Behavioral Adaptation of Different Set Point Temperature Modes in Office Buildings with Split Air Conditioners
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Abstract. It was divided into different modes according to the level of set point temperature (SPT): SPT ≥ 26 °C and SPT < 26 °C. To study behavioral adaptation of different set point temperature modes in office buildings with split air conditioners, a summer field survey was conducted in Zhengzhou and Jiaozuo, China. The results showed that the clothing insulation in high SPT mode was primarily affected by age, whereas the clothing insulation in low SPT mode was influenced by age and indoor temperature. The clothing insulation in high SPT mode was significantly lower than that in low SPT mode, and the air velocity and air movement sensation of subjects in H mode were significantly higher than those in L mode. 1 °C rise in Top could lead to 0.026 m/s increase in air velocity in high SPT mode, but the air velocity in low SPT mode had no relationship with indoor temperature. People’s behavioral adaptation enthusiasm in high SPT mode was greater than that in low SPT mode. The energy consumption can be saved by about 14.8% after considering the adjustment of people's clothing.
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1 Introduction

To balance the relationship between comfort and energy consumption, studies on thermal adaptation in building environments have sprung up. People's perceived thermal discomfort can be weakened to a certain extent through the feedback loop of three ways of thermal adaptation (physiological adaptation, behavioral adaptation and psychological adaptation), in which behavioral adaptation is the key to people's having higher acceptability and comfort in the case of thermal discomfort [1]. de Dear and Brager [2] presented that occupants of naturally ventilated buildings were more inclined to behaviorally regulate their heat balance than their counterparts within centrally air-conditioned buildings. Ning et al. [3] conducted a field study and found that the clothing insulation was obviously greater in common heating intensity buildings than high heating intensity buildings. Zhang et al. [4] proved that the adaptive behaviors in split air-conditioned (SAC) buildings are much more sensitive to thermal environment than those in naturally ventilated buildings. Ning et al. [3] indicated that when behavioral adaptation was considered, 2.3% of heating energy would be saved in winter. In conclusion, previous studies on the behavioral adaptation did not consider the influence of set point temperature (SPT). In SAC buildings, people can control air conditioners according to their wishes, so SPT is widely distributed. Therefore, the difference in behavioral adaptation under different SPT in SAC buildings is still unknown. In addition, the energy saving potential of considering behavioral adaptation in summer is also unclear.

To solve the above problems, the authors conducted a thermal comfort field study in 21 SAC office buildings in the cold zone of China. The research results can provide a theoretical foundation in SAC office buildings for government energy conservation policies and enrich the theory of thermal adaptation.

2 Methods

The field study was conducted from June 9th to September 14th, 2019 in Zhengzhou and Jiaozuo, which are typical cities in the cold climate zone in China. A total of 21 split air-conditioned office buildings were involved in this study. Office buildings have operable windows, fans and split air conditioners. All selected respondents were healthy, lived in the area for at least 1 a, and adapted to the local climate. Taking the set point temperature of public buildings stipulated by the Chinese government as the limit, it was divided into two SPT modes: high (H) set point temperature mode (SPT≥26 °C) and low (L) set point temperature mode (SPT<26 °C). A total of 529 valid questionnaires and corresponding objective parameters were collected, in which H mode: 291 sets, L mode: 238 sets.

The field survey included two parts: the subjective feeling of thermal comfort and the measurement of objective parameters, both of which were conducted simultaneously. The scales of the subjective response voting used in the survey are shown in Table 1. Indoor thermal environmental parameters were measured by the class II method in literature [5], such as air velocity (v), globe temperature (Tg), air temperature (Ta) and relative humidity (RH). Table 2 gives the information about the instrument.

| Voting scale | Thermal sensation | Air movement sensation |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| -3           | Cold              | Very stuffy           |
| -2           | Cool              | Stuffy                |
| -1           | Slightly cool     | Slightly stuffy       |
| 0            | Neutral           | Neutral               |
| +1           | Slightly warm     | Slightly windy        |
| +2           | Warm              | Windy                 |
| +3           | Hot               | Very windy            |

| Instrument | Test content | Valid range | Accuracy |
|------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| JT-I AQ Indoor thermal comfort tester | Ta | 1–60 °C | ±0.2 °C |
| RH | 10–98% | ±1.5% |
| V | 0.05–5 m/s | ±(0.03 m/s+2% reading) |
| Tr | 1–60 °C | ±0.3 °C |

The standard effective temperature (SET*) considers the comprehensive effects of various environmental parameters (air temperature, radiation temperature, relative humidity and air velocity) and human parameters (metabolic rate, clothing insulation), and the calculation of heat balance is more accurate. SET* was calculated based on all measured physical quantities and personal factors by the two-node model [5]. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v 26.0 software, and the P-value was used to describe the level of significant difference between the two sets of data: P < 0.05 means the difference is significant, thus P > 0.05 means no significant difference.

3 Results

3.1 Thermal environments

The indoor environmental variables of different SPT modes during the study period are shown in Table 3. During this survey, the indoor air temperature, operative temperature, relative humidity and air velocity in H mode were significantly higher than those in L mode (P < 0.001). However, there was no significant difference in standard effective temperature (SET*) between the two modes (P = 0.277).

The correlation analysis of indoor air temperature and SPT revealed that there was no association (P = 0.247). This was because, during the measurement, the time the air conditioner was turned on, the power of the air conditioner, personnel position, and other factors
caused the air temperature and SPT mismatch, so the air conditioner SPT could not be used to describe the indoor thermal environment.

**Table 3.** Distribution of outdoor and indoor thermal environment parameters.

| SPT modes | T_in °C | T_op °C | RH% | V_a (m/s) | SET* °C |
|-----------|---------|---------|-----|-----------|---------|
| H mode    | Mean    | 27.6    | 27.7| 52.2      | 0.18    | 26.0   |
|           | Max     | 31.0    | 31.1| 71.2      | 1.35    | 29.6   |
|           | Min     | 24.7    | 24.8| 35.2      | 0.00    | 21.5   |
|           | Std ±0.9| ±0.94   | ±7.0| ±0.16     | ±1.41   |
| L mode    | Mean    | 27.2    | 27.3| 49.4      | 0.14    | 25.7   |
|           | Max     | 29.8    | 29.9| 65.3      | 0.81    | 29.4   |
|           | Min     | 24.3    | 24.4| 32.0      | 0.00    | 22.0   |
|           | Std ±1.2| ±1.24   | ±6.7| ±0.13     | ±1.54   |

### 3.2 Clothing insulation

The mean clothing insulation values of respondents in H and L modes were 0.38 and 0.40 clo, respectively, which were lower than the assumed value (0.5 clo) of building energy consumption simulation and laboratory research in summer. Theremore, it is suggested to adopt 0.4 clo as the clothing insulation assumed value. Fig. 1(a) shows the distribution frequency of clothing insulation variations. The clothing insulation in H mode was significantly lower than that in L mode (P = 0.015), indicating that subjects in H mode were more active in reducing clothing insulation to improve their thermal discomfort. It can be seen from Fig. 1(b) that age had a significant impact on the clothing insulation, and the clothing insulation increased with age (P < 0.001), and at the same age, the clothing insulation in L mode was significantly higher than that in H mode. As can be seen from Fig. 1(c), only the clothing insulation of L mode decreased with indoor operative temperature (P = 0.000). It can thus be concluded that the clothing insulation in H mode was primarily affected by age, whereas the clothing insulation in L mode was influenced not only by age but also by indoor temperature. This could be because the indoor temperature was relatively high in H mode, causing the clothing adjustment to reach the threshold. As a result, the clothing insulation difference of subjects mainly came from age in H mode. With the increase of age, the human metabolic rate will decrease, so a higher temperature is needed to maintain comfort [6]. In L mode, the indoor temperature was low, and the respondents would be cold and uncomfortable. Therefore, clothing insulation would be affected not only by age but also by temperature. This also suggested that in office buildings, when people in L mode feel a little cold, they would make the behavior adjustment of adding clothes to improve their thermal comfort rather than raising the SPT, but this behavior is not conducive to the air conditioner energy saving.

### 3.3 Air velocity and air movement sensation

The relationship between air velocity and indoor operative temperature, as shown in Fig. 2. A linear relationship was determined between these two parameters in H mode, and Eq. (1) expresses the regression between air velocity and indoor operative temperature.

H mode: \( V_a = 0.026T_{op} - 0.543 \) ( \( R^2 = 0.024, \ P = 0.008 \) )

Fig. 3 shows that the air velocity in H mode increased with the operative temperature, and 1 °C rise in \( T_{op} \) could lead to 0.026 m/s increase in air velocity. However, the air velocity in L mode had no relationship with indoor temperature (P > 0.05), and the air velocity and air movement sensation of subjects in H mode were significantly higher than those in L mode (P < 0.01). It can thus be inferred that compared with L mode, the respondents in H mode would actively improve their thermal discomfort by increasing indoor air velocity.

Fig. 4 depicts the link between thermal sensation and air movement sensation. There were negative associations between thermal sensation and air movement sensation (P < 0.01), and no significant differences in gradient and intercept between the two modes (P > 0.05), showing that air movement sensation affected the thermal sensation of the respondents in both SPT modes to the same degree. As a result, people might improve their thermal sensation in the two SPT modes by raising indoor air velocity and enhancing air movement sensation.

### 3.4 Energy-saving potential

Using the Bin method, a weighted linear regression analysis was performed on the TSV of the two modes with \( T_{op} \) and SET*. Eqs. (2) ~ (5) express the regressions among TSV and \( T_{op}, \) SET*.

H mode: \( TSV = 0.172T_{op} - 4.803 \) ( \( R^2 = 0.728, \ P = 0.000 \) )
indicated that although the thermal environments of the two SPT modes were different, there was no discrepancy in indoor thermal environments perceived by people under different SPT modes after considering the behavioral adaptations such as increasing air velocity and adjusting clothing.

From sections 3.2 and 3.3, it can be seen that there were differences in the behavioral adjustments of subjects between different SPT modes. Compared with the H mode, subjects in L mode did not actively improve their thermal comfort by increasing air velocity or reducing clothing. This is because people do not have to consider economic factors in office buildings, so some people did not actively adopt low-energy behavior adjustments other than the use of air conditioners. This phenomenon may also have a lot to do with age. The ages of respondents in H mode were significantly older than those in L mode (P=0.000). The behavioral habits of the elderly and young people are different, and the elderly are more inclined to open windows or adjust clothing for their thermal comfort while young people who have been living in a low-temperature air-conditioned environment since childhood get used to using air conditioners when they feel hot, rather than using low-energy window opening, clothing adjustment or the use of fans, which have adapted psychologically and behaviorally [8].

5 Conclusions

(1) People’s behavioral adaptation enthusiasm in high SPT mode was greater than that in low SPT mode.
(2) The energy consumption can be saved by about 14.8% after considering the adjustment of people's clothing.
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