A Comparative Study of Interdisciplinarity in Sciences in Brazil, South Korea, Turkey, and USA

Nazli Yurdakul\textsuperscript{1} and A. Nihat Berker\textsuperscript{2,3}

\textsuperscript{1}Robert College, Arnavutköy 34345, Istanbul, Turkey
\textsuperscript{2}Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabancı University, Tuzla 34956, Istanbul, Turkey and
\textsuperscript{3}Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, U.S.A.

A comparative study is done of interdisciplinary citations in 2013 between physics, chemistry, and molecular biology, in Brazil, South Korea, Turkey, and USA. Several surprising conclusions emerge from our tabular and graphical analysis: The cross-science citation rates are in general strikingly similar, between Brazil, South Korea, Turkey, and USA. One apparent exception is the comparatively more tenuous relation between molecular biology and physics in Brazil and USA. Other slight exceptions are the higher amount of citing of physicists by chemists in South Korea, of chemists by molecular biologists in Turkey, and of molecular biologists by chemists in Brazil and USA. Chemists, are, by a sizable margin, the most cross-science citing scientists in this group of three sciences. Physicists are, again by a sizable margin, the least cross-science citing scientists in this group of three sciences. In all four countries, the strongest cross-science citation is from chemistry to physics and the weakest cross-science citation is from physics to molecular biology. Our findings are consistent with a V-shaped backbone connectivity, as opposed to a ∆ connectivity, as also found in a previous study of earlier citation years.

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

While interdisciplinarity is currently much vaunted as the scientific mode of operation, intense specialization in any one field or, in fact, topic may run counter to cross-disciplinary efforts. Another characteristic of current science is the burgeoning of a multicontinental multicenter research environment, which brings the question of whether different regional, historical and current, academic traditions affect the conduct of scientific research. We have investigated simultaneously both of these issues, by conducting a comparative study between the Brazil, South Korea, Turkey, and USA, as to the cross-referencing between published research papers in chemistry, molecular biology, and physics. Our interdisciplinarity and academic intercultural findings, based on collected data, are surprising on both of the mentioned issues.

Our study involves cross-disciplinary citations between fields A and B, where A and B are chemistry, molecular biology, and physics, a priori deemed derivatively connected basic sciences, in articles published in a set of major journals (Tables I-III) in each field in the year 2013. The study is repeated for Brazil, South Korea, Turkey, and USA. These countries were chosen because of the dominance in scientific research of the USA, and the rapid development of the transcontinentally and mutually distant Brazil, South Korea, and Turkey. Our study was inspired by Ref.\textsuperscript{1}, where the cross-citation network between fields is studied for earlier years, without distinguishing with respect to country. Similar studies have been made for the citation network between different journals in the same field \textsuperscript{2} and on the relevance of cross-science citations \textsuperscript{3-5}. Detailed intercultural comparative studies are in Refs.\textsuperscript{4-7}.

\section{II. METHODOLOGY}

In our study, 67, 33, 22 journals (Tables I-III), respectively in chemistry, molecular biology, physics, were used. Of these, 46, 8, 17 journals (emphasized in Tables I-III) were searched for cross-science citing publications as described below and yielded 958, 26, 159 cross-science citing publications, given to 116, 199, 161 journals. Thus, 7696, 138, 756 cross-science citations were given from respectively chemistry, molecular biology, physics, by authors with institutional addresses in Brazil, Turkey, South Korea, or USA. These cross-science citations were given as 777, 2649, 5164 to respectively chemistry, molecular biology, physics. In these, publications with author addresses from more than one of our studied countries were not included. Thus, a total of 8590 cross-science citations entered our study.

In order to effectively compare the citation practices from each country, the pool of sample publications in each science must be as similar as possible between the countries. The number of publications by Brazilian, South Korean, and USA scientists in 2013 exceeds those by Turkish scientists in most, but not all, of the selected chemistry, molecular biology, and physics journals (Tables I-III). Therefore, the sample size of Brazilian, South Korean, and USA papers was equalized to the number of Turkish papers published in 2013: The Brazilian, South Korean, and USA publications in each journal were ordered chronologically. Then, in each journal, the used pool of publications was chronologically expanded equally both ways starting from the median publication until the number of publications was equalized to that of Turkish publications in the same journal in 2013. For example, there are 17 papers published by Turkish physicists in the Physical Review A in 2013. Thus, the chronologically median publications in Physical Review A in 2013 by Brazilian, South Korean, and USA physicists...
FIG. 1: Interdisciplinary citations given in 2013, as described in the text, between chemistry, molecular biology, and physics, in Brazil, South Korea, Turkey, and USA. The direction of each arrow is from the field giving citations towards the field being cited. The width of each arrow is proportional to the average number of such citations per publication, also written next to the arrow. In a given field, approximately the same number of publications is used for each country. Thus, the area inside the drawn circle is proportional to the total number of publications in the pool. For each country and each field, the area of the colored circle is proportional to the total number of papers giving such cross-science citations, also written inside or next to the colored circle.

were found and the pool was expanded equally in both chronological direction until there were 17 papers in the pool from each country. In several cases, the number of Turkish publications in a given journal exceeded the number of Brazilian, South Korean, or USA publications. In these instances, the pool of Turkish publications was not decreased and all of the Brazilian, South Korean, or USA publications were included.

The same pool of publications, for each country and each science, was used for determining the citation flow from this science to each of the two other sciences. For instance, there were 158 physics publications by Turkish authors in the selected journals. This same set of 158 papers was used to determine the average number, per publication, of citations to chemistry and to molecular biology. The standard deviation was also determined. When calculating the average and the standard deviation, citations to all publications in the other science are of course included, regardless of the country of the publication receiving the citation. The results are given in Fig. 1 and Tables IV-V.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Fig. 1, for each country and each science, the area of the colored circles is proportional to the total number of publications giving cross-science citations to the two other sciences, also given numerically inside or next to the colored circles. The area inside the drawn circles is proportional to the total number of publications considered. Therefore, as explained above, for each field the latter areas are similar, but not strictly equal, between the countries. The widths of the arrows are in turn proportional to the average number of citations, per publication, from the field they originate to the field they are pointing. The corresponding numerical data are given next to the arrows and in Tables IV-V.

Several surprising conclusions emerge from these data: 1) The cross-science citation rates are in general strikingly similar, between Brazil, South Korea, Turkey, and USA. Thus, the common problems, methodology, instant communications, and personal mobility in a given science appears to have transcended geographically widely separated regional cultures. 2) One apparent exception to the above is the comparatively more tenuous relation between molecular biology and physics in Brazil and USA. Other slight exceptions are the higher amount of citing of physicists by chemists in South Korea, of chemists by molecular biologists in Turkey, and of molecular biologists by chemists in Brazil and USA. From both items here, it is seen that Brazil and USA are following a similar (Western Hemisphere) track. 3) Chemists are, by a sizable margin, the most cross-science citing scientists in this group of three sciences. Physicists, although reputed to be more generalists, are, again by a sizable margin, the least cross-science citing scientists in this group of three sciences. (Fig.1 and Table VI) 4) In all four countries, the strongest cross-science citation is from chemistry to physics and the weakest cross-science citation is from physics to molecular biology. 5) Our findings are consistent with a V-shaped backbone connectivity, as opposed to a ∆ connectivity, consistently with what was found for earlier citation years in Ref.[1].
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| Analytical Chemistry | J. American Society for Mass Spectrometry  
| Analyst             | Journal of Chromatography A  
| Analytica Chimica Acta | Sensors and Actuators B - Chemical  
| Analytical Chemistry | Talanta  
| Electroanalytical Chemistry |  

| Applied Chemistry |  
| ACS Combinatorial Science | Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry  
| Dyes and Pigments | Journal of Combinatorial Chemistry  
| Food Chemistry | Microporous and Mesoporous Materials  
| Food Hydrocolloids | Molecular Diversity  

| Inorganic Chemistry |  
| Advances in Inorganic Chemistry | Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry  
| Dalton Transactions | Journal of Solid State Chemistry  
| European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry | Organometallics  
| Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry |  

| Multidisciplinary Chemistry |  
| ACS Nano | Journal of the American Chemical Society  
| Angewandte Chemie - International Edition | Tetrahedron - Asymmetry  
| Chemical Science |  
| Energy and Environmental Science |  

| Organic Chemistry |  
| Advanced Synthesis and Catalysis | European Journal of Organic Chemistry  
| Bioconjugate Chemistry | Journal of Organic Chemistry  
| Biomacromolecules | Organic and Biomolecular Chemistry  
| Current Organic Chemistry | Organic Letters  

| Physical Chemistry |  
| ACS Catalysis | Faraday Discussions  
| Advanced Energy Materials | Journal of Catalysis  
| Advanced Functional Materials | Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation  
| Advanced Materials | Journal of Physical Chemistry B  
| Advances in Colloid and Interface Science | Journal of Physical Chemistry C  
| Catalysis Science and Technology | Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters  
| ChemCatChem | Langmuir  
| Chemistry of Materials | Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics  
| Colloids and Surfaces B - Biointerfaces | Structure and Bonding  

| Polymer Science |  
| Advances in Polymer Science | Macromolecular Rapid Communications  
| Carbohydrate Polymers | Macromolecules  
| Journal of Membrane Science | Plasma Processes and Polymers  
| Journal of Polymer Science A - Polymer Chemistry | Polymer Chemistry UK  
| Macromolecular Bioscience | Soft Matter  

TABLE I: The 67 chemistry journals listed in this Table were used, for 2013, in our study. Cross-disciplinary citations between chemistry, molecular biology, and physics, from Brazil, South Korea, Turkey, and USA, were searched from the 46 journals emphasized by bold italics, as described in Sec.II.
TABLE II: The 33 molecular biology journals listed in this Table were used, for 2013, in our study. Cross-disciplinary citations between chemistry, molecular biology, and physics, from Brazil, South Korea, Turkey, and USA, were searched from the 8 journals emphasized by bold italics, as described in Sec.II.

| Journal | Topic |
|---------|-------|
| European Physical Journal A | Hadrons and Nuclei |
| European Physical Journal B | Condensed Matter and Complex Systems |
| European Physical Journal C | Particles and Fields |
| European Physical Journal D | Atomic, Molecular, Optical and Plasma Physics |
| European Physical Journal E | Soft Matter and Biological Physics |
| European Physical Journal F | Historical Perspectives on Contemporary Physics |
| European Physical Journal PLUS | Applied Physics |
| Physica A | Special Topics |
| Physica B | Archiving and Documentation |
| Physica C | General Interest Impact |
| Physica D | Statistical Mechanics and its Applications |
| Physica E | Condensed Matter |
| Physical Review A | Superconductivity and its Applications |
| Physical Review B | Nonlinear Phenomena |
| Physical Review C | Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures |
| Physical Review D | Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics |
| Physical Review E | Condensed Matter and Materials Physics |
| Physical Review X | Nuclear Physics |
| Physical Review Letters | Particles, Fields, Gravitation, and Cosmology |

TABLE III: The 22 physics journals listed in this Table were used, for 2013, in our study. Cross-disciplinary citations between chemistry, molecular biology, and physics, from Brazil, South Korea, Turkey, and USA, were searched from the 17 journals emphasized by bold italics, as described in Sec.II.

| Journal | Topic |
|---------|-------|
| J. Proteins: Structure, Function, Genetics | J. Proteins: Structure, Function, Genetics |
| Journal of Structural Biology | Nature Chemical Biology |
| Nature Structural and Molecular Biology | Nature Structural and Molecular Biology |
| New Phytologist | New Phytologist |
| Bio Materials | Nature Biotechnology |
| Biotechnology Advances | Nature Methods |
| Cross-Science (CS) Citation from Science A to Science B | No. of Sci. A Papers Considered | No. of CS Citing Sci. A Papers | Ratio Sci. A Citing/CS Citing A Paper | CS Cit. per Sci. A Paper | CS Cit. Standard Deviation | No. of CS Cited Sci. B Papers |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Brazil                                                 |                                 |                               |                                      |                           |                             |                            |
| Chemistry to M. Biology                               | 272                             | 144                           | 0.5294                               | 2.4743                    | 5.1083                      | 673                        |
| Chemistry to Physics                                  | 272                             | 144                           | 0.5294                               | 3.9485                    | 7.1090                      | 1074                       |
| M. Biology to Chemistry                               | 11                              | 3                             | 0.2727                               | 0.8182                    | 1.4025                      | 9                          |
| M. Biology to Physics                                 | 11                              | 1                             | 0.0909                               | 0.0909                    | 0.2875                      | 1                          |
| Physics to Chemistry                                  | 140                             | 30                            | 0.2143                               | 0.6214                    | 2.3612                      | 87                         |
| Physics to M. Biology                                 | 140                             | 3                             | 0.0214                               | 0.0500                    | 0.3841                      | 7                          |
| South Korea                                           |                                 |                               |                                      |                           |                             |                            |
| Chemistry to M. Biology                               | 295                             | 138                           | 0.4678                               | 1.8712                    | 3.2443                      | 552                        |
| Chemistry to Physics                                  | 295                             | 179                           | 0.6068                               | 5.0373                    | 7.7048                      | 1486                       |
| M. Biology to Chemistry                               | 15                              | 6                             | 0.4000                               | 1.3333                    | 3.6998                      | 20                         |
| M. Biology to Physics                                 | 15                              | 4                             | 0.2667                               | 1.2000                    | 2.6128                      | 18                         |
| Physics to Chemistry                                  | 126                             | 27                            | 0.2143                               | 1.3095                    | 3.3129                      | 165                        |
| Physics to M. Biology                                 | 126                             | 4                             | 0.0317                               | 0.1746                    | 1.3515                      | 22                         |
| Turkey                                                |                                 |                               |                                      |                           |                             |                            |
| Chemistry to M. Biology                               | 293                             | 152                           | 0.5188                               | 1.7986                    | 2.9229                      | 527                        |
| Chemistry to Physics                                  | 293                             | 146                           | 0.4983                               | 4.1809                    | 8.1105                      | 1225                       |
| M. Biology to Chemistry                               | 12                              | 7                             | 0.5833                               | 3.0000                    | 3.5355                      | 36                         |
| M. Biology to Physics                                 | 12                              | 4                             | 0.3333                               | 1.4167                    | 2.4650                      | 17                         |
| Physics to Chemistry                                  | 158                             | 55                            | 0.3481                               | 1.2278                    | 2.5256                      | 194                        |
| Physics to M. Biology                                 | 158                             | 1                             | 0.0063                               | 0.2468                    | 3.0928                      | 39                         |
| USA                                                   |                                 |                               |                                      |                           |                             |                            |
| Chemistry to M. Biology                               | 307                             | 158                           | 0.5147                               | 2.6808                    | 4.8531                      | 823                        |
| Chemistry to Physics                                  | 307                             | 174                           | 0.5686                               | 4.3518                    | 8.4530                      | 1336                       |
| M. Biology to Chemistry                               | 18                              | 7                             | 0.3889                               | 1.6667                    | 2.5197                      | 30                         |
| M. Biology to Physics                                 | 18                              | 4                             | 0.2222                               | 0.3889                    | 0.8085                      | 7                          |
| Physics to Chemistry                                  | 168                             | 44                            | 0.2619                               | 1.4048                    | 3.4645                      | 236                        |
| Physics to M. Biology                                 | 168                             | 4                             | 0.0238                               | 0.0357                    | 0.2413                      | 6                          |

TABLE IV: Cross-science citations between chemistry, molecular biology, and physics, grouped by country.
| Cross-Science Citation Ratios | Brazil  | South Korea | Turkey  | USA  |
|------------------------------|---------|-------------|---------|------|
| Chemistry                    | 0.9265  | 0.8746      | 0.7816  | 0.7134 |
| M. Biology                   | 0.3636  | 0.4667      | 0.5833  | 0.4444 |
| Physics                      | 0.2143  | 0.2302      | 0.3481  | 0.2679 |

TABLE VI: Fraction of publications giving cross-science citations from chemistry (to molecular biology and/or physics), from molecular biology (to physics and/or chemistry), and from physics (to chemistry and/or molecular biology).