RNA polymerase II subunit RPB9 is required for accurate start site selection
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The diverse functions of \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} RNA polymerase II are partitioned among its 12 subunits, designated RPB1–RPB12. Although multiple functions have been assigned to the three largest subunits, RPB1, RPB2, and RPB3, the functions of the remaining smaller subunits are unknown. We have determined the function of one of the smaller subunits, RPB9, by demonstrating that it is necessary for accurate start site selection. Transcription in the absence of RPB9 initiates farther upstream at new and previously minor start sites both at the \textit{CYC1} promoter in vitro and at the \textit{CYC1}, \textit{ADH1}, \textit{HIS4}, \textit{H2B-1}, and \textit{RPB6} promoters in vivo. Immunoprecipitation of RNA polymerase II from cells lacking the \textit{RPB9} gene revealed that all of the remaining 11 subunits are assembled into the enzyme, suggesting that the start site defect is attributable solely to the absence of RPB9. In support of this hypothesis, we have shown that addition of wild-type recombinant RPB9 completely corrects for the start site defect seen in vitro. A mutated recombinant RPB9 protein, with an alteration in a metal-binding domain required for high temperature growth and accurate start site selection in vivo, was at least 10-fold less effective at correcting the start site defect in vitro. RPB9 appears to play a unique role in transcription initiation, as the defects revealed in its absence are distinct from those seen with mutants in RNA polymerase subunit RPB1 and factor e (TFIIB), two other yeast proteins also involved in start site selection.
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The eukaryotic multisubunit enzyme RNA polymerase II plays a major role in transcription because it mediates the synthesis of mRNA in response to signals transmitted by multiple transcription factors. Much effort has been directed toward an understanding of transcriptional regulation, as modulation of transcription levels in response to intra- or extracellular signals is an integral part of normal and diseased cell growth and development. Despite the fundamental role of RNA polymerase II in initiation, elongation, and termination of mRNA transcription, little is known about the specific functions of individual subunits, associations between subunits, or possible contacts between subunits and transcription factors.

\textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} RNA polymerase II is relatively well defined as its 12 subunit genes, \textit{RPB}1--\textit{RPB}12, have been cloned and characterized (for review, see Young 1991; Woychik and Young 1994). The majority of the molecular mass of the enzyme consists of the two largest subunits, RPB1 and RPB2. These two subunits are homologous to the \(\beta\)' (Allison et al. 1985) and \(\beta\) (Sweetser et al. 1987) subunits of \textit{Escherichia coli} RNA polymerase and are thought to possess similar functions. Like \(\beta\)', RPB1 appears to be involved in DNA binding, and both \(\beta\) and RPB2 appear to be involved in RNA catalysis (Young 1991). RPB3 has some sequence similarity to the \textit{E. coli} \(\alpha\) subunit and, like \(\alpha\), appears to play a role in subunit assembly (Kolodziej and Young 1989, 1991b). The remaining nine subunits, RPB4–RPB12, have no clear counterparts in prokaryotes, and their sequences have not revealed any further clues to their function.

We are interested in determining the functions of RPB4–RPB12 because they probably have roles unique to the eukaryotic transcriptional apparatus. They also play critical roles in transcription, as most are essential for cell viability (Young 1991), are evolutionary well conserved (Woychik and Young 1994), and, in some cases, can be functionally replaced by their human counterparts (McKune and Woychik 1994). Of the nine small subunits, five of them, RPB5, RPB6, RPB8, RPB10, and RPB12 (A10\(\alpha\)), are assembled into all three eukaryotic RNA polymerases (Woychik et al. 1990, Treich et al. 1992). The remaining four subunits, RPB4, RPB7, RPB9, and RPB11, are uniquely represented in RNA polymerase II. Three of these, RPB7, RPB9, and RPB11, have sequence similarity to subunits in the other classes of yeast RNA polymerase: RPB7 is related to the C25 subunit of RNA polymerase III (Sadhale and Woychik 1994); RPB9 is related to the A12.2 subunit of RNA polymerase I (Nogi et al. 1993); and RPB11 is related to the AC19 subunit present in both RNA polymerase I and III (Woychik et al. 1993).

\(^1\)Corresponding author.
Although purified RNA polymerase II is capable of nonspecific initiation in vitro, specific initiation at eukaryotic promoters in vitro requires additional transcription factors [for review, see Conaway and Conaway 1993; Buratowski 1994b]. Positioning of the initiation complex formed by RNA polymerase II and its associated basal transcription factors at precise regions of eukaryotic promoters determines the sites at which initiation of mRNA synthesis occurs. In general, the first step in the in vitro assembly of the basal transcription initiation complex at the promoters of higher eukaryotes (Conaway and Conaway 1993, Buratowski 1994b) is the binding of the TATA-binding protein [TBP] and its associated factors [the TFIID complex] to the TATA element. After TBP binding to the promoter, TFIIB, RNA polymerase II, and TFIIH are then brought into the complex. Although TFIIE and TFIIH are subsequently recruited into the transcription initiation complex, RNA polymerase II, TFIID, TFIIB, and, in some cases, TFIIF have been shown to be sufficient for transcription initiation under certain conditions (Parvin and Sharp 1993; Tyree et al. 1993). Purified factors analogous to TFIIB, TFIIE, TFIIF, and TFIIH have been isolated in S. cerevisiae and are called factor a, factor g, and factor b, respectively (Gileadi et al. 1992; Henry et al. 1992; Sayre et al. 1992a, Tschochner et al. 1992). These four purified factors plus S. cerevisiae recombinant TFIID and purified RNA polymerase II support basal transcription in vitro (Sayre et al. 1992b).

Transcription initiation occurs at different distances downstream of the TATA box in higher eukaryotes, Schizosaccharomyces pombe and S. cerevisiae. In higher eukaryotes and S. pombe, transcription typically begins at a single site ~30 bp downstream of the TATA element (Corden et al. 1980; Benoist and Chambon 1981; Breathnach and Chambon 1981), whereas initiation in S. cerevisiae begins at multiple sites ~40–120 bp downstream of the TATA element (Guarente 1987, 1988; Struhl 1987, 1989). The position of the initiation sites recognized by the S. cerevisiae initiation complex has been shown to be entirely dependent on functions possessed by the initiation transcription factor e [the functional homolog human TFIIIB (Tschochner et al. 1992)] and RNA polymerase II [Li et al. 1994]. Thus, whereas TBP directs the placement of the initiation complex by binding DNA, RNA polymerase II and factor e (TFIIB) determine how far downstream of the TATA box transcription is initiated. The specific subunits of RNA polymerase II involved in this process and how they interact with other components such as TFIIB have yet to be determined.

We decided to examine the effects of RPB9 on transcription in vitro and in vivo. Cells that have the RPB9 gene deleted are viable but cold and temperature sensitive (Woychik et al. 1991). Upon examination of the consequences of deletion of RPB9 on transcription in vitro, we found that the start site selection pattern was altered, even though nearly normal levels of basal and activated transcripts were obtained; similar alterations in transcription initiation patterns are also seen in vivo. Addition of recombinant RPB9 to the deletion strain extract restores normal transcription initiation site selection in vitro. Thus, we have demonstrated that the S. cerevisiae RNA polymerase II subunit RPB9 is a component of the initiation complex required for accurate start site selection.

Results

RPB9 has evolutionary conserved zinc-binding domains

The 14-kD RNA polymerase II subunit RPB9 is evolutionary conserved, with counterparts identified in humans (hRPB14.5) (Acker et al. 1993), Drosophila (RPII15) (Harrison et al. 1992), and plants (Fig. 1). RPB9 is also related to the S. cerevisiae RNA polymerase I subunit A12.2 [Nogi et al. 1993] and has a homolog in archaeabacteria. We discovered the relatedness of RPB9 to its archaeabacterial Thermococcus celer (accession no. L27650).
and plant [rice, accession no. D23155] counterparts using TFASTA (Genetics Computer Group, Inc., Madison, WI) and BLAST (NCBI) data base searches against yeast RPB9. The most striking conservation between RPB9 and its relatives is outlined by the two metal binding domains that span most of the relatively small polypeptide. Although both the yeast RPB9 and its human homolog, as well as the A12.2 subunit, are known to have zinc-binding activity (Carles et al. 1991; Treich et al. 1991; Acker et al. 1993) it is not known whether these domains are important for DNA binding or protein-protein interaction. In addition to the conserved cysteines within the zinc-binding domains, there are two additional regions of identity between RPB9 and the RNA polymerase II subunits from other species; one within the first zinc-binding domain, and the other just before the second zinc-binding domain. Loss of the Drosophila RPII15 gene results in lethality [Harrison et al. 1992], while the S. cerevisiae RPB9 gene is only required for growth at high and low temperatures, suggesting that the RPB9 counterparts in other higher eukaryotes may also have essential roles.

**WY9 nuclear extracts support basal and activated transcription but are defective in mRNA start site selection**

Of the 12 yeast RNA polymerase II subunits, 2, RPB4 and RPB9, are not essential for viability. Deletion of either of these genes only results in slower growth at moderate temperatures, although deletion is lethal at high or low temperatures. Previously, in vitro transcription using nuclear extracts prepared from cells lacking the RPB4 gene showed only negligible levels of basal transcription and greatly reduced levels of activated transcription [Edwards et al. 1991]. This in vitro transcriptional defect represents the effects of the loss of function of RPB4 and RPB7, as RPB7 no longer purifies with RNA polymerase II when RPB4 is absent. It is still not clear what effects deletion of RPB4 has on basal and activated transcription in vivo.

We are interested in understanding the role of RPB9 in transcription. To this end we made use of the conditional S. cerevisiae mutant strain that lacks the RPB9 gene, designated WY9, to determine whether RPB9 was required for in vitro transcription and to study the consequences of its absence on transcription initiation. In vitro transcription at the CYC1 promoter using nuclear extracts from WY9 cells revealed that the basal and activated levels of transcription with mutant WY9 extracts were comparable to those seen with wild-type extracts (Fig. 2). Therefore, unlike the other nonessential subunit RPB4, loss of RPB9 does not drastically decrease the level of transcription in vitro. RPB9 also does not affect activation by GAL4–VP16.

Although the absolute levels of in vitro transcription were not significantly lower, the mutant extracts consistently produced aberrant transcript lengths. WY9 extracts directed the synthesis of a greater percentage of longer transcripts compared with wild-type extracts.

**Figure 2.** Loss of the RPB9 gene alters the start site selection for both basal and activated transcripts synthesized in vitro. (A) The template plasmid used for the transcription reactions, pGAL4CG, contains a single GAL4-binding site and a CYC1 TATA element controlling the expression of G-less transcripts. The two predominant transcripts are ~350 and 370 nucleotides. (B) In vitro transcription products obtained from both wild-type N222 (wt) and RPB9 deletion (Δ9) strain extracts using 60 ng of extract and 200 ng of template. (C) Deletion of RPB9 does not affect activation by GAL4–VP16 in vitro. GAL4–VP16 (150 nM) was added to in vitro transcription reactions from both the wild-type N222 or WY9 strain extracts. Sixty milligrams of extract and 200 ng of template were used in each reaction.

This defect was not influenced by activation, as comparable transcript patterns were obtained after basal or activated transcription. The alteration in the characteristic transcript profile in WY9 cells suggested that RPB9 influences mRNA start site selection at the CYC1 promoter in vitro.

**WY9 nuclear extracts are temperature sensitive**

In addition to having alterations in start site selection, in vitro transcription with WY9 extracts was also more sensitive to heat inactivation than wild-type extracts. This defect was demonstrated using two different approaches. First, wild-type or WY9 extracts were incubated with pGAL4CG– template for 0, 10, 25, 50, or 120 min at 42°C. The mixture was then cooled, nucleotides were added, and the transcription reaction was continued at the permissive temperature of 23°C. Although the transcriptional activity of both wild-type and WY9 extracts decreased after preincubation at 42°C for 10 and 25 min, the activity of the WY9 extract was more sensitive to heat inactivation (Fig. 3). After pretreatment at 42°C for 10 min, the WY9 extract had 9% activity, whereas the wild-type extract had 37% activity relative to the unheated extract. Preincubation for 25 min produced 2% and 10% activity for WY9 and wild-type extracts, respectively. Wild-type and mutant extracts preheated at 42°C for 50 or 120 min had no detectable transcriptional activity at 23 or 30°C.

In the second approach, instead of preincubation of the
Figure 3. In vitro transcription activity of WY9 extract is more susceptible to heat inactivation than wild-type extracts. Transcription reactions were performed with wild-type N222 [•] and WY9 [□] strain extracts at the permissive temperature of 23°C, the semipermissive temperature of 30°C, or at 23°C after pre-treatment of the extracts at 42°C. The transcriptional activity obtained is expressed as a percentage of the activity of the same extract at the permissive temperature.

The transcription extract at elevated temperatures prior to transcription, the entire reaction was done at higher than normal temperatures. In vitro transcription was performed at the usual 23°C as well as at 30°C and 37°C. Although no activity was obtained from either extract at 37°C, in the 30°C assay the WY9 extract had only 7% activity while the wild type extract had 65% activity relative to the comparable reactions at 23°C (Fig. 3). Both of these experiments demonstrated that the basal transcriptional activity of the mutant was temperature sensitive relative to the isogenic wild-type extract, consistent with its temperature-sensitive phenotype in vivo.

RNA polymerase II from WY9 cells lacks only RPB9

We wanted to test whether the RNA polymerase II in WY9 cells lacked other subunits in addition to RPB9. Removal of a single subunit in a multisubunit complex could have drastic effects on the overall structure, function, and assembly of the complex. Loss of additional subunits may occur if the absent subunit normally functions as a link to the rest of the enzyme. This was observed for RPB4, where deletion of its gene also results in the loss of assembly of RPB7 with RNA polymerase II.

We immunoprecipitated RNA polymerase II from WY9 and wild-type cells with an antibody to the RPB1 carboxy-terminal domain (Thompson et al. 1990; Kolodziej and Young 1991a) to observe which subunits were able to assemble into a stable complex in the absence of RPB9. WY9 RNA polymerase II lacked only RPB9, as the RPB1 subunit assembled with all of the remaining 10 subunits (Fig. 4). This result indicates that the in vitro transcription defect in start site selection is attributable to the loss of the RPB9 subunit.

Recombinant RPB9 corrects the start site defect in vitro

Because RNA polymerase II in WY9 cells was missing only RPB9, we tested whether addition of purified RPB9 corrected the transcriptional defect at the CYC1 promoter in vitro (Fig. 5). A range of concentrations from 1 to 70 ng of purified glutathione S-transferase (GST)—
RPB9 was added to the WY9 and wild-type transcription reactions. Remarkably, as little as 1 ng (25 femtos) of GST-RPB9 restored normal transcription initiation. The GST control had no effect on transcription at the same range of concentrations. Therefore, we concluded that RPB9 is necessary for accurate start site selection in this assay.

**RPB9 is essential for start site selection in vivo**

Transcript mapping using primer extension assays on poly[A]+ RNA prepared from the WY9 deletion and wild-type cells corroborated the in vitro results and revealed that RPB9 also influences transcription start site selectivity for multiple genes in vivo. In addition to CYC1, four other genes, ADH1, HIS4, H2B-1, and RPB6, had comparable alterations in the size and quantity of mRNA transcripts in WY9 cells relative to the wild-type transcript population (Fig. 6). Each of these genes had transcripts with one or more new, longer upstream start sites as well as a general increase in the abundance of transcripts representing previously minor upstream start sites in WY9 compared with wild-type cells. In addition, the relative amounts of transcripts representing minor downstream start sites in the ADH1, HIS4, and H2B-1 genes was lower in WY9 cells. The transcript profiles for two genes, SPT15 (Fig. 6) and HIS3 (not shown), were identical in WY9 and wild-type cells. Taken together, these results demonstrate that RPB9 is essential for accurate initiation of multiple genes in vivo.

**RPB9 metal-binding domains are important for function in vivo and in vitro**

Deletion of RPB9 in *S. cerevisiae* cells results in temperature sensitivity at 37°C (Woychik et al. 1991). Because RPB9 influences start site selection and contains two conserved zinc fingers, we wanted to determine whether the zinc fingers are required for RPB9 function. We mutated the codon of the first cysteine in the first zinc finger to encode an alanine residue and tested the effect of this C7A mutation in vitro and in vivo. Previous studies on other zinc-binding domains have demonstrated that mutation of only one of the four cysteine residues effectively disrupts zinc finger function (Blumberg et al. 1987; Johnston 1987; Johnston and Dover 1987).

We first tested whether the C7A mutant complemented the WY9 temperature-sensitive growth phenotype in vivo. Although a wild-type RPB9 plasmid transformed into WY9 supports growth at high temperature,
the C7A mutant did not complement WY9 temperature sensitivity (Fig. 7A). Primer extension analysis at the \textit{ADH1} promoter with mRNA prepared from RPB9(C7A) cells revealed that the point mutation resulted in start site defects identical to those seen in cells completely lacking the RPB9 subunit shown [data not shown]. These results demonstrate the zinc fingers are critical for RPB9 function in vivo because disruption of their structure alters start site selection and abolishes yeast cell growth at high temperature.

Because loss of RPB9 shifts mRNA start sites upstream and recombinant RPB9 can correct this defect in vitro, we tested whether the C7A mutation now fails to compensate for the start site defect. Addition of various concentrations of GST–RPB9(C7A) to the transcription reactions revealed that the mutant subunit can still function, but much less efficiently than the wild-type RPB9 (Fig 7B). Nearly 10 times more (9 ng) mutant GST–RPB9(C7A), compared with 1 ng used for the wild-type GST–RPB9, was needed to correct the start site defect.

We also tested whether the \textit{Drosophila} homolog of RPB9, RIPI15, could complement the temperature-sensitive phenotype resulting from the loss of \textit{S. cerevisiae} RPB9. Like RPB9, RIPI15 has two zinc-binding domains [Fig. 1] and 77% of its amino acid sequence is conserved with \textit{S. cerevisiae} RPB9 [Woychik et al. 1991]. The RIPI15 \textit{BamHI} gene cassette gene was placed under control of the yeast \textit{RPB9} promoter and transformed into WY9 cells. Expression of RIPI15 did not complement the conditional phenotype, whereas the yeast control plasmid containing an \textit{RPB9} \textit{BamHI} cassette did complement the growth phenotype. These results suggest that while the zinc-binding domain is critical for RPB9 function in vivo, it is not the only part of the protein important for its function as the \textit{Drosophila} counterpart does not function in yeast.

\textit{RPB9(C7A) and dmRPB9 assemble with RNA polymerase II}

To establish that the RPB9 point mutant and dmRPB9 are expressed and stable in yeast cells, we immunoprecipitated RNA polymerase II from mutant RPB9(C7A) and dmRPB9 cells (Fig. 8). The radioactively labeled RPB9/11 subunits run as a relatively intense, thick band that can sometimes be resolved into a doublet. In the absence of RPB9, a faint, thin band representing only RPB11 is visible upon SDS-PAGE. Immunoprecipitation of RNA polymerase II from RPB9(C7A) yeast cells revealed that the mutant subunit assembles with the enzyme, revealed by a thick band in this region representing the RPB9(C7A)/RPB11 doublet [Fig. 8]. However, it appears that the RPB9(C7A) subunit does not assemble as efficiently with the enzyme since the relative intensity of this subunit band is lower than that of the RPB9 band immunoprecipitated from wild type cells. The 15-kD dmRPB9 subunit also assembles with the enzyme and consistently runs as a broad, diffuse band above RPB11.

Because the RPB9(C7A) mutant assembles with RNA polymerase II, the start site defect observed is unlikely to result from a major conformational change in RNA polymerase II resulting from the loss of the RPB9 subunit. This result also corroborates our in vitro reconstitution experiments using mutant and wild-type recombinant RPB9, strongly supporting a direct and specific role for RPB9 in determination of accurate start site selection.

\textbf{Discussion}

Transcription initiation is a crucial regulatory step in eukaryotic gene expression. Accurate transcription initiation is a result of complex interactions between multiple components of the transcription machinery. In particular, binding of TBP to the TATA box helps to position RNA polymerase II and TFIIB. In turn, RNA polymerase II and TFIIB position the transcription start sites of different genes at fixed distances downstream of the TATA box. We have shown that RPB9 is involved in positioning the transcription initiation site. The involvement of RPB9 in start site selection is consistent with the biochemical data implicating RNA polymerase II and TFIIB in positioning the start
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\caption{An RPB9 zinc finger mutant and the RPB9 \textit{D. melanogaster} homolog do not complement the WY9 temperature-sensitive defect in vivo or in vitro. [A] Wild-type [wt] and WY9 [\textit{A9}] strains transformed with the indicated plasmids were tested for their ability to grow on YPD medium at temperatures indicated. [B] Increasing amounts of purified GST, GST–RPB9, or GST–RPB9(C7A) protein, represented by the open wedge, were added to in vitro transcription reactions with 80 ng of wild-type N222 [WT] or WY9 [\textit{A9}] extracts and 300 ng of template.}
\end{figure}
RPB9 influences mRNA start site selection

Various genetic approaches have been employed in *S. cerevisiae* to identify mutants with altered transcription. SPT genes were identified as suppressors of the Ty or δ insertions in a 5′-noncoding region of a gene (Winston 1992). The spt mutant screen appears to have targeted genes that affect TATA box selection, as some spt suppressors initiate at a TATA box downstream from the presumably “stronger” TATA box contained within the Ty or δ element. The SPT genes isolated can be placed into two groups, TBP-related and histone-related. The wide variety of genes isolated as SPT mutants underscores the complexity of the interactions that result in accurate transcription initiation. Although no RNA polymerase II subunit genes have yet been identified through SPT screens, a directed screen SPT-like screen with mutagenized RPB1 and RPB2 revealed that certain mutations in these two subunits suppress δ insertion mutations. However, the overall transcriptional defects seen with these mutations are very weak (Hekmatpanah and Young 1991).

Two other genetic approaches have been devised that appear to have, in some cases, selected for mutants that use the same TATA box but have altered transcription initiation sites relative to the TATA. One approach implemented a selection for mutants that initiated farther downstream than normal, thereby bypassing an aberrant translation initiation codon. In agreement with the biochemical data, which implicate TFIIB and RNA polymerase II in the positioning of the transcription initiation sites relative to the TATA box (Li et al. 1994), two genes isolated by this screen influence start site selection. These genes, designated SUA7 and SUA8, encode transcription factor e (TFIIB) and RPB1, respectively (Pinto et al. 1992; Berroteran et al. 1994). Another related genetic approach, involved a selection for mutants that initiated farther upstream than normal. This screen identified a mutant gene, called *SHI*, that alters start site selection at two promoters in a manner similar to the RPB9Δ::HIS3 mutation in WY9 cells (Furter-Graves et al. 1991). Although the cloning of the *SHI* gene has not been published, a personal communication mentioned in a recent review revealed that *SHI* and RPB9 represent the same gene (Buratowski 1994a).

The start site phenotypes observed with SUA7 and SUA8 mutants are distinct from those observed for the RPB9Δ::HIS3 mutant (Table 1), suggesting that RPB9 plays a unique role that is complementary to the func-

### Table 1. *In vivo* transcription initiation defects in start site selection mutants

|        | RPB9Δ::HIS3 | SHI   | sua8-1, sua8-3 | sua7-1 | rpb1-501, rpb1-502 |
|--------|-------------|-------|---------------|-------|-------------------|
| *ADH1* | ←           | ←     |               |       | N.D.              |
| *CYC7* | N.D.        | N.D.  |               |       | N.D.              |
| *HIS4* | ←           | N.D.  |               |       | N.D.              |
| *HIS3* | no change   | N.D.  | no change     | no change | N.D.              |
| *SPT15*| no change   | N.D.  | no change     | no change | N.D.              |
| *CYC1* | ←           | N.D.  |               |       | N.D.              |
| *H2B-1*| ←           | ←     |               |       | N.D.              |
| *RPB6* | ←           | N.D.  |               |       | N.D.              |

The arrows indicate that the new start sites seen in the mutant strain are upstream (arrow pointing left) or downstream (arrow pointing right) of major start sites obtained with the corresponding isogenic wild-type strain. (N.D.) Not determined. Data from RPB1, SUA8, SUA7, and SHI mutants were adapted from Hekmatpanah and Young (1991), Berroteran et al. (1994), Pinto et al. (1992), and Furter-Graves et al. (1991).
tions of RPB1 and factor e. SUA7 or SUA8 mutants typically shift initiation downstream of normal, to sites that were minor in wild-type cells (Pinto et al. 1992; Berrot-
nan et al. 1994), whereas RPB9A::HIS3 cells shift ini-
tiation upstream of normal, sometimes to new sites. Therefore, whereas factor e and RPB1 confer start site selectivity from a fixed array of normally utilized sites, RPB9 is required for specificity, that is, recognition of correct mRNA initiation sites from those not normally utilized. However, as with the RPB9::HIS3 mutant, initiation at HIS3 and SPT15 promoters was also unaffected in both SUA7 and SUA8 mutants. Therefore, it appears that some genes are immune to perturbation by mutants in RPB1, RPB9, or factor e.

We now know of five proteins, TBP, RPB9, factor e [TFII], RPB1, and RPB2, that influence start site selection. The involvement of RPB1 and RPB2 is not unexpected because together they constitute two-thirds of the molecular mass of the enzyme and, thus, many of its essential functions. RPB1 is also thought to bind DNA, and RPB2 appears to carry the catalytic site for mRNA synthesis [Young 1991]. The involvement of RPB9 was unanticipated but intriguing in light of its overall structure, in which half of its 122 amino acids form two zinc finger domains spanning the length of the protein. RPB9 may bind DNA and contribute to start site specificity through its interaction with DNA and/or other components of the initiation complex. Future experiments will now help establish how these components interact and which interactions are critical for accurate transcription initiation.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains and plasmids

The RPB9 deletion strain WY9 [MATa ura3-52 his3Δ200 leu2-3
leu2-112 lys2Δ201 ade2 RPB9A::HIS3] and isogenic parent
N222 [MATa ura3-52 his3Δ200 leu2-3 leu2-112 lys2Δ201 ade2] were used to prepare nuclear extracts for in vitro transcription experiments. The URA3-2-μm plasmid plac195 (Gietz and Su-
gino 1988) was transformed into WY9 and N222 to generate
WY79 and WY80, respectively. pRP951 is a plac195 derivative
 carrying a PCR-generated fragment of the RPB9 gene, which has
~260 bp of 5' and ~60 bp of 3'-flanking sequences. pRP951 also contains a BamHI site at each end of the RPB9 protein-coding region to facilitate substitution with RPB9 mutants and homologs. pRP951 was transformed into WY9 and N222 to create WY81 and WY82, respectively. pRP952 has the same RPB9 fragment as pRP951, except that the first cysteine (TGC, corresponding to amino acid 7) of the first zinc-binding domain of RPB9 was changed to an alanine (GCC) using PCR (Ha et al. 1989). pRP952 was transformed into WY9 and N222 to generate WY83 and WY84, respectively. pRP953 is another plac195 derivative with a PCR-generated insert in which the ~260 bp of 5' and ~60 bp of 3' RPB9-flanking DNA surrounds the coding region of the Drosophila melanogaster RPB9 homolog (Harrison et al. 1992). RPII15. The RPII15 gene in pRP953 had BamHI ends created by PCR and was ligated into a BamHI site that replaced the deleted RPB9-coding region. pRP953 was transformed into WY9 and N222 to generate WY85 and WY86, respectively. The other plasmids in this study are described below. The accuracy of all PCR-synthesized genes was verified using sequence analysis.

Immunoprecipitation of RNA polymerase II

[35S]methionine-labeled extracts were prepared from N222 and WY9 and immunoprecipitated with the RPB1 antibody directed against the carboxy-terminal domain (Thompson et al. 1990) as described by Kolodziej and Young (1991a). The immunoprecipitated RNA polymerase II was subjected to SDS-PAGE using a 12.5% low cross-linker formula [Dreyfuss et al. 1984].

In vitro transcription assays

Nuclear extracts were prepared from isogenic wild-type (N222) and RPB9A::HIS3 (WY9) strains according to the method of Lue and Kornberg [Lue et al. 1991]. In general, transcription reactions were performed at 23°C with 60–80 mg of extract and 200–300 ng of pGAL4CG- template [Lue et al. 1989]. Basal and activated transcriptional activity were assayed with 60 mg of extract and 200 ng of template. To examine transcriptional activation in the wild-type versus RPB9 deletion background, GAL4–VP16 (a generous gift of Shia-Mei Liao) was added at the beginning of the transcription reactions to a final concentration of 150 ng as described [Liao et al. 1991]. To test the effects of the GST, GST–RPB9, and GST–RPB9(C7A) fusion proteins in vitro transcription, 1, 3, 9, or 27 ng of each partially purified protein was added to reactions containing 60 mg of extract and 300 ng of pGAL4CG- template.

The effects of temperature on in vitro transcription activity were tested by either pretreating the WY9 and N222 extracts at a high temperature and shifting to the permissive temperature to complete the transcription reaction or by performing the transcription reaction at the higher temperature. Sixty milligrams of wild-type N222 (wild type) or WY9 (RPB9A::HIS3) extracts and 300 ng of pGAL4CG- template were incubated for 0, 10, 25, 50, or 120 min at 42°C in standard reaction buffer minus nucleotides [Liao et al. 1991]. The mixture was then cooled to 4°C, nucleotides were added, and the transcription reaction was continued at the permissive temperature of 23°C. Alternatively, the entire transcription reaction was performed at either 23°C or 30°C. Wild-type and mutant extracts preheated at 42°C for 50 or 120 min had no detectable transcriptional activity at 23°C, whereas no detectable transcription activity was obtained from either the N222 or WY9 extracts at 37°C. The level of transcriptional activity was measured by quantification of all CYC1 transcript bands using a Betascope 603 blot analyzer [Betagen].

Preparation of GST fusion proteins

pRP954 and pRP955 are pGEX-2T derivatives in which the wild-
type and C7A mutant RPB9 protein-coding regions have re-
placed the EcoRI–BamHI fragment of the polylinker, respec-
tively. The wild-type RPB9 protein-coding region was amplified by PCR of pRP951 DNA with the oligonucleotides 5'-CACG-
GATCCATGACTACGTTAGATTTTGCG-3’ and 5’-ATGATC-
TTCCCTATGAATAACCTGGCCTTGGTG3’, the mutant
RPB9 protein-coding region was amplified by PCR of pRP952 with the same oligonucleotides. Expression of the fusion pro-
tein in DH5α was induced for 2 hr following the addition of 100
mm IPTG. GST–RPB9 was purified on glutathione–Sepharose
4B [Pharmacia] as suggested by the manufacturer.

Primer extension analysis

Primer extension analysis was performed using 5 μg of poly[A]+ RNA according to Williams and Mason (1985) after annealing at 50°C for 4–18 hr to the following radioactively labeled oligo-
nucleotides, ADH1, 5'-AGAAGATAAACACTTTTGAG-3', CYC1, 5'-TGTGCGATGTGGAGACCT-3', H2B-1, 5'-CA-GCTGGGCTTTGGAGG-3', HIS4, 5'-CAGATATCAATT-ACGCGT-3', RPB6, 5'-CTCTCTATCGAGAAAATGCCT-TAC-3', SPT15, 5'-CTTATAACGTCCTCATCGG-3'. The primer extension reactions were run on 6% polyacrylamide sequencing gels.
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Note added in proof

A paper describing the cloning and characterization of the SHI gene was published while this manuscript was under review [Furter-Graves, E.M., B.D. Hall, and R. Furter. 1994. Role of a small RNA pol II subunit in TATA to transcription start site spacing. Nucleic Acids Res. 22: 4932–4936].
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