First graphene oxide promoted metal-free nitrene insertion into olefins in water: towards facile synthesis of activated aziridines†

Prashant Shukla, a Suhasini Mahata, b Anjumala Sahu, b Manorama Singh, b Vijai K. Rai b and Ankita Rai a

A facile metal-free graphene oxide (GO)-catalyzed synthesis of tosylaziridines using PhI=NTs as the nitrene source is reported. The reaction involves nitrene insertion into a variety of styrene/nitrostyrene derivatives in the presence of iodine at room temperature and in water. The envisaged process is highly green, operationally simple, it employs metal-free catalysis and it affords excellent yields (85–92%) and high diastereoselectivity (95–98%) of the Z-isomer of the product. The catalyst used could be recycled for further use in other reactions.

Aziridines have been synthetic targets as well as scaffolds since Gabriel’s discovery in 1888. They represent an important structural motif in drugs and natural products (Fig. 1) and are highly useful as feedstock in organic synthesis to provide functionalized carbon chains due to the inherent strain in small ring heterocycles. In terms of synthetic transformations, the utility of aziridines arises from their selective ring-opening reactions using various nucleophiles, which often form the basis of more complex target syntheses. Owing to their chemical and biological interest, numerous methods are reported for their synthesis, including the aziridination of olefins, the addition of carbene and ylide to imines, and different cyclization protocols. However, the direct transfer of nitrogen atoms to alkenes has been the most practical and useful method for the synthesis of aziridines. Although transition-metal catalyzed aziridination of alkenes has been well reported in the literature, metal-free aziridination using different nitrogen sources is still an attractive strategy from practical, economical and, of course, environmental points of view. The nitrene transfer reaction, and the use of iminoiodinanes as nitrene precursors and thus the efficient conditions for catalytic aziridination of olefins using iodine(III) oxidants are reported. Despite previous work, the development of more environmentally benign nitrene transfer reactions for the construction of diverse aziridines is still in great demand. Thus, inspired by these reports and in continuation of our interest in developing efficient and green catalytic routes
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Fig. 1 Drugs and natural products containing aziridine.
changing the solvent system (MeOH, MeCN, THF and 1,4-dioxane) (Table 1, entries 2–5). Next, the screening of the catalytic and solvent systems was undertaken, as given in Table 1. Initially, we used our recently reported catalyst, Fe–GO (15 mg) using water as a solvent, and we successfully isolated the corresponding aziridine product 3a in moderate yield (Table 1, entry 6). Encouraged by the result, we tried several graphene-based materials as catalysts in the present synthetic protocol (Table 1, entries 6–9). However, GO was found to be the most effective among GO, rGO, graphite and Fe–GO, while rGO and graphite were ineffective for the conversion (Table 1, entries 8 and 9). The optimum catalyst loading for graphene oxide was found to be 15 mg. When the amount of catalyst decreased from 15 mg to 10 mg, the yield of product 3a reduced (Table 1, entry 15) but the use of 20 mg of the catalyst showed the same yield (Table 1, entry 16). Solvent screening for the synthesis of 3a was also undertaken using various solvents such as MeCN, DCM, 1,4-dioxane, THF, MeOH and water (Table 1, entries 7, 10–14). When the reaction was carried out in aprotic solvents such as MeCN, DCM, 1,4-dioxane and THF, it afforded the aziridine 3a in trace amounts (Table 1). However, when using polar protic solvents, the yield was improved (Table 1, entry 14) and therefore, water was found to be the best choice of solvent in the present optimization process.

The role of I2 was also investigated and a reaction of 1a and 2 and GO (15 mg) was performed in the absence of iodine, but no aziridine 3a was isolated (Table 1, entry 17) which is evidence that iodine plays a crucial role in the formation of aziridine rings. When the reaction was performed in the dark it afforded 3a in low yield, showing that the reaction involved a radical which was promoted by ambient room light in the laboratory (Table 1, entry 18).

After optimization of the reaction conditions, we next investigated the substrate scope of the present aziridination of styrene. The substituents on the benzene ring of styrene 1 did not show any specific effect over the product outcome as well as the conversion rate. Substrates with electron-donating and electron withdrawing substituents at the ortho-position were tolerated to afford the corresponding aziridine 3 in consistently good yields (Table 2). Substituents at the para or meta positions on the phenyl ring caused almost no change in reactivity (Table 2, entries 7 and 8). However, when using an aliphatic alkene, a moderate yield of the corresponding aziridine was obtained. The formation of product 3 was confirmed by spectral analysis. In the 1H NMR spectra of 3a (Fig. S1; ESI†), a double doublet at δ 3.78 (J = 7.0, 4.5 Hz) for CH indicates the presence of two vicinal CH2 protons, further confirmed by two doublets at δ 2.99 (J = 7.0 Hz) and δ 2.43 (J = 4.5 Hz). Thus, the present optimized synthesis of stirring an equimolar mixture of styrene 1 and

Table 1 | Screening of catalysts and solvents for the aziridination of styrene 1

| Entry | Catalyst (mg) | I2 (mol%) | Solvent | Time (h) | Yield (g) |
|-------|--------------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| 1     | —            | 10        | Water   | 12       | —         |
| 2     | —            | 10        | MeOH    | 12       | —         |
| 3     | —            | 10        | MeCN    | 12       | —         |
| 4     | —            | 10        | THF     | 12       | —         |
| 5     | —            | 10        | 1,4-Dioxane | 12   | —         |
| 6     | Fe–GO (15)   | 10        | H2O     | 12       | 43        |
| 7     | GO (15)      | 10        | H2O     | 10       | 92        |
| 8     | rGO (15)     | 10        | H2O     | 12       | —         |
| 9     | Graphite     | 10        | H2O     | 12       | —         |
| 10    | GO (15)      | 10        | Benzene | 10       | —         |
| 11    | GO (15)      | 10        | DCM     | 12       | 23        |
| 12    | GO (15)      | 10        | DMF     | 10       | —         |
| 13    | GO (15)      | 10        | THF     | 10       | 29        |
| 14    | GO (15)      | 10        | MeOH    | 10       | 53        |
| 15    | GO (10)      | 10        | H2O     | 10       | 73        |
| 16    | GO (20)      | 10        | H2O     | 10       | 92        |
| 17    | GO (15)      | 5         | H2O     | 10       | —         |
| 18    | GO (15)‡     | 10        | H2O     | 10       | 92        |

‡ Reaction conditions: a mixture of 1 (1 equi.), 2 (1 equi.), I2 (15 mol%) and GO (15 mg) in 5 mL of water was stirred at room temperature. The stirring time at room temperature. † Yield of isolated and purified product. ‡ Characterized by spectral (IR, 1H NMR, 13C NMR and EIMS) data. The reaction was carried out in the dark.

Table 2 | Substrate scope of styrene 1 in the present aziridination process

| Entry | (R) styrene | (R) product | Time (h) | Yield (g) |
|-------|-------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| 1     | H, 1a       | H, 3a       | 10       | 92        |
| 2     | 4-Me, 1b    | 4-Me, 3b    | 10       | 91        |
| 3     | 4-OMe, 1c   | 4-OMe, 3c   | 12       | 87        |
| 4     | 4-Cl, 1d    | 4-Cl, 3d    | 10       | 90        |
| 5     | 4-CN, 1e    | 4-CN, 3e    | 11       | 91        |
| 6     | 4-CF3, 1f   | 4-CF3, 3f   | 10       | 85        |
| 7     | 2-Me, 1g    | 2-Me, 3g    | 10       | 90        |
| 8     | 3-Me, 1h    | 3-Me, 3h    | 10       | 89        |
| 9     | 4-NO2, 1i   | 4-NO2, 3i   | 11       | 91        |
| 10    | 4-Br, 1j    | 4-Br, 3j    | 10       | 89        |

† Reaction conditions: a mixture of 1 (0.75 mmol), 2 (0.75 mmol), I2 (0.075 mmol) and GO (15 mg) dispersed in 5 mL of water was stirred at room temperature. The stirring time at room temperature. † Yield of isolated and purified product. ‡ Characterized by spectral (IR, 1H NMR, 13C NMR and EIMS) data.
2-nitro-1-tosylaziridine 5

extended our envisaged aziridination process to the synthesis of aziridines. Keeping these literature reports in mind, we studied various conditions in hand. Thus, a mixture of nitrostyrene (Ar) nitrostyrene (0.750 mmol), I2 (10 mol%) and GO (15 mg) dispersed in 5 mL of water was stirred at room temperature in water and the corresponding 2-nitro-1-tosylaziridine 5a was obtained approximately the same yield of pure product 5a. The 1H NMR spectra of 5a shows two doublets at δ 2.28 and 4.55 for both CHs in aziridine (5a) with the same coupling constant (J = 9.5 Hz) confirming the formation of nitroaziridine 5 (Fig. S2, ESI†). Next, the Z-stereochemistry of aziridines 5 was assigned on the basis of higher J-values (9.5–9.8 Hz) of 2-H and 3-H than those of (E)-aziridines (6.5–6.6 Hz). This conforms with the earlier observations for aziridines reported in the literature.32–35 Furthermore, strong NOEs between 2-H and 3-H in aziridines 5 also confirm that these protons are on the same face of the molecule and thus, prove their Z-stereochemistry (Fig. 2). The plausible mechanism for the formation of aziridines 3 and 5 is given in Scheme 3. The radical pathway is favoured in the light of the homolytic weakness of the N–I bond and low conversion rate in the dark using the same reaction conditions (Table 1). Initially, PhINTs 2 reacts with I2 in the presence of GO, generating N,N-diodotosylamide 6, which is the cornerstone of the present nitrene insertion process. Presumably, homolytic cleavage of the N–I bond 7 of 6 generates the amidyl radical 7 which is followed by the intramolecular cyclization of 8, assisted by the iodine free radical to furnish the target molecules 3 and 5 with the regeneration of I2, which is to be reused in the catalytic cycle (Scheme 3).

Graphene oxide (GO) is a single hexagonal lattice sheet of sp2 and sp3 hybridized C atoms. The oxidation of graphite results in the formation of nitroaziridine 5 which is followed by the intramolecular cyclization of 6 to afford the target molecules 3 and 5 with the regeneration of I2. The plausible mechanism for the formation of aziridines 3 and 5 is given in Scheme 3. The radical pathway is favoured in the light of the homolytic weakness of the N–I bond and low conversion rate in the dark using the same reaction conditions (Table 1). Initially, PhINTs 2 reacts with I2 in the presence of GO, generating N,N-diodotosylamide 6, which is the cornerstone of the present nitrene insertion process. Presumably, homolytic cleavage of the N–I bond 7 of 6 generates the amidyl radical 7 which is followed by the intramolecular cyclization of 8, assisted by the iodine free radical to furnish the target molecules 3 and 5 with the regeneration of I2, which is to be reused in the catalytic cycle (Scheme 3).

### Table 3. Synthesis of 2-nitro-1-tosylaziridine 5

| Entry | 4 (Ar) nitrostyrene | 5 (Ar) product | Time (h) | Yield (d) (%) | Z/E† |
|-------|---------------------|----------------|----------|---------------|------|
| 1     | Ph, 4a              | Ph, 5a         | 5        | 89            | 96 : 04 |
| 2     | 4-OMeC₆H₄, 4b       | 4-OMeC₆H₄, 5b  | 6        | 87            | 95 : 05 |
| 3     | 4-CIC₆H₄, 4c        | 4-CIC₆H₄, 5c   | 6        | 88            | 97 : 03 |
| 4     | 4-MeC₆H₄, 4d        | 4-MeC₆H₄, 5d   | 7        | 91            | 98 : 02 |
| 5     | 2-CIC₆H₄, 4e        | 2-CIC₆H₄, 5e   | 6        | 88            | 96 : 04 |
| 6     | 4-NO₂C₆H₄, 4f       | 4-NO₂C₆H₄, 5f  | 6        | 90            | 97 : 03 |
| 7     | 3-MeC₆H₄, 4g        | 3-MeC₆H₄, 5h   | 5        | 91            | 97 : 03 |
| 9‡    | Ph, 4a              | Ph, 5a         | 5        | 89            | 96 : 04 |
| 9‡    | Ph, 4a              | Ph, 5a         | 6        | 68            | 96 : 04 |
| 10‡   | Ph, 4a              | Ph, 5a         | 5        | 89            | 96 : 04 |
| 11‡   | Ph, 4a              | Ph, 5a         | 5        | 61            | 96 : 04 |

‡ Reaction conditions: a mixture of 4 (3.5 mmol), 2 (0.750 mmol), I2 (10 mol%) and GO (15 mg) dispersed in 5 mL of water was stirred at room temperature. † The stirring time at room temperature. †† Yield of isolated and purified product. † Characterized by spectral (IR, 1H NMR, 13C NMR and EIMS) data. † Determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy. ‡ Reaction was performed in 15 mol% of I2. ‡‡ Reaction was performed in 5 mol% of I2. ‡ Reaction was performed with 20 mg of GO. † Reaction was performed with 10 mg GO.
a number of defects or holes on its surface. The presence of numerous –COOH groups at the edges of flakes as well as at the edges of defect sites may be utilized for its functionalization, which makes GO an efficient catalyst (Fig. 3). The reusability of GO as a heterogeneous acid catalyst was also examined and it was recovered from the first batch of reactions by centrifugation followed by washing and drying, then it was reused for six subsequent batch reactions. Appreciable conversions were achieved in all recycling experiments carried out at room temperature (Fig. 4). Comparison of the FT-IR spectra of GO before and after use confirmed that the catalyst remained the same after the reaction (Fig. 5).

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have disclosed an unprecedented and efficient route for the synthesis of tosylaziridines using PhI=NTs via nitrene insertion into olefins in the presence of graphene oxide as a catalyst in water. The envisaged green method has several advantages over existing procedures for the synthesis of activated aziridines, viz., higher yields of products, simple operation, and ambient reaction conditions and would be a better practical alternative to cater to the needs of academia as well as industry.

**Experimental**

**Preparation of graphene oxide**

GO was synthesized from the oxidation of graphite powder under oxidising conditions using the modified Hummers method followed by exfoliation in an aqueous solution. Graphite flakes (1 g) were slowly added to ice-cold concentrated sulfuric acid (23 mL) then continued stirring was performed, putting the whole mixture in an ice bath along with sodium nitrate (0.5 g) and then vigorously stirring using a magnetic stirrer at room temperature for about 4 hr. The precipitate was filtered off and washed with water and then dried at 100oC.

**Fig. 2** NOE experiment on nitro-aziridines 5.

**Scheme 3** Plausible mechanism for the formation of tosylaziridines 3 and 5.

**Fig. 3** Various functional groups of the graphene oxide used as a catalyst.

**Fig. 4** Reusability experiment of the GO catalyst.

**Fig. 5** IR (A) and XRD (B) of GO before and after use in a reusability experiment.
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After that, potassium permanganate (3 g) was added to the reaction mixture very slowly, keeping the temperature within 0–5 °C. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 30 min forming a thick paste. It was diluted with distilled water (46 mL) under stirring conditions. The temperature of the solution was raised to about 98 °C and the mixture was allowed to stir for 4 h and was then finally cooled down. Then 100 mL of deionized water was added followed by slow addition of 3 mL H2O2 (30%). The color of the solution changed from dark brown to yellowish brown. The overall solution was exfoliated under stirring followed by centrifugation at 3000 rpm to collect the solid mass at the bottom. The remaining solid material was then washed with 50 mL of warm deionised water and 30 mL of 5% HCl. Finally, the brown mass was collected and dried at 50 °C under vacuum to obtain solid graphene oxide. The formation of GO and the presence of various chemical functionalities on the GO nanosheets have been well characterized and analyzed by TEM, XRD, FTIR and UV-Vis spectroscopy.

**TEM analysis of graphene oxide**

HR-TEM measurements were performed to determine the morphology of graphene oxide. Bends and wrinkles were observed on the graphene oxide nanosheets at several places (Fig. 6). Graphene oxide nanosheets appear to have a single layer morphology. Well-defined diffraction spots in a selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern illustrate that the monolayer graphene oxide formed. However, in the HR-TEM image, the presence of topological features along with an overlapping area of graphene oxide nanosheets reveal that they are highly dispersed in water.

**XRD analysis of graphene oxide**

XRD analysis was used to characterize the crystalline nature and phase purity of the as-synthesized graphene oxide. Pure graphite has a strong and sharp diffraction peak at 2θ = 23.62°, which corresponds to the (002) plane of the hexagonal graphite structure with an interlayer spacing of 3.76 Å (Fig. S3, ESI†). After chemical oxidation and exfoliation into graphene oxide, the 23.62° peak disappeared and a wide diffraction peak centred at 10.13° appeared, corresponding to the (002) plane and the interlayer spacing of the material was 8.72 Å. An increased interlayer distance between consecutive carbon basal planes is attributed to the intercalation of oxygen functional groups (–COOH, –OH etc.) and water molecules into the carbon layer structure. XRD shows various defects and functional groups carrying sp² hybridized carbon atoms, which are introduced during the oxidation process.

**FT-IR spectrum of graphene oxide (GO)**

Fig. S4, ESI† shows the FTIR spectrum of GO, which shows a broad peak at 3403.74 cm⁻¹ in the high-frequency area attributed to the stretching mode of the O–H bond, revealing the presence of hydroxyl groups in graphene oxide. The band observed at 1725.16 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the carboxyl group. The sharp peak found at 1608.79 cm⁻¹ is a resonance peak that can be assigned to the stretching and bending vibrations of the –OH groups from water molecules adsorbed on graphene oxide. The peak at 1381.42 cm⁻¹ arises from the C–OH group. The peak at 1241.65 cm⁻¹ denotes C–O (epoxy group) stretching and the C–O (alkoxy) stretching vibration bands appear at 1061.08 cm⁻¹. FTIR spectra provide the presence of ample numbers of oxygen functionalities such as hydroxyl, epoxide, carboxylate and carbonyl on graphene oxide.

**UV-Vis spectrum of graphene oxide**

The UV-Vis spectrum of graphene oxide is also shown in Fig. S5, ESI†. According to the absorbance spectra, the main spectrum of graphene oxide has a strong absorption peak at 234.32 nm, attributed to the π → π* transition of the C=C conjugated aromatic domains and a weak absorption (shoulder) at 306.64 nm due to the n → π* transition of the C=O bond.

**General method for the synthesis of aziridines 3 and 5**

Styrene (0.75 mmol) was added to a mixture of iodine (10 mol%), PhINTs (0.750 mmol) and GO (15 mg) in distilled water (5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 to 12 h. The reaction progress was recorded periodically and after completion of the reaction (using TLC), the reaction mixture was washed sequentially with diethyl ether (10 mL × 3), brine solution (10 mL × 3) and distilled water (10 mL) and it was finally dried over potassium carbonate. Evaporation of the solvent on a rotavapor left the crude solid product which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/n-hexane = 1/9) to provide the pure products 3 and 5. The products were confirmed by 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectroscopy and all the characterization data match those reported in the literature well.3a,32 However, the spectroscopic data of representative compounds is given. 3a: IR (KBr) ν<sub>max</sub> = 3051, 2838, 1603, 1582, 1455 cm⁻¹. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.39 (d, 1H, J = 4.5 Hz, 3-H₅), 2.99 (d, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz, 3-H₃),
4.23 (s, 3H, TsCH₃), 3.78 (dd, 1H, J = 4.5, 7.0 Hz, 2-H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2Haro), 7.21–7.34 (m, 7Haro). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 23.7, 34.7, 43.8, 125.1, 126.3, 127.5, 128.3, 129.6, 134.1, 136.5, 142.8 ppm. EIMS: m/z = 273 [M⁺].

C₁₅H₁₄N₂O₄S: calcld C 56.59, H 4.43, N 8.80; found: C 56.88, H 4.12, N 5.41.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.21 (s, 3H, TsCH₃), 2.28 (d, 1H, J = 9.5 Hz, 3-H), 4.54 (d, 1H, J = 9.5 Hz, 2-H), 7.20–7.41 (m, 7Harom), 7.89 (d, 1H, J = 15.6 Hz, 10-H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 23.9, 42.9, 78.1, 125.9, 126.6, 127.5, 128.4, 129.3, 131.5, 137.9, 143.9 ppm. EIMS: m/z = 318 [M⁺].

C₁₅H₁₄N₂O₄S: calcld C 56.59, H 4.43, N 4.80; found: C 56.88, H 4.12, N 5.41.
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