Blood pressure reduction combining baroreflex restoration for stroke prevention in hypertension in rats
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INTRODUCTION

According to recent reports published by the World Health Organization, about 15 million people per year fall victim to stroke, one-third of whom die and another third are left permanently disabled. Therefore, prevention is the only possible way to curb the stroke pandemic (Franco et al., 2004a,b; Papadopoulos and Papademetriou, 2008). Hypertension is the most important modifiable risk for stroke. It is reported that over 70% of stroke can be attributed to hypertension (Papadopoulos and Papademetriou, 2008). Blood pressure control is an important way to reduce the morbidity of stroke.

However, it is well accepted that blood pressure level is not the unique determinant for stroke in hypertension. Recently, we found that arterial baroreflex function, expressed as baroreflex sensitivity (BRS), is another important determinant for stroke (Liu et al., 2007). Stroke-prone spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR-SP) were divided into two groups according to their BRS at age of 6 months. It was found that stroke was significantly delayed in rats with high BRS compared with those with low BRS (Liu et al., 2007).

Based on these findings, we speculated that a combination of blood pressure reduction and BRS restoration might be much beneficial for preventing stroke in hypertension. The present work was therefore designed to test this hypothesis. Amlodipine was used for blood pressure reduction and a small dose of ketanserin for BRS restoration. In this work, we used not only SHR-SP, but also a new spontaneous stroke model, two-kidney, two-clip (2K2C) renovascular hypertensive rats.

Blood pressure reduction is an important and effective strategy in stroke prevention in hypertensives. Recently, we found that baroreflex restoration was also crucial in stroke prevention. The present work was designed to test the hypothesis that a combination of blood pressure reduction and baroreflex restoration may be a new strategy for stroke prevention. In Experiment 1, the effects of ketanserin (0.3, 1, 3, 10 mg/kg), amlodipine (0.3, 1, 2, 3 mg/kg) and their combination (1 + 0.3, 1 + 1, 1 + 2, 1 + 3 mg/kg) on blood pressure and baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) of stroke-prone spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR-SP) were determined under conscious state. It was found that both amlodipine and ketanserin decreased blood pressure dose-dependently. Ketanserin enhanced BRS from a very small dose but amlodipine enhanced BRS only at largest dose used. At the dose of 1 + 2 mg/kg (ketanserin + amlodipine), the combination possessed the largest synergism on blood pressure reduction. In Experiments 2 and 3, SHR-SP and two-kidney, two-clip (2K2C) renovascular hypertensive rats received life-long treatments with ketanserin (1 mg/kg) and amlodipine (2 mg/kg) or their combination (0.5 + 1, 1 + 2, 2 + 4 mg/kg). The survival time was recorded and the brain lesion was examined. It was found that all kinds of treatments prolonged the survival time of SHR-SP and 2K2C rats. The combination possessed a significantly better effect on stroke prevention than mono-therapies. In conclusion, combination of blood pressure reduction and baroreflex restoration may be a new strategy for the prevention of stroke in hypertension.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

ANIMALS

Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were provided by Sino-British SIPPR/BK Lab Animal Ltd (Shanghai, China) and SHR-SP by the Animal Center of our university. They were housed with controlled temperature (23–25°C) and lighting (8:00–20:00 light, 20:00–8:00 dark) and with free access to standard food and drinking water. All the animals used in this work received humane care in compliance with institutional guidelines for health and care of experimental animals.

BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENT

Systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and heart period were continuously recorded using previously described technique with some modifications (Su et al., 1986). Briefly, rats were anesthetized with a combination of ketamine and diazepam. A polyethylene catheter was inserted into the lower abdominal aorta via the left femoral artery for blood pressure measurement and another catheter was inserted into left femoral vein for phenylephrine administration. A third catheter was placed into the stomach via a mid-abdominal incision for drug administration. The catheters were exteriorized through the interscapular skin. After 2-day recovery period, the animals were placed for blood pressure recording in individual cylindrical cages containing food and water. The aortic catheter was connected to a blood pressure transducer via a rotating swivel that allowed the animals to move freely in the...
cage. After about 4-h habituation, the blood pressure signal was digitized by a microcomputer. SBP, DBP and heart period values were determined on line. The mean values of these parameters during a period of given time were calculated.

**DETERMINATION OF BRS**

In the above-mentioned blood pressure recording condition, BRS was measured in the conscious rat (Zhang et al., 2008). A bolus injection of phenylephrine was used to induce a blood pressure elevation. The dose of phenylephrine (5–10 μg/kg) was adjusted to raise SBP about 30 mmHg. There exists a delay (about 1 s) between the elevation of blood pressure (stimulus) and the prolongation of heart period (response) for arterial baroreflex. In rats, the heart rate is about 5 or 6 s. So, heart period was plotted against SBP for linear regression analysis for 2–8 shifts (calculated by computer); the slope with the largest correlation coefficient (r) of heart period/SBP was expressed as BRS (ms/mm Hg). The mean of two measurements with proper dose was taken as the final result.

**PREPARATION OF 2K2C RENOVASCULAR HYPERTENSIVE RATS**

Male SD rats weighing 190–210 g were anesthetized with a combination of ketamine (40 mg/kg) and diazepam (6 mg/kg). A median longitudinal incision on abdominal skin was performed, and then two silver clips (0.3-mm internal gap) were placed on the roots of both right and left renal arteries (Zeng et al., 1998). All animals were fed standard rat chow and tap water ad libitum. One month after operation, SBP was measured by tail-cuff plethysmography.

**STROKE SYMPTOM OBSERVATION**

To detect the stroke symptoms, the movement of limbs, respiration, diet, fur, and consciousness of all SHR-SP and 2K2C hypertensive rats were observed twice daily (at 8:00 and 18:00).

**MORPHOLOGICAL EXAMINATION**

In experiment 2 and 3, when the rats died, the brain was removed, and the signs of hemorrhage and ischemia were examined, and then photographed. Brains without these distinct signs were immersed in the solution of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 mol/L phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and then the brain was dissected and fixed in this solution for 24 h. The specimens were then washed, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, and embedded in paraffin. Sections cut transversely at 5-μm thickness separated by 100 μm from the anterior to the posterior extremity were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for light microscopic study (Xie et al., 2005).

**PROBABILITY SUM TEST**

To determine whether the combination was synergistic, we used the probability sum test (q test) (Xie et al., 2005). This test came from classic probability analysis and was used for evaluating the synergism of the combination of two drugs. In the present work, we used the following criteria. Compared with the mean values of baseline (before drug administration), rats with a decrease of the mean value over 4 h ≥ 20 mmHg in SBP were defined as responders. The formula is as follows: $q = P_{A+B} - (P_A + P_B - P_A \times P_B)$. Here, A and B indicate drug A and drug B; P (probability) is the percentage of responders in each group. $P_{A+B}$ is real percentage of responder and $(P_A + P_B - P_A \times P_B)$ is expected response rate. $(P_A + P_B)$ indicates the sum of the probabilities when drug A and drug B is used alone. $(P_A \times P_B)$ is the probability of rats responding to both drugs when they were used alone. When $q < 0.85$ the combination is antagonistic; when $q > 1.15$ it is synergistic. It is additive when $q$ is between 0.85 and 1.15.

**PROTOCOL**

**Experiment 1**

Effects of amlodipine, ketanserin and their combination on blood pressure and BRS in SHR-SP. In this experiment, 108 female SHR-SP aged 8 months were used. They were randomly divided into 12 groups ($n = 9$ in each group). After approximately 4-h habituation (from 8:00 to 12:00), blood pressure was recorded during a period of 30 min (from 12:00 to 12:30) and BRS was measured using the previously mentioned method. These values were taken as basal control values. After the determination of baseline values, each group of rats received intragastrically ketanserin (0.3, 1, 3 and 10 mg/kg), amlodipine (0.3, 1, 2, 3 mg/kg), or their combination (1 + 0.3, 1 + 1, 1 + 2, 1 + 3 mg/kg) respectively. Approximately 60 min after drug administration, blood pressure was recorded for another 30 min and BRS was determined again.

**Experiment 2**

Effects of amlodipine, ketanserin and their combination on stroke prevention in 2K2C rats. Total 120 male SD rats were randomly divided into six groups ($n = 20$ in each group). One month after 2K2C operation, blood pressures were measured by tail-cuff plethysmography. Then these rats received treatments. The treatments were exactly the same as above-mentioned for SHR-SP (Table 1). Dead rats without stroke, but with obvious abnormality on other organs such as heart, lung, or kidney, were excluded from the protocol. The stroke occurrences, stroke subtypes and death time were recorded.

**Experiment 3**

Effects of amlodipine, ketanserin and their combination on stroke prevention in SHR-SP. Ninety male SHR-SP, aged 5 months, were used. They were randomly divided into 12 groups ($n = 15$ in each group). Drugs were mixed in the chow. The content of drugs in the rat chow was calculated according to consumption. The daily-ingested doses were as follows (shown in Table 1). The stroke occurrences, stroke subtypes and death time were recorded.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Investigators were blind to the procedures during blood pressure and heart period recording, BRS determination, weighing and morphological examination. Data are expressed as the mean ± SE. The comparisons between pre- and post-drug were made by paired t-tests.

| Group | Ketanserin (mg/kg/d) | Amlodipine (mg/kg/d) |
|-------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | 0                    | 0                   |
| 2     | 0                    | 0                   |
| 3     | 0                    | 2                   |
| 4     | 0.5                  | 1                   |
| 5     | 1                    | 2                   |
| 6     | 2                    | 4                   |

Table 1 | The doses of ketanserin and amlodipine in six groups.
In experiments 2 and 3, Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to estimate survival probabilities. Log-Rank testing was used to evaluate equality of survival curves. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**EFFECTS OF AMLODIPINE, KETANSERIN AND THEIR COMBINATION ON BLOOD PRESSURE AND BRS IN SHR-SP**

The effects of a single dose of ketanserin and amlodipine, either alone or in combination, on blood pressure, heart period, and BRS are shown in **Figure 1**. It was found that from 0.3 mg/kg to 3.0 mg/kg, amlodipine significantly decreased SBP and DBP in a dose-dependent manner. The maximal decrease ($\sim 22$ mmHg) in SBP was found with 3 mg/kg. A significant decrease in heart period (increase in heart rate) existed with the doses from 1.0 mg/kg. A similar result was seen on BRS. Amlodipine enhanced BRS at the doses 1, 2, and 3 mg/kg. No effects on blood pressure were found when ketanserin was given at 0.3 mg/kg. From 1.0 mg/kg, ketanserin significantly decreased both SBP and DBP. It seems that the decrease in DBP was more profound than the decrease in SBP. Ketanserin did not affect heart period. The most important phenomenon is the effect

![Figure 1](https://www.frontiersin.org)

**FIGURE 1** The effects of a single dose of amlodipine (Aml) and ketanserin (Ket) or combination on systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), heart period (HP) and baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) in conscious SHR-SP. The values are expressed as mean ± SE. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ after drug vs. before drug. $n = 9$ in each group.
of ketanserin on BRS. Even at very-low dose, ketanserin increased significantly BRS in SHR-SP. The effect of ketanserin on BRS was neither dose-dependent nor blood pressure dependent (Figure 1). In combination studies, the dose of ketanserin was fixed at 1.0 mg/kg. Ketanserin was combined with four different doses of amlodipine. It was found that the effects of the combinations on blood pressure were enhanced with the increase of the doses of amlodipine. However, this phenomenon was not found on BRS. The combination with the smallest dose of amlodipine had already a great effect.

The synergism of the combination was determined by q test. The q-test results are shown in Table 2. It is clear that there was a significant synergism on blood pressure reduction for the combination of ketanserin and amlodipine. But there was no synergistic interaction of ketanserin and amlodipine on BRS. The q values were all lower than 1.15 (1.04–1.09) and exhibited an additive effect.

### Table 2 | The probability sum test in SHR-SP treated with ketanserin (Ket) and amlodipine (Aml) Alone or in combination.

| Parameter | Dose (mg/kg) | P value (%) | q value |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| **SBP**   |              |             |         |
| Ket       | 1            | 0           |         |
| Aml 0.3   | 0            | 0           |         |
| Aml 1     | 0            | 0           |         |
| Aml 2     | 33           |             |         |
| Aml 3     | 50           |             |         |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 0.3      | 29          |         |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 1        | 29          |         |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 2        | 80          | 2.4     |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 3        | 89          | 1.8     |
| **DBP**   |              |             |         |
| Ket       | 1            | 10          |         |
| Aml 0.3   | 0            |             |         |
| Aml 1     | 43           |             |         |
| Aml 2     | 33           |             |         |
| Aml 3     | 50           |             |         |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 0.3      | 29          |         |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 1        | 57          | 1.17    |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 2        | 70          | 2.1     |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 3        | 100         | 1.8     |
| **BRS**   |              |             |         |
| Ket       | 1            | 60          |         |
| Aml 0.3   | 14           |             |         |
| Aml 1     | 14           |             |         |
| Aml 2     | 11           |             |         |
| Aml 3     | 50           |             |         |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 0.3      | 71          | 1.08    |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 1        | 71          | 1.08    |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 2        | 70          | 1.09    |
| Ket + Aml | 1 + 3        | 83          | 1.04    |

\(P\) indicated the percentage of animals possessing an effective decrease in BP (20 mm Hg) and an effective increase in BRS (0.2 ms/mm Hg) produced by ketanserin, amlodipine alone or in combination. \(n = 9\) in each group.

### EFFECTS OF AMLODIPINE, KETANSERIN AND THEIR COMBINATION ON STROKE PREVENTION IN SHR-SP

Among the 90 rats studied, 88 died from stroke were confirmed by displaying neurological symptoms of stroke and/or brain pathological examination. About one-third animals died of hemorrhage and two-thirds of infarction. The subtypes of stroke are shown in Table 3. This proportion of hemorrhage and ischemia was not changed by the treatments. The survival time expressed by the Kaplan-Meier survival curve is shown in Figure 2. The average survival time in control SHR-SP was 332 ± 66 days. A significant difference was detected between the control and the five drug treatment groups (Log-rank testing \(\chi^2 = 50.2, P < 0.0001\)). From Groups 2 to 6 (treated rats), the survival time was as follows: 398 ± 85 days; 404 ± 80 days; 424 ± 90 days; 470 ± 94 days; and 508 ± 93 days. Compared with control group, the survival time was 1.20-fold greater in Group 2 (\(P < 0.05\)), 1.22-fold in Group 3 (\(P < 0.05\)), 1.28-fold in Group 4 (\(P < 0.01\)), 1.42-fold in Group 5 (\(P < 0.001\)), and 1.53-fold in Group 6 (\(P < 0.001\)). When the control rats all died of stroke, the mortality rate in different treatment groups was as follows: 53% in Group 2; 33% in Group 3; 27% in Group 4; 20% in Group 5; and 13% in Group 6. These data showed that the treatment can prevent the occurrence of stroke, especially the combination of amlodipine and ketanserin.

### EFFECTS OF AMLODIPINE, KETANSERIN AND THEIR COMBINATION ON STROKE PREVENTION IN 2K2C RENOVASCULAR HYPERTENSIVE RATS

One month after 2K2c operation, blood pressures were measured by tail-cuff plethysmography. All 2K2c rats exhibited a SBP > 150 mmHg. Among the 120 rats studied, 81 animals died from stroke. Other 39 animals died without stroke were excluded from the protocol. The subtypes of stroke are also shown in Table 3. This proportion of hemorrhage and ischemia was not changed by the treatments. The survival time expressed by the Kaplan-Weier survival curve is shown in Figure 3.

The average survival time in control rats was 194 ± 75 days. A significant difference was detected between the control and the five drug treatment groups (Log-rank testing \(\chi^2 = 31.2, P < 0.0001\)). From Groups 2 to 6 (treated rats), the survival time was as follows: 277 ± 123 days; 310 ± 121 days; 354 ± 142 days; 364 ± 148 days; and 381 ± 163 days. Compared with control group, the survival time was 1.43-fold greater in Group 2 (\(P < 0.05\)), 1.60-fold in Group 3 (\(P < 0.01\)), 1.82-fold in Group 4 (\(P < 0.001\)), 1.88-fold in Group 5 (\(P < 0.001\)), and 1.96-fold in Group 6 (\(P < 0.001\)). When the control rats all died of stroke, the mortality rate in different treatment groups was as follows: 67% in Group 2; 64% in Group 3; 43% in Group 4; 36% in Group 5; and 38% in Group 6. These data also showed that the treatment can significantly prevent the occurrence of stroke, especially the combination of amlodipine and ketanserin.

### DISCUSSION

It is estimated that over 70% of stroke can be attributed to hypertension. Blood pressure level is an important determinant for stroke. The risk of stroke is increased by about 25% with each 10 mmHg increase in SBP (Wolf-Maier et al., 2003; Fang et al., 2006; Luepker et al., 2006). Accordingly, expected benefits of blood pressure lowering...
for stroke risk reduction are broadly consistent across a range of different population subgroups (Lawes et al., 2004; Papadopoulos and Papademetriou, 2008). In the present work, it was found that a reduction of blood pressure with amlodipine (2 mg/kg/day) could significantly delay the occurrence of stroke and prolonged survival time in both SHR-SP (+72 days) and 2K2C hypertensive rats (+125 days).

Many researchers have confirmed that baroreflex dysfunction was associated to the prognosis of many diseases (La Rovere et al., 1988, 1998; Robinson et al., 2003). La Rovere and colleagues assessed BRS 4 weeks after the first attack of acute myocardial infarction. During a follow-up period of 2 years, they found that the mortality rate is 40% in patients with a BRS < 3.0 ms/mmHg while 3% in patients with a BRS > 3.0 ms/mmHg (La Rovere et al.,

### Table 3 | Occurrence of brain lesions in different groups of SHR-SP and 2K2C rats.

| Group | SHR-SP | 2K2C |
|-------|--------|------|
|       | n      | Hem | Isc | Mix | no Stroke | n | Hem | Isc | Mix | no Stroke |
| 1     | 15     | 4   | 8   | 3   | 0         | 20 | 4   | 7   | 4   | 0         |
| 2     | 15     | 5   | 6   | 4   | 0         | 20 | 3   | 7   | 5   | 0         |
| 3     | 15     | 4   | 9   | 2   | 0         | 20 | 3   | 8   | 3   | 0         |
| 4     | 15     | 4   | 8   | 3   | 0         | 20 | 2   | 7   | 5   | 0         |
| 5     | 15     | 3   | 8   | 3   | 1         | 20 | 4   | 7   | 3   | 0         |
| 6     | 15     | 4   | 6   | 4   | 1         | 20 | 3   | 6   | 4   | 7         |

Hem, hemorrhage; Isc, ischemia; Mix, mixture of Hem and Isc.
Based on above-mentioned data, the main working hypothesis of the present study is to propose that a combination of blood pressure reduction and baroreflex restoration may be as a new strategy for stroke prevention in hypertension. To test this hypothesis, some considerations are listed as follows:

1. Drug selection. The antihypertensive effect of amlodipine is well recognized. In addition, it is believed as one of the best drugs for stroke prevention in hypertensive patients (Papadopoulos and Papademetriou, 2008). Since 20 years, we have studied many drugs for enhancing BRS. It was found that ketanserin is the most effective agent for baroreflex restoration. Therefore, amlodipine and ketanserin were selected for blood pressure reduction and baroreflex restoration respectively in this work.

2. Dose determination. The daily dose is 5–10 mg for amlodipine and 40 mg for ketanserin clinically. We hope in clinic to use an ordinary dose of amlodipine and a small dose of ketanserin. Clinically, the daily dose for ketanserin is 4–8 times greater than amlodipine. In the present work, ketanserin: amlodipine is 1:2. This means if we use an ordinary...
The results showed that the combination of amlodipine and ketanserin could significantly prolonged survival time in both SHR-SP and 2K2C hypertensive rats. The effects of this combination were significantly greater than those of mono-therapies, even in the smallest dose group (1/2 dose of each drug used in mono-therapy). These results strongly confirmed our hypothesis.

In conclusion, combination of blood pressure reduction and baroreflex restoration possessed a superior preventive effect on stroke. It may be a new strategy for the prevention of stroke in hypertension.
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