Lattice QCD computation of the colour fields for the static hybrid quark-gluon-antiquark system, and microscopic study of the Casimir scaling
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The chromoelectric and chromomagnetic fields, created by a static gluon-quark-antiquark system, are computed in quenched SU(3) lattice QCD, in a 24×48 lattice at β = 6.2 and a = 0.07261(85) fm. We compute the hybrid Wilson Loop with two spatial geometries, one with a U shape and another with an L shape. The particular cases of the two gluon glueball and quark-antiquark are also studied, and the Casimir scaling is investigated in a microscopic perspective.

This microscopic study of the colour fields is relevant to understand the structure of hadrons, in particular of the hybrid excitation of mesons. This also contributes to understand confinement with flux tubes and to discriminate between the models of fundamental versus adjoint confining strings, analogous to type-II and type-I superconductivity.

I. INTRODUCTION

Here we present the first Lattice QCD study of the chromoelectric and chromomagnetic fields, created by a static gluon-quark-antiquark system. Although the colour fields have been extensively studied for the quark-antiquark, [1-4], for three quarks [4-8], for the hybrid only the static potential has been studied so far [9, 10].

The hybrid static potential is also relevant to understand the nature of confinement and of Casimir Scaling, since with the hybrid potential we can interpolate between the gluon-gluon interaction and the quark-antiquark interactions which are particular cases of the hybrid static potential. The first study of the static gluon-gluon interaction was performed by Michael [11, 12], and Bali [13] extended this study to other SU(3) representations, leading to the Casimir Scaling picture. Bicudo et al. [10] and Cardoso et al. [9] studied the static gluon-quark-antiquark potential and showed that when the segments gluon-quark and gluon-antiquark are perpendicular, the potential \( V \) is compatible with the confinement realized with a pair of fundamental strings, one linking the gluon to the quark and the other linking the same gluon to the antiquark. For parallel and superposed segments, however, the total string tension becomes larger and is in agreement with the Casimir Scaling measured by Bali [13]. Bicudo, Cardoso and Oliveira established an analogy between the static potential and a type-II superconductor for the confinement in QCD, illustrated in Fig. 1, with repulsion of the fundamental strings and with the string tension of the first topological excitation of the string (the adjoint string) larger than the double of the fundamental string tension. In type-I superconductor the fundamental strings would be attracted and would fuse into an adjoint string. With the computation of the flux tubes we can further understand, microscopically the Casimir Scaling. For instance Semay [14] presented a model for Casimir Scaling, based on a shape of flux tubes independent of the colour SU(3) representation, and we can test it.

In this paper, we investigate the chromoelectric and chromomagnetic fields, and the resulting lagrangian and energy density distributions around a static gluon-quark-antiquark system in quenched SU(3) lattice QCD. In section II, we introduce the lattice QCD formulation. We briefly review the Wilson loop for this system, which was used in Bicudo et al. [10] and Cardoso et al. [9], and show how we compute the colour fields and the lagrangian and energy density distribution. In section III, the numerical results are shown, including several density plots of the chromo flux tubes, and longitudinal plots of the chromo field profiles. Finally, we present the conclusion in section IV.

II. THE WILSON LOOPS AND COLOUR FIELDS

In principle, any Wilson loop with a geometry similar to that represented in Fig. 2a, describing correctly the quantum numbers of the hybrid, is appropriate, although the signal to noise ratio may depend on the choice of the Wilson loop. A correct Wilson loop must include an SU(3) octet (the gluon), an SU(3) triplet (the quark) and an SU(3) antitriplet (the antiquark), as well as the connection between the three links of the gluon, the quark and the antiquark.

We construct the gluon-quark-antiquark Wilson loop from the two-color-octet meson operator,

\[
\mathcal{O}(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \bar{q}(x) \lambda^\alpha \Gamma_1 q(x) \right] \left[ \bar{q}(x) \lambda^\alpha \Gamma_2 q(x) \right]
\]

where \( \lambda^\alpha \) are the Gellmann SU(3) colour matrices, and...
\[ O(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \bar{q}(x) \lambda^a \Gamma_1 q(x) \right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \bar{q}(x - x_1 \mu_1) U_{\mu_1} (x - x_1 \mu_1) \cdots U_{\mu_1} (x - \hat{\mu}_1) \right. \]

\[ \left. \lambda^a \Gamma_2 U_{\mu_2} (x) \cdots U_{\mu_2} (x + (x_2 - 1) \hat{\mu}_2) \right] \] 

\[ q (x + x_2 \mu_2) \] .

The contraction of the quark field operators, assuming that all quarks are of different nature, gives rise to the gluon operator,

\[ W_{gq} = \frac{1}{16} \text{Tr} \left[ U_4^i (t - 1, x) \cdots U_4^i (0, x) \lambda^b \right. \]

\[ U_4 (0, x) \cdots U_4 (t - 1, x) \lambda^a \] 

\[ = \text{Tr} \left[ U_{\mu_2} (t, x) \cdots U_{\mu_2} (t, x + (x_2 - 1) \hat{\mu}_2) \right. \]

\[ U_4^i (t - 1, x + x_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \cdots U_4^i (0, x + x_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \]

\[ U_{\mu_2}^i (0, x + (x_2 - 1) \hat{\mu}_2) \cdots U_{\mu_2}^i (0, x) \lambda^b \]

\[ U_{\mu_1}^i (0, x - \hat{\mu}_1) \cdots U_{\mu_1}^i (0, x - x_1 \hat{\mu}_1) \]

\[ U_4 (0, x - x_1 \hat{\mu}_1) \cdots U_4 (t - 1, x - x_1 \hat{\mu}_1) \]

\[ U_{\mu_1}^i (t, x - x_1 \hat{\mu}_1) \cdots U_{\mu_1}^i (t, x - \hat{\mu}_1) \lambda^a \] .

Using the Fiertz relation,

\[ \sum_a \left( \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \right)_{ij} \left( \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \right)_{kl} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{6} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \] 

we can prove that

\[ W_{gq} = W_1 W_2 - \frac{1}{3} W_3 \]
Here we present the results of our simulations with 266 SU(3) configurations in a $24^3 \times 48$, $\beta = 6.2$ lattice, generated with the version 6 of the MILC code [15], via a combination of Cabibbo-Mariani and overrelaxed updates. The results are presented in lattice spacing units, defined in Eq. (3).

In this work two geometries for the hybrid system, gluon-axial and axial-theta baryon, are investigated: a U shape and a L shape geometry, both defined in Fig. 3.

In the U shape geometry, we only change the distance between quark and antiquark, $d = 0, 2, 4, 6$, and we fix the distance between gluon and quark-antiquark at $l = 8$. When the quark and the antiquark are superposed, $d = 0$, the system corresponds to a two gluon glueball, Fig. 4.

In the L shape geometry, we fix the distance between the gluon and quark at $r_2 = 8$ and the distance between the gluon and antiquark is changed, $r_1 = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8$. When the gluon and the antiquark are superposed, $r_1 = 0$, the system is equivalent to a meson. The results for the meson system are presented in Fig. 5.

### III. RESULTS

**A. Flux Tube and Casimir Scaling**

First we discuss the results for the two degenerate cases, in which the system collapses into a two body system - the meson (L geometry with $r_1 = 0$) and the two gluon glueball (U geometry with $d = 0$). In the meson case we confirm the results obtained in previous works (for example [2]). Not only in the meson case, but also in general, we have $\langle E^2 \rangle \geq \langle B^2 \rangle \geq |\langle B^2 \rangle| \geq |\langle E_i^2 \rangle|$. We also observe that $\langle E^2 \rangle > 0$ and $\langle B^2 \rangle < 0$, for all the studied geometries. Since the absolute value of the chromoelectric field dominates over the absolute value of the chromomagnetic field, there is a cancelation in energy density, Eq. (10), and an enhancement in Lagrangian density, Eq. (11).

We measure the quotient between the energy densities
of the meson system and of the glueball system, in the mediatrix plane between the two particles (x = 0). The results are shown in Fig. 6. As can be seen, these results are consistent with Casimir scaling, with a factor of 9/4 (broken line).

The results for the fields in the case of the two gluon-glueball are given in Fig. 4 and the meson in Fig. 5.

B. L Geometry

The squared field components in the L geometry with \(r_1 = r_2 = 8\) are shown in Fig. 7. In this figure, we can see that \(\langle E^2_{x}\rangle\) is greater in the x axis and \(\langle E^2_{y}\rangle\) is greater in the y-axis, on the other hand the chromomagnetic field components exhibit the reciprocal behaviour - \(\langle B^2_{x}\rangle\) is greater in the y axis and \(\langle B^2_{y}\rangle\) is greater on the x axis. This result is consistent with having two essentially independent fundamental strings, since this was the result obtained for one fundamental string - the longitudinal component is the dominant one in the chromo-electric field and the transversal component is dominant in the chromo-magnetic field.

In Fig. 9e-h, we show the distribution of the lagrangian density, in the L geometry, with \(r_2 = 8\), fixed, and for different \(r_1\), where \(r_1\) is the distance between gluon-antiquark and \(r_2\) the distance between gluon-quark. The variation of the lagrangian density with \(r_1\) can also be seen in Fig. 8c and in Fig. 8d, in the x and y axis (Fig. 3), where is the anti-quark and the quark. Notice that the result in the y axis is essentially the same, when we move the antiquark in the x axis, except for the case of \(r_1 = 0\), where the system collapses in a meson. But, even in this case, the flux tube near the quark is almost the same.

In the y axis, we can see the presence of a flux tube between the gluon and the quark. As can be seen for \(r_2 = 8\), the lagrangian density tends to a constant in the center of the tube and remains practically unchanged when the antiquark and the gluon are far apart. This last result is consistent with the existence of a confining potential \(V_{gq} \rightarrow \alpha r\) between the gluon and the (anti)quark.

Our results indicate that in this geometry the system is well described by two independent fundamental strings as was stated in [10] and [9].

C. U Geometry

We show the results for the chromoelectric and chromomagnetic fields in the U geometry at distances \(l = 8\) and \(d = 6\) in Fig. 10. The results are consistent with the ones for the L shape geometry. The longitudinal component of the chromoelectric field is the dominant component. This is the \(y\) component of the chromoelectric, and this is expected since the flux tube is essentially aligned in this direction. In the same way \(\langle B^2_{y}\rangle\) and \(\langle B^2_{z}\rangle\) are seen to be dominant with relation to \(\langle B^2_{x}\rangle\), which is consistent with the fact that the transversal component of the magnetic is the larger one.

In Fig. 9a-d we can see the evolution of the lagrangian density, as a function of the the quark-antiquark distance, \(d\), for fixed \(l = 8\). For \(d = 0\), we are in the glueball case and we thus have an adjoint string linking the two gluons. For \(d = 2\) we can see the stretching of the tube in the x direction. For \(d = 4\), corresponding to \(y \approx 5\), we already see the string splitting in two fundamental strings. In \(d = 6\), the separation of the two fundamental strings is clear, they only join at the gluon position. The transition point between the two regimes - one adjoint string and two clearly splitted fundamental ones - occurs between \(d = 2\) and \(d = 4\), for \(l = 8\). This transition point occurs for an angle between the two fundamental strings of \(0.37 \pm 0.12\) rad, and this is relevant for the quark and gluon constituent models. In Fig. 8a, we can see the stretching and partial splitting of the flux tube in the equatorial plane (\(y = 4\)) between the quark and the antiquark, and in 8b we see the results for the y axis, where the gluon is located (at \(y = 0\)), as well and the centroid of the qq subsystem (at \(y = 8\)).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We present the first study the chromoelectric and chromomagnetic fields produced by a static quark-gluon-antiquark system in a pure gauge SU(3) QCD lattice.

We report the cases of a simple meson and of a two gluon glueball, which correspond to two different degenerate cases of a hybrid meson system. We verify the qualitative results for the squared components of the colour
Two degenerate systems are related, with the energy density in the glueball flux tube being compatible with \(9/4\) times the energy density in the meson flux tube. This is in agreement with the Casimir scaling factor between the glueball and the meson, obtained by Bali [13].

We also study two geometries for the hybrid meson system. We study a L shaped geometry, with the gluon on the origin, the quark on the \(y\) axis and the antiquark on the \(x\) axis. In this case we verify the dominance of the longitudinal component in the chromoelectric field...
and of the transversal component in the chromomagnetic field in the two flux tubes coming from the gluon. We also concluded that this two flux tubes are, mainly, two independent fundamental strings, which agrees with the results for the potential obtained by [10] and [9]. We also study a U shaped geometry, which allow us to see the transition between the two regimes of confinement, with one adjoint and with two splitted fundamental strings.

Whether the Casimir scaling is due to a repulsive superposition the two fundamental strings or to the actual existence of an adjoint string, we cannot yet distinguish in the present study. We conjecture that both these two pictures are essentially equivalent in the gluon-gluon system. But it appears that for angles between the gluon-quark and gluon-antiquark segments larger than 0.4 rad, the two fundamental strings are splitted. In the future, it will be interesting to complement the present study of the flux tubes, with the computation of the static potential for the U geometry.
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