Mitigating Interfacial Mismatch between Lithium Metal and Garnet-Type Solid Electrolyte by Depositing Metal Nitride Lithiophilic Interlayer
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1. INTRODUCTION

Currently, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are used worldwide as the workhorse for powering applications. The ceiling of energy density allowed by commercial intercalation chemistries approaches 300 Wh/kg, while any attempt to push the energy density higher must face the risks imposed by highly flammable organic electrolyte solvents. Replacing graphite with lithium metal (Li0) as anode presents an ultimate solution, since lithium combines high specific capacity (3860 mAh g⁻¹) with the lowest reduction potential (−3.04 V vs Li/Li⁺) among all elements in the Periodic Table. However, such low potential also makes lithium extremely reactive when in contact with almost any liquid electrolyte component. Liquid electrolytes also impose limitations on performance of high-voltage cathodes, due to their lower anodic stability. Therefore, development of high-energy and safe battery technologies relies on the replacement of liquid electrolytes with a fast ion conductor that does not combust. Solid-state batteries (SSBs) employing solid-state electrolytes (SSEs) hold such promises for the next-generation energy storage devices as long as they could be stable in the presence of both lithium and high-voltage cathode while conducting ions at fast rate. Several solid-electrolyte systems have been thoroughly explored, which range from sulfi des to oxides and oxynitrides such as perovskite, antiperovskite, LISICON, thio-LISI-
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CON,10 NASICON,11 garnet,12 sulfide glass ceramic,13–15 etc. Certain sulfide SSEs (e.g., LGPS) are known for their ionic conductivity above 1 mS cm\(^{-1}\) at room temperature, but their sulfide nature renders them to be thermodynamically unstable against Li\(^0\) or high-voltage cathodes,16–19 while electrolytes, such as LIPON,20 LATP,21,22 also tend to react with Li\(^0\) (e.g., Ti\(^{4+}/Ti^{3+}\) redox reaction). Only garnet SSEs, represented by Li\(_2\)La\(_2\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_12\) (LLZO), provides high ionic conductivity close to 1 mS cm\(^{-1}\) at room temperature, and a wide electrochemical window, and good electrochemical stability against Li\(^0\).24–26

However, a major hurdle for garnets still exists: its poor contact with Li\(^0\), which arises from the microscopic gaps that are prevalent at solid–solid interfaces, and always leads to high interfacial impedance and poor cycling performance. Diversified strategies\(^{27}\) such as altering the chemical composition of the electrolyte,\(^{28}\) applying external heat and pressure,\(^{29}\) and interface modification\(^{30}\) have been adopted, among which the introduction of a buffer layer between garnet SSEs and Li\(^0\) has been proven efficient and promising. Buffer layers in the form of metals (such as Au,\(^{31}\) Al,\(^{32}\) Si,\(^{33}\) Ge,\(^{34}\) Mg\(^{35}\)), metal oxides (such as Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)),\(^{36}\) fluorides,\(^{39}\) metal oxides, sulfides, such as LIPON,\(^{20}\) and LATP,\(^{21,22}\) also tend to react with Li\(_0\), which arises from the microscopic gaps that are prevalent at solid–solid interfaces, and always leads to high interfacial impedance and poor cycling performance. Computational analysis has revealed that material stability against Li\(^0\) depends on their cation and anion chemistry.\(^{39}\) Upon contact with Li\(^0\) these oxides, sulfides, and fluorides usually become unstable, which leads to the formation of an interlayer that consumes active materials and serves as a physical barrier to ion transport. Hence, metal nitrides are preferred as they are more stable against Li\(^0\) than oxides, sulfides, and fluorides.\(^{39}\)

Here, we report a novel nitride interface modifier by coating the garnet-type Li\(_{3.25}\)Al\(_{0.25}\)La\(_3\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_{12}\) (Al-LLZO) solid electrolyte with a thin layer of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) deposited by radio frequency (RF) sputtering technique. This interfacial buffer layer enabled establishment of a homogeneous and intimate physical contact between the SSE and Li\(^0\). Thus, the developed nitride interface, denoted as Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)@Al-LLZO, showed a stable interface during cycling of symmetrical cells for a prolonged period of more than 800 h. With optimization of the Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)@Al-LLZO interfacial layer, Li/Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)@Al-LLZO/LFP full cells showed excellent overall cycling and rate performance.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Garnet Al-LLZO Solid-Electrolyte Pellets Preparation. A 0.4 g amount of cubic phase aluminum doped lithium lanthanum zirconate garnet nanopowder, Li\(_{3.25}\)Al\(_{0.25}\)La\(_3\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_{12}\) (Ampcera Inc., 99.9%), was pressed into pellet by using 1/2 in. dry pellet pressing die (MTI Corp.) and applying 80 MPa pressure using a hydraulic press (Carver Inc.). Thus, obtained pellets were carefully placed on a magnesium oxide (MgO) crucible, covered with same mother powder and sintered in a furnace (Mellor, Microtherm) at 1280 °C for 1 h. After the pellets were left to cool to room temperature, they were dry polished from 1000, 1500, and 2000 to 3000 grit sized sandpapers using a rotary tool set (Fire Mountain Gems and Beads, USA). The polished pellets were stored in an argon glovebox for future use.

2.2. Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) Interfacial Layer Deposition. Thin films of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) were deposited on polished Al-LLZO pellets using RF sputtering. A 2 in. diameter × 0.125 in. thick, 99.9% metals basis, silicon(IV) nitride (Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)) sample with MgO binder (Alfa Aesar) was used as target. The sputtering process was carried out at a deposition rate of 0.1 Å s\(^{-1}\) with 50 sccm constant flow of argon (Ar) gas. Various thicknesses (20, 30, and 40 nm) of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) thin films were investigated, and the thickness was optimized to 30 nm.

2.3. Solid-State Lithium Symmetrical Cells and Hybrid Solid-State Full Cells Assembly. First, for analyzing the ionic conductivity and cycling stability of as-prepared solid electrolytes, Li/ Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/Al-LLZO/Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/Li symmetric cells were prepared by attaching the melted Li at 200 °C on both sides of the electrolyte pellets. After natural cooling, the Li/Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/Al-LLZO/Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/Li sample was assembled into coin cells in an argon-filled glovebox. Control symmetric cells without interface modification were also assembled for comparison with the modified one. Second, for preparation of Li/ Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/Al-LLZO/LFP hybrid solid-state full cells, the as-prepared Li/ Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/Al-LLZO sample was assembled with LiFePO\(_4\) (LFP) as cathode in a coin cell. For this, the cathode slurry was prepared by mixing LFP powders with Super-P carbon black and poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) at the weight ratio of 80:10:10, respectively, in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent, using mortar and pestle. The as-prepared slurry was coated onto an aluminum foil and then dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C overnight for thorough evaporation of the solvent. The dried cathode strips were then punched into circular discs with the active materials mass loading of ~2 mg cm\(^{-2}\). Lastly, for assembly of hybrid solid-state full cell a tiny amount of 10 µL of liquid electrolyte (1.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) LiPF\(_6\) dissolved in ethylene carbonate (EC) and diethyl carbonate (DEC) in volume ratio of 1:1) was introduced between LFP cathode and solid-electrolyte pellet to enhance the cathode/electrolyte interface contact. The other side of the Al-LLZO pellet with no trace of liquid electrolyte was modified by Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) deposition, and melted lithium was soldered on the top of it. The assembled full cell was sealed in a 2032 coin cell with nickel foam on the top for absorbing the excess pressure during crimping and avoiding damage to the solid-electrolyte pellet. The assembly of symmetric cells and full cells was done inside an argon-filled glovebox with moisture and O\(_2\) levels < 1 ppm.

2.4. Material Characterizations. The crystal structure of the samples was examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Rigaku SmartLab diffractometer with Cu K\(_\alpha\) radiation (λ = 1.54178 Å). Surface topography of bare garnet and Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)-modified garnet pellets were measured by an Agilent SPM 5500 atomic force microscope that is equipped with a MACIII controller and a RTESPA-525 tip with resonance frequency of 75 kHz. To observe the morphology of the samples, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) characterization was carried out using a Hitachi S-4300N scanning electron microscope, which was also equipped with energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement was done using the Ametek VERSASTAT-3-200 potentiostat electrochemical workstation. The electrochemical performance was performed over a working frequency range of 1 MHz to 100 mHz with an amplitude of 10 mV. To measure the ionic conductivity of an Al-LLZO garnet-type pellet, 20 nm of gold (Au) layers were sputtered on both sides of the pellet as blocking electrode. Galvanostatic charge/discharge measurements of assembled coin cells were performed using a LAND CT2001A system. All the full cells were cycled at various current densities (e.g., 1C = 170 mA g\(^{-1}\)) in a voltage range of 4.0 to 2.5 V. The coin cells were tested at room temperature.
Figure 1. Characterization of as-prepared Al-LLZO garnet electrolyte pellet. (a) XRD comparison of Al-LLZO garnet pellet that matches with cubic structure Li₅La₃Nb₂O₁₂. (b) Surface and cross-section SEM images of Al-LLZO pellets. (c) EIS spectra of Al-LLZO electrolyte at elevated temperatures ranging from 22 to 60 °C. Inset showing spectra from 45 to 60 °C. (d) Arrhenius plot of Al-LLZO ionic conductivity.

Figure 2. EDS spectrum and AFM mapping of bare and Si₃N₄-modified Al-LLZO garnet pellet SSE surface. (a) EDS spectrum shows presence of Si and N along with elements from SSE. AFM topography mapping of dry polished (b) bare garnet and (c) Si₃N₄-modified garnet.
phase Al-LLZO garnet pellet. Also, XRD patterns (Figure 1a) of as-prepared solid-electrolyte pellets show the resemblance of diffraction peaks when indexed to the standard pattern of cubic garnet phase Li₅La₃Nb₂O₁₂ (PDF No. 80-0457). Further, the surface and cross-section SEM images (Figure 1b) show well-densified pellets with the majority of grains tightly connected when sintered at 1280 °C for an hour. These sintered pellets have relative densities of ∼92% (Figure S2) when measured using Archimedes’ principle and ethanol as immersion medium.

The ionic conductivities of Al-LLZO pellets were evaluated using EIS with Au layers as blocking electrodes. The total Li-ion conductivity of Al-LLZO pellets using the low-frequency intercept value was calculated to be \(2.81 \times 10^{-4}\) S cm\(^{-1}\). The Li-ion conductivity of Al-LLZO was also measured at temperatures ranging from 22 to 65 °C (Figure 1c), where the low-frequency intercept value decreases by following typical Arrhenius behavior (Figure 1d). Activation energy \(E_a\) for Li-ion conduction was calculated using eq 1:

\[
\sigma = \frac{A}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{k_b T}\right)
\]

where \(A\) is a pre-exponential factor, \(E_a\) is the activation energy, \(k_b\) is the Boltzmann constant, and \(T\) is the absolute temperature. Thus, observed activation energy and Li-ion conductivity of 0.34 eV and 2.81 \(\times 10^{-4}\) S cm\(^{-1}\) at 22 °C, respectively are in line with other reports for garnet SSE.

3.2. Metal Nitride Interface Layer Properties. The improved interfacial contact between Li\(^0\) and Al-LLZO garnet electrolyte is crucial for enhanced ion transport and even current distribution at the interface. However, the contact between Li\(^0\) and bare garnet consists of voids and gaps leading to uneven current distribution at the interface that accelerates dendrite or dead Li\(^0\) growth that could short circuit through the solid electrolyte. To address this issue, a thin film of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) was sputter deposited on top of an Al-LLZO garnet pellet. Figure 2a shows the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum and mapping of a Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)-deposited Al-LLZO garnet pellet, which reveals the presence of La, Zr, and Al in the garnet along with N and Si attributed to the deposited Si\(_3\)N\(_4\). Further, atomic force microscopy (AFM) performed on bare (Figure 2b) and Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)-modified (Figure 2c) garnet samples compares their surface roughness using the average surface root-mean-square (RMS) values, which reveals the presence of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) significantly reduces the RMS value from 640.2 nm of bare garnet to 394.4 nm. The higher RMS value represents the uneven and rough surface of dry polished bare garnet that leads to poor contacts and induces uneven current distribution that eventually leads to preferential deposition of Li\(^0\) on certain spots and formation of dendrites. The lower RMS value of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)-modified dry polished garnet should result in much more uniform and stable Li plating/stripping that is conducive for longer cycling life.

After Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) thin film deposition, as shown in SEM images of Figure 3e,f, the Li\(^0\) anode has been tightly soldered with an Al-LLZO pellet as no gaps and voids are visible in comparison to
bare garnet (Figure 3c,d). This depicts that the Si₃N₄ thin film at the interface enabled the promotion of interfacial contact of Al-LLZO grains with lithium metal. To observe the lithiophilicity of the Si₃N₄ interfacial layer, a molten Li⁰ droplet was applied to the bare and Si₃N₄-coated garnet pellets, respectively. As observed from Figure 3a, the molten Li⁰ on the top of the bare garnet pellet instantly beads up to form a ball, revealing its lithiophobicity. In contrast, with the Si₃N₄-coated garnet, the molten lithium readily wets the surface and spreads out to fully cover it.

To further demonstrate this conversion of lithiophobicity to lithiophilicity, Li⁰ foil was gradually heated on the top of the Si₃N₄-coated garnet surface. As shown in Figure S4, when Li⁰ starts to melt at ~190 °C, the Si₃N₄-coated area in proximity to lithium metal turns black in color, which suggests occurrence of lithiation reaction of as-deposited Si₃N₄. This reaction not only occurred at the areas directly under lithium metal but also around the entire Si₃N₄-coated garnet.

XRD was performed after Si₃N₄ deposition on an SSE pellet and infusing molten lithium on top of it. Figure 3b shows the appearance of some new peaks indicated as black-filled diamonds along with the common diffraction peaks related to Al-LLZO. These pronounced new peaks indicate the formation of tetragonal phase Li₈SiN₄, lithium silicon nitride (JCPDS Card No. 40-1449) when lithium reacts with the silicon nitride layer at the interface and can also be verified from previous literature. The formation of ternary alloy phase Li₈SiN₄ is further explained in Figure 3b by identifying peaks using Miller indices. These peaks match the XRD data that are reported by Yamashita et al. in ref 60, by Yamane et al., and others.
in ref. 52, and from JCPDS Card No. 40-1449. These alloys at the interface provide open tunnels for Li\(^+\) conduction as all phases of these alloys are shown to conduct Li\(^+\) where a phase such as Li\(_8\)SiN\(_4\) can show conductivity reaching as high as 5 \times 10^{-2} \text{Sm}^{-1} at 400 K with lowest activation energy (46 kJ/mol). Studies by Yamane et al. and Ulvestad et al. have shown the thermal formation of different ternary lithium silicon nitrides from Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) when in contact with Li\(^0\). Heating was provided during infusion of molten Li\(^0\) in Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) layer which further assists in formation of ternary phase alloy. These alloys are very ioni\(\text{cally conductive, which is self-evident by the decrease in interfacial and charge transfer resistance by introduction of the Si}\(_3\)N\(_4\) interlayer. On the basis of this hypothesis, chemical eq 2 can best describe the initial reduction reaction:53

\[
n\text{SiN}_x + y\text{Li}^+ + ye^- \rightarrow n\text{Li}_x\text{Si} + n\text{Li}_x\text{Si}_{1-x}N_x + c\text{AlLi}^{\text{SEI}}
\]

Thus, the conversion reaction of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) film deposited at the interface with Li\(^0\) results in formation of ternary phase alloy, e.g., Li\(_x\)Si\(_3\)N\(_y\) which enhances the interfacial contact.

Furthermore, coating amorphous silicon (Si) atoms have been known to switch the surface of garnet LLZO from “superlithiophobic” to “superlithiophilic”. Similarly, lithium nitride (Li\(_3\)N) in cases of both garnet solid and carbonate based liquid electrolyte have been shown to drastically decrease the interfacial impedance and passivate the surface of Li anode. On the basis of these previous findings, silicon nitride (Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)) is propitious to show both strong wetting interaction with molten Li\(^0\) due to the presence of nitride that undergoes alloying reaction.

3.3. **Electrochemical Properties of Interface Stabilized SSE.** Symmetric cells Li/Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/Al-LLZO/Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/Li and Li/Al-LLZO/Li were assembled and characterized, whose Nyquist plots (Figure 4a) show that the introduction of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) reduces total impedance (combined impedance of Al-LLZO electrolyte pellet and Li/Al-LLZO interface) from 2750 \(\Omega\) cm\(^2\) for the bare garnet to 525 \(\Omega\) cm\(^2\) for the modified one (Figure 4a). At 22 \(^\circ\)C, the total impedance of the Au/Al-LLZO/Au sample was observed to be 356 \(\Omega\) cm\(^2\) (Figure 1c). Judging from the values from Figure 4a for combined impedances, the interfacial ASR has been reduced from 1197 to 84.5 \(\Omega\) cm\(^2\).

Similarly, as shown in Figure 4b, the CCD of the Li/Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/Al-LLZO/Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/Li symmetric cell was tested and confirmed to be 1 \(\text{m cm}\(^-2\). This significant reduction of interfacial ASR can be attributed to (1) the Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) interlayer promoting conformal contact of Li\(^0\) anode on SSE; (2) formation of thermally lithiated Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) when Li\(^0\) is heated in contact with the interlayer; and (3) inhibition of impurity layers, such as, Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) due to coating of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) on SSE surface.

Galvanostatic Li plating/stripping cycling experiments using Li symmetrical cells were performed to assess the effectiveness of Li-ion transport across the interface and cycling stability. For this, various thicknesses of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) (for example 20, 30, and 40 nm) interlayer were deposited on top of the garnet surface and it was optimized to 30 nm (Figure S5). As shown in Figure 4 and Figure S6, plating/stripping cycles of symmetrical cells were performed in both low and high current densities of 0.05
and 0.2 mA cm$^{-2}$, respectively. Figure 4c shows comparison of the first few plating/stripping cycles of Li symmetrical cells based on bare garnet and Si$_3$N$_4$-modified garnet cycled at current density of 0.05 mA cm$^{-2}$ and capacity of 0.05 mAh cm$^{-2}$. It can be observed that the symmetric cell with bare garnet is plagued with large overpotential $>100$ mV, while the cell with the Si$_3$N$_4$ interface layer facilitated the suppression of this overpotential to $\pm 60$ mV. This indicates that the introduction of Si$_3$N$_4$ reduced the energy barrier of the lithium transfer process at the interface, thus facilitating the occurrence of efficient plating/stripping cycles. Longer plating/stripping cycling of these symmetrical cells was carried out as shown in Figure 4d. The cell with bare garnet short circuiting after only 35 h can be attributed to typical phenomenon of Li infiltration into SSE (Figure S6c). In contrast, the cell with Si$_3$N$_4$-modified garnet shows stable cycling for 1000 h, suggesting a stable interface enabled by Si$_3$N$_4$ thin film. Similar stable cycling up to 800 h at current density of 0.1 mA cm$^{-2}$ was demonstrated by the Si$_3$N$_4$-modified garnet with voltage stabilized at $\sim 80$ mV (as further indicated by voltage profiles in the inset of Figure 4e), while the cell with bare garnet could last only 20 h with large voltage polarization of $\sim 250$ mV.

This excellent cycling with low-voltage polarization confirms the establishment of a stable interface with low interfacial impedance by introduction of the Si$_3$N$_4$ interface layer. Also, longer and stable cycling with almost unchanged polarization and overpotential of $\sim 100$ mV was exhibited at higher current density of 0.2 mA cm$^{-2}$ (Figure S6a,b). The prompt short circuiting of bare garnet compared to garnet with a Si$_3$N$_4$-modified interface shows that superior stability of the interface is attained by Si$_3$N$_4$ deposition. Comparison of the performance of Si$_3$N$_4$ interlayer in this work with other reported interlayers is summarized in Table S1. It can be noticed that, for room temperature (22 °C) operation, the Si$_3$N$_4$ interlayer shows remarkably low interfacial resistance at reduced voltage overpotential. Also, the critical current density of 1 mA cm$^{-2}$ achieved is very much comparable considering the electrolyte thickness and deposition procedure employed in this work. These observations imply that Si$_3$N$_4$ coating as interlayer can homogenize current distribution at the Li/garnet interface by addressing the interface mismatch between Li-anode and SSE.

3.4. Full Cell Demonstration of Interface Stabilized SSE. Further, to demonstrate the potential to enable high-energy density Li-metal batteries by the interface stability approach developed in this work, Li/Si$_3$N$_4$@Al-LLZO/LFP hybrid solid-state full cells as shown in Figure 5a were assembled and tested. The cathode/garnet interface (Si$_3$N$_4$@Al-LLZO/LFP) was wetted with a tiny amount of liquid organic electrolyte to reduce cathode/electrolyte interfacial resistance. The Li/Si$_3$N$_4$@Al-LLZO/LFP cells showed low charge transfer resistance (Figure S7) and stable cycling compared to Li/Al-LLZO/LFP cells (Figure S8). Figure 5b shows the galvanostatic charge/discharge cycling performance of the full cell with Si$_3$N$_4$@Al-LLZO garnet electrolyte at current density of 0.2C. The cell delivered initial charge and discharge capacities of 146.25 and 145.11 mAh g$^{-1}$, respectively, that correspond to the Coulombic efficiency of 99.2%. The discharge capacity after 100 cycles was 130 mAh g$^{-1}$ while maintaining the Coulombic efficiency close to 100%. As shown in Figure 5c, the full cell with Si$_3$N$_4$@Al-LLZO garnet electrolyte exhibits well-defined and flat voltage plateaus with small polarization of $\sim 0.15$ V at first, 50th, and 100th cycles tested at 0.2C and room temperature. The Si$_3$N$_4$@Al-LLZO full cells were further cycled at various C-rates of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, and 1C. As shown in Figure 5d, the cell demonstrated good rate capability with discharge capacities of 153.8, 142.1, 121.7, and 109.5 mAh g$^{-1}$ obtained at 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, and 1C, respectively. The cell displayed discharge capacity retention of 153.8 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.1C which accounted for $\sim 100$% of the initial capacity after five cycles of each higher C-rates. These observations further validate the efficacy of introducing Si$_3$N$_4$ as Li/garnet interface modifier to obtain stable and high energy density solid-state Li-metal batteries.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The poor interfacing between Li$^0$ and garnet-type Al-LLZO solid-state electrolyte by introducing a sputter-coated thin Si$_3$N$_4$ intermediate layer was addressed. The Si$_3$N$_4$ coating on the Al-LLZO solid-electrolyte pellet significantly reduces Li/Al-LLZO interfacial resistance from 1197 to 84.5 Ω cm$^2$, promotes better wettability of Li$^0$ with Al-LLZO electrolyte, and facilitates efficient charge transfer at the interface. Noticeably, symmetrical cells with much lower overpotential and long plating/stripping cycling for $>$800 h at current density of 0.1 mA cm$^{-2}$ were demonstrated using the Si$_3$N$_4$-modified Al-LLZO solid electrolyte. Along with it, Si$_3$N$_4$@Al-LLZO solid electrolyte paired with Li$^0$ as anode and LFP as cathode exhibited stable cycling performance with excellent Coulombic efficiency compared to that for bare garnet. Introduction of Si$_3$N$_4$ facilitated formation of lithiophilic interface which in turn contributed to establishment of an intimate and conformal physical/chemical contact between garnet-type solid electrolyte and lithium. The present work successfully resolves the primary challenge of high impedance Li/garnet-type solid-electrolyte interface for solid-state batteries. These findings can provide further insights into engineered interfaces focused on development of high energy density and safe solid-state Li-metal batteries.
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