Drosophila Aurora-A kinase inhibits neuroblast self-renewal by regulating aPKC/Numb cortical polarity and spindle orientation
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Regulation of stem cell self-renewal versus differentiation is critical for embryonic development and adult tissue homeostasis. Drosophila larval neuroblasts divide asymmetrically to self-renew, and are a model system for studying stem cell self-renewal. Here we identify three mutations showing increased brain neuroblast numbers that map to the aurora-A gene, which encodes a conserved kinase implicated in human cancer. Clonal analysis and time-lapse imaging in aurora-A mutants show single neuroblasts generate multiple neuroblasts (ectopic self-renewal). This phenotype is due to two independent neuroblast defects: abnormal atypical protein kinase C (aPKC)/Numb cortical polarity and failure to align the mitotic spindle with the cortical polarity axis. numb mutant clones have ectopic neuroblasts, and Numb overexpression partially suppresses aurora-A neuroblast overgrowth (but not spindle misalignment). Conversely, mutations that disrupt spindle alignment but not cortical polarity have increased neuroblasts. We conclude that Aurora-A and Numb are novel inhibitors of neuroblast self-renewal and that spindle orientation regulates neuroblast self-renewal.
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The precise regulation of stem cell self-renewal versus differentiation is critical for normal development, tissue homeostasis, and regeneration. The stem cell pool can be expanded via symmetric cell division or can be kept at a steady state while generating differentiated progeny via asymmetric cell division (Morrison and Kimble 2006). Drosophila larval brain neuroblasts have many stem cell attributes—such as the ability to remain proliferative, undifferentiated, and nontumorous for a hundred or more cell divisions while generating post-mitotic neurons and glia—and thus they have recently served as a paradigm for studies of self-renewal versus differentiation (Betschinger et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2006a,b). Neuroblasts divide asymmetrically to self-renew and to generate differentiating ganglion mother cells (GMCs), which typically generate two post-mitotic neurons or glia. Neuroblast self-renewal requires establishment and maintenance of proper apical/basal cortical polarity. Two protein complexes are localized to the neuroblast apical cortex and partitioned into the neuroblast during asymmetric division: the Par complex and the Pins complex. The evolutionarily conserved Par complex contains Bazooka (Baz; Par-3 in mammals)/Par-6/atypical protein kinase C (aPKC) proteins, and the Pins complex contains Partner of Inscuteable (Pins)/Gαi proteins; these complexes are linked by the Inscuteable (Insc) protein, which binds both Baz and Pins (Cai et al. 2003; Fuse et al. 2003; Yu et al. 2003; Siegrist and Doe 2005). Proteins localized to the basal cortex and partitioned into the GMC include Numb, Miranda (Mira), Brain tumor (Brat), and Prospero (Pros) (for review, see Betschinger and Knoblich 2004). Mislocalization of aPKC to the basal (GMC) cortex triggers ectopic neuroblast self-renewal, resulting in a dramatic expansion of the neuroblast population (Lee et al. 2006a), whereas depletion of Pros or Brat from the GMC also leads to GMC-neuroblast transformation and overproduction of neuroblasts (Bello et al. 2006; Betschinger et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2006b). Thus, establishing proper
cortical polarity is essential for neuroblast self-renewal and GMC differentiation.

A second, more speculative pathway for regulating neuroblast self-renewal involves spindle orientation. In theory, if the neuroblast mitotic spindle aligns orthogonal to the apical/basal polarity axis, both neuroblast progeny would inherit apical and basal proteins, and both might assume a neuroblast identity (similar to an epithelial cell division, which partitions apical and basal lateral membrane domains equally into both siblings). The linkage between spindle and apical cortex is provided, in part, by the Mud protein [Mushroom body dermal, the *Drosophila* NuMA ortholog], which directly binds both microtubules and cortical Pins protein; *mud* mutants show misalignment of the mitotic spindle without altering cortical polarity [Bowman et al. 2006; Izumi et al. 2006; Siller et al. 2006]. *mud* mutant brains have an increase in neuroblast number [Prokop and Technau 1994; Bowman et al. 2006], and it is tempting to speculate that the symmetric divisions lead to a pair of sibling neuroblasts and thus to the observed increase in neuroblast number, but this has not been confirmed by clonal analysis.

Here we describe the results of a genetic screen for additional regulators of neuroblast self-renewal. We identify three mutants showing a massive increase in larval neuroblast numbers, without any detectable disruption of optic lobe or imaginal disc epithelia, and all are alleles of the evolutionarily conserved mitotic kinase *aurora-A* (*aurA*). Mammalian AurA kinase is localized to centrosomes and is considered an oncogene [for review, see Giet et al. 2005]. In *Drosophila*, AurA is required for centrosome maturation, cell cycle progression, Numb protein localization during sense organ precursor asymmetry and cell division, and astral microtubule length in S2 cells and larval neuroblasts (Glover et al. 1995; Berdnik and Knoblich 2002; Giet et al. 2002). Here we show that loss of AurA leads to neuroblast brain tumors, which arise primarily due to defects in Numb localization and secondarily due to defects in spindle-to-cortical polarity alignment. Our data define a role for Numb in the GMC as an inhibitor of neuroblast self-renewal, and provide direct evidence that spindle orientation can regulate neuroblast self-renewal.

**Results**

**aurora-A mutants have too many larval neuroblasts**

To identify genes that regulate neuroblast self-renewal, we screened a collection of pupal lethal mutants [L. Wang, J. Evans, H. Andrews, R. Beckstead, C.S. Thummel, and A. Bashirullah, in prep.] for changes in central brain neuroblast number. Three mutants *l(3)LL-8839, l(3)LL-14641, l(3)LL-17961* had a massive increase in larval neuroblasts, developing >1000 central brain neuroblasts at stages where wild-type larvae have only 95–100 neuroblasts; in addition, the mutant neuroblasts were maintained into pupal stages, whereas wild-type pupae have very few neuroblasts [Fig. 1A–C]. The mutants had normal optic lobe and imaginal disc epithelial morphology [Fig. 1B; data not shown], unlike previously identified tumor suppressor mutants [for review, see Hariharan and Bilder 2006]. The mutations were deficiency and complementation mapped to the *aurA* locus, and the *aurA*876ec-3 mutant [Glover et al. 1995] showed a similar supernumerary brain neuroblast phenotype (data not shown). Sequencing of the mutant alleles revealed that *l(3)LL-14641* has a single base change resulting in a V→E change at position 302, within the kinase activation loop [Cheetham et al. 2002]; *l(3)LL-17961* has a single base change resulting in a D→N change at position 334 that is predicted to destabilize a helical packing, and *l(3)LL-8839* has a single base change resulting in a K→stop change at position 377 that deletes the C terminus of the protein [Fig. 1D]; thus these are new *aurA* alleles. The AurA kinase is detected in the cytoplasm and on centrosomes in neuroblasts and sense organ precursors [Berdnik and Knoblich 2002], as is an AurA:GFP fusion protein expressed in neuroblasts (Supplementary Fig. 1; data not shown), consistent with a role in neuroblast asymmetric cell division.

We next asked if the ectopic neuroblasts in *aurA* mutants had all the properties of wild-type neuroblasts. In wild-type brains, neuroblasts express the markers Deadpan [Dpn], Mira, Worniu [Wor], fail to express the neuronal differentiation markers nuclear Pros and Elav; and are proliferative based on their ability to incorporate BrdU [Fig. 1E]. In *aurA* mutants, all ectopic neuroblasts have the same properties [Fig. 1F; data not shown]. In addition, we used BrdU pulse/chase experiments to determine if *aurA* neuroblasts could generate neurons. Immediately after a BrdU pulse, both wild-type and *aurA* mutant neuroblasts—but no neurons—incorporated BrdU [Fig. 1G, I]; following a 24-h BrdU-free chase, all BrdU labeling was detected exclusively in the Elav+ neurons [Fig. 1H, J]. Thus, the ectopic neuroblasts in *aurA* mutant larvae show normal marker expression and proliferation, and are able to generate post-mitotic neurons.

**Single aurora-A mutant neuroblasts generate clones containing multiple neuroblasts**

To determine the origin of the extra neuroblasts in the mutant brains, we generated positively marked clones in single neuroblasts within *aurA* mutant brains, at a low frequency of one to two per brain lobe to keep each clone well separated. In wild type, genetically marked βgal+ clones induced in a single neuroblast always mark one large neuroblast and a population of smaller GMC/neuronal progeny [Fig. 1K]. In contrast, single neuroblast clones induced in *aurA* mutants typically contained multiple neuroblasts as well as a pool of smaller GMC/neuronal progeny [Fig. 1L]. Thus, *aurA* mutant neuroblasts undergo occasional divisions in which both siblings assume the neuroblast fate, leading to an expansion of the neuroblast population [Fig. 1M]. Importantly, neuroblast-specific expression of AurA:GFP [wor-gal4 UAS-aurA:GFP] is able to significantly rescue the *aurA* super-
numerary neuroblast phenotype, showing that the phenotype is due to loss of AurA within neuroblast lineages (Supplementary Fig. 2). We conclude that the evolutionarily conserved AurA kinase is required to inhibit neuroblast self-renewal and promote GMC/neuronal differentiation.

**Aurora-A regulates aPKC and Numb cortical polarity**

In wild-type neuroblasts, Baz/Par6/aPKC and Insc/Pins/Ga\(\alpha\) proteins are localized to the apical cortex of meta-
phase neuroblasts (Fig. 2A–C; data not shown). In aurA mutants, we find that aPKC can be uniform cortical or show ectopic cortical patches, all other apical proteins assayed show normal localization (Fig. 2D–G; data not shown). Ectopic aPKC is observed in a small fraction of neuroblasts following a 4-h BrdU pulse (G,I), and “chase” the BrdU into post-mitotic neurons following a 24-h BrdU-free chase (H,J). (K–M) aurA mutant neuroblasts generate multiple neuroblasts. Single-neuroblast clones identified by Bgal expression (circled with the dotted line). In wild type, clones contain one Dpn\(^+\) neuroblast and many nuclear Pros\(^+\) progeny. In aurA\(^{14641}\), clones contain multiple Dpn\(^+\) neuroblasts and nuclear Pros\(^+\) progeny. (M) Summary. (Green) Neuroblasts; (red) GMCs.

---

**Figure 1.** *aurora-A* mutants have too many larval neuroblasts. (A,B) Larval brains at 96 h ALH showing increased Mira\(^+\) neuroblasts in the mutant central brain (boxed) and thorax (T). (A’,B’) Pupal brains at 12–18 h after pupariation showing increased numbers of proliferative Dpn\(^+\) neuroblasts and expanded CNS size. (C) Quantification of brain neuroblast numbers (using Dpn or Mira markers). (D) Molecular lesions in *aurA* alleles superimposed on the crystal structure of human Aurora-A (Cheetham et al. 2002, Nowakowski et al. 2002). Lightly shaded region is absent in *aurA*\(^{8839}\). (E,F) aurA mutant neuroblasts are correctly specified. Wild-type (E) and *aurA*\(^{14641}\) (F) neuroblasts are Dpn\(^+\) Elav\(^-\) and incorporate BrdU, showing that they are specified correctly and are proliferative. (G–J) *aurA* mutant neuroblasts can proliferate and generate neuronal progeny. Wild-type (G,H) and *aurA*\(^{14641}\) (I,J) 96-h-ALH larvae incorporate BrdU into neuroblasts following a 4-h BrdU pulse (G,I), and “chase” the BrdU into post-mitotic neurons following a 24-h BrdU-free chase (H,J). (K–M) aurA mutant neuroblasts generate multiple neuroblasts. Single-neuroblast clones identified by Bgal expression (circled with the dotted line). In wild type, clones contain one Dpn\(^+\) neuroblast and many nuclear Pros\(^+\) progeny. (L) In *aurA*\(^{14641}\), clones contain multiple Dpn\(^+\) neuroblasts and nuclear Pros\(^+\) progeny. (M) Summary. (Green) Neuroblasts; (red) GMCs.
aurora-A inhibits neuroblast self-renewal

one neuroblast and its family of neuronal progeny (Fig. 3A), whereas numb mutant clones always contain multiple neuroblasts plus neuronal progeny (Fig. 3B). Thus, the numb clones are similar to the aurA mutant brains in their ability to expand the neuroblast population while still producing differentiated Pros⁺ Elav⁺ neurons. Interestingly, the numb and AurA mutant phenotypes are distinct from mira, pros, or brat mutant single neuroblast clones, which contain few or no differentiated neurons (Supplementary Fig. 3). We conclude that AurA is required to restrict Numb to the basal cortex and that Numb inhibits neuroblast self-renewal.

We next tested whether Numb acts downstream from AurA by using the neuroblast-specific wor-Gal4 line to overexpress Numb in aurA mutant neuroblasts and GMCs. While aurA14641 mutants have >450 neuroblasts per brain lobe, overexpression of Numb is sufficient to partially rescue the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in aurA14641 mutants (~175 neuroblasts per lobe) at the same larval stage (Fig. 3C–E); this is similar to the number of neuroblasts seen in mutants that affect spindle orientation but not cortical polarity such as cnn (Fig. 3C) or mud (Prokop and Technau 1994; Bowman et al. 2006). Thus, Numb overexpression appears to suppress the cortical polarity component of the aurA supernumerary neuroblast phenotype. We conclude that Numb acts downstream from AurA to inhibit neuroblast self-renewal.

Aurora-A regulates alignment of the mitotic spindle with the cortical polarity axis

We next tested whether spindle orientation defects could contribute to the production of ectopic neuroblasts in aurA mutants. In wild-type metaphase neuroblasts, the mitotic spindle is aligned within 15° of the center of the apical/basal cortical polarity axis (Siller et al. 2005, 2006; Bowman et al. 2006). In aurA metaphase neuroblasts, the mitotic spindle is essentially randomized relative to the apical/basal cortical polarity axis (Fig. 4B,C). Because some aurA neuroblasts have multiple centrosomes (wild type: 2.0, n = 25; aurA: 3.8, n = 45) and multipolar spindles, we only scored neuroblasts where a clear bipolar spindle could be observed. In addition, aurA mutant neuroblasts have defects in telophase spindle orientation, with ~15% of the neuroblasts dividing symmetrically [Fig. 4D,F; see below]—a phenotype that is never observed in wild-type neuroblasts (Fig. 4E). Spindle orientation defects are not due to loss of cortical Mud protein (which is essential for proper spindle orientation) (Bowman et al. 2006; Izumi et al. 2006; Siller et al. 2006), because Mud remains cortical in aurA mutant neuroblasts [Fig. 4G,H]. Interestingly, overexpression of Numb does not rescue the aurA spindle orientation defect [Fig. 4D], consistent with AurA regulating cell polarity and spindle orientation phenotypes via two distinct pathways (see Discussion). We conclude that AurA is essential for proper alignment of the mitotic spindle to the neuroblast cortical polarity axis.

Numb inhibits neuroblast self-renewal

The role of Numb during larval neuroblast asymmetric division has never been investigated, so we assayed the phenotype of numb mutant clones generated in single larval neuroblasts. Wild-type clones always show
To determine if the aurA cell polarity and spindle orientation phenotypes are specific to neuroblasts, we also assayed asymmetric cell division of the pupal external sensory organ precursor (SOP). In wild-type pupae, the SOP localizes Numb and Pins to the anterior cortex and Baz/Par6/aPKC to the posterior cortex, and the mitotic spindle is tightly aligned with the anterior/posterior cortical polarity axis (Fig. 4I,J; for review, see Betschinger and Knoblich 2004). In addition, we find that the spindle anchoring protein Mud is centrosomal and enriched at the anterior and posterior cortex (Fig. 4K). In aurA mutant SOPs, cortical polarity is established normally based on proper anterior Pins crescent formation, but Numb is delocalized (confirming previous findings; Berdnik and Knoblich 2002). Importantly, we observe nearly random spindle orientation relative to the SOP cortical polarity axis (Fig. 4L–N), similar to the neuroblast spindle orientation phenotype. The most frequent spindle orientation is along the proximodistal axis, perhaps reflecting a secondary spindle orientation cue from the planar cell polarity system. We conclude that AurA is required in both neuroblasts and SOPs to align the mitotic spindle with the cortical polarity axis.

aurora-A neuroblasts divide symmetrically to expand the neuroblast population

It has been proposed that physically symmetric cell divisions can lead to expansion of the larval neuroblast population during mutant or wild-type insect development (Nordlander and Edwards 1970; Farris et al. 1999; Bowman et al. 2006; Siller et al. 2006), but this attractive hypothesis has never been directly tested. Here we use time-lapse imaging to assay the frequency and cell fate consequences of neuroblast symmetric divisions in aurA14641 mutants. We imaged histone:GFP [HisAvD:GFP] in explanted larval brains; these whole-brain cultures are healthy enough to allow us to follow >10 wild-type neuroblast cell divisions (see Materials and Methods). We assayed division symmetry by measuring both sibling nuclear size (with histone:GFP) and sibling cell size (using background fluorescence signal). We found that wild-type neuroblasts always divide asymmetrically with regard to sibling cell and nuclear size (100%, $n = 11$) (Fig. 5A,D; Supplementary Movie 1). In contrast, aurA mutant neuroblasts show both asymmetric cell divisions (Fig. 5B,D; Supplementary Movie 2) and symmetric cell divisions (17%, $n = 42$) (Fig. 5C,D; Supplementary Movies 3, 4). Thus, aurA14641 neuroblasts show a significant number of symmetric divisions; this could contribute to the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype if both progeny retained a neuroblast identity. In support of this model, we observed a neuroblast lineage tree containing two symmetric divisions followed by an asymmetric cell division (Fig. 5E). This shows that a symmetric neuroblast division can produce additional neuroblasts with the potential for asymmetric cell division—a hallmark of neuroblast identity—and suggests that symmetric divisions can expand the neuroblast population.

Reduction in mammalian AurA kinase levels results in mitotic delay (Dutertre et al. 2002; Du and Hannon 2004). Time-lapse analysis of aurA mutant neuroblasts revealed delays in prometaphase and metaphase (Fig. 5F) as well as increased overall cell cycle length (data not shown). In addition, we observe an increased mitotic in-
dex | wild type: 7.6% prometaphase–metaphase, n = 690; aurA: 14.2% prometaphase–metaphase; n = 2753) in fixed preparations. It is formally possible that the cell cycle delays are the cause of the neuroblast cell polarity and supernumerary neuroblast phenotype (Fichelson and Gho 2004). However, we have previously shown that metaphase-arrested neuroblasts have normal cortical polarity, and that Lis1 and Glued mutants have cell cycle delays without a matching neuroblast cortical polarity or supernumerary neuroblast phenotype (Spana and Doe 1995; Broadus and Doe 1997; Siller et al. 2005). We conclude that aurA mutant neuroblasts show cell cycle delays, but that these delays do not prevent or cause the observed increase in brain neuroblasts.

Discussion

We have shown that mutations in aurA lead to a massive increase in larval brain neuroblasts. The major cause of this phenotype appears to be misregulation of neuroblast cortical polarity. One cortical polarity defect is increased basal localization of aPKC, which we previously showed was sufficient to induce ectopic neuroblasts (Lee et al. 2006a). Consistent with this hypothesis, aPKC aurA double mutants show strong suppression of the aurA supernumerary neuroblast phenotype, consistent with aPKC functioning downstream from AurA. While it is possible that loss of aPKC suppresses the phenotype in a nonspecific way [e.g., by arresting neuroblast cell proliferation or inducing neuroblast apoptosis], we fail to observe similarly strong suppression of the brat supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in aPKC brat double mutants [Lee et al. 2006b]. This shows that aPKC functions more specifically in the AurA pathway than in the Brat pathway.

The only other detectable cortical polarity defect seen in aurA mutant neuroblasts is a delocalization of Numb from the basal cortex. A similar Numb defect is seen during asymmetric cell division of pupal SOPs in aurA mutants (Fig. 4K; Berdnik and Knoblich 2002), perhaps reflecting a specific and direct regulation of Numb by AurA, although Numb is not phosphorylated by AurA in vitro (Berdnik and Knoblich 2002). The importance of the Numb delocalization phenotype is revealed by the ability of Numb overexpression in neuroblasts to rescue most of the aurA mutant phenotype [all except the com-
ponent due to spindle orientation defects; see below). Thus, Numb acts downstream from AurA to inhibit neuroblast self-renewal. Numb joins Mira/Pros/Brat as proteins that are partitioned into the GMC during neuroblast asymmetric cell division, where they function to inhibit neuroblast self-renewal (Fig. 6; Bello et al. 2006; Betschinger et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2006b).

Where does AurA function to inhibit neuroblast self-renewal? AurA appears to be required in the neuroblast lineage, and not in surrounding glial cells or nonneuro-
neuroblast numbers. Time axis depicts 0–120 h ALH. However, our model is that Numb blocks Notch receptor signaling in neuroblasts (Androutsellis-Theotokis et al. 2006; Fan et al. 2006). We show that aurA mutant neuroblasts have essentially random orientation of the mitotic spindle relative to the apical/basal cortical polarity axis, resulting in some neuroblasts dividing symmetrically (in size and cortical polarity markers). This phenotype may arise due to lack of astral microtubule interactions with the neuroblast cortex, as aurA mutant neuroblasts have reduced astral microtubule length (Giet et al. 2002). Alternatively, AurA may affect spindle orientation by phosphorylating proteins required for spindle orientation, such as Cnn, Pins, or Mud. For example, Mud has a consensus AurA/Ipl1 phosphorylation site within its microtubule-binding domain, and it will be interesting to determine if this site needs to be phosphorylated for Mud to bind microtubules. Spindle orientation defects only generate part of the supernumerary neuroblast phenotype in aurA mutant brains, however, because overexpression of Numb can rescue most of the phenotype without rescuing spindle alignment, and cnn or mud mutants have nearly random spindle alignment but only a modest increase in neuroblast number (Fig. 6C; Bowman et al. 2006). Thus, we propose that spindle orientation defects and cortical polarity defects combine to generate the dramatic supernumerary neuroblast phenotype seen in aurA mutants (Fig. 6).

Mammalian aurA has been termed an oncogene due to its overexpression in several cancers, its ability to promote proliferation in certain cell lines, and the fact that reduced levels lead to multiple centrosomes, mitotic delay, and apoptosis (for review, see Giet et al. 2005). However, an in vivo aurA mutant phenotype has not yet been reported. In contrast, we find that aurA loss-of-function mutations result in a neuroblast “brain tumor” phenotype, including prolonged neuroblast proliferation during pupal stages when wild-type neuroblasts have stopped proliferating. aurA mutants do not, however, have the imaginal disc epithelial overgrowth seen in other Dro sophila tumor suppressor mutants (for review, see Hariharan and Bilder 2006), and aurA mutant neuroblasts have a delay in cell cycle progression. We propose that the aurA supernumerary neuroblast phenotype is not due to loss of growth control or a faster cell cycle time, but rather due to a cell fate transformation from a differentiating cell type (GMC) to a proliferating cell type (neuroblast).

We conclude that AurA restraints neuroblast numbers using two pathways: first by promoting Numb localization into the GMC, and second by promoting alignment of the mitotic spindle with the cortical polarity axis. Absence of the first pathway leads to increased neuro-

---

**Figure 6.** AurA inhibits neuroblast self-renewal. (A) AurA inhibits aPKC basal localization and promotes Numb basal localization; Numb inhibits neuroblast self-renewal. AurA independently promotes spindle–cortex alignment. Although aPKC mutants affect all known basal proteins (Numb, Mira, Brat, and Pros), aurA mutants only show detectable changes in Numb localization. (B) Schematic illustrating the consequences of losing one or both AurA pathways for inhibiting neuroblast self-renewal. [Top line] aurA mutants have defects in both basal Numb localization and spindle alignment; loss of both pathways leads to massive increases in neuroblast numbers. [Middle line] aurA mutants overexpressing Numb have defects only in the spindle alignment pathway and have a smaller increase in neuroblast numbers (comparable to mud or cnn mutants) (Fig. 3C; Siller et al. 2006; Bowman et al. 2006). [Bottom line] Wild-type neuroblast numbers. Time axis depicts 0–120 h ALH.

---

neural tissues of the larva, because neuroblast-specific expression of either AurA or the downstream component Numb can rescue most of the aurA supernumerary neuroblast phenotype. This shows that AurA is not required outside the neuroblast lineage to inhibit neuroblast self-renewal. Within the neuroblast, AurA appears to function in the cytoplasm and not at the centrosome, because cnn mutants lack all detectable AurA centrosomal localization yet do not match the aurA supernumerary neuroblast phenotype. We conclude that AurA acts in the neuroblast cytoplasm to promote aPKC/Numb cortical polarity and spindle-to-cortex alignment.

How does Numb inhibit neuroblast self-renewal in the GMC? Numb is a well-characterized inhibitor of Notch signaling that is segregated into the GMC (Friso et al. 1996; Guo et al. 1996; Zhong et al. 1996; O’Connor-Giles and Skeath 2003; Hutterer and Knoblich 2005), and Notch signaling is active in larval neuroblasts but not in GMCs (Almeida and Bray 2005). Thus the most obvious model is that Numb blocks Notch receptor signaling in the GMC. However, Notch mutant clones generated in larval neuroblasts do not affect neuroblast survival or clone size (Almeida and Bray 2005). Similarly, we have seen no change in neuroblast number in two different Notch-ts mutants (although we observed the expected small wing imaginal disc phenotype) (C.-Y. Lee, unpubl.). In addition, we did not observe supernumerary neuroblasts in larval neuroblast clones overexpressing the constitutively active Notch intracellular domain (C.-Y. Lee, unpubl.), although the same Notch intracellular domain generates the expected sibling neuron phenotype when expressed in the embryonic CNS (J. Boone and K. Robinson, unpubl.). Thus, Notch is an excellent candidate for promoting neuroblast self-renewal, but additional experiments will be needed to test this model more rigorously. In this context, it is interesting to note that Notch promotes stem cell self-renewal in mammals (Androutsellis-Theotokis et al. 2006; Fan et al. 2006).
blasts at the expense of GMCs, whereas absence of the second pathway leads to increased neuroblasts due to symmetric cell division. It will be interesting to determine whether mammalian AurA uses one or both pathways to regulate stem cell asymmetric division and self-renewal.

Materials and methods

Fly stocks and genetics

All mutant chromosomes were balanced over CyO, actin::GFP or TM3 actin::GFP, Ser, e. We used Oregon R as wild type, and the following mutant chromosomes:
apKC^604603 [Rolls et al. 2003]
aurA^688[3] [this work]
aurA^134641 [this work]
aurA^117961 [this work]
aurA^67Ac-3 (Bloomington stock 6188)
FRT82B aurA^134641 [this work]
UAS-aurA-GFP [this work]
UAS-numb [Knoblich et al. 1997]
worniu-Gal4 [Albertson and Doe 2003; Lee et al. 2006a]
cnn^T82[1] [Megraw et al. 1999]
DI(3R)T-61 [Bloomington stock 3003]
clay-Gal4(C155), UAS-mCD8:GFP, hsp70-FLP, tubulin-Gal80, FRT40A [Lee and Luo 1999]
clay-Gal4(C155), UAS-mCD8:GFP, hsp70-FLP, FRT82B tubulin-Gal80 [Lee and Luo 1999]
w; FRT82B, mira^2178 [Causinus and Gonzalez 2005]
w; brat^150, FRT40A [Betschinger et al. 2006]
w; numb^2, FRT40A [this work]
w; FRT82B pros^17 [Reddy and Rodrigues 1999]
His2AvD:GFP [Clarkson and Saint 1999]
hs-flp(F38) [Bloomington stock 5258]
actin-FRT-Draf+-FRT-nuc.lacZ (Struhl and Basler 1993)
actin-FRT-stop-FRT-Gal4
UAS-Notch-intra [Struhl and Greenwald 2001]
Notch-ts1 (Bloomington stock 2533, chromosome cleaned of background mutations and provided by Ross Cagan, Washington University, St. Louis, MO)
Notch-ts2 (Bloomington stock 3075)

All clone experiments [MARC4M, actin-Gal4, or actin-nuc.lacZ] were done using standard methods [Lee and Luo 2001; Lee et al. 2006b] by giving the larval progeny a 1-h 37°C heat shock at 24 h ALH, development at 25°C, and clone analysis at 96 h ALH.

Antibodies and imaging

Larval brains were dissected in Schneider’s medium [Sigma], fixed in 100 mM Pipes [pH 6.9], 1 mM EGTA, 0.3% Triton X-100, and 1 mM MgSO4 containing 4% formaldehyde for 23 min and blocked for 1 h in 1x PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.3% Triton X-100 [PBS-BT] supplemented with 0.01 M glycine and 0.1% goat normal serum. After blocking, specimens were washed in PBS-BT for 1 h and incubated with primary antibodies in PBS-BT overnight at 4°C. Brains were stained as described previously [Albertson and Doe 2003; Lee et al. 2006a]. Pupal nota were dissected and stained as described previously [Manning and Doe 1999]. We used guinea pig anti-Mira [1:400], rat anti-Mira [1:500], guinea pig anti-Numb [1:1000], J. Skeath], rat anti-Brat [1:1000], mouse anti-Pros MR1A bioreactor supernatant [1:100], rabbit anti-aPKCζ [1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology], rabbit anti-Goi [1:1000], mouse anti-Insc [1:1000, Bill Chia], rat anti-Pins [1:500], rat anti-Par6 [1:50], guinea pig anti-Baz [1:400], rabbit anti-Scribble [1:2500], mouse anti-Discs large [1:100, Developmental Hybridoma Bank [DSHB]], rabbit anti-Mud [1:1000], guinea pig anti-Dpn [1:1], mouse anti-Elav [1:50], DSHB), rat anti-Ely [1:10], DSHB), mouse anti-BrdU [1:50], Sigma), rabbit anti-βgal [1:1000], mouse anti-α-tubulin [1:2000], Sigma), Rat anti-α-tubulin [1:1000], Serotec), mouse anti-γ-tubulin [1:2000], Sigma), rabbit anti-AurA [1:2000 (J. Knoblich), rabbit anti-phosphohistoneH3 [1:1000, Upstate Biotechnology], rabbit anti-Cnn [1:1000, T. Kaufman], rabbit anti-GFP [1:1000, Torrey Pine], mouse anti-CD8 [1:100], Caltag), mouse anti-Hindsight “concentrated” [1:100, DHSB], and secondary antibodies from Molecular Probes. Antibodies without named sources were made in the laboratory, details are available on request.

BrdU pulse/chase experiments

Larvae were fed with BrdU (1 mg/mL, Roche) in media for 4 h, and then one pool was processed for BrdU staining (pulse experiments) and a second pool was grown without BrdU for 24 h before fixation and BrdU staining (pulse/chase experiments). Larval brains were dissected, processed, and antibody-stained as described above with the exception that larval brains were treated in 2N HCl for 30 min prior to primary anti-BrdU antibody staining.

Neuroblast counting and brain orientation

A larval brain lobe consists of the medially localized central brain and the laterally localized optic lobe. Neuroblasts can be unambiguously identified by expression of Wor, Dpn, and Mira and the absence of the neuronal/glial differentiation markers Elav and Repo [Albertson and Doe 2003; Lee et al. 2006a]. Central brain neuroblasts (the focus of this study) can be distinguished from optic lobe neuroblasts due to their medial–superficial location in the brain, larger size, and dispersed pattern. Optic lobe neuroblasts laterally positioned in the brain and spaced very closely to each other, forming a ribbon that flanks and encircles the highly stereotypical epithelial-shaped optic lobe cells [Lee et al. 2006a]. All images of neuroblasts shown were collected from central brain, all brains were mounted with dorsal surface up and ventral surface down, and the midline is to the left in all panels.

Time-lapse imaging of larval neuroblasts

aurA^D41 was recombined with His2AvD:GFP [Clarkson and Saint 1999] and crossed to aurA^1796[1]. Late second and/or early third instar aurA mutant larvae were picked based on the lack of the dominant marker Tubby and dissected as previously described [Siller et al. 2005, 2006]. Larvae were dissected and mounted in D-22 media [US Biological] with 1% bovine growth serum [BGS, HyClone] and imaged on a Bio-Rad Radiance 2000 confocal or Leica SP2 microscope using a 60x 1.4NA oil-immersion objective. Time-lapse sequences were processed using ImageJ and Imaris 5.0.1, 64-bit (Bitplane).
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