Factors to be considered in designing frameworks for automated bioinformatics pipelines—a perspective based on application setting
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Most bioinformatics tools are developed in the command line interface. Although a command line interface is quite flexible for choosing the options of the software, it requires heavy typing work. Therefore, many software engineers prefer to develop automated versions of popular pipelines using a more convenient graphical user interface (GUI). However, a critical issue to be considered in the early development stage is the setting in which the automated tools will be applied, such as localhost, a local network, or the internet. In this article, we will discuss several factors to be considered regarding the application setting.

First, for use on the internet, integrating the automated pipeline with on-line platform is a good design strategy (1). On-line platform can largely reduce the installation time. When a developer releases the automated tool to the internet, people around the world can access it directly through browsers (2). This is a good opportunity to promote the developed software for commercial usage. The tools that can be used to develop an online platform are free, such as Django web-framework (3) for back-end design and html, CSS, and Javascript for front-end design, but several issues need to be considered, such as how to distribute computing resources, memory, and disk space across large numbers of users (4-6).

Second, if the tools are built to run on a local network, users can still easily access the tools through their browsers, but the software is accessible only to people in a more limited range (e.g., a building or an office). On the other hand, maintaining a tool in a local network is much simpler than releasing it to the internet. The developer only needs to consider the requirements of a limited number of users in a local area. Therefore, both computing resource distribution and security issues will be much simpler.

Third, if the tool can only work on a local machine, users will need to install the software on their own computer and resolve any error messages by themselves (7-9). In some cases, the computing resources of the personal computer may not be enough to handle large amounts of data, e.g., for genomic analyses. If the installation takes place on a multi-user workstation, the system may require each user to install the software in their home directory due to administrative issues. Therefore, the tool will be installed repeatedly by different users and waste disk space.

In summary, using online-platform design strategy to develop an internet-based system can save considerable disk space and installation time. A local network design is an appropriate framework for many automated pipelines, and makes it much easier to handle computing resource and security issues. Internet-based systems are also a good choice if there is not enough local computing power. These design framework problems need to be taken into consideration in the early development stage of any bioinformatics tool.
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