New Ferrocene-Based Metalloligand with Two Triazole Carboxamide Pendant Arms and Its Iron(II) Complex: Synthesis, Crystal Structure, $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Spectroscopy, Magnetic Properties and Theoretical Calculations
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Abstract: The new ferrocene-based metalloligand bis (N-4-[3,5-di-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazoyl])ferrocene carboxamide (L) was prepared through derivatization of 1,1′-ferrocenedicarboxylic acid with 4-amino-3,5-di(pyridyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole. The composition and purity of L in the solid state was determined with elemental analysis, FT-IR spectroscopy, and its crystal structure with single-crystal X-ray analysis, which revealed that the substituted cyclopentadienyl rings adopt the antiperiplanar conformation and the crystal structure of L is stabilized by O–H···N and N–H···O hydrogen bonds. The molecular properties of L in solution were investigated with NMR and UV-VIS spectroscopies, and cyclic voltammetry disclosed irreversible redox behavior providing one oxidation peak at $E_{1/2} = 1.133$ V vs. SHE. Furthermore, the polymeric Fe$^{II}$ complex $\{\text{Fe}(L)(\text{C(CN)}_3)_2\}$$_n$ (1) was prepared and characterized with elemental analysis, FT-IR spectroscopy, $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, and magnetic measurements. The last two methods confirmed that a mixture of low- and high-spin species is present in 1; however, the spin crossover properties were absent. The presented study was also supported by theoretical calculations at the DFT/TD-DFT level of theory using TPSS and TPSSh functionals.
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1. Introduction

Multifunctional materials represent a group of any materials that integrally combine two or more applicable properties. There is currently great interest in the study and design of multifunctional molecular materials that have spin-switching as one of the functions, not only for fundamental reasons but also in attempts to make innovative multifunctional devices. However, it is relatively hard to design a single molecular material capable of performing multiple functions. One of the synthetic ways involves sophisticated design of the ligands used. Traditional ligand functions include binding to a metal center and provision of steric hindrance or binding groups. In this context, the use of a metalloligand is a powerful synthetic strategy with considerable advantages. The metalloligand is a suitably designed complex which may act as a ligand capable of placing appended functional groups in limited directions, and, therefore, such functional groups can bind to secondary metal ions in a limited geometrical manner. The metalloligand approach presents a facile way to obtain multinuclear complexes with a specific combination of a primary metal ion (within the metalloligand) and a secondary metal ion (within the resultant complex).
Another advantage is that the structural rigidity of the metalloligand often causes the structural motif of the resultant complex to be highly predictable [1–4].

In this context, ferrocene derivatives bearing donor substituents are suitable for the metalloligand approach and thus are very useful for the development of specifically designed multinuclear metal complexes [5,6]. One of the well-known examples of a ferrocene-based metalloligand is 1,1′-bis-(phenylphosphino)ferrocene, which is often used as a ligand in catalysis and for the generation of novel coordination compounds with a wide range of coordination geometries and properties [7].

Spin crossover (SCO) complexes show dynamic switching between high-spin and low-spin states upon external stimuli, such as temperature and/or pressure changes, as well as light radiation treatment. This transition leads to drastic changes in electronic, magnetic, optical, and mechanical properties giving them a bistable character, which could be useful for the design of molecular devices for data storage or optical displays [8–11]. Multifunctional ligands in the field of SCO are very topical due to the fact that they provide the resulting SCO complex with their secondary function, resulting in further associated properties such as porosity, electrical conductivity, magnetic order, liquid crystal, and non-linear optical activity [8–11]. Coupling the ferrocenyl group, a part with well-defined redox properties, to ligands capable of inducing SCO affords the possibility to investigate synergies between SCO and the other properties and ultimately to find new physical phenomena and potential new applications.

In this context, ferrocenyl-containing pyridyl-triazole ligands were previously studied as suitable building blocks for the synthesis of SCO complexes with redox/electron-transfer as the second function [12]. Generally, triazole-based ligands are widely studied and used to build switchable coordination compounds. For example, complexes of iron(II) comprising the abpt ligand (4-amino-3,5-di(pyridyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole) with the general formula [Fe(abpt)2A2], where A stands for various pseudohalides (NCS−, NCSe−, N(CN)2−, C(CN)3−, etc.) or polycyanometallates ([Fe(CN)6]3−, [Pt(CN)6]3−, [Ni(CN)4]2−, etc.), were widely studied, and all exhibit spin crossover behavior (SCO) [13,14]. Ferrocenyl and analogous cobaltocenyl-containing abpt ligands (Figure 1) were synthesized, and complexation with CoII, CuII, ZnII, and CdII was studied [15,16].

![Figure 1. The structural formulae of ligands discussed in the text and the metalloligand L studied herein (top). The proposed structural formula of polymeric FeII complex 1 (tcm = tricyanomethanide anion) (bottom).](image-url)
Here, we report the synthesis and characterization of the new ferrocene-based metalloligand \( L \) with two triazole carboxamide pendant arms and its polymeric \( \text{Fe}^{II} \) complex (\([\text{Fe}(L)(\text{CN})_3]_2\)\(_n\) (1)).

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of Metalloligand \( L \) and \( \text{Fe}^{II} \) Complex

The ligand \( L \) was prepared with a two-step synthesis. In the first step, 1,1’-ferrocenedicarboxylic acid was converted to the corresponding dichloride, which was used in the following reaction with \( \text{abpt} \) to give the product. After purification through column chromatography, the ligand was isolated as an orange solid. The identity and purity of \( L \) was confirmed on the basis of elemental analysis and multinuclear (\(^1\text{H}, \, ^{13}\text{C}\)) NMR data. Single crystals suitable for X-ray structure analysis were prepared with slow evaporation of a chloroform-methanol solution.

The \( \text{Fe}^{II} \) complex (1) was prepared through the reaction of equimolar amounts of \( L \), \( \text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \), and potassium tricyanomethanide in a mixture of methanol-DCM under an argon atmosphere. The identity of the complex was confirmed on the basis of elemental analysis, FTIR, and \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) Mössbauer data. Unfortunately, as a result of the low solubility, all experiments to prepare crystals suitable for X-ray structure analysis through recrystallization from different solvent systems or using different temperature gradients, slow diffusion, or hydrothermal synthesis were unsuccessful. Bidentate ferrocene-based ligands containing flexible spacer, including amide group, are inclined to form oligomers or low-dimensional polymers [17–21]. Based on this fact and the results of analyses, we suppose a polymeric structure of the \( \text{Fe}^{II} \) complex 1.

2.2. Description of the Crystal Structure of the Ligand \( L \)

The ligand \( L \) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group \( P2_1/c \) with four molecules of the ligand in the asymmetric unit \( (Z = 4) \). The molecular structure of \( L \) is shown in Figure 2 (additional structural data are available in the Supporting Information, Table S1).

![Figure 2. The molecular structure of the studied metalloligand \( L \) with atom-numbering of non-hydrogen atoms.](image)

The average values of the Fe–C and C–C bond lengths in the ferrocenyl unit of \( L \) are 2.04 and 1.41 Å, respectively (Table 1). The average C–C–C bond angles in the cyclopentadienyl (\( \text{Cp} \)) rings are 108.0°. These values agree with those of ferrocene reported elsewhere within the experimental error [22–24]. The \( \text{Cp} \) rings in the crystal structure of the ligand \( L \) are slightly tilted by an angle of 2.7°. The conformation of the disubstituted ferrocenyl ligands can be defined by the torsion angle \( \tau \), defined as the torsion angle of
C_A-Cp_A-Cp_B-C_B, where C_A and C_B are carbon atoms bonded to the substituents and Cp_A and Cp_B are the centroids of the Cp rings [3]. The Cp rings in the crystal structure of L adopt the antiperiplanar conformation ($\tau = 157.8^\circ$). The dihedral angles between the mean planes of the rings of cyclopentadienyl and triazole equal 88.0° (for cyclopentadienyl and triazole defined by the atoms C14-C18, and N2–3, N5, C6–7, respectively), and 79.4° (for C19–23 and N8–10, C30–31). The pyridine and triazole rings of the abpt arms of L deviate significantly from planarity. The dihedral angles between the mean planes of the triazole and pyridine rings are equal to 40.4 and 26.9° for the first and 49.3 and 38.3° for the second arm.

Table 1. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in L.

| Bond Lengths | L | Bond Lengths | L |
|--------------|---|--------------|---|
| Fe1-C14      | 2.026(7) | Fe1-C19      | 2.029(7) |
| Fe1-C15      | 2.025(6) | Fe1-C20      | 2.038(7) |
| Fe1-C16      | 2.051(7) | Fe1-C21      | 2.046(7) |
| Fe1-C17      | 2.034(7) | Fe1-C22      | 2.023(7) |
| Fe1-C18      | 2.042(8) | Fe1-C23      | 2.019(6) |
| C19-C20-C21  | 109.1(7) | C14-C15-C16  | 108.4(7) |
| C20-C21-C22  | 108.3(7) | C15-C16-C17  | 106.8(7) |
| C21-C22-C23  | 107.0(7) | C16-C17-C18  | 110.4(7) |
| C22-C23-C19  | 108.8(6) | C17-C18-C14  | 106.4(7) |
| C23-C19-C20  | 106.3(7) | C18-C14-C15  | 107.9(8) |

The crystal structure of L is stabilized by O–H···N and N–H···O hydrogen bonds (Figure 3a). The N–H···O hydrogen bonds, between the carboxamide groups of neighboring molecules (N6–H6···O6), self-assemble the molecules into chains parallel to the a axis. The chains are interconnected through molecules of water (O7) by hydrogen bonds (O7–H7A···N2 and N7–H7···O7), which link neighboring chains into a two-dimensional network parallel with the plane ac (Figure S5a). An additional C–H···π interaction connects the layers into a three-dimensional network (Figure 3b, ESI Figure S5b).

2.3. UV-VIS Absorption Spectroscopic Studies

The absorption spectrum of L obtained in dichloromethane (Figure S6; c = 2.1 mmol/L) shows bands at 346 nm (444 L·mol$^{-1}$·cm$^{-1}$) and 448 nm (241 L·mol$^{-1}$·cm$^{-1}$), which correspond to the $\pi$-$\pi^*$ transitions from the ferrocenyl to the pyridyl-triazole moiety and to d-d transitions, probably mixed with d-$\pi^*$ transitions, respectively. Due to high intensity, further absorption bands in the UV region were studied at a lower concentration (Figure S6; c = 43.2 µmol/L). These bands at 228, 256, and 292 nm with molar absorption coefficients 26,530, 31,729, and 35,417 L·mol$^{-1}$·cm$^{-1}$, respectively, correspond to the $\pi$-$\pi^*$ transitions from the ferrocenyl to the pyridyl-triazole moiety [25,26].

2.4. Electrochemical Properties

The electrochemical properties of L were studied with cyclic voltammetry in CH$_3$CN (1 × 10$^{-3}$ M) containing tetrabutylammonium perchlorate as the supporting electrolyte. The cyclic voltammogram of L shows irreversible redox behavior providing one oxidation peak at $E_{1/2} = 1.133$ V vs. SHE ($\Delta E_p = 90$ mV; Figure 4). The large anodic to cathodic peak current ratio ($i_a/i_c = 3.62$) is attributed to substrate deposition at the working electrode [27]. The observed value of $E_{1/2}$ is shifted to more positive potentials in comparison with ferrocene ($E_{1/2} = 0.619$ V vs. SHE), owing to the substitution of the cyclopentadienyl rings with electron withdrawing carboxamide groups. A similar phenomenon was observed for several ferrocene derivatives containing a carboxamide group [28].
Figure 3. (a) A part of the crystal structure of L showing O–H⋯N and N–H⋯O hydrogen bonds (red dashed lines). Hydrogen atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for the sake of clarity. (b) Capped stick representation of L, showing a three-dimensional network formed by hydrogen bonds (red dashed lines) and C–H⋯π interaction (green dashed lines).

2.5. Magnetic Properties

The magnetic properties of 1 were measured in the temperature range of 2 to 300 K and are displayed as the effective magnetic moment ($\mu_{\text{eff}}$) in Figure 5. The $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ is practically constant in the whole temperature range adopting the value of 2.1 $\mu_B$. 

2.3. UV-VIS Absorption Spectroscopic Studies

The absorption spectrum of L obtained in dichloromethane (Figure S6; $c = 2.1 \text{ mmol/L}$) shows bands at 346 nm (444 L· mol$^{-1}$· cm$^{-1}$) and 448 nm (241 L· mol$^{-1}$· cm$^{-1}$), which correspond to the $\pi$–$\pi^*$ transitions from the ferrocenyl to the pyridyl-triazole moiety and to d–d transitions, probably mixed with d–$\pi^*$ transitions, respectively. Due to high intensity, further absorption bands in the UV region were studied at a lower concentration (Figure S6; $c = 43.2 \mu\text{mol/L}$). These bands at 228, 256, and 292 nm with molar absorption...
coefficients 26, 530, 31, 729, and 35, 417 L·mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹, respectively. The susceptibility of compound 1, measured under an argon atmosphere in 0.1 M TBAP acetonitrile solution with a glassy carbon working electrode at the scan rates of 200 (red), 100 (green), and 50 mV/s (blue), was measured, and again it consists of three doublets (Figure 6b). Analogous analysis resulted in parameters listed in Table 2. There is a small decrease of the isomer shift and the hyperfine splitting values are similar with the values for octahedral low-spin (LS) and high-spin (HS) Fe(II) complexes [22]. The second doublet was fitted with the isomer shift $\delta_{\text{II}}$ = 1.18 mm·s⁻¹, while the low-spin (LS) state of Fe(II) is diamagnetic ($\mu_B$ is Bohr magneton). Thus, the experimental magnetic data clearly say that Fe(II) coordinated to L and tcm anions in 1 is present both in the LS and HS spin states and evidently spin crossover is not induced by a temperature change. The average value of $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ of 1 was used to estimate the ratio of LS and HS according to Equation (1) (right).

The theoretical value of Fe(II) in the high-spin (HS) state should span the interval between 4.90 $\mu_B$ (\(\chi = 2.00\)) and 5.39 $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ (\(\chi = 2.20\)), while the low-spin (LS) state of Fe(II) is diamagnetic ($\mu_B$ is Bohr magneton). Thus, the experimental magnetic data clearly say that Fe(II) coordinated to L and tcm anions in 1 is present both in the LS and HS spin states and evidently spin crossover is not induced by a temperature change. The average value of $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ of 1 was used to estimate the ratio of LS and HS according to Equation (1) (right).

The first doublet was fitted with the isomer shift $\delta_{\text{II}}$ = 3.62, while the low-spin (LS) state of Fe was applied to compound 1, while the low-spin (LS) state of Fe was applied to compound 1. The integrated areas of the doublets should cover the interval between 19% and 15% as calculated with $\mu_B$ = 2.00, respectively. Linear regression of 1/\(\chi_{\text{mol}}\) provided the

$$\chi_{\text{mol}} = x_{\text{HS}}\chi_{\text{HS}} + (1 - x_{\text{HS}})\chi_{\text{LS}} = x_{\text{HS}}\frac{N_A\mu_B^2}{3k}\delta_{\text{HS}}(S_{\text{HS}} + 1) \frac{\delta_{\text{HS}}^2}{T - \Theta}$$

where $N_A$ is the Avogadro constant, $\mu_0$ is the permeability of vacuum, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $x_{\text{HS}}$ is the molar ratio of the HS species, and $\chi_{\text{HS}}$ and $\chi_{\text{LS}}$ are the molar susceptibilities of the HS and LS states, respectively. Linear regression of 1/\(\chi_{\text{mol}}\) provided the

Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms of L (c ≈ 1 mM) recorded under an argon atmosphere in 0.1 M TBAP acetonitrile solution with a glassy carbon working electrode at the scan rates of 200 (red), 100 (green), and 50 mV/s (blue).

Figure 5. The temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment (calculated from the magnetization measured at $B = 0.2$ T) of 1 (left). Blue and magenta lines show typical values for LS and HS Fe(II) species. The linear regression to the reciprocal molar susceptibility according to Equation (1) (right).
value of the Weiss constant $\Theta = -5.0$ K and the product value of $x_{\text{HS}} \cdot S_{\text{FS}}^2 = 0.743$ (Figure 5). Therefore, $x_{\text{HS}}$ should cover the interval between 19% and 15% as calculated with $g = 2.00$ and $g = 2.00$, respectively.

2.6. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Spectroscopy

The Mössbauer spectroscopy of $^{57}$Fe was applied to compound 1. First, the low temperature spectrum of 1 was acquired at 5 K, and it consists of three doublets (Figure 6a). The first doublet was fitted with the isomer shift $\delta = 0.53$ mm·s$^{-1}$ and the quadrupole splitting $\Delta E_Q = 2.28$ mm·s$^{-1}$. These isomer shift and quadrupole splitting values are similar with the values for ferrocene and its amide derivatives [29,30]; therefore, this signal was assigned to iron ions coordinated to cyclopentadienyl moieties labelled as $[\text{Fe(Cp)}]$ in Table 2. The second doublet was fitted with the isomer shift $\delta = 0.41$ mm·s$^{-1}$ and the quadrupole splitting, $\Delta E_Q = 0.48$ mm·s$^{-1}$. The isomer shift value and the quadrupole splitting are similar with the values for octahedral low-spin Fe$^{\text{II}}$ complexes [31] and was assigned to iron ions coordinated to abpt moieties labelled as $[\text{Fe(abpt)}]^{\text{LS}}$ in Table 2. The third doublet was fitted with the isomer shift $\delta = 1.18$ mm·s$^{-1}$ and the quadrupole splitting $\Delta E_Q = 3.15$ mm·s$^{-1}$. Such values of the parameters are similar with the values for octahedral high-spin Fe$^{\text{II}}$ complexes, and thus can be assigned to $[\text{Fe(abpt)}]^{\text{HS}}$. The integrated areas of the doublets were found to be in the ratio 37:13:50 for $[\text{Fe(abpt)}]^{\text{LS}}:[\text{Fe(abpt)}]^{\text{HS}}:[\text{Fe(Cp)}]$. This ratio corresponds to the analysis of magnetic data. Next, the room temperature (298 K) spectrum was measured, and again it consists of three doublets (Figure 6b). Analogous analysis resulted in parameters listed in Table 2. There is a small decrease of the isomer shift and the quadrupole splitting values upon increasing the temperature, which is due to the second-order Doppler effect and due to the temperature-dependent Boltzmann population of the iron(II) d-orbitals split by low-symmetry ligand field, respectively [32].

The integrated areas of the reported doublets were found to be in the ratio 50:13:37 for $[\text{Fe(abpt)}]^{\text{LS}}:[\text{Fe(abpt)}]^{\text{HS}}:[\text{Fe(Cp)}]$. Evidently, the ratio of the three signals changed with the temperature, which would usually indicate spin crossover. However, as the magnetic measurements excluded this phenomenon, the change in the intensities can be assigned to different temperature dependence of Lamb–Mössbauer factors of these species. Moreover, the analyzed parameters of $\delta$ and $\Delta E_Q$ are consistent with the parameters found in other Fe$^{\text{II}}$ complexes [33,34] with the abpt ligand as showed in Table 2, thus confirming the correct assignment in the case of compound 1.

![Figure 6](image_url)  
*Figure 6. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra for 1 at $T = 5$ (a) and at $T = 298$ K (b). The dots represent the experimental data, and the calculated data are shown with a full black line. The respective subspectra are colored as $[\text{Fe(abpt)}]^{\text{LS}}$ in blue, $[\text{Fe(abpt)}]^{\text{HS}}$ in red, and $[\text{Fe(Cp)}]$ in green color.*
Table 2. Experimentally determined $^{57}\text{Fe}$ Mössbauer parameters for 1 and other Fe$^{II}$ complexes with abpt ligand $^a$.

|                    | {Fe(abpt)}$^{\text{LS}}$ | {Fe(abpt)}$^{\text{HS}}$ | {Fe(Cp)} |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| **Experimental data $^b$** |                           |                           |          |
| 1 (5 K)            | $\delta = 0.41(1)$       | $\Delta E_Q = 0.48(1)$   |          |
| 1 (298 K)          | $\delta = 0.33(1)$       | $\Delta E_Q = 1.18(1)$   | $\delta = 3.15(2)$   |
| [Fe(DAPP)(abpt)][ClO$_4$]$_2$ (80 K) $^{[33]}$ | $\delta = 0.570(1)$       | $\Delta E_Q = 0.44(1)$   | $\delta = 1.08(4)$   |
| [Fe(DAPP)(abpt)][ClO$_4$]$_2$ (211 K) $^{[33]}$ |                           | $\Delta E_Q = 1.022(1)$  | $\delta = 2.83(1)$   |
| [Fe(abpt)$_2$(μ-Fe(CN)$_5$(NO)))$_n$ (25 K) $^{[34]}$ | $\delta = 0.517$          | $\Delta E_Q = 0.478$     | $\delta = 1.065$     |
| **DFT calculated data** |                           |                           |          |
| 1$'$ (LS)          | $\delta = 0.54$          | $\Delta E_Q = 0.63$      | $\delta = 0.60$      |
| 1$'$ (HS)          | $\delta = 1.14$          | $\Delta E_Q = 3.28$      | $\delta = 0.60$      |
| [Fe(DAPP)(abpt)]$^{2+}$ (LS) | $\delta = 0.61$          | $\Delta E_Q = 0.25$      |          |
| [Fe(DAPP)(abpt)]$^{2+}$ (HS) |                           | $\Delta E_Q = 1.06$      | $\delta = 3.41$      |

$^a$ LS and HS labels correspond to low-spin and high-spin Fe$^{II}$ ions coordinated by abpt moieties {Fe(abpt)}, and {Fe(Cp)} and correspond to low-spin Fe$^{II}$ in the ferrocene-subunit of metalloligand $L$; DAPP = bis(3-aminopropyl)(2-pyridylmethyl)amine. $^b$ values of the isomer shifts and quadrupole splitting are in mm·s$^{-1}$.

2.7. Theoretical Calculations

First, the molecular and electronic structure of the metalloligand $L$ was theoretically studied at the DFT/TD-DFT level of theory using ORCA 5.0 software. The molecular structure of the metalloligand $L$ was optimized with TPSS functional upon application of the SMD solvation model for dichloromethane (Figure 7, Table S2). Next, the optimized geometry underwent TD-DFT calculations with TPSSh functional comprising three hundred excited states. The resulting absorption spectrum is shown in Figure 8. In order to analyze the calculated spectrum, Multiwfn software was utilized $^{[35]}$. Herein, the intensities calculated from the TD-DFT oscillator strengths were transformed into the molar absorption coefficients as implemented in Multiwfn. The compound $L$ was divided into five fragments as graphically depicted in Figure 7. The iron atom is labelled as M, two carboxamide-functionalized cyclopentadienes are labelled as L2 and L3, and dipyridyl-triazole units are labelled as L4 and L5. This enabled us to calculate interfragment charge transfer during electron excitation (IFCT) $^{[36]}$ and analyze the individual contribution of the metal-centered states (MC), intra-ligand states (IL), metal-to-ligand charge transfer states (MLCT), ligand-to-metal charge transfer states (LMCT), and ligand-to-ligand charge transfer states (LLCT) as showed in Figure 8. Evidently, the strongest absorption band located at ~33,000 cm$^{-1}$ is based on the dominant contributions of the intra-ligand excitation of the L4 and L5 fragments with a minor contribution of MLCT (Figure 8a). Much weaker absorption in the visible part of the spectrum (~21,800 cm$^{-1}$) is mainly caused by the combinations of the MC and MLCT contributions (Figure 8b), where L2 and L3 fragments based on cyclopentadiene and also L4 and L5 fragments based on abpt are involved within the MLCT contributions. To conclude, the main features of the UV/VIS spectrum of $L$ reproduced with TD-DFT and IFCT analyses helped us to understand the origin of these electron excitations.
First, the molecular and electronic structure of the metalloligand $1$ underwent TD-DFT calculations with graphically marked fragments. The DFT optimized molecular structure of $1$ was used for TD-DFT calculations with graphically marked fragments.

Figure 7. The DFT optimized molecular structure of $1$ used for TD-DFT calculations with graphically marked fragments.

Figure 8. The TD-DFT calculated absorption spectrum of $1$ in the UV (a) and visible part (b) with the individual fragment contributions as deduced from IFCT analysis. The metal-centered states (MC), intra-ligand states (IL), metal-to-ligand charge transfer states (MLCT), ligand-to-metal charge transfer states (LMCT), and ligand-to-ligand charge transfer states (LLCT) are numbered according to the molecular fragments showed in Figure 7. The spectrum was calculated by setting value of 2500 cm$^{-1}$ for full width at half maximum (FWHM).

Next, we also analyzed the properties of $1$, and with the aim to support the observation from $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, a part of the presumed polymeric structure of $1$ labelled as $1'$ was optimized both for the LS and HS states using TPSS functional together with SMD solvation model for water (Figure 9, Tables S3 and S4). Such functional was utilized for the geometry optimization in the computation study by Krewald et al. focused on the $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy [37].
Afterwards, TPSSh functional and ORCA 4.2.1 were used to calculate the quadrupole splitting ($\Delta Q_{\text{TPSSh}}$) and the electron density at the iron nucleus ($\rho_{0\text{TPSSh}}$)—Table S8. The methodology reported in [37] was then utilized to calculate final values of the isomer shift ($\delta$) and the quadrupole splitting($\Delta Q$) for all studied complexes. Herein, we employed the reported calibration equations for TPSSh functional:

$$\delta = 6225.57816 - 0.52665 \cdot \rho_{0\text{TPSSh}}$$

$$\Delta Q = -0.12779 + 1.03297 \cdot \Delta Q_{\text{TPSSh}}$$

The results are summarized in Table 2. Here, the iron ions undergoing the change of the spin state are labelled as [Fe(abpt)], and the iron ions coordinated to cyclopentadienyl moieties are labelled as [Fe(Cp)]. The isomers shift values are in very good agreement with those measured at 5 K (Table 2) as can be seen for DFT-calculated values 0.54 mm s$^{-1}$ and 1.14 mm s$^{-1}$ for LS and HS [Fe(abpt)] compared to the experimental data 0.41 mm s$^{-1}$ and 1.18 mm s$^{-1}$. Furthermore, the quadrupole splitting parameters are also consistent: 0.63 mm s$^{-1}$ and 3.28 mm s$^{-1}$ for LS and HS [Fe(abpt)] agrees well with the experimental data 0.48 mm s$^{-1}$ and 3.15 mm s$^{-1}$. Also, the values of $\delta$ and $\Delta Q$ for the [Fe(Cp)] fragments are consistent with the experimental data. Therefore, it seems that the DFT-optimized molecular structures of 1′ is appropriate. The same procedure was applied also to the above mentioned [Fe(DAPP)(abpt)]$^{2+}$ complex (Tables S5 and S6) (DAPP = [bis(3-aminopropyl)(2-pyridyl)methyl]amine), and in this case there is good agreement found for the isomer shifts, whereas larger discrepancies are observed for the quadrupole splitting, which can be most likely assigned to higher temperatures at which the experimental data were acquired—Table 2. Nevertheless, the calculated data are similar to 1′.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials and Syntheses

Some of the manipulations were performed under a dry nitrogen or argon atmosphere. All chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial sources (Across Organics, Sigma-Aldrich, and Lachema) and used as received. Chloroform (CHCl$_3$) and dichloromethane (DCM) were dried using standard protocols and stored over molecular sieves under an argon atmosphere [38]. The ligand bis(N-4-[3,5-di-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-
triazoyl)ferrocene carboxamide (L) was synthesized according to modified literature procedures [39,40].

3.1.1. Synthesis of the Ligand (L)

In a round three-neck flask, 1,1′-ferrocenedicarboxylic acid (548.7 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (161 µL, 1.99 mmol) were dissolved in dry CHCl₃ (10 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature. To the well-stirred mixture, oxalyl chloride (560 µL, 6.62 mmol, 3.3 eq) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was heated to 60 °C under a reflux condenser for 2 h, and then all volatile solvents were evaporated under vacuum to give a dark red solid of 1,1′-ferrocenyl dichloride. In the next step, 1,1′-ferrocenyl dichloride was used without further purification. It was dissolved in dry DCM (10 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere, and a solution of 4-amino-3,5-di(pyridyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole (973.6 mg; 4.09 mmol, 2 eq) and pyridine (330 µL, 4.08 mmol) in dry DCM (10 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred over a period of 48 h at room temperature. The obtained dark orange suspension was washed with 0.01 M HCl (4 × 10 mL). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated in vacuum. The crude product was purified with column chromatography using silica gel and a mixture of CHCl₃, MeOH and ammonia (w = 25%) in a volume ratio of 15:4:0.5 as a mobile phase. Fractions containing the product (Rf = 0.75) were collected. The volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as an orange solid and dried in vacuo overnight. Yield 52% based on 1,1′-ferrocenedicarboxylic acid.

Anal. Calcd. (%) for C₃₇H₂₇Cl₃Fe₁N₁₂O₂ (Mr = 833.89): C, 53.29; H, 3.26; N, 20.16. Found: C, 53.06; H, 3.64; N, 20.49. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ): 4.54 (s, 4H, H2), 5.03 (s, 4H, H1), 7.20 (t, 4H, H9, JHH = 5.87 Hz), 7.82 (t, 4H, H8, JHH = 7.43 Hz), 8.35 (d, 4H, H7, JHH = 7.83 Hz), 8.42 (d, 4H, H10, JHH = 3.91 Hz), 11.66 (s, 2 H, NH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ): 70.39 (CH Cp, C1), 72.36 (CH Cp, C2), 73.12 (C Cp, C3), 124.37 (CH pyridyl, C7), 124.80 (CH pyridyl, C9), 137.24 (CH pyridyl, C8), 146.43 (CH pyridyl, C6), 148.21 (CH pyridyl, C10), 151.82 (C triazole, C5), 170.46 (CO, C4). MS (+) m/z: 715.15 [L₁+H]+ (Irel = 4 %); 737.18 [L₁+Na]+ (Irel = 100 %). FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3241 br, 1676 vs, 1586 m, 1511 s, 1448 s, 1431 sh, 1375 m, 1310 m, 1278 s, 1138 w, 992 m, 791 s, 740 m, 693 m, 643 m, 615 m, 499 m.

3.1.2. Synthesis of the Complex {Fe(L)(C(CN)₃)₂}₉ (I)

Iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate (38.4 mg, 0.19 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of a methanol–water mixture (1:1 volume ratio) under an argon atmosphere at room temperature, and then a solution of L (158.4 mg; 0.19 mmol) in 50 mL of methanol-DCM (10:1) was added in small portions. The obtained orange solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Then solid potassium tricyanomethanide (50.3 mg; 0.39 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The product was isolated with centrifugation and washed three times with water. The resulting red powder was dried in a desiccator over NaOH overnight. Yield was 83% based on the ligand L.

Anal. Calcd. (%) for C₄₄H₂₆Fe₂N₁₈O₂ (M_r = 950.49): C, 42.16; H, 4.11; N, 6.64. Found: C, 42.08; H, 3.96; N, 6.76. FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3407 sh, 3104 br, 2159 vs, 1689 s, 1622 m, 1588 m, 1553 m, 1448 vs, 1432 sh, 1374 m, 1273 s, 994 w, 790 s, 742 m, 698 m, 643 m, 615 m, 562 m, 496 m.

3.2. Analytical Methods

Elemental analysis (C, H, N) was performed on a Flash 2000 CHNO-S Analyzer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a Jasco FT/IR-4700 spectrometer (Jasco, Easton, MD, USA) using the ATR technique on a diamond plate in the range 400–4000 cm⁻¹. Electronic spectra were recorded on a Cintra 3030 (GBC Scientific Instruments, IL, USA) spectrometer with 10 mm path length quartz cuvettes in dichloromethane. The mass spectra (MS) were collected on a LCQ Fleet Ion Mass Trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with an electrospray ion source and a three-dimensional ion-trap detector in the positive mode. Cyclic voltammetry
CV) measurements were carried out using an electrochemical analyzer CHI600C (CH Instrument, Austin, TX, USA) with a three-electrode-type cell. A glassy carbon working electrode, a platinum wire auxiliary electrode, and a Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode (0.01 M AgNO₃ in 0.1 M TBAP, CH₃CN) were used during the measurements. The internal Fe/Fc⁺ standard (E₁/₂ = 0.077 V vs. reference electrode, E₁/₂ = 0.624 V vs. SHE) was employed in order to obtain the final potential values referred to SHE [41]. The measurements were performed under an inert argon atmosphere in an acetonitrile solution containing tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP), as a supporting electrolyte. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K on a Varian 400 MHz NMR spectrometer (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA) operating at 399.95 MHz (¹H) and 100.60 MHz (¹³C). The signal assignments in ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were based in part on two-dimensional COSY, HMBC and HMQC experiments. The multiplicity of the signals was indicated as follows: s—singlet, d—doublet, and t—triplet. The transmission ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectrum of complex 1 was measured with laboratory Mössbauer spectrometer with a ⁵⁷Co(Rh) radiation source. The Mössbauer spectrum was fitted with the Lorentzian line shapes using MossWinn 4.0 program. The isomer shift values were referred to the 28 µm α-Fe foil (Ritverc). For the low-temperature Mössbauer measurement (5–300 K), the sample was placed inside the closed-cycle cryogenic system for Mössbauer spectroscopy (Cryostation, Montana Instruments). The magnetometry was performed using a low temperature vibrating sample magnetometer (Cryogenic Limited) in the temperature range 2–300 K in the magnetic field of 0.2 T. The experimental data were corrected for the diamagnetism of the sample and for the diamagnetism of the sample holder.

3.3. X-ray Crystallography

Single crystals of L for X-ray structure analysis were prepared with slow evaporation of a chloroform-methanol solution. Data collection for L was done using an XtaLAB Synergy-I diffractometer with a HyPix3000 hybrid pixel array detector and microfocused PhotonJet-I X-ray source (Cu Kα). The structure was solved using SHELXT [42] program and refined through the full matrix least-squares procedure with Olex2.refine [43] in OLEX2 (version 1.5) [44]. The multi-scan absorption corrections were applied using the program CrasAlisPro 1.171.40.82a [45]. Figures with detailed structure features were drawn using Diamond software [46]. Non-routine aspects of crystal structure determination and refinement are as follows: The isolated single-crystals were of a poor quality, and this affected the data collection. We collected complete a data set for diffractions only up to resolution 0.88 Å. One of the abpt moieties L exhibited positional disorder that was modeled as disorder over two positions (ratio of occupational factors: 0.55:0.45). The crystallographic data and refinement data for L are as follows: C₃₆H₂₈FeN₁₂O₃, Mr = 732.55, T = 298(2) K, light orange color, 0.23 × 0.13 × 0.10 mm³, monoclinic, space group P2₁/c, a = 7.8354(3), b = 19.2990(12)Å, c = 22.5050(5)Å, α = 90°, β = 90.709(5)°, γ = 90°, V = 3402.8(3) Å³, Z = 4, Dcalc = 1.430 g·cm⁻³, F(000) = 1512, 15,556 reflections collected, 5992 unique (Rint = 0.0435), GoF = 1.169, R₁ = 0.0892, wR₂ = 0.1722, R indices calculated with I > 2σ(I). CSD deposition number: 2177052. Selected bond lengths and angles are shown in Table 1.

3.4. Theoretical Calculations

The ORCA 4.2 or ORCA 5.0 software was used for all quantum chemical calculations [47,48]. The molecular geometries were optimized with ORCA 5.0 using the polarized triple-ζ quality basis set def2-TZVP for all atoms, except carbon and hydrogen atoms for which the def2-SVP basis set was applied [49]. The calculations utilized the Split-RI-J Coulomb approximation [50] with the auxiliary basis sets def2/J [51]. Increased integration grids (DEFGRID3) and tight SCF convergence criteria were used in all calculations. The meta-GGA functional TPSS was employed together with the atom-pairwise dispersion correction (D3BJ) [52,53]. The geometry optimization was not done in vacuum but using a SMD solvation model [54]. Moreover, the tight optimization criteria were required.
(TightOpt), and all convergence criteria must have been fulfilled (EnforceStrictConvergence was set to True). The vibrational analyses confirmed proper convergence for complexes at local energy minimum (no imaginary frequencies)—Table S7. The subsequent calculations utilized hybrid meta-GGA functional TPSSh \[55,56\] together with the chain-of-spheres (RIJCOSX) approximation to exact exchange \[57,58\] as implemented in ORCA.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have designed, synthesized, and characterized with different spectral analyses a new ferrocene-based metalloigand with two triazole carboxamide pendant arms \(L\) and presumable polymeric Fe\(\text{II}\) complex \(1\). The structure of \(L\) was characterized through single crystal X-ray structure analysis. Magnetic data and \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) Mössbauer spectra of \(1\) confirmed the presence of the mixture of low- and high-spin species. For better understanding of the electronic spectra of \(L\), as well as magnetic properties and Mössbauer spectra of complex \(1\), theoretical calculations were performed.
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