HIV-1 Integrase Inhibitors with Modifications That Affect Their Potencies against Drug Resistant Integrase Mutants
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ABSTRACT: Integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) block the integration step of the retroviral lifecycle and are first-line drugs used for the treatment of HIV-1/AIDS. INSTIs have a polycyclic core with heteroatom triads, chelate the metal ions at the active site, and have a halobenzyl group that interacts with viral DNA attached to the core by a flexible linker. The most broadly effective INSTIs inhibit both wild-type (WT) integrase (IN) and a variety of well-known mutants. However, because there are mutations that reduce the potency of all of the available INSTIs, new and better compounds are needed. Models based on recent structures of HIV-1 and red-capped mangabey SIV INs suggest modifications in the INSTI structures that could enhance interactions with the 3′-terminal adenosine of the viral DNA, which could improve performance against INSTI resistant mutants. We designed and tested a series of INSTIs having modifications to their naphthyridine scaffold. One of the new compounds retained good potency against an expanded panel of HIV-1 IN mutants that we tested. Our results suggest the possibility of designing inhibitors that combine the best features of the existing compounds, which could provide additional efficacy against known HIV-1 IN mutants.
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Integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs), when used in combination with two reverse transcriptase inhibitors (RTIs), are the standard treatment for HIV-1 infections. INSTIs selectively block the strand transfer (ST) reaction, which is the second step catalyzed by the viral enzyme integrase (IN) (after 3′-processing). As the name implies, INSTIs prevent the insertion of viral DNA into the genome of the host cells. At present, there are five FDA-approved INSTIs, raltegravir (RAL), elvitegravir (EVG), dolutegravir (DTG), and bictegravir (BIC); cabotegravir (CAB) is licensed in Canada and has just been approved for use in the United States (Figure 1A). INSTIs specifically bind at the active site of IN when it is engaged with a viral DNA end. The generalized INSTI pharmacophore comprises two key elements: a metal chelating scaffold, optimized to bind a pair of Mg2+ ions in the IN active site, and a halobenzyl side chain, connected to the core by a flexible linker, which binds to viral DNA. All of the FDA-approved INSTIs potently inhibit the replication of wild-type (WT) HIV-1. However, it is relatively easy for HIV-1 to develop resistance to the first-generation INSTIs RAL and EVG, which share overlapping resistance profiles. Conversely, the second-generation INSTIs, DTG and BIC, retain good activity against common RAL- and EVG-resistant HIV-1 strains, and it appears to be more difficult for the virus to develop resistance to the second generation INSTIs. The ability of DTG and BIC to inhibit the replication of many of the RAL- and EVG-resistant mutants seems to be related to their extended tricyclic scaffolds.

INSTIs contain a central pharmacophore with electronegative atoms positioned to engage two Mg2+ cofactors in the IN active site. Metal ion engagement is a unifying feature shared by all INSTIs. Structural analyses, using red-capped mangabey SIV (SIVrcm) IN, revealed that the INSTI-resistant mutations G140S/Q148H affect INSTI binding by disrupting the secondary coordination shells of the Mg2+ ions in the IN active site. However, there are modifications to the INSTI scaffold that can compensate for this loss of binding affinity. For example, the presence of the oxazine ring in DTG and the oxazepine ring in BIC allow these compounds to make stabilizing interactions with backbone atoms of N117 and G118 in the IN β4-α2 loop, contributing to the improved
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We recently reported the development of a number of 4-amino-1-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,8-naphthyridine-containing INSTIs. Several of these compounds have modifications at the 6-position on the naphthyridine scaffold (Figure 1B). Most members of this series are potent inhibitors of WT HIV-1 and many well-characterized RAL-, EVG-, and DTG-resistant mutants. One compound in particular, 4d, which has an extended hexanol modification at the 6-position, was better able to broadly inhibit a panel of INSTI-resistant triple mutants than either DTG or BIC (Figure 1B).

Previous X-ray crystal structures of our INSTIs bound to the active site of the prototype foamy virus (PFV) IN in a complex with viral DNA (intasome) were instrumental in guiding our design and development of compounds that are broadly effective against IN mutants. However, recent cryo-EM structures of compounds 4c, 4d, and 4f bound to HIV-1 intasomes revealed crucial differences in the binding of these compounds to the IN active sites in PFV and HIV-1 intasomes. The structures also showed that the binding of all of the broadly effective compounds to the active site of HIV-1 IN occurs within the substrate envelope. As was originally shown for protease inhibitors, the virus is less likely to develop resistance to inhibitors that stay within the normal substrate envelope. Similarly, HIV-1 IN is likely to have more difficulty discriminating between its natural DNA substrates and INSTIs that conform to the substrate envelope. In addition, because the recent structures of HIV-1 and SIVrcm intasomes are at high enough resolution to show ordered waters, it might be possible to develop INSTIs with modifications that mimic the interactions of the ordered waters (discussed below).

We synthesized new compounds that have modifications to the 4-, 5-, and 6-positions of the naphthyridine scaffold (Figure 1B). We measured the ability of DTG, 4d, 4f, and our new compounds to inhibit the replication of a panel of INSTI-resistant mutants. The panel includes additional IN mutants that have changes at amino acid positions that are predicted, by molecular modeling, to affect the binding of some of the compounds. We find that subtle changes in the structure of INSTIs can significantly affect their ability to inhibit INSTI-resistant mutants. We also explored the structure of these compounds in the context of HIV IN models and discuss how these modifications can be used in the design of next-generation INSTIs.

## RESULTS

### Ligand Design and Synthesis.

Previously, we reported two X-ray crystal structures of the PFV intasome with our 4-amino-1-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,8-naphthyridine-containing compounds bound at the active site, in which a molecule of the buffer 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) was found within the IN active site (PDB IDs: SMMA and SFRM). The sulfonic acid moiety of the MES molecule was bound in a pocket that was occupied by a phosphoryl linkage of the 3′-terminal dinucleotides within the PFV intasome when unprocessed viral DNA is bound for the 3′-processing reaction. The same pocket was occupied by target DNA in the PFV target DNA capture complex. Moreover, the buffer molecule was bound in a position similar to where the 6-substituents of our 4-amino-1-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,8-naphthyridine-containing
compounds were bound to PFV IN.\textsuperscript{25,26} The positions where the 6-substituents in our 4-amino-1-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,8-naphthyridine-containing compounds interacted with PFV IN are similar to the position occupied by the terminal nucleotide of what will be the transferred strand in unprocessed viral DNA.\textsuperscript{25,26} Although there are important differences in the previously published PFV and the new HIV-1 and SIV\textsubscript{scm} intasome structures,\textsuperscript{21,24} the substituents at the 6-position of our compounds appear to interact with the same region as the ends of the unprocessed viral DNA. These new structures also showed that there are several ordered water molecules bound in and around the IN active site (Figure S1). In an attempt to target these bound waters, we used the bound MES buffer molecule in the PFV structures as a model to design a series of sulfone-containing analogs 6u, 6v, and 6w. The sulfone-containing substituents were also intended to mimic the extension of unprocessed viral DNA end (Figure 1). The analysis included a previously prepared compound, 5’g, which has methyl glycinate group appended to the 4-amino group of the naphthyridine scaffold and another analogue having a hydroxyl group at the 4-position with a hydroxymethyl at the 5-position (5j). These modifications were made to determine whether other hydrophilic modifications would help the new compounds retain efficacy against the current panel of mutants.

**Antiviral Activities of the New Compounds against RAL-Resistant Mutants.** We previously reported the antiviral activities of DTG, 4d, and 4f against several well-characterized RAL-resistant mutants.\textsuperscript{20,25,26} In particular, we showed that 4f potently inhibits the Y143R, N155H, and the G140S/Q148H RAL-resistant mutants (\(\leq5.0\) nM; all fold changes [FCs] \(\leq2.6\)). Similar results were obtained with DTG and 4d. In the current work, we examined 6u, 6v, and 6w, which are new INSTIs that have structures that are closely related to 4f, except that the sulfonylephthylphenyl group has been replaced (Figure 2; Table S1A). Compound 6u has a methylsulfonyl-containing substituent at the 6-position; 6v has an extended morpholinoethylsulfonyl group, which we designed to mimic the MES (morpholinoethylsulfonylic acid) buffer molecule in our PFV IN cocrystal structures, and 6w, which has the same 6-tethered phenylsulfonyl-containing substituent as 4f (Figure 1). We replaced the 4-amino group of the naphthyridine scaffold of 4f with a 4-hydroxyl group in 6w. Based on our antiviral results, replacing the phenylsulfonyl group of 4f with the smaller methylsulfonyl group (6u) resulted in a loss of potency against WT HIV-1 (27.0 \(\pm\) 3.2 nM) compared to 4f (2.0 \(\pm\) 0.1 nM) (Figure 2, Table S1A). Substituting the phenyl group of 4f with a more extended and bulkier morpholinoethylsulfonyl group (6v) caused a dramatic loss in potency against WT HIV-1 (267.9 \(\pm\) 68.8 nM). Replacing a hydroxyl group for the 4-amino group of 4f to give 6w caused a modest decrease in potency against WT HIV-1 (10.6 \(\pm\) 1.0 nM).

To compare the effectiveness of these new INSTIs to the FDA-approved INSTIs and a selection of our previously studied INSTIs, we compared the EC\textsubscript{50} values and calculated the fold change (FC) in the EC\textsubscript{50} values for the IN mutants versus WT HIV-1. In testing the new INSTIs, identifying the proper biological cutoffs would be helpful. However, because we do not know whether or not an INSTI that is in preclinical testing would be effective at inhibiting the INSTI-resistant mutants if the compound were to be used clinically, the Monogram FC cutoffs for resistance for DTG were used as a guide.\textsuperscript{35} Based on the DTG cutoffs, if the FCs are below 4, we assumed that the compound is likely to retain inhibitory efficacy. Conversely, if the FCs exceed 13, there is likely to be resistance. Finally, if the FCs are between 4 and 13, the virus may retain partial sensitivity to the compound. To facilitate the comparisons of the compounds, the ratios of the FCs for our compounds for WT IN and the various mutants were compared to the FCs for DTG against WT IN and the IN mutants. However, some of the new compounds that have small FCs are weakly potent against WT and the IN mutants, which means the FCs alone are not sufficient to judge the potential usefulness of the new compounds. For that reason, the potency of DTG against the IN mutant is also reported in the table that reports the FCs of the new compounds relative to the FCs for DTG (Table S1B). Differences in potencies among the INSTIs were considered significant if the calculated \(p\) values were <0.05.

There was no measurable loss of potency (compared to WT) when 6u, 6v, and 6w were tested against the RAL-resistant mutants Y143R and N155H (Figure 2, Table S1A). Conversely, the well-known RAL-resistant double mutant G140S/Q148H caused a loss in susceptibility to all three of the new sulfonylethyl-containing derivatives. For the IN double mutant G140S/Q148H, the EC\textsubscript{50} values for 6u was 117.8 \(\pm\) 31.3 nM (FC = 4.4) and for 6w was 97.5 \(\pm\) 16.8 nM (FC = 9.2). The antiviral potency of 6v against the IN double mutant G140S/Q148H was 412.5 \(\pm\) 119.5 nM (FC = 1.5). These antiviral data and the calculated FCs relative to DTG potencies suggest that compounds 6u, 6v, and 6w would not be effective if challenged by mutants than contain the G140S/Q148H mutations (Table S1B). These antiviral data also suggest that slight modifications to the substituents of an INSTI can cause a substantial loss of potency against both WT HIV-1 and the well-known RAL-resistant IN mutants. Additionally, consistent with the previous report detailing the importance of the amino group at the 4-position of the Naphthyridine core,\textsuperscript{26} replacement of this amine with a hydroxyl group (6w) caused

---

**Figure 2.** Antiviral activities of the new compounds against RAL-resistant mutants. The EC\textsubscript{50} values were determined using a vector that carries WT HIV-1 IN and the RAL-resistant mutants in a single round infection assay. The potencies of DTG, 4d, 4f, and 5’g have been previously reported.\textsuperscript{23,26} The previously reported data are shown to simplify comparisons with the data for the new compounds 6u, 6v, 6w, and 5j. To better illustrate the higher EC\textsubscript{50} values, the y-axis was broken between 150 and 200 nM. Error bars represent the standard deviations of independent experiments, \(n = 4\), performed in triplicate. The graph has a maximum value of 550 nM.
a large loss of potency. We previously determined the antiviral potencies of S'g against the RAL-resistant mutants. Compound S'j, which has a hydroxymethyl group at the 5-position, represents a new group of naphthyridine-based INSTIs. Based on our assays, compound S'j was more potent against WT HIV-1 (7.3 ± 0.6 nM, all p values < 0.01) and the RAL-resistant mutant Y143R (2.6 ± 0.4 nM, p values ≤ 0.01) than were the new sulfonyl-containing derivatives. Compound S'j was superior to 6u and 6v against N155H (p values < 0.01). The RAL-resistant mutant Y143R was susceptible to S'j (2.6 ± 0.4 nM; FC = 0.4), whereas there was a small reduction in potency, which was considered significant when compared to its efficacy against WT HIV-1, against the IN mutant N155H (14.9 ± 3.6 nM; FC = 2.0, p values < 0.05) and the IN double mutant G140S/Q148H (14.7 ± 1.1 nM; FC = 2.0 and p values < 0.001); these FCs are comparable to the FCs for DTG (Table S1B).

Antiviral Activities of DTG, 4d, 4f, S'g, and the New Compounds against Mutations in the β4-α2 Loop of HIV-1 IN. To better understand the interactions of the new sulfonyl-containing derivatives and the S-substituted naphthyridine analogue S'j with the HIV-1 intasome, we examined how mutations in and around the active site affected the ability of the compounds to inhibit the replication of WT and mutant viruses. We were particularly interested in mutations at positions that are known to affect the susceptibility of HIV-1 to DTG. These include G118 and S119, which are located on the β4-α2 loop in close proximity to the HIV-1 IN active site (Figure S1). DTG directly contacts G118,21 and it is not surprising that the G118R mutation was selected by DTG in cells infected in culture.13 Resistance mutations at position G118 appear to play an important role in determining which substituents in our compounds effectively mimic the binding of viral and/or host DNA. We previously showed that G118R causes a decrease in susceptibility to DTG (13.0 ± 5.0 nM) and 4f (11.4 ± 3.5 nM), while causing a very minor decrease in the potency of 4d (6.4 ± 2.5 nM).20 To understand the effect of amino acid substitutions at positions G118 and S119, we tested the antiviral potencies of S'g, S'j, 6u, 6v, and 6w against the known INSTI-resistant mutants G118R and S119R (Figure 3; Table S2A). We also tested additional variants with mutations at position N117 (Figure 3; Table S2A). N117 helps define the substrate envelope and can interact with some of the modifications of the 6-position in the naphthyridine scaffold of our compounds. The IN mutant G118R caused a decrease in susceptibility to all compounds used in this study. The potencies of 6u, 6v, and S'j were less affected by the G118R mutation with EC50 values of 214.4 ± 26.3 nM (FC = 7.9), 1147.5 ± 269.7 nM (FC = 4.3), and 30.0 ± 5.0 nM (FC = 4.1), respectively. However, their potencies were all much lower that DTG against G118R; DTG was >16.5 more potent than the new compounds (Table S2B). A substantial decrease in susceptibility was seen for 6w (218.9 ± 29.8 nM; FC = 20.7) and S'g (170.9 ± 3.2 nM, FC = 45). Conversely, S119R caused a minor drop in susceptibility when tested against S'g (22.3 ± 1.7 nM; FC = 5.9), 6u (56.4 ± 11.0 nM; FC = 2.1), 6v (489.9 ± 43.1 nM; FC = 1.8), and 6w (38.2 ± 6.0 nM; FC = 3.6). However, when taking in consideration the EC50 values for the new compounds relative to DTG against S119R, these compounds were all much less effective (Table S2B). S'j retained considerable potency against S119R (7.0 ± 0.2 nM, FC = 1.0). The compounds were more potent in terms of their ability to inhibit the N117A mutant when compared to WT HIV-1: DTG (0.5 nM ± 0.1, FC = 0.3), 4d (0.6 nM ± 0.1, FC = 0.3), S'g (12.2 ± 0.2 nM, FC = 0.3), 6u (15.0 nM ± 1.7, FC = 0.6), 4f (0.8 nM ± 0.2, FC = 0.4), 6v (159.5 nM ± 21.1, FC = 0.6), and 6w (6.4 nM ± 1.3, FC = 0.6). The IN mutant N117A caused a minor loss in susceptibility to S'j (13.4 ± 1.5 nM, FC = 1.8). The N117H mutant was susceptible to DTG (2.4 nM ± 0.4, FC = 1.5), 4d (3.0 nM ± 0.5, FC = 1.3), 4f (2.7 nM ± 0.2, FC = 1.4), S'g (5.6 ± 0.9 nM, FC = 1.5), and S'j (3.4 ± 0.4 nM, FC = 0.5) and showed small decreases in susceptibility to 6u (58.3 ± 5.9 nM, FC = 2.2), 6v (497.4 nM ± 60.2 nM, FC = 1.9), and 6w (19.0 ± 1.4 nM, FC = 1.8). Among the new INSTIs, compound S'j was superior against three out of four IN mutants: N117H (p values < 0.001), G118R (p values < 0.001), and S119R (p values < 0.01). The antiviral data suggest that in designing future INSTIs, it will be more important to consider potential interactions with G118 and with mutants that have changes at this position (see Discussion) rather than to try to exploit interactions with residues N117 and S119.

Mutations in the β5-α3 Loop Affect the Antiviral Potencies of the New Compounds. First generation INSTIs, such as RAL, select resistance mutations in the β5-α3 loop, which is adjacent to the active site of HIV IN, including Y143R and G140S/Q148H. As discussed above, there was no loss of antiviral potency for the new compounds 6u, 6v, 6w, and S'j against Y143R. However, two additional well-known INSTI-resistant mutations, Y143C and Y143H, can arise at position 143 (Figure S2); Y143 contributes to the substrate envelope. We determined the efficacies of the compounds against these INSTI-resistant mutants to see whether changes at this position affect the potencies of the compounds (Figure 4; Table S3A). DTG, 4d, and 4f retained potency against the additional Y143 single mutants (<3.0 nM), as did 6u and 6w (>18.0 nM, FCs ranging from 1.4 to 2.4). Compound S'j showed an increase in potency against Y143C (2.4 ± 0.1 nM, FC = 0.3) and Y143H (2.6 ± 0.2 nM, FC =...
0.4) when compared to WT but S’g lost potency against Y143C (39.3 ± 7.3 nM, FC = 10.3) and Y143H (7.9 ± 1.0 nM, FC = 2.1). This shows that, when using the naphthyridine scaffold, there are modifications that can be made around the core without compromising the susceptibility of these compounds to mutations at the Y143 position. Similar results were obtained with mutations at P142 (FCs ranged from 0.6 to 2.9 for the new compounds 6u, 6v, 6w, and 5j). However, when taking the EC_{50} values of 6u and 6v against the IN substitutions at positions P142 and Y143 into account, and comparing them to the EC_{50} values of DTG, these two compounds were less effective than DTG (Table S3B). The antiviral data suggest that compounds 6u, 6v, and 5j are not significantly affected by the mutations we tested in the β5-α3 loop, and that, by extension, this portion of IN does not seem to contact the new compounds.

### Antiviral Potencies of the New Compounds against INs with Mutations in the C-Terminal Domain.

It has been suggested that residue R231, which is in the C-terminal domain (CTD) of HIV-1 IN, may be a potential binding contact that could be exploited in the design of new INSTIs (Figure S2). There is also the possibility that mutations at this position could affect the susceptibility of IN to certain INSTIs. To explore this possibility, we made the R231G and R231K HIV IN mutants and tested them against DTG and our compounds to determine whether the potency of the compounds was affected. We also tested DTG and our compounds against the INSTI-resistant mutant S230R (Figure S5; Table S4A), which has recently been reported to be selected by DTG treatment in cultured cells. The R231G did not substantially affect the potency of DTG and most of our compounds (FCs ranging from 0.3 to 2.6), although there was a slight increase in the potency of 6v (187.3 ± 17.0 nM, FC = 0.7). DTG, 4d, and 4f potently inhibited R231K (<5.0 nM, FCs = 0.9, 0.6, and 1.0, respectively). However, this mutation caused a slight decrease in potency for 6v (411.0 ± 58.0 nM, FC = 1.5), 6u (78.4 ± 9.9 nM, FC = 2.9), and 6w (28.2 ± 3.9 nM, FC = 2.7). In our assays, the new IN mutant S230R, which was selected by DTG in vitro, caused a decrease in susceptibility to DTG (4.6 ± 0.7 nM, FC = 2.9), which is in agreement with a previous report. The S230R mutant was susceptible to 4d (3.8 ± 0.3 nM, FC = 1.7), and 4f (4.8 ± 0.3 nM, FC = 2.4). Compounds 6w, 5’g, and 5j were the least affected by the S230R mutation with EC_{50} values of 11.2 ± 1.6, 4.5 ± 1.5, and 7.7 ± 1.0 nM, respectively, with fold changes that were not significant. This mutant caused a modest decrease in susceptibility to 6u (88.0 ± 14.5 nM, FC = 3.3) and 6v (616.3 ± 79.8 nM, FC = 2.3). Comparing the EC_{50} values of the compounds and DTG against these IN mutants showed that compounds 6u and 6v were not able to effectively inhibit these mutants (Table S4B). Despite not making a direct contact with INSTIs, S230 and R231 are within contact distances of Y143, and the S230R and R231K mutants could either make contact with INSTIs having extended substituents and/or could affect the binding of some INSTIs by interacting with Y143. Our results show that certain mutations in the CTD loop, at positions where there are differences among HIV-1, PFV, and SIV INs, can affect the potency of the compounds used in this study.

### Targeting Water Molecules at the Catalytic Core of the HIV-1 Intasome.

Recently, we determined the structures of the HIV-1 intasome with BIC, 4d, and 4f bound to the active site. These new structures showed that there are several ordered water molecules around the IN active site, which can potentially be exploited by rationally designed compounds (Figure S1). With this in mind, we used the intasome-bound structures of 4d and 4f to model the new compounds 6v, 5’g, and 5j and the apo model to explore the water network at the catalytic core (Figures 6, 7, and 8). The modification at the 6-position of 6v (MES group) had a similar trajectory as the 6-modification of 4f, although the modification on 6v occupied a greater portion of the substrate envelope (Figure 6A and B). The sulfonyl group of 6v, like the modification on 4f, was intended to mimic the binding position of the molecule MES in the active site of the intasome; however, in the compound, it is in an inverted conformation relative to the binding of MES. When
superimposed with the apo model, the bound MES group of 6v would clash with several water molecules (Figure 6B, red dashed circles), suggesting that the binding of this compound might displace bound water molecules and potentially cause further structural rearrangements in this region. Compounds 5j and 5’g are compact and lack the third pharmacophore ring and/or substituents at the 6-position, but both have modifications at 4- and/or S-position (Figure 1). Compound 5’g has a methyl glycinate group at the 4-position that extends toward the solvent region, which could displace a distal water molecule, while the secondary amine has the potential to recoordinate a displaced water (Figure 7). 5j has a hydroxyl group at the 4-position that could hydrogen bond with a water molecule that is consistently observed in that region (Figure 8A, arrow).33 The hydroxymethyl group at the S-position could partially displace a water molecule. This, in turn, could potentially allow the compound to make a hydrogen bond with the base of nucleotide dA21, as is observed in the PFV intasome-bound crystal structure (Figure 8 and highlighted with a red circle in Figure S3). As discussed below, interaction with the vDNA could explain the ability of this compound to broadly inhibit the resistant variants used in this study.

**DISCUSSION**

The second generation INSTIs, DTG and BIC, have emerged as broadly effective antiretroviral drugs. However, INSTI-
residue, such as an arginine, would provide some steric hindrance with the third ring (Figure 9). Although a single mutation does not, by itself, profoundly affect the potency of
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**Figure 8.** Modeling 5j into the active site of the HIV-1 intasome. (A) 5j (magenta) is docked onto the structure of 4d bound to the HIV-1 intasome, which is represented by its surface (white density). Two different rotameric conformations of the terminal adenine at the end of the viral DNA (dA21a and dA21b, labeled cream) are shown, along with the penultimate cytosine of the viral DNA end (dC20, surface map and labeled in light gray), the Mg2+ cofactors (green), and catalytic residues of the IN active site (gray). Water molecules (cyan) that lie in close proximity to 5j are labeled, and red dashed circles are depicted to reveal clashes between the waters of apo HIV-1 intasome and the binding of 5j into the active site of the HIV-1 intasome. The assigned water molecules from the PFV-5j intasome structure (red) and black dashed lines indicate waters within 4 Å distance from the polar groups of 5j. An arrow indicates a conserved water molecule between both models (PFV-5j and HIV-apo). Bound MES is also depicted (gray). (B) Cluster of potential interactions involving the hydroxyl group at 5-position of 5j (magenta) with the terminal adenine (dA21a, gold) and water molecules from HIV-1 intasome apo (cyan) in the active site of the HIV-1 intasome. Red dashed circles are depicted to reveal clashes involving these water molecules. Water molecules from PFV-5j model within 4 Å from 5j (red) are also depicted.
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**Figure 9.** Model of the binding of INSTIs to the HIV-1 IN mutant G118R. BIC (blue), 5′g (yellow), 5j (magenta), and 6v (green) are superimposed in the active site of the HIV-1 intasome. Rotamer possibilities for the mutant G118R are depicted to show the potential steric hindrance of this mutation on the binding of INSTIs. Mg2+ ions (green), penultimate cytosine of the viral DNA (gray), IN residues G118 and Y143 (dark and light gray, respectively), and IN mutant G118R (mild gray) are labeled.

experienced patients who were transferred to salvage therapies with DTG have experienced virological failure due to emergence of new mutations. Thus, DTG is most effective when prescribed to anti-HIV-1 therapy-naïve or HIV-1 therapy-experienced, but INSTI-naïve patients. BIC has only recently been used in the clinic and, although the preliminary data are very promising, it remains to be seen how well this drug will perform in the long term. We do know that there are mutations in IN that, in tissue culture assays, greatly reduce the susceptibility of the virus to DTG and BIC, with only modest effects on the ability of the virus to replicate. Thus, there is a need to continue to develop new and improved INSTIs that can be used to treat resistant viruses as they arise.

The INSTI pharmacophore stems from the first-in-class compound, a diketo acid, and these small molecules largely share strengths as well as liabilities, which include their dependence on metal coordination. However, small changes in the structures on an INSTI have been shown to result in greatly broadened activity against clinically relevant HIV-1 IN mutants. For these reasons, we have focused on developing and optimizing INSTIs that are effective against the known resistant HIV-1 IN mutants. Initially using crystal structures of PFV intasomes and, more recently, cryo-EM structures of HIV-1 and SIVrcm intasomes, we have attempted to design INSTIs that make multiple contacts with the active site of HIV-1 IN. However, there are mutations in the active site, particularly G118R on the β4-α2 loop (Figure S2), that appear to interfere with the contacts made between IN and the oxazine ring of DTG or the oxazepine ring of BIC (Figure S1). The oxazine/oxazepine moieties of the second generation drugs are also referred to as a “third ring” that mediates interactions that are at least partially responsible for the success of these compounds against many drug-resistant variants. We now show that the G118R mutant reduces the potency of DTG by ~10-fold (Figure 3; Table S2). We can begin to explain the mechanism through modeling. A change to a bulky residue, such as an arginine, would provide some steric hindrance with the third ring (Figure 9). Although a single mutation does not, by itself, profoundly affect the potency of
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5j (Figure 3; Table S2). Addition of constituents to the 4- and 7-positions of the naphthyridine scaffold significantly reduced the antiviral potencies and increased the cytotoxicities of the compounds.25,26,30 However, we were able to create derivatives with modifications at the 6-position that can potently inhibit the G118R IN mutant, such as 4d and 4f (Figure 3; Table S2). Our results suggest that G118 helps to define the upper left periiphery of the WT IN active site and that adding a bulker side chain at position 118, typically an arginine, reduces the size of the active site (Figure 9) while potentially leading to other structural changes that can also affect smaller INSTIs that lack 4-substituents, such as 5g or 5j (Figures 1, 3; Table S2). This, in turn, may reduce the binding and the potency of compounds that impinge on this portion of the active site (Figure 9).

We previously suggested that appending functionalities at the 6-position of our compounds can assist in binding efficiency by mimicking aspects of the binding of host and/or viral DNA.25,26 An important component of our efforts to develop more effective antiretrovirals includes challenging the compounds with HIV-1 IN mutants to understand which modifications will, and which will not, lead to broader activity. Based on the promising results that were obtained with compound 4f, which contains an important sulfonyl modification that confers potency to the compound, and structural data obtained using PFV IN that showed a MES molecule bound to the active site and within the substrate envelope of the unprocessed 3′-end viral DNA (Figure 6), we prepared additional sulfonyl derivatives to see if we could improve the binding of the compounds, particularly to mutant forms of IN. Although 4f has shown promising results when challenged against a variety of IN mutants, it shows susceptibility to certain mutations. Here, we investigated the effects of a sulfonyl moiety, which is key to the potency of 4f, on compounds 6u, 6v, and 6w. Our results revealed that compound 6w had the best performance, followed by 6u. By contrast, 6v showed poor EC50 values (>250 nM) even against WT IN.

The simplest sulfonyl-containing derivative, 6u, which has a 6-methylsulfonylethyl, showed intermediate activity when compared with the other derivatives, such as 4f and 6v (Figures 2–5; Tables S1–S4). The addition of a single phenyl group, which converts 6u into 4f, conferred substantial potency to the compound. This can be explained by the fact that the phenyl moiety of 4f fits snugly into a cleft formed between the base of Y143 and the backbone of N117. Van der Waals interactions between the phenyl ring of 4f and the protein cleft, and possible weak π–π stacking interactions with Y143, may explain the increase in potency. This result reinforces the importance of the phenyl ring of 4f for its potency.

Our rationale of adding the sulfonyl group was to mimic the binding of MES to generate favorable interactions with the solvent area. However, the cryo-EM structure of HIV-1 intasome with 4f bound and the current modeling of 6v show that their sulfonyl groups are positioned in an opposite orientation when compared to the bound MES seen with the PFV crystal structures (Figure 6A and PDB ID: 5MMA). The potency conferred by the sulfonyl group in certain compounds, such as 4f, can be explained by the specific interactions it makes with the local solvent environment. In contrast to 4f, compound 6w contains a larger 6-extension. This compound still fits well within the substrate envelope defined by the unprocessed viral DNA 3′-end (Figure 6B), but 6v had a significantly poorer overall inhibitory profile than 4f (Figures 1–5). Based on the crystal structure of 6v bound to the PFV intasome (Figure S4) and the cryo-EM structure of 4f bound to the HIV-1 intasome, it is clear that the binding modes of compounds 6v and 4f are very similar (Figure 6A). Therefore, the differences in potency are largely due to the differences in the 6-substituents and specifically the morpholine ring of 6v (which is extended by two additional carbons from the sulfonyl group) and the phenyl ring of 4f. In contrast to 6v, which contains a hydrophilic morpholino group with two polar atoms, 4f contains an aromatic phenyl ring and is apolar. The more extended moiety on 6v would be expected to displace at least one additional water molecule and could displace as many as nine water molecules in total (Figure 6, red circles). Whether or not water displacement is favorable depends on numerous factors, including the hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity of the pocket, the ligand, and the resulting rearrangements and interactions in the binding pocket. Desolvation of solvent-exposed regions, such as what we report here, is less well understood, and conflicting results have been reported.44,45 In the current case, replacement of the phenyl ring of 4f by the larger and more polar morpholino amine in 6v appears to be less thermodynamically favorable, perhaps due to an unfavorable rearrangement of the hydration shell or a change in the polarity of the substituent with respect to the local environment, which may lead to loss in potency.

There is now consistent evidence, for our naphthyridine compounds, that having an electron donating group, such as a primary amine, at the 4-position provides an advantage against important clinically relevant drug resistant IN mutants. Compound 4f is identical to compound 6w, with the exception of the substituent at the 4-position; 4f has a 4-amino group, whereas 6w has a 4-hydroxyl group (Figure 1). Previous studies have shown the benefits of replacing a hydroxyl group at the 4-position of naphthyridine compounds with an amino group.26,30 In the current work, compound 4f consistently outperformed 6w against all tested resistant variants (Figures 2–5, Tables S1–S4). These two compounds were also tested against the IN G140S/Q148H double mutant, which is an important combination mutant that causes virological failure with both first- and second-generation INSTIs;46 the mechanism of resistance for the G140S/Q148H double mutant has been recently explained.21 First, the introduction of histidine at position 148 displaces a key water molecule located in the secondary coordination shell of the Mg2+ ions bridging two of the three catalytic carboxylates (D116, E152) and Q148. Second, the interaction with S140 increases the electropositivity of H148, which is adjacent to E152. This redistributes the local charge around the Mg2+–ligand cluster and weakens the interaction between the drug heteroatoms and the metal ions. A similar phenomenon is thought to apply when either arginine or lysine residues are introduced at position 148 (Q148H/R/K). As a result, the G140S/Q148H/R/K double mutant confers broad cross-resistance to all INSTIs.22 Notably, in our current data, the most significant difference in potency between compounds 4f and 6w was observed when the compounds were tested against the G140S/Q148H double mutant (6w had a weaker efficacy against G140S/Q148H when compared to 4f, and the difference in potencies resulted in a FC = 18.8, Table S1). In recent work, we showed that having an amino group in the 4-position has several benefits.24 First, it establishes an intramolecular...
hydrogen bond with the nearby halobenzyl amide carbonyl, which stabilizes the planar conformation of the pharmacophore. Second, and perhaps more importantly, the increased electron donating potential of the primary amine, coupled to resonance effects on the aromatic ring, strengthens the metal-ion chelation. This strengthening is expected to be particularly important in the presence of the G140S/Q148H double mutant. This result supports earlier findings with other less potent compounds. For example, we previously showed that compound 5\textsuperscript{d}, which carries an amino group at the 4-position, outperforms compound 5\textsuperscript{a}, which carries a hydroxyl group at the same position.\textsuperscript{20} Therefore, the results of the current study reinforce the impact of a hydrogen bond and provide a possible pathway for combatting the clinically problematic IN G140S/Q148H double mutant.

Compound 5\textsuperscript{j} was by far the most broadly effective of the new compounds we tested, suggesting that additional modifications to the 5-position of the naphthyridine scaffold should be explored. Modeling suggests that the OH of the 5-hydroxymethyl moiety of 5\textsuperscript{j} may interact with the adenine at the end of the viral DNA (Figure 8). If this interpretation is correct, it could be a favorable interaction, because the adenosine at this position is part of the invariant pCPa dinucleotide, which is found in all retroviral LTRs.\textsuperscript{47,49,51} As expected, substitutions at this position of the viral genome should be explored. Modeling suggests that the OH of the 5-hydroxymethyl moiety of GBVI/WSA dG should be explored. Second, and perhaps more importantly, the increased stabilization of the planar conformation of the pharmacophore. This strengthening is expected to be particularly important in the presence of the KpnI and Sall sites of pBluescript KS+. Using that construct as the wild-type template, we prepared the following HIV-1 IN mutants using the QuikChange II XL site directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) protocol: N117A, N117H, P142A, P142H, P142S, Y143C, Y143H, S230R, R231G, and R231K. The following sense oligonucleotides were used with matching cognate antisense oligonucleotides (not shown) (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) in the mutagenesis: N117A, S\textsuperscript{′}-AAAAACAGACGCTGGCAATTTACCCAGATGTC\textsuperscript{−3}; N117H, S\textsuperscript{′}-AAAAACAGTATCATACAGACATGGCAGCAATTTACCCAGATGTC\textsuperscript{−3}; P142A, S\textsuperscript{′}-AAGCAGGGATTTTGGCATCTAATCCCAAAATGCT\textsuperscript{−3}; P142H, S\textsuperscript{′}-AAGCAGGAATTTTGGCATTCACTACATATCCCAAAATGCT\textsuperscript{−3}; P142S, S\textsuperscript{′}-AAGCAGGAATTTTGGCATCTAATCCCAAAATGCT\textsuperscript{−3}; Y143C, S\textsuperscript{′}-CAGGAATTTGGCATCTAATCCCAAAATGCT\textsuperscript{−3}; Y143H, S\textsuperscript{′}-CAGGAATTTGGCATCTAATCCCAAAATGCT\textsuperscript{−3}; Y143S, S\textsuperscript{′}-CAGGAATTTGGCATCTAATCCCAAAATGCT\textsuperscript{−3}; S230R, S\textsuperscript{′}-CGGGTTTATTACAGGGACAGAGGATCCCAAGTTGGA\textsuperscript{−3}; R231G, S\textsuperscript{′}-GGTTTTACACAGGGACAGAGGATCCCAAGTTGGA\textsuperscript{−3}; R231K, S\textsuperscript{′}-GGTTTTACACAGGGACAGAGGATCCCAAGTTGGA\textsuperscript{−3}.

The DNA sequence of each construct was verified independently by DNA sequence determination. The mutated IN coding sequences from pBluescript KS+ were then subcloned into pNLNgoMIVR-ΔENV.LUC (between the KpnI and Sall sites) to produce mutant HIV-1 constructs, which were also checked by DNA sequencing.

**Computer Modeling.** All modeling was conducted using MOE 2019.01 02 (Chemical Computing Group, Montreal, Quebec, Canada). The sequences and structures of 4d (PDB ID: 6PUY) and 4f (PDB ID: 6PUZ) in the active site of the HIV-1 intasome served as the structural templates to dock 6v and 5j, respectively, into the active site of the HIV-1 intasome. The docking placement methodology triangle matcher, which was initially scored by London dG. Rigid receptor was used for the post refinement, and the final scoring methodology was GBVI/WSA dG.

**Synthesis.** The sulfonyl-containing analogues 6u, 6v, and 6w were synthesized by procedures similar to those used in the preparation of compound 4f (Scheme S1).\textsuperscript{25,26} A key Heck reaction was employed by reacting bromides 7a\textsuperscript{24} or 7b\textsuperscript{25} with vinylsulfones 8a–c. Coupling of bromide 7a with commercially available methylsulfonylvinyl 8a catalyzed by tris-(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) afforded 9a. Coupling of bromide 7a with freshly prepared 4-(2-(vinylsulfonyl)ethyl)morpholine 8b afforded 9b. Deprotection of 9a and 9b with TFA afforded amines 10a and 10b. Finally, hydrogenolytic deprotection of the N-benzoyl group (H\textsubscript{2}, 10% Pd/C) with simultaneous reduction of the unsaturated alkenes in 10a and 10b gave the desired final amides 6u and 6v. Compound 6w could also be prepared by coupling bromide 7b\textsuperscript{25} with commercially available vinylsulfonlfbenzene 5c, followed by debyezlation and reduction of the resulting 9c.
**General.** ¹H and ¹³C NMR data were obtained on 400 or 500 MHz spectrometers (Varian) and are reported in ppm relative to TMS and referenced to the solvent in which the spectra were collected. Solvent was removed by rotary evaporation under reduced pressure, and anhydrous solvents were obtained commercially and used without further drying. Purification by CombiFlash silica gel chromatography was performed using EtOAc–hexanes solvent systems. Preparative high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) was conducted using a Waters Prep LC4000 system having photodiode array detection and a Phenomenex C₁₈ column (Cat. No. 00G-4436-P0-AX, 250 × 21.2 mm 10 μm particle size, 110 Å pore) at a flow rate of 10 mL/min. Binary solvent systems consisting of A = 0.1% aqueous TFA and B = 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile were employed with gradients as indicated. Products were obtained as amorphous solids following lyophilization. Electrospray ionization-mass spectrometric (ESI-MS) was acquired with an LTQ-Orbitrap-XL at 30K resolution by LC/MS-ESI.

**Resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were acquired with a LTQ-Orbitrap-XL at 30K resolution by LC/MS-ESI.** Purities of samples subjected to biological testing were assessed using this system and shown to be ≥95%. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were acquired with a LTQ-Orbitrap-XL at 30K resolution by LC/MS-ESI.

**General Procedure A for the Synthesis of 9a-c.** A suspension of amide-1-(benzyloxy)-6-bromo-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (bromo-suspension of amide1-(benzyloxy)-6-bromo-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (bromo-suspension of amide) in methylene chloride at room temperature was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The crude mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure, and anhydrous solvents were subjected to purification by preparative HPLC purification to afford products 9a, 9b, and 9c.

**General Procedure B for the Synthesis of 10a and 10b.** The 2,4-dimethoxybenzylamino-protected carboxamides 9a and 9b (0.25 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (2.0 mL) and treated with TFA (2.0 mL) at rt. Volatiles were removed by rotary evaporation under reduced pressure, and the resulting residues were subjected to purification by silica gel CombiFlash chromatography to afford compounds 10a and 10b.

**General Procedure C for the Synthesis of 6u-w.** Carboxamides 10a, 10b, or 9c (0.1 mmol) were suspended in MeOH (10 mL) and EtOAc (3.0 mL), and Pd-C (30 mg, 10%) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under hydrogen. When consumption of starting material was completed (by TLC), the mixture was filtered and washed (MeOH), and the filtrate was concentrated to provide a yellow residue, which was taken up in DMF and subjected to HPLC purification to afford products 6u-w.

(E)-1-(Benzyloxy)-N-(2,4-difluorobenzyl)-4-(2,4-dimethoxybenzyl)amino)-6-(2-(methylsulfonyl)vinyl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (9a). Reaction of 1-(benzyloxy)-6-bromo-N-(2,4-dimethoxybenzyl)amino)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (7a) with commercially available (methylsulfonyl)ethene (8a) as outlined in General Procedure A provided 9a as a yellow solid (14% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 10.78 (bs, 1H), 10.42 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 9.17 (s, 1H), 9.08 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67–7.64 (m, 3H), 7.57 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.40 (m, 4H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.09 (td, J = 8.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 4.55 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 3.18 (s, 3H). ESI-MS m/z: 541.1 (MH⁺).

(E)-4-Amino-1-(benzyloxy)-N-(2,4-difluorobenzyl)-2-(methylsulfonyl)vinyl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (10a). Treatment of 9a as outlined in General Procedure B provided 10a as a yellow solid (88% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 10.78 (bs, 1H), 10.42 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 9.17 (s, 1H), 9.08 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67–7.64 (m, 3H), 7.57 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.40 (m, 4H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.09 (td, J = 8.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 4.55 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 3.18 (s, 3H). ESI-MS m/z: 541.1 (MH⁺).

(E)-4-Amino-1-(benzyloxy)-N-(2,4-difluorobenzyl)-6-(2-(methylsulfonyl)vinyl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (10b). Treatment of 9b as outlined in General Procedure B provided 10b as a yellow solid (87% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 10.78 (bs, 1H), 10.42 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 9.17 (s, 1H), 9.08 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67–7.64 (m, 3H), 7.57 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.40 (m, 4H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.09 (td, J = 8.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 4.55 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 3.18 (s, 3H). ESI-MS m/z: 541.1 (MH⁺).

(E)-Amino-4-(1-benzoyl-3-(2,4-difluorobenzyl)-4-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (6u). Treatment of 10a as outlined in General Procedure C and purification by preparative HPLC (linear gradient of 30% B to 50% B over 30 min; retention time = 20.5 min) provided 6u as white solid (25% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 10.78 (bs, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 10.42 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.65 (s, 1H), 7.43 (dd, J = 15.4, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.22 (m, 1H), 7.10–7.05 (m, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 3.54 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.16 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.04 (s, 3H). ESI-MS m/z: 453.1 (MH⁺). HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₁₄F₂N₂O₄S (MH⁺): 453.1039; Found: 453.1040.
4-Amino-N-(2,4-difluorobenzyl)-1-hydroxy-6-(2-((2-morpholinooethyl)sulfonyl)ethyl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (6v). Treatment of 10b as outlined in General Procedure C purification by preparative HPLC (linear gradient of 20% B to 50% B over 30 min; retention time = 19.5 min) provided 6w as a white solid (14% yield). HRMS calcd for C17H14F2N3O5 (MH+) 378.0896; validated using MolProbity.63 Relevant data collection and Aimless59 via Xia2,60 the structure was determined via rigid-
= 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dd, J = 14.7, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 3.76–3.71 (m, 4H), 3.41–3.39 (m, 4H), 3.19–3.15 (m, 4H), 3.11–3.08 (m, 4H). ESI-MS m/z: 552.2 (MH+).

N-(2,4-Difluorobenzyl)-1,4-dihydroxy-2-oxo-6-(2-(phenylsulfonyl)ethyl)-1,2-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (6w). Treatment of 9c as outlined in General Procedure C purification and by preparative HPLC (with a linear gradient of 45% B to 60% B over 30 min; retention time = 23.4 min) provided 6w as a white solid (39% yield). HRMS calcd for C24H29F2N5O6S (MH+) 552.1723; Found: 552.1713.

X-ray Crystallography. PFV intasome crystals were grown as previously described,27,30 soaked in the presence of either 0.5–1 mM Sj or 6v in cryoprotection solution prior to snap freezing in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data were collected on beamline I03 at the Diamond Light Source (Oxfordshire, UK). Data were processed using XDS57 or Dials 58 and Aimless39 via Xia2,60 the structure was determined via rigid-body refinement of a ligand- and solvent-free model generated from PDB ID 4BDZ; the compounds Sj and 6v were fitted into resulting positive FEo−FEl difference maps. The models were built in Coot,61 refined using Phenix version dev-3900,62 and validated using MolProbity.63 Relevant data collection and refinement statistics are given in Table S5 and the structure refinement statistics; Table S6, data collection, phase, and refinement statistics; Table S6, data collection and refinement statistics (PDF).
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