Feasibility of Cognitive Functions Screened With the Montreal Cognitive Assessment in Determining ADL Dependence Early After Stroke
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Objective: To investigate the feasibility of assessing cognitive function using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) given 36–48 h post stroke to explain dependence in activities of daily living (ADL).

Methods: This is a cross-sectional, exploratory study. Cognitive function and basic ADL were assessed with the MoCA and the Barthel Index (BI), respectively, within 36–48 h of admission. Neurological functions were assessed with the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) upon admittance to the hospital. Binary logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the feasibility of the MoCA in explaining ADL dependence.

Results: Data were available for 550 patients (42% females, mean age 69 years). Moderate correlations (r > +0.30, p < 0.001) were found between the total score on the BI, MoCA, and visuospatial/executive functions. The regression analysis model including only MoCA as an independent variable had a high sensitivity for explaining ADL dependence. However, the model with independent variables of MoCA, NIHSS, and age had the best area under the curve value (0.74).

Conclusions: Cognitive functions assessed with the MoCA partly explain ADL dependence 36–48 h post stroke. Stroke-related neurological deficits and age should be additional considerations.
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INTRODUCTION

Cognitive functions play an important role in patients’ rehabilitation setting management (1) and safe discharge. Few studies have investigated the utility of assessing patients’ cognitive functions during the early stages of stroke onset to explain activity-related outcomes. As even mild stroke can lead to cognitive impairments and influence patients’ everyday functioning (2), it is important to identify these difficulties.

The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) is a recommended tool for assessing cognitive functions in patients with acute stroke (3, 4). Good validity and reliability were reported for those with mild to moderate stroke (4). Studies performed on a subacute stroke population showed
a positive association between impaired cognitive function assessed with the MoCA and a high level of global disability (5). Poor executive and memory functions were positively associated with dependence in activities of daily living (ADL) (6). However, explanatory factors for favorable ADL outcomes 3 to 12 month post stroke were stroke localization (7), younger age, less severity of neurological deficits, and good function in the upper extremities (7, 8).

The length of stay after the stroke has decreased substantially and particularly, the patients with very mild to mild neurological deficits, face very short hospital stay (9). The clinicians often have only couple of days to identify stroke related difficulties. Thus, there is increased need of very early assessments of cognitive functions. The MoCA and basic ADL with the Barthel Index (BI) are both commonly used instruments for this, in order to plan discharge. Whether cognitive functions assessed by the MoCA can explain patients’ ADL ability at the early stage of stroke onset remains unknown. The aim of this study was therefore to investigate the feasibility of the MoCA to explain ADL dependence 36–48 h post stroke.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Participants
This was a cross-sectional, exploratory study. The study population consisted of stroke patients screened for the Gothenburg Very Early Supported Discharge Study (GOTVED) (10). The participants for GOTVED were enrolled at the acute stroke care unit in Gothenburg, Sweden, between May 2011 and April 2016. The inclusion criteria for this study were stroke diagnosis, complete data on the BI and the MoCA. The exclusion criteria were patients with Amaurosis fugax, subarachnoid hemorrhage and Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA). The Declaration of Helsinki was followed. The regional ethical review board in Gothenburg approved the study (042-11, T 392-17).

Procedure
All patients admitted to the acute stroke care unit were screened within 36–48 h of admission by clinical occupational therapists who administered the MoCA and the BI. Information about patients’ stroke-related neurological deficits upon admittance to the hospital, independence in ADL, and mobility prior to the stroke, stroke localization, and paresis in the dominant/non-dominant upper extremity was obtained from the medical charts. The stroke was classified according to Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project Classification (OCSP) (11).

Assessments

Dependent Variable
The patients’ independence in basic ADL was assessed with the BI (12). The total score range on the BI is 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating higher level of ADL independence.

Independent Variables
Patients’ sex, age, length of stay at an acute stroke care unit, stroke localization, independence in ADL, and mobility prior stroke, and paresis in the dominant/non-dominant upper extremity were used in the study. Cognitive functions were assessed with the MoCA (3). The score range on the MoCA is 0 to 30; the cutoff value of ≥26 indicates normal cognitive functioning (13). MoCA evaluates cognitive domains in visuospatial/executive functions, attention, language, abstraction, delayed reproduction, and orientation (3). Stroke-related neurological deficits were assessed with the NIHSS (14). The total score range is 0 to 42, with higher scores indicating more severe neurological impairments. Cognitive function was estimated with Cog-4 (15), which is based on four items from the NIHSS; awareness, executive functions, language, and inattention. The range of scores is 0 to 9 points, and “0” indicates no cognitive deficits.

Statistical Analysis
Categorical variables are presented as numbers and percentages; continuous variables are shown as mean, median, standard deviation (SD), and range. Confidence intervals (95% CI) are given when appropriate. For descriptive purpose only, the MoCA scores were dichotomized (≤ 25 cognitive impairments and ≥ 26 normal cognitive functions) (13). Many variables had skewed distributions; therefore, non-parametric statistical tests were used. Differences between groups were studied with Pearson’s χ² test for dichotomous variables and Mann–Whitney U-test for continues variables. Correlations between the BI and the independent variables were analyzed with Spearman’s rank order correlations test (r). The correlation values were interpreted as small (r < 0.29) or medium (r = ±0.30 to ±0.49) (16).

Binary logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the associations between MoCA and the BI. Regarding dependent variables, the BI was dichotomized and coded as “dependent” (≤95) and “independent-0” (>95) (17, 18). The independent variables were chosen according to previous literature (6–8) and clinical experience. Age, the MoCA and the NIHSS scores were included as continuous variables in the models. The MoCA and the NIHSS items were categorical variables. The process of independent variable selection and regression analysis model building was performed in three steps (Figure 1). Area under the curve (AUC) was investigated to study the fit of the models. Results were interpreted as follows: 0.7–0.9 as moderate accuracy, and 0.5–0.7 as low accuracy (19). A separate analysis was done for the MoCA adjusted to language difficulties (the NIHSS item-language).

All tests were 2-tailed with 5% significance. Type I error was controlled with the Bonferroni correction test. Data were analyzed using SPSS (version 22, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS
In total 2727 patients were screened for the GOTVED study between 2011 and 2016. Of those patients 2474 had stroke diagnosis. The complete data on the BI and the MoCA were present on 553 patients. Older patients (p < 0.001) had missing data on both assessment instruments, regarding the sex no significant differences were found. Furthermore, three patients...
had duplicate registration. Five-hundred and thirty-four (97%) patients and 546 (99%) patients were independent in basic ADL and mobility prior to stroke, respectively (Table 1).

Correlations With the ADL Performance
The total BI score was associated with patients’ demographic characteristics and stroke-related neurological and cognitive deficits (Table 2). Medium, positive correlations ($r_s > +0.30$, $p < 0.001$) were found between the BI, total MoCA score, and the MoCA cognitive domain-visuospatial/executive functions. Small, positive correlations ($r_s < +0.30$, $p < 0.001$ to $p < 0.05$) were found between the BI and the MoCA cognitive domains attention, language, abstraction, delayed reproduction and orientation. Small, negative correlations ($r_s < −0.30$, $p < 0.001$ to $p < 0.05$) were identified between the BI, age, sex, NIHSS, Cog-4, and the NIHSS item paresis in left/right upper extremity (Table 2).

Associations of the MoCA With the ADL Performance
Six independent models were created based on the independent variables patients’ age, MoCA total score, each cognitive domain of the MoCA, the NIHSS total score, four items from the NIHSS: motor upper extremity left/right and motor lower extremity left/right. The model (#1) including only the MoCA had the best sensitivity, showing that normal cognitive functions decreased the odds of being ADL dependent. The MoCA adjusted for language impairments ($p < 0.001$, OR = 85, 95% CI 0.81–0.89, AUC = 0.69) showed somewhat decreased sensitivity (39.6) and increased specificity (86.0) for ADL dependence. The model (#4)
including age, total MoCA score, and total NIHSS score had the highest association value with ADL dependence (AUC = 0.74), indicating that younger age, normal cognitive functions, and less stroke severity decreased the odds of ADL dependence (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The study findings suggest that cognitive functions measured with the MoCA administered 36–48 h post stroke can reflect on ADL performance. Furthermore, patients with impaired cognitive functions, older age, and severe neurological deficits at this time are more likely to be dependent. The present findings strengthen the recommendation for using the MoCA in acute stroke settings to identify cognitive changes (20, 21). Additionally, the results provide more knowledge about the possible use of the MoCA as one of the variables associated with ADL dependence.

When and what kind of assessments should be performed at acute stroke care units has been argued. Stroke recovery is a dynamic process, and much occurs during the first days. Therefore, screening patients for cognitive functions is sometimes not prioritized during the early stages of stroke onset and practitioners would rather do ADL assessments than cognitive screening. However, in patients with mild to moderate stroke, it is possible that there is a “cognitive reserve” while performing basic ADL, since they are well-established, automatized activities. Thus, post stroke cognitive changes can be hard to identify based only on basic ADL assessments. According to Crichton et al. (22) even patients with mild stroke may experience cognitive deficits, leading to activity and participation limitations. In this group of patients, early cognitive screenings could be necessary due to short hospital stays (9).

Based on our study results, assessing cognitive functions with the MoCA could be informative (21) for understanding ADL dependence even if MoCA has showed low level of association. There are several factors, which could affect ADL performance at very early stage of stroke onset. Regression analyses model including MoCA and ADL ability prior stroke has showed high sensitivity but relatively low specificity. This indicates that premorbid factors might influence ADL performance early after stroke. According to Almenkert et al. (23) comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, history of stroke as well as cognitive functioning prior stroke and living status could also influence the outcomes.

In this study, 59% of the patients had MoCA scores under the threshold for normal cognitive functioning. The MoCA has high sensitivity for cognitive changes (13, 24); however,
several studies mention that the cutoff value of ≥ 26 is too high for stroke populations (24, 25). Thus, it is possible that due to this high threshold, MoCA identified more patients with possible cognitive deficits (21). However, it is unclear if deficits were valid or could be caused by other post-stroke conditions; Even though MoCA has shown to be feasible in acute stroke, (20) it is possible that it has identified cognitive changes related to post stroke fatigue (26), delirium (27), or emotional reactions (28) which are common after stroke. Furthermore, patients in our study in whom the MoCA identified impaired visuospatial/executive functions and delayed reproduction were more likely to be dependent in ADL. However, regression analyses model including MoCAs cognitive domains showed low sensitivity and specificity. The results are partially in line with other studies showing that cognitive domains like attention, language (29), executive functions, and delayed reproduction (6) might have an impact on ADLs, highlighting executive functions as the most important. Decline in executive and memory functions is usually related to age (30). Thus, based on these study results, the MoCA total score could be recommended, rather than a score on a particular cognitive domain, to explain ADL dependence.

The NIHSS and the MoCA were pooled in the analysis testing whether cognitive functions remained significantly associated with ADL dependence. The AUC value and specificity of the model increased, but precession of MoCA slightly worsened compared with the first model. Applicability of the MoCA depends on the type and severity of neurological deficits. The MoCA is difficult to administer to patients with severe stroke (21). Thus, for these patients the NIHSS could be an alternative for explaining ADL dependence (21). In a study by Kwakkel et al. (31) the NIHSS explained ADL-dependence, but in that study the NIHSS was performed 2 days, and the BI 6 months post stroke. However, when it comes to cognitive functions, it is very important to be aware that NIHSS has limited diagnostic accuracy for identifying cognitive changes in acute stroke settings, especially in those with mild to moderate stroke (32). Thus, the MoCA could be assumed more feasible as a primary assessment tool to identify possible difficulties in ADL performance related to cognitive functions in patients with mild to moderate stroke.

A combination of the cognitive functions screened with the MoCA, neurological deficits, and patients’ age were partly associated with ADL dependence. The results from the present study partially confirm a review study (8) where assessments that were performed several month post stroke, showed that patients with mild neurological deficits and younger age are more likely to be ADL independent. However, in that study cognitive function was not identified as a significant variable. In contrast, cognitive functions assessed with a different cognitive tool was associated with ADL dependence (6). Cognitive malfunctioning is known to be related to older age (33, 34). Thus, interaction of these two variables should not be underestimated while interpreting the results. Partial associations were also found between ADL dependence and motor variables of NIHSS - motor impairment in left upper extremity and right lower extremity. Studies showed
that good motor function in the upper extremities (7, 8) could influence ADL performance. It is possible that more patients with relatively good functions in right upper extremity were included in the study, because MoCA assessment requires some motor skills, which is enough to hold a pen. Yet, it is still difficult to draw relevant conclusions about the results. As a conclusion, it could be assumed that a combination of stroke-related neurological severity, cognitive functions, and patients' age should be considered to explain ADL dependence at early stages of stroke onset.

To our knowledge this is the first and largest study where cognitive functions assessed with the MoCA were tested for ADL dependence at an early stage of stroke onset. However, there are several limitations and strengths of the study. There is a large proportion of incomplete data on the possible participants. This leads to a legitimate question about the validity of the study. The statistical analysis showed no significant difference regarding the sex between those with complete and incomplete data. Furthermore, there was age difference: more patients which higher age had incomplete data. It is possible that older patients had more severe stroke, thus assessments could not be performed within 36–48 h post stroke. Based on our data, we cannot do analyses and support this hypothesis. There is a risk that we have missed older patients. However, the study population of 550 patients had a wide range of age, the BI and the MoCA scores. Furthermore, in this study patients with mild to moderate stroke are included and there is no information about how cognitive functions assessed with the MoCA affects ADL outcomes in patients with severe stroke. However, the MoCA is a valid and reliable instrument for assessment of cognitive functions in patients with mild to moderate stroke (21). Thus, the study population could be assumed as representative. In addition, cognitive functions, and ADL performance were screened at the same time (36–48 h post stroke), leaving little room for changes in stroke-related symptoms between the assessments. The assessment instruments used in the study are valid and reliable in patients with stroke (4, 18). Thus, the study results probably could be generalized to the population of patients with mild to moderate stroke.

In conclusion, the results show that MoCA is associated with ADL and can partly explain ADL dependence 36–48 h post stroke. Patients’ age and stroke-related neurological deficits should be taken in consideration for making more specific conclusions. More studies are warranted to understand if the MoCA can predict ADL dependence post stroke from a long- and short-term perspective. Identifying an optimal cutoff value of the MoCA could also be relevant for clinicians and patients for early planning of rehabilitation setting, management, and person-centered discharge from the hospital.

**AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL**

According to the Swedish regulations https://www.epn.se/en/start/regulations/ the complete data cannot be made publicly available. Interested researchers may submit requests for data to the authors: contact professor Katharina S. Sunnerhagen, MD, Ph.D. E-mail: ks.sunnerhagen@neuro.gu.se.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

TA: acquisition of data, analysis, and interpretation of the data, drafting of the manuscript; LR: acquisition of data, design, and conceptualization of the study, revising the manuscript for intellectual content. ÁL-N: design and conceptualization of the study, revising the manuscript for intellectual content; KSS: design and conceptualization of the study, interpretation of the data, revising the manuscript for intellectual content.

**FUNDING**

This study was supported by grants from the Swedish Science council (VR2012-3523) and the Health & Medical Care Committee of the Regional Executive Board, Region Västra Götaland, King Gustaf V’s and Queen Victoria’s Freemasons’ Foundation, the Swedish National Stroke Association, the Local Research and Development Board for Gothenburg and Södra Bohuslän, Agneta Prytz-Folké’s and Gösta Folké’s Foundation, the Greta and Einar Asker’s Foundation and FRF Foundation.

**REFERENCES**

1. Cumming TB, Marshall RS, Lazar RM. Stroke, cognitive deficits, and rehabilitation: still an incomplete picture. *Int J Stroke* (2013) 8:38-45. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-4949.2012.00972.x

2. Nys GMS, Van Zandvoort MJE, De Kort PLM, Jansen BPW, De Haan EHF, Kappelle LJ. Cognitive disorders in acute stroke: prevalence and clinical determinants. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2007) 23:408-16. doi: 10.1159/000101464

3. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bedirian V, Charbonneau S, Whitehead V, Collin I, et al. The montreal cognitive assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2005) 53:695-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53221.x

4. Burton L, Tyson S. Screening for cognitive impairment after stroke: a systematic review of psychometric properties and clinical utility. *J Rehabil Med.* (2015) 47:193-203. doi: 10.2340/16501977-1930

5. Dong Y, Slavin MJ, Chan BPL, Venketasubramanian N, Sharma VK, Crawford JD, et al. Cognitive screening improves the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3-6 months after mild stroke and transient ischaemic attack: an observational study. *BMJ Open* (2013) 3:e003105. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003105

6. Huijben-Schoenmakers M, Rademaker A, Scherder E. Cognition in relation to independency in older, comorbid stroke patients in a stroke unit. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* (2017) 32:761-8. doi: 10.1002/gps.4518

7. Schiemanck KS, Kwakkel WMG, Post JM, Kappelle JHL, Prevo JHA. Predicting long-term independency in activities of daily living after middle cerebral artery stroke: does information from MRI have added predictive value compared with clinical information? *Stroke* (2006) 37:1050-4. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000204662.99410.6f

8. Veerbeek MJ, Kwakkel EHG, Van Wegen CFE, Ket WJ, Heymans WM. Early prediction of outcome of activities of daily living after stroke: a systematic review. *Stroke* (2011) 42:1482-8. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.604900

9. Rikstest tscs. Rikstests årsrapport 2016.2017. Report No.: ISSN 2001-001X.

10. Sunnerhagen KS, Danielsson A, Rafsten L, Bjorkdahl A, Axelsson AB, Nordin A, et al. Gothenburg very early supported discharge study (GOTVED) NCT01622205: a block randomized trial with superiority design of very early
supported discharge for patients with stroke. (Study protocol)(Report). *BMC Neurol.* (2013) 13:66–73. doi: 10.1186/1471-2377-13-66

11. Bamford J, Sandercock P, Dennis M, Warlow C, Burn J. Classification and natural history of clinically identifiable subtypes of cerebral infarction. *Lancet* (1991) 337:1521–6. doi: 10.1016/0140-6736(91)93206-O

12. Mahoney FI, Barthel DW. Functional evaluation: the Barthel index. *Md State Med J.* (1965) 14:61–5.

13. Lees TR, Selvarajah JJ, Fenton JC, Pendlebury JS, Stott JD, et al. Test accuracy of cognitive screening tests for diagnosis of dementia and multidomain cognitive impairment in stroke. *Stroke* (2014) 45:3008–18. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.005842

14. Goldstein L, Bertels C, Davis J. Interrater reliability of the NIH stroke scale. *Arch Neurol.* (1989) 46:660–2. doi: 10.1001/archneur.1989.00520240080026

15. Cumming TB, Blomströmd C, Bernhardt J, Linden T. The NIH stroke scale can establish cognitive function after stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2010) 30:7–14. doi: 10.1159/000313438

16. Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychol Bull.* (1992) 112:155–9. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.112.1.155

17. Uyttenboogaart EM, Stewart CAJR, Vroomen CAJP, De Keyser CAJL, Luijckx CAJG. Optimizing cutoff scores for the Barthel index and the modified rankin scale for defining outcome in acute stroke trials. *Stroke* (2005) 36:1984–7. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000177872.87960.61

18. Duncan WP, Jorgensen SH, Wade TD. Outcome measures in acute stroke trials: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Stroke* (2012) 43:645–9. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.643726

19. Aybek S, Carota A, Ghioka-Schmid F, Berney A, Van Melle G, Guex P, et al. Emotional behavior in acute stroke: the Lausanne emotion in stroke study. *Cogn Behav Neurol.* (2005) 18:37–44. doi: 10.1097/01.wnn.0000152226.13001.8a

20. Durant J, Leger GC, Banks SJ, Miller JB. Relationship between the activities of daily living questionnaire and the Montreal cognitive assessment. *Alzheimers Dement* (2016) 4:43–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jadm.2016.06.001

21. Gurek A, Dikici Y, Alper S. The Montreal cognitive assessment: normative data from a large Turkish population. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2017) 72:966–73. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbw085

22. Almenkerk SV, Smalbrugge M, Depla MFIA, Eefsting JA, Hertogh CMPM. What predicts a poor outcome in older stroke survivors? A cluster analytic examination. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol.* (2006) 19:59–64. doi: 10.1177/0891988705284738

23. Jaywant A, Oan Toglia F, Gunning M, O’dell M. The diagnostic accuracy of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment in inpatient stroke rehabilitation. *Neuropsychol Rehabil.* (2017). doi: 10.1080/09602011.2017.1357297. [Epub ahead of print].

24. Crichton SL, Bray BD, Eefsting JA, Hertogh CMPM. How does the Montreal cognitive assessment perform in patients with stroke? A systematic review. *Disab Rehabil.* (2013) 35:177–82. doi: 10.3109/09638288.2012.756941

25. Jaywant A, Oan Toglia F, Gunning M, O’dell M. The diagnostic accuracy of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment in inpatient stroke rehabilitation. *Neuropsychol Rehabil.* (2017). doi: 10.1080/09602011.2017.1357297. [Epub ahead of print].

26. Barnard AL, Mackay RN, Finsen AT, Kim HS. The course of fatigue during the first 18 months after first-ever stroke: a longitudinal study. *Stroke Res Treat* (2012) 2012:126275. doi: 10.1155/2012/126275

27. Shi Q, Presutti R, Selchen D, Saposnik G. Delirium in acute stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Stroke* (2012) 43:645–9. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.643726

28. Lerdal A, Lee KA, Bakken LN, Finset A, Kim HS. The course of fatigue during the first 18 months after first-ever stroke: a longitudinal study. *Stroke Res Treat* (2012) 2012:126275. doi: 10.1155/2012/126275

29. Jaywant A, Oan Toglia F, Gunning M, O’dell M. The diagnostic accuracy of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment in inpatient stroke rehabilitation. *Neuropsychol Rehabil.* (2017). doi: 10.1080/09602011.2017.1357297. [Epub ahead of print].

30. Lerdal A, Lee KA, Bakken LN, Finset A, Kim HS. The course of fatigue during the first 18 months after first-ever stroke: a longitudinal study. *Stroke Res Treat* (2012) 2012:126275. doi: 10.1155/2012/126275

31. Kwakkel G, Veerbeek JM, van Wegen EEH, Nijland R, Harmeling-van Der Wel BC, Dippel DWJ. Predictive value of the NIHSS for ADL outcome after ischemic hemispheric stroke: does timing of early assessment matter? *J Neurol Sci.* (2010) 294:57–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2010.04.004

32. Lees R, Luo J, Melling E, Miao Y, Tan J, Quinn TJ. Cog-4 has limited diagnostic test accuracy and validity for cognitive assessment in stroke survivors. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2014) 23:1604–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2013.12.042

33. Stephan Y, Sutin AR, Luchetti M, Terracciano A. Feeling older and the development of cognitive impairment and dementia. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci.* (2017) 72:966–73. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbw085

34. Borland E, Nägga K, Nilsson P, Minthon L, Nilsson ED, Palmqvist S. The Montreal cognitive assessment: normative data from a large swedish population-based cohort. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2017) 59:893–90. doi: 10.3233/JAD-170203

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.