Case Report

Aggressive giant cell granuloma of mandible: A case report
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ABSTRACT

Central giant cell granuloma (CGCG) is an uncommon, benign, intraosseous, proliferative lesion of the jaw with an unknown etiology. The incidence in the general population is very low with age predilection generally younger than 30 years with a female predominance. It is usually unifocal and located at the anterior region of the mandible or maxilla, although are more frequently found in the mandible. CGCG is divided into rare aggressive variant and a common non aggressive variant based on clinicoradiographic features. Surgical removal is often the preferred treatment and is characterized by high recurrence rate. The present case is a rare aggressive variant of CGCG seen in posterior mandible in an 8-year-old girl.

1. Introduction

Central giant cell granuloma (CGCG) is an uncommon, histologically benign but locally aggressive and destructive osteolytic lesion of osteoclastic origin that occurs in the craniofacial region, especially in jaw bones.1 It accounts for less than 7% of all benign tumors of jaw. The lesion is commonly seen in young patients with higher female predilection. It is usually painless which is either found during routine radiographic examination or sometimes as a result of swelling of the affected jaw. The anterior region of mandible is more frequently involved.2 CGCG has been classified into two types based on its clinicoradiologic features into aggressive and non-aggressive form.3,4

2. Case Report

An 8-year-old girl presented with a swelling on the right side of mandible since 5 months which was painless and was rapidly progressing. There was no history of trauma, bleeding, pus discharge from the swelling. Past medical, dental and family histories were noncontributory.

On physical examination, the patient was of normal stature, appearance and intelligence. An extra oral examination [Figure 1a,b] revealed a well-defined, expansile, multilocular radiolucent lesion on right posterior...
mandible extending from 84 to right subcondylar region involving complete ramus and coronoid process. Borders were well-defined, partially corticated and scalloped. Internal structure showed evidence of multiple, small ill-defined radiopaque wispy septa. Thinning of inferior border of mandible and posterior border of ramus was noted along with destruction of anterior border of ramus. Anterior displacement of developing 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 was evident. External root resorption was present with 84, 85.

Contrast enhanced CT (CECT) showed a well-defined, expansile, osteolytic, solid, heterogeneously enhancing lesion of size approximately 4.4 x 3.3 x 4.2 cm in right posterior mandible involving body and ramus with complete involvement of coronoid process. Severe thinning of cortex seen with large break in overlying cortex along its anterior and medial wall, representing neoplastic lesion. [Figure 3a-e]

Based on the clinical and radiological manifestations, a working diagnosis of CGCG was made with differential diagnosis including ameloblastoma fibroma, odontogenic myxoma, central hemangioma, aneurysmal bone cyst, and brown tumor of hyperparathyroidism.

Histopathological report [Figure 4a, 4b] of incisional biopsy showed prominent diffusely distributed multinucleated giant cells, with eosinophilic cytoplasm and around 5-15 nuclei per cell and were clustered mostly around hemorrhagic areas, features were suggestive of Central Giant Cell Granuloma.

Serum calcium, phosphorous, serum alkaline phosphatase and parathyroid hormone assay was advised and was within normal limits. Initially patient was treated with intrallesional injections of corticosteroid for 6 weeks. No significant improvement was observed and hence patient was treated surgically with wide local excision and reconstruction under GA.

Histopathological diagnosis of excised specimen was confirmed to be CGCG. Patient did well postoperatively and has been advised regular follow-ups.

3. Discussion

World Health Organization (WHO) has defined central giant cell granuloma (CGCG) as “a localized benign but sometimes aggressive, osteolytic proliferation consisting of fibrous tissue with hemorrhage and hemosiderin deposits and presence of osteoclast-like giant cells with reactive bone formation.” Initially, it was not distinguished from giant cell tumor (GCTs) of extra gnathic skeletal, but later it was separated out from other jaw lesions by Jaffe in 1953 and were termed “giant cell reparative granulomas” considering primarily to be a local reparative reaction of bone to intramedullary hemorrhage or trauma. The use of the term “reparative” was subsequently discontinued since the lesion represents essentially a destructive process. The lesion has been proposed to be both a reactive response to hemorrhage or trauma and a neoplasm.

The incidence of CGCG in general population is 0.0001% with 60% of cases occurring before the age of 30, usually between 5 to 15 year, predominantly in females (F: M- 2:1). CGCG usually occurs in the anterior region of jaws, often crossing the midline (50%), and the mandible is more commonly affected than the maxilla and confined to the tooth-bearing areas of the jaws. In the present case posterior mandible was affected in an 8 year old female patient.

CGCG is generally painless may cause asymmetrical face, paresthesia, root resorption, displacement of teeth

Fig. 1: Showing intraoral and extra oral swelling.

Fig. 2: OPG showing well-defined, multilocular radiolucent lesion on right posterior mandible
Fig. 3: CT images showing well-defined, expansile, osteolytic lesion.

Fig. 4: Microscopic pictures (4a-10X and 4b-40X)

leading to malocclusion. CGCGs may be differentiated into aggressive and non-aggressive types based on their clinical behavior. The aggressive type is large and rapidly growing and may cause local bone destruction, tooth mobility or displacement, root resorption and cortical expansion or perforation and high recurrence rate. 

Radiographic features includes solitary, expansile, multilocular lesion with well-defined and corticated margins. Occasionally granular bone in lesion is organized into ill-defined, wispy septa. If present, these granular septa are characteristic of this lesion, especially if they emanate at right angles from the periphery of the lesion. CGCGs often displace and resorb teeth and has a strong propensity to expand the cortical boundaries of the jaws. Differential diagnosis includes ameloblastoma, aneurysmal bone cyst, odontogenic myxoma, brown tumor of hyperparathyroidism, cherubism, central heamangioma, surgical bone defect and residual cyst. 

Conventional treatment of the CGCG ranges from curettage to resection. However because of its unclear etiology alternative medications are introduced in last 15 years like intralesional injection of corticosteroids, alpha interferon and Calcitonin therapy has been used successfully as adjunct to surgery.
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