Decontamination of room air and adjoining wall surfaces by nebulizing hydrogen peroxide

Dekontamination der Innenraumluft und angrenzender Wandflächen durch Wasserstoffperoxid-Verneblung

Abstract

Aim: In 2010, the ASP GLOSAIR™ 400 was introduced in Germany for nebulizing hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). Since there were no results of practical experience, the new method was to be checked under practical conditions for its effectiveness in decontaminating air in rooms, infested with mold after water damage and, at the same time, under experimentally controlled conditions, test surfaces, contaminated with Aspergillus brasiliensis.

Method: After the nebulizer was used in two rooms with a massive mold infestation, the air colony count was determined (MAS-100® Zinsser Analytik) and, at the same time, the mold infestation in samples of wall plaster.

As part of this controlled study, test surfaces contaminated with Aspergillus brasiliensis were positioned vertically and horizontally in a test room. The effectiveness of the nebulization (5–6% H₂O₂ for 2 hours) was tested on these surfaces according to EN 13697.

Results: In a massive mold infestation resulting from water damage (worst case), an approximately 9-fold decrease in the mold content and an approximately 13-fold decrease in the number of colony-forming units (sum of the bacteria + fungi) could be detected in the room air immediately after the nebulizing was finished. Even in samples of wall and joint plaster, the molds were reduced, although to a distinctly lesser extent.

By indoor nebulization of 5–6% H₂O₂, A. brasiliensis was reduced >4 log on vertical and horizontal surfaces.

Discussion: In rooms with a massive mold infestation, the ASP GLOSAIR™ 400 system is suitable for attaining a clear reduction in the fungal and bacterial room air load, lasting for a week. On vertical and horizontal surfaces, contaminated experimentally with A. brasiliensis, a reduction of >4 log is achieved. As a load of 10⁴ to 10⁵ fungal spores is unlikely to occur on pre-cleaned surfaces, the GLOSAIR™ 400 can be considered a suitable complementary process for the substantial reduction of fungal contamination.
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Zusammenfassung

Zielsetzung: Zur Verneblung von Wasserstoffperoxid (H₂O₂) wurde 2010 das ASP GLOSAIR™ 400 in Deutschland eingeführt. Da hierzu bisher keine Ergebnisse einer Praxiserprobung vorliegen, sollte das neue Verfahren auf Wirksamkeit zur Raumluftdekontamination in Räumen mit Schimmelpilzbefall nach Wasserschaden unter Praxisbedingungen und parallel unter experimentell kontrollierten Bedingungen auf mit Aspergillus brasiliensis kontaminierten Testflächen geprüft werden.

Methode: In zwei Räumen mit massivem Schimmelpilzbefall wurden nach Einsatz des Geräts die Luftkoloniezahl bestimmt (MAS-100°
Zinsser Analytik) und parallel in Proben von Wandputz der Schimmelpilzbefall bestimmt. Im Rahmen der kontrollierten Studie wurden im Testraum vertikal und horizontal mit Aspergillus brasiliensis kontaminierte Testflächen positioniert und auf diesen gemäß EN 13697 die Effektivität der Verneblung (5–6 % H₂O₂ für 2 h) geprüft.

**Ergebnisse:** Bei massivem Pilzbefall nach einem Wasserschaden (worst case) war unmittelbar nach Beendigung der Verneblung eine etwa 9-fache Absenkung des Schimmelpilzgehalts und eine etwa 13-fache Absenkung der Anzahl Koloniebildender Einheiten (Summe von Bakterien + Pilzen) in der Raumluft nachweisbar. Auch in Proben von Wand- und Fugenputz wurden die Schimmelpilze reduziert, wenn auch in deutlich geringerem Ausmaß.

Bei Vernebelung von 5–6% H₂O₂ wurde A. brasiliensis um >4 log auf vertikalen und horizontalen Testflächen reduziert.

**Diskussion:** In Räumen mit massivem Schimmelpilzbefall ist das ASP GLOSAIR™ 400 System geeignet, eine deutliche für etwa eine Woche anhaltende Reduzierung der fungiellen und bakteriellen Raumluftbelastung zu erreichen. Auf experimentell mit A. brasiliensis kontaminierten vertikalen und horizontalen Flächen wird eine Reduktion von >4 lg erreicht. Da eine Kontamination von 10⁻¹⁰ Pflzsporen nach Vorreinigung von Flächen unwahrscheinlich ist, kann das GLOSAIR™ 400 als geeignetes ergänzendes Verfahren zur wirksamen Reduktion einer Pilzkontamination angesehen werden.
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**Introduction**

There frequently is mold infestation in rooms with water damage or moisture problems. In such a case, the danger exists that the health of the room occupants will be affected or that there will be damage to the building substance [1], [2], [3]. The most important action in the event of a mold infestation is to dry the building rapidly and to remove the mold infestation in the room air and on the room surface quickly and expertly. For this purpose, there are different methods, which use different active agents. A careful consideration of risks and benefits is of decisive importance for selecting a method. The vaporization of formaldehyde is to be rejected especially for toxicological reasons [4]. The same is evidently true also for quaternary ammonium compounds, even though these materials have been investigated less intensively so far [5]. Nebulizing hydrogen peroxide is a toxicologically safe and, nevertheless, effective alternative [6]. When an aqueous solution of 7.5% hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and 0.0075% silver is nebulized as a finely dispersed aerosol at a relative humidity of 50% and a temperature of 29°C and allowed to act for six hours, an effectiveness of >4 log was achieved on wetted surfaces under “clean” (contaminated with 0.03% albumin) as well as under “dirty” conditions (contaminated with 0.3% albumin as well as with sheep erythrocytes) vis-a-vis S. aureus, E. hirae, P. aeruginosa, A. brasiliensis, M. terrae, M. avium and spores of B. subtilis [7]. The use of H₂O₂ without silver is even more advantageous toxicologically.

The ASP GLOSAIR™ 400 was introduced in Germany in 2010 for nebulizing H₂O₂. Since the results of testing under practical conditions had not been published previously, the new method was to be tested for effectiveness in decontaminating the air in rooms with a mold infestation after water damage under practical conditions and, at the same time, under experimentally controllable conditions on test surfaces, contaminated with Aspergillus brasiliensis and set up vertically and horizontally in the room.

**Methods**

**Description of the nebulizing process**

The ASP GLOSAIR™ 400 (Advance Sterilization Products Norderstedt, Germany) sprays H₂O₂ solution (5–6%) and silver cations (50 ppm) as a mist and can be used for rooms between 10 m² and 200 m² in size. The antimicrobial agent is provided in a sealed, replaceable cartridge which is automatically drained into an internal reservoir. The user inputs the room size to be disinfected and the GLOSAIR™ 400 adjusts the solution volume to be sprayed. The GLOSAIR™ 400 adjusts the solution volume to be sprayed in accordance with that. The GLOSAIR™ 400 process comprises three phases. The first phase is diffusion during which the GLOSAIR™ Solution is converted from a liquid to a mist with an average particle size from 8–12 µm to a concentration of about 100 ppm. The second phase is the contact time during which the mist interacts with the contaminating organisms on the room.
surfaces. The third and final phase is aeration to reduce any remaining disinfectant concentration to safe levels for human re-entry into the room. The entire process takes about 3 hours depending on room size and may be controlled from outside the room via a wireless remote control.

Testing after mold infestation resulting from water damage

Room Situation

Increased mold values were detected in the air of rooms with moisture damage. In room 1, the masonry in the window region had been soaked for some years and was infested with mold. The user of the room complained of health problems, which could obviously be attributed to the mold infestation. At the time of the measurements, the room was being structurally renovated. Facilities, the interior plaster of the window region and a portion of the right wall had been removed, so that the construction substance was exposed there. At the time of the measurement, the drying of the building had already gone on for some weeks, but had not yet been concluded (residual moisture in the window wall 5–10%). For testing the decontamination effect, the drying of the building was interrupted. The room was not cleaned before it was nebulized, that is, there was a considerable amount of dust. During the measurements, the room was not used and entered only by the measurement personnel.

In 2010, a pipe in room 2 broke and there was water damage. The room was renovated without being dried and had an increased content of mold in the room air. Use of the room was continued after the nebulizing process that is, the room was entered and vented as required.

The disinfectant was sprayed in an amount of 6 mL per m³. In both rooms, the equipment was positioned in the room so that the jet of mist was directed diagonally in the room (Figure 1). At the end of the spraying phase (5–10 min.) a period of action of 2 hours was maintained, during which the room was not entered.

Determining the air colony count

The effect of the nebulization was determined by estimating the mold and bacterial counts in the room air before and after the treatment. The MAS 100® (Zinsser Analytik) air colony counter was used for the sampling. The sample volume was 250 L. Columbia blood agar was used as a nutrient for detecting especially bacteria and Sabouraud agar for detecting fungi. Immediately after the sampling, the agar plates were brought into the laboratory and incubated there for two days at 37 °C and for a further five days at 22 °C.

Sampling the wall plaster

Plaster samples were filled with a spatula into a sterile tube. Material (1 g) was suspended in 9 mL of sterile sodium chloride solution and shaken thoroughly, after which 100 µL were transferred to Sabouraud agar and incubated at 22 °C for seven days.

Testing of fungicidal efficiency on contaminated carrier

Testing was performed following the European Standard EN 13697 [8] with Aspergillus brasiliensis (formerly named A. niger) as test-organisms. In deviation from that standard, the germ carriers were distributed in the test room (Figure 2). The test surfaces at the walls were placed vertically with the contaminated side of the carrier facing the room (positions 1 and 5). Test surfaces at the remaining positions were placed horizontally with the contaminated side of the carrier facing up; only at position 8 (ceiling) the contaminated side was facing down (towards the room).

After initial screening with higher concentrations of the test organism/carrier, 10⁴–10⁵ were applied (instead of the EN 13697 standard concentration of 10⁵–10⁶ test organism/carrier) in order to account for the complementary nature of the GLOSAIR™ 400 Area Decontamination System outlined above. The cubic space of the test room was determined to be 37 m³.
For the test, small metal plates (20 mm diameter of EN 10088 stainless steel [9]) are used as test surfaces. Plates had been boiled in a soft soap solution for 60 min, washed with tap water and afterwards rinsed with distilled water. The plates were disinfected with 2-propanol (70% v/v) for 15 min. After drying, the plates were used for the test or stored under aseptic conditions.

For contamination, 0.05 mL of the test organism suspension with addition of 0.03% albumin (clean conditions) are pipetted on the plates and spread on the central area of the test surfaces.

After drying ≤1 hour, the test plates are distributed in the test room and the GLOSAIR™ 400 process is started. During the entire process, the test room is kept shut and the ventilation system is kept shut off. At the end of the required contact time, the metal plates are transferred to tubes containing glass beads in 10 mL of sterile TSB with neutralizer (3% tween 80+0.3% lecithin+0.1% histidine+0.5% sodium thiosulfate), which is known to be efficient for inactivating the hydrogen peroxide. Those tubes are then vortexed for 2 min to release the remaining viable test organisms from the test surfaces. Aliquots of the resulting test-neutralisation-solution and its dilutions were plated using the pour-plate technique. The reduction (ME) is calculated in relation to a control test surface treated with water instead of the test product (N).

The test was performed at room temperature (20±1°C). The test organisms are incubated at 30±1°C.

**Results**

**Effectiveness for mold infestation after water damage**

Immediately after the nebulization in room 1 was concluded, it was possible to identify a 9-fold decrease in the mold content and an approximately 13-fold decrease in the number of colony-forming units (sum of bacteria + fungi) (Table 1, Figure 3). The reduction in mold could also be detected in samples from wall and joint plaster, even though it was much less pronounced (Table 1, Figure 4). Evidently, the depth effect of the hydrogen peroxide mist is limited.

In comparison to room 1, there were two significant differences in room 2 after the nebulization. The reduction in the total value of bacteria and fungi did not start immediately after the nebulization; instead, it was delayed. After that, the mold infestation decreased continuously; the total values decreased only briefly and then remained constant at a relatively high level (Table 2, Figure 5).

**Effectiveness on contaminated surfaces**

To begin with, the effectiveness of the nebulization as a function of the amount of contamination of the test organism (*A. brasiliensis* ATCC 16404) on three test surfaces, distributed in the room, was investigated. The required disinfection effectiveness on surfaces of ≥4 log was achieved only when the bioburden was 4.28 log (Table 3). At a higher contamination, this value was clearly not reached (Table 3).
Table 1. Mold infestation and colony-forming units (sum of bacteria and fungi) before and after nebulization with H₂O₂ in room 1.

|                       | Before treatment | After 6 hours | After 24 hours | After 2 days | After 3 days | After 4 days |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| **Date**              | 6-6-2011        | 6-6-2011      | 7-6-2011       | 8-6-2011     | 9-6-2011     | 10-6-2011    |
| **Time**              | 11:00 AM        | 5:15 PM       | 8:15 AM        | 8:00 AM      | 10:10 AM     | 7:45 AM      |
| **Joint plaster [CFU/g plaster]** |                 |               |                |              |              |              |
| 400 Aspergillus versicolor | 1900           | 1900          | 300            | 400          | 3500 Aspergillus ochraceus | 2100 Penicillium spp. |
| 100 Muco spor spp.     | 200             |               |                |              |              |              |
| 300 Fusarium spp.      | 200             |               |                |              |              |              |
| 300 Penicillium brevcompactum | 200            |               |                |              |              |              |
| **Wall plaster [CFU/g plaster]** |                 |               |                |              |              |              |
| 300 Penicillium brevcompactum | 200            |               |                |              |              |              |
| 200 Penicillium spp.   | 200             |               |                |              |              |              |
| 100 Penicillium spp.   | 100             |               |                |              |              |              |
| 200 Penicillium spp.   | 200             |               |                |              |              |              |
| **Mold CFU/m²**        |                 |               |                |              |              |              |
| 216 Aspergillus fumigatus | 4 Alternaria spp. | 8 Aspergillus versicolor | 4 Aspergillus versicolor | 60 Fusarium spp. | 24 Aspergillus ochraceus |
| 8 Aspergillus versicolor | 8 Acremonium spp. | 20 Aspergillus ochraceus | 8 Fusarium spp. | 20 Aspergillus ochraceus | 16 Penicillium spp. |
| 40 Aspergillus ochraceus | 20 Penicillium spp. |                | 16 Aspergillus ochraceus | 12 Aspergillus fumigatus | 38 Aspergillus versicolor |
| 8 Muco spor spp.       | 84 Penicillium spp. |                | 20 Penicillium spp. |              |              |              |
| **CFU/m³**             |                 |               |                |              |              |              |
| aerobic spore forming agent | 2328           | 180           | 284            | 404          | 332          | 668          |
| coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) | 104 CNS       | 76 Micrococcus luteus | 32 Micrococcus luteus | 84 CNS | 340 CNS |
| Micrococcus spp.       | 8 Fusarium spp. | 112 CNS       | 136 CNS        | 108 Streptococcus sp. | 16 Streptococcus sp. |
| 8 Penicillium spp.     | 20 Streptococcus sp. |        | 4 Penicillium spp. |              |              |              |
| **External air mold/m³** |                 |               |                |              |              |              |
| 24 Alternaria spp.     | 64              |               |                |              |              |              |
| 4 Acremonium spp.      | 4               |               |                |              |              |              |
| 32 Fusarium spp.       | 32              |               |                |              |              |              |
| 4 Penicillium brevcompactum |             |               |                |              |              |              |
| **External air CFU/m³** |                 |               |                |              |              |              |
| 76 aerobic spore forming agent | 216            |               |                |              |              |              |
| 36 CNS                 | 36              |               |                |              |              |              |
| 8 Micrococcus luteus   | 8               |               |                |              |              |              |
| 60 Streptococcus spp.  | 60              |               |                |              |              |              |
| 6 fungi                | 6               |               |                |              |              |              |
Figure 3: Mold/m$^3$ and bacterial + fungal (CFU)/m$^3$ in the air of room 1 before and after decontamination

Figure 4: Mold (CFU)/g of plaster in room 1 before and after decontamination with ASP GLOSAIR™ 400
### Table 2: Mold infestation and colony-forming units (sum of bacteria and fungi) before and after nebulization with H$_2$O$_2$ in room 2

| Date             | Time   | Before treatment | After 1.5 hours | After 3 hours | After 5 hours | After 6 hours | After 8 hours | After 12 hours | After 24 hours |
|------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| 15-6-2011        | 3:16 PM| 4 Penicillium spp. | 28 Penicillium spp. | 4 Aspergillus flavus | 4 Aspergillus versicolor | 4 Penicillium spp. | 4 Aspergillus flavus | 4 Penicillium spp. | 4 Aspergillus flavus |
| 16-6-2011        | 10:00 AM| 800 CNS | 320 CNS | 320 CNS | 320 CNS | 320 CNS | 320 CNS | 320 CNS | 320 CNS |
| 17-6-2011        | 7:35 PM| 48 16 Aspergillus ochraceus | 44 aerobic spore forming agent | 44 aerobic spore forming agent | 44 aerobic spore forming agent | 44 aerobic spore forming agent | 44 aerobic spore forming agent | 44 aerobic spore forming agent | 44 aerobic spore forming agent |
| 21-6-2011        | 10:25 AM| 36 28 Penicillium spp. | 52 20 Microsporum luteus | 52 20 Microsporum luteus | 52 20 Microsporum luteus | 52 20 Microsporum luteus | 52 20 Microsporum luteus | 52 20 Microsporum luteus | 52 20 Microsporum luteus |
| 22-6-2011        | 8:00 AM| 48 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 | 48 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 | 48 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 | 48 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 | 48 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 | 48 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 | 48 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 | 48 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 4 Synechocystis 408 |

---
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For this reason, the main testing was carried out with a load of the order of 4 log. A reduction of ≥4.46 was achieved here on all test surfaces, that is, with vertical as well as with horizontal positioning (Nos. 1–10) with a time of action of 2 hours.

**Discussion**

**ASP GLOSAIR™ 400**

The system is not intended to be a complete replacement for manual cleaning and surface disinfection; rather, it is a complementary process to reduce risk in areas where contamination is likely to occur. It also adds additional hygienic safety to areas that are difficult to disinfect or hard to access by manual disinfection.
Effectiveness for mold infestation

Because of the considerable amount of dust present, room 1 represents a worst case scenario. In half of the room, the wall plaster was removed and the masonry exposed. In the rest of the room, the wallpaper had largely been removed. Construction dust and floor coverings were not removed during the measurement and the room was not cleaned thoroughly (although this is done usually) before the nebulization (Figure 6). In spite of these more difficult conditions, a reduction in mold in the room air could be detected for the period of seven days. The same was the case with the number of CFU (Table 1). These findings are remarkable also because the residual moisture content of the window wall was still appreciable, while the outside temperature during the measurement period ranged from 20°C to 30°C and the room was not vented. In other words, the conditions were ideal for renewed mold growth. The mold content of the outside air was 64 molds/m³ and the sum of the colony-forming units of bacteria and fungi was 216 CFU/m³. It was possible to show in room 2 that nebulization leads to a clear reduction in the mold content in the room air even in rooms, which are being used (Figure 7). The reason why, in comparison to room 1, the onset of the reduction of the air flora was delayed, may well have been the large area of the mold infestation, which affected 4 rooms, which were connected to one another over a common corridor and separated only by doors. Measurements were carried out only in room 1. The other three rooms were decontaminated at daily intervals, that is, the rehabilitation of the affected rooms was completed only on day 3. During this time, room users moved between treated and untreated rooms. There was a measurable effect only after two of the rooms had been treated. With respect to mold, this effect was comparable to that in room 1. The fact that the CFU values remained at a high level may be attributed to the use of the rooms, with the result that microflora were brought in continuously by the users.

Conclusions

In rooms with a massive mold infestation, the ASP GLOSAIR™ 400 is suitable for achieving a week-long reduction in the fungal and bacterial room air load. At the same time, a reduction of A. brasiliensis >4 lg is achieved on the vertical and horizontal surfaces. As a load of 10⁴ to 10⁵ fungal spores is unlikely to occur on pre-cleaned surfaces, the GLOSAIR™ 400 can be considered a suitable complementary process for the substantial reduction of fungal contaminations.
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