**Abstract**

**Background:** Approximately 10% of Lynch syndrome families have a mutation in *MSH6* and fewer families have a mutation in *PMS2*. It is assumed that the cancer incidence is the same in families with mutations in *MSH6* as in families with mutations in *MLH1/MSH2* but that the disease tends to occur later in life, little is known about families with *PMS2* mutations. This study reports on our findings on mutation type, cancer risk and age of diagnosis in *MSH6* and *PMS2* families.

**Methods:** A total of 78 participants (from 29 families) with a mutation in *MSH6* and 7 participants (from 6 families) with a mutation in *PMS2* were included in the current study. A database of de-identified patient information was analysed to extract all relevant information such as mutation type, cancer incidence, age of diagnosis and cancer type in this Lynch syndrome cohort. Cumulative lifetime risk was calculated utilising Kaplan-Meier survival analysis.

**Results:** *MSH6* and *PMS2* mutations represent 10.3% and 1.9%, respectively, of the pathogenic mutations in our Australian Lynch syndrome families. We identified 26 different *MSH6* and 4 different *PMS2* mutations in the 35 families studied. We report 15 novel *MSH6* and 1 novel *PMS2* mutations. The estimated cumulative risk of CRC at age 70 years was 61% (similar in males and females) and 65% for endometrial cancer in *MSH6* mutation carriers. The risk of developing CRC is different between males and females at age 50 years, which is 34% for males and 21% for females.

**Conclusion:** Novel *MSH6* and *PMS2* mutations are being reported and submitted to the current databases for identified Lynch syndrome mutations. Our data provides additional information to add to the genotype-phenotype spectrum for both *MSH6* and *PMS2* mutations.

**Introduction**

Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC)/Lynch syndrome (MIM 120435) accounts for approximately 2 percent of all diagnosed colorectal cancers (CRC) [1]. Lynch syndrome is an autosomal dominantly inherited cancer syndrome characterised by early onset epithelial cancers. Patients with Lynch syndrome have an increased risk of developing malignancies during their lifetime, at a mean age of disease onset that is significantly lower than that observed in the general population. In addition to the high risk of developing CRC, Lynch syndrome patients are also at risk of developing malignancies in a variety of organs that include the uterus, small bowel, stomach, ovary, bladder, pancreas and the urinary tract [2,3]. A breakdown in the fidelity of DNA mismatch repair has been shown to be the basis of the disease. At present four genes encoding proteins that are integrally involved in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) have been clearly associated with Lynch syndrome and these are *MLH1* (MIM 120436), *MSH2* (MIM 609309), *MSH6* (MIM 600678) and *PMS2* (MIM 600259) [4-7]. MMR provides several genetic stabilisation functions; it corrects DNA biosynthesis errors, ensures the fidelity of genetic recombination and participates in the earliest steps of cell cycle checkpoint/control and apoptotic responses [8]. MMR gene defects increase the risk of malignant transformation of cells,
which ultimately result in the disruption of one or several genes associated with epithelial integrity [8,9].

Genetic testing of MLH1 and MSH2 for Lynch Syndrome has been available for over a decade and during this time significant advances in the technologies used for diagnosis have occurred. Together with improvements in technology the ability to rapidly screen additional genes associated with Lynch syndrome, MSH6 and PMS2, has become available. The MMR genes MSH6 and PMS2 have been shown to interact with MSH2 and MLH1, respectively [9,10]. Impediments to screening these two genes for mutations have been the high cost of testing and the presence of pseudogenes in PMS2 [11-13]. Notwithstanding, some information is available with respect to the frequency of MSH6 and PMS2 mutations but there is relatively limited information available regarding the spectrum of disease, especially in Australian Lynch syndrome families harbouring deleterious changes in one of these two genes.

Approximately 10 percent of Lynch syndrome families have a mutation in MSH6 and fewer families have a mutation in PMS2 [14]. It is assumed that the cancer incidence is the same in families with mutations in MSH6 as in families with mutations in MLH1 and MSH2 but that disease tends to occur later in life as a result of the partial compensation provided by MSH3 in MMR [15]. PMS2 mutations lead to an attenuated phenotype with weaker family history and older ages of disease onset [15]. Phenotype information for MSH6 and PMS2 mutation carriers is therefore of great interest for the recognition of Lynch syndrome and the formulation of sufficient surveillance schemes.

In the current study we report on our findings on mutation type, cancer risk and age of diagnosis in 29 families (78 participants) with a mutation in MSH6 and 6 families (7 participants) with a mutation in PMS2.

Materials and methods

All the participants selected for this study had previously been diagnosed with Lynch syndrome and harboured a mutation in either MSH6 or PMS2. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval for the study was obtained from Hunter New England Human Research Ethics Committee and the University of Newcastle Human Research Ethics Committee. Written, informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Since 1997, samples have been tested for Lynch syndrome/HNPCC at the Division of Genetics, Hunter Area Pathology Service in Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia. Information from all the samples collected between 1997 and 2008 has been placed into a database. The families were referred for genetic testing due to a clinical diagnosis of HNPCC according to the Amsterdam II criteria/Bethesda criteria or due to the tumour displaying microsatellite instability (MSI) or immunohistochemistry (IHC) demonstrating loss of MSH6/PMS2 expression.

A total of 78 participants (from 29 Caucasian families) with a mutation in MSH6 and 7 participants (from 6 Caucasian families) with a mutation in PMS2 were included in the current study. A database of de-identified patient information was analysed to extract all relevant information such as mutation type, cancer risk, age of diagnosis and cancer type in this patient cohort. Of the 29 MSH6 mutation positive families, 16 fulfilled the Amsterdam II criteria and 1 fulfilled the Bethesda criteria, while 6 did not fulfil the guidelines for either (5 cases had loss of staining of MSH6, while 1 was MSI-High) and in 5 families the status was unknown (no pedigree/uninformative pedigree). Of the 6 PMS2 mutation positive families, 4 fulfilled the Amsterdam II criteria, while 2 families did not due to lack of family history of cancer or uninformative pedigree.

The diagnosis of the cancers in the mutation positive participants was confirmed in histopathological reports, while information of cancers in family members with unknown mutation status is collected from the family pedigrees.

Cumulative lifetime risk for MSH6 mutation carriers was calculated using Kaplan Meier survival analysis, and was determined on the basis of CRC being the 1st primary tumour against cancer free individuals and endometrial cancer being the 1st primary tumour against cancer free females. The observation time for the different cases was from birth until first cancer diagnosis or last follow-up appointment.

Results

MSH6 families

We identified 26 different MSH6 mutations in the 29 probands; a list of the mutations is shown in Table 1. Eleven of the identified MSH6 mutations have been reported before [16-23] and fifteen MSH6 mutations are novel mutations (six of these have been posted on the LOVD database and the remaining nine will be submitted). All mutations are considered causative and predictive testing has been offered to family members. Table 1 also lists available immunohistochemistry (IHC) and microsatellite instability (MSI) results from the participant’s tumours. IHC results were available in 20 of the 29 families; the tumour of 16 probands showed lack of staining (-ve) for MSH6; 2 tumours were -ve MSH2 but positive (+ve) for MSH6, 1 tumour showed isolated loss of MSH6 while 1 was uninformative for MSH6 but +ve for MLH1, MSH2 and PMS2. MSI results were available from 6 probands, all displaying MSI-High (unstable), 4 of them belonging to the -ve MSH6 group.
| Family ID | IHC/MSI results | Exon | Nucleotide Change | Consequence of Mutation | LOVD database ID |
|-----------|----------------|------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| MSH6_10   | +ve MSH2, +ve MSH6 | 1    | c.1_457del        | Deletion                | DB-ID: MSH6_00001 |
| MSH6_8    | Not available     | 2    | c.458_627del      | Truncating              | DB-ID: MSH6_00336 |
| MSH6_5    | -ve MSH6          | 3    | c.458_657del      | Exon deletion           |                 |
| MSH6_29   | Not available     | 3    | c.620del          | Frameshift              |                 |
| MSH6_7    | +ve MLH1, MSH2, PMS2. MSH6, uninformative MSH-High | 4    | c.674insTG        | Frameshift/Stop         |                 |
| MSH6_19   | -ve MSH6          | 4    | c.710delG         | Frameshift/Stop         | DB-ID: MSH6_00702* |
| MSH6_17   | Not available     | 4    | c.723dupT         | Nonsense substitution/Stop |                 |
| MSH6_23   | -ve MSH6          | 4    | c.1404_1405delTC  | Truncating              |                 |
| MSH6_4    | -ve MSH6 MSI-High | 4    | c.2150_2153delTCAG | Frameshift              |                 |
| MSH6_27   | MSH6, isolated loss | 4    | c.2348_2349del    | Truncating              | DB-ID: MSH6_00442 |
| MSH6_6    | Not available     | 4    | c.2765delG        | Frameshift              | DB-ID: MSH6_00703* |
| MSH6_1    | Not available     | 4    | c.2533dupT        | Frameshift/Stop         | DB-ID: MSH6_00701* |
| MSH6_20   | -ve MSH6 MSI-High | 4    | c.2731C>T         | Truncating              | DB-ID: MSH6_00071 |
| MSH6_16   | -ve MSH6          | 4    | c.2976delA        | Truncating              |                 |
| MSH6_25   | -ve MSH6 MSI-High | 4    | c.3142C>T         | Nonsense substitution    |                 |
| MSH6_3    | -ve MSH6          | 4    | c.3172+1G>T       | Splice site             | DB-ID: MSH6_00705* |
| MSH6_14   | Not available     | 5    | c.3173_3556del    | Deletion                | DB-ID: MSH6_00482 |
| MSH6_13   | -ve MSH6          | 5    | c.3202C>T         | Truncating              | DB-ID: MSH6_00487 |
| MSH6_22   | -ve MSH6          | 5    | c.3261dupC        | Frameshift              | DB-ID: MSH6_00201 |
| MSH6_18   | -ve MSH6          | 5    | c.3261delC        | Frameshift/Stop         | DB-ID: MSH6_00203 |
| MSH6_9    | -ve MSH6 MSI-High | 5    | c.3268_3274delGACCTTA | Truncating             | DB-ID: MSH6_00706* |
| MSH6_11   | -ve MSH2, +ve MSH6 | 5    | c.3268_3274delGACCTTA | Truncating             | DB-ID: MSH6_00706* |
| MSH6_21   | -ve MSH6          | 5    | c.3312delT        | Truncating              | DB-ID: MSH6_00497 |
| MSH6_15   | -ve MSH6          | 6    | c.3439-1G>T       | Splice site             | DB-ID: MSH6_00713* |
| MSH6_28   | -ve MSH6          | 6    | c.3556+3_3556+13delGAGTTTTTGT | Splice site             | DB-ID: MSH6_00661 |

Table 1 List of the MSH6 probands, IHC/MSI results and mutation information including; exon, nucleotide change, consequence of mutation and references.
Of the total 78 MSH6 mutation positive participants belonging to 29 families, only 21 participants (27%) had developed colorectal cancer (CRC). The average and median age of diagnosis of CRC was 48 years, ranging from 21 to 72 years. The median age of individuals who were cancer free at the time of sample collection was 44 years, ranging from 18-76, and the average age of this group was 45 years.

Cancer incidence in the MSH6 mutation positive families includes, in order of frequency (in how many families the cancer was observed): CRC in 23 families (79%); cancer of the endometrium in 17 families (59%); breast or prostate cancer in 7 families (24%); and ovarian cancer in 5 families (17%). Other extracolonic cancers, including lung, bladder, stomach, cervical, Hodgkin lymphoma, Non-Hodgkin lymphoma, pancreas, liver, throat, lymphoma, thyroid, leukaemia, kidney, gallbladder, brain, melanoma, acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and pituitary tumour can be seen in four or less families. In six families, cancer of an unknown site was recorded (Table 2). Extra colonic cancers were diagnosed in 2 individuals (9.5%) who had developed CRC and in 14 individuals (25%) who had not developed CRC. Table 3 provides detailed information about the extracolonic cancers in these patients. A wide spectrum of malignancies was present in the 29 MSH6 families and individuals with two primary tumours were observed in 14 of the 29 families (48%), see Table 2 for details.

Lifetime/cumulative risk of developing colorectal and endometrial cancer are shown in Figure 1 and 2 respectively. The cumulative risk of CRC in both male and female mutation carriers at 70 years of age was 61.5% for MSH6 mutation carriers (Figure 1A), which is similar if divided by gender (Figure 1B). A difference can be seen between males and females at an earlier age with females only being at 21% risk at age 50 years, while males have a risk of ~34% at 50 years of age. The cumulative risk of endometrial cancer in woman at age 70 years was 65%.

Of the 53 female participants in this study, 10 had developed endometrial cancer and the average and median age of diagnosis was 59 and 57 years respectively, ranging from 50-74 years. In 6 of the 17 families where endometrial cancer had been recorded, there were one or more individuals who had both endometrial plus another primary tumour.

In 4 families pedigree information was not accessible and in 3 families the proband was cancer free (the proband is the first person tested from the family in our laboratory, the index person of the family might have been tested in another laboratory either national or international). For the 22 families where full family history was available, cancer was present in one of the probands’ parents in 13 families.

**PMS2 families**

A list of the 4 identified PMS2 mutations can be seen in Table 4. Three of the identified PMS2 mutations have been reported before [24-26] and one PMS2 mutation is a novel mutation. All mutations are considered causative and predictive testing has been offered to family members. Table 4 also lists the type of cancer and age of diagnosis in the probands of these families.

The 7 PMS2 participants include 6 probands and 1 family member. Five probands had been diagnosed with CRC at age 38, 41, 47, 55 and 60 years, one of them (CRC at 55 years) was also diagnosed with renal cancer at age 50 years. One proband has not developed CRC but was diagnosed with cancer of the small intestine at 63 years of age; the family member of this proband is currently cancer free at age 39 years.

Cancer incidence in the PMS2 mutation positive families includes, in order of frequency (in how many families the cancer can be seen): CRC (6 families); lung, stomach and brain cancer (2 families); endometrial + breast cancer, and ovarian + breast cancer (1 family); breast, cervical, Merkel cell and small intestine cancer (1 family).

**Discussion**

The MSH6 participants included in this study are representative of all the HNPCC patients tested in New South Wales, Australia from 1997 to 2008, which we
Table 2 Mutation positive family members, type of cancer, age and gender, as well as other family cancers present in family members with unknown mutation status (all information collected from pedigrees) and whether the family fulfilled the Amsterdam II criteria.

| Mutation positive family members: Family number - Cancer history (Age of diagnosis or age at last follow up) | Gender | Other family cancers (Age and relationship to proband) - mutation status unknown | Fulfilled Amsterdam II criteria |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| MSH6_1- CRC (31)                                              | F      | 1 Endometrial (63-mother), 1 CRC + Oesophagus (mothers brother), 1 Ovarian     | Yes                           |
| MSH6_1.1- no cancer (69)                                       | F      | (mothers sister), 1 Throat (mothers brother), 1 Stomach (mothers father), 1 CRC (grand fathers sister) |
| MSH6_1.2- no cancer (33)                                       | M      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_2- CRC (64)                                               | M      | 1 CRC + Pancreatic (50 + 84 - mother), 1 Prostate (70-brother)                  | Yes                           |
| MSH6_2.1- no cancer (76)                                       | M      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_3- CRC (50)                                               | F      | 1 CRC × 2 (64 + 71 - mother), 1 CRC & Prostate (60s - mothers 1st cousin)      | Yes                           |
| MSH6_4- CRC (74), Endometrial (74)                            | F      | 1 CRC (70-brother), 1 Pituitary tumour (15-brothers granddaughter),            | Yes                           |
| MSH6_4.1- CRC (37) daughter of proband                        | F      | 1 Breast (60s-sister who has 1 daughter with Breast and Uterine cancer (52) and  |
| MSH6_4.2- no cancer (55)                                       | M      | 1 daughter with Brain & Lung cancer (< 64)                                     |                               |
| MSH6_4.3- no cancer (18)                                      | F      | No cancer in parents of proband - father d.76, mother died when proband was     |                               |
| MSH6_5- CRC (58)                                               | F      | 6 months old                                                                   | Yes                           |
| MSH6_6 - no information available                              | F      | No pedigree available                                                           | Unknown                       |
| MSH6_6.1- no information available                            | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_6.2- no information available                            | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_7- CRC (41)                                               | M      | 1 Hodgkins Lymphoma (13-niese), 1 Bladder (fathers sister who has 2 daughters   | Yes                           |
|                                                                 |        | with Cervical cancer and a grandson with Lung cancer (19), 1 Prostate (fathers  |
|                                                                 |        | brother), 1 Breast (40s-fathers sister), 1 CRC (70s-grandfather who has 2 brothers |
|                                                                 |        | with cancer of Unknown origin). No cancer in parents of proband - age 65 + 67.   |                               |
| MSH6_8- CRC (7)                                               | M      | No pedigree available                                                           | Unknown                       |
| MSH6_8.1- Ovarian (49)                                         | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_8.2- no cancer (59)                                       | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_8.3- no cancer (29)                                       | M      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_8.4- Appendix (14)                                       | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_9- Bladder (69), Endometrial (71), Thyroid (72)           | F      | 1 Lymphoma (77-brother), 1 CRC + Prostate (81 + 75 - brother), 1 Unknown        | Yes                           |
|                                                                 |        | (42 - son)                                                                     |                               |
|                                                                 |        | No cancer in parents of proband - mother d.77, father d.73                      |                               |
| MSH6_10- CRC (21), Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma (68)                   | F      | 1 CRC (70s-grandmothers uncle), 1 Breast (60-grandmothers uncle who has 1       | Yes                           |
| MSH6_10.1- Endometrial (68), paternal grandmother             | F      | daughter with Ovarian cancer but who is mutation negative), 1 CRC (41-          |
| MSH6_10.2- Non Hodgkin Lymphoma (28), paternal aunty          | F      | grandparents sister’s son who is also mutation negative), 2 Breast cancer (53+ - |
| MSH6_10.3- no cancer (65)                                      | F      | grandparents sister and grandmothers aunt, both are mutation negative)          |                               |
| MSH6_11- CRC (40)                                             | M      | 1 CRC (63-sister), 1 Prostate (56-brother), 1 Throat (maternal grandfather)    | No                            |
| MSH6_11.1- no cancer (64)                                      | M      | No cancer in parents - mother d.60s, dad d.70s                                 |                               |
| MSH6_11.2- no cancer (38)                                      | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_11.3- no cancer (39)                                      | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_11.4- no cancer (31)                                      | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_12- no cancer (44)                                       | M      | 3 Endometrial (mother and maternal aunt and grandmother), 2 Unknown (brothers - |
|                                                                 |        | one of which is the proband somewhere else), 1 Unknown (maternal uncle)        | No/ Bethesda                  |
|                                                                 |        |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_13- Endometrial (54)                                      | F      | 1 CRC + Uterine (60s-mother)                                                   | Yes                           |
| MSH6_13.1- no cancer (60)                                      | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_13.2- no cancer (57)                                      | M      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_13.3- no cancer (37)                                      | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_13.4- no cancer (55)                                      | M      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_13.5- no cancer (37)                                      | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_13.6- no cancer (34)                                      | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_13.7- Endometrial (56), sister                           | F      |                                                                               |                               |
| MSH6_14- no cancer (48)                                       | M      | 1 CRC + Prostate (64-father), 1 Endometrial (55-fathers sister), 1 CRC (62-paternal grandmother) | No                           |
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estimate is approximately half of the Australian HNPCC/Lynch syndrome families. The identified MSH6 mutations represent 10.3% of the pathogenic mutations identified in MMR genes in our Lynch syndrome families. This is in accordance with the expected frequencies of MSH6 mutations in already published material on Lynch syndrome [14,20,27,28]. We report 15 novel MSH6 mutations and 1 novel PMS2 mutations in Lynch syndrome families not listed in the Mismatch Repair Genes Variant Database (Memorial University of Newfoundland), the InSIGHT database as a Lynch syndrome mutation or the Leiden Open Variation Database (LOVD).

Families with MSH6 mutations have been reported to have a lower incidence of colorectal cancer (CRC) and later age of disease onset than MLH1 and MSH2.

### Table 2: Mutation positive family members, type of cancer, age and gender, as well as other family cancers present in family members with unknown mutation status (all information collected from pedigrees) and whether the family fulfilled the Amsterdam II criteria. (Continued)

| MSH6_15- CRC (54) | F | 1 CRC & Ovary (47+60s - mother), 1 Endometrial/Ovarian (sister), 6 CRC (maternal uncles), 5 CRC (maternal 1st cousins), 1 Leukaemia (maternal 1st cousin), 1 Ovarian (maternal 1st cousin) | Yes |
| MSH6_15- no cancer (43) | F | 1 Breast (82-mother), 1 Breast (56-1st cousin), 1 Breast (40s-mothers 1st cousin) | No |
| MSH6_16- Endometrial (50), Breast (63) | F | 1 Breast (82-mother), 1 Breast (56-1st cousin), 1 Breast (40s-mothers 1st cousin) | Yes |
| MSH6_16- no cancer (42) | F | 1 Endometrial (41-sister), 1 Cervix (22-sister), 1 CRC (60s-father), 1 CRC + Bladder (mothers brother), 1 Breast (52-paternal grandmother), 1 Throat (79-paternal grandfather, smoker) | Yes |
| MSH6_18- CRC (43) | F | 1 Lung (d.53-father), 1 Hodgkins Lymphoma (21-niece) | No/Bethesda |
| MSH6_18- no cancer (23) | M | 2 CRC (39+42 - maternal uncles), 1 Lung (d.69-dad, smoker) | Yes |
| MSH6_19- CRC (46+67) | M | 1 Endometrial (49-mother), 1 Thyroid (44-daughter), 2 Unknown (mothers sisters) | Yes |
| MSH6_20- CRC (48) | F | 1 Endometrial + CRC (54+70 - mother), 1 Stomach (40s-maternal grandfather) | Yes |
| MSH6_20- no cancer (26) | M | 1 Lung (d.69-dad, smoker) | No |
| MSH6_21- no cancer (49) | F | 1 CRC (48-father), 1 Ovarian (51-fathers sister), 1 CRC (34-fathers brother uncle), 1 Breast (67-fathers sister) | Yes |
| MSH6_21- no cancer (28) | M | 1 Renal (father), 1 Endometrial (fathers sister), 1 Gallbladder (fathers sister), 1 Stomach (dx 56 - grandfather) | Yes |
| MSH6_21- no cancer (53) | M | 1 Unknown (maternal grandfather) | Unknown |
| MSH6_22- CRC (54) | M | 1 CRC (48-father), 1 Ovarian (51-fathers sister), 1 CRC (34-fathers brother who has 1 daughter with CRC (52), 1 CRC (62-fathers brother uncle), 1 Breast (67-fathers sister) | Yes |
| MSH6_22- no cancer (45) | F | 1 Renal (father), 1 Endometrial (fathers sister), 1 Gallbladder (fathers sister), 1 Stomach (dx 56 - grandfather) | Yes |
| MSH6_23- Endometrial (64), Breast (70) | F | No pedigree available | Unknown |
| MSH6_24- CRC (50) | F | 1 Renal (father), 1 Endometrial (fathers sister), 1 Gallbladder (fathers sister), 1 Stomach (dx 56 - grandfather) | Yes |
| MSH6_25- CRC (63) | F | 1 Unknown (maternal grandfather) | No |
| MSH6_26- CRC (66+67) | M | 2 CRC (59+59 - brothers), 1 Melanoma (brother) | No |
| MSH6_26- no cancer (52) | M | No cancer in parents of proband - both d.80s | No |
| MSH6_26- no cancer (68) | F | No cancer in parents of proband - both d.80s | No |
| MSH6_27- CRC (38) | M | No family history of cancer | No |
| MSH6_28- Endometrial (50) | F | 1 Uterine (50s - mother), 1 Unknown (mothers sister), 1 Uterus (52 - maternal grandmother), 1 ALL (son), 1 Leukaemia (82 - father), 1 Prostate (paternal grandfather) | Unknown |
| MSH6_29- Ovarian (38) | F | No pedigree available | Unknown |
families [20], while others suggest same high lifetime risk of CRC and later age of disease onset [15,29]. In our Lynch syndrome cohort 27% had developed CRC, which is lower than expected [30]. This could be due to there being an over-representation of woman (68%) in the MSH6 participants, as woman have been reported to be at lower risk of CRC than men [30]. The median age

| Family ID | Cancer (Age of diagnosis) | Family relationship | Mutation in family- Nucleotide Change | Family fulfilled Am II criteria |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| MSH6_4    | CRC (72) Endometrial (74) | Proband             | c.2150_2153delTCAG                   | Yes                           |
| MSH6_8.1  | Ovarian (49)             | No pedigree available | c.458_627del                          | Yes                           |
| MSH6_8.4  | Appendix cancer (14)     | No pedigree available | c.458_627del                          | Yes                           |
| MSH6_9    | Bladder (69) Endometrial (71) Thyroid (72) | Proband             | c.3261delC                           | Yes                           |
| MSH6_10   | CRC (21) Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma (6) | Proband             | c.1_457del                           | Yes                           |
| MSH6_10.1 | Endometrial (68)         | Probands paternal grandmother | c.1_457del                           | Yes                           |
| MSH6_10.2 | Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma (28) | Probands paternal aunty | c.1_457del                           | Yes                           |
| MSH6_13   | Endometrial (54)         | Proband             | c.3202C>T                            | Yes                           |
| MSH6_13.7 | Endometrial (56)         | Probands sister      | c.3202C>T                            | Yes                           |
| MSH6_16   | Endometrial (50) Breast (63) | Proband             | c.2976delA                           | No                            |
| MSH6_16.1 | Endometrial (57) Breast (61) | Probands sister      | c.2976delA                           | No                            |
| MSH6_18.4 | Endometrial (44)         | Probands sister      | c.3261dupC                           | No/Bethesda                   |
| MSH6_23   | Endometrial (64) Breast (70) | Proband             | c.1404_1405delTC                     | Unknown (no pedigree)         |
| MSH6_26.1 | Bladder (52)             | Probands brother     | c.3312delTC                          | No                            |
| MSH6_28   | Endometrial (50)         | Proband             | c.3556+3_3556+13delAGGTTTTG          | Unknown                       |
| MSH6_29   | Ovarian (38)             | Proband             | c.620del                             | Unknown (no pedigree)         |

Figure 1 Colorectal cancer; cumulative risk for MSH6 mutation carriers. A) sample cohort and B) sample cohort divided by gender.

Figure 2 Endometrial cancer; cumulative risk for female MSH6 mutation carriers.
of diagnosis of CRC was 48 years in the cohort studied, which is approximately 3–7 years younger than previously reported [20,27,31]. The median age for the rest of the cohort was 44 years, which is an indication of the likelihood of more people developing CRC at a later age and thereby increasing the median age of diagnosis of CRC. Exclusion of missense mutations in this study could also influence the low age of CRC observed, as cases were selected due to more severe alterations and the chance of developing CRC earlier is therefore higher. In this patient cohort the lifetime risk for CRC at age 70 years was ~61% independent of gender, which is somewhat different from the lifetime risk of MSH6 mutation carriers presented in a previous study where there was a clear difference between males and females at age 70 years [32]. The males in both studies had similar lifetime risk, but we fail to see the lower risk in woman. A meta-analysis of 5 different MSH6 mutation positive Lynch syndrome cohorts displayed a much lower lifetime risk of CRC at ~20% [33], which is an indication that our sample cohort might be too small to produce reliable lifetime risk figures. The median age of endometrial cancer in this study was 59 years, supporting the much later onset of cancer in MSH6 mutation carriers [27]. Females in this patient cohort had a lifetime risk of endometrial cancer of 65% at 70 years of age, which is similar to previously reported risk figures for MSH6 mutation carriers [32] but again much higher than risk figures produced from a much larger study population [33]. Endometrial cancer was seen in 59% of the MSH6 families as the second most common malignancy observed. Extra colonic cancers were observed with a higher frequency in the participants who had not developed CRC compared to the participants who had developed CRC. These observations are in accordance with the cancer frequencies seen in the German Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colorectal Cancer Consortium [20]. Cancer incidence in these families was as previously reported [30] with CRC being the most common, followed by endometrial cancer. Breast and prostate cancer were observed in 23% of the MSH6 families, while ovarian cancer was observed in 17% of the families. Both ovarian and prostate cancer were expected to be observed in Lynch syndrome families [34] but the inclusion of breast cancer in the cancer spectrum in Lynch syndrome is controversial [35–39]. The high incidence of breast cancer in these families may genuinely reflect an increased risk of breast cancer, or it may indicate the high incidence of breast cancer in the general population (1 in 9 woman in Australia [40]).

Previously, it has been reported that patients with pathogenic MSH6 mutations are less frequently affected by multiple tumours [20]. This does not seem to be the case in our families as one or more individuals who had been diagnosed with two primary malignancies occurred in 14 of the 29 MSH6 families.

Currently the general consensus is that MSH6 mutations in HNPCC are under-diagnosed [25,35,41]. This is thought to be due to MSH6 not being routinely tested in most laboratories and that the presence of MSH6 mutations is under-estimated due to a more atypical presentation of disease, making the patients less likely to fulfil diagnostic criteria. This is supported by a report of an unusual high incidence of MSH6 mutations (21%) in Amsterdam negative families [42]. In the current study, participants were selected based on the molecular diagnosis of Lynch syndrome, nevertheless 24% of our families did not fulfil the Amsterdam II criteria. There is no routine screening for MSH6 in our laboratory and it is only performed when there is loss of MSH6 expression in the tumour (IHC) or a family history indicating MSH6 mutation.

**Table 4 PMS2 probands, type of cancer in proband and mutation information including; exon, nucleotide change, consequence of mutation and references.**

| Family ID | Cancer       | Exon | Nucleotide Change       | Consequence of Mutation | Reference LOVD database ID |
|-----------|--------------|------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| PMS2_3    | CRC (55), Renal (50) | 1     | c.1A>G +c.834_842del | Alternative DNA transcript Deletion | DB-ID: PMS2_00130 |
| PMS2_1    | CRC (41)     | 7    | c.736_741delins11       | Truncating              | DB-ID: PMS2_00187         |
| PMS2_4    | CRC (38)     | 7    | c.746_753del            | Truncating              | Not previously reported    |
| PMS2_6    | CRC (60)     | 9    | c.904_114del            | Exon deletion           | DB-ID: PMS2_00195         |

4 different PMS2 mutations have been detected in our patient cohort. Not previously reported = not reported in the Leiden Open Variation Database (LOVD), the Mismatch Repair Genes Variant Database (Memorial University of Newfoundland) or the InSIGHT database as a HNPCC/Lynch syndrome mutation. DB-ID = Database identification number from LOVD.
information is publicly available so that a PMS2 phenotype can be made in the future.

Lynch syndrome is a complex disease with variation in disease expression influenced by both genetic and environmental factors, as evidenced by differences in genotype-phenotype within and between families with the same mutations and by ethnicity and mutated MMR gene [43-45]. To date, no worldwide genotype-phenotype correlation has been detected. Our data provides additional information to add to the genotype-phenotype spectrum for both MSH6 and PMS2 mutations. As approximately half of the clinically diagnosed HNPCC population can be classified as having Lynch syndrome (germline mutation in MMR genes), there are most likely other genomic regions that are also responsible for the disease. Future next-generation sequencing are likely to provide us with some answers by locating new genomic regions of interest, as shown by identification of the EPCAM deletion [46], but until the methodology is widely available the candidate gene approach in individual Lynch syndrome cohorts will help us in understanding the genotype-phenotype mystery.
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