A rare variation of duplicated portal vein: left branch derived from splenic vein mimicking cavernous transformation
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Abstract

Background: Duplication of the portal vein is a rare type of anatomic variant of the portal vein (PV) system that can be incidentally found and can lead to various challenges and consequences. Herein, we report an unusual case to increase our understanding of such anatomic variants.

Case presentation: A 67-year-old asymptomatic woman was diagnosed with a liver space-occupying lesion by ultrasonography on a routine physical examination. The laboratory examinations from a local hospital suggested that her liver function tests were normal. The liver appeared normal on pre-contrast enhanced CT images. However, there were multiple complex abnormalities of PV found on contrast-enhanced CT scans, including two independent sources of PV (duplication), preduodenal PV, circum-portal pancreas, mimic cavernous transformation, abnormal branches of PV, and transient abnormal perfusion in the left lobe of the liver. MRI showed fatty infiltration in the left lobe of the liver.

Conclusion: This case extends our current understanding of the anatomical variations of the PV system. Knowledge of these complex and rare anatomical variations will help clinical doctors make a confident diagnosis or assist with proper planning of a surgical procedure.
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Background

The development and formation of the portal venous system is a complex process. Anatomic variants and congenital hypoplasia of the portal system can be found in 20–35% of individuals. Duplication of the portal vein is a rare type of anatomic variant of the portal vein (PV) system [1, 9]. With the development of medical imaging equipment and the improvement of inspection technology, duplication of the portal vein is discovered more often than before and lead to some challenges and consequences, especially for junior residents. Herein, we report an unusual case of duplication with other complex abnormalities of the PV. This case is not consistent with the previously reported common anatomic variant or acquired abnormality classification of the PV.

Case presentation

A 67-year-old asymptomatic woman was hospitalized, because she was suspected of having a liver space-occupying lesion diagnosed by ultrasonography on a routine physical examination. She was referred to our hospital for further assessment of the liver mass by computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Laboratory examinations from a local hospital suggested that her liver function tests were normal, and all of her viral hepatitis surface antigens were negative. The alpha foetal protein (AFP) level was 1.5 ng/ml (normal level < 10 ng/
ml). She denied any chronic diseases, drug administration, alcohol consumption or venereal exposure.

Pre-contrast enhanced CT scans of the abdomen showed that the shape, size and density of the liver were normal. On the arterial phase, contrast-enhanced CT images revealed transient perfusion abnormalities in the left lobe of the liver and homogeneous enhancement in both the portal vein phase and delayed phase (Fig. 1a). However, it is worth noting that the CT portal-phase images showed multiple slender and tortuous vessels in the hepatic hilar region, which mimicked cavernous transformation of the portal vein (Fig. 1b). We performed maximum intensity projection (MIP) and volume rendering (VR) postprocessing techniques to review the hepatic

![Fig. 1 Post-contrast enhanced CT scans of the patient’s abdomen.](image)

- **a** Transient perfusion abnormal region at the left lobe of liver (arrowhead).
- **b** Tortuous vessels mimic cavernous transformation of the PV (red circle).
- **c**-**e** The VR and MIP images showed SMV and SV join to form a preduodenal portal vein (PV-1) (arrow), and another portal vein (PV-2) (arrowhead) derives from the SV.
- **f** The PV-1 directly divided one branch supplied segment IV (arrow)
vascular system and found that this patient had multiple
anatomic variations of the PV system. The portal system
consisted of two main branches. PV-1 was formed by
the junction of the superior mesenteric vein (SMV) and
the splenic vein (SV), anterior to the descending duo-
denal and pancreatic neck with no thickening or dilata-
tion. PV-1 then divided into two branches: one branch
directly supplied segment IV of the liver, and the other
branch extended into the right portal vein (RPV) (Fig. 1c,
d). The RPV subdivided into the right anterior portal vein
(RAPV) and the right posterior portal vein (RPPV). PV-2
was independently derived from the SV and extended a
slender/tortuous vessel into the left portal vein (LPV) to
supply segments II and III of the liver. There was com-
mutation between the RPV and LPV. In order to better
know our case, we provided a schematic diagram show-
ing the anatomical variation of the duplicated portal vein
(Fig. 2). The hepatic arterial/venous system and inferior
vena cava were normal. No abnormal shunt or varix
was seen in the abdomen. The in-phase and out-phase
T1-weighted imaging on MRI clearly showed fat accumu-
lation in the left lobe of the liver (Fig. 3a, b).

**Discussion and conclusions**

Duplication of the portal vein is a rare type of variant of
the PV that is only described by case reports. It is defined
as two separated portal veins that course upward to the
porta hepatis and divide into segmental branches [2,
3]. Duplication of the portal vein usually causes clini-
cally relevant symptoms due to its unusual topography
and haemodynamics. In a case described by Dighe and
Vaidya [2], the splenic vein and superior mesenteric
vein appeared to enter the liver separately and joined to
form a small main portal vein within the liver. Another
case report described two portal veins, where one was a
continuation of the SV in the usual retro-duodenal path,
while the other was a preduodenal portal vein from the
confluence of the superior and inferior mesenteric veins
[4].

In the present case, the PV system was formed by two
separated main veins. PV-1 was formed by the normal
development and junction of the SMV and the SV, while
PV-2 was independently derived from the SV. Such dupli-
cation of PV was consistent with previous reports [2, 5].
However, this case has far more complex malformations
in the PV system. PV-1 ran anterior to the descending
duodenum, and the pancreatic neck entered the liver
without duodenal stenosis or common bile duct stric-
ture. Mostly, preduodenal PV is a congenital anomaly of
the main portal vein, which may be found in the setting
of duodenal obstruction or incidentally at surgery [6].
An increased incidence of preduodenal PV has also been
reported in individuals with polysplenia syndrome, situs
anomalies or biliary atresia [7, 8].
PV-2 was independently derived from the SV extending a slender/tortuous vessel mimicking cavernous transformation directly into the left portal vein. However, this patient had no symptoms of portal hypertension. We considered that these events occurred due to further regression of the right umbilical vein, whereas the left umbilical vein continued to connect with both the ductus venosus and the left portal vein at a junction called the umbilicalportal confluence during the embryonic period. It has been proposed that aberrations in this process of involution can result in anatomical variations within the PV [9, 10]. Furthermore, we hypothesize that hepatic fatty infiltration and transient hepatic perfusion disorders occur in the left lobe of the liver due to an alteration of haemodynamics caused by aberrant venous inflow into the liver.

As stated before, such PV malformations may directly cause some diseases of the liver, gastrointestinal or bile pancreatic system. They may also give rise to secondary portal hypertension with the development of oesophagogastric varices and may lead to a source of fatal haemorrhage. Although this patient presented with no symptoms, further follow-up examinations should be performed due to the multiple and complex malformations of the PV system, especially due to the unusual topography (preduodenal PV-1) and abnormal haemodynamics (mimic cavernous transformation of PV-2). For doctors, this case presented a rare and complex anatomical variation of the PV system, which could further the understanding of the variations of the PV system. Knowledge of these variations helps in the proper planning of patient management, especially for interventional surgery and laparoscopic surgery.
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