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ABSTRACT

Social media has a vital part of an individual’s life that allows people to communicate with one another. In recent days the prevalence of addiction to social media among students is a serious issue. The objective of this study was to assess the impact of social media on the academic life and performance of high school students in Saudi schools, in Eastern Province Saudi Arabia. This was a cross-sectional questionnaire-based study conducted in a Saudi school over 3 months (Nov 2020 – Jan 2021), where 203 high school students were participated in the study. Data collected from the questionnaire included the academic performance in terms of school marks, the duration of social media use, time spend for studying, and social media addiction. Data analysis was performed using a statistical package for social science version 26 (SPSS) was used. Most of the participants were male students 131 (64%) and half of them 82 (40.4%) were in their academic second year. The mean time spent by students studying in a week was 18.50 (M=18.50, SD = 8.33), while 3.79 mean hours per day were spent by students to use social media (M= 3.79, SD=2.65). A large majority of the participants using WhatsApp 191(94%) followed by snap chat 176 (86.7%).

*Corresponding author: E-mail: Saboohsabooh3@gmail.com;
agreed that the time spend on social networks takes up their time for studying. Based on Internet distraction, 81.3% of the participants agreed that social network is the main reason for their distraction in studies. The time spend on studying per week were significantly associated with gender (P= 0.0001), age (P= 0.0001). Also there was significant difference between male and female in the final exam scoring (P= 0.0001). The results found that the use of social media among Saudi school students’ found high. Additionally, findings reported that the use of social media has distracted students from studying.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The number of people using the internet, including Social media (SM), is growing worldwide, and it has become a vital part of everyone's life, regardless of gender or age [1-3]. According to 2021 Statistics, the worldwide prevalence of SM was increased from 2.07 billion in 2015 to 3.96 billion in 2021. The average time spent by an individual on SM is estimated to be 2 hours 24 minutes [4]. Additionally, literature evidenced that use of SM is more prevalent among high school students and adolescents [5,6]. It was estimated that 93% of American teens aged between 12 to 17 years were used multiple social sites in 2015 [7]. In Saudi Arabia, according to recent previous reports in 2021, there were a total of 27.80 million SM users, while China ranked first in the prevalence of SM use [8]. The most common reason for the high prevalence of SM were for the sake of communication [1,5] entertainment, or, staying connected with friends and family, facilitating intragroup–intergroup relationships, making new friends, sharing pictures, and exchanging ideas [9-12]. Some other studies reported that peoples use SM for the sake of news on current events, or to gather health information or to search for health topics, such as grooming or beautifying or drug use [5,12, 13].

Several studies regarding the impact of SM on students have been conducted at both national and international levels and reported that SM harms students’ academic performance, additionally, earlier findings also demonstrate that the more usage of SM, the worse their academic performance suffers [14,15]. Most previous reports from Arab countries have revealed the increased usage of SM had a massive impact on students’ academic performance [16-19]. Additionally, a previous study from a developing country reported lower academic performance among users of SM and concluded that SM ruin the future of teenagers and children of schooling [18].

Although Social networking sites (SNSs) are services that allow users to build profiles to connect with friends or other people that share similar backgrounds or interests. Of social networking sites includes a user's personal information including name, gender, and a picture presentation, and allow users to interact with one another in a variety of ways [19]. The most popular Social networking sites are Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, Snapchat, and Instagram [19]. In 2016 a data provided by [20] states that Facebook had 3 million reach monthly on average; Twitter had 500 million active users worldwide. Teenagers and college students (age 18 - 29) are among the highest users of online SM [22]. According to a recent study the time spent on SM has increased over the last few years [23]. Each year students are investing an average of nine hours a day of their time being online on Instagram, Facebook, YouTube, Twitter, etc. Additionally, research on the impact of SM on the academic life and performance of high school students are rare in Saudi Arabia, therefore we designed this study to assess the impact of SM on the academic life and performance of high school students in Dammam, Saudi Arabia.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Design and Participants

A cross-sectional, descriptive study was conducted over 6 months, using structured self-administered questionnaires. The targeted participants were high school students of the Eastern Province region of Saudi Arabia namely umm Salamah, Sheikh Abdul Aziz ibn Baz, and Sheikh Muhammad bin Abdul Wahab. The students who were currently studying their courses in the schools and regular students of the schools, who can read and understand the
English and Arabic languages were included, while students from other schools were excluded.

2.2 Questionnaires and Data Collection

A set of questionnaires was designed by the researchers to collect data [24,25]. The questionnaire consisted of two sections, the first section focusing on demographic information, such as gender, age, educational level, and experience of using SM. Secondly, a section addressing the impact of SM on student's academic life and was assessed on a 5-point Likert scale (Strongly Disagree = 1, Disagree = 2, Neutral = 3, Agree = 4, and Strongly Agree = 5) with a total of 22 items. The questionnaires were validated using a pilot study with 20 participants, after which necessary revisions were made before beginning the research. The approximate time limit for answering the questionnaires was 10 to 15 minutes. The Cronbach's alpha value of 0.71 was found appropriate for the study.

The final questionnaire was sent to the participants through WhatsApp© and Facebook. An invitation link containing a survey questionnaire was sent to the participants registered mobile number. The data collection was carried out using convenience sampling technique without predetermined sampling. All the students on the behalf of their parents, were approached through registered mobile number and completed the research questionnaires. To make the study more representative, researcher was constantly sending the reminders to fill the research questionnaires until we reach the required sample size. For the purpose of data collection and to send reminders there was research team (one researcher and two physicians). The researcher was further followed up until required sample size was reached.

2.3 Data Analysis

Data extraction is performed for the examination of questionnaires filled out by participants and to check the accurateness and completeness of the data. A descriptive analysis was performed. Categorical data were calculated as frequencies and percentages. Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. To find out the association between variables one-way ANOVA was used. Statistically, significant test results were determined based on a p-value < 0.05.

3. RESULTS

A total of 203 participants completed the questionnaires. Among those, most of them were males 131 (64%) and more than half of them were 123 (61.8%) aged between 16 and 18 years old, while 60 (30.2%) were aged between 19 and 21 years. The mean age of the students was 17.73±1.68 (Std). Nearly half 82 (40.4%) of the participants were in their academic second year and slightly more than one-third of the students were belonging to the scientific program 75(36.9%). With regards to the last final exam grades slightly less than one-third of the participants, 52 (26.1%) scored >90 %, while the majority 116(58.3%) of the students scored between 71-90%, and 26(13.1%) of the students scored 51-70% of the marks (Fig. 1). The most prevalent SM use among the participants were WhatsApp 191 (94%) followed by snap chat 176 (86.7%), Instagram 80(39.4%) (Fig. 2). Among the studied participants about one-third 75(36.9%), they were found from scientific program. The mean time spent by students studying in a week was 18.50(M=18.50, SD = 8.33), while 3.79 mean hours per day were spent by students to use SM (M= 3.79, SD=2.65). The detailed information on the demographic data is given in Table 1.

Out of these 203 student’s majority, 171 (84.2%) agreed that the time spend on social networks takes up their time for studying. Based on Internet distraction, 165(81.3%) of the participants agreed that social network is the main reason for their distraction in studies. Most of the surveyed students 160(78.8%) strongly agreed that they spend more hours on SM rather than spend on reading. Interestingly the majority of the students agreed or strongly agreed that their unlimited communication with SM users by their cell phone negatively affects their school performance. Additionally, most of them160(78.8 %) agreed or strongly agreed that SM has negatively affected their GPA. However, the use of digital SM not only affecting the thoughts, feelings, and ideas of the students but also their writing skills. (84.7%) agreed that their writing skills are affected by the use of SM. 76.4% of the students agreed that engaging too much in SM baffles them. The student's negative perceptions about the use of SM were given in Table - 2. Table-3 presents the student's responses with regards to the positive impact of SM in academics. With regards to the positive effect of SM in academics, most of them use SM platforms like 175(86.2%) of them uses to
participate in educational discussions and 168(82.8%) uses to understand what they learned in their classes. Although a great majority of the students 173(85.2%) take benefit of WhatsApp to share information with their classmates. By investigating the respondents whether the SM had improved their academic grades, 152 (74.9%) of them confirmed this fact, while 163(80.3%) of them agreed that SM positively affected obtaining their GPA. A further follow-up regarding the latest development of SM in their field of study 175(86.2%) accepted this fact while 84.2% accepted the fact that the use of SM has improved their communication skills. In general, (87.7%) of the respondents use SM to communicate with their teachers. 78.6% of them agreed that the faculty members encourage them to use SM as part of the class assignments. 82.8% use SM extensively because most of their study assignments are in the form of blogs and online presentations. The time spend on studying per week were significantly associated with gender. Being female were prone to spend more time (mean = 21.82, SD =7.28) in comparison to male students (Mean= 16.68, SD=8.34), indicating significant difference (P= 0.0001). Similarly, the time spend on social media was significant different with age (P= 0.0001). Also there was significant difference between male and female in the final exam scoring (P= 0.0001). The detailed information was shown in Table-4.

![Fig. 1. Last exam grades of the participants](image)

![Fig. 2. The prevalence of SM among highs school students](image)
### Table 1. Participant’s demographic characteristics and academic-related characters

| Demographics     | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| **Gender**       |               |                |
| Male             | 131           | 64.5           |
| Female           | 72            | 35.5           |
| **Age(years)**   |               |                |
| 10-15 years      | 16            | 0.8            |
| 16-18            | 123           | 61.8           |
| 19-21            | 60            | 30.2           |
| **Academic year**|               |                |
| The First year   | 49            | 24.1           |
| The second-year  | 82            | 40.4           |
| The third-year   | 72            | 35.5           |
| **The program**  |               |                |
| General          | 59            | 29.1           |
| Literary         | 69            | 34.0           |
| Scientific       | 75            | 36.9           |
| **How many hours per week do you usually spend studying?** | 18.50±8.33 |
| **How many hours per day do you spend on SM?** | 3.79±2.65 |

### 4. DISCUSSION

Social networking has become a widespread international trend that has reached practically every country on the planet. SM sites have grown in popularity and evolved into an online platform where users create, share, bookmark, and network at a rapid pace. Students are among the most active users of SM. Although earlier studies revealed that SM has negative effects on the students’ performance in academics, [1-5] which was similar to current study findings. In this study, 81.3% of the students agreed that social networking sites distracted them from their studies. In this study, the most common SM applications use among students were WhatsApp (94.1%), followed by Snapchat (86.7%), Instagram (39.4%), and other applications (0.2%). While an earlier study by Bhandarkar et al in 2021 reported WhatsApp and YouTube (91.75%) were the most popular SM applications [2]. Similarly, another previous study published in the Saudi Journal of Biological science reported that Snapchat (45%), Instagram (22%), Twitter (18%), and WhatsApp (7%) were the most prevalent SM applications among students [5]. However, in developed countries, MOST commonly used SM was Facebook [6]. The reason of wide spread use of SM, might be to attract more users and gain more popularity over other platforms, SM applications are constantly upgrading their feature set, enhancing their user-friendliness, and expanding their access to information [6]. Additionally, in Saudi Arabia, the lower prevalence of Facebook is due to the Islamic nature of the country, and the parents might be restricted to them.

In this study, most of the students perceived that the time they spend on social networks takes up their time of studying also reported that the distraction from their studies, which is similar to a previous study published by [24,25]. Most of the earlier studies reported that that SM had influenced their learning activities, and a majority of the students agreed that they were more drawn to SM than academic pursuits [24,25]. In contrast to some other studies, both national and international level reports found that the use of SM has a positive effect on academics. For instance, earlier study reported the impact of SM on the academic performance of college students in Kashmir found students used SM networking sites to fulfill their educational needs [26]. Additionally, Palla and Sheikh's findings also reported that SM networks are simple to use and have been utilizing for three years. Findings also reported that students benefit immensely from the usage of SM since it allows them to share their knowledge and information with others, enhance their academic grades, and improve their reading and writing skills [26]. These previous findings were similar to current study findings. In this study, most of the students agreed that SM has helped them to improve their grades in academics, while most of them agreed SM has helped them to participate in educational discussions on SM platforms. Additionally, current findings also evidenced that students used SM extensively because most of my study
Table 2. Student’s agreement about the negative effects of SM use on the academic performance

| Questionnaires                                                                 | Strongly agree n (%) | Agree n (%) | Neutral n (%) | Disagree n (%) | Strongly Disagree n (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| The time I spend on social networks takes up my time of studying                | 171(84.2)            | 11(5.4)     | 6(0.3)        | 12(5.9)        | 3(1.5)                  |
| Social networks on the Internet distract me from my studies                    | 165(81.3)            | 14(6.9)     | 7(3.4)        | 13(6.4)        | 4(0.2)                  |
| I spend more hours on SM than I spend reading                                  | 160(78.8)            | 23(11.3)    | 12(5.9)       | 6(0.3)         | 2(0.1)                  |
| Unlimited communication on Face book using my cell phone                       | 162(79.8)            | 19(9.4)     | 3(1.5)        | 10(4.9)        | 9(4.4)                  |
| SM has negatively affected my writing skills                                  | 172(84.7)            | 12(5.9)     | 6(0.3)        | 4(0.2)         | 9(4.4)                  |
| Engaging in SM forums baffle me                                               | 155(76.4)            | 2(1.0)      | 18(8.9)       | 25(12.3)       | 8(3.9)                  |
| SM negatively affected my GPA                                                  | 160(78.8)            | 18(8.9)     | 7(3.4)        | 9(4.4)         | 9(4.4)                  |
| I lose focus once I drop out of my studies time using SM                       | 157(77.3)            | 17(8.4)     | 20(9.9)       | 8(3.9)         | 0(0)                    |

Table 3. Student’s agreement about the positive effects of SM on the academic performance

| Questionnaires                                                                 | Strongly agree n (%) | Agree n (%) | Neutral n (%) | Disagree n (%) | Strongly Disagree n (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| I participate in educational discussions on SM platforms                        | 175(86.2)            | 17(8.4)     | 10(4.9)       | 0(0)           | 1(0.5)                  |
| I take advantage of WhatsApp to share information with my classmates           | 173(85.2)            | 19(9.4)     | 8(3.9)        | 1(0.5)         | 2(1.0)                  |
| SM positively affected my GPA                                                  | 163(80.3)            | 18(8.9)     | 16(7.9)       | 5(2.5)         | 1(0.5)                  |
| I am following the latest developments in my field of study via SM.             | 175(86.2)            | 21(10.3)    | 6(0.3)        | 1(0.5)         | 0(0)                    |
| I only rely on the information I got from SM                                   | 07(3.4)              | 10(4.9)     | 18(8.9)       | 7(3.4)         | 161(79.3)               |
| My SM use helped improve my grades                                             | 152(74.9)            | 22(10.8)    | 25(12.3)      | 2(01)          | 2(1.0)                  |
| I use social media sometimes to understand what I learned in class             | 168(82.8)            | 28(13.8)    | 2(0.1)        | 5(2.5)         | 0(0)                    |
| I wouldn’t do better in school if I stopped using social media                  | 16(7.9)              | 11(5.4)     | 164(80.8)     | 8(3.9)         | 4(2.0)                  |
| The use of social media is encouraged by the professors as part of the class assignments | 159(78.3)            | 32(15.8)    | 10(4.9)       | 2(1.0)         | 0(0)                    |
| We have a group of social media for some courses.                              | 166(81.8)            | 27(13.3)    | 8(3.9)        | 2(1.0)         | 0(0)                    |
| I use social media to make more friends than I use it for school purposes       | 164(80.8)            | 16(7.9)     | 11(5.4)       | 9(4.4)         | 3(1.5)                  |
| I have to use social media extensively because most of my study assignments are in the form of blogs and online presentations | 168(82.8)            | 25(12.3)    | 7(3.4)        | 3(1.5)         | 0(0)                    |
| Social media has improved communication with others.                           | 171(84.2)            | 24(11.8)    | 8(3.9)        | 0(0)           | 0(0)                    |
| I communicate with teachers via social media                                   | 178(87.7)            | 19(9.4)     | 6(3.0)        | 0(0)           | 0(0)                    |
Table 4. Association between demographics, academic characteristics of student’s and time spent on social media and for studying

| Questionnaires                                      | Mean | Std  | F    | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|---------|
| How many hours per day do you spend on social media?|      |      |      |         |
| Male                                                | 3.75 | 1.51 | 0.106| 0.745   |
| Female                                              | 3.88 | 3.97 |      |         |
| How many hours per week do you usually spend studying?|      |      |      |         |
| Male                                                | 16.68| 8.34 | 19.25| 0.0001  |
| Female                                              | 21.82| 7.28 |      |         |
| The last final exam results                         |      |      |      |         |
| Male                                                | 81.07| 15.48| 4.72 | 0.031   |
| Female                                              | 85.63| 11.44|      |         |
| How many hours per day do you spend on social media?|      |      |      |         |
| 10-15 years                                         | 4.94 | 4.25 | 2.096| 0.126   |
| 16-18                                               | 3.54 | 1.53 |      |         |
| 19-21                                               | 3.85 | 3.60 |      |         |
| How many hours per week do you usually spend studying?|      |      |      |         |
| 10-15 years                                         | 12.56| 5.04 |      |         |
| 16-18                                               | 18.85| 8.38 |      |         |
| 19-21                                               | 20.43| 7.46 |      |         |
| The last final exam results                         |      |      |      |         |
| 10-15 years                                         | 84.06| 9.699|      |         |
| 16-18                                               | 83.11| 14.36| 0.759| 0.469   |
| 19-21                                               | 80.53| 15.23|      |         |
assignments are in the form of blogs and online presentations. This finding is evident that SM has both positive and negative impacts on students’ academic performance. Also the use of networking sites is more prevalent since most of the study materials and lectures are happening online using social networks to avoid delay in class attendance or to following the guidelines established by the government to control the COVID-19 pandemic.

Although many other studies reported social networking platforms potentially allows people to make social connections and network with others who have similar backgrounds, activities, personal or professional interests, or real-life relationships [24,27], while other studies found that students used SM to communicate with others, 43% of them utilized them to pass the time [24] while other study reported that SNSs were commonly used by students to acquire information and communicate with friends [28]. Similar previous studies also reported both positive and negative effects of the use of SM, which is similar to current findings [29].

According to a recent survey conducted in Nepal, frequent usage of Facebook among health science students has both beneficial and negative consequences for their social lives and health. The most prevalent negative health consequences are seen by Facebook users were burning eyes (21%), disturbed sleep (19%), and headache (16%). Although a similar study by Lahiry et al in 2019 reported that despite the students’ enthusiasm for social sites reported a total of 42.45% of them given a favorable while 45.6% of the negative impact of SM usage on physical well-being [30]. In addition to this using SM, makes individuals become addict to it.

5. CONCLUSION

A majority of the participants reported prolonged use of social networking sites for academic purposes as well as to get entertain. Additionally most of the students agreed that the use of SM distracted them from studying, while the current study reported both positive and negative effects of SM in academic life. These habitual behaviors can distract students from their academic work, adversely affect their academic performance, social interactions, which also lead to a sedentary lifestyle and physical inactivity, which in turn can render them vulnerable to non-communicable diseases and mental health problems.
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