Recent advances in design and applications of biomimetic self-assembled peptide hydrogels for hard tissue regeneration
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Abstract

The development of natural biomaterials applied for hard tissue repair and regeneration is of great importance, especially in societies with a large elderly population. Self-assembled peptide hydrogels are a new generation of biomaterials that provide excellent biocompatibility, tunable mechanical stability, injectability, trigger capability, lack of immunogenic reactions, and the ability to load cells and active pharmaceutical agents for tissue regeneration. Peptide-based hydrogels are ideal templates for the deposition of hydroxyapatite crystals, which can mimic the extracellular matrix. Thus, peptide-based hydrogels enhance hard tissue repair and regeneration compared to conventional methods. This review presents three major self-assembled peptide hydrogels with potential application for bone and dental tissue regeneration, including ionic self-complementary peptides, amphiphilic (surfactant-like) peptides, and triple-helix (collagen-like) peptides. Special attention is given to the main bioactive peptides, the role and importance of self-assembled peptide hydrogels, and a brief overview on molecular simulation of self-assembled peptide hydrogels applied for bone and dental tissue engineering and regeneration.
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Introduction

Hard tissues such as bone and tooth (dentin, enamel, and cementum) are living and mineralized tissues with a high degree of hardness [1]. Bones generally show moderate self-curing capability after fracture or defect, while osteoporosis can raise the rate of bone fractures due to population age. Dental caries are also one of the prevalent human diseases caused by acidic condition and can result in mineral loss and affect general health and life quality. Moreover, caries treatment usually fails over time as a result of secondary caries. Hard tissue regeneration imposes sizable healthcare costs on society, which may dramatically increase as the population ages. Thus, the development of suitable biomaterials for hard tissue repair and regeneration is an important task, especially for societies with a large elderly population [2]. So far, several biomaterials such as metal implants, allografts, autografts, ceramic, demineralized matrix, polymers, and composites have been applied for hard tissue regeneration [3–5]. Although conventional biomaterials like ceramics, bio-glass, and bone cement are significant for restoring hard tissues, they fail in promoting self-tissue regeneration, which is critical for healthy bones. Therefore, developing a new generation of biomaterials capable of serving as templates for hard tissue growth is an immense task [2, 6]. Recently, various types of self-assembled hydrogels like nucleic acids, carbohydrates, and peptides have attracted a great deal of attention due to their valuable features such as biocompatibility, reversible disassembly, mechanical robustness, customizable functional behavior, and facile folding to form two-/three-dimensional biomimetic matrix [7, 8]. The self-assembled hydrogels are simply produced with a molecular self-assembly process. Molecular self-assembly is a spontaneous process in which the molecules assemble into a stable structure via non-covalent interactions, such as hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions, π–π stacking, and hydrophobic interactions. It is indeed a bottom-up approach and a powerful technique for producing novel nanostructures and biomaterials [9]. Moreover, the formation of molecular self-assemblies can be triggered via external influences such as pH, temperature, and electrolytes under physiological conditions [10]. Among self-assembled hydrogels, peptide-based self-assemblies have been considered promising candidates for several reasons [11, 12]. (1) It is economic and facile to make them using a solid-phase peptide synthesizer. (2) The peptide hydrogel and final nanostructure can form under physiological conditions. (3) A desirable molecular structure can be obtained by tuning the peptide builder units. (4) Natural secondary structures of proteins (e.g., α-helix and β-sheet) can be used to promote the self-assembly process [13, 14]. Therefore, self-assembled peptide hydrogels are promising biomaterials with valuable advantages that can provide proper scaffolds for hard tissue self-curing and regeneration [15]. This review presents a discussion on the recent developments in self-assembled peptide hydrogels for bone and dental tissue regeneration. To this end, we will describe the principles of peptide design, different peptide self-assembling mechanisms, and their functionalities in Sects. "Self-assembly mechanism of peptide-based hydrogels", "Self-assembly mechanism of peptide-based hydrogels ". Molecular simulation also provides valuable information about the formation of self-assembled peptide hydrogels, which has been ignored in similar reviews [16, 17]. Therefore, we will give a brief overview of simulation approaches in Sect. "Self-assembly mechanism of peptide-based hydrogels ". Sections "Application of self-assembled peptide hydrogels in bone tissue regenerations", "Application of Self-assembled peptide hydrogels in dental tissue regeneration" are devoted to the role and importance of self-assembled peptide hydrogels in bone and dental tissue regeneration.

Self-assembly mechanism of peptide-based hydrogels

The power, elegance, and effectiveness of biological self-assemble have motivated scientists to exploit molecular self-assembly as a route to develop novel nanostructured materials for regenerative medicine and numerous biomedical applications. Of the various organic building blocks, peptides are one of the most valuable candidates for the generation of self-assembled nanostructures such as nanofibrils, nanorods, and nanotubes [18]. Over the past decades, several strategies have been developed to design supramolecular self-assembled peptides (SAPs). As shown in Fig. 1, different peptide-based nanostructures have been fabricated from five major categories (i.e., α-helical/coiled coil peptides, elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs), cyclic peptides, β- sheet peptides, and triple-helix peptides (collagen-like)) to design hierarchical self-assembled structures.

Alpha helical/coiled coil peptides or self-assembling fibers (SAFs) have been utilized to develop numerous fibrous biomaterials [19]. An SAF sequence is made of seven residues (abcdefg) repeats and is known as a heptad. The "a" and "d" positions are occupied by hydrophobic residues (such as valine and leucine), while charged residues (lysine or glutamic acid) are located at positions "e" and "g" and are responsible for hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions. The residues at positions "b", "c", and "f" are exposed on the surface of assemblies and are often occupied by alanine or glutamine, which have a tendency to form hydrogen bonds. The configuration and stability of the coiled coil motifs depend on ionic strength, pH, and temperature, which are
often exploited in stimuli-responsive systems [20]. Elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs) are a class of biopolymers derived from tropoelastin, which can self-assemble under certain physiological conditions. ELPs are composed of \((VPGXG)n\) amino acid repeats, where the "X" position is a variable amino acid (except proline). The polarity of the "X" position regulates the transition temperature of the peptide. Upon raising the temperature beyond the transition temperature, these polypeptides display a transition from random coils to cylindrical micelles [21]. ELPs are attractive carriers due to their potential for local delivery of hydrophobic drugs through temperature-triggered coacervation. This targeted delivery approach is mainly valuable for prolonged local cancer therapy, where a solid tumor can be locally heated to trigger the ELP phase transition at the tumor site [22].

Peptide cyclization has been designed to offer rigidity, which allows the structure to adopt conformations that are not available in linear peptides. Increasing the conformational rigidity has been shown to improve receptor-binding affinity [23]. Cyclic peptides adopt flat conformations or stack via hydrogen bonding to form self-assembled peptide nanotubes, in which the amino acid side chains are oriented outward. These nanostructures can be used in biosensors, electronic devices, drug delivery, selective transport channels, and antimicrobial agents [24]. Hierarchical \(\beta\)-sheets are the most common natural motifs, with a dominant role in construction of peptide-based biomaterials and fabrication of supported scaffolds for three-dimensional cell culture and tissue regeneration [25]. They are categorized into several groups: self-complementary peptides [26], amphiphilic and surfactant-like peptides [27], \(\beta\)-sheet tapes [28], self-assembling \(\beta\)-hairpin peptides [29], various short peptides and aromatic derivatives [30]. These peptides spontaneously self-assemble into supramolecular nanofibers, nanotubes, or nanovesicles by intermolecular non-covalent bonding. Among \(\beta\)-sheet peptides, ionic self-complementary and amphiphilic peptides are ideal templates for the deposition of HAp crystals. Moreover, collagen-like peptides promote HAp growth and encapsulation due to their similarity to the fundamental component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) and collagen. Hence, this review is focused on the utilization of \(\beta\)-sheet peptides including ionic self-complementary, amphiphilic, and collagen-like peptides, and their functionality as favorable three-dimensional biomaterial scaffolds for promoting bone and enamel regeneration. Other self-assembling peptides used to design functional biomaterial scaffolds have been extensively reported in previously published reviews [31–33].

**Ionic self-complementary peptides**

Among peptide nanostructures, ionic self-complementary peptides have shown great promise as biocompatible materials in hard tissue regeneration due to their 3D, well-organized pores sized between 5 and 200 nm, which closely mimic the properties of ECM [24]. Ionic self-complementary peptides have alternating hydrophobic residues (e.g., alanine, valine, isoleucine, or phenylalanine) and hydrophilic residues with positive charges (e.g., lysine or arginine) or negative charges (e.g., aspartic or glutamic acids). These complementary co-assembled peptides (CAPs) are consequently formed due to the attractive and repulsive interactions between oppositely charged peptides. Depending on the charge distribution over the hydrophilic residue surfaces, ionic self-complementary peptides can be classified into four main moduli: (a) modulus I, \(−−−−+ + + +\); (b) modulus II, \(−− ++ −− + +\); (c) modulus III, \(− +− +− +− +\), (d) modulus IV, \(−−−−+ + + +\) [34, 35]. Upon \(\beta\)-sheet formation (parallel or antiparallel) in aqueous media, charged hydrophilic residues face in one direction and hydrophobic residues face in the other direction in the hydrophobic inner pocket [36]. By adding electrolytes, the electrostatic repulsions between peptide monomers can be reduced, triggering self-assembly. Despite the strong tendency of these peptides to assemble into \(\beta\)-sheet nanostructures and interwoven nanofibers, depending on the number of \(\beta\)-sheet associations, various supramolecular structures such as ribbons, tapes, and fibrils can be also fabricated (Fig. 2) [37, 38].

One of the best-known ionic self-complementary peptides is RADARADARADARADA (RADA16-I or II), which has been commercialized as PuraMatrix®. This sequence appears attractive for cell culture and cell attachment due to its similarity to the RGD sequence, which is known for

---

Fig. 1 Schematic presentation of major peptide-based nanostructures including \(\alpha\)-helical/coiled coil peptides, elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs), cyclic peptides, \(\beta\)-sheet peptides, and triple-helix peptides (collagen-like)
Li et al. designed RADA16–I (modulus I) peptide-modified demineralized bone matrix (DBM) as an ideal 3D scaffold for MSCs in critically sized femur defects in goats. According to their results, in comparison with bare DBM, MSCs demonstrated better morphology and enhanced expression levels of alkaline phosphatase, runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2), and osteocalcin (OCN) in DBM-modified self-assembled peptide hydrogel at day 14. However, OCN and RUNX2 were not significantly different on day 7 due to the delayed release of osteogenic growth factors secreted by MSCs. Overall, they reported that the modified RADA16 scaffold had a significant impact in repairing 20-mm critical-sized defects in a goat model as compared to bare DBM [41].

The strength of ionic self-complementary peptides is still an issue in hard tissue regeneration. In this regard, Nakahara et al. synthesized a hybrid scaffold from polyetheretherketone (PEEK) cages, filled it with self-assembling peptide scaffold (RADA16), and transplanted it to bone defects in rat femurs. Due to the low strength of RADA16 for clinical bone regeneration applications, a PEEK cage with high intensity was used to stabilize the scaffold and provide the osteoconduction ability of ionic self-complementary peptide, which promoted bone formation inside the cage. After 28 days, according to gene expressions (e.g., ALP), soft X-ray radiographs, and histological findings, the PEEK cage with RADA16 showed better bone formation and higher strength than either the PEEK cage or peptide alone [42]. However, as these peptides were found to be capable of supporting cell attachment, the functionalization of RADA
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peptides is a good strategy for controlling cell behaviors, which we will discuss in more detail in the following sections [43].

**Collagen-like peptides**

Collagen is the main structural component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) and accounts for 25%–35% of human protein content. Till now, five major classes of collagens have been recognized. The three most common fibrous collagen types include type I, II, and III collagens located in bone, tendon, cartilage, ligament, and skin. Types IV and VIII play a pivotal role in the formation of fibrillar structures, including basement membranes [44]. Despite their immense architectural and functional differences, all these collagens comprise triple-helix bundles. The collagen triple helix has Glycine-X–Y tripeptide repeats, where X and Y generally represent proline and hydroxyproline, which are formed by periodic interchain hydrogen bonding [38]. In the past few decades, collagen-like (CLP) peptides, also known as collagen-mimetic peptides (CMP), have attracted much attention due to their unique structure and properties. Various CLPs have been designed not only to mimic natural collagen fibrils, but also to form highly ordered structures via π–π stacking interactions, lateral electrostatic attraction, and metal-ion-triggered assembly to generate well-defined hierarchically self-organized nanostructures [45]. In a study, Pires et al. [46] designed a stimuli-responsive (metal-ion-promoted) collagen-like peptide with 5, 7, 9, and 11 Pro-Hyp-Gly (POG) repeating units using a solid-phase synthesis method. They found that a range of morphologies, such as microflorete, stacked sheet microsaddles, and fiber-like meshes could be achieved by changing the length of the collagen-like portion of the peptides. Collagen-like peptides, such as NCoH5, did not form a stable self-assembled peptide in the presence of ZnCl₂; however, NCoH9 resulted in a microflorete shape with certain metals. Collagen peptides, such as NCoH11, assembled into microsaddle structures by metal-promoted assembly. However, a highly cross-linked, three-dimensional mesh was formed with NCoH7, especially at higher metal-ion concentrations (Fig. 3).

Regarding the limitations of native collagens, collagen-like peptides (CLPs) have been utilized as an alternative to improve thermal instability, cell adhesion, and proliferation, and prevent probable contamination with pathogens [47]. Krishna et al. [48] designed and developed a collagen-like peptide (CLP-Cys) sequence lacking hydroxyproline residue, which comprised the minimal required Gly-Glu-Arg (GER) triplet for supporting human mesenchymal stem cell (hMSC) adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation. The results showed that the hMSCs proliferated more on the CLP-Cys surfaces than on control surfaces in which CLP-Cys was not
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**Fig. 3** SEM image of three collagen-like peptides with 7, 9, and 11 Pro-Hyp-Gly (POG) repeating units that generate various microstructures by a metal-mediated assembly strategy. Peptide NCoH5 did not assemble into a triple helix at room temperature. a Microflorete structures composed of 1 mM NCoH9 with 400 μM zinc chloride (II). b Saddle structures composed of 1 mM NCoH11 with 400 μM zinc chloride (II). c Assemblies formed from 1 mM NCoH7 with 1 mM zinc chloride (II) kept in pH 7.4 MOPS buffer at room temperature for 24 h. Scale bar = 10 μm for A, Scale bar = 3 μm for B and Scale bar = 2 μm for C [46] (Reproduced with permission from Ref. [46] © 2012 American Chemical Society)
present. Furthermore, blocking the α2β1 receptor on hMSC resulted in a decrement in the cell attachment on both types of CLP-Cys surfaces, either chemically conjugated to a hard glass or a soft hyaluronic acid (HA) particle-based hydrogel; this reflects the affinity of CLP-Cys to α2β1 receptors. Similar to type I collagen, CLP-Cys-modified HA scaffolds are promising bioactive hydrogels for hard tissue regeneration. Moreover, collagen-like peptides can form higher-order structures that mimic natural collagen fibers [49]. These peptides can be used as templates for the nucleation and growth of crystalline hydroxyapatite minerals in a sequence- and composition-dependent manner. The chemical formula Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂ represents HAps, which are calcium phosphate minerals accounting for approximately 65% of hard tissues. This compound is well recognized for its biocompatibility, osteoconductivity, non-toxicity, and bioactivity [50]. Chung et al. [51] synthesized a single-crystal HAp-binding peptide using computational modeling through phase display. The peptide sequence was responsible for the nucleation and growth of crystalline HAps in a sequence- and composition-dependent manner. These peptides were similar to the tripeptide repeat (Gly-Pro-Hyp) of type I collagen, which is known to be a major component of bone ECM. These short HAp-binding peptides may be useful in the synthesis of three-dimensional bone-like materials. To date, numerous studies have reported the fabrication of various CLP peptides for diverse applications, but further investigation is required into CLPs in hard tissue regeneration.

Amphiphilic and surfactant-like peptides

Another interesting approach to designing peptide nanostructures relies on the high aspect ratio of rods/cylinders. Due to the architectural resemblance of peptide amphiphile (PA) nanofibers to filamentous structures in natural mammalian extracellular matrices, they can function as a highly biomimetic artificial matrix. PAs are hybrids containing a peptide head covalently conjugated to single or multiple alkyl chains. Attaching peptides with self-assembly capability to amphiphilic surfactants offers very good control of nanostructure assembly into cylindrical or fibril geometries instead of micelles. The diameter and length of nanofibers can be tuned by the self-assembly conditions, number of charged amino acids, and lipid chain length [32]. Both hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic sequences facilitate peptide self-assembly into nanofiber or cylindrical geometries [52]. The self-assembly of peptide amphiphiles can be also triggered by pH or by adding an electrolyte to decrease the electrostatic repulsion [53]. One of the most important driving forces for biological self-association is hydrophobic interaction. In a study, Hartgerink et al. designed PAs capable of inducing mineralization of HAps to form a composite. This PA had five structural features: (1) hydrophobic domain (e.g., single or multiple alkyl chains), (2) four cysteine residues capable of forming disulfide bonds upon oxidation and contributing to polymerization of the self-assembled structure, (3) charged amino acids to improve water solubility and form pH- and salt-responsive structures, (4) a single phosphorylated serine residue designed for strong interaction with calcium ions and promotion of direct mineralization of Hap, and the functional head group (e.g., epitope peptides) to represent various bioactive motifs such as RGD or IKVAV for a better interaction with cell receptors [54].

Moreover, PAs are ideal templates for the deposition of HAp crystals because of their capability of mimicking the phosphoserine-rich motifs of dentin proteins. In particular, dentin matrix protein 1 (DMP1) is an acidic phosphoprotein present in bone and dentin and is highly involved in biomineralization. In this regard, Sargeant et al. [55] designed PA nanofibers incorporating phosphoserine residues which can promote HAp formation. In osteogenic medium supplemented with calcium, the PA nanofibers nucleated spheroidal nanoparticles of crystalline-carbonated HAp which were approximately 100 nm in diameter. To analyze the expression of osteogenic markers, quantitative real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (QRT-PCR) was carried out. Based on immunohistochemistry analysis for ALP and osteopontin expression, the presence of phosphoserine residues in PA nanofibers appears to favor osteogenic differentiation.

Bioactive peptides in hard tissue regeneration

Modification of biomaterials with appropriate bioactive peptides could improve their functions. Particularly, it has been revealed that peptides modified with osteogenic-related repair materials can more efficiently promote the formation of new bone as compared with conventional peptides. Thus, various functional peptides have been studied and used as potential substances for promotion of hard tissue regeneration and defect repair [56]. In this section, we categorize these bioactive peptides into three main groups: (1) extracellular matrix (ECM)-derived peptides (ECM mimetic assembilés), (2) bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-derived peptides (osteoinductive peptides), and (3) other peptides which will be briefly covered.

ECM-derived peptides

Extracellular matrix (ECM) is a complex molecular network in all tissues and organs which is involved in the development of biochemical and structural supports [57]. Although the different tissue types have different extracellular matrix compositions, the organic fraction of ECM hard tissue is
commonly composed of three major components: (1) proteins similar to collagen type I, such as osteocalcin, osteopontin, and osteonectin, (2) multi-adhesive matrix proteins such as fibronectin, laminin, and vitronectin, and (3) proteoglycans like versican, decorin, or hyaluronan [58]. Most of these molecules have ligand sequences that can connect with specific cell receptors with important roles in the regulation of osteoblast and osteoprogenitor cell functions (e.g., attachment, migration, proliferation, and differentiation). Nevertheless, ECM-derived peptides with signaling domains have been extracted from ECM proteins due to their capability to recognize cell receptors like integrin.

Among ECM-derived peptides, the tripeptide Arg-Gly-Asp, which belongs to the integrin supergene family, is one of the most studied ligands for biomedical functionalization due to its potent integrin recognition and binding domain. It mainly exists in various extracellular matrix proteins, such as fibronectin, vitronectin, bone sialoprotein, and osteopontin [59]. RGD peptide as a cell adhesion sequence can increase the expression of bone sialoprotein (BSP), osteopontin Runx2, ALP, and osteocalcin [60]. Thus, it can significantly promote osteoblast proliferation, differentiation, and mineralization, as well as enhancing cell attachment [61]. Meanwhile, many studies have reported the considerable effect of RGD in osteoprogenitor cell proliferation and/or differentiation, but there are several limitations regarding the in vivo use of RGD in bone-related applications [62]. Oh et al. [63] studied the effects of RGD peptide-coated TiO2 nanotubes on the cellular response and functionality of osteogenic-induced human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) by immobilizing RGD peptide on the surface of 30- and 100-nm TiO2 nanotubes. According to their results, this approach can accelerate the initial attachment of pre-osteoclasts and proliferation of mature osteoclasts, but shows no superiority for in vivo bone formation, as osteoblasts and osteoclasts reside in the same compartment. Anderson et al. [64] synthesized a bioactive peptide amphiphile with RGDS peptide to promote osteoconductivity. Compared to bare self-assembling peptide, the developed scaffolds could retain embedded HAp and induce osteogenic differentiation.

PepGen P-15 (P-15) contains 15 amino acid residues and has an identical makeup to the cell-binding site of collagen type I [65]. According to several reports, P15 can support hard tissue growth through stimulating human osteoblast differentiation and proliferation, enhancing cell attachment to bone-repair substitutes, and upregulating ECM production [66, 67]. Liu et al. [1] also covalently bonded P-15 to titanium alloy surfaces and incubated it with osteoblast-like cells. According to their results, surface modification with P15 significantly enhanced cell attachment, spreading, and differentiation. Furthermore, P-15 dramatically increased osteogenic gene expression, osteogenic ALP proteins, and matrix mineralization due to upregulating RUNX2, collagen 1, osterix, BSP, bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2), and bone morphogenetic protein-7 (BMP-7) in a human osteosarcoma cell line.

Interestingly, Ser-Val-Val-Tyr-Gly-Leu-Arg (SVVYGLR) peptide resembles the RGD sequence in osteopontin. It can promote the attachment and proliferation of MSCs as well as the upregulation of neovascularization [68]. Park et al. [69] synthesized an injectable hydrogel by conjugating the SVVYGLR peptide sequence to gelatin poly (ethylene glycol)-tyramine (GPT) hydrogel in the presence of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2). The results demonstrated that in situ conjugation of the SVVYGLR peptide to injectable GPT hydrogels influenced human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC) activity and stimulated angiogenic activity in the matrix.

Osteoblasts and osteoprogenitors express a wide variety of integrins, such as α1β1 and α2β1. Asp-Gly-Glu-Ala (DEGA) and Gly-Phe-Hyd-Arg (GFOGER) are collagen-like peptides capable of binding to α2β1 integrin. In addition, Arg-Arg-Glu-Thr-Ala-Trp-Ala (RRETAWA) is a specific ligand for α5β1 integrin. These peptide sequences can accelerate and improve osteoblastic differentiation, bone regeneration, and osseointegration [70, 71].

The collagen-binding motif (CBM) is a cleavage product of osteopontin which can specifically bind to collagen. CBMs can significantly enhance migration and osteogenic differentiation through the Ca2+-CaMKII/ERK/activating protein-1 signaling pathway. Moreover, in vitro experiments have demonstrated their great potential in the migration of human mesenchymal stem cells [72]. Interestingly, researchers found that matrix extracellular phosphoglycoprotein (MEPE, Dentonin, or AC-100) can augment osteoblast proliferation, resulting in the postnatal dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) differentiating into odonto-blast-like cells and dentin-pulp-like regeneration [73, 74].

Fibronectin (FN)-derived peptides like Pro-His-Ser-Arg-Asn (PHSRN) can promote osteoblast adhesion, migration, and mineralization [75]. A fibrin-binding synthetic oligopeptide was derived from FN which managed to enhance bone formation in the rabbit calvarial defect model. Furthermore, Martino et al. [76] engineered multifunctional FN III9-10/12–14 including (i) a factor XIIa substrate fibrin-binding sequence, (ii) the 9th to 10th type III FN repeat (FN III9-10) comprising the major integrin-binding domain, and (iii) the 12th to 14th type III FN repeat (FN III12-14), capable of binding growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor-A165 (VEGF-A165), platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB), and BMP-2. The multifunctional FN III9-10/12–14 significantly enhanced the regenerative effects of the growth factors in a critical-size bone defect model.

Since cell integrin-binding is not the only mechanism in osteoblast adhesion, Phe-His-Arg-Arg-Ile-Lys-Ala (FHRRIKA), Lys-Arg-Ser-Arg (KRSR), and fibroblast
growth factor-2 (FGF-2) are recognized as heparin-binding domains in bone sialoprotein and other ECM proteins. These sequences can induce osteoblast adhesion, spreading, and bone mineralization [77, 78]. Lee et al. [79] prepared potential heparin-binding domains of FGF-2, 105–111 (F105, YKRSRYT), and 119–135 (F119, KRTGQYKLGSKTGPGQK) and immobilized them on cell culture plates. According to their results, these peptides can induce osteoblast mineralization and promote osteoblast differentiation.

**Bone morphogenetic protein-derived peptides**

Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are a group of growth factors capable of inducing the prompt formation of hard tissues [80]. Osteoinductive functional peptides are generally derived from BMP-2, BMP-7, and BMP-9 that can interact with the target cells through heterotetramers of serine/threonine kinase to activate the Smad intracellular pathway. The Smad proteins are a family of intracellular co-modulators with a critical role in the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) superfamily intracellular cascade [81]. BMP-2-derived peptides (such as P17, P20, and P24) are the key factors in inducing bone tissue regeneration and can enhance bone-repair response and induce osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs or hMSCs [81]. These peptides can regulate voltage-gated Ca2β channels and induce ALP activity as well as enhancing bone formation. Feng et al. [82] designed a chitosan/nanometer HAp/collagen composite (chitosan/nHAC); then, they introduced BMP-7 mimetic peptide and loaded it into the composite by vacuum adsorption. Compared to the unloaded composite, more effective bone regeneration was observed in the composite loaded with 1 mg BMP-7 mimetic peptide.

**Other peptides**

Numerous peptides have been developed based on ECM and BMP, which can induce hard regeneration. Apart from these peptides, other peptides found to improve bone healing including parathyroid hormone (PTH1-34), calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), osteogenic growth peptide (OGP), thrombin peptide 508 (TP508), NEMO-binding domain (NBD), and cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs).

The peptide derived from a parathyroid hormone (PTH1-34) is one of the first FDA-approved synthesized peptides for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis [83]. PTH1–34 upregulates osteoblast proliferation and differentiation as well as significantly enhancing bone mineral content and angiogenesis [84]. Therefore, this peptide is one of the most successful sequences, especially for fracture treatment. Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) is derived from two separate genes: α and β. Due to its valuable effects on bone regeneration, this peptide has been extensively studied. It is mainly found in bone marrow and in the metaphyses and periosteal bone [85]. According to recent reports, CGRP not only stimulates osteoblast proliferation and new bone formation (especially in the alveolar bone), but it can also enhance the production of growth factors, such as BMP-2 and IGF-1 [86, 87]. Moreover, in vitro studies have revealed the important role of CGRP in reducing inflammation and apoptosis [88]. Osteogenic growth peptide (OGP) contains 14 amino acids and is highly conserved as an H4 histone-related peptide naturally found in human serum. Following its dissociation from α2-macroglobulin, the peptide activates an intracellular Gi-protein-MAP kinase signaling pathway [89]. OGP also stimulates transforming growth factor β1, β2, β3 (TGF-β1, β2, β3), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-I), fibroblast growth factor2 (FGF-2), and aggrecan in vivo. Experimental fracture healing models have revealed that OGP can accelerate the bone healing response [90].

Thrombin peptide 508 (TP508), also known as Chrysalin, is a 23-amino-acid synthetic peptide representing the non-proteolytic receptor-binding domain of human thrombin without the undesirable blood-clotting effects [91]. TP508 promotes fracture healing, proliferation, osteogenic differentiation, and increased chemotaxis in human osteoblasts [92]. Moreover, it regulates VEGF-stimulated angiogenesis and attenuates the effects of chronic hypoxia [93].

Kappa-B kinase (IKK) is a nuclear factor inhibitor consisting of two catalytic subunits, IKK-1 and IKK-2, with a non-catalytic regulatory subunit NF-κB essential modulator (NEMO or IKK-c) [94]. NEMO-binding domain (NBD) is an interacting binding site with 6 amino acids, where IKK subunits interact with NEMO. Thus, the NBD peptide induces osteoblast differentiation and inhibits bone resorption [95].

Cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) are promising peptides capable of transporting various cargos such as nanoparticles, oligonucleotides, and proteins into the cytoplasm through cell membranes. Thus, CPPs can act as transcriptional factors to transfer hard tissue repair factors such as BMP-2 into MSCs to enhance bone formation [96]. Lee et al. [97] designed six bioactive synthetic peptides from a calcium phospholipid-binding protein, copine 7 (CPNE7), to induce bone regeneration. Quantitative real-time PCR indicated high expression of osteogenic markers like ALP and CD4 and showed the highest cell penetration level as well as osteogenic efficiency in dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs). Also, the mixture of CDP4 with injectable collagen gel enhanced bone formation with recovery in the mouse calvarial defect model, in comparison with full-length CPNE7 and BMP-2. Altogether, it seems that a combination of cell-binding peptides with low doses of BMPs or with other peptides can enhance the effectiveness of bioactive peptide hydrogels in tissue engineering [98]. To provide broad accessibility to the topic, each group is briefly summarized in Table 1.
Table 1  Bioactive peptides used in hard tissue regeneration

| Peptide name  | Peptide sequence                                                                 | Binding site or potential pathway           | Main observed effects                                                                 | References |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| RGD          | Arg-Gly-Asp                                                                        | Integrin-binding sites                      | Enhanced extensive bone regeneration in femoral condyle defect of Sprague–Dawley rat model | [99]       |
| Cyclic RGD   | Arg-Gly-Asp                                                                        | Integrin-binding sites                      | Promoted osteogenesis in sheep spinal fusion model                                   | [100]      |
| BSP-RGD      | Cys-Gly-Gly-Asn-Gly-Glu-Pro-Arg-Gly-Asp-Thr-Tyr-Ala:Tyr                           | RGD-binding sites                            | Enhanced ECM mineralization by rat calvarial osteoblast-like cells                    | [101]      |
| P15          | Gly-Thr-Pro-Gly-Pro-Gln-Gly-Ile-Ala-Gly-Gln-Arg-Gly-Val-Val                         | Type I collagen-binding sites               | Enhanced osseointegration at early healing periods within 4 weeks                    | [102]      |
| SVVYGLR      | Ser-Val-Val-Tyr-Gly-Leu-Arg                                                        | RGD-binding sites                            | Exhibited potent migration of numerous vascular endothelial cells—as well as prominent angiogenic activity in rat tibia defects | [103]      |
| DGEA         | Asp-Gly-Glu-Ala                                                                    | α5β1, integrin-binding site                 | Promoted adhesion, spreading, and early commitment to osteogenic hMSC differentiation, improved bone-like mineralization | [104]      |
| GFOGER       | Gly-Phe-Hyd-Arg                                                                    | α5β1, integrin-binding site                 | Increased bone formation in non-healing femoral defects compared to uncoated scaffolds and empty defects | [105]      |
| RRETAWA      | Arg-Arg-Glu-Thr-Ala-Trp-Ala                                                         | α5β1, integrin-binding sites                | Up-regulated gene expression of osteogenic-related genes, induced attachment and osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs without requiring the addition of soluble factors | [106]      |
| MEPE peptide | Arg-Gly-Asp-Asn-Asp-Ile-Pro-Phe-Ser-Asp-Gly-Axn-Gly-Gln                            | RGD-binding sites                            | Increased bone mass and trabecular abnormalities in abnormal cancellous bone (MN-mice) | [107]      |
| PHSRN        | Pro-His-Ser-Agn                                                                    | α5β1, integrin-binding site                 | Enhanced new bone formation in rabbit calvarial defects at the early healing stage     | [108]      |
| FHRRKA       | Phe-His-Arg-Arg-Ile-Lys-Ala                                                         | Heparin-binding sites                        | Enhanced osteoblast migration in rat calvarial bone chips                              | [109]      |
| KRSR         | Lys-Arg-Ser-Arg                                                                    | Heparin-binding sites                        | Increased osteoblast adhesion to the surface of calcium aluminate                      | [110]      |
| Fibroblast growth factor-2 | -                                                                               | Heparin-binding sites                        | Increased expression of ALP and ECM mineralization                                     | [111]      |
| BMPs         | Arg-Thr-Val-Pro-Lys-Pro-Ser-Ser-Ala-Pro-Thr-Gln-Leu-Asn-Ala-Ile-Thr-Leu-Tyr-Phe    | Smad intracellular pathway                   | Promoted osteoblast proliferation and osteoblast deposition of calcium-containing mineral | [112]      |
| Parathyroid hormone (1–34) | Ser-Val-Ser-Glu-Ile-Gln-Leu-Met-His-Asp-Leu-Gly-Lys-His-Leu-Asn-Ser-Met-Glu-Arg-Vln-Trp-Arg-Lys-Lys-Leu-Gln-Asp-Vln-His-Asp-Phe | G(q)-signaling                              | Enhanced periodontal healing in Wistar rats                                            | [113]      |
| Calcitonin gene-related peptide | Val-Thr-His-Arg-Leu-Ala-Gly-Leu-Leu-Ser-Agn-Gly-Val-Val-Lys-Asn-Phe-Val-Pro-Thr-Val-Ser-Ser-Lys-Ala-Phe | G protein-coupled receptors                | Increased new bone formation in rats                                                  | [114]      |
Molecular simulation of peptide self-assembly

Understanding the self-assembly mechanism of peptides and related non-covalent interactions is essential in structural biochemistry in order to design new structures for biomedical applications.

In recent years, molecular simulations have added valuable insights into various aspects of the peptide self-assembly process. Besides experimental studies, computational techniques have served as “another piece of the puzzle” to predict the relationships between molecular structure and self-assembly configuration, study the effect of sequence on the secondary structure of peptides, and finally design rational structures with desired properties [119]. In this context, molecular dynamics (MD) and Monte Carlo (MC) all-atom modeling have been widely employed. These two methods have been extensively addressed; thus, the details will not be explained here [120, 121]. Generally, MD simulations are deterministic while MC simulations are stochastic. Therefore, MD simulations have an inherent time scale that permits time-dependent trajectories, which are generated by solving Newton’s equations of motion. MC simulations do not have any time scale; instead, the configurations are produced by selecting random energy landscapes while searching for the global minimum free energy. However, both simulation techniques have the same system setup and use the classical force field for controlling the total energy [122]. Recently, different software packages have been developed which employ various force fields for atomistic simulations of self-assembling peptides. LAMMPS [123], GROMACS [124], AMBER [125], GROMOS [126], and CHARMM [127] are some of the most popular ones. While all-atom simulations provide a detailed representation of the simulated system, they possess several intrinsic features which prohibit the study of different self-assembly processes occurring on large spatial and temporal scales. Coarse-grain simulation decreases the number of particles, degrees of freedom, and interactions to compute, thus generating a simplified and meaningful model of physical behavior in time. In our opinion, the combination of coarse-grained and atomic-level approaches might open promising horizons in the simulation of self-assembling systems.

Quite advanced computational simulations can be used for certain phenomena that are too fast and/or too hard to understand in experiments.

Lee et al. simulated the self-assembly of PA with the SLSLAAEIKVAV sequence into a cylindrical nanofiber, using all-atom [128] and coarse-grained [129] MD simulations in water. Moreover, they studied the effect of the peptide sequence on the secondary structure of PA nanofibers. For this purpose, they simulated two different PA sequences.
with different numbers of valines. Their results indicated that the PA containing more valine residues showed more population of β-sheet structure and non-covalent interactions [130]. Fu et al. [131] also investigated the role of hydrophobic interactions in the self-assembly of PA molecules, using a novel coarse-grained MD simulation. They showed that with the increase of hydrophobic interaction, cylindrical nanostructures containing either β-sheets or random coils will be formed.

### Application of self-assembled peptide hydrogels in bone tissue regenerations

The past few decades have witnessed a noticeable increase in the number of studies on the use of peptides in bone tissue engineering. A wide range of designed self-assembling peptides can be employed as scaffolds for culturing bone cells and bone regeneration [55]. Quan et al. developed a 3D bioactive scaffold from self-assembled oligopeptides of D9 KIPKASSVPTELSAIS RGDS (DSR) and D9 KIPKASS(p) V PTELSAIS RGDS (DSPr), and included the bone morphogenetic protein-2 biomimetic peptide (BMPMP) as a potent osteoinductive cytokine, polyaspartic acid (D9) as the organic template, and a calcium chelating agent and RGDS as cell adhesion factors to synergistically promote bone regeneration. These oligopeptides were synthesized by a solid-phase method using Fmoc/tBu. Cell culture studies indicated that the RGDS and BMPMP not only promoted osteogenic gene expression (OPN, RUNX2, OCN, ALP, and BMP-2) but also accelerated the proliferation and differentiation of rat marrow mesenchymal stem cells (rMSC) toward the osteoblast. Moreover, results in a rat cranial bone defects model confirmed that rMSCs-loaded hydrogel accelerated bone formation via synergistic action of phosphorylation and BMPBP on the differentiation of rMSCs (Fig. 4) [132].

PA can be utilized as an ideal template for the deposition of HA p crystals [133]. For instance, Eren et al. designed a mineralized PA nanoﬁber with a Lauryl-Val-Val-Ala-Gly-Glu-Glu-Glu (E3-PA) sequence to create a stable self-assembling peptide hydrogel (SPG-178-hydrogel) at natural pH. This particular peptide has a high isoelectric point (pI = 11.5) for bone reconstruction in rat calvarial defect models. Previous studies have reported that dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) had high proliferation potency in their differentiation into neuronal cells, adipocytes, odontoblasts, and osteoblasts [137, 138]. This particular study by Tsukamoto et al. revealed that SPG-178 hydrogel has osteoconductive activity and is highly biocompatible. Moreover, the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs into osteoblast-like cells in three-dimensional cell culture using SPG-178-hydrogel was confirmed by significantly increased gene expression levels of osteocalcin, collagen type I, and osteopontin. In another study, He et al. reported synthetic L-RADA16 and D-RADA16 peptide nanofibers that produced stable β-sheet secondary structures and peptide hydrogel scaffold, respectively. These peptides were synthesized via the solid-phase peptide synthesis method. The authors reported that both D- and L-RADA16 hydrogels could promote fracture healing in condyle defects of Sprague–Dawley rats. The results of micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) analysis revealed that the D-RADA16 peptide hydrogel, in comparison with the L-RADA16, played a crucial role in bone grafts and controlled release of basic fibroblast growth factors (bFGF). The controlled release of bFGF as a signaling molecule resulted in extensive fracture healing in Sprague–Dawley rats. [139] Similarly, Zhou et al. [140] developed D-RADA16 hydrogel-containing TGF-β1 resulted in a controlled release of growth factor to stimulate and promote the osteogenesis via increasing the proliferation of BMSCs. In another study, Castillo Diaz et al. [141] explored the capability of octapeptide hydrogel with the sequence of Phe-Glu-Phe-Glu-Phe-Lys-Phe-Lys to host hMSC in 3D culture medium and induce osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs. Their assays showed that the ionic-complementary peptide hydrogel performed as a 3D scaffold for proliferation and differentiation of hMSCs into osteoblasts and enhanced the mineralization under osteogenic stimuli. Moreover, these differentiated cells could produce key bone proteins (i.e., ALP, OCN, and Col-1) involved in bone formation. Previous studies have shown that utilizing a glycosaminoglycan [142] mimetic system with osteoinductive features results in osteogenic differentiation of cells and promotes bone formation [143]. Kocabey et al. [144] designed a GAG mimetic peptide nanofiber capable of interacting with BMP-2 and providing a favorable microenvironment for bone reconstruction and mineralization. Cell culture examinations indicated enhanced calcium deposition and ALP activity, which are the early indicators of bone maturation. A summary of the examples mentioned above is presented in Table 2.
Application of self-assembled peptide hydrogels in dental tissue regeneration

Caries and dental trauma are considered the main causes of dental loss. Generally, microbial activity leads to enamel destruction and eventually to tooth loss [145]. Enamel is a type of dental support tissue and is considered to be the stiffest mineralized tissue in the body. It is composed of thousands of anisotropically aligned hydroxyapatite crystals [146]. Enamel is formed in a complex mineralization process and does not have any innate regeneration capability to defend against the acidic media which are produced by caries activity. Currently, major efforts are concentrated on the prevention of dental caries, including effective remineralization process enhancement in initial dental rot and prevention of microbial film formation [147]. Among self-assembling structures, self-assembled peptide hydrogels are comparatively the most desirable candidates for the treatment of caries and tooth regeneration [148]. Alkilzy et al. [148] utilized SAPs for caries management. To do so, they designed a P11-4 peptide (Ac-Gln-Gln-Arg-Phe-Glu-Trp-Glu-Phe-Gln-Gln-NH$_2$), chosen for its high affinity to hydroxyapatite HAp and capability to nucleate hydroxyapatite. In particular, the peptide formed a

![Fig. 4 Illustration of 3D-bioactive gel scaffold from self-assembled DS$_p$R oligopeptide composed of polyaspartic acid, RGDS, and BMP-2 with phosphate groups and Ca$^{2+}$ ions for the repair of rat cranial bone defects [132] (Reproduced with permission from Ref. [132] © 2018 Elsevier)
Table 2  Self-assembled peptide hydrogel applications in bone and dental tissue regeneration

| Peptide hydrogel                                                                 | Application                                                                 | Outcome                                                                                     | References |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Self-assembled nanofiber with GTAGLIGQERGDS sequence                            | Dental caries regeneration by combining dental stem cells with hydrogel     | Successful engineering of both mineralized and soft dental matrices                          | [155]      |
| Multi-domain peptide which self-assembled into sandwich-like β-sheet nanofiber   | Endodontic regeneration by incorporating bioactive factors and dental pulp stem cells with hydrogel | Formation of vascularized dental pulp-like tissue in immunocompromised mice                  | [156]      |
| Self-assembled P11-4 peptide hydrogel                                            | Caries management                                                           | Significant regression of superior lesions without any adverse effects or allergic reaction | [148]      |
| An amphiphilic oligopeptide which self-assembled into nanofiber                  | Biomimetic enamel mineralization                                             | Successful hydroxyapatite crystal formation and enamel regeneration                          | [149]      |
| Dentorin-functionalized, self-assembled β-sheet nanofiber                        | Biomimetic scaffold for dental pulp stem cell differentiation               | Bioreponsive matrix which promoted routine treatment of dental cavities                    | [150]      |
| Collagen/calcium dual-affinitive peptide (E8DS)                                  | Dentinal tubule occlusions                                                  | Perfect dentinal tubule occlusion with peptide-pre-treated dentin disks                      | [151]      |
| HAp-specific peptide                                                             | A peptide-based approach to provide an integrated material/ tooth interface  | Promotes defectie dentin matrix regeneration by extensive remineralization over the adhesive/dentin interface | [154]      |
| Peptide hydrogel scaffold rich in acidic amino acids (Asp) and tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) | Enhancement in calcium absorption for bone regeneration                     | Efficient adsorption of calcium ions and promotion of β-TCP turnover into bone tissue       | [157]      |
| Dexamethasone-loaded RADA 16-I scaffold                                           | Efficient sustained release of small molecules for bone tissue engineering   | Combination of RADA 16-I scaffold and dexamethasone sustained release in a perfusion bioreactor for bone healing | [158]      |
| Peptide amphiphile nanofibers comprising S residues                             | Mineralization of PA nanofibers to improve cell adhesion                     | Enhanced osteogenic differentiation of MSCs                                                 | [55]       |
| β-strand peptides, (LE)₄ and (VEVSVKVS)₂, which self-assembled to form hydrogels in the presence of calcium ions | Mineralization of peptide hydrogel for the formation of bone-filling materials | Suitable mechanical strength for bone-filling with both mineralized peptide hydrogels         | [159]      |
| Phosphatase-mimicking peptide (pPA) nanofiber with catalytic activity            | Osteogenic differentiation                                                  | Induction of osteogenic differentiation by pPA nanofibers without the addition of any osteogenic support | [160]      |
| Peptide amphiphile nanofiber functionalized with Glu-Glu-Glu-Glu (EEE) sequence | Promotion of bone-like mineralization in physiological conditions            | Induction of MSC differentiation into mature osteoblasts                                     | [104]      |
| Self-assembled ionic-complementary peptide gels with FEFEFKFK sequence          | Scaffold for bone mineralization                                             | Appropriate 3D environment for osteoblastic cell function                                  | [161]      |
| Peptide amphiphile -RGD/HAp nanocomposite scaffold                              | Biomimetic scaffold for osteogenic differentiation of MC3T3 preosteoblasts   | Osteoinductivity and high expression of osteocalcin according to RT-PCR analyses             | [162]      |
| Polylactic acid/beta-tricalcium phosphate (PLA/b-TCP) scaffolds filled with self-assembling peptide nanofiber (KDL12) coupled with neuropeptide substance P | To enhance osteogenic differentiation and to repair a calvarial defect model in rats | The nanocomposite porous scaffold recruited MSCs and enhanced tissue regeneration without cell transplantation in a calvarial defect model in rats | [163]      |
| Fibronectin-like peptide amphiphile nanofibers                                  | Biomimetic supramolecular nanofibers within collagen scaffolds for bone regeneration | Large volumes of regenerated bone and a high probability of bridging in a rat critical defect with low-dose bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) | [164]      |
| 3D graphene minerals via incorporation of self-assembled peptide with LLVFGAKMLPHHGA sequence | 3D organic–inorganic hybrid scaffold for bone tissue engineering and vascularization | Porous interconnected network enabling vascularization and medium transport                     | [165]      |
| PLGA copolymer loaded with a novel synthetic RADA16-P24 peptide                  | Biomimetic composite for bone tissue regeneration                           | Strong induction of ectopic bone formation in vivo and attachment of BMSCs in vitro          | [166]      |
3D structure with the calcium-binding sites, which could serve as the HAp nucleation point. Moreover, P11-4 self-assembled in an acidic pH caries lesion environment and made a suitable scaffold for enamel remineralization. Clinical assessments after 3 and 6 months showed a significant regression of superior lesion without any adverse effects or allergic reaction. Li et al. utilized an amphiphile oligopeptide-containing amelogenin hydrophilic functional domain (Thr-Lys-Arg-Glu-Glu-Val-Asp) and a stearic acid derivative (C18H35COOH) as a hydrophobic block for biomimetic enamel mineralization. The oligopeptide self-assembly occurred in the presence of calcium ions, and nanofibers were formed. According to the results, amorphous calcium phosphate was nucleated and grown along the nanofibers, while it was repeatedly exposed to calcium- and phosphate-ion-containing solutions. Furthermore, its incubation with acid-etched human enamel sections under metastable calcium phosphate solution at 37 °C led to the formation of HAp crystals and finally to enamel regeneration [149]. In another study, Nguyen et al. designed an injectable and biodegradable self-assembled peptide hydrogel as a biomimetic scaffold for dental pulp stem cell differentiation. To achieve this, dentin was attached to a self-assembled peptide framework containing a sequence similar to a formerly defined self-assembling peptide. The designed peptide self-assembled to form β-sheet nanofibers (Fig. 5). Dentin is a bioactive section of the phosphoglycoprotein extracellular matrix that promotes the proliferation of postnatal dental pulp stem cells. The self-assembled hydrogel displayed a thixotropic nature and its cytocompatibility with dental pulp stem cells and fibroblasts was confirmed. As the result showed, this system served as an ideal engineered bioresponsive matrix for promoting routine dental cavities treatment, although in vivo viability must be evaluated in the future [150].

Wang et al. [151] designed a collagen/calcium dual-affinitive peptide (E8DS) as a biomaterial for dentinal tubule occlusions. The E8DS peptide (EEEEEEEEDSpESpSpEEDR) was synthesized with the solid-phase peptide synthesis method; the developed peptide effectively enhanced the binding of nano-hydroxyapatite to dentin. The results showed that almost 43.7% of initial dentin-immobilized peptide remained after washing with distilled water (rate of 1 mL/min) for 4 weeks, reflecting its strong affinity to the dentin collagen matrix. Also, peptide-pretreated dentin disks exhibited perfect dentinal tubule occlusion in comparison with both commercial desensitizer and nano-hydroxyapatite.

Generally, resin-based composites have been the most prominent material for dental restoration, but their short lifetime has limited their clinical usage [152]. Indeed, they undergo in vivo degradation due to recurrent caries at the tooth–composite interface. Since viscous materials cannot directly attach to the tooth, an adhesive with low viscosity
is used to form a connection between the composite and tooth. The connection between the adhesive and dentin will be degraded due to bacterial, salivary, and endogenous enzymes [153]. Using peptide-mediated remineralization of dentin provides interfacial integration and is a promising solution. Ye et al. [154] investigated the inherent peptide-based approach to providing an integrated material/tooth interface. For this purpose, the defective dentin matrix was re-mineralized by an engineered HAp-specific peptide. The peptide was self-anchored to the adhesive/dentin interface and promoted defective dentin matrix regeneration by extensive remineralization over the entire adhesive/dentin interface. Therefore, this approach is a promising platform for overcoming the drawbacks of conventional dental composites. The role of self-assembled peptide hydrogels in dental tissue regeneration is summarized in Table 2.

Altogether, self-assembled peptide hydrogels play a pivotal role in dental tissue regeneration by promoting HAp nucleation, self-anchoring to the adhesive/dentin interface, and providing desirable cytocompatibility with dental pulp stem cells and fibroblasts.

**Conclusions and future outlook**

Annually, hard tissue regeneration imposes vast public healthcare costs which will significantly increase as society ages. Accordingly, the development of appropriate biomaterials for hard tissue repair and regeneration is an important task. Peptides are a new generation of promising biomaterials with highly versatile structural building blocks, which can construct supramolecular architectures such as fibers, sheets, tubes, spheres, and tapes. The self-assembled hydrogels are novel nanostructures, which can be formed through molecular self-assembly interactions, including hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions, \( \pi-\pi \) stacking, and hydrophobic interactions. They exhibit excellent biocompatibility, tunable mechanical stability, injectability, trigger capability,
lack of immunogenic reaction, and ability to load cells and active pharmaceutical agents (e.g., proteins, nucleic acids, and small molecule drugs) [168]. Up to now, the prediction of the structures of SAPs based on their sequence and other physicochemical properties has remained controversial. Thus, further studies are required for deeper understanding of the relationship between the morphology of SAPs and their physicochemical properties (e.g., size, charge, sequence) in rational peptide design. Molecular simulation provides valuable information about the formation of self-assembled peptide hydrogels. Moreover, the presence of charged amino acids in a peptide sequence stimulates its interactions with calcium phosphate ions, making it possible to use hydrogels for HAp nucleation. One of the important requirements of regenerative hydrogels is their ability to enhance the proliferation and differentiation of cells. Therefore, surface modification of peptides with specific binding sequences or growth factors can ensure proper cell attachment. Generally, biofunctionalized peptide scaffolds have the ability to promote osteogenic differentiation and osteointegration, especially in bone tissue engineering. It seems that a combination of two or three peptide groups can increment the effectiveness of bioactive peptide hydrogels in tissue engineering.

Successful hard tissue regeneration requires coordinated interaction among cells, growth factors, and hydrogel scaffold. Although biomimetic SAP hydrogels show promising features for hard tissue regeneration, there are still several shortcomings that should be resolved. The relationship between the morphology, physicochemical properties, and biological activity of SAP hydrogels should be elucidated in more detail. To improve control over the structure of synthesized SAPs, we propose modulation of conventional fabrication parameters or the use of new methods such as template-assisted synthesis. The stability of functionalized peptide nanostructures also needs to be considered. For instance, alkaline pH can improve aromatic peptide stability in liquid solution, but at the same time can impair the chemical stability of L-glutamine-containing peptides. High mechanical and structural support is required for regeneration of large bone defects and cannot be easily afforded with SAP hydrogels alone. In addition, rapid SAP hydrogel degradation prior to the generation of new ECM can disrupt the mechanical stability of hydrogels. These pitfalls can be overcome by introducing composite materials such as metals, carbon nanomaterials, or synthetic polymers [169].

The impact of SAP sequences on the immune system is not well known and can vary from non-immunogenic to self-adjuvating in each design. Therefore, future works should be directed toward biocompatibility and immunogenicity of SAP hydrogels.

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) and piezoelectric peptides are promising candidates for implantable devices for use in human health monitoring and hard tissue engineering. Generally, emergence of infection in hard tissue regeneration is a clinically relevant challenge. Development of scaffolds with dual function for promoting bone/dental tissue growth and preventing bacterial infection is a critical point for clinical application. AMPs have been attracting much attention due to their excellent antimicrobial efficiency and lack of association with escalated antimicrobial resistance. Efforts have also been made to develop electrically active piezoelectric peptides that demonstrate potential for bone engineering by providing electrical stimulation to cells. Piezoelectric peptides can enhance tissue formation by providing an electrically active microenvironment without external power sources for electrical stimulation.

SAP manufacturing methods have evolved over the last two decades, going from fixed, dedicated, and investment-heavy production lines to conventional but modular assemblies, and to low-cost, single-batch micro-production setups. However, there are still some challenges to be overcome in detection and removal of peptide impurities in large-scale production before clinical translation. The other issue that has often been overlooked in the literature is the sterilization process for peptide hydrogels. This is required to ensure the sterility of SAP hydrogels; however, the sterilization method should conserve the assembly and functionality of the peptide hydrogels. Conventional sterilization methods such as UV irradiation, heat, and high pressure can deteriorate the function of the bioactive macromolecules. In addition, the recently emerged sterilization methods such as freeze-drying and supercritical carbon dioxide may affect porosity, morphology, and bioactivity of peptide scaffolds.

Compounds destined for medical purposes are subject to strict regulatory and quality control requirements that drive their cost up and narrow the scope for early application. For human use, GMP (good manufacturing practices) must be followed in high-purity peptide production and the processes must be compliant with the relevant requirements of regulatory agencies. Functional and structural reproducibility of a SAP hydrogel is critical for scaffold materials to pass through various regulatory requirements, particularly for complex hydrogel systems containing biological materials. Quality control and precise quantification of these biological materials are vital for batch-to-batch consistency of SAP hydrogels. Large-scale bio-fabrication of cell-encapsulated SAP hydrogels is especially challenging. Therefore, cell-free peptide hydrogels might be more suitable for large-scale production, while cell-encapsulated scaffolds might be more appropriate for the development of personalized medicine.

Despite these remaining challenges, we envision that SAPs will have vital therapeutic applications in hard tissue regeneration. Numerous studies have addressed tissue regeneration and more clinical trials are underway. Therefore, these SAPs will probably be used as a realistic therapeutic choice for human medical care.
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