Overt hepatic encephalopathy in Italy: clinical outcomes and healthcare costs
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Purpose: Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is a recurrent severe complication of progressive hepatic cirrhosis. The aim of this study is to evaluate the average annual direct healthcare costs for the treatment of patients with overt HE in Italy.

Patients and methods: This retrospective, observational study analyzed information from the database of ARNO Observatory. Patients with at least one hospitalization due to overt HE in the period from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011, were selected and observed during the year following the hospitalization. Costs for drugs, diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, and hospitalizations were estimated from the Italian National Health Service perspective.

Results: Out of a population of 2,678,462 subjects, 381 patients were identified, of whom, 21.5% died during the first hospitalization and 5.8% during the follow-up; the survival rate was 72.7% at the end of the observation period. The direct healthcare costs per patient amounted to €13,393/year (15,295 USD) (88% for hospitalizations, 8% for drugs, and 4% for diagnostic procedures). During the follow-up, 42.5% of patients had at least one rehospitalization due to HE. Patients readmitted for HE had an average annual cost of €21,272 (24,293 USD), almost doubled if compared to patients without readmissions (€12,098 [13,816 USD]).

Conclusion: This analysis showed that patients with HE had relevant direct healthcare costs, in which hospitalizations were the most important cost drivers.
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Introduction

Hepatic encephalopathy (HE), as defined by the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) clinical practice guidelines,¹ is a brain dysfunction caused by liver insufficiency and/or portosystemic shunting; it manifests as a wide spectrum of neurological or psychiatric abnormalities ranging from subclinical alterations to coma. Alterations in patient consciousness, intellect, personality, and neuromuscular activity can be considered as the most important manifestations of episodes of overt HE.² HE deeply affects hospitalization rates and the quality of life of patients and caregivers, both physically and mentally.³⁻⁷ The direct costs associated with liver diseases are extremely relevant.⁸ The American Gastroenterological Association, using data from the 1995 National Health Interview Survey and adjusting them to 1998, estimates that the annual economic burden of chronic liver disease, cirrhosis, and hepatitis C is over $2.1 billion and the indirect costs is >$272 million.⁹ Hospitalizations represented the major cost driver in patients with advanced liver diseases, with an annual expenditure exceeding $1.4 billion. The most relevant studies regarding resource consumption of patients with HE, conducted in the US, found out that HE has a relevant and increasing...
economic burden. The analysis of hospital discharges with principal diagnosis of HE in 2004 (HE or unspecified encephalopathy), in particular, revealed a 180% increase since 1993.

To our knowledge, there are no economic data regarding patients suffering from HE in European countries, particularly in Italy. Our objective, in line with the suggestion of EASL, was to estimate direct healthcare costs and resource consumption, with particular focus on hospitalizations, for patients with overt HE in Italy.

Materials and methods
In Italy, citizens have access to the healthcare services provided by the Italian National Health Service (INHS). Information on all healthcare interventions covered by the INHS is collected in local/regional databases. For the purpose of the present study, CINECA Interuniversity Consortium provided data from the ARNO observatory. The ARNO observatory is an online, multicenter, observational database collecting population-based healthcare-related data (from several local health units across Italy), in which for each patient the data were combined and aggregated through epidemiological methods. These data include territorial drugs prescriptions, hospitalizations, diagnostic laboratory tests, and therapeutic procedures. This information is linked to other sources of patient data (including vital statistics and patient demographics) in order to construct a tool that is useful to provide comprehensive tracking of clinical diagnoses and healthcare use trends throughout Italy. In the present study, we analyzed data starting from a population of 2,678,462 subjects, of whom 47.3% came from northern regions, 31% from central regions, and 21.7% from southern regions of the country.

This was a retrospective, observational, and noninterventional study, for which all patients undergoing at least one hospitalization due to HE in the period of January 1, 2011, to December 31, 2011, were selected and observed for a period of 12 months following the hospitalization. As data reported in the ARNO observatory database were analyzed anonymously, ethical consent was not required.

Index hospitalizations for HE were identified through the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD9-CM) code 572.2 (hepatic coma: HE, portal-systemic encephalopathy, hepatocerebral intoxication), 572.4 (hepatorenal syndrome), and 39.1 (transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts – TIPS). Abovementioned ICD9-CM codes were reported in the hospital discharge records available for all patients.

Comorbidities were summarized by the Charlson index.

The following resource consumptions were evaluated: drugs reimbursed by INHS (public prices reimbursed by INHS), diagnostic and therapeutic procedures (Italian national tariffs), and inpatient admissions and day hospitals (Italian national tariffs for the supply of hospital care). As only direct healthcare resources in charge to INHS were considered, the perspective of the analysis was that of INHS. Costs are expressed both in Euros and in US Dollars with an exchange rate of €1.00 = 1.1420 USD.

Statistical analysis
A nonparametric statistical hypothesis test (Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney) was used to compare the average yearly costs for female and male HE populations and to compare patients with and without rehospitalization. Another nonparametric test (Kruskal–Wallis) was used to compare the average yearly costs for the different age classes of patients. All statistical analyses were conducted using R software version 3.1, with 5% of significance level.

Results
Out of a total analyzed population of 2,678,462 subjects, 381 patients were hospitalized for an overt HE episode (0.014% of the observed population). The selected cohort of patients had a mean age of 68±13 (mean ± SD) years, 34.4% were females with a mean age of 72±13 years. The mean age of males was 66±13. More than 52% of patients were older than 70 years. The Charlson index calculated by age classes highlights the high severity level of the population analyzed, irrespective of age class (Table 1).

Out of 381 patients with overt HE, 82 (21.5%) died during the index hospitalization and 22 (5.8%) died in hospital during the 1-year follow-up, with a mean time to death of 4.4 months. The average Charlson index by age classes is shown in Table 1.

| Age class (yr) | Average Charlson index | SD |
|---------------|------------------------|----|
| <39           | 8.4                    | 4.3|
| 40–49         | 8.6                    | 4.7|
| 50–59         | 9.2                    | 5.2|
| 60–69         | 9.2                    | 3.6|
| 70–79         | 9.5                    | 4.4|
| ≥80           | 8.0                    | 3.1|

Abbreviations: yr, year; SD, standard deviation.
31±24 days. The survival rate in the full observation period was 72.7%.

Among those patients who were discharged alive from hospital at the index event, 42.5% (28.4% females) had at least one rehospitalization due to HE during the follow-up and 57.5% (39.5% females) did not exhibit recurrences. The mean time to event for patients with at least one recurrence was 96±94 days. In detail, time to event was 95±93 days for patients exhibiting a recurrence of HE, 113±103 days for patients with a relapse of hepatorenal syndrome, and 239±57 days for patients undergoing TIPS. About 92.9% of hospitalized patients had a recurrence of HE, 15.7% had a recurrence of hepatorenal syndrome, and 1.6% of them underwent TIPS.

The mean direct healthcare costs of a single relapse requiring hospital admission for HE was €3,361±1,286 (3,838 USD ±1,469), for hepatorenal syndrome was €3,242±1,382 (3,702 USD ±1,578), and for TIPS was €17,679±6,627 (20,189 USD ±7,568).

The mean length of stay for each single hospitalization was 9±7 days for HE, 12±9 days for hepatorenal syndrome, and 21±15 days for TIPS.

The average yearly cost for each of the 381 selected patients was €13,393±19,184 (15,295 USD ±21,908), of which €11,791±18,134 (13,465 USD ±20,709) (88%) were spent for ordinary hospitalizations and day hospitals, €1,075±1,728 (1,228 USD ±1,973) (8%) for drugs, and €527±1,268 (602 USD ±1,448) (4%) for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. Costs were higher for males than for females (€13,989±16,459 [15,975 USD±18,796] vs €12,246 ±24,227 [13,985 USD ±27,667]; P=0.081). The average cost per patient significantly decreased with the increasing of age (from €32,291 [36,876 USD] in patients <39 years old to €8,025 [9,165 USD] in patients >80 years old; P=0.035) (Figure 1). The huge expenditure differences among age classes could be explained by the fact that liver transplantation was performed only in younger patients (hospitalizations for liver transplantation has a unitary cost of about €80,200 [91,588 USD]) and not performed in elderly.

Patients with at least one rehospitalization for HE had on average a nearly double annual cost of €21,272 (24,293 USD) compared to that of patients without relapses (€12,098 [13,816 USD]) (P<0.001). Cost components are summarized in Table 2. Patients undergoing TIPS had an average yearly cost of €36,471 (41,650 USD).

The most frequent causes of ordinary hospitalizations during the follow-up were mainly related to liver diseases.

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/figure-average-yearly-cost-per-patient-by-age-classes-including-index-event-n381) Average yearly cost per patient by age classes including index event (n=381).
and complications related to HE progression and treatments (Table 3); the 20 most frequent diagnoses represented 69% of the total ordinary hospitalizations. With an average 35 days of hospital stay per patient during the follow-up period, the most frequent diagnoses that represent >50% of total hospitalization days were strictly related to liver diseases (nonalcoholic hepatic cirrhosis, hepatic alcoholic cirrhosis, hepatic cancer, and hepatic coma).

Out of a total of 94 day-hospital admissions during the follow-up, the four most frequent diagnoses, which represented 30% of the total day hospitals, were strictly related to liver diseases (cirrhosis of liver without mention of alcohol, alcoholic cirrhosis of liver, primary malignant neoplasm of liver, and hepatic coma).

Most frequently prescribed drugs and average yearly expenditure per patient are reported in Table 4.

### Discussion

This analysis of data deriving from a large Italian population highlights the relevance of the healthcare costs for the management of patients suffering from overt HE (€13,393/patient/year [15,295 USD/patient/year]). The relevance of such analysis was also highlighted by the EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines in the section “Suggestions for

### Table 2 Average yearly cost per patient with and without recurrences

| Diagnosis                                      | Drugs (mean ± SD) | Hospitalizations (mean ± SD) | Diagnostic and therapeutic procedures (mean ± SD) | Total (mean ± SD) |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Patient without recurrences (n=172)           | €1,173±1,657      | €10,196±22,182               | €729±1,519                                    | €12,098±23,194    |
| Patient with recurrences (n=124)              | €1,633±1,807      | €18,970±16,652               | €669±974                                      | €21,272±17,587    |

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

### Table 3 Most frequent hospitalization causes during follow-up

| ICD9-CM code | Diagnosis                                      | Number of hospitalized patients | Percentage of hospitalized patients (out of 299 patients) | Total number of hospitalizations | Average length of stay (days per hospitalized patient) (mean ± SD) | Mean expenditure for hospitalizations during follow-up (per hospitalized patient) (mean ± SD) |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 571.5        | Cirrhosis of liver without mention of alcohol | 79                            | 26.4%                                                     | 154                              | 22.48±19.51                                                      | €8,929.38±13,746.17                                                                         |
| 572.2        | Hepatic coma                                    | 55                            | 18.4%                                                     | 97                               | 17.53±20.91                                                     | €6,711.03±6,682.75                                                                         |
| 571.2        | Alcoholic cirrhosis of liver                   | 50                            | 16.7%                                                     | 75                               | 19.92±31.55                                                     | €6,251.19±5,013.35                                                                         |
| 155.0        | Malignant neoplasm of liver, primary           | 24                            | 8.0%                                                      | 30                               | 15.00±10.36                                                     | €11,510.20±20,635.43                                                                        |
| 348.31       | Metabolic encephalopathy                       | 8                             | 2.7%                                                      | 9                                | 13.88±12.14                                                     | €5,327.67±1,478.42                                                                         |
| 518.81       | Acute respiratory failure                      | 8                             | 2.7%                                                      | 8                                | 7.25±5.73                                                       | €2,415.33±1,345.85                                                                         |
| 728.2        | Muscular wasting and disuse atrophy, not elsewhere classified | 8 | 2.7% | 8 | 29.00±15.68 | €4,368.00±2,445.34 |
| 572.4        | Hepatorenal syndrome                           | 7                             | 2.3%                                                      | 11                               | 23.00±11.24                                                     | €5,616.31±2,700.86                                                                         |
| 428.0        | Heart failure                                  | 5                             | 1.7%                                                      | 5                                | 23.60±11.82                                                     | €4,566.15±2,461.88                                                                         |
| 485          | Bronchopneumonia, organism unspecified         | 5                             | 1.7%                                                      | 5                                | 10.80±8.98                                                      | €3,240.11±1,460.46                                                                         |
| 581.4        | Acute kidney failure, unspecified              | 4                             | 1.3%                                                      | 5                                | 10.00±5.10                                                     | €5,535.24±1,753.42                                                                         |
| 789.5        | Ascites                                        | 4                             | 1.3%                                                      | 8                                | 16.25±8.22                                                     | €6,324.74±5,023.70                                                                         |
| 008.45       | Intestinal infection due to Clostridium difficile | 3 | 1.0% | 3 | 12.33±0.67 | €1,708.00±1,479.17 |
| 038.42       | Septicemia due to Escherichia coli             | 3                             | 1.0%                                                      | 3                                | 20.00±14.42                                                     | €4,597.00                                                                                   |
| 280.0        | Iron deficiency anemia secondary to blood loss (chronic) | 3 | 1.0% | 3 | 8.00±2.65 | €2,533.01±694.47 |
| 428.1        | Left ventricular failure                       | 3                             | 1.0%                                                      | 4                                | 16.33±18.01                                                     | €3,172.73±2,860.48                                                                         |
| 511.9        | Unspecified pleural effusion                   | 3                             | 1.0%                                                      | 4                                | 27.33±21.55                                                     | €6,528.81±5,254.34                                                                         |
| 567.23       | Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis              | 3                             | 1.0%                                                      | 3                                | 12.00±1.73                                                     | €2,394.36±574.15                                                                          |
| 572.3        | Portal hypertension                            | 3                             | 1.0%                                                      | 3                                | 8.00±5.29                                                       | €5,624.87±6,595.14                                                                         |
| 573.8        | Disorder of liver, specified                   | 3                             | 1.0%                                                      | 3                                | 20.67±18.17                                                     | €4,252.71±1,117.60                                                                         |

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; ICD9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification.
future research in HE\textsuperscript{15}, which indicates the importance of economic studies in order to demonstrate the effects of HE on patients and society.\textsuperscript{15}

The present analysis highlights also how the recurrence rate of overt HE episodes generates a high need of hospitalizations (42.5% of total patients with overt HE). The difference in annual costs, if we compare patients with and without HE episodes requiring hospitalization, is relevant (€12,098 [13,816 USD] vs €21,272 [24,293 USD], respectively). Moreover, the mortality rate linked to the considered disease is significant (~28% including patients died both at index hospitalization and during follow-up). These data confirm those reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{11,16} As reported in the previously published studies,\textsuperscript{10,11} the costs of ordinary hospitalizations and day hospitals represent the most relevant cost driver for overt HE patients. A comparison between the results of the present analysis and those of other studies\textsuperscript{10,11} is not feasible, because healthcare services, settings, and coverages differ; however, the present study confirms the importance of the costs of hospitalizations and high mortality rates. A high readmission rate related to HE has been confirmed and is comparable to the results of other studies.\textsuperscript{17,18}

Major limitation of the analysis of administrative databases is represented by the absence of single additional clinical information on in-hospital mortality rates and on the severity of the disease; furthermore, the impossibility of calculation of indirect costs\textsuperscript{19} could have a possible impact on the global economic burden of the disease.\textsuperscript{20} Moreover, the costs reported in the analysis represent the real total direct healthcare costs in charge to INHS for the treatment of patients hospitalized for overt HE; a sharing of the costs related to HE and of those due to comorbidities or concomitant pathologies was not possible.

The relevant advantages deriving from the use of administrative databases are constituted by real-life data on treatment and procedures, with specific reference to costs of a large sample of patients in charge to INHS observed in a longitudinal way. The possibility offered by administrative databases to collect longitudinal data on each patient and to link individual records from different datasets make administrative databases as useful tools for those analyses focused on resource utilization and patterns of treatment and outcomes.\textsuperscript{21,22}

Conclusion
The relevant direct healthcare costs of patients with overt HE and the high in-hospital mortality and rehospitalization rates highlighted in our study underline the importance of effective treatments and prevention of HE recurrences.
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### Table 4 Most frequently prescribed drugs

| ATC   | Description                                      | Number of patients treated | Percentage on total patient population (n=381) | Mean per patient expenditure (n=381) (mean) |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| A02   | Drugs for acid-related disorders                 | 242                        | 63.5%                                         | €82.1                                     |
| C03   | Diuretics                                        | 233                        | 61.2%                                         | €53.0                                     |
| A07   | Anti-diarrheals, intestinal anti-inflammatory/anti-infective agents | 193                        | 50.7%                                         | €136.2                                   |
| A06   | Drugs for constipation                           | 186                        | 48.8%                                         | €115.5                                   |
| J01   | Antibacterials for systemic use                  | 160                        | 42.0%                                         | €24.0                                    |
| C07   | Beta-blocking agents                             | 129                        | 33.9%                                         | €6.1                                      |
| B01   | Blood and blood forming organs                   | 99                         | 26.0%                                         | €37.4                                    |
| A10   | Alimentary tract and metabolism                  | 94                         | 24.7%                                         | €77.9                                    |
| B02   | Antihemorrhagics                                 | 87                         | 22.8%                                         | €13.2                                    |
| B05   | Blood substitutes and perfusion solutions        | 85                         | 22.3%                                         | €175.3                                   |

Abbreviation: ATC, anatomical therapeutic chemical classification system.
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