Contrasting roles of \textit{GmNAC065} and \textit{GmNAC085} in natural senescence, plant development, multiple stresses and cell death responses
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NACs are plant-specific transcription factors involved in controlling plant development, stress responses, and senescence. As senescence-associated genes (SAGs), NACs integrate age- and stress-dependent pathways that converge to programmed cell death (PCD). In Arabidopsis, NAC-SAGs belong to well-characterized regulatory networks, poorly understood in soybean. Here, we interrogated the soybean genome and provided a comprehensive analysis of senescence-associated \textit{Glycine max} (Gm) NACs. To functionally examine GmNAC-SAGs, we selected \textit{GmNAC065}, a putative ortholog of Arabidopsis \textit{ANAC083/VNI2} SAG, and the cell death-promoting \textit{GmNAC085}, an \textit{ANAC072} SAG putative ortholog, for analyses. Expression analysis of \textit{GmNAC065} and \textit{GmNAC085} in soybean demonstrated (i) these cell death-promoting GmNACs display contrasting expression changes during age- and stress-induced senescence; (ii) they are co-expressed with functionally different gene sets involved in stress and PCD, and (iii) are differentially induced by PCD inducers. Furthermore, we demonstrated \textit{GmNAC065} expression delays senescence in Arabidopsis, a phenotype associated with enhanced oxidative performance under multiple stresses, higher chlorophyll, carotenoid and sugar contents, and lower stress-induced PCD compared to wild-type. In contrast, \textit{GmNAC085} accelerated stress-induced senescence, causing enhanced chlorophyll loss, ROS accumulation and cell death, decreased antioxidative system expression and activity. Accordingly, \textit{GmNAC065} and \textit{GmNAC085} targeted functionally contrasting sets of downstream AtSAGs, further indicating that GmNAC85 and GmNAC065 regulators function inversely in developmental and environmental PCD.

Senescence is a programmed biological process characterized by cell, tissue and organ disassembly and degeneration, culminating in death$^{1,2}$. At late developmental stages or under stressful conditions, plant cells trigger an extensive genome reprogramming to initiate programmed cell death (PCD)$^{1,3,5}$. Recently, different sets of genes have been associated with age-triggered PCD, called developmentally induced PCD (dPCD), and environmentally triggered PCD (ePCD), a kind of PCD stimulated by environmental factors, including biotic or abiotic stresses, despite their common biochemical bases and phenotypes but different regulatory networks$^{5,7}$.

In plants, senescence is well understood in leaves, which display typical phenotypic changes with a consequent reduction in functionality until the abscission$^{2,6}$. The leaf senescence onset is accompanied by a dramatic reduction in metabolism and nutrient mobilization to growing and storage organs to support the reproductive phase$^{1}$. In this process, chlorophyll degradation causes leaf yellowing and exposes carotenoids, including anthocyanins.
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Other typical symptoms are lipid peroxidation, high accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and high mobilization of soluble sugars, detected in stress- and age-induced senescence. These events are precisely controlled by phytohormones, which integrate endogenous and exogenous signals throughout the activity of several senescence-promoting genes that control the onset, progression, and finalization of PCD. The control of plant stress responses and PCD is coordinated by several plant hormones. If the severity of the stress overcomes the efficiency of stress-avoiding and tolerance mechanisms, these signals, collectively, trigger premature senescence. The hormone signal transduction results in a global remodeling of gene expression profiles, affecting primarily the expression pattern of several TFs. The crosstalk among phytohormones, TFs, and other combinatorial factors imposes high complexity for understanding stresses-related pathways and molecular mechanisms governing plant senescence.

NAC and WRKY constitute the most expressive families of TFs in senescence, which include several characterized senescence-associated genes (SAGs). In Arabidopsis thaliana, more than 30 NAC genes display enhanced expression during dPCD, and many of them are significantly up-regulated by at least one type of abiotic stress. These analyses indicate that NACs can be critical regulatory TFs, which integrate developmental signals, stress responses, and PCD.

The involvement of NAC TFs in senescence has also been reported in crops. In rice, OsNAP (Os03g21060) was associated with the onset of dPCD, drought, and biotic stress responses. Overexpression of OsNAP causes precocious senescence and up-regulation of chlorophyll degradation- and JA metabolism-related genes. Moreover, six rice NAC genes (OsNAC005, OsNAC006, OsNAC009, OsNAC010, OsNAC011, and ONAC106) are involved in both abiotic stress response and senescence. In soybean, GmNAC030 and GmNAC081 are involved in both dPCD and ePCD and are induced by ER stress and osmotic stress. These NAC TFs belong to a developmental cell death (DCD) domain–containing N-rich protein (NRP)-mediated circuit, with culminates in VPE (vacuolar processing enzyme) enhanced expression. VPE is a caspase-like 1 protein that executes a plant-specific PCD throughout the vacuole collapse.

Global gene expression analysis of senescing soybean leaves demonstrated that 40% of NAC genes, including GmNAC030 and GmNAC081, are differentially expressed during leaf senescence. Other uncharacterized NAC genes display a strong relationship with multiple stress responses and cell death progression. GmNAC065 and GmNAC085, which are putative orthologs of the SAGs ANAC083/VIN2 and ANAC072, respectively, display contrasting patterns of expression under different stresses and in different tissues in soybean plants. The contrasting expression profiles of GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 soybean genes are also observed in seedlings subjected to different stresses. When transiently expressed in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves, they trigger typical symptoms of senescence, including leaf yellowing, chlorophyll loss, ROS production, and lipid peroxidation. Furthermore, GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 are phylogenetically related to negative and positive regulators of senescence in Arabidopsis, respectively. These opposing functional and expression profiles make them valuable targets for functional studies of senescence mechanisms and biotechnological breeding in soybean.

Despite the involvement of NAC TFs in multiple stress responses, dPCD and ePCD in crops, several regulatory mechanisms of PCD in plants are still unclear. The soybean genome encompasses more than 180 NAC members, which have been often associated with multilayered signaling events in stressful conditions, making them functionally complicated, poorly understood, and frequently unfeasible as a target for biotechnological intervention. Here, we identified putative NAC SAGs in soybean and validated their association with PCD by transcriptome-wide analyses in different environmental conditions. Furthermore, we functionally characterized two GmNAC-SAGs, GmNAC065 and GmNAC085, in plant development, multiple stress responses, and cell death progression.

Our data demonstrate that GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 are induced by different stress conditions, with different kinetics and extent of responses, playing contrasting roles in PCD. They displayed a divergent expression profile from other SAGs and downstream target genes implicated in the antioxidant system and photosynthetic apparatus. Collectively, our data provided new insights into the soybean NAC-mediated PCD and reinforced the importance of these TFs as targets for understanding PCD regulatory gene networks and biotechnological plant breeding.

**Material and methods**

**Identification and phylogenetic analysis of NAC-SAGs in soybean.** The identification and the phylogenetic analysis of NAC-SAGs in soybean were performed using Arabidopsis thaliana (At)SAGs as templates. The deduced amino acid sequences of previously described AtNAC-SAGs were accessed from TAIR (https://www.arabidopsis.org/) and used as query sequences against the soybean genome. For a global alignment analysis, we used Phytozone's BLASTp algorithm (https://phytozone.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html#!search?show=BLAST&method=Org_Gmax). The putative recovered GmSAGs were confirmed by the best score of sequence-similarity (e-value < 10^{-10}) and alignment cover-ratio (coverture > 90%). Using the Soybase dataset (https://soybase.org/), we identified the putative GmNAC-SAGs paralogous genes and, finally, AtNAC-SAGs and GmNAC-SAGs were phylogenetically analyzed. The phylogenetic analysis and tree rendering were obtained using the MUSCLE alignment algorithm and the neighbor-joining statistical method with 10,000 bootstraps. We also used GmNAC081 and GmNAC030 as unrelated AtNAC-SAGs but as age- and stress-induced senescence-associated genes in soybean. The numerical designation of the superfamily GmNAC members was defined by Le et al. and further complemented by Melo et al.
Transcriptome-wide analysis of soybean NAC-SAGs in response to multiple stresses. The expression levels of the putative GmNAC-SAGs were retrieved from eight differentially expressed gene (DEG) soybean datasets available in public transcriptome raw-data from GEO—Gene Expression Omnibus/NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), comprising different types of abiotic and biotic stresses, age- and hormone-induced senescence. DEGs were determined using the edgeR package for gene expression analysis, and differential gene expression was supported by F and t-tests. Target genes were only searched against annotated DEGs after FDR (false discovery rate) correction and considered differentially expressed with 1 < FC < −1 and p-value < 0.05. All stress conditions, soybean treatments, access numbers, and references used in the transcriptome-wide analysis are organized in Supplemental Table 1.

For GmNAC065 and GmNAC085, we performed a co-expression analysis of soybean plants submitted to different abiotic and biotic stresses using the EXPPath 2.0 platform. We used Pearson's correlation-method with a cut-off of 0.8 (positive/negative) to determine the positive and negative correlated genes. The correlated genes were organized by biological function and process according to the internal GO enrichment analysis.

Soybean growth and multiple stress assay. Soybean (Glycine max—Williams82) seeds were soil-germinated and grown under greenhouse conditions (12 h of light, 15–30 °C, 70% relative humidity). At the V2/V3 developmental stage, the roots were acclimated in Hoagland Hydroponic Solution (HHS) for 24 h prior to stress simulations. The stress assay was performed in a hydroponic system, with HHS supplemented with 10% (w/v) PEG (MW 8000) to induce osmotic stress, 5 μg/mL tunicamycin (Tun) to induce ER-stress, the phytohormones ABA (150 mM) and salicylic acid (SA—75 µM), to trigger drought and biotic stress-responses, respectively. For the air-dry treatment, the plants were removed from the HHS and placed on cotton-filled pots. Leaf discs of stressed and control (0 h—untreated plants) seedlings were collected after 2 h, 4 h, and 12 h of stress-treatment, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at − 80 °C. All treatments were performed with biological triplicates, consisting of three pools of three plants. For induced PCD assay, the soybean seedlings in the same growth conditions were subjected to bleomycin treatment (420 mM) in HSS.

Soybean RNA-extraction, cDNA synthesis, and gene expression analysis. Total RNA of soybean leaf disks was extracted from approximately 150 mg of tissue, according to the Trizol® (Invitrogen) manufacturer's recommendations. RNA quality access and cDNA synthesis were performed as previously described by Melo et al.33. The gene expression profiles were determined by qRT-PCR. The analyses were performed in QuantStudio 3 instrument (ThermoFischer), with GoTaq qPCR master mix (Promega) and the specific primers (Supplementary Table 2). For experiment accuracy, three independent cDNA pools for each treatment were used. ELF1A soybean gene was used as the endogenous control, with stability determined by Freitas et al.35. Relative gene expression was determined by the 2−ΔΔCt or 2−ΔCt method and gene expression results converted into a heatmap using MORPHEUS software (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/).

Arabidopsis GmNAC-overexpressing lines. Arabidopsis thaliana (ecotype Columbia) transgenic lines ectopically expressing the genes GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 (designated GmNAC065-OX and GmNAC085-OX, respectively) were generated by the floral dip method36. The previously obtained recombinant plasmids33 were used to transform Agrobacterium tumefaciens (GV3101 strain), selected on LB-agar medium supplemented with specific antibiotics, and used for a three-round transformation process, with an interval of 10 days between the rounds. Transgenic plants with a T-DNA insertion were selected by ammonium-glufosinate pulverization (120 mg/L) and confirmed by PCR. Three T2 homozygous independently transformed lines were obtained for each construction, and the gene expression level was monitored by qRT-PCR.

GmNAC065-OX and GmNAC085-OX phenotypic characterization. For the phenotypic characterization, the seeds of GmNAC065-OX, GmNAC085-OX, and Col0, previously refrigerated at dark for dormancy-break, were soil-sowed and germinated under a controlled growth chamber (12 h of photoperiod, 21 °C and 70% of relative humidity). After germination, two-week-old seedlings were carefully transferred to individual pots, randomly distributed in trays, and cultivated up to 70 days (10 weeks). During the developmental cycle, we analyzed: (i) rosette diameter; (ii) the number of leaves; (iii) shoot length; (iv) duration of the vegetative stage; (v) duration of the reproductive stage; (vi) senescence onset. These parameters were monitored according to natural plant life-stages’ progression in intervals of 7 days between measurements.

Arabidopsis stress treatment, RNA extraction, and cDNA synthesis. Four-week-old seedlings were organized in three pools of three plants for each treatment. Plant germination and growth were performed according to the phenotypic and morphological analyses section. For the stress assay, the seedlings were carefully removed from the soil and transferred to MS medium (2.3 g/L of MS basal medium) for 24 h for plant acclimation. After the acclimation, the plants were transferred to PEG, Tun, or SA solutions and collected after 24 h. Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were performed as described in “Soybean growth and multiple stress assay” section.

Biochemical and cellular analysis of plant antioxidative system. The plant antioxidative system was evaluated by different approaches, including H2O2-DAB leaf-staining, TBA-reactive compounds quantification, enzymatic activity analysis of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX). For H2O2 detection, the plants were soaked in 3,3-diaminobenzamidine (DAB) solution (1 mg/mL, pH...
3.8) for 8 h under continuous agitation. After staining, the leaves were immersed into a 100% (v/v) ethanol bath until total chlorophyll removal. The leaves were rehydrated in water and glycerol (10% v/v) and photographed in a stereoscope.

TBA-reactive compounds from approximately 100 mg of tissue were quantified as optimized by Melo et al. The concentration of TBA-reactive compounds was calculated considering the MDA molar extinction coefficient of 155 mM cm⁻¹.

The enzymatic activities were determined in the total protein extract, as described by de Melo et al. The proteins from approximately 150 mg of leaves were extracted in 1 mL of phosphate buffer (100 mM—pH 7.8) and added to DTT (0.5 g/L), EDTA (10 µM) and PVPP (0.3 mg/mL). All enzymatic activities were determined using pools of 3 plants and three biological and two technical replicates. Total protein quantification was performed by Bradford’s method.

From data of total protein quantification, we determined the rate of protein degradation. The following expression was applied: (% of protein degradation = \( \frac{P_o - P_n}{P_o} \times 100 \)), where \( P_o \) = total protein of unstressed plants and \( P_n \) = total protein at specific stress-time.

**Cellular analysis of cell death progression.** Cell death progression was monitored in leaves and roots by Evans blue and propidium iodide (PI) staining. The Evans blue leaf-staining was performed in Evans dye aqueous solution (0.5% w/v). Stressed (24 h) and non-stressed leaves were immersed into the staining solution for 8 h under agitation. After staining, the leaves were disintained in absolute ethanol and preserved in glycerol (50% v/v) until observation under a stereoscope.

For the PI root-staining, 24 h-stressed plants were dipped into propidium iodide solution (0.6 µg/mL) for 24 h. After staining, the plants were rinsed in distilled water, and roots were arranged at slides under a water drop. The slides were covered and analyzed by confocal microscopy scanning (Zeiss LSM510 META) under argon/helium–neon laser with a maximum excitation wavelength of 488 nm. Fluorescence was collected in a 596–638 nm bandpass filter. Images were recovered and treated using the ZEN BLACK EDITION 3.0 (Carl-Zeiss) software.

**Secondary metabolites quantification.** Metabolite content was monitored in ethanolic plant-extracts. The levels of chlorophyll, carotenoids, soluble sugars and anthocyanins were determined using the same leaf extract. The frozen samples were weighted, powdered in liquid nitrogen, and metabolites extracted in ethanol for 24 h under refrigeration and sheltered from the light.

Chlorophyll, carotenoids, and anthocyanin levels were determined spectrophotometrically. The supernatant was quantified using a spectrophotometer at 480 nm, 645 nm, and 663 nm. Chlorophyll a, b, and total chlorophyll were calculated by the expression \( \text{Clf}_a = \frac{12.7 \times A_{663} - 2.69 \times A_{645}}{20.2 \times A_{645} - 8.02 \times A_{663}} \), respectively, and expressed as µg/mg of tissue. To calculate the concentration of carotenoids, we used the equation \( \text{Car} = \frac{10.4 \times A_{440}}{m} \), considering \( \varepsilon = 2592 \text{ mM cm}^{-1} \) for standard carotenoids in ethanol. Finally, anthocyanin content was determined according to the method adapted by Sims and Gamon. The samples were analyzed at 529 nm and 650 nm and the final concentration of anthocyanins calculated by the expression \( \text{Cyan} = \frac{529 - 0.288 \times A_{650}}{m} \), in which \( m = \) tissue weight (mg) and \( \varepsilon = 98.2 \text{ mM cm}^{-1} \) (molar extinction coefficient determined for a mixture of standard-anthocyanins in acidic solution).

For reducing sugar quantification, we performed a DNS-based (3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid) reaction, as described by de Melo et al. An aliquot of 100 µL of the extract was added to 900 µL of water and 500 µL of DNS. Absorbance was determined at 540 nm, and sugar content was determined using a glucose-based standard curve (0–1.2 µg/µL).

**Quantitative RT-PCR.** The expression of SAGs and downstream targets in Arabidopsis was determined as previously described in “Soybean RNA-extraction, cDNA synthesis, and gene expression analysis” section using gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table 3). ACT2 was selected as the normalizer control gene. The expression of the drought-responsive genes RD29A, RD29B, and RD20, ER stress-induced ANAC036, CNX, and AtNRP1 genes, and biotic stress-associated marker genes WRKY70, AtNRP1, and RAB18 were monitored by RT-qPCR.

**Ethical statement.** This work adhered all the protocols relevant to ethical guidelines/regulations for plant usage.

**Results**

**Genome- and transcriptome-wide analysis identified soybean NAC genes associated with developmental and environmental senescence.** In Arabidopsis, several studies of temporal gene expression profiling along with dPCD and ePCD have associated NAC TFs with the control of the onset, progression, and termination of PCD. In order to identify the soybean NAC TFs associated with the control of PCD in developmental programs and multiple stresses responses, we performed a genome and transcriptome-wide analysis of soybean under different stresses. Kim et al. and Bengoa-Luoni et al. provided a complete inventory of senescence-associated NAC genes (NAC-SAGs) in Arabidopsis thaliana. Here, we used these functionally characterized AtNAC-SAGs as templates, and we identified 16 putative GmNAC-SAGs in the soybean genome (Table 1).

The last phylogenetic analysis of the soybean NAC superfamily updated the family to 180 members, divided into 15 subfamilies, according to sequence and functional relationship. The phylogenetic analysis of GmNAC-SAGs clustered the putative genes in 7 phylogenetic groups, whose members belong to 5 different NAC subfamilies (Fig. 1). The most representative senescence-associated NAC subfamily is SNAC-A, followed by SNAC-B.
Table 1. Senescence-associated genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and soybean (*Glycine max*). *According to Melo et al. (2018). **GmNAC023 and GmNAC131 are putative soybean paralogous genes. ***GmNAC092 and GmNAC101 are putative soybean paralogous genes.

| Group | NAC-SAG (Arabidopsis thaliana) | Soybean putative NAC-SAG | Soybean paralogous gene | Locus |
|-------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| 1     | ANAC016 | NAM | GmNAC074 | GmNAC149 | Glyma.10G219600 |
| 2     | ANAC059/ORS1 | NAM | GmNAC023 | GmNAC131 | Glyma.05G025500 *** |
|       | ANAC092/ORE1 | NAM | GmNAC023 | GmNAC131 | Glyma.17G101500 *** |
| 3     | ANAC042/JUB1 | ORAC022 | GmNAC106 | GmNAC154 | Glyma.14G030700 |
| 4     | ANAC083/YN12 | Senu5 | GmNAC065 | GmNAC179 | Glyma.08G360200 |
| 5     | AINAP | SNAC-B | GmNAC010 | GmNAC003 | Glyma.02G109800 |
| 6     | ATAF1 | SNAC-A | GmNAC109 | GmNAC003 | Glyma.14G152700 |
| 7     | ANAC072 | SNAC-A | GmNAC085 | GmNAC043 | Glyma.12G149100 |
|       | ANAC019 | SNAC-A | GmNAC010 | GmNAC010 | Glyma.13G279900 |
|       | ANAC055 | SNAC-A | GmNAC092 | GmNAC003 | Glyma.12G221500 *** |

Figure 1. Phylogenetic reconstruction of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and soybean (*Glycine max*) NAC-SAGs. The deduced amino acid sequences of previously described NAC-SAGs of Arabidopsis were used as prototypes to retrieve their putative orthologous genes (GmNAC-SAGs) from the soybean genome. The phylogenetic reconstruction resulted in seven distinct groups with stable collapsed branches. Phylogenetic relationships were established by the neighbor-joining statistical method with 10,000 bootstraps, and the tree was rendered using the MABL interface.
subfamilies. SNAC-A and SNAC-B subfamilies contain 50% of putative GmNAC-SAGs, divided into three closely related groups (5, 6, and 7—Fig. 1). GmNAC010 and GmNAC003 belong to the SNAC-B subfamily, whereas GmNAC109, GmNAC011, GmNAC085, GmNAC043, GmNAC101, and GmNAC092 are SNAC-A subfamily members. These clusters also harbor the Arabidopsis genes AtNAP (group 5), ATAF1 (group 6) and ANAC072, ANAC019, and ANAC055 (group 7), functionally associated with senescence progression and several stress responses39–42.

Subsequently, the NAM subfamily holds 25% of NAC-SAGs divided into groups 1 and 2 (Fig. 1). The NAM subfamily encompasses the genes GmNAC074, GmNAC023, GmNAC131, and GmNAC149, besides their orthologous in Arabidopsis ANAC016, ANAC059/ORS1 and ANAC092/ORE1, respectively. Finally, groups 3 and 4 belong to two different NAC subfamilies. GmNAC106 and GmNAC154 (group 3—Fig. 1) are phylogenetically related to ONAC022 subfamily, and GmNAC065 and GmNAC179 (group 4—Fig. 1) belong to the Senu5 subfamily.

The analysis of putative GmNAC-SAGs in soybean genome did not identified GmNAC030 and GmNAC081, the final regulators of the NAC-VPE circuit as classical NAC-SAGs. GmNAC030 belongs to the TERN subfamily and is clustered with a most divergent branch of the phylogenetic tree (group 1—Fig. 1). However, GmNAC030 belongs to the SNAC-A subfamily, covered by the members of the groups 6 and 7, but it displays some structural divergence that promotes a branch collapse and places it in a new phylogenetic branch.

To identify NAC TFs associated with the regulatory mechanisms of dPCD and ePCD in soybean, we analyzed the expression profile of the putative GmNAC-SAGs from a publically accessed soybean-transcriptome dataset from the GEO (NCBI) database (Supplementary Table 1, Fig. 2). Their expression profiles were assessed in response to different stress conditions and natural senescence (dPCD), such as hormone-induced senescence, abiotic stresses (moderate and severe drought conditions, oxidative stress), and biotic stresses (fungi and insect attacks). In addition, their expression levels were also examined in soybean seedlings treated with bleomycin, a genotoxic PCD-inducer.

Our transcriptome analysis demonstrated that GmNAC-SAGs are differentially expressed at least in one type of abiotic and/or biotic stresses, and most of them are differentially expressed during age-induced senescence.
(Fig. 2A). We also monitored the expression of GmNAC081 and GmNAC030 as marker genes of stress- and leaf senescence-induced genes33. GmNAC074, GmNAC149, GmNAC010, and GmNAC003 display similar expression patterns in response to different stresses and during leaf senescence, which partially overlap with the GmNAC030 expression profile (Fig. 2A). In general, the selected GmNACs were differently regulated by age-induced senescence and, in response to the stress conditions, they exhibited a pattern of expression consistent with their subfamily. The SNAC-A genes within groups 6 and 7 were up-regulated during drought and oxidative stresses. Specifically, GmNAC085, GmNAC043, GmNAC101, and GmNAC092 also displayed a similar expression pattern during biotic stresses (Fusarium oxysporum and Lamprosema indicae infection, Fig. 2A). In contrast, these genes were down-regulated during age-induced senescence and we have previously shown that they are also down-regulated by natural leaf senescence33; thereby, they were not conceptually classified as SAGs. An opposite trend was observed for GmNAC065, GmNAC179, GmNAC081, and GmNAC077 (groups 1 and 4, respectively, Senu5 and TERN). These genes were down-regulated by severe drought and up-regulated during age-induced senescence (this work) and natural leaf senescence33, hence we referred to them as GmNAC-SAGs (Fig. 2A). Because GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 have been previously demonstrated to cause cell death in a heterologous plant system33 and yet display a contrasting expression profile under natural and drought-induced senescence33, 43, we selected these cell death-related GmNACs for further analyses.

Bleomycin-induced cell death differentially regulates GmNAC065 and GmNAC085. We hypothesized that the differentially expressed GmNACs in age-induced and natural leaf senescence were differently involved in dPCD and ePCD. To examine this hypothesis, we analyzed first their expression levels in soybean leaves treated with the cell death inducer bleomycin for 24 h (Fig. 2B). All examined GmNACs were induced by bleomycin treatment, although to a different extent. The only exception was GmNAC106, which was negatively regulated by the treatment. Some genes, including GmNAC023 (group 2—NAM) and GmNAC109 (group 6—SNAC-A), were strongly induced (more than 1000-fold variation), indicating involvement of these genes with the process of stress-PCD. GmNAC003 (group 5—SNAC-B), GmNAC085, and GmNAC092 (group 7—SNAC-A) were also induced by bleomycin treatment, as expected for the members of 5-NAC subfamily already described as positive regulators of PCD, such as ANAC016, ORE1, ORS1, and ATAF12, 40, 41, 44. In contrast, the genes GmNAC106 and GmNAC154, which clustered together with the Arabidopsis negative regulator of senescence JUB1 (ONAC022)45, displayed low induction compared with the other GmNACs genes; likewise, GmNAC065 and GmNAC179 (group 4—Senu5), which clustered with another negative regulator of senescence in Arabidopsis (ANAC083/VN12)46, were slightly induced by bleomycin.

GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 are differentially expressed in soybean tissues during normal growth and stressful conditions. To examine further the differential involvement of GmNACs in ePCD and dPCD, we selected GmNAC065 and GmNAC085, which exhibited opposing expression profiles in response to stress and natural senescence33, for further analysis (Fig. 2A). We investigated their expression profile in different tissues of the Williams82 soybean-variety under normal and stressful conditions (Fig. 3, Supplementary Figure 1A). GmNAC065 is expressed in all tissues during the vegetative and reproductive stages (Fig. 3A). The only exception is the stem, in which its expression is barely detected. In the vegetative stage, the expression of GmNAC065 is higher in leaves than in roots. However, in the reproductive stage, the expression of GmNAC065 is similar amongst all tissues, displaying a discrete variation. In contrast, GmNAC085 displays a very low expression in leaves and roots during the vegetative stage, but a significantly enhanced expression in all tissues during the reproductive stage (Fig. 3A). Like GmNAC065, the expression of GmNAC085 is higher in leaves than in roots in the reproductive stage, indicating a possible involvement in the stress-triggered senescence process, which appears to be more extensive in leaf tissue.

We also determined the expression levels of GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 in soybean seedlings exposed to PEG, air-dry, and ABA-treatments, simulating drought. ER-stress was induced by tunicamycin treatment. Our analyses were performed in four time-points (0.5 h, 2 h, 4 h, and 12 h) to determine whether these genes were early, intermediate or late stress-responsive. GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 are regulated by at least one type of stress treatment and respond with different levels and kinetics of induction in leaves and roots (Fig. 3B, Supplementary Figure 1B). Both genes are most expressed in leaves than in roots, as observed under normal growth conditions. In leaves, GmNAC065 was weakly up-regulated by PEG, Tun, and air-dry treatments. GmNAC065 displays an expressive induction during the early-to-intermediate ABA treatment stages but decays at a late-stage post-treatment. During the PEG treatment, GmNAC065 responded with early-to-intermediate induction kinetics, displaying the highest expressions between 2 and 4 h, similar to air-dried and ABA-treated plants. Differently, in ER-stress, GmNAC065 was only induced in late stages of stress, after 12 h of treatment. In contrast, GmNAC085 was strongly induced by PEG, ABA, and dry air treatments, but not by Tun and SA in leaves. The temporal expression pattern was also different; GmNAC085 displays an intermediate-to-late response, with higher expression levels between 4 and 12 h, when GmNAC065 expression decays (Fig. 3B). In roots, these NAC genes are weakly expressed. Nonetheless, GmNAC085 responds to SA treatment at late stages and displays a stable expression during ABA-treatment.

Co-expression analyses uncover different set of genes associated with GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 expression. We next performed a co-expression analysis in publically accessed RNA-seq and Microarray data of soybean under biotic and abiotic stresses. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were subjected to Pearson’s correlation method, and positively and negatively correlated genes were grouped according to their biological function and process by GO enrichment analysis (Table 2).
**GmNAC065** is positively correlated with genes related to primary cell signaling pathways, such as kinase proteins, and genes associated with cell survival in multiple stresses tolerance. The analysis indicates its co-expression with LEA proteins, β-carotene hydroxylase, acyl-CoA oxidase, and ubiquinol oxidase. These proteins and enzymes are frequently associated with ROS-avoiding mechanisms, photosynthetic apparatus preservation, jasmonate-mediated tolerance to biotic stresses, and drought-tolerance 47–49.

**GmNAC065** negatively correlates with one gene of jasmonic acid biosynthesis (allene-oxide 3-cyclase) 50 and several genes from gibberellin biosynthesis pathways 51. In contrast, **GmNAC085** is co-expressed with ABA-responsive genes, genes involved in aromatic amino acids metabolism, and, mainly, genes involved in protein catabolism and degradation, such as components of proteasome and endopeptidases, besides peroxidases. Interestingly, **GmNAC085** displays negative co-relation with genes controlling pigment biosynthesis and the photosynthetic apparatus assembly, including lycopene-β-cyclase, prolycopene isomerase, and the complex V of the light-harvesting system on photosystem I, indicating that these genes are expressed in divergent situations compared to **GmNAC085**.

**Ectopic expression of GmNAC065 or GmNAC085 promotes contrasting phenotypic and physiological changes in Arabidopsis.** To uncover the functions of **GmNAC065** and **GmNAC085** in developmental and stress-responsive programs in plants, we generated three independent homozygous transgenic lines of *Arabidopsis thaliana* expressing the soybean genes under the CaMV35S promoter control. The selected lines (L1, L2, and L3) of **GmNAC065-OX** and **GmNAC085-OX** demonstrated similar transgenes’ expression, monitored by RT-qPCR (Supplementary Figure 2A). The ectopic expression of **GmNAC065** and **GmNAC085** in the Columbia background caused phenotypic alterations (Fig. 4, Supplementary Figure 2). The transgenic plants displayed stunted growth compared to wild-type plants during the vegetative stage (Fig. 4A). From 14 days after germination (DAG) up to 49 DAG, considered as the transition point to the reproductive stage, hallmarked by shoot elongation in plants, the leaf number and rosette diameter of both **GmNAC065-OX** and **GmNAC085-OX** were lower compared to Col 0 (Fig. 4A, Supplementary Figure 2B,C). **GmNAC065-OX** plants reached an average of maximum rosette diameter of 9.88 (± 0.47) cm, against 9.80 (± 0.11) cm of **GmNAC085-OX** and 10.89 (± 0.94 cm) of Col 0.
During the reproductive stage, the transgenic lines also displayed lower inflorescence length than wild type plants (Fig. 4B, Supplementary Figure 2D), and the phenotypic alterations were more pronounced between the different transgenic lines. The development of GmNAC065-OX lines was delayed compared to Col 0 and GmNAC085-OX plants. At 49 DAG, Col 0 and GmNAC085-OX emerged their shoot-inflorescence, reaching 2–3 cm of inflorescence length, while only one of five GmNAC065-OX L1 plants displayed shoot elongation (Fig. 4A, Supplementary Figure 2D). At the same time point, GmNAC085-OX L1 flowered, indicating an accelerated development compared to the other plants (Fig. 4A). These phenotypic variations persisted during the late reproductive stages. At 56 and 63 DAG, Col 0 and GmNAC085-OX lines presented elongated shoots with flowers, although the inflorescence length was shorter in GmNAC085-OX than in Col 0 (Fig. 4B, Supplementary Figure 2D). The reduced number of leaves, rosette diameter, and shoot length displayed by GmNAC065-OX lines indicate a longer lifecycle and a stay-green phenotype. At 70 DAG, after flower abscission and silique maturation, GmNAC065-OX plants kept the green phenotype, with discrete senescence marks, whereas GmNAC085-OX plants displayed more intense necrotic lesions as compared to Col-0. Collectively, these phenotypic alterations indicate that the overexpression of GmNAC065 delays the developmental cycle of transgenic plants.

Table 2. GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 co-expressed genes in soybean under biotic and abiotic stresses.

| GO ID | GO term | Gene | Functional annotation | P-value* |
|-------|---------|------|-----------------------|----------|
| 004871 | Signal transducer | Glyma.15G074900 | Protein kinase superfamily | 5.93E-3 |
| 012876 | | Glyma.12G187600 | LEA hydroxy-proline rich glycoprotein | |
| 055114 | Oxidative/reductive process | Glyma.01G017900 | β-Carotene-hydroxylase 1 | 0.05 |
| | | Glyma.01G025500 | 2-Oxoglutarate and Fe(II)-dependent oxygenase | |
| | | Glyma.04G123800 | Ubiquinol oxidase | |
| | | Glyma.06G285400 | Acyl-CoA oxidase 3 | |

GmNAC065—negative correlation (PCC ≤ 0.08)

| GO ID | GO term | Gene | Functional annotation | P-value* |
|-------|---------|------|-----------------------|----------|
| 004871 | Signal transducer | Glyma.01G086900 | Allene-oxide cyclase 3 | 1.38E-6 |
| 065100 | ABA-mediated signaling pathway | Glyma.10G241100 | Gibberillin-oxidase 2 (A44) | 2.96E-5 |
| 065100 | | Glyma.13G371400 | GA4-requiring oxidase | |

GmNAC085—positive correlation (PCC ≥ 0.8)

| GO ID | GO term | Gene | Functional annotation | P-value* |
|-------|---------|------|-----------------------|----------|
| 007001 | Lignin metabolic process | Glyma.02G236500 | Trans-cinnamate 4-monooxygenase | 2.13E-8 |
| 009737 | Aromatic amino acid biosynthesis | Glyma.11G189100 | Prephenate dehydratase | 4.48E-8 |
| | | Glyma.14G176600 | 3-Deoxy-7-phosphohexulonate synthase | |
| | | Glyma.05G183700 | Shikimate kinase | |
| 009737 | ABA-responsive genes | Glyma.03G056000 | EM-likeprotein GEA6 | 1.66E-3 |
| | | Glyma.04G009900 | Dehydrin | |
| | | Glyma.18G203500 | EM-likeprotein GEA1 | |

GmNAC085—negative correlation (PCC ≤ −0.08)

| GO ID | GO term | Gene | Functional annotation | P-value* |
|-------|---------|------|-----------------------|----------|
| 0016117 | Carotenoid biosynthetic process | Glyma.03G128900 | Lycopene β-cyclase | 2.60E-4 |
| | | Glyma.02G188200 | Prolycopene isomerase | |
| 009507 | Chloroplast | Glyma.11G195900 | Chaperonin 60 subunit alpha 1 | 2.14E-5 |
| | | Glyma.06G159400 | GTP-binding protein LEPA | |
| | | Glyma.06G039700 | Photosystem I light-harvesting complex 5 | |

*P-value of statistical analysis of FDR (false discovery rate) for GO-enrichment.
Figure 4. Phenotypical characterization of three homozygous independent lines of Arabidopsis overexpressing GmNAC065 and GmNAC085. (A) Phenotypical characterization of GmNAC065-OX and GmNAC085-OX lines (L1, L2 and L3) during the vegetative stage and the onset of the reproductive stage. Plants were analyzed up to 42 days after germination (DAG) in the vegetative stage and up to 56 DAG in the reproductive stage. 49 DAG was considered the onset of the reproductive stage, hallmarked by the inflorescence’s emergence. (B) Phenotypical characterization of GmNAC065-OX and GmNAC085-OX lines in the reproductive stage. (C) Phenotypical characterization of transgenic Arabidopsis lines during senescence. All experiments were performed with plants under normal development, cultivated in a growth chamber with standard settings. Scale bars = 0.5 cm.
whereas *GmNAC085* overexpression accelerates leaf senescence, which is consistent with the *GmNAC065* and *GmNAC085* expression patterns in soybean under normal growth conditions and in response to multiple stresses.

*GmNAC065* and *GmNAC085* ectopic expression causes changes in the antioxidant plant system and secondary metabolite content. A common feature on plant responses to multiple stresses is the production and accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS)\(^{10,52,53}\). With the different extent of damages, they are potent oxidizers and lead to deleterious effects, triggering ePCD\(^{10,54}\). The ectopic expression of *GmNAC065* and *GmNAC085* in *N. benthamiana* leaves has already been shown to differentially accumulate H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and thiobarbituric acid (TBA)-reactive compounds, such as malonaldehyde (MDA—a product of lipid peroxidation), consequently reducing the chlorophyll content after 72 h\(^{33}\). Hereafter, we investigated the effect of ROS accumulation on activity of antioxidant enzymes and osmo- and oxidative-protectant content in Arabidopsis transgenic lines constitutively expressing these genes (Figs. 5, 6, 7).

In untreated control (CTR) plants, the levels of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) were very similar, indicating that additional stress was not generated during the plant acclimation (Fig. 5A). In PEG-treated plants, *GmNAC065*-OX lines show a discretely lower accumulation of ROS compared to Col-0, but significantly lower ROS content compared to *GmNAC085*-OX plants, as demonstrated by the MDA content (Fig. 5B). Tun- and SA-treated plants display a similar pattern of TBA-reactive compounds, although the differences between *GmNAC065*-OX lines and *GmNAC085*-OX lines are accentuated. These treatments drastically impose an oxidative burst but the H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and MDA contents of *GmNAC065*-OX plants remain lower than those of *GmNAC085*-OX lines, which show similar levels of these compounds as in wild type plants (Fig. 5B).

ROS accumulation emerges from imbalances in the photosynthetic apparatus and an impaired antioxidant system. In the transgenic plants, the gene induction of antioxidant enzymes catalase (CAT), superoxide-dismutase

Figure 5. Expression of *GmNAC065* and *GmNAC085* leads to H\(_2\)O\(_2\) accumulation and lipid peroxidation. (A) DAB-leaf staining to detect H\(_2\)O\(_2\) accumulation in Arabidopsis leaves submitted to different stresses (PEG 8000—10% w/v; Tun—5 µg/mL; SA—75 µM) for 24 h. (B) TBA-reactive compounds quantification in *GmNAC065*-OX and *GmNAC085*-OX lines under different stresses. Solid bars show data from untreated plants. Upper bars indicate standard error (95% of confidence). Uppercase letters indicate significant differences among control samples and lowercase letters indicate significant differences among treated samples by the Tukey’s test (p<0.05, n=3).
Figure 6. Expression profiles and activities of antioxidant enzymes catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) in GmNAC065-OX and GmNAC085-OX lines under different stress conditions. (A) Expression profile of CAT, SOD, and APX in transgenic Arabidopsis lines after 24 h of stresses. Fold variation data were normalized according to the expression levels of the control samples (FV = 1.0). (B) Enzymatic activity of CAT, SOD, and APX in GmNAC065-OX and GmNAC085-OX plants under different stresses. Drought, ER stress, and biotic stress were simulated by PEG 8000—10% w/v; Tun—5 µg/mL; and SA—75 µM treatments, respectively. Solid bars show data from untreated plants. Upper bars indicate standard error (95% of confidence). Uppercase letters indicate significant differences among control samples, and lowercase letters indicate significant differences among treated samples by the Tukey’s test (p < 0.05, n = 3).
Figure 7. Metabolite content in transgenic Arabidopsis lines overexpressing GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 under different stress conditions. (A) Chlorophyll content. The chlorophyll content was accessed spectrophotometrically from ethanolic plant extracts. (B) Protein decay ratio. After stress, the protein decay ratio was calculated according to the total protein content, determined by the Bradford method. The protein content of untreated plants was considered 100% and the degradation ratio was expressed as the percentage of protein relative to the control. (C) Carotenoid content. The carotenoid content was determined spectrophotometrically from ethanolic plant extracts. (D) Anthocyanin content. Anthocyanin content was determined spectrophotometrically from ethanolic plant extracts. (E) Soluble sugar content was determined by the DNS-reducing method. Samples were subjected to the DNS reaction, and sugar concentration was determined based on a glucose standard curve. Drought, ER stress, and biotic stress were simulated by PEG 8000—10% w/v; Tun—5 µg/mL; and SA—75 µM treatments for 24 h, respectively. Solid bars show data from untreated plants. Upper bars indicate standard error (95% of confidence). Uppercase letters indicate significant differences among control samples, and lowercase letters indicate significant differences among the treated samples by the Tukey’s test (p < 0.05, n = 3).
stresses displays lower induction than ANAC072 in response to these ANAC083 markers (Fig. 9A). Nevertheless, under PEG, Tun, and SA treatments, their expression monitored. The induction of ANAC083 and ANAC072 in different stresses is not affected by the ectopic expression of the soybean orthologous genes (Fig. 6B). Under different stresses, the activity of the anti-oxidative enzymes remains significantly higher in GmNAC065 lines, followed by Col-0 and GmNAC085-OX.

A typical symptom of ROS accumulation is the breakdown of chlorophyll and other pigments. The total chlorophyll content of GmNAC065-overexpressing transgenic leaves was higher than that of Col-0 and GmNAC085-OX leaves (Fig. 7A). After 24 h of stresses, the chlorophyll content decreased, but GmNAC065-OX plants maintain the chlorophyll content higher than wild type and GmNAC085-OX plants. In contrast, GmNAC085-OX lines displayed a lower chlorophyll content in untreated plants, and exhibited a further decrease under PEG, Tun and SA treatments, similarly to wild type plants.

ROS accumulation also leads to protein and DNA degradation. The protein decay in response to stress conditions in GmNAC085-OX lines was extensively higher than in GmNAC065-OX and Col-0 plants (Fig. 7B). In response to PEG and Tun treatments, the protein content decay reached almost 40% in GmNAC085-OX lines, against 15–20% (PEG) and 25–30% (Tun) observed in GmNAC065-OX and Col 0 plants, suggesting an extensive activity of biomolecules’ breakdown system accentuated by high ROS accumulation in these plants. In SA-treated plants, the protein decay differences between the lines were attenuated, probably due to the oxidative burst caused by SA. However, GmNAC065-OX plants remained with the best performance.

The protein responses in plants involve multilayered stress-avoidance mechanisms that, along with tolerance mechanisms, confer the resistant phenotype. The co-expression analysis of genes in soybean revealed that GmNAC065 expression positively correlates to genes involved in redox reactions, specifically those belonging to biosynthesis of pigments (Table 2). This observation prompted us to consider antioxidant system branches, including the carotenoids, anthocyanins and soluble sugar contents in transgenic plants (Fig. 7C–E).

The carotenoid content profile was similar to the chlorophyll pattern in the transgenic lines were similar, as GmNAC065-overexpressing plants exhibited higher levels of carotenoids than wild type and GmNAC085-OX plants under control and stress conditions (Fig. 7C). Curiously, anthocyanins content may not participate efficiently in the mechanisms involved in oxidative stress tolerance in GmNAC065-OX plants. Untreated GmNAC065-OX plants displayed lower anthocyanin content compared to untreated Col-0 and GmNAC085-OX plants. Under stress conditions, the anthocyanin content of GmNAC065-OX lines decreased to a similar level to Col-0, but it remained lower compared to GmNAC085-OX plants, except in response to Tun treatment (Fig. 7D).

Finally, the soluble sugars content was also analyzed. Untreated Col-0 and GmNAC065-OX lines displayed similar levels of soluble sugars in contrast to GmNAC085-OX lines, which displayed the lowest levels of these metabolites (Fig. 7E). After PEG treatment, GmNAC065-OX plants demonstrated higher sugar levels, indicating an osmoregulator effect caused by sugar accumulation. The sugar content also increased in Col 0 and GmNAC085-OX lines, but in a lower ratio compared to GmNAC065-OX plants. In other treatments, the sugar content decayed after 24 h of stress because of the extensive mobilization during pCD triggering programs but remained higher in GmNAC065-expressing plants.

GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 differently regulate stress-triggered programmed cell death. Our genome- and transcriptome-wide analyses, supported by the biochemical characterization of the Arabidopsis transgenic lines, have associated GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 with different roles in pCD. The extent of GmNAC065- and GmNAC085-mediated pCD was monitored by Evans blue leaf-staining (Fig. 8A) and propidium iodide (PI) root-staining (Fig. 8B) after 24 h-treatment of transgenic lines with PEG, Tun and SA as well as by the transcriptional modulation of several SAGs regulated by different stimuli in Arabidopsis (Figs. 9 and 10).

Evans blue is a vital dye and only penetrates dead cells, indicating the extent of cell death in leaves. Likewise, PI is a fluorescent base-intercalating dye and stains the nucleus of dead cells in roots. GmNAC065-OX lines displayed an attenuated pCD phenotype compared to Col-0 and GmNAC085-OX, which displayed the most extensive cell death, as demonstrated by intense blue-staining in leaves and higher nuclei-staining and disrupted cells in roots (Fig. 8). These results are consistent with the phenotypic and molecular analyses of GmNAC065 and GmNAC085-OX lines, which converge to an accentuated pCD-phenotype observed in GmNAC085-OX lines in contrast to the attenuated phenotype displayed by GmNAC065-OX lines.

The basis for the intensity of a senescence phenotype is the extensive gene expression reprogramming and signaling in plants. In Arabidopsis, gene regulatory networks (GRNs) involved in integrating environmental and developmental signals triggering pCD are well characterized, and several NAC TFs as regulators of these pathways. In order to clarify how GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 affect pCD in Arabidopsis, the expression levels of several AtSAGs and downstream targets that promote classic pCD phenotypes were assessed in a pool of transgenic lines exposed to different stresses. We also investigated the expression of drought-associated gene-markers (RD29A, RD29B, and RD20), as well as ER-stress- (ANAC036, CNA, and AtNRP1) and SA-associated markers (WRKY70, RAB18, and AtNRP1).

All stress marker genes were correctly up-regulated in Col-0, GmNAC065-OX, and GmNAC085-OX plants, indicating that the stress treatments were effective (Fig. 9B). The expression levels of the putative GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 orthologs from Arabidopsis, the ANAC083/VPN2 and ANAC072 genes, respectively, were also monitored. The induction of ANAC083 and ANAC072 in different stresses is not affected by the ectopic expression of the soybean orthologous genes (Fig. 9A). Nevertheless, under PEG, Tun, and SA treatments, their expression is remarkably higher in wild type plants than in transgenic lines. Interestingly, ANAC083 in response to these stresses displays lower induction than ANAC072, supporting the phylogenetic analysis and the contrasting roles of these genes in pCD, as suggested for their soybean orthologs.
In all treatments, several SAGs were up-regulated because of the severity of stress (Fig. 9B; Supplementary Table 4). In addition, many of them participate in integrative responses among environmental, hormone signaling, and adaptive responses. In general, GmNAC085-OX lines display expressive induction of SAGs in all analyzed treatments, opposing GmNAC065-OX lines (Fig. 9B; Supplementary Table 4).

Overall, almost all SAGs were up-regulated in GmNAC085-OX lines and genes related to chloroplast-preserving and maintenance, including GLK1, was repressed in all treatments. In contrast, several SAGs and ePCD...
Figure 9. AtSAGs expression in GmNAC065-OX and GmNAC085-OX plants under different stresses. (A) Expression levels of ANAC083/VNI2 and ANAC072, a negative and positive regulator of senescence in Arabidopsis, and the putative orthologous of GmNAC065 and GmNAC085, respectively. (B) Expression levels of stress marker genes and AtSAGs in transgenic lines ectopically expressing GmNAC065 and GmNAC085. ACT2 was used as the endogenous control gene. Relative gene expression was quantified using the comparative 2−ΔΔCt method. Upper bars indicate standard error (95% of confidence), and the different letters indicate significant differences among the lines by the Tukey's test (p < 0.05, n = 3).

Discussion
TFs act as converging nodes that integrate stress signals and programmed cell death responses. NAC TFs have been frequently associated with stress and cell death responses in several plants, including tobacco, oat, rice, maize, sunflower, and soybean1,44,60–66. The comprehensive analysis of SAGs and other genes associated with the control of PCD-related mechanisms in crops offers a new insight over the cellular mechanisms of stress adaption and PCD, raising new possibilities for biotechnological intervention and development of modern agribusiness.

Wide-genome analysis of NAC superfamily in soybean identified 16 putative GmNAC-SAGs, according to their phylogenetic relationship with AtNAC-SAGs. Our analysis clustered them in 7 groups, whose members were distributed between 5 different NAC subfamilies33. Eight genes, corresponding to 50% of putative GmNAC-SAGs, belonged to the SNAC-A and SNAC-B subfamilies. These groups harbor functionally characterized Arabidopsis NAC-SAGs that have been implicated as positive regulators of leaf senescence, including AtNAP (group 5), AtATAF1 (group 6), ANAC072, ANAC019, and ANAC055 (group 7)41,67–69.

The putative orthologous of GmNAC085, ANAC072, is implicated in the expression of chlorophyll catabolism- and nutrient management-related genes, such as CV (chloroplast vesiculation) and SWEET15, an essential gene in chloroplast protein degradation and sugar transport in Arabidopsis, respectively42,70, further implicating GmNAC085 as a positive regulator of ePCD.

Almost all NAC-SAGs are associated with the positive progression of leaf senescence. However, few NAC-SAG genes are involved in senescence delay and stress-protective mechanisms45,46. The genes of groups 3 and 4 are
phylogenetically divergent, and, naturally, may unroll different functions in senescence. ANAC042/JUB1 (group 3) is involved in the control of H$_2$O$_2$ levels and its overexpression results not exclusively in delayed senescence, but also in enhanced plant performance under several abiotic stresses. Additionally, VNI2/ANAC083, orthologous to GmNAC065 (group 4), directly activates COR and RD genes, already associated with plant longevity under stressful conditions. The transcriptome-wide analysis demonstrated that many of GmNAC-SAGs are differentially regulated by multiple stresses and hormones and different phylogenetic groups display different expression patterns. This divergence becomes more evident in bleomycin-treated soybean: NAM and SNAC-A and -B genes (groups 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7) are highly induced, including GmNAC074, GmNAC023, GmNAC003, GmNAC109, GmNAC85, and GmNAC092, as well as their paralogs, whereas GmNAC065 and GmNAC065 from groups 3 and 4 are slightly induced. Even under normal soybean development, the contrast between GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 is evident. GmNAC085 is poorly expressed in the vegetative stage and is only expressed during the reproductive stage, with accentuated levels in flowers and leaves. Since leaf senescence and abscission are linked to normal developmental programs, GmNAC085 may be involved in developmentally programmed leaf senescence. In contrast, GmNAC065 exhibits a stable expression in all stages, suggesting some function in plant maintenance. Under stressful conditions, GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 display different induction kinetics and patterns. GmNAC065 displays an early-to-intermediate expression in response to PEG, ABA and air-dry treatments, whereas GmNAC085 is precociously induced by these abiotic stresses and remained highly expressed during the stress period. GmNAC043, a GmNAC085 paralog, shows the same expression profile, which reinforces their role in ePCD, triggered in advanced stages of stress-responses.

GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 differentially co-relate to stress-responsive genes in soybean. GmNAC065 is co-expressed with genes involved in plant maintenance and redox homeostasis, such as LEA proteins, which are regulators of plant desiccation tolerance in normal development, mainly in seeds and under different stresses. Furthermore, GmNAC065 shares a redox-balanced environment with enzymes involved in pigments metabolism, like the β-carotene hydroxylase 1, which converts β-carotenoids to xanthophylls. Likewise, acyl-CoA oxidase is activated to increase the production of reducing intermediates as a secondary product of its reaction. Differently, GmNAC085 is expressed in drastic conditions, with ROS accumulation and depletion of biomolecules. ROS play an elementary role in cell death. The oxidative burst triggers downstream processes, such as the synthesis of mRNA and protein, which are essential for cell survival. Consequently, the expression of these genes in soybean demonstrates the importance of ROS in stress responses and cell death, which is crucial for plant survival and adaptation. The identification of these genes and their regulatory mechanisms provides valuable insights into the molecular basis of stress resistance and senescence in soybean, which can be further explored for improving stress tolerance in crops.
of lignins, phytoalexins, flavonoids, phenolic compounds, and other metabolites25–27. Several genes related to these processes are co-expressed with GmNAC085, including enzymes of lignin biosynthesis, aromatic amino acids and many proteases, peroxidases and subunits of proteasome, raising a role for the gene in pCD progress.

The ectopic expression of GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 caused growth and development retardation, decreased number of leaves and rosette diameter, and reduced inflorescence length. Since the soybean genes are associated with different signaling pathways and cell environments, it is not clear why they cause similar phenotypes in Arabidopsis. One possible explanation would be that they differentially affect growth-hormone pathways that converge to the same phenotype. ANAC083 and JUB1 negatively regulates brassinosteroid and gibberellin metabolism, leading to a plant dwarfism as observed in GmNAC065-OX plants37. Additionally, VNI2-OX plants (the GmNAC065 ortholog) also exhibited retarded growth in early stages of plant development and enhanced leaf longevity as an effect of the positive regulation of COR/RD genes46.

GmNAC065-OX plants also exhibited a longer life cycle, with late flowering and delayed senescence, in opposition to GmNAC085-OX plants. Accelerated senescence and growth dwarfism is also observed in other SNAC-overexpressing plants, such as OsNAC6/SNAC2 in rice39 and ATAF1 in Arabidopsis40, 41. Not surprisingly, the expression of ANAC072 (the GmNAC085 ortholog) lead to ABA-hypersensitivity, causing stunted growth, shorter inflorescences, and reduced flowers' number and seed yield, besides reduced chlorophyll content, precocious leaf yellowing, and anticipated senescence46.

Precedents in the literature have shown that senescence is associated with increases in ABA endogenous content and imbalances in enzymatic and non-enzymatic anti-oxidants for ROS-scavenging40, 41, 81–83. Our results demonstrated that GmNAC085-OX lines do not exhibit a robust anti-oxidative performance associated with lower transcription and activity of CAT, SOD, and APX, soluble sugars and carotenoids levels, and a remarkable decrease in chlorophyll content. The same phenotype is also observed in transgenic plants overexpressing other SNAC members, such as ATAF1 and ATAF241. Also, among the non-enzymatic antioxidant system also responds weekly in the GmNAC085-OX lines. The content of carotenoids and soluble sugars in GmNAC085-OX plant are significantly lower than observed in wild type plants. The impaired enzymatic and non-enzymatic anti-oxidative systems of GmNAC085-OX lines result in the highest protein decay ratio in response to multiple stresses and the activation of pCD mechanisms, observed as extensive cell damage in leaves and roots. Only the anthocyanin content in GmNAC085-OX lines is not lower than those observed in GmNAC065-OX and Col-0 plants, suggesting a compensatory mechanism for oxidative stress tolerance once the ectopic expression of the soybean gene leads to an impaired antioxidant system.

In contrast, GmNAC065-OX lines do not accumulate high ROS levels displaying lower MDA production, lower protein decay ratio, and less-extensive cell damage in leaves and roots. These attenuated stress-induced cell death phenotypes may result from an improved antioxidant system, mainly associated with the differential regulation of age- and stress integrated GRNs. In GmNAC085-OX lines, the different stresses tested massively up-regulated the central regulators of senescence, as ATAF1, AtNAP and ORE1. In Fig. 10, we summarized the effect of environmental stimuli over the plant hormones and the GRNs integrated by AtSAGs in age and stress-induced pCD, extensively reviewed by Podzimcka-Sroka et al.41 and Bengoa-Luoni et al.41, and the effect of GmNAC-SAGs expression in their regulation.

The phenotypes resulting from the GmNAC085-mediated regulation of AtSAGs and their downstream targets reinforce the role of GmNAC085 as a PCD-related gene by promoting pCD. We can also associate these features with the up-regulation of ATAF1, which integrates ABA and ROS-signaling. ATAF1 accelerates senescence symptoms by: (i) activating the expression of ORE141; (ii) blocking GLK1 transcription41; (iii) activating a feedforward regulation in ABA synthesis throughout the activation of NCED3, an essential enzyme in abscisic acid biosynthesis45, 86, as observed in transgenic lines overexpressing GmNAC085. Interestingly, the negative regulator of leaf senescence ANAC083 is also responsive to ABA46, as the soybean orthologous GmNAC065, further demonstrating a complex plant regulatory pathway and the intricacy of how plant hormones can trigger survival or cell death pathways.

Conclusion
The previous characterization of stress-responsive and senescence-associated genes in soybean has associated GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 with different functions in the control and integration of developmentally and environmentally triggered cell death programs. The results of the genome-wide analysis performed in this investigation allowed the establishment of a strong relationship between the soybean genes and well-characterized AtNAC-SAGs. Interestingly, GmNAC065 is a putative ortholog of ANAC083/VNI2 and GmNAC085 is a putative ortholog of ANAC072. These Arabidopsis genes belong to different SAGs groups and exhibit contrasting senescence functions, as suggested for the soybean genes. GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 are differentially induced by biotic and abiotic stresses, as well as by natural- and bleomycin-induced pCD in soybean. Additionally, they display different expression profiles in soybean tissues during reproductive and vegetative stages. The expression of GmNAC085 is higher in advanced life-stages and tissues, in which the senescence process is more evident, such as leaves, stems, and flowers. Co-expression analysis in soybean revealed that GmNAC065 is positively co-expressed with anti-oxidative-associated genes, whereas GmNAC085 is positively co-expressed with genes involved in pigments and protein breakdown, and hormone signaling. Finally, the characterization of transgenic Arabidopsis lines ectopically expressing GmNAC065 and GmNAC085 offered new insights into the function of these soybean genes in pCD and pPCD. GmNAC065-OX lines harbor a robust enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant system, with higher chlorophyll, carotenoid and soluble sugar contents, besides up-regulation and increased activity of anti-oxidant enzymes. Moreover, the expression of positive-regulators of senescence in Arabidopsis is attenuated in these lines, conferring them a senescence-delayed phenotype under multiple stresses and normal development. In contrast, in the GmNAC085-OX lines, key regulators of ABA-, SA- and ROS-mediated
programmed cell death are up-regulated, contributing to the accelerated senescence phenotype in the same conditions. Collectively, our data provide new insights into the integrated regulatory mechanisms of normal development, stress-responses, and programmed cell death, implicating GmNACs, especially GmNAC065 and GmNAC085, as suitable targets for biotechnology intervention and modern crop breeding.
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