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The metric underlying the mixed state geometric phase in unitary and nonunitary evolution [Phys.
Rev. Lett. 85, 2845 (2000); Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 080405 (2004)] is delineated. An explicit form
for the line element is derived and shown to be related to an averaged energy dispersion in the case
of unitary evolution. The line element is measurable in interferometry involving nearby internal
states. Explicit geodesics are found in the single qubit case. It is shown how the Bures line element
can be obtained by extending our approach to arbitrary decompositions of density operators. The
proposed metric is applied to a generic magnetic system in a thermal state.

I. INTRODUCTION

A quantum-mechanical metric underlies the notion of statistical distance that measures the distinguishability
of quantum states [1, 2]. Such measures can be used to quantify quantum entanglement [3–5], but have also
found applications in the study of quantum phase transitions [6, 7]. Similarly, the related concept of path length
has been used to find time-optimal curves in quantum state spaces [8] and to establish the speed-limit of quan-
tum states [9–11].

Like the geometric phase (GP), the metric is closely
tied to the notion of spectral diameters [12] with the corresponding Fubini-Study metric [13, 14], both arising from the
horizontal lift to the one-dimensional rays over the quantum state space. For mixed states, the GP
plays a central role. Therefore, the corresponding metric must fundamentally be based on a distance for spectral
decompositions of density operators. Here, we describe how such a metric can be designed. We further discuss various applications of this metric as well as its relation to the Bures metric.

II. DERIVATION OF LINE ELEMENT

Consider a smooth path $t \mapsto \rho(t)$ of density operators representing the evolving state of a quantum system. We shall assume that all non-zero eigenvalues of $\rho(t)$ are non-degenerate. In this way, the gauge freedom in the spectral decomposition is the phase of the eigenvectors; thus, a non-degenerate density operator $\rho(t)$, assumed to have rank $N$, is in one to one correspondence with the $N$ orthogonal rays $\{e^{i\beta_k(t)}|n_k(t)\rangle|f_k(t)\in [0, 2\pi)\}$. To capture this, we let

$$B(t) = \left\{ \sqrt{p_k(t)}e^{i\beta_k(t)}|n_k(t)\rangle \right\}_{n=1}^N$$

represent the spectral decompositions along the path. We further assume that all $f_k(t)$ are once differentiable. We propose the line element connecting two nearby points to be the minimum of the distance

$$d^2(t, t + dt) = \sum_k \left| \sqrt{p_k(t)}e^{i\beta_k(t)}|n_k(t)\rangle - \sqrt{p_k(t + dt)}e^{i\beta_k(t + dt)}|n_k(t + dt)\rangle \right|^2.$$  

To find this minimum, we expand the squares yielding

$$d^2(t, t + dt) = 2 - 2 \sum_k \sqrt{p_k(t)p_k(t + dt)} \langle n_k(t)|n_k(t + dt)\rangle \cos \lambda_k(t, t + dt),$$

where $\lambda_k(t, t + dt) = \dot{f}_k(t)dt + \arg [1 + \langle n_k(t)|\dot{n}_k(t)\rangle dt] +$.

\[\Phi_g = \arg \sum_k p_k e^{i\beta_k} \]

with $p_k$ and $e^{i\beta_k}$ being eigenvalues and eigenstate GP factors, respectively, of the evolving density operator $\rho$.

In other words, the spectral decomposition of $\rho$ plays a central role. Therefore, the corresponding metric must
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O(dt^2). The line element is thus given by
\[ ds^2 = d_{\text{min}}^2(t, t + dt) \]
\[ = 2 - 2 \sum_k \sqrt{p_k(t)p_k(t + dt)} \langle n_k(t)|n_k(t + dt)\rangle, \]
being reached when all \( \lambda_k(t, t + dt) \) vanish to first order in \( dt \), which is equivalent to
\[ \dot{f}_k(t) - i\langle n_k(t)|\dot{n}_k(t)\rangle = 0, \]
for all \( k \). Equation (6) is precisely the connection underlying the mixed state GP [17], itself a direct extension of the Aharonov-Anandan connection for pure states [12]. The connection provides the necessary link between the mixed state GP [17] and the metric concept considered here. Equation (5) can be put on a more useful form by expanding to lowest non-trivial order in \( dt \). We suppress the \( t \) argument (for notational simplicity) and make use of the identities \( \langle n_k|\dot{n}_k \rangle + (\dot{|n}_k|n_k \rangle = -2\langle \dot{n}_k|n_k \rangle \) and \( \sum_k \dot{p}_k = \sum_k p_k = 0 \), which follow from the normalization conditions \( \langle n_k|n_k \rangle = 1 \) and \( \sum_k p_k = 1 \). We find
\[ ds^2 = \sum_k p_k ds_k^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_k \frac{dp_k^2}{p_k}, \]
where
\[ ds_k^2 = \langle n_k|\left(\tilde{1} - |n_k\rangle\langle n_k|\right)|\dot{n}_k\rangle dt^2 \]
is the pure state Fubini-Study metric (infinitesimal line element) along \( |n_k\rangle \) [14] and \( dp_k = \dot{p}_k dt \). Note the structural similarity between the first term of the right-hand side of Eq. (7) and the expression for the mixed state GP in Eq. (4), both being weighted sums of the corresponding pure state quantities. The second term we recognize as the Fischer-Rao information metric for classical probability distributions [24]. In the following, we shall examine various applications of the line element in Eq. (7).

III. APPLICATIONS

A. Unitary evolution, time-energy uncertainty

Let us first consider the case of unitary time evolution \( i\hbar \rho = [H, \rho] \) governed by some Hamiltonian \( H \). Here, the Fischer-Rao term vanishes since the probability weights \( p_k \) are constant. By using the geometric time-energy relation in Ref. [14], we find
\[ ds^2 = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \Delta E^2 dt^2, \]
with the mixed state energy dispersion \( \Delta E^2 = \sum_k p_k (\Delta_k E)^2 \). Here, \( \Delta_k E \) is the energy dispersion of \( |n_k\rangle \). Thus, the speed by which the eigendecomposition of the density operator changes along the path is
\[ ds/dt = (1/\hbar)\Delta E \].

Note that the energy dispersion \( \Delta E^2 \) is different from the standard quantum-mechanical dispersion \( \Delta_\rho E^2 = \text{Tr}(\rho H^2) - [\text{Tr}(\rho H)]^2 \). However, the inequality
\[ \Delta E^2 \leq \Delta_\rho E^2 \]
relates the two. To prove this, we note that \( \Delta E^2 \) and \( \Delta_\rho E^2 \) are independent of zero-point energy and are therefore unchanged under the shift \( H \to H + \text{Tr}(\rho H) \). We find \( \Delta_\rho E^2 = \text{Tr}(\rho H^2) \) and thus \( \Delta E^2 = \text{Tr}(\rho H^2) - \sum_k p_k |\langle n_k|H|n_k\rangle|^2 = \Delta_\rho E^2 - \sum_k p_k |\langle n_k|H|n_k\rangle|^2 \), which implies Eq. (10) since \( \sum_k p_k |\langle n_k|H|n_k\rangle|^2 \geq 0 \).

A time-energy uncertainty relation similar to those of Refs. [13] [23] can be formulated. Consider two unitarily connected states and assume \( s_{\text{min}} \) is the shortest distance between them, as measured by \( ds \) in Eq. (7). Let \( \langle \Delta E \rangle = (1/\Delta t) \int_0^{\Delta t} \Delta E dt \) and \( \langle \Delta_\rho E \rangle = (1/\Delta t) \int_0^{\Delta t} \Delta_\rho E dt \) be the time-averaged energy dispersions for the traversal time \( \Delta t \) between the two states. Equation (9) combined with Eq. (10) implies
\[ \langle \Delta_\rho E \rangle \Delta t \geq \langle \Delta E \rangle \Delta t \geq s_{\text{min}} \hbar, \]
which provides a geometric lower bound for the energy-time uncertainty. This geometric bound is apparently tighter for \( \langle \Delta E \rangle \) than for \( \langle \Delta_\rho E \rangle \).

B. Interferometry

We now address the operational significance of the line element \( ds^2 \). In the unitary case, the proposed line element can be related to measurable quantities by using the technique of Ref. [17]. Consider a Mach-Zehnder interferometer with a pair of 50-50 beam-splitters acting as \( |x\rangle \to 2^{-1/2} |x\rangle + (-1)^x (|x+1\rangle) \) on the beam states \( x = 0, 1, \) and \( \rho \) describing the ‘internal’ state of the particles injected into the interferometer.

Assume the input state \( |0\rangle |0\rangle \otimes \rho \) hits the first beam-splitter followed by a unitary \( |0\rangle |0\rangle \otimes U(\delta t) |1\rangle |1\rangle \otimes V, \delta t \) being a small but finite time interval and \( [V, \rho] = 0 \). Thus, in the 0-beam the internal state undergoes the transformation \( \rho \to U(\delta t) \rho U(\delta t)^\dagger \), while it remains unchanged in the 1-beam: \( \rho \to V \rho V^\dagger = \rho \), see Fig. 1. By writing \( V = \sum_k e^{if_k} |n_k\rangle \langle n_k| \), we obtain the probabilities
\[ P_0 = 1 - P_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \sum_k p_k |\langle n_k|U(\delta t)|n_k\rangle e^{-if_k} | \]
to find the particles in the two beams after passing the second beam-splitter. We write \( U(\delta t) = 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \delta t H - \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \delta t^2 H^2 + \ldots \), where \( H \) is the Hamiltonian acting on the internal degrees of freedom of the particles, and maximize \( P_0 \) over each of the phases \( f_k \), yielding to lowest non-trivial order in \( \delta t \)
\[ P_{0,\text{max}} = \max_{\{f_k\}} P_0 = 1 - \frac{1}{4} \delta s^2. \]
First note that $ds^2 = ds^2_{θ} = \frac{1}{4} (dθ^2 + \sin^2 θ dφ^2) = \frac{1}{4} ds^2_{S^2}$ with $θ$ and $φ$ the polar angles on the Bloch sphere. We further write $p_0 = 1 - p_1 = \frac{1}{2} (1 + r)$, $r \neq 0$, in terms of which Eq. (7) takes the form \[ |0⟩|0⟩ + |1⟩|1⟩.

The geodesics are found by minimizing $\int ds$ over all curves connecting pairs of points in the Bloch ball. The curve that provides the minimum for a given pair must lie in a plane that contains the origin of the Bloch ball. By choosing the $xz$-plane ($φ = 0$), we look for a curve that connects points at polar coordinates $(r_1, 0)$ and $(r_2, θ_{12})$. We thus wish to find the curve $θ \in [0, θ_{12}] \rightarrow r_k(θ)$ that minimizes the length

\[ l(θ_{12}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{θ_{12}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{r'^2}{1 - r^2}} dθ = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{θ_{12}} \mathcal{L}(r, r')dθ, \]

where we use the short-hand notation $r' = \frac{dr}{dθ}$ and $r = r(θ)$. The Euler-Lagrange equation can be solved by means of Beltrami’s identity

\[ \frac{∂\mathcal{L}}{∂r'} - \mathcal{L} = c, \]

the constant $c$ being determined by the boundary conditions $r(0) = r_1$ and $r(θ_{12}) = r_2$. We find

\[ r_k(θ) = \sin \left[ \arcsin r_1 + \left( \arcsin r_2 - \arcsin r_1 \right) \frac{θ}{θ_{12}} \right]. \]

Figure 2 shows some examples of geodesic curves in the Bloch ball.

The length of the geodesics can be computed by inserting Eq. (18) into Eq. (16) and performing the integration. One finds

\[ l_g = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{θ_{12}^2 + \left( \arcsin r_2 - \arcsin r_1 \right)^2}. \]

We note that the geodesics for $r_2 = r_1$ are circle arcs of length $θ_{12}/2$, which is half the geodesic distance on $S^2$. For pure ($r_1 = r_2 = 1$) states, this is consistent with the Fubini-Study distance for single qubits. $l_g$ measures the distance between non-degenerate qubit states.

**D. Thermal magnetic systems**

We illustrate the metric in Eq. (7) by considering the response of a magnetic system in a thermal state to changes in temperature $T$ and in an applied magnetic field $b$. This is modeled by the Hamiltonian $H(b) = H_0 + bS_z$, $H_0$ being a generic Hamiltonian describing interactions between a collection of spins $S_z$ is the
FIG. 2: Single-qubit geodesic curves in the $xz$-plane of the Bloch ball starting and ending at polar coordinates $(r_1, 0)$ and $(r_2, \theta_{12})$, respectively. The curves have the form $r(\theta)(\sin \theta, 0, \cos \theta)$ with $r(\theta)$ given by Eq. (18). We have chosen $r_1 = r(0) = 0.1, 0.4, 0.7, 1$ and $r_2 = r(\theta_{12}) = 0.05$. The angular position of the end-points are $\theta_{12} = \frac{\pi}{4}$ (orange curves) and $\theta_{12} = \pi$ (blue curves).

The total spin of the system. Let $\{|m(b)\}\}$ and $\{\varepsilon_m(b)\}$ be eigenstates and eigenvalues, respectively, of $H(b)$. The thermal state takes the form $\rho = e^{-\beta H(b)}/Z$ with $Z = \text{Tr} (e^{-\beta H(b)})$ the partition function and $\beta$ the inverse temperature. The following analysis shows that the metric can be related to thermodynamic quantities.

Let us first consider changes in temperature. One finds

$$ds^2 = \frac{C_V}{4\beta^2} dB^2$$

(20)

where $C_V$ is specific heat for a Boltzmann distribution, being related to the energy fluctuations according to $C_V = \beta^2 \langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle - \langle \varepsilon \rangle^2$. Here and in the following, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the thermodynamic average obtained by means of the Boltzmann factors $p_m = e^{-\beta \varepsilon_m}/Z$. For changes in the applied magnetic field, we find

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{\beta \chi_M}{4} + \sum_m p_m \chi_{F,m} \right) dB^2$$

(21)

with the magnetic susceptibility $\chi_M = \beta \left[ \langle \partial \varepsilon / \partial b \rangle^2 - \langle \partial \varepsilon / \partial \varepsilon \rangle \right]$ and the fidelity susceptibility $\chi_{F,m} = \sum_{m' \neq m} \frac{|\langle m' | S_z | m \rangle|^2}{(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_{m'})^2}$

(22)

of state $m$.

IV. RELATION TO BURES’ METRIC

Before concluding, we justify our distance concept by demonstrating that the Bures metric [16, 23] can be obtained if we extend Eq. (22) to arbitrary decompositions of $\rho(t)$. We use that the set

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \left\{ \sum_l \sqrt{\rho_l(t)} |n_l(t)\rangle \rangle \mathcal{V}_l(t) \right\}$$

(23)

of sub-normalized vectors is a decomposition of $\rho(t)$ for any unitary $N' \times N'$ matrix $\mathcal{V}$ with $N' - N$ zero vectors added [23, 24]. If $\mathcal{B}(t)$ is replaced by $\mathcal{A}(t)$ in Eq. (22), we find the distance

$$d^2(t, t + dt) = 2 - 2\text{Re}Tr \left[ M_t(dt) \mathcal{V}(t + dt) \mathcal{V}^\dagger(t) \right],$$

(24)

where $[M_t(dt)]_{kl} = \sqrt{\rho_k(t) \rho_l(t + dt) \langle n_k(t)|n_l(t + dt) \rangle}$ is the overlap matrix. By means of the polar decomposition $M_t(dt) = [M_t(dt)]_{\mathcal{U}_t(dt)}$, we find the line element

$$ds^2 = \frac{\beta^2}{\min _{t'} (t', t + dt)} = 2 - 2\text{Tr}[M_t(dt)],$$

(25)

by choosing $\mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_t(dt) \mathcal{V}(t + dt) \mathcal{V}^\dagger(t) = I$. One may use the spectral form of $\rho(t)$ and $\rho(t + dt)$ and the orthonormality of $\{|n_k(t)\}$ to obtain

$$\sqrt{\rho(t)} \rho(t + dt) \sqrt{\rho(t)} = \left( \sum_{k, k'} |n_k(t)\rangle \langle M_t(dt)_{kk'} |n_{k'}(t)\rangle \right) \times \left( \sum_{l, l'} |n_{l'}(t)\rangle \langle M_t(dt)_{ll'} |n_l(t)\rangle \right),$$

(26)

from which we conclude

$$\sqrt{\rho(t)} \rho(t + dt) \sqrt{\rho(t)} = \sum_{k, l} |n_k(t)\rangle \langle M_t(dt)_{kl} |n_l(t)\rangle.$$  

(27)

By taking the trace, we see that Eq. (25) can be expressed as

$$ds^2 = 2 - 2\text{Tr} \left[ \rho(t) \rho(t + dt) \sqrt{\rho(t)} \right]$$

(28)

which is precisely the Bures line element [16, 23].

V. CONCLUSIONS

The concept of metric associated with the spectral decomposition of mixed quantum states is delineated and its physical significance discussed. This completes the theory of mixed state GP proposed in Ref. [17], in the same way as the Fubini-Study and the Bures metric complete the theory of pure state geometric phase and
Uhlmann holonomy, respectively. The relation to energy-time uncertainty and thermodynamics found above, suggests that the proposed metric can be expected to find applications in the problem of finding time-optimal evolutions of mixed quantum states as well as in the study of phase transitions in many-body quantum systems at non-zero temperatures.
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