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Abstract
Background: People with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) have an increased susceptibility for many chronic health conditions compared with their peers. An increasing number of adolescents are transitioning from pediatric to adult healthcare services. Thus, being able to access appropriate healthcare services that can not only address specific needs of the person but enable them to better manage healthcare conditions and decrease the development of preventable disease is necessary. A systematic review was conducted to identify barriers and enablers of healthcare access for autistic adults.

Methods: The studies included in the review were quantitative and qualitative and were published between 2003 and 2019. The participants for the review are considered to be adults (over 18 years of age) with a primary diagnosis of ASD.

Results: In total, 1290 studies were initially identified and 13 studies were included based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria outlined in a previous protocol paper. The analysis of these studies identified areas of concern to access appropriate healthcare, such as clinician knowledge, the environment, and life events.

Conclusion: Identifying the barriers to healthcare, highlights ways healthcare services can regulate scope of practice, the physical environment, and the process of managing health conditions, thus, autistic adults can strive for optimal health. This review contributes to peer-reviewed evidence for future research and up-to-date information when developing and piloting health interventions for autistic adults.

Ethics and dissemination: There are no human participants, data, or tissue being directly studied for the purposes of the review; therefore, ethics approval and consent to participate is not applicable.

Registration and Status: PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018116093

Abbreviations: ASD = autism spectrum disorder, MMAT = mixed methods appraisal tool.
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1. Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental condition where people may experience difficulties in social communication and social interaction skills, restricted interests, and repetitive behaviors.[1–4] ASD can impact a person and their supports throughout the lifespan.[5] Autistic people have an increased susceptibility to physical, mental, and social health issues.[6,7] The prevalence of ASD is estimated to be 1 in 160 children worldwide.[8] The implications of this increase in diagnosis is that there will be a substantial number of autistic adults transitioning from the pediatric healthcare system to the adult healthcare system. At the same time, people with ASD have been shown to experience health disparity compared with their peers.[9]

Autistic people are frequently reported to experience more common chronic health conditions than their peers, such as seizure disorders (11.9% compared with 0.73%), hypertension (25.6% vs 15.6%), and allergies.[10] Mental health difficulties are also common, such as anxiety, bipolar disorder, dementia, depression, and schizophrenic disorder.[4,6,10–12] Autistic people have also been reported to frequently demonstrate behaviors of concern such as aggression, property destruction, disruptive, and self-injurious behavior,[13–16] which may further interrupt their ability to access appropriate healthcare. Most notably, numerous studies have indicated that many common chronic health conditions, were significantly more common in autistic adults than their non-autistic peers.[6,7] Multiple life factors can impact the overall health of an autistic person[17,18] and consequently create barriers to access appropriate healthcare. These multiple factors can include, the ASD diagnosis, the age of diagnosis,
living situation, level of education, employment opportunities, or family and friend support.\textsuperscript{[17,18]}

Most of ASD research concentrates on children and adolescents\textsuperscript{[5,19,20]} and there are several reviews on disparities in healthcare for pediatrics, barriers for vaccinations, and autism intervention.\textsuperscript{[21–24]} The health disparities experienced by autistic adults, including barriers and enablers to healthcare remains unexplored, not quantified, and remains a question. That is why we are undertaking this project and the systematic review.

A review conducted by Tregnago and Cheak-Zamora\textsuperscript{[25]} considered disparities in healthcare, in a pediatric population. More recently, a systematic review by Mason et al\textsuperscript{[26]} explored physical healthcare services for autistic adults, which only included 6 studies. One of the reasons for this was the included studies focused on the views of autistic people.\textsuperscript{[26]} To date, there is no systematic review evidence on overall healthcare access for autistic adults from multiple perspectives and the level of healthcare where barriers and enablers are present. Given the increased prevalence of chronic health conditions and the incidence of preventable health problems experienced by autistic adults, ensuring this population has access to appropriate healthcare is vital, and a review that aims to identify known barriers and enablers may assist when developing and implementing appropriate health interventions in the future.

Reviewing the evidence for healthcare access for autistic adults will identify ways healthcare clinicians can regulate scope of practice, the environment and appropriate management of health conditions, thus, autistic adults attain optimal health. This systematic review will explore healthcare access, and appropriate services for autistic adults. An up-to-date systematic review is imperative for clinical practice and the results will provide peer-reviewed evidence for future research directions when developing and piloting health interventions for autistic adults. The primary question is what are the barriers and enablers of healthcare access for adults with ASD; and how can healthcare access for adults living with ASD be enhanced? Our hypothesis was that poor communication plus a lack of understanding of autism needs are the main barriers to access appropriate healthcare in primary settings and the literature exploring this topic is sparse.

2. Methods

2.1. Search strategy

This review is registered on the PROSPERO database (CRD42018116093) and the protocol is published.\textsuperscript{[28]} A university librarian with experience in systematic reviews assisted with the database search strategies. Databases searched were EBSCOhost, Scopus, PubMed, The Cochrane Library, and Web of Science applying this search strategy and the journal Autism was manually searched. A sample of a search strategy used to screen of articles is presented below. The inclusion criteria were as follows: a primary diagnosis of ASD although intellectual disability is a co-occurring condition\textsuperscript{[6]} and was included as a co-condition. Study types included were original peer-review research articles with a date from 2003 to 2019—a 16-year range. The participants for our review were considered to be adults (over 18 years of age) with a primary diagnosis of ASD. The search was limited to English and limited to adults living with ASD. No unpublished data were included. Our exclusion criteria were pediatric studies—children under the age of 18, ASD not the primary diagnosis, studies of parents of children under the age of 18, review papers (systematic or narrative), book chapters, commentary articles, opinions, letters, and editorials. The primary outcome was to identify barriers and enablers of healthcare access for autistic adults. A further level of analysis was conducted to identify the level of healthcare that needs further support to better access healthcare services for autistic adults.\textsuperscript{[28]}

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

One reviewer (SC) independently screened data from eligible articles and resolved any differences by discussion. All authors reviewed the titles and the results and compared with the eligibility criteria, to increase validity (RM, AI, JK). The EndNote program\textsuperscript{[29]} was employed as a database and utilized for the screening of articles. The inclusion criteria were as follows: a primary diagnosis of ASD although intellectual disability is a co-occurring condition\textsuperscript{[6]} and was included as a co-condition. Study types included were original peer-review research articles with a date from 2003 to 2019—a 16-year range. The participants for our review were considered to be adults (over 18 years of age) with a primary diagnosis of ASD. The search was limited to English and limited to adults living with ASD. No unpublished data were included. Our exclusion criteria were pediatric studies—children under the age of 18, ASD not the primary diagnosis, studies of parents of children under the age of 18, review papers (systematic or narrative), book chapters, commentary articles, opinions, letters, and editorials. The primary outcome was to identify barriers and enablers of healthcare access for autistic adults. A further level of analysis was conducted to identify the level of healthcare that needs further support to better access healthcare services for autistic adults.\textsuperscript{[28]}

2.3. Quality assessment, data extraction, and synthesis

The quality of studies were assessed using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT).\textsuperscript{[30]} The data were extracted using a data extraction form which included the studies for assessment of quality and evidence synthesis (Supplementary Material 2, http://links.lww.com/MD/E554).

Extracted information included quantitative studies: number of participants; source of participants (primary healthcare, secondary healthcare, tertiary healthcare); participant demographics (age, sex); geographic location; type of study; other co-conditions included; reported outcomes and statistical significance, and level of healthcare identified that may need further support.

Qualitative studies: number of participants; source of participants (primary healthcare, secondary healthcare, tertiary healthcare); participant demographics (age, sex); geographic location; type of study; other co-conditions included; category- or theme-level evidence from the findings or results section of the included papers, and level of healthcare identified that may need further support.

All quality assessment and data extraction were carried out by 1 reviewer and checked in detail by all reviewers. We completed a narrative synthesis of the results. A narrative synthesis is a systematic approach for undertaking a review where statistical methods or pooling of the data cannot be performed.\textsuperscript{[31]} Narrative synthesis is an approach that will enable the investigation of similarities and differences and highlight the quality of published evidence to inform practice or policy.\textsuperscript{[31]} We organized the studies inductively into broad categories of study design and summarized each study using the data extraction form for the study characteristics. Calleja et al\textsuperscript{[28]} proposed to use the Risk of Bias tool (RoB 2.0)\textsuperscript{[32]} although during the analysis, it was not applicable for the included studies.
3. Results
A total of 1290 articles were identified through the original search process, of which 93 were duplicates. Based upon title and abstract screening, 1129 articles were excluded as they did not meet inclusion criteria. Sixty eight articles were determined to be eligible and a full-text review was completed. The remaining 13 studies were included in the review. The screening process is detailed in Fig. 1—flow diagram.[33]

Table 1 summarizes the evidence examining barriers and enablers of healthcare for autistic adults. In the following synthesis, we characterize the evidence, describe each study’s findings, present a summary of each category, and determine the level of healthcare that may need further support. Of the selected articles, 7 used qualitative synthesis, 5 used quantitative synthesis, and 1 was a mixed-methods synthesis. Participants included in the studies were adults with ASD (N=9), parents/families (N=2), and healthcare providers (N=2). Most studies were carried out in the United States (N=5), followed by the United Kingdom (N=3). In all, 7 studies used a qualitative design, 2 used a non-randomized controlled design, 3 used a quantitative descriptive design, and 1 study used both a non-randomized controlled and quantitative descriptive design. The majority of studies highlighted that primary healthcare needed further support for autistic adults (N=10).

---

**PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram**

- Records identified through database searching (n = 1,115)
- Additional records identified through other sources (n = 175)
- Records after duplicates removed (n = 1,197)
- Records screened (n = 1,197)
- Records excluded (n = 1,129)
- Full-text articles excluded, with reasons
  - Paediatric studies: n = 15
  - Review article: n = 11
  - Book chapter: n = 1
  - Editorial/commentary: n = 4
  - ASD not primary diagnosis: n = 6
  - Not relevant to the topic: n = 18
- Studies included in synthesis
  - Qualitative: n = 6
  - Quantitative: n = 7

*From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
For more information, visit [www.prisma-statement.org](http://www.prisma-statement.org).*

Figure 1. Prisma flow diagram.
### Table 1
Summary of evidence examining barriers and enablers of healthcare for autistic adults.

| Reference | Number of participants | Source of participants | Participant demographics | Geographic location | Type of study | Other co-conditions included | Reported outcomes | Level of healthcare identified that may need further support |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Weiss, Wingo, and Lunsky 2014 | 155 | Online posting and email circulation by Canadian Asperger and Autism advocacy organizations | Mothers of children diagnosed with ASD. Mothers were 26–71 years old and children were 5–48 years old. | Canada | Qualitative description | N/A | Crisis from chronic and acute demands, Health problems | Overall support services — external. |
| Harlock, Bilaver, and Beldon 2016 | 41 | DCFs clinical services division identified service providers and information sources were sent out for recruitment | Service providers = Social workers Psychologists Private agency managers who support foster children aged 18–21 for transition to the adult healthcare system | Illinois | Qualitative focus groups | N/A | Facilitators of transition | Could not be determined |
| Frye 2015 | 10 | Networking—in-person | Fathers (> 18 who are English speaking) of children with ASD (cannot determine age of children) | United States | Phenomenological approach | No | High unmet service needs especially for mental health concerns | Primary healthcare |
| Tint and Weiss 2018 | 41 | Postings on ASD support organization websites and through email and social media | Female Over 18 years old Diagnosis of ASD Able to participate in one focus group | Greater Toronto area | Semic level analysis | Intellectual disability was not excluded but no participants had an intellectual disability | Transport access | Could not be determined but possibly nurses |
| DeM and Sapp 2016 | 23 | U.S. Sample of 23 participants (minimum 35 years old) | Professionals working in the field of autism and autistic self-advocates Germany—Berlin | Qualitative research project | No | Findings were complimented by practical guidelines about service accessibility | Primary healthcare |
| Griffith et al. 2011 | 11 | Autism charity websites and national/international/autism research network | Middle adulthood (minimum 55 years old) Asperger syndrome (formal diagnosis) No intellectual disability | Wales and UK | Interpretive phenomenological analysis (IPA) | N/A | Making appointments Waiting area Communication Examination | Primary healthcare |
| Nicolaidis et al. 2015 | 55 | Purposive sampling Recruited from a national sample who had completed their online healthcare survey, disability agencies, autism related organizations, group homes, local healthcare clinics and word of mouth | 55 participants (patient level, provider level, and system level) | The United States | CFPR—Thematic analysis | N/A | Autism related factors: Healthcare interactions affected—especially verbal communication Sensory sensitivity: lights were bright Provider level: Incorrect assumptions Lack of knowledge Providing unwillingness to communicate in writing System level: Informal or formal supports | Many levels of healthcare |

(continued)
| Reference | Number of participants | Source of participants | Participant demographics | Geographic location | Type of study | Other co-conditions included | Reported outcomes | Level of healthcare identified that may need further support |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Rogers et al, 2015 | 116 | Working in ASD diagnostic service Have to be clinically active at the time of completing the survey National Autistic Society online directory and internet searches for ASD diagnostic services | Multidisciplinary professionals | UK | Case series/incidence descriptive quantitative survey | N/A | Other co-conditions included Completing the survey Level of healthcare identified that may need further support | Primary healthcare |
| Rada, 2013 | Fifty records screened | Dental practice/hospital | People with ASD who need dental work | Illinois | Case-control (retrospective) | Intellectual disability Down syndrome Seizures Fragile X | Mean age 22 years old Under general anesthesia for 1 to 4 hours Mean age 22 years old Under general anesthesia for 1 to 4 hours | Primary/secondary healthcare |
| Nicolaidis et al, 2013 | Four hundred thirty seven (209 autistic and 228 non-autistic) | From the gateway project, a secure registration for online studies Convenience sample Posting to list services, blogs, websites targeting autistic adults Word of mouth | Over 18 years of age Access to the internet | US | Cross-sectional | Participants could list other co-conditions, if they did, they were still included in the study | Increase in odds of using the emergency department Increase in odds of using the emergency department Increase in odds of using the emergency department | Primary healthcare |
| Hare et al, 2004 | Twenty six families of adults with ASD | Database: Manchester learning disabilities partnership and national autistic society | Adults with a firm diagnosis of ASD Still living with family | Manchester, UK | Cross-sectional correlational design | N/A | Require more support at a younger age Require more support at a younger age Require more support at a younger age | Primary and secondary healthcare of concern |
| Foley et al, 2017 | Five hundred seventy nine ASD and 261,473 non-ASD | Sub study of BEACH programme which annually recruited a random sample of approximately 1000 general practitioners each of whom collected data for 100 consecutive encounters | Under 25 years old If participants were included in the ASD group, they must have a diagnosis | Australia | BEACH programme: single-stage cluster sample study design. This study is a subset which explores the BEACH data collected from April 2000-March 2014 for encounters | Multiple | Require more support at a younger age Require more support at a younger age Require more support at a younger age | Primary healthcare |
| Baldwin and Costley, 2016 | 82 | Subset of larger study called “We belong.” | Over 18 years old High functioning ASD No intellectual disability | Australia | Descriptive quantitative survey | N/A | Require more support at a younger age Require more support at a younger age Require more support at a younger age | Primary and secondary healthcare |
There was a variance in opinions for the type of studies, the outcomes and the quality of evidence, however, all authors came to a consensus using the MMAT (Supplementary Material 3, http://links.lww.com/MD/E535). The studies were divided into the following 2 categories of identified barriers to healthcare: Barriers to healthcare included: health conditions, communication, and life changes. Barriers to organizational healthcare provision included: support from health practitioners, lack of knowledge about ASD, care coordination, and environmental factors. A total of 8 studies evaluated autistic adults and the experiences of healthcare, a total of 2 studies evaluated the health needs of autistic adults, and 3 studies evaluated other outcomes regarding healthcare and autistic adults.

3.1. Barriers to healthcare consisted of 3 areas

3.1.1. The type of health conditions experienced by autistic adults compared with the general population. One of the barriers to accessing appropriate healthcare could be due to the type of health problems autistic adults are likely to experience,[34] which also impacts family caregivers. Health problems vary from chronic and physical health conditions[35] to mental health conditions.[35–39] Nicolaidis et al[41] identified that autistic adults had higher physical health needs (odds ratio [OR] 1.9 confidence interval [CI] 1.1–3.4) compared with non-autistic people and higher mental healthcare needs (OR 2.2, CI 1.3–3.7) compared with non-autistic people.

3.1.2. The ability of the autistic person to communicate their health needs. Poor miscommunication may be due to multiple factors, some factors identified by Tint and Weiss[17] is focused on the language used from the practitioner and inexperienced experts. This suggests that the communication mode may have to be addressed for transparency and to build strong provider–patient relationships to adequately address healthcare issues and concerns.

3.1.3. Life changes. While all people experience life changes, for people with ASD families and caregivers may be the focus of healthcare intervention rather than the person themselves. Further, most people transitioning from childhood to adulthood do not require a handover of services; yet for this population, going from a well-supported pediatric system to a fragmented system with an emphasis on self-management is difficult. A total of 3 studies highlighted that life changes may impact the lives of people living with ASD.[34,40,41] Hare et al[40] and Weiss et al[43] focused on family carers and crisis from acute demands, such as caregiving, multiple stressors, and lack of support from healthcare providers. Hare et al[40] and Havlicek et al[41] identified a significant importance in the transition period for people with ASD from the pediatric to adult healthcare system. There is limited coordination, the transition is usually fast which can be distressing and there is a lack of attention to personal needs.[41]

3.2. The organization of healthcare provision is often a barrier due to a number of factors. These include 3.2.1. Support from health practitioners and clinician dishonesty. Frye[42] and Weiss et al[43] identified that there is a lack of support from service providers, particularly, from general practitioners and the hospital system. Moreover, dishonesty and lack of information from healthcare providers were also reported as barriers in the healthcare system.[42] The participants in this study were fathers of autistic people and they expressed that the best information would come from people that have similar experiences.[42]

3.2.2. Lack of knowledge of autism. Nicolaidis et al[43] identified a number of factors impacting the personal level, provider, and system level. Healthcare interactions are important, they can be affected if verbal communication is unclear.[44] The outcomes for the provider level barriers were incorrect assumptions, lack of knowledge, and providers unwillingness to communicate in writing. Four studies addressed communication, between the provider and patient.[35,37,43,45] The system level barriers were highlighted around the supports for autistic people, the complexity of the healthcare system, accessibility and stigma.[44] This suggests that many levels of support can impact healthcare access for autistic adults.

3.2.3. Coordination of care. Healthcare providers play a vital role in access and delivery of healthcare for autistic adults. One study noted that general practitioners are fundamental in the coordination of health as they are the gatekeepers to services.[46] Three studies highlighted the lack of knowledge from health service providers.[43,46,47]. One study highlighted that incorrect assumptions led to stigma about ASD[43] and in turn suggests that these system level factors that impact healthcare can impact an individual’s wellbeing.

3.2.4. Environmental factors and sensory sensitivity. One study focused on the environment playing a role in the access to healthcare for autistic adults.[43] There may be difficulties making phone calls, the physical environment of the waiting area may make a person feel anxious, the stress of the uncertainty (time), physical closeness to other people, sensory overstimulation, and disturbing sounds. The sensory sensitivity may also be a barrier to accessing health as the environment may make a person feel safe or unsafe, for example, the lights may be too bright.[44]

4. Discussion

Accessing appropriate healthcare to reduce health problems is part of the international health agenda for autism, however, autistic people have poorer health compared with non-autistic people. This systematic literature review examined the known healthcare access barriers faced by autistic adults. The study included quantitative and qualitative study designs. Firstly, it is imperative to identify and understand the different barriers and enablers autistic people experience as ASD is a complex lifelong condition, which can have a potentially detrimental impact on adult functioning.[9] Secondly, examining healthcare access barriers and enablers assists in identifying pathways for future pilot interventions that contribute to healthcare for autistic adults in providing opportunities to better improve healthcare access by developing appropriate resources/tools. Finally, clarifying the current literature focusing on autistic adults and healthcare access is important for streamlining and directing further research efforts for future interventions. The level of healthcare that needs further support is important to identify as future research efforts can develop interventions to support practice.

Autistic adults are more likely to have multiple health conditions compared with the general population and the type of healthcare accessed can have detrimental impacts on adult functioning.[34] The evidence on barriers and enablers for autistic adults is limited, and the available evidence suffers from reliability. Most studies have a small sample size that impacts
in drawing any appropriate conclusions. Moreover, most of the studies have primarily been conducted in the United States and the United Kingdom, and no studies measured the same variables. One study focused on the outcomes of the barriers for autistic adults and the delivery of healthcare, but the authors combined 2 studies in 1, the discussion was based on the validation of a tool, but identified the population and used 2 designs, the title implies comparisons of healthcare for autistic and non-autistic people, but the discussion of the study was specifically about validating a tool. \[35\] One of the barriers is specifically due to miscommunication between autistic adults and healthcare providers. Appropriate communication between the provider and the patient is essential, although, some clinicians may not describe the health result or future referrals in a way that the autistic adult may understand. \[35, 43\] Many autistic adults receive healthcare for their mental health, and this is well supported. \[10, 35, 37–39\] Regarding overall wellbeing, health clinicians need further support around the types of services autistic adults can access, health services that are local, and health services that are relevant to individual needs.

The evidence for life changes such as the confusion of accessibility of future services for autistic people is very limited compared with healthcare in general. \[41\] Transitioning from the pediatric to the adult healthcare system can be overwhelming and difficult to locate relevant and appropriate services for autistic people. This study focused on foster youth transitioning to the adult healthcare system, however, the change of clinicians can also be of concern, where the history of the patient will have to be understood. These changes are important and vital for wellbeing and care of all autistic adults, from our review, interventions for autistic adults around the transition period from the pediatric to adult healthcare system is limited and this can be problematic in the future. \[41\] An existing study by Nicolaides et al. \[43\] identified recommendations specifically for online tools that clinicians can access to increase knowledge and confidence for their patients with autism. This toolkit enables clinicians to better support autistic patients, however, clinicians need to be informed of such toolkits that are available for use. The toolkit provides an understanding of autism, the diagnosis process, and relevant referrals to specific disciplines. A synthesis of the studies reveals that the level of healthcare that needs further support is primary healthcare. This finding has various implications to the access and delivery of healthcare. Since, general practitioners are the first point of referral, clinicians need to understand what is autism and what support an individual and their family may need. \[10, 35, 37–40, 43, 45–47\] Likewise, with the understanding of what services an individual will need to access, the physical environment needs to be considered for each practice. \[45\] The lighting, sound, and activities need to be reviewed and considered.

The findings highlight the need for future interventions to focus on general practitioners and their practice. They are the key stakeholders in the care of autistic adults and managing the health services autistic adults access and receive. \[10, 35, 37–40, 43, 45–47\] Future interventions could be focused on the importance of interdisciplinary care approaches for autistic adult healthcare, the access and delivery of healthcare from a general practitioner level, and the physical environment could be altered to better support patients with autism. General practice clinicians, hospital services including the emergency department, allied health, and specialist services play a vital role in an autistic person’s life and, patient-provider level and service level factors can impact appropriate healthcare access. \[43\]

Concentrating on the transition age of autistic adults is vital, this is an important time in their life and their families lives that need to be considered. The services they stop accessing and the services they commence accessing, need to be well considered. Future interventions can focus on this area to support general practitioners’ resources and development of access to adult healthcare to better support autistic adult’s health needs. The support coordination is vital and needs to be addressed.

A strength of this review is inclusion of autistic adult studies incorporating their views of the barriers of healthcare. The review considered multiple levels of healthcare that need further support to develop future interventions to better support this cohort. By establishing strict inclusion and exclusion criteria, a potential weakness of the study highlights that only 1.3 studies were eligible and accepted. Of these, none were Randomized Control Trials.

Consistent communication, sharing information, and building relationships is vital. Supporting the transition is important to build opportunities across agencies, which develops the reciprocal relationship required for successful transitions. In addition, Frye. \[42\] found that acknowledging family’s needs are important when addressing healthcare for autistic adults, as families may be involved in the health support coordination of their children. The research team were expecting to find literature related to how to enable participation in health services, however, we did not find any articles that were eligible for this systematic review. This is an important area of research, however, there is a paucity of evidence relating to the enablers of healthcare access and it is limited (Supplementary File 4: PRISMA-2009-Checklist-MS-Word, http://links.lww.com/MD/E556).

5. Limitations

A weakness of the review was associated with the inclusion of both quantitative and qualitative research, which limited our ability to conduct a meta-analysis due to inconsistent results. Identifying specific enablers of healthcare was difficult to review because the included studies were diverse in participant numbers and demographics, measurement and analysis tools, quality and length of data collection. Such a range of studies led to limitations around a lack of consistency within the literature, the evidence-base was not coherent and there was no single variable measured amongst all articles, hence, it was not robust enough to perform a numeric meta-analysis or a sensitivity analysis. \[28\]

6. Conclusion

Autistic adults report many barriers specific to the delivery of healthcare but not particularly about the access to healthcare services. This systematic review highlights a global paucity of evidence for autistic adults’ access to healthcare. It is vital to support primary healthcare services to better enhance support for autistic adults as this is the first point of call for many individuals. A substantial number of autistic people are transitioning to adult healthcare and will need to access various services for overall wellbeing. Effective communication is the greatest barrier when accessing appropriate services and primary healthcare requires further support as general practitioners play a central role in liaising with services and finding appropriate support for autistic adults. This supports our initial hypothesis that poor communication and a lack of understanding about autistic needs are identified barriers. Therefore, interdisciplinary care approaches support transition and provides assistance when managing
multiple chronic health conditions. Sharing medical information between clinicians and consistent communication between the patient, carers (if involved), and clinicians is vital in building relationships and transparency to provide better support for appropriate healthcare services and needs for the individual. This systematic review found that the transition from pediatric services to adult services requires a substantial number of clinicians and supports to support overall wellbeing. Similarly, past systematic reviews have focused on communication and found that communication was also a major barrier. [26] Our systematic review has strengthened previous knowledge and supports the need for future interventions to focus on primary healthcare and effective communication for autistic adults. The implications for clinical practice remain part of the scope of practice for primary healthcare clinicians, however, future research can aim to create and implement evidence-based practices to better support access for this population. In conclusion, clinical guidelines on autistic healthcare access should be considered by all primary healthcare practices. Further empirical evidence is required to explore and understand the healthcare access barriers and enablers for autistic adults. This should include an analysis of various views and perceptions of people involved in the healthcare access of services for autistic adults.
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