Temperature Dependence of Electric Transport in Few-layer Graphene under Large Charge Doping Induced by Electrochemical Gating
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The temperature dependence of electric transport properties of single-layer and few-layer graphene at large charge doping is of great interest both for the study of the scattering processes dominating the conductivity at different temperatures and in view of the theoretically predicted possibility to reach the superconducting state in such extreme conditions. Here we present the results obtained in 3-, 4- and 5-layer graphene devices down to 3.5 K, where a large surface charge density up to about 6.8x10^14 cm^-2 has been reached by employing a novel polymer electrolyte solution for the electrochemical gating. In contrast with recent results obtained in single-layer graphene, the temperature dependence of the sheet resistance between 20 K and 280 K shows a low-temperature dominance of a T^2 component – that can be associated with electron-electron scattering – and, at about 100 K, a crossover to the classic electron-phonon regime. Unexpectedly, this crossover does not show any dependence on the induced charge density, i.e. on the large tuning of the Fermi energy.
parabolic interlayer-like band that is produced by the deposited metal adatoms, or by the large doping itself. We believe that both these ingredients could be also present in the few-layer graphene stacks at a very high level of induced charge density.

Interestingly, double multi-layer graphene structures separated by an insulator have been proposed to realize strong-coupling electron-hole superfluidity (leading to counter flow superconductivity)\(^1\). In addition, VHS in double 3- and 4-layer graphene devices have been exploited to enhance critical temperature and onset density of the electron-hole superfluid transition\(^2\).

For this reason, even in absence of precise model predictions for superconductivity in FLG, we thought it was worthwhile to perform electric transport measurements in FLG at low temperature and high doping.

In the past few years the possibility to dope various materials at an unprecedented level of surface charge density – even inducing superconductivity in materials initially insulating – has been proven\(^3\). It relies on the use of a polymer electrolyte or a liquid gating technique. In practice an electrochemical cell is incorporated into a top-gate field-effect transistor (FET) architecture replacing the solid gate insulator by a polymer electrolyte solution (PES) or a liquid electrolyte that acts as gate dielectric. A voltage application between a gate electrode and another electrode in contact with the material under study causes solvated ions in the PES to densely accumulate on the surface of a channel over the sample, thus forming an electric double layer (EDL) that acts as a nanoscale capacitor at the interface. As a consequence of the very large specific geometric capacitance of the EDL (of the order of some \(\mu\text{F} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}\)) an intense electric field as high as 50 MV/cm can be applied at the sample surface inducing a huge surface charge density \(n_{2D}\), up to \(10^{10} \text{cm}^{-2}\) depending on the electronic properties of the material [Ref. 22 and references therein]. This technique has been recently used in Raman and IR conductivity experiments in SLG and bilayer graphene up to induced charges of the order of \(5 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) (refs 23–26). However, not so many papers are present in literature concerning the application of this technique to transport measurements in SLG or FLG. In a first experiment in SLG a charge induction up to \(\sim 6 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) has been demonstrated by using polyethylene oxide (PEO) as polymer and lithium perchlorate as electrolyte\(^7\). This large surface density has been further increased up to \(n_{2D} > 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) by using the same PES at gate voltages up to 15 V, but employing a rapid cooling method in order to reduce the expected electrochemical reactions between PES and graphene\(^8\). The authors found a quartic temperature dependence of the resistivity at low T (as expected for scattering with 2D phonon modes) and a crossover to the classic high-T linear behavior at a temperature strongly tunable by the induced charge\(^8\). More recently the technique has been also applied to FLG. The Dirac curves of single, bi, and trilayer graphene have been compared at room T and deeply analyzed up to charge densities of the order of \(2 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) (ref 29) and the low-temperature magnetococonductance of epitaxial trilayer graphene grown on a 6H-SiC surface has been studied up to \(n \sim 7 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) claiming the evidence for a gate modulation of the weak spin-orbit interaction in this material\(^9\). No sign of superconductivity has been observed in the low-temperature sheet resistance curves shown in these articles\(^7,28,30\).

In this paper we present the results of electric transport measurements in 3-, 4- and 5-layer exfoliated graphene samples (3LG, 4LG and 5LG in the following) down to 3.5 K and up to an induced surface charge density that ranges from about \(5 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) in 3LG to about \(7 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) in 5LG obtained by using a novel PES of improved efficiency. No trace of superconducting transition has been found in these experiments, but the sheet resistances in the temperature range 20–280 K reproducibly show a peculiar behavior with a dominant low-temperature \(T^2\) component and a crossover to the classic electron-phonon linear regime at about 90–100 K, independently of the strong Fermi energy tuning produced by the electrochemical gating.

### Results and Discussion

The FLG flakes used in this work have been obtained by using the standard adhesive tape exfoliation technique followed by a transfer onto a SiO\(_2\) on Si substrate. The number of graphene layers has been determined by optical contrast and by Raman spectroscopy measurements. The latter technique was also used for selecting only the 3LG, 4LG and 5LG flakes that showed a Bernal stacking. The electric contacts for the four-wire measurements were realized by using the standard photolithographic, thermal evaporation and lift-off techniques. Afterwards, the FLG channel was shaped into a Hall-bar by reactive ion etching and an additional windowing by photoresist was realized on the device in order to leave uncovered only a part of the contact pads (for wire bonding) and the gated channel over the flake. Figure 1a shows a picture of one of the devices used in our experiments, taken under an optical microscope. In the inset a zoom in the region of the contacts and of the FLG Hall-bar shaped flake is presented, where the detail of the photoresist window defining the channel geometry can be seen.

The EDL gating was realized by using a novel PES that proved to be able to induce record surface charges up to \(4.5 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) in previous experiments we performed on thin films of Au, Cu and Ag\(^34,35\). In Figure 1b a schematic view of the device with the FLG channel covered by the PES, the Pt wire used as gate electrode and the various connections used for longitudinal (\(R_{xx}\)) and Hall (\(R_{xy}\)) resistance measurements are shown. Before cooling down the device the ambipolar nature of the field effect in FLG was always checked by performing sheet conductance measurements during slow gate voltage sweeps between 3 and \(-3 \text{ V}\) or vice-versa. One of these sheet conductance vs. gate voltage \(V_G\) curves is reported in Figure 1c for a 4LG device. Up to \(V_G \sim \pm 1 \text{ V}\) (with respect to the neutrality point) the curve is symmetric, but at a higher gate voltage a pronounced asymmetry is observed, together with a broad depression at about \(V_G = 1.5 \text{ V}\). Similar features have been observed in 3LG where the depression has been related to the crossing of a VHS when the Fermi level reaches the split band \(T_2^\pm\).

The determination of the induced charge density is particularly important in these experiments. We used three different methods for accessing this quantity. The first one consists in the classic Hall-effect measurements that we performed at room temperature by using a calibrated variable-gap magnet made with permanent magnets and able to apply magnetic fields up to 0.7 T at the sample surface. The second method is a typical electrochemical technique well described in literature\(^36\) and known as double-step chronocoulometry (DSCC). It consists in the successive application and removal of the gate voltage in the form of a step-wise function while measuring the small current (of the order of few nA) that flows between the gate electrode and the device (gate current \(I_G\)). The time dependence of this current shows a fast exponential component connected to the formation of the EDL and a slower minor one that pertains to electrochemical reactions possibly occurring between the PES and the sample. The inset of Fig. 2 shows a typical time dependence of \(I_G\) under removal of a \(3 \text{ V}\) gate voltage in a 4LG device. By fitting with the proper electrochemical model\(^36\) the \(I_G\) vs. time curves it is possible to determine the charge that forms the double layer and, dividing by the gated area, to obtain the induced surface charge density \(n_{2D}\). The main panel of Fig. 2 shows a comparison between the \(n_{2D}\) values determined by Hall effect measurements in 4LG (orange diamonds) and the ones obtained from DSCC (black, red and blue circles). The induced charge density was measured in 3LG (black circles) and then the values for 4LG and 5LG have been obtained by scaling the 3LG results with the calculated ratio of their quantum capacitance \(C_Q\). A further consistency check of the results shown in Fig. 2 is possible. In fact, it is well known that in case of gating of a 2D material the
different charge dopings by using the original definition of PES drop casted over the PR window and the electrical connections for the 4-wire sheet resistance and Hall voltage measurements. The gate voltage The inset shows a closer view into the region of the Hall-bar shaped FLG flake and the PR window; b) Schematic of the complete device with the measurements in Au thin films34 where, of course, the gate potential of the PES and on \( V_G \) can be determined from our gating experiments in Au thin films34 where, of course, \( C_q \rightarrow \infty \). At \( V_G = 4 \text{ V} \) it turns out to be in the range 50–140 \( \mu \text{F/cm}^2 \) depending on the “freshness” of the PES35. The quantum capacitance \( C_q \) of our devices can be estimated from tight-binding and ab-initio DFT calculations (jellium model) of the effective electron mass \( m^* \) of the FLG flakes at the different charge dopings by using the original definition of \( C_q \) derived by Luryi36:

\[
C_q = \frac{g_v m^* e^2}{\hbar^2}
\]  

where \( g_v \) is the valley degeneracy factor. At \( V_G = 4 \text{ V} \), for example, we estimate \( C_q = 24 \pm 7 \) and \( 27 \pm 8 \) \( \mu \text{F/cm}^2 \) for 4LG and 5LG, respectively, in good agreement with the values measured in 3LG at high charge doping36. From the above values of \( C_g \) and \( C_q \) we can calculate \( C_{\text{EDL}} \) and, consequently, \( n_{2D} \) for 4LG and 5LG at \( V_G = 4 \text{ V} \). The obtained ranges of values (including only the variability of \( C_g \)) are shown in Fig. 2 as dashed bands (red for 4LG and blue for 5LG). By comparing the carrier density determined by Hall measurements with that obtained by DSCC it is possible to notice that the Hall values are somewhat larger especially at larger gate voltages. We think that the reason for this behavior is related to the low values of the magnetic field we used in the Hall measurements. There are strong non-linearities in the Hall voltage at low field mainly due to inhomogeneities and differences in the mobility of the sample, that introduce a large uncertainty in the measure (see error bars in Fig. 2). More precise Hall values of the average charge density can be obtained at fields of the order of 5 T that are not available in our cryo-cooler experimental setup. In the range where the comparison of the three methods of \( n_{2D} \) determination is possible (\( V_G \leq 2 \text{ V} \)) the average \( n_{2D} \) value coincides with the one obtained from DSCC and thus we conservatively decided to use these DSCC values in the whole \( V_G \) range including the proper error (of the order of \( \pm 30\% \) at high doping) in the \( n_{2D} \) evaluation. Please note that, even if the redox potential of LiF–Li is 3.04 V, we have experimentally observed by long-term monitoring the device resistance and the gate current at room temperature that a \( V_G \) up to +4 V can be safely applied without any evidence of electrochemical reactions at the interface.

Figure 3 shows the sheet resistances as a function of temperature obtained at different induced charge densities (indicated in the legends) in 3LG, 4LG and 5LG devices. The curves show some common features. The sheet resistances \( R_{\text{sh}} \) of 3LG and 4LG devices (panels a, b and c) exhibit a metallic behavior even at the lowest doping \( (V_G = 0 \text{ and } n_{2D} \text{ slightly negative}) \), while the \( R_{\text{sh}} \) of 5LG in the same conditions (panel d) is increasing at the decrease of temperature showing a typical localization-regime behavior. This particular behavior of the 5LG device needs to be fully clarified, but it could be due to the presence of a large number of defects and impurities at the channel surface able to introduce a strong scattering responsible for the localization at low \( n_{2D} \). However, the increase of the charge density (both positive and negative) produces a strong decrease of the sheet resistances and, in the 5LG device, leads to a crossover from localization to a metallic regime at \( V_G > 1 \text{ V} \), i.e. \( n_{2D} > 5 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). A similar behavior has been recently observed in liquid-gated 3LG devices36.

Figure 1 | a) Micrograph of one of the FLG devices where the exposed pads for wire bonding and the photoresist (PR) protection layer are clearly seen. The inset shows a closer view into the region of the Hall-bar shaped FLG flake and the PR window; b) Schematic of the complete device with the EDL plus the 2D electron-liquid system can be modeled by a series of two specific capacitances: the geometric one \( C_g \), which describes the EDL, and the quantum one, \( C_q \), that essentially describes the screening properties of the 2D conductor. As a consequence \( 1/C_{\text{EDL}} = 1/C_g + 1/C_q \). The geometrical capacitance \( C_g \) depends on the properties of the PES and on \( V_G \) and can be determined from our gating experiments in Au thin films34 where, of course, \( C_q \rightarrow \infty \). At \( V_G = 4 \text{ V} \) it turns out to be in the range 50–140 \( \mu \text{F/cm}^2 \) depending on the “freshness” of the PES35. The quantum capacitance \( C_q \) of our devices can be estimated from tight-binding and ab-initio DFT calculations (jellium model) of the effective electron mass \( m^* \) of the FLG flakes at the different charge dopings by using the original definition of \( C_q \) derived by Luryi36:

\[
C_q = \frac{g_v m^* e^2}{\hbar^2}
\]

where \( g_v \) is the valley degeneracy factor. At \( V_G = 4 \text{ V} \), for example, we estimate \( C_q = 24 \pm 7 \) and \( 27 \pm 8 \) \( \mu \text{F/cm}^2 \) for 4LG and 5LG, respectively, in good agreement with the values measured in 3LG at high charge doping36. From the above values of \( C_g \) and \( C_q \) we can calculate \( C_{\text{EDL}} \) and, consequently, \( n_{2D} \) for 4LG and 5LG at \( V_G = 4 \text{ V} \). The obtained ranges of values (including only the variability of \( C_g \)) are shown in Fig. 2 as dashed bands (red for 4LG and blue for 5LG). By comparing the carrier density determined by Hall measurements with that obtained by DSCC it is possible to notice that the Hall values are somewhat larger especially at larger gate voltages. We think that the reason for this behavior is related to the low values of the magnetic field we used in the Hall measurements. There are strong non-linearities in the Hall voltage at low field mainly due to inhomogeneities and differences in the mobility of the sample, that introduce a large uncertainty in the measure (see error bars in Fig. 2). More precise Hall values of the average charge density can be obtained at fields of the order of 5 T that are not available in our cryo-cooler experimental setup. In the range where the comparison of the three methods of \( n_{2D} \) determination is possible (\( V_G \leq 2 \text{ V} \)) the average \( n_{2D} \) value coincides with the one obtained from DSCC and thus we conservatively decided to use these DSCC values in the whole \( V_G \) range including the proper error (of the order of \( \pm 30\% \) at high doping) in the \( n_{2D} \) evaluation. Please note that, even if the redox potential of LiF–Li is 3.04 V, we have experimentally observed by long-term monitoring the device resistance and the gate current at room temperature that a \( V_G \) up to +4 V can be safely applied without any evidence of electrochemical reactions at the interface.

Figure 3 shows the sheet resistances as a function of temperature obtained at different induced charge densities (indicated in the legends) in 3LG, 4LG and 5LG devices. The curves show some common features. The sheet resistances \( R_{\text{sh}} \) of 3LG and 4LG devices (panels a, b and c) exhibit a metallic behavior even at the lowest doping \( (V_G = 0 \text{ and } n_{2D} \text{ slightly negative}) \), while the \( R_{\text{sh}} \) of 5LG in the same conditions (panel d) is increasing at the decrease of temperature showing a typical localization-regime behavior. This particular behavior of the 5LG device needs to be fully clarified, but it could be due to the presence of a large number of defects and impurities at the channel surface able to introduce a strong scattering responsible for the localization at low \( n_{2D} \). However, the increase of the charge density (both positive and negative) produces a strong decrease of the sheet resistances and, in the 5LG device, leads to a crossover from localization to a metallic regime at \( V_G > 1 \text{ V} \), i.e. \( n_{2D} > 5 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). A similar behavior has been recently observed in liquid-gated 3LG devices36.
No sign of superconducting transition can be seen at the lowest temperature reached in the experiments, i.e. 3.5 K, but a logarithmic upturn of the sheet resistance is present at $T \approx 15$ K in all the FLG devices. This behavior will be analyzed and discussed in detail in a forthcoming paper.

Let us focus here on the range of higher temperatures, i.e. when $T > 20$ K. When the resistances are in the complete metallic regime (any $V_G$ for 3LG and 4LG, $V_G \geq 2$ V, i.e. $n_{2D} \approx 1.85 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ for 5LG) they show a linear behavior as a function of temperature in the range between about 100 K and 270 K. The slope of this high-temperature linear-T dependence practically does not change at the increase of the charge density $n_{2D}$ (both positive and negative) as it can be seen from the curves of Fig. 3 and has been already observed in SLG$^{28}$. Below ~100 K the curves change behavior assuming a steeper T dependence that is appreciable in the curves of Fig. 3a, b and c, but is also present (even if not visible due to the different scale) in the curves of Fig. 3d.

The standard way to get more information on the temperature dependence of the resistance curves is to plot them in a double logarithmic scale. Figure 4 shows an example of these log ($R - R_{min}$) vs. log $T$ curves in the case of the 4LG device and in the temperature range 20–280 K for both positive (panel a) and negative (panel b) charge induction. Here $R_{min}$ is the minimum of the sheet resistance (at $T \approx 0$ K) obtained by fitting the temperature dependency in the range 20–90 K (see below). In both cases a linear T dependence is observed at $T > 100$ K. Below ~80 K the temperature dependence becomes steeper, showing a dominant $T^2$ component (see Fig. 4b). In the intermediate temperature range (70 K $\leq T \leq 100$ K) we see a rather sharp (at least for positive gating, i.e. electron doping) crossover between the two regimes. These results are confirmed by the temperature dependence of the carrier mobility that is shown in Fig. 5 for the 4LG device both for positive (panel a) and negative (panel b) charge induction. Also in this graph the crossover around 100 K toward a steeper low-temperature dependence and the progressive reduction of the slope of the mobility below 30–40 K can

![Figure 2](https://example.com/f2.png)  
**Figure 2** | The induced charge density $n_{2D}$ as function of the gate voltage measured by different techniques in 3LG, 4LG and 5LG devices. The results of Hall effect (orange diamonds) and double-step chronocoulometry (DSCC) measurements (black, red and blue circles) are compared. The range of $n_{2D}$ values estimated at $V_G = 4$ V for 4LG and 5LG from an ab-initio evaluation of the quantum capacitance of the devices is also shown (red and blue hatched regions). The inset shows an example of the measured gate current (red line) at the step removal of gate voltage (black line) in a 4LG device. This is a part of the procedure for the determination of $n_{2D}$ by DSCC (see text for details).

![Figure 3](https://example.com/f3.png)  
**Figure 3** | The experimental sheet resistances as function of temperature measured at different gating-induced surface charge densities (reported in the legends) in a) a 3LG device for positive gating (electron doping); b) a 4LG device for positive gating; c) the same as in b) but for negative gating (hole doping); d) a 5LG device for positive and negative gating.
be seen in the curves at lower charge doping. These results have been reproducibly observed also in the 3LG and 5LG devices.

A “classic” small-angle electron-phonon scattering should dominate the sheet resistance below the Bloch-Grüneisen temperature $\Theta_{BG\text{c}}$ in a low-density electron system. This should lead to the well-known $T^\alpha$ dependence in the 3D case and to a $T^\beta$ dependence in the 2D one, as theoretically predicted and experimentally observed in SLG\textsuperscript{28}. However, in our case, it is clearly impossible to fit the experimental data with a $T^\beta$ dependence (shown, as reference, in both the panels of Fig. 4). As a consequence, this weaker fall-off of the sheet resistance with decreasing $T$ in the gated few-layer graphene samples compared to single-layer-graphene (Ref. 28) is certainly somewhat unexpected, even if previously observed and discussed in SLG\textsuperscript{40}. As we have already pointed out, the geometric capacitance of the EDL strongly depends on the composition of the PES and its panels of Fig. 4). As a consequence, this weaker fall-off of the sheet resistance with decreasing $T$ in the gated few-layer graphene samples compared to single-layer-graphene (Ref. 28) is certainly somewhat unexpected, even if previously observed and discussed in SLG\textsuperscript{40}. As we have already pointed out, the geometric capacitance of the EDL strongly depends on the composition of the PES and its panels of Fig. 4). As a consequence, this weaker fall-off of the sheet resistance with decreasing $T$ in the gated few-layer graphene samples compared to single-layer-graphene (Ref. 28) is certainly somewhat unexpected, even if previously observed and discussed in SLG\textsuperscript{40}. As we have already pointed out, the geometric capacitance of the EDL strongly depends on the composition of the PES and its panels of Fig. 4). As a consequence, this weaker fall-off of the sheet resistance with decreasing $T$ in the gated few-layer graphene samples compared to single-layer-graphene (Ref. 28) is certainly somewhat unexpected, even if previously observed and discussed in SLG\textsuperscript{40}. As we have already pointed out, the geometric capacitance of the EDL strongly depends on the composition of the PES and its panels of Fig. 4). As a consequence, this weaker fall-off of the sheet resistance with decreasing $T$ in the gated few-layer graphene samples compared to single-layer-graphene (Ref. 28) is certainly somewhat unexpected, even if previously observed and discussed in SLG\textsuperscript{40}. As we have already pointed out, the geometric capacitance of the EDL strongly depends on the composition of the PES and its panels of Fig. 4). As a consequence, this weaker fall-off of the sheet resistance with decreasing $T$ in the gated few-layer graphene samples compared to single-layer-graphene (Ref. 28) is certainly somewhat unexpected, even if previously observed and discussed in SLG\textsuperscript{40}. As we have already pointed out, the geometric capacitance of the EDL strongly depends on the composition of the PES and its.
4b, respectively. In the fits of all the curves of Fig. 4a and b (not shown here for clarity) the $m$ parameter is 1.85 for electron doping (Fig. 4a) and ranges between 2.1 and 2.5 for hole doping (Fig. 4b), while $\Theta_{BG}$ values range between 350 and 400 K for electron doping and between 350 and 430 K for hole doping. In Fig. 6b the parameters $\Theta_{BG}$ and $m$ obtained from the fit of the resistances of 4LG and 5LG devices using the model of Eq. 3 are plotted as function of the charge density $n_{2D}$. This figure clearly show that, apart from an increase of about 100 K of the average value passing from 4LG to 5LG, $\Theta_{BG}$ doesn’t show any specific trend as a function of $n_{2D}$.

Summarizing up to now, the comparison of our present results with those previously reported in literature leads to the following conclusions: i) in 3LG, 4LG and 5LG we were able to induce a charge density quite higher than that observed in SLG and 2LG and similar to the one recently obtained by liquid gating in 3LG; ii) as in the few previous low-temperature experiments in SLG and 3LG no superconducting transition has been observed down to 3.5 K; iii) in contrast with some of the results in SLG, but in agreement with others the dominant scattering mechanism in the range 20–90 K appears to be the direct electron-electron scattering (quadratic dependence of the temperature behavior of the sheet resistance); iv) in contrast with what theoretically predicted and measured in SLG the observed crossover from the low-temperature $T^2$ behavior to the standard linear-$T$ dependence at $T \geq 100$ K (and the related $\Theta_{BG}$ obtained by the fit of the sheet resistance using Eq. 3) is independent of the doping up to the maximum induced charge in 3LG, 4LG and 5LG.

At a first and superficial analysis in the framework of Eq. 2, since any $k_F$ in FLGs increases at the increase of doping (even if not proportionally to $\sqrt{n_{2D}}$ as in SLG), the absence of $\Theta_{BG}$ tuning with the Fermi energy could be related to a reduction of the sound velocity produced by the strong charge doping. As a matter of fact a similar effect of tuning of the phonon dispersion relations with a decrease of the sound velocity of some acoustic modes (and consequent softening of the corresponding part of the phonon spectrum) has been already predicted in SLG at a very high level of charge doping. Even though this effect could be present in FLGs, the situation here is quite more complex. First of all the FLG flakes with Bernal stacking are always a multiband electron system. For example, even at low electron doping (when $E_F$ is tens of meV above the neutrality point) the 4LG is a two-band material with a Fermi surface made of two sheets and with two Fermi wave vectors that strongly depend on the direction in the $k$ space. The situation becomes even more complex at the increase of the electron charge density. When $E_F \sim 230$ meV a third band crosses the Fermi level and a fourth does the same at $E_F \sim 600$ meV. These Fermi energy shifts are certainly compatible with

---

**Figure 5** | Carrier mobility as a function of temperature in a 4LG device under electron (a) and hole (b) doping regimes. The mobility is suppressed by an increase in both charge density and temperature. A crossover in the temperature dependence of the mobility is evident around 100 K in all the curves; (c) Longitudinal resistance as a function of temperature for 3LG and 4LG devices before PES drop-casting. Even if the PES is not over the channel we can see the same crossover from a linear to a quadratic temperature dependence around 100 K observed in the gated devices.

**Figure 6** | (a) Longitudinal sheet resistance as a function of temperature between 15 and 90 K for the 4LG device at two different gating levels corresponding to $V_G = 3$ V (green line) and $V_G = 4$ V (orange line). Black dashed lines are the best fits obtained by using the fitting function: $R_{\perp} = \min + aT + bT^2$ (see text). They show a remarkable agreement with the experimental data; (b) The Bloch-Grueneisen temperature $\Theta_{BG}$ and the $m$ exponent of the Bloch-Grueneisen model (Eq. 3) versus the charge density $n_{2D}$ for 4LG and 5LG devices. While the average values of $\Theta_{BG}$ in 4LG and 5LG are remarkably different, no significant doping dependence of $\Theta_{BG}$ can be appreciated in these curves.
the large electron densities obtained with our PES gating. In addition, in the presence of several bands, not only intraband scattering processes but also interband ones are possible, thus considerably complicating the picture. As a consequence, in contrast with the case of SLG, in FLGs Eq. 2 cannot be used anymore as a definition of $\Theta_{BG}$. In this case, the constancy of the crossover temperature might arise from the interplay between the increase of the number and of the overall size of the FLG Fermi surfaces (at the increase of electron doping) and the presence of interband scattering processes. A quite similar situation can occur in the presence of a large hole doping. Only first-principles DFT calculations of the electron-phonon interaction accompanied by a semi-analytical solution of the Boltzmann equation in FLGs (as the one recently appeared in literature for SLG\cite{AL1}) will clarify the causes of this crossover invariance.

In conclusion, by gating with a novel PES of enhanced charge-induction capability, we were able to dope 3-, 4- and 5-layer graphene devices at charge density levels that, we believe, are close to the intrinsic maxima fixed by the quantum capacitance of the devices, and to measure their sheet resistance down to 3.5 K. No traces of superconducting transition have been observed, but the temperature dependence of the resistance showed a crossover from a low-$T$ regime dominated by electron-electron scattering and a regime at higher $T$ ($\approx 100$ K) where only the standard high-temperature electron-phonon scattering exists. The crossover temperature can be associated to the Bloch-Grüneisen temperature of the material, but it does not show any dependence on the Fermi energy, pointing to important differences in the electric transport properties of FLGs and SLG at the large charge densities induced by PES gating. Further ab-initio theoretical calculations of the electron and phonon properties of these materials in presence of a huge induced charge density, as well as further experiments under PES gating able to provide direct information on these properties, could shed light on these differences and their origin.

Methods

Device fabrication. Few layers graphene (FLG) flakes are deposited on a 285 nm thick SiO$_2$ on Si substrates by adhesive tape exfoliation of natural graphite. Then, the samples are analyzed by optical microscopy\cite{AL3}, in order to estimate the number of graphene layers composing the deposited thin flake and by Raman spectroscopy for the determination of their stacking sequence\cite{AL4,AL5}. Only FLG flakes with Bernal stacking have been used in our transport measurements.

The geometry of the contacts is defined using photolithography followed by Cr/Au (5 nm/60 nm) thermal evaporation and lift-off. The Hall bar geometry is defined by creating a photore sist (PR) mask by photo-lithography and etching the uncovered portion of the film by O$_2$/Ar reactive ion etching. A further layer of PR is then spun on the sample. Windows are open by photolithography in this layer only on the FLG channel and on the contact pads for device wiring and mounting (see Fig. 1a). The PR mask is then hard-baked at 145 ºC for 5 minutes in order to improve its chemical stability.

PES composition and preparation. The reactive liquid formulation for the preparation of PES is made of a dimethacrylate oligomer, i.e. bisphenol A ethoxylateddimethylacrylate (average $M_a \approx 1700$ daltons, Sigma Aldrich) and a mono methacrylate based reactive diluent, i.e. poly(ethylene glycol) monomethyl ether monomethacrylate (average $M_a \approx 500$ daltons, Sigma Aldrich) in 7:3 ratio along with 10 wt % of lithium bis trifluoromethanesulfonylimide salt (LiTFSI) and 3 wt % of free radical photo initiator (Darocur 1173). The components are thoroughly mixed at ambient temperature to obtain a homogeneous transparent viscous solution which is drop casted over the device with the help of an optical viscous flow. The reactive liquid formulation for the polymer electrolyte takes around 180 seconds. The final solid polymer electrolyte is a soft material with a glass transition below 230 K and a thermal stability up to $10^{10}$–$10^{16}$ (2009).
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