Mesenchymal Stem Cells Induce Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition in Colon Cancer Cells through Direct Cell-to-Cell Contact
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Abstract
We previously reported that in an orthotopic nude mouse model of human colon cancer, bone marrow–derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) migrated to the tumor stroma and promoted tumor growth and metastasis. Here, we evaluated the proliferation and migration ability of cancer cells cocultured with MSCs to elucidate the mechanism of interaction between cancer cells and MSCs. Proliferation and migration of cancer cells increased following direct coculture with MSCs but not following indirect coculture. Thus, we hypothesized that direct contact between cancer cells and MSCs was important. We performed a microarray analysis of gene expression in KM12SM colon cancer cells directly cocultured with MSCs. Expression of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)–related genes such as fibronectin (FN), SPARC, and galectin 1 was increased by direct coculture with MSCs. We also confirmed the upregulation of these genes with real-time polymerase chain reaction. Gene expression was not elevated in cancer cells indirectly cocultured with MSCs. Among the EMT-related genes upregulated by direct coculture with MSCs, we examined the immune localization of FN, a well-known EMT marker. In coculture assay in chamber slides, expression of FN was seen only at the edges of cancer clusters where cancer cells directly contacted MSCs. FN expression in cancer cells increased at the tumor periphery and invasive edge in orthotopic nude mouse tumors and human colon cancer tissues. These results suggest that MSCs induce EMT in colon cancer cells via direct cell-to-cell contact and may play an important role in colon cancer metastasis.
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carcinoma-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), promote tumor growth and metastasis [4–6].

We previously reported that mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) incorporated into the stroma of primary and metastatic tumors expressed α-smooth muscle actin and platelet-derived growth factor receptor-β as CAF markers. KM12SM cells recruited MSCs, and MSCs stimulated migration and invasion of tumor cells. MSCs migrate and differentiate into CAFs in the tumor stroma [7]. Coimplantation of KM12SM cells with MSCs into the cecal walls of nude mice produced tumors with abundant stromal components and promoted tumor growth and lymph node metastasis by enhancing angiogenesis, migration, and invasion and by inhibiting apoptosis of tumor cells [8].

MSCs may provide delivery vehicles for antitumor biological agents because of their ability to migrate to tumors [9]. A number of antitumor genes have been engineered into MSCs and have demonstrated antitumor effects in various cancer models [10–18]. However, there are potential concerns in using MSCs as delivery vehicles; we understand little about the fate of this cell population in vivo [19,20], and there is a possibility that MSCs themselves might enhance or initiate tumor growth [21–23].

It has been shown that MSCs can promote tumor proliferation and expression of an epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) phenotype in several cancer cells via expression of proteins including TWIST, MMP, WNT5A, and TGF-β type I receptor [24–26], many of which act in a paracrine manner. Previous reports have suggested that paracrine factors expressed by MSCs affect cancer cells. However, this does not necessarily mean that the interaction between cancer cells and MSCs is paracrine in nature. Whereas some reports have indicated that the interaction between cancer cells and MSCs is regulated via juxtacrine signaling [27], other reports have indicated that the interaction may be regulated via juxtacrine signaling [28]. The mechanism of secretion and interaction between cancer cells and MSCs therefore remains to be elucidated.

Thus, in this study, we examined tumor-MSC interactions via direct and indirect coculture of KM12SM colon cancer cells and bone marrow–derived MSCs using cDNA microarray analysis. Direct coculture of MSCs increased proliferation and migration of cancer cells and expression of EMT-related genes such as fibronectin (FN). In addition, coimplantation of MSCs with cancer cells increased the expression of FN at the edges of orthotopic colon cancer tumors, where the tumor stroma directly contacted cancer cells. Expression of FN was correlated with invasion depth of human colorectal carcinoma based on analysis of surgical specimens. Taken together, we found that MSCs promote the progression of colon tumors by inducing EMT through direct cell-to-cell contact.

**Human Colon Carcinoma Cell Line and Culture Conditions**

The human colon cancer cell line KM12SM [29] was kindly donated by Dr. Isaiah J. Fidler (University of Texas, Houston, TX). The KM12SM cell line is a highly metastatic clonal cell line selected from the parental KM12C cell line. Cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and a penicillin-streptomycin mixture. Cultures were maintained for no longer than 12 weeks after recovery of cells from frozen stock.

**Transfection and Selection of Stable KM12SM Cells Expressing Green Fluorescent Protein**

Green fluorescent protein (GFP) and puromycin resistance genes were transfected into KM12SM colon cancer cells using copGFP Control Lentiviral Particles (sc-108,084; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were maintained in complete medium containing puromycin.

**Isolation and Culture of Human MSCs**

Human MSCs were obtained from the iliac crest and plated in a dish with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, L-glutamine, and a penicillin-streptomycin mixture according to a protocol approved by the Ethics Committee of Hiroshima University Graduate School of Medicine, as described previously [30]. Nonadherent cells were removed after 72 hours, and adherent cells were detached from the plates and subcultured every 4 to 5 days in fresh medium supplemented with 1 ng/ml of fibroblast growth factor-2 [31]. Aliquots from passages 3 to 5 were frozen in liquid nitrogen for future use.

**Characterization of Human MSCs In Vitro**

In culture medium, MSCs formed a monolayer of adherent cells and appeared as long, spindle-shaped fibroblastic cells. Capacity for chondrogenic, adipogenic, and osteogenic differentiation was confirmed with the use of a Human Mesenchymal Stem Cell Functional Identification Kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Cell surface antigens on the cells were analyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), and we confirmed that the cells were positive for CD29, CD44, CD73, CD90, CD105, CD166, and MHC-DR but negative for CD14, CD34, and Flk-1, as described previously [30].

**Preparation of Conditioned Medium**

For preparation of conditioned medium from MSCs, cells were seeded on 100-mm cell culture dishes and cultured in fresh medium supplemented with 10% FBS, L-glutamine, a penicillin-streptomycin mixture, and 1 ng/ml of fibroblast growth factor-2 until reaching confluence. Cells were briefly rinsed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), followed by incubation with 10 ml of medium supplemented with 0.5% FBS for 48 hours prior to collection of culture medium. Culture supernatants were centrifuged at 700×g for 10 minutes for removal of cell debris.

**Evaluation of Cell Proliferation and Motility In Vitro**

KM12SM colon cancer cell lines (6 × 10^4 cells per well) were seeded into 24-well plates (Essen ImageLock; Essen Bioscience, Ann Arbor, MI) containing DMEM supplemented with 0.5% FBS. KM12SM cells were cultured alone, with MSCs (6 × 10^4 cells per well), or with MSC-conditioned medium (MSC-CM). Growth curves were generated from a bright-field image obtained using a label-free, high-content time-lapse assay system (IncuCyte Zoom; Essen Bioscience) that automatically expresses cell confluence as a percentage over a 4-day period. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Cell migration was assessed by performing a scratch wound assay. KM12SM colon cancer cells (1 × 10^5 cells per well) were seeded in 100 μg/ml Matrigel-coated (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA) 96-well plates (Essen ImageLock) containing DMEM supplemented with 0.5% FBS. Cancer cells were cultured alone, with MSCs (1 × 10^5 cells per well), or with MSC-CM. Use of ImageLock 96-well plates allows wound images to be taken automatically at exact locations
using IncuCyte software. Confluent cell layers were scratched using a 96-pin wound maker provided by IncuCyte [32]. After inducing wounds, cells were washed twice with PBS to remove detached cells, and wound images were acquired automatically every 3 hours over a 2-day period. Relative wound density was analyzed automatically by IncuCyte software. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**FACS-Based Isolation of KM12SM Cells from Direct MSC Coculture**

KM12SM cells were red-labeled with PKH26-GL (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. PKH26-GL–labeled KM12SM cells were seeded onto 100-mm dishes at a density of 1 × 10^5 per well in DMEM supplemented with 0.5% FBS with and without 8 × 10^5 MSCs. After 24 hours of incubation, cells were subjected to FACS analysis to yield PKH26-GL–positive KM12SM cells according to a standard protocol. RNA samples for microarray analysis were extracted from KM12SM cell culture with an RNeasy Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Isolation of KM12SM Cells from Indirect Coculture**

KM12SM cells were seeded onto 100-mm dishes at a density of 1 × 10^5 per well in DMEM supplemented with 0.5% FBS with and without MSC-CM. After 24 hours of incubation, RNA samples for microarray analysis were extracted from KM12SM cell culture with an RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Microarray Analysis**

For oligo DNA microarray analysis, RNA samples were extracted from KM12SM cells cultured alone and KM12SM cells directly cocultured with MSCs. Isolation of KM12SM cells was performed as described above. RNA samples from KM12SM cells in monolayer culture without MSCs represented the control condition. For microarray analysis, a 3D-Gene Human Oligo Chip 25k (Toray Industries Inc., Tokyo, Japan) was used. For efficient hybridization, this microarray is constructed in three dimensions, with a well as the space between the probes and cylinder stems and with 70-mer oligonucleotide probes on the top. Total RNA was labeled with Cy3 (KM12SM cells cultured alone) or Cy5 (KM12SM cells with MSCs) using the Amino Allyl MessageAMP II aRNA Amplification Kit (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). Cy3- or Cy5-labeled aRNA pools were mixed with hybridization buffer and hybridized for 16 hours. Hybridization signals were observed using the supplier’s protocols (www.3d-gene.com). Hybridization signals were obtained using 3D-Gene Scanner (Toray Industries Inc.) and processed by 3D-Gene Extraction (Toray Industries Inc.). Detected signals for each gene were normalized using a global normalization method (Cy5/Cy3 ratio median = 1). Genes with Cy5/Cy3 normalized ratios greater than 2.0 or less than 0.5 were defined as up- or downregulated genes, respectively.

**Quantitative Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction**

Total RNA was extracted from gastric cancer cell lines and biopsy specimens with an RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg total RNA with a first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). After reverse transcription of RNA into cDNA, quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed with a LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. Reactions were carried out in triplicate. To correct for differences in both RNA quality and quantity between samples, expression values were reported as log 2 ratios, normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), and mean-centered. Primers for PCR were designed with specific primer analysis software (Primer Designer; Scientific and Educational Software, Cary, NC), and sequence specificity was confirmed by FASTA (European Molecular Biology Laboratory Database, Heidelberg, Germany). Primer sequences are provided in Table 1.

**Animals and Transplantation of Tumor Cells**

Animal experiments were performed previously [33]. Briefly, female athymic BALB/c nude mice were obtained from Charles River Japan (Tokyo, Japan). The mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions and used at 8 weeks old. Study was carried out after permission was granted by the Committee on Animal Experimentation of Hiroshima University. To produce cecal tumors, KM12SM cells alone (0.5 × 10^6) or KM12SM cells mixed with MSCs in a ratio of 1:2 (0.5 × 10^6:1.0 × 10^6 KM12SM:MSCs) in 50 μl of Hanks’ balanced salt solution were injected into the cecal walls of nude mice under a dissecting microscope as described previously [4]. Six weeks after intracaval transplantation of these cells, surviving mice were sacrificed. To evaluate the migration and colocalization of MSCs in orthotopic tumors, 1.0 × 10^6 KM12SM cells were transplanted into the cecal walls of three mice on day 0. Three weeks after tumor cell transplantation (on day 21), each mouse underwent injection of 1.0 × 10^6 PKH26-GL–labeled MSCs in 200 μl of Hanks’ balanced salt solution into the tail vein. One week after this injection (on day 28), the mice were killed and necropsied. Tumor tissue was embedded in OCT Compound, rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. For this study, we performed only additional analyses using the frozen sections obtained in the previous study [33].

**Immunohistochemical Staining of Formalin-Fixed Sections**

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues cut into serial 4-mm sections were used for immunohistochemistry. The procedures for immunohistochemical detection of FN have been described previously [34].

**Table 1. Primers Used in This Study for Quantitative Real-Time PCR**

| Gene Symbol | Direction | Sequence (5‘-3’) | Product Size (bp) |
|-------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|
| MT1E        | Forward   | tccgtgaaggctgaaggg | 222              |
|             | Reverse   | capaagtcgctgagg  |                  |
| MT2A        | Forward   | gctgaagacgggaaagc | 222              |
|             | Reverse   | atcagccagccagctga |                  |
| SPARC       | Forward   | aagggcrrgctgctttt | 192              |
|             | Reverse   | ccctggcttccctcagc |                  |
| FSTL1       | Forward   | gacgaagtaaagggaa | 242              |
|             | Reverse   | caatactcagagccctcg |                |
| FN1         | Forward   | gtccancggcagcatrr | 230              |
|             | Reverse   | ggggggggggggggaa |                  |
| PTX3        | Forward   | ttccccccccggggcc | 175              |
|             | Reverse   | catggggttggcttggcagg |            |
| LGALS1      | Forward   | tctttgggggggctctcc | 158              |
|             | Reverse   | tgggggggggggggggggg |                  |
Immunofluorescence Staining of Frozen Sections and Formalin-Fixed Sections

Transplanted tumor tissues were prepared into 10-mm frozen sections and were then subjected to immunofluorescence analyses. The procedures for immunofluorescent staining of frozen sections were described previously [7]. For immunofluorescent staining of surgical specimens, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues cut into serial 4-mm sections were treated with an Opal Fluorescent IHC Kit (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primary antibodies used included goat polyclonal anti-fibronectin antibody and rabbit polyclonal anti-E-cadherin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). The fluorescent signal of secondary antibody was captured by confocal laser-scanning microscopy (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Thornwood, NY).

Chamber Slides System

To evaluate the localization of FN expression in KM12SM cells cultured alone, KM12SM cells were seeded into a chamber slide system with DMEM supplemented with 0.5% FBS. After 48 hours of incubation, cells were rinsed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for immunofluorescence staining.

To evaluate the localization of FN expression in KM12SM cells cocultured with MSCs-CM, KM12SM cells were seeded into a chamber slide system with DMEM supplemented with 0.5% FBS with MSC-CM. After 48 hours of incubation, cells were rinsed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for immunofluorescence staining.

Patients and Surgical Specimens

Archival formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor tissues were obtained from Hiroshima University Hospital. Specimens from 89 patients who underwent surgical resection for colon dysplasia and cancer were examined by immunohistochemistry. Patient privacy was protected in accordance with the Ethical Guidelines for Human Genome/Gene Research of the Japanese Government. All personally identifiable information was removed before analysis of the tissue samples.

Results

Direct Contact with MSCs Enhanced Proliferation and Migration of KM12SM Cells

We first examined the effect of MSCs on the proliferation and migration of KM12SM cells. KM12SM-GFP cells were cultured alone with fresh medium (control), with MSC-CM (indirect

### Table 2. Genes with Upregulated mRNA Expression in KM12SM Cells Directly Cocultured with MSCs.

| Gene Name | KM12SM Alone (Normalized Cy5 Intensity) | KM12SM + MSCs Direct Coculture (Normalized Cy3 Intensity) |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| SPARC     | 6                                      | 636                                                      |
| PTX3      | 6                                      | 140                                                      |
| FN1       | 17                                     | 371                                                      |
| FSTL1     | 16                                     | 146                                                      |
| LGALS1    | 31                                     | 270                                                      |

### Table 3. Comparison between Fibronectin-Positive and Fibronectin-Negative Cases of Dysplasia and Colorectal Carcinoma among Surgical Specimens

| FN Expression | Positive | Negative | p |
|---------------|----------|----------|---|
| Number of patients | 51 | 38 | .25 |
| Age (years old) | 66.0 ± 11.7 | 68.2 ± 9.0 | .41 |
| Median of observation period (year) | 5 | 4.8 | .98 |
| Location | Right side colon | 15 (56%) | 12 |
| | Left side colon | 36 (58%) | 26 |
| Morphological type | Depressed type | 10 (56%) | 8 |
| | Elevated type | 41 (58%) | 30 |
| Histological type (excluding unknown cases) | tub1 | 40 (54%) | 34 |
| | tub2 | 4 (50%) | 4 |
| Degree of progression (dysplasia vs SM or Adv Ca) | Dysplasia | 10 (26%) | 29 |
| | SM or Adv Ca | 40 (82%) | 9 |
| INF (excluding unknown cases) | a | 4 (36%) | 7 |
| | b or c | 18 (55%) | 15 |
| Quantity of stroma (excluding unknown cases) | Med | 4 (57%) | 3 |
| | Int or Sci | 18 (69%) | 8 |
| Lymphatic and/or blood vessel invasion | Yes | 16 (80%) | 4 |
| | No | 35 (51%) | 33 |
| Lymph node metastasis | Yes | 11 (92%) | 1 |
| | No | 40 (52%) | 37 |
| Other organ metastasis | Yes | 4 (100%) | 0 |
| | No | 47 (55%) | 38 |

SM, submucosal invasive carcinoma; Adv Ca, advanced carcinoma; Med, medullary; Int, intermediate; Sci, scirrhous.

*P < .05.
coculture group), or with MSCs (direct coculture group). The proliferation and migration abilities of KM12SM cells were highest in the direct coculture group (Figure 1, A and B). The proliferation and migration of KM12SM cells were not affected by coculture with MSC-CM (Figure 1, C and D), suggesting that direct cell-cell contact is necessary for enhancement of proliferation and migration.

**Figure 1.** *In vitro* cell proliferation and migration of KM12SM cells. (A) Proliferation and (B) migration abilities of KM12SM cells cocultured with MSCs compared with those of KM12SM cells cultured alone. (C) Proliferation and (D) migration abilities of KM12SM cells cocultured with MSC-CM (indirect coculture) compared with those of KM12SM cells cultured alone. Time-lapse imaging (obtained with IncuCyte Zoom) of morphology of KM12SM cells cultured (E) alone, (F) with MSCs, or (G) with MSC-CM for 48 hours. Scale bar = 100 μm.

| FN expression | Positive | Negative | P  |
|---------------|----------|----------|----|
| Location      | Right side colon | 8 (57%)  | 6  | .78 |
| Morphological type | Depressed type | 6 (55%)  | 5  | .71 |
| Histological type (excluding unknown cases) | tub1 | 18 (64%) | 10 | .91 |
| Depth of SM invasion (μm) | 2657 ± 1889 | 2365 ± 2028 | 0.39 |
| INF (excluding unknown cases) | a | 2 (100%) | 0  | .60 |
| Lymphatic and/or blood vessel invasion | Yes | 11 (78%) | 3  | .19 |
| Lymph node metastasis | Yes | 9 (100%) | 0  | .031* |
| Other organ metastasis | Yes | 2 (100%) | 0  | .73 |
| Budding grade | Low | 18 (56%) | 14 | .030* |

*P < .05.
Morphological Changes and Movement of KM12SM Cells in Contact with MSCs

We next examined the effect of MSCs on the morphology of KM12SM cells. Time-lapse imaging was used to observe the morphology and movement of the cells. MSC-CM did not affect the morphology of KM12SM-GFP cells (Figure 1F). KM12SM-GFP cells directly attached to MSCs, however, exhibited a change in shape from cobblestone-like to spindle-like. These spindle-like cells were able to detach from tumor cell nests.

cDNA Microarray Analysis of KM12SM Colon Cancer Cells Cocultured with MSCs

To determine the genes involved in these phenomena, cDNA microarray analysis was performed using mRNAs extracted from each experimental group of KM12SM cells. Expression levels of secreted protein, acidic and rich in cysteine precursor (SPARC); pentraxin-related protein PTX3 precursor (PTX3); fibronectin precursor (FN1); follistatin-related protein 1 precursor (FSTL1); and galectin-1 (LGALS1) were higher in KM12SM cells directly cocultured with MSCs compared with levels in KM12SM cells cultured alone (Table 2 and Figure 2A). Validation of gene expression was performed by qRT-PCR (Figure 2B). Among these EMT-related genes, qRT-PCR analysis revealed that the FN gene was particularly upregulated when KM12SM cells were directly cocultured with MSCs. In contrast, expression of the above EMT-related genes was not significantly altered by indirect coculture with MSC-CM (Figure 2C).

FN Expression in KM12SM Cells Is Enhanced by Direct Contact with MSCs

Expression of FN was examined by time-lapse immunofluorescence at the cell level. KM12SM cells and MSCs were separately cultured using cloning rings. After removal of the separating ring, cell-to-cell surface lesions between KM12SM cells and MSCs were observed by confocal laser-scanning microscopy. Strong FN expression was observed only in MSCs and at the edges of KM12SM cell clusters where KM12SM cells were in direct contact with MSCs (Figure 3A, lower panel). FN expression was not observed in KM12SM cells cultured alone or KM12SM cells cocultured with MSC-CM (Figure 3A, upper and middle panels).

Colocalization of FN Expression in Tumor-Bearing Mice after Injection of PKH26-GL–Labeled MSCs

After injection of PKH26-GL–labeled MSCs into the tail veins of KM12SM tumor-bearing mice, MSCs were detected selectively in the tumor stroma. Expression of FN was seen in the tumor stroma and at the edges of cancer nests where MSCs were in contact with cancer cells (Figure 3B).

Effect of MSC Coinplantation in Orthotopic Nude Mouse Model

In tumors generated by orthotopic implantation of KM12SM cells alone, expression of FN at the edges of cancer nests was relatively low, and E-cadherin expression in cancer nests was homogeneous. Marked EMT was not observed (Figure 3C). In contrast, in tumors generated by coinplantation of KM12SM cells and MSCs, expression of FN was seen not only in the tumor stroma but also at the edges of cancer nests in contact with the tumor stroma. Expression of E-cadherin was elevated in the centers of tumor nests but lower at the edges of cancer nests (Figure 3D). These results indicate that coinplantation of MSCs promotes EMT in vivo via direct contact between MSCs and cancer cells.
To examine EMT localization, we performed immunostaining for FN in colorectal dysplasias and adenocarcinomas of surgical specimens. Degree of progression, as well as rates of lymphatic and vessel invasion and lymphatic metastasis, was higher in FN-positive patients than in FN-negative patients (Table 3). Subsequently, to evaluate the difference between FN-positive patients and FN-negative patients with similar depths of invasion, we analyzed the submucosal invasive adenocarcinomas and divided them into an FN-positive group and an FN-negative group. The characteristics of both groups are as shown in Table 4. There were no significant differences between the groups in patient age, tumor location, histological type, depth of submucosal invasion, infiltration (INF), quantity of stroma, or other organ metastasis. However, lymphatic invasion, metastasis, and budding grade were significantly higher in the FN-positive group (Table 4).

High expression of FN was seen not only in the stromal area but also at the invasive edges of cancer cells close to the stromal area (Figure 4A). In addition, expression of FN was seen in budding cells (Figure 4B). Furthermore, EMT was not observed in FN-negative or low–budding grade patients, whereas EMT was active in FN-positive and high–budding grade patients (Figure 4C). Kaplan-Meier survival curves revealed that overall survival was significantly reduced in the FN-positive group compared with that in the FN-negative group (Figure 4D).

**Discussion**

EMT is a common process during wound healing and organ fibrosis in which epithelial cells undergo morphological changes resulting in increased cell plasticity and mobility as they transition into a mesenchymal-like cell phenotype. EMT can be also observed in a variety of cancer tissues. In human CRC, EMT is often detected at invasive lesions and tumor peripheries at the interface between cancer cells and host cells surrounded by extracellular matrix (ECM). EMT enhances the motility and invasion of tumor cells and thereby may result in metastasis.

In the present study, we demonstrated that direct contact between cancer cells and MSCs plays an important role in the induction of EMT in tumor cells. In culture, only tumor cells that are directly
attached to MSCs showed morphological changes to mesenchymal-like cells. In contrast, no morphological changes were observed following the treatment of cancer cells with MSC-CM. Furthermore, microarray analysis showed that several genes involved in EMT were upregulated in tumor cells following direct coculture with MSCs. Pathway analysis also revealed enrichment for pathways related to matrix metalloproteinases (data not shown), which are well-known EMT promoters [24]. Expression levels of FN, SPARC, LGALS1, PTX3, and FSTL were markedly upregulated in our microarray analysis. There are a few reports regarding the relationship between EMT and PTX3/FSTL [35,36], but it has not yet been elucidated how these affect EMT. SPARC is a matricellular protein known to be a marker of poor prognosis in different cancer types; it is involved in EMT, immune surveillance, and angiogenesis [37]. Furthermore, SPARC promotes migration activity in cancer cells [38]. Among these upregulated genes, we focused on FN because it is a well-known EMT marker and EMT-promoting factor [40]. Thus far, it has been reported that cancer cells express soluble factors when interacting with MSCs and that these soluble factors promote tumor progression in a paracrine manner [41,42]. However, it has also been reported that MSCs affect cardiomyocytes via a juxtacrine signaling mechanism [43,44]. There have been a few reports that have revealed the importance of direct cell-to-cell contact in the cross talk between cancer cells and MSCs. These showed that MSCs interact with cancer cells by inducing cancer cells to shed amphiregulin via juxtacrine signaling [28]. We found that FN expression was induced only by direct contact with MSCs in vitro and in vivo. We therefore present the novel findings that MSCs interact with cancer cells by cell-to-cell contact and that the interaction is mediated by EMT-related proteins such as FN. Other EMT-related genes that were significantly upregulated in our study, such as SPARC and galectin, are also of great interest. We speculate that these genes play a complementary role, such as enhancement of FN expression by SPARC [38].

The ECM is a key component of the cancer microenvironment and cooperates with other extracellular molecules to relay external signals into cells. FN is an ECM glycoprotein that plays roles in cell-substrate interactions, including cell adhesion, and appears to be important for differentiation and oncogenic transformation. Alteration of the ECM composition in cancer may be responsible for the tissue remodeling processes that are linked to cancer progression. Many reports have shown that expression of FN is upregulated in several cancer tissues, including colon cancer [45–48]. Furthermore, FN expression is reported to be absent from normal connective tissues, whereas increased FN expression levels have been detected in the tumor stroma [46,48]. FN is a well-known mesenchymal marker, and thus EMT increases FN expression. Park et al. reported that FN is not only an EMT marker but also a promoter of EMT [40]. They demonstrated that FN initiates EMT under serum-free conditions by enhancing the effect of endogenous TGFβ. They proposed that increased FN levels in breast cancer may be both a cause and an effect of tumor initiation and/or progression.

Figure 4. Expression of FN in surgical specimens of human colon tumors. (A) Expression of FN in the stromal area and at the invasive edges of cancer cells close to the stromal area. (B) Expression of FN in budding cells. (C) Expression of FN (green) and E-cadherin (red) in FN-negative/low-budding grade and FN-positive/high-budding grade patients. DAPI nuclear staining is shown in blue. Merged image shown in lower right. (D) Kaplan-Meier survival curves showing overall survival in FN-positive and FN-negative patient groups.
Tumor budding is defined by the presence of clusters of undifferentiated malignant cells in the tumor stroma located in close proximity ahead of the invasive front of a tumor [49–51]. Tumor budding has been reported to be an additional prognostic factor for colorectal carcinoma (CRC) according to the Union for International Cancer Control [52] and a potential prognostic factor in early CRC according to the European Society for Medical Oncology consensus guidelines [53]. Although evidence connecting tumor budding and EMT is scarce [54], some reports have indicated that EMT is significantly associated with tumor budding [55,56]. In our study, EMT was observed in budding cells, and tumor budding was promoted by the interaction between MSCs and the tumor stroma. Moreover, EMT accompanied by FN expression correlated with tumor budding and tumor progression.

In conclusion, MSCs induce EMT in colon cancer cells via direct cell-to-cell contact and are associated with colon cancer metastasis. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of this interaction between tumor cells and MSCs may lead to the establishment of new therapies targeting the tumor stroma.
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