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Synergistic strategies by combining nanoreactors and prodrugs hold tremendous potential in anticancer treatment. However, precise death of target cancer cells remains a significant challenge due to the absence of an elaborate cancer targeting strategy. Here, a dual-targeting approach that combines the action of H$_2$O$_2$-producing folate receptor-targeted nanoreactors with a cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) targeted prodrug is reported. A folate-modified silica nanoreactor encapsulating glucose oxidase (GOX) is prepared to generate H$_2$O$_2$, which induces oxidative stress and allows the activation of the prodrug by targeted intracellular delivery. A novel prodrug bearing both COX-2 targeting Celecoxib and SN-38 anticancer agent with an H$_2$O$_2$-cleavable thiketal linker to activate the drug is presented. By dual-targeting, the generated H$_2$O$_2$ from GOX triggers the cleavage of a thiketal linker in the prodrug to produce the active form of the SN-38 anticancer drug in cancer cells inducing synergistic cell death. This dual-targeting strategy with a synergistic potency can aid in developing selective and effective anticancer therapeutics.

1. Introduction

Synergistic chemotherapy that combines nanoreactors with prodrugs is a novel strategy for high efficacy and lower side effects to treat cancer[1–4] Conventional nanomedicine or direct medication of plain drugs relies on the continuous injection of disposable nanocarriers or active anticancer drugs. It usually suffers from high dosages of drugs and poor compliance because of lacking selectivity toward cancer cells over normal cells.[5–8] In contrast, targeted nanoreactors can produce anticancer agents selectively inside the tumor tissue with high efficiency while keeping low toxicity to normal tissue.[9]

Previous studies used glucose oxidase (GOX)-loaded nanoreactors that produce H$_2$O$_2$ by consuming glucose and oxygen, leading to oxidative destruction of tumor cells.[10–14] As glucose is abundant and not depleted in our body, the GOX-loaded nanoreactors would ensure the sustainable therapeutic effects. The produced H$_2$O$_2$ or the resulting hypoxia can further activate secondary anticancer agents (e.g., prodrugs, radical precursors).[14–16] As H$_2$O$_2$-triggered prodrugs, modified doxorubicin or tirapazamine, polymerized camptothecin, or hypoxic-activated AQ4N have been proposed.[17–19] The combination of non-targeted nanoreactors and non-guided prodrugs has been well studied but showed non-selective, random cytotoxicity toward both cancer and normal cells. Only functionalized with targeting groups on the nanoreactors[20–22] or prodrugs[23–25] that selective cytotoxicity were achieved. However, such dual-targeting of both nanoreactors and prodrugs for more selective and effective anticancer strategy has not been explored to date and will be presented here for the first time.

Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is a promising intracellular target that is overexpressed in various cancer cells.[26,27] It is involved in the conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandin H$_2$ and E$_2$, which is expressed in inflammation. We have previously used indomethacin as a representative cyclooxygenase antagonist that enables not only to guide COX-2 positive cells but to lead to the blockade of angiogenesis[28] or inflammation.[23–28]
Celecoxib is a well-known COX-2 targeting drug and showed better targeting toward COX-2 compared to indomethacin. The folate receptor targeting strategy had been used in various drug delivery systems for cancer therapeutics.\textsuperscript{[29–31]} Targeted nanocarriers with loaded drugs are widely studied in cancer nanomedicine due to enabling specific eradication of tumors. Nevertheless, only a few studies were reported for targeted delivery of nanoreactors for GOX.\textsuperscript{[20,32]}

Here, we report a dual-targeting strategy using H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}-generating nanoreactors and ROS-activated prodrugs to enhance the potency and specificity for anticancer treatment with a potential high synergy. This dual-targeting strategy aims to lead the effective oncolysis by using a combination of the folate receptor-targeted nanoreactor loaded with GOX and the COX-2-binding prodrug (Scheme 1). The folate-modified and H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}-generating nanoreactor (F-GOX@NR) is rapidly and selectively transported into the folate receptor-positive cancer cells and generates H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} inside the cells by consuming only glucose. The generated H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} induces cytotoxicity by oxidation, glucose starvation, and apoptotic or necrotic signaling activation.\textsuperscript{[33–38]} In parallel, a prodrug of celecoxib/SN-38 (named “5”) binds to an intracellular COX-2 with its inactive form, which might help the accumulation in cytoplasm preventing rapid nuclei transportation and non-specific cell death. The H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} cleaves the thioketal linkers in 5, followed by the activated drug (SN-38) induces the cell death by inhibiting topoisomerase.\textsuperscript{[39,40]} This process occurs much faster at high H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} levels induced by F-GOX@NR, than intracellular H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} levels in normal range. With the dual-targeting of the folate receptors by F-GOX@NR and COX-2 by 5, we achieved a synergistic effect which led to significantly low IC\textsubscript{50} values compared to for 5 alone or its building blocks (SN-38 or celecoxib). Such synergistic and selective cytotoxicity might lead to customized and more effective anticancer therapies against severe forms of cancer with fewer side effects in the future.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Design of Folate Receptor-Targeted and GOX-Loaded Nanoreactors (F-GOX@NR)

2.1.1. GOX-Loaded Nanoreactors (GOX@NR)

Many enzymes including GOX are unstable in biological environments, immunogenic, and exhibit low cellular uptake, making them unattractive to be used in biomedicine. Encapsulation of enzymes, for example, into silica nanoparticles, increased their stability and protection against proteolysis, which can increase their bioavailability.\textsuperscript{[13,41,42]} We encapsulated and covalently attached GOX into semipermeable silica nanoparticles (i.e., GOX@NR) by a one-pot sol-gel process using tetraethoxysiloxane (TEOS) and (3-Aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (APTMS) as precursors.\textsuperscript{[43–45]} Potassium fluoride (F\textsuperscript{–}) was used as the catalyst for sol-gel reactions (Figure 1a), which preserves the enzymatic activity and secondary structure of GOX at a pH of ~7.4 during the synthesis.\textsuperscript{[44,45]} GOX was covalently attached to the amino groups of APTMS via the reaction of N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) with EDC (1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide) (Figure 1a). The GOX@NR diameters of ca. 60 nm were visualized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Figure 1b). Detailed characterization of GOX@NR by dynamic light scattering for the size and size distribution, solid-state \textsuperscript{29}Si magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR spectroscopy for the silica linkages, and thermal gravimetric...
Thermogravimetry analysis (TGA) for the enzyme-contents determination are described in Figures S1–S3, Supporting Information. From thermogravimetry, GOX@NR showed a further mass loss of \( \approx 12\% \) compared to empty silica nanoparticles indicating an encapsulation efficiency of \( \approx 97\% \) (0.78 mg GOX in 6.5 mg nanoreactors; the initial amount of GOX in the reaction mixture was 0.8 mg). With 1.6 g cm\(^{-3}\), GOX@NR exhibited a lower density than empty silica nanoparticles with 1.8 g cm\(^{-3}\) due to the encapsulated GOX (note: the average density of proteins is \( \approx 1.4\) g cm\(^{-3}\)). We estimated that 260 \( \pm 17 \) GOX molecules were entrapped per nanoreactor, which was calculated by a combination of the density difference, radius (\( r = 35\) nm, from TEM), and mass of the loaded GOX (12%) in each nanoreactor (details can be found in the Supporting Information). The enzymatic activity of GOX@NR was determined by the Amplex red fluorescence assay. Based on the Michaelis-Menten kinetics, GOX@NR exhibited an enzymatic activity of 74 \( \pm 5\) \( \mu\)M \(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\) (\( k_{cat}/K_{m} \)) (vs native GOX 244 \( \pm 10\) \( \mu\)M \(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\)) as seen in Figure S5, Supporting Information.

2.1.2. Folate-Modified GOX-NR (F-GOX@NR)

To enable folate receptor targeting of nanoreactors, we prepared a folate-modified GOX@NR (F-GOX@NR) by reacting succinimidyl-PEG\(_{3400}\)-folate with the amino groups on the surface of the GOX@NR (Figure 1c). As the folate receptor is upregulated on many types of cancer cells over normal cells, the specific binding and uptake into folate-receptor positive cancer cells were expected by folate-functionalized nanoparticles.\(^{[47-50]}\) The number of folate groups on the nanoreactors was estimated to be ca. 700 \( \pm 120 \) by measuring the amount of unreacted folate from the supernatant (see Supporting Information). The surface of F-GOX@NR was further modified by succinimidyl-methoxy-PEG\(_{2000}\) to prevent non-specific uptake by cells. Upon the modification of the GOX@NR, the enzymatic activity remained unchanged (Figure S6, Supporting Information). After modification of GOX@NR by methoxy-PEG\(_{2000}\) (control for non-targeted GOX@NR) or both methoxy-PEG\(_{2000}\) and succinimidyl-PEG\(_{3400}\)-folate (F-GOX@NR), the hydrodynamic
diameter slightly increased, which is a typical characteristic of PEGylated nanoparticles by the formation of PEG layers on the surfaces.

2.1.3. Stability of GOX in Nanoreactors

The stability of GOX was investigated by nano differential scanning fluorimetry (NanoDSF). Thermal denaturation profiles revealed an increased melting temperature ($T_m$) of GOX in the nanoreactors ($T_m$: 76 °C), in contrast to native GOX ($T_m$: 64 °C) and GOX ($T_m$: 68 °C) attached on the surface of silica nanoparticles (Figure 1d). The increased $T_m$ indicated enhanced stability of GOX after encapsulation into the nanoreactor, which might allow longer half-lives at physiological temperature. At 37 °C, the native GOX gradually loses its enzymatic activity, reaching only 10% after 30 min. The higher stability can be explained by enhanced refolding of the immobilized enzymes as reported previously. GOX inside of the nanoreactors is bound to the silica matrix by multiple attachments points, which we believe explains the high stability, even higher than surface-immobilized GOX.

The enzymatic activity of GOX and GOX@NR in cell lysates from three different cell lines was investigated (MDA-MB-231, MCF-7, and Caco-2, $5.0 \times 10^6$ cells mL$^{-1}$). These cell lines were also used for the further cytotoxicity assays. After 72 h, native GOX lost its enzymatic activity to $\approx 75$–80% of the initial activity, while the activity of GOX@NR remained unchanged (Figure 1e). Since there are many proteolytic factors in the intracellular environment, the protection of enzymes encapsulated into silica nanoreactors is one of the crucial factors for future in vivo studies. Also, using proteinase-K, GOX@NR kept its enzymatic activity (Figure S7, Supporting Information, additional discussion section in Supporting Information).

2.2. Design of COX-2 Targeted and ROS-Cleavable Prodrug (5)

2.2.1. Synthesis of Celecoxib/SN-38 Prodrug (5)

To develop an ROS-cleavable prodrug, we introduced a thio ketal linker as a ROS-responsive unit between celecoxib as a COX-2 targeting moiety and 7-ethyl-10-hydroxyl-camptothecin (SN-38) as a topoisomerase 1 inhibitor (Figure 2a). Celecoxib is an antagonist with high selectivity and affinity ($K_d$: 2.3 nm) toward COX-2 but COX-1. 5 is expected to selectively respond to COX-2 overexpressed cells, providing an anti-inflammatory effect via COX-2 inhibition. Upon the cleavage of the thio ketal by abundant ROS in tumors, 5 is activated to release SN-38, allowing selective and efficient tumor death. The synthesis of 5 is outlined in the Experimental Section and summarized in Scheme S1, page 12 in Supporting Information. The thio ketal linker was prepared by previously reported procedures, starting from Cel-Cl, followed by EDC coupling with the carboxylic acid group of celecoxib scaffold. Intermediate 4 was utilized two wavelengths, that is, 254 and 365 nm, to detect the two cleaved units, as they have different UV-absorption spectra (Figure S22, Supporting Information). While the peak of 5 was detected at 34.1 min when incubated for 1 h, new signals were detected at 5.3 and 36.3 min when monitored at 254 nm, resulting from the instant cleavage of the thio ketal (Figure S22, Supporting Information, left). Owing to the fact that celecoxib-derivatives have strong absorbance at 254 nm rather than 365 nm, the peak at 5.3 min was assigned to the formed celecoxib-conjugated parts, whereas SN-38-derivatives proved a significant signal at ca. 36.3 min. Considering that the cleaved SN-38-conjugated units undergo intramolecular cyclization by nucleophilic attack of the thiol group, a gradual reduction of the signals from SN-38 conjugated molecules at 36.3 min was observed for longer incubation times, while the signal at 6.3 min, which was assigned to free SN-38, simultaneously increased. Furthermore, we calculated the ratio of absorbance at 6.3 min and 36.3 min ($A_{6.3}/A_{3.6.3}$) to underline the kinetics of the drug activation, indicating a gradual increase of the ratio (Figure S23, Supporting Information). Further, HPLC was used to quantify the amounts of activated drug after the treatment of H$_2$O$_2$. The ratio of absorbance ($A/\lambda_0$) was calculated, where $A$ is the value of absorbance at different intervals (1, 12, 24, and 48 h) and $\lambda_0$ is the one of 50 µM of SN-38. As expected, the concentration of activated drug increased over time with $\approx 44.7$% of released SN-38 after 48 h under these conditions (Figure S24, Supporting Information).

2.2.2. ROS-Triggered Cleavage of Prodrug

We investigated the H$_2$O$_2$-mediated thiol ketal linker cleavage in 5 by fluorescence spectroscopy. 5 showed a fluorescence band at 452 nm originated from SN-38 with a bathochromic shift to ca. 560 nm upon the thio ketal cleavage by H$_2$O$_2$. The bathochromic shift can be attributed to an ICT (intramolecular charge transfer), which is more developed in SN-38 than in 5 (Figure 2b). The fluorescence intensity at 560 nm of 5 (5.0 µM in phosphate-buffered saline) was incubated with various concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ (1, 10, 30, and 50 mM) and with different incubation times, proving a higher release of SN-38 with increasing H$_2$O$_2$ concentration and longer incubation times (Figure 2c,d). The thio ketal linker can also be cleaved by hydroxyl radicals (OH$^-$), which is important because the hydroxyl radicals can be generated in cancer cells by a Fenton-like reaction in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$. The fluorescence intensity at 560 nm of 5 was enhanced in the presence of OH$^-$ (Figure S21, Supporting Information), indicating the release of SN-38 and confirming that the 5 can be activated by ROS (H$_2$O$_2$ and hydroxyl radicals).

HPLC analysis was conducted to prove the mechanism of prodrug activation by H$_2$O$_2$ (Figure 2e). After the incubation of 5 with H$_2$O$_2$ for different times (0, 1, 12, 24, and 48 h), HPLC analysis was performed. To assign the newly formed signals, we utilized two wavelengths, that is, 254 and 365 nm, to detect the two cleaved units, as they have different UV-absorption spectra (Figure S22, Supporting Information). While the peak of 5 was detected at 34.1 min when incubated for 1 h, new signals were detected at 5.3 and 36.3 min when monitored at 254 nm, resulting from the instant cleavage of the thio ketal (Figure S22, Supporting Information, left). Owing to the fact that celecoxib-derivatives have strong absorbance at 254 nm rather than 365 nm, the peak at 5.3 min was assigned to the formed celecoxib-conjugated parts, whereas SN-38-derivatives proved a significant signal at ca. 36.3 min. Considering that the cleaved SN-38-conjugated units undergo intramolecular cyclization by nucleophilic attack of the thiol group, a gradual reduction of the signals from SN-38 conjugated molecules at 36.3 min was observed for longer incubation times, while the signal at 6.3 min, which was assigned to free SN-38, simultaneously increased. Furthermore, we calculated the ratio of absorbance at 6.3 min and 36.3 min ($A_{6.3}/A_{3.6.3}$) to underline the kinetics of the drug activation, indicating a gradual increase of the ratio (Figure S23, Supporting Information). Further, HPLC was used to quantify the amounts of activated drug after the treatment of H$_2$O$_2$. The ratio of absorbance ($A/\lambda_0$) was calculated, where $A$ is the value of absorbance at different intervals (1, 12, 24, and 48 h) and $\lambda_0$ is the one of 50 µM of SN-38. As expected, the concentration of activated drug increased over time with $\approx 44.7$% of released SN-38 after 48 h under these conditions (Figure S24, Supporting Information).

2.3. Evaluation of F-GOX@NR-Mediated Cancer Cell Death

2.3.1. Cytotoxicity of F-GOX@NR by Folate Receptor Targeting and Enzymatic Reactions

We evaluated the cellular targeting and cytotoxicity of F-GOX@NR to MCF-7 cancer cells carrying folate receptors allowing
F-GOX@NR to be delivered into the cells through a folate receptor-mediated endocytosis. After uptake into the cells, the semipermeable nanoreactors allow the GOX reaction with substrates (glucose and O2) to produce H2O2 and gluconic acid inside the cells (Figure 3a). As both glucose and O2 are essential in living organisms, the H2O2-production by GOX in the cells is expected to lead to efficient cytotoxicity.

Prior to the cell death assay of F-GOX@NR, the toxicity of empty silica nanoreactors was investigated as a control. When cells were incubated with empty silica nanoparticles (without GOX) for 5 h, they did not show significant cytotoxicity up to 200 μg mL⁻¹ (Figure S25, Supporting Information). For the cell tests with F-GOX@NR, we stayed below this threshold and used 20 μg mL⁻¹ for the next in vitro cell studies. The cytotoxicity level is in accordance with a previous report that describes lower toxicity of 40 – 80 nm (⌀) sized silica nanoparticle than larger nanoparticles.

We investigated the binding and uptake of the F-GOX@NR to the folate receptor-positive MCF-7 cells by confocal microscopy (Figure 3b). Fluorescein-labeled F-GOX@NRs...
were incubated with the cells for 30 min. After that we observed a significant fluorescence indicative for binding and uptake of the F-GOX@NR into the cells. In addition, the flow cytometry underlined the binding of F-GOX@NR to the folate receptor-positive MCF-7 cells (Figure S26, Supporting Information). In contrast, for the non-targeted GOX@NR, only a negligible uptake of nanoparticles into the cells was detected. The uptake of F-GOX@NR into the MCF-7 cells was quantified: when we incubated 2 \( \mu \)g (in 100 \( \mu \)L) of the fluorescein-labeled F-GOX@NR with the cells for 30 min, we calculated the uptake amount of 0.18 \( \pm \) 0.02 \( \mu \)g, that is, 9\% uptake efficiency, which corresponds to \( \approx 4100 \pm 4000 \) nanoreactors (12 \( \pm \) 1 pg) were delivered into each single cell (note: the weight of single-cell \( \approx 2.3 \) ng[62] calculations in the Supporting Information). After 90 min of incubation the uptake efficiency of F-GOX@NR increased to \( \approx 14\% \) (Figure S27, Supporting Information).

The cytotoxicity of F-GOX@NR were investigated in different concentrations of glucose (0–50 mM). To prevent the GOX reaction and undesired cell death before intracellular delivery of F-GOX@NR, the cells were first incubated under glucose-free conditions for 8 h. To prevent the GOX reaction and undesired cell death before intracellular delivery of F-GOX@NR, the cells were first incubated under glucose-free conditions for 8 h. The “starving” period did not have negative impacts on the cell viability and only 8\% less proliferation of the cells was observed in comparison to cells that were incubated with serum-free conditions. After glucose starvation of the cells, we delivered the nanoreactors to the cells for 30 min, washed out the undelivered nanoreactors, then further incubated with glucose-containing conditions for 5 h. F-GOX@NR resulted in high cytotoxicity, while non-functionalized nanoreactors and native GOX revealed no significant toxicity under these conditions, due to very low cellular uptake (Figure 3b,c; Figure S28, Supporting Information). In a separate experiment, we studied competitive binding of F-GOX@NR, when additional folate (250 \( \mu \)M) was added to the cells (Figure S29, Supporting Information): a decreased cytotoxicity compared to the experiment without additional folate was detected, which further supports the folate receptor-mediated delivery of F-GOX@NR.
The cytotoxicity of the folate receptor-targeting system was evaluated in different cancer cell lines at various glucose concentrations. MCF-7 (breast cancer) and Caco-2 cells (colorectal cancer) are folate receptor-positive cells, while we selected MDA-MB-231 (breast cancer) as a folate receptor-negative cell as control (folate receptor expression: Figure S30, Supporting Information). F-GOX@NR effectively led to the cell death of both folate receptor-positive cells (for MCF-7 >1 mM glucose and for Caco-2 cells >5 mM glucose, Figure S31, Supporting Information). Notably, we achieved significant cytotoxicity in the range of physiological glucose concentrations (5 mM). Additionally, only a low cellular uptake of F-GOX@NR into the folate receptor-negative cells (MDA-MB-231) was observed, followed by negligible cell death was observed at all glucose concentrations (cf. flow cytometry data Figure S32, Supporting Information).

2.3.2. Oxidative Stress of Cancer Cells by F-GOX@NR

To further understand the connection between the glucose concentration and the amount of available H$_2$O$_2$ that might lead to cytotoxicity or cleavage of the prodrug, we applied a H$_2$O$_2$-responsive fluorescent probe. Notably, the H$_2$O$_2$ production increased in a nonlinear correlation with the glucose concentration, which explains the very low viability of MCF-7 cells at high glucose concentrations (Figure 3d, green curve). It appears that concentrations above 200 mM are critical for the H$_2$O$_2$-induced cell death; the H$_2$O$_2$-level of non-treated cells was found to be ca. 20 nM. The amount of glutathione was determined by the Ellman assay. As Ellman reagent (5,5′-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) is only reactive to the reduced form of GSH, the remaining capacity of anti-oxidative defense can be estimated. The GSH level decreased gradually with increasing amounts of glucose (Figure 3d, blue curve), indicating the crucial contribution to oxidative damages inside of cells. Another important mechanism in oxidative cell death is lipid peroxidation, which disrupts the cell membrane.[63] The malondialdehyde (MDA) assay is a good indicator to determine the peroxidation of unsaturated phospholipids.[64] MCF-7 cells were treated with F-GOX@NR to MCF-7 in the presence of glucose (0, 1, and 5 mM, respectively) for 3 h and then, the degree of peroxidation by MDA assay using cell lysate was determined. At glucose concentrations of 1 and 5 mM, the degree of peroxidation increased by a factor of 2.5 and 3 compared to the cells without glucose and the nanoreactors, respectively (Figure 3e). In contrast, no significant changes in the degree of peroxidation were observed without F-GOX@NR or glucose. It is known that the peroxidation of phospholipids increases cell membrane rigidity, which occurs an unexpected impact on permeability and behaviors of membrane-bound proteins, thereby leading to cell death.[65]

2.4. Evaluation of Prodrug (5)-Mediated Cancer Cell Death

2.4.1. Intracellular COX-2 Targeting of Celecoxib and Prodrug

We investigated the intracellular COX-2 targeting ability of celecoxib (Figure 4a) toward MCF-7 cells, which express COX-2. Since 5 is not strongly fluorescent, we synthesized a fluorophore (rhodamine)-labeled celecoxib (Rho-Cel) instead (Scheme S2 and Figure S33, Supporting Information). On fixed cells, we observed the co-localization of celecoxib (rhodamine) and anti-COX-2 antibodies (FITC-labeled) in the cytoplasm by confocal laser microscopy (Figure 4b), confirming that the celecoxib unit of the Rho-Cel successfully targeted COX-2 in the cells. (66) It is a critical sign that the celecoxib-decorated molecules might be accumulated in cytoplasm by specific binding to the intracellular COX-2, allowing the exclusive activation inside the cells.

Additionally, we evaluated the secretion of PGE$_2$ for confirming anti-inflammatory response in MCF-7, whether a celecoxib-modified 5 inhibits COX-2. The decreased secretion of PGE$_2$ was observed in the MCF-7 cells pretreated with 5 or celecoxib (Figure 4c), indicating successful binding of 5 to COX-2. In contrast, no inhibitory effect of COX-2 was seen with only SN-38.

2.4.2. Cytotoxicity of Prodrug

Cytotoxicity of the 5 against MCF-7 (COX-2 positive) and Caco-2 (COX-2 negative) revealed a higher effect on MCF-7 (IC$_{50}$: 0.43 µM) than on Caco-2 (IC$_{50}$: 176 µM), resulting from the COX-2 guiding effect by the prodrug conjugated with a celecoxib unit (Figure S34, Supporting Information; Figure 4d). Thus, the 5 could target COX-2 and exhibit outstanding therapeutic effects by H$_2$O$_2$-responsive drug activation and COX-2 inhibitory effect in COX-2 positive cells. For such cytotoxicity, SN-38 is a high potency anti-neoplastic drug by inhibiting topoisomerase in the cells[19,40] which gives a key contribution to obtain the submicromolar level in IC$_{50}$ to this experiment. As prodrug form of SN-38 (5) is not highly active, only after cleavage of the thioketal linker by H$_2$O$_2$ a significant cytotoxicity was observed.

2.5. Synergistic Cell Death by Dual-Targeting of F-GOX@NR and 5

With these promising results on F-GOX@NR and the 5 separately, we investigated the dual-targeting to identify possible synergistic effects (Scheme 1). With our three cell lines different scenarios can be investigated: the MCF-7 cells function as positive control carrying both folate receptor and COX-2; Caco-2 carries only folate receptors but no COX-2; and MDA-MB-231 cells are COX-2-positive but folate-negative cells. As F-GOX@NR led to the high degree of cell death (>90%) at 5 mM glucose conditions regardless of COX-2 expression levels (Figure 3c; Figure S28, Supporting Information), we used a 1 mM glucose condition in this assay. About low glucose levels, some deep tumor environments show low glucose and oxygen condition due to limited supplying the nutrients and reprogrammed metabolism.[67,68] We set the experimental conditions that led to ca 50% cell death (IC$_{50}$) by each F-GOX@NR (4 µg mL$^{-1}$) or SN-38 (100% activated form) (Figure S35, Supporting Information), respectively. The cytotoxicity assay showed that ca. 90% cell death for MCF-7 was achieved by the co-treatment of F-GOX@NR and 5 (Figure 5b). In contrast,
the same cocktail resulted in a lower cytotoxicity in Caco-2 cells (60%) as only F-GOX@NR is effective. Similarly, MDA-MB-231 revealed 50% residual viability as only 5 induced cytotoxicity. These results suggested that the use of both F-GOX@NR and 5 selectively induce the death of the dual-positive cells (MCF-7), thus indicating more specific anticancer strategy rather than single-targeting approaches. F-GOX@NR and 5 can be accumulated together inside the same cell, which have more opportunities to be efficiently activated by produced H$_2$O$_2$.

The amount of produced H$_2$O$_2$ by F-GOX@NR was measured for a period of 24 h. The F-GOX@NR-treated cells gave 14 µmol of H$_2$O$_2$ after 24 h, enough to activate 5 (1.0 µmol; 10 µm, Figure S36, Supporting Information). Non-treated cells produced only 0.8 µmol of intrinsic H$_2$O$_2$ after 24 h. The higher H$_2$O$_2$ concentration enabled the more efficient activation of 5 (Figure 2a,d). When the concentration of glucose or 5 were varied, the degree of cytotoxicity could be adjusted. Below 1.0 mm glucose, the F-GOX@NR led to 40% cell death in 24 h and 55% in 48 h. When both F-GOX@NR and 5 were administered to MCF-7, an increased cytotoxicity was detected (right panel in Figure 2c and Figure S37, Supporting Information) compared to 5 alone (left panel in same figures). By dosing of 5 (2.0 µm) and F-GOX@NR (0.4 µg mL$^{-1}$), a cytotoxicity of ~90% was observed. This value for the cytotoxicity was ca. 20% higher compared to the sum of the two separate treatments (Figure S38, Supporting Information), which would have resulted in 70% cell death (i.e., 40% by F-GOX@NR and 30% by 5). The additional cytotoxicity of the mixture implies a clue of synergistic effect by combining F-GOX@NR and 5.

For further investigation of the synergistic effects, we evaluated the IC$_{50}$ value of each drug and a combination of F-GOX@NR and 5 after 24 h and 48 h incubation (Figure 5d). As SN-38 is a well-known antineoplastic drug, a high potency was observed with IC$_{50}$ = 1.2 µm (for 24 h) and 0.54 µm (for 48 h). 5 showed a higher IC$_{50}$ = 3.8 µm (for 24 h) as it needs activation by intrinsic H$_2$O$_2$ in cells. The potency of 5 in 48 h was not significantly different (IC$_{50}$: 2.8 µm in 48 h) possibly due to lack of intrinsic H$_2$O$_2$ by death of cells. Interestingly, when 5 was combined with F-GOX@NR (4 µg mL$^{-1}$ for 24 h, and 2 µg mL$^{-1}$ for 48 h), a remarkably high potency of 5 (IC$_{50}$: 0.14 µm in 24 h, and 0.1 µm in 48 h) was observed. To under- line that such the high potency is not an additive effect but a real synergy, we plotted the IC$_{50}$ values in a so-called isobologram (Figure S39, Supporting Information).$^{[69,70]}$ The calculated combination index of 0.78 also supports the synergistic

---

**Figure 4.** Cytotoxicity of the COX-2 targeted celecoxib/SN-38 prodrug (5). a) Cleavage of thioether linker by H$_2$O$_2$ activates the 5. b) Co-localization imaging of the fluorescence signal from 10 µm Rho-Cel (ex 570 nm/em 580–620 nm; red color), anti-COX-2 antibody (ex 488 nm/em 500–540 nm; green color), and merged image (yellow) in MCF-7 cells (×100 magnification). Scale bar = 15 µm. c) Changes in PGE2 secretion in MCF-7 by treatment of 5, celecoxib or SN-38. d) Cell viability of COX-2 positive cell (MCF-7) and COX-2 negative cell (Caco-2) by 5 for 48 h incubation at 5 mm glucose. Data are represented as mean ± SEM (n = 3 in (c) and (d)). Statistical significance was determined by a two-way ANOVA test with a post-hoc Bonferroni test. Different letters (e.g., a–e) signify datasets that are statistically distinct (p < 0.05).
effect of the combination of F-GOX@NR and 5 (for details regarding the isobologram and the combination index, see the Supporting information). In case of 48 h incubation, the potency of 5 showed a lower potency compared to sole SN-38 or combination of SN-38 and Celecoxib, however, synergistic effects of F-GOX@NR and 5 were also observed. Therefore, one of the advantages of the combination in F-GOX@NR and 5 is requirement of the shorter time and the less amount of produgs to obtain the higher potency compared to drug only. The synergism in the combination of F-GOX@NR and 5 might be caused by i) enhanced 5 uptake as the cell membrane was oxidized, ii) more efficient activation of 5 by F-GOX@NR, and iii) decreased defense metabolism of cells under glucose-depleted conditions.

Figure 5. Dual-targeting of F-GOX@NR and celecoxib/SN-38 prodrug (5) for synergistic cytotoxicity. a) Schematic illustration for dual-targeting strategy by F-GOX@NR and 5. After a glucose starving-period (8 h), the cells are incubated with F-GOX@NR for 30 min, the non-uptake F-GOX@NR removed, then 5 is added and incubated for 24 or 48 h. b) Cell viability of different cell lines after with treatment with F-GOX@NR and 5 (MCF-7 (folate receptor +; COX-2 +), Caco-2 (folate receptor +; COX-2 -), MDA-MB-231 (folate receptor -; COX-2 +)). Glucose (1.0 mM) was added, and GOX reaction was conducted for 24 h. c) Cell viability by 5 only, F-GOX@NR only, and co-treatment of 5 and F-GOX@NR with the treatment of 1.0 mM glucose and GOX reaction for 24 h in MCF-7. d) IC$_{50}$ of drugs with 1.0 mM glucose at 24 h and 48 h in MCF-7 cells. Data are represented as mean ± SEM (n = 3 in (b) and (c)). *F-GOX@NR of 4 µg mL$^{-1}$ was used for the assay. **F-GOX@NR of 2 µg mL$^{-1}$ was used for the assay. Statistical significance was determined by a two-way ANOVA test with a post-hoc Bonferroni test. Different letters (e.g., a–h) signify datasets that are statistically distinct (p < 0.05).
3. Conclusions

In summary, we developed a novel anticancer strategy exerting a synergistic effect by the dual-targeted H2O2-generating nanoreactors and a ROS-activated prodrug. We successfully prepared GOX-loaded silica nanoparticles (F-GOX@NR) functionalized with folate groups as a semipermeable nanoreactor to specifically target cancer cells and to produce hydrogen peroxide from glucose intracellularly. A novel prodrug (5) bearing celecoxib and SN-38 anticancer agents conjugated with an H2O2-cleavable thioketal linker was designed. The 5 can bind to the intracellular COX-2-receptor in cancer cells, allowing longer retention and SN-38 anticancer drug inducing cell apoptosis. For dual-positive cancer cells with the folate receptors and COX-2, we demonstrated a synergistic cytotoxicity by F-GOX@NR and the Celecoxib-conjugated 5. We suggest that our dual-targeting and synergistic strategy might pave the way for an effective and tailored anticancer strategy.
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