Predictors of Mortality for Patients with COVID-19 in the Rural Appalachian Region
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Background: The prevalence and outcome of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in rural areas is unknown.

Methods: This is a multi-center retrospective cohort study of hospitalized patients diagnosed with COVID-19 from April 5, 2020 to December 31, 2020. The data were extracted from 13 facilities in the Appalachian Regional Healthcare system that share the same electronic health record using ICD-10-CM codes.

Results: The number of patients diagnosed with COVID-19 per facility ranged from 5 to 535 with a median of 106 patients. Total mortality was 11.4% and ranged from 0% to 22.6% by facility (median: 9.0%). Non-survivors had a greater prevalence of congestive heart failure (CHF), hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), and pulmonary embolism. Patients who died were also more likely to have had chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), acute respiratory failure (ARF), liver cirrhosis, chronic kidney disease (CKD), dementia, cancer, anemia, and opiate dependence.

Conclusion: The aging population, multiple co-morbidities, and health-related behaviors make rural patients vulnerable to COVID-19. A better understanding of the disease in rural areas is crucial, given its heightened vulnerability to adverse outcomes.

Keywords: coronavirus, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, cohort, mortality, survival

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has affected over 238 million individuals and caused over 4 million deaths worldwide as of October, 2021.1 As the disease spreads, there has been growing recognition that people in rural communities may be disproportionately affected. The negative consequences of health disparities for rural communities in the United States were an issue before the pandemic. Rural communities have faced greater morbidity, mortality, and percentages of excess deaths from the five leading causes of death, including cancer and cardiovascular disease.2 This disparity has also been seen in various infectious diseases, such as hepatitis A, influenza, and HIV.3–5

There are a number of reasons why rural communities are at high risk. Compared to urban dwellers, rural residents are older, and more likely to have underlying health conditions.6 In addition, rural population have greater prevalence of coal workers’ pneumoconiosis which may affect outcomes of COVID-19 in this subgroup.7,8 Patients in rural communities have limited access to emergency and intensive care healthcare facilities.9 Rural patients live farther away from health care facilities compared to urban dwellers. In addition, there is a shortage of health care providers in rural America.10 Initially, it was thought that the low population density reduction helps facilitate social distancing and isolation, which protects rural residents by reducing both the rate of exposure and contraction of the disease.11 However, in September 2020, COVID-19 incidence (cases per 100,000 population) in rural counties surpassed that in urban counties.12

The prevalence and outcome of COVID-19 in rural areas is unknown. The aim of this study is to describe the demographics, clinical characteristics, and outcomes of hospitalized adults with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in a large healthcare system in rural Kentucky and West Virginia.
Methods
This is a multi-center retrospective cohort study of hospitalized patients diagnosed with COVID-19 from April 5, 2020 to December 31, 2020. This study was approved by the Appalachian Regional Healthcare Institutional Review Board (IRB). As per IRB requirements, written consent was waived for this project as it is a retrospective study, which includes abstraction of data from medical records.

The data was extracted from 13 facilities in the Appalachian Regional Healthcare system that share the same electronic health record. The principals admitting diagnosis of COVID-19 using ICD-10-CM codes in patients 18 years or older were identified. The information for all patients, including demographic data, clinical characteristics, laboratory parameters, treatment data and outcomes, were extracted electronically. Patients with missing discharge disposition data were excluded. Manual individual chart review performed was not performed. Serum biomarkers were categorized as low/normal versus high based on reference levels in the literature. D-dimer was categorized as high if concentrations were 0.5 or greater. For CRP, high concentrations were defined as 3.0 mg/L or greater. For males and females, a high erythrocyte sedimentation rate was >22 mm/hr and 29 mm/hr, respectively. For males and females, a high ferritin was >336 mg/L and >307 mg/L, respectively. An elevated LDH was defined as ≥280 U/L. Levels of categorical variables with low cell counts were combined for statistical analysis purposes to ensure adequate sample size to estimate effects.

The primary outcome was in-hospital mortality. Secondary outcomes included 30-day and 60-day readmission rate, and the length of stay in the hospital.

Data Analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the continuous and categorical variables. The mean and standard error were used for the continuous variables and the categorical variables were expressed as percentages. Categorical variables were reported as absolute numbers and proportions, and compared using the chi-square or Fisher's exact test. Continuous variables were analyzed with independent t-tests. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used instead of t-tests for categorical variables with more than 2 categories. For all ANOVA models and t-tests, normality and equal variances were checked. If normality was violated, the offending variables were log transformed to achieve normality of the data. Models were adjusted for unequal variances as needed.

Biomarker data was only collected for a subset of patients, so two sets of models were built (with and without biomarker data) for each outcome of interest (mortality, readmittance within 30 days and between 31 and 60 days, and length of stay). Mixed effects logistic and linear regression models were built for binary and continuous outcomes, respectively. Only those that survived the first hospitalization were included in the readmission models. We used a backward selection procedure for variable selection and variables were retained if they reached statistical significance. For each model, random intercepts for facility and month were included, unless their estimates were negligible. Models were estimated using robust standard errors. Normality of random effects was tested for all models. The P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 14.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Results
In total, data for 1628 patients was extracted and 2 patients were excluded due to missing discharge disposition data. BMI was missing in 69 observations and marital status was missing 26 observations. The number of patients per facility ranged from 5 to 535 with a median of 106 patients. Total mortality was 11.4% and ranged from 0% to 22.6% by facility (median: 9.0%).

The differences in the baseline demographic characteristics, clinical characteristics, serum biomarkers, and treatments among patients who survived versus died in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia are shown in Table 1. Older patients had a higher mortality (20.2% in 74 years of age and older) compared to the younger patients (0.8% in 18 to 39 years of age). Non-survivors had a greater prevalence of congestive heart failure (CHF), hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), and pulmonary embolism. Patients who died were also more likely to have had...
Table 1 Differences in Demographic Characteristics, Clinical Characteristics, Serum Biomarkers, and Treatments Among Patients Who Survived versus Died in a Sample of Patients Hospitalized for COVID-19

|                      | Died (n = 185) | Survived (n = 1441) | Total (n = 1626) | p-value* |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|
|                      | n % (Within Row) | n % (Within Row) | n % (of Total) |
| **Age (years)**      |               |                     |                  |          |
| 18 to 39             | 1 0.8         | 125 99.2            | 126 7.8          | <0.01    |
| 40 to 49             | 4 2.4         | 161 97.6            | 165 10.2         | -        |
| 50 to 64             | 24 6.1        | 373 94              | 397 24.4         | -        |
| 65 to 74             | 55 12.6       | 382 87.4            | 437 26.9         | -        |
| 74 and older         | 101 20.2      | 400 79.8            | 501 30.8         | -        |
|                      |               |                     |                  |          |
| **Gender**           |               |                     |                  |          |
| Male                 | 95 11.8       | 712 88.2            | 807 49.6         | 0.62     |
| Female               | 90 11         | 729 89              | 819 50.4         | -        |
|                      |               |                     |                  |          |
| **BMI**              |               |                     |                  |          |
| <18.5                | 8 17          | 39 83               | 47 3             | <0.01    |
| 18.5 - <25.0         | 44 15.7       | 236 84.3            | 280 18           | -        |
| 25.0 - <30.0         | 51 12.1       | 371 87.9            | 422 27.1         | -        |
| 30.0 - <35.0         | 23 7.4        | 290 92.7            | 313 20.1         | -        |
| 35 and greater       | 46 9.3        | 449 90.7            | 495 31.8         | -        |
|                      |               |                     |                  |          |
| **Marital status**   |               |                     |                  |          |
| Married              | 70 8.8        | 724 91.2            | 794 49.6         | <0.001   |
| Single               | 31 9.8        | 284 90.2            | 315 19.7         | -        |
| Divorced/ Separated/ Widowed* | | | | |
|                      | 79 16.1       | 412 83.9            | 491 30.7         | -        |
|                      |               |                     |                  |          |
| **ARF**              | Yes           | 143 21.3            | 530 78.8         | 41.4     | <0.001 |
|                      | No            | 42 4.4              | 911 95.6         | 953 58.6 | -       |
| **CKD**              | Yes           | 124 21.5            | 452 78.5         | 576 35.4 | <0.001 |
|                      | No            | 61 5.8              | 989 94.2         | 1050 64.6| -       |
| **Liver cirrhosis**  | Yes           | 7 29.2              | 17 70.8          | 24 1.5   | 0.01   |
|                      | No            | 178 11.1            | 1424 88.9        | 1602 98.5| -       |
| **Hepatitis**        | Yes           | 2 18.2              | 9 81.8           | 11 4.9   | 1.0    |
|                      | No            | 35 16.3             | 180 83.7         | 215 95.1 | -       |
| **Dementia**         | Yes           | 39 22.5             | 134 77.5         | 173 10.6 | <0.001 |
|                      | No            | 146 10.1            | 1307 90          | 1453 89.4| -       |
| **CHF**              | Yes           | 85 28.8             | 210 71.2         | 295 18.1 | <0.001 |
|                      | No            | 100 7.5             | 1231 92.5        | 1331 81.9| -       |
| **Cancer**           | Yes           | 14 20.6             | 54 79.4          | 68 4.2   | 0.02   |
|                      | No            | 171 11              | 1387 89          | 1558 95.8| -       |
| **Hypertension**     | Yes           | 143 13.9            | 889 86.1         | 1032 63.5| <0.001 |
|                      | No            | 42 7.1              | 552 92.9         | 594 36.5 | -       |
| **TIA**              | Yes           | 25 16.2             | 129 83.8         | 154 9.5  | 0.046  |
|                      | No            | 160 10.9            | 1312 89.1        | 1472 90.5| -       |
| **COPD**             | Yes           | 63 15.3             | 350 84.8         | 413 25.4 | 0.004  |
|                      | No            | 122 10.1            | 1091 89.9        | 1213 74.6| -       |
| **Stroke**           | Yes           | 8 20.5              | 31 79.5          | 39 2.4   | 0.08   |
|                      | No            | 177 11.2            | 1410 88.9        | 1587 97.6| -       |
| **Pulmonary embolism** | Yes         | 7 22.6              | 24 77.4          | 31 1.9   | 0.08   |
|                      | No            | 178 11.2            | 1417 88.9        | 1595 98.1| -       |
| **Type 2 diabetes mellitus** | Yes | 82 13.1             | 546 86.9         | 628 38.6 | 0.09   |
|                      | No            | 103 10.3            | 895 89.7         | 998 61.4 | -       |
| **Lipid disorders**  | Yes           | 71 13               | 474 87           | 545 33.5 | 0.14   |
|                      | No            | 114 10.6            | 967 89.5         | 1081 66.5| -       |
| **Tobacco dependence** | Yes         | 15 11.3             | 118 88.7         | 133 8.2  | 0.97   |
|                      | No            | 170 11.4            | 1323 88.6        | 1493 91.8| -       |
| **Alcohol dependence** | Yes         | 0 0                | 19 1.3           | 19 1.2  | 0.16   |
|                      | No            | 185 11.5            | 1422 98.7        | 1607 98.8| -       |

(Continued)
Table 1 (Continued).

|                                | Died (n = 185) | Survived (n = 1441) | Total (n = 1626) | p-value* |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|
|                                | n  | % (Within Row)   | n  | % (Within Row) | n  | % (of Total)  |        |
| Opiate dependence              | Yes| 146 | 12.9          | 982 | 87.1          | 1128 | 69.4          | 0.003   |
|                                | No | 39  | 7.8           | 459 | 92.2          | 498  | 30.6          | -       |
| Remdesivir use                 | Yes| 82  | 11.9          | 606 | 88.1          | 688  | 42.3          | 0.56    |
|                                | No | 103 | 1             | 835 | 89            | 938  | 57.7          | -       |
| Dexamethasone use              | Yes| 155 | 12.3          | 1103| 87.7          | 1258 | 77.4          | 0.03    |
|                                | No | 30  | 8.1           | 338 | 91.9          | 368  | 22.6          | -       |
| ICU stay                       | Yes| 61  | 27.1          | 164 | 72.9          | 225  | 13.8          | <0.001  |
|                                | No | 124 | 8.9           | 1277| 91.2          | 1401 | 86.2          | -       |
| Length of stay (days)          |    | 43  | 8             | 494 | 92            | 537  | 33            | <0.01   |
| <5                             |    | 5   | 7.4           | 563 | 92.6          | 608  | 37.4          | -       |
| 5 to <10                       |    | 45  | 7.4           | 563 | 92.6          | 608  | 37.4          | -       |
| 10 to <20                      |    | 56  | 18.1          | 254 | 81.9          | 310  | 19.1          | -       |
| 20 and greater                 |    | 41  | 24            | 130 | 76            | 171  | 10.5          | -       |
| Insurance type                 | Medicaid/Medicare | 169 | 13.1          | 1125| 86.9          | 1294 | 79.6          | <0.001  |
|                                | Other^d | 16  | 4.8           | 316 | 95.2          | 332  | 20.4          | -       |
| High erythrocyte sedimentation rate^f | Yes | 36  | 15.1          | 202 | 84.9          | 238  | 74.6          | 0.24    |
|                                | No | 8   | 9.9           | 73  | 90.1          | 81   | 25.4          | -       |
| Pancytopenia                   | Yes| 6   | 12.5          | 42  | 87.5          | 48   | 3             | 0.8     |
|                                | No | 179 | 11.3          | 1399| 88.7          | 1578 | 97.1          | -       |
| Leucopenia                     | Yes| 12  | 11            | 97  | 89            | 109  | 6.7           | 0.9     |
|                                | No | 173 | 11.4          | 1344| 88.6          | 1517 | 93.3          | -       |
| CRP ≥ 3.0 mg/L                 | Yes| 102 | 14.9          | 584 | 85.1          | 686  | 71.2          | <0.001  |
|                                | No | 18  | 6.5           | 259 | 93.5          | 277  | 28.8          | -       |
| High ferritin^g                | Yes| 76  | 14.6          | 444 | 85.4          | 520  | 53.7          | 0.01    |
|                                | No | 40  | 8.9           | 409 | 91.1          | 449  | 46.3          | -       |
| Anemia                         | Yes| 25  | 16.6          | 126 | 83.4          | 151  | 9.3           | 0.04    |
|                                | No | 160 | 10.9          | 1315| 89.2          | 1475 | 90.7          | -       |
| LDH ≥ 280 U/L                  | Yes| 75  | 18.9          | 322 | 81.1          | 397  | 46.3          | <0.001  |
|                                | No | 27  | 5.9           | 434 | 94.1          | 461  | 53.7          | -       |
| D-dimer > 0.5                  | Yes| 109 | 14.4          | 647 | 85.6          | 756  | 75.3          | <0.001  |
|                                | No | 10  | 4             | 238 | 96            | 248  | 24.7          | -       |
| Thrombocytopenia               | Yes| 36  | 20.3          | 141 | 79.7          | 177  | 10.9          | <0.001  |
|                                | No | 149 | 10.3          | 1300| 89.7          | 1449 | 89.1          | -       |

Notes: *Comparisons tested using Chi-square tests unless otherwise noted. Bolded p-values are <0.05. ^Comparison tested with ANOVA due to >2 groups. ^Comparison tested using Fisher's exact test to adjust for small cell sizes. °Combined for statistical purposes: agency, employee health insurance, commercial, self-pay, workers compensation. †Combined for statistical purposes: divorced, separated, widowed, widower. ‡For males, a high ferritin was >336 mg/L. For females, a high ferritin was >307 mg/L. §For males, a high erythrocyte sedimentation rate was >22 mm/hr. For females, a high erythrocyte sedimentation rate was >29 mm/hr.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CKD, chronic kidney disease; ARF, acute respiratory failure; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TIA, transient ischemic attack; CRP, C-reactive protein; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), acute respiratory failure (ARF), liver cirrhosis, chronic kidney disease (CKD), dementia, cancer, anemia, and opiate dependence. A multivariable logistic-regression model was developed. Independent predictors of in-hospital death and their corresponding odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals are shown in Table 2. At an age greater than 65 years, CHF, CKD, ARF, cancer, and intensive care unit (ICU) stay were associated with a higher risk of in-hospital death. In a sub-group of patients with biomarker data available, the results were similar. In this sub-group, dementia was associated with higher in-hospital mortality (OR 1.98, CI 1.04–3.78, p = 0.04). Patients with thrombocytopenia (OR 1.75, CI 1.01–3.05, p=0.047), and LDH levels ≥280 U/L (OR 3.28, CI 1.93–5.58, p < 0.001) were associated with higher in-hospital mortality.
Table 2 Independent Predictors of In-Hospital Deaths from Multivariable Logistic-Regression Analysis in Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19

| Sub-Sample with Biomarkers (n = 858)* | Variable                  | OR  | L95% CI | U95% CI | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|
|                                      | Age (years)*              |     |         |         |         |
|                                      | 18 to 49 (ref)            |     | -       | -       |         |
|                                      | 50 to 64                  | 1.05 | 0.31    | 3.6     | 0.93    |
|                                      | 65 and older              | 2.4  | 0.79    | 7.32    | 0.12    |
|                                      | Congestive heart failure  | 2.88 | 1.73    | 4.79    | <0.001  |
|                                      | CKD                       | 3.06 | 1.78    | 5.23    | <0.001  |
|                                      | ARF                       | 2.73 | 1.51    | 4.92    | 0.001   |
|                                      | Dementia                  | 1.98 | 1.04    | 3.78    | 0.04    |
|                                      | Cancer                    | 2.73 | 1.12    | 6.64    | 0.047   |
|                                      | Thrombocytopenia          | 1.75 | 1.01    | 3.05    | 0.047   |
|                                      | LDH ≥ 280 U/L             | 3.28 | 1.93    | 5.58    | <0.001  |
|                                      | Intercept                 | 0.004| 0.001   | 0.02    | <0.001  |

| Full Sample without Biomarkers (n = 1626)* | Variable                  | OR  | L95% CI | U95% CI | P-value |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|
|                                            | Age (years)               |     |         |         | <0.001  |
|                                            | 18 to 49 (ref)            |     | -       | -       |         |
|                                            | 50 to 64                  | 1.69 | 0.61    | 4.66    | 0.31    |
|                                            | 65 and older              | 4.46 | 1.75    | 11.36   | 0.002   |
|                                            | Congestive heart failure  | 2.47 | 1.69    | 3.61    | <0.001  |
|                                            | CKD                       | 2.19 | 1.51    | 3.19    | <0.001  |
|                                            | Cancer                    | 2.3  | 1.13    | 4.69    | 0.02    |
|                                            | ARF                       | 3.74 | 2.49    | 5.61    | <0.001  |
|                                            | ICU                       | 1.98 | 1.31    | 3       | 0.001   |
|                                            | Length of stay (days)     |     |         |         | 0.02    |
|                                            | <5 (ref)                  |     | -       | -       |         |
|                                            | 5 to <10                  | 0.56 | 0.34    | 0.91    | 0.02    |
|                                            | 10 to <20                 | 0.96 | 0.58    | 1.58    | 0.87    |
|                                            | 20 and more               | 1.2  | 0.68    | 2.14    | 0.53    |
|                                            | Intercept                 | 0.01 | 0.003   | 0.02    | <0.001  |

Notes: *Random intercept variance estimate and 95% CI for facility: 0.25 (0.02, 2.75). Random intercept variance estimate and 95% CI for month: 0.03 (0.0002, 5.28). Further combined for statistical analysis purposes. #Random intercept variance estimate and 95% CI for facility: 0.08 (0.01, 0.72). Random intercept variance estimate and 95% CI for month: 0.12 (0.02, 0.56).

Abbreviations: CKD, chronic kidney disease; ARF, acute respiratory failure; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ICU, intensive care unit.
Differences in mean length of stay by demographic characteristics, admission diagnoses, serum biomarkers, and treatments among patients hospitalized for COVID-19 are shown in Supplementary Table 1. Differences in patients with COVID-19 who were readmitted within 30 days of hospitalization versus those that were not readmitted are shown in Supplementary Table 2 and differences among patients that were readmitted between 31 and 60 days after hospitalization versus those that were not readmitted are shown in Supplementary Table 3. The factors associated with length of stay (days) are shown in Supplementary Table 4. Factors associated with the odds of being readmitted in a sample of patients that survived the first hospitalization for COVID-19 are shown in Supplementary Table 5 for patients readmitted within 30 days and Supplementary Table 6 for patients readmitted between 31 and 61 days.

Discussion

Our research confirms previous reports of the independent relationship of older age, CHF, CKD, cancer, and ARF with COVID-19 mortality. Our results also suggest that patients with elevated LDH levels and/or thrombocytopenia are more likely to die of the infection. Neither harmful nor beneficial associations were noted for remdesivir or dexamethasone therapy.

It is well known that older people are at the highest risk of COVID-19 morbidity and mortality.\textsuperscript{13} It has been shown in previous studies that pre-existing conditions, such as cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, chronic lung diseases, type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and obesity, are associated with increased risk of intubation and mortality.\textsuperscript{14–17} The lower platelet count has been reported to be a marker of poor prognosis, not only in COVID-19 patients but also in critically ill patients.\textsuperscript{18,19} The mechanism of thrombocytopenia in COVID-19 patients might be related to decreased production, increased consumption and destruction of platelets.\textsuperscript{20} Previous studies have shown that LDH level may be used as an important tool in determining prognosis in patients with COVID-19.\textsuperscript{21} Our study shows increased mortality with elevated LDH levels supporting this finding. Additional laboratory abnormalities, such as neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, troponin-I, and abnormal liver function tests, have also been associated with increased mortality and adverse outcomes, which can be further explored in future studies.\textsuperscript{22–27}

The impact of COVID-19 on rural communities is a significant contemporary health issue. In addition to the higher prevalence of diseases, the rural population face unique health problems. The prevalence of cigarette smoking, obesity, and physical inactivity is higher in non-metropolitan counties than in metropolitan counties.\textsuperscript{28} It is also known that ethnic minorities exhibit higher number of morbidities despite younger age due to disproportionate exposure to unscored risk factors including obesity, household overcrowding, air pollution, housing quality and adult skills deprivation.\textsuperscript{29} The aging population, multiple co-morbidities, and health-related behaviors make rural patients vulnerable to COVID-19. They also face greater transportation barriers to health care than their urban counterparts.\textsuperscript{30} Longer travel distances and higher costs related to transportation services limit health care utilization in this population. Limited health literacy and health insurance literacy in rural areas pose additional challenges in the ability to access, understand, and use information to make informed health decisions.\textsuperscript{31,32} Rural residents have lower incomes and lower rates of health insurance, which serves as another barrier to accessing healthcare resources. It is estimated that less than 10% of the health care workforce practice in rural settings. However, 14.8% (46.2 million persons) of the total US population reside in the 63.0% of counties that are classified as either micropolitan or noncore.\textsuperscript{33} In addition, there is a resurgence of diseases, such as coal workers’ pneumoconiosis in the rural population. In central Appalachia (Kentucky, Virginia, West Virginia), 20.6% of long-tenured miners have coal workers’ pneumoconiosis.\textsuperscript{34} Differences in health-related behaviors, access to healthcare services, and environmental exposures can contribute to a greater COVID-19 mortality in rural communities.

Lastly, even though our data was prior to approval of the vaccine, per the CDC reports, COVID-19 vaccination coverage was lower in rural counties (38.9%) than in urban counties (45.7%). These disparities persisted among all age groups and by sex. A larger proportion of people in the most rural counties traveled for vaccination to nonadjacent counties (ie, farther from their county of residence) compared with persons in the most urban counties.\textsuperscript{35} This further highlights the health care disparities in rural communities due to lack of health insurance, education, access to health care and higher proportions of co-morbidities or disabilities.
Limitations
This study has several limitations, most of which are inherent to the analysis of administrative databases. Since the data is collected based on administrative codes, it is not possible to establish whether a complication was present on admission or developed during the hospital stay. In addition, biomarker data were not available in all patients. It is likely that biomarkers were evaluated in sicker patients. In addition, remdesivir or dexamethasone therapy may have only been administered in patients with ARF. Lastly, our data were prior to the emergence of COVID-19 variants and prior to the approval of the vaccine. Despite these limitations, this study addresses a significant knowledge gap as a contemporary epidemiological study of COVID-19 in rural regions.

Conclusions
To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest COVID-19 hospitalization dataset to come exclusively from rural facilities. A better understanding of the disease in rural areas is crucial, given its heightened vulnerability to adverse outcomes, especially due to poor vaccination rates.

Abbreviations
ARF, acute respiratory failure; BMI, body mass index; CHF, congestive heart failure; ICU, intensive care unit; IRB, institutional review board; TIA, transient ischemic attack; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; CKD, chronic kidney disease.
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