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Abstract
Background: The goal of managing the comminuted fracture of lateral malleolus is to restore length, rotation and alignment which might be more challenging with extensive comminution around the area of the distal tip. The common osteosynthesis techniques such as the one-third tubular plate, tension band wiring, K-wires, screws, or intramedullary nail may be insufficient in cases with a comminuted lateral malleolus. The anatomical hook plate is an alternative implant in such cases. We present our results of the comminuted lateral malleolar fractures (Weber A, B), managed by open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) with an anatomical hook plate of lateral malleolus (Königsee Implant Company, Germany).

Materials and Methods: We retrospectively reviewed 20 patients of comminuted fracture of distal lateral malleolus between 2008 and 2010. There were 12 males and 8 females, right side was involved in 18 patients and left in 2. The mean age was 51.9 years (range 18-75 years). The fractures were categorized by Denis-Weber classification type A (n=1), B1 (n=1), B2 (n=13) and B3 (n=5). Nineteen cases were of closed injury and one of open injury (Gustilo Anderson type II). These patients underwent ORIF with a lateral malleolus anatomical hook plate. Followup including radiographs and clinical examinations were performed. The American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) ankle hindfoot scores were documented at followups.

Results: The mean followup was 21.4 months (range 16-27 months). The average AOFAS score was 94.3 (range 78-100) points. A stable anatomic reduction and bony union were obtained in all the cases. The average time was 3.1 months (range 2.5-4 months). Four cases had complications like posttraumatic osteoarthritis, hardware impingement and superficial wound infection.

Conclusion: A reasonably good stability can be obtained in distal most comminuted fractures of lateral malleolus with a lateral malleolus anatomical hook plate. We believe this method to be a reasonable treatment option for a distal lateral comminuted malleolar fracture when other common fixations are insufficient to fix the fragments.
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Introduction
The goal of managing the comminuted fracture of lateral malleolus is to restore length, rotation and alignment which might be more challenging with extensive comminution around the area of the distal tip.1-3 Different techniques are commonly used to address this comminuted fracture, such as K-wires, tension band wires, one-third tubular plate, screws and intramedullary nails.4-10 There are no operative indications for the majority of Weber A and partial Weber B fracture due to good stability.1,11 However, when there is distal fibular fracture with shortening, comminution and rotation, involving the talus instability; open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) is recommended.1 The lateral malleolar fracture, which exhibits an oblique or spiral pattern is generally stabilized with an inframentary screw and is supplemented by a neutralizing tubular plate.12 Many studies show that a good reduction and bone union could be achieved for for displaced unstable fractures by ORIF.6,7,10,12-14 However, in cases with small distal fragments, the fixations of the distal fragments by screws or other conventional fixations are challenging.9,15 A one-third tubular plate is also associated with many complications such as fragment nonunion and dislocation for such cases.7 It is well-accepted that poor fragment stabilization may result in postoperative displacement with a high risk of nonunion or malunion leading to osteoarthritis.7,11,12
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Generally, complex distal lateral malleolar fractures are difficult to fix by conventional internal fixations, especially for comminuted fractures. As an alternative fixation, a lateral malleolus hook plate has been used in managing the lateral malleolar fractures. In this retrospective study, we present the clinical and radiological results in managing comminuted fractures of the lateral malleolus (Weber A, B) with a lateral malleolus hook plate (Königsee Implant Company, Thuringen, Germany) [Figure 1].

**Materials and Methods**

The informed consent was obtained from all the patients to participate in the study at the latest followup. The present study has been approved by ethical committee in our hospital. Inclusion criterions: (i) Unstable fracture (Denis-Weber A, B) which met the indications of ORIF: displacement (or shortening) of the fibula more than 2 mm; (ii) Comminuted fractures (Denis-Weber A, B). The exclusion criteria were: (i) Type C Weber fractures; (ii) simple lateral malleolar fracture including linear and transverse/oblique fracture.

There were 12 male and 8 females, right side was involved in 18 patients and left in 2. The mean age was 51.9 years (range 18-75 years). All the fractures were categorized by Denis-Weber classification type A (n=1), B1 (n=1), B2 (n=13) and B3 (n=5). Nineteen cases were close injury and one was open injury (Gustilo Anderson type II) combined with ankle dislocation and medial deltoid ligament rupture. The patient who suffered open injury was managed by a staged protocol. The first stage comprised of the thorough debridement and bone distraction to restore the alignment of the ankle joint. In the second stage (15 days after initial injury), the ORIF was finished after excluding an infection. The causes of injury consisted of vehicular trauma (n=14) and high energy falls (n=6). There were nine cases which had osteoporosis [Singh’s Index grade I (n=1), grade II (n=3) grade III (n=5)], one case combined the osteochondral lesion of talar, five cases with syndesmotic injury. Five cases had syndesmotic injury. One case had associated osteochondral lesion.

Initial computerized tomography (CT) was performed for all the comminuted cases. The period from injury to ORIF ranged from 5 h to 16 days. The operation time was determined by surgeons according to the local state of the skin (swelling, blister). All patients were operated by same surgeon (FZ).

We used a longitudinal lateral approach to manage the lateral malleolar fracture under spinal anesthesia. In 18 cases, a separate medial incision was used for ORIF wherein a medial malleolar fracture was involved. All procedures including the management of the medial malleolar and posterior malleolar fractures were done according to AO rules. The distal cancellous bone screws need to be short of the medial side of the fibula to avoid damage to the lateral talar articular surface [Figure 2]. The fixation of the plate may be done with 3.5-mm cortical screws, but with a 2.7-mm drill for better screw hold. We fixed the plate in the anterior two-third area of distal fibula to avoid impingement between the plate and peroneal tendons [Figure 3]. For the syndesmotic diastasis cases, we used one or two 3.5 mm cortical screws placed between 2 and 5 cm above the ankle joint space in the neutral position. The screws were removed prior to full weight-bearing. There is no obvious anatomical marker.

![Figure 1: Clinical photograph (a) The anteroposterior and (b) lateral appearances of a lateral malleolus anatomical hook plate (Königsee Implant Company, Thüringen, Germany) showing the distal hooks, one sliding hole (the red arrow) and a valgus angle of plate](image1)

![Figure 2: The line diagram showing (a) preoperative distal comminuted fibular fragments which are tiny to fix by common fixations and (b) postoperative successful fixation achieved by means of special hook design](image2)
to contribute to fracture reduction in managing the distal comminuted lateral malleolus fracture; we restore the fibular length by means of adjusting the sliding screw position in the sliding hole. Fluoroscopy was used to verify the reduction of comminuted fractures. Good reduction was defined as fibula shortening ≤2 mm and a posterior displacement of less than 2 mm. The fair reduction represented a fibular shortening, a posterior displacement of 2-4 mm and 1-3 mm increase in the medial space. A poor reduction was defined as posterior displacement of above 4 mm and a fibula shortening in excess of 2 mm.¹

A short leg cast was applied postoperatively until wound healing. Active and passive rehabilitation was recommended strongly after operation. Gradual weight-bearing was started at 4 weeks and advanced as tolerated. Full weight-bearing was permitted when bony union was evident radiographically.

Results

All patients were evaluated through clinicoradiologically. The radiographs of ankles were taken in both anteroposterior (AP) and lateral views [Figure 4]. Radiographic healing was characterized as evidence of bridging callus across the fracture sites or the obliteration of the fracture lines on both AP and lateral radiographs.

Table 1: The essential clinical parameters and postoperative AOFAS scores

| Patient number | Age (years)/gender | Followup (months) | Weber type | Open or closed injury | Syndesmotic injury | Combined special injury | The interval from injury to operation | AOFAS score (postoperative) |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1             | 31/M               | 27                | B3 Close   | Yes                  | No                 | 5 days                 | 97                                  |                            |
| 2             | 67/M               | 18                | B2 Close   | No                   | No                 | <6 h                   | 94                                  |                            |
| 3             | 48/M               | 22                | B2 Close   | No                   | No                 | <6 h                   | 99                                  |                            |
| 4             | 63/F               | 21                | B3 Close   | Yes                  | No                 | <6 h                   | 78                                  | 98                         |
| 5             | 57/M               | 21                | B2 Close   | No                   | No                 | 7 days                 | 89                                  |                            |
| 6             | 55/F               | 24                | A Close    | No                   | No                 | <6 h                   | 98                                  |                            |
| 7             | 75/F               | 24                | B2 Close   | No                   | No                 | 8 days                 | 95                                  |                            |
| 8             | 39/M               | 18                | B2 Close   | Yes                  | No                 | <6 h                   | 99                                  |                            |
| 9             | 18/F               | 22                | B2 Close   | No                   | No                 | <6 h                   | 99                                  |                            |
| 10            | 36/M               | 16                | B2 Close   | No                   | No                 | <6 h                   | 98                                  |                            |
| 11            | 46/M               | 21                | B3 Close   | No                   | No                 | <6 h                   | 97                                  |                            |
| 12            | 34/F               | 26                | B2 Open    | No                   | Dislocation of ankle| 15 days                | 80                                  |                            |
| 13            | 72/M               | 24                | B2 Close   | Yes                  | No                 | <6 h                   | 95                                  |                            |
| 14            | 68/F               | 22                | B3 Close   | Yes                  | No                 | 7 days                 | 97                                  |                            |
| 15            | 52/M               | 18                | B1 Close   | No                   | No                 | <6 h                   | 100                                 |                            |
| 16            | 53/F               | 19                | B2 Close   | No                   | No                 | <6 h                   | 99                                  |                            |
| 17            | 42/M               | 24                | B2 Close   | Yes                  | No                 | <6 h                   | 98                                  |                            |
| 18            | 69/M               | 21                | B3 Close   | No                   | No                 | 5 days                 | 86                                  |                            |
| 19            | 37/M               | 24                | B2 Close   | No                   | No                 | <6 h                   | 98                                  |                            |
| 20            | 75/F               | 18                | B2 Close   | No                   | No                 | <6 h                   | 96                                  |                            |

AOFAS=American orthopedic foot and ankle society
patient had a superficial infection, after 5 days of treatment with oral antibiotics, the wound healed uneventfully. In one patient, the trans syndesmotic screw broke 2 months after full weight-bearing owing to patient’s poor compliance.

**Discussion**

The previous study showed the initial 1 mm lateral displacement of the talus or 3° external rotation of the distal fibula resulted in 42% reduction in the tibiotalar contact area and increased stress would lead to ankle arthritis. The exact restoration of anatomic structure with adequate length and rotation of the distal fibular are prerequisites for a good functional result in managing ankle fracture. An efficient fixation is essential for fusion and early rehabilitation. In cases with tiny fragments the
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**Figure 4:** A 34-year-old patient (No. 12) suffered comminuted fracture of left lateral malleolus associated with deltoid ligament rupture and open dislocation. (a) Preoperative X-ray ankle joint anteroposterior view showing the dislocation of ankle (b) lateral and (c) anteroposterior views after reduction showing comminuted distal lateral malleolar fracture (d) Preoperative CT including transection, (e) coronal section, (f) three dimensional reconstruction showing fragments of the distal lateral malleolar fractures (g) Postoperative X-ray of anteroposterior view showing good reduction and rigid fixation had been achieved through the hook plate. (h) Postoperative CT including transection, (i) coronal section showing bone union at 16 weeks, accompanying anatomical reconstruction of the articular surface.
Table 2: Radiological parameters

| Postoperative radiological parameters | Result (normal/abnormal) | Number of patients |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Joint space                           | Normal                   | 19                |
|                                       | Abnormal                 | 0                 |
| Fibular shortening                    | Normal                   | 20                |
|                                       | Abnormal                 | 0                 |
| Valgus angle of joint space           | Normal                   | 20                |
|                                       | Abnormal                 | 0                 |
| Talar tilt                            | Normal                   | 19                |
|                                       | Abnormal                 | 1                 |
| Syndesmosis                           | Normal                   | 19                |
|                                       | Abnormal                 | 1                 |

Table 3: Complications

| Complications                                | Number of patients |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Wound infection (superficial or deep)        | 1 (superficial)    |
| Soft tissue damage                           | 0                  |
| Fracture dislocation                         | 0                  |
| Loosening of the internal fixation           | 1                  |
| Impingement between the plate and tendon     | 1                  |
| Delayed union of bone                        | 0                  |
| Malunion                                     | 0                  |
| Nonunion of bone                             | 0                  |
| Traumatic arthritis                          | 1                  |
| Nerve injury                                 | 0                  |
| Thrombosis                                   | 0                  |

fixation is challenging. The common fixations (K-wires, tension band wires, one-third tubular plate, screws and intramedullary nails) are insufficient to fix fragments in those patients. When the distance from fracture level to distal tip of fibular is less than 2 cm then a plate cannot be fixed effectively.6 Our results showed that good anatomical reduction and effective fixation could be achieved by means of the lateral malleolus hook plate in cases with tiny distal fragments. The advantages of the lateral malleolus hook plate are given in literatures. There is a special hook design that can successfully fix comminuted fragments of distal fibular fracture.8 For the distal comminuted fibula fracture, the one-third tubular plate has many risks with higher postoperative loosening rate especially for the distal screw, leading to the comminuted fragment dislocation postoperatively.15 The hook plate achieved multi-plane fixations attributing to the distal special hook design.8 A study shows that two sharp hooks are helpful for improving anti-torsion properties, preventing distal screw loosening and maintaining the reduction effect during the period of healing which reduce the possibility of displacement which is effective to increase the fixing force of distal fracture fragment.8 Hook plates meet the demand of distal lateral fibular anatomical reconstruction owing to the plate’s valgus angle (10-15°), which can prevent narrowing of the ankle joint space resulting in limiting the activities of the talus.8 There is a sliding hole in the plate, which contributed to restoration of the length of the fibula in cases with comminuted fracture. In cases with a shortening of the distal fibula Gerber et al.17 recommended to fix the plate to the distal fibula firstly to adjust the length before fixing the plate to the proximal fibula. This can be achieved by using distraction against a proximal shaft screw (push-pull screw). There is no obvious anatomical marker to contribute to fracture reduction in managing the distal comminuted lateral malleolar fracture, we can restore the fibular length effectively by means of adjusting the sliding screw position in the sliding hole.

Due to the design of hook plate, impingement between the plate and peroneal tendon may occur resulting in the peroneal tendonitis. In our series we had one case with impingement between plate and peroneal tendons. Typically, the patient complained of pain in the lateral ankle and aggravation when the ankle was moving. We removed the internal fixation at postoperative 6 months. The symptoms disappeared immediately after the second operation. The plate may irritate peroneal tendons which was reported in previewed literature in terms of the application of an “anti-glide” plate to the fibula in a dorsal approach.14 The position of the plate is crucial. There is possible damage to peroneal tendons if the plate is placed too close to the peroneal tendons. Although the hook plate has biomechanical advantages in lateral malleolar fracture, we emphasize that it is recommended only for the distal lateral comminuted malleolar fracture due to its possible irritation with peroneal tendons.

Soft tissue problems are common in these procedures and are reported in up to 22% of cases.15,18 In present study, one case (5%) had superficial infection which recovered uneventfully after administering oral antibiotics. Ideally ORIF should be accomplished as quickly as possible (<6 h). After this period, the swelling becomes too tense and the operation has to be planned after the swelling has subsided.1 Meanwhile, less soft tissue dissection may reduce wound complication in the procedure of operation.18 Diabetes, dementia, peripheral vascular disease and smoking were also found to be statistically significant risk factors associated with wound complication.15 Our patients underwent operation at less than 6 h after injury or above 5 days, after clinical reduction of the swelling. We concluded that the operation time was critical according to the evaluation of local skin condition, which attributed to minimizing the wound complication risks.

Injuries of the superficial peroneal nerve may result directly from the procedure of ORIF. Neubauer et al.19 reported that
there was a great risk in managing distal fibular fracture with plate longer than six holes, associated with a longitudinal incision, midway between the anterior and posterior margins of the distal fibular. In present study, there was no nerve injury. We believe that a shorter plate could contribute to reducing the incidence of this damage.

Lee et al., documented the symptomatic hardware problems that existed in 45.5% patients in the plate group for treating lateral malleolar fractures, meanwhile, 95.5% patients asked to remove the hardware. Brown et al., reported 31% patients had lateral pain overlying their fracture hardware and nearly 50% continued to have pain even after hardware removal. In our study, only three patients (15%) required removal due to palpable hardware. The reason may be related to our small sample size.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, it is a retrospective study with a short-term followup. Secondly, the present study lacked a comparative group, such as patients managed with different implants. We only compared our results with relevant literatures. Thirdly, owing to the limited number of cases, we could not demonstrate the absolute clinical advantage of the lateral malleolus anatomical hook plate.

To conclude, the lateral malleolus anatomical hook plate is a reasonable alternative fixation option in managing comminuted fractures of lateral malleolus.
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