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Synthesis, spectroscopic, and computational studies on molecular charge-transfer complex of 2-((2-hydroxybenzylidene) amino)-2-(hydroxymethyl) propane-1, 3-diol with chloranilic acid: Potential antiviral activity simulation of CT-complex against SARS-CoV-2
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1. Introduction

Schiff’s bases are important for the production of special chemicals like bioactive heterocycles, pharmaceuticals, rubber additives, and amino protective groups in organic synthesis. Schiff’s bases are of great importance in analytical, liquid crystals, polymer chemistry, dyes, pigments, catalysts, and intermediates in organic synthesis, and polymer stabilizers [1–4]. They have a wide range of biological activities, including antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral properties [5,6].

Another remarkable compound is chloranilic acid (CLA), a versatile class of organic compounds known as benzoquinones. CLA has a specific electronic structure and properties responsible for its widely used in synthesizing charge transfer complexes [7]. CT interaction between electron donors containing oxygen, nitrogen, or sulfur atoms and electron acceptors, such as benzoquinones, is important for many physical properties of materials, including electrical, conductivity, magnetic, and optical properties [8–10]. Also, it plays a significant role in various fields as the drug receptors binding mechanism, solar energy storage, surface chemistry, and many biological systems [11–13]. Many charge transfer receptors have been discussed in terms of the hydrogen bonding approach [14].

Although the comprehensive studies carried out on charge transfer complexes between nitrogenated compounds and electron acceptors, there still many compounds whose charge transfer complexes have not been reported yet.
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Abstract

An innovative charge-transfer complex between the Schiff base 2-((2-hydroxybenzylidene) amino)-2-(hydroxymethyl) propane-1,3-diol [SAL-THAM] and the π-acceptor, chloranilic acid (CLA) within the molar ratio (1:1) was synthesized and characterized aiming to investigate its electronic transition spectra in acetonitrile (ACN), methanol (MeOH) and ethanol (EtOH) solutions. Applying Job's method in the three solvents supported the 1:1 (CLA: SAL-THAM) mole ratio complex formation. The formation of stable CT-complex was shown by the highest values of charge-transfer complex formation constants, KCT, calculated using minimum-maximum absorbance method, with the sequence, acetonitrile > ethanol > methanol.

DFT study on the synthesized CT complex was applied based on the B3LYP method to evaluate the optimized structure and extract geometrical and reactivity parameters. Based on TD-DFT theory, the electronic properties, 1H and 13C NMR, IR, and UV-Vis spectra of the studied system in different solvents showing good agreement with the experimental studies. MEP map described the possibility of hydrogen bonding and charge transfer in the studied system. Finally, a computational approach for screening the antiviral activity of CT complex towards SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus protease via molecular docking simulation was conducted and confirmed with molecular dynamic (MD) simulation.

© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
COVID-19 is officially named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), where this virus is the infective mediator of the in-progress pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [15–18]. CoVs are present in four types, α-, β-, δ- and γ-coronaviruses [19]. SARS-CoV-2 is a member of β-coronaviruses, Robson [20] defined SARS-CoV-2 viral protein as a strain of human SARS [21].

Many ideas have been offered in the direction of facing the Corona pandemic, including drug repurposing, drug combination, and design drug models. Many approved medications have been tested against SARS-CoV-2 using large-scale in-silico and in-vitro studies, as well as molecular docking [22–25]. Virtual screening is a great tool for suggesting potential medications. Out of 48 antiviral medicines evaluated, Sangeun Jeon et al. found 24 probable SARS-CoV-2 antiviral medication candidates. Some therapeutic candidates had very low 50% inhibitory concentrations (IC50 [48]), including two FDA-approved medicines, niclosamide and ciclesonide [22]. Employing advanced virtual screening to repurpose 6218 approved and clinical trial drugs for COVID-19. Seven of the evaluated drugs were able to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 growth in Vero cells. Emodin, omipalisib, and tipifarnib had anti-SARS-CoV-2 action in Calu-3 human lung cells. In Calu-3, omipalisib was 200 times more active than remdesivir. The synergistic effects of (remdesivir/omipalisib) and (tipifarnib/omipalisib) on SARS-CoV-2 were demonstrated, showing better efficiency [25]. Recent in-silico molecular docking studies improved the utilization of several medications such as remdesivir and mycophenolic acid acyl glucuronide as possible candidate therapeutics for treating COVID-19 [24].

Design drug models is also a well-known method to predict the potential drug activity before biomedical testing, using molecular simulation and parameters evaluation to inhibit or decrease the viral activity by investigating the active binding sites, which could help shorten the time and reduce effort and cost [26–29].

The main protease (MPRO) and the papain-like protease (PLpro) are responsible for the processing of viral polyproteins yielding mature viral proteins [30,31]. Current relative work was established to explain the possible use of protease inhibitors as a treatment against infections of SARS-CoV-2. Computational features and molecular screening compromise new testable assumptions for effective drugs involved for novel coronavirus [29,32]; GC-376 is a 3C-like protease (3CLIpro or Mpro) inhibitor that can stop the activation of functional viral proteins essential for replication and transcription in host cells. Recently, it was used to check inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro in vitro, and the outcomes display potent inhibition of this target. These data recommend that GC-376 and its metabolites may have therapeutic potential for Covid-19 [33].

In the current study, we aim to (1) Synthesis of Schiff’s base [SAL-THAM] derived from salicylaldehyde and Tris-(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane, THAM, as previously described [34].

(2) Addition of 1.25 mmol of ethanolic solution of CLA (0.0261 g. in 25 mL of EtOH) to 1.25 mmol of [SAL-THAM] (0.0281 g. in 25 mL of EtOH), and applying reflux for 3 h at 80°C.

(3) The solution was allowed to gently evaporate at room temperature, yielding a pink solid. The pink crystals were filtered off, washed multiple times with EtOH, then using diethyl ether, and finally dried in a vacuum desiccator for 24 h over anhydrous CaCl2. Using methanol or acetonitrile as solvents, the identical complex was obtained.

[SAL-THAM-CLA] Yield: (79.58%); M.W. 434.22 g mol−1, m.p. = 177 °C. Elemental analysis, found: C, 47.16; H, 3.79; N, 3.48%; Calculated for C17H27N6O6: C, 47.02; H, 3.95; N, 3.23%. IR: ν (cm−1): 3417 (m), 3240 (m), 1620 (s), 1520 (s), 1504(s), 1373(s), 1265(s), 1165(s), 1056(s),825 (s), 700 (w). 1H NMR (DMSO-d6): δH 7.67 (CH, benzene), 5.24 (broad, OH, alcohol), 3.47, 2.49 (CH2, methylene) ppm. 13C NMR (DMSO-d6): δC 39.50, 59.28, 60, 96 and 104.67 ppm.

2.3. Preparation of THAM-Schiff’s base and CLA standard solutions

SAL-THAM Schiff’s base and CLA fresh standard stock solutions of 1.0 × 10−3 mol L−1, were prepared by dissolving an accurate weight of each in the applicable volume of solvent (EtOH, MeOH, and ACN). The proper volumes of the donor and acceptor stock solutions were mixed, and then the solvent was added to complete the solution for spectroscopic measurements.

2.4. Studying the formation constants of the CT-complex (RCT)

The formation constants (KCT), were estimated using the minimum-maximum absorbance method [35] consistent with the following method. A series of 10 mL calibrated measuring flasks were filled with two milliliters of CLA stock solution (1.0 × 10−3 mol L−1). To each of these flasks, different volumes of a freshly prepared stock SAL-THAM solution (1.0 × 10−4 mol L−1) were added and diluted to the mark with ethanol, methanol, or acetonitrile.

The lowest concentration of SAL-THAM made a minimum absorbance of the complex (Amin). The donor’s concentration is steadily increased, and the CT-complex absorbance is recorded (Amax) until the greatest constant absorbance (Amax) is achieved.
The following formula was used to obtain the CT-formation constants ($K_{CT}$)

$$K_{CT} = \frac{(A_{max} - A_{min})}{(A_{max} - A_{mix})C}$$

where $A_{max}$ is the maximum absorbance of the formed CT-complex, $A_{min}$ is the minimum absorbance of the CT-complex, $A_{mix}$ represents the absorbance values of the mixture that lies between $A_{max}$ and $A_{min}$, and $C$ is the concentration of the added SAL-THAM in mol L$^{-1}$ [35].

2.5. Density functional theory (DFT) study

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations of the reactants and product were performed using Gaussian 09 w [36] software. The geometries of the reactants and product were fully optimized at B3LYP/6–311 G (d,p) basis set [37]. Gauss View 6.0 was used to construct the molecular structure input files and visualize the optimized structures. Geometrical and reactivity parameters were calculated after optimization. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (HNR) was performed using density functional theory/gage-including atomic orbital (DFT/GIAO) approach. IR spectra for all reactants and products were calculated. The electronic properties were discussed based on UV–Vis spectra obtained by the TD–DFT method using the PCM model [38,39] in different solvents (methanol, ethanol, and acetonitrile). Molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) explained the total charge density on the surface of the studied system to demonstrate the transfer of charge from the donor to the acceptor part in the titled structure.

2.6. Molecular docking simulation

Due to the vital role of charge transfer in site inhibition and biological control [40], molecular docking studies have been performed after geometry optimization of the studied CT complex. Protein molecular target SARS-CoV-2 main protease (MP$^{\text{pro}}$ and PL$^{\text{pro}}$) was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (www.rcsb.org) with four genome species 6WTT, 6XA4, 6XBH, and 7JRN. A molecular docking investigation was implemented using a validated AutoDock 4.2 software [41]. In the initial step, the protein target was prepared to remove any water molecules, ions, and small ligands; also, the reference ligand in the binding comparison was removed from the grid space considering the location of its binding amino acids. Assignment of Gasteiger charges to the molecular docking system. Addition of polar hydrogen to the protein receptor due to its significant binding effect. CT ligand was imported to investigate its docking behavior and a grid box was formed to initiate the docking run through the auto grid platform using selected dimensions with a grid size of 64×120×40 with spacing 0.375 Å. The docking process was run with the genetic algorithm of 50 runs applying a population size of 300. The number of GA evaluations was 250,000. The free binding energy with the lowest cluster evaluation seems to be the best docking conformation. USCF Chimera1.13.1 [42] was utilized to perform molecular dynamic simulation analysis, discovery studio software (http://www.accelrys.com) was utilized for some visualizations.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis and characterization

The (1:1) molar ratios reaction between Schiff base [SAL-THAM] and CLA in ethanol is expected to achieve through either a charge transfer complex with H-bond formation (I) or H-bond formation together with proton transfer (II), as shown in Scheme 1.

3.2. Fourier- transform infrared (FT-IR)

The study of FT-IR spectroscopic properties is based on a comparison for the essential band locations between the electron donor Schiff base [SAL-THAM], the electron acceptor “Chloranilic acid” [CLA], and the formed CT-complex [SAL-THAM-CLA]. The following are the significant differences in band frequencies and intensities in the FTIR spectra of the produced CT-complex, Fig. 1, when compared to its reactants:

1. The significant band of azomethine group $\nu(C=N)$, which appeared in SLA-THAM at 1628(s) cm$^{-1}$ was red-shifted and appeared at 1620 cm$^{-1}$ in the CT-complex, indicating that the azomethine nitrogen has changed its nature with a new character in the new compound.
2. The carbonyl group of CLA $\nu(C=O)$ at 1664 and 1631 cm$^{-1}$ appeared as only one band at 1620 cm$^{-1}$ in the CT-complex, which overlapped with the band corresponding to the azomethine group, with a shoulder at 1651 cm$^{-1}$.
3. The stretching vibration of the hydroxyl group $\nu(\text{OH})$ of CLA appeared at 3235 and 3317 cm$^{-1}$, while in the spectra of the SAL-THAM, a sharp band at 3315 cm$^{-1}$ and a shoulder at 3394 cm$^{-1}$ were identified. In case of [SAL-THAM-CLA], two new bands appeared at 3425 and 3234 cm$^{-1}$ which may attribute to $\nu(\text{OH})$ and protonation of the azomethine nitrogen of SAL-THAM, respectively. This observation strongly supported the probability of the presence of both charge transfer as well as a proton transfer hydrogen-bond between the OH of CLA and the azomethine nitrogen of THAM-SAL as shown in Scheme 1 (II).
4. The bands at 847 and 745 cm$^{-1}$ characteristics for the $\nu(C–C1)$ in CLA are shifted 832 and 765 cm$^{-1}$ in the spectrum of the CT complex, indicating charge migration from the donor to the acceptor to form a 1:1 CT complex. Finally, the FT-IR spectra confirm the presence of both proton transfer and charge transfer in the CT complex.

However, the IR spectra of the CT complex have been performed in the three solvents (MeOH, EtOH and ACN) and the representative figure was displayed in the supplementary data as Fig. S1. The solution IR spectra in the three solvents showed similar behavior, with slightly shifted peaks that may be attributed to the solvent effects and in agreement with UV–Vis results. In ACN, new band appeared at ca. 3230 cm$^{-1}$ which may due the protonation of the azomethine nitrogen of SAL-THAM.
3.3. Electronic absorption spectra

3.3.1. UV–Vis absorption spectral of the CT complex

In ethanol, methanol, and acetonitrile solutions at room temperature, UV–Vis absorption spectra of the free donor (SAL-THAM) Schiff’s base, the free acceptor (CLA) and the prepared charge transfer complex are recorded. Fig. 2A shows the spectral data in EtOH; the spectra of CT-complex reveal a notable strong absorption band centered at ca.524, 520, and 514 nm in ethanol, methanol, and acetonitrile, respectively. These bands are related to a dramatic color difference noticed after mixing the reagents, illustrating the electronic transitions in the produced CT-complexes in the visible region. It can be noticed that the charge transfer band was red-shifted in the position from 524 nm or 520 nm in protic solvents, EtOH or MeOH, respectively, to 514 nm in the aprotic solvent, acetonitrile, indicating that the CT-complex formation’s high sensitivity to the polarity of the used solvent.

3.3.2. Molecular composition of the produced CT complex

The continuous variation Job’s approach was used to estimate the molecular composition of the produced CT-complex in the different three solvents at room temperature [43]. Fig. 2B expresses the continuous variant plots of donor/acceptor molar ratios, like ethanol, methanol, or acetonitrile solutions. The formation of only 1:1 CT-complex is confirmed by the spectral characteristics. As a result, it is concluded that the polarity of solvents has no effect on the molecular composition of the complex in solutions.

3.3.3. Concentration effect of reactant on CT complex formation

By measuring the absorbance of the generated CT-complex in ethanol, methanol, and acetonitrile, the effect of increasing the SAL-THAM concentration, which is the e-donor, in the presence of a constant concentration of the e-acceptor (CLA) was investigated, Fig. 3. It is found that, in all solvents, the absorbance of the formed CT-complex increases as the donor concentration increase at the same λ_max of the CT complex, signifying the creation of a stable CT-complex in the used solvent.

3.3.4. Influence of time on the formation of CT-complex

The influence of time upon the formation of CT-complex was investigated by mixing 1.0 × 10⁻³ M from THAM-Schiff’s base and CLA (1:1 molar ratio) in ethanol, methanol, and acetonitrile. The
absorbance of the generated CT-complex was found to be constant and maximal in all solvents tested, indicating that the complex’s absorbance is time independent, Fig. S2. The CT-complex absorption value didn’t vary throughout the course of the two-hour experiment; this confirms its stability which can be attributed to the strong bonding between CLA and THAM-Schiff’s base moieties.

3.3.5. Formation constant (KCT) of the CT complex

KCT values are estimated by applying the minimum-maximum absorbance method [35], based on the electronic spectra of the produced CT-complex at many concentrations of the donor in various solvents, and the results are gathered in Table 1. In general, high KCT in all solvents support the formation of stable CT-complex. The data showed that the KCT is strongly solvent dependent with the order: acetonitrile > ethanol > methanol, in which the smallest aprotic solvent from the solvent parameters view, acetonitrile, displays the highest formation constant value relative to the other protic solvents, methanol, and ethanol.

The variance in KCT values from aprotic to protic solvents was illustrated according to the solvent parameters, Π* (dipolarity / polarizability) and electric permittivity of solvent (κT). The solvent small electric permittivity stabilized the complex ground state and consequently led to maximum approach between donor and acceptor species. In other words, it is greatly dependent on the hydrogen bond donor and acceptor parameters (α and β respectively) of the examined solvent [44]. Ethanol and methanol have high hydrogen bond donor values of 0.93 and 0.83, respectively. Thus hydrogen bonding contact between the hydroxyl group of ethanol or methanol and the SAL-THAM molecule is formed. The CT transit from the e-donor to the e-acceptor was significantly slowed by this interaction, resulting in the lowest KCT in methanol. Because the α values in methanol are higher than those in ethanol, a stronger interaction when methanol is used. As a result, the stability constant of ethanol is much larger than that of methanol. Because ACN cannot prevent charge transfer from SAL-THAM to CLA via hydrogen bond formation, the stability constant for acetonitrile was larger than those for ethanol and methanol. Acetonitrile, on the other hand, has a high dielectric constant ε (37.5) and polarizability parameters π* (0.75), which promote charge transfer from donor to acceptor in the generated CT complex. Hence, as the polarity of the solvent increased in response to the increased contact between the dipole of the donor-acceptor link and acetonitrile, the formation constant is increased.

3.4. Computational methods

3.4.1. Geometry optimization

The optimized molecular structures of donor, acceptor, and the formed complex are presented in Fig. 4. The optimized complex
molecular structure in the gas phase. Fig. 4(c), is stabilized by hydrogen bond formation via the oxygen of the THAM hydroxyl group and the hydroxyl group of the CLA part. Table S1 shows the geometrical parameters of the optimized structures CLA, SAL-THAM, and CT complex [SAL-THAM-CLA]. The optimized bond lengths of the reactants and the formed CT complex indicate the complex formation resulting from the hydrogen bond property.

Comparing the bond lengths and angles between the reactants and product showed the presence of inter-and intra-molecular hydrogen bonding in the product, as follows:

1. Regarding CLA, bond length O(39)...H(43) has increased from 0.970 Å to 0.995 Å in the complex, while the other O...H bond length remained the same with 0.970 Å.
2. The bond angle of C5-010-H14 and C1-09-H13 in CLA is increased from 109.0° to 116.9° in the CT complex (C31-O39-H43).
3. Concerning the SAL-THAM unit, upon complex formation, intramolecular hydrogen bond O23...H26 is formed with a length of 2.001 Å, and the C21-O27 is elongated from 1.428 Å to 1.535 Å. In addition, the bond angle of O39-H43-O27 becomes 134.5°.
4. The CT complex has a new intermolecular hydrogen bond between the two reactant units (O27...H43) with a length of 1.930 Å.

### Table 1

| Solvent     | λ_{max} (nm) | conc. SAL-THAM | A_{min} | A_{max} | A_{complex} | K_{CT} (L/mol) | Average K_{CT} (L/mol) |
|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|-------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Methanol    | 520         | 0.00010        | 0.135   | 0.387   | 0.151       | 677.966        | 1068.65                |
|             |             | 0.00015        |         |         | 0.159       | 701.754        |                        |
|             |             | 0.00020        |         |         | 0.1591      | 526.315        |                        |
|             |             | 0.00025        |         |         | 0.191       | 1142.85        |                        |
|             |             | 0.00030        |         |         | 0.201       | 1182.79        |                        |
|             |             | 0.00035        |         |         | 0.213       | 1280.78        |                        |
|             |             | 0.00040        |         |         | 0.246       | 1968.08        |                        |
| Ethanol     | 524         | 0.00010        | 0.610   | 0.826   | 0.690       | 5882.35        | 11103.29               |
|             |             | 0.00015        |         |         | 0.726       | 7733.33        |                        |
|             |             | 0.00020        |         |         | 0.783       | 20116.28       |                        |
|             |             | 0.00025        |         |         | 0.778       | 14000          |                        |
|             |             | 0.00030        |         |         | 0.782       | 13030.3        |                        |
|             |             | 0.00035        |         |         | 0.780       | 10559.01       |                        |
|             |             | 0.00040        |         |         | 0.786       | 11000          |                        |
|             |             | 0.00045        |         |         | 0.771       | 60505.051      |                        |
| Acetonitrile| 514         | 0.00010        | 0.072   | 0.192   | 0.102       | 33333          | 15136.5                |
|             |             | 0.00015        |         |         | 0.138       | 81481.48       |                        |
|             |             | 0.00020        |         |         | 0.171       | 25571.43       |                        |
|             |             | 0.00025        |         |         | 0.159       | 10545.45       |                        |
|             |             | 0.00030        |         |         | 0.171       | 15714.29       |                        |
|             |             | 0.00035        |         |         | 0.18        | 25714.29       |                        |
|             |             | 0.00040        |         |         | 0.178       | 18928.57       |                        |

### Table 2

| Parameter | Gas     | Methanol |
|-----------|---------|----------|
| E         | -2235.299 | -2235.228 |
| NRE       | 2945.693  | 2945.693  |
| D         | 3.503    | 3.943    |
| E_{HOMO}  | -8.217   | -7.619   |
| E_{LUMO}  | -5.251   | -4.844   |
| E_{GAP}   | 2.966    | 2.775    |
| I         | 8.217    | 7.619    |
| A         | 5.251    | 4.844    |
| M         | -6.734   | -6.232   |
| H         | 1.483    | 1.388    |
| Σ         | 0.674    | 0.720    |
| X         | 1.565    | 1.573    |

The following Eqs provide definitions for some parameters.

\[ I = -E_{HOMO} \]  
\[ A = -E_{LUMO} \]  
\[ \eta = (I-A)/2 \]  
\[ \mu = (I+A)/2 \]  
\[ \sigma = I/\eta \]  
\[ E_{GAP} = E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO} \]  
\[ X = E_{HOMO} / E_{LUMO} \]

Fig. 5 illustrates the electronic transition between HOMO and LUMO levels for each reactant molecule and the product in the gas phase. The energy gap of the product (2.966 eV) is less than that of reactants, signifying higher stability of complex I formation.

Studying the interaction between CLA and SAL-THAM units, two product structures may be expected, as demonstrated in Scheme 1. Structure I possesses only hydrogen bonding between the two units, while Structure II has proton transfer in addition to hydrogen bonding. Applying the same method of calculations B3LYP/6–31G (d,p) in the gas phase to evaluate the optimized structures, the total energies of CLA, SAL-THAM, system I, and Structure II were found be \(-1451.282\), \(-784.021\), \(-2235.299\), and \(-2226.156\) Hartree, respectively. As the difference in energy between reactants and product measures the stability of the product formed, the energy difference in the formation of structure I equals \(+0.004\) Hartree (i.e. 10.502 kJ/mol). In contrast, in structure II, \(\Delta E\) is \(+9.147\) Hartree.
(i.e. 24,015.45 kJ/mol). Though the higher energy difference in the formation of structure II makes this path theoretically less favorable, its formation was found to be more accepted in practice (evidenced by IR results). This may be attributed to the solution effect, especially by increasing the activation energy of reactants (inducing thermal energy) [46].

Fig. S3 shows the natural bond orbital (NBO) charge distribution of each atom of the reactants CLA, SAL-THAM, and product [CLA-SAL-THAM]. It was investigated that the reactive centers are represented in H of the hydroxyl group in the CLA part and O of the SAL-THAM part. To discuss the charged oxygen atoms in the reactant and the product, it was found that the charges on O23, O25, and O27 of the reactant are −0.749, −0.737, and −0.766, while the charges on O23, O25, and O27 of the product are found to be −0.756, −0.743 and −0.778 respectively. In case of CLA moiety of the product, the charge on H43 and H44 atoms have 0.517 and 0.483 on each one, respectively, while charges on H13 and H14 of CLA reactant have the same value (0.485). This means that in the CT complex, the charges of H atoms were directed to be more positive, and charges on O atoms were directed to be more negative. Hence, the centers become more active towards charge transfer by H-bond formation.

3.4.3. IR, $^1$H NMR, and $^{13}$C NMR spectra

The CT complex, structure I, is confirmed by applying IR, $^1$H NMR, and $^{13}$C NMR at the same level of geometrical calculations (DFT/ B3LYP/6–311 G (d,p)). Fig. S4 shows the calculated IR spectra for the reactants and product. The peak corresponding to the OH group in the case of chloranilic molecule appeared at 3652 cm$^{-1}$ showed a red-shift to 3574 cm$^{-1}$, upon charge transfer complexation, due to the intermolecular hydrogen bond formation.

Quantum chemical gage-independent atomic orbital (GIAO)-DFT NMR analysis was performed on the optimized structure. Fig. S5 presented the predicted $^1$H NMR spectra for the low energy structure of the charge-transfer complex. The spectra showed an increase in the chemical shift of CLA–hydroxyl proton, H(43) to 7.436 ppm, while the other, H(44), appeared at 4.974 ppm. The different values are attributed to the various electronic environment surrounding each proton, confirming the intermolecular H bonding represented as O(39)...H(43)...O(27). In addition, estimation of the
Fig. 5. Molecular orbitals with their energy values for the optimized reactants and product in gas phase.

Fig. 6. MEP maps of a) CLA b) SAL-THAM and c) CT complex [CLA-SAL-THAM].

Fig. 7. RDG isosurface analysis and NCI scatter mapping diagram of the optimized CT complex.
type of carbon atoms is deduced via $^{13}$C NMR spectral calculations, as shown in Fig. S6. The predicted spectroscopic NMR data of the studied complex 1 are summarized in Table S2. Generally, the calculated $^{13}$C and $^1$H NMR data are more or less identical with the experimental NMR results for the isolated CT complex [CLA-SAL-THAM], as shown in Fig. S7.

3.4.4. TD-DFT computations and origin of electronic spectra

The origin of electronic spectra of the complex (structure 1) in different solvents has been computed using the TD-DFT/6–31 G (d,p) method via adding polarizable continuum solvation model PCM. The calculated electronic spectra of the complex in methanol, ethanol, and acetonitrile are shown in Fig. S8. The calculated electronic spectra in methanol, ethanol, and acetonitrile revealed broad bands at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ = 476 nm (oscillator strength 0.033), 481 nm (oscillator strength equal 0.024), and 464 nm (oscillator strength 0.029), respectively. The band is mainly assigned for HOMO-1 to LUMO in the three solvents with the contribution of 70.4%, 70.9%, and 72.5% for methanol, ethanol, and acetonitrile, respectively. It can be concluded that the electronic transition in the three solvents is of type $\pi-\pi^*$ where the excitation of $\pi$-electrons involved the lower energetic orbital HOMO-1 and the higher energetic orbital LUMO. Compared with the experimental results, the shift in band positions may be due to the solvent effect and its behavior in solutions.

3.4.5. Molecular electrostatic potential analysis (MEP analysis)

MEP is an excellent approach that defines the distribution of electrostatic potential on the surface of a molecule. This can explore the electrophilic and nucleophilic attack regions and hydrogen bonding interaction. Fig. 6 illustrates MEP map of the studied system. There are different colors in the electrostatic potential:

- blue denotes the positive region, green denotes the neutral region, and red represents the negative region. Red color occurs on the THAM part of SAL-THAM Schiff base, confirming its contribution as hydrogen bond acceptor and acts as a nucleophile.

Concerning CLA molecule, one can notice the blue color on the OH groups considered H-bond donors and acts as an electrophile. On the other hand, for [CLA-SAL-THAM] complex, the disappearance of either the blue color in CLA or most of the red-orange color around THAM has been observed. This approves the charge transfer from the e-donor SAL-THAM part towards the e-acceptor CLA part.

3.4.6. Non-covalent interactions and reduced density gradient (NCI-RDG) analysis of CT complex surface

NCI-RDG profile analysis is substantial evidence of predicting different NCI strengths in other regions of the molecule. Interactions such as hydrogen bonding, van der Waals (VDW), and steric repulsive interactions are represented in mapped colored codes [47]. RDG iso surface visualization and NCI scattered graphical profile are illustrated in Fig. 7.

The color codes ranged from blue representing H-bond interaction; green code shows VDW interactions, and red code shows

| Table 3 | Free binding energy of reference and synthesized inhibitors with different protein receptors. |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Protein ID | Reference inhibitor code | $\Delta G_{\text{ref}}$ (inhibitor) | $\Delta G_{\text{CT-complex}}$ |
| 6WTT | K36 | -7.67 | -5.65 |
| 6XA4 | UXS | -9.59 | -8.01 |
| 6XNH | ELL | -9.52 | -3.17 |
| 7JRN | TTT | -8.92 | -4.73 |

Fig. 8. 2D-interaction scheme of reference ligands complexed with SARS-CoV-2 protease enzyme (a) 6WTT (b) 6XA4 (c) 6XNH (d) 7JRN.
repulsion interactions due to steric hindrance. The scatter mapping diagram measures the strength of these interactions. A high negative value represents strong H-bond formation and the gradient decreasing in this value, passing through weak H-bond and increasing the strength of VDW interaction to end with a significant positive value indicating the presence of strong repulsion interactions.

So, the region between hydrogen (H43) of CLA moiety and oxygen (O27) of SAL-THAM is marked with blue color. Also, H-bond is formed between O23 and H26 of SAL-THAM.

3.4.7. Investigating CT-complex antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 protease

In-silico molecular docking of the studied synthesized CT complex was performed with the crystal structure of four viral protein type genomes with ID codes; 6WTT, 6XA4, 6XBH, and 7JRN. All viral crystal genomes were published in the recent year complexed with potential active drugs. Molecular docking simulation applied with the aid of previously approved ligands complexed to the chosen targets. GC-376 is an approved drug inhibitor for the main protease enzyme through a small k36 ligand. UAW241 and UAW247 are main protease inhibitors containing AUS (L-methioninol) and small ligand ELL as a unique ligand as a PDB [48].

Fig. 8 represents a graphic 2D-ligand-target interaction of K36, UXS, ELL, and TTT where K36 unique ligand is complexed with a target of 6WTT PDB code through blocking HIS164.A, CYS145.A, HIS163.A, PHE140.A, GLU166.A, and GLN189.A, active sites (Fig. 8a). UXS ligand is complexed with the target of 6XA4 PDB code through blocking HIS164.A, and CYS145.A (Fig. 8b). ELL ligand is com-
Fig. 10. Biomolecular solvated box grid including the interacting of CT-complex with active amino acids of (a) 6WTT, (b) 6XAA, (c) 6X8H and (d) 7JRN.
Fig. 11. MD simulation showing potential energy related to RMSD for CT-complex actively bound to (a) 6WTI, (b) 6XA4, (c) 6XBH and (d) 7JRN, active sites.
plexed with the target of 6XBH PDB through blocking PHE140.A, GLU166.A, CYS145.A, GLY143.A and HIS163.A (Fig. 8c). TIT unique ligand is complexed with the target of 7JRN PDB through blocking ASP164.A and GLN269.A active sites (Fig. 8d).

3.4.8. Validation of molecular docking using AutoDock 4.2

Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm (LGA) scoring function is the principal method for detecting the binding strength. The interactive relationship between the binding inhibitors and protein cleft can be compared with the free binding energy calculated, this is due to investigate the validity of docking visualization results based on the SARS-CoV-2 reference inhibitors present in the crystal structure of the desirable protein. Docking virtual screening was performed for both the synthesized and reference molecules. Free binding energy (ΔG) results are included in Table 3 which represents the most stability fitting of the ligands inside biomolecular system.

Exploring our results in further details, the free binding energy of each cluster rank integrated in a vertical bar chart for the simulated synthesized molecule and the comparable reference inhibitors as shown in Fig. 9. ΔG after each cluster contributes the negative column area for k36 inhibitor where it reached the validated optimized free binding energy at cluster of −7.67 kcal/mol while CT-complex contributes some of negatively ΔG values compared with k36 and rotatory reach lowest energy value −5.65 kcal/mol. In case of UXS reference inhibitor, the lowest ΔG value is −9.59 kcal/mol and this energy is strong compared with k36, while the lowest ΔG of CT-complex reached to −8.01 kcal/mol and also is higher than of CT-complex binding in 6WTT. Further comparable results in 6XBH and 7JRN, showed that ΔG of the studied CT-complex is of values −4.73 kcal/mol and −3.17 kcal/mol in the two receptors, respectively.

3.4.9. Molecular dynamic (MD) simulation analysis

Stability of the ligand inside the protein cleft active site is mainly time-dependent in considering the variables and statistical parameters \[49,50\]. MD simulation can evaluate this stability strength in the desired target. This type of simulation was performed using Chimera software where the ligand-free receptor was first prepared by missing hydrogen addition, charge adjustment on the whole macromolecular chain, and then solvation through certain dimensions as shown in Fig. 10 for 6WTT, 6XA4, 6XBH, and
7JRN protein targets. The steepest descent steps were chosen till 100 with size 0.02 Å. The conjugate gradient steps were 10 also with size 0.02 Å. Root mean square deviation (RMSD) value is a time-dependent expression for ligand-protein stabilization model. RMSD is an important parameter in detecting and analyzing the equilibration followed by producing MD trajectories. RMSD frames and their potential energy were plotted against time (fs) as shown in Fig. 11 RMSD specific range describes the stability of MD trajectory for a time. Increasing the period time with a relatively constant RMSD increases the binding affinity of the ligand-protein domain. With fastening in frame numbers, the potential energy decreases and then RMSD mostly decrease till reaches to relatively smooth and constant plot at higher frames.

During analysis of MD simulation, estimation of the potential energy is an indicator of the system path stability. In binding CT-complex with 6WTT and 6XA4 domains, the potential energy decreases with time, resulting in a decrease in RMSD at higher frames. As mentioned, in previous work [51], the values of RMSD less than 3 Å are considered in the docking fit protocol and accurate scanning around stability ranges towards the binding pose. At frames 1–200, RMSD in 6WTT decreased from 3.441 to 1.553) and in 6XA4 the value increased from 1 to 150 then decreased again reaching frames number 200. Otherwise, 6XBH and 7JRN bound systems show higher potential energy with increasing RMSD and affect the stabilization and binding affinity. Furthermore, RMSD mapping in the docking pose for both protein and ligand frames refine the docking protocol. As shown in Fig. 12 2D map was established in the range of 1.3 Å – 3.0 Å for all interacted ligand-receptors molecular poses and it was found that the fitting with RMSD < 1.5 take the map majority (white color code) while the RMSD > 1.5 take the map minority (black color code). Analyzing the mapping areas, CT-complex bound with 6WTT and 6XA4 show RMSD mostly emphasis as small values white contribute.

Summarizing the following results, according to the free binding energy and comparative RMSD cutoff-potential energy variation, it was predicted that the synthesized CT-complex could bind with 6XA4 then 6WTT targets in a higher score values better than in case of 6ABH and 7JRN active viral receptors.

4. Conclusion

A new charge-transfer complex was prepared between a Schiff base 2-((2-hydroxybenzylidene) amino)–2-(hydroxymethyl) propane-1,3-diol [SAL-THAM] as e-donor and chloranilic acid (CLA) as e-acceptor. The prepared CT complex [CLA-SAL-THAM] has been studied experimentally and theoretically. The elemental analysis of the solid CT complex proved its formation in 1:1 (donor: acceptor) similar to that in solution. Relying on the Infrared spectral feature, the new complex was suggested to contain hydrogen bonds beside charge-transfer interactions. The molecular composition of the complex was estimated using Job’s photometric method and was found to be 1:1 in all the used solvents. The formation constant was determined in methanol, ethanol and acetonitrile. The highest value was verified in acetonitrile and the smallest one in methanol, approving the produced complex's high stability in the aprotic solvent.

The geometrical optimization of the expected structure of the formed complex supported H- bonding. The computational electronic spectra showed that the charge transfer mainly was assigned and contributed from HOMO-1 to LUMO. MEP study revealed SAL-THAM as electron donor, and CLA is described as an electron acceptor, which was the origin of H-bond formation. Molecular docking was applied to identify the potent activity of the titled complex towards different types of genome SARS-CoV-2 protease acceptors (MPro and PLpro). The docking results predicted a high binding affinity to the COVID-19 protease. They can inhibit its viral infection by blocking several active amino acid side chains compared to the previously occurred standard ligands. Free binding energy evaluated higher ligand path stability for MPro such 6XA4 then 6WTT domains while predicted a weak score towards 64BH and PLpro - 7JRN target. The results were validated and refined through molecular dynamic simulation analysis and exploring RMSD parameter values depending on the time of trajectory stability.
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