INTRODUCTION

Deregulation of cell cycle control is a hallmark of human cancer. Tumor-associated cell cycle defects are often mediated by alterations in CDK activity, which are regarded as promising targets in cancer therapy. For example, CDK4/6-selective inhibitors, such as palbociclib (PD0332991), ribociclib (LEE011), and abemaciclib (LY2835219), have shown promising clinical results in cancer patients, especially those with breast cancer. CDK4 and CDK6 are highly similar serine/threonine kinases, activity of which is controlled by several mechanisms: positively by association with cyclin D (cyclins D1-3) and negatively by binding to CDK inhibitors of the INK4 family.
with cyclin D, phosphorylate the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (RB, encoded by RB1) and its family members, p107 (RBL1, or RB transcriptional corepressor like 1) and p130 (RBL2). When phosphorylated, RB proteins release E2F transcription factors, resulting in the expression of genes required for cell cycle progression from G1 phase into S phase and initiation of DNA synthesis.

Breast cancer is classified into intrinsic subtypes by expression of microarray data, and the clinicopathological surrogate definitions of the intrinsic subtypes are based on the expression of ER, PR, HER2 (also known as Erb-B2), and Ki-67; luminal A-like (ER+ and/or PR+, HER2−, and low Ki-67), luminal B-like HER2-negative (ER+ and/or PR+, HER2−, and high Ki-67), luminal B-like HER2-positive (ER+ and/or PR+, HER2+), HER2-positive non-luminal (ER− and PR−, HER2+), and triple negative (ER−, PR−, HER2−). Recent comprehensive molecular profiling has confirmed that the cyclin D-CDK4/6-RB axis is frequently perturbed in breast cancer; for example, amplification of CCND1 (encoding cyclin D1) occurs, especially in luminal type (58% in luminal B). Indications that luminal-type breast cancer is a good candidate for treatment using CDK4/6 inhibitors. Presently, the inhibitors for CDK4/6 in combination with hormonal treatments have been established as effective therapeutic options for HR-positive and HER2-negative advanced breast cancer.

Although CDK4/6 inhibitors exert their effects mainly through RB, they still exert a partial cytostatic effect in RB1 knockout or knock out cells before evolution of compensatory mechanisms. This suggests that CDK4/6 inhibitors exert their effects through other substrates besides RB. Indeed, a systemic screening of 445 human nuclear proteins with at least two CDK consensus sites identified FOXM1, which plays essential roles in CDK4/6-mediated escape from senescence. SMAD2 and SMAD3 are other CDK4 substrates; they are intracellular signaling components of TGF-β and activin, which convey cytostatic signals in ER-positive breast cancer. SMAD proteins contain highly conserved MH1 and MH2 domains and a less conserved linker region between the two domains. The linker domain is a target for post-translational modification including phosphorylation, which causes either activation of transcription by the SMAD complex or degradation and turnover of SMAD proteins in a context-dependent way.

The signaling pathways triggered by TGF-β family members control a wide range of cellular processes. In normal or premalignant cells, TGF-β/activin usually function as tumor suppressors by inhibiting cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis. It is widely accepted that SMAD2/3 activate the cytostatic program through induction of CDK inhibitors (p21WAF1/CIP1, p27KIP1, and p15INK4B) and inhibition of the expression of other cell cycle regulators, such as c-Myc and Cdc25A. However, activation of the SMAD2/3 pathway also induces the expression of transcription factors for EMT, such as SNAIL, Slug, ZEB1, and ZEB2, which may explain the tumor-promoting effects of TGF-β and activin in aggressive breast cancer. Linker phosphorylation of SMAD may add complexity to the bidirectional roles of TGF-β family members.

Here, we focused on a possible crosstalk between CDK4/6 and TGF-β/activin-SMAD pathways. Consistent with a previous report, several ER-positive breast cancer cell lines were insensitive to TGF-β treatment; we thus used activin A (ActA) for stimulation. Our data show that CDK4/6 inhibition and the activin-SMAD signaling pathway collectively regulate the cytostatic response. Moreover, CDK4/6 inhibitors may also strengthen the tumor-promoting aspect of SMAD signaling in aggressive breast cancer.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Plasmid construction

Human SMAD2 (NM_005901) construct was previously described. Human CCNG2 (NM_004354.2) was cloned by PCR, sequence-verified, and subcloned into pcDEF3 vectors. Fluorescent, ubiquitination-based cell cycle indicator (Fucci) lentiviral vectors, pCS-ΔMAG-HGeminin-110) and pCS-ΔMAG-KO2-hCdt1(30-120), were kindly provided by Dr A. Miyawaki (RIKEN, Wako, Japan). All the constructs for lentiviral production were kindly provided by Dr H. Miyoshi (RIKEN, Wako, Japan; present address, Keio University, Japan).

2.2 | Chromatin immunoprecipitation-seq and RNA-seq

Chromatin immunoprecipitation-seq and RNA-seq were carried out as described. Raw data are available at NCBI GEO (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) (GSE117502).

2.3 | Statistical analysis

Differences between two experimental groups were analyzed using Welch’s t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by
Tukey-Kramer post hoc test for multiple comparison using GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Detailed methods are available in Data S1 and Table S1.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Palbociclib and activin cooperatively inhibit cell cycle progression

First, we investigated TGF-β/activin signaling activity in two representative ER-positive human breast cancer cell lines, T47D and MCF7. Similar to TGF-β, ActA causes cell cycle arrest through the SMAD pathway, by inducing CDK inhibitors p21WAF1/CIP1 and/or p27KIP1.19 ActA was able to phosphorylate the C-terminal serine residues of SMAD2 and SMAD3 in both cell lines as reported previously, whereas TGF-β could not phosphorylate the C-terminal serine residues of SMAD2 and SMAD3 in T47D cells (Figure 1A).19,25 We thus decided to use ActA as a ligand in the present study. ActA mainly phosphorylated SMAD2 in T47D cells, whereas it phosphorylated both SMAD2 and SMAD3 in MCF7, and HaCaT keratinocytes, which were used as a control for SMAD phosphorylation (Figure 1A).

A previous study showed that both cells were sensitive to palbociclib; IC_{50} values for T47D and MCF7 cells were reported to be 127 and 148 nmol/L,32 respectively. In another study, IC_{50} values calculated by BrdU incorporation assay were 15 nmol/L for both cells.33 Consistently, both palbociclib and ActA showed cytostatic effects in T47D cells in a BrdU incorporation assay (Figure 1B,C); these effects were additive in nature. A similar tendency was obtained in an EdU incorporation assay using flow cytometry (Figure S1). In addition, we used the Fucci system,28 which visualizes cell cycle activity and progression by monitoring the inverse oscillation dynamics of fluorescently tagged cell cycle fusion proteins, monomeric Azami-Green1 (mAG)-hGeminin and monomeric Kusabira-Orange2 (mK02)-hCdt1.28 Both palbociclib and ActA decreased mAG-positive cells, which represent cells in the S/G2/M stage, whereas they increased mK02-positive cells, which represent cells in G1 or G0 stage (Figure 1D,E). Again, there were additive effects between palbociclib and ActA.

To further validate these findings in an unbiased way, we conducted RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) in T47D cells treated with ActA and palbociclib. We then carried out GSEA using the oncogenic signatures (C6, MSigDB) (Figures S2, S3). A gene set “RB_P107_DN,V1_UP”, which contained genes induced after RB1 and RBL1 knockout24 or genes repressed by RB/p107, was enriched in the repressed genes after palbociclib treatment (Figures S2B,F, S3B,F). This confirmed that palbociclib enhanced the function of RB and/or RBL1 in T47D cells. In addition, a gene set “TGF_B_UP,V1_UP”, which contained the top 200 genes induced in a panel of epithelial cell lines by TGF-β1,35 was enriched in the palbociclib-induced genes regardless of the presence or absence of ActA (Figures S2A,E, S3A,E), indicating that palbociclib enhanced the ActA-SMAD pathway. Interestingly, enrichment of the same gene set “TGF_B_UP,V1_UP” was statistically significant in ActA-treated T47D cells when the cells were also cotedreated with palbociclib (Figure S2C,G). These findings suggested a crosstalk between CDK4/6 and activin-SMAD pathways.

In contrast to the cytostatic effects of TGF-β/activin, tumor-promoting effects were not obvious in T47D cells. As for EMT-like changes, ActA was not able to induce the EMT transcription factors in T47D cells (Figure 1F and Table S2). Palbociclib treatment did not affect induction of the EMT transcription factors, and it slightly induced CDH1 (which encodes E-cadherin). Furthermore, expression of mesenchymal marker proteins, N-cadherin (which is encoded by CDH2) and fibronectin (which is encoded by FN1), was extremely low (Figure 1G), suggesting that activation of the activin-SMAD pathway is not sufficient to induce the EMT in T47D cells.

3.2 | CDK4/6 phosphorylate Ser255 at the SMAD2 linker region

In a previous report, recombinant CDK4 was shown to phosphorylate several Ser/Thr residues of SMAD3,17 which correspond to Thr8, Thr220, and Ser255 of SMAD2. We carried out quantification of the linker phosphorylation sites in T47D cells using anti-phospho-SMAD2 antibodies (Figure 2A,B). We found that palbociclib strongly decreased phosphorylation of SMAD2 Ser255. Another phosphorylation site, Thr8, was also weakly affected, which is also the target of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)26 and CDK2.27 In T47D cells, phosphorylation of Thr220 and Ser250 was not affected by palbociclib treatment. The assay with anti-phospho-SMAD2 Ser255 antibody was validated in HEK293T cells, which ectopically express Ala (S255A) mutant instead of SMAD2 Ser255 (Figure 2C). Dose-response experiments with palbociclib showed that phosphorylation of SMAD2 Ser255 and RB Ser780 was inhibited by a comparable concentration of palbociclib (Figure 2D).

Linker phosphorylation of SMAD proteins has been reported to affect the distribution or stability of SMADs.33 Cell fractionation experiments showed that C-terminally phosphorylated SMAD2 protein was accumulated in the nuclei of the palbociclib-treated T47D cells (Figure 2E). Thus, we were not able to detect the difference in ActA-induced phospho-SMAD2C abundance in the nuclei of palbociclib-treated cells and palbociclib-untreated cells in immunoblotting. This is in contrast to our finding that palbociclib and activin-SMAD common target genes were cooperatively regulated. In order to analyze the crosstalk in detail especially at the level of SMAD-DNA interaction, we carried out ChIP-seq analyses of SMAD2 protein.

3.3 | Palbociclib enhances SMAD2 binding to the genome in luminal-type breast cancer cells

SMAD2 ChIP-seq analyses were carried out in T47D cells treated with ActA for 1.5 hours, with or without palbociclib treatment for 24 hours (Figure 3A,B). Number of sequenced reads in the SMAD2 binding sites, which correlates with strength of SMAD2-DNA interaction, was increased after palbociclib treatment (Figure 3A). This trend also existed in the gene loci of well-established target genes of TGF-β/activin and the downstream SMAD complex, PMEPAl (also known as
We assumed that palbociclib treatment redirected the SMAD complex to novel binding sites. However, as shown in the Venn diagram, approximately 65% of binding sites overlapped between palbociclib-treated and -untreated cells, although the number of SMAD2 binding sites was greater in the palbociclib-treated T47D cells (Figure 3D). Furthermore, de novo motif enrichment analyses showed that one of the representative motifs commonly enriched in the SMAD2 binding sites was that for the FOX family genes (Figure 3E). FOXA1 has been reported to be a master transcription factor of luminal breast epithelial cells, or a pioneer factor for ER-positive breast cancer cells, suggesting that the SMAD complex binds to the enhancers that are already accessible by FOXA1 in ER-positive cells.

We then validated the SMAD-DNA interaction enhanced by palbociclib. Using a SMAD reporter construct 9 × CAGA-luc, we confirmed that palbociclib treatment enhanced the activin-SMAD signaling pathway (Figure 4A). In addition, TGF-β/activin-SMAD target genes, PMEPA1 and CDKN2B, were induced by ActA in T47D cells, and the induction was further enhanced by palbociclib treatment (Figure 4B). This enhancement by palbociclib was at least partially dependent on
the SMAD pathway; an ALK4/5/7 kinase inhibitor (SB431542) attenuated the palbociclib-mediated enhancement of the induction of PMEPA1 and CDKN2B (Figure 4C). Of note, both SMAD and FOXA1 binding motifs existed in the SMAD2 binding regions of PMEPA1 and CDKN2B genes. Moreover, by combining SMAD2 ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data, we identified mRNA expression of SMAD2 bound genes.

GSEA analyses showed that the gene set “TGFB_UP.V1_UP” was enriched in the induced genes after palbociclib treatment (Figure 4D), which also supports the hypothesis that palbociclib regulates the set of genes through SMAD2. Thus, we concluded that palbociclib treatment enhances SMAD2 binding to the genome and induces the expression of SMAD2 target genes in T47D cells.
Cyclin G2 plays a critical role in cell cycle regulation in luminal-type breast cancer cells after palbociclib and activin treatment

Our data suggest that the cyclin D-CDK4/6 axis uses the SMAD signaling pathway to regulate cell cycle progression in addition to the RB-E2F axis. To further characterize SMAD2-dependent cytostatic response, we analyzed RNA-seq and ChIP-seq data obtained in T47D cells. We first identified the genes in which the binding of SMAD2 was increased by palbociclib, and then we categorized these genes based on the effects of ActA and palbociclib treatment on their mRNA expression profile. As indicated in Figure 5A, 12 genes were isolated as palbociclib-responsive ActA-SMAD2 target genes. Among them, CCNG2 (encoding cyclin G2) is related to cell cycle regulation (Table 1). Both SMAD and FOXA1 binding motifs located in the SMAD2 binding region of the CCNG2 gene, and
SMAD2 binding was enhanced after palbociclib treatment, as shown in ChIP-seq data (Figure 5B); this trend was validated in ChIP-qPCR analysis (Figure 5C). Moreover, CCNG2 mRNA was induced by ActA and further enhanced by palbociclib treatment (Figure 5D). Ectopic expression of cyclin G2 inhibited cell proliferation in T47D cells (Figure 5E). To further investigate the clinical significance of CCNG2 expression in breast cancers, we analyzed patient datasets from the Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC)²⁶; ER+ subjects, n = 1445. Survival analysis was carried out using a log-rank test. Data of CCNG2 are also presented together with the other genes in Figure S4.

**Figure 5** Cyclin G2 plays critical roles in cell cycle regulation in T47D cells after palbociclib and activin treatment. A, Venn diagram indicating genes that showed enhanced SMAD2 binding in T47D cells after treatment with palbociclib and induced expression by palbociclib and ActA. (a, b) Genes whose mRNA expression was induced more than 2-fold by palbociclib (a) or by ActA (b). (c) SMAD2 target genes with enhanced SMAD2 binding by more than 2-fold after palbociclib treatment. B, Genomic locus of CCNG2 is shown as in Figure 3B. C, SMAD2 ChIP-qPCR analysis of T47D cells carried out as in Figure 3C. Data represent mean ± SD of n = 4 independent experiments (*P < .05; Welch’s t test). D, qRT-PCR analysis of T47D cells treated with or without ActA and 1 µmol/L palbociclib for 24 h. Data represent mean ± SD of n = 3 independent experiments (**P < .001, ****P < .0001; ANOVA with Tukey-Kramer post hoc test). E, BrdU incorporation assay in T47D cells or T47D cells stably expressing CCNG2. Data were normalized to the control condition. Data represent mean ± SD of n = 3 independent experiments (**P < .01; Welch’s t test). F, Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival of breast cancer datasets from Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC)²⁶; ER+ subjects, n = 1445. Survival analysis was carried out using a log-rank test. Data of CCNG2 are also presented together with the other genes in Figure S4.
(METABRIC). Among the 12 palbociclib-responsive SMAD2 target genes, three genes (CCNG2, CLIC3, H3F3A) could predict good prognosis of ER-positive breast cancer patients (Figure S4). Importantly, CCNG2 was one of them, which was also consistent with a previous report (Figure 5F).

3.5 Palbociclib enhances SMAD2 binding to the genome in triple-negative breast cancer cell lines

During malignant progression, TGF-β/activin-SMAD2/3 can switch its function from tumor suppressor to tumor-promoting factor. Therefore, CDK4/6 inhibition may possibly enhance the tumor-promoting effects of TGF-β/activin-SMAD2/3 in aggressive breast cancer cells. We thus decided to use TNBC cell lines, where the SMAD signaling pathway has been shown to function as a tumor promoter. Among TNBC cell lines, the RB-proficient line Hs578T is reported to be sensitive to palbociclib (IC_{50} 524 nmol/L). Hs578T cells responded to ActA and used mainly SMAD2 (Figure SSA). Notably, Hs578T cells are spindle shaped and characterized with high expression of the EMT transcription factors and reduced expression of E-cadherin.

We carried out dose-response experiments with palbociclib (50-5000 nmol/L) in Hs578T. Although phosphorylation of SMAD2 Ser255 and RB Ser780 in Hs578T was inhibited by a comparable concentration of palbociclib, the concentration was higher than that required for inhibition in T47D cells (Figures 2D, 6A). We then carried out SMAD2 ChIP-seq analysis using 1 μmol/L palbociclib and 50 ng/mL ActA in Hs578T, and compared the SMAD2 binding profile with that in T47D cells. Based on SMAD2 ChIP-seq data, palbociclib generally enhanced SMAD2 binding to the genome in Hs578T cells (Figure 6B-E). Palbociclib also slightly increased the responsiveness of the SMAD reporter 9 × CAGA-luc (Figure 6F). However, mRNA expression of PMEPA1, ZEB1, or SNAI1, was not clearly affected by palbociclib treatment (Figure 6G). ActA induced CCNG2 and exerted cytostatic effects (Figure S5B,C); however, additive effects of palbociclib were not obvious. This might be caused by the fact that 1 μmol/L palbociclib was not enough to suppress phosphorylation of both RB and SMAD2 in Hs578T cells (Figure 6A). Moreover, other signaling pathways, which cause linker phosphorylation of SMAD2, such as CDK and MAPK, could be activated in TNBC. Collectively, the results of SMAD2 ChIP-seq data of two different breast cancer cell lines showed that palbociclib enhances the SMAD signaling pathway, whereas it modulates distinct cellular programs activated by TGF-β/activin depending on the type of breast cancer.

### Table 1: Twelve palbociclib-responsive SMAD2 target genes with representative gene ontology terms for biological processes

| Gene name                    | Function                                                                 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (A) CCNG2                    | Regulation of cell cycle                                                 |
| (B) CLIC3                    | Chloride transport, signal transduction                                  |
| (C) FAM127B/RTL8A            | Unknown                                                                  |
| (D) FAM25A                   | Unknown                                                                  |
| (E) GGT7                     | Glutathione biosynthetic process, negative regulation of response to oxidative stress |
| (F) H3F3A                    | DNA replication-independent nucleosome assembly, positive regulation of cell growth, telomere organization |
| (G) KRTCAP2                  | Protein N-linked glycosylation through arginine                          |
| (H) NDUFS6                   | Mitochondrial electron transport, fatty acid metabolic process          |
| (I) S100A11                  | Signal transduction, negative regulation of DNA replication              |
| (J) SELM                     | Hormone metabolic process                                               |
| (K) TMSB10                   | Regulation of cell migration, sequestering of actin monomers             |
| (L) TRAPPC2L                 | Protein complex oligomerization                                          |
our ChIP-seq data showed that palbociclib treatment augmented SMAD2-DNA interaction in breast cancer cell lines. In ER-positive breast cancer T47D, it mainly enhances the cytostatic effects of the TGF-β/activin-SMAD signaling pathway, whereas it possibly strengthens the tumor-promoting aspect in aggressive breast cancer. Importantly, we found that palbociclib and the activin-SMAD signaling pathway regulate cyclin G2 expression in T47D (Figure 5). Cyclin G2, a member of the cyclins family, and homologous to cyclin G1, negatively regulates the cell cycle and contributes to maintaining the quiescent state of differentiated cells, instead of controlling cell cycle progression.46 Xu et al.47 reported that a TGF-β family member Nodal or constitutively active ALK7 induced CCNG2 mRNA and stabilized cyclin G2 protein in ovarian cancer cells. In breast cancer cells, estradiol (E2)-bound ER complex directly represses CCNG2.48,49 In contrast, treatment with an ER antagonist fulvestrant, anti-HER2 antibody trastuzumab, a PI3K inhibitor LY294002, or a mammalian
target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor rapamycin has been reported to increase CCNG2 mRNA.\textsuperscript{41,50} Interestingly, knockdown of cyclin G2 was reported to dampen the cell cycle-arrest response in fulvestrant-treated MCF7 cells.\textsuperscript{51} In addition, cyclin G2 was identified as a target of p63, which functions as a metastatic suppressor in TNBC.\textsuperscript{51} suggesting that it serves as a central node for regulation of the cell cycle and/or metastasis of breast cancer cells.

In summary, our data indicate that CDK4/6 inhibition enhances the activin-SMAD signaling pathway and collectively regulates cytostatic effects in T47D, without activating the tumor-promoting functions of the TGF-β/activin-SMAD signaling pathway, such as the EMT program. However, this can happen in aggressive breast cancer cells where the SMAD pathway can enhance motility and invasiveness. Therefore, the indication for CDK4/6 inhibitors needs to be carefully assessed from the aspect of the TGF-β/activin-SMAD signaling pathway. Moreover, addition of an ALK4/5/7 kinase inhibitor to the CDK4/6 inhibitor might be beneficial in patients with high-grade breast cancer, which may have the aggressive aspects of the SMAD signaling pathway.
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