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Introduction

Paracetamol, N-acetyl-p-aminophenol (also known as acetaminophen), is a readily available, over-the-counter antipyretic and analgesic compound. It is the most often prescribed drug to treat mild-to-moderate pain or fever in infants, including neonates, and can be administered by different routes (ie, oral, rectal, or intravenous). It has analgesic and antipyretic activity, but has only very modest peripheral anti-inflammatory properties.1–3 In its therapeutic concentration range, paracetamol is metabolized by the liver to paracetamol-glucuronide (47%–62%) and paracetamol-sulphate (25%–36%) as main metabolites, and subsequently eliminated by the renal route in adults. Only 1% to 4% is excreted unchanged in urine, and about 8% to 10% of paracetamol is oxidized to 3-hydroxy-paracetamol and the (hepatic) toxic metabolite N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone-imine (NAPQI).3–6 Maturation-related changes in paracetamol disposition, metabolic, and elimination clearance occur throughout childhood, but are most prominent in early life.7,9

Neonates have an overall lower paracetamol metabolic and elimination clearance capacity, and the between-subject variability is explained by covariates such as size or weight, organ function, or disease characteristics.7–9 Compared with other drugs, a relevant body of evidence on pharmacokinetic properties and disposition of paracetamol in term and preterm neonates has been reported following intravenous and enteral (oral, rectal) administration. Despite this, there is still relevant variability in dosing suggestions as retrieved in reference textbooks or websites (Table I).10–13

Although intravenous paracetamol administration remains off label for specific subpopulations (eg, limited to term neonates, or children younger than age 2 years in the United States) in many countries, these formulations are increasingly used in neonates.8,14,15 The registered dose is 7.5 mg/kg q6h for term neonates up to infants weighing 10 kg. A dose of 15 mg/kg q6h (max daily dose 60 mg/kg) is recommended between 10 and 40 kg body weight. In clinical practice, a loading dose (20 mg/kg) and higher maintenance doses are suggested (Table I) and have been evaluated in regard to efficacy and safety.14,15

Effective and safe drug administration in neonates should consider the evolving physiologic characteristics (eg, maturation and disease) of a newborn who will receive the drug and pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of a given
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drug. Consequently, drug disposition in neonates is as diverse as the neonates who are admitted to our neonatal intensive care units.\(^6\,17\) This is also true for paracetamol. Using a systematic bibliographic search strategy, we aim to provide an overview on the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of paracetamol in neonates. This will be followed by a discussion with specific emphasis on newly emerging issues related to potential effects (patent ductus arteriosus [PDA]) and side effects (atopy and emerging biomarkers).

### Literature Search

Our literature search was performed using PubMed and EMBASE databases as search engines. The following key words were used: *pharmacokinetics paracetamol/acetaminophen neonate, metabolism paracetamol/acetaminophen neonate, and effects paracetamol/acetaminophen neonate*. The reference list of each article was read carefully, and the selected references were examined.

### Results

**Pharmacokinetic properties and metabolism of paracetamol in neonates**

#### Intravenous

The most recently reported pooled study on intravenous paracetamol pharmacokinetic properties was based on a population pharmacokinetic analysis of 3 published studies, resulting in 943 paracetamol observations in 158 neonates (27–45 weeks post-menstrual age [PMA]). There were only 58 preterm neonates, of whom 21 were extreme preterm, 19 had a birth weight lower than 1500 g, and 31 were small for gestational age.\(^6\) A 2-compartment linear disposition model with first-order elimination fitted best to analyse time-concentration points. The volume of distribution was 70.4 L/70 kg and the clearance increased from 2.85 L/h per 70 kg at 27 weeks to reach 7.05 L/h per 70 kg by 42 weeks PMA.\(^8\) Weight was the major covariate (57.5% of variance). Clearance expressed as milligrams per kilogram per hour increased only slightly with PMA (0.138 L/kg/h at 28 weeks PMA to 0.167 L/kg/h at 44 weeks PMA), was the major covariate (57.5% of variance). Clearance expressed as milligrams per kilogram per hour increased only slightly with PMA (0.138 L/kg/h at 28 weeks PMA to 0.167 L/kg/h at 44 weeks PMA), and contributes to only 2.2% of variance. High unconjugated bilirubin levels only contributed an additional 1.2%. Based on this pooled analysis, it was concluded that size (predicted by weight) and contributes to only 2.2% of variance. High unconjugated bilirubin levels only contributed an additional 1.2%. Based on this pooled analysis, it was concluded that size (predicted by weight) was the major covariate of clearance. We hereby mainly confirmed earlier clearance estimates in a further extended cohort of (pre) term neonates. Paracetamol clearance in neonates—described using allometric scaling—was one-third of the mature value reported in adults (16.2 L/h/70 kg).\(^5,6\) Clearance maturation is slow before age 40 weeks PMA and subsequently matures rapidly to reach 90% of adult capacity at 1 year of life.\(^6,8\) In addition, the distribution volume was higher in early infancy when compared with other pediatric populations.\(^6,8\) The volume of distribution decreased from 27 weeks PMA (0.64 L/kg) to reach a mature value from 6 months of age (0.4–0.45 L/kg) onward. The increased volume of distribution in neonates supports the use of a larger initial dose (loading dose) of intravenous paracetamol in neonates if one aims to attain a given paracetamol threshold concentration sooner (ie, > 10–11 mg/L\(^6,8\)) because a higher distribution volume results in a proportionally lower peak concentration,\(^6,16\) as reflected in Table 1.

Finally, based on the pooled analysis, a mean paracetamol serum concentration of 11 mg/L was predicted in neonates aged 28 to 44 weeks PMA given a standard dose of 10 mg/kg/6 h intravenous paracetamol. In Figure 1, all pooled time-concentration observations collected in the Leuven cohorts are provided.\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^18\)\(^,\)\(^19\) However, data of this drug in extreme preterm neonates were still limited. It is encouraging that since this pooled analysis, additional data have been reported or have been collected. This includes observations in a cohort of very preterm infants (< 32 weeks gestational age) (N = 15). Repeated dosing (7.5 mg/kg q6h) resulted in median paracetamol levels of 10 mg/L.

| Source                  | Administration route | Suggested dose |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Neofax\(^10\) Oral      | Loading dose         | 20–25 mg/kg    |
|                         | Maintenance          | 12–15 mg/kg/dose |
|                         | Interval             | q6h in term neonates |
|                         |                      | q8h in preterm neonates |
|                         |                      | ≥ 32 wk PMA    |
|                         |                      | q12h in preterm neonates |
|                         |                      | < 32 wk PMA    |
| Rectal                  | Loading dose         | 30 mg/kg       |
|                         | Maintenance          | 12–18 mg/kg/dose |
|                         |                      | q6h in term neonates |
|                         |                      | q8h in preterm neonates |
|                         |                      | ≥ 32 wk PMA    |
|                         |                      | q12h in preterm neonates |
|                         |                      | < 32 wk PMA    |
| Intravenous             | No suggestions       | provided       |
| BNFc\(^11\) Oral        | Loading dose         | 20 mg/kg       |
|                         | Maintenance          | 10–15 mg/kg/dose |
|                         |                      | q6–8 h in ≥ 32 wk |
|                         |                      | q8–12h in < 32 wk PMA    |
|                         |                      | ≥ 32 wk PMA, max 60 mg/kg/d |
|                         |                      | < 32 wk PMA, max 30 mg/kg/d |
| Rectal                  | Loading dose         | 30 mg/kg       |
|                         | Maintenance          | in ≥ 32 wk     |
|                         |                      | 20 mg/kg q6h    |
|                         |                      | (max 60 mg/kg/d) |
|                         |                      | ≥ 32 wk PMA    |
|                         |                      | 15 mg/kg q12h  |
|                         |                      | (max 30 mg/kg/d) in < 32 wk |
| Intravenous             | Loading dose         | No suggestions provided |
|                         | Maintenance          | 7.5 mg/kg, q4–6 h, max 30 mg/kg/d when < 10 kg, and limited to term neonates |
| Neonatal formulary\(^12\) Oral | Loading dose         | 24 mg/kg       |
|                         | Maintenance          | 12 mg/kg/dose  |
|                         |                      | q4h in ≥ 32 wk PMA, q8h in < 32 wk |
| Rectal                  | Loading dose         | 36 mg/kg       |
|                         | Maintenance          | 24 mg/kg, q8h in term neonates |
|                         |                      | No advice in preterm neonates |
| Intravenous             | Loading dose         | 20 mg/kg, irrespective of age |
|                         | Maintenance          | 15 mg/kg, q6h in term cases |
|                         |                      | 12.5 mg/kg, 31–36 wk PMA |
|                         |                      | 10 mg/kg, < 30 wk PMA |
| Dutch formulary\(^13\) Oral | Loading dose         | Not sufficiently supported by clinical evidence |
|                         | Maintenance          | 60 mg/kg/d, > 32 wk PMA |
| Rectal                  | Loading dose         | 30 mg/kg/d, 28–32 wk PMA |
|                         | Maintenance          | 30 mg/kg, < 32 wk PMA |
|                         |                      | 20 mg/kg, 28–32 wk PMA |
|                         |                      | 20 mg/kg, q8h in term neonates |
|                         |                      | 20 mg/kg, q12h in preterm neonates |
| Intravenous             | Loading dose         | Off label in preterm neonates |
|                         | Maintenance          | 20 mg/kg, irrespective of age |
|                         |                      | 10 mg/kg, max 40 mg/kg/d, in term cases |
|                         |                      | 10 mg/kg, max 30 mg/kg/d, 31–36 wk PMA |
|                         |                      | 10 mg/kg, max 20 mg/kg/d, < 31 wk PMA |

PMA = postmenstrual age (in weeks).
at steady state, quite similar to the levels aimed for in the pooled analysis.26 A preliminary analysis of a repeated intravenous paracetamol (15 mg/kg) pharmacokinetic study (89 samples in 10 patients) conducted in the United States (National Institute of Child Health and Human Development [No. R01HD060543]) resulted in a median clearance estimate of 0.151 L/kg/h and a median distribution volume of 1.21 L/kg with weight as the most relevant covariate.21

Paracetamol either undergoes sulfation and glucuronidation,22,23 mainly by the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A6 and to lesser extent the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A9 isoenzyme. A progressive increase in the contribution of glucuronidation to paracetamol elimination with increasing age throughout childhood has been described.22,23,24 Maturation-related aspects of intravenous paracetamol metabolism in neonates were explored based on quantification of paracetamol-glucuronide (APAP-G), paracetamol-sulfate (APAP-S), and free paracetamol in 147 urine samples of 23 neonates during repeated administration of intravenous propacetamol. The median molar contribution of APAP-G to overall urine paracetamol (APAP-G + APAP-S + free paracetamol) elimination was 14% (range = 1–53%). Covariates of this APAP-G/total amount of paracetamol (APAP-T) (APAP-G + APAP-S + free paracetamol) (G/T) ratio were postnatal age, postmenstrual age, and repeated administration.23 The median urinary APAP-G/ APAP-S (G/S) ratio was 0.2722; van Ganzwinkel et al.20 also generated data on paracetamol metabolism. Based on urine collections, it was confirmed that both glucuronidation and sulfation elimination increased with repeated administration. The G/S ratio in this preterm cohort was 0.08, reflecting the influence of prematurity on paracetamol glucuronidation capacity. Moreover, plasma glutathione remained stable during repeated exposure.20 This suggests that repeated paracetamol administration had no effect on the glutathione plasma levels in the preterm neonate, potentially reflecting glutathione stores.

Enteral

A pooled analysis on the developmental pharmacokinetic properties of enteral (ie, oral and rectal) paracetamol in premature neonates and infants was performed by Anderson et al.7 A population pharmacokinetic analysis of paracetamol time-concentration profiles was studied in 283 children (n = 124 aged ≤ 6 months). Neonates and infants were given either single or multiple doses of 4 different formulations: oral elixir, rectal solutions, or triglyceride or capsular suppository. The median postnatal age of children younger than age 6 months was 1 day (range = birth–6 months), median postmenstrual age was 40 weeks (range = 28–64 weeks), and median weight was 3.1 kg (range = 1.2–9.0 kg).

Standardized to a 70-kg person using allometric “1/4 power” models, median clearance was 12.5 L/h (44%), and the volume of distribution was 66.6 L (20%). Paracetamol clearance increased from 28 weeks PMA (0.74 L/hour/70 kg) with a maturation half-life of 11.3 weeks to reach 10.8 L/h/70 kg at 60 weeks PMA. The absorption half-life for the oral elixir was 0.21 hours (120%) with a lag time of 0.42 hours (70%), but absorption was further delayed (2 hours) in premature neonates during the first days of life. Absorption lag time was negligible by rectal route for all 3 formulations. The bioavailability of the capsule suppository relative to elixir decreased with age from 0.92 (22%) at age 28 weeks to 0.86 at age 2 years, whereas the triglyceride base formulation decreased from 0.86 (35%) at age 28 weeks to 0.5 at age 2 years. The relative bioavailability of the rectal solution was 0.66. Based on these estimates, it was concluded that a mean steady state target concentration ~10 mg/L at trough can be achieved by an oral dose of 25 mg/kg/d in premature neonates at age 30 weeks PMA, 45 mg/kg/d at age 34 weeks PMA, and 60 mg/kg/d at term age.2 Similar to the estimates for intravenous pharmacokinetic properties, the volume of distribution decreased exponentially with a maturation half-life of 11.5 weeks from 109.7 L/70 kg at age 28 weeks after conception to 72.9 L/70 kg by age 60 weeks PMA. Finally, Van Lingen et al.7 also generated data on paracetamol metabolism using urinary metabolite excretion. Similar to the observations following intravenous administration, paracetamol sulphate was the main metabolite, with a mean molar G/S ratio of 0.12 (28–32 weeks PMA) and 0.28 (32–36 weeks PMA), respectively.9,23 To further reflect the influence of age on glucuronidation capacity, the G/S ratio in paracetamol metabolites retrieved in urine in term neonates was 0.2722 and 0.342 following a single oral paracetamol administration.

Clinical indications for paracetamol

Fever

There are few trials that have directly compared the antipyretic properties of paracetamol against placebo or physical methods.25 Although fever is 1 of the most common manifestations of illness in children, this symptom is much less common in newborns. Consequently, specific reports in newborns (ie, first 28 days of life) on temperature reduction after paracetamol administration are very limited, whereas reports from early infancy onward are much more common, often in the setting of postimmunization fever. As part of a study on thermodynamics in 99 neonates exposed to intravenous paracetamol, 6 cases with fever were included. In neonates with fever (> 37.8 °C), the median decrease (−0.8 °C) was more prominent during the first 2 hours after administration. Individual trends over time after intravenous paracetamol (20 mg/kg) administration are provided in Figure 2.26 In neonates (n = 93) with normothermia, paracetamol administration had no effect on the body temperature and hypothermia was not observed in this cohort, but has been reported in both children27 and adults.1,3,28

Pain

Adequate management of pain in neonates is a major issue in contemporary neonatal care. In an attempt to avoid opioids, there is an emerging use of paracetamol.29 However, we should be aware of the difference in currently available evidence to support the use of paracetamol for either procedural versus posttraumatic or postsurgery pain in neonates. In essence, the data on paracetamol analgesia during procedures are limited, but suggest an overall poor analgesic effect for procedural pain relief. In a randomized, placebo-controlled study, Shah et al.30 documented...
that paracetamol administration (oral, 20 mg/kg; n = 75) was not effective to blunt pain expression related to heel lancing. Paracetamol administration (oral, 15 mg/kg) was not found to ameliorate the immediate postoperative pain of circumcision, either, although it provided some pain reduction afterward.\textsuperscript{31} The effect of paracetamol administration (rectal, 20 mg/kg; n = 122) in neonates following vacuum extraction was documented by Van Lingen et al.\textsuperscript{32} in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial study design. A single dose of paracetamol significantly improved drinking behavior, but did not result in a significant change in objective pain scores and there were no positive effects following repeated administration. Using a preemptive approach in 123 term neonates after assisted delivery, infants had low pain scores immediately after birth, irrespective of paracetamol administration. However, paracetamol administration (rectal, 20–25 mg/kg; n = 123) was associated with a more pronounced stress response during heel lancing on Day 2 to 3.\textsuperscript{33}

Although effective analgesia in neonates is still in part hampered by a paucity of pharmacodynamic data, there are published data on both a pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model (monotherapy or mild-to-moderate pain) as well as the morphine-sparing effect of coadministration of paracetamol in neonates and young infants following noncardiac surgery. In an attempt to provide evidence for analgesic effectiveness of intravenous paracetamol (loading dose = 20 mg/kg) in neonates, pain scores after an intravenous paracetamol loading dose (www.ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00969176) were analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA and an Emax model with a delayed response compartment.\textsuperscript{34} Using repeated measures ANOVA, there was a trend (P = 0.02) for lower pain scores within 30 minutes after administration, with a slight increase in pain scores from 5 hours onward (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{34} An Emax model had a maximum effect of 4.15 out of 14 pain units, an effective concentration to have 50 % of the maximal effect (EC\textsubscript{50}) of 2.07 mg/L, and the equilibration half time between plasma and effect site was 1.58 hours. Based on these observations, it was concluded that intravenous paracetamol is effective for moderate pain.\textsuperscript{33} An effect compartment concentration of 10 mg/L (loading dose = 20 mg/kg) is associated with a pain score reduction of 3.4 units, suggesting similarities in the paracetamol effect compartment concentration in neonates compared with children.\textsuperscript{34–36} Further studies should focus on both pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data in different pain models to unveil correct dosing and correct indications.

It has recently been documented that paracetamol does result in a clinically relevant reduction in morphine consumption (−66%) when integrated in multimodal analgesia (morphine plus paracetamol).\textsuperscript{37} The cumulative median morphine dose in the first 48 hours postoperatively after an initial loading dose (100 μg/kg) in all neonates at the end of surgery was 121 μg/kg (interquartile range = 99–264 μg/kg) in the paracetamol group (n = 33; 4 × 7.5 mg/kg/d) and 357 μg/kg (interquartile range = 220–605 μg/kg) in the morphine group (n = 38). The between-group difference was 66% (95% CI: 34%–109%) lower in the paracetamol group, whereas pain scores and adverse effects were not significantly different between both groups.\textsuperscript{36} The morphine-sparing effect of paracetamol, initially described in children and adults, has hereby been confirmed in neonates.\textsuperscript{3,37,38}

**Observations on safety and tolerance of paracetamol in neonates**

Issues on safety and tolerance of paracetamol mainly relate to either hepatotoxicity or hemodynamic effects. For both, data on neonates are available in the public domain. The hepatotoxicity is not a direct effect from paracetamol itself, but relates to 1 of its metabolites, NAPQI. NAPQI depletes the liver from glutathione that acts as antioxidant, and directly damages cells in the liver at the mitochondrial level, subsequently resulting in liver failure.\textsuperscript{1,39} NAPQI itself is generated in the liver through cytochrome P450 2E1 activity, an isoenzyme assumed to be less active in early infancy.\textsuperscript{16,17}

There is some literature on the systematic evaluation of liver enzymes in cohorts of neonates exposed to paracetamol that suggest overall good tolerance.\textsuperscript{40} The hepatic tolerance in neonates was investigated as part of prospective studies on the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of intravenous paracetamol in neonates.\textsuperscript{39} Hepatic enzyme profiles were retrieved from 2 days before until 2 days after intravenous paracetamol administration in 189 infants. There was no significant increase in alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, or gamma-glutamyl transferase when pretreatment observations (n = 310) were compared with observations during (n = 649) or during with after (n = 173) treatment, nor was there a significant increase during administration of paracetamol. This study on hepatic tolerance provides evidence on safety aspects of intravenous paracetamol in neonates. This has been further confirmed by the absence of changes in plasma glutathione levels as reported by van Ganzewinkel et al.\textsuperscript{20}

Despite the safety pattern of these prospective data, individual cases with potential relevant hepatic toxicity related to paracetamol in newborns have been reported and are summarized in Table II.\textsuperscript{41–48} Overall, the number of cases reported remains very limited, and observed in-hospital 10-fold drug errors do not systematically result in significant morbidity or mortality.\textsuperscript{16,45}

de Maat et al.\textsuperscript{28} reported on a cohort of adult medium-care and intensive-care patients with intravenous paracetamol-induced hypotension. They hereby confirmed earlier reports on hemodynamic (side) effects of paracetamol in critically ill adults.\textsuperscript{3,28}
Based on prospectively collected observations in 72 neonates, only a very modest decrease in heart rate (7 bpm) and mean arterial blood pressure (3 mm Hg) following intravenous paracetamol administration was observed. A minority (5%) of neonates developed hypotension (mean arterial blood pressure < postmenstrual age in weeks, mm Hg). Interestingly, these neonates already had significantly lower blood pressure before paracetamol administration. Consequently, it was concluded that a setting of open label administration to alleviate pain, hemodynamic effects of intravenous paracetamol administration in neonates remained modest with the suggestion to be more careful in the specific setting of impaired hemodynamic properties in neonates.

**General Discussion and New Aspects of an Old Drug**

Data on paracetamol pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic properties in neonates are available, and suggest that the same effect compartment concentration (10 mg/L) should be aimed for. This means that a loading dose should be considered (intravenous or oral 20 mg/kg, rectal 30–40 mg/kg), followed by maintenance (intravenous or oral 10 mg/kg, rectal 1–18 mg/kg) doses (in term neonates q6h, in preterm [≤ 32 weeks] neonates q8h) to compensate for differences in distribution volume and clearance, respectively (Table I). Similar to children and adults, paracetamol has opioid sparing (~66%) effects in neonates after major noncardiac surgery. In contrast, the currently available data on paracetamol routes and doses suggest that paracetamol is only a poor analgesic for procedural pain relief. Safety data on paracetamol suggest that paracetamol has a safe profile in neonates when administered for a limited time (48–72 hours).

We also would like to explore some novelties related to either tolerance/safety (assessment of short-term toxicity by new biomarkers and emerging issues related to long-term safety) or to new indications (eg, PDA).

The mechanism of paracetamol-induced liver injury involves mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress. Besides the commonly used markers of liver necrosis, newer and likely more sensitive biomarkers of mitochondrial damage have been suggested. These include plasma glutamate dehydrogenase activity, mitochondrial DNA concentration, but also long-chain acylcarnitines or paracetamol protein adducts have been postulated to be sensitive biomarkers. Perturbations in long-chain acylcarnitines in children with paracetamol exposure or toxicity suggest that mitochondrial injury and associated impairment in the β-oxidation of fatty acids are clinically relevant as biomarkers of paracetamol toxicity. Paracetamol protein adducts are a biomarker of paracetamol metabolism, reflecting oxidation of paracetamol and generation of the reactive metabolite N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine. Similarly, higher levels of paracetamol protein adducts correspond to liver toxicity in patients with paracetamol-related acute liver failure. The available observations in pediatric and adolescent patients following paracetamol overdose support the need for a further examination of the role of these protein adducts as clinically relevant and specific biomarkers of paracetamol toxicity. To the very best of our knowledge, none of these biomarkers has been evaluated in newborns or young infants.

**Table II**

Overview on the cases of newborns exposed to potential toxic doses of paracetamol as published in the literature

| Author                  | Clinical characteristics and dose | Management and outcome                                                                 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Isbister et al, 2001    | Former preterm newborn, 37 wk PMA 55 days postnatal age, 2.2 kg Oral paracetamol, 136 mg/kg | N-acetyl cysteine IV + activated charcoal transient increase in prothrombin time, no hepatic enzymatic abnormalities, full recovery |
| de la Pintière et al, 2003 | Term newborn, in early neonatal life 2 x intravenous (pro)paracetamol, 307 mg/kg Following circumcision, emesis and lethargic | N-acetyl cysteine IV                                                                 |
| Walls et al, 2008       | Oral paracetamol, 156 + 78 + 78 mg/kg/d preterm newborn, 35 weeks PMA 7 weeks postnatal age, 2.6 kg Intravenous paracetamol 146 mg/kg | No adverse effects were observed, Discharge Day 7 N-acetyl cysteine IV, renal and hepatic failure (liver enzymes, INR abnormalities, hypoglycemia) Full recovery, with discharge after 1 wk |
| Nevin et al, 2009       | 23 cases (worldwide); < 1 yr Intravenous paracetamol overdose | N-acetyl cysteine IV, normal liver enzymes Transient INR abnormalities (1.27–1.04), vitamin K Full recovery, discharge on Day 5 No data on management reported |
| MHRA, 2010              | Most common error: 10-fold error | N-acetyl cysteine IV, No changes in liver enzymes, bilirubin, or prothrombin time. Full recovery |
| Porta et al, 2012       | Extreme preterm newborn, 27 weeks PMA 12 d postnatal age, 940 g Indications: Abdominal distension, sepsis Intravenous paracetamol, 446 mg/kg | N-acetyl cysteine IV, No changes in liver enzymes, bilirubin, or prothrombin time. Full recovery |
| Campbell et al, 2013    | Former preterm newborn, 40 wk PMA Postnatal age 3 mo, 2.3 kg Indication: retinal laser surgery Intravenous paracetamol, 75 mg/kg | N-acetyl cysteine IV, No changes in liver enzymes, bilirubin, or prothrombin time. Full recovery |
| Bucaretchi et al, 2014  | 26 days old, term newborn, 3.125 kg Indication: “feverish and weepy” Oral, 180 mg/kg (3 d 10 mg/kg, q4h) | Volume replacement, plasma, inotropics, and ventilation N-acetyl cysteine IV, 34 days of hospitalization |
| Not yet reported, Leuven, 2014 | 3-wk old term newborns, 3.5 kg Indication: pain, postraffic accident (craniac, thoracic injuries) Intravenous paracetamol: 200 mg/kg | No adverse effects were observed, Full recovery |

**IV** = intravenous, **PMA** = postmenstrual age; **INR** = international normalized ratio; **blood clotting test**
been documented for postnatal exposure. The association seems to be more significant in genetically susceptible children, related to antioxidant genes (eg, N-actyl transferase 2, nuclear erythroid 2 p45-related factor 2 polymorphism, and glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms), and the effect may be mediated by eosinophilic inflammation.69 Focussed pharmacovigilance may be needed to further unveil the complex, potentially causal association between paracetamol exposure and subsequent increase risk to develop atopy.60

Symptomatic PDA is a common condition in (extreme) preterm infants. The most frequently administrated drugs to treat this indication are cyclooxygenase inhibitors (ie, ibuprofen and indomethacin) to block prostaglandin synthesis and to induce muscular constriction at the level of the ductus arteriosus. Unfortunately, the use of these drugs is associated with relevant adverse effects, such as renal dysfunction, intestinal bleeding, and perforation or dysfunction of platelet aggregation.61,62 Consequently, there remains a need for alternative treatments that result in better closure rates or fewer adverse effects. A serendipity observation of Hammerman et al63 linked paracetamol exposure with PDA closure.

Since that article, case reports and case series have described the use of oral or intravenous paracetamol in patients with contraindications to or who had previously failed nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug therapy for PDA.64 There seems to be a publication bias, because the number of negative observations is very limited.65 Two clinical trials compared the efficacy of oral paracetamol (15 mg/kg q6h for 3 days) versus oral ibuprofen in 90 (<30 weeks gestational age) and 160 preterm infants (<34 weeks gestational age), respectively.66,67 Paracetamol was not inferior to ibuprofen, with closure rates from 72.5% to 81.2%.

The paracetamol dose used in most case series and trials was a 15 mg/kg dose q6h for 3 days, much higher than commonly used in extreme preterm neonates (Table 1). Paracetamol therapy was reported to be well tolerated, with only a few reported incidents of elevated liver enzymes. However it should not be taken for granted that paracetamol induces PDA closure. If closure is driven by prostaglandin reduction, we should be aware that paracetamol exerts only very modest peripheral prostaglandin-related effects and exerts its effects mainly through the central nervous system. Related to prostaglandin synthesis, paracetamol inhibits peroxidase (prostaglandin G2 to prostaglandin H2 conversion) as 1 of its mechanisms of action, but this inhibition is competitive with the prostaglandin G2 concentration itself and peroxidases.68 Potential advantages of paracetamol may relate to the preservation of the aggregation capacity of platelets.69

Related to paracetamol for PDA closure, we need a shift toward a more extensive research program. Taking all the above-mentioned results into account, this will include pharmacokinetic properties, effectiveness, and safety. There are data on paracetamol pharmacokinetic properties and safety, but these data were based on lower dosing regimens (20–40 mg/kg/24 h, 1–2 days) and were collected in more mature neonates. Consequently, we do not have subpopulation-specific pharmacokinetic properties or safety data. Some new concepts to assess paracetamol toxicity (acylcarnitines and paracetamol protein adducts) mention earlier in the Discussion should be integrated in such studies.

Finally, we are unaware of any median paracetamol concentration to aim for to induce closure of the ductus. Consequently, dose-seeking studies and in vitro studies are needed to guide dosing. A relevant in vitro observation was recently published by El-Khuffash et al.69 Using in vitro contractility studies, these authors illustrated a concentration/effect profile depending on maturation and concentration. On pressure myography, paracetamol induced a concentration-dependent constriction of the ductus arteriosus in term mice, but only up to 30% of baseline, and this required concentrations >1 μmol/L (~100 mg/L, 10-fold higher when compared with the median level for analgesia).

Conclusions

We summarized the currently available information on paracetamol use in neonates. For pain and fever the long-needed data on the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties in (pre) term neonates finally have been generated. In contrast, we still need data in extreme preterm neonates. We suggest that emerging novel evaluation tools related to safety (short- and long-term) and to potential new indications (eg, PDA) for its use in neonates need further studies.
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