A technique for the extraction of the Proximal Femoral Nail Anti-rotation (PFNA) after unlocking failure
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Abstract

Introduction Proximal Femoral Nail Anti-rotation (PFNA) is a routine method to deal with intertrochanteric fractures in the elder population. It is extremely difficult to remove PFNA in some cases as a result of stripping of blade heads. In this Technical Note, we report a simple technique using commonly available instrument that can be used to remove stripped even broken anti-rotation blade where regular methods have failed.

Methods The subject underwent a PFNA removal surgery fifteen months after the previous fixation. We encountered difficulties using regular instrument to remove the anti-rotation blade. A 5mm tungsten carbide bur was used to drill a single cortical hole at the end of the blade. Then double-strand steel wire was threaded through the hole and the distal part was shaped into a circle which could tie to the extraction screw. Slide Hammer was applied to gently knocked out the blade along the anatomical direction of the femoral neck.

Results The technique helped us successfully remove the dispatched anti-rotation blade and provided the patient with a satisfactory result.

Conclusion The use of a tungsten reamer and steel wire loop to remove the proximal femoral anti-rotation blade may provide a simple and cost-effective method of dealing with extraction failure.

Introduction

Owing to an aging population, the incidence of proximal femoral fractures continues to rise. Intertrochanteric fracture is one of the most common type, which is routinely treated with proximal femoral nail anti-rotation (PFNA) today. Compared with plate-screw fixation, various researches suggest that PFNA is a better choice for the treatment for unstable peritrochanteric fractures[1]. With the enhancement of the technique, the effects have increased and complications have reduced. However, when we intend to remove the implant, orthopedic surgeons are frequently faced with the challenge of removing the anti-rotation blade when the blind nut of it stripped. None of simple and effective methods for extraction of PFNA have been previously described. We describe a novel technique using commonly available instrument that can be used to remove stripped even broken anti-rotation blade where regular methods have failed.

Surgical Technique And Case Presentation

A 78-year-old lady sustained a left side intertrochanteric femoral fracture following a mechanical fall. This was treated with routine closed reduction, fixation with proximal femoral nail anti-rotation (PFNA). There were no post-operative complications and the patient restore full range of motion of the left hip. Fifteen months later, the X-ray scan indicated the fracture has reached clinical heal (Fig. 1). Although the patient has been informed that the implant extraction is an elective procedure, she made a requirement to remove the implant. The procedure was performed under spinal anesthesia, utilizing the original lateral
approach. During the first part of the procedure, the end cap and distal locking bolt were successfully removed. However, when we tried to remove the anti-rotation blade follow the instructions (Fig. 2), it found that hexagonal socket stripped (Fig. 3) and couldn't lock up with the extraction screw for PFNA blade, thus the locking blind nut couldn't dispatch with the main body of the anti-rotation blade. After loosening the attachment of the blade and the greater trochanter, locking pliers were used to pull out the blade. However, pliers couldn't provide enough tensile. Meanwhile, multiple failed attempts were made to remove the nail with regular techniques. Thus, a uni-cortical hole was dilled by a 5 mm tungsten carbide bur at the end of the blade, and 2 mm double-strand steel wire was threaded through the previously drilled hole (Fig. 4a and 4b). The wire was twisted and strongly tied up to the extraction by hard loop. Eventually, the blade was removed by applying blow of the combined hammer, in the direction of the blade. The post-operation X-ray (Fig. 5) showed the fixation was completely removed. And the patient was encouraged to take full weight two week after surgery with anti-osteoporosis treatment.

Discussion

The incidence of hip fractures in the elderly continues to increase drastically, and the proximal femoral nail anti-rotation is often used to treat intertrochanteric fractures. Taking account of the tolerance to surgery and comprehensive necessity, PFNA removal is not a routine surgical procedure for the majority of patients. Whereas, complications like non-union and intractable regional pain of greater trochanter area are not extremely unusual, which are still removal indications[2, 3]. Compared with TFN,PFNA has helical blade end cap (most obvious distinction) according to the removal guide from Depuy Synthes[4]. The conventional blade removal process is well described in the manual. Firstly, 3.2 mm Guide Wire is inserted through the blade. Then push the Extraction Screw for PFNA Blade over the guide wire and use gentle pressure to turn it counterclockwise into the PFNA blade. Once the surgeon see “unlock” etching on the Extraction Screw, light hammer blows with the Detachable Slide Hammer are applied to remove the blade. It’s worth noting that the helical blade is a split-lock design. Hence, the locking mechanism is vital to the bolt and removal process. Wang et.al described a case that helical blade couldn't be tightened and locked by the blade impactor as usual[5]. When the PFNA is taken out, the end cap of the main nail is relatively easy and reliable to be taken out by Hexagonal Screwdriver. However, the greater trochanter area where the helical blade is located is a high-stress area, and the osteophyte proliferation is often serious. Then Osteotomes are used to expose the end of the blade. During this process, the external force it is susceptible to causes deformation of the blade end, which will result in unlocking failures in some cases. In this scenario, the strong holding force provided by the blade often leads to removing difficulties. In the absence of special apparatus like expansion bolts or other destructive removal tools, we introduced the method that using tungsten carbide bur drill single cortical hole at the end of blade. Then double-strand steel wire is threaded through to bind the extractor and assorted slide hammer. This method can provide great holding power and can effectively remove the blade by apply gentle blow of the hammer along the anatomical direction of the femoral neck. This surgical procedure is very convenient to use in patients with PFNA removal difficulties. We advocate the use of this elegant and simple pushout technique with creation of a steel wire loop and use of a tungsten reamer in extraction difficult cases.
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Figures
Figure 1

The anteroposterior radiograph of left hip after the PFNA fixation.
Figure 2

The standard procedure of PFNA blade removal.
Figure 3

Intraoperative image

Figure 4

The steel wire loop was threaded through the helical blade(A), detailed structure of the helical blade end(B).
Figure 5

The anteroposterior radiograph of the pelvis after the PFNA removal.