Associations Between Vertebral Marrow Proton Density Fat Fraction and Risk of Prostate Cancer
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Bone marrow adipocytes may be responsible for cancer progression. Although marrow adipogenesis is suspected to be involved in prostate carcinogenesis, an association between marrow adiposity and prostate cancer risk has not been clearly established in vivo. This work included 115 newly diagnosed cases of histologically confirmed prostate cancer (range, 48–79 years) and 87 age-matched healthy controls. Marrow proton density fat fraction (PDFF) was measured by 3.0-T MR spectroscopy at the spine lumbar. Associations between marrow PDFF and risk of prostate cancer by stage of disease and grade sub-types were performed using multivariable polytomous logistic regression. There were no significant group differences in the vertebral marrow PDFF, despite prostate cancer patients having 6.6% higher marrow PDFF compared to the healthy controls (61.7 ± 9.8% vs. 57.9 ± 6.5%; t = 1.429, p = 0.161). After adjusting for various clinical and demographic characteristics, we found that elevated marrow PDFF was related to an increased risk of high-grade prostate cancer [odds ratios (OR) = 1.31; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.08–1.57; p = 0.003]. Likewise, increased marrow PDFF had a significantly positive correlation with aggressive prostate cancer risk (OR = 1.54; 95% CI, 1.13–1.92; p <0.001). There were no associations between marrow PDFF and low-grade (p = 0.314) or non-aggressive (p = 0.435) prostate cancer risk. The data support the hypothesis that marrow adiposity was correlated with increased risk of aggressive prostate cancer, supporting a link between adipogenesis and prostate cancer risk.
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INTRODUCTION

Marrow adipocytes are derived from multipotent bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells that can differentiate into various cell types, including myocytes, osteoblasts, chondrocytes, and adipocytes (1). Adipocytes within the bone marrow niche carry out diverse functions that are capable of secreting inflammatory mediators, growth factors, and adipokines, which play an active role in
regulating the function and behavior of neighboring cells, and have potentials to dysregulate normal bone homeostasis (2–4).

The unique features of the bone marrow niche make it a favorable metastatic site of cancers, including multiple myeloma, prostate, and breast cancer bone metastasis (4–6). The positive feedback loops initiated by tumor cells within the bone marrow niche also induce these cancer cells to metastasize and to grow within the bone marrow but not in other anatomical sites (7). Mechanisms resulting in the homing of prostate cancer cells to bone marrow remain poorly understood. Several studies have demonstrated that marrow fat tissue fuels and supports the growth of solid tumor metastasis, such as breast and prostate cancer bone metastasis, by serving as plenty of source of lipids and signaling molecules (4, 8). As a powerful tool to reveal novel mechanistic targets of cancer-related bone-metastatic diseases treatments, understanding the prominent role of marrow adiposity in adaptation and survival of tumor cells in the bone is of great clinical implication. Skeletal metastases are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in prostate cancer.

Skeletal metastasis remains the most common and the deadliest complication of advanced prostate cancer. The role of marrow fat cells in the bone metastatic process of prostate cancer cells is well established (9, 10). Ex vivo human primary marrow adipocyte secretions may support prostate-cancer-directed migration in a chemokine receptor CCR3-dependent manner (5), whereas the number and depth of in vivo analyses in patients are limited. We hypothesized that an increase in marrow proton density fat fraction measured by magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) in spinal vertebral bodies may be correlated with increased prostate cancer risk, both overall and subgroup according to stage and grade. If this is the case, marrow adiposity may present a promising therapeutic option for aggressive prostate cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

This study includes 115 newly diagnosed, histologically confirmed, primary prostate cancer (range, 48–79 years) identified by the Pathology Department between December 2017 and November 2021. We also recruited 87 age-matched healthy controls (range, 49–78 years) from the community on the basis of the participant’s age at the date of the diagnosis. Prostate cancer patients were classified into localized (stage T1 or T2; NXM0) or advanced stage (stage T3 or T4) according to the tumor–node–metastasis (TNM) clinical staging system and into low grade (Gleason score <7) and high grade (Gleason sum ≥7) according to Gleason scores following review of histological material, as previously described (11). Aggressive disease was classified by TNM stage III–IV and/or Gleason sum 7 or higher. Non-aggressive disease was defined as Gleason score <7 and stage I/II at diagnosis (12).

At enrollment, all patients completed self-administered questionnaires about demographics, general risk factors, smoking status, and medical history, family history of prostate cancer, and lifestyle factors (e.g., physical activity, history of smoking, and alcohol consumption). Cigarette smoking at baseline was classified as current, former, or never. Physical activity was determined by using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire short form, with data reported as Metabolic Equivalent of Task hours per week (6). Height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm with a metric rule attached to the wall and a right angled wood block. Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg with a balance beam scale after participants removed footwear and excess clothing. Body mass index was calculated as the weight (in kilograms) divided by the square of the height (in meters). Participants also had an overnight fasting blood sample collected. Participants were excluded in the following cases: (1) previous cancer or another history of chronic illness such as diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism or pituitary disorders, or impaired renal function; (2) use of medications known to influence bone metabolism such as bisphosphonates, steroids, hormone replacement therapy, or any medications that affect bone metabolism; (3) previous history of lumbar spinal surgery or irradiation; and (4) any contraindication to MR examinations. The study protocols conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Shanghai University of Medicine & Health Sciences Affiliated Zhoutpu Hospital, and all participants signed a letter of informed consent for the scientific evaluation of their data.

Biochemical Analyses

All blood samples were obtained before 8 a.m. after an 8-h overnight fast and were analyzed on the same day as collection. The plasma concentration of glucose was determined using an automatic biochemical analyzer (ADVIA Chemistry XPT System, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). Prostate-specific antigen, total cholesterol, triglycerides, high- and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and total cholesterol were measured with a Cobas 8000 (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) using chemiluminescence immunoassay.

MRI Examinations

For marrow proton density fat fraction (PDFF) measurements of the L3 vertebra, the participants underwent spine 1H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) in a 3-T MRI instrument (Siemens Skyra, Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany), as previously described (13, 14). Briefly, the participants were positioned head first in the magnet bore in the prone position. A phased-array coil was positioned over the lumbar region. To exclude any confounders such as silent compression fractures, vertebral hemangiomas, and wedging of vertebrae, the standard protocols including sagittal T1- and T2-weighted images were used for anatomical and morphological evaluations of the lumbar spine. Before the MR spectroscopy acquisition, coronal, sagittal, and axial scout T2-weighted fast spin echo sequence were used as a reference for the spectral acquisition box.

A single voxel T2-corrected multi-echo MR spectroscopy sequence was performed by placing a voxel measuring 1.5 mm × 1.5 mm × 1.5 mm (3.4 ml) within the L3 vertebral body using a
stimulated echo acquisition mode with five echo times (12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 ms) and 3,000 ms repetition time. Spectral data were acquired in 1,024 data points with a 2,000 Hz receiver bandwidth, 90° flip angle without water suppression, and a total acquisition time of 17 s. For each voxel placement, automated procedures were performed to optimize the gradient shimming and transmit and receive gain. An example of the fat spectral and water peaks at a specific echo time and the automatically calculated fat fraction from the high-speed T2-corrected multi-echo scan are displayed in Figure 1.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation, median (interquartile range), or frequencies and percentages where appropriate. Differences in baseline demographic and clinical characteristics between cases and healthy controls were evaluated using t-test or Wilcoxon rank sum test (for continuous variables) and chi-squared tests (for categorical variables). Differences in the PDFF values among the controls and low- and high-grade prostate cancer, and among the controls and aggressive and non-aggressive prostate cancer were assessed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) followed by Bonferroni post-hoc multiple comparisons when controlling for covariates. Multivariable polytomous logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the associations of marrow PDFF with prostate cancer risk by stage and grade sub-types, in which two separate sets of analyses were ran. The potential confounding factors that are hypothesized or known to be associated with prostate cancer, smoking status, alcohol intake, physical activity, and family history of prostate cancer were included in the multivariable models including age, body mass index (BMI), physical activity, alcohol consumption, smoking status, levels of prostate-specific antigen and blood lipids, and family history of prostate cancer. Similar results were observed between the high-speed T2-corrected multi-echo scan are displayed in Figure 1.

### RESULTS

#### General Outcomes

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the prostate cancer patients and healthy controls are presented in Table 1. Among the total 115 prostate cancer, 60 (52.2%) were classified as aggressive prostate cancer, and 52 (45.2%) had a Gleason score ≥7. Cases and healthy controls did not differ markedly in demographic characteristics. Prostate cancer patients had higher levels of prostate-specific antigen than healthy controls (median, 10.2 vs. 2.1 ng/ml; p < 0.001) and were more likely to have hypercholesterolemia. There were no other differences between groups in family history of prostate cancer, smoking status, alcohol intake, and levels of physical activity. There were no significant group differences in the marrow PDFF at the spine lumbar, despite prostate cancer patients having 6.6% higher marrow PDFF compared to the healthy controls (61.7 ± 9.8% vs. 57.9 ± 6.5%; t = 1.429, p = 0.161) (Table 1).

#### Differences in PDFF Among the Controls and Low- and High-Grade Prostate Cancer, and Among the Controls and Aggressive and Non-aggressive Prostate Cancer

Figure 2 summarizes the marrow PDFF results from the healthy controls, low- and high-grade prostate cancer, and aggressive and non-aggressive prostate cancer. In the unadjusted models, lumbar spine PDFF was higher in the high-grade prostate cancer patients (67.3 ± 9.2%) than those in health controls (57.9 ± 6.5%, p < 0.001) or low-grade prostate cancer (56.1 ± 6.9%, p < 0.001), and these differences remained statistically significant even after controlling for various clinical and demographic characteristics including age, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol intake, physical activity, prostate specific antigen levels, levels of blood lipids, and family history of prostate cancer. Similar results were observed between the healthy controls (57.9 ± 6.5%) and aggressive prostate cancer patients (68.3 ± 7.4%, p < 0.001), and between the non-aggressive...
TABLE 1 | Baseline characteristics of the study population.

|                      | Prostate cancer (n = 115) | Healthy controls (n = 87) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Age, years           | 61.6 ± 8.8                | 62.5 ± 8.6               |
| Height, cm           | 170.5 ± 7.1               | 171.0 ± 8.5              |
| Weight, kg           | 62.9 ± 8.9                | 63.1 ± 9.0               |
| BMI, kg/m²           | 23.9 ± 4.2                | 23.5 ± 3.9               |
| Family history of prostate cancer, n (%) | 6 (5.2) | 2 (2.3) |
| Smoking status, n (%)|                           |                          |
| Never                | 75 (65.2)                 | 60 (69.0)                |
| Former smoker        | 25 (21.7)                 | 17 (19.5)                |
| Current smoker       | 15 (13.0)                 | 10 (11.5)                |
| Alcohol intake, n (%)|                           |                          |
| None                 | 87 (75.7)                 | 68 (78.2)                |
| ≤7 units per week    | 19 (16.5)                 | 13 (14.9)                |
| >7 units per week    | 9 (7.8)                   | 6 (6.9)                  |
| Prostate-specific antigen, ng/ml | 10.2 (6.1, 28.5) | 2.1 (1.0, 7.6)          |
| Histologic grade, n (%)|                      |                          |
| Low (Gleason sum: 2–6)| 63 (54.8)                | NA                       |
| High (Gleason sum: ≥7)| 52 (45.2)                | NA                       |
| Stage of disease, n (%)|                        |                          |
| I/I                  | 79 (68.7)                 | NA                       |
| III/IV               | 36 (31.3)                 | NA                       |
| Physical activity, METs/week | 9 (4, 16)       | 10 (4, 18)               |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L | 4.62 (4.28, 4.96)        | 4.11 (3.98, 4.33)        |
| Triglyceride, mmol/L | 1.29 (1.24, 1.34)         | 1.26 (1.19, 1.30)        |
| HDL cholesterol, mmol/L | 1.27 (1.23, 1.31)        | 1.28 (1.25, 1.34)        |
| LDL cholesterol, mmol/L | 2.97 (2.83, 3.12)        | 2.82 (2.68, 3.23)        |
| PDFF, %              | 61.7 ± 9.8                | 57.9 ± 6.5               |

Data are expressed as mean ± SD, median (IQR), or n (%) as appropriate.
BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IQR, interquartile range Q1-Q3; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; METs, metabolic equivalent of tasks; NA, not applicable; SD, standard deviation; PDFF, proton density fat fraction.
To detect difference between the two groups.
*p value by Wilcoxon rank sum test.
**p value by independent-sample t-test (all p<0.05).

(55.0 ± 7.2%, p < 0.001) and aggressive prostate cancer patients (68.3 ± 7.4%, p < 0.001) (Figure 2).

Relationships Between Proton Density Fat Fraction and Prostate Cancer Risk

Table 2 gives multivariable-adjusted associations of marrow PDFF with risk of low- and high-grade prostate cancer and non-aggressive and aggressive prostate cancer, respectively. When stratified by Gleason scores, there were significant associations between elevated marrow PDFF and risk of low- or high-grade prostate cancer in the univariable analysis (all p < 0.05). Similar findings were observed in non-aggressive and aggressive disease.

In multivariable analysis adjusted for aforementioned covariates, increased marrow PDFF was correlated with an increased risk of high-grade prostate cancer (OR = 1.31; 95% CI, 1.08–1.57; p = 0.003), but this relationship did not reach significance in risk of low-grade prostate cancer (OR = 1.19; 95% CI, 0.88–1.49; p = 0.314). Similar results were found in aggressive prostate cancer risk (OR = 1.54; 95% CI, 1.13–1.92; p <0.001) but not in non-aggressive prostate cancer risk (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Bone marrow niche is dynamic and complex. The functional role of bone marrow fat cells in the development and progression, phenotype, and survival of skeletal tumor cells remains elusive. Adipocyte-enriched microenvironments is a preferred site for prostate cancer bone metastasis, and several studies have focused on the cellular and molecular mechanisms of this tropism, indicating that a complex interaction occurs between neoplastic cells and the cells directly responsible for skeletal remodeling, namely, osteoclasts and osteoblasts in modulating the microenvironment of bone marrow (17). Expansion of marrow adiposity has shown to be related with both osteoblastic and osteolytic disease (9, 18). We found that prostate cancer patients were more likely to have a higher marrow PDFF. Our data in the present study may provide in vivo imaging evidence supporting previous in vitro studies, indicating that in multiple myeloma, breast, and prostate cancer, crosstalk of adipocytes–tumor cells is one of the important mediators for implantation and propagation of the migrating metastatic cells and may serve as an emerging facilitator of therapy evasion (19, 20). Therapeutic approaches that modulate the balance between osteogenesis and...
adipogenesis may be one of the most effective ways to maintain healthy skeletal integrity, thus preventing and treating cancer infiltration.

Overall, in this large retrospective study, we reported an odds ratio of 1.31–1.54 for marrow fat fraction to predict high-grade or aggressive prostate cancer on multivariable analysis, suggesting a possible link between expansion of marrow fat and greater risk of high-grade disease. Several mechanisms could explain the associations of marrow adiposity with prostate cancer risk. The representative mechanisms include the secretion of adipocytokines and lipid transfer (21). Previous studies have shown that prostate cancers cells are attracted to a rich source of lipids stored within adipocytes in the bone marrow (22). In the bone marrow, fat cells are indeed active cells because these cells actively not only store free fatty acids but also secrete chemokines, adipokines, growth factors, and inflammatory mediators such as interleukin 1 beta, interleukin 6, and tumor necrosis factor alpha, which affect bone remodeling, insulin metabolism, and energy regulation (23, 24). Increased marrow adiposity is tightly linked to marrow inflammation. In various physiological conditions, inflammatory pathways may maintain normal bone metabolism but are significantly altered in condition of marrow fat expansion (10). For example, bone marrow fat cells secrete significant and regulated levels of IL-6, which promotes metastasis of tumor cells through the JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway (24, 25). Upregulated expression of interleukin 6 in malignant cells was found to promote osteoclastogenesis. Similarly, several studies have linked marrow fat cells-derived CXCL1 and CXCL2 chemokines with prostate tumor progression and the effects of osteolysis in metastatic prostate cancer (9, 10, 26).

Furthermore, adipocytes’ impact on the tumor cell behavior may attribute to the regulation of cancer cell metabolism via lipid transfer and lipolysis (4). The number of marrow adipocytes was increased in a metastatic bone marrow niche at the early phase; interestingly, during tumor progression, the number of fat cells with abundant lipid droplets was decreased (21, 27). These data provide in vivo evidence supporting the essential role of adipocytes in the lipid transfer from marrow fat cells to tumor cells. Previous ex vivo and in vivo studies also have shown that lipid chaperone fatty acid-binding protein 4 and interleukin 1 beta expression in metastatic prostate carcinoma cells are markedly induced by the exposure to bone marrow adipocytes in a functional crosstalk (8, 10). Diedrich et al. provided evidence that marrow adipocytes are able to induce the glycolytic phenotypes in prostate cancer cells by paracrine regulation of glycolytic enzymes, increasing lactate production and decreasing mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (28). They also found that fat cells are capable of driving metabolic reprogramming of metastatic prostate tumors through oxygen-independent mechanism of activating hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha signaling that can be reversed by hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha downregulation. Based on these data, targeting lipid metabolism of marrow fat cells combined with standard therapeutic agents may present unique therapeutic protocols for some cancers that thrive in adipocyte-rich metabolically active red bone marrow.

There are several strengths in our study, including its primary strength, its relatively large sample size, which allowed us to determine associations with low- and high-grade prostate cancer risk. Second, we uniformly used the Gleason scoring system to assess all tumors, which minimizes the large intra-rater variability in assigning clinical grade. Third, the precise marrow fat content at spinal vertebral bodies was obtained by using MR spectroscopy in vivo. The limitations of our study also must be acknowledged. First, the cross-sectional design of the study indicates that causality cannot be established for the outcomes assessed. Second, our study consisted of only Chinese men, which may limit the generalizability of our findings to men in other racial/ethnic groups. Future studies should focus on the relationship in other populations. Furthermore, although detailed evaluations of several lifestyle factors enabled controlling for confounding factors, residual or unmeasured confounding factors such as individual bone mineral density, caffeine or tea intake, and calcium and vitamin D supplementation cannot be ruled out.

In conclusion, our findings showed a close link between marrow adiposity and an increased risk of high-grade or aggressive prostate cancer but did not support an association between marrow adiposity and risk of low-grade or non-aggressive prostate cancer. MRI plays a critical role in the non-invasive assessment of bone marrow composition. Measures of marrow fat content may be predictive of prostate cancer risk. Future studies should specifically assess the associations of the

### TABLE 2 | Association between marrow proton density fat fraction and prostate cancer risk.

|                     | OR (95% CI)     | p-value | OR (95% CI)     | p-value |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| Low-grade prostate cancer | 1.26 (1.09–1.55) | 0.017   | 1.19 (0.88–1.49) | 0.314   |
| High-grade prostate cancer | 1.47 (1.16–1.89) | <0.001  | 1.31 (1.08–1.57) | 0.003   |
| Non-aggressive prostate cancer | 1.40 (1.06–1.69) | 0.021   | 1.29 (0.90–1.77) | 0.435   |
| Aggressive prostate cancer | 1.61 (1.20–2.04) | <0.001  | 1.54 (1.13–1.92) | <0.001  |

Low-grade was classified by Gleason score <7, and high-grade was classified by Gleason score ≥7 according to Gleason scores. Aggressive disease was classified by Gleason grade ≥7 and/or stage III–IV, and non-aggressive disease was defined as Gleason score <7 and stage I/II at diagnosis.

*Multivariable adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol use, physical activity, prostate specific antigen, levels of blood lipids, and family history of prostate cancer. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
diversity and complexity of bone marrow adipocytes with risk of prostate cancer, particularly prostate cancer bone metastasis.
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