A quantum-chemical perspective on the laser-induced alignment and orientation dynamics of the CH$_3$X (X = F, Cl, Br, I) molecules
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Abstract
Motivated by recent experiments, the laser-induced alignment-and-orientation (A&O) dynamics of the prolate symmetric top CH$_3$X (X = F, Cl, Br, I) molecules is investigated, with particular emphasis on the effect of halogen substitution on the rotational constants, dipole moments, and polarizabilities of these species, as these quantities determine the A&O dynamics. Insight into possible control schemes for preferred A&O dynamics of halogenated molecules and best practices for A&O simulations are provided, as well. It is shown that for accurate A&O -dynamics simulations it is necessary to employ large basis sets and high levels of electron correlation when computing the rotational constants, dipole moments, and polarizabilities. The benchmark-quality values of these molecular parameters, corresponding to the equilibrium, as well as the vibrationally averaged structures are obtained with the help of the focal-point analysis (FPA) technique and explicit electronic-structure computations utilizing the gold-standard CCSD(T) approach, basis sets up to quintuple-zeta quality, core-correlation contributions and, in particular, relativistic effects for CH$_3$Br and CH$_3$I. It is shown that the different A&O behavior of the CH$_3$X molecules in the optical regime is mostly caused by the differences in their polarizability anisotropy, in other terms, the size of the halogen atom. In contrast, the A&O dynamics of the CH$_3$X series induced by an intense few-cycle THz pulse is mostly governed by changes in the rotational constants, due to the similar dipole moments of the CH$_3$X molecules. The A&O dynamics is most sensitive to the $B$ rotational constant: even the difference between its equilibrium and vibrationally-averaged values results in noticeably different A&O dynamics. The contribution of rotational states having different symmetry, weighted by nuclear-spin statistics, to the A&O dynamics is also studied.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Laser-induced molecular rotational alignment and orientation (A&O) has been investigated, both theoretically and experimentally, for a number of decades. Different A&O realizations have been proposed and demonstrated,1–4 such as adiabatic5–8 and non-adiabatic A&O9–13 A&O along one,11,14–15 two,6 or three dimensions,16–19 using linearly10,11,14 or circularly,15 polarized pulses, employing single14,15 or multiple pulses,9,10,16,18 utilizing optical10,14,16 and/or terahertz (THz)10,20,21 pulses, optical centrifuges,6 alignment in helium droplets,14,15 to name just a few developments. In addition to the various practical applications of A&O in molecular sciences, including, but not limited to rotational coherence spectroscopy (which can be used to determine accurate rotational constants22–25), chemical reaction control, isotope and photofragment separation, and molecule trapping, the appearance of atchochemistry26–28 has stimulated renewed interest in A&O dynamics. Since molecular rotations typically proceed on a picosecond to nanosecond timescale, efficient A&O has been used to study the dynamics of thermally averaged and quantum-state-selected CH3I molecules, employing intense femtosecond 800 nm laser fields. The same group investigated how the laser-induced alignment affects the double ionization of molecules,38 and a similar mechanism was used to study how halogen substitution affects A&O dynamics through these parameters. We also investigate, in a practical sense, some general features of running A&O simulations.

2 | THEORY

During this study, the laser-induced rotational dynamics was simulated by directly solving the appropriate time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE), as implemented in the code LIMAO.40 In short, LIMAO utilizes a field-free eigenstate basis to solve the TDSE

\[
\langle b | \frac{\hbar}{i} \partial_t | \Psi(t) \rangle = \hat{H}(t) | \Psi(t) \rangle,
\]

based on the time-dependent Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}(t) \) of a molecule interacting with an external electric field. By treating electronic excitation perturbatively, \( \hat{H}(t) \) can be written as

\[
\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 - \epsilon(t) \hat{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon(t) \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \epsilon(t),
\]

where \( \hat{H}_0 \) is the field-free rotational Hamiltonian, \( \hat{\mu} \) and \( \hat{a} \) are the permanent molecular dipole and polarizability operators, respectively, and \( \epsilon(t) \) is the three-dimensional (3D) external electric-field vector. Assuming a linearly polarized external field, that is, \( \epsilon(t) = (0,0,\epsilon(t)) \), and using the \( | \Psi^{\text{Mk}} \rangle \) field-free eigenstates, satisfying the time-independent Schrödinger equation

\[
| \Psi(t) \rangle = | \Psi^{\text{Mk}} \rangle,
\]

one can construct the matrix representation of Equation (2), yielding the matrix elements

\[
\langle \Psi^{\text{Mk}} | \hat{H}(t) | \Psi^{\text{Mk'}} \rangle = \epsilon(t) \sum_{k=1}^{4} \langle \Psi^{\text{Mk}} | \hat{\mu} | \Psi^{\text{Mk'}} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi^{\text{Mk}} | \hat{H}_0 | \Psi^{\text{Mk'}} \rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sum_{k'=-2}^{-1} \left( \langle \Psi^{\text{Mk}} | \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger | \Psi^{\text{Mk'}} \rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \Psi^{\text{Mk}} | \hat{a}^\dagger | \Psi^{\text{Mk'}} \rangle \right).
\]
In Equations (3) and (4), $J$ is the rotational angular-momentum quantum number, quantum number $M$ refers to the projection of the angular momentum onto the space-fixed $z$ axis, $n$ represents all the other quantum numbers, and $E_{\text{m}}$ are field-free molecular eigenenergies. In the same equations, $\mu_{\text{BF},(1\lambda)}$ and $\alpha_{\text{BF},(2\lambda)}$ pair are the body-fixed molecular dipole and polarizability in the spherical-basis representation, respectively, for which transformation to the space-fixed components can be carried out via the Wigner-$D$ matrices $D_{\text{ik}}$. The specific form of $|\psi^{\text{Mn}}\rangle$ and the matrix elements of Equation (4) depend on the type of molecule (rotational top) considered; for details, see Sziadarovsky et al.\textsuperscript{46} In this work only symmetric tops are investigated; therefore, $|\psi^{\text{Mn}}\rangle$ are the symmetric top eigenfunctions $|\text{JKM}\rangle$, where $K$ is the quantum number corresponding to the projection of the angular momentum onto the body-fixed $z$ axis. For a given initial wave function, $|\psi^{\text{Mn}}\rangle$, and a specific external electric field, $\gamma(t)$, the TDSE is solved by numerical propagation, employing the Hamiltonian matrix elements given in Equation (4).

LIMAO allows simulations at finite rotational temperatures under the assumption that the initial population in the different rotational eigenstates satisfies the Boltzmann distribution. The population of the $i$th eigenstate, $P_i$, at thermal equilibrium is then given by

$$P_i = \frac{g_i e^{-\frac{E_i}{T}}}{Q_{\text{rot}}(T)},$$

where $Q_{\text{rot}}(T) = \sum g_i e^{-\frac{E_i}{T}}$ is the rotational partition function, $g_i$ is Boltzmann's constant, $E_i$ is the energy of the ith rotational state, and $g_i$ stands for the nuclear spin statistical weight (NSSW) of the ith rotational eigenstate.\textsuperscript{44} The occurrence of NSSWs in Equation (5) is a direct consequence of the Pauli exclusion principle.\textsuperscript{46} In brief, rotational eigenstates can only be paired with nuclear spin functions for which the product rotational-nuclear spin wave function has proper nuclear permutation symmetry, that is, it changes sign (remains unchanged) to the permutation of identical fermionic (bosonic) nuclei. NSSW plays an important role in the relative populations of different rotational states at finite temperatures. The NSSWs of rotational states can be determined following the procedure described in the supplementary material of Sziadarovsky et al.\textsuperscript{46}

The temporal evolution of the expectation value of a physical quantity $\hat{A}$, $\langle \hat{A} \rangle(t)$, is then expressed as

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle(t) = \frac{1}{Q_{\text{rot}}(T)} \sum_i \langle \psi_i(t) | \hat{A} | \psi_i(t) \rangle \frac{g_i e^{-\frac{E_i}{T}}}{T},$$

where $\psi_i(t)$ is the time-dependent rotational wave packet when the initial condition is set to be the $i$th rotational eigenstate;

$$\psi_i(t) = \sum_{\text{JMn}} C^{(\text{JMn})}_{i\text{JMn}}(t) |\psi^{\text{JMn}}\rangle,$$

where $C^{(\text{JMn})}_{i\text{JMn}}(t)$ are the time-dependent expansion coefficients. Thus, $\langle \psi_i(t) | \hat{A} | \psi_i(t) \rangle$ can be expressed as

$$\langle \psi_i(t) | \hat{A} | \psi_i(t) \rangle = \sum_{\text{JMn}} C^{(\text{JMn})}_{i\text{JMn}}(t) C^{(\text{JMn})}_{i\text{JMn}}(t) \langle \psi^{\text{JMn}} | \hat{A} | \psi^{\text{JMn}} \rangle,$$

with $C^{(\text{JMn})}_{i\text{JMn}}(t = 0) = \delta_j \delta_{\text{JMn}} \delta_{\text{JMn}}$. For quantifying the alignment, $\hat{A} = \cos^2(\theta)$ can be used, while for the orientation it is appropriate to utilize $\hat{A} = \cos(\theta)$, where $\theta$ is the angle between the laboratory-fixed and body-fixed $z$ axes. The specific form of $\langle \psi^{\text{Mn}} | \cos^2(\theta) | \psi^{\text{Mn}} \rangle$ and $\langle \psi^{\text{Mn}} | \cos(\theta) | \psi^{\text{Mn}} \rangle$ matrix elements depend on the type of molecule considered; see Sziadarovsky et al.\textsuperscript{46} for details. The matrix elements for $\cos^2(\theta)$ and the $|\text{JKM}\rangle$ symmetric-top eigenfunctions are

$$\langle \text{JKM} | \cos^2(\theta) | J'K'M' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{J'J} \delta_{K'K} - \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{(2J+1)(2J'+1)} (-1)^{J+K'}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} J \ 2 \ J' \ J \ 2 \ J' \end{array} \right) \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} M \ 0 \ M \ K \ 0 \ K' \end{array} \right),$$

and

$$\langle \text{JKM} | \cos(\theta) | J'K'M' \rangle = \sqrt{(2J+1)(2J'+1)} (-1)^{J+K'} \left( \begin{array}{c} J \ 1 \ J' \ J \ 1 \ J' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} M \ 0 \ M \ K \ 0 \ K' \end{array} \right),$$

where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denotes the Wigner $3j$ symbols.\textsuperscript{43} It follows from the properties of the Wigner $3j$ symbols that $\langle \text{JKM} | \cos^2(\theta) | J'K'M' \rangle$ can be nonzero only if $M = M'$, $K = K'$, and $|J - J'| \leq 1$. Similarly, $\langle \text{JKM} | \cos(\theta) | J'K'M' \rangle$ can be nonzero only if $M = M'$, $K = K'$, and $|J - J'| \leq 1$.

Depending on the temporal profile of the exciting pulse(s), one might achieve adiabatic or non-adiabatic, also called field-free, A&O.\textsuperscript{1} Adiabatic A&O occurs when the external field changes much slower than the characteristic rotational timescale of the molecule, resulting in A&O only during the presence of the external field. On the other hand, non-adiabatic A&O can occur when the exciting pulse is shorter than the characteristic rotational timescale of the molecule, leading to the formation of a rotational wave packet, which might show, under field-free conditions, A&O after the external field subsides. In what follows we briefly describe how specific patterns appear in the temporal evolution of field-free A&O dynamics of molecules.

Let us start with a qualitative description.\textsuperscript{22} Initially, in the absence of an external field, the molecules have isotropic distribution, the corresponding values of alignment and orientation are exactly 1/3 and 0, respectively. Then, assuming a polarized laser pulse, a rotational wave packet is formed and the molecules are aligned or oriented parallel to the polarization axis. This arrangement, however, quickly disappears due to the dispersion of the rotational wave packet and the molecules show a pseudoisotropic distribution. After a certain time rephasing occurs, causing a transient increase in the A&O, called the “revival”. This is followed again by dispersion and the cycle starts over.

Now, let us turn to a more formal description.\textsuperscript{22} The laser pulse excites the molecules and creates a rotational wave packet, see
Equation (7). If the external field is turned off at \( t_{\text{end}} \), the time-dependent coefficients have the form

\[
C_{jm}(t + t_{\text{end}}) = \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} E^0 t \right) C_{jm}(t_{\text{end}}),
\]

(11)

corresponding to the field-free time evolution after \( t_{\text{end}} \). Then, the expectation value of \( \hat{A} \) after the pulse is

\[
\langle \hat{A} \rangle(t + t_{\text{end}}) = \sum_{jm,\ell M'\ell'} C_{jm}(t_{\text{end}}) C_{jM'\ell'}(t_{\text{end}})
\times <\psi_{jm}|\hat{A}|\psi_{M'\ell'}> \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \omega_{fJn_J} t^2 \right),
\]

(12)

where \( \omega_{fJn_J} = E^{\text{rev}} - E^0 \). The time dependence of \( \langle \hat{A} \rangle(t + t_{\text{end}}) \) is a result of the superposition of the exponential terms with different \( \omega_{fJn_J} \) frequencies. One could expect that the superposition never results in constructive interference, but this is not the case. In the case of the rotational wave packet of linear or symmetric tops, the \( \omega_{fJn_J} \) frequencies have the form

\[
\omega_{fJn_J} = \epsilon_{Jn_J} \Omega \quad \text{or} \quad \omega_{fJn_J} = \epsilon_{Jn_J} \Omega + \Phi,
\]

(13)

where \( \epsilon_{Jn_J} \) is an integer, while \( \Omega \) and \( \Phi \) are constants. Therefore, a constructive interference occurs in \( \langle \hat{A} \rangle(t + t_{\text{end}}) \) if \( t \) is an integer multiple of \( h/\Omega \), where \( h \) is Planck’s constant, leading to an observable “revival”.22

Next, we describe how revivals of symmetric-top molecules are manifested in the orientation and alignment. The matrix element of the orientation can be nonzero only if \( K = K' \) and \( |J - J'| \leq 1 \) (see Equation (10)), so only these terms contribute to the superposition. Therefore, using the \( E^{JJK} = \hbar \epsilon_B (J + 1) + \hbar \epsilon_A (A - B) K^2 \) energy formula of prolate symmetric tops,

\[
\omega_{fJJK} = E^{JJK} - E^{JK} = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{2} \hbar B_C + 2 \hbar B_B, & \text{if } J = J + 1 \text{ and } K = K' = K \\ 0, & \text{if } J = J \text{ and } K = K' \end{cases}
\]

(14)

so the \( J = J \) contributions are constant in time and the time periodicity of the orientation revivals is \( T_{\text{rev}} = 1/(2B_C) \) due to the \( J = J + 1 \) contributions. In the case of alignment, the matrix element can be nonzero only if \( K = K' \) and \( |J - J'| \leq 2 \) (see Equation (9)). Thus,

\[
\omega_{fJJK} = E^{JJK} - E^{JK} = \begin{cases} 4 \hbar B_C + 6 \hbar B_B, & \text{if } J = J + 2 \text{ and } K = K' = K \\ 2 \hbar B_C + 2 \hbar B_B, & \text{if } J = J + 1 \text{ and } K = K' = K \\ 0, & \text{if } J = J \text{ and } K = K' \end{cases}
\]

(15)

In practice, the contributions from the different \( J = J + 1 \) cases cancel out. Then, only the \( J = J + 2 \) terms contribute to the time evolution of the alignment, resulting in revivals with alternating polarity (half revival) and with \( T_{\text{rev}} = 1/(4B_C) \) periodicity in time. Therefore, alignment revivals are expected to occur twice as often as orientation revivals.

3 | COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

Details concerning the electronic-structure computations performed are described in this section. Readers who would like to skip this part should continue reading either at Section 4.2, where the relationship of halogen substitution and the molecular parameters are explored, or at Section 5, where the results of the laser-induced dynamics simulations are described.

The molecular parameters needed to solve Equation (3) and to construct the matrix elements of Equation (4), that is, the rotational constants (calculated with average atomic masses), the dipole moments, and the polarizabilities, were computed using either the CFOUR45 or the MOLPRO46 quantum-chemistry packages. The standard wave-function-theory quantum-chemical methods54,47,48 employed include the restricted Hartree–Fock (RHF) method,59 its extension with electron correlation using second-order perturbation theory and the Möller–Plesset partitioning (MP2),50,51 coupled-cluster (CC) theory52 with single and double excitations (CCSD),53 and the gold-standard CCSD(T) method,54 whereby CCSD is augmented with a perturbative correction for triple excitations. We also performed computations within density-functional theory (DFT), employing the popular B3LYP functional.55–57

The atom-centered, fixed-exponent Gaussian basis sets utilized during the electronic-structure computations have been developed by Dunning and co-workers.58 The basis sets chosen include diffuse (aug) functions and occasionally the core-valence correlation is also treated. We use the following abbreviations throughout the manuscript: XZ = cc-pVXZ, aXZ = aug-cc-pVXZ, awcXZ = aug-cc-pCVXZ, and awcXZpp = [aug-cc-pwCVXZ on the H and C atoms and aug-cc-pwCVXZ] on the halogen atom, pp = pseudopotential), where X, the cardinal number of the bases, is either 2(D), 3(T), 4(Q), or 5. For the analysis of the computed quantum-chemistry data, we apply the focal-point analysis (FPA) approach.59,60 FPA utilizes the fact that the increments of electronic energies and properties on the basis set size and the level of electron correlation are more-or-less independent from each other.

All directly computed molecular parameters correspond to the equilibrium structure. This becomes an issue when comparing directly computed (equilibrium) parameters to measured (effective) values, which are usually expectation values in the ground vibrational state.48 Thus, besides the equilibrium values of the rotational constants (\( A_0 \) and \( B_0 \)) and dipole moments (\( \mu_0 \)), their vibrationally averaged values (\( A_0, B_0, \) and \( \mu_0 \)) were also computed for CH\(_3\)F and CH\(_3\)Cl at the frozen-core CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVQZ level, using the relative atomic weights of \( ^1\text{H}, ^12\text{C}, ^19\text{F}, \) and \( ^35\text{Cl} \). The accurate \( A_0 - A_\nu, B_0 - B_\nu \), and \( \mu_0 - \mu_\nu \) differences can be used as corrections that can be added to equilibrium parameter values computed at different levels of electronic-structure theory. Vibrational corrections to the polarizability were not computed, because the expected effect is much smaller than the uncertainty in the experimental intensity values, most A&O simulations do not require very high accuracy for the polarizability (in contrast, laser-induced A&O dynamics is quite sensitive to the accuracy of the rotational constants [vide infra]). Highly accurate
polarizability values that can be computed might become important in the future when the experimental intensity will be known more precisely.

During the A&O dynamics simulations employing the LIMAO software, convergence of the simulated results with respect to the number of $|JKM\rangle$ rotational basis functions and the cutoff value for the Boltzmann populations considered was ensured by gradually increasing and decreasing their values, respectively. An additional factor influencing A&O dynamics, through the populations of different rotational states and the rotational partition function, is the nuclear spin statistical weights (NSSW) of the different rotational levels. The $\text{CH}_3X$ species are symmetric tops; thus, they belong to the $D_\infty$ rotational symmetry group.\(^{44}\) The NSSWs for the irreps of the $D_\infty$ rotational symmetry group can be determined as prescribed by Bunker and Jensen\(^{44}\) or the supplementary material of Szidarovszky et al.\(^{40}\) For all the $\text{CH}_3X$ molecules considered, they are as follows: $\text{NSSW}^{E2} = 2$, $\text{NSSW}^{E3} = 2$, $\text{NSSW}^{K1} = 1$, $\text{NSSW}^{K3} = 1$, and $\text{NSSW}^{K2} = 2$. These NSSWs reflect the relative abundance of the rotational states belonging to different irreps, which has to be considered when computing the thermal average of physical quantities, see Equation (6). Note that the situation becomes different if different isotopologues are studied. Even though they have very similar electronic properties, different isotopologues should be treated as different species in terms of A&O dynamics, because the rotational constants and the NSSWs are different.

4 | ON MOLECULAR PARAMETERS IMPORTANT FOR A&O DYNAMICS

4.1 | Effects of electron correlation and basis set size on molecular parameters

When the molecular parameters used in Equation (4) are not available in the literature, their values can be computed with quantum-chemical methods. By using different Gaussian basis sets and electron-correlation methods in the electronic-structure computations, and by utilizing the principles of the FPA method,\(^{59,60}\) the accuracy of the different computed molecular parameters and their impact on the dynamics calculations can be estimated. The $\text{CH}_3X$ molecules chosen belong to the $C_{3v}(M)$ molecular symmetry group\(^{44}\) and their equilibrium structures possess $C_{3v}$ point-group symmetry. Thus, they are symmetric-top molecules, for which second-order tensorial properties can be divided into a parallel and perpendicular component, both with respect to the molecular symmetry axis. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the equilibrium molecular parameters most relevant for A&O simulations, computed at various levels of sophistication.

As Table 1 demonstrates, accurate determination of the molecular structures requires geometry optimizations carried out utilizing extensive basis sets as well as high-level treatment of electron correlation. For example, the basis-set-size increments in the equilibrium rotational constants moving from aug-cc-pVDZ ($a$DZ) to aug-cc-pVTZ ($a$TZ) at the RHF level is comparable to the correlation effect introduced by MP2. The next largest increments are from the more complete treatment of electron correlation at the CCSD and CCSD(T) levels, and increasing the basis set $a$TZ to aug-cc-pVQZ ($a$QZ).

Accounting for core correlation, with the aug-cc-pwCVQZ ($aw$QZ) basis, seems to be necessary only to achieve very high accuracy. DFT (B3LYP) results are also included in Table 1, showing that DFT, for this set of molecules and with the B3LYP functional, with a large basis set is a viable, inexpensive alternative to the sophisticated ab initio (wave-function-theory) methods if moderate accuracy suffices. In the case of $\text{CH}_3F$, the $A_0 - A_e$ and $B_0 - B_e$ vibrational corrections are $-0.07688$ and $-0.00813$ cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. For $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$, the $A_0 - A_e$ and $B_0 - B_e$ corrections are $-0.06962$ cm\(^{-1}\) and $-0.00382$ cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. These values are larger than the $\delta[\text{CCSD(T)}]$ corrections.

Table 2 shows that, similar to that of the molecular structure, the most accurate dipole and polarizability values are obtained if large basis sets and theoretical methods accounting for a substantial part of electron correlation are employed. This is due to the fact that the anisotropic charge distribution around the halogen nuclei play a crucial role in such molecules. The dipole-moment values seem to be much more sensitive to electron correlation than to basis-set size: the MP2 increment is more than ten(five) times larger than the increment from aug-cc-pwCVQZ ($aw$QZ) to aug-cc-pwCVTZ ($aw$TZ) at the RHF level for $\text{CH}_3F(\text{CH}_3\text{Cl})$. Nevertheless, going beyond the MP2/$aw$TZ level seems to be necessary only if accuracy beyond two digits is required. The polarizability values are, however, much more sensitive to the size of the Gaussian basis. In these cases, the basis set increment from $aw$DZ to $aw$TZ is comparable to the MP2 increment, while the increment from $aw$TZ to $aw$QZ is comparable to the CCSD(T) increment. B3LYP with a large basis gives an accurate dipole for $\text{CH}_3F$; however, the dipole of $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$ is significantly over-estimated. B3LYP also fails to deliver accurate polarizabilities, as expected.\(^{48}\)

Relativistic effects might also be significant for heavy elements, because their inner electrons can have velocities comparable to the speed of light. Therefore, relativistic effects for the Br- and I-containing compounds were estimated by computing one-electron mass-velocity–Darwin (MVD1)\(^{61-63}\) corrections. All-electron calculations were possible for $\text{CH}_3\text{Br}$, showing MVD1 corrections comparable to the basis set increment from $aw$TZ to $aw$QZ, affecting the dipole and polarizability values in their second and third digits, respectively. For $\text{CH}_3\text{I}$, the basis set describes core electrons with a pseudo potential, implicitly containing relativistic effects. Therefore, only frozen-core calculations were carried out, and, naturally, very small MVD1 corrections were obtained.

The $\mu_0 - \mu_e$ difference computed at the frozen-core CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVQZ level is $-0.00548$ and $-0.00813$ a.u. for $\text{CH}_3F$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$, respectively. These values are similar to the $\delta[\text{CCSD(T)}]$ correction.

Finally, following the FPA protocol, the complete-basis-set (CBS) limit for the RHF dipole and polarizability values, as well as the CBS of their $\delta[\text{MP2}]$ increment was extrapolated for all the $\text{CH}_3X$ molecules. In all occurrences, we found that the CBS correction with respect to the $aw$QZ($pp$) basis set is orders of magnitude smaller than the
TABLE 1 Computed equilibrium rotational constants, $A_e$ and $B_e$, and their method-dependent increments for the prolate symmetric-top CH$_3$X ($X =$ F, Cl, Br, I) species, all given in cm$^{-1}$, obtained at different levels of electronic-structure theory

| Method$^a$ | Basis$^a$ | CH$_3$F | CH$_3$Cl | CH$_3$I |
|------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|
|            |           | $A_e$   | $B_e$    | $A_e$   | $B_e$    |
| RHF        | DZ        | 5.2602  | 0.8762   | 5.2439  | 0.4410   |
| $\delta$DZ |           | −0.0155 | −0.0077  | 0.0008  | −0.0010  |
| $\delta$TZ |           | 0.0860  | 0.0152   | 0.0896  | 0.0037   |
| $\delta$QZ |           | 0.0073  | 0.0015   | 0.0090  | 0.0015   |
| $\delta$SZ |           | 0.0013  | 0.0003   | 0.0030  | 0.0009   |
| $\delta$[MP2] | aQZ | −0.0375 | −0.0237  | −0.0416 | 0.0041   |
| $\delta$[CCSD] | aQZ | −0.0126 | 0.0057   | −0.0102 | −0.0028  |
| $\delta$[CCSD(T)] | aQZ | −0.0208 | −0.0066  | −0.0229 | −0.0023  |
| CCSD(T)    | awcQZ    | −0.0070 | −0.0014  | 0.0040  | 0.0007   |
| B3LYP      | a5Z      | 5.2602  | 0.8592   | 5.2725  | 0.4448   |
|            |          | 5.2428  | 0.8516   | 5.2644  | 0.4394   |

| Method$^a$ | Basis$^a$ | CH$_3$Br | CH$_3$I |
|------------|-----------|----------|---------|
|            |           | $A_e$    | $B_e$   |
| CCSD(T)    | awcQZ    | 5.2497   | 0.3210  |
|            | awcQZpp  | 5.2371   | 0.2531  |

$^a$Method$^a$ gives the electronic-structure technique used for the computation of the rotational constants. Increments are represented by the symbol $\delta$.

When in front of a method given in brackets, $\delta$ refers to the increment, obtained with the basis set specified under “basis,” with respect to the method preceding the indicated method in the following sequence: RHF $\rightarrow$ MP2 $\rightarrow$ CCSD $\rightarrow$ CCSD(T). When $\delta$ is in front of a basis set, the numerical values show the increment with respect to the basis set in the preceding line of the table. For the meaning of the basis-set abbreviations, see the text.

The $\delta$[CCSD(T)] increment; therefore, the CBS values are not reported here, and the uncertainties of the best simulated values are estimated from above by the $\delta$[CCSD(T)] increment values.

### 4.2 Substituent effects on chemical properties

Table 3 summarizes the equilibrium molecular properties computed as part of this work, obtained with the most sophisticated methods, CCSD(T) (κ=MVD1 for the dipole and polarizabilities of CH$_3$Br and CH$_3$I), and largest basis sets (awcQZ for CH$_3$F, CH$_3$Cl, and CH$_3$Br; awcQZpp for CH$_3$I) employed for the given molecule and property. These values were employed in the LIMAO simulations. The vibrationally averaged rotational constants and dipole moments of CH$_3$F and CH$_3$Cl were computed by adding the computed $A_0$ $-$ $A_e$, $B_0$ $-$ $B_e$, and $\mu_j$ $-$ $\mu_e$ corrections to the equilibrium values. Table 3 also contains values of experimental origin, from the literature.$^{67-73}$ Along with some atomic properties taken also from the literature.$^{65,74}$ As shown in Table 3, there is a slight increase in the dipole moment going from CH$_3$F to CH$_3$Cl. On the other hand, due to the screening effect of the additional d orbitals, we see a decrease in the value of the dipole when moving from CH$_3$Cl to CH$_3$I.$^{75}$ In the case of the bond lengths and the polarizability values, a steady increase is observed from CH$_3$F to CH$_3$I, while the $B_e$ rotational constant decreases in this order and $A_e$ shows only a minor variation, in agreement with the changes in the structures of the prolate symmetric-top CH$_3$X species.

As to the atomic properties, the electron affinity EAX of the halogen atoms follows the trend shown by the molecular dipole.$^{74,75}$ the van der Waals radius $r_X$ and the polarizability $\alpha_X$, increase from F to I, while the electronegativity and ionization energy, EN$_X$ and IE$_X$, respectively, decrease in the same order.

Even though this work is limited to CH$_3$X molecules, our aim has been to arrive at conclusions as general as possible, in order to aid future work in designing and efficiently executing A&O-related research. We investigated, in this regard, the connection between molecular properties relevant in A&O processes and the chemical and physical properties of the test molecules or the atoms within. Thus, we calculated the correlation coefficient of $\Delta \alpha$ and the dipole moment with the different atomic and molecular parameters (see Table 4). The seemingly large correlation coefficients found can be misleading because the number of data points is low (there are only four molecules), so uncorrelated quantities can accidentally have large correlation coefficients. In the case of four data points, if the absolute value of the correlation coefficient (cor) is larger than 0.95, then the probability of an accidental correlation is only 5%.$^{76}$ Thus, we also calculated the sample-size-adjusted correlation coefficient, cor$^* =$ sgn(cor) $(|cor| - 0.95)/0.05$ (where sgn is the sign function), to measure the correlation above the threshold value of 0.95, and indicated the large cor$^*$ values with boldfaced numbers in Table 4.

Based on the data of Table 4, one can see that there is a strong linear relationship between $\Delta \alpha$ and the carbon-halogen bond length ($r_{C-X}$) and the van der Waals radius ($r_X$), while there is a negative
correlation with the electronegativity and the ionization energy. Polarizability is usually assumed to be nearly proportional to the molecular volume; therefore, the linear dependency of Δα, the polarizability anisotropy, on the radius of the halogen atom is somewhat surprising, especially in the light of the rigorous relation between the volume and the static polarizability of quantum systems revealed.77 The linear dependency is probably caused by the very small sample size, and one should not draw serious conclusions from this. Nonetheless, we find that the larger the molecular volume, the larger the polarizability. For a set of molecules with similar, non-spherical structure, this translates to larger volumes leading to larger polarizability anisotropies. The dipole moment shows significant correlation with the electron affinity of the halogen. It is important to note that among the quantities investigated here, the dipole moment and the electron affinity are the only non-monotonous functions of the atomic number of the halogen. Note that the dipole moment varies much less than the other chemical properties, probably because the partial charge, depending on the electronegativity difference between the carbon and the halogen atoms, decreases with the size of the halogen, but rC–X increases, increasing the separation of the partial charges, and these opposite effects tend to cancel each other in the dipole moment.

### TABLE 2
Computed equilibrium molecular dipole (μ⊥) and static polarizability (α∥ and α⊥) values and their increments for the CH₃X species, all in atomic units

| Method* | Basis* | CH₃F |   | CH₃Cl |   |
|---------|--------|------|---|-------|---|
|         |        | μ⊥  | α∥ | α⊥    | μ⊥  | α∥ | α⊥ |
| RHF     | awcDZ  | 0.8107 | 16.4172 | 15.1131 | 0.8421 | 34.3168 | 24.1080 |
|         | δawcTZ | -0.0051 | 0.1448 | 0.2742  | -0.0126 | 0.5703  | 0.8419 |
|         | δawcQZ | -0.0017 | 0.0113 | 0.0602  | -0.0020 | 0.0970  | 0.2324 |
|         | δawcSZ | -0.0001 | -0.0082 | 0.0053 | 0.0000  | 0.0111  | -0.0048 |
| δ[MP2]  | awcQZ  | -0.0628 | 1.2168 | 0.8114  | -0.0659 | 0.7762  | 0.8749 |
| δ[CCSD(T)] | awcQZ | -0.0044 | -0.1128 | -0.1028 | -0.0070 | -0.2378 | -0.1372 |
| CCSD(T) | awcQZ  | 0.7367 | 17.6773 | 16.1560 | 0.7546  | 35.5225 | 25.9200 |
| B3LYP   | a5Z    | 0.7330 | 18.360 | 16.853  | 0.7701  | 37.036  | 26.899 |

| Method* | Basis* | CH₃Br |   | CH₃I |   |
|---------|--------|------|---|-----|---|
|         |        | μ⊥  | α∥ | α⊥ | μ⊥  | α∥ | α⊥ |
| CCSD(T) | awcTZ  | 0.7266 | 44.4575 | 31.8600 | 0.6592 | 58.9875 | 43.1250 |
|         | δawcQZ | 0.0144 | -0.0450 | -0.0150 | 0.0193 | 0.0775 | 0.0600 |
| CCSD(T) | awcQZpp | 0.6592 | 58.9875 | 43.1250 | 0.6595 | 59.0050 | 43.1325 |
| δ[CCSD(T) + MVD1] | awcTZ | -0.0174 | 0.0400 | 0.0100 | 0.003 | 0.0175 | 0.0075 |
|         | awcQZ | -0.0193 | 0.0775 | 0.0600 | 0.0003 | 0.0175 | 0.0075 |
|         | awcQZpp | 0.7218 | 44.4900 | 31.9050 | 0.6595 | 59.0050 | 43.1325 |

*Method* gives the electronic-structure technique used during the computation. Increments are represented by the δ symbol. When in front of a method given in brackets, δ refers to the increment, obtained with the basis set specified under “basis,” with respect to the method preceding the indicated method in the following sequence: RHF → MP2 → CCSD(T) and CCSD(T) → CCSD(T) + MVD1. When the δ symbol is in front of a basis set, the numerical values show the increment with respect to the basis set in the preceding line of the table. For the meaning of the basis-set abbreviations, see the text.

## 5 | SINGLE-OPTICAL-PULSE ALIGNMENT

In this section, we are investigating the single-optical-pulse alignment dynamics of the CH₃X species, for medium- to high-intensity (1–30 TW cm⁻²) femtosecond pulses, which can be generated by tabletop Ti:sapphire setups or those available at dedicated institutes.28

We are studying the effect of molecular parameters, such as rotational constants, polarizability, and the contribution from different irreps of the rotation group to the alignment, as well as the sensitivity of the alignment to the accuracy of these parameters. For the sake of completeness, we also investigate the effects of experimental conditions, that is, the temperature and pulse parameters.

### 5.1 | The role of different molecular parameters

First, let us investigate the effect of the change of substituent on the alignment dynamics in the case of optical pulses (λ = 800 nm is chosen). If the temperature and the laser parameters are kept fixed, the rotational constants and the polarizability anisotropy (Δα) determine the alignment dynamics. The rotational constants determine the time
of the rotational revivals and the maximal alignment: heavier molecules, with smaller $B_e$ rotational constants, have longer rotational periods and smaller maximal alignment than the lighter molecules. This is due to more initial rotational states being populated at the given temperature (vide infra). Increasing the polarizability anisotropy increases the maximal alignment due to the higher degree of excitation, as shown below. For the CH$_3$X series, the $B_e$ rotational constants are decreasing with the size of the halogen, while the polarizability anisotropy is increasing: $\Delta \alpha_{CH_3F} < \Delta \alpha_{CH_3Cl} < \Delta \alpha_{CH_3Br} < \Delta \alpha_{CH_3I}$, with the largest difference found between X = F and Cl. Thus, it is expected that increasing the size of the halogen increases the time between the revivals, while the effect on the maximal alignment is determined by the sum of the decrease in alignment due to the smaller rotational constants and the increase in alignment due to the larger $\Delta \alpha$.

For optical pulses, the dipole moment has no effect on A&O dynamics, because the field-dipole interaction averages out to zero during the fast oscillation of the field.\(^{42}\) This is also a reason why nonzero orientation cannot be achieved using a single one-color optical pulse. This was tested by comparing converged simulations explicitly including the dipole interaction with simulations utilizing the cycle-averaging approximation,\(^{40,42}\) when the dipole interaction is neglected and only the envelope of the pulse is considered. The two cases show identical alignment curves and no orientation.

Figure 1 shows the results computed for $T = 5$ K for two different pulse intensities, 5 TW cm$^{-2}$ (lower panel) and 30 TW cm$^{-2}$ (upper panel), with a $\lambda = 800$ nm Gaussian pulse with the peak position at $t = 1$ ps and having a full width half maximum (FWHM) of 100 fs. The alignment curves of the four molecules are clearly different, but similarities can also be identified. For the pulse with the larger intensity, the curve of CH$_3$F stands out with its lower base level and a reduced maximal alignment, while for the other three molecules the maximal alignments are quite similar, only the revival periods are different. We can achieve only a much smaller alignment with the lower intensity pulse, and the revival peaks of CH$_3$F become smaller relative to the other three molecules. The half-revival time periods, $t_{1/2}$, are 9.71, 18.75, 25.98, and 32.95 for CH$_3$F, CH$_3$Cl, CH$_3$Br, and CH$_3$I, respectively. Based on the periodicity and the alternating polarity of the revivals, these are all $J$-type revivals,\(^{22}\) where the molecule rotates around the $b$ and $c$ molecular axes. When compared to experimental laser-induced alignment curves, our simulations exhibit agreement in terms of revival times and the shape of the revival curves; for examples, see figure 1 of Luo et al.\(^{27}\) for CH$_3$Cl, figure 3 of He et al.\(^{28}\) for

| $\Delta \alpha$ | CH$_3$F | CH$_3$Cl | CH$_3$Br | CH$_3$I |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $\mu_r$/D     | 1.8724 | 1.9179 | 1.8344 | 1.6762 |
| $\rho_0$/D    | 1.8585 | 1.8972 | (1.85 ± 1%)$^f$ | (1.87 ± 1%)$^f$ |
| $\alpha_\perp$/Å$^2$ | 2.394  | 3.841  | 4.728  | 6.392  |
| $\alpha_r$/Å$^3$ | 2.620  | 5.264  | 6.593  | 8.744  |
| $\Delta \alpha$/Å$^3$ | 0.225  | 1.423  | 1.865  | 2.352  |
| $r_{C-X}$/Å | 1.383  | 1.778  | 1.934  | 2.129  |
| EN$_X$ | 3.98   | 3.16   | 2.96   | 2.66   |
| IE$_X$/eV  | 17.423 | 12.968 | 11.814 | 10.451 |
| EA$_X$/eV  | 3.401  | 3.613  | 3.364  | 3.059  |
| $\alpha_X$/Å$^2$ | 0.554(12) | 2.163(15) | 3.11(15) | 4.88(19) |

$^a$Sources of the experimental rotational constants: Demaison et al.\(^67\).
$^b$Jensen et al.\(^68\).
$^c$Graner\(^69\).
$^d$Matsuura and Overend\(^70\).
$^e$Sources of the dipole moment corresponding to the ground vibrational state: Nelson et al.\(^72\) The experimental uncertainties reported include the variation due to the different vibrational states and different measurement techniques; therefore, they should cover the equilibrium values, as well.
CH₃Br, and figure 2 of Hamilton et al.,⁵ figure 4 of Luo et al.,⁶ and figure 4 of He et al.⁸ for CH₃I. Note that the exact measure of alignment and the experimental parameters are different from those in our simulations; therefore, the measured and computed alignment curves cannot be expected to agree perfectly.

### 5.1.1 The role of polarizability anisotropy

Next, let us investigate the relative effects of the rotational constant and the polarizability. To pinpoint the role of the polarizability anisotropy on laser-induced rotational alignment, simulations were performed for all molecules, whereby the rotational constants were fixed to the value corresponding to CH₃F. The computed alignment dynamics, shown in Figure 2, confirm that the periodicity of the revivals is determined by the rotational constants, while the maximal alignment is governed by the polarizability anisotropy. In the lower panel of Figure 2, corresponding to the low-intensity-pulse scenario, the CH₃F curve stands out with its very small oscillation amplitude, but the peak heights for the different molecules reflect the relative values of their polarizability anisotropy. In the case of the high-intensity pulse (upper panel of Figure 2), CH₃F stands out even more with a considerably lower baseline and reduced rotational excitation, similar to Figure 1. The other three molecules show very similar curves in the high-intensity scenario, indicating

### Table 4

| ξ     | cor (Δα, ξ) | cor* (Δα, ξ) | cor (μₑ, ξ) | cor* (μₑ, ξ) |
|-------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| μₑ    | -0.666      | 1.000         | 1.000       |               |
| Δα⊥   | 0.965       | 0.302         | -0.835      |               |
| Δα∥   | 0.985       | 0.705         | -0.782      |               |
| Δα    | 1.000       | 1.000         | -0.666      |               |
| rₓCH₃ | 0.999       | 0.981         | -0.697      |               |
| rₓCH₃ | 1.000       | 0.992         | -0.682      |               |
| ENₓ   | -0.998      | -0.955        | 0.625       |               |
| IEₓ   | -0.997      | -0.931        | 0.604       |               |
| EAₓ   | -0.525      | 0.977         | 0.538       |               |
| Δα    | 0.968       | 0.368         | -0.828      |               |

Note: Large cor* values are boldfaced, indicating strong linear relationship. Abbreviations: EAₓ, electron affinity; ENₓ, electronegativity; IEₓ, ionization energy; rₓ, van der Waals radius.

Next, let us investigate the relative effects of the rotational constant and the polarizability. To pinpoint the role of the polarizability anisotropy on laser-induced rotational alignment, simulations were performed for all molecules, whereby the rotational constants were fixed to the value corresponding to CH₃F. The computed alignment dynamics, shown in Figure 2, confirm that the periodicity of the revivals is determined by the rotational constants, while the maximal alignment is governed by the polarizability anisotropy. In the lower panel of Figure 2, corresponding to the low-intensity-pulse scenario, the CH₃F curve stands out with its very small oscillation amplitude, but the peak heights for the different molecules reflect the relative values of their polarizability anisotropy. In the case of the high-intensity pulse (upper panel of Figure 2), CH₃F stands out even more with a considerably lower baseline and reduced rotational excitation, similar to Figure 1. The other three molecules show very similar curves in the high-intensity scenario, indicating
that the differences in their polarizability has a smaller effect on the alignment at high pulse intensity than at low intensity, due to the saturation of the excitation.

5.1.2 | The role of rotational constants

The effect the rotational constants have on alignment dynamics was studied by simulations in which the polarizabilities were fixed to the values corresponding to CH$_3$Cl for all molecules. The results, shown in Figure 3, demonstrate that the rotational constants not only determine the rotational revival times, but also affect the alignment maxima, which slightly decrease with the size of the halogen atom. This behavior can be explained by the increase in the number of rotational states having significant Boltzmann populations as the size of the halogen atom is increased (i.e., the smallest rotational constant is decreased), see Table 5 below. The differences in the maximal alignments are more pronounced for the lower intensity pulse, which indicates a saturation effect in the alignment with respect to the laser-pulse intensity, as detailed below in Section 5.2.1. Based on the results obtained by either fixing the rotational constant or the polarizability to the same value for all molecules, we can conclude that these two parameters have an opposite effect to the maximal alignment with respect to the size of the halogen atom, but the effect of the polarizability anisotropy dominates, especially at high intensities.

5.1.3 | Sensitivity to the accuracy of molecular parameters

In this section, we present our results on the effects of molecular parameter accuracy on the laser-induced rotational alignment. Tables 1 and 2 contain the equilibrium molecular parameters of CH$_3$F and CH$_3$Cl computed at different levels of electronic-structure theory utilizing various basis sets, while Table 3 contains the $A_0$ and $B_0$ parameters. Figure 4 shows the laser-induced rotational alignment of CH$_3$F and CH$_3$Cl obtained with parameters computed at the best, aug-cc-pwCVQZ CCSD(T), level and at the much less expensive aug-cc-pV5Z B3LYP level. The alignment dynamics obtained with the $A_0$ and $B_0$ rotational constants almost coincides with the related B3LYP curve. This is due to the fact that $B_0$ is very close to $B_{a,as2B3LYP}$. This agreement is only a coincidence, as $A_{e,as2B3LYP}$ is closer to $A_{e,awcQZ CCSD(T)}$ than to $A_0$. The maximal alignments obtained with the different parameter sets are very similar to each other but the revivals are drifting
FIGURE 3  Testing the effect of rotational constants on laser-induced rotational alignment. For all molecules, the polarizability is fixed to values corresponding to CH₃Cl. The pulse parameters chosen are as follows: \( T/K = 5 \), \( \lambda/\text{nm} = 800 \), \( I/TW\ cm^{-2} = 30 \) (upper panel) and 5 (lower panel), FWHM/fs = 100, and the pulse position is 1 ps.

FIGURE 4  Testing the effect of parameter accuracy on the laser-induced rotational alignment of CH₃F and CH₃Cl. The “B3LYP” and “CCSD (T)” curves correspond to using equilibrium molecular parameters obtained at the aug-cc-pV5Z B3LYP and aug-cc-pwCVQZ CCSD(T) levels, respectively (see Tables 1 and 2), while the “vib. avg.” curves, which coincide with the “B3LYP” curves, were obtained using rotational constants \( A_0 \) and \( B_0 \) (see Table 3). The pulse parameters chosen are as follows: \( T/K = 5 \), \( \lambda/\text{nm} = 800 \), \( I/TW\ cm^{-2} = 30 \), FWHM/fs = 100, and the pulse position is 1 ps.

TABLE 5  Rotational partition function \( Q_{\text{rot}}(T) \) and population of the \( J = 0 \) ground state \( p(J = 0) \) at temperatures of 0 K, 0.1 K, and 5 K

| Molecule | \( Q_{\text{rot}}(T) \) | \( p(J = 0) \) |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|
|          | \( T = 0 \) K   | \( T = 0.1 \) K | \( T = 5 \) K | \( T = 0 \) K | \( T = 0.1 \) K | \( T = 5 \) K |
| CH₃F     | 2               | 1.00000     | 11.18811    | 1           | 1.000         | 0.179        |
| CH₃Cl    | 2               | 2.00003     | 20.76103    | 1           | 1.000         | 0.096        |
| CH₃Br    | 2               | 2.00076     | 28.31901    | 1           | 1.000         | 0.071        |
| CH₃I     | 2               | 2.00491     | 32.62516    | 1           | 0.998         | 0.061        |
Testing the contribution of the rotational states belonging to each irrep of the $D_\infty$ rotational symmetry group to the alignment of CH$_3$Cl. This is based on the selection rules for the optical pulse, which allow transitions only within a given irrep. The pulse parameters chosen are as follows: $T/K = 5$, $\lambda/\text{nm} = 800$, $I/\text{TW cm}^{-2} = 30$, FWHM/fs = 100, and the pulse position is 1 ps.

Left panel: The maximal alignment as a function of pulse intensity for the CH$_3$X molecules at 5 K. Right panel: Same as left panel, but the rotational constants are fixed to the CH$_3$F value for all molecules. The pulse parameters chosen are as follows: $T/K = 5$, $\lambda/\text{nm} = 800$, FWHM/fs = 100, and the pulse position is 1 ps.

The maximal alignment as a function of pulse intensity for the CH$_3$X molecules at 1 K (left panel) and 10 K (right panel). The pulse parameters chosen are as follows: $\lambda/\text{nm} = 800$, FWHM/fs = 100, and the pulse position is 1 ps.
FIGURE 8  Alignment and orientation dynamics of the CH₃X molecules following a THz-pulse excitation with pulse parameters of \( T/K = 5 \), \( \nu/\text{THz} = 0.25 \), FWHM/fs = 2000, \( I/\text{TW cm}^{-2} = 5 \times 10^{-4} \), pulse position at 5 ps, and CEP = \( \pi/2 \)

FIGURE 9  Alignment and orientation dynamics of the CH₃X molecules following a THz-pulse excitation with pulse parameters of \( T/K = 5 \), \( \nu/\text{THz} = 0.333 \), FWHM/fs = 2000, \( I/\text{TW cm}^{-2} = 5 \times 10^{-4} \), pulse position at 5 ps, and CEP = \( \pi/2 \)
away with increasing time. This indicates that it is crucial to use very accurate rotational constants in LIMAO simulations. The accuracy of the polarizability tensor is less important, because it seems to have only a minor effect on the alignment and the intensity is not known very precisely in the experiments.

5.1.4 | The role of symmetry and nuclear spin statistical weights

The selection rules of the laser-induced rotational transitions are determined by symmetry. The polarizability of the CH$_3$X species transforms as $\Sigma^+$, the totally symmetric irreducible representation (irrep) of the $D_\infty$ rotation group. The polarizability transition between states $i$ and $f$, belonging to the $\Gamma_i$ and $\Gamma_f$ irreps, respectively, is allowed if $\Sigma^+ \subseteq \Gamma_i \otimes \Sigma^+ \otimes \Gamma_f$. Thus, based on the product table of the $D_\infty$ group, the polarizability transitions are only allowed between states belonging to the same irrep.

In order to study the contribution of the rotational states belonging to each irrep to the alignment of CH$_3$Cl, we made simulations where the NSSW was 1 for a single irrep and 0 for the others. The results can be seen in Figure 5. Rotational states belonging to the $E_1$, $E_2$, $E_3$ irreps produce revivals at every $nT_{rev}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), while $\Sigma^+$ and $\Sigma^-$ have revivals at every $(n+1/2)T_{rev}$, as well, but these cancel each other out when all irreps are included in the simulation.

**FIGURE 10** Effect of THz pulse parameters on the orientation of CH$_3$F. The frequency ($\nu$), intensity ($I$), and FWHM was varied, keeping the total pulse energy, $I \times$ FWHM constant. $T/K = 5$ and CEP = $\pi/2$ were used for all panels. The other pulse parameters of the top panel: FWHM = 2 ps, $I = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ TW cm$^{-2}$, pulse position was 5 ps; middle panel: FWHM = 10 ps, $I = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ TW cm$^{-2}$, pulse position was 25 ps; bottom panel: FWHM = 20 ps, $I = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ TW cm$^{-2}$, pulse position was 40 ps.
5.2 | The role of experimental parameters

5.2.1 | Pulse energy

For the sake of completeness, we briefly discuss the effect of experimental parameters on the alignment of CH$_3$X molecules. Figure 6 shows the maximal alignment as a function of the pulse intensity between 1 and 30 TW cm$^{-2}$ at 5 K. The CH$_3$F molecule clearly stands out (as also apparent from the previous sections), because it has a linear response in this intensity range, while the behavior of the other three molecules are nonlinear, similar in shape, and produce a saturation-type curve.

The question is whether this saturation-type behavior is a consequence of the different Boltzmann populations or the different polarizabilities. Repeating the above calculation while fixing the rotational constants of all molecules to that of the CH$_3$F value (see the right panel of Figure 6) resulted in a roughly similar plot.

5.2.2 | Temperature

As the atomic weight of the halogen atom and the $r_{C-X}$ carbon-halogen bond length increase from F to I, the moments of inertia along the axes perpendicular to the C–X bond increase, as well. This results in the decrease of the respective rotational constants, leading to an increased density of rotational states. Therefore, at finite temperatures the number of rotational states with non-negligible Boltzmann population will increase in succession from F to I, which is reflected in the values of the rotational partition functions.

Indeed, as summarized in Table 5, the value of $Q_{rot}(T)$ increases and the population of the $J=0$ state decreases when the atomic weight of X (from F to I) increases, that is, the heavier the substituent, the more care is needed to account for all populated rotational states. With more rotational states having non-negligible Boltzmann population, a more pronounced effect of thermal averaging on the alignment dynamics should be expected, resulting in lower maximal alignment. Figure 7 shows the maximal alignment as a function of the pulse intensity between 1 and 30 TW cm$^{-2}$ at 1 K and 10 K. The 10 K case exhibits somewhat lower alignment values than those of Figure 6, corresponding to 5 K, while for 1 K, the alignment is higher and the saturation starts at lower intensities.

6 | SINGLE-THZ-PULSE ALIGNMENT AND ORIENTATION

In this section, the rotational dynamics of the CH$_3$X species is investigated when the molecules are excited by a single intense THz pulse, causing non-adiabatic A&O dynamics. Similar to the case of the optical pulse, we study the effect of molecular parameters and the sensitivity of A&O to the accuracy of these parameters. The effects of the
Pulse parameters are investigated, as well, during which the regime of adiabatic A&O dynamics is also explored.

6.1 The role of pulse parameters, adiabatic and non-adiabatic regimes

The first set of pulse parameters used in our simulations reflect realistic values available at the ELI-ALPS institute: $\nu = 0.333$ THz (equivalent to 11.1 cm$^{-1}$ wavenumber) or 0.25 THz (equivalent to 8.3 cm$^{-1}$ wavenumber), $I = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ TW cm$^{-2}$, FWHM = 2000 fs, and CEP = $\pi/2$, where CEP stands for carrier envelope phase. In the case of THz pulses, the field-dipole interaction becomes dominant, because the polarizability interaction is proportional to the square of the electric field and the intensity is much lower than it was for the optical pulses. Furthermore, contrary to the optical-pulse case, the electric-field oscillation is slow enough for the field-dipole interaction not to average out to zero on the rotational timescale (cycle averaging cannot be used), which can lead to orientation in addition to alignment. As presented in Figures 8 and 9, such THz pulses can produce significant alignment and orientation for CH$_3$F, with smaller effect for the other molecules. The order of maximal orientation and alignment is CH$_3$F$>$ CH$_3$Cl$>$ CH$_3$Br$>$ CH$_3$I. In accordance with Section 2, the alignment revivals are alternating and have the same time period as in the single-optical pulse case, while the orientation revivals do not alternate and occur twice as seldom.

The maximal alignment and orientation is larger for the 0.25 THz pulse than for the 0.333 THz pulse, because the photon energy of the former is closer to the rotational transitions determined by the $B_e$ rotational constants (see Table 3).

The adiabatic regime of the A&O dynamics was also studied by varying the THz pulse parameters. We chose CH$_3$F for this investigation, because the other molecules would provide much smaller adiabatic A&O. Non-adiabatic alignment or orientation is observed when the laser pulse is turned on (and/or off) much faster than the rotational period(s). In this case, the molecule shows time-dependent revivals under field-free conditions, long after the laser pulse disappeared. In the case of adiabatic alignment or orientation, the laser pulse is turned on (and off) much slower than the rotational period(s). In this case, the spatial order of the molecules exists only during the pulse. Figure 10 shows how the orientation for CH$_3$F is affected by the frequency, duration, and intensity of the pulse. The intensity and the duration of the pulse were varied such that $I \times$ FWHM was kept constant. The tested frequencies are 0.5, 0.25, and 0.167 THz, the intensity values are $5 \times 10^{-4}$, $1 \times 10^{-4}$, and $5 \times 10^{-5}$ TW cm$^{-2}$, while 2, 10, and 20 ps are used for the FWHM. One can see that the FWHM = 2 ps case is clearly non-adiabatic, because the pulse is much shorter than the characteristic timescale of rotational motion, but for the cases of FWHM = 10 and 20 ps, we are
approaching the adiabatic regime, though the maximal orientation significantly decreases (note that the range of the vertical axis is only [−0.5, 0.5]). In this case, the molecular orientation follows the oscillation of the electric field during the pulse, but revivals are still present after the pulse, so the situation is not completely adiabatic. Out of the curves shown in Figure 10, the \( \nu = 0.25 \) THz and FWHM = 10 ps case is the closest to the adiabatic situation, but the achieved orientation is only moderate. The maximal orientation decreases if the frequency is increased, because the frequency is moved further from the rotational transitions, so the excitation decreases. Figure 10 demonstrates that for a given frequency, increasing the pulse duration also decreases the orientation and the degree of excitation, because the bandwidth of the pulse becomes narrower, and less resonant with the rotational transitions.

6.2 | The role of molecular parameters

6.2.1 | Rotational constants, dipole moment, and polarizability

The computations summarized in Figures 8 and 9 were repeated after setting the polarizabilities to zero for all four molecules, which did not change the results within numerical error. Therefore, the polarizability interaction with the field is negligible in this situation, as expected at such low field intensity. To clarify the different THz pulse-induced rotational behavior of the CH3X species and the role of the rotational constants, simulations with zero polarizability and an equal dipole of 2 D for all molecules were carried out. (in fact, the dipole moment is quite similar for all molecules, between 1.7–1.9 D). As can be seen in Figure 11, changes in the orientation with respect to changing the halogen atom are nearly the same as in Figures 8 and 9; therefore, it is understood that the rotational constants are responsible for the different molecular behavior. If the size of the halogen is increased, more rotational states will be populated at a given finite temperature, which decreases the maximal orientation. Furthermore, increasing the size of the halogen decreases the transition frequency between the rotational states, so the transition frequencies are further away from the central frequency of the pulse, which decreases the degree of excitation.

The computations summarized in Figures 8 and 9 were repeated after setting the rotational constant to the CH3F value for all molecules, resulting in nearly identical orientation for all the molecules. This confirms that the rotational constants determine the orientation in this situation, that is, when the molecules have similar permanent dipole moments.

6.2.2 | Sensitivity to the accuracy of molecular parameters

Figure 12 shows the A&O dynamics of CH3F and CH3Cl, obtained with parameters computed either at the highest, aug-cc-pwCVQZ CCSD(T) level or the much less expensive aug-cc-pV5Z B3LYP level,
and also with using $A_0$, $B_0$, and $\mu_0$ instead of the equilibrium values. Similarly to the case of the optical pulse, the results obtained with the vibrationally averaged parameters and with the aug-cc-pV5Z B3LYP equilibrium parameters coincide.

One can observe from Figure 12 that the revivals computed with the different parameter sets are not only drifting away with increasing time, but the maximal orientation is very different. This further emphasizes the need to use accurate rotational constants to obtain accurate orientation information.

6.2.3 The role of symmetry and nuclear-spin-statistical weights

To complete the picture, we analyzed the role of symmetry for the THz pulse, as well. The dipole moment of CH$_3$X transforms as the $\Sigma^-$ irrep of the $D_\infty^h$ rotational group. This determines the dipole transition selection rules: the transition between states $i$ and $f$, belonging to the $\Gamma_i$ and $\Gamma_f$ irreps, respectively, is allowed if $\Sigma^- \subseteq \Gamma_i \otimes \Sigma^- \otimes \Gamma_f$, that is, the direct product contains the total symmetric irrep. Thus, the allowed transitions are the following: $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^-$, $E_1 \rightarrow E_1$, $E_2 \rightarrow E_2$, and $E_3 \rightarrow E_2$. In order to study how transitions with different symmetry contribute to the A&O dynamics of CH$_3$F, we made separate simulations for the subsets of irreps interconnected by the dipole interaction (see Figure 13), where the NSSW of the given subset was 1, and all the others 0. These subsets are $\{\Sigma^+, \Sigma^-, E_1\}$, $\{E_2\}$, $\{E_3\}$. The A&O curves are quite similar in each case, except for slight differences in the $\{E_2\}$ curve toward the end of each revival. Contrary to the optical pulse scenario (see Figure 5), no new revivals appear in Figure 13 with respect to Figure 8.

7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The laser-induced alignment and orientation (A&O) dynamics of the prolate symmetric-top CH$_3$X (X = F, Cl, Br and I) molecules were investigated using standard quantum-chemistry software and the in-house LIMAO code. The molecular parameters required by LIMAO for the A&O simulations, that is, the rotational constants, the dipole moment vectors, and the polarizability tensors, were computed using different levels of electronic-structure theory and employing a variety of basis sets. The numerical convergence of the molecular parameters toward the ultimate complete-basis-set full-configuration-interaction limit was investigated utilizing the focal-point-analysis (FPA) approach. The molecular parameters computed and employed in the LIMAO simulations correspond to the equilibrium structure. Table 3 of this paper summarizes our most accurate estimates of these values. For CH$_3$F and CH$_3$Cl, vibrationally averaged rotational constants ($A_0$ and $B_0$) and dipoles ($\mu_0$) were also determined and utilized in the LIMAO simulations.

The qualitative changes observed in the molecular parameters computed can be explained straightforwardly and these trends can be used to understand the effect of halogen substitution on the laser-induced A&O dynamics. The $B_0$ rotational constant decreases in the F $\rightarrow$ Cl $\rightarrow$ Br $\rightarrow$ I order, that is as the halogen gets heavier. Changes in $A_0$ upon halogen substitution is much less pronounced and not monotonic. The dipole moments vary considerably less than the other molecular properties and it is a non-monotonous function of the atomic number of the halogen, having a maximum for CH$_3$Cl. Qualitatively, the dipole is determined by the partial charges on the carbon and halogen atoms, which depend on the electronegativity of these atoms, and the charge separation, which depends on the carbon-halogen bond length. These two properties show opposite trends with the increasing size of the halogen, and their effect on the dipole moment tend to cancel each other out. The polarizability anisotropy, $\Delta\alpha$, which determines the polarizability (field-induced dipole) interaction, increases with the size of the halogen: $\Delta\alpha_{CH_3F} < \Delta\alpha_{CH_3Cl} < \Delta\alpha_{CH_3Br} < \Delta\alpha_{CH_3I}$, with the largest difference found between X = F and Cl.

Sensitivity of the A&O results on the molecular parameters was tested extensively, showing that the A&O dynamics are most sensitive to the accuracy of the rotational constants, especially that of $B$. Interestingly, the A&O curves obtained using vibrationally averaged rotational constants and dipole moments are very similar to those resulting from the use of the equilibrium values of these parameters computed at the inexpensive aug-cc-pV5Z B3LYP level. Using equilibrium molecular parameters obtained at the most sophisticated, aug-cc-pwCVQZ CASSCF(T) level, results in slightly different A&O dynamics. This can be explained by the fact that, coincidently, $B_0$ and $B_{\text{awccQZ CASSCF(T)}}$ happen to be very close to each other, while $B_{\text{awccQZ CASSCF(T)}}$ is a bit different from both of them. This relationship does not characterize the A rotational constants.

Our systematic computations demonstrate that a short and intense optical laser pulse, with a chosen wavelength of 800 nm, can be used to achieve non-adiabatic alignment, whereby the molecule interacts with the electric field through its polarizability. The time between the alternating alignment revivals (half revivals), $T_{\text{rev}} = 1/(4\epsilon B_0)$, is inversely proportional to the rotational constant; therefore, it increases with the size of the halogen. The maximal alignment is much smaller for CH$_3$F than for the other molecules. The maximal alignment for CH$_3$F depends linearly on the pulse intensity, at least in the interval studied, while the other three molecules show a saturation-type behavior. The maximal alignment decreases if the rotational constant is decreased, but increases with increased polarizability anisotropy. For the CH$_3$X molecules, the latter effect dominates, especially at large pulse intensities, resulting in much greater alignment for CH$_3$Cl, CH$_3$Br, and CH$_3$I than for CH$_3$F. This behavior reflects the polarizability anisotropy of the four molecules. The contribution of the rotational states with different symmetry, weighed by their nuclear spin statistical weights (NSSW), was determined, demonstrating that certain alignment revivals cancel each other out in the averaged signal. Increasing the temperature decreases the maximal alignment, as expected.

Short and intense THz laser pulses can cause non-adiabatic orientation (and alignment) in the sample, with the time between the orientation revivals being twice as long as for the alignment. The field-
dipole interaction is the dominant one in the case of THz pulses, and the interaction due to the polarizability of the systems can be neglected. The frequency of the THz pulses is in the range of the rotational transitions; however, for few-cycle pulses the central frequency does not have to be resonant with a specific transition for achieving A&O. Due to the short duration of the pulse, the bandwidth covers several rotational transitions. We investigated the orientation of the CH3X molecules induced by experimentally feasible, intense few-cycle THz pulses with ν = 0.25 THz (equivalent to 8.3 cm⁻¹) and 0.333 THz (equivalent to 11.1 cm⁻¹) frequency and having a full width at half maximum of 2 ps. For these pulses, the maximal orientation decreases with the size of the halogen; thus, contrary to the case of the optical pulse, CH3F has the largest maximal A&O among the four molecules. The rotational constants determine the time between the revivals, similar to the optical-pulse case, but it is again the rotational constant which mainly determines the maximal orientation, as the CH3X molecules have similar dipole moments. If the rotational constants are decreased, that is, the size of the halogen is increased, more states will be populated at a given finite temperature, which lowers the maximal orientation. The transition frequency of the rotational transitions also decreases with decreasing rotational constants, leading to a smaller overlap between the bandwidth of the laser pulse and the rotational transitions (note that the rotational transitions of the CH3X molecules relevant in the A&O dynamics simulations of this study are mostly smaller than the THz photon energies). In the case of CH3F, we also studied the (nearly) adiabatic regime of the THz-pulse-induced A&O, showing much lower A&O than in the non-adiabatic case. A detailed investigation of the relation between the laser-induced rotational populations and the resulting A&O dynamics is planned in a future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
This research was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, awarded to T Sz., and by the ÚNKP-20-5 and ÚNKP-20-3 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund, awarded to T. Sz. and I.S., respectively. The Hungarian co-authors are also grateful to NKFIH for additional support (Grants No. FK134291, K119658, and K138233). ELI-ALPS is supported by the European Union and co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund (GI-NOP-2.3.6-15-2015-00001). K.C. and M.U.K. acknowledge Project no. 2019-2.1.13-TET-İN-2020-00059 which has been implemented with the support provided from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the 2019-2.1.13-TET-İN funding scheme.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST
The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID
Irén Simkó https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9691-9227
Attila G. Czászár https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5640-191X
Tamás Szidarovszky https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0878-5212

REFERENCES
[1] H. Stapelfeldt, T. Seideman, Rev. Mod. Phys. 2003, 75, 543.
[2] Y. Ohshima, H. Hasegawa, Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2010, 29, 619.
[3] M. Lemeshko, R. V. Krems, J. M. Doyle, S. Kais, Mol. Phys. 2013, 111, 1648.
[4] C. P. Koch, M. Lemeshko, D. Sugny, Rev. Mod. Phys. 2019, 91, 035005.
[5] M. Härte1, B. Friedrich, J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128, 224313.
[6] A. Korobenko, V. Milner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2016, 116, 183001.
[7] J. J. Larsen, H. Sakai, C. P. Sølv, I. Wendt-Larsen, H. Stapelfeldt, J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 111, 7774.
[8] B. Friedrich, D. Herschbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1995, 74, 4623.
[9] C. Z. Bisgaard, M. D. Poulsen, E. Péronne, S. S. Viftrup, H. Stapelfeldt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2004, 92, 173004.
[10] R. Damari, S. Kallush, S. Fleischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2016, 117, 103001.
[11] K. Sonoda, A. Iwasaki, K. Yamanouchi, H. Hasegawa, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2018, 693, 114.
[12] F. Rosca-Pruna, M. Vrakking, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2001, 87, 153902.
[13] T. Seideman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1999, 83, 4971.
[14] B. Shepperson, A. S. Chatterley, A. Søndergaard, L. Christiansen, M. Lemeshko, H. Stapelfeldt, J. Chem. Phys. 2017, 147, 013946.
[15] J. D. Pickering, B. Shepperson, L. Christiansen, H. Stapelfeldt, Phys. Rev. A 2019, 99, 043403.
[16] K. F. Lee, D. M. Villeneuve, P. B. Corkum, A. Stolow, J. G. Underwood, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2006, 97, 173001.
[17] M. Artamonov, T. Seideman, Mol. Phys. 2012, 110, 885.
[18] X. Ren, V. Makhija, V. Kumarappan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2014, 112, 173602.
[19] J. J. Larsen, K. Hald, N. Bjerré, H. Stapelfeldt, T. Seideman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2000, 85, 2470.
[20] I. Tutunnikov, L. Xu, R. W. Field, K. A. Nelson, Y. Prior, I. S. Averbukh, Philos. Rev. Res. 2021, 3, 013249.
[21] S. Fleischer, Y. Zhou, R. W. Field, K. A. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2011, 107, 163603.
[22] P. M. Felker, J. Phys. Chem. 1992, 96, 7844.
[23] C. Schröter, J. C. Lee, T. Schultz, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2018, 115, 5072.
[24] C. Riehn, Chem. Phys. 2002, 283, 297.
[25] A. S. Chatterley, L. Christiansen, C. A. Schouder, A. V. Jørgensen, B. Shepperson, I. N. Cherepanov, G. Bighin, R. E. Zillich, M. Lemeshko, H. Stapelfeldt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2020, 125, 013001.
[26] M. Nisoli, P. Declève, F. Calegari, A. Palacios, F. Martin, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 10760.
[27] F. Krausz, M. Ivanov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 2009, 81, 163.
[28] S. Kühn, D. Dumergue, S. Kahaly, S. Mondal, M. Füle, T. Csizmadia, B. Farkas, B. Major, Z. Várallyay, E. Cormier, M. Kalashnikov, F. Calegari, M. Devetta, F. Frassetto, E. Mänsös, L. Poletto, S. Stagira, C. Vozi, M. Nisoli, P. Rudawski, M. Maclot, F. Campi, H. Wikmark, C. L. Arnold, M. C. Heyl, P. Johnson, A. L’Huillier, R. Lopez-Martens, S. Haessler, M. Bocoum, F. Boehle, A. Vernier, G. Iaquaniello, E. Skantzakis, N. Papadakis, C. Kalpouzos, P. Tzallas, F. Lépine, D. Charalambidis, K. Varjú, K. Osyav, G. Sansone, J. Phys. B 2017, 50, 132002.
[29] P. M. Kraus, B. Mignolet, D. Baykusheva, A. Rupenyan, L. Horný, E. F. Penka, G. Grassi, O. I. Tolstikhin, J. Schneider, F. Jensen, L. B. Madsen, A. D. Bandrauk, F. Remacle, H. J. Wörner, Science 2015, 350, 790.
