High performance planar perovskite solar cells with a perovskite of mixed organic cations and mixed halides, MA$_{1-x}$FA$_x$PbI$_3$$_{1-y}$Cl$_y$†
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Hybrid organic–inorganic perovskite solar cells (PSCs) have attracted great interest owing to their low fabrication costs and high power conversion efficiencies (PCE). Since 2012, great progress has been made in this field and consequently PCEs above 15% have been reported by many research groups. Efficiencies higher than 20% were even reported for the devices with mesoporous oxide layers. The planar heterojunction PSCs have shown slightly lower PCEs, and the highest efficiency is ~19.3%. Nonetheless, the planar heterojunction PSCs can be fabricated through a low temperature process. The huge success of hybrid organic–inorganic perovskite (HOIP) materials can be expected by their unique combination of properties, including high absorption coefficient, ambipolar charge-carrier mobilities, long exciton lifetimes and diffusion lengths, and low exciton binding energy. These critical factors for the photovoltaic conversion are highly dependent on the HOIP composition.

As the most commonly employed composition in PSCs, methylammonium lead iodide (MAPbI$_3$) has a narrow band gap of 1.55 eV, which is highly suitable for harvesting sunlight. MAPbI$_3$-based PSCs showed a great improvement in PCE values from 3.9% to 19% in just five years. This rapid increase has been realized mainly due to improvements in the fabrication techniques and device architectures. However, further enhancement of the device efficiency is limited by the relatively low short-circuit current ($J_{sc}$) and the high loss in the open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$). The average $J_{sc}$ value of PSCs is about 17 mA cm$^{-2}$, and the potential loss reaches about 0.5 V. Perovskites with mixed ions were reported to further improve the device efficiency. It has been understood that Cl doping can significantly improve $V_{oc}$ of PSCs although it does not substantially vary the band gaps of perovskites. The Cl content of MAPbI$_{3-x}$Cl$_x$ is usually quite low with $x < 0.3$. The efficiency enhancement by chlorine doping is attributed to its effect on reducing charge recombination so as to increase the excited lifetimes. Snail et al. have revealed that the charge diffusion length is greater than 1 μm in MAPbI$_{3-x}$Cl$_x$, whereas it is only ~100 nm in MAPbI$_3$. Consequently, the trace amount of Cl can significantly increase the efficiency of PbI$_2$-based PSCs by simultaneously enhancing $J_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$. For example,
Chen et al. observed the increase of the PCE from 14.12% to 17.91% at a Cl doping level of 10 mol%. The effect of Br doping on the photovoltaic performance of PSCs is quite different from that of Cl doping. The Br doping usually lowers rather than increases the PCE value of PSCs, because the Br doping can increase the band gap of MAPbI₃. As the Br content increases in MAPbI₃–Brₓ-based PSCs, J_{sc} values generally decrease.

In terms of theoretical simulation, a semiconductor with a band gap of ~1.50 eV could deliver a J_{sc} value of up to 27 cm²/W under the standard AM1.5G illumination. This reveals that J_{sc} is one of the main limiting factors for the photovoltaic efficiency of MA⁺ organic cation-based PSCs. Hence, new perovskite compositions with broader light absorption have been sought for high J_{sc}. For instance, formamidinium lead triiodide (FAPbI₃) can absorb light up to 1.19 eV, and via mixing methylammonium (MA⁺), the halogen component can also be mixed in the same manner to further enhance efficiency and stability of PSCs. Jeon et al. recently adapted this way by mixing FAPbI₃ with MAPbBr₃ and observed a PCE of up to 19%. However, the Br doping enlarges the band gap advantage. The absorption onsets of the perovskites with [FAPbI₃]₁₋ₓ[MAPbI₃]ₓ, are ~840 nm (E_{g} = 1.48 eV), ~800 nm (E_{g} = 1.56 eV), and ~760 nm (E_{g} = 1.64 eV) for the x values of 0.00, 0.15, and 0.25, respectively. As mentioned above, Cl doping can have advantages over Br doping. However, perovskites with FA⁺ and Cl⁻ must be prepared at low annealing temperatures. The formation of FA⁺-containing hybrid perovskites via the one-step solution method requires annealing at 140–170 °C. The chlorine species can be sublimated and/or decomposed in the form of MACl at 140–170 °C. As a result, the final product can be black FAPbI₃ with no mixed ions rather than MA₁₋ₓFAₓPbI₃₋ₓClₓ.

In this work, we demonstrated the preparation of MA₁₋ₓFAₓPbI₃₋ₓClₓ with mixed organic cations and mixed halides through annealing at the temperature of 80–110 °C for the first time. A certain amount of FA⁺ can be incorporated into the MA⁺-based tetragonal perovskite structure in this way. The optimal efficiency was 18.14% for the planar PSCs with MA₀.₂₅FA₀.₇₅PbI₃₋ₓClₓ. The planar PSCs with MA₀.₂₅FA₀.₈₀PbI₃₋ₓClₓ significantly outperform the control PSCs with other perovskite compositions including MAPbI₃, MAPbI₃₋ₓClₓ, MAPbI₃₋ₓBrₓ, and MA₁₋ₓFAₓPbIₓ. The high photovoltaic performance is attributed mainly to the long charge diffusion length induced by the mixed organic cations and mixed halides.

### Results and discussion

There are two organic cations and two halides in MA₁₋ₓFAₓPbx₁₋ₓClₓ. Both the organic cation doping level and the chloride doping level can affect the photovoltaic performance of PSCs. Our work started from the optimization of MAPbI₃-based PSCs (Table 1 and Fig. 1b). The optimal efficiency of MAPbI₃ PSCs is 12.88% with the J_{sc} of 15.75 mA/cm² and the V_{oc} of 1.04 V. This photovoltaic efficiency is comparable to the values of 11.99–14.1% reported in the literature. The main factors limiting the efficiency of MAPbI₃-based PSCs are J_{sc} and V_{oc}. PSCs with mixed-halide perovskites were fabricated to investigate the effects of Cl and Br doping (Table 1 and Fig. 1b). The optimized conditions for each different active layer are provided in Table 1. Our previous studies indicated that the addition of ~10 mol% PbCl₂ with respect to all the PbCl₂ salts could give rise to the best photovoltaic efficiency for MAPbI₃–Clₓ-based PSCs fabricated via one-step deposition with solvent engineering. Although the efficiency enhancement by chloride doping is remarkable, the chloride doping level cannot be decided. MAPbI₃–Clₓ is thus used in this manuscript. Upon the Cl doping, the average J_{sc} and V_{oc} increase to 19.04 mA cm⁻² and 1.20 V, respectively, giving rise to a PCE of 15.95%. Similar results are reported by Stranks et al. and Chen et al. The main reason of this efficiency enhancement is the reduction in the slow charge recombination and increase in the exciton lifetimes by chloride. Moreover, the Cl doping increases the charge diffusion lengths. Consequently, the optimal thickness of MAPbI₃–Clₓ is larger than that of MAPbI₃ by ~20 nm. A thicker perovskite layer can absorb more light and lead to higher J_{sc}. For the bromine doping, the optimum Br content with respect to I is 10 mol% (MAPbI₂₋ₓBrₓClₓ) in terms of our previous study. The Br doping does not significantly affect the photovoltaic efficiency of PSCs. Although the Br doping can increase the average V_{oc} by ~0.055 V, it decreases the average J_{sc}. As a result, the highest PCE of MAPbI₂₋ₓBrₓClₓ PSCs is only 13.37%, slightly higher than that of MAPbI₃-based PSCs. These results are in good agreement with those by Kulkarni et al. The FF value of MAPbI₂₋ₓBrₓClₓ-based PSCs is higher than those of both MAPbI₃ and MAPbI₃–Clₓ-based PSCs. This is ascribed to the higher shunt resistance of the former. Surprisingly, the V_{oc} value of MAPbI₃–Clₓ is ~30% lower than that of MAPbI₃–Clₓ.

FA⁺ organic cations are then incorporated for the preparation of MA₁₋ₓFAₓPbx₁₋ₓClₓ-based PSCs. The PCE of the devices was optimized by adjusting the FAI-to-MAI ratio as well as the annealing duration of the perovskite layer. The incorporation of FA⁺ into MAPbI₃–Clₓ affects the properties of the perovskite films and the performance of the PSCs (Fig. 2 and Table S1). As the FA⁺ loading increases, the J_{sc} value increases (Fig. 2b). The maximum J_{sc} appears at 20 mol% of FA⁺. The V_{oc} of the devices slowly decreases with the increase of the FA⁺ loading, and the FF value slightly increases with the increasing FAI content when the molar FAI content is less than 40% (Fig. 2c). At the optimal photovoltaic efficiency of 18.14%, the FAI/MAI ratio is 20 mol%. This corresponds to the perovskite of MA₀.₁₂FA₀.₸₈PbI₃₋ₓClₓ. As shown Fig. 1d, the device performance is highly sensitive to the
Photovoltaic performance of the planar heterojunction PSCs employing MAPbI₃, MAPbI₃, and MAPbI₂Cl₀.₃₀

| Perovskite Precursors | Perovskite Film thickness (nm) | Jsc (best device) | Voc (best device) | FF (best device) | PCE (best device) | Jsc (average) | Voc (average) | FF (average) | PCE (average) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| MAPbI₃                 | 1.4 M PbI₂, 1.35 M MAI         | ~260             | 15.75 ± 1.66      | 1.010 ± 0.020   | 0.72 ± 0.02     | 12.98 ± 11.15 | 19.01 ± 0.78  | 1.120 ± 0.010 | 0.72 ± 0.01    | 15.95 ± 15.28 | 2.65 (5.12)  | 875 (820)    | 17.80         |
| MAPbI₃,Cl₋₂          | 1.26 M PbCl₂, 1.35 M MAI      | ~280             | 15.26 ± 0.51      | 1.095 ± 0.005   | 0.76 ± 0.02     | 13.37 (12.64) | 15.100        | 1.120 ± 0.010 | 0.72 ± 0.01    | 15.95 ± 15.28 | 2.65 (5.12)  | 875 (820)    | 17.80         |
| MAPbI₂Cl₀.₃₀         | 1.26 M PbI₂, 1.35 M MAI       | ~260             | 15.26 ± 0.51      | 1.095 ± 0.005   | 0.76 ± 0.02     | 13.37 (12.64) | 15.100        | 1.120 ± 0.010 | 0.72 ± 0.01    | 15.95 ± 15.28 | 2.65 (5.12)  | 875 (820)    | 17.80         |

Each average result is derived from 12 PSCs from two batches.

The hysteresis in the J-V curves of the PSCs is also investigated since different scanning directions may induce overestimation or underestimation of the device performance. The J-V curves of the PSCs were recorded along the reverse (from 1.2 V to -0.2 V) and forward (from -0.2 V to 1.2 V) scan directions at a scan rate of 40 mV s⁻¹ under AM1.5G illumination. The hysteresis of the J-V curves depends on the annealing temperature. When the MAPbI₃,Cl₋₂ layer was annealed below 110 °C, no hysteresis was observed on the J-V curves of the PSCs along the scan directions (Fig. 3a and S2†). When the MAPbI₂Cl₀.₃₀ layer was annealed at 130–150 °C, hysteresis was observed on the J-V curves (Fig. 3b and S2†). The effect

Fig. 1. (a) Schematic architecture of planar heterojunction PSCs. (b) J–V characteristics of MAPbI₃, MAPbI₃,Cl₋₂, and MAPbI₂,Br₋₁-based PSCs. The devices were tested under AM1.5G illumination (100 mW cm⁻²). Each J–V curve is for the best device among 12 PSCs fabricated in two batches.
of the annealing temperature on the hysteresis is attributed to their effect on the morphology of the perovskite layer. Annealing at high temperature can give rise to a very rough perovskite surface (Fig. S8†), which can induce charge trapping centers. Hence, the devices annealed at high temperatures show a distinct hysteresis behaviour probably due to the accumulation of charges at the grain boundaries and/or filling of the interfacial or surface trap states. 22,47 We also examined the hysteresis in the J–V curves of the PSCs employing the other active layers (Fig. S3†). The hysteresis is dependent on the composition of the perovskite layer as well.

Various characterizations are performed to understand the MA0.80FA0.20PbI3/CyCly-based PSCs. Fig. 4 presents the internal photo-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) measurements. The Jsc values of the PSCs calculated in terms of the IPCEs are provided in Tables 1 and 2. They are very well consistent with those obtained from the J–V curves. The IPCE of MA0.80FA0.20PbI3.5Cly-based PSCs is higher than 75% in the ranges of 430–630 nm and 670–730 nm. In addition, its IPCE shifts to red in comparison to PSCs with MAPbI3/CyCly and MAPbI2.70Br0.30. The red shift can be attributed to the lattice expansion effect by FA+ doping. 34

Fig. 2 (a) J–V curves, (b) Jsc and Voc plots, (c) FF and PCE plots of MA1–xFAXxFAPbI3–xCly-based PSCs at different FAI molar percentages. (d) PCEs of the optimized MA0.80FA0.20PbI3.5Cly PSCs at different annealing temperatures of the perovskite layer. The devices were tested under AM1.5G illumination (100 mW cm–2). Each J–V curve is for the best device among 12 PSCs fabricated in two batches.

Fig. 5a shows the X-ray diffraction patterns of the perovskite thin films with different compositions. As can be seen from the diffraction patterns, all of the precursors are successfully converted to the corresponding perovskites. We also confirm the successful formation of MA0.80FA0.20PbI3.5Cly perovskite at different annealing temperatures (Fig. S4†). The diffraction peaks at 14.2°, 28.7°, 32.1° and 43.3° are assigned to the (110), (220), (310), and (116) crystal planes of the tetragonal perovskite phase, respectively. There is a trace amount of PbI2 as indicated by the small peak at 13.0°.48–50 The slight excess of PbI2 inside the perovskite thin film, which is purposefully introduced, can passivate the perovskite grain boundaries and thus suppresses the charge recombination. 48 It can also have a beneficial effect in reducing the hysteresis of the I–V characteristics and ion migration. 51 Lattice parameters of the tetragonal perovskite phases are calculated to investigate the impact of the halide and/or the organic cation dopings on the crystal structure of the neat MAPbI3 (eqn (S1)†). The calculated unit cell lengths (a = 8.8073 nm and c = 12.5354) of MAPbI3 conform with those of the single crystal XRD data. 16 For MAPbI3.5Cly and MAPbI2.70Br0.30, the unit cell lengths are calculated as a = 8.7952 nm and c = 12.5192 nm, and a = 8.7711 nm and c = 12.4814 nm, respectively. These results suggest that doping of MAPbI3 with Cl– or Br–, which are smaller
Table 2

| Perovskite         | Precursors          | Thickness (nm) | Annealing (min) | Jsc (mA cm⁻²) | Voc (V) | FF (%) | PCE (%) |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|--------|---------|
| MAₐFAₗPbI₃       | 1.26 M PbI₂, 0.14 M PbCl₂, 1.08 M MAI, 0.27 M FAI | ~230           | 15/100          | 15.0/100      | 0.7/0.7 | 0.5/0.5 | 0.0/0.0 |
| MAₐFAₗPbI₃       | 1.08 M MAl, 0.27 M FAI | ~260           | 15/100          | 15.0/100      | 0.7/0.7 | 0.5/0.5 | 0.0/0.0 |

Each average result is derived from 12 PSCs from two batches. *Calculated from the IPCE curves.

A significant problem regarding formamidinium-based lead halides is their polymorphism at room temperature. The black trigonal α-phase of FAPbI₃ can be formed at a temperature above 130 °C, and it tends to convert to a yellow hexagonal δ-phase at lower temperatures. As shown by Jeon et al., a black FAPbI₃ powder completely returns to the yellow powder after being stored in air for just 10 h. The yellow FAPbI₃ phase has a large indirect band gap of 2.48 eV and it is thus not suitable for photovoltaic application. In this study, we found that no δ-phase is formed in MA₁₋ₓFAₓPbI₃Cl₃ thin films with x = 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 after a week as evidenced by XRDs (Fig. S6†). Previous studies also demonstrate that the black phase of FA⁺-based perovskites is stabilized by mixing with MA⁺. Even at the MA⁺ molar percentage of 15%, no δ-phase is formed in the temperature range of 25–250 °C. The smaller MA⁺ has a dipole moment of 2.3 D which is about ten times higher than that of FA⁺ (0.21 D). Hence, the incorporation of MA⁺ into the α-FAPbI₃ structure can induce the formation of stronger I–H hydrogen bonds and this can stabilize the 3D arrangement of the PbI₆ octahedra. Moreover, the stronger interaction between MA⁺ and PbI₆ results in an increase in the Madelung energy that is the electrostatic energy among all the ions. This increases the stability of the system.

The morphology and surface texture of the perovskites are examined by SEM and AFM (Fig. 6). The SEM images reveal that all the perovskites of different compositions annealed at 100 °C can form pinhole-free, uniform and dense films that fully cover the PEDOT:PSS layer. The grains have a size of around 200 nm. The crystalline structures with a bright contrast in the SEM images can be the less conductive PbI₂ species. The excess PbI₂ species are located at the grain boundaries, and they are beneficial in suppressing the charge recombination. The CI-free perovskites have very smooth surfaces, while the MAₓFAₗPbI₃⁻ₓClₓ and MAPbI₃⁻ₓClₓ thin films with CI doping exhibit an irregular grain morphology. The MAₓFAₗPbI₃⁻ₓClₓ and MAPbI₃⁻ₓClₓ films have root-mean-square roughness (Rrms) values above 10 nm. The CI doping increases the surface roughness of the perovskite films.

The formation of FA⁺-containing perovskites via the one-step solution method requires an annealing process at 140–170 °C due to the larger size of FA⁺ than that of MA⁺. Nonetheless, MA₁₋ₓFAₓPbI₃⁻ₓClₓ with the FA⁺ molar percentage up to 50% can be formed after annealing only at 100 °C in this study (Table S1†). In order to understand the benefits of the low annealing temperature, SEM and AFM images of MAₓFAₗPbI₃⁻ₓClₓ-based thin films prepared at different annealing temperatures are
further investigated (Fig. 6a, f and S8†). The MA0.80FA0.20PbI3\textsubscript{y}Cl\textsubscript{y} thin films annealed at 80 °C, 90 °C, and 100 °C have a smooth surface with the $R_{\text{RMS}}$ values of 9.1–10.7 nm. Pinholes can be observed for the MA0.80FA0.20PbI3\textsubscript{y}Cl\textsubscript{y} films annealed at 80 °C and 90 °C. This is the reason for the low FF value of the corresponding PSCs (Table S2†). The perovskite films become very rough ($R_{\text{RMS}} > 18.7$ nm) when the annealing temperature is 110 °C or higher. Pinholes can be observed for the films annealed at 130 °C and 150 °C as well. The pinholes lower the photovoltaic performance of the corresponding PSCs (Table S2†). The annealing at high temperature can induce the excess sublimation/evaporation of FAX or MAX (X = I, Br or Cl) and/or the decomposition of FA+-containing perovskite. Eperon \textit{et al.} also confirmed the effect of annealing temperature on the morphology of the FA\textsuperscript{–}-containing perovskite films.

The UV-vis absorption spectra of the perovskite thin films with different compositions are presented in Fig. 7a. The absorption onset of MAPbI\textsubscript{3} is 785.0 nm, which indicates an optical band gap of 1.58 eV, which is consistent with the reported values (1.55–1.61 eV).\textsuperscript{18,53} As the electronegativity of the halogen atoms in organometal lead perovskites increases, the covalent characteristic of the halogen bonding with the lead decreases. Hence, the light absorption of MAPbI\textsubscript{3} shifts to blue when the iodide is replaced with bromine or chlorine.\textsuperscript{21} That is why, the band gap of MAPbI\textsubscript{3} increases by ~0.04 eV when 10 mol% of the iodide is replaced with bromine. Although the Cl doping does not affect the band gap of MAPbI\textsubscript{3} as reported in the literature,\textsuperscript{1,24} we found that MAPbI\textsubscript{3}–Cl\textsubscript{y} exhibits a slightly larger band gap than MAPbI\textsubscript{3} (Fig. 7a). This might be due to the trace amount of Cl\textsuperscript{–} remaining inside the PbI\textsubscript{6} octahedron. The band gap of MA0.80FA0.20PbI\textsubscript{3} is ~0.02 eV, smaller than that of
As the FA⁺ mol ratio increases, the band gap of MAPbI₃ decreases (Fig. 7b). Interestingly, MAPbI₃ and MA₀.₈₀FA₀.₂₀PbI₃Cl₀.₃₀ have the same absorption onset (Fig. 7a). This can be attributed to the fact that the band tuning effects of FA⁺ and Cl⁻ ions cancel each other. The band gap of MA₁₋ₓFAₓPbI₃₋ₓClₓ also depends on the annealing temperature.

MAPbI₃. As the FA⁺ mol ratio increases, the band gap of MA₁₋ₓFAₓPbI₃₋ₓClₓ decreases (Fig. 7b). Interestingly, MAPbI₃ and MA₀.₈₀FA₀.₂₀PbI₃₋ₓClₓ have the same absorption onset (Fig. 7a). This can be attributed to the fact that the band tuning effects of FA⁺ and Cl⁻ ions cancel each other. The band gap of MA₁₋ₓFAₓPbI₃₋ₓClₓ also depends on the annealing temperature.

Fig. 6 SEM and AFM images of MA₀.₈₀FA₀.₂₀PbI₃₋ₓClₓ, MAPbI₃₋ₓClₓ, MAPbI₂, MAPbI₂₋₀.₇₀Br₀.₃₀, and MA₀.₈₀FA₀.₂₀PbI₃ thin films. The perovskite films were annealed at 100 °C. The scale bars are 1 μm for the SEM images of (a–e) and 200 nm for the SEM images of (f–j). The scale is 2 μm and the height scale bar is 50 nm for the AFM images (k–o). The R⁻ values are indicated on the AFM images.
As the annealing temperature increases, the band gap of the perovskite decreases (Fig. S9). Presumably, more MAX (X = I or Cl) forms as a result of the sublimation/evaporation and/or the decomposition of the formed perovskite at elevated temperatures. This process results in the formation of MA$_{1-x}$FA$_x$Pb$_{1-y}$Cl$_y$ with a higher FA$^+$ molar percentage.

Time-resolved PL measurements were conducted to investigate the photo-conversion processes of the perovskites with different compositions. The carrier diffusion lengths were calculated according to the 1D diffusion model as described by Xing et al.$^{54}$ The time-resolved PL measurement conditions and fitting methodology are provided in the experimental and ESI parts (eqn (S2)). Briefly, PCBM as an electron-extraction layer or PEDOT:PSS as a hole-extraction layer is used for the investigation of electron or hole dynamics. In terms of the results for the samples of bare glass/perovskites, glass/perovskites/PCBM and glass/PEDOT:PSS/perovskites, the carrier distribution $n(z,t)$ throughout the perovskite thin films can be described by this equation,

$$\frac{\partial n(z,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 n(z,t)}{\partial t^2} - k(t)n(z,t)$$

where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient and $k(t)$ is the PL decay rate of the perovskite thin films in the absence of quenchers. The initial carrier distribution is defined as $n(z,0) = n_0 \exp(-az)$, where $a$ is the linear absorption coefficient of the thin films at the excitation wavelength of 515 nm. Under the assumption of a perfect quencher case, all the electrons or holes are quenched at the interface of the quenching layer at an infinite quenching rate. A minimum value of $D$ is fitted by using eqn (S2). The diffusion length $(L_D)$ is then derived in terms of $L_D = (D\tau_0)^{1/2}$, where $\tau_0$ is the PL lifetime in the absence of quenchers. The PL
The planar heterojunction PSCs can exhibit a high photovoltaic increase in the charge diffusion length. They can lead to the formation of pinhole-free and smooth perovskite thin films with different compositions.

Among the perovskites with different compositions, MA$_{0.80}$FA$_{0.20}$PbI$_3$ exhibits the longest electron (662 nm) and hole (557 nm) diffusion lengths, which is followed by MAPbI$_3$. These results imply that the Cl doping can increase the charge carrier diffusion lengths. As shown in Tables 1 and 2, the Cl doping also enhances $V_{oc}$ of the PSCs. These results are consistent with those reported in the literature.

Although the Br doping of MAPbI$_3$ also increases the charge carrier diffusion lengths, the electron (433 nm) and hole (327 nm) diffusion lengths are significantly lower than those with Cl doping. By comparing the optical physics of MA$_{0.80}$FA$_{0.20}$PbI$_3$ with MAPbI$_3$, we can conclude that the FA$^+$ organic cations can also increase the charge diffusion lengths.

This can be attributed to the effects of the large FA$^+$ cations on the lattice and the band structure of the perovskites. Eperon et al. also reported that the charge carrier diffusion length in FAPbI$_3$ is longer than that in MAPbI$_3$.

Conclusions

In summary, we reported a new perovskite, MA$_{1-x}$FA$_x$PbI$_{3-y}$Cl$_y$, and its corresponding PSCs for the first time. MA$_{1-x}$FA$_x$PbI$_{3-y}$Cl$_y$ thin films can be formed by annealing at a relatively low temperature of 80–110 °C. The MA$_{0.80}$FA$_{0.20}$PbI$_{1.5}$Cl$_{0.5}$-based planar heterojunction PSCs exhibit a high photovoltaic efficiency of up to 18.14% with $J_{sc}$, $V_{oc}$, and FF values of 21.55 ± 0.55 mA cm$^{-2}$, 1.10 ± 0.010 V, and 0.75 ± 0.02, respectively. The efficiency is significantly higher than that of the control PSCs using MAPbI$_3$, MAPbI$_{1.5}$Cl$_{0.5}$, MAPbI$_{1.5}$Br$_{0.5}$, and MA$_{1-x}$FA$_x$PbI$_3$ as the active layers. The high photovoltaic performance of MA$_{0.80}$FA$_{0.20}$PbI$_{1.5}$Cl$_{0.5}$-based PSCs is attributed to the synergetic effects of the organic cation doping and halide doping.

They can lead to the formation of pinhole-free and smooth perovskite films through annealing at low temperature and the increase in the charge diffusion lengths.

Experimental

Materials and chemicals

Patterned indium tin oxide (ITO) glass substrates (15 ohm $\square^{-1}$) were obtained from the NSG group. Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly(styrene sulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS, Clevis P VP Al 4083) was supplied by Heraeus Holding GmbH. Methylammonium iodide (MAI) and formamidinium iodide (FAI) were received from Dyesol Ltd. [6,6]-phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM) was obtained from Nano-C Inc. Other chemicals, including lead(II) iodide (PbI$_2$: 99.999% purity), lead(II) chloride (PbCl$_2$: 99.999% purity), lead(II) bromide (PbBr$_2$: 99.999% purity), C60 (98% purity), chlorobenzene (anhdyrous, 99.8% purity), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, anhydrous, ≥99.9% purity), $\gamma$-butyrolactone (GBL, ≥99% purity), tolune (anhdyrous, 99.5% purity), LiF (≥99% purity), and silver (Ag shot, ≥99.9% purity), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All materials were used as received without further purification.

Fabrication and characterization of PSCs

The device architecture is shown in Fig. 1a. The devices were fabricated through the following process. ITO glass substrates were cleaned by sonication successively in detergent, deionized water, acetone, and isopropanol. The sonication time was 20 min for each cleaning. They were then dried with N$_2$ flow and then treated in a UV-ozone for 15 min. The hole transporting layer, PEDOT:PSS, with a thickness of ~20 nm was spin-coated onto the ITO substrates at 8k rpm for 35 s and then annealed at 140 °C for 15 min in air. The ITO substrates coated with PEDOT:PSS were then transferred into a glovebox filled with N$_2$ to coat the perovskite and PCBM layers. The perovskite precursor solution was prepared by dissolving 1.4 M of PbI$_2$ + PbCl$_2$ and 1.35 M of MAI + FAI in a co-solvent of GBL/DMSO (3:7 vol. ratio). The total concentration of PbI$_2$ and PbCl$_2$ is 1.4 M, and that of MAI and FAI is 1.35 M. The substrates and the precursor solution were pre-heated at 85 °C, and then the precursor solution was coated at 1k rpm for 20 s. 1 mL toluene was dripped after 20 s at 4k rpm. It was then annealed at 100 °C for 15 min. The thickness of the perovskite layer was around 280 nm as determined using a surface step profiler. The electron transporting layer was deposited on the perovskite layer by spin coating a chlorobenzene solution of 20 mg mL$^{-1}$ PCBM at 2k rpm for 90 s. The devices were completed by the thermal deposition of C$_{60}$ (20 nm thick), LiF (0.6 nm thick), and Ag (100 nm thick) at a vacuum of <1 × 10$^{-6}$ Torr. The PSCs were encapsulated with a UV-curable epoxy and glass sheets in the glovebox. Each device had an active area of 0.11 cm$^2$. A mask was used during the photovoltaic tests.

Table 3 Fitting parameters and fitted diffusion coefficients and lengths via the 1D diffusion model for the different perovskite thin films with different compositions

| Composition       | $A_1$  | $\tau_1$ (ns) | $A_2$  | $\tau_2$ (ns) | $D_h^a$ (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) | $L_{D,h}^a$ (nm) | $D_e^a$ (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) | $L_{D,e}^a$ (nm) |
|-------------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| MA$_{0.80}$FA$_{0.20}$PbI$_{1.5}$Cl$_{0.5}$ | 0.59   | 5.05          | 0.41   | 30.78         | $1.20 \times 10^{-1}$      | 557              | $1.70 \times 10^{-1}$      | 662              |
| MAPbI$_3$         | 0.57   | 2.88          | 0.43   | 16.76         | $7.27 \times 10^{-2}$      | 321              | $1.99 \times 10^{-1}$      | 531              |
| MAPbI$_{1.5}$Cl$_{0.5}$ | 0.58   | 1.44          | 0.42   | 5.88          | $9.51 \times 10^{-2}$      | 213              | $2.15 \times 10^{-1}$      | 320              |
| MAPbI$_{1.5}$Br$_{0.5}$ | 0.59   | 2.16          | 0.41   | 13.92         | $9.07 \times 10^{-2}$      | 327              | $1.59 \times 10^{-1}$      | 433              |
| MA$_{0.80}$FA$_{0.20}$PbI$_3$ | 0.72   | 2.59          | 0.28   | 15.31         | $1.57 \times 10^{-1}$      | 365              | $1.18 \times 10^{-1}$      | 358              |

$D_h$: hole diffusion coefficient; $D_e$: electron diffusion coefficient; $L_{D,h}$: hole diffusion length; $L_{D,e}$: electron diffusion length.
The devices were tested under ambient conditions. A Keithley 2400 source/meter unit was used to record the J–V curves of the PSCs. The photocurrent was measured for the devices under AM1.5 illumination (100 mW cm⁻²), which was calibrated using a standard Si photodiode detector. The IPCE spectra were obtained using an IPCE setup consisting of a Xenon lamp (Oriel, 300 W) as the light source, a Cornerstone 260 Oriel 74125 monochromator, and a lock-in amplifier (SR830 by the Stanford Research Corp). The light source was calibrated with a Si-based diode (J115711-1-Si detector).

**Thin film characterization**

UV-visible absorption spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded with a Bruker D8 Advance XRD Instrument. Film thickness values were determined employing a surface profilometer (KLA Tencor, Alpha-Step IQ). Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were obtained using a LS 55 Fluorescence Spectrometer (PerkinElmer) with an excitation wavelength of 300 nm. Electron scanning microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) images were acquired with a Zeiss Supra-40 SEM and a Veeco NanoScope IV Multi-Mode AFM operated in the tapping mode, respectively. For the time-resolved photoluminescence measurements, the perovskite thin films were excited by a 515 nm laser. The excitation laser had a frequency of 100 kHz and a pulse duration of 200 fs. The scattered excitation light was eliminated with a 532 nm long pass filter. After filtering with a 776 ± 10 nm band pass filter, the emission of the samples was collected by an avalanche photodiode (Micro photon device by the PicoQuant). The PL kinetics were measured using a time-correlated single photon counting module (TCSPC PicoHarp 300 by the PicoQuant) with a time window of 260 ns.
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