SOME LIMIT TRANSITIONS BETWEEN BC TYPE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS INTERPRETED ON QUANTUM COMPLEX GRASSMANNIANS

MATHIJS S. DIJKHUIZEN AND JASPER V. STOKMAN

ABSTRACT. The quantum complex Grassmannian $U_q/K_q$ of rank $l$ is the quotient of the quantum unitary group $U_q = U_q(n)$ by the quantum subgroup $K_q = U_q(n-l) \times U_q(l)$. We show that $(U_q, K_q)$ is a quantum Gelfand pair and we express the zonal spherical functions, i.e. $K_q$-biinvariant matrix coefficients of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $U_q$, as multivariable little $q$-Jacobi polynomials depending on one discrete parameter. Another type of biinvariant matrix coefficients is identified as multivariable big $q$-Jacobi polynomials. The proof is based on earlier results by Noumi, Sugi

1. Introduction

The first connection between $q$-special functions and quantum groups was revealed in the late 1980’s by the interpretation of little $q$-Jacobi polynomials as matrix coefficients of irreducible representations of the quantum $SU(2)$ group (cf. [VS1], [Ms], [K1]). In the past decade, many other connections between representation theory of quantum groups and the theory of $q$-special functions have been discovered.

For instance, Noumi and Mimachi [NM] showed that the zonal spherical functions on Podleš’s one-parameter family of quantum 2-spheres can be identified with big $q$-Jacobi polynomials. In [K2] and [K4], Koornwinder generalized these results by replacing the notion of invariance under a quantum subgroup by the notion of invariance under a twisted primitive element in the quantized universal enveloping algebra. In particular, this infinitesimal approach allowed Koornwinder to identify the zonal spherical functions on Podleš spheres as a two-parameter family of Askey-Wilson polynomials. Noumi’s and Mimachi’s results [NM] could then be reobtained by sending one parameter to infinity. If both parameters are sent to infinity, one obtains the interpretation of little $q$-Jacobi polynomials as zonal spherical functions on the “standard” 2-sphere, i.e. the quantum 2-sphere which is realized as the quotient of the quantum $SU(2)$ group by the standard maximal torus. On the level of $q$-special functions, these limits correspond to certain explicit limit transitions from Askey-Wilson polynomials to big respectively little $q$-Jacobi polynomials.

Analogous statements are valid for complex projective space, see Noumi, Yamada and Mimachi [NYM] for the little $q$-Jacobi case, and Dijkhuizen and Noumi [DN1] for the general case. In this paper we generalize these results to the higher rank
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setting by interpreting certain subfamilies of Koornwinder’s multivariable analogues of the Askey-Wilson polynomials and certain subfamilies of the multivariable big and little $q$-Jacobi polynomials (cf. [1]) as zonal spherical functions on quantum analogues of the complex Grassmannian

$$U/K := U(n)/(U(n - l) \times U(l)), \quad (l \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor),$$

where $U(n)$ is the group of $n \times n$ unitary matrices.

Koornwinder’s infinitesimal approach to harmonic analysis on quantized symmetric spaces was for the first time successfully generalized to higher rank cases by Noumi [N]. The quantized symmetric spaces were now defined using invariance under certain two-sided coideals in the quantized universal enveloping algebra. So far, this method has been successfully applied to all compact symmetric spaces of classical type, e.g. [NS1], [NS2], [NDS], [Su], [DN1]. The related zonal spherical functions can all be identified with Koornwinder polynomials or Macdonald polynomials.

In particular, Noumi, Sugitani and the first author [NDS] introduced a one-parameter family of quantum analogues of the complex Grassmannian. They announced that the spherical functions associated with these quantized symmetric spaces can be expressed as a two-parameter subfamily of the Koornwinder polynomials.

In this paper we extend these results to the quantum subgroup case, i.e. we determine the zonal spherical functions associated with the quantum analogue of the complex Grassmannian (denoted by $U_q/K_q$) which is defined as the quotient of the quantum unitary group $U_q(n)$ by the obvious quantum subgroup $K_q$ corresponding to $K = U(n - l) \times U(l)$. We show that the quantum space $U_q/K_q$ can be formally obtained from the one-parameter family of quantum Grassmannians defined in [NDS] by sending the parameter to infinity. We also show that this limit transition on quantum Grassmannians is compatible with the limit transitions from Koornwinder polynomials to multivariable big and little $q$-Jacobi polynomials, which were previously studied by Koornwinder and the second author [SK].

In order to give a rigorous meaning to the above-mentioned formal limit between quantum Grassmannians we use the recent result of the second author [S4] that the limits from Koornwinder polynomials to multivariable big and little $q$-Jacobi polynomials can be taken on the level of the orthogonality measures (in a suitable weak sense). Indeed, this result allows us to rigorously compute the limit of the quantum Schur orthogonality relations for the zonal spherical functions. This in turn leads to a complete description of the harmonic analysis on the quantum Grassmannian $U_q/K_q$. In particular, we obtain an identification of the zonal spherical functions on the quantum complex Grassmannian $U_q/K_q$ with multivariable big respectively little $q$-Jacobi polynomials.

We remark that in the rank one case, there are several alternative methods for determining the zonal spherical functions in the quantum subgroup case (see e.g. [NYM], [VS2]). However, the relatively indirect method using limit arguments seems to be the only method which admits a direct generalization to the higher rank cases of the complex Grassmannian.

There are strong indications that such limit arguments can also be applied for other quantum compact symmetric spaces. Indeed, an important prerequisite for applying such limit arguments is the existence of a suitable one-parameter family of quantizations of the compact symmetric space under consideration. The occurrence
of such a one-parameter phenomena on the quantum level is directly related to the existence of a one-parameter family of covariant Poisson brackets on the underlying symmetric space. The existence of such a one-parameter family of covariant Poisson brackets in the case of a Hermitian symmetric space was established by Khoroshkin & Radul & Rubtsov [KRR] and Donin & Gurevich [DG] (see also [Dz] for more information and references).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we briefly recall some results on the structure of the (classical) complex Grassmannian \(U/K\) and on the nature of its zonal spherical functions. In section 3 we recall the definition and the main properties of the Koornwinder polynomials and the multivariable big and little \(q\)-Jacobi polynomials. In section 4 we collect some facts about the quantum unitary group that will be heavily used in later sections. In section 5 we determine the spherical dominant weights for the quantum complex Grassmannian \(U_q/K_q\). In section 6 we recall the one-parameter family of quantum Grassmannians and determine the corresponding spherical weights. In section 7 we express the zonal spherical functions on the one-parameter family of quantum Grassmannians as a subfamily of the Koornwinder polynomials. Many of the results in section 6 and section 7, previously announced in [NDS], are proved here in full detail using so-called “principal term” type of arguments, cf. [NS2], [Su]. Finally, in section 8 we study the limit from the one-parameter family of quantum Grassmannians to \(U_q/K_q\), which in particular leads to the interpretation of multivariable big and little \(q\)-Jacobi polynomials as zonal spherical functions on the quantum Grassmannian \(U_q/K_q\).

Throughout this paper we will use the convention that vector spaces are defined over the complex numbers and that algebras have a unit element.

2. THE CLASSICAL COMPLEX GRASSMANNIAN

Two general references for the contents of this section are Helgason [He], and Heckman and Schlichtkrull [HS].

Throughout this paper, \(n \geq 2\) and \(1 \leq l \leq \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\) are fixed integers. Let \(G := GL(n, \mathbb{C})\) denote the general linear group with Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})\), and \(U := U(n)\) the unitary group with Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{u}\). Let \(\mathbb{T} \subset U\) denote the maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices in \(U\). Write \(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}\) for the corresponding Cartan subalgebra. Let \(e_{ij}\) \((1 \leq i, j \leq n)\) denote the standard matrix units. The matrices \(h_i := e_{ii}\) \((1 \leq i \leq n)\) form a basis of \(\mathfrak{h}\). Write \(\tilde{\varepsilon}_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*\) \((1 \leq i \leq n)\) for the corresponding dual basis vectors, and define a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on \(\mathfrak{h}^*\) by \(\langle \tilde{\varepsilon}_i, \tilde{\varepsilon}_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}\). The usual positive system \(R^+\) in the root system \(R := R(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})\) consists of the vectors \(\tilde{\varepsilon}_i - \tilde{\varepsilon}_j\) \((1 \leq i < j \leq n)\). Let \(P = P_n := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{Z}\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\) denote the rational character lattice of \(G\) (equivalently, the lattice of analytically integral weights of \(U\)). Recall that the cone of dominant weights \(P^+ = P^+_n\) is given by

\[
P^+_n := \{ (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in P \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n \}.
\]  

(2.1)

Denote by \(\leq\) the (partial) dominance ordering on \(P\). One has \(\mu \leq \lambda\) if and only if

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{j} \mu_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i.
\]  

(2.2)
We write $K := U(n-l) \times U(l)$ and $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{gl}(n-l, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(l, \mathbb{C})$ for the corresponding complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$. $K$ is regarded as a subgroup of $U$ via the embedding

$$U(n-l) \times U(l) \hookrightarrow U(n), \quad (A, B) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

The pair $(U, K)$ is symmetric. Indeed, the involutive Lie group automorphism $\theta : U \to U$ defined by $\theta(g) := JgJ$ with

$$J := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n-l} e_{kk} - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} e_{k'k'}, \quad (k' := n + 1 - k) \tag{2.4}$$

has fixed point group $K$. The differential of $\theta$ at the unit element $e \in U$, extended $\mathbb{C}$-linearly to a Lie algebra involution of $\mathfrak{g}$, will also be denoted by $\theta$. The $+1$ eigenspace of the involution $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is exactly the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$. Writing $\mathfrak{p}$ for the $-1$ eigenspace of $\theta$ we have the eigenspace decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$.

For certain purposes, it is more convenient to consider the involution $\theta' : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ defined by $\theta'(X) := J'XJ'$ with

$$J' := \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq l} e_{kk} - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} e_{kk'} - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} e_{k'k}. \tag{2.5}$$

Since $J'$ is conjugate to $J$, the involution $\theta'$ is conjugate to $\theta$ by an inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. Observe that both $\theta$ and $\theta'$ leave $u \subset \mathfrak{g}$ invariant.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}'$ be the eigenspace decomposition of $\theta'$ into $+1$ and $-1$ eigenspaces. The intersection $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}'$ is spanned by the elements $h_i + h_i'$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$) and $h_i$ ($l < i < l'$), whereas the intersection $\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}'$ is spanned by $h_i - h_i'$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$) and is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p}'$. The positive system of $R$ taken with respect to the lexicographic ordering of $\mathfrak{h}_R := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{R}h_j$ relative to the ordered basis $h_1 - h_{l'}, \dotsc, h_l - h_{l'}, h_1 + h_{l'}, \dotsc, h_{n-l}$ coincides with $R^+$.

Write $\varepsilon'_i$ for the restriction of $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i$ to $\mathfrak{a}$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$). The root system $R \subset \mathfrak{h}_R^*$ is mapped under the natural projection $\mathfrak{h}_R^* \to \mathfrak{a}_R^*$ onto the restricted root system $\Sigma' = \Sigma'(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. Choose the positive system in $\Sigma'$ with respect to the lexicographic ordering of $\mathfrak{a}_R := \mathfrak{h}_R \cap \mathfrak{a}$ relative to the ordered basis $h_1 - h_{l'}, \dotsc, h_l - h_{l'}$ of $\mathfrak{a}_R$. This ordering is compatible with the lexicographic ordering of $\mathfrak{h}_R$ introduced above in the sense that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_R^*$ is positive if its restriction to $\mathfrak{a}_R$ is strictly positive. The positive root vectors in $\Sigma'$ are

$$\varepsilon'_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq l), \quad \varepsilon'_i \pm \varepsilon'_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq l), \quad 2\varepsilon'_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq l),$$

the roots $\varepsilon'_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$) occurring only if $n \neq 2l$. $\Sigma'$ is isomorphic with $BC_l$ if $n \neq 2l$ and isomorphic with $C_l$ if $n = 2l$. The root multiplicities corresponding to the short, medium, and long roots are

$$m_1 = 2(n-2l), \quad m_2 = 2(l > 1), \quad m_3 = 1. \tag{2.6}$$

For later purposes, it is convenient to rescale the root system $\Sigma'$ by a factor 2. So we set $\Sigma := 2\Sigma' \subset \mathfrak{a}_R^*$, $\varepsilon_i := 2\varepsilon'_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$). Then the corresponding weight lattice $P_\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}_R^*$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of the $\varepsilon_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$), and the set $P_\Sigma^+$ of dominant weights $\mu = \sum \mu_i \varepsilon_i$ (taken with respect to the lexicographic ordering on $\mathfrak{a}_R$ introduced above) is characterized by the condition $\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_l \geq 0$. The dominance ordering $\leq$ on $P_\Sigma$ is explicitly given by

$$\mu \leq \lambda \iff \sum_{i=1}^{j} \mu_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i \quad (1 \leq j \leq l). \tag{2.7}$$
Let $K' \subset U$ denote the connected subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{t}'$. The symmetric pairs $(U, K)$ and $(U, K')$ are Gelfand pairs, i.e. every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $U$ has at most one $K$-fixed vector up to scalar multiples. According to [9, Chapter V, Theorem 4.1], a highest weight $\lambda \in P^+$ is $K'$-spherical, i.e. corresponds to a representation with a non-zero $K'$-fixed vector, if and only if the restriction of $\lambda$ to $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{t}'$ is zero and the restriction of $\lambda$ to $\mathfrak{a}$ lies in $P^+_\Sigma$. Hence we get the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** The set $P^+_K \subset P^+$ of $K$-spherical dominant weights consists of all dominant weights of the form

$$\lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l, 0, \ldots, 0, -\lambda_l, \ldots, -\lambda_1).$$

Write $\lambda^z := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ for a dominant weight $\lambda \in P^+_K$. The assignment $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^z$ defines a bijection of $P^+_K$ onto $P^+_\Sigma$. Let $\mathfrak{w}_r \in P^+_K$ be the spherical weight for which $\mathfrak{w}_r^z = (1^l)$. Then $P^+_K = \bigoplus_{1 \leq r \leq l} \mathbb{Z}_+ \mathfrak{w}_r$. We will call $\{\mathfrak{w}_r\}_{r=1}^l$ the fundamental dominant spherical weights.

Let $A$ denote the algebra of polynomial functions on $U$, $A(\mathbb{T})$ the algebra of polynomial functions on the maximal torus $\mathbb{T}$. $A(\mathbb{T})$ may be naturally identified with the algebra $\mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}] = \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$ in $n$ variables $z_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) in the following way. Observe that $\mathbb{T} \simeq i\mathfrak{h}/2\pi i \mathfrak{P}$ via the exponential mapping, where $\mathfrak{P} := \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mathbb{Z} h_j$. Then the coordinate functions $z_j$ can be defined by $z_j := e^{\tilde{t}_j}$, where $e^{\tilde{t}_j}(X) := e^{\tilde{t}_j(X)}$ for $X \in \mathbb{T}$ with $X \in i\mathfrak{h}$ a representative of $[X]$. More explicitly, $z_j$ is given by

$$z_j : \text{diag}(e^{\theta_1}, e^{2\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{\theta_1}) \mapsto e^{i\theta_1}, \quad (\theta_k \in [0, 2\pi])$$

where $\text{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is the diagonal matrix with $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ on the diagonal.

Let $\mathcal{H} \subset A$ denote the subalgebra of $K'$-bi-invariant functions. One has the decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+_K} \mathcal{H}(\lambda), \quad \mathcal{H}(\lambda) := \mathcal{H} \cap W(\lambda),$$

where $W(\lambda) \subset A$ denoting the subspace spanned by the matrix coefficients of the irreducible representation of highest weight $\lambda$. Each of the subspaces $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P^+_K$) is one-dimensional, since $(U, K')$ is a Gelfand pair. Any non-zero element $\varphi(\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ is called a zonal spherical function.

Let

$$T_l := \exp(i\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{R})/(\exp(i\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{R}) \cap K') \simeq i\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{R}/2\pi i Q^+_\Sigma,$$

with $Q^+_\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{R}$ the coroot lattice of $\Sigma$. More explicitly, the coroot lattice $Q^+_\Sigma$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of the elements $\frac{1}{2}(h_i - h_l)$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$), $\exp(i\theta_1)$ are the diagonal matrices

$$\text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_l}, 1, \ldots, 1, e^{-i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{-i\theta_l}) \quad (\theta_j \in [0, 2\pi]),$$

and $\exp(i\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{R}) \cap K'$ are the matrices in $\exp(i\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{R})$ of order $2$.

Write $\log : T_l \rightarrow i\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{R}$ for the multi-valued inverse of the exponential map $\exp : i\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_l$. Similarly as for $A(\mathbb{T})$, the algebra of polynomial functions on $T_l$ may be identified with the algebra $\mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}]$ of Laurent polynomials in the $l$ variables $x_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq l$), where the identification is given by $x_j(t) := e^{\tilde{t}_j(\log(t))}$. In other words, the map $x_j$ is given by

$$x_j : \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_l}, 1, \ldots, 1, e^{-i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{-i\theta_l}) \mapsto e^{2i\theta_j}.$$
It follows that the algebra $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$ of polynomial functions on $T_l$ can be naturally embedded in the algebra $\mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}]$ of polynomial functions on the maximal torus $T$ by the assignment
\[
x_1 = z_1 z_{n-1}^{-1}, \quad x_2 = z_2 z_{n-1}^{-1}, \quad \ldots, \quad x_l = z_l z_{n+1-l}^{-1}.
\]
(2.10)

Let $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}_l$ denote the permutation group on $l$ letters, $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{W}_l = \mathbb{Z}_2^l \rtimes \mathcal{S}_l$ the Weyl group of $\Sigma$. The natural action of $\mathcal{W}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ descends to $T_l$. Hence $\mathcal{W}$ acts naturally on the algebra $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$. Write $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$ for the subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}$-invariant Laurent polynomials. By Chevalley’s restriction theorem and the above-mentioned natural embedding of $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$ into $\mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}]$, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Restriction to $T$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ onto the algebra $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$ of $\mathcal{W}$-invariant Laurent polynomials in the variables $x_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$).

By Theorem 2.2. the direct sum decomposition (2.8) of $\mathcal{H}$ gives rise to a unique (up to rescaling) linear basis of $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$. This linear basis can be expressed in terms of $BC$ type Heckman-Opdam polynomials, which we will now define.

Let $V_{HO}$ denote the set of triples $k := (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ of real numbers such that $k_1 + k_3 > -\frac{1}{2}, k_2 > 0, k_3 > -\frac{1}{2}$. Define an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{HO} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{HO}^k$ on $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$ by
\[
\langle P, Q \rangle_{HO} := \int_{T_l} P(t)Q(t) \Delta_{HO}(t;k) dt.
\]
Here $dt$ denotes the normalized Haar measure on the torus $T_l$. The continuous positive weight function $t \mapsto \Delta_{HO}(t;k)$ on $T_l$ is defined by
\[
\Delta_{HO}(t;k) := \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \left( e^{\frac{i}{2} t(\alpha, \log(t))} - e^{-\frac{i}{2} t(\alpha, \log(t))} \right)^{k_\alpha}.
\]
(2.12)

The multiplicity parameters $k_\alpha$ are by definition equal to $k_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), depending on whether $\alpha \in \Sigma$ is a short, medium, or long root. If $l = 1$ there is no dependence on $k_2$.

Recall that the usual orbit sums
\[
\tilde{m}_\lambda(x) := \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{W}_\lambda} x^\mu \quad (\lambda \in P_+^l)
\]
form a linear basis for the algebra $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$. The Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials associated with the root system $\Sigma = BC_l$ (cf. [HS Part I]) are the uniquely determined family $\{P^{HO}_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P_+^l \}$ such that

(i) $P^{HO}_\lambda = \tilde{m}_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} c_{\lambda \mu} \tilde{m}_\mu$,  
(ii) $\langle P^{HO}_\lambda, \tilde{m}_\mu \rangle_{HO} = 0$ for $\mu < \lambda$.

(2.14)

It is proved in [HS, p. 18] that, for any $k \in V_{HO}$, the $P^{HO}_\lambda(x;k)$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to the inner product (2.11).

In the following theorem the zonal spherical functions on the symmetric space $U/K$ are expressed as $BC$ type Heckman-Opdam polynomials (cf. [HS, p. 76]).

**Theorem 2.3.** Under restriction to the maximal torus $T$, the zonal $K'$-spherical function $\varphi(\lambda) (\lambda \in P_+^l)$ is mapped onto (a scalar multiple of) the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric polynomial $P^{HO}_\lambda(x;k)$ with $k_i = \frac{1}{2} m_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).
Of course, the zonal $K$-spherical functions can be described in the same way, since the subgroups $K$ and $K'$ are conjugate.

For later purposes, it is convenient to rewrite the zonal spherical functions in terms of generalized Jacobi polynomials, which are defined as follows. Write $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\Sigma}$ for the algebra of symmetric polynomials in the variables $x_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$). A linear basis of this algebra is formed by the monomial symmetric polynomials $m_\lambda(x) := \sum_{\mu \in \Sigma} x^\mu$ ($\lambda \in P^+_{\Sigma}$). Let $V_j$ denote the set of triples $(\alpha, \beta, \tau)$ of real numbers such that $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and $\tau > 0$. For any $(\alpha, \beta, \tau) \in V_j$, we define an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_j = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{W}, \beta, \tau}$ on $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\Sigma}$ by

$$\langle P, Q \rangle_j := \int_{x_1=0}^{1} \cdots \int_{x_l=0}^{1} P(x)Q(x)\Delta_j(x; \alpha, \beta; \tau)dx,$$

with $dx = dx_1 \cdots dx_n$ and

$$\Delta_j(x; \alpha, \beta, \tau) := \prod_{i=1}^{l}(1 - x_i)^{\beta} x^\alpha_j |\Delta(x)|^{2\tau},$$

where $\Delta(x) := \prod_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant. The generalized Jacobi polynomials $\{P^j_\lambda(x; \alpha, \beta, \tau) \mid \lambda \in P^+_{\Sigma}\}$ (cf. Vretare [Vr]) can now be defined by the same conditions (2.14) with $\tilde{m}_\lambda$ replaced by $m_\lambda$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ replaced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_j$.

As shown in [SK], §3, the simple change of variables $x_i \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}(x_i + x_i^{-1} - 2)$ transforms the symmetric polynomials $P^j_\lambda$ into (as scalar multiple of) the $\mathcal{W}$-invariant Laurent polynomials $P^j_{\lambda, \mathcal{H}}$. The parameter correspondence is

$$\alpha = k_1 + k_3 - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta = k_3 - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \tau = k_2.$$

Under this change of variables, the orthogonality relations of the Heckman-Opdam polynomials with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{W}, \beta, \tau}$ become the orthogonality relations of the generalized Jacobi polynomials $P^j_\lambda$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_j$. The integral of the weight function $\Delta_j(x; \alpha, \beta, \tau)$ was first evaluated by Selberg in his well-known paper [Se]:

$$\int_{x_1=0}^{1} \cdots \int_{x_l=0}^{1} \prod_{i<j}|x_i - x_j|^{2\tau} \prod_{i=1}^{l} x^\alpha_i (1 - x_i)^\beta dx =$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 + (j - 1)\tau)\Gamma(\beta + 1 + (j - 1)\tau)\Gamma(\tau + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2 + (l + j - 2)\tau)\Gamma(\tau + 1)}.$$

By the above-described relation between BC type Heckman-Opdam polynomials and generalized Jacobi polynomials, the zonal spherical functions in Theorem 2.4 can be rewritten as generalized Jacobi polynomials with parameter values $\alpha = n - 2l$, $\beta = 0$ and $\tau = 1$.

Observe that the zonal spherical functions, being matrix coefficients of irreducible representations, are mutually orthogonal with respect to the $L^2$ inner product on $A \subset L^2(U, dg)$, where $dg$ is the normalized Haar measure on $U$ (Schur orthogonality). The restriction of the $L^2$ inner product to the algebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathfrak{b}$-$K'$-invariant matrix coefficients coincides under the isomorphism of Theorem 2.2 with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{W}, \beta, \tau}$ on $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\Sigma}$ up to a non-zero positive constant. This constant can be explicitly determined using the evaluation of the Selberg integral (2.17).
3. BC TYPE $q$-HYPERGEOMETRIC ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

In this section we recall three families of multivariable (BC type) basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials. Later on, certain subfamilies of these multivariable orthogonal polynomials will be interpreted as zonal spherical functions on quantizations of the classical complex Grassmannian. These families are $q$-analogues of the generalized Jacobi polynomials (or, equivalently, $q$-analogues of the BC type Heckman-Opdam polynomials) and multivariable analogues of well-known families of basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials occurring in the Askey tableau [AW].

We start with introducing some notations and conventions. We fix $0 < q < 1$ in the remainder of this paper. The $q$-shifted factorial is given by

$$(a; q)_i := \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (1 - q^j a), \quad (a; q)_\infty := \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^j a).$$

We use the shorthand notation

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_m; q)_i := \prod_{j=1}^{m} (a_j; q)_i \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\})$$

for products of $q$-shifted factorials. Fix $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. The Jackson $q$-integral truncated at $N$ is defined by

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(x) d_{q,N} x := \int_{0}^{\beta} f(x) d_{q,N} x - \int_{0}^{\alpha} f(x) d_{q,N} x,$$

$$\int_{0}^{\beta} f(x) d_{q,N} x := \sum_{k=0}^{N} f(\beta q^k)(\beta q^k - \beta q^{k+1}) \quad \text{if } N \geq 0,$$

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(x) d_{q,N} x := 0 \quad \text{if } N < 0.$$

The (non-truncated) Jackson $q$-integral is defined by

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(x) d_{q} x := \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(x) d_{q,N} x,$$

provided the limit exists. The three families of multivariable orthogonal polynomials we recall in this section are the Koornwinder polynomials (cf. [K3], [S3], [S4]), and the multivariable big and little $q$-Jacobi polynomials (cf. [S1], [S2]). The Koornwinder polynomials are multivariable analogues of the well-known Askey-Wilson polynomials. In the multivariable setting, these families depend on one additional deformation parameter $t \in (0, 1)$. Since we only need the case $t = q^k$ with $k \geq 1$ integer in this paper, we restrict ourselves to recalling the definitions and results for this special case. We first specify the parameter domain $V_X$ and the orthogonality inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ for each family ($X = K, B, L$), where $K$ stands for Koornwinder polynomials, and $B$ resp. $L$ stands for big resp. little $q$-Jacobi polynomials.

For the case $X = K$ we refer to [K3], [S3], [S4]. Take $V_K$ to be the set of quadruples $\ell := (t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3)$ such that

1. $t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3$ are real, or appear in complex conjugate pairs,
2. $t_i, t_j \not\in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq 3$. 

Fix $\mathbf{L} \in V_K$. For $e \in \{t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ with $|e| > 1$, let $N_e \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the largest integer such that $|eq^{N_e}| > 1$. Take $N_e := -1$ if $|e| \leq 1$. Let $\int_{T_k} dx_1 \cdots dx_k$ denote $k$-fold contour integration along the complex unit circle in counterclockwise direction. For $0 \leq m \leq l$ define a sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{m, q, t}^K$ on the space $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$ by

$$
\langle P, Q \rangle_m := \frac{2m(l - m)}{(2\pi i)^{l-m}} \sum_{e_1, \ldots, e_m} \int_{x_1=0} \cdots \int_{x_m=0} \int_{x_{m+1} \cdots x_l=0} \int_{(x_{m+1} \cdots x_l) \in T_{l-m}} P(x)Q(x) \Delta_m(x) d_{q,N_e} x_1 \cdots d_{q,N_m} x_m \frac{dx_{m+1} \cdots dx_l}{x_1}.
$$

Here the sum is taken over all $e_j \in \{t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3\}$, and the partial weight function $\Delta_m(x; \mathbf{L}; q^k)$ is defined by

$$
\Delta_m(x) := \prod_{r=1}^m w_1(x_r; e_r; f_r, g_r, h_r; q) \prod_{s=m+1}^l w_2(x_s; q) \prod_{e, e' \in \{1\}} (x_r^e x_r^{e'}; q)_k,
$$

with $w_2$ the continuous weight function of the one-variable Askey-Wilson polynomials,

$$w_2(x; q) := (x^2, x^{-2}; q)_{\infty},
$$

and

$$w_1(eq^e; e, f, g, h; q) := \text{res}_{x=eq^e} \left( \frac{w_1(x; \mathbf{L}; q)}{x} \right),
$$

where the $f, g, h$ are such that $(e, f, g, h)$ is a permutation of $(t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3)$. Then

$$
\langle P, Q \rangle_K := \sum_{m=0}^n \langle P, Q \rangle_m \quad (P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W)
$$

(3.1)

defines a positive definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_K = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{K, q, t}^K$, which is symmetric in the parameters $t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3$.

The integral of the weight function $I_{K, q, t}^K := \langle 1, 1 \rangle_{K, q, t}^K$ is a $q$-analogue of Selberg’s integral (2.17):

$$
I_{K, q, t}^K = 2^l l! \prod_{j=1}^l (t^j, q, t_0t_1t_2t_3; q)_{\infty} (t^j, t_0(t_1t_2t_3)^{-1}t_0t_2t_1^{-1}t_0t_3t_1^{-1}t_0t_1t_3^{-1}; q)_{\infty}.
$$

(3.2)

For the case $|t_0|, |t_1|, |t_2|, |t_3| < 1$ this was first proved by Gustafson [3]. The general case was proved in [24].

For the multivariable big and little $q$-Jacobi polynomials ($X = B, L$) we refer to [1] and [2]. Take $V_B$ to be the set of quadruples $(a, b, c, d)$ such that $c, d > 0$ and

$$a \in (c/d, 1/q), \quad b \in (-d/c, 1/q),
$$

(3.3)

or $a = cz, b = -d\bar{z}$ with $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Fix $(a, b, c, d) \in V_B$ and define a positive definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{B, q, t}^{a, b, c, d}$ on $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbf{S}}$ by

$$
\langle P, Q \rangle_B = \int_{x_1=-d}^c \cdots \int_{x_l=-d}^c P(x)Q(x) \Delta_B(x) dx_1 \cdots dx_l,
$$

(3.4)
with weight function $\Delta_B(x; a, b, c, d; q, q^k)$ given by
\[
\Delta_B(x) = \Delta(x) \prod_{i=1}^{l} w_B(x_i) \prod_{i<j} x_i^{2k-1} (q^{1-k} x_j/x_i; q)_{2k-1}.
\] (3.5)

Here $\Delta(x) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} (x_i - x_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant and
\[
w_B(x; a, b, c, d; q) := \frac{(qx/c, -qx/d; q)_\infty}{(qax/c, -qbx/d; q)_\infty}
\]
is the weight function of the one-variable big $q$-Jacobi polynomials. The evaluation of the integral $\mathcal{I}^{a,b,c,d}_{B,q,t} := \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{a,b,c,d}_{B,q,t}$ was first conjectured by Askey [A] and subsequently proved by Evans [E]:
\[
\mathcal{I}^{a,b,c,d}_{B,q,t} = l[lq^k(i-\ell)(\ell-1)/2] \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + 1 + (i - 1)k)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1 + (i - 1)k)\Gamma_q(ik)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + 2 + (l + i - 2)k)\Gamma_q(k)}
\]
\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{(-d/c, -c/d; q)_\infty (cd)^{1+(i-1)k}}{(-q^{\alpha+1+(i-1)k}d/c, -q^{\beta+1+(i-1)k}c/d; q)_\infty (c+d)}
\] (3.6)
where $t = q^k$ ($k \geq 1$ integer), $a = q^\alpha$, $b = q^\beta$ and with
\[
\Gamma_q(a) := (1-q)^{1-a} \frac{(q^a; q)_\infty}{(q^a; q)_\infty} (a \notin \mathbb{Z}_+)
\]
the $q$-Gamma function. Note that (3.6) is another $q$-analogue of Selberg’s integral (2.17).

Finally, take $V_L$ to be the set of pairs $(a, b)$ such that $a \in (0, 1/q)$ and $b \in (-\infty, 1/q)$. Fix $(a, b) \in V_L$ and define a positive definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{a,b}_{L,q,t}$ on $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{S}}$ by
\[
\langle P, Q \rangle_L = \int_{x_1=0}^{1} \ldots \int_{x_l=0}^{1} P(x)\overline{Q(x)} \Delta_L(x)d_qx_1 \ldots d_qx_l,
\] (3.7)
with weight function $\Delta_L(x; a, b; q, q^k)$ given by
\[
\Delta_L(x) = \Delta(x) \prod_{i=1}^{l} w_L(x_i) \prod_{i<j} x_i^{2k-1} (q^{1-k} x_j/x_i; q)_{2k-1},
\] (3.8)
and
\[
w_L(x; a, b; q) := \frac{(qx; q)_\infty}{(qbq; q)_\infty} x^a \quad (a = q^\alpha)
\]
the weight function of the one-variable little $q$-Jacobi polynomials. The evaluation of the integral $\mathcal{I}^{a,b}_{L,q,t} := \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{a,b}_{L,q,t}$ was conjectured by Askey [A] and proved independently by Habsieger [Ha] and Kadell [Ka]:
\[
\mathcal{I}^{a,b}_{L,q,t} = l[lq^k(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)/2] \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + 1 + (i - 1)k)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1 + (i - 1)k)\Gamma_q(ik)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + 2 + (l + i - 2)k)\Gamma_q(k)}
\] (3.9)
where $t = q^k$ ($k \geq 1$ integer), $a = q^\alpha$, $b = q^\beta$. This integral is yet another $q$-analogue of Selberg’s integral (2.17).

We now define the corresponding families of orthogonal polynomials.
It will be convenient to write \( m^X_\lambda \) (\( \lambda \in \mathbb{P}_2^+ \)) for \( \tilde{m}_\lambda \) (\( X = K \)) or \( m_\lambda \) (\( X = B, L \)). Fix \( t = q^k \) (\( k \geq 1 \) integer) and fix parameters in \( V_X \). The Koornwinder, multivariable big resp. little \( q \)-Jacobi polynomials \( \{ P^X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{P}_2^+ \} \) (\( X = K, B \) resp. \( L \)) are uniquely determined by the following two conditions:

\[
(i) \quad P^X_\lambda = m^X_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} c^X_{\lambda\mu} m^X_\mu, \quad (ii) \quad \langle P^X_\lambda, m^X_\mu \rangle_X = 0 \text{ for } \mu < \lambda. \quad (3.10)
\]

After suitable rescaling of the parameters, the Koornwinder polynomials tend to the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric polynomials associated with \( BC_t \) in the limit \( q \to 1 \) (cf. [31], §4), and the multivariable big resp. little \( q \)-Jacobi polynomials tend to the generalized Jacobi polynomials in the limit \( q \to 1 \) (cf. [SK] Thm. 5.1). The \( P^X_\lambda \) are joint eigenfunctions of a certain second-order \( q \)-difference operator \( D_X \) which is self-adjoint with respect to \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X \):

\[
D_X := \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_{K,j}^+(x)(T_{q^j} - \text{Id}) + \phi_{K,j}^-(x)(T_{q^{-j}} - \text{Id}) \quad (X = K, B, L),
\]

where \( T_{q^j} \) is the (multiplicative) \( q^{\pm 1} \)-shift in the variable \( x_j \). In the case \( X = K \) one has explicitly

\[
\phi_{K,j}^+(x) := \frac{(1-t_0x_j)(1-t_1x_j)(1-t_2x_j)(1-t_3x_j)}{(1-x_j^2)(1-qx_j^2)} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{(1-t_i x_j)(1-t_i x_j^{-1})}{(1-x_i x_j)(1-x_i^{-1} x_j)},
\]

\[
\phi_{K,j}^-(x) := \frac{(q-t_0 x_j)(t_1-x_j)(t_2-x_j)(t_3-x_j)}{(1-x_j^2)(q-x_j^2)} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{(t-x_i x_j)(t-x_i^{-1} x_j)}{(1-x_i x_j)(1-x_i^{-1} x_j)}.
\]

The eigenvalues in this case are given by

\[
E^K_\lambda(t; q, t) := \sum_{j=1}^l \left( q^{-1} t_0 t_1 t_2 t_3 t^{2l-j-1}(q^\lambda - 1) + t^{-1}(q^{-\lambda} - 1) \right).
\]

For the \( q \)-difference operators \( D_X \) and their eigenvalues in the cases \( X = B, L \) see [31].

As shown in [33] (\( X = K \)) and [31] (\( X = B, L \)), the self-adjointness of \( D_X \) with respect to \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X \) may be used to prove full orthogonality:

\[
\langle P^X_\lambda, P^X_\mu \rangle_X = 0 \quad (\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{P}_2^+, \lambda \neq \mu). \quad (3.12)
\]

We remark that for \( t \in V_K \) with \( |t_0|, |t_1|, |t_2|, |t_3| < 1 \), the orthogonality measure for the Koornwinder polynomials reduces to the completely continuous orthogonality measure which was considered for the first time by Koornwinder [K3].

For certain values of the parameters, for instance \( t_0 t_1 t_2 t_3 \in [-q, 1) \), one has \( E^K_\lambda \neq E^K_\mu \) if \( \lambda < \mu \) (cf. [SK] Prop. 4.6]). The Koornwinder polynomials may then be characterized by the conditions

\[
(i) \quad P^K_\lambda = \tilde{m}_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} c_{\lambda\mu} \tilde{m}_\mu, \quad (ii) \quad D_K P^K_\lambda = E^K_\lambda P^K_\lambda. \quad (3.13)
\]

Using this characterization, we can read off certain elementary symmetry properties of the Koornwinder polynomials from the corresponding symmetry properties of Koornwinder’s second-order \( q \)-difference operator and its eigenvalues. In the following lemma we formulate two of them.
Lemma 3.1. Let $t \in V_K$ such that $t_{0123} \in [-q,1)$. Then the Koornwinder polynomial $P_{B}(\Lambda; \xi; q, t)$ is symmetric in the four parameters $t_0, t_1, t_2$ and $t_3$, and satisfies

$$P_{\Lambda}(x; -\xi; q, t) = (-1)^{|\Lambda|} P_{\Lambda}(-x; \xi; q, t),$$

where $-x := (-x_1, \ldots, -x_l)$ and, similarly, $-\xi = (-t_0, -t_1, -t_2, -t_3)$.

Write $|\Lambda| := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ for $\lambda \in P^+_n$, and $cx := (c x_1, \ldots, c x_l)$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$. We have the following limit transitions from Koornwinder polynomials to multivariable big resp. little $q$-Jacobi polynomials (cf. [SK], [S2]). Fix $\lambda \in P^+_n$ and $k \geq 1$. For $(a, b, c, d) \in V_B$ we have the limit transition

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( \frac{\varepsilon (cd)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q^2} \right)^{|\Lambda|} P_{\Lambda}^K \left( \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}} x}{\varepsilon (cd)^{\frac{1}{2}}}; \lambda; q, q^k \right) = P_{\Lambda}^B (x; a, b, c, d; q, q^k),$$

(3.14)

where

$$\lambda_B (\varepsilon) := (\varepsilon^{-1} (qc/d)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \varepsilon^{-1} (qd/c)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \varepsilon a(qd/c)^{\frac{1}{2}}, -\varepsilon b(qc/d)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

(3.15)

For $(a, b) \in V_L$ we have the limit transition

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{q^2} \right)^{|\Lambda|} P_{\Lambda}^K \left( \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}} x}{\varepsilon}; \lambda; q, q^k \right) = P_{\Lambda}^L (x; a, b; q, q^k),$$

(3.16)

where

$$\lambda_L (\varepsilon) := \left( \varepsilon^{-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}}, -aq^{\frac{1}{2}}, -bq^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

(3.17)

To understand how $W$-invariant Laurent polynomials can tend to symmetric polynomials observe that $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{|\Lambda|} \lambda_\Lambda (x/\varepsilon) = m_\lambda (x)$ ($\lambda \in P^+_n$).

Let us write $m_\lambda^X (1 \leq i \leq l)$ for the orbit sum in $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$ corresponding to the $i$-th fundamental weight $(X = K)$ resp. for the $i$-th elementary symmetric polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x]^{S_l} (X = B, L)$. It is known (see [B, Ch. VI, §4, Thm. 1]) that the $m_\lambda^X (1 \leq i \leq l)$ are algebraically independent and generate the algebra $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$ resp. $\mathbb{C}[x]^{S_l}$. It therefore makes sense to introduce the notation

$$\hat{P}_\lambda^X (m_1^X (x), \ldots, m_l^X (x)) := P_\lambda^X (x) \quad (\lambda \in P^+_n).$$

(3.18)

The $\hat{P}_\lambda^X$ are (non-symmetric) polynomials in $l$ variables. We may reformulate the above limit transitions in terms of these polynomials in the following way.

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (s_\varepsilon^{-1})^{|\lambda|} \hat{P}_\lambda^K (s_\varepsilon x_1, \ldots, s_\varepsilon x_l; \lambda; q, q^k) = \hat{P}_\lambda^B (x_1, \ldots, x_l; a, b, c, d; q, q^k),$$

(3.19)

with $s_\varepsilon := q^{\frac{1}{2}}/\varepsilon (cd)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (s_\varepsilon^{-1})^{|\lambda|} \hat{P}_\lambda^K (s_\varepsilon x_1, \ldots, s_\varepsilon x_l; \lambda; q, q^k) = \hat{P}_\lambda^L (x_1, \ldots, x_l; a, b, q, q^k)$$

(3.20)

with $s_\varepsilon := q^{\frac{1}{2}}/\varepsilon$.

Important for our applications of the multivariable orthogonal polynomial theory to the study of zonal spherical functions on quantum Grassmannians is the fact that the limit transitions (3.14) and (3.16) extend to rigorous limits on the level of the orthogonality measure. This means that the orthogonality measure of the Koornwinder polynomials tends (in a suitable weak sense) to a non-zero multiple of the orthogonality measure of the multivariable big respectively little $q$-Jacobi
products and quotients of quadratic norm evaluations for the Koornwinder polynomials were derived earlier in the paper [Dj2]. We reformulate this observation as follows. For parameters $t \in V_K$, $(a, b, c, d) \in V_B$ and $(a, b) \in V_L$, let the renormalized quadratic norms $N_K(\lambda) := N_K(\lambda; q, t)$, $N_B(\lambda) := N_B(\lambda; a, b, c, d; q, t)$ and $N_L(\lambda) := N_L(\lambda; a, b, q, t)$ for $\lambda \in P_L^+$ be defined by

$$N_X(\lambda) := \frac{\langle P_X^\lambda, P_X^\lambda \rangle_X}{\langle (1, 1)_X \rangle}, \quad (X = K, B, L). \quad (3.21)$$

By the positive-definiteness of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$, it follows that $N_X(\lambda)$ is strictly positive for all $\lambda \in P_L^+$.

**Proposition 3.2.** ([S4]) For $(a, b, c, d) \in V_B$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( \varepsilon (cd/q) \right)^{2|\lambda|} N_K(\lambda; t_B(\varepsilon); q, t) = N_B(\lambda; a, b, c, d; q, t), \quad (\lambda \in P_L^+).$$

For $(a, b) \in V_L$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( \varepsilon q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2|\lambda|} N_L(\lambda; t_L(\varepsilon); q, t) = N_L(\lambda; a, b; q, t), \quad (\lambda \in P_L^+).$$

**Remark 3.3.** For the explicit evaluations of the quadratic norms of the Koornwinder polynomials and the multivariable big and little $q$-Jacobi polynomials in terms of products and quotients of $q$-Gamma functions, we refer the reader to [S4]. The quadratic norm evaluations for the Koornwinder polynomials were derived earlier in the paper [Dj2].

4. Preliminaries on the Quantum Unitary Group

Various aspects of the quantum unitary group have been studied in many different papers. Our main references will be [NYM] and [N, §1], which are based on the $R$-matrix approach described in [RTF].

The quantized coordinate ring $A_q(\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ of the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices is defined as the algebra with generators $t_{ij}$ (1 ≤ $i, j \leq n$) and relations

$$t_{ki}t_{kj} = qt_{kj}t_{ki}, \quad t_{ik}t_{jk} = qt_{jk}t_{ik} \quad (i < j),$$

$$t_{il}t_{kj} = t_{kj}t_{il}, \quad t_{ij}t_{kl} - t_{kl}t_{ij} = (q - q^{-1})t_{il}t_{kj} \quad (i < k, j < l).$$

In more compact notation, these relations may be written $RT_1T_2 = T_2T_1R$. Here $T_1 := T \otimes I$, $T_2 := I \otimes T$ ($I$ unit matrix, $\otimes$ the Kronecker product of matrices), and

$$R := \sum_{ij} q^{h_j} e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i > j} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}, \quad (4.1)$$

with the $e_{ij}$ (1 ≤ $i, j \leq n$) denoting the standard matrix units. The matrix $R$ is invertible and satisfies the Quantum Yang-Baxter Equation.

The quantized coordinate ring $A_q(G)$ of the general linear group $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is defined by adjoining to $A_q(\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ the inverse $det_q^{-1}$ of the quantum determinant

$$det_q := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} t_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots t_{n\sigma(n)} \in A_q(\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}))$$
with $i$ denoting the length function on $\mathfrak{S}$, which is central. It follows from Lemma 1.5 that $A_q(\text{Mat}(n; \mathbb{C}))$ has no zero divisors.

There is a unique Hopf algebra structure on $A_q(G)$ such that $(t_{ij})$ becomes a matrix corepresentation. The antipode $S : A_q(G) \to A_q(G)$ is given on the generators by

$$S(t_{ij}) := (-q)^{i-j} \xi^i_j \det_q^{-1}$$

with $i^c := \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$, and with the quantum minor $\xi^I_j$ for subsets $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_r\}$, $J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_r\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ defined by

$$\xi^I_j := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} t_{i_1,j_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots t_{i_r,j_{\sigma(r)}}.$$

$A_q(G)$ becomes a Hopf $*$-algebra by requiring $(t_{ij})$ to be a unitary matrix corepresentation. In particular, this means that the $*$-structure is given by $t^*_ij := S(t_{ij})$. We write $A_q(U) = A_q(U(n))$ for $A_q(G)$ endowed with this $*$-operation. The mapping $\tau := * \circ S$ is a conjugate-linear involution on $A_q(U)$ such that $\tau(t_{ij}) = t_{ji}$.

The quantized Borel subgroups $A_q(B^\pm)$ of upper resp. lower triangular matrices are defined as the Hopf quotients of $A_q(G)$ by the relations

$$t_{ij} = 0 \ (i > j) \quad \text{resp.} \quad t_{ij} = 0 \ (i < j).$$

The corresponding projections will be denoted by $\pi_{\pm} : A_q(G) \to A_q(B^\pm)$. Note that the $z_i := \pi_{\pm}(t_{ii}) \ (1 \leq i \leq n)$ in $A_q(B^\pm)$ are invertible. Corresponding to the diagonal subgroup $T \subset U(n)$ we have a natural surjective Hopf $*$-algebra morphism $\gamma$ of $A_q(U)$ onto the Laurent polynomial algebra $A(\mathbb{T}) := \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$.

Next, we briefly recall the “global” description of finite-dimensional corepresentations of $A_q(U)$. For every $\lambda \in P$ (cf. [43]), we may define a linear character (i.e. one-dimensional corepresentation) $z^\lambda := z_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots z_n^{\lambda_n}$ of $A_q(B^\pm)$ or $A(\mathbb{T})$. Using these linear characters it is completely straightforward to define (highest) weight vectors in left or right $A_q(U)$-comodules. We take highest weight vectors of right resp. left $A_q(U)$-comodules with respect to $A_q(B^+)$ and $A_q(B^-)$ respectively. For instance, a highest weight vector of weight $\lambda \in P^+$ in a right $A_q(U)$-comodule $M$ is a non-zero vector $v \in M$ such that

$$(\text{id} \otimes \pi_+) \circ \rho_M(v) = v \otimes z^\lambda,$$

$\rho_M : M \to M \otimes A_q(U)$ denoting the comodule mapping. Finite-dimensional $A_q(U)$-comodules are then completely reducible and unitarizable (see, for instance, [NYM], [DK2]). Recall that a right $A_q(U)$-comodule $M$ endowed with a positive definite inner product (taken to be conjugate linear in the second variable) is called unitary if

$$\sum_{(v)} \langle v_{(1)}, w_{(1)} \rangle w'_{(2)} v_{(2)} = \langle v, w \rangle 1 \quad (v, w \in M),$$

where the symbolic notation $\sum_{(v)} v_{(1)} \otimes v_{(2)} := \rho_M(v)$ is used. The irreducible finite-dimensional $A_q(U)$-comodules are parametrized by dominant weights $\lambda \in P^+$ as in the classical case (cf. [NYM, Th. 2.12]). The irreducible right $A_q(U)$-comodule with highest weight $\lambda \in P^+$ is denoted by $V_R(\lambda)$. The vector space $V_L(\lambda) := \text{Hom}(V_R(\lambda), \mathbb{C})$ has a natural left $A_q(U)$-comodule structure, which is also irreducible of highest weight $\lambda$. If no confusion is possible, we will write $V(\lambda)$ for the left comodule $V_L(\lambda)$ respectively for the right comodule $V_R(\lambda)$.
Remark 4.1. Let $M$ be a finite-dimensional right $A_q(U)$-comodule with comodule mapping $\rho_M : M \to M \otimes A_q(U)$. Write $M^\circ$ for the vector space complex conjugate to $M$ and $\sigma : M \otimes A_q(U) \to A_q(U) \otimes M$ for the flip. Then the mapping

$$\rho_M^\circ : M^\circ \to A_q(U) \otimes M^\circ, \quad \rho_m^\circ := (\tau \otimes \text{id}) \circ \sigma \circ \rho_M,$$

(4.2)

where $\tau = \ast \circ S$, defines a left $A_q(U)$-comodule structure on $M^\circ$. In $[12]$, $\rho_M$ is considered as a conjugate linear map from $M^\circ$ to $M \otimes A_q(U)$, and $\tau \otimes \text{id}$ as a conjugate linear map from $A_q(U) \otimes M$ to $A_q(U) \otimes M^\circ$.

The assignment $M \mapsto M^\circ$ is a 1-1 correspondence between right and left $A_q(U)$-comodules preserving weights and highest weights. Hence $M^\circ$ is isomorphic to the left $A_q(U)$-comodule $\text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C})$. A right $A_q(U)$-comodule intertwiner $\Psi : M \to N$ also intertwines the left $A_q(U)$-comodule structures of $M^\circ$ and $N^\circ$ (i.e. when $\Psi$ is considered as a map from $M^\circ$ to $N^\circ$).

Recall that the comultiplication $\Delta : A_q(U) \to A_q(U) \otimes A_q(U)$ defines a bicomodule structure on $A_q(U)$. Let $W(\lambda) \subset A_q(U)$ $(\lambda \in P^+)$ denote the subspace spanned by the matrix coefficients of either $V_R(\lambda)$ or $V_L(\lambda)$. The irreducible decomposition of the bicomodule $A_q(U)$ reads

$$A_q(U) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} W(\lambda), \quad W(\lambda) \simeq V_L(\lambda) \otimes V_R(\lambda).$$

(4.3)

Let $h$ be the normalized Haar functional on $A_q(U)$. It can be characterized as the unique linear functional on $A_q(U)$ which is zero on $W(\lambda)$ for $0 \neq \lambda \in P^+$ and which sends $1 \in A_q(U)$ to $1 \in \mathbb{C}$. The subspaces $W(\lambda)$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to the inner product $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle := h(\psi^* \varphi)$.

We consider now in some more detail the vector corepresentation, its dual representation, and their exterior powers. Let $V$ denote the vector space $\mathbb{C}^n$ with canonical basis $(v_i)$. $V$ becomes a right $A_q(U)$-comodule (called vector corepresentation) with

$$\rho_V : V \mapsto V \otimes A_q(U), \quad \rho_V(v_j) := \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \otimes t_{ij} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

(4.4)

$V$ is irreducible with highest weight $\hat{\varepsilon}_1$ and highest weight vector $v_1$. Note that the vectors $v_i$ have weight $\hat{\varepsilon}_i$. The corepresentation $V$ is unitary with respect to the inner product $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$.

Let $V^*$ denote the linear dual of $V$ with dual basis $(v_i^*)$. $V^*$ becomes a right $A_q(U)$-comodule (contragredient of $V$) with

$$\rho_{V^*} : V^* \mapsto V^* \otimes A_q(U), \quad \rho_{V^*}(v_j^*) := \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^* \otimes t_{ij}^* \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

(4.5)

$V^*$ is irreducible with highest weight $-\hat{\varepsilon}_n$ and highest weight vector $v_n^*$. Note that the vectors $v_i^*$ have weight $-\hat{\varepsilon}_i$. The corepresentation $V^*$ is unitary with respect to the inner product $\langle v_i^*, v_j^* \rangle := q^{-(2\rho, \hat{\varepsilon}_i)} \delta_{ij}$, where $\rho := \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k) \hat{\varepsilon}_k$. This follows from the well-known fact that $S^2(t_{ij}) = q^{(2\rho, \hat{\varepsilon}_j-\hat{\varepsilon}_i)} t_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n)$.

Let $A_q(V)$ resp. $A_q(V^*)$ denote the associative algebra generated by $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ resp. $v_1^*, \ldots, v_n^*$ with relations

$$v_i \wedge v_i = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad v_i \wedge v_j = -q^{-1} v_j \wedge v_i \quad (i < j)$$

(4.6)
where

\[ v_i^* \wedge v_i^* = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad v_i^* \wedge v_j^* = -q^{-1} v_i^* \wedge v_j^* \quad (i < j). \]  

(4.7)

Then \( \Lambda_q(V) \) resp. \( \Lambda_q(V^*) \) inherits a natural right \( \Lambda_q(U) \)-comodule structure from \( V \) resp. \( V^* \) by extending the comodule mapping \( \rho_V \) resp. \( \rho_{V^*} \) as a unital algebra homomorphism. \( \Lambda_q(V) \) resp. \( \Lambda_q(V^*) \) also has a natural grading such that the generators \( v_i \) resp. \( v_i^* \) have degree 1:

\[ \Lambda_q(V) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{n} \Lambda_q^r(V), \quad \Lambda_q(V^*) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{n} \Lambda_q^r(V^*). \]

Write \( v_I := v_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{i_r} \) resp. \( v_I^* := v_{i_1}^* \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{i_r}^* \) if \( I = \{ i_1 < \cdots < i_r \} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\} \). Write \( |I| \) for the cardinality of \( I \). Then the \( v_I \) resp. \( v_I^* \) \( (|I| = r) \) form a basis of \( \Lambda_q^r(V) \) resp. \( \Lambda_q^r(V^*) \). One has the multiplicative property \( (I, J) \subset \{1, \ldots, n\} \)

\[ v_I \wedge v_J = \text{sgn}_q(I; J) v_{I \cup J}, \quad v_I^* \wedge v_J^* := \text{sgn}_q(J; I) v_{I \cup J}^* \]

where

\[ \text{sgn}_q(I; J) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } I \cap J \neq \emptyset, \\ (-q)^{l(I; J)} & \text{if } I \cap J = \emptyset, \end{cases} \]

and \( l(I; J) := |\{(i, j) \in I \times J | i > j\}| \). The comodules \( \Lambda_q^r(V) \) and \( \Lambda_q^r(V^*) \) are irreducible subcomodules of \( \Lambda_q(V) \), and the coactions satisfy

\[ \rho_V(v_J) = \sum_{|I| = r} v_I \otimes \xi_J^I, \quad \rho_{V^*}(v_J^*) = \sum_{|I| = r} v_I^* \otimes (\xi_J^I)^* \quad (|J| = r). \]

(4.8)

From this it follows immediately that

\[ \Delta(\xi_J^I) = \sum_{|K| = r} \xi_K^I \otimes \xi_K^J \quad (|I|, |J| = r) \]

(4.9)

and hence

\[ \sum_{|K| = r} \xi_K^I S(\xi_K^J) = \delta_{I,J} \quad (|I|, |J| = r). \]

(4.10)

We furthermore recall (cf. [NYM (3.2)]) that the quantum minors satisfy

\[ (\xi_J^I)^* = S(\xi_J^I) = \frac{\text{sgn}_q(J; I^c)}{\text{sgn}_q(I; J^c)} \xi_J^I \det_q^{-1} \quad (|I| = |J| = r), \]

(4.11)

where \( I^c := \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus I \).

The \( \Lambda_q(U) \)-comodule \( \Lambda_q^r(V) \) resp. \( \Lambda_q^r(V^*) \) has highest weight \( \Lambda_r := \tilde{e}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{e}_r \) resp. \( \Lambda_n - \Lambda_r = -\tilde{e}_n - \cdots - \tilde{e}_{n-r+1} \) with highest weight vector \( v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_r \) resp. \( v_n^* \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n-r+1}^* \). The inner product on \( \Lambda_q^r(V) \) such that \( \langle v_I, v_J \rangle = \delta_{I,J} \)

is \( \Lambda_q(U) \)-invariant. On the space \( \Lambda_q^r(V^*) \) we have the invariant inner product

\[ \langle v_I^*, v_J^* \rangle := \delta_{I,J} q^{-(2p.I_i)} \]

where \( \tilde{e}_I := \sum_{i \in I} \tilde{e}_i \).

Let \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})) \) denote the quantized universal enveloping algebra (cf. Drinfeld [D], Jimbo [J]) associated with the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \). In our notation we essentially adhere to Noumi [N] §1. We refer to this last paper for any details not treated here. The algebra \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) is generated by elements \( q^h \ (h \in P) \) and \( e_i, f_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n - 1) \) subject to the quantized Weyl-Serre relations.

More useful for the purposes of this paper are the \( L \)-operators \( L_{ij}, L_{ij}^* \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) \( (1 \leq i, j \leq n) \). They generate \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) subject to certain commutation relations that may be conveniently expressed by means of the matrix \( R \) defined in [4.3] (cf.
The matrices $L^\pm := (L^\pm_{ij})$ are upper resp. lower triangular, and $L^\pm_{ii} = q^{\pm i} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. The Hopf $*$-algebra structure on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is uniquely determined by

$$\Delta(L^\pm_{ij}) = \sum_k L^\pm_{ik} \otimes L^\pm_{kj}, \quad \varepsilon(L^\pm_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \quad (L^\pm_{ij})^* = S(L^\mp_{ji}) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n)$$

(4.12)

The involution $\tau = * \circ S : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on the generators as

$$\tau(L^\pm_{ij}) = L^\mp_{ji} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

(4.13)

There is a natural Hopf $*$-algebra duality $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ between $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $A_q(U)$. This means in particular that we have

$$\langle u, \varphi^* \rangle = \langle \tau(u), \varphi \rangle, \quad \langle u^*, \varphi \rangle = \overline{\langle u, \tau(\varphi) \rangle} \quad (u \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi \in A_q(U)).$$

(4.14)

We write $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$ for the subalgebra generated by the $q^h$ ($h \in P$). It is Laurent polynomial in the elements $q^i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). There is an induced Hopf $*$-algebra duality between $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$ and $A(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$\langle q^h, z^\lambda \rangle := q^{(h, \lambda)}, \quad z^\lambda = z_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots z_n^{\lambda_n} \quad (h, \lambda \in P).$$

For a right $A_q(U)$-comodule $(M, \rho_M)$, the $A_q(U)$-coaction $\rho_M$ can be “differentiated” using the Hopf algebra pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. This yields a left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on $M$ (cf. [NYM]). To be precise, the left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-action on $M$ is defined by

$$X \cdot v := \sum_{(v)} \langle X, v(2) \rangle v(1), \quad (X \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}), v \in M),$$

(4.15)

where $\rho_M(v) := \sum_{(v)} v_1 \otimes v_2 \in M \otimes A_q(U)$ for $v \in M$. For example, differentiating the vector corepresentation $[4,4]$, the corresponding left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-action gives rise to an algebra homomorphism $\rho_V : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \text{End}(V)$, which is uniquely determined by the formulas

$$R^\pm = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes \rho_V(L^\pm_{ij}), \quad (R^\pm)^{-1} = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes \rho_V(S(L^\mp_{ij})).$$

(4.16)

Here $R^\pm := R^{-1}$, $R^\mp = PRP$, and $P = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}$ is the permutation operator. By differentiation of right $A_q(U)$-coactions, a 1-1 correspondence is obtained between right $A_q(U)$-comodule structures on a finite-dimensional vector space $M$ and $P$-weighted left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structures on $M$. Recall that $M$ is $P$-weighted if it is spanned by vectors that transform under $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$ according to $q^h \cdot v = q^{(h, \lambda)} v$ ($\lambda \in P$). A highest weight vector $v$ of highest weight $\lambda$ in a left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $M$ is then characterized by the conditions $L^-_{ij} \cdot v = 0$ ($i > j$) (or, equivalently, $X^+_i \cdot v = 0$ for $i \in \{1, n-1\}$) and $q^h \cdot v = q^{(h, \lambda)} v$. There is a similar relationship between left $A_q(U)$-comodules and right $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. For a right $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $M$, a weight vector $0 \neq v \in M$ is a highest weight vector if $v \cdot L^+_{ij} = 0$ ($i < j$) (or, equivalently, $v \cdot X^-_i = 0$ for $i \in \{1, n-1\}$).

Remark 4.2. For a right $A_q(U)$-comodule $(M, \rho_M)$ and for an element $v \in M$, we write $v^\circ$ when considering $v$ as an element in the left $A_q(U)$-comodule $(M^\circ, \rho_M^\circ)$ (cf. Remark 3.3). Then the differentiated right $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on $M^\circ$ is related to the differentiated left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on $M$ by $v^\circ \cdot X = (X^\circ \cdot v)^\circ$, where $v \in M$ and $X \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. 

The coalgebra structure of \( A_q(U) \) naturally induces a \( A_q(U) \)-bicomodule structure on \( A_q(U) \). By differentiating this \( A_q(U) \)-bicomodule structure, \( A_q(U) \) becomes a \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-bimodule with \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-symmetry. The action of the \( L \)-operators is then given by
\[
L_1^\pm \cdot T_2 = T_2 R^\pm, \quad T_2 \cdot L_1^\pm = R^\pm T_2.
\] (4.17)

Obviously, the irreducible decomposition of the \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-bimodule \( A_q(U) \) is given by (4.3). This decomposition may also be characterized as the simultaneous eigenspace decomposition of \( A_q(U) \) under the action of the center \( Z \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \).

It can be shown that the pairing \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is doubly non-degenerate (cf. [KS, Cor. 23, 54]). In particular, \( A_q(U) \) can be embedded as Hopf \(*\)-algebra into the Hopf \(*\)-algebra dual of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \). The image under this embedding is the Hopf subalgebra spanned by matrix elements of finite-dimensional \( P \)-weighted \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-modules.

5. Spherical Corepresentations

We call \( A_q(K) := A_q(U(n - l)) \otimes A_q(U(l)) \) the quantized coordinate ring of \( K \). Corresponding to the embedding (2.3) there is an obvious surjective Hopf \(*\)-algebra morphism \( \pi_K : A_q(U(n)) \to A_q(K) \). Write \( A_q(U/K) \) for the right \( A_q(K) \)-fixed elements in \( A_q(U) \), i.e.
\[
A_q(U/K) := \{ \varphi \in A_q(U) \mid (\text{id} \otimes \pi_K) \circ \Delta(\varphi) = \varphi \otimes 1 \}. \quad (5.1)
\]

Observe that \( A_q(U/K) \) is a left \( A_q(U) \)-comodule \(*\)-subalgebra of \( A_q(U) \). The algebra \( A_q(U/K) \) can be interpreted as a quantized algebra of functions on the complex Grassmannian \( U/K \).

For the study of \( A_q(U/K) \) it is important to obtain explicit information about \( A_q(K) \)-spherical corepresentations of \( A_q(U) \), i.e. finite-dimensional right \( A_q(U) \)-comodules with non-zero \( A_q(K) \)-fixed vectors. Recall that a vector \( v \) in a right \( A_q(U) \)-comodule \( M \) with comodule mapping \( \rho_M : M \to M \otimes A_q(U) \) is \( A_q(K) \)-fixed if
\[
(\text{id} \otimes \pi_K) \circ \rho_M(v) = v \otimes 1. \quad (5.2)
\]

One defines \( A_q(K) \)-fixed vectors in left \( A_q(U) \)-comodules in a similar way. In this section we discuss the proof of the following theorem, which states in particular that the pair \( (A_q(U), A_q(K)) \) is a quantum Gelfand pair:

**Theorem 5.1.** Every finite-dimensional irreducible corepresentation of \( A_q(U) \) has at most one \( A_q(K) \)-fixed vector (up to scalar multiples). The finite-dimensional corepresentations with non-zero \( A_q(K) \)-fixed vectors are parametrized by the classical sublattice \( P_K^+ \) of spherical dominant weights (cf. [4]).

**Remark 5.2.** Let \( M \) be a finite-dimensional right \( A_q(U) \)-comodule. It follows from Remark 4.1 that a vector \( v \in M \) is \( A_q(K) \)-fixed if and only if \( v \in M^o \) is \( A_q(K) \)-fixed. Hence, any statement about \( A_q(K) \)-fixed vectors in right \( A_q(U) \)-comodules immediately translates to a corresponding statement for left \( A_q(U) \)-comodules and vice-versa.

For the proof of Theorem 5.1 it suffices to show that the irreducible decomposition of \( V_q(\lambda) \) as a right \( A_q(K) \)-comodule is the same as the decomposition of the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of \( U(n) \) with highest weight \( \lambda \).
when restricted to the subgroup $K$. One way of establishing this result is by differentiating the coaction of $A_q(U)$ on $V_R(\lambda)$ using the doubly non-degenerate Hopf algebra pairing between $A_q(U)$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Then the desired result follows from well-known results on the representation theory of quantized universal enveloping algebras. This approach is quite general, and is treated in more detail in [SD].

In this section we discuss another proof of Theorem 5.1 which does not rely on the quantized universal enveloping algebra technique. The strategy will be to relate the decomposition of the restriction to $A_q(K)$ of the right $A_q(U)$-comodule $V_R(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P^+$) to characters on the maximal torus $\mathbb{T}$. The following general result about corepresentation theory of semisimple coalgebras is needed (a coalgebra is said to be semisimple if every finite-dimensional $A$-comodule is completely reducible).

**Proposition 5.3.** Let $A$ and $B$ be semisimple coalgebras. Then every finite-dimensional $A \otimes B$-comodule is completely reducible. Write $\{V_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ and $\{V_\beta \mid \beta \in B\}$ for a complete set of mutually inequivalent, irreducible, finite-dimensional right $A$- and $B$-comodules, respectively. Then

$$\{V_\alpha \boxtimes V_\beta \mid \alpha \in A, \beta \in B\}$$

(5.3)

is a complete set of mutually inequivalent irreducible finite-dimensional right $A \otimes B$-comodules. Here $V_\alpha \boxtimes V_\beta = V_\alpha \otimes V_\beta$ as a vector space and it has right comodule structure given by $\rho_\alpha \boxtimes \rho_\beta := \sigma_{23} \circ (\rho_\alpha \otimes \rho_\beta)$, where $\sigma_{23}$ is the flip of the second and third tensor component and where $\rho_\alpha$ and $\rho_\beta$ are the right comodule mappings of $V_\alpha$ and $V_\beta$, respectively.

The proof of the proposition is similar to the analogous, well-known result for tensor products of semisimple algebras and will therefore be omitted here.

For $\lambda \in P^+$ with $\lambda_n \geq 0$, define the Schur polynomial $s_\lambda(z) \in A(\mathbb{T})$ by

$$s_\lambda(z) := \Delta^{-1}(z) \sum_{w \in S_n} (-1)^{l(w)} z^{w(\lambda)};$$

with $\Delta(z) := \prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j)$ the Vandermonde determinant. For arbitrary $\lambda \in P^+$ with $\lambda_n \geq -m$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) define $s_\lambda(z) := z^{-m\lambda_n} s_{\lambda+m\lambda_n}(z) \in A(\mathbb{T})$. Then the $s_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P^+$) are well-defined and form a basis of the subalgebra $A(\mathbb{T})^{S_n}$ of symmetric Laurent polynomials. Recall that the character of a finite-dimensional $A_q(U)$-comodule $M$ is defined by $\chi_M := \sum_i \pi_{ii} \in A_q(U)$, where the $\pi_{ij} \in A_q(U)$ are the matrix coefficients of $M$ with respect to a basis of $M$. The character $\chi_M$ is independent of the particular choice of basis for $M$. As shown in [NYM], (3.22)], the character $\chi_\lambda \in A_q(U)$ of the irreducible comodule $V_R(\lambda)$ satisfies

$$\chi(\lambda) |_{\mathbb{T}} = s_\lambda(z) \quad (\lambda \in P^+),$$

(5.4)

as in the classical case ($q = 1$).

**Proposition 5.4.** Let $\lambda \in P^+$. The restriction of the $A_q(U)$-comodule $V_R(\lambda)$ to $A_q(K)$ decomposes as

$$V_R(\lambda) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mu, \nu} (V_R(\mu) \boxtimes V_R(\nu))^\otimes c^\lambda_{\mu, \nu},$$

(5.5)

the sum ranging over $\mu \in P^+_{n-1}, \nu \in P^+_1$. Here the $c^\lambda_{\mu, \nu}$ are the non-negative integers characterized by

$$s_\lambda(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} c^\lambda_{\mu, \nu} s_{\mu}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) s_{\nu}(z_{n-1+1}, \ldots, z_n),$$

(5.6)
the sum ranging over $\mu \in P_{n-l}^+, \nu \in P_l^+$.

Proof. There exists a decomposition (5.3) for certain uniquely determined non-negative integers $c_{\mu,\nu}^{\lambda}$ by the previous proposition. It follows from (5.4) that the $c_{\mu,\nu}^{\lambda}$ satisfy (5.3), since $\chi_{M \otimes N} = \chi_M \otimes \chi_N \in A_q(K)$ for a finite-dimensional right $A_q(U(n-l))$-comodule $M$ and a finite-dimensional right $A_q(U(l))$-comodule $N$. We conclude from Proposition 5.4 that the abstract decomposition of an arbitrary $A_q(U)$-comodule $M$ into irreducible $A_q(K)$-comodules is the same as in the classical $(q = 1)$ case. Hence, at this point we see that Theorem 5.1 is a consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Remark 5.5. The proof of Theorem 5.1 can also be derived from Proposition 5.4 using the Littlewood-Richardson rule (cf. Macdonald [12]), which is a combinatorial rule for computing the coefficients $c_{\mu,\nu}^{\lambda}$ in (5.6).

6. A ONE-PARAMETER FAMILY OF QUANTUM GRASSMANNIANS

In this section we define a family of quantum Grassmannians depending on one real parameter $-\infty < \sigma < \infty$ (cf. [NDS, §2]). The key ingredient in the definition will be the $n \times n$ complex matrix $J^\sigma$ defined by

$$J^\sigma := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} (1 - q^{2\sigma}) e_{kk} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} e_{kk} - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} q^\sigma e_{k'k},$$

where $k' := n - k + 1$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$). Observe that $\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} J^\sigma = J^\infty$, where $J^\infty$ is defined by

$$J^\infty := \sum_{k=1}^n c_{kk}.$$

The subspace $\mathfrak{t}^\sigma \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is by definition spanned by the coefficients of the matrix

$$L^+ J^\sigma - J^\sigma L^- \in \text{End}(V) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

It follows from (4.12) that $\mathfrak{t}^\sigma$ is a two-sided coideal in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e. $\Delta(\mathfrak{t}^\sigma) \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}^\sigma + \mathfrak{t}^\sigma \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{t}^\sigma) = 0$. This remains true when $J^\sigma$ is replaced by any $n \times n$ matrix $J$ in the definition of $\mathfrak{t}^\sigma$. Moreover, since $J^\sigma$ is a symmetric matrix, it follows from (4.13) that $\mathfrak{t}^\sigma$ is $\tau$-invariant.

Define the subalgebra $A_q(\mathfrak{t}^\sigma \setminus U) \subset A_q(U)$ as the subspace of all left $\mathfrak{t}^\sigma$-invariant elements in $A_q(U)$, i.e. all $a \in A_q(U)$ such that $\mathfrak{t}^\sigma \cdot a = 0$. As is well-known (cf. for instance [DK, Prop. 1.9]), the fact that $\mathfrak{t}^\sigma$ is a $\tau$-invariant two-sided coideal implies that $A_q(\mathfrak{t}^\sigma \setminus U)$ is a *-subalgebra which is invariant under the right $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-action on $A_q(U)$ (or, equivalently, the left coaction of $A_q(U)$ on itself). Important for the study of $A_q(\mathfrak{t}^\sigma \setminus U)$ is the fact that $X = J^\sigma$ is a solution of the reflection equation

$$R_{12}X_1 R_{12}^{-1}X_2 = X_2 R_{21}^{-1}X_1 R_{21},$$

where $R_{12} := R$, $R_{21} := PRP(= R^+)$, $X_1 = X \otimes id_V$ and $X_2 := id_V \otimes X$. This fact can be verified by direct computations.

Remark 6.1. The algebra $A_q(\mathfrak{t}^\sigma \setminus U)$ can be considered as a quantized coordinate ring on the complex Grassmannian $U(n)/\langle U(n-l) \times U(l) \rangle$ in the following way (see [NDS] for more details). The quantum space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices is defined as the algebra generated by $X = (x_{ij})_{ij}$ with relations given by the reflection
equation (6.4). It can be endowed with a \(*\)-structure and a left \(A_q(U)\)-coaction (the quantum analogue of the adjoint action). Since \(J^\sigma\) is a solution of (6.4) it gives rise to a \((\ast\)-invariant) character of the quantized algebra of functions on the space of Hermitean matrices. In other words, \(J^\sigma\) corresponds to a classical point in the quantum space of Hermitean matrices. Then \(A_q(\mathfrak{t}^\sigma/\{U\})\) may be considered as the quantized algebra of functions on the adjoint orbit of the classical point corresponding to \(J^\sigma\) (see [NDS, Prop. 2.4]). Since \(J^\sigma\) has two different eigenvalues 1 and \(-q^{2\sigma}\) with multiplicity \(n - l\) and \(l\) respectively, this quantum adjoint orbit is isomorphic with the complex Grassmannian \(U(n)/(U(n - l) \times U(l))\).

The quantized function algebra \(A_q(U/K)\) (5.1) can formally be interpreted as the algebra \(A_q(\mathfrak{t}^\sigma/\{U\})\) with \(\sigma \rightarrow \infty\). To make this a little bit more explicit, we write

\[
L^+ = \begin{pmatrix}
11L^+ & 12L^+ & 13L^+ \\
0 & 22L^+ & 23L^+ \\
0 & 0 & 33L^+
\end{pmatrix}, \quad L^- = \begin{pmatrix}
11L^- & 0 & 0 \\
21L^- & 22L^- & 0 \\
31L^- & 32L^- & 33L^-
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(11L^+\) is an \(l \times l\) matrix, \(22L^+\) an \((n - 2l) \times (n - 2l)\) matrix etc. Let \(D\) be the \(l \times l\) matrix with 1’s on the antidiagonal and 0’s everywhere else. The coefficients of the matrix \(L^+J^\sigma - J^\sigma L^-\) coincide with the coefficients of the following six matrices up to a sign:

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad q^\sigma(D \cdot 31L^- - 13L^+ \cdot D) + (1 - q^{2\sigma})(11L^+ - 11L^-) \\
(ii) & \quad 12L^+ - q^\sigma D \cdot 32L^-, \\
(iii) & \quad 23L^+ \cdot q^\sigma D + 21L^-, \\
(iv) & \quad 22L^+ - 22L^-, \\
(v) & \quad 11L^+ \cdot q^\sigma D - q^\sigma D \cdot 33L^-, \\
(vi) & \quad 33L^+ \cdot q^\sigma D - q^\sigma D \cdot 11L^-.
\end{align*}
\]

(6.5)

Obviously, the coefficients of the following matrix are also contained in \(\mathfrak{t}^\sigma\):

\[
\begin{align*}
(vii) & \quad q^\sigma(D \cdot 13L^- - 31L^+ \cdot D) + (1 - q^{2\sigma})(33L^+ - 33L^-).
\end{align*}
\]

(6.6)

For later use, observe that the following elements of the “Cartan subalgebra” \(U_q(\mathfrak{h})\) belong to \(\mathfrak{t}^\sigma\):

\[
L^+_{ii} - L^-_{ii} (l < i < l'), \quad L^+_{ii} - L^-_{ii}(1 \leq i \leq l), \quad L^-_{ii} - L^+_{ii}(1 \leq i \leq l).
\]

(6.7)

It is clear from (6.5) and (6.6) that, in the limit \(\sigma \rightarrow \infty\), the matrices in (i)–(vii) tend either to zero or to the following matrices

\[
11L^+ - 11L^-, \quad 12L^+, \quad 21L^-, \quad 22L^+ - 22L^-, \quad 33L^+ - 33L^-.
\]

(6.8)

Again, the subspace \(\mathfrak{t}^\infty \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g})\) spanned by the coefficients of the matrices in (6.8) is a \(\tau\)-invariant two-sided coideal. Now, on the one hand, \(\mathfrak{t}^\infty\)-invariance in a left or right \(U_q(\mathfrak{g})\)-module \(M\) is obviously the same as invariance with respect to the Hopf \(*\)-subalgebra

\[
U_q(\mathfrak{t}) := U_q(\mathfrak{g}(n - l, \mathbb{C})) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(l, \mathbb{C})) \hookrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})),
\]

where invariance of \(v \in M\) with respect to \(u \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})\) should be interpreted as \(u \cdot v = \varepsilon(u) \cdot v\) (if \(M\) is a left \(U_q(\mathfrak{g})\)-module). Using the Hopf algebra duality between \(A_q(U)\) and \(U_q(\mathfrak{g})\) it can be easily shown that invariance of \(v \in M\) with respect to \(U_q(\mathfrak{t})\) is the same as invariance with respect to \(A_q(K)\) (cf. [DK1, Prop. 1.12]). It follows that \(A_q(K)\)-invariance is equivalent to \(\mathfrak{t}^\infty\)-invariance, hence \(A_q(\mathfrak{t}^\infty/\{U\}) = A_q(U/K)\).
Remark 6.2. It should be observed that the matrix \( J^\infty \) also satisfies the reflection equation \([6.4]\), but the subspace spanned by the coefficients of the matrix \( L^+ J^\infty - J^\infty L^- \) is strictly smaller than \( \mathfrak{g}^\infty \) and of little use for the purposes of this paper.

The following lemma is now a direct consequence of the arguments given above.

Lemma 6.3. Let \( M \) be a finite-dimensional right \( A_q(U) \)-comodule with linear basis \( \{v_i\}_i \). Consider \( M \) as left \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-module using the differentiated action \([6.15]\). Suppose that \( v_\sigma := \sum_i c_i(\sigma)v_i \ (c_i(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}) \) is a \( \mathfrak{g}^- \)-fixed vector for all \( \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \) and that \( c_i := \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} c_i(\sigma) \) exists for all \( i \). Then \( \sum_i c_i v_i \) is an \( A_q(K) \)-fixed vector in \( M \).

Remark 6.4. In some suitable algebraic sense (cf. \([CP. \ Prop. \ 9.2.3]\)) the algebra \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) “tends” to \( U(\mathfrak{g}) \) when \( q \) tends to 1. The corresponding limits of the \( L \)-operators are given by

\[
L^\infty_{ij}/(q - q^{-1}) \rightarrow \pm e_{ij} \ (i \leq j), \quad (q^{\epsilon_i} - q^{-\epsilon_i})/(q - q^{-1}) \rightarrow e_{ii}
\]

(cf. \([N. \ (1.10), \ (1.11)]\)). Hence, by \([6.8]\) respectively \([6.3]\), the subspace \( \mathfrak{g}^- \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) \((\sigma = \infty \text{ respectively } \sigma = 0)\) tends to the Lie subalgebra \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n - 1, \mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{g} \) respectively \( \mathfrak{g}^- \subset \mathfrak{g} \) (cf. \( \S \ 2 \)) in the limit \( q \to 1 \).

Reflection equations play an important role in the quantization of symmetric spaces (cf. \([N. \ (\S \ 2]), \ [NS_1]\)). For the purposes of this chapter, the importance of this equation lies in the following fact. Recall that a vector \( w \) in a left \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-module \( M \) is called \( \mathfrak{g}^- \)-fixed if \( \mathfrak{g}^- w = 0 \) (a similar definition can be given for right \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-modules).

Proposition 6.5. \([NS_2. \ Prop. \ 3.1], \ [NDS]\) Let \( J \) be any \( n \times n \) complex matrix. Write \( \mathfrak{g}^J \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) for the two-sided coideal spanned by the coefficients of \( L^+ J - JL^- \). The element

\[
w^J := \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} v_i \otimes v_j^* \in V \otimes V^*
\]

in the left \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-module \( V \otimes V^* \) is a \( \mathfrak{g}^J \)-fixed vector if and only if \( J \) satisfies the reflection equation \([6.4]\).

Proof. In the proof the same notational conventions as in \([N. \ Proof \ of \ Proposition \ 2.3]\) will be used. Recall that the \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-module structure on \( V^* \) corresponding to the dual \( A_q(U) \)-comodule \( V^* \) is given by

\[
u \cdot v^*(v) := v^*(S(u) \cdot v) \quad (u \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}), v^* \in V^*, v \in V).
\]

Set \( v := (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \), then it follows from \([4.16]\) that

\[
L_{ij}^+ \cdot v_2 = v_2 \cdot R_{ij}^+, \quad L_{ij}^+ \cdot v_2^* = v_2^* \cdot (R_{21}^+)_{ij}^t, \quad L_{ij}^- \cdot v_2^* = v_2^* \cdot (R_{21}^+)_{ij}^- \cdot (R_{21}^+)_{ij}^t.
\]

(6.9)

Here \( ^t \) denotes transposition with respect to the second tensor factor. An equation like \( L_{ij}^+ \cdot v_2 = v_2 \cdot R_{ij}^+ \) should be interpreted as \( L_{ij}^+ \cdot v_k = \sum_{l=1}^n (R_{ij}^+)_{jk}^l v_l \) for all \( 1 \leq i,j,k \leq n \), where \( R_{ij}^+ = \sum_{i,j,k,l} (R_{ij}^+)_{jk}^l e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl} \). Using the identities \([6.4]\) one computes in shorthand notation,

\[
L^+ J \cdot w^J = L_{ij}^+ \cdot (v_2 J_{ij} \otimes (v^*)_{ij}^l) J_1 = (L_{ij}^+ \cdot v_2) J_{ij} \otimes L_{ij}^+ \cdot (v^*)_{ij}^l J_1
\]

\[= v_2 R_{ij}^+ J_{ij} J_1 \otimes (v^*)_{ij}^l,
\]
since by (6.9) one has $L_1^+ \cdot (v^*)_2^l = R_{21}^+ \cdot (v^*)_2^l$. On the other hand,
\[
JL^- \cdot w^J = J_1 L_1^- \cdot (v_2 J_2 \otimes (v^*)_1^l) = J_1 v_2 R_{12} J_2 \otimes L_1^- \cdot (v^*)_2^l
\]
= $v_2 J_1 R_{12} J_2 R_{21}^+ \otimes (v^*)_2^l$,

since by (5.3) one has $L_1^- \cdot (v^*)_2^l = R_{21}^+ (v^*)_2^l$. It follows from the two preceding computations that $w^J$ is $t'^l \cdot$-fixed if and only if $R_{12}^+ J_2 R_{21}^- J_1 = J_1 R_{12} J_2 R_{21}^-$. Multiplying this last equation from the left and from the right by the permutation operator $P$ gives (6.4), which proves the proposition.

By Proposition 5.3 and the fact that the matrix $J^\sigma$ satisfies the reflection equation (6.4), it follows that
\[
\tilde{w}^\sigma := \sum_{ij} J_{ij}^\sigma v_i \otimes v_j^* \in V \otimes V^* \quad (6.10)
\]
is a $t^\sigma$-fixed vector in the left $U_q(g)$-module $V \otimes V^*$. Observe that $\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \tilde{w}^\sigma = w^\infty$, with $w^\infty$ the right $A_\lambda(U/K)$-fixed vector defined by
\[
w^\infty := \sum_{ij} J_{ij}^\infty v_i \otimes v_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} v_i \otimes v_i^*. \quad (6.11)
\]
Since $V \otimes V^* \simeq V(\varpi_1) \otimes V(0)$ as left $U_q(g)$-modules (where $V(0)$ is the trivial module) and since $\tilde{w}^\sigma$ has a non-zero weight component of weight $\varpi_1$, it follows that $V(\varpi_1)$ has a non-zero $t^\sigma$-fixed vector.

Next we construct a right $t^\sigma$-fixed vector in $V^v \otimes (V^*)^v$. Observe that a vector $\tilde{w} = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{J}_{ij} v_i \otimes v_j^* \in V^v \otimes (V^*)^v$ for a real matrix $\tilde{J} = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{J}_{ij} e_{ij}$ is right $t^\sigma$-fixed if and only if $\tilde{w}$ is left $S(t^\sigma)$-fixed as element in $V \otimes V^*$ by the $\tau$-invariance of $t^\sigma$ and by Remark 5.2. Reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 5.3, it follows that $\tilde{w}$ is left $S(t^\sigma)$-fixed if $J$ is a solution of the linear equation
\[
J^\sigma (R_{21}^+) t^l \tilde{J}_2 ((R_{21}^+) t^l)^{-1} = R_{12}^l \tilde{J}_2 (R_{12}^l)^{-1} J_1^\sigma \quad (6.12)
\]
where $J^\sigma$ is given by (6.3). A solution $\tilde{J} = \tilde{J}^\sigma$ of (6.12) is given by
\[
\tilde{J}^\sigma := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} (1 - q^{2(n-2l)} q^{2\sigma}) e_{kk} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} e_{kk}
\]
- $q^{\sigma - 1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} q^{2(k' - l)} e_{kk'} - q^{\sigma - 1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} q^{2(k' - l)} e_{k'k}. \quad (6.13)
\]
We write $\tilde{w}^\sigma = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{J}_{ij}^\sigma v_i \otimes v_j^*$ for the corresponding right $t^\sigma$-fixed vector in $V^v \otimes (V^*)^v$. In the same way as in the case of left $t^\sigma$-fixed vectors it follows that $V(\varpi_1)^v$ has a non-zero right $t^\sigma$-fixed vector. Observe that $\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \tilde{w}^\sigma = w^\infty$, with $w^\infty$ the $A_\lambda(K)$-fixed vector given by (6.11).

Recall from the previous section that $V(\lambda)$ has at most one $t^\infty$-fixed vector up to scalar multiples, and that $V(\lambda)$ has non-zero $t^\infty$-fixed vectors if and only if $\lambda \in P_+^K$. (cf. Theorem 5.1). We have the following analogous statement for $t^\sigma$-fixed vectors ($-\infty < \sigma < \infty$).

**Theorem 6.6.** (NDS Thm. 2.6) Let $\lambda \in P_+^+$ and fix $-\infty < \sigma < \infty$. The irreducible left $U_q(g)$-module $V(\lambda)$ with highest weight $\lambda$ has at most one $t^\sigma$-fixed vector (up to scalar multiples). There exist non-zero $t^\sigma$-vectors in $V(\lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda \in P_+^K$. The same statement holds for right $t^\sigma$-fixed vectors in $V(\lambda)^v$. 

In the remainder of this section a proof of Theorem 6.6 will be given. Fix a parameter $-\infty < \sigma < \infty$. First of all, we have the following crucial lemma.

**Lemma 6.7.** Let $\lambda \in P^+$ and fix $-\infty < \sigma < \infty$. Then any non-zero $t^\sigma$-fixed vector in the left $U_q(g)$-module $V(\lambda)$ has a non-zero weight component of highest weight $\lambda$. The same statement holds for the right $U_q(g)$-module $V(\lambda)^\circ$.

The proof of the lemma follows by analyzing the particular form of the two-sided coideal $t^\sigma$. The details are omitted here, since the proof is analogous to the proof of [N, Lemma 3.2] and [DN1, Prop. 3.2].

Since the vector subspace of $V(\lambda)$ (respectively $V(\lambda)^\circ$) consisting of weight vectors of weight $\lambda$ is one-dimensional, it follows from Lemma 6.7 that every irreducible finite-dimensional $P$-weighted $U_q(g)$-module has at most one $t^\sigma$-fixed vector up to scalar multiples.

Set $P_K = \oplus_{1 \leq r \leq \kappa} \mathbb{Z} \varpi_r$, where $\varpi_r$ are the fundamental spherical weights (cf. §2). Observe that the assignment $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^2$ as defined in §2 extends to a bijection from $P_K$ onto $P_\Sigma$. For $\mu \in P_\Sigma$, we write $\mu^\circ \in P_K$ for the inverse of $\mu$ under the bijection $\natural$. For later use let us record the following elementary facts. Recall that $W = W_l$ denotes the Weyl group of the root system $\Sigma$ (cf. §2).

**Lemma 6.8.** The bijection $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^2$ preserves the dominance ordering on $P_K$ and $P_\Sigma$. If $\nu \in P_K$ then the image under $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^2$ of $(\mathfrak{S}_n, \nu) \cap P_K$ is equal to the $W$-orbit $W \cdot \nu^2$ in $P_\Sigma$.

The following lemma is immediate from the fact that the Cartan type elements listed in (6.7) belong to $t^\sigma$.

**Lemma 6.9.** Let $\lambda \in P_K^+ = P_K \cap P_\Sigma$, $-\infty < \sigma < \infty$ and assume that $v \in V(\lambda)$ is a non-zero left $t^\sigma$-fixed vector. Let $v = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} v_\mu$ be the decomposition of $v$ in weight vectors, where $v_\mu$ has weight $\mu \in P$. Then $v_\mu = 0$ unless $\mu \in P_K$. The same statement is valid for the right $U_q(g)$-module $V(\lambda)^\circ$.

It follows from Lemma 6.7 and Lemma 6.9 that if $V(\lambda)$ (respectively $V(\lambda)^\circ$) has a non-zero $t^\sigma$-fixed vector, then $\lambda \in P_K^+$. To finish the proof of Theorem 6.6 we have to show that all modules $V(\lambda)$ and $V(\lambda)^\circ$ ($\lambda \in P_K^+$) have non-zero $t^\sigma$-fixed vectors. The existence of non-trivial $t^\sigma$-fixed vectors in $V(\varpi_1)$ and in $V(\varpi_1)^\circ$ is already proved. Explicit intertwining operators

$$\hat{\Psi}_r : (V \otimes V^*)^{\otimes r} \to \Lambda_q^r(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*) , \quad (1 \leq r \leq l)$$

will be constructed to prove the existence of $t^\sigma$-fixed vectors in higher fundamental spherical representations. The proof of Theorem 6.6 is then completed by computing the so-called principal term of $\hat{\Psi}_r((w^\sigma)^{\otimes r})$, with $w^\sigma \in V(\varpi_1)$ the $t^\sigma$-fixed vector given by (6.10).

Before giving the construction of $\hat{\Psi}_r$, we first introduce the notion of principal term of a vector $v \in \Lambda_q^r(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*)$ (cf. [NS2, §5]). For the present setting it is convenient to use a slightly modified definition of Noumi’s and Sugitani’s notion of principal term (cf. [NS2, §5]). The definition is based on certain specific properties of the comodule $\Lambda_q^r(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*)$. The comodule $\Lambda_q^r(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*)$ has a multiplicity-free decomposition

$$\Lambda_q^r(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*) \cong \bigoplus_{s=0}^r V(\varpi_s) , \quad (1 \leq r \leq l)$$

(6.14)
as right $A_q(U)$-comodules, where $\varpi_0 := 0 \in P_K^+$. The decomposition \([6.14]\) can be proved by computing the restriction of the character of the module $\Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$ to the torus and using the classical Pieri formula for Schur functions \([M2, I, (5.17)]\) (cf. Proposition \([6.4]\)). Due to the multiplicity-free decomposition \([6.14]\), the module $\Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$ is very useful for the study of $\mathfrak{g}$-fixed vectors in $V(\varpi_r)$, as will be shown in the remainder of this chapter as well as in the next chapter. It follows from \([6.14]\) that all the weights $\mu \in P$ of the module $\Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$ are $\leq \varpi_r$, where $\leq$ denotes the dominance order. The vector $v_{[1,r]} \otimes v_{[n-r+1,n]}^* \in \Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$ is the highest weight vector of the unique copy of $V(\varpi_r)$ within $\Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$.

Suppose now that $v = \sum_{\mu \leq \varpi_r} v_\mu$ is the weight space decomposition of a vector $v \in \Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$, where $v_\mu$ is the weight component of weight $\mu \in P$. Then the principal term of $v$ is defined by

$$\text{[v]} := \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{W}(V)} v_\nu$$

(cf. \([NS2, Su]\)), where $\mathcal{W}$ acts on $(1') \in P_S^+ \subseteq P_S = \mathbb{Z}^l$ by permutations and sign changes (cf. \([6.2]\)). It follows from Lemma \([6.8]\) that the principal term of a vector $v \in \Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$ lies in the unique copy of $V(\varpi_r)$ within $\Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$. By Lemma \([6.9]\) and Lemma \([6.8]\) one has:

**Lemma 6.10.** Let $v$ be a non-zero $\mathfrak{g}$-fixed vector in $\Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$. If $v - \text{[v]}$ has a non-zero weight component of weight $\nu$ then $v \in P_K$ and $\nu^\flat \in C(\varpi_r)$, where

$$C(\mu) := \{ \mu' \in P_S^+ \mid \nu \mu' = \mu \text{ } \forall \nu \in \mathcal{W} \} \quad (\mu \in P_K^+)$$

is the strict integral convex hull of $\mathcal{W} \mu$.

In the next proposition, the principal term of a $\mathfrak{g}$-fixed vector in $\Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$ (respectively in $\Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)^\circ$) is compared with the elements $u_r, \tilde{u}_r \, (1 \leq r \leq l)$ defined by

$$u_r := \sum_{I \subseteq [1,r]|I'|=\emptyset} v_I \otimes v_I^*, \quad \tilde{u}_r := \sum_{I \subseteq [1,r]|I'|=\emptyset} q^{2p_{2r-1}} v_I \otimes v_I^*.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.17)

where $I' := \{ i' \mid i \in I \}$. The element $u_r$ lies in the unique copy of $V(\varpi_r)$ within $\Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)$, whereas $\tilde{u}_r$ lies in the unique copy of $V(\varpi_r)^\circ$ within $\Lambda_q^\rho(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^\sigma(V^*)^\circ$. Observe that by the explicit form of the $\mathfrak{g}$-fixed vectors $w^\sigma$ respectively $\tilde{w}^\sigma$, we have

$$[w^\sigma] = -q^\sigma u_1, \quad [\tilde{w}^\sigma] = -q^{\sigma-1} q^{2(1-1)} u_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.18)

For the construction of the intertwiner $\tilde{\Psi}_r$, consider now the linear bijection $\beta : V^* \otimes V \to V \otimes V^*$ determined by

$$\beta(v_i^* \otimes v_j) = q^{-\delta_{ij}} v_j \otimes v_i^* + (q^{-1} - q) \delta_{ij} \sum_{k<j} v_k \otimes v_k^*.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.19)

Write $V_i := V$, $V_i^* := V^* \, (1 \leq i \leq r)$. Define a linear bijection

$$\Psi_r : (V_1 \otimes V_1^*) \otimes \cdots \otimes (V_r \otimes V_r^*) \to (V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r) \otimes (V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r^*)$$

by

$$\Psi_r := \beta_1 \circ \beta_2 \circ \cdots \circ \beta_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ \beta_{13} \circ \beta_{23} \circ \beta_{12},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.20)
where $\beta_{ij}$ acts by definition as the identity on all factors of the tensor product except for $V_i^* \otimes V_j$, on which it is equal to $\beta$. Write

$$\text{pr}_r: V \otimes r \rightarrow \Lambda_q^r(V), \quad \text{pr}_r^*: (V^*)^\otimes r \rightarrow \Lambda_q^r(V^*)$$

for the canonical projections. We now have the following generalization of (6.18).

**Proposition 6.11.** Let $1 \leq r \leq l$. The operator

$$\tilde{\Psi}_r: (V \otimes V^*)^\otimes r \rightarrow \Lambda_q^r(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*)$$

defined by $\tilde{\Psi}_r := (\text{pr}_r \otimes \text{pr}_r^*) \circ \Psi_r$ is a surjective intertwiner, and

$$[\tilde{\Psi}_r((u^\sigma)^\otimes r)] = c_r(\sigma)u_r, \quad c_r(\sigma) := \left(\frac{q^\sigma}{q^2 - 1}\right)^r(q^2; q^2)_r,$$

$$[\tilde{\Psi}_r((u^\sigma)^\otimes r)] = \tilde{c}_r(\sigma)u_r, \quad \tilde{c}_r(\sigma) := \left(\frac{q^\sigma q^{-2(1-l)}}{q^2 - 1}\right)^r(q^2; q^2)_r.$$

Before giving a proof of Proposition 6.11, we first show how it implies Theorem 6.6. Since $\mathfrak{t}^r$ is a two-sided coideal and $\Psi_r$ an intertwining operator, Proposition 6.11 shows that $\Psi_r((u^\sigma)^\otimes r)$ is a non-zero $\mathfrak{t}^r$-fixed vector. Proposition 6.11 implies that the principal term of $\Psi_r((u^\sigma)^\otimes r)$ is non-zero, hence it follows that $V(\pi_r) \ (1 \leq r \leq l)$ has a non-zero $\mathfrak{t}^r$-fixed vector. Since any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_K^+$ can be written as a positive integral linear combination of the fundamental spherical weights $\{\pi_r\}_{1 \leq r \leq l}$, it follows by an easy argument using tensor products and Lemma 6.7 that any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_K^+$ is actually spherical. For right $\mathfrak{t}^r$-fixed vectors the same argument holds, since $\tilde{\Psi}_r$ is also an intertwiner as map from the module $(V^r \otimes (V^*)^r)^\otimes r$ to $\Lambda_q^r(V^r) \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*)^r$ (cf. Remark 4.1).

So it remains to prove Proposition 6.11. The proof of this proposition, which proceeds by induction on $r$, is broken up into a couple of lemmas.

**Lemma 6.12.** For $2 \leq r \leq n+1$ the linear mapping

$$\tilde{\Phi}_r: \Lambda_q^{r-1}(V^*) \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes \Lambda_q^{r-1}(V^*)$$

defined on the basis vectors $v_i^* \otimes v_j \ (|I| = r - 1, 1 \leq j \leq n)$ by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_r(v_i^* \otimes v_j) = \begin{cases} v_j \otimes v_i^* & \text{if } j \notin I, \\
^{-1}v_j \otimes v_i^* - (q - q^{-1})\sum_{m<j} \text{sgn}(I \setminus m; I \setminus j) v_m \otimes v_i^* & \text{if } j \in I.
\end{cases}$$

is an intertwining operator of right $A_q(U)$-comodules.

**Proof.** Let $P: V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ denote the flip. Define a linear bijection $\gamma: V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ by $\gamma := PR$, with $R$ as in (4.4). The action of $\gamma$ on the basis vectors $v_i \otimes v_j \ (1 \leq i, j \leq n)$ is given by

$$(\gamma(v_i \otimes v_j) = q^{\delta_{ij}}v_j \otimes v_i + (q - q^{-1})\theta_{i,j}v_i \otimes v_j \quad (6.21)$$

with $\theta_{i,j} := 1$ if $i < j$ and $\theta_{i,j} := 0$ otherwise. The fact that the commutation relations between the $t_{ij} \in A_q(U)$ can be written as $RT_1T_2 = T_2T_1R$ (cf. §3) implies that $\gamma$ is an intertwining operator. Since $R$ is a solution of the Quantum Yang-Baxter Equation, $\gamma$ satisfies

$$\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2, \quad (6.22)$$
with \( \gamma_i \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes 3}) \) acting as \( \gamma \) on the \( i \)th and \((i+1)\)th tensor factors and as the identity on the remaining factor. Note furthermore that the exterior algebra \( \Lambda_q(V) \) is isomorphic as a right \( A_q(U) \)-comodule algebra with \( T(V)/I \), where \( T(V) \) is the tensor algebra of \( V \) and \( I \subseteq T(V) \) the two-sided ideal generated by \( \text{ker}(\text{id} - q^{-1} \gamma) \subseteq V^{\otimes 2} \subseteq T(V) \). Consider now the intertwiner \( \Gamma_k : V^{\otimes (k-1)} \otimes V \to V \otimes \Lambda_q^{k-1}(V) \) (2 \( \leq k \leq n + 1 \)) defined by

\[
\Gamma_k = (\text{id} \otimes \text{pr}_{k-1}) \circ \gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot \gamma_{k-1}.
\]

Application of [HH, Lemma 4.9 (1)] to the Yang-Baxter operator \( q^{-1} \gamma \) shows that there exists a unique bijective intertwiner

\[
\hat{\Gamma}_k : \Lambda_q^{k-1}(V) \otimes V \to V \otimes \Lambda_q^{k-1}(V)
\]

such that \( \Gamma_k = \hat{\Gamma}_k \circ (\text{pr}_{k-1} \otimes \text{id}) \). By a straightforward computation one verifies that

\[
\hat{\Gamma}_k(v_I \otimes v_j) = q^{I(j)}v_j \otimes v_I + (1 - q^2)(-q)^{-k+1} \text{sgn}_q(I; j) \sum_{i \in I} \text{sgn}_q(i; I \setminus i)v_i \otimes v_{(I \setminus i) \cup j}
\]

for \( I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} \) with \( |I| = k - 1 \) and 1 \( \leq j \leq n \).

Next, the linear mapping \( \delta_k : \Lambda_q^k(V^*) \to \Lambda_q^{k-1}(V^*) \otimes \mathbb{C} \text{det}_q^{-1} \) (1 \( \leq k \leq n \)) defined on the basis elements \( v_I^* \) (\( |I| = k \)) by \( \delta_k(v_I^*) := \text{sgn}_q(I; I^*)v_I^* \otimes \text{det}_q^{-1} \) is a bijective intertwiner by (4.11). With the canonical identification \( V \otimes \mathbb{C} \text{det}_q^{-1} \cong \mathbb{C} \text{det}_q^{-1} \otimes V \) we have an intertwining operator \( \hat{\Phi}_r : \Lambda_q^{n-r-1}(V^*) \otimes V \to V \otimes \Lambda_q^{n-r-1}(V^*) \) defined by

\[
\hat{\Phi}_r := q^{-1}(\text{id} \otimes \delta_{r-1}^{-1}) \circ (\hat{\Gamma}_{n-r+2} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\delta_{r-1} \otimes \text{id}).
\]

Starting from the explicit expressions for \( \hat{\Gamma}_{n-r+2} \) and \( \delta_{r-1} \), a straightforward calculation shows that \( \hat{\Phi}_r \) acts on the basis vectors \( v_I^* \otimes v_j \) as required. \( \square \)

**Corollary 6.13.** The linear mappings \( \beta, \Psi_r, \) and \( \hat{\Phi}_r \) are right \( A_q(U) \)-comodule homomorphisms.

**Proof.** The assertion follows from the previous lemma, since \( \beta = \hat{\Phi}_2 \) and the natural projections \( \text{pr}_r \) and \( \text{pr}_r^* \) intertwine the right \( A_q(U) \)-comodule actions. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.14.** Let 1 \( \leq r \leq l \). The bijective intertwining operator

\[
\Phi_r : (V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r^*) \otimes V_1 \to V_1 \otimes (V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r^*)
\]

defined by \( \Phi_r := \beta_{12} \circ \beta_{23} \circ \cdots \circ \beta_{r-2,r-1} \circ \beta_{r-1,r} \) satisfies

\[
(\text{id} \otimes \text{pr}_{r-1}^*) \circ \Phi_r = \hat{\Phi}_r \circ (\text{pr}_{r-1}^* \otimes \text{id}).
\]

**Proof.** For \( I = \{i_1 < \ldots < i_r\} \subset [1, n] \), set \( v_I^* := v_{i_1}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_2}^* \otimes v_{i_3}^* \). It is clear from the definitions that

\[
(\text{id} \otimes \text{pr}_{r-1}^*) \circ \Phi_r(v_I^* \otimes v_j) = v_j \otimes v_I^* \quad \text{if } j \notin I.
\]

If \( j \in I \), then

\[
(\text{id} \otimes \text{pr}_{r-1}^*) \circ \Phi_r(v_I^* \otimes v_j) = q^{-1}v_j \otimes v_I^* - (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{m < j} c(m, j)v_m \otimes v_{(I \setminus j) \cup m},
\]
where \( c(m, j) := (-q)^{|\{i \in I | m < i < j\}|} \) if \( m \notin I \), and \( c(m, j) := 0 \) otherwise. Using the definition of the \( q \)-signum \( \text{sgn}_q \), it follows that \( c(m, j) = \text{sgn}_q(I \setminus j; m) \text{sgn}_q(I \setminus j; j)^{-1} \) if \( m < j \), which concludes the proof of the lemma. \( \square \)

Observe that the multiplication maps

\[
\mu: \Lambda_q(V) \otimes \Lambda_q(V) \to \Lambda_q(V), \quad \mu^*: \Lambda_q(V^*) \otimes \Lambda_q(V^*) \to \Lambda_q(V^*)
\]

are intertwiners of the \( A_q(U) \)-coactions, since \( \Lambda_q(V) \) and \( \Lambda_q(V^*) \) are \( A_q(U) \)-comodule algebras.

**Lemma 6.15.** The intertwining operator

\[
\hat{\Theta}_r: \Lambda_q(V) \otimes \Lambda_q(V) \otimes V \otimes V^* \to \Lambda_q(V) \otimes \Lambda_q(V^*)
\]

declared by \( \hat{\Theta}_r := (\mu \otimes \mu^*) \circ (\text{id}_{\Lambda_q(V)} \otimes \hat{\Phi}_r \otimes \text{id}_{V^*}) \) satisfies

\[
[\hat{\Theta}_r(u_{r-1} \otimes w^\sigma)] = -q^r \frac{1 - q^{2r}}{1 - q^2} u_r
\]

for \( 2 \leq r \leq l \).

**Proof.** If \( v \) is a vector of weight \( \mu \) in the domain of \( \hat{\Theta}_r \), then \( \hat{\Theta}_r(v) \) is again a weight vector of weight \( \mu \), since \( \hat{\Theta}_r \) intertwines the right \( A_q(U) \)-coaction. Hence, for a fixed

\[
I \subset [1, l] \cup [l', n] \quad \text{with} \quad I \cap I' = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad |I| = r - 1,
\]

we have that \( \hat{\Theta}_r(v_I \otimes v_{I'} \otimes v_j \otimes v_{k}^*) = 0 \) unless \( s, t \notin I \cup I' \) and \( s \neq t \). By the explicit formulas for the action of \( \Phi_r \) (cf. Lemma 6.12), it follows that

\[
[\hat{\Theta}_r(u_{r-1} \otimes w^\sigma)] = -q^r \sum_{I, k} v_I \wedge v_k = -q^r \sum_j c_J v_J \otimes v_J^*,
\]

where the first sum is taken over pairs \( (I, k) \) with \( I \subset [1, l] \cup [l', n] \), \( k \in [1, l] \cup [l', n] \), \( |I| = r - 1 \), \( I \cap I' = \emptyset \) and \( k \notin I \cup I' \), and the second sum is taken over subsets \( J \subset [1, l] \cup [l', n] \) with \( J \cap J' = \emptyset \) and \( |J| = r \). The corresponding constant \( c_J \) is given by

\[
c_J = \sum_{k \in J} \text{sgn}_q(J \setminus k; k) \text{sgn}_q(k'; J' \setminus k') = \sum_{k \in J} (\text{sgn}_q(J \setminus k; k))^2 = \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} q^{2s} = 1 - \frac{q^{2r}}{1 - q^2}.
\]

The proof for the leading term of \( \hat{\Theta}_r(\tilde{u}_{r-1} \otimes \tilde{w}^\sigma) \) is similar. \( \square \)

Proposition 6.11 can now be proved by induction to \( r \), using the previous lemma for the induction step.

**Proof of Proposition 6.11.** Define an intertwiner

\[
\Theta_r: V^{\otimes (r-1)} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes (r-1)} \otimes V \otimes V^* \to V^{\otimes r} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes r}
\]

by

\[
\Theta_r := \text{id}_{V^{\otimes (r-1)}} \otimes \hat{\Phi}_r \otimes \text{id}_{V^*}.
\]

It follows from Lemma 6.14 that

\[
(pr_r \otimes pr_r^*) \circ \Theta_r = \hat{\Theta}_r \circ (pr_{r-1} \otimes pr_{r-1}^* \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \text{id}_{V^*}).
\]

(6.23)
From the definitions of $\Psi_r$ and $\Phi_r$ it follows that
\[ \Psi_r = \Theta_r \circ (\Psi_{r-1} \otimes \text{id}) \]
and hence by (6.23)
\[ \hat{\Psi}_r = \hat{\Theta}_r \circ (\hat{\Psi}_{r-1} \otimes \text{id}). \] (6.24)
This allows us to prove the proposition by induction to $r$. The proposition is trivial for $r = 1$. Suppose that $r \geq 2$. By the induction hypotheses and Lemma 6.10 we have
\[ \hat{\Psi}_r - 1 \left( (w_\sigma) \otimes (w_\sigma)^{-1} \right) = c_{r-1}(\sigma)u_{r-1} + \sum_{\nu \in C((1^r-1))} v_{\nu^*}, \]
where $v_{\nu^*}$ is some weight vector of weight $\nu^*$ and $C(\mu)$ is defined by (6.16). For $\nu \in C((1^r-1))$ we have $[\hat{\Theta}_r(v_{\nu^*} \otimes w_\sigma)] = 0$, hence the induction step for the computation of $[\hat{\Psi}_r((w_\sigma)^{\otimes r})]$ follows by combining Lemma 6.15 with (6.24). The leading term $[\hat{\Psi}_r((w_\sigma)^{\otimes r})]$ can be computed in a similar way.

Remark 6.16. It should be observed that the proof of Theorem 6.6 differs in important details from the proof of Theorem 5.1. Observe for instance that Lemma 6.7 does not hold with $k_{\sigma}$-fixed replaced by $k_{\infty}$-fixed, since any $k_{\infty}$-fixed vector lies automatically in the zero weight space of the module.

7. Zonal $(\sigma, \tau)$-spherical functions

In this section the $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-invariant $(-\infty < \tau < \infty)$ functions are studied in the quantized coordinate ring $A_q(\mathfrak{g}^\tau \setminus U)$ $(-\infty < \sigma < \infty)$. The results of this section were announced in [NDS, §3]. The rank 1 case of these results were earlier derived by Koornwinder [K1] for $n = 2$ and for arbitrary complex projective space by Noumi and Dijkhuizen [DN1].

Let $-\infty < \sigma, \tau \leq \infty$ and denote $H^{\sigma, \tau}$ for the $*$-subalgebra of left $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$-invariant and right $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$-invariant functions in $A_q(U)$. From Theorem 7.3 Theorem 6.6 and (4.3) we obtain the decomposition
\[ H^{\sigma, \tau} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_K^+} H^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda), \quad H^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda) := W_\lambda \cap H^{\sigma, \tau}, \] (7.1)
the subspaces $H^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda) (\lambda \in P_K^+)$ being one-dimensional. A non-zero element $\varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda) \in H^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda)$ is called a zonal $(\sigma, \tau)$-spherical function. Since the decomposition (4.3) is orthogonal with respect to the inner product $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = h(\psi^* \varphi)$, the zonal spherical functions $\varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda) (\lambda \in P_K^+)$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Let $M$ denote a right $A_q(U)$-comodule with comodule mapping $\rho_M$ and an invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. With any two elements $v, w \in M$ we associate the matrix coefficient
\[ \theta_M(v, w) := \sum_{(w)} (w_{(1)}, v)w_{(2)} \in A_q(U), \quad \rho_M(w) =: \sum_{(w)} w_{(1)} \otimes w_{(2)}. \] (7.2)
The map $\theta_M$ induces a linear map (denoted by the same symbol)
\[ \theta_M : M^* \otimes M \to A_q(U), \]
Lemma 7.1. Let $M$ be a unitary right $A_q(U)$-comodule. The map $\theta_M : M^o \otimes M \to A_q(U)$ satisfies the following properties:

(i) $\theta_M$ is a $A_q(U)$-bicomodule homomorphism, i.e.

$$\Delta \circ \theta_M = (\theta_M \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \rho_M), \quad \Delta \circ \theta_M = (\text{id} \otimes \theta_M) \circ (\rho_M^o \otimes \text{id}),$$

where $\rho_M^o$ is defined as in Remark 7.4.

(ii) $\theta_M(v, w) = \tau(\theta_M(w, v)) (v, w \in M)$.

(iii) If $M$ is irreducible of highest weight $\lambda \in P^+$, then $\theta_M : M^o \otimes M \to W(\lambda)$ is an isomorphism of $A_q(U)$-bicomodules.

Lemma 7.1 can be used to construct zonal $(\sigma, \tau)$-spherical functions as follows. Let $v_\sigma(\lambda) \in V(\lambda)$ respectively $\tilde{v}_\tau(\lambda) \in V(\lambda)^o$ be a non-zero $t^\sigma$-fixed respectively $t^\tau$-fixed vector ($\lambda \in P^+_K$). Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a positive definite inner product on $V(\lambda)$, and write $\theta_\lambda$ for the map $\theta$ in Lemma 7.1 with respect to the unitary comodule $(V(\lambda), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Then

$$\varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda) := \theta_\lambda(\tilde{v}_\tau(\lambda), v_\sigma(\lambda)) \in \mathcal{H}^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda)$$

is a zonal $(\sigma, \tau)$-spherical function by Lemma 7.1. This leads to the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. Let $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$ and $\lambda \in P^+_K$. The image of $\varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda)$ under the restriction map $\big|_T : A_q(U) \to A(T)$ is of the form

$$\varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda) \big|_T = c_\lambda \lambda_{\lambda_3}(x) + \sum_{\nu \in C(\lambda^o)} c_\nu x^\nu, \quad c_\nu \in \mathbb{C},$$

with $c_{\lambda, \nu} \neq 0$ and $C(\nu)$ given by (6.16). Here the notation $x^\nu := x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} \ldots x_l^{\nu_l}$ for $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_l) \in P_\Sigma$ is used, with the $x_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$) being defined by (2.10).

Proof. Since any $\lambda \in P^+_K$ can be written as a positive integral linear combination of the fundamental spherical weights $\{\varpi_r\}_{1 \leq r \leq l}$, it follows by an easy argument using tensor products and Lemma 7.4 that (7.5) for arbitrary $\lambda \in P^+_K$ follows from (7.5) for the fundamental spherical weights $\{\varpi_r\}_{1 \leq r \leq 1}$.

So fix a fundamental weight $\varpi_r$ ($1 \leq r \leq l$). Consider the $A_q(U)$-invariant inner product

$$\langle v_I, v_J \rangle = q^{-2(p+q)} \delta \delta_{I,\bar{J}},$$

on $\Lambda_q^o(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^o(V^*)$ (cf. §3) and write $\theta$ for the map (7.2) associated with the unitary comodule $(\Lambda_q^o(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^o(V^*), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. By (6.14), the comodule $V(\varpi_r)$ may be considered as an irreducible component of $\Lambda_q^o(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^o(V^*)$ with invariant inner product given by the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to $V(\varpi_r)$. Then, by Proposition 6.11 and the fact that $u_r \in \Lambda_q^o(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^o(V^*)$ (respectively $\tilde{u}_r \in \Lambda_q^o(V^o) \otimes \Lambda_q^o(V^o)^o$) lies in the unique irreducible component $V(\varpi_r)$ (respectively $V(\varpi_r)^*$), the principal terms of the $t^\sigma$-fixed vector $v_\sigma(\varpi_r)$ and the $t^\tau$-fixed vector $\tilde{v}_\tau(\varpi_r)$ are given by

$$[v_\sigma(\varpi_r)] = c_r u_r, \quad [\tilde{v}_\tau(\varpi_r)] = \tilde{c}_r \tilde{u}_r$$

for non-zero constants $c_r, \tilde{c}_r \in \mathbb{C}$. For $v_\mu \in \Lambda_q^o(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^o(V^*)$ of weight $\mu$ and $\tilde{v}_\nu \in \Lambda_q^o(V^o) \otimes \Lambda_q^o(V^o)^o$ of weight $\nu$ we have $\theta(\tilde{v}_\nu, v_\mu)_{|_T} = 0$ if $\mu \neq \nu$, and $\theta(\tilde{v}_\mu, v_\mu)_{|_T}$
is a multiple of $z^\mu$. Using Lemma 5.4 and the fact that $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$ is the subalgebra of $A(\mathbb{T})$ spanned by the monomials $x^\mu (= x^{\alpha_\mu})$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{P}_K$), we obtain from (7.7) that
\[
\varphi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(\lambda)}(\lambda)|_T = \theta(\bar{u}_r(\omega_r), v_\sigma(\omega_r))|_T
\]
\[
= \theta(\bar{u}_r(\omega_r), [v_\sigma(\omega_r)])|_T + \sum_{\nu \in C(1^r)} d_\nu x^\nu
\]
\[
= d_{(1^r)} m_{(1^r)}(x) + \sum_{\nu \in C(1^r)} d_\nu x^\nu
\]
with $d_{(1^r)} = c_r e_r \neq 0$, since $\theta(u_r, u_\sigma)_T = m_{(1^r)}(x)$. This completes the proof of
\[
(7.5)
\]
for the fundamental spherical weights.

Lemma 7.2 has the following important consequence.

Corollary 7.3. The restriction of the map $|T| : A_q(U) \to A(\mathbb{T})$ to $H^{\sigma,\tau}$ defines an injection from $H^{\sigma,\tau}$ into $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$ for $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$. In particular, $H^{\sigma,\tau}$ is a commutative algebra for $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$.

Recall from [RTF] and [NDS, §3] the Casimir operator
\[
C := \sum_{i,j} q^{2(n-i)} L^+_{ij} S(L^-_{ji}) \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).
\]
Since $C$ is central, it acts on $W(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$) as a scalar $\chi_\lambda(C)$, which is given by
\[
\chi_\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n q^{2(\lambda_k + n - k)}.
\]
Also, $C$ maps $H^{\sigma,\tau}$ into itself. Therefore, if $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$, the restricted Casimir operator $C : H^{\sigma,\tau} \to H^{\sigma,\tau}$ induces an operator
\[
L : H^{\sigma,\tau}|_T \to H^{\sigma,\tau}|_T \subset \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}],
\]
which is called the radial part of $C$. Explicitly, $L$ is the map satisfying
\[
L(\varphi|_T) = (C\varphi)|_T, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^{\sigma,\tau}.
\]
Crucial for the identification of the zonal $(\sigma, \tau)$-spherical functions is the identification of the radial part $L$ of the Casimir element $C$ with the restriction to $H^{\sigma,\tau}|_T$ of an explicit second-order $q^2$-difference operator on $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$. Without proof we will state here the result (see [NDS, §3]).

Theorem 7.4. (NDS) Let $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+_K$. The operator $L - \chi_\lambda(C)$ id coincides on $H^{\sigma,\tau}|_T \subset \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$ with a constant multiple of Koornwinder’s second-order $q^2$-difference operator $D - E_\lambda$ id in the variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_l)$ with base $q^2$ and parameters $(L, t) = (L^{\sigma,\tau}, q^2)$, given by
\[
t_{0,\sigma}^{\sigma,\tau} = -q^{\sigma + \tau + 1}, \quad t_{1,\sigma}^{\sigma,\tau} = -q^{\sigma - \tau + 1}, \quad t_2^{\sigma,\tau} = q^{\sigma - \tau + 1}, \quad t_3^{\sigma,\tau} = q^{-\sigma + \tau + 2(n - 2)l + 1}.
\]

(7.8)

For a proof of the theorem for rank 1, see [DN1]. In [NS1] a proof can be found for the special case $n = 2l$ and $\sigma = \tau = 0$.

Observe that for $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$ we have $L^{\sigma,\tau} \in \mathbb{V}_K$ (cf. [3]) and $t_{0,\sigma}^{\sigma,\tau}, t_{1,\sigma}^{\sigma,\tau}, t_2^{\sigma,\tau}, t_3^{\sigma,\tau} \in (0, 1)$. In particular, the eigenvalues $E_\lambda$ are mutually different for compatible weights when $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$ (see [3]).
Remark 7.6. Halgebra isomorphism from $H$ of $\pi$ factors through the projection $V_t$ tions, a careful study is needed of the pre-images of the $W$ and for arbitrary complex projective space by Noumi and Dijkhuizen [DN1]. The rank 1 case these results were derived earlier by Koornwinder [K1] for 2-spheres $q$ can be made rigorous by using explicit information about the limit transitions from $\Sigma$). For the proper interpretation of the limit transitions of the zonal spherical func-

Theorem 7.5. Let $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$. The restriction $\varphi^{\sigma,\tau}(\lambda)|_T$ of the zonal spherical function $\varphi^{\sigma,\tau}(\lambda) \in H^{\sigma,\tau}(\lambda) (\lambda \in P^+_K)$ is equal to the Koornwinder polynomial $P^{\sigma,\tau}_h(x)$, up to a non-zero scalar multiple. In particular, $|T$ defines an algebra isomorphism from $H^{\sigma,\tau}$ onto $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$.

Remark 7.6. It should be observed that the assumption $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$ in the preceding arguments is absolutely essential. In fact, the map $|T : A_q(U) \to A(T)$ factors through the projection $\pi_K : A_q(U) \to A_q(K)$. This implies that the image of $H^{\sigma,\tau}$ under $|T$ is one-dimensional as soon as either $\sigma$ or $\tau$ is infinite.

8. Limit Transitions on Quantum Grassmannians

In this section we study the right $t^r$-invariant $(-\infty < \tau \leq \infty)$ functions in the quantized coordinate ring $A_q(U/K) = A_q(t^\infty \setminus U)$. Our method will be to regard this case as a limit of the case $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$ by sending $\sigma$ to infinity. This limit can be made rigorous by using explicit information about the limit transitions from Koornwinder polynomials to multivariable big and little $q$-Jacobi polynomials. In the rank 1 case these results were derived earlier by Koornwinder [K] for 2-spheres and for arbitrary complex projective space by Noumi and Dijkhuizen [DN1].

For the proper interpretation of the limit transitions of the zonal spherical functions, a careful study is needed of the pre-images of the $W$-invariant functions $e_s(x) := m_{(1,s)}(x)$ ($1 \leq s \leq l$) under the isomorphism $|T : H^{\sigma,\tau} \to \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$. For $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$, write $e_0^{\sigma,\tau}$ for the unique element in $H^{\sigma,\tau}$ such that its restriction to the torus is equal to $e_r(x)$ ($1 \leq r \leq l$). It is convenient to put $e_0(x) := 1$ and $e_0^{\sigma,\tau} := 1$. Recall that the $W$-invariant functions $\{e_r\}_{r=1}^l$ are algebraically independent generators of $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$ (cf. §3). In other words, by setting

$$\hat{P}(e_1(x), \ldots, e_l(x)) := P(x), \quad P \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W \quad (8.1)$$

we get an algebra isomorphism $P \mapsto \hat{P}$ of $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$ onto $\mathbb{C}[y]$, where $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_l)$ is an $l$-tuple of independent variables. It follows from Theorem 7.7 that the elements $\{e_r^{\sigma,\tau}\}_{r=1}^l$ are algebraically independent generators of the algebra $H^{\sigma,\tau}$.

Using Theorem 7.7 it is now easy to derive an explicit form of the restriction of the normalized Haar functional $h$ to $H^{\sigma,\tau}$. Recall that the parameters $j^r$ lie in the parameter domain $V_K$ for $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$ (see §3 for the definition of $V_K$). Write $(\varphi)_{\sigma,\tau} := (\varphi, 1)_{\sigma,\tau}^{J^r}$ for the constant term of $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$, with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_K$ defined by (3.1). Observe that $(1)_{\sigma,\tau}$ is non-zero by the positive definiteness
of $(\cdot, \cdot)_K$. Explicitly, $(1)_\sigma,\tau$ can be given explicitly as product and quotient of $q$-Gamma functions by Gustafson’s evaluation of the multidimensional Askey-Wilson integral \cite{Gustafson1994}.

**Corollary 8.1.** Let $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$. The restricted Haar functional $h : H^{\sigma,\tau} \to \mathbb{C}$ is explicitly given by

$$h(P(e_1^{\sigma,\tau}, e_2^{\sigma,\tau}, \ldots, e_l^{\sigma,\tau})) = \frac{(P)_{\sigma,\tau}}{(1)_{\sigma,\tau}} \quad (P \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W).$$

**Proof.** The left- and the right-hand side are both equal to zero for $P = P_{\mu}^{\sigma,\tau}$ with $0 \neq \mu \in P_\mu^W$, and both equal to 1 for $P = 1$. The corollary follows now by linearity, since the Koornwinder polynomials $P_{\mu}^{\sigma,\tau}(x) (\mu \in P_\mu^W)$ form a linear basis of $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$. \hfill \Box

Recall the intertwiner

$$\hat{\Psi}_{r} : (V \otimes V^*)^{\otimes r} \to \Lambda_q^r(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*)$$

defined in Proposition \ref{prop:1}. Introduce left $\mathfrak{g}$-fixed vectors $w^r_\sigma \in \Lambda_q^r(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*)$ and right $\mathfrak{g}$-fixed vectors $\tilde{w}^r_\tau \in \Lambda_q^r(V)^{\circ} \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*)^{\circ}$ by

$$w^r_\sigma := \hat{\Psi}_r ((w^\sigma)^{\otimes r}), \quad \tilde{w}^r_\tau := \hat{\Psi}_r ((\tilde{w}^\tau)^{\otimes r}) \quad (1 \leq r \leq l).$$

Here we have used the notation $\tilde{w}^\infty := w^\infty$ \cite{Gustafson1994} when $\tau = \infty$, which is consistent with the definition of $\tilde{w}^\tau$ for $-\infty < \tau < \infty$ since $\lim_{r \to \infty} \tilde{w}^\tau = w^\infty$. Consider now the $(\sigma, \tau)$-spherical elements

$$\varphi^\sigma_{r,\tau} := \theta(\tilde{w}^r_\tau, w^r_\sigma) \in \bigoplus_{s=0}^{r} H^{\sigma,\tau}(\varpi_s) \quad (1 \leq r \leq l) \quad (8.2)$$

(cf. \cite{Gustafson1994}), where $\theta$ is the map \cite{Gustafson1994} associated with the unitary comodule $\Lambda_q^r(V) \otimes \Lambda_q^r(V^*)$ endowed with the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ (see \cite{Gustafson1994} for the definition of $(\cdot, \cdot)$). It is convenient to put $\varphi^\sigma_{0,\tau} := 1$. By Theorems \ref{thm:8.1} and \ref{thm:8.2}, $\varphi^\sigma_{r,\tau}|_\mathbb{T}$ is a linear combination of the $\mathcal{W}$-invariant functions $e_\sigma(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$ ($0 \leq s \leq r$).

**Lemma 8.2.** Let $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$, $1 \leq r \leq l$. In the expansion

$$\varphi^\sigma_{r,\tau}|_\mathbb{T} = a^r_1(q^{\sigma}, q^{\tau})e_r + \cdots + a^r_0(q^{\sigma}, q^{\tau})e_0,$$

each coefficient $a^r_i$ is a polynomial in $q^{\sigma}$ and $q^{\tau}$ which is the sum of monomials of partial degree $\geq i$ in each of the variables. Moreover, $a^r_i(q^{\sigma}, q^{\tau}) = c q^{\sigma r + \tau r}$ with $c \neq 0$ independent of $q^{\sigma}$ and $q^{\tau}$.

**Proof.** It is obvious from the definitions that the coefficients are polynomial in $q^{\sigma}$ and $q^{\tau}$. To prove the estimates on the partial degrees, we study the action of the intertwiner $\hat{\Psi}_r$ on the vectors $(w^\sigma)^{\otimes r}$ and $(\tilde{w}^\tau)^{\otimes r}$ in detail. We proceed in a number of steps.

1) Let $1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_r \leq n$ be integers. We use the shorthand notation $i := (i_1, \ldots, i_r), j := (j_1, \ldots, j_r)$. Call a tensor $t$ in some tensor product space made up of factors $V$ or $V^*$ (the total number of factors $V$ being equal to the total number of factors $V^*$) a basic tensor of type $(i, j)$ if $t$ is the tensor product in any given order of the vectors $v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_r}$ and $v^*_j, \ldots, v^*_{j_r}$. 


Let \( n_k(j) \) denote the cardinality of the set \( \{ p \in [1, r] \mid i_p = k \} \). For a basic tensor \( t \) of type \((\lambda, \mu)\) define

\[
n(t) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \min(n_k(j), n_k(j)).
\]

From an informal point of view, \( n(t) \) is the number of factors \( v_i \) in \( t \) that “cancel” against a factor \( v^\ast_i \). Recall the intertwiner \( \Psi_r : (V \otimes V^\ast)^{\otimes r} \to V^{\otimes r} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes r} \) defined in (6.20). Let \( t \) be a basic tensor in \((V \otimes V^\ast)^{\otimes r} \). Since \( \Psi_r \) is a composition of intertwiners \( \beta_{ij} \) (see (6.20)), it follows by inspection of (6.14) that \( \Psi_r(t) \) is a linear combination of basic tensors \( t' \) in \((V^\ast)^{\otimes r} \) with \( n(t') = n(t) \).

(2) A basic tensor \( t \in (V \otimes V^\ast)^{\otimes r} \) is called typical if it is a product of tensors in \( V \otimes V^\ast \) of type \( v_i \otimes v^\ast_i \) \((1 \leq i \leq n-l)\), \( v_i \otimes v^\ast_i, v_i \otimes v^\ast_i \) \((1 \leq i \leq l)\). We call a typical tensor \( t \in (V \otimes V^\ast)^{\otimes r} \) \( k \)-typical if the number of factors of type \( v_i \otimes v^\ast_i \) \((i \in [1, l] \cup \{i', n\})\) is equal to \( k \). If \( t \) is a \( k \)-typical tensor then \( \hat{\Psi}_r(t) \) is a linear combination of elements \( v_I \otimes v^\ast_J \) where \( I, J \subset [1, n] \) are such that \(|I| = |J| = r \) and \(|I \cap J| \geq r - k \). In fact, this follows from (1) and the definition of \( \hat{\Psi}_r \), since \( n(t) > r - k \).

(3) It is an immediate consequence of the definition of the coactions on \( \Lambda_q^\tau(V) \) and \( \Lambda_q^\tau(V^\ast) \) and of (4.11) that

\[
\theta(v_I \otimes v^\ast_J, v_K \otimes v^\ast_L) = q^{-2\rho, \varepsilon_j} \delta_{I,K} \delta_{J,L} \sum_{z = \varepsilon_j}^{\varepsilon_I - \varepsilon_J},
\]

for \( I, J \subset [1, n] \) with \(|I| = |J| = r \).

(4) Let \( t \) be a \( k \)-typical tensor and \( t' \) a \( m \)-typical tensor. Let \( \mu \in \mathcal{W}(1^r) \subset P_c \) \((i \in [1, r])\) be any weight, and suppose that the coefficient of \( z^{\mu} \) in the expansion of \( \theta(\hat{\Psi}_r(t), \hat{\Psi}_r(t')) \) with respect to the basis \( \{ z^{\lambda} \} \subset A(T) \) is non-zero. Then \( k \geq i \) and \( m \geq i \). This is a straightforward consequence of (2) and (3).

(5) There is a unique expansion \( (w^\sigma)^{\otimes r} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{t_k} c_{t_k} t_k \) where \( t_k \) runs over all \( k \)-typical tensors in \((V \otimes V^\ast)^{\otimes r} \). The non-zero \( c_{t_k} \) are linear combinations of monomials \( (q^\sigma)^i \) with \( i \geq k \). Similarly, there is a unique expansion \( (\tilde{w}^\tau)^{\otimes r} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{t_k} d_{t_k} t_k^\tau \) where \( t_k \) runs over all \( r \)-typical tensors in \((V \otimes V^\ast)^{\otimes r} \). The non-zero \( d_{t_k} \) are linear combinations of monomials \( (q^\tau)^i \) with \( i \geq k \). Hence,

\[
\varphi^\tau = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{t_k} c_{t_k} \theta(\hat{\Psi}_r(t_k)) \mid T
\]

with \( c_{t_k} = c_{t_k} d_{t_k} \) a linear combination of monomials \( (q^\sigma)^i (q^\tau)^j \) with \( i \geq k \) and \( j \geq m \). Combined with (4) this yields the desired lower bounds on the partial degrees of the monomials \( (q^\sigma)^i (q^\tau)^j \) occurring in \( a^\tau_r(q^\sigma, q^\tau) \). An explicit expression for \( a^\tau_r(q^\sigma, q^\tau) \) can be given using Proposition 6.11. The last statement of the proposition follows then immediately.

As a corollary we obtain the following crucial lemma.

**Lemma 8.3.** Let \( -\infty < \tau < \infty \). The limits

\[
\lim_{\sigma \to -\infty} q^\sigma e^\tau r, \quad \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} q^\sigma e^\tau r (1 \leq r \leq l)
\]

exist in \( A_q(U) \). In other words, the coefficients of \( q^\sigma e^\tau r \) respectively \( q^\sigma e^\tau r \) in the expansion with respect to the monomial basis of \( A_q(U) \) tend to finite values in the limit \( \sigma \to \infty \).
Proof. Fix $1 \leq r \leq l$ and let $-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty$. From Lemma 3.3 it is readily deduced that
\[ q^\sigma r_{\sigma,\tau} e_{\tau} = b'_i(q^\sigma, q^\tau) \varphi_{r_{\sigma,\tau}} + \cdots + b'_0(q^\sigma, q^\tau) \varphi_{0_{\sigma,\tau}}, \]
with $b'_i (0 \leq i \leq r)$ some polynomial in two variables and $b'_r$ a non-zero constant polynomial (the important fact here is that $b'_r$ is a polynomial and not a Laurent polynomial). Hence
\[ e_{\sigma,\tau} r_{\sigma,\tau} = q^{-r_{\sigma,\tau}} (b'_i(q^\sigma, q^\tau) \varphi_{r_{\sigma,\tau}} + \cdots + b'_0(q^\sigma, q^\tau) \varphi_{0_{\sigma,\tau}}). \tag{8.3} \]
Since $\varphi_{\sigma,\tau} \rightarrow \varphi_{\infty,\tau}$ and $\varphi_{\sigma,\sigma} \rightarrow \varphi_{\infty,\infty}$ when $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, the lemma follows.

In view of Lemma 3.3 we may set for $1 \leq r \leq l$ and $-\infty < \tau < \infty$, \[ e_{\sigma,\tau} r_{\sigma,\tau} := \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} q^{r_{\sigma,\tau}-1} e_{\sigma,\tau} r_{\sigma,\tau}, \quad e_{\infty,\tau} r_{\sigma,\tau} := \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} q^{r_{\sigma,\tau}-1} e_{\sigma,\tau} \].

It is clear from the definitions that $e_{\sigma,\tau} r_{\sigma,\tau} \in H^{\infty,\tau} (1 \leq r \leq l, -\infty < \tau < \infty)$. Observe that the elements $(-1)^r e_{\sigma,\tau} r_{\sigma,\tau}$ are mapped onto $e_{r,\tau} (-x) \in C \{x^{\pm 1}\} \rightarrow H$ under the restriction mapping $\{\}$.

Recall that the elements $\hat{e}_r (1 \leq r \leq l)$ are algebraically independent generators of the algebra $C \{x\} \otimes$ (cf. 3). Again, we obtain an algebra isomorphism $P \rightarrow P$ of $C \{x\} \otimes$ onto $C \{y\}$, where \[ \hat{P}(\hat{e}_1(x), \ldots, \hat{e}_l(x)) := P(x), \quad (P \in C \{x\} \otimes). \tag{8.5} \]

Theorem 8.4. Let $-\infty < \tau < \infty$. The elements $e_{\sigma,\tau} r_{\sigma,\tau} (1 \leq r \leq l)$ mutually commute and are algebraically independent generators of the algebra $H^{\infty,\tau}$. Any zonal $(\infty, \tau)$-spherical function $\varphi_{\sigma,\tau} (\lambda) \in H^{\infty,\tau} (\lambda \in P^+_K)$ is equal to a non-zero scalar multiple of \[ \hat{P}(e_{1}^{\infty,\tau}, \ldots, e_{l}^{\infty,\tau}, 1, q^{2(n-2l)}, 1, q^{2r+2(n-2l)}; q^2, q^2), \]
where $P^+_K (\lambda, a, b, c, d; q, t)$ is the multivariable big $q$-Jacobi polynomial of degree $\mu$ (cf. 3).

Proof. The elements $e_{\sigma,\tau} r_{\sigma,\tau} (1 \leq r \leq l)$ mutually commute for $\sigma$ finite by Corollary 7.3. By the definition of the elements $e_{\sigma,\tau} r_{\sigma,\tau} (8.3)$, it follows that the $e_{\sigma,\tau} r_{\sigma,\tau} (1 \leq r \leq l)$ also commute. Hence the element $Q(e_{1}^{\infty,\tau}, \ldots, e_{l}^{\infty,\tau}) \in H^{\infty,\tau}$ for a polynomial $Q \in C \{y\}$ is well defined.

For $\sigma$ finite and $\lambda \in P^+_K$, a zonal $(\sigma, \tau)$-spherical function $\varphi_{\sigma,\tau} (\lambda) \in H^{\sigma,\tau} (\lambda)$ is given by \[ \varphi_{\sigma,\tau} (\lambda) := (-q^{\sigma+r_{\sigma,\tau}-1})^{\lambda_1} \hat{P}_{\lambda}^{K}(e_{1}^{\sigma,\tau}, \ldots, e_{l}^{\sigma,\tau}; q^2, q^2) \tag{8.6} \]
with $P_{\mu}^{K} (\lambda, a, b, c, d; q, t)$ the Koornwinder polynomial of degree $\mu$ (cf. Theorem 7.3). Using the elementary properties of the Koornwinder polynomials given in Lemma 3.1, this zonal $(\sigma, \tau)$-spherical function can be rewritten as \[ \varphi_{\sigma,\tau} (\lambda) = (s_{e})^{-\lambda_1} \hat{P}_{\lambda}^{K}(s_{e} f_{1}^{\sigma,\tau}, \ldots, s_{e} f_{l}^{\sigma,\tau}; q^2, q^2), \]
where $s_{e} := q^{\lambda_1} (cd)^{\frac{1}{2}}, f_{e}^{\sigma,\tau} := (-s_{e})^{-r} e_{\sigma,\tau}$, $\varepsilon := q^{\sigma-(n-2l)}$, and \[ L_{n}^{e}(\varepsilon) := (-\varepsilon)^{-1} (q^2 d/c)^{\frac{1}{2}}, e_{\sigma,\tau} (q^2 d/c)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
with parameters $a, b, c, d$ given by
\[ a := 1, \quad b := q^{2(n-2l)}, \quad c := 1, \quad d := q^{2r+2(n-2l)}. \tag{8.7} \]
Observe that $s_\varepsilon = q^{1-\sigma} - \tau$, hence by the definition (3.24) of $\hat{e}_{r}^{\infty, \tau}$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f_{\varepsilon}^r = \hat{e}_{r}^{\infty, \tau}$ for all $r$. Combined with the limit transition from Koornwinder polynomials to multivariable big $q$-Jacobi polynomials (3.19), we get that $\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda)$ exists as limit in $A_q(U)$, and that

$$\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda) = B^\mathcal{P}_\lambda(\hat{e}_{1}^{\infty, \tau}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{l}^{\infty, \tau}; 1, q^{2(n-2l)}; 1, q^{2\tau+2(n-2l)}; q^{2}, q^{2})$$

(8.8)

with $B^\mathcal{P}_\lambda(\cdot)$ the multivariable big $q$-Jacobi polynomial of degree $\mu$. It is clear that $\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda)$, but it may be zero since the algebraic independence of the elements $\hat{e}_{r}^{\infty, \tau}$ ($r \in [1, l]$) has not yet been established. To prove that $\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda)$ is non-zero, we compute the quadratic norm $||\varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda)||^2$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle := h(\psi^* \varphi)$, where $h$ is the normalized Haar functional. Since all highest weights $\lambda \in P^\pm_K$ are self-dual (i.e. $V(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to its dual representation), and since the two-sided coideal $\mathfrak{r}$ is $\tau$-invariant, we have $(\varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda))^\dagger = \varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda)$. Then it follows from the definition (8.4) of $\varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda)$, Corollary 8.1 and the definition (3.21) of $N_K$, that

$$||\varphi^{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda)||^2 = s_\varepsilon^{-2} |\lambda^\dagger| N_K(\lambda^\dagger; B_\varepsilon(\varepsilon); q^{2}, q^{2}).$$

(8.9)

The limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ (equivalently, $\sigma \to \infty$) in (8.9) can now be computed in the left-hand side and in the right-hand side (cf. Proposition 3.2). It follows that

$$||\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda)||^2 = N_B(\lambda^2; 1, q^{2(n-2l)}; 1, q^{2\tau+2(n-2l)}; q^{2}, q^{2}).$$

Since $N_B(\lambda^2)$ is strictly positive, it follows that the quadratic norm $||\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda)||^2$ is non-zero, hence $\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in P^+_{K}$. Hence the elements $\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda)$ are zonal $(\infty, \tau)$-spherical functions for all $\lambda \in P^+_{K}$.

It remains to prove that the $\hat{e}_{r}^{\infty, \tau}$ ($1 \leq r \leq l$) are algebraically independent. Consider the finite-dimensional subspaces

$$\mathcal{H}_m := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^\pm_{K}; \lambda \leq m} \mathcal{H}^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda), \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_+).$$

The dimension of the linear subspace $\mathcal{H}_m$ is equal to the number of positive integers $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_l) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^l$ with $|m| := \sum m_i \leq m$, since $\varpi_r \leq \varpi_l$ for all $r \in [0, l]$. For such a sequence of positive integers $m$, set $Q_m(y) := y^{m_1} \cdots y^{m_l}$. Since $\hat{e}_{r}^{\infty, \tau} \in \bigoplus_{s=0}^{r} \mathcal{H}^{\infty, \tau}(\varpi_s)$ and

$$\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \tau}(\mu). \mathcal{H}^{\infty, \tau}(\mu') \subseteq \bigoplus_{\nu \in P^\pm_{K}; \nu \leq \mu + \mu'} \mathcal{H}^{\infty, \tau}(\nu), \quad (\mu, \mu' \in P^+_{K})$$

we have $Q_m(\hat{e}_{1}^{\infty, \tau}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{l}^{\infty, \tau}) \in \mathcal{H}_m$ for all $m$ with $|m| \leq m$. Hence the algebraic independence of $\hat{e}_{r}^{\infty, \tau}$ ($1 \leq r \leq l$) will follow from the fact that the monomials $Q_m(\hat{e}_{1}^{\infty, \tau}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{l}^{\infty, \tau})$ ($|m| \leq m$) span $\mathcal{H}_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Observe that $\mathcal{H}_m$ is spanned by the zonal spherical functions $\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda) (\lambda \leq m\omega_l)$. Since $P^\pm_{K}(\mu) \in P^+_K$ is of the form $\tilde{m}_\mu(x) + \sum_{\nu \in P^\pm_{K}; \nu < \mu} e_\nu \tilde{m}_\nu(x)$ for certain constants $e_\nu$, it follows from the explicit expression (3.23) for $\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda)$ that each $\varphi^{\infty, \tau}(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \leq m\omega_l$ can be written as a linear combination of the monomials $Q_m(\hat{e}_{1}^{\infty, \tau}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{l}^{\infty, \tau})$ ($|m| \leq m$). Hence, the monomials $Q_m(\hat{e}_{1}^{\infty, \tau}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{l}^{\infty, \tau})$ ($|m| \leq m$) span $\mathcal{H}_m$. 

\[ \square \]
Theorem 8.5. The elements $\hat{e}_r^{\infty,\infty}$ ($1 \leq r \leq l$) mutually commute and are algebraically independent generators of the algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}$. Any zonal spherical function $\varphi^{\infty,\infty}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_K^+$) is equal to a non-zero scalar multiple of

$$\hat{P}_\mu^{L}(\hat{e}_1^{\infty,\infty}, \ldots, \hat{e}_l^{\infty,\infty}; q^{2(n-2l)+1}, q^2, q^2)$$

where $P_\mu^{L}(\cdot)$ is the multivariable little $q$-Jacobi polynomial of degree $\mu$ (cf. §3).

Proof. By Theorem 7.5 and by symmetry properties of the Koornwinder polynomials (cf. Lemma 3.1), a zonal $(\sigma, \sigma)$-spherical function $\varphi^{\sigma,\sigma}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{H}^{\sigma,\sigma}(\lambda) \ (\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_K^+)$ is explicitly given by

$$\varphi^{\sigma,\sigma}(\lambda) := (s_\epsilon)^{-|\lambda|} \hat{P}_\mu^K(s_\epsilon f_1^\sigma, \ldots, s_\epsilon f_l^\sigma; L_\lambda(\epsilon); q^2, q^2),$$

where $P_\mu^K(\cdot)$ is the Koornwinder polynomial of degree $\mu$ (cf. §3) and $\epsilon := q^{2\sigma}$, $s_\epsilon := \epsilon q^{-\epsilon}$, $f_\sigma := (-s_\epsilon)^{-\epsilon} e^{2\sigma}$, and $L_\lambda(\epsilon) := (\epsilon^{-1} q^{-a\epsilon} - a q^{-b\epsilon} - b)$ with $a := q^{2(n-2l)}$ and $b := 1$. Using (3.20) together with the observation that $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} f_\sigma = \tilde{e}_r^{\infty,\infty}$, the proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 8.4. \qed

Remark 8.6. Using the limits $\hat{e}_r^{\infty,\infty} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{e}_r^{\infty,\infty}$ ($1 \leq r \leq l$), Theorem 8.5 can also be proved by sending $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ in the results of Theorem 8.4. On the level of multivariable orthogonal polynomials this limit corresponds to the limit from multivariable big $q$-Jacobi polynomials to multivariable little $q$-Jacobi polynomials proved in [SK, Thm. 5.1(3)].

Remark 8.7. As a corollary of Theorem 8.4 and Theorem 8.3, the restricted Haar functional $h : \mathcal{H}^{\sigma,\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for $\sigma = \infty$, $-\infty < \tau < \infty$ respectively for $\sigma = \tau = \infty$ can be expressed in terms of the orthogonality measure of the multivariable big respectively little $q$-Jacobi polynomials (cf. Corollary 8.1).

Remark 8.8. In the last two sections we have interpreted the Koornwinder polynomial resp. the multivariable big and the multivariable little $q$-Jacobi polynomial

$$P_\mu^K(\cdot; q^{2(n-2l)+1}, q^{2\tau+2(n-2l)+1}; q^2, q^2),
\hat{P}_\mu^K(\cdot; 1, q^{2n-2l}; q^2, q^2),
\hat{P}_\mu^K(\cdot; q^{2n-2l}, 1; q^2, q^2)$$

$(-\infty < \sigma, \tau < \infty, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_K^+)$ as a zonal spherical function on some quantum analogue of the complex Grassmannian. For each of these polynomials, the classical limit $q \uparrow 1$ can be computed using results from [811, §4] for the Koornwinder polynomials and using [SK, Thm. 5.1(5)&(6)] for the multivariable big and little $q$-Jacobi polynomials. The limits can be written in terms of the generalized Jacobi polynomial $P_\mu(\cdot; n-2l; 0, 1)$ or, equivalently, in terms of the $BC$ type Heckman-Opdam polynomial $P_\mu^{HO}(\cdot; n-2l, 1, 1/2)$. This agrees nicely with the classical interpretation of the Heckman-Opdam polynomial $P_\mu^{HO}(\cdot; n-2l, 1, 1/2)$ as a zonal spherical function on the complex Grassmannian $U/K$ (see §2).
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