Mitochondria-mediated pathways of organ failure upon inflammation
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ABSTRACT

Liver failure induced by systemic inflammatory response (SIRS) is often associated with mitochondrial dysfunction but the mechanism linking SIRS and mitochondria-mediated liver failure is still a matter of discussion. Current hypotheses suggest that causative events could be a drop in ATP synthesis, opening of mitochondrial permeability transition pore, specific changes in mitochondrial morphology, impaired Ca\textsuperscript{2+} uptake, generation of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (mtROS), turnover of mitochondria and imbalance in electron supply to the respiratory chain. The aim of this review is to critically analyze existing hypotheses, in order to highlight the most promising research lines helping to prevent liver failure induced by SIRS. Evaluation of the literature shows that there is no consistent support that impaired Ca\textsuperscript{2+} metabolism, electron transport chain function and ultrastructure of mitochondria substantially contribute to liver failure. Moreover, our analysis suggests that the drop in ATP levels has protective rather than a deleterious character. Recent data suggest that the most critical mitochondrial event occurring upon SIRS is the release of mtROS in cytoplasm, which can activate two specific intracellular signaling cascades. The first is the mtROS-mediated activation of NADPH-oxidase in liver macrophages and endothelial cells; the second is the acceleration of the expression of inflammatory genes in hepatocytes. The signaling action of mtROS is strictly controlled in mitochondria at three points, (i) at the site of ROS generation at complex I, (ii) the site of mtROS release in cytoplasm via permeability transition pore, and (iii) interaction with specific kinases in cytoplasm. The systems controlling mtROS-signaling include pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators, nitric oxide, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and NADPH-oxidase. Analysis of the literature suggests that further research should be focused on the impact of mtROS on organ failure induced by inflammation and simultaneously providing a new theoretical basis for a targeted therapy of overwhelmed inflammatory response.

1. Introduction

Mitochondrial dysfunction is often associated with multiple organ failure (MOF), also referred to as multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS), induced by dysregulated systemic inflammatory response (SIR). This pathological process, known as systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) [1,2], is a common cascade accompanying sepsis, trauma, burns, acute pancreatitis, ischemia, anaphylaxis and a number of other diseases. Despite huge efforts in the preclinical and clinical research field, pathogenesis of MOF is still not clearly understood. Mitochondria are good candidates for playing a key role in this process (reviewed in [3–5]), because they are essential components of almost all eukaryotic cells, controlling several important cellular functions, such as adenosine triphosphate (ATP) synthesis [6], regulation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis (reviewed in [7,8]), generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (reviewed in [9–14]), activation of apoptosis...
The liver is one of the most susceptible organs to SIR [16], and manifestation of liver dysfunction nearly always accompanies SIRS [17]. Liver dysfunction, in turn, has dramatic consequences for the whole body, including encephalopathy and cerebral edema, coagulopathy, cardiovascular instability, respiratory, and renal failure. Thus, liver failure itself may induce MOF contributing to the lethal fate of SIR. Consequently, prevention of liver dysfunction may ameliorate MOF/MODS and improve clinical outcome and survival of patients with SIRS.

Liver failure has already been associated with mitochondrial dysfunction [18,19] and inflammation (reviewed in [20]), both contributing to a wide range of liver diseases. It has been shown that liver inflammation, accompanied by the activation of immune cells in liver tissue, is highly associated with hepatocellular carcinoma (reviewed in [21]) and both alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases (reviewed in [22]). This suggests that mitochondrial dysfunction links inflammation and liver failure.

Single studies usually address only selected mitochondrial functions instead of providing a complete view in the context of inflammation. This may be a reason for controversial data in the literature on the pathologic impact of mitochondrial dysfunction, ranging from critical to unimportant contribution to MOF/MODS. Another body of literature suggests that an increase in mitochondrial turnover can be a sign of mitochondrial dysfunction. These reports address the changes in mitochondrial biogenesis [23–25] or/and autophagy [26] (reviewed in [27,28]), assuming that these changes are due to an increased number of damaged/dysfunctional mitochondria, although the majority of mitochondria appeared normal [29].

The aim of this review was to summarize data which confirm or contradict contribution of specific mitochondrial functions to liver inflammation-induced organ failure. We analyzed the existing literature hypothesizing that there are two prerequisites for the critical role of mitochondrial dysfunction: (i) in all species which are susceptible to SIR, either mitochondrial structure or function(s) will be impaired in a similar manner, and (ii) if such impairment of mitochondrial function(s) occurs, then a similar damage should cause liver failure also in other pathological settings. Consequently, we consider that mechanisms of liver dysfunction have similar pathways in humans and in animal models.

1.1. Factors inducing acute SIR

The activation of the inflammatory response aims at combating pathogens. This reaction is induced by so-called damage-associated molecular pattern molecules (DAMPs) [30], reviewed in [31]). A subgroup of DAMPs are microbial pathogen-associated molecular pattern molecules (PAMPs), such as structural components and nucleic acids of viruses (reviewed in [32]), lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of Gram-negative bacteria and peptidoglycan of Gram-positive bacteria (reviewed in [33]). Both DAMPs and PAMPs activate innate and adaptive immune responses predominantly via Toll-like receptors [34]. If the regulatory mechanisms of the inflammation fail, the response may become excessive, resulting in an overwhelming SIR. Although intended as a self-protection program, this undesirable side effect of the activation of the immune system can cause damage to host cells and induce MODS/MOF [35–37]. The mechanisms and pathways of MODS/MOF-inducing systemic inflammatory response are subject of many investigations and have already been extensively reviewed [38–44]. However, the exact mechanisms underlying this deleterious effect have still not clearly been uncovered. As a matter of fact, many mammals develop MOF in response to SIR, often leading to death. The mortality resulting from SIR in the clinics is still very high [45–47], even in modern intensive care units (reviewed in [4]).

The most common and reproducible way to induce SIR in experimental animal models is administration of the pathogen-associated molecular pattern molecule LPS, a Gram-negative bacterial toxin. To date, the majority of mechanistic data on SIR are based on LPS models. LPS induces MOF/MODS in different species in a similar way as can be characterized by elevated levels of circulating tissue damage markers [48,49]. However, the concentrations of LPS needed to induce similar damage as well as LPS differ from species to species (Table 1). A prominent feature of LPS-induced SIR is a dose-dependent increase in TNF-α levels. Xenobiotics, like D-Galactosamine sensitize hepatocytes to apoptosis triggered by TNF-α, at least partly by a transcriptional block. In such models, a small amount of LPS, as little as a few micrograms/kg is able to induce severe hepatic damage [50]. Moreover, it was demonstrated that desensitization of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore leads to a protection against liver injury despite the maintained early TNF-α response [18]. Accordingly, on the one hand, the above mentioned evidences demonstrate crucial role of mitochondria in the process. On the other hand, inter-species differences in the sensitivity to LPS might be connected to regulatory characteristics of pore opening as well. If we consider mitochondrial dysfunction as the critical issue for organ failure upon SIR, we expect that different doses of LPS in different species should induce similar changes in mitochondria. This consideration assumes that intracellular signaling pathways mediating mitochondrial dysfunction, predominantly define the sensitivity to LPS and/or other DAMPs/PAMPs in various species. The question whether or not different species in fact manifest similar impairment of liver mitochondria upon SIR will be discussed in the following sections.

1.2. Effect of SIR on mitochondrial structure

Ultra-structural alterations of liver mitochondria have been reported from various animal species and as well as from septic patients. Crouser et al. observed mild to moderate mitochondrial swelling, and occasionally high-amplitude swelling with concomitant loss of mitochondrial membrane integrity in experiments with LPS-treated feline [52]. They also reported that cyclosporine A pretreatment attenuated LPS-induced mitochondrial ultra-structural abnormalities, suggesting the involvement of permeability transition pore opening in mitochondrial swelling [53]. Hypertrophic mitochondria with reduced matrix electron density and irregular cristae were also found in post-mortem samples of critically ill patients [54]. In contrast, in another study, most mitochondria of liver samples taken from septic patients were normal in appearance, with intact organelle membrane [29]. These results were confirmed in a parallel study performed in mice. Livers of animals subjected to cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) did not manifest consistent abnormalities in mitochondria or nuclei [29]. Similarly, in another CLP mice study, the vast majority of liver mitochondria in the CLP group appeared normal, but increased number of intracellular vacuoles were observed in hepatocytes and identified as autophagosomes [55]. Furthermore, electron microscopic examination of isolated liver mitochondria and liver tissue taken from rats subjected to LPS did not evoke changes in the morphology of mitochondria neither in isolated mitochondria nor in liver tissue [56]. Also an increased number of intracellular vacuoles were observed in hepatocytes in close vicinity to mitochondria in liver samples from LPS-treated rats, which were identified as dilated endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [57].

Taken together, the majority of papers reported normal appearance of mitochondria. However, intracellular vesicles have often been found which were either interpreted as autophagosomes or dilated ER. Both of

| Table 1 | Susceptibility of different species to LPS. |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| Species | Pig | Rabbit | Dog | Cat | Rat | Mouse |
| LD₅₀ (mg/kg, i.v.) | 0.1⁺ | 0.5⁺ | 1.0⁺ | 2.2⁺ | 7.3⁺ | 7.7⁺ |

* Registry of toxic effects of chemical substances [51].
** Maximum dose reported.
these structures occurred being linked to mitochondria either functionally (autophagosomes) or spatially (dilated), suggesting that mitochondria could be indirectly involved. The findings discussed above show that there is no obvious coincidence between SIR and mitochondrial ultra-structural changes, although the data indirectly imply involvement of mitochondria. This suggests that not morphological but functional changes in mitochondria may be the key for understanding their pathological impact.

1.3. Respiratory function of mitochondria

Oxidative phosphorylation is the major function of mitochondria providing energy in form of ATP for eukaryotic cells. The conversion rate of adenosine diphosphate (ADP) to ATP linked to oxygen consumption by the electron transport chain of mitochondria can easily be evaluated by measuring the rate of mitochondrial respiration. State 3 respiration upon addition of ADP is directly linked to ATP synthesis and this respiration state is determined in the majority of reports on mitochondrial respiration. State 3 respiration can be determined only in freshly isolated mitochondria [58] or in freshly prepared homogenates [59]. In frozen samples, only enzyme activities of single respiratory chain complexes can be determined. This, however, does not necessarily reflect the capacity to synthesize ATP. Tissue homogenate is probably the best way to estimate mitochondrial function, because the isolation of mitochondria may theoretically lead to the loss of a fraction of mitochondria, and additional damage (e.g. uncoupling).

Impaired respiratory function of mitochondria caused by LPS treatment was predominantly determined in the pig [60], cat [52] and baboon [61]. A recent review by Jeger et al. analyzed the existing publications on rodents in experimental models of CLP, fecal peritonitis and LPS challenge [62]. The authors summarized publications on mitochondrial function in skeletal muscles, heart and liver. The majority of publications showed that there were no changes in mitochondrial function in any organ. However, in a few cases, mitochondrial function was either improved or impaired. The majority of published reports suggest that rodents are less susceptible to mitochondrial dysfunction than porcine or feline although all these species manifest MOF. Thus, there is no consistent coincidence between SIR and respiratory dysfunction of mitochondria. The fact that respiratory function shows such strong variations from species to species and between different experimental models suggests that impairment may not be due to primary but secondary processes occurring in SIR models. In fact, SIR often leads to circulatory disturbances, resulting in impaired tissue perfusion and secondary hypoxia. Consequently, not inflammatory mediators directly, but circulatory failure accompanied by tissue hypoxia may be the reason for SIR-induced mitochondrial impairment.

1.4. The impact of hypoxia and inflammatory mediators on mitochondria upon SIRS

SIRS and sepsis is characterized by profound alterations of hemodynamics. This is observed, on one hand, at the level of systemic circulation, and on the other hand, in deteriorating tissue perfusion and microcirculation disturbances [63,64], which are associated with a poor outcome [65]. It is important to note that the survival in porcine and sheep models of severe SIR is defined not by direct effect of DAMPS/PAMPs on target cells, but by secondary circulatory effects such as increase in pulmonary arterial pressure probably via release of endothelin-1 [66]. Acute pulmonary hypertension may cause respiratory failure, hypoxemia and hypoxia including liver tissue. SIR also causes alteration in hepatic circulation at the level of both macro- and microcirculation. As a specific feature of liver dysfunction, it has been reported that severe SIR impairs portal venous blood inflow (reviewed in [67]). Nitric oxide (NO), endothelin-1, and carbon monoxide are considered to be the major factors disturbing liver circulation [68]. NO can be the mediator which predominantly defines the vascular reaction upon systemic inflammation. In contrast to pigs and humans, white blood cells of rodents strongly express inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) causing excessive NO production. For example, there were no increased NO levels upon SIR in pigs [60], while a drastic increase in NO levels was observed in a rat model [69]. By its well-known vasorelaxant effect, NO is able to lower vascular resistance. This particular difference in the regulation of circulation upon inflammation may be the reason for different susceptibility of circulation to SIR in different species. Thus, circulatory failure can be the reason for cellular damage and mitochondrial dysfunction upon SIR, even explaining the differences between species. Beside circulatory failure, it was shown that cellular damage can be induced by pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha via induction of oxidative stress (reviewed in [70]) or via intracellular signaling pathways of acute-phase genes controlling cell damage [71]. Therefore, it is difficult to define exactly the reason for cellular damage in in vivo models. Additionally, since hypoxia and inflammatory mediators occur and interact simultaneously, it is very difficult to separate their biological effects in vivo.

In a recent study, this issue was addressed in a model with precisely cut liver slices (PCLS) [72]. PCLS are an ex vivo model of liver tissue, maintaining cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix interactions without the influence of systemic processes. In this study, hypoxic incubation of PCLS resulted in accumulation of liver cellular damage markers and mitochondrial dysfunction, whereas incubation with inflammatory mediators caused only release of liver damage markers. With regard to the effects of NO and hypoxia during inflammation, the rapid diffusion of NO and its potent action as an inhibitor of mitochondrial respiration has been proposed to perform a salutatory function, extending the tissue oxygen gradient away from vessels to distal hypoxic regions [73]. There is evidence disturbances in this effect may adversely affect tissue perfusion under pathologic conditions [74,75].

This data confirm the aforementioned assumption that respiratory function of mitochondria is impaired by secondary circulatory failure inducing hypoxia rather than by direct interaction of inflammatory mediators with liver cells. This can explain the species- and model-specific differences in mitochondrial respiration upon SIR.

Above, we described reports describing mitochondrial morphology/function determined ex vivo. However, the absence of mitochondrial dysfunction in ex vivo tests does not necessarily mean that mitochondrial function is also not impaired in vivo because inhibition of mitochondria can be reversible, for instance, by inducing de-phosphorylation of cytochrome c oxidase [76,77] or reversible binding of NO to cytochrome c oxidase [78]. The performance of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation in liver tissue in vivo can be estimated by measurement of ATP levels.

1.5. ATP levels in tissues upon SIRS

In contrast to reports on mitochondrial morphology and function, data on ATP levels upon SIR are rather homogeneous, showing a consistent decrease in ATP levels in different tissues. The study on septic patients carried out by the group of Singer demonstrated that skeletal muscle ATP concentrations were approximately two times lower in patients with sepsis who subsequently died compared to septic patients who survived and to control group; ADP levels were also increased in non-survivors [3]. Similar difference in ATP levels was determined in lymphocytes of septic patients. Survivors had approximately two times higher ATP levels compared to non-survivors [79]. Also studies performed in various animal models reported a similar decrease in ATP content in rodents and other species, as well as a decline in energy charge (defined as [ATP] + 0.5 [ADP]/[AMP]) which is a more precise measure of bioenergetic status [80]; see also corresponding references [81–84] in Table 2. Importantly, in contrast
to mitochondrial respiratory function, there are no clear species-specific differences in ATP levels.

In animal models, Hart et al. [83] point out that the results on ATP levels in sepsis are conflicting and appear to be important in more severe models and may depend on the loss of compensatory mechanisms. Duvigneau et al. demonstrated that the changes in ATP levels do not correlate with the data on respiratory activity of mitochondria determined ex vivo [91]. It has been shown that the respiratory activity drops and recovers after LPS injection, but ATP levels drop and do not recover [91]. This set of data again suggests that mitochondria are reversibly inhibited in the liver tissue in situ, and that this inhibition is abolished after their isolation.

There are two methodological explanations for the reversible inhibition of mitochondrial function in vivo. First, from methodological considerations, mitochondrial function is tested with saturating amounts of substrates (e.g. tricarboxylic acid cycle substrates), which is not the case in vivo. Second, SIR is accompanied by the release of a number of gas messengers such as NO and carbon monoxide which can inhibit mitochondria in vivo, but will be substituted by non-physiologically high oxygen concentrations ex vivo. Irrespective of which process decreases ATP levels, the question is whether the drop in ATP levels can cause liver dysfunction.

### 1.6. ATP levels in other disorders

Summarizing the results discussed to this point, the drop in ATP levels by a factor of two was the most reproducible change related to mitochondrial function. The next question is whether or not such a 2-fold decrease in ATP levels alone is sufficient to cause organ/liver failure observed in SIRS. An approximately 2-fold (40%) drop in ATP level in liver was observed after partial heptectomy and was shown to give a stimulus for regeneration [92]. Of particular interest is the paper by Latta et al. The authors reduced the levels of ATP to 20% compared to control levels by an infusion of phosphate-trapping fructose and tested how ATP depletion influences the rate of TNF-induced hepatocyte death [93]. Unexpectedly, decreased levels of ATP prevented mitochondrial dysfunction, activation of type II caspases, DNA fragmentation, and the levels of apoptotic markers after exposure to TNF alpha [93], suggesting that partial ATP depletion can be even beneficial.

Intracellular ATP levels play an important role in the crosstalk between necrosis and apoptosis (reviewed in [94]). However, elevation of apoptotic markers is not always accompanied by increased occurrence of apoptotic cells. In a rat model, severe SIR has been shown to initiate apoptotic signaling (Bax/Bcl-xL ratio) [56].Interestingly, the apoptosis inducing factor was not translocated from mitochondria to the nucleus suggesting that apoptosis was not executed [56]. In the same model, ATP levels dropped approximately 2-fold [91], suggesting that partial ATP depletion might prevent apoptosis.

Probably, partial depletion of ATP, on one hand, can already inhibit development of apoptosis, while, on the other hand, ATP levels are still high enough to also prevent necrosis. Induction of apoptosis has been shown to require ATP levels above 40–50% compared to control levels [93]. This suggests that a moderate decrease in levels of ATP can be an adaptive reaction preventing the execution of programmed cell death. In contrast, nearly full ATP depletion occurs during total liver ischemia, or incubation of hepatocytes with oligomycin, which increases the death rate of hepatocytes [95] and induces liver necrosis [96]. Additionally, an approximate 2-fold drop in ATP levels was shown in erythrocytes of elderly individuals compared to young ones [97], muscles of patients with Friedrich ataxia [98] and trauma patients [99]. In our opinion, these results suggest that a mild drop in ATP levels is likely an unspecific response to diverse pathological settings which can be protective rather than deleterious by inhibiting the activation of apoptosis, in particular, those pathways mediated by mitochondrial dysfunction.

### 1.7. Mitochondrial calcium

There is a number of reports suggesting that altered Ca++ metabolism has an impact in SIR [100–102], although impaired Ca++ metabolism is predominantly associated directly with hypoxia and ischemia, occurring for instance during transplantation [103]. LPS treatment has been reported to produce a slight, but significant increase in total hepatic Ca++ content while CLP did not affect this parameter neither in liver nor in heart, demonstrating a slight Ca++ overload in endotoxosis but not in abdominal inflammation [104]. It has been suggested that hepatic intracellular regulation of Ca++ may play a role in acute inflammation [105]. Furthermore, it has been shown that Ca++-mediated nitric oxide (NO) and oxygen radicals (mtROS) can modulate activity of NADPH oxidase in immune cells and in endothelium [113–115], thereby influencing bactericidal activity [116]. Mitochondrial ROS is associated with the development of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (reviewed in [109]). Recently, ROS were recognized as signaling molecules in liver and other organs (reviewed in [9,11–14,110]). Critical role of mitochondrial ROS was suggested for signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) signaling and development of hepatocellular carcinoma [111,112].

Depending on cell type and state, mitochondria are the major source of ROS in cells. Primary species generated by mitochondria are superoxide radicals (O2−) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), the latter resulting from dismutation of two O2−. Superoxide and H2O2 are not especially dangerous ROS, but toxic peroxynitrite (ONOO−) can be formed upon reaction of O2− with NO, and H2O2 can give rise to toxic hydroxyl radicals upon reaction with transition metals. In the past, generation of mitochondrial ROS (mtROS) was only associated with oxidative stress. In the liver, for instance, excessive generation of mtROS is associated with the development of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (reviewed in [109]). Recently, ROS were recognized as signaling molecules in liver and other organs (reviewed in [9,11–14,110]). Critical role of mitochondrial ROS was suggested for signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) signaling and development of hepatocellular carcinoma [111,112].

An increasing number of studies demonstrate the essential role of mtROS in the regulation of the activity of the immune system. It has been shown that mtROS can modulate activity of NADPH oxidase in immune cells and in endothelium [113–115], thereby influencing bactericidal activity [116]. Mitochondrial ROS play a number of roles in the production of inflammatory cytokines [117], and in the regulation of T-cell functions.

### Table 2

| Species | Model | Reference |
|---------|-------|-----------|
| Rat     | CLP   | [85]      |
| Rat     | Pneumosepsis | [86]      |
| Mouse   | CLP   | [87]      |
| Pig     | Severe peritonitis | [88]     |
| Pig     | Peritonitis   | [89]      |
| Pig     | Peritonitis   | [90]      |
| Mouse   | CLP   | [81]      |
| Rat     | LPS   | [82]      |
| Pig     | Pseudomonas | [83]     |
| Mouse   | LPS   | [84]      |
activation [118,119] and antigen presentation by Kupffer cells [120]. In the latter study, Kupffer cells were either incubated with antioxidants or inhibitors of NADPH oxidase/xanthine oxidase, or with inhibitors of the electron transport chain. Each treatment significantly decreased T-cell proliferation in response to antigen presentation by Kupffer cells [120]. These data suggest that also in Kupffer cells the activity of NADPH oxidase is regulated by mtROS.

More recently, it has been shown that mtROS regulate inflammatory response not only in immune cells, but also in hepatocytes. Using direct detection of mitochondrial ROS and mitochondria targeted antioxidants, Weidinger et al. have demonstrated that mtROS and NO generate a feed forward loop, so-called NOS-ROS cycle, which is activated by inflammatory mediators and accelerated by mtROS in hepatocytes [69]. NOS providing NO for this cycle is located in cytosol rather than in mitochondria [121]. Since both O$_2^-$ and NO are involved in this cycle, it is likely that they react to yield ONOO$^-$, which damages hepatocytes as shown by release of hepatocyte damage markers [69]. Recent reports revealed that an increase in mtROS production may be due to sustained activation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) pathway [122]. The activation of the JNK pathway, occurring in liver upon inflammation [123], resulted in inactivation of Src tyrosine kinase on the inner mitochondrial. This, in turn, inhibits electron transport and increases ROS release [122]. The STAT3 pathway, another signaling cascade, occurring upon inflammation, inhibits mtROS production [124], and has been shown to inhibit the opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore [125]. Of note, participation of mtROS in intracellular signaling can be regulated not only by increase in ROS production but also by the release of ROS into the cytoplasm. It has been reported that permeability transition pore may regulate the release of ROS for cellular signaling (reviewed in [126]). Furthermore, treatment with LPS facilitates the release of ROS from liver mitochondria [127]. These data indicate that mtROS generation is tightly controlled at different levels and, upon release to cytoplasm, accelerate inflammatory reactions.

Thus, the contribution of mtROS to intracellular signaling is controlled at least three sites, (i) the generation of ROS at the respiratory chain, (ii) the release of ROS into cytoplasm, and (iii) the reaction of ROS with targets mediating signal transduction in the cytoplasm. Below, we consider in details how mtROS generation is regulated at each of these sites (Fig. 1).

---

**Fig. 1.** Mitochondrial ROS-signaling in liver cells upon acute inflammation. Pro-inflammatory mediators (e.g. TNFa) decrease ATP levels and activate mtROS production, but do not control directly the release of mtROS in cytoplasm. Anti-inflammatory mediators (e.g. IL-4) decrease mtROS generation. The release of mtROS via mPTP is controlled by Ca$^{2+}$, and ROS originated from both mitochondria and NOX. In addition, pro-inflammatory mediators shift the Bcl/Bax balance in the direction of apoptosis, but the execution of apoptosis is inhibited by low levels of ATP in hepatocytes. Simultaneously, pro-inflammatory mediators up-regulate iNOS thereby increasing NO levels, which activates feed forward loop including mtROS and iNOS. This loop may also induce oxidative stress if NO and ROS are generated in great excess. Another feed forward loop includes mtROS and NOX, the latter further accelerates both mtROS production and NOX activity. Abbreviations: AIF—apoptosis inducing factor, ATP—adenosine triphosphate, Bax—B-cell lymphoma-associated X protein, c-Src—cellular sarcoma, cyt c—cytochrome c, ER—endoplasmic reticulum; IL—interleukin; INF—interferon; iNOS—inducible nitric oxide synthase, JNK—c-Jun N-terminal kinase, mitoKATP—adenosine triphosphate sensitive potassium channel, mPTP—mitochondrial permeability transition pore, NO—nitric oxide, NOX—NADPH-oxidase, Ranti-IM—receptors for anti-inflammatory mediators, O$_2$—molecular oxygen, PKC—protein kinase C, ROS—reactive oxygen species, Rpro-IM—receptors for pro-inflammatory mediators, STAT-signal transducer and activator of transcription, TNF—tumor necrosis factor, $\Delta$$\psi$—membrane potential, I-IV—complexes I-IV of electron transport chain.
2. Control of ROS production at the mitochondrial respiratory chain (Fig. 2)

The primary ROS, the superoxide radical, is produced upon inflammation predominantly at complex I of mitochondrial respiratory chain. MitROS production is limited to mitochondrial membrane potential and ATP production. There are four major mechanisms regulating ROS at respiratory chain upon acute inflammation, namely STAT3, JNK, NO signaling and p66Shc, exerting direct control of mitochondrial ROS production, interacting with complex I, IV and cytochrome c, respectively.

2.1. STAT 3

STAT 3 will first be phosphorylated by receptor-interacting protein (RIP) 1 on serine 727 as a result of various activation signals through extracellular-signal regulated (ERK) 1, ERK2, p38, JNK and mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases (128) (in addition to tyrosine 705 phosphorylation, mediated by Janus kinase (JAK) (129)). This increases its affinity to retinoic-interferon-induced mortality (GRIM)19 and formation of STAT3-GRIM19 complexes. Formed complexes will be transported to mitochondria and subsequently accumulate at complex I. Since GRIM19 is a functional element of complex I, this association will accelerate ATP synthesis and simultaneously decrease ROS formation (Fig. 2). It has been assumed that not only GRIM19, but also STAT3 is required for optimal functioning of complex I (130).

2.2. JNK

JNK 1–3 are members of the MAP kinase family and are activated by a wide range of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-alpha and interleukin (IL)-1 (reviewed in [131]). The best characterized pathway of JNK activation is the TNF-TNF receptor type (TNFR)1 cascade. The formation of TNF-TNFR1 (Fig. 1) rapidly activates JNK. Activated (phosphorylated) JNK forms a protein complex with MAP kinase kinase (MKK)4, Sab and Bax in the outer mitochondrial membrane (132) (Fig. 2).

Phosphorylation of Sab by p-JNK leads to dephosphorylation of Src in the inner mitochondrial membrane, presumably by a src homology 1 domain containing protein tyrosine phosphatase (SHP1)-dependent mechanism (122). Consequently, mitochondrial respiratory capacity declines and ROS production rises, leading to sustained activation of JNK-signaling by a self-sustaining circle, ultimately resulting in high ROS levels and subsequent mitochondrial permeability transition pore opening.

An additional regulatory pathway is exerted by JNK through the activation of p66Shc, an alternatively spliced transcript from gene SHC1. It was first published in 2005 that p66Shc can produce ROS acting as an oxidoreductase and transferring electrons from mitochondrial cytochrome c to molecular oxygen, producing ROS (133). The role of p66Shc was shown so far in hypoxia-reoxygenation and pathological states associated with elevated ROS levels. The role of mitochondrial p66Shc was postulated in the ROS-induced ROS release (134,135), leading ultimately to induction of mitochondrial permeability transition.

2.3. NO

Nitric oxide interacts with complex I (136) and complex IV (137) of the mitochondrial respiratory chain. The inhibition of electron flow through the respiratory chain from either NADH or succinate to molecular oxygen causes electron accumulation in the electron transport chain. This increases the probability of electron leak from respiratory chain and one-electron reduction of oxygen yielding O2− (138). Simultaneously with increased O2− generation, the inhibition of electron transfer also decreases membrane potential and consequently

Fig. 2. Interaction of regulatory factors with ATP and ROS production of the mitochondrial electron transport chain. At least three major signaling pathways (STAT3, JNK and NO) regulate mtROS production under acute inflammation. Signal transducer and activator of transcription protein 3 is activated by phosphorylation by Janus Kinase (JAK) proteins. After phosphorylation, STAT3 binds directly to GRIM-19, a subunit of mitochondrial ETC complex I, and is then transported into mitochondria, where it enhances activity of complex I, thereby enhancing ATP synthesis, and reduces ROS production. Phosphorylated JNK1/2 forms a complex in the outer mitochondrial membrane with MKK4, Bax and Sab. Then, this signal will be transmitted to the inner mitochondrial membrane by SHP1, which decreases complex IV protein phosphorylation causing a decrease in ATP synthesis and an increase in ROS production. As a third pathway, nitric oxide and peroxynitrite are able to directly inhibit complex I and IV causing a drop in ATP levels, and increase ROS formation. Abbreviations: ATP—adenosine triphosphate, Bax—B-cell lymphoma-associated X protein, cyt c—cytochrome c, GRIM-19—gene associated with retinoic interferon induced cell mortality 19, H2O2—hydrogen peroxide, IMM—inner mitochondrial membrane, IMS—intermembrane space, JNK—c-Jun N-terminal kinase, MKK—mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase, NO—nitric oxide, ONOO−peroxynitrite, O2−—superoxide radical, O2−—molecular oxygen, OMM—outer mitochondrial membrane, Q-Q-cycle, Sab-SH3BP5, STAT—signal transducer and activator of transcription, CI-CV—mitochondrial electron transport chain complex I-V.

ATP synthesis in mitochondria (139).

3. Control of ROS release to cytoplasm (Fig. 3)

The regulation of ROS release into cytoplasm is controlled by two distinct mechanisms, namely the Ca2++- and ROS- mediated ROS release into cytoplasm. Both mechanisms are realized by ROS diffusion via opened mPTP (Fig. 3). The exact structure of the mPTP is not yet clarified, although there are different models. In the first model, the mPTP is formed at the adenine nucleotide translocator (ANT). The ANT is the most abundant protein in the inner mitochondrial membrane, transporting ATP out of mitochondria and ADP back into the matrix.
Different reports suggest that this channel consist of two ANT units or of one ANT and one phosphate carrier (PiC) unit (reviewed in [140]). More recently, it has been suggested that not the ANT but the F-ATP synthase (Fig. 3a) forms the mPTP channel [141]. This assumption is supported by the fact that, in the absence of ANT, the formation of the mPTP can be detected [142].

3.1. ROS induced ROS release

It has been shown that, upon inflammation, complex I generates substantially more ROS than at normal conditions [4] and induces the formation of mPTP. As a result, mtROS are released to the cytoplasm via mPTP. This phenomenon is called ROS-induced ROS release. There are, at least, three targets of oxidative agents contributing to increased probability to mPTP opening (Fig. 3b). The first class of cysteines, termed as ‘S-site’ is associated with the voltage sensor of the mPTP, where vicinal SH groups on cysteine residues can be oxidized or reduced (probably through glutathione on the matrix side of IMM) [143]. The second target, called ‘P-site’, is modulated by the oxidation-reduction state of pyridine nucleotides [144]. Finally, an additional site of action was described by Costantini et al. [145], as part of a two-step reaction. In this reaction, SH groups, located most probably on the outer surface of IMM, react with oxidants, which subsequently expose a thiol group for a second oxidation step, modulating the mPTP opening directly.

3.2. Ca\(^{2+}\) mediated ROS release

The pore-forming mitochondrial F-ATP synthase could also be activated by Ca\(^{2+}\) [146]. The critical component of this mechanism is mitochondrial cyclophilin (Cyp)D exhibiting peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase activity. Pi facilitates the binding of CyP-D to the F-ATP synthase, thereby converting it to a non-specific pore, which enables mtROS to be released into the cytoplasm. [146] (Fig. 3c). It has been suggested that a decrease in the membrane potential, induced by NO or other factors (Fig. 1) can also open the mPTP [147].

4. Activation of signaling pathways (Fig. 4)

As mentioned above, the two major pathways regulated by mtROS...
in liver are the activation of NADPH oxidase and up-regulation of several inflammatory genes. These signaling pathways are turned on by two kinases, PKC and c-Src. Both kinases are located in the cytoplasm and can be activated by ROS. Thus, the interaction between ROS and kinases is the third and the last phase involving mtROS mediated activation of signaling cascades. Logically, this phase is dependent on the concentration and availability of kinases in single types of cells and can also be influenced by endogenous antioxidant systems. The activation of kinases by ROS is based on the reaction with specific SH groups of PKC and c-Src. This reaction can be catalyzed by superoxide, hydrogen peroxide and other ROS. It has been shown that thiol radicals are intermediates of this interaction. Thiyl radicals further generate ROS, suggesting that the reaction between protein thiols and ROS is a specific signaling interaction, which does not influence antioxidant capacity of the cells [148] (Fig. 4).

4.1. ROS induced activation of PKC

PKC has a unique structure containing two domains, regulatory and catalytic, and has two types of cysteine-rich regions present in both domains. Critical for activation are the cysteine-rich domain-binding zinc atoms, keeping PKC in an inactive configuration. Oxidative modification of these groups by ROS causes the so-called cofactor independent activation of PKC, which becomes able to phosphorylate target proteins [149]. However, further increase in ROS levels causing modification of SH residues in catalytic center can inactivate PKC (reviewed in [150]).

4.2. ROS induced activation of c-Src

It has been shown that c-Src can be activated by two mechanisms linked to the formation of ROS, namely those mediated by NO and ROS. c-Src tyrosine kinase contains three major domains, the catalytic domain, and SH2 and SH3, domains critical for the activation of enzyme. The inactive enzyme occurs in a "closed form" which does neither allow interacting with downstream proteins at SH2 and SH3 domains nor executing kinase activity at the catalytic domain. This "closed configuration" can be turned in the active form by NO [151]. NO nitrosylates Cys498, which forms a link between SH2 and catalytic domain. In this configuration, the SH2 and SH3 domains are enabled to bind downstream signaling proteins and the catalytic domain phosphorylates them [151]. Another ROS-mediated mechanism linking SH2 and catalytic domain includes ROS-mediated oxidation of SH groups of Cys245 located in SH2 and Cys487 located in catalytic domain. Cys245 and Cys487 form an S-S bridge activating the enzyme [152].

5. Conclusion

The available literature does not uniformly report changes in mitochondrial structure and major functions upon acute inflammation. Inflammation does only slightly and reversibly inhibit mitochondrial respiratory function, causing an approximate 2-fold decrease in tissue ATP levels. This decrease seems to be sufficient to inhibit apoptosis, but not sufficient to induce acute necrotic cell death, although in the long run, upon chronic inflammation, this may lead to pathological changes in liver metabolism. We assume that necrotic cell death arises from
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