Multifrequency Impedance Method Based on Neural Network for Root Canal Length Measurement
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Abstract: Root canal therapy is the most fundamental and effective approach for treating endodontics and periapicalitis. The length of the root canal must be accurately measured to clean the pathogenic substances in it. This study aims to present a multifrequency impedance method based on a neural network for root canal length measurement. A circuit system was designed which generates a current of frequencies from 100 Hz to 20 kHz in order to augment the data of impedance ratios with different combinations of frequencies. Several impedance ratios and other quantified characteristics, such as the type of tooth and file, were selected as features to train a neural network model that could predict the distance between the file and apical foramen. The model uses leave-one-out cross-validation, adopts the Adam optimizer and regularization, and has two hidden layers with nine and five nodes, respectively. The neural network-based multifrequency impedance method exhibits nearly 95% accuracy, compared with the dual-frequency impedance ratio method (which demonstrated no more than 85% accuracy in some situations). This method may eliminate the influence of human and environmental factors on measurement of the root canal length, thereby increasing measurement robustness.
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1. Introduction

At present, root canal therapy is the most effective treatment for pulpal and periapical diseases. The keys to the process include thoroughly cleaning the root canal system, removing the source of infection, and reducing the damage to the root tip and periapical tissue [1,2]. Thus, root canal therapy requires accurate measurement of the length of root canals [3]. Three approaches exist for measurement of root canal length: the hand feeling method, radiographic determination [4], and the use of an electronic apex locator (EAL). The EAL, which is most commonly used for measuring root canal length in clinical settings, has been developed over a long period using different methods [5].

Root canal measurement was initially based on a resistance model, according to the phenomenon that the direct current resistance between the apical foramen and oral mucosa is almost constant, although the ages, tooth types, and root canal shapes of patients differ widely. The performance of this model has generally been considered unsatisfactory, as the measurement does not reflect a simple resistance model but, rather, a complex model with capacitor characteristics [6,7]. Then, root canal measurement was carried out by a voltage gradient method, which was more accurate than before but still unstable [8,9]. A method based on dual-frequency impedance ratios was proposed in the 1990s. This method uses the relative quantity instead of absolute quantity to make the results universal and reduce the effect of the measuring environment on the results [10]. Several EALs using the dual-frequency impedance ratios method have demonstrated exceptional clinical performance [11,12]. The multifrequency impedance method has been employed, based on the success of dual-frequency
methods [13]; however, the EALs found on the market using the multifrequency impedance method cannot measure the length of root canal very precisely [14,15]. The reason for such low accuracy is that the measurement environment in the root canal is extremely complex with infective substances and infected biological tissue. Deep learning methods have superior ability to cope with challenging environmental noises, so it was considered appropriate to apply a neural network for canal root length measurement. Data augmentation is also employed, as the data are limited by the scarce signals at confined frequencies, which prevents the ability to train an excellent neural network.

Given the inadequacy of the current methodologies, this paper proposes a method for precisely locating the position of the apical foramen using a multifrequency impedance method based on a neural network, which is trained (after data augmentation) by distinct combinations of multifrequency signals generated from the designed circuit system. The method is experimentally verified, demonstrating that the proposed approach can promote accuracy and stability when measuring the length of root canals.

2. Material and Methods

The dual-impedance ratio method selects the impedance ratio of one high frequency and one low frequency as the criteria for locating the apical foramen; however, the level of accuracy is not ideal and the method may be greatly affected by the measurement conditions. The multifrequency impedance method proposed in this paper requires multiple impedance ratios of multiple frequency combinations and utilizes the relationships between them to increase the reliability of the results. A large number of impedance ratios of different frequency combinations are essential for high accuracy of measurement. With the data augmentation of impedance ratios, a nonlinear regression model with the distance between the file and the apical foramen as the prediction result is constructed based on deep learning methods. Neural networks are the most widely used type of deep learning model, which exhibit excellent performance in solving complex nonlinear regression problems [16,17]. Considering the delicate electrical properties of organisms, deep learning plays a major role in detecting and processing bio-electric signals [18,19]. In a concrete situation, the structure of the network can be targeted, designed, and optimized, making the model more flexible and efficient. It is crucial to select appropriate features to improve the multifrequency impedance method for root canal length measurement.

2.1. Multifrequency Impedance Measurement

All of the measurement instruments and measuring methods used for the experiments were designed and prepared to meet the research requirements. The impedance was measured on 21 extracted teeth that had been treated and cleaned using a variable frequency voltage signal generated by a programmable digital frequency synthesizer. The signal varied from 100 Hz to 20 kHz, and the impedances at different frequencies were collected using automatic data collection (ADC) and stored in a computer. Figure 1 presents a schematic of the whole measurement process.
Figure 2 illustrates the physical diagram of the measurement process and its constituent parts. The 21 tooth samples were single root canal teeth from 21 adults of different ages, including incisors, canines, and molars. The teeth were preprocessed in vitro by soaking them in a 2.5% sodium hypochlorite solution and removing the periodontal tissues and attachments, such as dental calculus. The root canal was washed after opening and removing the pulp. The crown of the tooth was polished as a reference plane. A tooth fixation device was used to keep the relative position of the tooth constant during the experiment, ensuring the consistency of the data. A precise file translation device was used to strictly control the change of distance by increments of 0.5 mm with an accuracy of 1 µm. One of the files of types #15, #25, and #40 was fixed on the file translation to be located in the root canal. The root canal length measurement prototype was designed to generate sine sweep signals with multiple frequencies and select the impedance values. The impedance ratios of different frequency combinations could then be obtained through data processing in the prototype.

For each tooth, we changed the file distance from the root tip by $+5$ mm to $-1$ mm (where $+$ denotes that the file does not reach the apical foramen and $-$ denotes that the file exceeds the apical foramen).

Two theoretical tests were verified during this process: the impedance decreased with increasing frequencies when the file was in the same position; the closer the file was to the apical foramen, the smaller the impedance ratio, and the larger the frequency difference, the smaller the ratio. The detailed verification is explained in the following results section (pre-verification).

2.2. Data Augmentation

The impedance ratio was the software-processed output of the circuit system, as well as the critical data in root canal length measurement. Its expression is as follows:

$$\text{Impedance Ratio} = \frac{Z(f_{\text{high}})}{Z(f_{\text{low}})}, \quad (1)$$
where \( Z(f_{\text{high}}) \) and \( Z(f_{\text{low}}) \) are the impedances with the signal at high and low frequency separately. In the circuit system, the ratio of impedance was calibrated with the impedance obtained by the detection of signals through the root canal. Figure 3 shows the time domain diagram of an input signal and the signal after it had passed through the root canal.

In the circuit system, the ratio of impedance was calibrated with the impedance obtained by the detection of signals through the root canal. Figure 3 shows the time domain diagram of an input signal and the signal after it had passed through the root canal.

![Figure 3. Time domain diagram of the acquisition signal.](image)

The signal input to channel A then passed through the measured root canal and was detected in channel B. The frequency of the signal in Figure 3 exhibits a periodic variation with time (i.e., in the time domain). The energy of the signal in the frequency domain after carrying out a fast Fourier transform was uniformly distributed over this particular frequency. Other signals at different frequencies all exhibited the same time and frequency domain characteristics. It was verified that the circuit system could generate sine sweep signals meeting the measurement requirements. The output sine sweep signal was processed to deduce the impedance of the root canal with this signal at a particular frequency.

The circuit system emitted the sine sweep signals at different frequencies, corresponding to different impedance values at the frequency. Two impedance values were combined together to calculate the impedance ratio, which was used as the deep learning training data.

The goal of the multifrequency impedance method based on deep learning is to train an advanced neural network; therefore, the data had to be augmented before their substitution into the model. The impedance ratio related to the root canal length is the ratio of impedance with a high and a low frequency signal. The circuit can generate a current of frequencies from 100 Hz to 20 kHz, in which an arbitrary high frequency signal and an arbitrary low frequency signal were combined to obtain the impedance ratio, as shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Diagram of the data augmentation.](image)
The multifrequency method utilizes the signals of more frequencies than the traditional dual-frequency method. The impedance ratio data set was augmented by using arbitrary combinations of high and low frequency signals. An example of data augmentation is shown in Figure 5.

For the two high frequency signals at 20 kHz and 10 kHz and the two low frequency signals at 0.5 kHz and 1 kHz, the number of the impedance ratio can rise from two to four. It is obvious that the number of data can be considerably increased through data augmentation. Moreover, the combinations containing all the signals had an utmost use in the measurements in order to avoid exceptions. The accuracy of the proposed multifrequency impedance method based on deep learning could be improved significantly by training the neural network with the augmented data.

### 2.3. Feature Selection

The data were augmented using combinations of multiple frequencies. The accuracy of root canal length measurement could be increased after the sufficient impedance ratios were available. Features were selected according to the divergence and correlation between features and goals [20]. Filtering is one of the most commonly used methods for feature selection in deep learning in order to develop a rule to measure each feature and sort all features by their importance with respect to the target attribute. The first step was to calculate the variance of each feature, where features with variance below the threshold were deleted. The next step was to calculate the correlation coefficient of each remaining feature to the label. In addition, the features for the training model also required the numerical characteristics of the measuring conditions, such as tooth and file types. We used one-hot encoding to convert these non-numerical attributes into numerical features, as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** One-hot encoding for tooth types.

| Tooth Type | Incisor | Canine | Molar |
|------------|---------|--------|-------|
| Sample 1   | 1       | 0      | 0     |
| Sample 2   | 0       | 1      | 0     |
| Sample 3   | 0       | 0      | 1     |

Among the large amount of augmented data (i.e., impedance ratios), based on the model performance and convenience of calculation, the top ten groups of impedance ratios—as ranked by the correlation coefficient—were selected (5 kHz/0.5 kHz, 8 kHz/0.5 kHz, 10 kHz/0.5 kHz, 12 kHz/0.5 kHz, 15 kHz/0.5 kHz, 20 kHz/0.5 kHz, 8 kHz/1 kHz, 10 kHz/1 kHz, 15 kHz/1 kHz, and 20 kHz/1 kHz), together with tooth type and file type, as the features for the model.
2.4. Neural Network Model

The neural network model used in this study is presented in Figure 6. The input layer takes the selected features as inputs, the output of the output layer is the distance between the file and apical foramen predicted by the model, and the hidden layer is used to enhance the nonlinearity of the model. The activation function used is the sigmoid function.

![Figure 6. Schematic of neural network structure.](image)

One of the Momentum, Adam [21], and SGD optimizers is selected as an optimum optimizer to accelerate the training of a neural network model. Considering that the small data set used increased the risk of overfitting, some noise was added to the training set during the training phase [22] and regularization was applied in the training process to enhance the generalization ability [23].

3. Results

3.1. Pre-Verification

Before the training of the neural network for root canal length measurement, the experimental results were discussed through the relationships between impedance values and different variables in order to determine that the theories about the impedance characteristics of teeth are correct, which can verify the feasibility of the method in this study.

3.1.1. Impedance Verification

The impedance of the root canal is related to the tooth type, input signal frequency, and the distance from file to apical foramen. We took these three factors in turn as independent variables in order to observe their effects on the dependent variable of impedance.

First, some different tooth samples were selected to observe the root canal impedance. Figure 7 illustrates the impedances of four kinds of selected teeth with several input signals. The selected teeth differed in terms of type and age, but the impedance trends of each tooth type were similar. The impedance of all the teeth decreased with the increase of frequency, thus guaranteeing that the impedance ratio method is reasonable.

For these four selected teeth, the relationships between the impedance of different teeth and the position of file are presented in Figure 8. The frequency was fixed.

It was found that the impedance changed smoothly when the file was far away from the apical foramen, while the impedance decreased rapidly when the file was close to the apical foramen. In particular, the impedance varied the most within 1 mm of the file from the apical foramen. Moreover, when the distance from apex was 0 mm (i.e., the file was at the position of the apical foramen), there was not much difference among the impedances of different tooth samples. The results in Figure 8 provide a theoretical basis for the multifrequency impedance ratio method for root canal length measurement.
Figure 7. The impedance of different input signal frequencies varying with the tooth type.

Figure 8. The impedance of different teeth varying with the distance between the file and the apical foramen.

In the next step, the tooth type was kept constant. The impedance of the file at various positions from the apical foramen varying with frequency was explored.

As shown in Figure 9, the impedance decreased as the frequency increased, regardless of the distance between the file and apical foramen. These phenomena verified the correctness of the early methods for root canal length measurement, as well as the reliability of the proposed measurement system.

When the frequency increased to approximately 20 kHz, the impedance almost stopped increasing. Therefore, setting the highest frequency as 20 kHz barely affects the subsequent analysis of experimental results when the impedance ratios of different frequency combinations were calculated. It was considered sufficient to conclude the experiments with the frequency ranging up to 20 kHz.
3.1.2. Frequency Ratio Verification

The impedance ratios were calculated with multiple combinations of frequencies in order to find out the optimal frequency ratio. It is possible to compare the performance in these subsequent experiments with the neural network-based multifrequency impedance method for root canal length measurement. In addition, frequency ratio verification provided a reference for the following feature selection and evaluation.

The impedance ratio results, according to the frequency combinations, are illustrated in Figure 10. The tooth type and the file were kept constant.

In Figure 10, the impedance ratios did not change significantly when the file was far from the apical foramen. When it was close to the apical foramen (especially when the distance was less than 1 mm), the impedance ratio dropped rapidly, while the gradient was steepest at the apical foramen. Moreover, the larger the difference between the high and low frequencies, the more significantly the impedance decreased when the file was close to the apical foramen; in contrast, it was not beneficial...
to determine the position of the file. The data for Figure 10 were obtained under the conditions of a molar tooth type and file #15; the curve trend was similar for other conditions.

The aforementioned phenomena correspond with feature selection. The impedance ratios selected as features with large variances were the impedance ratios of substantially divergent high and low frequencies.

3.2. Neural Network Training

The sample set was taken from numerous measurements of 21 teeth with multifrequency signals combinations. Due to the lack of tooth samples, leave-one-out (LOO) cross-validation was used to evaluate the performance of the neural network and to prevent overfitting [24]. For the 21 tooth samples, the LOO-based validation was performed with 21 iterations. In each iteration, the neural network was trained with the data set of 20 samples and tested on the remaining sample. According to the loss curve in Figure 11, a suitable optimizer was selected. The mean values of accuracy of the training and test sets after all iterations were calculated as indicators to assess the generalizability of the model. The performance of the neural network model with different structures using the LOO method is depicted in Figure 12. It should be noted that a large number of structures with different layers and nodes were verified, while a few of these results were selected to display. A highly effective model should possess both low bias and low variance. High performance on the training set reflects low bias but can cause overfitting, which suggests high variance. Therefore, point E represented the best structure.

![Figure 11. Loss curves with different optimizers.](image)

As evident from Figures 11 and 12, the neural network model eventually developed had two hidden layers, where the numbers of nodes in the hidden layers were nine and five, respectively. A neural network with no more than three layers was sufficient for the uncomplicated data set of impedance, tooth type, and file type, while it was identified that the performance with three layers was not good enough (especially point K). More layers can make the training process more complicated and can prevent the model from converging, leading to overfitting. The optimization method used for training was Adam; furthermore, regularization was added.
The 21 teeth were divided into three groups, according to the types of tooth and file: Group 1—molar, #15(6); Group 2—molar, #25(6); and Group 3—canine, #15(9). Table 2 presents a comparison of the performance of the dual-impedance ratio method and the neural network-based multifrequency method. Four pairs of frequencies were selected for the impedance ratios: 5 kHz/0.5 kHz, 10 kHz/0.5 kHz, 10 kHz/1 kHz, and 20 kHz/1 kHz.

For the dual-frequency impedance ratio method, using the average impedance ratio of 21 teeth to determine the apical foramen was not appropriate for Group 1. This was because there were three teeth in Group 1 for which the dual-frequency impedance ratio at the 0 mm position was quite different from the average impedance ratio. By contrast, the neural network-based multifrequency method could solve this problem, had a high accuracy rate, was less affected by changes in tooth and file type, and exhibited decent robustness.

| Frequency Combination (kHz) | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Total  |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| 5/0.5                       | 66.67%  | 83.33%  | 100.00% | 85.71% |
| 10/0.5                      | 50.00%  | 83.33%  | 100.00% | 80.95% |
| 10/1                        | 50.00%  | 83.33%  | 89.89%  | 76.19% |
| Multifrequency              | 83.33%  | 100.00% | 100.00% | 95.24% |

The experimental results indicated that the proposed measurement method is relatively robust and improved the effects of measuring factors on the results. The experiments in this study may not have been perfect, however. Further improvements in accuracy can be considered, based on the following aspects: improving the neural network structure, using different judgment strategies, using different optimization methods and, most importantly, expanding the data set.

3.3. Discussions Compared with EALs

The development of EALs has been a long and continual process, which seems to lag behind the rapid development of modern medical technology. After the impedance ratio method was established, only a few studies researched means by which to select two proper frequencies to enhance the performance of third-generation EALs [25–27]; however, no landmark improvement has emerged in the field of root canal length measurement until the present study. Although the measurements of
fourth-generation EALs seem to be more accurate, their actual performance does not present a great improvement, compared with the benchmark of the third-generation product—Root ZX—according to product comparison experiments [28,29]. In addition, in actual surveys, many dentists have provided feedback that they are more inclined to use third-generation products (e.g., Root ZX) as, in clinical settings, the third- and fourth-generation EALs differ little in accuracy; furthermore, the older models are more stable and cheaper. Root ZX is an excellent product, but it is not perfect; doctors often must use radiography as an aid to obtain accurate results when employing Root ZX [30].

Obviously, much room still exists for improvement in the development of EALs. Machine learning is a popular subject, which has been widely used in the medical field and has promoted the rapid development of medical technology [31]. The method proposed in this paper combines the multifrequency impedance ratio method and neural networks. In fact, an EAL can be regarded as a prediction system or nonlinear regression model, considering that the measuring conditions have a critical influence on the results. Therefore, the impedance ratios remaining after feature selection and the numerical measuring factors can be used as the features. Neural networks possess great advantages in formulating such prediction models.

The experimental results indicated that the proposed measurement method is relatively robust and can improve the effects of measuring factors on the results. The experiments in this study may not have been perfect, however. Further improvements in accuracy can be considered based on the following aspects: improving the neural network structure, using different judgment strategies, using different optimization methods and, most importantly, expanding the data set.

4. Conclusions

The method proposed in this paper combined the multifrequency impedance ratio method and neural networks. To increase the accuracy of the model, the impedance ratio data were augmented with different combinations of currents at various frequencies generated by the designed circuit system. The pre-verification of impedance was performed to provide theoretical support for training the neural network. Impedance, tooth type, and file type were selected as features in the model. Leave-one-out cross-validation was used during the training process due to the limited tooth samples. An optimal neural network was determined according to the performances of neural network models with different structures. Compared with the dual-frequency impedance ratio method, the proposed approach can reduce the influence of measuring factors on the measurement results, increase the measurement accuracy, and enhance the robustness.
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