**Lukea** gen. nov. (Monodoreae-Annonaceae) with two new threatened species of shrub from the forests of the Udzungwas, Tanzania and Kaya Ribe, Kenya

**Martin Cheek**1, W. R. Quentin Luke2 & George Gosline1

**Summary.** A new genus, **Lukea** Cheek & Gosline (Annonaceae), is erected for two new species to science, *Lukea qwentini* Cheek & Gosline from Kaya Ribe, SE Kenya, and *Lukea trici* Cheek & Gosline from the Udzungwa Mts, Tanzania. *Lukea* is characterised by a flattened circular bowl-shaped receptacle-calyx with a corolla of three free petals that give the buds and flowers a unique appearance in African Annonaceae. Both species are extremely rare shrubs of small surviving areas of lowland evergreen forest under threat of habitat degradation and destruction and are provisionally assessed as Critically Endangered and Endangered respectively using the IUCN 2012 standard. Both species are illustrated and mapped. Material of the two species had formerly been considered to be possibly *Uvariopsis* Engl. & Diels, and the genus *Lukea* is placed in the *Uvariopsis* clade of the Monodoreae (consisting of the African genera *Uvariodendron* (Engl. & Diels) R.E.Fries, *Uvariopsis*, Mischogyne Exell, *Dennettia* Baker f., and *Monoecyathus* Keay). The clade is characterised by often conspicuous, finely reticulate quaternary nervation, incomplete or absent connective shields (in Annonaceae the connective shield is usually complete) and free petals (except in some *Uvariopsis*). Morphologically *Lukea* is distinct for its broad, turbinate, fleshy pedicel, a potential synapomorphy within Monodoreae. It appears closest morphologically to the West African monotypic *Monoecyathus*, since it shares a trait unusual in the Annonaceae: the calyx in both genera forms a shallow bowl (calyx lobes are absent or vestigial), barely enclosing the base of the petals at anthesis, which persists, living and green, in the mature fruit. However, on recent molecular phylogenetic evidence, *Lukea* is sister to *Mischogyne* and the two split c. 20 million years BP, while *Monoecyathus* is sister to *Dennettia*. The placement of *Lukea* within the *Uvariopsis* clade is discussed.
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**Introduction.** The Annonaceae is a pantropical family largely restricted to tropical rainforest habitats. The characteristic often showy flowers and fruit help make it widely collected and studied (Chatrou et al. 2012a). Annonaceae are well known for species producing edible fruits (paw paw, sour sop, custard apple), and for the fragrant ylang-ylang (*Cananga odorata* (Lam.) Hook.f. & Thomson) used in perfumes. The Annonaceae is a basal angiosperm family in the order Magnoliales (Heywood et al. 2007). It comprises c. 2500 species and c. 111 genera of trees, shrubs and lianas (Chatrou et al. 2012b; Guo et al. 2017). Within the subfamily Annonoideae, the tribe Monodoreae is composed of 11 genera and 92 species restricted to Africa and Madagascar (Guo et al. 2017; Dagallier 2021).

In December 1953, *Semsei* 1520 (EA, K) an herbarium specimen of Annonaceae was collected from Mtibwa Forest Reserve, Morogoro Distr., Tanzania. Sterile, it was initially tentatively identified as possibly a *Polalithia* Blume, later as probably a *Uvariodendron* (Engl. & Diels) R.E.Fries (Monodoreae), although this was doubted by Verdcourt. More than 40 years later Luke collected *Lukea* 4703 (EA, K) also sterile, from Kaya Ribe in the coastal forests of Kenya. Recollected in Sept. 1997 (Luke & Luke 5700, K) (Figs 1 & 2). Independently of Vollesen, both species were provisionally identified as “Annonaceae Genus Nov.” by Vollesen at Kew in 1998 and set aside for description. A further collection of the taxon from Kaya Ribe with open flowers and fruit was made in Jan. 1999 (Luke 5700, K) (Figs 1 & 2). Luke and colleagues recollected the Kaya Ribe taxon in flower and fruit in May 2021 (Luke et al. s.n. EA). Two further collections were made of the Tanzanian taxon in 2003 and 2005, making flowers and fruit available for the first time (Luke et al. 9526, 11205, both K) (Figs 3 & 4). Independently of Vollesen, both species were provisionally identified by Luke as *Uvariopsis* Engl. & Diels. Vollesen was not able to...
Fig. 1. *Lukea quentinii* A habit, leafy, flowering stem, leaves showing adaxial surface; B habit, flowering node, showing abaxial surface of blade; C close-up of B; D hairs on abaxial midrib; E hairs on distal stem internode; F flower bud, lateral view; G flower bud, plan view; H flower with petal removed to show stamens and carpels; J petal, abaxial surface; K vestigial inner petal; L stamen, showing lateral pollen thecae and incomplete connective cap; M pistil, side view; N flower post anthesis/young fruits; P immature fruit with persistent calyx; Q mature fruit (dehydrated, showing constrictions); R seed, transverse section. A – E, G – N, R from Luke et al. 5700; F, P, Q from Luke et al. 4740. DRAWN BY ANDREW BROWN.
research the material further, being required to complete the *Flora of Tropical East Africa* account for Acanthaceae before his retirement (Darbyshire *et al.* 2010). The material at K of his new genus was passed to Gosline in 2017 together with other unresolved East African Annonaceae. Two of these, *Marshall* 1567 and *Cribb & Grey-Wilson* 10082, were placed in *Mischogyne* Exell using molecular methods and prompted a revision of that Afrotropical genus (Gosline *et al.* 2019). The new genus identified by Vollesen was placed by Gosline in *Monodoreae*, an entirely African tribe, in the region of *Uvariopsis* while noting the similarity of venation with *Mischogyne*. These two genera are placed in a clade together with *Uvariodeltron* and *Monocyclus* Keay (referred to here as the *Uvariopsis* clade) by Guo *et al.* (2017) and Gosline *et al.* (2019). The position remained uncertain until a molecular phylogenetic analysis of the whole *Monodoreae* tribe using a new set of nuclear markers (Couvreur *et al.* 2019) was undertaken by Dagallier (Dagallier 2021). Dagallier’s phylogenetic study was based on 315 nuclear genes sequenced for almost all (88 of the 92 described) species of the tribe. The results indicated that the sequenced specimens *Luke* 11205 and 9526 (respectively type and paratype specimens of *Lukea triciae*) indeed clustered in *Monodoreae*, and more specifically in the informal “*Uvariopsis* clade”. The *Lukea* specimens were recovered with maximum support as sister to *Mischogyne*, the two being sister to the rest of the *Uvariopsis* clade (Dagallier 2021). However, both *Lukea* and *Mischogyne* showed important genetic differentiation (long branches separating both genera which are dated as splitting from each other c. 20 million years BP (Dagallier 2021)), confirming the new genus status as first indicated by Vollesen. To date, no specimen of *L. quentinii* has been sequenced.

Dagallier’s study shows *Dennettia* Baker f. (sunk into *Uvariopsis* by Schatz (Kenfack *et al.* 2003)), as sister to *Monocyclus*, supporting our resurrection of it as a distinct genus.

Twenty-seven genera are accepted in the *Flora of Tropical East Africa* account of Annonaceae, with 80 named species, and a further 11 which remained informally named (Verdcourt 1971). *Lukea* keys out in Verdcourt’s key to genera for flowering material, to couplet seven, since the carpels are free, and the hairs are simple. However, it fits neither arm of the couplet. While it has three valvate petals, it is not a tree, nor are the carpels 1-ovuled (*Enantia* Oliv., now *Annickia* Setten & Maas). Applying the key to fruiting material, it keys to couplet 54 because it has glabrous, non-tuberculate, several-seeded monocarps lacking longitudinal ribs with short stipes, seeds lacking pitted faces...
Fig. 3. *Lukea tricliae* A habit, leafy, flowering stem, leaves showing adaxial and (most distal leaf) abaxial surfaces; B detail of node showing abaxial leaf surface; C flower bud, side view; D flower bud, plan view; E flower bud, view from pedicel showing expanded calyx and the verrucate, fleshy pedicel; F flower, petal removed to show stamens and carpels on torus; G hairs on outer surface of petals; H calyx, showing verrucate surface, indumentum, and a minute vestigial sepal lobe; J fruit, reconstructed; K seed, side view; L seed, transverse section. A – H from Luke *et al.* 11205; J – L from Luke *et al.* 9526. DRAWN BY ANDREW BROWN.
and far smaller than 2.5 cm long, leaves subglabrous. At this couplet it fails to fulfill both of the two statements since the fruiting pedicel (c. 1.5 mm long), neither exceeds 3 mm (leading to couplet 55) nor is the “fruit practically sessile” (the first arm: *Hexalobus* A.DC.). Further, in *Hexalobus* the petals are transversely plicate and united at the base, differing from those of *Lukea* which are unfolded and free.

In the fifty years since publication of the *Flora of Tropical East Africa* account (Verdcourt 1971), a further 30 additional new species have been described, bringing the cumulative total of formally named species of Annonaceae to 110 (Vollesen 1980; Verdcourt 1986; Verdcourt & Mwasumbi 1988; Johnson et al. 1999; Deroin & Luke 2005; Couvreur et al. 2006; Couvreur et al. 2009; Couvreur & Luke 2010; Hoekstra et al. 2016; Marshall et al. 2016; Johnson et al. 2017; Johnson & Murray 2018; Gosline et al. 2019; Dagallier et al. 2021; Hoekstra et al. 2021). It seems likely that additional species will continue to emerge for many years so long as taxonomists and botanical inventory work proceed and natural habitat survives and is incompletely surveyed.

Here we formally describe the new genus as *Lukea* Cheek & Gosline including two new species *L. quentinii* Cheek & Gosline (Kenya) and *L. triciae* Cheek & Gosline (Tanzania). We compare *Lukea* morphologically with the genera in the *Uvariopsis* clade: *Uvariodium*, *Uvariopsis*, *Mischogyne*, *Monocyclanthus* and *Dennettia*.

*Lukea* increases to seven the number of endemic Annonaceae genera in the Eastern Arc Mts of Tanzania and the Kenyan Coastal Forests, the others being *Asteranthe* Engl. & Diels, *Lettowianthus* Diels, *Mkilua* Verdc., *Mwasumbia* Couvreur & D.M. Johnson, *Ophrypetalum* Diels, and *Sanrafaelia* Verdc.

**Materials and Methods**

Herbarium citations follow Index Herbariorum (Thiers, continuously updated). Specimens were viewed at EA (using http://eaherbarium.museums.or.ke/eaherbarium/results accessed 28 April 2021), BR, K, MO (https://www.tropicos.org/home accessed 28 April 2021). All specimens cited have been seen by one or more of the authors.

Fig. 4. *Lukea triciae*. Flowering, leafy stem. Note the warty, thick bowl-shaped calyx. From W. R. Q. Luke et al. 11205 (K). PHOTO: W. R. Q. LUKE.
Binomial authorities follow the International Plant Names Index (IPNI continuously updated). The conservation assessment was made using the categories and criteria of IUCN (2012). Spirit preserved material was examined with a Leica M8 dissecting binocular microscope fitted with an eyepiece graticule measuring in units of 0.025 mm at maximum magnification. The drawing was made with the same equipment using Leica 308700 camera lucida attachment. The terms and format of the description follow the conventions of Beentje & Cheek (2003) and Gosline et al. (2019). Georeferences for specimens lacking latitude and longitude were obtained using Google Earth (https://www.google.com/intl/en_uk/earth/versions/) . The map was made using QGIS (https://www.qgis.org).

**Taxonomic Results**

Morphological characters separating *Lukea* from other genera in the *Uvariopsis* clade of Monodoreae are given in Table 1 below. *Lukea*, apart from the fleshy turbinate pedicel (a potential synapomorphy within the tribe which may also occur independently in the unrelated Neotropical genus *Fusaea* (Baill.) Saff. (Chatrrou & He 1999)) has a combination of characters seen in no other genus.

---

**Key to genera of the *Uvariopsis* clade**

1. Quaternary nerves of leaf blades moderately or very conspicuous, forming a fine reticulum; petals & sepals 3-merous; flowers bisexual .................................................................................................................. 2
2. Quaternary nerves of leaf blades absent or inconspicuous; petals (4) and sepals (2) 2-merous; flowers unisexual (except *U. bisexualis*). .................................................................................................................. *Uvariopsis*
3. Infloreresences extra-axillary; bracts reduced to hair-tufts; calyx lobes covering corolla in bud; petals biseriate, strongly reflexed at anthesis .................................................................................................................. *Mischogyne*
4. Infloreresence axillary (or sometimes cauliflorous); bracts not reduced to hair tufts but fully developed, 3 – 4, distichous; calyx lobes if present, not covering corolla in bud; petals uniseriate, if reflexed, incompletely and slightly so .................................................................................................................. 3
5. Stems non-flexuose; calyx caducous; carpels 7 – 80, calyx lobes moderately conspicuous ................. 4
6. Stems flexuose; calyx persistent in fruit; carpels 1 – 7, calyx lobes absent .................................................. 5
7. Corolla biseriate .................................................................................................................................. *Uvariodendron*
8. Corolla uniseriate .................................................................................................................................. *Dennettia*
9. Petals 6; pedicel cylindric; leaves with translucid gland dots, citrus scented (crushed); torus 2 – 3:1; carpels 6 – 7 .................................................................................................................................................. *Monocyclanthus*
10. Petals 3; pedicel turbinate; leaves without translucid gland dots, not citrus scented; torus 0.25:1; carpels 1 – 4 .................................................................................................................. *Lukea*

---

*Lukea Cheek & Gosline gen. nov.* Type species: *Lukea tric平 Cheek & Gosline

http://www.ipni.org/urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77300187-1

**Hermaphrodite evergreen shrubs.** Leafy stems distichous, producing flushes of 2 – 4 leaves per season terminating in a dormant bud; bud-scales orbicular-ovate; stems terete, often flexuose (zig-zag), first (current) season’s growth drying green, lacking ridges and lenticels, indumentum sparse, hairs of 1 or 2 size-classes, simple, translucent, erect; axillary buds dome-shaped, of numerous filamentous, densely hairy scales. Second season’s epidermis greyish-black, with low, sinuous longitudinal ridges; lenticels inconspicuous, concolosorous, raised, longitudinally elliptic, with a median longitudinal fissure; glabrescent. *Leaves* persisting for two seasons or more, stage-dependent heteromorphic (*L. quentinii*): those of sterile (non-reproductive) stems longer and larger than those of reproductive stems. *Leaf-blades* drying green, lacking translucid gland dots, subglossy or glossy above and matt below, ovate, elliptic or elliptic-oblong, rarely slightly oblanceolate, acumen short, broadly triangular, base rounded; midrib cylindric on the abaxial surface, visible usually only as a slit on the upper surface. Secondary nerves 6 – 19 on each side of the midrib, brochidodromous, arising at c. 60 – 80° from the midrib, straight, forking at c. 1 cm from the margin and uniting with the secondary nerves above and below to form an angular inframarginal nerve, connected by lower order nerves to two other parallel and less distinct, inframarginal nerves closer to the thickened, revolute margin. Tertiary and quaternary nerves also raised, as prominent as the secondary nerves, and forming a conspicuous reticulum of isodiamic cells on both adaxial and abaxial surfaces, cell (areolae) diam. c. 1
Table 1. Diagnostic characters separating the genera of the *Uvariopsis clade: Uvariodendron, Mischogyne, Monocyclanthus, Dennettia, Lukea and Uvariopsis*. Data respectively from Dagallier et al. (2021); Gosline et al. (2019); this paper; specimens at K; Gosline et al. (2021).

| Character                          | *Uvariodendron* | *Mischogyne* | *Monocyclanthus* | *Dennettia* | *Lukea* | *Uvariopsis* |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| Species numbers/ distribution     | 17 Ivory Coast to Tanzania | 5 Guinea to Tanzania | 1 Sierra Leone to Ghana | 1 Guinea to Cameroon | 2 Kenya & Tanzania | 20 Guinea to Tanzania |
| Stems flexuose or not             | non-flexuose    | non-flexuose | flexuose         | non-flexuose | flexuose | non-flexuose |
| 4° venation reticulate, conspicuous | moderately     | yes          | yes              | moderately  | yes     | no          |
| Flower sexuality                  | bisexual        | bisexual     | bisexual         | bisexual    | bisexual | unisexual   |
| Corolla                           | valvate (only near apex for inner petals) | valvate reduplicate | valvate | valvate | valvate | valvate |
| Petal number                      | 6, biseriate    | 6, biseriate | 6, uniseriate    | 3, uniseriate | 3, uniserate | (3 –) 4, uniserate |
| Petals enclosed by calyx in bud   | no (except *U. magnificum*) | yes          | no               | no          | no      | no (except *U. submontana*) |
| Inflorescence position            | cauliflorous and leaf axillary | extra-axillary on leafy stems | cauliflorous and leaf axillary | leafy & leafless stems, axillary | leafy & leafless stems, axillary | usually cauliflorous, and axillary on leafy stems |
| Calyx persistent in fruit         | caducous        | caducous     | persistent       | caducous    | persistent | caducous   |
| Connective shield                | incomplete      | incomplete   | complete         | complete    | incomplete | incomplete |
| Leaves with minute gland dots?    | no              | no           | yes              | no          | no      | sometimes |
| Torus length: breadth ratio       | 0.5 – 1:1       | 3–1:1        | 2 – 3:1          | c. 1:1      | 0.25:1  | c. 1:1     |
| Pedicel                           | cylindric to subcylindric | cylindric | cylindric | cylindric | turbinate-fleshy | cylindric |
| Bracts                            | (2 –) 6         | reduced to hair tufts | 3 – 4 distichous persistent | 3 – 4 distichous persistent | 3 – 4 distichous, persistent | 3 – 4 distichous, persistent |
| No. carpels, divergent or convergent | (7 –) 10 – 80 not divergent | 3 – 12 (– 40) divergent | 6 – 7 divergent | c. 20 not divergent | 1 – 4 slightly divergent | 40 – 80 not divergent |
| Calyx lobes                       | moderately conspicuous to conspicuous | well developed | inconspicuous | moderately conspicuous | inconspicuous | moderately conspicuous to conspicuous |
mm. Indumentum absent or sparse and inconspicuous, along the abaxial midrib and margin, hairs simple, appressed, white. Petioles slightly twisted, dark green (live) drying dull or bright orange, terete but the adaxial surface with a median slit, narrower in width at base and apex, transversely ribbed, glabrous.

Inflorences. axillary, scattered sparsely along leafy or leafless nodes of stems 2 – 4 seasons old, 1-flowered, often (Lukea quentinii) with a second inflorescence arising sequentially from the base of the first. Peduncle and rachis together very short, hairs scattered, simple, dark brown, appressed. Bracts 3 – 4, distinct, green, persistent, shortly ovate-elliptic, apex acute or mucronate, base sheathing or not, increasing in size towards the pedicel, hairs sparsely scattered. Pedicel fleshy-leathery, yellow-green, turbinate, widening steadily from base to apex, the hairs as in the bracts. Flowers, bisexual. Calyx-receptacular tube, thick, leathery, externally warty, broad and short, shallow bowl-shaped, partly enclosing corolla in bud, indumentum on outer surface of scattered, dark brown, simple hairs, inner surface glabrous. Calyx lobes absent or vestigial, 3, alternating with the petals, triangular, minute, inserted at the edge of the calyx-receptacular tube aperture, centripetal (directed towards the floral axis), persistent (if developed), indumentum as receptacle but denser. Petals 3, green, valvate, thick and fleshy, shallowly concave, appearing nearly flat (horizontal) in bud (petal axis nearly perpendicular to that of floral axis), at anthesis elevated from the horizontal by 40 – 70°, triangular, apex acute, base truncate-retuse, sides square, outer surface densely covered in appressed sericeous golden hairs, inner surface glabrous. Torus (raised surface of receptacle on which are inserted the stamens and carpels), domed, wider than long. Stamens c. 150 – 180, spirally inserted, arranged in 8 – 12 ranks. Stamens latrorse, basifixted, erect, linear, connective and thecae nearly the length of the stamen, connate terminal extension semi-globose (fide van Heusden 1992), black, forming an incomplete cap over the anther cells. Pollen in tetrad. Petals and stamens falling after anthesis leaving the calyx-receptacular cup and carpels exposed, protected in the calyx-receptacular tube. Carpels (1 –) 2 – 4, brown, not diverging from each other, longer than stamens, subcylindrical, densely brown pubescent, the hairs patent. Stigma sessile, horseshoe shaped-crescentic, adaxial surface glabrous, outer surface with minute white patent hairs. Ovules numerous, biseriate, parietal. Fruit monocarps 1 – 2 (numbers per fruit unknown in L. tricieae), obovoid, subcylindrical or narrow ellipsoid, in dried fruit becoming constricted or not between the seeds, pericarp yellow when mature, leathery, glossy, glabrous or very sparsely hairy; shortly stipitate or sessile, glabrous or glabrescent; apex rounded, obscurely bifurcate. Fruiting pedicels slightly accrescent. Calyx-receptacle and bracts persistent, green, not accrescent. Seeds 3 – 12 per monocarp, orange-brown discoid, or pale-yellow oblong-elliptic and widest near hilum, hilum large, elliptic, with a ragged, crator-like rim, raphe slightly raised, girdling the seed, testa bony, lacking pitting or sculpture; endosperm conspicuously lamelliform ruminate in longitudinal section, embryo flat, minute.

RECOGNITION. Similar to Monocyclus Keay in the flexuose stems, conspicuous fine quaternary reticulate venation, axillary, 1-flowered inflorescences (sometimes cauliflorous in Monocyclus), bisexual flowers, and calyx-receptacle with only rudimentary (or no) lobes, persistent and disc-like in fruit; differing in leaves lacking translucid gland dots and Citrus scent, torus broader than long (vs twice or more as long as broad), petals 3 (vs 6), the turbinate fleshy pedicel (vs cylindrical), carpels 1 – 4 per flower (vs 6 – 7).

DISTRIBUTION. SE Kenya, Kilifi County and Tanzania, Morogoro Distr. The distribution of Lukea, with one species in the Eastern Arc Mts and another in the coastal forests of Kenya is seen in several other genera, such as Ancistrocladus Wall. with A. tanzaniensis Cheek & Frim.-Møll. in the Udzungwas and A. robertsoniorum J.Léonard in the Kenyan coastal forests (Cheek et al. 2000; Cheek 2000), also in the genus Afrothismia with A. mhorovana Cheek in the Ulugurus and A. baerae Cheek in Kenyan coastal forests (Cheek 2004a, Cheek 2006; Cheek & Jannerup 2006). Numerous other taxa are restricted to the Eastern Arc Mts of Tanzania and the Kenyan Coastal Forests, which together are referred to as EACF (see discussion).

HABITAT. Evergreen lowland forest remnants, c. 50 – 300 m elevation.

CONSERVATION STATUS. Both species are globally threatened (see below under the species accounts).

ETYMOLOGY. Named for Mr and Mrs Luke, the Kenyan couple who together have collected many thousands of herbarium specimens in surveys they have organised of surviving natural habitat, principally in Kenya and Tanzania, including between them almost all of the material of this new genus.

NOTES. The monotypic Sannrafaelia Verdc. from the Usambara foothills of Tanzania shares a number of morphological similarities with Lukea: shrub habit, ribbed petioles, short pedicel with multiple overlapping bracts, connate sepals, and reduced anther connectives. Previously also included in Monodoreae, it is now placed in a separate tribe and can easily be distinguished in flower by having inner and outer petals both present and united in a single whorl (not with only the outer whorl present and free) (Dagallier 2021).

In our pre-print of this paper we had designated Lukea quentinii as type of the genus (Cheek et al. 2021), but as a precautionary measure change this here to L. tricieae as only the last was included in the molecular study of
Dagallier (2021). However, the two species are so closely similar morphologically that initially they were considered to be one species. The characters separating them are quantitative and not qualitative.

Local names and uses of the species of *Lukea* are unknown and may not exist since both species are so rare. The pollination biology is also unknown, but we conjecture that beetles may be involved as is usual in Annonaceae in Africa since the petals remain largely closed at anthesis, forming a pollination chamber around the sexual parts (Gottsberger et al. 2011; Gottsberger 2012). Whether thermogenesis occurs as in *Uvariodendron* (Gottsberger et al. 2011) remains to be discovered.

**Placement of *Lukea* in the *Uvariopsis* clade**

The *Uvariopsis* clade of the Monodoreae (consisting of the African genera *Uvariodendron, Uvariopsis, Mischogyne, Dennettia* and *Monocyclanthus*) is characterised (Table 1) by a tendency (present in most but not all genera of the clade) to conspicuous, finely reticulate quaternary nervation in the leaf-blades (usually absent or inconspicuous in Annonaceae) and incomplete or absent connective shields (in Annonaceae the connective shield is usually complete and is considered plesiomorphic). Within the Monodoreae, the *Uvariopsis* clade is further characterised by having free petals (not united as in the other clade of the tribe, the *Isolona* clade (Dagallier 2021)), however, a few species of *Uvariopsis* do have united petals. Placement of *Lukea* with this group is supported by possession of all three of these characters. The characters are not seen in all genera of the clade, e.g., in *Uvariopsis* the fine reticulation is not conspicuous, and a connective shield is present in both *Monocyclanthus* and *Dennettia*. The last genus has been sunk into *Uvariopsis* (Kenfack et al. 2003) but is maintained as a separate genus here since it differs in having a connective shield, and in combining two characters (trimerous perianth and bisexual flowers) otherwise seen separately only in two atypical species of *Uvariopsis* (*Uvariopsis congolana* (De Wild.) R.E.Fr., and *U. bisexualis* Verdc., respectively). Dagallier’s phylogenetic trees show *Dennettia* as sister to *Monocyclanthus* with high levels of support. Despite *Lukea* being placed in the *Uvariopsis* clade as sister to *Mischogyne* (Dagallier 2021), it lacks the numerous diagnostic features of that genus within the clade, such as the extra-axillary inflorescences, the calyx-sheathed corolla in bud, the strongly reflexed corolla with exerted carpels and the extremely long torus (length: breadth 3:1) (Gosline et al. 2019). Similarities are the reticulate venation, the stamens without connective shield, and the ellipsoid monocarps in the fruit.

Morphologically the new genus appears closest to the West African monotypic *Monocyclanthus*, sharing a trait unusual in the Annonaceae: the calyx in both genera forms a shallow bowl (calyx lobes are absent or vestigial), barely enclosing even the base of the petals at anthesis. The bowl persists, green and leathery in the mature fruit (Fig. 2). A broadly similar structure is found in *Uvariodendron schmidtii Q. Luke*, Dagallier & Couvreur (Dagallier et al. 2021) in which the three calyx lobes are distinct, but appear almost completely connate (the individual lobes can still be clearly discerned) forming a shallow saucer at anthesis, however this structure falls post-anthesis (Dagallier et al. 2021) unlike in *Lukea*. The ancestor of *Lukea* may have had a similar calyx, which we speculate may have evolved by developing greater concavity, more complete loss of the calyx lobes, and persistence in the fruit. The occasional presence of vestigial inner petals in *Lukea* also suggest a link with *Mischogyne* and *Uvariodendron* which alone in the clade have regular inner petals. The function of the calyx-like structure, which may derive more from the enlarged receptacle than the calyx (suggested by the lack of evident calyx lobe connation) apart from protecting the flower in early bud, is unknown. It may be that the same structure in *Monocyclanthus* arose independently, and that the close similarity with *Lukea* does not indicate a sister relationship. *Monocyclanthus* and *Lukea* also share flexuose leafy twigs (seen intermittently in genera of other tribes e.g. *Musaunbira*), and an unusually low number of carpels per flower (1–4 in *Lukea* and 6–7 in *Monocyclanthus*).

**Key to the species of *Lukea***

Stems of leafy reproductive shoots thinly but softly white hairy, hairs patent, 0.1 – 0.2 mm long; leaves ovate-elliptic, (4.5 –) 6.8 – 10.5 × (2.5 –) 4 – 6 cm, lateral nerves 5 – 7 on each side of the midrib; petals 5 – 6 mm wide; fruit stipitate, cylindric, constricted between the seeds when dry. Coastal Kenya (Kaya Ribe)…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………… 1. *Lukea quentinii*

Stems of leafy reproductive shoots glabrescent, hairs inconspicuous, 0.05 mm long; leaves ovate-elliptic, (11.2 –) 13.9 – 21 × (4.4 –) 5.2 – 7.4 (– 8.1) cm, lateral nerves 17 – 19 on each side of the midrib; petals 7 – 9 mm wide; fruit sessile, narrowly ellipsoid, not constricted between the seeds when dry. Tanzania (Morogoro Distr.)….. 2. *Lukea triciae*
1. *Lukea quentinii* Cheek & Gostine sp. nov. Type: Kenya, Kilifi County, Kaya Ribe, Mleji River, 03°54’S, 39°38’E, fl., fr. 16 Jan. 1999, Q. Luke 5700 (holotype K000593320; isotypes EA000008943, MO, US).

http://www.ipni.org/urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77300188-1

*Hermaphrodite* evergreen shrub c. 3 m tall. Leafy stems producing flushes of 3 – 4 leaves per season terminating in a dormant bud; bud-scales c. 4 – 7, orbicular 1.5 × 1.5 mm; stems terete, 2 – 3 mm diam. often flexuose (zig-zag), internodes 2 – 4.2 cm long, first (current) season’s growth drying green, lacking ridges and lenticels, indumentum moderately dense, covering 10 – 20% of the surface, hairs simple, white, erect, 0.1 – 0.2 mm long, intermixed with a few longer hairs, persisting for six internodes or more from the stem apex. Second season’s growth greyish-brown, with low, sinuous longitudinal ridges; lenticels inconspicuous, concolorous, raised, longitudinally elliptic, 0.6 – 1.5 × 0.5 mm, with a median longitudinal fissure; glabrescent. *Leaves* persisting for two seasons or more, blades drying pale green, lacking glands, thickly papery, subglossy above and matt below, those of sterile (non-reproductive) stems (*Luke 4703, K*) longer than those of reproductive stems, elliptic or elliptic-oblong, rarely slightly obovate-elliptically, 11 – 15 × 5.5 – 7.8 cm, acumens short, broadly triangular, c. 0.4 × 0.4 cm, base rounded, minutely and abruptly coriaceous at the midrib; midrib cylindrical, 1 – 1.2 mm diam. on the abaxial surface, visible only as a slit on the upper surface, densely patent hairy, glabrescent. Secondary nerves 7 – 8 on each side of the midrib, brochidodromous, arising at c. 80° from the midrib, straight, forking at c. 1 cm from the margin and uniting with the secondary nerves above and below to form an angular inframarginal nerve, connected by lower order nerves to two other, less distinct, inframarginal nerves closer to the thickened, revolute margin. Tertiary and quaternary nerves also raised, as prominent as the secondary nerves, and forming a conspicuous reticulum on both adaxial and abaxial surfaces. Indumentum sparse and inconspicuous, along the abaxial midrib and abaxial blade towards the margin, hairs simple, appressed, white, 0.1 (– 0.3) mm long. Petioles (4.5 –) 6 mm long, (1.5 –) 2.5 mm wide. Leaves of reproductive stems (*Luke 4740, 5700, K*) similar to but smaller and differently shaped to those of the sterile stems, ovate or ovate-elliptic, (4.5 –) 6.8 – 10.5 × (2.5 –) 4 – 6 cm, lateral nerves 5 – 7 (– 8) on each side of the midrib. Petioles cylindric 3 × 1.3 mm, sparsely covered (5 – 10% of surface) with patent white hairs 0.3 mm long. Inflorescences, axillary, scattered sparsely along leafy or leafless nodes of stems 2 – 4 seasons old, 1-flowered, often with a second inflorescence arising sequentially from the base of the first. Peduncle and rachis together 0.5 – 1 × 0.5 – 1 mm at anthesis, hairs dense becoming scattered with age, simple, dark brown or bronze, 0.2 – 0.5 mm long. Bracts 3 – 4, distichous, green, persistent, shortly ovate-elliptic, 1.2 – 1.5 × 1.2 – 1.5 mm apex acute or mucronate, base sheathing, increasing in size towards the pedicel, hairs scattered, red-brown 0.1 – 0.2 mm long. Pedicel turbinate, fleshly-leathery, yellow-green, widening steadily from base to apex, the base 1.5 mm diam., apex 3.5 mm diam., hairs as bracts. *Flowers*, bisexual. Calyx-receptacular tube mostly enclosing corolla in bud, bowl-shaped, 2 – 3 mm long, 6 – 8 mm wide, distal aperture orbicular, 6 – 8 mm diam. at anthesis, indumentum on outer surface scattered, dark brown, simple 0.25 – 0.3 mm long, inner surface glabrous. Calyx absent or vestigial, lobes 3, small triangular 0.1 – 0.2 × 0.5 mm, inserted at the edge of the receptacular tube distal aperture, centripetal, indumentum as receptacle. Petals 3, alternating with sepals, green, valvate, thick and fleshy, slightly concave, appearing nearly flat (horizontal) in bud (petal axis nearly perpendicular to that of floral axis), at anthesis elevated from the horizontal by 40 – 50°, triangular, 5 × 5 – 6 mm, apex acute, base (when

Table 2. Diagnostic features separating *Lukea quentinii* and *L. triciae*. Vegetative characters are based on those of reproductive (flowering and fruiting) stems.

|                        | *Lukea quentinii* | *Lukea triciae* |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Indumentum of stems    | softly hairy, persistent, conspicuous, covering 10 – 20% of surface, hairs 0.1 – 0.2 mm long | inconspicuous, soon glabrescent, hairs 0.05 mm long |
| Number of lateral nerves on each side of the midrib | 5 – 7 | 17 – 19 |
| Leaf blade shape and dimensions (cm) | ovate or ovate-elliptic (4.5 –) 6.8 – 10.5 × (2.5 –) 4 – 6 | oblong or elliptic-oblong (11.2 –) 13.9 – 21 × (4.4 –) 5.2 – 7.4 (– 8.1) |
| Petiole length (mm)   | c. 3   | 5 – 9 |
| Width of petals (mm)  | 5 – 6  | 8     |
| Fruit shape (dried)   | cylindric, stipitate, constricted between the seeds | ellipsoid, sessile, not constricted between the seeds |
| Number of carpels/flowers | 1 – 3 | 4     |
| Geography             | Coastal SE Kenya (Kaya Ribe) | Tanzania (Morogoro Distr.) |
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detached) truncate-retuse, sides square, 1.5 mm thick along the midline, outer surface densely covered in appressed sericeous golden hairs. Vestigial inner petal sometimes present, oblanceolate to spatulate, 2.5 × 0.75 mm, apex rounded, glabrous. Torus (raised surface of receptacle on which are inserted the stamens and carpels), domed, wider than long, 0.5 – 0.75 × 2 mm. Stamens c. 150, spirally inserted, arranged in 8 – 10 ranks. Stamens latrorse, basifixed, erect, linear 1.4 – 1.5 × 0.25 mm, filament 0.1 mm long, connective and thecae nearly the length of the stamen, connective terminal extension globose, 0.1 mm long, black, forming an incomplete cap over the anther cells. Petals and stamens dropping after anthesis leaving the calyx-receptacle cup and carpels exposed. Carpels (1 –) 2 – (3) brown, longer than stamens, subcylindrical, 2.5 × 0.5 mm, densely brown pubescent, the hairs patent, 0.05 – 0.1 mm long. Stigma yellowish-white, sessile, horseshoe shaped-crescentic, 0.8 × 0.6 mm, adaxial surface glabrous, outer surface with minute white patent hairs 0.05 mm long. Fruit monocarps 1 – (2), live: obovoid or subcylindric, 3.1 – 4.8 × 2.2 – 2.9 cm, dry: subcylindric, 1.8 – 2.3 (– 3.5) × 1.1 – 1.3 (– 1.5) cm, constricted c. 1 mm between the (3 –) 4 – 6 seeds, yellow, pericarp leathery, glossy, glabrous apart from a few simple hairs 0.2 mm long; stipe 1 – 1.5 × 2 mm, glabrous; apex rounded, minutely bifurcate. Fruiting pedicels slightly accrescent, 1.5 mm long. Calyx-receptacle and bracts persistent in fruit, green, not accrescent. Seeds embedded in white, mealy, sweet pulp (Fig. 2), 3 – 6 per monocarp, orange-brown, discoid, 10 – 12 mm diam. × 4 – 6 mm thick, margin rounded, testa 0.25 mm thick; hilum elliptic 4 – 6 × 1 – 2 mm, rim crater-like, 0.5 mm high, verrucose; endosperm ruminate, embryo transversely elliptic in transverse section, 4.5 × 2.5 mm (Figs 1 & 2). See also Table 2.

RECOGNITION. Similar to Lukea triciae Cheek & Gosline, distinct in the leaves of reproductive stems smaller ((4.5 –) 6.8 – 10.5 × (2.5 –) 4 – 6 cm long), ovate or ovate-elliptic with lateral nerves 5 – 7 – (8) on each side of the midrib (vs (11.2 –) 13.9 – 21 × (4.4 –) 5.2 – 7.4 (– 8.1) cm), oblong, lateral nerves 17 – 19 on each side) and in the smaller flowers (petals 5 – 6 mm wide vs 8 mm wide), carpels (1 –) 2 – (3) (vs 4); fruits constricted between the seeds when dry (vs not constricted between the seeds).

DISTRIBUTION. SE Kenya (Map 1). Endemic to the Kaya Ribe forest, Kilifi County.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED. KENYA. Kilifi County, Kaya Ribe, 0354S, 3938E, 15 July 1997, Q. Luke 4703 (EA000008944, K000593319); ibid., Mjeji R. fl. buds, fr. 16 Sept. 1997 Q. Luke & P. Lungo 4740 (EA, K000593318, MO n.v.); ibid., fr. 16 Jan. 1999, Q. Luke 5700 (holotype K000593320; isotypes EA000008943, MO, US n.v.); ibid., Kaya Ribe, Mbiagini R., fl. fr. 16 Jan. 1999, Q. Luke, D. Marahaba, M. Makenzi & P. Lungo s.n. (EA).
under the National Museums & Monuments Act. This has afforded it some protection but it still suffers from tree cutting and encroachment. It has also been listed with other Kayas as a World Heritage Site under UNESCO. From CNES/Airbus imagery dated 29 June 2020 available through Google Earth (viewed 8 May 2021, see 3.900 S 39.633E), the forest looks in reasonable condition although there appear to be several gaps, possibly from the threats mentioned above. In particular, since 2011 imagery shows that forest along the western stream, the Mleji, which runs for c. 1 km through the forest, bisecting it, appears to have reduced in coverage. A field visit in 2021 discovered the species also along the eastern stream, the Mbisini. These two forested stream edges are the main, possible the only, habitat of Lukea quentinii. The forest is about 1 km diam., with an area of about 3.14 km², equating to both the area of occupancy and extent of occurrence of the species. The forest is surrounded by agricultural fields. Numerous other plant species of the Kenyan coastal forests are already Red Listed, e.g. Cola pseudoclavata Cheek, C. octolobioides Brenan (Cheek & Lawrence 2019; Luke et al. 2018 respectively). We here provisionally assess Lukea quentinii as Critically Endangered, CR B1+2ab(iii) given the metrics and threats stated above.

PHENOLOGY. Sterile (lacking flowers and fruit) in July, flower buds present in Sept., open flowers, ripe and immature fruit in Jan. and some also (possibly out of season) in late May.

ETYMOLOGY. Named for Quentin Luke, noted Kenyan botanist, Research Associate of the East African herbarium (EA), William Richard Quentin Luke, better known as Quentin Luke (1952 – ). He is the leading specialist on the plants of the Kenyan coastal forests, and has discovered numerous new species of plants especially in Kenya and Tanzania, such as the incredible spectacular Tanzanian tree acanth Barleria mirabilis Darbysh. & Q.Luke (Darbyshire & Luke 2016). He has also brought to light species from across Africa e.g., in Democratic Republic of Congo: Keitia namoyae O.Lachenaud & Q.Luke (Lachenaud et al. 2017) and from Mali and Guinea the only endemic African Calophyllum, C. africanaum Cheek & Q.Luke (Cheek & Luke 2016; Couch et al. 2019). He has also collected and described many other novel Annonaceae from Tanzania and Kenya (see introduction). Ten species are named for him, including the Tanzanian species Cola quentinii Cheek (Cheek & Dorr 2007) and Cola lukei Cheek (Cheek 2002). He is the lead or sole collector of all known fertile material of this new species. For further biographical and bibliographical information see (Polhill & Polhill 2015: 276 – 277).

VERNACULAR NAME & USES. None are recorded.

NOTES. The first known collected specimen of Lukea quentinii (Q. Luke 4703, K) is sterile. It has larger and longer leaves than the other two, fertile collections, and so we deduce that these leaves may represent a juvenile, or at least, a non-reproductive stage.

This species is extremely rare and localised. It represents an addition to the Flora of the Kenyan coastal forests, not being listed in Ngumbau et al. (2020).

Fruits with constrictions between the seeds are a character state for genera and species in Annonaceae, however at least in the case of Lukea quentinii it is clear that this is an artefact of drying (compare Fig. 1Q with Fig. 2).

Recent field observations (30 May 2021) by QL noted that some flowers occur on the trunk (cauliflorous), as well as being leaf axillary, or on leafless stems as previously recorded. This condition is not unknown elsewhere in the family, e.g. in Goniothalamus grandifloras Boerl. (Uttridge 2021).

2. Lukea triciae Cheek & Gosline sp. nov. Type: Tanzania, Morogoro Distr., Usambara Mts National Park, Msolwa - Camp 244, 7°43’S, 36°55’E, 350 m alt., fl. 23 Oct. 2005, W. R. Q. Luke, Mwangoka & Festo 11205 (holotype K000593317; isotypes EA000008947, MO, NHT).

Hermaphrodite evergreen shrub or small tree 2 – 3 m tall. Leafy stems producing flushes of 2 – 3 leaves per season, terminating in a dormant bud; bud-scales 6 – 8, ovate 1.5 × 1.5 mm; stems terete, 2 – 3 mm diam. often flexuose (zig-zag), internodes 1.7 – 4 cm long, first (current) season’s growth drying green, lacking ridges and lenticels, indumentum glabrescent, inconspicuous, very sparse, simple, translucent, erect, >0.05 mm long. Second season’s growth greyish-black, with low, sinuous longitudinal ridges; lenticels inconspicuous, concolorous, raised, longitudinally elliptic, glabrescent. Leaves persisting for two seasons or more, blades drying coriaceous, elliptic-oblong, (11.2 –) 13.9 – 21 × (4.4 –) 5.2 – 7.4 (– 8.1) cm, acumen c. 0.8 – 1.4 cm, base rounded; midrib cylindrical, 1 – 1.2 mm diam. on the abaxial surface, visible only as a slit on the upper surface. Secondary nerves 17 – 19 on each side of the midrib, brochiodromous, arising at c. 70 – 80° from the midrib, arching upwards, forking at 1 – 2 cm from the margin and uniting with the secondary nerves above and below to form an angular inframarginal nerve, connected by lower order nerves to two other, less distinct, inframarginal nerves closer to the thickened, revolute margin. Tertiary and quaternary nerves also raised, as prominent as the secondary nerves, and forming a conspicuous reticulum on both adaxial and abaxial surfaces. Indumentum sparse and inconspicuous, glabrescent. Petioles 5 – 9 mm long, (1.5 –) 2.5 mm wide.
Inflorences, axillary, scattered sparsely along leafy or leafless nodes of stems 2 seasons old, 1-flowered. Peduncle and rachis together c. 1 mm long at anthesis, hairs sparse, simple. Bracts 3 – 4, distichous, persistent, shortly ovate, c. 1.5 × c. 1.5 mm apex rounded, base not-sheathing, increasing in size towards the pedicel, hairs scattered, red-brown 0.1 – 0.2 mm long. Pedicel tuinate, fleshly-leathery, yellow-green, widening steadily from base to apex, the 1.5 – 3.5 mm long, base 1.5 mm diam., apex 5 – 6 mm diam., glossy, subglabrous. Flowers, bisexual, known only from slightly pre-anthetic material. Calyx-receptacular tube mostly enclosing corolla in bud, bowl-shaped, 2 mm long, 8 mm wide, distal aperture orbicular, 6 – 8 mm diam. at anthesis, indumentum on outer surface scattered, dark brown, simple 0.25 – 0.3 mm long, inner surface glabrous. Calyx vestigial, lobes 3, triangular, 0.5 × 1 mm, hairs moniliform, simple, 0.25 – 0.3 mm long. Petals 3, valvate, thick and fleshy, slightly concave, appearing nearly flat (horizontal) in bud (petal axis nearly perpendicular to that of floral axis), not seen at anthesis, triangular, 5 – 6 × 8 mm, apex acute, base truncate-retuse, sides square, 1.5 mm thick, along the midline, outer surface densely covered in appressed sericeous golden hairs. Torus (raised surface of receptacle on which are inserted the stamens and carpels), not seen (material scarce). Stamens c. 150, spirally inserted, arranged in c. 12 ranks. Stamens latrorse, basifixed, erect, linear 1 × 0.25 mm, filament minute, connective and thecae nearly the length of the stamen, connective terminal extension globose, 0.2 × 0.05 mm, black, forming an incomplete cap over the anther cells. Carpels 4, longer than stamens, subcylindrical, not dissected due to shortage of material. Stigma sessile, horseshoe shaped-crescentic, 0.8 × 0.6 mm, adaxial surface glabrous, outer surface with minute white patent hairs 0.05 mm long. Fruit monocarps (number per fruit unknown), drying brown, pericarp leathery, glossy, ellipsoid, 3.8 × 2.1 cm, not constricted between the seeds, apex rounded, base sessile, glabrous. Peduncle-pedicel, calyx-receptacle and bracts not recorded in fruit. Seeds 12 per monocarp, pale-yellow, oblong, compressed, widest at hilum end, 11 – 12 × 6 – 8 × 3 – 4 mm, marginal raphe ridge apex acute, hilum sunken, elliptic, 4 × 3 mm, margin raised, with short fibres, central sunken area with longitudinal ridge 2 mm long, micropylar aperture conspicuous; testa 0.2 mm thick, bony; endosperm ruminate in longitudinal section, embryo flat, c. 3 mm wide. Figs 3 & 4.

RECOGNITION. Lukea triciae is similar to L. quentinii Cheek & Gosline, distinct in the leaves of reproductive stems being larger (vs smaller ((11.2 – 13.9 – 21 × (4.4 – 5.2 – 7.4 – 8.1) cm), oblong, lateral nerves 17 – 19 on each side, vs (4.5 – 6.8 – 10.5 × (2.5 – 4 – 6 cm long), ovate or ovate-elliptic with lateral nerves 5 – 7 (– 8) on each side of the midrib) and the larger flowers (petals 8 mm wide vs 5 – 6 mm wide), carpels 4 (vs (1 – 2 (– 3)); fruits cylindric-ellipsoid, when dry not constricted between the seeds (vs constricted between the seeds). See also Table 2.

DISTRIBUTION. Tanzania (Map 1). Endemic to surviving lowland forest patches around the Udzungwa Mts.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED. TANZANIA. Morogoro District. Mitiwa Forest Reserve, St. (Dec. 1953), Sensei 1520 (EA000008946, K000593315); Udzungwa Mts National Park, Msolwa (village)-Camp 244, 7¯43’S, 36°55’E, 350 m alt., fl. 23 Oct. 2005, W. R. Q. Luke, Musanoga & Festo 11205 (holotype K000593317; isotypes EA000008947, MO, NHT); Magombera Forest, Rogers & Homewood s.n., (EA); ibid., 07°48’S, 36°58’E, 250 m alt., fl. fr. 19 July 2003, Q. Luke, P. Luke & Arafat 9526 (EA, K000593316, MO3055171, NHT).

HABITAT. Evergreen lowland forest remnants; 250 – 350 m alt. At the Udzungwa Mts Msolwa location, Lukea triciae occurs on the bank of a small stream with riverine forest that runs through woodland at base of an escarpment. Associated species are Uvaria tanzaniae, Pileopis myrtifolia, Terminalia sambesiaca, Sterculia quinqueloba, Pseudolachnostylos maprouneifolia, Erythrophleum suaveolens, Isobertinia schefferi, Parkia ficoidea, Tetrapleura tetraptera, Dalbergia spp., Celtis zenkeri, Ficus spp., Milicia excelsa, Treculia africana, Blighia unijugata, Lecaniodiscus fraxinifolius, Sorindeia madagascariensis, Pouteria alnifolia, Strychnos spp., Schrebera trichoclad, Diplorhynchus condylocarpon, Holarrhena pubescens, Catunaregam spp., Crossopterix febrifuga, Borassus aethiopum.

At the Magombera Forest Reserve site, it was found in lowland ?groundwater forest with Huberrantha verdcourti, Isoloma heinseni, Uvaria tanzaniae, Rinorea spp., Memecylon magnifoliatum, Cola spp., Rohodognaphalum schumanniun, Drypetes parvifolia, Erythrophleum suaveolens, Guibouitria schliebenii, Hymenaea verrucosa, Julbernardia magnispilata, Albizia gumiifera, Parkia ficoidea, Dalbergia spp., Pterocarpus milbraedi, Ficus spp., Treculia africana, Pananvwa holzii, Sorindeia madagascariensis, Diospyros spp., Noronchia milbraedi, Aoranthe penduli, Psychotria spp., Vitex doniana, Borassus aethiopum.

CONSERVATION STATUS. The site of the earliest known collection of this genus and of the species Lukea triciae, is Mitiwa Forest Reserve (located at 6°07’S, 37°39’E according to Polhill 1988). According to https://www.tfs.go.tz/index.php/en/forests/mitiwa (accessed 21 April 2021), it is now a forestry plantation, 95% being teak, Tectona grandis L.f., and only a few small scraps of indigenous vegetation remain on land unsuitable for plantation. This can be confirmed by viewing through Google Earth using the georeference.
provided above where the former forest is now divided into parcels of planted trees. It seems likely, even certain, that the species has become extinct at this location where it was collected by Semsei in 1953, however a targeted search at Mtibwa is advised to confirm local extinction before this is accepted.

_Lukea triciae_ is therefore now on current evidence confined to possibly three (but probably only two) locations separated from each other by 12 km of cultivated land at the foot of the Udzungwa Mts. Since there are only two collections with good geographical metadata, one from each site, we estimate the maximum area of occupation as being 12 km² using the grid cells of 4 km² preferred by IUCN (2012) and the extent of occurrence as 868 km².

The Magombera Forest Reserve site where the species was discovered in 2003, was highly threatened when it was degazetted from the Selous Game Reserve (now also known as the Nyerere National Park) and not regazetted immediately as was intended at the time. It was part privately owned by the adjacent sugar estate that cleared much of the previous forest in the adjoining Kilombero valley in the 1970s. (https://www.rainforesttrust.org/urgent-projects/creating-the-magombera-nature-reserve-in-tanzania/ Accessed 8 August 2022). The Tanzania-Zambia railway was also cut through the forest in the 1970s. A recent campaign to have Magombera given special protection has been successful. It was gazetted as a Nature Reserve in 2019 and is now subject to fundraising. Since 2008 (imagery viewed via Google Earth) some patches of forest at the margin have been cleared, presenting a threat to the habitat of _Lukea triciae_. Threats at the Msolwa site are firewood collection (Luke pers. obs.). Assuming that the Mtibwa location has been lost, EOO, AOO and mature individuals have therefore also been lost. Given this, the threats above and small EOO and AOO, we here assess the species as EN B1ab(i-v), **B2ab(i-v).**

**PHENOLOGY.** Flowers and fruit in July, flower buds in Oct., sterile in December.

**ETYMOLOGY.** Named for key co-collector of this new species, Patricia (better known as Tricia) Luke. She organised the botanical fieldwork logistics for the Udzungwa mountains survey during which flowering and fruiting herbarium material came to light in 2003 and 2005. These specimens were crucial for providing the samples that enabled the molecular phylogenetic placement of the new genus. She has organised the logistics for numerous other botanical surveys and has had a life-long interest in nature and conservation, especially in her native Kenya.

**VERNACULAR NAME & USES.** None are recorded.

**NOTES.** _Lukea triciae_ is more incompletely known than _L. quentinii_. Only a single monocarp is known, and the few flowers available are not quite at anthesis. The earliest gathering, (Semsei 1520, K, sterile) has leaves of similar size and shape to those of fertile collections and so there is no evidence that there is a different juvenile or non-flowering stage, as seen in _L. quentinii_ (see above). _Lukea triciae_ is confined to Morogoro District, Tanzania, to which numerous other rare and threatened species are globally endemic, e.g. _Brachystephanus schleienii_ (Mildbr.) Champl. (Champluvier & Darbyshire 2009), and _Koezia semsei_ Cheek & Bridson (Cheek & Bridson 2019) both known from a single collection, and _Asystasia asystasionides_ Darbysh. & Ensermu (Darbyshire & Ensermu 2007).

**Discussion**

**The Eastern Arc Mountains & Coastal Forests**

The two species are separated geographically by c. 525 km, as measured by Google Earth. Both locations are placed in the Eastern Arc mountains and Coastal Forests (EACF). The EACF are found in Tanzania and southern Kenya. They form an archipelago-like phytogeographical unit well-known for high levels of species endemism in many groups of organisms (Gereau et al. 2016). Among the better-known mountain blocks are the Udzungwas, the Ulugurus, and the Usambaras. The Mtibwa Forest reserve at the foot of the Nguu Mts, home of _Lukea triciae_, is among the least known, least well surveyed, and least protected forest patches. Supported by moist air currents from the Indian Ocean, the surviving evergreen forests of the Eastern Arc Mountains alone have 223 species of endemic tree (Lovett 1998) and are variously stated to have 800 (Tanzanian Forest Conservation Group, undated) or as many as 1500 species (Skarbek 2008) of endemic plant species. In herbaceous groups such as the Gesneriaceae, over 50% of the species for East Africa (Uganda, Kenya and Tanzania) are endemic to the Eastern Arc Mts (Darbyshire 2006) and in the Acanthaceae, there are as many as 100 species endemic to the Eastern Arc Mts (Darbyshire et al. 2010) e.g. _Isoglossa bondiwaensis_ L.Darbysh. endemic to the Uluguru Mts (Darbyshire 2009). In terms of documented plant species diversity per degree square, the Eastern Arc Mts are second only in tropical Africa to SW Cameroon in the Cross-Sanaga Interval of W-C Africa (Barthlott et al. 1996; Cheek et al. 2001), which also has the degree square with highest generic diversity (Dagallier et al. 2020). _Lukea_ is an example of a genus endemic to the EACF, just as _e.g._ _Medusandra_ Brenan (Peridiscaceae, Soltis et al. 2007; Breteleur et al. 2015) is endemic to the Cross-Sanaga Interval. Several forest genera have disjunct distributions, being found only in the Cross-Sanaga Interval and in the EACF and not in between, e.g., _Zenkerella_ Taub. and _Kupen_ Cheek (Cheek et al. 2003; Cheek 2004b). The EACF include the sole representatives of plant groups otherwise restricted on the continent to the forests of Guinea-Congolian Africa, e.g., _Afrothismia_ Schltr. and _Ancistrocladus_ Wall. (Cheek & Jannerup 2006; Cheek...
Extensive forest clearance within the last 100–150 years has removed forest from some mountains entirely, and reduced forest extent greatly in others. Since the 1970s more than 12% of these forests have been cleared (Tanzania Forest Conservation Group, undated). Yet new taxa such as these two Lukea species are still being published steadily. Let us hope that their natural sites where not already destroyed can be preserved and their extinction avoided.

Conclusions
Lukea quentinii and L. triciae underline the urgency for identifying and publishing species while they still survive. Threats to such new discoveries for science are ever present, putting these species at high risk of extinction. About 2000 new species of flowering plant have been published by science each year for the last decade or more (Cheek et al. 2020), adding to the estimated 369,000 already documented (Nic Lughadha et al. 2016), although the number of flowering plant species known to science is uncertain (Nic Lughadha et al. 2017). Only 7.2% of species have been assessed and included on the Red List using the IUCN (2012) standard (Bachman et al. 2019), but this number rises to 21–26% when additional evidence-based assessments are considered, and 30–44% of these assess the species as threatened (Bachman et al. 2018). Newly discovered species, such as those reported in this paper, are likely to be threatened, since widespread species tend to have been already discovered. There are notable exceptions to this rule (e.g. Vepris occidentalis Cheek (Cheek et al. 2019) a species widespread in West Africa from Guinea to Ghana). However, it is generally the more localised, rarer species that remain unknown to science. This makes it all the more urgent to find, document and protect such species before they become extinct. Until species are delimited, described and known to science, it is difficult to assess them for their IUCN conservation status and so the possibility of protecting them is reduced (Cheek et al. 2020). Documented extinctions of plant species are increasing, e.g., in coastal forest of Kenya Cynometra longipedicellata Harms may well be extinct at its sole locality, the Amani-Sigi Nature Reserve (Gereau et al. 2020) and in Tanzania Kihansi triciae Cheek (Cheek 2004b) at the Kihansi dam site, has not been seen since it was first collected despite targeted searches. There are also examples of species that appear to have become extinct even before they are known to science, such as Pseudohydrosme beguerei in Gabon (Moxon-Holt & Cheek 2021) and in Cameroon Vepris bali Cheek (Cheek et al. 2018). In all cases anthropogenic pressures have been the cause of these extinctions. In both Kenya and Tanzania, on the whole, natural habitat is relatively well covered by a well-planned network of protected areas, but nevertheless natural habitat at some sites with species of high value for conservation has all but disappeared as for the site for first collection of Lukea triciae at Mtwilba (see above). Further investment in prioritising the highest priority areas for plant conservation as Tropical Important Plant Areas (TIPAs, using the revised IPA criteria set out in Darbyshire et al. (2017)) as is in progress in countries such as Guinea, Cameroon, Mozambique and Ethiopia might be extended elsewhere to reduce further the risk of future global extinctions of range-restricted endemic species such as Lukea quentinii and L. triciae.
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