Hypoactivation of autonomic nervous system-related orbitofrontal and motor cortex during acute stress in women with premenstrual syndrome
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1. Introduction

Premenstrual syndrome (PMS) is a menstrual-related disorder, which refers to a series of cyclical and relapsing physical, emotional, and cognitive symptoms that regularly occur during the late luteal phase of each menstrual cycle (Ryu and Kim, 2015; Wu et al., 2016). Studies have shown that PMS is closely related to impaired stress reaction (Hamadeh et al., 2014; Klatzkin et al., 2014; Tamaki Matsumoto, Ushiroyama, Kimura, Hayashi and Moritani, 2007; Sadler et al., 2010). Therefore, numerous studies have explored the mechanism of PMS from the perspective of stress reactivity. Among them, the autonomic nervous system (ANS), one of the stress physiological responses (Kreibig, 2010; Dragomir, Gentile, Nolan, & D’Antono., 2014; Bruce S. Mcewen, 2007), has received much attention.

ANS comprises the sympathetic and parasympathetic systems, and it is closely related to emotion (Kreibig, 2010). When people’s ANS shows blunted activation or delayed recovery under pressure, their negative emotions increase (Conti et al., 2011; Gisela et al., 2003; Lupis et al., 2014; Bruce S. Mcewen, 2007; Shcheslavskaya et al., 2016; Sherman et al., 2009). Similarly, a low ANS activity has been observed in women with PMS, by either focusing on chronic stress (Baker et al., 2008) and acute stressors (Girdler et al., 1993; Klatzkin et al., 2014). Furthermore, the blunted response to stress predicts greater premenstrual symptoms and higher negative emotions (Klatzkin et al., 2014; Verkuil et al., 2009). However, it is not well understood how the neural functional dysregulation of ANS is involved in the etiology of PMS.

Actually, the ANS response at rest is related to the activation of relevant brain areas; for example, fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG–PET) has been used to study glucose metabolism in the brain under different heart rates, heart rate variability, and blood pressure changes. The sympathetic activity of ANS shows a positive correlation with the motor cortex area (Schlindwein et al., 2008). Moreover, a previous study showed that women with PMS involves the abnormal neural functional regulation of ANS-related regions during the resting state. Which were abnormal regional homogeneity and fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (fALFF), including the anterior cingulate cortex, prefrontal cortex (PFC), and precuneus (Liao et al., 2017). However, no neuroimaging studies have evaluated the neural functional regulation of ANS during acute stress in the late luteal phase of women with PMS.

It is acknowledged that ANS is easily triggered in the face of stress stimuli, therefore, it is better to introduce acute stress to detect the response of ANS-related brain areas. Under acute stressors, the ANS response is also related to the activation of certain brain areas, such as motor cortex (MC), PFC and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) (Dum, 2016; Fechir et al., 2010; Holsen et al., 2012). Dum (2016) showed a positive correlation between the adrenal medulla that affects sympathetic function and the activity of the motor and medial PFC based on rabies virus neural traceability. Furthermore, Holsen et al. (2012) found that the imbalanced ANS response is associated with dysfunction in neural regions, i.e., a dull parasympathetic response can result in the inactivation of the OFC among people with mood disorders. Presumably, in women with PMS, a blunted ANS response involved in acute stressful tasks may be accompanied by an inactivation reaction in the ANS-related regions.

Moreover, indicated that PMS women with a lower ANS response also have a higher subjective negative emotional experience (Matsumoto et al., 2007). Neuroimaging studies showed that the ANS and emotional responses share a part of the neural mechanism. Specifically, the OFC, amygdala, and other areas not only control the body’s ANS response but also are inseparable from emotional reactions (Arnsen and Amy, 2015; Drevets, 2010; Protopopescu et al., 2008; Vitaly et al., 2008). Therefore, even if there is decreased activation in the ANS-related brain area of women with PMS during stressful tasks, it may have a close relationship with their subjective negative emotional experience.
experience.

Further, women with PMS have more negative emotional experiences during the stress, perhaps not only because of their slow ANS response, but also due to the prolonged recovery time afterwards. Studies have proposed that the longer the recovery time from a stressor, the greater is the individual’s subjective negative emotional experiences (Koolhaas et al., 2011; McEwen and Stellar, 1993; Shcheslavskaya et al., 2010; Sjitsema et al., 2015). The blunted ANS activity to stress has been observed in women with PMS, but it is not known whether an abnormal neural mechanism is operating in recovery time, and whether or not these related brain regions are relevant to their negative emotion.

Therefore, using the Montreal Imaging Stress Task (MIST), which can successfully activate ANS-related brain areas such as the MC, medial PFC, and OFC (Dedovic et al., 2005; Ming et al., 2017; Soliman et al., 2011), we investigated the neural mechanism of the stress process in women with PMS. We made two assumptions. First, we hypothesized that under the condition of an acute stressor, women with PMS experience decreased activation of ANS-related brain areas, such as the MC and PFC, and their negative emotion would be correlated with the inactivation of the corresponding ANS-related brain region. Second, we further hypothesized that the ANS-related area in the PMS group has a slow recovery after the acute stressor, and this may be related to their negative emotion.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Participants were recruited from a local university and through an advertisement on a website. First, 193 women were asked to fill out the Premenstrual Syndrome Scales (PSS) (Bancroft, 1993; Gengli et al., 1998), which is the most common and widely used diagnostic criteria for PMS. Then, 62 participants (32 participants’ total score of PSS >11, 30 participants’ total score of PSS <6) were required to report their daily symptoms during the next three months. It was also evaluated according to the PSS scale, which has five effective symptoms (i.e., depression, nervous, irritability, anxiety, and neurotic), three behavioral symptoms (i.e., restless, absent-minded, and insomnia or hypersomnia), and three somatic symptoms (i.e., abdominal bloating, headache, and swelling of extremities). The inclusion criteria for PMS were met: (1) symptoms must occur during the five days before the menstrual bleeding for at least three consecutive menstrual cycles, and at least one effective and one somatic symptom must be present; (2) the symptoms are relieved within four days without recurrence until cycle day 13; (3) symptoms are present in the absence of medicine, hormone ingestion, or alcohol use.

Then, we organized a clinical diagnostic interview for each candidate. During these interviews, we collected the demographic information and assessed the history of mental health problems. The exclusion criteria for participants were met: (1) being currently pregnant or lactating (n = 0); (2) having history of psychiatric disease (n = 1); (3) irregular menstrual cycles (n = 13) (4) alcohol and other drug use (i.e., hormonal compounds, oral contraceptives, or psychotropic drugs); (n = 2); (5) being left-handed (n = 0). Finally, 22 women with PMS and 24 women without PMS were invited to take part in the experiment in their late luteal phase (the five days before menstrual bleeding). According to G*Power instructions, the sample size has sufficient analytical power (1-β = 0.80, α = 0.05) for detecting medium-sized effects (r = 0.25) under the within-between interaction of repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). Menstrual cycles of all participants were monitored for three months prior to fMRI scanning. Further, everyone was asked about the time of their next menstrual period after the experiment to reconfirm the accuracy of the test time. Five participants were excluded for their excessive head movement during the experiment. In total, 19 PMS (22.10 ± 2.15) and 22 non-PMS (23.24 ± 2.12) women were included in our analysis. The PSS score differences between the two groups were significant (t(39) = 16.81, p < 0.001). The study was approved by the local ethics committee and performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent.

2.2. Materials

The PSS was compiled by Bancroft, and the Chinese version was revised by Gengli (Bancroft, 1993; Gengli et al., 1998). It consists of 12 items and covers both psychological and physical symptoms, such as follows: “In the last 3 months, how much anxiety did you feel 5 days before menstruation?” The questionnaire is scored on a 4-point Likert-type scale, with 0 = no symptom; 1 = mild symptoms; 2 = symptoms had some effect on daily work and life, but were endurable; and 3 = symptoms had a severe effect on daily work and life and required treatment. The higher the score, the more serious the symptom. Total scores of 6–10, 11–20, and >20 reflects mild, moderately severe, and severe PMS, respectively.

The Positive Affect and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS) comprises 20 items divided into two emotional dimensions (10 items in the positive dimension and 10 items in the negative dimension) (Tellegen, 1988). The participants responded to the questionnaire according to their current emotional state. It is scored on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (none at all) to 5 (very much). The Cronbach’s alpha of positive/negative dimensions for Chinese version is 0.85/0.84 (Qu et al., 2008).

The modified MIST is obtained from Matlab 3.0 and consists of a mental arithmetic task using up to four numbers ranging from 0 to 99 and up to four operands (add, subtract, multiply, and divide). The solution will be an integer between 0 and 9. The participants need to press a key corresponding to their answers. Feedback was provided on the submitted response (“correct”, “incorrect”, or “timeout”). A difficulty gradient for the mental arithmetic was built into the algorithm with three different categories. In the easiest categories, only tasks with two or three one-digit integers were created, and the operands are limited to + or − (example: 2 + 9 − 7). In the medium-difficulty categories, tasks with up to four integers are created, and the * operand is also allowed (example: 3 * 12–29). The most difficult category, tasks with four integers, the * and/or operands were used, and all the numbers may be in the two-digit range (example: 12 * 12/8–9). Three blocks are present in this task (Fig. 1), including (I) stress condition, (II) control condition, and (III) recovery condition. A block design was used with three runs consisting of stress, control, and recovery blocks. Each run had eight blocks (Fig. 1). To ensure the randomness of the experiment, both the control condition and stress condition are randomly presented. Each block takes 1 min, and each run takes 8 min with a 2-min break between the two runs. The total time was 28 min for each participant.

A. Control condition. The program tries to match for any cerebral activation caused by the mental arithmetic aspects of the task but without the stress components. For that purpose, mental arithmetic is presented with the same level of difficulty and at the same frequency as during the stress sessions, but individual performance and average users’ performance are not displayed.

B. Stress condition. The program tries to match for cerebral activation caused by the stress and mental arithmetic task. Two performance indicators, one for the participant’s own performance and one for the average performance of all previous training participants, are shown on the upper performance bar. A time limit is enforced for each task; the elapsed time is displayed by a progress bar moving from the left to the right on the computer screen for each task; the elapsed time is displayed by a progress bar moving from the left to the right on the computer screen. Before the experiment, the subject is then reminded that there is a required minimum performance, and that her individual performance must be close to or equal to the average performance of all subjects. The participants were told that all the people in the scanner
room (investigator, assistants, and MRI technicians) are following the subject’s performance on a second monitor in the control room of the scanning environment.

C. Recovery condition. It records a recovery state after stress/calculation conditions. The interface of the computer program remains on the screen, but no tasks are shown.

Participants were asked to avoid high-fat and high-protein foods the day before sampling and to avoid alcohol consumption. No food or water was consumed half an hour before sampling. All the saliva samples were collected using a Cayman sampling device and stored at −20 °C until being assayed. Estradiol and progesterone analysis were determined using a competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (c-ELISA). All the intra- and inter assay coefficients of variation were below 12%.

2.3. fMRI data acquisition

Images were acquired using a GE 32-channel head coil 3-T MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) scanner. A T1-weighted image used a magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) with the following parameters: TR = 2.81 ms, echo time [TE] = 3.18 ms, flip angle = 15°, slice thickness = 1.0 mm, matrix size = 256 × 256, effective voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm³. Each run consisted of 148 vol.

Functional images were acquired with an EPI (gradient-echo planar imaging, EPI) sequence of 176 vol with the following parameters: TR/TE = 2600 ms/3.02 ms, inversion time = 900 ms, flip angle = 8°, matrix size = 256 × 256, slice thickness = 1.0 mm, and effective voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm³.

2.4. Procedure

After arrival at the laboratory, participants received standardized instructions from a female researcher. Resting periods of 20 min preceded the experiment, during which the participants were asked to sign an informed consent, complete PANAS, and do a training session to practice. Besides, their saliva samples were collected. Then, by taking off all metal objects and changing into lab clothes in advance, the participants entered the lab to complete the fMRI paradigm. Finally, the participants were asked to fill out the PANAS again.

2.5. Statistical analysis

2.5.1. Demographic variables and behavioral data analysis

The independent sample T test was used to compare the demographic variables and behavioral data of the two groups. The changes in the PANAS results before and after the entire experiment were analyzed by 2 (condition: positive emotion, negative emotion) × 2 (time: pretest and posttest) × 2 (group: PMS/control group) repeated-measures ANOVA. The Greenhouse–Geisser freedom correction was used. All the data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0.

2.5.2. Preprocessing of fMRI data

The data were analyzed using DPARSF software (Yan and Zang, 2010). The first step of preprocessing is to convert the raw DICOM data to the NIFTI data format. Second, after realignment to the first image, the movement parameters for each participant were inspected. If a participant moved more than 3 mm in any direction (anterior posterior, right–left, inferior–superior), the data were excluded from further analysis. Third, for each participant, functional EPI scans were then coregistered to their corresponding high-resolution T1 anatomical image. Fourth, the unsegmented T1 anatomical images were then spatially normalized to the SPM12 MNI template using the default settings. To enhance the signal-to-noise ratios, these images were smoothed using a 4 mm FWHM (full-width-half-maximum) kernel.

2.5.3. Whole-brain analysis

Significant hemodynamic changes for each condition were calculated using the general linear model (GLM). To explore the differences in the two groups under stress task and stress recovery condition, the task conditions (stress and control condition) and recovery conditions (recovery after control condition and recovery after stress condition) were analyzed separately in the first level. Second-level effects were modeled by two full-factorial designs of 2 (group: PMS/control group) × 2 (conditions: stress and control; recovery after control condition and recovery after stress condition) ANOVA. As for the whole brain analysis, the voxel-level statistical threshold was set at p < 0.001, and corrected with a cluster-level of p ≤ 0.05 at the family-wise error (FWE).

3. Results

3.1. Demographic variables

The results of the independent sample T test indicate no significant differences in the age, BMI, menstrual duration, and menstrual cycle length [all t (39) < 1.78, all p > 0.09] among the groups (Table 1).

3.2. fMRI results

3.2.1. Whole-brain activation

The results (Table 2) of 2 (group: PMS/control group) × 2 (conditions: stress/control) ANOVA indicate that the main effect did not exist in groups and conditions. However, the interaction of two factors existed in Precentral_L (precentral gyrus, left part) (F (30 0 48), k = 492, F = 26.75, p < 0.001, FWE correction, Fig. 2), Frontal_Mid_Orb_R (middle orbit frontal gyrus, right part) (F (39 54 6), k = 361, F = 26.20, p < 0.001, FWE correction, Fig. 2), and Parietal_Sup_L (superior parietal gyrus, left part) (F (63 −30 39), k = 364, F = 25.89, p < 0.001, FWE correction, Fig. 2). Simple effect analysis suggested that the non-PMS groups
Table 1
Demographic variables (M±SD).

|                  | PMS (n = 19) | Control (n = 22) | p value |
|------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age              | 22.10 ± 2.15 | 23.24 ± 2.12    | 0.112   |
| BMI              | 20.19 ± 2.11 | 20.65 ± 2.21    | 0.503   |
| Menstrual duration | 5.47 ± 1.00  | 4.88 ± 0.93     | 0.091†  |
| Menstrual length  | 29.47 ± 3.57 | 28.91 ± 4.11    | 0.615†  |
| Progesterone (pg/mL) | 630.03 ± 599.86 | 565.06 ± 550.63 | 0.432    |
| Estradiol (pg/mL) | 27.20 ± 20.04 | 18.52 ± 11.69   | 0.150    |
| prepositive affect | 22 ± 5.11   | 19.50 ± 4.34    | 0.102‡  |
| prenaiveffect    | 22.53 ± 5.82 | 18.45 ± 4.76    | 0.088†  |
| post-positive affect | 22.21 ± 6.85 | 20.31 ± 5.01    | 0.323†  |
| post-negative affect | 25 ± 7.88  | 19.52 ± 5.54    | 0.005§  |

Note: BMI is the body mass index, which was calculated by dividing the weight (kg) by the height (m) squared (kg/m²). Menstrual duration refers to the number of menstrual days in a menstrual cycle. Cycle length refers to the time interval between two consecutive menstrual cycles.

† The p value was obtained from two sample t-tests.
‡ The p value was obtained by ANOVA.
§ The p value was obtained from an ANOVA with Bonferroni correction.

Table 2
Neuroticism effects.

| Contrasts         | Cluster level sig. | Voxel(s) X Y Z | Region                  |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Interactions:     |                    |                | Precentral_L            |
| conditions × group| <0.001             | 492 –30 0 48   |                          |
| Main effect       | <0.05              | 429 30 45 12   | Precentral_Mid_Orb_R    |
| : recovery        |                    |                | Fristal_Sup_L            |
| conditions × group|                    | 361 24 -72 -57 | Insula_R                |
| Recovery          |                    |                |                          |
| conditions × group|                    | 429 30 45 12   | Precentral_Mid_R        |

Note: “<0.001” indicates the significance of the main effect, “<0.05” indicates the significance of the interaction effect.

The results of our study showed that women with PMS had a lower activation in the precentral gyrus, the right middle orbitofrontal gyrus under acute stress task, while they had a higher activation in the right middle frontal gyrus during the recovery period. In addition, the change in the negative emotion in women with PMS was negatively correlated with the degree of activation in their right middle orbitofrontal gyrus.

Specifically, the precentral gyrus is in the MC area of the brain. Studies showed that the activity of the MC area under an acute stressor is positively correlated with the sympathetic nervous system activity of the ANS (Fechir et al., 2010). Using the trans-neuronal transport of virus, Dum (2016) found that the MC is the main connecting area for the adrenal medulla, which is responsible for the production of adrenal hormones that control the sympathetic activity (Dum, 2016). Studies have shown that women with PMS exhibit a dull response in the sympathetic system when they experience acute stressors (Girdler et al., 2001; Klatzkin et al., 2014; Roca et al., 2003). Therefore, this may account for the inactivation of the precentral gyrus. Further, consistent with our results, Dubol et al. (2020a) reviewed the neuroimaging evidence for PMDD (the most severe form of PMS) and found that the hypoactivity of the PFC (i.e., MC) is associated with the severity of PMDD, suggesting a reduced cognitive control over the negative stimulation in women with premenstrual disorders. However, the reason for this deficiency is not clear. Our study can explain this from the perspective of a sympathetic system. The inactivation of the MC indicated a dull response in the sympathetic nervous system, which is indeed related to a worse selective attention performance (Giuliano et al., 2017). Therefore, the insensitive response of the nervous system may be regarded as one of the reasons for a reduced cognitive control in premenstrual disorders.

The OFC is a part of the PFC and plays an important role in both stress response and mood regulation (Arnstien and Amy, 2015; Drevets, 2010). It may have a potential impact on the initial pressure perception and persistence of stress response (Katarina et al., 2009). Our results also show the inactivation of the right middle orbitofrontal gyrus in women with PMS under an acute stressful task, which may be related to the hyporeactivity of their ANS response (sympathetic and parasympathetic system) in the late luteal phase (Girdler et al., 2001; Klatzkin et al., 2014; Roca et al., 2003). There are two reasons for this. First, the response of the sympathetic system can successfully lead to the activation of the OFC, while a lower response results in its inactivation (Hagemann et al., 2003). The activation of the SNS can stimulate the adrenal medulla to release catecholamine. The transmission of catecholamine is necessary to maintain the activation of the PFC (Lupien et al., 2007; Sapolsky et al., 2000). Thus, a lower sympathetic reactivity can lead to insufficient secretion of catecholamine, which may affect the activation of OFC function (Brozoski et al., 1979; Drevets, 2010).
Fig. 2. The interaction of group and task conditions (all $p < 0.001$). Part A shows the volume in Precentral_L; Part B shows the volume in Frontal_Mid_Orb_R; Part C shows the volume in Parietal_Sup_L. During the stress condition, the activation of the PMS group was significantly lower than that of the control group. During the control condition, the difference between the two groups was not significant.

Precentral_L = precentral gyrus, left part. Frontal_Mid_Orb_R = middle orbit frontal gyrus, right part. Parietal_Sup_L = superior parietal gyrus, left part.
The results of subjective emotions indicate that the negative emotions of women with PMS after the task increased significantly. Previous studies have shown a significant correlation between the abnormal ANS responses of women with PMS and negative emotional experience (T. Matsumoto, Hayashi, Kimura and Moritani, 2009; Tamaki Matsumoto et al., 2007). Therefore, we attempted to analyze whether there is also a correlation between the subjective changes of negative emotion (post-task-pre task) and the activation of ANS-related brain regions during stress. Our results show that the activation of right middle orbitofrontal gyrus is significantly correlated with the change in negative emotions. The orbitofrontal gyrus is an important brain region involved in the cognitive emotional process (Deng et al., 2018; Hanson et al., 2010; Smoski et al., 2015; Wager et al., 2008). A study concerning cognitive reappraisal to negative emotion showed that the activation of right OFC in patients with depression was weaker than that of the healthy group. In the healthy group, reappraisal led to more positive emotions, triggering the response of the right OFC (Smoski et al., 2013). Rolls (2017) also found that the activation of OFC was associated with subjective emotional experience, while the impaired OFC can affect the normal emotional experience (Rolls, 2017), i.e., the negative emotional arousal of women with PMS under pressure is closely related to the inactivation of the right middle orbitofrontal gyrus. It is acknowledged that negative emotional experience is one of the most important symptoms of PMS, but no consistent reason is provided. Our results suggest that the inactivation of OFC in PMS caused by an imbalanced ANS response in acute pressure situations is probably one of the reasons for their increased negative emotions in the late luteal phase.

Furthermore, our research compared the recovery situation after the task conditions between two groups. The ANS-related areas (such as the MC, OFC, and mPFC) were not significantly different in the recovery phase between the two groups, so the second assumption was not satisfied. Nevertheless, the results show that irrespective of the stress or control recovery period, the activation of the right middle frontal gyrus in women with PMS was significantly higher than that in the control group. Furthermore, the activation of the right middle frontal gyrus in the PMS group in the stress recovery period was significantly higher than that during the control recovery. Thus, the right middle frontal gyrus plays an important role in executive control tasks such as inhibitory control and working memory tasks (Niendam et al., 2012; Satyshur et al., 2018). Consequently, the activation of the right middle frontal gyrus is significantly correlated with the change in negative emotions.
gyrus indicates normal cognitive processing in the task. It was still overactive in women with PMS during the stress recovery condition, indicating that it is difficult for them to recover from the task.

Some limitations must be noted. First, we invited participants to participate in our study during their late luteal phase. However, women had obvious hormonal fluctuations during the menstrual cycle, which is well known to play a role in stress processing (Lustyk and Gerrish, 2010). It remains to be further studied and confirmed whether the dysfunctions of stress processing indicated by the blood oxygen signal in PMS exist at other stages of the menstrual cycle. Second, the menstrual cycle was determined by subjective reporting, which may decrease the reliability of the results. Future studies should select more objective measurements to decide the cycle time. Third, it is better to explain the results if we collected both biological and fMRI data for stress tasks. Further research should achieve this. Last, we compared the data from subjective emotional changes and from the objective fMRI. Although the results were significant, repeatability should be further validated by studies with more participants. Future research should explore the correlation between subjective and objective data based on the number of subjects.
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