Health Care Availability as a Fundamental Predictor of Chronic Kidney Diseases
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Abstract

Chronic kidney diseases are recognized as one of the leading problems of modern healthcare because of the high prevalence and unfavorable prognosis. Modern classification of predictors of chronic kidney diseases are discussed in the article. The authors of the article note that none of the known modern classifications of chronic kidney diseases consider medical care availability to patients in disease situations as a predictor. The authors worked out typology of availability of nephrological medical care to chronic kidney disease patients is given in the article. Medical care availability restriction to patients is considered by the authors as an obligate predictor of the occurrence, progression and unfavorable prognosis of chronic kidney diseases.
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Introduction

According to international population studies [1,2], 10-14% of the world’s population is in pain from chronic kidney diseases (CKD). Chronic kidney diseases are recognized as one of the leading problems of modern healthcare because of the high prevalence and unfavorable prognosis. The following current classifications of CKD predictors are known. In 2005 AS Levey [3] and co-authors proposed classification of the main predictors of CKD, dividing them into predictors, which increase susceptibility, predictors of initiation, progression and terminal stage of CKD.

G Barkis [4] divided the factors of progression into traditional and “new”, most of which are biochemical markers of CKD progression, most often directly involved in the pathogenetic mechanisms of progression.

The classification of predictors published in the 2012 national guidelines is based on the division of predictors of risk development and progression [5]. The principal difference of this classification lies in the division of predictors to modifiable and non-modifiable, which is of great practical importance. However, none of the known modern classifications does not consider medical care availability in the situation of a disease as a predictor of CKD. Health care availability is a free access to health resources regardless of geographic, economic, social, cultural, organizational or language barriers [6].

The typologization of nephrological medical care availability to patients with CKD was developed by G.S. Petrov and co-authors:

a. Availability of prevention and minimization of CKD predictors occurrence.

b. Availability of modifiable predictors management of CKD progression and nephroprotection.

c. Availability of substitution renal therapy for patients with CKD.

Availability of prevention and minimization of CKD predictors occurrence. At present time, there is a program in Russia for the prophylactic medical examination of the population, which is regulated by the Order № 1006 of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation dated December, 3rd 2012 “On the approval of the procedure for the prophylactic medical examination of certain groups of adults” [6]. Main CKD markers- serum blood creatinine and the determination of microalbumin in the urine are simple and fairly inexpensive tests, but they are not included in this program. The insertion of serum blood creatinine and microalbuminuria
into the program for the prophylactic medical examination of the population is one of the important tasks of preventing CKD.

The CKD prevention requires regular monitoring of patients with the above-mentioned conditions by the therapist, and, in case of detecting CKD predictors, the referral and examination of nephrologist. Availability of management of modifiable predictors of CKD progression and nephroprotection. In case of CKD the necessity to manage the modifiable risk factors of CKD progression and nephroprotection arises to prevent the progression of the disease. Nephroprotective strategy is based both on drug therapy aimed at saving the remaining functioning nephrons, and on the correction of other risk factors of nephron damage, such as lifestyle, treatment of the underlying nephrologic disease, etc.

Availability of substitution renal therapy for patients with CKD. Substitution therapy to patients with CKD is indicated in case of futility of nephroprotective therapy and in the terminal phase of the disease.

There exist 3 types of substitution therapy for patients with CKD:

a. Hemodialysis.
b. Peritoneal dialysis.
c. Transplantation of the kidney.

Conclusion

According to [7], in 2010, 2.6 million patients in the world were on dialysis treatment, 93% of them were residents of countries with high- and middle-income level. However, according to the authors’ calculations based on the prevalence of CKD, the total number of patients requiring renal substitution therapy may vary between 4.9 and 9 million people. The above calculations make it possible to suggest that at least 2.3 million patients are unable to receive renal substitution therapy in a timely manner, which inevitably increases the adverse result.

Thus, the availability of nephrological care for patients is realized in the availability of prevention and minimization of predictors for the occurrence of CKD, in the management of modifiable predictors of CKD progression and nephroprotection, and renal substitution therapy for patients with CKD. Medical care availability restriction to patients is considered as an obligate predictor of the occurrence, progression and unfavorable prognosis of chronic kidney diseases.
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