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**Abstract**

We show that the number of acyclic directed graphs with \(n\) labeled vertices is equal to the number of \(n \times n\) \((0, 1)\)-matrices whose eigenvalues are positive real numbers.
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\(\text{1. Weisstein’s conjecture}\)

A calculation was recently made by Eric W. Weisstein of Wolfram Research, Inc., to count the real \(n \times n\) matrices of 0’s and 1’s all of whose eigenvalues are real and positive. The resulting sequence of values, viz.,

\[
1, 3, 25, 543, 29281
\]

(for \(n = 1, 2, \ldots, 5\)) was then observed to coincide with the beginning of sequence \textbf{A003024} in [7], which counts acyclic digraphs with \(n\) labeled vertices. Weisstein conjectured that the sequences were in fact identical, and we prove this here.

Notation. A “digraph” means a graph with at most one edge directed from vertex \(i\) to vertex \(j\), for \(1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n\). Loops and cycles of length two are permitted, but parallel edges are forbidden. “Acyclic” means there are no cycles of any length.

**Theorem 1.** For each \(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\), the number of acyclic directed graphs with \(n\) labeled vertices is equal to the number of \(n \times n\) matrices of 0’s and 1’s whose eigenvalues are positive real numbers.

\(^1\)This work was carried out during F. E. Oggier’s visit to AT&T Shannon Labs during the summer of 2003. She thanks the Fonds National Suisse, Bourses et Programmes d’Échange for support.

\(^2\)To whom correspondence should be addressed. [Email: njas@research.att.com, phone: 973 360 8415, fax: 973 360 8178.]
Proof. Suppose we are given an acyclic directed graph $G$. Let $A = A(G)$ be its vertex adjacency matrix. Then $A$ has only 0’s on the diagonal, else cycles of length 1 would be present. So define $B = I + A$, and note that $B$ is also a matrix of 0’s and 1’s. We claim $B$ has only positive eigenvalues.

Indeed, the eigenvalues will not change if we renumber the vertices of the graph $G$ consistently with the partial order that it generates. But then $A = A(G)$ would be strictly upper triangular, and $B$ would be upper triangular with 1’s on the diagonal. Hence all of its eigenvalues are equal to 1.

Conversely, let $B$ be a $(0,1)$–matrix whose eigenvalues are all positive real numbers. Then we have

$$1 \geq \frac{1}{n} \text{Trace}(B)$$

(since all $B_{i,i} \leq 1$)

$$= \frac{1}{n}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_n)$$

$$\geq (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \ldots \lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

(by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality)

$$= (\det B)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

$$\geq 1 \quad \text{(since } \det B \text{ is a positive integer).}$$

(1)

Since the arithmetic and geometric means of the eigenvalues are equal, the eigenvalues are all equal, and in fact all $\lambda_i(B) = 1$.

Now regard $B$ as the adjacency matrix of a digraph $H$, which has a loop at each vertex. Since

$$\text{Trace}(B^k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 = n,$$

for all $k$, the number of closed walks in $H$, of each length $k$, is $n$.

Since the trace of $B$ is equal to $n$, all diagonal entries of $B$ are 1’s. Thus we account for all $n$ of the closed walks of length $k$ that exist in the graph $H$ by the loops at each vertex. There are no closed walks of any length that use an edge of $H$ other than the loops at the vertices.

Put $A = B - I$. Then $A$ is a $(0,1)$–matrix that is the adjacency matrix of an acyclic digraph.

\[
\square
\]

Remark. The only related result we have found in the literature is the theorem [3, p. 81] that a digraph $G$ contains no cycle if and only if all eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix are 0.

2. Corollaries.

The proof also establishes the following results.

(i) Let $B$ be a $(0,1)$–matrix whose eigenvalues are all positive real numbers. Then the eigenvalues are in fact all equal to 1. The only symmetric $(0,1)$–matrix with positive eigenvalues is the identity.

(ii) Let $B$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with integer entries and $\text{Trace}(B) \leq n$. Then $B$ has all eigenvalues real and positive if and only if $B = I + N$, where $N$ is nilpotent.

(iii) If a digraph contains a cycle, then its adjacency matrix has an eigenvalue which is zero, negative, or strictly complex. In fact, a more detailed argument, not given here, shows that if the length of the shortest cycle is at least 3, then there is a strictly complex eigenvalue.

(iv) The eigenvalues of a digraph consist of $n - k$ 0’s and $k$ 1’s if and only if the digraph is acyclic apart from $k$ loops.

(v) Define two matrices $B_1, B_2$ to be equivalent if there is a permutation matrix $P$ such that $P^T B_1 P = B_2$. Then the number of equivalence classes of $n \times n$ $(0,1)$–matrices with all eigenvalues
positive is equal to the number of acyclic digraphs with \( n \) unlabeled vertices. (These numbers form sequence \textbf{A003087} in \cite{OEIS}.)

Proof. Two labeled graphs \( G_1, G_2 \) with adjacency matrices \( A(G_1), A(G_2) \) correspond to the same unlabeled graph if and only if there is a permutation matrix \( P \) such that \( P^t A(G_1) P = A(G_2) \). The result now follows immediately from the theorem. \( \square \)

(vi) Let \( B \) be an \( n \times n \) \((-1,+1)\)–matrix with all eigenvalues real and positive. Then \( n = 1 \) and \( B = [1] \).

Proof. The argument that led to (1) still applies and shows that all the eigenvalues are 1, \( \det B = 1 \) and \( \text{Trace}(B) = n \). By adding or subtracting the first row of \( B \) from all other rows we can clear the first column, obtaining a matrix

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & * \\
0 & D
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where 0 is a column of 0’s and \( D \) is an \( n - 1 \times n - 1 \) matrix with entries \(-2, 0, +2\) and \( \det D = \det C = \det B = 1 \). Hence \( 2^{n-1} \) divides 1, so \( n = 1 \). \( \square \)

It would be interesting to investigate the connections between matrices and graphs in other cases—for example if the eigenvalues are required only to be real and nonnegative (see sequences \textbf{A086510}, \textbf{A087488} in \cite{OEIS} for the initial values), or if the entries are \(-1, 0 \) or \( 1 \) (\textbf{A085506}).

3. Bibliographic remarks

Acyclic digraphs were first counted by Robinson \cite{Robinson85b, Robinson85a}, and independently by Stanley \cite{Stanley}: if \( R_n \) is the number of acyclic digraphs with \( n \) labeled vertices, then

\[
R_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n}{k} 2^{k(n-k)} R_{n-k},
\]

for \( n \geq 1 \), with \( R_0 = 1 \), and

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n \frac{x^n}{2^{(n)} n!} = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^n}{2^{(n)} n!} \right]^{-1}.
\]

The asymptotic behavior is

\[
R_n \sim n! \frac{2^{(\frac{1}{2})}}{Mp^n},
\]

where \( p = 1.488\ldots \) and \( M = 0.474\ldots \).

The asymptotic behavior of \( R(n, q) \), the number of these graphs that have \( q \) edges, was found by Bender \textit{et al.} \cite{Bender1, Bender2}, and the number that have specified numbers of sources and sinks has been found by Gessel \cite{Gessel}.
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