INTRODUCTION

Fractures of the elbow are very common and supracondylar fractures account for about 60% of these fractures.1 Closed reduction and pinning are still the choicest of treatment for these injuries.2,3 The delay in the treatment of these fractures could be multifactorial. Methods used for treating such injuries varies from closed reduction and use of splint, traction with or without delayed internal fixation.4,5 Closed reduction and pinning, open reduction and internal fixation.6-9 Late presentation makes treatment difficult because of excessive swelling. Complications like iatrogenic nerve injury, VIC, Cubitus varus, elbow stiffness and myositis ossificans are always there.10-13

Some studies have refuted the fears of increased perioperative complication rate with delayed elective surgery but there is no study which has evaluated the long-term outcome of these patients.6,14-16

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the clinical, radiological and functional results following closed reduction (C/R) and percutaneous pinning of widely displaced supracondylar fractures of humerus. Strict anatomical reduction and stable fixation minimises the risk of developing cubitus varus deformity.

METHODS

A total 86 patients with displaced extension type S.C fractures (gartland type III) of humerus were managed by closed reduction and percutaneous fixation at Skims medical college after achieving optimal and satisfactory reduction. There were 52 boys and 28 girls. Average age was 6.69 years (range 2-12).
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and crossed percutaneous pinning using 2 Kirschner wire (K wires) was used in fifty-eight patients and 28 needed open reduction and internal fixation. Patients were followed for 12 months. On presentation, age, sex, side of injury, any swelling and time since injury were determined. Thorough clinical examination was done. Creamer wire splintage was done and limb elevated. X-rays of opposite elbow were done to measure normal Bauman’s angle for that patient and for assessment of fracture reduction.

All the patients were operated under G/A within 6 hours.

Percutaneous fixation was done with technique originally described by Swenson et al. Following fracture reduction, elbow was immobilized in hyperflexion using adhesive strapping to facilitate intraoperative radiography and pinning.\(^{18}\) When gross swelling of elbow made palpation of medial epicondyle difficult, a mini open technique was used for placement of medial wire as described by Green et al.\(^{19}\) Once K wires were passed (Figure 2), the elbow was extended, radial pulse palpated and carrying angle and stability of reduction were assessed. The K wires were left outside the skin after being bent at right angles. An above elbow slab was applied in 45 degrees flexion. K wires were removed at 3-4 weeks after obtaining X-rays to assess union and myositis ossificans. At each follow-up, the carrying angle, ROM of both elbows and DNV were recorded. Outcome was graded according to Flynn’s criteria.\(^{20}\)

RESULTS

There were 52 boys and 28 girls. Average age was 6.69 years (range 2-12).

Table 1: Age distribution.

| Age in years | Frequency | % |
|--------------|-----------|---|
| 1-5          | 29        | 36.25 |
| 5-10         | 36        | 45.00 |
| >10          | 15        | 18.75 |

| Mode of trauma |
|----------------|
| Mechanism      |
| Fall on outstretched hand | 62 | 77.5 |
| Fall from tree | 14 | 17.5 |
| Road traffic accident | 4 | 5.0 |

Gender distribution were 52 males and 28 females (Figure 3).

Table 2: Displacement of fractures.

| Location       | Frequency | %  |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Posteromedial  | 63        | 78.75 |
| Posterolateral | 12        | 15.0 |
| Posterior      | 5         | 6.25 |

Fall on outstretched hand was mechanism of injury, in 62 patients. 14 patients sustained trauma from fall from tree and 4 from road traffic accidents. 56 patients had fractures of dominant extremity. 63 patients had posteromedial displacement, 12 patients had displacement post-eolaterally and 5 posterior displacement (Figure 1). The average delay in presentation was 19.5 hours (12 hours-5 days). 7 patients had 3-4 attempts at reduction before presenting to this hospital. History of message was present in 5 patients. 8 patients had neurological complication at presentation. 6 patients presented with intersosseous nerve palsy and 2 patients had radial nerve palsy. Grade 1 open wound was seen in 4 patients, 8 patients presented with absent radial pulse but capillary pulsation was adequate in all. Pulse was restored in 7 patients following closed reduction. In one the radial pulse didn’t appear after C/R and capillary circulation was deteriorated, the brachial
artery was explored through anteromedial approach and radial circulation was restored.

A total 86 patients were successfully treated with C/R and cross pinning. 6 patients were lost to follow-up. 80 patients with a minimum follow-up period of 12 months formed the basis of this study. Open reduction through medial or lateral approach was used in twenty-eight patients. The mean delay in presentation in these patients was 19.5 hours. In all these patients, there was buttonholing of the proximal fragment through the brachialis muscle which prevented C/R, average anesthesia time was 50 minutes (range 24-64 minutes). Seventy patients were treated with 2 crossed K wires. In 10 patients with unstable fractures 2 lateral K wires were employed in addition to medial K wires. In five patients, a small medial incision was used over medial epicondyle to retract ulnar nerve and help in passing the medial pin. With this technique authors had no iatrogenic nerve damage. The mean hospitalization was 24 hours. All fractures united. The average duration of plaster and pin fixation was 21 days (20 days -28 days).

DISCUSSION

Closed reduction and percutaneous pinning under C arm are now accepted standard treatment of displaced supracondylar fractures of humerus. Late presentation is quite common in this hospital because it caters north, south and central Kashmir.

Numerous complications have been encountered in SC fractures and their management. Many authors have recommended emergent treatment of these fractures to reduce complications.

The results of present study indicate that majority of these SC fractures can be treated effectively with C/R and perfect pinning. A major concern with delayed treatment is inability to achieve satisfactory C/R because of swelling, thus produce higher chances of conversion to open reduction. The rate of conversion to open reduction has been reported in literature as ranging from less than 3% to up to 46%. In this series of eighty-six patients closed reduction was successful in fifty-eight cases and only twenty-eight patients required open reduction. Gupta et al reported 6% rate of open reduction when only gartland type 3 fractures were considered with a delay of >12 hours. Mehlman et al reported a conversion rate of 3% for delayed treatment group <8 hours in that study.

Archibeck et al reported entrapment of brachialis muscle as a cause of 90% of irreducible SC fractures. There was no correlation between an increase in time to surgical intervention and longer operative time or need to open the fracture site, nor was there an indication that delay in surgical time resulted in a longer hospital stay or increase in unsatisfactory results. Similar observations were made by previous studies but none of them reported long term functional outcome of patients. Pin tract was seen in four cases which healed well with antibiotics and k wire removal. Infection rate of 2-6.6% have been reported with percutaneous fixations. Percutaneous pinning enabled us to immobilize the elbow in <90 degrees of flexion in PO period, facilitating venous outflow and significantly reducing the risk of compartment syndrome. In addition, it prevents tenting of ulnar nerve. Lect et al reported similar observation and suggested that vascular injury at the time of trauma is a bigger predisposing factor for development of compartment syndrome than delay in surgical intervention. Although modern pinning techniques have reduced the incidence of cubitus varus deformity, this continues to be most common complication following SC fracture of the humerus. The cause of deformity is coronal rotation or tilting or a combination of both of the distal fragment. In all patients, Baum’s angle was restored to within 4 degrees of uninjured side. None had cubitus varus at a minimum follow-up of 1 year. This study agrees with other studies, which have reported that cubitus varus is caused by inadequate reduction.

There was no loss of reduction during follow-up. Both clinical and experimental data have shown that 2 crossed pins placed from medial and lateral condyles provide greater resistance to rotational displacement of fracture fragment. The primary concern with cross pinning is the risk of injury to ulnar nerve by medial pin. The frequency of this complication in reported series ranges from 0 to 5%.

In this series 93.7% had excellent results. In five cases poor results were observed owing to the fact that these patients presented late and had undergone massage and repeated manipulations which added to initial soft tissue injury.

Strength of this study was that this study was done by a central hospital under supervision of one consultant and limitations of the study were sample size was small and follow-up period was short.

CONCLUSION

Closed reduction and pinning are effective method despite delayed presentation. Strict anatomical reduction and stable fixation minimizes the risk of developing cubitus varus deformity.
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