Advance All-Ceramic Restoration by CAD/CAM: A Case Report

Abstract
The demand for the dentist to achieve excellence in aesthetics and function has driven modern advances in materials and restoration fabrication. The development of various casting alloys and precise casting systems has contributed to the successful use of metal-based restorations. However, patient requests for more aesthetic and biocompatible materials has led to increased demand for metal-free restorations. The following case report illustrates a successful aesthetic and functional application of this exciting CAD/CAM-digital Zirconia-based system in combination with porcelain veneers for a natural smile.
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Introduction
The demand for all-ceramic restoration has increased substantially because of their esthetics and biocompatibility. All-ceramic crowns were used to be made up of single layer glass ceramic-Dicor (Dentsply/ Caulk), IPS Empress (IVOMCLAR) etc. Their failure rate was high in certain cases [1]. A new system was developed to overcome these failures, utilizing a bilayer design, where an alumina base core, either glass infiltrated (Inceram, Vivadent) or densely sintered (Procera, Nobel Biocare) [2], support the veneering porcelain applied over this core for aesthetic reason. These systems have shown a lower failure rate for single crown [3] and can also be used for 3-unit fixed dental prosthesis.

Clinical studies of fixed partial dentures made some of above mentioned system showed discouraging results for the posterior region after the mean follow up period of approximately 3 years [4,5]. Therefore, an improvement in their clinical success rate is needed if performance similar to metal ceramic is expected [4]. The literature mentions that new core material with improved physical properties should be developed [5].

Recently, densely sintered zirconia based cores have become available (Lava, 3MESpe; DCS Smart-Fit, Austenal; Ceron Smart Ceramics, Dentsply Ceramco; procera Zirconia, Nobel Biocare; Vita inCeram YZ, Vident) [6,7]. These ceramic systems utilize yttrium-tetragonal zirconia polycrystal (Y-TZP or partially stabilized zirconia) for the fabrication of anterior and posterior crown and fixed partial dentures. “Transformation toughing” where the presence of stabilizing oxide yttrium oxide holds the material in a stable tetragonal state which provides a unique toughening mechanism to enhance the ceramic properties for load-bearing application [6]. Y-TZP has a flexural strength of 900 to 1200 Mpa which is considerably higher than that reported for densely sintered alumina [8] & glass infiltrated alumina/zirconium dioxide material [9]. In addition, Y-TZP does not seem to be as sensitive to moisture-induced strength degradation as are other ceramics [10]. The following case report describes the restoration of maxillary central incisors and left lateral incisor and illustrates a successful aesthetic and functional application of this exciting digital zirconia-based system for a smile makeover.

Case Report
A 21 yr old young female patient reported to the Dept of Prosthodontics. There was protrusion of anterior teeth with spacing. The dental history revealed that she had a trauma 1yr back and her teeth got fractured. At that time the teeth were treated with Root Canal Treatment but crowns were not fixed on teeth.

Treatment plan
As there was anterior spacing, this case was discussed with orthodontist. Patient was having Bimaxillary Protrusion also (Figure 1). Orthodontic correction was advised with fixed appliance for malocclusion (anterior spacing) and all-ceramic crowns on maxillary central incisors and left lateral incisor.

Orthodontic Treatment was refused by the patient and she demanded white teeth from her natural teeth as she was getting married within one month and she wanted her treatment done before her marriage. Then preferred all-ceramic crowns.

Diagnostic impressions were made, A1 shade was determined with a shade guide (Vita) in place of A2 shade according to her request and crown preparation done for all-ceramic crowns. Final impression was made after retraction by using Impregum polyether elastomeric impression material (3MESPE). Temporary crowns were cemented using non-eugenol Temp cement.

Then dies were made from final impression and dies of crown were scanned in scanner (Cercon-eye) (Figure 2). The dimensions and shape of zirconia copings on 3D images were other ceramics [10]. The following case report describes the
of maxillary central incisors and left lateral incisor were modified and finalized with the help of cercon-art (Figure 2). The saved data was then sent to the milling machine (Cercon-brain) for fabrication of copings for prepared teeth (Figure 3).

After two days temporary crowns were removed then all-ceramic coping trial was done and after one week temporary crowns were removed and internal fit of the all-ceramic restoration was evaluated intra-orally with a disclosing agent (Fit checker, GC America) and occlusion was assessed in inter cuspal & excursion position. Minor adjustment was made and again crowns sent for glazing. The crowns were cemented with self etch unicem luting cement (3MEspe). Patient was satisfied with the crown length, width and esthetics which was improved and equal to adjacent natural teeth (Figure 4a and 4b).

Discussion

Many all-ceramic systems are available. Out of all these Zirconia all-ceramic crowns was selected in this case. As these were discolored teeth and zirconia is opaque, hence it can mask this discoloration better than Alumina crown. Hefferman et al. [11] suggested that zirconia restoration would be better suited to match opaque, high value teeth. In this study, the translucency of several all-ceramic systems was compared under transmitted light, and the zirconia system was found to be as opaque as the metal ceramic.

The Dens System is a computer assisted design/ computer-assisted manufacturing (CAD/CAM) system designed for the creation of anterior posterior crown & fixed partial dentures. The fabrication of a zirconia coping with system requires 4 main steps:

a. Scanning of dies.
b. Computer-Assisted Design of Substrate.
c. Automated milling of the zirconia block.
d. Sintering.

The coping is veneered with the Dens System porcelain.

There are other restorative systems available that use zirconia for fabrication of coping. One of them is DCS Smart-Fit is a CAD/CAM system that utilizes fully sintered Y-TZP under hot isotonic pressure. This result in an extremely hard and dense ceramic [10] that require mill time of around 2 to 4 hours for coping [12]. In contrast Dens System crown employ partially sintered Y-TZP milling blocks that are weak but easy to mill [10].

Procera, a CAD/CAM system that until recently utilized only densely sintered alumina, added zirconia (Procera Zirconia, Nobel Biocare) as an option for fabrication of single and multiple-unit restoration [13]. One other system (Inceram Zirconia, Vident) employs a glass-infiltrated 35% zirconia 65% alumina material for fabrication of posterior crown and FDPs [9] that can be slip cast or milled from pre-sintered blocks. Its flexural strength is about 620 MPa [14], which is lower than that reported for densely sintered zirconia, the ceramic used in other system mentioned above [10].

Conclusion

Sometimes many challenges are faced by dentists while
dealing with young and female patients and sometimes treatment plans are also affected by those patients’ demands. To provide improved aesthetics and function to increasingly discriminating tastes, dentists have turned to advanced and exciting materials and digital techniques. The Dens system provides innovative technology using strong CAD/CAM fabricated copings and highly aesthetic all-ceramic restorations on a zirconium oxide base. The evolution of dental materials continues to revolutionize the way we practice modern dentistry, satisfying a maximum patient and clinician demands.
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