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Abstract: The capacitive and kinetic parameters of hydride electrodes obtained on the basis of single-phase LaNi$_{5-x}$Ge$_x$ alloys ($x = 0.3$ and $0.6$) were related to their corrosive properties. The content of the article is important from the point of view of the improvement of LaNi$_5$ type materials for hydrogen energy storage used as anodes in NiMH batteries. The presence of large amounts of germanium (10% at.) in the alloy results in much less surface degradation compared to the low-germanium alloy (5% at.), which, on the one hand, leads to an improvement in the resistance of the high-germanium LaNi$_4.4$Ge$_{0.6}$ alloy to long-term cycling, but on the other hand, contributes to lower hydrogen absorption by this material. The maximum discharge capacity of 293 mAh g$^{-1}$ was obtained for the low-germanium alloy using a charge/discharge current density of 185 mA g$^{-1}$. The studied electrode also shows a lower tendency to self-discharge and a clearly higher exchange current density.
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1. Introduction

The gradual depletion of conventional fossil fuels and their negative impact on the natural environment (CO$_2$ emissions into the atmosphere, global warming), make it necessary to seek new sources of energy. Hydrogen is one of the ideal candidates for a clean energy medium due to its abundance and environmentally friendly nature. Efficient and safe hydrogen storage technologies play a crucial role in the widespread application of hydrogen energy [1]. Among the large number of considered hydrogen storage methods, the use of intermetallic AB$_5$-type compounds seems to be promising because of their good specific characteristics to absorb/desorb hydrogen in a reversible manner at ambient temperatures and pressures [2–8]. Especially LaNi$_5$ type alloys are extensively investigated materials for hydrogen energy storage as anodes in rechargeable nickel-metal hydride Ni-MH batteries [9–15]. Hydride electrode material for practical use should be characterized by the highest possible hydrogen absorption capacity at ambient pressure and high rates of charging/discharging processes. On the other hand, it is particularly important that the material provides high atomic hydrogen concentrations (high values of the stoichiometric index “n” in the MH$_n$ compound) at the lowest possible equilibrium pressures of hydrogen. Otherwise, some of the cathodically produced hydrogen may evolve on the M surface in the form of hydrogen gas bubbles (H$_2$). One of the disadvantages of using LaNi$_5$ as an anode in an Ni-MH cell is its efficiency limited to only 30–50% of its theoretical capacity [16,17]. Moreover, during subsequent discharge cycles, part of the anode undergoes irreversible degradation, which reduces the amount of available energy. Generally, the properties of LaNi$_5$ systems can be improved by modifying the chemical composition and selecting the appropriate alloy components for which the alloy corrosion process (oxidation of metallic elements) occurring during the discharge of the electrode is partially reversible in the charging process or, alternatively, by finding anode materials with better kinetics of charging/discharging processes. There has been a great deal of research reported on
the partial replacement of both the La metal [18,19] and Ni by various elements: Cu [20], Sn [21,22], Fe [23], Co [24,25], Al [26,27], Mn [28,29], and Zn [30]. There are no clear and universal criteria for selecting atoms for substitution, but one of the most noteworthy observations from these studies is the modification of the LaNi5 material by replacing nickel or lanthanum with larger atoms [31,32]. This can lead to a larger size of interstitial voids and thus a higher number of interstitial void occupied by hydrogen and, as may be expected, higher hydrogen storage capacity for modified version of material as compared to basic LaNi5. Another element that could be used as a substitute for Ni that seems to have a beneficial effect on hydrogen storage properties is germanium (the metallic radius of Ge is 10% larger than that of Ni [33]). Typical alloys that have been studied are represented by the general formula LaNi5-xGex, where x varies in the range 0.1 ≤ x ≤ 0.5 [34,35]. It has been shown that the plateau pressures for hydrogen absorption/desorption processes decrease with an increasing Ge content, as well as the kinetics of Ge substituted alloys are improved at a high Ge content. However, there are no data on the corrosion resistance and its influence on the electrochemical hydrogenation properties of the examined alloys. It is worth noting that understanding the corrosion mechanism is extremely important from the point of view of limiting the degradation of the investigated hydride electrode materials, as well as ensuring the appropriate capacitive and kinetic parameters of composite powder electrodes. Therefore, the aim of this study is to investigate the effect of partial replacement of Ni with Ge in hydride electrodes obtained on the basis of single-phase LaNi5-xGex alloys (x = 0.3, 0.6) on the values of the hydrogen capacity and the absorption/desorption kinetics in relation to the corrosion properties of the studied alloys.

2. Materials and Methods

LaNi5-xGex alloys were obtained by melting stoichiometric amounts of pure elements (99.99% purity) in an arc furnace under an argon atmosphere. Then, vacuum homogenization was applied in quartz ampoules at 600 °C for 240 h.

In order to determine the elemental composition of the examined alloys (Figure 1), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) measurements were performed using a JEOL JSM-6610 LV microscope.

Data analysis confirmed that the elemental composition of the alloys within the error limits up to 1% at. does not differ from the assumed composition.

In order to determine the phase composition of the obtained alloys, diffractometric studies were carried out using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer equipped with a Johansson monochromator (λCu Kα1 = 1.5406 Å) and a LYNXEYE strip detector. The obtained diffractograms were analysed using the PDF4 + database.

Both solid materials (with the working surface of the electrodes in the order of 0.1 cm²) and composite powder electrodes containing 0.030 ÷ 0.035 g of a hydrogen storage alloy were subjected to electrochemical tests.

The powder electrodes were prepared by mixing a 90 wt.% active material (LaNi5-xGex alloy with 10 wt.% PVDF (polyvinylidene fluoride). The well-mixed components were moulded on a laboratory press at the pressure of 50 bar and dried at 110 °C for 1 h. For comparative purposes, a commercial lanthanum nickel intermetallic compound LaNi5 with a purity of 99.9% (Alfa Aesar GmbH & Co KG, Karlsruhe, Germany) was used.

Electrochemical measurements were performed in a classic three-electrode system using a CHI 1140A electrochemical measuring station (CH Instruments USA). A platinum spiral electrode was used as the counter electrode, and the calomel saturated electrode (SCE) was used as the reference electrode. The tests were carried out in a 6 M KOH solution at the temperature of 25 °C.

Potentiokinetic polarization curves of the LaNi5-xGex solid alloys were recorded from −1.2 to 0.5 V vs. SCE, with a scanning rate equal to 10 mV s⁻¹. For the composite powder electrodes, the polarization curves were made at the rate of 1 mV s⁻¹, the potential range of −1.2 to −0.7 V vs. SCE before and after 100 charge/discharge cycles.
The composite powder electrodes were hydrogen saturated using a constant charge/discharge current density of 185 or 500 mA g\(^{-1}\). In order to determine the rate of hydrogen transport through the examined materials, the chronoamperometric technique was employed. The fully charged and activated electrodes were discharged at constant potential \(E = -0.7\) V vs. SCE for 10,000 s.

![Results of EDS analysis: (a) LaNi\(_{4.7}\)Ge\(_{0.3}\) (b) LaNi\(_{4.4}\)Ge\(_{0.6}\).](image)

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
|---------|----------|-----------|
| Ni K    | 61.89    | 77.41     |
| Ge K    | 5.06     | 5.12      |
| La L    | 33.04    | 17.47     |
| Totals  | 100.00   |           |

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
|---------|----------|-----------|
| Ni K    | 57.27    | 72.51     |
| Ge K    | 9.45     | 9.68      |
| La L    | 33.28    | 17.81     |
| Totals  | 100.00   |           |

Figure 2 shows the X-ray diffractograms of the investigated LaNi\(_{5-x}\)Ge\(_x\) alloys, where \(x = 0.3\) and 0.6, and compared them with the diffractogram of the reference, i.e., the LaNi\(_5\) alloy. Analysis of the diffraction patterns shows that the synthesized alloys are single-phase solid solutions and have a hexagonal structure of the CaCu\(_5\) type. As seen on Figure 2, the diffraction peaks’ maximums slightly shift to lower diffraction angles when Ni was partially substituted for by Ge due to the larger size (10%) of the germanium atom compared to the nickel atom. There is an increase in the unit cell volume on substitution of Ge from value 86.6 Å for LaNi\(_5\) to 87.8 Å LaNi\(_{4.7}\)Ge\(_{0.3}\) and 89.1 Å for LaNi\(_{4.4}\)Ge\(_{0.6}\).
Figure 2. X-ray diffraction patterns taken at room temperature for LaNi$_{5-x}$Ge$_x$ alloys.

3.2. Electrochemical Measurements

The changes in the open circuit potential (OCP) for LaNi$_{5-x}$Ge$_x$ solids as a function of time are shown in Figure 3. The potential was measured vs. SCE in a 6 M KOH electrolyte, immediately after the electrodes were immersed in the solution.

Figure 3. The open circuit potentials changes of LaNi$_{5-x}$Ge$_x$ alloys in 6 M KOH electrolyte.

The open circuit potentials, i.e., the corrosive potentials of LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$ and LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ are $-0.7$ V and $-0.5$ V, respectively, and are therefore significantly shifted in the anodic direction compared to the corrosion potential of the starting LaNi$_5$ alloy. It is generally accepted that the more positive corrosion potential results in an improvement in the anti-corrosive properties of the electrode [36–39]. Therefore, alloys containing germanium should be less susceptible to corrosion in a strongly alkaline environment compared to the undoped LaNi$_5$ alloy, which is important from the point of view of applying the above-mentioned materials in Ni-MH cells.

As can be seen from Figure 4, the partial substitution of nickel with germanium leads to about a 5–10 times reduction in anode currents in the passive range, which should provide them with greater corrosion resistance in an alkaline environment compared to the LaNi$_5$ compound.
The current increase in the passive range, shown in Figure 4, may indicate a low tightness of the passive layers. The increase in the anode current above the potential of approx. 0.4 V is caused by the release of oxygen due to the oxidation of OH ions.

The course of the charge/discharge curves shown in Figure 5 allows to determine the values of the density of the exchange currents of the H$_2$O/H$_2$ system for the studied materials, based on the jump in potential $\Delta E$ during current switching according to the relationship (1) [40]:

$$\log i_{\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{H}_2} = \frac{1}{2} \log (i_a/i_c) - \frac{\Delta E}{2b},$$

where: $i_{\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{H}_2}$—Exchange current density, $i_c$—Charging current density, $i_d$—Discharge current density and $b$—Slope coefficient of Tafel lines (in alkaline solution $b = 0.12$ V).

As is known from text [41], cycling causes a gradual decrease in the potential jump corresponding to the switching of the cathode to the anode current, which means that with the increase in the number of cycles, the exchange current density of the H$_2$O/H$_2$ system increases. For the 20th charge/discharge cycle (Figure 5), the jump in potential...
when switching the current is: 0.19 V for LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$, 0.11 V for LaNi$_5$ and 0.07 V for LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$. Therefore, the corresponding densities of the exchange currents H$_2$O/H$_2$ system amount to (mA g$^{-1}$): 29.5, 63.1 and 93.3. The exchange current density obtained for the LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$ low-germanium alloy is therefore clearly higher than that of the high-germanium alloy LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ as well as that of the reference—LaNi$_5$. Thus, from the point of view of improving the kinetics of the charge transfer process at the electrode/electrolyte interface, it is more preferable to substitute nickel with less germanium. The use of large substitutions within the AB$_5$ phase solid solution is definitely disadvantageous, which can also be seen when analysing the research results presented in [34,35].

As can be seen from Figure 6, the maximum discharge capacity for the LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$-based powder electrode is 293 mAh g$^{-1}$ shown in Figure 7. Doping the LaNi$_5$ alloy with germanium to the LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ composition leads to a decrease in the measured discharge capacity, which is set at 220 mAh g$^{-1}$. Moreover, the course of changes in the discharge capacity as a function of cycling indicates that the substitution of nickel with a smaller amount of germanium (x = 0.3) leads to faster activation of the alloy. As can be seen from Figure 6, the maximum discharge capacity for the electrode based on the LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$ alloy is obtained in the 3rd cycle, and for the material substituted with more germanium (x = 0.6) in the 14th cycle, similar to the basic LaNi$_5$ alloy.

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** Variation of discharge capacity of the tested composite electrodes for the first 20 cycles. Charge/discharge rate: -185/+185 mA g$^{-1}$ (6 M KOH, 25 °C).

For longer discharge times, it is possible to determine the effective hydrogen diffusion coefficient ($D_{H2}/a^2$) from the slope of the rectilinear sections of the logarithm of the discharge current vs. time [42] shown in Figure 7.

The slope of $\log i = f (t)$ is in fact:

$$\log \alpha = -\frac{\pi^2}{2303} \cdot \frac{D_H}{a^2},$$

(2)

where $a$ is the mean particle radius of a hydride material and $D_H$ is the effective diffusion coefficient.

As can be seen from Figure 7, the amount of germanium within the solid solution of the LaNi$_5$ phase does not have a significant effect on the value of parameter D/a$^2$ calculated on the basis of dependence (2). The D/a$^2$ value is $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ for LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$ and LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ electrodes, but the SEM images show different particle sizes for the tested materials, with the LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$ having smaller grains. Therefore, the diffusivity of hydrogen in the LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ alloy electrode is evidently greater.
The self-discharge of the Ni-MH cell is a consequence of the self-discharge process of the hydride electrode, i.e., it results from the spontaneous desorption of hydrogen from the hydrogen-saturated hydrogen-absorbing material. The process of hydrogen separation from the electrode takes place due to the difference in pressure between the partial pressure of hydrogen (H₂) in contact with the electrode and the equilibrium pressure of the hydride, and it is faster than the hydrogen transport rate within the solid phase. Self-discharge of the cell can be inhibited by applying a tight diffusion barrier on the electrode surface, e.g., by oxide phases resulting from corrosion of the material. Figure 8 shows the changes in the OCP potential for the studied electrodes after their complete saturation with hydrogen.

The OCP value at the level of −1.1 V indicates the H₂O/H₂ equilibrium, that is, it indicates the presence of the reduced form (H₂) in the system. The rapid increase in potential (above −1.1 V) is linked to the spontaneous desorption of hydrogen stored in the researched material. The longer the electrode shows a potential close to −1.1 V vs. (SCE), the lower its susceptibility to self-discharge. For an electrode made on the
basis of the LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$ alloy, the open circuit potential increases sharply after 80 h from −1.1 V to −0.97 V vs. SCE, which indicates complete hydrogen desorption and marks the beginning of oxidation of the electrode material. Figure 8 shows that the unfavourable self-discharge process associated with hydrogen desorption is much faster (about 1.5 times) for an electrode based on the LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ alloy. From the analysis of work [37] it is known that the equilibrium pressure of hydrogen desorption decreases with the increase in the degree of nickel substitution with germanium in the LaNi$_5$ alloy; therefore, the stability of the hydride is not a factor determining the faster self-discharge process of the LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ electrode either. The faster self-discharge of the LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ electrode material may be the result of the faster hydrogen diffusivity within the electrode and a lower concentration of hydrogen in the cathodically saturated material.

For the practical application of the electrode in hydride cells, it is important that the material shows the smallest possible decrease in discharge capacity as the cycle number increases. As shown in Figure 9, changes in the discharge capacity due to cycling indicate an increase in the stability of the hydride electrode with the increase in the germanium content in the studied LaNi$_{5-x}$Ge$_x$. The value of the ratio of the discharge capacity after 100 cycles to the maximum discharge capacity ($C_{100}/C_{\text{max}} \times 100\%$) is 78 and 100% for the LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$ and LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ alloys, respectively.

![Figure 9. Cyclic stability curves of LaNi$_{5-x}$Ge$_x$ composite electrodes (charge/discharge current density: 500 mA g$^{-1}$).](image)

In terms of the work potentials of the investigated hydride electrodes (from −1.3 to −0.7 V vs. SCE), the oxidation of lanthanum, nickel or germanium cannot be prevented. The respective La$_2$O$_3$, NiO and GeO$_2$ oxides are formed on the surface of the LaNi$_{5-x}$Ge$_x$ alloys during the discharge processes. The highly active lanthanum ($E_{{\text{La/La}_{2}\text{O}_{3}}} = −2.99$ V vs. SCE) will oxidize in an alkaline environment, causing the electrode capacity to drop. If the discharge stage is terminated at −0.7 V vs. SCE, also nickel ($E_{{\text{Ni/Ni}}} = −1.03$ V vs. SCE) will oxidize but the resulting NiO is reduced to Ni during the charging process. Thus, the corrosion process of nickel is reversible as opposed to the oxidation of lanthanum [43,44].

The situation is still different for GeO$_2$, which is amphoteric and can be partially removed by etching in a strong electrolyte (KOH) [45], reducing the tightness of the passive layer and enabling the cyclic operation of the electrode.

For the practical use of Ni-MH batteries it is essential that the material exhibits as small as possible of a loss of the discharge capacity with increasing discharge rate. As can be seen in Figures 6 and 9, the electrochemical capacity decreases with the increase in the discharging current density. The electrode’s ability to resist a high-rate discharge (HRD) is defined as:

$$HRD = \frac{Q_d}{Q_{\text{max}}} \times 100\%$$ (3)
where \( Q_{\text{max}} \) represents the maximum capacity (in this case at a current density of 185 mA g\(^{-1}\)), and \( Q_d \) is the capacity at the discharge current density of 500 mA g\(^{-1}\). The HRD is about 72% and 37% for the LaNi\(_{4.7}\)Ge\(_{0.3}\) and LaNi\(_{4.4}\)Ge\(_{0.6}\) composite electrode, respectively. The electrode’s ability to resist a high-rate discharge is controlled by the charge transfer processes at the surface and by the hydrogen diffusion processes in the bulk and decreases rapidly with the increasing content of germanium in alloy.

Figure 10 shows the potentiokinetic curves of the composite powder electrodes before and after 100 charge/discharge cycles in the 6 M KOH solution.

![Figure 10: Polarization curves of composite electrodes recorded in 6 M KOH solution at a scan rate of 1 mV s\(^{-1}\) before and after 100 charge/discharge cycles.](image)

After long-term cycling, a clear increase in the density of the cathode and anode currents is visible, which can be attributed to the reduction and oxidation reactions taking place, respectively, during the charging and discharging processes of the hydride electrodes. As can be seen from Figure 10, after 100 charging/discharging cycles, the anode current density for the low germanium alloy (\( x = 0.3 \)) is an order of magnitude higher than that for the high germanium alloy (\( x = 0.6 \)), which proves the lower stability of the passive layer formed on the surface of the electrode obtained on the basis of the LaNi\(_{4.7}\)Ge\(_{0.3}\) alloy. As a result of the cycling of LaNi\(_{5-x}\)Ge\(_x\) type materials, the amounts of La\(_2\)O\(_3\) in the surface layers systematically and continuously rise. The presence of La\(_2\)O\(_3\) in the passive layer somewhat inhibits the corrosion of the substrate; however, owing to the irreversible oxidation of lanthanum, it must lead to wear of the hydride material and reduction of the capacity in longer time intervals. Moreover, the resulting Ni (II) oxide is effectively reduced in the first stages of each charging cycle, and the Ge (IV) oxide is periodically removed, increasing the development of the specific surface of the electrode and limiting its tightness so that surface passivation does not reach a critical level, inhibiting the penetration of hydrogen. With a high germanium content in the LaNi\(_{5-x}\)Ge\(_x\) alloy (\( x = 0.6 \)), the rate of GeO\(_2\) formation most likely exceeds its dissolution rate and surface corrosion is slower; nonetheless, the corrosion products (La\(_2\)O\(_3\), GeO\(_2\)) accumulate irreversibly over time and a tight passive layer forms, which on the one hand, leads to high cyclical resistance of the material, but on the other hand, to a decrease in hydrogen capacity (Figure 9). In contrast, in the case of the LaNi\(_{4.7}\)Ge\(_{0.3}\) alloy, the rate of corrosive oxidation is higher, but GeO\(_2\) is periodically removed, limiting the tightness of the passive layer and causing a rise in the development of the specific electrode surface. It should be noted from Figure 11 that the surface morphology changes with the amount of germanium in the alloy.
The superior performance of the LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$ electrode can be explained by the increased surface of the electrode, which is associated with the presence of smaller grains after the cycling stability measurements due to pulverization of the material. As can be seen from Figure 5, this contributes to an increase in the exchange current density of the H$_2$O/H$_2$ system and a rise in the electrode discharge capacity. As can be seen from Figures 9 and 10, the worse corrosion resistance of the LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$ alloy causes about 20% decline in the electrode capacity after 100 charge/discharge cycles, which was not observed for the electrode based on the LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ alloy. Nevertheless, it should be borne in mind that the improvement of the corrosive behaviour of a hydrogen storage material must always be paid for by a deterioration of the kinetics of hydrogen absorption/desorption on this material.

4. Conclusions

The synthesis of LaNi$_{5-x}$Ge$_x$ alloys ($x = 0.3, 0.6$) by the arc fusion of stoichiometric amounts of pure metals ensures their complete conversion, leading to obtaining materials with a single-phase structure.

The valuable property of the partial substitution of nickel with germanium in the LaNi$_5$ alloy is clearly the better behaviour of the material in the passive state, which indicates the possibility of improving the service life of the hydride electrode material in a strongly alkaline environment.

Modification of the LaNi$_5$ alloy to the composition of LaNi$_{4.7}$Ge$_{0.3}$ leads to an improvement in the electrochemical activation of the electrode and a rise in its discharge capacity by approx. 110 mA g$^{-1}$.

The effective hydrogen diffusion coefficient value is higher for the LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ alloy, while smaller amounts of Ge ($x = 0.3$) are favourable from the point of view of the kinetics of the charge transfer process.

Too much germanium (10% at.) and at the same time a smaller amount of nickel (73.3% at.) in the LaNi$_{4.4}$Ge$_{0.6}$ alloy limits the penetration of hydrogen through tight passive layers, leading to a noticeable decrease in the discharge capacity—especially high-current (500 mA g$^{-1}$) electrode charging and discharging conditions result in a drop in the measured current capacities.

The deterioration of the compactness of the passive layers may have negative consequences from the point of view of storage and the technology of producing electrodes from a hydrogen storage material as it will lead to accelerated corrosion of this type of material. On the other hand, from the point of view of improving the kinetics of the hydrogen absorption/desorption process, the steps towards effective passivation of the electrode material seem to be unjustified, as they will lead to a decrease in the exchange current density of the H$_2$O/H$_2$ system.
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