RUNX regulated immune-associated genes predicts prognosis in breast cancer
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Background: Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor in women. RUNX family has been involved in the regulation of different carcinogenic processes and signaling pathways with cancer, which is closely related to immunity and prognosis of various tumors, and also plays an important role in the development and prognosis of breast cancer.

Methods: We discovered the expression of RUNX family through GEPIA Dataset and then evaluated the relationship between RUNX family and immune-related genes and the prognosis of breast cancer through analyzing TCGA database. A prognostic model was established and verified via cox proportional hazards regression model using R packages. We evaluated the accuracy of the prognostic model by Kaplan-Meier curves and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. Additionally, we obtained the relationship between the RUNX family and immune infiltration by TIMER database. Finally, the dual luciferase reporter assay was used to verify the regulation of RUNX3 on potential target genes ULBP2 and TRDV1, and the effects of ULBP2 and TRDV1 on the growth of breast cancer cells were explored by CCK-8, colony formation and wound healing assays.

Results: We screened out RUNX family-regulated immune-related genes associated with the prognosis of breast cancer. These predictors included PSME2, ULBP2, IL-18, TSLP, NPR3, TRDV1. Then a prognosis-related risk score model was built using the independent risk factors to provide a clinically appropriate method predicting the overall survival (OS) probability of the patients with breast cancer. In addition, a further research was made on the functions of high risk immune gene ULBP2 and low risk immune gene TRDV1 which regulated by RUNX3, the results showed that down-regulation of ULBP2 suppressed breast cancer cell proliferation and TRDV1 had the opposite functions. The prognostic model we constructed could promote the development of prognostic, and was associated with lower immune infiltration.

Conclusion: The expression of RUNX family was closely related to the prognosis of breast cancer. At the same time, RUNX family could modulate the functions of immune-related genes, and affect the development and prognosis of breast cancer. These immune-related genes regulated by...
**Introduction**

Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor threatening women’s health. The latest data from the World Health Organization reveals that breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in females (11.7% of total cases), no matter in the developed city or developing countries (Sung et al., 2021). Despite the huge advancements in its early diagnosis and treatment, the prognosis of some breast cancer patients is still poor resulting of the complex nature of breast cancer. At present, although plenty of biomarkers and algorithms have been employed to predict the prognosis of patients with breast cancer, it inevitably leads to the deviation of relevant prediction methods due to the particularity of the pathogenesis, development, and metastasis of breast cancer. Therefore, it is imperative to search for highly sensitive and specific prognostic markers to explore new targets and improve the prognosis of breast cancer patients (Sun et al., 2019). At present, many gene family members have been identified as being involved in the tumor progression of various cancers. For example, members of the Arp2/3 family play an important role in predicting the prognosis of liver cancer and are associated with immune cell infiltration (Huang et al., 2021). The role of E2F transcription factors in the tumorigenesis and prognosis of several cancers has also been partially confirmed (Sun et al., 2019).

The runt-related transcription factors (RUNX) belong to a transcription factors family (Mevel et al., 2019). To date, three RUNX transcription factors (RUNX1, RUNX2, RUNX3) have been identified as master regulators of important embryonic developmental programs in mammalian cells. They could regulate cell proliferation, and differentiation in different cancers (Otalora-Otalora et al., 2019). RUNXs have been identified as being involved in the progression of various tumors. Many studies have shown that abnormal expression of RUNXs was associated with the proliferation and invasion of cancers and the role of RUNX factors has been found to be two-sided in cancer biology (Liu et al., 2018; Ashe et al., 2021). They have been found to exert either oncogenic or tumor suppressive roles in the development of hematopoietic cancer as well as solid tumors (Ito et al., 2015). In recent years, a growing number of studies have suggested a role for RUNX genes in breast cancer. RUNXs were supposed to have complex and distinct roles in human breast cancer, especially in tumor invasion and metastasis (Rooney et al., 2017; Fritz et al., 2020). RUNX1 has been identified as a critical regulator of definitive hematopoiesis in early studies (Sood et al., 2017). But Andrew J. Fritz et al. (Fritz et al., 2020) discovered that RUNXs have oncogenic potential or tumor suppressor abilities during the oncogenic process, suggesting their importance as biomarkers of breast cancer. It was reported that RUNX2 is a critical regulator that can maintain osteoblast development and osteoclast process (Komori, 2020; Qin et al., 2020). Recent studies by Selvamurugan et al (Vishal et al., 2017) established that RUNX2 is indirectly involved in the metastasis of breast cancer by regulating the genes related to metastasis and invasion. RUNX3, another member of RUNX family, which is located on human chromosome 1p36 is involved in the development of gastric cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma (Ni et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020). Some studies found that RUNX3 is inactivated in several tumors including breast cancer and it is identified as a tumor suppressor to reduce the initiation and progression of breast cancer (Huang et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2020).

The functional role of RUNX was often closely related to influencing immune infiltration, and some studies founded the functions of RUNX proteins mainly on lymphoid lineage cells (Seo and Taniuchi, 2020). But the importance of the RUNX factors to immunity has been obscured for historic, technical, and conceptual reasons, and has rarely been reported in recent years (Voon et al., 2015). In the past years, studies founded that the RUNX factors are involved in the development of T cells in the thymus, hematopoietic cell development, and chromatin remodeling (Bowcock, 2005). For instance, RUNX1 and RUNX3 are further involved in the maturation of naive CD4+ T cells into various effector T-cell lineages. RUNX3 expression increases with a corresponding decrease in RUNX1 expression when T helper type 1 (Th1) differentiation (Ito et al., 2015). The RUNX factors could also regulate adhesion complexes to promote the migration of macrophages and monocytes to the sites of infection to perform their phagocytic functions (Puig-Kröger et al., 2003; Estecha et al., 2012). In summary, the RUNX factors are involved not only in tumor growth and spread, but also in the development, organization, and function of the mammalian immune system (Seo and Taniuchi, 2020).

However, the distinct functions of the RUNX factors and the immune-related genes is regulated in breast cancer have not been fully elucidated. And to our knowledge, the prognostic analyses of breast cancer, which are based on the expression of immune-related genes regulated by the RUNX family have not been completely studied or reported. In recent research, some researchers have found that RUNX family plays an important role in the regulation of immune cell development and function.
In this study, we further explored the respective roles of the RUNX family members in breast cancer and found that it was related to the immune invasion. Meanwhile, we established a prognostic model based on immune-related genes regulated by RUNX family to predict breast cancer survival, and may provide potential biomarkers or therapeutic targets for the diagnosis and treatment of early breast cancer.

Methods

GEPIA dataset

The RNA sequencing expression data from The Cancer Genome Atlas and the Genotype Tissue Expression (GTEx) projects were analyzed with GEPIA (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html), a newly developed interactive web tool, to discover the RUNX family expression in breast cancer by a standard processing pipeline (Tang et al., 2017). The survival analysis was also performed via a Kaplan-Meier curve for further verification by GEPIA. In addition, RUNX family protein levels were analyzed by the Human Protein Atlas database (HPA) (https://www.proteinatlas.org/) to verify whether the expression of the mRNA and protein levels matched (Uhlén et al., 2015).

The Kaplan-Meier plotter

The prognostic value of the RUNX family mRNA expression was analyzed through an online database, Kaplan-Meier Plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis/), which provided gene expression data and survival information of 1,809 breast cancer patients. And the clinical relationships between gene expression of the RUNX family and survival information including recurrence-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) were assessed by Kaplan-Meier Plotter. The prognostic value of the RUNX family, such as 95% confidence intervals (CIs), hazard ratio (HR), and p value could be automatically calculated based on the RNA expression (high vs low) of RUNX family genes.

The cancer genome atlas data and cBioPortal

The RUNX family mRNA expression data of breast cancer were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas database (https://genome-cancer.ucsc.edu/). R package limma was used to normalize and differential expression analysis of these data from the TCGA database. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Additionally, cBioPortal (http://www.cbioportal.org), a comprehensive web resource, was used for further analyses of the RUNX family. According to The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, co-expression and network module of the RUNX family were calculated from cBioPortal.

String and tumor immunity analyses

STRING (https://string-db.org/), a website about protein interaction, was performed to achieve a comprehensive and objective global network and then present them with a unique set of computational predictions. The PPI network analysis was performed to collect and integrate the different expressions of the RUNX family and potential interactions using STRING. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The abundances of B, CD4+ T, CD8+ T, NK, and dendritic cells and macrophages were estimated through the TIMER (https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer/), which is a friendly web interface for the user. In addition, the correlation of the RUNX family expression with immune cell infiltration scores was revealed by TIMER. The RUNX family was conducted to input using the Gene module and generated scatterplots to visualize the correlation of their expression with immune infiltration level in breast cancer.

Prognostic model construction

Using univariate and multivariate Cox regression, six independent genes associated with RUNX were identified. Next, the risk score model was structured based on the expression levels and coefficients of the six hub Coxs. The risk score of each breast cancer patient was counted using the next formula: Risk score = β1*Exp1 + β2*Exp2 + βi*Exp, where β manifests the coefficient value of the independent prognosis-associated RUNXs, Exp manifests the expression level of the independent prognosis-associated Cox.

Validating the performance of the prognostic model

According to the median risk score, the breast cancer patients were divided into high-and low-risk groups. The difference in survival between the two groups was assessed by the Kaplan-Meier method using log-rank tests. Additionally, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, were used for confirming the accuracy of the prognostic model.

Tissue samples and cell lines

Fresh breast cancer tissues and adjacent normal tissues were collected from the First Affiliated Hospital with Nanjing Medical University. Experienced pathologist immediately
isolated the primary tumor area and morphologically normal surgical margin tissue after resection from each patient and stored in liquid nitrogen until use. The study was approved by the institutional ethical committee of the First Affiliated Hospital with Nanjing Medical University. Informed written consents were obtained from patients recruited in this study. The human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 was cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Canada) at 37°C under 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator.

**Luciferase report assay**

Luciferase reporter plasmids were constructed by iGeneBio (Guangzhou, China). Based on the chemiluminescence reaction between luciferase and substrate, mutant and wild-type ULBP2 and TRDV1 of the putative binding sites were cloned into a luciferase vector and co-transfected with RUNX3 mimics into breast cancer cells. After 48 h, cells were harvested for luciferase activity analysis using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Vazyme, China). The assay was repeated at least three times in independent experiments.

**Cell transfection**

Transfections were carried out using the Lipofectamine 3000 Reagent (Invitrogen, Canada) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting ULBP2, TRDV1, and their negative controls were purchased from RiboBio (Guangzhou, China). MDA-MB-231 cells were plated in 96-well plates, when cells reached a confluency of 80%, they were transfected with the fragments or plasmids (0.2 µg/well) by Lipo3000 reagent, according to the protocol. After incubation at 37°C for 24 h, the transfected cells were harvested for subsequent experiments.

**CCK-8 assay**

Cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a concentration of 2000 cells per well. According to the CCK8 (Beyotime, China) manufacturer’s instructions, cellular viability was determined by measuring the absorbance of the converted dye at 450 nm 2 h after adding CCK8. Measurements were taken every 24 h for 5 consecutive days.

**EdU assay**

Cell-Light EdU Apollo In Vitro Kit (Ribobio, China) for EdU assay was utilized to compare the growth ability of the transfected breast cancer cell. MDA-MB-231 cell lines were transfected with ULBP2 and TRDV1 mimics control or inhibitor, then 5 × 10³ transfected cells were transferred into each well of the 96-well plate. EdU medium was added to each well to incubate the cells for two hours. We captured the images with a fluorescence microscope after the fixation, permeabilization, and staining.

**Colonies formation assay**

Cells transfected with ULBP2 and TRDV1 mimics control or inhibitor were plated at a density of 500 cells/cm². After 2 weeks of culture, colonies were counted. The wound-healing rate was calculated as follows: Wound-healing rate = (Original wound area — area at detection)/original wound area × 100%.

**Results**

The transcription levels of RUNX family in breast cancer

To explore the distinct expressions of RUNX family in breast cancer patients, we analyzed the data in the public databases GEPIA. The results revealed that the expression levels of RUNX1, RUNX2, RUNX3 were higher in tumor tissues than in normal tissues, especially the expression of RUNX2 and RUNX3 (Figure 1A). The expression level of RUNX family in tumor tissues was higher than that in normal breast tissues while there was no difference in the expression of RUNX3 in the TCGA database (Figures 1B–D). Then, we further probed the protein expression of the RUNX family in the Human Protein Profiles, the results indicated that protein expression levels of RUNX family were higher in both ductal and lobular breast cancers than in normal (Figure 1E). In addition, the GEPIA tool was used to analyze the correlation between the expression of RUNX family and the overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) of breast cancer. We founded that the expression of RUNX family was associated with RFS and could affect the prognosis of breast cancer (Figure 1F).
FIGURE 1
Expression of RUNXs in breast cancer and normal samples (GEPIA). Scatter diagram demonstrated that the expression levels of RUNX1, RUNX2, and RUNX3 were higher in breast cancer tissues than in normal tissues ($p < 0.05$) (A). A boxplot of the RUNXs expression profiles in breast cancer and normal samples using TCGA and other data (B–D). Validation of the expression of RUNX proteins in breast cancer and normal tissues in the Human Protein Atlas (HPA) database (E). Kaplan-Meier survival curve of OS and RFS for breast cancer in RUNXs. Kaplan-Meier analysis and log-rank test demonstrated a significant difference in RFS among the three groups ($p < 0.05$) (F).
Interaction analyses of RUNX family in breast cancer patients

The correlations of RUNX family with each other were analyzed via the cBioPortal online tool for breast cancer according to their mRNA expressions. The results showed a noteworthy and positive relationship between RUNX1 and RUNX2 (Figure 2A). To explore the potential interactions among RUNX family, we conducted a protein-protein interaction PPI network analysis of the differentially expressed RUNX family with STRING. As the findings suggested, the neighbor genes such as MAPK1, MAPK3, BGLAP, ETS1, and CBFB had a close association with RUNX family alterations in the RUNX Family (Figure 2B).

RUNX family regulated immune-related genes

As shown in this study, we explored the correlation between RUNX family and immune cell infiltration by using the TIMER database. The expression of RUNX Family was in connection with the infiltration of B cells, macrophages, myeloid dendritic cells, neutrophils, CD4+ T cells, and CD8+ T cells while RUNX2 was not connected with B cells (Figure 3A). We obtained 2,483 immunologically relevant genes from the ImmPort database considering that RUNX family was associated with immune infiltration. Then, we compared the expression of the difference between tumor and normal tissues via TCGA databases, and 370 differentially expressed immune-related genes were filtrated. The volcano map and heatmap were plotted to visualize these genes (Figures 3B,C). A forest map identified 17 genes connected with prognosis in breast cancer patients according to 2483 immunologically relevant genes (Figure 3D). Subsequently, the regulatory network of RUNX and immune genes were mapped to probe the association between RUNX family and these genes (Figure 3E). RUNX1 negatively regulated PSME2 and positively regulated TSLP, but they were both low-risk genes. RUNX2 positively regulated NPR3, a high-risk gene. Low-risk genes such as IL18, TNFRSF8, TSLP, IL2RG, TRDV1, TRBC2, and CXCL9 were positively regulated by RUNX3, and at the same time, RUNX3 negatively regulated high-risk genes like NPR3 and ULBP2.
Construction of prognostic models

We built a prognosis-related risk score model by using the independent risk factors to provide a clinically appropriate method for predicting the OS probability of patients with breast cancer. We screened out 10 genes that might be regulated by the RUNX family by analyzing the potential relationship between 17 prognosis-related genes and the RUNX family. Then, we performed cross-validation on the expression and prognosis of genes to prevent overfitting in the
FIGURE 4
Univariate and multivariate analyses for breast cancer using the Cox regression model. A prognostic risk score model was established using independent risk factors (A). Forest plots of univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses had significant prognostic significance (B, C).
FIGURE 5
Characteristics of prognostic gene signatures. Survival analysis of the association between the independent risk factors and overall survival in breast cancer patients. Breast cancer patients were divided into high-risk (red line) and low-risk (blue line) groups according to their signature scores (A). ROC analysis was performed to find out the most optimal cutoff value to divide the breast cancer patients into high risk and low risk group (B). Heatmap of the expression profiles of RUNX family genes in different immune-genes, including TSLP, TRDV1, PSME2, IL18, ULBP2 and NPR3. Red represented high-expression, and blue represented low-expression (C). The risk score distribution and the survival status of breast cancer patients (D,E).
outcomes (age, stage) of breast cancer patients were strongly associated with immune cell infiltration. We discovered that the clinical risk factors were closely correlated with immune cell infiltration (Figure 6). Further, the expression of RUNX2 was associated with poor prognosis while macrophages have the opposite effect on breast cancer patients.

Validation of the prognostic model

We divided 1013 breast cancer samples with clinical information from TCGA databases into the low-risk group (N = 507) and the high-risk group (N = 506) according to clinical risk factors. Generally, patients with higher risk scores had a bad prognosis than those with lower risk scores (Figure 5A). We figured out the value of AUC was 0.722 through the ROC analysis to assess the prognostic accuracy of the metabolic signature, indicating that this nomogram had high predictive accuracy (Figure 5B). The heatmap showing gene expression profiles in high-risk and low-risk groups were drawn to display the distribution of the gene expression differences (Figure 5C). The distribution of survival status showed that the survival time and the number of patients in the high-risk group were lower than those in the low-risk group (Figures 5D,E).

Relationship between RUNX family and immune infiltrated

To clarify whether the RUNX family is indeed associated with immune infiltration, we further explored the relationship between immune cell infiltration and the clinical outcome. The level of immune cells was closely related to the proliferation and development of cancer cells. In this study, the correlation between RUNX members and immune cell infiltration was explored by using the TIMER database. We discovered that the clinical outcomes (age, stage) of breast cancer patients were strongly correlated with immune cell infiltration. In particular, low immune infiltration of B cells and T cells often predicted a poor prognosis while macrophages have the opposite effect (Figure 6). Further, the expression of RUNX2 was associated with the infiltration of CD4 + T cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells in breast cancer. RUNX1 was positively associated with the infiltration of CD4 + T cells, neutrophils, B cells, CD8 + T cells, and macrophages in breast cancer patients, but there was no significant correlation with dendritic cells. Concerning RUNX3, except for B cells, the remaining five host immune cells have a positive correlation with RUNX3 (Supplementary Figure S1). These results suggested that the RUNX family influences the prognosis of breast cancer by interacting with immune cells infiltration.

RUNX3 could target and regulate ULBP2 and TRDV1

In those risk predictors, the level of TSLP expression was correlated with breast cancer growth and metastasis (Kuan and Ziegler, 2018; Shi et al., 2020). PSME2, IL18 and NPR3 have been reported to have a close correlation with the proliferation, invasion, and migration of various tumors including breast cancer (Li et al., 2016a; Gu et al., 2018; Ramdas et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021). However, the expression and function of ULBP2 and TRDV1 have not been reported. Based on the correlation analysis and research status, we chose RUNX3 and the high-risk gene ULBP2 and the low-risk gene TRDV1 as the research object. We used the JASPAR database to predict the possible binding sites of the transcription factor RUNX3 in the promoter region of ULBP2 and TRDV (Figure 7A). By selecting the sequence of potential loci with the highest score, we designed the dual-luciferase reporter assay to verify the relationship between RUNX3 and the immune genes. The results showed that ULBP2 and TRDV1 were target-regulated by RUNX3 (Figure 7B).

Function analysis of ULBP2 and TRDV1 in breast cancer cells

Based on the above results, to explore the relationship between RUNX family and immune genes, we made further research on the immune genes ULBP2 and TRDV1. We applied CCK-8, Edu, Colony formation and Wound healing assays to detect the functions of ULBP2 and TRDV1 in breast cancer cells.

We assessed the impact of ULBP2 and TRDV1 knockdown on breast cancer cell functions. The CCK-8 and colony formation assays revealed that down-regulation of ULBP2 significantly suppressed cell proliferation of MDA-MB-231. However, the TRDV1 knockdown promoted the proliferation of cells (Figures 8A,C). Edu assays showed that the low expression of ULBP2 formed less DNA replication level than the control group (Figure 8B). Moreover, different scratch healing rates in wound healing analysis showed that motile ability was significantly
enhanced after the knockdown of TRDV1 and decreased after knocking down ULBP2 (Figure 8D).

Discussion

In recent years, the role RUNX family in various tumors has attracted extensive attention, and relevant studies have confirmed their functions. Although the role of RUNX family has been partially confirmed in the tumorigenesis and prognosis of several cancers (Samarakkody et al., 2020; Hass et al., 2021), the distinct roles of RUNX family members in breast cancer remain to be elucidated. More and more studies have shown that there are many kinds of immune cell infiltrates in breast cancer tissues, these immune cells affect the pathogenesis and metastasis of breast cancer through a variety of signaling pathways, which...
may affect the prognosis of patients. Hence, a further bioinformatics analysis of breast cancer was performed to expound on the functions of RUNX family, especially its relationship with immune regulation. Currently, the relationship between the immune system of RUNX family members in breast cancer has not been fully studied, so our study comprehensively investigated the mRNA expression, mutation, and prognostic values (OS and RFS) of different RUNXs factors in breast cancer and especially for the first time to research their relationship with immune cell infiltration. Our findings could enhance the accuracy of predicting prognosis for patients with breast cancer.

In the progression of cancers, RUNX family has been involved in the regulation of different carcinogenic processes and signaling pathways with cancer (Sweeney et al., 2020). Hong et al. founded that RUNX1 reduces breast cancer cell migration and invasion in vitro and tumor growth in vivo, and also founded that high levels of RUNX1 expression can suppress metastasis, treatment resistance, and tumor recurrence in breast cancer (Hong et al., 2018). Co-expression of RUNX1 or RUNX3 significantly suppressed modulate YAP-mediated oncogenic phenotypes, and inhibited breast cancer progression (Niu et al., 2012).

RUNX2 was overexpressed in breast and prostate cancer and associated with increased metastatic capacity. In contrary to RUNX1 and RUNX3, RUNX2 promoted cancer cell recruitment and adhesion in bone and further facilitated bone colonization (Li et al., 2016b). Meanwhile, RUNX2 expression was increased by increasing the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor, matrix metalloproteases (MMP2, MMP9, and MMP13), and bone sialoprotein (BSP) in breast cancer metastatic cells (Dowdy et al., 2010). Each RUNX factor has been researched in isolation without reference to the other genes in the family because of their lineage-specific expression. However, there was an increasing realization that RUNX genes’ functions are complementary and must be studied at the same time (Ito and Miyazono, 2003; Ito et al., 2015). Experimental evidence has revealed that RUNX genes have exhibited oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes (Kilbey et al., 2008; Blyth et al., 2010).

Tumor-infiltrating immune cells (TIICs) in the tumor microenvironment (TME) are becoming an increasingly important role in affecting tumorigenesis, progression and metastasis, and immune cells level is closely associated with the proliferation and progression of the cancer cell. Studies had pointed out that RUNX family proteins are significantly

---

**FIGURE 7**

Transcription factor RUNX3 target regulated ULBP2 and TRDV1. JASPER website was used to predict the binding sites of RUNX3 in ULBP2 and TRDV1 promoter region (A). Dual-Luciferase reporter assay was used to verify the binding sequence (B).
involved in the development, organization, and function of the mammalian immune system (Zusso et al., 2012). Macrophages and monocytes (Edin et al., 2012; Tong et al., 2021) have been demonstrated to be related to poor prognosis while T cells (Sato et al., 2005) meant better prognosis in various cancers. RUNX family also took part in the activation of peripheral T and B cells within these tissues through mediating DC maturation for antigen presentation (Fainaru et al., 2004; Fainaru et al.,

**FIGURE 8**

ULBP2 knockdown inhibited cell proliferation, migration and invasion in vitro. TRDV1 knockdown have the opposite functions. CCK-8 assay was performed to determine the proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells infected with ULBP2 and TRDV1 lentivirus (A). Edu assays were used to evaluate the effect of ULBP2 and TRDV1 knockdown on breast cancer cell proliferation (B). Colony formation assays were performed to evaluate the proliferation of cells with ULBP2 and TRDV1 knockdown (C). The effect of ULBP2 and TRDV1 knockdown on cell migration was examined by wound healing assay (D).
Therefore, in this study, we explored the association between the expression of RUNX family and immune cell infiltration. This study founded that the expression of RUNX2 was positively related to the immune infiltration of CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, B cells, neutrophils, DC cells, and macrophages in the breast cancer microenvironment. Meanwhile, we discovered that the expression of RUNX1 was significantly correlated with the biomarkers of CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, B cells, neutrophils, and macrophages. In addition, RUNX3 was not significantly correlated with B cells, but also positively correlated with other immune cells. As described in our study, the risk model related to RUNX proteins was negatively correlated with immune infiltration, indicating that the RUNX family exerted a pro-cancer effect in breast cancer. In previous studies, the mRNA and protein expression of RUNX family has been confirmed to be higher in breast cancer tissues than that in adjacent tissues (Gao and Zhou, 2021), and its expression was markedly correlated with clinical prognosis in breast cancer patients. Then, both univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses demonstrated that 6 genes regulated by the RUNX family had a significant relationship with the prognosis of breast cancer patients, including PSME2, ULBP2, IL18, TSLP, NPR3, and TRDV1. Based on these results, we used the JASPAR database to explore the relationship between RUNX3 and ULBP2 and TRDV1, the results showed that the RUNX3 target regulated the two immune genes. In addition, we made further research on the functions of immune genes ULBP2 and TRDV1, and the results showed that down-regulation of ULBP2 suppressed cell proliferation and TRDV1 has the opposite functions.

Subsequently, we used the prognostic model constructed by these genes to divide breast cancer patients into subgroups for comparative analysis, further verifying the independent predictive value in breast cancer patients. As a result, a statistically significant difference in the overall survival rate was found between the two subtypes.

In conclusion, we developed and validated a prognostic model for patients with breast cancer. The results showed that our model could promote the development of the prognostic assessment, improve the accuracy of predicting the prognosis of breast cancer patients, and is expected to become a new independent biomarker for the prognosis of breast cancer patients. In this study, though, we discussed the important role of RUNX family members in breast cancer, however, the limitations of this study should be noted. First, we analyzed and evaluated our gene family by using limited data and clinical information from the genomic commons data. In addition, it is critical to verify the functional characteristics and molecular mechanisms of RUNX family through biological experiments and clinical studies.
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