Octocorals in the Gulf of Aqaba exhibit high photosymbiont fidelity
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Symbiotic associations, widespread in terrestrial and marine ecosystems, are of considerable ecological importance. Many tropical coral species are holobionts, formed by the obligate association between a cnidarian host and endosymbiotic dinoflagellates of the family Symbiodiniaceae. The latter are abundant on coral reefs from very shallow water down to the upper mesophotic zone (30–70m). The research on scleractinians has revealed that the photosymbiont lineages present in the cnidarian host play an important role in the coral’s ability to thrive under different environmental conditions, such as light regime and temperature. However, little is known regarding octocoral photosymbionts, and in particular regarding those found deeper than 30m. Here, we used ribosomal (ITS2) and chloroplast (23S) markers to uncover, for the first time, the dominant Symbiodiniaceae taxa present in 19 mesophotic octocoral species (30–70m depth) from the Gulf of Aqaba/Eilat (northern Red Sea). In addition, using high-throughput sequencing of the ITS2 region we characterized both the dominant and the rare Symbiodiniaceae lineages found in several species across depth. The phylogenetic analyses of both markers were in agreement and revealed that most of the studied mesophotic octocorals host the genus Cladocopium. Litophyton spp. and Klyxum utinomii were exceptions, as they harbored Symbiodinium and Durusdinium photosymbionts, respectively. While the dominant algal lineage of each coral species did not vary across depth, the endosymbiont community structure significantly differed between host species, as well as between different depths for some host species. The findings from this study contribute to the growing global-catalogue of Cnidaria-Symbiodiniaceae associations. Unravelling the Symbiodiniaceae composition in octocoral holobionts across environmental gradients, depth in particular, may enable a better understanding of how specialized those associations are, and to what extent coral holobionts are able to modify their photosymbionts.
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Introduction

The mutualistic relationship between the cnidarian host and its endosymbiotic dinoflagellate algae of the family Symbiodiniaceae is vital for the persistence and continuity of coral reefs (Rowan and Knowlton, 1995; Berkelmans and Van Oppen, 2006; LaJeunesse et al., 2018). Through inorganic carbon fixation, the photosynthetic symbionts provide their host with sugars and amino acids, a crucial asset in oligotrophic seas such as the Red Sea (Muscatine and Porter, 1977). In return, the host provides the resident algae with light-rich conditions, a sheltered environment, and a supply of inorganic nutrients. Consequently, the cnidarian host and its associated dinoflagellate algae are critical partners in the diverse assemblage of eukaryotic and prokaryotic taxa that comprise the coral holobiont (Lesser et al., 2013; Aranda et al., 2016; Suggett et al., 2017).

The genetic diversity and composition of the algal symbionts has been studied using different molecular markers. Initially, Rowan and Powers (1992) applied restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) of the nuclear small-subunit rRNA gene (SSU) to examine the phylogenetic relationships among the algal symbionts of different hosts. Subsequently, additional DNA regions were studied, including the less variable nuclear large-subunit rRNA (LSU: Lenaers et al., 1991; Rodriguez-Lanetty et al., 2001), the nuclear rRNA transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2: LaJeunesse, 2001; Thornhill et al., 2007), the mitochondrial cytochromes b and cytochrome oxidase subunit I (cytb and cox1: Takabayashi et al., 2004; Sampayo et al., 2009), and the chloroplastic 23S rRNA and the gene encoding the D1 subunit of photosystem II (cp23S and psbA: Santos et al., 2002; LaJeunesse and Thornhill, 2011).

To date, 11 phylogenetic genera have been assigned to the family Symbiodiniaceae (LaJeunesse et al., 2018; Nitschke et al., 2020; Pochon and LaJeunesse, 2021). These were sampled from unrelated marine organisms such as foraminifers, ciliates, cnidarians, and mollusks, as well as from coral-reef sediment (Nitschke et al., 2022). Despite the lack of a standard molecular barcoding marker for Symbiodiniaceae identification, the ITS2 (~270bp) is the most widely-used one to determine Symbiodiniaceae lineages at the genus level (e.g., Arif et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2020). This marker was adopted due to: (1) its large copy number (algal genomes enclose several 100 to several 1,000 copies of the rRNA cluster) (Saad et al., 2020)]; and (2) the existence of conserved flanking regions that enable the design of universal Symbiodiniaceae primers. It should also be noted that the fast rate of evolution of the ITS2 region appears to resolve the Symbiodiniaceae relationships at the genus level and even lower (Hume et al., 2018). However, the multi-copy nature of this marker creates a situation in which both intergenomic variants (i.e., polymorphism between algal individuals within a coral) and intragenomic variants (i.e., polymorphism between the ITS copies within an algal individual) may be simultaneously present within any coral sample. To identify the algal lineages present in a coral holobiont, it is critical to distinguish between these two sources of polymorphism. Specific analytical approaches have been developed to identify the confounding intragenomic diversity from the taxonomic diversity. In particular, profiling approaches, such as those implemented in SymPortal (Hume et al., 2019), enable diagnostic ITS2 type-profiles to be assigned, while examining the symbiotic algal composition at the highest possible resolution.

Coral-endosymbiotic algae interactions have been shown to be affected by heatwaves and other environmental stressors, which may result in the impairment and even breakdown of their mutualistic relationship (i.e., bleaching events; Hoegh-Guldberg and Bruno, 2010; Bahr et al., 2017; Hughes et al., 2018). In response to the increasingly recorded stressor events affecting coral reefs, research on the genetic and functional diversity of symbiodiniaceans has developed rapidly (LaJeunesse et al., 2010, 2018; Hume et al., 2019). Notably, it was found that the genetic lineages of the algal symbionts may modulate the phenotype of the holobiont in response to temperature rise or to poorly lit-environments, such as those affected by depth (Frade et al., 2008a; Cooper et al., 2011; Eckert et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2020). Consequently, an accurate determination of the Symbiodiniaceae diversity within corals is required in order to understand their hosts’ adaptive capabilities under changing environmental conditions.

Mesophotic coral ecosystems (MCEs) are coral-dominated communities found at a depth of 30–150 m. They act as an extension of the shallow-water reefs down to the lower edge of the photic zone (Kahng et al., 2010). MCE community structure is affected by the exponential decrease of light across depth (Kahng et al., 2019) and by the corals’ reliance on photoautotrophic carbon production via their endosymbionts (Radecker et al., 2021). Most coral species at these depths are adapted to certain environmental conditions and can only thrive within a narrow depth range (i.e., “depth-specialists”; Liberman et al., 2022). A few corals, however, are able to thrive across a wider depth range (i.e., “depth-generalists”). The ability to host different symbiodiniaceans across depth may benefit depth-generalists (Bongaerts et al., 2010; Ziegler et al., 2015). For example, the shallow-water population of the anthozoan Stylaphora pistillata in the Gulf of Aqaba/Eilat hosts members of the genus Symbiodinium, whereas the mesophotic population is dominated by members of the genus Cladoclopicium (Scuccchia et al., 2021). Similar shifts in Symbiodiniaceae diversity across depth exist in Madracis pharensis (Curacao: Frade et al., 2008a), Seriatopora hystrix (western Australia: Cooper et al., 2011), and Montastraea cavernosa (Belize: Eckert et al., 2020). However, changes in algal diversity across depth are not considered to be a general phenomenon, and some depth-generalist species exhibit similar Symbiodiniaceae composition across their entire depth of occurrence such as Pachydermus speciosa (Western Australia: Cooper et al., 2011), Seriatopora hystrix, and Porites spp. (Red Sea: Ziegler et al., 2015).

Despite the high abundance of zooxanthellate octocorals in MCEs (Bridge et al., 2012; Shoham and Benayahu, 2017; Benayahu et al., 2019), only a few studies have investigated the diversity of symbiodiniaceans associated with octocorals (Goulet et al., 2019). Indeed, their diversity in MCE octocorals has not been the
focus of any study to date. The genetic composition of Symbiodiniaceae inhabiting shallow-water octocorals has been examined in different biogeographical regions (Caribbean: Goulet and Coffroth, 2004; Hannes et al., 2009, Great Barrier Reef, Australia: LaJeunesse et al., 2004; Van Oppen et al., 2005; Ziegler et al., 2018, Red Sea: Barneahl et al., 2004; Goulet et al., 2008). Most of the shallow-water octocoral Symbiodiniaceae algae were studied using the RFLP or DNA fingerprint approaches (Goulet and Coffroth, 2003, LaJeunesse et al., 2004, Goulet et al., 2008, but see Van Oppen et al., 2005). These methods led to the suggestion that octocoral colonies are probably associated with members of a single genus. Recently, the algal composition of six shallow octocoral species was studied using high-throughput sequencing methods (Ziegler et al., 2018; Bayer xenia sp., Isis sp., Lobophytum sp. and Xenia sp.; Osman et al., 2020; Sarcophyton trochoi phorum and Xenia umbellata), which have also revealed members of a single Symbiodiniaceae genus associated with individual octocoral colonies.

Clearly, our current knowledge of the genetic structure of octocoral Symbiodiniaceae communities and of the more cryptic genetic identities is insufficient. Motivated by this lack of knowledge, the present study sought to (1) examine, for the first-time, the genetic lineages of Symbiodiniaceae of MCE octocorals from the Gulf of Aqaba/Eilat; and (2) compare the Symbiodiniaceae communities of depth-specialists across a depth gradient using both Sanger sequencing and high-throughput sequencing of the ITS2 with the SymPortal analysis framework. Our results revealed a pattern of conserved symbiont community along depth for most the examined species, indicating that host-symbiont specificity may drive Symbiodiniaceae diversity in the Gulf of Aqaba/Eilat octocorals.

Materials and methods

Collection of samples

In order to examine the genetic composition of Symbiodiniaceae in the Gulf of Aqaba/Eilat MCE, octocoral samples were collected from seven locations (Supplementary Figure S1) in two sampling campaigns during 2017–2018 (45–70 m) and in an additional one during 2019 (40–70 m) using a Remote Operating Vehicle (ROV: ECA H800) operated by Sam Rothberg R/V of the Interuniversity Institute for Marine Sciences in Eilat) and technical diving (Table 1). Shallow-reef selected taxa (0–21 m) were also collected from these locations during 2017–2020 using SCUBA diving (all samples were collected under permits issued by the Israel National Parks and Nature Reserves Authority: permits 2017/41761, 2017/41581, 2018/41893, 2018/42526, and 2018/41902). The octocorals host species were first assigned to species in the field based on their morphology. Later, the 70% ethanol preserved subsamples were taxonomically identified based on the relevant literature and on the octocoral reference collection at the Steinhardt Museum of Natural History, Israel National Center for Biodiversity Studies at Tel Aviv University. For subsequent Symbiodiniaceae sequence analysis, subsamples (1×1 cm) of the octocoral colonies were preserved in absolute alcohol and stored at −20°C.

Identification of algal symbionts

Genetic identification of the dominant Symbiodiniaceae at the genus and type levels was performed by sequencing two DNA markers: ITS2 and cp23S. DNA was extracted from preserved octocoral fragments using the PureLink DNA extraction kit (Thermofisher) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (N = 38, N = 31, for each marker, respectively). The genomic DNA region spanning the 5.8S and ITS2 of the Symbiodiniaceae sp. was

| Species                  | Collection depth (m) | Collection site          | Number of specimens |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Chadiella sp.            | Shallow: 3           | Princess Beach           | 1*                  |
| Klyxum uncinatum         | Shallow: 3–6;        | EAPC_C, Princess Beach   | 2                   |
|                          | MCE: 45–62           | Beach                    |                     |
| Ovalbunda sp.            | Shallow: 5–10;       | IUI                      | 5                   |
|                          | MCE: 40–50           |                          |                     |
| Xenia sp.                | MCE: 62              | IUI                      | 1*                  |
| Briareum hamrum          | MCE: 55–60           | IUI                      | 2                   |
| Anthelia glauca          | MCE: 55–58           | IUI                      | 2                   |
| Sarcophyton glaucum      | MCE: 55–61           | IUI, Princess Beach      | 4                   |
| Sarcophyton auritum      | MCE: 38–40           | EAPC_C, EAPC_N           | 2                   |
| Lobophytum depressum     | MCE: 40–43, 56       | IUI, Dekel Beach         | 3                   |
| Rhytisma fulvum          | Shallow: 2–10;       | IUI                      | 7                   |
|                          | MCE: 38–45           |                          |                     |
| Litophytum sp.           | MCE: 38              | IUI                      | 1*                  |
| Litophytum savignyi      | MCE: 38–45           | IUI                      | 6                   |
| Litophytum arboratum     | Shallow: 3–11        | IUI, Dolphin Reef        | 7                   |
| Sinularia hirta          | MCE: 39, 58          | IUI, Princess Beach      | 2                   |
| Sinularia elatensis      | Shallow: 10–17;      | IUI, EAPC_S              | 6                   |
|                          | MCE: 58–66           | Dolphin Reef             |                     |
| Sinularia vrijschothi    | Shallow: 1–12;       | IUI, EAPC_S, IUI         | 3                   |
| Sinularia leptoelados     | Shallow: 3–5;        | Princess Beach           | 2                   |
|                          | MCE: 64–65           |                          |                     |
| Sinularia mesophotica    | MCE: 60–67           | EAPC_C, Dolphin Reef     | 3                   |
| Sinularia loyai          | MCE: 38–40           | IUI                      | 2                   |
| Sinularia queriferformis | MCE: 50, 67          | Dekel Beach, Dolphin Reef| 2                   |
| Sinularia polydactyla    | MCE: 49              | Dekel Beach              | 1*                  |

IUI, interuniversity Institute for Marine Sciences in Eilat; EAPC, Eilat–Ashqelon Pipeline Company central beach, see Supplementary Figure S1 for exact locations. Asterisk indicates host specimens that were found only once in our surveys.
amplified using the primers SYM_VAR_5.8S2 (5′-GAATTGCAG AACTCCTGTAAC-3′) and SYM_VAR_REV (5′-CGGGTTTC WCTTGTYYGACTCTGATGC-3′) (Hume et al., 2018). The chloroplast 23S gene was amplified using the primers 23S1 (5′-CGACGGCTGTAACCTAACTCGGTC-3′) and 23S2 (5′-TTTGCACAGCGCATCGTATAGGAAACCAGC-3′) (Zhang, 2000). PCRs were performed in 25 μL total reaction volume containing 2 μL of DNA template (~10–100 ng), 2.5 μL of 10X ExTaqTM buffer, 2 μL of dNTPs supplied with ExTaq kit (2.5 mM each), 0.15 μL of TaKaRa ExTaqTM polymerase (5 units/μL), 5 μl of Betaine (5 M), 2.5 μl of each primer (5 pM), and 8.5 μL of sterile H2O. The PCR steps for the ITS2 region were performed according to Hume et al. (2018) and for the 23S region according to Santos et al. (2002). PCR products were purified using the ExoSAP (ThermoFisher) approach, and directly sequenced on an ABI 3500xl genetic analyzer by the DNA Sequencing Unit of the George, S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University. In contrast to the chloroplast 23S, the ITS2 sequences usually revealed background noise, suggesting that more than one sequence may exist in each of these samples. Therefore, in order to determine whether depth-generalist species contain similar Symbiodiniaceae communities, the ITS2 intragenomic diversity of both shallow and MCE fragments was analyzed by high-throughput sequencing (Hume et al., 2018, see also below).

High-throughput ITS2 sequencing

Genomic DNA from different octocoral host species (i.e., L. arboreum (n = 4), L. savignyi (n = 4), Ovabunda sp. (n = 8), Rhytisma fulvum (n = 12), Sinularia eilatensis (n = 8), S. virmoethi (n = 8), S. leptolados (n = 5), and S. mesophotica (n = 3) were amplified with primers that included overhang adapters to fit the MiSeq platform (see Supplementary Material). These PCR products were sent to Hy Laboratories Ltd., Israel for a second PCR using the Access Array tag for Illumina primers (Fluidigm Corporation, United States). This PCR added the index and adaptor sequences required for multiplexing and sequencing on an Illumina platform. The samples were pooled together and sequenced on an Illumina Miseq using a v2-500 cycle kit to generate 250 nt, paired-end reads. The data were de-multiplexed by the Illumina software, and the de-multiplexed FASTQ files were then submitted to SymPortal for quality control and analysis (SymPortal.org; Hume et al., 2019; last accessed on November 2021).

Data analysis

Analyses of sanger sequences

Phylogenetic trees for the ITS2 and cp23S sequences were reconstructed by combining newly obtained Sanger sequences and previously published Symbiodiniaceae sequences available in open-access databases. For the ITS2, we included all sequences available in the ITS2-DB database (Shi et al., 2021). This database provides a reference for consistent and precise genotyping of Symbiodiniaceae. For the cp23S marker we included all sequences from the database of Nitschke et al. (2020).

Sequences were aligned using MAFFT (Version 7) under the L-INS-I algorithm (Katoh et al., 2018). Unreliable alignment positions were identified using the GUIDANCE 2 webserver (Sela et al., 2015)1 under the same alignment parameter. Positions with a score below 0.93 were excluded. Phylogenetic relationships were reconstructed under the maximum likelihood (ML) criterion as implemented in the program IQ-TREE version 2.1.3 (Nguyen et al., 2015). The analyses were run with the options –m MFP -b 1,000 (i.e., ModelFinder + tree reconstruction+1,000 non-parametric bootstrap replicates). Model selection was performed using the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC).

Symbiodiniaceae community composition analysis

Statistical analyses of Symbiodiniaceae diversity were conducted on SymPortal outputs in the R statistical environment (R Core Team, 2019; version 4.1.1), following the methods and code published by Eckert et al., 2020.2 To plot and examine ITS2 relative sequence abundance, the post-MED absolute abundance sequence count tables were used. To account for differences in sequencing depth among individual libraries, ITS2 type and type profiles reads were normalized using trimmed mean of M-values (TMM) in the package edgeR 3.14. This correction has been shown to effectively reduce false discovery rates and improve true positive rates (Pereira et al., 2018). To assess the statistical difference in ITS2 type assemblages between depths and between octocoral species, a PERMANOVA was performed with the “adonis” function from the package vegan_2.5-7 (Oksanen et al., 2020). For this purpose, we used the normalized ASV sequences which encompass copy number and intragenomic variability below the species level (Davies et al., 2022). The PERMANOVA analysis was run with 999 permutations using Bray-Curtis dissimilarities as distance metric. To determine whether depth and host species were significant factors, a nested-PERMANOVA was performed, with one factor as a fixed factor and the other as a blocking factor, and vice-versa, using the “adonis2” function in vegan_2.5-7. To compare the ITS2 type assemblages found in each host species, a pairwise PERMANOVA test was then performed, with the function “pairwiseAdonis” of vegan 2.5-7. A false discovery rate (FDR) correction was applied to the obtained p-values to account for the multiple comparisons. Finally, to identify which ITS2 type sequences had a significant effect on the differences found in the

1 http://guidance.tau.ac.il/, last accessed on January 21, 2021
2 https://github.com/RyanEckert/Belize_Mcav_Symbiodiniaceae_IT2S, last accessed on December 20, 2021
PERMANOVA tests, a similarity percentage test (SIMPER) was performed on the square-root of the normalized abundances, using the function 'simper' in vegan_2.5–7.

Results

Sanger sequencing of ITS2 and cp23S

The same major Symbiodiniaceae lineages were identified based on both ITS2 and cp23S sequences. Most MCE octocorals were found to host Symbiodiniaceae of the genus *Cladocopium* (16/20), while *Litophyton arboreum, L. savignyi* and *Litophyton sp.* were associated with members of the genus *Symbiodinium*, and only one species, *Klyxum utinomii*, was associated with members of the genus *Durusdinium* (Figures 1–4). As expected, there was a marked difference in the phylogenetic resolution of the two gene regions, mainly visible in the analyses of the *Cladocopium* lineages (Figures 1, 3). The 19 cp23S *Cladocopium* sequences were all identical to the *Cladocopium* C90 sequence (JN557975), except for five sequences found in *Cladiella* sp., *R. fulvum, Ovabunda sp., Tubipora musica*, and *Xenia sp.*, which presented several additional substitutions (Figure 1). In contrast, analyses of the 31 ITS2 sequences revealed the presence of 12 *Cladocopium* types in 14 different MCE octocoral species (Figure 3). Five species harbored more than one ITS2 type: *Ovabunda sp., R. fulvum, S. eilatensis, S. querciformis*, and *S. vrijmoethi*. Interestingly, different members of *Cladocopium* were found between the two *Ovabunda* sp. samples, similar to the results obtained when using the cp23S marker (Figure 3: indicated by numbers). Only a few ITS2 sequences were found to contain a previously sequenced ITS2 type: *Ovabunda sp.* and *Tubipora musica*, which shared the same type and were found to be identical to the C3 type (AB778606). Similarly, *Lobophytum depressum, S. glaucum, S. loyai, S. polydactyla*, and *S. querciformis*

![Phylogenetic relationship of Symbiodiniaceae based on chloroplast 23S rRNA sequences (500 bp) under the HKY+F model. The maximum likelihood tree was reconstructed with IQ-TREE 2.1.3. ML bootstrap support based on 1,000 replicates are indicated either above or below the corresponding branch. Colors represent different Symbiodiniaceae genera: blue *Symbiodinium* algae, red *Durusdinium* algae, and yellow *Cladocopium* algae. Species that presented a variation in the obtained sequence are indicated by the number 2. For the obtained new Symbiodiniaceae sequences, the host name is indicated rather than the algal type.](image-url)
FIGURE 2
Phylogenetic relationship of the genus Symbiodinium (previously named clade A) based on ITS2 rDNA sequences (270 bp), under the K2P+G4 model. The maximum likelihood tree was reconstructed with IQ-TREE 2.1.3. ML bootstrap supports based on 1,000 replicates are indicated either above or below the corresponding branch. Orange color indicates new Symbiodiniaceae sequences that were obtained in the current study, for which the host name is indicated rather than the algal genetic type.

presented a sequence identical to type C65 (AY589775). Finally, Briareum hamrum contained a Cladocopium type identical to C7 (AF499797). All other sequences were found to be new types (Figure 3).
octocorals using high-throughput ITS2 sequencing were mostly comparable with the dominant ITS2 type found using Sanger sequencing, however some differences were also found (Figures 5, 6). Using both sequencing methods, the two examined Litophyton species harboring members of Symbiodinium were found to associate with distinct types (A10 in L. arboreum, A9 in L. savignyi). In the phylogenetic analyses, however, the dominant sequence obtained with Sanger sequencing was not always identical to the algal genetic type using high-throughput ITS2. For example, one of the MCE Ovabunda sp. colonies was inferred to harbor C3 sequences using Sanger sequencing while C3cq was the dominant type.

![Phylogenetic tree of Cladocopium species](image)
with high-throughput ITS2 sequencing (Figures 2, 5). Similarly, the most abundant sequences in R. fulvum, S. eilatensis MCE, and S. leptoclados MCE colonies was identified as C1 using high-throughput sequencing, while the sequences obtained using Sanger contained a few ambiguous characters and thus differed from C1 (Figure 3). Moreover, in four out of five S. vijmoethi MCE colonies, the most abundant type was C65, while in the Sanger sequencing, one of the sequence of S. vijmoethi correspond to C65a. These differences stem from the fact that the sequence obtained using Sanger sequencing is with high-throughput type-profile (41/51). In contrast, seven Ovabunda sp. colonies, two R. fulvum colonies, and one S. vijmoethi colony harbored an additional type-profile (Figure 5).

The nested-PERMANOVA test based on the ITS2 type sequences indicated that the Symbiodiniaceae community structure significantly varied among octocoral species (Table 2; \( F = 11.502, p = 0.001 \)), but not across depth (Table 2; \( F = 1.466, p = 0.175 \)). Despite the overall lack of effect of depth on the community structure, some nested-PERMANOVA analyses of the octocoral species S. eilatensis indicated significant differences also across depth (Table 2; \( F = 175.7, p = 0.024 \)). More specifically, a pairwise PERMANOVA test of the community structure found in different host species indicated that R. fulvum and Ovabunda sp. consistently hosted different lineages to those of all Cladocopium host species; and S. mesophoticas harbored different lineages to those of S. vijmoethi and S. eilatensis (Table 3). Similarly, L. arboreum and L. savignyi hosted significantly different Symbiodinium communities from each other (Table 3). An additional nested and pairwise PERMANOVA test using the ITS2 type profiles also revealed comparable results, with the only exception of S. mesophoticas algal symbionts being also significantly different from R. fulvum, S. leptoclados, and Ovabunda sp. (Supplementary Table S2).

SIMP analysis comparing among the host species harboring members of Cladocopium revealed that several ITS2 types were responsible for most of the dissimilarity among host species. For example, C3cq and C3it accounted for 17–22% and 7–10% of the dissimilarity between Ovabunda sp. and the other host species, respectively. Similarly, C65 and C65a, were found in high abundance in S. vijmoethi and S. leptoclados and accounted for 5–9% and 11–15% of the dissimilarity between these and the other species, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). SIMPER analysis between the two Litophyton species revealed that A10, which was the most abundant algal sequence in the shallow L. arboreum, accounted for 16% of the dissimilarity between both species’ ITS2 sequences. Conversely, A1, A1q, and A9, which were found in L. savignyi, accounted for 5–8% and 11–15% of the dissimilarity in the Symbiodiniaceae communities between both species’ ITS2 types, respectively (Supplementary Table S2). SIMPER analysis between ITS2 types in shallow and MCE S. eilatensis colonies indicated that C1, which was found only in the MCE colonies, accounted for almost 8% of the total difference; while both C65 and C65a, which were only found in the shallow colonies, accounted for 8 and 4% of the dissimilarity, respectively (Supplementary Table S3).

**Discussion**

The current study has revealed that Cladocopium (previously known as clade C) is the most abundant Symbiodiniaceae in the MCE octocorals in the Gulf of Aqaba/Eilat (Barneah et al., 2004; Goulet et al., 2008), which is in agreement with previous studies on the algal symbionts of shallow octocorals there. Examination of these symbionts in
marine organisms across the Red Sea has highlighted the distinctive diversity found in the Gulf of Aqaba/Eilat and demonstrated that members of Cladocopium are the dominant algal symbionts there. This is in contrast to the central and southern Red Sea, where members of the genus Symbiodinium are the most common algal symbionts (Sawall et al., 2014, Terraneo et al., 2019; zoanthid: Reimer et al., 2017; giant clam: Rossbach et al., 2021). The dominance of Cladocopium over Symbiodinium in the Gulf of Aqaba/Eilat has been suggested to be driven by the prevailing cooler water temperature and high salinity there, which differ from those in the other parts of the Red Sea (Sawall et al., 2014).

Three main factors have been suggested to affect the Symbiodiniaceae-type present in a coral holobiont: the cnidarian host species, light intensity (i.e., water depth) and seawater temperature (Cooper et al., 2011; Tonk et al., 2013; Reimer et al., 2017). Our results indicate that the host's taxon plays a significant role in determining the octocoral-associated Symbiodiniaceae, while depth was found to significantly affect only the depth-generalist S. elatensis. The degree of algal-specificity among cnidarian holobionts has also been suggested to be associated with the mode of symbiont acquisition, with strong specificity associated with vertical rather than horizontal transmission (Swain et al., 2018). Among octocorals, the relationships between algal-specificity and
the mode of symbiont transmission is poorly understood, since to date it has only been recorded at the symbiont genus level (Barneah et al., 2004; Van Oppen et al., 2005; Goulet et al., 2019). In this work, we mainly investigated horizontal transmitters. The only vertical transmitter representatives are the members of the genus Litophyton (Benayahu et al., 1992; Goulet et al., 2008). Our results have revealed that distinct major Symbiodinium types are exclusively associated with each of the two Litophyton congeners (Figures 1, 2, 6; Supplementary Table S2). Out of the 37 Symbiodinium types identified in the two Litophyton congeners, only two minor ones were shared by both (i.e., A1iv and A1W). In contrast, in the horizontal transmitters several Cladocopium types present in one host species are often found also in another species. As a case in point the dominant type C1 is not only present in congeners (e.g., S. leptoclados S. vrijmoethi, S. eilatensis MCE, and S. mesophotica) but also in species from different genera (i.e., Ovabunda, Sinularia, and Rhytisma). The same is true for C3, which is also present in high frequency in the members of both Sinularia and Rhytisma (Figure 5; Supplementary Table S1). Our results, obtained using high-throughput sequencing of the ITS2, thus provide for the first time evidence of a strong algal specificity in octocoral vertical transmitters, not only at the algal genus level but also at the ITS2 type profile resolution. C1 and C3 are ancestral sequence variants for major Cladocopium radiations which likely diversified more rapidly than the rate at which ITS2 accumulates mutations in this genus (Thornhill et al., 2014). Thus, even though the ITS2 sequence is shared across a variety of host species, a higher resolution marker such as psbA might indicate that in fact, different C1 lineages associate with different octocoral hosts (LaJeunesse and Thornhill, 2011). Furthermore, future research should attempt to understand the influence of members of the genus Symbiodinium on the dispersal, distribution, and photo-physiology of both Litophyton host species across a broad depth gradient.

Despite being dominant on many Red Sea and Indo-Pacific reefs, octocoral-symbiont associations have been poorly studied. Nonetheless, several comparisons between the current study and previous ones can be made. First, as suggested by Goulet et al. (2008), the current ITS2-type profile analysis indicated that octocorals mostly host a single population of symbionts. However, some exceptions were found, such as in most of the Ovabunda sp. colonies and in one colony of the MCE S. vrijmoethi, both of which were found to host an additional background population (Figure 5). Second, Osman et al. (2020) showed that ITS2 C65 variants associate with Sarcoptophyton trochoeliophorum in the Gulf of Aqaba/Eilat as well as in other reefs of the northern Red Sea. This corresponds to our finding that eight species, including two Sarcoptophyton ones, associate with ITS2 type C65 or variants that are closely related, such as ITS2 C65 types present in one colony of the MCE, and in one colony of the MCE S. vrijmoethi, both of which were found to host an additional background population (Figure 5).
et al., 2022), a thick coenenchyma that limits gas and nutrient exchanges through the epidermal tissue, and the ability of octocorals to contract their tissue, thus preventing the symbionts from obtaining optimal exposure to light and nutrients (Fabricius and Klumpp, 1995; Ferrier-Pagès et al., 2021).

The depth-related zonation patterns of Symbiodiniaceae on coral reefs have been discussed in several studies examining stony-coral symbiont associations, highlighting certain aspects of the holobiont ecology and its response to changing environmental conditions (Frade et al., 2008a; Bongaerts et al., 2011, 2015; Cooper et al., 2011; Byler et al., 2013; Ziegler et al., 2015; Eckert et al., 2020). Our study is the first to examine the host-symbiont associations in ‘depth-generalist’ octocorals across their entire depth of occurrence. Specifically, our findings indicate that the shift in symbiont community composition across depth is species related and cannot be considered as a general phenomenon. The ‘depth-generalist’ S. eilatensis was found to harbor different ITS2-types at shallower depths compared to in the MCEs, whereas S. vrijmoethei displayed similar ITS2 sequences across depth (S. eilatensis: 12–17 m: C65, C65a, C107, and C3hy; 60–67 m: C1, C1b, C39, and C41; S. vrijmoethei: C65, C65a, C107, and C3hy, Figure 5). Previous studies have suggested that while a shift in the symbiont community across depth may functionally benefit certain coral holobionts, this may incur metabolic costs (Frade et al., 2008a,b; Cooper et al., 2011). However, a recent study examining the physiological properties of three depth-generalist octocorals on Eilat’s reefs (i.e., S. eilatensis, S. vrijmoethei, and S. leptoclados), showed that all three exhibit a similar trend of lower photo-physiological capabilities and nitrogen assimilation with increased depth (Ferrier-Pagès et al., 2021). Therefore, it seems that, as in the case of S. eilatensis, the shift in symbiont community across depth might not impact the functional physiology of the colonies with increased depth, relative to the congener S. vrijmoethei. It is possible, therefore, that the change in symbiont community structure of S. eilatensis has little or no functional significance and is,

### Table 2

Results of permutational multivariate analysis of variance of octocoral species harboring *Cladocopium* ITS2 types in both shallow and MCE environments.

| Statistical test         | Compared taxa     | Compared variable | n  | Sum of squares | F model | R2  | df | p value |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----|----------------|---------|-----|----|---------|
| Nested-PERMANOVA         | All species       | Species           | 40 | 7.863          | 11.502  | 0.568| 4  | 0.001   |
| Nested-PERMANOVA         | All species       | Depth             | 40 | 0.051          | 1.466   | 0.030| 1  | 0.175   |
| PERMANOVA                | *Ovabunda* sp.    | Depth             | 8  | 0.041          | 2.491   | 0.293| 1  | 0.102   |
| PERMANOVA                | *R. fulvum*       | Depth             | 12 | 0.129          | 0.743   | 0.069| 1  | 0.543   |
| PERMANOVA                | *S. leptoclados*  | Depth             | 4  | 0.973          | 185.646 | 0.989| 1  | 0.333   |
| PERMANOVA                | *S. vrijmoethei*  | Depth             | 8  | 0.048          | 0.287   | 0.046| 1  | 0.768   |
| PERMANOVA                | *S. eilatensis*   | Depth             | 8  | 1.890          | 175.702 | 0.967| 1  | 0.024   |

Tests were based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity distances and 999 permutations. All species were tested using a nested approach using depth or species taxa as a blocking factor. R., *Rhytisma*; S., *Sinularia*.

### Table 3

Results of pairwise permutational multivariate analysis of variance of octocoral species harboring either *Cladocopium* or *Symbiodinium* Symbiodiniaceae ITS2 types.

| Compared taxa                  | Sum of squares | F model | R2  | df | p value |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------|-----|----|---------|
| *S. meophotes* vs. *S. vrijmoethei* | 1.741          | 14.428  | 0.6158468 | 1  | 0.010   |
| *S. meophotes* vs. *S. leptoclados*      | 0.544          | 2.642   | 0.3457541 | 1  | 0.191   |
| *S. meophotes* vs. *R. fulvum*            | 0.329          | 2.243   | 0.1471529 | 1  | 0.041   |
| *S. meophotes* vs. *S. eilatensis*        | 0.768          | 3.458   | 0.2775578 | 1  | 0.024   |
| *S. meophotes* vs. *Ovabunda* sp.        | 1.740          | 85.070  | 0.9043263 | 1  | 0.004   |
| *S. vrijmoethei* vs. *S. leptoclados*      | 0.819          | 4.043   | 0.2878875 | 1  | 0.043   |
| *S. vrijmoethei* vs. *R. fulvum*            | 3.182          | 19.720  | 0.5228012 | 1  | 0.001   |
| *S. vrijmoethei* vs. *S. eilatensis*        | 0.703          | 3.286   | 0.1900730 | 1  | 0.058   |
| *S. vrijmoethei* vs. *Ovabunda* sp.        | 3.351          | 39.735  | 0.7394638 | 1  | 0.001   |
| *S. leptoclados* vs. *R. fulvum*            | 0.826          | 4.063   | 0.2249273 | 1  | 0.025   |
| *S. leptoclados* vs. *S. eilatensis*        | 0.473          | 1.609   | 0.1385694 | 1  | 0.142   |
| *S. leptoclados* vs. *Ovabunda* sp.        | 1.765          | 15.711  | 0.6110578 | 1  | 0.003   |
| *R. fulvum* vs. *S. eilatensis*            | 1.225          | 5.777   | 0.2429600 | 1  | 0.002   |
| *R. fulvum* vs. *Ovabunda* sp.             | 3.433          | 30.863  | 0.6316250 | 1  | 0.001   |
| *S. eilatensis* vs. *Ovabunda* sp.         | 2.686          | 17.955  | 0.5618906 | 1  | 0.001   |
| *L. arboreum* vs. *L. savignyi*             | 1.89           | 87.46   | 0.93    | 1  | 0.0275  |

Tests were based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity distances and 999 permutations. R., *Rhytisma*; S., *Sinularia*. 

Referenced studies include:

- Fabricius and Klumpp, 1995
- Ferrier-Pagès et al., 2021
- Frade et al., 2008a
- Bongaerts et al., 2011
- Cooper et al., 2011
- Byler et al., 2013
- Ziegler et al., 2015
- Eckert et al., 2020
Alternatively, driven by the host’s distribution and factors such as species lineage and reproduction (Frade et al., 2008a). Nonetheless, in light of the small sample size, caution must be applied, as the findings might not relate evenly to all the studied species. For example, although S. leptoclados colonies were found to host different ITS2-profile across depth (Figure 5), due to the small sample size \( (n = 2 \text{ for each depth habitat}) \) this result was not found to be significant and awaits confirmation in future studies. In addition, three of the host species reported here were only found once during our collection surveys (Table 1), and therefore, although the information on their algal symbionts adds to the total diversity, further confirmation regarding their endosymbionts requires a larger sample size.

The current finding of Durusdinium type D5 in K. utinomii from both shallow and mesophotic colonies (Figure 4) is noteworthy. Symbionts of the genus Durusdinium (previously known as clade D) have been recorded in the warmer waters of the central and southern Red Sea (Sawall et al., 2014; Terrano et al., 2019). It is commonly considered as ‘thermally tolerant’ (e.g., Durusdinium trenchii; Kennedy et al., 2015; Silverstein et al., 2017; Wham et al., 2017; but see Smith et al., 2017). However, several other Durusdinium types were found in scleractinian coral species from marginal habitats over a large latitudinal gradient while demonstrating high algal-specificity. The presence of Durusdinium in these species was suggested to be related to the vertical transmission of photosymbionts in these host corals (Lien et al., 2013; Lajeunesse et al., 2014). Future studies should examine the unique association between the Klyxum host and its Durusdinium symbionts, along with its possible selective advantages for the holobiont association complex.

In summary, despite being abundant in many reef ecosystems, Symbiodiniaceae associations with octocorals are still poorly understood, especially in regard to their diversity at the type-level in MCE habitats. The current study has revealed novel ITS2-types and profiles, previously not recorded in any other cnidarian-hosts. Additionally, we demonstrate that the diversity of these associations across depth, at the symbiont-lineage level, is likely to be driven by a strong algal-specificity. Such distinctive associations probably represent a co-diversification between the octocoral hosts and their micro-algae and, therefore, a thorough examination of these associations, using an NGS approach, may well yield further insights into the evolution and ecology of octocoral symbiosis with their photosymbionts.
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