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miR-135a Targets SMAD2 to Promote Osteosarcoma Proliferation and Migration
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Osteosarcoma (OS) is an aggressive malignant neoplasm that commonly occurs in adults and adolescents [1]. Several preclinical and clinical trials are being conducted in order to enhance the prognosis of OS patients [2]. Regardless of the developments in surgical resection and chemoradiotherapy, OS remains a refractory malignant tumor with a high mortality rate due to metastases [3, 4]. Therefore, potential therapeutic targets need to be investigated to enhance the survival time of OS patients.

MicroRNAs (miRNA/miRs) are a class of small and short noncoding RNAs, 18–22 nucleotides in length, which can modulate the expression level of various genes. miRNAs bind to the 3′-untranslated region (3′-UTR) or coding area of target miRNAs, and they inhibit cell translation or induce mRNA degradation to regulate gene expression [5, 6]. miRNAs are involved in numerous biological processes, including cellular proliferation, differentiation, migration, and apoptosis, due to their regulatory roles in gene expression [7, 8]. They are also implicated in the progression of various malignancies, such as gastric [9], breast [10], lung [11], and pancreatic [12] cancer. Furthermore, the same miRNA may have different functions among different types of cancer; for example, miR-135a suppresses the migratory function of gastric cancer cells by targeting TNF receptor-associated factor 5 [8] and induces prostate cancer cell apoptosis by inhibiting STAT6 [13].

FOXO1 is a forkhead box protein that increases hepatocellular carcinoma cell migration as well as metastatic spread via miR-135a [14]. Nevertheless, it is still unknown what function miR-135a performs in OS.

1. Introduction

Osteosarcoma (OS) is a primary cancer of the bone that affects adults and adolescents [1]. Several preclinical and clinical trials are being conducted in order to enhance the prognosis of OS patients [2]. Regardless of the developments in surgical resection and chemoradiotherapy, OS remains a refractory malignant tumor with a high mortality rate due to metastases [3, 4]. Therefore, potential therapeutic targets need to be investigated to enhance the survival time of OS patients.

MicroRNAs (miRNA/miRs) are a class of small and short noncoding RNAs, 18–22 nucleotides in length, which can modulate the expression level of various genes. miRNAs bind to the 3′-untranslated region (3′-UTR) or coding area of target miRNAs, and they inhibit cell translation or induce mRNA degradation to regulate gene expression [5, 6]. miRNAs are involved in numerous biological processes, including cellular proliferation, differentiation, migration, and apoptosis, due to their regulatory roles in gene expression [7, 8]. They are also implicated in the progression of various malignancies, such as gastric [9], breast [10], lung [11], and pancreatic [12] cancer. Furthermore, the same miRNA may have different functions among different types of cancer; for example, miR-135a suppresses the migratory function of gastric cancer cells by targeting TNF receptor-associated factor 5 [8] and induces prostate cancer cell apoptosis by inhibiting STAT6 [13].

FOXO1 is a forkhead box protein that increases hepatocellular carcinoma cell migration as well as metastatic spread via miR-135a [14]. Nevertheless, it is still unknown what function miR-135a performs in OS.
Overexpression of miR-135A was found in OS tissues and cell lines throughout the current study. It was found that miR-135a had a positive influence on human OS cells, MG63 and HOS, as well as on tumor formation in nude mice. The results of bioinformatics analysis and luciferase reporter assays showed that miR-135a directly targets and inhibits the expression of mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 2 (SMAD2). In summary, miR-135a may be a therapeutic target for OS.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Collection of Tissue Samples. Twenty-five participants at the Sixth People’s Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University had human OS samples and neighbouring healthy tissues taken (Shanghai, China). All samples were taken throughout operation and kept in liquid nitrogen shortly afterward. The Sixth People’s Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University’s Ethics Committee accepted our study. The Declaration of Helsinki of the World Medical Association was adhered to in the conduct of all experiments, and signed agreement consent was obtained from all participants.

2.2. Cell Culture. Cell lines from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) were used to study osteoblast (hFOB1.19) as well as osteosarcoma (OS) development. Just at 37°C, cells were grown in DMEM containing 10% foetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/ml), in addition to streptomycin (100 g/ml) from Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific (5 percent CO2).

2.3. Reverse Transcription-Quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). TRiZol® Reagent (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) was used to extract total RNA from OS specimens and MG63 as well as HOS cell lines in compliance with the package recommendations. The PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (Takara Biotechnology) was employed for reverse transcribe 1 g of total RNA into cDNA. qPCR was performed using a Takara Biotechnology SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ real-time PCR equipment in a 201 reaction volume (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific). A list of the miR-135a primers for reverse transcription was as described in the following:

GTCTATCCAGTGCGGATCGCAGGTCCAGCAGCTGGA TACCAGGCCACCC. The qPCR primer sequences were as follows: miR-135a forward: 5′-TGCGTGATTAGGAT TGAGGCGGT-3′ and reverse: 5′-CCAGTGGAGGTCC GAGGT-3′; SMAD2 forward: 5′-CTCTTGTAGGTGTCGTCT CCAGGTA-3′ and reverse: 5′-AGAGGGCGAAAGTCTGT TTAGGAT-3′.

2.4. Transfection. miR-135a mimic and mimic control and miR-135a inhibitor and inhibitor control were purchased from General Biosystems (Anhui) Corporation Ltd., which also constructed the SMAD2 expression plasmid. The SMAD2 sequence was cloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector. Lipofectamine 3000® reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was applied for transfection, and the experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.5. Construction of Stable Cell Lines. Lentiviruses for miR-135a knockdown (anti-miR-135a) or overexpression (pre-miR-135a) were purchased from Han Bio Company. The HBLV-PURO viral vector was used to perform viral infections (virus titer = 2 × 10⁶; MOI = 30). Seventy-two hours after infection, puromycin screening of stably transfected cell lines was conducted. Cells that survived beyond one week were considered to be stably expressing cell lines.

2.6. Cell Viability Test. This experiment was carried out after transfection of MG63 as well as HOS cells for 24 hours at 37 degrees Celsius (5 percent CO2) in the presence of 5 percent CO2 in 96-well plates. Following 12, 24, 48, and 72 hours, 0.5 percent MTT (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) was administered to the culture medium. A solution of DMSO subsequently introduced to each well in order to completely dissolve the formazan crystals. The absorbance of the solution at 450 nm was measured using an enzyme-labeled instrument (Bio-Rad Laboratories). A total of three trials were carried out.

2.7. Colony Formation Assay. Cells were seeded into a 12-well plate with 500 cells per well. Precooled parafomaldehyde was used to fix the cells for 15 minutes after they had been cultured for 14 days at 37°C in an incubator. The cells were then stained with 0.1 percent crystal violet. Just under microscopy, colonies were enumerated as well as the OS cells’ proliferative capability was determined.

2.8. Transwell Assay. Serum-free media was used to reconstitute the 1 × 10⁶ OS cells/sample. The upper chambers of the transwell insert (8.0 μm; Corning) were seeded with resuspended cells. The lower chambers were filled with 600 μl of DMEM, a chemoattractant. It took 24 hours for the medium to be disposed and the chambers to be thoroughly disinfected. Swabs were used to remove cells from the upper chamber using cotton swab. A solution of 4 percent parafomaldehyde and 0.1 percent crystal violet was used to fix the cells for 15 minutes. Using a Leica Microsystems GmbH light microscope, overall number of cells was quantified.

2.9. Edu Staining Assay. The cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 1·10³ cells per well. Each plate was therefore incubated for 2 hours with 1001 of Edu media (50M). Following fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde, cells were permeabilized for 10 minutes with 0.5 percent Triton X-100 to improve the permeability of cell membrane proteins. The cells were then incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 minutes with an Edu staining cocktail. Following washing, the cells were stained in the dark for 10 minutes with 1 Hoechst 33342 and subsequently imaged employing fluorescence microscope.

2.10. Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay. miR-135a as well as SMAD2 binding codes were generated employing TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/). General Biosystems (Anhui) Corporation Ltd. generated the SMAD2 3′-UTR.
sequences, comprising the expected wild-type (wt) and mutant (mut) binding sites, subsequently cloning them into the pmirGLO vector (Promega Corporation). Cotransfections of miR-135a mimics or mimic controls with luciferase expression vectors were carried out in MG63 and HOS cells utilizing Lipofectamine® 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). An internal control, PRL-TK (a Renilla luciferase expression vector driven by TK), was transfected into cells. The 48-hour posttransfection luciferase activity was determined using a dual-luciferase reporter assay kit (Promega Corporation).

2.11. Bioinformatics Analysis. For OS, miR-135a’s predictive implications were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier plotter (http://www.kmplot.com). The median miRNA expression of OS patient populations was used to divide the participants into two groups for the purpose of evaluating the prognostic usefulness of miR-135a. Kaplan–Meier survival plots were used to understand the full survival of OS subjects. It was possible to acquire the Kaplan–Meier survival curve as well as the risk values by uploading the miR-135a expression profile from OS participants to the databases. To get the quantitative data, log rank as well as 95% confidence intervals was used. GEO2R was employed in the investigation of miR-135a in healthy versus OS cell lines. Only OS cell lines were designated as tumor group in this study.

2.12. Western Blot. MG63 and HOS cells were planted onto 24-well plates at a density of 5·10^4 cells per well. The cells were harvested 72 hours following transfection then lysed in RIPA lysis buffer that had been precooled (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd. provided a BCA kit that was used to determine the protein level. The wavelength of measurement was 490 nm. About 40 μg of protein was isolated by SDS-PAGE (10% gel). After protein electrophoresis, the protein bands were moved to the PVDF membrane. The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk at room temperature for 2 h and then incubated with primary antibody against SMAD2 (1 : 1,000; Abcam) and GAPDH (1 : 5,000; Abcam) at 4°C overnight. The corresponding secondary antibodies used for different proteins (1 : 10,000; Abcam) were incubated at room temperature for 2 h. The color was developed using an ECL kit (Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.13. Nude Mouse Xenograft Assay. Shanghai Jiaotong University’s Ethics Committee gave its approval to the in vivo rodent experiment conducted at the Sixth People’s Hospital affiliated with the university. The experiments were carried out in compliance with institutional rules and were authorised by our university’s Animal Research Committee. Twenty male BALB/c nude mice, six weeks old, were procured from Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd., and housed in a disinfected environment at 25 degrees Celsius and 60 percent humidity levels for the duration of the study. A total of 5×10^5 stable HOS cells (miR-135a knockdown or overexpression) along with the corresponding negative controls were subcutaneously injected into the flanks of nude mice (five mice/group). The tumors from nude mice were measured every two days after tumor appearance, and the volume was calculated using the following formula: tumor volume = ½×(tumor width)^2×tumor length. At the end of the study (day 28), the mice were anesthetized by overdosing with 1% pentobarbital (100 mg/kg). Mice were confirmed dead when they no longer breathed and the righting reflex disappeared. The tumors were removed and imaged. The humane endpoints were met when the diameter of the tumor exceeded 20 mm, and the animals should be sacrificed with overdose anesthesia. The tumor diameter was measured every two days.

2.14. Immunohistochemistry. Xylene was used to deparaffinize 4-micron slices of paraffin-embedded tumor tissue, followed by rehydration. Ten milligrams of citrate buffer was added to a pressure cooker for half an hour, while 0.5 percent H₂O₂ was used to inhibit endogenous peroxidase activity. The sections were incubated at 4°C nightly with antibodies to Ki67 as well as SMAD2 (1 : 500 and 1 : 200, respectively). Images of stained specimens were taken using microscopy after immunostaining with DAB.

2.15. Statistical Analysis. There were no exceptions to this rule. Unpaired two-tailed Student’s T test was used to examine the variations between two groups, while one-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post hoc test for multiple comparisons. Statistically significant difference was defined as just a P < 0.05 level of occurrence.

3. Results
OS sample tissues and cell lines have increased expression of miR-135a, which has been linked to a bad prognosis. Oncogene expression of miR-135a was determined using RT-qPCR in OS tissue samples and relevant cell lines to assess its implications on the disease progression. OS tissues have significantly higher concentrations of miR-135a expression than matching nontumorous tissues (Figure 1(a)). All four osteoblast cell lines studied (MG63, HOS, SaOS2, and U2Os) showed increased expression of miR-135a when compared to normal osteoblast cells (hFOB1.19; Figure 1(b)). Participants with more upregulated miR-135a expression exhibited shorter survival periods, as per the Kaplan–Meier analysis (Figure 1(c)). Findings like these suggest that miR-135a could be a useful prognostic marker for individuals having OS. The expression of miR-135a was upregulated in OS clinical specimens using RT-qPCR than in healthy tissue (Figure 1(d)).

miR-135a has a direct impact on the proliferation as well as migration of OS. MG63 and HOS cells have been used for functional investigations in vitro to evaluate the influence of miR-135a on OS cellular activities. miR-135a upregulation improved cell survival, but its decreased expression seemed to have the opposite impact, as demonstrated by the CCK-8 experiment (Figure 2(a)). The influences of miR-135a on OS cellular proliferation were then demonstrated by EdU staining and colony formation assays. The results showed that overexpressing miR-135a in HOS and MG63 cells
increased their proliferative capacity, while miR-135a knockdown reduced proliferation (Figures 2(b) and 2(c)). In addition, transwell assays revealed that OS cell migration was inhibited by an miR-135a inhibitor, which was compared with the negative control group (Figure 2(d)). Consequently, above results indicated that miR-135a induces OS cell proliferation and migration.

3.1. SMAD2 Is a Straightforward Target of miR-135a in OS Cells

In order to clarify the mechanisms of miR-135a in OS, bioinformatics analyses of the potential target of miR-135a (centered on TargetScan data) were conducted. A SMAD2 3′-UTR mutant was designed according to the binding site between the 3′-UTR coding regions of SMAD2 and miR-135a (Figure 3(a)). A luciferase reporter test revealed that upregulation of miR-135a reduced the capability of SMAD2-3′-UTR-wt, but not of SMAD2-3′-UTR-mut (Figure 3(b)). In HOS as well as MG63 cells, miR-135a had a significant negative effect on SMAD2 mRNA in addition to protein expression levels (Figures 3(c) and 3(d)). All above data suggest that miR-135a targets and negatively regulates SMAD2.

3.2. SMAD2 Overexpression Converges the Impacts of miR-135a on Cellular Proliferation and Migration

To verify whether SMAD2 alters the influence of miR-135a on OS cell proliferation and migration, HOS and MG63 cells were both cotransfected with a SMAD2 expression plasmid and miR-135a mimics. The CCK-8 assay revealed a reduction in cellular activities in OS cells cotransfected with miR-135a plus pcDNA3.1-SMAD2, particularly in comparison to miR-135a mimic control group (Figure 4(a)). In addition, EdU staining and colony formation assays disclosed that SMAD2 overexpression abolished the stimulatory effect of miR-135a on cellular proliferation (Figures 4(b) and 4(c)). Upregulation of SMAD2 also inhibited cellular migration compared with the miR-135a mimic control group (Figure 4(d)). These experiments’ outcomes demonstrate that the upregulation of miR-135a enhances the proliferation and migration of OS cells by targeting SMAD2.
HOS cells, which persistently express miR-135a and knockdown vectors that were constructed, were generated to test the effect of miR-135a on the progression of tumors in mice (in addition to the corresponding negative controls). Mice infected with cells overexpressing miR-135a had considerably relatively large tumors than those infected with control cells, our findings showed. Mice given miR-135a-knockdown cells also had reduced tumor sizes than those given the control treatment (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). When compared to the miR-135a inhibitors, tumor mass was both

**Figure 2:** OS cellular activities are enhanced in vitro by miR-135a. Tests for cell proliferation in each group included (a) the Cell Counting Kit-8, (b) colony formation, and (c) EdU staining assays. Detecting miR-135a’s impact on cell migration is the second goal of this study. Compared with control, *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01; compared with mimic control, #P < 0.05.
larger and smaller in the miR-135a mimics (Figure 5(c)). Mice with miR-135a mimics had a greater level of Ki67 staining in immunohistochemistry, which suggests that these mice had an increased tumor growth rate. While the miR-135a mimics showed less SMAD2 staining, this suggests that miR-135a has an effect on cancer via targeting this gene (Figure 5(d)). The expression level of SMAD2 in tumor-bearing tissues of nude mice was detected by qRT-PCR methods. Results showed that overexpression of miR-135a inhibits the expression of SMAD2 (Figure S1).

4. Discussion

OS is a cancer that originates in the bone and is commonly found in adolescents and the elderly [1]. Although numerous treatments including chemotherapy and surgery are available, the mortality rate of OS is high [15]. Accordingly, potential therapeutic targets need to be determined, and the fundamental mechanisms of OS need to be elucidated. OS tissues as well as cell lines expressed miR-135a at a much higher level compared to the control group. In OS, miR-135a upregulation was associated with a bad prognosis. Transient transfection of miR-135a mimics was used to overexpress miR-135a, and an inhibitor of miR-135a was used to knock it down in MG63 and HOS cells in order to study the impact of miR-135a on OS. CCK-8 as well as colony formation tests, along with EdU staining, were used to measure cell viability and motility. miR-135a was found to enhance the migration of MG63 and HOS cells in the experiments. Transwell tests also showed that miR-135a inhibitors reduced migratory cell counts, whereas miR-135a mimics enhanced them. The tumor-suppressive capacity of miR-135a has been assessed in various types of cancer. Small nucleolar RNA host gene 16, a long noncoding RNA (lncRNA), acts as an oncogene by blocking miR-135a, thus regulating the JAK2/STAT3 pathway in gastric cancer [16]. Moreover, miR-135a upregulation suppresses cellular proliferation and xenograft tumor growth in ovarian cancer [17], and miR-135a may also serve as a tumor suppressor in prostate cancer by inhibiting STAT6 [13]. Conversely, previous reports have also found that miR-135a may serve as an oncogene. miR-135a played an essential role in promoting the migration and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting FOXO1 [14]. miR-135a overexpression may also promote cellular proliferation and tumorigenicity in malignant melanoma by suppressing FOXO1 [18]. miR-135a also stimulates human bladder tumor cell proliferation by modulating FOXO1, PH domain and leucine-rich repeat protein phosphatase 2 [19]. SMAD2 was shown to be the target of miR-135a, which was reported to be oncogenic in OS. However, the relationship between miR-135a and SMAD2 has not yet been reported. In addition to embryonic
Figure 4: Overexpression of SMAD2 recovers the proliferation and migration abilities of miR-135a-upregulated MG-63 and HOS cells. The proliferative ability of each group was determined using (a) Cell Counting Kit-8 assay, (b) colony formation assay, and (c) EdU staining assays. (d) To assess cellular migration, transwell assays were performed in each group of MG-63 or HOS cells. Compared with control, *P < 0.05; compared with miR-135a+SMAD2, #P < 0.05.
development, the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) signaling pathway is encompassed in cellular proliferation, apoptosis, and differentiation [20]. A previous study reported that the activation of the TGF-β/SMAD signaling pathway may promote tumor progression in multiple types of human cancer, including gastric cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and bladder cancer [21–23]. SMAD2 specifically interacts with DNA-binding proteins such as forkhead activin signal 2 (FAST2) to regulate transcriptional responses. Some of these activated target genes stimulate tumorigenesis, while others inhibit it. SMAD2 is then ubiquitinated and degraded by the proteasome system. Transcription factor AP-2 alpha-antisense RNA 1, an IncRNA, was found to inhibit cellular proliferation and invasiveness by downregulating miR-933 and upregulating SMAD2 in breast cancer [24]. Nevertheless, the underlying mechanisms of miR-135a in OS need to be studied further.

To elucidate the effects of miR-135a on tumor growth, a nude mouse xenograft assay was performed, and the resulting tumor tissues were stained for Ki67, a nuclear antigen commonly used as a marker of proliferation [25, 26]. miR-135a inhibition reduced the expression of Ki67 by upregulating SMAD2.

Here, we did not investigate the direct role of SMAD2 on the tumor growth in vivo which will further support out conclusion. It is a limitation of the present study. We will conduct the experiment in the future. Moreover, bioinformatics analysis based on GEO dataset indicated that the expression of miR-135a has no significant difference in the normal cell and OS cell lines. We found that in study, no biological duplication was established. It makes the results in that study not convincing enough.

In brief, the results discovered that miR-135a is upregulated in OS; furthermore, miR-135a knockdown suppresses OS cell proliferation and cell migration by upregulating SMAD2 in vitro and in vivo. Therefore, miR-135a and SMAD2 may become a developing therapeutic target for OS.
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