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Background: More than one third of energy intake in Iran is provided from bread. Therefore, improving bread mineral bioavailability through dephytinization can play an important role in decreasing the prevalence of many mineral deficiencies. In this study, effect of phytase supplementation on zinc, iron and calcium status in growing rats fed with a diet containing high phytate Iranian bread (Sangak) was assessed. Methods: Thirty weanling Wistar male rats were assigned to phytase (Aspergillus niger) or control group for 6 weeks. The diet was designed based on Iranian’s food pattern and 34.2% of the energy was supplied from Sangak bread. Food intake, body and organ weight and body height were measured. Zinc was measured in blood, liver and femur bone. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software. Paired sample t-test, Wilcoxon signed-rank test and repeated measurement ANOVA were used for proper analysis of data. Results: Although weekly weight gain was not different between groups, final weight was in favor of control group. Food intakes, liver and femur bone weight did not differ between the two groups. However, the blood zinc was higher in the phytase group (26.2 ± 7.4 vs. 19.2 ± 5.2, P = 0.03). Thus positive effects of phytase supplementation on zinc, independent of growth was found. Other variables did not show any differences between groups. Conclusion: Addition of phytase to diet containing high phytate Iranian bread can improve blood zinc status in growing rats.
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INTRODUCTION

In 1961, eleven cases of dwarfism, hypogonadism, iron-deficiency anemia and geophagia were reported in Iran.[10] Investigation of similar cases from Egypt showed this syndrome to be associated with zinc deficiency.[2,3] Further studies revealed that mineral deficiencies occur despite intakes of calcium, iron and zinc that equal or exceed those of the population of western countries[4,5] and also that restricted availability caused by phytic acid must be responsible for this deficiency.[4-14]

myo-Inositol hexaphosphate (InsP₆), also known as phytic acid, has been recognized as a strong chelator with essential dietary minerals such as calcium, magnesium, zinc and iron to form insoluble salts in the small intestine and therefore acts as an inhibitor of absorption of these minerals.[7-10] Among affected minerals, zinc bioavailability is more diminished by phytate.[11-13] It has been shown that phytate to mineral molar ratio less than 1 for iron and less than 10 for zinc is required.[14] Diets containing negligible animal protein with more than 50% of energy from high phytate food are characterized as low bioavailable in many essential dietary minerals.[15] According to the last national study in Iran, 51.8% of energy is supplied from grains (bread, rice, pasta and other cereals), which are the richest sources of phytate in food groups, and only 11% of energy is obtained from animal proteins (5% from fish, meat and poultry).[16] This dietary pattern is typically low available at least with regard to zinc. Furthermore, many studies have shown prevalent iron deficiency in different vulnerable groups in Iran.[17-20] Although results of nutritional surveys on iron intake are contradictory, low bioavailability has been brought up as a probable cause.[21]

Currently, since more than one third of energy intake in the entire country is still provided from bread,[16] it is assumed that improving bread mineral bioavailability can play an important role in decreasing the prevalence of many mineral deficiencies. No study so far has investigated the effect of low cost, highly active phytase enzyme on Iranian mineral bread absorption. As the interaction between mineral absorption inhibitors and enhancers in different dietary patterns decide to what extent the element is likely to be absorbed, response to added phytase may not be linear and similar. Even though human trials are the most accurate model
for studying mineral absorption, they are very expensive and difficult to perform. Therefore, this study is undertaken to assess whether addition of phytase can improve zinc, iron and calcium status in Wistar rats fed with a diet containing Iranian high phytate bread.

METHODS

Animals and Study Design
This matched case-control study was carried out with thirty male weanling Wistar rats (age: 21 ± 5 day) which were housed individually in polycarbonate cages in a 12-h light: dark cycle animal room with maintained temperature at 20-25°C and 40-50% humidity. All rats were given free access to food and tap water. This experiment was implemented in S. Beheshti University of Medical Sciences at winter 2010 and approved by Ethics Committee of National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute by the number of 037371.

During the first week, all rats were fed with the designed diet with no phytase added (acclimation period). After this period they were sorted by weight and assigned to phytase or control group alternatively (starting from a random number) yielding a number of 15 rats in each group. They were fed with one of the two, phytase or control diets ad libitum for 6 weeks. At the end of the study, theoretically their growth period was known to be terminated and the results would reveal effects during growth.

Diet Design
We designed a diet similar to Iranian’s mean fiber, macro- and target micro-nutrients (calcium, iron and zinc) intake to make it compatible with Iran’s food pattern. Sixty four percent, 11% and 25% of energy was supplied from carbohydrate, protein and fat respectively on the basis of “The National Comprehensive Study on Household Food Consumption Pattern and Nutritional Status”.[16] According to the above mentioned study, 34.2% of the energy was intended to be provided by high phytate bread. For creating this diet, a homogenized sample of dried bread was analyzed for the macronutrients, fiber, calcium, iron and zinc content. To obtain 64% of energy intake from carbohydrate, corn starch, sucrose and dyetrose (equal to AIN-93G recommended proportions which provides sufficient amounts for growing rats) were added to the diet after subtracting the carbohydrate provided by bread. Similarly the remaining parts of the protein, fat, fiber, zinc, iron and calcium were provided by casein, soybean oil, cellulose, zinc carbonate, ferric citrate and calcium carbonate to meet the mean Iranian intake respectively. Keeping in mind that vitamin C improves iron absorption, it was decided to add vitamin C to match the Iranian diet. Other minerals and vitamins were provided on the basis of AIN-93G recommendations. All of the calculated ingredients were first determined on the basis of mean Iranian’s daily energy intake (2636 kcal/day) and then adjusted to amount (g) per kg food. All of the ingredients used for preparing the diet were purchased from Dyets company (Bethlehem, PA, USA) except soy-bean oil (Behshahr Company, Karaj, Iran) and bread. The created diet was tested during a pilot study on 6 rats for a period of 6 weeks. The results showed relative palatability of the diet for the rats.

Bread Making Procedure and Analysis
Choosing Sangak was based on the knowledge that this bread has the highest phytate content among most widely consumed breads in Iran. This bread had phytate to zinc and iron molar ratios above those considered for negatively influenced absorption of these minerals. It was assumed that if this bread consumer can benefit from phytate supplementation, other bread consumers (with lower phytate content) may probably benefit from it as well.

Commercial Sangak (an Iranian traditional flat bread) wheat flour (93% extraction rate) was used in this study. The dough was prepared in one batch. In brief, 39.19 kg of Sangak flour, about 60 l of lukewarm water and 350 g salt were mixed for 12 min. After 5 min, 150 g instant yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Dez-Maye, Iran) and 17.35 kg of sourdough (12 hrs aged) were added and mixed for another 2 min. Fermented dough was left for 50 min for proofing at room temperature (23°C). This dough was manually molded (900-950g each), sheeted and baked in a traditional stove for about 6 min at 350°C. Baked breads were cooled and stored at -20°C and were gradually air-dried at room temperature for about 24 h and completely dried in a vacuum oven at 70°C for 24 h to constant weight. Dried breads were then finely ground and stored at 4°C in airtight containers for diet preparation.

A homogenized sample of dried bread was analyzed for the macronutrients, fiber, calcium, iron and zinc amounts. The nitrogen content of bread was determined by the Kjeldahl method and total protein was calculated by multiplying the nitrogen content by 5.7. AOAC methods were used for analyzing the other nutrients and fiber in the bread (carbohydrate (3203051), fat (32/3/04), calcium (32/1/09), iron [944/02B (32/1/9)], zinc (9/1/09) and fiber (4/6/01)).[22]
Phytic Acid Determination
Freeze-dried ground sample of bread (0.5 g) was extracted with 0.5 M HCl (20 ml) for 3 h under agitation. The extracts were then centrifuged and supernatant decanted, frozen over night and centrifuged again for phytate determination. Carlsson et al. method was used for phytic acid determination. Briefly, the chromatograph consisted of a biocompatible (PEEK) HPLC pump (Waters model 626) equipped with a PA-100 guard column (Dionex Corp., Sunnyvale CA) and an HPIC CarboPac PA-100 analytical column (Dionex Corp.). The InsP6 was eluted with an isocratic eluent consisting of 80% HC (1M) and 20% H2O. The eluents (0.8 ml/min) were mixed with 0.1% Fe (NO3)9H2O in a 2% solution of HClO4 in a postcolumn reactor pump (HPIC pump, K500, Knauer, Berlin, Germany). InsP6 was detected after postcolumn reaction using UV detection (Waters 486, tunable absorbance detector). The total run time was 6 min and absorbance was monitored at 290 nm. The InsP6 was integrated using the software Empower (Waters Associated, Mileford, MA) and the concentration of InsP6 in the sample was calculated using a standard curve.

Phytase
The phytase was produced by a genetically modified strain of Aspergillus niger (Natuphos; 10,000 G, BASF, AG. Ludwigshafen, Germany). This product was kindly provided by “Kian Danesh Pars Company” (Tehran, Iran) in granule form. One phytase unit (FTU) is defined as the amount of enzyme necessary to liberate 1nmol of inorganic phosphorous from sodium phytate per minute at pH 5 and 37°C. Phytase activity was 10,000 FTU/g and its activity was confirmed after turning it into a fine ground to be mixed with the diet in the form of a dry powder.

The amount of InsP6 was 224 mg/100g of bread (db). Since each kg of designed diet contained 367.8 g of dried bread, it was calculated that 0.125 g phytase was needed to degrade InsP6 in the mixed diet. To ensure maximal InsP6 degradation, about 0.5 g phytase was added per kg of the designed diet.

Data and Sample Collection
The body weight of the rats and their food intake were measured weekly. Heights were collected (nose to base of tail) at both the onset and completion time of the experiment. At the termination of experiment, rats were euthanized under carbon dioxide and blood was collected from the orbital sinus. Their livers were excised and frozen (-33°C) for later analysis. Both legs were dissected away from the hip joint and adherent tissues were cleaned carefully and femur bones were stored at 4 °C.

Sample Preparation and Analytical Procedures
Whole samples including blood, liver and femur bones were charred and then ashed at 550°C for 6 h. The ash was dissolved with 1% v/v nitric acid and water and filtered. Prepared samples were analyzed for mineral content using flameless atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Rayleigh, WFX-210 AA Spectrophotometer). For measuring calcium in femur bone, a solution of ashed sample, water, HCl and sodium hydroxide was titrated with EDTA using Blue Naphthyl as an indicator. All glasswares used were washed in 5% nitric acid solution for 24 h and rinsed 3 times with double-deionized water.

Statistical Analysis
All biochemical analysis was done in triplicates and mean of each observation was considered as the sample mineral content. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 16). Since there were 2 matched rat groups, paired sample t-test was used for comparing variables between groups and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for analyzing variables where normal assumptions were not met. Repeated measurement analysis of variance was used for comparing weights and food intake measured in 7 weeks. P-value <0.05 was considered as the level of significance.

RESULTS
Biochemical analysis of the Sangak showed that this bread had 71.74% carbohydrate, 12.3% protein, 3.15% fat and 1.65% fiber. This bread also had 1.66 mg/100g zinc, 1.2 mg/100g iron and 80.05 mg/100g calcium. Calculating phytate to zinc and iron molar ratio resulted in 13.4 and 15.8 respectively, which both express low bioavailability of the bread. Comparisons between the designed diet and AIN-93G recommendations are shown in Table 1. It reveals that the designed diet is almost similar in total carbohydrate content to AIN-93G, but it has 43% less protein and 55.5% more fat. Moreover, as was expected, this diet is very poor regarding calcium, iron and zinc content.

There were no significant differences in total and weekly measured food intake between groups (total mean food intake per rat was 97.08 ± 38.58 and 100.87 ± 38.38 g/day, P = 0.74 for phytase and control group respectively, weekly results are not shown).

Two rats died during the study (one from each group/analyses are performed with 14 rats in each group) causing a significant difference in group’s
Table 1: Comparison between designed diet and AIN-93G recommendations

|                      | AIN-93G recommendations/kg food | Amount supplied/kg designed food | Percent supplied in designed diet compared with AIN-93G recommendations. |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Energy (kcal)         | 3656.1                          | 2920.5                           | 79.86                                                                  |
| Carbohydrate (g)      | 629.49                          | 660.6                            | 104.9                                                                 |
| Corn starch (g)       | 397.5                           | 250.5                            | 63                                                                     |
| Sucrose (g)           | 100                             | 63.08                            | 63.1                                                                   |
| Deytrose (g)          | 132                             | 83.2                             | 63                                                                     |
| Bread carbohydrate (g)| -                               | 263.86                           | -                                                                     |
| Complex carbohydrate (g) | 397.5                          | 514.4                            | 129.4                                                                 |
| Simple carbohydrate (g) | 232                             | 146.3                            | 63                                                                     |
| Total protein (g)     | 200                             | 114.62                           | 57.3                                                                  |
| Casein (g)            | 200                             | 69.4                             | 34.7                                                                   |
| Bread protein (g)     | -                               | 45.24                            | -                                                                     |
| L-cystine (g)         | 3                               | 3                                | 100                                                                   |
| Total fat (g)         | 70                              | 108.9                            | 155.5                                                                 |
| Soy oil (g)           | 70                              | 97.3                             | 138.9                                                                 |
| Bread oil (g)         | -                               | 11.59                            | -                                                                     |
| Total fiber (g)       | 50                              | 17.7                             | 35.4                                                                  |
| Cellulose (g)         | 50                              | 11.6                             | 23.2                                                                  |
| Bread fiber (g)       | -                               | 6.1                              | -                                                                     |
| Elemental calcium (mg)$^a$ | 5000                           | 883.3                            | 17.7                                                                  |
| Elemental iron (mg)$^a$ | 35                             | 22.3                             | 63.7                                                                  |
| Elemental zinc (mg)$^a$ | 30                             | 15.3                             | 51                                                                    |
| Other mineral mixture (g)$^a$ | 35                           | 35                               | 100                                                                   |
| Vitamin mixture (g)   | 10                              | 10                               | 100                                                                   |
| Vitamin C (mg)        | -                               | 92.1                             | -                                                                     |

$^a$Ordered to Dyets company according to the AIN-93G (American Institute of Nutrition recommendation for providing nutritional requirements in growing, pregnant or lactating rats) with no zinc, iron or calcium added

$^b$Total amounts expressed (bread mineral + amount added)

Initial mean weight (initial weight in the control and phytase groups was 42.35 ± 6.7 and 41.39 ± 6.1 respectively). Moreover, significant difference between group’s mean weight was observed from week 6 to the end of study (terminal weight in the control and phytase group was 72.75 ± 19.79 and 60.16 ± 16.67 respectively). Results from repeated measurement analysis of variance showed significant effect of time ($P < 0.001$), but interaction effect of time and group was not significant ($P = 0.164$). Results regarding heights and weight gain are in favor of the control group although they are not statistically significant [Table 2]. Moreover, this table shows no significant differences between liver and femur weight between groups.

Table 3 shows mineral status in the tissues dry weight. Our results indicated a higher blood zinc in the phytase supplemented group, whereas no differences were seen in liver or femur bone zinc.

Table 2: Growth measurements: weekly weight gain, weight gain to feed intake ratio at the end of study, height, liver weight and femur weight in phytase and control group

| Variable                  | Phytase             | Control            | P-value |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Weight gain (g)$^a$       |                     |                    |         |
| End of week 1             | 4.3 ± 2.16          | 3.8 ± 2.8          | 0.55    |
| End of week 2             | 7.3 ± 3.8           | 7.8 ± 4.45         | 0.7     |
| End of week 3             | 11.8 ± 5.8          | 12.4 ± 6.18        | 0.76    |
| End of week 4$^b$         | 14.5 ± 10.7         | 18.6 ± 8.9         | 0.14    |
| End of week 5             | 18.8 ± 11.39        | 24.4 ± 11.47       | 0.06    |
| End of week 6             | 23.3 ± 14.51        | 30.1 ± 15.16       | 0.07    |
| Weight gain/feed intake   |                     |                    |         |
| End of study              | 23.1 ± 9.63         | 30.3 ± 10.41       | 0.08    |
| Height (cm)$^a$           |                     |                    |         |
| Initial$^b$               | 9.5 ± 0.52          | 9.9 ± 0.63         | 0.07    |
| End of study$^b$          | 12.2 ± 1.85         | 12.9 ± 1.54        | 0.06    |
| Liver (g)                 | 3.5 ± 1.12          | 3.86 ± 1.27        | 0.15    |
| Femur (g)                 | 0.16 ± 0.05         | 0.2 ± 0.02         | 0.43    |

$^a$Weekly weight gain = weight at the end of week – initial weight n = 14 for each group

$^b$Weight gain calculation: Weight at the end of week - initial weight

$^b$Wilcoxon ranked test used
DISCUSSION

Our results show that phytase supplementation can improve the blood zinc of Wistar rats fed with a diet containing high phytate Iranian bread.

As mineral bioavailability is a multi-factorial matter and several factors other than phytate could also impact their absorption (e.g., protein, fiber and divalent mineral interactions[33]), we created diet conditions resembling those of Iranian mean intakes.

Although plasma zinc has commonly been assessed in previous studies, we decided to measure whole blood zinc based on the fact that blood zinc is 70-80% cellular.[25] McClung et al.[26] reported improvement in both plasma and bone (femur and tibia) zinc in rats fed with a low-zinc diet supplemented with phytase for 8 weeks. Moreover Scrimgeour et al.[27] showed similar results in serum and tibia zinc concentrations in rats fed with a low-zinc diet with phytase supplementation. In both studies, higher growth in the phytase groups was observed which was not found in this study. In the present study, the lower mean weight of the phytase group at the beginning (caused by the death of two rats in the middle of the study) might be the reason for their lower terminal growth. Regardless of the reason, this suggests that the positive effect of phytase on blood zinc is specific to phytase itself and independent of growth. Liver zinc did not differ in response to phytase supplementation which is in agreement with Rimbach and Paullof.[28] However, it is difficult to compare our study results directly with other studies because of different study designs, doses and food vehicle of minerals. Growing rats need substantially more iron and calcium than what was provided by the designed diet. As we intended to imitate the Iranian dietary pattern, the large difference between these mineral requirements along with differences in mineral metabolism makes the results difficult to compare to humans. Reddy and Cook (1991) used a dual radioiron method to determine the extent to which iron absorption measurements in the rat can predict nonheme iron bioavailability in humans. They discovered that meat, ascorbic acid, bran and soy protein, which are known to affect iron absorption in humans, affected iron absorption very little in rats. They concluded that rats are far less sensitive than humans to dietary influences on nonheme iron absorption and are of limited value in assessing this aspect of human nutrition.[37]

Phytase addition did not change calcium or iron status significantly. These findings are in agreement with similar animal studies[26,27] and in contrast with human studies on iron published by some researchers.[33-36] However, it is difficult to compare our study results directly with other studies because of different study designs, doses and food vehicle of minerals. Growing rats need substantially more iron and calcium than what was provided by the designed diet. As we intended to imitate the Iranian dietary pattern, the large difference between these mineral requirements along with differences in mineral metabolism makes the results difficult to compare to humans. Reddy and Cook (1991) used a dual radioiron method to determine the extent to which iron absorption measurements in the rat can predict nonheme iron bioavailability in humans. They discovered that meat, ascorbic acid, bran and soy protein, which are known to affect iron absorption in humans, affected iron absorption very little in rats. They concluded that rats are far less sensitive than humans to dietary influences on nonheme iron absorption and are of limited value in assessing this aspect of human nutrition.[37] Many review articles have concluded that whole grain products exhibit beneficial health effects against many

### Table 3: Mineral content in blood, liver and femur bone in phytase and control groups at the end of study

| Variable                  | Phytase Mean ± SD | Control Mean ± SD | P-value |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Blood iron (µg/dl)        | 144.6 ± 76       | 112.4 ± 64.5     | 0.27    |
| Blood zinc (µg/dl)        | 26.2 ± 7.4       | 19.2 ± 5.2       | 0.098   |
| Liver iron (µg/100g)      | 14.1 ± 2.8       | 12.6 ± 3.7       | 0.55    |
| Liver zinc (µg/100g)      | 1.6 ± 0.28       | 1.7 ± 0.32       | 0.71    |
| Femur zinc (µg/100g)      | 8.7 ± 2.2        | 9.2 ± 4.2        | 0.543   |
| Femur calcium (mg/100g)   | 14 ± 6.3         | 15.5 ± 7.5       |         |

n = 14 for each group

bWilcoxon ranked test used
civilization diseases, namely some cancers, coronary heart disease and diabetes mellitus.[38,39] Recent evidences on Iranian patients with type 2 diabetes also show the importance of consuming diets full of whole grain products and its beneficial effects.[40] Keeping in mind that in low-income population, meat as a source of zinc and iron is unaffordable, and therefore recommendations should be given carefully to avoid pessimistic views about whole grain breads which are in accordance with higher phytate intake. Collectively, enzymatic dephytinization which occurs without decreasing whole grain bread intake seems to be theoretically the best solution for such countries.

Turk and Sandberg[41] have shown that the addition of Aspergillus niger phytase to the dough during bread making significantly increases phytate hydrolysis. Use of this highly active low cost microbial phytase seems to be a feasible and promising way for improving the bioavailability of several essential dietary minerals at the same time in Iran. As this enzyme has not received GRAS status (generally regarded as safe) for human consumption yet, further studies on adding this enzyme to Iranian bread dough for measuring to what extent phytase remains intact in the high temperature of the Iranian traditional stove (300-350°C) is recommended. If this enzyme brakes down, these studies collectively will have implications for using phytase as an alternative for mineral supplementation especially zinc in Iranian high phytate flour in the future.

Similar to many previous studies, growing rats were used as a valuable alternative for human studies. Despite efforts that were made to simulate a typical Iranian dietary pattern in this animal model, results cannot necessarily be extrapolated to humans. Therefore, further human studies are necessary to support these results in Iranian adolescents and adults.

CONCLUSION

The source of phytate used in the present study makes it unique and it is hard to find similar studies for comparison. Thus, more efforts should be devoted to low bioavailable dietary patterns used in developing countries. In any event, the results of this study show that phytase can improve blood zinc even under low zinc diet condition in growing rats fed with high phytate Iranian bread.
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