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Abstract

Background: Interleukin-2 (IL-2), one of the proinflammatory cytokines, is used in the treatment of certain malignancies. In some studies, transient increases in cortisol and ACTH secretion occurred. Thus, this agent may be used as an experimental probe of adrenal cortisol secretion.

Objective: This study quantifies the effects of low and moderate doses of IL-2 on cortisol secretion and assesses the modulation by age, dose and body composition.

Site: Mayo Clinical Translational Research Unit.

Subjects: Study comprised 35 healthy men, 17 young and 18 older.

Methods: Randomized prospective double-blind saline-controlled study of IL-2 administration in two doses with concurrent 10-min blood sampling for 24 h.

Outcome measures: Deconvolution analysis and approximate entropy of cortisol secretion.

Results: Low-dose IL-2 administration increased nocturnal pulsatile cortisol secretion from 1460 ± 160 to 2120 ± 220 nmol/L/8 h in young subjects and from 1680 ± 105 to 1960 ± 125 nmol/L/8 h (treatment \( P < 0.0001 \), but more in young than older, \( P = 0.02 \)). Comparable results were obtained for total cortisol secretion (treatment \(< 0.0001\), age effect \( P = 0.005\)). The higher IL-2 dose caused a large increase in young (\( P < 0.0001\)), but not in older (\( P = 0.90\)) subjects. This dose also increased approximate entropy from 0.877 ± 0.041 to 1.024 ± 0.049 (\( P = 0.008\)), pointing to reduced secretory orderliness. Incremental cortisol (nocturnal) secretion correlated negatively with visceral fat mass (\( R = -0.41, P = 0.019\)).

Conclusion: In healthy men, IL-2 injection drives pulsatile cortisol secretion in a dose-dependent way in young, but not older, individuals and erodes cortisol secretory orderliness at a higher dose in young subjects. Cortisol responses are diminished with increasing abdominal visceral fat mass.
Introduction

Systemic inflammation due to cytokine activation is associated with varying degrees of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal activation as part of the stress response to infection, burns, trauma, critical illness, autoimmune diseases, and sleep disorders (1). At present, the precise extent to which specific inflammatory mediators mediate hypercortisolemia is unknown. This is pertinent because the cytokine family of immunoregulators has many members that include interleukins (IL-1 to IL-21), tumor necrosis factors (TNF), interferons, chemokines, colony stimulating factors, neutrophins and other growth factors. The wide-ranging effects of systemic inflammation on metabolic health, sleep, reproduction, and other fundamental biological processes raises the possibility that at least some of these interactions could be mediated through neuroendocrine pathways (1, 2, 3).

IL-2 is a prime candidate for study because it was one of the first factors discovered to regulate T cells and induce inflammation (3); it is used clinically as an anti-tumor drug for renal carcinoma and melanoma, and as immunotherapy for HIV infection by promoting activation of the cytotoxic (killer) T cell population (4); and preliminary studies indicate that it may be useful as an immuno-neuroendocrine probe of adrenal function (5). Nonetheless, virtually all studies were uncontrolled and performed in patients with advanced cancer, in whom cortisol responses differ from those of healthy men (5). In addition, only few (generally hourly) blood samples were withdrawn, which limits the assessment of the time course of response, and IL-2 was administered by either the i.v. or i.m. route. The present study was therefore designed to address this knowledge deficit. This focus complements the earlier study of IL-2’s suppressive effect on the gonadotrophic axis in the same cohort of volunteers (6).

Aging is also associated with increased systemic inflammation (7) and regulation of the HPA axis is age dependent. With advancing age, serum cortisol concentrations during the evening and early night increase, together with an advance (earlier) shift in the timing of maximal secretion (8, 9). In addition, negative feedback inhibition of ACTH by cortisol in the elderly is diminished (10, 11), thus leading to increased or more prolonged overall cortisol secretion after a stimulus. Analogously, ACTH and cortisol responses to the combined dexamethasone-corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) test are amplified in the elderly (12). The HPA-axis hyperactivity in aging is partially refractory to stimulation by mineralocorticoid receptor blockade, pointing to central activation of the HPA axis in aging (13, 14). In addition, several studies have shown that ACTH secretion is greater with increasing BMI, while the adrenal gland is less responsive to ACTH (15, 16, 17). Importantly, the impact of age on the magnitude of the IL-2 effect on the HPA axis is unknown. We hypothesized that IL-2 administration, as an inflammatory model, could mediate heightened cortisol responses in older subjects compared with younger subjects, and be altered by increased visceral fat mass.

The present randomized placebo-controlled crossover study comprised s.c. injection of recombinant human (rh)IL-2 (Proleukin, Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc., NY, USA) in two different doses (3×10⁶ IU/m² and 6×10⁶ IU/m²) and quantification of the amount, pattern and regularity of the 24-h cortisol secretion in young and older men subjected to intensive blood sampling.

Methods

Overview

The design was a prospectively randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled crossover study, evaluating the impact of experimental IL-2-induced inflammatory stress on cortisol secretion in young and older men. Each subject underwent two overnight studies in the Mayo Clinical Translational Research Unit (CRU) to receive injections of either 3 million (n=6 young, n=6 older) or 6 million (n=11 young, n=12 older) IU of rhIL-2 compared with saline. IL-2 was obtained as Proleukin from Novartis Pharmaceuticals, under an individual investigator-initiated new drug investigation number, lodged with the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). To monitor cortisol secretion, blood was withdrawn every 10 min for 24 h starting 2 h before the injection. The weight amount of 1.1 mg IL-2 corresponds with 18 million IU. Figure 1 outlines schematically the study.

Inclusion criteria were healthy men ages 18–30 and 60–80 years recruited from Rochester, Olmsted County, MN, and surrounding catchment areas by flyers, radio and newspaper ads, and Web-based advertisements. Volunteers provided witnessed, signed, informed and Mayo Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved consent, and underwent a screening history and physical examination to identify acute or chronic organ-level disease. Screening biochemical tests of liver, kidney, hematological, and metabolic disease were negative.
Exclusions comprised individuals unable or unwilling to provide written informed consent secondary to cognitive decline, mental illness, institutionalization or imprisonment. Volunteers with a history of cardiovascular illness, abnormal ECG on screening, cancer, sleep apnea, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hematological dyscrasias, acute or chronic inflammatory conditions, renal insufficiency, hepatic failure, and chronic infections (e.g. hepatitis or HIV) were also excluded. Subjects receiving medications (prescription or over-the-counter) were excluded with the exception of replacement thyroid hormone, laxatives, antacids, thiazide diuretics, ophthalmic solutions or skin preparations. Other exclusions were recent use of glucocorticoids (3 months), non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (10 days), chronic drug or alcohol abuse, major psychiatric illness, weight gain or loss (>2 kg/2 months), or transmeridian travel (over 3 time zones) within last 10 days. In this group of volunteers, we have studied the effect of IL-2 on the gonadotrope axis and the results of that study have been published (6).

**Detailed protocol**

Volunteers were admitted to the Clinical Research Unit (CRU) at 16:00 h for placement of bilateral forearm iv catheters. To limit possible nutritional confounds, a vegetarian or non-vegetarian standardized meal (8 kcal/kg of 50% carbohydrate, 20% protein and 30% fat) was served at 17:00 h, and again at 18:00 h the next day. Subjects remained alcohol-abstinent and caffeine-free. At 17:30 h an iv catheter was inserted. Some subjects received topical lidocaine to facilitate the procedure. Beginning at 18:00 h, blood was sampled every 10 min for 24 h (2.7 mL/sample) until 18:00 h on day 2. At the outset, a single 1 mL archival serum sample was obtained for safety-monitoring purposes, and subjects were given 650 mg of acetaminophen orally for antipyresis. Two hours later (20:00 h), men received a single sc injection of saline or IL-2. The first six young and six older subjects received saline or 3 million IU of IL-2 sc once for safety assessment, and the last 11 young and 12 older men received 6 million IU in accordance with FDA-negotiated guidelines. Inpatient CRU sessions were scheduled at least 3 weeks, but no more than 2 months apart. Abdominal visceral fat (AVF) and total abdominal fat (TAF) were obtained from single-slice CT at L4-L5 and the surface area was expressed in square cm.

**Assays**

Cortisol was measured by a competitive binding immunoenzymatic assay on the DxI automated immunoassay system (Beckman Instruments, Chaska, MN, USA). Intra-assay CVs are 13.1%, 9.4%, and 6.6% at 43, 79 and 833 nmol/L, respectively. Inter-assay CVs are 9.0%, 8.1%, and 9.3% at 68, 477 and 759 nmol/L, respectively. The lower limit of the assay is 11 nmol/L (Beckman Coulter Cat. No. 33600, RRID: AB_2802133). IL-2 was measured with a Human IL-2 Quantikine HS ELISA kit. The sensitivity was 0.066 ng/L, intraassay precision 2%, interassay precision 5.8% (R&D Systems; CAT No. HS200 Part 898670, RRID: AB_2813830). Other screening measures were performed in the Mayo Clinical Laboratory.

**Subject risks and protection**

The risks of the iv sampling were stated as anemia, localized phlebitis, swelling, redness or bruising. Reported risks for IL-2 included malaise, fever and headache commonly, and hypotension, oliguria, or capillary-leak syndrome rarely (18, 19, 20, 21). There was a possibility of an immune response in the form of blocking antibodies to IL-2 (22). Thus, requirements by the FDA were starting Hb > 8 mmol/L, maintenance of archival serum, and follow-up blood count and liver enzymes 3–10 days after study visits. Safety data were reviewed by the FDA, Mayo Data Safety Monitoring Board, Mayo IRB and National Institute for Aging.

**Deconvolution analysis**

Cortisol concentration time series were analyzed using an automated deconvolution method,
which was empirically validated using hypothalamo-pituitary sampling and simulated pulsatile time series \((23, 24)\). Sensitivity and specificity both exceed 93%. In brief, multiparameter deconvolution analysis is a test instrument intended to estimate secretory burst frequency, amplitude, mass, and duration, as well as hormone half-life according to a particular \textit{a priori} model of hormone secretion and clearance from serial hormone concentrations, here 8-h or 24-h 10-min blood samples.

The Matlab-based algorithm first detrends the data and normalizes concentrations to the unit interval \([0, 1]\). Second, the program creates multiple successively decremental potential pulse-onset time sets, each containing one fewer burst by a smoothing process (a nonlinear adaptation of the heat-diffusion equation). Third, a maximum-likelihood expectation (MLE) estimation method calculates all secretion and elimination parameters simultaneously conditional on each of the candidate pulse-time sets. Deconvolution parameters comprise basal secretion, secretory-burst mass, random effects on burst mass, procedural/measurement error, the mode (time delay to maximum value) of the secretory-burst waveform, secretory burst frequency (number of bursts per sampling duration \((\lambda)\) of Weibull distribution), pulsatile secretion (sum of secretory-burst mass), and total (sum of basal plus pulsatile) secretion. The fast half-life of cortisol was represented as 2.41 min constituting 37% of the decay amplitude. The slow half-life of cortisol was estimated. Statistical model selection was performed using the Akaike information criterion. The parameters (and units) are total, basal and pulsatile secretion rates (concentration units/session), number of secretory bursts, mass secreted per burst (concentration units), and waveform mode (min). Both model-based and non-model-based parameters were calculated for the entire 24-h period as well as during the 8-h nocturnal period from 23:00 h to 07:00 h.

Approximate Entropy (ApEn) is a scale- and model-independent univariate regularity statistic used to quantify the orderliness (subpattern consistency) of serial stationary (nontrending) measurements. Higher ApEn defines reduced regularity of hormone secretion, which in general typifies puberty, aging, diminished negative feedback due to target-gland failure, fixed exogenous stimulation, and autonomous neuroendocrine tumors \((25, 26)\). The statistic is calculated on a time series as a single, finite, positive, real number (between 0 and 2.3 in log base10 data).

**Statistics**

Data are shown as mean and s.e.m. Comparisons among the four experimental arms and their possible interactions were done with the General Linear Mode (GLM)l for repeated measurements. Time-shifts of the serum concentration curves were quantified by exponential regression analysis, while slopes were calculated by linear regression of the linear part of the concentration curve. The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated with the trapezoidal method. Calculations were performed with Systat version 13 (Systat Software, Inc, San Jose, CA, USA) and with Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). \(P<0.05\) was construed as statistically significant.

**Results**

**Adverse events**

Adverse events were mild. Headache was reported in 2/35 control sessions and 3/35 IL-2 sessions. Mild flu-like symptoms of myalgia were more common after IL-2 than saline, especially after high-dose IL-2. No serious adverse events occurred, and all 35 subjects completed both 24-h study sessions.

**Base line studies**

Table 1 summarizes epidemiologic, clinical, and biochemical characteristics of the 35 volunteers. Six young and 6 older subjects received saline and low-dose IL-2 (3 million IU) (required by the FDA and IRB to verify safety), and an additional 11 young and 12 older men received saline and high dose IL-2 (6 million IU0. Body composition differed by age stratum, reflecting higher AVF in older men. Additionally, lower testosterone and IGF-I were found in older men. Fasting insulin, cortisol, TSH and E\(_{2}\) did not differ by age at screening.

**Deconvolution analysis**

Table 2 shows the results of the deconvolution of the complete 24-h serum cortisol concentration series, stratified for age (old/young) and treatment (IL-2/placebo). IL-2 increased cortisol pulse frequency and pulsatile cortisol secretion and with borderline increase in total (basal plus pulsatile) cortisol secretion. Inspection of the 24-h concentration plots, displayed in Fig. 2, (young \(\text{vs}\) older (Fig. 2A and B), placebo \(\text{vs}\) IL-2
Table 1  Demographic variables before randomization.

| Age (y)         | Young/low | Young/high | Older/low | Older/high | Age P-value | Dose P-value |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| 24 ± 0.9        | 24 ± 1.0  | 64 ± 1.6   | 64 ± 1.2  | <0.0001    | 0.53        |
| 26 ± 1.3        | 25 ± 0.8  | 27 ± 0.8   | 28 ± 1.2  | 0.049      | 0.32        |
| 65 ± 10         | 79 ± 23   | 173 ± 37   | 174 ± 22  | <0.0001    | 0.78        |
| 261 ± 69        | 229 ± 47  | 357 ± 62   | 414 ± 72  | 0.017      | 0.85        |
| 8.7 ± 0.2       | 9.9 ± 0.1 | 8.7 ± 0.2  | 9.3 ± 0.2 | 0.12       | 0.002       |
| 46 ± 0.9        | 48 ± 0.4  | 42 ± 0.1   | 42 ± 0.8  | <0.0001    | 0.31        |
| 2.5 ± 0.36      | 2.1 ± 0.34| 3.1 ± 0.58 | 2.8 ± 0.37| 0.18       | 0.42        |
| 20.3 ± 1.4      | 18.1 ± 1.6| 16.1 ± 1.7 | 14.4 ± 0.9| 0.01       | 0.20        |
| 74 ± 5.1        | 42 ± 5.1  | 61 ± 6.6   | 67 ± 4.0  | 0.30       | 0.026       |
| 25.1 ± 2.4      | 25.8 ± 1.1| 15.8 ± 2.9 | 16.1 ± 1.1| <0.0001    | 0.83        |
| 4.3 ± 0.44      | 4.4 ± 0.23| 4.1 ± 0.50 | 3.8 ± 0.29| 0.21       | 0.88        |
| 29 ± 4.3        | 32 ± 6.9  | 40 ± 14.7  | 52 ± 10.8 | 0.17       | 0.49        |
| 203 ± 36        | 201 ± 49  | 122 ± 13   | 233 ± 39  | 0.60       | 0.24        |
| 13.1 ± 2.5      | 28.1 ± 12.7| 19.8 ± 3.1 | 31.5 ± 5.1| 0.60       | 0.17        |

Data are the mean ± s.e.m. Low and high refer to subsequent randomization strata, low- or high-dose IL-2 vs saline injection. IGFBP, insulin-like growth factor binding protein. With the exception of insulin, which was measured in a 24-h serum pool, all other determinations were done in a fasting blood sample. Data were analyzed with GLM. The interaction factor age × dose was not significant in the analyses, except for estradiol.

AVF, abdominal visceral fat; TAF, total abdominal fat.

(Fig. 2C and D)) suggested that the main changes in serum cortisol concentration occurred a few hours after IL-2 administration at 20:00 h. Therefore, the time intervals between the start of blood sampling (at 18:00 h) and start of the lights off period (at 23:00 h) and between 07:00 h until the end of sampling at 18:00 h, were analyzed by calculating the AUC’s (area under the curves), but no differences were detected. For the evening AUC’s, neither age (young vs older) nor treatment (IL-2 vs placebo) were significantly different (P = 0.84 and 0.50, respectively). Comparable results were obtained for day-time AUC’s (P values, age P = 0.68, treatment P = 0.74). Hence, these results justified the strategy to restrict the main analysis to the nocturnal time period (23:00 h to 07:00 h).

Irrespective of the dose used, IL-2 caused a highly significant rise in cortisol concentrations, both in older and young subjects, here quantified by the AUC during the nocturnal time period. Age and IL-2 dose were not significant covariates. The increment of the cortisol after IL-2 was similar in the four conditions, depicted in Fig. 3.

Nocturnal cortisol secretion

Deconvolution analysis of the 8-h nocturnal time data series yielded the results depicted in Fig. 4A, B, C, D and Table 3. IL-2 administration caused an increase in pulse frequency, and a slight increase in half-life of cortisol in the older subjects, but not in the younger cohort. Basal (nonpulsatile) cortisol secretion remained unchanged after IL-2 administration, whereas pulsatile cortisol secretion increased significantly in both age groups, but more so in young subjects. Total secretion, the sum of basal

Table 2  Deconvolution of the 24-h serum cortisol concentration time series in placebo- and IL-2 treated young and older volunteers.

|                   | Young placebo | Young IL-2 | Old placebo | Old IL-2 | Treatment P-value | Age P-value | Interaction P-value |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|-------------|----------|------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Pulse frequency   | 14.4 ± 0.6    | 16.8 ± 0.9 | 15.1 ± 0.6  | 18.8 ± 1.8| <0.0001          | 0.059       | 0.51                |
| (no/24 h)         |               |            |             |          |                  |             |                     |
| Half-life (min)   | 61.0 ± 2.2    | 58.2 ± 2.2 | 62.8 ± 1.9  | 62.8 ± 1.8| 0.49             | 0.12        | 0.44                |
| Basal secretion   | 860 ± 127     | 960 ± 130  | 933 ± 83    | 1029 ± 143| 0.39             | 0.56        | 0.93                |
| (nmol/L)          |               |            |             |          |                  |             |                     |
| Pulsatile secretion| 3970 ± 340    | 4220 ± 110 | 3370 ± 220  | 4000 ± 250| 0.027            | 0.25        | 0.33                |
| (nmol/L)          |               |            |             |          |                  |             |                     |
| Total secretion   | 4830 ± 430    | 5190 ± 270 | 4300 ± 250  | 5020 ± 330| 0.05             | 0.41        | 0.47                |
| (nmol/L)          |               |            |             |          |                  |             |                     |
| Mean pulse mass   | 281 ± 25      | 257 ± 17   | 226 ± 14    | 212 ± 11  | 0.33             | 0.014       | 0.67                |
| (nmol/L)          |               |            |             |          |                  |             |                     |

Data are mean ± s.e.m. Statistical analysis was done with the GLM 2-way ANOVA for repeat measurements.
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and pulsatile secretion, increased especially in the young group. In young volunteers, the high IL-2 dose caused a greater increase in pulsatile and total cortisol secretion than in older subjects ($P$ values 0.001 and <0.0001), but no difference in basal secretion ($P$ = 0.58). The IL-2 dose was unrelated to the effect on basal, pulsatile and total cortisol secretion in older men ($P$ values 0.98, 0.90 and 0.87, respectively). Figure 2A, B, C and D also suggested an earlier rise in cortisol levels in the dark period of IL-2-treated subjects. The shift was quantified by logistic regression, as listed in Tables 4 and 5. The magnitude of the time shift was the difference between the EC50’s, here indicating the time at which 50% of the maximum concentration was reached. The time shift caused by IL-2 treatment was significant in young and older subjects, and also for both low and high dose IL-2 administration.

Other than the earlier rise (advanced time shift) of the cortisol concentration curves after IL-2 administration, the profiles during the period with lights off appeared to be steeper. The slopes were quantified by calculating the increase of the concentrations during the linear part. Results are shown in Table 6. Cortisol-time slopes increased during IL-2 exposure, indicating steeper slopes over placebo.

**Body composition**

Nocturnal pulsatile and total cortisol secretory increments were negatively related to body composition parameters, as depicted in Fig. 5A and B for abdominal visceral fat area, determined by CT scan. Comparable results were found for total (intraabdominal plus s.c.) abdominal fat area (Fig. 5C and D). Furthermore, BMI was negatively related to nocturnal incremental cortisol secretion after IL-2 challenge (for pulsatile secretion non-significantly, $P$=0.14, and significantly for total secretion, $P$=0.022).

**Approximate entropy**

ApEn of nocturnal cortisol release did not increase after low-dose IL-2 administration (0.981 ± 0.099 to

---

*Figure 2*

Serum cortisol concentration profiles obtained by sampling blood every 10 min for 24 h. Data are shown as mean ± s.e.m. The arrow on the X-axis indicates the time of administration of IL-2 or placebo. The black box indicates the period of lights off. In the upper two panels young and older subjects are compared, while in the lower two panels low-dose IL-2 is compared with high-dose administration. Open symbols indicate IL-2 administration and closed symbols indicate placebo treatment.

*Figure 3*

Effect of IL-2 administration on the area under the curve (AUC) for nocturnal cortisol concentrations in 35 healthy subjects, stratified by age (young and older) and IL-2 dose (low and high). Two-way generalized linear models (GLM) were used in the statistical analysis.
0.991 ± 0.046, P = 0.92), but did in the high-dose group (0.877 ± 0.041 to 1.024 ± 0.049, P = 0.008). ApEn increment denotes more random patterns, which did not correlate with body composition (AVF, TAF and BMI) or age.

Serum IL-2 levels

IL-2 concentrations were measured in a single 24-h serum pool. The mean concentration was larger in the high dose group than in the low-dose group (23.8 ± 3.3 ng/L vs 16.4 ± 2.2 ng/L, P = 0.035 one-sided test). IL-2 levels were uncorrelated with age or body composition parameters.

Discussion

This prospectively randomized, placebo-controlled blinded cross-over study aimed at quantifying the

### Table 3  Deconvolution of the 8-h serum cortisol concentration series in placebo- and IL-2-treated young and older volunteers during the period with lights off.

|                      | Young placebo | Young IL-2 | Old placebo | Old IL-2 | Tr P-value | Tr × Age P-value | Tr × Dose P-value |
|----------------------|---------------|------------|-------------|----------|------------|------------------|------------------|
| Pulse frequency (no/24 h) | 5.5 ± 0.4     | 6.9 ± 0.6  | 6.6 ± 0.5   | 7.1 ± 0.5 | 0.04       | 0.32             | 0.77             |
| Half-life (min)       | 60.3 ± 2.7    | 57.1 ± 3.1 | 56.6 ± 2.8  | 66.0 ± 1.4 | 0.20       | 0.014            | 0.85             |
| Basal secretion (nmol/L/8 h) | 250 ± 44     | 400 ± 97   | 420 ± 97    | 250 ± 77  | 0.22       | 0.08             | 0.96             |
| Pulsatile secretion (nmol/L/8 h) | 1460 ± 160   | 2125 ± 220 | 1670 ± 105 | 1950 ± 130 | <0.0001    | 0.02             | 0.22             |
| Total secretion (nmol/L/8 h) | 1710 ± 140   | 2530 ± 230 | 2095 ± 135  | 2200 ± 140 | <0.0001    | 0.005            | 0.40             |
| Mean pulse mass (nmol/L) | 273 ± 30     | 317 ± 25   | 268 ± 22    | 290 ± 22  | 0.028      | 0.38             | 0.43             |

Data are mean ± s.e.m. Statistical analysis was done with the GLM 3-way (treatment, age and dose) analysis for repeat measurements. Secretion data were logarithmically transformed.

Tr, treatment, IL-2 or placebo.
Table 4  Four-parameter logistic regressions of the mean serum cortisol concentrations on time during low and high IL-2 dose and placebo treatment.

|                      | Placebo | IL-2 | R² (plac/IL-2) | t-value | P-value |
|----------------------|---------|------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Low IL-2 dose        |         |      |                |         |         |
| Minimum (nmol/L)     | 76 ± 5  | 75 ± 5 | 0.95/0.96     | 0.23    | 0.40    |
| Maximum (nmol/L)     | 281 ± 10| 320 ± 4 |               | 5.56    | 0.001   |
| EC50 (h)             | 4.65 ± 0.17| 2.13 ± 0.04 |         | 25.2    | 0.001   |
| High IL-2 dose       |         |      |                |         |         |
| Minimum (nmol/L)     | 88 ± 7  | 57 ± 4 | 0.95/0.99     | 5.80    | 0.001   |
| Maximum (nmol/L)     | 321 ± 7 | 397 ± 8 |               | 9.92    | 0.001   |
| EC50 (h)             | 3.71 ± 0.12| 2.68 ± 0.05 |         | 11.4    | 0.001   |

Data are shown as mean and s.d. The t-test was two-sided, t-values from Documenta Geigy Wissenschaftliche Tabellen, J R Geigy, S.A. Basle, Switzerland, 1960.

age- and dose-related increase of cortisol secretion in healthy men after a single s.c. injection of IL-2. Main outcomes of the study are that IL-2 evoked greater pulsatile cortisol secretion, and more so in young than in older subjects during the 8-hour nocturnal period. In addition, the higher vs low IL-2 dose in young subjects caused greater cortisol secretion, whereas such a dose-dependent effect was absent in older subjects. Furthermore, in older and young subjects, IL-2-driven cortisol secretion exhibited an advance (earlier) time shift, accompanied by accelerated secretion, as evidenced by the steeper slope. Finally, higher intra-abdominal fat mass diminished the cortisol response.

Many studies of IL-2 administration were performed in patients with advanced cancer or immunodeficiency syndrome. In these studies, IL-2 was generally injected iv which increased plasma ACTH and serum cortisol concentrations (19, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31), Mastorakos, 1993 #182. Generally, only a few blood samples were taken, so that no detailed analysis was performed. In addition to patients with underlying serious, often fatal disease, low-dose IL-2 has been administered sc to healthy men in two studies, utilizing 1000 and 10,000 IU/kg body weight (18) or a total dose of 3 million IU irrespective of body weight (5). The lower sc 10,000 IU/kg dose (roughly 3–4 times less than the low-dose employed in the current study) given at 19:00 h increased serum IL-2 from a very low concentration to more than 120 ng/L 3 h after administration, but did not alter circulating concentrations of ACTH, cortisol and GH, while TSH rose unexpectedly during the night. However, the 3 million IU dose in the other study increased cortisol concentrations progressively over an 8-h period (during which blood was sampled every 2 h). Collectively, these data with ours indicate that IL-2 activates the HPA-axis unequally by age in healthy men with strong dynamic effects on cortisol secretion.

Cytokines display a great overlap in biological activities (32). Activation of the HPA-axis in men is achieved by IL-2 and other interleukins, for example, IL-1 and IL-6 (33, 34, 35). Mechanistic data are available in experimental animals studied in vitro and by direct infusion into the brain indicating that IL-1α and IL-1β, IL-2 and IL-6 activate neuronal CRH synthesis in hypothalamic PVN neurons, resulting in pituitary ACTH stimulation (33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40). In addition to the CRH-dependent ACTH stimulation, disclosed by animal studies, other reports suggest a direct stimulating effect on the corticotrope by cytokines, which may function as an amplifier of the CRH effect (41), Arzt, 1992 #179. Furthermore, cytokines may enhance glucocorticoid secretion via a direct

Table 5  Logistic regressions of the mean serum cortisol concentrations in young and old subjects.

|                      | Placebo | IL-2 | R² (Plac/IL-2) | t-value | P-value |
|----------------------|---------|------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Young subjects       |         |      |                |         |         |
| Minimum (nmol/L)     | 96 ± 10 | 64 ± 6 | 0.91/0.97     | 4.17    | 0.001   |
| Maximum (nmol/L)     | 286 ± 10| 316 ± 3 |               | 4.44    | 0.001   |
| EC50 (h)             | 2.60 ± 0.16| 2.16 ± 0.05 |         | 4.40    | 0.001   |
| Old subjects         |         |      |                |         |         |
| Minimum (nmol/L)     | 82 ± 4  | 66 ± 6 | 0.98/0.99     | 3.53    | 0.005   |
| Maximum (nmol/L)     | 366 ± 20| 459 ± 25 |               | 4.02    | 0.005   |
| EC50 (h)             | 5.01 ± 0.26| 2.67 ± 0.17 |         | 10.63   | 0.001   |

Data are shown as mean and s.d. The t-test was two-sided, t-values from Documenta Geigy Wissenschaftliche Tabellen, J R Geigy, S.A. Basle, Switzerland, 1960.
adrenal effect, as demonstrated in hypophysectomized rats and in primary adrenal cell cultures (41, 42, 43). The direct cytokine effect on the adrenals may serve as a continuing stimulus of glucocorticoid secretion after the ACTH signal has diminished (44).

In the present analysis in healthy men, IL-2 administration was followed by a time lag of several hours before cortisol secretion increased, in contrast to other CRF-ACTH stimuli, including insulin-induced hypoglycemia. The time lag might be dependent on the slow release from the injection site into the circulation, but also on the time needed for the IL-2 signal to reach PVN nuclei. Cytokines are hydrophilic proteins, which cannot easily cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB), unless the BBB is damaged by, for example, tumor, inflammation, cerebral-vascular accident or trauma. Available data suggest that for IL- signal transduction to the PVN eicosanoids, viz., prostaglandins act as mediators (45, 46, 47).

Cortisol secretion during the 8-h nocturnal period (from 23:00 to 07:00 h) increased by 45% in young and only by 16% in older subjects. Thus, HPA-axis stimulability by IL-2 seems less in older subjects. This inference complements observations in which a higher dose of IL-2 was needed to stimulate a cortisol response in individuals with cancer versus healthy controls (5). Whereas in young subjects doubling the IL-2 dose was associated with a greater cortisol secretion, this dosing effect was absent in older subjects. We hypothesize that a maximal stimulatory effect of IL-2 may be reached at a lower dose in older subjects, which could worsen frailty in aging individuals. More detailed research employing dose-response analysis is needed in this area. The observed age-dependent difference in cortisol response to IL-2 cannot be attributed to eventual differences in late evening cortisol concentrations, which were comparable in the groups (mean concentration between 20–23 h: 70 ± 8.6 nmol/L in older subjects and 71 ± 5.6 nmol/L in young volunteers, P = 0.91).

Another possibility that needs to be further investigated is whether the strong effect of IL-2 on nocturnal cortisol

![Table 6](image)

| Group          | Slope  | R²  | P-value: placebo vs IL-2 |
|----------------|--------|-----|--------------------------|
| Young placebo  | 1.40 ± 0.13 | 0.73 | <0.0001                  |
| Young IL-2     | 3.76 ± 0.11 | 0.98 |                           |
| Old placebo    | 1.27 ± 0.04 | 0.96 | <0.0001                  |
| Old IL-2       | 3.28 ± 0.15 | 0.98 |                           |

Data are shown as mean and s.d. Statistical differences were assessed with the two-sided Student's t-test.

---

**Table 6** Linear regression slopes of the nocturnal increase in cortisol concentrations.

---
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**Figure 5**

Linear regression plots relating nocturnal incremental pulsatile and total cortisol secretion and body composition parameters. Open circles designate low dose and closed circles high dose IL-2 administration.
Serum cortisol response to IL-2 secretion is modulated by sleep. This is possible, because sleep enhances immune responses, certain cytokines promote sleep, and the HPA neuroendocrine pathway connects sleep with the immune system (1). Under these circumstances, systemic inflammation acting through HPA activation in an age-modified manner could confer a mechanism by which sleep loss impairs neurobehavioral, as well as metabolic and putatively reproductive health in aging (48).

The earlier nocturnal rise in cortisol secretion likely reflects the timing of IL-2 administration rather than resetting of the circadian rhythm. Further experiments that manipulate the timing of IL-2 administration will be required to resolve this consideration. In our study, IL-2 not only increased cortisol secretion, but actually also accelerated secretion for a relatively short period. In older subjects this overall phenomenon was accompanied by a decrease in secretion burst-mode, indicating that within each pulse maximal secretion was attained at an earlier time. The mechanistic basis of this observation is not known at present.

Under the high IL-2 dose, cortisol ApEn increased, signifying a less regular secretion pattern. This finding is compatible with a heightened central drive by CRH on the HPA axis, rather than diminished feedback at the pituitary and/or hypothalamic level. Controlled CRH infusions in healthy men under clamping of cortisol (as feedback signal) increases ACTH secretory disorderliness; conversely, glucocorticoid receptor blockade, as well as direct inhibition of cortisol secretion by metyrapone or ketoconazole, all decrease ACTH ApEn (49, 50). Thus, in contrast with other pituitary regulatory systems, for example, the growth hormone axis and the gonadotropic axis, where decreased feedback increases ApEn, the HPA axis reacts quite differently in this respect. A mechanistic explanation for increased ACTH secretory regularity when negative feedback is muted might involve co-modulation of ACTH release by somatostatin or other hypothalamic factors (49).

Cortisol secretion quantified by deconvolution analysis of 24-h profiles sampled at 10-min intervals do not correlate with BMI (9), although ACTH secretion is amplified in obesity, suggesting diminished adrenal responsivity to ACTH (17). The finding here of less IL2-induced cortisol secretion with increasing abdominal fat (visceral and total fat area) would also be compatible with diminished adrenal responsiveness to ACTH (or other peptides). However, this postulate does not exclude a comparable mechanism at central sites. In addition, cortisol secretion in adiposity is increased while serum levels are either unchanged or slightly diminished, pointing to increased plasma clearance (51, 52). Thus, increased cortisol clearance rate might contribute to the observed visceral fat-related decreased IL-2 response.

IL-2 is used as antitumor agent in renal cell cancer and melanoma, either alone or in combination with other anticancer drugs, such as kinase and immune checkpoint inhibitors (53, 54). In many patients, severe side effects were noted at higher doses than used here. Thus, current phase III trials in melanoma no longer use IL-2, except in one study (53). Our finding that the cortisol response is rather short lived and limited, especially in older subjects, may tend to restrict any catabolic effect in this age group.

There are several limitations in this study. First, we investigated only two doses of IL-2 and exploring lower doses in future studies could be informative on the dose-response relation; second, IL-2 was given in the evening, but whether the cortisol response would comparable at other time points is unknown; third, whether cortisol responses remain similar after repeated administration is unknown; fourth, due to funding restrictions of this complicated study, we have no data on ACTH secretion, but even if these data were available it would be impossible to state how much of the ultimate effect of IL-2 on cortisol secretion could be attributed to CRH, and direct effects on the corticotrope and adrenal gland, and fifth, this study cannot be extrapolated to healthy premenopausal and postmenopausal women, or to children.

In summary, IL-2 administration in healthy men, as a paradigm for inflammation, evokes definite by a relatively short-lived increased cortisol secretion, larger in young than in older subjects, and larger at a higher dose, only in young volunteers. Increasing abdominal visceral and total fat was associated with attenuated cortisol secretion.
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