Preparation of Nickel Nanocatalysts and Application to the Hydrodechlorination of 3-Chlorophenol under Liquid Phase
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Nickel nanoparticles were successfully synthesized via the reduction of nickel salt using ethylene glycol (EG) and sodium borohydride (NaBH4) as reducing agents. These nickel nanoparticles were then loaded on the supports as Ni-X (X = vanadium phosphorus oxides (VPO), TiO2, and ZnO) in high loading yield. The optical properties of these catalysts were characterized by UV-vis spectroscopy, the structure of Ni-X was studied by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), the distribution of Ni particles in X was studied by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and the specific surface area of Ni-X was evaluated by N2 adsorption isotherm analysis at 77 K. All results corroborated the loading process. Indeed, TEM image indicated that the nickel nanoparticles size is in the range of 14 ÷ 16 nm and fully loaded into X. The activities of these catalysts were performed on the hydrodechlorination of 3-chlorophenol in the presence of sodium hydroxide as base at atmospheric pressure and at RT. The results showed that Ni-X exhibited high activities up to 98% within 90 min in the case of Ni-ZnO catalyst.

1. Introduction

Every year, hundreds of chemical plants in the world produce tens of millions of tons of chlorine derivatives and large amounts of sodium hydroxide to serve for the industrial production according to the World Chlorine Council [1]. Among these, more than 21% of the chlorine was used to produce chlorinated organic compounds. These compounds were widely used as raw materials [2–4], solvents for chemical processes [5–7], textile, dry cleaning, and pesticide production. Due to the lack of high technology and management, these used substances were discharged directly into the environment causing serious pollution, especially for human health [8]. Therefore, numbers of researchers all over the world suggested to find the solution for this problem. The oxidative degradation, biological decomposition, and hydrodechlorination were considered as alternative methods of removing large amounts of organic pollutants in which the hydrodechlorination method promises high efficiency and safety and gives a beneficial product in industry.

One of the useful methods to hydrodechlorinate chlorine derivatives is using nanomaterials because of the low cost and easy to control the process. In reality, Xia et al. reported the hydrodechlorination of monochlorophenol with palladium nanoparticles supported on activated carbon as catalyst, and the conversion of chlorine substrates reached over 99% within 50 min reaction [9]. Likewise, Wiltschka and coworkers loaded palladium nanoparticles on film nano-composite membranes with low concentration to completely recover benzene [10]. Peng prepared silver-palladium alloy to dechlorinate 2,4-dichlorophenol in high efficiency, and it is over 90% conversion within 240 min [11]. Nevertheless, the catalyst seemed to be poisoned in long-term reaction [12]. Besides, Zang et al. isolated most of the benzene from the dechlorinated process under Pd(Phen)Cl2 as a homogeneous catalyst precursor [13]. However, palladium nanomaterials are well-known noble metals which perfectly give excellent catalytic activity except that it is more expensive than other common metals. Among them, nickel is one of the bright candidates to replace palladium for
hydrodechlorination. Unfortunately, not many publications have been found regarding the use of nickel nanoparticles, and most of the process was carried out under gas phase at high temperature. Indeed, Xu and coworkers presented the nickel nanoparticles which supported low concentration on titanium dioxide as the support and used as a catalyst for the hydrodechlorination of chlorobenzene at 573 K, and the catalyst exhibited excellent stability compared to other catalysts [14].

Herein, we focused on the preparation of nickel nanoparticles and supported on supports such as TiO₂, ZnO, and VPO which are commonly cheap, easy to prepare, and nontoxic materials. All the catalysts were tested for activity through hydrodechlorination of 3-chlorophenol in the presence of sodium hydroxide. All the results will be presented in this report.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Reagent-grade nickel chloride hexahydrate 98% (NiCl₂·6H₂O), 3-chlorophenol 98%, titanium dioxide 99%, zinc oxide 99%, ethylene glycol 99.5% (EG) and sodium borohydride 98% (NaBH₄) were purchased from Merck. Sodium hydroxide 98% and polyvinyl pyrrolidone K-30 (PVP) were purchased from Chinese suppliers. Absolute ethanol and methanol were supplied by Chemsol and used as received. Vanadium phosphorus oxides (VPO) were prepared according to the previous report [15].

2.2. Characterization. The morphologies of nickel catalysts were examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL series JSM-7401F). The X-ray diffraction (XRD) data of all samples were collected in a Bruker D8 powder X-ray with Cu Kα radiation running at 35 kV/30 mA in the 2θ range of 5°–75° with a step size of 0.2°/min. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were collected at 77K using Brunauer–Emmett–Teller calculation (BET, AUTOSORB-1C Quantachrome, for specific surface area), and all the samples were degassed at 100°C and 10⁻⁶ Pa. GC-MS were obtained by using an Agilent 7890A series model with an electron energy of 20 or 70 eV. UV spectra were recorded on Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis. All the catalytic tests were performed in Multireactors Carousel 12 plus.

2.3. Catalyst Preparation. To the 250 cc two-necked round bottom flask, 0.40 g of PVP and 40 mL of deionized water (DI) was added. After stirring for 15 min, 0.81 g of NiCl₂·6H₂O (3.4 mmol) was dissolved in the mixture at 80°C. In another flask, a suitable amount of ethylene glycol and NaBH₄ in 50 mL of DI water was prepared. Then, the solution of reducing agent was added dropwise to the mixture of nickel salt. The mixture was stirred until the black solution was observed.

The nickel nanoparticles were then loaded on the supports (such as TiO₂, ZnO, and VPO, which were calcinated at 120°C in 8h) in suitable amount by low pressure method at room temperature. This process was repeated several times to make sure all the nickel nanoparticles were fully loaded into the supports. The obtained powders were dried at 60°C under vacuum in 6 h.

2.4. Catalyst Evaluation. In this report, the catalytic activities of nickel nanocatalysts were investigated via the hydrodechlorination of 3-chlorophenol in the presence of alkaline solution. The catalytic evaluation of Ni-X was carried out in a 20 mL multireactor with stirring at room temperature. In this process, 0.1 mmol of Ni-X was used in the hydrodechlorination of 3-chlorophenol (5.0 mmol), methanol (5.0 mL), and 3.0 mL of 5% sodium hydroxide solution in water. Hydrogen was directly connected through Schlenk line to the reaction at atmosphere pressure, and the concentration of hydrogen could be considered as constant. The effect of reaction time on process within 30, 60, and 90 min was performed. The conversion of substrate and selectivity of products were analyzed by GC and GC-MS (HP5 column 30 m × 0.25 mm, FID detector). Reproducibility was checked by repeating the measurement several times and was found to be within acceptable limits. Parent supports did not show any catalytic activity.

3. Results and Discussion

Nickel nanoparticles were synthesized by the reduction of NiCl₂·6H₂O using EG and NaBH₄ as reduction agents. The original blue solution was turned dark when Ni⁰ nanoparticles formed. The reason EG and NaBH₄ were used is that EG reduction is a common process for the preparation of metal nanoparticles, yet this process needs to be assisted by high temperature or microwave irradiation to improve the reduction performance [16, 17]. However, sodium borohydride is considered as a force reducing agent which can reduce metallic ions at room temperature, and the disadvantage of sodium borohydride process is the formation of irregular particle size [18]. As a consequence, the combination of ethylene glycol and sodium borohydride process can get better reduction to prepare the nanoparticles.

The obtained dark solution is stable for a long time because nickel nanoparticles were distributed in the PVP solution which is a well-known polymer with a large molecular size and free electron couple on nitrogen site that is able to bond with nickel nanoparticles. Therefore, PVP acts as a protecting agent to avoid the agglutination and deposition of nickel nanoparticles.

As shown in Figure 1, the UV-vis spectrum of nickel nanoparticles indicated that the PVP was unabsorbed on the UV range, and Ni²⁺ gave two characteristic peaks at 290 – 390 nm, whereas nickel nanoparticles were absorbed at 270 nm that could be explained in terms of the results of plasmon resonance occurring on the surface of nickel nanoparticles [19]. It was demonstrated that most of the nickel salt was reduced to Ni⁰ nanoparticles.

Besides, in order to determine the size of nickel nanoparticles, the catalytic sample was conducted to TEM measurement. Thus, Figures 2(a) and 2(b) showed that nickel nanoparticles are evenly distributed with an average particle size of about 15 nm. On the other hand,
Figure 1: UV-vis spectra of nickel nanoparticles solution and reference solutions.

Figure 2: Continued.
Figures 2(c)–2(e) described that most of the nickel nanoparticles were well dispersed on the supports. Furthermore, the morphology surface of catalysts was defined by SEM. In fact, in Figure 3(a), the surface of Ni-TiO₂ was occupied by slit-shaped pores. Meanwhile, the surface of Ni-ZnO (Figure 3(b)) was covered by big cylindrical cubic shapes. In contrast, on the surface of Ni-VPO (Figure 3(c)), a number of spherical shapes are formed between the pores that make the surface area of this catalyst bigger than other samples. It is clear that nickel nanoparticles were fully loaded into the supports.

Moreover, Figure 4 illustrated the typical powder XRD patterns of Ni-X, in which the characteristic peaks of Ni⁰ appeared at 2θ of 44.55° and 51.78° [19], even though the peaks are rather weak because of the low concentration of Ni in the samples. Likewise, the corresponding diffraction peaks of parent support VPO, TiO₂, and ZnO are located at the position of 2θ = 23.13°; 28.30°; 30.08°; 43.60°, 25.40°; 37.90°; 38.75°; 48.15°; 53.95°; 55.13°, and 31.89°; 34.50°; 36.33°; 47.64°; 56.70°; and 62.97°, respectively. This further confirmed that the loading method resulted in a distribution of most of the Ni particles within the pores of supports, as observed from TEM images. Indeed, the atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) indicated that Ni particles distributed in VPO, TiO₂, and ZnO were 2.47, 11.71, and 13.11 wt%, respectively.
In order to evaluate the hydrodechlorination efficiency of the catalysts, 2.0 mol% of Ni-X catalysts was used to hydrodechlorinate the solution of 3-chlorophenol in the presence of sodium hydroxide. All the results are summarized in Figure 5, in which every 30 min, the reaction mixture was centrifuged, the filtrate was directly connected to GC measurement, and the spectra of the chlorinated substrate before and after reaction were illustrated in Figure 6. The catalytic hydrodechlorination exhibited an excellent conversion of substrate in the presence of sodium hydroxide. In reality, Rong et al. carried out the reaction in strong base, and the reaction equilibrium has intention to move to the
product in high rate. In contrast, the reaction rate is sluggish when the base is weak [20]. It could be one of the reasons why the reaction reached the end within 90 min.

Another reason besides the high activity of Ni nanoparticles, parent supports also affect the conversion of hydrodechlorination of 3-chlorophenol. As illustrated in Table 1, the specific surface area of parent supports is quite higher than the supported one. This can be explained that most of Ni particles were impregnated inside the supports, and they could decrease the volume of the pores. Meanwhile, these particles are the activity centers of the catalyst which adsobs both the hydrogen atoms and 3-chlorophenol on the surface. Therefore, the conversion of hydrodechlorination of

**Figure 5:** Conversion of 3-chlorophenol over Ni-X catalysts vs. time reaction.

**Figure 6:** GC spectra of (a) starting materials (6.7 min) with internal standard (2.7 min); (b) with Ni-VPO; (c) Ni-ZnO; and (d) with Ni-TiO2 after 90 min reaction.

| X/Catalysts | Specific surface area ($S_{BET}$) (m$^2$.g$^{-1}$) |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| VPO/Ni-VPO  | 97/40                                         |
| TiO2/Ni-TiO2| 47/20                                         |
| ZnO/Ni-ZnO  | 36/24                                         |

**Table 1:** Specific surface area of catalysts.
3-chlorophenol rised up via reaction time and reached to 98.2% within 90 min in the case of Ni-ZnO as shown in Figure 5. No significant difference could be distinguished in the case of Ni-TiO₂, and the conversion was up to 95.3% within 90 min. Besides, Ni-VPO catalyst illustrated the high activity to the hydrodechlorination as well, even though it is

Scheme 1: The hydrodechlorination pathways of 3-chlorophenol over Ni-X catalyst under liquid phase [9].

Figure 7: XRD patterns of recovered Ni-X catalysts after 3 runs: (a) Ni-TiO₂; (b) Ni-ZnO; and (c) Ni-VPO.

Figure 8: The conversion of 3-chlorophenol in hydrodechlorination with recovered Ni-X catalysts. Reaction condition: catalysts (2 mol%); methanol as a solvent in the presence of 5% aqueous solution of KOH at RT within 1h.
quite lower than other cases, namely, 90% conversion within 90 min was observed. It could be explained in terms of the content of Ni particles in the sample. Literally, the nickel concentration was found to be only 2.47 wt% on VPO, whereas 11.71 and 13.11 wt% on TiO2 and ZnO, respectively. In spite of the specific surface area of VPO is higher than the other ones (Table 1) [21]. These results indicated that the chlorine substrate was smoothly dechlorinated and converted into the dechlorination products as shown in Scheme 1.

The stability of Ni-X catalysts was evaluated by the hydrodechlorination of 3-chlorophenol, in which the procedure was repeated several times with the recovered catalysts. After each runs, the catalyst was recovered by centrifuging, washing, and drying at 60°C under vacuum within 6 h. Figure 7 showed the XRD patterns of recovered Ni-X catalysts after three cycles, in which Ni0 nanoparticles appeared at 2θ angle of 44.55° and 51.78° as the same samples observed in Figure 4. It indicated that no significant change was obtained after three cycles hydrodechlorination of 3-chlorophenol, even though the intensity of peaks was lightly lower than the original samples, except Ni-VPO sample (Figure 7(c)) which could not give any signal of the Ni0 particle. It could be explained in terms of the missing of Ni0 nanoparticles after several runs. Indeed, the recovered catalysts determined the content of Ni particles by the AAS analysis, and it was found that 2.06, 11.20, and 12.54 wt% of Ni0 particles were distributed in VPO, TiO2, and ZnO supports, respectively.

Besides, Figure 8 illustrated the conversion of 3-chlorophenol in the hydrodechlorination with recovered Ni-X catalysts in the presence of 5% aqueous solution of potassium hydroxide at RT within 1 h. The conversion remains almost over 97% compared to the first run. Especially, in the case of Ni-VPO, the catalytic activity obtained no significant change, namely, 87.0, 86.6, and 86.4% conversion for the first run, second runs, and third runs, respectively. These indicated that good activity and stability of the Ni0 catalyst were observed.

4. Conclusions

In this report, the Ni-supported X (X = VPO, TiO2, ZnO) was successfully synthesized by the reduction of nickel salt. All the physicochemical characterization of catalysts was presented in detail. In reality, TEM and XRD showed that nickel particles’ size was around 15 nm and are incorporated as Ni0 inside X. Alternatively, the catalytic test indicated that almost supported catalysts exhibited high catalytic activity and stability, in particular, in the case of Ni-ZnO, the conversion of 3-chlorophenol reached 98.2% within 90 min in the presence of sodium hydroxide.
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