Hydroalcoholic Extract from *Copaifera Langsdorffii* Has Skin Wound Healing Activity in Rats

**Abstract**

The loss of skin integrity causes wounds, and in response, the organism promotes the healing process, which is divided into three phases: inflammatory, proliferative and remodeling. Several plant species have been tested in healing experimental wounds due to popular application. Among the species used in skin wound healing related in popular knowledge is *Copaifera langsdorffii*. By this way, we aim to analyze wound healing changes in rats with skin lesions after treatment with hydroalcoholic extract from *Copaifera langsdorffii* leaves. Male Wistar rats were submitted to excisional injury with a 2 cm diameter in the dorsal region. They were divided into groups (n=6) with daily treatments of hydroalcoholic extract at 1; 5 and 10% concentrations or a negative control (saline) during 3, 7 and 21 days. The lesions were analyzed and measured daily. Blood was collected for toxicity analysis, and dorsal lesions were collected for histological analysis and measurements of cellularity in normal skin, border and center of the lesion. The toxicity data did not show any significant differences between the groups. Microscopic analysis showed an increase in total cell number at the edges of the extract treated groups after 3 days. Macroscopically, the groups treated with 5% and 10% extract demonstrated better wound retraction compared with saline treatment. In summary, the groups treated with hydroalcoholic extract of *Copaifera langsdorffii* showed the efficacy of the plant as treatment of skin wound healing.
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**Introduction**

The skin is the largest organ of the body and a barrier that covers the body’s surface [1]. One of its main functions is to protect vertebrates in all environments, including exposure to antigens, chemical and physical protection against external agents, body thermoregulation, control of entry and exit of electrolytes and protection against water loss. In addition, the skin has many nerve endings that are responsible for receiving environmental information and sending them to the central nervous system [2,3]. The loss of skin integrity causes wounds that can be caused by a variety of events, such as trauma, exposure to heat, cold, corrosive materials, radiation or impaired blood circulation [4]. In response to these insults, the body promotes the healing process, a dynamic process that restores the function and integrity of damaged tissue [2].

All stages of the healing mechanisms are dependent on cellular, molecular and physiological processes for the structural restoration of the damaged region. Due to the high complexity of this cascade of events, some authors use didactic classifications to separate the phases of wound healing [5,6]. This process is highly complex and involves a sequence of interdependent and overlapping stages: hemostasis and inflammation (inflammatory phase), the formation of granulation tissue and epithelialization (proliferative phase) and the remodeling phase [4].

Skin wounds have a significant impact on public health and the investment of public resources because these wounds can lead to physical or psychosocial damage and even death [2,7]. Although there are curative treatments for chronic wounds on the market, most of these products are expensive for the patients due to the long duration of treatment. Therefore, researchers and industries have tried to explore new therapeutic strategies to accelerate the reduction of injuries [8], including the use of plants and natural products [9]. Some plant species or natural products derived from plants have been tested successfully for healing experimental wounds in recent studies [10,11].

The *Copaifera langsdorffii* Desf. (Leguminosae), popularly known as "copaiba" is a tree that grows in the North and Northeast regions of Brazil, especially in the states of Amazonas, Pará and Ceará [12]. Since the sixteenth century, it has been used by Brazilian natives to treat various diseases [13]. Studies have demonstrated its anti-inflammatory, anti-nociceptive, antioxidant,
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Copaifera langsdorffii is known for its antimicrobial and wound healing activities [14-18]. Most studies of gender Copaifera use the oleoresin from the bark, due to its application in folk medicine; however, there are few studies using the aerial parts of the tree [19]. Furthermore, the aromatic and phenolic compounds present in the aerial parts of Copaifera langsdorffii demonstrate its importance in the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industry [20], and the hydroalcoholic extract of the leaves is used for the treatment of urolithiasis [21]. Moreover, using the Copaifera langsdorffii leaves enables companies to obtain larger quantities of the product and lower the production costs.

Therefore, this study aims to analyze the skin wound healing activity of hydroalcoholic extract from Copaifera langsdorffii leaves in rats.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of Copaifera langsdorffii hydroalcoholic extract

Copaifera langsdorffii leaves were collected in Ribeirão Preto at the Campus of the University of São Paulo, Brazil. Plant material was identified by Professor Milton Groppo Júnior from the Biology Department of the University of São Paulo-USP, Campus Ribeirão Preto, in which a voucher specimen (SPFR 10120) was deposited. Plant material was dried in a circulating oven at 40 °C for 48 h, furnishing 250 g dried leaves. Plant biomass was powdered using a knife mill and submitted three times sequentially to maceration over 24 h using aqueous ethanol 7:3 at room temperature. After filtration, the solvent was recovered under a vacuum below 40 °C, and the crude extract was lyophilized to furnish 22 g hydroalcoholic extract from Copaifera langsdorffii leaves (EH).

Phytochemical profile of Copaifera langsdorffii hydroalcoholic extract

Hydroalcoholic extract of the Copaifera langsdorffii leaves was analyzed by using a Shimadzu SCL-10Avp (Kyoto, Japan) multi solvent delivery HPLC system, a Shimadzu SPD-M10Avp photodiode array detector and an Intel Celeron computer for controlling the analytical system. The analyses were undertaken using two monolithic columns linked in series (Onyx™ 100 x 4.6 mm; C18, Phenomenex) and a pre-column from the same company. The mobile phase consisted of water (A) and acetonitrile (B). The elution program was 5-6% of phase B for 1 minute, 6-8% of B (1-2 minutes), 8-10% of B (2-5 minutes), 10-15% of B (5-12 minutes), 15% of B (12-22 minutes), 25-35% of B (22-27 minutes), 25% of B (27-35 minutes), 25-40% of B (35-39 minutes), 40% of B (39-42 minutes), 40-100% of B (42-47 minutes), 100% of B for 1 minute and finally an additional 12 minutes to return to the initial conditions and re-equilibrate the column. The flow rate was 1.0mL/minute, and the detection was set at 257nm.

The data of the wound areas were expressed as the mean ± SD. Histological analysis

To determine the reduction of the wound size and the healing potential of the treatments, the limits of the edges were marked and submitted to planimetry analysis using digital pachymeter. The area of wound retraction was calculated (%) by the following formula:

\[
\% \text{ wound retraction} = \frac{(\text{initial area of the wound} - \text{area of wound measured})}{\text{initial area of the wound}} \times 100
\]

The data of the wound areas were expressed as the mean ± SD.

Histological analysis

The samples of each animal were fixed with 4% buffered formalin and processed in paraffin for HE staining (hematoxylin and eosin).
and eosin). For HE staining, 15 pictures of slices (each slice corresponding to the respective animal) were analyzed for three different regions (normal skin, border and center of the lesion). The total number of cells present in these regions was quantified to verify the cellular differences between the regions and the tested groups, comparing the total area (1,000,000 μm²) with the labeled area of the cells (μm²).

**Toxicity**

All animals had blood drawn and processed for biochemical analyses of systemic toxicity: AST (aspartate aminotransferase), ALT (alanine aminotransferase) and γ GT (gamma glutamyl transferase) were used to investigate liver toxicity, and urea and creatinine were used to investigate renal toxicity.

**Statistical analysis**

For statistical analysis, all data were determined by analysis of variance of the repeated measures in the independent groups followed by the Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test ± standard deviation (SD), considering a 5% level of significance. The analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software.

**Results and Discussion**

The skin consists of an important biological barrier that provides protection for vertebrates against various environmental factors. Skin lesions generate a discontinuity in that barrier and promote healing processes to reconstitute homeostasis at the injured site [22,23]. The healing process consists of different phases, including inflammation, proliferation of cells, synthesis of granulation tissue, collagen maturation and remodeling of the wound. These phases may occur overlapping and independently [24] and involve molecular, cellular and physiological processes to restructure the injury [23].

Plants have been used to treat various diseases and health issues for thousands of years and nearly one-third of the medicinal plants that are currently used are designed to treat skin lesions. Therefore, the use of bioactive compounds and medicinal plants is an alternative to assist in the healing process and may reduce the time of wound closure, reepithelialization and tissue fibrosis due to the presence of secondary metabolites, such as tannins, steroids, terpenoids, flavonoids, alkaloids, coumarins and saponins that act during different stages of healing [25]. The HPLC chromatographic analyses allowed the identification of two major phenolic compounds, quercetin-3-O-a-L-rhamnopyranoside (quercetin) and kaempferol-3-O-a-L-rhamnopyranoside (afzelin) in hydroalcoholic extract of Copaifera langsdorffii leaves. Quercetin and afzelin are glycosides of quercetin which have shown antioxidant [26], anti-inflammatory [27] activities and protection against UV-damage [28,29]. By the biological properties of these phenolic compounds, the skin wound healing capacity may be related with the presence of the two major flavonoids in the extract.

After 3 and 7 days of treatment, our study showed that EH had a tendency of injury retraction with no observable significant differences in the macroscopic analysis of the groups. Furthermore, there was the formation of a clot with exudate in all groups; however, the group treated with 10% EH presented a wound with less exudate. This result correlates with the response to Glycosmis arborea extract at 5 and 10% [24] and to the methanolic extract of Achyranthes aspera in concentrations of 2.5; 5 and 10% [30]. After 21 days, the animals treated with all concentrations of hydroalcoholic extract of Copaifera langsdorffii leaves showed better retraction of the wounds, respectively 91.48% (1% EH), 95.18% (5% EH) and 95.52% (10% EH), compared with the saline group. Macroscopically, it was possible to observe better healing processes in the skin wounds of rats treated with EH, with decreasing in edema of the injured area and absence of exudate and fibrin clot (Figure 1). Therefore, the macroscopic analysis demonstrated the efficacy of EH in the treatment of skin wounds, with a dose-dependent effect expressing the best results with 5% EH and 10% EH.

The disruption of skin integrity promotes the increase of inflammatory mediators, which are vital to tissue healing and repair [31]. Neutrophils and macrophages are important both to prevent the spread of an infection to other areas of the body and in regulating the temporal progression between the stages of wound healing. Furthermore, the proliferation and migration of different cellular types of the region started simultaneously the inflammation [32]. During the 3 days of treatment with the hydroalcoholic extract from Copaifera langsdorffii, we observed an increase in the number of total cells of the regions from the border and center of the wounds of groups 1% EH and 10% EH compared with the saline group, thus determining an initial process of healing response through migration and proliferation of the cells presented in the area (Figures 2 & 3). After 7 days, the migration and proliferation are the main mechanisms of the skin wound healing of the cells of the wound, with the reepithelialization and synthesis of granulation tissue. Although our treatments increase the number of cells in 3 days, with stimulus to proliferation and migration of cells compared with the negative control, after 7 days, there was the physiological progression of wound healing and we did not observe any difference between the cellularity of the groups (Figures 2 & 4). After 21 days, corresponding to the last stage of wound healing, we observed a decrease in cellularity around the wound edges of the groups 5% EH and 10% EH, which
is characterizing the reduction of proliferation and anticipating the remodeling mechanism compared with saline and 1% EH groups (Figures 2 & 5).

To verify the possible toxic effects from treatments with *Copaifera langsdorffii*, biochemical analyses of liver and kidney toxicity were made using patterns of AST (U/L), ALT (U/L), γ GT (U/L), urea (mg/dL) and creatinine (mg/dL). The data demonstrated that there were no toxic effects from any concentration of the hydroalcoholic extract of *Copaifera langsdorffii* after 3, 7 or 21 days (Table 1).
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**Figure 2:** Quantification of total cells (labeled area µm²) in the region of skin around the wound edges, wound center and normal skin from rats treated with EH after 3, 7 and 21 days. *p<0.05 compared with saline.
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**Figure 3:** Photomicrograph of skin around the wound edges, wound center and normal skin from rats after 3 days of treatment with EH.
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Figure 4: Photomicrograph of skin around the wound edges, wound center and normal skin from rats after 7 days of treatment with EH.

Figure 5: Photomicrograph of skin around the wound edges, wound center and normal skin from rats after 21 days of treatment with EH.
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Table 1: Toxicity analysis of rats blood after being treated with hydroalcoholic extract from Copaifera langsdorffii for 3, 7 and 21 days.

|                | 3 days (mean ± SE) | 7 days (mean ± SE) | 21 days (mean ± SE) |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                | AST U/L             | ALT U/L            | γ GT U/L            |
| Saline         | 151.83 ± 14.73      | 56 ± 2.44          | 8.967 ± 0.83        |
| 1% EH          | 121.8 ± 6.72        | 49.8 ± 3.57        | 5.4 ± 0.80          |
| 5% EH          | 127.2 ± 10.75       | 53.6 ± 4.51        | 7.7 ± 0.96          |
| 10% EH         | 137.2 ± 6.11        | 49.2 ± 2.13        | 7.9 ± 1.11          |
| Urea mg/dL     | 39.16 ± 1.49        | 40 ± 2.51          | 36.8 ± 0.58         |
| 3% EH          | 38.167 ± 0.7        | 41.6 ± 1.44        | 41.6 ± 0.58         |
| Creatinine mg/dL | 0.467 ± 0.02       | 0.46 ± 0.02        | 0.46 ± 0.02         |

ALT: alanine aminotransferase  
γ GT: γ-glutamyl transferase  
AST: aspartate aminotransferase

Conclusion

In this study, we presented a new alternative to the treatment of skin wound using Copaifera langsdorffii, considering the popular use of oleoresin. The hydroalcoholic extract from Copaifera langsdorffii leaves showed a positive effect on skin wound healing. Microscopic analysis showed anticipation of proliferative and migration mechanisms in the groups treated with EH compared with the saline group. Macroscopic analysis demonstrated wound retraction after 21 days in groups 5% EH and 10% EH compared with saline group, with reduction of edema and exudate of the wounds. These data showed the modulatory effect of EH, with better wound closure effects seen following treatment with 10% EH that started at the microscopic level after 3 days and were observed macroscopically after 21 days. Furthermore, there was no toxicity according to our data, showing the efficacy and safety of the treatment.
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