Effects of ambient ozone on lung function in children over a two-summer period
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ABSTRACT: There is a general consensus that short term exposure to ozone (O3) causes a decrease in lung function parameters such as forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1). The objective of this study was to assess the reproducibility of lung function decrements after ambient O3 exposure over a two-summer period.

The authors studied 797 children with a mean age of 8.2 yrs (95% confidence interval: 6.9–9.5) from the second and third grades of ten elementary schools in Austria and southwestern Germany. At the outset the various study locations were stratified into three groups with low (L), medium (M) and high (H) O3 exposure (range of mean O3 concentration in the locations April–October 1994: 24–30 (L); 33–38 (M); 44–52 (H) parts per billion (ppb)). Four lung function tests were performed on each child between March 1994 and November 1995.

The increases in FVC and FEV1 recorded from one test period to the next were expressed as mL/day⁻¹. A significantly lower FVC and FEV1 increase was observed in children exposed to high ambient O3 concentration during the summer season. (FVC in summer 1994: 0.83 (L); 0.56 (M); 0.55 (H) mL/day⁻¹; p=0.004; and summer 1995: 0.80 (L); 0.63 (M); 0.56 (H) mL/day⁻¹; p=0.011; FEV1 in summer 1994: 0.48 (L); 0.34 (M); 0.18 (H) mL/day⁻¹; p=0.004 and summer 1995: 0.68 (L); 0.45 (M); 0.41 (H) mL/day⁻¹, p=0.006). There was no significant difference in FVC or FEV1 increase between the groups during the winter period. Adjusting for sex, age, height and passive smoke exposure, linear regression revealed a statistically significant negative association of average ambient O3 concentration with the FVC and FEV1 increase in both summers. During the winter period no association of O3 with FVC or FEV1 was observed.

In conclusion, in two consecutive summer periods the authors found reproducible lung function decrements in children exposed to high levels of ambient ozone. Reoccurrence of ozone associated lung function deficits might increase the likelihood of persisting effects on the children’s airways.

Laboratory studies of volunteers exposed to high ozone (O3) concentrations in chambers and in epidemiological field studies both have revealed an O3 related decline in lung function parameters such as forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and peak expiratory flow (PEF) [1–4]. Since children spend more time outdoors and are physically more active than adults and as the growing lung might be more susceptible, they are regarded as a potential risk population for O3 induced health effects.

The authors recently published data from a follow-up study with school-aged children living in two small cities in southwestern Germany [5]. A negative association between O3 exposure under natural living conditions and lung function (FVC, FEV1) for the subpopulation living in the city with higher O3 levels during the summer season 1994 was demonstrated. A decrease in the FVC of approximately 12.31 mL·10⁻¹ µg·m⁻³ O3 and in the FEV1 of 11.29 mL·10⁻¹ µg·m⁻³ O3 was calculated for the study population living in this city. Earlier studies had reported a decrease in FVC of 0.0275–0.5 mL·µg·m⁻³ O3 and for FEV1 of 0.15–0.7 mL·µg·m⁻³ O3 under natural conditions [6–8], or during physical exercise or in summer camps [9–14].

In contrast to the short-term health effects of ozone, there are few studies that have investigated the possible long term health effects of ozone in humans due to problems with exposure assessment and the coincident effects of other pollutants. Reduced levels of FVC and FEV1 after prolonged ozone exposure were reported in both children and adults [15, 16]. However, the cross-sectional design of these studies does not allow for an investigation into the cause-effect relationship, because outcome as well as exposure is assessed at the same time.

Repeated lung-function testing was therefore performed over two years in ten communities with differing levels of
ambient ozone exposure. The purpose of the present study was to assess the reproducibility of lung function decreases and to investigate the possible long lasting effects of ambient ozone and changes in forced vital capacity or forced expiratory volume in one second, in children, under normal living conditions, over a 20-month period. The children had been analysed in a previous study and were included in part of the present data [17].

Materials and methods

Study design

This longitudinal field study on O₃ induced changes in the lung function of schoolchildren, included subjects from the second and third grade of eight elementary schools in Austria (Amstetten: altitude 270 m; St Valentin: 242 m; Krams: 190 m; Heidenreichstein: 560 m; Gänserndorf: 161 m; Mistelbach: 250 m; Wiesmath: 738 m; Bruck: 210 m) and two elementary schools situated in the Black Forest in southwestern Germany (Villingen: 270 m; Freudenstadt: 730 m). From the ten sites selected, six were rural communities with a population size of <10,000 and four were towns with a population size of 20,000–30,000. The study sites were selected on the basis of the 1991–1993 annual average ozone concentration to represent a broad range of average long-term O₃ exposure.

Sites with major industries in the vicinity were excluded to reduce confounding by other pollutants. Where there was more than one school in a community, school authorities suggested a specific school that was then invited to participate. The field study was carried out March 1994–November 1995. All technical details (questionnaire, exposure assessment, lung function protocol, statistical analysis) were standardized before the beginning of the study. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee and written consent was obtained from the parents.

Population

A total of 797 pupils with a mean age of 8.2 yrs (95% confidence interval (CI): 6.9–9.5) performed four lung function tests during spring 1994 and autumn 1995. Parents of children enrolled in grade two and three of ten elementary schools were asked to participate. After informed consent for the study had been obtained, a questionnaire was distributed in the schools to be filled out by the parents at home. The participation at the start of the study ranged 90.2–96.3% for the ten sites.

Questionnaire

The standardized questionnaire was filled out prior to the first examination. For assessment of respiratory history the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) questionnaire was used. A diagnosis of asthma or recurrent diagnoses of wheezy bronchitis by the doctor were considered as being asthma. A child’s past 12-month history of respiratory symptoms (shortness of breath, wheeze, cough at night, cough following exercise) was ascertained as well as current passive smoke exposure at home. Passive smoke exposure was defined to be present if smoking of at least one cigarette-day⁻¹ was reported.

Skin prick test

The skin prick test was performed on the volar surface of the forearm using extracts from hazel, birch and grass pollens, dog and cat dander and dust mites (Dermatophagoide furinae, Dermatophagoide pierynssinus) with histamine hydrochloride (10 mg·mL⁻¹) and sodium chloride (9 g·L⁻¹) as control solutions. The allergens (ALK-Scherax, Hamburg, Germany) corresponded to a concentration of 10 histamine equivalent potency (HEP). All skin reactions were evaluated after 15 min. A mean diameter ≥2 mm together with a ratio of allergen wheal: histamine wheal >0.5 was considered to be a positive reaction [18].

Pulmonary function testing

Four pulmonary function tests (flow/volume curves) were performed at school during or after regular teaching hours by two teams using a Masterscope (Software Release 4.0; Erich Jaeger, Wuerzburg, Germany). Because the authors were interested in the average effects of ozone exposure, they minimized confounding by short-term high ozone exposure during summer, by analysing tests from March–May and September–November. The instruments were calibrated daily. In each test every child performed two forced expiratory manoeuvres, according to the American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines, in a standing position wearing a noseclip. On each occasion at least two reproducible flow/volume curves requiring a difference in FVC ≤5% were achieved. The highest FVC and FEV₁ values were statistically analysed, but only children who had participated in all four tests were included. Height was measured before each of the four tests. For descriptive purposes the observed FVC and FEV₁ values were related to reference values based on height and gender [19] and were expressed as a percentage of the predicted mean. The same protocol and equipment was employed in Austria and Germany and the field workers of both countries were trained at central location prior to the beginning of the study.

Exposure data

The concentrations of O₃, nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and particles with a 50% cut-off aerodynamic diameter of 10 μm (PM₁₀) were measured at each of the listed locations in the vicinity of the schools. The monitor stations were run by local authorities and provided 30-min means of O₃, NO₂, SO₂.

For O₃, the ultraviolet (UV) absorption method (Germany: KS-212-1012.10, Vonabscheider, Kalman System, Hungary; Austria: ML 8810 Monitor Labs, San Diego, CA, USA) was used. SO₂ and NO₂ were also measured with fixed monitors based on fluorescence (ML 8841 Monitor Labs) and chemiluminescence (ML 8840 Monitor Labs) methods, respectively. The measurements were taken by the regional environmental protection agency and were reviewed by the study team for consistency and completeness. PM₁₀ was measured in Austria gravimetrically on filters by using samplers equipped with 10 μm-inlets (37 mm teflon filters with a polyolefin ring, 2.0 micron pore size).
Fourteen-day average levels were used for analysis since monitor stations were visited regularly by technicians within this time interval. Filters were provided by the Harvard School for Public Health (Boston, MA, USA) for exposure assessment and all filters were also weighed there. In Germany, PM$_{10}$ was continuously measured with the beta-absorption-method (FAG, FH 62 IN, Eberlin, Germany).

**Statistical analysis**

The differences between the consecutive tests 1 and 2, 2 and 3 and 4 were calculated for FVC and FEV$_1$ and were divided by the number of days between the tests. These average daily growth-related increases were expressed as mL day$^{-1}$.

Two different linear regression models were chosen to describe a possible effect of O$_3$ on average daily increases in FVC and FEV$_1$ (surrogate of lung growth). Firstly, the population was divided into three groups according to the O$_3$ exposure observed in the region. These groups represented regions with low O$_3$ exposure (L), medium O$_3$ exposure (M) and high O$_3$ exposure (H). Besides the O$_3$ exposure, the models include sex, age, height, passive smoke exposure and study country as independent variables. The regression model gives parameter estimates for the differences between these three groups as a description of possible O$_3$ effects.

An exposure-response relationship between O$_3$ exposure and lung growth was then established by calculating the mean O$_3$ concentration for each test period. A negative parameter estimate could then be interpreted as the degree of lung function decrease when mean O$_3$ exposure was on the increase. All analyses were performed using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS, Heidelberg, Germany).

**Results**

A total of 797 children participated in all four lung function tests between spring 1994 and autumn 1995. The distribution of the main characteristics of the analysed population is presented in table 1. Mean age was higher in the Freudenstadt and Villingen population. The sensitization to pollen ranged between 21.2% (St. Valentin) and 6.2% (Wismath). On average, the doctor diagnosed lifetime prevalence of bronchial asthma was 3.7%. The asthma prevalence was low in Heidenreichstein and Wismath (0% and 1%) compared to Freudenstadt and Amstetten (7.3 and 6.9%). One possible explanation for this finding may be attributed to the different habits of the local paediatricians either in using the diagnosis "asthma" and "wheezing bronchitis" in children or informing the parents about the diagnosis.

On average, 35% of the children were exposed to passive smoking. The passive smoke exposure in the high ozone exposed study sites ranged 21.2–34.6%, whereas in group M and L the passive smoke exposure was higher and ranged 26.9–61.6%.

The O$_3$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations at the different locations during the winter and summer period are given in table 2. O$_3$, NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ exposure were calculated by taking the mean of all 30-min means collected April–September and October–March in both 1994 and 1995.

Based on the mean O$_3$ exposure April–October 1994, Amstetten, St. Valentin, Krems and Villingen were allocated to the low group (L) with mean O$_3$ exposure 24–33 ppb; Heidenreichstein, Gänserndorf and Mistelbach were defined as medium O$_3$ exposed group (M) with mean O$_3$ exposure of 35–38 ppb; and Wiesmath, Bruck and Freudenstadt were allocated to the highly exposed group (H) with ambient O$_3$ exposure 44–52 ppb. The Spearman correlation coefficients between O$_3$ and the other pollutants

| Table 1. – Main characteristics of the study population |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| Variable                                             | Total population | Amstetten | St. Valentin | Krems | Villingen | Heidenreichstein | Gänserndorf | Mistelbach | Wiesmath | Bruck | Freudenstadt |
| Subjects n                                          |                  |           |              |       |           |                 |             |            |           |       |               |
| Sex female %                                        |                  |           |              |       |           |                 |             |            |           |       |               |
| Age %                                               |                  |           |              |       |           |                 |             |            |           |       |               |
| Doctor diagnosed asthma %                           |                  |           |              |       |           |                 |             |            |           |       |               |
| Symptoms of wheezing in the last 12 months %         |                  |           |              |       |           |                 |             |            |           |       |               |
| Exposure to passive smoking %                        |                  |           |              |       |           |                 |             |            |           |       |               |
| Sensitization to pollen allergens %                  |                  |           |              |       |           |                 |             |            |           |       |               |
| FVC % pred in test 1                                |                  |           |              |       |           |                 |             |            |           |       |               |
| FEV$_1$ % pred in test 1                            |                  |           |              |       |           |                 |             |            |           |       |               |

*: data are presented as mean (95% confidence interval) or +: mean. FVC: forced vital capacity; FEV$_1$: forced expiratory volume in one second.
The mean of all 1/2-hour means of NO₂, SO₂, and PM10 between summer 1994: April–September; winter 1994/95: October–March; summer 1995: April–September) are presented in Table 3. There was no strong positive correlation between O₃ and the other pollutants, especially for NO₂ and PM10 where the effect was opposite to that of O₃. During both summer seasons a positive correlation was calculated between O₃ and SO₂, however, the correlation coefficient was not significant. These findings suggest an O₃ effect and the confounding effects of other air pollutants seem to be negligible.

During both summer seasons a positive correlation was calculated between O₃ and SO₂, however, the correlation coefficient was not significant. These findings suggest an O₃ effect and the confounding effects of other air pollutants seem to be negligible.

Figures 1 and 2 show FVC and FEV₁ for the four lung function tests in the three groups. As expected, FVC and FEV₁ increased over the study period. FVC and FEV₁ were higher than the predicted values in all study locations with the exception of FVC in St. Valentin (Table 1). Changes in FVC and FEV₁ during the different intervals from consecutive tests 1 to 2, 2 to 3, and 3 to 4 were calculated in mL \( \times \text{day}^{-1} \) (figs. 3 and 4). There was a tendency for a lower FVC and FEV₁ increase in group H with high ambient O₃ concentration during summer seasons 1994 and 1995 (tests 1 to 2 and 3 to 4). During winter children in group M and H showed a slightly higher increase in FEV₁ and FVC compared to children of group L.

The linear regression model shows that during summer 1994 and 1995 the FVC increase was significantly lower in group M and H (Table 4). This is also the case for FEV₁ with the exception of group M in 1994. Regarding FVC, a negative parameter estimate of -0.278 mL\( \times \text{day}^{-1} \times \text{ppb O₃}^{-1} \) was calculated during summer 1994 and -0.266 mL\( \times \text{day}^{-1} \times \text{ppb O₃}^{-1} \) during summer 1995 when comparing the low exposure group with the high exposure group. During the winter period a positive parameter estimate for FVC and FEV₁ increase was calculated in the group M and H (Table 4).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Table 2. Air pollution assessment during the three periods expressed as mean (95% confidence interval)} \\
\text{Variable} & \quad \text{Amstetten} & \quad \text{St. Valentin} & \quad \text{Krems} & \quad \text{Villingen} & \quad \text{Heidenreichstein} & \quad \text{Gaisendorf} & \quad \text{Mistelbach} & \quad \text{Wiesenthal} & \quad \text{Bruck} & \quad \text{Freudenstadt} \\
\text{O₃ ppb} & \quad \text{April–September 1994} & 24 (1–65) & 27 (1–65) & 30 (1–64) & 33 (1–64) & 38 (1–64) & 35 (1–64) & 49 (1–64) & 44 (1–64) & 52 (1–64) \\
& \quad \text{October 1994–March 1995} & 23 (1–63) & 26 (1–63) & 29 (1–62) & 32 (1–62) & 35 (1–62) & 32 (1–62) & 46 (1–62) & 41 (1–62) & 49 (1–62) \\
& \quad \text{April–September 1995} & 22 (1–61) & 25 (1–61) & 28 (1–60) & 31 (1–60) & 34 (1–60) & 31 (1–60) & 45 (1–60) & 40 (1–60) & 48 (1–60) \\
\text{NO₂ ppb} & \quad \text{April–September 1994} & 11 (5–27) & 8 (5–27) & 11 (5–27) & 6 (5–27) & 5 (5–27) & 7 (5–27) & 3 (1–3) & 2 (1–3) & 5 (1–3) \\
& \quad \text{October 1994–March 1995} & 10 (4–24) & 9 (4–24) & 11 (4–24) & 6 (4–24) & 5 (4–24) & 7 (4–24) & 3 (1–3) & 2 (1–3) & 5 (1–3) \\
& \quad \text{April–September 1995} & 9 (4–24) & 8 (4–24) & 10 (4–24) & 6 (4–24) & 5 (4–24) & 7 (4–24) & 3 (1–3) & 2 (1–3) & 5 (1–3) \\
\text{SO₂ ppb} & \quad \text{April–September 1994} & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 2.6 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 0.7 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 6.3 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) \\
& \quad \text{October 1994–March 1995} & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 2.6 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 0.7 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 6.3 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) \\
& \quad \text{April–September 1995} & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 2.6 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 0.7 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 6.3 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) & 3.7 (0.7–3.9) \\
\text{PM10 mg m}^{-3} & \quad \text{April–September 1994} & NA & NA & NA & NA & NA & NA & NA & NA & NA \\
& \quad \text{October 1994–March 1995} & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) \\
& \quad \text{April–September 1995} & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) & 19.2 (10.1–19.2) \\
\text{NA: data not available; O₃: ozone; NO₂: nitrogen dioxide; SO₂: sulphur dioxide; PM10: particles with a 50% cut-off aerodynamic diameter of 10 μm.} 
\]
In a second model the O₃ effect on FVC and FEV₁ increase in the total population is described (table 5). O₃ exposure is assessed by the mean concentration of O₃ during the test periods for each child in each location. Again a statistically significant parameter estimate of ambient O₃ concentration on FVC and FEV₁ increase in both summer periods was calculated, and a positive parameter estimate for FVC and FEV₁ increase was calculated in the group M and H during the winter period. No effect of SO₂ and PM₁₀ on FVC increase was observed during summer 1995 and winter 1994/95, whereas SO₂ was negatively associated with FVC increase during summer 1994. NO₂ was negatively associated with FVC increase during winter but had a positive effect on FVC increase during both summer seasons.

Discussion

In a population based sample of 797 children, a reproducible effect of a lower FVC and an increased FEV₁ in children exposed to high ambient O₃ concentrations, during the summer season, compared to children exposed to lower ambient O₃ concentrations, was observed in two consecutive years. The observations in this study points towards longer lasting O₃ effects on children exposed to ambient O₃ levels.

In this analysis, data sets of two study sites in Germany and eight sites in Austria were analysed. The German population was approximately one year older. All technical details were standardized before the beginning of the study and the teams were trained centrally. These data sets were used to increase the statistical power and to analyse a wider range of exposure than in a previous analysis carried out by the authors [17]. The children living in Freudenstadt were highly exposed to O₃ compared to the majority living in Austria. The aim of the analysis was to study the reproducibility of O₃ effects in a two-year period and the possibility of a longer lasting health effect. Because a follow-up study was not performed in the children from Germany, only the Austrian part of the study will address the question of chronic effects on lung growth.

The importance of taking a broad range of O₃ exposed study sites into account is highlighted by the fact that FVC and FEV₁ increase differed not only between the H and the M exposed group but even between the L and the M exposed group. This finding is in accordance with the existence of a linear dose-response relationship.

Even if epidemiological studies are the appropriate approach to investigate possible adverse health effect of air pollutants, there are a few intrinsic difficulties, and several potential biases. One major problem is an adequate exposure assessment. The ozone exposure of each child was calculated by taking the mean of all 2-h means collected between the summer season (April–September) and the winter time interval (October–March), respectively. However, these means might differ from individual:

![Fig. 2. Forced expiratory volume in one second values over the four lung function tests in the three groups above the four test points. The boxes include the interval between the 25th and 75th percentile. The extremes represent the 5th and 95th percentile, the median is shown as a horizontal line in the box and the mean as a star.](image1)

![Fig. 3. Forced vital capacity increase from test 1 to test 2, from test 2 to test 3 and from test 3 to test 4 expressed as change from the proceeding test. The boxes include the interval between the 25th and 75th percentile. The extremes represent the 5th and 95th percentile, the median is shown as a horizontal line in the box and the mean as a star.](image2)
exposure, as children have different time intervals spent outdoors. It must be emphasized that the chosen method of calculating O₃ exposure is an "estimate" and in this sense only a surrogate parameter for the real exposure. Personal O₃ samplers would have mirrored the individual exposure more precisely, although physical activities and therefore, the biologically inhaled dose were difficult to measure accurately. Furthermore, it was not possible to provide all 797 children with personal ozone samplers. However, recently published longitudinal studies calculated air pollutant exposure in a very similar way [19]. Therefore, the described approach of exposure assessment was selected.

Moreover, exposure to air pollutants is multidimensional, generally consisting of a mixture of different gases. Similar to O₃, exposure to other environmental air pollutants (NO₂, SO₂ or total suspended particulates (TSP)/PM₁₀) was calculated. Coincident effects of other air pollutants is a major source of potential bias. To address this issue, study locations free of industry in the vicinity were selected and characterized by low concentrations of NO₂ and SO₂. The mean concentrations of NO₂ and SO₂ are relatively low compared to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAQS) of the US Environmental Protection Agency Office (NO₂: NAQS <53 ppb annual arithmetic mean; SO₂: NAQS <140 ppb annual arithmetic mean) [17].

The relatively low concentrations of NO₂ and SO₂ make a caustive relationship with lung function changes unlikely and confounding effects of other air pollutants seem to be negligible. The PM₁₀ data for winter 1994/95 and summer 1995 only, is presented. As demonstrated by SPENGLER et al. [21], fine particle concentrations are highly correlated with O₃ exposure in the US. No significant correlation between O₃ and PM₁₀ was found in this study.

The influence of pollutants other than O₃ on lung function parameters was investigated and the linear regression model to assess the possible effects of NO₂, SO₂ and PM₁₀ on FVC and FEV₁ increase calculated (data not shown). For all these pollutants no confounding with O₃ and no consistent associations with lung function parameters were observed.

Generally, the data in this study is in favour of a biological O₃ effect. Nevertheless, this may in part be the effect of a complex pollutant mixture rather than an independent O₃ effect. In general, expected health effects of air pollutants at concentrations found in developed countries are weak and respiratory health indicators have multiple aetiologies.

Recently JØRRES et al. [19] reported a controlled exposure study and showed that atopic subjects might be more susceptible to O₃ effects than nonatopic subjects. Based on the population sample in this study, only 3.7% suffered from bronchial asthma. Therefore, it is unlikely that the observed effect was caused by this small subgroup. In addition, the regression analysis showed no interaction between sensitization to aeroallergens and O₃.

KOLLIPARA and CONNORS [23] reported that prolonged exposure to sunlight might increase the velocity of growth. As high O₃ exposure is highly correlated with temperature and sun radiation, it might be speculated that the physiological somatic growth velocity in children is greater in summer and physiological lung growth follows a slight delay during autumn and winter. To test this hypothesis the growth velocity in the three exposure groups was calculated. In the regions with L, M and H O₃ exposure parallel lung and somatic growth during summer 1994 and winter 1994/95 was observed. In summer 1995 group M showed a slightly higher rate of somatic growth compared to group L or H. No tendency could be seen for increased growth velocity in the group with high ambient O₃ exposure.

To date, most epidemiological studies have investigated the effects of short-term exposure to O₃ on symptoms, lung function, methacholine responsiveness as well as inflammatory changes and cell damage to upper and lower

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Forced expiratory volume in one second increase from test 1 to test 2, from test 2 to test 3 and from test 3 to test 4 expressed as change from the proceeding test. The boxes include the 25th and 75th percentile. The extremes represent the 5th and 95th percentile, the median is shown as a horizontal line in the box and the mean as a star.

| Period                  | Group | PE for O₃ on FVC ppb | p-value | PE for O₃ on FEV₁ ppb | p-value |
|------------------------|-------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| Summer 1994 test 1 and 2 | M     | -0.242               | 0.018   | -0.117                | 0.210   |
|                        | H     | -0.278               | 0.022   | -0.303                | 0.007   |
| Winter 1994/95 test 2 and 3 | M   | 0.129                | 0.005   | 0.105                 | 0.030   |
|                        | H     | 0.0954               | 0.078   | 0.158                 | 0.006   |
| Summer 1995 test 3 and 4 | M     | -0.168               | 0.036   | -0.218                | 0.005   |
|                        | H     | -0.266               | 0.005   | -0.322                | 0.001   |

M: medium ozone exposure; H: high ozone exposure; PE: parameter estimate mL·day⁻¹; FVC: forced vital capacity; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in one second.
airways [24–26]. Over the last decade, human exposure and epidemiological studies have clearly demonstrated decreases in FVC and FEV1 as acute health effects of O3, due to decreased inspiratory capacity rather than airway obstruction. The reported short-term O3 effect on FVC ranges -0.0275–0.5 mL·µg⁻¹·m³ O3 and on FEV1 -0.15–0.7 mL·µg⁻¹·m³ O3 under natural conditions [6–8], or during forced physical exercise or in Summer camp studies [9–14].

Long-term effects on the growing lung were primarily assessed in animal studies. Alterations in the morphology of the nasal epithelium with chronic loss of ciliated cells [27] have been described. In the lower airways of monkeys and rats, bronchiolitis with replacement of proximal alveolar Type I and Type II cells by airway cells has been observed [28–30]. Tyler et al. [28] studied the effects of O3 on lung growth in rats exposed to filtered air or to 0.64 or 0.96 ppm O3 for 8 h-night⁻¹ for 42 nights. Rats examined at the end of the exposure period had larger saline and fixed lung volumes with greater volumes of parenchyma, alveoli and respiratory bronchioles. Tyler et al. [28] concluded that O3 inhalation by young rats alters lung growth and development in ways likely to be detrimental, and that those changes persisted after O3 exposure had been terminated [29].

Chang et al. [30] exposed six-week-old rats to O3 in different subchronic low-level exposure patterns. After a 12-h-day⁻¹ exposure for 6 weeks with 0.12 and 0.25 parts per million (ppm) O3 it was found that the relative volume of the Type I epithelium, which was regarded to be a consistent and reproducible marker for cell injury and response, increased significantly by 13 and 23% over the control value following exposures for 6 weeks to 0.12 and 0.25 ppm, respectively. According to Chang et al. the data in the present study suggests that epithelial cell reactions to low-level subchronic exposure of O3 were directly related to the cumulative oxidant concentration and that the pattern of exposure did not appear to affect the resulting degree of injury. This data implies that even under moderate O3 exposure chronic effects emerge.

However, it still remains to be demonstrated how far chronic health effects in animal studies will hold for humans living in O3 polluted areas. Chronic effects of O3 exposure on humans have been addressed primarily with cross-sectional epidemiological studies, for example by Schwartz et al. [15] as well as Stern et al. [16], who reported reduced levels of FVC and FEV1 after prolonged ozone exposure in both children and adults. However, the findings of this type of study design have been regarded as inconclusive because of the lack of precise information of exposure and the difficulty of controlling for confounding factors [24]. The coincident effect of other pollutants, for example NO2, TSP or sulphates, limited the observed relationship between ozone and the lung function parameters FVC and FEV1 [15, 16, 31]. Therefore, selected study locations free of industry in the vicinity and characterized by low concentrations of NO2 and SO2 were selected for the present study. More recently, Tager et al. [32] studied 175 first-year students, who lived in selected areas of California for all of their lives, and assessed the O3 exposure on the basis of lifetime residential history. Multiple, linear regression analyses showed a consistently negative relation between estimates of lifetime exposure to ozone and flow rates that reflect the physiology of pulmonary small airways.

Reproducible associations of ambient O3 exposure in the summer and diminished increase in FVC and FEV1 in two consecutive years was observed, whereas there was no association in the winter period. Taking the results of many chamber and epidemiological studies into account, this demonstrates a real O3 effect in both summer periods.

During winter, children in group M and H showed a slightly higher increase in the FEV1 and FVC compared to children in group L. This might reflect that some children catch up in lung function deficits during the winter season. However, only one observation period during the winter season was investigated, therefore, the data concerning whether there is a tendency to make up for retardation of growth during summer is not conclusive for this hypothesis.

### Conclusion

To conclude, decreases in forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume in one second were quantitatively associated with the level of mean ambient ozone over a 6-month summer period which were very similar in two consecutive years. The observations in the present study are in accordance with animal studies, which favour the chronic effects of ambient ozone concentration. The observation period was too short to address the issue of whether changes during chronic ozone exposure over several months are reversible or not. Therefore, further epidemiological studies over a longer observation period are necessary.

### Table 5. – Linear regression models calculating the potential effects of ozone and other pollutants on increases in forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume in one second

| Period              | PE for O3 on FVC ppb | p-value | PE for O3 on FEV1 ppb | p-value | PE for SO2 on FVC ppb | p-value | PE for NO2 on FVC ppb | p-value | PE for PM10 on FVC µg·m⁻³ | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| Summer 1994 test 1 and 2 | -0.0066              | 0.012   | -0.0060               | 0.013   | -0.044                | 0.006   | 0.031                 | 0.018   | NA                       | NA      |
| Winter 1994/95 test 2 and 3 | 0.0021              | 0.156   | 0.0023                | 0.142   | 0.007                 | 0.243   | -0.009                | 0.074   | 0.003                    | 0.734   |
| Summer 1995 test 3 and 4 | -0.0064              | 0.010   | -0.0077               | 0.001   | 0.045                 | 0.028   | 0.024                 | 0.010   | -0.012                   | 0.154   |
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