T

echnological advances have led to innovative insulin delivery systems for patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. In particular, the combination of miniature engineering and software algorithms contained in continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) system pumps provide the user and the healthcare practitioner with an opportunity to review and adjust blood glucose (BG) levels according to system feedback, and to modify or programme their regimen according to their needs. While CSII pumps record a number of data parameters such as BG level, carbohydrate intake, activity and insulin delivered, these data are generally ‘locked in’ and can only be accessed by uploading to a cloud-based system, thus information is not contemporaneous. The Cellnovo Diabetes Management System (Cellnovo, Bridgend, UK) allows data to be transmitted securely and wirelessly in real time to a secure server, which is then retrieved by an online platform, the Cellnovo Online platform, enabling continuous access by the user and by clinicians. In this article, the authors describe a retrospective review of the patient data automatically uploaded to the Cellnovo Online platform. Baseline clinical and demographic characteristics collected at the start of pump therapy are shown for all patients, and BG data from a sub-cohort of patients who have been using the system for at least 6 months and who take and record an average of three BG level tests per day are presented to demonstrate glycaemic data over time.
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An effective basal-bolus regimen is the gold standard in type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) management as it most closely resembles physiological beta-cell pancreatic insulin secretion. Multiple daily injections (MDI) are commonly used to deliver insulin; however, where these fail to manage glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) within defined parameters, continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII, also known as pump therapy) is the recommended alternative. Clinical evidence from several meta-analyses of randomised, controlled trials of glycaemic control with MDI compared with CSII have showed a significant improvement in mean HbA1c levels with insulin pump therapy. In addition, the improvement was greater for patients with higher initial HbA1c. Where meta-analysis studies have not demonstrated a reduction in hypoglycaemic events the reduction in the number of severe hypoglycaemic events demonstrated a benefit in the insulin pump therapy group compared with MDI.

The main advantage of CSII is the flexibility of the basal insulin response to glycaemic variability compared with a basal insulin injection. The basal rate delivered with the pump can be adapted to varying need during the day based on features of the pump such as a bolus calculator, activity tracking and food library. The threshold-suspend and continuous glucose monitoring features automatically stop the pump when pre-set parameters are reached, thereby lowering the risk of hypoglycaemia. Consequently, the glycaemic profile can be adapted to mitigate glycaemic outcomes such as nocturnal hypoglycaemia or the ‘dawn phenomenon’. Patch pumps have demonstrated the same glycaemic control as tethered pumps, coupled with an improvement in quality of life and patient satisfaction.

The Cellnovo Diabetes Management System (Cellnovo, Bridgend, UK) is the world’s first mobile diabetes management system, comprising a patch pump for accurate insulin delivery, an activity monitor, a mobile-enabled wireless touch-screen handset with integrated blood glucose
A Retrospective Review of the Clinical Characteristics and Blood Glucose Data from Cellnovo System Users

(Received 21 July 2018; Accepted 24 July 2018)

(EUROPEAN ENDOCRINOLOGY)

31

The system’s unique features and advantages have been outlined previously.12 Users of the Cellnovo System receive a personal login to the secure mobile diabetes management portal where they can access automatically collected data in order to review their treatment regimen and determine if adjustments are required. The patient’s healthcare professional (HCP) can access data pertaining to their latest recorded blood sugar, average blood sugar, insulin dosing, hypoglycaemia frequency and blood testing routine, facilitating early intervention where necessary. Working in conjunction with the patient, data can be also analysed to identify trends, patterns and glycaemic status; this interactivity using real-time data can facilitate greater patient self-management and allow clinic visits to be focused on current and accurate information.12

Retrospective data study

Having real-time data from patients using the Cellnovo pump during their everyday life presents an opportunity to gain a deep understanding of their clinical status on a daily basis and over time. The primary aims of this retrospective data study therefore were:

• To review and present clinical and demographic characteristics of the entire cohort enrolled in the Cellnovo database and establish a profile of patients at their first month of pump use. This will enable clinicians to compare these characteristics with those in their own daily clinical practice at pump initiation.

• To analyse a number of glycaemic parameters from a sub-cohort of pump users.

Methods

Data collection

Prior to starting on the Cellnovo pump, patients provided written informed consent to the anonymous collection, storage and use of their demographic and clinical data in the Cellnovo Diabetes Management System. This baseline data are recorded when the patient starts pump therapy; thereafter, BG measurements and hourly basal and bolus doses delivered are recorded.

Full cohort

Data were retrieved from January 2013 to September 2017; the baseline demographic and clinical data of the 599 patients (worldwide) reviewed included:

Table 1: Baseline clinical characteristics of the full cohort (mean ± standard deviation)

|                      | Children* | Adolescents* | Adults* | All     |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|
| Number (% of total)  | 58 (9.3)  | 46 (7.5)     | 495 (82.6) | 599 (100%) |
| Mean age at pump start, years (SD) | 8 (2.5) | 14.5 (1.8) | 39.1 (12.1) | 34.2 (15.4) |
| Female (%)           | 43%       | 43%         | 59%     | 56%     |
| Mean duration of diabetes, years (min, max), (data recorded n=159) | 3.4 (0.4, 6.8) | 3.7 (0.3, 12.6) | 17 (0.1, 47) | 15.3 (0.1, 47) |
| Mean total daily dose of insulin at pump start, IU/day (SD) | 28.4 (12.5) | 48.2 (17.5) | 38.3 (13.8) | 38.1 (14.6) |
| % basal (SD)         | 36 (12)   | 38 (8)       | 45 (13)  | 44 (13)  |
| % bolus              | 64        | 62           | 55      | 56      |
| HbA1c % (SD), data recorded n=584 | 7.72 (1.29) | 8.11 (1.41) | 7.81 (1.27) | 7.82 (1.28) |
| Average number of BG measurements per day in first month [min-max] | 4.44 [0.03,11.33] | 3.62 [0.03,8.83] | 3.85 [0.13,17.] | 3.89 [0,13.17] |

*Children 0–12 years; adolescents 12–18 years; adults >18 years.
BG = blood glucose; HbA1c = glycated haemoglobin; max = maximum; min = minimum; SD = standard deviation.

Sub-cohort

From this data set, we retrieved a smaller cohort of 166 patients who had used the pump for at least 6 months and had recorded their BG at least three times a day on average; this was to ensure a sufficient duration of pump use and enough glycaemic data to undertake analysis. In this sub-cohort, we analysed:

• mean HbA1c;

• mean BG and standard deviation (SD) to express variability;

• number of hypoglycaemic events per week, comparing first and last analysed month of data; and

• the median follow-up time for this cohort of 1 year (range 6–41 months).

Statistical analysis

Data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The paired Student’s t-test and Wilcoxon rank-sum test were used as they were appropriate to identify changes in mean and SD of BG measurements. A p value of less than 0.05 was taken to indicate significance.

Results

Full cohort

Data were retrieved from January 2013 to September 2017. Table 1 shows the baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the full cohort of patients (n=599), 495 (82.6%) of whom were adults. The mean age at start of pump therapy was 8 years in children, 14.5 years in adolescents and 39.1 years in adults.

Insulin total daily dose

From baseline to month 1, mean TDD of insulin in the first month was 38.1 ± 14.6 IU/day; the TDD was lowest in children (28.4 ± 12.5 IU/day), and highest in adolescents (48.2 ± 17.5 IU/day). The bolus doses as a fraction of the TDD were highest in children (64%) and lowest in adults (55%).
**Table 2: Distribution of HbA1c in full cohort at pump initiation**

| Age group (n) | Percent of patients with HbA1c <7% | Percent of patients with HbA1c 7–8% | Percent of patients with HbA1c 8–9% | Percent of patients with HbA1c >9% |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Children (57) | 18                                | 49                                 | 23                                 | 10                                |
| Adolescents (44) | 14                                | 32                                 | 29                                 | 25                                |
| Adults (483)  | 19                                | 41                                 | 25                                 | 15                                |

HbA1c = glycated haemoglobin.

**Table 3: Distribution of HbA1c in sub-cohort at pump initiation**

| Age group* (n) | Percent of patients with HbA1c <7% | Percent of patients with HbA1c 7–8% | Percent of patients with HbA1c 8–9% | Percent of patients with HbA1c >9% |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Children (15)  | 7                                 | 60                                 | 20                                 | 13                                |
| Adolescents (6) | 17                                | 66                                 | 17                                 | 0                                 |
| Adults (130)   | 15                                | 48                                 | 25                                 | 12                                |

*pSome data values missing from system. HbA1c = glycated haemoglobin.

**Blood glucose measurements**

From baseline to month 1, mean number of BG measurements in children, adolescents and adults was 4.40, 3.62 and 3.85 per day respectively in the first month.

**HbA1c level at baseline**

The mean HbA1c at baseline (Table 1) was 7.72% in children, 8.11% in adolescents and 7.81% in adults. Table 2 shows HbA1c readings by age group. Of the 599 patients, data were available for 584 patients (57 children, 44 adolescents and 483 adults). The percentage of patients in the children and adult age groups with an HbA1c below 7% was largely similar (18% and 19%, respectively), whereas just over half (54%) of the adolescents had an HbA1c above 8% at pump initiation.

**Sub-cohort**

In total, 166 patients were included in the sub-cohort (16 children, 7 adolescents and 143 adults). Due to small numbers, neither HbA1c (children and adolescent group) nor mean BG and SD (adolescent group) were analysed.

**HbA1c level**

Table 3 shows baseline HbA1c values as percentages (range 7–9%). Of the 166 patients in the sub-cohort, HbA1c data were recorded for 151 patients (15 children, 6 adolescents and 130 adults). Overall, 34 patients (20.5%) had two HbA1c measurements more than 90 days apart (mean follow-up time 10 months, range 3.5–25.7 months); of these, 30 were adults. In this adult group there was a significant reduction in HbA1c of 0.54% (p=0.002; Table 4).

**Figure 1** shows the improvement in HbA1c values as a function of the initial value measured at baseline. A correlation analysis was carried out between initial HbA1c and improvement. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was calculated to be r=0.58, p=0.0007 (28 degrees of freedom), confirming an increased improvement in HbA1c levels with higher HbA1c levels at pump initiation.

**Hypoglycaemic events**

Table 4 shows the changes in HbA1c, number of hypoglycaemic events per week and mean BG from baseline to last month of data capture for the sub-cohort. In the children’s group (n=16), there is some decrease in the number of hypoglycaemic events per week between the first and last month of data collection: 3.7 hypoglycaemic events on average per week in the first month of use, and 3.0 in the last month, with 11 (68.75%) children having fewer hypoglycaemia events. This decrease is not statistically significant. In the adolescent group, hypoglycaemic events decreased almost significantly from 3.8 to 2.3 a week (p=0.05). In adults (n=143), there was a significant decrease in the number of hypoglycaemic events, by one event less per week (p<0.00001). In the first month of pump use there were on average 3.4 hypoglycaemic events, whereas by the last month this had fallen to 2.4 per week, with 93 (65.03%) having fewer events.

**Mean blood glucose level**

In the adult group, there was a small but significant increase in mean BG level (p=0.01). Of 143 adults, 21 (14.6%) had a significant improvement of between 13.4 mg/dl and 158.1 mg/dl. Furthermore, 42 (29.6%) had a significant increase in the BG levels of between 7.9 mg/dl and 83.3 mg/dl.

**Blood glucose variability (measured by standard deviation)**

There was no significant change in variability between the first and last month in the children’s group. Five (31%) had a decrease of variability between 1.7 mg/dl and 37.5 mg/dl, while 11 (69%) had an increase of variability between 3.7 mg/dl and 21.1 mg/dl. In the adult group, there was a small, significant increase in BG variability (p=0.007) of 3.45 ± 15.2 mg/dl. Overall, 53 (37%) had a decrease of variability between 0.4 mg/dl and 51.6 mg/dl, whereas 90 (63%) had an increase of variability between 0.3 mg/dl and 53.6 mg/dl.

**Discussion**

The Cellnovo Online platform is not a registry, but the data available provide clinical and lifestyle information about the patient’s daily life. This review describes the profile of patients in multiple sites who are registered on the Cellnovo Diabetes Management System.

Results from the median follow-up of 1 year in the sub-cohort show a significant improvement in HbA1c and a downward trend in children, and a decrease in hypoglycaemia frequency of approximately one event per week in adolescents and adults. Mean BG levels have remained the same for children and adolescents with a very slight increase on average for adults. While BG variability has not decreased, this analysis has to be interpreted carefully as it is real-life retrospective data. BG measurements can be biased; for example, if a patient is feeling unwell, they may measure BG more frequently, whereas when they feel well, they may reduce the number of measurements they undertake. Encouragingly, however, mean BG improved by 14.6% of adult pump users.

Retrospective results from two studies of the Omnipod® System (Insulet Corporation, Billerica, MA, US) found both similarities and differences when compared with the data reported here. For example, in our cohort 7.46% of patients were aged under 18 years at pump initiation, whereas the Austrian and German Diabetes-patient-documentation (DPV) registry showed a larger proportion of children (74% aged <20 years). An American study showed just over one-third of patients were aged under 18 years, with a mean age of 29 years. The differences between these studies and ours may be explained by differences in criteria for pump prescription between countries and the growing number of children using pump therapy, particularly in the US.

The mean duration of diabetes in the adult group in the present study was the same as the American study, with slight differences among...
adolescents and children. In the DPV registry, 57% of patients had diabetes for less than 5 years due to the higher proportion of children and adolescents using the pump.

In this review, the TDD of insulin at baseline for adults was low (38 IU/day), almost the same as that of the DPV registry (20–30 age group: 39 IU/day; >40 years: 35 IU/day), whereas the American study showed a greater TDD of insulin among adults, at 59 IU/day (adolescents: 52 IU/day; children <13 years: 25 IU/day).

When comparing the HbA1c trends in our study, similar results to those of Layne et al. are seen; a decrease in hypoglycaemia frequency by one event per week 3 months after initiation of pump therapy. Other studies have used retrospective data to evaluate the use of pump therapy on clinical parameters such as reduction in HbA1c and on the frequency of hypoglycaemic episodes. A recent study compared the efficacy of different models of pumps among different manufacturers (Medtronic, Minimed Inc. [Northridge, CA, US], Roche Diabetes Care [Burgdorf, Switzerland], Animas Corporation [West Chester, PA, US], Omnipod [Insulet Corporation, Billerica, MA, US]) with respect to HbA1c and found that while there was no significant difference between pumps at 1 year after pump start, the overall decrease in HbA1c was no more than our result of 0.5% (reduction from 8.7 to 8.2%). Johnson et al. reviewed data pertaining to 345 children (aged <18 years) on the Western EUROPEAN ENDOCRINOLOGY

| Table 4: Clinical parameters from baseline to final month of data analysis in the sub-cohort (mean ± standard deviation) |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | Children (n=16)  | Adolescents (n=7) | Adults (n=143*) |
|                  | Baseline to and  | Last month | p value | Baseline to and | Last month | p value | Baseline to and | Last month | p value |
|                  | of first month  |          |         | of first month  |          |         | of first month  |          |         |
| HbA1c (%) (adults n=30) | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Number hypoglycaemic events per week | 3.7 ± 1.9 | 3.0 ± 2.2 | 0.27 | 3.8 ± 2.6 | 2.3 ± 2.0 | 0.05 |
| BG (mg/dl)         | 178.0 ± 23.6 | 182.6 ± 32.7 | 0.55 | 159.7 ± 32.3 | 166.0 ± 36.3 | 0.46 |
| Standard deviation of BG (mg/dl) | 83.0 ± 19.0 | 86.0 ± 13.6 | 0.4 | 75.6 ± 8.0 | 75.0 ± 24.0 | 0.9 |

*Some data values missing from system. BG = blood glucose; HbA1c = glycated haemoglobin; NA = not applicable.

of events dropped by 50% to that experienced in the year before pump therapy (14.7 to 7.2 events per 100 patient-years; p<0.001).

Limitations
It is acknowledged that the primary aim of the database is to help patients and HCPs manage insulin dose adaptation in real-time with respect to different daily life situations, rather than to study the glycaemic effect of the system. While real-time data are recorded automatically by the system, BG measurements are entered by the patient, so may be biased towards those times when the patient feels unwell. This may explain the lack of improvement in either mean BG or BG variability. In addition, as this is a retrospective review of data, it is not possible to explore anomalies in data, or to discern the confounding variables.

Conclusion
The results from real-life data showed a significant and meaningful improvement in HbA1c – a decrease of 0.54% – and hypoglycaemia frequency at 1 year follow-up. Mean BG levels remained the same for children and adolescents with a very slight increase on average for adults, and while BG variability has not decreased, this is real-life retrospective data.

Further studies are needed to evaluate all the benefits of the system. To this end, for clinical and regulatory purposes, we plan to undertake prospective studies to assess glycaemic control and outcomes, as well as evaluating quality of life and patient feedback in clinical situations with the involvement of HCPs.
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