Single-cell sequencing provides clues about the host interactions of segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB)
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Segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) are host-specific intestinal symbionts that comprise a distinct clade within the Clostridiaceae, designated Candidatus Arthromitus. SFB display a unique life cycle within the host, involving differentiation into multiple cell types. The latter include filaments that attach intimately to intestinal epithelial cells, and from which “holdfasts” and spores develop. SFB induce a multifaceted immune response, leading to host protection from intestinal pathogens. Cultivation resistance has hindered characterization of these enigmatic bacteria. In the present study, we isolated five SFB filaments from a mouse using a microfluidic device equipped with laser tweezers, generated genome sequences from each, and compared these sequences with each other, as well as to recently published SFB genome sequences. Based on the resulting analyses, SFB appear to be dependent on the host for a variety of essential nutrients. SFB have a relatively high abundance of predicted proteins devoted to cell cycle control and to envelope biogenesis, and have a group of SFB-specific autolysins and a dynamin-like protein. Among the five filament genomes, an average of 8.6% of predicted proteins were novel, including a family of secreted SFB-specific proteins. Four ADP-ribosyltransferase (ADPRT) sequence types, and a myosin-cross-reactive antigen (MCRA) protein were discovered; we hypothesize that they are involved in modulation of host responses. The presence of polymorphisms among mouse SFB genomes suggests the evolution of distinct SFB lineages. Overall, our results reveal several aspects of SFB adaptation to the mammalian intestinal tract.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Symbiotic relationships—the intimate living together of genetically distinct organisms—are a universal phenomenon characterizing life on Earth (Portier 1918). Segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) are intestinal symbionts of a variety of vertebrates including monkey, mouse, dog, chicken, and trout (Hampton 1962; Klaasen et al. 1993a; Snel et al. 1995; Umesaki et al. 1995; Imaoka et al. 1997). SFB comprise a distinct clade of phylogenetically closely related, uncultivated clostridia within the family Clostridiaceae 1, designated Candidatus Arthromitus (Supplemental Fig. S1). The term “arthromitus” (Greek arthron, joint; mitos, thread) was originally coined by Joseph Leidy in 1849, summarizing the variety of thread-like microorganisms he observed through meticulous microscopic inspections of animal intestinal microbial communities (Leidy 1849).

One of the most intriguing and unifying features of SFB is their intimate interaction with their hosts, most notably, their firm attachment to epithelial cells of the distal ileum by one end of the filament (Chase and Erlandsen 1976). SFB differentiate during their life cycle to form multicellular filaments, ranging in length from 50 to 1000 μm, as well as single “holdfasts” and spores, i.e., vegetative and dormant reproductive cells, respectively (Chase and Erlandsen 1976; Klaasen et al. 1992). Holdfasts are teardrop-shaped cells; their pointed end contains a finely textured matrix from which ribosomes are excluded, and with this end they attach to host cells (Chase and Erlandsen 1976). Both holdfast and spore cells attach to host intestinal epithelial cells, and through continuous growth and septa formation produce a chain of attached, clonal progeny, known as segment cells. Within older segments, holdfasts and spores differentiate and are eventually released (Chase and Erlandsen 1976; Klaasen et al. 1992).

Attempts to transplant SFB from one animal host species into the germ-free intestine of another host species have failed, suggesting host specificity among the members of the SFB clade (Tannock et al. 1984). Significantly, within their respective hosts, SFB induce a multifaceted immune response. The immune response can involve components of the innate as well as adaptive immune system, including IgA production, fine-tuning of the homeostatic profile of pro-inflammatory helper T cells (Th17) and anti-inflammatory regulatory T cells, and induction of epithelial cell-derived antimicrobial peptides (Klaasen et al. 1993b; Umesaki et al. 1999; Gaboriau-Routhiau et al. 2009; Ivanov et al. 2009; Salzman et al. 2010). Depending on the model system, colonization by SFB can either confer protection against intestinal pathogens (Garland et al. 1982; Ivanov et al. 2009) or promote autoimmune disorders such as autoimmune arthritis and experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis through induction of specific T-cell subsets (Wu et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2011). Until recently, we lacked information about the SFB-associated genetic determinants that might facilitate host–microbe interactions.

The first SFB genome sequences have recently been described (Kuwahara et al. 2011; Prakash et al. 2011; Sczesnak et al. 2011), although their resistance to cultivation ex vivo continues to hamper more detailed studies of these peculiar bacteria. In the present study, we isolated five individual mouse SFB filaments and explored their genome sequences separately. We took advantage of recent technological advances in microfluidics and genomics that
make it now possible to study low-abundance uncultivated microbes at the single-cell level (Marcy et al. 2007; Harrington et al. 2010). The ability to treat single bacterial structures as individual units of study provides insights into microevolution and microdiversity that may be lost in genome analyses based on consensus sequences from a large population of cells.

We identified several genetic determinants that may be important for SFB niche adaptation, host-microbe interaction, and cell differentiation, and for distinguishing SFB from other clostridia that inhabit the intestinal tract of animals. We discovered four variant sequences for a novel ADP-riboosyltransferase (ADPRT) family and a new predicted myosin-cross-reactive antigen (MCRA) family protein. In addition, we identified sequence polymorphisms among the SFB genomes, suggesting underlying genetic heterogeneity, and distinct lineages. Differences among our sequences, recently published mouse SFB genome sequences, and a rat SFB genome sequence may provide clues about the basis of SFB host specificity.

Results

Single filament isolation and recovery of genomic sequences

To characterize SFB genomic repertoire, we separately isolated five individual filaments from fecal material of an SFB-monomonoclonized mouse using laser tweezers in concert with a microfluidic device (Supplemental Material; Supplemental Fig. S2; Supplemental Movies 1–5). Their genomic DNA was amplified and subjected to multiplex pyrosequencing. Between 38 and 67 Mb was obtained for each of the five individual filaments. Assemblies were obtained for each individual SFB filament (SFB-1 to SFB-5) (Table 1; Supplemental Material; Supplemental Table S1). In addition, two coassemblies were obtained by combining the reads from the five individual SFB filaments, resulting in “SFB-co,” which was assembled de novo, and in “SFB-mouse-SU,” which was assembled through the use of a recently published, complete mouse SFB genome sequence, SFB-mouse-Yit (AP012209) (Prakash et al. 2011), which is closely related to our SFB (see below) (Table 1; Supplemental Material; Supplemental Table S1).

The majority of the SFB genome sequence was recovered, as estimated by (1) rarefaction analysis (Supplemental Material; Supplemental Fig. S3); (2) shared sequence analysis of the five individual SFB genomes (Supplemental Material); (3) presence of the 36 universal distributed clusters of orthologous genes (COGs) (Supplemental Material; Supplemental Fig. S4; Ciccarelli et al. 2006); (4) number of total COGs compared with other clostridia (Supplemental Figure S4); and (5) number of rRNAs compared with other clostridia (Supplemental Material; Supplemental Fig. S3). We recovered 98.7% of the genome sequence using the five SFB filaments, when compared with the genome of SFB-mouse-Yit (1.586 Mb) (Prakash et al. 2011). The mean GC content was 27.9%–28.4% for the seven SFB genome assemblies, which is typical for taxa belonging to the family Clostridiaceae (Supplemental Fig. S3; Table 1; Supplemental Table S1). Seventy-eight percent of the protein-coding genes had high similarity to sequences in other clostridia or Firmicutes (Table 1; Supplemental Table S1), but on average, 8.6% of the predicted proteins showed no significant sequence similarity.

Comparative genomics

In Bacteria and Archaea, genome size and number of genes are directly proportional to each other (Konstantinidis and Tiedje 2004). The ratio of gene number to assembly size for SFB-co and for SFB-mouse-SU is roughly similar to that of 1247 complete microbial genomes (Fig. 1), further suggesting that we recovered nearly complete genome sequences for SFB. Although there is no strict relationship between genome size and phylogenetic affiliation, smaller genomes tend to have a lower mean GC content compared with larger genomes (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S5). Obligate symbionts, such as endosymbionts of insects and nematodes, have smaller genomes compared with free-living and facultative symbionts (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S5; Wu et al. 2004; McCutcheon and Moran 2007). Based on the SFB-co and SFB-mouse-SU assembly sizes, predicted number of genes, and GC content, SFB is positioned between obligate symbionts and facultative symbionts, reflecting its close association with the animal host.

Phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA sequences has previously suggested that SFB are affiliated with the family Clostridiaceae 1, in which SFB from different animal hosts form a distinct clade, designated Candidatus Arthromitus (Supplemental Fig. S1; Snel et al. 1994, 1995; Umesaki et al. 1995). We confirmed this family affiliation with the predicted protein sequences of five highly conserved genes (polC, tufA, recA, pheT, and secA). In most cases, the SFB lineage arises close to the root of the family, suggesting divergence early in the evolution of Clostridiaceae 1. The longer
branches observed for some SFB sequences may be an indication of a faster evolutionary rate (Supplemental Fig. S6); the predicted sequences for these five proteins from each of the individual SFB genomes exhibited 100% sequence identity among each other, arguing against the possibility of sequence errors.

To obtain a more detailed view of shared as well as distinct features of SFB compared with other members of the Clostridiaceae 1, we performed unsupervised clustering of the predicted proteomes based on sequence similarity, manually annotated the resulting clusters, and used principal coordinates analysis to explore the variation in cluster abundance between species (Supplemental Material). The individual Clostridiaceae 1 family members can each be distinguished by the presence of specific sequence clusters (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. S7). Both Clostridium perfringens SM101 and Clostridium novyi NT are characterized by the presence of specific transposases, respectively. Clostridium tetani E88 is characterized by several protein clusters, including clusters of surface-located N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidases (cell wall hydrolases, autolysins), transposases, and additional surface proteins with putative adhesion and enzymatic properties (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. S7). SFB are distinguished by four clusters of SFB-specific predicted proteins: Cluster.1, putative secreted hypothetical proteins; Cluster.2, N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidases; Cluster.3, putative secreted hypothetical proteins; and Cluster.4, hypothetical proteins (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. S7; Supplemental Table S2; see below).

**SFB-specific proteins**

The SFB protein Cluster.1 is composed of 19 predicted proteins, which have limited similarity to known bacterial proteins and are predicted to be secreted (Fig. 2; Supplemental Figs. S7, S8; Supplemental Table S2). Although these proteins have a low overall sequence similarity among themselves, their unifying features are four conserved regions at the C terminus of the protein (Supplemental Fig S8; Supplemental Table S2) that together have similarity to protein domain PE13946 (DUF4214). Tandem alpha-helices are predicted at the C terminus of some of these proteins (Supplemental Fig. S8C). The three-dimensional structure of the proteins is similar to that of the phycobilisome linker polypeptide (PDB ID 2KY4). The proteins that compose Cluster.3 exhibit sequence similarity in the C-terminal region to the C terminus of Cluster.1 proteins; they are also putatively secreted; and one protein has a predicted fibronectin type III domain (Supplemental Table S2). Based on their predicted extracellular location and the limited number of catalytic domains usually found in secreted degradative enzymes, Cluster.1 and Cluster.3 proteins may be components of surface structures, possibly relevant to niche adaptation.
SFB-specific predicted protein polymorphisms

All SFB-specific proteins described here were also discovered in the genomes of other SFB populations (Supplemental Table S3). Interestingly, polymorphisms were detected in some Cluster.1 and Cluster.3 proteins, suggesting the existence of distinct genetic lineages (Fig 3; Supplemental Fig. S9). Protein Cluster.1 and Cluster.3 homologs from SFB-mouse-Yit and SFB-mouse-NYU exhibit high sequence identity (Supplemental Fig. S9; Supplemental Table S3). The proteins from SFB-mouse-SU have high similarity to the homologs from SFB-mouse-Yit and SFB-mouse-NYU as well but exhibit occasional distinct amino acid residues in some proteins (Supplemental Fig. S9; Supplemental Table S3). Several Cluster.1 and Cluster.3 homologs from SFB-mouse-Japan exhibit striking differences compared with the respective proteins from SFB-mouse-Yit, SFB-mouse-NYU, and SFB-mouse-SU (Supplemental Fig. S9; Supplemental Table S3). The SFB-specific proteins described here are also encoded in the SFB-rat-Yit genome. On average, the overall predicted protein sequence identity between SFB-mouse-Yit, and SFB-rat-Yit genomes is 83.4% (Prakash et al. 2011). SFB-specific proteins have an overall predicted sequence identity of 75.2% ± 2.0% (SEM) between mouse-SFB and rat-SFB. Six SFB-specific proteins from rat had a sequence identity below 65% compared with their respective homolog in mouse-SFB (Supplemental Fig. S9; Supplemental Table S3). Together, this suggests that SFB encode proteins that are predicted to be secreted, not found in any other bacteria described to date, and that some of these predicted proteins exhibit polymorphisms that are suggestive of distinct SFB-mouse lineages, and may be relevant to host specificity.

Metabolism

As is the case for many Clostridia, SFB appear to have a heterotrophic lifestyle. Glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathways were identified in the SFB data sets and found to be nearly complete. Genes for fructose and mannose metabolism were identified and were similar to those in *C. perfringens* SM101 and *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824. We did not find genes encoding components of the electron transport chain. Substrate-level phosphorylation may be performed in SFB by phosphoglycerate kinase (SFBSU_003P17), pyruvate kinase (SFBSU_002P48), and acetate kinase (SFBSU_006P356). Molecular hydrogen may be produced via a pyruvate ferredoxin oxidoreductase (SFBSU_006P288). A number of predicted dehydrogenases, including alcohol, aldehyde, and lactate dehydrogenases, may facilitate the oxidation of substrates and generate fermentation products such as lactate, acetate, and ethanol. In contrast, only a small fraction of the enzymes required for the synthesis of amino acids, vitamins, and cofactors were found in the SFB data sets (Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. S10). In particular, pathways for riboflavin (vitamin B2), porphyrin (including vitamin B12), and thiamine (vitamin B1) biosynthesis as well as pathways for biosynthesis of the amino acids alanine, threonine, glycine, methionine, arginine, and proline appeared to be incomplete, as compared with corresponding gene sets in other clostridia.

SFB encode proteins predicted to be involved in the transport of various small molecules and ions, such as amino acids, oligopeptide/dipeptides, manganese, zinc, iron, and phosphate. SFB are also predicted to express phosphonate and phosphate transporters, as well as several PTS systems for the uptake of sugars.

Figure 3. Genome sequence comparison of whole and nearly complete SFB genomes, and SFB-specific proteins. The genome sequences of SFB-mouse-SU, SFB-mouse-Yit (AP012209) (Prakash et al. 2011), SFB-mouse-NYU (AGAG01000000) (Sczesnak et al. 2011), and SFB-mouse-Japan (AP012202) (Kuwahara et al. 2011) were aligned using Mauve. (Black-filled areas) High sequence identity; (white-filled areas) differences among some or all genomes. SFB-specific proteins that exhibit extensive polymorphisms among genomes are labeled: Cluster.1 proteins (dark green box); Cluster.3 proteins (light green box). (Triangles) The genome locations of the four types of ADP-ribosyltransferases. (*) Ribosomal RNA operons that are missing from some genomes. Thin vertical black lines for SFB-mouse-SU, and SFB-mouse-NYU indicate the borders of contigs. Two similar flagellins (FliC3, and FliC4) in SFB-mouse-Japan are encoded at a position where only one copy is observed in the other genomes; sequence fragments from single cell SFB suggest the presence of two flagellins as well (this study). See Supplemental Figure S9 and Supplemental Table S3 for further sequence comparisons of SFB-specific proteins among genomes.
In addition, a variety of predicted extracellular proteases, including a potential mucin-degrading alpha-N-acetylglucosaminidase and a zinc-dependent phospholipase (Table 2), were found in the SFB gene inventory that could facilitate the degradation of higher-molecular-weight compounds. We hypothesize that SFB have an anaerobic metabolism similar to that of other proteolytic clostridia that break down larger peptides, take up amino acids, and ferment them into products that may include short-chain fatty acids (SCFA). In contrast to other clostridia, SFB appear to require vitamins, co-factors, and amino acids from the environment to sustain cellular processes.

Cell differentiation

The relative abundance of genes predicted to encode functions related to cell cycle control (COG category D) and envelope biogenesis (COG category M), as well as trafficking (COG category U) in SFB-co and SFB-mouse-SU, was higher than in other clostridia (Fig. 4) and may reflect the complex cell differentiation processes during the SFB life cycle. We found eight N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidases (cell wall hydrolases, PF01510, PF05105, PF01520) in SFB-mouse-SU, four of which formed a cluster that was unique to SFB (Supplemental Table S2; see above). These four predicted proteins contain several amino acid residues that have been previously reported to be involved in zinc binding, substrate binding, and catalysis (Low et al. 2005; Zoll et al. 2010). We hypothesize that the four predicted SFB enzymes may be important in the formation of the different SFB cell morphotypes, analogous to the role of autolysins in cell separation in *Bacillus subtilis* (Chai et al. 2010). They may also be responsible for the release of holdfasts and spores from the filament and facilitate excretion of cytoplasmic proteins and potential effectors (Pasztor et al. 2010).

Many predicted proteins were found in SFB that are homologous to proteins that control cell cycle, cell differentiation, and cell shape in *B. subtilis* and other bacteria, such as FtsZ, FtsW, FtsEX, SepE, MreB, CDYvyc, Yvyc, SpoOA, Spo0A, Spo0E, Spo0A, GerE, Spo0F, GerAA-AC, DivIVA, and CinA. In addition, we found a protein with similarity to bacterial dynamin-like proteins (BDLP; SFBSU_006P653). Dynamosins are a family of proteins that mediate membrane remodeling and promote membrane curvature in eukaryotic endocytosis, scission of a range of organelles, cell division, and maintenance of cell shape (Praefcke and McMahon 2004). The crystal structure of the BDLP of the filamentous cyanobacterium *Nostoc punctiforme* has been described; it localizes to the cell membrane in a punctate pattern (Low and Lowe 2006). The predicted three-dimensional structure of the BDLP of SFB exhibits striking similarity to the BDLP of *N. punctiforme* (Supplemental Fig. S11). It is tempting to speculate that the SFB BDLP may play an important role in formation of SFB cell morphotypes, including scission processes during the development of progeny cells, and formation of the nipple-like curved structure of holdfast cells important for establishing firm host-microbe interaction.

Host–microbe interactions

We identified several genetic determinants that might facilitate SFB interactions with the host (Table 2). For example, SFB appear to encode flagella, although these structures have not been pre-
viously observed. Four proteins are predicted to harbor flagellin-N and flagellin-C domains, of which three are similar to flagellin proteins previously observed in other clostridia or Firmicutes. Flagella may allow SFB to swim through the mucus layers adjacent to the epithelium and respond to chemotactic signals; motility in the mucus layers may be facilitated by SFB-encoded mucin-degrading enzymes such as the alpha-N-acetylglucosaminidase. Flagella might also facilitate adhesion of SFB to surfaces, and flagellin itself may be recognized by the host and trigger immune responses (Vijay-Kumar et al. 2006; Uematsu et al. 2008; Van Maele et al. 2010).

The SFB genomes are predicted to contain a homolog of the myosin-cross-reactive antigen (MCRA) that may be involved in host–cell interactions and survival in the host environment. MCRA was originally identified as an antigen in individuals with acute rheumatic fever (ARF), and other forms of autoimmune disease caused by Streptococcus pyogenes (Kil et al. 1994). Streptococcal MCRA is thought to play a role in these conditions through its elicitation of antibodies that cross-react with host myosin (Kil et al. 1994). Intriguingly, SFB have been associated with the induction of antibodies that cross-react with host myosin (Kil et al. 1994). Streptococcal MCRA and C. perfringens SPI-1, respectively. Other homologs of MCRA sequence and structural similarities with the C-terminal domains of the iota toxin of C. perfringens and the vegetative insecticidal protein VIP2 of Bacillus cereus (Fig. 5; Tsuge et al. 2008). Key residues in catalyzing ADP-ribosylation of G-actin at Arg 177, including the EDE-motif, are present in both Type-1 and Type-2 SFB ADPRT-domains (Fig. 5).

Type-1 and Type-2 ADPRT-domains share predicted sequence and structural similarities with the C-terminal domains of the C. perfringens iota toxin and the vegetative insecticidal protein VIP2 of Bacillus cereus (Fig. 5; Tsuge et al. 2008). Key residues described for the iota toxin of C. perfringens in catalyzing ADP-ribosylation of G-actin at Arg 177, including the EDE-motif, are present in both Type-1 and Type-2 SFB ADPRT-domains (Fig. 5).

SFB ADP-ribosyltransferases (ADPRTs)

In total, we discovered four ADP-ribosyltransferase sequence types in SFB genomes, referred to hereafter as ADPRT Type-1 to Type-4 (Figs. 3, 5; Supplemental Fig. S12). Three ADPRT types (Type-1, -2, and -3) were found in the SFB genomes of the present study, as well as in the SFB-mouse-Yit and SFB-mouse-NUY genomes. SFB-mouse-Japan encodes complete and truncated versions of Type-1, a Type-3 ADPRT, and a Type-4 ADPRT (Figs. 3, 5; Supplemental Fig. S12). Type-1 ADPRTs are encoded in phage element 1 and are composed of a C-terminal ADP-ribosyltransferase domain (PF03496) and an N-terminal portion containing a phage protein-like domain (PF04233) (Figs. 3, 5; Supplemental Fig. S12). Type-2 ADPRTs are encoded in phage element 2 and are composed of a C-terminal ADPRT domain (PF03496) and a truncated PF04233 domain. The Type-1 ADPRT in phage element 2 in SFB-mouse-Japan has the same predicted length as the Type-2 in other SFB genomes (Supplemental Fig. S12). ADPRT Type-3 is located in other SFB genomes of the present study, as well as in the SFB-mouse-Yit and SFB-mouse-NUY genomes.

| SFB protein (example) | Protein function | Pfam domain(s) |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|
| SFBSU_002P79, SFBSU_006P112, SFBSU_007P84, SFBM_0768 | ADP-ribosyl transferase (ADPRT) Type 1-4 | PF03496 |
| SFBSU_006P78 | Myosin-cross-reactive antigen (MCRA) family protein | PF06100 |
| SFBSU_006P372, SFBSU_006P680 | Flagellin | PF00669, PF00700 |
| SFBSU_002P29 | alpha-N-acetylglucosaminidase | PF05089 |
| SFBSU_006P704 | Fibronectin-binding protein | PF05833, PF05670 |
| Various | Proteases (e.g., O-alaglycoprotein endopeptidase, collagenase, rhomboid protease) | Various |
| SFBSU_006P42 | Phospholipase C | PF00882 |
| SFBSU_007P86 | Catalase | PF05067 |
| SFBSU_007P136 | Mechanosensitive ion channel | PF00924 |
| SFBSU_007P270 | Di-adenylate cyclase (c-di-AMP synthesis) | PF02457 |
| SFBSU_007P251 | Di-guanylate cyclase (c-di-GMP synthesis) | PF00990 |
| SFBSU_007P253 | RelA/SpoT ((p)ppGpp synthetase) | PF04607 |
| SFBSU_006P638, SFBSU_007P192 | Extracellular polysaccharide | PF04932, PF02350 |
| SFBSU_006P341 | Multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) | PF01554 |
| SFBSU_006P245 | O-acytetyltransferase | PF03062 |
| SFBSU_006P734 | Choloylglycine hydrolase | PF02275 |
| SFBSU_003P46 | Plin acetylation protein TraX | PF05857 |
| SFBSU_000P26 | O-Acetyltransferase | PF03062 |
| SFBSU_006P51 | Polysaccharide deacylase | PF01522 |
| SFBSU_006P558 | Metallo beta lactamase | PF00753 |
| SFBSU_002P90 | FemAB family protein | PF02388 |
| SFBSU_007P221 | VanW like protein | PF04294 |
| SFBSU_002P4 | D-alal-alpha dipetidase (VanX) | PF01427 |
| SFBSU_006P766 | DUF218 domain protein | PF02698 |
| SFBSU_007P87 | Rubrentherin | PF02915 |
| SFBSU_007P15 | Bacitracin resistance protein BacA | PF02673 |
| SFBSU_007P146 | Arginase | PF00491 |
Streptococcus mitis B6 (D3H775), Streptococcus pyogenes MGAS9429 (Q1CQR7), and Enterococcus faecalis V583 (Q838U8). Interestingly, the ADPRT from E. faecalis V583, referred to as EFV toxin, was recently identified in silico and its toxicity demonstrated in a yeast expression system (Fieldhouse et al. 2010). The predicted SFB ADPRT Type-1 has structural similarity to the EFV toxin in E. faecalis V583 (Supplemental Fig. S12C) (Fieldhouse et al. 2010). In E. faecalis V583, the ADPRT gene is also found in the neighborhood of phage-related genes, suggesting that these genes may have been originally acquired through phage infection (Fieldhouse et al. 2010). A bacteriophage-encoded toxin has recently been found to protect against wasp parasitism in a symbiotic bacterium–aphid mutualism (Oliver et al. 2009). It is tempting to speculate that the SFB ADPRTs observed here may target host cells and modulate symbiotic interactions.

Host environment survival

To prevent potential antimicrobial compounds from reaching their targets, SFB may use proteins with efflux functions (e.g., MATE proteins) (Table 2). Bile acids can have antimicrobial and immunomodulatory activities (Stacey and Webb 1947; Inagaki et al. 2006); SFB possess a predicted choloylglycine hydrolase, which may catalyze the deconjugation of conjugated bile acids, as has been described for other gut community members (Jones et al. 2008; Lambert et al. 2008). The action of lysozyme, expressed by Paneth cells as an integral component of the innate immune defense, may be diminished by modifications performed by SFB O-acetyltransferases and polysaccharide deacetylase (Rosenthal et al. 1983; Vollmer and Tomasz 2000; Bera et al. 2006; Milani et al. 2010). SFB contain several genes predicted to encode rubrerythrin, as well as two catalases, which may be involved in oxidative stress protection. SFB encode an arginase that for several microorganisms facilitates immune evasion through catabolism of arginine which is the precursor for nitric oxide (NO) synthesis (Das et al. 2010). The NO-generating macrophage enzyme nitric oxide synthase 2 (Nos2) was up-regulated in the intestines of ex germ-free mice upon colonization by SFB (Ivanov et al. 2009). SFB may also produce an extracellular polysaccharide capsule, which in other organisms serves as a protective shield against antimicrobial attack, mediates adhesion, and facilitates recognition by the host. There are homologs in SFB of genes that encode critical participants in capsule biosynthesis in other organisms, such as O-antigen ligase (SFBSU_006P638), UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 2-epimerase (SFBSU_007P192), and several glycosyl transferases, GT1 (PF00534) (e.g., SFBSU_006P639) and GT2 (PF00535) (e.g., SFBSU_006P601)
in individual SFB filaments (Supplemental Fig. S14) may be examples of minor variants coexisting in a population of SFB cells.

To explore sequence variation between SFB-mouse-SU, SFB-mouse-Yit, SFB-mouse-NYU, and SFB-rat-Yit, we performed multiple genome sequence comparisons (Supplemental Fig. S15; Supplemental Material). Overall, all SFB genomes appear highly syntenic (Supplemental Fig. S15). SFB-mouse-Yit, SFB-mouse-SU, and SFB-mouse-NYU exhibit \( \geq 99\% \) sequence identity. SFB-mouse-SU is slightly distinct from SFB-mouse-Yit and SFB-mouse-NYU. SFB-mouse-Japan has \( \geq 98\% \) sequence identity to the other SFB-mouse genomes. Among the chromosomal features that exhibit highest variability among the SFB-mouse genomes are the CRISPR-arrays, some phage-related genes, and hypothetical proteins, including Cluster.1 and Cluster.3 SFB-specific proteins (Fig. 3; Supplemental Material; see above).

**Discussion**

Several important phylogenetic lineages of bacteria and key members of complex, host-associated microbial communities remain poorly characterized because of their resistance to cultivation in the laboratory. We used a microfluidic device to isolate individual segment filamentous bacteria (SFB) from a mouse and explore their genomic repertoire, in order to gain insight into the biology of this enigmatic group of bacteria. We obtained nearly 99\% coverage of a complete SFB genome sequence from each of five individual SFB filaments. An average of 8.6\% of the predicted proteins from the filaments were novel, including a family of secreted SFB-specific proteins with hitherto unknown function.

Based on careful inspection by electron microscopy, a unique life cycle has been proposed for SFB, involving multicellular filamentous morphotypes that attach to intestinal epithelial cells, as well as individual progeny cells, i.e., "holdfasts" and spores, that differentiate within the segment cells of filaments (Chase and Erlandsen 1976; Klaasen et al. 1992). We propose that as part of its symbiotic relationship with the host, the host provides SFB with nutrients including various amino acids as well as vitamins and cofactors (Fig. 6). Intimate host–SFB contact may facilitate the direct transport of essential nutrients from host to SFB. In conventional mice with a complex gut microbiota, SFB may also be able to obtain nutrients from other microbes; the fact that SFB carry out a complex life cycle within a monoclonized mice indicates that the host alone is sufficient for this aspect of SFB biology. Filament growth following host attachment suggests that nutrients may be transported from the host-attached segment cell to the descending segment cells within a filament, because SFB appear to be unable to synthesize many metabolic building blocks de novo but are able to perform complex and energy-consuming cell differentiation processes within older segment cells. Differentiation into holdfasts and spores may be induced by early nutrient limitation in the segments most distant from the host. Growth as a filament may provide SFB with some level of protection against phagocytosis due to their large size, as has been observed for other filamentous bacteria (Hahn et al. 1999; Rosenberger and Finlay 2002; Justice et al. 2006). In contrast, smaller morphotypes, such as holdfasts, may be phagocytosed under some conditions (Yamauchi and Snel 2000).

We identified a group of autolysins that may play a role in cell morphology differentiation during the SFB life cycle, as well as facilitate the release of progeny cells from the filament (Fig. 6). SFB dynamin may be involved in differentiation of the SFB morphotypes, e.g., by facilitating scission, as well as formation of the...
nipple-like curved structure of holdfast cells. Although not previously discussed, the presence of flagella- and chemotaxis-related genes suggests a motile cell type. One wonders whether the tail-like structures associated with some holdfasts are, in fact, flagella (Chase and Erlandsen 1976, Fig. 6). Newly differentiated holdfast cells may express flagella, and upon release from the segment cell, may migrate and colonize new intestinal epithelial cells within the same host. Spore cells, in particular, may play an important role in SFB transmission between hosts, because their lifecycle involves tight adherence to the most highly regenerative tissue within mammals (Barker et al. 2007). In return for provision of nutrients, SFB may, among other things, protect their host against colonization by intestinal pathogens (Garland et al. 1982; Ivanov et al. 2009).

We discovered four novel predicted ADP-ribosyl transferases (ADPRTs), which have, to varying degrees, sequence similarities to known ADPRTs that ribosylate actin and thereby inhibit its polymerization. A previous study reported circular localization of polymerized actin in epithelial cells around the attachment sites of SFB and proposed a role for this phenomenon in the stability of SFB–host adherence (Jepson et al. 1993). The SFB ADPRTs may either target actin or other proteins (Fig. 6). SFB–host attachment may, in addition or instead, involve the SFB myosin-cross-reactive antigen (MCRA). The MCRA homolog in \textit{S. pyogenes} is cell-membrane-associated and has been described to have actin-like properties and fatty acid double-bond hydratase activity, and to be located at the cell surface (MCRA homolog in \textit{S. aureus}), where it facilitates adherence to host tissue (Fig. 6; Barnett and Cunningham 1992; Kil et al. 1994; Volkov et al. 2010; Malachowa et al. 2011). SFB ADPRTs may be involved in regulating phage replication, similar to the regulation of bacteriophage T4 replication by enterobacteria (Tiemann et al. 2004). Mammals, including mice and humans, possess several endogenic ADPRTs that have sequence similarity with bacterial ADPRTs, including the EXE-motif (Glowacki et al. 2002). They appear to be expressed in a tissue-specific manner and may be involved in regulating cellular processes, such as T-cell functions through ADP-ribosylation of T-cell surface proteins, such as LFA-1, CD8, CD27, CD43, CD44, and CD45 (Nemoto et al. 1996; Okamoto et al. 1998; Liu et al. 1999; Glowacki et al. 2002; Adriouch et al. 2007). Another possible role for SFB ADPRTs may be the ADP-ribosylation of defensins, similar to the ADP-ribosylation of alpha-defensin-1 by a human endogenic ADPRT (Paone et al. 2002). Alternatively, the SFB ADPRTs may induce Th17 cells through modulation of dendritic cell activity via ADP-ribosylation of G-protein, as described for the ADP-ribosyltransferase cholera toxin (CT) (Datta et al. 2010). It has been hypothesized previously that SFB may stimulate Th17 cell induction via dendritic cells (Ivanov et al. 2009). The presence of three to four ADPRT sequence variants in SFB lineages suggests multiple, differential roles for these protein domains.

The generation of five independent genome data sets gave us the opportunity to examine similarity as well as variability between five individual SFB filaments that originate from the same mouse fecal sample. SNPs detected among the five SFB filaments provide a snapshot of genetic variability at a given time in a given mouse-associated SFB population. Sequence variation could be the result of spontaneous mutations that may either be eliminated over time or become fixed in the population. Population diversification may be driven by competition or coevolution (Kassen and Rainey 2004; Yoder and Nuismer 2010). The mutation of a conserved residue in OadA (synthesizes pyruvate from oxaloacetate) in filament SFB-5 may have a negative effect on its growth, because mutations of the same residue in the OadA of other bacteria are deleterious (Jain et al. 1996; Studer et al. 2007). Intriguingly, filament SFB-5 exhibits dwarfism compared with the other SFB filaments (Supplemental Fig. S2). A second mutation in filament SFB-5, namely, in PK (synthesizes pyruvate from phosphoenolpyruvate), suggests an epistatic interaction (i.e., the effect of one gene is modified by the effect of another gene) (Lehner 2011). More specifically, it may be an example of a compensatory adaptation and, at least in part, alleviate the phenotypic consequences of the mutated OadA in SFB-5 (Moore et al. 2000).
ternatively, it may be an initial step in a path of sequential mutations guiding this subpopulation toward an adaptive peak, alleviating the negative effects of mutated OadA (Poelwijk et al. 2013; Meyer et al. 2012).

Other recently published SFB genome sequences gave us the opportunity to explore differences between different SFB populations. SFB-mouse-SU, SFB-mouse-Yit, and SFB-mouse-NYU exhibited high sequence similarity, which is not surprising given that the mice from which those SFB were derived all originated from the same laboratory. We identified, however, several sequence polymorphisms among those genomes that suggest the presence of distinct SFB lineages within the same mouse colony. Distinct SFB lineages could contribute to the fitness of SFB and may be responsible for reported differences in SFB prevalence, as well as abundance in apparently identical animals, housed under the same conditions (Garland et al. 1982; Klaasen et al. 1992). In addition, there are striking differences in SFB colonization between various mouse strains that may be reflected in differences we identified between SFB-mouse-Japan and the other SFB-mouse genomes (SFB-mouse-SU, SFB-mouse-Yit, and SFB-mouse-NYU) (Klaasen et al. 1993a; Ohashi et al. 2006; Ivanov et al. 2009).

The observations described in this study offer starting points for future research on the ecology, evolution, cell biology, and physiology of SFB and their interactions with their hosts.

**Figure 6.** Proposed life cycle of segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) in the murine intestine, and putative targets of SFB ADP-ribosyltransferases (ADPRTs). This schematic is based on the present genome sequence (Catal) previous microscopy studies (e.g., Davis and Savage 1974; Chase and Erlandsen 1976; Koopman et al. 1987; Klaasen et al. 1992), and other SFB-related literature. (A) Migration of SFB through the mucus layer may be facilitated by chemotaxis/flagella-driven motility, and degradation of mucin by excreted alpha-N-acetylgalactosaminidase. Attachment of holdfast cell to intestinal epithelial cell in the small intestine. Flagellin may be recognized by host determinants, trigger immune responses, and protect epithelial cells from apoptosis. SFB may obtain essential nutrients from the host and import them using a variety of transport systems. (B–D) Undifferentiated filaments. (E–F) Differentiated filaments. (C–F) Filaments may be protected from phagocytosis by host cells due to their size. (B) Growth of holdfast segment cell. (C) Early stage of filament development. Anchored holdfast segment, formation of primary segment cell, and secondary segments via new transverse septa. (D) Filament growth through division of segment cells. At various stages during the life cycle, fermentation products and extracellular enzymes may be released. Released products may also be used by other microorganisms, such as “epibionts” of unknown affiliation that have been observed to be associated with SFB. (E) Initiation of differentiation of reproductive cells. Scissions and cell separation processes may be facilitated by dynamin. Tertiary traverse septum formation, and production of spherical cells, that subsequently form C-shaped cells. Differentiation of one C-shaped cell into two holdfast cells. Phage production may occur at certain stages during the SFB life cycle. (F) Differentiation of holdfasts and spores. Formation of holdfasts with condensed nuclei, and release into the environment, likely facilitated by the action of autolysins. Nonreleased holdfasts can differentiate further into spores. Two holdfasts develop into one spore. Precipitation of cortex and spore coat material around holdfasts as plaques. The size of the holdfasts decreases and they are drawn together. Cortex and spore coat increase in thickness. Release of spores, facilitated by autolysins. (G–I) Released progeny may be dispersed within the same host as well as transmitted to new hosts. Migration of holdfasts may be facilitated by flagella-driven motility and chemotaxis. (1) Induction of germination cascade in spores within the host through unknown signal (possibly, bile). (1–5) Potential targets of ADP-ribosylation by SFB ADPRT. (1) Regulation of phage production through modulation of bacterial RNA polymerase activity. (2) Modification of G-actin, and inhibition of actin polymerization. (3) ADP-ribosylation of T-cell surface proteins, and modulation of T-cell homeostasis. (4) ADP-ribosylation of defensins, and modulation of their activity. (5) ADP-ribosylation of G protein in dendritic cells, leading to up-regulation of cAMP production, and secretion of effector molecules that induce Th17 cells. (Blue circle) Essential nutrients, e.g., amino acids, vitamins, co-factors, sugars. (Gray circle) Fermentation products, such as lactate, acetate, ethanol, H₂, CO₂. (Red asterisk) Myosin-cross-reactive antigen (MCRA). (Gray box with arrow) Transports for import and export of small molecules, e.g., MATE-efflux, ABC-transporters, PTS system, ion transporters. (Green circle) Extracellular and surface-located enzymes, such as proteases, bile acid hydrolase (choloyglycine hydrolase), collagenase, alpha-N-acetylgalactosaminidase, fibronectin binding protein. (Red circle) Autolysin (N-acetylmuramoyl-l-alanine amidase). (Yellow circle) Dynamin. (Orange circle) SFB ADP-ribosyltransferase. (Gray oval) Surface modifications, such as O-acetylation, and polysaccharide deacetylation. (Yellow cross) Catalase. (Orange cross) Ruberythrin. (Red star) Antimicrobials, such as NO, antimicrobial peptides, lysozyme. (Gray irregular shape) Polysaccharide.

**Methods**

**Microfluidic device cell sorting and genome amplification**

Microfluidic 48-channel cell-sorting devices were produced by the Stanford Microfluidics Foundry. Individual SFB filaments were isolated from a fecal sample from an SFB-monocolonized mouse (gift from Y. Umesaki, Yakult). No other bacterial morphotypes or 16S rDNA sequence types, other than those characteristic of SFB, were found in the fecal material as examined by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) and broad-range 16S rDNA sequencing. Individual cells were separated from the bulk sample using a laser trap (Supplemental Fig. S2A). The Repli-G midi MDA reagents (QIAGEN) were used to amplify genomes from individual SFB in 60-nL chambers on the device. Reaction products were examined for the presence and identity of 16S rDNA sequences through bacterial broad-range and SFB-specific PCR, and sequencing. For details about the microfluidic device, cell sorting, and genome amplification, see the Supplemental Material.

**DNA extraction, 16S rDNA amplification, and fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)**

DNA was extracted from fecal samples using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAGEN), according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The 16S rDNA gene was amplified using broad-range bacterial-specific primers Bact8F and Bact1391R (Palmer et al. 2007). PCR products were cloned and inserts sequenced on both strands. To examine multiple displacement amplification (MDA) products subsequent to cell sorting for the presence of SFB-specific, as well as bacterial 16S rDNA sequences, PCR was performed using SFB-specific primers SFB747F and SFB1266R and bacterial broad-range primers Bact8F and Bact1391R. Five samples, from which only SFB-specific 16S rDNA sequences were obtained, were selected for pyrosequencing. For FISH analysis, bacterial cells were fixed according to a protocol designed for fixation of Gram-positive bacteria (Roller et al. 1994). Cy3-labeled SFB-specific probe SFB1266R and Cy5-labeled probe EUB338 (Amann et al. 1990) were used to detect SFB and bacteria, respectively. For details about DNA extraction, primer design, 16S rDNA amplification, cloning and insert sequencing, and FISH analysis, see the Supplemental Material.

**Sequencing library preparation and shotgun sequencing**

Aliquots of each first-round MDA reaction product were reamplified using the Repli-G midi kit (QIAGEN). Multiplexed shotgun libraries were prepared according to the GS-FLX Titanium general library protocol (Roche-454 Life Sciences) using custom bar-coded adaptor oligos. Sequencing libraries were quantified by digital PCR (Fluidigm), using amplification primers complementary to the tita
nium adaptor. DNA pyrosequencing of the shotgun library was performed on a Roche 454 Genome Sequencer FLX instrument using titanium chemistry. For details about sequencing library preparation, quantification, and shotgun sequencing, see the Supplemental Material.

Assembly, gene prediction, annotation, and genome size prediction

Reads from the shotgun pyrosequencing runs were binned according to individual SFB filament and trimmed using the sfffile tool (Roche). Reads from each SFB filament were individually assembled (SFB-1 to SFB-5) and coassembled (SFB-co) de novo using Newbler version 2.5.3 (Roche) with an increased value for the expected read depth to account for the increased variation in read depth as a result of MDA. In addition, the reads from all five cells were coassembled by mapping against the complete genome sequence from SFB-mouse-Yit (AP012209) (Prakash et al. 2011); the resulting assembly is referred to as SFB-mouse-SU. Protein-coding genes were predicted and annotated. To determine the approximate genome size, rarefaction and shared sequence analyses were performed. For details about assembly, removal of chimeric and contaminant reads, gene prediction, annotation, and genome size prediction, see the Supplemental Material.

Comparative genomics and cluster analysis

Comparative genomic analyses of gene and protein sequences were based on a local copy of NCBI’s Complete Microbial Genomes (downloaded 2010-02-01). For the cluster analysis, predicted proteins from the SFB coassembly and members of the Clostridiaceae 1 were compared with each other using BLASTp, and the resulting hits were then filtered and used to create an adjacency list representation with the proteins as nodes and the percent bitscore as the edge weight. The network list was analyzed using the Markov cluster (MCL) algorithm to produce a list of protein clusters approximating protein families (http://micans.org/mcl/). A matrix was constructed and analyzed using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Comparative whole and nearly complete SFB genome sequence analysis was performed using Mauve (Darling et al. 2004), Artemis Comparison Tool (ACT) (Carver et al. 2008), and Kalign2 (Lassmann et al. 2009). For details about comparative genomics and cluster analysis, see the Supplemental Material.

Sequence analysis, phylogenetic tree construction, and SNP detection

Proteins were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004), 16S rDNA sequences were aligned using SILVA INcrementalAligner, and maximum likelihood phylogenetic analyses were performed. Protein structures were predicted using PHYRE2. Amino acid conservations were examined through PRALINE. Via three independent approaches, the presence of sequence variation between individual SFB (SFB-1 to SFB-5) was explored. First, BLAST pairwise comparisons of nucleotide and amino acid sequences from all protein-coding genes between and among the individual SFB assemblies were performed, and polymorphisms were recorded for best reciprocal hit (BRH) pairs between genomes (Supplemental Table S4; Supplemental Material). In a second approach, SNPs were identified as discrepancies between aligned individual SFB genome assemblies and the coassembly (Table 3; Supplemental Material). In a third approach, SNPs were identified as discrepancies between individual SFB whose reads were mapped against the complete genome SFB-mouse-Yit (Supplemental Fig. S13; Supplemental Material). For more information about sequence analysis, including single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) detection and phylogenetic tree construction, see the Supplemental Material.

Data access

The Whole Genome Shotgun projects have been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the umbrella project PRJNA70149. The genome sequences described in this paper are the first versions, AFXR01000000 (SFB-1), AFXS01000000 (SFB-2), AFXT01000000 (SFB-3), AFXU01000000 (SFB-4), AFXV01000000 (SFB-5), AFXW01000000 (SFB-co), and AGVP01000000 (SFB-mouse-SU).
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