THE CONTENT OF A GAUSSIAN POLYNOMIAL IS INVERTIBLE
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Abstract. Let $R$ be an integral domain and let $f(X)$ be a nonzero polynomial in $R[X]$. The content of $f$ is the ideal $c(f)$ generated by the coefficients of $f$. The polynomial $f(X)$ is called Gaussian if $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$ for all $g(X) \in R[X]$. It is well known that if $c(f)$ is an invertible ideal, then $f$ is Gaussian. In this note we prove the converse.

Let $R$ be a ring, that is, a commutative ring with unity. Let $A$ be a ring extension of $R$, and let $f(X) \in A[X]$ be a polynomial:

$$f(X) = a_nX^n + \ldots + a_1X + a_0.$$

The content ideal of $f$, designated by $c(f) = c_R(f)$, is the $R$-submodule of $A$ generated by the coefficients of $f$ in $A$. It is easy to see that if $f, g \in R[X]$ (and $A = R$), then $c(fg) \subseteq c(f)c(g)$. The inclusion in this statement is generally proper. The polynomial $f(X) \in R[X]$ is said to be Gaussian if $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$ holds for all $g(X) \in R[X]$. It is well known that if $c(f)$ is an invertible ideal of $R$, then $f$ is Gaussian. More generally, if $R$ is any ring and $c(f)$ is locally principal, then $f$ is Gaussian (see, e.g., [1, Theorem 1.1]). Recall that a nonzero ideal $I$ of an integral domain $R$ is invertible iff it is locally principal, that is, iff $IR_M$ is a principal ideal for each maximal ideal $M$ of $R$.

For general background see [3].

It has been conjectured that the converse is true if $R$ is an integral domain (see [1, 4]), that is, a Gaussian polynomial over an integral domain has an invertible content. This question is included in the Ph.D. thesis of Kaplansky’s student H. T. Tang. Significant progress has been made on this conjecture in two recent papers [4, 5]: in [4], Glaz and Vasconcelos prove the conjecture for $R$ integrally closed with some additional assumptions (including the Noetherian case). The general Noetherian case is settled in [5]. As explained below, the conjecture
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has a local character; thus Heinzer and Huneke prove the conjecture for any locally Noetherian integral domain (this result is obtained as a particular case of a more general theorem).

For connections with the Dedekind-Mertens Lemma see [6]. Note that the Dedekind-Mertens Lemma implies that \( \sqrt{\mathfrak{c}(fg)} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{c}(f)\mathfrak{c}(g)} \) for any polynomials \( f(X), g(X) \) over an arbitrary ring \( R \).

The purpose of this note is to prove the conjecture for all integral domains. The Gaussian property of a polynomial \( f(X) \in R[X] \) is local, that is, \( f \) is Gaussian iff the image of \( f \) in \( R_M[X] \) is Gaussian for each maximal ideal \( M \) of \( R \). Thus to prove the conjecture we may assume that \( R \) is quasilocal (cf. [4] and [5]). Moreover, this allows us to generalize the conjecture to the effect that if \( R \) is locally an integral domain (that is, \( R_M \) is a domain for each maximal ideal \( M \)), then a nonzero polynomial in \( R[X] \) is Gaussian iff its content is locally principal (see Theorem 4 below).

Our approach is inspired by [4]. For a finitely generated ideal \( I \) of \( R \), let \( \nu(I) \) be the minimal number of generators of \( I \). To prove that \( \mathfrak{c}(f) \) is invertible we first show that \( \nu((\mathfrak{c}f)^n) \) is bounded (Lemma 2 below), and conclude that \( \mathfrak{c}_{R'}(f) = \mathfrak{c}_R R' \) is invertible in \( R' \), the integral closure of \( R \) (Lemma 3). To descend from \( R' \) to \( R \) we simply “take conjugates” (see the proof of Theorem 4).

To bound the number of generators of \( (\mathfrak{c}(f))^n \) we need the following proposition (actually, we use just the easier direction \( \Leftarrow \Rightarrow \)).

**Proposition 1.** Let \( f(X) \) be a polynomial in \( R[X] \) and let \( n \geq 1 \). Then \( f(X) \) is Gaussian \( \iff \) \( f(X^n) \) is Gaussian.

**Proof.** Let \( g \) by any polynomial in \( R[X] \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Write } & \quad g(X) = h_0(X^n) + Xh_1(X^n) + \cdots + X^{n-1}h_{n-1}(X^n), \\
& \text{where } h_0(X), \ldots, h_{n-1}(X) \text{ are polynomials in } R[X]. \\
& \text{Since } f \text{ is Gaussian, we obtain} \\
& \mathfrak{c}(f(X^n)) \mathfrak{c}(g(X)) = \mathfrak{c}(f(X^n)) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{c}(h_i(X^n)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{c}(f(X^n)) \mathfrak{c}(h_i(X^n)) \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{c}(f(X)) \mathfrak{c}(h_i(X)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{c}(f(X)h_i(X)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{c}(f(X^n)X^ih_i(X^n)) \\
& = \mathfrak{c} \left( f(X^n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X^ih_i(X^n) \right) = \mathfrak{c}(f(X^n)g(X)).
\end{align*}
\]
Hence \( f(X^n) \) is Gaussian.

\[ \nu(I^n) \leq \deg(f) + 1 \]

for sufficiently large \( n \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** By [2, Corollary 2] it is enough to show that \( \nu(I^{2m}) \leq \deg(f) + 1 \) for all \( m \geq 0 \). Let

\[ f(X) = g_0(X^2) + Xg_1(X^2), \]

where \( g_0(X) \) and \( g_1(X) \) are polynomials in \( R[X] \). Since \( \mathfrak{c}(f(-X)) = \mathfrak{c}(f(X)) \) and since \( f(X) \) is Gaussian, we obtain

\[ I^2 = (\mathfrak{c}(f))^2 = \mathfrak{c}(f(X)) \mathfrak{c}(f(-X)) = \mathfrak{c}(f(X)f(-X)) = \mathfrak{c}(g_0(X^2)^2 - X^2g_1(X^2)^2) = \mathfrak{c}(g_0(X)^2 - Xg_1(X)^2)). \]

Since \( \deg((g_0(X)^2 - Xg_1(X)^2)) = \deg(f) \), we infer that

\[ \nu(I^2) \leq \deg f + 1. \]

Moreover, by Proposition 11 the polynomial \( g_0(X)^2 - Xg_1(X)^2 \) is Gaussian since \( (g_0(X^2))^2 - X^2g_1(X^2) \) is a product of two Gaussian polynomials. Thus we may proceed by induction on \( m \) to obtain \( \nu(I^{2m}) \leq \deg f + 1 \) for all \( m \geq 0 \). This concludes the proof of the lemma. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.** Let \( R \) be a quasilocal integral domain, and let \( f(X) \) be a Gaussian polynomial in \( R[X] \). Then \( \mathfrak{c}_{R'}(f) = R' \mathfrak{c}_R(f) \) is invertible in \( R' \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 2 \( \nu(\mathfrak{c}_{R'}(f^n)) \) is bounded. Hence, by [2, Corollary 1], the ideal \( \mathfrak{c}_{R'}(f) \) is prestable, and by [2, Lemma F], it is an invertible ideal of \( R' \) (see also [1, Theorem 3.1]). \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.** Let \( R \) be a ring which is locally a domain. Then a nonzero polynomial over \( R \) is Gaussian iff its content in \( R \) is locally principal.

**Proof.** We may assume that \( R \) is quasilocal. Let \( f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_iX^i \) be a nonzero Gaussian polynomial in \( R[X] \), and let \( I = \mathfrak{c}_R(f) \). By the previous lemma, \( IR' \) is an invertible ideal in \( R' \). Let \( 1 = \sum_{i=0}^n z_i a_i \), where \( z_i \in (R' : I) \) for all \( i \). Let \( g(X) = f(X) \sum_{i=0}^n z_{n-i}X^i = (\sum_{i=0}^n a_iX^i) (\sum_{i=0}^n z_iX^{n-i}) \). Thus \( g(X) \) is a polynomial in \( R'[X] \), the
coefficient of \( X^n \) in \( g(X) \) is 1, and \( f(X) \) divides \( g(X) \) in \( K[X] \), where 
\( K \) is the fraction field of \( R \):
\[
g(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \alpha_i X^i,
\]
where \( \alpha_n = 1 \). For each \( i \neq n \), there exists a monic polynomial \( h_i \) in 
\( R[X] \) such that \( h_i(\alpha_i) = 0 \); we may decompose all polynomials \( h_i(X) \)
into linear factors over some integral extension \( D \) of \( R \):
\[
h_i(X) = \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} (X - \beta_{ij}).
\]
Let \( \varphi(X) \) be the product of all possible polynomials 
\( \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \beta_{ij} X^i \), where 
\( 0 \leq j_i \leq m_i \) for \( i \neq n \), and \( j_n = 0, \beta_{n,0} = 1 \). The coefficients of the
polynomial \( \varphi(X) \) can be expressed as polynomials in the elements \( \beta_{ij} \)
that are symmetric in each sequence of indeterminates \( X_{i_1}, \ldots, X_{i_m} \)
for \( i \neq n \). Thus all the coefficients of \( \varphi(X) \) are in \( R \). Moreover, \( \varphi \)
is a product of polynomials in \( D[X] \) with unit content in \( D \). Since \( D \)
is integral over \( R \), the polynomial \( \varphi \) has unit content also in \( R \). We
have \( \varphi = f \psi \) for some polynomial \( \psi \) over \( K \). Since the polynomial \( f \)
is Gaussian over \( R \), we obtain \( R = c_R(\varphi) = c_R(f) c_R(\psi) \), thus \( c_R(f) \)
is an invertible ideal in \( R \).

Theorem 4 implies that the Gaussian property of a polynomial over
an integral domain depends just on its content. In the next corollary
we present further immediate consequences of Theorem 4.

**Corollary 5.** Let \( R \) be an integral domain. We have

1. If \( f \) and \( g \) are polynomials in \( R[X] \) with the same content, then 
   \( f \) is Gaussian iff \( g \) is Gaussian. In particular, a polynomial 
   obtained from a Gaussian polynomial over \( R \) by permuting its 
   coefficients is Gaussian.

2. A Gaussian polynomial over \( R \) is Gaussian over any ring extension of \( R \).

3. All polynomials over \( R \) are Gaussian iff \( R \) is a Prüfer domain 
   (this result was already obtained in a more general form in [1, 
   Theorem 1.3]).

**Example 6** (cf. Corollary 5(2)). We consider the ring \( R = k[s, t]/(s, t)^2 \),
where \( k \) is a field, and \( s \) and \( t \) are independent indeterminates over \( k \),
thus all polynomials over \( R \) are Gaussian (cf. [1,4]). However, if \( u \) and \( v \)
are indeterminates over \( R \), then the polynomial \( s+tX \) is not Gaussian
over the ring extension \( R[u, v] \). Indeed, \( sv \in c_{R[u, v]}(s + tX) c_{R[u, v]}(u + vX) \), but \( st \notin c_{R[u, v]}((s+tX)(u+vX)) \), that is, \( sv \notin (su, tv, sv+tu) \).
Example 7. A factor of a nonzero Gaussian polynomial over an integral domain is not necessarily Gaussian. Moreover, if $R$ is an integral domain, $f(X) \in R[X]$, and $f^2$ is Gaussian, then $f$ is not necessarily Gaussian.

Indeed, let $k$ be a field of characteristic 2, and let $R = k[s, t, \frac{s^2}{t^2}]$, where $s$ and $t$ are indeterminates over $k$. Let $f(X) = s + tX$. We have $f^2 = s^2 + t^2X^2$ is Gaussian since its content is generated by one element, namely, by $t^2$, but $f$ is not Gaussian since $st \in (c(f))^2 \setminus c(f^2)$. □

However, a factor of a nonzero Gaussian polynomial over an integrally closed domain is Gaussian (a domain $R$ is integrally closed iff the multiplicative set of the polynomials with invertible content, that is, the set of nonzero Gaussian polynomials, is saturated).

We conjecture that Theorem 4 can be extended to reduced rings, to the effect that a polynomial over a reduced ring is Gaussian iff its content is locally principal. However, if $R = k[s, t]/st$, where $k$ is a field, then the polynomial $f(X) = s + tX \in R[X]$ is not Gaussian, although $R$ is a principal ideal domain modulo each of its two nonzero minimal primes, namely, $(s)$ and $(t)$. To show that the polynomial $f(X)$ is not Gaussian, let $g(X) = \bar{t} + sX$, thus $fg = (s^2 + t^2)X$; we have $s^2 \in c(f)c(g)$, but $s^2 \notin c(fg)$ since $s^2 \notin (st, s^2 + t^2)k[s, t]$ (cf. [4]).

Finally, we conjecture that Theorem 4 can be generalized to any number of indeterminates over any reduced ring $R$; it is enough to consider the case of a finite number of indeterminates. By the above proof, the conjecture holds for an integral domain $R$ of finite characteristic; more generally, it is enough to assume that the residue fields $R/M$ for $M$ a maximal ideal in $R$, are of finite characteristic (see [4]).
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