Imaging of community-acquired pneumonia: Roles of imaging examinations, imaging diagnosis of specific pathogens and discrimination from noninfectious diseases
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Abstract
This article reviews roles of imaging examinations in the management of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), imaging diagnosis of specific CAP and discrimination between CAP and noninfectious diseases. Chest radiography is usually enough to confirm the diagnosis of CAP, whereas computed tomography is required to suggest specific pathogens and to discriminate from noninfectious diseases. Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia, tuberculosis, Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia and some cases of viral pneumonia sometimes show specific imaging findings. Peribronchial nodules, especially tree-in-bud appearance, are fairly specific for infection. Evidences of organization, such as concavity of the opacities, traction bronchiectasis, visualization of air bronchograms over the entire length of the bronchi, or mild parenchymal distortion are suggestive of organizing pneumonia. We will introduce tips to effectively make use of imaging examinations in the management of CAP.
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Core tip: This review article discusses imaging diagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). As imaging findings of CAP are considered nonspecific, this topic is rarely focused on in radiology journals. However, we believe that imaging examinations contribute much more than generally considered if detailed evaluation of the imaging findings is made. In this article, we will introduce tips to effectively make use of imaging examinations in the management of CAP.

INTRODUCTION
Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) is defined as infectious pneumonia that is acquired in the social community[1]. This term is opposed to hospital-acquired pneumonia (synonym for nosocomial pneumonia), which is infected in the hospital (24 h later after the hospitalization)[2]. The third term, nursing home acquired pneumonia that is acquired in the nursing home, has recently been proposed, which has intermediate characteristics between community-acquired and hospital-acquired pneumonia[3]. The pathogens of CAP include a wide variety of microbes, including not only ordinary bacteria but also mycobacteria, viruses, or fungi[4]. They manifest as pneumonia in various forms, and their imaging findings
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are often nonspecific\(^{10}\). However, characteristic imaging findings of several pathogens are sometimes suggestive of the diagnosis of specific pneumonia. In addition, imaging examinations sometimes offer clues for the differentiation between infectious pneumonia and noninfectious diseases. In this article, we discuss the roles of imaging examinations, and illustrate characteristic imaging findings of several pathogens (Table 1), some particular clinical conditions related to CAP (Table 2), and differences between infectious pneumonia and noninfectious diseases (Table 3).

**CLINICAL ASPECTS OF CAP**

Appropriate clinical assessment is the first step for the diagnosis of CAP\(^{[1]}\). Patients with CAP usually complain of fever, cough, sputum, difficulty breathing or chest pain\(^{[9]}\). Chest pain is indicative of associated pleuritis. Heckerling et al.\(^{[9]}\) proposed 5 criteria that suggest infectious pneumonia: temperature > 37.8 °C, pulse > 100 beats/min, crackles, decreased breath sounds and the absence of asthma. According to their nomogram for determining the probability of having pneumonia, when assuming a 10% prevalence of pneumonia in the patient population, if these five criteria are met, the probability of pneumonia reaches 70%\(^{[9]}\). Heckerling also suggested in another report that patients with an acute asthma attack or the absence of abnormal auscultatory findings should not undergo chest radiography because the probability of pneumonia is low in these settings\(^{[9]}\).

When clinical findings are suggestive of CAP, blood test, various tests for determining the causative pathogen and chest radiography are performed\(^{[4]}\). Laboratory data usually show an elevation of white blood cell count, C reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate.

Tests for pathogens include sputum culture, blood culture (in case of suspected sepsis), various antigen tests including pharyngeal swab test for influenza viruses or urine antigen tests for Legionella pneumonia and Streptococcus pneumoniae, antibody tests, gram stain, paired serum tests and cold agglutination test\(^{[7]}\).

Pneumonia with relatively mild clinical symptoms, atypical clinical symptoms such as arthralgia, skin rash or headache, or lack of leukocytosis is referred to as atypical pneumonia\(^{[6]}\). The causative pathogens of atypical pneumonia include Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, various viruses and Legionella pneumophila.

**ROLES OF IMAGING EXAMINATIONS**

Imaging examinations are indispensable for the management of CAP. The primary role of imaging examinations is to confirm the diagnosis of pneumonia\(^{[9]}\). If a patient has clinical symptoms suggestive of infection pneumonia, such as fever, cough or sputum, and the imaging findings are consistent with pneumonia, a definitive diagnosis of infectious pneumonia can be made. Imaging examinations also play a complementary role for the evaluation of treatment effects of antibiotics although treatment effects may be determined based solely on clinical findings\(^{[9]}\). It is generally difficult to determine specific pathogens of infectious pneumonia based only on the imaging findings. However, as characteristic imaging findings of several pathogens have been reported, they may help choose subsequent examinations or first antibiotics. This is especially true for the exclusion of tuberculosis, which requires quite different treatment strategies from those of ordinary bacterial pneumonia. As tests for tuberculosis are not routine in most institutions, imaging examinations can be the first opportunity to suggest the possibility of tuberculosis. Also, as many tests for pathogens take some time, they are not in time for the determination of the initial treatment for CAP which is critical for controlling the disease. Suggesting possible diagnoses of specific pneumonia on imaging examinations helps determine the initial treatment. Imaging examinations may also be usable for differentiating noninfectious diseases from infectious pneumonia. As the imaging findings of noninfectious diseases have extensively been investigated, they may provide enough information to suspect a certain disease although direct comparative studies between infectious pneumonia and noninfectious diseases are limited. Imaging examinations may also reveal underlying diseases that result in pneumonia or complications. Chest radiography is usually enough to confirm the diagnosis of pneumonia and to evaluate treatment effects, whereas computed tomography (CT) is required to suggest causative pathogens, to exclude noninfectious pneumonia and to reveal underlying diseases.

**INDICATIONS FOR CT IN THE MANAGEMENT OF CAP**

There has been little evidence for the validity of CT in the management of CAP so far\(^{[9]}\). Japanese guideline of imaging diagnosis for CAP has given a grade C recommendation (lacking direct evidence) for the use of CT only in the situation where chest radiograph is negative for the presence of pneumonia despite a strong clinical suspicion\(^{[9]}\). General indications of CT for CAP include severe or complex pneumonia, pneumonia in immunocompromised patients, pneumonia intractable to antibiotics, recurrent or non-resolving pneumonia, patients with clinical suspicion of pneumonia but normal or questionable chest radiographic findings, and pneumonia with a suspicion of underlying diseases\(^{[4]}\). However, in clinical practice indications of CT are evaluated for each individual case depending on the severity of pneumonia, or the probability of tuberculosis or noninfectious diseases. It should also be noted that the prevalence of noninfectious diseases or tuberculosis in patients with respiratory symptoms is relatively high in referral hospitals as patients with atypical clinical presentations are more likely referred to these hospitals.

**IMAGING FINDINGS OF CAP**

**Patterns of imaging findings**

CAP has classically been divided into three distinctive
patterns on imaging examinations, namely consolidation (alveolar/lobar pneumonia), peribronchial nodules (bronchopneumonia) and ground-glass opacity (GGO) [4,10]. The fourth, a unique uncommon pattern of CAP is random nodules, suggestive of hematogenous pulmonary infection or granulomatous infection.

In fact, many pathogens can cause pneumonia with more than one pattern. In addition, consolidation, peribronchial nodules and GGO can often coexist in a case of pneumonia although one of these findings usually predominates. Virulence, amount or size of pathogens, affinity to certain cells, and immune response of hosts may relate to the different manifestations of CAP on imaging examinations. However, the reason why CAP has different patterns of imaging findings is unknown.

**Consolidation predominant pattern (alveolar/lobar pneumonia)**

Consolidation predominant pneumonia is referred to as alveolar pneumonia (Figures 1-4). When it affects almost an entire lung lobe, it is called “lobar pneumonia”. This consolidation is believed to be formed by the spread of inflammation through pores of Kohn or canals of Lambert at the periphery of the lung. Thus, it usually appears in a nonsegmental consolidation in the early stage of disease [10]. Most bacterial pneumonias exemplified by Streptococcus and Klebsiella pneumonia appear in consolidation predominant pattern [10].

---

Figure 1: Streptococcus pneumoniae pneumonia showing alveolar pneumonia in a man in his 80s. A: Chest radiograph shows a nonsegmental consolidation in the right middle lung field, which is demarcated by the minor fissure suggestive of upper lobe pneumonia (arrow); B, C: Thin-section computed tomography (B) and a coronal reformatted image (C) demonstrate a nonsegmental consolidation with air bronchograms suggestive of alveolar pneumonia (arrows).

Figure 2: Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia showing alveolar pneumonia in a woman in her 30s. A: Chest radiograph demonstrates ill-defined consolidation in the right lower lung field (arrow); B: Thin-section CT reveals a non-segmental consolidation with air bronchograms at the dorsal aspect of the right lower lobe. Areas of ground-glass opacity are also noted around the consolidation (arrows). CT: Computed tomography.

Figure 3: Chlamydophila pneumoniae pneumonia showing alveolar pneumonia in a man in his 60s. A: Chest radiograph shows an ill-defined consolidation at the right lower lung field (arrow); B: On thin-section CT, a subpleural focal consolidation is seen at the right S8 of the right lower lobe, partially extending into the middle lobe (black arrow). The interlobular fissure is mildly thickened (arrow heads). Mild bronchial wall thickening is also noted (white arrow). CT: Computed tomography.
pattern is called bronchopneumonia. However, broncho-
pneumonia is sometimes indistinguishable from alveolar 
pneumonia. When centrilobular nodules predominate, 
namely when bronchioles and peribronchiolar areas are 
mainly affected, it may be referred to as infectious bron-
chiolitis (Figures 6-9).

Hemophilus influenzae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, 
Chlamydophila pneumoniae, and viruses are the 
representative pathogens of this disease entity. 
Tuberculosis and atypical mycobacterial infection also fall in

Peribronchial nodules predominant pattern 
(bronchopneumonia)

This pattern is characterized by the predominance of 
peribronchial nodules including centrilobular nodules 
with or without peribronchial consolidations (Figure 
5). In contrast to consolidation predominant pattern, 
these consolidations are probably formed by enlargement 
and coalescence of the peribronchial nodules. Bronchial 
wall thickening is often associated. Pneumonia with this 

Figure 4 Tuberculous pneumonia in a woman in her 80s. A: Chest radiograph 
shows extensive consolidations with poor aeration of the left lung and peribron-
chovascular consolidations of the right lung (arrows); B: Thin-section computed 
tomography reveals extensive consolidation with air bronchograms and cavities 
in the left upper lobe (black arrows). Note that the bronchi in the consolidation are 
dilated. Dense centrilobular nodules are seen in the left lower lobe (white arrows).

Figure 5 Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia showing bronchopneumo-
nia in a man in his 10s. A: Chest radiograph shows reticulonodular opacities 
and focal consolidation in the left middle to lower lung field (arrow). The left 
pulmonary hilum appears enlarged; B: Thin-section computed tomography 
demonstrates fluffy centrilobular nodules with surrounding ground-glass opacity 
in the left lower lobe (arrows). Note that central bronchial wall is thickened (arrow 
heads).

Figure 6 Postprimary tuberculosis in a woman in her 
40s. A: Chest radiograph shows faint nodular opacities 
in the right middle lung field (arrow). There is also volume loss 
of the left lung with patchy consolidations and thickening 
of the pleura and possible left pleural effusion, indicative 
of old tuberculosis; B: Thin-section computed tomography 
demonstrates centrilobular branching opacities (tree-in-bud 
appearance) in the right upper lobe (arrows). The branching 
opacities are denser, more distributed and more peripherally 
located than those of ordinary bronchopneumonia (compare 
with Figure 3).

Figure 7 Chlamydophila pneumoniae pneumonia showing infectious bronchiolitis in a woman in her 60s. A: Chest radiograph shows faint reticulonodular opacities in 
both lower lung fields (arrows); B: Thin-section computed tomography reveals centrilobular nodules (arrows) with bronchiectasis (arrow heads) in the middle lobe and lingula.
this category. However, in fact, most pathogens can take this pattern of pneumonia\textsuperscript{4}.

Bronchopneumonia may follow a chronic clinical course. In such a case, bronchiectasis, prominent reticular opacities or architectural distortion, indicative of chronic process of the disease, are usually present (Figures 7-9).

**GROUND-GLASS OPACITY PREDOMINANT PATTERN**

Infectious pneumonia sometimes appears as predominantly GGO (Figures 10-14). Pathologically these GGO may correspond to incomplete alveolar filling by inflammatory cells or exudate, pulmonary edema secondary to infection leaving air in the alveoli, or interstitial infiltrates of inflammatory cells (interstitial pneumonia). This pattern of infectious pneumonia is sometimes referred to as interstitial pneumonia\textsuperscript{4,10}.

Viruses, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* and *Pneumocystis jirovecii* are the representative pathogens of pneumonia with this pattern\textsuperscript{4}.

It should also be noted that resolving alveolar pneumonia can also appear in GGO predominant pattern because alveolar aeration gets restored as pneumonia diminishes.

**RANDOM NODULES PREDOMINANT**

The fourth pattern distinctive from common pneumonias is random nodules. Random nodules are probably produced by hematogenous spread of the disease\textsuperscript{1} or granulomatous infection. Some viral pneumonia epitomized by varicella-zoster pneumonia can assume this pattern (Figure 15)\textsuperscript{11}. Hematogenous dissemination of pathogens such as military tuberculosis (Figure 16)\textsuperscript{12} or septic emboli (Figure 17)\textsuperscript{13} also falls in this category.

Granulomatous infection, such as tuberculosis, non-tuberculous mycobacterial infection or fungal infection (Figure 18), sometimes take a form of nodules that are unrelated to bronchovascular bundles on imaging examinations. The nodules are usually larger and sparser than those of pneumonia caused by hematogenous spread.

**IMAGING FINDINGS OF REPRESENTATIVE CAP CAUSED BY SPECIFIC PATHOGENS**

*Streptococcus pneumoniae pneumonia*

*Streptococcus pneumoniae* pneumonia is the most common CAP, accounting for 40% of CAP\textsuperscript{4}. It usually appears in alveolar/lobar pneumonia on chest radiograph and CT (Figure 1)\textsuperscript{4,14-16}. Lower lobe is preferentially involved but multi-lobe involvement is also common\textsuperscript{14}. Bilateral lung disease is seen in about half of cases\textsuperscript{14}.
Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia

Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia commonly affects young people. It is clinically characterized by dry cough, fever, and general fatigue. Chest radiograph shows reticulonodular opacities or patchy consolidations. On CT, centriflobular nodules and lobular to acinar areas of consolidation or GGO with bronchial wall thickening are the most common findings. These findings are consistent with bronchopneumonia. The bronchial wall thickening is often seen in central bronchi. This finding may be related to the fact that Mycoplasma pneumoniae targets bronchial epithelium. Bronchopneumonia with central bronchial wall thickening in children and young adults are fairly specific findings for Mycoplasma pneumonia. However, extensive GGO or consolidation is also not uncommon. GGO predominance in Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia may represent permeability edema rather than cellular infiltrates with edema. Acute respiratory distress syndrome may ensue.

Chlamydophila pneumoniae pneumonia

It has been well known that Chlamydophila pneumoniae pneumonia often appears as part of co-infection. Therefore, strict diagnostic criteria should be used to diagnose this pneumonia. We have been using the diagnostic criteria for acute infection of Chlamydophila pneumoniae using ELISA kit established by Kishimoto et al.; Chlamydophila pneumoniae pneumonia is considered to be present when IgA or IgG index exceeds over 3.0, or there is interval increase more than 1.0 in IgA or 1.35 in IgG in paired serum specimens. These criteria were proved to be accurate for acute Chlamydophila pneumoniae infection, yielding a specificity of 93.4% (i.e., 7.6% of healthy population shows more than this cut-off value) and sensitivity of 64.9%.

Chest radiograph shows patchy consolidations or reticular opacities. Chest radiograph shows patchy ground-glass opacity predominant pneumonia in a woman in her 30s. A: Chest radiograph shows patchy ground-glass opacity (GGO) with peri-bronchial nodules in the right middle lung field (arrow); B: Thin-section computed tomography reveals areas of GGO in the right upper lobe. Note that the GGO are partly demarcated by interlobular septa (arrows).
reinfection, reticular opacities predominate. On CT, various patters are seen, including alveolar pneumonia (Figure 3), bronchopneumonia (Figure 7) and GGO predominant pneumonia (Figure 11). Consequently, imaging findings of Chlamydophila pneumoniae pneumonia is virtually non-specific. However, bronchopneumonia or infectious bronchiolitis in elderly patients with pulmonary emphysema or other chronic debilitating lung disease may be one of the characteristic manifestations of Chlamydophila pneumoniae pneumonia.

Legionella pneumophila pneumonia

Legionella pneumophila pneumonia is a fatal pneumonia, and therefore, early diagnosis and treatment is crucial. Chest radiographic findings include unilateral nonsegmental poorly defined airspace consolidation. CT findings consist mainly of consolidation and GGO. Bilateral lung disease is seen in two thirds of cases. It has been reported that sharply marinated peribronchial consolidations within GGO are characteristic of Legionella pneumonia seen in about one third of the cases (Figure 12).

Viral pneumonia

There are innumerable causative viruses for pneumonia. Therefore, the imaging findings of virus pneumonia are diverse. Viral pneumonia can virtually take any form of the above mentioned patterns. Among them, bronchopneumonia and GGO predominance are the most common presentations of viral pneumonia (Figure 13). Random nodule pattern is characteristic of varicella-zoster (VZ) pneumonia (Figure 15) although military tuberculosis or mycosis, or bacterial emboli shares this finding. It is conceivable that random nodules seen in VZ pneumonia are due to the fact that VZ hematogenously infects the lung. Scattered small nodules with calcification are also sometimes seen in patients with a past history of VZ pneumonia.

Mixed infection, namely accompanying bacterial pneumonia, is also common in viral pneumonia. In such
a case, consolidation often predominates. However, pure viral pneumonia may also demonstrate consolidations. Therefore, it is difficult to make a diagnosis of mixed infection with imaging examinations alone.

**TUBERCULOSIS**

Although tuberculosis is distinct from common bacterial pneumonia in terms of clinical presentation and treatment, it can manifest as CAP\(^4\). Tuberculosis is classified into two forms in terms of clinical manifestation, namely primary and postprimary tuberculosis. On CT, primary tuberculosis shows hilar and mediastinal lymphadenopathy, pleural effusion and pulmonary nodules or consolidations\(^{27}\), while postprimary tuberculosis demonstrates centrilobular nodules with tree-in-bud appearance, and relatively large nodules suggestive of granulomas with or without cavities\(^{28-30}\). Tuberculosis shows finer and denser branching opacities than bronchopneumonia of common bacteria, which pathologically correspond to filling of bronchioles with caseous material (Figure 6)\(^{30}\). This appearance is named “tree-in-bud appearance”\(^{29}\). Tuberculosis sometimes appears in alveolar pneumonia (tuberculous pneumonia or caseous pneumonia)\(^{31}\). In this case, tuberculosis mimics alveolar pneumonia caused by common bacteria. Tuberculous pneumonia, however, shows mildly dilated air bronchograms within the consolidation (Figure 4). Tuberculosis may assume nodules that are larger than centrilobular nodules and has no particular relation with the structures of secondary lobule with or without cavitation. These nodules are referred to as tuberculoma, representing granuloma on pathology\(^{32}\). Tuberculosis may also appear as random miliary nodules (miliary tuberculosis)\(^{12}\) (Figure 16).

**FUNGUS INFECTION**

Fungal pneumonia is usually seen in patients with immune suppression. Therefore, it is relatively uncommon to manifest as CAP. However, cryptococcosis can occur in nearly immunocompetent patients\(^4\). Fungal pneumonia may appear in alveolar pneumonia, bronchopneumonia, or more commonly nodular lesions with or without cavities, suggestive of granulomas (Figure 18)\(^{33}\).

*Pneumocystis* that infects human was reclassified as fungus and was renamed *Pneumocystis jirovecii* from *Pneumocystis carinii*\(^4\). It is a common pathogen of opportunistic infection. However, it should be noted that Pneumocystis...
Aspiration pneumonia is caused by inhalation of bacteria, food, gastric acid or other materials that provoke pulmonary inflammation or edema (e.g., paraffin liquid)\textsuperscript{[37,38]}. Therefore, aspiration pneumonia has several different pathophysiological aspects, namely bacterial pneumonia caused by oral flora (usually anaerobic bacteria), chemical pneumonitis caused by gastric acid or exogenous lipid, and granulomatous reaction to foreign bodies\textsuperscript{[37]}. Aspiration pneumonia commonly occurs in patients with deteriorated consciousness, chronic debilitating disease, and tracheal or gastric tubes\textsuperscript{[37-40]}. Therefore, it more commonly appears in hospital-acquired pneumonia\textsuperscript{[37-40]}. However, postoperative status for esophageal or gastric cancer and reflux esophagitis are also known risk factors of aspiration pneumonia and thus aspiration pneumonia may manifest as CAP\textsuperscript{[37-40]}. It commonly affects dorsal parts of the lung (S2, S1+2, S6 and S10) and demonstrates findings of bronchopneumonia or infectious bronchiolitis (Figure 19)\textsuperscript{[37-40]}. Aspirated materials are sometimes seen in the bronchial lumens (Figure 19)\textsuperscript{[37-40]}. Patchy GGO with peribronchial distribution are also common manifestation (Figure 20). This finding is considered to be related to permeability edema due to endothelial injury by aspirated gastric acid. Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) may result from aspiration and is referred to as Mendelson’s syndrome\textsuperscript{[41]}.

Chronic infectious bronchiolitis radiologically mimicking diffuse panbronchiolitis is named diffuse aspiration bronchiolitis (Figure 8)\textsuperscript{[42]}.

**Sinobronchial syndrome**

Sinobronchial syndrome is defined as chronic and repeated infection of the lower respiratory tract and paranasal sinuses, which includes diffuse panbronchiolitis\textsuperscript{[43]}. It was once believed to be caused by aspiration of purulent discharge in the paranasal sinuses. However, altered immune status is now considered to lead to both paranasal sinusitis and infectious bronchiolitis\textsuperscript{[43]}. Chest radiograph shows reticulonodular opacities with lower lung field predominance (Figure 9). On CT, centrilobular or peribronchial nodules with bronchial wall thickening and mucus in the bronchi are seen (Figure 9). There are usually evidences of chronic and repeated infection, such as bronchiecatis, parenchymal distortion or reticular opacities. Comparison with previous imaging examinations is essential to make a diagnosis of acute exacerbation of infection.

**Pneumonia on a background of pulmonary emphysema**

When pneumonia develops on a background of pulmonary emphysema, parenchymal consolidations caused by pneumonia appear to have multiple cavities due to underlying low attenua-
tion areas (Figure 21)\(^7,10\). This appearance is referred to as “Swiss cheese appearance”\(^7,10\). If low attenuation areas predominate, it may mimics honeycombing (Figure 22). These pseudocavitations and pseudohoneycombing must be distinguished from true cavities and honeycombing. Also, resolution is delayed in pneumonia associated with pulmonary emphysema. It should also be noted that infection is the most common cause of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease\(^4\).

**REPRESENTATIVE DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSES OF COMMUNITY-ACQUIRED PNEUMONIA**

**General consideration**

There is no imaging finding that is 100% specific for infectious pneumonia. Consolidation and GGO are virtually non-specific. However, peribronchial nodules, especially tree-in-bud appearance are fairly specific for infection\(^6\). When viewed with CT, consolidation, GGO and peribronchial nodules are coexistent in most cases of infectious pneumonia. Therefore, peribronchial nodules can often be a diagnostic clue for infectious pneumonia.

**Cryptogenic organizing pneumonia**

Cryptogenic organizing pneumonia (COP) (formerly bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia) is clinically characterized by dry cough and dyspnea that continue for a couple of months\(^4\). A typical clinical scenario is that the respiratory symptoms do not improve despite medication with antibiotics\(^4\). On imaging examinations, it typically shows patchy consolidations which sometimes predispose peribronchial areas with or without extensive areas of GGO\(^4\). Nodules are not uncommon\(^4\). There are often evidences of organization, such as concavity of the opacities, traction bronchiectasis, visualization of air bronchograms over the entire length of the bronchi, or mild parenchymal distortion (Figure 23). Reversed halo sign or atoll sign is also suggestive of COP (Figure 24)\(^4\). This sign indicates a central GGO surrounded by a ring of consolidation with a thickness of 2 mm or more (just like the reverse of halo sign or atoll in the sea)\(^4\). It is seen in 20% of patients with COP\(^4\). Although this sign was first considered specific for COP,
it has been shown that other diseases may demonstrate reversed halo sign since then. These diseases include invasive pulmonary fungal infections, paracoccidioidomycosis, *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia, tuberculosis, lymphomatoid granulomatosis, Wegener granulomatosis, lipoid pneumonia and sarcoidosis. It is also seen in pulmonary neoplasms and infarction, and following radiation therapy and radiofrequency ablation of pulmonary malignancies\(^\text{[40,56]}\). However, as it has not been reported that reversed halo was seen in CAP so far, it is considered useful to differentiate COP from CAP\(^\text{[50]}\).

Organizing pneumonia that is histologically identical to COP may develop secondary to infectious pneumonia, organ transplantation, drug use or in association with collagen vascular disease\(^\text{[40]}\). The imaging findings are the essentially the same as COP. However, associated findings related to the primary diseases may be seen, such as findings of bronchopneumonia or honeycombing.

**Chronic eosinophilic pneumonia**

Chronic eosinophilic pneumonia (CEP) is defined as eosinophilic pneumonia for more than 2 wk and is the most common subtype in eosinophilic lung diseases\(^\text{[51,52]}\). It is clinically characterized by eosinophilia, and coexistence...
Chest radiograph typically shows bilateral nonsegmental consolidations with peripheral predominance, consistent with the appearance, “the photographic negative of pulmonary edema” (Figure 25). On CT, bilateral or unilateral peripheral consolidations and GGO are seen. Linear or band-like opacities parallel to the pleura may be seen at the later stage of the disease. CEP may mimic COP. Thickening of interlobular septa is more commonly seen in CEP, whereas nodules and peribronchial distribution of the opacities are more common in COP.

Neoplasm

Invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma (formerly mucinous bronchioalveolar carcinoma) and malignant lymphoma may appear in alveolar consolidations, and thus may
mimic alveolar pneumonia (Figures 26, 27)[54-56]. These neoplasms lack evidence of inflammation on the laboratory data, or if any, the values of inflammatory markers are milder than expected from the extent of disease on imaging examinations. On CT, bronchi in the consolidation are stretched or narrowed, and the consolidation may have a bulging contour at the interlobar fissures in invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma (Figure 26).[55] It has also been reported that focal areas of the parenchymal opacification on CT may suggest infectious pneumonia rather than invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma when they show bronchial wall thickening proximal to the lesion and pleural thickening associated with the lesion, whereas invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma is characterized as the presence of a bubble-like low attenuation area within the tumor.[56]

Malignant lymphoma often assumes an infiltrative growth, which may appear as halo sign (ground-glass opacities around the nodule or consolidation)[57] or as surrounding miliary nodules or thickening of surrounding vessels (Figure 27).

**Lipoid pneumonia**

Lipoid pneumonia is divided into endogenous and exogenous type. Exogenous lipoid pneumonia results from the chronic aspiration or inhalation of animal, vegetable or petroleum-based oils or fats[58]. Exogenous lipid pneumonia is considered as a subtype of aspiration pneumonia. CT shows consolidations and GGO with reticular opacities (crazy-paving appearance). The consolidations may have CT values indicative of fat (-150-300HU) (Figure 28)[57]. Visual assessment for the presence of fat is also essential as consolidation even without fat may appear to have areas of low CT value comparable to that of fat due to volume averaging between air and inflammatory infiltrates or exudate.

**CONCLUSION**

Imaging findings of CAP are varied and often nonspecific. However, some characteristic findings are sometimes suggestive of specific pathogens. In addition, imaging examinations, especially CT, can offer clues to the differentiation between infectious pneumonia and noninfectious diseases. To accomplish this differentiation, familiarity with imaging characteristics of CAP as well as those of noninfectious diseases is indispensable.
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