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Increased resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variant P.1 to antibody neutralization

Highlights

- P.1 is refractory to multiple neutralizing mAbs, including three out of the four with EUA
- P.1 is relatively resistant to neutralization by convalescent plasma and vaccinee sera
- Cryo-EM structure of P.1 spike trimer reveals exclusively one-RBD-up conformation
- Mutations with only local changes

In brief

Wang et al. report that an emergent SARS-CoV-2 variant, P.1, is relatively resistant to neutralization by multiple therapeutic monoclonal antibodies, convalescent plasma, and vaccinee sera. The cryoelectron microscopy structure reveals the P.1 trimer to adopt exclusively a conformation with one of the receptor-binding domains in the “up” position.
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SUMMARY

The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants has raised concerns about altered sensitivity to antibody-mediated immunity. The relative resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.1.7 and B.1.351 to antibody neutralization has been recently investigated. We report that another emergent variant from Brazil, P.1, is not only refractory to multiple neutralizing monoclonal antibodies but also more resistant to neutralization by convalescent plasma and vaccinee sera. The magnitude of resistance is greater for monoclonal antibodies than vaccinee sera and evident with both pseudovirus and authentic P.1 virus. The cryoelectron microscopy structure of a soluble prefusion-stabilized spike reveals that the P.1 trimer adopts exclusively a conformation in which one of the receptor-binding domains is in the “up” position, which is known to facilitate binding to entry receptor ACE2. The functional impact of P.1 mutations thus appears to arise from local changes instead of global conformational alterations. The P.1 variant threatens current antibody therapies but less so protective vaccine efficacy.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) P.1, emerging from the B.1.1.28 lineage, has become a dominant variant in Brazil (Faria, 2021; Naveca, 2021). P.1 contains 10 spike mutations in addition to D614G, including K417T, E484K, and N501Y in the receptor-binding domain (RBD); L18F, T20N, P26S, D138Y, and R190S in the N-terminal domain (NTD); and H655Y near the furin cleavage site. This new variant could threaten the efficacy of current monoclonal antibody (mAb) therapies or vaccines because it shares mutations at the same three RBD residues with B.1.351, a variant that first emerged from South Africa (Tegally et al., 2021). We and others (Liu et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2021) have shown that B.1.351 is more resistant to neutralization by some mAbs, convalescent plasma and vaccinee sera, in part due to a E484K mutation that also exists in P.1. We therefore obtained the P.1 authentic virus and also created, as previously described (Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021), a vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV)-based SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus with all 10 mutations of the P.1 variant (BZD10), and assessed their susceptibility to neutralization by 18 neutralizing mAbs, 20 convalescent plasma, and 22 vaccinee sera as previously reported (Wang et al., 2021).

We first assayed the neutralizing activity of four mAbs with Emergency Use Authorization (EUA), including REGN10987 (imdevimab), REGN10933 (casirivimab) (Hansen et al., 2020), LY-CoV555 (bamlanivimab) (Chen et al., 2021; Gottlieb et al., 2021), and CB6 (etesevimab) (Robbiani et al., 2020). We next tested the neutralizing activity of eight additional RBD mAbs, including ones from our own collection (2-15, 2-7, 1-57, and 2-36) (Liu et al., 2020) as well as S309 (Pinto et al., 2020), COV2-2196 and COV2-2130 (Zost et al., 2020), and C121 (Robbiani et al., 2020). The neutralizing activities of the two potent mAbs targeting the receptor-binding motif, 2-15 and C121, were completely lost against P.1 (Figures 1A, middle panel; Figure S1A). Other mAbs targeting the “inner side” or the “outer side” of the RBD retained their activities against P.1, however. Overall, the data on pseudovirus and authentic virus were in agreement, and the findings on P.1 mimic those observed for
B.1.351 (Wang et al., 2021), which should not be surprising since the triple RBD mutations in P.1 and B.1.351 are largely the same. We also assessed the neutralizing activity of six NTD mAbs (Liu et al., 2020) against the P.1 pseudovirus and authentic virus (Figure 1A, right panel; Figure S1B). P.1 was profoundly resistant to neutralization by four NTD antibodies: 2-17, 4-18, 4-19, and 5-7. Interestingly, 5-24 and 4-8, two mAbs targeting the antigenic supersite in NTD (Cerutti et al., 2021) that have completely lost neutralizing activity against B.1.351 (Wang et al., 2021), remained active against P.1. To understand the specific mutations responsible for the observed pattern of neutralization, we then tested these NTD mAbs against a panel of pseudoviruses, each containing only a single NTD mutation found in P.1 (Figure S1B). As expected, 5-24 and 4-8 retained activity against all single-mutation pseudoviruses. P26S only partially accounted for the loss of activity of 4-18; L18F, T20N, and D138Y contributed to the loss of activity of 2-17 and 4-19; and L18F, T20N, D138Y, and R190S together resulted in the loss of activity of 5-7.

We also examined a panel of convalescent plasma obtained from 20 SARS-CoV-2 patients infected in the spring of 2020, as previously reported (Wang et al., 2021). Each plasma sample was assayed for neutralization against the P.1 pseudovirus and authentic virus in parallel with their WT counterparts. As shown in Figure S1C, many samples lost >2-fold neutralizing activity against BZΔ10 and P.1. The magnitude of the drop in plasma
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**Figure 1.** Neutralization of BZD10 and P.1 by mAbs, convalescent plasma, and vaccinee sera

(A) Changes in neutralization IC50 of select RBD and NTD mAbs.

(B) Changes in reciprocal plasma neutralization ID50 values of convalescent plasma and reciprocal serum ID50 values for persons who received Moderna or Pfizer vaccine. Mean fold change in ID50 relative to the WT is written above the p values. Statistical analysis was performed using a Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. Two-tailed p values are reported. See also Figures S1.
neutralization infectious dose (ID)₅₀ titers is summarized in Figure 1B (left panel), showing a 6.5-fold loss of activity against the variant pseudovirus and a 3.4-fold loss of activity against the authentic virus.

Twenty-two vaccinee sera were obtained, as previously reported (Wang et al., 2021), from 12 individuals who received Moderna SARS-Co-2 mRNA-1273 vaccine (Anderson et al., 2020) and 10 individuals who received the Pfizer BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine (Polack et al., 2020). Each serum sample was assayed for neutralization against BZΔ10 and P.1 together with WT viruses. The extent of the decline in neutralization activity is summarized in Figure 1B (middle and right panels), and

Figure 2. Cryo-EM structure of the P.1 spike
(A) Overall cryo-EM structure of the P.1 spike trimer with domains colored as shown in key, glycans shown in green, and mutations highlighted in red. Density is shown for the 3.8 Å reconstruction with the molecular model shown in ribbon representation. The left image shows a side view, with viral membrane located below, and the right image shows the view looking down on the spike apex. (B) NTD close up view. (C) RBD close up view. See also Figure S2 and Table S1.
each neutralization profile is shown in Figure S1D. A loss of activity against BZA10 and P.1 was noted for every sample, but the magnitude of the loss was modest (2.2–2.8 fold for the pseudovirus; 3.8–4.8 fold for the authentic virus) and not as striking as was observed against B.1.351 (6.5–8.6 fold for pseudovirus; 10.3–12.4 fold for authentic virus) (Wang et al., 2021).

To provide insight into the mechanisms of antibody resistance, we determined the structure of the 2-proline-stabilized P.1 spike protein at 3.8 Å resolution by single-particle cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM) (Figures 2; Figure S2; Table S1). Overall, the structure of the P.1 spike was highly similar to the D614G variant (Korber et al., 2020; Yurkovetskiy et al., 2020), with 3D classes observed only for the single-RBD-up conformation. This was expected, as the D614G mutation, contained in P1, appears to favor the one-up orientation of RBD, which is required for ACE2 binding and recognition by some RBD-directed antibodies. Structural mobility was observed with the raised RBD (protomer B), but not with protomers A and C, which were in the down orientation (Video S1). Map density was well satisfied by the previously reported single-up structure (PDB: 6XMO) for the majority of the trimer, except in three regions. Residues 310–322 in protomer A traced a different path, residues 623–632 were disordered in protomers A and B and partially ordered in protomer C, and residues 828–853 were disordered in protomers A and C and partially ordered in protomer B. Notably, two of these regions around residues 320 and 840 were previously observed to “refold” between the single-up and the low-pH all-RBD-down conformation (Zhou et al., 2020), suggesting these regions are generally more mobile—and in this case, sensitive to mutation-induced conformational changes.

Because of the high overall conformational similarity to the D614G structure, we infer the functional impact of the P.1 mutation to arise primarily from local changes in structure. Other than H655Y and T1027I, all of the mutations occur within the NTD or RBD, which are the targets of neutralizing antibodies. For the NTD, the N terminus was disordered until residue 27, so we were unable to visualize mutations at residue 18, 20, and 26. Mutation D138Y is located in the center of the NTD supersite (Cerutti et al., 2021), explaining its impact on NTD antibodies 2-17 and 4-19 (Figure S1B), whereas R190S is mostly occluded from the NTD surface (Figure 2B). For RBD, the three mutations at K417T, E484K, and N501Y are all located in the ACE2-binding region and overlap epitopes for multiple neutralizing antibodies. Their relatively equal spatial separation (Figure 2C) allow them to impact a substantial portion of the ACE2-binding surface.

Overall, the SARS-CoV-2 P.1 variant is of concern because of its rapid rise to dominance as well as its extensive spike mutations, which could lead to antigenic changes detrimental to mAb therapies and vaccine protection. Here we report that P.1 is indeed resistant to neutralization by several RBD-directed mAbs, including three with EUA. The major culprit is the E484K mutation, which has emerged independently in over 50 lineages, including in B.1.526 that we (Annavajhala et al., 2021) and others (West et al., 2021) have identified in New York recently. As for the NTD-directed mAbs, the resistance profiles are markedly different between P.1 and B.1.351, reflecting their distinct sets of mutations in NTD. Both convalescent plasma and vaccinee sera show a significant loss of neutralizing activity against P.1, but the diminution is not as great as that reported against B.1.351 (Garcia-Beltran et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021). Therefore, the threat of increased reinfection or decreased vaccine protection posed by P.1 may not be as severe as B.1.351. Finally, given that the RBD mutations are largely the same for these two variants, the discrepancy in their neutralization susceptibility to polyclonal plasma or sera suggests that NTD mutations can have a significant effect on the susceptibility of SARS-CoV-2 to antibody neutralization.
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### STAR METHODS

#### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Antibodies          |        |            |
| 2-36                | Liu et al., 2020 | N/A |
| 2-15                | Liu et al., 2020 | N/A |
| 2-7                 | Liu et al., 2020 | N/A |
| 1-57                | Liu et al., 2020 | N/A |
| 4-8                 | Liu et al., 2020 | N/A |
| 4-18                | Liu et al., 2020 | N/A |
| 5-24                | Liu et al., 2020 | N/A |
| 2-17                | Liu et al., 2020 | N/A |
| 4-19                | Liu et al., 2020 | N/A |
| 5-7                 | Liu et al., 2020 | N/A |
| REGN10987           | Hansen et al., 2020 | N/A |
| REGN10933           | Hansen et al., 2020 | N/A |
| LY-CoV555           | Chen et al., 2021 | N/A |
| CB6                 | Shi et al., 2020 | N/A |
| C121                | Robbiani et al., 2020 | N/A |
| S309                | Pinto et al., 2020 | N/A |
| COV2-2130           | Zost et al., 2020 | N/A |
| COV2-2196           | Zost et al., 2020 | N/A |
| Bacterial and virus strains |        |            |
| VSV-G pseudo-type DG-luciferase | Kerafast | Cat# EH1020-PM |
| WA1 (SARS-Related Coronavirus 2, Isolate USA-WA1/2020) | BEI Resources | Cat# NR-52281 |
| P.1 (SARS-Related Coronavirus 2, Isolate hCoV-19/Japan/TY7-503/2021) | BEI Resources | Cat# NR-54982 |
| Biological samples  |        |            |
| Convalescent human plasma samples | Columbia University Irving Medical Center | N/A |
| Serum samples from Pfizer BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine trial | Columbia University Irving Medical Center | N/A |
| Serum samples from Moderna SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 Vaccine Phase 1 clinical trial | NIH | N/A |
| Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins |        |            |
| n-Dodecyl-b-D-Maltopyranoside | Anatrace | Cat# D310 |
| HEPES               | Sigma   | Cat# H3375 |
| NaCl                | Sigma   | Cat# S9888 |
| Critical commercial assays |        |            |
| FuGENE 6            | Promega | Cat# E2691 |
| Quikchange II XL site-directed mutagenesis kit | Agilent | Cat# 200522 |
| Luciferase Assay System | Promega | Cat# E1501 |
| Experimental models: cell lines |        |            |
| Vero E6             | ATCC    | Cat# CRL-1586 |
| HEK293T/17          | ATCC    | Cat# CRL-11268 |
| 11 mouse hybridoma  | ATCC    | Cat# CRL-2700 |

(Continued on next page)
**RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

**Lead contact**
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact Author David D. Ho (dh2994@cumc.columbia.edu).

**Materials availability**
All unique/stable reagents generated in this study are available from the Lead Contact with a completed Materials Transfer Agreement.

**Data and code availability**
Cryo-EM structure of SARS-CoV-2 variant P.1 spike glycoprotein have been deposited in the PDB (7M8K) and EMDB (EMD-23718). The sequence of hCoV-19/Japan/TY7-503/2021 (P.1) virus is available in the GISAID database (EPI_ISL_877769).

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

**Patients and vaccinees**
Convalescent plasma and vaccinee sera were the same as previously reported (Wang et al., 2021). Plasma samples were obtained from patients (mean age: 53, range: 29-79; 65% male) convalescing from documented SARS-CoV-2 infection approximately one month after recovery or later. These cases were enrolled into an observational cohort study of convalescent patients followed at the Columbia University Irving Medical Center (CUIMC) starting in the Spring of 2020. The study protocol was approved by the CUIMC Institutional Review Board (IRB), and all participants provided written informed consent. Sera were obtained from 12 participants in a Phase 1 clinical trial of Moderna SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 Vaccine conducted at the NIH, under a NIH IRB-approved protocol (Anderson et al., 2020), 4 subjects each from cohorts 2, 5 and 8 (100 µg across the age spectra, 18-55, 56-70, > 70 YOA). Sera
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**Continued**

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Recombinant DNA     |        |            |
| pCMV3-SARS-CoV-2-spike D614G | Wang et al., 2021 | N/A |
| pCMV3-SARS-CoV-2-spike L18F | Wang et al., 2021 | N/A |
| pCMV3-SARS-CoV-2-spike T20N | This study | N/A |
| pCMV3-SARS-CoV-2-spike P26S | This study | N/A |
| pCMV3-SARS-CoV-2-spike D138Y | This study | N/A |
| pCMV3-SARS-CoV-2-spike R190S | This study | N/A |
| pCMV3-SARS-CoV-2-spike BZD10 | This study | N/A |
| Deposited data      |        |            |
| Cryo-EM structure of SARS-CoV-2 variant P.1 spike glycoprotein | This study | PDB: 7M8K |
| Sequence of hCoV-19/Japan/TY7-503/2021 (P.1) | GISAID | EPI_ISL_877769 |
| Additional Supplemental Items are available from Mendeley Data at https://doi.org/10.17632/r5v4jj5hyz.1 | This study | N/A |
| Software and algorithms |        |            |
| GraphPad Prism Software | GraphPad Prism Software, Inc. | N/A |
| SerialEM            | Mastronarde, 2005 | https://bio3d.colorado.edu/SerialEM/ |
| cryoSPARC           | Punjani et al., 2017 | https://cryosparc.com |
| UCSF Chimera        | Pettersen et al., 2004 | https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/ |
| UCSF Chimera X      | Goddard et al., 2018 | https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimerax/ |
| ISOLODE             | Croll, 2018 | https://isolde.cimr.cam.ac.uk/ |
| Phenix              | Adams et al., 2004 | https://www.phenix-online.org |
| Coot                | Emsley and Cowtan, 2004 | https://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/personal/pemsley/coot |
| Molprobity          | Davis et al., 2004 | http://molprobity.biochem.duke.edu |
were also obtained from 10 individuals (mean age: 42, range: 29-64; 50% male) followed in a CUIMC IRB-approved protocol to assess immunological responses to SARS-CoV-2 who received the Pfizer BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine as a part of the emergency use authorization.

**Cell lines**

HEK293T/17 (cat# CRL-11268) and Vero E6 cells (cat# CRL-1586) were from ATCC and cultured in 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Gibco cat# 16140071) supplemented Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, ATCC cat# 30-2002) at 37°C, 5% CO2, 11 mouse hybridoma cells (ATCC, cat# CRL-2700) were cultured in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM, ATCC cat# 30-2003)) with 20% FBS.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Monoclonal antibodies**

Monoclonal antibodies tested in this study were constructed and produced at Columbia University as previously described (Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021), except REGN10933, REGN10987, COV2-2196, and COV2-2130 were provided by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and CB6 was provided by P.D.K.

**Pseudovirus neutralization assays**

Plasmids encoding the single-mutation variants found in P.1 and 10-mutation variant (BZD10) were generated by Quikchange II XL site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent). Recombinant Indiana VSV (rVSV) expressing different SARS-CoV-2 spike variants were generated as previously described (Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). Briefly, HEK293T cells were grown to 80% confluency before transfection with the spike gene using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen). Cells were cultured overnight at 37°C with 5% CO2, and VSV-G pseudo-typed DG-luciferase (G*DG-luciferase, Kerafast) was used to infect the cells in DMEM at an MOI of 3 for 2 h before washing the cells with 1X DPBS three times. The next day, the transfection supernatant was harvested and clarified by centrifugation at 300 g for 10 min. Each viral stock was then incubated with 20% I1 hybridoma (anti-VSV-G, ATCC: CRL-2700) supernatant for 1 h at 37°C to neutralize contaminating VSV-G pseudo-typed DG-luciferase virus before measuring titers and making aliquots to be stored at −80°C.

Neutralization assays were performed by incubating pseudoviruses with serial dilutions of mAbs or heat-inactivated plasma or sera, and scored by the reduction in luciferase gene expression as previously described (Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). Briefly, Vero E6 cells (ATCC) were seeded in 96-well plates (2 × 10^4 cells per well). Pseudoviruses were incubated with serial dilutions of the test samples in triplicate for 30 min at 37°C. The mixture was added to cultured cells and incubated for an additional 16 h. Luminescence was measured using Luciferase Assay System (Promega), and IC_{50} was defined as the dilution at which the relative light units were reduced by 50% compared with the virus control wells (virus + cells) after subtraction of the background in the control groups with cells only. The IC_{50} values were calculated using a five-parameter dose-response curve in GraphPad Prism.

**Authentic SARS-CoV-2 microplate neutralization**

The SARS-CoV-2 viruses USA-WA1/2020 (WA1), and hCoV-19/Japan/TY7-503/2021 (P.1) were obtained from BEI Resources (NIAID, NIH). The deposited virus (Passage 2 in Vero E6/TMPRSS2 cells) was reported to have an additional mutation as compared to the clinical isolate: NSP6 (Non-structural protein 6) F184V (GISAID: EPI_ISL_877769). The viruses were propagated for one passage using Vero E6 cells. Virus infectious titer was determined by an end-point dilution and cytopathic effect (CPE) assay on Vero E6 cells as described previously (Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021).

An end-point-dilution microplate neutralization assay was performed to measure the neutralization activity of convalescent plasma samples, vaccinee sera, and purified mAbs. Triplicates of each dilution were incubated with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.1 in EMEM with 7.5% inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) for 1 h at 37°C. Post incubation, the virus-antibody mixture was transferred onto a monolayer of Vero E6 cells grown overnight. The cells were incubated with the mixture for ~70 h. CPE was visually scored for each well in a blinded fashion by two independent observers. The results were then converted into percentage neutralization at a given sample dilution or mAb concentration, and the averages ± SEM were plotted using a five-parameter dose-response curve in GraphPad Prism.

**Cryo-EM data collection and processing**

2 μL P.1 spike protein at a concentration of 1 mg/mL buffered with 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.005% n-dodecyl-b-D-maltoside (DDM) was incubated on C-flat 1.2/1.3 carbon grids for 30 s and vitrified using a Vitrobot Mark IV plunge freezer. Data was collected on a Titan Krios electron microscope operating at 300 kV, equipped with a Gatan K3 direct electron detector and energy filter, using the SerialEM software package (Mastronarde, 2005). A total electron fluence of 41.92 e^-2Å^2 was fractionated over 60 frames, with a total exposure time of 3.0 s. A magnification of 81,000x resulted in a pixel size of 1.07 Å, and a defocus range of −0.5 to −2.5 μm was used.

All processing was done using cryoSPARC v3.2.0 (Punjani et al., 2017). Raw movies were aligned and dose-weighted using patch motion correction, and the CTF was estimated using patch CTF estimation. Micrographs were picked using blob picker, and a particle set was selected using 2D and 3D classification. Selected particle picks were manually curated for a small randomized subset of...
approximately 300 micrographs and used to train a Topaz neural network. This network was then used to pick particles from the remaining micrographs, which were extracted with a box size of 384 pixels. The resulting particle set was refined to high resolution using a combination of heterogenous and homogeneous refinement, followed by nonuniform refinement. The final map was submitted to the EMDB with ID: EMD-23718.

**Cryo-EM model building**

We used PDB 6XM0, one of the most complete coronavirus spike structures, as a starting model. The model was docked to the map using Chimera (Petersen et al., 2004), and then fitted interactively using ISOLDE (Croll, 2018) and COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004). Real space refinement was performed in Phenix 1.18 (Adams et al., 2004). Validation was performed using Molprobity (Davis et al., 2004). The model was submitted to the PDB with PDB ID: 7M8K. Figures were prepared using UCSF ChimeraX (Goddard et al., 2018).

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The statistical analyses for the pseudovirus and authentic virus neutralization assessments were performed using GraphPad Prism for calculation of mean value and SEM for each data point (see Figure S1). Each specimen was tested in triplicate. Antibody neutralization IC$_{50}$ values were calculated using a five-parameter dose-response curve in GraphPad Prism (see Figure 1). For comparing the plasma/serum neutralization titers, statistical analysis was performed using a Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. Two-tailed p values are reported. No statistical methods were used to determine whether the data met assumptions of the statistical approach. Cryo-EM data was processed and analyzed using cryoSPARC and Chimera (see Figures 2; Figure S2). Structural model statistics were analyzed using ISOLDE, Phenix, Coot, and Molprobity (see Table S1). Statistical details of experiments are described in Method Details or Figure Legends.