Setting Directions: Anisotropy in Hierarchically Organized Porous Silica
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ABSTRACT: Structural hierarchy, porosity, and isotropy/anisotropy are highly relevant factors for mechanical properties and thereby the functionality of porous materials. However, even though anisotropic and hierarchically organized, porous materials are well known in nature, such as bone or wood, producing the synthetic counterparts in the laboratory is difficult. We report for the first time a straightforward combination of sol−gel processing and shear-induced alignment to create hierarchical silica monoliths exhibiting anisotropy on the levels of both, meso- and macropores. The resulting material consists of an anisotropic macroporous network of struts comprising 2D hexagonally organized cylindrical mesopores. While the anisotropy of the mesopores is an inherent feature of the pores formed by liquid crystal templating, the anisotropy of the macropores is induced by shearing of the network. Scanning electron microscopy and small-angle X-ray scattering show that the majority of network forming struts is oriented towards the shearing direction; a quantitative analysis of scattering data confirms that roughly 40% of the strut volume exhibits a preferred orientation. The anisotropy of the material’s macroporosity is also reflected in its mechanical properties; i.e., the Young’s modulus differs by nearly a factor of 2 between the directions of shear application and perpendicular to it. Unexpectedly, the adsorption-induced strain of the material exhibits little to no anisotropy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Natural materials frequently exhibit multiple levels of structural hierarchy combined with a directional orientation of the constituting components, resulting in exceptional properties, e.g., hydration-triggered morphology changes as found in pine cones or anisotropic mechanical properties as found in trabecular bone.1−7 The pine cone example8 demonstrates impressively how the combination of large accessible surface area and tailored hierarchical structuring leads to active or responsive properties. In this context, anisotropy is a fundamental parameter for the functionality of many biological materials. With respect to materials science, anisotropic, hierarchical structures with large accessible surface area could offer a multitude of direction-dependent properties that are, e.g., useful in separation science, for an angular dependent interaction with light, or even to generate a material with an anisotropic mechanical behavior.

Although being highly desirable, so far there are only few applicable methods for synthesizing porous materials with anisotropic features on multiple length scales. Typical processes that have been applied to form anisotropic macroporous structures include anodic oxidation,8 wood templating,9−11 unidirectional solidification,12 extrusion,13 freeze casting, or directional ice templating.14,15 Mesoporous powders and coatings with a 2D hexagonal arrangement of cylindrical pores, such as MCM-41 or SBA-15, are inherently anisotropic in the mesoscopic regime, and additional macroscopic alignment by shear has been reported.16,17 Furlan and Lattuada presented a preparation method using pre-aligned paramagnetic nanocolloids as additional templates that permit control over the microstructure of silica monoliths obtained by sol−gel processing combined with phase separation by means of an external magnetic field.18 Aizenberg et al. have applied unidirectional shrinkage to synthesize anisotropic inverse opal structures, to name two recent examples.19 However, as mentioned above, these prior research efforts to form porous structures with unidirectionally oriented pores have primarily focused on the design of either the mesopore or the macropore level, but not on the combination of both structural levels in hierarchically organized meso-/macroporous monolithic networks.
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One possible bottom-up route toward the formation of such multilevel porous architectures relies on the processing of condensable, network forming precursors (e.g., sol–gel processing of silanes) in the presence of porogens or phase separation-inducing agents.\textsuperscript{20–22} In the case of silica, these materials consist of a unique macroporous network of struts, each strut comprising cylindrical mesopores on a 2D hexagonal lattice with similar mesopore characteristics as SBA-15. On the basis of these hierarchically organized silica monoliths, we here report a straightforward, facile approach to simultaneously create anisotropy on both the meso- and macropore level. We present an investigation of shear-induced macroscopic anisotropy in self-assembled, hierarchically organized silica gels in comparison to their nonsheared counterparts, taking advantage of the dynamics of the sol–gel transition and of chemical reactions continuing after gelation. In particular, we discuss the structural implications of shearing on the porous network and their impact on the mechanical properties and the adsorption-induced strain characteristics of the porous monolith. This knowledge is intended as the basis for future applications of hierarchically organized porous systems with anisotropic features in separation science, but also in designed switchable components, for instance as actuators, thermal insulation, or acoustic mechanical components.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Synthesis of Hierarchically Organized, Monolithic Silica Gels. Tetraethyl(2-hydroxyethyl)orthosilicate (an ethylene glycol modified silane; EGMS) with a SiO\textsubscript{2}content of 20 wt % was prepared from TEOS (Merck Millipore) and ethylene glycol (VWR Chemicals) as described by Brandhuber et al.\textsuperscript{25} Wet gel preparation was conducted by adding EGMS to a homogeneous mixture of aqueous P123 (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1 M HCl with a composition by weight (Si/P123/HCl) of 8.4/30/70. Stirring for 1 min yielded a clear, viscous liquid that was poured in PP containers, and allowed to gel and age at 313 K for 7 days. Demolding of the wet gels was followed by a repeated washing procedure (5 times within 72 h) with ethanol to remove the surfactant and residual ethylene glycol. Afterward, the as-prepared wet gel bodies were dried supercritically with CO\textsubscript{2} and residual ethylene glycol. Afterward, the as-prepared wet gel bodies were dried supercritically with CO\textsubscript{2} (T\textsubscript{s} = 304.18 K; P\textsubscript{s} = 7.38 MPa). In the case of sheared samples, the procedure as described above was modified after stirring. The viscous liquid sol was filled into a plastic syringe (Henke-Sass, Wolf GmbH, 5 mm diameter), that was then sealed and placed in an oven to gel for 1–2 h at 313 K. By pressing the stamp, a shear force was applied, leading to a directed flow of the gel inside the syringe. Within the syringe, on both sides sealed, the gels were aged for 7 days at 313 K in the mother liquor. Further processing steps were performed according to the description above.

Electron Microscopy. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken using a ZEISS FE-SEM ULTRA PLUS applying its in-lens secondary electron detector at an accelerating voltage of 2 kV. The microstructure of the samples was studied by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) with a TECNAI F20 operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Images were recorded with a Gatan Orions SC600 CCD camera.

Small-Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS). Samples for SAXS were prepared by cutting an about 1 mm thick central slice parallel to the cylindrical sample axis using a diamond saw. SAXS measurements were performed with a Nanostar laboratory instrument (Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany) using Cu–K\textsubscript{r} radiation and a Vantec 2000 detector at a sample–detector distance of 711 mm. The beam was monochromatized and focused by a graded multilayer mirror and defined by two scatterless pinholes of 300 \mu m in diameter. 2D SAXS patterns were recorded for background and transmission and transmission and either sector averaged to obtain the intensity I(q) as a function of the scattering vector length q (q = 4\pi \sin \theta/\lambda, \theta being half the scattering angle and \lambda = 0.154 nm the X-ray wavelength) or azimuthally averaged to obtain the intensity I(\chi) as a function of the azimuthal detector angle \chi. The lattice parameter of the 2D hexagonal mesopore lattice was determined from the position of the first-order Bragg peak by a = 4\pi/(\sqrt{3} q_{\text{B}}), and the mesopore radius R was estimated from the integrated intensity of the first three Bragg peaks by assuming a circular pore cross section and a steplike corona model.\textsuperscript{27} The domain size of the periodically arranged pores was estimated by applying the Scherrer formula corrected for instrumental resolution D = 2K/\Delta q_{\text{exp}} (\Delta q = \Delta q_{\text{res}} = \Delta q_{\text{inter}}/2).\textsuperscript{28} The peak widths were determined by Lorentzian fits, where \Delta q_{\text{res}} is the full-width at half-maximum (fwhm) of the main Bragg peak from the respective silica samples and \Delta q_{\text{inter}} is the fwhm of the resolution function determined from a rat-tail tendon sample.\textsuperscript{26} The mesopore volume fraction from SAXS is then calculated by \phi_{\text{mes}} = (2\pi\sqrt{3}/R^2/\lambda^2). Finally, the degree of preferred orientation (DPO) of the mesopore cylindrical axis with respect to the macroscopic sample cylinder axis was determined from the ratio of the peak area to the total area under the I(\chi) curves, i.e.

$$DPO = \int_0^{2\pi} I_{\text{Real}}(\chi) \, d\chi \int_0^{2\pi} I(\chi) \, d\chi.$$

This parameter takes the value zero for completely random orientation (no azimuthal peak) and one for perfect parallel orientation of the mesopore axes (corresponding to the strut direction) with respect to a macroscopic coordinate system.

Determination of Young’s Modulus. The Young’s modulus E was determined from the longitudinal sound velocity v\textsubscript{s}, the bulk density \rho, and the characteristic Poisson’s number \nu of amorphous silica (\nu = 0.20 \pm 0.05) (see, e.g., ref 27): E = \rho v\textsubscript{s}^2 (1 – 2\nu)(1 + \nu)/(1 – \nu^2). The longitudinal sound velocity was determined at a frequency of 0.5 MHz via a run time experiment in an impulse-echo setup.\textsuperscript{28} The mechanical properties were investigated on cylindrically shaped monoliths both in axial and in radial directions of the cylinder in order to identify potential anisotropy. The bulk density \rho was determined from mass and geometric dimensions of the monolith cylinders after degassing them for at least 1 day at 110 °C. Noteworthy, the wavelength of the sound waves used in the experiment is significantly larger than any structural heterogeneity within the bulk material, and therefore, the sound waves perceive the samples as effectively homogeneous media.

Water Vapor Adsorption Measurement and in Situ Dilatometry. The H\textsubscript{2}O adsorption isotherm (17 °C) of the sheared sample was determined by a commercial sorption instrument (SPS-11, ProUmid, Ulm, Germany). The corresponding linear strain of a cylindrical sample (length: 4.7 mm, diameter: 3.1 mm) was measured by a self-designed dilatometric setup placed in a vacuum chamber. The relative humidity within the chamber was controlled via the stepwise dosing of applied, low from a high purity water reservoir. Measurements of the sample’s linear strain during H\textsubscript{2}O adsorption were performed in the axial as well as in the radial direction of the monolithic cylinder. The spatial resolution of the length sensor used in this setup is approximately ±200 nm. Prior to each measurement, the sample was degassed in vacuum at 50 °C for at least 3 h.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hierarchically organized, highly porous silica monoliths can be fabricated by using a combination of polymerization-induced phase separation and sol–gel processing of tetraethyl(2-hydroxyethyl)orthosilicate, a tailor-made silane that allows for hydrolysis and condensation reactions in a purely aqueous environment. Addition of condensable silanes to a preformed lyotropic liquid crystal (LC) phase of a nonionic block copolymer (P123) in aqueous hydrochloric acid results in clear gels that turn opaque after gelation, indicating an additional phase separation on a larger length scale. Structural investigations of the resulting supercritically dried gels by small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) confirm that a hierarchically organized, low-density network is formed.
comprising periodically 2D hexagonal organized cylindrical mesopores with a repeating unit distance of about 11 nm and a cellular silica network with macropores in the range of 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) diameter (Figure 1a–d). While the channel-like mesopores show a high degree of anisotropy due to their arrangement on a 2D hexagonal lattice, the network of mesoporous struts is entirely disordered, leading to isotropic macroporosity.\(^{29,30}\) In the following, these samples are used as a reference.

To obtain hierarchically organized porous silica monoliths exhibiting anisotropy on the level of meso- and macropores, the above-described synthesis is complemented by a shearing step. For this, the liquid-crystalline silica/P123 composite is pregelled in a syringe and then exposed to shear by pressing the syringe’s stamp, causing part of the gel to flow through the nozzle. Afterward, the nozzle of the syringe is closed and the gel is left for aging. Drying of the sheared gel by supercritical extraction with CO\(_2\) is performed the same way as for the reference samples. SEM and TEM images of the sheared gels are shown in Figure 1e–h, while the shearing step is schematically depicted in Figure 1i–l. SEM images of reference and sheared samples (Figure 1b,f) clearly show the impact of the shearing step on the macroporous strut network, i.e., a preferential arrangement of the struts in the direction of the applied shearing force. Noteworthy, the macroscopic appearance (Figure 1a,e) and the structural properties of sheared and reference samples, such as density (reference: 0.411 g cm\(^{-3}\), sheared: 0.409 g cm\(^{-3}\)), specific surface area (reference: 215 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), sheared: 211 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)), mesopore volume (reference: 0.279 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\), sheared: 0.282 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)), etc., are essentially identical (see Figure S1 and Table S1).

The efficacy of shearing a preformed gel network relies on the fact that the gelation event in sol–gel processes still leaves a large number of monomers and oligomers in the gel unreacted. Gelation is defined as the point at which the sol loses fluidity; i.e., no flow of the gel is observed when the container is turned. Thus, with time, the aligned struts in the sheared gel will experience further condensation reactions in parallel to a stiffening of the strut network. Therefore, the correct timing between the preliminary gelation step and the shearing process is crucial for a pronounced alignment of the network constituting struts. In a series of experiments, we subjected the gel bodies to shear before, just after and up to several hours after gelation (i.e., always prior to phase separation), and after the gels turned opaque, hence after phase separation. Phase separation is defined as the point at which the gel changes from transparent to opaque, indicating the formation of structures, e.g., macropores, larger than the wavelength of light. These experiments revealed that, on the one hand, gelation is a prerequisite for an alignment, however, on the other, that the degree of condensation is already too advanced after phase separation.\(^{32}\)

For quantitative analysis of the anisotropy of the strut network, we evaluated the SAXS patterns of reference and sheared samples (Figure 2a,b, respectively). In this context, the expressions “axial” and “radial” refer to the macroscopic dimensions of the cylindrical sample, whereas the “main strut
direction” (MSD) corresponds to the preferred orientation of struts within the monolith. The reference sample shows the expected homogeneous Debye–Scherrer rings from the 2D hexagonal pore lattice. This indicates that the hexagonally ordered cylindrical mesopores within the investigated sample volume take all angular orientations with the same probability. In contrast, the sheared sample shows an azimuthal modulation exhibiting the lowest intensity at an angle of roughly 35° tilted from the macroscopic sample cylinder axis, i.e., the axial direction. (d) Comparison of the radially averaged scattering profiles from (a) and (b). (e) Scattering curves from the sheared sample integrated in a narrow sector (±20°) around the intensity minimum (MSD direction) as well as around the intensity maximum (perpendicular to the MSD direction). The insets in (d) and (e) show the main Bragg-reflection in a Kratky Plot31 normalized to the same height. (f) Azimuthal integration in a narrow q-range around the main Bragg peak of the sheared sample. The degree of preferred orientation (DPO) is defined by the area of the peak divided by the total area under the azimuthal intensity distribution.

Figure 2. 2D scattering patterns of (a) the reference sample and (b) the sheared sample. (c) Representative SEM image of the sheared sample showing the preferred orientation of struts (main strut direction, MSD), which is about 35° tilted from the macroscopic sample cylinder axis, i.e., the axial direction. (d) Comparison of the radially averaged scattering profiles from (a) and (b). (e) Scattering curves from the sheared sample integrated in a narrow sector (±20°) around the intensity minimum (MSD direction) as well as around the intensity maximum (perpendicular to the MSD direction). The insets in (d) and (e) show the main Bragg-reflection in a Kratky Plot normalized to the same height. (f) Azimuthal integration in a narrow q-range around the main Bragg peak of the sheared sample. The degree of preferred orientation (DPO) is defined by the area of the peak divided by the total area under the azimuthal intensity distribution.
Quantitative comparison of the structural characteristics (Table 1) reveal that pore lattice parameter, mesopore diameter, and mesopore volume fraction are essentially identical for the reference and sheared samples; this also applies to struts parallel (∥) and perpendicular (⊥) to MSD in the sheared sample. What is striking, however, is that the first Bragg peak originating from struts parallel to the direction of preferred orientation is considerably narrower than the one from struts perpendicular to it (inset of Figure 2e). Assuming microstrains of the pore lattice being negligible, the inverse peak width is directly related to the thickness of the struts. Applying the Scherrer equation,25 we find the strut population perpendicular to the MSD considerably thinner than the strut population in MSD (see Table 2). This result corroborates the qualitative observation from SEM images (Figure S3).

| Strut Orientation | Lattice Parameter [nm] | Pore Radius [nm] | Strut Width [nm] | DPO | Mesopore Volume Fraction |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|-------------------------|
| ∥ MSD             | 10.84 ± 0.04            | 3.42 ± 0.02     | 601 ± 30        | 0.40 ± 0.08 | 0.361 ± 0.009            |
| ∥ MSD             | 10.96 ± 0.04            | 3.35 ± 0.02     | 137 ± 7         | 0.40 ± 0.08 | 0.334 ± 0.010            |
| Reference         | 10.98 ± 0.04            | 3.43 ± 0.02     | 196 ± 10        | 0    | 0.354 ± 0.006            |

“In the case of the sheared sample, ∥ denotes the mechanical properties along the axial direction of the cylindrical monolith and ⊥ along its radial direction.”

To explore the impact of the strut orientation on the mechanical properties of the bulk material, we performed sound velocity measurements on cylindrical monoliths of reference and sheared samples, both in axial and in radial directions. Notably, the force applied during the gelation of the sheared samples was oriented in the axial direction of the cylinder. The results were evaluated with respect to the Young’s modulus E and summarized in Table 2. As expected, the Young’s modulus of the reference sample is identical in axial and radial directions, once again supporting the concept of an isotropic macropore network. However, for the sheared sample, E differs nearly by a factor of 2 between the direction of shear application, i.e., the axial direction of the cylinder, and perpendicular to it, i.e., in the radial direction of the cylinder. Note that this anisotropy of the elastic modulus is of similar magnitude as that found in human trabecular bone from different anatomical locations.

The difference of Young’s moduli in axial and radial directions can be qualitatively explained when assuming in a zero-order approach that the struts are either fully aligned in the direction of the axis or perpendicular to it (Figure 3a). In this case, the modulus along the axis of the cylinder is given essentially by the Young’s modulus of the individual mesoporous strut (in axial strut direction) weighed by the strut density (see schematic projection in Figure 3a). In the radial direction of the monolith, the connected structure is given by an alternation of horizontally and vertically oriented struts. Therefore, the modulus in this direction is given by a superposition of the moduli of the individual struts in the radial direction and axial direction; hereby, the areal density in the related plane is dominated by the cross section of the thin struts providing the horizontal connection of the structure in Figure 3a.

With respect to recent studies on the adsorption-induced deformation of hierarchical structured silica35 and the development of humidity driven cantilevers,34 we also investigated the mechanical response of the sheared sample to adsorption by in situ dilatometry. Again, we used monolithic pieces to measure the linear strain of the bulk material in the cylinder’s axial and radial directions. The respective adsorption and strain isotherms of water vapor at 17 °C and N₂ at 77 K and adsorption isotherms are given in Figure 3b and S1, respectively. The shape of the obtained strain isotherms (including the small “dip” at the pressure of capillary evaporation) corresponds well to previous dilatometric studies on this type of material33 and is in qualitative agreement with theoretical predictions.35 However, in contrast to the Young’s modulus, the impact of the anisotropy on adsorption-induced strain in different orientations of the cylindrical monolith is quite small.

This apparently counterintuitive observation can be explained when analyzing the situation in detail (compare Figure 3a):36 The adsorption-induced strain of the macroscopic material results from the strain of the mesoporous struts forming the macroporous network. This strain is inherently anisotropic, i.e., an individual strut exhibits different adsorption-induced strains along and perpendicular to the cylindrical mesopores. The quantitative differences between both strain components vary with the filling state of the mesopores but are rather small prior to capillary condensation and close to saturation pressure of the adsorbate. From the scheme in Figure 3a, it is obvious that, in the axial direction of the cylindrical sample (blue line), the axial strain characteristic of an individual strut will be dominating. On the other hand, in the radial direction of the cylindrical sample (green line), the strain of the monolithic sample is a mixture of the individual strut’s axial and radial strains; however, the majority of the path is given by the thin struts oriented horizontally in Figure 3a. Therefore, here also, the axial strain of an individual strut will have a major impact.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, hierarchically organized silica gel monoliths with an anisotropic cellular macroporous network comprising 2D hexagonal organized mesopores have been prepared by a facile shear-induced alignment process. SEM images qualitatively indicate that the network forming struts are tilted in the shearing direction, and SAXS analysis quantitatively confirmed that roughly 40% of the strut volume shows a preferred orientation. The anisotropy of the sample’s macropore structure is reflected in its mechanical properties: the Young’s modulus for the sheared sample differs nearly by a factor of 2.

Table 1. Comparison of Structural Parameters for Reference and Sheared Samples Obtained from SAXS

Table 2. Young’s Moduli E Calculated from Longitudinal Sound Velocity Assuming a Poisson’s Ratio of ν = 0.2 ± 0.05
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between the direction of shear application and perpendicular to it. Contrarily, the adsorption-induced strain of the material exhibits only minor anisotropy. This result is in line with the current understanding of adsorption-induced deformation and leads to the conclusion that anisotropy of adsorption-induced actuation is more difficult to achieve than anisotropic mechanical properties.
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