ABSTRACT

Medium-resolution J-band spectroscopy of individual red supergiant stars is a promising tool to investigate the chemical composition of the young stellar population in star-forming galaxies. As a continuation of recent work on iron and titanium, detailed non-LTE (NLTE) calculations are presented to investigate the influence of NLTE on the formation of silicon lines in the J-band spectra of red supergiants. Substantial effects are found resulting in significantly stronger absorption lines of neutral silicon in NLTE. As a consequence, silicon abundances determined in NLTE are significantly smaller than in local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) with the NLTE abundance corrections varying smoothly between $-0.4$ dex and $-0.1$ dex for effective temperatures between 3400 K and 4400 K. The effects are largest at low metallicity. The physical reasons behind the NLTE effects and the consequences for extragalactic J-band abundance studies are discussed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With their enormous luminosities of $10^5$ to $\sim 10^6 L_\odot$ (Humphreys & Davidson 1979) emitted at infrared wavelengths, red supergiant stars (RSGs) are ideal probes of extragalactic cosmic abundances. The J-band spectra of RSGs are dominated by strong and isolated atomic lines of iron, titanium, silicon, and magnesium, while the molecular lines of OH, H$_2$O, CN, and CO are weak. In consequence, medium-resolution spectroscopy in this spectral range is sufficient to derive stellar parameters and chemical abundances of RSGs from these atomic lines. This has been demonstrated recently by Davies et al. (2010, hereinafter DKF10), who introduced a novel technique using MARCS model atmosphere spectra (Gustafsson et al. 2008) for the underlying atmospheric structure and diatomic line formation calculations. Section 3 presents the results: departure coefficients, line profiles and equivalent widths in LTE and NLTE and NLTE abundance corrections for chemical abundance studies. Section 4 discusses the consequences for the new J-band diagnostic technique and aspects of future work.

2. MODEL ATMOSPHERES AND NON-LTE LINE FORMATION

As in Paper I we use MARCS model atmospheres (Gustafsson et al. 2008) for the underlying atmospheric structure and...
the DETAIL NLTE code (Butler & Giddings 1985) for the calculation of NLTE occupation numbers. Line profiles and NLTE abundance corrections are computed with the separate code SIU (Reetz 1999) using the level departure coefficients from DETAIL. SIU and DETAIL share the same physics and line lists. For all details such as model structure and geometry, background opacities, solar abundance mixture, etc., we refer the reader to Paper I.

To assess the importance of NLTE effects for the J-band \( \text{Si} \) lines we use a small grid of models computed assuming a stellar mass of 15 \( M_\odot \) with five effective temperatures \( (T_{\text{eff}} = 3400, 3800, 4000, 4200, 4400 \text{ K}) \), three gravities \( (\log g = 1.0, 0.0, -0.5 \text{ (cgs)}) \), and three metallicities \( ([Z] \equiv \log Z/Z_\odot = -0.5, 0.0, +0.5) \). The microturbulence is fixed to \( \xi_t = 2 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). This grid covers the range of atmospheric parameters expected for RSGs (see DKF10 and Paper I).

### 2.1. Model Atom

Our model atom consists of the first three ionization stages of silicon. It has 289 levels of \( \text{Si} \) (mostly singlet and triplet terms and one quintet term) and 50 levels of \( \text{Si} \) (duplet and quartet terms). \( \text{Si} \) is represented by its ground state only because of the low effective temperatures of RSGs, for which \( \text{Si} \) is the dominating ionization stage in the atmospheric layers where the IR lines investigated in this study form. Fine structure splitting is taken into account for all \( \text{Si} \) levels with excitation energies smaller than 7.45 eV and all \( \text{Si} \) levels with excitation energies smaller than 6.20 eV. Most of the information on energy levels were drawn from the NIST database (Kramida et al. 2012).\(^6\) Also, 147 theoretically predicted \( \text{Si} \) levels were included from the Kurucz database.\(^7\) Atomic completeness at energies close to first ionization threshold is important for statistical equilibrium of the neutral atom.

The radiative bound–bound transitions between energy levels of \( \text{Si} \) were extracted from the Kurucz database, while the \( \text{Si} \) data have been taken from the NIST database. There is no need for a more refined model of \( \text{Si} \) since the ion is unaffected by NLTE for FGKM stars, which is also confirmed by our test calculations. In fact, on these grounds the whole \( \text{Si} \) stage could be excluded completely. Only transitions between 0.1 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 30 \( \mu \text{m} \) with \( \log g_f \)-values greater than −8 were included. In total, the model atom contains 2956 allowed transitions, 2826 for \( \text{Si} \) and 130 for \( \text{Si} \), respectively. Figure 1 shows the complete atomic model.

While Figure 1 gives an impression of the total effort made in calculating NLTE effects for the silicon atom, it is not well suited for discussing the conditions leading to the formation of the IR J-band \( \text{Si} \) lines. For this purpose, we give Figure 2, which shows only transitions from and to the upper and lower levels of these lines. Figure 3 zooms into this figure and displays the

---

\(^6\) [http://www.nist.gov/pml/data/asd.cfm](http://www.nist.gov/pml/data/asd.cfm)

\(^7\) [http://kurucz.harvard.edu/atoms/](http://kurucz.harvard.edu/atoms/)
corresponding fine structure splitting. The detailed information about the lines is given in Table 1.

Photoionization cross-sections calculated in the close coupling approximation using the R-matrix method were taken from the TOPbase database (Cunto et al. 1993) and are limited to a minimum of photon wavelengths of 1000 Å. About 20% of very high levels with excitation energy above 6 eV were missing in this database and for those the hydrogenic approximation was adopted.

Electron collisions for excitation and ionization were calculated using the approximations by van Regemorter (1962) and Seaton (1962), respectively, as also given by Cox (2000, Sections 3.6.1 and 3.6.2). Bound–bound and bound–free collision-cross-sections due to collisions with H\textsubscript{i} atoms were calculated according to the generalized formula given by Lambert (1993, A10).

2.2. Test Calculations for the Sun

As a test of our approach in modeling the formation of silicon lines we have calculated Si\textsubscript{i} and Si\textsubscript{ii} lines for the Sun and determined their NLTE silicon abundances. For the optical lines, the \( gf \) and \( C_{6} \) values were taken from Shi et al. (2008), and the microturbulence set to 1 km s\(^{-1}\). The result is \( \log A_{\text{Si}} = 7.56 \pm 0.05 \) in a very good agreement with the NLTE analysis by Shi et al. (2008) and Wedemeyer (2001). The NLTE abundance corrections for the lines in common are also fully consistent with the latter investigations: the NLTE effects in the optical Si\textsubscript{i} transitions are minor, typically within \( -0.01 \pm 0.01 \) dex, but become increasingly important for the IR lines, reaching \( -0.1 \) dex.

A comparison with the observed silicon IR \( J \)-band in the solar KPNO flux spectrum is given in Figure 4. The \( gf \)-values are that recommended by the VALD2 database, i.e., Kurucz (2007). The NLTE calculations agree well with the observations in the line cores as well as in the wings. This confirms that the atomic data used for the lines (\( gf \)-values, radiative, and collisional broadening) are reliable. The LTE line profiles are substantially weaker, showing that LTE is a poor approximation for the solar IR Si\textsubscript{i} lines and leading to 0.05–0.1 dex overestimated abundances.

Based on the solar analysis, we do not find any need for an arbitrary scaling of the cross-sections in the NLTE calculations, contrary to Shi et al. (2008). They obtain a somewhat better fit for the 12031 Å Si\textsubscript{i} line by adopting a lower efficiency of H\textsubscript{i} inelastic collisions. There could be several reasons for that. First, they used a different source of \( gf \)-values (Hartree–Fock...
data from http://nlte.nist.gov/MCHF/). Second, they could have assumed a different value of microturbulence and macroturbulence. Unfortunately, Shi et al. (2008) do not provide \xi_\parallel and V_{\text{mac}} in the paper for us to make a detailed comparison.

3. RESULTS

Given the very complex atomic structure with thousands of radiative and collisional processes contributing to the net population or depopulation of levels, a comprehensive quantitative discussion of the NLTE effects is complicated. For simplicity, we focus on the Si\emissionline I levels and transitions related to the J-band analysis of RSG stars and on the ground states of Si\emissionline I and Si\emissionline II. Figures 5 and 6 show the departure coefficients \beta_j of the corresponding levels defined as

$$b_j = n_j^{\text{NLTE}} / n_j^{\text{LTE}},$$

where \(n_j^{\text{NLTE}}\) and \(n_j^{\text{LTE}}\) are the NLTE and LTE atomic level populations [cm\(^{-3}\)], respectively.

The discussion of the NLTE effects in the lower 4s \(3\)P and upper 4p \(3\)D levels of the J-band IR transitions is straightforward. The only channel for an allowed downward radiative decay of the lower state is toward the Si\emissionline I ground state through a resonance transition. These resonance transitions (at 2506 \AA, 2514 \AA, 2515 \AA, respectively) are optically thick throughout the formation depths of the J-band lines. This means that downward electron cascades from higher levels effectively stop at 4s \(3\)P, which causes a slight overpopulation \((\beta_j > 1)\) of these levels. At the same time the upper levels 4p \(3\)D are depopulated \((\beta_j < 1)\) by spontaneous transitions. In consequence, the line source function \(S_j\) is smaller than the local Planck function \(B_j(T_e)\) because \(S_j / B_j(T_e) \sim b_j / b_i < 1\). This weakening of the line source function (the ratio of line emission to absorption coefficient), together with a slight strengthening of the line absorption coefficient through \(b_i > 1\), leads to Si\emissionline I absorption lines which are stronger in NLTE than in LTE (Figures 7 and 8). Figure 9 shows the NLTE and LTE line profiles in comparison with the observations of the Per OB1 RSG HD 14270 obtained with the IRCS spectrograph at SUBARU telescope (\(R \sim 20,000\)). The fit...
profiles have been calculated with $T_{\text{eff}} = 3800$, log $g = 1.0$, $[Z] = 0.0$, and $\xi_t = 5$ km s$^{-1}$. The NLTE effects are clearly distinguishable when compared to the observed spectrum. Therefore, one of our next steps will be to test the new NLTE models on a large sample of high-resolution spectra of Galactic RSGs.

From inspection of Figure 5 we see that for $T_{\text{eff}} = 3400$ K the departure coefficients assume values of $b_i \sim 1.15$ and $b_j \sim 0.6$ at the depths of the formation of the line cores. These values are independent of the silicon abundance (or metallicity $[Z]$). The only effect of higher abundance is that the line cores form farther out in the atmosphere, while the extreme values of the departure coefficients remain the same. In consequence, following the Eddington–Barbier relationship that the emergent flux is roughly given by the source function at optical depth 2/3 the relation between the NLTE and LTE emergent flux at the line center is $H_0^{\text{NLTE}} \sim (b_j/b_i) H_0^{\text{LTE}} \sim 0.5 H_0^{\text{LTE}}$. This is confirmed by the actual calculations of line profiles at both metallicities (Figure 7).

At $T_{\text{eff}} = 4400$ K the NLTE effects are smaller and we obtain $b_i \sim 1.1$ and $b_j \sim 0.7$, and $H_0^{\text{NLTE}} \sim 0.64 H_0^{\text{LTE}}$ (Figures 6 and 8). The reason is that at higher temperature the rate of electron collisions populating or depopulating the higher and lower levels increases, whereas the depopulation of the higher levels through spontaneous emission is temperature independent.

The increase of the absorption strengths of the Si I J-band lines in NLTE has consequences for the determination of element abundances. The importance of this effect can be assessed by introducing NLTE abundance corrections $\Delta$, where

$$\Delta = \log A(\text{Si})_{\text{NLTE}} - \log A(\text{Si})_{\text{LTE}} \quad (2)$$

is the logarithmic correction, which has to be applied to an LTE silicon abundance determination of a specific line, log $A$, to obtain the correct value corresponding to the use of NLTE line formation. We calculate these corrections at each point of our model grid for each line by matching the NLTE equivalent width by varying the Si abundance in the LTE calculations. Note that from the definition of $\Delta$ an NLTE abundance correction is negative, when for the same element abundance the NLTE line equivalent width is larger than the LTE one, because it requires a higher LTE abundance to fit the NLTE equivalent width. Figures 10 and 11 show the NLTE abundance corrections calculated in this way for the two values of microturbulence bracketing the values found in RSGs (Davies et al. 2010). It can be seen that the difference between them is small, similar to our results for the Fe I and Ti I J-band lines (Bergemann et al. 2012). Therefore, the data are given in Table 2 for $\xi = 2$ km s$^{-1}$ only.

The NLTE abundance corrections are substantial with large negative values between $-0.4$ and $-0.1$ dex. The corrections are strongest at low silicon abundance (or low metallicity $[Z]$). While as discussed above changes between the LTE and NLTE central line intensity and, thus, also changes in the absolute value of equivalent widths $W_\lambda$ are roughly independent of
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Figure 8. Same as Figure 7 but \( T_{\text{eff}} = 4400 \) K.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

abundance (see Table 3), relative changes of \( W_i \) are significantly larger at low abundance, where the lines are weaker. This in turn leads to significantly larger NLTE abundance corrections. As also discussed above, NLTE effects are weaker at higher effective temperature, which decreases the NLTE abundance corrections.

In NLTE studies, it is common to investigate the dependence of NLTE effects on inelastic collision rates with \( \text{H} \). The prescriptions we adopted for the \( \text{Si} \) model atom are described in Section 2.1 and, to the best of our knowledge, there are presently no better alternatives. While it is unlikely that we underestimate the collision strengths (Barklem et al. 2011), there is a certain degree of uncertainty with respect to their lower limit. We thus performed a series of test calculations decreasing uniformly the \( \text{H} \) cross-sections for all \( \text{Si} \) levels by a factor of two and ten, \( \delta_{\text{H}} = 0.5, 0.1 \). This scaling has a very regular effect on the NLTE corrections for different \( J \)-band \( \text{Si} \) lines. In particular, for \( \delta_{\text{H}} = 0.1 \), the NLTE abundance corrections (Table 2) change by \( \sim -0.07 \) to \(-0.15 \) dex at any \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \([Z]\). Clearly, more accurate estimates of the collision rates are desirable and, once available, will significantly improve the accuracy of the calculations.

4. \( J \)-BAND MEDIUM-RESOLUTION SPECTRAL ANALYSIS AND FUTURE WORK

In order to assess the influence of the NLTE effects on the \( J \)-band medium-resolution metallicity studies, we extend the experiment already carried out in Paper I. We calculate complete synthetic \( J \)-band spectra with MARCS model atmospheres and LTE opacities for all spectral lines, except the lines of \( \text{Si} \), \( \text{Fe} \), and \( \text{Ti} \), for which we used our NLTE calculations. We then use these synthetic spectra calculated for different metallicity with a fixed \( \log g \) and effective temperature (and with added Gaussian noise corresponding to \( S/N \) of 200) as input for the DKF10 \( \chi^2 \) analysis using MARCS model spectra calculated completely in LTE. From the metallicities recovered in this way we can estimate the possible systematic errors when relying on a complete LTE fit of RSG \( J \)-band spectra.

The results of this experiment are summarized in Figure 12 and reflect the qualitative behavior of Figure 10 except that the total metallicity corrections are smaller than the individual silicon abundance corrections. This is caused by the fact that the metallicity determination with the DKF10
Figure 10. NLTE abundance corrections as a function of effective temperature for microturbulence $\xi = 2$ km s$^{-1}$ for Si I 11984 Å (top), 11991 Å (2nd row), 12031 Å (3rd row), and 12103 Å (bottom). Left column: log $g = -0.5$, middle column: log $g = 0.0$, right column: log $g = 1.0$. Black solid: $[\text{Z}] = -0.5$, blue solid: $[\text{Z}] = 0.0$, red solid: $[\text{Z}] = +0.5$.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Figure 11. NLTE abundance corrections as a function of effective temperature for microturbulence $\xi = 5$ km s$^{-1}$ for Si I 11984 Å (top), 11991 Å (2nd row), 12031 Å (3rd row), and 12103 Å (bottom). Left column: $\log g = -0.5$, middle column: $\log g = 0.0$, right column: $\log g = 1.0$. Black solid: $[Z] = -0.5$, blue solid: $[Z] = 0.0$, red solid: $[Z] = +0.5$.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
method is dominated by the numerous Fe\textsc{i} lines, which are relatively well represented by the LTE approximation (see Paper I). However, at lower effective temperature we still find corrections between -0.15 and -0.25 dex equal or even larger than the 0.15 dex uncertainties encountered with the DKF10 technique. In consequence, the inclusion of NLTE effects on the Si\textsc{i}, Ti\textsc{i}, and Fe\textsc{i} lines will definitely improve the accuracy of future extragalactic RSG J-band abundance studies.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant AST-1108906 to R.P.K. Moreover, R.P.K.

### Table 2
Non-LTE Abundance Corrections for the Si\textsc{i} Lines (θ = 2 km s\textsuperscript{-1})

| $T_{\text{eff}}$ | log $g$ | [Z]  | $\Delta g_{\text{Si}}$ | $\Delta A_{\text{Si}}$ | $\Delta A_{\text{Si}}$ | $\Delta A_{\text{Si}}$ | $\Delta A_{\text{Si}}$ |
|---------------|---------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| (1)           | (2)     | (3)  | (4)               | (5)               | (6)               | (7)               |
| 4400.         | -0.50   | 0.50 | -0.08              | -0.12              | -0.05              | -0.13              |
| 4400.         | -0.50   | 0.00 | -0.13              | -0.18              | -0.09              | -0.18              |
| 4400.         | -0.50   | -0.50 | -0.19             | -0.24              | -0.14              | -0.24              |
| 4400.         | 0.00    | 0.50 | -0.07              | -0.10              | -0.05              | -0.12              |
| 4400.         | 0.00    | 0.00 | -0.13              | -0.18              | -0.09              | -0.19              |
| 4400.         | 0.00    | -0.50 | -0.21             | -0.26              | -0.15              | -0.26              |
| 4400.         | 1.00    | 0.50 | -0.05              | -0.08              | -0.04              | -0.09              |
| 4400.         | 1.00    | 0.00 | -0.11              | -0.16              | -0.07              | -0.17              |
| 4400.         | 1.00    | -0.50 | -0.20             | -0.27              | -0.15              | -0.27              |
| 4200.         | -0.50   | 0.50 | -0.07              | -0.10              | -0.05              | -0.12              |
| 4200.         | -0.50   | 0.00 | -0.12              | -0.17              | -0.09              | -0.18              |
| 4200.         | -0.50   | -0.50 | -0.20             | -0.25              | -0.15              | -0.24              |
| 4200.         | 0.00    | 0.50 | -0.06              | -0.10              | -0.04              | -0.11              |
| 4200.         | 0.00    | 0.00 | -0.12              | -0.18              | -0.09              | -0.19              |
| 4200.         | 0.00    | -0.50 | -0.21             | -0.27              | -0.16              | -0.27              |
| 4200.         | 1.00    | 0.50 | -0.05              | -0.08              | -0.04              | -0.10              |
| 4200.         | 1.00    | 0.00 | -0.11              | -0.16              | -0.08              | -0.17              |
| 4200.         | 1.00    | -0.50 | -0.21             | -0.27              | -0.15              | -0.27              |
| 4000.         | -0.50   | 0.50 | -0.07              | -0.11              | -0.05              | -0.13              |
| 4000.         | -0.50   | 0.00 | -0.13              | -0.18              | -0.09              | -0.19              |
| 4000.         | -0.50   | -0.50 | -0.21             | -0.26              | -0.15              | -0.26              |
| 4000.         | 0.00    | 0.50 | -0.07              | -0.11              | -0.05              | -0.12              |
| 4000.         | 0.00    | 0.00 | -0.13              | -0.18              | -0.09              | -0.20              |
| 4000.         | 0.00    | -0.50 | -0.22             | -0.28              | -0.17              | -0.28              |
| 4000.         | 1.00    | 0.50 | -0.06              | -0.09              | -0.05              | -0.11              |
| 4000.         | 1.00    | 0.00 | -0.12              | -0.17              | -0.09              | -0.18              |
| 4000.         | 1.00    | -0.50 | -0.22             | -0.28              | -0.17              | -0.27              |
| 3800.         | -0.50   | 0.50 | -0.08              | -0.12              | -0.06              | -0.14              |
| 3800.         | -0.50   | 0.00 | -0.15              | -0.20              | -0.10              | -0.22              |
| 3800.         | -0.50   | -0.50 | -0.23             | -0.28              | -0.18              | -0.28              |
| 3800.         | 1.00    | 0.50 | -0.08              | -0.12              | -0.06              | -0.13              |
| 3800.         | 1.00    | 0.00 | -0.15              | -0.20              | -0.11              | -0.21              |
| 3800.         | 1.00    | -0.50 | -0.25             | -0.30              | -0.20              | -0.27              |
| 3800.         | 0.00    | 0.50 | -0.08              | -0.12              | -0.06              | -0.14              |
| 3800.         | 0.00    | 0.00 | -0.15              | -0.21              | -0.11              | -0.23              |
| 3800.         | 0.00    | -0.50 | -0.25             | -0.31              | -0.19              | -0.29              |
| 3400.         | -0.50   | 0.50 | -0.17              | -0.24              | -0.12              | -0.26              |
| 3400.         | -0.50   | 0.00 | -0.30              | -0.36              | -0.22              | -0.35              |
| 3400.         | -0.50   | -0.50 | -0.39             | -0.41              | -0.33              | -0.37              |
| 3400.         | 0.00    | 0.50 | -0.19              | -0.25              | -0.13              | -0.27              |
| 3400.         | 0.00    | 0.00 | -0.33              | -0.38              | -0.25              | -0.37              |
| 3400.         | 0.00    | -0.50 | -0.42             | -0.43              | -0.36              | -0.37              |
| 3400.         | 1.00    | 0.50 | -0.20              | -0.24              | -0.14              | -0.25              |
| 3400.         | 1.00    | 0.00 | -0.32              | -0.35              | -0.25              | -0.31              |
| 3400.         | 1.00    | -0.50 | -0.38             | -0.36              | -0.33              | -0.34              |

---

**Figure 12.** Influence of the Si\textsc{i}, Ti\textsc{i}, and Fe\textsc{i} non-LTE effects on the DKF10 J-band $\chi^2$ metallicity determination as a function of effective temperature. The numerical experiment is described in the text. Top: log $g = -0.5$, middle: log $g = 0.0$, bottom: log $g = 1.0$. Circles: [Z] = -0.5, triangles: [Z] = 0.0, squares: [Z] = 0.5.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Table 3

| T eff | log g | [Z] | \(W_{1}\) | \(W_{2}\) | \(W_{3}\)| |\(W_{4}\)| |\(W_{5}\)| |\(W_{6}\)| |\(W_{7}\)| |\(W_{8}\)| |\(W_{9}\)| |\(W_{10}\)| |
|-------|-------|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|       |       |     | LTE    | NLTE   | LTE    | NLTE   | LTE    | NLTE   | LTE    | NLTE   | LTE    | NLTE   | LTE    | NLTE   |
| 4400  | -0.50 | 0.00| 381.3  | 402.9  | 350.5  | 373.6  | 403.6  | 422.6  | 330.6  | 351.7  | 4400  | -0.50 | 0.00  |
| 4400  | -0.50 | 0.50| 426.2  | 444.8  | 392.5  | 412.3  | 452.3  | 468.7  | 372.0  | 390.0  | 4400  | -0.50 | 0.50  |
| 4400  | -0.50 | 0.00| 340.5  | 365.3  | 309.7  | 336.4  | 362.2  | 383.6  | 389.4  | 314.0  | 4400  | -0.50 | 0.00  |
| 4400  | 0.00  | 0.00| 369.4  | 393.8  | 337.4  | 363.3  | 393.3  | 414.8  | 317.3  | 340.8  | 4400  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| 4400  | 0.00  | 0.50| 422.4  | 443.2  | 384.3  | 406.3  | 453.5  | 471.9  | 362.2  | 382.1  | 4400  | 0.00  | 0.50  |
| 4400  | 1.00  | 0.00| 348.9  | 378.3  | 311.6  | 342.3  | 379.0  | 405.0  | 289.9  | 317.2  | 4400  | 1.00  | 0.00  |
| 4400  | 1.00  | 0.50| 427.7  | 452.8  | 371.9  | 398.1  | 478.5  | 501.0  | 343.0  | 366.5  | 4400  | 1.00  | 0.50  |
| 4400  | 1.00  | 0.00| 299.5  | 334.0  | 267.6  | 303.2  | 323.6  | 354.0  | 247.9  | 278.9  | 4400  | 1.00  | 0.00  |

Note. \(^{a}\) Equivalent widths \(W_{i}\) are given in mÅ.
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