Prevalence, Incidence, Influence Factors, and Cognitive Characteristics of Amnestic Mild Cognitive Impairment Among Older Adult: A 1-Year Follow-Up Study in China
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Background: The risk and protective factors of amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) and its prevalence as well as incidence among old adult in Chinese community are still unclear.

Methods: We carried out this 1-year longitudinal study to survey a random sample of 3,246 community elders aged 60 and over in China. All subjects were required to complete a comprehensive clinical assessment, physical examination and several neuropsychological tests at baseline and follow-up. What’s more, we also collected their lifestyle information by a standardized questionnaire.

Results: We found that the prevalence of aMCI was 17.1%, while the incidence of aMCI among Chinese old adult was 70.57 per 1,000 person-years. By using Cox regression analysis, we found that male sex (p = 0.001, OR = 0.489, 95%CI 0.319–0.751) and reading (p = 0.023, OR = 0.533, 95%CI 0.310–0.917) were protective factors for against aMCI. Old adult who developed aMCI in the future showed multiple cognitive impairments (such as immediate memory, associative learning memory and executive function) in their early stage, and Wechsler’s Block Design (p = 0.027, OR = 0.969, 95%CL 0.943–0.996) could predict whether subjects would turn into aMCI in the future.

Conclusions: The present study suggests that aMCI is a considerable health problem in China. Executive dysfunction may be an indicator of future development of aMCI in the old normal adult.
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INTRODUCTION

Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is an intermediate phase between normal ageing and early dementia, and is associated with increased risk of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (1). MCI is characterized by cognition impairment, especially in memory, but has no significant impact on daily life (2). MCI can be further divided into four subtypes: amnestic MCI-single domain (sa-MCI), amnestic MCI-multiple domains (ma-MCI), nonamnestic MCI-single domain (sna-MCI), and nonamnestic MCI-multiple domains (mna-MCI) (3), among which, amnestic mild cognitive impairment (including sa-MCI and ma-MCI), characterized by diminished delayed free recall ability, is the subtype most correlated with AD (4). And the autopsy results show that the pathological characteristic of aMCI is consistent with the stage preceding AD (5). According to previous studies, 10–15% of aMCI will be converted to AD (6), while the nonamnestic MCI will develop into dementia with Lewy bodies or frontotemporal dementia (7).

Determining the MCI and subtype prevalence is essential to develop preventive approaches for old adult (5). However, at present, the prevalence of MCI is difficult to calculate, as it depends on the precise diagnostic criteria (8). For example, a systematic review reports that the prevalence of MCI ranges widely from 0.5% to 42% (5). Nevertheless, since AD increases double every 5 years after age 65 (9), it is important to evaluate adults with aMCI from several years before that age. So far, there are only two studies involving the prevalence of aMCI in China. Our previous study found that the prevalence of aMCI among old adult in Shanghai community was 22.3%, and the incidence (per 1,000 person-years) was 96.9 (10), while another study carried out in Tianjin showed that the prevalence of MCI was 11.33% (11). So our conclusions are not consistent, and this may be due to different inclusion criteria (we included subjects aged 60 and over, and their subjects aged 65 and over) and assessment tools (we used MOCA to assess the global cognitive function of the subjects, while they used MMSE, and the greater sensitivity of the MOCA (vs MMSE) might contribute to a higher rate of aMCI in Shanghai).

Due to the inconsistent conclusions and regional differences in the previous studies, we conducted a 1-year follow-up study across the country [including 20 target communities (18 urban and 2 rural) located in the eastern, mid, and western parts of China] to explore the prevalence, incidence, influence factors as well as cognitive characteristics of aMCI among the old adult in Chinese communities. The 2014 World Alzheimer Report pointed out that low education in early life, hypertension in midlife as well as diabetes and smoking across the life course were associated with dementia (12), therefore, we speculate that the above factors may also affect the aMCI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Calculation of Sample Size

Accumulated evidence suggests that the prevalence of MCI (Pexp) is between 10% and 20% (10, 13, 14). Based on the sample size calculation formula: \[ N = \frac{1.96^2 \times (P_{Exp}) \times (1-P_{Exp})}{d^2} \] , we determined that the sample size for this study should be no less than 785 (15).

Participants

This follow-up study was a collaborative effort of 15 institutions located in the eastern, middle and western parts of China, which was conducted from March 2011 to July 2012. Each institution identified one or more target communities, and 20 target communities (18 urban and 2 rural) entered in this project. Finally, a total of 15,304 individuals, aged 60 and over, registered in the 2010 national census as permanent residents of these communities, were put into a database. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) 60 years and older; (2) permanent residents; (3) without serious physical illness, such as cancer and acute myocardial infarction; (4) without serious mental illness, such as mental retardation and schizophrenia; and (5) agreed to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) less than 60 years old; (2) external population; (3) acute stress state; (4) serious physical illness or mental illness; (5) refused to participate in the study; Then, we randomly selected 3,246 old adult people as the potential participants (among these selected, 111 participants were excluded for incomplete data, and there was no bias due to missing data). Among these groups, illiteracy accounted for 17.1%, primary education accounted for 25.5%, junior high school or technical secondary school accounted for 15.7%, junior college accounted for 6.4%, university or above accounted for 10.6%. And the sampling process has been outlined in detail in our previous studies (1).

Aims of the Project

a. Estimate the prevalence of aMCI among the old adult in Chinese communities.

b. Develop a screening program for aMCI that uses a mathematical algorithm which integrates both biological and psychological measures.

c. Implement screening procedures in a consultative network including psychiatrists, clinical psychologists and community physicians to determine the sensitivity and specificity of the algorithm for identifying prodromal cases of aMCI.

d. Develop China-specific, standardized treatment protocols for non-pharmacological treatment of aMCI (using cognitive training).

e. Establish norms for brain volumes and other measures obtained from magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as well as a bank of biological samples.

Ethical approval was issued by Shanghai Mental Health Centre, and all the participants had signed an informed consent before the study was initiated.

Clinical Assessment

Amnestic Mild Cognitive Impairment

The diagnosis of MCI was based on the diagnosis standard of Petersen (16): (1) self- or informant-reported cognitive...
complain; (2) objective memory impairment; (3) preserved independence in functional abilities; and (4) absence of dementia. And if people with MCI performed poorly in episodic memory, they were considered as amnestic MCI (aMCI), while if they performed poorly in other cognitive areas rather than memory, they were considered as non-amnestic MCI (naMCI) (17).

**Subjective Memory Decline**

The diagnosis of subjective memory decline (SCD) was based on a conceptual framework of criteria for identification of SCD (18): (1) self-reported cognitive decline; 2 the onset age was more than 60 years old; (2) the presence of subjective memory decline had persisted for ≥6 months; (3) objective cognitive score in normal range.

**Dementia**

The diagnosis of dementia was based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of mental disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) (19). When dementia was diagnosed, participants would be further classified into three subtypes: Alzheimer disease (AD), vascular dementia (VD), and mixed dementia (MD). Diagnostic criteria for AD were based on the criteria issued by the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke–Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association (20). Diagnostic criteria for VD were based on the reports of the NINDS-AIREN International Workshop (21). And MD included other types of dementia (such as frontotemporal dementia, alcoholic dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, Parkinson's disease with dementia, etc) that could not be diagnosed.

**Cognitively Unimpaired (CU)**

Participants were considered to be cognitively unimpaired if there were: (a) without subjective memory or other cognitive complaints; (b) without evidence by extensive clinical evaluation or history of memory or other cognitive decline; (c) global Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) (22) score of 0 rated by the clinician; (d) objective cognitive score in normal range (23).

**Neuropsychological Tests**

**Cognitive Assessment**

A series of neuropsychological tests, including the Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE) (24), the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) (25), Digit Span (26), Associative Learning Test (ALT), Visual Identification Test (VIT), Verbal Fluency (VF), Auditory Verbal Learning Test (AVLT) (27), Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS)-III Block Design, and Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS)-III picture completion were used to assess the cognitive function of subjects. And neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) (28) was used to evaluate psychiatric and somatic symptoms. The diagnosis of aMCI in the present study was characterized by MMSE scores higher than, or equal to, 25, 21, or 18 for participants who had a middle school or higher education, an elementary school education, or no education, respectively (29).

**Quality of Life Assessment**

The quality of life of participants will be assessed utilizing the Life Event Scale (LES), and the Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS) (1).

**MR Image Acquisition and Processing**

Brain structure image was acquired by using a Siemens Magnetom Verio 3.0T scanner (Siemens, Munich, Germany). The parameters of T1-weighted 3D magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequences were as follows: TE = 2.98 ms, TR = 2,300 ms; matrix size = 240 × 256; flip angle of 9 degree, field of view (FOV) = 240 × 256 mm; slice thickness = 1.2 mm. Volumetric data was assessed by automated procedures, which have been described by Wolz R et al. (30). For each subject, volume and asymmetry with various brain areas as well as the brain size index were extracted (by using FreeSurfer).

**Laboratory Tests**

Peripheral blood samples (5 ml) were collected between 7 and 9 am after an overnight fast. A regular blood panel was carried out to measure hemoglobin, white blood cells, red blood cells, neutrophil granulocytes, mean cell volume, and platelets. Biochemical tests assessed bilirubin, creatinine, potassium, chloride, sodium, glucose, triglyceride, cholesterol, total proteins, alanine transaminase, aspartate transaminase, blood urea nitrogen, high density lipoprotein, low density lipoprotein, apolipoprotein A, apolipoprotein B, and lipoprotein. Separated serum and plasma (200 ul each) were stored in -70°C freezers.

All subjects were obliged to finish a baseline examination including a review of their medical history, physical and neurological examinations, neuropsychological tests, laboratory tests, and MRI scans. Based on clinical evaluation and neuropsychological test, 535 participants were diagnosed as aMCI, 75 as vascular MCI(vMCI), 23 as subjective cognitive impairment (SCD), 110 as Alzheimer’s disease(AD), 65 as vascular dementia (VD), 17 as mixed dementia (MD), 2218 as cognitively unimpaired (CU), 14 as depression(DD), as well as 78 were unable to diagnose (such as anxiety, obsession, alcohol dependence, syphilis, and so on, due to the small proportion of the above diseases, we did not include them in the statistics). Next, we followed up 2,218 cognitively unimpaired aging people for 1 year, and repeated the baseline survey (follow-up evaluation). Figure 1 describes our research process.

**Social Population Information**

Social population information was gathered by self-reported. And the following data, such as name, age, gender, years of education, daily living information (smoking, drinking alcohol, drinking tea, taking exercise, hobby, reading, playing music, surfing the internet), and history of disease(depression, diabetes, and hypertension) were collected by standardized questionnaire. In terms of daily living information, taking reading as an example, participants were asked the following question “Do you read?” If the answer is “yes,” the participants were further asked to report their frequency of participation, such as “almost every day,” “sometimes,” or “never” (31). In the present study, we defined reading almost every day as reading.
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The continuous variables were presented as mean± SD, while categorical variables were expressed as the frequency (%). The prevalence of aMCI was calculated by splitting the total number of cases diagnosed (n = 535) at baseline by the total number of participants (n = 3135, 111 persons were excluded for missing diagnoses). The incidence for aMCI (expressed as the number of cases per 1,000 person-years) was established by dividing the numbers of newly diagnosed cases at the 1-year follow-up visit. And the influence factors of aMCI were analyzed by Cox logistic regression (whether the cognitively unimpaired aging people changed into aMCI were taken as state variable and age as time variable). Finally, we randomly selected 111 cognitively unimpaired aging people who were not converted to aMCI (matched with age, sex, and education of those who were converted to aMCI) and compared the baseline and follow up neuropsychological tests between the two groups by using independent sample t-test (normally distributed data) or Mann-Whitney U (non-normally distributed data). Then, Cox regression analysis (111 pairs) was used to screen which neuropsychological test was most helpful to predict aMCI. And LSD-t test was used to correct multiple comparisons. Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS version 22.0 and a p-value < 0.05 was regarded as significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Total Sample

A total of 3,246 nationally representative people (with 1,473 males, 45.4%), completed the survey. Their age range was 60–99, with an average age of 71.58 ± 8.061. Of them, 111 were excluded because of missing data. And there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in age, gender and education between the excluded population and the study population.
Characteristics of the Old Normal Adult Who Were Followed Up

2218 old adult people (1,074 males, age: 70.14 ± 7.535) with cognitively unimpaired were followed up for one year. Among them, 645 (29.1%) lost their visits, 111 (5.0%) changed into aMCI, 15 (0.7%) into vMCI, 1 (0.0%) into SCD, 11 (0.5%) into AD, 10 (0.5%) into VD, 6 (0.3%) into MD, 4 (0.2%) into depression, 10 (0.5%) into others, while 1,391 (62.7%) were still cognitively unimpaired (Figure 1). Supplementary Table 1 shows the general demographic differences between the lost population and the follow-up population. Next, we compared the general demographic data of normal-normal group and normal-aMCI group, and we found that the average age (75.30 ± 7.123) of the normal-aMCI group was significantly higher than that (69.82 ± 7.336) of the normal-normal group, while the years of education (6.17 ± 5.500) of the normal-aMCI group were lower than that (9.83 ± 4.876) of the normal-normal group. What’s more, the normal-aMCI group had a higher proportion of depression, and a lower proportion of male, hobby, reading, playing music, and surfing the internet compared with the normal-normal group (p < 0.05). In addition, the volume of left and right hippocampus of the normal-aMCI group was lower (p < 0.05) than that of the normal-normal group. However, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between the two groups in NPI total score and subtest. Table 1 presents the results.

Then we brought the above variables into Cox regression equation, and the results showed that male sex (p = 0.001, OR = 0.489, 95%CI: 0.319-0.751) and reading (p = 0.023, OR = 0.533, 95%CI: 0.310-0.917) were the protective factors for the development of aMCI (Table 2 shows the related results).

Cognitive Characteristics of the Old Normal Adult Who Were Followed Up

Next, we compared the cognitive characteristics between the two groups of people. In order to eliminate the influence of age, gender, and education on cognitive function, for each eligible case, a control subject matched for age, gender and education was selected to participate in the final assessment. And we found that the baseline raw scores of Normal-aMCI group on Digit Span, Auditory verbal learning tests, Visual identification test and Delayed memory between two groups (Table 3). One year later, we re-evaluated all subjects and found that the scores of MMSE, MoCA, Digit Span, Auditory verbal learning tests, Associative learning test, Visual identification test, Verbal fluency, Delayed memory, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS)-III Block Design, and Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS)-III picture completion were lower than those of Normal-normal group (p < 0.05), while there was no statistical differences (p > 0.05) in MMSE, MoCA, Visual identification test and Delayed memory between two groups (Table 3).

By using Cox regression analysis and cluster analysis, (whether the subjects were converted to aMCI as a grouping variable and age as time variable, 111 pairs), we found that the baseline scores of Wechsler’s Block Design (p=0.027, OR=0.969, 95%CI 0.943-0.996) could predict whether subjects would turn into aMCI in the future (Table 5).
TABLE 3 | Results of global cognitive functioning tests and neuropsychological tests in different cognitive domains in aMCI subjects and cognitively normal controls (baseline).

| Neuropsychological test | Normal-aMCI (n = 111) | Normal-normal (n = 111) | t or Z | p-value |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|
| Age, y                  | 75.30 ± 7.123         | 73.66 ± 8.623           | 1.511  | 0.133   |
| Education, y            | 6.17 ± 5.550          | 7.36 ± 4.472            | -1.671 | 0.096   |
| Male, n (%)             | 37(33.3)              | 38(34.2)                | 0.020  | 1.000   |
| Neuropsychological tests|                       |                         |        |         |
| MMSE                    | 25.28 ± 3.467         | 26.00 ± 4.439           | -1.348 | 0.179   |
| MoCA                    | 20.13 ± 4.886         | 21.24 ± 6.011           | -1.519 | 0.130   |
| Digit Span              | 12.436 ±              | 14.266 ±                | -3.535 | <0.001* |
| Auditory verbal learning| 4.165                 | 3.458                   |        |         |
| Language test           | 35.596 ±              | 40.314 ±                | -2.175 | 0.031*  |
| Delayed memory          | 15.50 ± 9.078         | 17.09 ± 11.937          | -1.216 | 0.227   |
| Wechsler’s picture completion | 8.02 ± 3.391 | 9.10 ± 4.418            | -2.209 | 0.044*  |
| Wechsler’s Block Design | 21.72 ± 8.142         | 24.50 ± 9.934           | -2.251 | 0.025*  |

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that the prevalence of aMCI among the old adult in Chinese communities was 17.1%, similar to the prevalence found in Lima, Peru (the mean age of the 352 participants was 70.91 ± 7.07 years, three quarters (82.9%) were women, and the mean number of years of education was 11.9 ± 3.7 years) (32) and Tremembé, Brazil (this study included 630 individuals [mean age, 71.3 ± 7.99]; mean years of education, 4.9 ± (±4.54); 397 (63.0%) were women) (33). With the unification of diagnostic standards and tools for aMCI in the world, the prevalence of aMCI in different ethnic groups tends to be the same. And other studies conducted in China also showed that the prevalence of aMCI was between 14.2% and 22.3% (14, 34), so our findings were consistent. As far as I know, this is the first nationwide study involving the incidence of aMCI in China. And we found that the incidence of aMCI among individuals age 60 and over in 20 Chinese communities was 70.57 per 1,000 person-years, which was similar to what found in other studies, for example, Ravaglia G et al. (34) followed up 745 old adult Italian participants [mean age: 77.4 ± 8.0; 398(54.0%) were women, 256 (29.6%) had less than 3 years of education] without dementia for 4 years, and found 155 changed into MCI, so the incidence rate of MCI was 76.8 (95% CI = 66.8 - 88.4) per 1,000 person-years. However, Katz MJ (35) et al surveyed 1944 adults aged 70 or older (Bronx residents, 1168 dementia free at baseline; mean age, 78.8 y; average follow-up, 3.9 y), and found that the incidence of aMCI was 38/1000 person-years. And the disparity was likely due to investigation methods (our study only investigated the overall incidence of aMCI, but did not focus on age and gender specificity). What’s more, the lack of epidemiological studies in China and the potential over-diagnosis of this entity should also be considered (32).

Previous studies indicated that 35%–75% of patients with aMCI would experience at least one neuropsychiatric symptom (NPS), such as delusions, aggressiveness, anxiety, depression, aberrant motor behavior, and eating problems (36). And the present of NPS was proved to be associated with decreased quality of life, increased hospital stay, as well as decreased survival (37). What’s more, the presence of NPS among aMCI patients would also increase the risk of progression to dementia (38, 39). In the present study, we used NPI to investigate the NPS of normal old adult people, and found that the total and subtest scores of NPI in normal-aMCI group were significant higher than that in the normal-normal group, although the difference was not statistically significant (p > 0.05). Therefore, we speculated that mental symptoms would gradually increase with the deterioration of cognitive symptoms. However, due to the serious missing of data, we were unable to count the prevalence of NPS in the aMCI and AD population, which was also a major limitation of this study.

By using Cox regression analysis, we confirmed that male sex (p = 0.001, OR = 0.489, 95%CI 0.319–0.751) and reading (p = 0.023, OR = 0.533, 95%CI 0.310–0.917) were protective factors for against aMCI. Evidence from epidemiological studies has indicated a lower prevalence of AD in males than in age-matched females (40), independent of race, culture, and diagnostic criteria used (41). In populations over the age of 65, men are half as likely to suffer from AD as women (42). However, a meta-analysis of fifty-six studies also showed that there were no statistically significant sex

TABLE 4 | Results of global cognitive functioning tests and neuropsychological tests in different cognitive domains in aMCI subjects and cognitively normal controls (follow-up).

| Neuropsychological test | Normal-aMCI (n = 111) | Normal-normal (n = 111) | t or Z | p-value |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|
| MMSE                    | 23.30 ± 4.753         | 25.81 ± 3.912           | -4.302 | <0.001* |
| MoCA                    | 17.63 ± 5.702         | 21.80 ± 5.763           | -5.421 | <0.001* |
| Digit Span              | 11.873 ± 3.931        | 14.380 ± 3.674          | -4.743 | <0.001* |
| Auditory verbal learning| 30.252 ±              | 37.476 ±                | -3.770 | <0.001* |
| Test                    | 13.039                 | 14.429                  |        |         |
| Associative learning test| 4.24 ± 4.021          | 6.18 ± 4.396            | -3.312 | 0.001*  |
| Visual identification test| 12.93 ± 5.197        | 16.27 ± 4.350           | -5.105 | <0.001* |
| Verbal fluency          | 21.24 ± 9.321         | 27.13 ± 10.400          | -4.315 | <0.001* |
| Delayed memory          | 12.23 ± 9.236         | 16.44 ± 9.531           | -3.198 | 0.002*  |
| Wechsler’s picture completion | 7.10 ± 3.880 | 9.72 ± 4.041            | -4.874 | <0.001* |
| Wechsler’s Block Design | 20.50 ± 9.095         | 24.54 ± 9.885           | -3.099 | 0.002*  |

TABLE 5 | Neuropsychological tests that can be used to predict aMCI.

| Variables               | B       | S.E     | Wald   | df | p     | OR     | 95% CI   |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|--------|----|-------|--------|----------|
| Wechsler’s Block Design | 0.031   | 0.014   | 4.917  | 1  | 0.027 | 0.943-0.996 |
differences in the prevalence or incidence of amnestic MCI (43). Therefore, a larger sample of longitudinal studies is needed to specifically explore the gender differences in aMCI. There are several mechanisms to explain the difference in AD prevalence between men and women, first, compared to female with AD, male AD patients tend to have a better score on a variety of neuropsychological tasks, greater hippocampal volumes, less total brain atrophy and temporal lobe degeneration (44). In our present study, we also found that the volume of left (3,945.76 ± 470.139) and right hippocampus (3,724.5 ± 452.619) in normal old men was significantly larger (p < 0.001) than that (left hippocampus: 3,671.84 ± 474.656; right hippocampus: 3,504.96 ± 383.105) in women; second, estrogens can affect the prevalence of AD in women, but not in men (45); third, women often live longer than men, since age is the strongest risk factor for sporadic AD (46), as a result, women have a higher risk of AD; Fourth, risk factors (e.g., apolipoprotein E [APOE] genotype, type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, obesity) that are equally common in women and men but are more common or have a stronger effect in one sex or gender group (46). Fifth, females often show higher frontal cortex cholinergic activity whereas males have higher activity in the hippocampus (47).

In addition, we also found that reading was an important factor for preventing aMCI, which was consistent with the findings of Wang YP (the research method is similar to ours) (48). So our conclusions were consistent. It is worth noting that there was a low rate of illiteracy in the present study, since people with higher socioeconomic status/education may also be more likely to read (49), the relationship between reading and aMCI needs further study.

Next, we explored the cognitive characteristics of people who would develop into aMCI in the future. And found that the old adult who would develop into aMCI in the future would show multiple cognitive impairments (such as immediate memory, associative learning memory, and executive function) in the early stage, although their overall cognitive function was not significantly abnormal. Then, we further explored which neuropsychological test can predict the transition from normal aging to aMCI. By using Cox regression analysis and cluster analysis, (whether the subjects were converted to aMCI as a grouping variable and age as time variable, 111 pairs), we found that Wechsler’s Block Design (p = 0.027, OR = 0.969, 95%CI 0.943–0.996) could predict whether subjects would turn into aMCI in the future. Although the impairment of memory is regarded as a hallmark of aMCI, recent studies (50, 51) have demonstrated that executive dysfunction may also be present. And Johns et al. (51) found that aMCI would show deficits in at least one sub-domain of executive function, independent of whether they were of a single domain or multiple domain subtype. Therefore, we inferred that executive dysfunction might be an indicator of future transition to aMCI, which was harmonious with Chapman’s findings (they also found that speed executive functioning was a strong predictor of MCI conversion to AD) (52).

LIMITATIONS
We have to admit that there are some limitations in our research. First, we did not specifically discuss the relationship between the different subtypes of aMCI and cognitive function. Second, longitudinal data from larger samples was needed to verify the above conclusions. Third, although we also collected the social activities and exchange information of the participants, we did not include them in the final statistics due to the serious lack of data. Fourth, neuropsychiatric symptoms were a common accompaniment of dementia or aMCI (53), however, we did not concentrate on them in this study.

CONCLUSIONS
In summary, using the nationwide community-based data, we found out that the prevalence and incidence aMCI among the old adult in Chinese communities was 17.1% and 70.57 per 1,000 person-years, respectively. And male sex and reading are protective factors for against aMCI. In addition, the old adult who will develop aMCI in the future will show multiple cognitive impairments (such as immediate memory, associative learning memory and executive function) in the early stage, and executive dysfunction might be an indicator of future transition to aMCI.
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