Unresolved issues in the prophylaxis of bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis
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Abstract

Bacterial infections are highly prevalent and a frequent cause of hospitalization and short-term mortality in patients with cirrhosis. Due to their negative impact on survival, antibiotic prophylaxis for bacterial infections in high-risk subgroups of patients with cirrhosis has been the standard of care for decades. Patients with prophylaxis indications include those at risk for a first episode of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) due to a low ascitic fluid protein count and impaired liver and kidney function, patients with a prior episode of SBP and those with an episode of gastrointestinal bleeding. Only prophylaxis due to gastrointestinal bleeding has a known and short-time duration. All other indications imply long-lasting exposure to antibiotics - once the threshold requirement for initiating prophylaxis is met - without standardized criteria for re-assessing antibiotic interruption. Despite the fact that the benefit of antibiotic prophylaxis in reducing bacterial infections episodes and mortality has been thoroughly reported, the extended use of antibiotics in patients with cirrhosis has also had negative consequences, including the emergence of multi-drug resistant bacteria. Currently, it is not clear whether restricting the use of broad and fixed antibiotic regimens, tailoring the choice of antibiotics to local bacterial epidemiology or selecting non-antibiotic strategies will be the preferred antibiotic prophylaxis strategy for patients with cirrhosis in the future.
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Core tip: Antibiotic prophylaxis in patients with cirrhosis has proven to be effective in preventing new episodes of bacterial infections and reducing mortality. However, the
broad and fixed indication of long-term antibiotic therapy in these patients has led to an increase in the emergence of multi-drug resistant bacteria. The development of new strategies for bacterial infection prevention is currently under debate, thus reflecting the need for randomized controlled trials and local epidemiological studies to improve prophylactic antibiotic choice in patients with cirrhosis.

Dirchwolf M, Marciano S, Martinez J, Ruf AE. Unresolved issues in the prophylaxis of bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis. World J Hepatol 2018; 10(12): 892-897
URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/full/v10/i12/892.htm
DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v10.i12.892

INTRODUCTION

During the natural history of cirrhosis, patients may suffer from complications that significantly increase their risk of short-term mortality. One of the main culprits of this outcome is bacterial infections\(^1\), which are highly prevalent. One-third of patients with decompensated cirrhosis will develop an episode of bacterial infection during a one-year period\(^1\), and 24% of patients admitted for an infection will develop a second episode during the same hospital stay\(^1\). Furthermore, bacterial infections are associated with a grim prognosis: patients with end-stage liver disease listed for liver transplantation who suffer an infection have a 42% risk of de-listing or death within 6 mo from admission\(^1\).

For these reasons, antibiotic prophylaxis has been the standard of care in high-risk patients with cirrhosis for decades\(^5,6\). The rationale is accomplishing selective intestinal decontamination to decrease bacterial translocation, which is considered the trigger event for bacterial infections in cirrhosis. There is also evidence of an immunomodulatory effect caused by certain antibiotics such as fluoroquinolones that might have an additional beneficial impact on patients with cirrhosis survival\(^7,8\).

Currently, there are two clinical scenarios for antibiotic prophylaxis in cirrhosis: prevention of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) and prevention of bacterial infections after upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

Antibiotic prophylaxis for the prevention of SBP is currently recommended in most practice guidelines\(^1,9\). Primary prophylaxis with fluoroquinolones is indicated in patients with poor liver or renal function and a low ascitic fluid protein count, since these patients showed higher short-term survival under prophylaxis in randomized trials\(^10\). However, a meta-analysis of studies addressing primary prophylaxis in patients with SBP failed to confirm a benefit in survival\(^10\).

The use of norfloxacin after a first episode of SBP to prevent its recurrence is the most robust and widely recognized indication of antibiotic prophylaxis in cirrhosis. Data to sustain secondary prophylaxis for SBP arose from two clinical trials performed in the 1990s and early 2000s. These studies showed that the cumulative incidence of SBP recurrence at one year reached 20%-26% in patients receiving norfloxacin compared to 68% in patients in the placebo group\(^11,12\). Notably, these randomized controlled trials included fluoroquinolones that were effective in treating Gram-negative bacilli, the predominant etiology of SBP at that time. Whether these antibiotics are useful in today’s changing bacteria epidemiology has not been reassessed; to our knowledge, no other studies evaluating secondary prophylaxis for SBP have been published.

Patients with cirrhosis undergoing an episode of acute gastrointestinal bleeding have also proven to benefit from antibiotic prophylaxis. In a robust meta-analysis conducted by Chavez-Tapia et al\(^13\), treatment with antibiotics reduced bacterial infections, all-cause mortality, re-bleeding events and hospitalization length in patients with acute gastrointestinal bleeding.

PITFALLS OF ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS IN CIRRHOSIS:
EMERGENCE OF RESISTANT BACTERIA AND DRUG TOXICITY

Only prophylaxis due to gastrointestinal bleeding has a known and short-time duration. All other indications imply long-lasting exposure to antibiotics; once the
threshold requirement for initiating prophylaxis is met, without standardized criteria for re-assessing antibiotic interruption, the patient usually maintained antibiotic use until liver transplantation or death. Another usual scenario in clinical practice is the concomitant use of two types of antibiotics as prophylaxis in a single patient (i.e., rifaximin for hepatic encephalopathy and norfloxacin for SBP prevention), with conflicting conclusions as to the efficacy of using only one drug for both objectives[14-16].

Consequences of long-term antibiotic use in patients with cirrhosis are now increasingly being reported. There has been a shift in the type of responsible microorganisms: initially, in the 1990s, Gram-negative bacilli caused two thirds or more of bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis, whereas in the last 20 years, Gram-positive cocci have been identified in almost one-half of infections in this population[17-20].

Most importantly, the prevalence of resistant and multi-drug resistant bacteria (MDR: bacteria with acquired non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three main antibiotic families[21]) has significantly scaled. The prevalence of MDR bacteria increased by 100% when comparing two studies performed in 2002 and 2007-2011 that analyzed bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis during hospitalization[19]. In the latter study, MDR infections accounted for 18%-23% of all identified bacteria[22]. Several authors have reported similar or even higher rates of MDR bacteria in different geographies and settings (up to one-half of bacterial infections in health-care acquired settings were caused by MDR bacteria)[22,23]. The main risk factors identified for the development of MDR infections were prior contact with the health-care system, a nosocomial or health-associated origin of infection, the use of norfloxacin prophylaxis, recent use of other antibiotics (cephalosporins or beta-lactams) or recent infection by MDR bacteria[22,23,25]. Higher rates of MDR bacterial infections are parallel with higher rates of inadequate initial empirical therapy. Initial empirical therapy has proven to be insufficient in as much as 90% of bacterial infections, but these rates are dependent on the origin of the infection and susceptibility pattern of the responsible bacteria. As expected, the extension of antibiotic resistance and failure of empirical therapy are an independent predictor of morbidity and mortality[26,27,28]. Currently, it is suggested that empirical antibiotic therapy should be based on the origin and type of infection, its severity, recent antibiotic use and the prevalence of MDR bacteria[23]. Thus, there is a growing need for conducting local studies to identify the epidemiology of MDR bacteria in patients with cirrhosis in each geography (for instance, with microbiological surveillance)[29], as well as exploring other prophylactic strategies for bacterial infections other than extended antimicrobial use.

Last but not least, the prolonged use of fluoroquinolones as prophylaxis may cause significant adverse events. This type of antibiotics has had prior warnings issued by the United States Food and Drug Administration referring to disabling and potentially permanent side effects involving tendons, muscles, joints, nerves and the central nervous system. Recently, this agency has strengthened its black box warning for fluoroquinolones, including a separate notice about the drug’s potential mental side effects (disturbances in attention, disorientation, agitation, nervousness, memory impairment and delirium) and the risk of coma with hypoglycemia[30].

**DIVERGENCE FROM ANTIBiotic PROPHYLAXIS TO PREVENT BACTERIAL INFECTIONS**

Different alternatives to antibiotic prophylaxis have been suggested - whether replacing or complementing the use of antibiotics - such as the use of probiotics, fecal microbiota transplantation, statins, prokinetics and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. Other suggested measures include restricting or suspending the use of other types of drugs, such as proton pump inhibitors or beta-blockers, that may influence bacterial infection incidence or outcome[31-39]. However, data regarding the efficacy of these strategies are contradictory or insufficient at the present time. Thus, non-antibiotic strategies are yet to be included in the standard of care practice guidelines.

**UNANSWERED QUESTIONS ABOUT ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS**

The problem that may arise in the near future is the following: if current antibiotic prophylaxis regimens are sustained as the only strategy to prevent infections, and
CONCLUSION

Broad use of uninterrupted antibiotic prophylaxis in patients with cirrhosis has contributed to a shift in bacterial epidemiology and antibiotic resistance patterns. The emergence of MDR bacteria has negatively impacted the effectiveness of bacterial infection treatment. The need to conduct regional studies to detect the type and antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria causing infections appears to be clear. Perhaps antibiotic prophylaxis could also be tailored to local bacterial epidemiology in the future, to increase its effectiveness and decrease its deleterious effects. Thus, future studies are needed to better understand the role of antibiotic prophylaxis and to put in perspective the actual risks and benefits of current recommendations. If more rigorous and personalized use of antibiotic prophylaxis is not advocated, there may be a time in the near future when physicians run out of options to treat resistant bacterial infections in cirrhosis.
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