Nutrient Canals - Tell Tale Signs of Transmogrifying Systemic Diseases – Original Study
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Abstract

Nutrient canals are radiolucencies seen in the alveolar bone which are considered to be the channels that contain blood vessels and nerves supplying the teeth and the supporting structures. They are also called as circulating canals, interdental channels and vascular canals. They are predominantly seen on the intraoral periapical radiographs in the mandibular anterior region. Though they are considered to be normal, according to literature, some investigators have correlated the radiographic appearance of nutrient canals with certain pathologic conditions. Hence this study was undertaken to rule out the association as well as prevalence of nutrient canals in patients with systemic conditions like hypertension and diabetes.
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Introduction

Radiographs occupy an essential part in dental diagnosis and treatment, we all were aware of the fact that mouth is a mirror of the body because Oral cavity is known to show manifestations of different systemic conditions. Radiographs also play a role in giving clues regarding many systemic conditions.

Nutrient canals are considered to be the pathways for nutrients supply and blood vessels. Even though some of the authors consider them to be anatomical landmarks, their prevalence is associated with many systemic diseases like hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperthyroidism, calcium metabolism disorders. Hence some authors consider them to be pathological formations. The terminal points of these canals are seen as small nutrient foramina [1, 2]. Their presence may explain difficulties in obtaining local anesthesia during dental surgical procedures and also the occurrence of focal bleeding during surgery.

Therefore a detailed study of these nutrient canals becomes necessary to rule out its association with different systemic diseases as well as changes in their number and prevalence among people of different age groups and genders.

Materials and Methods

This prospective study was conducted in the Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology in Drs.Sudha and Nageswara rao Siddhartha Institute of Dental Sciences with the approval of the ethical committee.

120 out patients reporting to the department of oral medicine and radiology were divided into four groups based on their medical history.

a) Group-I constitutes 30 patients who were completely healthy without any systemic diseases
b) Group-II constitutes 30 patients who were having medical history of only hypertension.
c) Group-III constitutes 30 patients who were having medical history of only diabetes.
d) Group-IV constitutes 30 patients who were having medical history of both hypertension and diabetes.

Inclusion Criteria

a) Patients between 31 to 70 years of age were included in the study.
b) Patients who were completely free of systemic diseases were included in the study.
c) Patients who were having only hypertension were selected for the study

d) Patients who were having only diabetes were selected for the study

e) Patients who were having both hypertension and diabetes were selected for the study

**Exclusion Criteria**

a) Patients below the age of 30 and above the age of 70 were excluded from the study

b) Patients having systemic diseases other than hypertension and diabetes were excluded from the study.

Informed consent was obtained from the patients selected for the study prior to the radiation exposure. Mandibular anterior periapical radiograph was taken using paralleling cone technique using an x-ray machine with 70kvp and 10 MA and a size 2 periapical film was used. Radiation exposure was done under International council for radiation protection guidelines. Exposed films were developed manually in a well equipped dark room and dried. Then the films were examined with the help of viewer box and presence of nutrient canals was determined along with the number of nutrient canals and were recorded accordingly.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data was entered in MS-EXCEL and analyzed in SPSS V22 software. Descriptive statistics were represented with percentages; Mean with SD. Chi-square test. Logistic regression analysis was done. ROC curve was drawn. Area under the curve was calculated. $P \leq 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.
RESULTS

Table-1: Age wise distribution of patients in different groups

| Age   | Group-1 Count | Group-1 % | Group-2 Count | Group-2 % | Group-3 Count | Group-3 % | Group-4 Count | Group-4 % |
|-------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| 31-40 | 15            | 50.0%     | 6             | 20.0%     | 3             | 10.0%     | 2             | 6.7%      |
| 41-50 | 6             | 20.0%     | 7             | 23.3%     | 7             | 23.3%     | 6             | 20.0%     |
| 51-60 | 5             | 16.7%     | 7             | 23.3%     | 9             | 30.0%     | 10            | 33.3%     |
| 61-70 | 4             | 13.3%     | 10            | 33.3%     | 11            | 36.7%     | 12            | 40.0%     |
| Total | 30            | 100.0%    | 30            | 100.0%    | 30            | 100.0%    | 30            | 100.0%    |

Chi-square value = 22.4; P = 0.008

Age wise distribution of patients revealed that the age group 31-40 years constituted 50% in group-1, 20% in group-2, 10% in group-3 and 6.7% in group-4. Patients within the age group of 41-50 years constituted 20% in group-1, 23.3% in group-2 and group-3 and 20% in group-4. Patients falling under age group of 51-60 years included 16.7% in group-1, 23.3% in group-2, 30% in group-3, 33.3% in group-4. Patients within the age group of 61-70 years constituted 13.3% in group-1, 33.3% in group-2, 36.7% in group-3 and 40% in group-4. It shows that number of patients with systemic diseases had been increased as the age progresses which signifies it’s association with systemic diseases (Table-1).

Table-2: Minimum and maximum age of patients in different groups

| Age   | Group N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | SD |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|------|----|
| Group-1 | 30 | 31.0 | 69.0 | 44.7 | 11.2 |
| Group-2 | 30 | 31.0 | 70.0 | 51.9 | 11.7 |
| Group-3 | 30 | 32.0 | 69.0 | 54.1 | 10.7 |
| Group-4 | 30 | 37.0 | 69.0 | 56.1 | 9.4 |

The mean value of minimum age of the patients has been found to be 44.7±11.2 years and that of maximum age was found to be 56.1±9.4 years (Table-2)

Graph-1: Showing mean age distribution in different study groups

Mean age of patients in group-1 has been found to be 44.7 years, in group-2, group-3 and group-4 it has been found to be 51.9, 54.1 and 56.1 years respectively. This has been depicted in Table-2 and Graph-1.

Table-3: Gender wise distribution of patients among four groups

| Gender | Group-1 Count | Group-1 % | Group-2 Count | Group-2 % | Group-3 Count | Group-3 % | Group-4 Count | Group-4 % |
|--------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Female | 17            | 56.7%     | 13            | 43.3%     | 19            | 63.3%     | 18            | 60.0%     |
| Male   | 13            | 43.3%     | 17            | 56.7%     | 11            | 36.7%     | 12            | 40.0%     |
| Total  | 30            | 100.0%    | 30            | 100.0%    | 30            | 100.0%    | 30            | 100.0%    |

Chi-square value = 2.81; P = 0.42
Gender wise distribution had shown that in group-1 there were 17 females and 13 males, in group-2 there were 13 females and 17 males, in group-3 there were 19 females and 11 males and in group-4 there were 18 females and 12 males (Table-3 and Graph-2).

Table-4: Prevalence of nutrient canals among different groups

| Nutrient canals | Group-1 |         | Group-2 |         | Group-3 |         | Group-4 |         |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Count   | %       | Count   | %       | Count   | %       | Count   | %       | Count   | %       |
| Absent      | 17      | 56.7%   | 15      | 50.0%   | 8       | 26.7%   | 4       | 13.3%   |
| Present     | 13      | 43.3%   | 15      | 50.0%   | 22      | 73.3%   | 26      | 86.7%   |
| Total       | 30      | 100.0%  | 30      | 100.0%  | 30      | 100.0%  | 30      | 100.0%  |

Chi-square value = 15.79; P = 0.001

Out of 120 patients 76 patients showed presence of nutrient canals. In group-I (control group) 13 patients showed nutrient canals i.e. 43.3 %. In group-II (Hypertensive group) 15 patients showed nutrient canals i.e. 50%. In group-III (Diabetes group) 22 patients showed nutrient canals i.e.73.3%. In group-IV (Both hypertensive and diabetic group), 26 patients showed nutrient canals i.e.86.7%. Thus prevalence of nutrient canals in group-III and group-IV were found to be statistically highly significant compared to that of group-I and group-II (Table-4 and Graph-3).

Table-5: Number of nutrient canals present in patients in different groups

| No. of nutrient canals | Group-1 |         | Group-2 |         | Group-3 |         | Group-4 |         |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Count   | %       | Count   | %       | Count   | %       | Count   | %       | Count   | %       |
| 0        | 17      | 56.7%   | 15      | 50.0%   | 8       | 26.7%   | 4       | 13.3%   |
| 1        | 1       | 3.3%    | 1       | 3.3%    | 1       | 3.3%    | 1       | 3.3%    |
| 2        | 4       | 13.3%   | 3       | 10.0%   | 8       | 26.7%   | 10      | 33.3%   |
| 3        | 8       | 26.7%   | 11      | 36.7%   | 13      | 43.3%   | 15      | 50.0%   |
| Total    | 30      | 100.0%  | 30      | 100.0%  | 30      | 100.0%  | 30      | 100.0%  |

Chi-square value = 17.52; P = 0.04
The patients with zero nutrient canals has been found to be 56.7% in group-1, 50% in group-2, 26.7% in group-3 and 13.3% in group-4. Patients with one nutrient canal has been found to be 3.3% in all the groups. Patients with two nutrient canals has been observed to be 13.3% in group-1, 10% in group-2, 26.7% in group-3 and 33.3% in group-4. Patients with three nutrient canals has been found to be 26.7% in group-1, 36.7% in group-2, 43.3% in group-3 and 50% in group-4. This reveals that the number of nutrient canals has been found to be increased in patients in group-3 and group-4 when compared to that of group-1 and group-2 and it is also statistically significant (P<0.05). This is depicted in Table-5 and Graph-4.

![Graph-4: Number of nutrient canals present in patients in four groups](image)

### Table-6: Prevalence of nutrient canals among males and females

| Gender | Nutrient canals | Present | Absent |
|--------|----------------|---------|--------|
|        | Count | %      | Count | %    |
| Female | 52    | 77.6%  | 15    | 22.4%|
| Male   | 24    | 45.3%  | 29    | 54.7%|
| Total  | 76    | 63.3%  | 44    | 36.7%|

Chi-square value = 13.32; P < 0.001

Out of 76 patients who showed presence of nutrient canals 52 were females i.e. 77.65% and 24 were males i.e. 45.3% which showed female predilection with p-value being statistically significant P ≤ 0.001. This has been shown in Table-6 and Graph-5.

![Graph-5: Prevalence of nutrient canals among males and females](image)
Table 7: Prevalence of nutrient canals among different age groups

| Age   | Nutrient canals | Present | Absent |
|-------|-----------------|---------|--------|
|       | Count | %    | Count | %     |
| 31-40 | 10    | 38.5%| 16    | 61.5% |
| 41-50 | 16    | 61.5%| 10    | 38.5% |
| 51-60 | 23    | 74.2%| 8     | 25.8% |
| 61-70 | 27    | 73.0%| 10    | 27.0% |
| Total | 76    | 63.3%| 44    | 36.7% |

Chi-square value = 10.02, $P = 0.02$

Prevalence of nutrient canals in the patients has been found to be 38.5% among the age group of 31-40 years, 61.5% in patients falling in to the age group of 41-50 years, 74.2% among the patients in the age group of 51-60 years and 73% among patients in the age group of 61-70 years. This reveals that as the age progresses prevalence of nutrient canals also increases which is statistically significant $P$-value < 0.05.

**DISCUSSION**

Nutrient canals are generally regarded as anatomical landmarks and even found in normal healthy individuals. Alterations in their prevalence has been correlated with systemic diseases in the present study.

**Hypertension and Nutrient Canals**

In the present study, the prevalence of nutrient canals in group 2 has been found to be 50% whereas in group 1 it was observed to be 43.3% which shows that there is an increased occurrence of nutrient canals in hypertensive patients when compared to that of healthy patients. This has been found to be in accordance with the study done by Patsakas and Donta [4] in 1990 where the prevalence of nutrient canals was higher in hypertensive patients, which was 55% to that of 41.66% in non-hypertensive patients. Another study by Patel and Wuehrmann [5] where the prevalence of nutrient canals in hypertensive patients was 60% to that of normal patients who had 40% prevalence which had mild superior when compared to the present study. This can be attributed to the difference in sample size taken. Various other studies done by Jaju PP et al., [3] Ab Yilmaz et al., [6] and Morteza et al., [7] also signify the increased prevalence of nutrient canals in hypertensive patients.

Etiopathogenesis for hypertension has been hypothesized by Haslett, et al. in 2002 [8]. They said that the chief effects of hypertension are the dilatation of arterioles caused by hypertension followed by hyperplasia and hypertrophy of the vessel wall, and ultimately arteriosclerosis. Subsequent to arteriosclerosis, as narrowing of the vessel lumen takes place, opening up of more collaterals might be triggered in order to compensate for the reduced blood supply. This may be responsible for the increased incidence of nutrient canals in hypertensive patients.

**Diabetes and Nutrient Canals**

In the present study, the occurrence of nutrient canals in group 3 has been found to be 73.3% whereas in group 1 it has been found to be 43.3% which reveals the significant association between diabetic patients and prevalence of nutrient canals. This is in accordance with the study done by Pierrakou and Donta [9] where there was 75.34% prevalence in diabetes mellitus patients and 41.6% in non-diabetics. In a study done by Sudha Mani et al., [2] occurrence of nutrient canals in diabetes mellitus patients has been found to be 62% when compared to the control group which was 36%. On comparison, the results of the present study had been
found to be superior. Various other studies has been found to be in correlation with the present study.

Etiopathogenesis for diabetes was in turn hypothesized by Isselbacher et al., [10]. They stated that the insulin deficiency in diabetes has a mitogenic action on the endothelium, which leads to formation of collateral vessels. The process of atherosclerosis seen in diabetes mellitus too can lead to narrowing of vessel lumen. This in turn can lead to collateral vessel formation as a compensatory mechanism, which leads to increased incidence of nutrient canals.

Association of nutrient canals with patients having both Hypertension and diabetes

According to the results obtained in the present study, prevalence of nutrient canals in group-4 has been found to be 86.7% when compared to the healthy group-1 in which it was found to be 43.3%. This has been found to be highly statistically significant. It had been found to be in accordance with the study done by Dhir Preeti et al., [11], in which the prevalence of nutrient canals in patients with both hypertension and diabetes has been found to be 83.33% when compared to that of healthy patients in whom it was found be 26.67%. Another study done by Vibhuti Kaul et al., [12] showed similar results. Hence it can be stated that there is a significant correlation between prevalence of nutrient canals in patients with both hypertension and diabetes.

Number of Nutrient Canals and Systemic Diseases

According to the present study, number of nutrient canals has been found to be more in group-2, group-3 and group-4 when weighed with that of group-1. Results had been found to be statistically significant which signifies the positive correlation between number of nutrient canals and systemic diseases. This has been found to be similar with the study conducted by Vanaja G. Reddy et al., [13] in which they had found significant number of nutrient canals in patients with hypertension and diabetes. Another study done by Sukleen Kaur et al., [14] has also shown similar results with increased prevalence of nutrient canals.

Gender and Nutrient Canals

According to the present study, prevalence of nutrient canals has been found to be 77.6% in females where as it was found to be 45.3% in males. This reveals that there the prevalence of nutrient canals in females has been highly significant when compared to that of males. This has been found be in harmony with studies done by Bhargav Selarka et al., [15], Gupta et al., [16] and Bilge OM et al., [17] in which they had found a significant prevalence of nutrient canals among females when compared to males.

Age and Nutrient Canals

In the present study it has been observed that the prevalence of nutrient canals in the age group 51-60 years has been found to be 74.2% and that of in the age group 61-70years has been found to be 73%. This clearly depicts that as the age progresses, there has been an increased prevalence of nutrient canals. This has been found to be in accordance with the studies done by Belegupa Poornima et al., [18], Kanji Kishi et al., [19] and Patni et al., [20] in which there has been significant correlation between age and prevalence of nutrient canals.

Conclusion

In the present study, prevalence of nutrient canals in Diabetic group and both hypertension and diabetic group was found to have positive correlation with slight female predilection. Hence the prevalence of nutrient canals can be associated with systemic diseases like hypertension and diabetes where as the number of nutrient canals and age wise distribution of nutrient canals has also been found to be significant.
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