Identification, characterization, and structural analyses of a fungal endo-β-1,2-glucanase reveal a new glycoside hydrolase family
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endo-β-1,2-Glucanase (SGL) is an enzyme that hydrolyzes β-1,2-glucans, which play important physiological roles in some bacteria as a cyclic form. To date, no eukaryotic SGL has been identified. We purified an SGL from Talaromyces funiculosus (TfSGL), a soil fungus, to homogeneity and then cloned the complementary DNA encoding the enzyme. TfSGL shows no significant sequence similarity to any known glycoside hydrolase (GH) families, but shows significant similarity to certain eukaryotic proteins with unknown functions. The recombinant TfSGL (TfSGLr) specifically hydrolyzed linear and cyclic β-1,2-glucans to sophorose (Glc-β-1,2-Glc) as a main product. TfSGLr hydrolyzed reducing-end-modified β-1,2-gluco-oligosaccharides to release a sophoroside with the modified moiety. These results indicate that TfSGL is an endo-type enzyme that preferably releases sophorose from the reducing end of substrates. Stereochemical analysis demonstrated that TfSGL is an inverting enzyme. The overall structure of TfSGLr includes an (α/α)6 toroid fold. The substrate-binding mode was revealed by the structure of a Michaelis complex of an inactive TfSGLr mutant with a β-1,2-glacchoptasaccharide. Mutational analysis and action pattern analysis of β-1,2-gluco-oligosaccharide derivatives revealed an unprecedented catalytic mechanism for substrate hydrolase. Glu-262 (general acid) indirectly protonates the anomeric oxygen at subsite −1 via the 3-hydroxy group of the Glc moiety at subsite +2, and Asp-446 (general base) activates the nucleophilic water via another water. TfSGLr is apparently different from a GH144 SGL in the reaction and substrate recognition mechanism based on structural comparison. Overall, we propose that TfSGL and closely-related enzymes can be classified into a new family, GH162.

There are a great variety of carbohydrates in nature, which play various important physiological roles. In correspondence with such variety, the biochemical functions and structures of enzymes related to carbohydrates are very diverse. These enzymes are basically classified based on amino acid sequence similarity in the Carbohydrate-Active enZymes Database (CAZy) (1). The glycoside hydrolase (GH) family, the largest group in CAZy, is expanding, e.g. seven novel GH families (GH137–143) were recently found in the Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron rhamnogalacturonan-II degrahome (2). However, exploration of GH enzymes still has not caught up with the wide structural variety of carbohydrates.
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β-1,2- Glucan is composed of a β-1,2-linked glucosyl backbone and is mainly found in bacterial cyclic polysaccharides produced by Gram-negative bacteria such as Brucella and Rhizobium (3–7). Cyclic β-1,2-glucans play roles as symbiotic or virulence factors inhibiting the immune responses of plants and in induction of splenomegaly in the mice (8–12). Roles of modulation of intracellular osmotic pressure and intracellular iron homeostasis have also been reported (13–15). Recently, cyclic β-1,2-glucan was also found in Eukaryotes such as Chlorella pyrenoidosa, an edible green microalga beneficial for immunostimulatory activity (16). There is some variety in the degree of pyrenoidosa end of Sop GH144 homologs (26). Among the intestinal bacterium, among the bacteria. In Eukaryotes, SGL activities have been reported (13–15). Recently, cyclic β-1,2-glucan has been identified as a Sop GH144 (25). Then, a sophorosyl hydrolase (nonreducing end), which releases sophorose as a substrate (17).

Despite the important physiological functions of β-1,2-glucans in nature, β-1,2-glucan-associated enzymes and proteins have been explored much less than other GH enzymes that degrade polysaccharides such as β-1,3-glucan and cellulose (β-1,4-glucan), due to the difficulty in practical preparation of cyclic β-1,2-glucans. This may be attributed to the fact that cyclic β-1,2-glucan synthase is a transmembrane enzyme and uses UDP-glucose, which is a more expensive starting material than glucosides such as sucrose and starch, as a substrate (17).

Recently, GH94 1,2-β-d-oligoglucan phosphorylase (SOGP, EC 2.4.1.333) was found in Listeria innocua (19). SOGP from L. innocua (LiSOGP) reversibly phosphorylates Sop₅ with a DP of 3 or more. A large-scale preparation method for linear β-1,2-glucan (unless otherwise noted, β-1,2-glucans represent a linear form) involving LiSOGP has been established, which overcomes the limited availability of β-1,2-glucans (20). Furthermore, investigation of biochemical functions of GH3 β-glucosidase (BGL) and Sop₅-binding protein in the LiSOGP gene cluster (LiBGL and LiSOP₅, respectively) revealed that the gene cluster is involved in metabolism of Sop₅ (21, 22). A β-glucosidase from B. thetaiotaomicron, a LiBGL homolog, has been identified as a Sop₅-degrading β-glucosidase (23). In addition, the structure–function relationships of these enzymes and protein have also been unveiled (21–24).

Compared with the exo-type enzymes acting on β-1,2-glucan and Sop₅, Sopn_s, endo-β-1,2-glucanases (SGLs) have not been well studied. In 2017, Cpin6279 protein from Chitinophaga pinensis (CpSGL), a soil Gram-negative bacterium, was first identified as an SGL with a novel amino acid sequence, demonstrating a novel family, GH144 (25). Then, a sophorosyl hydrolase (nonreducing end), which releases sophorose from the nonreducing end of Sop₅ (PdSGL), was found as an exo-type enzyme in Parabacteroides distasonis, an intestinal bacterium, among the GH144 homologs (26). GH144 homologs are distributed mainly in Gram-negative bacteria. In Eukaryotes, SGL activities have been reported in some fungi such as Aspergillus, Penicillium species, and Acremonium sp. 15 (27, 28). However, the distribution of eukaryotic SGLs and their relationship with bacterial SGLs are unclear. In this paper, we identified a Talaromyces fumiculosus (formerly Penicillium fumiculosum) SGL (TfSGL) with a novel sequence, characterized the recombinant TfSGL (TfSGLr), and further determined the 3D structure of TfSGLr.

**Results and discussion**

**Purification of an SGL from fungi**

Among fungi known to inducibly secrete SGLs by cyclic β-1,2-glucans, we examined the SGL activities in culture filtrates of T. fumiculosus grown in the presence of linear β-1,2-glucan as a sole carbon source. Because the culture filtrate of T. fumiculosus degraded the linear β-1,2-glucan, we purified the secreted TfSGL by three chromatographies. Although the SGL fractions contained BGL activity during the purification steps, SGL activity was completely separated from BGL activity by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC), the final purification step (Fig. 1A and Table 1), suggesting that the purified enzyme is an actual SGL. In Fig. 1A, DP₅ of the reaction products were somewhat obscure, which might be attributed to a slight smilling effect by NaCl in the enzyme solutions. The fractions containing the target enzyme gave a single band that migrated at ~60 kDa on SDS-PAGE, indicating that TfSGL had been successfully purified to homogeneity. On SEC analysis, TfSGL eluted at 59 kDa (Fig. S1), suggesting that TfSGL is a monomeric enzyme. The purified TfSGL is highly specific for β-1,2-glucan among the polysaccharides examined (data not shown).

**Glycosylated protein analysis of the purified enzyme**

To determine whether TfSGL is glycosylated, periodic acid-Schiff stain analysis of the purified enzyme was performed. The enzyme was detected on both protein and sugar-chain staining, indicating that TfSGL is a glycoprotein (Fig. 1B). After the purified enzyme had been incubated with glycopeptidase F, which specifically cleaves N-glycans in glycoproteins, TfSGL was detected only on protein staining at ~50 kDa. Therefore, TfSGL is a glycoprotein possessing only N-glycan of ~10 kDa.

**Analysis of action patterns on β-1,2-glucans and Sop₅ₛ**

The action pattern of TfSGL on linear β-1,2-glucan was determined by TLC analysis. TfSGL hydrolyzed β-1,2-glucan to Sop₅, as a main product, implying that TfSGL preferably releases Sop₅ from either end of β-1,2-glucan (Fig. 2A). In addition, Sop₅ was accumulated as a minor product. Sop₅ with a DP of 5 or more were not visible in the TLC plate at a late stage of the reaction, although Sop₅ was temporarily accumulated at the middle stage of the reaction probably due to slow hydrolysis of Sop₅ by TfSGL (Fig. 2A). TfSGL hydrolyzed Sop₅ to Sop₂ and Sop₃ but did not degrade Sop₅ or Sop₃ (Fig. 2B). These results indicate that TfSGL hydrolyzes Sop₅ with DP of 5 or more to Sop₂ as a main product.

**Sequence analysis**

Because identification of the internal amino acid sequence of the purified enzyme was unsuccessful by LC-tandem MS analysis, N-terminal and internal peptide sequencing was per-
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Figure 1. Purification of TfSGL from a culture filtrate. A, top, SDS-PAGE of eluted fractions in the final purification step (SEC). Middle, TLC analysis of SGL activity in the fractions. BGL activity was inhibited by GDL. Lane M represents a mixture of 0.2% sugars (Glc and Sop2–4), and the numbers to the left of the TLC plates represent DP of Sop ns. Bottom, BGL activity in the fractions. pNP-Glc was used as a substrate. Upward and downward arrows indicate correspondence of lanes among the three parts. B, protein (left) and sugar-chain (right) staining of TfSGL. Lanes 1–5 represent protein standard markers, glycoprotein standard markers, glycopeptidase F, and TfSGL without and with treatment of glycopeptidase F, respectively.

Table 1

Purification of TfSGL

| Purification step          | Total protein (mg) | Total activity (U) | Specific activity (U/mg) | Yield (%) | Purification (fold) | Total activity (U) | Specific activity (U/mg) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Culture filtrate          | 4.0                | 1.3                | 0.32                    | 100       | 1.0                 | 0.60               | 0.15                    |
| HiTrap™ butyl HP (hydrophobic) | 0.22              | 0.29               | 1.4                     | 23        | 4.2                 | 0.045              | 0.21                    |
| RESOURCE™Q (anion-exchange)       | (0.086)*          | 0.14               | (1.7)*                  | 11        | (5.2)*              | 0.0035             | 0.041                   |
| Superdex™ 200GL (size-exclusion) | (0.13)*          | 0.14               | (1.1)*                  | 11        | (3.5)*              | <0.0002            | <0.0002                 |

* The values related to protein concentrations are shown in parentheses, as the low protein concentrations made the quantification inaccurate.

Figure 2. TLC analysis of action patterns on β-1,2-glucan (A) and Sop ns (B) with TfSGL. Lane M represents a mixture of 0.2% Sop ns. The numbers beside the TLC plates represent DP of Sop ns. The purified TfSGL (1.9 and 3.8 μg/ml for hydrolytic reactions of β-1,2-glucan and Sop ns, respectively) was incubated in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 5.0) containing 0.2% β-1,2-glucan or 5 mM Sop ns with DP of 3–5 at 20 °C. Arrows represent β-1,2-glucan used for reactions. The origins of the TLC plates are shown as horizontal lines denoted by asterisks.

Figure 3. A novel β-1,2-glucanase from fungi.
Phylogenetic analysis

We constructed a phylogenetic tree, as shown in Fig. 4. The phylogenetic tree comprised only proteins annotated as hypothetical, unnamed, or GPI-anchored proteins. Although several homologs are annotated as glycosyl hydrolase family proteins, the annotations depend on the GH1 domain fused with regions homologous to TfSGL, and no annotation is given to the homologous regions. The biochemical functions of these homologous to the annotations depend on the GH1 domain fused with regions homologous to TfSGL, and no annotation is given to the homologous regions. The biochemical functions of these TfSGL homologs are from Eukaryotes with some exceptions, such as a phylogenetic tree comprised only proteins annotated as glycosyl hydrolase family proteins.

Substrate specificity of TfSGLr

To determine the substrate specificity of the TfSGLr, hydrolytic activity toward various polysaccharides was examined. The enzyme acted on linear β-1,2-glucan specifically (specific activity was 17 units/mg as Sop2-releasing activity). Then, the chain-length specificity of the enzyme for Sop5 was examined. The enzyme hydrolyzed Sop5 to Sop2 and Sop3, and Sop6 to Sop2 and Sop4 as main products, with Sop3 as a minor product, in essentially the same as those of the native TfSGL. The hydrolytic velocity toward Sop5 was 5 times lower than that toward Sop2. These results suggest that TfSGLr hydrolyzes β-1,2-glucan with a DP of 5 or more but requires DP of at least 6 for efficient hydrolysis.

Kinetic parameters for β-1,2-glucan and Sop5

The kinetic parameters of TfSGLr were determined using β-1,2-glucan and Sop5 as substrates (Fig. 6). These results show that Sop5 is a much less preferable substrate than β-1,2-glucan. These parameters for β-1,2-glucan and Sop5 are comparable with those for CpSGL (25). In addition, the activity of general endo-type GH enzymes toward their substrates is also similar to that of TfSGLr toward β-1,2-glucan (29, 30).

Action patterns of TfSGLr

TfSGLr hydrolyzed both cyclic and linear β-1,2-glucans at similar velocity, indicating that TfSGLr is an endo-type enzyme.

Figure 3. Scheme of cloning of a gDNA region encoding the whole TfSGL gene. The coding DNA sequence (CDS) regions shown in the square boxes represent exons of the TfSGL gene. The regions of the N-terminal peptide and internal peptides 1 and 2 are shown as black, gray, and dotted patterns, respectively. The regions around the peptides are shown as an enlarged view. The obtained N-terminal and two internal peptide sequences are shown below. The first (G) and 7th (K) residue in the internal peptide 2 is a presumed and an undetermined residue, respectively. Only the 6th residue in the N-terminal peptide and the 7th residue in the internal peptide 2 were replaced with cysteine residues in the deduced amino acid sequence of TfSGL. The differences in the sequences are attributed to the fact that cysteine cannot generally be detected unless it is pyridylethylated. The degenerate and specific PCR primers used for cloning are represented with thin and bold arrows, respectively. Primer pairs used for degenerate PCR and specific PCR are boxed with dotted and solid lines, respectively. The numbers above the coding DNA sequence boxes and beside the primers represent the nucleotide numbers from the start codon on gDNA.

A novel β-1,2-glucanase from fungi

TfSGLr was successfully produced by Pichia pastoris. The purified enzyme migrated as a single band with a similar molecular mass to that of the native TfSGL on SDS-PAGE (Fig. S2A). Because TfSGLr showed hydrolytic activity toward β-1,2-glucan like the native TfSGL, β-1,2-glucan was used as a substrate to investigate the pH and temperature profiles. TfSGLr was stable at pH 4.0–7.0 and up to 30 °C. TfSGLr exhibits the highest activity at 60 °C and at pH 4.0–4.5 (Fig. S2, B and C).
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**Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree for TfSGL homologs.** The phylogenetic tree for TfSGL homologs was prepared using TfSGL homologs exhibiting at least 35% sequence identity and 50% coverage. Proteins are represented as GenBank™ or NCBI reference sequence accession numbers with the corresponding organism names. Ascomycota, highlighted in gray; Basidiomycota, dotted pattern; Elusimicrobia, pattern of horizontal lines; Dinoflagellata, pattern of diagonal lines with the left side up; Heterolobosea, pattern of vertical and horizontal lines; Ciliophora, pattern of vertical lines; Euglenozoa, pattern of diagonal lines with the right side up. Choanozoa, pattern of squares; Mycelzoa, pattern of diamonds. Letters prior to the organism names represent annotations; A, GPI-anchored protein; B, unnamed, hypothetical, or predicted protein. Black diamonds represent TfSGL homologs fused with the GH1 domain. The GH1 domains were excluded for preparation of the phylogenetic tree. The scale bar represents the number of substitutions per amino acid site. Asterisks represent homologs whose sequences were used for sequence alignment with TfSGL in Fig. 10.

**(Fig. 5B)**. A main product released from linear β-1,2-glucan was Sop2. Sop2-5 were also produced as minor products after a 1-h reaction. To determine which ends of the linear β-1,2-glucan are hydrolyzed by TfSGLr, the action patterns on Sop2-5 modified at the reducing-end by NaBH4 (rSop2-5) was investigated. Although Sop2 was not observed at the early stage of the reaction, rSop2 was found to be released from rSop6-8 despite the modification of the reducing end (Fig. 5C). Considering that rSop2 was not produced, rSop2 is likely released as a disaccharide by TfSGLr, probably because the modified moiety adopting an open chain form is not recognized by TfSGLr. In addition, there was no difference in hydrolytic velocity for the substrates regardless of the modification at the reducing end of the substrates (Fig. 5, A and C), suggesting that modification of the substrates does not affect reaction velocity. These results suggest that TfSGL is an endo-type enzyme but quite preferably releases Sop2 from the reducing end of linear β-1,2-glucan (26).

**Stereochemistry of hydrolysis catalyzed by TfSGLr**

To determine the reaction mechanism of TfSGLr, the anomeric configurations of hydrolysates released from β-1,2-glucan were examined by 1H NMR. The β-anomer signal derived from the anomeric axial proton at the reducing end in liberated Sop2-5 (H1α, around δ 5.4) and the C2 proton at the nonreducing end of all the reaction products (H2α, around δ 3.3) were used for stereochemical analysis as described by Abe et al. (25). Both peak areas increased immediately after addition of the enzyme (Fig. 7, A and B). Then, the former peak area gradually decreased during the reaction due to the nonenzymatic mutarotation of the products, whereas the latter gradually increased probably due to slow hydrolysis of Sop2, which was accumulated as shown in Fig. 5B. This result suggests that TfSGL is an inverting enzyme. We also examined the change of the degree of optical rotation during the hydrolysis of β-1,2-glucan by TfSGLr. An increase in the degree of optical rotation at the early stage of the reaction and a dramatic decrease in it in addition to aqueous ammonia was observed (Fig. 7C), with this being the same pattern as that of CpPSGL, an inverting enzyme (25). This result also supports an inverting mechanism of TfSGL.

**Overall structure of TfSGLr**

The apo structure of TfSGLr was determined at 2.0 Å resolution using the iodide single-wavelength anomalous diffraction-phasing method (Table S1 and Fig. 8). There are two molecules in an asymmetric unit, and their root mean square deviation (RMSD) value was determined to be 0.1 Å. The struct...
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Figure 5. TLC analysis of hydrolysates from Sop₅s (A), β-1,2-glucans (B), and Sop₆ analogs (C) obtained with TfSGLr. Lanes M1–5 represent sugar markers containing 0.2% each gluco-oligosaccharide; M1, Glc and Sop₂–₇; M2, Glc and Sop₄, 5, 7, 9, 11; M3, Glc and Sop₆, 8, 10, 11; M4, rSop₂, 4, 6, 8, 10; and M5, rSop₃, 5, 7, 9, 11. The substrates used for the reactions are shown above the TLC plates. Each substrate (0.2%) was hydrolyzed with TfSGLr (19.3 μg/ml for hydrolysis of Sop and rSop, and 3.2 μg/ml for the other substrates). The origins of the TLC plates are shown as horizontal lines denoted by asterisks. The numbers beside the TLC plates represent DP of Sop₅. Arrows indicate β-1,2-glucans used for reactions. C, numbers with the letter r beside the TLC plates represent rSop₅s. The pattern diagrams of rSop₅s are shown below the TLC plates. The open and closed circles in the pattern diagrams represent Glc and the reduced Glc moieties, respectively. Cleavage sites are represented as arrowheads.

Figure 6. Kinetic parameters of TfSGLr for Sop₅ (left) and β-1,2-glucan (right). The data plotted as open and closed circles are regressed with the substrate inhibition equation (left) and Michaelis-Menten equation (right), respectively. The regressed lines are shown as solid lines. A molar concentration of β-1,2-glucan is calculated using average DP of the β-1,2-glucan. The values when the concentrations of β-1,2-glucan are represented by mg/ml are attached in parentheses.
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Figure 6. Kinetic parameters of TfSGLr for Sop₅ (left) and β-1,2-glucan (right). The data plotted as open and closed circles are regressed with the substrate inhibition equation (left) and Michaelis-Menten equation (right), respectively. The regressed lines are shown as solid lines. A molar concentration of β-1,2-glucan is calculated using average DP of the β-1,2-glucan. The values when the concentrations of β-1,2-glucan are represented by mg/ml are attached in parentheses.

The structure consists of mainly antiparallel α-helices forming inner and outer rings, indicating that TfSGLr folds into a single (α/α)₆-barrel domain (Fig. 8). A structural homology search using the Dali server (31) showed that the overall structure of TfSGLr is similar to those of GH144 family members, including CpSGL (Protein Data Bank (PDB) code 5GZH) (Table S2). However, TjSGLr shows remarkably low amino acid sequence identities with GH144 proteins according to structure-based alignment.
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with the PDBeFold server (less than 11%) (32, 33). TjSGLr also exhibits structural similarities to other GH family enzymes, a GH126 α-amylase from Clostridium perfringens (PDB code 3REN) (34), and a GH15 glucoamylase from Saccharomyces fibuligera (PDB code 1AYX). The similarities are lower than those of the GH144 enzymes according to Z-scores (RMSD values) (Table S2). TjSGLr has a cleft crossing the surface of the structure, and there is a large pocket at the center of the cleft (Fig. 8C). The pocket is located at the center of the (α/α)6-barrel as in most (α/α)6-barrel GH enzymes.

**Complex structure with Sop2 and Glc**

In the obtained complex structure with Sop2 and Glc by soaking a TjSGLr crystal in Sop2, the electron density of a Sop2 molecule was clearly observed at the center of the cleft (Fig. 9A). Considering that TjSGLr produces mainly Sop2 from the reducing end of linear β-1,2-glucan, the Sop2 molecule seems to be located at subsites +1 to +2. This is supported by the TjSGLr structure in complex with Sop2, as described below.

The Glc moiety at the nonreducing end of the Sop2 molecule forms hydrogen bonds with Trp-155 and Asp-177 (Fig. 9A). The hydrophobic side chain of Leu-176 interacts with the pyranose ring of the Glc moiety. In addition, the aromatic ring of Trp-169 undergoes a hydrophobic interaction with the C6 atom of the Glc moiety. The Glc moiety at the reducing end of the Sop2 molecule forms six hydrogen bonds with Asp-259, Glu-262, and His-316. The side chain of His-316 adopts alternative conformations in the apo TjSGLr, unlike in the case of the Sop2 complex (Fig. 9A). Two aromatic residues (Tyr-311 and Trp-312) undergo hydrophobic stacking interactions with C6 and the pyranose ring in the Glc moiety.

A relatively weak electron density that can be fitted with a Glc molecule was also observed in the pocket. The Glc molecule forms hydrogen bonds with Asp-72, Lys-94, Asn-360, and Asp-446 (Fig. 9A). The aromatic rings of Trp-155 and Tyr-373 undergo hydrophobic stacking interactions with the pyranose ring of the Glc molecule.

**Determination of subsite positions in the complex structure with Sop7**

To elucidate a more detailed substrate recognition mechanism by obtaining the structure of the Michaelis complex of
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Figure 8. Overall structure of TjąGLR. A, front view of the overall apo TjąGLR structure (left) and the structure rotated by 90° around the y axis (right). The α-helices, β-strands, and loop are shown in green, cyan, yellow, and blue, respectively. Four asparagine residues (Asn-143, Asn-237, Asn-361, and Asn-384) bonded with GlcNAc, and the GlcNAc moieties and residues constituting three disulfide bonds (Cys-27–Cys-516, Cys-230–Cys-251, and Cys-345–Cys-499) are represented by magenta, orange, and white sticks, respectively. B, order of helices constituting an (α/α)8-barrel in TюсьGLR. The barrel is represented by a rainbow cartoon. The helices in TюсьGLR are numbered in order from the N terminus. C, surface of the overall structure of apo TюсьGLR.

TюсьGLR, we searched for an inactive TюсьGLR mutant. Because mutation of Glu-262 in the substrate-binding pocket to glutamine abolished the activity of TюсьGLR toward β-1,2-glucan, we soaked a crystal of the E262Q mutant in D2O and observed the electron density of a Glc moiety at subsite –2 in the complex structures of TюсьGLR–Sop2 complex described above (Fig. 9D). The Glc molecule in the TюсьGLR–Sop2 complex is also well-superimposed with the Glc moiety at subsite –3 in the TюсьGLR–Sop2 complex. Thus, the Glc moieties of Sop2 at subsites –3, +1, and +2 are firmly recognized in almost the same way as in the Sop2 complex (Fig. 9, A and B). The Glc moieties at subsites –3 to +2 are apparently accommodated in the large substrate pocket, which looks like a “gravy boat” (Fig. 9D).

Unlike subsites –3, +1, and +2, subsites –2 and –1 seem to be unfavorable factors for substrate binding. The Glc moiety at subsite –2 forms only one hydrogen bond with Ser-375. The twisted glycosidic bond between subsites –1 and +1 (see below), and the twisted conformation of the Glc moiety at subsite –1 also make the binding unstable. These observations imply the importance of subsite –3 for substrate binding on the minus subsite side. Taken together with the requirement of subsite +2 for catalysis, as described later, structural observations suggest that at least a DP of 5 is needed for Sop2 to act as a substrate. This is consistent with the finding that Sop2 is a minimum substrate of TюсьGLR (Fig. 5A).

The 2- and 1-hydroxy groups of the Glc moieties at subsites –4 and +3, respectively, face the solvent (Fig. 9D), indicating that TюсьGLR can accommodate a substrate extending beyond both subsites –4 and +3. This observation is consistent with the endolytic property of TюсьGLR.

At subsite –4, only a hydrogen bond with Trp-169 and a hydrophobic stacking interaction with the aromatic ring of Trp-155 were found, whereas no interaction was observed at subsite +3. This difference is consistent with the observed action patterns that Sop2 was preferentially hydrolyzed to Sop4 and Sop2. Judging from these observations, the binding mode of Sop2 is consistent with the characteristics of TюсьGLR, suggesting that binding of Sop2 is productive.

Most of the substrate recognition residues are conserved among TюсьGLR homologs except that two residues in TюсьGLR (Tyr-311 and Ser-375) exhibit a little variety (Fig. 10). This implies that the function and structure of TюсьGLR are conserved among TюсьGLR homologs.

Comparison of conformations of ligands between β-1,2-glucan–associated proteins

The conformations of Sop3–5 have been reported as ligands in the complex structures of LsSO-BP (22). In the complexes, the Sop3–5 molecules adopt stable conformations, and the Glc moieties in the ligands line up in a zigzag manner. Compared with these ligands, a feature of the Sop2 molecule in TюсьGLR is a twisted (1S3) conformation at subsite –1, as described above. It
is observed that the anomeric hydroxy group in the Glc moiety is located at a lifted position when pair-fitting of the pyranose rings of substrates at subsites –3 to –1 in \( \text{TfSGLr} \) and at units A to C (the Sop\(_3\) moiety from the nonreducing end of Sop5) in \( \text{LiSO-BP} \) is performed (Fig. S3). The Glc moiety of subsite \(-n\) in the \( \text{SOGP} \) pyranose ring is sandwiched by two aromatic residues) (21, 22). Liwiched by the closure motion of two domains in

In the case of Sop7, His-316, Ser-358, Tyr-396, Glu-430, Thr-444, and Asp-446. These candidate residues that interact indirectly with the nucleophilic water via multiple waters as candidate residues for catalysis: His-316, Ser-358, Tyr-396, Glu-430, Thr-444, and Asp-446. Glu-180 was also added as a candidate because it is an acidic residue located near subsite \(-1\) (Fig. S1B). These candidate residues, except Thr-444, are highly conserved among \( \text{TfSGL} \) homologs (Fig. 10). Therefore, mutational analysis of all these residues was carried out for identification of the general base, although the T444A mutant did not show a change (Table 2). The relative activity of the E430A mutant was less than 1%, which could also be regarded as the activity level of a catalytic mutant. Even when the 3-methyl-2-benzothiazolinone hydrazone (MBTH) method (39), the most sensitive method for quantification of Sop\(_p\)s, was used for assay, the relative activity of the E430A mutant did not show a change (Table 2).

As to the reaction pathway for a general base, only Tyr-373 interacts with the nucleophilic water among the amino acid residues (Fig. S1B). Furthermore, there is no acidic residue hydrogen-bonded with Tyr-373. Therefore, we added the following residues that interact indirectly with the nucleophilic water via multiple waters as candidate residues for catalysis: His-316, Ser-358, Tyr-396, Glu-430, Thr-444, and Asp-446. Glu-180 was also added as a candidate because it is an acidic residue located near subsite \(-1\) (Fig. S1B). These candidate residues, except Thr-444, are highly conserved among \( \text{TfSGL} \) homologs (Fig. 10). Therefore, mutational analysis of all these residues was carried out for identification of the general base, although the T444A mutant did not show a change (Table 2). The relative activity of the E430A mutant was less than 1%, which could also be regarded as the activity level of a catalytic mutant. Even when the 3-methyl-2-benzothiazolinone hydrazone (MBTH) method (39), the most sensitive method for quantification of Sop\(_p\)s, was used for assay, the relative activity of the E430A mutant did not show a change (Table 2). However, it is difficult to regard Glu-430 as a general base, because there needs to be three additional waters to reach the nucleophilic water, and one of the hydrogen bond lengths between these waters (3.6 Å) is longer than a general one. Although there is also a proton network from Glu-430 to the nucleophilic water

**Mutational analysis of candidates for a general base**

In general, an inverting GH enzyme hydrolyzes a glycosidic bond in its substrate through a single-displacement mechanism using two acidic residues (Fig. 11A). A general base activates a water for nucleophilic attack on the anomeric carbon, whereas a general acid protonates the glycosidic bond oxygen directly (38). However, no acidic residue directly interacts with the nucleophilic water molecule or is located within the proton transfer distance range from the glycosidic bond oxygen atom at the cleavage site in the case of \( \text{TfSGLr} \) (Fig. S1B). Therefore, \( \text{TfSGLr} \) unlikely utilizes a canonical reaction mechanism.

**Figure 9. Complexes with Sop\(_2\) and Glc in the WT and Sop7 in the E262Q mutant.** A and B, substrate-binding modes of \( \text{TfSGLr} \)–Sop\(_2\) and Glc complex (A), and the E262Q–Sop\(_3\) complex (B). The numbers beside the substrate represent the positions of subsites. The \( F_o \)–\( F_c \) omit maps for Sop\(_2\), Glc, and Sop\(_7\) are shown at the 4\( \sigma \) contour level and represented by a blue mesh. The blue dotted lines represent the hydrogen bonds between the ligands and the enzymes. A, observed Sop\(_2\) and Glc in chain \( \text{B} \) are represented by white sticks. Residues involved in interaction with Sop\(_2\) and Glc in the complex, and the corresponding residues in the superimposed apo structure of \( \text{TfSGLr} \) are represented by green and light blue sticks, respectively. A blobby electron density beyond the anomeric hydroxy group of the Glc molecule at subsite –3 was omitted from this figure. B, observed Sop\(_7\) moiety of \( \beta\)-1,2-glucan with the average DP of 25 in the complex of the E262Q mutant is represented by a yellow-green stick. Residues involved in interaction with Sop\(_7\) in the E262Q mutant are represented by brown sticks. C, enlarged view of the skewed Glc moiety in the Sop\(_7\) molecule. A water near the anomeric carbon of the Glc moiety is represented by a red sphere. D, surface representation of the catalytic center with Sop\(_7\) in the E262Q mutant. The catalytic pocket is enclosed by a red dotted line. Ligands in the Sop\(_2\) and Glc complex are represented by a white stick when the Sop\(_2\) and Glc complex and the Sop\(_7\) complex are aligned.
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**Figure 10.** Multiple sequence alignment of *TfSGL* and its homologs. Multiple alignment using *TfSGL* homologs with at least 37% sequence identity was carried out. The homologs are represented as GenBank™ or NCBI reference sequence accession numbers. The symbols below the sequences are represented as follows: open circles, candidates for catalytic residues of *TfSGLr*; closed circles, residues involved in substrate recognition; closed triangles, N-glycosylated asparagine residues; and closed stars, the general acid (Glu-262) and the general base (Asp-446) of *TfSGL*. The same numbers below sequences represent disulfide bond pairs. Residue numbers above sequences are based on the amino acid sequence of the native *TfSGL*. The secondary structures of *TfSGLr* are shown above the sequence. The order of helices constituting (α/α) barrels in *TfSGL* is shown in parentheses.
The distances of the hydrogen bonds are shown mutant are represented as arrows containing 0.2% C, respectively. The numbers shown beside the lines represent the position of nucleophilic attack. Residues corresponding to each mutant are represented as sticks and are color-coded based on the relative hydrolytic activity toward β-1,2-glucan (0%, magenta; 0.1–10%, yellow; and >10%, green). The 3-hydroxy groups of the Glc moieties at subsites +1 and +2 and the oxygen atom at the cleavage site are highlighted in blue and red circles, respectively. C, TLC analysis of the activities of TfsGLr mutants toward β-1,2-glucan. Lane M represents markers each containing 0.2% Sop2–5 and β-1,2-glucan. The mutants used for the reactions are shown above the TLC plates. Each TfsGLr mutant (0.2 mg/ml) was incubated in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) containing 0.2% β-1,2-glucan at 30 °C. The origins of the TLC plates are shown as horizontal lines denoted by asterisks. The positions of β-1,2-glucan are shown by arrows.

Table 2
Specific activities of the wildtype and mutant TfsGLr for β-1,2-glucan

| Mutant    | Specific activity* (U/mg) | Relative activity* (%) |
|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Wildtype  | 17 (18)                  | 100 (100)              |
| Candidates for general acid |                     |                        |
| D177N     | ND                       | ND                     |
| E262Q     | ND                       | ND                     |
| Candidates for general base |                     |                        |
| Acidic residues |                     |                        |
| E180A     | 1.2 (4.0)                | 7.3 (22)               |
| E430A     | 0.11 (0.11)              | 0.63 (0.61)            |
| D446N     | ND                       | ND                     |
| Nonacidic residues |                     |                        |
| H316Q     | 0.02 (0.05)              | 0.12 (0.27)            |
| H316Q     | 0.02 (0.05)              | 0.12 (0.27)            |
| S358A     | 1.5 (3.1)                | 9.0 (17)               |
| E363F     | 1.2 (2.3)                | 7.1 (13)               |
| Y396F     | ND                       | 78                     |

* Specific activity of TfsGLr was calculated by measuring the amount of Sop, produced from β-1,2-glucan using the GOPOD method. Specific activity and relative activity calculated by measuring the amount of produced Sop, using the MBTH method as shown in parentheses.
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Figure 11. Candidate residues for catalysis by TfsGLr. A, canonical reaction scheme for inverting glycoside hydrolases. B, candidate residues for catalysis. The Glc moieties at subsites –1 to +2 in the Sop, complex of the E262Q mutant are represented by yellow-green sticks. The positions of subsites are labeled with numbers. The blue and gray dotted lines represent hydrogen bonds and longer hydrogen bonds (over 3.5 Å) related to a water network in TfsGLr, respectively. The distances of the hydrogen bonds are shown beside the lines. A red dotted line represents the position of nucleophilic attack. Residues corresponding to each mutant are represented as sticks and are color-coded based on the relative hydrolytic activity toward β-1,2-glucan (<0.1%, magenta; 0.1–10%, yellow; and >10%, green). The 3-hydroxy groups of the Glc moieties at subsites +1 and +2 and the oxygen atom at the cleavage site are highlighted in blue and red circles, respectively. C, TLC analysis of the activities of TfsGLr mutants toward β-1,2-glucan. Lane M represents markers each containing 0.2% Sop2–5 and β-1,2-glucan. The mutants used for the reactions are shown above the TLC plates. Each TfsGLr mutant (0.2 mg/ml) was incubated in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) containing 0.2% β-1,2-glucan at 30 °C. The origins of the TLC plates are shown as horizontal lines denoted by asterisks. The positions of β-1,2-glucan are shown by arrows.

via Tyr-373, proton transfer unlikely takes place via this route. This is because the Y373F mutant retained too high hydrolytic activity toward β-1,2-glucan as a residue involved in the reaction pathway (Table 2). In addition, the hydrolytic activity of the E430A mutant detected by TLC analysis was apparently higher than those of D177N and E262Q mutants (the candidates for a general acid described below) and the D446N mutant (Fig. 11C). The other candidates (His-316, Ser-358, and Tyr-396) are also unlikely general bases. This is because the mutants (H316Q, S358A, and Y396F) retained sufficient hydrolytic activity toward β-1,2-glucan, although the H316A mutant showed remarkably decreased activity. These results suggest that Asp-446 probably acts as a general base via two water molecules.

Because Tyr-373 interacting with the nucleophilic water is not located between Asp-446 and the nucleophilic water, Tyr-373 probably stabilizes the position of the nucleophilic water. The drastically decreased activities of the H316A and E430A mutants might imply the importance of the water network for catalytic efficiency.

Mutational analysis of candidates for a general acid

As to the reaction pathway for a general acid, only 3-hydroxy groups in the Glc moieties at subsites +1 and +2 are found within the proton transfer distance range from the oxygen atom in the cleavage site. The 3-hydroxy groups at subsites +1 and +2 form hydrogen bonds with Asp-177 and Glu-262, respectively. Although Asp-177 and Glu-262 are located too far from the glycosidic bond’s oxygen atom for direct proton transfer (distances of 4.8 and 4.4 Å, respectively), these residues are highly conserved among TfsGL homologs (Fig. 10). Therefore, it is predicted that Asp-177 and/or Glu-262 act as a general acid via the hydroxy groups of the Glc moieties. TLC analysis
showed that no hydrolytic products derived from linear β-1,2-glucan by the E262Q mutant were detected, whereas Sop2 was slowly produced by the D177N mutant (Fig. 11C). However, according to the colorimetric assay, the activities of both the D177N and E262Q mutants toward β-1,2-glucan were unde-
tectable (Table 2). This finding suggests that both Asp-177 and Glu-262 still could be catalytic residues. Therefore, the action
patterns of TfSGLr on Sop₅s with the appropriate 3-hydroxy groups deoxygenated were analyzed as described below.

**Action pattern analysis of 3-deoxy Sop₅derivatives**

To determine the actual general acid of TfSGL, the action patterns for Sop₅₋₆ deoxygenated at their 3-hydroxy groups at the first or second Glc moiety from the reducing end (3dSop₅₋₆
and 3’dSop₂, respectively) were investigated (Fig. 12). 3’dSop₂ was released from 3’dSop₅ by TfSGLr, whereas 3dSop₅ was hardly hydrolyzed even in the presence of an excessive amount of TfSGLr (Fig. 12, A and B). We also examined the action pattern for 3dSop₆, a substrate with a preferable chain length. As a result, 3dSop₃ and Sop₃ were released from 3dSop₆ without production of 3dSop₂ and Sop₄ (Fig. 12C). This finding indicates that deoxygenation of the 3-hydroxy group of the Glc moiety at subsite +2 completely inhibits the cleavage of the substrate despite that the glycosidic bond between the second and third Glc moieties from the reducing end is the preferable cleavage site in Sop₆ (Figs. 5 and 12D). These results exclusively suggest that Glu-262 acts as a general acid via the 3-hydroxy group of the Glc moiety at subsite +2.
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Molecular dynamics simulation of TfSGLr

To exclude the possibility that the crystal structures of TfSGL are affected by crystal packing, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of the apo structures (see “Experimental procedures”) and the Sop₇ complex of TfSGLr were performed. Neither the TfSGLr structure nor the Sop₇-complex structure significantly changed during the simulations with the average RMSD values of 0.8–1.3 Å (Fig. S4). The Cα root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) values of residues around the catalytic pocket of TfSGLr were lower than 1.0 Å (Table S3 and Fig. S4). Principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis demonstrated that a representative MD structure of the complex and its crystal structure are almost the same with the Cα RMSD of 0.6 Å and that a representative MD structure of the apo state showed a slight opening movement of the catalytic pocket relative to the apo crystal structure (Fig. S5). These results suggest that crystal packing does not affect the structure of TfSGL in complex with Sop₇.

Noncanonical reaction mechanism of TfSGL

The proposed catalytic reaction of TfSGL proceeds as follows. Glu-262 (general acid) indirectly protonates the anomeric oxygen at subsite −1 via the 3-hydroxy group of the Glc moiety at subsite +2. Asp-446 (general base) indirectly activates the water for nucleophilic attack via another water (Fig. 13). A proton relay mechanism in TfSGL is called a “Grotthuss”-style mechanism (40) and is a noncanonical one in GH enzymes.

The pKₐ prediction of Glu-262 and Asp-446 was performed by the PROPKA3.0 (41) server using the protein moiety in the Sop₇-complex structure. The pKₐ values of Glu-262 (8.22 and 8.21 in chain A and B, respectively) were clearly higher than those of Asp-446 (3.37 and 3.35, respectively), which is consistent with the proposed TfSGL reaction mechanism. The difference in the pKₐ values may be mainly attributed to a decrease in pKₐ value of Asp-446 by a positive charge of Lys-94 close to Asp-446 and an increase in pKₐ value of Glu-262 by negative charges of Asp-259 and Glu-317 close to Glu-262.

In GH130 4-O-β-d-mannosyl-d-glucose phosphorylase from Bacteroides fragilis, the 3-hydroxy group in the substrate at subsite −1 is found within the range of hydrogen bond interaction with the acidic residue and the glycosidic bond oxygen (42). Based on the structural features and mutational analysis of the GH130 enzyme, it is postulated that the 3-hydroxy group plays a role as a mediator of protonation. This mechanism is the same as that of TfSGL in that a proton is relayed via a substrate hydroxy group. However, the two enzymes use different hydroxy groups.

Several retaining and inverting enzymes follow a Grotthuss mechanism, in which a general base remotely activates the nucleophilic water via another water molecule (GH6, GH101, and GH136) (43–45). For example, cellobiodydrolase from Trichoderma reesei (Cel6A) is an inverting GH and is considered to follow a Grotthuss mechanism. TfSGLr is similar to Cel6A in that another water molecule mediates proton transfer. However, the positions of general bases in the two enzymes are quite different.

There are other GHs that use a noncanonical mechanism. The substrate-assisted mechanism (GH18, GH20, GH56, GH84, GH85, GH92, and GH103) is one of the representative examples (46–52). Catalytic mechanisms with catalytic acidic residues replaced by nonacidic residues have been reported for some GH enzymes (GH3, GH33, GH34, GH45, GH55, GH83, GH95, GH117, GH127, GH143, and GH145) (2, 53–61). However, GH families whose catalytic mechanisms have been clearly identified possess either canonical catalytic residue. Although a C6 hydroxy proton-mediated pathway in the deglycosylation step is proposed for GH103 enzymes, there seems to be ambiguity due to the lack of a Michaelis complex structure. The reaction mechanism of TfSGL is quite unique in that both reaction pathways involving a general acid and a general base are noncanonical.

Comparison of the TfSGLr and CpSGL structures

Because TfSGLr and CpSGL exhibit the same substrate specificity and show structural similarity despite their exclusively different amino acid sequences, we compared the overall structures of the two enzymes. The positions of helices constituting (α/α)₇ barrels are similar between them, suggesting a relationship in structural evolution (Fig. 14A) (15). Although the ligands in both enzymes deviated in the superimposition of the overall structures, these ligands are well-superimposed by fitting the corresponding Glc moieties between the two enzymes. The substrate-binding pockets of TfSGLr and CpSGL are similar in that the Sop₇ moiety in TfSGLr fits well in the substrate.
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Figure 14. Comparison of structures between \(TfSGLr\) and \(CsGL\) complexes. The positions of subsites are represented as numbers. The ligands bound with \(TfSGLr\) and \(CsGL\) (PDB code 5GZK) are shown as yellow-green and cyan sticks, respectively. Residues involved in hydrophobic recognition of substrates and/or formation of the catalytic pockets in \(TfSGLr\) and \(CsGL\) are shown as brown and pink sticks, and the residues are labeled with numbers in bold and regular type, respectively. A, comparison of overall structures between \(TfSGLr\) (left) and \(CsGL\) (right). The two structures are superimposed based on their overall structures. The helices constituting \((\alpha/\alpha)\), barrels in \(TfSGLr\) and \(CsGL\) are colored red and blue, respectively. The helices in \(TfSGLr\) and \(CsGL\) are numbered in order from the N termini and are represented by bold and regular letters, respectively. B, comparison of the catalytic pockets between the \(TfSGLr\) and \(CsGL\) complexes. The ligands in \(CsGL\) are deviated \(2–5\) Å from the corresponding moieties in \(TfSGLr\) and the Glc moieties of \(Sop\_1\) in \(TfSGLr\) at subsites \(-3, +1, \) and \(+2\) are strongly bound, the two enzymes were aligned by superimposing the Glc moieties at subsites \(-3, +1, \) and \(+2\) in both enzymes. Both corresponding ligand pairs are well-superimposed on \(17.8^\circ\) rotation of \(CsGL\) \(TfSGLr\) (left) and \(CsGL\) (right) are shown as semi-transparent gray and light blue surface models. The \(Sop\_1\) molecule is superimposed in the right panel.

speculated physiological roles of \(TfSGL\) homologs

Almost all of the \(TfSGL\) homologs are distributed in Eukaryotes, especially in the Ascomycota, Basidiomycota, and Mycetozoa. Among the Ascomycota possessing \(TfSGL\) homologs, there are many species related to the rhizosphere such as \(Talaromyces verruculosus\) (62). \(Fusarium oxysporum\) is a plant-pathogenic fungus, and \(Oidiodendron maius\) is known as an endophyte on azaleas. \(Metarhizium\) species and \(Beauveria bassiana\) are insect pathogens but reside in the rhizosphere, where they supply nitrogen to plants from insects. Considering that cyclic \(\beta-1,2\)-glucans are produced in the rhizosphere by plant symbionts such as \(Rhizobium\), \(TfSGL\) homologs in such species might be involved in residence in the rhizosphere by metabolizing cyclic \(\beta-1,2\)-glucans.

Besides them, many pathogenic, symbiotic, and predatory species are known. In the Ascomycota, \(Cordyceps confragosa\), \(Torrubiella hemipterigena\), \(Aschersonia aleurodis\), and \(Tolypocladium ophioglossoides\) are fungi parasitic on specific insects and mushrooms. \(Pochonia chlamydospora\) and \(Purpureocillium lilacinum\) are nematophagous fungi. Mycetozoa species prey on bacteria. In addition, there are also Ciliophora species such as a predator of bacteria (\(Paramecium tetraurelia\)) and a symbiotic Eukaryote found with a coral (\(Symbiodinium microadriaticum\)). It has been reported that some \(Paramecium spp\., especially \(Paramecium bursaria\) form symbiotic relationships with symbiotic Chlorella (63). Considering the fact that cyclic \(\beta-1,2\)-glucans are produced to reduce the immune responses of hosts (9), \(TfSGL\) homologs might be related to interactions with other organisms.
Talaromyces cellulolyticus, a species closely-related to T. funiculosus, possesses a TfSGL homolog (GAM34680.1; the amino acid sequence identity with TfSGL is 99%). There are BGL (GH1 and GAM34681.1) and sugar transporter (GAM34682.1) genes in the vicinity of the gene encoding the TfSGL homolog. The BGL and transporter genes are also highly conserved in the vicinity of many TfSGL gene homologs. In addition, BGLs from T. reesei, an Ascomycota fungus, Trire2_120749 and Trire2_22197 (the amino acid sequence identities with the BGL from T. cellulolyticus are 49 and 69%, respectively) are highly up-regulated in the presence of Sop2 (64). Therefore, TfSGL and its homologs may play a role in the metabolism of β-1,2-glucans with the cooperative action of the BGLs and transporters, although the degrading and binding activities of these putative BGLs and transporters have not been examined.

This study makes a significant contribution to expansion of GH families and the variety of reaction mechanisms for GH enzymes. Furthermore, this study will help us to clarify the molecular evolution of SGLs from Prokaryotes and Eukaryotes. The unique distribution of the new GH162 family will lead to exploration of the physiological roles of GH162 enzymes.

**Experimental procedures**

**Materials**

T. funiculosus (NBRC100958) and P. pastoris (KM71H) were purchased from the National Institute of Technology and Evaluation (NITE, Tokyo, Japan) and Thermo Fisher Scientific, respectively. Linear β-1,2-glucans with the average DP of 25 and 77 (unless otherwise noted, the average DP of β-1,2-gluconans is 77) and SopNs with DP of 2–11 were prepared using LiSOGP and CpSGL, as described previously (20, 25). Cyclic β-1,2-glucan with DP of 17–24 was kindly donated by Dr. M. Hisamatsu of Mie University (65). rSopNs with DP of 6–11 were prepared by modifying SopNs at the reducing end with NaBH₄ treatment as described by Shimizu et al. (26). Approximately 10% SopN solutions were added to 1M NaBH₄ of 20 l, followed by incubation at room temperature for 5 min or more. After 3M acetate (15 l) had been added to them, the rSopNs were precipitated with isopropyl alcohol (1 ml). Each pellet was dissolved in a small amount of water. Then, the samples were precipitated again with isopropyl alcohol and dried up. Laminalar and carboxymethyl (CM)-cellulose were purchased from Sigma. CM-pachyman, CM-curdlan, lichenan, β-glucan from barley, tamarind xyloglucan, glucomannan, arabinoalactan,
arabinan, and polygalacturonic acid were purchased from Megazyme (Wicklow, Ireland). Pustulan was purchased from Calbiochem.

**Purification of SGL from T. funiculosus**

*T. funiculosus* was grown in 2 liters of medium (comprising 0.5% β-1,2-glucan with the average DP of 25), 2 g/liter KH$_2$PO$_4$, 1.4 g/liter (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, 0.3 g/liter Mg$_2$SO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 0.4 g/liter CaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O, 0.3 g/liter urea, 5 mg/liter FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 18 mg/liter MnCl$_2$·4H$_2$O, 20 mg/liter CoCl$_2$·6H$_2$O, 17 mg/liter ZnCl$_2$, and 100 µg/ml ampicillin; adjusted to pH 6.0) (28) at 30 °C in a shaking incubator (150 rpm) for 3 days. This culture was filtered using a gauze cloth and a glass filter and concentrated using a Vivaflow 200 (10,000 molecular weight cutoff) (Sartorius). An ammonium sulfate solution containing 50 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 5.5) was added to obtain 40% saturated ammonium sulfate concentration. The supernatant filtered using a Minisart® syringe filter (Sartorius) was loaded onto a HiTrap™ butyl HP column (5 ml; GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) equilibrated with 50 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 5.5) and 40% saturated ammonium sulfate (buffer A). After the unbound proteins had been washed with buffer A, a target protein was eluted using a linear gradient of ammonium sulfate (40–0% saturated concentration). All fractions containing SGL activity were collected, buffered with 50 mM MOPS-NaOH buffer (pH 7.0), and then concentrated with buffer A, a target protein was eluted using a linear gradient of 0–1 M NaCl in 50 mM MOPS-NaOH buffer (pH 7.0). Finally, the enzyme solution concentrated with Amicon Ultra 30,000 molecular weight cutoff to 2 mg/ml (500 μl) was loaded onto a Superdex™ TM 200 GL column (24 ml; GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 50 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 5.5) containing 150 mM NaCl, and then the target enzyme was eluted with the same buffer. All purification steps were carried out using an AKTA prime plus chromatography system (GE Healthcare).

**Assay of SGL and BGL activity in the purification steps**

To detect SGL activity in fractionated samples during the purification steps, the reaction was performed in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 5.5) containing 0.5% β-1,2-glucan and 50 mM D(−)-glucono-1,5-lactone (GDL, Wako, Osaka, Japan) (pH 5.5) as an inhibitor of BGL and 35% (v/v) each enzyme solution at 30 °C for an hour and was stopped at 100 °C for 5 min. The Sop,s released from β-1,2-glucan were detected by TLC. In the case of the BGL assay, the reactions were performed using 5 mM p-nitrophenyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (pNP-Glc, Wako) without GDL. After 9 volumes of 0.5 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ had been added to a reaction mixture, the mixture was added to a 96-well microtiter plate (Sigma), and then the absorbance at 405 nm of the sample was measured using a Spectramax 190 (Molecular Devices, CA) (unless otherwise noted, the absorbance of samples was measured with this instrument). One unit was defined as the amount of the enzyme required to release 1 μmol of pNP from pNP-Glc in a minute. The amount of pNP was calculated using the molar extinction coefficient of pNP (= 18,200 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$).

The p-hydroxybenzoic acid hydrazide (PAHBAH) method was used for evaluation of β-1,2-glucan–degrading activity.

---

**Figure 16.** Comparison of acidic residues (A) and substrate recognition residues at the subsite minus (B) and plus (C) sides between *Tf SGL* and *Cp SGL*. The substrate cleavage site and 3-hydroxy group of the Glc moiety at subsite +2 are highlighted in semi-transparent red and blue circles, respectively. The positions of subsites in *Tf SGL* and *Cp SGL* are represented as numbers. The ligands bound with *Tf SGL* and *Cp SGL* are shown as yellow-green and cyan sticks, respectively. Residues in *Tf SGL* and *Cp SGL* are shown as brown and pink sticks, and their residue numbers are denoted by bold and regular numerals, respectively. A, The blue and gray dotted lines represent the catalytic pathway and the candidate pathway ruled out on action pattern analysis of *Tf SGL*, respectively. B, The positions of subsites between residues and ligands in *Tf SGL* and *Cp SGL* are shown as blue and red dotted lines, respectively. Residues for the hydrophobic interactions with the substrates (Leu-176, Tyr-311, Trp-312, and Tyr-373 in *Tf SGL*; and Trp-192, Phe-204, and Tyr-330 in *Cp SGL*) are omitted only in B, because these residues can be compared in Fig. 14B.
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(66). It should be noted that the evaluated activity includes BGL activity, because this method measures the reducing power of the products. Reactions were performed with 5% (v/v) of the enzyme solution in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 5.5) containing 0.5% $\beta$-1,2-glucan at 30 °C for an hour, and then 4 volumes of a PAHB solution (comprising 10 mg/ml PAHB, 0.1 M HCl, and 0.4 M NaOH) were added to the reaction mixtures. After heat treatment at 100 °C for 5 min, the absorbance at 405 nm was measured. Glc was used as a standard for reducing sugar, and 1 unit was defined as the amount of the enzyme required to release 1 $\mu$mol of the Glc equivalent reducing power of $\text{Sop}_e$ in a minute.

**SDS-PAGE and glycosylated protein analyses**

The fractionated samples obtained during the purification were separated on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels (67). The gels were stained with 2D-silver stain reagent (Cosmo Bio, Tokyo, Japan). In the case of the recombinant enzymes, Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 was used for staining. Precision Plus Protein™ unstained standards (Bio-Rad) were used as molecular weight markers. Glycosylated protein analysis of the purified native $\text{TfSGL}$ was performed as described below. N-Linked glycans in the native $\text{TfSGL}$ were completely removed using glycopeptidase F (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) under denaturation conditions. After the samples had been loaded for SDS-PAGE, glycans and proteins in the gels were stained with a Pro-Q Ruby protein gel stain (Thermo Fisher Scientific), SYPRO® Emerald 300 gel stain kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and glycans and proteins in the gels were stained with a Pro-Q Ruby protein gel stain (Thermo Fisher Scientific), respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Avidin (Wako) and glucose oxidase (Oriental Yeast, Tokyo, Japan) were used as glycoprotein markers.

**TLC analysis**

The native $\text{TfSGL}$ and $\text{TfSGLr}$ were incubated in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) containing 0.2% each substrate ($\text{Sop}_e$,s with DP of 3–7, $\text{Sop}_e$,s with DP of 6–8, cyclic $\beta$-1,2-glucan, and linear $\beta$-1,2-glucan) at 30 °C. After heat treatment at 100 °C for 5 min, the reaction mixtures (0.5 $\mu$l) were spotted onto TLC Silica Gel 60 F254 (Merck Millipore) plates. The plates were developed with 75% acetonitrile. As for hydrolyses of $\text{Sop}_e$,s and $\text{Sop}_e$,s, the plates were developed twice with a solution (acetonitrile/acetic acid/isopropyl alcohol/deionized water = 17:4:4:3). Then, the plates were soaked in a 5% (w/v) sulfuric acid/ethanol solution and heated in an oven until the spots were visualized clearly.

**Size-exclusion chromatography**

SEC analysis was performed as described above. Ovalbumin (44 kDa), conalbumin (75 kDa), aldolase (158 kDa), ferritin (440 kDa), and thyroglobulin (669 kDa) (GE Healthcare) were used as molecular weight markers. Blue dextran 2000 (2,000 kDa) was used to determine the void volume of the column. The molecular weight of $\text{TfSGL}$ was calculated using Equation 1,

$$K_{av} = (V_e - V_0)/(V_t - V_e)$$

where $K_{av}$ is the gel-phase distribution coefficient; $V_e$ is the volume required to elute each protein; $V_0$ is the volume required to elute blue dextran 2000; and $V_t$ is the bed volume of the column.

**Identification of an SGL gene from T. funiculosus**

To identify the amino acid sequence encoding an SGL gene, analysis of N-terminal and internal amino acid sequences of the purified enzyme was entrusted to Genostaff (Tokyo, Japan) and APRO Life Science Institute (Tokushima, Japan), respectively. Degenerate primers were designed based on the results of these sequence analysis (Table S4). gDNA and total RNA were isolated from $T. funiculosus$ cells grown in 100 ml of medium containing a 0.5% linear $\beta$-1,2-glucan with the average DP of 25 as a sole carbon source at 30 °C for 3 days (28). The collected cells were suspended in deionized water, frozen with liquid nitrogen, and then ground into powder. For gDNA preparation, a powdered sample was resuspended in the extraction buffer comprising 100 mM NaCl, 1% SDS, 2% Triton X-100, 2 units of RNase A, and 10 mM Tris-EDTA buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl and 1 mM EDTA) (pH 8.0). The solution was mixed with phenol/chloroform, and then ethanol was added to the water phase to precipitate the gDNA. After the precipitate had been dissolved in deionized water, 2 units of RNase A was added to the solution. This solution was incubated at 37 °C for 1.5 h and then mixed with phenol/chloroform. The gDNA was precipitated by the addition of isopropyl alcohol to the water phase. The pellet was washed with 70% ethanol and then dissolved in the Tris-EDTA buffer after drying up. The total RNA was extracted from the disrupted cells using ISOGEN (Wako), and the cDNA was synthesized from the total RNA using RevertA Ace quantitative PCR RT Master Mix with a gDNA remover (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

PCR was carried out using TaKaRa Ex TaqHS (Takara Bio). Basically, the reactions were performed under the following conditions: one cycle of denaturation at 98 °C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 50 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 0.5–1.5 min, and then one cycle of 72 °C for 3.5 min. The scheme for cloning of the whole $\text{TfSGL}$ gene was shown in Fig. 3. To amplify the gDNA region between internal peptides 1 and 2, primary PCR was performed using an F1–R1 primer pair (annealing temperature of 45 °C) and gDNA as a template, and the amplified product was purified using a High Pure PCR product purification kit (Roche Applied Science, Basel, Switzerland). Then, nested PCR was performed using an F2–R2 primer pair and the purified primary PCR product as a template. This PCR product was purified and sequenced. R3 and R4 primers were designed as specific primers based on the sequence. A degenerated primer F3 was designed based on the N-terminal peptide sequence. Primary and nested PCR were performed as described above using an F3–R3 primer pair and an F3–R4 primer pair (extension time, 2 min) in that order, and then the amplified product was purified and sequenced. Finally, F4 and R5 primers were designed based on the analyzed nucleotide sequence to amplify the 5′- and 3′-regions of the gene encoding $\text{TfSGL}$. After gDNA had been digested with EcoRI or HindIII (Takara Bio), self-ligation of the purified digests was performed using Ligation High, Version 2 (Toyobo). Inverse PCR was performed using an F4–R5 primer pair (annealing temperature of 60 °C, extension
time of 3 min and 30 cycles) and the self-ligated sample as a template. The PCR product was purified and sequenced. Then, partial regions in the *Tf*SGL gene were amplified using specific primer pairs (F5–R5, F6–R6, and F7–R7) and cDNA as a template to cover the whole ORF, and then the PCR product was sequenced to obtain the whole *Tf*SGL gene sequence. The ORF, its transcription start site, and the polyadenylation signal sequence in the sequenced gDNA region were predicted with Softberry (http://www.softberry.com/) (68).

**Sequence analysis**

The N-glycosylation site, GPI modification site, and N-terminal signal peptide in *Tf*SGL were predicted with the NetNGlyc 1.0 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/), a big-PI Fungal Predictor GPI Modification Site Prediction in Fungi (http://mendel.imp.ac.at/gpi/fungi_server.html) (69), and the SignalP 4.1 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) (70), respectively. *Tf*SGL homologs, which were used for phylogenetic analysis, were collected using the BLAST program (NCBI, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), and the multiple alignment was carried out using the ClustalW program (http://clustalw.d-dbj.nig.ac.jp/). Phylogenetic analysis of *Tf*SGL homologs was carried out using the neighbor joining method (MEGA7 program) (http://www.megasoftware.net/) (71). GPI modification sites in *Tf*SGL homologs annotated as GPI-anchor proteins were predicted with the same server as described above.

**Cloning, expression, and purification of *Tf*SGLr using *P. pastoris***

The *Tf*SGL gene without the region encoding the residues at the N terminus (1–21 amino acids) was amplified by PCR using KOD-Plus (Toyobo) as a DNA polymerase according to the manufacturer’s instructions. First, PCR was performed using an F8–R8 primer pair and the cDNA pool of *T. funiculosus* as a template. Second, PCR was performed to add a His<sub>6</sub> tag to the N terminus of the *Tf*SGL gene using an F9–R9 primer pair. The amplified product digested with EcoRI and NotI (Takara Bio) was ligated into the pPICZaB vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific) digested with the same restriction enzymes to fuse the secretion signal peptide for *P. pastoris* at the N terminus of the His<sub>6</sub> tag. The plasmid produced in *E. coli* XL1-blue was linearized with Pmel (New England Biolabs). The plasmid sample was purified with a phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol solution (Sigma) and by ethanol precipitation. The purified plasmid was transformed into *P. pastoris* in the presence of 0.5 mg/ml Zeocin. *Tf*SGLr was expressed according to the manufacturer’s instructions for an EasySelect<sup>TM</sup> *Pichia* expression kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The transformant was harvested using 2 liters of buffered minimal glycerol medium at 30 °C for about 18 h (until A<sub>600</sub> = 2–6), and then the cells were suspended in 200 ml of buffered minimal methanol medium. Methanol (0.5%) was added to the suspension to induce *Tf*SGLr, and enzyme induction was performed at 30 °C for 4–6 days. MOPS-NaOH buffer containing NaCl was added to the culture filtrate until the concentrations reached 500 mM NaCl and 50 mM MOPS-NaOH buffer (pH 7.0), and then the mixture was filtrated with a 0.45-µm filter (Sartorius). The sample was loaded onto a His-Trap FF crude column (5 ml; GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 50 mM MOPS-NaOH buffer (pH 7.0) containing 500 mM NaCl (buffer B). After unbound proteins had been washed out using the same buffer containing 10 mM imidazole, *Tf*SGLr was eluted using a linear gradient of imidazole concentration (10–300 mM) in buffer B. The enzyme solution was buffered with 5 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) using Amicon Ultra 30,000 molecular weight cutoff. The absorbance at 280 nm of the sample was measured using a spectrophotometer V-560 (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan), and the concentration of the enzyme was calculated using the theoretical molecular mass of *Tf*SGLr (56,554 Da) and a molar extinction coefficient of 123,020 M<sup>−1</sup>cm<sup>−1</sup> (72).

**General properties**

To determine the optimum pH, *Tf*SGLr (3.2 µg/ml) was incubated in 100 mM various buffers containing 0.2% β-1,2-glucan at 30 °C for 15 min and then heated at 100 °C for 5 min to stop the reaction. The reducing power of Sop<sub>s</sub> released from the β-1,2-glucan was measured by the PAHBAH method. The optimum temperature for *Tf*SGLr was determined after the enzyme had been reacted in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) at each temperature (0–70 °C). To examine the pH stability for *Tf*SGLr, the purified enzyme (6.4 µg/ml) was incubated in 20 mM various buffers at 30 °C for an hour, and then the reaction was carried out in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) containing 0.2% β-1,2-glucan at 30 °C for 15 min. To determine the temperature stability, *Tf*SGLr (6.4 µg/ml) was incubated in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) at each temperature (0–70 °C) for an hour, and then the reaction was carried out under the same conditions as for pH stability.

**Substrate specificity**

*Tf*SGLr (3.2 µg/ml) was incubated in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) containing each substrate (0.2% β-glucan, 0.1% glucomannan, 0.2% tamarind xyloglucan, 0.2% arabinan, 0.1% polygalacturonic acid, 0.0125% lichenan, 0.0125% laminarin, 0.05% pustulan, 0.1% CMC, 0.05% CM-curdlan, 0.025% CMP-pachyman, 0.006% arabinogalactan, or 0.2% β-1,2-glucan) at 30 °C for 15 min, and then the amount of oligosaccharides released from each polysaccharide was measured by the PAHBAH method. Glc was used as a standard. The GOPOD method was used for determination of activity releasing Sop<sub>2</sub> from the β-1,2-glucan, as described below. The reaction mixtures were incubated with BGL (1 mg/ml) from almonds (Oriental Yeast) at 50 °C for 2 h in 100 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 5.0) to hydrolyze Sop<sub>2</sub> specifically. Finally, the GOPOD solution (9 volumes of the mixture) was added to the mixture, followed by incubation at 45 °C for 20 min. The amounts of Glc in the samples were calculated by measuring the absorbance at 510 nm of the samples. Glc was used as a standard. One unit was defined as the amount of the enzyme required to release 1 µmol of Sop<sub>2</sub> in a minute.

**Kinetic analysis**

To determine the kinetic parameters of *Tf*SGLr for β-1,2-glucan and Sop<sub>2</sub>, Sop<sub>2</sub> released from these substrates was quantified. After *Tf*SGLr (1.5 and 7.5 µg/ml for hydrolysis of β-1,2-glucan and Sop<sub>2</sub>, respectively) had been incubated at 30 °C for
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10 min in 20 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) containing various concentrations of Sop5 (0.12–2.5 mM) or β-1,2-glucan (5.0 × 10^{-3} – 0.13 mM (= 6.3 × 10^{-2} –1.6 (mg/ml)), the reactions were stopped by heat treatment at 100 °C for 5 min. Sop2-releasing activity was determined using the GOPOD method, as described in the previous section. The experimental data obtained on hydrolysis of β-1,2-glucan and Sop5 were fitted to the Michaelis-Menten Equation 2,

\[ v/[E] = k_{cat}[S]/(K_m + [S]) \]  

EQ. 2

where \( v \) is initial velocity, \([E]\) is enzyme concentration; \( k_{cat} \) is turnover number; \([S]\) is β-1,2-glucan concentration; and \( K_m \) is Michaelis constant, and the substrate inhibition Equation 3,

\[ v/[E] = k_{cat}[S]/(K_m + [S] + [S]^2/K_i) \]  

EQ. 3

where \( v \) is initial velocity; \([E]\) is enzyme concentration; \( k_{cat} \) is turnover number; \([S]\) is Sop5 concentration; \( K_m \) is Michaelis constant; and \( K_i \) is substrate inhibition constant. The Kaleida-Graph program Version 3.51 was used for their regression analysis.

Stereochemical analysis of the reaction products by 1H NMR

To determine which reaction mechanism TjSGL follows, an anomer inverting or retaining mechanism, 1H NMR analysis was performed on the reaction products released from β-1,2-glucan by TjSGLr. TjSGLr (0.12 mg/ml) was incubated at room temperature in 5 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) containing 1.5% β-1,2-glucan with the average DP of 25 and 90% D_2O. 1H NMR spectra of the sample were recorded using a Bruker Advance 600 spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin, Rheinstetten, Germany). The assignment of the signals of Sop2–5 and linear β-1,2-glucan was based on the previous studies (19, 25). Acetate in the buffer was used as an internal standard for calculation of the relative peak area. To use acetone as an external standard for calibration of chemical shifts, as performed in the previous study (25), a droplet of acetone was added to the reaction mixture after the reaction had finished. Because chemical shifts derived from the C1 proton at the reducing end of β-anomer products are completely masked by the chemical shift derived from H_2O, the chemical shift of the C2 proton at the nonreducing end was used as the total signal of α- and β-anomers of the reaction products. The C2 protons were observed at almost the same chemical shifts regardless of the anomer, as described by Abe et al. (25).

Polarimetric analysis of the reaction products

To confirm the reaction mechanism of TjSGL, the time course of the degree of optical rotation in the reaction mixture was monitored. TjSGLr (1 mg/ml) was incubated at room temperature in 20 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) containing 2% β-1,2-glucan with the average DP of 25, and the degree of the optical rotation of the reaction mixture was measured using a Jasco p1010 polarimeter (Jasco). Several droplets of 35% aqueous ammonia were added at 235 s after the reaction start to enhance mutarotation of the anomers.

Analysis of the reaction products from 3-deoxy Sop5-derivatives with TjSGLr

3dSop5–6 and 3d’Sop5 were synthesized using LiSOGP from 3 and 3’-deoxy-Sop2, which are Sop2 derivatives having 3-deoxyglucose moieties at the reducing and nonreducing ends, respectively. These Sop2 derivatives were prepared as described previously (73). The reaction for synthesis of 3dSop5–6 or 3d’Sop5 was performed in 50 mM MOPS-NaOH buffer (pH 7.5) containing 10 or 25 mM α-1,2-glucose-1-phosphate, 5 mM of each Sop5 derivative, and 2 mg/ml LiSOGP at 30 °C for an hour or 8 days, respectively. The reactions were stopped at 80 °C for 5 min, and the supernatants were collected after centrifugation. The supernatants were added to Amberlite™ MB-4 (Organo, Tokyo, Japan) to remove unreacted α-1,2-glucose-1-phosphate. These samples were concentrated to 10 μl with a centrifugal evaporator EC-57CS (Sakuma, Tokyo, Japan). Then, 3dSop5–6 and 3d’Sop5 were separated with a Shimadzu LC-9A high-performance liquid chromatograph equipped with an SCL-6B system controller and SIL-6B autoinjector (Shimadzu, Kyotyo, Japan) using an Asahipak NH2P-50 4E column (Shodex, Tokyo, Japan) with distilled water and acetonitrile (= 35:65 (v/v)) as the eluent at a flow rate of 1 ml/min and with detection with a Refractive Indext Detector (RI-8010) (Tosoh Bioscience, Tokyo, Japan). The enzymatic reactions of TjSGLr for 3dSop5 and 3d’Sop5 were performed in 50 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) containing 2 mg/ml TjSGLr and each derivative at 30 °C for 180 min. When using 3dSop5 as a derivative, the concentration of TjSGLr and the reaction time were changed to 5 μg/ml and 30 min, respectively. Although the amounts of 3dSop5–6 and 3d’Sop5 were too small to be determined, their concentrations in the reaction mixtures are estimated to have been 0.5, 2.5, and 4.2 μM, respectively, based on comparison with the peak area of standard Sop5–6. These samples were concentrated with a centrifugal evaporator, and the reducing ends of sugars were fluorescently labeled with 2-amino benzamide. First, 2-amino benzamide (136 mg) was added to sodium cyanoborohydride (35 mg), and then they were dissolved in methanol (350 μl) and acetic acid (41 μl) to prepare the fluorescent reagent. The samples (10 μl) were mixed with 40 μl of the fluorescent reagent, followed by incubation at 80 °C for an hour. The mixtures were extracted with chloroform (200 μl) and distilled water (200 μl) after cooling to room temperature. The standard solutions (containing 1 mM Glc and Sop5–6, 3dSop2, 3dSop3, 3dSop4, 3dSop6, 3’Sop2, or 3’Sop3) were labeled in the same way. Finally, the supernatants were collected by centrifugation. The solutions (3dSop5–6 and 3’Sop3) were dried up and then the samples were dissolved in distilled water (20 μl). The fluorescently-labeled samples of 10 μl were loaded onto a TSKgel Amide-80 column (Tosoh Bioscience) and separated and analyzed with a Waters W600 HPLC solvent delivery system (Waters) with detection with a 2475 fluorescence detector (Waters) at 422 nm.

Crystallography

To remove N-glycans from the purified TjSGLr, the enzyme (5 mg) was treated with 50 units of endoglycosidase H (New England Biolabs) in 50 mM citric acid buffer (pH 5.5) at 20 °C.
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Initial structures for MD simulation were taken from the crystal structures of TjSGL in the apo form (6IMU, chain A) and in complex with Sop7 (6IMW, chain B). Because the apo crystal structure has two alternative conformations of His-316 (Fig. 9A), one adopts a different orientation from the Sop7 complex and the other adopts the same orientation with the Sop7 complex, the two initial structures (termed apo1 and apo2, respectively) were prepared. The N- and C-terminal residues were capped with acetyl and N-methyl groups, respectively. N-Acetylgalactosamines (GlcNAc) derived from N-linked glycan were removed from the structures. Disulfide bonds were introduced between Cys-27 and Cys-516, between Cys-230 and Cys-251, and between Cys-345 and Cys-499. The mutation of catalytic acid residue (E262Q) in the Sop7 complex was manually reverted to that of the WT. The protonation states of amino acid residues were determined basically based on PROPKA 3.1 (41) (assuming pH 4.0), except for a few residues of which the protonation states were determined based on visual inspection of the hydrogen bond network. In the apo2 and the Sop7 complex, His-57 and His-316 were protonated only on the Nε2 atoms, and the other histidine residues were protonated on both the Nε1 and Nε2 atoms. In apo1, His-57 was protonated only on the Nε2 atom, and the other histidine residues were protonated on both the Nε1 and Nε2 atoms. Glu-24, Glu-36, Glu-82, Glu-144, Glu-146, Glu-193, Glu-226, Glu-246, Glu-262, Glu-286, Glu-323, Glu-389, Glu-430, Glu-478, Glu-481, and Glu-503 of the apo and Sop7 complex structures were protonated, whereas other glutamate residues were deprotonated. In both the apo structures, Asp-48, Asp-111, Asp-168, Asp-177, Asp-234, Asp-259, Asp-278, Asp-405, and Asp-407 were protonated, and the other aspartate residues were deprotonated. All the arginine and lysine residues were protonated. The systems for MD simulation were constructed by immersing the initial models in cubic water boxes where the distance between protein atoms and the closest boundary was at least 10 Å. Chloride ions were added to the systems for neutralization. The LEaP module of AmberTools 18 (83) was used to construct the systems. Amber ff14SB (84) and GLYCAM06j (85) force-field parameters and the TIP3P model (86) were used for the protein, carbohydrate, and water, respectively.
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MD simulations were performed using GROMACS 2018 (87). After energy minimization and equilibration, a production MD run was carried out for 100 ns. During the MD simulation, the temperature was controlled at 303 K using the velocity rescaling thermostat (88), and the pressure was controlled at 1.0 × 10^5 Pascal using the weak coupling method (89). Bond lengths involving the hydrogen atoms were constrained using the LINCS algorithm (90) to allow the use of a 2-fs time step. Electrostatic interaction was calculated using the particle mesh Ewald method (91). MD trajectories were recorded every 10 ps.

Ca RMSD and RMF values were calculated using the gmx_rmsd and gmx_rmsf modules of GROMACS, respectively. PCA was carried out for a combined ensemble composed of ensembles from the simulations of the apo2 and the Sop2 complex using the method described previously (92). Conformational distributions of the apo2 and the Sop2 complex were calculated by separately projecting the trajectories onto the principal axes. The gmx_rmsf, gmx_covar, and gmx_rmsd and gmx_rmsf modules of GROMACS, respectively, were used for PCA. Representative structures from the MD ensembles were determined based on cluster analysis using the gmx_cluster module with the Ca RMSD cutoff of 0.8 Å.

Mutational analysis

The plasmids of TjSGLr mutants were constructed using a PrimeSTAR mutagenesis basal kit (Takara Bio) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. PCRs were performed using appropriate primer pairs (Table S4) and the TjSGLr plasmid as a template. The transformation to P. pastoris and the expression and purification of TjSGLr mutants were performed in the same way as WT TjSGLr. The enzymatic reactions were performed basically in the same way as for determination of substrate specificity. The concentrations of mutants (0.001–2.6 mg/ml) and reaction time (0–2.5 h) were changed depending on the mutants. Color development was performed using the GOPOD method as described under “Kinetic analysis.” For several mutants, the MBTH method (colorimetric determination method for Sop2) (39) was also used for determination of specific activity, as described below.

MBTH method

The WT TjSGLr and TjSGLr mutants (0.001–2.6 mg/ml) were incubated in 20 mM acetate-Na buffer (pH 4.0) containing 0.2% β-1,2-glucan at 30 °C for 0–2.5 h. After appropriate intervals, 15-μl aliquots of the reaction mixtures were taken and heated at 100 °C for 5 min. The samples were mixed with 15 μl of 0.5 N NaOH and then an MBTH solution (15 μl, comprising 3 mg/ml MBTH and 1 mg/ml 1,4-DTIT). The mixtures were incubated at 80 °C for 15 min. A solution (30 μl, comprising 0.5% Fe(NH)2(SO4)2, 0.5% Na2S2O3, and 0.25 N HCl) was added to the mixtures, and then 75 μl of distilled water was also added after cooling to room temperature. The absorbance at 620 nm was measured. Sop2 (0.5–2.5 mm) was used as a standard for reducing sugar, and 1 unit was defined as the amount of the enzyme required to release 1 μmol of the Sop2 equivalent reducing power in a minute.
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