Coherent phonon manipulation in coupled mechanical resonators
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Coupled nanomechanical resonators have recently attracted great attention for both practical applications and fundamental studies owing to their sensitive sympathetic oscillation dynamics1–10. A challenge to the further development of this architecture is the coherent manipulation of the coupled oscillations. Here, we demonstrate strong dynamic coupling between two GaAs-based mechanical resonators by periodically modulating (pumping) the stress using a piezoelectric transducer. This strong coupling enables coherent transfer of phonon populations between the resonators, namely phonon Rabi oscillations11–13. The nature of the dynamic coupling can also be tuned from a linear first-order interaction to a nonlinear higher-order process in which more than one pump phonon mediates the coherent oscillations (that is, multi-pump phonon mixing). This coherent manipulation is not only useful for controlling classical oscillations14 but can also be extended to the quantum regime11–12, opening up the prospect of entangling two distinct macroscopic mechanical objects15,16.

The mechanical motion of two harmonic oscillators can interact if the oscillators are geometrically interconnected. Such coupled oscillations were first observed in paired pendulum clocks in the mid-seventeenth century and were extensively studied for their novel sympathetic oscillation dynamics17,18. In this era of nanotechnologies, coupled oscillations have again emerged as subjects of interest when realized in nanomechanical resonators owing to their potential applications in highly precise sensors19–24, high-quality-factor band-pass filters4, signal amplifiers5 and logic gates6. However, a key obstacle to the further development of this platform is the ability to coherently manipulate the coupling between different mechanical oscillations. This limitation arises as a consequence of the usually weak vibration coupling between the constituent nanomechanical elements. In this Letter, we demonstrate dynamic coupling between two geometrically interconnected GaAs doubly-clamped beams by using piezoelectrically induced parametric mode mixing (pumping)19,20. This technique enables coherent manipulation of phonon populations as well as strong vibration coupling in frequency-different mechanical resonators in which the energy exchange between the two resonators is intrinsically inefficient owing to the frequency mismatch.

The dynamic coupling is developed in paired GaAs-based mechanical beams, as shown in Fig. 1a, in which the piezoelectric effect is exploited to mediate all-electrical displacement transduction19,20. Application of the gate voltage causes piezoelectric stress along the beam, resulting in modulation of its tension and the creation of a bending moment. This effect enables both harmonic driving and parametric pumping, where the mechanical motion can be detected by the voltage induced by the piezoelectric effect. All the measurements were done by setting the sample in a vacuum (5 × 10−5 Pa) and cooling it to 1.5 K with a 4He cryostat.

The frequency response of beam 1 measured by harmonically driving it while the parametric pump is deactivated exhibits two coupled vibration modes (Fig. 1b), where mode 1 (ω1 = 2π × 293.93 kHz) is dominated by the vibration of beam 1 while mode 2 (ω2 = 2π × 294.37 kHz) is dominated by the vibration of beam 2. The amplitude of mode 2 is much smaller than that of mode 1, reflecting the energy exchange due to the structural coupling via the overhang is inefficient because of the eigenfrequency difference between the two beams. The quality factor of these modes is Q ≈ 14,000 with a frequency-Q product of 4 × 109 (ref. 21). The major contribution to the energy dissipation (1/Q) arises from the clamping losses22, while the thermoelastic dissipation is negligible at cryogenic temperatures23.

The frequency difference between the two modes can be compensated by activating the parametric pump, which results in mixing between the two vibration modes. This is induced by piezoelectrically modulating the spring constant of beam 1 with the pump frequency ωp at around the frequency difference between the two modes, Δω ≡ ω2 − ω1 (Fig. 1c). The resulting dynamics can then be expressed by the following equations of motion:

\[ \ddot{x}_1 + \gamma_1 \dot{x}_1 + [\omega_1^2 + \Gamma_1 \cos(\omega_0 t)]x_1 + \Delta \cos(\omega_0 t)x_2 = F_1 \cos(\omega_0 t + \phi) \]

(1a)

\[ \ddot{x}_2 + \gamma_2 \dot{x}_2 + [\omega_2^2 + \Gamma_2 \cos(\omega_0 t)]x_2 + \Delta \cos(\omega_0 t)x_1 = F_2 \cos(\omega_0 t + \phi), \]

(1b)

where \( x_i \) (i = 1, 2) is the displacement of the i-th mode, \( \omega_0 \) is the mode frequency, \( \gamma_i = \omega_i / Q_i \) is the energy dissipation rate, \( F_i \) is the drive force, \( F_2 > F_1 \), \( \omega_0 \) is the drive frequency and \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Delta \) are the intra- and inter-modal coupling coefficients respectively. When the frequency mismatch between mode 1 and mode 2 is compensated by activating the pump at \( \omega_p \zeta \Delta \omega \), the terms containing \( \Delta \) transfer phonons (oscillations) from one mode to the other (Fig. 1d). This inter-modal coupling can also be explained by the mixing of mode 1 (2) and the Stokes sideband, \( \omega_2 - \omega_p \) (the anti-Stokes sideband, \( \omega_1 + \omega_p \)) of mode 2 (1) leading to normal-mode splitting in the strong-coupling regime19,24–26 (Fig. 1d). The equations also include terms proportional to \( \Gamma_i \). These terms lead to intra-modal coupling, which becomes significant for the higher-order couplings shown later. The above model can
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reproduce all the experimental results and is described in detail in Supplementary Information.

The normal-mode splitting for modes 1 and 2 was experimentally confirmed by applying a pump with voltage $V_p$ at $\omega_p$ in addition to the weak harmonic driving at $\omega_1$ to beam 1 (Fig. 1a). Probing the modes via beam 1 while the parametric pump is activated shows that mode 1 splits into two when $\omega_1 \simeq \Delta \omega = 2\pi \times 0.44$ kHz, clearly demonstrating strong coupling (Fig. 2a–c). The transfer of phonons from mode 1 to mode 2 and vice versa, through the intermediary energy level $(\omega_1 + \omega_2)/2$ via both the intra-modal coupling ($\Gamma \times V_p$) and the inter-modal coupling $(\Delta \times V_p)$, therefore, $[\Gamma, \times \Delta] \propto V_p^2$, as shown in Fig. 3i. The corresponding mode splitting shows good agreement with the theoretical simulations (Fig. 2l). Consequently, the additional mode splittings observed in Fig. 2c correspond to even higher order coupling processes, requiring more than two pump phonons.

The strong dynamic coupling between the two mechanical resonators opens up a path to coherent control of the coupled mechanical oscillations. The time-domain measurements using the pulse sequence shown in Fig. 3a enable us to observe coherent and periodic energy exchange between the two beams/modes. The pump frequency dependence of the time-domain response of beam 2 at $\omega_2$ clearly shows the periodic amplitude oscillations at $\omega_p \simeq \Delta \omega$ for the first-order ($n = 1$) coupling (Fig. 3b), which corresponds to a one-step phonon process (Fig. 3h). The $V_p$ dependence at $\omega_p = \Delta \omega$ shows that the vibration energy of mode 1 (beam 1) can be transferred to mode 2 (beam 2) and back eight times before energy relaxation at $V_p = 1.0 V_{p-p}$ (Fig. 3d). Coherent energy exchange for the second-order ($n = 2$) coupling can also be observed at $\omega_p \simeq \Delta \omega/2$ (Fig. 3b), where up to five oscillation periods are observed in the range of $V_p \leq 1.0 V_{p-p}$ (Fig. 3e). The coupling rate, $g$, extracted from the Fourier transforms of the time-domain response, is proportional to $V_p$ for the first-order coupling and $V_p^2$ for the second-order coupling (Fig. 4). These coupling rates correspond perfectly to the mode splitting observed in the frequency response measurements.

The time-domain measurements also enable us to observe higher-order coupling, that is, $n \geq 3$. Figure 3fg show
Figure 2 | Dynamic mode coupling induced by parametric pumping. a–c. The drive frequency \( \omega_d \) and the pump frequency \( \omega_p \) response of beam 1 detected at frequency \( \omega_d \) for three different pump voltages, \( V_p = 0, 0.5 \) and \( 1.0 \) V. In these measurements, beam 1 was driven by a continuous a.c. voltage \( V_p = 1.5 \) mV applied to gate D while the frequency, \( \omega_d \), was swept around the two modes. A continuous a.c. pump voltage \( V_p \) was simultaneously applied to gate P while its frequency, \( \omega_p \), was also swept. The response of beam 1 at frequency \( \omega_d \) was monitored via gate M1 with a pre-amplifier and a lock-in detector (see Supplementary Information). d–f. The \( \omega_d \) and \( \omega_p \) response of beam 2 measured via gate M2 at frequency \( \omega_d + \omega_p \) for \( V_p = 0, 0.5 \) and \( 1.0 \) V, respectively. The broken curves in j represent the theoretical values of the mode splitting, which are proportional to \( V_{g1} \) and \( V_{g2} \). In these measurements, beam 1 was driven by a continuous a.c. voltage \( V_p = 1.5 \) mV applied to gate D while the frequency, \( \omega_d \), was swept around the two modes. A continuous a.c. pump voltage \( V_p \) was simultaneously applied to gate P while its frequency, \( \omega_p \), was also swept. The response of beam 1 at frequency \( \omega_d \) was monitored via gate M1 with a pre-amplifier and a lock-in detector (see Supplementary Information). g–l. Simulation results for c and f, which were performed for the theoretical model expressed by equations (1a) and (1b). i, j. The \( V_p \) dependence of the splitting of mode 1 induced by the first- and second-order coupling at \( \Delta \omega/2 \), respectively. The broken curves in j represent the theoretical values of the mode splitting, which are proportional to \( V_{g1} \) and \( V_{g2} \). The mode splitting corresponds to the coupling rate, g, k, l. Simulation results for the splitting of mode 1 for \( \omega_p = \Delta \omega/2 \). Colour scale applies to all figure parts.

The present results show that electromagnetic pump techniques, which are commonly used to coherently manipulate quantum two-level systems\(^1,\)\(^27\), can also be applied to coherently control mechanical systems. By tuning the parametric-pump frequency, multi-wave phonon mixing involving an arbitrary number of pump phonons can be achieved in an analogous fashion to multi-wave photon mixing\(^28\). The parametric pumping allows highly controllable time-domain manipulation of phonon populations in the two modes simply by the adjustment of the pump-pulse duration, thus permitting \( \pi \) and \( \pi/2 \)-pulse operations on the Bloch sphere (see also independent experiments at LMU with a single mechanical resonator\(^29\)). This coherent control further expands the applications of mechanical resonators, including the high-speed operation of high-Q mechanical resonators\(^14\) and mechanical logic operations\(^10\). Although the system demonstrated here is in the classical regime with large mode occupation, where the decoherence is governed by the energy relaxation\(^29\), these techniques could also be extended to the quantum regime with vibration modes at sufficiently high frequency\(^31\). This in turn leads to the exciting possibility of quantum-coherent coupling and entanglement between two distinct macroscopic mechanical objects\(^13,\)\(^16,\)\(^27\).
Figure 3 | Coherent energy exchange between the two modes. a. Pulse sequence used for the measurements. The sinusoidal drive pulse voltage ($V_d = 1.5 \text{ mV}_{p-p}$) with $\omega_d = \omega_i$ was applied to gate D with a period of 0.1 s. Then, the sinusoidal pump pulse with the frequency, $\omega_p$, was applied to gate P with the same period. The time response of beam 2 at $\omega_2$ was measured through gate M2 with an oscilloscope via a pre-amplifier and a lock-in detector (see Supplementary Information), where the data was averaged 20 times with the oscilloscope. b, c. The $\omega_p$ dependence of the time-domain response of beam 2 at $\omega_2$ when the system is subjected to the above pulse sequence, from the experiment (b) and the corresponding simulation (c) for $V_p = 0.5 \text{ V}_{p-p}$. Interference lines with the period of $2\pi(\omega_2 - \omega_i) \approx 2.3 \text{ ms}$ are found in the calculation (c), which were experimentally eliminated by lock-in filtering (b). d-g. The $V_p$ dependence of the time-domain response of beam 2 at $\omega_2$ for $\omega_p = \Delta \omega/n$, where $n = 1, 2, 3$ and 4, respectively. h-k. Schematics of one, two, three, and four-pump phonon absorption processes, where $\Lambda$ is the inter-modal coupling coefficient while $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ are the intra-modal coupling coefficient for mode 1 and mode 2, respectively.

Methods
The sample was fabricated by photolithography from a heterostructure consisting of 300-nm-thick Al$_{0.33}$Ga$_{0.67}$As, 100-nm-thick Si-doped n-GaAs, 400-nm-thick undoped i-GaAs and 2-µm-thick Al$_{0.33}$Ga$_{0.67}$As sacrificial layers grown on a GaAs(001) substrate by molecular beam epitaxy. AuGeNi was deposited on the supporting part to obtain an ohmic contact to the conductive n-GaAs layer, while 60-nm-thick Au gates were formed on the top of the beams. The suspended structure was completed by a deep mesa and isotropic sacrificial layer etching, where the 40-µm-separated beams were electrically isolated by the shallow mesa etch. Details of the measurements are described in Supplementary Information.

The simulations were carried out by solving equations (1a) and (1b) with Mathematica 8.0 (Wolfram Research). The derivation of equations (1a) and (1b) and the simulation details are described in Supplementary Information. In the simulation, the only adjustable parameter was the piezoelectric detuning coefficient ($\delta \Omega_2/\Omega_2 = 2\pi \times 0.69 \text{ kHz V}^{-1}$), which determines the relation between $V_p$ and $\Lambda$ ($\Gamma_i$), and all the remaining parameters were experimentally determined.
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