VEGF controls lung Th2 inflammation via the miR-1–Mpl (myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene)–P-selectin axis
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Asthma, the prototypic Th2–mediated inflammatory disorder of the lung, is an emergent disease worldwide. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a critical regulator of pulmonary Th2 inflammation, but the underlying mechanism and the roles of microRNAs (miRNAs) in this process have not been defined. Here we show that lung-specific overexpression of VEGF decreases miR-1 expression in the lung, most prominently in the endothelium, and a similar down-regulation occurs in lung endothelium in Th2 inflammation models. Intranasal delivery of miR-1 inhibited inflammatory responses to ovalbumin, house dust mite, and IL-13 overexpression. Blocking VEGF inhibited Th2–mediated lung inflammation, and this was restored by antagonizing miR-1. Using mRNA arrays, Argonaute pull-down assays, luciferase expression assays, and mutational analysis, we identified Mpl as a direct target of miR-1 and showed that VEGF controls the expression of endothelial Mpl during Th2 inflammation via the regulation of miR-1. In vivo knockdown of Mpl inhibited Th2 inflammation and indirectly inhibited the expression of P-selectin in lung endothelium. These experiments define a novel VEGF–miR-1–Mpl–P-selectin effector pathway in lung Th2 inflammation and herald the utility of miR-1 and Mpl as potential therapeutic targets for asthma.
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RESULTS

VEGF down-regulates lung endothelial miR-1 in Th2 inflammation

To define the relationships between VEGF and miRNA, we evaluated the expression of miRNAs in VEGF transgenic mice and models of Th2 inflammation. Microarray analysis and TaqMan stem loop quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) showed that in the lungs of CC10-rtTA-VEGF transgenic mice, the levels of miR-1 were decreased by ~50–60% (Fig. 1, a and b). A comparison of miR-1 levels in isolated endothelial (CD31+, CD45-) and hematopoietic (CD45+) cells isolated from these lungs (values normalized to WT; three experiments; *P < 0.05). Recombinant VEGF was added to MLECs in culture, and relative expression of miR-1 was measured by TaqMan qRT-PCR (values normalized to PBS-treated group; two experiments; *P < 0.05). Recombinant VEGF was added to MLECs, lung epithelial cells (MLE12), and PASMCs, and relative expression of miR-1 was measured as in a (values normalized to the corresponding PBS-treated group; three experiments; *P < 0.05). All error bars represent mean ± SEM.

(Wang et al., 2012) and is the only mammalian Argonaute with endonucleolytic activity (Liu et al., 2004). Recent studies have demonstrated that miRNAs play important roles in a wide variety of biological processes (Giraldez et al., 2005; Chen and Rajewsky, 2006; Sokol et al., 2008; Fish et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008; Suárez et al., 2008; Bonauer et al., 2009; Nicoli et al., 2010). However, the roles of miRNA in Th2 inflammation and the inflammatory effects of VEGF have not been investigated.

We hypothesized that the effects of VEGF in Th2 inflammation are mediated by its ability to alter endothelial miRNA and their downstream targets. To test this hypothesis, we evaluated the miRNA and miRNA target alterations in VEGF transgenic mice and models of aeroallergen-induced Th2 inflammation. We found that lung-targeted VEGF down-regulated miR-1, most prominently in the endothelium, and that a similar down-regulation takes place in lung-targeted IL-13 transgenic and aeroallergen-sensitized and challenged mice. We next demonstrated that intranasal delivery of miR-1 decreased Th2- and IL-13-stimulated inflammation and that antagonizing miR-1 rescued Th2 immunity in the context of VEGFR2 blockade. We also found a direct target of miR-1, Mpl, and defined the critical role of Mpl in Th2 inflammation by silencing this gene in Th2-inflamed lungs. Lastly, we showed that Mpl, similar to its role in platelets, regulates the expression of P-selectin in the lung endothelium. Overall, these experiments define a novel VEGF-driven, miR-1–Mpl–P-selectin effector pathway in lung Th2 inflammation.
measured the level of miR-1 in lung endothelium of OVA-sensitized and -challenged mice treated with intranasal or systemic VEGFR2 blockers. We first showed that intranasal delivery of VEGFR2 siRNA decreased expression of VEGFR2 in the lung endothelium by >70% (Fig. 2 c). As shown in Fig. 2 (d and e), OVA sensitization and challenge reduced the expression of endothelial miR-1 by >70% and VEGFR2 blockade in the lung (by intranasal delivery; Fig. 2 d) or systemically (i.p. delivery of SU5416; Fig. 2 e) abrogated this down-regulation. These experiments demonstrate that airway Th2 inflammation down-regulates miR-1 via a VEGF-dependent mechanism.

miR-1 controls Th2 inflammation in the lung

To further define the roles of miR-1 in Th2 inflammation, we evaluated the effects of miR-1 delivery in the OVA model. A double-stranded miR-1 mimic or its negative control (scr-miR-1) were delivered intranasally to mice at the time of OVA challenge. Using this method, miR-1 levels increased by >10-fold in the lung endothelium, confirming the efficiency of delivery (Fig. 3 a). In these experiments, mice that received the vehicle or scrambled miR-1 control manifested significant increases in tissue inflammation, eosinophilia, and type 2 cytokine accumulation in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BAL) and airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) in response to methacholine challenge (Fig. 3, a–d). However, miR-1 delivery at the time of OVA challenge ameliorated each of these Th2 hallmark responses (Fig. 3, a–d). These changes were accompanied by a significant decrease in mucus (MUC5) hypersecretion and lung tissue eosinophilia, further demonstrating that miR-1 inhibitory effects are not limited to BAL (Fig. 3 e). In agreement with the above experiments, the secretion of eotaxin and the accumulation of pulmonary CD4+ T cells were also significantly inhibited, whereas the level of VEGF in the BAL remained unchanged (Fig. 3 f), suggesting that the delivered miR-1 is not directly targeting VEGF. Levels of serum OVA-specific IgE also did not differ among different OVA-challenged groups (not depicted), suggesting that, as expected, miR-1 delivery at the time of the antigenic challenge does not affect T cell sensitization. In accord with these observations, miR-1 delivery also ameliorated ongoing Th2 inflammation. As shown in Fig. 3 g, the effectiveness of intranasal miR-1 was not limited to its prophylactic administration and intranasal delivery even 24 h after the first antigenic challenge inhibited the BAL eosinophilia, IL-13, and eotaxin secretion.

To further investigate the roles of miR-1 in Th2 inflammation, we also characterized the effects of miR-1 blockade. As can be seen in Fig. 4 a, the intranasal administration of an miR-1 antagonist reversed the inhibitory effects of VEGFR2 blockade and rescued OVA-induced eosinophilic inflammation, mucus metaplasia, and IL-5 secretion. Collectively, these observations demonstrate that miR-1 down-regulation plays a critical role in many facets of pulmonary Th2 inflammation.
Figure 3. The role of miR-1 in the lung Th2 inflammation. (a) C57BL/6 mice were sensitized and challenged with OVA as described in Fig. 2 c. Intranasal miR-1 or scrambled miR-1 (scr–miR-1) was delivered the day before the first challenge and every day afterward. The level of miR-1 was measured by TaqMan qRT-PCR in lung endothelial cells (normalized to the control group; \( n = 4 \) in each group; *, \( P < 0.05 \)). (b) Lungs from these mice were stained with H&E (top) to show the level of peribronchial inflammation and PAS (bottom) to show the extent of mucus metaplasia (dark red) in the large airways. Bars, 50 μm.
miR-1 regulation in other models of type 2 inflammation

To determine whether the effects of miR-1 were limited to the OVA model or dependent on antigenic sensitization, we tested the effects of miR-1 in house dust mite (HDM) and lung IL-13 transgenic models, respectively. In keeping with our findings in the OVA model, intranasal delivery of miR-1 to HDM-sensitized and -challenged mice at the time of antigen challenge significantly decreased eosinophilic inflammation and type 2 cytokine and eotaxin elaboration (Fig. 4 b). As previously reported (Zhu et al., 1999), overexpression of IL-13 in the lung epithelium induces features of Th2 inflammation such as eosinophilic inflammation and mucus metaplasia without any prior antigenic sensitization or challenge. As shown in Fig. 4 (c and d), intranasal miR-1 inhibited both of these antigen-independent type 2 responses. These experiments clearly demonstrate that the regulatory effects of miR-1 are not OVA specific and can be seen in other allergen-induced and antigen-independent inflammatory responses.

Identification of miR-1 targets by expression profiling and RISC analysis

Because of the incomplete base pairing between miRNAs and their targets, identification of genuine miRNA targets is often fraught with difficulties and most of the available prediction algorithms produce an inordinate number of potential targets for each miRNA. Appreciating these limitations, we chose a two-step strategy to identify a more limited and specific number of candidate genes with a higher possibility of genuine targeting. Because miRNAs often lower the levels of their target mRNAs (Lim et al., 2005; Ziegelbauer et al., 2009), we first used an array-based expression profiling of lung endothelial cells to identify potential targets of miR-1. We screened for genes that were up-regulated in response to VEGF and whose up-regulation was inhibited by miR-1 (i.e., in the miR-1–transfected cells). Using three available prediction algorithms (Targetscan, miRANDA, and Pictar), we then produced a comprehensive list of all possible miR-1 targets. A comparison between the genes that passed both of the aforementioned steps (i.e., were differentially regulated by VEGF in the presence of miR-1 and were predicted to be a target of miR-1 by a prediction algorithm) produced a list of 10 candidates (Fig. 5 a). To interrogate these potential miR-1 targets, we first asked whether miR-1 recruits the corresponding mRNAs to the RISC. MLECs were transfected with miR-1 or scr-miR-1, and the cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-Ago2. Recruitment of specific miRNAs to the RISC was assayed by real-time qRT-PCR on these immunoprecipitates (IPs). We first confirmed the efficiency of miR-1 transfection and its presence in the RISC by measuring the level of miR-1 in the Ago2-IP (Fig. 5 b). The concentrations of seven of the candidate genes (Bsdc2, Ikk, S18, St5, Smarcb1, Mpl, and Hspd1) and two other putative miR-1 targets, Ets1 (v-ets erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homologue 1) and Endoglin, with known roles in angiogenesis, were compared in the Ago2-IPs from miR-1–transfected cells with those of controls. As shown in Fig. 5 c, among all of the genes analyzed, miR-1 only recruited Mpl mRNA to the RISC.

We further confirmed the miR-1–dependent recruitment of Mpl mRNA in the more stringent enrichment assay (Fig. 5 d). In this assay, the specific recruitment of targets to mi-RISC is analyzed by measuring the enrichment of miRNAs in the IP compared with the total cytoplasmic (input) fraction. Once again, Mpl mRNA was uniquely enriched in the IP fraction, suggesting that Mpl is a direct target of miR-1.

We next examined the validity of these observations in the whole lung and after intranasal miR-1 delivery. Lung epithelial IL-13 transgenic mice were treated with intranasal miR-1 or scr–miR-1, and enrichment of Mpl mRNA and three other putative targets of miR-1 (ADAR [adenosine deaminase RNA specific], Hhip [hedgehog-interacting protein], and Dlx15 [DEAH box polypeptide 15]) were analyzed as above. As shown in Fig. 5 (e and f), miR-1 is enriched in the RISC after intranasal delivery and recruits Mpl and ADAR mRNAs to this compartment. ADAR is a previously described target of miR-1 in fibroblasts and cardiac myocytes (Lim et al., 2005; Srivastava, 2006; Vinther et al., 2006), and enrichment of ADAR in the lung RISC further confirms that miR-1 reaches the mi-RISC upon intranasal delivery.

The VEGF–miR-1 axis regulates Mpl expression in the lung endothelial cells through the direct interaction of miR-1 with the Mpl 3′ UTR

To validate the aforementioned targeting in a direct study, we first cloned the full-length Mpl 3′ UTR downstream of a...
firefly luciferase gene and compared the levels of firefly luciferase expression (relative to the control Renilla luciferase) after cotransfection with miR-1 or its negative control. In these experiments, miR-1 reduced the level of firefly luciferase by >50% (Fig. 5 g). To further confirm the site of this interaction, we cloned a 50-nt piece of the Mpl 3′ UTR containing the predicted miR-1 target site (Fig. 5 h, top) into the firefly luciferase vector and showed that, similar to above, cotransfection of this vector with miR-1 inhibits the relative expression of firefly luciferase. We then introduced compensatory mutations in this isolated miR-1 site so that it matched the negative control (scr–miR-1). As expected, miR-1 lost its inhibitory effect on this mutated target site and instead scr–miR-1, which was complementary to this site, inhibited the luciferase expression (Fig. 5 h).

To investigate the specific effect of VEGF on Mpl expression, we transfected the MLECs with the Mpl reporter vector (firefly luciferase–Mpl 3′ UTR). Stimulation of these cells with VEGF increased and inhibition of VEGF signaling with its specific receptor inhibitor (SU5416) decreased the Mpl–UTR–regulated luciferase expression (Fig. 5 i).

In Th2 inflammation, VEGF controls the expression of Mpl via down-regulation of miR-1

We first examined the effects of allergic airway inflammation on lung Mpl expression and the role of VEGF in this context. OVA sensitization and challenge increased the levels of lung Mpl to four to five times the levels in controls, and VEGF blockade, in this context, decreased this induction by 60% (Fig. 6 a). Intranasal delivery of miR-1 at the time of antigen challenge had a similar effect and decreased the levels of Mpl in the lung by 50% (Fig. 6 b). To test whether this down-regulation occurs in the endothelium, we measured the levels of Mpl mRNA in lung endothelial cells isolated from miR-1

Figure 4. miR-1 is a critical component of Th2 inflammation. (a) C57BL/6 mice were sensitized and challenged with OVA as described in Fig. 2 c. Intranasal miR-1 antagonist or scrambled control (scr-control) and SU5416 or vehicle (DMSO) were delivered the day before the first challenge and every day afterward. BALs were collected, and eosinophils (*, P < 0.05), MUC5AC (*, P < 0.0001), and lung IL-5 (*, P < 0.002) were measured as described in Fig. 3 (two experiments; n = 5 in each group; OVA-challenged groups were compared using one-way ANOVA, and the p-values were corrected for multiple comparisons). (b) C57BL/6 mice were sensitized with HDM and challenged intranasally for 3 d in the third week after sensitization. Intranasal miR-1 or scr–miR-1 was delivered the day before the first challenge and every day afterward. BALs were collected, and eosinophils (*, P < 0.05), IL-13 (*, P < 0.05), IL-5 (*, P < 0.015), and eotaxin (*, P < 0.0015) were measured as described in Fig. 3 (graphs represent data from three experiments with n = 5 mice per group; miR-1 groups were compared with both scr–miR-1 and vehicle groups). [c and d] IL-13 expression was induced in the lung epithelium of IL-13 transgenic mice (as described in Fig. 2 a). Intranasal miR-1 was administered the day before starting doxycycline and every other day afterward. After 7 d, BALs were collected, and the number of eosinophils (*, P < 0.04) and MUC5AC relative expression (*, P < 0.01) were measured as described in Fig. 3 (relative expression of MUC5AC was calculated by normalizing MUC5AC levels in each transgenic group to WT litters; three experiments; n = 3 or more in each group; miR-1 group was compared with the other two transgenic groups). Error bars represent mean ± SEM.
We next asked whether miR-1 delivery decreases the endothelial expression of P-selectin in the OVA-sensitized and -challenged lungs (Fig. 8 f). P-selectin mRNA level in endothelial cells isolated from these lungs was significantly decreased (Fig. 8 g), showing that this down-regulation occurs in the endothelium. In keeping with the known ability of Mpl to regulate platelet P-selectin (Tibbles et al., 2002) and the inhibitory effect of miR-1 on P-selectin expression, these experiments strongly suggest that the VEGF–miR-1 axis controls the expression of endothelial P-selectin through regulation of Mpl. Importantly, they also suggest that the VEGF–miR-1–Mpl axis mediates its effects on lung Th2 inflammation, at least in part, by regulating this critical adhesion molecule.

**Mpl is required for lung Th2 inflammation**

To directly examine the role of Mpl, we next tested the effects of intranasal siRNA against Mpl in the Th2 models. The efficiency of knockdown by this method was confirmed by showing that levels of lung Mpl protein were decreased by >80% after siRNA delivery (not depicted). Mpl knockdown led to a significant reduction in lung inflammation and mucus metaplasia (Fig. 7 a), BAL eosinophilia and Th2 cytokine secretion (Fig. 7 b), and mucin secretion (Fig. 7 c). Similarly, in IL-13 transgenic mice, Mpl knockdown decreased lung eosinophilia and mucin secretion (Fig. 7 d and e). These findings demonstrate that Mpl plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of Th2 responses in the lung.

**The miR-1–Mpl axis controls the expression of P-selectin in lung endothelium**

At sites of inflammation, endothelial cells facilitate leukocyte entry into tissues via the expression of leukocyte adhesion molecules (Ley et al., 2007). Thus, we analyzed the effects of miR-1 and Mpl on the expression of endothelial adhesion molecules during Th2 inflammation. Evaluations of mRNA expression arrays of MLECs transfected with miR-1 (or its negative control) and stimulated with VEGF (Fig. 8 a) demonstrated that miR-1 specifically and strongly inhibited the expression of P-selectin in lung endothelium. Western blot and histological analysis of the OVA-sensitized and –challenged lungs showed a significant down-regulation of P-selectin after miR-1 delivery in the whole lung lysate (Fig. 8 b), which was quite prominent in lung venules (Fig. 8 c). Finally, measurement of the P-selectin mRNA level in lung endothelial cells isolated from these mice (Fig. 8 d) confirmed that miR-1 delivery decreases the endothelial expression of P-selectin in the OVA-sensitized and –challenged mice. However, the P-selectin 3’ UTR does not contain an miR-1–binding site, and P-selectin mRNA is not recruited to the RISC after miR-1 transfection (not depicted), suggesting that the inhibitory effects of miR-1 on P-selectin are indirect and mediated through its target, Mpl. In accord with this hypothesis, Mpl knockdown decreased the level of P-selectin mRNA in MLECs (Fig. 8 e). More importantly, intranasal delivery of Mpl siRNA caused a significant down-regulation of P-selectin expression in the OVA-sensitized and –challenged lungs (Fig. 8 f). P-selectin mRNA level in endothelial cells isolated from these lungs was significantly decreased (Fig. 8 g), and expression of P-selectin in the venules was diminished (Fig. 8 h), showing that this down-regulation occurs in the endothelium. In keeping with the known ability of Mpl to regulate platelet P-selectin (Tibbles et al., 2002) and the inhibitory effect of miR-1 on P-selectin expression, these experiments strongly suggest that the VEGF–miR-1 axis controls the expression of endothelial P-selectin through regulation of Mpl. Importantly, they also suggest that the VEGF–miR-1–Mpl axis mediates its effects on lung Th2 inflammation, at least in part, by regulating this critical adhesion molecule.

**The VEGF–miR-1–Mpl axis in human endothelium**

To investigate the relevance of the VEGF–miR-1–Mpl axis in human pathologies, we first determined whether VEGF regulated the expression of miR-1 in human primary endothelial cells (HUVECs). As shown in Fig. 9 a, VEGF down-regulated miR-1 in human endothelial cells and inhibition of VEGF signaling abrogated this down-regulation. We next asked whether miR-1 targets Mpl in human primary endothelial cells. As shown in Fig. 9 b, miR-1 decreased the expression of Mpl in HUVECs by >50%. Experiments were next undertaken to determine whether this inhibitory effect was mediated through the miR-1 interaction with Mpl 3’ UTR. Because human Mpl (hMpl) 3’ UTR is longer than that of the mouse and has two predicted miR-1–binding sites that differ from that in the mouse, human 3’ UTR was cloned and used in this study. In these experiments, we cotransfected the miR-1 mimic with a reporter plasmid containing firefly luciferase cloned upstream from the human full-length Mpl 3’ UTR. As shown in Fig. 9 c, miR-1 cotransfection inhibited the expression of firefly luciferase (represented as relative luciferase activity) by ~50%. Thus, these observations demonstrate that the VEGF–miR-1–Mpl pathway is active in human cells.

**DISCUSSION**

The prevalence of asthma has increased considerably in the past three decades (Barnes, 2010; Kim et al., 2010). However, despite extensive research in this area, disease-modifying interventions for asthma are distinctly lacking (Holgate and Polosa, 2008; Barnes, 2010; Akdis, 2012). VEGF is a multifaceted, highly conserved cytokine with far-reaching effects on biological processes, including angiogenesis, carcinogenesis, and inflammation (Ferrara et al., 2003). We hypothesized that the inflammatory effects of VEGF are mediated, at least in part, through the regulation of an miRNA pathway. Specifically, we hypothesized that VEGF-induced alterations in endothelial cell miRNA play an important role in the pathogenesis of pulmonary Th2 inflammation. In the present study, we have defined a novel and critical effector pathway in Th2 inflammation in which VEGF inhibits the expression of endothelial miR-1, leading to an accumulation of its direct target, Mpl, and thereby increased expression of P-selectin in the lung endothelium. This pathway controls the accumulation of Th2 effector cells and eosinophils in the lung after antigenic challenge and regulates the major pathophysiological features of pulmonary Th2 responses. These experiments validated the hypothesis that VEGF-mediated P-selectin expression is regulated by miR-1, and that this regulation occurs in the lung endothelium.
Figure 5. Identification and validation of an miR-1 target. (a) MLECs were transfected with miR-1 or scrambled control (scr–miR-1) and stimulated with VEGF or PBS. RNA was extracted from the cells and hybridized to an mRNA microarray. Heat map shows the 10 genes that were selected as potential targets of miR-1 based on their response to VEGF stimulation and the effect of miR-1 transfection on this response. The dataset was log transformed, normalized, and median centered. The bar shows the color scale used in the heat map (values are in log base). (b–d) MLECs were transfected with miR-1 or scr–miR-1. Cells were lysed, and the lysate was immune-precipitated with anti-Ago2. (b) The level of miR-1 that coimmunoprecipitated with Ago2 was measured by TaqMan qRT-PCR and normalized to the scrambled control (data from two experiments; n = 4 in each group; *, P < 0.0001). (c) The level of mRNAs
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functionality of this pathway by demonstrating that miR-1 is down-regulated and Mpl is up-regulated during Th2 inflammation, miR-1 supplementation inhibits the Th2 inflammation and Mpl expression and antagonizing miR-1 rescues both the Th2 inflammation and Mpl expression after VEGF blockade, and finally, Mpl silencing, similar to miR-1 delivery, abrogates lung Th2 responses and down-regulates the expression of endothelial P-selectin.

Recent human studies have demonstrated that VEGF and VEGFRs are highly expressed in the lungs, BAL, and sputum of asthmatic subjects and that their levels correlate with disease severity (Hoshino et al., 2001b; Redington et al., 2001; Simcock et al., 2007; Tuder and Yun, 2008; Asosingh and Erzurum, 2009). In experimental models, the overexpression of VEGF induced Th2 inflammation, and VEGF blockade inhibited aeroallergen-induced inflammation (Lee et al., 2004). However, despite the abundance of evidence supporting the role of VEGF in asthma, the mechanism of this VEGF effect has not been adequately studied, and the clinical use of VEGF blockers in this disorder has never been realized. Our study defines the VEGF–miR-1–Mpl axis in Th2 inflammation and suggests that this axis is also active in human asthma. By so doing, they introduce a novel VEGF-driven pathway that can be targeted to control Th2 and asthma-like responses.

Several studies have addressed the identities and effects of miRNAs involved in VEGF-induced angiogenesis (Fish et al., 2008; Suárez et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008; Bonauer et al., 2009; Nicoli et al., 2010). However, the roles of miRNAs in VEGF-induced inflammation and the mechanistic details of this contribution have never been investigated. Our experiments address these deficiencies. The down-regulation of endothelial miR-1 by VEGF; IL-13, and allergen-induced inflammation and the ability of miR-1 antagonists to overcome the effects of VEGFR blockade on Th2 inflammation establish the existence of a robust miR-1 pathway downstream of VEGF in the lung. The direct targeting of the Mpl 3’ UTR by miR-1 and the demonstration that Mpl knockdown inhibits eosinophilic inflammation, mucus metaplasia, Th2 cytokine production, and endothelial P-selectin expression defines a molecular mechanism for this pathway.

Preliminary investigations have implicated miRNAs in Th2 inflammation. These studies defined an miRNA induced by TLR-4 in lung epithelium (Mattes et al., 2009), highlighted up-regulation of miR-21 by IL-13 (Lu et al., 2009), and demonstrated that Let7 targets IL-13 3’ UTR in vitro and possibly in vivo (Polikepahad et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2011). However, as valuable as these findings have been, they have only addressed isolated steps in the Th2 cascade. By using two lung-targeted transgenics and two widely used aeroallergen inflammation models, we have been able to identify and validate an miRNA pathway downstream from VEGF and VEGFR2 and demonstrate that it plays a critical role in the elicitation of lung Th2 inflammatory responses. We have also highlighted the relationship between the miR-1 target, Mpl, and one of its downstream molecules, P-selectin.

There are two interesting endothelial-related findings in our studies. First, although miR-1 was administered via an intranasal route, it readily reached the pulmonary endothelium. This demonstrates that an intranasal or aerosol route can be used to deliver miRNA to the pulmonary endothelium. Second, our experiments demonstrate that in Th2 inflammation, the level of endothelial miR-1 is controlled by VEGF signaling and correlates closely with the extent of inflammation. We found the strongest down-regulatory effect of VEGF in the endothelium, showed that VEGF blockade inhibits the endothelial miR-1 down-regulation, and found a genuine, biologically valid target of miR-1 in the lung endothelial cells. These data strongly suggest that lung endothelium is a critical integration site for antigen-triggered pulmonary Th2 responses. This observation is in line with a recent study defining endothelial cells as central orchestrators of cytokine responses induced by influenza infection (Teijaro et al., 2011).

We used four different approaches to identify a target of miR-1. First, by combining in silico prediction and differential miRNA expression analysis, we narrowed the list of potential miR-1 targets to 10 genes that are induced by VEGF,
Figure 6. VEGF controls the expression of Mpl in Th2 inflammation through regulation of miR-1. (a) C57BL/6 mice were sensitized to and challenged with OVA and received SU5416 (or vehicle) the day before the first challenge and every day afterward. Whole lung lysates from these mice were blotted with anti-Mpl or -β-actin antibodies. The top panel shows a representative Western blot, and the bottom panel shows the quantification based on densitometry (three experiments; n = 3 or more replicates in each; OVA-challenged groups were compared statistically: *, P < 0.05). (b) C57BL/6 mice were sensitized and challenged with OVA and received intranasal miR-1 as described in Fig. 3. Whole lung lysates were blotted as described in a. The top panels show representative gels, and the bottom panel shows quantification based on densitometry (two experiments; n = 4 in each; *, all groups were compared with PBS-challenged mice; **, miR-1–treated mice were compared with scr–miR-1 and vehicle [OVA] groups: both * and **, P < 0.03). (c) Expression of Mpl in the lung endothelial cells from these mice was measured by real-time qRT-PCR (values normalized to those in PBS-challenged mice and presented as 2−ΔΔCt; graphs represent two experiments; n = 4 or more in each group; *, P < 0.03). (d) C57BL/6 mice were sensitized and challenged with OVA and received SU5416 and miR-1 antagomir as described in Fig. 4 a. Whole lung lysates were blotted as described in a. The left panels show representative gels with three replicates in each group. The graph on the right shows quantification based on densitometry (two experiments; n = 4 or more in each group; OVA groups were compared by one-way ANOVA and multiple comparisons: *, P < 0.0001; three of the statistically significant comparisons are shown with horizontal bars). Error bars represent mean ± SEM.
inhibited in the presence of miR-1, and contain an miR-1-responsive element in their 3′ UTR (Fig. 5a). This method has been previously used to screen and identify miRNA targets (Lim et al., 2005; Ziegelbauer et al., 2009). Second, we used Ago2 immunoprecipitation to identify mRNAs that are directly targeted by miR-1, i.e., are recruited to RISC by miR-1. We used two RISC recruitment assays to analyze the selective recruitment of a gene to the RISC in the presence of miR-1 compared with its scrambled control (Fig. 5c) and more specifically show selective enrichment of this gene in

Figure 7. The role of Mpl in the lung Th2 inflammation. (a–c) C57BL/6 mice were sensitized and challenged with OVA as described in Fig. 2c and received intranasal Mpl siRNA or scrambled control (scr-ctrl) the day before the first challenge and every day afterward. (a) Lung sections were stained with H&E (top) for peribronchial inflammation and PAS (bottom) for mucus metaplasia (dark red) in the large airways. Bars, 50 µm. (b) BALs were collected from these mice and analyzed for eosinophilia (H&E stain of cytospin preps, top), IL-13, and IL-5 (ELISA, middle and bottom; three experiments; n = 4 or more in each group; OVA-challenged Mplsi-treated mice were compared with the OVA + scrambled control and vehicle [OVA] groups; for all comparisons *, P < 0.05). (c) Levels of MUC5AC in the BALs of these mice were measured by slot blot hybridization and normalized to the values in the PBS-challenged control group (graphs represent two experiments; n = 5 in each group; *, P < 0.001). (d and e) IL-13 expression was induced in IL-13 transgenic mice as described in Fig. 2a, and intranasal Mpl siRNA or scr-ctrl was delivered on the day before starting the induction and every other day afterward. After 7 d, BALs were collected, and eosinophilia and MUC5AC expression was determined as described in Fig. 3 (b and e, respectively; values were normalized to the levels in the WT group; graphs represent data from two experiments; n = 3 or more in each group; for both *, P < 0.04). Error bars represent mean ± SEM.
Figure 8. The effect of the miR-1–Mpl axis on lung P-selectin. (a) MLECs were transfected with miR-1 and stimulated with VEGF, and extracted RNA was hybridized to an mRNA microarray as described in Fig. 5a. Heat map shows the expression levels of various adhesion molecules under the four experimental conditions (the dataset was normalized and median centered; Icam1 and 2, intercellular adhesion molecule 1 and 2; Madcam1, mucosal vascular adhesin cell adhesion molecule 1; Vcam1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1; SELPLG, P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1; the bar shows the color scale used in the
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the Ago2-IP fraction compared with the cytoplasmic fraction (Fig. 5 d). Both of these assays have been widely used to detect the direct targeting of genes by miRNAs (Liu et al., 2004; Karginov et al., 2007; Hendrickson et al., 2008; Fabian et al., 2009; Fasanaro et al., 2009; Challenger et al., 2011; Thomson et al., 2011; Riley et al., 2012). Third, we showed direct base pairing between miR-1 and target gene 3’ UTR by luciferase assay (Fig. 5 e) and mutational analysis (Fig. 5 f). Lastly, we confirmed the biological validity of this targeting by defining the effects of VEGF miR-1, and an miR-1 antagomir on target expression (Figs. 5 d and 6). These experiments demonstrated that Mpl is the direct target of VEGF-miR-1 axis in Th2 inflammation.

Mpl, the receptor for thrombopoietin, is known for its roles in megakaryopoiesis and hematopoietic stem cell differentiation (Chou and Mullot, 2011). It is expressed on endothelial cells and progenitors (Cardier and Dempsey, 1998; Amano et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2006; Eguchi et al., 2008) and affects the accumulation of plasma cells in bone marrow and the differentiation of mast cells (Migliaccio et al., 2007; Winter et al., 2010). However, a direct proinflammatory role for Mpl has not been previously appreciated. Our study provides new insights into the biology of Mpl by demonstrating that Mpl plays an essential role in Th2 inflammation and endothelial P-selectin expression.

P-selectin is one of the main adhesion molecules expressed on the surface of the lung endothelium (Broide et al., 1998a,b), and it is known that Mpl induces expression of P-selectin in platelets (Tibbles et al., 2002). Human studies have also shown a close correlation between the levels of VEGF and cellular adhesion molecules such as ICAM-1, VCAM-1, and E-, L-, and P-selectins (Azmi-Nezhad et al., 2013) and that VEGF induces the expression of VCAM-1 and E-selectin and promotes the adhesion of leukocytes to the endothelium (Ismail et al., 2012). The present study adds to our knowledge in this area by demonstrating that in Th2 inflammation, endothelial P-selectin expression is a component of the VEGF-miR-1 pathway that is controlled through Mpl modulation. Specifically, they demonstrate that endothelial miR-1 is inhibited by VEGF, leading to increased Mpl and P-selectin expression at sites of Th2 inflammation. They also demonstrate that the administration of miR-1 decreases the levels of Mpl and P-selectin, eosinophilic inflammation, mucus metaplasia, Th2 cytokine elaboration, eotaxin production, and AHR. These observations suggest that the Th2 inhibitory effects of miR-1 or Mpl siRNA are mediated, at least in part, by the ability of these interventions to alter the adhesion molecule repertoire of the endothelium and, in turn, the entry of T cells and or eosinophils into the lung. Our demonstration that the accumulation of CD4+ T cells was decreased while levels of antigen-specific IgE were not altered by miR-1 further supports this concept. The inhibitory effect of miR-1 on the antigen-independent, eosinophil-rich inflammatory response in IL-13 transgenic mice further supports the concept that miR-1 is not altering T cell sensitization or Th2 skewing.

The idea that endothelial events are critical regulators of Th2 inflammation is in accord with a sizable literature that has demonstrated that P-selectin plays an important role in recruiting T cells and eosinophils to the lung (Broide et al., 1998a,b; Symon et al., 1994; Lukacs et al., 2002; Stephens and Chaplin, 2002; Larbi et al., 2003; Ulfman et al., 2003; Banerjee, 2011). Antigen-sensitized and -challenged P-selectin–null mice fail to develop airway hyperreactivity or airway eosinophilia, whereas the levels of IgE in their serum are comparable with their WT littermate controls (Lukacs et al., 2002). Asthmatic endothelial P-selectin can also directly activate eosinophil attachment to the endothelium (Johansson and Mosher, 2011). Eosinophils are required for and can significantly augment the severity of the allergen-induced inflammation by secreting Th2 cytokines (Rosenberg et al., 2007), activating and recruiting T cells (MacKenzie et al., 2001; Mattes et al., 2002; Jacobsen et al., 2008; Walsh et al., 2008), and modulating dendritic cell responses (Jacobsen et al., 2008). Additional studies will be required to further define the mechanisms that the VEGF-miR-1–Mpl-P-selectin pathway uses to regulate pulmonary Th2 responses.

It is often crucial to investigate whether the pathways discovered in animal models are actually operative in human cells and tissues. Because the Mpl miR-1–responsive element in human differs from that in mice, this investigation is of even higher importance. To address this issue, we undertook experiments using primary human endothelial cells.
experiments demonstrated that VEGF decreased the levels of miR-1 in human cells. They also demonstrated that, despite the sequence differences, miR-1 inhibits hMpl via interacting with its 3’ UTR. When viewed in combination, these experiments demonstrate that the VEGF–miR-1–Mpl axis is operative in humans.

In summary, this study highlights a novel endothelial miRNA–based pathway that plays an important role in the genesis of Th2– and IL-13–induced responses in the lung. Specifically, they demonstrate that VEGF contributes to Th2 inflammation by down-regulating miR-1 in the endothelium, thereby increasing the expression of Mpl and its downstream target, P-selectin. This in turn contributes to recruitment of activated T cells and eosinophils into the lung and subsequent eosinophilic inflammation, mucus metaplasia, and AHR. Additional investigations of this pathway, its relationship to other VEGF- and miR-1–mediated responses, and its roles in health and disease are warranted.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microarray analysis. miRNA profiling of the mouse lungs was performed through the microarray service of LC Sciences after harvesting the lungs and RNA extraction by mirVana RNA Isolation kit (Ambion). Lung RNA from lung epithelial VEGF transgenic mice and their WT litters were labeled with Cy5 and Cy3, respectively, and hybridized on a microarray that detected miRNA transcripts listed in Sanger miRBase Release 9.1. For the array analysis, the signal values were derived by background subtraction and normalization. For all the detectable and acceptable signals (i.e., signal intensity higher than 3× background, spot coefficient of variation <0.5, and signals from >50% of repeating probes on the chip), the median value of the repeating probes were calculated, different values were averaged, and the differential ratios were presented in log2 scale. The statistical significance was analyzed by Student’s t test, and the differentials reported as significant if P < 0.01. Array data were deposited in the GEO database (accession no. GSE50644).

miRNA and mRNA analysis by qRT-PCR. miRNA analyses were performed by TaqMan real-time qRT-PCR assay (Applied Biosystems) on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR machine and according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Based on Applied Biosystems’ technical recommendation, sno202 was used as the internal control. In each set, the test sample was compared with a calibrator (WT control or PBS treatment) and expressed as relative expression or 2−ΔΔCt.

mRNA analyses were performed using the SYBER Green real-time RT-PCR kit and according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems) on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR machine. When the concentrations of the extracted RNA were <5 ng/µl (e.g., after MACS [magnetic-activated cell sorting] for endothelial cells on a single lung), the miRNA or mRNA of interest and the corresponding normalization gene were preamplified as described previously (Tang et al., 2006).

Isolation of endothelial cells from the mouse lung by MACS. Endothelial (CD31+, CD45− or CD105+, CD45−) and hematopoietic (CD45+) cells were isolated using Miltenyi Biotec magnetic columns or Dynabead Toyoll–activated Dynabeads and DynalMag15 magnet (Invitrogen) and corresponding antibodies from the same manufacturers and according to the instructions. In brief, lungs were harvested from mice, sliced to small pieces in PBS buffer on ice, and filtered through 70-µm and then 40-µm nylon meshes to make a single cell suspenate, and RBCs were lysed using Sigma-Aldrich RBC lysis buffer. Cells were then counted by hemocytometer before incubation with the antibody-coated magnetic beads. Magnetic labeling and separations were performed according to the instructions. Total lung cell suspensions were first depleted of CD45+ cells and then positively selected for CD31+ or
CD105+ cells. The enrichment and purity of the endothelial cells were checked by FACS analysis of the selected cells and qRT-PCR for VCAM on the RNA extracted from the whole lung cells, CD45+, CD45-/CD31+, and CD45-/CD31- cells.

**Allergen sensitization and challenge.** Sensitization and challenge with OVA was performed as described previously (Lee et al., 2009). In brief, C57BL/6 mice were sensitized to a mixture of OVA and Alum (Imject; Sigma-Aldrich) by i.p. injection, boosted with a second injection after 1 wk, and challenged with aerosolized OVA for 3 d, 3 wk after the initial sensitization. Mice were injected i.p. with 20 µg/g S5416 (dissolved in DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich) or given intranasal miR-1 or Mpl siRNA (synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies) the night before the first challenge and every night after the challenge afterward. In all of the experiments involving S5416, the control mice were injected with DMSO i.p. If the sensitization was induced by i.p. injection of a mixture of HDN (Greer Labs; ~1 µg DerP1 per mouse) and Alum (Imject; Sigma-Aldrich; 3 mg per mouse) on day 0, followed by a boost dose of the same mixture i.p. on days 7 and 21 antigenic challenge with intranasal HDN (~2 µg DerP1) on days 17–19 after sensitization. miRNA delivery was similar to above.

**Transgenic mice and intranasal delivery.** All animal experiments were performed under protocol #2009-07586 approved by the Yale Institutional and Animal Care and Use Committee. All of the mice used in this manuscript are on a C57BL/6 background, and the WT controls of the transgenic mice were their littermates. All of the transgenic animals have been crossed with WT's for more than six generations. The generation and phenotype of the CC10-rTAT-VEGF and CC10-rTAT-IL13 transgenic mice in which expression of human VEGF165 and mouse IL-13, respectively, is under the control of an inducible promoter have been described previously (Lee et al., 2004). Mice were grown to 6–8 wk, and expression of the transgene was induced by adding 1 g/liter dexamethasone to their drinking water for 7–10 d. For intranasal delivery, mice were anesthetized by i.p. injection of 0.5–0.7 ml ketamine/xylazine. A total of 50 µl of double-stranded RNA (1 µg/µl) in siRNA hybridization buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was administered by placing the tip of the pipetter near each nostril and injecting 10–20 µl each time as described previously (Zhang et al., 2004).

**BAL and lung tissue analyses.** Cytokines in the BAL or lung lysates were measured using R&D Systems ELISA kits and according to the manufacturer's instructions. Mucus hypersecretion was assessed in the BAL by slot blot analysis with antibody against MUC5AC as described previously (Zheng et al., 2008). For the general assessment of lung inflammation and mucus hyperplasia, lung sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and periodic acid-Schiff (PAS), respectively. Eosinophils in the lung tissues were counted in the bronchovascular areas after staining the lung sections with Congo Red. Four or more typical areas were delineated in each sample, and the number of the eosinophils was expressed as the mean cells/mm2. The enrichment and purity of the endothelial cells were checked by FACS analysis of the selected cells and qRT-PCR for VCAM on the RNA extracted from the whole lung cells, CD45+, CD45-/CD31+, and CD45-/CD31- cells.

**Measurement of AHR.** Airway responses were measured by the forced oscillation technique using FlexiVent (SCIREQ). Mice were anesthetized with 70 mg/kg pentobarbital and 1.8 g/kg urethane followed by 0.5 mg/kg pancuronium bromide. A tracheostomy was performed and a 19-gauge metal needle was inserted, and mice were ventilated with a tidal volume of 10 µl/kg at a frequency of 150 breaths/min and a positive end-expiratory pressure of 3 cm H2O. Each mouse was challenged with saline (0 mg/ml) followed by increasing concentrations of methacholine aerosol (10–80 mg/ml) generated with an in-line nebulizer and administered directly through the ventilator for 10 s. Mean airway resistance (R) measures were calculated at each methacholine concentration.

**RISC analysis.** The recruitment of miRNAs and mRNAs to RISC was analyzed by Ago2 communoprecipitation as described previously (Vasudevan and Steitz, 2007) with modifications. MLECs were grown in 10-cm plates and transfected with double-stranded miR-1 as described above. 48 h after transfection, cells were collected by scraping in cold PBS, spun down (110 g for 10 min at 4°C), and resuspended in 250 µl of cold lysis buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, 150 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 2 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, 0.05% NP-40, and 1 mM PMSF). Part of this lysate was kept as “input fraction,” and the rest was immunoprecipitated with anti-Ago2 antibody (EMD Millipore) and protein G beads (GE Healthcare). The beads were directly added to TRIzol after washing three times (wash buffer is made by adding tRNA to the lysis buffer to the final concentration of 100 µg/ml) and the RNA extracted with mirVana kit after one round of chloroform extraction.

**miRNA and siRNA.** Mature miR-1 mimic was made by hybridizing the following two RNA oligonucleotides: M-1-s, 5'-UUUGAAUGAAUAGAAGAAAGUAAGUAUA-3'; M-1- as, 5'-CAUACUUCUUCAUCAUCUCA-3'. The scrambled control was made by hybridizing the following: Delta-s, 5'-UUGAGUAGUUAGUAGAAAGUAUA-3'; Delta-as, 5'-CAUACUUCUUCAUCAUCUCA-3'. This control contains sequence scrambled at only six positions. miR-1 antagonist and its scramble control were designed and synthesized by Regulus and contained the following sequences with phosphorothioate modification of the backbone: miR-1 antagonist, 5'-UACAUACUUCCUAUCAUCCAC-3'; scramble control, 5'-CCUUCGCCUGAAGGUUCCUCCCUU-3'. Mpl siRNA, VEGFR2 siRNA, and scrambled controls were purchased from Invitrogen.

**Cell culture.** MLECs were isolated from mouse lungs as described previously (Zhang et al., 2006). P2-P6 cells were used for the experiments. MLE12 cells were purchased from ATCC, and PASMCs were a gift from P. Lee's laboratory at Yale Pulmonary and Critical Care Department. MLECs were grown in DMEM/F12 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 20% FCS. MLE12s and PASMCs were grown in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 2% and 10% FCS, respectively.

Cells were starved in 0.1–0.5% FCS supplemented medium for 24 h before the addition of VEGF. Cultured cells were lysed directly by adding TRIzol (Invitrogen) to the wells, and RNA was purified extracted by the mirVana isolation kit after a chloroform extraction. S5416 was added to the medium to the final concentration of 1 µM.

Transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and Opti-MEM reagents and according the protocol recommended by the manufacturer for DNA or siRNA transfection, respectively. The medium was changed the next day, starvation started on the second day, and VEGF was added to the medium on the third day after transfection.

**Plasmids, cloning, and luciferase assays.** Mpl 3' UTR was cloned into the multiple cloning site of PGL3-MCS (P098) that was a gift from K. Riley in J. Steitz's laboratory (Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT). This plasmid was constructed by cloning a fragment containing the Xbal site of the PGL3 vector from Promega. In brief, mouse Mpl 3' UTR was amplified from mouse total genomic DNA (Promega) using the two primers F, 5'-GACGAGGAGATCAGACGAGCGACG-3'; M, 5'-GATCTAGTCCCCCATGCTACGAGGAGAATTCGAC-3', and R, 5'-TTCAGAGAATTTTATTTGAGGTCTGCGC-3', which added a BglII and an EcoR1 site to the ends of the fragment, respectively. This PCR fragment was gel purified and cloned into PGEM-T-easy (Promega), cut with BglII and EcoRI, and cloned into the corresponding sites in PGL3-MCS. The miR-1–binding site from the Mpl 3' UTR was inserted into the multiple cloning site of PGL3-MCS (PO98) that was a gift from K. Riley in J. Steitz's laboratory (Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT). This plasmid was constructed by cloning a fragment containing 5'-GATCTAGTCCCCCATGCTACGAGGAGAATTCGAC-3'; M, 5'-GATCTAGTCCCCCATGCTACGAGGAGAATTCGAC-3'; and R, 5'-TTCAGAGAATTTTATTTGAGGTCTGCGC-3', which added a BglII and an EcoR1 site to the ends of the fragment, respectively. This PCR fragment was gel purified and cloned into PGEM-T-easy (Promega), cut with BglII and EcoRI, and cloned into the corresponding sites in PGL3-MCS. The miR-1–binding site from the Mpl 3' UTR was constructed by hybridizing the two DNA oligonucleotides F, 5'-GATCTAGTCCCCCATGCTACGAGGAGAATTCGAC-3'; and R, 5'-TTCAGAGAATTTTATTTGAGGTCTGCGC-3'.
5′-AATCTCGGATACGCTGATGAGATGTAGGCTCGAGTAGCTATGGAGGAGTTTCTGCTGACT -3′, digesting the resulting double-stranded DNA with BglII and EcoRI, and cloning the digested fragment into PGL3-MCS as described above. The fragment containing a mutated binding site corresponding to the scrambled control RNA was constructed as above by hybridizing the following two oligonucleotides: E: 5′-GATCGTAGCTCCATGCTACTGCTGACATACACTCTCACTACACACTCTTATCCAGC-3′; and R: 5′-AATCTGGTAAGGTAGTGTAGGAGAGTGTATGTCAGCA GTACGATGGGGACTA-3′. P-CMV-Renilla luciferase was a gift from K. Riley in J. Stenitz’s laboratory. Luciferase activity in the MLE12 cells transfected with the above vectors was measured by the Dual-Glo luciferase assay kit (Promega) according to the instructions.

HUVECs were purchased from the Keck facility at Yale University and maintained in M199, 20% FBS, and EGS (1:1,000; purchased from the Keck facility). hMpl 3′ UTR plasmid was purchased from Genecopoeia (miRNA 3′ UTR target clones). Luciferase assay on the cells transfected with this plasmid was performed using luc-pair luciferase assay kit (Genecopoeia) reagents according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Western blot analysis. Lungs were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with added protease inhibitor (Roche), and the proteins were separated on a 4–20% gradient Tris polyacrylamide gel, transferred at 100 V for 1 h at 4°C. The blots were then blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in TBST and incubated with 1:1,000 primary antibody (anti-TPOR/cMpl; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and Femto Supersignal reagent (Thermo Scientific) and exposing to film.

mRNA expression arrays. Cellular RNA for the array was extracted using the mirVana kit according to the instructions. The isolated miRNA was sent to the Keck center at Yale for hybridization to Illumina arrays. The signal values were reported as mean of repeating probes. The heat maps for the mRNA expression data were polished for the clustering by normalizing rows and columns and using Pearson’s correlation coefficients. The clustering was visualized by chord diagrams exposed to Western or slot blots. We used National Institutes of Health grants K99/R00 HL098695-01 and 1 R01 HL 078744.
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