Changes in Functional Compounds, Volatiles, and Antioxidant Properties of Culinary Herb Coriander Leaves (Coriandrum sativum) Stored Under Red and Blue LED Light for Different Storage Times
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This study evaluated the influence of red (630–640 nm) and blue (450 nm) light-emitting diodes (LED) lights on the changes in antioxidant constituents, activity, volatile compounds, and overall acceptability of Coriander leaves (Coriandrum sativum) during post-harvest storage. Coriander leaves are harvested at commercial maturity, packed in polyethylene terephthalate punnets, and exposed for 2 h to the red LED or blue LED lights separately during storage at 5°C and 85% RH up to 9 days. Coriander leaves exposed to the white light (2 h) and continuous darkness served as controls. Samples were removed from cold storage at 3, 6, and 9 days to determine the antioxidant constituents, their activity, retention of volatile compounds, and overall acceptance. Coriander leaves exposed to red and blue LED lights for 2 h showed a commercially allowable mass loss of up to 9 days compared to the other treatments. Compared to those exposed to red LED light (2 h) and the control, leaves exposed to blue LED light for 2 h and stored for 3–9 days showed a reduction in colour change (ΔE). The β-carotene content significantly peaked at 44.55% on day 6 in coriander leaves exposed to the red LED light. However, leaves exposed to blue and red LED light showed an increase in total phenolic content by 9.34 and 6.39% on day 9, respectively. Exposure to blue LED lights increased the antioxidant activities (DPPH, ABTS, and FRAP), quercetin content, and the concentration of typical coriander aroma, 2-tridecenal, 2-dodecenal, (E), and Z-9-19 hexadecenal on day 9. Coriander leaves exposed to blue LED light (2 h) and stored up to day 9 scored a higher acceptance level by the panellists. Thus, blue light LED treatment during post-harvest storage can be recommended to retain the antioxidant property of coriander leaves.
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INTRODUCTION

Coriander (Coriandrum sativum), belonging to the family Umbelliferae, leaves are widely used in culinary as a flavouring agent and are well-known for their medicinal properties. The origin of coriander is from the Mediterranean region. Coriander leaves are also a rich source of vitamins (vitamin B2: 60 mg 100 g⁻¹, vitamin C: 135 mg 100 g; vitamin A: 10,460 I.U (International unit)
100 g\(^{-1}\) (1). Phenolic compounds, such as chlorogenic acid, vanillic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, p-coumaric acid, quercetin, kaempferol, and apigenin are reported in coriander leaves (1, 2). The leaves also contain carotenoids, luteolin, β-carotene, and have a distinct aroma (1). The leaves are widely used to flavour food or mask unpleasant odours in certain foods. The aroma of coriander leaves plays a vital role in determining the value of the product. The (E)-2-decenal, dodecenal, (E)-2-tridecenal, and dodecanal are major volatile compounds present in the leaves (3). It is proven that the phenolic compounds show antioxidant activity, thus, contributing towards protective effects \textit{in vivo} to benefit the consumers (3). Currently, consumers are more interested in consuming healthy foods that are rich in phytochemicals or bioactive compounds. However, a rapid decline in coriander leaf quality as a result of post-harvest senescence causes significant losses for the industry. The shelf life of coriander leaves is very short, even when refrigerated. This has resulted in a marked increase in price and scarcity of the product. Leaf yellowing, shrivelling, and chilling injury affect the quality of coriander leaves during post-harvest storage. Hassana and Mahfouz (4) concluded that the 1-MCP treatment prolonged the shelf life of coriander leaves significantly compared to an untreated control with minimal mass loss at a storage temperature of 5 or 15°C. According to O’Hare and Wong (5), 1-MCP probably does not provide sufficient or consistent benefits to justify the costs involved for these vegetables (5). Light-emitting diodes (LEDs) in the production of leafy vegetables and herbs are becoming popular globally to increase yield and product quality due to their desirable properties. As a non-chemical sanitisation method, it is also considered a green alternative to minimise post-harvest losses during storage and transportation. It has been shown that LED light irradiation extends the shelf-life and improves the nutraceutical quality of lettuce and broccoli (6, 7), and is emerging as a common, effective treatment for harvested vegetables. Furthermore, consumers are more interested in consuming healthy foods that contain phytochemicals or bioactive compounds.

Light quality is one of the main factors of the plant environment. It acts through specific photoreceptors sensitive to various wavelengths (phytochromes, cryptochromes, phototropin, and UVR8), thus, directly offering physiological and chemical processes in plants (8). Light-emitting diodes (LED) are becoming popular in horticulture due to their desirable wavelengths, low thermal energy, non-hazardous (no mercury) effects, long life expectancies and affordability energy efficiency, and non-chemical sanitisation treatment that can be used as an alternative green technology to minimise post-harvest losses during storage and transportation (9).

Red sweet pepper fresh cuts exposed to the red LED light maintained the highest concentrations of β-carotene, chlorophyll, and lycopene in yellow, green, for 7 days (10). Furthermore, in yellow and green fresh-cut sweet peppers, red LED light and in red fresh-cut sweet pepper, blue LED light enabled the accumulation of phenolic compounds by inducing the activity of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) (10). In avocados, red LED lights improved the accumulation of epicatechin content in the fruit by upregulating the PAL genes (11). LED red light treatment improved the lycopene and β-carotene, total phenols, and flavonoids in tomatoes during post-harvest storage (10). Conversely, blue LED treatment improved the lycopene, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, and rutin content after 7 days of storage (11). Moreover, the red or blue LED lights improved the antioxidant properties of fresh produce and are regarded as an efficient strategy to increase health-promoting compounds to add health benefits to the consumers (12). However, there is a limited information available on the influence of LED quality on the changes in different phenolic compounds and aroma compounds in coriander leaves after post-harvest storage. Therefore, this study was aimed to evaluate the mass loss, changes in colour properties, antioxidant components, scavenging activities, and volatile compounds in coriander leaves exposed to red or blue LED light for 2 h, stored at 5°C for up to 9 days. Finally, to select the best-LED light and storage period combinations to improve the concentration of antioxidant constituents and their activity for health benefits with retention of volatile compounds and higher overall acceptance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals and Reagents**

The following reagents of analytical grade were used: methanol, Folin–Ciocalteu reagent, sodium carbonate, gallic acid, sodium acetate trihydrate, sodium hydrogen phosphate dihydrate, glacial acetic acid, sodium persulphate, TPTZ (2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine), iron chloride (III) hexahydrated, (±)-6-Hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetrahydroxymono-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox), ABTS (2,2′-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid), phosphate, sodium chloride, DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl), formic acid, acetone, anhydrous sodium sulphate, n-hexane (HPLC grade), acetonitrile (HPLC grade), and Octanal (GC). All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Johannesburg, South Africa.

**Plant Material**

During 2019, coriander plants were grown in a closed hydroponic system with 5 L black polyethylene growing bags filled with coir-sand growing medium under a 5 m high, 12 m long, 12 m wide tunnel covered with white shade nets with 40% shading as described by Buthelezi et al. (13) at the Qutom farms, Pretoria, South Africa (latitude: 25° 37′ S, longitude: 28° 12′ E; elevation: 1,173 m above sea level). The plants were grown strictly according to commercial practises for protected culture production, and they were irrigated daily with drip irrigation. Fertilization was performed with a soluble complete nutrient solution (Hygrotech®, Pretoria, South Africa).

The coriander leaves (\textit{Coriandrum sativum L.}) were selectively harvested at 6:00 am and transported to Tshwane University within 3 h of harvest in a refrigerated vehicle at 12°C. Leaves with uniform size and an absence of visual defects were selected, and 20 g of leaves were randomly packed and non-sealed, in commercially used polyethylene terephthalate (PET) punnets (13).
LED Treatments and Storage
Sets of 25 replicates of PET punnets were laid out randomly and exposed to the red LED light ([630–640 nm, 150 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹]), blue LED light], and white light (white cool fluorescent lamps; Phillips, Fluotone 40 W, Philips Africa (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg, South Africa) for 2 h per day. The LED lights were fixed at a distance from the punnets, at ~30 cm. The white light and continuous darkness were included as controls in this study. The entire setup was stored at 5°C for 85% RH for up to 3, 6, and 9 days to simulate the cold storage at the supermarkets (Figure 1). Thereafter, samples were removed from cold storage to determine the overall marketability and biochemical analysis at designated intervals. Sets of 20 replicates (punnets) per treatment were used to determine the overall marketability, while five replicates per treatment were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C for further biochemical analysis. Fresh leaf samples were used to investigate the influence of LED light exposure for 2 h on the changes in coriander leaf volatile compounds.

Loss of Mass
The initial mass of 20 replicates (punnets) per post-harvest treatment was weighed in grammes (g) on day 0, before the LED storage trials. After completion of each designated storage (3, 6, and 9), period samples were removed from cold storage and a standard scale (Inscale Scales Ltd, Milton Keynes, UK) was used to record the mass. The differences in mass between the sampling days were calculated concerning the initial mass and expressed as a percentage of loss of mass.

Colour Properties
The colour values of coriander leaves were measured using a Minolta CR-400 chromameter (Minolta, Osaka, Japan). According to the International Commission on Illumination (CIE) CIE colour system, the colour coordinate a* is associated with the colour red or green if its value is positive or negative. Likewise, the colour coordinate b* is described as a yellow colour when positive. Based on the following formula (14), colour changes (∆E) were calculated. For the determination of colour changes, measurements were taken at three points on each replicate.

The total colour difference (∆E) was calculated as shown below:

\[
\Delta E = [(\Delta L*)^2 + (\Delta a*)^2 + (\Delta b*)^2]^{1/2}
\]

½ where

\(\Delta L^*\) = Colour difference is calculated as the sample L value minus standard.

\(\Delta a^*\) = Colour difference is calculated as the sample a value minus standard.

\(\Delta b^*\) = Colour difference is calculated as the sample b value minus standard.

Total Chlorophyll Content
Determination of the chlorophyll a (Chl a) and b (Chl b) contents were performed according to Managa et al. (15). A freeze-dried powdered leaf sample (0.02 g; three replicate samples per post-harvest treatment) was mixed with a 5 mL acetone-hexane combination (4:6 v/v) and extracted for 2 h. Following this, the sample mixture was centrifuged (Hermle Labortechnik Wehingen, Germany) at 2,500 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was placed in a microplate reader, and the absorbance was measured at 453, 646, and 663 nm.

The Chl a and Chl b values were calculated using the formulas below:

\[\text{Chl } a = 15.65 \times A_{663} - 2.46 \times A_{646}\]

\[\text{Chl } b = 27.05 \times A_{646} - 11.21 \times A_{663}\]

The total chlorophyll content was expressed as mg 100 g⁻¹ on a dry weight basis.

Sensory Evaluation
Overall acceptance of the product was determined using a trained panel of 20 panellists (10 males and 10 females) who were between the age of 20–35 (8). The panellists were selected based on the frequent consumption of dishes that were garnished with coriander leaves. The assessment was made on overall acceptance by presenting randomised samples. Ten sets of 20 g (10 replicates) per treatment were displayed to the panellists on white plates. The evaluation was carried out to determine the overall acceptance based on the absence of discoloration due to yellowing, typical aroma, shrivelling, using a 10 point hedonic scale. For shrivelling and yellowing: 10–9 = very bad 50% unmarketable, 8–7 = poor 25% yellowing/shrivelling, unmarketable; 6–5 = average 10% yellowing/shrivelling, limited marketability; 4–3 = good, and 1–5% yellowing/shrivelling, marketable; 1–2 = excellent. For typical aroma and overall acceptability; 10–9 = excellent, 8–7 = good, marketable; 6–5 = average, limited marketability; and 4–3 = poor, unmarketable.

Extraction of Phenolic Compounds
Coriander leaf extract was made by using 200 mg of coriander leaf powder and homogenising in 80% methanol/water (v/v) and thereafter, sonicating for 30 min at 30°C. The mixture was then centrifuged (Hermle Labortechnik, Type 2326K, 2010, Wehingen, Germany) at 3,420 g for 15 min at 25°C. Quantification of total phenols, phenolic components, and antioxidant assays was carried out using the supernatant.

Total Phenolic Content
Total phenolic content was determined using a method by Buthelezi et al. (13). The reaction mixture included an aliquot of 10 μL of supernatant extract, 20 μL Folin–Ciocalteu reagent, and 20 μL of 7.5% Na₂CO₃. The absorbance was measured at 750 nm using a microplate reader (Spectrostar Nano, BMG Labtech GmbH, Germany). The total of the phenolic compounds was calculated using a gallic acid standard curve and the results were expressed as mg 100 g⁻¹ gallic acid equivalents (GAE) on a fresh mass basis.

Antioxidant Properties
Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP)
The FRAP assay was performed according to Seke et al (16), using FRAP solution (0.3 mM sodium acetate (pH 3.6), 10 mM TPTZ,
and 20 mM FeCl₃, mixed in a ratio of 10:1:1 v/v/v, respectively. FRAP solution (950 µL) was mixed with 50 µL of the leaf extract at 37°C. The absorbance was read at 593 nm using a microplate reader (Spectrostar Nano, BMG Labtech GmbH, Germany). A calibration curve was constructed using Trolox (0.2–10 mM) and expressed as mmol Trolox equivalents antioxidant capacity (TEAC) per 100 g fresh mass (mmol TEAC 100 g⁻¹).

2,2′-Azino-Bis(3-Ethylbenzothiazoline-6-Sulfonic Acid) (ABTS)

The ABTS assay was performed according to Seke et al. (16). ABTS radical cation (ABTS ±) was produced by the reaction of the ABTS stock solution (7 mM), with 4.9 mM potassium persulphate at the ratio of 1:1 by incubating in the dark at 25°C for 12-16 h prior to use. An aliquot of 40 µL of leaf extract (at different concentrations) was pipetted to 200 µL of the ABTS±. The resulting reaction mixture was pipetted in a 96-well microplate reader at 37°C for 10 min and the decrease in absorbance at 734 nm was read and expressed as the IC₅₀.

2,2-Diphenyl-1-Picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) Radical Scavenging Activity

The DPPH activity radical scavenging activity was performed according to the method previously described by Seke et al. (16). Different concentrations of leaf extracts (30 µL) reacted with 180 µL of the DPPH solution (0.13 mg mL⁻¹) for 20 min in darkness, and the absorbance was measured at 515 nm using a microplate reader (Spectrostar Nano, BMG Labtech GmbH, Germany) and the antioxidant capacity expressed as IC₅₀ in µg mL⁻¹.

Quantification of Phenolic Components

The different phenolic components of coriander leaves were extracted using the hydro-methanol extracts mentioned in 1.6, and, thereafter, quantified using HPLC-DAD, Model Flexar TM 89173-556 (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The separation of different phenolic components was obtained on a Waters HSS T3 C18, 2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 µm column. The flow rate was 0.3 mL min⁻¹, and the column temperature was maintained at 55°C. The mobile phase condition was set similar to that of Seke et al. (16) [mobile phase A; 0.1% formic acid, and mobile phase B; 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile].

The gradient initiated at 100% solvent A for 1 min and changed to 28% B for 22 min in a linear way, and, subsequently, to 40% B for 50 s and a wash step of 1.5 min at 100% B, followed by a re-equilibration to the initial conditions for 4 min. Phenolic compounds were identified at 265, 280, and 320 nm, quantified using the standards, and expressed in mg per kg of fresh mass. The limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), and the calibration curve equations for the standards are given in Supplementary Table 1.

β-Carotene Content

The β-carotene was extracted according to the method of Panfili et al. (17) using 0.25 g of samples extracted in a 5 mL mixture of acetone: hexane (1:1) with 0.1% BHT in tightly closed tubes in the dark. Thereafter, the mixture was separated using a centrifuge at 3,420 g for 15 min at 25°C. After separation, the residue was rinsed with three additional 5 mL volumes of the extraction solvent and centrifugation was done as above. Thereafter, the supernatants were pooled, dried with anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered with a Whatman philtre paper (No 1), and evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen. The extracts were re-dissolved in 1 ml of isopropyl alcohol (10%) in n-hexane and subsequently filtered through a 0.45 µm PTFE syringe philtre before analysis and determination. A Shimadzu Prominance-I LC-2030C 3D Liquid chromatograph equipped with an LC-2030 autosampler and LC-2020/2040 PDA detector (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used. The column was a 250 mm × 4.6 mm id, 5 µm Shim pack GIST NH₂ column. The wavelength was set at 460 nm at 30°C and the injection volume was 10 µL at a flow rate of 0.6 mL min⁻¹. Identification of β-carotene was
based on a comparison of retention times and absorption spectra with that of a pure standard. Quantification was done using a pure standard of β-carotene (LOD 13.82 and LOQ 46.06) and the standard curve ranged from 0 to 100 µg mL⁻¹.

Volatile Compounds
Volatile constituents were extracted according to the method of Hijaz et al. (18) with a few modifications. A leaf sample (2 g) was added to a 50 mm tube and 50 µL of internal standard butylated hydroxytoluene (1 mg mL⁻¹), saturated sodium chloride (2 ml) were pipetted into the sample. Volatile compounds were extracted using n-hexane (2 ml) and the mixture was sonicated (MRC Ultrasonic cleaner, Model DC-150H, Health care technologies, Cape Town, South Africa) for 30 min at 30°C, thereafter, centrifuged (Hermle Labortecnich, Germany Type 2326K, 2010) at 3,000 g for 10 min and the supernatant was separated. The residue was rinsed three times with 2 mL of hexane and centrifuged as above. The supernatants were pooled and dried with sodium sulphate, filtered with a Whatman filter paper (No1) and concentrated to 250 µL under a gentle stream of nitrogen, and stored at 5°C before analysis using an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph (Agilent, Santa Clara, USA) hyphenated to an Agilent 5975 C MSD with a Triple axis detector to analyse the volatile compounds present in coriander leaves. The machine was equipped with an autosampler (Agilent Technologies GC Sampler 80). Helium was used as the carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. Separation was done on a Zebron Guardian Capillary GC column (USA) with dimensions 30 mm × 0.25 mm i.d and 0.25 µm film thickness. The chromatographic conditions were as follows: oven programme, 70°C for 1 min then 3°C min⁻¹ to 142°C for 0 min then 5°C min⁻¹ to 225°C for 3 min, then, 25°C min⁻¹ to 320°C for 3 min, the total run time was 51.4 min. The splitless injection was carried out at a pressure of 61.6 kpa and an inlet temperature of 280°C. The mass detector was operated with an electron energy of 70 eV in electron ionisation mode. The ion source and quadrupole temperatures were 230 and 150°C, respectively. The injection volume was 1 µL. Compounds were identified using the mass spectral library (NIST mass spectral library, Version 8). Aldehydes were quantified using octanal reference standard [LOD (limits of detection) 3.73 and LOQ (limits of quantification) 12.45] plotted at concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 µg mL⁻¹.

Statistical Analysis
The samples were laid out in a completely randomised block design. The data were analysed using two-way ANOVA, and the means were separated using Fisher’s protected LSD test (Least significant difference). The experiment was repeated twice to confirm the obtained data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Effects of LED Lights on Mass Loss
The mass loss of fresh coriander leaves exposed to different LED lights for 2 h and stored under cold storage for 3, 6, and 9 days is expressed in percentages (Figure 2), with darkness and white lights for 24 h, as controls. Leafy vegetables become unmarketable if they encounter a moisture loss of more than 5% of the original fresh mass (19). The results revealed that the coriander leaves exposed to red and blue LED lights for 2 h showed commercially allowable mass loss of up to 9 days compared to the other treatments. Coriander leaves exposed to white light and stored for 6 and 9 days showed significantly higher mass loss (>5%), which is commercially not acceptable. Favre et al. (20) demonstrated that the duration of the pulse of low-intensity white light increased the mass loss of broccoli heads during post-harvest storage. Furthermore, mass loss (%) of fresh vegetables is due to evaporation of water, dehydration, and respiration, which contributes to the breakdown of carbohydrates to produce carbon dioxide and water (21). Generally, an increase in light intensity increases the stomatal index (22). Kinoshita et al. (23) showed that blue light triggers stomatal opening leading to higher respiration rates and increased mass loss. This could be the reason for the observed higher mass loss on day 6 under the blue LED light compared to the red LED light (24). Jiang et al. (25) also showed that the red LED irradiation reduced the mass loss in broccoli. On day 9, however, there was no significant difference in mass loss between leaves stored under red and blue LED lights. The significant mass loss in white lights corroborates Braidot et al. (26) reports, as continuous or prolonged lights initiated adverse effects on white and green vegetables stored at low temperatures. High rates of respiration, compensated by significant photosynthetic activity, encourage increased mass loss under the white lights. Lee et al. (27) reported no significant differences between darkness and LED treatments after 18 days in terms of mass loss, and in this study, darkness reduced mass loss compared to white lights. Conversely, Zhan et al. (28) reported that light exposure accelerates mass loss compared to low-temperature storage in darkness in vegetables.

Effects of LED Lights on Colour Change (ΔE)
The L* (lightness) increased significantly with storage time with all light treatments included in this study. An increase of L* indicates the leaf colour becoming more towards yellow and less retention of green colour. Likewise, the colour value a* of the leaves subjected to different light treatments decreased with storage time. colour coordinate a* is associated with the green colour (Kasajima) of the leaves and the degradation of the original green colour is evident from the colour value a*. The Colour coordinate b* value represents the blue–yellow opponents, with negative numbers towards blue and positive towards yellow (29). The b* colour value also increased with storage time, irrespective of the light treatments but the highest b* value was noted in the leaves stored for 9 days under the white light indicating higher leaf yellowing. The colour change (ΔE) was reduced in coriander leaves exposed to blue LED light for 2 h and stored for 3–9 days compared to those that were exposed to the red LED light (2 h) and the controls (Table 1). Although the ΔE value increased in coriander leaves exposed to blue LED light with increasing storage time, leaves stored for 3 days showed the lowest ΔE value (Table 1).
Effects of LED Lights on Total Chlorophyll Content

Chlorophyll levels in controls and the leaves exposed to red or blue LED light decreased significantly throughout the storage (Figure 3). Coriander leaves exposed to blue LED light reduced the total chlorophyll content by 8.56, 14.94, and 20.39% on days 3, 6, and 9, respectively, compared to the samples at harvest (0-day storage). On the other hand, the leaves exposed to the red LED light showed the loss of chlorophyll content by 13.27, 22.42, 27.46% on days 3, 6, and 9, respectively, compared to those at 0-day storage. However, the leaves from the controls showed a significant loss of chlorophyll content on days 3, 6, and 9 compared to the blue or red LED light treatments (Figure 3). Therefore, the blue and red light exposure had remarkably reduced the loss of total chlorophyll compared to the leaves from control treatments. Song et al. (30) showed that, in storage, blue LED light slightly inhibited the chlorophyll degradation in pak choi. Additionally, Li et al. (31) also showed that blue light (430 nm and 465 nm) remarkably influenced the improvement of chlorophylls in Chinese kale and pak choi baby leaves. According to Li et al. (31), degradation of chloroplast proteins is associated with senescence and a reduction in soluble protein content, and pre-harvest supplemental blue light treatment improved the accumulation of higher soluble protein content than control in...
FIGURE 3 | Effect of blue and red LED light treatment on total chlorophyll content in coriander (Coriandrum sativum) leaves after post-harvest storage. Bars with the same alphabetic letter along the column are not significantly different at \( p \leq 0.05 \).

Effects of LED Lights on β Carotene Content

The β-Carotene, a provitamin A carotenoid in fruit and leafy vegetables, is vital due to its antioxidant properties to add value to consumers’ diets (33). The β-carotene contents of coriander leaves, control samples (stored in the continuous darkness and white light for 2 h) and treated with LED red or blue lights for 6 h during storage up to 3, 6, and 9 days are presented in Figure 4. The β-carotene contents of coriander leaves exposed to the red LED light for 2 h, slightly increased (13.69%) on day 3, and thereafter significantly \((p < 0.05)\) peaked by 44.55% on day 6 and declined on day 9. However, the β-carotene levels in leaves exposed to the red LED light for 2 h on days 3 and 9 did not differ significantly. Similarly, the coriander leaves exposed to white light (2 h) showed a significant increase of 33.45% on day 6 and, subsequently, declined on day 9, remaining similar to the levels of day 0 samples. On the other hand, coriander leaves stored in continuous darkness showed similar levels of β-carotene content as freshly harvested leaves (day 0) on day 6. β-carotene content in coriander leaves stored under blue LED lights declined significantly on day 9 by 13.95%. LED red light showed an increase in the β-carotene in parsley, while it decreased in basil (34). Therefore, the species-dependent carotenoid response was evident and could be related to the conversion of one carotenoid to another carotenoid, which had played a major role in the accumulation of β-carotene (35) on day 6 under LED red light and normal white light. However, further research is needed in terms of gene expression analysis of BLCY: β-cyclase (35), responsible for the accumulation of β-carotene.

Effects of LED Lights on Total Phenols and Phenolic Components

Total phenol content in coriander leaves exposed to the blue LED light insignificantly increased on day 6, by 7.39% compared to the samples at harvest (day 0) (Figure 5). Whilst an insignificant increase in total phenolic content was noted in leaves exposed to blue and red LED light by 9.34 and 6.39% on day 9, respectively. Coriander leaves stored in darkness or exposed to white light for 2 h during storage for 6 and 9 days resulted in a significant loss of total phenolic content. Red LED light caused an increase of phenolics in baby leaf lettuce (36) and blue LED light improved the concentration of phenolic compounds in tomatoes (37). Routray et al. (38) showed an increase in phenolic content of the leaves treated with blue LED light during post-harvest storage. Moreover, red and blue LED lights improved the total phenolic compounds in yellow sweet peppers (7 days), green sweet peppers, and red sweet pepper (14 days).
FIGURE 4 | Effect of blue and red LED light treatment on β-carotene content coriander (Coriandrum sativum) leaves. Different letters in the same bar indicate significant differences at $p < 0.05$.

FIGURE 5 | Effect of blue and red LED light treatment on β-carotene content coriander (Coriandrum sativum) leaves. Different letters in the same bar indicate significant differences at $p < 0.05$. 
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FIGURE 6 | Effect of blue and red LED light treatments on the changes in the concentration of major phenolic acids in coriander (Coriandrum sativum) leaves. Different letters in the same bar indicate significant differences at $p < 0.05$ for a specific phenolic acid.

respectively during post-harvest storage at $7^\circ C$ (10). Maroga et al. (10) showed the activation of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase enzyme (PAL), an activity that is responsible for the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (phenols and flavonoids) via the phenylpropanoid pathway.

Folin-Ciocalteu assay is the method most frequently used to determine total phenolic content in food products, since it is a rapid and convenient method (39). Despite this, the Folin-Ciocalteu assay is not specific to total phenolic content determinations. Ascorbic acid and reducing sugars are also found in plant extracts and are responsive to the Folin-Ciocalteu assay (39). Therefore, the investigation was conducted to see the influence of LED lights on different phenolic components using HPLC-DAD in this study.

The influence of red or blue LED light treatments and controls on different phenolic components are shown in Figure 6. Fresh coriander leaves contained caffeic acid (80.55 mg kg$^{-1}$), ellagic acid (48 mg kg$^{-1}$), p-coumaric acid (97.2 mg kg$^{-1}$), ferulic acid (21.07 mg kg$^{-1}$), and kaempferol (21.07 mg kg$^{-1}$). Coriander leaves exposed to blue and red LED light for 2 h over 6 and 9 days in cold storage showed significant increases in caffeic acid, ellagic acid, p-coumaric acid, and ferulic acid content when compared to the control treatments and freshly harvested leaves. In contrast, the levels of caffeic acid (190.79 mg kg$^{-1}$), ellagic acid (128.14 mg kg$^{-1}$), p-coumaric acid (199.6 mg kg$^{-1}$), and ferulic acid (99.06 mg kg$^{-1}$) were highest on day 9 of cold storage under the exposure of blue LED light for 2 h compared to controls and freshly harvested leaves. Likewise, the levels of flavonoids, quercetin, and kaempferol were significantly higher in the coriander leaves exposed to blue or red LED light for 3, 6, or 9 days in cold storage in comparison to the controls and freshly harvested leaves (Figure 7). However, on day 9 of cold storage, leaves exposed to blue LED light reached quercetin content (98.35 mg kg$^{-1}$), while those exposed to the red LED light had the highest concentration of kaempferol (79.57 mg kg$^{-1}$). A similar observation was reported in the onion bulb and pulp held under the blue light showing the highest quercetin glucosides, whereas the onions stored under the white fluorescent light showed the lowest content (40). For the biosynthesis of phenolic compounds, the carbohydrates are converted into phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan (intermediate amino acids) via the shikimate pathway (41). For phenolics biosynthesis, the process starts by changing carbohydrates into intermediate amino acids (i.e., phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan) through the shikimate pathway by the action of 3-deoxy-D-arabinose-heptulosonate 7-phosphate or DAHP synthase, chorismate mutase, and anthranilate synthase (41). The LED blue light treatments could have induced the activation of the shikimate pathway to increase the concentration of the precursors for the production of different phenolic compounds via the phenylpropanoid pathway (41). Red LED light increased the biosynthesis of flavonoid (epicatechin) in avocados by inducing the upregulation of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase gene expression (11). However, Liu et al. (42) showed that compared to red light, the blue light-induced a higher flavonoid accumulation in Cyclocarya paliurus leaves,
especially key health-promoting flavonoids such as kaempferol, and quercetin.

**Effects of LED Lights on Antioxidant Properties**

Antioxidant properties of different vegetables including Chinese cabbage, kale (43), and tomato (44) were improved when exposed to blue LED light and in avocado by the red LED light (9). DPPH•+ radical-scavenging activity increased significantly in coriander leaves exposed to 6 h of blue LED light during storage for 9 days (Table 2). The highest antioxidant activity against the ABTS radical was obtained in leaves exposed to blue LED and red LED light for 6 or 9 days and 3–9 days, respectively (Table 2). Conversely, the highest FRAP activity was detected in leaves exposed to blue LED light for 9 days (Table 2). A correlation analysis carried out with the results obtained using FRAP, ABTS•+, and DPPH•+ antioxidant assays showed higher correlations between p-coumaric acid ($r = 0.82$, $p < 0.05$), caffeic acid ($r = 0.75$, $p < 0.05$), and quercitin ($r = 0.70$, $p < 0.05$) showed a strong positive correlation with FRAP activity. The p-coumaric acid generally gave more ABTS•+ and DPPH•+ radical scavenging potency; this is because of resonance stabilisation in the hydroxycinnamic acids enhanced by the conjugation between π electrons of the ring and the π bond of the side chain (45). The presence of the electron-donating hydroxyl group attached to the benzene ring in p-coumaric acid improved its ABTS•+, DPPH•+ radical scavenging, and its antioxidant activity as shown by the good FRAP correlation. Ferulic acid has the hydroxyl group and another electron-donating methoxy group attached to the benzene ring which makes it a better ABTS and DPPH radical scavenger and better antioxidant as shown in the FRAP assay (strong correlation) than p coumaric acid.

**Effects of LED Lights on Volatile Compounds and Overall Acceptance**

The aroma of coriander leaves is important to determine their quality. Aliphatic aldehydes, decanal (114.2 µg mL$^{-1}$), 2-decenal, ($E$)- (140.78 µg mL$^{-1}$), and ($E$)-2-tetradecenal (54.01 µg mL$^{-1}$) were also detected in moderately higher concentrations. Figure 8 shows the results on the composition of predominantly detected aroma volatile compounds exposed to different LED light treatments after storage at 3, 6, and 9 days compared to the controls. Coriander leaves exposed to red light for 2 h during 3 days of cold storage retained the concentrations of aroma volatiles, decanal, 2-decenal, ($E$)-, (Z)-2-decenal, 2-tridecenal ($E$)-2-tetradecenal and 2-dodecenal, ($E$) similar to the freshly harvested leaves. In general, the levels of aroma volatile compounds decreased with storage time. In contrast, the leaves exposed to the red LED light for 2 h and stored for 6 and 9 days in cold storage showed higher levels of all six aroma compounds than the samples exposed to blue LED light or white light or stored in darkness.

However, aroma compounds may differ in their odour perception thresholds, thus, the higher concentrations do not always influence the olfactory impact. Previously, McAusland et al. (46) showed that the red LED light improved the increase of ($E$)-2-tridecenal and dodecanal significantly during growing coriander under a controlled environment chamber, which differed from our findings.
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**FIGURE 8** | Effect of blue and red LED light treatments on the changes in the concentrations of major volatile compounds in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*) leaves. Different letters in the same bar indicate significant differences at *p* < 0.05 for a specific volatile compound.

**TABLE 2** | The influence of red and blue LED lights on the antioxidant properties of coriander leaves during post-harvest storage for 3–9 days.

| Light type X light duration | Storage period | DPPH (IC₅₀ µg mL⁻¹) | ABTS (IC₅₀ µg mL⁻¹) | FRAP (mM TEAC g⁻¹) |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Freshly harvested           | 0              | 534.53 ± 1.41f       | 280.44 ± 4.76ab     | 13.7 ± 0.75d       |
| Blue                        | 3              | 477.94 ± 1.54g       | 250.47 ± 2.59ab     | 8.8 ± 1.7f         |
| Blue                        | 6              | 446.31 ± 1.44gh      | 201.37 ± 3.06bc     | 16.7 ± 1.6b        |
| Blue                        | 9              | 392.89 ± 1.45h       | 183.67 ± 4.44c      | 19.0 ± 0.2a        |
| Red                         | 3              | 498.44 ± 1.70fg      | 247.65 ± 1.88bc     | 14.8 ± 1.1cd       |
| Red                         | 6              | 485.18 ± 1.36fg      | 231.33 ± 0.58bc     | 15.6 ± 0.2bc       |
| Red                         | 9              | 455.60 ± 8.74g       | 193.22 ± 0.70c      | 15.9 ± 0.4bc       |
| Darkness                     | 3              | 628.32 ± 1.74e       | 293.27 ± 1.79ab     | 11.9 ± 1.7e        |
| Darkness                     | 6              | 767.45 ± 1.19d       | 297.65 ± 1.23ab     | 8.4 ± 1.5f         |
| Darkness                     | 9              | 1,087.47 ± 1.81a     | 316.24 ± 3.90a      | 5.0 ± 0.4h         |
| White light                  | 3              | 875.64 ± 1.46bc      | 231.81 ± 3.45bc     | 12.4 ± 0.3e        |
| White light                  | 6              | 952.73 ± 1.78b       | 231.90 ± 3.16bc     | 11.7 ± 0.8e        |
| White light                  | 9              | 900.06 ± 1.99bc      | 255.83 ± 3.92ab     | 6.9 ± 2.5g         |

Values with dissimilar letters in a column are significantly different at *p* ≤ 0.05. Coriander leaves were exposed to 6 h of red or blue LED light, Control - continuous darkness, and those leaves were exposed in 2 h white light.

Furthermore, McAusland et al. (46) stated that the odour notes of 2-dodecanal and (E)-2-tridecanal are waxy, aldehydic, citrus, and orange rind with floral nuances and “sweet” scents. McAusland et al. (46) showed that these aldehydes have a smaller odour threshold, thus, at greater concentrations, these compounds contribute more to the odour or scent of the fresh coriander leaves. A large portion of plant volatile compounds was derived from saturated and unsaturated fatty acids. Straight chain aldehydes are formed by three processes, α-oxidation, β-oxidation, and the action of lipoxygenase. Buthelezi et al. (13) had shown the interference of spectral quality at the pre-harvest stage on the volatile compounds after post-harvest storage and argued possibly the conversion of the inactive phytochrome Pr to the biologically active Pfr had influenced the lipoxygenase activity. In this study, although exposure to the red LED light for 2 h during cold storage delayed the loss of aroma compounds compared with blue LED light and the control treatments, the interference of red LED light on volatile compounds was minimal.

Sensory panelists detected slight yellowing of leaves from control samples and those exposed to 2 h red LED light treatment.
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FIGURE 9 | Influence of blue and red LED light treatment on (A) yellowing, (B) shrivelling, (C) typical aroma, and (D) overall acceptability of coriander leaves. For shrivelling and yellowing: 10–9 = very bad 50% unmarketable, 8–7 = poor, 6–5 = average, 50% marketable, 4–3 = good, 1–2 = excellent. For typical aroma and overall acceptability: 10–9 = very good, 8–7 = good, 6–5 = average, 5–4 = limited marketability, 3–2 = poor, 1–0 = unmarketable.

after cold storage on day 9 (score 6; 10% yellowing), while samples exposed to blue LED light and stored in cold storage for 3–6 days scored 1–2, indicating the absence of yellowing (Figure 9A). Panellists rated the leaves exposed to blue LED light as “good” (score of 4) on day 9 of cold storage. In contrast, there was marked shrivelling in coriander leaves exposed to white light for 2 h on day 3 onwards, and less shrivelling was observed (score 3–4) in leaves exposed to red and blue LED light on day 9 of cold storage (Figure 9B). The panellist score for typical aroma remarkably declined on days 6 and 9 and all treatments but the red light showed a score of 8–7 (still “good”). On day 9, samples from control treatments severely affected the aroma (score 4, poor). Finally, the overall acceptance score by the panellists declined with storage time. On day 3, the overall acceptance score was 8 and 7 for coriander leaves exposed to blue and red LED lights, respectively, indicating that the samples from all four treatments were “good” (Figure 9C). On day 6, the samples from the blue LED light treatment showed scores of 8 and 6 indicating that the samples were still in “good” condition. Based on the panelists’ data, on day 9, the sample from the blue LED light treatment showed a score of 7 (good), while the samples exposed to the red LED light indicated limited marketability (score 5), and the control treatments, darkness, and white lights were unmarketable (Figure 9D). It is likely that the yellowing of the coriander leaves after days 6 and 9 of cold storage negatively impacted the overall acceptance of the leaves, due to increased yellowing and loss of chlorophyll content, as shown in Figure 4.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated the practical application of using LED light as a post-harvest treatment to improve the antioxidant properties and retain the acceptable aroma profiles in coriander leaves to benefit the consumers. In addition, this study provided a method for extending the storage life up to 9 days by exposing the packed coriander leaves in PET punnets to blue LED lights for 2 h at 5°C during cold storage, which increased antioxidant properties and levels of typical coriander aroma compounds. The shelf life and health-promoting properties of coriander leaves can be enhanced by implementing this technology at the supermarket storage or retail shelves.
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