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1. Introduction

An essential part in understanding QCD is to study the structure of hadrons, e.g. the nucleon. Therefore, in the last decades a lot of effort was spent into obtaining the parton distribution function (PDF) of the nucleon. In particular, \( q(x) \) is the PDF that gives the probability of finding a quark \( q \) carrying a momentum fraction \( x \) of the parent hadron.

On the experimental side, deep inelastic scattering is an important tool to access the structure of the nucleon. From the scattering cross section of these experiments structure functions of the nucleon can be extracted. Perturbative QCD can then be used to relate the experimental results obtained from different scales. However, perturbation theory does not give us any information about the quark and gluon distributions themselves. For that one needs to assume input distributions, which are then fitted to the available data set. Different groups make different assumptions for the form of these input distribution and which data sets to include in the fit. This yields the PDFs albeit with some model dependence.

Therefore, a method to compute the quark distribution from first principles is highly desirable. On the lattice, however, it was up to now only possible to compute low moments of the PDFs (cf. e.g. [1]).

Recently, a method to compute these distributions on a Euclidean lattice has been proposed in [2], and has first been applied in [3]. We present an exploratory study of this method using a setup of maximally twisted mass fermions with a focus on different methods to calculate the PDFs and systematic errors appearing in the computations.

2. Euclidean formulation of the light cone operator

The quark distribution inside a nucleon is usually defined via matrix elements of the light cone operator,

\[
q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^z\xi^-} \langle N|\bar{\psi}(\xi^-)\Gamma L(\xi^-,0)\psi(0)|N\rangle,
\]

where \( \xi^- = x^0 - 2x^3 \sqrt{t} \) and \( L(\xi^-,0) \) is the Wilson line from 0 to \( \xi^- \). Because deep inelastic scattering is light cone dominated this expression has to be evaluated at \( \xi^2 \sim 0 \). On a Euclidean lattice we would thus have to select \( \xi^2 = x^2 + t^2 \sim 0 \), which is very hard to calculate due to a non-zero lattice spacing.

A new idea is to compute a quasi distribution \( \tilde{q} \) which is purely spatial and uses nucleons with finite momentum; hence, it can be computed on the lattice. The quasi distribution is given by

\[
\tilde{q}(x, \mu^2, p^z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Delta z \ e^{-ip^z\Delta z\xi^-} \langle N(p^z)|\bar{\psi}(\Delta z)\gamma^z L(\Delta z,0)\psi(0)|N(p^z)\rangle \mu^2.
\]

Here \( \Delta z \) is a distance in any spatial direction \( z \) and \( p^z \) a momentum boost in this direction. Notice that when \( p^z \to \infty \) we recover the usual definition of the quark distributions in the infinite momentum frame, which are equivalent to the light cone distributions of Eq. (2.1). However, on the lattice one is limited to finite values of \( p^z \). Thus, the proposal is to calculate \( \tilde{q} \) at finite \( p^z \) and relate it to
Figure 1: Schematic picture of a possible Wick contraction of the quark fields in the three-point function.
Finally we can extract the matrix element via a ratio of a three- and a two-point function

\[
C_{2pt}(t, 0; \vec{p}) = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} N_{\alpha}(\vec{p}, t) \bar{N}_{\beta}(0)
\]

\[
\frac{C_{3pt}(t, \tau, 0; \vec{p})}{C_{2pt}(t, 0; \vec{p})} \bigg|_{0 < \tau < t} = \frac{-ip^\tau}{E} h(p^\tau, \Delta z),
\]

where \(E = \sqrt{(p^\tau)^2 + m_N^2}\) is the total energy of the nucleon. As the flavor structure of the operator we will use \(u - d\) in order to have an isovector operator and avoid disconnected diagrams.

An important conceptual problem one encounters when trying to compute the matrix element is the calculation of the propagator connecting the sink with the operator insertion (marked red in Fig. 1). Due to momentum projection there is a spatial sum on both ends of the propagator. For this purpose an all-to-all propagator is needed. However, the computation using point sources would take \(V = L^3 \times T\) sets of inversion, which is too much computational effort.

Here we test two different approaches to compute the all-to-all propagator: the sequential method, where we use standard methods to compute the two quark propagators that do not have the operator insertion and contract the result to a sequential source. This can again be inverted and then contracted with the operator to obtain the final result. However, in order to use this method the sink has to be fixed, which requires a new inversion for each momentum. Thus, six sets of inversions have to be computed for each flavor, accounting for positive and negative momenta in all three spatial directions. For higher momenta this would have to be repeated.

The second method is the stochastic method, where we use sources that contain \(Z^4\) noise on one single time-slice (cf. e.g. [5]). In addition we use diluted sources, which means that the noise is only on one single spin and color slice. After inverting these sources, the obtained sink can be used together with the source to estimate the all-to-all propagator. As a drawback we add stochastic noise to the result, however, for this method one set of inversions for each flavor is sufficient to account for all momenta.

For first initial tests we use a \(16^3 \times 32\) twisted mass ensemble with \(\beta = 3.9\) [6] generated by the tmLQCD software package [7]. We choose a twisted mass parameter of \(\mu = 0.004\), which corresponds to a pion mass of \(m_{PS} \approx 340\) MeV. For the matrix element the source-sink separation is \(6a\) and we only compute momentum \(p^\tau = 1.2\). We show only the real part of the matrix elements. The imaginary part has a non-vanishing contribution, however, it is relatively small and can at the moment be neglected. Fig. 2 shows the comparison between the sequential and the stochastic method. For the stochastic method we show a different number of noise vectors. Note that six noise vectors would compare to the computational cost of the sequential method.

Both methods yield results that are compatible within errors. One cannot directly compare the errors of both methods. However, taking into account the different costs of inversions, both methods are approximately equal.

In [8] the authors applied HYP smearing [8] to the gauge links. This is known to bring the necessary renormalization factors closer to the corresponding tree level value. To study the influence of HYP smearing we apply one and two steps of smearing and show the results in Fig. 3.

The results indicate that gauge link smearing increases the value of the matrix elements, especially for larger \(\Delta z\), however, it does not decrease the noise of the result, as it was observed e.g. for the gluon moment [9]. Thus, for the following computations we will not use gauge link smearing and try to compute the renormalization factor for each \(\Delta z\) separately.
Figure 2: Comparison of the sequential method with the stochastic method (with several numbers of noise vectors). The computational cost of the sequential method equals six noise vectors of the stochastic method. We show the real part of the matrix element.

Figure 3: Different number of gauge link smearing steps for the real part of the matrix element.
4. Results from $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ ensemble

After these initial tests we continued with a larger ETMC production ensemble [10]. We decided to use the stochastic method because, although both methods yield equal results, the stochastic method is more flexible concerning the study of larger momenta. The matrix elements are computed on a $32^3 \times 64$ lattice with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ flavors of maximally twisted mass fermions. This ensemble has $\beta = 1.95$, which corresponds to a lattice spacing of $a \approx 0.078$ fm and the twisted mass parameter $\mu = 0.0055$, which is a pion mass of $m_{PS} \approx 373$ MeV. All the results presented are computed with a source-sink separation of $10a$. With our current statistics of $N_{\text{conf}} = 1000$ we are able to extract the matrix element for the first two momenta. We display the result in Fig. 4. Note that the value for $\Delta z = 0$, which can be identified with the local vector current at $Q^2 = 0$, has to be renormalized with $Z_V$, which is for this ensemble $Z_V = 0.627(4)$ [11]. After renormalization the condition $F_{1u-d}^{u-d}(Q^2 = 0) = 1$ (see e.g. [12]) is fulfilled within errors.

5. Conclusion and outlook

We have investigated a new method for the computation of quasi parton distributions and have shown that using a stochastic estimator for the all-to-all propagator is a well-suited method for the computation of the necessary matrix elements. Smearing is shown not to improve the statistical uncertainty and was thus dropped.

Given the first results obtained using 1000 measurements, we are currently increasing statistics, which can reach up to 30 000 measurements.
At present, we are also working on the next steps of the project. This includes the implementation of the matching factors $Z$ of Eq. (2.3). The factors were already computed to one loop in [4], however the implementation and numerical solution of the equation are not easily done. In addition we are working on implementing the finite nucleon mass correction [13].

In parallel, we are continuing to study systematic effects that might occur in the simulation, e.g. we study the influence of excited states on the matrix elements by varying the source-sink separation. We also plan to include more momenta, in particular when the mentioned larger statistics is available.

Another challenge is the renormalization of the quantities that are involved. One possibility is to compute separate renormalization factors for the matrix elements with different $\Delta z$. We are in the process of testing different ideas for this renormalization process, details of which will be presented elsewhere.

Finally, it would be attractive to use the new ETMC ensemble [14] to compute the quark distribution directly at the physical value of the pion mass.
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