The perturbative QCD factorization approach in high energy nuclear collisions
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Abstract.
I discuss the systematic modifications to the perturbative QCD factorization approach in high energy $\ell + A, p + A$ and $A + A$ reactions. These include transverse momentum diffusion manifest in the Cronin effect and a small increase in the dijet acoplanarity; nuclear size enhanced power corrections that lead to shadowing in deeply inelastic scattering and suppression of single and double inclusive hadron production at forward rapidity at RHIC but disappear as a function of the transverse momentum; inelastic attenuation of the jet cross sections or jet quenching that persists to much higher $p_T$.
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1. Introduction

The interpretation [1, 2] of the copious experimental results from the past, present and future heavy ion programs necessitates a reliable theoretical framework for the calculation of a variety of moderate and large transverse momentum processes, $Q^2 \sim p_T^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$, that can be systematically extended to incorporate corrections arising from the many body nuclear dynamics. Such theoretical framework is the perturbative QCD factorization approach [3], a direct generalization of the naive parton model [4]. According to the factorization theorem, the observable hadronic cross sections can be expressed as

$$
\sigma_{\text{hadronic}} = \sigma_{\text{partonic}}(x_i, z_j; \mu_r, \mu_f, \mu_d) \otimes \left\{ \prod_i \phi_{i/h_i}(x_i, \mu_f) \right\} \otimes \left\{ \prod_j D_{h_j/j}(z_j, \mu_d) \right\},
$$

(1)

where $\otimes$ denotes the standard convolution over the internal kinematic variables of the reaction. In Eq. (1) $\mu_r$, $\mu_f$ and $\mu_d$ are the renormalization, factorization and fragmentation scales, respectively. $\phi_{i/h_i}(x_i, \mu_f)$ is the distribution function (PDF) of parton “$i$” in the hadron $h_i$ and $D_{h_j/j}(z_j, \mu_d)$ is the fragmentation or decay
function (FF) of parton “$j$” into hadron $h_j$. Factorization not only separates the short- and long-distance QCD dynamics but implies universality of the PDFs and FFs and infrared safety of the hard scattering partonic cross sections. If the $k_T$ and/or the $j_T$, dependence of the PDFs, FFs and $\sigma_{\text{partonic}}$ is kept explicit, one arrives at a $k_T$ and/or $j_T$ factorized form \[9\]. At present, limited statistics hampers any reliable extraction of such transverse degrees of freedom. Integrating $k_T$ and $j_T$ out leads to the most commonly employed double collinear limit of QCD.

Factorization has been discussed in detail for a limited number of processes. Explicit examples of some fundamental cross sections are given below to lowest order and leading twist. All scales are suppressed and the definitions of the kinematic variables can be found in \[9\]. These examples include:

(i) Electron-positron annihilation into hadrons \[7\]:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy} = N_c \frac{\alpha^2}{q^2} \sum_j Q_i^2 \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta_{cm} \right) D_{h_i/j}(z_j).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Here $x = 2p \cdot q / q^2$, $y = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \theta_{cm})$ and $Q_i$ is the fractional electric charge of (anti)quarks in units of $e$. For a discussion on the structure of the near-side correlation function from fragmentation in $e^+e^-$ annihilation see \[8\].

(ii) Deeply inelastic lepton-hadron scattering (DIS) with the longitudinal and transverse structure functions:

$$F_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_i Q_i^2 \phi_f(x), \quad F_L = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In Eq. \[9\] $x = x_B = -q^2 / 2p \cdot q = Q^2 / 2m_N\nu$ with $\nu = E - E'$. Recently the nuclear enhanced high twist corrections to the DIS cross sections have been evaluated within the factorization approach \[9\] \[10\]. Similar results from final state multiple scattering have been found in \[11\].

(iii) The Drell-Yan process \[12\]:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{Drell-Yan}}}{dq^2} = \sum_i \phi_{i/N}(x_a) \phi_{i/N'}(x_b) \frac{4\pi \alpha^2_{cm} Q_i^2}{3N_c q^2} \delta(q^2 - (x_ap + x_bp')^2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

In Eqs. \[12\] and \[11\] $N_c = 3$ is the number of colors. The momentum fractions $x_a = p_a / P_a^+$ and $x_b = p_b / P_b^+$.

(iv) Inclusive hadron production in $N + N$ collisions to leading power and leading power corrections \[13\]. The single and double inclusive distributions accessible to $O(\alpha_s^2)$ at leading twist read \[14\]:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{i/N}^{h_1}}{dy_1 d^2 p_{T_1}} = \sum_{abcd} \int \frac{dz_1}{z_1} D_{h_1/c}(z_1) \int dx_a \phi_{a/N}(x_a) \frac{1}{x_a S + U / z_1} \times \frac{\alpha_s^2}{S} \phi_{b/N}(x_b) \left| M_{ab \rightarrow cd} \right|^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\frac{d\sigma_{i/N}^{h_1h_2}}{dy_1 dy_2 d^2 p_{T_1} d^2 p_{T_2}} = \delta(\Delta \varphi - \pi) \sum_{abcd} \int \frac{dz_1}{z_1} D_{h_1/c}(z_1) D_{h_2/d}(z_2) \phi_{a/N}(x_a) \frac{\alpha_s^2}{S} \phi_{b/N}(x_b) \left| M_{ab \rightarrow cd} \right|^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

In Eqs. \[15\] and \[16\] $S$, $T$ and $U$ are the hadronic Mandelstam variables \[14\]. The dependence on the small momentum fraction $x_b$, relevant to forward rapidity studies at RHIC, is explicitly isolated.
(v) Heavy quark and heavy quark bound state production [15].

1.1. The fragmentation seesaw analogy

One of the exciting theoretical questions, prompted by the recent experimental results [16] [17], is about the nature of the hadron production mechanism at small and moderate $p_T$ in $A + A$ reactions [18] [19] [20] [21]. The measurement of correlations [22] in $p + p$ and especially $d + Au$ collisions already provide sufficient evidence that back-to-back hard partonic scattering and fragmentation, Eqs. (5) and (6), give a dominant contribution to the particle cross sections. Corrections in the basic perturbative formulas arise both from higher orders in $\alpha_s$ and from higher twist. These may be enhanced by the nuclear size and the density of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) in the deconfined phase. Such corrections can be systematically organized in the framework of the factorization approach as follows [23]:

$$
\sigma_{\text{hadron}} = \sigma_{0}^{(2)} \left( 1 + C_1^{(2)} \alpha_s + C_2^{(2)} \alpha_s^2 + C_3^{(2)} \alpha_s^3 + \cdots \right) T^{(2)}
$$

$$
+ \frac{\sigma_{0}^{(4)}}{Q^2} \left( 1 + C_1^{(2)} \alpha_s + C_2^{(2)} \alpha_s^2 + C_3^{(2)} \alpha_s^3 + \cdots \right) T^{(4)}
$$

---

**Figure 1.** Left panel: the differential double inclusive $\pi^0$ distributions versus the fragmentation momentum fractions $z_1, z_2$ for a trigger pion of $p_T = 5$ GeV and associated pion of $p_T = 2$ GeV. Right panel: the same cross sections for a trigger pion of $p_T = 5$ GeV and associated pion of $p_T = 8$ GeV. Bottom panel: the anti-correlation between $z_{\text{trig}}$ and $p_{\text{T assoc}}$ for a fixed $p_{\text{T trig}} = 5$ GeV. The dashed line simulates a trigger bias $\Delta z_{\text{trig}} = 0.15$. 

---
\[ + \frac{\sigma_0(6)}{Q^2} \left( 1 + C_1^{(2)} \alpha_s + C_2^{(2)} \alpha_s^2 + C_3^{(2)} \alpha_s^3 + \cdots \right) T^{(6)} + \cdots \]  

In Eq. (7) \( T^{(i)} \) are the twist \( "i" \) non-perturbative matrix elements. Odd-twist matrix elements play an important role in spin physics [25] but are here neglected for simplicity.

As a test of the dominance of the lowest order, leading twist term one can experimentally look for a seesaw fragmentation analogy. The seesaw mechanism [26] extends the Standard Model [27] by including a massive right-handed Majorana neutrino. The physical neutrino masses are given by \( M_\nu = M_T M_{\nu R}^{-1} M_{\nu L} \). When \( M_R \) is very large and hence \( \nu_R \) is unobservable, \( M_\nu \) can become very small.

Similar anti-correlation is present in Eq. (6). Naively, one might expect that a high-\( p_T \) hadron trigger in the near side will fix the fragmentation momentum fraction \( z_{\text{trig}} \). In contrast, \( \delta (p_T^1/z_1 - p_T^2/z_2) \) implies that \( z_{\text{trig}} \) is inversely proportional to \( p_{T_{\text{assoc}}} \). Figure 1 shows the differential distributions \( d\sigma_{h_1 h_2}/dy_1 dy_2 dp_T^1 dp_T^2 dz_i \) for different choices of \( p_{T_{\text{trig}}} \) and \( p_{T_{\text{assoc}}} \). Experimental verification of the anti-correlation presented in the bottom panel of Fig. 1 can provide critical additional evidence in support of the dominance of the perturbative QCD hadron production mechanism and the cross section hierarchy summarized by Eq. (7).

2. Transverse momentum diffusion

Hard processes in the nuclear environment involve multiple parton interactions before or after the large \( Q^2 \) collision that are sensitive to the properties of the nuclear matter. In this section we consider the multiple elastic and incoherent scattering of energetic quarks and gluons that penetrate hot and cold QCD matter. Traverse momentum diffusion reflects the \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \)-kick per unit length in the medium, given by the transport coefficient \( \mu^2/\lambda_{q,g} \), and is the strong interaction dynamics equivalent of the Moliere multiple scattering in QED.

Approximate solutions for the parton broadening can be obtained to leading power and leading power corrections in the large \( + \) lightcone momentum \( p^+ \) [28]. For the instructive case of a normalized forward monochromatic beam \((p_0 = p_\parallel \equiv P)\) the initial quark or gluon distribution reads:

\[ \frac{d^3 N_i}{dp^+ d^2 p_\perp} \bigg|_{p^+=p^+_{\text{on-shell}}} = \delta(p^+ - \sqrt{2}P) \delta^2(p_\perp) . \]  

In Eq. (8) the constraint on the parton’s \( - \) lightcone component from the \( p_\perp^2 = 0 \) on-shell condition is also shown. In the small angle approximation, rescattering leads to an approximately Gaussian form [28]:

\[ \frac{d^3 N_f(p^+,p_\perp)}{dp^+ d^2 p_\perp} \bigg|_{p^+=p^+_{\text{on-shell}}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \chi^2} \delta \left[ p^+ - \left( \sqrt{2}P - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \chi \mu^2 \xi \right) \right] , \]  

where \( \chi = L/\lambda \) is the opacity or mean number of scatterings and \( \xi = \mathcal{O}(1) \). It should be realized that this form is not expected to describe well the large angle scattering. The tails of the large \( p_\perp \) distributions are expected to be power-law like and die out at a much slower rate than the Gaussian in Eq. (9). This may lead to uncertainties in the separation of the jet and the underlying event [22].
2.1. Applications of transverse momentum diffusion

From Eq. (9) it is easy to demonstrate that the accumulated acoplanarity momentum squared by an energetic parton probes a line integral through the color charge density:

$$\langle \Delta k_T^2 \rangle \approx 2 \xi \int dz \frac{\mu^2}{\lambda_{q,g}} = 2 \xi \int dz \frac{3C_R \pi \alpha_s^2}{2} \rho^q(z)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 2 \xi \frac{3C_R \pi \alpha_s^2}{2} \rho^q(L), & \text{static} \\ 2 \xi \frac{3C_R \pi \alpha_s^2}{2} \frac{dN_g}{dy} \ln \frac{\langle L \rangle}{\tau_0}, & 1+1D \end{cases}$$

In Eq. (10) the factor 2 comes from 2D diffusion, and $\rho^q$ is the effective gluon density. For the 1+1D Bjorken expansion scenario $A_\perp$ is the transverse area of the interaction region, $\tau_0$ is the initial equilibration time and $dN_g/dy$ is the effective gluon rapidity density.

Dynamical nuclear-induced modification in multi-particle production can be studied through the ratio \( R_{AB}^{(n)} \):

$$R_{AB}^{(n)} = \frac{d\sigma_{h_1\cdots h_n}^{h_1\cdots h_n}}{d\sigma_{NN}^{h_1\cdots h_n} / dy_1 \cdots dy_n d^2p_{T_1} \cdots d^2p_{T_n}}.$$

Centrality dependence is implicit in Eq. (11). The first and possibly most sensitive indicator of multi-parton dynamics in cold nuclear matter is the Cronin effect \([29]\) in the single inclusive hadron production in \( p + A \) reactions, \( R_{pA}^{(1)}(p_T) \).

Elastic transverse momentum diffusion at midrapidity is manifest in the Cronin enhancement at \( p_T \geq 1 - 2 \text{ GeV} \) and suppression for \( p_T \leq 1 - 2 \text{ GeV} \). For a survey of theoretical models see \([30]\). Calculations of the nuclear modification in low energy \( p + A \) reactions employ $\mu^2/\lambda = 0.1 - 0.14 \text{ GeV}^2$ and are shown in the left hand side of Fig. 2. The predicted \([31]\) centrality dependence of the Cronin effect in \( d + Au \)
Figure 3. Left panel from [23]: the acoplanarity momentum projection per parton \(|k_T y|\) and the corresponding width \(\sigma_{\text{Far}}\) of the away-side correlation function for \(p+p\) and \(d+Au\). The approximations of \(z_{\text{trig}} \rightarrow 1\) and constant \(|k_T y|_{pp} = 0.75\) had been used. Right panel from [22]: preliminary PHENIX data on the vacuum and medium induced acoplanarity momentum projection per parton in cold nuclear matter for realistic finite \(z_{\text{trig}}\). Note the absence of significant difference between the \(p+p\) and \(d+Au\) measurements.

Reactions at \(y = 0\) and RHIC \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200\) GeV is given in the right hand side of Fig. 2 and confirmed by experimental data [22, 23, 32]. It is important to emphasize that even a small broadening may lead to a noticeable Cronin effect since it is amplified by the steepness of the partonic/hadronic spectra.

It is interesting to look for other manifestations of transverse momentum diffusion such as increased dijet acoplanarity, which will be reflected in the increased width of the away-side correlation function

\[
C_2(\Delta \phi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{dN_{h_1 h_2}}{d \Delta \phi} \approx \frac{A_{\text{Near}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_{\text{Near}}} e^{-\frac{(\Delta \phi - \pi)^2}{2\sigma_{\text{Near}}^2}} + \frac{A_{\text{Far}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_{\text{Far}}} e^{-\frac{(\Delta \phi - \pi)^2}{2\sigma_{\text{Far}}^2}}. \tag{12}
\]

In Eq. (12) the near-side width \(\sigma_{\text{Near}}\) of \(C_2(\Delta \phi)\) is determined by jet fragmentation and \(\sigma_{\text{Far}}\) reflects \(\langle k_T^2 \rangle_{\text{tot}} = \langle k_T^2 \rangle_{pp} + \langle k_T^2 \rangle_{\text{nucl}}\).

The left panel of Fig. 3 shows the upper limit of the medium induced acoplanarity relative to the vacuum \(p+p\) result. The predicted increase in the width of the away-side correlation function is small even in the \(z_{\text{trig}} \rightarrow 1\) limit. For realistic fragmentation momentum fractions, see Fig. 11, the experimentally observed enhancement of \(\langle \Delta k_T^2 \rangle_{\text{tot}}\) should be significantly smaller. In addition, for dihadron angular correlations there are no amplification effects from the steepness of the underlying parton spectra. The right panel of Fig. 3 indeed shows the lack of significant difference between \(p+p\) and \(d+Au\) measurements and certainly excludes monojet-based models.
Figure 4. Left panel from [9]: all-twist resummed $F_2(A)/F_2(D)$ calculation from versus CERN-NA37 and FNAL-E665 data on DIS on nuclei. The band corresponds to the choice $\xi^2 = 0.09 - 0.12$ GeV$^2$. Data-Theory, where $\Delta_{D-T}$ is computed for the set presented by circles, also shows comparison to the EKS98 scale-dependent shadowing parametrization. Right panel from [9]: CERN-NA37 data on $F_2(Sn)/F_2(C)$ show evidence for a power-law in $1/Q^2$ behavior consistent with the all-twist resummed calculation. The bottom right insert illustrates the role of higher twist contributions to $F_L$ on the example of $R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T$.

3. Nuclear enhanced power corrections

A class of corrections that can be naturally incorporated in the perturbative QCD factorization approach is associated with the power suppressed $\sim 1/Q^n$, $n \geq 1$ contributions. The higher twist terms in Eq. (7) are typically neglected in reactions with “elementary” nucleons for $Q^2 \geq 1$ GeV$^2$. However, in the presence of nuclear matter such corrections can be enhanced by the large nuclear size $\sim A^{1/3}$. These lead to dynamical nuclear shadowing. We discuss this mechanism in detail below.

Hard scattering in nuclear collisions requires one large momentum transfer $Q \sim xP \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$ with parton momentum fraction $x$ and beam momentum $P$. A simple example is the lepton-nucleus deeply inelastic scattering (DIS). The transverse area probed by the virtual meson $\sim 1/Q^2$ is very small for moderate and large $Q^2$ processes. Hence, the struck quark will propagate in the nucleus and interact with nucleons along
the same impact parameter. If \( Q^2 \ll m_N^2 \), the transverse size of the wave packet will be comparable or larger than the size of the nucleon. In this case the rapid fall-off of the parton wave function effectively limits \( Q^2 \geq Q_0^2 \). The effective longitudinal interaction length probed by the virtual meson of momentum \( q \) is characterized by \( 1/xP \). If the momentum fraction of an active initial-state parton \( x \ll x_c = 1/2m_Nr_0 \sim 0.1 \) with nucleon mass \( m_N \) and radius \( r_0 \), it could cover several Lorentz contracted nucleons of longitudinal size \( \sim 2r_0(m_N/P) \) in a large nucleus.

Each of the multiple soft interactions is characterized by a scale of power correction per nucleon

\[
\xi^2 \approx \frac{3\pi\alpha_s(Q)}{8r_0^6} \langle p|\hat{F}^2|p \rangle, \tag{13}
\]

with the matrix element \( \langle p|\hat{F}^2|p \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \to 0} xG(x,Q^2) \). A large nucleus will provide \( \sim A^{1/3} \) enhancement of the high twist shadowing contribution. We note the Eq. (13) has the geometric average for minimum bias reactions incorporated in the definition of \( \xi^2 \).

### 3.1. Applications of dynamical power corrections

In Ref. [9] we resummed the nuclear enhanced high twist corrections and identified the modification to the leading twist and lowest non-vanishing order in \( \alpha_s \) contribution to the longitudinal and transverse structure functions:

\[
F_T^A(x,Q^2) \approx A F_T^{(LT)}(x + \frac{x\xi^2(A^{1/3} - 1)}{Q^2}, Q^2), \tag{14}
\]

\[
F_L^A(x,Q^2) \approx A F_L^{(LT)}(x,Q^2) + \frac{4\xi^2}{Q^2} F_T^A(x,Q^2). \tag{15}
\]

These results, when compared to Eq. (3), indicate that the essential difference from the final state coherent multiple parton scattering is the generation of dynamical mass and the consequent rescaling in the value of Bjorken-\( x \). In addition, there is a novel contribution to \( F_L^A \).

Calculations of nuclear shadowing versus \( x_B \) with \( \xi^2 = 0.09 - 0.12 \, \text{GeV}^2 \) are given in left panel of Fig. 4. The right panel shows the \( Q^2 \) dependence of power corrections and the enhancement in the longitudinal structure function, see Eq. (14), reflected in \( R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T \).

This resumination, derived within the framework of the pQCD factorization approach [9] has definite advantages:

- It relies on standard PDFs [33] and its particularly easy to implement numerically.
- The final state soft scattering of the struck parton in the medium generates dynamical parton mass \( m_{\text{dyn}}^2 = \xi^2(A^{1/3} - 1) \) via the coupling to the background chromo-magnetic field given by the two gluon correlation function, Eq. (13). The necessary additional energy leads to the rescaling of the value of Bjorken-\( x \). This physical interpretation becomes transparent when we examine the behavior of \( \nu + A \) DIS with charged current exchange and charm quark in the final state [10] with large physical mass \( M_c \). Such processes are allowed via the CKM matrix mixing and we find:

\[
x_B \to x_B + x_B \frac{M_c^2}{Q^2} + x_B \frac{\xi^2(A^{1/3} - 1)}{Q^2} = x_B \left(1 + \frac{M_c^2 + m_{\text{dyn}}^2}{Q^2}\right). \tag{16}
\]
Similar rescaling of Bjorken-$x$ has been derived in [34] for the EMC effect.

- This resummation provides a natural framework to understand the differences in the nuclear shadowing of sea quarks, valence quarks and gluons. In the leading-order and leading twist parton model in $\nu + A$ DIS \( F_2^A(x_B, Q^2) \) measures the valence quark number density with \( \phi_{val}(x) \propto x^{-\alpha_{val}} \) at small $x$. \( F_2^A(x_B, Q^2) \), a singlet distribution, is proportional to the momentum density of all interacting quark constituents and for $x_B \ll 0.1$ is dominated by the sea contribution, \( \phi_{sea}(x) \propto x^{-\alpha_{sea}} \). Therefore, the $x_B$-dependent shift from dynamical nuclear enhanced power corrections, Eq. (16), generates different modification to \( F_2^A(x_B, Q^2) \) and \( F_3^A(x_B, Q^2) \). Predictions for the $x_B$- and $Q^2$-dependence of shadowing in $\nu + A$ reactions is given in the left panel of Fig. 5. The right panel shows the high-twist contribution to the Gross-Llewellyn Smith QCD sum rule [35]:

\[
S_{GLS} = 3(1 - \Delta_{GLS}) = \int_0^1 dx_B \frac{1}{2x_B} \left( x_B F_3^{(\nu A)} + x_B F_3^{(\bar{\nu} A)} \right) .
\] (17)

- Gluon shadowing is also easily understood within the same resummation approach as the final state scattering of the struck gluon [14] in $p + A$ reactions. In the color singlet approximation gluons couple twice as strongly to the medium in comparison to quarks. The scale of power corrections then reads \( (C_A/C_F)\xi^2 = 2.25\xi^2 = 0.20 - 0.27 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and the corresponding dynamically generated gluon mass is twice as large.

We now focus on the effects of dynamical nuclear shadowing on forward rapidity hadron production at RHIC. Any attenuation of the perturbative cross sections from coherent or inelastic parton scattering can be detected through the nuclear modification ratio \( R_{AB}^{(n)} \), Eq. (11). In the special case of dihadron correlations such cross section reduction will be manifest in the attenuation of
the area $A_{\text{tar}}$ of the correlation function $C_2(\Delta \phi)$. In order to compute the process dependent nuclear shadowing we isolate the small $x_b$ dependence of single and double inclusive hadron production, Eqs. \ref{eq:18} and \ref{eq:19},

$$F_{ab\rightarrow cd}(x_b) \approx \frac{\phi_b/N(x_b)}{x_b^{3/2 + \xi^2}(A^{1/3} - 1)}.$$  
(18)

The final state interactions of the struck parton lead to rescaling of the small momentum fraction $x_b$ as follows \ref{eq:18}:

$$F_{ab\rightarrow cd}(x_b) \Rightarrow F_{ab\rightarrow cd} \left( x_b \left[ 1 + C_d \xi^2 \left( A^{1/3} - 1 \right) \right] \right).$$  
(19)

In Eq. \ref{eq:19} $C_d$ keeps track of the representation of the struck parton with $C_d = 1$ for quarks and $C_d = 2.25$ for gluon, as discussed above.

Figure 6 show the predicted suppression of the single and double inclusive hadron production cross sections versus rapidity and centrality. The scale of power corrections was extracted for minimum bias collisions and the impact parameter dependence can be obtained via the corresponding rescaling with the nuclear thickness function. It is important to note that the value of $\xi^2$ remains unchanged. However, in going from midrapidity to forward rapidity, the perturbative scale $-t$ that enters in Eq. \ref{eq:19} changes from $\sim 2p_T^2$ to $\sim p_T^2$. This trivial kinematic dependence, which leads to larger suppression at forward rapidity, has been neglected in previous calculations. The right panel of Fig. 6 shows the combined effect of the the small transverse momentum broadening and nuclear enhanced power corrections. In contrast to alternative hypotheses, the high-twist shadowing goes away quickly with the virtuality or transverse momentum as long as the large $x_a \rightarrow 1$ threshold effects remain unimportant.  

Figure 6. Left panel from \ref{fig:6}: suppression of the single and double inclusive hadron production rates in d+Au reactions versus $p_T$ for rapidities $y_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and 4. $\xi^2 = 0.12 \text{ GeV}^2$. Also shown is the impact parameter dependence of the calculated nuclear modification for central $b = 3 \text{ fm}$, minimum bias $b_{\text{min.bias}} = 5.6 \text{ fm}$ and peripheral $b = 6.9 \text{ fm}$ collisions. The trigger hadron $p_{T1} = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$, $y_1 = 3$ and the associated hadron $y_2 = 2$. Right panel from \ref{fig:6}: centrality dependence of $C_2(\Delta \phi)$ at moderate $p_{T1} = 4 \text{ GeV}$, $p_{T2} = 2 \text{ GeV}$ and rapidities $y_1 = 0, 2$ and $y_2 = 0$. Central d+Au and p+p data from STAR. Also shown is $C_2(\Delta \phi)$ at small transverse momenta $p_{T1} = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$, $p_{T2} = 1 \text{ GeV}$ and rapidities $y_1 = 0, 4$ and $y_2 = 0$.  

Further observations on the effects of nuclear shadowing on hadron production in \(d + Au\) at RHIC is available in [37]. Preliminary study of the effects of higher-twist resummation [9, 10, 14] on the low \(Q^2\) modification to the DGLAP evolution equations has recently become available [38].

4. Jet quenching and jet tomography

One of the few predicted [39] and observed signatures of nuclear dynamics associated with the presence of a hot and dense QCD plasma is the suppression of the high-\(p_T\) hadrons or jet quenching. While different attenuation mechanisms have been proposed [40], it is the multiple inelastic parton scattering in the final state that was able to quantitatively predict many of the hadronic observables associated with jet quenching [22, 23, 42]. Different approximations to the medium-induced non-Abelian gluon bremsstrahlung dynamics can be found in [43]. In this section we give details of the Reaction Operator approach [44], a momentum space iterative scheme for computing the radiation intensity.

The full solution for the medium induced gluon radiation off jets produced in a hard collisions at early times \(\tau_{jet} \approx 1/E\) inside a nuclear medium of length \(L\) can be obtained to all orders in the correlations between the multiple scattering centers in the GLV approach [44]. The double differential bremsstrahlung intensity for gluons with momentum \(k = [xp^+, k^2/xp^+, k]\) resulting from the sequential interactions of a
Figure 8. Left panel from [53]: the broadening of the away-side dihadron correlation function in central d+Au and Au+Au compared to scaled STAR data. In the bottom panel the broadening with and without suppression, \( R_{AA} \), is shown. Right panel from [RS]: attenuation of the double inclusive pion production cross section for \( p_T^1 = p_T^2 = 5 \) GeV at intermediate RHIC energies.

Fast parton with momentum \( p = [p^+, 0, 0] \) can be written as:

\[
\frac{dN_g}{dx d^2k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x \frac{dN^{(n)}_g}{dx d^2k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_R \alpha_s}{\pi^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^L \frac{\Delta z_i}{\lambda_g(i)} \int d^2 q_i \left[ \frac{\sigma_{el}^{(i)}}{d^2 \lambda_g} - \delta^2(q_i) \right] \left( -2 C_{(1, \ldots, n)} \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{n} B_{(m+1, \ldots, n)(m, \ldots, n)} \right)
\]

where \( \sum_{i=2}^{1} \equiv 0 \) is understood. In the small angle eikonal limit \( x = k^+/p^+ \approx \omega/E \). In Eq. (20) the color current propagators, the formation times and the elastic scattering cross section are defined in [44]. For a derivation in the case of heavy quarks see [45].

Qualitatively, the behavior of the energy loss as a function of the density and the size of the system can be summarized to first order in opacity as follows:

\[
\langle \Delta E \rangle \approx \int dz \frac{C_R \pi \alpha_s^3}{2} \rho^2 L^2 \ln \frac{2E}{\mu^2(L)} = \int dz \frac{9C_R \pi \alpha_s^3}{4} \rho^2(z) \ln \frac{2E}{\mu^2(L)}
\]

\[
= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{9C_R \pi \alpha_s^3}{8} \rho^2(L) \ln \frac{2E}{\mu^2(L)}, & \text{static} \\
\frac{9C_R \pi \alpha_s^3}{4} \frac{dN_g}{dy} \rho^2(L) \ln \frac{2E}{\mu^2(L)}, & 1 + 1D
\end{array} \right.
\]

For static systems \( \langle \Delta E \rangle \) depends quadratically on the nuclear size. For the case of longitudinal Bjorken expansion this dependence is reduced to linear [47] but the energy loss is sensitive to the initial parton rapidity density \( dN_g/dy \). Understanding the effective color charge density dependence of \( \Delta E \) is the key to jet tomography [31].
Transverse expansion does not affect significantly the attenuation of the inclusive spectra. 

4.1. Applications of jet quenching

The probability $P(\epsilon)$ for fractional energy loss $\epsilon = \sum_i \omega_i/E$ due to multiple gluon emission is evaluated in the independent Poisson approximation. One way of implementing the parton momentum attenuation is via the kinematic rescaling of the momentum fraction $z_j \rightarrow z_j/(1-\epsilon)$ in the decay functions, Eq. (1). Consequently,

$$D_{h_{1}/j}(z_j, \mu_{d_{1}}) \rightarrow \int d\epsilon P(\epsilon) \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} D_{h_{1}/j} \left( \frac{z_j}{1-\epsilon}, \mu_{d_{1}} \right).$$

(22)

These corrections to the factorized formulas, Eqs. (5) and (6), can be easily implemented numerically.

The left panel of Fig. 7 shows the predicted nuclear modification as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ at SPS, RHIC and the LHC as a function of the density of the quark-gluon plasma. The $p_T$ dependence of $R_{AA}^{(1)}$ is a result of the interplay of the Cronin effect, jet quenching and nuclear shadowing. The right panel shows a theoretical estimate for the $\pi^0$ (or $\pi^+ + \pi^-$) attenuation at the intermediate RHIC energy of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 62$ GeV. Such suppression is in agreement with the preliminary PHENIX and STAR measurements for $p_T > 3$ GeV. It is interesting to observe the better agreement between data and theory at the SPS with a recently extracted low energy $p + p$ baseline. Similar suppression at the intermediate RHIC energy has been found in [52].

For double inclusive hadron production qualitatively $1 \leq R_{AA}^{h_1}/R_{AA}^{h_1/h_2} \leq 2$. This attenuation will be manifest as a reduction of the area $A_{\text{Far}}$ of $C_2(\Delta \phi)$, Eq. (12). The left panel of Fig. 8 demonstrates that transverse momentum diffusion in $Au + Au$ collisions is insufficient to reproduce the dissapearance of the away-side correlations [22]. Comparison to data require significant jet energy loss, compatible
with the attenuation in the single inclusives. The right panel shows the predicted quenching effect at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 62$ GeV.

The energy lost by the energetic partons during their propagation in dense nuclear matter is redistributed back in the partonic system. In the GLV approach [44] the medium induced virtuality is irradiated in higher frequency modes $\omega \geq \omega_{pl} \sim \mu$ resulting in fewer more energetic gluons. The left panel of Fig. 9 shows the the recovered jet energy as a function of the experimental $p_T$ cut and the corresponding induced parton multiplicity + 1(jet). If the secondary (bremsstrahlung) gluons reinteract in the system [54] their momentum is further degraded to $p_T \approx 600$ MeV and

$$N_g(r, \Delta \tau) \approx \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Delta S}{\Delta S} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Delta E(r, \Delta \tau)}{T(r, \tau)}.$$  (23)

Numerical results for this scenario are shown in the right hand side of Fig. 9. For preliminary results on the recovery of the lost energy in jet measurements see [22, 55].

5. Conclusions

The predictive power of perturbative QCD is based one the factorization approach that has been successfully tested in a variety of moderate and high transverse momentum processes [3, 5, 7, 12, 13, 15] in elementary $N+N$ collisions. In this talk I discussed the theoretical and phenomenological aspects of the systematic incorporation of nuclear enhanced higher order and higher twist corrections in this formalism in $\ell+A$, $p+A$ and $A+A$ reactions. The current data on relativistic heavy ion reactions and the extended kinematic reach that is expected to become available due to improved statistics, RHIC upgrades, RHIC II and the LHC will help to further quantify the relative importance of the elastic [28, 30], inelastic [43, 44] and coherent [9, 11, 13] multiple parton scattering in cold and hot nuclear matter.
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