Ordered Arrays of SiGe Islands from Low-Energy PECVD

M. Bollani · E. Bonera · D. Chrastina · A. Fedorov · V. Montuori · A. Picco · A. Tagliaferri · G. Vanacore · R. Sordan

Abstract SiGe islands have been proposed for applications in the fields of microelectronics, optoelectronics and thermoelectrics. Although most of the works in literature are based on MBE, one of the possible advantages of low-energy plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (LEPECVD) is a wider range of deposition rates, which in turn results in the possibility of growing islands with a high Ge concentration. We will show that LEPECVD can be effectively used for the controlled growth of ordered arrays of SiGe islands. In order to control the nucleation of the islands, patterned Si (001) substrates were obtained by e-beam lithography (EBL) and dry etching. We realized periodic circular pits with diameters ranging from 80 to 300 nm and depths from 65 to 75 nm. Subsequently, thin films (0.8–3.2 nm) of pure Ge were deposited by LEPECVD, resulting in regular and uniform arrays of Ge-rich islands. LEPECVD allowed the use of a wide range of growth rates (0.01–0.1 nm s\(^{-1}\)) and substrates temperatures (600–750°C), so that the Ge content of the islands could be varied. Island morphology was characterized by AFM, while \(\mu\)-Raman was used to analyze the Ge content inside the islands and the composition differences between islands on patterned and unpatterned areas of the substrate.
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Introduction

Nanostructures for microelectronic applications need to be well controlled in terms of the shape, ordering and composition. Since Ge islands tend to nucleate randomly on flat Si substrates, substrate patterning can be used to achieve controlled positioning by creating material traps [1, 2]. Besides positioning the SiGe structures on the substrate, an appropriate pattern can also control their size. Using electron beam lithography (EBL), it is possible to vary width, depth and spacing of seed holes in order to trap the deposited material in a controlled way. This method allows strain relaxation in islands to also be controlled [3]. Similarly, it is also necessary to control the composition, since this parameter influences a number of electronic and optical properties [4–6].

In this paper, we use EBL and reactive ion etching (RIE) to realize periodic seed holes in Si substrates. The deposition of a few layer of Ge directly on Si-patterned substrates by LEPECVD results in the nucleation of SiGe islands at the preferential sites. We investigate the impact of the LEPECVD technique on composition and strain relaxation of SiGe islands using AFM and \(\mu\)-Raman spectroscopy.
Experimental Procedure

Pit-patterned Si substrates were prepared by EBL upon a surface cleaned by an ultrasonic treatment with organic solvents. Then, two layers of poly(methyl methacrylate) were deposited by spinning (3,000 rpm) and baking for 1 h at 160°C. During EBL, different doses were used (250, 300, 350 and 400 μC cm⁻²) to create pits with final diameter between 80 and 300 nm. For every exposure, the patterned area was 25 × 25 μm² with pits at a regular spacing of 1 μm, and 70–75 nm of Si was etched by RIE. After RIE, all samples were analyzed by scanning electron microscope and AFM to check the depth and quality of etching (Fig. 1). To obtain a clearer visualization of the surface in all the images shown in this work, we have used a grayscale corresponding to the surface gradient [7, 8]. The image edges are <110> directions.

Pure Ge was deposited by LEPECVD [9, 10] directly on the cleaned patterned Si (001) substrates at different temperatures between 600 and 750°C. The thickness of deposited Ge ranged from 0.8 to 3.2 nm, while the growth rate was either 0.015 or 0.1 nm s⁻¹.

Results and Discussion

At low temperature (600°C) as shown in Fig. 2a, the mobility of Ge atoms was too low, and we obtained small islands between patterned areas. Increasing the temperature Fig. 1 Scanning electron micrograph (a) and AFM gradient image (b) of patterned region on (001) Si substrate. The pit diameter was 100 nm while the depth ~70 nm. We choose the coordinate axes x and y to be parallel to the images edges, which are aligned to the [110] and [110] directions, respectively.

Fig. 2 AFM gradient images of a patterned area following the deposition of 2.8 nm of Ge at 600°C (a), 700°C (b) and 750°C (c). The growth rate was 0.1 nm s⁻¹. At low temperature (a), we have formation of small SiGe 3D structures around pits. They are also observed at intermediate temperature (b), although in smaller quantity. At high temperature (c), only very few islands are formed which are not on the top of pits, and some pits appear to be uncapped with islands.

The distribution and size of the islands were characterized by AFM, while the Ge content was measured using μ-Raman spectroscopy. All AFM analyses were carried out in tapping mode using an Innova–Veeco instrument with ultra sharp tip (typical curvature radius 2 nm). The μ-Raman spectra were acquired in back-scattering configuration with a 532-nm excitation wavelength in order to obtain a high signal-to-noise ratio with Ge-rich structures [11]. Due to self-absorption, the investigated depth corresponds to about one half of the penetration depth dₚ, ranging from about 10 to 40 nm in Ge-rich Si₁₋ₓGeₓ alloys. The microscope features a 100 ×/0.90 NA objective with a spatial resolution of 360 nm. The spectral positions of the SiGe alloy vibrational bands were used to measure both the strain and Ge content [12, 13].
were 0.1 or 0.015 nm s\(^{-1}\) in the growing and composition of the islands. The growth rates are proportional to (001). Facets near their tops, while the tops themselves are parallel to (1110). From high-resolution AFM scans, we can identify {105}, {113} and {123} facets at the sides of the islands and {110} facets near their tops, while the tops themselves are parallel to (001).

We evaluated the effect of growth rate on the positioning and composition of the islands. The growth rates were 0.1 or 0.015 nm s\(^{-1}\). At these growth rates, keeping the other growth parameters fixed, we did not observe island formation between pits. This result has been expected, because by low growth rate we reduce the Ge adatom concentration, and consequently we decrease the possibility of nucleating new islands between pits. The volume of the islands changed: the structures grown at high growth rates have a lower volume and the aspect ratio (AR) is 0.2 with a volume of 1.380 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) m\(^3\), while in (b) AR is 0.11 with a volume of 1.380 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) m\(^3\).

Fig. 3 AFM gradient images of a patterned region following the deposition of 2.8 nm of Ge at 750°C. The growth rate was a 0.015 nm s\(^{-1}\) and b 0.1 nm s\(^{-1}\). In (a) the AR is 0.2 with a volume mean value of 7.118 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) m\(^3\), while in (b) AR is 0.11 with a volume of 1.380 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) m\(^3\).

(750°C), the Ge mobility increases [14, 15], and we obtained 3D islands positioned correctly (Fig. 2c) with only very few islands between the pits. At this temperature, we varied the amount of deposited Ge to investigate the trapping effect of the holes [16]. In the range of amount of deposited Ge (0.9–3.2 nm), we did not observe islands in the region between the holes. Following models reported in literature [14, 17], this means that the migration length of Ge adatoms \((L = 2\sqrt{D}t\) with \(D\) the diffusion coefficient) is greater than the distance between the holes. For a fixed patterning dimension, the island volume increases proportionally to the thickness of the deposited Ge. From high-resolution AFM scans, we can identify {105}, {113} and {123} facets at the sides of the islands and {110} facets near their tops, while the tops themselves are parallel to (001).

We evaluated the effect of growth rate on the positioning and composition of the islands. The growth rates were 0.1 or 0.015 nm s\(^{-1}\). At these growth rates, keeping the other growth parameters fixed, we did not observe island formation between pits. This result has been expected, because by low growth rate we reduce the Ge adatom concentration, and consequently we decrease the possibility of nucleating new islands between pits. The volume of the islands changed: the structures grown at high growth rates have a lower volume and the aspect ratio (AR) is 0.2 with a volume of 1.380 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) m\(^3\), while in (b) AR is 0.11 with a volume of 1.380 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) m\(^3\).
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