Modification of Cry4Aa toward Improved Toxin Processing in the Gut of the Pea Aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*

Michael A. Rausch, Nanasaheb P. Chougule, Benjamin R. Deist, Bryony C. Bonning

Department of Entomology, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, United States of America

¤ Current address: Evogene, St. Louis, Missouri, United States of America
¤ Current address: Bayer CropScience LP, Morrisville, North Carolina, United States of America
¤ Current address: Advanced Analytical, Ankeny, Iowa, United States of America

* bbonning@iastate.edu

Abstract

Aphids are sap-sucking insects (order: Hemiptera) that cause extensive damage to a wide range of agricultural crops. Our goal was to optimize a naturally occurring insecticidal crystalline (Cry) toxins produced by the soil-dwelling bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis* for use against the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. On the basis that activation of the Cry4Aa toxin is a rate-limiting factor contributing to the relatively low aphicidal activity of this toxin, we introduced cathepsin L and cathepsin B cleavage sites into Cry4Aa for rapid activation in the aphid gut environment. Incubation of modified Cry4Aa and aphid proteases in vitro demonstrated enhanced processing of the toxin into the active form for some of the modified constructs relative to non-modified Cry4Aa. Aphids fed artificial diet with toxin at a final concentration of 125 μg/ml showed enhanced mortality after two days for one of the four modified constructs. Although only modest toxin improvement was achieved by use of this strategy, such specific toxin modifications designed to overcome factors that limit aphid toxicity could be applied toward managing aphid populations via transgenic plant resistance.

Introduction

Aphids can cause extensive economic losses to agricultural crops, with some U.S. $1.6 billion in costs attributed to the soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* in the United States alone [1]. Yield losses occur through direct feeding, transmission of numerous plant viruses [2] and from aphid honeydew which provides a medium for fungal growth [3]. Current aphid management relies primarily on the application of chemical insecticides that may have negative environmental consequences and to which aphids can rapidly develop resistance [4, 5].

Transgenic crops incorporating insecticidal crystal toxins (Cry) isolated from the bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis* have been applied for management of other insect pests [6–11], resulting in increased yields, and decreased use of chemical insecticides [9–11]. However, exposure to Bt toxins results in little or no mortality in aphids [12–14]. Aphids (Hemiptera) use piercing-sucking mouthparts to feed on plant phloem resulting in minimal natural exposure to Bt toxins.
which are present in the soil and on leaf surfaces. Hence, there has likely been little natural selection for toxicity against Hemiptera [15].

Following ingestion, Cry toxins are solubilized and become activated by insect gut proteases [16]. The activated toxin binds to receptors on the insect gut epithelium. Conformational changes in the toxin result in insertion into the gut epithelial membrane, pore formation, and epithelial cell lysis through osmotic disruption [17–19]. In susceptible species, toxicity results in gut paralysis, reduced feeding, and extensive damage to epithelial cells, ultimately resulting in death of the insect [20–22].

Similar to some coleopteran species that are susceptible to Cry toxins, the aphid gut is mildly acidic in the stomach and neutral in the midgut and hindgut, with the major gut proteases being cysteine proteases of the cathepsin L and cathepsin B type [23, 24]. In contrast, in susceptible lepidopteran and dipteran insects, the primary gut proteases are serine proteases which are active at alkaline pH [16, 25]. Cry toxins with activity against these groups are efficiently processed in this alkaline gut environment [26, 27].

Activation of Cry4Aa prior to insect feeding results in increased activity against the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum* [28], indicating that toxin activation is a limiting step in Cry toxicity against aphids [28]. In addition it has been suggested that Cry toxins can be modified to achieve toxin activation in the gut of less susceptible insects: Insertion of a chymotrypsin G site between α-helices 3 and 4 of domain I of Cry3A, resulted in cleavage at this site by gut proteases, enhanced toxin activation and increased toxicity in the western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* [29].

Cry4Aa derived from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp *israelensis* is a member of the three-domain Cry toxin family. Cry4Aa is toxic to multiple mosquito species [16, 30], and the crystal structure has been resolved. For the three-domain Cry toxins, domain I is involved in pore formation in the insect gut [30–34]. Domain II contains residues involved in receptor binding of target insects [30, 35–37]. Domain III is also implicated in receptor binding as well as in maintenance of toxin stability [30, 37–39]. Cry4Aa is produced as a 130-kDa protoxin that is converted into protease-resistant 45 and 20-kDa fragments through a 60–65-kDa intermediate. The 45 and 20-kDa fragments are generated through intramolecular cleavage and re-associate by electrostatic interactions to form an active toxin monomer [30], hence both fragments are required for toxicity [40]. An in silico study of the active toxin monomers indicates that three monomers associate via domain I to form a trimer, with several helices in domain I forming a pore [41].

In this study, we inserted cathepsin L and B cleavage sites into Cry4Aa to test the hypothesis that these sites will facilitate activation of Cry4Aa in the aphid gut resulting in improved toxicity against the pea aphid. Activation of native and modified Cry4Aa was visualized after exposure to pea aphid proteases both in vitro and in vivo. In addition, feeding assays of native and modified toxins were conducted with pea aphids to test for improvement in toxicity. The targeted modification approach adopted for this study to overcome specific factors limiting aphid toxicity may be useful for the production of aphid resistant transgenic plants, providing an additional management tool for damaging aphid populations.

### Materials and Methods

#### Construction of Modified Cry4Aa-S1

The toxin gene *cry4Aa-S1* [42], was used for modification for enhanced cathepsin-mediated activation of Cry4Aa. The codon sequence and G+C content of *cry4Aa-S1* was modified for *E. coli* expression, without alteration of the amino acid sequence relative to wild type Cry4Aa [42]. CryAa-S1 produces the intermediate 65 kDa protein rather than the full length 130 kDa protoxin [42]. To introduce the cathepsin L and cathepsin B cleavage sites (FRR and FR,
respectively) into the cry4Aa-S1 gene, we used PCR to introduce the modified sequences, and overlap extension polymerase chain reaction (OE-PCR) to splice DNA fragments together. For the construct Cry4Aa 2A, nucleotide sequences encoding the three amino acids 'FRR' and two amino acids 'FR' were added at distinct sites in domain I of Cry4Aa-S1, after amino acid 67 and 234 respectively: the sequence introduced after amino acid 234 was immediately upstream of an arginine codon, such that FRR was also encoded at this site (Fig 1). A second construct, Cry4Aa 2S with FRR and FR replacing (rather than adding to) amino acids in Cry4Aa (I65F, D66R, S67R, and N233F, N234R) was also made. The second modification site (location 234) is the region where the 45 and 20-kDa fragments are separated in Cry4Aa. Two additional constructs (Cry4Aa 1A and Cry4Aa 1S) with added or substituted sequences encoding FR at this second site only were made, for a total of four modified constructs of Cry4Aa (Table 1).

Primers designed to add or substitute cathepsin L/B sequences (Table 2) were incubated with the cry4Aa-S1 gene in separate PCR reactions using Phusion Hot Start II DNA polymerase (Fermentas UAB, subsidiary of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). Amplified products were visualized by agarose gel and ethidium bromide staining using standard protocols, and bands of expected product size were excised and purified using a QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Limburg, Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Purified DNA fragments were quantified with a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 2000c spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Appropriate fragments to produce the addition and substitution cry4Aa-S1 modified genes were incubated together with end primers (Tables 2 and 3) encoding restriction sites for EcoR1 and BamH1, and Phusion Hot Start II DNA polymerase. Amplified products were excised and purified as described above, cloned into pGEX-2T using the

![Fig 1. Engineering of Cry4Aa with cathepsin L and B cleavage sites.](https://example.com/fig1.png)

Amino acid sequences that are recognized by cathepsin L and B proteases (FR and RR respectively) were added to Cry4Aa or replaced existing amino acids, at two locations. Two additional Cry4Aa constructs were modified at the second region only. See Table 1 for details of the modified toxins produced.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155466.g001
Native (non-modified) Cry4Aa-S1 was also cloned and transformed as described for the modified constructs. Positive clones for each modified construct were identified by colony PCR. The inserts in selected clones were sequenced by the Iowa State University DNA Facility to confirm the sequence and frame of the modified cry4Aa-S1 genes.

Expression and purification of modified Cry4Aa

Modified and wild type Cry4Aa-S1 toxins were expressed using pGEX-2T and purified as glutathione S-transferase (GST)–toxin fusion proteins using standard procedures. Expression of the GST-Cry4Aa-S1 fusions was induced with 0.06 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and incubated at 20°C with shaking (220 rpm) for 5 hours. Cry4Aa was liberated from the Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden), with 50 units of thrombin and incubation at 4°C overnight. Five 500-μl fractions of purified Cry4Aa-S1 toxin were collected and proteins visualized following separation on 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gels (SDS-PAGE), alongside samples of the cell lysate, flow through, and a wash of the column following fraction collection. Fractions with bands of the correct size were pooled and concentrated by using Amicon Ultra-0.5 Centrifugal Filter Devices with a 3-kDa cut-off (Merck Milipore Ltd., Co Cork, IRL). For mosquito and aphid bioassays, purified toxin buffer was exchanged with 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 using Amicon Ultra-0.5 Centrifugal Filter Devices (3-kDa). Protein concentration was determined using a Bradford Assay with BSA as a standard.

Table 1. Modification of Cry4Aa with cathepsin L/B-specific cleavage sites. For construct names, A is used when amino acids were added, and S when amino acids were substituted at one or two sites as indicated. Sites modified are numbered according to amino acid numbers in Cry4Aa.

| Construct | Type               | Modification          | Amino Acid Sequence |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Cry4Aa    | Wild type          | -                     | 63:TFIDSGEL         |
| Cry4Aa 2A | Addition FR and FR | Between S67 and G68;  | 231:LKNNRQ          |
|           |                    | Between N234 and R235 |                     |
| Cry4Aa 2S | Substitution FR and FR | Replaced I65F, D66R, | 1F                  |
|           |                    | S67R                  | 2F                  |
|            |                    | Replaced N233F, N234R | 3F                  |
| Cry4Aa 1A | Addition FR        | Between N234 and R235 | 4F                  |
| Cry4Aa 1S | Substitution FR    | Replaced N233F, N234R | 5F                  |

Table 2. Construction of modified Cry4Aa constructs. Primers used in OE-PCR reactions. Primers 0F and 5R contain BamH1 and Eco R1 restriction sites respectively (shown in bold) for use in cloning.

| Primer Number | Primer Sequence                                      |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 0F            | 5’TAGGATCCATGAACCGTGACAAAAACG3’                      |
| 1F            | 5’ATGGATCCATGAACCGTGACAAAAACG3’                      |
| 2F            | 5’TTTCCGAAACGTGCATTCTGTCGACTCGTGGTTCCGGCGATGCGAAC3’ |
| 3F            | 5’TGGGCACCGTGACAAAAACG3’                             |
| 4F            | 5’ACGGTGGTCTGACACCAGGCGTTGTTCCGGCGATGCGAAC3’         |
| 5F            | 5’TGAAGTGACCGTGACACCCGCGTTGTTCCGGCGATGCGAAC3’        |
| 1R            | 5’TGAAGTGACCGTGACACCCGCGTTGTTCCGGCGATGCGAAC3’        |
| 2R            | 5’ATTGTTTTCGATGCAGTCTGACAG3’                         |
| 3R            | 5’GGACGTTTCCGATGCAGTCTGACAG3’                        |
| 4R            | 5’TTCAGATAAGGCGTTGTTCCGATGCAGTCTGACAG3’              |
| 5R            | 5’TGAATTCTCACACGTGTTTCCGATGCAGTCTGACAG3’              |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155466.t001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155466.t002
Impact of modifications on Cry4Aa toxicity

Larvae of *Culex pipiens* (3 day old) were used in bioassays to address whether modifications made impacted Cry4Aa toxicity. Cry4Aa and modified toxins (5 μg/ml) were tested in 24-well culture plates, with 4 technical replicates of six larvae per well in a volume of 2 ml. To control for the potential impact of Tris buffer on larval survival, a volume of Tris buffer (10 mM, pH 7.5) equivalent to the volume of toxin added was added to control wells. Plates were incubated at 28°C with 75% humidity and an 18:6 light:dark photoperiod. Mortality of larvae was recorded every 24 h, and the assay was run for 2 days. The bioassay was replicated twice.

Trypsin digestion of Cry4Aa and modified toxins

Native Cry4Aa (Cry4Aa-S1) was digested with trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC., St. Louis, MO) at different concentrations (up to 20% w/w) and for different periods of time (up to 24 hours) at 37°C to examine cleavage of the 60 kDa intermediate protein [40]. Digestion products were examined on Coomassie blue-stained 12% SDS-PAGE gels. To confirm the identity of the 60 kDa intermediate, proteins were transferred to PVDF membrane and submitted to the Iowa State University Protein Facility for N-terminal sequencing of the 60 kDa protein band. The structural stability of modified Cry4Aa-S1 was examined by trypsin digestion (5%) of 200 ng toxin for 3 hours at 37°C. Digestion products were examined by SDS-PAGE.

Processing of modified toxins by aphid gut cathepsins under *in vitro* conditions

Pea aphids were maintained in an environmental chamber (L:D 24:0 h, 22°C, 70% RH) on fava bean (*Vicia faba*; Peaceful Valley Farm and Garden Supply, Grass Valley, CA). Aphids were placed in a dissecting well containing 50 µl of 30 mM sodium acetate pH 6.0. Ten aphid guts were dissected in a 30-minute time period, pooled and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. A total of 250 aphid guts were prepared in this way. Samples were thawed on ice and pooled. Gut tissue was homogenized in an Eppendorf tube using a pestle and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 25 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was drawn off and concentrated using Amicon Ultra-0.5 Centrifugal Filter Devices (3-kDa) and labeled as the lumen fraction. The gut pellet was resuspended in 200 µl of 30 mM sodium acetate pH 6.0 and labeled as the membrane fraction. The protein concentration of each fraction was determined by Bradford Assay using BSA as a standard. Both fractions were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

To examine the processing of the native and modified Cry4Aa-S1 toxins under *in vitro* conditions, a 5:1 ratio (gut sample protein: toxin, w/w) for the lumen and membrane gut fractions in a volume of 20 µl was used. A total of 1 µg of gut lumen or membrane sample was used for each reaction. Membrane and lumen samples were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature in the presence or absence of cysteine protease activators (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, EDTA and cysteine added to final concentrations of 3 mM). A total of 200 ng of native or modified

---

**Table 3. Construction of modified Cry4Aa constructs.** OE-PCR reactions with separate reactions indicated by square brackets.

| Cry4Aa Construct | OE-PCR Reactions |
|------------------|------------------|
| 2A               | [0F + [1F+1R] [2F+2R] [3F+5R] + 5R] |
| 2S               | [0F + [1F+3R] [4F+4R] [5F+5R] + 5R] |
| 1A               | [0F + [1F+2R] [3F+5R] + 5R] |
| 1S               | [0F + [1F+4R] [5F+5R] + 5R] |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155466.t003
Cry4Aa-S1 toxin was then added to each sample and incubated at room temperature while shaking at 250 rpm for 3 hours. Negative controls included modified toxins that were incubated in the absence of gut proteins or activators. Reactions were stopped with 5X Laemmli buffer and heated to 100°C for 5 min. Western blot visualization of processed toxin was conducted using polyclonal Cry4Aa antiserum (1:5000 dilution). This antiserum was generated in rabbits (New Zealand White) by injecting bacterially expressed Cry4Aa (Iowa State University Hybridoma Facility). The secondary antibody was HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000). Immunoreactive bands were detected by incubating the nitrocellulose membrane in Hyglo Chemiluminescent HRP detection reagent for 1 minute and exposure to X-ray film using standard procedures. Solubilized native and modified toxins (200 ng) were used as negative control samples for protease digestion. Trypsin-activated native Cry4Aa-S1 (200 ng) activated with 5% trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC., St. Louis, MO) for 3 hours at 37°C was used as a positive control.

Aphid toxicity assays

For membrane feeding assays, filter-sterilized 2X complete aphid artificial diet [43] diluted with 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 was placed on Parafilm® stretched thinly across a 3-cm cell culture plate with a 1-cm hole and covered with a second layer of Parafilm®. Native and modified Cry4Aa-S1 in 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 were mixed separately with complete aphid diet to a final concentration of 125 μg/ml. A volume of 100 μl of toxin/diet mixture was added to each feeding dish. A total of 15 second-instar pea aphids were transferred to each plate and incubated at 22°C. Aphid mortality was scored every 24 hours for 4 days. Complete aphid diet diluted 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 was included as a negative control and complete diet with trypsin-activated Cry4Aa-S1 toxin (prepared as described above) was included as a positive control. Trypsin was removed following toxin activation using benzamidine sepharose beads (GE Healthcare Bio Sciences, AB, Sweden) prior to use in feeding assays. Three replicate feeding assays were conducted for each control and treatment group, except for the trypsin control (two replicates). A binomial comparison was used for analysis of feeding assay data. Calculated z-scores, representing the number of standard deviations above or below the mean were used to generate p-values for each comparison. Generated p-values from the binomial comparison analysis were used in a multiple comparison analysis. For multiple comparisons a Bonferroni adjustment was used to calculate the revised threshold (0.05 threshold divided by 21 comparisons) of p<0.002 to indicate significant differences.

Impact of modified toxins on pea aphid gut

Second instar pea aphids were fed on a single concentration (125μg/ml) of native Cry4Aa, modified Cry4Aa that showed increased toxicity, or 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 in complete artificial diet. Aphid feeding dishes and diet were set up as described for aphid toxicity assays. A total of 12 aphids per treatment were allowed to feed for 24 and 48 hours at 22°C. Following feeding the head, legs, and cornicles were removed and were immediately fixed in 2% v/v paraformaldehyde, 2.5% v/v glutaraldehyde, and 0.05 M cacodylate. Fixed aphids were submitted to the ISU Microscopy and NanoImaging Facility for examination of midguts by light microscopy to detect disruption of aphid gut tissue following feeding on Cry4Aa toxins.

Processing of modified toxins by aphid gut cathepsins under in vivo conditions

Protocols for these experiments were based on those of Li et al. [27]. Native Cry4Aa-S1 and modified Cry4Aa toxins with increased aphidicidal activity were fed to aphids at a concentration of 300 ng/μl in complete artificial diet, with 2 μl blue food coloring per 100 μl of diet. Nine
feeding dishes were set up for each treatment as described above with 20 third-instar larvae transferred to each plate and incubated at 22°C overnight. A total of 60 aphid guts showing blue coloration were excised in 20 μl 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 for the native- and modified- toxin-fed groups, and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Aphid guts were thawed on ice, homogenized with a pestle and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 25 minutes at 4°C. Lumen and membrane fractions were isolated and western blot visualization of 60 aphid guts were conducted as described above.

**Results**

**Synthesis, expression and toxicity of modified Cry4Aa toxins**

Sequencing verified that positive clones contained the correct sequences in the correct reading frame for all constructs. Native Cry4Aa toxins were induced and purified as described above, resulting in purified protein of the expected size that reacts positively with Cry4Aa antiserum in western blot. All modified toxins retained toxicity relative to Cry4Aa in mosquito bioassays with *C. pipiens* larvae. Mortality of 87 to 100% was seen for all toxins by day 2 of the bioassay, with 12% mortality in the buffer control treatment (data not shown). On exhaustive trypsin digestion (20% trypsin with an 18 hour incubation period) of native Cry4Aa, depletion of the 60 kDa intermediate band was observed (Fig 2A). N-terminal sequencing confirmed that the 60 kDa protein was the Cry4Aa intermediate protein. A 36 amino acid N-terminal sequence was cleaved from the 65 kDa toxin leaving an N-terminal amino acid sequence of the 60 kDa protein of QLLQSTNYKD. Purified modified Cry4Aa constructs appeared as two prominent bands at 60 and 65 -kDa in polyacrylamide gels, both of which were detected by western blot (Fig 2B). Examination of undigested native Cry4Aa in Fig 2A indicates that two bands are present in the undigested toxin sample. Trypsin activation of the modified Cry4Aa toxins resulted in processing with the expected 45 band (but not the 20 kDa band) visible on the western blot (Fig 2B). The native Cry4Aa trypsin digestion blot in Fig 2B was over-exposed to show the 20 kDa protein. Additional degradation products between the 20 and 45 kDa bands were also evident on overexposure of this film, as noted previously [44].

**In vitro impacts of pea aphid gut proteases on modified and native Cry4Aa toxins**

Incubation of negative controls (modified toxins incubated without aphid gut proteases or EDTA and cysteine) resulted in the 60- and 65-kDa bands with no activation to the 45 and 20 kDa proteins observed (Fig 3), except for Cry4Aa 1A which showed a faint 45-kDa band. Exposure of native Cry4Aa toxins to pea aphid lumen gut proteases in the presence of protease activators resulted in partial activation as indicated by the presence of the 45-kDa band. The absence of activators resulted in decreased activation as only a faint 45-kDa band was observed. In contrast the processing of all four modified Cry4Aa constructs by pea aphid lumen gut proteases in the presence of protease activators was enhanced as indicated by a prominent 45-kDa band of similar intensity to the positive control (Cry4Aa digested with 5% trypsin). Cry4Aa 2S showed a similar level of activation to that of the native Cry4Aa. Toxin processing was decreased in the absence of protease activators for all modified toxins except for Cry4Aa 1A which showed a prominent 45-kDa band. The 45-kDa toxin band was barely detectable on exposure of native or modified Cry4Aa toxins to pea aphid membrane gut proteases in the presence of protease activators, indicating over-activation and degradation of the 45-kDa band. Exposure to membrane proteases in the absence of activators revealed several protein bands in addition to the 45-kDa band.
Aphid toxicity assays

When making multiple comparisons, a Bonferroni adjustment was used to determine a revised threshold: In the following analyses \( p < 0.002 \) indicate significant differences between treatments. Low mortality was observed in the Tris (15.6%) and native Cry4Aa (17.8%) controls after two days of feeding (Table 4), in line with previous research that showed that Cry4Aa exhibits low toxicity against pea aphids (Fig 4)[28]. Pea aphids fed on trypsin-activated Cry4Aa showed significantly increased mortality after one day of feeding (21%) relative to Tris (z-score = -3.19, \( p = 0.0014 \)) but was not greater than that for aphids fed native Cry4Aa (z-score = -2.77, \( p = 0.006 \)). In contrast trypsin-activated native Cry4Aa showed significantly increased toxicity against the pea aphid after two days of feeding (63%) relative to the Tris (z-score = -4.26, \( p = 0.00002 \)) and native Cry4Aa treatments (z-score = -4.03, \( p = 0.0001 \)). Among the modified Cry4Aa toxins, aphids fed Cry4Aa 2A showed similar mortality when compared to Tris (z-score = -2.46, \( p = 0.014 \)) and native Cry4Aa (z-score = -2.01, \( p = 0.044 \)) after one day of feeding. However, after two days of feeding, aphids in the Cry4Aa 2A treatment showed...
significantly increased toxicity (51%) relative to Tris (z-score = -3.58, p = 0.0003), and to native Cry4Aa (z-score = -3.33, p = 0.0009) and was similar to aphid mortality in the trypsin-activated Cry4Aa treatment (z-score = 1.04, p = 0.3) (Fig 4). Mortality in the Cry4Aa 1S treatment was not significantly different from mortality in the native Cry4Aa after one or two days of feeding.

**Fig 3. In vitro activation of modified Cry4Aa by aphid gut proteases.** Toxins were incubated with the lumen or membrane extracts from the pea aphid gut and hydrolyzed products detected by western blot using Cry4Aa antibodies. Toxin activation profiles were generated in the presence or absence of cathepsin activators (EDTA and cysteine, 3 mM) as indicated. Native Cry4Aa with or without digestion with 5% (w/w) trypsin, and modified Cry4Aa not exposed to proteases or activators were included as controls. Native; native Cry4Aa. Trypsin; native Cry4Aa exposed to 5% w/w trypsin, positive control. Boxes show the faint 20 kDa bands seen on trypsin digestion of native Cry4Aa, and comparison of 45 kDa band lumen fraction degradation products of native Cry4Aa and Cry4Aa 2A toxins.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155466.g003

**Table 4. Aphid mortality at 24 and 48 hours after exposure to modified Cry4Aa.** Average % daily mortality during 48 hour feeding assay (% average mortality, SE: standard error).

| Treatment                | % Mortality | SE  | Day 1 | % Mortality | SE  |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----|-------|-------------|-----|
| Tris                     | 2.2         | 2.2 | 15.6  | 4.4         |
| Native                   | 4.4         | 2.2 | 17.8  | 4.4         |
| Trypsin-activated native | 26.7        | 16.3| 63.3  | 24.5        |
| Cry4Aa 2A                | 17.8        | 5.9 | 51.1  | 2.2         |
| Cry4Aa 2S                | 11.1        | 2.2 | 17.8  | 4.4         |
| Cry4Aa 1A                | 8.9         | 2.2 | 22.2  | 2.2         |
| Cry4Aa 1S                | 17.8        | 2.2 | 31.1  | 4.4         |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155466.t004
(day one: z-score = -2.0, p = 0.004; day two: z-score = -1.47, p = 0.14) or trypsin-activated Cry4Aa treatments (day one: z-score = 0.92, p = 0.35; day two: z-score = 2.74, p = 0.006).

Mortality in the Cry4Aa 2S treatment was not significantly different from the Tris (day 1: z-score = -1.7, p = 0.09; day two: z-score = -0.28, p = 0.78) and native Cry4Aa treatments (day 1: z-score = -1.2, p = 0.24; day two: z-score = 0, p = 1). Mortality in the Cry4Aa 1A treatment also was not significantly different from the Tris (day one: z-score = -1.4, p = 0.17; day two: z-score = -0.81, p = 0.42) and native Cry4Aa treatments (day one: z-score = -0.85, p = 0.4); day two: z-score = -0.53, p = 0.60).

Consistent with the bioassay results, light microscopy revealed moderate and severe damage to the pea aphid gut epithelium following 24 h exposure to native Cry4Aa and to Cry4Aa 2A respectively (Fig 5). Epithelial cells were swollen and highly vacuolated in the two toxin treatments, with the gut lumen significantly reduced in the Cry4Aa 2A treatment.

**Modified toxin processing in the pea aphid gut**

Native Cry4Aa in complete aphid diet remained stable with a predominant 65 kDa band, indicating that toxin processing did not occur in aphid diet during the feeding assay (Fig 6). Native Cry4Aa solubilized in 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 resulted in appearance of a faint 50-kDa band. Trypsin activation of native Cry4Aa resulted in a prominent 45-kDa band and a faint 20 kDa band (Fig 6).

Gut lumen and membrane fractions prepared from 60 aphids fed native Cry4Aa resulted in digestion of the native Cry4Aa and modified toxins with strong 60 kDa bands apparent in all cases. In contrast to the native Cry4Aa, the 45 kDa protein band resulting from digestion of the modified toxins was more difficult to see on the blot (Fig 6), suggesting that less in vivo processing of the modified toxins occurred compared to the native Cry4Aa in either the membrane.

**Fig 5. Impact of modified Cry4Aa on aphid survival.** Pea aphid mortality (%) after one and two days of feeding on Tris buffer, pH 7.5, native Cry4Aa, native trypsin-activated Cry4Aa, and modified Cry4Aa toxins is shown (mean ± SE). Mortality from treatments with different letters on the same day are significantly different (Bonferroni adjustment, p < 0.002 is significantly different) by binomial comparisons, with letters N for day 1 and N' for day 2.

**Fig 6. Enhanced Toxicity of Cry4Aa against the Pea Aphid.** Pea aphid mortality (%) after one and two days of feeding on Tris buffer, pH 7.5, native Cry4Aa, native trypsin-activated Cry4Aa, and modified Cry4Aa toxins is shown (mean ± SE). Mortality from treatments with different letters on the same day are significantly different (Bonferroni adjustment, p < 0.002 is significantly different) by binomial comparisons, with letters N for day 1 and N’ for day 2.
or the lumen gut protease fractions. The 20 kDa toxin cleavage product was not visible on these western blots.

Discussion

We introduced cathepsin L and B sites into Cry4Aa-S1 to improve toxin processing within the aphid gut environment. Modified toxins exposed to pea aphid gut lumen proteases, in the presence of protease activators, resulted in increased activation relative to the native Cry4Aa, demonstrating that insertion of cathepsin cleavage sites can be used to facilitate activation in vitro (Fig 3). Increased toxin activation in the lumen fraction in vitro was observed for all constructs except Cry4Aa 2S. The substitution of amino acids at two sites in Cry4Aa 2S may have interfered with proteolytic cleavage by altering toxin folding, or by interfering with protease accessibility to the cleavage site.

Exposure of native and modified toxin in vitro to membrane proteases in the presence of activators did not produce a prominent 45-kDa band. The highest molecular mass toxin band (65 kDa) appears weaker, suggesting degradation of both this and the 45-kDa band. In the absence of activators, protease exposure resulted in non-specific cleavage, as indicated by multiple bands as well as the 45-kDa band (Fig 3). In the aphid gut the majority of proteases are membrane–associated [27]. Although the major proteases present in the aphid gut are cathepsin L and B, other types of protease may be involved with toxin processing. The data shown in Fig 3 suggest that Cry4Aa toxins exposed to membrane proteases are over-digested in the presence of activators (with the exception of the protease-resistant 60 kDa band, see Fig 2A), and hence the lack of the 45-kDa band. In the absence of activators the activity of the membrane proteases is sufficient to cause partial toxin degradation resulting in multiple toxin bands.

Pea aphids experienced increased mortality on day 2 of the bioassay, compared to controls, when exposed to Cry4Aa 2A and trypsin-activated Cry4Aa (Fig 4). The increased toxicity of trypsin activated Cry4Aa against the pea aphid is consistent with the results of Porcar et al. [28] and consistent with the fact that toxin activation by insect proteases is crucial for toxicity [16, 45, 46]. The enhanced processing of Cry4Aa 2A when incubated with lumen proteases in vitro, fits with improved toxicity of this construct in bioassays and with toxin activation being crucial for toxicity.

Notably, the other modified Cry4Aa constructs, which also showed increased activation in vitro, did not result in enhanced toxicity relative to native Cry4Aa in aphid bioassays. The
addition of amino acids, as opposed to substitution of amino acids during modification, would retain all residues which may be crucial for correct folding, toxin association with the insect membrane, and for pore formation. In contrast, substitution constructs lack amino acids that are present in the native toxin that may affect activation. Maintenance of toxicity of all modified constructs to larvae of *C. pipiens* indicates that loss of these residues did not interfere with downstream events that are necessary for toxicity.

The feasibility of enhancing toxin activation in less susceptible insect species by introducing sites at the appropriate regions in the toxin has been demonstrated previously [29]. In addition to demonstrating increased toxicity of the modified toxin against western corn rootworm neonates, proteolytic activation also facilitated increased specific binding to western corn
rootworm brush border membrane vesicle (BBMV). Walters et al. [29] concluded that the enhanced toxicity was due to the introduction of cleavage sites, which increased activation and subsequent binding to midgut cells. The results from our study on the pea aphid are much less dramatic. By exploiting the major proteases utilized in the aphid gut (cathepsin L and B) and modifying a Cry toxin that, when activated, is toxic against pea aphids we found enhancement of toxin activation \textit{in vitro} (in pea aphid lumen proteases). However, slightly increased toxicity was only observed for one of the modified Cry4Aa constructs, Cry4Aa 2A during in vivo bioassays.

Consistent with the increased aphid toxicity observed for Cry4Aa 2A processing of the toxin was enhanced by lumen proteases relative to processing of native Cry4Aa under \textit{in vitro} conditions with a stronger 45 kDa band apparent (see boxed 45 kDa bands for lumen fraction, Fig 3). The digestion profiles for native Cry4Aa and Cry4Aa 2A on exposure to membrane proteases however were similar. In contrast, Cry4Aa 2A showed only a faint 45 -kDa band \textit{in vivo} in the lumen and membrane fractions of dissected aphid guts, that was less intense than that of the native toxin, suggesting that native Cry4Aa was activated to a greater extent than Cry4Aa 2A. The explanation for this result, which is inconsistent with the \textit{in vitro} data, is unclear.

Modifications made to Cry toxins for enhanced efficacy may interfere with toxin activation either by conformational changes reducing protease accessibility to the cleavage site, or by adjoining residues interfering with protease binding. Protease activity can be influenced not only by the target amino acids but also by adjacent residues. In trypsin proteases the substrate binding site is deep and narrow, with a negatively charged aspartate at the bottom. Cleavage can only occur with amino acids that have long side chains and are positively charged; only arginine and lysine are appropriate for this site [47]. Adjoining residues have the potential to interfere with these stringent requirements and limit protease cleavage. The residues adjoining the inserted cathepsin L/B cleavage sites may also be involved with limiting protease access and result in limiting activation. This scenario seems unlikely for Cry4Aa 2A given the enhanced \textit{in vitro} activation and increased toxicity observed in bioassays.

Among our \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} experiments we did observe some activation of the native Cry4Aa which is surprising given that cathepsin L and B constitute the major proteases in the aphid gut [23]. There are no other FR cathepsin L cleavage sites in Cry4Aa, while there are two RR sequences (R355 -R356, and R481 -R482) that could be cleaved by cathepsin B. Trypsin-like protease sequences are present in the pea aphid genome and trypsin-like mRNAs have been detected, but it is unknown if these proteases are expressed in the gut [48]. Trypsin-like protease activity was not detected in the pea aphid gut in a previous study [23].

**Conclusions**

Previous research has been focused on Cry toxin activation, with susceptible insects cleaving the toxin at specific sites and activation being a precursor to toxicity [16, 46, 49]. Insects that are less susceptible to Cry toxins often lack the proteases required for activation or do not achieve sufficient activation for toxicity [27]. Toxin activation prior to feeding can result in toxicity in these insects [28], suggesting that activation is a rate-limiting step in some less susceptible insects. Our hypothesis that Cry4Aa modified with cathepsin L and B cleavage sites will result in toxin activation was only partially supported by \textit{in vitro} exposure of modified Cry4Aa to aphid gut proteases. However, incorporation of cathepsin cleavage sites was associated with increased toxicity for Cry4Aa 2A. Additional modification of Cry4Aa, such as removal of potential sites involved in degradation or addition of peptides for improved binding to the gut [50], may be required to reach levels of toxicity appropriate for use of modified Cry4Aa in transgenic plants. Expanding the currently used Bt transgenic technology to include toxins active against aphids would facilitate environmentally benign management of these pests.
Acknowledgments
The authors thank the following individuals for their assistance with this project: Teresa Fernandez-Luna who assisted with numerous aphid gut dissections and Adam Martin-Schwarze, ISU Department of Statistics for advice on data analysis.

Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: NPC BCB. Performed the experiments: MAR BRD. Analyzed the data: MAR BCB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: BRD. Wrote the paper: MAR BCB.

References
1. Kim CS, Schaible G, Garrett L, Lubowski R, Lee D. Economic impacts of the U.S. soybean aphid infestation: a multi-regional competitive dynamic analysis. Agr Resource Econ Rev. 2008; 37(2):227–42.
2. Ng JCK, Perry KL. Transmission of plant viruses by aphid vectors. Mol Plant Path. 2004 Sep; 5(5):505–11.
3. Rabbinge R, Vereyen PH. The effect of diseases or pests upon the host. J Plant Dis Protect. 1980; 87(7):409–22.
4. Bhatia V, Bhattacharya R, Uniyal PL, Singh R, Niranjan RS. Host generated siRNAs attenuate expression of serine protease gene in Myzus persicae. Plos One. 2012 Oct; 7(10):9.
5. Javed N, Viner R, Williamson MS, Field LM, Devonshire AL, Moores GD. Characterization of acetylcholinesterases, and their genes, from the hemipteran species Myzus persicae (Sulzer), Aphis gossypii (Glover), Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) and Trialeurodes vaporariorum (Westwood). Insect Mol Biol. 2003 Dec; 12(6):613–20. PMID: 14986922
6. Carriere Y, Ellers-Kirk C, Sisterson M, Antilla L, Whitlow M, Dennehy TJ, et al. Long-term regional suppression of pink bollworm by Bacillus thuringiensis cotton. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003; 100(4):1519–23. PMID: 12571355
7. Huang JK, Hu RF, Rozelle S, Pray C. Insect-resistant GM rice in farmers’ fields: Assessing productivity and health effects in China. Science. 2005; 308(5722):688–90. PMID: 15860626
8. Cattaneo MG, Yafuso C, Schmidt C, Huang CY, Rahman M, Olson C, et al. Farm-scale evaluation of the impacts of transgenic cotton on biodiversity, pesticide use, and yield. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006; 103(20):7571–6. PMID: 16675554
9. Wu KM, Lu YH, Feng HQ, Jiang YY, Zhao JZ. Suppression of cotton bollworm in multiple crops in china in areas with Bt toxin-containing cotton. Science. 2008; 321(5896):1676–8. doi: 10.1126/science.1160550 PMID: 18801998
10. Hutchison WD. Areawide suppression of European corn borer with Bt maize reaps savings to non-Bt maize growers: a new rationale for Bt maize adoption in Europe? In: Romeis J, Meissle M, Alvarez-Alfageme F, editors. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin. 73. Dijon, France: International Organization for Biological and Integrated Control of Noxious Animals and Plants (OIBC/OILB), West Palaeartic Regional Section (WPRS/SROP); 2012.
11. James C. Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops: 2010. ISAAA Briefs. 2010 (42):vii + 280 pp.
12. Aimanova KG, Zhuang MB, Gill SS. Expression of Cry1Ac cadherin receptors in insect midgut and cell lines. J Invertebr Pathol. 2006; 92(3):178–87. PMID: 16797582
13. Park Y, Abdullah MAF, Taylor MD, Rahman K, Adang M J. Enhancement of Bacillus thuringiensis Cry3Aa and Cry3Bb toxicities to coleopteran larvae by a toxin-binding fragment of an insect cadherin. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009; 75(10):3086–92. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00268-09 PMID: 19329664
14. Poncet S, Delecusse A, Klier A, Rapoport G. Evaluation of synergistic interactions among the Cryiva, Cryivb, and Cryivd toxic components of Bacillus-thuringiensis subsp israelensis crystals. J Invertebr Pathol. 1995; 66(2):131–5.
15. Chougule NP, Bonning BC. Toxins for transgenic resistance to hemipteran pests. Toxins (Basel). 2012; 4(6):405–29.
16. Schnepf E, Crickmore N, Van Rie J, Lereclus D, Baum J, Feitelson J, et al. Bacillus thuringiensis and its pesticidal crystal proteins. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1998; 62(3):775–806. PMID: 9729609
17. Brunet JF, Vachon V, Juteau M, Van Rie J, Larouche G, Vincent C, et al. Pore-forming properties of the Bacillus thuringiensis toxin Cry9Ca in Manduca sexta brush border membrane vesicles. Biochim Biophys Acta-Biomembranes. 2010; 1798(6):1111–8.

18. Kirouac M, Vachon V, Quevy D, Schwartz JL, Laprade R. Protease inhibitors fail to prevent pore formation by the activated Bacillus thuringiensis toxin Cry1Aa in insect brush border membrane vesicles. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006; 72(1):506–15. PMID: 16391085

19. Fortier M, Vachon V, Frutos R, Schwartz JL, Laprade R. Effect of insect larval midgut proteases on the activity of Bacillus thuringiensis cry toxins. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007 Oct; 73(19):6208–13. PMID: 17939568

20. Vachon V, Laprade R, Schwartz JL. Current models of the mode of action of Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal crystal proteins: A critical review. J Invertebr Pathol 2012; 111(1):1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2012.05.001 PMID: 22617276

21. Carroll J, Ellar DJ. An analysis of Bacillus thuringiensis delta-endotoxin action on insect midgut-membrane permeability using a light scattering assay. Eur J Biochem. 1993; 214(3):771–8. PMID: 8319686

22. Knowles BH, Ellar DJ. Colloid-osmotic lysis is a general feature of the mechanism of action of Bacillus thuringiensis delta-endotoxins with different insect specificity. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1987; 924(3):509–18.

23. Carrillo L, Martinez M, Alvarez-Alfageme F, Castanera P, Smagghe G, Diaz I, et al. A barley cysteine-proteinase inhibitor reduces the performance of two aphid species in artificial diets and transgenic Arabidopsis plants. Transgenic Res. 2011; 20(2):305–19. doi: 10.1007/s11248-010-9417-2 PMID: 20567901

24. Cristofoletti PT, Ribeiro AF, Deraison C, Rahbe Y, Terra WR. Midgut adaptation and digestive enzyme distribution in a phloem feeding insect, the pea aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum. J Insect Physiol. 2003; 49 (1):11–24. PMID: 12770012

25. Borovsky D. Biosynthesis and control of mosquito gut proteases. IUBMB Life. 2003; 55(8):435–41. PMID: 14609198

26. Koller CN, Bauer LS, Hollingworth RM. Characterization of the pH-mediated solubility of Bacillus thuringiensis var San Diego native delta-endotoxin crystals. Biochem Biophys Res Comm. 1992; 184 (2):692–9. PMID: 1315528

27. Li HR, Chougule NP, Bonning BC. Interaction of the Bacillus thuringiensis delta endotoxins Cry1Ac and Cry3Aa with the gut of the pea aphid, Acyrthosiphon pisum (Harris). J Invertebr Pathol. 2011 May; 107 (1):69–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2011.02.001 PMID: 21300068

28. Porcar M, Grenier AM, Federici B, Rahbe Y. Effects of Bacillus thuringiensis delta-endotoxins on the pea aphid (Acyrthosiphon pisum). Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009; 75(14):4897–900. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00866-09 PMID: 19447954

29. Walters FS, Stacy CM, Lee MK, Palekar N, Chen JS. An engineered chymotrypsin/cathepsin site in domain I renders Bacillus thuringiensis Cry3Aa active against western corn rootworm larvae. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008; 74(2):367–74. PMID: 18024675

30. Boonserm P, Mo M, Angsuthanasombat C, Lescar J. Structure of the functional form of the mosquito larvicidal Cry4Aa toxin from Bacillus thuringiensis at a 2.8-angstrom resolution. J Bacteriol. 2006; 188 (9):3391–401. PMID: 16621834

31. Gazit E, La Rocca P, Sansom MSP, Shai Y. The structure and organization within the membrane of the helices composing the pore-forming domain of Bacillus thuringiensis delta-endotoxin are consistent with an "umbrella-like" structure of the pore. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998; 95(21):12289–94. PMID: 9770479

32. Puntheeranurak T, Uawithya P, Potvin L, Angsuthanasombat C, Schwartz JL. Ion channels formed in planar lipid bilayers by the dipteran-specific Cry4B Bacillus thuringiensis toxin and its alpha 1-alpha 5 fragment. Mol Membr Biol. 2004; 21(1):67–74. PMID: 14668140

33. Vontersch MA, Slatin SL, Kulesza CA, English LH. Membrane permeabilizing activities of Bacillus thuringiensis coleopteran-active toxin CryIIIA2 domain I peptide. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1994; 60 (10):3711–7. PMID: 7527203

34. Walters FS, Slatin SL, Kulesza CA, English LH. Ion channel activity of N-terminal fragments from Cry1A(c) delta-endotoxin. Biochim Biophys Res Comm. 1993; 196(2):921–6. PMID: 7694582

35. Ballester V, Granero F, de Maagd RA, Bosch D, Mensua JL, Ferre J. Role of Bacillus thuringiensis toxin domains in toxicity and receptor binding in the diamondback moth. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1999; 65 (5):1900–3. PMID: 10223976

36. Jurat-Fuentes JL, Adang MJ. Importance of Cry1 delta-endotoxin domain II loops for binding specificity in Heliothis virescens (L.). Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001; 67(1):323–9. PMID: 11133462
37. Masson L, Tabashnik BE, Mazza A, Prefontaine G, Potvin L, Brousseau R, et al. Mutagenic analysis of a conserved region of domain III in the Cry1Ac toxin of Bacillus thuringiensis. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2002; 68(1):194–200. PMID:11772627

38. Burton SL, Ellar DJ, Li J, Derbyshire DJ. N-acetylgalactosamine on the putative insect receptor amino-peptidase N is recognised by a site on the domain III lectin-like fold of a Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal toxin. J Mol Biol. 1999; 287(5):1011–22. PMID: 10222207

39. de Maagd RA, Bakker P, Staykov N, Dukiandjiev S, Stiekema W, Bosch D. Identification of Bacillus thuringiensis delta-endotoxin Cry1C domain III amino acid residues involved in insect specificity. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1999; 65(10):4369–74. PMID: 10508062

40. Yamagiwa M, Esaki M, Otake K, Inagaki M, Komano T, Amachi T, et al. Activation process of dipteran-specific insecticidal protein produced by Bacillus thuringiensis subspp israelensis. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1999; 65(8):3464–9. PMID: 10427035

41. Taveecharoenkool T, Angsuthanasombat C, Kanchanawarin C. Combined molecular dynamics and continuum solvent studies of the pre-pore Cry4Aa trimer suggest its stability in solution and how it may form pore. PMC Biophys. 2010 2010; 3(1):10. doi:10.1186/1757-5036-3-10 PMID: 20465833

42. Hayakawa T, Howlader MTH, Yamagiwa M, Sakai H. Design and construction of a synthetic Bacillus thuringiensis Cry4Aa gene: Hyperexpression in Escherichia coli. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2008; 80 (6):1033–7. doi: 10.1007/s00253-008-1560-9 PMID: 18751699

43. Febvay G, Delobel B, Rahbe Y. Influence of the amino-acid balance on the improvement of an artificial diet for a biotype of Acyrthosiphon pisum (Homoptera, Aphididae). Can J Zool. 1988; 66(11):2449–53.

44. Howlader MTH, Kagawa Y, Miyakawa A, Yamamoto A, Taniguchi T, Hayakawa T, et al. Alanine scanning analyses of the three major loops in domain II of Bacillus thuringiensis mosquitocidal toxin Cry4Aa. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010; 76(3):860–5. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02175-09 PMID: 19948851

45. Oppert B. Protease interactions with Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal toxins. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol. 1999; 42(1):1–12. PMID: 10467052

46. Rukmini V, Reddy CY, Venkateswerlu G. Bacillus thuringiensis crystal delta-endotoxin: Role of proteases in the conversion of protoxin to toxin. Biochimie. 2000; 82(2):109–16. PMID: 10727765

47. Olsen JV, Ong SE, Mann M. Trypsin cleaves exclusively C-terminal to arginine and lysine residues. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2004; 3(6):608–14. PMID: 15034119

48. Richards S, Gibbs RA, Gerardo NM, Moran N, Nakabachi A, Stem D, et al. Genome sequence of the pea aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum. Plos Biol. 2010; 8(2):24. P

49. Choma CT, Surewicz WK, Carey PR, Pozsgay M, Raynor T, Kaplan H. Unusual proteolysis of the protoxin and toxin from Bacillus thuringiensis-structural implications. Eur J Biochem. 1990; 189(3):523–7. PMID: 2190826

50. Chougule NP, Li HR, Liu SJ, Linz LB, Narva KE, Meade T, et al. Retargeting of the Bacillus thuringiensis toxin Cyt2Aa against hemipteran insect pests. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013; 110(21):8465–70. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1222144110 PMID: 23650347