Corrosion Behaviour of Copper in LiBr Solutions: Effect of Temperature
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ABSTRACT

The temperature effect of 25, 50, 70 and 80°C on the dissolution of copper in different concentrations of Lithium Bromide (LiBr) from 3x10⁻² to 9M was investigated. Three types of corrosion have been detected: General dissolution due to the formation of soluble complex of CuBr₂⁻ between the two anodic peaks (P1 and P2) of the first and second electro-oxidation process; pitting corrosion which occurs after the formation of P2 and the second type of general corrosion form due to autocatalytic dissolution of Cu⁺. A new type of pitting corrosion known as metastable pitting which depends on temperature and concentration has been detected.
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1. INTRODUCTION

LiBr heavy brine used as absorbent solution for almost all types of heating and refrigerating absorption systems that use natural gas or steam as, energy sources [1]. Water/LiBr (H₂O/LiBr) are the most commonly used refrigerant/ absorbent couple in absorption systems due to their favourable thermophysical properties [2-4].

Corrosion of copper in concentrated solution of LiBr has been studied in previous work under
different conditions [5-14]. With respect to the advances in refrigeration technology double effect and new triple effect, LiBr absorption machines have been developed. The triple effect absorption chillers are the most logical improvement over the double effect. These chillers exhibit higher elevated operating temperatures than simple effect one and double effect pairs machines.

Several authors have studied the effect of temperature on the galvanic corrosion of different metals and alloys in LiBr concentrated solution such as, high alloyed austentaic stainless steel and its weldment [15] at 150ºC, Cu/AISI 304 [16,17] at (25ºC-75ºC), zinc/copper pair working at (25ºC to 85ºC) [10], galvanic corrosion of copper at 140ºC [9], galvanic corrosion resistance of copper and stainless steel [18], copper alloys [9], alloy 33/ copper and titanium/Cu [18], Cu/xNi [19], galvanic studies of brass and bronzes [20] and analysis of Cu corrosion product at room temperature, 70ºC and 110ºC [8,21].

Previously [5-7,22], the corrosion behaviour of copper in different concentrations of LiBr was studied using different electrochemical and surface analysis techniques. The results clarify the nature and conditions of film formation, also the composition and difference between the passive film, the partial passive and porous film. The results also discussed the different forms of corrosion recorded at different concentrations up to 9 M at room temperature.

In the present work it is necessary to extend the study to cover the effect of temperature of 25, 50, 70 and 80ºC on the dissolution of copper in different concentrations of LiBr (from 3x10^{-2} up to 9 M). Determination of what condition that is leading to pitting corrosion of copper and also the different forms of corrosion which occur at different temperature. The study comprised electrochemical measurements and surface examination techniques.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Selection of Sample Material

Pure copper of 99.99% in the form of rod was used as a test electrode having a working area of 0.3cm² in contact with the test solution. Before testing, specimens were abraded with emery paper of increasing fineness up to 1000, rinsed in distilled water and degreased with acetone.
the bromide ions have little significant effect as mentioned previously [5]. While the dissolution of copper can take place through the formation of CuBr$_2$ soluble copper complex [5,6,8,23,24]. The curve shows also that the dissolution current increases linearly with potential, revealing that the dissolution reaction is charge transfer controlled. The appearance of the plateau of current with increasing potential represent that the dissolution reaction is controlled by mass transport of CuBr$_2$ through CuBr film [23,25-27].

By increasing the temperature from 25°C to 80°C, Fig. 1(b), different features can be distinguished. First, the cathodic branch recorded a plateau in the current density which is increased with increasing temperature. Therefore, the cathodic reaction seems to be controlled by diffusion [24]. Second, the corrosion potential is shifted to more negative value as shown in Table 1. Third, the appearance of the two anodic peaks (P1 and P2) of the first and the second electro-oxidation process of Cu (I) and Cu (II) as recorded previously [22]. Fig. 1(b), at 80°C shows that the potential of P1 (E$_{P1}$) was recorded at 70 mV while the potential of second peak P$_2$ (E$_{P2}$) at 950 mV as shown in Table 1. After (E$_{P2}$), the current density is decreased from 10 to 4mA/cm$^2$ suggesting the formation of a protective film of copper oxide. This occurs as a result of oxidation of Cu$_2$O to CuO and/or Cu(OH)$_2$ [5]. The second peak (P2) was followed by fluctuations, which extended to the end of the experiment. These fluctuations are similar to that recorded previously by many researchers [20,28-34]. They concluded that before pitting of metals and alloys some small current fluctuation occurs, which are defined as metastable pitting. This type of pitting is recorded previously in case of stainless steels and aluminum alloys [28,26-39]. It is well known that, metastable pitting is one form of pitting corrosion where pits are initiated and grow for limited small time before the surface become repassivated. Accordingly, the fluctuation recorded in Fig. 1(b) is called metastable pitting, as will be confirmed later. Here the bromide concentration is not sufficient to attack the formed film through pitting corrosion.

Fig. 2(a) shows the effect of 4x10$^{-2}$ M of LiBr concentration at 25°C on the dissolution of Cu. The figure represents that E$_{Corr}$ was shifted to more negative value while i$_{Corr}$ was increased to 0.5mA/cm$^2$. On the other hand both P1 and P2 were formed at E$_{P1}$=100mV and E$_{P2}$ = 1350 mV due to the formation of the higher oxidation state of CuO and Cu(OH)$_2$ [5]. These results reveal that before E$_{P2}$ general dissolution takes place whereas after E$_{P2}$ a sharp decrease in current was recorded. The decrease in current detected can reasonably be related to the formation of the higher oxidation state which is more passive and protective. Increasing the temperature from 25°C to 50°C, 80°C, E$_{Corr}$ was shifted to more negative

![Fig. 1. Cu in 3x10$^{-2}$M LiBr at different temp.; a (25°C), b (80°C)](image-url)
Fig. 2. Cu in 4x10^{-2} M LiBr at different temp.; a (25°C), b (50°C), c (80 °C), d (SEM for Cu at 80°C)

potentials and a maximum shift was achieved at 80°C Fig. 2(c). This is accompanied by an increase in the corrosion current from 0.5 to 0.8 and 1 mA/cm² corresponding to 25°, 50° and 80°C, respectively as shown in Table 1. In contrast, E_P1 and E_P2 were shifted to less positive potential by increasing temperature and consequently, metastable pitting region is increased.

Further insight into the different forms of corrosion could be gained using SEM technique. Examination of the surface of Cu specimen after polarization in 4x10^{-2} M LiBr at 80°C (within the metastable pitting region) is shown in Fig. 2(d).

The picture showed that one of initiated and repassivated pit was recorded without any porous structure. As mentioned previously in experimental, the ultrasonic vibration method is used for cleaning the sample surface. This means that not all the corrosion product are removed and the detection of one or more initiated and repassivated pit give an indication of the metastable pitting. On the other hand, the porosity of the film formed are taken from the micrographs of SEM relative to each other and not deduced. The above result means that along the metastable pitting region, the film cannot reach to a stable passivation where initiation and repassivation are simultaneously occurring.
These results are in agreement with the previous published data [39], in case of dilute Al-Cu solid solution alloys, which concluded that the metastable pit transient during potentiostatic polarization near to, but below the pitting potential showed that the slow repassivation depresses the metastable pit initiation and growth rates which decrease the probability of formation of stable pits.

Considering the solution of LiBr concentration 8x10^{-2} M at 25°C, the curve of Fig. 3(a) and Table 1 represent that there are other shift in $E_{Cor}$ to more negative potential (-194 mV) while $i_{Cor}$ was increased to become 1.5 mA/cm^2. While $E_{P1}$ and $E_{P2}$ were recorded at 60 and 750 mV respectively and the hysteresis loop area was produced. Rising the temperature of 8x10^{-2} M LiBr solution to 50°C, as shown in Fig. 3(b) and Table 1, $E_{Cor}$ was shifted to 200 mV and $i_{Cor}$ increased to 1.7 mA/cm^2 while $E_{P1}$ and $E_{P2}$ were shifted to less positive potentials. The important feature recorded at this temperature (50°C) is the breakdown after the metastable pitting region which yields a small hysteresis loop area where $E_{Pp}$ was recorded at 1300 mV and the repassivation potential ($E_{rp}$) at 900 mV. The increase in the current density which occurs due to the breakdown is associated with a continuous oscillation after $E_{p}$ pit. The SEM the micrograph of Fig. 3(e) at 50°C shows that not all the surface reach to a passivation state where some pits can propagate at different weak points as shown in the dark black area at the upper right region on the picture. Also some regions on the surface are still in the metastable pitting state as clear on the left of the picture in the form of four metastable pits. It is well known the passivation, passive current and partial passive current, protective film, non protective film and the different type of corrosion recorded were gained mainly from the polarization measurement and surface examination using EDX and O.M. The SEM was used to confirm the results of the other techniques. Another rising in the temperature to 70°C and 80°C, Fig. 3(c,d) and Table 1 shows the same features which were recorded at diluted concentrations with some changes in the values recorded at all parameters. From the above, we can conclude that as increasing the temperatures $E_{Cor}$ was shifted to more negative potential while $i_{Cor}$ was increased and both of P1 and P2 were formed with higher rate at less positive potential. After $E_{P1}$, the dissolution of Cu occurs by general form through the porous CuBr and/or Cu(OH)_{2} O film suggesting that, the first electro-oxidation involved a certain mass transport of reactive through the pores in the base film [40,41]. This is expected because the temperature enhances the mass transport by diffusion [2,24,42]. This behaviour is extended until P2 recorded where a protective film of Cu (II) oxide is formed as reported previously [5] and confirmed later in Table 2.

After the formation of P2, Fig. 3, the dissolution of Cu occurs by metastable pitting which in some cases a breakdown in this film occurs and pitting corrosion was produced. This occurs at definite sites on the surface depending on the defective points and the effect of temperature. This is in agreement with a recent published data [28,43] which shows that the process of pitting corrosion in similar condition may be divided into a sequence of steps: First, micro-pits initiate due to local breakdown of the passive film. Second, most of the micro-pits would repassivate after short growth, but some may develop into stable pitting corrosion. At higher temperature, 70°C and 80°C after P2, Fig. 3(c,d) shows that the dissolution was occurred by the metastable pitting only without any breakdown. This fact occurs because the passive film became more protective with increasing the temperature. The passive film formed at lower temperature after P2 is CuO and Cu(OH)_{2} [5] which is more defective and less resistance to film breakdown than those formed at higher temperature which is mainly CuO. This behaviour is in agreement with that reported previously [8,41,44] which show that, by temperature Cu(OH)_{2} is not stable thermodynamically which tends to transformed to CuO by dehydration and forming a passive film of more compact and less porous structure. On the other hand, it is well known that as the solution temperature increases the dissolved oxygen in solution decreases [8,45]. This fact decreases the cathodic reaction rate, which occurs by the reduction of oxygen on the cathodic sites as:-

$$O_2 + 2H_2O + 4e^- = 4OH^- \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, as discussed previously [8,15], the temperature enhances the diffusion and transport of the product to or from the metallic surface. The above three reasons are responsible on the elongation of the metastable pitting area at 70°C and 80°C. This is extended till the end of the experiment without any breakdown where equilibrium in the initiation of pits and repassivation was attained. Another confirmation was gained from measuring the current fluctuation as shown in Fig. 4.
Fig. 3. Cu in 8x10^-2 M LiBr at different temp.; a (25°C), b (50°C), c (70°C), d (80°C), e (SEM for Cu at 50 °C)
Previous studies [28,38] analyzed the current fluctuations of stainless steel and the probability of transition from metastable pitting to stable propagation. Frankel et al. [32] found that, the metastable pitting is transformed to a stable pit when the dissolution current and pit radial reaches 4 mA/cm². Others [46-49] suggested a stable pitting occur after 3 mA/cm². Fig. 4(a) shows the current fluctuations during the first 100 mV of the metastable pitting region of Fig. 3(c) which is recorded at 70ºC. Tang et al. [28] used the peak current instead of the electric current because the initial pit repassivates very quickly. Therefore, the peak current of fluctuation of Fig. 4(a) which is 0.425 mA/cm² is considered as a metastable pitting process. On the other hand, Fig. 4(b) recorded during the first 100mV of the metastable pitting region of Fig. 3(b) which was recorded at 50ºC before the $E_{pit}$. The increase in the peak current of fluctuation to 1.13 mA/cm² as calculated from Fig. 4(b) confirms that the initiated pits are developed which acts on the continuous dissolution and the metastable pitting would transformed to a stable pit as shown in Fig. 3(b).

![Fig. 4. Polarization curve of Cu in 8x10⁻² M LiBr during the first 100mV of the metastable pitting region (a) In Fig. 3(c); (b) In Fig. 3(b)]
Fig. 5. Cu in $10^{-1}$M LiBr at different temp.; A (25ºC), b (50ºC), c (70 ºC), d (80 ºC), e (SEM for Cu at 50 ºC (E = 1400mV))
The curves of Fig. 5(a-d) exhibit the cyclic polarization behaviour of Cu in 10^{-1}M LiBr solution at different temperatures. The curves at each temperature show another two features than those recorded in corresponding temperature at less concentrated solutions. The first feature is about $E_{\text{Corr}}$, this does not show any shift by increasing the temperature from 25ºC to 80ºC, Fig. 5 and Table 1. The second feature is the small difference in potential recorded between $E_{P2}$ and $E_{P1}$. The decrease in this region by increasing the temperature means that general dissolution represents a small part with respect to the pitting corrosion where, a large hysteresis loop area was recorded as shown in Fig. 5 (b,c) and Table 1. Examination of the treated sample at 1400mV (after breakdown potential) of experiment of Fig. 5(b) at 50ºC using SEM, as represented in Fig. 5(e), the surface was covered with a continuous adherent film where some stable pits were recorded confirming that the dissolution occur mainly by stable pitting.

**Fig. 6.** Cu in 5x10^{-1}M LiBr at different temp.; a (25ºC), b (50ºC), c (80 ºC), d (SEM for Cu at 25ºC ($E = 1200$mV))
From Fig. 6 and Table 1 at 5x10^{-1} M LiBr, reveal that with increasing the temperature \( E_{\text{corr}} \) is still at the same value while \( i_{\text{corr}} \) was increase. \( E_{P_1} \) was recorded nearly at the same negative value as increasing the temperature. While P2 was recorded at 25°C and 50°C only (Fig. 6(a, b)) at the same value (180 mV) which disappeared at 80°C, Fig. 6(c). The difference between \( E_{P_2} - E_{P_1} \) is very small at 25°C and 50°C which represents that there is no chance for the general dissolution between them. This is followed by a sharp passive region, which shows a something metastable pitting and the breakdown in the formed film were recorded where, \( E_{\text{pit}} = 920 \) and 950 mV at 25° and 50°C respectively, Fig. 6(a, b). These values are less positive in comparison with those recorded previously at the corresponding temperature of less concentrated solutions. On the other hand, the hysteresis loop area was decreased while the value of the passive current density was increased as increasing the temperature, Fig. 6(c).

Fig. 7 shows the forward anodic polarization of the cyclic of Fig. 6(a-c). The curves in Fig. 7 show that \( P_1 \) was formed at all temperatures while a larger peak of \( P_2 \) was recorded at 25°C. This is followed by a higher decrease in the current after the formation of \( P_2 \) (0.3 mA/cm²). This means that the passive film is mainly CuO where the electrode surface is covered with black CuO film and a small ratio of blue Cu(OH)₂, as represented in Table 2. At 50°C in Fig. 6(b), \( P_2 \) became very small which is followed by slow increase in the current and the partially passive current density was recorded at (2.3 mA/cm²). As shown in Table 2, the species at 50°C is mainly CuO with small ratio of Cu₂O. At higher temperature of 80°C as shown in Fig. 6(c), \( P_2 \) disappeared while a partial passive current was recorded at higher value (6 mA/cm²). Table 2 confirmed the above results where, the species recorded at 80°C are mainly Cu₂O with small ratio of CuO which is partially protective.

To discuss the above results at 10^{-1} and 5x10^{-1} M LiBr it is necessary to mention two reasons which are discussed previously, the first reason represent that increasing the temperature transferred Cu(OH)₂ to CuO by dehydration which increased the passivity and the surface become more protective. This is behind the formation of the passive current density at low value (0.3 mA/cm²) which is recorded previously at 10^{-1} M LiBr at 50°C and 70°C of Fig. 5(b,c). While the second reason represents that, there is a decrease in the dissolved oxygen by temperature and in contrary; the temperature enhances the diffusion and the mass transport of the product to or from the metallic surface [8]. Fig. 7 shows that the passive current density recorded at 25°C was changed to partially passive as increasing the temperature where \( P_2 \) was disappeared at 80°C as shown in Fig. 7(c).
This occurs as previously recorded in $5 \times 10^{-1}$ M LiBr of Fig. 6(b,c) at 50°C and 80°C, the deficiency in oxygen is not effective enough in comparison with the increase in the diffusion and mass transport which occur with higher rates as increasing the temperature. These tends to increase the anodic reaction specially through the CuBr or Cu$_2$O which cannot oxidized to CuO and/or Cu(OH)$_2$ [50]. This confirms that at $5 \times 10^{-1}$ M LiBr, of 50°C the dissolution of Cu is mainly pitting which is accompanied by some ratio of general corrosion. This occurs as a result of the formation of CuO with some amount of Cu$_2$O as represented in Table 2 which confirmed by SEM of Fig. 6(d). While at 80°C the dissolution is mainly general where, the breakdown is occur as a result of the autocatalytic reaction as represented later in concentrated solutions of LiBr.

### 3.2 Concentrated Solutions of LiBr

The anodic polarization curves of copper in 1M LiBr at different temperatures are displayed in Fig. 8. It should be noted that at 25°C, Fig. 8(a), each of (P2), the passive region of low current density (0.3 mA/cm$^2$), the metastable pitting and pitting corrosion were not recorded while a partial passive current was recorded with higher value.

### Table 1. Corrosion potentials ($E_{corr}$), corrosion current densities ($i_{corr}$), the potentials of the two peaks ($E_{P1}$ and $E_{P2}$) and the potential difference between the two peaks ($E_{P2} - E_{P1}$) of potentiodynamic polarization of Cu in different concentrations of LiBr and at different temperatures

| Conc. (M) | Temp. (°C) | $E_{corr}$ (mV) | $i_{corr}$ (mA/cm$^2$) | $E_{P1}$ (mV) | $E_{P2}$ (mV) | $E_{P2} - E_{P1}$ (mV) |
|-----------|------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|
| $3 \times 10^{-2}$ | 25°C | -140 | 0.35 | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| | 80°C | -210 | 0.7 | 70 | 950 | 880 |
| | 25°C | -190 | 0.5 | 100 | 1350 | 1250 |
| $4 \times 10^{-2}$ | 80°C | -220 | 0.8 | 70 | 780 | 710 |
| | 80°C | -215 | 1 | 30 | 520 | 490 |
| | 25°C | -194 | 1.5 | 60 | 750 | 690 |
| $8 \times 10^{-2}$ | 50°C | -200 | 1.7 | 10 | 480 | 470 |
| | 70°C | -200 | 2 | 20 | 520 | 500 |
| | 80°C | -265 | 2 | -20 | 500 | 520 |
| | 25°C | -225 | 1.4 | 40 | 720 | 680 |
| $1 \times 10^{-1}$ | 50°C | -225 | 2 | -20 | 280 | 300 |
| | 70°C | -225 | 2 | -20 | 280 | 300 |
| | 80°C | -225 | 2.5 | -20 | 380 | 400 |
| | 25°C | -302 | 3 | -80 | 180 | 260 |
| $5 \times 10^{-1}$ | 50°C | -302 | 3.5 | -70 | 180 | 250 |
| | 80°C | -302 | 4 | -70 | ---- | ---- |

**Fig. 8.** Cu in 1M LiBr at different temp.; A (25°C), b (50°C), c (70°C), d (80°C)
Table 2. Surface examination of Cu after potentiodynamic polarization measurement in different concentrations of LiBr solutions and at different temperatures

| Conc. Of LiBr (M)     | SEM at 25°C | EDX at 25°C | O.M at t ºC | I mA/cm² at t ºC | Chemical species on Cu Surface, t ºC |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                       | Cu%         | O%          | Br%         | 25   | 50   | 80   | 25   | 50   | 80   |                     |
| 5x10⁻¹ M at 200 mV    | Non porous film | 68.8       | 30.42       | traces | b.>> bl. | b. and red | red>> b. | 0.36 | 2   | 5.95 | CuO>> Cu(OH)2        |
| (at the passive region)|             |             |             |       |       |       |       |      |      |      | CuO> Cu2O            |
| 1M at 600 mV(at the partial passive region) | Porous film | 67.7       | 31.6        | --    | red color with traces of y. and bl. | red > w. | red < w. | 6.52 | 8.2 | 13.87 | Cu₂O >> Cu(OH) and Cu(OH)₂ |
|                       |             |             |             |       |       |       |       |      |      |      | Cu₂O> CuBr           |
| 1 M at 1000 mV        | Non-homo. corr. product | 54.2       | 13.55       | 32.23 | b. and red at some area | w., b. and traces redd. | w., b. | 31.2 | 14.8 | 14.31 | CuBr₂ (due to the del. effect of Br on Cu₂O) |
|                       |             |             |             |       |       |       |       |      |      |      | CuBr, CuBr₂          |
| 2 M at 100 mV         | Quit hom. and less porous than 1M | 56.7       | 23.78       | 19.49 | redd. > w. >>> b. | w., b. | w., b. | 8.5  | 17.6 | 41.35 | Cu₂O> CuBr and traces of CuO |
|                       |             |             |             |       |       |       |       |      |      |      | CuBr, CuBr₂          |
| 2 M at 400 mV         | Non-homo. and more porous | 43.7       | 22.16       | 34.14 | w.>>> b. and traces of other Cu salts | w., b. | w., b. | 13.9 | 24.9 | 38.33 | CuBr and traces CuO and / or Cu (OH)₂ |
|                       |             |             |             |       |       |       |       |      |      |      | CuBr, CuBr₂          |
| 4 M at 400 mV         | dis. loosely adher. corr. product | 61.6       | 1.44        | 36.92 | mainly w. >>> y. and bl. | w., b. | w., b. | 38   | 80  | 100   | CuBr and traces CuOH and Cu(OH)₂ |
|                       |             |             |             |       |       |       |       |      |      |      | CuBr, CuBr₂          |
| 6 M at 400 mV         | Non-homo. And less adher. | 65         | 1           | 34    | W. >>> b. | W. and b. | W. and b. | 75   | 100 | 140   | CuBr, CuBr₂          |
|                       |             |             |             |       |       |       |       |      |      |      | CuBr, CuBr₂          |
| 9 M at 400 mV         | Non-homo. And less adher. | 62         | ---         | 38    | W. >>> b. | W. and b. | W. and b. | 110  | 150 | 190   | CuBr, CuBr₂          |
|                       |             |             |             |       |       |       |       |      |      |      | CuBr, CuBr₂          |

**Abbreviations:** t = temperature  hom. = homogenous  corr. = corrosion del. = deleterious  dis. = discontinuous adh. = adherent redd. = reddish b = black  y = yellow bl = blue  w = white
This occurs as a result of competition between the Cu$_2$O film formed and the dissolution of the metal through the formation of CuBr$_2$ soluble complex. After 850 mV, the breakdown in potential is due to the higher general dissolution as a result of the formation of Cu$^{2+}$ soluble species during the autocatalytic reaction [5,6,41] as

$$2\text{Cu}^+ = \text{Cu}^{2+} + \text{Cu}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

Increasing the temperature to 80°C affects the dissolution where as shown in Fig. 8 and Table 3, there is no changed in $E_{\text{Corr}}$ and $E_{\text{P1}}$ while $i_{\text{corr}}$ was increased with temperature. On the other hand, both the current density ($i_{\text{P1}}$) recorded at $E_{\text{P1}}$ and during the partial passive region was increased. This confirms the above result, which shows that as increasing the temperature the length of the partial current region and the current densities values were increased. Table 2 represented that at 80°C, the species formed on the surface are Cu$_2$O and CuBr at 600 mV while at 1000 mV its CuBr and CuBr$_2$ where these species are formed as a result of deleterious effect of bromide. These confirmed the previous study (22) of autocatalytic dissolution as in equation (2) and or the deleterious effect of bromide on Cu$_2$O as

$$\text{Cu}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{Br}^- = 2\text{CuBr} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\text{CuBr} + \text{Br}^- = \text{CuBr}_2^-$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\text{CuBr}_2^- = \text{Cu}^{2+} + 2\text{Br}^- + \text{e}^-$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

To confirm the above result also the concentration of LiBr is increased to 2M at different temperature of 25, 50, 80°C as shown in Fig. 9. At these conditions, the electrode potential was scanned from -600 to 800 mV only to maintain the decrease in the sample area. The curves of Fig. 9 and Table 3 show that by increasing the temperature, all the parameters of Table 3 were shifted to higher values. As shown in Fig 9 (a) and Table 2 at concentration of 2M at 25°C, the film formed is non-homogeneous with a more porous structure than those recorded at corresponding diluted one. In addition, the EDX analysis recorded at 25°C, as in Table 2, indicate that the presence of Cu, O and Br with atomic concentration percentage of 43.7, 22.16 and 34.14 respectively on the surface at the partial passive region (400 mV).

As increasing the concentration of LiBr to 4, 6 and 9 M, potentiodynamic polarization measurements show nearly the same trend as those recorded at 2 M LiBr. Table 3 represented that as increasing both of the concentration and temperature, $E_{\text{Corr}}$ was shifted to more negative direction and $i_{\text{corr}}$ was increased while $E_{\text{P1}}$ was shifted to less negative direction. On the other hand, Table 2 shows that first, the film formed is non-homogenous and became non adherent where, some of the corrosion products are laid out the surface to the bottom of the cell. Second, the EDX analysis and O.M examination proved that the species present on the surface of Cu after polarization to 400 mV are CuBr and CuBr$_2$. 

Table 3. corrosion potentials ($E_{\text{Corr}}$), corrosion current densities ($i_{\text{corr}}$), Potential of the first peak ($E_{\text{P1}}$) and current of the first peak ($i_{\text{P1}}$) for potentiodynamic polarization of Cu in different concentrations of LiBr and at different temperatures

| Conc. (M) | Temp. (°C) | $E_{\text{Corr}}$ (mV) | $i_{\text{corr}}$ (mA/cm$^2$) | $E_{\text{P1}}$ (mV) | $i_{\text{P1}}$ (mA/cm$^2$) |
|-----------|------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| 1         | 25°C       | -350                   | 6                          | -100                 | 15                         |
|           | 50°C       | -330                   | 7                          | -100                 | 17                         |
|           | 70°C       | -360                   | 9                          | -100                 | 20                         |
|           | 80°C       | -350                   | 12                         | -100                 | 20                         |
|           | 25°C       | -370                   | 7                          | -120                 | 24                         |
| 2         | 50°C       | -375                   | 12                         | -100                 | 34                         |
|           | 80°C       | -400                   | 20                         | -100                 | 60                         |
|           | 25°C       | -470                   | 20                         | -100                 | -----                      |
| 4         | 50°C       | -480                   | 35                         | -80                  | -----                      |
|           | 80°C       | -500                   | 55                         | -90                  | -----                      |
|           | 25°C       | -480                   | 30                         | -80                  | -----                      |
| 6         | 50°C       | -500                   | 42                         | -90                  | -----                      |
|           | 80°C       | -530                   | 68                         | -80                  | -----                      |
|           | 25°C       | -500                   | 60                         | 50                   | -----                      |
| 9         | 50°C       | -550                   | 80                         | 40                   | -----                      |
|           | 80°C       | -580                   | 110                        | 40                   | -----                      |
As it is widely known, as the temperature increases both the charge and mass transfer occur with higher rate through the weaker layer of CuBr, which enhances both cathodic and anodic reactions. In contradiction, the decrease in the dissolved oxygen solubility, which retards the cathodic reaction, is very small in comparison with the enhancement of both cathodic and
anodic reactions at these concentrated solutions of LiBr. These factors are responsible on the general dissolution of Cu at concentrated solutions up to 9 M as a result of formation of CuBr and CuBr2 as in Table 2 through the reaction (2, 3, and 5). The concentration of 9 M LiBr is equivalent to the ≈ 850 g/l which is the commonly adopted as absorbent solution for refrigerating absorption systems.

4. CONCLUSION

4.1 Diluted Solutions of LiBr

i. The polarization curves which are recorded in 3x10^{-2} M LiBr at 25ºC and 50ºC indicates that at 50ºC, the corrosion potential was shifted to more negative value and the second electro-oxidation process was appeared at more positive potential. This is followed by the metastable pitting corrosion which extended to the end of the experiment.

ii. By increasing the concentration of LiBr to 4x10^{-2} M and up to 80ºC, the dissolution of Cu occurs by general corrosion in the region between P1 and P2 and after P2 metastable pitting was produced.

iii. At of 6x10^{-2} and 8x10^{-2} M LiBr, general dissolution also occurs between P1 and P2 while after P2 at 25ºC and 50ºC metastable pitting was formed which is followed by stable pitting corrosion. Increasing the temperature to 70ºC and 80ºC, the general dissolution region between P1 and P2 was decreased and after P2 metastable pitting extended to the end of the experiment.

iv. Further increasing in the concentration of LiBr to 10^{-1} and 5x10^{-1} M, the polarization measurements and surface examination indicates that, as the temperature increases from 25ºC to 80ºC the general corrosion region between P1 and P2 become very small which decreased by temperature. Here general corrosion represents a small part with respect to pitting corrosion at 80ºC, another type of general corrosion is appeared where; a small ratio of Cu_{2}O is oxidized to CuO and the film becomes partially protective.

The above results are controlled by different reasons. The first reason is the deficiency in oxygen soluble in the solution by increasing the temperature which decreases the cathodic reaction. The second is related to the higher increase in diffusion rate and mass transport with increasing the temperature, which tends to increase both the cathodic and anodic reaction. The last reason is related to the dehydration of Cu(OH)_{2} to CuO which is more passive and protective enough to prevent general dissolution up to 70ºC at 10^{-1} M LiBr. Higher than these temperatures at 80ºC and at 5x10^{-1} M of ≥ 50ºC, the second type of general corrosion takes place depending on the temperature and the pitting corrosion was disappeared.

4.2 Concentrated Solutions of LiBr (From 1 to 9M)

At these higher concentrations of LiBr, the second peak, the passive region and the metastable pitting or pitting corrosion were disappeared, these confirm the general corrosion. As increasing the temperature, the diffusion and mass transport occurs with higher rate, which increases both cathodic and anodic reactions. These become enough to neglect the decrease in the cathodic reaction due to the deficiency in the dissolved oxygen solubility. Accordingly, the dissolution occurs mainly with general form through the complexing formation of CuBr_{2}.
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