Impact of Different Clinical Perfusates During Normothermic Ex Situ Liver Perfusion on Pig Liver Transplant Outcomes in a DCD Model
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Background. Human albumin/dextran (HA-D), bovine-gelatin (BG), and packed red blood cells plus plasma have been used in European and North-American clinical trials of normothermic ex situ liver perfusion (NEsLP). We compared the effects of these perfusates in a porcine model during NEsLP and after transplantation. Methods. Porcine livers were retrieved 30 minutes after circulatory death. After 5 hours of NEsLP, grafts were transplanted. Three groups (n = 6) were assessed (HA-D vs BG vs whole blood [WB]). One group of static cold storage (SCS) was evaluated for comparison with the perfusion groups. Hemodynamic variables, liver and endothelial injury, and function were assessed during NEsLP and posttransplantation. Results. Hepatic artery flow was higher since the beginning of NEsLP in the HA-D group (HA-D, 238 ± 90 mL/min vs BG, 97 ± 33 mL/min vs WB, 148 ± 49 mL/min; P = 0.01). Hyaluronic acid was lower in the HA-D at the end of perfusion (HA-D, 16.28 ± 7.59 ng/μL vs BG, 76.05 ± 15.30 ng/μL vs WB, 114 ± 46 ng/μL; P < 0.001). After transplant, aspartate aminotransferase was decreased in the HA-D group when compared with the rest of the groups (HA-D, 444 ± 226 IU/L vs BG, 1033 ± 694 IU/L vs WB, 616 ± 444 IU/L vs SCS, 2235 ± 1878 IU/L). At 5 hours after transplant, lactate was lower in the HA-D group (HA-D, 3.88 ± 1.49 mmol/L vs BG, 7.79 ± 2.68 mmol/L vs WB, 11 ± 3.86 mmol/L vs SCS, 9.06 ± 3.54 mmol/L; P = 0.04). International Normalized Ratio was improved in HA-D group compared to the rest of the groups (HA-D, 1.23 ± 0.30 vs BG, 1.63 ± 0.20 vs WB, 1.50 ± 0.31 vs SCS, 1.97 ± 1.55; P = 0.03) after transplantation. In contrast, BG displayed lower aspartate aminotransferase levels during NEsLP (HA-D, 183 ± 53 IU/L vs BG, 142 ± 52 IU/L vs WB, 285 ± 74 IU/L; P = 0.01) and less cleaved-caspase-3 staining (HA-D, 2.05 ± 0.73% vs BG, 0.95 ± 1.14% vs WB, 1.74 ± 0.54% vs SCS, 7.95 ± 2.38%) compared with the other groups. On the other hand, the bile from the WB showed higher pH (HA-D, 7.54 ± 0.11 vs BG, 7.34 ± 0.37 vs WB, 7.59 ± 0.18) and lower glucose levels (HA-D, 0.38 ± 0.75 mmol/L vs BG, 1.42 ± 1.75 mmol/L vs WB, 0 ± 0 mmol/L) by the end of perfusion. Conclusions. Overall HA-D displayed more physiologic conditions during NEsLP that were reflected in less graft injury and improved liver function and survival after transplantation. Optimization of the perfusates based on the beneficial effects found with these different solutions would potentially improve further the outcomes through the use of NEsLP in marginal grafts.
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ормотермический экзитутный перфузион (NESSLP) имеет перспективу как стратегия, позволяющая оценить маргинальные органы и увеличить количество органов. Следующее поколение биологических сред может улучшить перфузию органа и обеспечить его жизнеспособность.

Однако в пасторе и патологии, где использование DCD органов ограничено из-за биологических (например, non-perfusate) и клинических (например, отек) проблем, необходимо тщательно оценить безопасность и эффективность этого подхода.

Материалы и методы

Схема исследования

Была проведена серия клинических исследований, сравнивающих два коллоидных раствора, Gelofusine и Steen, а также PRBCs/FFP-смеси в предклинических и клинических исследованиях с Liver Assist, OCS, и homemade devices.6,11,13,27-29

В результате, данные из этой работы также могут внести определённое влияние на решение о том, на какие вопросы и в каких условиях необходимо выполнить дополнительные исследования, а также какие дополнительные настройки будут необходимы для разработки более подходящего перфузионного решения.
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Donor Surgery, Normothermic Ex Situ Liver Perfusion and Recipient Surgery

The animals were first randomized into the treatment groups. Subsequently, a DCD model with 30minutes-WI was used to simulate the current clinical practice in most of the centers. The NEsLP setup was established to resemble the system used in the human clinical trials.2,3 Liver weight was determined at 2 timepoints, after the retrieval cold flush and following the cold flush after NEsLP. The change in liver weight was determined from the differences between these 2 timepoints. Livers were then transplanted into recipient pigs with the use of a venovenous portojugular bypass shunt during the anhepatic phase as previously described.32 Liver biopsies were performed 2 hours after the PV was reperfused and at the time of euthanasia. The donor surgery, machine setup, circuit priming, posttransplant care, and biopsy processing were performed as described in Supplementary methods (http://links.lww.com/TXD/A191).

Colloid Solutions and Perfusate Characteristics

For the purpose of this study, we referred to the bottled solution as “colloid solution.” We reserved the word “perfusion” to the fluid that flows through the liver after complete circuit priming (WB or PRBCs, colloid solution, bicarbonate, etc). Electrolytes, pH, glucose, albumin, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), colloid-osmotic pressure, osmolarity, viscosity, and density were determined from both colloid solutions directly from the fluid bottle. Baseline perfusate biochemistry was determined 5 minutes after the liver was placed on pump. After baseline values were determined, corrections were made in the 3 groups to reach same acid-base levels as currently performed in the clinical practice. Detailed description of methods can be found in the Supplementary methods (http://links.lww.com/TXD/A191).

Liver Assessment and Bile Composition Evaluation

Liver enzymes were determined by using Piccolo Xpress machine. Serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels were measured as marker of hepatic injury at hours 0, 2, and 4 during perfusion. Additionally, during the posttransplant follow-up AST, total bilirubin (TBil) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were assessed and 3 hours after reperfusion and every 24 hours starting on the first postoperative day (POD). Bile characteristics (pH, volume, Na+, and HCO3⁻ content) and bile/perfusate Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ ratio were evaluated at BL, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 hours after the liver was placed on pump. Lactate clearance was assessed hourly during NEsLP and after transplantation. International Normalized Ratio (INR) was measured after transplantation. Detailed description can be found in the Supplementary methods (http://links.lww.com/TXD/A191).

Hyaluronic Acid Levels

Hyaluronic acid levels were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay during NEsLP and at 2 hours after transplantation (quantitative sandwich enzyme immunoassay technique; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) as an indirect marker of endothelial cell (EC) function. Lower levels indicating a better EC function.33

Statistical Analysis

Data were first evaluated for normal distribution. Parametric tests were used to analyze the data with normal distribution. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for ordinal data between the 4 different groups. Student t test was used when ordinal variable was analyzed on an individual timepoint between the 2 groups. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical tests were performed by using SPSS 22 statistical package (IBM, Chicago, IL).

RESULTS

Normothermic Ex Situ Liver Perfusion

(a) Colloid Solution Composition

The analysis of solutions composition showed a significantly more acidic pH in Gelofusine group (Steen, 7.33 ± 0.10 vs Gelofusine, 6.76 ± 0.06; P < 0.001). No potassium, calcium, glucose, or BUN was detected in the Gelofusine solution. In addition, significantly lower levels of bicarbonate were found in the Gelofusine group (Steen, 11.4 ± 0.10 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 10.3 ± 0.15 mmol/L; P < 0.001). Although both solutions showed hypertonic osmolarity, Steen solution osmolarity was significantly higher when compared with Gelofusine (Steen, 309 ± 1.5 vs Gelofusine, 296 ± 3; P = 0.003). In contrast, oncotic pressure was significantly higher in Gelofusine group (Steen, 26.43 ± 1.03 mm Hg vs Gelofusine, 23.89 ± 1.60 mm Hg; P < 0.001). Of interest, viscosity showed similar values in both groups at 4°C (Steen, 4.52 ± 0.53 cP vs Gelofusine, 4.24 ± 0.07 cP; P = 0.60) and 37°C (Steen, 1.59 ± 0.21 cP vs Gelofusine, 1.47 ± 0.25 cP; P = 0.70). Density determinations showed lower values in the Steen group at 4°C (Steen, 1.018 ± 0.004 g/cm³ vs Gelofusine, 1.023 ± 0.002 g/cm³; P = 0.02) and 37°C (Steen, 1.005 ± 0.013 g/cm³ vs Gelofusine, 1.014 ± 0.011 g/cm³; P = 0.30) (Table 1).

(b) Perfusate Characteristics at the Beginning of the NEsLP

The characteristics of the 3 perfusates (Steen, Gelofusine, and WB) were determined at the start of the NEsLP. The pH of the perfusate from Steen and Gelofusine groups was significantly more acidic than the WB group (Steen, 7.23 ± 0.02 vs Gelofusine, 7.01 ± 0.04 vs WB, 7.39 ± 0.06; P < 0.001). Although sodium levels in the perfusate were physiologic for all the groups, potassium was within normal range only for the Steen group and significantly higher compared with the Gelofusine and WB groups (Table 2). Corrections for pH and potassium levels were made by adding potassium bicarbonate to allow similar levels for all the groups in pH (Steen, 7.50 ± 0.06 vs Gelofusine, 7.43 ± 0.06 vs WB, 7.47 ± 0.03) and potassium levels (Steen, 3.27 ± 1.36 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 3.36 ± 0.24 mmol/L vs WB, 3.78 ± 0.93 mmol/L) by the end of perfusion (Supplementary Table 1, http://links.lww.com/TXD/A192). Oncotic pressure analysis showed comparable
values in Steen group when compared to Gelofusine (Steen, 17.95 ± 2.19 mm Hg vs Gelofusine, 20.20 ± 3.12 mm Hg; P = 0.24). In contrast, Steen and Gelofusine groups demonstrated significantly higher oncotic pressure when compared with WB group (Table 2).

(c) Hemodynamic Parameters During NEsLP

Overall HA flow was higher throughout the perfusion in the livers that were perfused with Steen. The difference was significantly larger at the start of perfusion (Steen, 238 ± 90 mL/min vs Gelofusine, 97 ± 32 mL/min vs WB, 148 ± 49 mL/min; P = 0.01) and was maintained to the end of perfusion (Steen, 270 ± 110 mL/min vs Gelofusine, 183 ± 33 mL/min vs WB, 188 ± 42; P = 0.12) (Figure 1). Intraarterial resistance was significantly higher in the Gelofusine group when compared with the other groups at the beginning of perfusion (Steen, 0.23 ± 0.05 mm Hg·mL⁻¹·min⁻¹ vs Gelofusine, 0.52 ± 0.18 mm Hg/mL⁻¹·min⁻¹ vs WB, 0.32 ± 0.10; P = 0.01) but not at later timepoints (Figure 1). The PV flow was at physiological levels in the 3 groups since the start of perfusion (Figure 1).

(d) Hepatocyte and EC Injury and Function During NEsLP

Perfusate levels of AST were significantly higher in the WB group when compared with Steen and Gelofusine groups (Figure 2). Endothelial cell function was assessed by hyaluronic acid clearance with lower values indicating a better EC function. Hyaluronic acid levels were significantly lower in the Steen livers when compared with Gelofusine and WB livers at 1 hour (Steen, 3.09 ± 1.48 ng/μL vs Gelofusine, 32.63 ± 3.55 ng/μL vs WB, 18.89 ± 13.48 ng/μL; P < 0.001) and 5 hours of perfusion (Steen, 16.28 ± 7.59 ng/μL vs Gelofusine, 76.05 ± 15.30 ng/μL vs WB, 113.62 ± 46.43 ng/μL; P < 0.001) (Figure 2), indicating

### TABLE 1.

| Parameters                   | Steen solution (n = 6) | Gelofusine solution (n = 6) | P       |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| pH                           | 7.33 ± 0.10            | 6.76 ± 0.06                 | <0.001  |
| Na⁺, mmol/L                  | 148 ± 0.85             | 148 ± 1.71                  | 0.73    |
| K⁺, mmol/L                   | 4.65 ± 0.01            | 0                            | —       |
| Ca²⁺, mmol/L                 | 0.82 ± 0.04            | 0                            | —       |
| Cr, mmol/L                   | 119 ± 1                | 120 ± 3                      | 0.77    |
| HCO₃⁻, mmol/L                | 11.40 ± 0.10           | 1.03 ± 0.15                  | <0.001  |
| Glucose, mmol/L              | 11.80 ± 0.25           | 0                            | —       |
| BUN, mmol/L                  | 0.71 ± 0.04            | 0.07 ± 0.07                  | 0.69    |
| Lactate, mmol/L              | 309 ± 1.5              | 296 ± 3                      | 0.003   |
| Osmolarity, Osm/L            | 6.80 ± 0.05            | 0                            | —       |
| Oncotic pressure, mm Hg      | 26.43 ± 1.03           | 38.92 ± 1.60                 | <0.001  |
| Viscosity at 4°C (centipoise)| 4.52 ± 0.54            | 4.24 ± 0.70                  | 0.60    |
| Viscosity at 37°C (centipoise)| 1.59 ± 0.21           | 1.47 ± 0.25                  | 0.65    |
| Density at 4°C, g/mL         | 1.018 ± 0.004          | 1.023 ± 0.002                | 0.02    |
| Density at 37°C, g/mL        | 1.005 ± 0.013          | 1.014 ± 0.011                | 0.30    |

Samples were taken directly from the fluid bottle before NEsLP circuit priming with the respective solution. Student’s t test was used for analysis. BUN, blood urea nitrogen; NEsLP, normothermic ex situ liver perfusion.

### TABLE 2.

| Parameters                   | Baseline in Yorkshire pigs (n = 6) | Baseline NEsLP Steen (n = 6) | Baseline NEsLP Gelofusine (n = 6) | Baseline NEsLP WB (n = 6) | P       |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| pH                           | 7.48 ± 0.03                        | 7.23 ± 0.02                 | 7.01 ± 0.04                      | 7.39 ± 0.06               | <0.001  |
| Na⁺, mmol/L                  | 138 ± 3                            | 143 ± 2                     | 144 ± 1                          | 140 ± 2                   | 0.02    |
| K⁺, mmol/L                   | 3.74 ± 0.31                        | 4.19 ± 0.64                 | 1.54 ± 0.20                      | 2.42 ± 0.59               | <0.001  |
| Ca²⁺, mmol/L                 | 1.24 ± 0.09                        | 0.91 ± 0.06                 | 0.32 ± 0.01                      | 0.88 ± 0.06               | <0.001  |
| Cr, mmol/L                   | 102 ± 2                            | 114 ± 2                     | 113 ± 2                          | 117 ± 5                   | 0.19    |
| HCO₃⁻, mmol/L                | 30 ± 2                             | 135.1 ± 1.11                | 7.06 ± 0.86                      | 15.98 ± 1.37              | <0.001  |
| Glucose, mmol/L              | 4.58 ± 0.83                        | 9.18 ± 1.47                 | 5.60 ± 3.56                      | 5.25 ± 3.81               | 0.08    |
| BUN, mmol/L                  | 1.37 ± 0.14                        | 0.71 ± 0.0                   | 0.71 ± 0.0                       | 0.83 ± 0.29               | 0.39    |
| Lactate, mmol/L              | 1.05 ± 0.40                        | 1.58 ± 1.09                 | 1.37 ± 0.47                      | 1.43 ± 0.20               | 0.87    |
| Osmolarity, Osm/L            | 278 ± 7                            | 288 ± 3                     | 288 ± 3                          | 281 ± 5                   | 0.02    |
| Albumin, g/dL                | 1.6 ± 0.2                          | 4.90 ± 0.1                  | —                                | <1 ± 0                   | <0.001  |
| Oncotic pressure, mm Hg      | 11.05 ± 0.31                       | 17.95 ± 2.19                | 20.20 ± 3.12                     | 4.92 ± 0.23               | <0.001  |

Data are presented as mean and standard deviation. P values were determined by 1-way ANOVA and Student t test between the NEsLP groups (Steen vs Gelofusine vs WB). Note: Baseline samples in Yorkshire pigs were taken right after central line was placed in the donor pig. Baseline samples in NEsLP were taken 5 minutes after the liver was placed on pump. ANOVA, analysis of variance; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; NEsLP, normothermic ex situ liver perfusion; WB, whole blood.
better EC function in the Steen group compared with Gelofusine and WB groups.

(e) Lactate Clearance During NEsLP
Lactate clearance, as a parameter of liver function, was similar for all the groups, reaching normal levels by the end of the perfusion in all the groups (Steen, 0.78 ± 0.36 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 0.69 ± 0.17 mmol/L; P = 0.95) (Figure 2).

(f) Oxygen Consumption During NEsLP
Oxygen consumption (OC) was evaluated as a parameter of aerobic liver metabolism, and no difference was observed between the 3 groups. Oxygen consumption was stable since the 1 hour of perfusion with similar rate in all the groups (Steen, 25 ± 4 mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ vs Gelofusine, 24 ± 4 mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ vs WB, 20 ± 11 mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹; P = 0.20) (Figure 3).

(g) Bile Production and Composition During NEsLP
Bile production was significantly higher in the WB group when compared with Steen and Gelofusine groups since the 2-hour NEsLP (Figure 3). Acidotic bile during NEsLP has been suggested as a potential predictor marker of biliary injury after DCD liver transplantation. Bile pH was significantly higher in the WB group when compared with the other groups at 1 hour of perfusion (Steen, 7.37 ± 0.13 vs Gelofusine, 7.16 ± 0.12 vs WB, 7.49 ± 0.05; P < 0.01) (Figure 3). This finding correlated with higher levels of HCO₃⁻ in the WB group compared with Steen and Gelofusine groups at 1 hour (Steen, 10.04 ± 1.21 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 7.15 ± 2.57 mmol/L; P = 0.02), 2 hours (Steen, 10.70 ± 4.30 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 9.20 ± 4.36 mmol/L vs WB, 13.88 ± 2.03 mmol/L; P = 0.04), 3 hours (Steen, 9.84 ± 1.51 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 10.18 ± 5.88 mmol/L vs WB, 17.10 ± 3.96 mmol/L; P < 0.01), 4 hours (Steen, 13.08 ± 4.60 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 10.08 ± 5.44 mmol/L vs WB, 19.93 ± 6.77 mmol/L; P = 0.02), and 5 hours (Steen, 14.43 ± 4.05 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 10.32 ± 6.06 mmol/L vs WB, 17.15 ± 7.13 mmol/L; P = 0.16) of perfusion (Figure 4). Of note, all the animals in the NEsLP groups had preserved bile duct structure and epithelium integrity at the end of the follow-up.

Bile sodium content was determined during NEsLP. Analysis showed that Na⁺ concentration was higher in the bile of livers perfused with Steen in contrast to those perfused with Gelofusine and WB at 2 hours (Steen, 179 ± 16 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 161 ± 4 mmol/L vs WB, 156 ± 4 mmol/L; P = 0.03), 3 hours (Steen, 177 ± 14 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 164 ± 5 mmol/L vs WB, 153 ± 4 mmol/L; P < 0.01), 4 hours (Steen, 174 ± 15 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 167 ± 6 mmol/L vs WB, 150 ± 3 mmol/L; P = 0.001), and 5 hours (Steen, 175 ± 17 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 162 ± 6 mmol/L vs WB,
FIGURE 2. Hepatocytes and ECs injury and function during NEsLP. AST showed significantly lower levels in the Gelofusine group compared to the rest of the groups (Steen, 183 ± 53 vs Gelofusine, 142 ± 52 vs WB, 285 ± 74; P = 0.01) (A). Lactate clearance was similar for the 3 groups at all timepoints (B). Hyaluronic acid was significantly lower in Steen vs Gelofusine vs WB group at 1 hour (3.09 ± 1.48 ng/μL vs 32.63 ± 3.55 ng/μL vs 18.89 ± 13.48 ng/μL; P < 0.001) and 5 hours (16.28 ± 7.59 ng/μL vs 76.05 ± 15.30 ng/μL vs 113.62 ± 46.43 ng/μL; P < 0.001) of perfusion. Results are expressed as mean ± SD. Six experiments were performed per group (n = 6). One-way ANOVA (A, B) and Student t test (C) were used for analysis. ANOVA, analysis of variance; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; EC, endothelial cell; NEsLP, normothermic ex situ liver perfusion; SD, standard deviation; WB, whole blood.

FIGURE 3. OC and bile production during NEsLP. OC was stable since the 1 hour of perfusion without differences between the groups (A). Bile production was significantly higher in the WB group since 2 hours of NEsLP (B). Significantly higher bile pH was found in the WB at 1 hour of perfusion compared to the rest of the groups (Steen, 7.37 ± 0.13 vs Gelofusine, 7.16 ± 0.12 vs WB, 7.49 ± 0.05; P < 0.01) (C). Results are expressed as mean ± SD. Six experiments were performed per group (n = 6). One-way ANOVA was used for all the analyses (A, B, C). ANOVA, analysis of variance; NEsLP, normothermic ex situ liver perfusion; OC, oxygen consumption; SD, standard deviation; WB, whole blood.
149 ± 2 mmol/L; \( P = 0.001 \) (Figure 5). The bile/perfusate \( \text{Na}^+ \)-ratio also showed higher values in the Steen group during perfusion when compared with the other groups at 2 hours (Steen, 1.22 ± 0.16 vs Gelofusine, 1.12 ± 0.03 vs WB, 1.10 ± 0.02; \( P = 0.33 \)), 3 hours (Steen, 1.21 ± 0.08 vs Gelofusine, 1.14 ± 0.04 vs WB, 1.08 ± 0.01; \( P = 0.03 \)), 4 hours (Steen, 1.19 ± 0.08 vs Gelofusine, 1.15 ± 0.04 vs WB, 1.06 ± 0.01; \( P < 0.01 \)), and 5 hours (Steen, 1.18 ± 0.21 vs Gelofusine, 1.13 ± 0.04 vs WB, 1.06 ± 0.01; \( P < 0.01 \)) (Figure 5). Similarly, bile/perfusate \( \text{Ca}^{++} \) ratio was also higher in the Steen group, although the difference did not reach significance (Figure 5). Overall bile electrolyte concentration in the Steen group was more similar to the samples obtained from untreated (BL) animals than Gelofusine and WB groups. Of interest, the Steen group showed a trend to significantly lower LDH levels (Steen, 562 ± 490 IU/L vs Gelofusine, 905 ± 450 IU/L vs WB, 694 ± 435 IU/L; \( P = 0.30 \)) and gamma-glutamyl transferase (Steen, 292 ± 111 IU/L vs Gelofusine, 576 ± 294 IU/L vs WB, 934 ± 532 IU/L; \( P = 0.07 \)) at 1 hour after the liver was placed on pump. In addition, all the groups showed a trend to a progressive decrease in the levels of bile glucose during NESLP. Although not significant, the WB showed lower bile glucose concentration at all the timepoints (Figure 6).

(h) Change in Liver Weight

Weight of the livers after the retrieval cold flush was similar in all the groups (Steen, 818 ± 73 g vs Gelofusine, 867 ± 63 g vs WB, 827 ± 83 g; \( P = 0.47 \)). Interestingly, there was a lower percentage of weight gain in the Steen and WB groups compared with the Gelofusine group after NESLP (Steen, 4.62 ± 5.75%, Gelofusine, 14.73 ± 5.95%, WB, 5.73 ± 7.58%; \( P = 0.01 \)) (Figure 7).

Outcome After Liver Transplantation

(a) Hepatic and Bile Duct Injury After Liver Transplantation

After transplantation, the Steen group showed persistently lower AST levels compared with the other groups at day 1 (Steen, 1250 ± 742 IU/L vs Gelofusine, 1957 ± 1188 IU/L vs WB, 1425 ± 9571 IU/L vs SCS, 2097 ± 1121 IU/L; \( P = 0.48 \)), day 2 (Steen, 444 ± 226 IU/L vs Gelofusine, 1033 ± 694 IU/L vs WB, 616 ± 444 IU/L vs SCS, 2235 ± 1878 IU/L; \( P = 0.05 \)), and day 3 (Steen, 180 ± 89 IU/L vs Gelofusine, 608 ± 554 IU/L vs WB, 219 ± 145 IU/L vs SCS, 652 ± 549 IU/L; \( P = 0.15 \)) (Figure 8). Serum ALP and TBil levels after transplantation were also decreased in the Steen group compared with Gelofusine, WB, and SCS groups (Figure 8). Significantly lower percentage of cleaved-caspase-3 positive staining at 2 hours after reperfusion was found in the Steen, Gelofusine, and WB groups compared with SCS (Steen, 2.05 ± 0.73% vs Gelofusine, 0.95 ± 1.14% vs WB, 1.74 ± 0.54% vs SCS, 7.95 ± 2.38%; \( P < 0.001 \)) (Figure 8). Of note, hematoxylin and eosin analysis demonstrated a significantly lower percentage of necrosis at POD3 in the Steen group compared with Gelofusine (Steen, 12.5 ± 6% vs Gelofusine, 20 ± 4.5%; \( P = 0.03 \)) and SCS group (Steen, 12.5 ± 6% vs SCS, 25 ± 5%; \( P < 0.01 \)).
Bile duct structure and epithelium integrity was completely preserved in all the animals in the Steen, Gelofusine, and WB group at the time of sacrifice. In contrast, 2 of 6 animals from the SCS showed alternated areas with loss of bile duct epithelium and structure (Figure 9).

(b) Endothelial Integrity and Function After Liver Transplantation

Surface marker-CD31 was used to evaluate the integrity of ECs 2 hours postreperfusion. CD31 integrity score was improved in the Steen, Gelofusine, and WB groups compared with the SCS group (Steen, 3.33 ± 0.51 vs Gelofusine, 3.16 ± 0.75 vs WB, 3.16 ± 1.16 vs SCS, 2 ± 0.63; P < 0.01) (Figure 9). Hyaluronic acid clearance, as a marker of EC function, was determined at the same timepoint. Hyaluronic acid levels were significantly lower in the Steen, Gelofusine, and SCS groups when compared with the WB group (Steen, 1257 ± 358 ng/μL vs Gelofusine, 1501 ± 143 ng/μL vs WB, 2925 ± 912 ng/μL vs SCS, 1280 ± 151 ng/μL; P = 0.01) (Figure 9).

(c) Liver Function After Transplantation

International Normalized Ratio posttransplantation was determined as a marker of hepatocyte function. The INR values were significantly higher in the WB group when compared with the other groups at 3 hours after portal vein (PV) reperfusion (Steen, 1.60 ± 0.26 vs Gelofusine, 1.65 ± 0.16 vs WB, 1.98 ± 0.47 vs SCS, 1.49 ± 0.26; P = 0.07). Of interest, Steen group persistently showed lower INR after transplantation, which correlated with the 100% survival in this group (Figure 10).

Lactate clearance was significantly improved in the Steen group when compared with the rest of the groups at 5 hours after transplantation (Steen, 3.88 ± 1.49 mmol/L vs Gelofusine, 7.79 ± 2.68 mmol/L vs WB, 8.16 ± 3.86 mmol/L vs SCS, 9.06 ± 3.54 mmol/L; P = 0.04) (Figure 10).

Animal Survival

All the animals in the Steen group survived until the POD3 without complications and good recovery. In contrast, 1, 2, and 2 animals were euthanized due to PNF and bad clinical appearance (respiratory distress, signs of hypoperfusion, and puncture sites bleeding) in the Gelofusine, WB, and SCS groups, respectively (Figure 10).

DISCUSSION

The focus of previous animal studies has been on the protective effects of the warm perfusion on preservation injury after liver transplantation. Little is known about the impact of the perfusion fluid on NEsLP. Most published porcine studies have used WB, PRBCs, or plasma for warm machine perfusion. In contrast to the experimental data, the first clinical trial used Gelofusine with PRBCs for NEsLP. In SCS preservation, it has been the switch from Eurocollins to
UW as preservation solution that provided the breakthrough of organ preservation in the 1990s, and it is possible that the type of perfusate fluid plays also an important role in warm perfusion preservation. Of interest, Gelofusine/albumin in combination with acellular hemoglobin-based oxygen carriers have been recently used for NEsLP with results suggesting these combinations to be a safe approach. This indicates the importance of defining the differences between the perfusate solutions before we move forward to a different setup.

Although the first European perfusion trial for liver transplantation was performed with Gelofusine, the first North American clinical trial used Steen for warm perfusion. In addition, single-center experience has shown the feasibility of using PRBCs plus FFP as perfusate. The decision on using

**FIGURE 6.** Bile markers of cellular injury. Analysis of LDH and gamma-glutamyl transferase showed a decrease in the levels of these markers by the end of the perfusion in all the groups. Steen vs Gelofusine vs WB group showed a trend to significantly lower levels of LDH (562 ± 490 IU/L vs 905 ± 450 IU/L vs 694 ± 435 IU/L; \( P = 0.30 \)) and gamma-glutamyl transferase (292 ± 111 IU/L vs 576 ± 294 IU/L vs 934 ± 552 IU/L; \( P = 0.07 \)) at 1 hour after the liver was placed on pump (A, B). The bile glucose levels were lower in the WB group compared to Steen and Gelofusine groups at all timepoints without reaching significant difference (C). Results are expressed as mean ± SD. Six experiments were performed per group (\( n = 6 \)). Student t test was used for analysis. Note: BL bile samples represent pig serum samples taken directly from the bile duct of donor pigs before any intervention; SD, standard deviation; WB, whole blood.

**FIGURE 7.** Percentage of gain in liver weight at the end of perfusion. Analysis of liver weight before and after NEsLP demonstrated a significantly lower percentage of weight gain in the grafts perfused with Steen and WB groups compared with the Gelofusine group (Steen, 4.62 ± 5.75%; Gelofusine, 14.73 ± 5.95%; WB, 5.73 ± 7.58%; \( P = 0.01 \)). Results are expressed as mean ± SD. Six experiments were performed per group (\( n = 6 \)). Student t test was used for analysis. NEsLP, normothermic ex situ liver perfusion; SD, standard deviation; WB, whole blood.
different perfusate solutions has been made primarily based on local logistic or preference reasons more than prior experimental evidence.

In our study, the 3 perfusates (Steen, Gelofusine, and WB) showed important differences during NEsLP and after transplantation in a DCD model (30 min-WI), indicating that specific characteristics from each solution might contribute to improve the warm preservation. Of interest, even though the WI injury was moderate and the perfusion was relatively short, we were able to identify important differences between the 3 groups. The lower pH at the beginning of Gelofusine perfusion correlated with the finding of a more acidotic pH (6.76 ± 0.06) in the Gelofusine bags than the one described from the manufacturer (7.1-7.7). In the beginning, the NEsLP perfusions with Steen and WB were associated with a higher HA flow and less hepatic artery resistance. The reduction of hepatic artery flow during Gelofusine perfusion may possibly be related to the initial low potassium content of Gelofusine. It has been demonstrated in prior animal studies that low potassium levels can result in a reduction of nitric oxide production with subsequent increased vasoconstriction.44–46 In addition, the higher density of the gelatin-based perfusate at low temperatures could have also contributed to the lower HA flow and higher intraarterial resistance at the beginning of the perfusion. An additional finding was that although Gelofusine solution does not contain potassium, the perfusate from the Gelofusine group showed an increase of the potassium level of 1.5 mmol/L within 5 minutes after the liver was placed on pump. This increase in potassium can be a result of increased cell injury, or alternatively secondary to the initial acidotic environment of Gelofusine perfusate that favors the exchange of hydrogen ions (intracellular displacement) with potassium (extracellular displacement). In contrast, Gelofusine group showed lower levels of AST during NEsLP and lower cleaved caspase-3 staining when compared with Steen and WB groups. Of note, the WB group displayed a more alkalotic bile pH, a more physiologic bile HCO3- concentration, and reduced bile glucose in comparison with Steen and Gelofusine groups. These findings are important because bile pH and bile carbonic content tended to decrease by the end of the perfusion in the WB groups, which might be secondary to the higher

**FIGURE 8.** Hepatic injury and apoptosis after transplantation. After transplantation Steen group showed lower AST levels compared with Gelofusine, WB, and SCS groups (day 1, 1250 ± 742 IU/L vs 1957 ± 1188 IU/L vs 1425 ± 9571 IU/L vs 2097 ± 1121 IU/L; \( P = 0.48 \)), (day 2, 444 ± 226 IU/L vs 1033 ± 694 IU/L vs 616 ± 444 IU/L vs 2235 ± 1878 IU/L; \( P = 0.05 \)) (day 3, 180 ± 89 IU/L vs 608 ± 554 IU/L vs 219 ± 145 IU/L vs 652 ± 549 IU/L; \( P = 0.15 \)) (A). Lower levels of ALP and TBil were also found in Steen group compared with Gelofusine, WB, and SCS groups (B, C). Cleaved caspase-3 analysis showed significantly lower levels of positive staining in Gelofusine, Steen, and WB groups compared with SCS group \( (P < 0.001) \) (D). Results are expressed as mean ± SD. Six experiments were performed per group \( (n = 6) \). One way ANOVA (A, B, C) and Student t test were used for statistical analysis (D). ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ANOVA, analysis of variance; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; SCS, static cold storage; SD, standard deviation; TBil, total bilirubin; WB, whole blood.
hepatocellular and endothelial injury found during NEsLP in this group. On the other hand, the Steen group showed significantly lower levels of hyaluronic acid during NEsLP in contrast to the levels in the Gelofusine and WB groups’ results that correlate with the prior reports on the protective effect of dextran on ECs.49,50

An additional finding of this study was the significant increase in liver weight in the Gelofusine group when compared with the Steen and WB groups. This outcome could reflect parenchymal edema, which might have also contributed to the lower HA flow in this group. This factor can become an important element to take into account for prolonged perfusions.

Posttransplantation Steen perfused grafts had significant better early graft function as determined by improved lactate clearance and lower INR. There was additionally a trend toward decreased AST, ALT, and TBlD in the Steen group when compared with the rest of the groups. All animals in the Steen group survived with 1 and 2 of 6 animals dying in the Gelofusine and WB groups, respectively. The lower survival in the WB group correlated with significantly higher levels of hyaluronic acid during NEsLP and after transplantation in this group that might be secondary to endothelial activation and injury during perfusion and after transplantation due to the exposure to platelets and remnant leukocytes content in the WB perfusate. As described in prior studies, leukocytes and platelets play an important role during ischemia-reperfusion injury.51–53

Although 30 minutes-WI is the upper limit of acceptable WI time for DCD retrieval with SCS by most centers, it probably represents a mild injury if the liver graft is stored with warm perfused preservation. Therefore, differences in perfusion quality might not result in clinical relevant outcome differences because of the overall good graft quality. It is possible that by further increasing warm ischemic injury or adding additional risk factors for preservation injury that optimize perfusion conditions could become critical. Before establishing what perfusate is ideal for each type of graft (eg, DCD, fatty liver, older donors), it is essential to first optimize the current perfusate solutions.

Based on the results found in this study, we suggest that the future investigations should emphasize identifying factors in the WB perfusate that contributed to more physiologic bile pH and bile glucose content. The identification and supplementation with elements from the WB (eg, secretin, vasoactive intestinal polypeptide, corticosteroids, gastrin, bombesin, etc54) to the colloid-based perfusate (Steen or Gelofusine) might bring an additional benefit in the outcomes. In addition, based on the results of this study, we suggest perfusate hyaluronic acid levels as a potential marker to predict liver viability after transplantation.

Moreover, apart from optimizing the perfusate solution for NEsLP, upcoming studies should explore the possibility of combination with other innovative techniques, such as
normothermic regional perfusion, hypothermic perfusion, and controlled oxygenated rewarming, where beneficial effects have been found at decreasing hepatocellular injury in uncontrolled DCD organs, restoration of ATP levels in fatty livers, and improvement in the peak of transaminases after transplantation with rescue allocation organs, respectively.

Our study has several limitations. First, the porcine model of 30 minutes-WI might not be sufficient to detect differences in perfusion quality. Second, mechanisms of preservation injury were not assessed in the model. Third, the correction of perfusate pH was made 5 minutes after the liver was placed on pump. Fourth, the prostacyclin infusion was not dynamically adjusted. Fifth, the short duration of NESLP may have prevented some of the observed trends from reaching significance. We have chosen 30 minutes-WI because it reflects a clinically relevant withdrawal time for DCD retrievals used by the majority of transplant centers today. Prolonged animal survival is difficult in a porcine model because pigs will develop severe rejection starting day 5 despite immunosuppression. Biochemical and histologic features of severe rejection make the interpretation of later results more difficult.

Overall, this study demonstrates that Steen perfusate improves initial HA flow, markers of bile duct, and hepatocyte and endothelial injury during NESLP and after transplantation. On the other side, Gelofusine reduces AST levels during NESLP and apoptosis after transplantation. In contrast, WB displays a more physiologic bile pH and bile glucose levels during NESLP. Future studies should focus on optimizing warm perfusion solutions for the NESLP of extreme marginal grafts and possibly combine the advantages of the different perfusion solutions.
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