UNVEILING SOURCES OF HEATING IN THE VICINITY OF THE ORION BN/KL HOT CORE AS TRACED BY HIGHLY INVITED INVERSION TRANSITIONS OF AMMONIA
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ABSTRACT

Using the Expanded Very Large Array, we have mapped the vicinity of the Orion BN/KL Hot Core with subarcsecond angular resolution in seven metastable inversion transitions of ammonia (NH\textsubscript{3}): (J, K) = (6, 6) to (12, 12). This emission comes from levels up to 1500 K above the ground state, enabling identification of source(s) responsible for heating the region. We used this multi-transition data set to produce images of the rotational/kinetic temperature (T\textsubscript{rot}/T\textsubscript{kin}) and the column density N\textsubscript{col} of NH\textsubscript{3} for ortho and para species separately and on a position-by-position basis. We find T\textsubscript{rot} and N\textsubscript{col} in the range 160–490 K and (1–4) \times 10\textsuperscript{17} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, respectively. Our spatially resolved images show that the highest (column) density and hottest gas is found in a northeast–southwest elongated ridge to the southeast of Source I. We have also measured the ortho–para ratio of ammonia, estimated to vary in the range 0.9–1.6. Enhancement of ortho with respect to para and the offset of hot NH\textsubscript{3} emission peaks from known (proto)stellar sources provide evidence that the NH\textsubscript{3} molecules have been released from dust grains into the gas phase through the passage of shocks and not by stellar radiation. We propose that the combined effect of Source I’s proper motion and its low-velocity outflow impinging on a pre-existing dense medium is responsible for the excitation of NH\textsubscript{3} and the Orion Hot Core. Finally, we found for the first time evidence of a slow (∼5 km s\textsuperscript{-1}) and compact (∼1000 AU) outflow toward IRc7.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Orion BN/KL is the closest high-mass star-forming region (414 ± 7 pc; Menten et al. 2007) and a compelling target for studying how massive young stellar objects (YSOs) form and interact with their surroundings. Objects of considerable long-term interest in BN/KL are the highly embedded radio Source I, believed to be a massive YSO (Reid et al. 2007; Matthews et al. 2010), and the Hot Core >1′′ away, which is a rich source of molecular emission (e.g., Genzel & Stutzki 1989). In dust emission, the compact source SMA1 is visible 2′′–3′′ from Source I (Beuther et al. 2004), which also shows rich molecular emission (Beuther et al. 2005). On the other hand, only weak molecular thermal emission has been detected toward Source I (Beuther et al. 2005). However, its surrounding mass flows excite strong H\textsubscript{2}O and SiO maser emission, permitting investigations of the three-dimensional gas dynamics at 10–1000 AU radii (Greenhill et al. 2004b; Matthews et al. 2010).

Despite intensive radio and infrared (IR) study, the primary heating sources for BN/KL (∼10\textsuperscript{5} L\textsubscript{⊙}) are still unknown. A strong mid-IR source, IRc2, has long been suspected to be the dominant energy source, but when observed at subarcsecond resolution, it breaks up into multiple peaks (Dougados et al. 1993; Greenhill et al. 2004a). Moreover, mid-IR observations have recently shown that IRc2 is not self-luminous, but is illuminated and heated by Source I (Okumura et al. 2011). While it has been proposed that Source I is also (externally) heating the Hot Core (Hermsen et al. 1988), direct evidence is lacking and the kinetic temperature (T\textsubscript{kin}) of molecular gas within 1′′ from Source I is not known. Tackling this uncertainty requires studies at radio rather than IR wavelengths, in order to penetrate the high column densities toward the Hot Core, and measurements of thermal rather than maser lines.

Ammonia (NH\textsubscript{3}) is well suited to measuring T\textsubscript{kin} over densities >10\textsuperscript{4} cm\textsuperscript{-3}. In particular, metastable transitions (J = K) are interesting because they are collisionally excited, thus different (optically thin) transitions can be used to determine T\textsubscript{kin} via a rotational diagram analysis (Ho & Townes 1983). Inversion transitions from (J, K) = (8, 8) to (14, 14), with upper state energy levels ∼800–2000 K above ground, have been previously detected toward BN/KL with the Effelsberg 100 m telescope (30′′ beam; Wilson et al. 1993), confirming the presence of hot molecular gas in the region but not localizing it. Wilson et al. (2000) mapped the Hot Core region in the (J, K) = (4, 4) and (10, 9) inversion transitions (∼200 K and ∼1350 K above ground, respectively) with ≥1″ resolution. (10, 9) is a nonmetastable transition of ortho-NH\textsubscript{3} and (4, 4) is a metastable transition of para-NH\textsubscript{3}, hence the Boltzmann analysis required assuming an ortho–para ratio, preventing an accurate measurement of T\textsubscript{kin}.

In this Letter, we present new observations of (metastable) high-J inversion lines of NH\textsubscript{3} in Orion BN/KL. Using the Expanded Very Large Array (EVLA; Perley et al. 2011), we imaged at subarcsecond resolution seven NH\textsubscript{3} lines with energy levels high above the ground state (equivalent to 400–1600 K), from (J, K) = (6, 6) to (12, 12). The multi-transition measurements enabled estimation of the temperature, density, and velocity field of (hot) molecular gas and hint at a possible excitation mechanism of the Hot Core.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Observations of NH\textsubscript{3} were conducted using the EVLA of the National Radio Astronomy Observatory\textsuperscript{5}. By using the (new)

\textsuperscript{5} The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.
broadband EVLA $K$- and $Ka$-band receivers, we observed a total of seven metastable inversion transitions of $NH_3$, from $(J, K) = (6,6)$ to $(12,12)$ at 1.3 cm (25–31 GHz). Transitions from $(6,6)$ to $(11,11)$ were observed separately in 3 hr tracks on several dates using the C configuration. The $(12,12)$ data set was acquired in the hybrid CnB configuration. Table 1 summarizes the observations. Each transition was observed using a 4 MHz bandwidth (~40 km s$^{-1}$ at 30 GHz) consisting of 256 channels with a separation of 15.6 kHz. Typical on-source integration was 1.5 hr. Each transition was observed with “fast switching,” where 80 s scans on-target were alternated with 40 s scans of the nearby (1:3) QSO J0541–0541 (measured flux density ~0.7 Jy). We derived absolute flux calibration from observations of 3C 48 ($F_v = 1.1$ Jy) or 3C 147 ($F_v = 1.4–1.6$ Jy), depending on the epoch, and bandpass calibration from observations of 3C 84.

Using the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS) task IMAGR, we imaged the BN/KL region with cell size 0′′.1, covering a 50′′ field. We fitted and removed continuum emission from spectral cubes using AIPS’ IMLIN. The data were processed both with and without velocity smoothing, resulting in velocity resolutions of 0.15–0.19 km s$^{-1}$ and 0.6–0.8 km s$^{-1}$, respectively (depending on transition). The following analysis is based on the smoothed data set. To match the angular resolution of different configurations, we produced maps setting the “ROBUST” weighting parameter to $R = 0$ for the transitions observed with the C-array and to $R = 5$ (natural weighting) for the $(12,12)$ transition observed with the CnB-array. We restored all images with a beam of size 0′′.85 × 0′′.7 (P.A. = 0), approximately the average size among different transitions (see Table 1). After smoothing, the rms noise per channel was typically ~1.6 mJy beam$^{-1}$. The (8,8) transition falls at the band edge of the $Ka$-band receiver where performance was not optimal, resulting in lower-quality data compared with the other transitions. Hence, we excluded it from the following analysis. Moreover, inspection of data in post-processing revealed a 30% offset in the absolute flux scale for the (9,9) data set, possibly due to instability of the $Ka$-band system during the observations. We accounted for the systematic error by scaling the flux density by 30% in the final image of the (9,9) transition.

### Table 1: Parameters of Observations

| Transition$^a$ | $v_{rest}$ (MHz) | $E_u/K^b$ (K) | Date | Receiver | $\theta_u(″) \times \theta_v(″)$ | rms$^c$ (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | $F_{peak}^d$ (Jy) | $F_{int}$ (Jy km s$^{-1}$) | $V_c$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\sigma_v$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------|------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| (6,6)          | 25056.03        | 408          | 2010/10/11 | $K$     | 0.93 × 0.76    | 3.3                   | 2.54            | 30.78          | 5.31           | 4.85           |
| (7,7)          | 25715.18        | 539          | 2010/10/12 | $K$     | 0.91 × 0.72    | 3.3                   | 1.62            | 16.25          | 5.14           | 4.01           |
| (8,8)          | 26518.91        | 687          | 2010/12/17 | $Ka$    | 0.91 × 0.79    | 18.                    | 1.30            | 10.41          | 4.21           | 3.21           |
| (9,9)          | 27477.94        | 853          | 2010/12/21 | $Ka$    | 0.82 × 0.66    | 3.1                    | 0.88            | 6.89           | 5.37           | 3.15           |
| (10,10)        | 28604.74        | 1036         | 2010/12/29 | $Ka$    | 0.84 × 0.65    | 3.0                    | 0.61            | 3.89           | 5.68           | 2.54           |
| (11,11)        | 29914.49        | 1238         | 2011/01/10 | $Ka$    | 0.77 × 0.65    | 2.9                    | 0.34            | 1.98           | 5.90           | 2.31           |
| (12,12)        | 31424.94        | 1456         | 2011/02/05 | $Ka$    | 0.77 × 0.63    | 3.5                    | 0.17            | 0.82           | 5.93           | 1.91           |

Notes.

$^a$ Transitions include ortho-$NH_3$ ($K = 3n$) and para-$NH_3$ ($K \neq 3n$).

$^b$ Energy above the ground from the JPL database.

$^c$ rms noise in a ~0.2 km s$^{-1}$ channel (no velocity smoothing).

$^d$ $F_{peak}$, $F_{int}$, $V_c$, and $\sigma_v$ are estimated from single-Gaussian fits to the spectral profiles in Figure 1.

3. RESULTS

For the first time we have mapped and localized the hot $NH_3$ gas from metastable transitions (6,6) up to (12,12) with subarcsecond resolution toward BN/KL. We observed three ortho (6,6; 9,9; 12,12) and three para (7,7; 10,10; 11,11) transitions so as to bracket an upper-state energy of 1000 K without exceeding 2000 K.

For each transition, we produced spectra by mapping each spectral channel and summing the flux density in each channel map (Figure 1). Multiple transitions show similar line profiles and central velocities (~5–6 km s$^{-1}$), while velocity widths, $\sigma_v$, systematically decrease from (6,6) ~ 5 km s$^{-1}$ to (12,12) ~ 2 km s$^{-1}$, as determined from single-Gaussian fits (Table 1). By comparing with spectral profiles from the Effelsberg-100 m telescope (assuming an equivalence relation $K = 0.86$ Jy; Wilson et al. 1993), most of the single-dish flux density for transitions from (9,9) to (12,12) was recovered by the EVLA. This is not surprising considering that these transitions arise from levels >850 K above the ground, and are thus expected to arise from compact regions close to the exciting source.

We produced total intensity images of various $NH_3$ inversion transitions, integrated over the whole band (Figure 2). In the image we also included the positions of the four YSOs: Source I, n, SMA1, and IRc7. The low-excitation $NH_3$ emission (6,6; 7,7) is extended over ~15′′ × 15′′ (0.03 × 0.03 pc) and shows the typical Hot Core “heart-shaped” structure previously observed in various molecular lines: CH$_3$CN, CH$_3$OH, OCS (Friedel & Snyder 2008), CH$_3$CN (Zapata et al. 2011b), and $NH_3$ (4,4) (Wilson et al. 2000). The northwest (NW) lobe has the weakest integrated emission and it is not detected in the higher-$J$ transitions, whose emission originates from the northeast lobe of the heart-shaped structure. This confirms earlier results from Wilson et al. (2000) based on a comparison between (4,4) and (10,9). Interestingly, the highly excited $NH_3$ line emission shows an “arc-like” ridge, oriented northeast–southwest (NE–SW), ≤3000 AU long and ≤1000 AU across, curving around Source I. Source I lies at the NW edge, indicating a lower column density toward its position.

West of Source I, we find high-$J$ emission associated with IRc7. The structure of this emission in the transitions from (10,10) to (12,12) is bipolar and elongated north–south (N–S), with size ~1000 AU.

#### 3.1. Temperature/Density Analysis

We estimated rotational temperature, $T_{rot}$, and column density, $N_{col}$, from rotational energy diagrams, using six observed
transitions. We assumed that the inversion transitions are thermally and optically thin. The beam-averaged \( N_{\text{col}} \) from an optically thin transition is given by (Friedel & Snyder 2008)

\[
N_{\text{col}} \, e^{-E_u/T_{\text{kin}}} = \frac{2.04 \, W}{\theta_M \, \theta_m \, S \mu^2 \, v^3} \times 10^{20} \, \text{cm}^{-2},
\]

where \( Q \) is the partition function, \( E_u \) is the upper state energy of the transition (K), \( W \) is the integrated line intensity (Jy beam\(^{-1}\) km s\(^{-1}\)), \( \theta_M \) and \( \theta_m \) are the FWHM Gaussian-synthesized beam dimensions (arcsec), \( S \mu^2 \) is the product of the line strength and the square of the molecular dipole moment (Debyes\(^2\)), and \( v \) is the transition frequency (GHz). For H\(_2\) densities in the Hot Core (\( \sim 10^7 \) cm\(^{-3}\); Genzel & Stutzki 1989) we assume \( T_{\text{rot}} = T_{\text{kin}} \) (Wilson et al. 2000), so in the following we simply refer to \( T_{\text{kin}} \).

We performed separate temperature analyses for ortho and para species, enabling empirical determination of the NH\(_3\) ortho–para ratio in the Hot Core: 0.9–1.6 (±0.05–0.1) with an average over all pixels of 1.1. The highest values are located in the NW side of the ridge which faces Source I.

We determined the distribution of \( T_{\text{kin}} \) and \( N_{\text{col}} \) on a position-by-position basis. We measured \( T_{\text{kin}} \sim 160–490 \) K (\( \Delta T \lesssim 8 \) K) and \( N_{\text{col}} \sim (1–4) \times 10^{17} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \) (\( \Delta N \lesssim 10^{16} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \), averaged on scales \( \lesssim 1'' \) (Figure 3). The highest values of \( T_{\text{kin}} \) and \( N_{\text{col}} \) lie in an NE–SW elongated ridge offset (to the southeast) from Source I. At Source I’s position, we measure \( T_{\text{kin}} \sim 260 \) K and \( N_{\text{col}} \sim 7 \times 10^{16} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \). Temperature and density toward Source I are estimated using only ortho-transitions because the signal-to-noise ratios in para data were insufficient. Consequently, the total column density is probably \((1.5–2)\times \) greater.

Wilson et al. (1993) reported 400 ± 40 K using the inversion lines (10,10) to (14,14) observed at 30'' resolution, while Wilson et al. (2000) reported values in the range 130–170 K from 1'' images of (4,4) and (10,9). In the Letter presented here, high-excitation transitions observed at subarcsecond resolution enable us to map the gas density and temperature distribution with unprecedented precision. This has revealed hotter, denser material than previously derived from NH\(_3\) measurements.

The temperature inferred from high-\( J \) lines of CH\(_3\)CN is 200–600 K (Wang et al. 2010), consistent with our findings from NH\(_3\).

Our analysis assumes optically thin transitions (Wilson et al. 2000). Hyperfine-structure satellite lines are outside of the bandwidths employed, hence direct estimation of optical depth is impossible. Hermens et al. (1988) reported optical depths \( \gtrsim 1 \) for the (6,6) and (7,7) transitions. Non-negligible optical depths in the lowest available transitions (6,6 for ortho; 7,7 for para) might systematically boost the derived temperatures. We argue otherwise that this is not the case. Para-transitions yield higher temperatures than ortho-transitions, inconsistent with expectation of lower optical depth for (7,7) than (6,6). Moreover, rotation diagrams for individual pixels do not diverge from a linear trend for the lower (\( J,K \)) states.

### 3.2. Velocity Field

The first moment maps of (6,6) and (7,7) in Figure 4 show that redshifted gas (7–14 km s\(^{-1}\)) is located on the NW part of the heart-shaped structure, blueshifted gas (−10 to 0 km s\(^{-1}\)) is concentrated toward the central part, while the NE lobe is around systemic velocity (0–7 km s\(^{-1}\)). The NH\(_3\) emission shows a velocity gradient southward from Source I toward the Hot Core center, where the largest values of velocity dispersion are also observed. Unless we assume the presence of an additional embedded source in that location, this may indicate shock propagation in the Hot Core (see Section 4). Interestingly, we identified an N–S velocity gradient (\( \Delta v \sim 5 \) km s\(^{-1}\)) in the bipolar structure traced by high-\( J \) NH\(_3\) emission in IRc7, where line widths also appear enhanced. The N–S bipolar morphology, the velocity gradient in the elongation axis, and the line-width enlargement provide strong evidence of a low-velocity outflow associated with IRc7.
4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Our multi-transition measurements of NH$_3$ emission enable the estimation of temperature and density of (hot) molecular gas at high spatial resolution in the vicinity of Source I and the Hot Core. This complements the temperature distribution as a function of radius close to Source I inferred from radiative transfer modeling of SiO masing gas (Goddi et al. 2009). Maser action in the disk/wind from Source I implies temperatures 1000–2000 K inside $\sim 100$ AU and temperatures of 400–1000 K in the outflow at radii 100–1000 AU. A dust color temperature of $\sim 700$ K is consistent with this finding (Okumura et al. 2011). On the other hand, an NH$_3$ temperature of $\sim 260$ K toward Source I implies that hot NH$_3$ emission is not directly (radiatively) excited by Source I. One explanation of this apparent discrepancy could be that a high ultraviolet radiation field in the vicinity of Source I may dissociate fragile molecules like NH$_3$ (dissociation energy 4 eV), while enhancing gas-phase abundance of SiO through grain sputtering. This would also explain the offset between Source I and the peak of high-$J$ NH$_3$ emission.

What is exciting the high-energy transitions of NH$_3$? In fact, all known or suspected (massive) YSOs in the region are offset from peaks in hot NH$_3$ emission (Figure 2), and there is no evidence of any other embedded objects heating the Hot Core in the radio (Menten & Reid 1995), millimeter (Friedel & Snyder 2008), and infrared (Greenhill et al. 2004a). Additionally, the color temperature distribution from mid-IR measurements shows a decreasing gradient from Source I to the Hot Core (Okumura et al. 2011). We propose that the elevated gas temperatures measured from NH$_3$ in the Hot Core are produced by shock waves and not by stellar radiation. Theoretical models suggest that NH$_3$ might form through surface chemistry on dust grains and then be released in the gas phase by the passage of shocks, either through hydrodynamic gas–grain interaction (Flower & Pineau des Forêts 1994; Flower et al. 1995) or shock-induced IR radiation (Taylor & Williams 1996). Indeed, enhanced NH$_3$ has been observed in the L1157 low-mass outflow (Tafalla & Bachiller 1995), as well as toward a dense (quiescent) clump downstream from the high-mass protostellar jet HH 80 North (Girart et al. 1998). Umemoto et al. (1999) also report ortho–para enhancement in the L1157 outflow. Several pieces of evidence corroborate the shock hypothesis in the Orion Hot Core: the offset of hot NH$_3$ emission peaks from known (proto)stellar sources, the high $T_{\text{kin}}$, the departure of the ortho–para ratio from the statistical equilibrium value (1.0; Umemoto et al. 1999), and the high abundances of shock tracer molecules (SiO, SO, SO$_2$) and common molecular constituents of interstellar ices (C$_2$H$_5$CN, CH$_3$OH, CH$_3$CN; van Dishoeck & Blake 1998).

What generates the shocks? Recent studies report strong evidence that a dynamical interaction occurred $\sim 500$ years ago between Source I and the high-mass YSO BN (Gómez et al. 2008; Goddi et al. 2011). The stellar interaction resulted in high stellar proper motions for Source I and BN and possibly produced the fast bullet outflow traced by CO and H$_2$ (Zapata et al. 2009; Bally et al. 2011; Goddi et al. 2011). In this framework, it has recently been proposed that the Hot Core
might have originated from the impact of a shock wave onto a pre-existing dense core, driven by either the expansion of a dense bubble of material previously associated with BN and Source I (Zapata et al. 2011a) and/or the fast bullets (Zapata et al. 2011b). Data in Figure 3(c), however, suggest another possibility. The relationship between the high column density gas as traced by NH3, the Source I proper motion, and the Source I outflow as traced by SiO emission (Plambeck et al. 2009) together suggests that the Source I outflow drives compression and shocks in the surrounding medium. The relative narrowness of the SW outflow in close proximity of the column density peak is suggestive of ram pressure effects caused by the outflow and the YSO proper motion. The more open NE lobe, its apparent symmetry about the flow axis, and its extension beyond the northern NH3 clump may indicate that the outflow is in front of or behind the dense gas, compressing it more along the line of sight. Part of the mechanical energy of the outflow could be dissipated and contribute to gas heating. This is also consistent with the ortho–para ratio being larger in the NW side of the ridge facing Source I.

Detailed modeling, including shock physics as well as dust/gas-phase chemistry, will be required to discriminate between different (shock) scenarios proposed for the Hot Core excitation. However, the shock velocities implied by different scenarios and inferred NH3 chemistry are suggestive. Flower & Pineau des Forêts (1994) showed that shocks with velocities of 10–50 km s$^{-1}$ (C-type) efficiently sublimate ice mantles, ejecting volatile molecules intact and enabling efficient abundance enhancement. Shocks with velocities <10 km s$^{-1}$ would have just a diminished impact on grain–mantle evaporation and NH3 abundance enhancement, which weighs against the hypothesized slowly expanding bubble (7 km s$^{-1}$) as the main mechanism for the Hot Core excitation. In contrast, fast bullets (> 100 km s$^{-1}$) would drive strong J-type shocks, causing grain sputtering and molecular dissociation. Reformation of NH3 by gas-phase chemistry is possible but less likely on the short dynamical time of the region (~500 years). Nonetheless, existing models have not investigated chemical evolution behind J-type shocks. Intermediate-velocity bullets traced by H2 (30–70 km s$^{-1}$; Bally et al. 2011) could in principle drive C-type shocks and release NH3 as well as excite H2 emission, but the poor correlation between NH3 and mid-IR/H2 emission (Shuping et al. 2004) argues against this possibility. We note that the impact of outflow from Source I (20 km s$^{-1}$) in combination with proper motion of 12 km s$^{-1}$ could efficiently heat the Hot Core with limited molecular dissociation. Favre et al. (2011) reported an anticorrelation of methyl-formate and H2 in the Hot Core and proposed a similar mechanism for its excitation. Extinction could however be responsible for the observed anticorrelation.

In summary, we suggest that local mechanical interaction of Source I with the Orion Hot Core is more likely to be responsible for enhanced NH3 abundance and heating of gas in the Core than the consequence of the hypothesized explosive event tied to the protostellar dynamical interaction.
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Figure 4. Velocity fields of NH$_3$ inversion transitions from (6,6) to (12,12). Colors indicate $V_{\text{LSR}}$ in km s$^{-1}$. Color scales are compressed with increasing quantum number to clearly show velocity structure. In each panel, the crosses mark the position of sources I, SMA1, n, and IRc7 (from left to right). All transitions show a velocity gradient southward from Source I toward the Hot Core center (the less clear signature in the upper panels is due to the expanded color scale).
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