Photocatalytic Degradation of Fluoroquinolone Antibiotics in Solution by Au@ZnO-rGO-gC3N4 Composites
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Abstract: The photocatalytic degradation of two quinolone-type antibiotics (ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin) in aqueous solution was studied, using catalysts based on ZnO nanoparticles, which were synthesized by a thermal procedure. The efficiency of ZnO was subsequently optimized by incorporating different co-catalysts of gC3N4, reduced graphene oxide, and nanoparticles of gold. The catalysts were fully characterized by electron microscopy (TEM and SEM), XPS, XRD, Raman, and BET surface area. The most efficient catalyst was 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC3N4, obtaining degradations of both pollutants above 96%. This catalyst has the largest specific area, and its activity was related to a synergistic effect, involving factors such as the surface of the material and the ability to absorb radiation in the visible region, mainly produced by the incorporation of rGO and gC3N4 in the semiconductor. The use of different scavengers during the catalytic process, was used to establish the possible photodegradation mechanism of both antibiotics.
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1. Introduction

Antibiotics have become emerging pollutants due to their widespread use and persistence in the environment [1–3]. The origin is very varied, although they come mainly from medical treatments, agricultural, livestock, and industrial production [4–7]. The presence of antibiotics in the natural environment presents a serious health risk, since they can lead to the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and, in general, promote the destabilization of the natural environment [8,9]. Fluoroquinolone-based antibiotics, particularly ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin, are widely used in human and veterinary medicine [1–3]. They are used to fight bacterial infections like pneumonia, kidney or prostate infections, and even skin infections. In fact, this family of antibiotics is by far the most widely used for medical and veterinary treatments. Due to the chemical structure, after consumption by humans or animals, only between 15 and 20% is metabolized, so the non-metabolized antibiotic is eliminated into the environment through urine or feces [10]. Once released into the natural environment, these antibiotics are highly recalcitrant to degradation, which is why they have been detected in very worrying quantities in wastewater, surface water, ground water, and even in drinking water [11]. In general,
Some antibiotics can be eliminated from water bodies by different techniques such as adsorption, nanofiltration, coagulation, electrolysis, or even biodegradation [12,13]. These decontamination techniques have been implemented in wastewater processing plants, especially in developed countries, although these methods are very expensive, require large spaces and are not very efficient. In fact, already treated waters continue to show high levels of these pollutants [14]. As an alternative procedure to those already mentioned above, it is worth highlighting the chemical transformation through advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), and especially those involving semiconductor photocatalysts [15]. These processes are based on the catalytic photodegradation of organic pollutants in aqueous solution, being much more efficient than conventional methods, as well as being friendly with the environment [15]. The AOPs produce highly oxidized species such as radicals and other reactive species, which degrade, through a chain reaction, organic pollutants in solution allowing complete mineralization [16,17].

Among the most widely used semiconductor materials, it is worth mentioning titanium oxide (TiO\(_2\)) and zinc oxide (ZnO), due to their low cost, non-toxicity, large specific surface area of some of their forms, and high catalytic activity [18,19]. The main limitation of both semiconductors is the wide bandgap (ca. 3.2 eV in TiO\(_2\) anatase and 3.0 eV in TiO\(_2\) rutile, and 3.37 eV in ZnO wurtzite), which requires irradiation with UV light for the photoactivation process [20]. UV radiation from the solar spectrum is limited to about 5% of the total radiation reaching the earth’s surface, so these catalysts are clearly inefficient [21]. However, alternatives such as doping these semiconductors with metallic nanoparticles and other additives deposited on the surface have made it possible to reduce bandgap and substantially promote electron-hole separation, avoiding rapid recombination processes [22]. The incorporation of Au nanoparticles on the surface of semiconductors enables the appearance of surface plasmon resonance (SPR), greatly improving the storage capacity and charge separation which increases the photocatalytic activity of the heterostructure [23,24]. Nevertheless, there are many other factors that decisively affect the photocatalytic behavior of the material, especially morphology, particle size, crystallinity and specific area, controlled during the synthesis procedure [25]. Graphene and especially graphene oxide (GO) provides scaffolds to anchor other components, due to their two-dimensional structure and large surface area [26]. There are many examples of nanohybrids prepared with GO with extraordinary optical, electrical, and thermal properties that have a direct effect on the catalytic activity of the material [27,28]. On the other hand, the incorporation of GO to ZnO has been shown to increase the activity, reducing the photocorrosion of the semiconductor, facilitating the separation of charges and the inhibition of the electron-hole recombination processes [29]. Additionally, the two-dimensional structure of GO greatly improves the interaction with organic pollutants, accelerating the subsequent photocatalytic degradation [30]. Graphitic carbon nitride (gC\(_3\)N\(_4\)) [31], is an allotropic material of carbon nitride, rich in nitrogen, and with truly extraordinary properties that allow its use in different applications such as catalysis, photodegradation of organic pollutants, CO\(_2\) fixation, catalysis and even in energy storage systems [32–34]. Among the most relevant properties, it is worth highlighting its great thermal and chemical stability, its two-dimensional structure capable of facilitating interaction with other materials, and its simple synthesis method [34]. The purpose of doping with noble metal nanoparticles and the manufacture of complex hybrid composites has been to develop more efficient catalytic heterostructures, capable of showing reduced bandgap, altered electronic properties that allow efficient generation of hole-electron pairs, and inhibition of recombination processes [35]. Over the last few years, complex systems have been developed, with improved and increasingly outstanding properties, which have been applied to many processes, and especially to the degradation of highly persistent organic pollutants. In this sense, it is worth highlighting the catalysts based on metallic nanoparticles, dispersed on semiconductors such as TiO\(_2\), ZnO, Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), ZnS, or CdS [36]. Other catalysts, based on heterostructures formed by rare
earth metal oxides, combined with graphitic carbon nitride have also been designed, showing good catalytic behavior [37].

Taking into account all the previous research, the objective of this work has been to obtain catalysts, based on the incorporation of gold nanoparticles on the surface of heterostructures formed by ZnO nanoparticles, to which reduced GO (rGO) and graphitic carbon nitride have been incorporated. These catalysts were used for the photodegradation of ciprofloxacin (CFX) and levofloxacin (LFX) in aqueous solution. The structural properties and morphology of the most active catalyst has been investigated using different spectroscopic and analytical techniques, such as XRD, XPS, Raman, DRS, SEM, TEM, and BET analysis. Finally, based on the results obtained, a possible photodegradation mechanism of the two antibiotics studied is proposed.

2. Results

2.1. Characterization of Catalysts

Three types of catalysts were synthesized, based on Au@ZnONPs, Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO, and Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC₃N₄. In these catalysts, the percentage of rGO and gC₃N₄ was always maintained at 3%, although percentages of Au nanoparticles of 1%, 5% and 10% were used, thus, a total of nine catalysts were obtained. All these catalysts were used for the photodegradation of CFX and LFX, and the most efficient catalyst (10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC₃N₄) was the one that was fully characterized by different techniques.

The BET surface area of the catalysts was analyzed (see Table 1). ZnO nanoparticles showed a relatively large surface area of 24 m²/g. Incorporation of Au on the surface increased the specific area of the material to 58 m²/g in the case of 1%Au@ZnONPs, and continued to increase, as a function of Au loading, up to a maximum value of 78 m²/g in 10%Au@ZnONPs. This behavior, which has been described previously with different metallic nanoparticles, is expected to contribute to the catalytic activity of the material. The incorporation of 3%rGO, which already has a very large surface area, considerably increases the surface area of the material, reaching values as high as 196 m²/g in the case of 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO. The incorporation of gC₃N₄ also increased the area of the material, although not as drastically as in the case of rGO, reaching area values of 229 m²/g in the case of 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC₃N₄. This last catalyst, with the highest specific area, is the most active in the processes studied, as will be seen later.

Table 1. BET surface area of the different as-synthesized materials.

| Material                  | BET Area (m²/g) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| ZnONPs                    | 24              |
| 1%Au@ZnONPs              | 33              |
| 5%Au@ZnONPs              | 49              |
| 10%Au@ZnONPs             | 78              |
| 1%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO        | 126             |
| 5%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO        | 161             |
| 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO       | 196             |
| 1%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC₃N₄| 143             |
| 5%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC₃N₄| 177             |
| 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC₃N₄| 229             |

The dispersion of 10%Au on the support of ZnONPs was followed by elemental mapping (see Figure 1). Figure 1b,c show the distribution of Zn and Au, respectively, corresponding to the SEM image of Figure 1a. As can be seen, Au presents some aggregates, although, in general, and considering the high percentage of the metal, a good dispersion is observed. Using this material as the starting catalyst, 3% rGO and 3% gC₃N₄
were incorporated. Figure 2 shows the TEM and HR-TEM images obtained for the most active catalyst in the photodegradation reactions (10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC3N4). Figure 2a shows the TEM image of the catalyst, in which the heterostructure can be observed, together with the highly dispersed gold nanoparticles on the surface. The inset image presents the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of the photocatalyst, demonstrating the crystalline nature of the sample. Figure 2b shows the HRTEM image of a ZnO nanoparticle showing the distinct lattice fringes with interplanar spacing of 0.28 nm, indexed to the (100) crystal plane of ZnO with a hexagonal wurtzite structure [38]. Figure 2c shows a region of the catalyst gC3N4. A crystalline structure is observed, whose lattice distance is approximately 0.33 nm, corresponding to the (002) plane of gC3N4 [39,40]. Figure 2d shows the distribution of gold nanoparticles on the heterostructure, together with the area of the sample that has been identified as rGO. One of these nanoparticles is the one that has been magnified in Figure 2e, whose interplanar spacing of ca. 0.23 nm has been indexed to (111) crystal plane of Au [41]. Figure 2f presents the ultra-high resolution detail of a gold nanoparticle just over 1 nm in diameter. This particle has been further enlarged to appreciate the details of the icosahedral structure. The white lines delineate the boundaries between five different crystal domains on the nanoparticle. One of the faces shows the interplanar spacing of 0.23 nm, assigned to Au (111).

10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC3N4 was also characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Figure 3 shows the diffraction pattern of the catalyst, along with that of rGO, gC3N4, and ZnONPs for comparison purposes. There is a broad peak at ca. 23.8° for rGO,
assigned to the (002) crystal plane, indicating that most of the oxygen functional groups, characteristic of GO, have been removed from the surface [42]. Additionally, rGO shows a second peak at about 43° assigned to the (100) plane of the hexagonal carbon structure. The XRD pattern of gC3N4 is shown in Figure 3b. Two broad peaks are observed at ca. 13° and 27.2°, which have been assigned to crystalline planes (100) and (002), respectively [39,40]. The peak shown at 27.2°, and which is also the most intense, corresponds to an interplanar spacing of 0.33 nm, the crystallographic planes which were observed in Figure 1c. The diffraction patterns of ZnONPs (see Figure 3c) can be unambiguously indexed to the ZnO phase of hexagonal wurtzite [43], whose peaks are the dominant ones in the 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC3N4 catalyst, as observed in Figure 3d. Neither rGO nor gC3N4 are detected in the XRD of the catalyst, possibly because the proportion of these components in the heterostructure is too small. Additionally, a low intensity peak is observed at ca. 38.1°, which has been assigned to Au (111) [41], and whose crystallographic planes were observed in Figure 2e.

Figure 3. (a) XRD patterns of rGO; (b) gC3N4; (c) ZnONPs; and (d) 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC3N4.

Figure 4 shows the Raman spectrum of 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC3N4, along with those of rGO, gC3N4, and ZnONPs. As can be seen, rGO is characterized by two broad bands at 1357 and 1600 cm⁻¹ (Figure 4a) that have been assigned to bands D (A1g mode), and G (E2g mode of sp² carbon atoms), respectively. The intensity ratio of these bands (Ic/Iv) is ca. 0.95, indicating that the GO reduction is not too high [43]. gC3N4 (Figure 4b) shows two typical bands, similar to those seen in rGO, although slightly displaced. Band D is associated with the possible presence of sp³ carbon, justified by structural defects and disarrangements, while band G is associated, in the same way as in rGO, with the presence of sp² carbon [44]. ZnONPs is characterized by showing two peaks at ca. 566 cm⁻¹ and 1143 cm⁻¹ (see Figure 4c), which have been assigned to A₁-LO and E₁-LO vibration modes of ZnO, respectively [45,46]. Both peaks are indicative that ZnONPs has a wurtzite-like crystal structure, as established by XRD. The Raman spectrum of 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC3N4 is characterized by showing a main peak at 1042 cm⁻¹, which could come from the peak observed at 1143 cm⁻¹ in ZnONPs. Around ca. 630 cm⁻¹ an undefined peak is observed, which could also have its origin in the peak observed at 566 cm⁻¹ in ZnONPs. The displacements observed in the peaks of the catalyst support the fact that this material is an integrated heterostructure separate from its different components. Additionally, two
small peaks are observed at ca. 1301 and 1588 cm\(^{-1}\) that must necessarily have their origin in the contribution of rGO and gC\(_3\)N\(_4\).

The absorption of radiation by catalysts is crucial for their catalytic activity, so the different systems were analyzed using Tauc plots. As shown in Figure 5a, ZnONPs shows a bandgap in the UV region (3.23 eV). The incorporation of Au nanoparticles on the surface of the semiconductor (Figure 5b) produces a slight shift at 3.21 eV, still in the UV region. gC\(_3\)N\(_4\) (Figure 5c) and rGO (Figure 5d) show bandgaps at 2.79 and 2.33 eV, respectively, already in the visible range. The addition of these components will be able to displace the bandgap of the catalyst towards the visible region. In fact, Figure 5e shows how the bandgap moves towards 2.77 eV in the 10\%Au-ZnONPs-3\%rGO composite. The addition of gC\(_3\)N\(_4\) (Figure 5f) still causes a greater displacement, in this case up to 2.73 eV, falling squarely in the visible region. The results obtained justify the activity of the 10\%Au@ZnONPs-3\%rGO-3\%gC\(_3\)N\(_4\) heterostructure under irradiation with visible light, as will be described in the section corresponding to catalytic results.

10\%Au@ZnONPs-3\%rGO-3\%gC\(_3\)N\(_4\) was also characterized by XPS. As shown in Figure 6a, two peaks at 1020.6 eV and 1044.1 eV have been assigned to the binding energies of Zn2p3/2 and Zn2p1/2, respectively, indicating the presence of Zn\(^{2+}\) [47]. Furthermore, the spin-orbit splitting of these two peaks at 23.5 eV also confirmed the presence of ZnO [48]. The transition corresponding to O1s (see Figure 6b) showed a major peak at ca. 530.2 eV, which was assigned to O\(^{2-}\) species in the ZnO network, and a shoulder at ca. 532.1 eV, assigned to O\(^{2-}\) in oxygen-deficient regions, respectively [49]. The Au4f peak (Figure 6c) was fitted to two peaks at 83.3 and 86.9 eV, attributed to Au4f7/2 and Au4f5/2 double peaks, respectively, in metallic gold (Au\(^0\)) [50]. The C1s transition (Figure 6d) showed a peak at 287.6 eV, which was assigned to C-N-C bonds, and a less intense one at 284.8 eV attributed to the aromatic C atom in the s-triazine ring, respectively [51,52]. Nitrogen from graphitic carbon nitride was evidenced by the N1s transition (see Figure 6e). This clearly asymmetric peak could be deconvolved into two components, showing
an intense peak at 398.7 eV, which was assigned to C=N-C, indicating the presence of triazine rings, and a less intense peak at 400.1 eV that was assigned to the presence of tertiary N atoms (N-(C)₃) [53,54]. As previously shown, the XPS analysis further confirmed the association of the different components (Au, ZnO, rGO, and gC₃N₄) in the heterostructure.

Figure 5. (a) Tauc plots of \((\alpha h\nu)^2\) versus energy (eV), and determination of the bandgap energy of ZnONPs; (b) 10%Au@ZnONPs; (c) gC₃N₄; (d) 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO; (e) 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC₃N₄ and (f) rGO.

Figure 6. (a) XPS core level spectra for 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC₃N₄: Zn2p; (b) O1s; (c) Au4f; (d) C1s; and (e) N1s.

2.2. Photocatalytic Degradation
Before proceeding to the CFX and LFX photodegradation, several preliminary studies were carried out to establish the optimal reaction conditions. To do this, a study of the optimal pH was initially carried out, and it was established that the most appropriate pH for both antibiotics was to use solutions at pH = 7, which guaranteed the maximum solubility of both contaminants in water. Another parameter that was evaluated was the initial antibiotic concentration. For this, the three catalytic systems studied were used, using, in all cases, the highest concentration of Au (10%). The results for CFX and LFX are shown in Figure S1. As can be seen, there is a clear relationship between the percentage of degradation and the initial concentration of the antibiotic. In the case of CFX (Figure S1a), the highest activity in the three catalytic systems studied was obtained at a concentration of 20 mM. In the case of LFX (see Figure S1b), the optimal concentration was half (10 mM), possibly because LFX is slightly less soluble in water than CFX. Another of the preliminary studies that were carried out established the optimal amount of catalyst in the reaction medium. As can be seen in Figure S2, there is a clear correlation between the amount of catalyst and the percentage of degradation. For both antibiotics, a sustained increase in the efficiency of the process was observed, until reaching a load of 1.1 g L$^{-1}$ in CFX (Figure S2a), and 1 g L$^{-1}$ in LFX (Figure S2b). From these values, a drop in efficiency was observed, which has been justified on the basis of possible radiation scattering processes that potentially occur from a certain catalyst load. Taking into account the above considerations, the optimal reaction conditions for each antibiotic were established. In the case of CFX, the optimized conditions were: pH = 7, [CFX]$_i$ = 20 mM, and catalyst loading of 1.1 g L$^{-1}$, while for LFX, the reaction conditions were: pH = 7, [CFX]$_i$ = 10 mM, and catalyst loading of 1 g L$^{-1}$.

Figures 7 and 8 show the results of photodegradation of CFX and LFX, respectively, for each of the catalysts studied. The study was carried out for a total time of 180 min and, for both contaminants, the behavior was very different depending on the catalyst. In the case of CFX (Figure 7), it was observed that after 3 h, the degradation ranged between 73% and 99%. The highest efficiency was obtained with 10% Au@ZnONPs-3% rGO-3% gC$_3$N$_4$, while the lowest degradation was obtained with 1% Au@ZnONPs. In this last catalyst, the percentage of Au on the surface clearly affects the reaction, facilitating absorption and formation of electron-hole pairs, showing greater efficiency with 5% Au@ZnONPs, and a maximum efficiency with 10% Au@ZnONPs. In the case of LFX, the behavior is similar, showing the highest degradation with 10% Au@ZnONPs-3% rGO-3% gC$_3$N$_4$ (96%), and the lowest with 1% Au@ZnONPs (51%). As seen with CFX, the addition of a higher gold load greatly increased the efficiency of the process. For both CFX and LFX, the incorporation of rGO considerably improved the efficiency of photodegradation, which was maximized with the addition of gC$_3$N$_4$, especially in catalysts with 10% Au. Another observation to consider when comparing the degradation profiles of CFX and LFX is the different rate at which the process is carried out. The degradation of CFX is certainly faster than that of LFX, indicating that CFX is more recalcitrant to degradation. The greater degradation observed when incorporating rGO and, additionally, gC$_3$N$_4$ is due to a synergistic effect of improvement of the electrical and conductive properties of the material, and to an increase in absorption in the visible region, as evidenced by the determination of the bandgap (see Figure 5).

In order to investigate the kinetic behavior of the photodegradation of CFX and LFX, the pseudo-first-order kinetics were studied by representing $-\ln (C/C_0)$ vs. the irradiation time (see Figure S3). The results obtained are shown in Table S1, indicating that the apparent rate constant of the catalysts increases with an increasing amount of Au on the surface, and when incorporating rGO and gC$_3$N$_4$. The correlation coefficients $R^2$ (see Table S1) are higher for the linear fits of LFX than CFX although, as derived from the results obtained, for both contaminants the degradation clearly follows pseudo-first-order kinetics. This behavior can also be correlated with the BET surface area of these catalysts. Thus, as shown in Table 1, the materials that show the highest BET area values are those that have better catalytic behavior, with higher apparent rate constants.
Figure 7. Photodegradation rate of CFX as a function of time, using the different catalysts evaluated.

Figure 8. Photodegradation rate of LFX as a function of time, using the different catalysts evaluated.
To further study the photocatalytic activity of 10% Au@ZnONPs-3% rGO-3% gC3N4, control experiments and recyclability tests were carried out. The control experiments for both contaminants were similar (Figure S4). After 3 h of reaction, it was found that CFX and LFX were highly recalcitrant. Under photolysis conditions, that is, in the absence of the catalyst with the rest of the components present, a very slight reduction in the concentration of both CFX and LFX was observed, which indicates that radiation absorption is the only pathway responsible for this change and, as seen in Figure S4, it is clearly inefficient. In the absence of oxygen, a greater degradation of CFX than LFX was observed but, in both cases, the percentage of degradation after 3 h of reaction is not relevant. From control experiments, photocatalysis is shown to be the primary degradation route, indicating that catalysis, or even photolysis, is totally insufficient to degrade antibiotics. The results of recyclability of 10% Au@ZnONPs-3% rGO-3% gC3N4 for the degradation of CFX and LFX during five cycles are shown in Figure S5. The catalyst was recovered after each cycle by centrifugation (3000 rpm for 20 min). After the supernatant was decanted, the catalyst was washed three times with deionized water and once with ethanol (using centrifugation–sonication cycles), and dried for at least 5 h at 60 °C. The parameters used for the degradation of each antibiotic were set to optimal values, as previously described. In CFX (Figure S5a) it was found that the degradation remained practically constant after each cycle, going from 99% after the first cycle to 97% after the fifth cycle. In the case of LFX (Figure S5b), the recyclability was not as good, going from a degradation of 97% in the first cycle to 90% after the fifth cycle. In both cases (CFX and LFX) the photocatalyst recovered after the fifth cycle was analyzed by XRD (not shown) showing a diffraction pattern without notable differences with respect to the XRD of the catalyst before use.

2.3. Proposed Photodegradation Mechanism for CFX and LFX

As previously shown, gold nanoparticles improve catalytic efficiency, which increases appreciably when rGO and gC3N4 are incorporated. The most efficient catalyst for the photodegradation of CFX and LFX (10% Au@ZnONPs-3% rGO-3% gC3N4) incorporates different characteristics that act synergistically on its behavior, that is, increased surface area and smaller bandgap. The considerable increase in the specific area of the catalyst can provide more active sites during the photocatalytic reaction, thus producing more photogenerated electrons, which can also lead to less recombination of photogenerated charge carriers. This decrease in recombination is also favored by the presence of Au nanoparticles, which act as electron sinks. The displacement towards the visible region of the bandgap, with respect to other catalysts studied, is another of the decisive factors for the observed behavior.

In this context, and to evaluate the photodegradation mechanism, some scavengers were added to the reaction. In this photocatalytic study, benzoquinone (BQ), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt (EDTA), and methanol (MetOH), were employed to capture superoxide radicals (O2−), holes (h+), and hydroxyl radicals (·OH), respectively [55,56], determining the percentage of degradation of CFX and LFX after 3 h of reaction (see Figure S6). As can be seen, BQ hindered photoactivity noticeably, suggesting the main role of the O2− reactive species in the photodegradation process. EDTA and MetOH hindered the reaction to a lesser extent, which supports the fact that h+ and ·OH do not play a prominent role in the degradation process. This effect is similar for both antibiotics (see Figures S6a,b), although in the case of LFX, the effects of all scavengers were certainly greater.

Taking into account these results, together with the determination of the bandgaps (see Figure 5), a photodegradation mechanism of CFX and LFX using 10% Au@ZnONPs-3% rGO-3% gC3N4 (Figure 9) has been proposed. For this, the Mulliken electronegativity theory [57,58] has been used, which allows establishing the band edge position of the different components of the catalyst and, in this way, determining the migration direction of the photogenerated charge carriers in the composite (Equations (1) and (2)).
\[ \text{ECB} = X - \text{EC} - 0.5E_g \quad (1) \]
\[ \text{EVB} = \text{ECB} + E_g \quad (2) \]

where ECB and EVB are the edge potentials of the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB), respectively, \( X \) is the absolute electronegativity, \( \text{EC} \) is the energy of free electrons on the hydrogen scale (4.50 eV) \([59,60]\), and \( E_g \) is the bandgap. \( X \) values for ZnO and gC3N4 are 5.75 \([61]\) and 4.73 eV \([62]\), respectively. The calculated ECB and EVB edge positions for Au@ZnONPs are -0.355 and 2.855 eV, respectively, while for gC3N4 the calculated values were -1.165 and 1.625 eV, respectively, being in agreement with values previously determined in other investigations \([62,63]\).

Figure 9. Schematic diagram of the proposed photodegradation mechanism of CFX and LFX under visible radiation.

Under visible radiation (Figure 9), the electrons of the VB of gC3N4 are excited towards the conduction band (CB), giving rise to \( h^+ \) in the VB. Due to the potential difference with respect to ZnONPs, the electrons of the conduction band of gC3N4 move towards the CB of Au@ZnONPs. Au acts as a sink for electrons \([64,65]\), which is why they move towards Au and it is there that they react with the adsorbed molecular oxygen to generate superoxide anions, which in turn can react with water molecules to form hydroxyl radicals. According to studies carried out with different scavengers, the \( O_2^- \) anion is the one that preferentially participates in the photodegradation process of antibiotics, giving rise to small molecules as a by-product of the reaction, CO2 and water. The holes formed in the VB of gC3N4 and, to a lesser extent in ZnO, will promote the oxidation of CFX and LFX also leading to degradation. However, this second pathway, as evidenced above, is not the most favored pathway. AuNPs, in addition to acting as electron sinks and thus reducing recombination processes, also contribute to the system through the surface plasmon resonance mechanism, reacting with molecular oxygen and generating superoxide anions. Photogenerated electrons are also transferred to rGO that, as in the case of gold, acts as an electron acceptor and transport medium in the photocatalytic system, suppressing the recombination of \( e^- h^+ \) pairs. Photodegradation reactions therefore also occur on rGO sheets, increasing the specific surface area and active reaction sites \([65]\).
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Reagents and Materials

All the reagents were used as received, without further purification. All the solutions were prepared using deionized water (Milli-Q water, 18.2 MΩ cm$^{-1}$ at 25 °C). Zn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$ (99.99%, trace metal basis), HAuCl$_4$•3H$_2$O (ACS Reagent, 49.0+% Au basis), Ethanol (200 proof, anhydrous, ≥99.5%), NaBH$_4$ (99.99% trace metals basis), melamine (2,4,6-Triamino-1,3,5-triazine, 99%), reduced graphene oxide (rGO), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, disodium salt dihydrate (EDTA, ACS Reagent, 99.9+%), P-benzoquinone (Spect. Grade, 99.5+%), and methanol (HPLC Plus, 99.9+) were provided by Sigma–Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany). NaOH (98+%) and HCl (36% w/w aq. soln) were acquired from Alfa Aesar. Whatman® Puradisc 13 disposable syringe filters (Clifton, NJ, USA) were used for the aliquot filtration process.

3.2. Synthesis of Nanomaterials

Zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnONPs) were synthesized by thermal decomposition of anhydrous zinc acetate. In a standard synthesis, 5 g of zinc acetate was introduced into an alumina crucible which was covered by a perforated alumina lid, and subsequently placed in a tube furnace. Next, the sample was subjected to heat treatment in air flow (300 mL/min) using a temperature ramp of 3 °C/min until reaching 450 °C. The sample was kept at this temperature for 2 h then proceeded to cool, maintaining an air flow of 100 mL/min and a ramp of −10 °C/min. The sample was recovered the next day. Graphitic carbon nitride was synthesized using the procedure described by Mo et al. [66]. For this, 2 g of melamine were introduced into a crucible, which was subsequently treated at 650 °C (heating rate of 2 °C/min) in flowing nitrogen (200 mL/min), for 4 h. After treatment, the synthesized material was subjected to exfoliation. For this, 200 mg of gC$_3$N$_4$ were put in contact with 20 mL of concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ (with stirring for 6 h). The solution was then treated with ultrasound for 2 h. The mixture was decanted and centrifuged, washed with water several times until neutral, and finally rinsed with ethanol to facilitate drying. The gC$_3$N$_4$ crystals obtained after the described process showed a characteristic white color.

The deposition of Au NPs has been described elsewhere [49]. In a typical synthesis, 1g of ZnONPs was dispersed in 100 mL of H$_2$O and the mixture was sonicated for 30 min. After that, the desired amount of the gold precursor (HAuCl$_4$•3H$_2$O) was added to the reaction mixture and kept stirring for 30 min. Finally, a solution of NaBH$_4$ (10 mg in 10 mL of H$_2$O) was added dropwise while maintaining stirring. After the reagent was added, the solution was kept stirring for an additional 30 min. The reaction product was separated by centrifugation, washed 4 times with deionized water, and dried overnight at 80 °C. The different compounds of Au@ZnONPs were identified as 1%Au@ZnONPs, 5%Au@ZnONPs and 10%Au@ZnONPs, where the numbers correspond to the percentage by weight of gold nanoparticles deposited in each sample. The material obtained was used for the following stages of preparation of the catalysts. Thus, 200 mg of ZnONPs containing the gold nanoparticles were dispersed in a solution containing 10 mL of ethanol and 40 mL of deionized water, and the mixture was vigorously stirred for 30 min. Subsequently, the reduced graphene oxide (rGO) was added, and the suspension was kept under stirring for 1 h. Subsequently, the product was separated from the solution by centrifugation, washed 4 times with deionized water and dried overnight at 80 °C. Next, and by same procedure described for rGO, the incorporation of graphitic carbon nitride (gC$_3$N$_4$) was carried out. Finally, the product was collected, sealed, and stored at room temperature. The different catalysts prepared, based on Au@ZnONPs, Au@ZnONPs-rGO, and Au@ZnONPs-rGO-gC$_3$N$_4$ were identified indicating the percentage of gold incorporated on the surface. In all cases, the amount of rGO and gC$_3$N$_4$ used was 3% by weight.
3.3. Characterization of the Catalysts

The morphology of the catalysts was characterized by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), using a Hitachi S-3000N instrument (Westford, MA, USA), equipped with an EDX Quantax EDS X-Flash 6130 Analyzer, and by High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM), using a JEOL 3000F (Peabody, MA, USA). XPS measurements were performed on an ESCALAB 220i-XL spectrometer (East Grinstead, United Kingdom), using the non-monochromated Mg Kα (1253.6 eV) radiation of a twin-anode, operating at 20 mA and 12 kV in the constant analyzer energy mode, with a PE of 40 eV. Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) specific areas were measured using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020, according to N2 adsorption isotherms at 77 K. Raman spectra were collected using a DXR Raman Microscope (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), employing a 532 nm laser source at 5 mW power and a nominal resolution of 5 cm⁻¹. Diffuse reflectance measurements were carried out on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 35 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA), equipped with an integrating sphere. The bandgap value was obtained from the plot of the Kubelka–Munk function versus the energy of the absorbed light [67]. X-ray powder diffraction patterns (XRD) were collected using a Bruker D8 Discover X-ray Diffractometer (Madison, WI, USA), in Bragg–Brentano goniometer configuration. The X-ray radiation source was a ceramic X-ray diffraction Cu anode tube type Empyrean of 2.2 kW. XRD diffractograms were recorded in angular range of 30–75° at 1° min⁻¹, operating at 40 kV and 40 mA.

3.4. Photocatalytic Experiments

To test the activity of the synthesized catalysts in the photodegradation process of ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin, a solar simulator composed of three white annular bulbs with a total irradiation power of 90 watts was used. In the case of ciprofloxacin, a 2 × 10⁻⁵ M solution was prepared, while for levofloxacin the concentration used was 10⁻⁵ M. Next, the desired catalyst was added, the amount of which also depended on the antibiotic used. After adding the catalyst and adjusting the pH to 7, the system was kept in the dark for 30 min to allow the system to reach adsorption–desorption equilibrium. Then, a small amount of H2O2 (2 mL, 0.005%) was added, and additional oxygen was provided to the system by constantly bubbling air into the reaction mixture. The white bulbs were then turned on and the photocatalytic system was maintained with constant agitation and irradiation. The reaction was monitored for a period of 180 min and photodegradation was followed by taking aliquots every 15 min. After filtering the aliquots with Whatman® Puradisc 13 (Clifton, NJ, USA) disposable syringe filters, the samples were immediately analyzed at room temperature with a Perkin Elmer Lambda 35 UV-vis spectrophotometer (San José, CA, USA).

4. Conclusions

The photocatalytic degradation of two quinolone-type antibiotics (CFX and LFX) in aqueous solution was studied, using catalysts based on ZnONPs, which were synthesized by means of a thermal procedure. Subsequently, the efficiency of ZnONPs was optimized by incorporating different cocatalysts of gold, rGO, and gC₃N₄, obtaining a total of nine different catalysts that were used in the photodegradation reaction of CFX and LFX. The most efficient catalyst was 10%Au@ZnONPs-3%rGO-3%gC₃N₄, allowing degradations of both pollutants above 96%. This catalyst has the largest specific area, and its activity has been related to a synergistic effect, involving factors as relevant as the surface of the material and the ability to absorb radiation in the visible region, mainly produced by the incorporation of rGO and gC₃N₄ to the semiconductor. The use of different scavengers during the photocatalytic process, together with the determination of bandgaps of the different components of the photocatalyst, has made it possible to establish a possible photodegradation mechanism of CFX and LFX in which superoxide radicals (·O₂⁻) are the main reactive species involved in the process. The results obtained are certainly relevant.

because, in less than 3 h, almost complete photodegradation of CFX and LFX occurs, with conversions above 96%. Some catalysts based on TiO2 doped with boron have shown high degradation percentages (ca. 88%) [68]. In other cases, copper tungstate (CuWO4) catalysts doped with graphene have made it possible to obtain CFX degradations of ca. 97% [69]. Other catalysts based on ZnO doped with silver allowed degradation to 99% of CFX [49], although preliminary studies showed many difficulties in photodegrading LFX. Considering the results published so far, the catalyst developed in this research is cost effective, easy to synthesize, and highly effective for the degradation of both CFX and LFX, opening up a wide field of possibilities in environmental decontamination processes. In addition, the developed catalyst could have relevant uses for facing environmental problems generated by other pollutants, from an applied and global point of view.
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