The role of intravascular ultrasound scan and thin-sliced coronary computed tomography angiography in diagnosing aortic dissection causing acute myocardial infarction
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Acute aortic dissection is a disease of high mortality. The symptoms may mimic other conditions and misdiagnosed, such as acute coronary syndrome, coronary involvement complicates the clinical scenario and increases mortality. Case Report: We herein report a case of an acute myocardial infarction caused by acute aortic dissection. Without noticing the aortic dissection, we performed emergent coronary angiography, which showed severe stenosis of the proximal right coronary artery. Intravascular ultrasound scan led us to suspect aortic dissection. However, we performed balloon angioplasty because the patient’s hemodynamic status was unstable. EcG-gated coronary computed tomography angiography provided a definitive diagnosis, and the patient underwent successful surgical repair of the aortic dissection. Conclusion: Acute coronary syndrome associated with acute aortic dissection is not rare. However, the management of these conditions depends on the details of each case. This case demonstrates the difficulty of treating such cases in the real world. Herein, we describe educational imaging findings and briefly discuss the management of cases involving acute coronary syndrome associated with acute aortic dissection.
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INTRODUCTION

Patients with acute aortic dissection (AAD) may initially present with only signs of acute coronary syndrome (ACS), such as ST elevation on electrocardiograms (ECGs). In such situations, the correct diagnosis may be missed. A diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome may lead to the inappropriate administration of thrombolytic agents, resulting in catastrophic consequences. Transthoracic echocardiography is useful as a simple imaging test. However, its diagnostic capability is sometimes insufficient in the emergency room. Although the exact diagnosis can be reached, the management of these conditions remains controversial, with only a few reports in literature.
CASE REPORT

A 62-year-old male with the sudden onset severe chest pain was transferred to our emergency room by ambulance. He had a history of aortic valve replacement (AVR) due to aortic regurgitation of the tricuspid valve four years earlier. The AVR had thus been performed using a mechanical valve and the prothrombin time-international normalized level at admission was 1.76. He did not suffer from back pain and no laterality of the blood pressure was observed. An ECG showed ST-segment elevation in leads II, III and aVF (Figure 1), suggesting inferior acute myocardial infarction (AMI). A chest X-ray showed no abnormalities. On the trans thoracic echocardiography neither mechanical valve failure or cardiac tamponade was observed. We performed emergent coronary angiography, which revealed a long tight lesion in the proximal segment of the right coronary artery (RCA) (Figure 2) and normal left coronary artery. We planned to perform emergent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), however, intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) scan performed before PCI revealed a hypoechoic mass around the stenotic lesion. The narrowing lumen appeared not to be occupied by thrombi, but rather was oppressed by the surrounding mass (Figure 3). These findings suggested that ascending aortic dissection had caused AMI. Although we considered emergent surgical repair, we decided to perform PCI first because the ST level was still elevated and the patient’s hemodynamic status was unstable. Balloon angioplasty improved the flow of the RCA, and the hemodynamics was stabilized. We did
not implant any stents because we wished to avoid the use of antiplatelet agents and did not recognize the acute recoil after balloon angioplasty. Acute aortic dissection was definitely diagnosed following contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) angiography (Figures 4 and 5), which clearly showed that the proximal RCA was embedded and oppressed by the intramural hematoma (Figure 5A). The left main trunk was mildly oppressed by the communicating false lumen of the dissection (Figure 5B–C). The patient underwent successful surgical repair of the aortic dissection.

In addition, coronary involvement is a fatal complication of AAD, with a reported incidence of from one to two percent [2]. However, AAD itself sometimes fails to demonstrate any of the classical physical findings, such as a widened mediastinum, aortic regurgitation or the laterality of blood pressure, and up to 30% of patients suffering from AAD are therefore initially suspected to have other conditions [3, 4].

In this case, we could not diagnose AAD based on either the physical findings, chest X-ray or transthoracic echocardiography in the emergency room even though the patient had a history of AVR. Therefore, if when treating AMI patients in the emergency room, especially those with inferior AMI, clinicians should suspect the existence of aortic dissection at the back of the AMI [4]. However, if aortic dissection cannot be diagnosed in the emergency room in such cases, emergent CAG should be performed. Once AAD is identified as the cause of AMI, the question arises as to how the patient should be managed in the catheterization laboratory? It is controversial to first perform emergent surgical repair of the aorta, or primary PCI before surgery. Furthermore, whether to implant a stent is a difficult choice. The use of strong antiplatelet therapy can result in surgical difficulties, while the strong radial force of the implanted stent would assure a more stable coronary flow. Therefore, this decision should be made based on whether the patient is stable hemodynamically [5]. The findings of IVUS scan and coronary CT angiography in the present case are very educational, as they clearly showed AAD involving the coronary artery. In addition, this case highlights the difficulty of treating similar cases in the real world.

**CONCLUSION**

Acute coronary syndrome associated with acute aortic dissection is not rare. However, the management of these conditions depends on the details of each case, and there are many cases that the guidelines cannot be applied. This case demonstrates the difficulty in treating similar cases in the real world. We performed balloon angioplasty, refraining from using stenting before surgery, and subsequently obtained a good result. We believe that this therapeutic regimen is a potential treatment choice in cases involving a poorly disturbed coronary flow.

**DISCUSSION**

Acute aortic dissection can occur as one of the most serious complication late complications after AVR. Predictors of AAD after AVR include fragility and thinning of the ascending aorta, aortic dilatation, AR at initial AVR (especially, bicuspid aortic valve) and hypertension [1].

In addition, coronary involvement is a fatal complication of AAD, with a reported incidence of from one to two percent [2]. However, AAD itself sometimes fails to demonstrate any of the classical physical findings, such as a widened mediastinum, aortic regurgitation or the laterality of blood pressure, and up to 30% of patients suffering from AAD are therefore initially suspected to have other conditions [3, 4].

In this case, we could not diagnose AAD based on either the physical findings, chest X-ray or transthoracic echocardiography in the emergency room even though the patient had a history of AVR. Therefore, if when treating AMI patients in the emergency room, especially those with inferior AMI, clinicians should suspect the existence of aortic dissection at the back of the AMI [4]. However, if aortic dissection cannot be diagnosed in the emergency room in such cases, emergent CAG should be performed. Once AAD is identified as the cause of AMI, the question arises as to how the patient should be managed in the catheterization laboratory? It is controversial to first perform emergent surgical repair of the aorta, or primary PCI before surgery. Furthermore, whether to implant a stent is a difficult choice. The use of strong antiplatelet therapy can result in surgical difficulties, while the strong radial force of the implanted stent would assure a more stable coronary flow. Therefore, this decision should be made based on whether the patient is stable hemodynamically [5]. The findings of IVUS scan and coronary CT angiography in the present case are very educational, as they clearly showed AAD involving the coronary artery. In addition, this case highlights the difficulty of treating similar cases in the real world.
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