An Effective Hormonal Therapy for a Patient with Estrogen Receptor 1 (ESR1)-Amplified Metastatic Ovarian Cancer: A Case Report
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Abstract: Hormonal therapy is an important treatment option for estrogen receptor (ER)-positive patients with advanced ovarian cancer. Although ER overexpression has been previously used as an indicator for hormonal therapy, the clinical outcomes of advanced ovarian cancer patients receiving hormonal therapy remain unsatisfactory. Additional biomarkers for screening patients are needed to improve its efficacy. In this study, we reported a metastatic ovarian cancer case with estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1) gene amplification and protein overexpression, which showed sustained partial response to hormonal therapy, including letrozole and tamoxifen, and displayed an overall survival of 47 months. The response to the therapy was evaluated by imageological examinations, cancer antigen-125 (CA-125) tests, and circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) sequencing using capture-based hybrid next-generation sequencing. Our clinical data suggested that ESR1 amplification might be a potential predictor of response to hormonal therapy in ovarian cancer. The combination of tumor detection techniques including imaging, CA-125 and ctDNA would enable confirmation of tumor response with high confidence.
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Background
Ovarian cancer is the second leading cause of gynecologic cancer death.1 It accounts for 3.6% and 4.4% of female new cancer cases and deaths per year worldwide.2 In China, its incidence and mortality among all female cancer cases are 2.9% and 2.2%, slightly lower than the global level.3

At present, tumor cytoreductive surgery combined with platinum-based chemotherapy is the primary treatment for advanced ovarian cancer.4 Although the surgery in combination with chemotherapy is effective for most patients, its efficacy for ovarian cancer is not satisfactory and the recurrent rate of ovarian cancer remains high. Hormonal therapy is one of the treatment options for patients with estrogen receptor (ER)-positive advanced ovarian cancer. Studies have shown that the objective response rate and disease stability duration of high-grade serous ovarian cancer patients receiving hormonal therapy were ~15% and 9.6 months, respectively.5 The insufficient efficacy of hormonal therapy in ER-positive patient cohort limits its clinical application in advanced ovarian cancer and the identification of additional biomarkers for rational patient selection to improve its efficacy is paramount.

There are two subtypes of ER proteins, ERα and ERβ, which are encoded by estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1) and ESR2 genes, respectively. It has been reported that 25%–86% of ovarian cancers have ER expression.6 The success of hormonal therapy in breast cancer and the high frequency of ER expression in ovarian cancer have spurred great effort in evaluating hormonal therapy’s efficacy in ovarian cancer. Albeit its low frequency in ovarian cancer, ESR1 gene
amplification has been shown 100% associated with ER expression in ovarian cancer,\textsuperscript{6} indicating it is one of the mechanisms for upregulating ER expression. To our knowledge, we reported the first case of ESR1-amplified metastatic ovarian cancer that showed a durable response to both tamoxifen- and letrozole-based hormonal therapy. Our case report encouraged further exploration of hormonal therapy biomarkers for precision medicine in ovarian cancer.

**Case Presentation**

In March 2016, a 78-year-old female patient diagnosed with stage IIIC ovarian cancer underwent cytoreductive surgery. Tumor nodules were found in the right ovary, right fallopian tube, left ovary, appendix, omentum, and umbilicus. Postoperative pathology of tumor samples from the ovary revealed high-grade serous adenocarcinoma (Figure 1A). Cancer antigen 125 (CA-125) decreased from 441.82 U/mL to 71.01 U/mL after cytoreductive surgery (Figure 1B).

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S363856)

**Figure 1** Patient’s tumor response to corresponding chemo and hormonal therapy. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin stain of the primary tumor and right inguinal metastasis. (B) Patient’s CA-125 level as a function of time. Mar. 2016 when the patient received cytoreductive surgery, was marked as zero time point. The duration of chemo and hormonal therapies this patient received were indicated as colored boxes at the top of the graph. (C) The CT/MRI images of hepatic hilar metastasis (top) and right inguinal metastasis (bottom) pointed by the red arrows at each time point, along with evaluated tumor response to therapy were shown. The time of next generation sequencing of tumor samples was indicated by a green arrow.
Subsequently, she was treated with six cycles of docetaxel plus carboplatin chemotherapy. After postoperative chemotherapy, regular follow-up with monitoring of CA-125 was recommended and performed. The information of systematic therapies is summarized in Table 1, and as shown in Figure 1B, the level of CA-125 remained at a relatively low level within nine months after surgery. While an enlarged lymph node in the right groin area was noted after ten months of surgery, the size of which increased at the next follow-up with an elevated CA-125 level. At that time, the patient refused the recommended chemotherapy and continued the regular follow-ups. Chemotherapy was recommended to the patient; however, she refused the treatment. Unfortunately, multiple metastatic lesions were found by abdominal enhanced computed tomography (CT) in the hepatic hilum, intraperitoneal cavity, and right cardiophrenic angle (36*47 mm) after 24 months of surgery with a dramatic increase in CA-125 level (1862.18 U/mL). Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of the patient at the time was grade 1. Four cycles of docetaxel plus carboplatin were administered as the second-line chemotherapy, which led to a stable disease (SD) according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1 criteria (Figure 1C). Side effects of grade 2 white blood cell decrease and grade 1 platelet decrease were reported. Then, she received two cycles of gemcitabine, which only resulted in a temporary decrease in CA-125 level. In September 2018, the level CA-125 increased to 1113.17 U/mL and an aspiration biopsy was performed on the right inguinal lymph node metastasis. The hematoxylin and eosin stains of the biopsy sample confirmed high-grade serous adenocarcinoma (Figure 1A). Both primary and metastatic tumor specimens underwent capture-based hybrid next-generation sequencing (NGS) by targeting 425 cancer-related genes, which revealed 8.7- and 5.3-fold ESR1 gene amplification in the primary tumor and metastatic lesion, respectively (Figure 2A). Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining (VENTANA anti-ER (SP1) Rabbit Monoclonal Primary Antibody, Roche) results showed a positive expression of ER in both primary tumor (>80%) and metastatic inguinal lymph node (>90%) (Figure 2B). Based on the NGS-detected ESR1 amplification and ER overexpression, the patient received tamoxifen (20 mg oral daily), a hormonal therapy targeting the ER, starting in September 2018. After three months of tamoxifen treatment, both the right groin and the hepatic hilar tumor nodules significantly shrank (Figure 1C), which reached a partial response (PR) according to RECIST 1.1, and the level of CA-125 decreased to 186.38 U/mL. However, the size of hepatic hilar lymph nodes significantly increased (Figure 1C) after another two months of tamoxifen treatment, suggesting a progressive disease (PD). Aromatase inhibitor letrozole (2.5 mg oral daily) was subsequently given, which led to the shrinkage of metastatic hepatic hilar and right-groin nodules as well as the decrease in CA-125 (Figure 1C). However, after five months of letrozole treatment, CA-125 increased and hepatic hilar lymph node metastasis enlarged again. A re-biopsy of the right inguinal lymph node metastasis was performed for NGS testing, and a 3.2-fold amplification of ESR1 was detected (Figure 2A). The patient then tried hormonal and targeted therapy and chemotherapy but responded poorly and died in February 2020.

Table 1 Systemic Therapy Received by the Patient

| # | Regimen            | Schedule               | Start to End (Month Since Surgery) |
|---|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Docetaxel 60 mg/m² + carboplatin AUC4 | IV every 21 days × 6 cycles | Apr 2016 to Sep 2016 (1–6) |
| 2 | Docetaxel 60 mg/m² + carboplatin AUC4 | IV every 21 days × 4 cycles | Apr 2018 to Jun 2018 (25–27) |
| 3 | Gemcitabine 1000 mg/m² d1 d8 | IV every 21 days × 2 cycles | Jul 2018 to Sep 2018 (28–30) |
| 4 | Tamoxifen          | 20 mg oral daily       | Sep 2018 to Feb 2019 (30–35) |
| 5 | Letrozole          | 2.5 mg oral daily      | Mar 2019 to Aug 2019 (36–41) |

Notes: 1: Cytoreductive surgery on Mar. 2016. 2: IV, intravenous. 3: AUC4, area under curve 4.
Discussion and Conclusions

Although estrogen has a well-defined clinical value in breast cancer, its role in ovarian cancer is less well established. Significant research interest has been seen in evaluating the clinical value of ER expression in ovarian cancer, especially regarding the sensitivity to hormonal therapy. However, mixed clinical outcomes of hormonal therapy were reported in several clinical trials assessing their correlation with ER expression in ovarian cancer. Such diverse results might result from the different methods used to determine ER positivity and the lack of additional predictive biomarkers. In our study, we incorporated targeted NGS with IHC examination, which demonstrated the correlation between ESR1 gene amplification and positive ER expression.

Tamoxifen is one of the first anti-estrogens to enter clinical trials before 2000. As early as 1981, Myers et al reported a favorable response to tamoxifen in three ovarian cancer patients. A systematic review of 648 ovarian cancer patients treated with tamoxifen in clinical trials reported an overall response rate of 13% and a disease control rate of 38%. Since 2002, aromatase inhibitors have been evaluated in a number of clinical trials for ovarian cancer treatment. Letrozole is one of the aromatase inhibitors that can block the conversion of androgen to estrogen by inhibiting the key enzyme aromatase in the estrogen synthesis pathway. Two early studies of letrozole have shown that the CA-125 response rate of ovarian cancer patients was improved by restricting ER expression status. A recent clinical study showed that letrozole as a maintenance therapy for patients with advanced ER-positive serous ovarian cancer significantly increased the 24-month relapse-free survival rate from 38.5% to 60%.

In this case report, we presented a patient who was sensitive to hormonal therapy and obtained an overall survival of 47 months. The 18-month platinum-free interval after the first-line chemotherapy prompted the use of second-line...
platinum-based chemotherapy in the hope of a good tumor response. However, the side effect, suboptimal response (stable disease) and the rapid increase in CA-125 level during the second-line chemotherapy promoted a search for alternative therapies. The targeted NGS and IHC tests were performed for primary and metastatic tumor samples, which showed an ESR1 gene amplification and ER protein overexpression, respectively. These findings led to the subsequent use of hormonal therapies, including tamoxifen and letrozole. The best response to tamoxifen and letrozole was PR with a five-month progression-free survival. However, this single ESR1-amplified case with optimal response to hormonal therapy is not sufficient for concluding the predictive role of ESR1 gene amplification; thus, more comprehensive studies in larger cohorts are needed. A Phase III trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab in patients with platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer has shown that patients’ median overall survival is 16.6 months and 13.3 months, respectively. However, the presented patient obtained a survival of 47 months (from Mar. 2016 to Feb. 2020) by the combination of cytoreductive surgery, chemotherapy and hormonal therapy, which was better than the median overall survival of 33.6 months for the platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer treated with chemotherapy plus bevacizumab in another phase III clinical trial.

Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) sequencing with liquid biopsy has become a reliable tool for comprehensive genomic assessment and resistance mechanism exploration during treatment. In this case, the changes in ctDNA levels, represented by the allele frequency of TP53 R273C mutation, were associated with CA-125 levels and treatment efficacy (Figure 2C). The R273C mutation of TP53 was observed in several cancer types and related to cancer cell proliferation and invasion. Previous studies also showed that TP53 mutation in breast cancer could inhibit ER expression and increase tamoxifen resistance. Thus, the accumulation of TP53 mutation detected by NGS might explain the progression upon hormonal therapies.

In conclusion, we reported a metastatic ovarian cancer case with high level of ESR1 gene amplification, which was associated with strong ER protein expression and prolonged the favorable response to hormonal therapies including tamoxifen and letrozole. Our study promoted the discovery of additional biomarkers for hormonal therapy in ovarian cancer and NGS-detected ESR1 gene amplification might be a strong candidate in addition to IHC-validated ER expression.
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