Transcriptome Profiling of *Chironomus kiinensis* under Phenol Stress Using Solexa Sequencing Technology
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Abstract

Phenol is a major pollutant in aquatic ecosystems due to its chemical stability, water solubility and environmental mobility. To date, little is known about the molecular modifications of invertebrates under phenol stress. In the present study, we used Solexa sequencing technology to investigate the transcriptome and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of midges (*Chironomus kiinensis*) in response to phenol stress. A total of 51,518,972 and 51,150,832 clean reads in the phenol-treated and control libraries, respectively, were obtained and assembled into 51,014 non-redundant (Nr) consensus sequences. A total of 6,032 unigenes were classified by Gene Ontology (GO), and 18,366 unigenes were categorized into 238 Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) categories. These genes included representatives from almost all functional categories. A total of 10,724 differentially expressed genes (P value <0.05) were detected in a comparative analysis of the expression profiles between phenol-treated and control *C. kiinensis* including 8,390 upregulated and 2,334 downregulated genes. The expression levels of 20 differentially expressed genes were confirmed by real-time RT-PCR, and the trends in gene expression were observed that the Solexa expression profiles, although the magnitude of the variations was different. Through pathway enrichment analysis, significantly enriched pathways were identified for the DEGs, including metabolic pathways, aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR), pancreatic secretion and neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathways, which may be associated with the phenol responses of *C. kiinensis*. Using Solexa sequencing technology, we identified several groups of key candidate genes as well as important biological pathways involved in the molecular modifications of chironomids under phenol stress.

Introduction

Phenol, which comprises a benzene ring connected to a hydroxyl group, is commonly used in the production of dyes, polymers, drugs and other organic substances, such as pesticides, plastics and explosives [1,2]. Phenol and its derivatives are widespread in aquatic ecosystems due to the runoff of water (containing pesticides and/or fertilizer residues) from agricultural fields into streams, as well as runoff from industrial and city waste sewage [3,4]. As phenol and its derivatives are stable over the long term and are usually environmentally mobile, these compounds are considered to be major pollutants and acute and chronic toxicants. For example, phenol and its derivatives produce neurotoxic effects and cause liver and kidney damage and respiratory disorders [5–7]. The critical toxic concentration of phenol established by regulatory agencies varies widely worldwide, e.g., 105.24 μM in Malaysia [8], 10.63 μM in the United States [9] and 1.06 μM in Australia [10].

Phenol contamination of water ecosystems has serious environmental consequences due to the damaging effects of this compound on aquatic organisms [11,12] such as algae and aquatic animals [13]. The importance of detecting the presence of phenol derivatives and assessing their impact on biota is acknowledged worldwide. As a result, a range of methodologies has been adopted. Direct chemical analysis is important due to the accuracy of this method, but direct chemical analysis has drawbacks, e.g., the requirement for complex sample pretreatment, expensive chemicals and expensive equipment [14]. In addition, this approach does not reveal temporal changes in exposure and/or the possible interactive effects of pollutants, nor does it provide ecologically relevant information [15]. To compensate for these limitations, various biological assays have been developed, including assays using aquatic animals, to provide information about pollutant-induced toxic effects and thereby assess environmental risks [16].

Midges, which belong to the chironomidae family, are among the most abundantly distributed groups of insects that can be used as bioindicators in freshwater ecosystems. Midges have a relatively short life cycle, which is mainly spent at the aquatic larval stage. In addition, midges are relatively sensitive to aquatic contaminants. Therefore, midges have been used extensively for acute, chronic and life-cycle bioassays in freshwater systems. Previous studies have demonstrated the effects of heavy metals [17] and pesticides [18–21] on the physiological, biochemical and molecular status of...
midges. Recently, we studied the impact of some substituted benzenes on chironomid populations at the ecological and biochemical levels [22,23]. However, the cellular and molecular responses of chironomids to organic pollutants, especially for substituted benzenes, have rarely been studied.

Recently enhanced DNA sequencing platforms, such as the high-throughput Solexa/Illumina Genome Analyzer, provide a powerful method for assessing the relative importance of gene products in a given cell, tissue or organism [24,25]. Cellular identity and function are determined by analyzing the transcriptome, i.e., the complete repertoire of RNA transcripts. The Solexa technique for transcriptome analysis can provide new information about whole-genome-wide transcript expression without prior sequence knowledge. This technique has been used to investigate human and mammalian diseases and functional genomics in plants and insects [26,27]. Solexa transcriptome analysis of insects is a reliable and precise way to study genomic characteristics during development [28–31].

This is the first study in which transcriptome profiling analysis of Chironomus kiinensis was performed using RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq), and the identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) was used to gain a deeper understanding of the molecular toxicity of phenol. We used transcriptional profiling to identify key groups of genes and pathways that are differentially regulated in C. kiinensis under phenol stress. This study was performed to determine the molecular modifications of insects in response to phenol contamination in aquatic ecosystems.

Materials and Methods

Experimental midge rearing and sample preparation

The aquatic midge Chironomus kiinensis was obtained from Shenzhen Municipal Water Affairs Bureau and cultured using standard protocols with slight modifications [32]. Briefly, instead of collecting and separating egg masses, the midges were reared in mixed-age cultures in a glass chamber containing dechlorinated tap water and acid-washed sand with aeration (20±2°C and L16:D8) and fed goldfish granule food (Beijing SanYou Beautification Free TECH. CO., LTD, China). Healthy fourth-instar larvae of a similar size and color were used for the phenol stress tests. To obtain chironomid gene expression profiles, phenol stress and control cDNA samples were prepared from fourth larval C. kiinensis and sequenced using the Solexa platform. The larvae were collected with a pipette (visualized under a microscope) and transferred to a 1 L beaker containing 500 mL 10 µmol/L of phenol solution (or 500 mL dechlorinated tap water for the control). One hundred larvae were employed in each of three phenol treatment replicates and in the control over a period of 24 h. Fifteen surviving midges were randomly selected from each replicate for RNA preparation.

RNA isolation and Solexa sequencing

Total RNA was isolated using an RNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s guidelines and treated with RNase free DNase I (Qiagen). RNA concentrations were measured using a spectrophotometer, and the RNA integrity was checked by analysis on a 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel. In brief, mRNA was purified from total RNA (a mixture of RNA from three replicates at equal ratios) using poly-T oligo magnetic beads and broken into small pieces using divalent cations at 94°C for 5 min. The first strand cDNA was synthesized using random primers, with cleaved mRNA fragments serving as templates. This process was followed by second-strand cDNA synthesis using DNA polymerase I and RNaseH. Illumina Solexa sequencing using the GAII platform was performed at the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI; Shenzhen, China).

Sequence assembly

Reads were assembled using Trinity [33]. The longest assembled sequences were referred to as contigs. The reads were then mapped back to contigs with paired-end reads to detect contigs from the same transcript and the distances between these contigs. Finally, sequences were obtained that lacked Ns and could not be extended on either end. Such sequences were defined as unigenes. The predicted amino acid sequences encoded by unigenes were aligned with sequences in protein databases such as NCBI Nr database, the Swiss-Prot Protein database, the KEGG pathway database and the Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG) database using BLASTx (E-value<0.00001). Sequence orientations were determined according to the best match in the database. If the results from different databases conflicted with each other, a priority order of Nr, Swiss-Prot, KEGG and COG was followed when deciding the sequence direction of the unigenes. Orientation and protein coding region prediction (CDS) of sequences having no hits in BLAST were predicted using ESTScan [34]. Original transcript sequences (5'->5') were provided if their orientations could be determined. Other sequences were provided by the assembler outputs.

Sequence annotation

Unigene annotations provide functional annotations for all unigenes, along with their expression levels. Functional annotations of unigenes were analyzed using protein sequence similarity, KEGG Pathway, COG and GO analysis. All unigene sequences were against the protein databases (Nr, SwissProt, KEGG, COG) using BLASTx (E-value<0.0001). Protein function information could be predicted from annotations of the most similar proteins in the databases. The KEGG pathway database records networks of molecular interactions in the cells, and variants of these pathways are specific to particular organisms. COG is a database where orthologous gene products are classified. The assumption is that every protein has evolved from an ancestor protein, and the entire database is built on coding proteins with complete genomes as well as system evolutionary relationships between bacteria, algae and eukaryotes. All unigenes were aligned to the COG database to predict and classify their possible functions. GO functional annotation was obtained from Nr annotation. GO annotation comprises three ontologies, i.e., a molecular function, a cellular component and a biological process. The basic GO unit is a GO-term, and every GO-term belongs to a type of ontology. Using Nr annotation, the Blast2GO program was used to obtain GO annotations of all of the unigenes [35,36]. Blast2GO has been cited more than 150 times in other reports and is a widely recognized GO annotation program. After obtaining GO annotation for every unigene, Web Gene Ontology Annotation Plot (WEGO) software was used to carry out GO functional classification for all unigenes and to characterize the distribution of gene functions in the species gene functions at the macro level [37].

Digital gene expression library preparation and analysis

Gene expression levels were calculated using the Reads Per kb per Million reads (RPKM) method [38]. Unigene expression levels were calculated according to the formula RPKM = (1,000,000*N*E) / (N*L*1,000), where RPKM(A) represents the expression of gene A, C is the number of reads that uniquely aligned to gene A, N is the total number of reads that uniquely aligned to all genes and L is the number of bases in gene A. The RPKM method succeeded
in eliminating the influences of different gene lengths and sequencing discrepancy on the calculation of gene expression level. Therefore, the calculated gene expression level could be used to directly compare the level of gene expression among samples. If there was more than one transcript for a given gene, the longest transcript was used to calculate its expression level and coverage. To identify DEGs between phenol-treated and control samples, the false discovery rate (FDR) method was used to determine the threshold of P-value in multiple tests [39]. The significance of difference in gene expression was judged using a threshold FDR \( \leq 0.001 \) and an absolute value of log 2Ratio \( \geq 1 \). Then, the genes expressed at different levels across samples were further annotated by GO enrichment analysis and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis.

### Table 1. Primers used in Real-time RT-PCR.

| Gene number | Forward primer (5'-3') | Reverse primer (5'-3') |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| U18403      | TTTGTAAGCAAGGAGAAGAAGT | GCCTATCTCATGCGATGTG    |
| U9085       | AACCATGTTCTCAACATGAGGC | GCATCATCTCATGCAACAGCC  |
| U19940      | CAATTTATCAAGCAGGTCGCA  | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U20077      | AGACACAACCTACAGCAGCTT  | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U17324      | ATCGTGTGTCACCTGCAATCTG | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U18859      | TAGTTGCTGGTCTCACTCCGTC | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U10424      | AGCCATGTCGAAATGAGAAGA | ACTGTGGTAAAGACCTGAAACC |
| U13743      | TGCGCAGTTACAAATCCTCC  | CAACATGCTCTGACGAGAGAC |
| U6658       | TGTCTGCTTCTGCTGACTGT  | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U449        | GCACAGATGATCTGAAGAACC | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U3979       | TTACACACCAAGAAGGTTG   | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U6040       | ATGGGACATTGGAGATGTG    | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U3434       | TGCCCAATGTCCTTGGACAT  | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U1928       | TTCATCTTTGCTCTCCATGCT | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U7314       | CGCTGCTGATTCATGGAGAAGA| TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U7204       | ATGGCTGTGCTGCTGACGACT | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U6065       | ATGCTGTGCTGCTGCTGCAATG| TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| U1488       | AGGGTHGCTGTCGCTTCACT  | TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| Actin       | AATGGGATCGCTTGGTGATGCTT| TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |
| TAU         | TGGGTGCGAAGCAGGCTAATTT| TCATCAAGATCTGATGTCAGT  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058914.t001

### Table 2. Summary for the *Chironomus kiiensis* transcriptome in phenol treated (PT) and control (CK) libraries.

|                  | PT                        | CK                        |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Total number of reads | 54,894,824                | 54,038,722                 |
| Total base pairs (bp) | 4,636,707,480             | 4,603,574,880              |
| Average read length(bp) | 84                       | 85                         |
| Total number of contigs | 98,194                    | 79,786                     |
| Mean length of contigs(bp) | 338                      | 360                       |
| Distinct clusters | 9,777                     | 7,623                      |
| Distinct singletons | 45,561                    | 42,181                     |
| Total distinct sequences | 55,338                    | 49,804                     |
| Mean length of unigene (bp) | 589                      | 568                       |
| Sequences with E-value<10^-5 | 25,239                    |                            |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058914.t002

### Table 3. Length distribution of non-redundant consensus sequences.

| Length of non-redundant unigenes | Total Number | Percentage |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| 100–500                          | 30,336       | 59.47%     |
| 500–1000                         | 10,714       | 21.00%     |
| 1000–1500                        | 4,350        | 8.53%      |
| 1500–2000                        | 2,294        | 4.50%      |
| \(\geq 2000\)                    | 3,320        | 6.50%      |
| All unigenes                     | 51,014       |            |
| Length of all unigenes (nt)      | 37044122     |            |
| N50 (bp) *                       | 1137         |            |
| Mean Size (bp)**                 | 726          |            |

*\(N50 = \) median length of all unigenes; **Mean size = average length of all unigenesGO and COG classification

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058914.t003
Real-time RT-PCR analysis

Approximately 1 µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using 1 mM of oligodeoxythymidine primer. The synthesized cDNAs were diluted to 100 µL with sterile water and used as the template for real-time PCR. Twenty genes that showed expression differences (ten upregulated genes and ten downregulated genes) between the two libraries were randomly selected for validation. The primer sequences are listed in Table 1. Real-time RT-PCR was performed in an MJ Opticon™machine (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The actin and TAU genes were chosen as internal controls to normalize the amount of total RNA present in each reaction. The reaction mixture (20 µL) contained 10 µL of SYBR Green RealTime PCR Master Mix (Toyobo), 0.5 mM each of forward and reverse primers and 2 µL of cDNA template (equivalent to 100 ng of total RNA). The amplifications were performed with the following parameters: 94°C for 30 s followed by 45 cycles at 94°C for 12 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 40 s and 82°C for 1 s for plate reading. A melting curve was generated for each sample at the end of each run to assess the purity of the amplified products. Real-time PCR was carried out in triplicate (technical repeats) to ensure the reproducibility of the results. The expression levels of the clones were calculated from the threshold cycle according to the delta-delta CT method [40]. The relative expression level was calculated by dividing the transcription level under phenol stress conditions by the transcription level under control conditions. All of the relative expression levels were log2 transformed.

Results

Tag identification and quantification

After cleaning and quality checks, we obtained 51,518,972 reads with a mean length of 84 bp for the phenol treatment library (PT) and 51,150,832 million reads with a mean length of 85 bp for the control library (CK). These raw reads were further assembled into contigs using Trinity software, resulting in 98,194 contigs with a mean length of 338 bp and 79,786 contigs with a mean length of 360 bp in the PT and CK libraries, respectively (Table 2). The size distribution of these contigs is shown in Fig. S1. In this study, we obtained 55,338 unigenes with a mean length of 589 bp for the PT library and 49,804 unigenes with a mean length of 568 bp for the CK library.

Annotation of predicted proteins

For annotation, distinct gene sequences were first searched using BLASTx against the non-redundant (Nr) NCBI nucleotide database, with a cut-off E-value of 10^-5. Using this approach, 25,239 genes (87.39% of all distinct sequences) returned an above cut-off BLAST result (Table S1). Table 3 indicates that the proportion of shorter assembled sequences with matches in the Nr database was greater than that in the other databases. A total of
59.47% of the matches were observed for sequences ranging from 100 to 500 bp, whereas the match efficiency decreased to 21.00% for those ranging from 500 to 1,000 bp and 6.51% for sequences longer than 2,000 bp (Table 3).

GO assignments were used to classify the functions of the predicted *C. kiinensis* genes. Based on sequence homology, 6,032 sequences were categorized into 51 functional groups (Fig. 1). To further evaluate the completeness of the transcriptome library and the effectiveness of the annotation process, we searched the annotated sequences for the genes involved in COG classifications. In total, 9,758 sequences had COG classifications (Fig. 2). Among the 25 COG categories, the cluster for “General function prediction” represented the largest group (17.82%), followed by “Transcription” (7.99%) and “Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis” (7.49%; Fig. 2). These functional annotations provide valuable information that helps elucidate the biological processes, functions and pathways employed by *C. kiinensis* in response to phenol stress.

Comparison of DEG level between the two libraries

Differences in the tag frequencies appearing in the PT and CK libraries were used to estimate the gene expression levels in response to phenol stress. The transcripts detected with at least two-fold differences (FDR<0.001 AND \(|\log_{2} \text{Ratio}| \geq 1\) in the two libraries are shown in Fig. 3. The red dots (8,390) and green dots (2,334) represent transcripts with higher or lower abundance (more than two-fold), respectively, in the CK library. The blue dots represent transcripts that differed less than two-fold between the two libraries, which were arbitrarily designated as “no difference in expression”. The DEGs with five-fold or greater differences in accumulation are shown in Fig. 4. A total of 3,121 genes exhibited increased expression of at least five-fold in the PT library, while 1,139 genes exhibited at least a five-fold decrease in expression in the PT library compared with the CK library. The expression levels of 60.28% of the unique tags were within a five-fold difference in range between the PT and CK libraries. DEGs with differences greater than 20-fold are showed in Table S2. Finally, 176 upregulated and 294 downregulated genes in the PT library exhibited a 20-fold difference in expression compared with those in the CK library.

Real-time RT-PCR analysis

To validate the Solexa expression profiles, 20 genes were randomly selected for transcript levels analysis. Among these, ten genes (U18403, U9085, U19940, U20077, U19007, U14484, U17324, U18859, U10424 and U13743) were upregulated, and ten genes (U6658, U449, U3979, U6040, U3434, U1928, U7314, U7204, U6065 and U1488) were downregulated (Table 4). Actin and TAU, reported to be stably expressed in insects, were chosen as reference genes for data normalization. The trend of RT-PCR based expression profiles among these selected genes was similar to those detected by the Solexa-sequencing based method. However,
the scale of the differences in expression between genes in the PT and CK libraries detected by real-time PCR was generally smaller than that detected by the Solexa sequencing-based method (Table 4).

Pathways enrichment analysis of DEGs

To identify the biological pathways in *C. kiiniensis*, we mapped the 8,146 annotated sequences to the reference canonical pathways in KEGG. In total, we assigned 18,366 sequences to 238 KEGG pathways. Significantly enriched metabolic pathways and signal transduction pathways were identified. A total of 238 pathways were affected by 5,091 upregulated DEGs and 3,055 downregulated DEGs (Table S3). Pathways with Q value <0.05 were significantly enriched. DEG enrichment analysis showed that the first three pathways involving upregulated genes (in response to phenol stress) were metabolic pathway (336), regulation of actin cytoskeleton (106) and local adhesion (91). By contrast, the first three pathways involving in downregulated genes were metabolic pathways (283), pancreatic secretion (122) and protein digestion and absorption (101; Table S3). These annotations provide a valuable resource for investigating specific processes, functions and pathways for further research of chironomids under phenol stress.

AhR-mediated defense genes associated with phenol stress

The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) is a soluble, ligand-dependent transcription factor that belongs to the basic helix-loop-helix-PAS family of regulatory proteins. AhR regulates the
expression of defense genes in invertebrates in response to organic pollutants. However, in invertebrates, proteins encoded by genes with functions similar to that of AhR, including spineless (Ss) and single-minded (Sim), can heterodimerize with the aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator (ARNT) ortholog tango (Tgo) to regulate the expression of downstream genes. Interestingly, DEG analysis revealed a battery of AhR genes that showed different levels of induction or inhibition under phenol stress. AhR homolog spineless (Ss) and ARNT ortholog tango (Tgo) in PT library showed 1.85- and 2.53-fold increased expression in the PT library vs. the CK library, respectively. Moreover, three AhR orthologs, spineless (Ss), single-minded (Sim), and ARNT ortholog tango (Tgo) preferentially binds to XRE–AhR, and Sim/Tgo binds to CME. To date, six downstream genes were significantly induced or inhibited in the PT library compared with the CK library. Some AhR-mediated downstream genes were significantly induced or inhibited in the PT library. For example, 28 P450 genes were downregulated in the PT library (ranging from 4-fold to 5,000-fold downregulation), while sixteen of these genes were upregulated in the PT library (ranging from 12-fold to 1229-fold upregulation). Twenty-two genes encoding heat shock proteins (HSPs) showed a four-fold difference in expression in the PT library vs. the CK library, including 8 downregulated HSPs genes and 14 up-regulated HSPs genes. Among 26 genes encoding UDP glucuronosyltransferase, six were downregulated in the PT library (ranging from 4.9 to 1,000-fold), while 20 genes were upregulated (ranging from 3.5 to 239.9-fold; Table 5).

**Discussion**

Phenol, also known as carbolic acid or phenic acid, is a strong neurotoxin. Exposure to phenol can cause instant death, as it shuts down the neural transmission systems [14]. Phenol can induce harmful effects on the central nervous system and heart, causing dysrhythmia, seizures and ultimately, a coma [41]. Moreover, exposure to phenol through skin contact or by inhalation and is toxic, as phenol is corrosive to the eyes, skin and respiratory tract, and exposure can lead to lung edema [42]. In this study, we identified multiple DEGs and signal pathways involved in the responses to short-term exposure to phenol in an aquatic arthropod model, *C. kiniensis*.

**AhR pathway associated with phenol stress**

AhR is a member of the family of basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors [43]. The physiological ligands of AhR are unknown, but this transcription factor can bind to several exogenous ligands such as plant flavonoids and synthetic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. AhR is a cytosolic transcription factor that is normally inactive (i.e., bound to several molecular chaperones). When the ligand is bound to chemicals such as 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), the chaperones dissociate, and AhR translocates into the nucleus and dimerizes with ARNT. This leads to changes in gene transcription. Both mammalian and arthropod proteins belong to the bHLH-PAS class of proteins, which have a bHLH DNA binding domain, a Per-ARNT-Sim (PAS) protein-protein interaction and ligand-binding domains [44–46]. In the developmental pathway of insects, the AhR orthologs, spineless (Ss) and single-minded (Sim), heterodimerize with the ARNT ortholog tango (Tgo) in the cytosol without binding to a ligand. These heterodimers translocate to the nucleus where Ss/Tgo preferentially binds to XRE–AhR, and Sim/Tgo binds to the central midline element (CME). To date, six downstream genes regulated by AhR have been found in vertebrates, including genes encoding CYP1A1, CYP1A2, NAD(P)/H: quinone oxidoreductase, aldehyde dehydrogenase 3, UDP glucuronosyltransferase and glutathione transferase [47–50]. In this study, we identified a set of genes in *C. kiniensis*, i.e., genes encoding AhR orthologs (Ss and Sim) and ARNT ortholog (Tgo), that are similar to mammalian...
Table 5. AhR-mediated defense genes associated with phenol stress.

| Gene ID          | Gene name                                           | Fold (PT/CK) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| AhR              | cl682.contig1 ahr homolog spineless [Drosophila melanogaster] | 1.851        |
| cl682.contig1     | tango [Drosophila melanogaster]                    | 2.533        |
| cl682.contig1     | cytochrome P450 6Bk11 [Tribolium castaneum]        | 0.000205     |
| Unigene7608       | cyc p313a1 [Drosophila melanogaster]               | 0.000450     |
| Unigene4583       | cyc p450-like protein [Leishmania braziliensis MHOM/BR/75/M2904] | 0.000148     |
| Unigene4751       | cyc p450-28A1 [Drosophila mettleri]                | 0.00156      |
| Unigene2257       | predicted: cyc p450 2B19-like [Xenopus (Silurana) tropicalis] | 0.00157      |
| Unigene4608       | cyc p450 reductase C [Trypanosoma cruzi]           | 0.00190      |
| Unigene2199       | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.00318      |
| Unigene4541       | cyc p450 93A3 [Culex quinquefasciatus]             | 0.011        |
| CL2881.contig1    | cyc p450 [Culex piensis pallenis]                  | 0.015        |
| CL3766.contig1    | cyc p450 4d8 [Culex quinquefasciatus]              | 0.066        |
| Unigene1681       | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.073        |
| Unigene4988       | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.098        |
| Unigene1854       | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.108        |
| CL8733.contig1    | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.109        |
| CL14278.contig1   | corpora allata cyc p450 [Diploptera punctata]      | 0.114        |
| Unigene8409       | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.126        |
| Unigene4683       | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.129        |
| CL12023.contig1   | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.135        |
| CL16912.contig1   | cyc p450 4d8 [Culex quinquefasciatus]              | 0.140        |
| CL1838.contig1    | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.166        |
| Unigene16823      | cyc p450 CYPMD4v2 [Musca domestica]                | 0.171        |
| Unigene2056       | cyc p450 6d3 [Culex quinquefasciatus]              | 0.171        |
| CL15542.contig1   | cyc p450 [Culex piensis pallenis]                  | 0.173        |
| Unigene13399      | predicted: cyc p450 307a1 [Apis mellifera]         | 0.176        |
| CL2051.contig1    | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.194        |
| Unigene682        | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 0.229        |
| CL5447.contig1    | cyc p450 6B3 [Acromyrmex echinatior]               | 0.248        |
| Unigene19789      | cyc p450 26B1 [Culex quinquefasciatus]             | 11.654       |
| Unigene19547      | cyc p450 CYP6Z2 [Anopheles gambiae]                | 12.335       |
| Unigene13715      | cyc p450 6a22 [Culex quinquefasciatus]             | 22.615       |
| Unigene17385      | cyc p450 [Anopheles gambiae]                       | 24.161       |
| Unigene19777      | cyc p450 CYP6Z2 [Anopheles gambiae]                | 28.790       |
| Unigene11217      | cyc p450 CYP6Z2 [Anopheles gambiae]                | 41.124       |
| CL24894.contig1   | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 51.357       |
| Unigene18455      | cyc p450 [Anopheles minimus]                       | 61.185       |
| CL16599.contig1   | cyc p450 [Leptinotarsa decemlineata]               | 71.546       |
| Unigene6768       | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 170.296      |
| Unigene10912      | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 177.196      |
| Unigene18859      | cyc p450 349A1 [Tribolium castaneum]               | 463.010      |
| Unigene16330      | cyc p450 6Bk11 [Tribolium castaneum]               | 499.105      |
| Unigene12383      | cyc p450 4d8 [Culex quinquefasciatus]              | 825.200      |
| Unigene9491       | cyc p450 [Aedes aegypti]                           | 913.018      |
| Unigene8827       | cyc p450 6a22 [Culex quinquefasciatus]             | 1228.771     |
| Unigene3437       | heat shock protein cognate 1, isoform A [Drosophila melanogaster] | 0.00066      |
| Unigene2244       | Hsp70 protein [Mitsukurina owstoni]                | 0.00088      |
| Unigene2422       | stress protein HSP70-1 [Xiphophorus maculatus]     | 0.00104      |
| Gene ID | Gene name | Fold (PT/CK) |
|---|---|---|
| Unigene5685 | HSP70-like protein [Philodina roseola] | 0.00192 |
| Unigene812 | HSP70 [Bodo saltans] | 0.11422 |
| CL23847.Contig1 | Hsp67Bb [Drosophila yakuba] | 0.15966 |
| Unigene109 | heat shock protein HSP70 [Trypanosoma cruzi] | 0.18696 |
| Unigene7592 | Hsp26 [Drosophila buzzatii] | 0.19571 |
| Unigene10483 | Hsp70-interacting protein [Glossina morsitans morsitans] | 4.112 |
| Unigene15875 | heat shock protein hsp20.1 [Bombyx mori] | 4.113 |
| CL24088.Contig1 | HSP70 [Chironomus tentans] | 4.317 |
| Unigene9955 | PREDICTED: similar to DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily C, member 11 [Tribolium castaneum] | 4.627 |
| CL1076.Contig1 | HSP70 [Chironomus tentans] | 4.707 |
| CL4385.Contig1 | HSP70 [Chironomus tentans] | 5.220 |
| CL18832.Contig1 | 23kDa heat shock protein SchHSP23 [Sarcophaga crassipalpis] | 5.240 |
| CL18990.Contig1 | heat shock protein Hsp20 [Liriomyza huidobrensis] | 5.412 |
| CL20479.Contig1 | DNA-J/hsp40 [Anopheles gambiae str. PEST] | 5.492 |
| Unigene12651 | heat shock protein 27 [Drosophila melanogaster] | 5.610 |
| CL18851.Contig1 | Hsp26 [Drosophila buzzatii] | 6.740 |
| CL22167.Contig1 | Hsp26 [Drosophila buzzatii] | 7.709 |
| CL20629.Contig1 | HSP70 [Chironomus tentans] | 8.411 |
| CL24931.Contig1 | DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily B, member 9 [Schistosoma japonicum] | 14.510 |
| Unigene20227 | glutathione-s-transferase theta, gst [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 0.10797 |
| Unigene5677 | glutathione-s-transferase theta, gst [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 0.12839 |
| CL19658.Contig1 | glutathione transferase, microsomal (AGAP000165-PA) [Anopheles gambiae str. 3.20495 PEST] | 0.17135 |
| Unigene3057 | delta GST [Moyettiola destructor] | 5.14118 |
| CL28765.Contig1 | microsomal glutathione transferase GSTMIC2 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 5.29525 |
| Unigene18888 | glutathione transferase, microsomal (AGAP000165-PA) [Anopheles gambiae str. 3.20495 PEST] | 3.50157 |
| Unigene9090 | RecName: Full = Glutathione S-transferase; AltName: Full = GST class-sigma 3.50157 |
| Unigene871 | UDP-glucosyltransferase [Bombyx mori] | 0.0010 |
| Unigene1619 | glucosyl/glucuronosyl transferases [Aedes aegypti] | 0.0366 |
| Unigene1960 | PREDICTED: UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B13-like [Acyrthosiphon pisum] | 0.0447 |
| Unigene7940 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2C1 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 0.1341 |
| Unigene2200 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2A3-like [Nasonia vitripennis] | 0.1446 |
| CL10485.Contig1 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B8 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 0.2057 |
| CL9485.Contig1 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2C1 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 3.5296 |
| CL6867.Contig1 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase R-21 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 3.5546 |
| CL5011.Contig1 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 3.6823 |
| CL12598.Contig1 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase R-21 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 3.6961 |
| CL28510.Contig1 | PREDICTED: UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B20-like isoform 2 [Acyrthosiphon pisum] | 4.2945 |
| CL23086.Contig1 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B20 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 4.3484 |
| Unigene14475 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B20 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 4.6268 |
| CL22005.Contig1 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B1 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 5.1152 |
| CL267.Contig1 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B4 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 5.2897 |
| Unigene14342 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B15 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 6.6830 |
| CL3387.Contig1 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B15 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 8.1038 |
| Unigene10740 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B20 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 9.9397 |
| Unigene12082 | UDP-glucuronosyl transferase [Aedes aegypti] | 16.6241 |
| Unigene11492 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase R-21 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 75.0666 |
AhR pathway genes. Similarly, a battery of AhR genes was found to be either upregulated or downregulated in C. kiiensis under phenol stress. These results indicate that the AhR pathway in chironomids may be involved in the response to phenol stress and thus may be an important biological pathway. However, further studies are needed to investigate the regulatory role of the AhR pathway in insects facing phenol stress.

Pancreas pathway and phenol stress

The pancreas performs both exocrine and endocrine functions. The exocrine pancreas is composed of two functional parts, the acinar and duct cells. The primary functions of pancreatic acinar cells are to synthesize and secrete digestive enzymes. Stimulation of the cells by secretagogues such as acetylcholine (ACh) and cholecystokinin (CCK) creates an intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) signal. This signal, in turn, triggers the fusion of the zymogen granules with the apical plasma membrane, leading to polarized enzyme secretion. The major task of pancreatic duct cells is the secretion of fluids and

Table 5. Cont.

| Gene | Gene ID | Gene name | Fold (PT/CK) |
|------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| Unigene19976 | UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B20 [Culex quinquefasciatus] | 283.4008 |
| Unigene5157 | Methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase [Salmo salar] | 0.00209 |
| Unigene3952 | alcohol dehydrogenase class-3 [Oryctolagus cuniculus] | 0.093 |
| CL27747.Contig1 | aldehyde dehydrogenase [Aedes aegypti] | 2.806 |
| Unigene19683 | acyl-CoA synthetase family member 4 [Mus musculus] | 4.884 |
| Unigene17726 | formaldehyde dehydrogenase [Drosophila melanogaster] | 239.902 |

| NADH-Ubiquinone oxidoreductase | Unigene3047 | NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase NDUFS3/30 kDa subunit [Glossina morsitans] |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Unigene6431 | NADH-Ubiquinone oxidoreductase AGGG subunit [Pediculus humanus corporis] | 0.206 |
| Unigene6983 | NADH-Ubiquinone oxidoreductase AGGG subunit [Pediculus humanus corporis] | 0.309 |
| Unigene21733 | NADH-plastoquinone oxidoreductase [Aedes aegypti] | 2.654 |
| CL2952.Contig1 | Predicted quinone oxidoreductase-like [Nasonia vitripennis] | 2.970 |
| CL15165.Contig1 | NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 2 [Simulium nigrimanum] | 3.085 |

Table 6. Genes in pancreatic secretion pathway.

| Description | Gene symbol | Ratio |
|-------------|-------------|-------|
| Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 2 precursor | Rac2 | –3223.07 |
| RAS-like protein | Rap | –1437.25 |
| Pancreatic lipase-related protein 1-like | PLRP | –4.90 |
| Pancreatic lipase-related protein | PLRP | –3.48 |
| SLC4-related anion exchanger | AE | 2.24 |
| Adenylyl cyclase 35C, isoform A | AC35 | 2.81 |
| Protein kinase C | PKC | 3.48 |
| Adenylyl cyclase type 9 | AC9 | 5.52 |
| Adenylyl cyclase | AC | 3.73 |
| RAB8A, member RAS oncogene family | Rab5 | 4.25 |

Table 7. Genes in neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathways.

| Description | Gene symbol | Ratio |
|-------------|-------------|-------|
| Neuronal acetylcholine receptor subunit beta-3 | Nar3 | 3952.57 |
| Relaxin receptor 2 | Relr2 | –3831.42 |
| Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, beta-2 subunit | Nar2 | 50.56 |
| Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha 9 subunit | Nar9 | 38.17 |
| Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit alpha 11 | Nar11 | 22.36 |
| Coagulation factor VII | F7 | –5.88 |
| Coagulation factor X | F5 | –3.7 |
| Leucine-rich transmembrane protein | Leutp | –2.33 |
| Calcitonin receptor | Calcr | 2.11 |
| Glutamate receptor | Glur | 2.54 |
| FMRF amide receptor | FMRFar | 2.67 |
| Metabotropic GABA-B receptor subtype 1 | Mgbabal | 2.76 |
| Adenosine receptor A2a | A2a | 2.93 |
| NMDA receptor 1 | NMDAr | 3.05 |
| G-protein coupled receptor | GPCR | 3.53 |
| Orexin receptor type 2 | Oxr2 | 3.67 |
| Neuropeptide receptor A11 | Npra11 | 4.2 |
| Diuretic hormone receptor | Dhr | 4.23 |
| GABA receptor | GABAr | 4.47 |
| Serotonin receptor 2, isoform B | Str2 | 4.63 |
| A16 Neuropeptide receptor A16 | Npra16 | 4.97 |
| Serotonin receptor type 1 | Str1 | 9.25 |
| Toll-like receptor 21 | TrT21 | 9.51 |
| Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha 34E, isoform F | Nar34e | 16.97 |
bicarbonate ions (HCO$_3^-$), which neutralize the acidity of gastric contents entering the duodenum. An increase in intracellular cAMP is one of the major signals of HCO$_3^-$ pancreatic secretion. Activation of the CFTR Cl$^-$ channel and CFTR-dependent Cl$^-$ / HCO$_3^-$ exchange activities is responsible for cAMP-induced HCO$_3^-$ secretion. Thus, pancreatic secretion is another important pathway in the biological process category. In this study, we found that 87 upregulated genes and 122 downregulated genes by phenol stress are involved in the pancreatic secretion process (Table 6). Among these DEGs, Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 2 precursor (Rac2) and RAS-like protein were significantly inhibited by phenol compared with the control. Rac 2 protein belongs to the GTP-binding proteins of the Rho family; there is an alternating regulatory cycle between the active GTP-bound form and the inactive GDP-bound form of Rac 2. This cycle involves three distinct families of proteins, i.e., guanine exchange factors (GEFs), GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) and guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitors (GDI s). Upon loading GTP, a conformational change takes place that allows Rac2 protein to interact with several downstream effectors. Ultimately, this information is processed, and the signal is propagated to the cell within the cell, causing changes to the actin cytoskeleton, the release of inflammatory modulators and innate immunity. The signaling of active Rac2 is mediated through its interaction with effectors such as p67phox and cytochrome b-558 [51], PLCbeta2 [52], nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS 2) [53] and Pak1 [54]. Expression is restricted to hematopoietic cells, with the highest levels of expression of myeloid cells. Rac2 expression is regulated during the differentiation of hematopoietic and myeloid cells, and some data suggest that Rac2 might be expressed in tumors. As suggested by its restricted expression, Rac2 plays a specialized role in many hematopoietic and immunological processes. Rac2-deficient mice show defects in stem cells, mast cells and B and T cells.

The neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway is associated with phenol stress

The neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway, which comprises a collection of receptors located on the plasma membranes, is involved in the transduction of signals from the extracellular environment into cells [55]. The neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway was significantly affected under phenol stress, and this pathway may be associated with physiological and neuronal functions. In addition, 89 genes that were upregulated by phenol stress, and 83 genes that were downregulated by phenol stress, are involved in this pathway, as determined by KEGG analysis. Among these genes, 21 genes encoding receptors were significantly affected by phenol stress (Table 7). The neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway can be divided into four subclasses according to the ligand structures, including class A (rhodopsin-like), class B (secretin-like), class C (metabotropic glutamate/pheromone) and channels/other receptors [56]. Phenol upregulated the expression of genes encoding class A amide receptors include the following: FMRFaR, Str2, Str1, Npra11 and Npra16. In addition, phenol affected the expression of four genes encoding class B receptors, including Relar2, Caler, Oxr2 and Dhr. Most genes encoding receptors that were affected by phenol were in “channels or other receptors” category, including Narf3, Narf2, Narf9, Narf11, Mgaba1, Arsa2a, NMDAr, GPCR, GABAr, T21, Nar34e, Narf3, Narf2, Narf9, Narf11, Mgaba1, Arsa2a, NMDAr, GPCR, GABAr, T21 and Nar34e.

Analysis of the transcriptome showed that phenol affects 238 pathways in chironomid larvae. Among these pathways, the neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway is an attractive target for further study because multiple receptors on the plasma membranes associated with cell signaling are located in this pathway. Bioamine is an important neurotransmitter that interacts with receptors to regulate some important biological functions, i.e., physiological rhythms, internal secretion, emotion, learning and memory [57]. In the neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway, the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors were significantly affected by phenol. Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) are cholinergic receptors that form ligand-gated ion channels in the plasma membranes of certain neurons and on the postsynaptic side of the neuromuscular junction. In insect nervous systems, nAChRs are the targets of insecticides such as neonicotinoid insecticides [58-59]. Under phenol stress, four genes encoding nAChRs subunits, including Narf3, Narf2, Narf9 and Narf11, were downregulated, while Nar34e was upregulated, which demonstrates that phenol can alter nervous-related functions. Therefore, when harmful side effects of phenol are triggered in aquatic organisms, the neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway may play important regulatory roles. However, further research using microarray and PCR technology is needed to confirm these results.
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