Long Noncoding RNA MMP2-AS1 Contributes to Progression of Renal Cell Carcinoma by Modulating miR-34c-5p/MMP2 Axis
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Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) serves as a prevalent malignancy of urinary system and presents severe mortality and increasing incidence. Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) have demonstrated critical roles in RCC development. Here, we were interested in the function of MMP2-AS1 during RCC progression. We observed that MMP2-AS1 localized in both nucleus and cytoplasm of RCC cells using fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). The cell viability, proliferation, invasion, and migration of RCC cells were reduced by the depletion of MMP2-AS1. The MMP2-AS1 depletion-inhibited viability, proliferation, migration, and invasion of RCC cells were rescued by the overexpression of MMP2 in vitro. Consistently, the tumor growth of RCC cells was repressed by the depletion of MMP2-AS1 in the nude mice, while the overexpression of MMP2 could reverse this effect in vivo. Mechanically, we predicted the potential interaction of miR-34c-5p with both MMP2-AS1 and MMP2. The treatment of miR-34c-5p mimic reduced the luciferase activity of MMP2-AS1 and MMP2 3′UTR. The depletion of MMP2-AS1 enhanced miR-34c-5p expression and the expression of MMP2 was inhibited by miR-34c-5p in RCC cells. The protein levels of MMP2 were downregulated by MMP2-AS1 knockdown, while the inhibitor of miR-34c-5p rescued the expression of MMP2 in the cells. The treatment of miR-34c-5p mimic attenuated the cell viability, proliferation, invasion, and migration of RCC cells, in which MMP2 overexpression restored the phenotypes. MMP2-AS1 depletion-attenuated viability, proliferation, migration, and invasion of RCC cells were reversed by miR-34c-5p inhibitor. We concluded that MMP2-AS1 contributed to progression of renal cell carcinoma by modulating miR-34c-5p/MMP2 axis.

1. Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is one of the most commonly occurred malignancies of urinary system, which accounts for over 3% of human malignancies [1]. Over the past two decades, the incidence of RCC stably increases annually [2]. RCC is a heterogeneous malignant tumor form, mainly contains four subtypes, including the clear cell RCC (ccRCC), chromophobe renal carcinoma (chRCC), renal oncocytoma (RO), and papillary renal cell carcinoma (pRCC), among which, the ccRCC accounts for 70 to 80 percent of RCC [3]. ccRCC is derived from the epithelial cells of the proximal renal tubule, and its treatment remains a challenge in clinics owing to developed chemotherapy and radiotherapy resistance [3]. Currently, the surgical resection is the most prevalent choice for patients with RCC and the only potential treatment that results in a complete cure [4]. Hence, the exploration of regulatory mechanisms and development of novel therapeutic manner are urgently needed.

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are RNAs with length more than 200 nucleotides and do not encode proteins [5–8]. Accumulating evidences have unraveled the role of lncRNAs as molecular regulators during numerous pathological and physiological processes, including the tumorigenesis, organ development, as well as immunity [9–11]. lncRNAs regulate gene expression and protein functions mainly through regulating decoys, tethers, scaffolds, and guides [12–14]. Studies also suggested that lncRNAs play...
Figure 1: Continued.
important role during modulation of RCC. For instance, lncURRCC modulates histone H3 acetylation of EGFL7 promoter, which consequently activates AKT signaling and promotes cell proliferation and metastasis [15]. Liu and colleagues suggested that LINC00973 functioned as competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) to modulate cell surface abundance of Siglec-15 and participated in cancer immune suppression of ccRCC [16]. lncRNA MMP2-AS1 is a poorly investigated lncRNA and the function of MMP2-AS1 RCC remains unreported.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) also belong to noncoding RNAs and are characterized by short sequence of less than 20 nucleotides [17]. It has been widely accepted that miRNAs play pivotal roles in the regulation of cancer development, as well as drug resistance, by directly targeting mRNAs [18–20]. miR-34c-5p is able to inhibit Notch1 and represses invasion and metastasis in cervical cancer [21]. SIRT6 targeted by miR-34c-5p contributes to proliferation of colon cancer by JAK2/STAT3 signaling [22]. miR-34c-5p suppressed leukemia development and facilitated the eradication of leukemia stem cells [23]. A recent work also identified miR-34c-5p as a promising predictive biomarker of ccRCC patients, upon treatment with VEGFR-TKIs and even HIF-2α inhibitor belzutifan [24]. Moreover, MMP2 plays crucial roles in the development of RCC. It has been reported that miR-429/CRKL axis modulates the malignant progression of RCC by SOS1/MEK/ERK/MMP2/MMP9 signaling [25]. G6PD promotes invasion of RCC cells by inducing MMP2 expression via ROS/MAPK signaling [26]. However, the correlation of MMP2 and miR-34c-5p with MMP2-AS1 in the development of RCC is still elusive.

In this work, we identified a lncRNA, namely, lncMMP2-AS1, to be highly abundant in tumor samples collected from patients with RCC, determined its role in promoting cell proliferation and metastasis in in vitro and in vivo models. Studies on mechanisms identified a lncMMP2-AS1/miR-34c-5p/MMP2 regulatory axis in modulating cell behaviors. Our findings provided a novel therapeutic target for RCC treatment.
Figure 2: The depletion of MMP2-AS1 represses migration and invasion of renal cell carcinoma cells. (a–e) The CAKI-1 and 786-O cells were treated with MMP2-AS1 siRNA. (a, b) Cell migration and invasion were analyzed by Transwell assay. (c, d) Cell migration was detected by wound healing assay. (e) The expression of E-cadherin and vimentin was detected by Western blot.
Figure 3: Continued.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Lines and Culture. The immortalized proximal tubule epithelial cell line HK-2 and RCC cell lines ACHN, A498, CAKI-1, OSRC-2, and 786-O cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, USA). All cells were maintained in DMEM (Gibco, USA) that contains 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco) in a 37°C humidified environment filled with 5% CO2.

2.2. Cell Transfection. The small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) target lncMMP2-AS1 (si-MMP2-AS1), the miR-34c-5p mimics and miR-34c-5p inhibitors, the MMP2 overexpressing vector (pCMV-MMP2), and the corresponding negative control (NC) were synthesized by Gene Pharma (China). Cell transfection was conducted by using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

2.3. Quantitative Real Time PCR. Total RNAs were extracted from RCC cells by using ice-cold TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) in line with the manufacturer’s instruction. A total of 1 μg RNA was reverse transcript to cDNA by using High-Capacity cDNA reverse transcription kits (Thermo, USA). Subsequently, the cDNA was adopted as the template for quantification of gene levels by using SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix (Thermo, USA). GAPDH and U6 were used as internal control for normalization of RNA and non-coding RNA, respectively.

2.4. Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH). The localization of RNA was determined by FISH assay by using Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization Kit (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The Cy3-labeled lncMMP2-AS1 probe was purchased from RiboBio (China) and incubated with RCC cells. The fluorescence was detected under confocal microscopy (Leica, Germany).

2.5. Cell Proliferation. Cell proliferation was assessed by colony formation assay (CCK-8) (Beyotime, China). For CCK-8 assay, RCC cells (5 × 10³ cells/well) were transfected with indicated oligonucleotides, respectively, and then placed into 96-well plates. At indicated time points (24, 48, 72, and 96 hours), the CCK-8 reagent (20 μl) was added into each well and cultured for another 2 hours. To measure the absorbance values at 450 nm, a microplate spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used.

For colony formation assay, RCC cells (1 × 10³/well) were suspended in complete culture medium and seeded into 6-well plates. After incubation for 2 weeks, visible colonies were stained with Crystal Violet (Beyotime), captured by a dissection microscope (Nikon, Japan) and counted.

2.6. Cell Migration and Invasion. Wound healing assay was used to assess cell migration of RCC cells. In brief, the RCC cells were seeded in 6-well plates and incubated overnight to form monolayers. Next day, a scratched area was created by using a 200 μl pipette tip. After that, cells were washed with PBS to remove cell debris and cultured in media with 0.1% FBS. The spread of wound closure was photographed at 0, 6, and 12 hours. Transwell assay was also performed to assess cell migration of RCC cells. In brief, the RCC cells were seeded in 6-well plates and incubated overnight to form monolayers. Next day, a scratched area was created by using a 200 μl pipette tip. After that, cells were washed with PBS to remove cell debris and cultured in media with 0.1% FBS. The spread of wound closure was photographed at 0, 6, and 12 hours.

Transwell assay was also performed to assess cell migration and invasion. In brief, cells were suspended in serum-free culture medium and placed onto the top chambers of 24-well Transwell plates coated with or without Matrigel for cell migration and invasion, respectively. And the lower chambers were filled with complete medium. After incubation for 24 hours, the invaded and migrated cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and then dyed with 0.2% crystal violet. Images were taken under a microscope.

2.7. Western Blotting. The total proteins were obtained by using RIPA buffer (Thermo, USA) that added with antiprotease mixture (Thermo, USA). Equal amounts of 35 μg proteins were separated in SDS-PAGE gel, blotted onto PVDF membranes, blocked with 5% skim milk, and then hatched with specific primary antibodies against MMP2 (1:1000, Abcam, USA), cyclin D1 (1:1000, Abcam, USA), cyclin E (1:1000, Abcam, USA), E-cadherin (1:1000, Abcam, USA), Vimentin (1:1000, Abcam, USA), and GAPDH (1:1000, Abcam, USA) overnight at 4°C. The blots were then incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody at room temperature for 1 hour and visualized by...
Figure 4: MMP2-AS1 promotes tumor growth of renal cell carcinoma cells by enhancing MMP2 expression. (a, b) The tumorigenesis analysis was performed in nude mice injected with CAKI-1 cells treated with MMP2-AS1 siRNA, or cotreated with MMP2-AS1 siRNA and MMP2 overexpressing plasmid. (a) The tumor images were shown. The levels of Ki-67 and PCNA were detected by IHC.
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using an ECL chemiluminescence substrate (Beyotime, China) in a gel image system.

2.8. In Vivo Xenograft Model. All animal experiments in this work were performed in line with the guidelines of Animal Ethic Committee of The Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University. Male SCID/nude mice (6-week-old) were brought from the Charles River Laboratory (USA). The transfected OSRC2 cells \(5 \times 10^5\) were suspended in \(100 \mu l\) Matrigel and subcutaneously inoculated in the fat pad of mice. Tumor volume was monitored every three days and calculated by the following equation: length \(\times\) width\(^2\)/2. All mice succumbed to death, and the isolated tumors were weighted and stored for following evaluation.

2.9. Histological Analysis. The collected tumors were made into 4\(\mu\)m thick paraffin sections for histological analysis. The tissue damage was assessed by hematoxylin & eosin (HE) staining following the manufacturer’s instruction. Cell proliferation was detected by staining of Ki-67 and PCNA. The tissue damage was assessed by hematoxylin & eosin staining. The nuclei were counterstained with hematoxylin.

2.10. Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay. The wild-type (WT) or mutant (MUT) lncMMP2-AS or MMP2 3'UTR sequences was synthesized and cloned into pmirGLO vector, respectively. RCC cells were seeded in 12-well plates and cotransfected with WT or MUT luciferase plasmids and miR-34c-5p mimics or NC mimics. The pRL-TK vectors were cotransfected as internal reference. After 24-hour incubation, a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, USA) was adopted to evaluate the luciferase activity.

2.11. RNA Immunoprecipitation (RIP) with AGO2. The RIP assay was performed by using a Magna RIP RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation kit (Millipore, Germany) in accordance with manufacturer’s description. RCC cells were lysed and sonicated, incubated with Dynabeads precoated with AGO2 antibody or IgG antibody in RIP immunoprecipitation buffer overnight at 4°C. The RNA was then eluted, purified, reverse transcribed to cDNA, and subjected to qRT-PCR.

2.12. RNA Pulldown. Biotin-labeled wild-type (wt) miR-34c-5p (Bio-wt miR-34c-5p) and mutated (mt) miR-34c-5p (Bio-mt miR-34c-5p) probe were synthesized by Gene Pharma (China). RCC cells were transfected with the probes for 48 hours and harvested and lysed. Cell lysates were mixed with M-280 streptavidin magnetic beads (Sigma, USA) for 6 hours at 4°C. The beads were then washed, eluted, and subjected to qRT-PCR for Inc-MMP2-AS1 quantification.

2.13. Statistics. All statistical analyses were conducted by using the GraphPad Prism (version 17). Data were shown as mean \(\pm\) S.D. Student’s t-test or one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) was performed for comparison between groups if they followed a normal distribution; otherwise, the nonparametric Mann–Whitney test was adopted. Differences were considered statistically significant for values of \(p < 0.05\).

3. Results

3.1. The Depletion of MMP2-AS1 Suppresses Proliferation of Renal Cell Carcinoma Cells. To assess the effect of IncRNA of MMP2-AS1, the CAKI-1 and 786-O cells were transfected with MMP2-AS1 siRNAs. MMP2-AS1 localized in both nucleus and cytoplasm of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells (Figure 1(a)). The depletion of MMP2-AS1 by siRNAs was
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validated in the cells (Figure 1(b)). The cell viability of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells was remarkably reduced by the depletion of MMP2-AS1 (Figure 1(c)). The knockdown of MMP2-AS1 significantly repressed the colony formation numbers of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells (Figure 1(d)). The expression of cyclin D1 and cyclin E was repressed by the depletion of MMP2-AS1 (Figure 1(e)).

3.2. The Depletion of MMP2-AS1 Represses Migration and Invasion of Renal Cell Carcinoma Cells. We further analyzed the function of MMP2-AS1 in the modulation of migration and invasion of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells. We observed that the depletion of MMP2-AS1 remarkably attenuated the invasion and migration ability of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). Meanwhile, the knockdown of MMP2-AS1 significantly reduced wound closure rate of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells in the wound healing assay (Figures 2(c) and 2(d)). The E-cadherin expression was enhanced, and vimentin was reduced by the depletion of MMP2-AS1 (Figure 2(e)).

3.3. MMP2-AS1 Contributes to Proliferation, Migration, and Invasion of Renal Cell Carcinoma Cells by Inducing MMP2 Expression. Next, we observed that the expression of MMP2 was downregulated by the silencing of MMP2-AS1 in CAKI-1 and 786-O cells, in which the overexpression of MMP2 rescued the expression (Figure 3(a)). Significantly, the viability of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells was suppressed by the depletion of MMP2-AS1, which was rescued by the overexpression of MMP2 (Figure 3(b)). Meanwhile, the MMP2-AS1 depletion-inhibited colony formation numbers of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells were restored by the overexpression of MMP2 (Figure 3(c)). The knockdown of MMP2-AS1 reduced the ability of CAKI-1 and 786-O cell migration and invasion, while MMP2 overexpression could reverse the effect in the cells (Figure 3(d)). The overexpression of MMP2 reversed the effect of MMP2-AS1 depletion on cyclin D1, cyclin E, E-cadherin, and vimentin in the cells (Figures 3(e) and 3(f)).

3.4. MMP2-AS1 Promotes Tumor Growth of Renal Cell Carcinoma Cells by Enhancing MMP2 Expression. We then evaluated the correlation of MMP2-AS1 and MMP2 in the regulation of tumor growth of renal cell carcinoma cells in vivo. We observed that the tumor growth, along with the levels of Ki-67 and PCNA, were repressed by the depletion of MMP2-AS1, while the overexpression of MMP2 could reverse the effect in the nude mice (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)).

3.5. MMP2-AS1 Induces MMP2 Expression by Targeting miR-34c-5p. Then, we explored the mechanism by which MMP2-AS1 enhanced MMP2 expression. We predicted the potential interaction of miR-34c-5p with both MMP2-AS1 and MMP2 and the overexpression of miR-34c-5p or the inhibition of miR-34c-5p by inhibitor were validated in CAKI-1 and 786-O cells (Figure 5(a)). The treatment of miR-34c-5p mimic reduced the luciferase activity of MMP2-AS1 and MMP2 3′UTR (Figures 5(b) and 5(c)). The depletion of MMP2-AS1 was able to enhance miR-34c-5p expression in CAKI-1 and 786-O cells (Figure 5(d)). The expression of MMP2 was inhibited by miR-34c-5p mimic in CAKI-1 and 786-O cells (Figure 5(e)). Importantly, the protein levels of MMP2 were downregulated by MMP2-AS1 knockdown, while the inhibitor of miR-34c-5p rescued the expression of MMP2 in CAKI-1 and 786-O cells (Figure 5(f)).

3.6. miR-34c-5p Suppresses Proliferation, Migration, and Invasion of Renal Cell Carcinoma Cells by Targeting MMP2 Expression. Next, we observed that the viability of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells was inhibited by miR-34c-5p mimic, which was reversed by the overexpression of MMP2 (Figure 6(a)). Besides, miR-34c-5p-attenuated colony formation numbers of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells were rescued by the overexpression of MMP2 (Figure 6(b)). The treatment of miR-34c-5p mimic attenuated the ability of CAKI-1 and 786-O cell migration and invasion, while MMP2 overexpression could restore the ability in the cells (Figure 6(c)).
Figure 7: Continued.
overexpression of MMP2 reversed the effect of miR-34c-5p mimic on cyclin D1, cyclin E, E-cadherin, and vimentin in the cells (Figures 6(d) and 6(e)).

3.7. MMP2-AS1 Contributes to Proliferation, Migration, and Invasion of Renal Cell Carcinoma Cells by Inducing MMP2 Expression. Furthermore, we found that the viability of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells was inhibited by the depletion of MMP2-AS1, which was rescued by miR-34c-5p inhibitor (Figure 7(a)). In addition, the MMP2-AS1 depletion-attenuated colony formation numbers of CAKI-1 and 786-O cells were reversed by the inhibition of miR-34c-5p (Figure 7(b)). The silencing of MMP2-AS1 repressed the ability of CAKI-1 and 786-O cell migration and invasion, while miR-34c-5p inhibitor could reverse the effect in the cells (Figure 7(c)). The miR-34c-5p inhibitor reversed the effect of MMP2-AS1 depletion on cyclin D1, cyclin E, E-cadherin, and vimentin in the cells (Figures 7(d) and 7(e)).

4. Discussion

RCC is a prevalent malignancy of urinary system and shows low survival rate and high incidence of metastasis. lncRNAs have been identified as the crucial molecules in RCC development. Nevertheless, the function of lncRNA MMP2-AS1 in RCC remains obscure. In this study, we discovered the crucial effect of MMP2-AS1 on RCC progression and identified the potential mechanism.

The critical functions of lncRNAs have been reported in RCC progression in the previous studies. It has been reported that IncRNA miR4435-2HG promotes RCC malignant development by miR-513a-5p/KLF6 signaling [27]. IncRNA HOTAIRM1 is reduced in RCC and represses the hypoxia signaling [28]. IncRNA-SARCC inhibits RCC progression by regulating the androgen receptor/miRNA-143-3p axis [29]. Meanwhile, it has been found that miR-429/CRKL axis modulates RCC malignant development by SOS1/MEK/ERK/MMP2 axis [25]. G6PD contributes to RCC invasion by inducing MMP2 expression via ROS/MAPK signaling [26]. In the current work, we found that MMP2-AS1 localized in both nucleus and cytoplasm of RCC cells using fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). Meanwhile, the cell viability and proliferation of RCC cells was remarkably reduced by the depletion of MMP2-AS1. The silencing of MMP2-AS1 remarkably attenuated the invasion and migration ability of RCC cells. Significantly, the expression of MMP2 was downregulated by the silencing of MMP2-AS1 in RCC cells, in which the overexpression of MMP2 rescued the expression. The MMP2-AS1 depletion-inhibited viability, proliferation, migration, and invasion of RCC cells were rescued by the overexpression of MMP2 in vitro. Consistently, the tumor growth of RCC cells were repressed by the depletion of MMP2-AS1 in the nude mice, while the overexpression of MMP2 could reversed this effect in vivo. Our data not only provide the evidence of the novel function of MMP2-AS1 in RCC progression but also elucidate the correlation of MMP2-AS1 with MMP2 in the development of RCC.

Previous studies have demonstrated the function of miR-34c-5p and MMP2 in cancer development. It has been reported that miRNA-34c-5p represses amphiregulin-stimulated ovarian cancer drug resistance and stemness by downregulating the AR/EGFR/ERK axis [30]. IncRNA KCNQ1OT1 sponges miR-34c-5p to enhances osteosarcoma progression by ALDOA-enhanced aerobic glycolysis [31]. miR-34c-5p represses Notch1 and inhibits invasion and metastasis of cervical cancer [21]. miR-34c-5p regulates paclitaxel-induced apoptosis of lung cancer cells [32]. miR-34c-5p is correlated with the recurrence of laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma [33]. Moreover, it has been reported that SPAG5 contributes to metastasis of osteosarcoma by activating FOXM1/MMP2 signaling [34]. SPOCK2 regulates endometrial cancer cells by targeting MMP2 [35]. Exosome-transmitted circ_MMP2 enhances metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma through inducing MMP2 [36]. Our mechanism investigations showed the potential interaction of miR-34c-5p with both MMP2-AS1 and MMP2. The treatment of miR-34c-5p mimic reduced the luciferase activity of MMP2-AS1 and MMP2 3′UTR. The depletion of MMP2-AS1 was able to enhance miR-34c-5p expression,
and the expression of MMP2 was inhibited by miR-34c-5p mimic in RCC cells. The protein levels of MMP2 were downregulated by MMP2-AS1 knockdown, while the inhibitor of miR-34c-5p rescued the expression of MMP2 in the cells. The treatment of miR-34c-5p mimic attenuated the cell viability, proliferation, invasion, and migration of RCC cells, in which MMP2 overexpression could restore the phenotypes. Besides, MMP2-AS1 depletion-attenuated viability, proliferation, migration, and invasion of RCC cells were reversed by the miR-34c-5p inhibitor. In this study, we novel-ely explored the function of MMP2-AS1 in promoting RCC progression and identified the downstream miR-34c-5p/MMP2 axis. Our finding provides new insight into the mechanism by which MMP2-AS1 promotes RCC progression by targeting miR-34c-5p/MMP2 axis. Moreover, there are still some limitations in the current studies. For example, the miR-34c-5p/MMP2 axis may be just one of the mechanisms of MMP2-AS1 regulating RCC and other potential downstream factors should be explored in the future. Meanwhile, the clinical expression and association of MMP2, miR-34c-5p, and MMP2-AS1 should be evaluated in future studies.

We concluded that MMP2-AS1 contributed to progression of renal cell carcinoma by modulating the miR-34c-5p/MMP2 axis. MMP2-AS1 may serve as a potential therapeutic target in the treatment of RCC.
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