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**ABSTRACT**

Anaphor candidate determination is an important process in anaphora resolution (AR) systems. There are several types of anaphor, one of which is pronominal anaphor. Pronominal anaphor is an anaphor that involves pronouns. In some of the cases, certain pronouns can be used without referring to any situation or entity in a text, and this phenomenon is known as pleonastic. In the case of the Malay language, it usually occurs for the pronoun nya. The pleonastic that exists in every text causes a severe problem to the anaphora resolution systems. The process to determine the pleonastic nya is not the same as identifying the pleonastic ‘it’ in the English language, where the syntactic pattern could not be used because the structure of nya comes at the end of a word. As an alternative, semantic classes are used to identify the pleonastic itself and the anaphoric nya. In this paper, the automatic semantic tag was used to determine the type of nya, which at the same time could determine nya as an anaphor.
candidate. The new algorithms and MalayAR architecture were proposed. The results of the F-measure showed the detection of clitic nya as a separate word achieved a perfect 100% result. In comparison, the clitic nya as a pleonastic achieved 88%, clitic nya referring to humans achieved 94%, and clitic nya referring to non-humans achieved 63%. The results showed that the proposed algorithms were acceptable to solve the issue of the clitic nya as pleonastic, human referral as well as non-human referral.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Anaphora can be defined as a linguistic relation between two textual entities. It is determined when a textual entity (the anaphor) refers to another entity in the text that usually occurs before it (the antecedent) (Sukthanker, Poria, Cambria, & Thirunavukarasu, 2019). The process of determining the antecedent of an anaphor is referred to as anaphora resolution (AR). AR is important in most Natural Language Processing (NLP) applications such as question answering systems (Asao, Iida, & Torisawa, 2018), text summarisation (Antunes, Lins, Lima, Oliveira, Riss, Simske, 2018) and text classification (Saquia, Khan, Khan, Khan, Subhan, & Abid, 2018). Researchers have claimed that by implementing AR, the performance of NLP-related applications is significantly improved (Vicedo & Ferrández, 2000; Kabadjov, 2007; Nøklestad, 2009). There are three basic steps involved in AR: the first step is to determine a list of anaphor candidates, while the second step is to determine a list of antecedent candidates. The last step is to resolve the anaphor with its appropriate antecedent. There are many kinds of anaphora such as pronominal anaphora, propositional anaphora, adjectival anaphora, and modal anaphora (King & Lewis, 2018). Despite the variations of anaphora, this paper will only focus on pronominal anaphora, since it receives the most attention in linguistic and philosophical literature. In pronominal anaphora, the anaphor is a pronoun word that refers to the antecedent that can be in the same sentence or can also span in many sentences. For example, in the following sentences, the pronouns she and her refer back to the word girl.

*When the girl went outside, she put on her hat. But she could still feel the cold.*

Pronominal anaphora poses a challenge in many NLP applications since the anaphor has to fulfil some grammatical agreements with the antecedent.
In the Malay language, determining the anaphor candidates for pronominal anaphora is relatively similar to English, which involves the detection and retrieval of relevant pronouns. However, in the Malay language, there exists a unique word *nya*, which is a potential anaphor. The behaviour of the word *nya* can be loosely equated with the word *it* in English. Nevertheless, it is rather challenging to identify the pronoun *nya* in Malay language since the word is attached to the end of other words such as *bukunya* (his/her book) and *keretanya* (his/her car). Therefore, there is a need to separate the word *nya* from its host word. In English, the word *it* is able to act as a word that does not refer to any entity in some situations. This situation is referred to as pleonastic (Shalom & Herbert, 1994). The same situation applies for the word *nya* where it may or may not refer to any entity in a text. Syntactic patterns are frequently used to identify the pleonastic *it*. In spite of this, such patterns cannot be applied to identify *nya* because the behaviour of *nya* depends on the host words it is attached to. This paper discusses the method to determine the use of pronoun *nya* to ensure that the right selection of anaphor candidate is achieved.

In an earlier research (Karimah, Aziz, Noah, & Hamzah, 2011), three instances where the pronoun *nya* is used have been identified: referring to humans, referring to non-humans, or not referring to any situation in a text. It is of crucial importance to correctly identify as to whether the pronoun *nya* refers to human and non-human as it will implicate the correct referral to the antecedent candidate within sentences. Consider for instance the sentences “Ali memberi makan kucing. Bulunya lebat.”, which are translated to English as “Ali feeds the cat. Its fur is thick.”. In this example, Ali (human) and *kucing* (cat) are antecedent candidates. Although humans can easily point out that *nya* refers to *kucing* (cat) and not Ali (human), machines need to understand the semantic class of *bulu* (fur), which refers to animal. Therefore, it can be inferred that *nya* refers to antecedent *kucing*, which is non-human. Such resolution is also important for NLP applications such as machine translations (Tabrizi, Mahmud, Idris, & Tohidi, 2016) in order to translate anaphoric expressions correctly into a target language.

The word *nya*, as in pronoun *it* in the English language, sometimes does not refer to any situation in a text and does not function as the referring expressions; this is usually known as pleonastic (Werlen & Popescu-Belis, 2017; Shalom & Herbert, 1994). This may turn out to be a serious problem for anaphora resolution systems. As a result, such pleonastic must be identified in any AR systems. For example, Aone and Bennett (1996), Kennedy and Boguraev (1996), and Bouzid, Trabelsi, & Zribi (2017) manually removed pleonastic pronouns, while other researchers employed machine learning techniques on the available corpus (Yifan, Musilek, Reformat, & Wyard-Scott, 2009). Anaphora resolution for Malay text can be seen in the works
by Fazal Mohamed (2006), Yap (2011), and Xian, Saloot, Ghazali, Bouzekri, Mahmud, and Lukose (2016). However, these works did not specifically address the issues related to the word nya in AR, nya is widely used in Malay text and the study by Xian et al. (2016) showed that nya is the most frequently used pronoun in the Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka (DBP) corpus. This paper, therefore, aims to further explore the behaviour of the word nya and propose algorithms that can automatically classify the type of nya in Malay text.

This paper is organised into the following sections. The second section provides an overview of the related research in this area, and different usages of the pronoun nya in Malay text are discussed in following section. The fourth section describes the method utilised to determine the different usages of the pronoun nya and the retrieval of the pronoun nya in an unstructured text. The result and discussion are presented in the fifth section, while the conclusion and suggestion for future work are given in the last section.

RELATED WORKS

As mentioned earlier, AR is the problem of resolving references to earlier or later items in the discourse. These items are usually noun phrases representing objects in the real world called referents; however, they can also be verb phrases, whole sentences or paragraphs. Works on AR have been extensive for the English language. Conversely, other languages such as Chinese (Zhao, Liu, & Yin, 2017; Kong, Zhang, & Zhou, 2019), Arabic (Hammami & Belguith, 2018), and Hindi (Sikdar, Ekbal & Saha, 2016) have been gaining interests of the NLP research community. In this section, some related works on AR for the English language are provided, which particularly focused on the pleonastic pronouns. This study then focuses on related works for the Malay language.

Resolution of Anaphora Procedure (RAP) is an approach for anaphora resolution proposed by Shalom and Herbert (1994). The system developed by them can identify the third pronoun resolution and pleonastic pronouns, where the pronouns do not have any referent entity. The pronoun it is commonly used as the pleonastic pronoun in English (Loaiciga, Guillou, & Hardmeier, 2017; Qiu, Kan, & Chua, 2004; Yaneva, Ha, Evans, & Mitkov, 2018). The pleonastic it basically appears with a modal adjective such as “…it is important to…” or in its passive participle, it comes together with a cognitive verb like “…it is recommended that...”. To identify a pleonastic in a sentence, RAP not only relies on the lists of modal adjectives and cognitive verbs, but also on the syntactic pattern as follows (Qiu et al., 2004; Shalom & Herbert, 1994):

i. it is Modaladj that S,
ii. it is Modaladj (for NP) to VP,
iii. it is Cogv-ed that S,
iv. it seems/appears/means/follows (that) S,
v. NP makes/finds it Modaladj (for NP) to VP,
vi. it is time to VP, and
vii. it is thanks to NP that S,

where Modaladj stands for a modal adjective and Cogv-ed stands for the passive participle of a cognitive verb. The approach is a part of a larger system that aims to resolve the pronominal anaphora. Subsequently, individual evaluations of it are not discussed in this paper as only the overall system is evaluated.

The study by Paice and Husk (1987) that used bracketing patterns such as: “it….. to” and “it….. who” was different from the study carried out by Shalom and Herbert (1994). However, both approaches relied on a pattern to determine pleonastic pronouns. Paice and Husk’s (1987) approach produced impressive results with 93.9% accuracy in determining pleonastic without using parts-of-speech tagging or parsing.

In another study, Bergsma, Lin, and Goebel (2008) used N-gram by counting the web-scale data to detect pleonastic pronouns. First, the pattern was created by looking at the context of words around it. For example, the sentence ‘it is able to’ was translated into ‘_ is able to’ pattern. The patterns were comprehensive and were represented using several rules for detecting inconsistent verbs and common abbreviation. The patterns were then tested using the Google N-gram data developed by Brants and Franz (2009) to determine words that fill the patterns.

In Malay text, nya and ia are two common pleonastic pronouns; therefore, determining the use of the word nya is non-trivial. There is limited or no research efforts to investigate the pleonastic within the NLP research area, except for the study conducted by Karimah et al. (2011), where experiments were conducted to determine the usage of nya by utilising 60 Malay texts in a semi-automatic form. The method involved tagging the part of speech (POS) of the sentence and manually classifying all the words attached to nya either as human, animal, position, direction or location. A set of rules were developed to detect nya as either anaphor or pleonastic. In the case of anaphor, the rules were able to detect whether nya refers to one of the three categories of anaphors, which are human, non-human, and not-referral word.

Nevertheless, from the linguistic community, two research works of Fazal Mohamed (2006) and Yap (2011) are worth mentioning. Fazal Mohamed (2006) researched on the clitic pronoun nya and focused only on the verb host word. In his study, he used syntactic analysis, which included the movement hypothesis and in-situ hypothesis, in order to identify nya as a clitic word that is attached to transitive and semi-transitive verbs. He did not, however, mention how to classify the clitic nya referring to whom. He claimed that the movement analysis was able to explain enclitisation of the clitic nya in a verb phrase. The work of Yap (2011) established whether the clitic nya is a
referral word or otherwise. Again, in this study, the author did not focus on whether the clitic nya is referring to humans or non-humans. He used robust grammaticalisation patterns that were consistent with typological observations reported elsewhere, including a robust nominal/pronominal, nominalise, and stance marker development.

Another important work was by Xian et al. (2016) that used the maximum entropy model and Random Forest classifier for pronominal AR in 100 articles of which half of them were news articles. However, the work did not specifically address the behaviour of nya and semantically classify the words attached to nya. Classifying the words attached to nya is important in AR in order to remove pleonastic words. Furthermore, it is important to categorise the word (or host word) attached to nya either as human or non-human due to fact that in AR, only humans will be resolute.

**PRONOUN nya IN MALAY TEXT**

In Malay texts, the usage of the pronoun nya is mostly used to represent humans, events, and organisations. It is often used as a third-person pronoun or to show the concept of belonging. The pronoun nya always appear at the end of another word, such as abangnya (his/her brother). However, there are cases where nya that appears in a word is actually part of a word and does not act as the pronoun nya as in the word tanya (ask). Sentence 1 shows the word nya attached to the word wang (money) as a third-person pronoun that refers to Ali where Ali is a proper name for humans.

Sentence 1:

*Ali* hendak membeli buku, tetapi *wangnya* tertinggal di rumah.

Ali wanted to buy a book, but he left his money at home.

Nevertheless, there are some cases of nya that do not reflect the third-person pronoun for humans, but refers to animals, events, and objects. The example of this case is shown in Sentence 2.

Sentence 2:

*Kucing saya* bernama Putih. Bulunya panjang dan tebal.

My cat’s name is Putih. It has long and thick fur.

Sentence 2 shows that word nya is likely used as a pronoun for the cat. Conversely, this is against what has been stated by Nik Safiah, Farid, Hashim, and Abdul Hamid (2008) and Asmah (2009), where the usage of the word nya is only as a third-person pronoun. However, based on the present research, there are various usages in texts where nya refers to other than humans. Therefore, in such cases, the term non-human referral word will be used to
refer to nya that is not referring to humans. Apart from that, Nik Safiah et al. (2008) demonstrated two situations whereby the word nya does not refer to any object. The first situation is where it is used to stress an important issue highlighted in a sentence. The following example illustrates such a situation. The word sesungguhnya in the sentence stresses that the issue mentioned in Sentence 3 is a serious condition.

Sentence 3:
Lapisan ozon sesungguhnya semakin menipis.
Indeed, the ozone layer is thinning.

The other situation is where the word nya changes the POS tag of a word into a noun as shown in the following example, Sentence 4. The word laju in the sentence is originally an adjective. However, by adding the word nya at the end of the word laju, it becomes a noun.

Sentence 4:
Laju nya ialah 100 km sejam
The speed is 100 km per hour

The method to determine the different usages of nya is needed in order to process the Malay text for supporting anaphor resolution. Some approaches used in linguistic are by tagging of phrases (i.e. verb, noun, and adjective) that come together with the phrase nya and cross bridging of the sentence or word itself to look at the semantic sentence. However, there is no formal discussion on how to solve these cases in computational linguistic.

In a different situation, the word nya is part of the word itself. Some examples are shown in Table 1.

Table 1

| Word Ends With nya | Formal Translation |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Ta nya             | Ask                |
| Ha nya             | Only               |
| Pu nya             | Have/has           |
| Empu nya           | Owner              |

In determining whether the word nya in the text is either part of the complete word itself or not, a gazetteer or dictionary that contains such words is required. If the phrase that has the word nya does not exist in the dictionary, then the process to separate the word nya from the original word is executed.
The aforementioned situations show the various implications of the word *nya*. Therefore, the inability to correctly identify the usage of *nya* has a significant implication in the process of anaphora resolutions for the Malay language. The following sections describe the approach utilised in determining *nya* and an anaphor candidate and proposes the use of semantic classes in assisting such detection.

**ANAPHOR CANDIDATE DETERMINATION METHOD**

Based on the behaviour of *nya* discussed in the previous section, there are two important questions that need to be addressed. The first question is how to tokenise host words and pronoun *nya* such as the word *keretanya* (his/her car). The second question is how to determine whether *nya* represents pronoun or pleonastic. Figure 1 shows the proposed method for dealing with these two issues.

Figure 1. Malay AR architecture.
As can be seen in Figure 1, the process to determine the type of word nya occurs at the Pre-Processing and Anaphora Resolution for Candidate Selection stages, involving three types of knowledge base (KB), namely morphological knowledge (MK), lexical knowledge (LK), and semantic knowledge (SK). MK and LK are used when determining the word nya either as the word itself or as pronoun and pleonastic candidate, while SK is used to determine whether the word nya is human_referrer, non-human_referrer or as pleonastic.

MK is used to tokenise sentence into words, after which the phrase nya is identified whether it is a separate token. Once the phrase nya is identified as a separate token, the process to separate the phrase nya from its host word is carried out using MK and LK. The process is needed to ensure that the phrase nya in a sentence does not belong to the word itself, such as in the words tanya (ask), hanya (only), and punya (belonging), and therefore should not be categorised as a pronoun or pleonastic. Appropriate algorithms are needed during the tokenising process to separate the host word from nya. In this case, a list of index words retrieved from a Malay dictionary is used. Algorithm 1 shows the algorithm on how to determine and separate the host phrase from the phrase nya.

Algorithm 1: Algorithm to separate word nya from its host word

**Declaration 1:**
A(x) is a set of words that use the word nya as its own word
x is a list of words that use the word nya as its own word
z is nya
i is a host word
y is a word that needs to be processed
prn is nya as a separate word from phrase

**Input:** y = i ∪ z
If y ∈ A(x) then
   y = i ∪ z
If else i.e. y ∉ A(x) then
   y=i
   prn=z

Let us consider the input word adiknya (her/his brother), which is checked with the element in A(x). If the input word is listed in the dictionary, then the phrase nya is declared as part of a word. Otherwise, the host word and phrase nya will be separated into different words. In the case of adiknya, the word does not match any of the words in the list of A(x), then nya will be separated from the host word adik. At the same time, the host word is labelled with POS using the lexical database adapted from Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka (2010). The next stage is to determine the semantic class of the host word. The semantic class is divided based on the type of word as the
word can be classified as a type noun, verb or function word. The semantic classification of the host word is described in Tables 2 to 5. The semantic class is determined by looking at the meaning of the word and compare it with common words associated with each semantic class. In this case, a Malay dictionary that contains examples of sentences for each word is used (as shown in Figure 2). For an example, the list of common words associated with the semantic class human is orang (people), penduduk (residents), and masyarakat (community). If a match is found in the list, then the host word is classified as the corresponding semantic class. However, the dictionary also has examples of sentences with elements that are difficult to classify into a specific class. Therefore, to filter out these cases, another word index, called the filter_index_word, is used. The filter_index_word, among others, consists of symbols such as ‘~’, as well as words such as laluan (path) and atau. (or).

For example, the following excerpt (Figure 2) from the dictionary shows the meanings and usage examples of the word laluan (which can mean road or space for walking). In the examples, the word laluan can be summarised to mean: 1) road; 2) space for walking or crossing; and 3) movement such as movements for car and engine or even clock.

1. tempat lalu lintas (utk orang, kenderaan, dll): belanja membuat ~;
2. tempat yg harus dilalui atau dilintasi supaya sampai ke tempat (ruang) tertentu, laluan: tolong tunjukkan ~ ke rumah datuk penghulu; ~ laut laluan yg diikuti di laut utk pergi ke sesuatu tempat.
3. gerakan (kerja, enjin, dll): kereta itu sangat laju ~nya; jam itu cepat~nya;”.

Figure 2. Example of content used in a dictionary.

The meaning of the word laluan contains the phrase ‘utk orang’ (for people) indicating that the word laluan is used for humans. Intuitively, it can be concluded that laluan is a ‘thing’ used by people. With the filter_index_word, the phrase “utk orang” will be seen as an indicator signifying that the referred word is among words that do not refer to the human class. Consequently, laluan is not classified as a human semantic class.

After determining the class of the host word, next is the process of classifying the type of nya. The process, which involves SK, is performed using the algorithm shown in Algorithm 2. In this case, each word will be classified according to the correct POS. In this study’s approach, the POS used are verb, noun, adjective, and function words. Function words are words that are used to perform certain functions in building sentences, clauses, and phrases. In other words, function words are the words used to make sentences grammatically correct. Pronouns, determiners, prepositions, and auxiliary verbs are examples of function words. The POS is used to determine the different types of usage of the word nya. In the example of the word adiknya (his/her younger brother/sister), the host word adik is classified as a noun and belongs to the human class. Therefore, nya will be tagged as a pronoun that
refers to humans. The approach or guide used to determine human referrer based on the POS tags of noun, verb and function words is shown in Tables 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

Algorithm 2: Algorithm for determining type of word *nya*

**Declaration 2:**
- **x** set of tag use \{Noun, Verb, Function_word\}
- **k** is a word that has the word *nya* at the end
- **z** is *nya*
- **c** is a semantic class
- \(C_{fh}\) is a set of class for **x** that has Noun tag and refers to human
- \(C_{vh}\) is a set of class for **x** that has Verb tag and refers to human
- \(C_{tgs}\) is a set of class for **x** that has Function_word tag and refers to human
- \(C_{nn-h}\) is a set of class for **x** that has Noun tag and refers to non-human
- \(C_{vn-h}\) is a set of class for **x** that has Verb tag and refers to non-human

If \(k \cup z\)

- **If** \(k(x) = \text{NOUN and } k(c) \in c(C_{nh})\)
- or **k(x) = \text{VERB and } k(c) \in c(C_{vh})\)
- or **k(x) = \text{Ktgs and } k(c) \in c(C_{tgs})\)
- \(z = \text{human referral}\)

**else if** \(k(x) = \text{NOUN and } k(c) \in c(C_{nn-h})\)

- or **k(x) = \text{VERB and } k(c) \in c(C_{vn-h})\)

- \(z = \text{non-human}\)

**else**

- \(z = \text{pleonastic}\)

---

Table 2 shows the categories of nouns that relate *nya* as a human referrer, which includes *humans, part of humans, object, event, company, action, emotion, and animal*.

**Table 2**

Categories of *nya* attached to nouns referring to humans

| Categories            | Description                                                | Example         |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| human/ part of humans | All words related to humans including part of humans.     | *rakan**nya* (his/her friend) |
| object                | All things including food and drink related but not involved with part of a thing. | *rumah**nya* (his/her house) |

(continued)
| Categories | Description | Example |  |
|------------|-------------|---------|---|
| event      | Something that relates to an event. | perkahwinannya (his/her marriage) |  |
| company    | All words related to a company or an institution. | kementerianannya (his/her ministry) |  |
| action     | Word that shows the action taken by someone. | penghakimanannya (his/her judgment) |  |
| emotion    | Word that shows the condition expressed by someone. | kemarahannya (his/her anger) |  |
| animal     | All thing related to animals but not involved with part of the animal. | kucingannya (his/her cat) |  |

For example, assume the word anaknya (her/his child) shown as an example in Sentence 5. Anak is a noun that matches the category of humans; and therefore, nya is categorised as a pronoun that refers to humans.

Sentence 5:
Ahmad pergi ke taman bersama anaknya.
Ahmad went to a park with his child.

Table 3 describes four categories that illustrate nya attached to a verb as referring to humans. As an example, consider the sentence ‘Jawatan itu telah lama dipegangnya.’ (The position has long been held by him). In this case, the word dipegangnya is a verb and it refers to the semantic class position. Therefore, nya in this situation is a pronoun that refers to humans.

Table 3
Categories nya attached to verbs referring to humans

| Categories | Description | Example |  |
|------------|-------------|---------|---|
| Event      | The verb that shows the event | Kes pembunuhan itu, tidak mengaitkannya sebagai suspek |

(The murder case does not consider as the suspect.)
In order to assign a semantic class for those words tagged with the function words, the words must be categorised either as location or direction as shown in Table 4.

### Table 4

Classes that are used to determine nya as a human referrer for function word

| Classes    | Description                                                                 | Example                                                                 |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Direction  | Shows direction such as oleh (from), terhadap (against), untuk (for), kepada (to), daripada (from) | Surat itu ditulis olehnya. (The letter was written by him.) |
| Location   | Shows location such as atas (on)                                            | Kejadian itu memberi kesan yang besar ke atasnya. (The incident was a major impact on him.) |

Basically, the index word can be used for determining semantic class for the direction and location classes because the list of the words is not huge. For example, the sentence, *Ali menerima bungkusan itu daripadanya* (Ali received the parcel from him/her). In this case, *nya* refers to someone outside the context. However, *nya* is classified as human referrer because of the word that comes with it (*daripada*) is classified as a function word and labelled as location.

The categories that are used to determine non-human referrer for words that are attached to *nya* and classified as noun and verb are shown in Table 5. Words that do not belong to any of these categories and other categories described in Tables 2, 3, and 4 are considered as pleonastic.
Table 5

Categories for determining nya as non-human referrer for verb and noun

| Word type | Categories        | Description                                                                                         | Example                                      |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| noun      | Part of a thing   | Anything that shows part of a thing, such as pintu (door), tombol (knob)                             | Pintunya (its door)                         |
|           | Part of an animal | Anything that shows part of an animal, such as sayap(wings) and bulu (feather)                     | Sayapunya (its wings)                       |
|           | Position          | Anything that is related to position                                                                | Timbalannya (his/her deputy)                |
|           | ownership         | Anything that shows belonging to a position, company, committee                                    | Pihaknya (his/her side)                     |
| verb      | transaction       | Action that shows transaction between two people                                                   | Buku tersebut telah dihantar melalui syarikat penghantar dan mereka telah menghantarnya semalam. (The book was sent via a courier company and they have sent it yesterday.) |

As stated in the discussion by Asmah (2009), human referrer function words are subdivided into sub-classes based on the meaning of words that came together with the word nya. The names of the classes have been finalised using statistical and semantic role approaches, as discussed by Daniel and Daniel (2002).

**MALAY AR EVALUATION**

The experiments involved 66 local news text collections and consisted of 382 nya words. The usage of word nya in the data set is approximately 61.88% of the total of the third-person pronoun. The evaluation aims to evaluate the
ability of the proposed approach to detect the type of nya either pleonastic or anaphor as to include those that refer to humans or non-humans.

The result of the detected and retrieved categories usage of nya is benchmarked against human classification. This study used the standard precision \( (P) \), recall \( (R) \), and \( F \)-measure metrics to compare and analyse the result, which can be defined by Equations 1, 2, and 3 as follows:

\[
\text{Precision} \, (P) = \frac{S}{S + N} \\
\text{Recall} \, (R) = \frac{S}{S + I} \\
F - \text{measure} = 2 \frac{P \times R}{P + R}
\]

where \( S \) represents the relevant word nya that has been resolved into appropriate categories, \( N \) is a set of retrieved irrelevant word nya, and \( I \) is the non-retrieved relevant word nya. The aim of the evaluation is to measure the performance of retrieving the word nya and to automatically classify the type of nya usage. Table 6 shows the result for the total retrieved nya occurring in the 66 Malay news texts, whereas Table 7 illustrates the results in terms of precision, recall, and \( F \)-measure.

**Table 6**

| Elements                    | Total number in the corpus (benchmark) | Total number correctly classified | Total number incorrectly classified | Total number missed (not detected) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| nya as a separate word      | 385                                   | 383                              | 0                                 | 2                                 |
| nya as pleonastic           | 74                                    | 72                               | 18                                | 2                                 |
| nya referring to human      | 277                                   | 255                              | 10                                | 22                                |
| nya referring to non-human | 34                                    | 19                               | 7                                 | 15                                |

Results of the experiment
Table 7

The precision, recall, and f-measure for each type of word nya

| Elements                        | Precision (%) | Recall (%) | F-measure (%) |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| nya as a separate word         | 100%          | 99%        | 100%          |
| nya as pleonastic              | 80%           | 97%        | 88%           |
| nya referring to human         | 96%           | 92%        | 94%           |
| nya referring to non-human     | 73%           | 56%        | 63%           |

The results in Table 7 showed that the proposed algorithm could perfectly recognise the word nya as a separate element achieving 100% precision and 99% recall values. Furthermore, the proposed approach displayed good capability for classifying the usage of nya as either referring to human or pleonastic. As can be seen, the F-measures for classifying each of this category were 94%, and 88%, respectively. However, for nya referring to non-human, only 63% was achieved.

The results of Tables 6 and 7 indicated how MK could separate the word nya with 99% accuracy. MK that used the index word performed better in recognising the word nya. However, in some cases, the use of MK by looking at how the word was constructed needs to be considered, such as in determining nya in the word ditanya (asked). The word consists of the word ‘di’ and the word ‘tanya’; therefore, the word ‘ditanya’ needs to be separated into ‘di’ and ‘tanya’ before being checked into the index data. Out of the 285 words with nya, two were unable to be correctly identified.

In terms of pleonastic nya, the 97% recall value showed the ability of the proposed rule-based methods to successfully retrieve the 72 clitic nya. However, the 80% precision values indicated that some of the retrieved clitic nya were not relevant. To be more accurate, out of the 90 total retrieved clitic nya, 18 were not relevant. The 18 non-relevant clitic nya were host words that could not be classified to any semantic class and were not tagged as a verb, noun or function word. This is due to the limitation of the dictionary, as some words were not tagged accordingly.

Some of the results for the word nya as human referrer were over retrieved (i.e. about ten), while some other relevant words were not retrieved, which were 22. Nevertheless, the achievement of 96% and 92% of the precision and recall values, respectively, indicated that the proposed approach was reliable in performing such a classification task.

In terms of nya that refers to non-human, out of the 34 benchmarks, 19 were correctly retrieved and classified and 7 were wrongly classified. This resulted in 73% and 56% of precision and recall, respectively. This occurred
because of the supporting tool that was used to label the semantic class content as a considerable amount of information could create difficulties to process.

The results showed that the proposed algorithm and enhancement of semantic class label could determine the type of *nya* before going with an anaphora resolution. The automatic semantic class label could determine the usage type of clitic *nya*. However, in some host words, there was a mislabelling of semantic class, and some of them had more than one semantic class. This happened because the lexical used not only contained the meaning of the word but also a few sentence examples. Therefore, constructing the semantic labelling became more challenging.

**CONCLUSION**

The pleonastic *nya* in the Malay language cannot be determined using a syntactical pattern similar to determining the pleonastic *it* in English. As the pronoun *nya* always occur at the end of another word, the semantic class tag for the host word that comes with the word *nya* is used. The classes are identified based on the meaning of the word itself, and they are filtered using a pairing word such as a symbol. The challenges of using this approach included some of the words have been wrongly tagged and are relevant in more than two classes. However, the result shows that the approach is acceptable when determining the pleonastic *nya* and *nya* as a human referrer. Among the limitations of the proposed approach are: 1) the reliance on the dictionary in order to identify whether *nya* is part of the word or a separate element; and 2) the identification of a semantic class that uses a certain parameter, therefore neglecting the actual meaning of the word within the context of the sentences. Future work should focus on determining the appropriate antecedent of each type of the word *nya* using factors such as status, class label, and distance. Furthermore, the identification of semantic class can be improved by employing methods from the machine learning field (Ayala, Hernandez, Ruiz, & Toro, 2019).
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