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Precipitation kinetics and mechanical properties of nanostructured steels with Mo additions
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ABSTRACT
It is known that nanoscale precipitates strongly affect the precipitation hardening of structural materials. In this study, we report the precipitation kinetics and thermostability of Cu-rich and NiAl nanoprecipitates in nanostructured steels with Mo additions. Atom probe tomography and first-principles calculations revealed that the addition of Mo effectively decreased the diffusion coefficients of Cu, Ni and Al atoms, leading to the change in the precipitation mechanisms from NiAl prior-precipitation with an instantaneous-nucleation mechanism to the Cu prior-precipitation with a continuous-nucleation mechanism. The decreased diffusion coefficient significantly improves the thermostability of nanoprecipitates and strongly enhances the strength of the nanostructured steels.

IMPACT STATEMENT
The precipitation mechanisms and thermostability of nanoscale precipitates in nanostructured steels are strongly dependent on the diffusion coefficient of elements, which can be effectively tuned by alloying with Mo additions.

Introduction
Precipitation strengthening has been recognized as one of the most effective methods for strengthening metallic materials [1,2]. Among various particles, Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates have been widely used in steels for precipitation strengthening [3,4]. The precipitation mechanism and good thermostability for Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates are vitally important to enable the pursuit of nanoprecipitate-strengthened steels with excellent mechanical properties. The strengthening mechanisms are strongly dependent on the nanoprecipitate properties, including structure, composition, size, number density etc., which are all controlled by the thermostability of these nanoprecipitates [5]. Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates with a low thermal stability are apt to grow and coarsen during aging, leading to the degradation in the mechanical properties of nanostructured steels [6,7].

Mo, one of the most important alloying elements for steels, is usually added to steels with appropriate amounts for refining grain size, retarding recrystallization, achieving enough hardenability and providing fine carbides [8,9]. Moreover, Mo also has an important effect on the interphase precipitation in nanostructured steels. By reducing the transformation kinetics, Mo increases the...
volume fraction of interphase precipitates (such as TiC and Ti2C) in the Ti bearing steels [10]. However, the effects of Mo additions on Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates have not yet been studied in details. Understanding the effects of Mo on the precipitation mechanisms and thermostability of Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates is benefit to developing nanostructured steels through simply tuning a common alloying elements Mo to control both the martensite matrix and nanoscale precipitates simultaneously.

In this study, the change in the microstructure and precipitation sequence of Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates caused by Mo additions were carefully analysed using electron backscattered diffraction (EBSD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and atom probe tomography (APT). The effects of Mo on the precipitation sequences of Cu-rich and NiAl-rich nanoprecipitates, their thermostability and mechanical properties are investigated in detail based on the first principle calculations and the experimental results.

Materials and methods

Two nanostructured steels, with compositions of Fe–2.5 Cu–4Ni–1Al–1.5Mn–0.08C–0.5Si (Mo-free) and Fe–2.5 Cu–4Ni–1Al–1.5Mn–0.08C–0.5Si–1.5Mo (wt.%) (Mo-doped), were prepared by arc melting under an argon atmosphere. The ingots were hot rolled at 900°C to a thickness of 2 mm and then solid-solution (SS) treated for 1 h at 900°C, followed by water quenching. The as-quenched samples were then aged separately at 500°C for 5, 10 min (AG10 min), 15, 30 min, 1, 2 h (AG2h), 5, 10, 50 h (AG50h) and 60 h. The Vickers hardness measurements were conducted with an applied load of 500 g for 15 s. Ten indents for each specimen were measured to obtain an average value. EBSD and TEM were performed to characterize the phase components and microstructure of the samples. The APT characterizations were performed in a local electrode atom probe (CAMEA CMA LEAP 5000 R). Imago Visualization and Analysis Software version 3.8 was used for creating the 3D reconstructions and data analyses. Cu- and NiAl-enriched precipitates were identified with the maximum separation method [11]. The 8 at.% Cu concentration and 15 at.% (Ni + Al) concentration isosurfaces are used to visualize the Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates, respectively. First-principles calculations were implemented with projector-augmented wave potential and the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerh of generalized gradient approximation to describe the Coulomb interaction of ion cores with the valence electrons and the electronic exchange, respectively [12].

Results and discussion

For the Mo-free steel, the hardness has no apparent changes during the first 10 min aging period (Figure 1(a)). After aging for 15 min, the hardness obviously increases and reaches a peak (∼456 HV) at 2 h. As for the Mo-doped steel, the hardness under the solid solution condition shows an enhancement of ∼20 HV, as compared with that of the Mo-free steel due to the solution strengthening and work hardening caused by Mo additions [13]. Similarly, the hardness of the Mo-doped steel reaches a peak at 2 h. However, the peak value of the hardness (∼498 HV) for the Mo-doped steel is obviously higher than that (∼456 HV) of the Mo-free steel. Moreover, the peak hardness can sustain from 2 h to 50 h, forming a long-standing harness plateau, indicating that the Mo addition can effectively enhance the age-hardening and the thermostability of the nanoprecipitates.

Many martensite laths with similar average block size (∼6.0 μm) were observed both in the Mo-free and Mo-doped steels (Figure 1(b–f)). However, the Mo-doped AG5 h steel has higher dislocation density than the Mo-free AG5 h steel due to the addition of Mo [13]. Weak (100)-type superlattice reflections can be observed in both steels (Figure 1(g)), which have been confirmed to originate from NiAl particles in a similar nanoprecipitation-strengthened steel [14]. This indicates that NiAl nanoprecipitates formed in both steels after aging for 5 h. Mo2C carbides with a large size, ∼50 nm can be observed in the Mo-doped AG50 h steels (Supplementary Figure S1). However, these large Mo2C carbides with a small number density have no evident effects on the longstanding harness plateau in the Mo-doped steels [15–18].

As for the Mo-free AG10 min sample (Figure 2(a)), all elements are distributed uniformly in the matrix, indicating that no nanoprecipitates exist after aging for 10 min. This is consistent with the micro-hardness results of the Mo-free steel (Figure 1(a)). After aging for 2 h, Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates are precipitated out (Figure 2(b)). Interestingly, all Cu nanoprecipitates are attached to NiAl nanoprecipitates, forming the Cu/NiAl co-precipitation. However, ∼21% (number percent) of individual NiAl nanoprecipitates along with most of the co-precipitates (79%) can be observed in the Mo-free AG2 h steel based on the APT results in Supplementary Figure S2. After aging for 50 h, both the Cu/NiAl co-precipitates and the individual NiAl nanoprecipitates in the Mo-free steel have unevenly coarsened as shown in Figure 2(c) and Table 1. In Figure 2(d,e), Cu, Ni, Al and Mn atoms are obviously enriched in the co-precipitates with the aging time increasing. However, although Ni, Al and Mn atoms
**Figure 1.** (a) Hardness as a function of aging time for the Mo-free and Mo-doped steel, (b,c) the microstructure and orientation mappings from EBSD for (b) the Mo-free SS and (c) Mo-doped SS steel, and (d) the corresponding grain size distributions. (e,f) TEM bright-field micrographs for (e) the Mo-free and (f) Mo-doped AG5 h steel, and (g) the same respective [001] zone-axis selected area diffraction patterns for two steels with (010)-type superlattice reflections.

**Figure 2.** (a) APT atom maps in the Mo-free AG10 min steel, (b,c) APT reconstruction showing size and spatial distribution of co-precipitates and individual NiAl nanoprecipitates (indicated by arrows) in the Mo-free AG2 h (b) and AG50 h steels (c). (d,e) APT atom map along the one-dimensional concentration profiles of a typical co-precipitate (which is indicated by a silver bar in (b,c)) and (f,g) the average proximity histograms of the individual NiAl nanoprecipitates in the Mo-free AG2 h (f) and AG50 h (g) steels.
Table 1. The evolution of nanoprecipitate radius and number density in the Mo-free and Mo-doped steel.

| Aging condition | RadiusCu, nm | Number densityCu, m⁻³ | RadiusNiAl, nm | Number densityNiAl, m⁻³ |
|----------------|-------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Mo-free steel   | AG 2h       | 1.5 ± 0.5              | 9.7 × 10²³      | 1.6 ± 0.5              |
|                | AG 50h      | 2.9 ± 1.1              | 1.5 × 10²³      | 2.8 ± 0.8              |
| Mo-doped steel  | AG 2h       | 1.3 ± 0.5              | 3.7 × 10²³      | 0.8 ± 0.2              |
|                | AG 50h      | 2.3 ± 0.4              | 5.9 × 10²³      | 2.2 ± 0.6              |

are also gradually enriched in the individual NiAl nanoparticles, but the concentration of Cu remains the value of ∼6.2 ± 2.1 at.% (Figure 2(f,g)), confirming that Cu precipitates don’t separate out from the individual NiAl precipitates. The fraction of individual NiAl nanoparticles decreases from ∼21% at 2h to ∼16% at 50h. Therefore, as for the Mo-free steel, NiAl nanoparticles firstly nucleate in the matrix after a short incubation period. As the aging time increased, some NiAl precipitates become nucleation sites for Cu atoms to precipitate out from the matrix, forming the Cu/NiAl co-precipitates. Thus, the precipitation sequence in the Mo-free steel can be deduced as ‘supersaturated solid solution → incubation period → NiAl → NiAl + Cu’, forming a NiAl prior-precipitation mechanism.

As for the Mo-doped steel, an incubation period from SS to 10min is also observed (see Supplementary Figure S3). After aging for 2h, some co-segregation regions enriched in Cu, Al and Ni atoms, individual Cu nanoparticles and Cu/NiAl co-precipitates are formed in the steel (Figure 3(a)). A high number of Cu and NiAl embryos, which are formed due to local density fluctuations or the nanoscale phase separation in the matrix [19], are responsible for the co-segregation (Supplementary Figure S4). In the Mo-doped AG2h steel, all the NiAl phase are attached to Cu nanoparticles, forming the co-precipitates with the Cu core encased by the NiAl phase. Most of the nanoprecipitates, ∼76% are individual Cu-enriched precipitates, which contain small amount of Ni and Al (Figure 3(f)). The nanoprecipitates in the Mo-doped AG2h steel are quite different from those in the Mo-free AG2h steel, where the nanoprecipitates consist of ∼21% of individual NiAl-enriched nanoprecipitates and 79% of the Cu/NiAl co-precipitates. These results confirmed that the individual Cu nanoprecipitates are firstly separated out, while all NiAl embryos are surrounded by Cu atoms, and finally separated out to form co-precipitates. After aging for 50h, the co-segregation regions and individual Cu precipitates entirely disappear (Supplementary Figure S5), while a large amount of Cu/NiAl co-precipitates and individual NiAl nanoprecipitates can be identified in

![Figure 3.](image-url)
Figure 3(b). Therefore, some NiAl embryos can grow out independently, forming the individual NiAl precipitates with the aging time increasing. And most of the individual NiAl precipitates at a late stage can provide nucleation site for Cu precipitates, which is similar to the case in the Mo-free steel, forming the new Cu/NiAl co-precipitates continually and pushing up a high number density of the co-precipitates after aging for 50 h (Figure 3(b)). Moreover, Mo solutes are rejected from all the nanoprecipitates in the Mo-doped AG2 h and AG50 h steel (Figure 3(c–g)).

Therefore, the Mo addition in the nanostructured steels induces the change in the precipitation mechanisms from NiAl prior-precipitation in the Mo-free steel to the Cu prior-precipitation in the Mo-doped steel, as ‘supersaturated solid solution → incubation period → Cu → Cu + NiAl’ (Figure 4). Moreover, the number density of Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates in the Mo-free steel is decreased with the growth of the mean radius during the aging treatments, showing a conventional instantaneous-nucleation mechanism [5,20]. However, the mean radius and number density of Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates both increase in the Mo-doped steel simultaneously (Table 1), indicating that these nanoprecipitates are continuously nucleated upon aging treatments.

Moreover, the coarsening coefficient \( k \) of Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates in the two steels are calculated by the Lifshitz, Slyozov and Wagner (LSW) theory [21,22]:

\[
R(t)^3 - R(t_0)^3 = kt
\]

where \( R(t) \) is the average volume-equivalent radius, \( R(t_0) \) the initial mean radius, \( t \) the aging time and \( k \) coarsening coefficient. The results indicate that the coarsening coefficients of Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates in the Mo-doped steel (0.208 and 0.211 nm\(^3\) h\(^{-1}\), respectively) are much less than that in the Mo-free steel (0.437 and 0.372 nm\(^3\) h\(^{-1}\), respectively). Due to the higher coarsening coefficients in the Mo-free steel, Cu or NiAl nanoprecipitates rapidly gobble up each other to grow, thereby the peak hardness value gradually decreases after aging for 2 h. While the Mo addition slows down this growth process through a decreased coarsening coefficient of nanoprecipitates, forming a peak hardness plateau from aging for 2 to 50 h. Therefore, the Mo addition effectively promotes the thermostability of Cu and NiAl nanoprecipitates in the nanostructured steel.

To investigate the origin of the changes in the precipitation mechanisms and thermostability induced by the addition of Mo, the mixing enthalpies between Mo and Ni/Al/Cu and the effect of Mo on the diffusion rate of Ni, Al and Cu in Fe were evaluated. The mixing enthalpy is \(-7, -5\) and \(19\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) between Mo and Ni/Al/Cu elements, respectively, indicating that Mo tends to attract Ni and Al while repel Cu. To evaluate the diffusion coefficient, the vacancy-mediated diffusion model for a body-centered cubic (BCC) structure developed by nine-frequency model of Le Claire [23] was applied since solute diffusions are generally governed by a monovacancy mechanism on a BCC lattice (Figure 5) [24]. The detailed calculation process is illustrated in supplemental online materials. The diffusion coefficients of Ni, Al and Cu without Mo addition are estimated as \(1.93 \times 10^{-21}\), \(6.08 \times 10^{-20}\) and \(2.29 \times 10^{-20}\) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively, which are consistent with the published values with the same order of magnitude [25]. With the Mo addition, the diffusion coefficient for Ni, Al and Cu decrease to \(4.80 \times 10^{-23}\), \(8.72 \times 10^{-22}\) and \(2.35 \times 10^{-22}\) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. It is obvious that Mo indeed decreases the diffusion coefficients of Ni, Al and Cu solutes by almost two orders of magnitude.
It can be seen from the APT and TEM results that there is no segregation or partitioning of Mo in the matrix in Mo-doped AG10min (Supplementary Figure S3), AG2h (Supplementary Figure S4) and AG50h steels (Supplementary Figure S1). Only in AG50h steel were Mo2C carbides observed. This is reasonable since Mo is a heavy element [26], and more aging time was required for diffusion and subsequent partitioning of Mo to Mo2C carbides [27]. Thus, Mo2C carbides would nucleate later than Cu and NiAl precipitates, which also observed in the BA-160 [15], HSLA-115 [17] and ultrahigh-strength carburized steels [28]. These indicate that the formation of Mo and NiAl precipitates could not be affected by the Mo partitioning and the formation of Mo2C. Combining the interaction relationship between Mo and Ni/Al/Cu and the decreased diffusion coefficients induced by Mo addition, the scenario of the change in the precipitation mechanisms can be deduced that the interaction relationship between Mo and Ni/Al/Cu atoms influence the nucleation of NiAl and Cu nanoparticles while the diffusion coefficient dominates the continuous nucleation and growth of NiAl-enriched and Cu-enriched precipitates. The uniformly distributed Mo atoms in the Mo-doped steel (see Supplementary Figure S3) can impede the formation of NiAl embryos and precipitates due to the negative mixing enthalpies between Mo and Ni, leading to the decrease in the number density of NiAl precipitates in Mo-doped AG2h steel (1.0 × 10^{23} \text{m}^{-3}) as compared to the Mo-free AG2h steel (1.3 × 10^{24} \text{m}^{-3}). However, the positive mixing enthalpies between Mo and Cu can promote the precipitation of Cu atoms from the matrix. This can be confirmed by the difference in the number densities of Cu-enriched precipitates in Mo-doped AG2h steel (3.7 × 10^{23} \text{m}^{-3}) and in Mo-free AG2h steel (9.7 × 10^{23} \text{m}^{-3}). The reduced diffusion coefficient with the addition of Mo retards the precipitation of both NiAl and Cu nanoparticles and promotes their continuous nucleation upon aging, leading to the synergetic increasing of radius and number density of nanoparticles. Furthermore, the coarsening rate (k) of Cu and NiAl nanoparticles depends on the diffusion coefficient of its constituent elements [29]. Thus, the Mo addition can decrease the coarsening rate of Cu and NiAl nanoparticles through deceasing the diffusion coefficients of Ni, Al and Cu solutes, contributing to the good thermostability of NiAl and Cu nanoparticles.

**Conclusions**

The precipitation kinetics and mechanical properties of nanostructured steels with Mo additions have been studied. Uniformly distributed Mo in the Fe matrix can retard the formation of NiAl embryos while promote the formation of Cu embryos due to the attractive effects between Mo and Ni/Al atoms and the repulsive effect between Mo and Cu atoms. The addition of Mo decreased the diffusion coefficients of Cu, Ni and Al atoms. The nucleation and growth of both NiAl and Cu nanoparticles were delayed due to the decrease in the diffusion coefficient of...
Ni, Al and Cu atoms, thereby inducing transfer of the precipitation mechanisms from a NiAl prior-precipitation with an instantaneous-nucleation mechanism in the Mo-free steel to Cu prior-precipitation sequence with a continuous nucleation mechanism in the Mo-doped steel. Moreover, the decreased diffusion coefficients of Ni, Al and Cu atoms with Mo addition can also contribute to the good thermostability of the precipitates. Adjusting the diffusion coefficient of elements is an effective method to control the nanoscale precipitation and its thermostability.
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