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Abstract: Al-Tufi is one of the maslahah figures. Al-Tufi defines maslahah based on two things, in urf and shar'i, while in urf is a factor that leads to goodness and benefits. Like trading that brings profit. While according to shar'i maslahah is a causal factor that leads to the intention of lawmakers in matters of worship, as well as customs. The rationalization effort based on the spirit of shar'i arguments in its dialectical form delivered Al-Tufi's thoughts towards the re-actualization of Islamic law in the field of muamalah which was more applicable and found a significant momentum. Considering the need for renewal of Islamic law based on a public benefit is more pronounced in this modern era especially in business, with this Al-Tufi method, Sharia business law will be dynamic and progressive again. Is not the Islamic Sharia down to earth intending to achieve human maslahah.
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1. Introduction

Al-Thufi Trying to get out of the bondage of the nash (Bayani) towards the rationalist-objectivism-oriented burhani. This is what is then called the shifting paradigm.

Based on the hadith لا ضرر ولا ضرار seems to be a pile of forces ضرار 1

---

1The full text of this hadith reads: عن أبي سعيد بن سالم بن خ드리 رضي الله عنه أن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم قال: لا ضرر ولا ضرار means: From Abu Sa‘īd, Sa‘ād ibn Sina n Al Khudri Allah ‘anhu, in fact the Prophet sallallaahu‘alaihi wasallam said: “You must not do acts (mu‘ad) atar) that harm yourself.
capable of changing mindsets that can be said to be radical and liberal. This liberal and radical character then made Al-Thufi accused as adherents of mu'tazilah. It would not be an exaggeration to say that Al-Thufi has sublimated the bayani and bpppurhni traditions as well as a frame for finding benefits. Thus Al-Thufi clearly distinguishes which teachings are technical and which teachings are objective. Because of the purpose, it takes precedence over the technical nature. While benefit is the goal of Islamic law. So when there is a contradiction between the texts and ijma ‘on the one hand and the problem of the other side, then al-Tufi puts the mashlahah over the texts and ijma’.

More than that paradigmatically the statement تقدم المصلحة علي النص والإجماع seems to be a trademark that also confirms the position of al-Tufi in favor of the maslahah. At the same time substantially relying on the hadith لا ضرار ولا ضرار in the form of the spirit of this tradition reflects that al-Tufi still exalts the text as its basis and not abandon it let alone eliminate it. The methodological framework used in solving this problem is takhsis and tabyin, not tarjih, nasakh or istisna ’. Takhsis here is understood in the context of turning from universal texts to more particular texts. In such circumstances it is closer to the inauguration and elimination effort.

Furthermore, the rationalization efforts based on the spirit of the hadith above in its dialectical form deliver the thought of al-Tufi towards the re-actualization of Islamic law in the field of muamalah which is more applicable and finds a significant momentum. Considering the need for renewal of Islamic law based on public benefit is more pronounced in this modern era especially in business, with this al-Tufi method, Sharia business law will be dynamic and progressive again. Is not the Islamic Sharia down to earth with the aim of achieving human maslahah. Are not the rules of fiqh proposal that were compiled based on the purpose of maintaining the maslahah.

and others'. Mother’ Abd Allah Muhammad bin Yazid Ibn Majah al-Qawini, Sunan Ibn Majah, Dar al-Fikr, Beirut, t.th, Juz VI, p. 88.

2According to Mohammad Abid al-Jabiri the epistemology of Islam is divided into 3 types. First is Babani epistemology, second is Burhani epistemology, third is Irfan epistemology. Babani is an epistemology that tries to understand and analyze texts in order to get the meaning that is pronounced lafaz. In other words this epistemology wants to bring out the meaning of zahir and ‘pilgrims who are zahir too. It is expressed through texts by looking at the relationship between lafaz and meaning. The Burhani epistemology is an epistemology obtained from the senses derived from the experiments of the laws of logic. He makes the text and reality and the relationship between them as a source of study. While the epistemology ‘Irfani relies more on instruments of inner experience in wear, sal, and intuition. This epistemology is used by the Kasyafi school of thought. Muhammad Abed Al-Jabiri, Bunyah al-qAql al’Arabi, Beirut: al-Markaz al-Tsaqafi al-Arabi, 1991, p. 245.
2. Overview of al-Tufi and his opinion about Maslashah
A short biography of al-Tufi

Actually the word al-Tufi is an adjective that is related to the word Tawfa which is called the name of a village near Baghdad in Iraq now. So al-Tufi is a person who came from Tawfa. Naj al-Tufi which means "Religious Star", is a nickname or title (laqab) given to the writer by his worshipers. Thus, if our author's popular name is Najm al-Tufi where his real name is Sulaiman Ibn 'Abd al-Qawiyy Ibn' Abd al-Karim Ibn Sa'id al-Tufi al-Shar Shary al-Hanbaly was born around 675 AH and died the world in Palestine around 716 H (1316 AD) another source said that al-Tufi was born in 657 AH.3

Little information that can be known about al-Tufi's life has been researched and published by Mustafa Zayd.4 It seems that the important thing is his ability to free himself, to a noteworthy level, from the influence of traditional schools of law, especially from their criticism and hatred of critical reason and neglect of the universal principles of the Koran, such as protection of benefit human. However, he was born and educated as a Muslim of the Hambali school whose followers are especially famous for his strong aversion to reason and his animosity towards independent free thinkers.

The conspiracy against al-Tufi by ulama or jurists appears to have occurred because his respect for reason was seen as challenging the legitimacy, authority, truth or authenticity of the basic assumptions of their schools of law, especially their assumptions relating to the Sunnah of the Prophet, therefore, the oppression and torture of the mind and its defenders, since the days of al-Mutawakkil (d. 247 H / 861 AD), seems to have made it possible for scholars and schools of law to force their opinions to be followed by sheer force. which, respectively, was given to them, apparently in return for their cooperation with the authorities, especially for their de facto justification of the power struggle. Indeed, some Muslim fiction that is hostile to the usurpation of power by the Umayyads and 'Abbasids, or those who defend the rules of reason or justice, which is in accordance with the principle of universality of the Koran, has been branded, via traditions, as adherents of heresy and enemies. enemy of Islam and may be sentenced to death.

But al-Tufi was formally accused by the ulema as followers of

3Mustafa Zayd, al-Mas\jlahah fi al-Tasyri’ al-Islami al-Tufi, ed2, Kairo, Da\r al-Fikr al’Arabi, 1384 H/1964 M, 6.
4 Ibid., 63-91.
one of the frictions, the Shi’ah and then stated to the political authorities that he did not recognize the legitimacy of the power they seized and also the struggle for political power that he did not recognize the legitimacy of the power they seized and also the struggle for power of dynasties or conquerors of the past, and only follows the legitimacy of the rule of the fourth Caliph and his descendants. This accusation appears to have been intended by his enemies to show that he did not recognize their own laws or religious justifications for the legitimacy of the ruling regime and did not accept the validity of the sources on which the justifications were taken, namely the Sunnah of the Prophet.

**Al-Tufi’s Education and Work**

Al-Tufi is a well-known figure as a lover of knowledge. Aside from being famous for being smart, al-Tufi is also known for his rote memorization. He proved his love for science with his adventures in learning various scientific disciplines in various places from the famous scholars in his day. Among the fields of science he concentrated on were commentaries, hadith, fiqh, mantiq, literature, theology, and so forth. Whereas the places he had visited in his scientific adventures were Sarsari, Bahgdad and Damascus, who at that time were known as prominent ulama.5

At a young age, al-Tufi learned the slander of his birth, studying and memorizing the book of fiqh Mukhatasar al-Khiraqi by Umar Husein bin Abdullah bin Ahmad al-Khiraqi and al-Tufi also studied the book of nahu al-Luma ‘by Abu al-Fathi Usman bin Jani.6 After that he often went to Sarsar to study jurisprudence at Shaykh al-Din bin Muhammad al-Sarsari, one of the faqih of the Hanbali school known as Ibn al-Bauqi.

After that he continued his scientific adventure to Baghdad in 691 AH and succeeded in memorizing the book of fiqh al-Muharrar by Imam Majiduddin "Abd Salam Abdullah Ibn Taimiyyah, then discussed it with Shaykh Taqiu al-Din al-Zarirani. He studied Arabic with Muhammad bin Husen al-Musali, while the study of Jurisprudence he studied with his teacher al-Nasir al-Faruqi and other scholars. The science of hadith he studied with Ibn al-Tabba'i and other scholars, such as al-Rashid Ibn Qasim, al-Hafiz al-Haramy, Abu Bakr al-Falasany in Madrasah al-Mustansariyyah and others.7 From Baghdad, al-Tufi headed for Damascus in 704 AH, where this intellectual struggle with

---

5 Ibid., 72.
6 Ibid., 70.
7 Ibid., 70-71.
experts took place intensely. Especially in the experts on interpretation, the science of hadith, fiqh from the Hanbali school, including discourse struggles here is Sheikh Ibn Taymiyah.  

From Damascus, al-Tufi then swerved towards Cairo. In this place, liberal thinking seems like what happened before. Because of this liberalism in his thinking, history records that al-Tufi was in prison for several days because he was punished by ta’zir by the ruler of Qadi Saad al-Din al-Hadisi.  

al-Tufi as a Muslim, he made the hajj in 714 H, then hajj again in 715 H. While the end of his adventure, al-Tufi returned to Sham and lived in Palestine until he died in 716 H.  

It is not strange if al-Tufi as explained above that he is known to master various fields of science. So from here it is natural from the results of his study, al-Tufi wrote many of his works namely:  

a. Al-Tufi's work in the field of al-Quran and Hadith  
1) Al-Iksir fi qawa’id al-Tafsir.  
2) Al-Isyarat al-Ilahiyyah ‘Ala al-Mabahits al-Usuliyyah.  
3) Idhah al-Bayan ‘an ma’na umm al-Qur’an.  
4) Al-Mukhtasar al-Mu’alin.  
5) Majmu’ tafsir surah Kaf wa al-Naba’.  
6) Jada al-Qur’an.  
7) Bughiyah al-Wasil ‘ila ma’rifah al-Fawasil.  
8) Daf’al-Ta’arud ‘amma yuhimu al-Tanaquza fi al-Kitab wa-al-sunnati.  
9) Syarh al-Arba’in al-Nawawiyah.  
10) Mukhtasar al-Tirmizi.  
11) Syarah al-Mukhtasar al-Rauzah (kitab usul al-Fiqh).  
12) Syarah muhtasar al-Rauzah al-Qidamiyyah tiga jilid.  
13) Mukhtasjar al-Khasil.  
14) Mukhtashor al-Makhsul.  
15) Mi’raj al-Wusul ila ilm al-Usul.  
16) Al-Dzari’ah ila ma’rifah asrar al-Syari’ah.  
17) Al-Riyadl al-Nawadzir fi al-Asybah wa al-Nadza’ir.  

---

8Ibid., 72.  
9Ibid., 74.  
10Ibid., 68.  
11Ibid., 105-106.
18) Al-Qawa’id al-Kubra.
19) Al-Qawa’id al-Sughra.
20) Syarah muqashosh al-Kharqy.
21) Muqaddimah fi ilm al-Fara’idh.
22) Syarah muqashosh al-Tibrizy (fi fiqh al-Syafi’i).

3. The Concept of Maslahah Najm al-Din Thufi and Its Relevance to Sharia Business

Understanding Maslahah

In order to understand correctly about maslahah, it is necessary to pay attention to the development of its meaning, both etymologically and terminologically. The etymological meaning of maslahah has two very different meanings. First, maslahah has the same meaning as the benefits both in meaning and in the use of lafaz. Maslahah is sometimes in the form of mashar المصالح or maslahah. Maslahah is a form of mard, etymologically used maslahah for actions that contain benefits, in majaz mursal, such as trading maslahah, seeking knowledge. The concept of Maslahah Najm al-Din Thufi and Its Relevance to Sharia Business

In order to understand correctly about maslahah, it is necessary to pay attention to the development of its meaning, both etymologically and terminologically. The etymological meaning of maslahah has two very different meanings. First, maslahah has the same meaning as the benefits both in meaning and in the use of lafaz. Maslahah is sometimes in the form of mashar المصالح or maslahah. Maslahah is a form of mard, etymologically used maslahah for actions that contain benefits, in majaz mursal, such as trading maslahah, seeking knowledge.

12) Al-Tufi’s works in linguistics, literature and other materials
1) Al-Su’aqah al-Ghadzabiyyah fi al-Raddy ala al-Munkiry al-Arabiyah.
2) Al-Risalah al-Ulwiyah fi al-Qawa’id al-Arabiyah.
3) Ghafaal al-Mujtaj fi al-m al-Haqiqah wa al-Majaz.
4) Tukhfah al-Adab fi ma’rifah lisan al-Arab.
5) Al-Rahiq al-Salsal fi al-Adab al-Musalsal.
6) Mawa’id al-Khais fi syi’ri imri’y al-Qays.
7) Al-Syi’ar al-Mukhtar ala muhtar al-Isy’ar.
8) Syarah muqamat al-Khariry (tiga jilid).
9) Izalah al-Inkad fi mas’alah kadin.
10) Daf’ al-Malam fi manthiq wa-Al-kalam.

In the last work of al-Tufi entitled Daf’ al-Malam fi Manthiq wa-Al-kalam (Rebuttal to Supporters of Logic and Systematic Theology) which asserts that it makes sense to defend rational principles and theology, and defend against early Muslims upholding, especially the Mu’tazilites.

13) Husein Hamid Hasan, Nazariyyah al-Maslahah fi al-fiqh al-Islami, Cairo, Dar al-Nahdah al-abiArabiyyah, 1991. 5

14) مصدر ميم is the opposite ofصالح . The word means مصلحة orصالح means loss of damage. When it is said صلح means it has lost its damage. See Jamaluddin Muhammad bin Maukarram al-Ansari, Lisan al-’Arab, Cairo, Dar al-Misriyyah, t.t., juz. 3, p. 304. While in al-Munjid it is stated thatصلح يصلح means loss of damage. When it is said صلح means it has lost its damage. See Louis Makluf, al-Munjid fi al-Lughah wa al-lamAlam, Beirut, Dar al-Masriq, 1986, p. 432. Meanwhile according to al-Munjid, المصلحة, etymologically comes from Arabic language which is constructed from ميم , ح, حصل and حصل. Of these four letters, then construct, مصلحة. The word means kindness, justice, piety and honesty. See Fuad Irfan, Munjid al-Tullah, Beirut, Dar al-Fikr, t.t., p. 479.
This means that trading and seeking knowledge can bring about maslahah.\(^{15}\)

According to 'Abdillah M. Husayn al-'Amiri, the word maslahah from the word الصلاح (goodness, usefulness, validity and truth), which means that something is in perfect form (hay'ah kamilah) in accordance with the goals or objectives referred to, as the pen is in its most appropriate (salih) shape when used for cutting.\(^{16}\)

While etymologically the problem, to dissect this definition, there are two figures that the author quotes in this paper.

a. Al-Ghazali

He is a thinker from the Shafi'iyyah formulation of maslahah as "an effort to take advantage and reject harm in order to maintain the goals of shari'ah."\(^{17}\)

b. Al-Tufi

Al-Tufi defines maslahah based on two things, namely in urf and shar'i, while in urf is السبب المؤدي إلى صلاح و النفع: is a factor that brings benefits to the good and benefits. Like trading that brings profit. While according to syat'i maslahah namely: السيب المؤدي إلى مقصود الشارع: causative factors that lead to the intention of law makers in the origin of matters of worship or customs (habits). Maslahah is divided into two: described by lawmakers for their own sake. As beautiful as intended by lawmakers for the benefit of His creatures and the regulation of their affairs as is customary.

In another source, it is stated that in al-Tufi's view the maslahah is also defined as taking advantage and resisting danger.\(^{20}\) As for what is desired by the benefits here according to Imam al-Razi is pleasure and desired damage is pain. He further said that: "The problem is nothing but pleasure or everything that delivers to him and damage is nothing but pain or everything that delivers to him."\(^{21}\)

Starting from a little building formulation masalah both in terms of etymology and terminology perspective above, can be built or conclusions understanding that

\(^{15}\)Hafiny ibn Nafis, Qawaid al-Lughah al-rArabiyah Li al-Talaminz al-Madaris al-Sanawiyah, Surabaya: al-Hidayah, t.t., p. 124-127.

\(^{16}\)Abdillah M. Al-Husayn al-'Amiri, al-Thufi Reflection of Traditional Muslim Juristic Source of Law and His Views On The Priority of Regard for Human Welfare as The Highest Legal Source or Principle, terj. Abdul Basir, Jakarta: Gaya Media Pratama, 2004, p. 101.

\(^{17}\)Al-Ghazali, al-Mustashfa fi Ilm al-Usul, Volume I, Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Illmiyyah, 1983, p. 286.

\(^{18}\)Mustafa Zayd, al-Maslahah fi at-Tasyri 'al-Islami Najm al-Din al-Thufi, Second edition, Cairo: Dar al-Fikr al-rArabiya, 1384/1964 AD, p. 54.

\(^{19}\)Ibid., p. 55.

\(^{20}\)Abdurrahman al-Kaylani, Qawaid al-Maqasid 'inda Imam Syatibi, Damasyq: Dar al-Fikr, 2000, p. 126.

\(^{21}\)Ibid., p. 126.
maslahah which is an instrument or method of operationalizing ijtihad can be digested as a legal determination of a case that is completely lonely and quiet from the provision of texts with and for consideration for the benefit of human life which results in withdrawing benefits and eliminating kemudharatan.

**Al-Maslalah As The Peak Purpose Of Sharia**

Protection of human benefit, according to al-Tufi is the main goal of Islam or the main source of its goal (qutb maqṣūd al-Syar’ī). Protection and affirmation of it in legal matters, therefore take precedence over other legal considerations. That is, it has priority over all traditional sources of Muslim schools, including the text of the Koran, the Sunnah or the traditions of the Prophet, or ijma’, both from Muslim communities and or experts in the schools of law which actually never happened in history. This view, which seems to rule out the existence of this text, is in conflict with the ulama of fiqh in his time. However, his thoughts about this maslahah were widely studied and analyzed by the scholars of fiqh proposal afterwards and many gave birth to doctors in Islamic studies.

Al-Tufi explains, wherever religious texts or ‘ijma’, two things which in theory are the strongest source of traditional law, are not in harmony with human benefit, human benefit must be prioritized from both. This is because human benefit is basically a goal in itself. As a result, his protection becomes the highest legal principle or the most powerful source of law. Al-Tufi’s view of the benefit of humanity is obedient to the conception of maqāsid al-tasyrī which confirms that the law is prescribed to realize and maintain human benefit.

Furthermore, al-Tufi shows the benefit of law or worldly life can be known through natural ways given by God to him, namely by the nature of the experiences of human life itself and by the demands of its own intelligence. This natural way, al-Tufi stated, is the most convincing method of understanding and achieving goals. Therefore, he cautioned, the problem should not be replaced by other dubious methods or sources that might lead to human benefit. Because, something that must be

---

22Mustafa Zayd, *al-Maslalah fi al-Tasyrī ‘al-Islami Najm al-Din al-Thufi*, ed2, Cairo: Dar al-Fikr al-Arabiya, 1384/1964 AD, p. 6.

23Ibid., p. 6.

24Ibid., p. 6.
followed as an authority, al-Tufi stated, is not the conclusions of the jurists, or their interpretations of "religious texts", but strong evidence of reason and visible propositions. In other words, the highest law of the benefit of law or worldly life is not "religious texts" or the conclusions of jurists about it but the demands of reason and intelligence in human life itself.

Related to this al-Tufi emphasized: “it cannot be said that religious law (as stipulated by the schools of law) knows better about the benefit of humans, and as such, it should be taken from its sources (as determined by them). Because, we have shown that the protection of human benefit is one of the principles of religious law (religious texts). It is the most powerful and unique principle. Therefore, giving priority to him to achieve benefit.”

Unlike the benefit of law and human worldly life, al-Tufi allows the benefit of religion and matters of worship to be decided or guided by religious texts.

The notion of al-al-Tufi maslahah is not integrated specifically in the book of fiqh. The idea was born when al-Tufi validated hadith number 32 in the book Arbai’in Nawawi by Yahya Ibn Syarifuddin al-Nawawi. From this discussion then gave birth to an idea that could be said to be a radical liberal so that it was not excessive if there were ulama who wrote about him who considered that al-Tufi was a figure that was rarely encountered even before anyone had even proposed it from among previous thinkers or his time.

The hadith in question is لا ضرار ولا ضرار (do not cause harm or damage to others and do not reciprocate a loss with other losses). This hadith is used by al-Tufi as textual evidence (argument) for the existence of maslahah. Thus it can be understood that the above hadith is also used as a paradigm of the style of thinking of al-Tufi both from the theoretical plain and its application.

Al-Tufi's argument does not rely on the hadiths mentioned

25Ibid., p. 43.
26Abdillah M. Al-Husayn al-‘Amiri, p. 43.
27Mustafa Zayd, p. 113.
above, but there are also a number of propositions that indicate the concern of the sharia ‘towards the maslahah. The postulates are:

a. The word of God in surah al-Baqarah (2): 179.

And there is life for you in (the law of) retaliation, O men of understanding, that you may guard yourselves. 29

b. The word of God in surah al-Ma‘idah (5): 138.

And (as for) the man who steals and the woman who steals, cut off their hands as a punishment for what they have earned, an exemplary punishment from Allah; and Allah is Mighty, Wise. 30

c. The word of God in surah al-Nur (24): 3.

The fornicator shall not marry any but a fornicatrix or idolatress, and (as for) the fornicatrix, none shall marry her but a fornicator or an idolater; and it is forbidden to the believers. 31

d. The Prophet's Hadith:

A person should not buy goods that have been offered by others and also guard the city people (traders) to buy his wares by visiting the village farmers and not marrying a woman (at the same time) with his aunt, because if you do that, then you have broken the relationship among you.

4. The back of Epistemology

Maslahah al-Tufi

In building the maslahah project, al-Tufi relied on four main principles. Each principle is the main framework which is used by al-Tufi as the foundation of his epistemological mind. The four main principles are: 32

1. استقلال العقول بإدراك المصالح و المفسد

Independence of mind by realizing goodness and damage.

This principle states that human reason can independently find maslahah and mafsadah.

32 Mustafa Zayd, p. 127-132. The principles above are also quoted by Saifuddin Zuhri in his dissertation Maslahah and its implications as a source of Islamic law: Study of the concept of Naj al-Din al-Thufi (Unpublished Dissertation Brief). Yogyakarta, UIN Sunan Kalijaga, 2008, p. 5. and also cited by Munawir Sadzali as al-Thufi’s worshiper in his book, Contextualization of Islamic Teachings, Jakarta: Temprint, 1995, p. 287, also Nasrun Harun quotes in His Book Usul Fiqh I, Jakarta: Logos Discourse of Science, 1997, p. 1126.
However, the ratio indenpedence in finding maslahah is not in all fields including worship, al-Tufi limits the area of Muamalat and customs. This principle puts forward the role of this ratio clearly different compared to the other usuliyyun who recognize maslahah as a source of law after being shown by law and justified by the text.

Maslahah is a valid shari'a proposition that is independent of the text.

This principle is a continuation of the above principle, from this expression it can be understood that in the view of at-Thufi, Maslahah is an independent syar'i proposition. In the sense of Maslahah al-Tufi does not have a dependency on the testimony or confirmation of the text.

The object of the Maslahah discussion is the Muamalat Field and the kebiasaan adat (customs) not included in the Worship field.

Maslahah as the syar'i proposition the object of the claim is limited to the field of muamalah and ‘adah. Thus maslahah according to al-Tufi cannot touch in the area of ritual (worship). In the field of worship according to al-Tufi, only Allah Almighty has the right. Here reason has no authority. In other words, reason only continues the sustainability aspect of worship itself. While aspects of the change are in the muamalah and adat areas. This is composed by the expression al-Tufi which states as haqqu al-musyari. This means that for al-Tufi human reason is unable to penetrate the secret of the Maslahah behind worship.

Maslahah is the most powerful shar'i proposition.

Al-Tufi admitted that there were nineteen propositions that could be used as legal methods. But in the eyes of al-Tufi the most powerful of the nineteen are texts and ijma '. But when there is a conflict between maslahah with texts and ijma ', the path taken by al-Tufi is to win the maslahah. From this reality, it can be understood that as a legal proposition, it is not only functional when the texts and ijma do not confirm it. But it is also functional when the texts and ijma conflict occur. This indicates that al-Tufi is more concerned with maslahah compared to other propositions. In such circumstances, Husein Hamid Hasan concluded that the problem for al-Tufi was the most powerful syar'i proposition.33

It seems that Husein Hasan Hamid’s assessment is not excessive considering that maslahah is an objective in Islamic legal legislation. While the texts and ijma are the

---

33Husein Hamid Hasan, Nazariyyah al-Maslahah fi al-Fiqh al-Islamy, Cairo: Dar al-Nahdah al-abiArabiyyah, 1991, p. 535.
means to achieving that goal. So if there is a conflict between and nas} ijma 'on the one hand with the maslahah on the other hand, the maslahah must take precedence because the goal is more important than the means. While the texts and ijma 'are nothing more than a means to an end in itself namely benefit. This reason seems to coincide with what al-Tufi revealed "because maslahah is the goal of a mukallaf in establishing the law, then putting aside the proposition as a means of maslahah (as a goal) becomes urgent because the goal must take precedence over the means.

From this it can be seen that the tendency of al-Tufi to prioritize the maslahah compared to the arguments is very obvious. Thus it can be analyzed that the problem here is to act as the substance of the law itself. Whereas the texts and ijma and other propositions become the role of means to achieve the substance itself.

Al-Tufi's epistemological footing above has its own uniqueness compared to other propositions. For example, for example, with al-Syatibi who indeed from the beginning developed his problem with his istiqra meaning model and his al-Shariah maqashid. As for al-Ghazali who made the mas} ah} the ah is still very thick in the shari'i frame. However, al-Tufi here has a different side diametrical when discussing the epistemological backrest, given that in establishing Islamic law, the involvement of reason in establishing law in al-

Tufi's thought gets its portion significantly.

5. Rules relating to Maslahah

1. جلب المصالح و دفع المفاسد

Reaching benefit and rejecting harm

2. دفع الضرر أولي من جلب المصالح

Rejecting harm is more important than achieving benefit

3. دفع المفاسد مقدم علي جلب المصالح

Menolak kerusakan didahulukan daripada meraih kemaslahat

4. المصلحة العامة مقدمة علي المصلحة الخاصة

General benefit takes precedence over special benefit

5. لا ضرار ولا ضرار

It cannot be made easy and it should be made easy.34

6. تصرف الإمام علي الرعية منوط بالمصلحة

The actions of the Imam (the authority holder) towards the people must follow the benefits.35

7. الضرر يزال

The kemudharatan must be eliminated.36

34Ahmad al-Nadwi, al-Qawaid al-Fiqhiyyah, Beirut: Dar al-Qalam, 1420 H / 2000 CE, p. 288. للضرر: there is a benefit for oneself for others to make it easy, للضرار: there is no benefit for oneself and for others to make it easy.

35Al-Syuyuthi, al-Asbah wa al-Nazha’ir, tahqiq: Muhammad al-Mu’tashim bi Allâh al-Baghdadi, Beirut: Dar al-Kitab al-rArabi, 1978, p. 240.

36It is a basic principle, see A.Jazuli, Rules of Islamic Law Solving Practical Problems, Jakarta: Pranada Media, 2000, p. 33. There are several derivative rules from the above basic principles of faith, namely:
6. Al-Maslahah Najm al-Tufi and Its Relevance to Sharia Business

The relevance of al-Tufi’s maslahah to Sharia business has actually been clearly involved in the epistemological footing, number three, which is used as the foundation of its mega-project maslahah which is clearly stated: or the method of operationalization is only Muamalat (including business) not matters of worship. In the matter of worship al-Tufi invited religious texts to guide him.

The existence of al-Maslahah in Sharia business in the Indonesian context is clearly seen in the principles of entering into an agreement. In Sharia contract law, there are several principles that must be based on them, while those principles are the principle of al-Maslahah. With the principle of benefit is meant that the contract made by the parties aims to realize the benefit for them and does not cause harm or burden. If in the implementation of the contract there is a change in circumstances that can not be known in advance and bring a fatal loss to the parties concerned so that it is burdensome, then the obligations can be changed and adjusted to a reasonable limit.

The doctrine of the burdensome situation, then the obligations can be changed by the judge at the request of the party.

Jurisprudence principles that are not used as a framework for thinking are: (kemudharatan must be removed).

In reality in Muamalat (Islamic) practitioners in Indonesia, due to Sharia financial institutions, both Sharia Banking, Sharia Insurance, Sharia Leasing, Sharia Venture Capital, Sharia Bonds and so on), are still new and in a state environment that does not (not yet) establish Sharia, then often face difficult situations. In a situation like this, the National Sharia Council of the Indonesian Ulema Council often issues fatwas against the background of dharurah in terms of benefit.

To see how the significance of al-Maslahah as an instrument in establishing the Sharia business, then knowing the principles of fiqh as its medium becomes important. Among the principles of fiqh which reads this rule aims to resolve the Shariah maqashid by refusing mafsadah or at least alleviating it. So it is not surprising that Ahmad al-Nadwi mentioned that the application of the above rules covered a large field in fiqh.

---

37Samsul Anwar, Hukum Perjanjian Syariah: Studi Tentang Akad Dalam Muamalat, Jakarta: Rajawali Press, 2007, p. 90.
38Samsul Anwar, p. 321.
and could even cover all the existing fiqh material. An example that can be proposed in this matter is the prohibition in the Sharia business of hoarding goods for basic needs of the community because the Sharia business considers that such actions could result in harm to the people. In the ban there is a problem for the community because of the rejection of harm or withdrawal of the benefits of its main purpose to achieve the benefit behind both. But with this example a question can be asked about the hoarding by Bulog. It is important to know that the hoarding by Bulog in order to maintain price stability and guarantee the availability of basic foodstuffs, such as rice, the storage and storage of rice by Bulog can be justified even required even if it contradicts the sound of the hadith "cannot hold property unless a person is persecuted". This is the application of al-Tufi's explanation that wherever religious texts or ijma " are theoretically the most powerful sources of traditional law - out of harmony with human benefit, human benefit must be prioritized from both (religious text and ijma ").

7. Critical Study of the Epistemology of the Maslahah al-Tufi

This priority and precedence over Nash is pursued both the passage of qat'i in its sanad and matan or zhanni both. If we look at al-Tufi's explanation with the four principles above, the writer can observe that al-Tufi is actually playing in the level of Usul Fiqh, he presupposes a clash between the texts between or ijma 'on the one hand and the problem on the other hand. The problem is, is it true that there was a clash that he meant. Until now al-Tufi has not revealed a single example of the conflict between maslahah and nash and ijma '. Indeed, al-Tufi in expressing his opinion is more theoretical and in his discussion, al-Tufi seems poor example.

It must also be understood that the theological tendencies espoused by al-Tufi and those who agree with him in terms of the power of reason. According to him, reason has the power and independence in choosing and determining which is independent of the text. By borrowing a new trend of ushu fiqh studies which is correlated with kalam science, it will feel something odd. How is it possible that al-Tufi, who is considered a follower of the Imam Hanbal school, in the knowledge of kalam, is in line with mu'tazilah. Maybe the writer was wrong, but at least the strangely atmospheric atmosphere was felt. It must also be stressed that the problem referred to by al-Tufi is not the problem referred to by Imam Malik. Imam Malik uses the theory of maslahah mursalah which although the issue is not contained in certain texts but is in line with the spirit (spirit) of the texts as a whole. This is different from al-Tufi which releases maslahah dependence on texts. Maslahah meant by al-Tufi only
ends in reason alone. al-Tufi views that the texts are in conflict.

This "dangerous" statement needs to be clarified, because the author believes that al-Tufi does not intend to state that the verses of the Koran contradict each other. Perhaps what is meant by al-Tufi, is that there are verses that seem to contradict their birth but are not in fact contradictory. Speaking of maslahah, according to the author, maslahah is relative, for example a woman seizes an object. According to sharia law, the item must be returned to its owner. If lost, he must replace it. The problem is, how much does he have to replace the missing object? Some scholars argue that he replaced it according to the market price on the day of seizure. Some other scholars argue, he must replace it according to the price when he replaced it. Other scholars argue, replaced with the highest price of the two prices.

8. Concluding Remarks

al-Tufi defines maslahah based on two things, both urf and shar'i, while in urf is a factor that leads to goodness and benefits. Like trading that brings profit. While according to shar'i maslahah, namely: is a causal factor that leads to the intention of lawmakers in matters of worship, or customs. maslahah is divided into two: those described by lawmakers for themselves, such as worship intended by lawmakers for the benefit of his creatures and the regulation of their affairs such as custom.

In building his mega project maslahah, al-Tufi relied on four main principles. Each principle is the main framework which is used by al-Tufi as the epistemological foundation, while the four principles referred to above are:

1. المفسد المصلحة دليل شرعي مستقل عن النصوص
2. المصلحة محلة العمل بالمصلحة هو المعاملات دون العبادات
3. المصلحة أقوى أدلة الشرع الموجب
4. محلة العمل بالمصلحة هو الأعمال دون الصلوات

The relevance of al-Tufi's maslahah to the law is actually already evident in its epistemological footing number three being used as the foundation of the mega building of its maslahah project, which is clearly stated: mijel al'mul al'mul al'mul al'mul ijtihad is only Muamalat (including business) not matters of worship. In the matter of worship at-Thufi let religious texts guide him.
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