Influence of grain size on the triggering stress of stress-induced martensitic transformation and related mechanical properties in two newly developed Ti alloys
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Abstract

The β grain growth behavior of two newly developed titanium alloys with composition Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al and Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al (wt.%) isothermally annealed at 900°C for a different time was studied, and its effect on the triggering stress of stress-induced martensitic (SIM) transformation and related mechanical properties was evaluated. The results show that the grain size increases with the increase of annealing time. The measured grain size of Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al alloy is larger than that of Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al alloy under the same annealing conditions. The increase of the grain size leads to an increase of the triggering stress resulting in the martensitic transformation. The work hardening ability and compressive failure strain of the studied alloys decrease rapidly.
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1. Introduction

Titanium alloys are the most important structural metal material after steel and aluminum alloys. Since the 1950s, with the increasing need for high strength and low-density materials in the modern industry, titanium alloys have developed rapidly. Titanium alloys are widely used in aerospace, navigation, petrochemical industry, metallurgy, machinery, energy, and medical and health fields because of their excellent properties, such as low density, high specific strength, excellent corrosion resistance, high-temperature resistance, good low-temperature performance [1].

Nowadays, α + β titanium alloys are widely used in practice. Compared with α or β type titanium alloys, these two-phase alloys have a higher specific strength, lower hot working cost, excellent aging hardening characteristics, and good formability. Their mechanical properties, such as strength, plasticity, toughness and fatigue resistance, are closely related to their microstructures. The most important microstructural factors are the grain size, morphology and volume fraction of the primary and secondary α-phases and the grain boundary α-phase [2–5]. Recently, Neelakantan et al. [6] reported a new strengthening mechanism: stress-induced martensitic transformation (SIM). They believe that stress-induced martensitic transformation can improve the strength of the alloy while maintaining a high level of plasticity, and have done some research on it. This particular mechanical behavior has great potential in improving energy absorption efficiency and impact resistance of materials. In titanium alloys, the high-temperature β-phase can be quenched to room temperature as a metastable state. Under the action of external stress, metastable β-phase can produce martensitic transformation. Through the study of Ti-Ta [7], Ti-V [8], Ti-Mo [9], Ti-Nb [10], Ti-10V-2Fe-3Al [11, 12], and β-Cez [13] alloys, it was found that the stress-induced martensitic transformation in titanium alloys exhibits
Table 1. The chemical compositions of the fabricated new alloys (in wt.%)

| Alloys          | V  | Fe | Cr | Al  | N  | C  | O  | Ti  |
|-----------------|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|
| Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al  | 9.97 | 0.97 | – | 3.02 | 0.014 | 0.051 | 0.11 | Bal. |
| Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al  | 10.6 | – | 2.18 | 2.97 | 0.013 | 0.05 | 0.1 | Bal. |

Fig. 1. Stress-strain curves of SIM and NO SIM samples [11].

double yield points on the stress-strain curve, as shown in Fig. 1. The first yield point corresponds to the beginning of martensitic transformation (the triggering stress of the transformation), followed by a stage of high work hardening rate until the second yield point occurs, and then materials begin to slip and deform.

Many factors are affecting stress-induced martensitic transformation in titanium alloys, such as $\beta$-phase stability, $\beta$ grain size, alloying elements, strain rate, and deformation temperature. Among them, the $\beta$ grain size has a significant influence on stress-induced martensitic transformation. Previously, many studies have been done on it, but the conclusions were often different, and some even contrary. Grosdidier [14] studied the $\beta$-Cez alloy and found that the larger the $\beta$ grain size, the lower the triggering stress. However, in Ti-10V-2Fe-3Al alloy, Bhattacharjee [15] found opposite results. With the increase of $\beta$ grain size, the critical stress increased from 290 to 533 MPa when the grain size increased from 130 to 300 $\mu$m. Paradkar et al. [16] studied three alloys, Ti-15Al-8Nb, Ti-15Al-12Nb, and Ti-18Al-8Nb. It was found that the influence of grain size on the triggering stress was U-shaped. When the grain size was less than 1000 $\mu$m, the triggering stress decreased with the increase of grain size, and when the grain size was larger than 1000 $\mu$m, the critical stress increased with the increase of grain size. To our best knowledge, until now, there is no straight answer on the effect of the $\beta$ grain size on stress-induced martensitic transformation, and the change of mechanical properties after phase transformation is also not clear. To solve this problem, two $\alpha + \beta$ titanium alloys with good comparability were studied in this paper. Samples with different grain sizes were obtained by high-temperature $\beta$ solution treatment for a different time. The effects of grain size on stress-induced phase transformation and its corresponding mechanical properties are systematically studied.

2. Experimental details

2.1. Materials and microstructures

In the current study, two novel multiphase titanium alloys Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al and Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al (wt.%) reported by Li et al. [17] leading to different mechanical properties were fabricated on a laboratory scale by the Institute of Metal Research, Chinese Academy of Science, Shenyang, China. Each alloy weighing about 5 kg was obtained in a forged condition. The $\beta$-transus temperatures for the materials in their as-received state have been measured using dilatometry. The measured $\beta$-transus values of Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al and Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al alloys are approximately 830 °C ± 5 °C and 810 °C ± 5 °C, respectively. Their chemical compositions are summarized in Table 1, and corresponding as-received microstructures and X-ray diffraction (XRD) phase analysis are shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the initial microstructures of both the alloys are very similar and composed of a high volume fraction of $\alpha$-phase, which has a mixed unregular morphology in the $\beta$ matrix.

From the thick as-received slab, cylindrical samples of 7 mm length and 4 mm diameter were machined using electrical discharge machining (EDM). The thin oxide outer layer was removed by careful mechanical polishing before further experimentation. The samples were heated to the solution annealing temperature of 900°C at a heat rate of 15°C min⁻¹ and solution annealed for a different annealing time of 2, 15, 30, 50, 70, and 90 min using a Nabertherm furnace filled with argon gas. After solution annealing for a different time, the samples were water quenched to room temperature. For microstructural analysis, the samples were mounted into the cold-setting resin and polished fol-
Fig. 2. (a) SEM micrograph of the as-received Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al alloy, (b) SEM micrograph of the as-received Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al alloy, and (c) XRD patterns of the as-received alloys.

following the standard metallographic preparation. Standard Kroll’s reagent (3 ml HF + 6 ml HNO$_3$ + 100 ml H$_2$O) was used for revealing the microstructure. The microstructural evaluation was performed using a Leica LF7M38 optical microscope. Phase analysis was carried out using X-ray diffraction (XRD) with Co Kα radiation. Room temperature compression tests were carried out on heat-treated specimens at a strain rate of $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ using Gleeble 1500 machine. Each compression test was repeated three times. The fracture surface was observed by a JEOL scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Microhardness measurements were carried out using a Vickers indenter at a load of 2 N and making 10 independent measurements.

3. Results

3.1. Grain growth kinetics

The typical optical images and phase analysis of Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al and Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al alloys (wt.%) after the heat treatment are shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the microstructure of the alloys has changed a lot after solution annealing at 900°C. The primary α-phase fully disappeared, and large β grains are formed. A large amount of quenched martensite is precipitated after quenching. With the increase of solution time, the amount of quenched martensite decreases. However, the specific reasons are not clear yet; further investigation is needed. Comparing these two alloys, it was found that after solution treated at 900°C for 2 min, the amount of martensite in Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al alloy is relatively higher than that in Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al alloy, which is due to the different stability of the high-temperature β-phase evaluated by Mo equivalence ($Mo_{eq}$) according to the equation in the form [11]:

$$Mo_{eq} = 1.00Mo(\text{wt.\%}) + 0.28Nb(\text{wt.\%}) + 0.22Ta(\text{wt.\%}) + 0.67V(\text{wt.\%}) + 2.9Fe(\text{wt.\%}) - 1.00Al(\text{wt.\%}).$$

(1)

The calculated $Mo_{eq}$ value is 6.5 and 7.6 for Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al and Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al alloy, respectively. To qualitatively understand the influence of grain size on the properties of the alloys, the microhardness of two titanium alloys with different grain sizes was tested, as shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the microhardness of both alloys decreases with the increase of grain size, but at the same time, the size of grains is sufficiently large in the studied alloys to guarantee that each microindentation remains within the individual grain. In this paper, we thought that with the increase of solution time, the distribution of alloying elements in and between grains is more homogeneous, which reduces the strengthening effect of alloying elements, thus reducing the hardness value. On the other hand, with the increase of solution time, the amount of martensite decreases after quenching (although the specific reason is not clear), which may also be one of the reasons for the decrease of hardness. Also, there is a contradiction between the decrease of hardness...
value and the slight increase of compressive strength on the stress-strain curve. We believe that this is due to the occurrence of stress-induced martensite transformation in the alloy and change of the original dislocation dominated work hardening mode, which makes the strength mechanism of the alloys more complex. More detailed quantitative research still needs to be carried out.

The grain size of two titanium alloys as a function of solution time is shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen from the curves that the grain size increases with the increase of solution time at 900°C. The growth of $\beta$ grain is mainly composed of two processes: recrystallization nucleation and grain growth. In the stage of recrystallization nucleation, $\beta$ grains nucleate preferentially near the grain boundary, deformation band, inclusion, and remaining $\beta$-phase.
the nucleation, the grains grow by gradually annexing the surrounding α-phase. In this stage, the thermodynamic driving force of β grain growth is the difference of free energy between α and β until the α-phase completely transforms into the β-phase. In the second stage, the driving force for β grain growth is the deformation storage energy, and the grain growth is realized by the reduction of the total interface energy. The longer the solution time is, the more thoroughly the atoms diffusion and the larger the grain size is. According to the growth rate of different periods, the grain growth curve of the two alloys can be roughly divided into two stages, as shown in Fig. 5. The growth rate at the solution annealing time shorter than 1800 s (30 min) is higher than that after 1800 s. Generally speaking, with the extension of holding time at the solution temperature, the grain growth of titanium alloy conforms to the combined-power function model ($n < 1$), and its growth rate is relatively higher at the initial stage. With the increase of solution annealing time, the grain growth of the alloy is sufficient, which makes the total interface energy of the alloy decrease; the driving force of grain growth decreases, thus the growth rate decreases. Also, by comparing these two alloys, we can find that the grain growth rate of Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al alloy is higher than that of Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al alloy under the same solid solution annealing conditions. The essence of grain growth is grain boundary migration, but the type and quantity of solute atoms have an important influence on it. The diffusion rate of Cr in Ti crystal is much slower than that of Fe, so the drag effect of Cr on grain boundary is higher than that of Fe, which affects the grain growth rate of Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al alloy [17].

3.2. Effect of grain size on the mechanical properties

Figure 6 shows the typical true stress-strain curves of the studied alloys after solution annealing. It can be seen that the stress-induced martensitic transformation occurs in all specimens (double yield point on the stress-strain curve), as seen in Figs. 6a,b. The compressive deformation curves indicate that the high-temperature β-phase of the two alloys is unstable at room temperature, and the transformation can occur by applying external load (driving force), which is similar to some of our previous research results [2]. The deformed microstructure of the compression specimens clearly shows the formation of stress-induced transformations and confirms the observed differences in the compressive deformation curves, as seen in Fig. 6c. The stress-strain curves change with the increase of grain size, which indicates that the grain size has a great influence on the mechanical properties of the studied titanium alloys due to the stress-induced martensitic transformation.

Figure 7 shows the influence of grain size on the mechanical properties of the alloys. Figure 7a shows the effect of grain size on the triggering stress in the studied titanium alloys. It can be seen from the figure that the triggering stress increases with the increase of the grain size. When the grain size is less than 400 μm, the increment of the triggering stress is small. When the grain size is greater than 400 μm, the increment of the triggering stress is more obvious. To clarify the effect of grain size on martensitic transformations, the following expression for the free energy change during the transformation from the metastable β to the martensite proposed by Liu and Yang [18] and Bhat-
Fig. 6. (a) True stress-strain curves of different solution annealed Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al, (b) true stress-strain curves of different solution annealed Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al, and (c) typical microstructure of specimen showing stress induced martensitic transformation (Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al alloy with 900°C/2 min treatment).

Fig. 7. The effect of grain size on room temperature compressive properties of the studied titanium alloys: (a) variation of triggering stress with grain size, (b) variation of work hardening ability with grain size, and (c) variation of failure compressive strain with grain size.
The term $\Delta H$ of grain size. The term $\varepsilon$ and $\Delta S$ can improve the work hardening ability of the alloy, relatively low external loading. This transformation produces stress-induced martensitic transformation under normal friction ($\delta E_{\text{irr}}$). The overall contribution of $\Delta E_{\text{irr}}$ that for the grainsizes studied in the present work, the grain correlation to the mechanical properties. The typical fracture morphology is shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen that with the increase of grain size, the fracture surface of the alloy changes slightly. Figure 8a shows a relatively flat surface of Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al alloy with a grain size of $\sim 230 \mu m$. There are two different featured areas. One is the tiny dimple area, as shown in Fig. 8b. Some of these dimples are small in size, and the other ones are seriously elongated along the direction of fracture due to the external stress. Other regions are featureless smooth regions, which are distributed between dimple areas, as shown in Fig. 8c. Most of the materials can show good plasticity in compression. Under large compressive deformation, some fracture areas can be severely scratched and some original fracture areas can be easily damaged, which results in such smooth regions as shown in Figs. 8d–f.

4. Conclusions

The effect of $\beta$ grain size on the mechanical response of Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al and Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al alloys was studied. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

1. The grain size increases with the increase of solution annealing time. The grain growth curve of both alloys can be roughly divided into two stages. The grain growth rate of Ti-10V-1Fe-3Al alloy is higher than that of Ti-10V-2Cr-3Al alloy under the same

\[ \Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S + \Delta E_{\text{el}} + \delta E_{\text{irr}} - \varepsilon_{\text{tr}} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ f \frac{\sigma^2}{E_M} - (1 - f) \frac{\sigma^2}{E_{\beta}} \right], \]
solid solution annealing conditions.

2. Stress-induced martensitic transformation occurs in specimens with fully $\beta$-phase under compression. The triggering stress increases with the increase of grain size, which means that the effect of the martensitic phase transformation is restrained gradually with increasing grain size.

3. The work hardening ability and failure strain of the studied alloys decrease with the increase of grain size. Fracture surface observations show a good agreement with the evolution of compressive properties with the grain size.
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