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Initiating delivery room stabilization/resuscitation in very low birth weight (VLBW) infants with an FiO₂ less than 100% is feasible

A Stola, J Schulman and J Perlman

Department of Pediatrics, Division of Newborn Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA

Background: Oxygen exposure during delivery room (DR) resuscitation, even when brief, is potentially toxic. A practice plan (PP) was introduced for very low birth weight (VLBW) infants ≤1500 g as follows: initial FiO₂ from 0.21 to 1.0 using blenders, oxygen guided by oximetry to maintain saturation between 85% to 95% from birth.

Objective: To determine whether the initiating FiO₂ could be safely lowered, and by doing so whether the number of infants with a PaO₂ >80 mm Hg could be minimized on admission, as well as lowering oxygen requirement at 24 h.

Methods: In all, 53 infants admitted between June 2006 and June 2007 were evaluated and compared with 47 infants from 2004 managed with 100% oxygen (historical comparison group (HC)).

Result: Stabilization/Resuscitation included intubation (n = 28) and continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) (n = 25); no cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). The heart rate increased rapidly in all cases. The initiating FiO₂ decreased from 0.42 to 0.28 over 12 months (P = 0.00005); 14 (26%) were resuscitated with room air. Correspondingly, the pH increased from 7.24 to 7.30 (P = 0.002) and PCO₂ decreased from 53 to 41 (P = 0.001). A comparison of infants during the PP with the HC revealed that 36/53 versus 21/47 had an initial PaO₂ <80 mm Hg (P = 0.02); the median PaO₂, that is, 64 versus 86 and saturation, that is, 95% versus 99% on admission were significantly lower. The median FiO₂ at 24 h was 0.25 versus 0.40.

Conclusion: DR resuscitation of VLBW infants can be initiated with less oxygen even with room air without concomitant overt morbidity. This change was associated with more infants with an initial PaO₂ <80 mm Hg and lower saturation values on admission as well as a lower FiO₂ requirement at 24 h.
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Introduction

There is increasing evidence to suggest that oxygen exposure during neonatal resuscitation is potentially more toxic than considered earlier. Given that the partial pressure of oxygen in the fetus before delivery approximates 27 mm Hg, even brief (minutes) relative hypoxic exposure immediately after birth may trigger long-term detrimental effects. Indeed, numerous experimental and clinical studies have shown the deleterious effects of resuscitation with 100% oxygen. Initial animal studies showed that room air as compared with pure oxygen is as efficient in restoring metabolism, blood pressure, cardiac output, pulmonary blood flow and cerebral blood flow.1–9 However, more recent studies have shown that the effect on the pulmonary and cerebral circulations is indeed more complex with a more favorable early vascular response, that is, increased blood flow noted with supplemental oxygen use when compared with room air.10–13 Clinical studies have shown that delivery room (DR) resuscitation with 100% oxygen when compared with room air is associated with a lower 5-min Apgar score, a prolonged time to first cry and breath,14,15 increased neonatal mortality,16,17 increased oxidative stress that persisted for at least 4 weeks after birth in one study,18,19 increased myocardial and kidney injury20 and also with a higher risk for childhood leukemia and cancer.21,22 These studies were largely undertaken in underdeveloped countries and primarily involved term babies.

This led to changes by the American Heart Association (AHA) and the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) in the guideline recommendations for the use of oxygen during newborn resuscitation.23,24 The guidelines suggested that supplemental oxygen ranging from room air to 100%, could be used to initiate resuscitation with subsequent delivery to be guided by pulse oximetry. However, unresolved questions particularly in the premature infant, included the optimal PaO₂ level as well as the desired oxygen saturation range necessary to reduce the risk of
oxygen toxicity. It had been suggested that to achieve this goal, the \( \text{PaO}_2 \) be maintained \(<80 \text{ mm Hg}\)\textsuperscript{25,26} and the saturations be maintained between 85% and 95%.\textsuperscript{27–29} On the basis of these new neonatal resuscitation program (NRP) guidelines, a practice plan (PP) was introduced in our program in June 2006 for infants of birth weight (BW) \(<1500 \text{ g}\) as follows: stabilization/resuscitation could be initiated with an \( \text{FiO}_2 \) from 0.21 to 1.0 using blenders; oxygen delivery was to be guided by pulse oximetry to maintain saturation values between 85% and 95%. The objectives of the PP were to determine (1) whether the initiating \( \text{FiO}_2 \) could be safely lowered during DR resuscitation, (2) whether there would be less infants with a \( \text{PaO}_2 \) >80 mm Hg on admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) and (3) whether the requirement for oxygen at 24 h of life would be reduced.

**Methods**

To obtain baseline information with regard to DR management at our institution, we retrospectively analyzed the medical records of 47 consecutive inborn infants of birth weight (BW) \(<1500 \text{ g}\) who required stabilization and/or resuscitation in the DR and were admitted to the NICU at New York Presbyterian Hospital between January and September 2004 (termed historical comparison group (HC)). Infants with congenital abnormalities and those who required chest compressions were excluded. The practice at the time was to use 100% oxygen for resuscitation and during transport to the NICU; pulse oximetry was not routinely used in the DR. It is noted that 39 (83%) of the infants had saturation values \(>95\%\) on admission to the NICU, with an initial \( \text{PaO}_2 \) of 117 \(±88 \text{ mm Hg}\) as compared with eight (17%) who had a saturation value \(<95\%\) with an initial \( \text{PaO}_2 \) of 59 \(±17 \text{ mm Hg}\) \((P = 0.02)\). On the basis of the retrospective analysis and consistency with the new AHA/AAP guidelines, the neonatal division reached consensus and adopted a PP for infants of estimated BW \(<1500 \text{ g}\) who required stabilization and/or resuscitation. Infants with known or obvious congenital abnormalities were excluded, and those infants who received chest compressions were expected to be resuscitated with 100% \( \text{FiO}_2 \). The PP included the following: (1) Provision of blended oxygen to deliver an initiating \( \text{FiO}_2 \) of between 0.21 and 1.0—-the initial \( \text{FiO}_2 \) was left to the discretion of the provider, (2) Oxygen delivery was to be guided by postdural pulse oximetry to achieve saturation values of between 85% and 95% from birth through transport to the NICU. The one caveat was that there had to be a rapid increase in the heart rate, that is, \(>100 \text{ beats/min}\) (within 30 s of initiating respiratory support) in those cases where the initial assessment of the heart rate was less than 80 beats per min.\textsuperscript{30} The goal was to achieve saturations that approximated 85% by 3 min, and if this was not achieved then the oxygen was increased incrementally until this goal was met. Blenders were placed in each DR and on the neonatal transport isolette to deliver the desired oxygen concentration. Pulse oximetry was determined using a Nellcor transport monitor (Paragon Medical, Coral Springs, Florida, USA), which does not have the capacity to record data. The probe was placed post-ductally in all cases. The transport time from the DR to the NICU is approximately 5 min. As part of standard practice, an arterial blood gas is obtained within the first 30–60 min following an admission to the NICU. Data retrieved included BW; gestational age; initial DR and admission \( \text{FiO}_2 \); saturation values on NICU admission and at 24 h; initial \( \text{PaO}_2 \), \( \text{PCO}_2 \), \( \text{pH} \), DR respiratory support, that is, continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) or intubation. All data were collected in a standardized manner over 12 months, starting in June 2006 through June 2007.

As this was a major shift in clinical practice, an intensive educational program was implemented as follows: in-services were provided to all neonatal physicians and nurses, respiratory therapists, obstetrical physicians and labor and delivery nurses before implementation. The data were reviewed every 3 months by the entire neonatal division at a designated meeting, with the primary goal of identifying any potential adverse acute consequences of lowering the initiating oxygen concentration and specifically evidence for hypercarbia or acidosis. In addition, providers were given the opportunity to voice concerns and/or suggestions to improve the practice plan.

**Statistical analysis**

The primary outcome was a reduction in the number of infants with hyperoxia, defined as \( \text{PaO}_2 \) value \( >80 \text{ mm Hg}\). The data were analyzed for the entire cohort and then subsequently for two groups based on gestational age, that is, \(<28 \text{ wks}\) and \(\geq28 \text{ wks}\), using Student’s \(t\)-tests, chi-square analysis, analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeat measures where appropriate. A \(P\)-value of \(<0.05\) was considered to be statistically significant. All values are presented as mean ± s.d., unless as stated otherwise.

The Institutional Review Board of Weill Cornell Medical College approved the PP and the collection of data.

**Results**

**General**

The 53 infants evaluated were of BW1052 ± 259 g, gestational age 28.3 ± 2.5 wks, which was comparable to the HC of infants, that is, 1131 ± 273 g and gestational age 28.9 ± 2.2 wks. Of the 53 infants, 21 were \(<28 \text{ wks}\). Stabilization and/or resuscitation included bag-mask ventilation and intubation \((n = 28)\) and CPAP only \((n = 25)\). No infant received cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). The heart rate increased rapidly in all cases with respiratory support, irrespective of the initiating \( \text{FiO}_2 \) concentration.

**Changes during the PP**

**Initiating \( \text{FiO}_2 \) concentration in the delivery room.** The initiating \( \text{FiO}_2 \) concentration was 0.50 \((n = 6)\), 0.40 \((n = 16)\), 0.30 \((n = 16)\), 0.25 \((n = 1)\) and room air \((n = 14)\). There was a
The initiating FiO2 in the delivery room and the FiO2 concentration > 95%; eight (33%) of these infants were always in room air and transport to the NICU. Twenty-four infants had saturation the target range of 85%–95% during stabilization/resuscitation 85%–95% over 5–10 min. Twenty-nine (55%) infants achieved saturation values increased slowly to the desired range of with pulse oximetry (within the first minute). In general, delivery room Pulse oximetry detection of heart rate and saturation in the delivery room. The heart rate was rapidly detected in all cases with pulse oximetry (within the first minute). In general, saturation values increased slowly to the desired range of 85%–95% over 5–10 min. Twenty-nine (55%) infants achieved the target range of 85%–95% during stabilization/resuscitation and transport to the NICU. Twenty-four infants had saturation values > 95%; eight (33%) of these infants were always in room air. The initiating FiO2 in the delivery room and the FiO2 concentration on admission to the NICU in infants with saturation values > 95% were comparable, that is, 31 ± 8 versus 37 ± 26 mm Hg, respectively (NS).

**Initial FiO2 concentration on admission to the NICU.** The admitting FiO2 concentration was lower than the initiating FiO2 in the DR in 16 (31%) infants; it remained the same in 12 (22%) infants and increased in 25 (47%) infants. Overall, 10 (19%) infants were in room air and nine (17%) in 100% oxygen on admission to the NICU. During the PP, the admitting FiO2 decreased from 0.70 ± 0.32 to 0.38 ± 0.21 (P = 0.02).

**Admission PaO2 values.** Thirty-seven (70%) infants had an admitting PaO2 concentration < 80 mm Hg. The initial PaO2 concentration was lower, that is, 60 ± 16 versus 103 ± 59 mm Hg (P = 0.0001) when the saturation values were < 95 versus ≥ 95%, respectively. More infants with an admitting PaO2 > 80 mm Hg, that is 16/30 (53%) versus 2/23 (8.6%) were likely to have saturation values ≥ 95 versus < 95% (P = 0.0009), respectively.

**FiO2 values at 24 h of age.** The FiO2 at 24 h of life was higher in infants < 28 weeks versus ≥ 28 weeks, that is, 0.38 ± 0.23 versus 0.24 ± 0.05 for P = 0.0007.

**PCO2 and pH changes during the PP.** The PCO2 decreased from 53 ± 10 to 41 ± 12 (P = 0.001) and the pH increased from 7.24 ± 0.07 to 7.3 ± 0.1 (P = 0.002) during the PP.

**Comparison of the PP values to the HC.** The median admitting PaO2 concentration, that is, 64 versus 86 mm Hg (P = 0.01), as well as the saturation values, that is, 95% versus 99% (P = 0.0001) was lower during the PP when compared with the HC. Table 1 In addition, significantly more infants managed with the PP versus the HC, that is, 37 of 53 (70%) versus 21 of 47 (44%) had an initial PaO2 < 80 mm Hg (P = 0.02). The median FiO2 at 24 h was lower with the PP versus HC, that is, 0.25 versus 0.40 (P = 0.0005) However, the FiO2 at 24 h for infants < 28 wks was higher with the PP versus HC, that is, 0.32 ± 0.10 versus 0.26 ± 0.08 (P = 0.05), but was significantly lower for infants ≥ 28 wks, that is, 0.24 ± 0.05 versus 0.28 ± 0.05 (P = 0.01), respectively.

**Table 1** Impact of change in the practice plan on PaO2 saturation (Sats) values, FiO2, PCO2 and pH in comparison to the historical comparison group (2004)

| Characteristic              | 2006/2007 | 2004      | P-value |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| PaO2 mm Hg Median          | 64        | 86        | 0.01    |
| Sats upon NICU admission median | 95%       | 99%       | 0.0001  |
| PaO2 < 80 mm Hg            | 37/53 (70%) | 21/47 (44%) | 0.02    |
| All infants FiO2 at 24 h   | 0.25      | 0.40      |         |
| < 28 wks                   | 0.38 ± 0.23 | 0.26 ± 0.08 | P = 0.0007 |
| ≥ 28 wks                   | 0.24 ± 0.05 | 0.28 ± 0.05 |         |
| PCO2 mm Hg                 | 45 ± 12   | 47 ± 13   | NS      |
| pH                         | 7.27 ± 0.06 | 7.26 ± 0.10 | NS      |

Abbreviations: NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; Sats, saturation values.

**Discussion**

The observations contained in this report indicate that DR stabilization/resuscitation of VLBW infants can be initiated with less than 100% and even with room air without concomitant early overt morbidity. Lowering the initiating FiO2 was associated with more VLBW infants with an initial PaO2 of less than 80 mm Hg and lower saturation values on admission to intensive care, as well as a lower FiO2 requirement at 24 h as compared with HC. These observations add support to two recently completed randomized studies in premature infants showing that resuscitation can be safely initiated with less than 100% oxygen.31,32
The most recent AHA/AAP guidelines for oxygen administration in the DR were non-specific, and recommended that resuscitation could be initiated with varying oxygen concentration from room air to 100%. It is interesting to note that at the onset of the PP, providers elected to use an initial FiO2 concentration that approximated 40%. This gradually decreased to less than 30% after 12 months, a concentration that is similar to the starting concentration suggested by Escrig et al.

Consistent with the new guideline recommendations, pulse oximetry was used to modulate oxygen delivery with a target goal of maintaining saturation values between 85% and 95%. Once the technique was established, providers considered pulse oximetry invaluable for several reasons. First, the heart rate was readily detected in a timely manner in all cases, that is, usually within 60 s after birth and it was easier to follow than either auscultation or palpation. This is important as a rapid increase in the heart rate above 100 beats per min is regarded as the most effective assessment of adequate ventilation and thus effective resuscitation. Second, oximetry was essential in guiding oxygen delivery decision-making. Thus, to achieve the target saturation range, the initiating FiO2 concentration was reduced or remained the same in half the cases, whereas the oxygen concentration was increased in the remaining infants. In many cases, saturation values reached the low target range of 85% slowly, usually 5–10 min after initiating resuscitation; this slow increase in saturation is consistent with earlier data in both preterm and term infants.

As a consequence of using the target range, significantly more infants (70%) had an initial PaO2 concentration <80 mm Hg as compared with the HC (44%). Moreover, the FiO2 on admission to the NICU decreased by 45% from a mean of 0.70–0.38 over the 12 months. When the data were examined for all infants during the PP, the FiO2 on admission was not different between infants less or greater than 28 wks.

The FiO2 at 24 h for the cohort was significantly lower during the PP when compared with the HC. However, this was not a consistent finding, that is, the oxygen concentration was significantly lower in the larger premature infant >28 wks, whereas it was higher in the smallest infants. Although not entirely comparable, a similar finding was noted during the PP where the FiO2 was significantly lower at 24 h in the larger as compared with the smaller infants.

An interesting and unexplained observation was the decrease in PCO2 and increase in pH during the period of observation concomitant with the reduction in the initiating oxygen use in the DR. The mechanism/s accounting for this observation are unclear.

A major limitation of this report is that it was a small cohort, not randomized and thus lacked a control group. However, the findings are consistent with two recent randomized studies showing that DR resuscitation can be initiated ‘safely’ with less than 100% oxygen. In the first of these studies, premature infants were assigned to either 90% or 30% oxygen to achieve a target saturation of 85% at 10 min of life. In the high group, the FiO2 was reduced to 45% and in the low group the FiO2 was increased to the same concentration to achieve the saturation goal. The authors suggest that resuscitation can be safely initiated with an FiO2 of 30%.

In the second study, premature infants of <32 weeks were assigned to either 100% oxygen or room air with the goal of achieving saturation values of >70% by 3 min or 80% by 5 min. All infants resuscitated with room air received supplemental oxygen with a mean concentration at 10 min of approximately 55%. There were no overt complications noted from starting with room air, although the sample size was small.

These cumulative observations that stabilization and/or resuscitation of premature infants in the DR can be initiated with lower oxygen concentrations, be sustained, and even reduced on admission and at 24 h, without overt untoward consequences, is exciting. However, it is essential to recognize that in half the cases the oxygen concentration had to be increased to achieve the target range and the positive impact, that is, a lower FiO2 concentration at 24 h appears to be predominantly in the larger premature infant. Moreover, initiation of this change in practice required intensive education of all providers, a team effort in the DR that included preventing heat loss, establishing effective respiratory support as indicated and placing the pulse oximeter probe to guide oxygen use in a timely and coordinated manner, that is, all within the first minute after hand-off of a VLBW infant.

What are the practical implications for the clinician? The data now strongly suggest that an FiO2 concentration of considerably less than 100% can be used to initiate resuscitation in the delivery room. It appears that 30% may be a good starting concentration, provided the heart rate is rapidly increasing or greater than 100 beats per min. The potential benefit/s of this change in delivery room practice requires careful longitudinal follow-up.
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