Differential erosion of a Mesozoic rift flank: Establishing the source of topography across Karrat, central West Greenland
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A B S T R A C T
The landscape of the Karrat region, central West Greenland, contrasts between high elevation low relief topography, steep sided fjords and deep bathymetric troughs. The mechanisms controlling its formation are highly debated, with initial work suggesting it to be the result of episodic tectonic uplift throughout the late Cenozoic and alternative models implying it is the product of more recent isostatic uplift in response to differential glacial erosion. Here the results of a comprehensive low temperature thermochronological study (apatite fission track and apatite (U–Th)/He) and landscape evolution model are presented that helps establish the source of the modern elevated landscape and the region’s complex geomorphology. Joint modelling of the apatite fission track and apatite (U–Th)/He data outlines two significant periods of cooling, in the Mesozoic and Cenozoic respectively. The first (150 Ma – 110 Ma) correlates to the onset of extension between West Greenland and eastern Canada, suggesting uplift of the region during active rifting, while the second period (50 Ma – 0 Ma) is coeval to the cessation of volcanism in the region and likely represents widespread erosion. These results suggest the basement escarpment likely remained at height during extrusive volcanism and was later uncovered following exhumation of the volcanic succession. Moreover, this latter phase of exhumation is outlined in the results of landscape evolution modelling, implying it likely encompassed localised differential erosion of the volcanic pile, producing a pre-glacial landscape that later aided ice stream onset and the advance of the Uummannaq Ice Stream. Glacial exhumation of the region was likely characterised by differential erosion, shaping the modern geomorphology through preferential ice stream development and isostatic rebound. These results highlight the complex interaction between rift tectonics and surface processes across the Karrat region and adds to a wider understanding of the post-rift evolution of passive continental margins.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The elevated topography of glaciated Atlantic passive margins continues to stimulate considerable debate and discussion across the geological community (e.g., Rohrman et al., 1996; Hansen, 1996; Riis, 1996; Japsen and Chalmers, 2000; Japsen et al., 2005; Anell et al., 2009; Eidvin et al., 2014). Several continental margins exhibit low relief high elevation topography surrounded by low lying plains, deep bathymetry or sedimentary basins, believed to have formed through either episodic post-rift uplift (Green, 1989; Redfield et al., 2005; Japsen et al., 2006; Bonow et al., 2014; Japsen et al., 2014; Redfield and Osmundsen, 2014; Green et al., 2018) or a combination of pre-rift topography, rift flank uplift and denudational isostasy (Hendricks and Andriessen, 2002; Medvedev et al., 2008; Nielsen et al., 2009; Medvedev et al., 2013; Medvedev and Hartz, 2015; Egholm et al., 2017). Diverse approaches have been utilised in attempts to better constrain the extent and timing of passive margin uplift across the Atlantic (Hansen, 1996; Riis, 1996; Rohrman et al., 1996; Japsen, 1998; Nielsen et al., 2009; Gołądowski et al., 2013; Pedersen et al., 2016), though a definitive consensus is yet to be agreed upon.

The landscape of the Nuussuaq Basin, central West Greenland, is heavily glaciated and includes elevated topography (∼2 km) believed to have formed through either widespread differential erosion (Medvedev et al., 2013; Jess et al., 2018) or late Cenozoic episodic uplift (Bonow et al., 2006; Japsen et al., 2006). Peneplines within the landscape have previously been suggested to outline three periods of tectonic uplift between 36 Ma – 2 Ma and totalling ∼3 km (Bonow et al., 2014; Japsen et al., 2006), supported by thermal history modelling and offshore unconformities (Japsen and Chalmers, 2000; Chalmers, 2000). These conclusions have been criticised previously, with Redfield (2010) concluding uncertainties within the wider thermochronological dataset could not define late Cenozoic uplift, while Jess et al. (2018) questioned both the modelling approach and interpretation of peneplines in the...
landscape. Furthermore, Medvedev et al. (2013) and Jess et al. (2018) proposed the basin’s elevated topography may instead be the result of lengthy widespread exhumation, instigating significant positive feedback from the lithosphere and preserving much of the older topography under cold-based ice during glaciation (Strunk et al., 2017). This alternative interpretation of basin evolution appears to suit much of the data and geology in the Nuussuaq Basin better, though the cause of topography and the complex geomorphology across the surrounding basement margins remains unclear.

The present work focuses on the elevated basement margin to the NE of the Nuussuaq Basin (Fig. 1), which exhibits both high elevation low relief topography (>2 km) and deep bathymetric troughs (0.6 km) (Fig. 2). The application of both a high-density elevation profile of apatite fission track and apatite (U–Th)/He data and landscape evolution modelling are utilised to establish the timing of topographic generation across the basement margin and infer the key factors that helped shape the geomorphology. Collectively, the results aid in establishing a conceptual model that outlines how the interaction of rift tectonics, volcanism and differential exhumation combine to generate the modern landscape.

2. Geological and geomorphological setting

2.1. Geology

The geology of the study area has an Archaean-Proterozoic metamorphic basement overlain by Mesozoic sediments of the Nuussuaq Basin and Cenozoic volcanics of the West Greenland Igneous Province. The basement rocks of the Karrat region are part of the wider Rinkian Fold Belt that formed in the Paleoproterozoic during the Trans-Hudson Orogeny (~1.87 Ga) (Sidgren et al., 2006; St-Onge et al., 2009). The principal basement units consist of the Archaean Umanak and Tasiussaq gneisses and the metasediments of the Karrat Group, a Paleoproterozoic clastic passive margin sequence that formed atop the Archaean gneisses (Grocott and McCaffrey, 2017). Following the Trans-Hudson orogeny, the region is thought to have remained at the cratonic heart of Laurentia, though much of the observable geological record was since eroded away as a result of Mesozoic-Cenozoic rifting and widespread glaciation. Isolated sedimentary accumulations and low temperature thermochronological data from across the Slave Craton, northern Canada, suggest much of the region may have experienced subsidence and uplift during the Palaeozoic (Flowers et al., 2012; Ault et al., 2013; Pinet et al., 2013), though the lack of further geological evidence renders this interpretation contentious.

Rifting between Greenland and Canada is believed to have commenced as early as the Late Triassic (Larsen et al., 2009), subsequently forming the Nuussuaq Basin within central West Greenland during the Early Cretaceous (Dam et al., 2009). The documented clastic stratigraphy within the basin spans the Mid-Cretaceous to Early Palaeocene, detailing two periods of active rifting (Mid-Cretaceous; Late Cretaceous/Early Palaeocene) and the presence of a major deltaic system prograding from the SE (Dam et al., 2009). Within the Karrat region, only the coastal/terrestrial sands of the Upper Naes Formation are observable on the islands of Upernivik Ø and Qeqertarsuq, while the deep marine muds of the Itilli Formation are interpreted to underlie the offshore (Dam et al., 1998a). The end of clastic deposition occurs in the Early Palaeocene, as canyon and valley fill sequences outline a significant period of uplift and subsidence within the basin, prior to the onset of widespread Cenozoic volcanism (Dam et al., 1998b).

The extrusion of lavas from the West Greenland Igneous Province spanned much of the Palaeocene and Eocene, eventually covering an area of 50,000 km² (Storey et al., 1998). Lavas were sourced from multiple volcanic centres across the margin and now overlie much of the Nuussuaq Basin and offshore domain (Larsen et al., 2016) (Fig. 1). Small outcrops are found atop the region’s highest topography, implying lavas covered the region during the Palaeocene (Larsen et al., 2016) (Fig. 1), whilst elevated marine, terrestrial and lacustrine syn-volcanic sediments (~1100 m) are observed throughout the southern portion of the basin indicating the extent of uplift in the region following the Palaeocene (Piasecki et al., 1992). Widespread extrusive volcanism continued until its cessation ~54 Ma, though localised lava deposits and intrusions continued until ~38 Ma, marking the end of the onshore geological record (Larsen et al., 2016).

Fig. 1. Simplified geological map of the Karrat region displaying the sample locations (white circles) and the regions location on West Greenland (modified from Pedersen et al., 2014). The geology of the region is made up of three principal sections; Proterozoic basement to the east, Cretaceous sediments in the centre and Palaeogene volcanics to the west. The basement topography map of Greenland highlights the key topographic regions of Greenland, with the anomalous topography of the Karrat region along the western margin.
2.2. Geomorphology

The modern geomorphology of the Karrat region is characterised by high elevation basement topography, thin, steep sided fjords and a deep bathymetric trough underlying Igdlorssuit Sund (Fig. 2). This complex geomorphology has been predominantly shaped by selective linear erosion and ice stream onset in the region, as multiple fjord glaciers converged and flowed south then west onto the continental shelf as the Uummannaq Ice Stream (UIS). The underlying geology of the region and pre-glacial landscape have been cited as possible controls on the ice stream’s development, restricting outlet glacier flow pathways and forcing them to coalesce and coverage, forming the UIS (Roberts et al., 2013; Lane et al., 2014). The geology and geomorphology appear inherently linked, with the muds and sands of the Itilli Formation underlying the deep bathymetry of Igdlorssuit Sund and basalts and gneisses underlying the higher topography of Ubekendt Ejland and the basin margin (Fig. 1). This correlation implies the underlying geology may have been a primary control on the topographic evolution of the region, conceivably providing additional insight into the regional landscape evolution.

3. Methodology

3.1. Apatite low temperature thermochronology

This study utilises apatite fission track (AFT) and apatite (U—Th)/He (AHe) thermochronology to determine the thermal history of the region and the timing of uplift along the basement margin. AFT dating is predicated on the fission decay of $^{238}$U that produces linear damage trails within the apatite crystal lattice (spontaneous fission tracks). These tracks represent the extent of fission decay, while a second set of tracks, generated following irradiation of a grain, signifies the remaining radioactive isotope concentration. The comparative densities of both sets of tracks are used to calculate a thermochronological age, while the distribution of confined track lengths assists in defining the style of cooling through the partial annealing zone (PAZ; 120 °C – 60 °C) (Gallagher et al., 1998). Details of sample preparation can be found in the supplementary data, while track densities, track lengths and Dpar (kinetic annealing parameter; Carlson et al., 1999) were measured on a Zeiss HAL 100 microscope employing FTstage software (Dumitru, 1993) at the University of Glasgow.

AHe dating utilises the diffusion and retention of $^4$He in apatite from the alpha decay of $^{238}$U, $^{235}$U and $^{232}$Th (Zeitler et al., 1987). Temperature sensitivity of the system varies within the partial retention zone (HePRZ; 70 °C – 40 °C) dependent on the thermal history (Stockli et al., 2000), grain geometry (Farley, 2000) and effect of radiation damage (Shuster et al., 2006; Flowers et al., 2009) leading to a variety of ages from a single rock sample. Moreover, age dispersion may also result from additional sources of $^4$He or the zonation of radioactive nuclides (Vermeesch et al., 2007; Ault and Flowers, 2012; Gautheron et al., 2012), which cannot be attributed for in thermal modelling. These issues have led to the production of an alternative analytical dating procedure utilising fragmented (or “broken”) grains, providing a wider range of thermal history constraints to improve thermal history resolution (Beucher et al., 2013; Brown et al., 2013). Within this study three samples (Ka5, Ka11 and Ka15) were analysed for AHe ages, utilising both traditional whole grain and fragmented grain analysis, in an attempt to improve modelling resolution. Sample preparation and analyses is outlined in the supplementary data.

3.2. Thermal history modelling

Thermal history reconstructions within this study were computed using the Bayesian Transdimensional Markov Chain Monte Carlo
(MCMC) inversion within QTQt (Gallagher, 2012). AFT ages, mean track length distributions (MTL) and AHe ages are jointly inverse modelled to produce thermal histories from multiple samples across the Karrat region. This approach samples >200,000 possible thermal histories and continually assesses each one with a calculated log-likelihood function, utilising an acceptance criterion that attempts to improve predictions (Gallagher, 2012). The output is a probability distribution of model outcomes from which an ‘expected model’ can be produced (weighted average of the final probability distribution), yielding a thermal history derived from the resolution and quality of input data (Gallagher, 2012). This modelling approach allows for the joint inversion of multiple AFT and AHe samples from varying elevations, generating a single thermal history that correlates to all the input data and provides fundamental information regarding the thermal regime of the study area.

Grain geometry is readily accounted for within the standard thermal modelling approach, though the addition of a radiation damage model, alternative 4He diffusion parameters and resampling of the AHe error are also incorporated within this study. Radiation damage appears to significantly augment AHe ages across several passive margins (e.g., Cogné et al., 2012; Guillaume et al., 2013; Lepêtre et al., 2015; Wildman et al., 2015; Kasanzu, 2017), preserving additional 4He within vacancies in the crystal structure and increasing the calculated age. To ensure this effect is accounted for, the radiation damage model and diffusion parameters outlined in Gautheron et al. (2009) were included within thermal history modelling. This approach also permits the resampling of the additional helium activation energy required as a result of damage vacancies, believed to vary dramatically between individual grains (Gerin et al., 2017; Recanati et al., 2017), improving AHe age predictions further. Moreover, the resampling of AHe age error is also integrated within the modelling approach, increasing the error on each AHe age that repeatedly fails to conform to the rest of the input data, essentially reducing its influence on the final model output. These integrated features help produce better AHe age predictions in samples, while also effectively removing data that hinder the modelling outcome, generating thermal histories best suited to the entire dataset.

3.3. Landscape evolution modelling

In addition to apatite low temperature thermochronology, the application of a landscape evolution model (LEM) within this study aims to determine if the underlying geology of the region acts as a primary control on the region’s topographic evolution. Modelling was carried out using FastScape (Braun and Willett, 2013), which solves the stream power law (SPL) over large areas utilising an implicit finite difference method to produce realistically eroded landscapes from an initial input geomorphology and pre-defined modelling parameters. The SPL helps compute the erosion of the landscape through time and is defined as:

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = -K_f A^{m} S^n$$

where is dh/dt is the rate of incision, $K_f$ is the resistance to incision, $A$ is drainage area, $S$ is the slope and $m$ and $n$ are constants. Moreover, isostatic compensation during exhumation of the landscape is calculated using a thin elastic plate model. Computed landscapes are used as interpretative tools to better understand how geomorphology has evolved through time and to isolate the key controls on its evolution.

4. Results

4.1. Apatite low temperature thermochronology

AFT central ages from the Karrat region range between 65.77 ± 5.1 Ma and 277.82 ± 31.28 Ma, while mean track lengths (MTLs) range between 12.77 ± 1.46 μm and 14.43 ± 1.53 μm (Table. 1). Of the 19 AFT samples 9 fail the chi-squared test ($p < 0.05$), suggesting they do not form a Poisson distribution, though all but Ka11 exhibit no secondary age populations in a mixture model (Galbraith and Green, 1990). Dpar against AFT age shows a moderate positive correlation, suggesting older ages are likely sourced from slower rates of annealing in apatite, indicated by higher Dpar values (Carlson et al., 1999), while a weak correlation is observed between AFT age and uranium concentration, implying that radiation enhanced annealing may influence the final AFT ages (Hendriks and Redfield, 2005). Three samples produce anomalous ages linked to intrusive volcanism and are avoided, with Ka2 and Ka8 extracted from veins related to magmatism and Ka16 likely affected by adjacent dykes. Trends of AFT ages against elevation are consistent with an uplifted and exhumed PAZ, suggesting a significant uplift event occurred ~130 Ma (Gallagher et al., 1998). Ages above ~1000 m are consistent with the trend observed following extended residence within the PAZ, while those below 1000 m exhibit a linear trend consistent with rapid uplift (Fig. 3a) (Gallagher et al., 1998). This is further supported by the trend of MTL against elevation, which exhibits an initial increase at low elevations before decreasing following ~400 m (Fig. 3a) (Gallagher et al., 1998). Finally, AFT ages appear to decrease closer to the Nuussuaq Basin’s Cretaceous boundary fault (KBF) (Fig. 3c), analogous to the AFT ages expected from an eastward escarpment retreat (Gallagher and Brown, 1999).

AHe ages from whole grains (2 T; 2 grain terminations) of the three analysed samples (Ka5; Ka11; Ka15) range between 42.0 Ma – 321.9 Ma (uncorrected) and 69.9 Ma – 473 Ma (corrected), while fragment ages (1 T; 1 grain termination) range between 10.1 Ma – 530.5 Ma (uncorrected) and 15.2 Ma – 886.1 Ma (corrected) (Table. 2). For whole grain ages, trends of age against eU in Ka5 and Ka15 suggest radiation damage was evident within the grains (Flowres et al., 2009), while trends of age against the equivalent spherical grain radius ($R'$) imply that grain geometry only affects Ka5. For fragment ages, trends of age against eU in only Ka15 suggest radiation damage was present, while only trends of age against fragment length in Ka11 show a correlation with grain geometry. Plots of AHe ages against compositional and geometric data can be found in the supplementary data.

Collectively these trends in the AHe data appear to demonstrate key characteristics of each sample that are crucial in understanding their age distribution and thermal histories. Ka5 appears consistent with the trends expected of slow protracted cooling through the HePRZ (Reiners and Farley, 2001; Flowers et al., 2009), Ka11 shows no obvious trends, suggesting the combination of controls has obscured any obvious trends, while the ages Ka15 appear heavily controlled by radiation damage and possibly a complex thermal history (Flowres et al., 2009; Gautheron et al., 2009). Moreover, the most obvious difference between samples is the age distributions (Fig. 3b), where Ka5 produces a tight left-skewed distribution, while Ka11 and Ka15 appear much broader and rounded, suggesting the lower elevated samples may have experienced more complex thermal histories (Fig. 3b), consistent with trends in the AFT data (Brown et al., 2013).

4.2. Thermal modelling

Thermal models from four separate localities across the study area have been compiled, each consisting of multiple samples: Karrat Island, Qeqertarsuaq, Ingia and Stuben (Fig. 4).

The Karrat Island dataset comprises 7 samples (7 AFT; 2 AHe) which range significantly in elevation (76 m - 734 m). Thermal modelling results exhibit accelerated cooling from 160 Ma to 110 Ma (1.7 °C/Myr), followed by a period of heating to 55 Ma (0.5 °C/Myr) and cooling to present. The latter period of cooling exhibit two phases of cooling; the first, from 55 Ma to 14 Ma, has a slow cooling rate (0.7 °C/Myr), while during the second, from 14 Ma to 0 Ma, the rate is increases (2.3 °C/Myr) (Fig. 5c). This history predicts AFT age and MTL within error, while AHe ages <120 Ma are predicted well and ages >120 Ma are predicted poorly.
Table 1: Table of apatite fission track data from the Karrat Region. $\rho_{\text{ind}}, \rho_{\text{ spontaneous}}, \rho_{\text{ dosimeter}}$ are the respective track densities for induced, spontaneous and dosimeter tracks. $N_{\text{ind}}, N_{\text{ spontaneous}}, N_{\text{ dosimeter}}$ are the respective track counts for induced, spontaneous and dosimeter tracks. $U$ is the uranium concentration of each grain ($U_{\text{det}}/U_{\text{std}}$). $D_{\text{par}}$ is the measured length of etch pits parallel to the c-axis. $\chi^2$ is the chi-squared value (Galbraith, 2005). Central age and age dispersion are calculated from each sample (Galbraith, 2005), and calculated from $\sigma = 308.3 \pm 10.9$ and a dosimeter standard IRMM540. Mean track length is the average length of measured tracks that have been corrected against their c-axis values.

| Sample Number | Grains | $\rho_{\text{ind}}$ ($\times 10^6$ cm$^{-2}$) | $\rho_{\text{ spontaneous}}$ ($\times 10^6$ cm$^{-2}$) | $\rho_{\text{ dosimeter}}$ ($\times 10^6$ cm$^{-2}$) | $U$ (ppm) | $D_{\text{par}}$ (µm) | $\chi^2$ | Age dispersion | Central Age (Ma) | Mean track length (corrected) (µm) | Std Dev (µm) | No of tracks | Latitude | Longitude | Elevation |
|---------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Ka1           | 20     | 1.36 (13002) | 0.27 (403) | 0.51 (770) | 5.66 | 1.35 | 0.00 | 46% | 116.55 ± 14.19 | 14.00 ± 1.12 | 1.21 | 53 | 71.48 | −53.13 | 734 |
| Ka2           | 20     | 1.37 (13002) | 0.17 (395) | 0.50 (1165) | 5.48 | 1.29 | 0.01 | 25% | 67.36 ± 5.97 | 14.43 ± 1.15 | 1.53 | 42 | 71.50 | −53.13 | 720 |
| Ka4           | 20     | 1.36 (13002) | 0.12 (194) | 0.10 (159) | 1.09 | 1.68 | 0.99 | 0% | 250.87 ± 26.93 | 13.47 ± 1.08 | 1.34 | 33 | 71.57 | −52.00 | 1422 |
| Ka5           | 20     | 1.31 (1235) | 0.15 (235) | 0.11 (171) | 1.27 | 1.59 | 0.42 | 22% | 277.82 ± 31.28 | 13.58 ± 1.09 | 1.63 | 91 | 71.69 | −52.39 | 1964 |
| Ka8           | 20     | 1.36 (1332) | 0.39 (393) | 0.47 (470) | 5.21 | 1.54 | 0.39 | 33.0% | 172.19 ± 12.16 | 12.77 ± 1.02 | 1.46 | 17 | 71.52 | −52.95 | 301 |
| Ka10          | 20     | 1.34 (13002) | 0.25 (326) | 0.45 (582) | 5.07 | 1.47 | 0.49 | 0% | 114.68 ± 8.03 | 13.37 ± 1.07 | 1.28 | 95 | 71.51 | −53.03 | 380 |
| Ka11          | 20     | 1.33 (13002) | 0.60 (335) | 1.08 (1492) | 12.15 | 1.64 | 0.00 | 23% | 115.86 ± 8.11 | 13.67 ± 1.09 | 1.31 | 100 | 71.52 | −53.03 | 286 |
| Ka12          | 20     | 1.37 (1265) | 0.45 (1096) | 0.75 (1212) | 8.25 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 30% | 117.25 ± 10.14 | 13.42 ± 1.07 | 1.41 | 100 | 71.52 | −53.02 | 196 |
| Ka13          | 20     | 1.35 (13002) | 0.48 (467) | 0.85 (830) | 9.40 | 1.49 | 0.30 | 12% | 119.73 ± 28.72 | 12.88 ± 1.03 | 1.29 | 65 | 71.52 | −52.96 | 160 |
| Ka14          | 20     | 1.34 (1266) | 0.29 (358) | 0.60 (538) | 6.77 | 1.45 | 0.02 | 29% | 101.85 ± 10.68 | 13.17 ± 1.05 | 1.38 | 34 | 71.52 | −52.96 | 182 |
| Ka15          | 20     | 1.33 (1230) | 0.36 (620) | 0.64 (1116) | 7.25 | 1.59 | 0.94 | 0% | 112.92 ± 5.74 | 13.55 ± 1.08 | 1.33 | 101 | 71.53 | −52.97 | 76 |
| Ka16          | 20     | 1.34 (1270) | 0.60 (839) | 1.87 (839) | 21.02 | 1.34 | 0.30 | 13% | 65.77 ± 5.1 | 13.77 ± 1.10 | 1.31 | 71 | 71.53 | −52.88 | 78 |
| Ka21a         | 11     | 1.32 (13002) | 0.06 (47) | 0.10 (80) | 21.02 | 1.26 | 0.99 | 0% | 118.46 ± 21.8 | 14.25 ± 1.14 | 1.51 | 6 | 71.63 | −52.14 | 411 |
| Ka21b         | 13     | 1.31 (13002) | 0.12 (103) | 0.19 (158) | 2.13 | 1.73 | 0.60 | 21% | 140.94 ± 20.76 | 13.69 ± 1.10 | 1.31 | 10 | 71.63 | −52.14 | 411 |
| Ka22          | 20     | 1.31 (13002) | 0.26 (317) | 0.54 (554) | 6.23 | 1.42 | 0.00 | 35% | 123.72 ± 14.14 | 13.18 ± 1.05 | 1.28 | 62 | 71.63 | −52.13 | 301 |
| Ka24          | 20     | 1.32 (13002) | 0.39 (649) | 0.58 (968) | 6.51 | 1.65 | 0.00 | 23% | 136.29 ± 10.19 | 13.76 ± 1.10 | 1.2 | 104 | 71.62 | −52.94 | 1019 |
| Ka25          | 20     | 1.30 (13002) | 0.57 (1043) | 0.59 (1043) | 6.84 | 1.65 | 0.01 | 17% | 191.87 ± 11.76 | 13.52 ± 1.08 | 1.41 | 101 | 71.62 | −52.94 | 1025 |
| Ka28          | 20     | 1.32 (1250) | 0.37 (579) | 0.55 (854) | 6.27 | 1.72 | 0.07 | 17% | 139.81 ± 9.61 | 13.87 ± 1.11 | 1 | 160 | 71.87 | −53.05 | 363 |
| Ka29          | 20     | 1.35 (13002) | 0.11 (149) | 0.34 (194) | 3.76 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 49% | 93.81 ± 13.85 | 13.70 ± 1.10 | 1.73 | 10 | 71.85 | −53.05 | 149 |
The Qeqertarsuaq dataset is composed of 2 AFT samples with only a minor elevation difference (1019 m - 1025 m). Thermal modelling results define linear protracted cooling from 200 Ma to 35 Ma (0.2 °C/Myr), followed by a period of accelerated cooling to present (1.2 °C/Myr) (Fig. 4a). This history predicts both age and MTL within error and is likely derived from the bell-shaped track length distributions of both samples.

The Inigia dataset is composed of 2 AFT samples from a limited elevation range (149 m - 363 m). Thermal modelling results exhibit cooling from 170 Ma to 130 Ma (1.9 °C/Myr), followed by near thermal stasis from 130 Ma to 29 Ma (0.1 °C/Myr) and a second period of cooling from 29 Ma to 0 Ma (1.9 °C/Myr) (Fig. 4b). The history predicts both age and MTL distributions within error, though Ka29 has only 10 measured track lengths, suggesting the right-skewed track distribution of Ka28 has principle control of the final history.

The Stuben dataset is composed of 4 samples (4 AFT; 1 AHe) which range significantly in elevation (301 m - 1964 m). Thermal modelling results outline near thermal stasis from 200 Ma to 142 Ma (0.1 °C/Myr), followed by an initial period of cooling from 142 Ma to 20 Ma (0.4 °C/Myr) and a second period of more accelerated cooling from 20 Ma to 0 Ma (0.8 °C/Myr) (Fig. 4d). The history predicts AFT ages and 3 of 4 MTL within error, with the MTL prediction of Ka22 overestimated by 0.3 μm, and AHe age predictions appear acceptable with only the 2 oldest ages failing to be adequately replicated.

4.3. Landscape evolution modelling

The initial topography of the landscape model is defined as a sloped basaltic pile 100 km × 100 km, rising from 10 m to 1500 m (W - E) with midpoint elevation ranging from 800 m - 1000 m (Fig. 5a). The underlying geology is composed of three units, each with independent density and Kf values derived from corresponding published estimates: basalt (ρ = 3000 kg m⁻³; Kf = 6.7 × 10⁻⁶), Cretaceous sediments (ρ = 2200 kg m⁻³; Kf = 5 × 10⁻⁵) and Proterozoic basement (ρ = 2800 kg m⁻³; Kf = 4.2 × 10⁻⁶) (Fig. 6) (Stock and Montgomery, 1999; Braun et al., 2014). Additional model parameters include; n = 1 and m = 0.3, to account for the tectonic setting and geology being eroded (Stock and Montgomery, 1999), while the effective elastic thickness of the lithosphere is set to 15 km (Medvedev et al., 2013). Further information regarding modelling parameters can be found in the discussion and supplementary data. Exhumation of the landscape was completed over 40 Myrs to capture the period between cessation of volcanism across West Greenland (~55 Ma) and the onset of Mid-Miocene climatic cooling (~15 Ma), where the physical weathering regime began changing dramatically (Thiede et al., 2011). Results show exhumation of the less dense sedimentary unit becomes highly focused and localised once it is initially exposed, yet remains consistent across the basalt and basement units, generating a final landscape characterised by a low-lying trough flanked by two
Table 2 of the paper by J.J. et al. (2019) shows a table with data related to the Karrat Region. The table provides measured and corrected ages for various samples, along with other parameters like He, U, Th, and eU. The table includes columns for the sample name, measured age, corrected age, and additional parameters like length and width. The data is presented in a structured format, making it easier to analyze and interpret. The table also includes remarks on the methods used for calculation, which are referenced to Farley et al. (1996).
escarpments to the east and west (Fig. 5). Cumulative rock uplift in response to exhumation during the 40 Myrs of model time ranges between 322.4 m – 167.8 m, with the highest values at the base of the basement escarpment and lowest at the model peripheries (Fig. 5c). Additionally, the sedimentary yield through time exhibits three key periods: an initial rapidly increasing output (0 Myrs – 5 Myrs; 55 Ma – 50 Ma), a more gradual rise in output following initial exposure of the underlying units (5 Myrs – 18 Myrs; 50 Ma – 37 Ma) and a decline of sediment output following the onset of localised exhumation (18 Myrs – 40 Myrs; 37 Ma – 15 Ma) (Fig. 5b).

5. Discussion

5.1. Topographic evolution of the Karrat region

The AFT/AHe results, thermal histories and LEM presented here imply much of the topography in the Karrat region is derived from rift flank uplift along the KBF and has since been preserved by widespread volcanism, differential erosion and isostatic uplift.

Uplift of the region likely began during rifting in the Early-Cretaceous and the opening of the Nuussuaq Basin. The trends of AFT ages and MTL against elevation in the region are indicative of significant uplift across Karrat ~130 Ma (Fig. 2), most likely along the footwall of the KBF (Fig. 6a). This is corroborated by thermal histories adjacent to the KBF that exhibit accelerated cooling between 170 Ma and 110 Ma (Fig. 4a/c), while inland thermal histories exhibit only protracted cooling (Fig. 4d), likely representing the increase in exhumation rate along the fault during uplift and lower rates further inland. Moreover, stratigraphy observed on Upernivik Ø and Qeqertarsuq records the existence of a laterally migrating syn-tectonic braided fluvial systems in the Mid-Cretaceous adjacent to the KBF, likely a response to tectonism and uplift across the fault (Koch, 1964; Dam et al., 2009).

Tectonic quiescence appears to follow this early phase of uplift, allowing for the accumulation of fluvial sediments and volcanics during the development of the Nuussuaq Basin and its eventual fill. Thermal histories derived from locations closest to the KBF each display either thermal stasis or reheating between 110 Ma and 55 Ma or 25 Ma (Fig. 4), suggesting the rate of uplift decreased, allowing sediment to accumulate (Fig. 6b). This is corroborated by the later stratigraphy from Upernivik Ø and Qeqertarsuq, outlining a period of low energy estuarine/coastal environments in the Late Cretaceous characterised by finer grain sizes and bioturbation (Dam et al., 2009). Furthermore, the presence of volcanic strata atop the margin and the lack of heating in the Stuben thermal model suggests the basement escarpment likely remained at height during volcanism and experienced only limited burial (Fig. 6c).

The period following the end of volcanism is dominated by widespread cooling in each thermal history model, with the rate of cooling...
increasing at various points in the late Cenozoic. This cooling may be argued to result from exhumation of the landscape following episodic uplift during the late Cenozoic, as implied by the interpretations of peneplains in the landscape (Japsen et al., 2006). However, such an interpretation has been contentious within the literature, with a plausible source for tectonic uplift yet to be outlined and other alternative non-tectonic explanations available (e.g., Egholm et al., 2017; Strunk et al., 2017). Instead, cooling more likely represents the prolonged exhumation of the volcanic pile, following the end of lava extrusion. This widespread exhumation of the volcanic pile is depicted in the results of the LEM, illustrating intense localised erosion of the underlying geological units was likely dominant in the region following volcanism and has shaped the complex pre-glacial geomorphology. Moreover, exhumation appears to drive up to ~300 m of isostatic response from the lithosphere uplifting the basement and volcanic escarpments and further exaggerating the region’s undulating geomorphology. This interpretation of the post-volcanic history negates the need for uplift across the region and suggests how the elevated topography was likely present at the onset of glaciation.

The onset of glaciation in the region was undoubtably vital in creating the modern geomorphology, influencing the near surface thermal regime and protecting much of the highest topography from intense erosion. The thermal history of Karrat Island (Fig. 4c) exhibits a second phase of more intense cooling from the Miocene onwards, synchronous to the onset of climate deterioration and glaciation across Greenland (Eldrett et al., 2009; Thiede et al., 2011), implying more intense glacial erosion affected the thermal regime (Fig. 6d). Additionally, cosmogenic radionuclide ages from across the basement demonstrate selective linear erosion of the basement rock was highly effective during glaciation and likely led to widespread exhumation of the region, creating much of the modern fjordal geomorphology (Roberts et al., 2013; Lane et al., 2014). On Quaternary timescales (Schafer et al., 2016; Strunk et al., 2017), this process can lead to the effective preservation of higher topography, as lower exhumation rates persist at greater elevations (Egholm et al., 2017), and the deepening of fjords and troughs, removing vast quantities of rock and producing a positive isostatic response from the lithosphere. The effect of this processes on the pre-glacial landscape implied by the LEM would likely encourage the coalescence of outlet glaciers within the low-lying trough, instigating the early development of the Uummannaq Ice Stream. This combination of selective linear erosion, over-deepening, topographic preservation and isostatic uplift are likely all fundamental in maintaining the hypsometry of the modern landscape.

5.2. Wider implications for glaciated margins

The wider geomorphology of the Nuussuaq Basin remains a controversial topic, as some authors interpret the elevated topography to have been produced from late Cenozoic tectonic uplift (Bonow et al., 2006; Japsen et al., 2006), while others suggest it is the result of widespread exhumation, generating ~800 m of isostatic uplift since the Neogene (Medvedev et al., 2013). The principal evidence for episodic uplift across the region is the interpretation of peneplains (uplifted floodplains) in the landscape and marine fossils observed at ~1100 m on the Nuussuaq Peninsula, though neither are diagnostic indicators of post-rift tectonism. The interpretation of peneplains remains highly controversial, with many authors questioning their validity as indicators of uplift (e.g., Bishop, 2007; Gregory and Goudie, 2011) and others suggesting
they result from differential glacial erosion and not tectonic uplift (Egholm et al., 2017; Strunk et al., 2017). Moreover, while the elevation of the marine fossils defines the extent of uplift following the Early Palaeocene, it fails to provide insight into the processes driving uplift, suggesting both isostasy and thermally-induced doming associated with volcanism may be considered viable uplift mechanisms (Medvedev et al., 2013; Jess et al., 2018).

The results and interpretations of this study are more supportive of exhumation and isostatic uplift rather than tectonic uplift, given that the thermal models predict widespread cooling from the Eocene to present, consistent with histories from the Nuussuaq Basin itself (Jess et al., 2018). Additionally, results of the LEM demonstrate how differential exhumation may have prompted ~300 m of isostatic uplift prior to ~15 Ma, suggesting exhumation of the landscape likely produce significant rock uplift, consistent with the conclusions of Medvedev et al. (2013). This interpretation of the region’s evolution promotes continuous exhumation as the leading factor of uplift across the margin during its post-rift stage and does not seek further tectonism to drive uplift across the region. Secondary sources of uplift across the region may relate to volcanism, with the emplacement of thick igneous intrusions and thermal-doming of the lithosphere both likely to cause further uplift of the landscape during the Palaeocene.

In addition to estimates of uplift, the results of the LEM also have wider implications regarding the geomorphology of glaciated Atlantic margins and their underlying geology. Elevated topography across East Greenland, Norway and Scotland all exhibit considerable marked glacial geomorphology with over-deepened troughs and preserved high elevation plateaux. In each case, there is likely a link with underlying geology and pre-glacial landscape: many of the characteristics of fjords across East Greenland have been previously attributed to the changes in underlying rock lithology (Swift et al., 2008), while analysis of the Scottish Highlands determined underlying rock strength has a first order control on glacial geometries (Brook et al., 2004). Moreover, the complex fjordal morphology of the UIS may also derive from the pre-glacial drainage system that differential eroded the volcanic pile and has been highly influenced by the paleo-topography; a characteristic noted across other major glacial system on Greenland (Bamber et al., 2013; Cooper et al., 2016). These observations collectively suggest much of the glacially driven geomorphological development across Greenland and other passive continental margins may result from a combination of underlying geology, pre-glacial landscape evolution and ice sheet dynamics.

5.3. Dispersion in AHe data: an indicator of Palaeozoic burial?

The addition of AHe ages in two of the thermal models does assist in resolving thermal histories and reducing confidence intervals, compared to thermal histories without AHe data. However, AHe ages >120 Ma within the Karrat thermal history are not effectively modelled, implying additional 4He was present within grains and suggesting the presence of either unobservable 4He sources (e.g., implantation, micro-inclusions, zonation) or a more complex pre-rift history. The destructive nature of AHe analysis makes it hard to characterise each grain individually, suggesting a catalogue of issues may be responsible, such as implantation, micro-inclusions or zonation of parent nuclides. These issues are considered to be fundamental causes of age dispersion in AHe samples (Ketcham et al., 2011; Gautheron et al., 2012; Zeitler et al., 2017), though a complex pre-rift history resulting from the accumulation of sediment across Laurentia in the Palaeozoic may also be considered (Flowers et al., 2012; Ault et al., 2013). Burial of samples past ~120 °C is believed to anneal radiation damage vacancies within apatite (Flowers et al., 2009; Gautheron et al., 2009), though some authors postulate vacancies may remain, leading to additional 4He remaining within apatites and increasing the final age (Fox and Shuster, 2014). This under-annealing of damage vacancies combined with a pre-rift burial history could increase the ages of higher eU apatites and produce the wider spread of ages seen in AHe samples. Both interpretations of the older AHe ages may help explain the wide dispersion of AHe age in passive margin datasets and encourages
further work on the subject, though it is difficult to test their viability with current levels of knowledge.

5.4. Landscape evolution model: uncertainties

Limitations and uncertainties are inherent within the LEM. The initial assumption of steady-state topography is in place to simplify the results and reduce processing time and, as such, this model should not be considered as providing a realistic simulation of regional exhumation, but simply to highlight the importance of rock strength in the exhumational process. Additionally, the three fundamental uncertainties are the scaling factors of the stream power law (n, m, Kf), effective elastic thickness of the lithosphere (EET) and the post-volcanic elevation. Scaling factors n and m vary depending on tectonic setting, climate and lithology and can create significant model outputs, therefore values were specifically selected to best suit the passive margin setting, the geology being eroded and simplify the modelling process (Stock and Montgomery, 1999). Altering of the Kf value for the central sedimentary unit only changes the rate at which the unit erodes (supplementary data) and the initial value should be regarded as conservative, considering the considerable content of weaker mudstone within the Cretaceous sediments (Stock and Montgomery, 1999; Dam et al., 2009). Changing of the EET value across the model affects the total maximum isostatic uplift computed (25 km = 101 m; 5 km = 1305 m), though the value used in Medvedev et al. (2013) does appear best suited to rifted cratonic lithosphere of the study area. Finally, the maximum post volcanic thickness of 1500 m is estimated from outcrops of lavas atop the basement margins found at this height, though raising or lowering this maximum elevation appears to only control the final height of the basement escarpment (supplementary data). These uncertainties are apparent within the LEM, though the broad and simplistic nature of the model is suitable for the aim of the study and helps to establish how differential erosion of the underlying geological units shapes the landscape.

6. Summary and conclusions

Low temperature thermochronology and landscape evolution modelling from across the Karrat region of central West Greenland reveals a history dominated by rifting in the Mesozoic and widespread erosion in the Cenozoic. The combination of AFT and AHe data, joint inverse thermal history modelling and landscape evolution modelling demonstrates how the modern topography formed from rift flank uplift in the Cretaceous that has since been preserved by volcanic cover and differential erosion.

The topography across the basin margin likely formed during rifting in the Cretaceous, generated from considerable uplift of the KBF footwall. Sedimentary deposition and volcanism in the Palaeogene followed, burying low lying areas of the region, while also protecting the elevated rift flank from further erosion throughout the Early Cenozoic. Widespread erosion is interpreted following the end of volcanism and is believed to remove much of the volcanic pile and in-place flattening of the rifted cratonic lithosphere (~300 m), uplifting the topography further. Moreover, differential exhumation of the underlying geology in the late Cenozoic may also be the source of the postulated pre-glacial landscape that acted as the precursor for the development and unique geometry of the Uummannaq Ice Stream onset zone that dominates the modern geomorphology of the region. This interpretation of the regional landscape evolution suggests that the modern topography is the result of rift flank uplift in the Cretaceous and preserved by volcanism and differential erosion thereafter, negating any requirement for late Cenozoic episodic, tectonic uplift.
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