Rapid Differential Detection of Japanese Encephalitis Virus and Getah Virus in Pigs or Mosquitoes by a Duplex TaqMan Real-Time RT-PCR Assay
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Both JEV (Japanese encephalitis virus) and GETV (Getah virus) pose huge threats to the safety of animals and public health. Pigs and mosquitoes play a primary role in JEV and GETV transmission. However, there is no way to quickly distinguish between JEV and GETV. In this study, we established a one-step duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR for rapid identification and detection of JEV and GETV. Primers and probes located in the NS1 gene of JEV and the E2 gene of GETV that could specifically distinguish JEV from GETV were selected for duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR. In duplex real-time RT-qPCR detection, the correlation coefficients (R2) of the two viruses were higher than 0.999. The RT-qPCR assay demonstrated high sensitivity, extreme specificity, and excellent repeatability. Detection of JEV and GETV in field mosquito and pig samples was 100 times and 10 times more sensitive than using traditional PCR, respectively. In addition, the new test took less time and could be completed in under an hour. Clinical sample testing revealed the prevalence of JEV and GETV in mosquitoes and pig herds in China. This complete duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR assay provided a fast, efficient, specific, and sensitive tool for the detection and differentiation of JEV and GETV.
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INTRODUCTION

Getah virus (GETV) is a mosquito-borne, enveloped, single-stranded, positive-sense RNA virus belonging to the genus Alphavirus in the family Togaviridae that was first isolated in Malaysia in 1955 from Culex spp. mosquitoes (1). Subsequent studies indicated that this virus has a broad geographic distribution in Southeast Asia and Eurasia, including China, Thailand, Japan, and Russia (2). GETV mainly infects horses and pigs, which play a critical role in the amplification and circulation of the virus; the virus is also pathogenic to foxes and cattle and can cause fever in humans (3). Horses infected with GETV can suffer fever, swollen hind limbs, skin rashes, and other
show obvious neurological symptoms (4). To date, there have been five horse-based GETV outbreaks in Japan, in 1978, 1979, 1983, 2014, and 2015, resulting in large economic losses (5). GETV infection can cause fetal death and reproductive disorders in pigs. Approximately 70 GETV isolates have been identified in China, which are widespread in 15 provinces including Hunan, Shanghai, Sichuan, Henan, and Shandong (6). In 2017, GETV broke out in a pig farm in Hunan, causing serious economic losses (3). At the same time, the positive detection rate of GETV antibodies in most areas of China continues to rise, and GETV has even been found in commercial live vaccines and boar semen, which poses a potential threat to animal husbandry and public health (7).

Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) is a mosquito-borne virus that belongs to the genus Flavivirus in the family Flaviviridae and is distributed throughout East, Southeast, and South Asia (8). JEV causes public health problems as a major etiological agent of viral encephalitis, with data revealing more than 67,900 cases of Japanese encephalitis caused by JEV each year throughout the world and a mortality rate as high as 20% to 30% (9). JEV is transmitted between birds and swine in enzootic cycles with mosquito vectors (mainly Culex spp. mosquitoes) (10). Pigs are considered the most important natural amplification host (11). JEV infection in pigs causes reproductive disorders in pregnant sows such as miscarriage and stillbirth, and piglets will show obvious neurological symptoms (12). Except for Qinghai and Tibet, all other provinces in China have reported JEV incidence (13).

JEV and GETV cause similar clinical symptoms and pathological changes in pigs and spread through the same medium. In recent years, they have caused huge economic losses worldwide. Tajima et al. (14) detected both JEV and GETV in pig serum, confirming that these two viruses are indeed circulating in pigs. However, due to a lack of therapeutics, early detection and treatment are key to the prevention and control of JEV and GETV (15, 16). Therefore, making a rapid and effective distinction between JEV and GETV is essential for the early prevention and treatment of these two viruses.

Virus isolation tests are the gold standard for virus testing. However, this approach is laborious and time-consuming (17). MAC-ELISA is another traditional method for detecting viruses, although this method is more sensitive than virus isolation, there may be cross-reactions between viruses, especially flaviviruses, which reduces the accuracy of this method. RT-qPCR has gradually become a popular method for virus detection due to its rapidity, sensitivity, and accuracy (18). TaqMan RT-qPCR and SYBR Green-based RT-qPCR have been developed separately to detect JEV and GETV (15, 19, 20). However, few studies have used the TaqMan RT-qPCR method to simultaneously quantitatively detect and distinguish JEV and GETV strains from field specimens.

Therefore, it is necessary to establish a method that can quickly distinguish and detect JEV and GETV in pigs or mosquitoes. This is of great significance for the rapid detection, virological monitoring, clinical diagnosis, epidemiological investigation, and decision-making process of these two viruses.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Primer and Probe Design

To design efficient primer pairs and probes, conserved regions of the viral genome were identified in JEV and GETV strains. The nucleotide sequence of the NS1 gene of JEV reference strain N28 was obtained from GenBank (no. MH753126) and compared with the NS1 gene sequence of other JEV strains (JN381843, HM366552, KT957423, KU508408, KC183732, JN711458, AF075723, XK945367, MN544779). The nucleotide sequence of the E2 gene of GETV reference strain M1 was obtained from GenBank (no. EU015061) and compared with the E2 gene sequence of other GETV strains (EU015063, KY434327, KY450683, EU015062, MG869691, E631998). Nucleotide sequence data were retrieved from the GenBank database and CLUSTAL W algorithm implemented in the MegAlign of DNASTAR program package (MegAlign 5.00, DNASTAR Inc., Madison, USA). Oligonucleotide primers and probes used for JEV and GETV real-time amplification were designed using SnapGene software (SnapGene®4.1.9) software against the non-structural gene (NS1) of JEV and the structural gene (E2) of GETV. Potential target regions were selected, and primers were synthesized and evaluated for use in quantitative real-time RT-PCR. The nucleotide sequences of the JEV- and GETV-specific primer pairs and probes, and the characteristics of the amplicons are shown in Table 1.

#### Standard Strains and Clinical Samples

The JEV reference strain N28 (GenBank no. MH753126) and GETV reference strain M1 (GenBank no. EU015061) were procured from the Shanghai Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (17). The JEV strain was grown and titered in newborn hamster kidney cells (BHK-21), which were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco) at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO2. The GETV strain was grown and titered in Aedes albopictus C6/36 cells, which were maintained in RPMI 1640 (Gibco) containing 10% FBS (Gibco) at 28°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

Classical swine fever virus (CSFV, KT119352), porcine parvovirus (PPV, OG155649), pseudorabies virus (PRV, AF218843), and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV, JN662424) were provided by Shanghai Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. The genome sequence of African swine fever virus (ASFV, CM033491) was provided by the National Research Center for Exotic Animal Diseases, China Animal Health and Epidemiology Center.

During June, July and August 2016, a total of 15 pig samples (blood and tissue) and 1834 mosquitoes were collected from Shanghai pig farms and horse farms. Adult mosquitoes were collected by placing mosquito traps (Gongfu Xiaoshuai, Wuhan, China) around the pigs and stables from 6:00 pm to 6:00 am. Live mosquitoes were killed by freezing. Female mosquitoes were sorted and pooled by species into groups of 100 mosquitoes per pool and kept at −80°C for further use. These samples were used...
TABLE 1 | Oligonucleotide primers and fluorogenic probes used in the duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR assay.

| Virus   | Location | Primer/probe   | Sequences                                    | Length (bp) |
|---------|----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------|
| JEV     | NS1      | Primer-JEV-F   | GGGCCTTCTGTGTAGTTT                           | 80          |
|         |          | Primer-JEV-R   | AAACGGCAATGATCAAT                           |             |
|         |          | Probe-JEV      | FAM-TGCGAAGGTGGACGGACA-BHQ-1             |             |
| GETV    | E2       | Primer-GETV-F  | AAGTGGCAGTACACCTCCT                         | 92          |
|         |          | Primer-GETV-R  | GTGGAATGACCGTGAGGAAA                        |             |
|         |          | Probe-GETV     | HEX-AGAGCCACCAGTCTGTCACTGCA-BHQ-1          |             |

Numbering based on MH753126 (N28, JEV) and EU015061 (M1, GETV). V = A/G/C.

FIGURE 1 | Comparison of the NS1 gene of different strains of JEV and the E2 gene of different strains of GETV and the positions of primers and TaqMan probes in the viral genomes. GenBank accession numbers are shown in parentheses. Dots (·) indicate identical bases.

To compare the performance of duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR with conventional RT-PCR and virus isolation.

During 2017–2018, 331 samples (including pig brain, blood and mosquitoes) were collected from pig farms in Xinjiang, Yunnan and Shanghai, China. Pig tissue samples were provided by the China Animal Health and Epidemiology Center. Mosquito samples were collected from 12 different pig farms by the JEV research team at Shanghai Veterinary Research Institute. Animal welfare and experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and animal ethics approval was obtained from the Committee of Shanghai Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shanghai.

All mosquito pools were placed in 2 mL tubes; 1 mL DMEM was added, and samples were then homogenized, freeze-thawed, and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. Swine samples were homogenized with DMEM medium. This 10% (w/v) suspension was centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to obtain the supernatant. Supernatants were collected for further RNA extraction and JEV isolation (17).

RNA Extraction and Reverse Transcription

All clinical samples were resuspended in 0.9% sodium chloride solution (Shanghai Xin Yu Biotech Co., Ltd). RNA was extracted from 200 μL homogenized sample using Magnetic bead method nucleic acid extraction kit (Hangzhou Bioer Technology Co.,
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FIGURE 2 | Standard curves for duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR. (A) Standard curve for JEV in two-step RT-qPCR. (B) Standard curve for GETV in two-step RT-qPCR. (C) Standard curve for JEV in one-step RT-qPCR. (D) Standard curve for GETV in one-step RT-qPCR.

Ltd) following the manufacturer’s instructions. An Evo M-MLV Reverse Transcription Kit (Accurate Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) was used to reverse transcribe the extracted RNA into cDNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA products were stored at −20°C until further study.

Construction of the Two-Step Dual TaqMan RT-qPCR Detection Method

The real-time RT-PCR assays in this study were performed using a Premix Ex Taq™ (Probe qPCR) kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). According to the instructions of Premix Ex Taq™ (Probe qPCR) kit, we set the primer concentration to 0.2 µM (0.4 µL). Then we explored the probe concentrations for JEV and GETV in the duplex RT-qPCR method (0.15 µM/0.2 µM/0.25 µM). The final results showed that the method was most sensitive to the two positive samples when the probe concentrations for JEV and GETV were 0.15 and 0.25 µM (0.3 and 0.5 µL), respectively (Supplementary Figure 1). The total reaction volume was 20 µL with 2 µL template cDNA, the same amount of primer sets and probes for detecting JEV and GETV (each primer 0.4 µL, probe 0.3 µL/0.5 µL), 10 µL 2×One-Step Probe StarScript II Buffer, 2 µL StarScript II One-Step Probe Enzyme Mix, RNase-free water made up to 20 µL. Duplex RT-qPCR based on TaqMan amplification was performed using a CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad) with the following amplification conditions: 50°C for 5 min, 95°C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 30 s. The primer sets and specific probes were tested in a single-plex real-time qPCR reaction, and then in a double qPCR reaction.

Construction of the One-Step Dual TaqMan RT-qPCR Detection Method

Based on the established two-step duplex RT-qPCR prevention, this study further established a one-step duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR using the One Step PrimeScript™ RT-PCR kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The total reaction volume was 20 µL with 2 µL template RNA, the same amount of primer sets and probes for detecting JEV and GETV (each primer 0.4 µL, probe 0.3 µL and 0.5 µL), 10 µL 2×One-Step Probe StarScript II Buffer, 2 µL StarScript II One-Step Probe Enzyme Mix, RNase-free water made up to 20 µL. One-step RT-qPCR based on TaqMan amplification was performed using a CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad) with the following amplification conditions: 50°C for 5 min, 95°C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 15 s. Optimal concentrations of primer sets and specific probes were explored in a two-step RT-qPCR reaction and tested in a one-step RT-qPCR.

Standard Plasmid Construction and Standard Curve Generation

The NS1 gene of JEV and the E2 gene of GETV were inserted into the pMD-19-T vector and transcribed into RNA using a
Specific amplification curves for JEV and GETV. Two specific fluorescent curves were observed in the duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR assay using RNA mixtures, representing JEV and GETV. FAM/HEX fluorescent signals specific for JEV and GETV, were collected only when the JEV and GETV isolates were used as templates. No FAM/HEX signal was observed when the samples contained other viruses.

TABLE 2 | Comparison of the sensitivity of duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR, singleplex TaqMan RT-qPCR and conventional RT-PCR.

| Viruses | Copy number | Duplex RT-qPCR | Singleplex RT-qPCR | RT-qPCR |
|---------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
|         | Ct value    |                 | Ct value           |         |
| JEV     | 10^3        | 14.47615390     | +                  | 15.1034790 | + |
|         | 10^4        | 17.71620136     | +                  | 18.3850417 | + |
|         | 10^5        | 20.35260667     | +                  | 21.3372624 | + |
|         | 10^6        | 23.3982386      | +                  | 25.2704159 | + |
|         | 10^7        | 26.64371346     | +                  | 29.8145021 | + |
|         | 10^8        | 30.06685677     | +                  | 32.9139692 | + |
|         | 10^9        | 32.81907573     | +                  | 34.6959780 | + |
|         | 10^10       | 35.26345947     | −                  | 37.9481537 | − |
|         | 10^11       | 37.25804602     | −                  | 40.0301292 | − |
| GETV    | 10^3        | 14.33174411     | +                  | 17.7136726 | + |
|         | 10^4        | 17.87670469     | +                  | 20.8861782 | + |
|         | 10^5        | 20.33785731     | +                  | 23.8921214 | + |
|         | 10^6        | 23.70719733     | +                  | 26.7344945 | + |
|         | 10^7        | 26.95672766     | +                  | 29.6900472 | + |
|         | 10^8        | 29.06147202     | +                  | 32.0540517 | + |
|         | 10^9        | 33.43204883     | +                  | 34.0864957 | + |
|         | 10^10       | 35.84064952     | −                  | 36.4655322 | − |
|         | 10^11       | 37.49041088     | −                  | 38.7450289 | − |

+, positive; −, negative.
FAM channel Ct value ≤ 35 and typical amplification curve indicates JEV positive; HEX channel Ct value ≤ 35 and typical amplification curve indicates GETV positive.

−, positive; −, negative.
FAM channel Ct value ≤ 35 and typical amplification curve indicates JEV positive; HEX channel Ct value ≤ 35 and typical amplification curve indicates GETV positive.

mMESSAGE mMACHINE® T7 Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the copy number of the recombinant plasmid was calculated using the following formula: copies/µL = 6 × 10^{23} × ssRNA (ng/µL) × 10^{−9}/molecular weight (g/mol) (21). Serial 10-fold dilutions of RNA standard products (10^9 copies/µL ~ 10^1 copies/µL) were prepared and stored at −80°C until use. At the same time, the RNA standard was converted into cDNA to evaluate and compare the sensitivity of RT-qPCR and conventional RT-PCR detection. Using the prepared 10-fold serial dilution standards as template, a double qPCR method was developed to establish a standard curve for detecting JEV and GETV.

Specificity and Sensitivity Analysis of the Duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR Assay
To determine the specificity of the method, the following viruses were used in specific experiments: JEV, GETV, CSFV, PPV, PRV, PPRRSV, and ASFV. For sensitivity assays, the JEV clone plasmid was subjected to 10-fold gradient dilution for TaqMan real-time RT-PCR, and results were compared with those of the traditional RT-PCR method and singleplex TaqMan-based real-time PCR.

Repeatability Detection of Duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR Assay
The newly established method was used to test the intra-assay (each sample was tested three times in a reaction) and inter-assay (the same sample was subjected to RT-qPCR reaction every other month, a total of three times) repeatability. Ct values and coefficients of variation (CV, %) were analyzed to evaluate the repeatability of the method.

Testing of Clinical Samples
The real-time RT-qPCR assay developed in this study was used to detect JEV and GETV in clinical samples to verify the feasibility of the method for clinical application and to confirm that the method has the ability to differentiate and detect JEV and GETV.
RESULTS

Design of Specific Primers and Probes

After comparing the whole genome sequences of JEV and GETV, respectively, we decided to design primers and probes in the conserved regions of the NS1 gene of JEV and the E2 gene of GETV. We designed three sets of JEV primers and probes and two sets for GETV. Before the experiment, we screened the primers and probes, optimized their melting temperatures and concentrations, and finally selected two sets of primers and probes as the best combination. The detailed sequences and specific locations are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. Using Primer-Blast (NCBI) to analyze the primers and probes revealed high conservation and high specificity of the two sets of primers and probes, meaning that they could be used for the specific detection of JEV and GETV. A single FAM or HEX fluorescent signal, respectively, could be detected by the duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR for N28 (JEV) and M1 (GETV).

Standard Curves for JEV and GETV

We separately constructed JEV and GETV strain plasmids using pMD19-T vector to establish standard curves. The plasmids were named JEV-NS1-T and GETV-E2-T. In order to achieve a reliable calibration curve, JEV-NS1-T and GETV-E2-T were used in the linear range of $10^9 \sim 10^3$ copies/µL RNA copy (10-fold) in real-time multiplex RT-PCR assays (two-step and one-step RT-qPCR). In two-step RT-qPCR, the linear regression equation of the JEV standard curve was $y = -6.014x + 41.66$ ($R^2 = 0.999$) (Figure 2A); the linear regression equation of the GETV standard curve was $y = -4.391x + 38.53$ ($R^2 = 0.999$) (Figure 2B). And in one-step RT-qPCR, the linear regression equations for the standard curves of JEV and GETV were $y = -6.514x + 44.52$ ($R^2 = 0.999$) (Figure 2C) and $y = -4.686x + 44.12$ ($R^2 = 0.999$) (Figure 2D). The correlation coefficients ($R^2$) of the two viruses in the two-step and the one-step method were both 0.999, indicating that the two methods have high reliability for detecting the two viruses. In the subsequent multiplex real-time RT-PCR tests, we used the standard curves to quantify the number of genomic RNA copies of JEV and GETV, respectively, in clinical samples.

Specificity of One-Step TaqMan Real-Time RT-PCR

In addition to JEV and GETV, CSFV, PPV, PRV, PRRSV, and ASFV are also prevalent in pigs. Therefore, RNA of CSFV and PRRSV and the genomes of PPV, PRV and ASFV were used to determine the specificity of the assay (Figure 3). When the template was JEV, only FAM signals were detected; when the template was GETV, HEX signals were detected but FAM signals could not be detected. This showed that the method had the ability to effectively distinguish between the two viruses, JEV and GETV. When other viruses were used as templates, no positive signals for FAM and HEX could be detected, indicating that this method had high specificity for both JEV and GETV.

Sensitivity of the Duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR Assay

Using 10-fold serial dilutions of JEV and GETV standards as templates, we used the double TaqMan RT-qPCR method, singleplex TaqMan-based RT-qPCR and the traditional RT-PCR method for detection of JEV and GETV to compare the
differences in sensitivity of the three methods. The traditional RT-PCR method has the lowest sensitivity. The One-step duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR assay and singleplex TaqMan RT-qPCR method were found to be highly sensitive with detection limits of 1,000 genomic copy for both JEV and GETV strains, and its sensitivity was 100 times and 10 times higher than traditional RT-PCR assays, respectively (Table 2, Figure 4).

**Intra-Assay and Inter-Assay Reproducibility of the Duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR Assay**
Variations in the PCR amplicon Ct in the assays are shown in Table 3. The intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients of variation for JEV and GETV were both lower than 1.6% and 3.1%. This proved that the method had good reproducibility for the detection of specific viruses.

**Comparison of Duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR With RT-PCR and Virus Isolation**
We tested 18 mosquito pools obtained from 1834 mosquitoes collected from the field using duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR and conventional RT-PCR assays. Four mosquito pools tested positive for JEV using the dual RT-qPCR method, while two mosquito pools tested positive for GETV. Traditional PCR only detected positive JEV in three mosquito pools and positive GETV in two mosquito pools. Among all mosquito pools, JEV could be separated from one mosquito pools, while GETV could be separated in only one mosquito pool (Table 4).

Among the 15 pig tissue and blood samples tested using the duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR assay, two samples were detected as GETV positive (Ct ≤ 35) and four samples were detected as JEV positive (Ct ≥ 35). Three samples and one sample tested positive for JEV and GETV, respectively, by traditional RT-PCR methods; while virus isolation could only detect one GETV and one JEV positive samples (Table 4).

Overall, our results indicated that duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR was more sensitive than conventional RT-PCR or virus isolation. All of the JEV-positive samples were confirmed by DNA sequencing, and the sequencing results were consistent with the one-step duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR results.

**Application and Detection of Clinical Samples**
A total of 331 clinical samples from Shanghai, Xinjiang and Yunnan, China, including 115 pig samples (77 blood samples and 38 brains) and 21,647 female mosquitoes in 216 mosquito pools. Duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR was used to detect and identify JEV and GETV (Table 5), the duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR test showed that 9.6% (32/331) of the samples were JEV, 1.5% (5/331) were GETV, 88.8% (290/331) were negative. These results indicate that both JEV and GETV are prevalent in pigs or mosquitoes in China.

### Table 3: Reproducibility of the duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR assay evaluated using ssRNA standards of JEV and GETV.

| Viruses Standards | Copy Number | Intra-assay | Inter-assay |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                   |             | CT Value (mean±SD) CV(%) | CT Value (mean±SD) CV(%) |
| JEV               | 10⁹         | 14.5036 ± 0.0837 0.2018 | 14.4723 ± 0.1385 0.9571 |
|                   | 10⁸         | 17.8399 ± 0.1237 0.6038 | 17.3602 ± 0.0363 2.0956 |
|                   | 10⁷         | 20.3033 ± 0.0492 0.2184 | 19.3400 ± 0.2234 1.1553 |
|                   | 10⁶         | 23.4529 ± 0.1131 0.4840 | 22.1684 ± 0.0794 0.3582 |
|                   | 10⁵         | 26.6105 ± 0.0510 0.1678 | 25.6455 ± 0.1215 0.4742 |
|                   | 10⁴         | 30.1184 ± 0.1237 0.6038 | 29.6568 ± 0.0794 1.3742 |
|                   | 10³         | 33.2563 ± 0.4373 1.1386 | 33.5397 ± 0.4677 1.5024 |
|                   | 10²         | 34.9788 ± 0.2846 1.0708 | 34.6413 ± 0.6512 1.7872 |
|                   | 10¹         | 36.4248 ± 0.6152 1.5160 | 38.7541 ± 0.6371 1.6440 |
|                   | 10⁰         | NaN          | NaN          |
|                   | NC          | NaN          | NaN          |
| GETV              | 10⁹         | 14.2903 ± 0.1187 1.0069 | 13.8113 ± 0.1215 1.6085 |
|                   | 10⁸         | 17.2017 ± 0.1852 0.9328 | 17.6133 ± 0.6196 3.0796 |
|                   | 10⁷         | 20.3197 ± 0.0522 0.9685 | 21.4036 ± 0.3020 1.2729 |
|                   | 10⁶         | 23.7612 ± 0.0769 0.2879 | 24.1969 ± 0.7684 2.7903 |
|                   | 10⁵         | 26.2223 ± 0.0637 0.2053 | 29.0044 ± 0.3331 2.2680 |
|                   | 10⁴         | 30.2322 ± 0.2618 0.7958 | 32.2797 ± 0.5314 1.4728 |
|                   | 10³         | 33.8922 ± 0.4197 1.3016 | 33.7941 ± 0.4300 1.2831 |
|                   | 10²         | 36.2325 ± 0.4921 1.2432 | 38.3502 ± 1.6997 2.5425 |
|                   | 10¹         | 37.0263 ± 0.5359 1.3029 | NaN          | NaN          |
|                   | 10⁰         | NaN          | NaN          |
|                   | NC          | NaN          | NaN          |
### TABLE 4 | Comparison of the performance of RT-qPCR, RT-PCR, and virus isolation for simultaneous detection of JEV and GETV in clinical and experimental samples.

| Species | Location | Year | Sample | RT-PCR | Virus isolation | Specific detect system (Ct/Virus) |
|---------|----------|------|--------|--------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Mosquitoes | Shanghai | 2016 | 20160612 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160623 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160624 | +      | +              | FAM 13.54/JEV                 |
|          |          |      | 20160627 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160628 | −      | −              | FAM 32.85/JEV                 |
|          |          |      | 20160630 | +      | +              | HEX 21.58/GETV                |
|          |          |      | 20160712 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160714 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160715 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160727 | +      | −              | FAM 30.33/JEV                 |
|          |          |      | 20160809 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160811 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160813 | +      | −              | FAM 27.68/JEV                 |
|          |          |      | 20160814 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160816 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160819 | −      | −              | −                             |
|          |          |      | 20160820 | +      | −              | HEX 29.59/GETV                |
|          |          |      | 20160825 | −      | −              | −                             |
| Pig | Shanghai | 2016 | Blood | −      | −              | −                             |
|      |          |      | Blood  | −      | −              | −                             |
|      |          |      | Blood  | +      | +              | HEX 25.33/GETV                |
|      |          |      | Blood  | +      | −              | FAM 28.85/JEV                 |
|      |          |      | Blood  | −      | −              | −                             |
|      |          |      | Brain  | −      | −              | FAM 33.75/JEV                 |
|      |          |      | Brain  | −      | −              | −                             |
|      |          |      | Brain  | −      | −              | −                             |
|      |          |      | Brain  | +      | +              | FAM 16.75/JEV                 |
|      |          |      | Brain  | −      | −              | −                             |
|      |          |      | Brain  | −      | −              | HEX 34.23/GETV                |
|      |          |      | Liver  | −      | −              | −                             |
|      |          |      | Spleen | +      | −              | FAM 30.75/JEV                 |
|      |          |      | Kidney | −      | −              | −                             |
|      |          |      | Spleen | −      | −              | −                             |

+, positive; −, negative.

# Ct value of FAM channel ≤ 35 with a typical amplification curve and Ct value of HEX channel > 35 or none without a typical amplification curve indicates samples are JEV positive; otherwise samples are GETV positive.

### DISCUSSION

JEV is a serious mosquito-borne pathogen that can infect a variety of animals. JEV has long been one of the major public health problems in Asian countries including China (22, 23). However, in recent years, with the continuous expansion of the geographic scope of GETV in mainland China, GETV has become a “new arbovirus” that has invaded mainland China (6). GETV and JEV are often present as mixed infections in pigs and mosquitoes because the vectors of both viruses are Culex spp. mosquitoes and the epidemic areas also overlap greatly (10, 24). Furthermore, the similar clinical symptoms of JEV and GETV have brought new difficulties for early detection and prevention. Pigs and mosquitoes are considered to be the most important natural amplification hosts of JEV and GETV, and they play a primary role in the spread of the virus (17). Thus, developing rapid differentiation assays for JEV and GETV from field samples has become imperative for virological surveillance, decision making, and developing/evaluating JEV and GETV control strategies. In this study, we developed a specific and sensitive duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR method for the rapid detection and differentiation of JEV and GETV from pig and mosquito samples.

The traditional gold standard for detecting JEV and GETV is to use virus isolation and identification, but this method
is time-consuming, laborious, and expensive when testing a large number of clinical samples. Serological tests such as virus neutralization tests and ELISA are also routine methods for diagnosing JEV and GETV (18, 25). However, serological testing also has some shortcomings, including that the results of antibody testing may be affected by viral cross-reactions, and this method is not sensitive to early detection of viral infections (26).

Nucleic acid detection methods have become commonly used in experiments and clinical diagnoses due to their advantages in sensitivity and specificity over traditional detection techniques. Among them, quantitative real-time PCR technology not only maintains the advantages of high sensitivity obtained with traditional PCR technology, but also overcomes the shortcomings of false positives and inaccurate quantification, and has good experimental reproducibility, accuracy, and specificity (27).

An RT-qPCR based on SYBR Green has been established for the detection of JEV and GETV, and SYBR Green has been widely used to detect and quantify JEV and GETV in clinical samples (20, 28). However, due to its ability to bind any double-stranded DNA, nonspecific binding can lead to low specificity of results (29). In contrast, the RT-qPCR based TaqMan method offers stronger specificity and higher sensitivity (30). Previously, researchers established a RT-qPCR based TaqMan detection method to detect JEV and GETV in pig and mosquito samples (15, 30). However, few studies have used TaqMan chemical methods to identify and quantitatively detect JEV and GETV in field specimens. Many studies have established TaqMan-based RT-qPCR methods for simultaneous identification and detection of multiple viruses, including the detection of WNV (West Nile virus) and JEV; porcine enteric coronaviruses; and different genotypes of JEV (17, 21, 31). It is therefore feasible to establish a Taqman-based RT-qPCR method for simultaneous identification and detection of JEV and GETV.

In this study, we designed two pairs of TaqMan BHQ probes and primers against the GETV E2 gene and the JEV NS1 gene and developed a TaqMan probe-based dual RT-qPCR method that can distinguish and detect JEV and GETV. The new method had good specificity, no cross-reactivity to other common viruses (including CSFV, PPV, PRV, PRRSV, and ASFV), and could differentiate JEV from GETV well; it was also more sensitive than traditional PCR. In addition, the RT-qPCR based on dual TaqMan probes showed good repeatability in intra-assay and inter-assay repeatability tests.

We established a one-step duplex TaqMan RT-qPCR assay, which can quickly and accurately identify and detect JEV and GETV. This assay be used for on-site monitoring and identification of JEV and GETV in captured mosquito and pig samples. It therefore provides valuable tools for the rapid detection, clinical diagnosis, and epidemiological investigation of these two viruses.
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