Application of functional near-infrared spectroscopy in the healthcare industry: A review
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Functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS), a growing neuroimaging modality, has been utilized over the past few decades to understand the neuronal behavior in the brain. The technique has been used to assess the brain hemodynamics of impaired cohorts as well as able-bodied. Neuroimaging is a critical technique for patients with impaired cognitive or motor behaviors. The portable nature of the fNIRS system is suitable for frequent monitoring of the patients who exhibit impaired brain activity. This study comprehensively reviews brain-impaired patients: The studies involving patient populations and the diseases discussed in more than 10 works are included. Eleven diseases examined in this paper include autism spectrum disorder, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, epilepsy, depressive disorders, anxiety and panic disorder, schizophrenia, mild cognitive impairment, Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, stroke, and traumatic brain injury. For each disease, the tasks used for examination, fNIRS variables, and significant findings on the impairment are discussed. The channel configurations and the regions of interest are also outlined. Detecting the occurrence of symptoms at an earlier stage is vital for better rehabilitation and faster recovery. This paper illustrates the usability of fNIRS for early detection of impairment and the usefulness in monitoring the rehabilitation process. Finally, the limitations of the current fNIRS systems (i.e., nonexistence of a standard method and the lack of well-established features for classification) and future research directions are discussed. The authors hope that the findings in this paper would lead to advanced breakthrough discoveries in the fNIRS field in the future.
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Abbreviations

AD: Alzheimer’s disease
ADHD: Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder
ASD: Autism spectrum disorder
BA: Brodmann area
BCI: Brain–computer interface
BD: Bipolar disorder
BPD: Borderline personality disorder
CBF: Cerebral blood flow
CBV: Cerebral blood volume
CDT: Clock drawing test
COMT: Catechol-O-methyltransferase
CPS: Complex partial seizures
DBS: Deep brain stimulation
DST: Digit span task
EEG: Electroencephalography
fMRI: Functional magnetic resonance imaging
fNIRS: Functional near-infrared spectroscopy
FOF: Fear of fall
FOG: Freezing of gait
GPI: Globus pallidus internus
HbO: Oxygenated hemoglobin
HbR: Deoxygenated hemoglobin
HbT: Total hemoglobin
LDA: Linear discriminant analysis
MCI: Mild cognitive impairment
MD: Mood disorder
MDD: Major depressive disorder
MEG: Magnetoencephalography
NPSR1: Neuropeptide S receptor gene
PCA: Principal component analysis
PD: Parkinson’s disease
PDD: Pervasive development disorder
PET: Positron emission tomography
PFC: Prefrontal cortex
PLM: Periodic limb movements
RSFC: Resting state functional connectivity
rTMS: Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation
SAD: Social anxiety disorder
SMA: Supplementary motor area
SPECT: Single-photon emission computed tomography
SVM: Support vector machine
SZ: Schizophrenia
TBI: Traumatic brain injury
tDCS: Transcranial direct current stimulation
TOL: Tissue oxygenation index
UD: Unipolar disorder
VFT: Verbal fluency task
VIM: Ventralis intermedius

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to review the applications of functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) for diseased populations in the healthcare industry. The aging people of the world currently have various psychiatric and neurological impairments. Further, the brain functions of these patients are profoundly impaired, thereby restricting their independence in daily life. The aggravated state of these patients results in the constant involvement of caregivers to live their lives. The fatality rate is very high in the case of brain diseases. The impairments affecting this population include various types of dementias that are associated with memory loss and impaired executive functioning. The common forms of dementia are Alzheimer’s disease (AD), vascular dementia, Lewy body dementia, medication-induced dementia, and frontotemporal disorder. AD is the most widespread form of dementia, accounting for almost 60% of all dementia-related cases.1 Stroke is a type of permanent impairments that are caused by either a blockage in a brain vessel or by its bursting; thereby resulting in the death of the brain cells that are associated with the distribution of blood oxygen through that vessel. Stroke is treated as a medical emergency and can be highly fatal. Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the most common form of movement impairments that are known as Parkinsonian syndromes. PD is associated with trembling and experiencing hardship while walking and during movements and coordination. Epilepsy involves recurring, impulsive seizures, or disturbed brain activity that causes changes in the attention span or behavior of a patient. Psychiatric impairments muddle a patient’s thoughts, perceptions, characteristics, and their ability to relate to others. Common psychiatric impairments include anxiety disorders, bipolar disorder (BD), depression, schizophrenia (SZ), eating disorders, impulse control and addiction disorders, and personality disorders. Impairments other than psychiatric ones are mostly irreversible and progressive. Several medications and rehabilitation techniques are utilized to reduce the gradual decline and to improve the quality of life of these patients. The degradation starts much earlier in the brain compared to when the symptoms first appear. Therefore, the early detection of these impairments is vital. The advancements made in various neuroimaging technologies and the researches that have used them have paved the way for studying as well as detecting brain impairments.

The neuroimaging modalities include event-related potentials measurement using electroencephalography (EEG), magnetic field measurement using magnetoencephalography (MEG), radioactive tracer-based positron emission tomography (PET),
gamma emission-based single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). These modalities have allowed for valuable advancements made in the understanding of many of the neurological impairments. Examinations can only be conducted in restricted environments using fMRI, MEG, PET, and SPECT due to the large size of the machines involved and their lack of mobility, which limits the design of the study. Moreover, these systems are highly vulnerable to motion artifacts, costly, and invasive due to the insertion of radioactive tracers. They have low temporal resolution, which makes them inappropriate for conducting repeated measurements. EEG has a high temporal resolution, but it lacks spatial resolution and is vulnerable to motion artifacts. The rehabilitation of patients with impaired brain functions is essential; however, these modalities cannot be used simultaneously with rehabilitative techniques, such as electric stimulation, as these techniques are affected by electric and magnetic fields.

In contrast to established neuroimaging methods, fNIRS has proven its worthiness during the last decade. Most of the human tissues are comparatively more translucent than oxygenated (HbO) and deoxygenated hemoglobin (HbR) in a spectrum between 650 nm and 1000 nm. Therefore, optical wavelengths in this range are used to measure temporal transformations of HbO and HbR. The photons, emitted by the light sources attached to the head, moving through the different layers in the brain are either absorbed or scattered. Photodetectors are placed on the skin to receive these photons that travel in an expected banana-shaped photonic flow to reach the surface. Conventionally, fNIRS uses two wavelengths; however, introducing additional wavelengths also helps in achieving better neuronal activation. fNIRS has been utilized in studies to classify the sensory responses and motor cortex activation levels of different fingers. fNIRS can reveal the underlying neuronal networks and their complex connections in the form of functional connectivity. Various algorithms and techniques have been developed and explored using fNIRS to improve the brain–computer interfaces (BCIs) to help physically disabled persons.

This paper reviews the research works conducted to advance the understanding of the effects of various diseases on our brain using fNIRS. These studies mostly involve patients with degenerative brain or psychiatric disorders. Each section of this paper is devoted to a single disease to summarize the associated research works and their findings. For every disease, we created different subsections based on the performed task during the fNIRS recording.

2. Autism Spectrum Disorder

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD), also known as pervasive development disorder (PDD) or Asperger’s disease, is a mental disorder that affects communication. ASD is known as a developmental disorder, and it begins during childhood or even during infancy. Once an individual develops ASD, it usually remains throughout his/her life. However, several treatments and medications can improve the quality of life or even completely cure all the related symptoms. ASD patients may exhibit symptoms such as problems in talking and interacting with others, displaying repetitive behaviors, a lack of interest, or mismatched facial expressions. It is critical to diagnose ASD during early childhood because the treatment at a young age results in a much better outcome. Several studies have been conducted using fNIRS to elucidate the neuronal mechanism involved in ASD: The task-wise distribution of ASD papers is presented in Fig. 1, and all the studies are outlined in Table 1.

![Autism spectrum disorder](image_url)

**Fig. 1.** Task-based distribution of studies on autism spectrum disorder (total studies: 34).
| Work            | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/ separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| **Visual task** |                                                                                        |                        |            |                               |                     |
| Kita et al.\(^{16}\) (2011) | 11 Healthy adults (M), 21.9 ± 1.2 yrs; 13 Healthy children (M), 10.9 ± 1.0 yrs; 10 ASD patients (M), 10.2 ± 1.1 yrs | Prefrontal cortex      | OEG-16     | 16/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Nakadoi et al.\(^{17}\) (2012) | 14 Healthy individuals (8 F and 6 M), 31.5 ± 4.8 yrs; 14 PDD patients (8 F and 6 M), 31.6 ± 5.0 yrs | Frontal region         | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| Kajiume et al.\(^{18}\) (2013) | 6 Healthy individuals (M), 10.9 ± 1.6 yrs; 6 PDD patients (M), 10.7 ± 2.9 yrs | Bilateral middle temporal gyri | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Ichikawa et al.\(^{19}\) (2014) | 9 ADHD patients (M), 9.8 ± 1.6 yrs; 8 ASD patients (M), 9.8 ± 1.4 yrs | Bilateral temporal region | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Zhu et al.\(^{20}\) (2015) | 20 Healthy individuals (6 F and 14 M), 8.09 ± 1.27 yrs; 20 ASD patients (4 F and 16 M), 8.75 ± 1.34 yrs | Prefrontal cortex      | FOIRE-3000 | 22/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Jung et al.\(^{21}\) (2016) | 12 Healthy individuals (M), 14.5 ± 10.76 yrs; 8 ASD patients (M), 15.6 ± 9.55 yrs | Bilateral temporal areas | CW6        | 14/2.6 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Liu et al.\(^{22}\) (2016) | 2 Healthy individuals (F), 14 yrs and 16 yrs; 2 ASD patients (M), 11 yrs and 12 yrs | Bilateral temporal region | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Lloyd-Fox et al.\(^{23}\) (2018) | 16 Healthy infants with low-risk of ASD (6 F and 10 M), 153.81 ± 25.67 days; 20 Healthy infants with high-risk of ASD (10 F and 10 M), 149.35 ± 27.28 days | Frontal and temporal areas | UCL-NIRS   | 26/2 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| Work                | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
|                      |                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Verbal fluency task |                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Kuwabara et al.24 (2006) | 10 Healthy individuals (1 F and 9 M), 27.9 ± 4.1 yrs; 10 PDD patients (4 F and 6 M), 26.5 ± 7.1 yrs | Prefrontal cortex      | ETG-100    | 24/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| Kawakubo et al.25 (2009) | 27 Healthy individuals (6 F and 21 M), 27 ASD patients (6 F and 21 M), 24 Healthy individuals with ASD siblings (13 F and 11 M) | Prefrontal region      | NIRO-200   | 2/4 cm                       | HbO, HbR           |
| Iwanami et al.20 (2011) | 18 Healthy individuals (6 F and 12 M), 31.1 ± 4.7 yrs; 20 Asperger's patients (6 F and 14 M), 27.2 ± 8.5 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Ishii-Takahashi et al.27 (2014) | 21 Healthy individuals (8 F and 13 M), 28.8 ± 5.5 yrs; 19 ADHD patients (8 F and 11 M), 30.6 ± 7.4 yrs; 21 ASD patients (13 F and 8 M), 30.8 ± 7.2 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| Hirata et al.28 (2018) | 18 Healthy individuals (5 F and 13 M), 28–38.5 yrs; 13 ASD patients (1 F and 12 M), 23.3–38.5 yrs; 15 Schizophrenia (3 F and 12 M), 29–47 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Yeung et al.29 (2019) | 22 Healthy individuals (6 F and 16 M), 14.27 ± 1.75 yrs; 22 ASD patients (2 F and 20 M), 14.44 ± 2.23 yrs | Prefrontal region      | OEG-SpO₂ system | 16/3 cm              | HbO                |
| Cartoon watching    |                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Li and Yu30 (2016)  | 12 Healthy individuals (3 F and 9 M), 6.1 ± 1.1 yrs; 12 ASD patients (3 F and 9 M), 6.1 ± 1.1 yrs | Bilateral frontal, temporal, and occipital regions | LABNIRS     | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Work                  | Experimental population | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Li and Yu\(^{31}\) (2018) | 46 ASD patients (10 F and 36 M), 5.0 ± 1.7 yrs | Bilateral frontal, temporal, and occipital regions | LABNIRS | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Li \(^{et al.}\) (2018) | 29 Healthy individuals (9 F and 20 M), 6.5 ± 1.2 yrs; 29 ASD patients (6 F and 23 M), 6.0 ± 1.2 yrs | Bilateral frontal, temporal, and occipital regions | LABNIRS | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Jia \(^{et al.}\) (2018) | 31 Healthy individuals (11 F and 20 M), 6.56 ± 1.2 yrs; 35 ASD patients (12 F and 23 M), 5.96 ± 1.22 yrs | Bilateral frontal, temporal, and occipital regions | LABNIRS | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR          |
| Jia \(^{et al.}\) (2018) | 12 Healthy individuals, 6.1 ± 1.1 yrs; 12 ASD patients, 6.1 ± 1.1 yrs | Bilateral frontal, temporal, and occipital regions | LABNIRS | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR          |
| Xiao \(^{et al.}\) (2012) | 16 Healthy individuals (M), 9.69 ± 1.74 yrs; 16 ADHD patients (M), 9.75 ± 1.18 yrs; 19 ASD patients (M), 10.11 ± 2.08 yrs | Prefrontal region | JH-NIRS-BR-05 | 16                            | HbO               |
| Ikeda \(^{et al.}\) (2018) | 24 Healthy individuals (6 F and 18 M), 9.6 ± 1.9 yrs; 24 ASD patients (7 F and 17 M), 10.0 ± 2.8 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000 | 44/3 cm                      | HbO               |
| Sutoko \(^{et al.}\) (2019) | 21 ADHD patients, 7.8 ± 1.7 yrs; 11 ADHD+ASD patients, 8.2 ± 2.1 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000 | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR          |
| Kikuchi \(^{et al.}\) (2013) | 15 Healthy individuals (2 F and 13 M), 45–82 months; 15 ASD patients (2 F and 13 M), 47–86 months | Frontal region | FOIRE-3000 | 2/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR          |
| Work                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument   | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Zhu et al. (2014)     | 10 Healthy individuals (M), 9.0 ± 1.3 yrs; 10 ASD patients (M), 8.9 ± 1.4 yrs             | Bilateral inferior frontal and temporal cortices | FOIRE-3000   | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| Li et al. (2016)      | 22 Healthy (4 F and 18 M), 9.5 ± 1.6 yrs; 25 ASD (7 F and 18 M), 9.3 ± 1.4 yrs            | Temporal cortex        | FOIRE-3000   | 24/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| Audio stimulus        |                                                                                          |                        |              |                              |                    |
| Minagawa-Kawai et al. (2009) | 9 Healthy individuals (2 F and 7 M), 7.3 ± 1.7 yrs; 9 ASD patients (2 F and 7 M), 9.2 ± 1.8 yrs | Bilateral auditory areas | ETG-7000     | 8/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR, HbT     |
| Funabiki et al. (2012) | 12 Healthy individuals (2 F and 10 M), 14.2 ± 3.8 yrs; 11 ASD patients (1 F and 10 M), 16.8 ± 6.1 yrs | Prefrontal and temporal cortices | OMM-3000     | 32/2 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| Lloyd-Fox et al. (2018) | 16 Healthy infants with low-risk of ASD (6 F and 10 M), 153.81 ± 25.67 days; 20 Healthy infants with high-risk of ASD (10 F and 10 M), 149.35 ± 27.28 days | Frontal and temporal areas | UCL-NIRS     | 26/2 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| Stroop task           |                                                                                          |                        |              |                              |                    |
| Xiao et al. (2012)    | 16 Healthy individuals (M), 9.69 ± 1.74 yrs; 16 ADHD patients (M), 9.75 ± 1.18 yrs; 19 ASD patients (M), 10.11 ± 2.08 yrs | Prefrontal region      | JH-NIRS-BR-05 | 16                           | HbO                |
| Expression task       |                                                                                          |                        |              |                              |                    |
| Iwanaga et al. (2013) | 16 Healthy individuals (4 F and 12 M), 11.4 ± 1.8 yrs; 16 ASD patients (2 F and 14 M), 11.5 ± 1.8 yrs | Frontal region         | ETG-4000     | 22/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Work                          | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Imitation task**            |                                                                                        |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Mori et al.44 (2015)          | 10 Healthy individuals (M), 9–14 yrs; 10 ASD patients (7 M), 9–14 yrs                  | Frontal region         | OMM-3000   | 34/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| **Stop signal**               |                                                                                        |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Ishii-Takahashi et al.27 (2014)| 21 Healthy individuals (8 F and 13 M), 28.8 ± 5.5 yrs; 19 ADHD patients (8 F and 11 M), 30.6 ± 7.4 yrs; 21 ASD patients (13 F and 8 M), 30.8 ± 7.2 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| **Color and shape span task** |                                                                                        |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Yanagisawa et al.45 (2016)    | 22 Healthy individuals (16 F and 6 M), 19–51 yrs; 11 ASD patients (8 F and 3 M), 14–46 yrs | Frontal region         | NIRO-200   | 2/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR           |
| **Emotional facial recognition task** | 18 Healthy individuals (5 F and 13 M), 28–38.5 yrs; 13 ASD patients (1 F and 12 M), 23.3–38.5 yrs; 15 Schizophrenia patients (3 F and 12 M), 29–47 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                      | HbO                |
2.1. Visual task

For ASD children, a lower level of activation in the right inferior frontal gyrus was related to the inability to recognize his/her face showing impairment in that region.\textsuperscript{16} The PDD patients showed a significantly lower HbO response in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) while watching fearful facial expressions when compared to healthy persons.\textsuperscript{17} The children with PDD showed a lower HbO response in the bilateral temporal regions and especially in the right hemisphere while watching and imitating tasks when compared to healthy persons.\textsuperscript{18} During the tasks showing familiar and unfamiliar faces, the children with ASD were differentiated from those with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) using a support vector machine (SVM)-based classification.\textsuperscript{19} The children with ASD exhibited a lower HbO response and abnormal connections in the bilateral temporal regions and especially in the right hemisphere while watching and imitating tasks when compared to healthy persons.\textsuperscript{20} While watching human faces, the ASD patients showed bilateral temporal–occipital activation as compared to healthy individuals having right hemisphere activation.\textsuperscript{21} The fNIRS-based neurofeedback was provided to the ASD patients during the facial identity recognition training to achieve better outcomes.\textsuperscript{22} The infants who exhibited lower activation in the inferior frontal and posterior temporal regions in response to the social video clips were diagnosed with ASD in their early childhood.\textsuperscript{23}

2.2. Verbal fluency task

The poor performance of PDD patients in this task was related to the low HbO level in the bilateral frontal region and specifically in the right hemisphere when compared to healthy persons.\textsuperscript{24} The HbO levels of both ASD and healthy children were similar, but the adults with ASD showed lower cognitive activation as compared to healthy persons in the bilateral PFC.\textsuperscript{25} The HbO levels of the patients with Asperger’s disease in the PFC were significantly lower than those in healthy persons during the task period, thereby exhibiting the task-related impairment.\textsuperscript{26} The HbO response in the left ventrolateral and dorsolateral PFCs of ASD patients was observed to be lower than that of healthy persons, but was not differentiable from that of ADHD patients.\textsuperscript{27} Compared to healthy persons, the patients with ASD showed decreased HbO activity in the bilateral frontotemporal region, which was also a different response from that of SZ patients.\textsuperscript{28} In addition to the lateral frontopolar cortex activation that is observed in healthy persons, the medial frontopolar cortex of high-functioning ASD patients also exhibited activation, thereby demonstrating the compensation mechanism of an impaired brain.\textsuperscript{29}

2.3. Cartoon watching

A functional connectivity analysis of the young children with ASD, compared to typically developing children, revealed lower network efficiency in the prefrontal, temporal, and occipital regions.\textsuperscript{30} The global and local network efficiencies, based on a functional connectivity measure using HbR and total hemoglobin (HbT) levels, decreased as the age of the ASD children increased: Their HbO-based network efficiency was reduced as well.\textsuperscript{31} The spatial complexity analysis of functional connectivity revealed impaired information exchange in the right hemisphere of the ASD children compared to that of healthy children.\textsuperscript{32} The long-range temporal correlation values measured using HbO levels were lower in the left temporal regions, and exponents obtained through the detrended fluctuation analysis were inversely linked with the severity of ASD.\textsuperscript{33} The PFC of ASD children was largely responsible for the deteriorated functional connectivity.\textsuperscript{34}

2.4. Go/No-go task

When compared to healthy children, high-functioning children with ASD showed lower HbO activation levels in the right PFC during response inhibition tasks.\textsuperscript{35} In a frontotemporal examination, the ASD patients showed impaired cortical activation in the inferior frontal gyrus and middle frontal gyrus.\textsuperscript{36} The administration of methylphenidate in ASD-comorbid ADHD children was revealed to suppress the hemodynamic response.\textsuperscript{37}

2.5. Resting state

The resting state functional connectivity (RSFC) calculated based on low-frequency spontaneous fluctuations in the anterior PFC was higher in children with ASD than in healthy children, and it was associated with the Autism Diagnostic
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Observation Schedule scores. The children with ASD exhibited lower interhemispheric RSFC in the temporal cortex and altered local connections in both their temporal cortices. The fluctuations of the HbO and HbR levels at a resting state were higher in children with ASD than in healthy children and were used in the SVM-based classification along with RSFC.

2.6. Audio stimulus

Compared to healthy children, the children with ASD exhibited weaker cortical activity in the left temporal cortex in response to phonemic words and the right temporal cortex in response to prosodic ones. The bilateral auditory cortex lesions in the ASD patients exhibited similar responses to those of healthy persons during attentive listening; however, this differed in the PFC that exhibited an attention impairment instead of an impaired auditory cortex.

2.7. Stroop task

The study that conducted a Stroop task did not reveal any differences in the hemodynamic responses among ASD, ADHD, and healthy children.

2.8. Expression task

The ASD children showed reduced PFC activation and were more expressive for nonemotional pictures as compared to healthy children while describing their mental state in response to viewing a black and white picture depicting human eyes.

2.9. Imitation task

The children with ASD showed enhanced neuronal activation while performing imitation tasks after undergoing imitation training when compared to the low activation levels before undergoing the training.

2.10. Stop-signal

Compared to healthy persons and ADHD patients, the ASD patients showed a reduced HbO response in the ventrolateral PFC and, compared to ADHD patients, they exhibited impaired activation in the PFC during inhibitory control tasks.

2.11. Color and shape span task

A weighted separability index based on the HbO levels was utilized to reveal significant differences between the left dorsolateral PFCs of ASD patients and healthy persons during a working memory task.

2.12. Emotional facial recognition task

ASD patients in general and specifically those who paid a higher level of attention to details exhibited impaired cortical activity in the left frontotemporal region.

3. Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder

ADHD is a brain impairment that affects patients by causing lack of attention, excessive activity, and hastiness. This impairment is observed during childhood, and can remain throughout one’s life. Most ADHD patients are diagnosed at an elementary school age when they are identified to be different from other children of the same age. A suffering child mostly overlooks details while working, makes careless mistakes, fidgets or squirms while sitting, talks without listening to others, or is unable to wait. The underlying reasons for ADHD are still unknown, and hence, it can neither be prevented nor fully cured. However, various therapies and medications can improve the quality of life of ADHD patients by reducing or managing the symptoms. Many research studies have been conducted using fNIRS to uncover the neuronal behavior that causes the symptoms. The task-wise distribution of ADHD papers is presented in Fig. 2, and the details are summarized in Table 2.

3.1. Go/No-go tasks

The children with ADHD showed little cortical activation in the right PFC during the inhibitory control in a no-go situation when compared to the higher activation observed in healthy children. The administration of methylphenidate to children with ADHD resulted in an improved HbO response.
in the right lateral PFC that was related to a better performance during inhibitory response. The reduced inferior and middle frontal gyri showed better hemodynamic activation due to methylphenidate administration, but this effect was not witnessed during the placebo-based activation. The atomoxetine-administered children with ADHD exhibited similar improvements in cortical activation to those administered with methylphenidate during inhibitory control. In a classification study, the reduced activation patterns in the region of the right PFC were useful for better distinguishing between children with ADHD and the healthy ones by resulting in high area-under-the-curve values and sensitivity levels. The children with ADHD showed an overall reduced left frontopolar cortex activation, especially during response inhibition. Methylphenidate improved activation levels in children with ADHD, and this medicated response has been utilized efficiently for differentiating between ASD and ADHD.

### 3.2. N-back task

Compared to healthy individuals, the ADHD patients showed a decreased activation in the ventrolateral PFC during working memory tasks, especially in the case of high load conditions, such as a two-back task. A reduced HbO response was witnessed in ADHD patients during a working memory two-back task, which was unrelated to the reduced HbO response due to response inhibition in the stop-signal task. A complexity analysis via the permutation entropy value revealed its inverse correlation with hemodynamic activation in the PFC whereas its values of the right dorsolateral PFC of children with ADHD were higher than those of healthy children, and the entropy value was correlated with disease severity. A machine learning-based classification study using multi-domain measures including blood fatty acid profiles, psychological parameters, and fNIRS performed efficiently in differentiating children with ADHD from healthy children, and utilization of HbR levels generated better results than HbO levels. A multivariate pattern analysis-based classification showed 86% accuracy in differentiating between healthy and ADHD children and identified highly useful brain regions.

### 3.3. Stroop task

The boys with ADHD showed impaired dorsolateral PFC activation and higher brain activity in the right side as a compensation mechanism when compared to the activation in healthy boys. The HbO responses were significantly increased in the bilateral inferior-PFC and especially in the inferior lateral region of healthy individuals as compared to those of ADHD patients during a Stroop color-word task. The polymorphism of synaptosomal-associated protein 25 gene was associated with methylphenidate-related HbO and HbR changes in ADHD patients. The go/no-go task produced significant differentiable changes between the HbO levels in the PFCs of healthy and ADHD patients while the Stroop task did not. Compared to the Stroop task, the reverse Stroop task showed significant differentiable HbO responses among healthy, ASD, and ADHD children in the right lateral PFC.

### 3.4. Stop-signal task

Compared to those of healthy persons, the HbO and HbR responses in ADHD patients were weakened during the inhibitory process. Further, during response inhibition, the cortical activity in the left ventrolateral PFC significantly differed in ADHD patients when compared to ASD patients and healthy persons. A longitudinal study showed that ADHD patients exhibited improved prefrontal responses after a single dose of methylphenidate,
| Work                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument                      | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Go/No-go tasks        |                                                                                         |                        |                                 |                              |                     |
| Xiao et al. [51] (2012) | 16 Healthy individuals (M), 9.69 ± 1.74 yrs; 16 ADHD patients (M), 9.75 ± 1.18 yrs; 19 ASD patients (M), 10.11 ± 2.08 yrs | Prefrontal region     | JH-NIRS-BR-05                   | 16                           | HbO                 |
| Inoue et al. [46] (2012) | 20 Healthy individuals (6 F and 14 M), 6–14 yrs; 20 ADHD patients (6 F and 14 M), 6–14 yrs | Prefrontal cortex     | Cognoscope                      | 16/2.5 cm                    | HbO, HbR            |
| Monden et al. [47] (2012) | 12 ADHD patients (1 F and 11 M), 9.7 ± 2.4 yrs | Lateral prefrontal cortices | ETG-4000                      | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Monden et al. [48] (2012) | 16 Healthy individuals (6 F and 10 M), 8.9 ± 2.4 yrs; 16 ADHD patients (4 F and 12 M), 8.8 ± 2.2 yrs | Lateral prefrontal cortices | ETG-4000                      | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Nagashima et al. [49] (2014) | 16 Healthy individuals (2 F and 14 M), 8.9 ± 2.2 yrs; 16 ADHD patients (2 F and 14 M), 8.8 ± 2.2 yrs | Lateral prefrontal cortices | ETG-4000                      | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Monden et al. [50] (2015) | 30 Healthy individuals (5 F and 25 M), 9.7 ± 2.3 yrs; 30 ADHD patients (10 F and 20 M), 9.1 ± 2.6 yrs | Lateral prefrontal cortices | ETG-4000                      | 44/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Miao et al. [51] (2017) | 15 Healthy individuals (4 F and 11 M), 7.67 ± 1.05 yrs; 14 ADHD patients (4 F and 10 M), 7.71 ± 0.99 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000                      | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Sutoko et al. [37] (2019) | 21 ADHD patients, 7.8 ± 1.7 yrs; 11 ADHD+ASD patients, 8.2 ± 2.1 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000                      | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| N—back task          |                                                                                         |                        |                                 |                              |                     |
| Ehlis et al. [52] (2008) | 13 Healthy individuals (5 F and 8 M), 26.8 ± 3.6 yrs; 13 ADHD patients (4 F and 9 M), 29.8 ± 8.0 yrs | Lateral prefrontal areas | ETG-100                      | 24/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Work                        | Experimental population                                      | Brain area under study          | Instrument          | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Schecklmann et al.²³ (2013) | 41 Healthy individuals (21 F and 20 M), 36.1 ± 10.1 yrs;     | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000            | 52/3 cm                     | HbO, HbR            |
|                             | 45 ADHD patients (21 F and 24 M), 36.4 ± 9.9 yrs             |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 36.1 ± 10.1 yrs;                                              |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 36.4 ± 9.9 yrs                                                |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
| Gu et al.²⁴ (2017)          | 16 Healthy individuals (6 F and 10 M), 7.3 ± 1.3 yrs;        | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000            | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                |
|                             | 15 ADHD patients (5 F and 10 M), 7.6 ± 1.4 yrs                |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 7.3 ± 1.3 yrs;                                                |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 7.6 ± 1.4 yrs                                                 |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
| Crippa et al.²⁵ (2017)      | 22 Healthy individuals (1 F and 21 M), 11.4 ± 1.9 yrs;        | Bilateral frontotemporal region | DYNOT               | 32/2.7 cm                   | HbO, HbR            |
|                             | 22 ADHD patients (M), 11.5 ± 1.5 yrs                         |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 11.4 ± 1.9 yrs                                                |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 11.5 ± 1.5 yrs                                                |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
| Gu et al.²⁶ (2018)          | 25 Healthy individuals (9 F and 16 M), 7.4 ± 1.1 yrs;        | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000            | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                |
|                             | 25 ADHD patients (9 F and 16 M), 7.5 ± 1.2 yrs                |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 7.4 ± 1.1 yrs                                                 |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 7.5 ± 1.2 yrs                                                 |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
| Stroop task                 |                                                             |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
| Moser et al.²⁷ (2009)       | 12 Healthy individuals (M), 10.6 ± 1.6 yrs;                   | Lateral prefrontal cortex       | NIRO-300            | 2/4 cm and 5 cm             | HbO, HbR            |
|                             | 12 ADHD patients (M), 10.1 ± 1.9 yrs                         |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 10.6 ± 1.6 yrs                                                |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 10.1 ± 1.9 yrs                                                |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
| Negoro et al.²⁸ (2010)      | 20 Healthy individuals (3 F and 17 M), 9.35 ± 2.13 yrs;        | Frontal regions                 | ETG-100             | 24/3 cm                     | HbO                |
|                             | 20 ADHD patients (2 F and 18 M), 9.55 ± 1.93 yrs              |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 9.35 ± 2.13 yrs                                               |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 9.55 ± 1.93 yrs                                               |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
| Oner et al.²⁹ (2011)        | 15 ADHD adults, 16 ADHD children                               | Prefrontal cortex               | NIROXCOPE 301       | 16/2.5 cm                   | HbO, HbR            |
| Xiao et al.³⁰ (2012)        | 16 Healthy individuals (M), 9.69 ± 1.74 yrs;                   | Prefrontal region               | JH-NIRS-BR-05       | 16                           | HbO                |
|                             | 16 ADHD patients (M), 9.75 ± 1.18 yrs                         |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 9.69 ± 1.74 yrs                                               |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 9.75 ± 1.18 yrs                                               |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 19 ASD patients (M), 10.11 ± 2.08 yrs                         |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
|                             | 10.11 ± 2.08 yrs                                              |                                  |                     |                             |                     |
| Work                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study          | Instrument     | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Yasumura et al. (2014)| 15 Healthy individuals (9 F and 6 M), 9.56 ± 1.51 yrs; 10 ADHD patients (2 F and 8 M), 11.18 ± 2.23 yrs; 11 ASD patients (4 F and 7 M), 10.51 ± 2.30 yrs | Prefrontal cortex               | OEG-16         | 16/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR        |
|                       | **Stop-signal task**                                                                      |                                 |                |                               |                 |
| Schecklmann et al. (2013) | 41 Healthy individuals (21 F and 20 M), 36.1 ± 10.1 yrs; 45 ADHD patients (21 F and 24 M), 36.4 ± 9.9 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000       | 52/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR        |
| Ishii-Takahashi et al. (2014) | 21 Healthy individuals (8 F and 13 M), 28.8 ± 5.5 yrs; 19 ADHD patients (8 F and 11 M), 30.6 ± 7.4 yrs; 21 ASD patients (13 F and 8 M), 30.8 ± 7.2 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000       | 24/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR        |
| Ishii-Takahashi et al. (2015) | 20 Healthy individuals (6 F and 14 M), 8.1 ± 1.6 yrs; 30 ADHD patients (4 F and 26 M), 8.6 ± 1.4 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000       | 24/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR        |
| **Visual task**       |                                                                                         |                                 |                |                               |                 |
| Ichikawa et al. (2014b) | 13 Healthy individuals (M), 9.7 ± 1.3 yrs; 13 ADHD patients (M), 10.0 ± 1.3 yrs         | Bilateral temporal region       | ETG-4000       | 24/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR        |
| Ichikawa et al. (2014a) | 9 ADHD patients (M), 9.8 ± 1.6 yrs; 8 ASD patients (M), 9.8 ± 1.4 yrs                   | Bilateral temporal region       | ETG-4000       | 24/3 cm                       | HbO             |
| Marx et al. (2015)    | 9 ADHD patients (3 F and 6 M), 9.9 ± 2.1 yrs                                            | Bilateral frontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000 | 44/3 cm                       | HbO             |
| Work                        | Experimental population                                           | Brain area under study          | Instrument     | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Oddball task**            |                                                                   |                                 |                |                               |                    |
| Nagashima et al.\(^{64}\)  | 15 Healthy individuals (3 F and 12 M), 10.1 ± 1.7 yrs; 15 ADHD patients (3 F and 12 M), 9.8 ± 1.26 yrs | Bilateral frontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000      | 44/3 cm                       | HbO                |
|                            | 22 Healthy individuals (5 F and 17 M), 9.8 ± 2.0 yrs; 22 ADHD patients (6 F and 16 M), 9.5 ± 2.0 yrs |                                 |                |                               |                    |
| **Verbal fluency task**     |                                                                   |                                 |                |                               |                    |
| Schecklmann et al.\(^{56}\) | 14 Healthy individuals (5 F and 9 M), 40.6 ± 8.9 yrs; 14 ADHD patients (6 F and 8 M), 40.4 ± 10.7 yrs | Bilateral frontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000      | 44/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR           |
| Ishii-Takahashi et al.\(^{27}\) | 21 Healthy individuals (8 F and 13 M), 28.8 ± 5.5 yrs; 19 ADHD patients (8 F and 11 M), 30.6 ± 7.4 yrs; 21 ASD patients (13 F and 8 M), 30.8 ± 7.2 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal region | ETG-4000      | 24/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR           |
| **Olfactory task**          |                                                                   |                                 |                |                               |                    |
| Schecklmann et al.\(^{57}\) | 29 Healthy individuals (14 F and 15 M), 27.8 ± 4.1 yrs; 29 ADHD patients (14 F and 15 M), 28.2 ± 4.5 yrs | Bilateral frontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000      | 44/3 cm                       | HbO                |
| Schecklmann et al.\(^{58}\) | 22 Healthy individuals (14 F and 8 M), 149 ± 19 months; 27 ADHD patients (7 F and 20 M), 152 ± 17 months | Bilateral frontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000      | 44/3 cm                       | HbO                |
| **Trail-making task**       |                                                                   |                                 |                |                               |                    |
| Weber et al.\(^{60}\)      | 9 Healthy individuals (M), 11.3 ± 1.3 yrs; 11 ADHD patients (M), 10.4 ± 1.2 yrs | Frontal region                  | NIRO-300      | 2/4.6 cm                      | HbO, HbR, CBV, Cytox, TOI |
| Work | Experimental population | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| **Object and spatial working memory task** | | | | | |
| Scheckmann *et al.*\(^{70}\) (2010) | 19 Healthy individuals (4 F and 15 M), 138.6 ± 16.5 months; 19 ADHD patients (2 F and 17 M), 139.5 ± 17.3 months | Frontal cortex | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | HbO |
| **Visuospatial task** | | | | | |
| Tsujimoto *et al.*\(^{71}\) (2013) | 10 Healthy individuals (M), 10.1 ± 1.8 yrs; 16 ADHD patients (M), 10.9 ± 2.0 yrs | Lateral prefrontal cortex | OEG-16 | 16/3 cm | HbO |
| **Continuous performance task** | | | | | |
| Araki *et al.*\(^{72}\) (2015) | 12 ADHD patients (6 F and 6 M), 9.8 ± 2.3 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal cortex | ETG-100 | 24/3 cm | HbO, HbR |
| **Audio task** | | | | | |
| Kochel *et al.*\(^{73}\) (2015) | 14 Healthy individuals (M), 121.93 ± 11.29 months; 14 ADHD patients (M), 123.43 ± 17.41 months | Bilateral temporal and parietal cortices | ETG-4000 | 48/3 cm | HbO, HbR |
and its long-term use yielded an activation equivalent to that of a healthy person.61

3.5. Visual task

The children with ADHD did not exhibit cortical activity while watching angry faces, which illustrates the impairment of ADHD children to recognize an angry face.62 Using a five-fold cross-validation in an SVM-based classification of 24 channels of fNIRS data proved to be fruitful in achieving a maximum accuracy of 84% in differentiating between patients with ADHD and those with ASD.19 Neurofeedback training using a visual display yielded good results as it reportedly reduced the ADHD symptoms in children.63

3.6. Oddball task

Compared to healthy children, the ADHD children exhibited a lack of activation in the right prefrontal and inferior parietal cortices, which was normalized after atomoxetine administration.64 Administration of methylphenidate to ADHD children resulted in a normalization of activity in the right PFC but not in the inferior parietal lobe.65

3.7. Verbal fluency task

The patients with ADHD showed a lower cortical activation in the inferior frontal region compared to healthy persons, and the activation was inversely related to task performance.66 The hemodynamic responses of ADHD patients were differentiable from those of healthy persons but were similar to those of ASD patients, thereby restricting the use of verbal fluency tasks (VFTs) in the multiscategory classification.27

3.8. Olfactory task

In the temporal, somatosensory, and inferior frontal cortices, the cortical activation of ADHD patients was reduced compared to that of healthy persons.67 Administering methylphenidate to ADHD children improved the HbO responses in the temporal cortex while the cessation of the medication resulted in the recurrence of diminished activation.68

3.9. Trail-making task

The children with ADHD showed an increase in HbO and cerebral blood volume (CBV) levels during short-attention tasks, while healthy children only showed increased activity during long-attention tasks.69

3.10. Object and spatial working memory task

The cortical activations during object working memory tasks were higher than those during spatial working memory tasks for ADHD and healthy children, which showed no significantly different patterns.70

3.11. Visuospatial task

Compared to healthy children, the ADHD children showed higher activation in the PFC in response to distraction during the task owing to the impairment in inhibition control.71

3.12. Continuous performance task

The long-term usage of atomoxetine medicine significantly improved the HbO and HbR responses in the right dorsolateral PFC of children with ADHD.72

3.13. Audio task

Compared to healthy individuals, the ADHD patients exhibited lower activation levels in the superior temporal gyrus in response to angry prosody and supramarginal gyrus activation due to the compensatory mechanism.73

4. Epilepsy

Epilepsy is a disease owing to which a patient suffers from seizures. The seizures can affect patients in many ways and can range from simply staring into space to experiencing their full-body shaking or even falling on the ground. In some cases, the symptoms are visible in the whole body, yet the cause of epilepsy is from brain impairment. To study epilepsy, various studies were conducted in different environments using fNIRS. The task-wise distribution is shown in Fig. 3, and the details are outlined in Table 3.

4.1. Ictal or seizure recording

In this type of video-EEG experiment, the patient is continuously monitored using video recording, and
the exact timing of each seizure is matched with that of the recording. While this is happening, the subject can be in a resting or moving state. Initially, the onset of seizure was related to an increase in CBV. In a study performed after this, a contradictory result showing a decrease in CBV at the time of seizure onset appeared. It was later revealed that the increase or decrease in HbO levels in patients is associated with the type of seizure. Cerebral oxygenation was utilized to distinguish between complex partial seizures (CPS) and rapidly secondarily generalized CPS. Another study on children yielded similar results showing different CBV changes in different seizure types. In a detailed study on absence seizures, the HbO level decreased while the HbR level increased. Further, another study proved fNIRS to be effective in drug management as an anticonvulsant medication administered to an infant resulted in a reduction in seizure frequency. Generalized spike-and-wave discharges are associated with absence epilepsy and exhibit oxygenation before the onset followed by deoxygenation, which is again followed by oxygenation and then returning to the baseline level in the frontal cortex. An initial decrease in HbO level, known as the initial dip, that precedes the increase in HbO and HbT levels was found at the onset of ictal seizures. In a study focusing on temporal lobe seizures, the HbO and HbR changes were seen in the focal point (i.e., temporal region) as well as in the remote areas such as in the frontal or parietal cortices. A recording of supplementary motor area (SMA) seizures in a nine-year-old girl revealed an increase in cerebral blood flow (CBF), which started in the SMA and extended to the premotor and sensorimotor cortices.

fNIRS was found to be helpful and, in some cases, it performed better than EEG in detecting frontal lobe seizures that show increased HbO and HbT levels and variable HbR responses in the focal as well as in the contralateral regions. The sensitivity and specificity estimates resulting from a decrease in the HbR were higher than those resulting from an increase in HbO and HbT levels. In the preictal and postictal periods, the regional cerebral oxygenation was increased while it was decreased near the onset time of ictal seizures, as shown in previous studies. These seizure studies on epilepsy are largely affected by the issue of motion artifacts, which was resolved by using collodion-fixed prism-based optical fibers. The decrease in HbR was more significant than the increase in HbO and HbT levels in a study on posterior epilepsies. The increase in oxygen saturation was associated not only with ictal but also with epileptiform discharges without seizures. The HbO values were observed to increase in both hemispheres, but the increase was more pronounced in one hemisphere, which allows for the localization of the epilepsy-affected region using fNIRS. Utilizing a wireless fNIRS device to detect a seizure achieved a very low accuracy in seizure detection and contradicting results when utilized with a generic algorithm. Among epilepsy studies, an EEG–fNIRS study provided better results in detecting interictal epileptic discharges than an EEG–fMRI one.

4.2. Verbal fluency task
The determination of language lateralization was achieved with a higher accuracy by displaying clear activation in the language areas of the brain in children as well as in adults. The activation in the left Broca’s area was higher than that in the right hemisphere, thereby showing the left-hemisphere dominance in children. The damage in the brain results in a reorganization, as shown in a six-year-old child, that the left-hemisphere dominance was
Table 3. Studies on epilepsy.

| Work                    | Experimental population                                      | Brain area under study | Instrument         | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Ictal or Seizure Recording | 17 Epilepsy patients, 23–75 yrs                             | Frontal and occipital regions | NIRO-500          | 2/3.5–7 cm                  | HbO, HbR            |
| Villringer et al.71 (1994) | 2 Epilepsy patients, 51 yrs and 36 yrs                      | Frontal cortex         | INVOS-3100         | 32/2.5 cm and 3.5 cm         | TOI                 |
| Steinhoff et al.75 (1996) | 3 Epilepsy patients (2 F and 1 M), 4-month-old male and 45 yrs and 16 yrs females | Frontal region         | INVOS-3800A, NIRO-500 | 1/3 cm and 4 cm, 1/4 cm      | HbO, HbR, HbT, Cytox |
| Adelson et al.76 (1999)  | 8 Epilepsy patients (4 F and 4 M), 26–47 yrs                | Frontotemporal region  | INVOS-3800A       | 1/3 cm and 4 cm              | SaO₂                |
| Sokol et al.77 (2000)    | 12 Epilepsy patients (7 F and 5 M), 8–45 yrs                | Temporal and parietal regions | NIRS-1010        | 8 and 24/3 cm                | CBV                 |
| Watanabe et al.78 (2000) | 32 Epilepsy patients, 4–40 yrs                              | Temporal, frontal, and parietal regions | NIRS-1010        | 24/3 cm                      | CBV                 |
| Watanabe et al.79 (2002) | 15 Epilepsy patients (6 F and 9 M), 1.5 months–16 yrs       | Frontal cortex         | NIRO-300           | 1/4 cm                       | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Haginoya et al.90 (2002) | 3 Epilepsy patients (1 F and 2 M), 21, 28, and 46 yrs       | Frontal cortex         | NIRO-500           | 4 cm                         | HbO, HbR            |
| Buchheim et al.81 (2004) | 78-Day-old epileptic male                                   | Frontal region         | INVOS-300          | 2                            | SO₂                 |
| Diaz et al.82 (2006)     | 6 Epilepsy patients (2 F and 4 M), 1–16 yrs                 | Left frontal cortex    | Imagent            | 1/3.5 cm                     | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Gallagher et al.84 (2008)| 10-Year-old epileptic boy                                   | Right frontal, bilateral parasagittal, and bilateral rolandic regions | Imagent          | 128                          | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Nguyen et al.85 (2012)   | 9 Epilepsy patients (4 F and 5 M), 11–56 yrs                | Full head              | Imagent            | 120/3 cm and 5 cm            | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Sato et al.86 (2013)     | 18 Epilepsy patients (11 F and 7 M), 13–46 yrs              | Bilateral frontal, temporal, and parietal regions | Imagent          | 44–203/3 cm and 5 cm         | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Nguyen et al.87 (2013)   | 9 Epilepsy patients, 4–40 yrs                               | Full head              | Imagent            | 2                            | SaO₂                |
| Work                    | Experimental population                   | Brain area under study                                      | Instrument            | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Peng et al. (2014)      | 40 Epilepsy patients (14 F and 26 M), 10–62 yrs | Bilateral frontal, temporal, and central regions            | Imagent               | 76–154/3–5 cm                | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Seyal (2014)            | 6 Epilepsy patients (3 F and 3 M), 34–49 yrs | Frontal cortex                                             | Nonin EQUANOX Model 7600 | 2/2 cm and 4 cm              | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Yücel et al. (2014)     | 2 Epilepsy patients (1 F and 1 M), 59 yrs and 36 yrs | Frontal and temporal regions                               | CW6                   | 8                            | HbO, HbR, HbT, CMRO₂, CBF |
| Pouliot et al. (2014)   | 9 Epilepsy patients (4 F and 5 M), 18–64 yrs | Full head                                                  | Imagent               | Over 100/3–5 cm              | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Monrad et al. (2015)    | 4 Epilepsy patients, 5–17 yrs             | Frontal region                                             | INVOS-5100C           |                              | SO₂                |
| Rizki et al. (2015)     | 6 Epilepsy patients (4 F and 2 M), 20–55 yrs | Temporal region                                            | ETG-4000              | 44                           | HbO                |
| Jeppesen et al. (2015)  | 15 Epilepsy patients, 20–58 yrs           | Frontal region                                             | PortaLite             | 2/3, 3.5, and 4 cm           | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Pellegrino et al. (2016)| 9 Epilepsy patients (6 F and 3 M), 21–53 yrs | Frontal and temporal regions                               | Brainsight            |                              | HbO, HbR           |
| Verbal fluency task     |                                          |                                                            |                       |                              |                     |
| Gallagher et al. (2007) | 3 Healthy individuals (1 F and 2 M), 25–28 yrs; 6 Epilepsy patients (1 F and 5 M), 9–29 yrs | Broca’s area, Wernicke’s area, and same area in right hemisphere | Imagent               | 128/2–5 cm                  | HbO, HbR           |
| Gallagher et al. (2008) | 9-Year-old epileptic male                 | Broca’s area, Wernicke’s area, and same area in right hemisphere | Imagent               | 128/2–5 cm                  | HbO, HbR           |
| Gallagher et al. (2008) | 10-Year-old epileptic male                | Right frontal, bilateral parasagittal, and bilateral rolandic regions | Imagent               | 128                          | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Vannasing et al. (2016) | 10-Year-old epileptic male                | Broca’s area, Wernicke’s area, and same area in right hemisphere | Imagent               |                              | HbO, HbR           |
| Work                          | Experimental population | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Word generation task**      |                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Watanabe et al.<sup>109</sup> (1998) | 11 Healthy individuals, 25–47 yrs; 6 Epilepsy patients, 25–47 yrs | Frontotemporal area |            | 24/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Watson et al.<sup>100</sup> (2004) | 8 Healthy individuals (3 F and 5 M), 24–49 yrs; 16 Epilepsy patients (8 F and 8 M), 20–58 yrs | Frontal cortex | ETG-100 | 24                           | HbT                |
| Ota et al.<sup>101</sup> (2010) | 28 Epilepsy patients (16 F and 12 M), 14–74 yrs | Frontotemporal area | ETG-4000 | 24                           | HbO                |
| **Resting state**             |                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Machado et al.<sup>102</sup> (2011) | 10-Year-old epileptic male | Right frontal, bilateral parasagittal, and bilateral rolandic regions | Imagent | 128                          | HbO, HbR           |
| Pouliot et al.<sup>103</sup> (2012) | 9-Year-old epileptic male | Broca's area, Wernicke's area, and same area in right hemisphere | Imagent | 128/2–5 cm                   | HbO, HbR           |
| Sirpal et al.<sup>104</sup> (2019) | 40 Epilepsy patients (13 F and 27 M), 11–62 yrs | Full head | Imagent | 3–4 cm                       | HbO, HbR           |
| **Receptive language task**   |                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Gallagher et al.<sup>97</sup> (2008) | 9-Year-old epileptic male | Broca's area, Wernicke's area, and same area in right hemisphere | Imagent | 128/2–5 cm                   | HbO, HbR           |
| Work                  | Experimental population          | Brain area under study                                      | Instrument          | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Vannasing et al.     | 10-Year-old epileptic male       | Broca’s area, Wernicke’s area, and same area in right hemisphere | Imagent             |                               | HbO, HbR            |
| Honda et al.         | 6-Month-old epileptic male       | Bilateral sensorimotor cortices                            | ETG-7100            | 24/3 cm                       | HbO                |
| Direct cortical stimulation |                               |                                                            |                     |                               |                     |
| Sato et al.          | 18-Year-old epileptic male       | Frontotemporal areas                                       | ETG-4100            | 48                            | HbO, HbR            |
| Motor task           | 12 Healthy individuals (4 F and 8 M), 32.2 ± 10 yrs; 10 Epilepsy patients (3 F and 7 M), 36 ± 10.2 yrs | Bilateral motor cortex | Class-I medical device by the Physics Department of the Politecnico of Milan | 30               | HbO, HbR            |
| Reading task         | 42-Year-old epileptic male       | Full head                                                 | Imagent             |                               | HbO, HbR            |
| Sañ et al.           |                                 |                                                            |                     |                               |                     |
changed to the right-hemisphere dominance when the child became 10 years old.98

4.3. Word generation task
One of the earliest researches on epilepsy using fNIRS noninvasively accessed the language dominance areas in the brain by showing activations on the same side as determined by the Wada test.99 Patients exhibited better language lateralization results before surgery than after surgery.100 The fNIRS was used in combination with fMRI and MEG to improve the detection of language lateralization.101

4.4. Resting state
When comparing methods to detect seizure activity efficiently, the Bayesian general linear model was more accurate and reliable than the wavelet generalized least-square algorithm.102 In terms of estimating the hemodynamic response, the Volterra kernel expansion method showed better results in the cases where the conventional methods failed.103 A model based on long short-term memory in the recurrent neural networks demonstrated an efficient performance in seizure detection in a hybrid EEG–fNIRS study.104

4.5. Receptive language task
While listening to storytelling, the Wernicke’s and Broca’s areas in both hemispheres were activated in a nine-year-old Yiddish boy.97 The left hemisphere was dominant at first in an epilepsy patient who was six-year-old while the right hemisphere was dominant when the patient turned 10-year-old owing to the functional brain reorganization due to the damage caused by epilepsy.98

4.6. Passive motor task
Once a portion of the brain is surgically removed to treat epilepsy, the functional loss is recovered by the healthy portion of the brain via reorganization. The movement of right arm was impaired due to a surgery performed in the left hemisphere, but rehabilitation through passive movement therapy showed activation in the right hemisphere.105

4.7. Direct cortical stimulation
The cortical stimulation of the left temporal region resulted in a rise in the HbO and HbR levels in the temporal as well as in the frontal regions, thereby displaying the possible functional connectivity of the language area.106

4.8. Motor task
The epileptic patients showed an activation of a comparably smaller amplitude than the activation exhibited by healthy persons while gripping a soft item using their right hand.107

4.9. Reading task
In a patient with reading epilepsy, the seizure activity was located in the left precentral gyrus covering the motor, premotor, and supplementary motor cortex areas while reading aloud or silently.108

5. Depressive Disorders
Patients affected by various types of depressive disorders suffer from feelings of sorrow and hollowness. This condition intensely affects their thinking ability, emotions, and personality inclination. The patients can suffer from a lack of appetite, tiredness, loss of motivation in their daily life, frustration, or anger. The underlying reasons for depression can be a single factor or a combination of various factors like losing someone, trauma, or social, hormonal, or genetic issues. The symptoms can occur at any age, yet in most of the known cases, they developed in adults. The fNIRS has been used by several researchers to study depressive states. The task-wise distribution is presented in Fig. 4, and all studies are outlined in Table 4.

5.1. Verbal fluency task
This task has been widely used to test depressed populations. In the earliest studies conducted to discover the neuronal activation in patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) and BD, while monitoring only the left PFC, the increase in HbO levels was significantly lower in patients than in healthy individuals.109 This result was also observed while monitoring the bilateral PFC in a study on BD patients.110 In a study on late-onset MDD patients, a smaller area in the PFC of the MDD patients was activated when compared to the healthy persons.111 The patients with late-onset
depression exhibited impaired community interaction that was positively correlated with a reduced frontopolar HbO response. Compared to healthy persons, the MDD patients showed a lower level of activation in the PFC as well as in the temporal regions. The area-under-the-curve and weighted-center values extracted from the time-series signal associated with the HbO responses showed significant differences between patients with unipolar disorder (UD) and those with BD. The changes in HbO levels in the right dorsolateral PFC were inversely linked with the severity of the disease in MDD patients.

Rehabilitating patients with mood disorder (MD) using animal-assisted therapy resulted in significant improvements in cognitive activation in the PFC. The HbO changes, in general, were steeper in MDD patients than SZ patients, and in the dorsolateral and ventrolateral PFCs were correlated with the Global Assessment of Functioning scores of MDD patients. A higher ratio of positive thoughts versus negative thoughts in MDD patients was related to a higher HbO response in the left dorsolateral PFC and a lower HbO response in the right superior temporal gyrus. Children with depressive disorder showed improved frontopolar activation after receiving psychodynamic therapy for six months. Patients with late-life depression showed a reduced and yet statistically non-significant activation when compared to AD patients. The increase in depression in MDD patients was associated with increased HbO levels during cognitive activation in the frontopolar PFC and right dorsolateral PFC.

A cognitive analysis of BD patients revealed that better social performance was linked with higher activation in the right PFC. The HbO variations of hypomanic BD patients were significantly higher than those of depressed BD patients in the left dorsolateral PFC. The depressive and euthymic states in BD patients were differentiated based on HbO levels in the left temporal region, whereas the intensity of the HbO change revealed the severity of the symptoms. The social functioning of patients with depression during later stages in their lives was correlated with the activation levels in the frontopolar and dorsolateral PFCs while the right ventrolateral PFC predicted the effect of rehabilitation.

In a detailed study on MDD, patients with melancholic depression exhibited a significantly lower HbO response in the frontotemporal region when compared to the patients with nonmelancholic depression. Depressed patients who exhibited non-suppressive effects in response to the administration of dexamethasone and corticotropin-releasing hormone showed significant differences in fNIRS responses when compared to the patients who exhibited suppressive behavior.

BD and MDD patients with family histories of psychiatric diseases showed highly impaired activation in the PFC compared to those without family histories of psychiatric diseases. MDD patients with positive responsiveness to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors showed a significantly higher HbO response compared to nonresponsive MDD patients. Patients with menopausal depression and those with MDD showed lower activations in the right and left dorsolateral PFCs, which differentiated them from each other as well as from healthy persons. MDD patients who attempted suicide showed a smaller HbO response in the left precentral gyrus compared to those who did not attempt suicide and to healthy persons, and the HbO response was negatively correlated with impulsivity, hopelessness, and aggression levels.

The dorsolateral PFC in BD patients showed higher activation than in SZ patients, demonstrating the less severe verbal memory impairment associated with BD compared to SZ. The HbO response in the right dorsolateral PFC in BD patients with psychotic symptoms was negatively associated with the extent of disease and was lower compared to
| Work                          | Experimental population                                      | Brain area under study     | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Matsuo et al.109 (2002)       | 21 Healthy individuals (18 F and 3 M), 50.3 ± 12.6 yrs; 14 MDD patients (10 F and 4 M), 56.1 ± 17.3 yrs; 11 BD patients (8 F and 3 M), 47.9 ± 12.9 yrs | Left frontal region        | HEO-200    | 1/4 cm                        | HbO, HbR            |
| Matsuo et al.110 (2004)       | 9 Healthy individuals (3 F and 6 M), 47.3 ± 14.6 yrs; 9 BD patients (5 F and 4 M), 47.4 ± 9.87 yrs | Frontal region             | ETG-100    | 24/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| Matsuo et al.111 (2005)       | 10 Healthy individuals (4 F and 6 M), 58.7 ± 5.8 yrs; 10 MDD patients (5 F and 5 M), 62.2 ± 4.8 yrs | Frontal region             | ETG-100    | 24/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| Pu et al.112 (2008)           | 30 Healthy individuals (16 F and 14 M), 72.0 ± 4.7 yrs; 24 MDD patients (18 F and 6 M), 72.3 ± 5.5 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Suto et al.113 (2004)         | 16 Healthy individuals (4 F and 12 M), 42.9 ± 4.6 yrs; 10 MDD patients (1 F and 9 M), 47.9 ± 12.8 yrs; 13 Schizophrenia patients (4 F and 9 M), 37.9 ± 12 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-100    | 48/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Pu et al.114 (2012)           | 30 Healthy individuals (18 F and 12 M), 50.5 ± 19.2 yrs; 26 MDD patients (15 F and 11 M), 47.9 ± 19.2 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Shimodera et al.115 (2012)    | 24 Healthy individuals (11 F and 13 M), 40.9 ± 10.6 yrs; 39 MDD patients (20 F and 19 M), 56.9 ± 12.6 yrs; 14 BD patients (7 F and 7 M), 51.4 ± 14.0 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal cortex | OMM-3000/16 | 42/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Noda et al.116 (2012)         | 30 Healthy individuals (16 F and 14 M), 35.1 ± 9.4 yrs; 30 MDD patients (16 F and 14 M), 36.7 ± 11.6 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Work                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study                                    | Instrument  | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Aoki et al.\(^{117}\) (2012) | 1 Healthy individual (M), 48 yrs; 2 MD patients (1 F and 1 M), 22 yrs and 26 yrs       | Bilateral prefrontal cortex                                | FOIRE-300   | 42/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Kinou et al.\(^{118}\) (2013) | 32 Healthy individuals (17 F and 15 M), 45.7 ± 13.5 yrs; 32 MDD patients (17 F and 15 M), 44.8 ± 9.8 yrs; 32 Schizophrenia patients (17 F and 15 M), 41.7 ± 10.1 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions        | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Koseki et al.\(^{119}\) (2013) | 75 MDD patients (36 F and 39 M), 39.23 ± 12.49 yrs                                        | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions        | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Usami et al.\(^{120}\) (2014) | 10 MDD patients (9 F and 1 M), 12.9 ± 0.9 yrs                                             | Prefrontal cortex                                         | Spectratech spectroscope                              | 2/3 cm             | HbO                 |
| Kito et al.\(^{121}\) (2014) | 33 Healthy individuals (22 F and 11 M), 69.6 ± 5.5 yrs; 30 MDD patients (21 F and 9 M), 71.1 ± 6.8 yrs; 28 AD patients (18 F and 10 M), 76.6 ± 6.9 yrs | Frontal and parietal cortices                             | FOIRE-3000  | 44/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Liu et al.\(^{122}\) (2014)   | 30 Healthy individuals (14 F and 16 M), 33.2 ± 10.5 yrs; 30 MDD patients (18 F and 12 M), 38.38 ± 12.8 yrs | Prefrontal cortex                                         | FOIRE-3000  | 45/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR, HbT       |
| Nishimura et al.\(^{123}\) (2015) | 65 Healthy individuals (35 F and 30 M), 36.1 ± 11.9 yrs; 33 BD patients (18 F and 15 M), 37.8 ± 10.7 yrs | Frontotemporal region                                   | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Nishimura et al.\(^{124}\) (2015) | 12 Healthy individuals (8 F and 4 M), 46.4 ± 6.6 yrs; 27 BD patients (9 F and 18 M), 37.8 ± 10.7 yrs | Frontotemporal region                                   | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Mikawa et al.\(^{125}\) (2015) | 28 Healthy individuals (17 F and 11 M), 37.0 ± 9.5 yrs; 55 BD patients (27 F and 28 M), 40.67 ± 13.6 yrs, 41.97 ± 11.3 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions                 | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Work                | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study               | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Pu et al.126 (2015) | 29 Healthy individuals (22 F and 7 M), 71.6 ± 5.57 yrs; 29 MDD patients (22 F and 7 M), 72.4 ± 5.71 yrs | Frontotemporal region                | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Tsuji et al.127 (2016) | 68 Healthy individuals (36 F and 32 M), 20–65 yrs; 82 MDD patients (49 F and 33 M), 20–73 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Kinoshita et al.128 (2016) | 31 Depressive patients (16 F and 15 M), 44.2 ± 12.2 yrs | Frontal region                        | ETG-4000   | 22/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| Ohi et al.129 (2017) | 51 Healthy individuals (18 F and 33 M), 35.7 ± 11.9 yrs; 26 MDD patients (9 F and 17 M), 41.1 ± 12.7 yrs; 22 BD patients (9 F and 13 M), 39.9 ± 12.5 yrs; 45 Schizophrenia patients (29 F and 16 M), 35.4 ± 9.1 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| Masuda et al.130 (2017) | 63 Healthy individuals (28 F and 35 M), 41.7 ± 1.4 yrs; 47 MDD patients (26 F and 21 M), 48.6 ± 15.0 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-7100   | 47/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| Ma et al.131 (2017)  | 30 Healthy individuals (F), 34.83 ± 8.77 yrs; 30 MDD patients (F), 37.50 ± 10.60 yrs; 30 MD patients (F), 51.17 ± 6.06 yrs | Prefrontal cortex                    | FOIRE-3000 | 45/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR, HbT       |
| Tsuji et al.132 (2017) | 40 Healthy individuals (25 F and 15 M), 38.2 ± 10.5 yrs; 68 MDD patients (44 F and 24 M), 37.6 ± 10.0 yrs, 38.8 ± 9.7 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Yamamuro et al.133 (2018) | 26 Healthy individuals (19 F and 7 M), 48.73 ± 8.40 yrs; 33 BD patients (22 F and 11 M), 50.03 ± 10.49 yrs; 38 Schizophrenia patients (26 F and 12 M), 45.58 ± 8.21 yrs | Prefrontal cortex                    | ETG-4000   | 48/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Work | Experimental population                                      | Brain area under study                  | Instrument   | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Sun et al. 134 (2018) | 23 Healthy individuals (11 F and 12 M), 32.91 ± 10.18 yrs; 29 BD psychotic patients (14 F and 15 M), 28.38 ± 6.83 yrs; 31 BD patients (17 F and 14 M), 30.93 ± 8.98 yrs | Frontal region                        | FOIRE-3000    | 45/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Akiyama et al. 135 (2018) | 50 Healthy individuals (40 F and 10 M), 32.7 ± 7.5 yrs; 177 MDD patients (104 F and 73 M), 47.2 ± 15.1 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Fujiwara et al. 136 (2018) | 17 Healthy individuals (4 F and 13 M), 22–65 yrs; 36 Ulcerative colitis patients (17 F and 19 M), 14–77 yrs; 32 Crohn’s disease patients (6 F and 26 M), 15–52 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Yan et al. 137 (2018) | 32 Healthy individuals (17 F and 15 M), 24.7 ± 2.4 yrs; 43 BD patients (26 F and 17 M), 26.7 ± 7.0 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal regions           | ETG-4000    | 41/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Satomura et al. 138 (2019) | 45 MDD patients (32 F and 13 M), 39.8 ± 11.8 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Hyperventilation task | Matsuo et al. 109 (2002) | 21 Healthy individuals (18 F and 3 M), 50.3 ± 12.6 yrs; 14 MDD patients (10 F and 4 M), 56.1 ± 17.3 yrs; 11 BD patients (8 F and 3 M), 47.9 ± 12.9 yrs | Left frontal region                  | HEO-200        | 1/4 cm            | HbO, HbR            |
| Matsuo et al. 110 (2004) | 9 Healthy individuals (3 F and 6 M), 47.3 ± 14.6 yrs; 9 BD patients (5 F and 4 M), 47.4 ± 9.87 yrs | Frontal region                        | ETG-100      | 24/3 cm                     | HbO, HbR            |
| Work                      | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study         | Instrument  | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Matsuo et al.\(^{111}\) (2005) | 10 Healthy individuals (4 F and 6 M), 58.7 ± 5.8 yrs; 10 MDD patients (5 F and 5 M), 62.2 ± 4.8 yrs | Frontal region                 | ETG-100     | 24/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| N-back task               |                                                                                         |                                |             |                              |                     |
| Pu et al.\(^{139}\) (2011) | 26 Healthy individuals (18 F and 8 M), 42.4 ± 9.3 yrs; 24 MDD (12 F and 12 M), 47.9 ± 13.9 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Pu et al.\(^{140}\) (2012) | 35 Healthy individuals (24 F and 11 M), 70.9 ± 4.3 yrs; 36 MDD patients (27 F and 9 M), 71.8 ± 5.1 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Zhu et al.\(^{141}\) (2018) | 36 Healthy individuals (18 F and 18 M), 33.6 ± 10.3 yrs; 35 UD patients (24 F and 11 M), 35.9 ± 13.2 yrs; 39 BD patients (20 F and 19 M), 37.0 ± 12.9 yrs | Frontotemporal region          | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Stroop tasks              |                                                                                         |                                |             |                              |                     |
| Matsubara et al.\(^{142}\) (2014) | 20 Healthy individuals (10 F and 10 M), 41.4 ± 8.5 yrs; 16 MDD patients (8 F and 8 M), 45.4 ± 12.2 yrs; 16 BD patients (8 F and 8 M), 44.1 ± 17.5 yrs | Frontotemporal region          | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Yamamuro et al.\(^{133}\) (2018) | 26 Healthy individuals (19 F and 7 M), 48.73 ± 8.40 yrs; 33 BD patients (22 F and 11 M), 50.03 ± 10.49 yrs; 38 Schizophrenia patients (26 F and 12 M), 45.58 ± 8.21 yrs | Prefrontal cortex              | ETG-4000    | 48/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Resting state             |                                                                                         |                                |             |                              |                     |
| Zhu et al.\(^{143}\) (2017) | 30 Healthy individuals (9 F and 21 M), 23.60 ± 2.03 yrs; 28 MD patients (20 F and 8 M), 23.32 ± 5.01 yrs | Prefrontal cortex              | FOIRE-3000  | 42/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Work               | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument       | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Wu et al. \textsuperscript{144} (2018) | 62 Healthy individuals (30 F and 32 M), 24.6 ± 0.9 yrs; 15 Sleep disorder patients (8 F and 7 M), 26.2 ± 4.68 yrs | Prefrontal cortex      | FOIRE-3000       | 42/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Electroconvulsive therapy | 10 MD patients (6 F and 4 M), 64.5 ± 10.1 yrs; 11 Schizophrenia patients (9 F and 2 M), 45.8 ± 13.6 yrs | Frontal region         | NIRO-200         | 2/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| Takei et al. \textsuperscript{146} (2014) | 31 Healthy individuals (20 F and 11 M), 33.6 ± 10.0 yrs; 29 MDD patients (15 F and 14 M), 34.5 ± 9.0 yrs; 31 BD patients (17 F and 14 M), 34.9 ± 6.6 yrs | Frontotemporal region  | ETG-4000         | 52/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Digit span task    | 16 Healthy individuals (M), 29.4 ± 9.6 yrs; 16 PTSD patients (M), 29.4 ± 9.6 yrs        | Prefrontal region      | Cephalogics system | 36/2.8 cm                    | HbO, HbR            |
| Visuospatial task  | 33 Healthy individuals (22 F and 11 M), 69.6 ± 5.5 yrs; 30 MDD patients (21 F and 9 M), 71.1 ± 6.8 yrs; 28 AD patients (18 F and 10 M), 76.6 ± 6.9 yrs | Frontal and parietal cortices | FOIRE-3000       | 44/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Stop-signal task   | 18 Healthy individuals (10 F and 8 M), 36.6 ± 10.7 yrs; 21 BD patients (12 F and 9 M), 36.9 ± 10.2 yrs; 20 Schizophrenia patients (11 F and 9 M), 33.6 ± 8.7 yrs | Frontotemporal region  | ETG-4000         | 52/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Work                                      | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study     | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| **Image recall task**                     |                                                                                        |                            |            |                              |                   |
| Kondo et al. (2018)                       | 25 Healthy individuals (7 F and 18 M), 34.1 ± 10.1 yrs; 25 MDD patients (8 F and 17 M), 36 ± 8.91 yrs | Frontotemporal region     | ETG-4000   | 44/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR          |
| **Tooth clenching task**                  |                                                                                        |                            |            |                              |                   |
| Zaproudina et al. (2018)                  | 14 Healthy individuals (10 F and 4 M), 38.6 ± 10.0 yrs; 12 Migraineurs (10 F and 2 M), 37.8 ± 11.3 yrs | Frontal region             | OxyMon MkIII | 2/3.5–4 cm                   | HbO, HbR, HbT     |
| **Tower of London task**                  |                                                                                        |                            |            |                              |                   |
| Yan et al. (2018)                         | 32 Healthy individuals (17 F and 15 M), 24.7 ± 2.4 yrs; 43 BD patients (26 F and 17 M), 26.7 ± 7.0 yrs | Prefrontal cortex         | ETG-4000   | 41/3 cm                      | HbO               |
that of BD patients without psychotic symptoms. The depressive patients with the mandatory symptoms showed significantly lower activation in the left dorsolateral PFC compared to depressive patients without mandatory symptoms, which illustrated that a higher level of impairment in the left dorsolateral PFC is associated with mandatory symptoms. Inflammatory bowel disease is linked with depression, and the patients with the disease also showed reduced cognitive activation in the PFC as observed via various studies on depressive disease. BD patients showed lower activations in the right ventrolateral and dorsolateral PFCs and the bilateral PFC when compared to healthy persons. The changes in HbO activation in MDD patients in the right inferior frontal gyrus and bilateral middle frontal gyri were associated with the extent of the disease and can be observed to distinguish different impairments.

5.2. Hyperventilation task
The patients with MDD and BD showed a significantly small reduction in HbO levels during hyperventilation as compared to healthy persons.

5.3. N-back task
The patients with MDD showed a lower level of activation in the lateral PFC and superior temporal region during a two-back task. The late-onset disorder patients also showed reduced activation in the prefrontal and temporal regions during a two-back task, which was significantly related to lower scores on the Social Adaptation Self-Evaluation Scale. Observing the reduced HbO response in the left frontopolar region and Broca’s area was conclusive in differentiating between UD and BD patients.

5.4. Stroop task
During an emotional Stroop task, the patients with BD exhibited similar HbO and HbR responses in the frontal regions to those of MDD patients in response to sad stimuli and different responses in response to happy stimuli. During a Stroop color-word task to measure inhibitory control, the BD patients exhibited lower activation in the frontopolar PFC.

5.5. Resting state
The RSFC in medicated patients with affective disorders was reduced compared to healthy persons in terms of the intrahemispheric, interhemispheric, and intraregional connections but was higher when compared to patients who were not medicated. Thresholding the regional functional connectivity in a resting state facilitated the differentiation of patients from healthy persons.

5.6. Electroconvulsive therapy
Bilateral electroconvulsive therapy administered to MD patients resulted in reduced regional CBF in the frontal region that increased during the ictal onset and was maintained at that level during the postictal period.

5.7. Conversation task
PFC activation was reduced in MDD and BD patients, but the continuous activation and brisk fluctuations could differentiate the impairment characteristics.

5.8. Digit span task
A study on posttraumatic stress disorder patients revealed activations during the retention phase and deactivations during the forward or backward recall phases, thereby illustrating the inhibition in the PFC.

5.9. Visuospatial task
In a comparative study on AD and late-life depression patients, the AD patients showed higher activation in the parietal cortex during the Benton Judgment of Line Orientation task.

5.10. Stop-signal task
The reaction time in BD patients was inversely associated with their HbO responses in the right inferior frontal gyrus.

5.11. Image recall task
Compared to healthy persons, the patients with MDD showed lower HbO responses in the bilateral
PFC during unpleasant image recalls, and the HbO response in the left PFC was inversely associated with the depression score.\textsuperscript{149}

5.12. \textit{Tooth clenching task}

Migraine patients exhibited higher HbR and HbT values in the right PFC compared to healthy individuals, thereby displaying a microvascular oxygen delivery and utilization impairment.\textsuperscript{150}

5.13. \textit{Tower of London task}

The BD patients revealed significantly smaller changes in the bilateral dorsolateral PFC compared to healthy persons, indicating impaired planning and problem-solving capabilities.\textsuperscript{137}

6. Anxiety and Panic Disorder

Anxiety is often perceived as a healthy emotion and is considered normal unless a person regularly feels inconsistent levels of this emotion, following which it may transform into a medical disorder. This disorder may lead to feelings of fear, worry, and uneasiness. Another associated state of this condition is called panic disorder that is characterized by sudden panic attacks accompanied by perspiration, wobbling, and dyspnea.\textsuperscript{151} Due to the portability of the fNIRS system, extensive research on patients with anxiety, panic disorder, stress, and many other types of mental health disorders has been performed and is currently underway. Here, we briefly review the fNIRS studies on patients with anxiety and panic disorders. Figure 5 shows the task-wise distribution of the studies, and Table 5 summarizes all fNIRS studies on patients with anxiety/fear and panic disorders.

6.1. \textit{Verbal fluency task}

In a study involving a word-fluency cognitive task, the left inferior frontal lobe was significantly less activated (HbO) in patients with panic disorder when compared to healthy persons.\textsuperscript{152} This pilot study suggests that there is a dysfunction in the left frontal lobe of patients with panic disorder. Another subsequent study on these patients reported that the occurrence of panic attacks was significantly related to HbO changes in the left inferior PFC while the severity of symptoms was associated with the HbR changes in the right PFC.\textsuperscript{153} A later study conducted with the same protocol outlines the relationship between frontal lobe function and the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) genotype.\textsuperscript{154} This study reported that the increase in HbO levels in the right lateral PFC is associated with the COMT gene of patients with panic disorder. Two studies were conducted using repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) along with cognitive and additional emotional Stroop tasks, and the results associated with PFC activations/deactivations were compared with those of healthy persons.\textsuperscript{155,156} At the baseline (without rTMS), the fNIRS measurements associated with the VFT revealed hypofrontality in the dorsolateral PFC, in panic disorder patients, which significantly differed from the activations observed in healthy persons. However, after sham rTMS, a significant increase in activation was reported in the left inferior frontal gyrus. While performing the VFT, patients with social anxiety disorder (SAD) showed smaller changes in their HbO responses in the ventrolateral PFC as compared to healthy persons.\textsuperscript{157} In another fNIRS study, hyperactivity was also reported in the left frontal area of SAD patients compared to healthy persons.\textsuperscript{158}
Table 5. Studies on anxiety disorders.

| Work                        | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study                        | Instrument   | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Verbal fluency task         | 33 Healthy individuals, 26.09 ± 4.30 yrs; 5 Panic disorder patients, 27.0 ± 6.04 yrs    | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000     | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Nishimura et al. (2007)     | 109 Panic disorder patients (75 F and 34 M), 56.1 ± 17.3 yrs                           | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000     | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Tanii et al. (2009)         | Panic disorder patients, 8 Met/Met (7 F and 1 M), 34.88 ± 10.47 yrs; 29 Val/Met (24 F and 5 M), 39.0 ± 9.11 yrs; 34 Val/Val (20 F and 14 M), 37.24 ± 9.49 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000     | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Deppermann et al. (2014)    | 23 Healthy individuals (14 F and 9 M), 19–64 yrs; 22 Panic disorder patients (14 F and 8 M), 22–56 yrs; 22 Panic disorder patients (13 F and 9 M), 19–63 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000     | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Deppermann et al. (2017)    | 23 Healthy individuals (14 F and 9 M), 19–64 yrs; 22 Panic disorder patients (14 F and 8 M), 22–56 yrs; 22 Panic disorder patients (13 F and 9 M), 19–63 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000     | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Yokoyama et al. (2015)      | 35 Healthy individuals (18 F and 17 M), 37.3 ± 10.9 yrs; 24 Anxiety disorder patients (12 F and 12 M), 36.3 ± 12.8 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000     | 52/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Kawashima et al. (2016)     | 152 Healthy individuals (53 F and 99 M), 26.0 ± 6.3 yrs; 145 Anxiety disorder patients (61 F and 84 M), 26.5 ± 7.7 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-7100     | 47                           | HbO                 |
| Work                        | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study                      | Instrument               | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| **Visual task**             |                                                                                         |                                            |                          |                               |                     |
| Marumo et al.\(^{(159)}\) (2009) | Anxiety study: 10 M, 33.5 ± 9.0 yrs; 10 F, 31.8 ± 9.0 yrs                               | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000               | 52/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR, HbT       |
| Roos et al.\(^{(160)}\) (2011) | Anxiety study: 32 Pregnant women, 24.8 ± 5.6 yrs; 32 Nonpregnant women, 25.3 ± 5.7 yrs | PFC                                        | DYNOT                   |                               | HbO                 |
| Kochel et al.\(^{(161)}\) (2011) | 24 Healthy individuals (F), 36.4 ± 14.9 yrs; 25 Phobic patients (F), 39.0 ± 11.3 yrs   | Frontoparietal regions                     | ETG-4000               | 22                            | HbO                 |
| Tupak et al.\(^{(162)}\) (2013) | Phobic genetic study: 92 Participants (61 F and 31 M), 24.38 ± 3.46 yrs                 | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | ETG-4000               | 52/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| **Walking task**            |                                                                                         |                                            |                          |                               |                     |
| Holtzer et al.\(^{(163)}\) (2019) | Phobic elderly study: 75 Participants (38 F and 37 M), 77.52 ± 6.41 yrs                  | Bilateral prefrontal and superior temporal regions | fNIRS Imager 1100       | 16/2.5 cm                     | HbO                 |
| **Social-cognitive task**   |                                                                                         |                                            |                          |                               |                     |
| Ruocco et al.\(^{(164)}\) (2010) | 10 Healthy individuals (F), 19.0 ± 1.1 yrs; 10 Borderline personality disorder patients (F), 22.1 ± 7.3 yrs | PFC                                        | Lab developed system     | 16                            | HbO                 |
| **Cognitive-behavior treatment** |                                                                                         |                                            |                          |                               |                     |
| Glassman et al.\(^{(165)}\) (2016) | 21 Public speaking anxiety patients (16 F and 5 M), 28.10 ± 9.30 yrs                    | PFC                                        | ETG-4000               | 16                            | HbO, HbR            |

\(^{(159)}\) Marumo et al. (2009), Anxiety study: 10 M, 33.5 ± 9.0 yrs; 10 F, 31.8 ± 9.0 yrs
\(^{(160)}\) Roos et al. (2011), Anxiety study: 32 Pregnant women, 24.8 ± 5.6 yrs; 32 Nonpregnant women, 25.3 ± 5.7 yrs
\(^{(161)}\) Kochel et al. (2011), 24 Healthy individuals (F), 36.4 ± 14.9 yrs; 25 Phobic patients (F), 39.0 ± 11.3 yrs
\(^{(162)}\) Tupak et al. (2013), Phobic genetic study: 92 Participants (61 F and 31 M), 24.38 ± 3.46 yrs
\(^{(163)}\) Holtzer et al. (2019), Phobic elderly study: 75 Participants (38 F and 37 M), 77.52 ± 6.41 yrs
\(^{(164)}\) Ruocco et al. (2010), 10 Healthy individuals (F), 19.0 ± 1.1 yrs; 10 Borderline personality disorder patients (F), 22.1 ± 7.3 yrs
\(^{(165)}\) Glassman et al. (2016), 21 Public speaking anxiety patients (16 F and 5 M), 28.10 ± 9.30 yrs
| Work                           | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument   | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| *Resting state*               |                                                                                       |                        |              |                               |                     |
| Fekete et al.\(^{167}\) (2014) | 35 Healthy individuals (17 F and 18 M), Mean of 4.5 yrs                                | PFC                    | ETG-4000     | 24/2 cm                       | HbO, HbR, HbT       |
| Ieong and Yuan\(^{166}\) (2017) | Anxiety study: 7 Healthy individuals 45.7 ± 6.8 yrs; 8 Heroin-dependent users 47.6 ± 6.1 yrs | PFC                    | CW6          | 12/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| *Exposure therapy*            |                                                                                       |                        |              |                               |                     |
| Landowska et al.\(^{168}\) (2018) | 14 Acrophobic patients (12 F and 2 M), 42.30 ± 16.57 yrs                              | PFC                    | NIRSport     | 20/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| *Acupuncture therapy*         |                                                                                       |                        |              |                               |                     |
| Sakatani et al.\(^{169}\) (2016) | 10 Anxiety patients (9 F and 1 M), 41.8 ± 6.8 yrs                                     | PFC                    | PNIRS-10     | 2/3 cm                        | HbO                 |
| *Mental arithmetic task*      |                                                                                       |                        |              |                               |                     |
| Brugnera et al.\(^{170}\) (2017) | 12 Anxiety patients (6 F and 6 M), 24.5 ± 4.6 yrs                                     | PFC                    | PocketNIRS Duo | 2/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
6.2. **Visual task**

When emotional or fearful facial expressions were displayed as stimuli, women exhibited increased HbO responses in the right ventrolateral PFC compared to men.\(^\text{159}\) Fearful stimuli were presented to healthy persons and pregnant women in another fNIRS study that revealed significant activation relative to the resting state in both groups.\(^\text{160}\) However, in the group consisting of pregnant women, greater PFC activation was reported during the second trimester compared to during the third trimester, which was related to anxiety. Another interesting fNIRS study was conducted on patients with dental phobia and healthy persons.\(^\text{161}\) Compared to the healthy persons, the patients showed an increased HbO response in the supplementary motor cortex while listening to the sound of dental drilling; however, comparable activation was exhibited in a neutral condition. The effects of a genetic variant of the neuropeptide S receptor gene (NPSR1) combined with fear-relevant stimuli were assessed using fNIRS.\(^\text{162}\) Activations in the dorsolateral and medial PFCs were increased in response to the NPSR1 gene accompanied by fear-specific stimuli.

6.3. **Walking task**

Relative to healthy persons, participants with fear of fall (FOF) exhibited reduced HbO activation in the PFC from the first to the second trial while performing a dual-task walk.\(^\text{163}\) No significant differences in PFC activation were reported in both the FOF patients and healthy persons while performing repeated single-task walks.

6.4. **Social-cognitive task**

The patients with borderline personality disorder (BPD) were compared with healthy persons in an fNIRS study during a social-cognitive task (playing of cards) in the presence of two associates.\(^\text{164}\) During the task, BPD patients displayed left medial PFC hyperactivation that most likely resulted from an abnormality in the frontolimbic circuitry.

6.5. **Cognitive-behavior treatment**

In an fNIRS study, interventions, including cognitive-behavioral treatment and acceptance-based behavioral treatment, were administered to the participants with public-speaking anxiety.\(^\text{165}\) Individuals treated with the latter treatment showed a decrease in the blood volume in the left dorsolateral PFC in comparison to those treated with the former treatment.

6.6. **Resting state**

Strong RSFC and interhemispheric correlation were observed in the orbitofrontal cortex of heroin users relative to healthy persons.\(^\text{166}\) Small-world network properties, which correlate with the predictors of the risk of developing psychopathology in young children, were also calculated in this study.\(^\text{167}\)

6.7. **Exposure therapy**

In an fNIRS study conducted on patients with acrophobia, during the first exposure therapy, the decreased HbO concentration changes were observed in the dorsolateral and medial PFCs; however, this activation improved towards normal levels over two more sessions.\(^\text{168}\)

6.8. **Acupuncture therapy**

The altered PFC HbO changes suggested a positive effect of acupuncture on decreasing the anxiety levels of anxiety patients.\(^\text{169}\)

6.9. **Mental arithmetic task**

Arithmetic tasks were performed by participants with low and high levels of anxiety traits in stress and experimental conditions.\(^\text{170}\) Overall, while performing the stress arithmetic task, reduced PFC activity was reported in participants with high levels of anxiety traits compared to those with low anxiety levels.

7. **Schizophrenia**

SZ is a disease due to which patients appear to stray from reality. It has effects on the thinking, feeling, and behavior of the patient. SZ patients usually create supernatural beliefs, suffer from hallucinations, live in delusions, report hearing nonexistent sounds, have cognitive impairment, and/or experience limited motivation. The symptoms of this
disease typically start occurring at a young age and do not often develop in children. The causes of SZ are still not clear; however, it is linked with genetic factors, an imbalance in neurotransmitter levels, or tense relationships. Therefore, to treat SZ patients, symptom management is employed via medication or psychiatric counseling. The fNIRS has been used in various settings to reveal the impairing processes in an SZ brain. The task-wise distribution of SZ papers is shown in Fig. 6, and the corresponding studies are outlined in Table 6.

7.1. Verbal fluency task

In the earliest findings on SZ via fNIRS, HbO activation was reduced in patients compared to that in healthy individuals. Among patients, typically medicated persons exhibited even lower excitation levels compared to atypically medicated patients. In healthy individuals, the HbO response was higher during letter VFTs compared to semantic VFTs, whereas in SZ patients, higher activation in the PFC was observed during semantic VFTs compared to letter VFTs. The patients with SZ showed lower activations in the frontopolar regions compared to healthy persons, which was associated with poor scores in psychiatric and social evaluations. Genetic polymorphisms were explored in SZ patients, and genotypes associated with poor cortical activations were identified in several studies. Impaired social functioning due to divergent thinking was linked with the ventral region of the frontopolar area in these patients. Further, SZ patients exhibited a decrease in activation in line with an increase in disease severity. The reduced HbO activation response had lower variations compared to those of healthy individuals. In multiple studies conducted on SZ patients along with other depressive patients, the hemodynamic responses differed, thereby allowing for the differentiation of SZ patients and their levels of depression. Clinically stable SZ patients exhibited a correlation between the activation in the right ventrolateral prefrontal and temporal areas and the cognitive insight, and that between the activation in the frontopolar, left ventrolateral, and bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal areas and their subjective well-being. Studying SZ patients revealed that their impaired thinking was associated with abnormal activation patterns in the left ventrolateral prefrontal area. The early detection and treatment of symptoms are critical as patients with SZ who were untreated for psychosis for more than six months exhibited worse cortical activations in the frontotemporal regions compared to patients who were untreated for less than six months. The Chinese speaking SZ patients also showed lower hemodynamic responses in the PFC and superior temporal regions compared to healthy individuals. SZ patients showed lower activation as well as lower functional connectivity in the prefrontal and temporal regions compared to healthy individuals, thereby revealing impaired neural connections. Via a principal component analysis (PCA)-based feature selection and SVM-based classification, the HbO signal was utilized to differentiate the SZ patients from healthy individuals. The reduced HbO response in SZ patients compared to healthy individuals was associated with their self-reported social abilities. In a multimodal study that utilized fNIRS and fMRI, the association between hemodynamic activation and gray matter volume in the left pars triangularis was linked with the onset of SZ. Using positive and negative syndrome scales, the level of impaired activation in the frontotemporal region of SZ patients was associated with their level of depression. In SZ patients, the cognitive ability involved in performing routine tasks was linked with activation in the
| Work                                      | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| **Verbal fluency task**                   |                                                                                         |                        |            |                               |                     |
| Watanabe and Kato\(^{171}\) (2004)       | 31 Healthy individuals (15 F and 16 M), 36.1 ± 11.6 yrs; 62 Schizophrenia patients (32 F and 30 M), 40.1 ± 12.3 yrs | Dorsolateral PFC      | HEO-200    | 2/3 cm                        | HbO, HbR            |
| **Kubota et al.\(^{172}\) (2005)         | 19 Healthy individuals (10 F and 9 M), 36.9 ± 14.3 yrs; 16 Schizophrenia patients (8 F and 8 M), 37.5 ± 13.0 yrs | PFC                    | NIRO-300   | 2/4 cm                        | HbO, HbR            |
| **Takizawa et al.\(^{173}\) (2008)       | 70 Healthy individuals (34 F and 36 M), 37.4 ± 13.6 yrs; 55 Schizophrenia patients (26 F and 29 M), 40.1 ± 11.1 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                |
| **Takizawa et al.\(^{174}\) (2009)       | 30 Healthy individuals (Val) (14 F and 16 M), 37.7 ± 13.6 yrs; 30 Healthy individuals (Met) (12 F and 18 M), 37.2 ± 12.6 yrs; 20 Schizophrenia patients (Val) (12 F and 8 M), 41.5 ± 11.9 yrs; 25 Schizophrenia patients (Met) (13 F and 12 M), 41.0 ± 9.5 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                |
| **Takizawa et al.\(^{175}\) (2009)       | 30 Healthy individual (Gln) (13 F and 17 M), 31.0 ± 6.6 yrs; 30 Healthy individual (Pro) (10 F and 20 M), 31.5 ± 5.8 yrs; 20 Schizophrenia patients (Gln) (10 F and 10 M), 40.7 ± 11.3 yrs; 20 Schizophrenia patients (Pro) (12 F and 8 M), 38.1 ± 8.1 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                |
| Ohi et al.\(^{176}\) (2011)               | 101 Healthy individuals (Gln) (56 F and 45 M), 35.8 ± 10.9 yrs; 115 Healthy individuals (Pro) (64 F and 51 M), 37.7 ± 12.2 yrs; 57 Schizophrenia patients (Gln) (25 F and 32 M), 37.2 ± 13.4 yrs; 70 Schizophrenia patients (Pro) (32 F and 38 M), 36.6 ± 11.5 yrs | PFC                    | NIRO-200   | 2                             | HbO, HbR            |
| Work                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study                        | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Nishimura et al.\(^\text{177}\) (2014) | 38 Healthy individuals (GG) (20 F and 18 M), 38.9 ± 17.1 yrs; 28 Healthy individuals (GA) (16 F and 12 M), 37.6 ± 14.5 yrs; 7 Healthy individuals (AA) (3 F and 4 M), 41.4 ± 16.1 yrs; 38 Schizophrenia patients (GG) (20 F and 18 M), 38.3 ± 11.4 yrs; 28 Schizophrenia patients (GA) (16 F and 12 M), 36.1 ± 13.7 yrs; 7 Schizophrenia patients (AA) (3 F and 4 M), 38.3 ± 13.6 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Takeshi et al.\(^\text{178}\) (2010)   | 16 Healthy individuals (8 F and 8 M), 24.5 ± 3.4 yrs; 18 Schizophrenia patients (11 F and 7 M), 25.4 ± 5.8 yrs | Bilateral PFC | OMM-3000    | 24/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| Koike et al.\(^\text{179}\) (2011)     | 30 Healthy individuals (13 F and 17 M), 24.3 ± 4.8 yrs; 38 Schizophrenia patients (16 F and 22 M), 31.3 ± 6.1 yrs; 22 Ultra-high-risk patients (9 F and 13 M), 21.6 ± 3.7 yrs; 27 First episode psychosis patients (9 F and 18 M), 25.2 ± 7.0 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Shimodera et al.\(^\text{180}\) (2012) | 26 Healthy individuals (13 F and 13 M), 41.4 ± 10.4 yrs; 31 Schizophrenia patients (19 F and 12 M), 42.4 ± 15.7 yrs | Frontal regions | MM-3000/16  | 42/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR            |
| Suto et al.\(^\text{113}\) (2004)     | 16 Healthy individuals (4 F and 12 M), 42.9 ± 4.6 yrs; 13 Schizophrenia patients (4 F and 9 M), 37.9 ± 12 yrs; 10 MDD patients (1 F and 9 M), 47.9 ± 12.8 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-100     | 48/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR, HbT       |
| Work                        | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study                  | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Kinou et al. (2013)         | 32 Healthy individuals (17 F and 15 M), 45.7 ± 13.5 yrs;                                 | Bilateral prefrontal and superior      | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
|                             | 32 Schizophrenia patients (17 F and 15 M), 41.7 ± 10.1 yrs;                              | temporal regions                       |            |                              |                     |
|                             | 32 MDD patients (17 F and 15 M), 44.8 ± 9.8 yrs                                         |                                        |            |                              |                     |
| Takizawa et al. (2014)      | 590 Healthy individuals (314 F and 276 M), 43.9 ± 15.7 yrs;                              | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal      | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
|                             | 136 Schizophrenia patients (67 F and 69 M), 43.7 ± 12.1 yrs;                            | regions                                |            |                              |                     |
|                             | 153 MDD patients (77 F and 76 M), 43.8 ± 12.7 yrs                                       |                                        |            |                              |                     |
|                             | 134 BD patients (69 F and 65 M), 44.0 ± 14.9 yrs                                        |                                        |            |                              |                     |
| Yamamuro et al. (2018)      | 15 Psychosis patients (6 F and 9 M), 39.87 ± 11.20 yrs;                                 | Bilateral prefrontal regions           | ETG-100    | 24/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
|                             | 19 Schizophrenia patients (10 F and 9 M), 39.11 ± 7.01 yrs;                              |                                        |            |                              |                     |
| Kawano et al. (2016)        | 25 MDD patients, 44.1 ± 9.3 yrs;                                                         | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal      | ETG-4000   | 22/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
|                             | 3 Schizophrenia patients;                                                                | regions                                |            |                              |                     |
|                             | 5 BD patients;                                                                           |                                        |            |                              |                     |
|                             | 2 Panic disorder patients;                                                               |                                        |            |                              |                     |
|                             | 3 Psychotic disorder patients;                                                           |                                        |            |                              |                     |
|                             | 3 Dysthymic disorder patients;                                                           |                                        |            |                              |                     |
|                             | 2 Obsessive Compulsive Disorder patients                                                 |                                        |            |                              |                     |
| Hirata et al. (2018)        | 18 Healthy individuals (5 F and 13 M), 28–38.5 yrs;                                      | Bilateral frontotemporal region        | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
|                             | 13 ASD patients (1 F and 12 M), 23.3–38.5 yrs;                                          |                                        |            |                              |                     |
|                             | 15 Schizophrenia patients (3 F and 12 M), 29–47 yrs                                     |                                        |            |                              |                     |
| Yamamuro et al. (2018)      | 26 Healthy individuals (19 F and 7 M), 48.73 ± 8.40 yrs;                                 | Prefrontal cortex                      | ETG-4000   | 48/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
|                             | 33 BD patients (22 F and 11 M), 50.03 ± 10.49 yrs;                                      |                                        |            |                              |                     |
|                             | 38 Schizophrenia patients (26 F and 12 M), 45.58 ± 8.21 yrs;                            |                                        |            |                              |                     |
| Work                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study                        | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Pu et al.184 (2013)   | 30 Healthy individuals (19 F and 11 M), 32.4 ± 11.11 yrs; 30 Schizophrenia patients (21 F and 9 M), 32.1 ± 10.47 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions      | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                |
| Pu et al.185 (2013)   | 24 Schizophrenia patients (16 F and 8 M), 33.6 ± 9.72 yrs                                | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions      | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                |
| Marumo et al.186 (2014)| 56 Healthy individuals (29 F and 27 M), 40.9 ± 11.5 yrs; 56 Schizophrenia patients (29 F and 27 M), 40.0 ± 11.0 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions      | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO, HbR           |
| Chou et al.187 (2014) | 62 Schizophrenia patients: 33 Short duration of treatment patients (14 F and 19 M), 26.3 ± 9.0 yrs; 29 Long duration of treatment patients (16 F and 13 M), 31.3 ± 8.6 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions      | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                |
| Chou et al.188 (2015) | 29 Healthy individuals (19 F and 10 M), 30.3 ± 10.6 yrs; 28 Schizophrenia patients (13 F and 15 M), 30.8 ± 6.1 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions      | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                |
| Quan et al.189 (2015) | 100 Healthy individuals (35 F and 65 M), 34.43 ± 12.36 yrs; 140 Schizophrenia patients (60 F and 80 M), 33.81 ± 11.52 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions      | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO, HbR           |
| Holper et al.190 (2015)| 28 Healthy individuals (17 F and 11 M), 30 ± 5.952 yrs; 66 Paranoia patients (22 F and 44 M), 31 ± 6.985 yrs; 39 Psychoticism patients (23 F and 16 M), 31 ± 6.243 yrs; 55 Paranoia–Psychoticism patients (29 F and 26 M), 31 ± 6.757 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions      | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO, HbR           |
| Li et al.191 (2015)   | 120 Healthy individuals (53 F and 67 M), 32.8 ± 10.7 yrs; 120 Schizophrenia patients (57 F and 63 M), 31.5 ± 11.5 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions      | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO, HbR, HbT      |
| Work | Experimental population | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Chou et al. | 106 Healthy individuals (53 F and 53 M), 31.9 ± 7.2 yrs; 109 Schizophrenia patients (54 F and 55 M), 33.0 ± 10.4 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | HbO |
| Pu et al. | 30 Healthy individuals (19 F and 11 M), 32.4 ± 11.1 yrs; 33 Schizophrenia patients (21 F and 12 M), 32.8 ± 8.5 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | HbO |
| Iwashiro et al. | 16 Healthy individuals (6 F and 10 M), 16–36 yrs; 18 First episode Schizophrenia patients (6 F and 12 M), 17–35 yrs; 23 Ultra-high-risk patients (10 F and 13 M), 16–29 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | Brain activity |
| Pu et al. | 41 Schizophrenia patients (23 F and 18 M), 33.6 ± 11.2 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | HbO, HbR |
| Itakura et al. | 22 Healthy individuals (11 F and 11 M), 35.8 ± 11.0 yrs; 23 Schizophrenia patients (11 F and 12 M), 42.1 ± 13.0 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | HbO |
| Ohi et al. | 51 Healthy individuals (18 F and 33 M), 35.7 ± 11.9 yrs; 26 MDD patients (9 F and 17 M), 41.1 ± 12.7 yrs; 22 BD patients (9 F and 13 M), 39.9 ± 12.5 yrs; 45 Schizophrenia patients (29 F and 16 M), 35.4 ± 9.1 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | HbO, HbR |
| Noda et al. | 30 Healthy individuals (16 F and 14 M), 32.5 ± 8.0 yrs; 30 Schizophrenia patients (14 F and 16 M), 31.7 ± 9.0 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | HbO, HbR |
| Luo et al. | 17 Healthy individuals (9 F and 8 M), 26.2 ± 6.3 yrs; 16 Schizophrenia patients (9 F and 7 M), 28.6 ± 7.8 yrs | PFC | CW5 | 32/3 cm | HbO |
| Work                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study               | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Narita et al.199 (2018) | 26 Schizophrenia patients (11 F and 15 M), 40.5 ± 10.0 yrs                             | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| **N-back task**      |                                                                                         |                                      |            |                             |                     |
| Koike et al.200 (2013) | 26 Healthy individuals (13 F and 13 M), 33.4 ± 13.9 yrs; 26 Schizophrenia patients (13 F and 13 M), 30.9 ± 12.1 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO, HbR            |
| Pu et al.201 (2014)   | 12 Healthy individuals (9 F and 3 M), 31.4 ± 9.60 yrs; 19 Schizophrenia patients (11 F and 8 M), 28.5 ± 7.60 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Pu et al.202 (2014)   | 50 Healthy individuals (30 F and 20 M), 34.4 ± 10.8 yrs; Schizophrenia patients: 49 Lower cognition patients (24 F and 25 M), 33.1 ± 11.0 yrs; 38 Higher cognition patients (26 F and 12 M), 34.1 ± 8.7 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Pu et al.203 (2016)   | 26 Healthy individuals (18 F and 8 M), 31.2 ± 6.9 yrs; 26 Schizophrenia patients (18 F and 8 M), 31.6 ± 8.7 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| **Random number generation task** |                                                                                         |                                      |            |                             |                     |
| Shinba et al.204 (2004) | 10 Healthy individuals (2 F and 8 M), 40.7 ± 9.8 yrs; 13 Schizophrenia patients (3 F and 10 M), 36.9 ± 12.3 yrs | Bilateral frontal regions            | NIRO-300   | 2/5 cm                      | HbO, HbR, HbT       |
| Koike et al.205 (2011) | 40 Healthy individuals (20 F and 20 M), 36.8 ± 15.3 yrs; 22 Schizophrenia patients (11 F and 11 M), 41.0 ± 11.6 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| **Resting state**    |                                                                                         |                                      |            |                             |                     |
| Hoshi et al.206 (2006) | 16 Healthy individuals (M), 38.9 ± 9.1 yrs; 14 Schizophrenia patients (M), 36.1 ± 8.7 yrs | Bilateral frontal regions            | TRS-10     | 2/3 cm                      | HbO, HbT            |
| Work                  | Experimental population                              | Brain area under study | Instrument               | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Hosomi et al.2017     | 53 Healthy individuals (M), 41.1 ± 1.5 yrs; 20 Schizophrenia patients (M), 50.6 ± 3.0 yrs | Prefrontal regions     | WOT-100                  | 10/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
|                       |                                                      |                        |                          |                              |                     |
| Multiple cognitive tasks |                                                      |                        |                          |                              |                     |
| Ikezawa et al.2009    | 30 Healthy individuals (17 F and 13 M), 37.3 ± 8.7 yrs; 30 Schizophrenia patients (18 F and 12 M), 38.7 ± 11.7 yrs | PFC                    | NIRO-200                  | 2/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
|                       |                                                      |                        |                          |                              |                     |
| Azechi et al.2010     | 30 Healthy individuals (17 F and 13 M), 37.3 ± 8.7 yrs; 30 Schizophrenia patients (18 F and 12 M), 38.7 ± 11.7 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000                  | 2/3 cm                      | HbO                |
|                       |                                                      |                        |                          |                              |                     |
| Stop-signal task      |                                                      |                        |                          |                              |                     |
| Okada et al.210 (2016)| 21 Healthy individuals (12 F and 9 M), 37.0 ± 7.0 yrs; 21 Psychosis patients (7 F and 14 M), 37.9 ± 6.0 yrs; 14 Schizophrenia patients (7 F and 7 M), 35.3 ± 9.8 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000                  | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
|                       |                                                      |                        |                          |                              |                     |
| Tsujii et al.148 (2018)| 18 Healthy individuals (10 F and 8 M), 36.6 ± 10.7 yrs; 21 BD patients (12 F and 9 M), 36.9 ± 10.2 yrs; 20 Schizophrenia patients (11 F and 9 M), 33.6 ± 8.7 yrs | Frontotemporal region  | ETG-4000                  | 52/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Continuous performance task |                                                      |                        |                          |                              |                     |
| Fallgatter and Strik211 (2000) | 10 Healthy individuals (5 F and 5 M), 30.0 ± 2.1 yrs; 9 Schizophrenia patients (3 F and 6 M), 34.7 ± 13.1 yrs | Frontal region         | Critikon 2020 Cerebral Redox Monitors | 2/4.5 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Delayed response task |                                                      |                        |                          |                              |                     |
| Lee et al.212 (2008)  | 11 Healthy individuals (4 F and 7 M), 36.6 ± 6.4 yrs; 13 Schizophrenia patients (4 F and 9 M), 34.7 ± 8.0 yrs | PFC                    | ETG-100                  | 24/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR, HbT       |
|                       |                                                      |                        |                          |                              |                     |
Table 6. (Continued)

| Work                      | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Tower of London task      | 40 Healthy individuals (22 F and 18 M), 24.4 ± 3.63 yrs; 40 Schizophrenia patients (20 F and 20 M), 22.8 ± 4.93 yrs | Bilateral frontal regions | CW5        | 28/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| Zhu et al.213 (2010)      |                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Go/No-go task             | 40 Healthy individuals (20 F and 20 M), 31.4 ± 4.5 yrs; 14 Schizophrenia patients (9 F and 5 M), 36.1 ± 12.5 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| Nishimura et al.214 (2011)|                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Electroconvulsive therapy | 10 MD patients (6 F and 4 M), 64.5 ± 10.1 yrs; 11 Schizophrenia patients (9 F and 2 M), 45.8 ± 13.6 yrs | Frontal region         | NIRO-200   | 2/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR           |
| Fujita et al.145 (2011)   |                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Conversation task         | 31 Healthy individuals (11 F and 20 M), 33.5 ± 10 yrs; 29 Schizophrenia patients (10 F and 19 M), 35.4 ± 11.9 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, HbT           |
| Takei et al.215 (2013)    |                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Reading task              | 22 Healthy individuals (12 F and 10 M), 30 ± 12 yrs; 22 Schizophrenia patients (7 F and 15 M), 35 ± 12 yrs | Bilateral fronto- temporoparietal regions | ETG-4000   | 44/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Schneider et al.216 (2015)|                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Stroop task               | 27 Healthy individuals (11 F and 16 M), 29.86 ± 5.784 yrs; 62 Paranoia patients (43 F and 19 M), 30.13 ± 7.091 yrs; 34 Psychoticism patients (13 F and 21 M), 29.67 ± 6.428 yrs; 51 Paranoia-Psychoticism patients (24 F and 27 M), 29.02 ± 6.346 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                      | HbT                |
| Holper et al.217 (2016)   |                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
Table 6. (Continued)

| Work                                      | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Video game task                           |                                                                                        |                        |            |                               |                    |
| Shimuzu et al.\textsuperscript{218} (2017) | 8 Schizophrenia patients (2 F and 6 M), 46.7 ± 13.7 yrs                               | Frontal lobe           | LABNIRS    | 45                            | HbO                |
| Drawing task                              |                                                                                        |                        |            |                               |                    |
| Nakano et al.\textsuperscript{219} (2018) | 28 Healthy individuals (14 F and 14 M), 30.8 ± 5.1 yrs; 28 Schizophrenia patients (14 F and 14 M), 30.8 ± 5.3 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                |
| Rock–paper–scissor task                   |                                                                                        |                        |            |                               |                    |
| Sato et al.\textsuperscript{220} (2018)  | 30 Healthy individuals (14 F and 16 M), 31.6 ± 8.5 yrs; 30 Schizophrenia patients (15 F and 15 M), 33.6 ± 8.5 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions | ETG-4000    | 52/3 cm                       | HbO                |
dorsolateral PFC and the frontopolar cortex.\textsuperscript{196} A study on the association between family history and SZ found that patients with a family history of SZ exhibited an even lower hemodynamic response compared to patients without a family history of SZ, thereby revealing the effects of genetics on this condition.\textsuperscript{129} Patients with SZ showed a posttask increase in HbO levels, revealing the impairment in their working memory.\textsuperscript{197} The rehabilitation of the impairments of SZ patients did not result in any significant behavioral or neuronal activity after four weeks of therapy, emphasizing that their rehabilitation requires a longer period.\textsuperscript{198} Rehabilitating SZ patients by administering transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) resulted in improved symptoms associated with positive and negative psychoses.\textsuperscript{199}

7.2. \textit{N-back task}

The localization of activation in SZ patients was different from that in healthy individuals, and in the patient population, no changes were observed when the load of working memory tasks was increased.\textsuperscript{200} In a longitudinal study on SZ patients, positive effects were observed in response to the neuropsychological educational approach to cognitive remediation because the cortical activation was improved bilaterally.\textsuperscript{201} In SZ patients, the right dorsolateral and bilateral PFCs and the right frontopolar region collectively showed a relationship with the impaired cognitive ability measured via a brief assessment on cognition in SZ.\textsuperscript{202} The lateral PFC HbO response in SZ patients was directly associated with theory-of-mind scores.\textsuperscript{203}

7.3. \textit{Random number generation task}

During a random number generation task, compared to healthy individuals, SZ patients showed significantly lower activation based on the HbO, HbR, and HbT levels.\textsuperscript{204} Overall, the location of HbO activation in SZ patients was similar to that in healthy persons, and patients who developed SZ at a younger age showed high activation impairment in the right dorsolateral PFC.\textsuperscript{205}

7.4. \textit{Resting state}

The resting state HbT levels in SZ patients were lower than those in healthy persons, and they were also associated with the age of disease onset.\textsuperscript{206} The spontaneous activation levels in the medial PFC during resting state were reduced in SZ patients when compared to healthy persons.\textsuperscript{207}

7.5. \textit{Multiple cognitive tasks}

Among several cognitive tasks, the verbal fluency and Tower of Hanoi tasks resulted in significant differences in the HbO responses, thereby facilitating good classification accuracy between healthy individuals and SZ patients.\textsuperscript{208,209}

7.6. \textit{Stop-signal task}

The SZ patients differed from the patients affected by methamphetamine-associated psychosis as they exhibited better activation responses in the frontopolar area and distinct activation in the premotor region, which is related to impulsivity.\textsuperscript{210} The impaired inferior frontal region in SZ patients was responsible for the deficiency in the inhibitory control mechanism, whereas the superior temporal region differentiated SZ patients from the BD patients.\textsuperscript{148}

7.7. \textit{Continuous performance task}

The healthy persons exhibited right hemispheric lateralization while the patients with SZ did not show any lateralization during activation, which was possibly due to their left-hemispheric impairment.\textsuperscript{211}

7.8. \textit{Delayed response task}

SZ patients exhibited bilateral activation due to compensatory reorganization as activation was observed only on the right side in healthy persons.\textsuperscript{212}

7.9. \textit{Tower of London task}

The HbO and HbR responses in the PFC of SZ patients during a planning task were reduced.\textsuperscript{213}

7.10. \textit{Go/No-go task}

The SZ patients with excitement symptoms exhibited an impaired HbO pattern during a response inhibition task.\textsuperscript{214}
7.11. Electroconvulsive therapy
The patients with SZ exhibited asymmetric changes in HbO responses in the bilateral PFC after therapy, which differentiated SZ and MD patients.\(^{145}\)

7.12. Conversation task
During a face-to-face conversation task, the bilateral temporal regions and the right inferior frontal gyrus were responsible for disorganized thinking, owing to which SZ patients face difficulties in conversations.\(^{215}\)

7.13. Language comprehension
SZ patients exhibited a deficiency in understanding complex language as they displayed incomplete and delayed comprehension, which leads to impaired activation patterns.\(^{216}\)

7.14. Stroop
The severity of subclinical psychosis in SZ patients was inversely related to the activations in the dorsolateral PFC and middle temporal gyrus.\(^{217}\)

7.15. Video game task
Rehabilitation using interactive sports video games resulted in positive effects on SZ patients as their HbO response in the PFC was improved along with their quality of life.\(^{218}\)

7.16. Drawing task
The analysis of HbO signals showed that the activation in SZ patients during a tree-drawing task was lower than that in healthy persons.\(^{219}\)

7.17. Rock–paper–scissor task
HbO responses were impaired when a patient lost in this task, yet they were associated with scores on the Global Assessment of Functioning and the Negative Syndrome scales.\(^{220}\)

8. Mild Cognitive Impairment
Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is a state that causes simple/small problems associated with human memory or thinking. MCI patients do not normally incur any alarming situations that interfere with their routine lives; yet their cognitive standing is low based on memory or thinking when compared to that of age-matched healthy individuals. This impairment is not classified as dementia but could be a high-risk situation for developing any kind of dementia. The underlying mechanism that prevents MCI from transforming into dementia is still unclear. Therefore, detecting the condition when it is at an earlier stage is important. Several fNIRS studies have been conducted to investigate the physiology of MCI patients. The task-wise distribution is shown in Fig. 7, and the key related studies are outlined in Table 7.

8.1. Verbal fluency task
In an early study that examined MCI and AD patients, the overall HbO response of MCI patients was between those of healthy persons and AD patients, but the right parietal area in MCI patients exhibited the most degradation.\(^{221}\) During a dual-task that involved walking during the VFT, the PFC activation was increased when compared to during simple walking, and this increased activation was directly associated with cognitive ability.\(^{222}\)
Table 7. Studies on MCI.

| Work                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study                                      | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Verbal fluency task   |                                                                                         |                                                              |            |                              |                    |
| Arai et al. 221 (2006)| 32 Healthy individuals (16 F and 16 M), 57.3 ± 6.4 yrs; 15 MCI patients (8 F and 7 M), 63.0 ± 6.4 yrs; 15 AD patients (10 F and 5 M), 59.2 ± 3.9 yrs | Frontal, bilateral parietal, and occipital cortices         | ETG-7000   | 60                           | HbO                |
| Doi et al. 222 (2013) | 16 MCI patients (6 F and 10 M), 75.4 ± 7.2 yrs                                           | Frontal cortex                                              | OEG-16     | 16/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Yeung et al. 223 (2016)| 26 Healthy individuals (19 F and 7 M), 68.87 ± 6.08 yrs; 26 MCI patients (6 F and 20 M), 69.07 ± 6.20 yrs | Prefrontal cortex                                           | OEG-SpO2   | 16/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Yap et al. 224 (2017) | 31 Healthy individuals (12 F and 19 M), 72.6 ± 8.5 yrs; 12 MCI patients (4 F and 8 M), 73.1 ± 8.2 yrs; 18 AD patients (6 F and 12 M), 74.7 ± 10.0 yrs | Prefrontal cortex                                           | OT-R40     | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, Hbr           |
| Katzerke et al. 225 (2018)| 55 Healthy individuals (34 F and 21 M); 55 MCI patients (25 F and 30 M), 72 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal regions                   | ETG-4000   | 52/3 cm                      | HbO, Hbr           |
| Resting state         |                                                                                         |                                                              |            |                              |                    |
| Viola et al. 226 (2013)| 10 Healthy individuals (6 F and 4 M), 69.5 ± 6.8 yrs; 21 MCI patients (11 F and 10 M), 70.2 ± 7.3 yrs | Bilateral frontal and parietal–temporal cortices            | T-NIRS EVO II | 4/4 cm                      | TOI                |
| Liu et al. 227 (2015) | 21 Healthy individuals (13 F and 8 M), 67 ± 7 yrs; 32 MCI patients (19 F and 13 M), 67 ± 7 yrs | Prefrontal cortex                                           | NIRO-200NX | TOI                          |                    |
| Marmarelis et al. 228 (2017)| 22 Healthy individuals (11 F and 11 M), 68.15 ± 6.24 yrs; 43 MCI patients (30 F and 13 M), 66.79 ± 6.34 yrs | Prefrontal cortex                                           | Hamamatsu  | TOI                          |                    |
Table 7. (Continued)

| Work      | Experimental population                                      | Brain area under study                  | Instrument     | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Li et al.229 (2018) | 31 Healthy individuals (20 F and 11 M), 67.61 ± 8.86 yrs; 27 MCI patients (13 F and 14 M), 70.33 ± 8.27 yrs; 24 AD patients (15 F and 9 M), 72.25 ± 9.15 yrs | Full head | CW6 | 46/3.2 cm | HbO |
| Zeller et al.230 (2019) | 61 Healthy individuals (37 F and 24 M), 73.34 ± 1.7 yrs; 25 Healthy individuals (19 F and 6 M), 34.92 ± 7.4 yrs; 54 MCI patients (25 F and 29 M), 73.91 ± 1.8 yrs | Bilateral frontal and parietal cortices | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | HbO |
| Niu et al.231 (2013) | 16 Healthy individuals, 63.1 ± 5.3 yrs; 8 MCI patients, 64.8 ± 7.2 yrs | Bilateral frontal and temporal cortices | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | HbO |
| Yeung et al.232 (2016) | 26 Healthy individuals (19 F and 7 M), 68.87 ± 6.08 yrs; 26 MCI patients (19 F and 7 M), 69.15 ± 6.28 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal cortices | OEG-SpO2 | 16/3 cm | HbO |
| Vermeij et al.233 (2017) | 21 Healthy individuals (8 F and 13 M), 69.5 ± 5.4 yrs; 14 MCI patients (4 F and 10 M), 66.1 ± 3.9 yrs | Prefrontal cortex | Oxymon Mk III | 2/5 cm | HbO HbR |
| Work                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument       | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Hypercapnia           |                                                                                           |                        |                  |                              |                     |
| Babiloni et al. [235](2014) | 10 Healthy individuals (5 F and 5 M), 70.8 ± 2.5 yrs; 10 MCI patients (4 F and 6 M), 25.4 ± 1.02 yrs | Prefrontal cortex      | ISS oximeter     | 2/2, 2.5, 3, and 3.5 cm      | HbO HbR             |
| Delayed recall task   |                                                                                           |                        |                  |                              |                     |
| Uemura et al. [235](2015) | 31 Healthy individuals (20 F and 11 M), 67.61 ± 8.86 yrs; 27 MCI patients (13 F and 14 M), 70.33 ± 8.27 yrs | Prefrontal cortex      | FOIRE-3000       | 22/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Digit span task       |                                                                                           |                        |                  |                              |                     |
| Li et al. [236](2018)  | 8 Healthy individuals (2 F and 6 M), 63.6 ± 6.5 yrs; 6 Mild AD patients (4 F and 2 M), 72.5 ± 7.3 yrs; 7 moderate/severe AD patients (4 F and 3 M), 76 ± 4.8 yrs; 9 MCI patients (3 F and 6 M), 70.3 ± 5.4 yrs | Frontal and bilateral parietal cortices | NIRScout          | 46/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
The activation was distributed in the bilateral PFC compared to the concentrated activation in the left PFC in healthy persons, illustrating that the impairment in the left hemisphere of MCI patients was being compensated by their right hemisphere.223 Compared to the HbO responses of healthy persons and AD patients, MCI patients exhibited a steeper slope during activation in the right PFC owing to the hyperactivation process.224 The HbO response was reduced in the inferior frontotemporal cortex in MCI patients compared to that in healthy persons.225

8.2. Resting state
Compared to healthy persons, from the amnestic MCI patients, reduced tissue oxygen saturation was found in the bilateral temporal–parietal cortex.226 In a multimodal study that used color-coded duplex ultrasonography, fNIRS, and fMRI, the amnestic MCI patients showed neurovascular decoupling.227 The tissue oxygenation index (TOI) that was computed using HbO and HbT levels that were derived via fNIRS provided effective results that can be considered as a biomarker for amnestic MCI patients as compared to the already established biomarkers obtained via transcranial Doppler sonography.228 An entropy-based analysis revealed that the complexity of brain signals in amnestic MCI patients was higher than AD patients but was lower than healthy persons, and this reduction in complexity was associated with the clinical scores.229 During the resting state, fewer low-frequency oscillations in the PFC were observed in MCI patients compared to healthy young persons, while in the parietal cortex, the number of oscillations was low when compared to that observed in healthy older persons.230

8.3. N-back task
Compared to healthy persons, MCI patients exhibited a reduced HbO response in the left dorsolateral PFC, right supplementary motor area, and left superior temporal regions.231 The working memory activations of MCI patients were comparable with those of healthy persons during low-load tasks: However, they degraded when the load was increased, thereby exhibiting an impaired working memory capability.232 In the case of MCI patients, working memory training resulted in an improved behavioral performance, but such corresponding improvement was not observed in the PFC activation.233

8.4. Hypercapnia
In amnestic MCI patients and healthy persons, no differences were found in vasomotor reactivity before, during, and after inhaling CO₂.234

8.5. Delayed recall task
The activation levels were similar in healthy individuals and MCI patients in response to the phase of memorizing words in this task, but compared to healthy persons, the MCI patients showed a reduced HbO response approximately in Brodmann area (BA) 9 during the retrieval of words phase.235

8.6. Digit span task
The mean and slope of the HbO responses were correlated with the clinical scores, and the scores of the MCI patients stayed below those of the mild and moderate-to-severe AD patients.236

9. Alzheimer’s Disease
It is a form of dementia with the most rapidly increasing prevalence rate. Patients with AD can only be treated to manage their symptoms as there is still no known cure for this disease. It slowly sabotages the memory and takes away the capacity to do routine tasks. Various tasks have been utilized to get insights into the brain activity of these patients. The task-wise distribution of the studies is presented in Fig. 8, and Table 8 outlines the details of the works.

9.1. Verbal fluency task
The VFT is the most commonly used task in the studies associated with AD. The earlier findings revealed that AD patients had reduced HbO and HbT levels in the parietal areas.237,238 The HbO levels in the prefrontal area decreased in some patients237 while they increased in most others.238 These contradicting findings were attributed to variability caused due to subject characteristics or the location of the fNIRS channel. A better performance in the VFT is associated with the left
prefrontal hemisphere, but this physiological asymmetry is missing in AD patients.239 AD can be differentiated from MCI by revealing degraded global activation when measurements of most of the brain areas are taken simultaneously.221 In the pursuit to enhance the quality of life of AD patients by improving their symptoms, the administration of an oral drug called memantine was beneficial when compared to not using this drug.240 The activation was slightly higher compared to that of patients struggling with late-life depression.121 The patients medicated with a cholinesterase inhibitor showed improved activation in the speech-related areas of the brain as a higher concentration of HbO was measured.241 The activation region in AD patients is different compared to the patients with frontotemporal dementia, as AD patients exhibited activation in the frontoparietal areas.242 The mean activation pattern of AD patients was lower and slower than those of MCI patients.224

9.2. Benton judgment of line orientation test
The parietal cortex is linked with visuospatial tasks, and analyses of activation occurring in this region can be used for early detection of AD since AD patients exhibit only marginal activation when compared to the explicit activation in healthy subjects.243 The parietal region showed considerably higher HbO activations in AD patients compared to depression patients.121

9.3. Clock drawing test
The clock drawing test (CDT) scores can adequately be used to differentiate between healthy individuals and AD patients; however, the entropy analysis conducted on fNIRS recordings while the subjects were performing the CDT resulted in significant differences between the results of AD patients and healthy subjects.244

9.4. Digit span test
An entropy-based fNIRS signal complexity analysis demonstrated that the digit span task (DST) could help classify AD.244 A time-series analysis revealed that the reduction or decline in HbO levels becomes steeper when the intensity of AD progresses.236

9.5. Corsi block-tapping test
Compared to the CDT and DST results, the results of the Corsi block-tapping test were the most effective in differentiating AD patients from healthy subjects via entropy analysis.244

9.6. Driving task
AD patients exhibited lower HbO values than those of healthy individuals, and the act of applying the brake during the task and HbO changes were negatively related while these were positively related in healthy persons.245

9.7. Shiritori tasks
While performing Shiritori tasks, the area and maximum value of the fNIRS signals from the dorsolateral PFC and frontal pole cortex regions of AD patients were significantly lower.246

9.8. Olfactory task
An interesting study conducted on AD patients using an active vanilla smell and a sham one revealed that brain activation occurs in the temporal region of healthy individuals while performing the olfactory task whereas the AD patients did not show any activation at all.247
| Manuscript               | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study            | Instrument  | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Hock et al.237 (1996)   | 19 Healthy individuals (14 F and 5 M), 67 ± 10 yrs; 19 AD patients (11 F and 8 M), 71 ± 10 yrs | Frontal and parietal cortex       | NIRO 500    | 2/4 cm                       | HbO HbT             |
| Hock et al.238 (1997)   | 27 Healthy individuals, 67 ± 10 yrs; 10 AD patients, 65 ± 13 yrs                         | Frontal and parietal cortex       | NIRO 500    | 2/4 cm                       | HbO HbR HbT         |
| Fallgatter et al.239 (1997) | 10 Healthy individuals (5 F and 5 M), 30.1 ± 2.1 yrs; 10 AD patients (6 F and 4 M), 67.3 ± 10.6 yrs | Prefrontal cortex                 | Critikon 2020 | 4/4.5 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Arai et al.221 (2006)   | 32 Healthy individuals (16 F and 16 M), 57.3 ± 6.4 yrs; 15 AD patients (10 F and 5 M), 59.2 ± 3.9 yrs; 15 MCI patients (8 F and 7 M), 63.0 ± 6.4 yrs | Frontal, bilateral parietal and occipital cortices | ETG-7000    | 60                           | HbO                 |
| Araki et al.240 (2014)  | 37 AD patients (19 F and 18 M), 78.8 ± 7.7 yrs                                           | Prefrontal cortex                 | ETG-4000    | 22                           | HbO                 |
| Kito et al.121 (2014)   | 33 Healthy individuals (22 F and 11 M), 69.6 ± 5.5 yrs; 28 AD patients (18 F and 10 M), 76.6 ± 6.9 yrs; 30 Depressed patients (21 F and 9 M), 71.1 ± 6.8 yrs | Frontal and parietal cortices     | FOIRE-3000  | 44/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Metzger et al.241 (2015) | 24 AD patients (16 F and 8 M), 73.44 ± 8.72 yrs                                          | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal cortices | ETG-4000    | 44/3 cm                      | HbO HbR             |
| Metzger et al.241 (2016) | 8 Healthy individuals (3 F and 5 M), 65.5 ± 6.5 yrs; 8 AD patients (3 F and 5 M), 74.3 ± 4.5 yrs; 8 frontotemporal dementia patients (3 F and 5 M), 67.6 ± 9.8 yrs | Bilateral frontotemporal cortex   | ETG-4000    | 44/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Manuscript          | Experimental population                                      | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Yap et al.\(^{224}\) (2017) | 31 Healthy individuals (12 F and 19 M), 72.6 ± 8.5 yrs, 18 AD patients (6 F and 12 M), 74.7 ± 10.0 yrs, 12 MCI patients (4 F and 8 M), 73.1 ± 8.2 yrs | Prefrontal cortex | OT-R40  | 52/3 cm                      | HbO HbR             |
| Benton Judgment of Line Orientation Task | | | | | |
| Zeller et al.\(^{243}\) (2010) | 13 Healthy individuals (4 F and 9 M), 61.8 ± 5.5 yrs, 13 AD patients (4 F and 9 M), 61.7 ± 6.2 yrs | Parietal cortex | ETG-100 | 24/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Kito et al.\(^{21}\) (2014) | 33 Healthy individuals (22 F and 11 M), 69.6 ± 5.5 yrs, 28 AD patients (18 F and 10 M), 76.6 ± 6.9 yrs, 30 Depression patients (21 F and 9 M), 71.1 ± 6.8 yrs | Frontal and parietal cortices | FOIRE-3000 | 44/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Clock Drawing Test | | | | | |
| Perpetuini et al.\(^{244}\) (2019) | 11 AD patients (4 F and 7 M), 72.2 ± 4.5 yrs, 11 Healthy individuals (3 F and 8 M), 67.5 ± 5.0 yrs | Frontal cortex | Imagent | 21/3 cm, 4 cm              | HbO                 |
| Digit Span Test    | | | | | |
| Li et al.\(^{236}\) (2018) | 8 Healthy individuals (2 F and 6 M), 63.6 ± 6.5 yrs, 6 Mild AD patients (4 F and 2 M), 72.5 ± 7.3 yrs, 7 moderate/severe AD patients (4 F and 3 M), 76 ± 4.8 yrs, 9 MCI patients (3 F and 6 M), 70.3 ± 5.4 yrs | Frontal and bilateral parietal cortices | NIRScout | 46/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Manuscript                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study     | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Perpetuini et al. (2019)   | 11 AD patients (4 F and 7 M), 72.2 ± 4.5 yrs; 11 Healthy individuals (3 F and 8 M), 67.5 ± 5.0 yrs | Frontal cortex             | Imagent    | 21/3 cm, 4 cm                | HbO                |
| Corsi Block Tapping Test   | 11 AD patients (4 F and 7 M), 72.2 ± 4.5 yrs; 11 Healthy individuals (3 F and 8 M), 67.5 ± 5.0 yrs | Frontal cortex             | Imagent    | 21/3 cm, 4 cm                | HbO                |
| Driving task               | 14 Healthy individuals (M), 67.4 ± 4.4 yrs; 12 AD patients (M), 70.5 ± 8.7 yrs          | Bilateral frontal and temporal cortices | ETG-4000   | 52                           | HbO                |
| Shiritori tasks            | 93 Healthy individuals (79 F and 14 M), 72.8 ± 6.0 yrs; 42 AD patients (26 F and 16 M), 78.9 ± 5.3 yrs; 65 LSMG (44 F and 21 M), 75.8 ± 6.2 yrs; 33 HSMG (21 F and 12 M), 78.1 ± 6.8 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal and temporal cortices | ETG-4000   | 44/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Olfactory task             | 13 AD patients (7 F and 6 M), 66 (56–72) yrs; 8 Healthy individuals (3 F and 5 M), 66 (56–79) yrs | Temporal cortex            | NIRO 300   | 2/4 cm                       | HbO                |
| Free and Cued Selective Reminding Test | 11 Healthy individuals (3 F and 8 M), 67.5 ± 5.0 yrs; 11 AD patients (4 F and 7 M), 72.2 ± 4.5 yrs | Prefrontal cortex          | Imagent    | 17/3-4 cm                    | TOI                |
| Manuscript                        | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study                          | Instrument     | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test|                                                                                         |                                                 |                |                              |                    |
| Viola et al. (2013)              | 10 mild AD patients (3 F and 7 M), 71 ± 5.8 yrs; 10 AD patients (5 F and 5 M), 74.4 ± 7.2 yrs | Bilateral temporal-parietal and frontal cortices | T-NIRS EVO II  | 4/4 cm                       | HbO                |
| Resting state                    |                                                                                         |                                                 |                |                              |                    |
| Li et al. (2018)                 | 31 Healthy individuals (20 F and 11 M), 67.61 ± 8.86 yrs; 24 AD patients (15 F and 9 M), 72.25 ± 9.15 yrs; 27 MCI patients (13 F and 14 M), 70.33 ± 8.27 yrs | Full head                                      | CW6            | 46/3.2 cm                    | HbO                |
9.9. **Free and cued selective reminding test**

During the delayed free recall phase of the task, higher entropy values were observed in AD patients compared to those in healthy participants in BAs 9 and 46.\(^{248}\)

9.10. **Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test**

AD patients exhibit higher levels of tissue oxygen saturation in the frontal cortex during the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test after receiving brain reperfusion rehabilitation therapy.\(^{249}\)

9.11. **Resting state**

An entropy analysis of the fNIRS signals from all the brain areas revealed that the signal complexity in the brain networks of AD patients was reduced compared to those of healthy individuals as well as MCI patients.\(^{229}\)

10. **Parkinson’s Disease**

The early signs of PD are tremors in the hands that affect movement and balance. Due to a reduced sense of coordination, people with PD often drop items and are more likely to fall. Further, the posture of their bodies are slightly altered. The first problem that PD patients and their caregivers face is disorder in their gait and balance. Neuroimaging techniques are now able to provide more insights into the neural mechanisms of the pathophysiology associated with the gait disorders in PD patients that can cause freezing of gait (FOG). In this section, a few older fNIRS studies and recent investigations are reviewed. The task-wise distribution of studies on PD patients is presented in Fig. 9, while Table 9 outlines all the fNIRS studies.

10.1. **Deep brain stimulation**

We found two fNIRS studies conducted between 1999 and 2000 which investigated the cortical changes in the frontal area in the brain of PD patients by invasively (deep brain) stimulating the thalamic nucleus ventralis intermedius (VIM) and globus pallidus internus (GPI).\(^{250,251}\) At different frequency ranges, various patterns of cerebral blood oxygenation were observed. Stimulating the GPI at higher frequencies resulted in an increase in HbO and a decrease in HbR. In contrast, in the VIM, the cerebral oxygenation changes were opposite to those seen via GPI stimulation. Another pilot study on PD patients was conducted to examine the motor associated cortical activity changes in response to deep brain stimulation (DBS).\(^{252}\) Compared to pre-stimulation, after DBS, the cortical activity was higher in the PFC of PD patients. This indicates the therapeutic benefits of DBS in patients with PD.

10.2. **Walking tasks without training**

A pilot study on PD patients who were affected by FOG demonstrated the feasibility of an fNIRS assessment of the locomotor task during real-life conditions.\(^{253}\) During turns, in PD patients, this study reported an increase in HbO activation in the frontal lobe before and while experiencing FOG while no changes in HbO activation were observed in healthy persons. Another comparative study on PD patients showed different activation patterns in the frontal lobe during complex walking tasks and concluded that the activation in PD patients depends on the nature of the task.\(^{254}\) During normal walking and obstacle avoidance, the PD patients showed an increase in HbO levels while in healthy persons, no activation was observed during a dual
| Manuscript          | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Deep brain stimulation** |                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Satakan et al.\(^{250}\) (1999) | 6 PD patients (3 F and 3 M), 46–66 yrs                                                  | Bilateral frontal lobes | NIRO-300   |                             | HbO                |
| Murata et al.\(^{251}\) (2000) | 6 PD                                                                                     | Bilateral frontal lobes | NIRO-300   |                             | HbO                |
| Morishita et al.\(^{252}\) (2016) | 6 PD patients (4 F and 2 M), 66.8 ± 4.0 yrs                                              | Frontal and parietal areas | FOIRE-300 | 48/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| **Walking tasks without training** |                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Maidan et al.\(^{253}\) (2015) | 11 Healthy individuals (3 F and 8 M), 71.2 ± 6.0 yrs; 11 PD patients (7 F and 4 M), 66.2 ± 10.0 yrs | Frontal region         | OxyMon MKIII | 12/3.5 cm                     | HbO                |
| Maidan et al.\(^{254}\) (2016) | 38 Healthy individuals (18 F and 20 M), 70.4 ± 0.9 yrs; 68 PD patients (22 F and 46 M), 71.6 ± 0.9 yrs | PFC                    | PortaLite   | 2/3, 3, 5, 4 cm                | HbO                |
| Maidan et al.\(^{255}\) (2017) | 49 PD patients (16 F and 33 M), 72.8 ± 1.0 yrs                                            | PFC                    | PortaLite   | 2/3, 3, 5, 4 cm                | HbO                |
| **Walking tasks with training** |                                                                                         |                        |            |                              |                    |
| Maidan et al.\(^{256}\) (2018) | All PD 34 Treadmill training (11 F and 23 M), 73.1 ± 1.1 yrs; 30 Treadmill training + virtual reality (8 F and 22 M), 70.1 ± 1.3 yrs | PFC                    | PortaLite   | 2/3, 3, 5, 4 cm                | HbO                |
| Thumm et al.\(^{257}\) (2018) | 20 PD (10 F and 10 M), 69.81 ± 6.41 yrs                                                  | PFC                    | PortaLite   | 2/3, 3, 5, 4 cm                | HbO                |
| Al-Yahya et al.\(^{258}\) (2018) | 22 Healthy individuals (16 F and 6 M), 59.5 ± 6.8 yrs; 29 PD patients (13 F and 16 M), 66.3 ± 5.9 yrs | PFC                    | OxyMon Mk III | 6/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| Manuscript | Experimental population | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Postural control task | Mahoney et al.\textsuperscript{250} (2016) | 126 Healthy individuals (69 F and 57 M), 74.41 ± 6.12 yrs; 26 PD patients (15 F and 11 M), 81.23 ± 5.93 yrs; 117 Mild PD patients (66 F and 51 M), 77.50 ± 6.72 yrs | PFC | fNIRs Imager 1000 | 16/2.5 cm | HbO |
| Iowa gambling task (IGT) | Balconi et al.\textsuperscript{360} (2018) | 46 PD patients (9 F and 37 M), 62.93 ± 7.76 yrs | Prefrontal and orbitofrontal cortices | NIRScout | 8/3 cm | HbO |
walking task. In another recent comparative study between two groups (better and worse ambulations) of PD patients, a different role of BA 10 (involved in executive functioning) was demonstrated during normal walking and turning tasks. The decrease in the activation in BA 10 was observed while the patients were turning while an increase was observed while they were walking. Comparing groups of PD patients with worse and better ambulations revealed that a decrease in prefrontal activation was observed in the latter group during turning.

10.3. **Walking tasks with training**

In a randomized controlled trial conducted with fNIRS, the effects of treadmill training in a virtual reality environment on prefrontal activation in PD patients during normal, dual-task, and obstacle-negotiation walking were studied. Decreased prefrontal activation was observed after gait training, thereby indicating an improvement in walking. It indicates that PD patients exhibit less reliance on cognitive resources during normal walking. These findings were further supported by the researchers’ recent study in which a decrease in HbO levels was observed while the patients were walking on a treadmill compared to while they were walking on the ground. Improvements in gait were also reported. Another comparative fNIRS study was conducted on the motor cortex and PFC of PD patients and healthy persons while they were walking on a treadmill at a user-defined speed and an experimenter-defined faster speed. The increase in HbO responses of the PD patients was higher in the left and right motor cortices while walking in both conditions compared to those of the healthy persons.

10.4. **Postural control task**

In an fNIRS study, compared to healthy persons, PD patients showed significantly increased prefrontal activation while maintaining postural stability. However, patients with mild PD demonstrated a similar activation pattern to healthy persons.

10.5. **Iowa gambling task**

The performances in the Iowa gambling task were assessed using fNIRS to establish the relationship between personality traits and prefrontal activity in PD patients who were pathological gamblers and those who were not. The patients with active gambling behavior showed significantly increased activity in the dorsolateral PFC in response to high-risk and more rewarding options, which indicates a notable involvement in the frontal area in both emotional and cognitive processes.

11. **Stroke**

Stroke is a disease due to which a patient’s brain does not receive sufficient blood based on its requirements. This condition occurs due to problems in the arteries that are responsible for the supply of blood to the brain. Stroke is broadly classified into two types: Ischemic stroke occurs when the blood supply is reduced or blocked due to clotting, whereas hemorrhagic stroke occurs when the blood vessels burst open. In both cases, the supply of blood is compromised in a part of the brain, which results in the death of brain cells within a short period. Every brain cell is linked with some function that our brain has to perform; therefore, the dying cells result in the loss of their associated functions. Therefore, it is best to prevent the occurrence of strokes by making changes in our lifestyles that control the cholesterol and fat levels in our body. Due to advanced treatments, the death rates due to strokes have reduced compared to those in the past. There are rehabilitation therapies and drugs that stroke patients can use to regain lost functions. Several researchers have used fNIRS to understand the impairment levels and types in stroke patients using various paradigms. The task-wise distribution of stroke papers is presented in Fig. 10, and these studies have been outlined in Table 10.

11.1. **Resting state**

Owing to the patients’ conditions, most studies on stroke patients have been performed using the resting state data. In an fNIRS study, the interhemispheric connectivity of ischemic stroke patients was significantly different from healthy persons by examining the low-frequency cardiac and respiratory oscillations, thereby proving the efficiency of this modality. In ischemic and hemorrhage stroke patients, the frontal cerebral oxygenation was directly correlated with the CBF measured via traditional CT perfusion imaging, illustrating the
efficacy of the technique. By examining the HbO signal of symptomatic carotid occlusion and hypoperfusion patients, the interhemispheric amplitude ratio was impaired when compared with that of healthy persons. Rehabilitation via the application of anodal tDCS induced neuronal activity by resulting in changes in the HbO and HbR values in stroke patients. The improvements in the analysis techniques conducted on interhemispheric connectivity were critical in identifying the basis of the physiological differences responsible for this condition by eliminating motion artifacts in stroke patients. The frequency-domain system allowed the calculations of the absolute values of HbT and hemoglobin oxygen saturation, which facilitated the identification of the impaired site in stroke patients. Restless leg syndrome patients with periodic limb movements (PLM) during sleep, who may be at a high risk of developing stroke, showed increased HbO and HbR levels while sleeping when compared to healthy persons. The cerebral autoregulation measured via coupling between HbO levels and average arterial pressure illustrated the impairment in poststroke patients as compared to healthy persons. The optical path length was different due to impairments in ischemic stroke patients, thereby illustrating the change in tissue characteristics. A wireless and mobile fNIRS device facilitated the early detection of stroke symptoms by revealing reduced cerebral oxygenation in the affected hemisphere, as measured conventionally using perfusion computed tomography and perfusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging. The effective connectivity in multiple frequency bands detected by examining HbO signals was reduced or diminished in patients with cerebral infarction as compared to healthy persons. The time-domain system was able to measure significant differences in HbO and HbR values in large vessel occlusion stroke patients as compared to healthy persons, and this difference was correlated with the impairment condition.

11.2. Walking task

Walking on a treadmill with body weight support resulted in a higher HbO response in the sensorimotor region of stroke patients. During the motor rehabilitation of stroke patients, instead of a simple walking task, a dual-task that involved walking while counting backward was an efficient technique as it resulted in a better HbO response. While comparing the effects of dual-task walking to those of cognitive or motor tasks, both were effective for cortical activation, but they attenuated the gait performance in poststroke patients. The poststroke patients exhibited hyperactivation in the PFC during a dual-task involving walking along with a cognitive task, and the HbO levels may become saturated while walking over obstacles demonstrating the full utilization of resources. A dual-task involving walking during calculation revealed that HbO activation in the PFC was linked with physical performance in stroke patients while it was linked with cognitive performance in healthy persons, thereby revealing a different prioritization trend between patients and healthy persons.

11.3. Hand/finger movement task

Electromyography-triggered functional electrical stimulation accompanied by voluntary movements of fingers and wrists resulted in better activation as compared to that of voluntary movements or of electric stimulation individually. The cortical activation in the precuneus region in stroke patients was linked to mirror therapy, and it could be used to determine the efficacy of the therapy. In a longitudinal study, revascularization surgeries performed on stroke patients resulted in improvements as compared to the levels before surgery, the CBF...
| Manuscript       | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study                      | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Resting state    | 9 Healthy individuals (6 F and 3 M), 63 ± 8 yrs; 9 Stroke patients (4 F and 5 M), 67 ± 12 yrs | Injured area symmetrical location of other hemisphere | NIRS2      | 4 cm                         | Optical density    |
| Muehlschlegel et al. (2009) |                                                                                  |                                              |            |                              | Cardiac             |
|                  |                                                                                  |                                              |            |                              | Respiratory         |
| Taussky et al. (2012) | 8 Stroke (6 F and 2 M), 47–86 yrs                                                        | Frontal region                              | Casmed     | 2/4–5 cm                     | CBF                 |
| Phillip et al. (2013) | 16 Stroke patients (2 F and 14 M), 54–78 yrs                                               | Frontal region                              | NIRS2      | 2/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Dutta et al. (2015) | 4 Stroke patients (1 F and 3 M), 31–76 yrs                                                | Central site Cz                             |            |                              |                     |
| Selb et al. (2015) | 46 Healthy individuals, 47 ± 13 yrs; 36 Stroke patients, 66 ± 14 yrs                       | Frontal region                              | CW6        | 2/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Moreau et al. (2016) | 11 Healthy individuals (5 F and 6 M), Median age 43 yrs; 5 Stroke patients (3 F and 2 M), Median age 64 yrs; 5 Cadaver, Median age 75 yrs | Frontal lobe, Broca’s area, Rolandic sulcus, superior frontal gyrus, parietal region and Wernicke’s area | OxiplexTS  | 1/2–3.5 cm                   | HbO                 |
| Byun et al. (2016) | 4 Healthy individuals (F), 43–58 yrs; 4 Restless leg syndrome (F), 52–57 yrs              | Frontal region                              | Lab made system     | 2/3 cm                       | HbO                 |
| Su et al. (2018) | 17 Healthy individuals (8 F and 9 M), 51.8 ± 7.9 yrs; 8 Right hemiparesis patients (2 F and 6 M), 53.2 ± 12.6 yrs; 9 Left hemiparesis patients (1 F and 8 M), 57.2 ± 9.1 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal, parietal, and occipital lobes | NirScan    | 24/3 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Sato et al. (2018) | 5 Stroke patients (3 F and 2 M), 18–85 yrs                                                | Bilateral frontal and temporal areas         | TRS-20     |                              | HbO                 |
|                  |                                                                                  |                                              |            |                              | HbR                 |
|                  |                                                                                  |                                              |            |                              | HbT                 |
|                  |                                                                                  |                                              |            |                              | StO2                |
| Manuscript          | Experimental population                                      | Brain area under study | Instrument      | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Kwon et al. 269 (2018) | 9 Stroke patients (3 F and 6 M), 51–90 yrs                   | Prefrontal region      | NIRSIT          | 204                          | HbO, HbR, SO₂       |
| Liu et al. 271 (2018) | 11 Healthy individuals (6 F and 5 M), 65 ± 6.3 yrs; 11 Stroke patients (5 F and 6 M), 72 ± 7.6 yrs | Prefrontal lobe and motor sections | NirScan         | 10/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR            |
| Giacalone et al. 273 (2019) | 5 Lacunar syndrome patients, 75.4 ± 5.4 yrs; 18 Recanalized syndrome patients, 76 ± 9.6 yrs; 18 Nonrecanalized syndrome patients, 76.3 ± 13.4 yrs | Bilateral frontal, central, and parietal regions | Lab made system |                             | HbO, HbR, HbT, StO₂ |
| Walking task        |                                                               |                        |                 |                              |                     |
| Miyai et al. 273 (2006) | 5 Healthy individuals (2 F and 3 M), 53 ± 11 yrs; 6 Stroke patients (1 F and 5 M), 57 ± 6 yrs | Bilateral frontoparietal cortices | OMM-2001        | 36/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Al-Yahya et al. 274 (2016) | 20 Healthy individuals (8 F and 12 M), 54.35 ± 9.38 yrs; 19 Stroke patients (2 F and 17 M), 59.61 ± 15.03 yrs | Prefrontal cortex      | Oxymon Mk III   | 8/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR           |
| Liu et al. 275 (2018) | 23 Stroke (2 F and 21 M), 51.5 ± 10.7 yrs                     | Bilateral prefrontal cortex and motor areas | NIRSport        | 14/3 cm                      | HbO, HbR           |
| Hawkins et al. 270 (2018) | 15 Healthy individuals (8 F and 7 M), 77.2 ± 5.6 yrs; 9 Healthy young individuals (5 F and 4 M), 22.4 ± 3.21 yrs; 24 Stroke patients (8 F and 16 M), 58.0 ± 9.3 yrs | Left and right anterior prefrontal cortices | Niro 200NX       | 2/3 cm                       | HbO, HbR           |
| Mori et al. 277 (2018) | 14 Healthy individuals (3 F and 11 M), 66.3 ± 13.3 yrs; 14 Stroke (2 F and 12 M), 61.1 ± 9.3 yrs | Prefrontal cortex      | WOT™            | 16/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Manuscript                  | Experimental population                                                                 | Brain area under study                      | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Hand/finger movement task  |                                                                                         |                                             |            |                             |                    |
| Hara et al.\textsuperscript{278} (2013) | 16 Stroke patients (3 F and 13 M), 18–73 (mean 49 yrs);                                | Primary sensory motor cortex               | ETG-4000   | 24/3 cm                     | HbO                |
| Brunetti et al.\textsuperscript{279} (2015) | 11 Stroke patients (4 F and 7 M), 49–74 (mean 66 yrs)                                    | Bilateral occipito-parietal and precentral areas | NIRScout   | 24/2.5, 3 cm                  | HbO,HbR            |
| Shidoh et al.\textsuperscript{280} (2015) | 3 Healthy individuals (1 F and 2 M), (mean 33 yrs); 8 Stroke patients (1 F and 7 M), (mean 64.25 yrs); | Primary motor cortex                        | OMM3000    | 3 cm                        | HbO,HbR,HbT        |
| Tamashiro et al.\textsuperscript{281} (2019) | 59 Stroke patients (20 F and 39 M), (mean 61.1 yrs)                                      | Frontal, sensory-motor and motor areas      | FOIRE-3000 | 49/3 cm                     | HbO                |
| Cycling task               |                                                                                         |                                             |            |                             |                    |
| Lin et al.\textsuperscript{282} (2013) | 17 Stroke patients (1 F and 16 M), 55.53 ± 12.06 yrs                                     | Sensory-motor and motor areas              | Imagent    | 20/3 cm                      | HbO                |
| Lo et al.\textsuperscript{283} (2018) | 9 Stroke patients (4 F and 5 M), 53–75 yrs                                              | Sensory and motor areas                     | NIRScout   | 28                           | HbO                |
| Robot-assisted elbow movement |                                                                                       |                                             |            |                             |                    |
| Saita et al.\textsuperscript{284} (2017) | 7 Stroke patients (4 F and 3 M), 60.6 ± 8.4 yrs;                                          | Bilateral frontal and parietal areas        | FOIRE-3000 | 48                           | HbO,HbR            |
| Saita et al.\textsuperscript{285} (2018) | 10 Stroke patients (2 F and 8 M), 66.8 ± 12.0 yrs                                       | Bilateral frontal and parietal areas        | FOIRE-3000 | 48                           | HbO,HbR            |
| Balancing task             |                                                                                         |                                             |            |                             |                    |
| Mihara et al.\textsuperscript{286} (2012) | 20 Stroke patients (5 F and 15 M), 61.6 ± 11.9 yrs                                       | Frontoparietal region                       | OMM-3000   | 50/3 cm                      | HbO,HbR            |
| Oxygen inhalation task     |                                                                                         |                                             |            |                             |                    |
| Ebihara et al.\textsuperscript{287} (2012) | 30 Healthy individuals (5 F and 25 M), 22–56 yrs, 33 Stroke patients (4 F and 29 M), 58–78 yrs | Bilateral fronto-temporal areas             | ETG-4000   | 48/3 cm                      | HbO,HbR            |
| Lower limb movement task   |                                                                                         |                                             |            |                             |                    |
| Rea et al.\textsuperscript{288} (2014) | 7 Stroke patients (3 F and 4 M), 54.7 ± 14.10 yrs                                       | Bilateral frontal, motor, and sensory areas | ETG-4000   | 48/3 cm                      | HbO,HbR,HbT        |
Table 10. (Continued)

| Manuscript          | Experimental population                      | Brain area under study   | Instrument    | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Swallowing task     |                                             |                          |               |                              |                    |
| Kober et al. 2015   | 7 Stroke patients (3 F and 4 M), 68–80 yrs  | Bilateral inferior frontal gyrus | NIRSport 88   | 20/3 cm                     | HbO, HbR           |
| Word repetition task|                                             |                          |               |                              |                    |
| Hara et al. 2017    | 8 Stroke patients (2 F and 6 M), 42–75 yrs  | Bilateral inferior frontal gyrus | SMARTNIRS     | 48                           | HbO                |
| Design and verbal fluency task |                                  |                          |               |                              |                    |
| Saita et al. 2017   | 58 yrs M vertigo and ataxia, 74 yrs F stroke | Prefrontal region        | FOIRE         | 48                           | HbO                |
| Tilt-table task     |                                             |                          |               |                              |                    |
| Moriya et al. 2018  | 8 Stroke patients (6 F and 2 M), 70.8 ± 11.8 yrs | Bilateral prefrontal cortex | Pocket NIRS Duo | 2/3 cm                      | HbO                |
increased when measured after two weeks and again three months after surgery.\textsuperscript{280} The right-handed stroke patients with an impairment in the right hemisphere and vice versa exhibited better motor recovery owing to a combination therapy of low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and intensive occupational therapy in the left (unaffected) hemisphere.\textsuperscript{281}

11.4. \textit{Cycling task}

The rehabilitation of stroke patients via cycling resulted in better cortical activation in the premotor cortex and in better physical performance in response to providing them feedback on their speed.\textsuperscript{282} Comparing the electrical stimulation intensity during the rehabilitation of stroke patients while they were performing the cycling task, an intensity of 10 mA resulted in better cortical excitations compared to a higher intensity of 30 mA.\textsuperscript{283}

11.5. \textit{Robot-assisted elbow movement}

In poststroke patients, the combination of robot-assisted rehabilitation therapy and botulinum toxin A injections was effective as the HbO response in the primary sensorimotor region was improved when examined after two weeks and again after four months.\textsuperscript{284} The task-related cortical activity was significantly improved on providing biofeedback to the subjects as the robot changed the color of light based on the patient’s performance.\textsuperscript{285}

11.6. \textit{Balancing task}

During a balancing task, stroke patients exhibited cortical activation in the bilateral prefrontal, premotor, and parietal regions similar to that of healthy persons, thereby illustrating no functional reorganization in the brain, yet the activation was smaller in the affected areas of the brain.\textsuperscript{286}

11.7. \textit{Oxygen inhalation task}

The patients with cerebral ischemia showed reduced weights via PCA of the HbO responses in impaired regions as compared to those in normal regions.\textsuperscript{287}

11.8. \textit{Lower limb movement task}

The HbT responses utilized in a linear discriminant analysis (LDA) revealed a significant discrimination in the movements between the paretic and non-paretic limbs of stroke patients.\textsuperscript{288}

11.9. \textit{Swallowing task}

Compared to the observed responses in healthy persons, the stroke patients showed prolonged HbO and HbR responses while actively swallowing saliva or imagining swallow.\textsuperscript{289}

11.10. \textit{Word repetition task}

During a language task, stroke patients received repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulations on the opposite hemisphere from the activated hemisphere, and poststroke patients received intensive speech therapy to improve the cortical excitations and language function.\textsuperscript{290}

11.11. \textit{Design and verbal fluency task}

During two case studies on stroke patients, due to visuospatial and language functions, marginal cortical activations were exhibited only in the unaffected hemisphere.\textsuperscript{291}

11.12. \textit{Tilt-table task}

The poststroke patients with right-lateralized PFC activation at rest exhibited increased HbO levels in the PFC during a tilting task whereas the patients with left-lateralized HbO responses exhibited a decrease in HbO levels during this task.\textsuperscript{292}

12. \textbf{Traumatic Brain Injury}

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) results from accidents that subject the brain to sudden damage due to an injury to the head. The most common causes of TBI are traffic accidents, falls, and sports injuries. A TBI patient can suffer from a wide range of physiological and psychological symptoms based on the affected location of the brain, and the impairments can last for short, long, or even life-long periods. The symptoms can appear immediately, or in some cases, they may appear after some days or weeks. The treatments for TBI involve rest, medication, and/or surgery in some cases. The fNIRS has been used to study the hemodynamic responses associated with the various types of symptoms caused by
TBI. Figure 11 presents the task-wise distribution of the works, and Table 11 outlines them.

12.1. **Hand/finger movement task**
The patients with TBI showed lower cerebral oxygenation, but a similar blood volume in the left PFC during a right-hand gripping task when compared to healthy persons. Children with concussion exhibited a reduced HbT and HbO coherence exhibiting impaired interhemispheric connectivity when compared to healthy children during a finger-tapping task. Compared to healthy persons, the patients with mild TBI also showed lower functional connectivity that was inversely linked with impairment intensity, and the difference in connectivity was more pronounced during the task period as compared to the resting state.

12.2. **Visual task**
The TBI patients exhibited a reduced HbO response in the bilateral dorsolateral PFC during an attention task when compared to healthy persons, thereby revealing the impaired intentional networks. The patients with sports-related concussions showed a higher hemodynamic response in the frontal regions and a strong interhemispheric correlation in the occipital cortex when compared to healthy persons.

12.3. **Cognitive rehabilitation**
While undergoing training involving nine cognitive tasks, the TBI patients showed similar HbO responses in the lateral frontal regions and a higher HbO response in the medial frontal regions when compared to healthy persons.

12.4. **Neurocognitive test battery**
The patients with sport-related concussions showed reduced cortical activations in the affected areas during a computerized test involving various working memory tasks compared to healthy persons.

12.5. **N-back task**
During a working memory task, the analysis of HbO, HbR, and HbT responses in TBI patients revealed significant differences compared to those of healthy persons even though the behavioral performance was similar.

12.6. **Paced auditory serial addition test**
The cortical activation regions were different in TBI patients compared to healthy persons during the task with or without distraction, thereby revealing poor inhibitory control.

12.7. **Complexity judgment task**
The oxygenation variability index measured via the HbO and HbR values resulted in high sensitivity in differentiating TBI patients from healthy persons during various levels of complexity judgment.

12.8. **Stroop task**
An increase in neural activation was observed in healthy persons by increasing the cognitive demand while the TBI patients achieved higher activations while performing more straightforward tasks, thereby revealing the impaired frontal lobe efficiency.

12.9. **Music listening task**
A vector phase analysis conducted during music identification with or without distraction revealed...
| Manuscript                        | Experimental population | Brain area under study                          | Instrument        | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Hand/finger movement task        |                         | Left prefrontal lobe                           | MRM91             | 2/4 cm                      | HbO                 |
| Bhambhani et al. (2006)          | 13 Healthy individuals (5 F and 8 M), 31.5 ± 4.5 yrs; 25 TBI patients (21 F and 4 M), 31.6 ± 9.8 yrs |                         |                   |                            | HbR                 |
|                                  |                         |                                                |                   |                            | HbT                 |
| Urban et al. (2015)              | 8 Healthy individuals (3 F and 5 M), 14.0 ± 2.2 yrs; 12 TBI patients (6 F and 6 M), 15.3 ± 1.9 yrs | Motor cortex       | CW5               | 14/2 cm                     | HbO                 |
|                                  |                         |                                                |                   |                            | HbT                 |
| Hocke et al. (2018)              | 12 Healthy individuals (9 F and 3 M), 30 ± 11 yrs; 12 TBI patients (7 F and 5 M), 29 ± 10 yrs | Dorsolateral PFC and primary motor cortex | CW7               | 24/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Visual task                      |                         |                                                |                   |                            |                     |
| Merzagora et al. (2011)          | 11 Healthy individuals, 32 ± 15 yrs; 5 TBI patients, 41 ± 10 yrs | Frontal cortex     | Lab developed system | 16/2.5 cm                   | HbO                 |
|                                  |                         |                                                |                   |                            | HbR                 |
| Wu et al. (2018)                 | 27 Healthy individuals (11 F and 16 M), 21.5 ± 2.5 yrs; 27 TBI patients (11 F and 16 M), 20.5 ± 2.28 yrs | Bilateral middle frontal gyri, calcarine gyri, and inferior occipital cortices | CW6               | 24/1,3 cm                   | HbO                 |
| Cognitive Rehabilitation         |                         |                                                |                   |                            |                     |
| Hibino et al. (2013)             | 47 Healthy individuals (32 F and 15 M), 20.5 ± 2.2 yrs; 9 TBI patients (3 F and 6 M), 28.1 ± 7.4 yrs | Bilateral and mid frontal regions | FOIRE-3000        | 47/3 cm                     | HbO                 |
| Neurocognitive test battery      |                         |                                                |                   |                            |                     |
| Kontos et al. (2014)             | 5 Healthy individuals (4 F and 1 M), 22.00 ± 0.28 yrs; 9 TBI (4 F and 5 M), 22.73 ± 1.32 yrs | Bilateral frontal and temporal regions | CW6               | 32/3.2 cm                   | HbO                 |
|                                  |                         |                                                |                   |                            | HbR                 |
| N-back task                      |                         |                                                |                   |                            | HbT                 |
| Merzagora et al. (2014)          | 11 Healthy individuals, 31 ± 13 yrs; 6 TBI patients, 42 ± 10 yrs | PFC                | Lab developed system | 16/2.5 cm                   | HbO                 |
|                                  |                         |                                                |                   |                            | HbR                 |
|                                  |                         |                                                |                   |                            | HbT                 |
| Manuscript | Experimental population | Brain area under study | Instrument | No. of channels/separation(s) | Analyzed parameters |
|------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| **Paced auditory serial addition test** | 10 Healthy individuals (3 F and 7 M), 31.6 ± 3.9 yrs; 10 TBI patients (3 F and 7 M), 34.9 ± 6.9 yrs | PFC and primary auditory cortex | LABNIRS | 52/3 cm | HbO |
| Sawamura et al.\(^{311}\) (2014) | 14 Healthy individuals (4 F and 10 M), 35 ± 3 yrs; 29 TBI patients (6 F and 23 M), 37 ± 2 yrs | PFC | fNIR Devices | 16/3 cm | HbO HbR |
| **Complexity judgment task** | 13 Healthy individuals (6 F and 7 M), 38.8 ± 10.9 yrs; 14 TBI patients (1 F and 13 M), 39.8 ± 15.1 yrs | Bilateral frontal, temporal, and mid to inferior parietal areas | ETG-4000 | 52/3 cm | HbO |
| Chernomordik et al.\(^{302}\) (2016) | 22 Healthy individuals, 55.7 ± 5.98 yrs; 15 TBI patients, 53.60 ± 8.88 yrs | Frontopolar region | OEG-16 | 16 | HbO HbR |
| **Music listening task** | 9 Consciousness disorder patients (4 F and 5 M), 17–64 yrs | Prefrontal and occipital areas | Lab developed system | 8/3 cm | HbO HbR |
that frequent oxygen exchanges in the left dorso-lateral PFC of TBI patients were responsible for auditory attention deficits.\textsuperscript{304}

### 12.10. Spinal cord stimulation

The patients with disorders in consciousness due to TBI exhibited that a shorter interstimulus interval of spinal cord stimulation resulted in higher HbT levels in the PFC, implying a higher level of awareness of the patients.\textsuperscript{305}

### 13. Discussion and Future Implications

In this paper, we summarized the studies conducted on notable diseases using fNIRS as a neuroimaging tool. Such notable diseases were examined/included only when we could find more than 10 studies involving a patient population.

#### 13.1. Preprocessing of fNIRS signals

Compared to fMRI, a well-established modality, fNIRS, is still a growing modality for understanding neuronal activities. The methods adopted to examine hemodynamic changes via fNIRS are diverse, and it has been eagerly proposed that a standard procedure should be followed.\textsuperscript{306} If studies follow a standard data processing pipeline, they can be compared, and a verifiable knowledge database can be established. The acquired raw fNIRS data are affected by various noise sources like physiological (respiratory, cardiac, Mayer waves, etc.), environmental (ambient light, subject movement, source/detector attached to the scalp, etc.), and instrumentational ones (sensor noise, communication noise, line noise, etc.). The details of the noises and their properties can be found in the literature.\textsuperscript{307} These noises reduce the signal-to-noise ratio of the desired signal, and they can override the neuronal activation for the task performed following an experimental paradigm not carefully designed.\textsuperscript{306,308} Therefore, the removal of these noises to obtain a clean fNIRS signal is a pivotal step. Various techniques are employed to remove them as they are identified by their approximate frequencies like cardiac (1 Hz), respiratory (0.3 Hz), and Mayer waves (0.1 Hz). Mostly digital filters are used to remove these frequency bands from the raw signals. Two types of filtering are commonly used: A band-pass filter (used to retain a frequency range from the signal while discarding the remaining part) and a low-pass filter (used to remove the high-frequency part beyond a certain frequency). Some researchers prefer to use a filter on the light-intensity signals while others use on the hemodynamic signals.\textsuperscript{306}

In the recent past, the use of short-separation channels to remove the extracerebral effects is gaining attention. The short-separation channels are configured by making the source–detector separation lower than 10 mm.\textsuperscript{309} The NIR light in these channels does not penetrate deep enough and is considered to carry information only from the superficial layer.\textsuperscript{310} The maximal source–detector separation was found to be 8.4 mm for a typical adult brain, and 2.15 mm was most suitable for an infant brain.\textsuperscript{311} If the distance for short-separation channels is not carefully configured, the information from the gray matter is also included.\textsuperscript{312} In some research, the information from short-separation channels were included in a regressor to clean the fNIRS signal. But, its utilization globally across the surface of a head is critically argued due to the heterogeneous response of scalp.\textsuperscript{313,314} One notable idea is to use two short-separation channels in the regression equation; one at the source side and the other at the detector side.\textsuperscript{315}

The experiments conducted on patient populations are more critical and yet are more prone to motion artifacts due to patient conditions.\textsuperscript{90,265} The removal of motion artifacts from the raw signals is necessary along with physiological noises for further processing.\textsuperscript{316} The signal is largely affected by motion artifacts in the case of newborns as they are more prone to movement during the experiment resulting in data loss.\textsuperscript{317,318} Threshold levels were defined for signal changes to discard motion artifacts due to infant head movements.\textsuperscript{319,320} Many research works are being carried out on infants to understand the developing brain. The hemodynamic response of infants has been reported to alter from adults, which can be due to the effect of various variables like stimulus complexity and experimental designs.\textsuperscript{321} If the duration of an experimental study is long, the patients are more likely to move during the test. The experimental design should also be planned critically to hold the patient’s attention while performing the tasks without burdening or boring them, which result in mind wandering-based activations.\textsuperscript{322} The duration of the initial baseline, task duration, and the rest period between multiple tasks should be considered.
carefully as the hemodynamic response is a slow process that takes time to revert to the baseline after activation.\textsuperscript{223} The positioning of patients is also an important aspect during the experiment and ensuring that the patients are in similar postures improves the fNIRS data.\textsuperscript{229,264,324}

13.2. Processing of fNIRS signals

There have been different analyses performed on hemodynamic variables. The fNIRS systems have the capability to provide HbO, HbR, and HbT values instead of only HbR values that are acquired via fMRI. Many researchers employ HbO values to conduct their analyses with the justification that these values have a more direct relationship with cortical activations and can facilitate understanding them better.\textsuperscript{18–22,25,121,169,170,263} The fNIRS variables have multiple data embedded in them that can be extracted by various signal processing techniques, which range from various filtering adaptive filtering methods to signal complexity analyses, such as entropy analyses.\textsuperscript{228,229,325,326} Researchers have utilized fNIRS variables to extract several biomarkers for the classification and identification of diseases, such as low-frequency oscillations, heart rate, CBV, CBF, TOI, Cytox, and cerebral oxygen exchange.\textsuperscript{75,230,261–263,267,302} The features of fNIRS variables that consist of, but are not limited to, peak, mean, skewness, variance, slope, kurtosis, standard deviation, number of peaks, sum of peaks, root mean square, and median are frequently utilized in classification algorithms like LDA, SVM, extreme machine learning, Bayes classifiers, and neural networks.\textsuperscript{13,327,328}

The processing of fNIRS signals is usually done by the user’s choice by mostly utilizing the software provided by the device manufacturer without having a deep understanding of the underlying methods.\textsuperscript{329} The results are largely affected by the choice of procedure employed. The recommended procedure is to use a standardized preprocessing pipeline and do personalized processing to get the required information. In most studies, the authors write their codes/routines for their own purposes. Instead, to facilitate the processing of fNIRS signals, various tools have been developed. HomER and NIRS-SPM are the most commonly utilized software packages in the fNIRS community that allow device-independent analyses of the signals.\textsuperscript{330,331} Other important tools being used are fOSA, NAP, FC-NIRS, NinPy, NIRS brain AnalyzIR, ICNNA, and GREtNA, which have allowed fNIRS practitioners to explore many aspects of brain development, behavior, and pathologies.\textsuperscript{332–338} The utilization of advanced signal processing and adaptive control algorithms in the future can be helpful to achieve earlier detection of the hemodynamic response not to mention the accuracy.\textsuperscript{11,339–343}

13.3. Channel localization

Patients are usually classified based on the impaired hemodynamic responses caused due to some disease.\textsuperscript{128–133} The localization of an impairment in the brain is a vital step to evaluate the intensity and type of the disease. Therefore, the placement of fNIRS sources, detectors, or optodes on a patient’s head based on the task involved is important as most tasks are associated with known brain regions.\textsuperscript{8,344} Unlike fMRI, fNIRS does not allow for structural imaging, which makes it difficult to compare studies using different channel configurations and placements. Therefore, a standardized placement system should be followed like the EEG electrode placement such as the 10–20, 10–10, or 10–5 systems so that the findings are comparable and reproducible among studies and subjects.\textsuperscript{9,345,346} Another method for standardized locations is to involve the brain’s structural information by utilizing an fMRI scanner to select the locations for fNIRS channels initially.\textsuperscript{347} The involvement of an fMRI scanner diminishes the advantages of utilizing fNIRS for neuroimaging and adds extra burden to the subject under study.\textsuperscript{348} A useful approach for identifying the channel locations that are similar to fMRI using the Montreal Neurological Institute coordinate system is the utilization of a 3D digitizer to cast the fNIRS channels to a brain atlas.\textsuperscript{349} A recently developed toolbox recommends the placement of optodes based on the desired location of the brain.\textsuperscript{350} Most studies reviewed in this paper utilized an EEG location system, while the utilization of fMRI and a digitizer together was rare.\textsuperscript{98,103,107,212} The most probable reason for this is the involvement of the extra cost of equipment and the extra time consumption for the subject as well as for the experiment conductor. Forming a channel for fNIRS is also associated with the distance between the
light source and photodetector. The achieved depth of an fNIRS channel can vary with variations in the source–detector separation. While most of the studies measured the fNIRS signal with a 3-cm separation, variations of 2–5 cm were observed.96,97,109 The headgears/caps are also provided by the system manufacturers, therefore, in most cases, these headgears/caps are used to place and hold the optodes at a fixed distance of 3 cm.28,34,121,194–199,224,241,242 It is recommended to use a channel separation of 2 cm for children and infants due to smaller head size and the resulting reduced width between superficial layers.23,42,167,294

13.4. Channel resolution and limitation

The limited number of optodes available on fNIRS machines and the resulting number of channels are still not sufficient to study the changes in the brain. Few studies could examine most of the brain regions.83,91,104,108,229 Neuronal activations occurring in response to a single task are not linked to a single brain location.351 Therefore, the outcomes of the studies that focus on a specific narrow location in the brain might not be sufficient for understanding the brain functions. Brain functional reorganization happens when a certain portion of the brain is impaired.98,286 Therefore, studying multiple brain areas is important to understand the underlying changes occurring in patients’ brains.30–34,79,121,236,266,272 Functional and effective connectivity analyses are useful to understand the processing that takes place in the human brain, and covering more brain areas will allow for better understanding based on the experimental conditions.4,271,295–297,352 The bundled optode approach that creates hundreds of channels at different brain depths with high spatial resolution can assuredly assist in the creation of 3D images via fNIRS, which can be compared with those created via fMRI.7 This technique involves spatially resolved spectroscopy that utilizes multichannel depth imaging among groups of sources and detectors placed as close to each other as possible.353 The resultant number of channels will be in the thousands compared to the maximum of a few hundred that is possible using the present state-of-the-art systems. This technique will open the gates to machine learning-based signal processing algorithms that are commonly used for large datasets like neural networks and many others.354,355 It will facilitate the quick expansion of the research scope via fNIRS, which will help in further revealing the currently hidden patterns and properties of hemodynamics. Although physical constraints do not allow fNIRS to penetrate beyond a specific depth, however, it can be used as an alternative technology for limited brain depth imaging. Therefore, new fNIRS systems with an extensive number of optodes should be developed to assist in examining the full brain with high spatial and temporal resolutions. Most of the available commercial device manufacturers do not allow the configuration of short-separation channels in their fixed optode holders.329 The integration of short-separation channels by manufacturers is recommended as it is one of the important methods for getting a clean fNIRS signal.

13.5. The fNIRS-based brain–computer interface

The utilization of fNIRS in diagnosing and classification of various diseases has been established and covered in this paper. The importance of BCI in the healthcare industry is critical, especially for aggraved conditioned patients with physical disabilities. fNIRS has been used as a neuroimaging modality in developing BCIs due to its various benefits.356 As the hemodynamics signal has inherently slow nature, the light intensity signals of fNIRS known as fast optical signals were explored in comparison to the event-related potentials of EEG.357 The quality and reliability of fast optical signals are still very low, and further research with stable results is required for practical applications. The classification of fNIRS signals has been a challenging task and normally averaged samples are used for classification, yet researchers have showed promising results for single-trial as well as online classification of VFT, Stroop task, and resting state.358–361 Promising research has been done to reduce the time delay in fNIRS activity detection.12,362 The BCI usually involves imagining of tasks to generate brain activity, which can be utilized for command generation. Imagining “yes” or “no” can be a very basic imagining task that could be suitable for a wide range of patients and the classification accuracy for this task was reported to be significant for most users.363 Motor imagery is popularly used in BCIs as imagination of movement.
of limbs produces reliable hemodynamic response, which is comparable to the hemodynamic response of actual limb movement.\textsuperscript{364} The neuronal activity generation due to motor imagery was found to be enhanced with visual feedback during a robot movement control using motor imagery.\textsuperscript{365} The acquisition of data from a realistic environment and its processing are vital for the development of BCI.\textsuperscript{366,367} Research on the mental states and neuroergonomics of pilots during actual as well as simulated environment was conducted using fNIRS.\textsuperscript{368,369} Satisfactory results from these studies strengthened the use of fNIRS in BCI applications. The understanding of encoding and decoding of neuronal activation is important in developing BCI applications to complement the neural encoding and decoding being used in medical robotics research which use nerve–machine interfaces.\textsuperscript{370–374}

13.6. \textbf{Tasks for fNIRS signals}

Various tasks have been used to understand the deficits related to the PFC. The covered studies in this paper reveal that the VFT was the most widely used task in understanding the impaired activation of the diseased population. The VFT has demonstrated efficient performance levels in distinguishing healthy participants from a mixed population of patients and healthy persons.\textsuperscript{96,191,208,209} The cortical activations in response to VFTs in a hybrid population comprising of patients affected by multiple diseases were used to differentiate patients of one disease from another as well as to generate information of the disease severity.\textsuperscript{28,113,116,118,125,179,181–183} Different working memory tasks have been used to perceive the neuronal activations associated with various diseases using multiple task loads.\textsuperscript{52,200,232} Various studies involving electric and magnetic stimulations have been conducted and have described the effects of stimulation during rehabilitation therapy on hemodynamic responses, thereby demonstrating the beneficial nature of fNIRS.\textsuperscript{9,105,199,250–252,264,290,375,376} The fNIRS captures optical intensity signals via photodetectors, which are unaltered by electric and magnetic fields. Therefore, fNIRS is a more suitable neuroimaging modality for evaluating the effects of rehabilitation in the brain compared to fMRI and EEG. This paper presents widely utilized tasks associated with each disease that can serve as a guideline for future classification studies. Also, it suggests new possible directions for research on a specific disease that may have been followed for another disease.

14. \textbf{Conclusions}

In this paper, we reviewed studies involving patient populations that used fNIRS to examine mental/physical impairments. The fNIRS is a portable neuroimaging modality that has been extensively employed to evaluate and classify various diseases. By the broad utilization of fNIRS, it is evident that this technology is appropriate to examine neuronal behavior of healthy subjects as well as patients.

This paper described briefly the significant findings associated with impaired neuronal activations that were specific to tasks and mental disorders. We indicated the tasks that could be used to show significant cortical activations in diseased populations. Distinct patterns of activation or low-frequency oscillations were associated with specific diseases and were used for classification. Although the intensity and disease classifications were achieved, yet haphazardness in pre- and post-processing schemes and parameter reporting exists in the literature, which needs to be standardized.

We described the studies that used various channel configurations and significant variations in the resultant number of channels. Most studies restricted their scope to a single brain area while a few studies covered multiple lobes and rarely examined the full head. The constraint on the available number of optodes in currently available commercial fNIRS systems restricts the number of channels with an intermediate spatial resolution. The dense placement of optodes covering the entire surface of the head will allow for superior spatial resolution up to a limited brain depth. The resulting massive number of channels will require new methodologies for processing big fNIRS data. The frequent application of machine learning algorithms on fNIRS data, which is currently not possible due to limited datasets, will be interesting to observe in the future.
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