Phenylketonuria is a recessively inherited metabolic disorder which, unless it is treated early enough with a phenylalanine-restricted diet, leads to severe intellectual disabilities.1 The overall prevalence of phenylketonuria in the UK is about 1 per 10,0002 and published guidelines suggest that treatment needs to be early and lifelong.3

Neonatal newborn screening for phenylketonuria began in the late 1960s and those treated early had a very good outcome.1 However, those born before neonatal screening began were not normally treated, as they already had severe intellectual disabilities, assumed to be irreversible. Our study aimed to trace all those with untreated phenylketonuria and severe intellectual disabilities in the UK and to examine their range of difficulties, as a prelude to a randomised controlled trial of phenylalanine-restricted diet in people with previously untreated phenylketonuria.

**Methods**

A letter and response form were sent to all UK professionals in a number of fields (psychiatrists, psychologists and managers of learning disability services; dietitians in mental health/learning disabilities services and metabolic services; metabolic paediatricians) asking whether they knew of anyone with untreated phenylketonuria and intellectual disabilities. The survey was also publicised through the National Society for Phenylketonuria website and at conferences. The study was approved by a multisite National Health Service ethics committee.

Professionals were asked for an anonymised list of people known to them with untreated phenylketonuria, plus a contact name of someone who could give further details. These ‘primary contacts’ were then asked to complete a brief anonymised questionnaire (described below) regarding the person with untreated phenylketonuria. To ensure the maximum response rate, one with untreated phenylketonuria. Questionnaires were then sent to the primary contacts named in the positive replies and 98 completed questionnaires were returned (84% response rate), regarding adults who had all been untreated in early life.

Of these 98 people with phenylketonuria, none of whom had been treated in infancy, 50 had never tried the phenylalanine-restricted diet at all. The remaining 48 had tried the diet at some point in their lives: 29 had ceased the diet but 19 were still on it.

Of the 79 untreated people (i.e. 50 never on diet + 29 tried it but not on diet now), 44% were men. The overall mean age was 47.7 years (s.d.=9.6, range 19–72). The two youngest people were sisters, aged 19 and 22 years, who had come to the UK from a country that did not have neonatal screening for phenylketonuria; the next youngest person was 34 years old. Of the 29 people who had tried the phenylalanine-restricted diet at some point in their lives, about 50% had tried it for less than 2 years.

The characteristics of the 79 untreated people and their challenging behaviour are shown in Table 1 (cross-tabulated against whether or not they had ever tried the phenylalanine-restricted diet). There were no significant differences between those who had and who had not tried the diet in terms of their characteristics, challenging behaviour or symptoms.

The data were also examined for the 19 people, untreated in infancy, who later tried the phenylalanine-restricted diet and were still on the diet. This group did not differ significantly from the 79 people who were not on the diet in terms of their age, gender, levels of skills, challenging behaviours or symptoms.

**Discussion**

It has been calculated from the general birth rate (prior to neonatal screening for phenylketonuria), together with the known incidence rate for phenylketonuria, that there are about 2000 people with untreated phenylketonuria still alive in the UK, assuming a life expectancy of 65 years.5 Jancar6 argued that actual

---

**Summary**

Some people with phenylketonuria who were born before screening began were never treated and are still alive. Here we report that far fewer people with untreated phenylketonuria were detected than are thought to exist (about 2000). The majority of those traced had high support needs, challenging behaviour and other symptoms of phenylketonuria. No significant differences were found between those who had or had not tried the phenylalanine-restricted diet. A randomised controlled trial is required to examine the effect of trying the low-phenylalanine diet for people with untreated phenylketonuria.

**Declaration of interest**

None. Funding detailed in Acknowledgements.
There are two main implications of this study. First, general practitioners, psychiatrists, neurologists, psychologists, community nurses and dietitians, who may see people with severe intellectual disabilities of unknown cause, should suspect and screen for phenylketonuria, especially if the person is more than 35 years old (or was born in a country without neonatal screening), needs 24-h support, and has challenging behaviours and symptoms of phenylketonuria (eczema, epilepsy, ‘mousey’ body odour, and a fair complexion). The second implication is that there is a need for a properly designed randomised control trial to examine whether a phenylalanine-restricted diet really is of help to this very disabled group of people.

**Table 1** Characteristics, challenging behaviour and symptoms of people with untreated phenylketonuria, not on low-phenylalanine diet

| Needs 24-h support | Never tried the diet (n=50) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=29) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes                | 42                          | 23                                     |
| No                 | 8                           | 6                                      |

| Needs waking-hours only support | Never tried the diet (n=50) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=29) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes                             | 6                           | 3                                      |
| No                              | 44                          | 26                                     |

| Ambulant | Never tried the diet (n=23) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=15) |
|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes      | 40                          | 25                                     |
| No       | 10                          | 4                                      |

| Communicates mainly verbally | Never tried the diet (n=21) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=16) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes                          | 19                          | 12                                     |
| No                           | 30                          | 16                                     |

| Can say single words or less | Never tried the diet (n=31) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=24) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes                          | 32                          | 21                                     |
| No                           | 17                          | 8                                      |

| Can say short sentences or more | Never tried the diet (n=17) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=13) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes                            | 17                          | 12                                     |
| No                             | 25                          | 14                                     |

| Behaviours that put their physical safety at risk | Never tried the diet (n=18) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=14) |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes                                               | 24                          | 15                                     |
| No                                                | 25                          | 14                                     |

| Behaviours that put others’ physical safety at risk | Never tried the diet (n=13) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=10) |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes                                               | 20                          | 13                                     |
| No                                                | 27                          | 13                                     |

| Behaviour that limits or delays use of community facilities | Never tried the diet (n=9) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=7) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes                                                        | 32                          | 20                                     |
| No                                                         | 17                          | 9                                      |

| Eczema or other skin disorder | Never tried the diet (n=13) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=10) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes                          | 20                          | 13                                     |
| No                           | 27                          | 13                                     |

| Epilepsy | Never tried the diet (n=10) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=8) |
|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes      | 14                          | 10                                     |
| No       | 33                          | 17                                     |

| Abnormal body odour | Never tried the diet (n=14) | Tried it but no longer on diet (n=12) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Yes                 | 15                          | 14                                     |
| No                  | 32                          | 12                                     |

a. Numbers occasionally add to less than these figures owing to missing data.
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