Detection and characterization of two co-infection variant strains of avian orthoreovirus (ARV) in young layer chickens using next-generation sequencing (NGS)
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Using next-generation sequencing (NGS) for full genomic characterization studies of the newly emerging avian orthoreovirus (ARV) field strains isolated in Pennsylvania poultry, we identified two co-infection ARV variant strains from one ARV isolate obtained from ARV-affected young layer chickens. The de novo assembly of the ARV reads generated 19 contigs of two different ARV variant strains according to 10 genome segments of each ARV strain. The two variants had the same M2 segment. The complete genomes of each of the two variant strains were 23,493 bp in length, and 10 dsRNA segments ranged from 1192 bp (S4) to 3958 bp (L1), encoding 12 viral proteins. Sequence comparison of nucleotide (nt) and amino acid (aa) sequences of all 10 genome segments revealed 58.1–100% and 51.4–100% aa identity between the two variant strains, and 54.3–89.4% and 49.5–98.1% aa identity between the two variants and classic vaccine strains. Phylogenetic analysis revealed a moderate to significant nt sequence divergence between the two variant and ARV reference strains. These findings have demonstrated the first naturally occurring co-infection of two ARV variants in commercial young layer chickens, providing scientific evidence that multiple ARV strains can be simultaneously present in one host species of chickens.

Avian orthoreovirus (ARV), the Orthoreovirus genus in the Reoviridae family1,2, is a highly contagious avian species virus. The ARV virion averages 70–80 nm in size, containing a non-enveloped icosahedral double-layered capsid structure and 10 double-stranded (ds) RNA genome segments3. The segmented genomic dsRNAs are divided into 3 different groups, including large segments (L1, L2, and L3), medium segments (M1, M2 and M3), and small segments (S1, S2, S3 and S4), according to mobility in polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)4,5. Together, these genome segments encode at least 8 structural proteins (λA, λB, λC, μA, μB, σA, σB and σC) and 4 nonstructural proteins (μNS, p10, p17 and σNS). The structural proteins are incorporated into progeny ARVs, while the nonstructural proteins are encoded within the viral genome but are not observed in the mature ARV virion6. The first seven bases (5′-GCUUUUU-3′) at the 5′ untranslated regions (UTRs) and the last five bases (5′-UCAUC-3′) at the 3′ UTRs of each ARV genome segment are highly conserved in known ARV strains7.

ARVs are important pathogens of domestic poultry and wild avian species, causing a variety of clinical diseases, with viral arthritis/tenosynovitis as the primary infection8,9. ARV-associated viral arthritis is an important disease problem in meat-type chickens, turkeys8 and layer chickens10. Newly emerging ARV variants or novel ARV strains have occurred, causing severe lameness and arthritis diseases in Pennsylvania (PA) poultry since 2011 until the present9,11. The genetic diversity and reassortment between the newly emerging ARV variants and classic vaccine strains have resulted in vaccine failure in breeder flocks with routine ARV immunity programs12.

As a segmented genome virus, ARV genes can reassort to introduce drastic changing in the genotype and pathotype through a direct exchange of genome segments13,14. Co-infections with genetically distinct ARV
strains can lead to the generation of recombinant viruses, confirmed through experimental co-infections of chicken embryonic fibroblast cells with two ARV strains. However, the diagnosis of two or multiple ARV strain co-infections is difficult in field cases, reflecting the limitations of physically isolating genome segments and high-frequency reassortment in some ARV segments. Indeed, until recently, field cases of ARV co-infections have not been reported.

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) is a high-throughput sequencing methodology that generates millions of sequencing reads and sequences directly from viral RNA. NGS has made revolutionary changes and offered new perspectives in complete genome sequencing studies. In the present study, we described two co-infection ARV variant strains detected in one ARV field isolate obtained from ARV-affected young layer chickens in PA. These research findings provide scientific data for the confirmation of the naturally occurring co-infection of two ARV variant strains in layer chickens.

Results

ARV isolate and Sanger sequencing. The ARV isolate (Reo/PA/Layer/01224/14) conducted in the present study was isolated from the tendon tissues of ARV-affected young layer chickens in PA in 2014. The isolate was propagated in LMH (ATCC CRL-2117) hepatocellular carcinoma cell cultures. The viral RNA was amplified at 1088 bp using σC-based one-step RT-PCR with P1/P4 primers from both original tendon tissue sample and ARV positive cell culture supernatant. Direct Sanger sequencing for the σC gene RT-PCR products from original tendon tissue sample and ARV positive cell culture supernatant identified one σC gene sequence of ARV isolate(s) (KP727789) exhibited approximately 90% nt identity with an ARV strain in GenBank (KC865792). The RT-PCR-positive viral RNA was processed for NGS.

NGS data analysis. From the total RNA sample of the layer ARV isolate after propagation in LMH cell cultures, a total of 842,235 sequencing reads were generated, resulting in 251 Mb of fastq format sequence data. After default quality control (QC) filter processing on the MiSeq platform, the sequencing reads were aligned to the reference sequences of chicken genomic DNA and rRNA databases, followed by quality trimming to remove low-quality reads and to exclude reads with similarities to chicken mRNA or rRNA sequences. As a result, 573,669 reads (68.1%) were identified as chicken rRNA, 189,132 reads (22.4%) as chicken mRNA, and 12,161 reads (1.4%) as sequencing adapters (Fig. 1A). The remaining 76,689 reads (9.1%) were considered clean reads and were further analyzed using BLASTN, revealing 35,321 reads (4.2%) as no hits and 41,368 reads (4.9%) as orthoreovirus-origin (Fig. 1B).

De novo assembly of viral genomes. After de novo assembly using the SPAdes program, the clean reads generated a total of 52 contigs, varying from 109 to 3942 nt in length. After BLASTN searching of the 52 contigs, 19 contigs (Table 1) were identified to be ARV sequences, and 9 of the 10 ARV genome segments were targeted by two homologous contigs, except M2 targeted by one contig. These findings indicated there were two genomes of ARV variants with 9 different genome segments and one same M2 segment in the sequencing sample (Table 1). The sequencing depth at every base of the contigs was shown on track 11 of the circos plots (Fig. 2A,B). Highest similarity searching of the 19 ARV-related contigs in GenBank revealed that all 19 contigs had different homologies with other published reference ARV strains (82–98%). To obtain the sequencing coverage data, the sequencing reads were mapped back to the assembled contigs. The reads coverage was calculated from 26.82 × to 254.01 × on average for each segment. The mapped reads of each segment varied from 404 to 5978 reads, which positively correlated with the sequencing coverage data (Table 1). To identify the intra-host single-nucleotide variants (iSNVs) in the assembled contigs, the reads mapping results were processed using the resequencing program of CLC Genomics Workbench software with a 0.4% sequencing error correction. A total of 21 iSNVs were
σfied 12 of the 14 colonies containing the identical colonies were obtained, and their recombinant plasmids were processed for Sanger sequencing. We identified 12 of the 14 colonies containing the identical σC gene sequence (KP727789) as obtained in direct Sanger sequencing from the ARV cell culture sample, and the remaining 2 colonies contained the heterogeneous σC.

Separation of the viral genome through sequencing coverage. NGS deep sequencing revealed two ARV genomes in the prepared total RNA sample, indicating that this layer chicken ARV isolate contained two variant strains or that the ARV-affected layer chickens were co-infected with two variant strains of ARV. After assessing the sequencing coverage data, two contigs of each ARV segment were separated based on high and low sequencing depths into two groups, except for the M2 segment. The contigs in the high-coverage group were designated as one ARV strain (Reo/PA/Layer/01224a/14, or PA01224a), whereas the contigs in the low-coverage group were designated as the other strain (Reo/PA/Layer/01224b/14, or PA01224b) (Table 2). The statistical significance between the coverage of the two groups was confirmed using Student’s t-test (p < 0.01), indicating the reliability of the separation of viral genome. After the 41,368 orthoreovirus reads were mapped back to the ARV genomes in the prepared total RNA sample, indicating that this layer chicken ARV isolate contained two co-infection variant strains or that the ARV-affected layer chickens were co-infected with two variant strains of ARV .

The complete genomes of the two co-infection variant strains. The complete genomes of PA01224a and PA01224b were obtained after adding the same M2 segment to each contigs group. The full-length sequences of the open reading frames (ORFs) ranged from 3882 bp (L1) to 404 bp (S4), and the sizes of putative proteins, encoded by nine monocistronic segments, were identical between the two co-infection variant PA01224a and PA01224b strains, but the non-structural p17 gene on the S1 segment showed some differences. The p17 gene of PA01224a was 459 nt (153 aa) in length, whereas PA01224b has a smaller p17 gene, with 441 nt (147 aa) (Table 2). The UTRs were located at the 5′ and 3′ ends of each segment, ranging from 12–30 bp (5′ UTRs) and 33–98 bp (3′ UTRs) in length, respectively (Table 2). The highly conserved regions in the 5′ UTR (5′-GCUUUU-3′) and 3′ UTR (5′-UCAUC-3′) were also detected in all of the segments of the two co-infection variant strains (Table 3).

Additional experiments for validation of the two co-infection variant strains in the original tendon sample. The σC gene RT-PCR product amplified at 1088 bp from the original tendon tissue sample was inserted into the pGEM-T easy vector for cloning improvement of Sanger sequencing. A total of 14 successful colonies were obtained, and their recombinant plasmids were processed for Sanger sequencing. We identified 12 of the 14 colonies containing the identical σC gene sequence (KP727789) as obtained in direct Sanger sequencing from the ARV cell culture sample, and the remaining 2 colonies contained the heterogeneous σC.

| Segment length (bp) | Contig name | Highest similarity to the reference ARV strain in GenBank | Identities (%) | SNVs Mapped reads | Average coverage |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| 3958                | L1a         | 138 strain segment L1 lambda A gene (EU707933)          | 91             | 13               | 3936             | 125.82           |
| 3958                | L1b         | 2408 strain segment L1 lambda A gene (AY641742)          | 91             | 4                | 1746             | 59.06            |
| 3829                | L2a         | AVS-B strain segment L2 lambda B gene (FR694192)         | 93             | 0                | 3106             | 106.79           |
| 3829                | L2b         | 1733 strain segment L2 lambda B gene (KF741707)          | 90             | 0                | 1341             | 46.04            |
| 3907                | L3a         | AVS-B strain segment L3 lambda C gene (FR694193)         | 95             | 0                | 914              | 30.72            |
| 3907                | L3b         | 138 strain segment L3 lambda C gene (EU707937)          | 92             | 1                | 5978             | 202.55           |
| 2283                | M1a         | 138 strain segment M1 muA gene (AY557188)               | 91             | 0                | 3053             | 184.38           |
| 2283                | M1b         | AVS-B strain segment M1 muA gene (FR694194)              | 91             | 1                | 1168             | 65.44            |
| 2158                | M2          | Reo/PA/Beoiler/05682/12 segment M2 muB gene (KM877329)  | 97             | 0                | 4256             | 254.01           |
| 1996                | M3a         | 1017-1 strain segment M2 muNS gene (AY573905)            | 89             | 0                | 405              | 26.82            |
| 1996                | M3b         | S1133 strain segment M2 muNS gene (KF741761)             | 90             | 0                | 3069             | 204              |
| 1644                | S1a         | T1781 segment S1 sigma C genes (KC865792)               | 82             | 0                | 3560             | 271.28           |
| 1644                | S1b         | Reo/PA/Beoiler/15511/13 segment S1 sigma C genes (KF731617) | 98               | 0                | 564              | 44.63            |
| 1324                | S2a         | S1133 strain segment S2 sigma A gene (KF741763)          | 92             | 1                | 1759             | 174.96           |
| 1324                | S2b         | 526 strain segment S2 sigma A gene (KF741703)            | 91             | 0                | 636              | 65.05            |
| 1202                | S3a         | 1733 strain segment S3 sigma B gene (KF741714)           | 92             | 1                | 1178             | 134.3            |
| 1202                | S3b         | 526 strain segment S3 sigma B gene (KF741704)            | 94             | 1                | 2175             | 246.3            |
| 1192                | S4a         | AVS-B strain segment S4 sigma NS gene (FR694200)         | 91             | 1                | 404              | 46.89            |
| 1192                | S4b         | 526 strain segment S4 sigma NS gene (KF741705)           | 93             | 1                | 2138             | 247.95           |

Table 1. De novo assembly contigs of two co-infection variant strains (Reo/PA/Layer/01224a/14 and Reo/PA/Layer/01224b/14) of avian orthoreovirus (ARV) isolated from ARV-affected young layer chickens

| GenBankIdentities (%) SNVs Mapped reads Average coverage |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Identities (%)  | SNVs | Mapped reads | Average coverage |
|------------------|------|--------------|------------------|
| 3958 L1a         | 13   | 3936         | 125.82           |
| 3958 L1b         | 4    | 1746         | 59.06            |
| 3829 L2a         | 0    | 3106         | 106.79           |
| 3829 L2b         | 0    | 1341         | 46.04            |
| 3907 L3a         | 0    | 914          | 30.72            |
| 3907 L3b         | 1    | 5978         | 202.55           |
| 2283 M1a         | 0    | 3053         | 184.38           |
| 2283 M1b         | 1    | 1168         | 65.44            |
| 2158 M2          | 0    | 4256         | 254.01           |
| 1996 M3a         | 0    | 405          | 26.82            |
| 1996 M3b         | 0    | 3069         | 204              |
| 1644 S1a         | 0    | 3560         | 271.28           |
| 1644 S1b         | 0    | 564          | 44.63            |
| 1324 S2a         | 1    | 1759         | 174.96           |
| 1324 S2b         | 0    | 636          | 65.05            |
| 1202 S3a         | 1    | 1178         | 134.3            |
| 1202 S3b         | 1    | 2175         | 246.3            |
| 1192 S4a         | 1    | 404          | 46.89            |
| 1192 S4b         | 1    | 2138         | 247.95           |
gene (KU726094) exhibited approximately 98% nt identity with a reference ARV strain in GenBank (KP731617) but only 58% nt identity with the other 12 colonies’ σC gene (KP727789). Unfortunately, the extracted RNA from the original tendon tissue could not be processed for NGS because the RNA concentration was too low to pass the quality control step of NGS.

Sequence comparison. The nt and aa sequences of the two co-infection variant strains were initially compared, followed by a comparison with eight reference ARV strains, including two recently published PA broiler ARV strain (Reo/PA/Broiler/05682/12, or PA05682 and Reo/PA/Broiler/15511/13 or PA15511)11,21, four reference chicken-origin ARV strains (S1133, 1733, 138, and AVS-B), one turkey ARV strain (MN9), and one duck ARV strain (J18) (supplementary Table S1). The results are summarized in Table 4 and illustrated in tracks 2–10 of Fig. 2A,B. The nt and aa sequence comparisons between the two co-infection variant strains of PA1224a and PA1224b revealed low to high similarities (nt: 58.1–100%; aa: 51.4–100%). In addition to the same M2 segment coding μB gene, the highest sequence similarity was observed not only in the σA genes (nt: 88.8%; aa: 97.8%) of the two strains, but also in the λA, λC, μA, σB, and σNS genes (nt: >87%; aa: >95%). The lowest similarity was observed in the σC gene on the S1 segment, sharing only 58.1% nt identity and 51.4% aa identity. When compared with ARV reference strains, the PA01224a variant strain showed high identity with ARV strain 138 in λA- and μA-encoding genes (nt: 90.3–90.7%; aa: 97.3–98.4%); 1733 in μNS-, σA-, and σB-encoding genes (nt: 88.9–91.8%; aa: 94.3–97.1%); AVS-B in λB- and σNS-encoding genes (nt: 90.8–92.7%; aa: 98.3–98.4%) and PA15511 in λC-encoding genes (nt: 94.5%; aa: 97.7%). For the PA01224b variant strain, the sequence comparison results also indicated high identity between this study strain and chicken-origin ARV strains. The highest identities were observed in λA-, μNS-, σA-, and σB-encoding genes of the 1733 strain (nt: 81.3–91.3%; aa: 92.0–98.3%), in λC-, μA-, σNS-encoding genes of AVS-B strain (nt: 89.9–95.0%; aa: 97.1–98.4%) and in λB- and σC-encoding genes of PA15511 strain (nt: 93.7–98.4%; aa: 98.8–99.0%). The results of the nt and aa sequence comparisons of σC-encoding genes revealed low identities between the two co-infection variant strains and reference strains (nt: ≤65.3%; aa: ≤60.2%). In contrast, the two variant strains of PA01224a and PA01224b exhibited the highest similarities (nt: ≥97.1%; aa: ≥98.7%) in the same μB-encoding genes with the PA broiler strain PA05682. Although isolated from different species, turkey ARV-MN9 showed moderate to high identity (nt: 75.1–87.1%; aa: 89.2–96.8%) with the two co-infection variant strains, except for σC-encoding genes (nt: 53.3–56.3%; aa: 48.3–51.0%). Duck-origin ARV-J18 was confirmed as the most divergent strain (nt: 40.4–78.1%; aa: 30.3–95.1%) from the two ARV co-infection variant strains among all reference ARV strains in sequence comparisons.

Comparison of the UTRs revealed that the genome segments of all of the ARV strains shared a common motif in the 5′ and 3′ UTRs. The seventh base of the 5′ UTR was conserved in strains S1133, 2408, MN9 and J18 but showed heterogeneity in other compared strains, including PA01224a and PA01224b. In the 3′ UTR, the 5′-UAAUUCAGC-3′ motif was shared by all ARVs, although the second uracil might be replaced with cytosine in some segments (Table 3).
Phylogenetic analysis of the two co-infection variant strains. To examine the evolutionary relationships of the two co-infection variant strains with other ARV members, both variant strains of PA01224a and PA01224b were subjected to phylogenetic-tree analysis (Fig. 3). Rooted maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees were generated based on the nt sequence alignments of six genome segments and four $\sigma$-class genes. For the L-class segment analysis, the two co-infection variant and reference strains formed 4 host-related groups in all three segments (L1–L3), of which two groups were chicken-origin, and the other two variants were, respectively turkey- and waterfowl-origin. The two variant strains of PA01224a and PA01224b were divided into different chicken-origin ARV groups in L1 and L2 segments (Fig. 3, L1 and L2). The same M2 segment of the two co-infection variant strains belonged to lineage 2, together with other two PA ARV field strains (PA05682 and PA15511)\(^1\) and one classic ARV strain 138 (Fig. 3). The phylogenetic trees of $\sigma$-class genes illustrated a close relationship between the two co-infection variant strains of PA01224a and PA01224b, particularly in the $\sigma_A$ and $\sigma_B$ genes (Fig. 3). Genes $\sigma_C$ and $\sigma_NS$ evolved distantly from all vaccine strains and formed a separated genotyping group with the pathogenic ARV strains. In contrast, the $\sigma_B$ genes of the two co-infection variant strains were closely related with the vaccine strains, suggesting the possibility of the reassortment of S3 segments between the co-infection variant strains and vaccine strains. Gene $\sigma_C$ was the most diverse among all 10 ARV segment genes, and the construction of a $\sigma_C$ phylogenetic tree using co-infection variant strains and reference strains generated five genotyping clusters, showing more than 70% identity within each cluster. The two co-infection variant strains were grouped into genotyping cluster 3 (PA01224a) and cluster 5 (PA01224b), respectively, exhibiting markedly higher divergence with vaccine strains than with other segments, as indicated in the sequence comparisons.

| ARV strain                  | Host          | Terminal region sequences (5′ to 3′)                      |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Reo/PA/Layer/01224a/14      | Layer Chicken | GCUUUUU/C...UAU/C...UAUC                                |
| Reo/PA/Layer/01224b/14      | Layer Chicken | GCUUUUU/C...UAU/C...UAUC                                |
| Reo/PA/Breiler/05682/12     | Breiler Chicken| GCUUUUU/C...UAU/C...UAUC                                |
| Reo/PA/Breiler/15511/13     | Breiler Chicken| GCUUUUU/C...UAU/C...UAUC                                |
| SI133                       | Breiler Chicken| GCUUUUU...UAU/C...UAUC                                  |
| 1733                        | Breiler Chicken| GCUUUUU/C...UAU/C...UAUC                                |
| 138                         | Breiler Chicken| GCUUUUU/C...UAU/C...UAUC                                |
| AVS-B                       | Breiler Chicken| GCUUUUU/C...UAU/C...UAUC                                |
| 2408                        | Breiler Chicken| GCUUUUU...UAUCAUC                                        |
| MN9                         | Turkey        | GCUUUUU...UAUCAUC                                        |
| II18                        | Muscovy Duck  | GCUUUUU...UAU/C...UAUC                                  |
| D20/99                      | Goose         | GCUUUUU/C...UAU/C...UAUC                                |

Table 3. Comparison of segment 5′ and 3′ non-coding regions of two co-infection variant strains (Reo/PA/Layer/01224a/14 and Reo/PA/Layer/01224b/14) of avian orthoreovirus (ARV) with ARV reference strains.
Visualization of the whole genome alignment. The nt sequence similarity values of individual genome segments of the two co-infection variant strains, PA01224a and PA01224b, showed different divergence from each other and from all seven ARV reference strains (Fig. 4). The visualization of the genome in this way supported the phylogenetic results described above. High sequence similarities (>90%) were observed in most regions of L1, L3, M1, M2, S2, S3, and S4 segments between the two co-infection variant strains. In contrast, the two co-infection strains showed low identity (<85%) in the L2, L3, and S1 segments, with the lowest identity observed at the 3′ end of the S1 segment, corresponding to the σC-coding region. When compared with ARV reference strains, considerable genetic relatedness of the PA01224a variant and 138 strain was observed in 8 of the 10 genome segments, except for the most 3′ regions of the S1 segment and the most 5′ regions of the S3 segment. However, the highest identities of the S1 and S3 segments were observed between the PA01224a variant and the S1133 strain, with more than 57% and 88% nt similarities, respectively. The PA01224b variant strain showed close genetic relatedness with PA15511 strain of whole genome but more divergence from other reference strains compared with the PA01224a variant. The concatenated genome segments revealed that at least 6 reference strains (PA15511, PA05682, 1133, 1733 and AVS-B) shared high sequence similarity with the PA01224b variant in some genome segments. In addition, the M2 and S1 segments of the PA01224a and PA01224b variants, encoding the outer capsid proteins (μB and σC) of ARV, exhibited marked differences compared with vaccine strain S1133, indicating classic vaccine protection failure against the two co-infection variant strains. The duck-origin ARV strain-J18 shared low sequence identity with the two co-infection variant strains (<78.2%) throughout the entire genome, indicating no segment reassortment between the waterfowl-origin ARV and the two co-infection variant strains.

Table 4. Sequence identities of genome segments and proteins between the two co-infection variant strains (Reo/PA/Layer/01224a/14 and Reo/PA/Layer/01224b/14) and reference strains of avian orthoreovirus (ARV). 1 Genome sequence identities between two PA ARV field co-infection strains.

| Gene | 15S111 | 05S82 | S1133 | 1733 | M9N | J18 | a/b | αμA | αμB | αμNS | σC | σA | σB | σNS |
|------|--------|-------|-------|------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| L1   | 88.8   | 95.3  | 98.0  | 99.1 | 97.4 | 98.4 | 98.4 | 98.4 | 98.4 | 98.4 | 98.4 | 98.4 | 98.4 | 98.4 |
| L2   | 82.8   | 96.0  | 87.5  | 97.1 | 83.4 | 96.2 | 93.7 | 96.7 | 89.8 | 97.9 | 92.7 | 98.3 | 83.4 | 95.1 |
| L3   | 94.5   | 97.7  | 87.9  | 94.7 | 72.9 | 83.8 | 73.2 | 83.7 | 92.0 | 96.0 | 89.0 | 95.1 | 83.9 | 96.7 |
| M1   | 97.2   | 97.7  | 87.9  | 94.7 | 72.9 | 83.8 | 73.2 | 83.7 | 92.0 | 96.0 | 89.0 | 95.1 | 83.9 | 96.7 |
| M2   | 93.7   | 99.0  | 83.0  | 96.3 | 89.4 | 97.2 | 98.7 | 97.0 | 92.7 | 98.0 | 93.7 | 97.1 | 83.0 | 96.3 |
| S2   | 88.2   | 95.5  | 87.7  | 95.1 | 79.6 | 87.0 | 89.8 | 98.9 | 89.9 | 97.8 | 92.7 | 98.3 | 95.1 | 95.1 |
| S3   | 86.7   | 93.5  | 81.2  | 93.8 | 78.6 | 87.6 | 96.3 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 96.0 | 97.0 | 98.7 | 95.1 | 95.1 |
| S4   | 88.2   | 95.5  | 87.7  | 95.1 | 79.6 | 87.0 | 89.8 | 98.9 | 89.9 | 97.8 | 92.7 | 98.3 | 95.1 | 95.1 |

Figure 3. Phylogenetic trees constructed with avian orthoreoviruses (ARVs) based on nucleotide sequences of the L-, M- and σ-class homologous genome segments or genes. Note: The two co-infection variant strains are indicated with colored dots: red indicates Reo/PA/Layer/01224a/14, and blue indicates Reo/PA/Layer/01224b/14.
Discussion

ARV-affected viral arthritis/tenosynovitis syndrome in domestic poultry was observed and described as a highly pathogenic and contagious poultry disease as early as over half a century ago in the 1950s\textsuperscript{22–24}, and continued studies were performed in 1960–70s\textsuperscript{25–27}. With the rapid development of the modern industrialized poultry business during the last several decades, ARV-affected poultry not only suffer the classic symptoms of viral arthritis/tenosynovitis but also the newly observed runting-stunting syndrome (RSS)\textsuperscript{28}, respiratory disease\textsuperscript{29}, enteric disease\textsuperscript{30}, immunosuppression\textsuperscript{31}, and malabsorption syndrome\textsuperscript{32}. ARV-affected cases or flocks of broilers, turkeys, and layer chickens have been increasingly diagnosed in PA poultry in the US since 2011 until the present. Recent studies have revealed that a majority of the ARV outbreaks resulted from various variant strains of newly emerging ARVs\textsuperscript{21,34}. In addition, highly pathogenic ARV variants also emerged in chickens\textsuperscript{33,34} and turkeys\textsuperscript{35} in other countries. Most of these emerging variants showed features of genome segment reassortment between historical ARV strains and high genetic diversity in the $\sigma$C genes\textsuperscript{36}.

The two co-infection variant strains described in the present study are the first report of two ARV variant strain co-infections naturally occurring in commercial young layer chickens, providing scientific evidence of the essential preconditions or requirements of potential reassortment between different ARVs\textsuperscript{37}. It is difficult to detect and characterize the complete genomes of two co-infection variant strains without the most advanced NGS technology. In 2000, RT-PCR combined with Sanger sequencing was considered a powerful tool for the identification
of ARV genotypes or pathotypes\(^{20}\), and this technique combined with cloning improvement was successfully used for the detection of two σC genes of the two co-infection variant strains described in the present study. However, direct Sanger sequencing for the RT-PCR product detected only one σC gene (PA01224a) in our initial test. The signal-to-noise sequencing ratio was appropriate, indicating that the RT-PCR product was a single component\(^{18}\). The plaque assay was also preferred for ARV co-infection characterizations in experimental co-infections with two ARVs in chicken fibroblasts\(^{15}\). The distinct viral growth kinetics in cell cultures or different viral titers in a test sample co-infected with ARVs would reduce the chances of the separation of co-infection strains.

Currently, the NGS technologies have revolutionized the field of genomics. In clinical virology, NGS is a highly efficient, fast processing technique, producing enormous amounts of information at low cost in a relatively short period of time\(^{38}\). The features of NGS in genome deep sequencing strategies could be used for the discovery of newly emerging viruses, the characterization of viral genome variability, and the identification and characterization of multiple co-infection viruses. NGS using the Illumina MiSeq platform was conducted with total RNA extracted from ARV-positive LMH cell cultures in the present study. The use of NGS in metagenomics studies facilitates the exploration of all ARV sequences present in the total RNA sample\(^{40}\), avoids the time-consuming isolation and culture of all co-infection strains individually, and requires no pre-information of the co-infecting ARVs. We performed the de novo assembly of the clean reads from NGS raw data and identified 19 ARV-related contigs in the test sample, corresponding to 10 genome segments of ARV. The nt BLAST results of all of the ARV contigs confirmed that the 19 ARV contigs were derived from two ARV genomes, having the same M2 segment contig. NGS-based RNA-seq provides a tool to measure and to compare gene transcription patterns at unprecedented resolution\(^{41}\). The NGS sequencing coverage was positively correlated with the amount of viral segment contig. NGS-based RNA-seq provides a tool to measure and to compare gene transcription patterns at unprecedented resolution\(^{41}\). The NGS sequencing coverage was positively correlated with the amount of viral RNA, associated with the numbers of the viruses or the viral characteristic of growth kinetics in cell culture\(^{15}\).

Therefore, the 19 ARV contigs were successfully separated into two viral genomes using a ‘maxcounts’ approach\(^{16}\). In S1 segments, the direct Sanger sequencing results indicated only one ARV variant (PA01224a) sequence in the co-infection sample. The failure to sequence the other ARV variant (PA01224b) σC gene might reflect a dramatic difference in the sequencing coverage between the two co-infection variant strains in their S1 segments (271.28x for S1a of PA01224a and 44.63x for S1b PA01224b) (Table 1), consistent with the preferential PCR amplification theory\(^{43}\).

The genomic analysis of the two co-infection variant strains, PA01224a and PA01224b, revealed that in addition to the same M2 segment, the nt and aa sequence identities between the two co-infection strains were moderate (nt: <88.8%; aa: <97.8%). Sequence comparison of the two co-infection strains with reference ARV strains confirmed that most genome segments of the co-infection strains shared high sequence identity with the reference strains, indicating that reassortment between the historical ARVs might directly result in the emergence of PA01224a and PA01224b variant strains. The S1 segment-encoded σC, the most variable protein in ARV, plays an important role for virus attachment\(^{44}\) and acts as an apoptosis inducer\(^{45}\). The results of the present study indicated that the sequence identities between the two co-infection strains were markedly low in the σC gene (nt: 58.1%; aa: 51.4%), and the identities were also low compared with the reference strains (nt:<65.3%; aa:<60.2%). The different sequencing coverage of the two co-infection strains resulting from growth kinetics of the virus might reflect the σC protein-based properties of the viruses in viral attachment and cell penetration\(^{12}\). In contrast with the high diversity of the σC gene, both PA01224a and PA01224b shared 100% identity in the M2 segment. In ARV, the M2 genome segment encodes the major μ-class outer capsid protein (μB) of the virus, involved in virus entry and transcriptase activation\(^{46}\). The specific M2 genome segments are required for the efficient establishment of a productive ARV infection in some host cells\(^{47}\), suggesting that the same M2 segment of the two co-infection strains might be associated with ARV entry and/or un-coating in the same layer chicken host cells.

Phylogenetic analysis of the individual segments or genes of the two co-infection strains revealed that both strains distantly evolved from each other in most L and M genomic segments, whereas these strains showed close relationships in σA, σB and σNS genes. The two co-infection strains and all reference strains formed host-associated groups in most genome segments, except M2 and σ-C. The M2 segment phylogenetic analysis revealed that the two co-infection stains of PA01224a and PA01224b clustered together with two other PA broiler ARV variant strains (PA05682 and PA15511) and the reference strain ARV 138, which distantly evolved from the chicken ARV vaccine strains, turkey strains, and waterfowl strains, suggesting that strain 138 might be the origin of the M2 segments of epidemic chicken ARV in PA. The phylogeny of the σC genes confirmed 5 genotyping clusters with high sequence identities (nt: >75%; aa: >85%) within the cluster and low sequence identities between each cluster (nt: <60%; aa: <65%). Distantly related with PA01224a in cluster 3, strain PA01224b fell into cluster 5 and showed a close phylogenetic relationship with the variant PA15511 strain, characterized as a novel arthritis ARV in broiler chicken in previous studies\(^{11}\), suggesting the bidirectional transmission of certain ARV strains in layer and broiler chickens. The highly pathogenic ARV strains showing this feature not only place the risk of vertical transmission from breeders to progenies but also increase the chances of ARV reassortment among different chicken hosts.

In conclusion, we identified two naturally occurring ARV variant strain co-infections in layer chickens using NGS. The two co-infection variants had the same M2 segment but were distantly evolved in nine other segments. The sequence comparison and phylogenetic analyses revealed that the genetic reassortment of the L, M and S segments between historical ARV strains could have led to the emergence of the two co-infecting ARV variants. The sequencing data analysis also predicted important roles for the μB protein in specific ARV host selection and the σC protein in viral growth kinetics. Thus, the findings of the present study have confirmed the actual existence of natural ARV co-infections and generated complete genomic data to obtain a better understanding the evolutionary relationship between ARV co-infection variants and reference strains.
Methods

Ethics statement. Tissue collections were conducted in accordance with procedure guidelines approved by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) (http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/lab_info_services/downloads/NecropsyGuideline.pdf). All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the regulations of The Pennsylvania State University (PSU) animal welfare and ethics guidelines (http://www.research.psu.edu/training/sari/teaching-support/animal-welfare-1) and approved by PSU Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC). The virus propagation and test were carried out in our avian virology lab and all experimental protocols were approved by PSU Institutional Biosafety Committee.

ARV isolate. The ARV isolate used in the present study was obtained from a routine diagnostic case of a submitted tendon pool sample from arthritic young layer chickens at 14 weeks of age. The ARV isolation was propagated in LMH cell cultures and was confirmed positive for ARV through a fluorescent antibody (FA) test using an ARV-conjugated antibody (ID No. 680 VDL 9501, NVSL, Ames, IA, USA) as described in our previous report49.

RT-PCR and Sanger sequencing. Viral RNA was extracted from the ARV isolate in LMH cell culture fluid using an RNaseasy Mini Kit (Cat. No. Z74106, QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RT-PCR reaction was performed using a One Step RT-PCR Kit (Cat. No. 210212, QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) with two degenerate primers P1 and P4, corresponding to the σC gene of ARV50. The RT-PCR products were obtained through 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and were purified using a gel extraction kit (Cat. No. 04113KE1, Axygen, Tewksbury, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified RT-PCR product was cloned into pGEM-T easy vector using pGEM-T easy Vector system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), and then transformed into competent Escherichia coli JM109 cells (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The recombinant plasmids from positive colonies were isolated using E.Z.N.A.® Plasmid DNA Mini Kit I (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, USA) per the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of the purified RT-PCR product and recombinant plasmids were obtained using a NanoDrop™1000 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) spectrophotometer and were subsequently submitted to the Penn State Genomics Core Facility for Sanger sequencing.

Next-generation sequencing. RNA libraries were constructed from total RNA samples using the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Sample Prep Kit (Cat. No. RS-122–2201, Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol, but without the initial poly-A enrichment step. The library size and quality was assessed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The library concentration was assessed through qPCR using the KAPA Library Quantification Kit Illumina Platforms (Cat. No. KR0405, Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA). After quality testing, the RNA libraries were directly sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq using 150-nt single-read sequencing according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Viral genome assembly. The pipeline for the NGS raw reads analysis has been described in a previous study9. Briefly, all generated reads were trimmed to remove sequencing adaptors and low-quality reads prior to further analysis. After the reads mapping to chicken, bacteria, or rRNA were removed using the sortMeRNA48 and BWA-MEM programs59, the remaining reads were processed using de novo SPAdes assembly software (ver 3.5.0)60 to obtain assembled contiguous sequences (contigs). The ARV-related contigs were extracted after alignment to the reference genome using LASTZ software51, and subsequently, the sequences were mapped back using all raw reads for contigs improvement. Moreover, the consensus sequences from the re-mapping reads and LASTZ contig alignment were obtained using SAMtools commands52.

Sequence analyses. The ARV ORF predictions, amino acid (aa) translations, and sequence alignments were performed using the Lasergene 12 Core Suite (DNASTAR, Inc. Madison, WI, USA). The assembled ARV contigs were submitted to BLASTN online searching in GenBank (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) to obtain the reference sequence with the most homology. Phylogenetic trees of ten ARV segments (genes) were generated using the neighbor-joining method and were validated through bootstrap analysis with 1000 replications in the MEGA 5.0 program. Sanger sequencing, NGS sequencing coverage, NGS assembled contigs, viral ORFs, intra-host single-nucleotide variants (iSNVs) and nt differences between the study and reference strains were visualized through the Circos software package53. The analysis and visualization of whole genome alignments were performed using the mVISTA online platform (http://genome.lbl.gov/vista/mvista/submit.shtml). The sequencing coverage of each assembled contig was calculated using CLC Genomics Workbench V7.5 software (QIAGEN, Boston, MA, USA) and was separated into two strains based on average coverage. Statistically significant differences between the two separated strains were determined using the two-tailed Student’s t-test in the Microsoft Excel program. The GenBank accession numbers for ARV reference strains (PA15511, PA05682, S1133, 1733, 138, AVS-B, MN9 and J18) are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

References
1. Spandidos, D. A. & Graham, A. F. Physical and chemical characterization of an avian reovirus. J Virol 19, 968–976 (1976).
2. van der Heide, L. The history of avian reovirus. Avian Dis 63, 45–57 (2009).
3. Joklik, W. K. Structure and function of the reovirus genome. Microbiol Rev 45, 483–501 (1981).
4. Nick, H., Cursiefen, D. & Becht, H. Structural and growth characteristics of two avian reoviruses. Arch Virol 48, 261–269 (1975).
5. Varela, R. & Benavente, J. Protein coding assignment of avian reovirus strain S1133. J Virol 68, 6775–6777 (1994).
6. Varela, R., Martinez-Costas, J., Mallo, M. & Benavente, J. Intracellular posttranslational modifications of S1133 avian reovirus proteins. J Virol 70, 2974–2981 (1996).
7. Su, Y. P., Su, B. S., Shien, J. H., Liu, H. J. & Lee, L. H. The sequence and phylogenetic analysis of avian reovirus genome segments M1, M2, and M3 encoding the minor core protein MuA, the major outer capsid protein MuB, and the nonstructural protein MuNS. J Virol Methods 133, 146–157 (2006).
52. Li, H.
51. Harris, R. S. Improved Pairwise Alignment of Genomic DNA. PhD thesis, Pennsylvania State Univ. (2007).
49. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Nat Biotechnol 28, 57–63 (2009).
45. Krzywinski, M. et al. Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res 19, 1639–1645 (2009).
Acknowledgements
This study was funded through The Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture (PDA), The Animal Health and Diagnostic Commission (AHDC)’s Research Program in 2015, Pennsylvania, USA, The Pennsylvania Poultry Industry Broiler/Egg Check-Off Research Program and The Pennsylvania Soybean Board Research Program, 2015–16, Pennsylvania, USA.

Author Contributions
Y.T. performed the molecular characterization studies and sequencing data analyses, drafted the manuscript, and prepared Figures 1, 3 and 4 and Tables 1–4 and S1. L.L. performed LMH cell cultures and virus isolations, conducted the FA test, and assisted in molecular test preparations. A.S. prepared Figure 3 and assisted in the sequencing data analyses. H.L. revised and edited the manuscript text, tables and figures, and supervised the project. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Tang, Y. et al. Detection and characterization of two co-infection variant strains of avian orthoreovirus (ARV) in young layer chickens using next-generation sequencing (NGS). Sci. Rep. 6, 24519; doi: 10.1038/srep24519 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/