Translating knowledge into practice: content analysis of online resources about sexual difficulties for individuals with traumatic brain injury
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For many individuals with traumatic brain injury (TBI), the Internet is the only available source of information regarding their sexual problems following TBI. This study aimed to evaluate the content and the quality of patient or carer information that is readily available on the Internet about specific aspects of sexuality after TBI. A purposive (non-exhaustive) sample of eight leaflets available on the Internet related to sexuality following TBI was analysed using content analysis. Decreased desire was reported as the main sexual difficulty following TBI (87.5%), followed by inappropriate sexual behaviour (62.5%). Among the strategies to overcome these difficulties, all leaflets recommended seeking help from healthcare professionals; 42.8% were centred on the carer or the family, and only 28.5% was directly addressed to the individual with TBI. The information available overemphasises disinhibition, underscores other aspects of sexuality (e.g. sexual risk and inability to fantasise), and is conceived mainly for carers and families. A bias assuming that most individuals with TBI are involved in a romantic relationship was also present. Adolescents, women, older people, single people, and non-heterosexual individuals were not adequately represented. There is a need for Internet resources to provide specific recommendations for these groups.
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Introduction

After a traumatic brain injury (TBI), many survivors suffer in silence when they are confronted with sexual problems. Unfortunately, sexuality has not received as much attention as other aspects of rehabilitation but there is an increasing awareness of its importance as a health outcome. Cultural representations and myths surrounding disability influence healthcare professionals who work with people with TBI, who may not think that this group would need information, consultation, and treatment of sexual difficulties (Anderson & Kitchin, 2000), and individuals with disabilities are often perceived as asexual (Esmail, Darry, Walter, & Knupp, 2010). Similarly, because of some invisible disabilities, healthcare professionals may not always be informed about how an individual’s cognitive deficits, for example, may affect their sexuality. Therefore, healthcare professionals may miss out on
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this crucial aspect of an individual’s life, with the problem of translating knowledge into practice, while individuals with TBI feel that their sexuality is overlooked.

The main factors influencing healthcare professionals’ discussion of sexuality with service users correspond to the interdependence of structural factors (e.g. local policies, negative attitudes towards sexuality), healthcare organisational factors (e.g. lack of time and institutional policies), and healthcare practitioners’ personal aspects (e.g. lack of training and religion), among others (Dyer & das Nair, 2013). When individuals with TBI are unable to gain information related to sexuality within the healthcare system, or if they feel they cannot address these issues with healthcare professionals, they often turn to other resources, including close friends, partners, films, books, and the Internet. Also, young people are especially interested in sexual health information online (Buhi, Daley, Fuhrmann, & Smith, 2009) and TBI is more prevalent in young individuals (Roebuck-Spencer & Cernich, 2014).

Smith, Gertz, Alvarez, and Lurie (2000) conducted a study with 27 undergraduate students, which aimed to evaluate the accessibility and the quality of specific information about sex education on the Internet. They concluded that general information on sex education is incomplete and difficult to locate (Smith et al., 2000). If that is the case for people without brain injury, access to relevant information may be further limited by the degree of cognitive impairment that many individuals with TBI face. Therefore, the combination of an unresponsive healthcare system regarding sexuality issues following TBI and the survivor’s distress associated to the emotional, physical, behavioural, social, and financial changes post-injury leaves the individual with unaddressed and untreated sexual problems. Without accessibility to healthcare options, these problems can have a negative impact on the individual’s quality of life (Moreno et al., 2014; Wedcliffe & Ross, 2001).

To our knowledge, previous studies on sexuality following TBI have not assessed the content and the quality of patient and/or carer information that is available on the Internet about specific aspects of sexuality after TBI. For many individuals with TBI, partners, carers, and families, this might be the only access they have to this information. Therefore, it is important to understand the kind of material available, interrogate how information is conveyed, establish what is missing, and examine the possible barriers for accessibility that individuals with TBI may encounter. Given this gap in the literature, this study aimed to evaluate the content and the quality of the information that is available on the Internet about specific aspects of sexuality after TBI. Based on our clinical and research experience in this field, we hypothesised that information (1) will be limited to describing sexual difficulties, (2) assumes that individuals with TBI have a partner, and (3) will focus on heterosexual people.

Methods

Procedure

To identify the leaflets available, we completed a Google search on the Internet. We used the following keywords related to TBI and sexuality in conjunction with each other in various permutations and combinations: TBI, head injury, brain injury; and sexuality, intimacy, sex, and relationships. The leaflets were chosen according to the following inclusion criteria: (1) written materials directly linked to the subject of sexuality after TBI, (2) written for individuals with TBI, partners, carers, or families, (3) accessible free of charge, and (4) available in English language. Exclusion criteria included: (1) scientific
papers about sexuality and TBI intended for a specialized readership, and (2) intervention programs about sexuality and TBI.

Because of these criteria and to facilitate a thorough examination of data, we limited our search to the UK, Canada, Australia, and the USA. This was therefore not an exhaustive or systematic search, but one that we felt would approximate a search conducted by a community-dwelling individual with TBI or carer. For each combination of keywords, we systematically went through all entries on all the pages on Google, identifying the potential leaflets for inclusion, before moving to the next combination. This reduced selection bias as different combinations lead to different results (e.g. sexuality and TBI versus intimacy and TBI). Thus, we found 10 different leaflets using a combination of the aforementioned keywords. After carefully screening the leaflets, we excluded two: one that mainly addressed the way to overcome loneliness and another was conceived as an educational manual (not a leaflet). As these leaflets are freely available on the Internet, the approval of the research and ethics board was not necessary.

Analysis

The remaining eight leaflets were coded using content analysis. Content analysis focuses on the frequency with which certain “concepts” are present in texts. A concept is “a single idea, or ideational kernel” (Carley, 1993, p. 81). However, content analysis can go further than presenting counts of concepts, but also how these concepts are articulated. In fact, Hsieh and Shannon (2005) suggest that content analysis consists of different “approaches” and we have used what they term a “summative” approach, which involves “counting and comparisons, usually of keywords or content, followed by the interpretation of the underlying context” (Hsieh & Shannon, 2005, p. 1277). Frequency counts allow us to discuss the emphasis and saliency of concepts across various documents in a given area. As mentioned, alongside the frequency counts, we also paid attention to the language used to convey the concepts (albeit, not as extensively as in other qualitative methods, such as discourse analysis). We followed the guidelines suggested by Carley (1993). We manually entered the following information from the leaflets into a table: intended audience, the sexual problems described, explanations of these difficulties, and suggested ways to deal with these problems. This was initially done by one author [Alexander Moreno (AM)] and verified by the second [Roshan das Nair (RdN)]. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion.

The sexual difficulties described in the leaflets were re-coded based on the kind of problem they focused on (e.g. loss of libido, loss of interest in sex, and low sexual drive were categorised as “decreased desire”). This allowed for generalisation across leaflets. This part of the analysis was again led by AM and checked by RdN. Then, we established the frequency of each category across leaflets. This was done by a manual search of the whole paper for keywords that represented the categories. We used the same system to code the causes of sexual dysfunction included in the leaflets. Finally, we coded the recommendations provided according to three categories: recommendations focused on the carer, suggestions targeting individuals with TBI, and strategies to cope with sexual difficulties via a healthcare provider.

Results

As shown in Table 1, the leaflets mainly targeted adults, partners, carers, and their families (87.5%), while one (d) was specifically conceived for teenagers’ parents (12.5%). Only 37.5% of the leaflets specifically addressed the individual with TBI (c, f, and g).
### Table 1. Leaflets and online resources targeting sexual difficulties post-TBI.

| Leaflet, URL, year, country | Intended audience | Kinds of sexual problems | Explanations of these difficulties | Strategies to deal with them |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. Sexual problems following TBI: Information Booklet for Patients and their Partner, [http://www.talisconsulting.co.uk/pdfleaf/leaf13.pdf](http://www.talisconsulting.co.uk/pdfleaf/leaf13.pdf), 2010, UK. | Patients/partners | Lack of interest, dishinibition, increased interest in sex, and genital problems. | Direct: organic, hormonal. Indirect: medication, post-concussion symptoms, and psychological causes. | Ignore the behaviour, explain why, role-play the correct behaviour, praise the correct behaviour, do not take it personally, resolve hormonal imbalance, medication, talk openly, say no, and seek help. |
| b. Relationship changes following TBI: Information for Patients and their Families, [http://www.talisconsulting.co.uk/pdfleaf/leaf09.pdf](http://www.talisconsulting.co.uk/pdfleaf/leaf09.pdf), 2009, UK. | Patients/families | Lack of interest, physical dysfunction, and disinhibition. | Lack of confidence, cognitive deficits, emotional problems, lack of motivation, lack of control, relationship changes, and medications. | Honest and open communication, seek professional help, prevent abuse, connect as a partner (not a carer), stay independent, give feedback to the survivor, prevent apathy, respite care, and do exercise. |
| c. Sexuality after TBI, [http://www.thedtgroup.org/media/77961/BIRT_Sexuality.pdf](http://www.thedtgroup.org/media/77961/BIRT_Sexuality.pdf), 2011, UK. | Patients | Loss of interest in sex and impotence. | Brain damage, physical problems, hormonal changes, medications, loss of confidence, changes in the relationship, communication problems, cognitive problems, standards of personal care, fatigue, poor motivation, behavioural changes, and limited dating opportunities. | None. |
| d. Adolescents with brain injury issues of sexuality, [http://obia.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/adolescentswithbrainjury-issuesofsexuality.pdf](http://obia.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/adolescentswithbrainjury-issuesofsexuality.pdf), 2011, CAN. | Teenagers’ parents | Disinhibition, impulsivity, promiscuity, aggressive sexual behaviour, and proneness to abuse. | Lack of awareness, attention-seeking behaviour, undeveloped negotiating skills, poor decision-making, and lack of responsibility. | Complimentary sex education, gender specific group sessions with a facilitator, repetition and over-learning, role-playing, and visual and auditory learning. |

(continued)
| Leaflet, URL, year, country                                                                 | Intended audience | Kinds of sexual problems                                                                 | Explanations of these difficulties                                                                 | Strategies to deal with them                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| e. The Survival Guide Living with Acquired Brain Injury in the Community (specific section about sexuality), http://www.health.gov.sk.ca/abi-survival-guide, 2000, CAN. | Patients/families | Decreased interest and uninhibited behaviour.                                             | Cognitive impairment, depression, relationship changes, and lack of social skills.            | Discuss and practice appropriate behaviours; discuss with a health professional.            |
| f. Coping with sexual problems after TBI, http://icahn.mssm.edu/static_files/MSSM/Files/Research/Centers/Traumatic%20Brain%20Injury%20Central/CR5.pdf, 1993, USA. | Patients          | Women (two—three of the following): inadequate energy for sex, low sexual drive, arousal difficulties, inability to masturbate, anorgasmia, lack of lubrication, diminished sensation, and feeling unattractive. Men (one—two of the following): lack of energy, low sexual drive, anorgasmia, impotence, discomfort in body positioning, limited movements, and feeling unattractive. | Depression                                                                                   | Fix depressed mood: medications for mood, medications for sexual functioning, individual and couples counselling, and endocrine workup. They highlight that some professionals may feel uncomfortable discussing sexual issues. |
|   | Intended audience | Kinds of sexual problems | Explanations of these difficulties | Strategies to deal with them |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| g. | Patients | Decreased/increased desire, decreased arousal, difficulty/inability to reach orgasm, reproductive changes, and sexual risk. | Damage to the brain, hormonal changes, medication side effects, fatigue, problems with movement, diminished self-esteem, cognitive difficulties, emotional changes, and relationship/social changes. | Seek for professional help, comprehensive medical exam, psychotherapy/counselling, couples therapy, talk with the partner, change positions, prevent distractions, use films/books, promote social activities, and practice safer sex. |
| h. | Patients/carers | Reduced libido, erectile problems, inability to orgasm, reduced frequency of sex, and inappropriate sexual behaviour. | Emotional problems, medications, associated injuries, relationship breakdown, prior sexual difficulties, reduced confidence, and other illnesses. | Talk to a professional, focus on pleasure, boost the romance, treat emotional difficulties, medication for erectile dysfunction, counselling, adjust medications, contraception modifications according to cognitive difficulties, erotic videos, and magazines. For inappropriate sexual behaviour, be calm, offer alternatives, and set firm boundaries. |
Half involved both carers and individuals with TBI (a, b, e, and h). In terms of gender and sexuality, only one (f) reported gender differences in terms of sexual difficulties, and none of them mentioned lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and intersex (LGBTI) people.

We found that 87.5% of the leaflets described decreased desire as the main sexual difficulty following TBI (a, b, c, e, f, g, and h). They were described as arousal difficulties (e, f, and g), loss of libido (h), decreased frequency of sex (h), loss of interest in sex (a, b, and c), and low sexual drive (e). The second most frequent difficulty corresponded to disinhibition (a, b, and d), uninhibited behaviour (e), or inappropriate sexual behaviour (h) (62.5%). The next category corresponded to physical problems (62.5%), such as genital problems (a), physical dysfunction (b), impotence (c and h), discomfort in body positioning (f), lack of lubrication (f), diminished sensation (f), and limited movements (f). A total of 37.5% also described an increased interest in sex (a) and one labelled this as “promiscuity” (d). Inability to reach climax was included in 37.5% of the leaflets (f, g, and h). Under this umbrella term, we included anorgasmia (f), inability to reach orgasm (h), and difficulty to orgasm (g and h). Finally, the least reported sexual difficulties were fatigue (f), impulsivity and aggressive sexual behaviour (d), inability to masturbate (f), feeling unattractive (f), reproductive changes (g), sexual risk (g), and proneness to abuse (d), which accounted for 12.5% of the problems.

In terms of the explanation of the causes of sexual difficulties after TBI, 62.5% reported the indirect influence of medications as one of the major causes of sexual difficulties following TBI (a, b, c, g, and h). Two of the leaflets consistently reported that the use of medications explained 25% of the cases of erectile dysfunction (a and b). Social and relationship changes were cited as the cause of sexual difficulties following TBI in 62.5% of the leaflets (b, c, e, g, and h). They included communication problems (c), social changes (b and g), relationship breakdown (h), lack of social skills (e), and limited dating opportunities (c). Half of the leaflets described both direct brain damage associated to the injury and indirect physical injuries (a, c, g, and h) as an explanation for sexual difficulties. Therefore, on the one hand, they included suggestions that damage to specific brain regions linked to sexual response (c and g), hormonal dysregulation (a, c, and g), or other illnesses (h) could explain sexual problems. On the other hand, cognitive impairment was mentioned in 62.5% of the leaflets (e.g. poor decision-making) (b, c, d, e, and g), and 37.5% stated that behavioural changes were responsible for sexual changes post TBI (b, c, and d). These included lack of control (b), poor standards of personal care (c), diminished awareness (d), attention-seeking behaviour (d), undeveloped negotiating skills (d), and lack of responsibility (d). Finally, only 12.5% mentioned that prior sexual difficulties (h) and post-concussion symptoms could explain the presence of sexual difficulties after TBI (a).

Among the strategies to overcome these difficulties, only 87.5% reported different approaches to deal with sexual problems post-TBI (a, b, d, e, f, g, and h). All of them recommended seeking help from healthcare professionals but did not specify the kind of professionals they could approach (e.g. a healthcare professional that is familiar with brain injury, doctor, nurse, neurologist, and sex therapist) or the way they may help them. One, however, explicitly highlighted that some professionals may feel uncomfortable discussing sexual issues (f). Other recommendations centred on how the healthcare professionals encompass the use of complimentary sex education with clients (d), gender-specific group sessions with a facilitator (d), the use medications for emotional disorders (a, f, and h) or medications for sexual functioning (h), individual and couples counselling (g and h), psychotherapy (g), a comprehensive medical exam (g), including an endocrine workup
(a and f), and contraception modifications according to cognitive difficulties (h). Of these seven leaflets, 42.8% centred their recommendations on the carer or the family. The strategies suggested ignoring the behaviour (when disinhibited) (a), explaining why a behaviour is inappropriate (a), preventing abuse (b), role-playing the correct behaviour (a and d), praising the correct behaviour (a), not taking it personally (a), honest and open communication (b), connecting as a partner (not as a carer) (b), staying independent (b), giving feedback to the survivor (b), and seeking help (a). Only 28.5% of the recommendations directly targeted the individual with TBI and both of them assumed the existence of a romantic partner on the basis of the examples they provide (e.g. “a couple can resolve most relationship problems if they communicate frankly with each other”) (g and h). The leaflets suggested talking to the partner (g), changing (sexual) positions (g), preventing distractions (g), using erotic films/books (h), promoting social activities (g), and practicing safer sex (g).

Finally, half the leaflets provided links to other websites (a, b, f, and g), 37.5% recommended other reading materials (c, d, and e), and 12.5% listed either an organisation working with individuals with TBI (h) or a helpline (c).

While there were some examples of attempts to normalise sex as a “normal part of human functioning” (g), there were other instances of the use of non-inclusive language. Most leaflets assumed that people with TBIs were partnered, some suggested being legally partnered [e.g. “spouse” (a)], while others assumed monogamy and fidelity [e.g. “If you are unsure whether your partner . . . has been intimate with others . . .” (g); “Information Booklet for Patients and their Partner” (a); “you and your partner” (f)]. Leaflets tended to use medicalised language (e.g. “organic basis” (c); “sexual regulation”; and “dysfunction” (b)), but some explained medical terms [e.g. “endocrine (hormonal)” (f)].

Discussion

The aim of this study was to evaluate the content and the quality of patient or carer information that is available on the Internet about specific aspects of sexuality after TBI. To our knowledge, this is the first study analysing such written materials using content analysis. We found that in this purposive sample of leaflets, information was mostly limited to describing sexual difficulties in heterosexual adults who are in a romantic relationship. Adolescents, single people, female gender, older people, and LGBTI individuals were poorly represented, and only one addressed specifically gender differences. The language used in the leaflets tended to be formal and medicalised, and sometimes required a high degree of reading ability. This risks putting people off from reading the information or may also contribute to misunderstanding.

Research indicates that older people and women are at greater risk for sexual dysfunction after TBI (Sander et al., 2013) than younger people or men. In fact, recent epidemiological studies suggest that there has been a shift towards older age of patients with TBI, particularly in high-income countries (Roozenbeek, Maas, & Menon, 2013). In addition, men and women report different problems after a TBI (Colantonio, Harris, Ratcliff, Chase, & Ellis, 2010) and the results of a meta-analysis suggest that outcome is worse for women with TBI (Farace & Alves, 2000). For this reason, the lack of written resources for women and older people is significant and more work is clearly needed. This is specifically pertinent given that studies demonstrate that over-50s (so-called “silver surfers”) have a large presence on the Internet (Packard, 2008; Stelter, 2001). However, despite their proficiency in the use of technology, the language used to communicate issues may
need to be adapted in certain instances. Therefore, part of the development of a more pro-active and inclusive perspective would be to develop written materials specific to those groups of individuals. Having a section completely directed to sexuality in materials for these particular groups (e.g. women and older women) could inform them that sexuality is part of their health outcomes and that healthcare providers may offer an open and safe environment to discuss it.

Exploring sexuality with adolescents with TBIs can be challenging. A critical review of the literature investigating adolescents’ use of the Internet for sex education shows that between 20% and 75% of adolescents report engaging with sex information online, including HIV/AIDS/STIs, pregnancy/childbirth, sex acts/behaviour, contraception/protection, information about the body, relationships/social issues, and sexual identity/orientation (Simon & Daneback, 2013). Therefore, it is evident that the information available is so limited when some studies show an overall prevalence of child and adolescent TBI of approximately 30% (McKinlay et al., 2008). Behind this lack of information, there is a possible explanation about the paucity of research in this area with teenagers (das Nair, Talbot, Hughes, & Starza-Smith, 2007).

Like the older and younger groups, information for LGBTI groups is lacking. Having heterosexual-only information is inadequate. For example, assuming that an individual enrolled in a TBI program is heterosexual may lead to confusion, exclusion of the partner (where there is one), family conflicts, and misunderstandings (Mapou, 1990). Conversely, providing inclusive written materials either in the waiting room or the websites of clinics working with individuals with TBI would help clients, families, and carers understand that they have permission to talk about sexual issues.

Much of the information about sexual difficulties was couched within the sexual response cycle framework, with difficulties related to arousal and orgasm mainly identified. The majority of the leaflets reported that decreased libido was a frequent complication of TBI, and this is corroborated by research literature (Griffith & Lemberg, 1993; Kreuter, Dahllof, Gudjonsson, Sullivan, & Siosteen, 1998; Kreutzer & Zasler, 1989; Sander & Little, 2014). For instance, some studies report a decrease of sex drive of 40.8% (Downing, Stolwyk, & Ponsford, 2013). This is also related to the side-effects of some medications prescribed for individuals with TBI (Zasler, Katz, & Zafonte, 2007). Conversely, inappropriate sexual behaviour or disinhibition was addressed by 62.5% of the leaflets, when the occurrence of this is virtually low. For instance, a study of a community-based cohort (n = 507) with TBI reported a prevalence rate of inappropriate sexual behaviours of 8.9% over the previous three months, particularly in younger individuals with more severe injuries (Simpson, Sabaz, & Daher, 2013). Therefore, it is possible that the information available on the Internet overestimates this behaviour generating more anxiety among individuals with TBI, and suggesting to families and carers that a healthy interest in sexuality may be misinterpreted as disinhibition.

The leaflets did not include specific information regarding other common post-injury issues, such as problems fantasising (Hanks, Sander, Millis, Hammond, & Maestas, 2013), the inability to provide sexual satisfaction to a partner (Ponsford, 2003), and the presence of pain during sex (Hibbard, Gordon, Flanagan, Haddad, & Labinsky, 2000). Only a few mentioned other aspects described as important in research about TBI and sexuality, such as fatigue (Downing et al., 2013; Goldin, Cantor, Tsousides, Spielman, & Gordon, 2014), inability to masturbate (Hibbard et al., 2000), feeling unattractive (Kreutzer & Zasler, 1989), and only one focused on risky sexual behaviour (Moreno, Gan, Zasler, & McKerral, 2014). In particular, research shows that risky sexual behaviour, defined as any behaviour increasing the probability of negative consequences
associated with sexual contact, including HIV or other sexually transmitted diseases (Cooper, 2002), is associated with the presence of cognitive deficits, such as dysexecutive symptoms (Moreno & McKerral, 2014). Unfortunately, even when the leaflets state that there is an indirect influence of cognitive deficits on sexual issues, they do not recommend specific interventions regarding cognitive rehabilitation.

In general, the leaflets suggested interventions conceived from a multidisciplinary perspective. This is compatible with existing models that recommend assessment and treatment of sexual difficulties from an interdisciplinary perspective (Simpson, 2001). However, the strategies to access those services may be limited because of difficulties when seeking help. This is alarming because research suggests that healthcare professionals working with individuals with TBI take a reactive approach to talk about sexual issues after TBI (Dyer & das Nair, 2014). Furthermore, another study found that 32% of individuals with TBI indicated that they would spontaneously raise issues of sexual difficulties with healthcare professionals only if directly asked or would not discuss them at all (Sander et al., 2012).

Another finding from our study is that there is very little information directed specifically at the individual with TBI. Most of the information is intended for families and carers. However, family members and carers may experience feelings of embarrassment when addressing these issues with individuals with TBI. At the same time, sexual concerns may be misinterpreted as a manifestation of sexual inappropriateness, especially when behavioural changes are given prominence in the leaflets. Therefore, the “do’s and don’ts” suggested by some leaflets appear to be incomplete when an individual with TBI wants to learn how to promote a healthy sexual life. For example, we found almost no information about improving social skills to find a potential partner or to develop dating skills; and for those who are in a relationship, very little information is suggested about how to improve the quality of their relationship. This is important as it has been suggested that at least 15% of those who are married at the time of the TBI tend to separate or divorce two years after the injury (Arango-Lasprilla et al., 2008).

Providing additional links to resources is an excellent strategy when individuals with TBI are ready to go further in the search for treatment options regarding their sexual difficulties. However, most references link to other websites or other reading materials, which may be a challenge for those with more severe cognitive impairments. Therefore, the use of helplines may help those who are reluctant to have a face-to-face consultation. Websites may also do well to have videos embedded which describe some of these challenges and how to deal with them. Organisations such as Sexual Health and Disability Alliance (www.shada.org.uk) in the UK have produced such videos, albeit for training purposes.

The information available on the Internet can be an important resource for individuals with TBI, carers, and families. However, it needs to be constantly updated with the empirical evidence in the area. The language used needs to be sensitive to readers’ abilities and should be inclusive; homonegativity, ageism, and gender biases should be taken into account to avoid discrimination of specific groups of people.

Limitations and future directions

The results of our study should be interpreted with caution based on the following limitations. First, this study only included materials available in the English language. There may be excellent sources in other languages that were not captured in this study. A follow-up investigation could study the information available in other languages and cultures. Second, we used only what was available on the Internet. It is also possible that
clinics have their own leaflets for internal use, with information more akin to our recommendations. Printed information could be the subject of a different content analysis.

The results of this study presume good accessibility of the Internet in individuals with TBI, as an alternative way to investigate their sexual difficulties. However, we know that some people may have barriers such as limited computer literacy, poor Internet access, or lack of a private space to look for information about sexuality. Another difficulty is the kind of output that the search engines generate. When attempting to find educational materials about sexuality, individuals may be unwittingly exposed to sexual content. This may be minimized if national TBI organisations or charities had sexuality information on their own websites or provided safe links to external sources. Other types of barriers of access concern the changes associated with the TBI itself. Individuals with TBI with motor difficulties, reading problems, visual impairment, or severe working memory deficits may not benefit from written information available on the Internet, independently of its quality.

Despite these limitations, this study makes some contributions to knowledge translation and to the development of strategies to address sexuality after TBI. It provides new information that can shape the new written materials designed for individuals with TBI. This is basically one of the key elements of knowledge translation and evidence-based practice, i.e., to be able to provide treatments and recommendations based on the strongest available evidence (Bayley et al., 2014).

Recommendations

This study has shown that adolescents, single individuals, female gender, older people, and LGBTI individuals are poorly represented in the information about sexuality and TBI available on the Internet. One of the recommendations following this analysis is that more online information is needed to address sexuality in adolescents with TBI, with contents adapted to their reality and level of psychological development. For older people with TBI, inclusiveness is also advised. For instance, leaflets could include information about the normal changes of sexual response associated with age in parallel with those related to TBI, so that they can differentiate what is normal and what is not. More is needed regarding the recommendations to develop dating and social skills in single people (Struchen, 2014), and the ways to potentiate a fulfilling couple relationship for those who already have a partner/partners. Assuming non-heterosexuality in future leaflets will help to represent adequately alternative forms of sexual expression. Furthermore, the language used in leaflets should not be overtly formal and medicalised, if they are to have a wider reach, and should be assessed for readability.

Prospective leaflets should include gender differences in their conception. Male and female sexuality information should be available individually to capture the specific needs of each group of individuals with TBI.

An adjustment of the content of these materials according to the fact that hyposexuality seems to be more prevalent than hypersexuality after TBI, presenting the incidence rates, is also needed. The available information may be misleading and tend to produce a “sexual inappropriateness bias” in that every sexual behaviour can be misjudged as disinhibition. This prevents from focusing on the behaviour and can stigmatise individuals with TBI. It may also prevent them from asking open questions about their sexual difficulties.

Finally, leaflets recommended seeking help from healthcare professionals to address and treat sexual problems. We suggest that new information also includes the role of each
of the healthcare providers of the team. For instance, if neurocognitive deficits are an indirect cause of sexual dysfunction, then they could recommend specific interventions regarding cognitive rehabilitation and the effect that this interventions could have in their sexual lives. There needs to be more training and accessibility to specialised health professionals in healthcare services. Increasing awareness of the importance of sexuality as a health outcome can help to increase resources in terms of education of healthcare professionals and accessibility for individuals with TBI.

Conclusions
This study evaluated the content and the quality of the information that is available on the Internet about specific aspects of sexuality after TBI. We found that while there was some information about problems encountered about sexuality, the information overemphasised some less prevalent aspects of TBI, such as disinhibition. There is also an underestimation of other aspects of sexual changes documented after TBI. The material is mostly targeted at carers and families, and to a lesser extent, individuals with TBI who are involved in a romantic relationship. Therefore, adolescents, older people, single people, women, and LGBTI individuals do not seem to be included. To minimise health inequalities, both specific, targeted information and general inclusive information needs to be presented for individuals with TBI and others in a way that is easily accessible, and the content of this information should be regularly updated based on current research evidence.
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