Time-dependent density-functional approach for exciton binding energies
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Optical processes in insulators and semiconductors, including excitonic effects, can be described in principle exactly using time-dependent density-functional theory (TDDFT). Starting from a linearization of the TDDFT semiconductor Bloch equations in a two-band model, we derive a simple formalism for calculating excitonic binding energies. This formalism leads to a generalization of the standard Wannier equation for excitons, featuring a nonlocal effective electron-hole interaction determined by long-range and dynamical exchange-correlation (XC) effects. We calculate excitonic binding energies in several direct-gap semiconductors, using exchange-only and model XC kernels.
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The elementary model of Wannier excitons in insulators views them as bound electron-hole pairs which satisfy a hydrogen-like Schrödinger equation [1]:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m_e} - \frac{e^2}{r}\right] \phi(r) = E\phi(r). \quad (1)$$

Here, $m_e$ is the reduced electron-hole effective mass, $e$ is the electron charge, $\epsilon$ is the static dielectric constant of the material, and $\phi$ and $E$ are the excitonic wave functions and binding energies (from now on we set $\hbar = e = 1$). Eq. (1), also known as Wannier equation [2], produces a Rydberg series of discrete energy states below the conduction band edge, and a redistribution of oscillator strength in the optical spectrum around the band edge which is qualitatively described by the Elliott formula [3]. Excitonic effects are important for a large variety of optical processes in organic and inorganic materials and nanoscale systems [4, 5].

Eq. (1) can be derived from the semiconductor Bloch equations within the time-dependent Hartree-Fock approximation, using a dielectrically screened Coulomb interaction [6, 7]. It is well known that time-dependent Hartree-Fock with bare Coulomb interaction leads to very poor optical spectra of materials, with strongly over-broad excitons. A more rigorous ab-initio treatment of excitation processes in insulators and semiconductors, including correlation-induced screening, can be developed using many-body Green’s function techniques such as the GW/Bethe-Salpeter equation [8].

Time-dependent density-functional theory (TDDFT) [8] has recently emerged as an alternative, computationally convenient approach to electronic excitation processes in materials [7, 9, 10, 11]. In linear-response TDDFT, excitation energies can be calculated in principle exactly [12, 13], provided the exchange-correlation (XC) kernel $f_{xc}(r, r', \omega)$ is known. In Refs. [7, 8], an approximate $f_{xc}$ was constructed from many-body Green’s functions, whereas Ref. [10] uses an exact-exchange (EXX) approach, including a cutoff in wavevector space which mimics screening of the Coulomb interaction [14].

These studies have established that TDDFT is capable of accurately describing excitonic effects in solids, although one needs XC functionals that go beyond the more common ones such as the adiabatic local-density approximation (ALDA) [7]. The resulting agreement with experimental data is excellent [11], but the technical effort is not significantly less than for standard many-body approaches.

The purpose of this paper is to develop a formally much simpler TDDFT treatment of excitonic effects in solids. Rather than calculating complete optical spectra, our goal is more modest, namely a method that directly yields excitonic binding energies, similar to the Wannier equation (1). Starting from a TDDFT version of the semiconductor Bloch equations [7, 17], we derive an effective electron-hole interaction which explicitly shows how long-range XC effects are essential for exciton formation. Our simplified treatment not only provides physical insight into the way excitonic effects are treated in TDDFT, but also provides a straightforward way of testing approximate XC functionals.

Time-dependent Kohn-Sham formalism for solids. – In TDDFT, the electron dynamics of a solid is described by the time-dependent Kohn-Sham (KS) orbitals $\Psi_{jk}(r, t)$, where $k$ is the wave vector and $j$ is the valence band index (only the time evolution of the initially occupied states is considered). The system is assumed to start from the ground state, $\Psi_{jk}(r, t_0) = \psi_{jk}(r)$. The KS Bloch functions and band structure follow from

$$\left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m_e} + V_{lat}(r) + V_{H}^{0}(r) + V_{xc}^{0}(r) - \varepsilon_{jk}\right) \psi_{jk}(r) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $V_{lat}$ is the crystal lattice potential (within the Born-Oppenheimer approximation) and $V_{H}^{0}$ and $V_{xc}^{0}$ are the static Hartree and XC potentials.

Since the $\psi_{jk}(r)$ form a complete set for each $k$, we
can expand the time-dependent KS orbitals as follows:

\[ \Psi_{jk}(r, t) = \sum_{l} c_{lj}^{j}(t) \psi_{lk}(r), \]

where the summation runs over all valence and conduction bands, including continuum states. Eq. (3) is appropriate if we assume the system to interact with an electromagnetic field in dipole approximation. We define the density matrix \( \rho_{jk}^{lm}(t) = c_{lj}^{j}(t) [c_{lk}^{j}(t)]^* \), whose equation of motion is

\[ i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_{jk}^{lm}(t) = [H_{jk}(t), \rho_{jk}^{lm}(t)], \]

with initial condition \( \rho_{jk}^{lm}(t_0) = \delta_{jl} \delta_{mk} \). The matrix elements of the TDDFT Hamiltonian are

\[ H_{jk}^{lm}(t) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} d^3r \psi_{lk}(r) H(t) \psi_{mk}(r) \]
\[ = \varepsilon_{lk} \delta_{lm} + E(t) d_{jk}^{lm} + \hat{V}_{kk}^{lm}(t) + \hat{V}_{kk}^{lm}(t), \]

where \( \Omega \) is the volume of the lattice unit cell, \( E(t) \) is the electric field amplitude, and \( d_{jk}^{lm} \) are the dipole matrix elements. \( \hat{V}_{kk}(t) = V_{kk}(t) - V_{0}^{ct} \) denotes the dynamic part of the Hartree potential, and similar for XC. Self-consistent solution of Eq. (4), with the time-dependent density

\[ n(r, t) = 2 \sum_{jk} \theta(\varepsilon_{F} - \varepsilon_{jk}) \sum_{lm} \rho_{jk}^{lm}(t) \psi_{lk}(r) \psi_{mk}^{*}(r), \]

where \( \varepsilon_{F} \) is the Fermi energy, is equivalent to solving the time-dependent KS equations for the solid, and is thus in principle exact.

**Two-band model and excitons.** To study optical excitation processes near the band gap, a two-band model is a reasonable and widely used approximation. We consider one valence and one conduction band, \( v \) and \( c \), assumed to be nondegenerate (see [18] for a discussion of band degeneracy). The index \( j \) of the density matrix \( \rho_{jk}^{lm} \) refers to \( v \) and \( c \) and will be dropped in the following. Eq. (1) yields the TDDFT semiconductor Bloch equations for the two independent components \( \rho_{vc}^{\omega} \) and \( \rho_{cv}^{\omega} \) [15]:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_{vc}^{\omega}(t) = -2 \text{Im} \left\{ \left[ E(t) d_{vc}^{\omega} + \hat{V}_{vc}^{\omega} + \hat{V}_{cv}^{\omega} \right] \rho_{vc}^{\omega}(t) \right\}. \]

\[ i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_{cv}^{\omega}(t) = \left[ \varepsilon_{k}^{c} - \varepsilon_{k}^{c} + \hat{V}_{cc}^{\omega}(t) + \hat{V}_{vc}^{\omega}(t) - \hat{V}_{vc}^{\omega}(t) \right] \rho_{cv}^{\omega}(t) + \left[ E(t) d_{vc}^{\omega} + \hat{V}_{vc}^{\omega}(t) \right] \rho_{cv}^{\omega}(t) + \left[ E(t) d_{cv}^{\omega} + \hat{V}_{cv}^{\omega}(t) \right] \rho_{cv}^{\omega}(t) - \rho_{cv}^{\omega}(t). \]

Notice that \( \rho_{vc}^{\omega} + \rho_{vc}^{\omega} = 1 \) and \( \rho_{cv}^{\omega} = \rho_{cv}^{\omega} \). In Ref. [13], Eqs. (17) and (5) were evaluated in the time domain for ultrafast pulsed excitations. Here, we are interested in excitonic binding energies, and we linearize Eq. (5):

\[ i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_{vc}^{\omega}(t) = \left[ \varepsilon_{k}^{c} - \varepsilon_{k}^{v} + \hat{V}_{vc}^{\omega}(t) - \hat{V}_{cc}^{\omega}(t) - \delta \hat{V}_{vc}^{\omega}(t) \right] \rho_{vc}^{\omega}(t) - \rho_{cv}^{\omega}(t). \]

where we dropped the time-dependent external field term, since the excitations we are interested in can be viewed as eigenmodes of the system. Here, \( \delta \hat{V}_{vc}^{\omega} \) and \( \delta \hat{V}_{cv}^{\omega} \) denote the linearized dynamical Hartree and XC potentials. In a periodic insulating solid, the Hartree term only gives rise to the so-called local field corrections, which do not affect excitonic binding [7]. We will therefore only keep the XC contribution in the following.

Fourier transformation of Eq. (5) and the corresponding equation for \( \rho_{vc}^{\omega}(t) \) leads to

\[ \rho_{vc}^{\omega}(t) = -\sum_{q} \left[ F_{kq}^{\omega}(t) \rho_{q}^{\omega} \left( \omega \right) + F_{kq}^{\omega}(t) \rho_{q}^{\omega} \left( \omega \right) \right] \frac{\omega + \omega_{kv}^{\omega}}{\omega - \omega_{kv}^{\omega}} \]

\[ \rho_{cv}^{\omega}(t) = -\sum_{q} \left[ F_{kq}^{\omega}(t) \rho_{q}^{\omega} \left( \omega \right) + F_{kq}^{\omega}(t) \rho_{q}^{\omega} \left( \omega \right) \right] \frac{\omega - \omega_{kv}^{\omega}}{\omega - \omega_{kv}^{\omega}} \]

where \( \omega_{kv}^{\omega} = \varepsilon_{k}^{v} - \varepsilon_{k}^{c} \).

\[ F_{kq}^{ijmn} \left( \omega \right) = \frac{2}{\Omega^{2}} \int_{\Omega} d^3r \int_{\Omega} d^3r' \psi_{jk}(r) \psi_{kj}(r') \delta_{ij} \rho_{mn} \left( \omega \right) \]

\[ \times \psi_{nm}(r') \psi_{mq}(r'), \]

and the \( q \)-summation runs over the first Brillouin zone. Eqs. (10) and (11) can be cast into an eigenvalue problem for the excitation energies \( \omega \). Since \( f_{kv} \) is in general frequency-dependent, the eigenvalue problem is nonlinear. The solutions are the exact excitonic binding energies within the two-band model.

Let us carry out a further simplification. Since typical excitonic binding energies are much smaller than the band gap, i.e., \( \omega + \omega_{k}^{vc} \gg \omega_{k}^{vc} \), we can ignore the pole at negative \( \omega \) (which is equivalent to the Tamm-Dancoff approximation [8]) and boldly set \( \rho_{k}^{cv} = 0 \). This leads to

\[ \rho_{k}^{cv} \left( \omega \right) = -\sum_{q} \left[ \omega_{kv}^{cv} \delta_{kq} + F_{kq}^{cv}(\omega) \right] \rho_{q}^{cv} \left( \omega \right) = \omega_{kv}^{cv} \](13)

Eq. (13) is the equivalent for extended systems of the well-known single-pole approximation of linear-response TDDFT [12]. For finite atomic or molecular systems, the single-pole approximation only involves two discrete levels. Here, it involves two entire bands, which clearly shows the collective nature of excitonic effects.

We point out that Eq. (13) yields excitonic binding energies relative to the conduction band edge, which can be accurate even if the band gap itself is not.

**TDDFT Wannier equation.** Our next goal is to derive a real-space equation for the excitonic binding energies. \( \rho_{k}^{cv} \) is a periodic function in reciprocal space, with Fourier transform \( \rho_{k}^{cv} \left( \omega \right) = \sum_{k} e^{-ikR} \rho_{k}^{cv} \left( \omega \right) \), where \( R \) is a direct lattice vector. Similarly, we define

\[ V_{ch}(R, R', \omega) = \sum_{k, q} e^{-ikR} F_{kq}^{cv}(\omega) e^{iqR'}. \]

From the point of view of a Wannier exciton, which extends over many lattice constants, \( R \) can be approximated as a continuous variable. We assume a direct band
gap material, and use approximate parabolic dispersions with conduction and valence band effective masses $m_c$ and $m_v$, and reduced electron-hole effective mass $m_e^{-1} = m_c^{-1} + m_v^{-1}$. This yields the TDDFT version of the Wannier equation \cite{11}.

\[
\left[ -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m_r} - E_{b,i} \right] \rho_i(r) + \int_{all \ space} d^3r' V_{eh}(r, r', \omega) \rho_i(r') = 0 ,
\]

(15)

featuring a nonlocal, frequency-dependent electron-hole interaction $V_{eh}(r, r', \omega)$, where $\omega = E_{b,i}^{KS} + E_{b,i}$, and $E_{b,i}^{KS}$ is the KS band gap. The $i$th excitonic binding energy $E_{b,i}$ is measured with respect to the KS conduction band edge, and the $\rho_i(r)$ are the analog of the excitonic wave functions $\phi(r)$ of Eq. \cite{11}.

**XC kernels.** – The effective electron-hole interaction in TDDFT, and thus the excitonic binding energies, depend crucially on the approximate XC kernel. In the following, we shall implement several simple frequency-independent XC kernels and test their performance in our formalism. The exchange-only ALDA kernel is given by

\[
f_{xc}^{ALDA}(r, r') = -\left[ 9\pi n_0^2(r) \right]^{-1/3} \delta(r-r') ,
\]

where $n_0(r)$ is the equilibrium electron density. $f_{xc}^{ALDA}$ belongs to the class of ultra-short-range kernels; the simplest of them

\[
f_{xc}^{contact}(r, r') = -A \delta(r-r') ,
\]

(17)

where $A$ is a positive constant. Such kernels have been used with some success in contact exciton models \cite{11}.

An approximation of exact-exchange TDDFT \cite{10}, the Slater exchange kernel, is given by \cite{12}

\[
f_{xc}^{Slater}(r, r') = -\frac{2}{|r-r'|} \sum_{jk} \frac{\theta(\epsilon_F - \epsilon_{kF}) \psi_j(r) \psi_k^*(r')}{n_0(r) n_0(r')} ,
\]

(18)

This kernel exhibits some degree of long-range behavior \cite{17}, but not the ultra-nonlocality ($\sim 1/q^2$ in momentum space) of the exact $f_{xc}$ \cite{3,10,11}. This long-range contribution (LRC) can be explicitly taken into account using the following model kernel \cite{18}:

\[
f_{xc}^{LRC}(r, r') = -\frac{\alpha}{4\pi |r-r'|} ,
\]

(19)

where $\alpha$ is again an adjustable parameter.

**Results and Discussion.** – We have tested our TDDFT approach for excitonic binding energies, Eq. \cite{15}, for the zincblende materials GaAs and $\beta$-GaN and for the wurtzite materials $\alpha$-GaN, CdS, and CdSe. The Bloch functions for the conduction and heavy-valence bands were obtained from LDA band structures calculated with the plane-wave pseudopotential code ABINIT \cite{19}. We used 512 $k$-points in the first Brillouin zone for all materials. Out of these, there are 10 independent points for GaAs and $\beta$-GaN and 20 for $\alpha$-GaN, CdS, and CdSe, which determines the dimension of the eigenvalue problem \cite{19}. Recent Bethe-Salpeter calculations of excitonic binding energies used much higher k-point densities close to the zone center \cite{20,21}; we performed convergence checks of our k-point sampling rates and found them to be sufficiently accurate for our simple model.

As expected, the ALDA does not produce any bound excitons. Results for the other three XC kernels and experimental binding energies of the lowest direct excitons are presented in Table I. The contact and LRC kernels, \cite{17} and \cite{19}, contain adjustable parameters which can be tuned to reproduce the experimental exciton binding energies. The required values of the parameters $A$ and $\alpha$ are found to be of similar order as in Ref. \cite{18}.

The contact and LRC kernels only yield a single excitonic bound state \cite{18}. This is generally the case for static XC kernels that are local in reciprocal space, i.e., have the form $f_{xc}(q)$. The Slater XC kernel \cite{15} does have some degree of nonlocality in reciprocal space, but we found that it only produces a single excitonic state, like the local kernels. To obtain an excitonic Rydberg series one needs an XC kernel that has a sufficiently strongly nonlocal form or is frequency-dependent \cite{23,24}.

Looking at the results obtained with $f_{xc}^{Slater}$, we find excitons that are overbound by 14 meV in GaAs and by 2.7 meV in $\beta$-GaN. This overbinding is what one would expect from an unscreened exchange-only approach (electronic screening can be viewed as a correlation effect). On the other hand, $f_{xc}^{Slater}$ approaches a constant for $q \rightarrow 0$ in homogeneous systems \cite{17}, whereas the full EXX $f_{xc}$ behaves as $1/q^2$ \cite{11}. This would suggest that $f_{xc}^{Slater}$ has a somewhat weaker effective electron-hole interaction than full EXX. This trend seems confirmed in the wurtzite materials whose calculated excitonic binding energies are significantly below experiment.

Additional insight is provided by comparing the electron-hole interaction $V_{eh}$ for the different XC kernels under study. Fig. 1 shows $V_{eh}(r, 0)$ for GaAs along the $x$ direction (due to the finite sampling in $k$-space, $V_{eh}$ can only be reliably calculated within the range of about one unit cell). In ALDA, the interaction is close to zero and

| Material   | Parameter $A$ | $\alpha/4\pi$ | $E_{b,KS}^\text{Slater}$ | $E_{b,exp}$ |
|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------|
| GaAs       | 1.68          | 0.12          | 17.4                     | 3.27       |
| $\beta$-GaN| 4.23          | 0.55          | 28.7                     | 26.0       |
| $\alpha$-GaN| 2.03          | 0.91          | 11.8                     | 20.4       |
| CdS        | 6.28          | 1.83          | 7.9                      | 28.0       |
| CdSe       | 4.84          | 1.19          | 8.3                      | 15.0       |
Thus too shallow to lead to any excitonic binding. The other XC kernels produce stronger electron-hole interactions, where for GaAs the contact and LRC models are less attractive than the Slater approximation.

**Conclusion.** — We have presented a simple method to calculate excitonic binding energies using TDDFT. The main idea, restricting the dynamics to the highest valence and the lowest conduction band, is similar to the single-pole approximation for excitation energies \[12\]. Our derivation was based on the TDDFT semiconductor Bloch equation; an alternative starting point could be the Casida formalism of linear-response TDDFT \[13\], formulated for periodic systems \[25\]. The resulting simple eigenvalue equation in momentum space, Eq. \[13\], is readily diagonalized to yield the excitonic binding energies. Transformation into real space leads to the TDDFT analog of the Wannier equation for excitons, and shows that the effective electron-hole interaction is nonlocal.

The quality of the results depends crucially on the approximation used for \( f_{xc}(r,r',\omega) \). It is well known that local and semilocal approximations, such as the ALDA, do not produce any excitons. There exist sophisticated parameter-free XC kernels \[1\] that are capable of reproducing experimental optical absorption spectra very accurately, including bound excitons \[24\], but with substantial computational cost.

If only particular aspects of the optical spectrum of a material are required such as, for instance, the lowest bound exciton, simple static XC kernels can be a convenient alternative. The contact and the LRC kernels behave quite similarly in the sense that they produce a single excitonic peak. A detailed analysis was given in Ref. \[23\], and we find the same behavior in our two-band approach. The parameter-free Slater exchange-only kernel also produces a single exciton, which was found to be overbound in zincblende materials, and underbound in wurtzite. There are theoretical arguments in favor of both trends, which suggests a need for more systematic studies of the Slater exchange kernel in solids.

In conclusion, our simple approach for excitonic binding energies is a promising method to test XC kernels in solids. It can be extended in a straightforward way to deal with spin-dependent excitations (triplet excitons), with more sophisticated XC kernels, or to include more bands. Work along these lines is in progress.

This work was supported by NSF Grant DMR-0553485. We thank Angel Rubio, Lucia Reining, and Claudia Ambrosch-Draxl for useful discussions.

**FIG. 1:** Effective electron-hole interaction \( V_{eh}(r,0) \) for GaAs and different XC kernels, plotted along the \( x \) direction, where \( a \) is the lattice constant. The parameters \( A \) and \( \alpha \) for the contact and the LRC XC kernels are given in Table \[1\].
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