Feshbach Molecules in a One-dimensional Optical Lattice
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We present the theory of a pair of atoms in a one-dimensional optical lattice interacting via a narrow Feshbach resonance. Using a two-channel description of the resonance, we derive analytic results for the scattering states inside the continuum band and the discrete bound states outside the band. We identify a Fano resonance profile, and the survival probability of a molecule when swept through the Bloch band of scattering states by varying an applied magnetic field. We discuss how these results may be used to investigate the importance of the structured nature of the continuum in experiments.
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Ultracold atoms offer a compelling system for the study of fundamental physics, due to a large array of experimental tools allowing precision control of the state of the system. Two such tools are optical lattices [1] and Feshbach resonances [2]. With an optical lattice the atoms are trapped in a periodic light potential, while a Feshbach resonance is used to tune the interactions between the atoms. Recently, these tools have made interesting sequences on the interplay between bound and scattering states. Two of these, the transmission Fano profile and the survival probability of a molecule when swept across the resonance, are explicitly derived, and we through the continuum by varying an applied magnetic field. We discuss how these results may be used to investigate the importance of the structured nature of the continuum in experiments.

\[ \psi(z_1, z_2) = e^{iKz} \psi_K(z). \] (1)

The first Brillouin zone for the center of mass momentum, \( K \), runs from \( -\pi/a \) to \( \pi/a \), where \( a \) is the lattice spacing. The Hamiltonian for the relative motion in the open channel, \( H^{\text{op}} = [-2J\Delta_K^z + E_K + U\delta_{z,0}] \), contains the discrete Laplacian \( \Delta_K^z \psi_K(z) = -\frac{E_K}{4J}[\psi_K(z+a) + \psi_K(z-a) - 2\psi_K(z)] \), and the center of mass energy, \( E_K = -4J\cos(Ka/2) \), in addition to the interaction between the atoms in the open channel. The on-site interaction in the entrance channel is \( U \). We take the zero of energy to be the middle of the band, which spans the energy interval \( [-|E_K|, |E_K|] \).

The interacting Green’s function is found by explicitly solving the Dyson equation, \( \hat{G}_K^U(z) = \hat{G}_K^0(z) + \hat{G}_K^0(z)\hat{U}_K^U(z)\hat{G}_K^U(z) \). In coordinate space the result has the simple form

\[ \hat{G}_K^U(z) = \frac{\langle z|\hat{G}_K^U(z)|0\rangle}{1 - U\hat{G}_K^U(z)|0\rangle}, \] (3)

where \( \hat{G}_K^0(z) = \langle z|\hat{G}_K^0(z)|0\rangle \) is the Green’s function for the relative motion of two non-interacting atoms on the lattice, which for energies inside the band \( (|E| < |E_K|) \) is propagating

\[ \hat{G}_K^0(z) = \frac{i\exp(ipa z)}{\sqrt{E^2 - E_K^2}}, \] (4)

with \( pa = \cos^{-1}(E/E_K) \), while it falls of exponentially outside the band \( (|E| > |E_K|) \):

\[ \hat{G}_K^0(z) = \text{sign}(E) \frac{\exp(-k(a z))}{\sqrt{E^2 - E_K^2}} \frac{[-\text{sign}(E)]^{z/a}}{z}. \] (5)

Here \( ka = \cosh^{-1}|E/E_K| \). For energies above the band the sign of the \( \hat{G}_K^0(z) \) alternates between lattice sites.

\[ Z = (z_1 + z_2)/2, \] and relative, \( z = z_1 - z_2 \), coordinates the lattice allows a separable ansatz for the relative and center of mass motion:
The pole of \(G^0_K(E,z)\) at \(1 = UG^0_K(E_0,0)\) gives the energy of the bound pair state; \(E_0 = \text{sign}(U)\sqrt{E_K^2 + U^2}\). For \(U > 0\) the pole constitutes the repulsively bound pair studied recently [3].

In the following we derive the resonant scattering properties of the atoms and characterize the decay of molecular states in a two-channel model. We suggest avenues for the experimental investigation of these effects.

Our aim is to solve the discrete coupled channel equations for the relative motion on the lattice:

\[
H^{op}\psi^{op}_K(z) + W\delta_{z,0}\psi^{op}_K(z) = E\psi^{op}_K(z), \tag{6a}
\]

\[
H^{cl}\psi^{cl}_K(z) + W\delta_{z,0}\psi^{cl}_K(z) = E\psi^{cl}_K(z), \tag{6b}
\]

with a delta function coupling between the channels, \(\langle z,\text{op}\rangle|W|z',\text{cl}\rangle = W\delta_{z,0}\delta_{z',z'}\).

From the spectrum of \(H^{cl}\) we only retain the closed channel bound state, \(|\phi_{\text{res}}\rangle\), which causes the resonance. It is detuned by an energy \(E_{\text{res}}(B,K)\) from the open channel band center and unit normalized, \(\langle\phi_{\text{res}}|\phi_{\text{res}}\rangle = 1\). Hence we treat the closed channel Green’s function, 

\[
G^{cl}_K(E) = [E - \hat{H}^{cl}]^{-1},
\]

within a single-pole approximation

\[
G^{cl}_K(E) \approx |\phi_{\text{res}}\rangle\langle\phi_{\text{res}}| \frac{1}{E - E_{\text{res}}(B,K)}. \tag{7}
\]

The bare resonance energy varies linearly with the applied magnetic field, the slope being the difference between the closed and open channel magnetic moments, \(\Delta \mu\). To simplify the notation we introduce an effective coupling \(\langle z,\text{op}\rangle|W|\phi_{\text{res}}\rangle = W\delta_{z,0}\). Both \(W\) and \(U\) may be obtained from free space scattering parameters by integrating over 3D tight-binding orbitals, and can be tuned by adjusting the transverse lattice.

A numerical analysis based on perturbation theory shows that our restriction to the lowest band is valid provided the dimensionless coupling strength \((amu/m)(a_{bg}/100a_0)(\Delta \mu/\mu_B)(\Delta B/G)\) is small compared with 0.01 \((V_0^\perp + 3)(V_0^z)^{-0.6}\), where \(m\) is the atomic mass, \(a_{bg}\) is the background scattering length, and \(\Delta B\) is the magnetic field width of the resonance. The depths of the cosine optical lattice in the transverse, \(V_0^\perp\), and longitudinal, \(V_0^z\), directions are both measured in recoil units. The scaling factors are the atomic mass unit, \(amu\), the Bohr radius, \(a_0\), the Bohr magneton, \(\mu_B\), and Gauss, \(G\). If this criterion is not met, coupling to higher bands may not be neglected [15, 16].

The scattering amplitude, \(f(E,K)\), is deduced from the open channel component of the asymptotic solutions to Eqs. (6a, 6b). It can be written as \(f(E,K) = f_{bg}(E,K) + f_{\text{res}}(E,K)\), where the background contribution, \(f_{bg}(E,K) = UG^0_K(E,0)/[1 - UG^0_K(E,0)]\) is associated with scattering in the absence of the coupling and has been described in [3], while the resonant part is

\[
f_{\text{res}}(E,K) = \frac{W^2G^0_K(E,0)/[1 - UG^0_K(E,0)]^2}{E - E_{\text{res}}(B,K) - G^0_K(E,0)W^2}. \tag{8}
\]

The scattering states cover the same range of energies, \(\epsilon_K(k) = E_K \cos(ka)\), as the non-interacting pairs, and outside the band the poles of [3], \(E_b\), determined by

\[
\left[\sqrt{E_b^2 - E^{cl}_K^2} - U\text{sign}(E_b)\right] = \frac{W^2\text{sign}(E_b)}{E_b - E_{\text{res}}(B,K)}, \tag{9}
\]

correspond to discrete bound states of the coupled system. The bound state energies may be identified as a subset of the solutions to a quartic equation. The apparent pole at the uncoupled bound state energy, \(E_b^0\), has no weight, since the residues from the background and resonant parts of \(f(E,K)\) cancel.

The size of the bare resonance state is much smaller than the lattice spacing, and thus confined to a single lattice site, the bare molecules effectively experience a lattice twice as deep as the one in which the atoms move. Hence the molecular tunneling rate is greatly suppressed with respect to its atomic counterpart, and we neglect in the following the modulation of the resonance energy by the center of mass motion, \(E_{\text{res}}(B,K) \approx E_{\text{res}}(B)\).

![FIG. 1: (Color online) Scattering and bound states in an extended zone scheme for \(E_{\text{res}} = 2J\) (a). The colormap inside the band illustrates the reflection coefficient, \(R\), ranging from 0 (white) to 1 (blue). The solid lines are the bound state energies of the coupled system, while the dashed lines indicate the diabatic, uncoupled bound states, with the horizontal line giving \(E_{\text{res}}\), and the other corresponding to \(E_b^0\). The on-site interaction and the coupling strength are \(U = 3J\) and \(W = 1J\), respectively. (b): closed channel fraction of the bound states, Eq. (11). (c): resonance profiles (solid lines) for \(E_{\text{res}} = 2J\) at \(Ka/\pi = 0, 0.3, 0.5, \text{and} 0.6\) (from below). The dashed lines are the corresponding curves for the uncoupled case, where there is no resonance.](image)
Figures 1(a) and 2(a) show the variation of the scattering properties and the energies of the discrete bound states with the center of mass momentum and the bare resonance energy, respectively. The scattering is represented through the reflection coefficient, \( R = |f|^2 \), which ranges from 0 (no scattering) to 1 (unitarity). The unitary scattering at the band edges is evidence of the van Hove singularities in the density of states, \( \text{Im} G^0_K(E, 0) \). In the uncoupled case, where \( W = 0 \), two diabatic bound states exist, as indicated by the dashed lines in Figures 1(a) and 2(a). The bare resonance state (horizontal line in Fig. 1(a), sloped line in Fig. 2(a)) gives rise to the clearly visible scattering resonance where it cuts through the band. The bound state pole, \( E_b^0 \), of the open channel Green’s function (3) is represented by the sinusoidal and horizontal dashed lines in Figures 1(a) and 2(a), respectively. For a finite coupling the two bound states repel each other, leading to the avoided crossing in Fig. 2.

The coupling of the bare resonance state to the continuum gives it a finite width, whenever it is embedded in the band. However, the resonance only exists for a range of center of mass motion Bloch states for a given magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 1(a) [7]. Outside this range (and between higher bands [17]) there is instead a magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 1(a) [7]. Outside this range of center of mass motion Bloch states for a given magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 1(a) [7]. Outside this range of center of mass motion Bloch states for a given magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 1(a) [7].

The analytic shape of the resonance is described by a Fano profile in the transmission probability

\[
T = 1 - R = T_{bg} \frac{(\epsilon + q)^2}{\epsilon^2 + 1},
\]

arising due to interference between open and closed channels. The reduced variables \( \epsilon = 2(E - E_{\text{res}} - \Delta) / (\hbar \Gamma) \) and \( q = 2\Delta / (\hbar \Gamma) \) contain shift and width functions related to the the real and imaginary parts of the molecular self-energy: \( \Delta(E, K) - i\hbar \Gamma(E, K) / 2 = \langle \phi_{\text{res}} | W \hat{G}_K^0(E) \hat{W} | \phi_{\text{res}} \rangle = -W^2(U + i \sqrt{E_K^2 - E^2} / (E_K^2 + U^2 - E^2)) \), while the background transmission coefficient is \( T_{bg} = 1 / (1 + q^2) \). Note, that \( T \) assumes a simple Breit-Wigner profile, when the background interaction is ignored (\( U = 0 \), \( T_{bg} = 1 \) [7]).

We are aware that the lowest band approximation under which our calculations are valid is rather restrictive. However, to lowest order in the atom-molecule coupling the inclusion of higher bands only gives rise to real corrections to the molecular self-energy; modifying the shift, \( \Delta \), of the resonance energy, while leaving the lifetime unchanged. Furthermore, the shift will be only weakly energy dependent, because the considered energies are well separated from the higher bands. The Fano resonance profile in the transmission is thus expected to be essentially unchanged if higher bands are included.

The Fano profile (10) describes the scattering states of the system, but it also parametrizes the probability of transitions from a different initial state to the energy eigenstates inside the band. In the same way as Fano’s theory was originally used to describe photoionization via autoionizing states [13], Eq. (10) and Figures 1(c) and 2(c) describe the probability of RF- or photodissociative transitions from deeper bound states in the molecule.

We now turn to the bound states of the coupled system. Their wavefunctions have open and closed channel parts given by \( \psi_b^0(z) = \sqrt{Z_b W G_K^0(E_b, z)} \) and \( \psi_b^i(z) = \sqrt{Z_b\delta_{z,0}} \), respectively. From normalization it follows that the closed channel fraction of the bound state at energy \( E_b \) is

\[
Z_b = \left[ 1 + \frac{W^2}{1 - UC_K^0(E_b, 0)} \frac{|E_b|}{(E_b - E_K^2)^{3/2}} \right]^{-1},
\]

which is plotted in Figures 1(b) and 2(b). For the Feshbach molecule \( Z_b \) vanishes exactly when the state enters the continuum, becoming the scattering resonance.

In momentum space the component of the coupled channels bound state in the open channel is

\[
\phi_b^{op}(k) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha Z_b}{2\pi}} \frac{G_K^0(E_b, k)}{1 - UC_K^0(E_b, z = 0)},
\]

while \( \phi_b^i(k) = \sqrt{\alpha Z_b} / 2\pi \) for all \( k \). The non-interacting quasi-momentum Green’s function is \( G_K^0(E, k) = [E - E_K \cos(ka) + i\eta]^{-1} \). For \( |K| < \pi / a \) and for \( E_b > 0 \) (\( E_b < 0 \)) the bound state function \( \phi_b^{op}(k) \) is peaked at the edges (center) of the Brillouin zone. This reflects that \( \phi^{op}_b(k) \) is predominantly comprised of the \( k \)-states with energies in proximity of \( E_b \). For \( E_b > 0 \) (\( E_b < 0 \)) this corresponds to the states near the top (bottom) of the band [8]. Thus the location of the peaks makes it possible to distinguish...
between bound states lying above or below the continuum in time of flight. The height of the peaks are reduced as \( K \) approaches \( \pm \pi/a \), where all quasi-momentum states are degenerate in our model.

At a given energy inside the band the Feshbach molecules are unstable. Their dissociative energy per atom approaches half the lower dissociation energy per atom (right) for \( \alpha=0, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10 \) (from the top). \( U = 2J \). For fast ramps all molecules survive, but as the ramp speed is decreased more molecules decay and the dissociation energy approaches half the lower band edge (dashed line).

\[
\chi \equiv m(|E_K|) = \exp \left[ -2\pi\alpha \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2}{1 + \beta^2}} \right) \right], \tag{13}
\]

depending only on the two constants \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). This expression is plotted in the left hand panel of Fig. for \( U = 2J \). Again, this result is expected to be modified only slightly by the inclusion of higher bands.

In the case of vanishing background interaction, \( U = 0 \), the survival probability assumes a Landau-Zener form, in which \( \alpha \) plays the role of the adiabaticity parameter. We also note that in the limit \( U \to \pm \infty \) all molecules survive the passage through the band. The limit \( \alpha \to 0 \), corresponding to sweeps instantaneous on the time scale set by the coupling, also leaves the molecules intact. Finally, \( \chi \to 1 \) at \( K = \pi/a \) due to the vanishing width of the band at the center of mass zone boundary. This is an artifact of omitting tunneling beyond nearest neighbor. As more distant tunneling amplitudes are incorporated the band widens at the zone boundary and an extra van Hove singularity develops in the density of states. Lastly, we note that \( \chi \) is independent of the sign of \( U \).

The average energy of the dissociated atoms is plotted in the right hand panel of Fig. As a smaller fraction of the molecules survive the passage through the band the dissociation energy per atom approaches half the lower band edge, \( -|E_K|/2 = -2J \cos(\pi/a) \). Molecule dissociation energies have been measured in free space and the energy distribution after the sweep through the energy band may be identified by studying the ballistic expansion of the gas after the atoms are released from the lattice on a time scale, admitting adiabatic mapping of the quasi-momentum states to plane wave momentum states.
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