Nasal Obstruction as a Potential Factor Contributing to Hypoxemia in Obstructive Sleep Apnea
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**Purpose:** This study aimed to evaluate the correlation between nasal resistance and oxygen desaturation to better elucidate the role of nasal obstruction in the pathophysiology of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA).

**Patients and Methods:** Eighty-eight OSA patients aged between 22 and 77 years were enrolled in this study. Nasal resistance was measured at pressures of 75, 150, and 300 Pa, with the patients first in the seated position then in the supine position. Relationships between the oximetric variables and nasal resistance in the seated and supine positions were analyzed.

**Results:** From seated to supine position, a statistically significant increase in nasal resistance was observed at pressures of 75 and 150 Pa (p=0.001 and p=0.006, respectively). Significant positive correlations were noted between nasal resistance in the supine position at 75 Pa (SupineNR75) and oximetry variables, including oxygen desaturation index (ODI, p=0.015) and the percentage of total time with oxygen saturation level lower than 90% (T < 90%, p=0.012). However, significant positive correlations existed only in moderate to severe OSA when the study group was further divided into two subgroups (mild vs moderate to severe OSA). Body mass index (β = 0.476, p<0.001) and SupineNR75 (β = 0.303, p=0.004) were identified as independent predictors for increased ODI.

**Conclusion:** Nasal resistance in the supine position measured at 75 Pa significantly correlated with the severity of oxygen desaturation. Therefore, nasal obstruction may play an important role in the pathophysiology of hypoxemia in OSA patients, especially in patients with moderate to severe OSA.

**Keywords:** nasal resistance, rhinomanometry, polysomnography, OSA

**Introduction**
Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is associated with repeated episodes of upper airway collapse during sleep, which leads to intermittent hypoxemia, microarousals, sleep fragmentation, and excessive daytime sleepiness. OSA-related high-frequency intermittent hypoxemia, which differs from low-frequency sustained hypoxemia as seen in chronic lung disease, results in ischemia-reperfusion injury and has established negative effects on cardiovascular health. These repetitive cycles of desaturation-reoxygenation injury contribute to oxidative stress by formation of increased reactive oxygen species (ROS), which in turn causes devastating effects at the tissue level. Intermittent hypoxemia is now being considered as a main factor for diverse multiorgan morbidities, including cardiovascular disorder, metabolic malfunction, and neurodegenerative disease, and perhaps even in cancer progression.

Multilevel upper airway obstruction is generally observed in OSA patients. The nose, which is the first component of the upper airway, accounts for more than 50%
of total upper airway resistance. However, the role of nasal resistance as a causative factor for OSA has not been fully clarified.\(^5\) It is thought that nasal obstruction upstream exacerbates negative pressure of the oropharynx downstream, which in turn predisposes to pharyngeal collapse. Once nasal resistance surpasses a particular threshold, nasal breathing is less comfortable and natural, and a transition to oral breathing occurs. Unstable oral breathing causes a higher total upper airway resistance and exacerbates tongue base collapse. Additionally, reduced nasal breathing leads to deactivation of nasal receptors, inhibition of the nasal ventilatory reflex, and further decreased spontaneous ventilation. Nitric oxide (NO), an aerotransmitter generated in the nose, is a potent vasodilator for the lungs and plays an important role in regulating ventilation-perfusion balance. An increase in nasal resistance may cause a decrease in NO delivery to the lungs and further precipitate hypoxemia.\(^6\)

Several mechanisms have been postulated to explain the relationship between nasal resistance and OSA. However, the role of nasal obstruction in relation to hypoxemia in OSA has not been fully elucidated. In the current study, the correlation between nasal resistance and oximetry variables was further evaluated in depth. According to the International Committee on standardization of rhinomanometry, the resistance is generally measured at a pressure of 150 Pa. However, in some physiologic studies, if the pressure level of 150 Pa cannot be reached, the lower pressure levels of 75 and 100 Pa can be measured instead but should be specified.\(^7\) Recently, the majority of research centers utilized the pressure levels of 75 and 150 Pa to express nasal resistance.\(^8\) However, the pressure level which was used to determine the nasal resistance may be varied between distinct ethnic groups. A pressure level of 150 Pa was frequently chosen in the studies with Caucasian subjects,\(^9-11\) while pressure levels of 75 and 100 Pa were used extensively in the studies with Chinese and Japanese subjects.\(^12-14\) Some thorough studies even measured nasal resistance at pressure levels of 75, 150, and 300 Pa to carry out a more comprehensive analysis.\(^15,16\) Therefore, all pressure levels of 75, 150, and 300 Pa were included in the current study.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the correlation between nasal resistance and oximetry variables in polysomnography to better realize the role of nasal obstruction in the pathophysiology of OSA.

### Materials and Methods

#### Subjects and Measurements

From January 2017 to December 2018, 88 patients aged ≥20 years and apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) ≥5 episodes per hour of sleep with OSA-related symptoms were enrolled in this study. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) previous nasal surgery, nasal polyps, adenoid hypertrophy, sinonasal or upper aerodigestive tract neoplasm, (2) complete unilateral nasal obstruction which may potentially interfere with measurements of nasal resistance, and (3) neurodegenerative disorders or pulmonary disease. Patients were educated to discontinue oral and topical nasal medications 1 week before polysomnography and rhinomanometry. All patients received a detailed otolaryngologic evaluation, including clinical history and physical examination, nasopharyngoscopy, active anterior rhinomanometry, and nocturnal polysomnography. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taipei Tzu Chi Hospital (no. 08-XD-064). All procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Overnight Polysomnography

Enrolled patients underwent standard overnight type I polysomnography for at least 6 hours. Sleep recordings were based on the criteria of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine.\(^17\) The AHI was calculated as the total number of apnea and hypopnea events per hour of sleep. The oxygen desaturation index (ODI) was calculated as the number of events of oxygen desaturation of 3% or more per hour of sleep. Percentage of total time with oxygen saturation level lower than 90% (T < 90%) and minimal oxygen saturation (Minimal SaO2) were recorded.

#### Rhinomanometric Measurements

Tests were performed using the NR6 rhinomanometer (GM Instruments Ltd, Glasgow, UK). Nasal pressure and airflow were measured by active anterior rhinomanometry, which required patients to generate airflow via the nose by voluntary inspiration. All procedures were performed in accordance with the International Committee on Standardization of Rhinomanometry.\(^7\) A pressure sensing tube was inserted into the nostril with tight seal to the nasal alae without distortion of the external nose to measure pressure, while the other nostril was left patent to assess airflow by a pneumotachometer. All patients were educated to rest in the air-conditioned room for at least 5
minutes, which allowed better acclimation to the room environment. All measurements were obtained at pressures of 75, 150, and 300 Pa, with the patients first in the seated position, and then in the supine position during wakefulness. In order to prevent the influence of nasal cycle, all procedures were finished in 25 minutes. All nasal parameters were measured at least three times and then averaged for detailed analysis. Anterior rhinomanometry can only measure the resistance of one nasal passage one at a time, and the total resistance must be calculated from the formula. Overall nasal resistance was derived using the universally used formula below:

Total nasal resistance = (right nasal resistance x left nasal resistance)/(right nasal resistance + left nasal resistance).

Statistical Analysis
Polysonmographic and rhinomanometric data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Categorical data are expressed as numbers and percentages. Differences between nasal resistance in the seated and supine positions were compared using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Correlations between oximetric variables and nasal resistance in the seated and supine positions were analyzed via Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient and R square were computed for the multiple linear regression analysis. Differences were considered statistically significant if P<0.05. SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) was used for all statistical calculations.

Results
Sixty-two males and 26 females were enrolled in this study with a mean age of 49.53 years. ODI ranged from 0.9 to 114.6, with a mean of 36.0 events/hr. The T<90% ranged from 0.1% to 75.3%, with a mean of 18.2%. Minimal SaO2 ranged from 53.0% to 92.0%, with a mean of 74.8% (Table 1).

There were significant differences in nasal resistance between the seated and supine positions at pressures of 75 and 150 Pa (Table 2, p=0.001 and 0.006, respectively). While a significant increase in nasal resistance was observed at pressures of 75 and 150 Pa from the seated to the supine position, there was no statistically significant difference in nasal resistance between the seated and supine positions at pressures of 300 Pa (Table 2, p=0.216).

As shown in Table 3, Figures 1 and 2, significant positive correlations were noted between nasal resistance in the supine position at 75 Pa (SupineNR75) and oximetry variables, including ODI and T < 90% (P = 0.015 and 0.012). In addition, a positive agreement was noted between SupineNR75 and AH (P = 0.025). On the contrary, no significant correlations were found between nasal resistance in the seated position at all pressure levels (75, 150 and 300 Pa) and oximetry variables.

The study group was further divided into two subgroups, including mild OSA and moderate to severe OSA. Significant positive correlations still existed between SupineNR75 and oximetry variables in moderate to severe OSA. However, no significant correlations were noted between SupineNR75 and oximetry variables in mild OSA (Table 4).

Multiple linear regression analysis was utilized to determine independent predictors for severity of oxygen desaturation. BMI and SupineNR75 were recognized as independent

### Table 1 Patient Characteristics

| Variables          | Mean ± SD | Range  |
|--------------------|-----------|--------|
| Males, n (%)       | 62 (70.5%)|        |
| Females, n (%)     | 26 (29.5%)|        |
| Age (year)         | 49.53 ± 12.74 | 22–77 |
| BMI (kg/m²)        | 27.77 ± 4.64 | 15.60–41.00 |
| ESS                | 10.30 ± 4.50 | 0–22 |
| AHI (events/hr)    | 42.30 ± 27.48 | 5.00–133.80 |
| ODI (events/hr)    | 35.97 ± 27.51 | 0.90–114.60 |
| Minimal SaO2 (%)   | 74.82 ± 15.61 | 53.00–92.00 |
| T<90% (%)          | 18.21 ± 20.90 | 0.10–75.30 |

Notes: Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD). Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; ODI, oxygen desaturation index; Minimal SaO2, minimal oxygen saturation; T<90%, the percentage of total time with oxygen saturation level lower than 90%.

### Table 2 Comparison of Nasal Resistance in the Seated Position and the Supine Position at Pressures of 75, 150, and 300 Pascal

|                | Mean ± SD | P   |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| NR75           |           |     |
| Seated         | 0.155 ± 0.071 |     |
| Supine         | 0.193 ± 0.164 |     |
| NR150          |           |     |
| Seated         | 0.202 ± 0.797 | 0.006* |
| Supine         | 0.255 ± 0.171 |     |
| NR300          |           |     |
| Seated         | 0.262 ± 0.138 | 0.216 |
| Supine         | 0.317 ± 0.209 |     |

Notes: Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD); *P < 0.05. Abbreviation: NR75, NR150, NR300, nasal resistance at 75, 150 and 300 pascal.
predictors for ODI (Table 5). The results demonstrated that severity of oxygen desaturation, as defined by ODI, significantly correlated with BMI and SupineNR75 (Table 5).

**Discussion**

The pathogenic role of nasal resistance as a causative factor for OSA severity has been studied for years. However, the relationship between nasal resistance and OSA severity is still controversial. Some studies have reported possible correlations between OSA severity and nasal resistance, while many others failed to find any association between sleep-disordered breathing and nasal resistance. The discrepancy could be partially explained by the different postures studied when nasal resistance was measured, either in the seated or the supine position. Studies that revealed positive correlations between OSA severity and nasal resistance mostly measured results in the supine position, which is more comparable to physiologic conditions during sleep. In the current study, we evaluate nasal obstruction both in the seated and supine positions. Similar to the outcomes of a previous study, our results showed significantly higher nasal resistance in the supine position compared to that of the seated position at 75 and 150 Pa. In the supine position, nasal resistance tends to increase compared to that of the seated position in consequence of postural reflexes, as well as hydrostatic pressure in the nasal venous circulation.

In order to perform a thorough evaluation of the role of nasal resistance in OSA-related hypoxemia, all pressure levels of 75, 150, and 300 Pa were enrolled in the current study. The results revealed that one subject (1.1%) could not reach 75 Pa, 11 subjects (12.5%) could not reach 150 Pa, and 27 subjects (30.7%) could not reach 300 Pa under natural breathing in the seated position. Five subjects (5.7%) could not reach 75 Pa, 15 subjects (17%) could not reach 150 Pa, and 29 subjects (33%) could not reach 300 Pa under natural breathing in the supine position. In summary, the higher the pressure level, the more the proportion of subjects failed to obtain resistance data. Therefore, 300 Pa may not be proper for the clinical practice of rhinomanometry. To date, the clinical relevance of 300 Pa has never been reported in the literature. In this study, the results showed that nasal resistance measured at a pressure of 75 Pa in the supine position significantly correlated with oximetric variables. Therefore, 75 Pa may be a reasonable pressure level for rhinomanometry in Asian subjects.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to focus mainly on the relationship between nasal resistance and oximetric variables. Our results indicated significant correlations between SupineNR75 and oximetric variables (ODI and T < 90%). Multivariate analysis showed that SupineNR75 and BMI were predictive factors of increased ODI. Possible mechanisms involved in the development of nasal obstruction-related hypoxemia are the decreased nasal ventilatory reflex and reduced delivery of NO.

The efficacy of nasal surgery, such as septoplasty, inferior turbinate reduction, endoscopic sinus surgery, and nasal valve surgery for the treatment of OSA has been widely discussed in the literature. Although nasal surgery alone is not considered a curative treatment for OSA, it may ameliorate quality of life and snoring in a subgroup of OSA patients, and potentially improve

---

**Table 3** Spearman Correlation Between Oximetry Variables and Nasal Resistance in the Seated Position and in the Supine Position at Pressures of 75, 150, and 300 Pascal

|          | AH1  | ODI  | Minimal SaO2 | T<90% |
|----------|------|------|--------------|-------|
|          | rs   | P    | rs           | P     | rs  | P    |
| Seated   |      |      |              |       |     |      |
| NR75     | 0.095| 0.381| 0.163        | 0.135 | −0.055| 0.616| 0.168| 0.124 |
| NR150    | 0.018| 0.875| 0.082        | 0.484 | −0.016| 0.892| 0.062| 0.599 |
| NR300    | 0.062| 0.635| 0.126        | 0.341 | −0.187| 0.156| 0.167| 0.207 |
| Supine   |      |      |              |       |     |      |
| NR75     | 0.246| 0.025a| 0.269        | 0.015a| −0.135| 0.228| 0.276| 0.012a |
| NR150    | 0.105| 0.375| 0.176        | 0.143 | −0.115| 0.341| 0.226| 0.508 |
| NR300    | −0.026| 0.846| 0.058        | 0.666 | −0.044| 0.746| 0.063| 0.642 |

Note: *P < 0.05.

Abbreviations: rs, Spearman correlation coefficient; AH1, apnea-hypopnea index; ODI, oxygen desaturation index; Minimal SaO2, minimal oxygen saturation; T<90%, the percentage of total time with oxygen saturation level lower than 90%; NR75, NR150, NR300, nasal resistance at 75, 150 and 300 pascal.
positive airway pressure therapy compliance and tolerance. Nakata et al indicated that the lowest nocturnal oxygen saturation and Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) significantly improved after nasal surgery, although AHI remained unchanged. Quality of life impairment, including certain sinonasal symptoms, is significant among OSA patients, with notable improvement following nasal surgery. Improvement in quality of life may be explained by the increase of overall blood oxygenation after nasal surgery. Recent studies have evaluated the unfavorable effect of nasal septal deviation on cardiovascular health, which indicated that nasal obstruction resulted in chronic alveolar hypoxemia and hypercapnia. As a result, pulmonary hypertension and right ventricular failure were more likely to occur. Simsek et al found that both peripheral arterial oxygen saturation and right ventricular myocardial function improved significantly after nasal surgery, which further lends support to the contributory role of nasal resistance in hypoxemia. Similarly, Düzenli et al studied antioxidant enzyme levels, including catalase, superoxide dismutase, and glutathione, before and after septoplasty. They concluded that antioxidant enzyme levels were lower in patients with nasal septal deviation compared to controls. Septoplasty led to an increase in antioxidative stress markers. In summary, previous studies illustrated the efficacy of nasal surgery in the improvement of the lowest nocturnal oxygen saturation, arterial oxygen saturation, right ventricular myocardial function, and antioxidant enzyme levels, which indirectly substantiated the role of nasal resistance in OSA-related hypoxemia.

There are several limitations in this study. First, anterior rhinomanometry is unable to obtain nasal resistance if one nasal passage is completely obstructed. Since subjects
with complete unilateral nasal obstruction or severely deviated nasal septum were excluded in this study, the results may not fully represent the whole population of OSA patients. Nevertheless, active rhinomanometry is recommended by the International Committee on Standardization of Rhinomanometry as the most commonly used method to detect nasal resistance.  

Second, periodic congestion and decongestion of the nasal venous

**Table 4** Spearman Correlation Between Oximetry Variables and Nasal Resistance in the Supine Position at Pressures of 75 Pascal in Mild and Moderate to Severe OSA

|                   | AHI       | ODI       | Minimal SaO2 | T<90%     |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
|                   | $r_s$     | $P$       | $r_s$        | $P$       | $r_s$     | $P$         | $r_s$     | $P$         |
| SupineNR75        |           |           |              |           |           |             |           |             |
| Mild OSA          | 0.121     | 0.694     | 0.064        | 0.842     | -0.438    | 0.155       | 0.074     | 0.820       |
| Moderate to severe OSA | 0.248 | 0.041*    | 0.272        | 0.026*    | -0.109    | 0.380       | 0.265     | 0.030*      |

**Note:** $P < 0.05$.

**Abbreviations:** $r_s$, Spearman correlation coefficient; AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; ODI, oxygen desaturation index; Minimal SaO2, minimal oxygen saturation; T<90%, the percentage of total time with oxygen saturation level lower than 90%; SupineNR75, nasal resistance in the supine position at 75 pascal.
Table 5  Multiple Linear Regression Analysis to Predict the Potential Variables for ODI

| Variables | B     | β      | 95% CI          | P  |
|-----------|-------|--------|-----------------|----|
| Sex       | −3.660| −0.063 | −15.293–7.973  | 0.533 |
| Age, years| 0.240 | 0.114  | −0.202–0.681   | 0.283 |
| BMI, kg/m²| 2.830 | 0.476  | 1.589–4.070    | <0.001*|
| ESS       | 0.190 | 0.032  | −0.981–1.361   | 0.747 |
| MMS       | −0.131| −0.003 | −10.091–9.829  | 0.979 |
| Supine NR75| 48.088| 0.303  | 15.720–80.456  | 0.004*|

Note: *P < 0.05.
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; ESS, Epworth sleepiness scale; MMS, modified Mallampati score; Supine NR75, nasal resistance in the supine position at 75 pascal.

Conclusion
The role of nasal obstruction as a causative factor for OSA has not been fully elucidated in the literature. In this study, however, the results demonstrated that severity of oxygen desaturation, as defined with ODI, significantly correlated with BMI and Supine NR75. Nasal obstruction may play an important role in the pathophysiology of hypoxemia in OSA patients, especially in patients with moderate to severe OSA. The measurements of nasal resistance should be part of the comprehensive evaluation algorithm for OSA patients, and the corrective nasal surgery should be based on the results of rhinomanometry accordingly.
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