RATIONAL POINTS OF RIGID ANALYTIC SETS: A PILA-WILKIE TYPE THEOREM
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Abstract. We establish a rigid analytic analog of the Pila-Wilkie counting theorem, giving subpolynomial upper bounds for the number of rational points in the transcendental part of a \(\mathbb{Q}_p\)-analytic set, and the number of rational functions in a \(\mathbb{F}_q((t))\)-analytic set. For \(\mathbb{Z}((t))\)-analytic sets we prove such bounds uniformly for the specialization to every non-archimedean local field.

1. Introduction

Our goal in this paper is to introduce a non-archimedean version of the Pila-Wilkie counting theorem which applies uniformly to analytic sets over local fields in the mixed-characteristic case \(F = \mathbb{Q}_p\) and equicharacteristic case \(F = \mathbb{F}_q((t))\). We begin by recalling the classical statement of the Pila-Wilkie counting theorem.

1.1. Classical Pila-Wilkie. For \(X \subset \mathbb{R}^n\), we define the algebraic part \(X^\text{alg}\) to be the union of all connected, positive-dimensional semialgebraic sets contained in \(X\). We set \(X^\text{tran} := X \setminus X^\text{alg}\). For \(x \in \mathbb{Q}^n\) we denote \(H(x) := \max_i H(x_i)\), where \(H(x_i)\) is the height of \(x_i\). We set

\[ X(\mathbb{Q}, H) := \{ x \in X \cap \mathbb{Q}^n \mid H(x) \leq H \}. \]

With these notations, Pila and Wilkie [20] proved the following counting theorem.

Theorem 1.1.1. Let \(X\) be definable in an o-minimal structure. Then for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists a constant \(C(X, \varepsilon)\) such that

\[ \#X^\text{tran}(\mathbb{Q}, H) \leq C(X, \varepsilon) \cdot H^\varepsilon. \]

We refer the unfamiliar reader to [21] for an introduction to the notion of o-minimal structures. Theorem 1.1.1 has found numerous applications in an area of arithmetic geometry known as “unlikely intersection problems” following a general strategy of Pila and Zannier. We also refer the reader to [21] for a survey of this direction.

1.2. Our main result. We consider a rigid analytic analog of the Pila-Wilkie theorem, where definable sets are replaced by the spectra of affinoid algebras. For the precise definitions see Section 2. For the purposes of this introduction, we first introduce two types of affinoid algebras over local fields.

Set either \(F = \mathbb{Q}_p\) and \(V = \mathbb{Z}_p\), or \(F = \mathbb{F}_q((t))\) and \(V = \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]\). An affinoid \(F\)-algebra is an \(F\)-algebra of the form \(B := B[[1/p]]\) (resp. \(B := B[[1/t]]\)) where \(B\) is a quotient \(V \langle \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \rangle / b\) of a formal power series ring (converging in the \(p\)-adic or \(t\)-adic topologies). The set of \(F\)-rational points of the rigid analytic spectrum of such an affinoid
algebra can naturally be interpreted as a subset of the unit polydisc $V^n$ in $F^n$. We will be concerned with counting rational points in such a spectrum. In particular, for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ we set

$$(\text{Sp } \mathcal{B})(\mathbb{Q}, H) := \{ x \in \text{Sp } \mathcal{B} \cap \mathbb{Q}^n \mid \max_i H(x_i) \leq H \}$$

as before, and for $F = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ we set

$$(\text{Sp } \mathcal{B})(\mathbb{F}_q(t), H) := \{ x \in \text{Sp } \mathcal{B} \cap \mathbb{F}_q(t)^n \mid \max_i \deg_t(x_i) \leq \log_q H \}.$$

We say that an irreducible closed subset of $\text{Sp } F \langle \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \rangle$ is algebraic if it is an irreducible component of a closed set defined by a polynomial ideal $I \subset F \langle \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \rangle$, i.e., an ideal obtained by extension from $F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. More generally we say that a closed subset of $\text{Sp } F \langle \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \rangle$ is algebraic if its irreducible components are algebraic. Finally we define $(\text{Sp } \mathcal{B})^{\text{alg}}$ to be the union of all positive-dimensional closed algebraic subsets of $\text{Sp } \mathcal{B}$, and $(\text{Sp } \mathcal{B})^{\text{tran}}$ to be its complement in $\text{Sp } \mathcal{B}$. With these definitions in place, our first analog of the Pila-Wilkie theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.1.** Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an affinoid $F$-algebra as above. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\#(\text{Sp } \mathcal{B})^{\text{tran}}(F_0, H) \leq C(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon) \cdot H^\varepsilon, \quad F_0 = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & (F = \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ \mathbb{F}_q(t) & (F = \mathbb{F}_q(t)) \end{cases}.$$

In the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ case, our result is a special case of the result of [9], who proved a similar result more generally for subanalytic $\mathbb{Q}_p$ sets. In the $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ case the result is new.

**Remark 1.2.2.** In [11, 12] Demangos claimed a proof of Theorem 1.2.1 in both the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and the $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ cases. However it has been known to the experts for several years that the proof contains a substantial gap in both cases.

Our main result is a uniform version of Theorem 1.2.1 where we allow the field $F$ to vary. Toward this end, we let $\mathcal{O}$ denote the ring of integer of an algebraic number field and replace $F$ by $\mathcal{O}(t)$ and $V$ by $\mathcal{O}(t)$. We develop an analogous notion of affinoid algebras in this context. For the purpose of this introduction, the reader may consider an affinoid algebra to be an $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra $\mathcal{B} := B[1/t]$ where $B$ is a quotient $B = \mathcal{O}(t)/\langle \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \rangle/b$ of a power series ring converging in the $t$-adic topology. Consider some local non-archimedean field $F_\alpha$ with valuation ring $V_\alpha$, and an adic homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{O}(t) \to V_\alpha$. Let $\pi$ be a uniformizer of $V_\alpha$, $q_\alpha = p^\ell$ the number of elements of the residue field $V_\alpha/(\pi)$, and $r_\alpha \geq 1$ be the number given by $\alpha(t) = u\pi^{r_\alpha}$, where $u \in V_\alpha^\times$. Then the fiber $(\text{Sp } \mathcal{B})_\alpha$ is an $F_\alpha$-affinoid space. We set

$$\sigma_\alpha = \begin{cases} [F_\alpha : \mathbb{Q}_p] & (F_\alpha \supset \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ r_\alpha & (F_\alpha \supset \mathbb{F}_q(t)). \end{cases}$$

Our main theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.3.** Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon, \sigma_\alpha)$ such that for every fiber $(\text{Sp } \mathcal{B})_\alpha$ as above,

$$\#(\text{Sp } \mathcal{B})^{\text{tran}}_\alpha(F_{\alpha,0}, H) \leq C(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon, \sigma_\alpha) \cdot q_\alpha^{r_\alpha} \cdot H^\varepsilon, \quad F_{\alpha,0} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & (F_\alpha \supset \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ \mathbb{F}_q(t) & (F_\alpha \supset \mathbb{F}_q(t)). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2.1 follows immediately from Theorem 1.2.3. A similar result, which holds more generally for $\mathcal{O}(t)$-subanalytic sets, was established in [10, Theorem B]. However,
the result in [10] holds for local fields with residue characteristic larger than some \( N = N(X, \varepsilon) \).

More generally, consider a morphism of affinoid algebras \( \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \), and view \( \text{Sp} \mathcal{B} \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{A} \) as a family of rigid analytic spaces. Then any adic homomorphism \( \alpha : A \to V_\alpha \) from a formal model \( A \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) corresponds to a point of \( \text{Sp} \mathcal{A} \), and we have the following.

**Theorem 1.2.4.** Let \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \) be an affinoid \( \mathcal{O}(t) \)-algebra. Then for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and any positive integer \( \sigma \) there exists a constant \( C(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \varepsilon, \sigma) \) such that for every fiber \( (\text{Sp} \mathcal{B})_\alpha \) as above,

\[
\#(\text{Sp} \mathcal{B})_{\alpha}^{\text{trans}}(F_{\alpha,0}, H) \leq C(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \varepsilon, \sigma_\alpha) \cdot q_\alpha^n \cdot H^\varepsilon, \quad F_{\alpha,0} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & (F_\alpha \supset \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ \mathbb{F}_q(t) & (F_\alpha \supset \mathbb{F}_q(t)). \end{cases}
\]

Theorem 1.2.4 restricts to Theorem 1.2.3 where \( \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(t) \).

1.3. **Overconvergent versions.** Most of the technical work in the present paper is carried out with the flavor of “overconvergence”. That is, in the notations of the previous section, we consider a formal model \( \mathcal{B} \) and affinoid algebra \( \mathcal{B} := B[1/t] \), but restrict attention to the part of \( \text{Sp} \mathcal{B} \) that belongs to the polydisc of radius \( |t| \) for some \( \delta \in \mathbb{Q} \) with \( \delta > 0 \). Denote this by \( \text{Sp} \mathcal{B}^{t,\delta} \). For this overconvergent part, we have the following more uniform version of Theorem 1.2.3.

**Theorem 1.3.1.** Let \( \mathcal{B} \) be an affinoid \( \mathcal{O}(t) \)-algebra. Then for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and any positive integer \( \sigma \) there exists a constant \( C(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon, \delta, \sigma) \) such that for every fiber \( (\text{Sp} \mathcal{B})_{\alpha} \) as in Theorem 1.2.3,

\[
\#(\text{Sp} \mathcal{B}^{t,\delta})_{\alpha}^{\text{trans}}(F_{\alpha,0}, H) \leq C(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon, \delta, \sigma_\alpha) \cdot H^\varepsilon, \quad F_{\alpha,0} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & (F_\alpha \supset \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ \mathbb{F}_q(t) & (F_\alpha \supset \mathbb{F}_q(t)). \end{cases}
\]

Note that here we avoid the extra \( q_\alpha^n \)-term, making the result truly uniform over all \( \mathbb{Q}_p \)- and \( \mathbb{F}_q(t) \)-points. The proof of Theorem 1.3.1 is given in Section 5.2.1. Theorem 1.2.3 is obtained from Theorem 1.3.1 by covering \( \text{Sp} \mathcal{B} \) by \( q_\alpha^n \) polydiscs of radius \( |t| \), and applying Theorem 1.3.1 to each of them. This is carried out in Section 5.2.2.

Theorem 1.2.3 is a special case of Theorem 5.1.3 which establishes a similar result where the rigid analytic space \( \text{Sp} \mathcal{B} \) is also allowed to vary in a rigid analytic family, and the constant \( C(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon, \delta, \sigma) \) is uniformly bounded over the family. Similar statements have been obtained in the original Pila-Wilkie setting [20] as well as in [9, 10].

1.4. **Comparison with earlier work.** The work of [9] on Pila-Wilkie counting for \( \mathbb{Q}_p \)-subanalytic sets is roughly analogous to the proof in the classical case. The key difficulty is to find a suitable replacement for the the reparametrization lemma (proved by Yomdin [22] and Gromov [14] in the algebraic setting, and extended to the general o-minimal structure by Pila and Wilkie [20]). This is accomplished in [9] through a systematic study of Lipschitz continuous cell decompositions. In [10] this is extended to \( \mathbb{Z}[\lfloor t \rfloor] \). The model theoretic machinery then allows one to specialize uniformly to every non-archimedean local field of sufficiently high characteristic.

Our proofs follow a different approach to the Pila-Wilkie theorem introduced in [4]. This approach avoids the use of the reparametrization theorem and replaces it by an argument in the spirit of Weierstrass preparation: instead of covering an analytic set by smooth charts as in the reparametrization lemma, one covers it by Weierstrass polydiscs where analytic functions can be Weierstrass prepared. It turns out, perhaps unsurprisingly, that Noether normalization provides a very direct analog of the Weierstrass polydisc
construction in the rigid analytic setting. Our main technical result in this direction, Theorem 3.3.2 shows that Noether normalization can be performed uniformly in families – giving a suitable replacement of the reparametrization lemma. The main advantage of this approach is that Noether normalization is much easier to carry out in positive characteristics than the reparameterization approach of [9, 10], and it is this feature that allows us to carry out our proofs over \( \mathbb{F}_q((t)) \) and indeed uniformly over all characteristics.

Remark 1.4.1. In this paper we restrict attention to the setting of affinoid spaces, which is less general than the subanalytic spaces considered in [9, 10]. In the archimedean setting, the approach of [4] was also used with relatively little effort to recover the subanalytic case from the analytic case. It seems possible that an analogous approach would yield a “subanalytic” version of our result in the rigid analytic setting as well. However, to our knowledge the corresponding notion of \( \mathcal{O}((t)) \)-subanalytic sets in rigid geometry has not yet been developed in the literature. We do remark that the approach of Martin [17] seems quite suitable for our purposes, if carried out in the more general setting of \( \mathcal{O}((t)) \)-analytic spaces.

1.5. Toward polylogarithmic counting theorems. The Weierstrass polydisc construction developed in [4] has played the central role in many further developments around the Pila-Wilkie theorem, concerning questions of effectivity [11] as well as in the direction of the Wilkie conjecture, i.e. the improvement of the asymptotic \( O(H^\varepsilon) \) to a polylogarithmic \( (\log H)^k \) [5, 2]. In the non-archimedean context, the same idea was used in [3] to prove a polylogarithmic counting result for germs of analytic varieties defined by Pfaffian or Noetherian functions. Namely, the number of \( \mathbb{C}(t) \)-rational curves in such a germ grows polynomially as a function of the degree.

Since our approach here establishes a very direct analog of the Weierstrass polydisc construction in rigid analytic geometry, it seems likely that it could lead to similar improvements in the rigid analytic setting. We intend to pursue these applications in forthcoming work. To illustrate the potential of this approach we prove the following polylogarithmic interpolation result, which can be seen as a first step toward polylogarithmic counting.

**Theorem 1.5.1.** Let \( \mathcal{B} \) be an affinoid \( \mathcal{O}((t)) \)-algebra. Then there exists a constant

\[
C = \begin{cases} 
C(\mathcal{B}, \delta, [F : \mathbb{Q}_p]/r_a) & (F_a \supset \mathbb{Q}_p), \\
C(\mathcal{B}, \delta) & (F_a \supset \mathbb{F}_q((t))),
\end{cases}
\]

such that for every fiber \( (\text{Sp} \mathcal{B})_a \) as in Theorem 1.2.3 the set \( (\text{Sp} \mathcal{B}^{\text{tr}})_{\text{tr}}(F_{a,0}, H) \) is contained in an algebraic hypersurface of degree \( C(\log q, H)^d \).

Similar results have been obtained in [9] in the \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) setting and in [10] uniformly for sufficiently large primes. However, experience from the classical \( \mathbb{R} \) context [5] and the \( \mathbb{C}(t) \) context [3] shows that the approach using Weierstrass polydiscs makes it easier to extend such results into a full-fledged polylogarithmic counting theorem.

1.6. Organization of this paper. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we develop some background material on rigid analytic geometry over \( \mathcal{O}((t)) \), where \( \mathcal{O} \) is an integer ring of a number field. In Section 3 we develop a uniform version of the Noether normalization theorem in this context, which forms our rigid analytic analog of the “Weierstrass polydisc” construction of [4]. In Section 4 we prove a result on interpolation of rational points in rigid spaces using algebraic hypersurfaces, assuming that the space is Noether normalized. Finally in Section 5 we prove the point counting theorems.
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1.8. **Conventions.**

- We denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of all non-negative integers.
- For a local ring $R$ we denote by $m_R$ its maximal ideal.
- For $v = a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$ a reduced fraction, we denote $H(v) = \max\{|a|, |b|\}$. For $v = a(t)/b(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ a reduced fraction we denote $H(v) = \max\{q^{\deg a}, q^{\deg b}\}$.

2. **Rigid geometry**

2.1. **Admissible algebras.** Let $F_0$ be a number field, fixed once for all, and $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ the integer ring of $F_0$. We consider the formal power series ring $\mathcal{O}[t]$ with the $t$-adic topology. We denote by $\mathcal{O}[t]\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ (where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$) the **restricted power series ring over $\mathcal{O}[t]$**, i.e., the $t$-adic completion of the polynomial ring $\mathcal{O}[t][x]$.

**Definition 2.1.1.** (1) A **topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra** is an $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra $A$ that is isomorphic to an $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra of the form $\mathcal{O}[t]\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle/a$, where $a \subset \mathcal{O}[t]\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ is an ideal. By a **morphism** of topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebras we mean an $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra homomorphism.

(2) A topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra is said to be **admissible** if it is $t$-torsion free.

Note that topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebras are Noetherian and $t$-adically complete, and every morphism between them is adic. We denote by $\text{Ad}_{\mathcal{O}[t]}$ the category of admissible $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebras and $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra homomorphisms.

The notion of topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebras gives rise to the notion of finite type formal schemes over $\mathcal{O}[t]$. Note that, if $X$ is a finite type formal scheme over $\mathcal{O}[t]$, then $X_0$ (the closed fiber by $t = 0$) is a finite type $\mathcal{O}$-scheme, hence is Jacobson.

2.1.2. **Restricted power series ring over $A$.** For any topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra $A$, we denote by $A\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ the $t$-adic completion of the polynomial ring $A[x]$, or what amounts to the same,

$$A\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle = \left\{ \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\nu x^\nu \in A[x] \middle| \text{for any } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \text{ there exists } M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \text{ such that } |\nu| \geq M \Rightarrow a_\nu \in t^m A \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.3.** Let $A$ be a topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra, and $N \subset A$ its $t$-torsion part, i.e., the ideal consisting of the $t$-torsion elements. Then the $t$-torsion part of $A\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ is the ideal

$$\left\{ \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\nu x^\nu \middle| a_\nu \in N \text{ for any } \nu \in \mathbb{N}^n \right\}. \quad (*)$$

Thus we have

$$A\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle/(t\text{-torsion}) \cong (A/(t\text{-torsion}))\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle.$$

In particular, if $A$ is admissible, then so is $A\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$.

**Proof.** Let $M$ be the $t$-torsion part of $A\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$. It is clear that $M$ is contained in the ideal $(*)$. Since $A$ is Noetherian, there exists sufficiently large $m > 0$ such that $t^m N = 0$. Hence, if $F \in A\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ belongs to the ideal $(*)$, then we have $t^m F = 0$, and hence $F \in M$. \qed
2.2. **Classical points.** We consider rigid spaces of finite type over $\mathcal{S} = (\text{Spf} \mathcal{O}[t])^{\text{rig}}$. A finite type affinoid over $\mathcal{S}$, for example, is a rigid space isomorphic to a rigid space of the form $(\text{Spf} A)^{\text{rig}}$ given by a topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra $A$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a rigid space of finite type over $\mathcal{S}$. Simply by a point of $\mathcal{X}$, we usually mean a point of the associated Zariski-Riemann space $\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle$. A classical point of $\mathcal{X}$ is a retro-compact point-like rigid subspace of $\mathcal{X}$ (I [3, II, 8.2.8]). Note that any classical point of $\mathcal{X}$ is closed (I [3, II, 8.2.9]). As usual, the set of all classical points of $\mathcal{X}$ is denoted by $\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle^{\text{cl}}$. If $\mathcal{X} = (\text{Spf} A)^{\text{rig}}$, where $A$ is an admissible $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra, then classical points are in canonical one-to-one correspondence with closed points of the Noetherian scheme Spec $\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A} = A[1/t]$ (I [3, II, 8.2.11]).

**Remark 2.2.1.** The classical points in the situation of classical rigid geometry are often referred to as rig-points; cf. I §8.3.

**Lemma 2.2.2.** Any classical point of a rigid space of finite type over $\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to $(\text{Spf} V)^{\text{rig}}$, where $V$ is a complete discrete valuation ring $V$ with finite residue field.

**Proof.** By I [3, II, 8.2.6], the classical points are of the form $(\text{Spf} V)^{\text{rig}}$ by a complete discrete valuation ring $V$. Since $V$ is topologically of finite type over $\mathcal{O}[t]$, $V/tV$ is of finite type over $\mathcal{O}$. As the residue field of $V$ is of finite type over $\mathcal{O}$, it is a finite field.

**Corollary 2.2.3.** If $A$ is a topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra, and $m$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{A} = A[1/t]$, then the residue field $\mathcal{A}/m$ is a non-archimedean local field, i.e., a complete discrete valuation field with finite residue field.

**Example 2.2.4.** Let us consider the case $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}$, and let $p$ be a prime number.

1. A classical point $(\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{rig}} \hookrightarrow (\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}[t])^{\text{rig}}$ is given by the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[t] \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ that maps $t$ to $p$.

2. A classical point $(\text{Spf} \mathbb{F}_p[t])^{\text{rig}} \hookrightarrow (\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}[t])^{\text{rig}}$ is given by the canonical homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[t] \to \mathbb{F}_p[t] \cong \mathbb{Z}[t]/p\mathbb{Z}[t]$.

**Example 2.2.5.** Consider the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[t] \longrightarrow R = \mathbb{Z}[t]/(p^3 - t^2).$$

The normalization $\tilde{R}$ of $R$ is a ramified quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. In fact, $\tilde{R}$ can be obtained from the strict transform of the admissible blow-up $X \to \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}[t]$ along the admissible ideal $J = (p, t)$; i.e.,

$$X = \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}[t][t/p] \cup \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}[t][t/p] \longrightarrow \text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}[t],$$

and the strict transform on Spf $R$ is Spf $\tilde{R} \to \text{Spf} R$, where $\tilde{R} \cong \mathbb{Z}[t]/(t/p)/(p - (t/p)^2)$. In particular, the closed immersion Spf $\tilde{R} \to X$ gives rise to a classical point of $(\text{Spf} \mathbb{Z}[t])^{\text{rig}}$.

**Lemma 2.2.6.** Let $\phi : \mathcal{O}[t] \to V$, where $V$ is as in Lemma 2.2.2 be an adic morphism arised from a classical point of a rigid space of finite type over $\mathcal{S}$, and set $q = \phi^{-1}(m_V)$ and $p = q \cap \mathcal{O}$.

1. $q$ (resp. $p$) is a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}[t]$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}$), and $q = p\mathcal{O}[t] + (t)$;
2. $V$ is finite over $\mathcal{O}[t]$.

**Proof.** Since the residue field $k = V/m_V$ is finite, $q$ (resp. $p$) is a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}[t]$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}$). Since $\phi(t) \in m_V$, we have $p\mathcal{O}[t] + (t) \subseteq q$. But, since $\mathcal{O}[t]/(p\mathcal{O}[t] + (t)) \cong \mathcal{O}/p$, $p\mathcal{O}[t] + (t)$ is maximal, and hence we have $p\mathcal{O}[t] + (t) = q$. Since $V/tV$ is a finite ring, $V/tV$ is finite over $\mathcal{O}[t]/t\mathcal{O}[t]$. Then by I [3, §8.4], $V$ is finite over $\mathcal{O}[t]$. □
Lemma 2.2.7 (Functoriality of classical points). Let \( \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \) be a morphism of rigid spaces of finite type over \( S \), and \( (\text{Spf} \, V)^\text{rig} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X} \) a classical point. Then there exists uniquely a commutative diagram

\[
(\text{Spf} \, V)^\text{rig} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{Y}
\]

where \( W \to V \) is finite and \( (\text{Spf} \, W)^\text{rig} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} \) is a classical point. Thus we have the map \( \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle^\text{cl} \to \langle \mathcal{Y} \rangle^\text{cl} \) between the set of classical points.

Proof. We may assume that \( \mathcal{X} = (\text{Spf} \, A)^\text{rig} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} = (\text{Spf} \, B)^\text{rig} \), and that \( \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \) is induced from a morphism \( B \to A \) of admissible \( \mathcal{O}[[t]] \)-algebras. Then any classical point of \( \mathcal{X} \) corresponds to an adic morphism \( A \to V \) to a complete discrete valuation ring \( V \) with finite residue field. Since classical points in our situation are closed, we may assume that \( A \to V \) is surjective.

Let \( \phi : \mathcal{O}[[t]] \to V \) be given by the composition \( \mathcal{O}[[t]] \to B \to A \to V \), and set \( q = \phi^{-1}(m_V) \) and \( p = q \cap \mathcal{O} \). Note that, since \( \sum_{\nu \geq 0} a_\nu t^\nu \in \mathcal{O}[[t]] \) does not belong to \( q \) if and only if \( a_0 \notin p \), we have \( \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[t]_q = \mathcal{O}_p[t] \). Let \( R \) be the image of \( \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[t]_q \) in \( V \), which is a \( t \)-adically complete local subring of \( V \), and \( \tilde{R} \) the normalization of \( R \) in \( V \), which is a \( t \)-adically complete discrete valuation subring of \( V \). Let \( J \subset R \) be the admissible ideal such that \( \tilde{R} \) is the admissible blow-up along \( J \).

Consider the base change \( B_R = B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \tilde{R} \to A_R = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \tilde{R} \) and the strict transform \( B_\tilde{R} \to A_\tilde{R} \) by \( R \to \tilde{R} \). Since \( A_\tilde{R} \to V \) is surjective, it defines a classical point of \( \mathcal{X}_\tilde{R} = (\text{Spf} \, A_\tilde{R})^\text{rig} \). By the functoriality of classical points over valuation rings of height 1 ([13 II, 8.2.14]), one has a commutative diagram of the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spf} \, V & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Spf} \, A_\tilde{R} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spf} \, W & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Spf} \, Z \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
\text{Spf} \, B_\tilde{R}, & & \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( W \) is a complete discrete valuation ring with finite residue field, \( W \to V \) is finite, \( i \) is a closed immersion, and \( \pi \) is an admissible blow-up.

Let \( \pi' : Z \to \text{Spf} \, B_R \) be the composition \( Z \xrightarrow{\nu} \text{Spf} \, B_\tilde{R} \to \text{Spf} \, B_R \) of two admissible blow-ups, which is again an admissible blow-up ([13 II, 1.1.10]), and \( J' \subset B_R \) the corresponding admissible ideal. Let \( J_1 \subset B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}_q[t]_q \) be the pull-back of \( J' \) by the surjection \( B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}_q[t]_q \to B_R \). Then \( J_1 \) is an admissible ideal of \( B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}_q[t]_q \), and the corresponding admissible blow-up \( \pi_1 : Z_1 \to \text{Spf} \, B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}_q[t]_q \) gives rise to \( \pi' \) by passage to the strict transform. Note that \( Z \to Z_1 \) is a closed immersion. Suppose \( t^N \in J_1 \). Then \( J_1 \) corresponds to a finitely generated ideal \( J_1 \) of \( B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}_p[t]_q/(t^N) \), which extends to a finitely generated ideal \( J_2 \) of \( B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}[1/a][t]/(t^N) \) for some \( a \in \mathcal{O} \setminus p \). Then the pull-back \( J_2 \subset B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}[1/a][t] \) of \( J_2 \) gives rise to the admissible blow-up \( \pi_2 : Z_2 \to \text{Spf} \, B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}[1/a][t] \) that extends \( \pi_1 \). Now, due to [13 II, 1.1.9], we can further extend \( \pi_2 \) to an admissible blow-up \( \pi_3 : Y \to \text{Spf} \, B \). Thus we have a locally
closed immersion $\text{Spf } W \to Y$, which defines a classical point of $Y = Y^{\text{rig}}$ (cf. \cite{Illusie} II, 8.2.9 (2))), and fits in with the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spf } V & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spf } A_R \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spf } W & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spf } A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spf } B_R & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spf } B.
\end{array}
\]

The uniqueness follows from \cite{Illusie} II, 8.2.11]. □

**Corollary 2.2.8.** Let $A \to B$ be a morphism of topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[[t]]$-algebras. Then $\text{Spec } B[1/t] \to \text{Spec } A[1/t]$ maps closed points to closed points.

### 2.3. Affinoid algebras

Set $\mathcal{O}(t) = \mathcal{O}[[t]]$.

**Definition 2.3.1.** An affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra is an $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra that is isomorphic to an $\mathcal{O}[[t]]$-algebra of the form $A[1/t]$ by a topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[[t]]$-algebra $A$. By a morphism of affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebras we mean an $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra homomorphism.

**Definition 2.3.2.** (1) A formal model of an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a topologically of finite type $\mathcal{O}[[t]]$-algebra $A$ with an isomorphism $A[1/t] \sim A$ of $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebras.

(2) A formal model is said to be admissible if it is given by an admissible $\mathcal{O}[[t]]$-algebra.

If $A$ is a formal model of $\mathcal{A}$, then $A/(t\text{-torsion})$ gives an admissible formal model. In particular, any affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ admits an admissible formal model. Note that a formal model $A$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is admissible if and only if the map $A \to \mathcal{A}$ is injective.

We denote by $\mathbf{Af}_{\mathcal{O}(t)}$ the category of affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebras and $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra homomorphisms. There is a functor

$$\cdot[1/t] : \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{O}(t)} \to \mathbf{Af}_{\mathcal{O}(t)}, \quad A \mapsto A[1/t].$$

**Proposition 2.3.3.** Any affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra is Jacobson.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that, for any affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-domain $\mathcal{A}$, the intersection of all maximal ideals of $\mathcal{A}$ is zero. Let $p$ be the kernel of $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{A}$, which is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. If $p \neq (0)$, then $p$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is an affinoid algebra over the complete discrete valuation field $(\mathcal{O}/p)((t))$. Hence $\mathcal{A}$ is known to be Jacobson. Suppose $p = (0)$. For any non-zero $f \in A$, consider the non-empty open subset $D(f) = \text{Spec } A_f$ of $\text{Spec } A$. We need to show that $D(f)$ contains a closed point of $\text{Spec } A$. Since the image of $\phi$: $\text{Spec } A \to \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$ is dense and $\mathcal{O}$ is Jacobson, there exists a closed point $x$ of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$ such that $\phi^{-1}(x) \cap D(f) \neq \emptyset$. The fiber $\phi^{-1}(x)$ is given by $\text{Spec } A/qA$, where $q$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ corresponding to $x$, and $A/qA$ is an affinoid algebra over the complete discrete valuation field $(\mathcal{O}/q)((t))$. Since $A/qA$ is known to be Jacobson, there exists a closed point of the closed subset $\phi^{-1}(x) \subset \text{Spec } A$ in $\phi^{-1}(x) \cap D(f)$.

### 2.3.4. Tate algebra

Let $A$ be an admissible $\mathcal{O}[[t]]$-algebra, and $\mathcal{A} = A[1/t]$ the associated affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra. We set

$$A(x) := A\{x\}[1/t] = \left\{ \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\nu} x^\nu \in A[[x]] \mid \text{ for any } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \text{ there exists } M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \text{ such that } |\nu| \geq M \Rightarrow a_{\nu} \in t^m A \right\},$$

which is an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra, called the Tate algebra over $\mathcal{A}$. 

2.4. Norms on affinoid algebras. The ring $O(t)$ is equipped with a non-archimedean norm
\[ |\cdot|: O(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \]
defined as follows: for $a(t) = \sum_{k \geq n} a_k t^k$ with $a_n \neq 0$, we set $|a(t)| = e^{-n}$, where $e > 1$ is a real number fixed once for all, and $|0| = 0$. Note that $O(t)$ is complete with respect to this norm.

For any maximal ideal $m$ of $O(t)$, the residue field $K = O(t)/m$ is a non-archimedean local field (Lemma 2.2.3), and has the unique norm $|\cdot|_K$ such that $|t|_K = e^{-1}$, where $t$ is the image of $t$ in $K$. Note that, for any $a(t) \in O(t)$, we have
\[ |\overline{a(t)}|_K \leq |a(t)|, \]
where $\overline{a(t)}$ is the image of $a(t)$ in $K$.

2.4.1. Gauss norm. The Tate algebra $O(t)[x]$ (where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$) is equipped with the Gauss norm $\| \cdot \|$, which is defined, as usual, as follows:
\[ \| \sum_v a_v x^v \| = \max_v |a_v|. \]
As usual, one can show that the Gauss norm is multiplicative, i.e., $\|FG\| = \|F\| \cdot \|G\|$, and that the Tate algebra $O(t)[x]$ is complete with respect to the Gauss norm.

For any maximal ideal $m$ of $O(t)$, the Tate algebra $O(t)[x]$ is specialized to the usual Tate algebra $K[x]$ over $K = O(t)/m$, and if $\|\cdot\|_K$ denotes the usual Gauss norm on $K[x]$ relative to the norm $|\cdot|_K$ on $K$ as above, we have
\[ \|F(x)\|_K \leq \|F(x)\| \]
for any $F(x) \in O(t)[x]$, where $F(x)$ denotes the image of $F(x)$ in $K[x]$.

2.4.2. Residue norm. For an affinoid $O(t)$-algebra $A$, with a presentation $A \cong O(t)[x]/\mathfrak{a}$, one has the residue norm $\| \cdot \|_A$ defined by
\[ \|f\|_A = \inf \{ \|F\| : F \in O(t)[x] \text{ and } (F \mod \mathfrak{a}) = f \} \]
for $f \in A$.

For any maximal ideal $m$ of $O(t)$, the presentation $A \cong O(t)[x]/\mathfrak{a}$ is specialized to a presentation $A_K \cong K[x]/\mathfrak{a} \cdot K[x]$ of the usual affinoid algebra $A_K$ over $K = O(t)/m$, and thus gives rise to a residue norm $\| \cdot \|_{A_K}$ on $A_K$ (induced from the Gauss norm on $K[x]$ defined as above). We have
\[ \|\overline{f}\|_{A_K} \leq \|f\|_A \tag{*} \]
for any $f \in A$, where $\overline{f}$ is the image of $f$ in $A$.

2.5. Topology on affinoid algebras. Any residue norm on an affinoid algebra $A$ defines a complete topology on $A$. We now show that this topology does not depend on the choice of the residue norm.

Lemma 2.5.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be affinoid $O(t)$-algebras considered with arbitrary residue norms. Then any $O(t)$-algebra homomorphism $\varphi: A \to B$ is continuous.

Proof. Due to closed graph theorem for metrically complete topological groups [19], we only need to show the following: if a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $A$ converges to 0 and $\{\varphi(f_n)\}$ converges to an element $g$ in $B$, then $g = 0$. Take any maximal ideal $m \subset B$, and let $n \subset O(t)$ be the image of $m$ by Spec$B \to$ Spec$O(t)$, which is a maximal ideal of $O(t)$ due to Corollary 2.2.8. Set $K = O(t)/n$ (cf. Corollary 2.2.3). For any $l \geq 1$,...
$\varphi : A \to B/m^l$ is continuous, since $A/\ker(\varphi) \hookrightarrow B/m^l$ is a mapping between finite dimensional $K$-linear spaces, and the topology of $A/\ker(\varphi)$ is the induced one from that of $A$. Hence we have $g \in m^l$ for any $l \geq 1$. Then by Krull’s theorem ([K] Chap. III, §3.2, Cor.), the ideal $\text{Ann}(g) = \{x \in B \mid xg = 0\}$ is not contained in any maximal ideal of $B$. Hence $1 \in \text{Ann}(g)$, i.e., $g = 0$.

**Corollary 2.5.2.** The residue norm on an affinoid algebra $A$ gives a well-defined topology on $A$; i.e., the induced topology does not depend on the choice of a presentation $A \cong \mathcal{O}(t)\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle/a$.

Hence in the sequel, we will always consider affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebras with the canonical topology as above. Any $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra homomorphism between affinoid algebras is continuous with respect to the canonical topology.

**Lemma 2.5.3.** Let $A$ be an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra, and $A$ an admissible formal model of $A$. Then the restriction to $A$ of the canonical topology on $A$ coincides with the $t$-adic topology.

**Proof.** The assertion is clear if $A = \mathcal{O}(t)\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle$ and $A = \mathcal{O}[t]\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle$, i.e., the topology by the Gauss norm on $\mathcal{O}[t]\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle$ coincides with the $t$-adic topology. In general, take a presentation $A \cong \mathcal{O}[t]\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle/a$, and the induced presentation $A \cong \mathcal{O}(t)\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle/\mathcal{O}(t)\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle$. Then the topology on $A$, which is the restriction of the topology by the induced residue norm, is the quotient topology of the $t$-adic topology, hence is the $t$-adic topology.

**Corollary 2.5.4.** Let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a morphism of affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebras, and $A$ an admissible formal model of $A$. Then there exists an admissible formal model $B$ of $B$ and a morphism $\phi : A \to B$ such that $\varphi = \phi[1/t]$.

**Proof.** Take an admissible formal model $B$ of $B$, and let $B'$ be the image of the continuous morphism $A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}[t]} B \to B$. Since $B'$ contains $B$, $B'$ is a formal model of $B$, which admits $A \to B'$, as desired.

**Corollary 2.5.5.** Let $A \to B$ be a morphism of affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebras. Then $\text{Spec } B \to \text{Spec } A$ maps closed points to closed points.

**Proof.** Immediate from Corollary 2.5.4 and Corollary 2.2.8.

2.6. **Reduction map.** Let $A$ be an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra, and $A \subset A$ an admissible formal model of $A$. Set $A_0 = A/tA$. Then we have the so-called reduction map

$$\text{red}_A : \text{Spm } A \to \text{Spm } A_0$$

from the maximal spectrum of $A$ to the maximal spectrum of $A_0$, defined as follows. Any closed point $x \in \text{Spec } A$ corresponds to a classical point $(\text{Spf } V)_{\text{rig}} \to (\text{Spf } A)_{\text{rig}}$, where $V$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with finite residue field, whence a finite morphism $\text{Spf } V \to \text{Spf } A$ of $t$-adic formal schemes. The last morphism induces a finite morphism $\text{Spec } k \to \text{Spec } A_0$, where $k$ is the residue field of $V$, and hence a closed point of $\text{Spec } A_0$, which we define to be $\text{red}_A(x)$.

The reduction map can be defined in a little more general situation. Let $X' \to X = \text{Spf } A$ be an admissible blow-up. Then the finite adic map $\text{Spf } V \to \text{Spf } A$ has a unique lift $\text{Spf } V \to X'$, which gives rise to a finite morphism $\text{Spec } k \to X_0'$, where $X_0'$ is the closed subscheme of $X'$ defined by $t = 0$, which is a finite type $O$-scheme. We thus have a reduction map

$$\text{red}_{X'} : \text{Spm } A \to (X_0')_{\text{cl}}$$

to the set of closed points of $X_0'$. 10
Lemma 2.6.1. The reduction map \( \text{red}_X \) is surjective.

Proof. First, note that there exists a map \( (X'_0)^{\text{cl}} \to \text{Spm} \mathcal{O} \), since \( X'_0 \) is of finite type over \( \mathcal{O} \), which is Jacobson. Hence \( (X'_0)^{\text{cl}} \) is disjoint union of the fibers of this map. The fiber over \( x \in \text{Spm} \mathcal{O} \) of the reduction map \( \text{red}_X \) is the reduction map \( \text{Spm} \mathcal{A}/p_x \mathcal{A} \to (X'_0)^{\text{cl}} \) in classical rigid geometry, where \( p_x \subset \mathcal{O} \) is the maximal ideal corresponding to \( x \); note that \( \mathcal{A}/p_x \mathcal{A} \) is an affinoid algebra over the complete discrete valuation field \( \mathcal{O}(p_x)((t)) \), and the reduction map is considered with respect to the formal model \( \mathcal{A}/p_x \mathcal{A} \) of \( \mathcal{A}/p_x \mathcal{A} \). As the reduction map in classical situation is known to be surjective (e.g. \( \mathbb{P} \) §8.3, Prop. 8)], the assertion follows.

2.7. Power-bounded elements. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be an affinoid \( \mathcal{O}(t) \)-algebra. For any closed point \( x \in \text{Spm} \mathcal{A} \), the residue field \( K_x \) at \( x \) is a non-archimedean local field (Corollary 2.2.3), and has the unique non-archimedean norm \( | \cdot |_x \) defined as in §2.3. One has a map
\[
\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \quad f \mapsto |f(x)| := |f|_x,
\]
and, for any \( f \in \mathcal{A} \), the so-called spectral seminorm
\[
|f|_{\text{sp}} = \sup \{|f(x)| \mid x \in \text{Spm} \mathcal{A}\},
\]
which is power-multiplicative, i.e., \( |f^n|_{\text{sp}} = (|f|_{\text{sp}})^n \) for any \( n \geq 0 \).

Lemma 2.7.1. The spectral seminorm is bounded by any residue norm, i.e., \( |f|_{\text{sp}} \leq \|f\|_\mathcal{A} \) for any \( f \in \mathcal{A} \), where \( \| \cdot \|_\mathcal{A} \) is a residue seminorm relative to an arbitrary presentation \( \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{O}(t)\{x\}/\mathfrak{a} \).

Proof. For any closed point \( x \in \text{Spm} \mathcal{A} \), let \( y \in \text{Spm} \mathcal{O}(t) \) be its image (cf. Corollary 2.5.3), and \( K \) the residue field at \( y \). Then \( |f(x)| = |\overline{f}(x)| \), where \( \overline{f} \) is the image of \( f \) in \( \mathcal{A}_K \). It is known in the classical rigid geometry that \( |\overline{f}(x)| \leq \|\overline{f}\|_{\mathcal{A}_K} \), and by (*) in §2.4.2 we have \( |f(x)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} \).

Proposition 2.7.2. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be an affinoid \( \mathcal{O}(t) \)-algebra. Then the following conditions for \( f \in \mathcal{A} \) are equivalent.

(a) \( f \) is power-bounded, i.e., the set \( \{f^n\} \) is bounded by a residue norm \( | \cdot |_\mathcal{A} \) on \( \mathcal{A} \) (note that the boundedness does not depend on the choice of \( | \cdot |_\mathcal{A} \));
(b) \( |f|_{\text{sp}} \leq 1 \);
(c) \( f \) is integral over any admissible formal model \( A \) of \( \mathcal{A} \);
(d) there exists an admissible formal model \( A \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) that contains \( f \).

Proof. The spirit of the proof is just the same as that of the corresponding theorem in the classical rigid geometry. However, we include the proof here for the reader’s convenience.

Let us first show (a) \( \Rightarrow \) (b). Suppose that \( f \) is power-bounded, and \( |f|_{\text{sp}} > 1 \). Since \( | \cdot |_{\text{sp}} \) is power-multiplicative, \( \{ |f^n|_{\text{sp}} \} \) is not bounded, and hence \( \{ |f^n|_\mathcal{A} \} \) for any residue norm \( | \cdot |_\mathcal{A} \) is not bounded, either.

Second we show (c) \( \Rightarrow \) (d). Suppose \( f \) is integral over an admissible formal model \( A \) of \( \mathcal{A} \). Then \( A[f] \) is finite over \( A \), hence is topologically of finite type, which gives an admissible formal model of \( \mathcal{A} \).

Next we show (d) \( \Rightarrow \) (a). Take an admissible formal model \( A \) such that \( f \in A \), and a presentation \( A \cong \mathcal{O}(t)\{x\}/\mathfrak{a} \). Then we have \( \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(t)\{x\}/\mathcal{O}(t)\{x\} \) and one has the residue norm \( | \cdot |_\mathcal{A} \) on \( \mathcal{A} \) with respect to this presentation. Since \( |g|_\mathcal{A} \leq 1 \) whenever \( g \in A \), we have (a).

Finally, let us show (b) \( \Rightarrow \) (c). Let \( A \) be an admissible formal model of \( \mathcal{A} \). Take sufficiently large \( N \geq 0 \) such that \( g = t^N f \in A \), and consider the admissible ideal
$J = (t^N, g)$ of $A$. Let $X' \to X = \text{Spf } A$ be the admissible blow-up along $J$. We have $X = U^+ \cup U^-$, where

$$
U^+ = \text{Spf } A\langle g/t^n \rangle/(t\text{-torsion}),
$$

$$
U^- = \text{Spf } A\langle f^n/g \rangle/(g\text{-torsion}).
$$

We are going to show $X' = U^+$. What to show is that the closed subscheme $Z_0$ of $Z = X' \setminus U^+$ defined by $t = 0$ is empty. First note that $Z_0$ is a finite type scheme over $O$. By our assumption (b), for any $x \in \text{Spm } A$, the image $f(x)$ of $f$ in the residue field $K_x$ at $x$ belongs to the valuation ring $V_x$. Now the map $\text{Spf } V_x \to \text{Spf } A$ lifts to $\text{Spf } V_x \to X'$ whose image lies in $U^+$, since $g = t^n f$. This means that the image of the reduction map $\text{Spm } A \to (X'_0)^\mathrm{cl}$ is surjective by Lemma 2.6.1. We deduce that $Z_0$ has no closed point of $X'_0$. Since $X'_0$ is of finite type over $O$, and hence is Jacobson, this means $Z_0 = \emptyset$.

Now, since $\pi : X' \to X = \text{Spf } A$ is proper and affine, it is finite. In particular, $\Gamma(X, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}) = A[f]$ is finite over $A$, as desired.

**Corollary 2.7.3.** Let $A$ be an affinoid $O((t))$-algebra, and $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in A$ power-bounded elements. Then there exists an admissible formal model of $A$ that contains $f_1, \ldots, f_n$.

**Corollary 2.7.4.** Let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a morphism of affinoid $O((t))$-algebras, and $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in B$ power-bounded elements of $B$. Then $\varphi$ lifts uniquely to a morphism $A\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \to B$ such that $x_i \to g_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

**Corollary 2.7.5.** Let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a morphism of affinoid $O((t))$-algebras, and $A$ (resp. $B$) an admissible formal model of $A$ (resp. $B$). Then there exists an admissible formal model $B'$ of $B$ such that

(a) $B'$ admits a homomorphism $\phi : A \to B'$ of admissible $O[[t]]$-algebras such that $\phi[1/t] = \varphi$;

(b) $B \subset B'$, and $B'$ is finite over $B$;

(c) $\text{Spf } B' \to \text{Spf } B$ is an admissible blow-up.

**Proof.** Take a presentation $A = O[[t]]\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle/a$, and set $f_i = (x_i \mod a)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Set $g_i = \varphi(f_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then, since $|f_i|_{sp} \leq 1$, we have $|g_i|_{sp} \leq 1$, and thus $B' = B[g_1, \ldots, g_n]$ is an admissible formal model of $B$, which is finite over $B$.

We need to show that $\text{Spf } B' \to \text{Spf } B$ is an admissible blow-up. To this end, take sufficiently large $N \geq 0$ such that $t^N g_i \in B$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and consider the admissible blow-up $X'' \to \text{Spf } B$ along the admissible ideal $J = (t^N, t^N g_1, \ldots, t^N g_n)$. Then $X''$ is covered by $\text{Spf } B_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, where

$$
B_0 = B\langle \frac{t^N g_1}{t^N}, \ldots, \frac{t^N g_n}{t^N} \rangle/(t\text{-torsion}),
$$

which is nothing but $B'$, and for $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$
B_i = B\langle \frac{t^N g_1}{t^N g_i}, \frac{t^N g_2}{t^N g_i}, \ldots, \frac{t^N g_n}{t^N g_i} \rangle/(t^N g_i\text{-torsion}),
$$

which is isomorphic to the complete localization $B'_{(g_i)}$ of $B'$. Hence we have $X'' = \text{Spf } B'$, and thus we have shown that $\text{Spf } B' \to \text{Spf } B$ is an admissible blow-up, as desired. $

**Corollary 2.7.6.** Let $A$ be an affinoid $O((t))$-algebra, and $A$ and $A'$ admissible formal models of $A$ such that $A \subset A'$. Then $A'$ is finite over $A$. Moreover, $\text{Spf } A' \to \text{Spf } A$ is an admissible blow-up.
Remark 2.8.1. Spaces supported on the set of all maximal ideals (i.e., classical points) of classical situation, where affinoid spaces in the classical setting are defined as the analytic formal model $A_{\text{rig}}$. Hence we can write, as in the classical rigid geometry,

$$\text{Sp} A := (\text{Spf } A)^{\text{rig}}.$$ 

**Corollary 2.7.7.** Let $A$ be an affinoid $O((t))$-algebra, and $A$ and $A'$ admissible formal models of $A$. Then there exists an admissible formal model $A''$ of $A$ such that

(a) $A''$ contains both $A$ and $A'$, and $A''$ is finite over $A$ and $A'$;

(b) $\text{Spf } A'' \to \text{Spf } A$ and $\text{Spf } A'' \to \text{Spf } A'$ are admissible blow-ups.

**Proof.** Take a presentation $A = \mathcal{O}[[t]]/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)/\mathfrak{a}$ (resp. $A' = \mathcal{O}[[t]]/(x_1', \ldots, x_n')/\mathfrak{a}'$), and set $f_i = (x_i \bmod \mathfrak{a})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (resp. $f'_j = (x'_j \bmod \mathfrak{a}')$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$). Then $f_i$ and $f'_j$ are power-bounded elements, and set $A'' = A[f_1', \ldots, f_m'] = A'[f_1, \ldots, f_n]$. Then this $A''$ satisfies the condition (a). The condition (b) follows from Corollary 2.7.6. □

**Remark 2.7.8.** What we have seen in Corollary 2.7.5 and Corollary 2.7.7 sums up to say in the language of [13, II, §A.4.(g)] that affinoid $O((t))$-algebras, like classical affinoid algebras, have the so-called canonical rigidification.

### 2.8. Rigid analytic geometry over $O((t))$

By Corollary 2.7.7, for any $O((t))$-affinoid algebra $A$, the affinoid space $(\text{Spf } A)^{\text{rig}}$ does not depend on the choice of the admissible formal model $A$ of $A$. Hence we can write, as in the classical rigid geometry,

$$\text{Sp } A := (\text{Spf } A)^{\text{rig}}.$$ 

**Remark 2.8.1.** Note that this definition of $\text{Sp } A$ differs (harmlessly) from the one in the classical situation, where affinoid spaces in the classical setting are defined as the analytic spaces supported on the set of all maximal ideals (i.e., classical points) of $A$.

By Corollary 2.7.5 any $O((t))$-algebra homomorphism $A \to B$ between affinoid $O((t))$-algebras induces a well-defined morphism $\text{Sp } B \to \text{Sp } A$ of rigid spaces over $O((t))$.

#### 2.8.2. $K$-valued points

Let $O[[t]] \to K$ be a finite $O((t))$-algebra, where $K$ is a non-archimedean local field. Then, for any affinoid space $\text{Sp } A$, one can consider a $K$-valued point $\alpha: \text{Sp } K \to \text{Sp } A$ as a morphism between rigid spaces over $O((t))$. By Corollary 2.7.5 $\alpha$ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Sp } K & \longrightarrow & \text{Sp } A \\
\downarrow^\alpha & & \downarrow^\beta \\
\text{Sp } L & & \\
\end{array}$$

where $K/L$ is a finite extension of non-archimedean local fields, and $\beta$ is a classical point.

#### 2.8.3. Specialization to the classical rigid geometry

Consider a $K$-valued point $\text{Sp } K \hookrightarrow \text{Sp } O[[t]]$, where $K$ is a non-archimedean local field. Then any affinoid space $\text{Sp } A$ over $O((t))$ can be specialized to the affinoid space $\text{Sp } A_K$ over $K$ in the sense of classical rigid geometry, where $A_K = A \otimes_{O((t))} K$.

More generally, for any finite type rigid space $X$ over $O((t))$, one has the base change $X_K$, which is a finite type rigid space over $K$.
2.9. **Extension by roots of** $t$. For a positive integer $N$, one has the finite extension $\mathcal{O}[t] \to \mathcal{O}[t][1/N] = \mathcal{O}[t]/(s^N - t)$. Since the latter ring is $t$-adically complete, it coincides with its completion $\mathcal{O}[[t]]$. Note that $\mathcal{O}[[t]]/\mathcal{O}[t][1/t] = \mathcal{O}(t[[t]])$. Note also that, if $A$ is $t$-adically complete, then $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}[[t]] = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}[t][1/t]$, since the left-hand side is already $t$-adically complete.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an affinoid integral domain over $\mathcal{O}(t)$. Consider the simple field extension $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{A})(t^{1/N})$ of $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{A})$ generated by an $N$th root of $t$. We denote by

$$\mathcal{A}[t^{1/N}]$$

the subring of $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{A})(t^{1/N})$ generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $t^{1/N}$. This is an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t^{1/N})$-algebra, since there exists a surjection $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}(t^{1/N}) \to \mathcal{A}[t^{1/N}]$ and $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}(t^{1/N}) = \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}(t^{1/N})$ is an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t^{1/N})$-algebra.

If $A$ is an admissible formal model of $\mathcal{A}$, one can similarly define

$$A[t^{1/N}]$$

to be the subring of $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{A})(t^{1/N})$ generated by $A$ and $t^{1/N}$, which is the surjective image of the canonical map $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}(t^{1/N}) = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[t]} \mathcal{O}(t^{1/N}) \to A[t^{1/N}]$. Clearly, $A[t^{1/N}]$ is an admissible formal model of $\mathcal{A}[t^{1/N}]$.

2.10. **Affinoid algebra with a convergence condition.** In the sequel, by the denominator of a rational number $\delta$, we mean the smallest positive integer $d(\delta)$ such that $d(\delta) \cdot \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, the denominator of a vector $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ of rational numbers is the smallest positive integer $d(\delta)$ such that $d(\delta) \cdot \delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We write

$$t^\delta = (t^{\delta_1}, \ldots, t^{\delta_n}) \quad \text{and} \quad t^\delta x = (t^{\delta_1}x_1, \ldots, t^{\delta_n}x_n),$$

and for $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\delta \cdot \nu = \delta_1\nu_1 + \cdots + \delta_n\nu_n.$$

2.10.1. **Affinoid algebra with a convergence condition.** For $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n) \in (\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})^n$ and an affinoid algebra $\mathcal{A} = A[1/t]$, where $A$ is an admissible $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra, we set

$$\mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x; \delta \rangle \gg \{ \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\nu x^\nu \in \mathcal{A}\llangle x \gg \big| \nu \cdot t^\delta \to 0 \text{ as } \nu \to \infty \}$$

(not depending on the choice of a residue norm $|\cdot|$ on $\mathcal{A}$), and

$$\mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x; \delta \rangle \gg = \left\{ \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\nu x^\nu \in \mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x; \delta \rangle \gg \big| a_\nu \leq |t|^{\delta \cdot \nu} \right\},$$

which is an $\mathcal{A}$-algebra. Note that the condition $|a_\nu| \leq |t|^{\delta \cdot \nu}$ is equivalent to $a_\nu^{d(\delta)} \in t^{d(\delta)(\delta \cdot \nu)} \mathcal{A}$, hence is independent of choice of the residue norm $|\cdot|$.

If $\delta_i = m_i/d_i$ is the irreducible fraction with $d_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then

$$\mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x; \delta \rangle \gg \cong \mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x, y \rangle \gg / \mathfrak{a},$$

where $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ is the ideal generated by $t^{m_i}x_i^{-d_i} - y_i^{d_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. In particular, $\mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x; \delta \rangle \gg$ is an admissible $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra, and hence $\mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x; \delta \rangle \gg = \mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x; \delta \rangle \gg / [1/t]$ is an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra. Moreover, it is easy to see that, if $x' = (x'_1, \ldots, x'_m)$ and $\delta' = (\delta'_1, \ldots, \delta'_m) \in (\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})^m$, then

$$\mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x, x'; (\delta, \delta') \gg \cong \mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x; \delta \rangle \gg \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x'; \delta' \rangle \gg,$$

$$\mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x, x'; (\delta, \delta') \gg \cong \mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x; \delta \rangle \gg \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}\llangle \langle x'; \delta' \rangle \gg,$$
where \((\delta, \delta') = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n, \delta'_1, \ldots, \delta'_m)\).

Note that \(A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle\) is an affinoid algebra corresponding to the polydisk of polyradius \(|t|^{-\delta} = (|t|^{-\delta_1}, \ldots, |t|^{-\delta_n})\), i.e.,

\[
A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle \otimes_{O[t]} O[[t^{1/N}]] \cong (A \otimes_{O[t]} O[[t^{1/N}]]) \langle\langle t^\delta x \rangle\rangle,
\]

\[
A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle \otimes_{O(t)} O[t^{1/N}] \cong (A \otimes_{O[t]} O[[t^{1/N}]]) \langle\langle t^\delta x \rangle\rangle,
\]

and, if \(A\) is an integral domain,

\[
A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle[t^{1/N}] \cong A[t^{1/N}] \langle\langle t^\delta x \rangle\rangle, \quad A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle[t^{1/N}] \cong A[t^{1/N}] \langle\langle t^\delta x \rangle\rangle,
\]

where \(N\) is a multiple of \(d(\delta)\).

**Lemma 2.10.2.** Let \(\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n), \delta' = (\delta'_1, \ldots, \delta'_n) \in (\mathbb{Q}_{>0})^n\), and suppose \(\delta' \leq \delta\), i.e., \(\delta'_i \leq \delta_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, n\). Then \(A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle \rightarrow A\langle\langle x; \delta' \rangle\rangle\) is flat.

**Proof.** We may assume that \(n = 1\), i.e., it suffices to show that \(A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle \rightarrow A\langle\langle x; \delta' \rangle\rangle\) for \(\delta' \leq \delta\) is flat. Since the extension \(O[[t]] \rightarrow O[[t^{1/N}]]\) is faithfully flat, we may replace \(A\) by \(A \otimes_{O[t]} O[[t^{1/N}]]\), and thus we may assume that \(\delta, \delta' \in \mathbb{Z}\). Then \(Sp A\langle\langle x; \delta' \rangle\rangle \rightarrow Sp A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle\) is an open immersion, since \(Sp A\langle\langle x; \delta' \rangle\rangle \rightarrow Sp A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle\) is one the affine patches of the admissible blow-up of \(Sp A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle\) along the admissible ideal \((t^{\delta - \delta'}, x)\). Then the desired flatness follows from [13 II, 6.6.1 (1)]. \(\square\)

**2.10.3. Unit-polydisk part.** If \(\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n), \delta' = (\delta'_1, \ldots, \delta'_n) \in (\mathbb{Q}_{>0})^n\) with \(\delta' \leq \delta\), then \(A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle\) is contained in \(A\langle\langle x; \delta' \rangle\rangle\). In this situation, if \(B\) is an affinoid algebra over \(A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle\), then we define

\[
B^{\delta'} = B \otimes_{A\langle\langle x; \delta \rangle\rangle} A\langle\langle x; \delta' \rangle\rangle.
\]

Especially, \(B^{\delta_0}\) will be called the *unit-polydisk part* of \(B\), which we denote by \(B^\circ\).

**2.11. Irreducible decomposition.**

**Lemma 2.11.1.** Let \(X\) be a rigid space of finite type over \(O(t)\), and \(\mathcal{N}_X\) the ideal sheaf of \(O_X\) consisting of local nilpotent sections. Then \(\mathcal{N}_X\) is a coherent ideal of \(O_X\).

**Proof.** This can be shown similarly to [13 II, 8.2.9], using the fact that any topologically of finite type \(O[[t]]\)-algebra is excellent (due to Gabber; see [16]). \(\square\)

With the above lemma, one finds that all the rest of [13 II, §8.3 (b)] are valid for rigid spaces of finite type over \(O(t)\). In particular, an affinoid \(Sp A = (Spf A)^{rig}\), where \(A\) is an affinoid \((t)\)-algebra and \(A\) is an admissible formal model of \(A\), is reduced (resp. irreducible) if and only if so is the affine scheme \(Spec A\) (cf. [13 II, 8.3.5]). Thus one has the irreducible decomposition of \(X = Sp A\); if

\[
Spec A = \bigcup_{i=1}^m X_i
\]

is the irreducible decomposition of the Noetherian scheme \(Spec A\), then

\[
X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m X_i,
\]

where \(X_i = Sp A/q_i \cong (Spf A/q_i \cap A)^{rig}\) and \(q_i\) is the minimal prime ideal of \(A\) corresponding to \(X_i\); gives the irreducible decomposition of \(X\).
2.12. Dimension. We refer to [13, II, §10] for the general notion of dimension of rigid spaces. First of all, for a rigid space $X$ and a point $x \in \langle X \rangle$, the dimension of $X$ at $x$, denoted by $\dim_x(X)$, is the Krull dimension of the local ring $O_{x,x}$. The dimension $\dim(X)$ of $X$ is then defined to be the supremum of $\dim_x(X)$ for all $x \in \langle X \rangle$. Note that, if $X$ is a rigid space of finite type over $O((t))$, then $\dim(X)$ is the supremum of $\dim_x(X)$ for all classical points $x$ of $X$ (cf. [13, II, 10.1]). Moreover, if $X = \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an affinoid $O((t))$-algebra, then $\dim_x(X)$ at any classical point $x$ coincides with $\dim_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Spec} \mathcal{A})$, where $s(x)$ is the closed point of $\text{Spec} \mathcal{A}$ corresponding to $x$. In particular, we have

$$\dim(X) = \dim(\mathcal{A}).$$

Lemma 2.12.1 (Fiber dimension theorem). Let $\varphi: X \to Y$ be a morphism between rigid spaces of finite type over $O((t))$, $y = \varphi(x) \in \langle Y \rangle^{\text{cl}}$ a classical point, and $x \in \langle X \rangle^{\text{cl}}$ a classical point over $y$, i.e., $y = \varphi(x)$. Then we have

$$\dim(x) - \dim(y) \leq \dim(x_x).$$

Proof. What we need to show is

$$\dim O_{x,x} - \dim O_{y,y} \leq \dim O_{x,x}/m_y O_{x,x},$$

where $m_y$ is the maximal ideal of $O_{y,y}$. Since the local rings $O_{x,x}$ and $O_{y,y}$ are Noetherian, this follows from [13, 15.1].

The following lemma will be used later.

Lemma 2.12.2. Let $\phi: \mathcal{A}[[y_1, \ldots, y_d; \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_d]] \to \mathcal{B}$ be a finite morphism of affinoid $O((t))$-algebras with nilpotent kernel. Then, for any morphism $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{R}$ of affinoid $O((t))$-algebras, the induced map

$$\phi_\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{R}[[y_1, \ldots, y_d; \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_d]] \to \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{B} \hat{\otimes}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{R}$$

is finite and $\ker(\phi_\mathcal{R})$ is contained in the nilpotent radical.

Proof. First, since the extension of the form $O[[t]] \to O[[t^{1/N}]]$ is faithfully flat, one can first reduce to the case where $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ by faithfully flat descent, and then to the case $\delta_1 = \cdots = \delta_d = 0$, by replacing each $y_i$ by $t^{s_i}y_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$.

Second, as the map in question is clearly finite, we only need to show that $\phi_\mathcal{R}$ consists of nilpotent elements. Suppose not, and take a non-nilpotent $f \in \ker(\phi_\mathcal{R})$. By Proposition 2.3.3 there exists a classical point $x = m_x$ of $\text{Sp} \mathcal{R}[[y_1, \ldots, y_d]]$ (the corresponding maximal ideal of $\mathcal{R}[[y_1, \ldots, y_d]]$) such that $f \notin m_x$. Let $y = m_y$ be the image of $x$ in $\text{Sp} \mathcal{R}$, which is a classical point of $\text{Sp} \mathcal{R}$ (Lemma 2.2.1). Let $K = \mathcal{R}/m_y$, and consider the induced diagram

$$K \to K[[y_1, \ldots, y_d]] \xrightarrow{\phi_\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{B}_K,$$

where $\phi_\mathcal{K}$ is, by our construction, finite but not injective. It is clear that

$$\dim \text{Sp} \mathcal{B}_K = \dim K[[y_1, \ldots, y_d]]/\ker(\phi_\mathcal{K}) < d.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.12.1

$$\dim \text{Sp} \mathcal{B}_K \geq \dim_x \text{Sp} \mathcal{B} - \dim_y \text{Sp} \mathcal{A} = d,$$

which is absurd. □
2.13. Formal blow-up of affinoids. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra, and $A$ an admissible formal model of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $J = (f_0, \ldots, f_r)$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{A}$. We want to discuss the formal blow-up of $\text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$ along the ideal $J$. This includes the notion of admissible blow-ups as the case where $J$ is an admissible ideal.

Consider the algebraic blow-up $Y = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} J^k \to \text{Spec} A$ along $J$. Then

$$Y = \bigcup_{i=0}^{r} \text{Spec} A_i, \quad A_i = A \left[ \frac{f_0}{f_i}, \ldots, \frac{f_r}{f_i} \right] / f_i\text{-torsion}. $$

Taking formal completion,

$$\hat{Y} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{r} \text{Spf} \hat{A}_i, \quad \hat{A}_i = A \left[ \left\langle \frac{f_0}{f_i}, \ldots, \frac{f_r}{f_i} \right\rangle / f_i\text{-torsion},

$$

and the associated rigid spaces, we have the desired formal blow-up $Y \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$, where

$$\mathcal{Y} = \hat{Y}^{\text{rig}} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{r} \text{Sp} \hat{A}_i, \quad \hat{A}_i[1/t] = \hat{A}_i \left[ \left\langle \frac{f_0}{f_i}, \ldots, \frac{f_r}{f_i} \right\rangle / f_i\text{-torsion}. $$

2.13.1. Essential center. Let us say that the ideal $J = JA$ is the essential center of the formal blow-up $Y = \bigcup_{i=0}^{r} \text{Sp} A_i \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$. Note that, if $J$ is an admissible ideal, then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{A}$, i.e., the essential center is “empty” in the affinoid space $\text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$.

If $\text{Sp} B \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$ is a morphism of finite type, take an admissible formal model $A \to B$ of $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathcal{X} = \hat{X}^{\text{rig}}$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is the formal blow-up of $\text{Spec} B$ along $JB$, and the map $X \to Y$ from the universality of blow-up gives rise to the morphism $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$, which we call the strict transform of the formal blow-up $Y \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$ by $\text{Sp} B \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$.

**Lemma 2.13.2.** Let $\text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$ be an irreducible affinoid, and $\mathcal{Y} \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$ the formal blow-up along $J = (f_0, \ldots, f_r) \subset A$. Suppose $J$ is not nilpotent.

(1) The morphism $\mathcal{Y} \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$ is surjective. Moreover, any classical point $\text{Sp} K \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$, where $K$ is a non-archimedean local field, lifts to a classical point $\text{Sp} K \to \mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathcal{Y}$.

(2) If $\mathcal{A}$ is an integral domain, then so is $\mathcal{A}_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r$.

**Proof.** (1) For any rigid point $\alpha : \text{Sp} W \to \text{Sp} A$, where $W$ is a $t$-adically complete valuation ring, the extension ideal $JW$ is invertible (since $J$ is finitely generated), and so there exists a factoring map $\text{Spec} W \to Y$, which gives, by formal completion, a rigid point above $\alpha$. The second assertion can be shown similarly.

(2) If $\mathcal{A}$ is an integral domain, then so is $\mathcal{A}$, since $A$ is $t$-torsion free. Then $A_i$ ($i = 0, \ldots, r$) are integral domains (Proposition II, (8.1.4)). Since $A_i$ are excellent, $\hat{A}_i$ are integral domains, and so are $\hat{A}_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r$. \qed

3. Noether normalization for rigid spaces over $\mathcal{O}(t)$

3.1. Diagram modeled on a rooted tree.

3.1.1. Rooted tree. In what follows, simply by a rooted tree, we mean a finite directed rooted tree with the orientation away from the root. As usual, we will often regard a rooted tree $T$ as a category with the unique minimal object. The set of all vertices is denoted by $V_T$, and for any $v \in V_T$, the set of all edges outgoing from $v$ is denoted by $E^+_T(v)$. If $v \to u$ is a directed edge of $T$, we say $v$ is a parent of $u$, and $u$ is a child of $v$. Note that the set $E^+_T(v)$ can be identified with the set of all children of $v$. We say a vertex $w$ is a descendant of $v$, and $v$ is an ancestor of $w$, if there exists a directed path from $v$ to $w$. A maximal vertex, i.e., vertex with no children, will be called a leaf.
A basic tree is a height 1 rooted tree, i.e., a rooted tree consisting of root and its children. Any rooted tree can be decomposed into the union of basic trees.

3.1.2. Diagrams. We will consider functors of the form \( T \to A_\mathcal{D}_O[1] \) or \( T \to A_\mathcal{F}_O[1] \) from a rooted tree, which we shall simply call diagrams. A diagram of admissible algebras \( A_\ast : T \to A_\mathcal{D}_O[1] \) induces by composition with the functor \((\cdot)[1/t] : A_\mathcal{D}_O[1] \to A_\mathcal{F}_O[1] \) (cf. 2.3) the diagram \( T \to A_\mathcal{F}_O[1] \) of affinoid algebras, which we denote by \( A_\ast[1/t] \). Basic diagrams are diagrams modeled on a basic tree.

Let \( A_\ast \) be a diagram modeled on a rooted tree. An ideal \( a_v \) of \( A_\ast \) consists of ideals \( a_v \subset A_v \) for any vertex \( v \in V_T \) such that, for any edge \( v \to u \) of \( T \), \( a_v A_u \subset a_u \). In this situation, one can construct the diagram \( A_\ast /a_v \) given by \( A_v /a_v \) for \( v \in V_T \).

Example 3.1.3. We will often use the diagrams of the following form, denoted by
\[
O[[t^{1/N_v}]] \quad \text{or} \quad O((t^{1/N_v})) \quad (= O[[t^{1/N_v}][1/t]]),
\]
defined as follows. The diagram \( O[[t^{1/N_v}]] \) modeled on a rooted tree \( T \) consists of \( O[[t^{1/N_v}]] \) for vertices \( v \), where \( N_v \) are positive integers, such that, for any edge \( v \to u \) of \( T \), \( N_u \) is a multiple of \( N_v \), and
\[
O[[t^{1/N_v}]] \hookrightarrow O[[t^{1/N_u}]]
\]
is the unique morphism determined by \( t^{1/N_v} \mapsto (t^{1/N_u})^{N_u/N_v} \).

Definition 3.1.4. A transformation diagram modeled on a rooted tree \( T \) is a chain of morphisms
\[
O[[t^{1/N_v}]] \to A_\ast \to A_\ast \langle \langle x_i; \delta \rangle \rangle \to B_\ast = A_\ast \langle \langle x_i; \delta \rangle \rangle /a_v \quad (\ast)
\]
of diagrams of admissible \( O[[t]] \)-algebras modeled on \( T \) satisfying the following conditions:

(a) for \( v \in V_T \), \( x_v = (x_{v,1}, \ldots, x_{v,n}) \) and \( \delta_v = (\delta_{v,1}, \ldots, \delta_{v,n}) \in (\mathbb{Q}_{>0})^n \), where the number \( n \) of variables is fixed and does not depend on \( v \);
(b) for any directed edge \( v \to u \) of \( T \), we have \( \delta_v \geq \delta_u \) i.e., \( \delta_{v,i} \geq \delta_{u,i} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \);
(c) for any directed edge \( v \to u \), \( a_v A_u \langle \langle x_u; \delta_u \rangle \rangle \subset a_u \).

In the following, we will write \( A_\ast = A_\ast[1/t] \) and \( B_\ast = B_\ast[1/t] \). The induced diagram
\[
O((t^{1/N_v})) \to A_\ast \to A_\ast \langle \langle x_i; \delta \rangle \rangle \to B_\ast = A_\ast \langle \langle x_i; \delta \rangle \rangle /a_v A_\ast \langle \langle x_i; \delta \rangle \rangle \quad (\ast)[1/t]
\]
will be called the transformation diagram of affinoid \( O((t)) \)-algebras modeled on \( T \).

3.2. Rational diagrams. Let us say that a coordinate \( y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-rational coordinate transform of \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) if the coordinate transformation is given by polynomials over \( \mathbb{Z} \), i.e., \( y_i = F_i(x) \) by \( F_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) and \( x_j = G_j(y) \) by \( G_j \in \mathbb{Z}[y] \) \((i, j = 1, \ldots, n)\). Note that \( \mathbb{Z} \)-rational coordinate transformation preserves the unit-polydisk, since any polynomial mapping maps points in the unit-polydisk to a point in the unit-polydisk.

Definition 3.2.1. (1) A rational diagram modeled on a rooted tree \( T \) is a transformation diagram of admissible \( O[[t]] \)-integral domains modeled on \( T \) as in \( (\ast) \) of Definition 3.1.4 satisfying the following conditions:

(a) every vertex \( v \) is equipped with an ideal \( J_v = (c_0, \ldots, c_{r_v}) \) of \( A_v \) with fixed generators, and the set \( E_v^+(v) \) of edges outgoing from \( v \) consists of exactly \( r_v + 1 \) elements \( v \to u_i \) \((i = 0, \ldots, r_v)\) such that each \( A_{u_i} \) is of the form \( A_{u_i} = A_i[t^{1/d_i}] \), where \( d_i \) is a positive integer, and
\[
A_i = A_v \left\langle \frac{c_0}{c_i}, \ldots, \frac{c_{r_v}}{c_i} \right\rangle /c_i \text{-torsion},
\]
i.e., \( A_{u_i} \) is the \( i \)-th affine patch of the formal blow-up (cf. Lemma 2.13.2 (2)) of \( A \) with respect to \( J_u = (c_0, \ldots, c_r) \) with a base extension by a root of \( t; \) \( N_{u_i} \) is the least common multiple of \( N_v \) and \( d_i; \)

(b) for any directed edge \( v \to u \) of \( T, \) \( x_u = (x_{u,1}, \ldots, x_{u,n}) \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-rational coordinate transform of \( x_v = (x_{v,1}, \ldots, x_{v,n}); \)

(c) each \( B_{u_i} \) is of the form \( B_{u_i} = B_i[t^{1/d_i}] \), where \( d_i \) is as in (a), and \( B_i \) is the strict transform

\[
B_i = \left( B_v \otimes_{A_v, (x_v, \delta_v)} A_i \langle x_{u_i}; \delta_{u_i} \rangle \right) / c_i\text{-torsion}.
\]

(2) A stratified rational diagram modeled on a rooted tree \( T \) is a transformation diagram of admissible \( \mathcal{O}[t^{-1}] \)-algebras modeled on \( T \) as in (⋆) of Definition 3.1.4 that satisfies the following conditions:

(d) for any \( v \in V_T \), the set \( E^+_T(v) \) of edges outgoing from \( v \) is partitioned into two parts

\[
E^+_T(v) = E^+_{T,1}(v) \cup E^+_{T,2}(v),
\]

which we call type 1 and type 2 edges, respectively;

(e) if \( E^+_{T,1}(v) \neq \emptyset \), then \( A_v \) and \( B_v \) are integral domains, and the conditions (a) \( \sim \) (c) in (1) with \( E^+_{T,1}(v) \) replaced by \( E^+_{T,1}(v) \) is satisfied; i.e., \( E^+_{T,1}(v) \) consists of exactly \( r_v + 1 \) elements \( v \to u_i (i = 0, \ldots, r_v) \), and \( A_v \to A_{u_i} \) are of the form as in (a) constructed from a formal blow-up of \( A_v \) along an ideal \( J_v; \)

(f) the type 2 edges are of the form \( E^+_{T,2}(v) = \{ v \to w_{ij} \mid i = 1, \ldots, l; j = 1, \ldots, m_i \} \) such that

(1) \( N_{w_{ij}} = N_v, \) \( x_{w_{ij}} = x_v \) and \( \delta_{w_{ij}} = \delta_v \) for all \( (i, j); \)

(2) for any \( i = 1, \ldots, l, \) \( A_{w_{ij}} \) are all equal to an \( A_i; \)

(3) \( A_i = A_i[1/t] \) and \( B_{w_{ij}} = B_{w_{ij}}[1/t] \) are given by the irreducible decompositions

(2.11)

\[
\text{Sp } A_v / J_v = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \text{Sp } A_i, \quad \text{Sp } B_v \otimes_{A_v} A_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_i} \text{Sp } B_{w_{ij}};
\]

if \( p_i \) is the kernel of \( A_v \to A_i \) then \( A_i = A_v / p_i \cap A_i; \) if \( q_{ij} \) is the kernel of \( A_i \langle x_v; \delta_v \rangle \to B_v \otimes_{A_v} A_i \to B_{w_{ij}}, \) then \( a_{w_{ij}} = q_{ij} \cap A_i \langle x_v; \delta_v \rangle, \) and \( B_{w_{ij}} = A_i \langle x_v; \delta_v \rangle / a_{w_{ij}}. \)

In other words, the type 2 edges comprise the basic diagram by irreducible decomposition of the induced family over the essential blow-up center.

Remark 3.2.2. Note that, if \( A_v \) and \( B_v \) are integral domains at a vertex \( v \) of \( T \), then by Lemma 2.13.2 (2), \( A_w \) and \( B_w \) for any descendants \( w \) of \( v \) are integral domains.

Remark 3.2.3. If \( \mathcal{O}[t^{1/N_v}] \to A_v \to A_v \langle x_v; \delta_v \rangle \to B_v = A_v \langle x_v; \delta_v \rangle / a_v \) is a stratified rational diagram (resp. rational diagram) modeled on a rooted tree \( T, \) and \( S \subset T \) is a subtree consisting of descendants of a fixed vertex \( v, \) then the restriction

\[
\mathcal{O}[t^{1/N_v}]|_S \to A_v|_S \to A_v \langle x_v; \delta_v \rangle|_S \to B_v|_S = A_v \langle x_v; \delta_v \rangle / a_v|_S
\]

on \( S \) is a stratified rational diagram (resp. rational diagram) modeled on \( S. \)

Proposition 3.2.4. Let \( N \) be a multiple of all \( N_v \)'s.

(1) Consider the morphism

\[
\prod_{\lambda} \text{Sp } A_\lambda[t^{1/N}] \to \text{Sp } A_\infty[t^{1/N}],
\]
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where $\lambda$ runs through all leaves of $T$. Then any classical point $\alpha : \text{Sp} \ K \to \text{Sp} \ A_{v_0}[t^{1/N}]$ lifts to a classical point of the left-hand side.

(2) Consider the morphism

$$\prod_{\lambda} \text{Sp}(B_{\lambda}[t^{1/N}]) \to \text{Sp}(B_{v_0}[t^{1/N}]),$$

where $\lambda$ runs through all leaves of $T$ and $(\cdot)^2$ denotes the unit-polydisk part (Lemma 2.10.3). Then any classical point $\alpha : \text{Sp} \ K \to \text{Sp}(B_{v_0}[t^{1/N}])$ valued in a subfield $F \subset K$ lifts to a classical point of the left-hand side valued in $F$.

Proof. (1) It suffices to show that the morphism

$$\prod_{v \to w} \text{Sp} A_w[t^{1/N}] \to \text{Sp} A_v[t^{1/N}],$$

where $v$ is a vertex of $T$ and $w$ runs through all children of $v$, has a similar property. If the image of a classical point $\alpha : \text{Sp} \ K \to \text{Sp} A_v[t^{1/N}]$ lies outside of the essential center, then the assertion follows from Lemma 2.13.2 (1). If not, it lifts to the component corresponding to a type 2 edge.

(2) The existence of the lifting can be shown similarly to (1). Note that, if $w$ is a child of $v$, since the coordinate $x_w = (x_{w,1}, \ldots, x_{w,n})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-rational coordinate change of $x_v = (x_{v,1}, \ldots, x_{v,n})$, both “unit-polydisk part” and “valued in $F$” are preserved by the morphism $\text{Sp} B_w[t^{1/N}] \to \text{Sp} B_v[t^{1/N}]$. \hfill \Box

3.3. Noether normalization.

Proposition 3.3.1. Let $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n), \delta' = (\delta'_1, \ldots, \delta'_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ be vectors of rational numbers such that $0 < \delta' < \delta$ (i.e., $0 < \delta'_i < \delta_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$). Let $A$ be an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-integral domain, and $B = A[x, \delta]/\mathfrak{a}$, where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and $\mathfrak{a}$ is a prime ideal of $A[x, \delta]$. Let $A$ be an admissible formal model of $A$, and set $B = A[x; \delta]/\mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a} \cap A[x; \delta]$, which is an admissible formal model of $B$. Then there exists a rational diagram $\mathcal{O}[t^{1/N}] \to A_\ast \to A_\ast[x; \delta] \to B_\ast = A_\ast[x; \delta]/\mathfrak{a}_\ast$ modeled on a rooted tree $T$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) let $v_0 \in V_T$ be the root of $T$; then $A_{v_0} = A, x_{v_0} = x, \delta_{v_0} = \delta$, and $\mathfrak{a}_{v_0} = \mathfrak{a}$ (hence $B_{v_0} = B$);

(b) for any leaf $\lambda$ of $T$, we have $\delta' < \delta_\lambda < \delta$, and the map $A_\lambda \to B_\lambda$ factors as

$$A_\lambda \to A_\lambda[x, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{d_\lambda}; \delta_\lambda, 1, \ldots, \delta_\lambda, d_\lambda] \hookrightarrow B_\lambda,$$

where $d_\lambda \geq 0$ is a non-negative integer, and the right-hand map is injective and finite. Moreover, one can take $\delta_\lambda$ such that $\delta_{\lambda,1} = \cdots = \delta_{\lambda,d_\lambda}$.

Proof. The proposition follows by induction from the following:

(*) If $\mathfrak{a} \neq (0)$, then for any $\delta'' \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^n$ such that $\delta'' < \delta$, there exists a rational diagram as above satisfying the condition (a) and

(b)$'$ for any leaf $\lambda$ of $T$, we have $\delta'' < \delta_\lambda < \delta$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and there exists a finite injection of the form

$$A_\lambda[x, \lambda_1, \ldots, x_{\lambda,n-1}; \delta_\lambda, 1, \ldots, \delta_\lambda, n-1]/\mathfrak{a}'_\lambda \hookrightarrow B_\lambda,$$

where $\delta_{\lambda,1} = \cdots = \delta_{\lambda,n-1}$; moreover, we have $\dim A_\lambda = A$ and $\dim B_\lambda = \dim B$. 
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Indeed, the proposition follows by repeated application of (*), each time replacing $A$ by $A_t$ and $B$ by $A_t\langle y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}\rangle/a'_t$, as long as $a'_t \neq (0)$.

We consider the reversed lexicographical ordering on $\mathbb{N}^n$, i.e., for $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n), \mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\nu < \mu$ if and only if $\nu \neq \mu$ and $\nu_k < \mu_k$ where $k = \max\{i \mid \nu_i \neq \mu_i\}$.

Suppose $a \neq (0)$. Take a non-zero $F \in a = a \cap A\langle x; \delta \rangle$, and write

$$F(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\nu x^\nu, \quad c_\nu \in A.$$ 

Consider the content ideal of $F$

$$\text{Cont}(F) = (c_\nu \mid \nu \in \mathbb{N}^n).$$

This is a non-zero ideal of a Noetherian ring $A\langle x; \delta \rangle$, and is of the form $(c_{\nu_0}, \ldots, c_{\nu_s})$, where we suppose that $\nu_0 < \cdots < \nu_s$, and that the set of generators has been chosen such that $\nu_s$ is the smallest possible. Let us call such an $\nu_s$ the maximal content degree of $F$, denoted by $\text{mcd}(F)$. The proof of (*) will be done by induction on $\text{mcd}(F)$, and suppose that the claim is already proved for smaller $\text{mcd}(F)$.

**Step 1.** We consider the formal blow-up $\{A \to A_i \mid i = 0, \ldots, s\}$ along the content ideal, i.e.,

$$A_i = A\langle \frac{c_{\nu_0}}{c_{\nu_i}}, \ldots, \frac{c_{\nu_s}}{c_{\nu_i}} \rangle/c_{\nu_i} \text{-torsion}.$$ 

For each $i = 0, \ldots, s$, $F(x)$ in $A_i\langle x; \delta \rangle$ is of the form $c_{\nu_i} F_i$ for $F_i \in A_i\langle x; \delta \rangle$. We have $c_{\nu_i} F_i = 0$ in $B_i$, the strict transform of $B$ by $A \to A_i$, and since $B_i$ is $c_{\nu_i}$-torsion free, we have $F_i = 0$ in $B_i$, and so $F_i \in A_i\langle x; \delta \rangle$. For $i < s$, $F_i(x)$ has a strictly smaller maximal content degree since $c_{\nu_s} = (c_{\nu_s}/c_{\nu_i}) c_{\nu_i}$, and hence the claim (*) for $A_i$ follows by induction. The last patch $A_s$ will be treated in the next step (with $A_s$ replaced by $A$).

**Step 2.** We discuss the case where $\text{mcd}(F) = \nu_0$ and $c_{\nu_0} = 1$. Take a natural number $M$ such that

- $M$ is not divisible by the characteristic of $A$;
- $M > |\nu_0| + 1$ and $M^{M-1} > n|\nu_0|$;
- if we set $\varepsilon = (1/M^{M-1}, \ldots, 1/M^2, 1/M, 1/M)$, then $\delta' < \delta - \varepsilon$.

Set $N = M^{M-1}$ and replace $A$ by $A[t^{1/N}]$. Note that

(i) if $\nu_0 < \mu$, then $\varepsilon \cdot \nu_0 < \varepsilon \cdot \mu$.

Indeed, if $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ and $\nu_0 = (\nu_{0,1}, \ldots, \nu_{0,n})$ with $\mu_n = \nu_{0,n}, \ldots, \mu_{k+1} = \nu_{0,k+1}$ and $\mu_k > \nu_{0,k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, then

$$\varepsilon \cdot (\mu - \nu_0) = M^{-M^{M-k}}(\mu_k - \nu_{0,k}) + M^{-M^{M-k+1}}(\mu_{k+1} - \nu_{0,k+1}) + \cdots + M^{-M^{M-1}}(\mu_1 - \nu_{0,1})$$

$$\geq M^{-M^{M-k}} - M^{-M^{M-k+1}}|\nu_0| - \cdots - M^{-M^{M-1}}|\nu_0|$$

$$\geq M^{-M^{M-k}} \left\{1 - \frac{(k - 1)|\nu_0|}{M^{M-1}}\right\} \geq M^{-M^{M-k}} \left\{1 - \frac{n|\nu_0|}{M^{M-1}}\right\} > 0.$$

We need to consider the scaling of the coordinate $\tilde{x} = t^{-\varepsilon} x$. Note that $A\langle \tilde{x}; \delta - \varepsilon \rangle = A\langle x; \delta - \varepsilon \rangle$ ($\leftrightarrow A\langle x; \delta \rangle$). If we set $\tilde{F}(\tilde{x}) = F(x)$, we have

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} \tilde{c}_\nu \tilde{x}^\nu, \quad \tilde{c}_\nu = t^{\varepsilon \nu} c_\nu.$$ 

By (i) above, we have,
(ii) if $\nu_0 < \mu$, then $c_{\nu_0} = t^{\epsilon_{\nu_0}} | t^{\epsilon_{\nu_0}}c_{\mu} | t^{\epsilon_{\mu}}c_{\mu} = c_{\mu}$; a fortiori, $\tilde{c}_{\mu}/c_{\nu_0}$ is divisible by $t^{1/N}$.

Indeed, $\tilde{c}_{\mu}/c_{\nu_0}$ is divisible by $t^{\epsilon_{(\mu-\nu_0)}}$, where $\epsilon \cdot (\mu - \nu_0) > 0$ by (i), and in the notation as in the proof of (i) above,

$$\epsilon \cdot (\mu - \nu_0) = M^{-M_{n-1}} \{ M^{M_{n-1} - M_{n-k}} (\nu_{0,k} - \nu_{0,1}) \} + \cdots + (\mu_1 - \nu_{0,1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \cdot (\text{positive integer}).$$

In particular, we have $\text{mcd}(\tilde{F}) \leq \nu_0 = \text{mcd}(F)$. Let

$$\text{Cont}(\tilde{F}(\tilde{x})) = (\tilde{c}_{\mu_0}, \cdots, \tilde{c}_{\mu_r})$$

$(\mu_0 < \cdots < \mu_r)$ be the content ideal of $\tilde{F}$ in $A'$, where $\mu_r = \text{mcd}(\tilde{F})$. By (ii) we have $\mu_r \geq \nu_0$. If $\mu_r < \nu_0$, then we can finish by induction. So we assume $\mu_r = \nu_0$. We perform the formal blow-up along the content ideal of $\tilde{F}(\tilde{x})$, obtaining affine patches $\tilde{A}_j$ for $j = 0, \ldots, r$ as before. Similarly as before, we may finish by induction for the patches $\tilde{A}_j$ for $j < r$.

On the patch $\tilde{A}_r$, the strict transform $\tilde{F}_r$ of $\tilde{F}$ is of the form

$$\tilde{F}_r(\tilde{x}) = f_r(\tilde{x}) + t^{1/N} G(\tilde{x}),$$

where $f_r(\tilde{x}) = \cdots + \tilde{x}^{\nu_0}$ is a monic polynomial and all terms in $G(\tilde{x})$ are of degree strictly larger than $\nu_0$.

Now we consider the famous coordinate change

$$\begin{cases}
x_n = y_n, \\
x_k = y_n^{M_{n-k}} + y_k \quad (k = 1, \ldots, n - 1).
\end{cases}$$

By our choice of $\epsilon$, the above coordinate change gives rise to a coordinate change

$$\begin{cases}
\tilde{x}_n = \tilde{y}_n, \\
\tilde{x}_k = \tilde{y}_n^{M_{n-k}} + \tilde{y}_k \quad (k = 1, \ldots, n - 1)
\end{cases}$$

from $\tilde{x}$ to $\tilde{y} = t^{-\epsilon} y$, and we have

$$\tilde{F}_r(\tilde{y}) = f_r(\tilde{y}) + t^{1/N} G(\tilde{y})$$

where

(iii) $f_r(\tilde{y}) = u \tilde{y}_n^L + (\text{lower})$, where $u$ is a unit and $L = M_{n-1} \nu_{0,1} + M_{n-2} \nu_{0,2} + \cdots + \nu_{0,n}$;

(iv) all terms in $G(\tilde{y})$ are of degree strictly larger than $\nu_0$.

Now let $B'_r$ be the strict transform of $B$ by $A \to \tilde{A}_r$, and set $\tilde{B}_r = B'_r \otimes \tilde{A}_r(\tilde{y}^\delta) \tilde{A}_r(\tilde{y}; \delta)$. Consider the surjection

$$\tilde{A}_r(\tilde{y}; \delta) \to \tilde{B}_r$$

whose kernel is $\tilde{a} := a \tilde{A}_r(\tilde{y}; \delta)$. Let $B'_r$ be the image of

$$\tilde{A}_r(\tilde{y}; \delta') := \tilde{A}_r(\tilde{y}_1, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{n-1}; \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}) \to \tilde{B}_r.$$

We have $\tilde{B}_r = B'_r(\tilde{y}; \delta)$. Note that $\tilde{A}_r(\tilde{y}; \delta) = \tilde{A}_r(\tilde{y}; \delta - \epsilon)$, $\tilde{A}_r(\tilde{y}'; \delta') = \tilde{A}_r(\tilde{y}'; \delta' - \epsilon')$, where $\epsilon' = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-1})$, and hence $B_r = B'_r(\tilde{y}; \delta - \epsilon)$. We need to show that $\tilde{B}_r$ is finite over $B'_r$. It suffices to show that $(\tilde{B}_r)_0 = \tilde{B}_r/t^{1/N} \tilde{B}_r$ is finite over $(B'_r)_0 = B'_r/t^{1/N} B'_r$ \cite[8.4]{LLS}. But this follows from (iii) and (iv).

To conclude the proof, let $\lambda$ be a leaf, and $A_\lambda = A_r$, $x_\lambda = y$, $\delta_\lambda = \delta - \epsilon$, and $B_\lambda = B_r$. Moreover, we claim that we can put $\delta_{\lambda,1} = \cdots = \delta_{\lambda,n-1}$. To this end, define
\[ \delta = \min\{\delta_{\lambda,i} \mid i = 1, \ldots, n-1\} , \text{and let } x'_\lambda = (x'_{\lambda,1}, \ldots, x'_{\lambda,n-1}) \text{ and } \delta'_\lambda = (\delta_{\lambda,1}, \ldots, \delta_{\lambda,n-1}) . \]

We take the base change of the finite injective map

\[ A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta'_\lambda \rangle \rangle / a'_\lambda \hookrightarrow B_\lambda \]

by \( A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta'_\lambda \rangle \rangle \rightarrow A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta' \rangle \rangle \), followed by killing \( t \)-torsions. Here, by an abuse of notation, \( \delta \) in the right-hand side stands for the vector \((\delta, \ldots, \delta)\) of size \( n-1 \). We claim that the resulting map

\[ A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta \rangle \rangle / a''_\lambda \rightarrow B'_\lambda \]

is again finite and injective. Finiteness is obvious. To show it is injective, it suffices to show that its localization by \( 1/t \)

\[ A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta \rangle \rangle / a'_\lambda A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta \rangle \rangle \rightarrow B'_\lambda \]

by \( A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta'_\lambda \rangle \rangle \rightarrow A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta' \rangle \rangle \), where the lower horizontal arrow is the localization by \(1/t\) of \((*)\), which is finite and injective. The upper horizontal arrow is injective, since \( A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta'_\lambda \rangle \rangle \rightarrow A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta' \rangle \rangle \) is flat due to Lemma \ref{lem:flatness}. Moreover, by finiteness of the horizontal arrows, \( B_\lambda \otimes_{A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta'_\lambda \rangle \rangle} A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta' \rangle \rangle \) is already complete, and hence coincides with \( B'_\lambda \), i.e., the upper horizontal arrow coincides with \((**), whence the desired injectivity.

Now, we finish the proof by replacing \( A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda; \delta' \rangle \rangle / A_\lambda \langle \langle x'_\lambda, x'_{\lambda,n}; \delta, \delta_{\lambda,n} \rangle \rangle \) and \( B_\lambda \) by \( B'_\lambda \).

\[ \Box \]

Let us call the rational diagram \( \mathcal{O}[t^{1/N}] \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{s}} \langle \langle x_{\mathfrak{s}}; \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \rangle \rangle \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{s}} = A_{\mathfrak{s}} \langle \langle x_{\mathfrak{s}}; \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \rangle \rangle / a_{\mathfrak{s}} \), modeled on \( T \) as in Proposition \ref{prop:normalized_tree}, a normalization diagram. Note that, if \( S \subset T \) is a subtree of descendants of a fixed vertex, then \( \mathcal{O}[t^{1/N}]|_S \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{s}} \langle \langle x_{\mathfrak{s}}; \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \rangle \rangle|_S \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{s}}|_S = A_{\mathfrak{s}} \langle \langle x_{\mathfrak{s}}; \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \rangle \rangle / a_{\mathfrak{s}}|_S \) is again a normalization diagram.

\[ \text{Theorem 3.3.2 (Stratified Noether normalization). Let } \delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n), \delta' = (\delta'_1, \ldots, \delta'_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n \text{ be vectors of rational numbers such that } 0 < \delta' < \delta \text{ (i.e., } 0 < \delta'_i < \delta_i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n) . \]

\[ \text{Let } \mathcal{A} \text{ be an affinoid } \mathcal{O}[[t]]\text{-algebra, and } \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \langle \langle \mathbf{x}; \delta \rangle \rangle / \mathfrak{a}, \text{ where } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \text{ and } \mathfrak{a} \text{ is an ideal of } \mathcal{A} \langle \langle \mathbf{x}; \delta \rangle \rangle . \text{ Let } \mathcal{A} \text{ be an admissible formal model of } \mathcal{A} , \text{ and set } B = A \langle \langle \mathbf{x}; \delta \rangle \rangle / \mathfrak{a}, \text{ where } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a} \cap A \langle \langle \mathbf{x}; \delta \rangle \rangle , \text{ which is an admissible formal model of } \mathcal{B}. \text{ Then there exists a stratified rational diagram } \mathcal{O}[t^{1/N}] \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{s}} \langle \langle \mathbf{x}_{\mathfrak{s}}; \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \rangle \rangle \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{s}} = A_{\mathfrak{s}} \langle \langle \mathbf{x}_{\mathfrak{s}}; \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \rangle \rangle / a_{\mathfrak{s}} \text{ modeled on a rooted tree } T \text{ such that the conditions (a) and (b) in Proposition 3.3.1 are satisfied.} \]

\[ \text{Proof. First perform the formal blow-up of } A \text{ along } (0), \text{ which gives the empty set of type 1 edges, and the type 2 edges corresponds to the irreducible decomposition of } \text{Sp } \mathcal{B}. \text{ Then one can construct the desired stratified normalization diagram by the normalization diagrams as in Proposition 3.3.1 starting from the irreducible components, joining at each vertex the normalization diagrams from irreducible components of the induced families over the essential center of the formal blow-ups.} \]

The stratified rational diagram as above will be called the stratified normalization diagram. Note that, if \( S \subset T \) is a subtree of descendants of a fixed vertex, then \( A_{\mathfrak{s}}|_S \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{s}} \langle \langle \mathbf{x}_{\mathfrak{s}}; \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \rangle \rangle|_S \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{s}}|_S = A_{\mathfrak{s}} \langle \langle \mathbf{x}_{\mathfrak{s}}; \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \rangle \rangle / a_{\mathfrak{s}}|_S \) is again a stratified normalization diagram.
4. Interpolation of algebraic points

4.1. Interpolation determinants.

4.1.1. Situation. Throughout this section, we fix $\delta > 0$, an admissible $\mathcal{O}[t]$-algebra $A$, and $B = A\langle\langle \mathbf{x}; \delta \rangle\rangle / \mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $\delta$ stands for the row vector $(\delta, \ldots, \delta)$. Moreover, we assume that there exists a factoring map

$$A \rightarrow A\langle\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d; \delta \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\phi} B$$

such that

- $d \leq n$;
- $\phi$ is finite with nilpotent kernel.

We set $A = A[1/t]$ and $B = B[1/t]$, and fix a minimal generators $v_1, \ldots, v_E$ of $B$ as an $A\langle\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d; \delta \rangle\rangle$-module.

We moreover fix a $K$-valued point $\alpha : \text{Sp} K \rightarrow \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$, where $K$ is a non-archimedean local field finite over $\mathcal{O}(t)$, and let $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Sp} K$ be the fiber of $\text{Sp} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}$ over $\alpha$, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_\alpha = \mathcal{B} \otimes_A K = (\mathcal{B} \otimes_A K)$, which is an affinoid algebra over $K$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ has $B_\alpha = \mathcal{B} \otimes_A V_K / (t$-torsion) as an admissible formal model, where $V_K$ is the valuation ring of $K$. We have the base change of $(\ast)$

$$V_K \rightarrow V_K\langle\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d; \delta \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\phi_\alpha} B_\alpha,$$

where $\phi_\alpha$ is finite with nilpotent kernel due to Lemma 2.12.2.

Note that $K$ has the unique norm $| \cdot |$ such that $|t| = e^{-1}$, where $e > 1$ is the real number fixed in §2.3.

4.1.2. Interpolation determinants. Let $\alpha_i : \text{Sp} K \rightarrow \text{Sp} \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \mu$ be $K$-valued points over $\text{Sp} K$, i.e., sections of $\text{Sp} \mathcal{B}_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Sp} K$, and $p_i : \mathcal{B}_\alpha \rightarrow V_K$ the $V_K$-algebra homomorphisms corresponding to $\alpha_i$.

Set $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_\mu)$. For $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_\mu) \in B_\alpha^\mu$, we consider the interpolation determinant

$$\Delta(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) = \det [p_j(f_i)] \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, \mu].$$

We want to estimate the norm of $\Delta(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p})$ in terms of

$$\rho = \rho(\mathbf{p}) = |t^\delta| \cdot \max_{i, j = 1, \ldots, \mu} |p_j(x_i) - p_j(x_i)|$$

i.e., the radius of the smallest ball containing the projections of the points $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\mu$ to the $y$-coordinates, normalized by a factor of $|t^\delta|$. The following estimate of $|\Delta(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p})|$ is the key to our approach, which goes back to an idea by Bombieri-Pila [9]. Cluckers-Comte-Loeser [9] have used a similar non-archimedean analogue in their work using smooth parametrizations [9], but we require a somewhat different statement as we replace parametrizing maps by finite modules. In the complex analytic context, a similar argument using finite modules was used in Binyamini-Novikov [9].

**Proposition 4.1.3.** We have

$$|\Delta(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p})| \leq \rho^{0dE^{-1/d} + 1/d},$$

where $C_d$ is a positive constant depending only on $d$. (Recall that $E$ is the number of minimal generators of $B$ as an $A\langle\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d; \delta \rangle\rangle$-module; cf. §1.1.)
Proof. We first claim that we may assume, without loss of generality, that
\[ \rho = |t^\delta| \cdot \max_{i=1,\ldots,\mu} |p_j(x_i)|. \]  

(\*)

To do this, we consider an automorphism (parallel translation) $\Phi$ of $V_K^{\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d; \delta \rangle}$ of the form $\Phi(x_i) = x_i - s_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, where $s_i \in V_K$ are chosen such that $(s_i = p_1(s_i) = p_1(x_i))$. Pulling back by $\Phi$, we have similar situation where $B_\alpha$ is replaced by an isomorphic copy $\Phi(B_\alpha) = B_\alpha \otimes_{V_K^{\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d; \delta \rangle}} V_K^{\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d; \delta \rangle}$. Note that, on $\Phi(B_\alpha)$, we have $p_1(x_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Then the desired inequality is equivalent to the corresponding one on $\Phi(B_\alpha)$, in which the $\rho$ is given as in (\*).

For $i = 1, \ldots, \mu$, write
\[ f_i = \sum_{k=1}^{E} f_{ik} v_k, \quad f_{ik} \in V_K^{\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d; \delta \rangle}, \]

and further expand
\[ f_{ik} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{i,k,\nu} y^{\nu}, \quad c_{i,k,\nu} \in \mathcal{V}^\delta_{V_K}, \]

where $y = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. We now expand $\Delta(f, p)$, by multilinearity with respect to each column, as a sum of interpolation determinants of the form $\Delta(f', p')$ where $f_i' = c_{i,k,\nu} y^{\nu} v_k$, for some choice of $k, \nu$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, \mu$. It will be enough to estimate each of such $\Delta(f', p)$ separately.

Now comes the main idea of Bombieri-Pila: if for two different columns corresponding to $f_i'$ and $f_r'$, one makes the same choice $k = k_q = k_r$ and $\nu = \nu_q = \nu_r$, then
\[ p(f_i') = c_{q,k,\nu} \cdot p(y^{\nu} v_k), \quad p(f_r') = c_{r,k,\nu} \cdot p(y^{\nu} v_k), \]

and the corresponding columns thus agree up to a constant from $K$, hence the determinant vanishes.

So, in order for the determinant $\Delta(f', p)$ to be non-zero, one has to choose each pair $(k_i, \nu_i)$ at most one $c_{i,k_i,\nu_i} y^{\nu_i} v_k$. For any such choice, and any $p_j$, we have
\[ |p_j(c_{i,k_i,\nu_i} y^{\nu_i} v_k)| \leq |t|^{|\delta|} \cdot |p_j(y^{\nu_i})| \leq \rho^{|
u_i|}, \]

and therefore
\[ |\Delta(f', p)| \leq \rho^{|E_{i=1,\ldots,\mu} |
u_i|. \]

(\**) It is clear that the largest value for the right-hand side will be obtained if we choose as many monomials $y^{\nu_i}$ as possible with $|\nu_i| = 0$, then as many as possible with $|\nu_i| = 1$, etc. There are at most $\Theta_d(k^{d-1})$ monomials $y^{\nu_i}$ with $|\nu_i| = k$, and each can appear at most $E$ times for different choices of $k = 1, \ldots, E$. Since the number of summands is $\mu$, we solve
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{d-1} E = \mu \quad \implies \quad N = \Omega_d \left( \frac{\mu}{E} \right)^{1/d}, \]

and deduce that the largest possible $|\Delta(f', p)|$ will include all possible summands up to order $|\nu| = [N]$. In this case, we have
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} |\nu_i| \geq \sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{d} E = \Omega_d(E \mu^{d+1}) = \Omega_d(E^{-1/d} \mu^{1+1/d}), \]

which, combined with (\**), yields our assertion. \[ \square \]

4.2. Polynomial interpolation determinants.
4.2.1. Situation. We continue with working in the situation as in §4.1.1 and we further make the following setup.

We assume that the $K$-valued point $\alpha$: $\text{Sp} K \to \text{Sp} A$ lies over a fixed $F$-valued point $\beta$: $\text{Sp} F \to \text{Sp} (\mathcal{O}(t))$, i.e., $F$ is a non-archimedean local subfield of $K$ such that $K/F$ is a finite extension, and

$$
\xymatrix{
\text{Sp} K \ar[r]^\alpha & \text{Sp} A \\
\text{Sp} F \ar[u] \ar[r]_\beta & \text{Sp} (\mathcal{O}(t)) \ar[u]
}
$$

is commutative. We denote by $\pi \in V_F$ the uniformizer of $F$, and by $q = p^e$ the number of elements of the residue field $V_F/(\pi)$. Note that $F$ has the unique norm $|\cdot|$ such that $|t| = e^{-1}$, where $e > 1$ the real number fixed in §2.4 and this norm coincides with the restriction of the norm on $K$ as in §4.1.1. We have $t = u\pi^r$ by $u \in V_F^\times$ and $r \geq 1$. In particular, we have $|t| \leq |\pi|$.

Note that, even though we are interested in counting $F$-valued points of the affine spaces, we need to consider a larger field $K$ to capture them, since we occasionally take base change by $\mathcal{O}(\!(t)\!) \to \mathcal{O}(\!(t^{1/N})\!)$.

Let $\mu = \mu(D)$ be the dimension of the space of polynomials of degree at most $D \in \mathbb{N}$ in $d + 1$ variables. We fix $d + 1$ functions $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_{d+1}) \in B^{d+1}_\alpha$ and $\mu$-tuple of $K$-valued points $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_\mu)$ (with $p_i: B_\alpha \to V_K$), as before. We assume

- $p_j(f_i) \in F$ for $j = 1, \ldots, \mu$ and $i = 1, \ldots, d + 1$;
- $p_j(x_i) \in F$ for $j = 1, \ldots, \mu$ and $i = 1, \ldots, d$.

We define the polynomial interpolation determinant $\Delta^D(f, p)$ to be

$$
\Delta^D(f, p) = \Delta(g, p), \quad g = (f^\nu \mid \nu \in \mathbb{N}^{d+1}, |\nu| \leq D).
$$

In the following, we will denote by $|\cdot|_\infty$ the archimedean norm in order to distinguish from the norm $|\cdot|$. The following lemma follows by elementary linear algebra.

**Lemma 4.2.2.** Let $P$ be a collection of adic morphisms $p: B_\alpha \to V_K$ over $V_K$ such that $p(f_i) \in F$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d + 1$, and suppose that $\Delta^D(f, p)$ vanishes for every $\mu$-tuple $\mathbf{p}$ of points from $P$. Then the set of points

$$
\{p(f) \mid p \in P\} \subset F^{d+1}
$$

is contained in a hypersurface defined by a polynomial $Q \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_{d+1}]$ of degree at most $D$.

Now, let $H \in \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary natural number and set $h = \log_q H$.

**Proposition 4.2.3.** Suppose $H(p_i(f_j)) \leq H$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \mu$ and $j = 1, \ldots, d + 1$. Then either $\Delta^D(f, p) = 0$ or

$$
|\Delta^D(f, p)| \geq \begin{cases} 
|t|^{\left(\frac{|F:Q_p|}{r}\right)\log_q (\mu) + (d+2)Dnh} & (F \supset Q_p), \\
|t|^{(d+2)Dnh} & (F \supset \mathbb{F}_q(\!(t)\!)).
\end{cases}
$$

**Proof.** Suppose that $\Delta^D(f, p) \neq 0$, and let us first treat the case where $F$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, so that $p_j(f_i) = a_{ij}/b_{ij}$ are rational numbers and $|a_{ij}|_\infty, |b_{ij}|_\infty \leq H$. Set $p = v\pi^s$ for $v \in V_F^\times$ and $s \geq 1$, so that $|q|^r = |t|^{fs} = |t|^{[F:Q_p]}$. All numbers in the column of $\Delta^D(f, p)$ corresponding to $p_j$ have denominators dividing $b_j = \prod b_{ij}^{D_j}$, and setting
\( b = \prod_{j} b_j \) we have \( |b|_{\infty} \leq H^{(d+1)\mu} \). Since every entry in the matrix defining \( \Delta^D(f, p) \) is bounded in the classical absolute value by \( H^D \), we also have
\[
|\Delta^D(f, p)|_{\infty} \leq \mu! H^D \mu.
\]
Then \( b\Delta^D(f, p) \in \mathbb{Z} \) and
\[
|b\Delta^D(f, p)|_{\infty} \leq \mu! H^{(d+2)\mu},
\]
and therefore
\[
|\Delta^D(f, p)| \geq |b\Delta^D(f, p)| \geq |q|^{\log q |b\Delta^D(f, p)|_{\infty}} = |t|(f_{s/r})\log_q(\mu!) + (d+2)D_{\mu h}.
\]
Similarly, in the \( F = \mathbb{F}_q((\pi)) \) case, all rational functions \( p_j(f_i) = a_{ij}/b_j \in \mathbb{F}_q(t) \) in the column of \( \Delta^D(f, p) \) corresponding to \( p_j \) have denominators dividing \( b_j \) as above, and \( b \) has degree bounded by \( (d+1)D_{\mu h} \). Since every entry in the matrix defining \( \Delta^D(f, p) \) is a rational function of degree at most \( D_{\mu h} \), we also have
\[
\deg_t \Delta^D(f, p) \leq D_{\mu h}.
\]
Then \( b\Delta^D(f, p) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t] \) and
\[
\deg_t b\Delta^D(f, p) \leq (d + 2)D_{\mu h},
\]
and therefore
\[
|\Delta^D(f, p)| \geq |b\Delta^D(f, p)| \geq \deg b\Delta^D(f, p) \geq |t|^{(d+2)D_{\mu h}},
\]
as claimed.

4.3. Interpolation by a hypersurface. Let \( P \) be as in Lemma 4.2.2 and let
\[
\rho = \rho(P) = |t|^d \cdot \max_{p \in P} \sup_{x \neq x'} |p(x) - p'(x)|.
\]
Comparing Proposition 4.1.3 and Proposition 4.2.3 we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.3.1.** Suppose \( H(p(f_i)) \leq H \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, d + 1 \) and \( p \in P \). Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). There exist a constant
\[
D = \begin{cases} D(d, \varepsilon, E, |F : \mathbb{Q}_p|) & (F \supset \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ D(d, \varepsilon, E, r) & (F \supset \mathbb{F}_q((t))) \end{cases}
\]
which does not depend on \( \alpha : \text{Sp} \mathcal{K} \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{A} \), such that if \( p < |\pi|^{\varepsilon h} \) then the set of points \( \{p(f) : p \in P\} \subset F^{d+1} \) is contained in a hypersurface defined by a polynomial \( Q \in F[X_1, \ldots, X_{d+1}] \) of degree at most \( D \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.2.2 it is enough to prove that \( \Delta^D(f, p) = 0 \) for every \( \mu \)-tuple \( p \subset P \). Assume the contrary. In the \( F \supset \mathbb{Q}_p \) case, we have by Proposition 4.2.3 a lower bound
\[
|\Delta^D(f, p)| \geq |t|^{(F : \mathbb{Q}_p)/r}\log_q(\mu!) + (d+2)D_{\mu h}.
\]
On the other hand, by Proposition 4.1.3 we have an upper bound
\[
|\Delta(f, p)| \leq \rho C_d E^{-1/d}\mu^{1+1/d}.
\]
Thus
\[
\rho C_d E^{-1/d}\mu^{1+1/d} \geq |t|^{(F : \mathbb{Q}_p)/r}\log_q(\mu!) + (d+2)D_{\mu h}.
\]
Taking \( \mu \sim_d D^{d+1} \) and \( \rho < |\pi|^{\varepsilon h} = |t|^{\varepsilon h/r} \) into account, we have a contradiction as soon as
\[
C_d h \varepsilon E^{-1/d} D^{d+2+1/d} \geq [F : \mathbb{Q}_p] \{(d+1) \log_q D + (d+2)C_d D^{d+2}h\}
\]
with suitable constants $C'_d, C''_d$. Thus we obtain a contradiction, for instance with a suitable $D \sim_d E \cdot ([F : \mathbb{Q}_p]/\varepsilon)^d$.

In the $F \supset \mathbb{F}_q((t))$ case, we have

$$\rho^{C_d E^{-1/d} \mu^{1+1/d}} \geq |t|^{(d+2)D\rho^h}.$$  

Similarly to the previous case, we have a contradiction as soon as

$$C'_d \varepsilon E^{-1/d} D^{d+2+2} \geq (d+2)C''_d D^{d+2} \rho$$

with suitable constants $C'_d, C''_d$. Thus we obtain a contradiction, for instance with a suitable $D \sim_d E \cdot (r/\varepsilon)^d$.  

\textbf{Proposition 4.3.2.} Let $H \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist a constant

$$D' = \begin{cases} D'(d, \varepsilon, E, \delta, [F : \mathbb{Q}_p]) & (F \supset \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ D'(d, E, \delta, r) & (F \supset \mathbb{F}_q((t))) \end{cases}$$

not depending on $\alpha$: $\text{Sp} \ K \rightarrow \text{Sp} \ A$, and a collection of $H^\varepsilon$ hypersurfaces in $F^{d+1}$, each of degree at most $D'$, such that the following holds: for every $K$-valued point $p : B_\alpha \rightarrow K$ over $\alpha$ such that $p(f_i) \in F$ and $H(p(f_i)) \leq H$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d+1$, the point $p(f)$ belongs to one of the hypersurfaces.

\textbf{Proof.} Suppose first that $\delta \varepsilon/(2d) \geq 1$. Apply Corollary 4.3.1 with $\varepsilon/(2d)$ in place of $\varepsilon$, and denote by $D'$ the resulting degree. It will suffice to subdivide the collection of points $p : B_\alpha \rightarrow V_K$ as above into $H^\varepsilon = q^{\delta \varepsilon/(2d)}$ subcollections $P_q$ satisfying $\rho(P_q) \leq |\pi|^{\delta \varepsilon/(2d)}$. For each $j$ the residues $p(x_j) \in V_F/(\pi)^j$ take at most $q^{\delta \varepsilon/(2d)}$ different values. Defining each collection to consist of points that give the same residue for each $j$ we obtain

$$q^{d \delta \varepsilon/(2d)} < q^{d \delta \varepsilon/d} \leq H^\varepsilon$$

such collections, as required.

Now suppose $\delta \varepsilon/(2d) < 1$. Apply Corollary 4.3.1 with $\varepsilon/(2d)$ in place of $\varepsilon$ and denote by $D'$ the resulting degree. Then the collection of all points $p : B_\alpha \rightarrow V_K$ as above satisfies

$$\rho(P) \leq |t|^\delta \leq |\pi|^{\delta \varepsilon/(2d)}$$

so a single hypersurface of degree $D'$ suffices to interpolate all points in $P$.  

We also prove a polylogarithmic interpolation result, as follows.

\textbf{Proposition 4.3.3.} Let $H \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist a constant

$$C = \begin{cases} C(d, E, \delta, [F : \mathbb{Q}_p]/r) & (F \supset \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ C(d, E, \delta) & (F \supset \mathbb{F}_q((t))) \end{cases}$$

not depending on $\alpha$: $\text{Sp} \ K \rightarrow \text{Sp} \ A$, and a hypersurface $V \subset K^{d+1}$ of degree at most $C \cdot h^d$, such that the following holds: for every $K$-valued point $p : B_\alpha \rightarrow K$ over $\alpha$ such that $p(f_i) \in F$ and $H(p(f_i)) \leq H$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d+1$ we have $p(f) \in V$.

\textbf{Proof.} By Lemma 4.2.2 it is enough to prove that $\Delta^D(f, p) = 0$ for every $\mu$-tuple $p$ of points as above. Assume the contrary. In the $F \supset \mathbb{Q}_p$ case, we have by Proposition 4.2.3 a lower bound

$$|\Delta^D(f, p)| \geq \left| \frac{|(F : \mathbb{Q}_p)/r| \log_\mu(\mu)+(d+2)D\rho^h}{|t|^d} \right|.$$  

On the other hand, by Proposition 4.3.3 we have an upper bound

$$|\Delta(f, p)| \leq \rho^{C_d E^{-1/d} \mu^{1+1/d}} \leq |t|^d C_d E^{-1/d} \mu^{1+1/d}.$$
Thus

$$|t|^{{\delta C_d}E^{-1/d} \mu^{1+1/d}} \geq |t|^{(|F:Q_p|/r)(\log_q(\mu^d)+(d+2)D\mu h)}.$$ 

Recalling that $\mu \sim_d D^{d+1}$, we have a contradiction as soon as

$$C'_d \delta E^{-1/d} D^{d+2+\frac{1}{2}} \geq |F:Q_p| \{(d+1) \log_q D + (d+2)C''_d D^{d+2}h\}$$

with suitable constants $C'_d, C''_d$. Thus we obtain a contradiction, for instance with a suitable $D \sim_d E/(h \cdot [F:Q_p]/\varepsilon r)^d$.

In the $F \supset F_q(\langle t \rangle)$ case, we have

$$|t|^{{\delta C_d}E^{-1/d} \mu^{1+1/d}} \geq |t|^{(d+2)D\mu h}.$$ 

Similarly to the previous case, we have a contradiction as soon as

$$C'_d \delta E^{-1/d} D^{d+2+\frac{1}{2}} \geq (d+2)C''_d D^{d+2}h$$

with suitable constants $C'_d, C''_d$, for instance with a suitable $D \sim_d E(h/\varepsilon)^d$. \qed

### 4.4. Families of hypersurfaces.

#### 4.4.1. The space $H_{n,d}$.

As usual, we identify $\mathbb{N}^n$ with the set of all monomials in $n$ variables $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ by $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \mapsto X^\nu = X_1^{\nu_1} \cdots X_n^{\nu_n}$, and let

$$L(D,n) = \{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid |\nu| \leq D\}$$

denote the set of monomials of degree at most $D$. Let $\mathcal{O}(\langle t \rangle)^{L(D,n)}$ be the $\mathcal{O}(\langle t \rangle)$-module of all maps of the form $L(D,n) \to \mathcal{O}(\langle t \rangle)$, and consider the $\mathcal{O}(\langle t \rangle)$-scheme

$$P_{D,n} = \text{Proj}(\text{Sym} \mathcal{O}(\langle t \rangle)^{L(D,n)})$$

which is the parameter space that parametrizes all hypersurfaces of degree at most $D$ in $n$ variables over $\mathcal{O}(\langle t \rangle)$. Let

$$H_{D,n} \leftarrow P_{D,n} \times_{\mathcal{O}(\langle t \rangle)} \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}(\langle t \rangle)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$$

be the universal family.

Similarly, we consider the analytic parameter space $\mathcal{P}_{D,n} = P_{D,n}^{\text{an}}$ and the universal family

$$\mathcal{H}_{D,n} \leftarrow \mathcal{P}_{D,n} \times_{\mathcal{O}(\langle t \rangle)} \text{Sp} \mathcal{O}(\langle t \rangle) \langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle.$$ 

Note that the fiber of $\mathcal{H}_{D,n} \to \mathcal{P}_{D,n}$ over a $K$-valued point $\text{Sp} K \to \mathcal{P}_{D,n}$ is an affinoid, which is the intersection of the fiber $(H_{D,n} \times_{P_{D,n}} \text{Spec} K)^{\text{an}}$ and the closed unit-disc $\text{Sp} K \langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle$. 29
4.4.2. The space $\mathcal{H}_{D,n,d}$. Let $d < n$. For any subset $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_d\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of $d + 1$ elements, let $P_{D,d+1}^I$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_{D,d+1}^I$) be the copy of $P_{D,d+1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_{D,d+1}$) with the coordinates $X_I = (X_{i_1}, \ldots, X_{i_d})$. We set

$$P_{D,n,d} = \prod_I P_{D,n,d}^I \quad \text{(resp. } \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d} = \prod_I \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d}^I),$$

where the product is taken over all subsets $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of $d + 1$ elements. Similarly to the above constructions, we have the universal families

$$H_{D,n,d} = \prod_I H_{D,d+1}^I \rightarrow P_{D,n,d}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{D,n,d} = \prod_I \mathcal{H}_{D,d+1}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d}.$$

**Lemma 4.4.3.** The fibers of $\mathcal{H}_{D,n,d} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d}$ over $K$-valued points are of dimension at most $d$.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that the fibers of $H_{D,n,d} \rightarrow P_{D,n,d}$ over closed points of the form $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow P_{D,n,d}$, where $K$ is a field, are of dimension at most $d$. This is then completely a question in classical algebraic geometry. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be such a fiber over $K$, and suppose that the dimension of $\mathcal{F}$ is $k > d$. Then, at a generic point, $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth of dimension $k$, and in particular one can choose a subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of size $d + 1$ such that the projection $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \mapsto X_I = (X_{i_1}, \ldots, X_{i_d})$ restricted on $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth on a Zariski open subset. But this contradicts to the fact that the image of this projection is contained in a hypersurface defined by a non-zero polynomial of degree at most $D$. \qed

5. PROOF OF THE COUNTING THEOREMS

In this section we prove the point-counting theorems. In Section 5.1 we formulate and prove a version of the counting theorem which is uniform in families, for the overconvergent setting. In Section 5.2 we deduce from this general result the various point-counting theorems formulated in the introduction.

5.1. The general counting theorem. Let $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n) \in (\mathbb{Q}_{>0})^n$ be a vector of positive rational numbers, $\mathcal{A}$ an affinoid $\mathcal{O}(t)$-algebra, and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}[x; \delta]/\mathfrak{a}$, where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}[x; \delta]$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an admissible formal model of $\mathcal{A}$, and set $B = A[\mathfrak{x}; \delta]/\mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a} \cap A[\mathfrak{x}; \delta]$, which is an admissible formal model of $B$.

Thus we have a family

$$\varphi: \mathcal{X} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}$$

of analytic subspaces in the polydisk of polyradius $|t|^{-\delta} = (|t|^{-\delta_1}, \ldots, |t|^{-\delta_n})$.

Fix $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{B}$ which are algebraic over $\mathcal{A}[x]$ and generate $\mathcal{B}$ over $\mathcal{A}$, and set $f := (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$.

For a classical point $\beta$: $\text{Sp } F \rightarrow \text{Sp } \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{t})$ and a classical point $\alpha$: $\text{Sp } K \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ over $\beta$, we denote by $\mathcal{X}_\alpha = \text{Sp } B_\alpha$ the fiber of $\varphi$ over $\alpha$. For any classical point $\alpha'$: $\text{Sp } K' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\alpha$ with the corresponding homomorphism $q: B_\alpha \rightarrow K'$, where $K'$ is a finite extension of $F$ containing $K$, such that $q(f_i), q(x_i) \in F$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the height $H(\alpha'; f)$ is the maximum of the heights of $q(f_i) \in F$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$). Denote by $\mathcal{X}_\alpha(V_F, H; f)$ the set of all such points $\alpha'$ of the unit-polydisk part $(\mathcal{X}_\alpha)^2$ such that $H(\alpha'; f) \leq H$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{X}_\alpha(V_F, H; f) = \left\{ \alpha': \text{Sp } K' \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\alpha)^2 \left| q(f_i) \text{ and } q(x_i) \text{ lies in } F \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n, \text{ and } H(\alpha'; f) \leq H \right. \right\}.$$ 

Finally, let $\sigma_\beta$ be the constant

$$\sigma_\beta = \begin{cases} [F: \mathbb{Q}_p] & (F \supset \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ r_\beta & (F \supset \mathbb{F}_q(t)), \end{cases}$$
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where \( r_\beta \) is the positive integer such that \( t = u \pi^{r_\beta} \), with \( \pi \) the uniformizer of \( V_F \) and \( u \in V_F^\times \).

**Definition 5.1.1.** Let \( K \) be a non-archimedean local field.

1. An irreducible analytic subspace \( Z \hookrightarrow \text{Spec} K(\{x; \delta\}) \) is said to be algebraic if there exists an algebraic subvariety \( Z \hookrightarrow \text{Spec} K[\{x\}] \) such that \( Z_{\text{cl}} \subset Z \) and \( \dim Z = \dim Z_{\text{cl}} \).

2. An analytic subspace \( Z \hookrightarrow \text{Spec} K(\{x; \delta\}) \) is said to be algebraic if each of its irreducible components is algebraic.

**Definition 5.1.2.** Let \( \varphi: \mathcal{X} = \text{Sp} B \to \mathcal{Y} = \text{Sp} A \) be a morphism of affinoids over \( \mathcal{O}(t) \) as above.

1. The family \( \varphi \) is said to have algebraic fibers if every fiber \( \mathcal{X}_\alpha \) over a classical point of \( \mathcal{Y} \) is algebraic in the sense of Definition 5.1.1 (2).

2. The maximal fiber dimension of \( \varphi \) is the maximum over the dimension of the fibers \( \mathcal{X}_\alpha \), where \( \alpha \) ranges over the classical points of \( \mathcal{Y} \).

Note that under our assumptions on \( f \), being algebraic over the \( f \) coordinates is equivalent to being algebraic over the \( x \) coordinates.

**Theorem 5.1.3.** Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). There exists a transformation diagram of the form

\[
\mathcal{O}(t^{1/\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow A_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow A_e(\{x; \delta\}) \longrightarrow B_{\varepsilon} = A_e(\{x; \delta\})/a,
\]

of admissible \( \mathcal{O}(t) \)-algebras modeled on a rooted tree \( T \), and for any positive integer \( \sigma \), a constant \( C(B, \varepsilon, \sigma) \) satisfying the following conditions:

(a) \( A_{\varepsilon} \to B_{\varepsilon} \) at the root \( v_0 \) coincides with \( A \to B \);

(b) for any leaf \( w \), \( \varphi_w: \mathcal{X}_w = \text{Sp} B_w \to \mathcal{Y}_w = \text{Sp} A_w \) has equidimensional algebraic fibers;

(c) for any classical point \( \alpha: \text{Sp} K \to \mathcal{Y} \) above \( \beta: \text{Sp} F \to \text{Sp} \mathcal{O}(t) \), we have

\[
\mathcal{X}_\alpha(V_F, H; f) \subset \bigcup_{(w_j, \alpha_j)} (\mathcal{X}_{w_j})_{\alpha_j}(V_F, H; f),
\]

where \( \{(w_j, \alpha_j)\} \) is a collection of \( C(B, \varepsilon, \sigma) \cdot H^e \) pairs, where \( \alpha_j \) is a leaf of \( T \) and \( \alpha_j \) is a classical point of \( \mathcal{Y}_{w_j} \) lying over \( \alpha \). Here \( f \) on the right hand side denotes the image of \( f \) in \( B_{\varepsilon}(w_j)_{\alpha_j} \).

**Remark 5.1.4.** In fact, the families \( \varphi_w: \mathcal{X}_w = \text{Sp} B_w \to \mathcal{Y}_w = \text{Sp} A_w \) as in (b) that we will obtain in the following proof is not only of algebraic fibers, but is algebraic over \( \mathcal{Y}_w \).

To show the theorem, we need the following proposition, which is the main inductive step in the proof of the theorem.

**Proposition 5.1.5.** Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). There exists a transformation diagram of the form (*) as above satisfying the conditions (a), (c), and the following (b)' instead of (b):

(b)' for any leaf \( w \), either \( \varphi_w: \mathcal{X}_w = \text{Sp} B_w \to \mathcal{Y}_w = \text{Sp} A_w \) has equidimensional algebraic fibers, or the maximal fiber dimension of \( \varphi_w \) is strictly smaller than that of \( \varphi \).

**Proof.** We begin constructing \( T \) by forming the stratified Noether normalization tree starting from \( A \to B \). According to Proposition 5.2.4, it will suffice to construct a transformation diagram from each of the leaves the stratified Noether normalization tree. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that \( A \to B \) is already normalized, i.e., there exists a factoring map

\[
A \longrightarrow A(\{x_1, \ldots, x_d; \delta\}) \longrightarrow B
\]
which is finite and injective.

Let \( I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{d+1}\} \) be a subset of size \( d+1 \) of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and write \( f_I = (f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_{d+1}}) \). We apply Proposition \( \text{4.3.2} \) with \( \varepsilon/N \) and \( f_I \), where \( N \) is the number of possible \( I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and repeat this for all \( I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\} \) of size \( d+1 \), we conclude that the set \( X_\alpha(V_F, H) \) is contained in at most \( H^\varepsilon \) \( f \)-pullbacks of fibers of \( \mathcal{H}_{D,n,d} \to \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d} \), where \( D \) is the number given as in Proposition \( \text{4.3.2} \).

More formally, one can replace \( \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d} \) by affinoid open subspace that contains all the points over which the fibers of \( \mathcal{H}_{D,n,d} \) are those we are considering, and so we may assume that both \( \mathcal{H}_{D,n,d} \) and \( \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d} \) are affinoids. Let \( \mathcal{A}' \) be the affinoid algebra such that \((\mathcal{Y}' := \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}') \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d} \times \mathcal{O}(t) \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d} \), and define \( \mathcal{X}' = \text{Sp} \mathcal{B}' \) by the following Cartesian square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}' & \to & \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d} \times \mathcal{O}(t) \mathcal{Sp} \mathcal{B} \\
\downarrow \quad & \quad & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{H}_{D,n,d} & \to & \mathcal{P}_{D,n,d} \times \mathcal{O}(t) \mathcal{Sp} \mathcal{O}(t) \langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle,
\end{array}
\]

where the right vertical arrow is induced by \( X_i \mapsto f_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). By what we have seen above, we have

\[
X_\alpha(V_F, H; f) \subset \bigcup_j X_{\alpha_j}'(V_F, H; f),
\]

where \( \{\alpha_j\} \) is a collection of \( C_n \cdot H^\varepsilon \) points of \( \mathcal{Y}' = \text{Sp} \mathcal{A}' \) lying over \( \alpha \), which correspond to suitable choices of the hypersurfaces of the form \( Q(f_I) = 0 \) for each \( I \). Here \( C_n \) is some constant depending only on \( n \).

Now we augment our tree by adding a child \( v \) of the root, and set \( A_v = A' \) and \( B_v = B' \), where \( A' \) and \( B' \) are suitable admissible formal model of \( A' \) and \( B' \), respectively. We then perform another stratified Noether normalization of \( A_v \to B_v \), appending the resulting tree to the root \( v \).

Proposition \( \text{3.2.4} \) and (†) ensure that the condition (c) in the statement holds. It remains to verify the condition (b)'. Having performed stratified Noether normalization, we know that each leaf \( \varphi_w: X_w \to Y_w \) has constant fiber dimension \( d = d_w \). If \( d_w < d \), we are done. Otherwise, the fibers of \( X_w \) over classical points of \( Y_w \) are \( d \)-equidimensional and contained in a fiber of \( \mathcal{H}_{D,n,d} \), which is of dimension \( d \) due to Lemma \( \text{4.4.3} \). Hence \( \varphi_w: X_w \to Y_w \) has algebraic fibers, as desired.

\( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem** \( \text{5.1.3} \) This is proved by applying Proposition \( \text{5.1.5} \) to the initial family \( \varphi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \), and then applying it repeatedly to each of the leaves that have non-algebraic fibers. Since the maximal fiber dimension of the fibers drops at each step, this process terminates after at most \( n \) repetitions.

\( \square \)

5.2. Proofs of the statements from the introduction.

5.2.1. **Proof of Theorem** \( \text{1.3.1} \) Let \( B \) denote a formal model for \( B \) and recall that \( B = \mathcal{O}[t][x_1, \ldots, x_n] / b \). Set \( B' = \mathcal{O}[t][tx_1, \ldots, tx_n] / b' \) where \( b' \) is the image of \( b \) by the map \( x_i \mapsto tx_i \). Then \( \text{Sp} B'^{\sharp} \) corresponds to \( (\text{Sp} B')^{\sharp} \) under this rescaling. Now Apply Theorem \( \text{5.1.3} \) to \( B' \), where we take \( A = \mathcal{O}[t] \) and \( f = (tx_1, \ldots, tx_n) \). In the resulting tree \( T \), whenever a leaf \( w \) has positive-dimensional fibers it corresponds by definition to the algebraic part of \( \text{Sp} B \). The remaining fibers are zero-dimensional, and in particular their cardinality is uniformly bounded by some constant depending only on \( B \) (for instance by Remark \( \text{5.1.4} \)). The conclusion of Theorem \( \text{1.3.1} \) therefore follows from the conclusion of Theorem \( \text{5.1.3} \).
5.2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.4. Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote a formal model for $\mathcal{B}$ and recall that $\mathcal{B} = A \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle / b$. Set $A' = A \langle y_1, \ldots, y_n \rangle$ and $B' = A' \langle tz_1, \ldots, tz_n \rangle / b'$ where $b'$ is the image of $b$ by the map $x_i = y_i + tz_i$.

Fix $\alpha$ and $F_\alpha$ as in the statement of Theorem 1.2.4 and let $V_\alpha$ be the valuation ring of $F_\alpha$. Let $p$ be the morphism $p \colon A \to V_\alpha$ corresponding to $\alpha$. Recall that $q_\alpha$ is the cardinality of the residue field of $F_\alpha$. Then we may choose a collection of $q_\alpha^n$ points $\alpha_j \in V_\alpha^n$ corresponding to morphisms $p_j : A' \to V_\alpha$ with $p_j|_A = p$ such that the union of polydiscs of radius $|t|$ around each $\alpha_j$ covers $V_\alpha^n$.

Now apply Theorem 5.1.3 to $\mathcal{B}'$ with $f_i = y_i + tz_i$. Then the points in $\mathcal{X}_0(V_\alpha, H; f)$ are in bijection with the points of $(\text{Sp} \mathcal{B})_\alpha(F_\alpha, 0, H)$ belonging to the ball of radius $|t|$ around $\alpha_j$, and in particular the union of $q_\alpha^n$ such sets is in bijection with $(\text{Sp} \mathcal{B})_\alpha(F_\alpha, 0, H)$. The conclusion of Theorem 1.2.4 thus follows from Theorem 5.1.3.
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