The methylation of lysine residues in the N-terminal tails of histones is a highly conserved mechanism that regulates critical functions of chromatin, such as the control of gene expression. Using a biochemical approach, we recently identified new methylation marks on the histone H4 tail in budding yeast at lysines 5, 8 and 12, catalyzed by the previously-uncharacterized enzyme Set5. Genetic studies revealed that Set5 functions in cellular processes that also rely on the global chromatin modifying complexes COMPASS and NuA4, which methylate H3 lysine 4 and acetylate H4 lysines 5, 8 and 12, respectively. The identification of new methylation events on the H4 tail raises many intriguing questions regarding their function and their interaction with known histone modifications. Here, we analyze the insights gained about the new enzyme Set5 and the implications for new functionality added to the H4 tail.

Lysine Methylation Signaling at Chromatin

The covalent post-translational modification (PTM) of histones, including acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation and ubiquitylation, is a critical mechanism to direct fundamental DNA templated processes such as transcription and DNA repair. The dynamic marking of histones thereby act as signals that orchestrate proper programming of the genome and aberrant methylation signaling is implicated in the initiation and progression of many human diseases.

Lysine methyltransferases exist in two evolutionarily-conserved structural classes: the SET (named for the Drosophila Su(var)3–9, Enhancer of Zeste and Trithorax proteins) domain family and the seven-β strand family. To date, KMTs that methylate histones are largely defined by the catalytic SET domain, whereas only one histone KMT, Dot1, possesses the seven-β strand domain. The human proteome contains greater than 50 KMTs of the SET domain family and the seven-β strand family.
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the function of these marks in humans.\textsuperscript{35,36} Therefore, evolutionarily conserved mechanisms of chromatin function can be revealed through the identification and investigation of new methylation events in yeast.

We have recently discovered the existence of methyl marks on the H4 tail at lysines 5, 8 and 12, catalyzed by the enzyme Set5.\textsuperscript{4,5} This analysis revealed that Set5 is a monomethyltransferase and in vivo studies showed that monomethylation of these H4 residues is SET5-dependent in cells.\textsuperscript{11} This work identifies the first known substrate for the enzyme Set5 and demonstrates that H4 is subject to lysine methylation on the functionally-important residues K5, K8 and K12. Here, we will highlight the insights gained regarding the newly-characterized enzyme Set5 and discuss the possible functions for and implications of methylation of the H4 tail lysines 5, 8 and 12.

**Set5: A New Yeast Histone Methyltransferase**

Set5 was a previously uncharacterized member of the SET-domain family from budding yeast. Unlike the majority of yeast SET domain KMTs, Set5, along with Set6, contains a split SET domain and has two consecutive zinc fingers, one canonical and one unique.\textsuperscript{13,14} These structural elements and sequence comparisons indicate that Set5 is orthologous to the mammalian SMYD family of lysine methyltransferases, which likewise contain split SET domains and a zinc finger domain known as MYND.\textsuperscript{6} Recent work from our lab has shown that SMYD3 is an H4K5 methyltransferase both in vitro and in human cells.\textsuperscript{15,16} This is the first demonstration that methylation of H4K5 exists in human cells and indicates functional conservation of Set5’s activity. Importantly, SMYD3 has been implicated in tumorigenic knockdown of SMYD3 inhibits proliferation and anchorage-independent growth of cancer cell lines\textsuperscript{15,16} and overexpression of SMYD3 has been observed in liver, breast and rectal carcinomas.\textsuperscript{17,18} The study of Set5 and H4 methylation in yeast may therefore uncover conserved mechanisms that contribute to SMYD3-dependent cellular processes.

In yeast, the only KMT known to have more than one substrate is Set1, which targets both histone H3 and the kinetochore component Dam1.\textsuperscript{19,20} It remains to be determined if Set5 has additional substrates, however in vitro experiments showed that Set5 is capable of methylating both lysine H2A.Z and the histone variant H2A.Z.\textsuperscript{21} although we have been unable to detect these methyl marks in cells (data not shown). The acetyltransferase Esa1, which is responsible for H4K5, K8 and K12 acetylation, also targets histone H2A and H2A.Z both in vitro and in vivo, suggesting that, at the very least, there are likely to be parallels between the structural mechanisms directing substrate specificity for these two enzymes. Set5 interacts with chromatin in cells, indicating that it may be methylating H4 at chromatin. However, a significant fraction of Set5 is not chromatin-associated and is cytoplasmic.\textsuperscript{21} This subcellular distribution suggests that Set5’s access to chromatin may be regulated via nucleocytoplasmic shuttling, that it potentially targets newly-synthesized H4 in the cytoplasm, or that it has additional cytoplasmic substrates. Further biochemical and structural studies are needed to reveal the mechanisms directing Set5’s substrate selection and specificity, as well as to understand the regulatory control of its enzymatic activity.

**Set5 Adds New Functionality to the H4 Tail**

Histone modifications do not function in isolation, but rather they act in concert with other modifications, histone variants and chromatin-binding proteins to regulate chromatin structure and dynamics. In our recent work, we also sought to understand the function of H4 methylation by Set5 and place it in context with known histone modifications. Genetic interaction studies revealed that Set5 functions in parallel and/or compensating pathways to two global chromatin-modifying complexes: the COMPASS complex, which contains the H3 K4 methyltransferase Set1,\textsuperscript{22,23} and the NuA4 complex, which contains the H4 K5, K8 and K12 acetyltransferase Esa1.\textsuperscript{22,25} These results suggest that Set5’s modification of H4 is likely to impact on cellular processes that also require H3K4 methylation and H4 K5, K8 and K12 acetylation.

Functional cooperation between Set5 and Set1. The H3K4 tri-methyltransferase Set1 is the catalytic subunit of the COMPASS complex, a highly conserved multi-enzymatic complex that functions in the control of gene expression in both active and silent regions of the genome.\textsuperscript{26,27} H3K4 tri-methylation marks transcription start sites and is a hallmark for active gene expression,\textsuperscript{26,27} but it can also act as a signaling platform stabilizing repressive activities at chromatin\textsuperscript{28} and function in maintaining heterochromatin boundaries,\textsuperscript{29,30} indicating that it has multiple unique roles throughout the genome. We observed that combined deletion of SET5 and SET1 led to decreased fitness in response to cellular stress,\textsuperscript{29} suggesting the possibility that Set5 functions with Set1 to regulate gene expression. Although genome-wide microarray analysis did not indicate a global role for Set5 in transcription alone, it remains an open question whether Set5 may function with Set1 in specific cellular or genomic contexts to regulate transcription. Studies to investigate the role of Set5 in stress-dependent gene expression and at unique genomic regions, such as heterochromatin-euchromatin boundaries, may more precisely define the functional interaction between Set5 and Set1.

Dissecting the interplay between H4 methylation and acetylation at K5, K8 and K12. In addition to participating in crosstalk with modification of the H3 tail, the methylation of H4 by Set5 will likely impact modifications of the H4 tail. In budding yeast, the H4 tail is acetylated at lysines 5, 8, 12 and 16 by histone acetyltransferases (HATs), and removal of these marks is performed by histone deacetylases (HDACs).\textsuperscript{31} Unlike methylation, acetylation of histone tails neutralizes the positive charge of the lysine residue. This provides two means by which acetylation can affect transactions at chromatin: (1) acting as a unique binding site for chromatin effector proteins and (2) changing the charge state such that nucleosome-DNA or nucleosome-nucleosome interactions,
and potentially higher-order chromatin structure, are altered. Studies in yeast have demonstrated that acetylation marks at lysines 5, 8 and 12 are correlated with one another, whereas acetylation at K16 is largely distinct. Specifically, H4K16ac is known to be a key regulator of silent chromatin, but also functions in other pathways, such as the response to DNA damage. Acetylation of H4 at K5, K8 and K12 has been implicated in transcription, chromatin assembly and DNA damage repair.

In investigating each of these processes, site-specific mutation of the individual lysine residues revealed that lysines 5, 8 and 12 often possess similar functionality, can substitute for one another and are not likely to recruit opposing activities to chromatin.

Despite the presence of this functional redundancy, unique roles for these modifiable lysines have been demonstrated, such as the recent discovery that H4 K12 has a specific function in the establishment of telomeric chromatin.

Methylation and acetylation of the same lysine residue are mutually exclusive. Numerous lysines within the core histones are known to be sites of both methylation and acetylation, including H3K4 in yeast and humans, H3K9, H3K27, H3K36 and recently, H3K56 in humans. Analysis of the genomic pattern of the methyl and acetyl marks for each of these residues reveals that they do not co-exist in the same regions and generally have opposing functions. One exception to this is the overlapping patterns of acetylation and methylation at H3K4 in yeast and the observation that H3K4me directly regulates the localization of H3K4ac in this context.

The H4 lysines 5, 8 and 12 possess a number of unique properties that distinguish them from other sites of histone modification. Primarily, they are a cluster of three lysines which can all be modified by the same HAT, Esa1 or the same KMT, Set5 and they can functionally substitute for one another. This raises a number of questions regarding the coordinated regulation of acetylation and methylation of these residues. Do methylation and acetylation exist in distinct subpopulations of H4 or do they co-exist on the same H4 tail? Are their activities inhibitory or complementary to one another? If methylation exists primarily on unacetylated H4 tails (i.e., methylation and acetylation are in different subpopulations of histones), the H4 methyl marks may function independently from acetylation in the genome (Fig. 1A) or inhibit acetylation on K5, K8 and K12 through blocking HAT activity or through the recruitment of effector proteins that may inhibit HAT activity (i.e., HDAC activity). Acetylation and methylation of K5, K8 and K12 may co-exist on different lysines of the same H4 tail. Combinations of methyl and acetyl marks on the same H4 tail may affect the binding of chromatin effectors that recognize acetyl-lysine moieties, potentially interfering with their binding to chromatin (Fig. 1C) or modulating the acetyl-lysine state to promote or stabilize binding. The combination of methylation and acetylation at K5, K8 and K12 may also influence chromatin compaction or folding.

The co-combination of methylation and acetylation at K5, K8 and K12 may also influence chromatin compaction or folding. Acetylation of histone tails is thought to lead to a decondensed chromatin state through the neutralization of the positive charge of the lysine, but the addition of methylation may promote or allow for compaction of the chromatin by maintaining the charge at H4 tail lysines, which could subsequently influence higher-order folding.

Figure 1. The relationship between acetylation and methylation of H4 tail lysines 5, 8 and 12. (A and B) Acetyl and methyl marks on H4 may exist in distinct histone subpopulations. Acetylation of K5, K8 and K12 has been implicated in the control of gene expression and DNA damage repair, whereas the function of methylation remains unknown. Methylation may act independently of acetylation in the genome (A) or inhibit acetylation on K5, K8 and K12 either directly through blocking HAT activity or through the recruitment of effector proteins that may inhibit HAT activity (B) or potentially promote HDAC activity (C and D). Acetylation and methylation of K5, K8 and K12 may co-exist on different lysines of the same H4 tail. Combinations of methyl and acetyl marks on the same H4 tail may affect the binding of chromatin effectors that recognize acetyl-lysine moieties, potentially interfering with their binding to chromatin (C) or modulating the acetyl-lysine state to promote or stabilize binding. (C and D) Acetylation and methylation of K5, K8 and K12 may co-exist on different lysines of the same H4 tail. Combinations of methyl and acetyl marks on the same H4 tail may affect the binding of chromatin effectors that recognize acetyl-lysine moieties, potentially interfering with their binding to chromatin (C) or modulating the acetyl-lysine state to promote or stabilize binding.
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