Metabolic profiles of adipose-derived and bone marrow-derived stromal cells from elderly coronary heart disease patients by capillary liquid chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry
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Abstract. Adipose-tissue derived mesenchymal stem cell (ADSC)-based therapy is a promising option for patients with atherosclerotic conditions, including coronary artery disease. However, the potential differences in the metabolic characteristics between bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) and ADSCs have remained to be fully elucidated. The present study aimed to compare the metabolic profiles of BMSCs and ADSCs via liquid chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. BMSCs and ADSCs obtained from elderly coronary heart disease patients were cultured, and after three passages, supernatants of each cell type were collected and systematically analysed. Substantial differences were detected between the metabolite signatures of ADSCs and BMSCs. In addition, further analysis using partial least-squares discriminant analysis score plots indicated significant differences between the supernatants of the two cell types. The following metabolites were deemed to be responsible for the potential differences in the metabolic characteristics of BMSCs and ADSCs: D-lactic acid, hydroxyindoleacetaldehyde, α-D-glucose, bovinic acid, 9,10-epoxyoctadecenoic acid, glyceraldehyde, phenylpyruvic acid, L-octanoylcarnitine, retinyl ester, α-ketoisovaleric acid, guanidoacetic acid, N-acetylneuraminic acid, imidazoleacetic acid riboside, sphingosine and pseudouridine 5'-phosphate. Based on these findings, there may be significant differences in the following metabolic pathways: The linoleic acid metabolic pathway, galactose metabolism, arginines and proline metabolism, retinol metabolism, glycine and serine metabolism, galactose metabolism, and amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism. In conclusion, substantial differences in metabolic characteristics were detected between BMSCs and ADSCs, which may be associated with the different efficacies of atherosclerosis therapies employing these cell types.

Introduction

Despite significant improvement in diagnosis and treatment strategies in recent years, atherosclerosis and the consequent diseases remain major contributors to mortality and morbidity.
worldwide (1). Pathophysiologically, atherosclerosis is recognized as an inflammatory disease characterized by the activation and migration of inflammatory cells into the subendothelial layer of the arteries. Coronary heart disease (CHD), which is caused by atherosclerotic lesions in the coronary arteries, has become the most important public health problem in developed as well as developing countries, and the incidence is continuously rising worldwide with the acceleration of population aging (2,3). In addition to conventional risk factors that have been associated with atherosclerosis and CHD, overweight and obesity have been linked to the pathogenesis of the above diseases (4,5). With the increasing prevalence of obesity in the global population (6), atherosclerosis-associated diseases are expected to be even more prevalent in the future (7). Therefore, the development of effective therapies against atherosclerosis is of great clinical significance.

Indeed, marked improvements have been made regarding treatment options for atherosclerosis and associated diseases (8,9), and stem cell-based therapies are promising for patients with atherosclerosis, particularly CHD. With their characteristics of extensive proliferation and multipotency, stem cells have been suggested to be effective for repairing of vascular atherosclerotic lesions (7,10-12). Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs), which include bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs) and adipose-tissue derived mesenchymal stem cell (ADSC), are multipotent adult stem cells that are most commonly applied in studies on stem-cell based therapies for atherosclerotic diseases (13,14). In addition to the use of MSCs themselves, bioengineering approaches based on gene therapy using MSCs have also been explored in several preclinical studies (15-17). The benefits of MSC-based therapies in atherosclerosis have been suggested to involve numerous potential mechanisms, including homing of MSCs to atherosclerotic lesions, production of active cytokines, modulation of the immune response, improved endothelial repair and attenuation of thrombosis formation (18-20).

Although BMSCs are the most commonly used type of stem cells in preclinical studies on cell-based therapies for atherosclerosis, the relative rarity of these cells and the invasive procedures required for their harvesting have limited their use. As ADSCs are more readily accessible than BMSCs (21), they are also considered to be a potential cell source for the treatment of atherosclerotic diseases. However, the differences in the biological characteristics of ADSCs and BMSCs remain to be fully elucidated. No significant differences in the morphology and immune phenotype have been identified between BMSCs and ADSCs (22). However, the proliferative activity and apoptotic tolerance of ADSCs were reported to be higher than those of BMSCs (23-25). In addition, the cell population, maximum lifespan and multipotency of BMSCs were found to decrease more rapidly with increasing donor age compared with ADSCs (26,27). MSCs have been demonstrated to be capable of enhancing angiogenesis and improving cardiac function in vivo. Kim et al (28) compared the therapeutic potential of ADSCs and BMSCs by transplanting the same number of cells in a nude mouse model of hind limb ischemia. The results indicated that ADSCs are associated with better blood flow recovery than BMSCs. In a rodent model injected with ADSCs to reconstruct abdominal wall muscle defects, angiogenesis and muscle healing were significantly improved compared with those in animals administered BMSCs (29). In addition, an experimental study demonstrated that ADSCs may induce a greater improvement in infarct area and left ventricle infarct wall thickness than BMSCs (30). The above studies also indicated that application of ADSCs in vivo in ischemic disease was associated with enhanced angiogenesis and a greater improvement in heart function in terms of efficacy and accessibility. The potential mechanisms underlying these differences have not been comprehensively described, and differences in the metabolic characteristics of the two stem cell types may be involved. Therefore, the present study applied liquid chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-QTOF-MS) to explore the differences in the metabolites of BMSCs and ADSCs derived from elderly patients with CHD.

Materials and methods

Patients. A total of 30 elderly patients (age, ≥60 years) with CHD and without hyperlipidemia and/or other metabolic abnormalities who were hospitalized at The Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University (Harbin, China) from January, 2015 to October, 2016 were enrolled in the present study. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, and informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Cell culture. Bone marrow was collected from 15 CHD patients. The bone marrow was aspirated under local anesthesia from the sternum and collected in heparinized tubes. Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) with 3.7 g/l sodium bicarbonate, 1% penicillin and streptomycin, and 10% fetal bovine serum (Biological Industries Israel Beit-Haemek, Ltd., Kibbutz Beit-Haemek, Israel) was used for culturing the isolated cells. After 72 h, unattached cells and residual non-adherent red blood cells were removed by washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). ADSCs were derived from adipose tissue of abdominal subcutaneous fat collected under anesthesia from the other 15 CHD patients as previously described (31). The adipose tissues were washed with PBS containing 1% penicillin and streptomycin and subsequently digested with collagenase type I (1 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) at 37°C for 45-60 min according to the manufacturer's instructions for the collagenase with intermittent shaking. Subsequently, the suspension was filtered using a 200-µm nylon mesh and the suspension was then centrifuged at 600 x g/min at 4°C for 10 min, to separate the floating adipocytes. The cells were then cultured in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C with the medium replaced every 3 days. At passage 3, 10⁵ cells in 2 ml cell culture medium were seeded in 6-well plates. After 3 days, the supernatants were collected and preserved at -80°C for subsequent analyses.

Sample preparation. Supernatant preparation for the analysis of BMSCs and ADSCs was based on the following procedure: In brief, frozen supernatant samples were thawed at 4°C for 50 min. After vortexing for 10 sec, the solutions were
Table I. Clinical characteristics of BMSC and ADSC donors (n=15 per group).

| Characteristic                        | BMSC donors | ADSC donors | P-value |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| No. of subjects                       | 15          | 15          | -       |
| Age, years (median, range)            | 64, 61-73   | 65, 61-75   | 0.36    |
| Weight, kg (median, range)            | 67, 55-83   | 65, 50-85   | 0.48    |
| Sex                                   | 8 M, 7 F    | 6 M, 9 F    | -       |
| History of coronary heart disease, years (median, range) | 18, 12-25 | 19, 13-26 | 0.44    |
| Fasting glucose, mmol/l (median, range) | 5.4, 4.2-6.1 | 5.2, 4.5-6.1 | 0.47 |

BMSC, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell; ADSC, adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cell; M, male; F, female.

Results

PCA score plots for discriminating BMSCs and ADSCs. The baseline characteristics of the donors are presented in Table I. There were no significant differences between the groups of donors (BMSC donors: 8 males and 7 females; median age, 64 years; age range, 61-73 years; median weight, 67 kg; weight range, 55-83 kg; mean fasting glucose, 5.4 mmol/l; and fasting glucose range, 4.2-6.1 mmol/l. ADSC donors: 6 males and 9 females; median age, 65 years; age range, 61-75 years; median weight, 65 kg; weight range, 50-85 kg; mean fasting glucose, 5.2 mmol/l; fasting glucose range, 4.5-6.1 mmol/l). Metabolic analysis revealed numerous metabolic differences between BMSCs and ADSCs. The results

Chromatography. Each 10-µl aliquot of sample was injected into a 2.1x100 mm (1.8 mm) ZORBAX SB-C18 column for subsequent rapid resolution liquid chromatography (6530 series) (both from Agilent Technologies, Inc.). A mixture of acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid (phase A) and water containing 0.1% formic acid (phase B) were used as the mobile phase for electron spray ionisation in positive mode (ESI+), while a mixture of acetonitrile (phase A) and water (phase B) was used as the mobile phase for ESI in negative mode (ESI-). The protocols for the linear mobile phase gradient were as follows: 95% A held for 1 min; decreased to 2% A by 10 min; held at 2% A until 13 min; increased to 95% A by 13.1 min; and held at 95% A until 20 min. The flow rate of the mobile phase was 0.3 ml/min at 40°C.

MS. MS was performed using an Agilent 6530-QTOF MS apparatus (6530 series; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) operating in ESI+ or ESI- mode. The capillary voltage was set as 4.0 kV for ESI+ and 3.5 kV for ESI-. Nitrogen was applied as the desolvation gas at a flow rate of 10 l/min. The desolvation temperature was 350°C. The centroid data were obtained with the full scan mode [mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) = 50-1,000].

Data pre-processing and annotation. The raw data were converted into mzData-format files using MassHunter Qualitative Analysis Software (v. B.04.00; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) and these files were further imported to the XCMS package in R (v. 3.0.2) (r-project.org/) for pre-processing. The analyses followed the default XCMS parameter settings, with the following exceptions: xcms Set (fwhm, 10), group (minfrac, 0.5; bw, 30) and rector (method, ‘obiwarp’). The definitions are as follows: fwhm, specifying the full width at half maximum of matched filtration Gaussian model peak; minfrac, defining the minimum fraction of samples in at least one sample group in which the peaks have to be present to be considered as a peak group; and bw, defining the bandwidth (standard deviation of the smoothing kernel) to be used.

Subsequently, a data matrix was generated, including results of retention time, m/z values and peak intensity. CAMERA in R (v. 3.0.2) was used to annotate isotope peaks and generate adducts and fragments in the peak lists (32). A total of 1,668 ions in ESI+ mode and 829 ions in ESI- mode were included for subsequent statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis. First, principal component analysis (PCA) was used to detect the grouping trends and outliers (33). The Wilcoxon rank sum test was then applied to determine the significance of each metabolite at P<0.05. To identify the differences in metabolites between BMSCs and ADSCs, a partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was used (33). Permutation tests with 100 iterations were included to validate the supervised model and avoid overfitting (34). Based on the PLS-DA model, parameters that described the variable importance in the projection (VIP) for each metabolite were calculated. With thresholds of P-values and VIP values of 0.05 and 1, respectively, the metabolic biomarkers were detected. The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used on the R platform (v. 3.0.2). The PCA and PLS-DA were performed using SIMCA-P (v. 11.5; Umetrics, Malmö, Sweden).

The raw data were transferred to a clean 2-ml centrifuge tube and then acetonitrile (1,000 µl) was added. After vortexing for 2 min, the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant (200 µl) was transferred to an autosampler vial and injected into the LC-QTOF-MS (6530 series; Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) apparatus for analysis. Equal amounts of supernatant samples from 15 ADSC cultures and 15 BMSC cultures as the samples were mixed for quality control (QC).

After vortexing for 2 min and centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant (200 µl) was transferred to an autosampler vial and injected into the LC-QTOF-MS (6530 series; Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) apparatus for analysis. Equal amounts of supernatant samples from 15 ADSC cultures and 15 BMSC cultures as the samples were mixed for quality control (QC).

The supernatant (200 µl) was transferred to an autosampler vial and injected into the LC-QTOF-MS (6530 series; Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) apparatus for analysis. Equal amounts of supernatant samples from 15 ADSC cultures and 15 BMSC cultures as the samples were mixed for quality control (QC).
of the overall PCA based on all the samples suggested that the QC samples were closely clustered in plots of PCA scores, which demonstrated that the results of the metabolic profiling platform were robust. In addition, no outliers were present on the whole, and separation trends were observed between BMSCs and ADSCs (Fig. 1).

**PLS-DA plots and validation plots for discriminating BMSCs and ADSCs.** Via the application of the ESI+ and ESI- modes, all of the statistically significant ions were analysed (P<0.05 and VIP>1) (Fig. 2). Subsequently, a supervised PLS-DA model was used to identify differences between BMSCs and ADSCs. As presented in the PLS-DA score plot, an obvious separation between BMSCs and ADSCs was present in the ESI+ mode (Fig. 2A) and ESI- mode (Fig. 2C). The PLS-DA models contained two predictive components in ESI+ mode ($R^2=0.409$; $Q^2_{cum}=0.759$) and two components in ESI- mode ($R^2=0.55$; $Q^2_{cum}=0.647$). Permutation tests including 100 iterations and containing two predictive components were
also performed (35). The results indicated that the permuted Q<sup>2</sup>cum values were lower than the original values in almost all cases (Fig. 2B and D), which further confirmed the validity of the supervised models. R<sup>2</sup> identified the outfit of the PLS model. Q<sup>2</sup>cum refers to the predicting ability of the PLS model.

Metabolite profiles of potential biomarkers differing between BMSCs and ADSCs. Analysis of VIP values revealed discriminatory metabolites that contributed to the differences between BMSCs and ADSCs. Based on false discovery rate and VIP thresholds of 0.05 and 1, respectively, differential ions were selected as biomarker candidates for subsequent metabolite identification. The identification procedures were similar to strategies previously published by our group (36,37). In total, 8 metabolites in ESI<sup>+</sup> mode and 8 metabolites in ESI<sup>−</sup> mode were identified (Table II). D-lactic acid, hydroxyindoleacetaldehyde, α-D-glucose, bovinic acid, 9,10-epoxyoctadecenoic acid, glyceraldehyde, phenylpyruvic acid, and α-ketoisovaleric acid were identified in ESI<sup>−</sup> mode. D-lactic acid, hydroxyindoleacetaldehyde, α-D-glucose, bovinic acid, 9,10-epoxyoctadecenoic acid, glyceraldehyde, phenylpyruvic acid, and α-ketoisovaleric acid were identified in ESI<sup>+</sup> mode.
Acid, L-octanoylcarnitine and retinyl ester were observed to be elevated in the supernatant of ADSCs compared with that of BMSCs (Figs. 3-5). By contrast, α-ketoisovaleric acid, guanidinoacetic acid, N-acetylgalactosamine, imidazoleacetic acid riboside, sphingosine and pseudouridine 5'-phosphate levels were lower in the supernatant of ADSCs compared with that of BMSCs (Figs. 3-5). The involved biochemical pathways mapped in the Human Metabolome Database (HMDB) (38) and the Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (39) included the linoleic acid metabolic pathway, glycerolipid metabolism, arginine and proline metabolism, mitochondrial β-oxidation of short chain saturated fatty acids, pyrimidine metabolism, glycine and serine metabolism, galactose metabolism and amino sugar metabolism.
Discussion

The results of the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study were published in The Lancet 20 years ago (40). At present, dyslipidemia is major cause of atherosclerotic vascular disease. Recent studies further underlined the significance of dyslipidemia in cardiovascular disease through performing research on lipids [high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and triglycerides] and cardiovascular disease (41-43). Autologous MSC transplantation has emerged as a novel treatment for atherosclerosis-associated diseases, and pilot studies have demonstrated a promising clinical effect for this treatment strategy. However, the relative efficacies of BMSC- and ADSC-based cellular therapies for atherosclerosis-associated diseases have remained largely elusive. Establishing the metabolic signatures of these cell types will be helpful for understanding differences between them and be of significance for the development of clinical treatments. The present results regarding unknown and annotated analytes indicated that the supernatant of ADSCs contained

Figure 4. Metabolite profiles of potential biomarkers differing between epithelial BMSCs and ADSCs in negative electron spray ionization mode. Values are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01 vs. ADSCs. BMSCs, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells; ADSCs, adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells.
significantly different levels of metabolites compared with BMSCs. Of note, the metabolites accounting for the differences between the supernatants of ADSCs and BMSCs were matched with known human metabolites in the HMDB (hmdb.ca/) or KEGG (kegg.jp/kegg/pathway.html), and these results were further confirmed by a manual search for similarities between the annotated and the library spectra for each metabolite.

Overall, the results of the present metabolite pathway enrichment analysis retrieved 15 potential pathways that were considered to be different between ADSCs and BMSCs. Two annotated metabolites included bovinic acid and 9,10-epoxyoctadecenoic acid, which are components of the linoleic acid pathway. The linoleic acid pathway contains 15 metabolites and participates in protecting the body against disease states such as atherosclerosis, thrombosis, diabetes, high blood pressure, skin inflammation, aging and cancer. Bovinic acid is a predominant conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in human adipose tissue, comprising a group of fatty acids with 18 carbon atoms, and has anti-atherogenic and anticarcinogenic activities (44,45). As the pathophysiological process of atherosclerosis is complex and involves numerous cellular pathways, reversal of particular pathways may not be sufficient for the prevention of the disease. However, studies suggested that administration of CLA may be associated with the regression of atherosclerosis in rabbits (46) and other animal models (47). Evidence from a patient study has demonstrated that CLA has anti-inflammatory effects via the reduction of oxidative stress (48).

Several studies have also demonstrated that consumption of CLA reduced the fat mass or the percentage of body fat in healthy and in obese/overweight adults (49-51). As such, the conclusions from meta-analyses of previous patient studies were that intake of CLA reduced body weight and body-fat mass (52). The potential mechanisms of action of CLA may involve metabolic effects of inhibiting lipogenesis and accelerating lipolysis (53). Via interactions with the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), CLA has been proven to initiate the transcription of genes associated with the differentiation of adipocytes, which involve lipolysis (β-oxidation) and mitochondrial biogenesis (54). Of note, the activation of PPARγ was associated with delayed progression of atherosclerosis and dyslipidemia. In addition, a recent study confirmed that the effects of CLA against inflammation were mainly mediated via the inhibition of nuclear factor-κB and mitogen-activated protein kinase signalling pathways (55).

Furthermore, clinical studies have reported that CLA may provide a great benefit for human health. An inverse association between cis-9, trans-11 CLA and the risk of myocardial infarction has been detected among Costa Rican subjects (56). Another human study drew a similar conclusion,
named that intake of CLA increased HDL-C and reduced the LDL-C/HDL-C ratio in type 2 diabetic patients (57). In addition, CLA was also reported to improve insulin sensitivity in young patients, which was correlated with decreased fasting insulin levels (58). A clinical trial indicated an effect of CLA on Colinson’s disease, where intake of 6 g CLA/day for 12 weeks improved inflammatory bowel disease questionnaire responses and decreased the Colinson’s disease activity index (59). In the Swedish Mammography Cohort study, intake of CLA was demonstrated to reduce the risk of colorectal cancer by 13% and the risk of distal colon cancer by 34% (60). In a study on breast cancer patients, CLA inhibited tumour metastasis in premenopausal women (61,62). In South African children, the potential preventive effects of CLA on laryngeal papillomatosis have been reported, which may cause airway obstruction in young children (63). The abovementioned patient studies indicated the potential application of CLA in cardiovascular diseases, metabolic syndrome, immune system diseases and cancer, either alone or complementary to present treatments.

BMSCs have been proposed as a cell source for atherosclerosis therapy. However, ADSCs have emerged as a novel cell source with easy accessibility, and they may be collected from elderly patients with less injury than bone marrow. In addition, in elderly patients, BMSCs reside in the bone marrow stroma in smaller quantities compared with those in young patients, whereas the amount of ADSCs is often greater due to the dramatic increase in the incidence of obesity worldwide. Both cell types are well tolerated by humans. However, the relative efficacies of BMSC- and ADSC-based stem cell therapies for patients with atherosclerosis-associated diseases, such as CHD, remain to be determined. A recent study suggested that ADSC transfusion was associated with a repressed increase in body weight and improved dyslipidemia in obese mice (64). In addition, CLA has been proven to stimulate lipolysis in human adipocytes and diminish the synthesis of fatty acids, although the specific mechanisms remain to be determined (65). Furthermore, ADSCs have been suggested to be more immunosuppressive than BMSCs, as ADSCs are associated with a more marked inhibition of the expression of functionally important co-stimulatory molecules on the surface of monocyte-derived dendritic cells (66). The results of the present study suggested that ADSCs may possibly act upon adipose tissue via the production of CLA and participate in the linoleic acid pathway, which may provide additional treatment effects as compared with BMSCs.

Nevertheless, there are some limitations of the present study. The study enrolled 30 patients, all of which were elderly, and used the BMSCs from 15 of them and the ADSCs from the other 15 patients. However, it may have been appropriate to assess the ADSCs and BMSCs from the same patient and then determine the differences in metabolites. Therefore, based on the study design, it cannot be excluded that the differences in metabolites between ADSCs and BMSCs may have been due to them being taken from two different populations/groups. Furthermore, no control group was used, such as a group of younger patients for comparison.

In conclusion, the results of the present study revealed a marked difference regarding the metabolic characteristics of ADSCs and BMSCs. ADSCs exhibited differences regarding components of the linoleic acid pathway, including bovinic acid, 12,13-EpOME, 13-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid and 9,10-epoxyoctadecenoic acid as compared with BMSCs. These results enhanced the current understanding of the metabolic differences between ADSCs and BMSCs and may represent the underlying mechanisms responsible for the different efficacies of ADSC- and BMSC-based stem cell therapies for atherosclerosis-associated diseases.
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