The fungal genus *Sporothrix* (order Ophiostomatales) comprises a group of thermomorphic pathogens that cause skin infections in humans and other mammals. Human sporotrichosis was first described in the Mid-Atlantic United States in 1898 by Schenck [1], followed shortly by reported animal infections [2]. Sporotrichosis occurs worldwide, with hyperendemic areas in Brazil, China, and South Africa [3,4]. Clinical sporotrichosis in mammals results from two major infection routes: animal transmission and plant origin. Both routes involve trauma to cutaneous and subcutaneous tissues to introduce *Sporothrix* propagules into the skin. Cutaneous lesions develop at the inoculation site, and local dissemination occurs through the lymphatics during the first two to three weeks of infection [5]. Infections transmitted via either animal or plant vector often escalate to outbreaks or epidemics.

Over the last decade, molecular phylogeny has revolutionized the taxonomy of pathogenic *Sporothrix* species [6], altering our perceptions regarding epidemiology, host-association, virulence, and drug susceptibility [7–10]. The classical agent *Sporothrix schenckii* now comprises several molecular siblings nested in a clinical clade with *S. brasiliensis*, *S. globosa*, and *S. luriei* (Fig 1) [6]. *S. brasiliensis* is related to atypical and more severe clinical manifestations [11]. For decades, feline sporotrichosis in Brazil appeared only as sporadic, self-limiting clusters. However, the current outbreak of feline sporotrichosis because of *S. brasiliensis* in South and Southeast Brazil has risen to epidemic status, creating a public health emergency of international concern because of the potential of zoonotic transmission [9,12–15].

### Diverse Ecologies and Strategies of *Sporothrix*

Our knowledge of the natural ecology of Ophiostomatales derives from studies including basal lineages of *Sporothrix* and *Ophiostoma*, which occupy many niches (plant, soil, and bark beetles) and usually lack pathogenicity for mammals. Despite the close phylogenetic relationship with members of Ophiostomatales (Fig 1), the ecology of human-pathogenic *Sporothrix* remains enigmatic [3,4,16], particularly regarding the factors driving population dynamics and distribution ranges in nature. Species tend to appear in the form of outbreaks, which depend on specific and rare conditions in decaying plant material [4,17].

Genomic comparisons of *S. brasiliensis* and *S. schenckii* have elucidated the emergence of mammal pathogenicity in the Ophiostomatales. *Sporothrix* undergoes morphological transition in response to temperature, developing as filamentous hyphae during its saprophytic stage.
Fig 1. Phylogenetic analyses correlate with ecological behavior of Sporothrix species. Comparing the clades over the entire tree reveals a consistent decrease of bark beetle and soil association outside Ophiostoma, with a concomitant increase of vertebrate infectivity in S. brasiliensis, S. schenckii, and S. globosa. Phylogenetic tree generated by neighbor-joining analysis using partial nucleotide sequences of the rDNA operon (ITS1+5.8S+ITS2). Bootstrap value (1,000 replicates) was added to respective branches. Each species is indicated at its respective position in the phylogenetic tree. Bar = total nucleotide differences between taxa.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005638.g001
at 25°C or as yeast in host tissue at 37°C. Comparisons with other dimorphic fungi—including *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis*, *Histoplasma capsulatum*, *Blastomyces dermatitidis*, and *Talaromyces marneffei*—reveal multiple complex adaptive evolutionary strategies and that dimorphism in *Sporothrix* represents convergent evolution [18], shared with distant human pathogens in Onygenales and Eurotiales. This emphasizes the importance of this morphological adaptation to infection. Indeed, the global efficiency of mycelium-to-yeast transition in *Sporothrix* varies among clades that exhibit different pathogenic behaviors. For example, *S. brasiliensis* shows successful development of numerous yeast-like budding cells, while impaired morphological switching is seen among true environmental entities, such as *S. chilensis* and *S. mexicana*. This phenomenon could be correlated with attenuated virulence of *Sporothrix* in the environmental clade [10,19,20]. Moreover, comparative genomics has enabled identification of a contraction of plant-degrading enzymes in *S. brasiliensis* and *S. schenckii*, which has been interpreted as adaptation from plants to animals [18]. This may further support the previous epidemiological perception of *Sporothrix* making a host jump from plant to animal transmission [9].

**Transmission Types in Sporothrix**

*S. schenckii* and *S. globosa* are cosmopolitan pathogens that generally follow an environmental transmission route via traumatic inoculation of contaminated plant debris (Fig 2A, green route). For over a century, this route has affected specific occupational populations, including agricultural workers and gardeners (Fig 2), and was termed “rose breeders’ disease.” At the other extreme, the highly virulent clonal offspring *S. brasiliensis* is associated with animal infections and zoonotic transmission through deep scratches and bites from infected cats [14]. The host jump of *Sporothrix* from plant to animal transmission [9] is an important feature among the Ophiostomatales (Fig 2A, purple and red routes), distinguishing cat-transmitted sporotrichosis as an occupation-independent disease.

Numerous and large outbreaks are described in the literature [4,9,12,15,17,21]. *S. brasiliensis* accounts for the majority of infections via felines, with a clonal population structure observed during outbreaks. Strains with identical genotypes are found at small geographic distances [9,13]. A slow dispersal vector is likely at work. Since domestic felines are relatively sedentary, the zoonosis is expected to show slow geographical expansion (Fig 2A, purple route) [9,12]. *S. globosa* also shows low degrees of variation, suggesting clonality [4,6], but a major difference with *S. brasiliensis* is observed in that identical genotypes are repeatedly found at large geographic distances, with identical isolates originating from Colombia, China, and Brazil [4]. A rapid vector of dispersal may be responsible for the transoceanic spread of *S. globosa*. Given the large distances between strains, airborne dissemination via plant debris dust seems likely. Cats rarely play a role in sapronoses by *S. globosa* [21]. Conversely, plants are never observed as sources of infection by *S. brasiliensis*, and felines are responsible for continued animal–animal transmission during epizooties and zoonoses in South and Southeast Brazil (Fig 2A). In this regard, *S. schenckii* seems ecologically intermediate, and genetic recombination among different genotypes may have contributed to the evolution of diversity in the pathogenic clade (Fig 2A) [9].

** Drivers of S. brasiliensis Infection: Letting the Cat out of the Bag**

Understanding the emergence of new and old fungal agents is critical for promoting effective public health policies [22]. Host shifts generally result from recent pathogen introduction into a susceptible host population [23–25]. Felines present a broad spectrum of clinical sporotrichosis, ranging from single lesions to fatal systemic forms [14,26]. The disease is easily transmitted,
Fig 2. Transmission routes in human and animal sporotrichosis. The transmissibility between different species of clinical interest is explored based on epidemiological data. (A) *Sporothrix brasiliensis* is associated with large epizooties during animal horizontal transmission (purple route). This is not an exclusive host association, since *S. schenckii* may also infect cats but with lower frequency. Cat-borne sporotrichosis can be transmitted to humans (zoonoses) via deep scratching and biting, through which high loads of yeast cells are inoculated into host tissue (red route). The threat of cross-species pathogen transmission (purple and red routes) poses the risk of a massive epidemic for humans in highly endemic areas. Note that *Sporothrix schenckii* and *Sporothrix globosa* cause large sapronoses (green route), while *S. brasiliensis* is less frequent during sapronoses. The size of the species’ circumference is proportional to the likelihood of involvement (high, medium, or low) in each transmission route. (B) In the sapronotic route (classical pathway), the presence of the etiologic agents of sporotrichosis in nature can lead to an endemic profile, with fluctuation in the number of transmissions. However, the infections remain close to the baseline over time. (C) Highly specific conditions must be met to promote pathogen expansion in plant debris (see [4]). (D) In the alternative route, feline-borne transmission via deep scratching is highly effective during animal horizontal transmission and during zoonotic transmission, placing a larger number of individuals at risk of acquiring sporotrichosis.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005638.g002

with cat-to-cat and cat-to-human transmissions occurring via deep scratching and biting that inoculates high loads of *Sporothrix* (Fig 2A). Phylogenetic data support a recent habitat shift in *Sporothrix* from plant to cat, which apparently occurred in southeastern Brazil and is supporting *S. brasiliensis* emergence [9]. The cat-borne, highly pathogenic *S. brasiliensis* depends on its feline host for its epidemic emergence [9]. Feline sporotrichosis emergence in areas where the number of cases has remained near baseline for long periods underlines the threat of cross-species pathogen transmission (Fig 2D) [9,12]. Cats are the main vectors of *S. brasiliensis* transmission to humans in Brazil, but the roles of other mammals (e.g., rats) should also be investigated during *S. brasiliensis* epizooties. Lutz & Splendore isolated pathogenic specimens from naturally infected rats [2], confirming that *Rattus norvegicus* can develop sporotrichosis. Several reports have proposed other mammals as potential carriers of *Sporothrix* propagules, emphasizing their importance in sporotrichosis transmission, including armadillos, bats, dogs, and squirrels as well as invertebrates such as mosquitoes, ants, and spiders [4].

The epicenter of the long-lasting outbreak of cat-transmitted sporotrichosis is the metropolitan region of Rio de Janeiro (Brazil), where more than 4,000 human and 4,000 feline cases were diagnosed at Fundação Oswaldo Cruz between 1998 and 2012 [14]. Similar epidemics are occurring in Rio Grande do Sul and São Paulo (Brazil), which present high prevalences of *S. brasiliensis* infections [12,13,15]. Urban areas with high feline population densities seem to be important drivers of epizooties because of *S. brasiliensis*. Outside these areas, classical transmission types prevail, with subjects mainly infected via accidental traumatic inoculation, mostly while manipulating contaminated plant material [9]. Globally, *S. schenckii* is the major etiologic agent transmitted via the classical route [9], although *S. globosa* is preponderant in East Asia [4]. In endemic areas of feline sporotrichosis, early outbreak episodes are followed by massive continued transmission, suggesting negligence of the disease.

Compared to the alternative route (i.e., via the feline host, as in *S. brasiliensis*; see Fig 2D), the classic infection route is expected to be less effective, leading to scattered sporotrichosis cases in specific occupational groups (Fig 2B). However, large outbreaks have occurred by the classical route (Fig 2C). Large sapronoses reported from France [27], the US, [17], South Africa [28], and China [21] indicate that highly specific conditions are required to promote pathogen expansion in plant debris [4]. In the alternative feline transmission route, deep scratching is highly effective, placing larger numbers of individuals at risk of acquiring sporotrichosis (Fig 2D) [9,12,14].

**Strategies for Disease Containment**

In general, zoonotic pathogens are twice as likely to be associated with emerging diseases than nonzoonotic pathogens [29]. Here, we face a novel pathogen that emerged within a highly susceptible feline population and achieved efficient and massive transmission to humans [12–14]. Moreover, *S. brasiliensis* virulence is higher than that of other *Sporothrix*. Epidemics driven by
different transmission routes and agents with deviating virulence [7,19] and differential susceptibility to antifungals [8] necessitate Sporothrix diagnosis and identification for guiding public health policies and adjusting antifungal therapy [5,26,30]. Currently, two rapid assays are available to detect Sporothrix DNA directly from lesions and identify the pathogen with high sensitivity and specificity: rolling circle amplification [31] and species-specific PCR [30]. Likewise, serological assays, such as ELISA and immunoblot based on antigen preparations from Sporothrix, can detect antibodies to 3-carboxymuconate cyclase (gp60 and gp70) and may aid feline and human diagnosis [20,26] and patient follow-up [5]. Improvements in early diagnosis and surveillance systems may facilitate rapid identification and control of future outbreaks among cats and humans. Tackling an outbreak via the classical route (sapronoses) requires removal of thrix
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