Prevalence of diabetes and predictions of its risks using anthropometric measures in southwest rural areas of China
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Abstract

Background: To examine the prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in Songming county, Yunnan province, South-west China and examine influences of anthropometric indicators on diabetic risk.

Methods: This study was a population based cross-sectional study of 1031 subjects in Songming County aged 30 years and older. Age-standardization was performed by using the 2010 Songming population as the standard population. After an overnight fasting, participants underwent an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), and venous blood glucose levels were measured to identify diabetes and prediabetes. Physicians completed questionnaires and blood pressure measurements; trained nurses measured anthropometric variables. Age-adjusted logistic regression models were used to assess the association between anthropometric variables and diabetes.

Results: Total prevalences of diabetes and prediabetes were 10.0% and 11.6%, respectively. In women, prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes significantly increased with body mass index (BMI), waist hip ratio (WHR), and waist-to-height ratio (WHtR). But in men, prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes only significantly increased with WHR and WHtR. Compared to 1st WHR tertile in women, there was a nearly tenfold increase in the risk of diabetes with 3rd WHR tertile (OR 10.50, 95% CI 3.95-27.86). Men with 3rd BMI tertile had 4.8-fold risk of getting diabetes compared to men with 1st WHtR tertile (OR 4.79, 95% CI 1.88-12.26). Only WHtR had significantly higher receiver operating characteristic (ROC) area than BMI in total men (0.668 vs. 0.561, p < 0.05). And in total women, only WHR had significantly higher ROC area than BMI (0.723 vs. 0.628, p < 0.05). In the partial correlation analysis controlling for waist circumference, only WHR had significant correlation with fasting plasma glucose (r = 0.132, p = 0.002) and 2-h plasma glucose (r = 0.162, p = 0.000) in women, and WHtR had a much stronger association with both fasting plasma glucose (r = 0.305, P = 0.000) and 2 h plasma glucose (r = 0.303, P = 0.000) than WHR in men.

Conclusion: High prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes were found in this underdeveloped region. About half of total subjects with diabetes were undiagnosed. The association of obesity indices and diabetic risk factors varied with gender. The strongest predictors of diabetes were WHR for the female subgroup and WHtR for the male subgroup.
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Background
Diabetes is a major public health problem in the world. The total number of diabetic patients is expected to soar to 366 million in 2030 [1]. The disease has caused a huge social and economic burden. Detailed information about the prevalence and demographics of diabetes in specific area was important for healthcare providers to take suitable approaches [2]. In a recent study, Yang et al. [3] estimated that 92.4 million adults 20 years of age or older (9.7% of the adult population) had diabetes, which was 4.5 times the number estimated in the Chinese National Nutrition and Health Survey in 2002 [4]. But the study by Yang et al. has aroused widespread controversy. Rural residents were undersampled and no participants were recruited from southwestern area of China, such as Yunnan province [3].

Yunnan is adjacent to Southeast Asia Region (SEAR) bordering Myanmar, Laos and Vietnam. Yunnan province shares some typical characteristics of SEAR, such as ethnic feature, cultural feature and agricultural economy [5]. Yunnan is one of China’s poorest provinces and is located in a mountainous area at an average elevation of 2000 ~ 3000 m. In 2000, 87% of the population were peasants and the illiteracy rate was 50%. It boasts the biggest-selling Chinese cigarette brands and has the largest tobacco production in China [6]. The local inhabitants have a high prevalence of tobacco smoking and like salty and greasy food.

In Yunnan, the economy has traditionally been dominated by agriculture and more than 60% of the population live in rural areas. It has been considered the typical representation of underdeveloped regions in China. On the other hand, on the background of China’s western region development strategy in recent years, lifestyle and social structure began to change dramatically. Therefore, understanding the epidemic characteristics of diabetes is important for healthcare providers to formulate appropriate public health policies in this rural area. So far, however, no data has been reported on the prevalence of diabetes in this region.

This study was designed to provide reliable data on the prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in Songming County and to identify the best anthropometric indices in predicting risk of diabetes.

Methods
Study design
This was a population-based, cross-sectional study in Songming County from June 2010 to September 2010. Information on personal characteristics, anthropometric indices, blood glucose levels and blood pressures was collected.

Study area and population
Our study was conducted in Songming County, Yunnan province, southwest China. It is in the middle of Yunnan province (longitude 103.03E, latitude 25.35 N). Per capita disposable income in the county was 2230 yuan in 2001 and 5162 yuan in 2010. (In 2010, 6.7695 yuan equaled U.S. $1.00.). In 2010, it had a population of 292250 and contained 8 townships. The total area is 1357.29 km². Villages are scattered with an average distance of 43 km from Kunming, capital of Yunnan Province.

Sampling technique
A multistage stratified sampling method was used to select a representative sample of persons 30 years of age or older. Firstly, all townships were divided into three groups including county, industrial town and agricultural town according to their geographic regions and economic development status. Secondly, three villages were randomly selected from each group. In each selected village, individuals aged ≥30 years were recruited, all of whom were Han Chinese and had homogeneous lifestyles. All the subjects had lived in Songming County for 5 years or longer [3,7].

Data collection
The survey was conducted in a given site of each village. Physicians completed questionnaires and blood pressure measurements, and trained nurses measured anthropometric variables including height, weight, waist circumference and hip circumference and performed OGTT. The questionnaires included questions related to the diagnosis and treatment of diabetes. If participants had a history of diabetes or were taking drugs to treat diabetes, steamed bread meal tests were performed. If not, an OGTT was performed to diagnose diabetes. Participants who were suspected of undiagnosed diabetes were told to go to Songming County People’s Hospital to undergo another OGTT to confirm the diagnosis. No individual was found to take metformin for other reasons than diagnosed diabetes.

Oral glucose tolerance test
After an overnight fasting for at least 10 h, a standard 75-g glucose solution was given. And venous blood samples were drawn at 0 min and 120 min (2-h) to identify diabetes and pre-diabetes (impaired glucose tolerance and/or impaired fasting glucose). Venous blood samples collected from the antecubital vein were put into vacuum tubes containing sodium fluoride in 4°C ice boxes and were analyzed within 3 h. Samples of venous plasma glucose were measured with the use of an oxidase enzymatic method on a laboratory automatic analyzer (Hitachi 7080, Tokyo, Japan).

Steamed bread test
According to Chinese medical ethics, for participants with previously diagnosed diabetes, steamed bread tests were performed [3]. In this test, a 100-g steamed bread
Anthropometric indices including BMI, WHR and WHtR were equally divided into three groups (1st, 2nd and 3rd tertile). The continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Chi-square tests were used for categorical variables, and Student’s t tests were used to assess differences in continuous variables. Age- and gender-specific crude prevalence were standardized to the overall age distribution of Songming population in 2010. Logistic regression was used to determine the impact of anthropometric indices on diabetes. In an epidemiological study, this attributable risk provides an estimation of public health impact of these anthropometric indicators [13]. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant and all P values were two-sided. ROC curves were used to determine the predictive power of each anthropometric indices. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistics Package for the Social Sciences software release 15.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Ethical approval
Written informed consents were obtained from all participants and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Songming County People’s Hospital.

Results
Sample characteristics
Basic characteristics were summarized in Table 2. 1031 subjects were recruited in this study, and there were more females (52.7%) than males (47.3%). The mean age was higher in men (55.4 years) than women (54.0 years). A total of 120 diabetic patients were enrolled, of whom 63 (52.5%) were diagnosed and 57 (47.5%) undiagnosed. Among the diabetic subjects, 59.2% were women and 40.8% were men.

Age- and gender-specific prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes
The crude and age-standardized prevalences of diabetes and prediabetes among men and women were shown in Table 3, respectively. The prevalences of diabetes and prediabetes rose with age in women. Age-specific prevalence of diabetes in men increased progressively with increasing age, but fell in 60–69 age group. Prevalence of prediabetes in men also fell in 50–59 age group (Table 3).

BMI, WHR and WHtR tertiles and prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes
Based on the sex-specific BMI, WHR and WHtR tertiles, a basically consistent trend was found of increasing prevalence of both diabetes and prediabetes with increasing BMI, WHR and WHtR tertiles (Table 4). Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes increased with BMI tertiles, but no significant differences were found among BMI tertiles in men (Table 4).

Associations between BMI, WHR and WHtR and diabetes
Logistic regression analyses were carried out separately in men and women to investigate the independent
relation between anthropometric indices and diabetes after adjusting for age. Relative to 1st BMI tertile, adjusted odds of diabetes significantly rose in 3rd BMI tertile in men and total participants (Figure 1a). There was a consistent trend of increasing WHR and WHtR tertiles with increasing risk of diabetes. Compared to 1st WHR tertile in women, there was a nearly tenfold increase in the risk of diabetes with 3rd WHR tertile (OR 10.50, 95% CI 3.95-27.86) (Figure 1b). Men with 3rd BMI tertile had 4.8-fold risk of getting diabetes compared to men with 1st WHtR tertile (OR 4.798, 95% CI 1.88 ~ 12.26) (Figure 1c).

Figure 2 showed that only WHtR had significantly higher ROC area than BMI in total men (0.668 vs. 0.551, P < 0.05). In total women, however, only WHR had significantly higher ROC area than BMI (0.723 vs. 0.628, P < 0.05). BMI-stratified analyses (BMI < 25 kg/m² and BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) were performed. In both men and women with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², no significant difference was shown in the AUC among WHR, BMI and WHtR. In men with BMI < 25 kg/m², both WHtR (0.760 vs. 0.551, P < 0.05) and WHR (0.707 vs. 0.551, P < 0.05) had significantly higher AUC than BMI (Figure 2). In women with BMI < 25 kg/m², WHR had significantly higher AUC than BMI (0.713 vs. 0.536, P < 0.05).

Partial correlation analyses controlling for waist circumference revealed that BMI was not found to have any significant association with fasting plasma glucose and 2-h plasma glucose in both men and women (Table 5). In women, only WHR had significant correlation with fasting plasma glucose (r = 0.132, p < 0.000) and 2-h plasma glucose (r = 0.162, p < 0.000). While controlling for waist circumference, WHtR had a much stronger association with both fasting plasma glucose and 2-h plasma glucose than WHR in men (Table 5).

### Discussion

#### High prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes

In this study, we found that the prevalences of diabetes and prediabetes were 10.0% and 11.6% respectively. Yang and colleagues [3] reported that the total prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in China had now reached 9.7% and 15.5% among adults. In the underdeveloped rural regions of China, the prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes were 5.8% and 15.9% [3]. These findings indicated that diabetes was very common in China, especially in underdeveloped rural areas. In addition, prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in all Asian countries were also high [14,15] and were expected to increase further during the next two decades. Yunnan is adjacent to SEAR and, therefore, we speculated that population in this region might share common risk factors for diabetes, such as common genetic determinants [16], food habit [17-19], climate and environment [19].

Several reasons may contribute to high prevalence of diabetes in these areas. Firstly, Asian populations, especially those of SEAR descent, are more prone to abdominal obesity and low muscle mass with increased insulin resistance [20]. Secondly, rapid socioeconomic development has led to a concurrent shift in infrastructure, technology, and food supply that promote overnutrition and sedentary lifestyles [2]. Polished rice and refined wheat, for example, form the basis of most Asian diets with high glycemic index and glycemic load values [20]. Increased urbanization and use of automobiles have caused many inhabitants to shift from a physically active, agrarian lifestyle marked by energy scarcity to a sedentary lifestyle. Thirdly, Songming inhabitants like cigarette smoking as well as salty and greasy food. Smoking was known to induce insulin resistance and inadequate

### Table 1 Diagnostic criteria for total subjects

| Subjects                  | Test                        | Category          | Diagnostic criteria                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| History of diabetes       | Steamed bread test          | Diagnosed diabetes a | A positive response to the question, “Has a doctor ever told you that you have diabetes?”                                                                 |
| No history of diabetes    | OGTT [10]                   | Undiagnosed diabetes | Fasting  
2-h  
|                           |                             | Isolated IGT      | Fasting  
2-h  
|                           |                             | Isolated IFG      | Fasting  
2-h  
|                           |                             | Combined IFG and IGT | Fasting  
2-h  
|                           |                             | Normal            | Fasting  
2-h |

a The diagnosed diabetes was identified by a positive response to the following question, “Has a doctor ever told you that you have diabetes?” [3].

IGT, impaired glucose tolerance.

IFG, impaired fasting glucose.

| Venous plasma glucose (mmol/L) |
|-------------------------------|
| ≥7.0                          |
| ≥11.1                         |
| <6.1                          |
| ≥7.8 and <11.1                |
| ≥6.1 and <7.0                 |
| ≥7.8                          |
| ≥7.8 and <11.1                |
| <6.1                          |
| <7.8                          |

IGT, impaired glucose tolerance.

IFG, impaired fasting glucose.
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compensatory insulin secretion responses. A meta-analysis found that current smoking was associated with 44% increased risk of developing diabetes [21]. And some studies also found a positive association between smoking and diabetes [22-24].

Undiagnosed diabetes in southwest rural areas of China
There was a lack of diabetes awareness in rural areas of China, which led to high prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes. Several studies have shown that the prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes was equal to or higher than diagnosed diabetes [25-27]. Our results were similar to results among Australians (47%) [28] and Chinese (46.6%) [3].

In rural areas of China, limited infrastructure for the care of diabetes and low diabetes awareness among the general public exacerbated the high prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes. In recent years, people in these areas have experienced reduced access to medical care. In fact, the number of village health officials has been

| Participants | Normal (n=768) | Prediabetes (n=143) | Undiagnosis diabetes (n=57) | Diagnosis diabetes (n=63) | P |
|--------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Gender, n (%) |                |                     |                           |                          |   |
| Female       | 394(62.6%)     | 78(14.4%)           | 28(5.2%)                  | 43(7.9%)                 | 0.039 |
| Male         | 374(76.6%)     | 65(13.3%)           | 29(5.9%)                  | 20(4.1%)                 |   |
| Age, n(%)    |                |                     |                           |                          | 0.000 |
| <50          | 327(83.6%)     | 34(8.7%)            | 15(3.8%)                  | 15(3.8%)                 |   |
| 50-65        | 319(72.3%)     | 64(14.5%)           | 24(5.4%)                  | 34(7.7%)                 |   |
| >65          | 122(61.3%)     | 45(22.6%)           | 18(9.0%)                  | 14(7.0%)                 |   |
| BMI (kg/m2)  |                |                     |                           |                          | 0.000 |
| <25          | 529(79.9%)     | 71(10.7%)           | 24(3.6%)                  | 38(5.7%)                 |   |
| ≥25          | 239(64.8%)     | 72(19.5%)           | 33(8.9%)                  | 25(6.8%)                 |   |
| Waist circumference |       |                     |                           |                          |   |
| Male <90 cm  | 307(79.1%)     | 44(11.3%)           | 21(5.4%)                  | 16(4.1%)                 | 0.096 |
| Male ≥90 cm  | 67(67%)        | 21(21%)             | 8(8%)                     | 4(4%)                    |   |
| Female <80 cm| 272(81.9%)     | 33(9.9%)            | 7(2.1%)                   | 20(6.0%)                 | 0.000 |
| Female ≥80 cm| 122(57.8%)     | 45(21.3%)           | 21(10.0%)                 | 23(10.9%)                |   |
| WHR b        |                |                     |                           |                          |   |
| Male <0.9    | 271(82.1%)     | 33(10.9%)           | 15(4.5%)                  | 11(3.3%)                 | 0.001 |
| Male ≥0.9    | 103(65.2%)     | 32(20.3%)           | 14(8.9%)                  | 9(5.7%)                  |   |
| Female <0.85 | 212(88.0%)     | 16(6.6%)            | 62(2.5%)                  | 7(2.9%)                  | 0.000 |
| Female ≥0.85 | 182(60.3%)     | 62(20.5%)           | 22(7.3%)                  | 36(11.9%)                |   |
| WHTr c       |                |                     |                           |                          |   |
| < 0.5        | 460(82.6%)     | 52(9.3%)            | 18(3.2%)                  | 27(4.8%)                 | 0.000 |
| ≥ 0.5        | 308(65.0%)     | 91(19.2%)           | 39(8.2%)                  | 36(7.6%)                 |   |
| SBP          |                |                     |                           |                          |   |
| <140 mm Hg   | 607(78.6%)     | 87(11.3%)           | 34(4.4%)                  | 44(5.7%)                 | 0.000 |
| ≥140 mm Hg   | 607(78.6%)     | 87(11.3%)           | 34(4.4%)                  | 44(5.7%)                 |   |
| DBP          |                |                     |                           |                          |   |
| <90 mm Hg    | 661(76.7%)     | 107(12.4%)          | 43(5.0%)                  | 51(5.9%)                 | 0.008 |
| ≥90 mm Hg    | 107(63.3%)     | 36(21.3%)           | 14(8.3%)                  | 12(7.1%)                 |   |

Data are expressed as n(%).
* Chi-square tests for trend.
* a According to the cut-offs as suggested by WHO [29].
* b According to the cut-offs as suggested by WHO [41].
* c According to the cut-offs as suggested by He et al. [42].

WHR, waist hip ratio.
WHtr, Waist-to-height ratio.
BMI, body mass index.
SBP, systolic blood pressure.
DBP, diastolic blood pressure.
### Table 3 Age and gender prevalence of different intolerance categories among Techranian adults

| Age group (years) | Study population (n) | Isolated IFG (%) | Isolated IGT (%) | IFG/IGT (%) | Undiagnosed diabetes (%) | Known diabetes |
|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|
|                   |                      |                  |                  |             |                          |               |
| *Men*             |                      |                  |                  |             |                          |               |
| 20-29             | 694                  | 5.1              | 1.0              | 0.7         | 0.8                      | 1.1           |
| 30-39             | 1054                 | 9.8              | 4.4              | 1.7         | 1.6                      | 2.8           |
| 40-49             | 775                  | 11.1             | 6.9              | 4.6         | 5.2                      | 6.9           |
| 50-59             | 605                  | 11.2             | 7.0              | 7.3         | 9.3                      | 14.7          |
| 60-69             | 637                  | 8.5              | 8.2              | 9.4         | 8.6                      | 20.3          |
| ≥70               | 241                  | 7.1              | 11.7             | 6.2         | 14.9                     | 19.1          |
| Un-standardized   | 4006                 | 9.1(8.2-10.0)    | 5.8(5.1-6.5)     | 4.6(4.0-5.2)| 5.1(4.4-5.8)             | 8.1(8.1-9.9)  |
| Age-standardizeda| 4006                 | 8.7(7.8-9.6)     | 5.4(4.7-6.1)     | 4.0(3.4-4.6)| 5.1(4.4-5.8)             | 8.1(7.3-8.9)  |
| *Women*           |                      |                  |                  |             |                          |               |
| 20-29             | 1171                 | 3.4              | 2.7              | 0.9         | 0.4                      | 0.7           |
| 30-39             | 1464                 | 5.4              | 6.9              | 2.6         | 2.1                      | 3.0           |
| 40-49             | 1131                 | 7.8              | 9.7              | 6.0         | 6.9                      | 8.7           |
| 50-59             | 926                  | 9.4              | 9.9              | 7.3         | 8.4                      | 17.5          |
| 60-69             | 664                  | 9.1              | 9.6              | 7.6         | 9.8                      | 25.4          |
| ≥70               | 127                  | 4.9              | 15.5             | 7.4         | 6.1                      | 27.2          |
| Un-standardized   | 5483                 | 6.6(5.9-7.3)     | 7.6(6.9-8.3)     | 4.6(4.0-5.2)| 49(4.3-5.5)              | 94(8.6-10.2)  |
| Age-standardizeda| 5483                 | 6.3(5.7-6.9)     | 76(6.9-8.3)      | 4.5(4.0-5.0)| 4.7(4.1-5.3)             | 10(9.2-10.8)  |
| **Total (95%CI)** |                      |                  |                  |             |                          |               |
| Un-standardized   | 9489                 | 7.7(7.2-8.2)     | 6.8(6.3-7.3)     | 4.6(4.2-5.0)| 5.0(4.6-5.4)             | 9.2(8.6-9.8)  |
| Age-standardizeda| 9489                 | 7.3(6.8-7.8)     | 6.7(6.2-7.2)     | 4.2(3.8-4.6)| 4.9(4.5-5.3)             | 9.1(8.5-9.7)  |

### Table 4 Distribution of diabetes and prediabetes across sex groups and BMI, WHR and WHtR tertiles

| Age   | **Men** |       |       |       | **Women** |       |       |       |       | **Total** |       |       |       |       |
|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|       | Diabetes | Prediabetes | Estimated population (n=86161) | Diabetes | Prediabetes | Estimated population (n=172280) | Diabetes | Prediabetes | Estimated population (n=172280) |
| 30~   | 3.4      | 6.9   | 25641 | 7.1    | 3.6   | 25268 | 5.3    | 5.3    | 50909     |
| 40~   | 5.8      | 13.3  | 25571 | 10.8   | 7.6   | 25594 | 8.7    | 10.1   | 51165     |
| 50~   | 17.2     | 9.0   | 15662 | 10.8   | 18.0  | 15790 | 13.5   | 14.2   | 31452     |
| 60~   | 8.1      | 22.5  | 9759  | 18.4   | 17.5  | 9174  | 13.1   | 20.1   | 18933     |
| 70~   | 10.3     | 10.3  | 6279  | 20.9   | 23.3  | 6295  | 14.9   | 15.8   | 12574     |
| 80~   | 21.1     | 15.8  | 3249  | 23.5   | 35.5  | 3998  | 22.2   | 25.0   | 7247      |
| Crude (95% CI) | 4.0(1.5-7.5) | 13.3(1.04 -16.2) | 13.1(104-140) | 14.4(11.4-17.3) | 11.6(9.8-13.4) | 13.9(11.9-15.9) |
| Age-standardized (95% CI) | 8.3(8.1-9.5) | 11.5(11.3-11.7) | 11.9(11.7-12.1) | 11.8(11.6-12.0) | 10.0(9.8-10.1) | 11.6(11.5-11.7) |

*P* for Pearson Chi-Square test.

**P**" for linear-by-linear association.

WHR, Waist hip ratio.

WHtR, Waist-to-height ratio.

BMI, body mass index.

1st: first.

2nd: second.

3rd: third.
Figure 1 Age adjusted odd ratios and 95% confidence intervals for diabetes by sex-specific BMI, WHR and WHtR tertiles. (* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.001).
Reduced and the number of health-care centres has also decreased significantly in townships and villages [2]. Songming is situated in a mountainous area and is relatively undeveloped. Consequently, it is difficult for local residents to have proper access to healthcare facilities or medicine.

**Associations between BMI, WHR and WHtR and risk for diabetes**

Overweight and obesity have been major risk factors for diabetes. The World Health Organization suggested the cutoff value of obesity as BMI \( \geq 25 \, \text{kg/m}^2 \) in Asia-Pacific region [29]. In the literature, a variety of anthropometric indicators have been suggested to predict diabetes and no consensus has been reached [30,31]. The reason may be the fact that predictive power of each anthropometric index was population-dependent [32] and varied with different ethnic groups [33].

The findings of this study showed WHtR and WHR were more efficient than BMI in identifying risk of diabetes. BMI had advantages and disadvantages in identifying overweight and obesity. Although BMI is by far the most widely used measurement to reflect general obesity and easy to measure, it does not accurately apply to elderly populations, pregnant women or very muscular athletes such as weightlifters. WHR and WHtR were used as indices of central obesity or abdominal obesity.

Abdominal obesity is closely correlated with both insulin resistance and diabetes. Abdominal fat is especially active hormonally, secreting adipokines that may possibly impair glucose tolerance [34], such as tumor necrosis factor \( \alpha \), interleukin-6, or resistin [35]. Those cytokines and low grade chronic inflammation in fat led to insulin resistance and pancreatic \( \beta \) cell damage and aggravated the development and progression of diabetes. Abdominal obesity is a characteristic feature in many Asian populations, especially in southeast Asia. So indices of central obesity such as WHR and WHtR are better than indices of general obesity such as BMI in identifying risk of diabetes.

Another interesting finding of this study was that the association of central obesity indices and risk of diabetes varied with gender. The strongest predictor of diabetes was WHR for the female subgroup and WHtR for the male subgroup.

This result in men was similar to previous studies. Consistent with a study from Iran, WHtR appeared to be a better diabetes predictor in a follow-up of adult men from Iran [36]. Besides, a meta-analysis [37] containing 25 studies from nine countries (six Asian countries, the other three were in Caribbean, Europe, and Middle East) analyzing the data of AUCs in predicting type 2 diabetes showed significant differences between WHtR and BMI in only men (0.672 vs. 0.726, \( P < 0.01 \)). And our findings were consistent with previous studies in women.
Table 5 Waist circumference adjusted partial correlation coefficients between anthropometric variable and plasma glucose

|                | Man |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|----------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                | BMI | WHtR  | WHR   | BMI   | WHtR  | WHR   | BMI   | WHtR  | WHR   |
| Fasting plasma glucose | r=0.044 | r=0.305 | r=0.103 | r=0.041 | r=0.031 | r=0.132 | r=0.015 | r=0.153 | r=0.151 |
|                | (P=0.332) | (P=0.000) | (P=0.024) | (P=0.336) | (P=0.473) | (P=0.002) | (P=0.622) | (P=0.000) | (P=0.000) |
| 2-h plasma glucose | r=0.017 | r=0.303 | r=0.148 | r=0.044 | r=0.036 | r=0.162 | r=0.014 | r=0.200 | r=0.116 |
|                | (P=0.701) | (P=0.000) | (P=0.001) | (P=0.332) | (P=0.440) | (P=0.000) | (P=0.653) | (P=0.000) | (P=0.000) |

BMI: body mass index.
WHtR: Waist hip ratio.
WHR: Waist-to-height ratio.

Shanghai Women’s Health Study [38], risk of type 2 diabetes was more strongly related to WHR than with BMI. In Iowa Women’s Study [39], the dose-response relationship between risk of diabetes and WHR was much stronger than that with BMI.

WHR and WHtR are common indices of central obesity. Central obesity is closely correlated with both insulin resistance and diabetes. It is an excess accumulation of fat in the abdominal region. About half of the total cases with diabetes were undiagnosed. The association of obesity indices and diabetic risk factors varied with gender. The strongest predictor of diabetes was WHR for the female subgroup and WHR for the male subgroup.
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