Strain tuning of optical emission energy and polarization in monolayer and bilayer MoS$_2$
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We use micro-Raman and photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy at 300 K to investigate the influence of uniaxial tensile strain on the vibrational and optoelectronic properties of monolayer and bilayer MoS$_2$ on a flexible substrate. The initially degenerate $E'$ monolayer Raman mode is split into a doublet as a direct consequence of the strain applied to MoS$_2$ through Van der Waals coupling at the sample-substrate interface. We observe a strong shift of the direct band gap of 48 meV/($\%$ of strain) for the monolayer and 46 meV/$\%$ for the bilayer, whose indirect gap shifts by 86 meV/$\%$. We find a strong decrease of the PL polarization linked to optical valley initialization for both monolayer and bilayer samples, indicating that scattering to the spin-degenerate $\Gamma$ valley plays a key role.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Transition-metal dichalcogenides such as MoS$_2$ emerge as an exciting class of atomically flat, two-dimensional materials for electronics and optoelectronics. In contrast to graphene, monolayer MoS$_2$ has a direct band gap in the visible region of the optical spectrum and has been used as the active region of field-effect transistors, complex electronic circuits, and light-emitting diodes. Another important difference to graphene is the broken inversion symmetry of monolayer MoS$_2$, which can be directly used for second harmonic generation in nonlinear optics. The combined presence of inversion symmetry breaking and strong spin-orbit coupling allows simple optical $k$-valley initialization with circularly polarized lasers. This opens up very exciting possibilities of manipulating carriers in valleys with contrasting Berry phase curvatures, which allows in principle the observation of the valley Hall effect. The valley and spin properties are closely linked to the crystal symmetry and are expected to be modified through the application of mechanical strain. The role of strain is also important for practical devices using MoS$_2$ on flexible substrates. In unstrained samples, the indirect band gap of monolayer MoS$_2$ is just above the direct band gap. Strain will therefore have important consequences as the indirect transition approaches the direct transition energy before monolayer MoS$_2$ becomes indirect for strain exceeding 1.5$\%$. Here we apply a relatively small tensile uniaxial strain of up to 0.8$\%$ to observe striking changes in the Raman spectra of monolayers and bilayers. Drastic changes in optical emission properties are observed: the band gap is shifted by several tens of meV and the PL polarization changes by 40$\%$ for the monolayer and 100$\%$ for the bilayer (i.e., the finite PL polarization is tuned to zero). These results suggest that scattering to the spin-degenerate $\Gamma$ valley plays a key role as it becomes more efficient as strain increases.

II. SAMPLES AND SETUP

To controllably induce strain, the MoS$_2$ flakes are obtained by micromechanical exfoliation of natural bulk MoS$_2$ crystals (SPI Supplies, West Chester, PA) onto a flexible substrate, which is a polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film (9 cm long, 1 cm wide, 1 mm thick). The MoS$_2$ flakes remain at a fixed position on the substrate due to van der Waals attraction. Uniaxial strain is applied to MoS$_2$ through bending the PET film in a three-point apparatus (the distance between two extreme points is 6 cm). To achieve maximum strain, the MoS$_2$ flake is positioned exactly on top of the center point; see Fig. 1(b). The induced strain $\epsilon$ is given by $\epsilon = t/2R$, where $t = 1$ mm is the thickness of PET film and $R$ is the radius of curvature of the bent substrate. $R$ is evaluated by measuring the displacement of the central point of the substrate (i.e., where the strain is applied). The angle at which the strain is applied with respect to the MoS$_2$ in-plane crystal orientation can be adjusted as the bending apparatus is mounted on a rotation stage. Raman spectroscopy is performed in a backscattering geometry using a Jobin-Yvon HR800 Raman system equipped with a liquid-nitrogen-cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) and laser excitation at 2.6 eV. This allows us to verify that the MoS$_2$ flakes adhere well to the bent substrate during the measurements (i.e., no sample slippage occurs). Photoluminescence (PL) spectra are measured with a 100$\times$ long-working-distance objective using a He-Ne laser at 1.95 eV for excitation. The laser beam is passed through a Soleil Babinet Compensation to create circularly polarized light. The PL polarization $P_r$ defined as $P_r = I_{r+}/I_{r-}$ is analyzed by a quarter-wave plate placed in front of a Glan-Thomson linear polarizer. Here $I_{r+}$ ($I_{r-}$) denotes the intensity of the $\sigma^+$ ($\sigma^-$) polarized emission at the PL peak. All experiments are carried out at room temperature.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Before applying strain, the monolayer and bilayer regions are localized by micro-Raman spectroscopy. The high-frequency Raman spectra of the unstrained monolayer and bilayer MoS$_2$ are, respectively, characterized by $E'$ and $A_1'$ (monolayer) and $E_{2g}'$ and $A_{2g}$ (bilayer) due to their difference in symmetry ($D_{3h}$ for monolayer and $D_{6h}$ for bilayer), as
monolayer sample excited with a $\sigma^+$ laser. We detect a circular polarization degree in the order of 10% due to the chiral optical selection rules in MoS2 monolayers. In a recent report, only a broadening, not a splitting of the $E'_2$ mode in the monolayer (18.7 cm$^{-1}$) has been observed for a monolayer, as well as that between the two top contact points is 6 cm, whereas the extension of a MoS2 flake is in the $\mu$m range. (b) The monolayer (black curve) and bilayer (red) MoS2 regions are identified by Raman spectroscopy. (c) The $E'$ Raman mode splits into two modes as tensile strain is applied to the monolayer sample. (d) Same as (c) but for a bilayer sample.

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) The three-point bending apparatus. Note that the distance between the two top contact points is 6 cm, whereas the extension of a MoS2 flake is in the $\mu$m range. (b) The monolayer (black curve) and bilayer (red) MoS2 regions are identified by Raman spectroscopy. (c) The $E'$ Raman mode splits into two modes as tensile strain is applied to the monolayer sample. (d) Same as (c) but for a bilayer sample.

changes in Fig. 2(b): First, the emission is shifted by several tens of meV to lower energy. Second, the PL polarization degree decreases. This is confirmed in Fig. 2(c), where we measure a systematic decrease of $P_c$ with increasing strain.

Also for the bilayer sample we observe a shift of the PL emission energy associated with the direct transition; compare Figs. 2(d) and 2(e). This emission is polarized in the absence of strain. Inset: The applied strain is kept constant at 0.8% and the PL polarization is measured as a function of the angle of the applied strain with respect to the in-plane mono- and bilayer crystal orientation. (g) Scheme of the band structure for monolayer MoS2 at zero strain. K and $\Gamma$ valleys and the associated interband transitions are marked; the conduction-band spin splitting in the meV range is not shown.

Also for the bilayer sample we observe a shift of the PL emission energy associated with the direct transition; compare Figs. 2(d) and 2(e). This emission is polarized in the absence of strain to about 6%. Note that the PL polarization of the indirect optical transitions at lower energy is zero, as expected (see also the discussion below). Under the maximum tensile strain of 0.8%, the PL polarization of both transitions (direct
Although the band gap is underestimated, the calculated shift of the direct and indirect band gap with strain using the PBE functional is shown in Fig. 3(b). We observe a strong shift of the band gap due to a strain-induced reduction in band gap is plotted in Fig. 2(e). We observe that the direct and indirect band gap are excited due to a strain-induced reduction in band gap. The calculated shift of the direct and indirect band gap is 4.2 meV/\% for the monolayer and bilayer and the shift of the indirect band gap is 7.6 meV/\% for the bilayer, which is in good agreement with our experimental observation. Our calculations expect a crossing of a direct and indirect band gap at $\epsilon = 1.5\%$, in agreement with the prediction of Shi et al., which we cannot verify directly in our experiment as the applied strain is limited to $\epsilon = 0.8\%$. 

### IV. DISCUSSION

For a relatively small uniaxial strain amplitude, we observe profound changes in the electronic structure of monolayer and bilayer MoS$_2$. We first analyze the observations for the monolayer sample.

Monolayer MoS$_2$ has a direct band gap at the $K$ point with chiral optical selection rules, see Fig. 2(g). When a laser is resonant with the $\mathbf{A}$ valence band ($K^\pm$ valley) to the conduction-band transition, $\sigma^+$-polarized light will result in the creation of a conduction electron in the $K^+_c$ valley, while $\sigma^-$-polarized light creates a $K^+_c$ electron. In emission, the same selection rules apply, so in the absence of intervalley carrier transfer (and spin flips) the emission is expected to be strongly polarized, as is observed at low temperature. The valley and spin states are less stable and less well defined at room temperature, and lower PL polarization degrees are observed as also reported here. In our experiments, the exciting photon energy is close to the $\mathbf{B} \leftrightarrow K$ valence- to conduction-band transition. As a result, both $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ bands are excited due to energy broadening by impurities, phonons, and substrate imperfections. The $\mathbf{B}$ excitons have to relax in energy, which can lead to a change in valley if high $k$-value phonons are emitted.

It is important to note that although the fundamental gap of monolayer MoS$_2$ is direct, the indirect gap between the valence-band maximum $\Gamma_v$ and the degenerate conduction-band minima $K^+_c$ and $K^-_c$ is very close in energy, as indicated in Fig. 2(g). This means that at room temperature, as energy levels are broadened and due to high phonon occupation numbers, the $\Gamma_v$ states will play an important role in optics and transport, as has been theoretically predicted. Although the phonon-assisted indirect absorption is a second-order process, it cannot be neglected since it has many more available final states compared with direct absorption. The chiral optical selection rules that allow optical valley initialization do not apply to the $\Gamma_v \leftrightarrow K^\pm$ transitions. Therefore, phonon-assisted light absorption and emission involving the $\Gamma$ valence states will be essentially unpolarized.

As strain is applied to a monolayer sample, theory predicts that the $\Gamma_v \leftrightarrow K^\pm$ transition becomes the fundamental transition for $\epsilon > 1.5\%$; see our calculation in Fig. 3(b). So the impact of the indirect transitions on the optical properties will be more important the higher the strain is. The scattering to the spin-degenerate $\Gamma$ valley could be at the origin of the observed decrease of the PL polarization of the $\mathbf{A}$ transition as a function of the applied strain [Fig. 2(c)]. As the strain is increased in our experiment, we do not move our laser energy, which is constant at 1.95 eV, although the band gap shifts to the red. In general, the more off-resonant the optical excitation, the lower the polarization on the ground state.
We now discuss the observed lowering of the PL polarization of the bilayer with the applied strain. An ideal bilayer possesses inversion symmetry, and chiral valley selection rules do not apply.9,10,15 For zero external strain, we observe a 6% PL polarization, so symmetry breaking due to surface and interface effects is likely (assuming fast electron and hole spin relaxation compared to the radiative lifetime). A nonzero bilayer PL polarization has been reported before.11,36 Inversion symmetry breaking also manifests itself by second harmonic generation,6 forbidden for a perfectly symmetrical bilayer. The bilayer emission is very rich in information due to the coexistence of indirect and direct emission: at zero strain, the direct optical emission is circularly polarized, whereas the indirect emission (unfortunately not detectable for the monolayer) is unpolarized in Fig. 2(d). The relative change in $P_c$ in the bilayer (100%) is bigger than that in the monolayer (40%). This may be due to the fact that the indirect transition is already the fundamental band gap as we start the measurements at zero strain. As we keep our laser energy constant, we excite more and more nonresonantly as the strain increases. The application of strain could also partially restore inversion symmetry for the bilayer, which would contribute in addition to lowering the PL polarization.36 The induced strain could also accelerate spin relaxation, similar to the observations in GaAs.37 Also, the clear chiral optical selection rules derived for MoS$_2$ $K$-valley transitions will work less well as the applied strain modifies the direct band gap at $K$ and the indirect ($\Gamma_v \leftrightarrow K_v$) band gap.6

**V. CONCLUSION**

The unique coexistence of direct and indirect exciton transitions in uniaxially strained MoS$_2$ monolayers and bilayers has been investigated in the context of valley polarization. For monolayers, this coexistence is very promising for $p$-type Gunn diodes in applied electric fields35 and has to be investigated further for realistic valley Hall experiments. To apply a larger strain of a few percent in order to verify the direct to indirect band gap changeover, the sample will have to be clamped (fixed) to the substrate to avoid slippage. A stronger separation between $K$ and $\Gamma$ valence bands is expected for monolayer WSe$_2$,7 another promising dichalcogenide material with a direct gap also in the visible range.38 Strain tuning is also a promising approach for varying optical and vibrational properties in monolayer MoSe$_2$.39,40

*Note added.* Recently, we became aware of two preprints reporting similar results on Raman and band-gap shifts.41,42
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