Sequential Hydrothermal HCl Pretreatment and Enzymatic Hydrolysis of *Saccharina japonica* Biomass
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Abstract: This study investigated the production of fermentable sugars from carbohydrate-rich macroalgae *Saccharina japonica* using sequential hydrolysis (hydrothermal acid pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis) to determine the maximum reducing sugar yield (RSy). The sequential hydrolysis was predicted by three independent variables (temperature, time, and HCl concentration) using response surface methodology (RSM). Enzymatic hydrolysis (8.17% v/w biomass Celluclast® 1.5 L, 26.4 h, 42.6 °C) was performed after hydrothermal acid pretreatment under predicted conditions (143.6 °C, 22 min, and 0.108 N HCl concentration). Using this experimental procedure, the yields of hydrothermal acid pretreatment, enzymatic hydrolysis, and sequential hydrolysis were 115.6 ± 0.4 mg/g, 117.7 ± 0.3 mg/g, and 183.5 ± 0.6 mg/g, respectively. Our results suggested that sequential hydrolysis of hydrothermal acid pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis was more efficient than their single treatment.
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1. Introduction

Carbohydrate-rich macroalgae are a biomass of renewable feedstock for biorefineries, where the main challenges are the ability to produce fermentable sugars through the saccharification process [1–4]. Macroalgae or seaweed refers to thousands of species of macroscopic, multicellular marine algae. Eastern Pacific kelp species of fast-growing macroalgae can grow up to 10 m in length [5].

Macroalgae (e.g., brown algae, red algae, and green algae) have a high carbohydrate content and have various advantages such as a non-requirement of fertilizers, land, pesticides, or water during production [1,6].

Carbohydrates are generally stored as long polymers for energy storage [7–10] and can be directly converted into biofuel [11,12]. Brown algae do not contain lignin, and their low content of cellulose is more easily convertible than that of land plants [13].

Pretreatments typically involve standalone chemical, biological, or physical treatments, or a combination of these treatments [14]. Pretreatments used prior to enzymatic hydrolysis include mechanical [15–19], thermal [19–22], chemical [23–25], and biological treatments [26,27]. A summary of pretreatments performed on macroalgae before ethanol or methane production is presented shown in Table 1.

As aforementioned, many previous studies have reported hydrolysis methods for macroalgae. Several previous studies emphasized that the reducing sugar yield (RSy) obtained during the combined treatment was higher than that during biological treatment. Previous studies have reported combined treatment (sequential hydrolysis) to increase the reducing sugar yield [28]. Therefore, this study was aimed to perform sequential

---

**Citation:** Park, E.-Y.; Park, J.-K. Sequential Hydrothermal HCl Pretreatment and Enzymatic Hydrolysis of *Saccharina japonica* Biomass. *Energies* 2021, 14, 8053. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14238053

**Academic Editors:** Mejdi Jeguirim and Dino Musmarra

**Received:** 14 October 2021  
**Accepted:** 25 November 2021  
**Published:** 1 December 2021

**Publisher’s Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
hydrolysis using hydrothermal acid pretreatment followed by enzymatic hydrolysis to determine the RS$_y$. Parameters including temperature of hydrothermal acid pretreatment, time of hydrothermal acid pretreatment, and HCl concentration during the extraction process were predicted by response surface methodology (RSM).

Table 1. Hydrolysis methods of macroalgae.

| Type of Pretreatment/Used Enzymes or Microorganism | Macroalgae | Pretreatment | Ref. |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------|------|
| Size reduction                                    | *Gelidium sesquipedale* | Freshwater washed and air-dried (12,000 rpm) milled | [15] |
|                                                  | *Laminaria spp.* | Ball milled unwashed seaweed, dried at 80 °C for 24 h, Particle size: 1–2 mm | [16] |
|                                                  |              | Cut without washing | |
| Beating                                           | *Laminaria spp.* | and beaten (Hollander beater), 76 gap, 15 min | [17] |
| Washing                                           | *S. muticum* | Freshwater washed, frozen (−20 °C), then blended | [18] |
|                                                  | *Chaetomorpha linum* | Freshwater washed, dried (40 °C, 48 h) milled (25 balls), 18 h, 180 rpm to <2 mm size | [19] |
| Microwave                                         | *F. vesiculosus* | Cut and grounded (mortar and pestle microwaved (700 W), 3 min | [20] |
|                                                  |              | Washed, dried (40 °C, 24 h); hammer milled to <1 mm | |
| Steam explosion                                   | *N. zanardini* | 5% seaweed, 121 °C, 0.5 h | [21] |
|                                                  | *C. linum* | Washed, dried (40 °C) and milled 1.2 kg (35% DW, 1.9 MPa), 200 °C, 5 min | [19] |
|                                                  | *S. latissima* | Defrosted, shredded into slurry steam exploded 130 °C or 160 °C, 10 min | [22] |
| Acidic or alkali treatment                        | *F. vesiculosus* | Dried, crushed, homogenised 0.2 M HCl (80 °C, 12 h) | [23] |
|                                                  | *Ulva spp.* | Fresh water rinsed, blended to slurry. 500 mL slurry, 0.01 M HCl, 0.1 M NaOH Washed, sun dried (1–2 weeks) | [24] |
|                                                  |              | 0.04 g HCl$^{-1}$ TS (150 °C, 0.5 h); 0.04 g NaOH$^{-1}$ TS (20 °C, 24 h) | |
|                                                  | *Ulva spp.* | Freshwater rinsed, dried (75 °C, 24 h), milled. 20% (w/v) seaweed in water: Cellulase: 37 °C; | |
|                                                  |              | Alginate lyase: 37 °C; or Celluclast® 1.5L: 40 °C | |
|                                                  |              | 7.5 mL A. niger filtrate to 50 mL blended seaweed (80% (w/v) in water), 50 °C, 100 rpm, 2 h | |
|                                                  | *L. digitata* | Repeated with β-glucosidase | |
|                                                  | *Ulva rigida* | | [27] |

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Materials
2.1.1. Biomass Preparation

The remaining non-commercial *Saccharina japonica* biomass after processing was obtained from the Wando Fish Market in Jeonnam, South Korea. The biomass was washed and air-dried in a clean oven (OF-22, Jeio Tech, Daejeon, Korea), subsequently milled using a grinder (HR-2870, Philips Electronics, South Korea) with a 1.25 mm diameter screen,
and then stored in a desiccator. The carbohydrate, protein, and lipid compositions of the brown algae are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Chemical composition (i.e., Carbohydrates, protein, and lipids) of brown algae % dw.

| Algae     | Species             | Carbohydrate (%) | Protein (%) | Lipid (%) | Reference |
|-----------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Brown     | Laminaria japonica  | 51.9             | 14.8        | 1.8       | [2]       |
| Algae     | Laminaria japonica  | 59.7             | 9.4         | 2.4       | [29]      |
| Saccharina japonica | Laminaria japonica   | 77.4             | 4.0         | 0.7       | [30]      |
| Saccharina japonica | Saccharina japonica | 66.0             | 10.6        | 1.6       | [31]      |
| Mean ± SD | 64.2 ± 9.4          | 9.7 ± 3.9        | 1.7 ± 0.6   | This Study|

2.1.2. Chemical Reagents and Enzyme

The chemical reagents used in this study included hydrochloric acid (35%) and 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) of purity grade (Junsei, Tokyo, Japan). The chemical standard (glucose) was of analytical grade purity and was purchased from Asanpharm in Seoul, South Korea. Celluclast® 1.5 L was used for enzymatic hydrolysis (Novozymes Corporation, Copenhagen, Denmark).

2.2. Processing Conditions by RSM

A central composite design of RSM was used to investigate the temperature of the acid pretreatment, time of the acid pretreatment, and the HCl concentration of Saccharina japonica biomass. Three levels of temperature ($X_1$), time ($X_2$), and HCl concentration ($X_3$) were selected. A hydrolysis temperature of 150 °C, hydrolysis time of 22 min, and HCl concentration of 0.1 N were chosen as the center points. The reducing sugar yield was used as the output variable. Experiments were conducted according to the scheme shown in Figure 1. Table 3 displays the actual levels for a given coding level. The experimental data were analyzed using Design Expert (Stat-Ease, MN, USA).

![Figure 1](image-url)  
Figure 1. Steps of Saccharina japonica biomass processing to determine the reducing sugar yield.

Table 3. Input variables for the Central Composite Design.

| Variable                              | Symbol | $-1.682$ | $-1$ | $0$ | $1$ | $1.682$ |
|---------------------------------------|--------|----------|------|-----|-----|---------|
| Temperature of acid pretreatment (°C) | $X_1$  | 113      | 128  | 150 | 172 | 187     |
| Time of acid pretreatment (min)       | $X_2$  | 12       | 16   | 22  | 28  | 32      |
| HCl concentration (N)                 | $X_3$  | 0.0159   | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.15| 0.1841 |

2.3. Hydrothermal Acid Pretreatment

Hydrothermal acid pretreatment was carried out in a 100 mL reaction vessel (Hydrothermal Reactor, HR-8200, Hanwoul Engineering Inc., Gunpo-City, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea), into which 1 g of dried Saccharina japonica powder and 30 mL of 0.0159, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, or 0.1841 N HCl acid were introduced. Hydrothermal acid pretreatment was carried out at 113, 128, 150, 172, or 187 °C for 12, 16, 22, 28, or 32 min. Independent variables obtained during the preliminary experiments were subjected to hydrothermal acid pretreatment. The hydrolysate was analyzed after centrifugation at 4500 rpm for 15 min. A schematic diagram of the hydrothermal reactor and its specifications are shown in Figure 2 and Table 4, respectively.
Figure 2. The hydrothermal reactor used in this study.

Table 4. Specification of the hydrothermal reactor.

| Type                  | HR-8200 Reactor                                      |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Capacity              | 100~2000 cc                                         |
| Material              | 316SS, Monel400, Titanium, Hastelloy-C276, Inconel, etc. |
| Design Pressure       | 10~400 bar                                          |
| Design Temperature    | AMB~400 °C                                          |
| Control System        | Temperature Controller, RPM Controller & Indicator  |
| Heating               | Electric Band Heater or Jacket Type                 |
| Nozzles               | Gas Inlet/Outlet Valve, Pressure Gauge, Pressure Safety Valve, Sampling Valve, Cooling Inlet/Outlet, etc. |
| Mixing Type           | Magnetic Bar                                        |

2.4. Enzymatic Hydrolysis

Utilizing information obtained from previous enzymatic hydrolysis [28], Celluclast® 1.5 L (8.17% v/w biomass), a hydrolysis time of 26.4 h, a pH of 4.1, and a temperature of 42.6 °C were selected as the predicted conditions. Enzymatic hydrolysis was conducted after the hydrothermal acid pretreatment under the predicted conditions using RSM. The pH was adjusted to approximately 4.1 using sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 0.1 N) and then sterilized at 121 °C for 15 min in an autoclave. After cooling on a clean bench, Celluclast® 1.5 L (8.17% v/w biomass) was added, and the hydrolysate was incubated with shaking at 42.6 °C for 26.4 h. After enzymatic saccharification, the solvent was analyzed by centrifugation.

2.5. Analytical Method

The reducing sugar yield was analyzed using the DNS method [32]. After centrifugal filtration of the hydrolysate, the solution was diluted. Next, DNS reagent (3 mL) was added to the diluted hydrolysate (1 mL). The reaction mixture was incubated at 90 °C for 5 min and diluted with 20 mL. UV–Vis absorbance was measured at 550 nm using a UV-1650 PC spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). The RS_y of samples was analyzed in a reproducible way. Measurements were performed in triplicate.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Hydrothermal Acid Pretreatment

As shown in Table 5, experiments were conducted to determine the influence of input factors on the results of the hydrothermal acid pretreatment. The reducing sugar yield (RS_y)
was chosen as an output variable for the efficiency of the hydrothermal acid pretreatment. The effect of the process parameters (temperature of hydrothermal acid pretreatment, time of hydrothermal acid pretreatment, and HCl concentration) on the reducing sugar yield was investigated.

Table 5. Central composite design for hydrothermal acid pretreatment of *Saccharina japonica* biomass.

| No. | Temperature (°C) | Time (m) | C_{HCl} (N) | RS_y (mg/gbiomass) |
|-----|-----------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|
| 1   | 128             | 16       | 0.05        | 95.43             |
| 2   | 172             | 16       | 0.05        | 18.91             |
| 3   | 128             | 28       | 0.05        | 92.73             |
| 4   | 172             | 28       | 0.05        | 20.06             |
| 5   | 128             | 16       | 0.15        | 72.88             |
| 6   | 172             | 16       | 0.15        | 45.23             |
| 7   | 128             | 28       | 0.15        | 83.16             |
| 8   | 172             | 28       | 0.15        | 24.70             |
| 9   | 113             | 22       | 0.1         | 41.47             |
| 10  | 187             | 22       | 0.1         | 22.22             |
| 11  | 150             | 12       | 0.1         | 100.21            |
| 12  | 150             | 32       | 0.1         | 101.70            |
| 13  | 150             | 22       | 0.0159      | 24.06             |
| 14  | 150             | 22       | 0.1841      | 98.35             |
| 15  | 150             | 22       | 0.1         | 115.56            |
| 16  | 150             | 22       | 0.1         | 119.54            |
| 17  | 150             | 22       | 0.1         | 118.54            |
| 18  | 150             | 22       | 0.1         | 119.46            |
| 19  | 150             | 22       | 0.1         | 120.56            |
| 20  | 150             | 22       | 0.1         | 120.37            |

Where $X_1$, $X_2$, and $X_3$ represent the temperature of hydrothermal acid pretreatment, time of hydrothermal acid pretreatment, and HCl concentration, respectively, and $Y$ denotes the reducing sugar yield.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the significance of the regression model and the corresponding model terms. The results are listed in Table 6. An F-value of 7.91 revealed that the model was significant (>99.8 %). As shown with an F-value of 29.86, temperature had a relatively greater effect than time and HCl concentration on the $RS_y$ [33]. The square terms $X_1^2$ (>99.99%) and $X_3^2$ (>99.8%) were significant.

Table 6. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the regression model.

| Source | Sum of Squares | DF * | Mean Square | F-Value | $p$-Value | Remark |
|--------|----------------|------|-------------|---------|-----------|--------|
| Regression | 26,604.2 | 9 | 2956.0 | 7.91 | <0.002 | Significant |
| $X_1$ | 5246.7 | 1 | 11,164.3 | 29.86 | 0.000 | Significant |
| $X_2$ | 6.4 | 1 | 624.7 | 1.67 | 0.225 | |
| $X_3$ | 1122.3 | 1 | 234.8 | 0.63 | 0.446 | |
| $X_1^2$ | 12,561.6 | 1 | 14,625.5 | 39.12 | 0.000 | Significant |
| $X_2^2$ | 424.9 | 1 | 801.3 | 2.14 | 0.4174 | |
| $X_3^2$ | 6644.6 | 1 | 6644.6 | 17.77 | 0.0002 | Significant |
| $X_1X_2$ | 90.8 | 1 | 90.8 | 0.24 | 0.633 | |
| $X_1X_3$ | 497.5 | 1 | 497.5 | 1.33 | 0.276 | |
| $X_2X_3$ | 9.5 | 1 | 9.5 | 0.03 | 0.877 | |

*DF = The degrees of freedom of an estimate of a parameter.

As shown in Figure 3, the determination coefficient ($R^2 = 0.878$) indicated a good correlation between the predicted and experimental $RS_y$ within the investigated range of variables. When $0.9 > R^2 ≥ 0.8$, the model is very appropriate [34,35]. Three-dimensional response surface plots, which model synergistic effects of two variables when other variables are kept constant, are shown in Figures 4–6.
Figure 3. Parity plot for the predicted and experimental reducing sugar yield for the hydrothermal acid pretreatment.

Figure 4 displays the influence of the temperature and time of hydrothermal acid pretreatment on the reducing sugar yield (HCl concentration 0.1 N). The results indicated that the reducing sugar yield reached a maximum at 150 °C.

Figure 5 displays the effect of the temperature of hydrothermal acid pretreatment and HCl concentration on the reducing sugar yield for a constant pretreatment time over 22 min. An increase in temperature above 150 °C resulted in a decrease in reducing sugar efficiency. The highest reducing sugar yields were observed at temperatures ranging from 140–160 °C and an HCl concentration of 0.1 N.

Figure 6 displays the effect of the time of hydrothermal acid pretreatment and HCl concentration on the reducing sugar yield at a constant temperature of hydrothermal acid pretreatment of 150 °C. Under a relatively short pretreatment time, the HCl concentration had little effect on the reducing sugar yield. As shown in Figures 4–6, the hydrothermal acid pretreatment was strongly affected by temperature.

Figure 4. The contour and 3D surface diagram of the relationship between the temperature and time of hydrothermal acid pretreatment.
To validate predicted conditions using the response surface model, a three-experiment setup was performed under the following conditions: 143.6 °C, 22 min, and 0.108 N HCl. The average $R_S$ of the three experiments was 115.6 ± 0.4 mg/g, which was found to be comparatively higher than those reported in past studies [36–38]. The comparison of saccharification efficiencies of reducing sugars reported for different brown algae biomass is shown in Table 7.

**Table 7.** Comparison of the reducing sugar yield from brown algae.

| Brown Algae          | Sequential Hydrolysis                                                                 | Yields of Reducing Sugar Yield (% w/w Dry Biomass) | Ref.      |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Saccharina japonica  | HCl (0.108 N, 143.6 °C, 22 min) and 700EGU Celluclast® 1.5 L (8.17 % v/v biomass, 42.6 °C, pH 4.1, 26.4 h) | 18.4%                                             | This study |
| Sargassum spp.       | $H_2SO_4$ (1% w/v, 126 °C, 30 min) and 50FPU Cellulase and 250CBU Cellobiase (50 °C, pH 4.8, 100 rpm 48 h) | 8%                                                | [36]     |
| Sargassum spp.       | $H_2SO_4$ (3% w/v, 121 °C, 30 min) and 53FPU Cellulase and 10U Pectinase (50 °C, pH 5, 150 rpm, 4 h) | 11%                                               | [37]     |
| Sargassum fulvellum  | Heat-treatment (121 °C, 30 min) and HCl (0.1N, 121 °C, 30 min)                        | 11.7%                                             | [38]     |
| Laminaria japonica   | Heat-treatment (121 °C, 30 min) and HCl (0.1N, 121 °C, 30 min)                        | 13.1%                                             | [38]     |
3.2. Enzymatic Hydrolysis

RSM was used to investigate the predicted conditions for sequential hydrolysis involving hydrothermal acid pretreatment conditions (143.6 °C, 22 min, and 0.108 N HCl) and enzymatic hydrolysis (8.17% v/w biomass/Celluclast® 1.5 L, 26.4 h, 42.6 °C). Sequential hydrolysis resulted in the production of 183.5 ± 0.6 mg/g of reducing sugars with a yield of 18.4%. The RS_y of 183.5 ± 0.6 mg/g obtained in sequential hydrolysis was higher than the RS_y of 115.6 ± 0.4 mg/g in the hydrothermal acid pretreatment or the RS_y of 117.7 ± 0.3 mg/g in the enzymatic hydrolysis. This shows that compared to the RS_y obtained in a single treatment, the RS_y in the sequential hydrolysis was improved by 1.6 times. It has been reported that sequential hydrolysis applying two or more physical, chemical, and biological treatments can increase the RS_y [39]. Therefore, the results of our study have demonstrated that sequential hydrolysis of hydrothermal acid pretreatment or enzymatic hydrolysis was more efficient than a single treatment.

4. Conclusions
1. In sequential hydrolysis, the temperature had a relatively greater effect than time and HCl concentration on the RS_y.
2. The experimental conditions of hydrothermal acid pretreatment were: 143.6 °C, 22 min, and 0.108 N HCl. Under these conditions, the experimental yield was 115.6 ± 0.4 mg/g biomass.
3. The experimental conditions for enzymatic hydrolysis were 8.17% v/w biomass Celluclast® 1.5 L, 26.4 h, and 42.6 °C. Under these conditions, the experimental yield was 117.7 ± 0.3 mg/g biomass.
4. As a result of sequential hydrolysis, the reducing sugar yield produced from *Saccharina japonica* biomass was 183.5 ± 0.6 mg/g.

Author Contributions: Writing—review and editing, E.-Y.P. and J.-K.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (NRF-2021R1F1A1052129).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Offei, F.; Mensah, M.; Thygesen, A.; Kemasuor, F. Seaweed bioethanol production: A Process selection review on hydrolysis and fermentation. *Fermentation* 2018, 4, 99. [CrossRef]
2. Milledge, J.J.; Nielsen, B.V.; Maneein, S.; Harvey, P.J. A brief review of anaerobic digestion of algae for bioenergy. *Energies* 2019, 12, 1166. [CrossRef]
3. Milledge, J.J.; Harvey, P.J. Potential process ‘hurdles’ in the use of macroalgae as feedstock for biofuel production in the British Isles. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* 2016, 91, 2221–2234. [CrossRef]
4. Sharma, S.; Horn, S.J. Enzymatic saccharification of brown seaweed for production of fermentable sugars. *Bioresor. Technol.* 2016, 213, 155–161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Lüning, K. Seaweeds, Their Environment, Biogeography and Ecophysiology; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1990; ISBN 0471624349
6. Jones, C.S.; Mayfield, S.P. Algae biofuels: Versatility for the future of bioenergy. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2012, 23, 346–351. [CrossRef]
7. Kloareg, B.; Quatrano, R.S. Structure of cell walls of marine algae and ecophysiological functions of the matrix polysaccharides. *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol.* 1988, 26, 259–315.
8. Wickramaarachchi, K.; Sundaram, M.M.; Henry, D.J.; Gao, X. Alginate biopolymer effect on the electrodeposition of manganese dioxide on electrodes for supercapacitor. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* 2021, 4, 7040–7051. [CrossRef]
9. Ramkumar, R.; Minakshi, M. Fabrication of ultrathin CoMoO4 nanosheets modified with chitosan and their improved performance in energy storage device. *Dalton Trans.* 2015, 44, 6158–6168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
10. Minakshi, M.; Blackford, M.; Ionescu, M. Characterization of alkaline-earth oxide additions to the MnO2 cathode in an aqueous secondary battery. *J. Alloys Compd.* 2011, 509, 5974–5980. [CrossRef]
11. Enquist-Newman, M.; Faust, A.M.; Bravo, D.D.; Santos, C.N.; Raisner, R.M.; Hanel, A.; Sarvabhowman, P.; Le, C.; Rqitsky, D.D.; Cooper, S.R.; et al. Efficient ethanol production from brown macroalgae sugars by a synthetic yeast platform. *Nature* 2014, 505, 239–243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
