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Abstract

Frontline healthcare workers (HCWs) involved in the COVID-19 response stand a higher risk of experiencing psychosocial distress amidst the pandemic. Between July and September 2020, a second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic appeared in Vietnam with Da Nang city being the epicenter. During the outbreak, HCWs were quarantined within the health facilities in a bid to limit the spread of the COVID-19 to their respective communities. Using the stress component of the 21-item Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21), we assessed the level of stress among HCWs in Da Nang city. Between 30th August and 15th September 2020, 746 frontline HCWs were recruited to fill an online structured-questionnaire. Overall, 44.6% of participants experienced increased stress and 18.9% severe or extremely severe stress. In multivariable analysis, increased stress was associated with longer working hours (OR=1.012; 95% CI: 1.004–1.019), working in health facilities providing COVID-19 treatment (OR=1.58, 95% CI: 1.04-2.39), having direct contact with patients or their bio-samples (physicians, nurses and laboratory workers; OR=1.42, 95% CI: 1.02-1.99), low confidence in the available personal protective equipment (OR=0.846; 95% CI: 0.744–0.962), and low knowledge on COVID-19 prevention and treatment (OR=0.853; 95% CI: 0.739-0.986). In conclusion, many frontline HCWs experienced an increased stress during the COVID-19 outbreak in Da Nang city. Reducing working time, providing essential personal protective equipment, enhancing of the knowledge on COVID-19 will help to reduce this stress. Moreover, extra support is needed for HCWs who are directly exposed to COVID-19 patients.

1. Introduction

In December 2019, an outbreak of the novel coronavirus 2019 disease (COVID-19) was declared in Wuhan China and rapidly spread to other countries. By March 2020, COVID-19 had evolved into a pandemic that subjected healthcare systems and workers to tremendous pressure [1]. Within a short period of time, COVID-19 became a global crisis and significantly impacted all aspects of our life. Indeed, as of the 2nd May 2021, more than 152 million confirmed COVID-19 cases and 3.2 million related deaths had been reported [2].

The first case of COVID-19 was reported in Vietnam on 23rd January 2020, and the Vietnamese government urgently rolled out emergency policies across the entire country. During the first wave of the outbreak, almost all infected cases were imported and quarantined, with no deaths reported. Although Vietnam has experienced many infectious disease outbreaks in the past, COVID-19 being a new entity constituted a crucial challenge for the local healthcare systems and healthcare workers (HCWs).

After 99 days without any community cases, a second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic appeared in Vietnam on 17th July 2020 with an epicenter in Da Nang city, a tourist city in the central coastal region. From 17th July to 10th September 2020, a total of 551 cases were reported countrywide. Most of these cases were related to a history of a recent visit to Da Nang. During the second COVID-19 wave in Vietnam, the Da Nang general hospital became an infection hotspot with at least 246 COVID-19 cases reported among inpatients, caregivers and HCWs (19 cases). Moreover, secondary infections spreading from the
hospital to the community were observed [3]. This outbreak resulted in an increased workload and prolonged working time for frontline HCWs. Adding to the overwhelming work burden, many HCWs were also quarantined within health facilities together with COVID-19 patients and care givers in a bid to limit the spread of the COVID-19 to their respective communities [4]. HCWs were kept away from their family and children for an average of 30 days but food supplies and necessities were provided to them by the government while they were in quarantine. Coupled with an increased risk of becoming infected, these conditions predisposed HCWs to develop stress and anxiety.

Several studies amidst the COVID-19 pandemic have shown a high prevalence of stress among HCWs, ranging from 2.2% to 41.2% depending on their specialization, type of activities performed in the hospital, and proximity to COVID-19 patients [5-7]. Moreover, high morbidity and mortality due to COVID-19 have been reported among HCWs. In May 2020 it was estimated that about 150,000 HCWs had contracted COVID-19, with an estimated 1,400 deaths worldwide [8]. Since then, these numbers are increasing rapidly. By 7th April 2021, only in the United States, more than 3600 HCW deaths were reported, the majority of them died under the age of 60 (mean age 59) [9]. In this study we investigated the stress situation and its effect on the mental health condition of frontline HCWs who were actively involved in the COVID-19 response in the Da Nang focus in Vietnam.

2. Materials And Methods

Study setting and design

This was a cross-sectional online survey conducted from 30th August to 15th September 2020 in Da Nang, Vietnam. This was the 3rd online survey initiated by the ICPcovid consortium (https://www.icpcovid.com/) to assess the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in Vietnam.

Participants

Frontline HCWs working in the healthcare system in Da Nang city during the pandemic period were asked to participate in an online survey. A frontline worker was defined as a healthcare staff who was actively involved in COVID-19 response (diagnosis, treatment, prevention, or public health activities) during the outbreak in Da Nang city). An official invitation letter to participate in the survey was sent by the Da Nang health department to all medical facilities including community health centers, and the Da Nang general hospital. HCWs received this letter through their local administrative staffs. Those who consented to participate then accessed the online survey tool to submit their responses.

Data collection

A Google survey form was created using a structured questionnaire. This questionnaire was based on a questionnaire developed by the ICPcovid consortium but was adapted to the COVID-19 situation in Vietnam. Data were collected anonymously. Consenting participants completed the questionnaire and provided the following data:
- Socio-demographic characteristics: Age, gender, marital status, professional qualifications, years of working experience, healthcare facility where they practice, whether they lived with a vulnerable person (i.e., children < 12 years; elderly persons; chronically ill persons).

- Working conditions: Total working time per week (in hours); night shift situation (yes / no). Self-perceived knowledge about infection control specific to COVID-19; self-perceived confidence in available personal protective equipment (PPE) such as masks, gloves, hand sanitizers, protective clothing for COVID-19 prevention at the workplace. Self-perceived data were collected using a 10-point Likert scale (1=minimal level, to 10=maximal level).

- Stress level: The stress component (7 questions) of the 21-item Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21) was used to evaluate the stress status. Scores were dichotomized into normal stress (with scores between 0 and 14) and increased stress (with scores greater than 14). Increased stress was further classified into mild (with scores between 15 and 18), moderate (with scores between 19 and 25), severe (with scores between 26 and 33), and extremely severe stress (with scores greater than 33) [10].

- Self-perceived support for HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic: A 10-point Likert scale (1=not having support, to 10=enthusiastic support) was used to measure the perceived support enjoyed by HCWs considering three sources of support: society, co-workers, and HCWs’ family and relatives. A higher score indicated a higher level of support.

  - **Support from society**: HCWs' perception of the society's support towards them, in the form of material support (such as provision of protective equipment) and non-material support (such as words of appreciation and encouragement through mail, mass media, social networks).

  - **Support from co-workers**: HCWs' perceptions of support, such as sharing of workloads, and mutual encouragement of colleagues.

  - **Support from family or relatives**: HCWs' perceptions of receiving encouragement, material support (such as food, bottled water, toiletries) and non-material support (such as phone calls, prayers) from family and relatives.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 15.0. Descriptive statistics were used to depict the demographic and occupational characteristics, as well as stress level of HCWs using numbers with percentages, means with standard deviation, or medians with interquartile range. A multiple logistic regression model was used to determine factors associated with increased stress among HCW. Stress outcomes were dichotomized as follows: no stress (coded as 0) and stress (coded as 1). Covariates included demographic variables (age, sex, marital status and living with vulnerable groups in the same home), self-perceived support to HCWs, knowledge about COVID-19 prevention and treatment, confidence in the available PPE, type of profession, and type of healthcare facility.

HCW professions were summarized into two groups, depending on whether the profession exposed the HCW to direct contact with patients / bio-samples. Accordingly, physicians, nurses, and laboratory
workers constituted one group (high contact), while pharmacists, public health officers and others formed the group of “low contact” HCWs. We also compared two groups of healthcare facilities: Those that served as COVID-19 treatment units (public hospitals at city and district level, and private hospitals within the city) and facilities not providing COVID-19 treatment such as the city Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the emergency transport system, community health centers, contact tracing units, logistic/administrative support units, and testing centers. All inferential analysis was considered statistically significant at p-value=0.05.

Ethical Considerations

Anonymity and informed consent were ensured via online registration of the survey. The study was officially permitted by Health Department of Da Nang city and previously approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Hue University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vietnam (No. H202/041).

3. Results

A total of 746 frontline HCWs completed the survey; mean age 32.8 ± 8.9 years, 72.5% were women, 64.8% married and 78.3% lived with at least one person from a vulnerable group. A large proportion (43.4%) were nurses, and the median number of years of working experience was 6 years. 68.8% participant were physician, nurse, and laboratory worker and 77.3% worked in treatment unit (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic and occupational characteristics of healthcare workers grouped by the reported stress levels (n=746)
Nearly half (44.6%) of the HCWs who participated in the study experienced stress during the COVID-19 outbreak in Da Nang city (Table 2). The median stress score was 14, and 3.3% of HCWs experienced extremely severe stress (score >33 on the stress component of the DASS-21 scale).

**Table 2.** Stress levels of the healthcare workers (n=746)
| Stress levels                        | Number (%) |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Normal stress (score ≤ 14)          | 413 (55.4) |
| Increased stress (score > 14)       | 333 (44.6) |
|     Mild (15 ≤ score ≤ 18)          | 108 (14.5) |
|     Moderate (19 ≤ score ≤ 25)      | 84 (11.3)  |
|     Severe (26 ≤ score ≤ 33)        | 116 (15.5) |
|     Extremely severe (score > 33)   | 25 (3.3)   |
| Overall stress scale score: Median (IQR) | 14 (6-22) |

IQR: interquartile range.

The median number of working hours per week was 48 hours (IQR: 40-56). Only 12.2% of HCWs reported night shifts during the outbreak period. Increasing number of working hours per week increased the odds of stress by 12‰ (OR=1.012; 95% CI: 1.004-1.019) (Table 3). The Odds of stress varied inversely with the level of confidence in the available personal protective equipment (OR=0.846; 95% CI: 0.744-0.962) (Table 3). An increased score of HCWs’ knowledge of COVID-19 reduced the odds of stress experience (OR=0.853; 95% CI:0.739-0.986). Physician, nurse, and laboratory staffs had higher odds of stress (OR=1.42 (95% CI:1.02-1.99). Similarly, HCWs working in treatment units showed significantly higher odds of experiencing stress (OR=1.58, 95% CI: 1.04-2.39).

Table 3. Predictors of stress among healthcare workers by multiple logistic regression (n=746)
### Factors

| Factors                                               | OR adj* | p   | 95% CI     |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----|------------|
| Total number of working hours /weeks                 | 1.012   | 0.002 | 1.004 1.019 |
| Support from co-workers                              | 1.005   | 0.943 | 0.885 1.141 |
| Support from family or relatives                     | 0.987   | 0.825 | 0.879 1.108 |
| Support from the society                             | 0.916   | 0.051 | 0.838 1.001 |
| Confidence in the available personal protective equipment | 0.846   | 0.011 | 0.744 0.962 |
| Self-reported knowledge of COVID-19 prevention and treatment | 0.853   | 0.031 | 0.739 0.986 |

### Profession

- Pharmacist, public health officer and others: Ref
- Physician, nurse, laboratory worker: 1.42 0.039 1.02 1.99

### Healthcare facilities

- Not treatment units (city CDC and others**: Ref
- COVID-19 treatment units (public hospitals in city and district, private hospitals in city): 1.58 0.032 1.04 2.39

---

*Odds Ratio adjusted by age, sex, marital status and living with vulnerable groups.

** The emergency transport system, community health centers, contact tracing unit, logistic/administrative support unit, testing center

### 4. Discussion

Our survey provides insights into the stress experienced by frontline HCWs in Vietnam, a country that has been quite successful in controlling the COVID-19 pandemic. We investigated the working conditions of HCWs and factors associated with stress during a COVID-19 outbreak in Da Nang city. Using the stress component of the DASS-21 scale, we found that 44.6% of HCWs experienced different levels of stress. Another survey conducted among HCWs in Vietnam shortly after the first COVID-19 wave (end of April 2020) found that 34.3% HCWs experienced stress measured with the Revised Impact of Event Scale (IES-R) tool [11]. In a survey conducted from April-June 2020 in five countries in the Asia-Pacific region also using the IES-R tool, a stress prevalence of 3.3% was reported among HCWs in Vietnam but only 50 Vietnamese HCWs participated in this survey [12]. In a recent systematic review of 35 papers with data from 25,343 medical staff, a high level of perceived stress was reported by 56% of them (95% CI = 32%-79%) [13]. Using the same stress scoring system (DASS-21) as in our study, 23.8% of HCWs in Oman experienced stress [14], and 41.2% in Turkey [7]. Much higher prevalence of stress among HCWs were observed in China, Canada, and Pakistan: 71.5%, 85.6%, and 90.1% respectively [15-17]. However, the latter countries were confronted with a more important burden of COVID-19 compared to Vietnam.
Determinants of stress among HCWs in our study can be summarized into two main factors: the working conditions of HCWs, and their social support.

To ensure patient care and epidemic control in Da Nang, the medical staff had to face a huge workload often with limited resources. In addition to providing routine health services, HCWs had to undertake additional tasks ranging from contact tracing, monitoring, testing, and treating COVID-19 patients. Our findings indicated that pharmacists, and public health officers experienced less stress, most likely because they were less likely to have direct contact with patients and they were not quarantined during the outbreak. In addition, we found that the number of working hours per day and the number of working days per week both exceeded the prescribed maximal working duration for employees in Vietnam (48 hours/week) (Table 3). These results were consistent with other studies worldwide showing the overwhelming workload among HCWs during the pandemic [18, 19]. This increase in daily working hours and number of working days per week increased the risk of stress among HCWs [7, 20].

In our study, better knowledge about COVID-19 prevention and treatment was associated with less stress. Other studies reported that occupational protection practices and training people to increase their COVID-19 occupational protection knowledge reduced stress and prevented psychological problems [21, 22].

Similar to other studies, confidence in the available PPE and protective measures reduced the likelihood of stress [6, 18]. Indeed, being equipped with quality PPE will help HCWs to feel protected from contracting the virus, and also limit their risk to infect family members when they eventually return home [23].

Our research showed that HCWs who worked in health facilities treating COVID-19 patients had a higher risk of stress than HCW working in facilities not providing COVID-19 treatment (Table 3). Close and frequent contact with COVID-19 infected patients, working longer hours than as usual, and working in isolation units have been reported as factors causing increased stress among HCWs [16, 24, 25, 26]; all these conditions were fulfilled in COVID-19 treatment centers.

Thanks to the rapid implementation of drastic preventive measures and the efforts of the HCWs, the COVID-19 outbreak in Da Nang was rapidly controlled. More than a fifth of Da Nang city residents (208,028) were COVID-19 tested; 454 people were quarantined in health care facilities; 15,120 in centralized quarantine facilities; and 15,079 self/home-quarantined. By 4th September 2020, all lockdown measures were lifted and on 23rd September the last COVID-19 patient in Da Nang was discharged from the hospital. However, in May 2021, a new COVID-19 outbreak appeared in Vietnam, mainly in the city of Hanoi but also with community transmission in other cities including Da Nang. Lockdown measures were reinstated. As of May 13th, only nearly 0.98% of the population in Vietnam had received at least one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine. Therefore, to control this new wave as well as future COVID-19 outbreaks, scaling up the COVID-19 vaccination campaign will be needed.

We acknowledge that our study had several limitations. As data was collected via an online survey, we do not know whether the HCW who participated in the survey were representative of all HCWs in Da Nang.
Moreover, we cannot verify the validity of the answers to the survey questions; lastly, recall bias may have affected the quality of data provided by some HCWs.

5. Conclusions

Stress was commonly experienced by frontline HCWs during the COVID-19 outbreak in Da Nang city, Vietnam. Keeping HCWs quarantined in health facilities most likely was an important factor in containing the outbreak in Da Nang. However, this approach may have increased the stress experienced by HCWs. Given the key role frontline HCWs play in fighting the COVID-19 pandemic, it is of great importance to implement strategies to improve their well-being. Reducing working time, providing full PPE, and increasing HCWs’ knowledge about COVID-19 prevention and treatment will help to reduce stress and to increase their effectiveness to control the COVID-19 outbreak. Moreover, extra support is needed for HCWs who are directly exposed to COVID-19 patients. HCWs and persons at risk for severe COVID-19 disease should be priority populations for COVID-19 vaccination.

Declarations

Author Contributions: TVV, NPTN, RC contributed to the study design and conceptualization. NPTN, TVV, DDL and TDT did the statistical analysis, interpretation, data and drafting of the initial manuscript. NPTN and TVV coordinated the study design and data collection. NPTN, TVV, RC, DDL, TDT and JNSF critically revised the draft manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: R Colebunders received support from VLIRUOS (Flemish Interuniversity Council for University Development Cooperation).

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and was officially permitted by Health Department of Da Nang city and previously approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Hue University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vietnam (No. H202/041).

Informed Consent Statement: Anonymity and informed consent were ensured via online registration of the survey.

Data Availability Statement: All responses were anonymous and securely stored in a password-protected computer in Institute for Community Health Research, Hue University of Medicine and Pharmacy.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to the respondents for their participation, and we thank all the HCWs of Da Nang city for the amassing work they have done during the COVID-19 outbreak in Da Nang. The authors would also like to thank all institutions and stakeholders across Da Nang city for supporting us to collect data via questionnaires. Finally, we would also like to acknowledge Dr. Ngo Thi Kim Yen, Director of Da Nang city health department and her staff is Ms. Tran Thi Hoai Vy for their wonderful support for conducting this study in the difficult time of COVID-19 pandemic occurred. Our sincerely thank
also goes to Hue University for the partial support under the core research program, grant no. NCM.DHH.2018.12.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Salari, N., A. Hosseinian-Far, R. Jalali, et al. "Prevalence of Stress, Anxiety, Depression among the General Population During the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Global Health* 16, no. 1 (2020): 57.

2. WHO. "Who Health Emergency Dashboard." https://extranet.who.int/publicemergency (accessed 19 March).

3. WHO. "Situation Reports in Vietnam 10." https://www.who.int/vietnam/emergencies/coronavirus-disease-(covid-19)-in-viet-nam/covid-19-situation-reports-in-viet-nam (accessed 19 March).

4. Vietnam ministry of health. "Medical Isolation for the Entire Da Nang Hospital from Now to August 9" https://ncov.moh.gov.vn/-/cach-ly-y-te-toan-bo-benh-vien-a-nang-tu-nay-en-9-8 (accessed 12 April).

5. Benfante, Agata, Marialaura Di Tella, Annunziata Romeo, et al. "Traumatic Stress in Healthcare Workers During Covid-19 Pandemic: A Review of the Immediate Impact." *Frontiers in Psychology* 11, no. 2816 (2020).

6. Bohlken, J., F. Schömig, M. R. Lemke, et al. "[Covid-19 Pandemic: Stress Experience of Healthcare Workers - a Short Current Review]." *Psychiatr Prax* 47, no. 4 (2020): 190-97.

7. Elbay, R. Y., A. Kurtulmuş, S. Arpacıoğlu, et al. "Depression, Anxiety, Stress Levels of Physicians and Associated Factors in Covid-19 Pandemics." *Psychiatry Res* 290 (2020): 113130.

8. Bandyopadhyay, Soham, Ronnie E. Baticulon, Murtaza Kadhum, et al. "Infection and Mortality of Healthcare Workers Worldwide from Covid-19: A Systematic Review." *BMJ Global Health* 5, no. 12 (2020): e003097.

9. Guardian, The. "Our Key Findings About Us Healthcare Worker Deaths in the Pandemic's First Year." https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/ng-interactive/2020/dec/22/lost-on-the-frontline-our-findings-to-date (accessed Mar 19).

10. Lovibond, S.H., & Lovibond, P.F. "Manual for the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (2nd. Ed)." *Sydney, Australia: Psychology Foundation* (1995).

11. Nguyen, Phuong Thi Lan, Tien Bao Le Nguyen, Anh Gia Pham, et al. "Psychological Stress Risk Factors, Concerns and Mental Health Support among Health Care Workers in Vietnam During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Outbreak." *Frontiers in Public Health* 9, no. 232 (2021).

12. Chew, Nicholas W. S., Jinghao Nicholas Ngiam, Benjamin Yong-Qiang Tan, et al. "Asian-Pacific Perspective on the Psychological Well-Being of Healthcare Workers During the Evolution of the Covid-19 Pandemic." *BJPsych open* 6, no. 6 (2020): e116-e16.
13. Yan, H., Y. Ding, and W. Guo. "Mental Health of Medical Staff During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Psychosom Med* 83, no. 4 (2021): 387-96.

14. Alshekaili, Muna, Walid Hassan, Nazik Al Said, et al. "Factors Associated with Mental Health Outcomes across Healthcare Settings in Oman During Covid-19: Frontline Versus Non-Frontline Healthcare Workers." *BMJ Open* 10, no. 10 (2020): e042030.

15. Mrklas, K., R. Shalaby, M. Hrabok, et al. "Prevalence of Perceived Stress, Anxiety, Depression, and Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms in Health Care Workers and Other Workers in Alberta During the Covid-19 Pandemic: Cross-Sectional Survey." *JMIR Ment Health* 7, no. 9 (2020): e22408.

16. Lai, Jianbo, Simeng Ma, Ying Wang, et al. "Factors Associated with Mental Health Outcomes among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019." *JAMA Network Open* 3, no. 3 (2020): e203976-e76.

17. Sandesh, Ram, Wajeeha Shahid, Kapeel Dev, et al. "Impact of Covid-19 on the Mental Health of Healthcare Professionals in Pakistan." *Cureus* 12, no. 7 (2020): e8974-e74.

18. Si, Ming-Yu, Xiao-You Su, Yu Jiang, et al. "Psychological Impact of Covid-19 on Medical Care Workers in China." *Infectious Diseases of Poverty* 9, no. 1 (2020): 113.

19. Talaee, N., M. Varahram, H. Jamaati, et al. "Stress and Burnout in Health Care Workers During Covid-19 Pandemic: Validation of a Questionnaire." *Z Gesundh Wiss* (2020): 1-6.

20. Wang, H., Y. Liu, K. Hu, et al. "Healthcare Workers' Stress When Caring for Covid-19 Patients: An Altruistic Perspective." *Nurs Ethics* 27, no. 7 (2020): 1490-500.

21. Zheng, Ronghao, Yuren Zhou, Ming Qiu, et al. "Prevalence and Associated Factors of Depression, Anxiety, and Stress among Hubei Pediatric Nurses During Covid-19 Pandemic." *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 104 (2021): 152217.

22. Hendy, Abdelaziz, Ahmed Abozeid, Ghean Sallam, et al. "Predictive Factors Affecting Stress among Nurses Providing Care at Covid-19 Isolation Hospitals at Egypt." *Nursing Open* 8, no. 1 (2021): 498-505.

23. Munawar, K., and F. R. Choudhry. "Exploring Stress Coping Strategies of Frontline Emergency Health Workers Dealing Covid-19 in Pakistan: A Qualitative Inquiry." *Am J Infect Control* (2020).

24. Holton, S., K. Wynter, M. Trueman, et al. "Psychological Well-Being of Australian Hospital Clinical Staff During the Covid-19 Pandemic." *Aust Health Rev* (2020).

25. Sanghera, Jaspinder, Nikhil Pattani, Yousuf Hashmi, et al. "The Impact of Sars-Cov-2 on the Mental Health of Healthcare Workers in a Hospital Setting—a Systematic Review." *Journal of Occupational Health* 62, no. 1 (2020): e12175.

26. Lu, W., H. Wang, Y. Lin, et al. "Psychological Status of Medical Workforce During the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Study." *Psychiatry Res* 288 (2020): 112936.