Profiling the Cross Reactivity of Ubiquitin with the Nedd8 Activating Enzyme by Phage Display
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Abstract

The C-terminal peptides of ubiquitin (UB) and UB-like proteins (UBLs) play a key role in their recognition by the specific activating enzymes (E1s) to launch their transfer through the respective enzymatic cascades thus modifying cellular proteins. UB and Nedd8, a UBL regulating the activity of cullin-RING UB ligases, only differ by one residue at their C-termini; yet each has its specific E1 for the activation reaction. It has been reported recently that UAE can cross react with Nedd8 to enable its passage through the UB transfer cascade for protein neddylation. To elucidate differences in UB recognition by UAE and NAE, we carried out phage selection of a UB library with randomized C-terminal sequences based on the catalytic formation of UB~NAE thioester conjugates. Our results confirmed the previous finding that residue 72 of UB plays a “gate-keeping” role in E1 selectivity. We also found that diverse sequences flanking residue 72 at the UB C-terminus can be accommodated by NAE for activation. Furthermore heptameric peptides derived from the C-terminal sequences of UB variants selected for NAE activation can function as mimics of Nedd8 to form thioester conjugates with NAE and the downstream E2 enzyme Ubc12 in the Nedd8 transfer cascade. Once the peptides are charged onto the cascade enzymes, the full-length Nedd8 protein is effectively blocked from passing through the cascade for the critical modification of cullin. We have thus identified a new class of inhibitors of protein neddylation based on the profiles of the UB C-terminal sequences recognized by NAE.

Introduction

Nedd8 is a ubiquitin-like protein (UBL) that covalently modifies the cullin subunits of the cullin-RING complexes to turn on their activities as E3 ubiquitin (UB) ligases (Figure 1a) [1,2,3,4,5,6]. The E1 enzyme specific for Nedd8, also known as Nedd8 activating enzyme (NAE), catalyzes the condensation of ATP with the C-terminal carboxylate of Nedd8 to form a Nedd8-AMP conjugate [5,7,8]. The activated Nedd8 is then captured by a catalytic Cys residue of NAE to form a Nedd8~AMP thioester conjugate (‘~’ designates the thioester linkage). Subsequently a thioester residue of NAE to form a Nedd8~AMP thioester conjugate (~’~’ designates the thioester linkage). Subsequently a thioester

Previously it was thought that the E1–E2 cascade for Nedd8 modification and the E1–E2–E3 cascades for UB modification do not cross react. However, it was recently reported that Nedd8 can enter the E1–E2–E3 cascades for UB transfer that results in the neddylation of the proteins targeted by E3 UB ligases [12,13,14]. It was also found that mutations at the UB C-terminus enable UB to be efficiently activated by NAE for its loading on Ubc12, the E2 that is the exclusive carrier of Nedd8 [15,16]. These observations suggest that the enzymatic cascades for Nedd8 and UB transfer maybe intertwined for the crossover of protein ubiquitination and neddylation pathways in the cell.

Nedd8 and UB both contain 76 residues and share the highest sequence homology among all the UBLs with 57% of the residues being identical and 76% of the residues being similar to each other [17,18]. As expected the two proteins also adopt very similar structures featuring the β-grasp fold [19]. Their E1 enzymes are also homologous to each other in both peptide sequences and crystal structures, except that NAE is a heterodimer of two subunits, APPBP1 and Uba3, while UAE is composed of a single chain [8,20,21]. The crystal structures of the E1s bound to their...
cognate Nedd8 and UB proteins show that Nedd8 and UB are docked to the E1s in a similar mode with their C-terminal peptide extending into the adenylation domains of the E1s to reach the ATP molecule bound to the E1s [20,22]. The C-terminal peptides of Nedd8 and UB have the sequences 71LALRGG76 and 71LRLRGG76, respectively, with just one residue at position 72 being different. Both Ala72 of Nedd8 and Arg72 of UB have matching residues in NAE and UAE for complementary interactions. It was found that when Ala72 of Nedd8 was mutated to Arg, the Nedd8 mutant acquired a 65-fold increase in affinity with UAE and can be activated by UAE [17]. Vice versa, when Arg72 in UB was mutated to Ala or Leu, UB can be efficiently activated by NAE [15,16]. Thus, residues at position 72 of Nedd8 and UB provide a “gating mechanism” to ensure specific pairing of Nedd8 and UB with their cognate E1s in the activation reactions [23].

In this study, we further elucidated differences in NAE and UAE recognizing the C-terminal sequence of UB. We carried out phage selection of a UB library to generate a profile of UB C-terminal sequences that are preferentially recognized by NAE for UB activation. We found that hydrophobic residues such as Ala, Val, Leu, and polar residues such as Ser and Gln can replace Arg72 of UB to enable its activation by NAE. NAE can also accommodate diverse nonnative sequences flanking residue 72 for UB activation. Based on the sequence profile of the UB C-terminus for NAE activation, we identified short peptides that can be activated by NAE and form thioester conjugates with NAE and Ubc12. We further showed that the loading of these peptides on.
NAE and Ubc12 can effectively block Nedd8 transfer through the NAE-Ubc12 cascade for cullin modification.

### Results

**Phage Selection of UB Variants that can be Activated by NAE**

We profiled the C-terminal sequences of UB that are catalytically active with NAE based on a phage display method we developed to engineer UB recognition by UAE (Figure 1b) [24]. In this method we displayed a UB library with randomized C-terminal sequences on the surface of the M13 phage. The phage library was added to a streptavidin plate immobilized with biotin-labeled NAE. Phage particles displaying UB variants recognized by NAE were covalently bound to the plate due to the formation of a UB–NAE conjugate. Upon elution by dithiothreitol (DTT) to break the thioester linkage, the selected phage clones were amplified for the next round of selection. After multiple selections, the enriched phage clones were sequenced to identify the C-terminal sequences of UB variants that are reactive with NAE (Figure 1b).

We cloned the peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) domain as a fusion to the N-terminus of APPBP1, coexpressed the fusion protein with the Uba3 subunit, and purified the PCP-APPBP1-Uba3 heterodimer as the functional NAE based on a phage display method [25]. To verify the activity of PCP tagged NAE, we labeled the PCP domain of the fusion protein with biotin by Sfp phosphopantetheinyl transferase that conjugated the biotin-phosphopantetheinyl group derived from biotin-CoA to the Ser residue of PCP [26]. The biotin-labeled PCP-NAE fusion was immobilized in 96-well plates coated with streptavidin (Figure 2a). Nedd8 with an N-terminal HA tag was added to the plate with ATP and the formation of Nedd8–NAE conjugate was detected with a mouse anti-HA antibody and an anti-mouse IgG antibody linked to horse radish peroxidase (HRP) (Figure 2a). We observed significant immobilization of HA-Nedd8 in NAE coated wells in the absence of ATP. In contrast, only low levels of HA-Nedd8 were bound to the plate when either NAE or ATP was excluded from the reaction (Figure 2b). These results suggest that PCP tagged NAE immobilized on the streptavidin plate retained catalytic activity with Nedd8 and could be used for the selection of the UB library based on the catalytic formation of UB–NAE conjugates (Figure 1b).

We next carried out phage selection of a UB library that was constructed in a previous work with randomized residues replacing 71LRLE76 at the UB C-terminus [27]. Selection of the phage library was based on the formation of thioester conjugates between phage displayed UB variants and NAE immobilized on the streptavidin plate (Figure 1b). Control reactions were set up in parallel in which either NAE was not immobilized on the plate or ATP was excluded from the reaction mixture. Phage bound to the plate were eluted by DTT and amplified for further rounds of selection. The amounts of phage input, NAE immobilization and reaction time were reduced through iterative rounds of selection to specifically enrich phage displaying UB that were reactive with NAE. There was a steady increase in phage enrichment from the selection controls as the selection conditions became more stringent (Table 1). The amount of phage eluted from the fifth round of selection was 1,500-fold and 120-fold higher than the controls missing either NAE or ATP, respectively, suggesting that a substantial fraction of the selected phage pool displayed UB variants that were catalytically active with NAE.

Sequencing of the UB clones after the fifth round of selection showed a convergence of the UB C-terminal sequences recognized by NAE (Figure 3). The gating residue Arg72 of UB is most commonly replaced by hydrophobic residues with aliphatic side chains including Ala, Leu, Ile, Val, or infrequently with polar residues such as Ser or Gln, or large aromatic side chains such as Phe and Tyr. No positively charged residue such as Arg (native sequence of UB), Lys or His was selected suggesting that NAE rejects positively charged residues at position 72 to avoid activation of the wt UB. Out of 58 clones sequenced, only one clone (N27) has a Ser replacing Gly75 suggesting that the Gly-Gly motif at the C-terminal end of UB is important for reactivity with NAE. Compared to residues 72 and 75, residues selected at positions 71, 73 and 74 can be more diverse with a significant preference for aromatic side chains replacing the native residues Leu71, Leu73 and Arg74 at the C-termini of wt Nedd8 and wt UB (Figure 3).

UB clone N26 with the single Arg72Ala mutation appeared twice among the 58 clones sequenced (Figure 3). This clone has the same C-terminal sequence as Nedd8. This suggests that other UB clones in the selected pool with substantial sequence variations can compete with the native C-terminal sequence of Nedd8 for NAE activation. Interestingly clone N11 with a C-terminal sequence 71AAAAGG76 was identified five times among all selected clones (Figure 3). Similar to N11, N52 with the C-terminal sequence of 71AYAGG76 was also selected suggesting that Ala replacement of Leu and Arg at positions 71, 73 and 74 can still lead to NAE activation of the UB mutant. Thus, the native residues at these positions in UB or NAE should only have limited contributions to Nedd8 recognition by NAE.

**Modeling the Interaction between NAE and the C-terminal Sequences of UB Selected by Phage Display**

A comparison of the crystal structures of NAE and UAE in complex with Nedd8 and UB, respectively, showed that Nedd8 and UB bind to the E1 enzymes in a similar mode (Figure 4a and 4b) [16,20]. The C-terminal peptides of both Nedd8 and UB adopt an extended conformation so that the terminal Gly76 can approach the ATP molecule bound at the bottom of the adenylay domain of the E1 enzymes. The C-terminal peptides of Nedd8 and UB are also wrapped around by a crossover loop of their cognate E1 enzymes to guide their entrance into the ATP binding pocket. The side chains of Ala72 of Nedd8 and Arg72 of UB point into the same direction in the complex structure with the E1s. Ala72 of Nedd8 is docked in a well-defined binding pocket of limited space assembled by Aas188, Arg190, Tyr207 and Tyr321 of the Uba3 subunit of NAE. Similarly Ub1 residues Aas574, Gln576, Tyr586, and Asp591 constitute a larger pocket for specific recognition of Arg72 of UB. Except for Ala72 of Nedd8 and Arg72 of UB, other residues including Leu71, Leu73 and Arg74 at the C-termini of Nedd8 and UB are identical, with Leu71 and Leu73 pointing to the opposite side of NAE and UAE while Arg74 is oriented in a nearly perpendicular direction to that of Ala72 of Nedd8 or Arg72 of UB (Figure 4a and 4b). In case of all three residues (71, 73 and 74), there is significantly more open space around their side chains compared to the residue at position 72. This might explain the enrichment of aromatic residues at positions 71, 73 and 74 when the UB library was selected with NAE in this study and selected with UAE in an earlier study [27].

To further characterize the recognition of the C-terminal sequences of UB variants by NAE, we transplanted the C-terminal peptides of UB variants N1, N7 and N27 onto the Nedd8 scaffold and modeled the binding of the Nedd8 mutants with NAE based on the crystal structure of Nedd8-NAE-ATP complex (PDB ID 1R4N) [16]. The modeled structures showed that NAE can accommodate larger side chains such as Met, Phe, Tyr and Trp at positions 71, 73 and 74 at the C-terminus of Nedd8 (Figure 4c–c).
The binding pocket of NAE for the wt Ala72 of Nedd8 is relatively limited in size, so Leu72 in N1 and N7 and Gln72 in N27 seem at first ill-suited to contribute to positive interactions with the NAE residues. However, a more detailed analysis reveals that both Leu72 and Gln72 can be accommodated by the NAE binding pocket after subtle adjustment of their side chain conformations to avoid unfavorable contacts with nearby NAE residues. A crystal structure of the Ala72Gln mutant of Nedd8 in complex with NAE already demonstrated that Gln72 of Nedd8 can fit into the binding pocket of NAE for Ala72 recognition and engage in hydrogen bonding interactions with Arg190 of the Uba3 subunit of NAE [23]. It has been reported that the Arg72Leu mutant of UB can be activated by NAE with a Km of 20 \( \mu M \), more than 20-fold higher than the Km of wt Nedd8 with NAE (0.95 \( \mu M \)) [15]. The Ala72Gln mutant of Nedd8 was measured to have a Kd of 8.75 \( \mu M \) with NAE, 27-fold higher than the Kd of wt Nedd8 with NAE (0.333 \( \mu M \)) [23]. These results match the modeling studies and suggest that NAE can still accommodate either Leu or Gln at position 72 of UB or Nedd8 despite the fact that the native residue being recognized by NAE at the same position is the much smaller Ala.

Reactivity of the Phage Selected UB Mutants with NAE

To assay the activities of NAE with the UB mutants identified by phage display, we subcloned the UB mutants N1, N7, N11, N21, N26, and N30 that appeared multiple times among the sequenced clones (Figure 3). We also expressed the UB mutant N27 since it was selected with a Ser residue replacing Gly75. The UB mutants were cloned into a pET vector and were expressed with an N-terminal HA tag to facilitate the detection of UB conjugates with NAE and Ubc12. We used the ATP/PPi exchange assay [28,29] to measure the kinetics of NAE activation of UB mutants. In this assay, NAE catalyzes the condensation of UB with ATP to form a UB-AMP conjugate coupled to the release of PPi. Externally added PPi labeled with the radioactive isotope \( ^{32}P \) sets the reaction in reverse thus incorporating \( ^{32}P \) into ATP. Radioactive ATP formed in the reaction is captured by charcoal and quantified. The rate of \( ^{32}P \) exchange into ATP reflects the reactivities of NAE with the UB variants to form UB-AMP intermediates. The ATP/PPi exchange assay confirmed that NAE only has limited activity with wt UB with a Kcat/Km of 0.012 \( \mu M^{-1}min^{-1} \) that is more than 3,500 fold lower than the Kcat/Km of wt Nedd8 with NAE (43 \( \mu M^{-1}min^{-1} \)) (Figure 5a and

---

**Table 1.** Enrichment of UB variants reactive with NAE over iterative rounds of phage selection.

| Round of Phage Selection | Concentration of input phage (cfu/\( \mu L \)) | Amount of NAE coated in each well (pmol) | Reaction time (min) | Ratio of phage output Selection/Control excluding NAE | Ratio of phage output Selection/Control excluding ATP |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1                        | \( 1 \times 10^9 \)                          | 100                                    | 60                | 40                              | 20                               |
| 2                        | \( 8 \times 10^8 \)                          | 50                                     | 30                | 100                             | 40                               |
| 3                        | \( 6 \times 10^8 \)                          | 25                                     | 15                | 320                             | 65                               |
| 4                        | \( 3 \times 10^8 \)                          | 10                                     | 10                | 800                             | 70                               |
| 5                        | \( 1 \times 10^8 \)                          | 5                                      | 5                 | 1500                            | 120                              |

\( \text{doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070312.t001} \)
We observed that the kcat/K1/2 of Leu72. The Arg72Leu single mutant of UB was previously found to be active with NAE by site directed mutagenesis [16]. We found that binding of wt UB to NAE is significantly hampered thus increasing the specificity of NAE towards Nedd8. In contrast to wt UB, variants from phage selection can be activated by NAE at a level similar to that of wt Nedd8 (Figure 5a and Table 2). N1 and N7 are ending with the C-terminal sequence 71WLSYGG76 and 71MLFYGG76, respectively, with aromatic residues at positions 71, 73 and 74 flanking Leu72. The Arg72Leu single mutant of UB was previously found to be activated by NAE [15] and we observed that the kcat/K1/2 of N1 and N7 with NAE are both 16 μM⁻¹min⁻¹, just less than half of that of wt Nedd8 (Table 2). N1 and N7 have K1/2 values of 1.8 and 2.0 μM, matching the K1/2 of wt Nedd8 (1.3 μM), or N26 (1.6 μM) with the wt C-terminal sequence of Nedd8 on a UB scaffold. These results confirm that large aromatic side chains at position 71, 73 and 74 of Nedd8 can be well accommodated by NAE.

N26 is the Arg72Ala mutant of UB and was previously found to be active with NAE by site directed mutagenesis [16]. We found that the activity of N26 with NAE (32 μM⁻¹min⁻¹) is approaching that of Nedd8 (43 μM⁻¹min⁻¹), confirming the important role of UB residue Arg72 to prevent UB from cross reacting with NAE. Interestingly, N11 with the UB C-terminal sequence 71LRLR74 was replaced by Ala, was also selected by phage display. N11 can be efficiently activated by NAE with a kcat/K1/2 of 16 μM⁻¹min⁻¹, the same value obtained for NAE activation of the N1 and N7 that have bulky aromatic residues Phe, Tyr and Trp at positions 71, 73 and 74. N11 also has a K1/2 of 2.2 μM that is slightly higher than that of N1, N7 and N26 from phage selection or the wt Nedd8 (Table 2). These results suggest that NAE mainly recognizes residue 72 at the UB C-terminus and has substantial promiscuity towards residues at positions 71, 73 and 74. We further found that N27 with Gly75 mutated to Ser can also be activated by NAE with a K1/2 of 2.8 μM, close to the UB variants with an intact Gly-Gly motif at the C-terminus.

Next we assayed the formation of thioester conjugates between UB variants and NAE and the subsequent transfer of UB variants to the E2 enzyme Ubc12 and the cullin subunit. As shown in Figure 6, all UB variants from phage selection can form UB–NAE conjugates at levels comparable to wt Nedd8, while wt UB could not form conjugates with NAE at an observable level. Among the phage selected UB mutants, several can also be transferred from NAE to Ubc12 including N1, N7, N11, N21, N26, and N30. N11 has the C-terminal sequence 71AAAAGG76, thus the Ala mutations at position 71, 73 and 74 do not significantly affect Nedd8 transfer to Ubc12. N26 has the native C-terminal sequence of Nedd8 and it efficiently forms UB–Ubc12 conjugates. N1, N11 and N26 can give substantial cullin modification at a level similar or exceeding that of wt Nedd8. In contrast N7, N21, and N30, despite them being loaded on Ubc12 by NAE, cannot be transferred to cullin at significant levels. These UB variants have aromatic residues at 73 and 74 that may affect UB transfer to cullin. N27 is a UB variant that can only be conjugated to NAE but does not form a UB–Ubc12 conjugate. N27 has the C-terminal sequence 71YQTYSG76 with Gly75 in the double Gly motif of UB being replaced by a Ser residue. This mutation apparently leads to inefficient transfer of the UB variant from NAE to Ubc12.
sequences of UB variants N1, N7 and N26, can be activated at a level significantly higher than the background with no peptide added (Figure 5b). Kinetic analysis of the three peptides suggested that the peptides have similar $k_{cat}$ values in the range of 23–26 min$^{-1}$, comparable to that of the wt Nedd8 protein with a $k_{cat}$ of 55 min$^{-1}$ (Table 2). In contrast the peptides have $K_{1/2}$ between 88 – 155 μM, which are 100-fold higher than full-length Nedd8 suggesting that other interfaces between UB and NAE significantly contribute to NAE recognition besides the C-terminal peptides of the UB variants. Peptide pN26 has the native C-terminal sequence of wt Nedd8, documenting that the C-terminal peptide of Nedd8 alone has substantial activity with NAE.

We next conjugated biotin to the N-termini of the peptides pN1, pN7, and pN26 and found that all three peptides can form thioester conjugates with NAE based on the Western blot of the transfer reaction probed with streptavidin-HRP conjugate (Figure 7a). We thus refer to these peptides as “Nedd8-mimicking peptides” since they can be activated by NAE and form thioester conjugates with NAE as the wt Nedd8 protein. However, only peptide pN26, with its sequence matching the C-terminus of native Nedd8, showed a strong activity for the formation of peptide−Ubc12 conjugates and the peptide can be further transferred to cullin 3 (Figure 7a). In contrast peptide pN7 only has low activity for transferring to Ubc12 while pN1 showed no observable formation of peptide−Ubc12 conjugates, though both
peptides can be loaded on NAE at a similar level as pN26 (Figure 7a). These results indicate that only the native Nedd8 C-terminus present in pN26 retains the ability to pass through the NAE-Ubc12 cascade for cullin modification. The non-native residues in pN1 and p27 may block the peptides from transferring to Ubc12 and cullin although they do not interfere with NAE recognition.

Inhibiting the NAE-Ubc12 Cascade by the Nedd8 Mimicking Peptides

We rationalized that if the Nedd8-mimicking peptides can be loaded on NAE and Ubc12, they should block Nedd8 transfer through the cascade since the peptides occupy the catalytic Cys residues of NAE and Ubc12 to prevent Nedd8 conjugation. These peptides can serve as road blocks to stop Nedd8 transfer through the cascade. Indeed after we incubated the peptides with NAE to allow the charging of NAE with the peptides, the addition of full-length Nedd8 failed to yield any Nedd8, NAE conjugate (Figure 7b). Furthermore when the Nedd8-mimicking peptides pN1, pN7 and pN26 were incubated with NAE, Ubc12 and cullin to allow the preloading of the peptides to the enzymes of the Nedd8 transferring cascade, the chase of the loading reaction with full-length Nedd8 did not produce any Nedd8 conjugates with NAE, Ubc12 or cullin (Figure 7c). These results demonstrate that the Nedd8-mimicking peptides can be used as inhibitors to block cullin neddylation.

Discussion

UB C-terminal Residues for NAE and UAE Recognition

In this study we carried out phage selection of a UB library with NAE to reveal its selectivity for the UB C-terminal sequences. Since we previously used UAE to select for reactive UB variants from the same library [27], we can now compare the profiles of UB C-terminal sequences that are recognized by NAE and UAE, respectively. The sequence alignment of UB variants from UAE selection showed that all selected UB clones retained Arg72 in the wt UB clearly indicating that the positively charged Arg side chain at this position is essential for UAE recognition [27]. In contrast UB variants from NAE selection have Arg72 of wt UB replaced by hydrophobic side chains of variable sizes such as Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Phe, Tyr, and Met or, more infrequently, polar side chains Ser or Gln (Figure 3). These results match earlier observations that residue 72 provides a gating mechanism for UAE and NAE to differentiate between UB and Nedd8, with UAE recognizing Arg and NAE recognizing Ala or Leu [15,16,23]. The phage selections with UAE and NAE further reveal that UAE has a strict selectivity for Arg72 of UB and NAE selects against Arg and other positively charged residues such as Lys or His at the same position, although NAE readily tolerates variations at position 72 among hydrophobic or even uncharged polar residues (Figure 3).

Based on the crystal structure of UB in complex with the yeast UAE, Uba1, Arg72 of UB is bound to the adenylation domain of Uba1 in a pocket surrounded by Asn374, Gln376, Tyr386 and Asp391 (Figure 4b) [20]. The specificity of Arg72 of UB may arise from the electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions between the guanidino group of Arg72 and Uba1 residues Gln376 and Asp391. The two corresponding residues in NAE for interaction with Ala72 of Nedd8 are Arg190 and Tyr207 in the Uba3 subunit.

| Table 2. Kinetic characterization of the UB variants and Nedd8-mimicking peptides in the ATP/PPi exchange reactions catalyzed by NAE. |
|---|---|---|
| | K_m (µM) | k_cat (min^-1) | k_cat/K_m (µM^-1min^-1) |
| Full-length proteins | | | |
| Nedd8 (LALRGG) | 1.3±0.2 | 55±12 | 43 |
| wt UB (LALRGG) | ND | ND | 0.012 |
| UB variants from phage selection | | | |
| N1 (WLSYGG) | 1.8±0.3 | 30±2 | 16 |
| N7 (MLFYGG) | 2.0±0.2 | 32±5 | 16 |
| N11 (AAAAGG) | 2.2±0.3 | 34±6 | 16 |
| N27 (YQYSGG) | 2.8±0.8 | 26±3 | 9.0 |
| N26 (LALRGG) | 1.6±0.2 | 52±16 | 32 |
| Nedd8 mimicking peptides | | | |
| pN1 (WLSYGG) | 130±41 | 24±4 | 0.18 |
| pN7 (MLFYGG) | 155±50 | 24±6 | 0.16 |
| pN26 (VLALRGG) | 88±25 | 26±7 | 0.29 |
| ND, not determined because of low activity. | | | |

Nedd8 transferring cascade, the chase of the loading reaction with full-length Nedd8 did not produce any Nedd8 conjugates with NAE, Ubc12 or cullin (Figure 7c). These results demonstrate that the Nedd8-mimicking peptides can be used as inhibitors to block cullin neddylation.

Inhibiting the NAE-Ubc12 Cascade by the Nedd8 Mimicking Peptides

We rationalized that if the Nedd8-mimicking peptides can be loaded on NAE and Ubc12, they should block Nedd8 transfer through the cascade since the peptides occupy the catalytic Cys residues of NAE and Ubc12 to prevent Nedd8 conjugation. These peptides can serve as road blocks to stop Nedd8 transfer through the cascade. Indeed after we incubated the peptides with NAE to allow the charging of NAE with the peptides, the addition of full-length Nedd8 failed to yield any Nedd8, NAE conjugate (Figure 7b). Furthermore when the Nedd8-mimicking peptides pN1, pN7 and pN26 were incubated with NAE, Ubc12 and cullin to allow the preloading of the peptides to the enzymes of the
Because of the small size of the methyl side chain of Ala72, Arg190 of Uba3 has no direct contact with Ala72 but rather its role has been assigned to reject the binding of Arg72 of UB [16,23]. Arg190 can have productive hydrogen bonding interactions with a Gln residue at position 72 of Nedd8 as suggested by the crystal structure of NAE in complex with the Ala72Gln mutant of Nedd8 [23] and our model building studies on N27-NAE complex (Figure 4e). Phage selection of the C-terminal Ub library has identified mutants with the Arg72Gln mutation on UB to enable its activation by NAE [N9, N14 and N27 in Figure 3]. Presumably the Gln72 residue on the UB scaffold can engage with Arg190 of NAE just as the Ala72Gln mutant of Nedd8 [23]. The Ala72 binding pocket of NAE seems to be more tolerable of changes than the Arg72 binding pocket of UAE since residues of variable sizes (Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Phe, Tyr and Met) and polarities (Ser and Gln) at position 72 of the UB variants are all compatible with NAE activation.

Phage profiling with NAE and UAE also shows that both E1 enzymes have a relaxed selectivity towards residues 71, 73 and 74 at the UB C-terminus. Aromatic residues Phe, Tyr, and Trp have a high frequency to be selected at these positions by both E1s. This indicates that there should be additional space in both types of E1 to accommodate the bulkier residues. The crystal structures of both NAE and UAE show that the C-terminal peptides of UB and Nedd8 project away from the core of the β-grasp fold in order to approach the ATP cofactor bound at the bottom of the adenylation domains (Figure 4a and 4b) [20,22]. The binding interface of the E1 adenylation domains with the C-terminal peptides of UB or Nedd8 is like a funnel that gets narrow at the bottom to fit the Gly-Gly motif at the very end of the UB or Nedd8 C-termini. Leu71, Leu73 and Arg74 of either UB or Nedd8 only have few interactions with the E1 enzymes. The replacement of these residues with aromatic side chains may help to expand the binding interface of the UB variants with the E1s. Interestingly, N11, the UB variant with the C-terminal sequence of 71AAAAGG76 has also been selected from the phage library by NAE. This mutant is equal to the triple Ala mutant of N26 (Figure 3). Since N11 is activated by NAE at similar efficiencies as N26 and wt Nedd8 both ending with 71LALRGG76 (Table 2), the size and identities of residues at positions 71, 73 and 74 of UB may not be important factors in NAE recognition.

We also found that both UAE and NAE have a strong preference for Gly75 so as to preserve the Gly-Gly motif at the end of UB (Figure 3). Still Gly75 can be replaced by Ser for NAE recognition, and by Ser, Asp, Asn and Gln for UAE recognition [27].

**Variations in the C-terminal Sequence of UB Affect UB Transfer to Ubc12 and Cullin**

We found in this study that C-terminal variations in UB may affect its transfer through the NAE-Ubc12-cullin cascade. The UB variant N26 ending with the native Nedd8 sequence 71LALRGG76 can function as the wt Nedd8 for thioester formation with NAE and Ubc12 and subsequent transfer to cullin-3 for protein modification (Figure 6). Similarly N11, ending with 71AAAAGG76, can also be transferred through the NAE-Ubc12 cascade to modify cullin at a similar level as N26 and the wt Nedd8 (Figure 6). UB variants N7, N21 and N30 have bulky aromatic residues replacing native UB residues at positions 71, 73 and 74. These UB variants are activated by NAE with similar activities as that of N26 and wt Nedd8 based on the ATP-PP, assay (Table 2). At a level similar to N26 and wt Nedd8, they can also form thioester conjugates with NAE and be transferred to Ubc12 to form UB~E2 conjugates, however, their activity to be further transferred to cullin has been significantly reduced (Figure 6). N27 with the C-terminal sequence of 71QTYSG76 has a normal level of NAE activation and thioester formation with NAE, but its transfer to Ubc12 and cullin is blocked, most likely due to the Ser residue replacing Gly75 that alters the Gly-Gly motif at the end of UB and Nedd8 (Figure 6). These results suggest that the variation of UB C-terminal sequence may significantly affect UB transfer through the Nedd8 cascade.

We previously observed that C-terminal variations in UB may affect the ability of the UB mutants to pass through the UB transfer cascade [27]. While UAE-selected UB variants with the native Arg72 and non-native residues at 71, 73, 74 and 75 in the C-terminal sequence 71LALRGG76 can all form thioester conjugates with UAE, UB variants with Gly75 replaced by Asp, Asn, and Gln that are significantly larger than Gly have reduced or defective transfer to the E2 enzymes such as UbcH7, however, UB variants with Ser replacing Gly75 do not affect UB transfer to E2. We further found that non-native residues replacing Arg74 and Gly75 block UB transfer from E2 to E3s of either HECT type for the formation of UB~HECT thioester conjugate or RING/U-box types for self-ubiquitination. These results suggest that the C-terminal sequences of UB and UB variants are closely monitored by the cascade enzymes during their passage through the UB or the Nedd8 cascade.
Figure 7. Transfer of Nedd8-mimicking peptides to NAE, Ubc12 and cullin-3, and inhibition of Nedd8 transfer through the cascade enzymes. (a) Transfer of peptides pN1, pN7 and pN26 to NAE, Ubc12 and cullin 3. The peptides were conjugated to biotin at their N-termini. The Uba3 subunit of NAE formed the thioester conjugate with the peptides. The Western blot was probed with streptavidin conjugated to HRP. (b) Inhibiting the formation of Nedd8–NAE conjugates by the Nedd8-mimicking peptides. (c) Inhibiting Nedd8 transfer to Ubc12 and cullin-3 proteins by the peptides.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070312.g007
Inhibition of Nedd8 Transfer through the NAE-Ubc12 Cascade by the Nedd8-mimicking Peptides

We also found that 7-mer peptides corresponding to the C-terminal sequence of NAE selected UB mutants are reactive with NAE in the ATP-PP assay (Table 2). These peptides can form thioester conjugates with NAE (Figure 7a). Peptide pN26 derived from N26 with the native C-terminal sequence of Nedd8 can be further transferred to Ubc12 and cullin to form covalent conjugates (Figure 7a). Peptide pN11 with the sequence 71VAAAAGG76 is not reactive with NAE (Figure 5b), although the corresponding UB variant N11 can be activated by NAE with a similar efficiency as the wt Nedd8 or N26 (Figure 5a and Table 2) and be transferred through the NAE-Ubc12 cascade for cullin modification (Figure 6). This suggests that the N11 variants of UB should rely on interfaces other than its C-terminal peptide for binding to NAE. The pN1 peptide itself cannot be recognized by NAE for the activation reaction.

Peptides pN1 (71VWLSYGG76) and pN7 (71VMLFYGG76) derived from UB variants N1 and N7 were found to be activated by NAE at a similar efficiency as the pN26 peptide (Table 2). They also form peptide~NAE conjugates at a similar level as pN26 (Figure 7a). However, these peptides are less efficient for transferring to Ubc12 and none of them can form peptide-cullin conjugates at a detectable level. Both peptides have bulky aromatic substitutions of the wt residues at positions 71, 73 and 74. These results again suggest that the C-terminal sequence of UB would greatly affect the activity of peptide transfer to Ubc12 and cullin.

Finally we showed that NAE loaded with the Nedd8-mimicking peptides pN1, pN7 and pN26 is prevented from transferring Nedd8 through the Nedd8-Ubc12 cascade for cullin modification (Figure 7b and 7c). Since these peptides rely on NAE catalyzed activation and peptide~NAE thioester formation to inhibit cullin modification, they should be classified as mechanism-based inhibitors of the Nedd8 transfer cascade. Recently the compound MLN4924 was developed by Millennium as a potent inhibitor of NAE [31,32]. MLN4924 binds to the nucleotide binding pocket of NAE as an AMP analog with a sulfamate group replacing the catalytic Cys residue of NAE and prevent the loading of NAE with full-length Nedd8. Peptide pN26 with the native C-terminal sequence of Nedd8 can also be transferred to Ubc12 to block Nedd8 conjugation to E2. It can be further transferred to cullin to block Nedd8 modification of cullin (Figure 7a). Peptides pN1 and pN7, however, are stalled at the stage of forming the peptide~NAE conjugate and are significantly less active in further transfer to Ubc12 or cullin. Thus, these peptides can function as road blocks of Nedd8 transfer at different stages of the NAE-Ubc12 cascade. They provide a mechanism for inhibiting cullin modification that is distinct from MLN4924. It will be of interest to further probe the effects of the Nedd8-mimicking peptides on the activity of cullin-RING ligase in the cell.

Materials and Methods

Molecular Cloning

Plasmid pGEX-GST-APPBP1-Uba3 was constructed for the coexpression of the APPBP1 and Uba3 subunits of NAE in the E. coli cell with a glutathione S-transferase (GST) tag fused to the N-terminus of APPBP1 [25]. For the expression of PCP-NAE fusion, the gene fragment encoding GST in pGEX-GST-APPBP1-Uba3 between the Nhel and NotI restrictions sites was replaced with the gene of the peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) domain of GrsA [26] with an N-terminal 6×His tag to generate the plasmid pGEX-PCP-APPBP1-Uba3. This plasmid was used for the coexpression of the PCP-APPBP1 fusion and Uba3 to assemble PCP-NAE. For the expression of HA-Nedd8 with an N-terminal HA tag, the Nedd8 gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from pGEX-NEDD8 [25] and cloned into pET28a between the Nhel and NotI restriction sites. The pET-HA-Nedd8 plasmid expressed HA-Nedd8 fusion with an N-terminal 6×His tag.

Protein Expression and Purification

For the expression of proteins with a 6×His tag from the pET or pGEX vectors, the plasmids were transformed into the BL21(DE3)pLYS S chemical competent cells (Invitrogen) and plated on LB-agar plates with appropriate antibiotics. Protein expression and purification followed the protocol provided by the vendors of the pET expression system (Novagen) and the Ni-NTA agarose resin (Qiagen). Typically the cells were grown in 1 L Lysogeny Broth (LB) supplemented with 100 μg/mL ampicillin to an OD around 0.6–0.8 at 37°C. The LB culture was then induced by adding IPTG to a final concentration of 1 mM and incubating with continuous shaking at 15°C overnight. Cells were subsequently collected by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm for 10 min, resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris base, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM DTT, pH 8.0) and lysed with French press (Thermo Scientific). The resulting crude suspension was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm to remove the pelleted cell debris from protein lysate. The lysate supernatant was mixed with 1 mL of Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) and rocked gently at 4°C for 2 hours. The slurry was next transferred to a gravity column, washed once with 15 mL lysis buffer, twice with 15 mL wash buffer (50 mM Tris base, 50 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 8.0) and eluted with 5 mL elution buffer (50 mM Tris base, 500 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, pH 8.0). Eluted protein was dialyzed overnight at 4°C in a 1 L buffer (25 mM Tris base, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM DTT, pH 8.0), followed by a second dialysis the next day with the same buffer for 3 hours. All purified proteins were assayed by electrophoresis on a 4–15% SDS Tris polyacrylamide gel (PAGE) (Biorad) for the verification of their sizes and purity, and eventually stored in aliquots at −80°C. Ubc12 and the cullin3-Rbx1 complex was expressed and purified as previously reported [33].

Biotin Conjugation to PCP-NAE Fusion

Biotin labeling of PCP-NAE catalyzed by Sfp phosphopentethenyl transferase followed a reported protocol [26]. 100 μL labeling reaction was set up containing 5 μM PCP-NAE, 2 μM biotin-CoA, 0.3 μM Sfp in a reaction buffer (50 mM HEPES, 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.5). The reaction was allowed to proceed for 1 hour at 30°C, and then mixed with 100 μL 3% BSA. 100 μL of the reaction mixture was distributed to a 96-well plate coated with streptavidin and allowed to bind to the plate for 1 hour at room temperature. The plate was then washed three times with TBS buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) to remove unbound enzymes before the phage selection reaction.

Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

The transfer of Nedd8 to biotin labeled PCP-NAE bound to the streptavidin plate was analyzed by ELISA. After the labeling reaction, PCP-NAE attached with biotin was bound to a streptavidin plate and the plate was washed with TBS. 5 μM Nedd8 protein with an N-terminal HA tag was added to the
streptavidin plate in the presence of 5 mM ATP in TBS. Control reactions were also set up excluding ATP or using a streptavidin plate without the coating of PCP-NAE. After a 1 hour incubation, the plate was washed and the Nedd8 protein bound to the plate was detected by binding consecutively with a mouse anti-HA antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) (1:500 dilution in TBS with 3% BSA) and a goat anti-mouse antibody conjugated with HRP (Pierce) (1:20,000 dilution in TBS with 3% BSA). The peroxidase activity immobilized on the plate was assayed by the addition of a 1:1 mixture of tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) and hydrogen peroxide solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The ELISA plate was incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes before imaging.

**Phage Selection of the UB Library**

Construction of the UB library in the pJF3H vector [34,35] with randomized C-terminal residues and the display of the library on the surface of M13 phage were previously reported [27]. In the first round of phage selection for UB variants reactive with NAE, biotin-labeled PCP-NAE fusion was bound to the streptavidin plate at a level of 100 pmol PCP-NAE in each well of the plate. After washing the plate with TBS, 100 μL phage displaying the UB library was added to each well in the reaction buffer (1.5% BSA, 1 mM ATP, and 50 mM MgCl₂ in TBS). Approximately 1 x 10¹⁰ phage particles were added to each well and a total of 3 wells were used for phage selection. The conjugation reaction of UB with NAE was allowed to proceed for 1 hour at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then removed from the well, and the plate was washed with TBS-T (0.05% (v/v) Tween 20, 0.05% (v/v) Triton X-100 in TBS) 30 times each time with 2% (w/v) glucose and 10 mM MgCl₂ and 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM NAE, 1 mM ATP, and 1 mM sodium [³²P]pyrophosphate (4.6 Ci/mol). The reaction was incubated for 30 min at room temperature and then quenched by the addition of 0.5 M slurry of charcoal in 2% trichloroacetic acid. The radioactivity incorporated into charcoal-bound ATP was measured by liquid scintillation counting. K_{cat} and k_{cat} values of the ATP-PP₆ exchange reaction were calculated by fitting the data to the Michaelis-Menten equation with the data analysis software Origin. To measure peptide activation by NAE, 0.1 μM NAE was used in the reaction with the concentration of the peptides varying from 10 μM to 1000 μM.

**Biotin Conjugation of the Peptides**

Heptameric peptides with the C-terminal sequences of wt UB, wt Nedd8, and UB variants from phage selection were ordered from EZBiocat (Carmel, Indiana). The peptides were further purified by HPLC to be more than 95% pure. Peptide pN1 (2 mg, 2500 μmol) was added to a solution of N-hydroxysuccinimidyl-6'-biotinamido)-6-hexanamido hexanoate (NHS-LC-LC-biotin, Pierce) (4.4 mg, 7.75 μmol) in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0, 300 μL) and DMSO (300 μL). The reaction was allowed to proceed by stirring overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then purified by HPLC with a gradient of 5–85% acetonitrile in 0.1% TFA/water at a flow rate of 10 mL/min over the course of 25 minutes. Biotin was conjugated to the N-terminal amino groups of the peptides pN7 and N26 with the same procedure. The purified biotin-peptide conjugates were lyophilized, and their identities were confirmed via MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (positive mode); biotin-pN1 (VWLSYG), calculated: 1256.10 Da (MNa⁺), found: 1254.87 Da; biotin-pN7 (VMLFYGG), calculated: 1261.19 Da (MNa⁺), found:1260.49 Da; biotin-pN26 (VLALRGG), calculated: 1138.06 Da (MH⁺), found:1137.78 Da.

**Western Blot Analysis**

To assay the transfer of biotin conjugated peptides to NAE, HA-Ubc12 and cullin 3 through the NAE-Ubc12 cascade, 5 μM HA-Nedd8, HA-UB or UB variants with an N-terminal HA tag was incubated with 1 mM NAE, 1 μM Ubc12 and 1 μM cullin in the presence of 1 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂ and 50 μM DTT in the TBS buffer for 1 hour at room temperature. 20 μL of the reaction mixture were loaded on a 4–15% SDS-PAGE gel (Bio-Rad). After electrophoresis, the protein bands were electroblotted onto a piece of polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Bio-Rad). The membrane was blocked with 3% BSA in TBS buffer for 1 hour followed by incubation with 3% BSA in TBS buffer containing 1:500 diluted 200 μg/mL anti-HA antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and 1:10,000 diluted anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Pierce) for 1 hour, respectively. The membrane was then washed 5 times with TBS-T buffer and 5 times with TBS buffer followed by detection with the ECL luminescent detection kit (GE Healthcare).

To assay the transfer of biotin conjugated peptides to NAE, Ubc12 and cullin, 5 μM biotin labeled peptide, 1 μM NAE, 1 μM Ubc12 and 1 μM cullin were incubated with 1 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂ and 50 μM DTT in the TBS buffer for 1 hour at room temperature before SDS-PAGE and membrane blotting. The membrane was blocked with 3% BSA in TBS buffer for 1 hour and then quenched by the addition of 0.5 M slurry of charcoal and 2% trichloroacetic acid. After centrifugation, the charcoal pellet was washed 3 times each time with 1 mL 2% trichloroacetic acid, and eventually resuspended in 0.5 mL water and 3.5 mL Ultima Gold LSC-cocktail (Perkin Elmer). The radioactivity bound to charcoal was determined by liquid scintillation counting. To assay the activities of the Nedd8-mimicking peptides with NAE, 50 μM peptide was used in the reaction.

The kinetics for the activation of wt Nedd8 and UB variants by NAE were characterized based on the ATP-PP₆ exchange assay. The initial velocity of the ATP-PP₆ exchange was determined in the presence of 0.05 μM NAE with varying concentrations of wt Nedd8 or UB variants in the range of 0.05 μM to 5 μM. The reaction was incubated at room temperature for 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 minutes before it was quenched with the addition of 0.5 mL slurry of charcoal in 2% trichloroacetic acid. The radioactivity incorporated into charcoal-bound ATP was measured by liquid scintillation counting. K_{cat} and k_{cat} values of the ATP-PP₆ exchange reaction were calculated by fitting the data to the Michaelis-Menten equation with the data analysis software Origin.
followed by incubation with 3% BSA in TBS buffer containing 1:10,000 diluted 1 mg/mL streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Pierce) for 1 hour. The membrane was then washed 5 times with TBS-T buffer and 5 times with TBS buffer followed by detection with the ECL luminescent detection kit.

Peptide Inhibition of Nedd8 Transfer through the NAE-Ubc12 Cascade for Cullin Modification

To assay the inhibition of Nedd8–NEDD8 transfer to cullin by the peptides, varying concentrations of the peptides of 10, 20 and 50 μM were incubated with 1 μM NAE in the presence of 1 mM ATP and 10 mM MgCl2 in TBS buffer for 1 hour at room temperature. 5 μM HA-Nedd8 was added, and the reaction mixtures were incubated for another 15 min to allow Nedd8 transfer to NAE. The reactions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting probed with a mouse anti-HA antibody.

To assay the inhibition of Nedd8 transfer to cullin by the peptides, varying concentrations of the peptides of 5, 10, 20, 50 μM and 200 μM were incubated with 0.5 μM NAE, 0.5 μM Ubc12 and 1 μM cullin for 1 hour in the TBS buffer containing 1 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl2 and 50 μM DTT. 5 μM HA-Nedd8 was then added and the Nedd8 transfer reaction was allowed to proceed for 15 min before SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis. To probe for HA-Nedd8 conjugated proteins, the blots was developed by sequential incubation with 3% BSA in TBS buffer (pH 7.5) containing 1:500 diluted 200 μg/mL mouse anti-HA antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and 1:10,000 diluted anti-mouse antibody - horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Pierce) for 1 hour, respectively.

Modeling of the NAE Recognition of the C-terminal Sequences of UB Variants from Phage Selection

NAE-peptide models were generated on the basis of the Nedd8-NAE-ATP complex (PDB ID 1R4N) by replacing the native residues 71–75 with the residues of the respective peptides. In silico site-directed mutagenesis and optimization of the side chain and occasional main chain interactions were carried out with the program COOT [36].
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