Eigen-equation of Electronic Energy in Quantum Dot
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Abstract In this paper, we present one simple model of quantum dot to describe the potential. Based on the boundary continuity of wave function and its derivative, using the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind and matrix theory, we deduced one eigen-equation of electronic energy which can clearly describe the relationship between the energy level and the surface potential in quantum dot. The further study shows that the eigen-equation of electronic energy is different when the material of quantum dot is different.

Keywords Quantum Dot, Eigen-equation, Electronic Energy

PACS 73.21.La 78.67.Hc.

1. Introduction

Semiconductor quantum dot is composed of a small number of atoms. The number of atoms is usually about a few to hundreds of atoms, and the size of the three dimensions is less than 100 nm. In the three dimensions of the quantum dot, because the motion of the carrier is limited by the size effect and the quantum effect is very significant. Because of the special energy, the quantum dot exhibits the unique physical properties, such as quantum size effect and quantum tunneling effect, et, al. it has very important significance in the research of basic physics and new electronic and optoelectronic devices. Now a large number of studies have been reported on the energy level of the quantum dot [1-13]. For example, on the base of the adiabatic approximation, the adiabatic approximation with averaging and full numerical solution, Yiming Li, Jinn-Liang Liu et al solved the three dimensional Schrödinger equation, and gave qualitative as well as quantitative trends in electronic properties with various parameters[14]. By the method of integrating directly the Schrödinger equation, Xiao-Yan Gu[15] gave the calculated energy spectra for two electrons in quantum dot given. K G Dvoyan[16] used perturbation theory and limiting potential to study the energy states of electron in ellipsoidal quantum dot. Analytical expressions for particle energy spectrum have been obtained taking into account that electron effective masses are different in medium and in quantum dot. However, few reports completely describe the relationship of energy band or band gap with the surface potential, the interior periodic potential and the structure parameters.

In this paper, we try to study the dependence of the electronic energy on the quantum surface potential and other structure parameters. Our aim is to deduce an eigen-equation theoretical for describing the relationship of electronic energy with the surface potential, the internal periodic potential and structure parameters, which will be used to calculate the electronic energy in quantum dot.

2. Theory

In this paper, one simple model is presented (shown Figure.1). In the model, there is the periodic potential of $U_0$ when $0 \leq x \leq l = 2Nb$ and the surface potential of $U_1$ when $x \leq 0, or x \geq l$.

![Figure 1. the simplified model of periodic potential in quantum dot](image)
Based on this model in Fig.1, Schrödinger equation:

\[
\left( -\frac{\hbar^2 d^2}{2md^2} + U \right) \psi(x) = E \psi(x) \tag{1}
\]

here \( U(x) \) is the potential, \( \psi(x) \) is the wave function, \( E \) is the energy, \( m \) is the electronic mass, \( \hbar \) is a Planck constant. By solving one-dimensional Schrödinger equation, we can get, respectively

\[
\psi(x) = F_0 e^{i\beta x} \quad U = U_1 \quad x \leq 0
\]

\[
\psi(x) = A_0 e^{ix} + B_0 e^{-ix} \quad U = 0 \quad 0 \leq x \leq b
\]

\[
\psi(x) = C_0 e^{i\beta x} + D_0 e^{-i\beta x} \quad U = U_0 \quad b \leq x \leq 2b
\]

\[
\psi(x) = A_1 e^{i\beta (x-2Nb)} \quad U = U_1 \quad x \geq 2Nb
\]

Here \( U_1 \) is the surface potential, \( U_0 \) is the interior periodic potential, \( \beta = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} (U_1 - E), \quad \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} E}, \beta = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} (E-U_0)} \)

and \( F_0, A_0, B_0, C_0, D_0, A_1, B_1 \) are constant, respectively.

For the above model with the atom layer of \( 2N+1 \) in Fig.1, Using the method in the paper [22], we have

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\psi(0) \\
\psi'(0)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
cosab - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinab \\
asinab \cosab
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
cosb - \frac{1}{\beta} \sinb \\
bsinb \cosb
\end{bmatrix}^N \begin{bmatrix}
cosab - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinab \\
asinab \cosab
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\psi((Nb+b)) \\
\psi'((Nb+b))
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{3}
\]

Based on the property of the transfer matrix, (3) can be changed into

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\psi(0) \\
\psi'(0)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
m_{11}U_{N-1}(x) - U_{N-2}(x) & m_{12}U_{N-1}(x) \\
m_{21}U_{N-1}(x) & m_{22}U_{N-1}(x) - U_{N-2}(x)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
cosab - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinab \\
asinab \cosab
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\psi((Nb+b)) \\
\psi'((Nb+b))
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{4}
\]

Here \( m_{11} = \cosabcob + \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinabcob, m_{12} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sinabcob - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinabcocob \)

\( m_{21} = \sinabcob + \frac{1}{\beta} \cosabcocob, m_{22} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sinabcocob + \cosabcocob \)

\[
U_N(x) = \sin \left( \frac{(N+1)\arccos \chi}{\sqrt{1-\chi^2}} \right) \quad \text{(defined as chebyshev polynomials)}
\tag{5}
\]

and \( \chi = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \cosabcob - \frac{1}{\beta} \sinabcob + \frac{1}{\beta} \sinabcocob + \cosabcocob \right] \)

By substituting both \( x = 0 \) and \( x = l = 2Nb+b \) into (2) and it’s derivative, we have, respectively,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\psi'(0) \\
\psi(0)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-1 \\
\frac{1}{\beta_0}
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{7}
\]

Thus, the substitution of (7) into (4) produces

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
-1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
m_{11}U_{N-1}(x) - U_{N-2}(x) & m_{12}U_{N-1}(x) \\
m_{21}U_{N-1}(x) & m_{22}U_{N-1}(x) - U_{N-2}(x)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
cosab - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinab \\
asinab \cosab
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{8}
\]

Now by multiplying (8) with \( [-\beta_0A_1 A_1] \), and using \( [-\beta_0A_1 A_1]A_0 = 0 \), we easily obtain

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-\beta_0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
m_{11}U_{N-1}(x) - U_{N-2}(x) & m_{12}U_{N-1}(x) \\
m_{21}U_{N-1}(x) & m_{22}U_{N-1}(x) - U_{N-2}(x)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
cosab - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinab \\
asinab \cosab
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{9}
\]
The expansion of (9) can be changed into
\[
\begin{align*}
&\{ -\beta_0 \cos ab \left( m_y U_{x,1}(x) - U_{x,2}(x) \right) \} - \beta_0 m_y U_{x,1}(x) \sin ab \\
+ &\{ m_y U_{x,1}(x) \cos b_0 \} + \beta_0 m_y U_{x,1}(x) \sin ab \\
- &\{ m_y U_{x,1}(x) \beta_0 \} + \beta_0 \{ m_y U_{x,1}(x) \cos ab \} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

After the expansion, we have
\[
\sin(\arccos \chi) \cot( N \arccos \chi) = \frac{\beta - \beta_0}{\beta_0} \cos b \sin b + \left( \frac{\alpha - \beta_0}{\beta_0} \right) \sin ab \cos b
\]

3. The Eigen Equation of Electronic Energy in Different Quantum Dot

3.1. The Eigen Equation of the Quantum Dot When \( \chi = 0 \)

When \( \chi = 0 \), from (4) and (5), we have, respectively
\[
\tan ab \beta b = \frac{2\alpha \beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}
\]

and
\[
\begin{align*}
U_{N-1}(x) &= 0 \\
U_{N-2}(x) &= 1
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( N \) is even number. The substitution of (11) and (12) into (9) produces
\[
\beta_0 \cos ab - \alpha \sin ab + \frac{\beta_0^2}{\alpha} \sin ab + \beta_0 \cos ab = 0
\]

The solution of (13) is
\[
ab = n\pi + \arctan \left( \frac{2\alpha \beta_0}{\alpha^2 - \beta_0^2} \right)
\]

In order to demonstrates directly the relation of the energy level with the surface potential, by putting
\[
\beta_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \left( U_1 - E \right)} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} E}
\]

we have
\[
\sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} E} b = n\pi + \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{E \left( U_1 - E \right)}}{2E - U_1} \right)
\]

When \( N \) is uneven number and \( \chi = 0 \), the value of (4) is, respectively,
given only when $\chi > 0$ in [17]. Especially, these relationships are simpler and clearer than the eigen equation of quantum dot in [17], which are valuable for the further study of quantum dot.

4. Conclusions

This paper demonstrates the matrix method to study the eigen equation of electronic energy in quantum dot. Using Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, we have deduced three eigen equations, which could clearly describe the relationship of electronic energy with the surface potential, the internal periodic potential and structure parameters. The method used in this paper can also be used to investigate the effect of the surface potential, the internal periodic potential and structure parameters on the electronic energy and electronic level distribution.
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