Dynamics of biomass and carbon sequestration across a chronosequence of *Caragana intermedia* plantations on alpine sandy land
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Considering the variations in carbon concentrations among different plant components can significantly improve carbon storage estimates. The aim of this study was to estimate the biomass and carbon storage and sequestration in *Caragana intermedia* Kuang et H. C. Fu plantations for six different ages. The biomass and carbon sequestration in shrub biomass were quantified using a destructive method that involved analysing the carbon concentrations in the leaves, branches, stem bark, stem wood, roots > 5 mm, 2 mm < roots ≤ 5 mm, and roots ≤ 2 mm. The biomass and biomass carbon density of the *C. intermedia* plantations increased with the age of the stands. The biomass carbon density of the woody components was at its maximum in the 30-year-old plantation (14.27 ± 2.71 t·ha⁻¹), indicating that *C. intermedia* plantations in alpine sandy land are an important carbon pool. The carbon accumulation rate of the woody components was higher during the early stages of plantation development. The carbon concentrations differed significantly among the components but changed only slightly with the stand age. The weighted mean carbon concentration of the woody components, which was found to be 44%, must be considered when estimating the long-term carbon pools in *C. intermedia* plantations.

Forests account for approximately one-third of the Earth's land area, storing 80% of the total aboveground carbon in terrestrial ecosystems and 40% of the belowground carbon¹², and therefore, they perform important roles in maintaining the global carbon balance¹ and mitigating global climate change¹. The precise estimation of forest carbon stocks and the prediction of the carbon potential on regional and global scales are important research topics⁵. Many researchers have assumed a carbon fraction of 50% for converting forest vegetation biomass into carbon⁶,⁷. However, Sean and Martin found that a constant value of 50% for the carbon concentration in tree biomass is not accurate⁸. Therefore, it is necessary to use the carbon concentrations of specific components to obtain better carbon estimates for forest ecosystem wood and achieve better precision⁹,¹⁰.

Many studies that have estimated carbon stocks have focused on tree species⁶⁻¹¹, but little attention has been paid to carbon stock estimates for shrub species¹². Shrub species exhibit great species richness, vitality, sprouting ability, productivity and adaptability and play extremely important roles not only in the community succession process but also in regional environmental protection¹³. Carbon uptake by shrubs accounts for approximately one-third of the total carbon sink¹⁴, and shrubs represent a large biomass and carbon pool that is usually underestimated in carbon storage assessments¹⁵.

Arid and semi-arid lands cover nearly 45% of the global terrestrial area¹⁶,¹⁷, and the biomass per unit area is normally low in these regions. However, their vast area provides them with a significant role as a carbon pool¹⁶,¹⁹. In these regions, desertification is one of the primary types of land degradation and results from various factors²⁰. The world's average desert biomass carbon density is only 3.5 t·ha⁻¹, which is much smaller than that of...
Most leguminous shrubs in the genus *Caragana* have strong drought resistance capabilities and fast growth, and they are important xerophytic shrub species for windbreaks, sand fixation, and soil and water conservation. For example, *Caragana* (Lam.) was intentionally introduced to the northern Great Plains of North America for erosion control28. *Caragana microphylla* Lam. is a pioneer leguminous shrub species for vegetation re-establishment that is widely distributed throughout the semi-fixed and fixed sandy lands of the Horqin region29. *Caragana jubata* (Pall.) thrives well under the extreme cold of the high altitudes of the Himalayas, and hence, this plant is expected to be a source of genes that might play an important role in tolerating low temperatures29. *Caragana intermedia* Kuang et H. C. Fu is a native desert shrub that is widespread in the desert land of western and north-western China31. The typical distribution range of *C. intermedia* is in the desert steppe area of the Mongolia Plateau, the typical steppe area and desert steppe area of the Erdos Plateau, and the typical steppe area and steppe area of the North Loess Plateau, forming a common *C. intermedia* sandy land shrub community31.

The Gonghe Basin of the Tibetan Plateau is one of the regions that has been severely affected by desertification, and the desertified area accounts for 91% of the area of the whole basin31. The establishment of sand barrier enclosures and tree and shrub planting of shrubs on shifting sand dunes are effective methods for combating and controlling desertification in this region32,33. Large shrub plantations have been established with the help of straw checkerboards since 195833. *C. intermedia* was widely planted on shifting sand dunes after the erection of the straw checkerboards due to its tolerance to drought, cold, and poor habitats and for its rapid growth. For example, some studies of *C. intermedia* plantations in this region have showed that *C. intermedia* development influences the chemical and physical properties of soil34,35,36; it can increase water use efficiency to resist drought stress37, and the primary water sources are affected by its root distribution38. In addition, the root system distributions of the *C. intermedia* plantations showed that the absorptive roots (diameter ≤ 1 mm) of 5-, 9- and 25-year-old plantations are primarily distributed in the 10–30 cm, 10–50 cm and 10–60 cm soil layers, respectively, whereas the conductive roots (diameter > 1 mm) primarily appear in the 10–50 cm, 10–60 cm and 10–90 cm soil layers, respectively39. However, there is little information on the biomass accumulation and carbon sequestration capacity of *C. intermedia* plantations, and the effects of these functions on the carbon sequestration capacity of shrubland should not be neglected. Therefore, a better understanding of the biomass carbon density and the carbon sequestration capacity of *C. intermedia* plantations could be helpful for improving the precision of shrubland carbon sequestration evaluations, and this information is also important for the sustainable management of sand-fixing plantations.

Therefore, we performed a sampling campaign of different shrub ages on sand dunes in *C. intermedia* plantations to test three general hypotheses as follows: (1) the carbon sequestration of *C. intermedia* plantations would increase as the stand aged, and the carbon accumulation rate would decrease as the stand aged; (2) there is no obvious change in carbon concentrations in the shrub components with the stand age; and (3) using the carbon fraction of 50% for converting *C. intermedia* biomass into carbon will overestimate the carbon stocks.

**Results**

**Biomass and its distribution into components.** The component, aboveground, belowground and total biomass for the *C. intermedia* plantation stands significantly differ with the plant age (*P* < 0.05) (Table 1). The branch, stem wood, roots > 5 mm, 2 mm < roots ≤ 5 mm, belowground and total biomass showed the same trend with an increase in the stand age following the natural order of increases of 3-year-old stand < 5-year-old stand < 8-, 10-, and 16-year-old stands < 30-year-old stand, but there was no consistent difference among the 8-, 10- and 16-year-old stands. The aboveground biomass for the 16-year-old stand was only slightly higher than that recorded for the 3-year stand and lower than that for stands of other ages; however, the belowground biomass for the 16-year-old stand was slightly lower than that recorded for the 30-year-old stand and higher than that for stands of other ages. The woody component biomass (leafless) of the *C. intermedia* plantations increased as the stand aged, peaking in the 30-year-old plantation at 32.26 ± 6.15 t·ha⁻¹. The proportion of leaf biomass decreased and the proportion of the biomass of woody components increased as the stand age increased (Fig. 1). The proportions of branch, stem bark and stem wood biomass showed the same increasing trend with the stand age in the 3-, 5-, 8-, and 10-year-old stands, declining in the 16-year-old stand, and increasing again in the 30-year-old stand. The root biomass proportion showed the opposite trend, declining with the stand age in the 3-, 5-, 8- and 10-year stands, increasing in the 16-year-old stand, and declining again in the 30-year-old stand. The percentage of biomass in woody components that accounted for the biomass of all the stand ages of stand plantations ranged from 45% to 46%, and the average percentage was 45%.

**Carbon concentrations.** The carbon concentrations of the *C. intermedia* components in the stands of the same age were significantly different (*P* < 0.05) (Table 2). The carbon concentrations in the components did not change significantly with the stand age. The carbon concentrations of the *C. intermedia* components ranged from 41% to 46%, and the weighted average carbon concentration of the wood components for the six stand ages was
Table 1. Biomass of *C. intermedia* plantation stands of different ages (t·ha⁻¹). Values followed by different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among stand ages according to Duncan’s multiple range test (*P* < 0.05). The values are the means ± SE (*n* = 3).

| Stand age (Years) | 3     | 5     | 8     | 10    | 16    | 30    |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Leaf              | 4.26 ± 0.07c | 4.39 ± 0.08c | 4.95 ± 0.10c | 4.49 ± 0.02cd | 4.59 ± 0.03cd | 4.27 ± 0.04cd |
| Branch            | 42.66 ± 0.23b | 42.64 ± 0.65b | 42.38 ± 0.72b | 42.23 ± 0.21b | 42.91 ± 0.17b | 43.91 ± 0.23bc |
| Stem bark         | 45.39 ± 0.36c | 45.45 ± 0.22c | 45.60 ± 0.06c | 45.45 ± 0.06cd | 45.45 ± 0.23cd | 46.07 ± 0.14f |
| Stem wood         | 41.91 ± 0.34a | 41.39 ± 0.28a | 41.41 ± 0.45a | 41.53 ± 0.56ab | 41.68 ± 0.31a | 42.33 ± 0.55a |
| Roots > 5 mm      | 45.62 ± 0.07c | 45.45 ± 0.22c | 45.49 ± 0.29c | 45.57 ± 0.41d | 45.41 ± 1.05d | 44.65 ± 0.48d |
| 2 mm < Roots ≤ 5 mm | 42.66 ± 0.23b | 41.39 ± 0.28a | 41.08 ± 0.94a | 44.59 ± 0.37c | 43.91 ± 0.22c | 44.27 ± 0.03cd |
| Roots ≤ 2 mm      | 45.39 ± 0.36c | 42.64 ± 0.65b | 45.60 ± 0.06c | 41.19 ± 0.51a | 44.94 ± 0.22d | 43.34 ± 0.28b |
| Weighted average (leafless) | 44.34 ± 0.05 | 43.99 ± 0.15 | 44.31 ± 0.18 | 44.02 ± 0.13 | 44.66 ± 0.39 | 44.23 ± 0.18 |
| Weighted average (whole shrub) | 44.54 ± 0.04 | 44.23 ± 0.13 | 44.44 ± 0.19 | 44.20 ± 0.12 | 44.66 ± 0.36 | 44.31 ± 0.16 |

Table 2. Biomass carbon concentrations for *C. intermedia* plantation stands of different ages (%). Values followed by different lowercase letters indicate a significant difference among the components according to Duncan’s multiple range test (*P* < 0.05). The values are the means ± SE (*n* = 3).

Figure 1. Proportion of the biomass of different components in the *C. intermedia* plantation stands at different ages (%).

44%; this result could be considered the carbon concentration of the whole shrubs for long-term carbon pool estimations in *C. intermedia* plantations.

**Biomass carbon density and its distribution in different components.** The component, aboveground, belowground and total biomass carbon densities for the *C. intermedia* plantation stands of different ages were significantly different (*P* < 0.05) (Table 3). The branch, stem wood, roots > 5 mm, 2 mm < roots ≤ 5 mm, belowground and total biomass carbon densities showed the same trend as the stand age increased, with 3-year-old stand < 5-year-old stand < 8-, 10-, and 16-year-old stands < 30-year-old stand, but there was no consistent difference among the 8-, 10- and 16-year-old stands. The aboveground biomass carbon density for the 16-year-old...
The biomass quantification of woody plants has been recognized as a crucial step in the calculation of forest biomass and carbon sequestration capacity peak in the mature stage and decrease afterwards. In this study, the biomass and carbon density of the measured C. intermedia plantation stands of different ages (t·ha⁻¹). Values followed by different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among stand ages according to Duncan’s multiple range test (P < 0.05). The values are the means ± SE (n = 3).

| Stand age (Years) | Leaf | Branch | Stem bark | Stem wood | Roots > 5 mm | 2 mm < Roots ≤ 5 mm | Aboveground biomass | Belowground biomass |
|-------------------|------|--------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 3                 | 0.68 ± 0.21a | 0.85 ± 0.28a | 0.06 ± 0.02a | 0.14 ± 0.05a | 1.90 ± 0.55a | 0.41 ± 0.09a | 1.73 ± 0.31a | 2.47 ± 0.37a |
| 5                 | 1.14 ± 0.15bc | 1.26 ± 0.17ab | 0.11 ± 0.05a | 0.19 ± 0.08a | 2.84 ± 0.38b | 0.52 ± 0.06ab | 2.69 ± 0.14bc | 3.70 ± 0.27b |
| 8                 | 1.30 ± 0.12c | 2.81 ± 0.03cd | 0.18 ± 0.01b | 0.42 ± 0.03b | 5.02 ± 0.07c | 0.60 ± 0.04ab | 4.70 ± 0.06ed | 5.88 ± 0.06bc |
| 10                | 1.61 ± 0.16b | 2.15 ± 0.44d | 0.19 ± 0.05b | 0.42 ± 0.10b | 3.34 ± 0.36b | 0.71 ± 0.20b | 3.76 ± 0.35cd | 4.22 ± 0.33b |
| 16                | 0.55 ± 0.04a | 1.61 ± 0.09bc | 0.10 ± 0.01a | 0.22 ± 0.01a | 5.72 ± 0.34c | 0.81 ± 0.11bc | 2.48 ± 0.08b | 6.91 ± 0.26c |
| 30                | 1.09 ± 0.16c | 5.07 ± 2.02e | 0.37 ± 0.10c | 0.70 ± 0.23c | 6.61 ± 1.89c | 1.12 ± 0.41c | 7.22 ± 1.44c | 8.14 ± 1.38c |

Table 3. Biomass carbon density for C. intermedia plantation stands of different ages (t·ha⁻¹). Values followed by different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among stand ages according to Duncan’s multiple range test (P < 0.05). The values are the means ± SE (n = 3).

Figure 2. Proportion of the biomass carbon density of different components in the C. intermedia plantation stands of different ages (%).

The proportion of biomass carbon density in the leaves decreased and it increased in the woody components as the stand age increased (Fig. 2). The proportions of branch, stem bark and stem wood biomass carbon density showed the same trend as the stand age increased, increasing in the 3-, 5-, 8-, and 10-year-old stands, declining in the 16-year-old stand, and increasing again in the 30-year-old stand. The proportion of the root biomass carbon density showed the opposite pattern, declining in the 3-, 5-, 8-, and 10-year-old stands, increasing in the 16-year-old stand, and declining again in the 30-year-old stand. The proportion of biomass carbon density in woody components that accounted for the total shrub biomass carbon density of all ages of stand plantations ranged from 82–94%, and the average percentage was 88 ± 2%. The percentage of belowground biomass carbon density that accounted for the biomass carbon density of woody components of all the stand age plantations ranged from 57–78%, and the average percentage was 67 ± 3%.

Carbon accumulation rate of woody components. The carbon accumulation rates of the woody components estimated in the 3-, 5- and 8-year-old stands (1.17 ± 0.19 t·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹, 1.05 ± 0.07 t·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹ and 1.16 ± 0.01 t·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹, respectively) were significantly higher than those in the 10-, 16- and 30-year-old stands (0.70 ± 0.05 t·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹, 0.55 ± 0.02 t·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹ and 0.48 ± 0.09 t·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹, respectively).

Discussion
The biomass quantification of woody plants has been recognized as a crucial step in the calculation of forest biomass and carbon stocks. In our results, the biomass and carbon density of the measured C. intermedia shrubs increased as the stand age increased, which indicates that shrubs continuously absorb CO₂ during the photosynthesis and subsequently store the resulting carbohydrates in their biomass. Therefore, the stand age has positive impacts on the forest ecosystem and nutrient cycling. However, each species has its own lifespan, and the biomass and carbon sequestration capacity peak in the mature stage and decrease afterwards. In this study, the...
increase in the total biomass and biomass carbon density of \textit{C. intermedia} plantations with the stand age indicated that the 30-year-old plantation was in the mature or premature stage, which is characterized by a relatively significant carbon sequestration capacity. The biomass carbon density of the woody components of 30-year-old \textit{C. intermedia} plantations reached 14.27 ± 2.71 t·ha$^{-1}$, which was much higher than the global average desert biomass carbon density of 3.5 t·ha$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{121}. This result shows that the \textit{C. intermedia} plantation in alpine sandy land is an important carbon pool, and if all of the sandy land is restored, it will have great potential for carbon sequestration. Deng \textit{et al.} reported that the carbon pools in the biomass of \textit{Caragana korshinskii} plantations on the Loess Plateau increased with the stand age and reached 14.11 ± 1.54 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ at 35 years and then declined\textsuperscript{42}. Wang \textit{et al.} reported that the plant biomass carbon of \textit{Caragana stenophylla} was 5.88 Mg·ha$^{-1}$ in arid regions of Xinjiang\textsuperscript{43}. In the arid zone of India, the carbon density of \textit{Calligonum polygonoides} L. ranged between 0.37 and 1.84 t·ha$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{44}, which was obviously lower than it was in our study. The 16-year-old stand showed a greater root biomass proportion (77%), which might be attributed to the accelerated development of root systems reaching its maximum by this age, and further studies are required to confirm the findings.

Osono and Tateno reported that plants allocate more biomass to underground organs to absorb more soil moisture or nutrients when water or nutrients are the primary limiting factors\textsuperscript{45}. In our study, the average percentages of the root biomass and the biomass carbon density of the woody components were 66 ± 3% and 67 ± 3%, respectively, demonstrating that the \textit{C. intermedia} roots were the dominant organs. This result probably occurred because \textit{C. intermedia} needs to produce more roots to absorb water and nutrients to survive in barren alpine sandy land. Deng \textit{et al.} reported that the ratio of roots to the total biomass of \textit{C. korshinskii} plantations on the Loess Plateau was higher during the mature and post-mature stages, at 58.7%\textsuperscript{42}. Wang \textit{et al.} found that the underground plant biomass carbon densities of \textit{Caragana stenophylla}, \textit{Ephedra przewalskii}, \textit{Haloxylon persicum}, \textit{Calligonum mongolicum}, \textit{Nitraria tangutorum}, \textit{Anabasis elatior} and \textit{Anabasis brevifolia} shrub communities were higher than those of the above ground communities in Xinjiang\textsuperscript{43}. Qiu \textit{et al.} also found that the root biomass of \textit{Spiraea hypericifolia} L. accounts for 72.9% of the total plant biomass in the forests of the Tianshan Mountains in China\textsuperscript{46}.

The carbon accumulation rate of woody components was higher during the early stages of plantation development, and the 3-year-old stand reached 1.17 t·ha$^{-1}$·yr$^{-1}$, which is consistent with the results of Dixon \textit{et al.}, who reported that young forests take up carbon dioxide at relatively high rates\textsuperscript{1}. The study about the biomass carbon sequestration of a \textit{C. korshinskii} plantation on the Loess Plateau also found that the annual rate of biomass carbon accumulation decreased with the plantation development and that of young plantations reached 0.76 Mg·ha$^{-1}$·yr$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{42}. Francis Justine \textit{et al.} also found that changes in the biomass accumulation rate of \textit{Pinus massoniana} decreased significantly with the forest age and that the highest accumulation rate occurred during the early stages of forest growth\textsuperscript{10}.

The weighted average carbon concentration of the wood components was 44%, and this result is supported by those of Fonseca \textit{et al.}, who reported carbon fractions in the range of 0.40 to 0.45\textsuperscript{15}. These results clearly show that the assumed carbon concentration of 50%\textsuperscript{6,7} for the components was generally higher than the measured carbon concentrations in the \textit{C. intermedia} plantations and may result in an approximate 13% overestimation of the carbon sequestration of \textit{C. intermedia} plantations. The high variation in the carbon concentrations among
components from a single species may be affected by a range of factors, such as the sample chemical composition and origin and the selected analytical methods. However, the carbon concentrations did not differ significantly with the stand age, which is consistent with the assumption that the ability of wood to store carbon in mature stands is the same as that in young stands. Our findings are consistent with those of previous studies conducted by , who found that the carbon concentration differed significantly among tree organs, while there was no significant difference among the ages.

In summary, the biomass and biomass carbon density of stands increased as the stand age increased, and the 30-year-old plantation showed the highest biomass carbon stock, although the carbon accumulation rate peaked in younger, 3- to 8-year-old stands. The biomass carbon density of woody components from stands peaked. All the components in stands of the same age were significantly different, whereas the carbon concentrations in the components hardly changed with the stand age. Therefore, measuring the carbon concentrations once in the components of would suffice for its entire lifetime when estimating the plantation carbon sequestration. Using a constant value of 50% for the carbon concentration in woody components may result in an approximate 13% overestimation of the carbon sequestration of . The weighted mean carbon concentration of the woody components of 44% rather than the generic value of 50% must be considered for long-term carbon pool estimations in .

Materials and Methods
Study site. The study was carried out at the desertification-combating experimental site of the Qinghai Gonghe Desert Ecosystem Research Station (99°45′–100°30′E, 36°03′–36°40′N and altitude 2871 m), and the total area of the experimental site is 1094 ha. The station is located in the Gonghe Basin of the north-eastern Tibetan Plateau, and it is a part of the Chinese Desert Ecosystem Research Network (CDERN) of the State Forestry Administration of P.R. China. The station was constructed by the Chinese Academy of Forestry and the Desertification Combating Station of Qinghai Province. The mean annual temperature is 2.4 °C, the mean annual precipitation is 246.3 mm, and the mean annual potential evaporation is 1716.7 mm. The mean annual number of windy days is 50.6 days, and the mean annual number of sandstorm days is 20.7 days. The mean annual wind speed is 2.7 m·s⁻¹, and the maximum wind speed reaches 40 m·s⁻¹. Chestnut soil and brown soil are the zonal soils in the study area, while aeolian, meadow and bog soils are the azonal soils. The primary vegetation type is sand-fixing plantation, which includes the tree species , and the shrub species , , , and . In the study area, the morphological characteristics of these two species are noticeably different, and the shrub species is a variant of . In the study area, the morphological characteristics of these two species are noticeably different, and the stands are distributed more widely; therefore, they were selected for this study.

Experimental design and sampling. Our study was conducted in mid-August 2016, when the biomass of the stands peaked. All the stands in the study area were sown. Therefore, each shrub grows from multiple seeds. In addition, stands have strongly asexual germination, resulting in multiple stems, and all the stems from different seeds grow in a staggered fashion. Three representative plots (10 × 10 m) with slopes within 15° were established in 3-, 5-, 8-, 10-, 16- and 30-year-old mono-species plantations of as the sampling sites (Fig. 3). The plots were more than 50 m apart. The areas of the 3-, 5-, 8-, 10-, 16- and 30-year-old stands are 8.71, 5.72, 5.65, 4.82, 5.67 and 20.91 ha, respectively. In all the plantations, straw checkerboards (1 × 1 m) were established on shifting sand dunes for sand fixation and then sowed with . In each plot, the morphological characteristics of 10 plants were measured, including the maximum height (H), basal diameter, crown diameter (maximum diameter of the shrub canopy in the northeast-southwest (NESW) and northwest-southeast (NWSE) directions), and ground diameter (the maximum diameter of the area shrub shoots emerged at ground level in the northeast-southwest (NESW) and northwest-southeast (NWSE) directions). is a shrub with multiple stems; thus, the stems were categorized into three diameter classes (maximum, medium and minimum), and a representative stem basal diameter was measured.
first to calculate a mean value of each shrub’s basal diameter (D). One standard shrub was selected from each plot according to the mean morphological characteristics for different C. intermedia plantation ages, for a total of 18 plants harvested for biomass measurements. The morphological characteristics of the standard shrubs are shown in Table 4.

For the 3-, 5- and 8-year-old C. intermedia plantations, C. intermedia was sown in lines; therefore, we regarded a C. intermedia line in one straw checkerboard (1 × 1 m) as one standard shrub when we conducted experiments. Each harvested shrub was separated into the leaves, branches, stem bark, stem wood, and roots with diameters > 5 mm (roots > 5 mm), roots with diameters between 2 mm and 5 mm (2 mm < roots ≤ 5 mm) and roots with diameters ≤ 2 mm (roots ≤ 2 mm). Root samples were obtained by the total excavation of the standard shrubs extending out from the shrub centre to the middle of the lines and plants (Fig. 4). Therefore, the sampling area for the 3-, 5-, 8- and 10-year-old plantations was 1 m², and the area for the 16- and 30-year-old plantations was 2 m². The soil samples were sieved through a 0.6-mm sieve to obtain the roots. The sampling depth was 80 cm, at which point no more roots were visible. The fresh weights of all the components were measured in situ, and samples of every component from each standard shrub were oven-dried at 65 °C for 48 h and weighed to determine the ratio of fresh weight to dry biomass.

**Carbon concentration analysis.** Dried samples of the different shrub components in each plantation were ground and sieved through a 100-mesh sieve, and the carbon concentrations were quantified using the induction furnace method with a CHNOS elemental analyser.

**Biomass carbon density and carbon accumulation rate calculation.** The following equations were used to calculate the shrub biomass carbon density:

\[ B = \frac{\sum_{i} B_i}{100 A} \]  
\[ C = \frac{\sum_{i} B_i \times C_i}{Y} \]

where \( B \) is the shrub biomass density (t·ha\(^{-1}\)), \( B_i \) is the component biomass of the whole standard shrub (g), \( A \) is the sampling area (m\(^2\)), \( C \) is the biomass carbon density (t·ha\(^{-1}\)), \( B_i \) is the component biomass density (t·ha\(^{-1}\)), and \( C_i \) is the component biomass carbon concentration (%).

The following equation was used to calculate the shrub carbon accumulation rate:

\[ C_i = C/Y \]

where \( C_i \) is the shrub carbon accumulation rate (t·ha\(^{-1}\)·yr\(^{-1}\)) and \( Y \) is the stand age (yr).

**Data analysis.** All the results are reported as the means (three measurements) ± standard error (SE). A one-way ANOVA was used to compare the biomass, carbon concentration, biomass carbon density and annual carbon sequestration among stands of different ages, and Duncan’s multiple range test was used to evaluate the differences among the means. The SPSS ver. 16.0 software was used for all the statistical analyses.

**Data Availability.** The datasets analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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