Investigation of mechanical properties, formability, and anisotropy of dual phase Mg-7Li-1Zn
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Abstract
In this article, the mechanical properties, microstructure, forming limit diagram (FLD) and anisotropy of dual phase Mg-7Li-1Zn alloy have been evaluated by a uniaxial tensile test at three directions, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), optical microscopy (OM) and hemispherical punch test at ambient temperature. The optical microscopy images showed that the microstructure of as-cast LZ71 alloy possesses a dual phase microstructure such as β-Li matrix with body-centered cubic (BCC) structure and a partitioned α-Mg phase with hexagonal closest packed (HCP) structure in lath shape. After rolling process, the α-Mg phase has been elongated and arranged in the rolling direction and anisotropy at different directions increased, but after full annealing, microstructure arranged more uniform and changed from elongated to the uniform structure which this is the factor that reflects the reduction of anisotropy. FLD shows the limiting surface strains that sheet metal can endure before the start of localized necking and fracture at different deformation modes in tension-tension and tension-compression loading paths. The FLD of Mg-7Li-1Zn showed that this material has desirable formability due to the BCC structure with high slip system in the ambient temperature. Also, the results of the tensile test matched with the
obtained FLD. Results of SEM revealed many dimples and some flat and cleavage planes. They showed the combination of ductile and brittle fracture, but the ductile fracture is the dominant fracture mechanisms for Mg-7Li-1Zn. In summary, in the dual phase, Mg-Li alloys via controlling the weight percent of lithium and other alloying elements can be achieved the desired mechanical properties and used for various applications.
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1. **Introduction**

Magnesium alloys with a density of about 1700 Kg/m$^3$ have the lowest density of applied metals. For example, magnesium density is about 20%, 40% and 66% of steel, titanium, and aluminum density, respectively. Also, pure magnesium is one of the most abundant metals and has favorable properties such as high durability, very high strength to weight ratio, high absorption [1, 2]. Moreover, magnesium alloys are recyclable and light. They show desirable heat dissipation and electromagnetic shielding [1, 3-5]. Magnesium alloys are a hexagonal close-packed (HCP) structure, which leads to reduced formability in ambient temperature [3, 5-7]. Magnesium-Lithium alloy is one of the most favorable engineering metal due to its incredible properties, such as high formability and ultralow density [3, 8-10]. According to magnesium-lithium binary phase diagram, when the lithium quantity is higher than 5.7 wt.% and lower than 11 wt.% (between 5.7 and 11 wt.%), the microstructure is formed of the dual $\alpha$-Mg $+ \beta$-Li dual phase. The $\alpha$-Mg phase of the HCP structure precipitates in the matrix of the $\beta$-Li, which is of a body center cubic (BCC) structure [11, 12]. Generally, for Mg LZ dual phase alloys, $\alpha$-Mg phase with HCP structure indicates two independent slip systems and $\beta$-Li phase with BCC structure presents at least twelve
autonomous slip systems at room temperature [8]. Therefore, it can be concluded that more deformation at room temperature occurs in β-Li phase because β-Li phase has a higher ductility and lower strength compared to α-Mg phase [13, 14]. So, for Mg-Li dual phase alloy, a high fraction of β-Li phase leads a high ductility of the alloy. This phenomenon causes the decrease of the critical shearing stress of the prismatic slip, and the slip systems are more easily activated [12]. So, Mg-Li alloys are lighter and have higher formability than the Mg at room temperatures [3, 8, 11]. These alloys show promise for the production of lightweight, portable electronic products.

Furthermore, Mg-Li alloys exhibit vast application potentials in the fields of aerospace, electronics, military industry, etc. [15, 16]. Chiu et al. investigated the effect of rolling and heat treatments of Mg LZ91 [17]. They saw that after annealing of as-rolled Mg LZ91 the α-Mg phase with HCP structure drawn at the rolling direction and β-Li phase displayed recrystallized structure [17]. Also, the values of microhardness of both phases proportional to the cold working rate increased [17]. Most investigation on Mg-Li alloys are about the microstructure, heat treatment and mechanical properties (similar to Chiu research) [3, 8, 18] and the effect of adding different elements on mechanical and microstructural properties of the dual phase Mg-Li alloys [18-20], but there is no research whose primary focus is ductility and forming behavior. The ability of sheet metals to be formed into the desired shape without failure and necking is considered as sheet metal formability [21-23]. The investigation of the formability for Mg-Li alloys can be enhanced and improved the potential and practical efficacy for practical applications in industry.

The forming limit diagram (FLD) is the most popular criterion used to predict the necking initiation and the possibility of success and failure of sheet metal forming processes [24]. This plot demonstrates the combinations of major and minor surface strains that lead to fracture or necking.
of the alloy during the forming process [25]. The FLDs could be obtained experimentally and theoretically [26, 27]. The most well-known test to achieve FLDs empirically is an out-of-plane test (e.g., Nakazima test) in which a sheet metal specimen is fixed between circular die rings and stretched by a hemispherical punch [28, 29]. In this study, the mechanical properties, microstructure, fracture mechanism, and forming limit diagram of the as-cast Mg-Li dual phase have been investigated at the room temperature. So, the uniaxial tensile test, scanning electron microscopy, optical microscopy, and hemispherical punch test have been used. The present work presents new results, and this paper adds them to the existing literature.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Preparing the raw material

The commercial pure Mg, Li, and Zinc were used to prepare Mg-7Li-1Zn alloy through the casting process. The flat ingots were heated to about 770°C in an induction furnace under an argon atmosphere, and then the melt was poured into a preheated steel mold. The chemical composition of the cast alloy was (wt%) Mg 6.9Li and 1.1Zn. The initial 10 mm thickness flat ingot was flat-rolled at a rolling temperature of 250 °C to a final thickness of 2 mm with a total reduction of around 80% in 8 passes by 10% reduction in thickness for each rolling pass. Then, the sheet was annealed at 350 °C for 2 hours.

2.2. Microstructure observation

The microstructure analysis was performed using an optical and scanning electron microscope (OM and SEM). Before using optic and scanning electron microscopy, it is necessary to prepare the specimens. The samples (e.g., both the cast and as rolled Mg-7Li-1Zn) were cut by wire cut
machining in the rolling direction. Then, they were grinding and polishing via sandpaper numbers 80 to 400 and using of a mixture of alumina powder with a particle size of 0.3 μm, water, and soap, respectively and finally the samples were etched. The XRD experiments were performed by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Bruker Advance D8) using Cu kα radiation (λ= 0.1542 nm). The X-ray was operated at 40 kV, 0.5 Step Size and 40 mA, and the data were collected at room temperature with a 2θ range between 30 and 80. The Williamson–Hall formula and X’Pert High Score software were used to analyze the XRD data. Also, the Williamson Hall relationship (Equation 1) was used to calculate the crystallite size of processed samples after annealing and rolling. The basis of this formula is based on Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM). In equation 1, β, θ, λ, ε, D and K are FWHM, diffraction angle, the wave length of X-Ray, lattice strain, crystallite size and constant about 0.9, respectively. Sigma plot software was used to fit the peak curves and fitting the curves was done using Gaussian diagrams. An example of curve fitting by the Gaussian function are showed in Fig. 1. The first four intensity peaks were investigated to obtain cosθ in terms of sin θ using the Williamson Hall relationship in terms of theta. In the Fig. 2, cosθ in terms of sin θ were presented.

$$β \cos θ = \frac{Kλ}{D} + 4ε \sin θ$$

(1)

2.3. Mechanical characterization

The mechanical properties of the as-cast Mg-7Li-1Zn, after rolling process and full annealing heat treatment, were studied by uniaxial tensile tests. The uniaxial tensile test samples were prepared oriented along the rolling direction according to the ASTM E08 standard. The gauge length and width of the tensile test specimens were 10 and 4 mm, respectively. The uniaxial tensile tests were
done at an initial strain rate of 0.5 mm/min at room temperature using a SANTAM tensile testing machine. Also, to define the fracture mode for the as-cast, as-rolled, and as-annealed samples, a VEGA TESCAN SEM studied the fracture surfaces of the uniaxial tensile test samples.

2.4. Anisotropy and Formability

The different anisotropy parameters and coefficients for dual phase Mg-7Li-1Zn alloy after rolling and thermal treatment were determined experimentally. For this purpose, the uniaxial tensile test was performed in all three directions (RD, 45° and TD) and the longitudinal and transverse strain, were measured after 15% elongation. According to Equation (2), R-value was calculated as the thickness strain to transverse ratio. A thickness strain was obtained using constant volume law in plastic deformation. By calculating the anisotropy coefficients in three directions, according to Equation (3) and (4), two other parameters such as average plastic strain and planer anisotropy, could be calculated.

\[
R_{\text{value}} = \frac{\varepsilon_w}{\varepsilon_t} = \frac{\varepsilon_w}{-\varepsilon_l - \varepsilon_w}
\]

\[
m = \frac{r_{RD} + r_{TD} + 2r_{45}}{4}
\]

\[
\Delta r = \left| \frac{r_{RD} + r_{TD} - 2r_{45}}{2} \right|
\]

The Nakazima test was utilized to draw the FLD. The stages of determining the FLD included preparing samples, marking the samples, doing the biaxial stretch-forming tests, reading strains, and finally drawing the corresponding FLD [24, 30]. According to Fig. 3 samples with different geometries (include rectangular samples with different widths) were used to obtain the FLD. The
specimens were cut by wire cut machine from the sheet metals 50 mm in length, corresponding to one-fourth of ISO 12004 standard dimensions [21, 24]. Also, as shown in Fig. 3, to mark the circular grid with one inch (2.5 mm) diameter and a depth of 1 micrometer over the surface of fully annealed Mg LZ 71, the electrochemical procedure was used. For stretching sheet samples, a SANTAM S20 50-ton constant speed hydraulic press machine equipped with a displacement-force curve and two mm/min speed was employed. As shown in Fig. 4, an abrupt change in the load-displacement diagram was utilized as the stopping criterion in the test. Typical deformed samples after Nakazima test are shown in Fig. 5. The circular grids were deformed to elliptic shapes. According to Fig. 6 after conducting the out-of-plane stretching analysis for each sample, the limited strains were determined from the major and minor axes of the ellipse, located nearest to the localized necking region, using a traveling microscope with an accuracy of 1 μm. The major and minor true strains were calculated via Eqs. (5-8):

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{Major}} = \frac{a - d}{d} \times 100
\]  

(5)

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{Minor}} = \frac{b - d}{d} \times 100
\]  

(6)

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{Major}} = \ln(1 + \varepsilon_{\text{Major}})
\]  

(7)

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{Minor}} = \ln(1 + \varepsilon_{\text{Minor}})
\]  

(8)

“a,” “b,” and “d” introduce the ellipse’s major and minor diameters and the initial circle diameter, respectively.

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Microstructure and mechanical properties

Fig. 7 demonstrated the optical microstructure of the as-cast dual phase Mg-7Li-1Zn sample. The microstructure of the as-cast Mg-7Li-1Zn alloy showed a dual phase microstructure such as α-Mg (Mg solid solution) with HCP structure and β-Li (Li solid solution) phase with BCC structure. The bright and dark phase of the microstructure specified α-Mg and β-Li, respectively. According to Fig. 7 the microstructures of the as-cast Mg-7Li-1Zn have included a β-Li matrix phase and a partitioned α-Mg phase in lath shape. Fig 8 demonstrated the microstructure of the as-rolled sample (e.g., Fig. (a) and (b)), and as-annealed samples (e.g., Fig. (c) and (d)). Fig. 8(a) and (b) showed that after rolling α-Mg phase was elongated and arranged in the rolling direction (RD) and the lath form was removed. After annealing the recrystallization occurred in the β-Li matrix phase, and also α-Mg phase arranged more uniform and changed from elongated to uniform structure [17]. Fig. 9, showed the X-Ray diffraction of as annealed dual phase Mg-7Li-1Zn sample. This image also shows two main phases α-Mg and β-Li and MgLi₂Zn phase. The most intense BCC-Mg and weak Magnesium oxide diffraction peaks were identified. Also, the presence of the MgLi₂Zn phase increased the strength and hardness which would refer to the impact of this phase on mechanical properties, although its amount was very small and not visible on optical microscope images [16, 31].

The engineering stress-strain curves for the as-cast Mg-7Li-1Zn, the as-rolled, and the fully annealed material are demonstrated in Fig. 10. According to Fig. 10, the elongation and ultimate tensile strength (UTS) were determined. The elongation of the as-cast Mg-7Li-1Zn sample was very high compared to other Mg alloys, although the as-cast samples have a defect such as porosity, non-uniform structure, etc. For Mg-7Li-1Zn dual phase alloys, α-Mg phase with HCP structure...
indicated two independent slip systems and \( \beta \)-Li phase with BCC structure presents at least twelve autonomous slip systems at room temperature, so Mg alloys containing the BCC structure such as Mg-Li could have more ductility [8]. Also, it could be concluded that more elongation at room temperature that was occurred due to \( \beta \)-Li phase. To decrease the porosity, as-cast Mg-7Li-1Zn were hot rolled in 250°C for eight passes that the reduced thickness is about 10% in each pass (i.e., 80% total reduction). As anticipated, the strength and elongation of Mg-7Li-1Zn after rolling increased and decreased, respectively, due to work hardening [32-37]. The strength after rolling reached to 180 MPa that was two times higher than the as-cast material. Also, the elongation decreased from 25% to 20%. After rolling due to reach the more homogeneity of microstructure, the sample was fully being annealed. The crystallite size obtained by the Williamson Hall relationship for the alpha phase in annealing and after rolling is 86 and 65 nm, respectively, which shows the effect of the rolling process on microstructure developments. Plastic deformation processes such as rolling could degrade the alpha phase grains, as well as increase the dislocation density of the beta phase, and ultimately cause the dual phase Mg-7Li-1Zn would be strengthening [1, 38]. After annealing due to deleting the effect of work hardening, strength decreased, and elongation increased. Finally, the strength and elongation reached to 150 MPa and 30% after full annealing.

Another strengthening mechanism was the solid solution and intermetallic layers. In the Mg-7Li-1Zn, the presence of the MgLi\(_2\)Zn phase increased the strength and hardness. Zn has a better strengthening effect than other elements used in two-phase Mg-Li alloys and can achieve a combination of good strength and ductility. In Table 1, the mechanical properties (strength and
elongation) of different two-phase magnesium-lithium alloys were compared under various deformation operations, such as rolling and extrusion. As can be seen, by increasing the percentage of Li the strength of Mg-Li alloys decreased and elongation increased as the volume of the beta phase was higher than the alpha, and the beta phase was weaker. Also, mechanical work and plastic deformation could cause the grain refinement and increased density dislocation in the alpha and the beta phase. In short, the alpha phase had good strength, and the beta phase had excellent ductility, and different Mg-Li alloys could be used to the various application via required strength and ductility.

3.2. Fractography
The SEM image of tensile fracture surfaces of Mg-7Li-1Zn in three different situations (as-cast, as-rolled, and as-annealed) are presented in Fig. 11. For the dual phase alloys, ductility and formability have affected both phases, in particular, the phase of high formability. The fracture mechanism of magnesium alloys is always in the brittle mode showing the patterns of cleavage and quasi-cleavage [8]. Generally, for Mg LZ dual phase alloys, α-Mg phase with HCP (hexagonal close-packed) structure indicates two independent slip systems and β-Li phase with BCC (body center cubic) structure presents at least twelve independent slip systems at room temperature [8]. Therefore, it can be concluded that more deformation at room temperature occurs in β-Li phase because β-Li phase has a higher ductility and lower strength compared to α-Mg phase. So, for Mg-7Li-1Zn dual phase, a high fraction of β-Li phase leads a high ductility of the alloy. According to Fig. 9 (a-c), the very dimples and some flat and cleavage planes were observed that it shows the combination of ductile and brittle fracture, but the ductile fracture is the dominant fracture mechanisms for Mg-7Li-1Zn. Also, the failure mechanism was the same and was a brittle mode in
all three images (Fig. 11(a-c)). However, the size, depth, and several cavities and dimples were different. For the as-annealed samples, the depth and number of dimples were much more than the as rolled and the as-cast samples which indicated the better ductility for annealed Mg-7Li-1Zn.

3.3. Anisotropy and Formability

The different anisotropy coefficients such as R-value, $R_m$ (normal), and $\Delta R$ of the dual phase Mg-Li alloys are shown in Table 2. They were determined experimentally after rolling and annealing. According to Table 2, different anisotropy coefficients after rolling are far higher than after annealing. In other words, performing heat treatment reduces anisotropy in all directions. By annealing, the more uniform structures of alpha and beta phase are formed, and anisotropy decreased sharply. Also, the HCP structure of the alpha phase creates high anisotropy during the rolling process. According to Table 2, after rolling high anisotropy was formed, which was respectively the highest at 45 degrees, rolling direction, and transverse direction. By annealing, R-value decreased at all three directions, and the R-values were very close.

Forming limit diagram shows the limiting surface strains that sheet metal can endure before the start of localized necking and fracture at different deformation modes in tension-tension and tension-compression loading paths [46, 47]. The forming limit diagram of the full annealed Mg-7Li-1Zn alloy at room temperature from the experimental Nakazima test results is shown in Fig. 12. The FLD demonstrates the combinations of major and minor surface strains that lead to fracture of the Mg-7Li-1Zn. The right and left side of this diagram are determined by the positive and negative minor strains, respectively. Also, there are many strain paths to biaxial and uniaxial tension from the plane strain. In the biaxial tensile region (tension–tension), the maximum surface
major and minor strains are about 14.49% and 23.98%, respectively. In the uniaxial tensile region (tension-compression), the maximum major and minor strains are about 26.18% and 21.91%, respectively. Also, for the near plane strain region (minor strain is about zero), the minimum minor strain is about 11.09%. For the dual phase alloys, ductility and formability are affected both phases, in particular, the phase of high formability. Therefore, more formability of Mg-7Li-1Zn could be related to dual phases, especially β-Li phase with BCC structure.

4. Conclusion

In the present study, the mechanical properties, microstructure anisotropy, and formability of the as-cast dual phase Mg-7Li-1Zn alloy were studied. The primary goal of this study was to examine the formability of dual phase Mg LZ alloy by forming limit diagram. The OM images demonstrated that microstructure of the as-cast LZ71 alloy possesses a dual phase such as β-Li matrix with BCC structure and a partitioned α-Mg phase with HCP structure in lath shape. After annealing the more uniform structure of the alpha and beta phase is formed, and the created anisotropy during rolling also drastically decreases. Results of FLD showed that Mg-7Li-1Zn has desirable formability due to the BCC structure of β-Li matrix with high slip system in the ambient temperature. Also, the results of the tensile test matched with FLD. As anticipated, the strength and elongation of the as-cast Mg-7Li-1Zn after rolling increased and decreased, respectively, due to work hardening. The strength after rolling would reach to 180 MPa that is two times higher than the as-cast material. Finally, the strength and elongation reached to 150 MPa and 30% after full annealing. The β-Li and α-Mg phase played a role in the improvement of ductility and strength, respectively. Because the β-Li phase has a higher ductility and lower strength compared to the α-Mg phase. The SEM
images showed very dimples. So, the ductile fracture is the dominant fracture mode for Mg-7Li-1Zn.
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Fig. 12 The forming limit diagram of as-annealed Mg-7Li-1Zn
Table 1: Summary of mechanical properties of previous researches for different dual phase Mg-Li alloys processed by rolling and extrusion

| Materials  | The maximum value of ultimate tensile strength (MPa) | The maximum value of elongation (%) | Processing method | Reference |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Mg6.9Li1.1Zn | 180 | 65 | Rolling | This study |
| Mg5Li2Zn   | 240 | 18 | Extrusion | [39] |
| Mg8.5Li1Zn | 160 | 22 | Rolling | [40] |
| Mg12Li1Zn  | 125 | 56 | Rolling | [16] |
| Mg6 Li1Zn  | 155 | 32.2 | Rolling | [16] |
| Mg9.5Li1Zn | 134 | 71.4 | Rolling | [40] |
| Mg3.5Li0.5Zn | 205 | 22 | Extrusion | [41] |
| Mg6.5Li2Zn | 185 | 38 | Extrusion | [41] |
| Mg6.5Li0.5Zn | 150 | 24 | Extrusion | [41] |
| Mg3.5Li2Zn | 165 | 22 | Extrusion | [41] |
| Mg8.5Li1Zn | 130 | 63 | Rolling | [42] |
| Mg8.5Li1Y  | 120 | 64 | Extrusion | [43] |
| Mg8Li3Al   | 130 | 28 | Rolling | [44] |
| Mg8.5Li2Ce | 160 | 20 | Extrusion | [45] |
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Table 2: The anisotropy coefficients for as-cast, as-rolled and as-annealed Mg-7Li-1Zn samples

| Alloy | Process Direction | As-Cast | As-rolled | As-annealed |
|-------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|
|       |                   | NA      | RD 45°  TD | RD 45°  TD |
| LZ71  | r-value           | 1.018   | 3.494  4.103 1.931 | 1.052  1.061 1.055 |
|       | r_m               | --      | 3.408   | 1.057 |
|       | Δr                | --      | 1.391   | 0.008 |