)               | (N = 35)               | (N = 45)               |                   |
| NIHSS                    | 2.0 [1.0–4.0]          | 3.0 [1.0–5.0]          | 3.0 [1.0–5.0]          | 0.889             |
| END                      | 3 (9.4%)               | 4 (11.4%)              | 6 (13.3%)              | 0.866             |
| 3-month mRS              | 1.0 [0.0–1.0]          | 1.0 [0.0–2.0]          | 1.0 [0.0–2.0]          | 0.084             |

HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; END, early neurological deterioration.

*Post hoc Bonferroni test, $p < 0.005$ for all inter-group comparisons.

†Post hoc Bonferroni test, 1st tertile vs. 3rd tertile, $p = 0.005$. 
in symptom onset to PWV evaluation. With increasing PWV tertiles, dilatation morphology decreased (23/49 [46.9%] vs. 13/50 [26.0%] vs. 12/47 [25.5%]) and occlusion morphology increased (12/49 [24.5%] vs. 26/50 [52.0%] vs. 23/47 [48.9%]) (p = 0.037). There was also a higher prevalence of comorbid hypertension (10/49 [20.4%] vs. 19/50 [38.0%] vs. 29/47 [61.7%), p < 0.001) with the increase in tertiles. In the clinical follow-up, there was a predominance of ischemic stroke recurrence in the 3rd tertile (1/48 [2.1%] vs. 0/50 [0.0%] vs. 7/47 [14.9%], p = 0.003). There were no differences in spontaneous arterial healing, while aneurysmal enlargement more frequently occurred in the lowest PWV tertile (4/44 [9.1%] vs. 0/44 (0.0%) vs. 0/41 (0.0%). P = 0.019). A multivariable analysis was performed to identify the association between PWV values and ischemic stroke presentation including clinically significant variables, and variables identified through the univariate analysis (Supplementary Table 3). In multivariable analysis, the third tertile of PWV was associated with ischemic presentation (first PWV tertile as a reference, OR: 17.05, 95% CI [3.07–94.82], p = 0.001), along with extracranial dissections (intracranial as a reference OR: 4.45, 95% CI [1.25–15.89], p = 0.021), age, sex, and dissection morphology as co-variables (Table 4). PWV as a continuous variable (PWV/100, PWV values divided by 100) was also associated with ischemic presentation (OR: 1.44, 95% CI [1.14–1.82], p = 0.002), along with the same co-variables (Table 4).

**Discussion**

The results of our study show that preserved endothelial function is associated with spontaneous arterial healing in patients with CCAD, while arterial stiffness is associated with ischemic presentation. While ischemic stroke recurrence was more frequent with increases in arterial stiffness, and aneurysmal enlargement more frequent in the lowest tertile of arterial stiffness, the frequency of both recurrent ischemic stroke and aneurysmal enlargement was low, precluding further analysis.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to show that endothelial function is associated with arterial healing. Previously reported factors that influence arterial healing have largely focused on high resolution MRI morphology of the dissection segment (2, 18), which may represent temporal profile of the arterial dissections, or incomplete repair of vessel walls (3). Location and geometry (19) have been also described, such as C2 locations (18), lower posterior inferior cerebellar artery involvement and vertebralbasilar artery union angle (19), that might be associated with recurrent mechanical stress or hemodynamic properties. However, inverse relations with vascular risk factors such as smoking, hyperlipidemia (18), male sex and smoking (19), have also been reported, which is in agreement with our results. Association between arterial healing after dissections and FMD or other atherogenic risk factors suggest that NO-dependent endothelial function might have an effect on healing from a macroscopic injury, as it does on healing from oxidative injury (20). In contrast to NO-mediated suppression of cellular processes, endothelial dysfunction results in reactive oxygen species-mediated cellular activation and dysfunctional arterial repair. Furthermore, mobilization of the circulating endothelial progenitor cells is also partly NO-dependent (20). Our study results may be impetus for targeted therapy to enhance endothelial function in order to promote arterial healing and reduce future complications and medical expenses.

While there was a tendency for higher PWV to be associated with older age or steno-occlusive patterns, it was associated with ischemic presentation even after controlling for such variables. This is in agreement with previous literature that has reported higher levels of PWV in ischemic stroke patients compared to age and sex matched patients admitted for medical diseases (21). There may be possible explanations. First, increases in central aortic pulse pressure have been shown to be inter-related with thrombogenicity, as measured by thrombin-induced platelet-fibrin clot length (22). Thus, even with similar dissecting arterial segments, risk for stroke in individual patients may differ according to their thrombogenicity. This might have

### Table 4 Multivariable analysis to evaluate the association between pulse wave velocity values and ischemic stroke presentation.

| Variable                      | Odds ratio   | P-value |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| **Model 1**                   |              |         |
| PWV tertile                   |              |         |
| 1st tertile                   | Reference    |         |
| 2nd tertile                   | 1.37 [0.46–4.04] | 0.568  |
| 3rd tertile                   | 17.05 [3.07–94.82] | 0.001  |
| Age                           | 0.97 [0.92–1.02] | 0.202  |
| Sex, male                     | 2.28 [0.85–6.07] | 0.102  |
| Morphology                    |              |         |
| Stenosis                      | Reference    |         |
| Dilatation                    | 0.41 [0.14–1.22] | 0.108  |
| Occlusion                     | 1.56 [0.43–5.68] | 0.501  |
| Extracranial (vs. intracranial) | 4.45 [1.25–15.89] | 0.021  |
| **Model 2**                   |              |         |
| PWV/100, continuous variable  | 1.44 [1.14–1.82] | 0.002  |
| Age                           | 0.96 [0.91–1.01] | 0.106  |
| Sex, male                     | 2.17 [0.82–5.75] | 0.119  |
| Morphology                    |              |         |
| Stenosis                      | Reference    |         |
| Dilatation                    | 0.41 [0.14–1.21] | 0.106  |
| Occlusion                     | 1.42 [0.40–4.97] | 0.586  |
| Extracranial (vs. intracranial) | 4.79 [1.34–17.1] | 0.016  |

PWV, pulse wave velocity.
been represented by higher PWV tertiles in the current study. Second, there is a chance that central arterial stiffness will cause increased transmission of damaging pulsatile forces to the peripheral vasculature, resulting in propagation of the dissecting segment (23). Propagation of the dissection segment will in turn result in increased chance of occlusion of perforators, branching arteries, or thromboembolism (24). The exact underlying pathomechanism, and whether PWV is associated with ischemic stroke recurrence, needs to be confirmed in future studies.

There are some issues regarding the method of measurement of endothelial function and central arterial stiffness in this study that deserves attention. In this study, endothelial function was measured by brachial FMD, and considered a surrogate of cerebral endothelial function. Brachial FMD is known to be associated with coronary endothelial function (25), and is likely to represent arterial healing capacity of global arterial bed (20). However, there is controversy as to whether brachial FMD may adequately reflect endothelial function of cranial vessels, as literature comparing L-arginine induced cerebrovascular reactivity and brachial FMD showed low correlation (26). Currently, there are various methods of assessment of cerebral endothelial function (27), and there is no clear consensus how best to evaluate cerebral endothelial function, and future study is needed in this regard. It should be also noted that in this study, brachial-ankle PWV was interpreted as an index of central arterial stiffness. The carotid-femoral PWV is considered the gold standard of noninvasive measurement of arterial stiffness (7). Brachial-ankle PWV is known to be well-correlated with carotid-femoral PWV, but still some portions of brachial-ankle PWV may be determined by peripheral arterial stiffness, and may have affected the results of the current study (28).

This study has some limitations. First, due to the ethnic characteristics of the location where the study was performed, there was a high rate of intracranial dissections as compared to extracranial dissections. Apart from anatomical differences of arterial wall involvement (29), reports have shown that risk factors such as hypertension or minor trauma differ according to extracranial versus intracranial involvement (30). Accordingly, there is a chance that the main findings of the current study may be more significant in intracranial dissections. It should be also taken into consideration that extracranial to intracranial extended dissections were considered to be extracranial dissections in the current study. Second, although the institutional CCAD registry included all consecutive patients irrespective of subspecialty mainly involved in the patient’s management, there may have been selection bias, for patients that underwent FMD and PWV were selected for analysis. Indeed, there was a tendency for ischemic presentations in patients that was admitted to neurology, while patients with concerns for aneurysmal rupture were admitted to neurosurgery. In patients admitted to the neurology department, FMD & PWV was omitted in patients with severe stroke, or patients that presented without definite neurologic deficits. However, a substantial number of dilatation morphologies were included in the analysis, which strengthens the generalizability of association between FMD and arterial healing. The predictive ability of FMD as a biomarker of spontaneous arterial healing will need to be confirmed in future studies. The finding of an association between PWV and ischemic presentations might need further validation in a cohort with a more even distribution of hemorrhagic and ischemic presentations. Third, the treatment methods were heterogeneous, with some patients undergoing early interventional or surgical repair, while medical regimens also differed. The results of the CADISS trial reported no differences in stroke recurrence or arterial healing following antiplatelet or anticoagulant medication in cases with extracranial dissections (31); however, this has not been validated for intracranial dissections, which constituted a large number of our patients. Further studies are necessary to address this issue. Fourth, use of FMD as a single-measurement screening test with predefined cut-off points to define endothelial dysfunction may be limited by its inter-individual variability of measurements (32). Future studies are warranted using more sensitive and non-operator dependent indexes such as reactive hyperemia index (33–35) or shear wave elastography (36). In the meanwhile, the role of brachial FMD and brachial-arterial PWV as a practical bedside tool for management of CCAD patients also need to the further addressed.

In conclusion, mechanical and physiological properties of the arterial wall significantly affect the clinical behavior of spontaneous CCAD. A preserved endothelial function may promote arterial healing, while increased arterial stiffness may be associated with increased ischemic presentation.
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