Exploring and Validating Exoplanet Atmospheric Retrievals with Solar System Analog Observations
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Abstract

Solar system observations that serve as analogs for exoplanet remote sensing data can provide important opportunities to validate ideas and models related to exoplanet environments. Critically, and unlike true exoplanet observations, solar system analog data benefit from available high-quality ground- or orbiter-derived “truth” constraints that enable strong validations of exoplanet data interpretation tools. In this work, we first present a versatile atmospheric retrieval suite, capable of application to reflected light, thermal emission, and transmission observations spanning a broad range of wavelengths and thermochemical conditions. The tool—dubbed rfast—is designed, in part, to enable exoplanet mission concept feasibility studies. Following model validation, the retrieval tool is applied to a range of solar system analog observations for exoplanet environments. Retrieval studies using Earth reflected light observations from NASA’s EPOXI mission provide a key proof of concept for exo-Earth direct imaging concept missions under development. Inverse modeling applied to an infrared spectrum of Earth from the Mars Global Surveyor Thermal Emission Spectrometer achieves good constraints on atmospheric gases, including many biosignature gases. Finally, retrieval analysis applied to a transit spectrum of Titan derived from the Cassini Visual and Infrared Mapping Spectrometer provides a proof of concept for interpreting more feature-rich transiting exoplanet observations from NASA’s James Webb Space Telescope. In the future, solar system analog observations for exoplanets could be used to verify exoplanet models and parameterizations, and future exoplanet analog observations of any solar system worlds from planetary science missions should be encouraged.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Remote sensing (2191); Radiative transfer simulations (1677); Exoplanets (498); Earth (planet) (439); Titan (2186)

1. Introduction

Atmospheric remote sensing has proven essential to interpreting exoplanet observations (Madhusudhan 2018; Fortney et al. 2021), with applications ranging from some of the first-ever potential constraints on the composition of exoplanet atmospheres (Tinetti et al. 2007; Pont et al. 2008; Swain et al. 2008; Sing et al. 2009; Bean et al. 2010) through to the more modern atmospheric retrieval (or inverse modeling) approaches developed in a wide range of studies (Irwin et al. 2008; Madhusudhan & Seager 2009; Benneke & Seager 2012; Line et al. 2012, 2013, 2014; Knutson et al. 2014; Kreidberg et al. 2014; Stevenson et al. 2014; Barstow et al. 2017; MacDonald & Madhusudhan 2017; Morley et al. 2017; Benneke et al. 2019; Mulliére et al. 2019; Tsiaras et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2019; Colón et al. 2020; Kitzmann et al. 2020; Mansfield et al. 2022; Min et al. 2020). In the very near future, atmospheric retrieval tools are likely to see widespread application to exoplanet observations from NASA’s James Webb Space Telescope (JWST; Cowan et al. 2015; Greene et al. 2016; Krissansen-Totton et al. 2018; Nixon & Madhusudhan 2022). Inverse modeling tools are also likely to prove key when interpreting exoplanet observations from near-future exoplanet-themed missions, such as NASA’s Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope (Roman; Marley et al. 2014; Lupu et al. 2016; Nayak et al. 2017; Akeson et al. 2019; Kasdin et al. 2020) and ESA’s Ariel mission (Tinetti et al. 2016; Barstow et al. 2022).

Recommendations from the recent Decadal Survey on Astronomy and Astrophysics 20205 indicate that studies of exoplanet environments should continue to expand in both quantity and quality, engaging complementary ground- and space-based resources. When looking forward to future exoplanet exploration strategies, atmospheric inverse modeling will play at least two major roles. First, and most obviously, atmospheric retrieval tools will be needed to interpret data from any near- or far-future observing facilities and characterize exoplanet properties. Second, and maybe less obviously, inverse models can provide the connection between proposed instrument/telescope performance and the expected constraints on the parameters that describe an exoplanet environment. In fact, atmospheric retrieval is actively being used to refine designs of the Habitable Exoplanet Observatory (HabEx; Gaudi et al. 2018), the Large UltraViolet-Optical-InfraRed Surveyor (LUVIOR; Roberge & Moustakas 2018), and the Origins Space Telescope (Battersby et al. 2018) mission concepts (or their successors; Feng et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2020; Tremblay et al. 2020; Damiano & Hu 2021).

Given the large number of exoplanet-themed missions and instruments, either operational or on the horizon, it may be easy

5 https://doi.org/10.17226/26141
to become focused on environments that are many parsecs away from Earth. Such an outlook can miss important opportunities that solar system worlds present for guiding exoplanet science (Robbere et al. 2017; Keithly & Savransky 2021). For example, solar system planets and moons can serve as models for the predicted appearance of analogous exoplanet targets (Tinetti et al. 2005, 2006; Stam 2008; Kaltenegger & Traub 2009; Zugger et al. 2010; Robinson et al. 2011; Fujii et al. 2014; Robinson et al. 2014; Dalba et al. 2015; Mayorga et al. 2016; Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2018; Kane et al. 2019; Macdonald & Cowan 2019; Mayorga et al. 2020, 2021).

From the perspective of exoplanet atmospheric remote sensing, solar system worlds and observations can yield opportunities both to validate retrieval model results and capabilities and to test the simplifying parameterizations necessarily adopted in these tools. A recent review of connections between solar system planetary science and exoplanet science (Kane et al. 2021) highlighted measurable properties from solar system worlds as a “pathway forward” for more correct interpretations of exoplanet data. However, relatively few works have examined such applications of solar system observations. Marley et al. (2014) (and a more formal companion study, Lupu et al. 2016), in exploratory work relevant to (what is now) Roman, performed retrievals on visible-wavelength observations of Jupiter, Saturn, and Uranus (from Karkoschka 1998) and demonstrated that methane abundances could be reliably inferred using a forward model that included two distinct cloud decks. The assumption of gray cloud properties was found to be generally acceptable, although haze absorption at wavelengths shorter than those considered in the study would be an important consideration for future mission concepts. Heng & Li (2021) used high-quality phase curves of Jupiter from the Cassini Imaging Science Subsystem (Porco et al. 2004; Li et al. 2018) to infer properties of Jovian clouds with potential implications for JWST. Finally, Tribbett et al. (2021) performed retrievals on effective transit spectra of Titan—generated from stellar occultations observed by the Cassini Ultraviolet Imaging Spectrograph (Esposito et al. 2004; Koskinen et al. 2011)—and showed that simple parameterizations of haze extinction failed to detect the presence of known haze layers/overdensities.

The work that follows explores a variety of novel retrieval studies for solar system worlds treated as exoplanet analogs, which is a strongly underexplored area of study. Section 2 develops a versatile and efficient inverse modeling suite whose origins stem from exoplanet direct imaging mission concept studies. Validations against existing tools are showcased in Section 3. Section 4 first demonstrates an application to direct imaging studies of Earth-like exoplanets and subsequently demonstrates retrieval results as applied to disk-integrated reflected light observations of Earth, disk-integrated infrared observations of Earth, and near-infrared transit spectra of Titan. Key findings from these retrieval studies are discussed in the context of near- and further-future exoplanet-themed missions in Section 5, while takeaway findings are enumerated in Section 6.

2. Methods

Atmospheric retrieval (or inference) requires a suite of interconnected tools: a parameter space sampling tool, a radiative transfer “forward” model, and an instrument model. When retrieving on a noisy observation, the sampling tool uses information about goodness of fit to statistically explore a posterior distribution for a collection of atmospheric and planetary parameters (i.e., “state” vectors). For a particular instance of a state vector, the radiative transfer model, in general, predicts a high-resolution spectrum that is subsequently spectrally degraded to match the resolution of the observed spectrum via the instrument model. A likelihood comparison between the observed spectrum and the degraded prediction then enables the sampling algorithm to further explore posterior space. For mission design purposes—where true observations do not yet exist—it is often the case that faux/synthetic observations must be generated using a forward model and an instrument model. Adopting this faux observation into the retrieval framework then enables exploration of how changes to key mission/instrument parameters map to changes in expected constraints on planetary environments.

Material below describes the components of a computationally efficient, open-source, and user-friendly generalized atmospheric retrieval package, called rfast. Core elements of the rfast package were developed to support studies for the HabEx and LUVOIR mission concepts. Direct imaging capabilities were inspired by a rocky exoplanet retrieval tool described in Feng et al. (2018), although many changes and upgrades have been introduced: opting for a fully Python-based implementation rather than merged Python/FORTRAN, allowing for a wider variety of atmospheric gases (and thus planet types) with both pressure- and temperature-dependent opacities, and enabling users to straightforwardly toggle on/off which atmospheric and planetary parameters should be included in the retrieval analysis and what priors should be adopted for these parameters. Other notable model capabilities include treatments for vertically varying gas and temperature profiles and the ability to divide a synthetic spectrum into bands with distinct wavelength coverages, noise levels, and spectral resolutions. Finally, to enable both mission concept and feasibility studies beyond reflected light direct imaging scenarios, the rfast suite also includes options for spectroscopic studies in thermal emission, combined reflected light and thermal emission, and transit transmission.

2.1. Reflected Light Forward Model

The rfast tool includes treatments of reflected light spectroscopy and photometry where the planet is treated either as a single plane-parallel scene (one-dimensional) or as a pixelated globe (three-dimensional). Radiative transfer for each pixel in the three-dimensional treatment makes a local plane-parallel assumption and thereby allows for simulated observations that depend on planetary phase angle. While the single-scene option does not allow for phase-dependent studies, the reduction from three-dimensional to one-dimensional geometry retains suitability for broad mission concept studies while also offering large computational efficiency improvements (i.e., usually at least an order-of-magnitude improvement in runtime).

Radiative transfer in the single-scene option follows a diffuse two-stream flux-adding treatment developed in Robinson & Crisp (2018). For each model layer, layer reflectivity ($r_k$) and transmissivity ($t_k$) terms are computed by integrating the hemispheric mean two-stream radiative transfer equations over optical depth assuming a diffuse illumination source, yielding

$$r_k = \frac{A_{\infty}(1 - e^{-2a_k b_k \Delta \gamma_k})}{1 - A_k^\infty e^{-2a_k b_k \Delta \gamma_k}} \quad (0 \leq \omega_k < 1),$$  

(1)
\[ i_k = \frac{(1 - A_k^2) e^{-a_k b_k \Delta \omega}}{1 - A_k^2 e^{-2a_k b_k \Delta \omega}} \quad (0 \leq \omega_k < 1), \]  
(2)

with \( a_k = \sqrt{1 - \omega_k} \), \( b_k = \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{1 - w_k g_k} \), and \( A_\infty = \left( \frac{2}{3} b_k - a_k \right) / (a_k + \frac{2}{3} b_k) \). Here \( \Delta \omega_k \) is the layer extinction optical depth, \( \omega_k \) is the layer single-scattering albedo, \( g_k \) is the layer scattering asymmetry parameter, and \( A_\infty \) is the layer reflectivity as the optical depth tends to infinity, all of which can generally depend on wavelength. A single-scattering albedo of unity represents a special case where

\[ r_k = \frac{\frac{2}{3} (1 - g_k) \Delta \omega_k}{1 + \frac{3}{4} (1 - g_k) \Delta \omega_k} \quad (\omega_k = 1), \]  
(3)

\[ t_k = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{4} (1 - g_k) \Delta \omega_k} \quad (\omega_k = 1). \]  
(4)

The reflectivity of the inhomogeneous atmospheric column extending upward from the surface is then determined via a recursive relation,

\[ R_k = r_k + \frac{t_k^2 R_{k+1}}{1 - r_k R_{k+1}}, \]  
(5)

where \( R_k \) is the reflectivity of the atmospheric column extending from the surface to the top of the \( k \)th layer (i.e., so that \( R_1 \) can be taken as the planetary reflectivity in the single-scene model). The lower boundary condition for a model with \( N \) atmospheric levels is applied as \( R_N = A_s \), where \( A_s \) is the wavelength-dependent surface albedo.

The phase-dependent option adds a treatment for the direct solar beam that follows Hapke (1981). In each pixel, radiation scattered in a given layer that does not remain in the direct beam enters the diffuse field and is included in layer flux upwelling and downwelling source terms \((s_j^+\) and \(s_j^-\), respectively). The fraction of the diffuse flux that enters either the upwelling or downwelling source terms is determined via integrating the scattering phase function over the upwelling and downwelling directions given the pixel illumination geometry. Given the layer source terms and the layer reflectivity and transmissivity (which only apply to the diffuse field), the diffuse flux traveling upward at the top of the atmospheric pixel is determined using Equations (4), (5), (7), and (9) in Robinson & Crisp (2018). Combining the diffuse flux with the emergent direct beam intensity yields the total emergent intensity from a plane-parallel pixel.

Summation over the pixelated disk is accomplished using Gaussian–Chebyshev integration, as detailed in Horak & Little (1965). For a model with spatial degree \( M \), the Gauss points and weights \((x_{G,j} \) and \( w_{G,i} \) respectively) are based on the roots of the Legendre polynomials of degree \( M \), while the Chebyshev points and weights \((x_{C,j} \) and \( w_{C,j} \) respectively) are based on the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind (see Section 2 of Webber et al. 2015). The cosines of the solar and observer zenith angles for a given pixel are then given, respectively, by

\[ \mu_{s,j} = \sin(\cos^{-1} x_{C,j}) \cos(\zeta_j - \alpha), \]  
(6)

\[ \mu_{o,j} = \sin(\cos^{-1} x_{C,j}) \cos(\zeta_j), \]  
(7)

where \( \alpha \) is the planetary phase angle and

\[ \sin \zeta_j = \frac{\cos \alpha + 1}{2} \left( x_{G,j} - \frac{\cos \alpha - 1}{\cos \alpha + 1} \right). \]  
(8)

Given this formalism, the flux emerging from the spatially integrated disk is

\[ F(\alpha) = \frac{\cos \alpha + 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_{G,i} w_{C,j} I_j(\tau = 0; \alpha, \mu_{s,j}, \mu_{o,j}), \]  
(9)

where \( I_j(\tau = 0; \alpha, \mu_{s,j}, \mu_{o,j}) \) is the wavelength-dependent specific intensity emerging from the \( j \)th pixel at the given planetary phase angle. The number of pixels on the illuminated disk scales as \( M^2 \), and spatially homogeneous models can halve the number of Chebyshev points owing to a symmetry about the illumination equator. Adopting a normal-incidence top-of-atmosphere specific stellar flux of unity causes Equation (9) to yield the wavelength-dependent planetary geometric albedo \((A_g)\) at full phase \((\alpha = 0)\) and the product of the geometric albedo and the planetary phase function \((\Phi(\alpha))\) at other phase angles.

### 2.2. Emitted-light Forward Model

Treatments for emitted-light spectra are similar to the single-scene reflected light model. Layer reflectivity and transmissivity are computed as in the reflected light case, and it is useful to define a layer absorptivity,

\[ a_k = 1 - r_k - t_k. \]  
(10)

Following Robinson & Crisp (2018), layer thermal flux source terms in the upwelling and downwelling directions are given by

\[ s_j^+ = \pi [a_k B_j(T_k) - \delta B_{\lambda,k}], \]  
(11)

\[ s_j^- = \pi [a_k B_j(T_{k+1}) + \delta B_{\lambda,k}], \]  
(12)

where \( B_j \) is the Planck function, \( T_k \) is the temperature at level \( k \) (incrementing downward), and

\[ \delta B_{\lambda,k} = \left( 1 - a_k + \frac{a_k}{1 - a_k} \right) [B_j(T_k) - B_j(T_{k+1})], \]  
(13)

which is a correction that ensures that the net thermal flux across a layer tends toward the radiation diffusion limit for large optical depths. Lower boundary conditions use a surface emissivity and a Planck-like surface emission term, while the upper boundary condition is zero incident downwelling thermal radiation. Upwelling thermal flux at the top of the planetary atmosphere is determined using the flux-adding expressions in Equations (4), (5), (7), and (9) of Robinson & Crisp (2018).

### 2.3. Transit Spectroscopy Forward Model

Transit spectra are computed in the geometric limit (i.e., assuming straight-line ray trajectories) using the one-dimensional path distribution approach developed by Robinson (2017; see also MacDonald & Lewis 2022). For an atmospheric layer centered at radial distance \( r_k \) with width \( \Delta r_k \) and for a ray incident on the atmosphere with impact parameter \( b \), the geometric path distribution is given by
For an atmospheric model with \( N \) levels and assuming that the grid of impact parameters corresponds to layer midpoints, the geometric path distribution is a matrix of size \( N \times N - 1 \). Given a vector of layer differential optical depths, \( \Delta \tau \), the wavelength-dependent slant path transmissivity, \( t \), for each impact parameter can be computed using matrix algebra with

\[
    t = 1 - a = \exp(-\Delta \tau \cdot P),
\]

where we have defined the absorptivity vector, \( a \). If we define a vector of annulus areas as \( A_k = 2\pi b_k \Delta b_k \), then the wavelength-dependent transit spectrum can be written as

\[
    \left( \frac{P_{R_p,k}}{P_R} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{R_p^2} \left( \frac{R_p^2 + 1}{\pi} a \cdot A \right),
\]

where \( R_p \) is a reference planetary radius (e.g., the solid-body radius or the radius at a specified atmospheric pressure) and \( R_s \) is the host stellar radius.

Transit spectra in the \texttt{rfast} tool are generally treated in the pure absorption limit, so that the optical depths adopted in the expressions above are extinction optical depths. For particles, aerosol forward scattering—which can reduce slant path optical depths—is treated using the analytic formalism of Robinson et al. (2017). A refractive floor to the transit spectrum follows existing analytic treatments (Sidis & Sari 2010; Bétrémieux & Kaltenegger 2014; Robinson et al. 2017).

### 2.4. Other Model Considerations

The relationship between pressure and altitude—which is especially important for transit spectroscopy—is determined by solving the hydrostatic equation given the atmospheric thermal and chemical state. Assuming that gravitational acceleration is proportional to \( (R_p + z)^2 \) (where \( z \) is altitude above the planetary radius) and that temperature varies linearly with pressure through a layer yields the recursion

\[
    R_p \left( \frac{1}{1 + z/R_p} - h_k \right) = R_p \left( \frac{1}{1 + z_{k+1}/R_p} - h_k \right)
\]

with

\[
    h_k = \frac{k_B}{g_s m_k} \left[ \left( T_{k+1} - \frac{T_k - T_{k+1}}{P_k - P_{k+1}} \right) \ln \frac{P_{k+1}}{P_k} - T_k + T_{k+1} \right],
\]

where \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant, \( g_s \) is the acceleration due to gravity at \( R_p \) (e.g., at the planetary surface), \( m \) is the layer mean molecular mass, and \( T \) and \( P \) are the layer-dependent temperature and pressure, respectively. The acceleration due to gravity at any altitude is simply

\[
    g(z) = \frac{g_s}{(1 + z/R_p)^2}.
\]

Unless otherwise noted, molecular opacities are derived from the HITRAN database (Gordon et al. 2022) using the Line-By-Line ABSorption Coefficients tool (LBLABC; Meadows & Crisp 1996). The \texttt{rfast} radiative transfer tools can also interface to the Freedman et al. (2008) opacities database (see also Freedman et al. 2014). Full line-resolving opacities are placed onto a wavenumber grid at 1 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution (0.1 cm\(^{-1}\)—resolved opacities are also available for high-resolution applications) and then further degraded in resolution when forward or inverse modeling to at least an order-of-magnitude-finer resolving power than the relevant observational data. For each incorporated molecule, opacities span 0.1–100 \( \mu \)m, so that the highest resolving power that can be accommodated (assuming no oversampling) at optical/near-infrared/thermal wavelengths is roughly 10,000/2000/100. As the core radiative transfer solvers for \texttt{rfast} are indifferent to thermochemical conditions, the input opacities are then the only determinant of the types of worlds that can be simulated using the \texttt{rfast} suite. Cold and clement worlds are emphasized below, so the adopted opacities span only 50–700 K. Nevertheless, LBLABC-generated opacities have been shown to compare well to other tools even under hot Jupiter-like conditions (Robinson 2017).

A primary design consideration for the \texttt{rfast} tool is rapid exploration of retrieval scenarios. Software is nearly entirely written using linear algebra techniques, thereby taking advantage of vectorized computational approaches. Exceptions occur for aspects of atmospheric recursion relations and integration over atmospheric pixels in the three-dimensional reflected light option. As the number of atmospheric levels or planetary pixels are generally at least 1–2 orders of magnitude smaller than the number of spectral points, these exceptions do not impart any significant model inefficiencies. On a single processor, the \texttt{rfast} tool can generate a spectrum with 10k spectral points for a model atmosphere with 50 vertical levels and eight absorbing gas species (including opacity interpolation over both pressure and temperature) in 400 ms for the single-scene reflectance option, 1 s for the three-dimensional phase-dependent reflectance option (with \( M = 3 \)), 600 ms for the thermal emission option, and 300 ms for the transit spectroscopy option.

The \texttt{rfast} model currently adopts the widely used and versatile \textsc{emcee} Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampler (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) when employed as a retrieval tool. Functions for computing the likelihood, prior probability, and posterior probability could straightforwardly be adapted for use with analogous samplers, and efficiencies may be gained by adopting a multinested sampling routine (Buchner et al. 2014). The \texttt{rfast} framework allows for retrieving on more than 20
atmospheric, planetary, and orbital parameters: atmospheric surface pressure, atmospheric temperature, surface albedo, atmospheric mean molar weight, planetary radius, planetary mass, surface gravity, cloud-top pressure, cloud vertical extent, cloud optical thickness, fractional cloudiness, orbital distance, and planetary phase angle, as well as gas mixing ratios for argon, molecular nitrogen, molecular oxygen, water vapor, carbon dioxide, ozone, carbon monoxide, nitrous oxide, methane, helium, and molecular hydrogen. Users may adopt uninformed or Gaussian priors in either log or linear space. Additionally, gas abundance retrievals may be performed with the center-log ratio approach, which has been adapted in exoplanet applications to prevent biased priors for a background gas in Benneke & Seager (2012; see also Damiano & Hu 2021; Piette et al. 2022).

3. Model Validations

Theoretical aspects of the rfast forward model have already seen applications in various solar system and exoplanet studies, although implementations there were FORTRAN based (Robinson et al. 2011; Robinson 2017; Robinson & Crisp 2018). Nevertheless, the novel applications within the rfast framework warrant validation. Importantly, aspects of core radiative transfer engines, as well as overall forward modeling capabilities, require verification. Finally, the retrieval capabilities of the rfast suite can be verified against a key initial investigation into atmospheric inference for directly imaged Earth-like exoplanets (Feng et al. 2018).

3.1. Isochromatic Core Radiative Transfer Model Validations

A standard check of the radiative properties of a single homogeneous atmospheric layer (i.e., a layer with uniform optical properties throughout) is to compare against the detailed numerical solutions of Hunt (1973). In this earlier work, the flux reflectivity, transmissivity, and emissivity (analogous to $r_k$, $t_k$, and $a_k$ in the present work) were studied for layers of various optical thickness and constant single-scattering albedo and asymmetry parameter. Figure 1 compares results from the Hunt (1973) study to those from the rfast two-stream treatment for two limiting cases: pure absorption and forward scattering. While systematic biases are apparent, these are equivalent to other two-stream approaches (Toon et al. 1989). More specifically, reflectivity and transmissivity biases are comparable in magnitude and direction to those reported in Toon et al. (1989). Emissivity biases can be large (greater than 10%) for cases with small optical depths (i.e., optical depths below a few
tenths), and two-stream models presented in Toon et al. (1989) also struggle in these conditions. Note that these layer properties underpin the single-scene reflectance and thermal emission options in rfast, as well as the treatment of multiply scattered radiation in the phase-dependent option.

The theoretical study of the phase-dependent reflection of planetary bodies with homogeneous atmospheres has a long history (Horak 1950; Sobolev 1975). More recent studies provide straightforward opportunities to validate phase-dependent treatments within rfast—both Madhusudhan & Burrows (2012) and Heng et al. (2021) present analytic or near-analytic results for light reflection from planetary bodies with homogeneous atmospheres (or surfaces) that have different scattering properties. Figure 2 compares phase-dependent reflectance values (i.e., the product of the geometric albedo and planetary phase function) from the Madhusudhan & Burrows (2012) work to those from rfast for (optically) infinitely deep Rayleigh and isotropically scattering cases. A Lambertian surface case (where the phase-dependent reflectance has an analytic solution) is well reproduced by rfast and so is not shown. Similarly, Figure 3 compares results from Heng et al. (2021) and rfast for the planetary geometric albedo and spherical albedo (A_g) as a function of single-scattering albedo for an infinitely deep atmosphere whose medium has a Henyey–Greenstein phase function of asymmetry parameter g = 0.508 (Henyey & Greenstein 1941). (Note that the planetary spherical albedo is given by the integral of A_g φ(α) sin α over all phase angles.) All phase-dependent validations adopt M = 10 for Gauss–Chebyshev precision. Discrepancies between rfast and the more sophisticated calculations do occur for geometric albedo and reflectivity calculations (which are most relevant to reflected light observations) at the level of 10% (or more, under some circumstances), which stems from the simplifying assumption of hemispheric mean radiative transfer in the multiply scattered radiation field. Future work could incorporate more accurate radiative transfer solvers that still maintain high computational efficiency (e.g., Spurr & Natraj 2011). As described in Section 5, this precludes some phase-dependent retrievals on observational data but does not preclude retrievals performed on phase-dependent synthetic observations generated with rfast.

3.2. Spectral Validations

The primary utility of the rfast tool is in generating spectra, so spectral validations are of central importance. Comparisons/validations in reflected or emitted light presented here are against the plane-parallel, line-by-line, multiple-scattering Spectral Mapping Atmospheric Radiative Transfer (SMART) model (developed by D. Crisp; Meadows & Crisp 1996), while transit spectrum comparisons are against the scaTran addition to SMART (Robinson 2017). Phase-dependent SMART results come from a disk integration technique developed in Robinson et al. (2011). All comparisons adopt a standard Earth atmospheric model (McClatchey et al. 1972) and include gas opacity from N_2, O_2, H_2O, CO_2, O_3, CO, CH_4, and N_2O.

Figure 4 compares results from plane-parallel SMART and single-scene rfast, both at a resolving power (λ/Δλ) of 200. Simulations with both rfast and SMART include 50% coverage of water ice and liquid clouds with realistic wavelength-dependent scattering properties and a Henyey–Greenstein phase function. The SMART simulation adopts a solar zenith angle of 60°, and all results adopt a Lambert-like scaling factor of 2/3 to convert from scene albedo to geometric albedo. Agreement between the two models is strong, especially considering the large difference in model complexity. Figure 4 also shows an analogous comparison between three-dimensional SMART and rfast cases, both shown at full phase. Discrepancies between the pair of three-dimensional treatments are larger than single-scene cases, owing to the rather simple treatment of diffuse scattering in the rfast model. Marked differences are seen in the Rayleigh scattering continuum and in the continuum near 1.6 μm. Issues in the Rayleigh continuum stem from the scattering at these wavelengths coming from a combination of cloud optical properties and Rayleigh scattering. The simpler rfast treatment of diffuse scattering also struggles near 1.6 μm, where ice clouds become more absorptive while liquid water
clouds remain reflective, which is consistent with overestimates of geometric albedo shown in Figure 3.

Figures 5 and 6 show comparisons between rfast and SMART for clear-sky thermal emission and transit spectroscopy cases, respectively. Thermal spectra are at a resolving power of 100 and show excellent agreement. Transit spectroscopy cases are also at a resolving power of 100 and plot effective transit altitude ($z_{\text{eff}}$), which is defined by separating the solid-body contribution to a transit spectrum from the atmospheric component,

$$\left( \frac{R_p + z_{\text{eff}}}{R_e} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{R_p,\lambda}{R_e} \right)^2.$$

The transit spectrum comparison shows scenarios that both include and exclude refraction effects for an Earth–Sun twin, where the scaTrap addition to the SMART model incorporates refraction effects through ray tracing. A discrepancy (at less than one atmospheric pressure scale height) in the location of the transit floor for the rfast model arises, as the incorporated analytic treatment of refraction can only be derived assuming an isothermal atmosphere. As the refractive bending is sensitive to atmospheric number densities, ray tracing through an atmosphere with a thermal structure profile yields a different (and more accurate) result than the analytic treatment. Fortunately, earlier modeling results show that refractive effects will be quite limited for the types of close-in exoplanets typically studied with transit spectroscopy (Bétrémieux & Kaltenegger 2014; Misra et al. 2014; Bétrémieux & Swain 2017; Robinson et al. 2017).

To summarize, the underlying radiative transfer routines within the rfast suite work well for transit applications (especially when refraction can be ignored), as the transmissivity calculations are in line with more sophisticated tools. Single-scene and thermal emission applications of rfast agree well with high-fidelity models, but biases can arise at levels typically less than 5%–10%. Three-dimensional calculations of planetary reflectivity with rfast have larger disagreements when compared to high-fidelity models, and applications of the rfast inverse model to phase-dependent

---

Figure 4. Reflection spectra for a partially clouded (50% cloud coverage) Earth-like case from the rfast (gray) and SMART (yellow) models. The left panel is for a single-scene, plane-parallel setup. The right panel is for a three-dimensional treatment where plane-parallel calculations are run for pixels on the planetary disk and then spatially integrated.

Figure 5. Thermal emission spectra for a clear-sky Earth-like case from the rfast (gray) and SMART (yellow) models.

Figure 6. Transit spectra for a clear-sky Earth-like case from the rfast (gray) and SMART (yellow) models. Scenarios that both include (dashed) and exclude (solid) refraction are shown.
that are analogous to a scenario in the work of Feng et al.

observations should be done with this limitation in mind. For comparison purposes, the high-fidelity, fully line-resolving, cloud-free column SMART simulations for single-scene reflectance, three-dimensional reflectance, thermal emission, and transit required single-core runtimes of 55, 430, 4.3, and 13.3 minutes, respectively, while the analogous rfast spectra required runtimes of 0.66, 6.8, 0.19, and 0.36 s, respectively. Thus, runtimes differ by a factor of 1300–5000.

3.3. Retrieval Validations

Feng et al. (2018) presented atmospheric retrieval results for simulated reflected light high-contrast imaging observations of Earth-like exoplanets. Driven by initial ideas for the HabEx and LUVOIR concept missions, the Feng et al. (2018) results focused on visible wavelengths (0.4–1.0 μm). Retrievals included 11 inferred parameters: planetary surface pressure (p_s), planetary radius, planetary surface gravity, a gray surface albedo (A_s), cloud-top pressure (p_c), cloud thickness (i.e., pressure extent; Δp_c), cloud extinction optical depth (τ_c), cloud coverage fraction on the planetary disk (f_c), and gas mixing ratios for water, ozone, and molecular oxygen (f_H2O, f_O3, and f_O2, respectively; assumed to have constant vertical profiles). Except for planetary radius, all parameters were retrieved in log space, and molecular nitrogen was taken as the background gas. Uninformed priors were adopted for all parameters.

Figures 7 and 8 show retrieval results from the rfast tool that are analogous to a scenario in the work of Feng et al. (2018), where the resolving power was fixed at 140 and the V-band signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) was taken as 20 (see simulated data with error bars in Figure 7). The simulated observations did not have uncertainties randomly applied to maintain consistency with Feng et al. (2018), who demonstrated that a statistical sampling of retrievals with randomized spectral errors yielded similar inference results to a case where errors are nonrandomized and simply centered on the noise-free simulation. Note that the Feng et al. (2018) model is three-dimensional and uses 100 Gauss–Chebyshev integration points over the illuminated disk, while the rfast retrieval was executed using the single-scene option. Figure 8 visualizes the full posterior distribution using the Python corner package (Foreman-Mackey 2016), with one-dimensional marginal distributions for each parameter shown along the diagonal. Figure 7 shows forward model swaths at the 16th–84th and 5th–95th percentiles (i.e., 1σ and 2σ for a Gaussian distribution).

For validation purposes, Table 1 compares inferred parameter values at the 16th/50th/84th percentiles from the Feng et al. (2018) study to those from rfast. Note that planetary radius was retrieved in log space with the rfast tool and then resampled to linear space for comparison to the Feng et al. (2018) results. Agreement between constraints is generally strong, with 16th–84th percentile spreads for nearly all cloud-unrelated parameters in rfast falling within 40% of the Feng et al. (2018) values, as indicated by the spread comparison column, which differences the 16th–84th percentile ranges from the two tools relative to the Feng et al. (2018) spread. Key exceptions occur for parameters related to clouds (p_c, Δp_c, τ_c, and f_c), where rfast finds markedly weaker constraints. Detailed investigation reveals that the Feng et al. (2018) retrievals did not sufficiently progress Markov Chain Monte Carlo simulations to map out the posterior distributions for poorly constrained parameters—100k walker steps are taken in the rfast retrieval versus 10k–20k for the Feng et al. (2018) retrievals. An equivalent test with the three-dimensional version of rfast further confirmed these results. Importantly, this comparison shows that, for low-S/N simulated data and retrievals related to mission concept studies, three-dimensional spectral models are likely not required for a first-order understanding of the mapping from predicted data quality to parameter constraints. When comparing the rfast tool to the Feng et al. (2018) model, this results in a runtime savings that scales with the number of disk integration points in the three-dimensional model.

4. Results

In what follows, inferences from a variety of solar system analog observations for exoplanets are explored using the rfast tool. Prior to these explorations, a demonstration application of the rfast tool is provided for a scenario near to its original design use—exoplanet direct imaging feasibility studies. Following this demonstration, the rfast tool is applied to reflected light observations of the distant Earth from NASA’s EPOXI mission (Livengood et al. 2011), providing a strong proof of concept for future exoplanet direct imaging missions. Next, retrieval analysis is used to understand information from a spacecraft-measured whole-disk infrared spectrum of Earth. Finally, an observationally derived transit spectrum of Titan is studied using the rfast tool. As is common for exoplanet atmospheric retrievals, gas mixing ratios are assumed constant throughout the atmosphere (although the rfast tool can accommodate vertical structure in gas mixing ratios). The studies in this section are not intended to be exhaustive—myriad questions could be asked of these analog observations, likely motivating many stand-alone studies. Instead, the studies below are meant to be an example of how retrieval approaches can be understood and validated through application to worlds where detailed in situ (or orbiter/spacecraft) data exist. Finally, note that any detailed discussion of results derived in this section are reserved for Section 5.

4.1. Exo-Earth Reflected Light Direct Imaging

The rfast tool was originally designed to rapidly answer questions for development of exoplanet characterizatio-
focused missions. As an example, Figure 9 shows a characteristic exo-Earth spectrum at resolving powers relevant to the HabEx and LUVOIR mission concepts (i.e., resolving powers of 7, 140, and 70 in the ultraviolet, optical, and near-infrared, respectively). Spectral impacts of species that are radiatively active in the depicted wavelength range are also indicated.

Both the HabEx and LUVOIR mission concepts did not include capabilities to perform observations that simultaneously spanned the full ultraviolet through near-infrared range. Thus, extended spectral coverage could be traded for increased exposure time (and thus S/N) in a given band. Figure 10 demonstrates how gas constraints are impacted by spectral coverage and band S/N. For the underlying retrievals, the simulated observation was derived from the baseline spectrum in Figure 9, the rfast tool was run in its single-scene mode for reflected light, and the inferred parameters are the same 11 parameters as in Section 3.3, with the addition of mixing ratio inferences for CO$_2$ and CH$_4$: surface pressure ($p_s$), planetary radius ($R_p$), surface gravity ($g_s$), gray surface albedo

Figure 8. Visualized posterior distribution for 11 parameters from the rfast tool when retrieving on the simulated observations in Figure 7. One-dimensional marginal distributions are shown along the diagonal, with associated 16th/50th/84th percentile values indicated above. Values used to generate the faux observation (i.e., “truth” values) are indicated as orange vertical and horizontal lines.
(\(A_s\)), cloud-top pressure (\(p_t\)), cloud pressure extent (\(\Delta P_c\)), cloud optical depth (\(\tau_c\)), cloud covering fraction (\(f_c\)), and mixing ratios for water vapor, ozone, and molecular oxygen. One retrieval exercise was performed with the full spectral coverage (i.e., ultraviolet through near-infrared) and a V-band S/N of 20 (typical of what was proposed by the HabEx and LUVOIR concepts), another retrieval exercise used only the optical (0.4–1.0 \(\mu\)m) range and a V-band S/N of 35, and a third retrieval exercise omitted the ultraviolet band, adopted a reduced optical S/N of 10, and used an enhanced near-infrared S/N of 45. The feasibility of these observing scenarios would depend on a number of parameters, including target distance and the presence of any systematic noise floors, and the \(\text{rfast}\) tool is designed to enable exploration of any such relevant observing scenarios. Future work could intercompare observing scenarios for different types of worlds using the \(\text{rfast}\) suite.

4.2. EPOXI Earth Retrievals

A repurposed application of NASA’s Deep Impact flyby spacecraft, dubbed the EPOXI mission, acquired whole-disk observations of Earth at distances of 0.18–0.34 au on three separate occasions in northern spring 2008 (Livengood et al. 2011). These data are important testing grounds for ideas related to exo-Earth atmospheric inference for HabEx- or LUVOIR-like concepts, as the observations span ultraviolet through near-infrared wavelengths. Specifically, ultraviolet and visible wavelengths (0.37–0.95 \(\mu\)m) are spanned by seven photometric bandpasses, while near-infrared spectroscopy (1.1–4.54 \(\mu\)m) is acquired at variable resolving power (\(\lambda/\Delta\lambda\) of 215–730). For retrieval studies presented here, data from the 18–19 March observing sequence (at a phase angle of 57.7°) were rotationally averaged and trimmed to emphasize wave-lengths plainly dominated by reflected light (i.e., data longward of 2.5 \(\mu\)m were omitted). Additionally, data were scaled to full phase using a Lambertian phase function, which is an acceptable transformation for Earth at phase angles smaller than roughly 90° (Robinson et al. 2010). Trimmed and scaled data are shown in Figure 11, with uncertainties that are wavelength independent and yield an S/N of 20 at V-band (i.e., characteristic of predicted HabEx and LUVOIR uncertainties for exo-Earth targets).

Retrievals were performed on the EPOXI data shown in Figure 11 using the \(\text{rfast}\) single-scene reflected light mode. Thirteen parameters are retrieved: the volume mixing ratios for O\(_2\), H\(_2\)O, CO\(_2\), O\(_3\), and CH\(_4\), as well as surface pressure (\(p_s\)), an isothermal atmospheric temperature (\(T_{iso}\)), a gray surface albedo (\(A_s\)), planetary radius (\(R_p\)), cloud pressure extent (\(\Delta P_c\)), cloud-top pressure (\(p_t\)), cloud optical thickness (\(\tau_c\)), and cloud coverage fraction (\(f_c\)). Blended water liquid/ice optical properties were assumed for the cloud asymmetry parameter and single-scattering albedo. Planetary mass was fixed at 1 \(M_{\oplus}\), as could be the case for an exo-Earth with a mass constraint from radial velocity data. Figure A1 in the Appendix shows constraints from analyzing the EPOXI spectrum at an S/N of 20, which are generally comparable to those from the S/N = 20 experiment in Section 4.1. Notable differences include that both carbon dioxide and methane are confidently inferred in the EPOXI retrievals, stemming from the presence of relatively strong carbon dioxide and methane features in the 1.8–2.5 \(\mu\)m range. Cloud fraction is constrained at roughly 30% from the EPOXI data, and cases with opaque clouds that extend through the deep atmosphere with a cloud-top pressure near the tropopause are preferred.

An additional experiment was run retrieving on the EPOXI data where the wavelength-independent noise was then set to yield an S/N of 10 in V-band. Results are shown in Figure A2 in the Appendix. Comparing the inferences from the S/N = 20 scenario to those from the S/N = 10 scenario provides insight into how constraints on atmospheric and planetary parameters degrade with decreasing S/N. Unsurprisingly, broader ranges of parameters (i.e., poorer constraints) are found to be consistent with the S/N = 10 EPOXI data. More specifically, two distinct categories of atmospheric states are inferred as providing acceptable fits—an atmospheric state that is similar to the solutions found in the S/N = 20 experiment, as well as an atmospheric model that has (1) near-total coverage of extended, diffuse clouds; (2) a deep-atmosphere/surface boundary (i.e., large \(p_s\)); and (3) gas mixing ratios that are reduced by orders of magnitude (to maintain roughly fixed
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column number density at these larger pressures). Figure 12 demonstrates the impact on gas mixing ratio constraints as the S/N is degraded from 20 to 10, and Figure 13 shows the correlation between “surface” pressure and cloud fraction for the S/N = 10 scenario where an unrealistic set of solutions with an effectively infinitely deep atmosphere cannot be ruled out. In such a case, additional prior information or longer exposure times would be required to better refine constraints on the atmospheric state.

4.3. Earth Infrared Retrievals

The Mars Global Surveyor Thermal Emission Spectrometer (MGS-TES) captured a full-disk infrared spectrum of Earth on
1996 November 24 from a distance of $4.8 \times 10^6$ km (0.032 au; Christensen & Pearl 1997). The observing sequence was centered over the Pacific Ocean at $18^\circ$N and $152^\circ$W and spanned $6–50\mu m$ at a constant resolution of $10$ cm$^{-1}$ (i.e., spanning resolving powers of $160–14$ from the shortest to longest wavelengths). For retrieval studies presented here, data shortward of $7\mu m$ and longward of $40\mu m$ are omitted; flux densities at the shortest wavelengths are anomalously large (which strongly biased early retrieval studies explored for this work; see Figure 10 of Robinson & Reinhard 2020), and brightness temperatures at the longest wavelengths are unphysically large (i.e., exceeding 1000 K). The truncated spectrum is shown in Figure 14, where wavelength-independent uncertainties have been added to yield an S/N of 20 at $10\mu m$. Thus, adopted data quality is roughly consistent with mid-infrared exo-Earth direct imaging mission concepts under study (Quanz et al. 2021), where studies have investigated wavelength coverage of $3–20\mu m$, resolving powers of $20–100$, and S/Ns of $5–20$ (Konrad et al. 2022).

A retrieval was performed on the data (with faux uncertainties) shown in Figure 14 using the rfast tool. Twelve parameters were inferred: surface pressure ($p_s$), planetary radius ($R_p$), cloud fraction ($f_c$), cloud-top pressure ($p_t$), and the volume mixing ratios for water vapor, carbon dioxide, ozone, nitrous oxide, and methane. Planetary mass (or, equivalently, surface gravity) was assumed to be well known, as would be the case, e.g., if prior precision radial velocity data were available (recent, analogous retrieval results have shown that spectral inference does not serve to improve mass estimates beyond a mass prior; Alei et al. 2022). Additionally, to maintain a simple model for clouds, the cloud pressure extent was taken as a single scale height. Finally, a baseline
model assumes a thermal structure that follows a power law from the surface through the “troposphere,” with

$$T(p) = T_s \left( \frac{p}{p_s} \right)^{\beta_s},$$

(21)

where the surface temperature, $T_s$, and $p-T$ power-law index, $\beta_s$, are fitted parameters. The “stratosphere” was taken as isothermal at a temperature $T_{iso}$, which was also fitted. All priors were uninformed.

Figure A3 in the Appendix shows retrieval results for the 12-dimensional fit to the MGS-TES observations. As shown in Figure 15, gas mixing ratios are well constrained and generally reasonable—characteristic values for the log of volume mixing ratios for carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, and methane (in 1996) are −3.4, −6.5, and −5.7, respectively. Ozone mixing ratios in the deep atmosphere—as is mainly probed by the 9.6 $\mu$m ozone feature—span −7.3 to −6.3 (in log space). The inferred water vapor volume mixing ratio distribution (with characteristic values below about 0.01%) appears to be biased low, potentially pointing to remaining systematic issues affecting the 6.3 $\mu$m water vapor band. Surface pressures are biased high by roughly a factor of 5, and the planetary radius constraint—which would be strong by most exoplanet standards—is biased toward smaller radii (by about 10%). Cloud-top pressure is largely unconstrained, and only near-total cloud coverage fractions are ruled out. The inferred surface temperature is generally above the water freezing temperature, and preferred thermal structures have decreasing temperatures with pressures. Figure 14 shows spectral forward model swaths at the 16th–84th and 5th–95th percentiles.

Figure 16 shows a two-dimensional histogram of inferred thermal structures for the 12-dimensional fit (and its three-parameter thermal structure model). Characteristic Earth thermal structure profiles (tropical and midlatitudes) from McClatchey et al. (1972) are also shown. Model isothermal stratospheres show a strong preference for values near 220 K, and agreement with realistic Earth $p-T$ data is acceptable through the upper troposphere. However, retrieved thermal structures generally have a less steep $p-T$ relation through the troposphere (as compared to the Earth data) and extend to higher pressures (stemming from the biased-high surface pressure constraint). To explore more realistic thermal structures—and given that the largest data–model discrepancies in Figure 14 occur in the core of the 15 $\mu$m carbon dioxide band (which is sensitive to a thermal inversion in the stratosphere of Earth)—a second retrieval was performed with a thermal structure model that introduced a stratospheric $p-T$ power law, thereby allowing for thermal inversions. Thermal structures from this 13-dimensional fit are shown in Figure 16, which demonstrates only weak constraints on a stratospheric inversion. Reduced $\chi^2$ values for the 12-dimensional and 13-dimensional fits are nearly identical (0.72 and 0.71, respectively), which disfavors the model with an added treatment for stratospheric inversions.

4.4. Titan Transit Retrievals

The Visual and Infrared Mapping Spectrometer (VIMS; Brown et al. 2004) aboard NASA’s Cassini mission observed many solar occultations by Titan (Bellucci et al. 2009; Hayne et al. 2014; Maltagliati et al. 2015), and these can be translated into effective transit spectra (Robinson et al. 2014). Figure 17 shows a Titan transit spectrum derived from an occultation observation at 27°N, which was observed in 2011 September and is an intermediate haze extinction case provided by Robinson et al. (2014). Data span 0.88–5 $\mu$m, and resolution increases with wavelength from 12 to 18 nm. Refractive loss effects were removed from the underlying occultation data (following Robinson et al. 2014) so that fits to the resulting Titan transit spectrum need not consider refraction.

The fast model was used to perform atmospheric retrievals on the transit spectrum shown in Figure 17. Faux error bars were assigned at the level of 0.1 ppm, which results in a ratio of error bar size to spectral feature depth that is roughly comparable to those from JWST-relevant clear-sky, solar-metallicity, warm Neptune cases investigated by Greene et al. (2016). Based on previous work analyzing VIMS Titan occultation data (Maltagliati et al. 2015; Cours et al. 2020), fits included volume mixing ratios for carbon monoxide, methane, acetylene (C$_2$H$_2$), and propane (C$_3$H$_8$). The fitted planetary radius was applied at the 10 mbar pressure level (Benneke & Seager 2012). The spectral impact of haze was incorporated using a three-parameter model with (1) the vertical optical depth following an exponential with scale height, $H_z$; (2) the wavelength-dependent opacity following a power law in wavelength with exponent $\beta_h$; and (3) the optical depth at a wavelength of 1 $\mu$m and at 10 mbar atmospheric pressure given by $\tau_0$. To capture the known strong decrease in temperature from Titan’s stratosphere to a cold tropopause, a three-parameter temperature model was adopted that follows

$$T(p) = (T_a - T_0)e^{-\frac{p}{p_T}} + T_0,$$

(22)

where $T_a$ represents the hot stratosphere temperature, $T_0$ represents a cold deep-atmosphere temperature, and $p_T$ is the pressure scale for the decrease in stratospheric temperatures.

Initial retrievals resulted in inferred values for $\beta_h$ that were much smaller than previously derived results (Hubbard et al. 1993; Tomasko et al. 2008; Bellucci et al. 2009; Robinson et al. 2014). Closer investigations revealed that these errant power values were driven by attempts to use haze opacity to fit continuum near 4.3 $\mu$m. Experiments revealed that this continuum could be better reproduced by including N$_2$–N$_2$ collision-induced absorption, so the nitrogen volume mixing ratio was added as a fitted parameter.
Several previous works have highlighted the role of a 3.4 μm C–H stretch feature in Titan occultation observations (Robinson et al. 2014; Maltagliati et al. 2015; Cours et al. 2020). Optical depth data from interstellar medium observations were adopted to model the shape of this stretch feature (Pendleton & Allamandola 2002). As the feature tracks condensed-phase hydrocarbons, the stretch feature optical depths were fitted by scaling the 1 μm haze optical depths by a factor x_{C–H} and multiplying by the interstellar medium optical depths to capture the wavelength-dependent feature shape.

Figure A4 in the Appendix shows retrieval results for the 13-dimensional fit to the Titan transit spectrum derived from Cassini-VIMS observations. When applicable or available, “truth” parameter values are indicated as solid vertical lines and are taken from separate analyses of the occultation observations (Maltagliati et al. 2015). Figure 18 demonstrates constraints on all gases (except molecular nitrogen), where only the carbon monoxide constraint shows a substantial bias (i.e., is underestimated at roughly the 95% confidence level). As this feature forms deeper in the atmosphere, this bias could result from our adopted thermal structure model and poor constraints on the deep-atmosphere temperatures in the transit spectrum. Upper-atmosphere temperatures are biased roughly 20% warmer than a thermal structure inferred from Cassini Composite Infrared Spectrometer (CIRS) data (Vinatier et al. 2015), potentially pointing to minor issues with using HITRAN-derived opacities versus more specialized line lists (Campargue et al. 2013). Finally, at least at the adopted artificial noise level, the presence of C–H stretch opacity is not well constrained or even required. In fact, an analogous retrieval with the C–H stretch parameter removed yielded a slightly improved reduced χ² (1.49 vs. 1.50).
The \textit{rfast} atmospheric retrieval suite developed above is designed to enable efficient explorations of the mapping between observational quality and constraints on atmospheric/planetary parameters. Comparisons to more sophisticated radiative transfer results and tools in Figures 1–6 provide strong validations of the core treatments of radiation within the \textit{rfast} forward model. The most significant differences when compared to full-physics models occur for the three-dimensional approach to planetary reflectivity (Figure 4, right panel), where simplifications in the scattering treatment can lead to discrepancies at the level of several tens of percent. Thus, retrievals on real reflected light observations using the three-dimensional \textit{rfast} forward model could lead to biased results. However, retrievals on synthetic observations created by the three-dimensional \textit{rfast} forward model are still useful for informing mission designs, as systematic effects will cancel out.

Retrieval comparisons between the single-scene \textit{rfast} mode and the three-dimensional retrieval results presented in Feng et al. (2018) are in good agreement, as shown in Table 1. This indicates that computationally expensive three-dimensional treatments are not necessarily needed for understanding the connection between S/N and atmospheric constraints, at least at moderate S/Ns or when planetary phase is not an important consideration. Thus, future exoplanet direct imaging mission concept studies can save computational resources by exploring atmospheric retrievals with tools analogous to the single-scene approach described above. Section 4.1 demonstrates an application of the \textit{rfast} single-scene reflectance mode to a concept relevant to the development of an exo-Earth direct imaging mission—trading exposure times in different bandpasses for atmospheric constraints. As shown in Figure 10, the addition of near-infrared capabilities provides better upper-limit constraints in methane abundances and markedly improved upper-limit constraints on carbon dioxide. Omitting ultraviolet observations and reducing optical S/Ns while enhancing near-infrared S/Ns slightly weakens detections/constraints on molecular oxygen, water vapor, and ozone while weakly improving upper-limit constraints on methane and carbon dioxide. A near-infrared S/N of 45 results in constraints on carbon dioxide that are not quite a true detection, which indicates that slightly higher near-infrared S/Ns would be needed to detect carbon dioxide for a modern Earth analog (and lower S/Ns would be needed to detect carbon dioxide for Earth-like worlds with enhanced atmospheric CO$_2$ abundances).

The bulk of the results presented above emphasize the utility of solar system observations in exploring retrieval approaches for exoplanets. Unfortunately, exoplanet analog solar system observations are rare (see, e.g., Robinson & Reinhard 2020). However, the limited available data that do exist have potential applications that span exoplanet transit observations with JWST to further-future exoplanet direct imaging missions.

\subsection{5.1. EPOXI Earth Discussion}

Retrievals on EPOXI observations of the distant Earth in Section 4.2 are a strong proof of concept for future exoplanet direct imaging missions. At S/N = 20, gas abundances are constrained to better than 0.5 dex. As mentioned above, the methane and carbon dioxide constraints rely most heavily on bands beyond 1.8 $\mu$m, which is typically the longest wavelength adopted for exo-Earth direct imaging mission concepts (beyond this wavelength, telescope thermal emission becomes a leading noise term for noncooled telescopes). The inferred surface pressure is biased high, while the inferred planetary radius is biased low. The Rayleigh scattering feature in Earth’s reflectance spectrum plays an important role in constraining both of these quantities, and it may be that a bias results from using a one-dimensional reflectance model to represent the complex, three-dimensional disk of Earth. Finally, opaque clouds are detected in the observations and cover roughly 30% of the illuminated disk.

As contrasted to the S/N = 20 EPOXI retrievals, the S/N = 10 results tell a cautionary tale. Specifically, the lower-S/N observations cannot rule out scenarios with near-total, deeper-atmosphere cloud coverage on the illuminated disk. In these cases, the surface pressure can be large (to be beneath the near planet-wide cloud deck at roughly 0.1 bar), and gas mixing ratios become erroneously small to maintain constant column abundance. Thus, future exo-Earth direct imaging missions may need to obtain spectra at S/Ns larger than 10 to enable reliable results from atmospheric retrieval analyses.

\subsection{5.2. MGS-TES Earth Discussion}

Section 4.3 explores thermal infrared retrievals on a disk-integrated Earth spectrum from MGS-TES, where findings are relevant to the Large Interferometer For Exoplanets (LIFE) mission concept under development (Quanz et al. 2021, 2022; Dannert et al. 2022). At the adopted S/N of 20, concentrations of water vapor, carbon dioxide, ozone, nitrous oxide, and methane—the last three of which are key biosignature gases—are detected and well constrained, with 16th/84th percentile ranges being smaller than an order of magnitude. Importantly, the inferred surface temperature is found to be above the freezing point of water at the 99.7% confidence level (i.e., 3$\sigma$), and warmer surface temperatures are permitted for scenarios with higher fractional cloudiness. There is no strong evidence for a stratospheric thermal inversion.

While surface pressure and planetary radius are also well constrained, the inferred values for these parameters are biased high and low, respectively, at roughly the 95% confidence level (i.e., 2$\sigma$). As both constraints on surface pressure and planetary radius are sensitive to continuum levels and spectral regions are from band centers, it may be that systematic calibration uncertainties and continuum-based data scaling (noted in Christensen & Pearl 1997) have led to slight biases in these parameters. A key message may then be that, for exoplanet atmospheric characterization in general, some parameters will be more sensitive to systematic calibration uncertainties than others. One additional contributing factor to these biases may be water-vapor-pressure-induced absorption in the 10 $\mu$m window. Models adopted here use a constant water vapor mixing ratio profile, and the 6.3 $\mu$m water vapor band constrains such a column-averaged quantity to be small (below 1%). At such low mixing ratios pressure-induced absorption is not significant, and models could compensate by using larger surface pressures to broaden the 15 $\mu$m carbon dioxide band. It may be that adopting a water vapor profile shape appropriate for a condensing gas could lead to improved constraints, which was an approach used in von Paris et al. (2013).

A striking result from the MGS-TES Earth retrievals is that the inferred thermal structures present an extremely low tropospheric lapse rate, with $d \ln T/d \ln p$ values near 0.06.
By comparison, a typical Earth $d \ln T / d \ln p$ value is closer to 0.2 (Robinson & Catling 2014). Figure 19 explores one potential explanation for this biasing. As thermal structure from the lower stratosphere to the surface is constrained by spectral observations spanning the core to the wings of the 15 $\mu$m carbon dioxide band, allowing for clouds at multiple locations throughout the troposphere provides better control over fitting the band shape (e.g., high-altitude clouds impact fluxes at all wavelengths that would probe the deep atmosphere, while low-altitude clouds only impact wavelengths that probe the near-surface levels). Thus, and as a proof of concept, Figure 19 shows the best-fit model from the retrieval exercises presented above (which only adopted a single cloud type/location) as compared to an example model with Earth-like parameters (including an Earth-like thermal structure) and both a high- and low-altitude cloud, each covering about 30% of the disk. While not definitive, results in Figure 19 show that adopting multiple cloud types could enable more accurate constraints on the tropospheric thermal structure, which is an important consideration for any future infrared-emission-focused exoplanet direct imaging missions.

Comparisons can be made between the MGS-TES Earth retrievals presented above and previous infrared retrieval efforts for Earth-like planets by von Paris et al. (2013) and Konrad et al. (2022), although direct comparisons are difficult because these previous studies adopted synthetic observations from cloud-free models and used constant resolving power (as a reminder, the full MGS-TES data have resolving power of 160–14 at wavelengths spanning 6–50 $\mu$m and were trimmed to use only 7–40 $\mu$m). The most relevant comparison point from von Paris et al. (2013) is an S/N = 20 scenario with resolving power of 20 spanning 5–20 $\mu$m. Here surface temperature and pressure constraints are similar, but gas mixing ratio constraints are weaker in von Paris et al. (2013), likely due to the limited number of spectral points spanning key gas absorption bands at such low resolving power. In addition, constraints on the upper atmospheric temperatures are markedly weaker in results from von Paris et al. (2013), which is likely driven by a modeling approach that applies a “top-of-atmosphere” temperature at an atmospheric pressure of $10^{-4}$ bars, where emission spectra have very little sensitivity to the thermal structure.

Work by Konrad et al. (2022) includes a relevant comparison point where the synthetic emission spectra for an Earth-like target are at an S/N of 20 and span 3–20 $\mu$m at a resolving power of 50. Overall, constraints on gas mixing ratios, surface pressure, surface temperature, and planetary radius are comparable. As the Konrad et al. (2022) study adopted cloud-free synthetic data and models, this leads to the conclusion that thermal direct imaging missions can achieve strong constraints on key atmospheric parameters for Earth-like worlds even when the target has patchy clouds.

5.3. Cassini/VIMS Titan Discussion

Transit retrievals explored in Section 4.4 provide a strong proof of concept for using solar system occultation observations as a validation point for exoplanet inference tools. While solar system worlds with atmospheres may not be direct analogs for some exoplanet types, transit spectra and adopted error bars can be scaled to achieve a proper feature-depth-to-uncertainty ratio. In general, solar system analog transit spectra (Robinson et al. 2014; Dalba et al. 2015; Macdonald & Cowan 2019) can be used to understand how constraints and model complexity relate to data quality and provide a timely connection to forthcoming JWST observations. The Titan retrievals presented above show gas mixing ratio constraints that are generally consistent with orbiter retrievals. Temperature constraints are biased modestly warm. As some temperature information is gleaned, in part, from a somewhat limited number of more strongly temperature-sensitive lines, the biasing may be a result of application of the HITRAN line list at conditions far from Earth-like. Titan transit retrievals also provide an important insight into how broad gas absorption features (e.g., $N_2–N_2$ collision-induced absorption near 4.3 $\mu$m) can impact haze/cloud inferences. A method for incorporating broad C–H stretch mode opacity near 3.4 $\mu$m was presented, although such a treatment was not needed at the data qualities adopted here.

5.4. Broader Considerations

Taken altogether, the solar system retrievals presented here show promise while also demonstrating cautionary tales. On the positive side, the wide-ranging retrieval results explored above indicate that there is great utility in using solar system analog observations to validate and refine approaches to exoplanet remote sensing. Caution is urged, however, as many of the findings above rely on using a prior knowledge to “know” when a solution is adequate or inadequate. For example, biasing in the tropospheric thermal gradient inferred for Earth from the MGS-TES retrievals may not have been easily deduced to a truly external observer. Similarly, the addition of $N_2–N_2$ collision-induced absorption to the Titan-focused retrievals was introduced to better reproduce the known wavelength-dependent slope in haze opacity.

Finally, the retrieval studies explored here—which span reflected light, thermal emission, and transit transmission—only scratch the surface of what can be learned from analog observations. Open questions remain, for example, regarding the complexity of cloud treatments warranted in reflected light retrievals, the extent to which thermal information can be extracted, and how well surface reflection signatures can be constrained. For thermal emission, an important next step is understanding how cloud treatments may (or may not) bias...
thermal structure inferences. Finally, solar system transiting exoplanet analog studies provide an opportunity to test standard assumptions like constant gas mixing ratios and/or atmospheric temperature. Any and all exoplanet-specific retrieval models could benefit from validation against solar system observations.

6. Conclusions

Solar system observations that can serve as analogs for exoplanet observations provide unique testing and validation opportunities for exoplanet science. While such analog observations are currently limited in number, future solar system planetary science missions could make acquisition of exoplanet analog data more standard. The utility of solar system analog observations for exoplanets was investigated here through a broad range of scenarios, and key findings/results are as follows:

1. The new rfast retrieval suite compares well to more sophisticated radiative transfer and inference models. This publicly available tool was created with user ease in mind and enables rapid and efficient explorations of how spectral data quality relates to constraints on key atmospheric and planetary parameters.

2. Retrievals using the rfast model were applied to synthetic HabEx/LUVOIR-style exo-Earth observations to understand how bandpass S/N can be traded against wavelength coverage. Upper-limit constraints on trace gases, such as methane and carbon dioxide—while not true detections—will still have utility for understanding exoplanet environments. The HabEx/LUVOIR-style retrievals showed that ultraviolet and/or visible data or data quality can be removed/reduced and near-infrared data qualities enhanced to achieve better constraints on difficult-to-detect gases like methane and carbon dioxide. Near-infrared S/Ns of slightly greater than 45 may be required for carbon dioxide detections at the low levels present in modern Earth’s atmosphere.

3. Observations of Earth from NASA’s EPOXI mission can serve as a testing ground for exo-Earth reflected light direct imaging mission concepts. Retrievals for data limited to 0.3–2.5 μm at V-band S/N of 20 showed good constraints on gas mixing ratios and cloud parameters, as well as a constraint on planet/column-averaged temperature. These are a strong proof of concept for HabEx/LUVOIR-style missions, although carbon dioxide and methane constraints were enabled by features beyond 1.8 μm. Retrievals on the same data but at a V-band S/N of 10 cannot rule out scenarios with near-planet-wide cloud coverage and a deep atmosphere underneath and distinguish this from an Earth-like atmospheric state.

4. Emitted-light retrievals were performed on observations of Earth from the MGS-TES instrument at a 10 μm S/N of 20. Realistic constraints on H2O, CO2, O3, N2O, and CH4 were achieved, which, among other science cases, demonstrates feasibility of detecting key biosignature gases with an infrared exo-Earth direct imaging mission. Surface pressure and planetary radius constraints were biased high and low, respectively, potentially pointing to issues with calibration and/or the treatment of water-vapor-pressure-induced absorption. Surface temperature was constrained to be within the habitable range, although the inferred temperature gradient in the troposphere was unrealistically small. A cloud modeling treatment that allows for multiple cloud decks was shown to potentially remedy issues with tropospheric lapse rate.

5. Transit retrievals on spectra derived from Cassini-VIMS occultation observations of Titan are a strong proving ground for validation of concepts related to transiting exoplanet studies with JWST, especially as the VIMS wavelength range (0.88–5 μm) overlaps with the ranges of several JWST instruments. At a data quality similar to previous JWST-relevant modeling studies (Greene et al. 2016), Titan transit spectra retrievals obtain gas mixing ratio constraints with uncertainties that are better than 0.5 dex and that are roughly consistent with orbiter-derived results. Constrained temperatures are biased high by about 20%, which may be due to line list sensitivities. An approach to modeling the 3.4 μm C–H stretch mode feature is suggested, although the VIMS-derived Titan transit spectrum can be sufficiently fitted without this treatment at the adopted noise level of 0.1 ppm.
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Appendix

Figures A1 and A2 visualize posterior distributions for fits to EPOXI observations of Earth at S/Ns of 20 and 10, respectively, as described in Section 4.2. Figure A3 visualizes the posterior distribution for a fit to MGS/TES observations of Earth, as described in Section 4.3. Finally, Figure A4 depicts the posterior distribution for a fit to a transit spectrum of Titan derived from Cassini/VIMS observations, as described in Section 4.4.
Figure A1. Visualized posterior distribution for a 13-parameter fit to the S/N = 20 EPOXI Earth observations in Figure 11. One-dimensional marginal distributions are shown along the diagonal, with associated 16th/50th/84th percentile values indicated above and with vertical dashed lines. When relevant a priori values are well known, a vertical line indicates the characteristic “truth” value for Earth.
Figure A2. Same as Figure A1, except that the underlying EPOXI Earth observations have been degraded to a $V$-band S/N of 10.
Figure A3. Visualized posterior distribution for a 12-parameter fit to the MGS-TES Earth observations in Figure 14. One-dimensional marginal distributions are shown along the diagonal, with associated 16th/50th/84th percentile values indicated above and with the vertical dashed lines.
Figure A4. Visualized posterior distribution for a 13-parameter fit to the Cassini-VIMS Titan observations (Figure 17). One-dimensional marginal distributions are shown along the diagonal, with associated 16th/50th/84th percentile values indicated above and shown by the vertical dashed lines. Fiducial “truth” values from which the Figure 17 observed spectrum is obtained are indicated with the vertical solid lines.
