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Wear Resistance Mechanism of Alumina Ceramics Containing Gd$_2$O$_3$

Tingting Wu $^{1,*}$, Jianxiu Su $^{1}$, Yongfeng Li $^{1}$, Hongyuan Zhao $^{1}$, Yaqi Zhang $^{1}$, Mingming Zhang $^{1}$ and Bolin Wu $^{2,*}$

$^{1}$ Research Branch of Advanced Materials & Green Energy, School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang 453000, China; jsu2003@hist.edu.cn (J.S.); yongfengli121@outlook.com (Y.L.); hongyuanzhao@126.com (H.Z.); zhangyaqi_1988@163.com (Y.Z.); zmmhqm@163.com (M.Z.)

$^{2}$ College of Material Science and Engineering, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin 541004, China

* Correspondence: wtingtingwu@163.com (T.W.); wubolin3211@163.com (B.W.);
Tel.: +86-15560206921 (T.W.); +86-13907838838 (B.W.)

Received: 16 August 2018; Accepted: 18 October 2018; Published: 21 October 2018

Abstract: Excellent wear resistance of alumina ceramics is a desirable quality for many products. The purpose of this work was to improve the wear resistance of 99% alumina ceramics in an Al$_2$O$_3$–Gd$_2$O$_3$–SiO$_2$–CaO–MgO (AGSCM) system. The content of Gd$_2$O$_3$ varied from 0.01% to 1%. A test of wear rate was performed in a ball milling apparatus in a water environment according to the Chinese industry standard. The compositions and microstructure of this material, as well as the effect of bulk density on wear rate, were studied. The effect of Gd$_2$O$_3$ on phases, grain growth mode, and grain boundary cohesion was investigated. It was found that Gd$_2$O$_3$ could refine grain size, form compressive stress of the grain boundary, and promote the crystallization of CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$. The wear rate of this material was as low as 0.00052 h$^{-1}$ (the Chinese industry standard wear rate is ≤ 0.15%). The mechanisms for wear resistance of AGSCM ceramics were also determined.
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1. Introduction

Wear can result in economic waste and safety risks due to maintenance or replacement costs and loss of production or availability [1,2] in equipment such as valves, bearings, cutting tools, sandblasting nozzles, artificial joints, grinding media, etc. Alumina ceramics are attractive for many industrial applications due to their excellent properties and relatively low cost of manufacture [3,4].

There has been much research on the wear resistance of ceramics. Grain size is deemed to be one of the most important factors in determining wear rate of ceramics. Doğan [5] discovered that grain size must be tiny for optimal wear resistance regardless of the content of Al$_2$O$_3$. Meng et al. [6] prepared two different grain-size alumina materials by spark plasma sintering technique to study their tribological behavior. The result indicated that the wear loss volume of the sample with finer grain was only half that of the sample with coarser grain. However, Esposito [4] thought that the composition of the second phase and the content of the glass phase were fundamental causes in determining the nature of wear. David [7] confirmed that alumina ceramics with glass phase at the grain boundary were much more resistant to fatigue wear than alumina ceramics containing no or very little glass phase. Goswami et al. [8] also put forward a point that the wear resistance of liquid-phase-sintered alumina ceramics was better than highly pure polycrystalline alumina ceramics. Zhou et al. [9] reported that La$_2$O$_3$/Y$_2$O$_3$ improved the wear resistance and toughness of ceramics through the "pull-out" effect. However, Lee et al. [10] pointed out that the effect of toughness on wear resistance was insignificant.
They noted that the wear resistance of material decreased rather than increased with an increase in toughness. There are therefore different views on the influence factors of wear resistance.

The development of science and technology has not only brought a higher requirement for wear resistance but also demanded higher purity for materials, such as insulators used for high-pressure and extra-high voltage systems, electric components with low dielectric loss and good surface finish, ceramic tubes used for lamps, precision components in aerospace domain, etc. The impurity content is the key issue in preparation of high-purity alumina ceramics. Ball milling is an important link of production in many industrial sectors, such as silicate, powder metallurgy, food, medicine, and other industries [11]. Grinding media are indispensable materials for ball milling. However, they are also one of the main pollution sources. Therefore, the quality of alumina grinding media is an important factor that affects the quality of products. For example, the raw materials of 99% alumina ceramics must be milled using 99% alumina grinding media with outstanding wear resistance, which can reduce the pollution from abrasion. However, the sintering temperature of 99% alumina ceramics is too high, and its glass phase content is too little. Therefore, the grains always become coarse and uneven, leading to weak wear resistance. The need to improve the wear resistance of high alumina ceramics is thus imperative.

Most studies in this field have proven that the wear resistance of alumina ceramics not only has a strong dependence on the microstructure [12,13] but is also relevant to the chemical composition of the ceramics [14,15]. Rare earths can greatly improve the quality and properties of materials. They are known as “the vitamin of modern industry”. A lot of researches have reported that rare-earth oxides can improve density, strength, hardness, thermal stability, creep resistance of materials, etc. [16–22]. However, the effect of rare-earth oxides on wear resistance mechanism has not been systematically investigated. The application of Gd$_2$O$_3$ has made a satisfactory progress in recent years [23–25], but few investigations on the wear-resistant mechanism of materials have been reported. The Hund rule says that the electronic shell is more stable when it achieves the states of completely empty, completely full, or half-full. The ground state electrons of La$^{3+}$, Gd$^{3+}$, and Lu$^{3+}$ are [Xe]4f$^0$, [Xe]4f$^7$, and [Xe]4f$^{14}$, respectively. La$_2$O$_3$ and Lu$_2$O$_3$ can improve the wear resistance of alumina ceramics [26,27]. However, whether Gd$_2$O$_3$ can also improve the wear resistance of alumina ceramics is unclear. Many experiments have confirmed that the sintering temperature of CaO–MgO–Al$_2$O$_3$–SiO$_2$ system is low because the liquid phase appears at low temperature. In this study, we reveal the mechanism of Gd$_2$O$_3$ that influences the wear resistance of high alumina ceramics in CaO–MgO–Al$_2$O$_3$–SiO$_2$ system by studying their density, wear rate, phase composition, and microstructure.

2. Materials and Methods

High alumina ceramics were prepared in an Al$_2$O$_3$–Gd$_2$O$_3$–SiO$_2$–CaO–MgO (AGSCM) system. Samples were prepared using a commercial alumina powder (>99.8% purity; a mean particle size of 0.65 µm; chemical composition: Na$_2$O: 0.1%, Fe$_2$O$_3$: 0.03%, SiO$_2$: 0.05%, TiO$_2$: 0.005, CaO: 0.05%, MgO: 0.1%). The alumina powder was mixed with magnesia, calcium carbonate, silicon dioxide, and gadolinium oxide. The mass proportions of raw materials were weighed (Al$_2$O$_3$ and Gd$_2$O$_3$: 99 wt%; CaO: 0.33 wt%; MgO: 0.33 wt%; SiO$_2$: 0.34 wt%). When the Gd$_2$O$_3$ contents were 0 wt%, 0.01 wt%, 0.1 wt%, and 1 wt%, the samples were referred to as Sample 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The powders were mechanically milled for 24 h using a ball mill and then shaped to obtain green compacts (sphere of 30mm in diameter) by cold isostatic pressing at 100 MPa. They were sintered at 1475 °C for 1 h in air. After these processes, bulk density and wear rate were tested. Bulk density was measured by the Archimedes method. Wear rate was tested in accordance with Chinese Building Materials Industry Standard JC/T 848.1-2010 (alumina grinding ball). The process was as follows: We first weighed a sample ($M_1$) and measured its diameter ($D_x$). A 1 kg sample and 1 L water were put into a polyurethane pot and then milled for 24 h. The speed of the ball mill was 80 rpm. The sample
was then dried and weighed again \((M_2)\). The process was repeated for each sample. The wear rate was calculated using the following equation:

\[
W = KD (M_1 - M_2)/M_1
\]

where \(W\) is wear rate \((\%o)\); \(K\) is a constant \((4.17 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mm}^{-1})\); \(D\) is the mean diameter (mm) of samples; \(M_1\) is the weight of sample before wear \((g)\); \(M_2\) is the weight of sample after wear \((g)\). Based on the industry standard, the wear rate of alumina grinding ball (alumina content of about 99 wt\%) should be less than 0.15\%. 

Phase compositions of samples were identified by comparing the X-ray powder diffraction (\(\text{Cu } \text{K}_\alpha\)) patterns with the standard chart. The test was carried out in an “X’Pert PRO” multipurpose X-ray diffractometer (PANalytical B.V., Almelo, Netherlands) with 40 kV voltage and 40 mA current. X-ray patterns were taken by measuring 2\(\theta\) from 5\(^\circ\) to 90\(^\circ\) at a step size of 0.02\(^\circ\) and a dwell time of 5 s per step. The results of the powder diffraction patterns were analyzed with X’Pert High Score Plus software. The microstructures of the sintered samples were characterized using field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, S-4800, Hitachi, Japan) equipped with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). Thermal expansion of the glass phase was tested by dilatometer (DIL402C, NETZSCH Group). Cylindrical samples \((L = 26 \text{ mm}, \Phi = 5 \text{ mm})\) were prepared according to the blending ratio of flux in the alumina ceramics. The sample was then put into the dilatometer. One end of the sample was anchored, and the other end of the sample contacted with the ejector rod. The sample, support, and ejector rod were raised to a high temperature at the same time. Their difference values were conveyed by the ejector rod. Due to the effect of equipment parts, the calculation of thermal expansion coefficient needed to add a modified value.

3. Results

3.1. Wear Rate and Bulk Density

Bulk density is a reliable index for reflecting the densification of ceramic materials. Wear rate is an index to evaluate the wear resistance of ceramics. According to Chinese Building Materials Industry Standard, wear rate of 99% alumina grinding ball should be less than 0.15\%, and its bulk density should be more than 3.85 \(\text{g/cm}^3\). The wear rates and bulk densities of samples are shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1](image-url)
The density curve shows that the bulk density decreased with the increase in Gd$_2$O$_3$ content. Sample 2 contained 0.01% Gd$_2$O$_3$, and its density was 3.89 g/cm$^3$, which was the same as Sample 1 without Gd$_2$O$_3$. This meant that a trace amount of Gd$_2$O$_3$ did not affect the density of alumina ceramics too much. When Gd$_2$O$_3$ content reached 0.1 wt%, the density of Sample 3 declined notably. When Gd$_2$O$_3$ content was 1 wt%, the density of Sample 4 was the minimum (3.85 g/cm$^3$). The results indicated that adding Gd$_2$O$_3$ was detrimental to the bulk density of alumina ceramics.

The curve of wear rate varied parabolically with the increase in Gd$_2$O$_3$ content. The wear rate of Sample 2 containing 0.01% Gd$_2$O$_3$ fell sharply to 0.00052%, which reached the minimum. Under equivalent conditions, the wear rate of a product with good wear resistance on the market is 0.125‰. The wear resistance of Sample 2 was better than that of Sample 1 (0.00064‰) and had been enhanced nearly 200 times over the product. However, the wear rates of Samples 3 and 4 rose rapidly and were higher than that of Sample 1. The results indicated that trace amounts of Gd$_2$O$_3$ could effectively improve the wear resistance of alumina ceramics. The appropriate quantity of Gd$_2$O$_3$ was 0.01 wt%, and excessive Gd$_2$O$_3$ resulted in weak wear resistance and low density of alumina ceramics.

### 3.2. Phase Composition

Phase compositions of the samples with different Gd$_2$O$_3$ contents were measured and analyzed. The X-ray diffraction patterns of samples are shown in Figure 2. The diffraction peaks of Al$_2$O$_3$ and MgAl$_2$O$_4$ were identified in all four samples. With the increase in Gd$_2$O$_3$ content, a new phase (CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$) was observed in Sample 4. This indicated that Gd$_2$O$_3$ could promote CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$ generation; however, the crystalline phase containing Gd was undetected.

![Figure 2](Figure 2. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of samples with different Gd$_2$O$_3$ concentrations (Sample 1: 0 wt%; Sample 2: 0.01 wt%; Sample 3: 0.1 wt%; Sample 4: 1 wt%).)

The ionic radius of Ca is 0.099 nm, which is approximately that of Gd (0.097 nm) [28]. Major factors that affect the solid solution to form include ionic radius and valence states. The difference between the two ionic radii is less than 15%, which is a favorable condition for forming a substitutional solid solution. When the valence of elements is different, compounds can keep electric neutrality by changing the structure [29]. Therefore, we deduced that Gd$^{3+}$ replaced Ca$^{2+}$ to form solid solutions with CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$. In order to reveal where Gd$_2$O$_3$ went and the generation causes of CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$, the solid solution experiment of Gd$_2$O$_3$ and CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$ was designed. CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$ was synthesized (Sample D). Then, 10 mol% or 30 mol% of Gd was used to replace Ca and Samples D$_x$-(Gd$_x$Ca$_{1-x}$)Al$_y$O$_{19}$ were prepared (Table 1). The samples were characterized by XRD. The results are shown in Figure 3.
Table 1. Stoichiometric coefficient of (Gd\textsubscript{x},Ca\textsubscript{1−x})Al\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19}.

| No.      | Chemical Formula        | x   | y   |
|----------|-------------------------|-----|-----|
| D        | CaAl\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19} | -   | -   |
| D\textsubscript{0.1Gd} | (Gd\textsubscript{0.1},Ca\textsubscript{0.9})Al\textsubscript{11.97}O\textsubscript{19} | 0.1 | 11.97 |
| D\textsubscript{0.3Gd} | (Gd\textsubscript{0.3},Ca\textsubscript{0.7})Al\textsubscript{11.9}O\textsubscript{19} | 0.3 | 11.9 |

Figure 3. X-ray diffraction patterns of (Gd\textsubscript{x},Ca\textsubscript{1−x})Al\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19}.

The diffraction peaks of Sample D and D\textsubscript{0.1Gd} matched well with the PDF (Powder Diffraction File) card of CaAl\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19}. There was no impurity in them. However, there were some impurities when the content of Gd reached 30 mol\% (Sample D\textsubscript{0.3Gd}). This indicated that Sample D\textsubscript{0.3Gd} was not a pure substance. In order to calculate lattice parameters, slow scan was taken by measuring 2\theta from 33.5° to 37.5° and from 59.8° to 60.6° at a step size of 0.017° and a dwell time of 122 s per step. The result showed that the diffraction peaks of D\textsubscript{0.1Gd} shifted slightly in varying degrees. Because the ionic radius of Gd is smaller than that of Ca, the crystalline interplanar spacing should decrease when Gd\textsuperscript{3+} replaces Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in CaAl\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19}. It was derived that 2\theta should be increased according to the Bragg equation (2\textit{d}\textit{sin}\theta = \lambda), so diffraction peaks of D\textsubscript{0.1Gd} would shift slightly to the high-angle region. The lattice parameters of D and D\textsubscript{0.1Gd} were calculated by MDI Jade 6.0 software. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Lattice parameters of Sample D and D\textsubscript{0.1Gd}.

| No.      | Stoichiometric Formula        | Lattice Parameters | Lattice Volume/V |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|          |                               | a = b             | c               |                  |
| D        | CaAl\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19} | 5.55272           | 21.88913        | 584.48          |
| D\textsubscript{0.1Gd} | (Gd\textsubscript{0.1},Ca\textsubscript{0.9})Al\textsubscript{11.97}O\textsubscript{19} | 5.5533            | 21.88022        | 584.37          |

CaAl\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19} belongs to the hexagonal system, so its lattice parameters are different (a = b ≠ c). Grain growth of CaAl\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19} is anisotropic. Crystal nucleus preferentially grows along the basal plane to form a plate or tabular crystal [30,31]. As can be seen in Table 2, the lattice parameter a (b) of Sample D\textsubscript{0.1Gd} was longer than that of Sample D, and the lattice parameter c of Sample D\textsubscript{0.1Gd} was shorter than that of Sample D. However, compared with Sample D, lattice volume of Sample D\textsubscript{0.1Gd} decreased. This conforms to the fact that the ionic radius of Gd is smaller than that of Ca. The results demonstrated that Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} could promote the formation of CaAl\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19} by forming solid solutions. In the ceramics containing Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, the CaAl\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19} phase was the solid solution of Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and CaAl\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19} (in Figure 2).
3.3. Microstructure

Four samples were cut and polished for FESEM testing. The microstructure of the cross section is shown in Figure 4. With the increase in Gd$_2$O$_3$ content, the grain size of the sample took on a trend of polarization: The size of small grains decreased and their quantity increased; however, the size of big grains increased and the average grain size increased.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) photographs of samples. The wear resistance of Sample 2 (0.01 wt% Gd$_2$O$_3$) is the best; the wear resistance of Samples 3 and 4 are worse than that of Sample 1 without Gd$_2$O$_3$.

A comparison between the four samples showed that the average grain sizes of Samples 1 and 2 were the smallest. A bar graph of grain size distribution showed that the percentage of small grains whose size was less than 0.2 µm was about 5%. The small grain size of Sample 2 (0.08 µm) was smaller than that of Sample 1 (0.1 µm), but the size of big grain increased. Even so, the average grain size of Sample 2 was the same as that of Sample 1. Besides, the microstructures of both were similar. There were pores in the corner of grains, which were left over from the intergranular voids in starting materials briquetting. Therefore, the pores were granular inclusions at the grain boundary. The pores moved together with interface to gradually gather at the corners of grains [29]. The difference between Sample 1 and Sample 2 was that the pores of the grain interior decreased in Sample 2. Pores can be left behind by the moving interface to form pores of the grain interior [29]. The results indicated that Gd$_2$O$_3$ could reduce the migration rate of grain boundary to provide ample time for removing pores.

The smallest grain size of Sample 3 (Gd$_2$O$_3$: 0.1 wt%) was also 0.08 µm, which was the same as Sample 2. However, the number of small grains increased to 6% in Sample 3. Dopants can alter the boundary, lattice, and surface diffusivities, leading to a change in boundary [32,33]. Large rare-earth cations segregate at the grain boundaries, which can create drag forces on the boundary motion and block the diffusion of other ions along grain boundaries. This results in reducing grain-boundary diffusivity and inhibiting grain growth. By comparing the size and quantity of small grains, it was discovered that moderate amounts of Gd$_2$O$_3$ could make the grains finer and inhibit grain growth.

The smallest grain size, the biggest grain size, and the average grain size of Sample 4 were all bigger than the other samples. In Sample 4, the content of Gd$_2$O$_3$ was the highest. When the content of rare-earth oxide is too much, the microregion liquid can be formed easily because of the impurities (K$^+$, Na$^+$) in the raw material and rare-earth oxide. Pillai et al. [33] reported that when the impurity content at the grain boundary attained a critical level, liquid phases of thermodynamically stable thickness
could be formed and could induce a sudden increase in the mobility of grain boundaries that were wetted by the liquid film at higher temperature, thus resulting in grain growth. Besides, the reduction of grain size resulted in an increased number of grain boundaries. The large rare-earth ions were not enough to cover all grain boundaries, causing individual abnormal grain growth [34]. Ucar et al. [3] believed that the large grain size caused large particles coming off during the wear process. In addition to the impact on grain size, Gd$_2$O$_3$ also affected the density of alumina ceramics. The microstructures of Sample 1, 2, and 3 were not changed very much; they were similar in pore size and pore quantity. However, the pore size and pore quantity of Sample 4 increased markedly, and its density declined dramatically. The result was consistent with the bulk density (Figure 1). Poor density is bad for wear resistance of materials.

The results indicated that trace addition of Gd$_2$O$_3$ could make the grains finer and inhibit grain growth by slowing down the rate of grain boundary. Therefore, the performance of ceramics was improved. In order to uncover how to improve the wear resistance of alumina ceramics by adding trace amounts of Gd$_2$O$_3$, microstructures between grains of Samples 1 and 2 were studied (Figure 5).

Figure 5. FESEM photographs of microstructure between grains of Samples 1 and 2.

In Sample 1, the length–width ratio of grains was small and the grain boundary was broad. The voids were big at the intersectional parts of three grains. In Sample 2, preferred orientation growth of grains was obvious. The grain surface presented squeezing growing lines at the intersectional parts of three grains. On the one hand, squeezing growth among grains plays a role of inhibiting grain growth; on the other hand, compressive stress of the grain boundary can improve the toughness of the materials and consume the foreign energy, thus improving the brittleness of ceramic materials [35]. The feature of Sample 2 was compressive stress of the grain boundary. Its grain boundary was narrower than Sample 1. Generally, the array of grains is disorderly at the grain boundary, which leads to many defects and loose structure. Therefore, grain boundaries are vulnerable to damage. Narrow grain boundary not only reduces the defects but also shortens the distance to improve binding force between adjacent grains. This was reflected in the fracture morphology (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Fracture surfaces of Samples 1 and 2.
The relationship between the fracture morphology of materials and mechanical property was linear: When the grain size was similar, the larger the structural fluctuation of fracture, the more complex was the crack propagation path. More energy was consumed during the fracturing process. This meant that the materials had high wear resistance. Otherwise, the flatter the structural fluctuation of fracture, the worse was its property [36]. As can be seen in Figure 6, the grain size of Sample 1 was very close to that of Sample 2. Fracture mode included intergranular fracture and transgranular fracture. Fracture paths of Sample 1 were straight, and the cleavage step of grain was observed at transgranular fracture (arrow), which displayed the characteristic of cleavage fracture; its fracture morphology was flat. The fracture of Sample 2 was uneven and very crude, indicating that the fracture paths of Sample 2 were zigzag. Some tearing ridges appeared in transcry stalline fracture of grain. The winding path and tearing ridge (as indicated by the black arrows) reflected that more fracture energy was consumed in the sample containing rare-earth oxide. Therefore, it was proven that the binding forces between grains were very strong.

3.4. Effect of Gd$_2$O$_3$ on the Thermal Expansion of Glass Phase

In ceramics, rare-earth ions are mainly found in the crystalline phase and the glass phase. The difference in thermal expansion coefficients between alumina and glass phase has a great impact on the wear resistance of alumina ceramics. The glass phase exists between grains; its thermal expansion behavior mainly affects stress at the grain boundary. The stress is not enough to cause damage (tiny cracks), but the destructive power will be enhanced when it combines with the induced stress of wear [8]. The glass phase expands during the heating process, and its volume contraction is also serious during cooling process. The tensile stress induced by shrinkage deformation is much larger than compressive stress that accumulates during heat-up, which causes high residual tensile stresses at the grain boundary. This is not good for improving wear resistance of materials [29]. In order to research the effect of Gd$_2$O$_3$ on thermal expansion of glass phase, Sample RJ was prepared according to the blending ratio of flux in alumina ceramics. Sample RJ-Gd was prepared by adding Gd$_2$O$_3$ into Sample RJ. The content of Gd$_2$O$_3$ was 0.5wt%. The thermal expansion curves of Sample RJ and RJ-Gd are shown in Figure 7.

*Figure 7. Thermal expansion curves of fluxes.*

Expansion softening point of the two samples was similar. However, the thermal expansion curve of Sample RJ–Gd was below Sample RJ. The expansion quantity of Sample RJ–Gd started to be lower than that of Sample RJ from 300 °C onwards. During cooling, the low expansion quantity of glass had less volume shrinkage deformation, which could decrease residual tensile stress at the grain boundary. Combined with the squeezing growing lines of grain surface (Figure 5) and the...
thermally with a thermal expansion curve of Sample RJ–Gd, it is asserted that the tensile stress of glass phase induced by restrained shrinkage deformation was smaller than the accumulated compressive stress on heating. Therefore, the compressive stress was formed at the grain boundary, which was another reason for improving wear resistance of alumina ceramics.

4. Discussion

In this study, we discovered that a trace amount of Gd$_2$O$_3$ could improve the wear resistance of alumina ceramics. The thermal expansion coefficients are different along different crystallographic directions of alumina grains ($\alpha_a = 8.6 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ and $\alpha_c = 9.5 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$), which leads to residual stress in ceramics. The big and inhomogeneous residual stress can cause self-forming microcracks inside materials [37]. However, small grain size and narrow distribution can reduce the tensile stresses caused by the anisotropy of thermal expansion coefficients among different grains, thus enhancing the wear resistance of materials [38]. A number of researchers [5,8,39] have noted that ceramics with smaller crystal size demonstrate a higher level of physical properties. Evans had pointed that the brittleness of ceramics was the major cause of wear [40,41]. The manifestation of wear is fracture. Compared with coarser-grained ceramics, an increased amount of grain boundary leads to a zigzag fracture path in fine-grained ceramics. The zigzag fracture path is not conducive to the propagation of cracks, which effectively blocks fracture of ceramics and improves wear resistance. Therefore, grain size has been considered the main factor in determining wear resistance—a view that is consistent with the experimental results.

Our research found that trace amounts of Gd$_2$O$_3$ could inhibit grain growth. Rare-earth elements belong to surface-active material, but their solubility in alumina is extremely low; they segregate easily at the grain boundary. On the one hand, they can fill in the defects on the grain surface to reduce the surface energy, inhibiting grain growth [36]. On the other hand, rare-earth ions block the diffusion of other ions along grain boundaries because of their bigger radii [42–44] and reduce the grain boundary diffusivity (Figure 4). However, a decreased grain size results in an increased number of grain boundaries. The rare-earth ions are not enough to cover all grain boundaries, causing individual abnormal grain growth. This is the reason the maximum grain size of Sample 2 was bigger than that of Sample 1, although it did not affect the average grain size of the two samples.

In the same average grain size, we found that microstructure played a decisive role in wear resistance of materials. The ratio of transgranular fracture increased in the sample containing trace amounts of Gd$_2$O$_3$. Many tearing ridges indicated that more energy was consumed during the fracture process of Sample 2 (Figure 6). It was also demonstrated that adding trace amounts of Gd$_2$O$_3$ could enhance bonding strength between adjacent grains by narrowing the grain boundary (Figure 5). Besides, squeezing growing lines verified that compressive stress existed in the sample containing Gd$_2$O$_3$. As far as theory is concerned, if stresses created by the boundary glass are tensile, the ceramics will be more susceptible to wear, whereas if these stresses are compressive, the wear resistance of material will be enhanced [38]. Gd$_2$O$_3$ could dramatically reduce the thermal expansion quantity to decrease residual tensile stress caused by volume shrinkage deformation of glass phase during cooling. Besides, Gd$^{3+}$ could replace Ca$^{2+}$ in CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$ to form a solid solution (Figure 3). This means that adding Gd$_2$O$_3$ had a certain purifying effect on the grain boundary. Cynthia discovered that the thermal expansion coefficient of high CaO glass ($\alpha = 9.5 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$) was higher than that of alumina ($8.6 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$) [45]. Thus, the interface between glass and Al$_2$O$_3$ grains could form tensile stresses, resulting in a weaker boundary. The tensile stress at the grain boundary could be effectively mitigated by reducing calcium ingredient of glass phase. In addition, the thermal expansion coefficient of CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$ is $8.0 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ [30], which is lower than that of Al$_2$O$_3$, and both could easily form compressive stress at grain boundary. All of these factors came together to improve the wear resistance of alumina ceramics.
5. Conclusions

In this study, we found that adding trace amounts of Gd$_2$O$_3$ could improve the wear resistance of high-alumina ceramics. The wear rate was as low as 0.00052 h$^{-1}$, and the optimum quantity was less than 0.01 wt%. The effect of Gd$_2$O$_3$ on changing residual stress at the grain boundary was significant. On the one hand, trace amounts of Gd$_2$O$_3$ could refine grain without impacting density of ceramics, which was useful for decreasing the residual stress caused by anisotropy thermal expansion of alumina grain. On the other hand, Gd$_2$O$_3$ could significantly reduce thermal expansion quantity of glass. Gd$_2$O$_3$ also promoted CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$ with lower thermal expansion coefficient to form via solid solution. The various factors came together to form compressive stress at the grain boundary. Combined with the effect of purifying and narrowing grain boundary, enhancing the binding force between adjacent grains improved wear resistance. However, the results showed that excessive addition caused serious grain growth, deteriorating performance.
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