Electronic transport calculations for rough interfaces in Al, Cu, Ag, and Au
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Abstract

We present results of electronic structure and transport calculations for metallic interfaces, based on density functional theory and the non-equilibrium Green’s function method. Starting from the electronic structure of smooth Al, Cu, Ag, and Au interfaces, we study the effects of different kinds of interface roughness on the transmission coefficient and the I–V characteristic. In particular, we compare prototypical interface distortions, including vacancies and metallic impurities.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Electronic devices have been continually reducing in size over recent decades. Today their typical scale is some tens of nanometers, so that quantum mechanical effects can no longer be neglected. Clearly, their functionality depends crucially on the transport characteristics of interfaces. For a better understanding of such devices a quantum theory of transport is required. Due to recent progress in the methods of placing atoms or molecules between macroscopic electrodes, many studies of the electronic transport have been made possible for single atoms, molecules, and nanowires [1–6]. In addition, interface properties, such as interface charging, band bending, or the contact resistivity, have been investigated extensively.

Interfaces can give rise to new features not present in any of the components, such as the creation of conduction states between two bulk insulators [7, 8]. Another important example is the charge redistribution at metal–superconductor interfaces, which has a major impact on electronic applications [9]. Electronic phenomena occurring at semiconductor interfaces have been reviewed comprehensively by Münch [10]. Moreover, the induced charge density in organic/inorganic devices has been the subject of thorough studies [11–13], and the orientation dependent transparency of simple metals, e.g. Al and Ag, has been analyzed [14]. However, the transport properties of simple metal interfaces have not been investigated in detail. In particular, interface distortions, such as atomic scale roughness, vacancies, and impurities, are of considerable practical interest, while theoretical results on these issues are rare due to the structural complexity. For example, the influence of disorder on the interface resistance in Au/Ag multilayers has been studied using large lateral supercells [15].

Several methods, based on electronic structure calculations, have been developed to address the problem of transmission at interfaces and nanocontacts. To describe a nanoscaled device connected to leads, an (open) non-periodic system must be considered. Therefore, standard repeated-slab or cluster models, which have successfully been applied to static interfaces in equilibrium, fail in this case. A theoretical description of a nanometer scale junction in a strong electric field was presented by Hirose and Tsukada [16, 17]. In addition, a tight-binding formulation was applied to metallic nanocontacts [18, 19]. The layer extension of the Korringa–Kohn–Rostoker method allows one to describe the propagation through a layered system, and overcomes the restriction to periodic systems [20]. Another approach to the electronic transport through interfaces is given by the embedded Green’s function scheme [21, 22].

The generalization of density functional theory (DFT) to time dependent potentials [23] allows one to study the propagation of the electronic states in a rigorous manner [24]. However, most computational methods rely on a combination of DFT and a scattering theory at the non-equilibrium Green’s function level, based on the Landauer–Büttiker scheme. In particular, our present study employs the SMEAGOL program package [25, 26], a flexible and efficient implementation
which consists of a direct summation of both open and closed scattering channels together with a regularization procedure for the Hamiltonian. As a consequence, materials with complex electronic structures can be tackled.

In this paper, the electronic structure and the transmission coefficient for transport through different distorted interfaces of simple metals are determined. The \( I-V \) characteristic is likewise addressed. In section 2 we give details about the calculational method and the structural setup. In section 3 we discuss the results of our equilibrium electronic structure calculations, in particular, the density of states (DOS) and the transmission coefficient \( T(E, V=0) \). Finally, in section 4, the non-equilibrium properties of the systems are investigated for a finite voltage \( V \neq 0 \).

### 2. Computational details and structural setup

Among the packages using a combination of DFT and the non-equilibrium Green’s function method for calculating transport properties, we choose SMEAGOL [25, 26] for our investigations. SMEAGOL is based on the DFT package SIESTA [28], which provides the required basis set of local atomic orbitals. The surface Green’s functions are calculated using semi-analytical expressions, which results in a great improvement with respect to recursive methods [27].

The McDCal code [29], for example, likewise relies on an atomic orbital basis [30] but solves the electrostatic problem by a real-space multi-grid approach [31]. On the other hand, the GECM transport code [32, 33] simplifies the treatment of the leads by introducing a tight-binding Bethe lattice [34]. The TranSIESTA code [35] is an extension of SIESTA, solving the electrostatic problem in momentum space and calculating the surface Green’s functions by direct integration.

SMEAGOL, in particular, is designed for materials with a complicated electronic structure and with spin polarization. To apply the Landauer–Büttiker formalism, first the self-energies of the left (L) and right (R) lead are calculated.

The leads are connected to a central region of interest (molecule, nanocontact, interface), where the whole device is in equilibrium at the beginning. In equilibrium, the transmission coefficient is given by the retarded Green’s function \( G_M \) of the central (M) region and the lead self-energies \( \Sigma_{L/R} \), with \( \Gamma_{L/R} := i[\Sigma_{L/R}(E) - \Sigma_{L/R}^+(E)] \):

\[
T(E, V=0) = \text{Tr}[\Gamma_L G_M^+ \Gamma_R G_M].
\]

Applying an external voltage \( V \), non-equilibrium Green’s functions have to be considered; the charge density can be calculated from the ‘lesser’ Green’s function \( G_M^< \) and the lesser Fermi function \( f \).

\[
G_M^< (E) = iG_M(E)[\Sigma_{L} (E - eV / 2) \cdot f(E - (\mu - eV / 2)) + \Gamma_R (E + eV / 2) \cdot f(E - (\mu + eV / 2))]G_M^*(E)
\]

with the Fermi function \( f \) and the chemical potential \( \mu \). The current can then be written as

\[
I(V) = \frac{e}{h} \int dE \cdot [f(E - (\mu - eV / 2)) - f(E - (\mu + eV / 2))].
\]

We wish to study internal interfaces of specific materials. In particular, we are interested in smooth and distorted interfaces of prototypical metals and their transmission coefficients. We choose Al as a canonical example of an sp-hybrid metal with the 3s\(^2\)3p\(^1\) valence state, which is well described by the free electron model. Its DOS is almost perfectly proportional to the square root of the energy [36]. In addition, we address the noble metal Au, which is commonly used in transport experiments, and the narrow d band transition metal Cu as a typical impurity compound. Noble metals such as Cu (3d\(^{10}\)4s\(^1\)), Ag (4d\(^{10}\)5s\(^1\)), and Au (5d\(^{10}\)6s\(^1\)) have a very similar valence electronic structure: fully occupied d states at about 2 eV below the Fermi level and a single s valence electron (with substantial sd-hybridization). Moreover, these metals are well suited for a comparative study since they all crystallize in an fcc structure. We address the [001] transport direction for simplicity.

SIESTA uses norm-conserving pseudopotentials in the fully non-local form (Kleinman–Bylander [37]). For the noble metals, we apply pseudopotentials including d valence states (and f states for Au). Moreover, a double zeta basis set...
and the generalized gradient approximation for the exchange correlation potential are used. The parameters of the SMEAGOL calculation are the following: the lead comprises two unit cells to form a principal layer. Furthermore, the scattering region consists of six unit cells in each case. For the lead calculation we make use of a mesh of $15 \times 15 \times 100$ $k$-points, while the $k$-mesh in the transport calculation is $10 \times 10 \times 1$. Finally, to determine the density matrix, we choose up to 50 energy points on the semi-circle in the complex plane, up to 100 energy points along the line in the complex plane, and up to 50 poles in the Fermi distribution.

Being interested in the transport along the fcc [001] direction, our discussion will focus on these interface distortions: smooth Al and Au interfaces are compared to interfaces with vacancy and impurity sites, a buckled interface plane, and an impurity interlayer. The structures are displayed in figure 1. The vacancy configuration, which we study for Al and Au, as well as for Cu and Ag, is created by removing each second interface atom. It therefore models a series of monoatomic contacts. Inserting Cu atoms into the vacancies of the Au host leads to an impurity structure, where the impurity electronic states are closely related to those of the host.
When simulating a buckled interface, we shift neighboring interface atoms in a zigzag fashion by 10% of the fcc lattice constant along the [001] direction. Finally, the interlayer configuration consists of a mono-atomic Cu layer incorporated in the Au host. In order to highlight the effects of our principal distortions, further structural relaxation effects are not taken into account. This is well justified because previous studies of Al nanocontacts have indicated only minor alterations of the bond lengths in the contact region [38].

3. Equilibrium properties

Since SMEAGOL was originally designed for investigating mono-atomic contacts, we first study whether it likewise has the capacity of dealing with our extended systems. To this end, we address smooth Al and Au interfaces, for which we show the DOS and transmission coefficient $T(E, V=0)$ in figures 2 and 3, respectively. $T(E, V=0)$ is normalized by the number of transverse $k$-points. We find that the shape of $T(E, V=0)$ is closely related to the DOS shape, both for the sp-hybrid system and the noble metal system. For the smooth Al interface, the onset of transmission is found when electronic states are available near $-11.5$ eV (see figure 2). As to be expected for the nearly free electrons of the Al system, the transmission coefficient on the right-hand side of figure 2 reveals an almost ideal linear behavior up to $-5$ eV and only minor deviations for higher energies. Reflecting the DOS shape, the transmission through the smooth Au interface starts near $-10$ eV and shows high values between $-8$ and $-2$ eV due to the broad Au 5d bands (see figure 3). Importantly, in the vicinity of the Fermi energy ($E_F$) the transmission coefficient $T(E, V=0)$ grows, to a good approximation, linearly with increasing energy, reflecting the delocalized nature of the corresponding states. The quantitative evaluation of the transmission coefficient yields for the conductance $G = G_0 \cdot T(E_F, V=0)$, with $G_0 = 2e^2/h$, values of about $1G_0$ and $1.5G_0$ for the Au and Al interface, respectively.

Turning to rough Au interfaces, we now address the distortions introduced previously. Figure 4 indicates that the insertion of vacancy sites leads to a clear reduction of the transmission in the full energy range. At the transmission maximum, around $-4$ eV, the amplitude is reduced by 55% as compared to the smooth interface, i.e., the bulk system. For $E > -2$ eV the reduction is less pronounced, amounting to 25%. An interface buckling has a similar effect, as illustrated on the right-hand side of figure 4. In particular, almost the same reduction of the transmission coefficient is observed below $-2$ eV, whereas in the energy range from $-2$ to 5 eV it is significantly smaller, amounting to 15%. This finding can be attributed to the well-known differences in the localization of the Au electronic states below and above $-2$ eV. For $E < -2$ eV the average group velocity is lower and the effective mass is higher. Therefore, the impurity scattering is enhanced. Since d bands are more sensitive to local disorder [39] and the transmission is almost completely due to the d channel for $E < -2$ eV, it is of no surprise that the reduction of the transmission coefficient is more pronounced in this portion of the electronic spectrum. We finally mention that for the buckled interface the onset of $T(E, V=0)$ already appears at $-10$ eV, while it is found at $-8$ eV for the vacancy system.

Filling the vacancy sites with Cu atoms, the transmission increases considerably; compare the left-hand sides of figures 4 and 5. Due to the stronger localization of the Cu 3d orbitals, the transmission is reduced by 40% below $-2$ eV, with respect to the smooth Au interface. On the contrary, we observe no reduction for the higher energy itinerant states. Extending...
Figure 5. Transmission coefficient of the Au interface with a Cu impurity (left-hand side) and a Cu interlayer (right-hand side), compared to the smooth Au interface.

Figure 6. Local Au DOS at the interfaces with a Cu impurity and a Cu interlayer.

the isolated impurity sites to a full impurity interlayer, the suppression of the transmission is remarkably enhanced below $-2$ eV (see the right-hand side of figure 5). According to the above argument, we expect that states above $-2$ eV are hardly influenced. Both for the Cu impurity and the Cu interlayer, the electronic structure of neighboring Au atoms reveals only minor modifications; compare figure 6 to the left panel of figure 3. Even though metallic impurities result in a drastic reduction of the transmission coefficient far below the Fermi level, the transport properties, which depend on $T(E_F, V=0)$, are not altered. The conductance is considerable smaller for our vacancy system than for our buckled interface, whereas impurities play a minor role.

Next we study the influence of vacancies in the case of the sp-hybrid Al system (see figure 7). Almost independent of $E$, we observe a substantial reduction of $T(E, V=0)$ by 40% with respect to the values of the smooth Al interface. Interestingly, the linear slope of $T(E, V=0)$ below $-4$ eV is not reproduced by the vacancy calculation, which we attribute to a strong perturbation of the Al electronic structure. The latter is reflected by the partial Al 3s and 3d DOS curves shown in the left panel of figure 7, which refer to an atom next to a vacancy. The perturbation traces back to a reduced isotropy of the chemical bonding and, therefore, a suppression of the sp-hybridization [38]. For this reason, the reduction of $T(E_F, V=0)$ is much larger than for the Au host (see the left-hand side of figure 4). In contrast to the Al host, the effects of vacancies in a Cu/Ag host, see figure 8, are very similar to the Au case; compare to our previous discussion. Of course, the latter is expected from the close relations between the Cu, Ag, and Au electronic structures. In particular, we observe the broad transmission peak due to the metal d states and the linear energy dependence of $T(E, V=0)$ in the vicinity of $E_F$.

4. Non-equilibrium properties

In this section, we address the non-equilibrium transport properties of smooth and defective Al and Au interfaces. Data for Cu and Ag interfaces are not presented here since they are quite similar to those of Au. Note that in general the application of a potential bias across an homogeneous metallic conductor
Figure 7. Local Al 3s and 3p DOS (left-hand side) and transmission coefficient (right-hand side) of the Al interface with a vacancy. The transmission coefficient of the corresponding smooth interface is given for comparison.

Figure 8. Transmission coefficient of the Cu (left-hand side) and Ag (right-hand side) interfaces with a vacancy, each compared to the corresponding smooth interface.

does not produce a potential drop, which, in contrast, might be localized at the contact region. This corresponds to the known fact that a pure metal cannot sustain an internal electric field. Nevertheless it is interesting to investigate how an artificially imposed potential drop affects the transport properties of both clean and defective interfaces. This gives us an indication on how the system responds to an external electrical perturbation. Within SMEAGOL it is possible to impose such a potential drop by simply setting the difference between the chemical potentials of the leads to $eV$, in such a way that a potential drop of $V$ is artificially established across the scattering region. Note that the resulting external electric field then depends on the length of the scattering region itself. With this in mind we have re-calculated the transmission coefficient as a function of energy for different imposed potential drops.

The results are shown in figure 9, where we use the same convention as for the $V=0$ case to plot $T(E, V \neq 0)$ with the energy scale measured relative to the equilibrium $E_F$. Since the
Figure 9. Transmission coefficient of the smooth Au (left-hand side) and Al (right-hand side) interfaces at voltages of 0.2 and 0.8 V.

Figure 10. Left-hand side: $I$–$V$ characteristics for the various Au interfaces, see figure 1. Right-hand side: $I$–$V$ characteristics for the smooth Al interface and the Al interface with a vacancy.

external potential $V$ disturbs the periodicity of the electronic states along the transport direction, a reduction of $T(E, V \neq 0)$, as compared to $T(E, V = 0)$, is expected. This expectation is partially confirmed in the case of the smooth Au interface (see the left-hand side of figure 9), for which $T(E, V = 0.8 \text{ V})$ is reduced by 40% with respect to $T(E, V = 0)$ in the energy range corresponding to the Au 5d density of states, and by $\approx 10\%$ well above the Fermi level. In contrast, the reduction of the transmission coefficient is much smaller for the smooth Al interface (see the right-hand side of figure 9), amounting to $\approx 10\%$ above $-4 \text{ eV}$. Below this energy, we have no reduction at all. Of course, this observation finds its origin in the free electron character of the Al electronic states below $-4 \text{ eV}$, with the same explanation applying to the Au data at the low energy edge and around $E_F$.

Since for both the Al and Au interfaces the value of the transmission coefficient at $E_F$ is hardly modified by the external potential, little changes in the conductivity are predicted in the linear response. The calculated $I$–$V$ characteristics obtained by artificially imposing the potential drop are presented in figure 10 and support this conjecture. In the same figure we also report results for a number of selected
defective interfaces, which also show almost perfectly linear $I$–$V$ characteristics. In general we find that the reduction of $T(E_F, V=0)$, see the discussion in section 3, nicely correlates with the reduction in conductance, with respect to the bulk values, extracted from these $I$–$V$ data, respectively of 25% for vacancies and to 15% for the buckled interface. As expected from the values obtained for $T(E_F, V=0)$, the incorporation of Cu impurities (isolated or interlayer) has only a minor effect on the Au conductance.

5. Summary

In summary, we have confirmed the capability of the SMEAGOL program package to deal with extended systems. We have addressed the transport through smooth and distorted fcc Al, Cu, Ag, and Au interfaces, where we have particularly identified regimes of (nearly) free electrons via the linear energy dependence of the transmission coefficient. Investigating various kinds of distortions, we find that vacancy sites have a huge effect on transmission via directed $d$ bonds, while $T(E_F, V=0)$, the reduction is also found for (nearly) free electrons. In contrast, localized Cu, Ag, and Au d states are involved. A relevant interface atomic layers reduces the transmission strongly when $E_F$. Buckling of the interface atomic layers reduces the transmission strongly when localized Cu, Ag, and Au d states are involved. A relevant reduction is also found for (nearly) free electrons. In contrast, insertion of impurities with electronic configurations similar to the host compound does not reduce the conductance of the device. A full impurity interlayer drastically suppresses transmission via directed d bonds, while $T(E_F, V=0)$, again, is hardly altered.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge discussions with P Schwab, U Eckern, A R Rocha, and I Rungger. The Au pseudopotential was provided by X Lopez (ETSF, Palaiseau, France). We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 484) and the Egyptian Missions System for financial support. The Smeagol project (SS) is sponsored by the Science Foundation of Ireland.

References

[1] Garcia-Suarez V M and Lambert C J 2008 Nanotechnology 19 455203
[2] Qian Z, Li R, Zhao X, Hou S and Sanvito S 2008 Phys. Rev. B 78 113301
[3] Finch C M, Sirichtaropoulos S, Bailey S W, Grace J M, García-Suárez V M and Lambert C J 2008 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 022203
[4] Correia A and García N 1997 Phys. Rev. B 55 6689
[5] Hansen K, Nielsen S K, Brandbyge M, Lægsgaard E, Stensgaard I and Besenbacher F 2000 Appl. Phys. Lett. 77 708
[6] Rocha A R and Sanvito S 2004 Phys. Rev. B 70 094406
[7] Thiel S, Hammel G, Schmehl A, Schneider C W and Mannhart J 2006 Science 313 1942
[8] Schwingenschlögl U and Schuster C 2008 Europhys. Lett. 81 17007
[9] Schwingenschlögl U and Schuster C 2009 Chem. Phys. Lett. 467 354
[10] Schwingenschlögl U and Schuster C 2007 Europhys. Lett. 77 37007
[11] Schwingenschlögl U and Schuster C 2007 Appl. Phys. Lett. 90 192502
[12] Münch W 2004 Electronic Properties of Semiconductor Interfaces (Berlin: Springer)
[13] Bagus P S, Hermann K and Wöll C J 2005 J. Chem. Phys. 123 184109
[14] Schuster C and Schwingenschlögl U 2009 Chem. Phys. Lett. 468 75
[15] Xu P X, Zwierzycki M, Talanana M and Kelly P J 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 176602
[16] Xia K, Kelly P J, Bauer G E W, Turek I, Kudrnovský J and Drchal V 2001 Phys. Rev. B 63 064407
[17] Hirose K and Tsukada M 1995 Phys. Rev. B 51 5278
[18] Lang N D 1995 Phys. Rev. B 52 5335
[19] Chico L and Falicov L M 1995 Phys. Rev. B 52 6640
[20] Cueva J C, Levy Yeyati A and Martín-Rodero A 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 1066
[21] MacLaren J M, Zhang X-G, Butler W H and Wang X 1999 Phys. Rev. B 59 5470
[22] Crampin S, van Hoof J B A N, Nekovee M and Inglesfield J E 1992 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4 1475
[23] Buttner J, Ishida H and Blügel S 2002 Phys. Rev. B 66 075113
[24] Runge E and Gross E K U 1984 Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 197
[25] Kurth S, Stefanucci G, Almbladh C-O, Rubio A and Gross E K U 2005 Phys. Rev. B 72 035308
[26] Rocha A R, García-Suárez V M, Bailey S W, Lambert C J, Ferrer J and Sanvito S 2005 Nat. Mater. 4 335
[27] Rocha A R, García-Suárez V M, Bailey S W, Lambert C J, Ferrer J and Sanvito S 2006 Phys. Rev. B 73 085414
[28] Runrger I and Sanvito S 2008 Phys. Rev. B 78 035407
[29] Soler J M, Artacho E, Gale J D, García A, Junquera J, Ordejón P and Sánchez-Portal D 2002 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 2745
[30] Taylor J, Gao H and Wang J 2001 Phys. Rev. B 63 245407
[31] Ordejón P, Artacho E and Soler J M 1996 Phys. Rev. B 53 R10441
[32] Brandt A 1977 Math. Comput. 31 333
[33] Palacios J J, Pérez-Jiménez A J, Louis E, SanFabián E and Vergés J A 2002 Phys. Rev. B 66 035322
[34] Louis E, Vergés J A, Palacios J J, Pérez-Jiménez A J and SanFabián E 2003 Phys. Rev. B 67 155321
[35] Joannopouls J and Yndurain F 1974 Phys. Rev. B 10 5164
[36] Bradbyge M, Mozos J-L, Ordejón P, Taylor J and Stokbro K 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 165401
[37] Springborg M 1997 Density Functional Method in Chemistry and Material Science (Chichester: Wiley)
[38] Kleinman L and Bylander D M 1982 Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 1425
[39] Schwingenschlögl U and Schuster C 2006 Chem. Phys. Lett. 432 245
[40] Schwingenschlögl U and Schuster C 2007 Chem. Phys. Lett. 439 143
[41] Autés G, Barreteau C, Spanjaard D and Desjonquières M-C 2008 Phys. Rev. B 77 155437