A novel TBK1 mutation in a family with diverse frontotemporal dementia spectrum disorders
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Abstract Mutations in the TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) gene have recently been shown to cause frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). The phenotype is highly variable and has been associated with behavioral variant FTD, primary progressive aphasia, and pure ALS. We describe the clinical, anatomical, and pathological features of a patient who developed corticobasal syndrome (CBS)/progressive nonfluent aphasia (PNFA) overlap. The patient presented with progressive speech difficulties and later developed an asymmetric akinetic–rigid syndrome. Neuroimaging showed asymmetrical frontal atrophy, predominantly affecting the right side. There was a strong family history of neurodegenerative disease with four out of seven siblings developing either dementia or ALS in their 50s and 60s. The patient died at the age of 71 and the brain was donated for postmortem analysis. Histopathological examination showed frontotemporal lobar degeneration TDP-43 type A pathology. Genetic screening did not reveal a mutation in the GRN, MAPT, or C9orf72 genes, but exome sequencing revealed a novel p.E703X mutation in the TBK1 gene. Although segregation data were not available, this loss-of-function mutation is highly likely to be pathogenic because it is predicted to disrupt TBK1/optineurin interaction and impair cellular autophagy. In conclusion, we show that TBK1 mutations can be a cause of an atypical parkinsonian syndrome and screening should be considered in CBS patients with a family history of dementia or ALS.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

INTRODUCTION

Mutations in the tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor NF-κB activator (TANK)-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) gene, located on Chromosome 12, have recently been described as a cause of familial frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Cirulli et al. 2015; Freischmidt et al. 2015; Pottier et al. 2015). Patients have usually presented with either behavioral variant FTD, pure ALS, or a combination of both (FTD–ALS),
and multiple different syndromes have been observed within the same family (Van Mossevelde et al. 2016).

TBK1 is a 729-amino acid protein kinase that acts as a mediator of inflammation and autophagy (Marion 2014; Ahmad et al. 2016). It is a ubiquitously expressed serine–threonine kinase belonging to the “noncanonical IκB kinases” (IKKs) (Marion 2014; Ahmad et al. 2016). More than 40 mutations have been found in the TBK1 gene that cause either a frameshift or a premature stop codon, likely leading to a truncated or absent protein product (Freischmidt et al. 2017). Similar to mutations in the progranulin (GRN) gene, these are likely to be pathogenic through haploinsufficiency (Freischmidt et al. 2015). More than 50 missense mutations have also been described with unclear pathogenicity (Freischmidt et al. 2017). Further functional work will be required to assess whether these are truly pathogenic or could represent risk factors for FTD and ALS.

Corticobasal syndrome (CBS) is a rare neurodegenerative disease characterized by progressive asymmetrical rigidity and apraxia (Armstrong et al. 2013). Other features include myoclonus, akinesia, dystonia, alien limb phenomena, and cognitive impairment. CBS is pathologically heterogeneous with only around half of cases being associated with the typical histological findings of corticobasal degeneration (Ling et al. 2010). Other cases are caused by progressive supranuclear palsy, Alzheimer’s disease, and, more rarely, TDP-43 pathology. The majority of cases of CBS are sporadic but familial cases have been rarely described in association with mutations in GRN, microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT), Chromosome 9 open reading frame 72 (C9orf72), and colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) (Masellis et al. 2006; Rademakers et al. 2012; Anor et al. 2015).

In this report, we describe the clinical, radiological, and pathological features of a 59-yr-old woman who developed CBS in association with primary progressive aphasia caused by a novel TBK1 mutation.

RESULTS

Clinical Presentation and Family History

A right-handed woman presented at the age of 59 with a 6-mo history of progressive speech problems. Her initial symptoms were of knowing what she wanted to say but not being able to get the words out. There were no other cognitive, behavioral, or motor symptoms. Her symptoms slowly progressed over the next 2 yr and when assessed at the age of 62, her problems continued to be of impaired speech output without any other cognitive symptoms. Cranial nerve and limb neurological examinations were normal, but she had a reduced score of 81/100 on the Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination (subscores: 18/18 attention and orientation, 20/26 memory, 7/14 fluency, 24/26 language, and 12/16 visuospatial). Formal psychometric testing was not performed but she was assessed on the Boston Naming Test, where she scored within the normal range (57/60). Blood tests and CSF examination were normal, as was electromyography. However, an MRI brain scan was abnormal, showing focal right frontal lobe atrophy (Fig. 1; Supplemental Movie S1). A diagnosis of PNFA was made.

Neither of her parents had a neurodegenerative illness, but her father died of myocardial infarction in his 40s. The mother died aged 83 of a stroke. She was one of seven siblings: One sister developed a rapidly progressive dementia in her 60s (II.2), two other sisters were diagnosed with ALS in their 40s (II.3) and 60s (II.4), and another sister was thought to have ALS and dementia with onset in her 50s (II.6), highlighting the variability of clinical presentations within the same family (Fig. 2A). II.2 first developed symptoms aged 65, presenting with a change in behavior. She became childlike in her demeanor and exhibited changes in appetite, developing a very sweet tooth and tending to overfill her mouth. Her behavior decline was rapid, deteriorating over a period of 6 mo. She was diagnosed with dementia and died
II.3 first developed symptoms aged 39 and was reported to have difficulties with her speech and swallowing, which progressed rapidly over a period of 3 mo; she was not reported to have any cognitive or behavioral difficulties. She was diagnosed with ALS and died aged 48. II.4 developed symptoms aged 59 and was diagnosed with ALS; however, she was not reported to have any difficulties with her speech or swallowing. Her symptoms progressed over a period of 12 mo. She died aged 69. II.6 developed symptoms aged 60. She was thought to have ALS and memory problems, but little was known of her condition as she reportedly became a recluse, refusing to leave the house or let anyone visit her. She died aged 68.

The patient’s speech problems progressed and, when reassessed 4 yr into her illness, she had minimal speech output with evidence of orofacial apraxia. She had developed impaired swallowing and had had a PEG tube inserted. She had also developed an asymmetric akinetic-rigid syndrome with apraxia, dystonia, and myoclonus, affecting the left arm and, to a lesser extent, the left leg more than the right side. She also had difficulty initiating saccadic eye movements. Repeat electromyography of the four limbs was normal. A diagnosis of CBS was made.

She continued to progress over the next few years with worsening comprehension of speech and increasing difficulty with movement. By 8 yr into the illness, she became dependent on nursing care for all her personal care needs and was not assessed further following this. Although not formally assessed, the cognitive impairment at this stage was of sufficient severity to significantly interfere with activities of daily living and contributed to her loss of independence. She died from bronchopneumonia at the age of 71, after a progressive neurodegenerative illness lasting 12 yr in duration.

The patient consented to brain donation, and after death her brain was assessed using standard neuropathological methods at the Queen Square Brain Bank, where tissue is stored.
for research under a licence from the UK Human Tissue Authority. Representative areas of neocortex, basal ganglia, hippocampus, midbrain, pons, medulla, and cerebellum were examined using routine stains, with additional immunohistochemical staining for Aβ, tau (AT8), TDP-43, ubiquitin, p62, GFAP, CD68, and α-synuclein. Macroscopic examination showed marked atrophy of the frontal and parietal lobes and, to a lesser extent, atrophy of the temporal lobe with severe dilatation of the lateral ventricle. There was severe atrophy of the amygdala with moderate atrophy of the hippocampus. The caudate and globus pallidus were also atrophic and there was marked pallor of the substantia nigra and locus coeruleus (Fig. 3). Histological examination of the left hemisphere showed marked cortical pathology with thinning, neuronal loss, and spongiosis, most severe in the frontal and parietal lobes. There was neuronal loss and gliosis in the caudate and globus pallidus, and this was severe in the substantia nigra. TDP-43 immunohistochemistry demonstrated short neurites and neuronal cytoplasmic inclusions corresponding to cortical pathology characteristic in the FTLD–TDP type A subtype. Immunohistochemical staining for hyperphosphorylated tau showed features of argyrophilic grains with neurofibrillary tangles in the hippocampus accompanied by grains in the hippocampal CA1 subregion and the subiculum, in addition to small numbers of bushy astrocytes in cortical regions. There was no Aβ or α-synuclein pathology.

Figure 2. (A) Pedigree indicating the diversity of familial neurological disease present among family members. (B) Structure of the TBK1 gene (NM_013254) and reserve-complement chromatogram showing the position of the c.2107G>T variant identified in the index case (II.7), leading to a 27-amino acid deletion in the CC2 domain of the TBK1 protein. (AAD) Age at death, (AAO) age at onset, (S/T) serine-threonine, (UbLD) ubiquitin-like domain, (CC1) coiled-coil domain 1, (CC2) coiled-coil domain 2.
The right hemisphere was not available for immunohistochemistry, as it was snap-frozen for molecular analysis.

**Genomic Analysis**
Genetic screening excluded mutations in GRN and MAPT (exons 1 and 9–13). Hexanucleotide repeat expansions in the C9orf72 gene, a cause of familial FTD and/or...
ALS (DeJesus-Hernandez et al. 2011; Renton et al. 2011), were also excluded. Exome sequencing was undertaken to identify potential pathogenic variants. The patient was found to harbor a novel c.2107G>T (p.E703X: NM_013254.4) variant in exon 20 of the TBK1 gene (Table 1; Supplemental Table S1). Sanger sequencing of exon 20 confirmed the presence of a heterozygous G>T substitution at nucleotide 2107 (Fig. 2B). This variant was not seen in more than 138,000 unrelated exome and genome sequences from the gnomAD database (0/138,632; http://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/). This nonsense variant was located in the carboxy-terminal coiled-coil domain 2 (CC2) and results in a premature stop codon, leading to a predicted truncated protein product. Segregation of the variant could not be verified because of the unavailability of blood samples from additional family members.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we describe the presence of a novel TBK1 mutation in a patient who presented clinically with a CBS–PNFA overlap syndrome, radiologically with asymmetric frontal lobe atrophy, and with FTLD–TDP type A pathology at postmortem examination of brain tissue.

Although we did not have access to blood samples to test segregation of the mutation within the family, this variant is highly likely to be pathogenic, as it results in a premature stop codon, and hence a truncated protein product. Heterozygous loss of function variants have been shown to be enriched in familial FTD–ALS pedigrees and are likely to be pathogenic through nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) and global reduction of protein levels (Cirulli et al. 2015; Freischmidt et al. 2015; Pottier et al. 2015). However, NMD associated with the heterozygous p.E703X mutation described here is unlikely, because of the position of the premature stop codon in the gene (Hug et al. 2016). Instead, loss of the crucial highly conserved CC2 protein domain is likely to result in the abrogation of the interaction and complex formation with TBK1-associated adaptors that are essential in regulating TBK1 activation and its subcellular localization (Gonçalves et al. 2011). Mutations within this domain have been shown to disrupt the TBK1/OPTN (optineurin) interaction (Freischmidt et al. 2015). OPTN is an important autophagy receptor critical for the degradation and clearance of intracellular pathogens, protein aggregates, and damaged organelles. The carboxy-terminal domain of TBK1 binds to the amino-terminal region of OPTN to form the TBK1/OPTN complex (Li et al. 2016). TBK1 is also thought to directly phosphorylate OPTN to promote autophagy. Mutations in both the carboxy-terminal domain of TBK1 and the amino-terminal domain of OPTN have been implicated in ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases (Maruyama et al. 2010; Pottier et al. 2015), and it is likely that disruption of the formation of the TBK1/OPTN complex impairs critical autophagy processes that are vital to maintaining cellular homeostasis (Li et al. 2016). Interestingly, TBK1 has also been shown to act on a common pathway with C9orf72 complexes to regulate autophagy in neuronal cells (Sellier et al. 2016). It was found that by promoting the phosphorylation of one of the proteins in this complex (SMCR8), TBK1 is important for C9orf72-mediated autophagy, thus providing another mechanism by which TBK1 mutations could lead to neurodegeneration. Disruption in autophagy processes has been implicated in other neurodegenerative conditions such as

### Table 1. Details of variant

| Gene | Chromosome | HGVS DNA reference | HGVS protein reference | Variant type | Predicted effect | dbSNP/dbVar ID | ClinVar ID | Genotype |
|------|------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|----------|
| TBK1 | 12q14.2    | NM_013254.4: c.2107G>T | NP_037386.1: p.E703X | Nonsense variant | Premature STOP codon | Not available | SCV000886403 | Heterozygous |
Parkinson’s disease, in which mutations in PINK1 and Parkin are believed to function in a common pathway to promote defective mitochondrial clearance via autophagy (Barodia et al. 2017).

Clinically, this patient developed an overlap syndrome of primary progressive aphasia (PNFA) followed by CBS. This is a well-described overlap of neurodegenerative syndromes, but only one other case has been described in association with a TBK1 frameshift mutation (Caroppo et al. 2015). Neuroanatomically, there are few reports of the imaging features of TBK1-associated disease. Patterns of atrophy are variable and likely reflect the areas most affected by neurodegeneration. In most cases, atrophy is asymmetric and predominantly involves the temporal lobe (Caroppo et al. 2015; Koriath et al. 2016). However, atrophy that predominantly affects the frontal cortex, mesencephalon, and cerebellum have all been described, and it is plausible that atrophy patterns correlate with the main clinical phenotype (Wilke et al. 2018). The neuropathological features associated with TBK1 mutations have also been described infrequently. Most cases reported so far had TDP-43 type B pathology (Freischmidt et al. 2015; Pottier et al. 2015; Van Mossevelde et al. 2016), but TDP-43 type A pathology has also been described (Pottier et al. 2015; Koriath et al. 2017). Whether there is a correlation between mutation type and/or location within the different TBK1 domains and the pathological consequences remains to be elucidated. Of interest, this case also had tau-positive argyrophilic grains, as previously reported, raising the possibility that this additional pathology might be a feature of the disease (Koriath et al. 2017).

Mutations in TBK1 are the fourth most common cause of familial FTD, and the second most common cause of a combined FTD–ALS syndrome (Dols-Icardo et al. 2018). More recently, TBK1 mutations have been found in patients with progressive supranuclear palsy and progressive cerebellar ataxia syndromes, expanding the phenotypic spectrum of TBK1-associated disease (Wilke et al. 2018). Only one other case of familial CBS–PNFA overlap syndrome has been reported in the literature thus far, carrying a frameshift mutation (p. Thr156ArgfsX6) affecting the kinase domain of TBK1 (Caroppo et al. 2015). The first described cause of familial CBS was due to a mutation in the MAPT gene (Masellis et al. 2006), but this is relatively rare (van Swieten 2007) and tends to cause a syndrome with prominent behavioral symptoms. A familial CBS overlap with primary progressive aphasia is more commonly associated with GRN mutations (van Swieten and Heutink 2008), but is nonetheless a rare phenotype of GRN. More recently, case reports of familial CBS caused by C9orf72 and CSF1R have been described, but this is also rare and an unusual phenotype for these genes. It is increasingly recognized that neurodegenerative disorders are pathologically and genetically heterogeneous. Similar clinical syndromes can have multiple underlying pathologies and genetic cause, and mutations in a single gene can manifest with diverse clinical phenotypes, often in the same kindred. In this context, clearly exemplified by this case report, the exact definition of a clinicopathological entity is increasingly reliant on a genetic diagnosis.

In summary, we describe a novel TBK1 mutation in a patient who presented with a CBS–PNFA overlap and who had a family history of dementia and ALS. The presence of a family history in a patient with CBS should lead to testing for mutations in the known FTD genes. However, if these are negative sequencing for mutations in TBK1 should be considered. This case highlights the importance of genetic exome sequencing as a diagnostic tool in patients presenting with CBS and associated neurodegenerative disorders.

**METHODS**

Briefly, for whole-exome sequencing, the sample library was prepared using Illumina Nextera Rapid Capture kits with paired-end sequencing performed using the Illumina
HiSeq2000 (Illumina). The sample was sequenced to an average coverage of 39.42 reads with a mean read length of 177.23 bp (Supplemental Table S2). All reads were subsequently aligned using BWA (Li and Durbin 2009) against UCSC hg19 reference genome. Variant calling and quality-based filtering for all samples were done using GATK (McKenna et al. 2010). Variants were annotated with ANNOVAR (Wang et al. 2010) with allele frequency data from the gnomAD browser, as well as with predicted impact of variants (Kircher et al. 2014).

For Sanger sequencing, exon 20 was amplified using specific primers (F 5`-CAG CTTCCAGTGGAATCAAACA-3` and R 5`-AGGCATCACAGATACACAATCA-3`). The amplified PCR product was enzymatically cleaned up and sequenced on both strands using a BigDye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems). Sequencing products were run on a 3730 DNA Analyser (Applied Biosystems) and analyzed using Sequencher DNA Sequence Analysis software (Gene Codes Corp.).

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Data Deposition and Access**

Raw sequencing data were not deposited but are available from the authors. The variant described in this study was submitted to ClinVar (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/) and can be found under accession number SCV000886403.

**Ethics Statement**

The patient and her next of kin gave written consent to take part in research. Genetic and postmortem neuropathological analysis of this patient was approved under the Research Ethics Committee for Wales (Wales REC; 14/WA/1179; Clinical Neurological Disease Bio- bank and Neurogenetics Research Study 2 (CANDAS2)).

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Dr. Viorica Chelban for her assistance with the Sanger sequencing. This research was partly supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Queen Square Biomedical Research Unit in Dementia based at University College London Hospitals (UCLH), University College London (UCL). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service (NHS), the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), or the Department of Health.

**Author Contributions**

The project was conceived and supervised by H.R.M. and D.J.B. R.L., J.D.R., A.J.W., S.J.L., T.L., T.R., J.L.H., R.J.W., H.R.M., and R.R. generated and analyzed data. J.D.R., R.R., and R.L. wrote the manuscript. All authors were responsible for critical review and interpretation of the data.

**Funding**

R.L. is funded by CBD Solutions. The Dementia Research Centre is supported by Alzheimer’s Research UK, Brain Research Trust, and The Wolfson Foundation. This work was supported by the NIHR Queen Square Dementia Biomedical Research Unit, the NIHR UCL/H Biomedical Research Centre, and the Leonard Wolfson Experimental Neurology Centre (LWENC) Clinical Research Facility. J.D.R. is supported by an MRC Clinician Scientist Fellowship (MR/M008525/1) and has received funding from the NIHR Rare Disease Translational Research Collaboration (BRC149/NS/MH). A.J.W. is supported by BRACE. T.L. is supported by an Alzheimer’s Research UK senior fellowship. T.R. is supported by a...
research grant from Karin & Sten Mortstedt CBD Solutions. J.L.H. is supported by the Multiple System Atrophy Trust, the Multiple System Atrophy Coalition, Fund Sophia, managed by the King Baudouin Foundation, Alzheimer’s Research UK, and CBD Solutions. R.R. is a Walker-Peltz Clinical Research Fellow. S.J.L. was funded by the Medical Research Council UK (G0700943). Queen Square Brain Bank is supported by the Reta Lila Weston Institute of Neurological Studies and the Medical Research Council UK.

REFERENCES

Ahmad L, Zhang S, Casanova J, Sancho-Shimizu V. 2016. Human TBK1: a gatekeeper of neuroinflammation. Trends Mol Med 22: 511–527. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2016.04.006
Anor CJ, Xi Z, Zhang M, Moreno D, Sato C, Rogaeva E, Tartaglia MC. 2015. Mutation analysis of C9orf72 in patients with corticobasal syndrome. Neurobiol Aging 36: 2905.e1–2905.e5. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiaging.2015.06.008
Armstrong MJ, Litvan I, Lang AE, Bak TH, Bhatia KP, Borroni B, Boxer AL, Dickson DW, Grossman M, Hallett M, Anor CJ, Xi Z, Zhang M, Moreno D, Sato C, Rogaeva E, Tartaglia MC. 2015. Mutation analysis of C9orf72 causes chromosome 9p-linked FTD and ALS. Neuron 72: 245–256. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.09.011
Dols-Icardo O, García-Redondo A, Rojas-García R, Borrego-Hernández D, Illán-Gala I, Muñoz-Blanco JL, Rabano A, Cervera-Carles L, Juárez-Rufián A, Spataro N, et al. 2018. Analysis of known amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal dementia genes reveals a substantial genetic burden in patients manifesting both diseases not carrying the C9orf72 expansion mutation. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 89: 162–168. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2017-316820
Freischmidt A, Welland T, Richter B, Ruf W, Schaeffer V, Müller K, Marroquin N, Hübers A, Weydt P, et al. 2015. Haploinsufficiency of TBK1 causes familial ALS and fronto-temporal dementia. Nat Neurosci 18: 631–636. doi:10.1038/nn.4000
Freischmidt A, Müller K, Ludolph AC, Weishaupt JH, Andersen PM. 2017. Association of mutations in TBK1 with sporadic and familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal dementia. JAMA Neurol 74: 110–113. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.3712
Gonzalves A, Bürckstümmer T, Dixit E, Scheicher R, Görna MW, Karayel E, Sugar K, Stukalov A, Berg T, Kralovic R, et al. 2011. Functional dissection of the TBK1 molecular network. PLoS One 6: e23971. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023971
Hug N, Longman D, Cáceres JF. 2016. Mechanism and regulation of the nonsense-mediated decay pathway. Nucleic Acids Res 44: 1483–1495. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw010
Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O’Roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. 2014. A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. Nat Genet 46: 310–315. doi:10.1038/ng.2892
Koriat C, Adamson G, Druyeh R, Kenny J, Rossor M, Schott J, Collinge J, Fox N, Roher J, Mead S. 2016. Probing FTD genetics with next-generation sequencing. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 87: e1.198-e1. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2016-315106.61
Koriat CA, Boccetta M, Brotherhood E, Woollacott IOC, Norsworthy P, Simón-Sánchez J, Blauwendraat C, Dick KM, Gordon E, Harding SR, et al. 2017. The clinical, neuroanatomical, and neuropathologic phenotype of TBK1-associated frontotemporal dementia: a longitudinal case report. Alzheimers Dement 6: 75–81. doi:10.1016/j.jadm.2016.10.003
Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25: 1754–1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
Li F, Xie X, Wang Y, Liu J, Cheng X, Guo Y, Gong Y, Hu S, Pan L. 2016. Structural insights into the interaction and disease mechanism of neurodegenerative disease-associated optineurin and TBK1 proteins. Nat Commun 7: 12708. doi:10.1038/ncomms12708

Ling H, O’Sullivan SS, Holton JL, Revesz T, Massey LA, Williams DR, Paviour DC, Lees AJ. 2010. Does cortico-basal degeneration exist? A clinicopathological re-evaluation. Brain 133: 2045–2057. doi:10.1093/brain/awq123

Marion J. 2014. TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1): structure, function, and regulation. In Molecular life sciences: an encyclopedic reference (Wells RD, Bond JS, Klinman J, Masters BSS, Bell E), pp. 1–9. Springer, New York.

Maruyama H, Morino H, Ito H, Izumi Y, Kato H, Watanabe Y, Kinoshita Y, Kamada M, Nodera H, Suzuki H, et al. 2010. Mutations of optineurin in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Nature 465: 223–226. doi:10.1038/nature08971

Maselli M, Momeni P, Meschino W, Heffner R, Elder J, Sato C, Liang Y, St George-Hyslop P, Hardy J, Bilbao J, et al. 2006. Novel splicing mutation in the progranulin gene causing familial corticobasal syndrome. Brain 129: 3115–3123. doi:10.1093/brain/awl276

McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, Garimella K, Altshuler D, Gabriel S, Daly M, et al. 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res 20: 1297–1303. doi:10.1101/gr.107524.110

Pottier C, Bieniek KF, Finch N, van de Vorst M, Baker M, Perkerson R, Brown P, Ravenscroft T, van Blitterswijk M, Nicholson AM, et al. 2015. Whole-genome sequencing reveals important role for TBK1 and OPTN mutations in frontotemporal lobar degeneration without motor neuron disease. Acta Neuropathol 130: 77–92. doi:10.1007/s00401-015-1436-x

Rademakers R, Baker M, Nicholson AM, Rutherford NJ, Finch N, Soto-Ortolaza A, Lash J, Wider C, Wojtas A, DeJesus-Hernandez M, et al. 2012. Mutations in the colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) gene cause hereditary diffuse leukoencephalopathy with spheroids. Nat Genet 44: 200–205. doi:10.1038/ng.1027

Renton AE, Majounie E, Waite A, Simón-Sánchez J, Rollinson S, Gibbs JR, Schymick JC, Laaksovirta H, van Swieten JC, Myllykangas L, et al. 2011. A hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9ORF72 is the cause of chromosome 9p21-linked ALS-FTD. Neuron 72: 257–268. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.09.010

Sellier C, Campanari ML, Corbier CJ, Gaucherot A, Kolb-Chenu I, Oualid-Abdelghani M, Ruffenach F, Page A, Ciura S, Kabashi E, et al. 2016. Loss of C9ORF72 impairs autophagy and synergizes with polyQ ataxin-2 to induce motor neuron dysfunction and cell death. EMBO J 35: 1276–1297. doi:10.15252/embj.201593350

Van Mossevelde S, van der Zee J, Gijselinck I, Engelborghs S, Sieben A, Van Langenhove T, Van Laere K, et al. 2016. Clinical features of TBK1 carriers compared with C9orf72, GRN and non-mutation carriers in a Belgian cohort. Brain 139: 452–467. doi:10.1093/brain/awv358

van Swieten JC. 2007. Genetic basis of frontotemporal dementia. Lancet Neurol 6: 840–841. doi:10.1016/j.laneuo.2007.07.024

Van Swieten JC, Heutink P. 2008. Mutations in progranulin (GRN) within the spectrum of clinical and pathological phenotypes of frontotemporal dementia. Lancet Neurol 7: 965–974. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(08)70194-7

Wang K, Li H, Hakonarson H. 2010. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 38: e126. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq603

Wilke C, Baets J, De Bleecker JL, Deconinck T, Biskup S, Hayer SN, Hayer SN, Züchner S, Schüle R, De Jonghe P, et al. 2018. Beyond ALS and FTD: the phenotypic spectrum of TBK1 mutations includes PSP-like and cerebellar phenotypes. Neurobiol Aging 62: 244.e9–244.e13. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2017.10.010