The Association between mRNA Expression Levels of Ephx1 and Prognosis of Acute Myeloid Leukemia
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Abstract

The human epoxide hydrolase 1 (EPHX1) is a metabolism gene, which is responsible for the first phase of the xenobiotic metabolism. The product, microsomal epoxide hydrolase (mHE), plays an important role in the detoxification of carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Many reports also have studied the correlation between EPHX1 gene polymorphisms and development and generation of cancers. However, few of studies on EPHX1 participating in chemotherapeutics metabolism were reported. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the prognostic significance of the EPHX1 gene expression in acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Using real time-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), mRNA expression levels of EPHX1 gene was measured in bone marrow samples of newly diagnosed AML patients (n=46) and control group (n=12) without tumors. The levels expression of EPHX1 had a significant association with the treatment response and prognosis of AML patients. Additionally, the EPHX1 expression levels can aid for an improved understanding of the multidrug resistant mechanism in AML.
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Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) originates from accumulation of abnormal blasts in the marrow. Diagnosis rests on demonstration that the marrow or blood has≥20% blasts with specific surface markers CD33 and CD13 [1]. Approximately 10% of AML are classified as having core binding factor (CBF) leukemia with a heterodimeric transcription factor complex comprising RUNX1(AML1, CBFα) and CBFβ, which plays a critical role in hemotipoiesis [2]. The standard therapy for AML over the past 30 years has been centered on the traditional “7+3” regimen consisting of daunorubicin and cytarabine-based induction and consolidation therapies. Samples obtained fully informed consent from all the parents. 46 bone marrow samples from de novo AML patients were studied, including CBF+ (n=12) and CBF- (n=34), and compared to 12 bone marrow samples without tumors. Mononuclear cell isolation was performed using Lymphoprep (Hao-Yang Biological Manufacture, Ltd, Tian Jin, China).

mRNA extraction and assessment

The expression levels of EPHX1 was detected via RT-qPCR method based on SYBR. Total RNA extraction from mononuclear cells was carried out using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The amount and quality of extracted RNA was measured by a BioPhotometer (Biotek, Hamburg, USA). Subsequently, 1μg RNA was converted to cDNA using a cDNA synthesis kit (Takara Bio, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Specific primers were as

Materials and Methods

Patients and sample preparation

A retrospective analysis of 46 consecutive patients undergoing intensive induction chemotherapy formal at ChangHai Hospital between January 2010 and August 2013 was conducted. Patients received induction with either “7+3” or a similar regimen with daunorubicin and cytarabine-based induction and consolidation therapies. Samples obtained fully informed consent from all the parents. 46 bone marrow samples from de novo AML patients were studied, including CBF+ (n=12) and CBF- (n=34), and compared to 12 bone marrow samples without tumors. Mononuclear cell isolation was performed using Lymphoprep (Hao-Yang Biological Manufacture, Ltd, Tian Jin, China).

mRNA extraction and assessment

The expression levels of EPHX1 was detected via RT-qPCR method based on SYBR. Total RNA extraction from mononuclear cells was carried out using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The amount and quality of extracted RNA was measured by a BioPhotometer (Biotek, Hamburg, USA). Subsequently, 1μg RNA was converted to cDNA using a cDNA synthesis kit (Takara Bio, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The housekeeping gene, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), was selected as an internal control. Specific primers were as
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follows: EPHX1-F: 5'-CTCCTCAGTCTGGGCCTGGG-3', EPHX1-R: 5'-GAGGTGTTGCTTCTAAGAGGC-3', GAPDH-F: 5'-GATTGGGCCTATTGTTGCGC-3', GAPDH-R: 5'-AGTGATGGCATGGACTGTGG-3', Product Size: 518bp. SYBR Premix Ex Taq II kit (TaKaRa Bio, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) was used for RT-qPCR that was carried out through an optimized program (30 sec pre-incubation at 95˚C, 5 sec denaturation at 95˚C, 34 sec annealing at 60˚C in 40 cycles) on the ABI 7500 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Evaluation of treatment response

Newly diagnosed AML patients were treated mainly based on version.2.2010 AML NCCN clinical practice guideline. Treatment response was assessed according to morphology, multiparameter flow cytometry and RT-qPCR in the year following the initiation of the treatment. Persistence high expression of fusion gene or relapse after complete remission were considered as a poor response to therapy and resistance.

Statistical analysis

Graphpad Prism 5 software was used for statistical analysis of present study. Correlations between gene expression levels and AML prognostic factors were measured using Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) Test. Associations with gene expression among different groups were performed using t-test. Data are shown as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Patients presentation

Amount to 46 de novo AML patients were involved in the study, 12 of the total patients with single CBF fusion gene positive, belonged to better group. Three patients succumbed during the induction stages due to serious bleeding and infection. Nine patients, who relapsed during the first year of treatment, were considered as treatment resistant and refractory patients, and subsequently seven of relapse patients were processed to allogeneic hematologic stem cell transplantation.

mRNA expression levels of the EPHX1 gene

The mRNA expression levels of EPHX1 were significantly different between de novo AML patients and the control group (mean ± SEM, 0.5873 ± 1.2975 vs 0.0365 ± 0.0152, respectively; P=0.0376) (Figure 1). The EPHX1 gene expression levels shown a significant difference between the CBF- group and control group, CBF+ group, and had no difference between CBF+ group and control group (Table 1 and Figure 2).

Expression levels of mHE protein

Western blotting was used for the mononuclear cell of 4 persistence non-remission patients and 2 controls without tumors. The product of EPHX1 gene, mHE, which showed obvious difference (Figure 3).

The EPHX1 gene expression and prognosis

In this study, 46 AML patients were divided into two groups according to media value of the EPHX1 gene expression, ≤0.07 (n=24) group and >0.07 (n=22) group. Possible prognostic difference, including over survival (OS), relapse rates (RR), disease-free survival (DFS) and event-free survival (EFS), were investigated between two groups. Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) Test demonstrated a significant difference on OS (P=0.0382), RR (P=0.0216) and DFS (P=0.0449), and had no significant difference on EFS (P=0.0621) (Figure 4).

Discussion

The present study identifies the role of EPHX1 gene expression as a prognostic factor in AML for the first time. In addition, the data showed
that EPHX1 gene expression in de novo patients is much higher than control group (P=0.0376). However, what many reports shown was that the EPHX1 gene polymorphisms play an important role in both the activation and detoxification of carcinogenic PAHs and aromatic amines [9-11]. Chemotherapeutics (daunorubicin, cytarabine, et.al) which are used for cancer patients almostly based on PAHs, which are also carcinogens in essence. Parts of AML patients are unsusceptibility for chemotherapy in clinic, which can be relative to the EPHX1 gene participating in chemotherapeutics metabolism. The present results indicate a significant increase in mRNA expression levels of EPHX1 in CBF patients compared to CBF+ patients and the control group. These data suggest that EPHX1 has an adverse impact on response to therapy. Therefore, increased expression of EPHX1 can be a poor prognostic factor for AML. Few studies on the association between EPHX1 gene expression and prognosis were reported in AML patients. Up to now only few reports shown EPHX1 gene polymorphisms in xenobiotic metabolizing genes are associated with altered metabolism of carcinogens in acute leukemia [12]. This study indicates an association between the increased mRNA expression levels of EPHX1 and poor treatment response and early drug resistance.

The product of EPHX1 gene, mEH, is a drug metabolizing enzyme found on the endoplasmic reticulum of many tissues and is responsible for catalyzing the hydration of reactive epoxide intermediates that are formed by cytochrome P450s, including PAHs [10,13]. The results shown mEH protein was high expression in persistence non-remission patients compared to control group. By contrast, it is suggested that EPHX1 may have an impact on multidrug resistance in AML. The present study has examined, for the first time, the correlation between EPHX1 gene expression and prognosis in AML. Statistical tests were performed to investigate the association between EPHX1 mRNA expression levels and clinical prognosis, including OS, RR, DFS and EFS. The results indicated high EPHX1 expression mRNA levels group had a significant difference compared to low expression group on OS, RR, DFS, and had no significant difference on EFS. Additionally, this study may open up more opportunities to understand the multidrug resistance of AML.

Conclusion

From above, the data suggestions EPHX1 mRNA overexpression can be proposed as a negative prognostic factor in AML, which increases the risk of resistance to chemotherapy. It is suggested that EPHX1 may be associated with detoxification of chemotherapeutics. The results shown that overexpression of EPHX1 may apply its adverse effect on response to treatment. In conclusion, the exact function of EPHX1 in AML for increasing the risk of resistance to therapy remain to be explored.
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