Mechanisms of leukocyte migration across the blood–retina barrier

Isabel J. Crane · Janet Liversidge

Received: 10 December 2007 / Accepted: 4 February 2008 / Published online: 28 February 2008
© Author(s) 2008

Abstract Immune-mediated inflammation in the retina is regulated by a combination of anatomical, physiological and immuno-regulatory mechanisms, referred to as the blood–retina barrier (BRB). The BRB is thought to be part of the specialised ocular microenvironment that confers protection or “immune privilege” by deviating or suppressing destructive inflammation. The barrier between the blood circulation and the retina is maintained at two separate anatomical sites. These are the endothelial cells of the inner retinal vasculature and the retinal pigment epithelial cells on Bruch’s membrane between the fenestrated choroidal vessels and the outer retina. The structure and regulation of the tight junctions forming the physical barrier are described. For leukocyte migration across the BRB to occur, changes are needed in both the leukocytes themselves and the cells forming the barrier. We review how the blood–retina barrier is compromised in various inflammatory diseases and discuss the mechanisms controlling leukocyte subset migration into the retina in uveoretinitis in more detail. In particular, we examine the relative roles of selectins and integrins in leukocyte interactions with the vascular endothelium and the pivotal role of chemokines in selective recruitment of leukocyte subsets, triggering adhesion, diapedesis and migration of inflammatory cells into the retinal tissue.
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Introduction

Immune-mediated inflammation in the retina is regulated by a combination of anatomical, physiological and immuno-regulatory mechanisms, referred to as the blood–retina barrier (BRB). The BRB is thought to be part of the specialised ocular microenvironment that confers protection or “immune privilege” by deviating or suppressing destructive inflammation [120, 121]. These mechanisms are designed to prevent normal immune surveillance and delete or inactivate cells migrating across the BRB to mitigate the effects of deleterious immune responses [15, 99]. Nevertheless, retinal inflammation does occur, and in addition to well-defined inflammatory diseases such as uveoretinitis, immune mechanisms affecting the integrity of the BRB and leukocyte infiltration of the retina are implicated in other ocular diseases such as diabetic retinopathy [76] and age-related macular degeneration (ARMD) [72].

The barrier between the blood circulation and the retina is maintained at two separate anatomical sites. These are the endothelial cells of the inner retinal vasculature and the retinal pigment epithelial cells (RPE) on Bruch’s membrane between the fenestrated choroidal vessels and the outer retina. For leukocyte migration across the BRB to occur, changes are needed in both the leukocytes themselves and the cells forming the barrier [9, 137]. The retina is an extension of the central nervous system (CNS), and as such, many of the molecular structures making up the inner BRB...
are similar to those found in the vascular blood–brain barrier (BBB) [1]. However, there are also important differences between the tissues, including vascular heterogeneity in the brain and numbers of microglia in the retina.

Much research has been carried out into mechanisms controlling inflammatory cell adhesion and extravasation of leukocytes from the circulation into neural tissues, and a paradigm invoking sequential, separate events involving interacting pairs of selectins and their ligands, chemokines and cell adhesion molecules (CAM) has evolved to be one of the central tenets in immunology (Fig. 1) [12]. Less is understood about the process of extravasation, and there is evidence for leukocyte extravasation in the CNS both through intercellular endothelial tight junctions (paracellular route) and for transcellular migration through the endothelial cell itself [45].

To date, many studies have been based on neutrophil migration, or undefined mixed leukocyte populations, but recent research, including our own, has revealed that different leukocyte subsets have distinct requirements for endothelial cell interactions and subsequent migration. These requirements may also vary depending on the type of tissue, whether normal surveillance or inflammatory trafficking is involved and if inflammatory on the type of stimulus. Mononuclear cells including monocytes and T lymphocytes are primarily involved in the pathologies observed in various retinal inflammations and will be the focus for this review. Understanding these processes and whether mechanisms really differ between peripheral tissues and ocular or other CNS sites such as the brain is important as every stage of the process, from initial engagement to migration into the tissue, is a potential target for immunotherapy to control retinal damage [53].

**Leukocyte adhesion cascade**

**Adhesion molecules in the leukocyte adhesion cascade**

The process of the inflammatory response and how blood leukocytes are recruited from the blood to the tissues has been described as the leukocyte adhesion cascade. Rolling of leukocytes on the luminal endothelial cell wall is usually the first step in the adhesion cascade that culminates in leukocyte extravasation, and the mechanisms underlying the various stages involved has been recently reviewed [82]. Selectins, such as L selectin, expressed on microvilli of most leukocytes and P and E selectin, which may be expressed by activated or inflamed endothelium, mediate both tethering and rolling [119]. These molecules interact with carbohydrate ligands on the leukocyte surface and mediate initial adhesion. Chemokines, which are produced by the endothelial cell in response to inflammatory signals, then bind to specific receptors on the leukocyte, leading to further adhesion and movement into the tissue. This process is mediated by matrix metalloproteinases secreted by the leukocyte and alterations in the signaling and regulatory molecules of the TJ that control the interaction between the membranous component and the cytoskeleton of the endothelial cell, leading to breakdown of the BRB (5). How inflammatory responses in the retina are initiated or resolved is still controversial. There is evidence that resident and/or infiltrating cells may influence the progression (+Ve signal?) or resolution (−Ve signal?) of the inflammatory response.

![Fig. 1](#) Mechanisms involved in leukocyte trafficking across the blood-retina barrier at the endothelium. Initial interactions between leukocyte and endothelium are usually mediated by selectins that induce tethering and rolling (1). If G-protein-coupled receptors on the leukocyte engage appropriate chemokines on surface of endothelium, then the leukocyte may become activated (2), leading to conformational changes in integrin molecules allowing firm adhesion to the endothelium and subsequent migration (3). Diapedesis across the endothelium and into the retina can then take place (4). This is triggered by additional chemokine and cytokine signals and gradients and mediated by matrix metalloproteinases secreted by the leukocyte and alterations in the signalling and regulatory molecules of the TJ that control the interaction between the membranous component and the cytoskeleton of the endothelial cell, leading to breakdown of the BRB (5). How inflammatory responses in the retina are initiated or resolved is still controversial. There is evidence that resident and/or infiltrating cells may influence the progression (+Ve signal?) or resolution (−Ve signal?) of the inflammatory response.
with ligands such as P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 (PSGL-1), expressed on other leukocytes or endothelium only when correctly glycosylated [89]. This initial tethering and fast rolling allows adherence under flow, and shear stress forces support the adhesive and signalling interactions taking place that then mediate slow rolling. Integrins are also involved in rolling, and α4β1-integrin (VLA4) dependent rolling and LFA-1/ICAM-1 interactions have been shown to be important for firm adhesion and lymphocyte trafficking in the CNS [44, 59]. Leukocyte rolling via low-affinity interactions can then be converted to firm adhesion as chemokine-receptor G-protein dependent activation takes place, resulting in conformational changes in the binding domains of LFA-1 to high-affinity state and in membrane clustering of the integrins giving increased avidity [6, 78]. This leads to firm adhesion and is the prelude to spreading, crawling and migration through the endothelium, either by the paracellular route involving release of endothelial adherens junctional proteins [87, 113, 126] or the transcellular route via small continuous membrane associated structures or vesiculo-vacuolar organelles.

Chemokines in the leukocyte adhesion cascade

Leukocyte migration is controlled to a large extent by members of a family of chemoattractants, the chemokines [109]. The panel of chemokine receptors that a leukocyte carries enables it to respond to chemokine signals either from normal tissue thus controlling immune surveillance or from an inflammatory site. Forty-three human chemokines have now been officially named, and these do not include isoforms, polymorphisms, splice variants and those chemokines encoded by viruses. There are currently 18 chemokine-specific G-protein-coupled receptors [92]. As these chemokines can be allocated to four groups based on the position of 2 N-terminal conserved cysteines, this has been used as the basis for their systematic nomenclature [7, 143]. The two main groups of chemokines are now designated either CCL, where there is no amino acid separating the cysteines, or CXCL, where there is one amino acid between the cysteine molecules.

Chemokines can bind to more than one receptor, and receptors can bind to more than one chemokine. Receptor affinity varies between the ligands but does not necessarily determine the functional potency of the chemokine [32, 130]. In response to ligand binding, the receptors trigger numerous secondary mediators, which initiate functional responses such as cell migration. The resulting functions may differ depending on the cell type and the prevailing microenvironment [77, 111]. The multiple different combinations of chemokines and receptors, expressed either simultaneously or sequentially [13], plus the cell type and the local microenvironment ensure that the chemokine communication system can deliver a precise and accurate communication message to the required cell based on local circumstances, which may be rapidly changing.

Chemokines add additional specificity to the adhesive interactions initiated by the adhesion molecules during the recruitment of leukocytes from the circulation. Chemokines produced within the tissue are transported by transcytosis to the luminal surface of the endothelium. The transport across the endothelium is active and may involve the chemokine binding protein DARC [110]. Once at the luminal surface, the chemokines are displayed by glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) [124] at the tips of endothelial processes [95, 96]. This prevents the desensitisation of leukocyte chemokine receptors by chemokines in the blood stream and focusses the action of the chemokines on leukocyte adhesion and emigration. Chemokines have a GAG-binding site as well as specific receptor binding site [5], and GAG binding has been shown to be important in vivo [104].

Chemokines presented on the endothelium stimulate firm adhesion by increasing integrin affinity and avidity [6, 20, 26, 74] and then the spreading of cells, their migration to the endothelial cell junction [129] and the initiation of leukocyte transmigration [23, 24]. More than one chemokine is likely to be needed for the complete process of leukocyte extravasation with specific chemokines at distinct steps [142]. It is thought that chemokines do not need to form a gradient across the endothelium to enable adherent cells to move from the luminal surface into the tissue as surface immobilised chemokines and a shear force may be sufficient for transendothelial migration [24].

**Normal retina and immune surveillance**

In normal physiology, one function of the immune system is to recognise and destroy cells that are abnormal (e.g. transformed tumour cells) or that display foreign or non-self antigens (e.g. virus-infected cells). This entails recirculation of relatively long-lived lymphocytes through the blood stream and lymphatics, moving from one lymph node to another and to peripheral inflammatory sites. In contrast, myeloid monocytes and dendritic cells, generated in the bone marrow, recirculate in the blood for only a few days before migrating into the tissues, forming extensive networks of phagocytic antigen presenting cells (APC). Some of these cells differentiate into long lived, highly specialised cells such as the osteoclasts of bone and microglia of the nervous system. In the tissues, APC may be stimulated by ingesting apoptotic tissue cells or by contact with microbes. They then migrate via the lymphatics to local draining lymph nodes where interaction with T and B lymphocytes can occur, and either tolerance to self-antigens or immunity to altered-self or foreign antigens
is generated. Immune surveillance must be regulated in vulnerable tissues such as the brain and retina where tissue repair cannot take place, so structures that anatomically separate the central nervous system have evolved. Thus, the BRB may protect the delicate neural tissue from local, damaging inflammation but at the cost of defective peripheral tolerance to retinal antigens. Consequently, the retina remains vulnerable to autoimmune inflammation, increasing the importance of the BRB in excluding, eliminating or suppressing infiltrating inflammatory cells [15, 99].

Structure and function of the blood–retina barrier

The inner BRB formed at the endothelium is supported by perivascular cells such as smooth muscle cells, pericytes or retinal macrophages [53]. Astrocyte foot process contact is known to be essential for formation of intercellular tight junctions (TJ) and maintenance of structural integrity [1]. Other complex interactions between other constituents of the microenvironment surrounding the endothelial cells, such as the basement membrane, nerve endings, microglial cells and the extracellular fluid, are all required for the proper functioning of the barrier. The outer BRB formed at the RPE cell layer is simpler, the barrier being maintained by tight junctions between polarised epithelial cells on Bruch’s membrane. Barrier function develops during embryogenesis, and once formed, is highly selective excluding molecules above 300 kDa. It is also effective in excluding most migrating cells, repopulation of the retina with bone-marrow-derived cells such as microglia taking from 6 to 12 months [4, 132], the rate of haematopoetic-derived cell turnover probably being dependent upon integrity of the RPE cell layer [134].

In normal retina, two distinct molecular structures form the physical BRB; these are the TJ and the adherens junctions (Fig. 1). These closely resemble TJ found in neural tissue in the brain [46, 102]. The TJ are highly organised pericellular structures that appear as multilamellar, usually continuous strands containing several integral membrane proteins that seal adjacent endothelial or epithelial cells, creating distinct membrane domains that restrict soluble and ion transport to apical and basal surfaces and block paracellular passage of macromolecular fluids and cells. The two major components are the claudins and the occludins, which are linked via zonula occludin (ZO) proteins to signalling proteins and the actin cytoskeleton. The junctional adhesion molecules (JAMs) are also located in the TJ, JAM A and C being particularly highly expressed in the RPE consistent with a role in establishing and maintaining cell polarity [33, 90]. Adherens junctions are formed mainly by cadherins (mainly VE cadherin), which interact with catenins to bind the cytoskeleton. PECAM/CD31 expressed by endothelial cells in the junction also binds to the cytoskeleton via catenins and has been implicated in the migration of monocytic myeloid cells [98]. The general structure and regulation of neural tight junctions in retina and brain has been recently reviewed [46, 63].

Leukocyte infiltration of normal retina

Despite an apparently intact BRB, lymphocytes have been shown to infiltrate the normal retina. If both the leukocytes and the endothelium are normal, leukocytes do not cross the blood–retina barrier [135, 137], but if lymphocytes are activated, they are able to initiate a transient breakdown in the BRB, enabling sampling of the retinal environment and possibly further recruitment of inflammatory cells [103, 139]. The mechanisms controlling this sampling or immune surveillance of the retina in the absence of retinal inflammation are not clear, and similar observations have been made in the CNS [65, 66]. Using scanning laser ophthalmoscopy, we have shown that circulating, activated T cells induce changes in the retinal vasculature that allow T cell firm adhesion to venule endothelium without rolling (secondary tethering), inferring a role for chemokine or integrin signalling in T cell capture and subsequent diapedesis in the absence of selectin-mediated rolling. Furthermore, recirculation of as few as $1 \times 10^5$ activated cells for 8–16 h is sufficient to allow a permissive endothelium to develop, allowing T cell adhesion and diapedesis. This compares with a minimum of 1 h for diapedesis when both leukocytes and endothelium are activated [138]. Interestingly, retinal antigens have been identified as having chemotactic properties for T cells via chemokine receptors, providing a mechanism for recruitment of lymphocytes to the retina in the absence of inflammation [68, 101]. Whether this occurs in vivo and contributes to induction of autoimmune inflammation remains to be proven but is consistent with the observation that migration of activated retinal antigen-specific T cells into the retina is not enhanced compared with non-specifically activated T cells [103].

Leukocyte infiltration of the retina during inflammation

Various inflammatory conditions in the eye involve dysfunction of the BRB, and in addition to overt inflammation of the retina in diseases such as uveoretinitis, immune dysfunction leading to vascular permeability and angiogenic changes is linked to pathologies as diverse as retinopathy of prematurity, ARMD and diabetic retinopathy. In premature infants, where the retinal vasculature is underdeveloped, changes in oxygen level in the retina can signal release of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)
and development of abnormal vessels [21], and anti-VEGF therapies for ARMD, although possibly harmful to normal circulation, are widely used [88]. VEGF mediates increased vaso-permeability through p38 MAP kinase and ERK1/ERK2-dependent mechanisms that alter occludin expression. VEGF activity, in turn, is regulated by eNOS-dependent dephosphorylation of the VEGF receptor-2, indicating close regulation of these pathways in normal retina [11, 14]. Decreased localisation of occludin at both endothelial and RPE cell borders has been shown to be linked to increased serine and threonine phosphorylation in occludin, which in turn reduces binding to ZO-1 and connection with the cytoskeleton [46].

**Angiogenesis and inflammation**

VEGF can be secreted by many retinal cell types under stress, including pericytes, astrocytes and RPE, and although not normally considered an inflammatory mediator, VEGF is chemotactic for monocytes, adhesion and migration through endothelium being mediated by increased integrin expression at sites of angiogenesis [64]. VEGF164, in particular, has been shown to induce inflammation and cellular immunity during pathological but not physiological ischaemia-induced sites of retinal angiogenesis [70]. Thus, pathologies involving VEGF are increasingly considered to have an inflammatory component. However, in the absence of adaptive immune responses to antigen in these non-infectious conditions, the inflammatory response is limited to the immediate vicinity by the naturally immunosuppressive ocular microenvironment, avoiding the mononuclear cell influx that characterises infectious or autoimmune inflammation [15, 120].

Diabetic retinopathy caused by systemic changes in metabolic and cardiovascular control is a well-described complication of diabetes and also linked to ischaemic release of VEGF, leukostasis and BRB breakdown [69, 105]. Hyperglycemia and advanced glycation end products also promote pathology in a number of cell types including endothelium, neurons and glia. Thus, retinopathy may be considered a chronic inflammation involving all major cell types within the retina [52]. What first triggers retinal microvascular changes and breakdown of the BRB in diabetic retinopathy is unclear. In a rat model of diabetes, retinal vascular endothelial cells and RPE showed elevated levels of the matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) MMP-2, MMP-9 and MMP-14 with specific degradation of occludin [56]. Advanced glycation end products also increased leukostasis through NFκB upregulation of integrins as early events [97].

There is also a direct relationship between the VEGF and prostaglandin-cyclo-oxygenase (COX-2) pathways. These pathways have vasoactive effects and interact with the nitric oxide synthase pathway (NOS), which in turn also increases vasodilation [57, 128]. Endothelial NOS (eNOS) is constitutively expressed by endothelium and is important for maintaining normal vascular physiology, in part by maintaining smooth muscle tone, but the inducible isoform (iNOS) has also been shown to have a predominant role in leukostasis and BRB breakdown in diabetic iNOS knock-out mice [80]. Expression of ICAM-1 and protein levels of all isoforms of NOS were increased in diabetic mouse retinas whilst occludin and ZO-1 protein decreased. These effects were prevented by the NOS inhibitor N-(G)-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME) and absent in the iNOS knock-out diabetic mouse.

**Leukocyte infiltration of the retina in uveoretinitis**

Endogenous posterior uveoretinitis (EPU) or more correctly, posterior intraocular inflammation, can take many clinical forms such as multifocal choroiditis, sympathetic ophthalmia and pars planitis. These clinical forms have features in common, including retinal vasculitis, macular edema, focal choriretinal infiltrates and inflammatory cells and exudates in the vitreous, which develop as a result of BRB breakdown. EPU appears to be autoimmune- or immune-mediated rather than infectious in nature. However, it is becoming clear that infectious agents may be linked to autoimmunity through molecular mimicry, polyclonal activation and failure of bystander suppression [49].

Experimental autoimmune uveoretinitis (EAU) is a Th1-type organ-specific autoimmune disease induced by immunisation with retinal antigens such as S antigen and interphotorecepter retinoid-binding protein (IRBP), at distant sites, in susceptible strains of rats or mice. It serves as an animal model of posterior intraocular inflammation, closely mimicking effects seen in the human situation [17, 50]. The BRB is breached in EAU, allowing leukocytes, both CD4+ T lymphocytes and macrophages to move into the retina, resulting in extensive tissue damage [38, 83]. Macrophages are thought to be the main effector cell responsible for tissue damage. EAU can also be induced by adoptive transfer of 5×105 retinal antigen-specific T cells [16, 17, 50, 103, 114, 122], and in particular, Th1 cells [18].

**Mechanisms of leukocyte migration across the blood–retina barrier in uveoretinitis**

Adhesion molecules involved in leukocyte–endothelial cell interactions

Appropriate adhesion molecule expression on both leukocytes and cells of the BRB is clearly important in enabling the migration of leukocytes into the inflamed retina.

During the initial stages of the inflammatory reaction, the endothelium is activated by locally produced cytokines,
Mechanisms of leukocyte subset migration into the retina

To study the role of adhesion molecules and leukocyte induced breakdown of the BRB, we have developed scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (SLO) methods for use in rodent EAU models. In this technique, syngeneic fluorescently labelled (eGFP CFSE or calcein AM) splenocytes, T helper cell subsets or monocytes are injected into the tail vein of normal or transgenic mice at various disease stages. These transferred cells can then be tracked in vivo in real-time and their interaction with the retinal vascular endothelium quantified in terms of rolling efficiency, rolling velocity and adhesion. These images can then be analysed to investigate the role of various molecules involved in leukocyte recruitment at the BRB [131]. This can be followed by confocal microscopy of retinal wholemounts, which allows both the quantification of cells entering the retina and further immunostaining to reveal changes in phenotype of endothelial cells and trafficking leukocytes.

The earliest changes observed are 24 h prior to detectable leukocyte infiltration. Vascular changes occur, which reduce shear stress in retinal veins and venules from approximately 30 to 20 dyn/cm². Although still considerably higher than in normal fenestrated endothelium, as shear stress falls, rolling and sticking efficiencies of leukocytes increase in the post-capillary venules, correlating with selective upregulation of P and E selectin and ICAM-1 on these vessels, particularly at sites of extravasation [137]. This effect is not observed in arteries or arterioles, although ICAM, VCAM, PECAM, E selectin, P selectin and CD44 are also up-regulated on other retinal endothelium as EAU progresses [135, 140]. As CD44 is widely expressed on most leukocyte subsets, it is required for leukocyte rolling under flow and is involved in early stages of extravasation in non-neuronal tissues [34, 35]. We investigated the role of CD44 in retinal inflammation in our model. Treatment of a mixed leukocyte population with neutralizing antibody against CD44 significantly suppressed the rolling of these cells on inflamed retinal venules and reduced infiltration into the retina. The importance of CD44 in leukocyte recruitment in EAU was also supported by the fact that EAU severity was diminished by administration of the anti-CD44 antibody at the stage of disease in which leukocytes are first seen to infiltrate the retina [140].

Both Th1 cells and Th2 subsets of T lymphocyte are implicated in the pathogenesis of uveitis [10, 27]. So to investigate the role of adhesion molecules in the trafficking of different T cell subsets across the BRB in Th1-mediated EAU, naïve CD4⁺ T lymphocytes were isolated from lymph node cells and polarised in vitro into Th1- and Th2-like cells. Surface PSGL-1 and LFA-1 were up-regulated on both populations but expressed at higher levels on Th1-like cells, whereas CD44 expression was up-regulated to a greater extent on Th2 cells. Pretreatment of the polarised T lymphocyte populations with anti-PSGL-1 inhibited rolling and infiltration of Th1-like cells but not Th2, providing direct in vivo evidence for the inability of
phenotype was consistent with the CCR2 + phenotype of both Th1- and Th2-like cells, but this inhibition was more substantial for the Th-1 cells. Thus, preferential recruitment of Th1 cells in EAU is mediated by PSGL-1: P/E selectin, whereas non-selective trafficking of activated T cells (both Th1 and Th2) across the BRB is mediated by CD44:CD44r and LFA-1:ICAM-1 [137].

Monocytes are also necessary for full expression of disease in EAU. We have established a model for studying bone-marrow-derived monocyte trafficking in vivo, and found that, whereas T cells, whether antigen specific or not, roll on inflamed endothelium and rapidly migrate into the retina, monocytes can only traffic into the inflammatory site once they have acquired a specific phenotype [136]. This phenotype was consistent with the CCR2 + phenotype described by others but was also found to be a constitutive time-limited property of the transferred monocytes and independent of an existing inflammation. Monocytes purified from bone marrow failed to roll on inflamed endothelium until they had undergone in vivo recirculation for at least 24 h, with maximum retinal infiltration not occurring until 48-h post-transfer. Of note was the ability of transferred monocytes to undergo further differentiation during trafficking into the retina. Transferred cells matured into classical tissue macrophages or CD11c + dendritic cells that persisted within the retina for several days. Several striking contrasts between inflammatory monocyte and Th1 cell trafficking were observed. Monocyte rolling in inflamed venules was faster and CD62-L dependent rather than LFA-1 dependent. While PSGL-1 was found to play a role in regulating diapedesis to the inflammatory site, CD62-L was shown to have a key role in regulating recruitment of monocytes to the lymphoid tissue from the circulation during inflammation (manuscript submitted for publication). These observations reveal that different molecular mechanisms are involved in leukocyte subset adhesion to endothelium and migration into the tissues.

Mechanisms of blood–retina barrier breakdown in EAU

Whether BRB breakdown is necessary before lymphocytes and monocytes can infiltrate or whether infiltration results in BRB breakdown and free movement of leukocytes between the circulation and the retina is controversial [60, 86]. Blood flow within the CNS and, by extension the retina, is regulated by interactions between neurons, glia and the microvasculature, contact between the microvessel wall and perivascular endfeet of astrocytes, mediated by agrin, being important for maintaining barrier function in the brain [1]. Other cellular components include pericytes and perivascular macrophages, contributing to what has been termed the “neurovascular unit”. Astrocytes can secrete a range of cytokines and chemical mediators that up-regulate TJ and polarised expression of transporters and other enzyme systems that control transendothelial transport of molecules and ions to maintain a metabolic barrier [62]. In BBB disruption, agrin is lost from the abluminal surface of the endothelial cells adjacent to the astrocytic endfeet and contact lost. In the brain, bradykinin activation of astrocytes has been implicated in BBB breakdown in stroke or trauma, but the molecular signals responsible for BRB breakdown in autoimmune inflammation are still not understood [2].

Using our in vivo cell trafficking model, we have studied the relationship between changes in vascular permeability, adhesion molecule expression and leukocyte migration into the retina. As described above, circulation of activated lymphocytes induces changes in the endothelium of the retinal venules, allowing transient breakdown of the BRB. Where T cells are non-antigen specific, this occurs in the absence of cell rolling, without any reduction in shear stress and without generalised inflammation of the retina occurring. In contrast, in EAU where retinal autoreactive T cells are present, cell rolling occurs, and extravasation of T cells in the venules (but not arterioles) occurs together with BRB breakdown in these venules as evidenced by dye leakage and an influx of inflammatory cells [135].

BRB breakdown involves changes in levels of expression and localisation of TJ proteins occludin-1, claudins and ZO-1 [116]. To elucidate mechanisms of BRB and its regulation by inflammatory cells and mediators in vivo, we examined wholemount retinas and chorioids from normal and EAU mice. Using confocal microscopy, we showed that in normal retina, TJ proteins were evenly distributed along endothelial cell margins with increased expression in tri-cellular corners, hypothesised to be a “weak spot” in the TJ network. In EAU, disruption of TJ proteins only occurred in the venules where leukocyte infiltration was occurring. Western blot analysis confirmed that claudin 1/3 and occludin proteins were reduced. ZO-1 protein expression was not reduced but redistributed from the cell membrane and the TJs to the cytoplasm [133]. Examination of venules in retinas in early EAU (with few infiltrating cells) showed that loss of occludin-1 from venule TJ occurred at the point of contact with adherent leukocytes undergoing transendothelial migration. In the absence of other migrating inflammatory cells, TJ appeared to reform. In contrast, in areas of the retina where there was significant inflammatory cell infiltration, confocal microscopy revealed that occludin-1 was lost from venules but not capillaries and arterioles. This correlated with loss of astrocyte foot process contact with the endothelium of the venule [133]. These studies are consistent with a major role for venule endothelium in leukocyte recruitment to the retina and imply that breakdown of the BRB in uveitis is an active
event triggered by adherent leukocytes rather than a passive event driven solely by local cytokine microenvironment.

The role of chemokines in leukocyte migration across the BRB in EAU

Chemokines are vital for the development of a focussed immune response, and certain chemokines are associated with inflammatory responses and the attraction of inflammatory cells to the site of infection or injury [91, 111]. Chemokines can be produced by both resident cells at the inflammatory site, such as epithelial cells, endothelial cells, fibroblasts and resident macrophages and dendritic cells as well as early infiltrating macrophages and neutrophils and then at later stages, infiltrating T cells. Chemokine production may be via toll-like receptors [36, 71, 115] or via inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, TNFα and IFNγ, in the case of a Th1-type response or IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 in the case of a Th2-type response [8, 107, 109]. Thus, the inflammatory stimulus will generate a chemokine “fingerprint”, which will be the basis for determining the tailored leukocyte response [22, 111].

Production of chemokines is up-regulated in the murine eye in EAU and generally shows, as expected, a Th1-like pattern of expression; for example, CCL2, CCL3 and CCL5 [28]. CCL3 and CCL2 were associated with retinal and choroidal vessels as well as with infiltrating cells, whereas CCL5 was associated predominantly with infiltrating cells [28]. RT-PCR analysis found expression of mRNA transcripts for these and several other chemokines including CXCL9, CXCL10, CCL6, CCL9, CCL19 and CCL22 is also up-regulated, although some of these studies were carried out with whole eyes [31, 51]. Recipients receiving Th1 cells had a similar pattern of expression [51]. Laser capture microdissection showed that both RPE and infiltrating leukocytes expressed chemokine transcripts in distinct but overlapping patterns [51]. In vitro, human cells of the BRB, both RPE and retinal microvascular endothelial cells respond to pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β, TNFα and IFNγ by producing substantial levels of CCL2, CCL5, CXCL8, CXCL10 and CXCL1 [29, 41, 42]. Receptors for these chemokines are also up-regulated in EAU. Expression of mRNA transcripts for CCR1, CCR5, CXCR3, CXCR2, CCR6, CCR8 and CCR2 was detected in whole eyes [51], and cell surface expression of CCR5, CXCR3 and CCR2 on infiltrating cells was detected by immunohistochemistry (pers. comm. IJC).

We have investigated the importance of CCL3 in recruitment of leukocytes in vivo at the inflamed BRB using SLO. We showed that CCL3, a ligand for CCR5, was involved in leukocyte recruitment at the BRB and was linked to the inflammatory process and disease pathogenesis in EAU [30]. The effect of short-term anti-CCL3 treatment was examined by injecting anti-CCL3 antibody into mice with EAU 1 h prior to tracking a labelled population of activated leukocytes (splenocytes from mice with EAU) in real-time using SLO. This treatment inhibited leukocyte slowing and accumulation and subsequent extravasation of leukocytes at the blood–retina barrier. This was effective predominantly in the post-capillary venules, which have been shown to be the main site of passage of leukocytes across the BRB. Long-term anti-CCL3 treatment also prevented decreased leukocyte velocity and reduced disease severity as measured clinically, histologically and in terms of BRB breakdown [30].

To define this further, we examined the trafficking of Th1-like cells, polarised in vitro. These cells, which expressed high levels of CCR5, were labelled and adoptively transferred and their trafficking monitored in vivo at an early disease stage in EAU using SLO. Treatment of the cells with antibody against CCR5 prior to transfer resulted in a reduction in their infiltration into the retina. However, rolling velocity, rolling efficiency and adherence of the cells to retinal endothelium was not reduced. CCR5 is clearly important for Th1 cell recruitment at the BRB and acts at the level of transendothelial migration rather than at the earlier stage of rolling on the endothelium [31]. This is consistent with other work on the arrest of monocytes or Th-1-like T cells by CCL5 (RANTES) immobilised to activated endothelium under flow conditions. Arrest was mediated predominantly by CCR1, whereas CCR5 was responsible for spreading. This was irrespective of the degree of expression of the receptors on the different cell types [127].

Interestingly, studies in which CCR1/CCR5 was blocked in EAU have emphasised the fact that the action of a chemokine and its receptor in cell recruitment at the BRB may differ dramatically depending on the antigen used to induce uveitis and the stage of the disease and the resulting microenvironment. In Lewis rats in which EAU was induced with S-antigen peptide, treatment with the CCR1/CCR5 receptor antagonist Met-RANTES was effective in reducing uveitis in the effenter phase, possibly inhibiting migration of activated T cells or monocytes into the retina [39]. When EAU was induced with IRBP peptide, however, Met-RANTES appeared to affect activation of T cells, reducing intraocular inflammation if administered early in the initiation phase of the response but moderately enhancing uveitis if given during the effenter phase [39]. Antibody against RANTES given during the effenter phase in C57BL/6 mice immunised with IRBP peptide also led to exacerbation of EAU, and this was attributed to a change in the ratio of T cell subsets recruited favouring CD4 over CD8 T cells [117].

Monocyte trafficking across the BRB has also been examined, and in particular, the role of two chemokine receptors which have been shown to be involved in monocyte recruitment, CCR2 and CX3CR1. This type of
experiment is constrained by the number of monocytes, which can be isolated from the blood. However, when in vitro cultured bone marrow monocytes, non-activated peritoneal monocytes and freshly isolated bone marrow monocyte precursors were compared, only the latter precursors continued to circulate and trafficked efficiently to the inflamed retina in EAU [136]. These were, therefore, labelled and used to investigate trafficking in EAU, and interestingly, it was found that these cells could not initially migrate across the BRB and required 24–48 h in vivo before they started to infiltrate the inflamed retina as described above. This coincided with an increase in expression of CCR2 on these cells. This was only a transient increase, and CCR2 expression and the migratory phenotype were lost 72 h after adoptive transfer [136]. However, evidence from further studies indicates that although CCR2 may improve the efficiency of monocyte transendothelial migration at the inflamed BRB, it is not essential for monocyte emigration at this site (manuscript in preparation).

This would confirm findings from other inflammatory sites in which it has been shown that although CCR2-positive monocytes may be recruited preferentially to an inflammatory site, CCR2-negative monocytes are also able to traffic to these sites [106, 112, 123]. CCR2 also has a role in facilitating the egress of monocytes from the bone marrow, with more monocytes present in the bone marrow in CCR2−/− mice and fewer circulating even before infection [112], but its role in monocyte recruitment to an inflammatory site is, in addition to this, and may be mediated by CCL7 (MCP-3) and CCL2 (MCP-1) [125]. In some inflammatory situations, CCR2 is clearly more critical for monocyte recruitment than we show at the BRB; for example, for recruitment into the peritoneum in response to thioglycolate [125]. Thus, reliance on CCR2 for recruitment of monocytes may vary depending on the particular inflammatory stimulus and the microenvironment that is generated.

CX3CR1 has also been implicated in the trafficking of monocytes, and although it has been proposed to be important for immune surveillance [55], there are also reports that it has a role in recruitment in an inflammatory situation; for example, in atherosclerosis [25, 81], crescentic glomerulonephritis [47] and in cerebral ischaemia [118]. It is possible that this receptor is important for the recruitment of a subpopulation of inflammatory CCR2-negative monocytes. However, recently, it has been shown in an atherosclerosis model that although CX3CR1-positive monocytes can enter atherosclerotic plaques, CX3CR1 was not necessary for this entry. In contrast, CCR2-positive monocytes, which also express substantial levels of CX3CR1 [55], required CX3CR1 in addition to CCR2 for the accumulation of monocytes in these lesions [123]. In EAU, our studies to date indicate that CX3CR1 is not essential for the trafficking of monocytes into the inflamed retina (manuscript in preparation).

Conclusions and implications for design of novel therapies

Current treatment for uveitis is still dependent in the most part on systemic, non-specific immunosuppression. Additional therapies such as cyclosporin A, tacrolimus and rapamycin may act by interfering with the production and action of cytokines, particularly interleukin-2, targeting T cell function. Mycophenolate mofetil, an antimetabolite affecting purine synthesis, is also valuable. Other immunosuppressants such as azathioprine, cyclophosphamide and chlorambucil have severe side effects restricting their use. Blockade of TNF-α is also effective at least in the short term and now used clinically in different forms. Despite the usefulness of these therapies, they are all relatively nonspecific, and in recent years, the quest for specific, tailored therapies for uveitis has been paramount.

The ability of leukocytes to migrate across the BRB depends on many different factors, the importance of which will vary depending on the leukocyte subset, the specific site and the microenvironment created as a result of the inflammatory stimulus and genetic background. Therapies designed to block the passage of cells into the inflamed retina will, therefore, need to be tailored to the situation to increase their potential for success. Understanding in depth the stages in the process of leukocyte migration across the BRB will be fundamental for this. It is clear that there is a degree of redundancy in adhesion molecule use as well as in cytokine, chemokine and integrin signalling, and different inflammatory cell subsets will require different strategies to block their recruitment and effector functions. However, some therapies targeting key intracellular signalling pathways may be beneficial. For instance, lymphocyte trafficking is dependent upon endothelial cell G-protein signalling, and recently, lovastatin has been shown to reduce retinal disease in the mouse model of EAU. Lovastatin inhibited the synthesis of precursors required for prenylation and post-translational activation of endothelial Rho GTPase, an essential step in ICAM-1-mediated leukocyte migration [3, 54]. Although different statins may have different effects in different diseases, they are proven safe drugs and provide attractive therapeutic options.

Some therapies that target specific aspects of leukocyte migration are already in use and in clinical trials in some inflammatory diseases. Although targeting some adhesion molecules has not always proved successful [100, 141], there are some promising results. These include natalizumab, directed against VLA-4, which is in use for MS and Crohn’s despite a low risk of progressive multifocal...
leukoencephalopathy, a viral infection of the CNS [73]; and efalizumab, a recombinant humanised antibody, which binds to LFA-1 preventing binding to ICAM-1, is in use for the treatment of psoriasis [75]. The possibility of targeting chemokines and their receptors to prevent recruitment of inflammatory cells has also received much attention from pharmaceutical companies. For example, a number of compounds with anti-CCR2 activity have been developed and patented with use planned in multiple sclerosis and atherosclerosis [48]. An antagonist of CCR5 (maraviroc) is also available, which has been designed for use in HIV [43]. These drugs may have potential use in inflammatory conditions involving the BRB.
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