Planar Thermal Hall Effect in Weyl Semimetals
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Weyl semimetals are intriguing topological states of matter that support various anomalous magneto-transport phenomena. One such phenomenon is a negative longitudinal (\(\nabla T \parallel B\)) magneto-thermal resistivity, which arises due to chiral magnetic effect (CME). In this paper we show that another fascinating effect induced by CME is the planar thermal Hall effect (PTH), i.e., appearance of an in-plane transverse temperature gradient when the current due to \(\nabla T\) and the magnetic field \(B\) are not aligned with each other. Using semiclassical Boltzmann transport formalism in the relaxation time approximation we compute both longitudinal magneto-thermal conductivity (LMTC) and planar thermal Hall conductivity (PTHC) for a time reversal symmetry breaking WSM.

We find that both LMTC and PTHC are quadratic in \(B\) in type-I WSM whereas each follows a linear-\(B\) dependence in type-II WSM in a configuration where \(\nabla T\) and \(B\) are applied along the tilt direction. In addition, we investigate the Wiedemann-Franz law for an inversion symmetry broken WSM (e.g., \(\text{WTe}_2\)) and find that this law is violated in these systems due to both chiral anomaly and CME.

I. INTRODUCTION

Dirac and Weyl semimetals (WSMs) have drawn tremendous attention of late due to their intriguing topological properties and anomalous response functions. In these systems, the celebrated Dirac and Weyl equations, originally introduced for describing fundamental particles in high energy physics, become relevant for describing emergent, linearly dispersing, low energy excitations near gapless bulk nodes protected by topological invariants1–9. Weyl semimetals appear as topologically-nontrivial conductors where the spin-non-degenerate valence and conduction bands touch at isolated points in momentum space, the so called “Weyl nodes”. In WSMs, the Weyl nodes are separated in momentum space and always come in pairs of positive and negative monopole charges (also called chirality). The net monopole charge summed over all the Weyl points in the Brillouin zone vanishes10,11. The Weyl nodes act as the source and sink of Abelian Berry curvature, an analog of magnetic field but defined in the momentum space with quantized Berry flux12. In contrast to Dirac semimetals (DSMs) which are topologically protected in the presence of time reversal, space inversion, and additional spatial symmetries of the underlying crystal lattice, WSMs can be topologically protected in the absence of time-reversal (TR) and/or space inversion (SI) symmetries2,5–9,13–18 via the quantization of a topological invariant known as Chern number, defined as the non-zero quantized flux of the Berry curvature across the Fermi surface enclosing the bulk Weyl nodes.

Several experimental groups have found evidence of the Weyl semimetal phase in inversion broken systems such as, TaAs19–21, WTe22, MoTe23 and also in a 3D double gyroid photonic crystal24, in the presence of TRS. There is another possible route to realize Weyl semimetal from a Dirac semimetal by breaking TRS externally using a magnetic field. The external magnetic field splits the Dirac cone of a DSM into a pair of Weyl cones even in the presence of inversion symmetry (IS). The TRS broken WSM contains a minimum of 2 Weyl nodes whereas the minimum number of Weyl nodes allowed in an inversion broken WSM is 4. For example, Bi1-xSb for \(x \sim 3 - 4\%)\ is a Dirac semimetal25–27 which turns into a TR broken WSM in the presence of a magnetic field28.

From \(k \cdot p\) theory, the low energy effective Hamiltonian near an isolated Weyl point situated at momentum space \(K\) can be written as

\[
H_k = \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_i(k) \sigma_i, \tag{1}
\]

where \(\hbar = c = 1\), the crystal momenta \(k_i\) are measured from the band degeneracy point \(K\), and \(\sigma_i\)s are the three Pauli matrices. The chirality of the Weyl point is defined by the sign of the product of the velocity components \(\chi = \text{sgn}(v_1 v_2 v_3) = \pm 1\). A fascinating transport signature due to non-trivial Berry curvature associated with Weyl nodes is the anomalous Hall effect in TR broken WSMs, where it depends linearly on the distance between the Weyl nodes in the momentum space7. In the presence of in-plane electric and magnetic fields, two other interesting topological effects, namely, negative longitudinal magneto-resistance (LMR) and planar Hall effect (PHE) appear due to non-conservation of separate electron numbers of opposite chirality for relativistic massless fermions, an effect known as the chiral or Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly. A lot of theoretical45–47 and experimental48–50 studies have been reported on chiral anomaly induced LMR. On the other hand, PHE is yet to be observed in experiments. Replacing the electric field by a thermal gradient (\(\nabla T\)) and for a parallel configuration between \(\nabla T\) and an applied field (\(B\)), WSMs host another anomalous transport phenomenon known as positive longitudinal magneto-thermal conductivity (LMTC) which has recently been observed in experiments47,48. This effect arises from the so-called chiral magnetic effect (CME) - the separation of electric charge along the direction of an external magnetic field. The chiral electronics, an interesting application of the CME, refers to circuits with elements that have been proposed as quantum amplifiers of magnetic fields51–54. In the present work, we propose another intriguing consequence of chiral magnetic effect
in WSMs, the planar thermal-Hall conductivity (PTHC), i.e., the appearance of an in-plane transverse temperature gradient when the co-planar $\nabla T$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are not perfectly aligned to each other, precisely in a configuration in which the conventional and Berry-phase-mediated anomalous thermal Hall effect vanishes.

In this paper we investigate the electronic contribution to LMTC and PTHC of type-I and type-II Weyl semimetals. It has been suggested earlier that the semiclassical Boltzmann equation approach is in good agreement with other theoretical approaches such as the Kubo formula and the quantum Boltzmann equation for thermal transport in WSMs\cite{6,35}. Furthermore, the Boltzmann equation gives exactly the same rate for type-I and type-II WSM phases. On the other hand LMTC and PTHC show different B-dependence and angular dependence for type-I and type-II WSMs. On the other hand LMTC and PTHC have similar dependence on $\mathbf{B}$ in the perpendicular setup, i.e. with both $\nabla T$ and $\mathbf{B}$ applied perpendicular to the tilt direction.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, We introduce the lattice model of Weyl semimetal with broken TRS and explain the emergence of type-II WSM phase from type-I WSM phase. In Sec. III, we solve the Boltzmann transport equation to obtain the analytical expression of PTHC in the presence of in-plane $E$ and $B$. In Sec. IV, we show our numerical results on LMTC and discuss the results in the context of two above mentioned possible experimental setups. In Sec. V, we investigate the validity of Wiedemann-Franz law for an IS breaking type-II WSM WTe$_2$. In Sec. VI, we compute the PTHC for type-I and type-II Weyl semimetal. We discuss the magnetic field dependence and angular dependence of PTHC in both cases for two possible experimental setups. Finally in Sec. VII, we discuss the experimental aspects of the phenomena observed in our study and end with a brief conclusion.

II. MODEL HAMILTONIAN

We now discuss a prototype lattice model for a Weyl semimetal that breaks TRS but remains invariant under inversion. The following TRS breaking lattice model, possesses two Weyl nodes of opposite chirality tilted along $k_x$ direction, is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(k) = H_0(k) + H_T(k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)\n
where $H_0$ produces a pair of Weyl nodes of type-I at $(\pm k_0,0,0)$\cite{56}.

$$H_0(k) = |m| (\cos(k_yb) + \cos(k_zc) - 2) + 2t (\cos(k_xa) - \cos k_0) \sigma_1 - 2t \sin(k_yb) \sigma_2 - 2t \sin(k_zc) \sigma_3$$

(3)

Here, $m$ is the mass and $t$ is hopping parameter. The second term of the Hamiltonian $H_T$, makes the nodes tilted along $k_x$ direction, can be written as

$$H_T(k) = \gamma (\cos(k_xa) - \cos k_0) \sigma_0$$

(4)

where $\gamma$ is the tilt parameter which bends the both bands. The 3D dispersion of the energy bands for different values of $\gamma$ are shown in Fig. 1. When the anisotropy is zero i.e. $\gamma = 0$, this Hamiltonian hosts nodes of type-I. It is clear from the Fig. 1(b) that the anisotropy along $k_x$ is small enough for $|\gamma| < 2|t|$ (here, $\gamma = t$) to make Fermi surface still point like classifying the type-I Weyl node. As we go increasing the tilt parameter further, a non-zero density of electron and hole states appear at the node energy for $\gamma > |2t|$. It indicates that type-II WSM phase emerges as clearly depicted in Fig. 1(c). For this system, $\gamma = |2t|$ is the critical point between type-I and type-II WSM phases.

III. BOLTZMANN FORMALISM FOR PLANAR THERMAL HALL CONDUCTIVITY

In this section, we focus on one specific response, namely, the planar thermal Hall effect that should be observed in all the Dirac and Weyl semimetals supporting negative longitudinal magneto-thermal conductivity. The planar thermal Hall effect is defined as an in-plane transverse temperature gradient when the coplanar thermal gradient and magnetic field are not perfectly aligned with each other. Now we investigate the formulation of PTHC in the low field regime starting from the quasi-classical Boltzmann transport equation.

In the presence of an electric field ($\mathbf{E}$) and temperature gradient ($\nabla T$), the charge current ($\mathbf{J}$) and thermal current ($\mathbf{Q}$)
from linear response theory, can be written as

$$J_{\alpha} = L_{\alpha\beta}^{11} E_{\beta} + L_{\alpha\beta}^{12} (\nabla_\beta T)$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are spatial indices running over $x$, $y$, $z$, and $L$ represents different transport coefficients. In the presence of impurity scattering the phenomenological Boltzmann transport equation can be written as

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla r + \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla k \right) f_{k,r,t} = I_{\text{coll}}[f_{k,r,t}]$$

where $f_{k,r,t}$ is the electron distribution function. The right hand side $I_{\text{coll}}[f_{k,r,t}]$ implies the collision integral incorporating electron correlations and impurity scattering effects. In the relaxation time approximation, the collision integral takes the following form

$$I_{\text{coll}}[f_k] = \frac{f_0 - f_k}{\tau(k)}$$

where $\tau(k)$ is the intra-node relaxation time and $f_0$ is the equilibrium Fermi-Dirac distribution function in the absence of any external fields. We have ignored momentum dependence of $\tau$ in the present work for simplifying the calculation. We also neglect the inter-node scattering and treat intra-node scattering as a phenomenological parameter with the assumption $\tau^+ = \tau^-$ for the calculation based on WSM. Dropping the $r$ dependence of $f_{k,r,t}$, valid for spatially uniform fields, and assuming steady state, the Boltzmann equation described by Eq. (7) takes the following form

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla k + \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{k} \right) \mathbf{f}_k = \frac{f_0 - f_k}{\tau}$$

It has been shown that in the presence of electric field and magnetic field, transport properties get substantially modified due to presence of non-trivial Berry curvature which acts as a fictitious magnetic field in the momentum space. In addition to the band energy, the Berry curvature of the Bloch bands is required for a complete description of the electron dynamics in topological semimetals. The Berry curvature is defined by

$$\mathbf{\Omega}(k) = \mathbf{\nabla}_k \times < \mathbf{v}|\mathbf{\nabla}_k|\mathbf{v} >$$

where $|\mathbf{v}|$ is the periodic amplitude of the Bloch wave.

Using symmetry analysis, the general form of the Berry curvature can be obtained. Under time reversal symmetry, the Berry curvature follows $\mathbf{\Omega}(-\mathbf{k}) = -\mathbf{\Omega}(\mathbf{k})$. On the other hand if the system has spatial inversion symmetry, then it follows $\mathbf{\Omega}(-\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{\Omega}(\mathbf{k})$. Therefore, for a system with the presence of both time reversal and spatial inversion symmetry the Berry curvature vanishes identically throughout the Brillouin zone. If we break either time reversal symmetry or inversion symmetry, the Berry curvature comes into play.

After incorporating the Berry curvature effects, the semiclassical equation of motion for an electron takes the following form

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \mathbf{k}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} + \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\hbar} \times \mathbf{\Omega}_k$$

where the second term of the Eq. (9) implies the anomalous velocity due to $\mathbf{\Omega}_k$. The Berry curvature carries an opposite sign for Weyl nodes of opposite chirality.

In order to compute the PTHC, we applied a temperature gradient ($\nabla T$) along the $x$ axis and the magnetic field ($\mathbf{B}$) is rotated in the $x$-$y$ plane in the absence of electric field i.e. $\mathbf{B} = B \cos \theta \mathbf{\hat{e}} + B \sin \theta \mathbf{\hat{y}}\mathbf{\nabla} T = \nabla T \mathbf{\hat{x}}, \mathbf{E} = 0$. Here, $\theta$ is the angle between applied $\nabla T$ and $\mathbf{B}$. After solving two coupled equations for $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{P}}$, we obtain the following modified semiclassical equations of motion

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{D(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{\Omega}_k)} \left[ \mathbf{v}_k + \frac{e}{\hbar} (\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_k) \mathbf{B} \right]$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{P}} = e \mathbf{E} + e \mathbf{v}_k \times \mathbf{B}$$

where

$$D(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{\Omega}_k) = \left[ 1 + \frac{e}{\hbar} (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_k) \right]$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_k = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial k}{\theta}$$

is the group velocity. The factor $D(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{\Omega}_k)$ modifies the invariant phase space volume according to $dkdx \rightarrow D^{-1}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{\Omega}_k)dkdx$, gives rise to a noncommutative mechanical model, because the Poisson brackets of co-ordinates is non-zero. From here, we use $D = D(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{\Omega}_k)$ for rest of the paper for notation simplification.

The second term of the Eq. (11) gives rise to chiral magnetic effect. The chiral magnetic effect, an interesting signature of transport phenomena in Weyl semimetals, appears in equilibrium i.e. $\mathbf{E} = 0$. It has been shown that electric currents ($\propto \mathbf{B}$) turn out to flow along the direction of the magnetic field in Weyl semimetals without any electric field in the presence of finite chiral chemical potential ($\mu_+ - \mu_-$) where $\mu_+$ and $\mu_-$ imply the chemical potential of two Weyl nodes respectively. It has been discussed that the chiral magnetic effect depends on the limiting procedure for the transferred momentum and frequency. In the dc limit i.e. when frequency is set to zero first, then the system is in equilibrium and the chiral magnetic effect becomes vanishes. On the other hand, when $q = 0$ first, then the system is away from equilibrium and the chiral magnetic effect does not vanish. The right hand side of the Eq. (12) gives the usual Lorentz force.

After substituting $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{P}}$ described in Eq. (11) and Eq. (12) into Eq. (8), the quasi-classical Boltzmann equation takes the following form

$$\left[ \mathbf{v}_k + \frac{e}{\hbar} (\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_k) \mathbf{B} \right] \cdot \nabla k \mathbf{f}_k + \frac{eB}{\hbar^2} \left| (v_x \sin \theta - v_y \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_z} - v_z \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} - v_z \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \right| \mathbf{f}_k = D(f_0 - f_k)$$

Using the relation $\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mu} = \frac{(e - \mu)}{T} (- \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial e})$ ($\mu$ is the chemical potential) and assuming linear response, the above equation becomes

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{(e - \mu) \nabla T}{DT} & \left[ v_x + \frac{eB \cos \theta}{\hbar} (\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_k) \right] \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} + \frac{eB}{\hbar^2} (v_x \sin \theta - v_y \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_z} - v_z \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} - v_z \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \right) \mathbf{f}_k = \frac{(f_0 - f_k)}{\tau}.
\end{align*}$$
Now we attempt to solve the above equation by assuming the following ansatz for the electron distribution function deviation \( \delta f_k = f_k - f_0 \)

\[
\delta f_k = \frac{\tau(\epsilon - \mu)}{D \cdot T} \left[ v_x + \frac{eB \cos \theta}{h} (v_k \cdot \Omega_k) - v \cdot \zeta \right] \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right)
\]  

where \( \zeta \) is the correction factor to account magnetic field. Plugging \( f_k \) into Eq. (14), we have

\[
\frac{eB}{\hbar^2} \left[ (v_x \sin \theta - v_y \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} + v_z \cos \theta - (v_x \sin \theta - v_y \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_z} \right] 
\]

\[
\frac{\tau(\epsilon - \mu)}{D \cdot T} \left[ v_x + \frac{eB \cos \theta}{h} (v_k \cdot \Omega_k) - v \cdot \zeta \right] \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right) = \frac{D(v \cdot \zeta)}{\tau}
\]  

We will now calculate the correction factor \( \zeta \) which vanishes in the absence of magnetic field. With the correction factors in hand, we can now write the Boltzmann distribution function \( f_k \) explicitly by using the Eq. (15) as

\[
f_k = f_0 + \tau \nabla T \left( \frac{\epsilon_k - \mu}{D T} \right) \left[ v_x + \frac{eB \cos \theta}{h} (v_k \cdot \Omega_k) \right] \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right) 
\]

Now imposing the condition that Eq. (17) is valid for all values of \( v_x, v_y, \) and \( v_z, \) the correction factors \( \zeta_x, \zeta_y, \) and \( \zeta_z \) can be calculated by evaluating the equation. After doing some little algebra, we can write down the correction factors as given below.

\[
\zeta_x = \frac{N_0(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 \alpha_4)}{D^*} - \left( \frac{eB \cos \theta}{m_{yz}} - \frac{eB \sin \theta}{m_{zx}} \right)^2 - \frac{eB}{m_{zz} \alpha_4}
\]

\[
\zeta_y = \cos \theta \left[ N_0 \left( \frac{1}{m_{xy}} + \frac{eB \cos \theta}{m_{xy}} \right) - \frac{eB}{m_{yy} \alpha_3} \right]
\]

\[
\zeta_z = -\tan \theta \zeta_y
\]

where \( N_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \) and \( \alpha_4 \) can be written as

\[
N_0 = eB T \frac{(\epsilon_k - \mu)}{D T}
\]

\[
\alpha_1 = \frac{\sin \theta - \cos \theta}{m_{xx}} + \frac{eB \cos \theta}{h} (C_1 \sin \theta - C_2 \cos \theta)
\]

\[
\alpha_2 = \frac{eB \cos \theta}{m_{xy}} - \frac{D}{\tau} - eB \sin \theta m_{zz} \alpha_3 = \frac{eB \cos \theta}{h} C_3 + \frac{1}{m_{xx}}
\]

\[
\alpha_4 = \frac{eB \sin 2 \theta}{m_{yy} \alpha_2} - \frac{eB \sin^2 \theta}{m_{zz} \alpha_3} - \frac{eB \sin^2 \theta}{m_{xx} \alpha_4}
\]  

With all the correction factors in hand, we can now write the Boltzmann distribution function \( f_k \) explicitly by using the Eq. (15) as

\[
f_k = f_0 + \tau \nabla T \left( \frac{\epsilon_k - \mu}{D T} \right) \left[ v_x + \frac{eB \cos \theta}{h} (v_k \cdot \Omega_k) \right] \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right) 
\]

Now in the presence of thermal gradient and applied magnetic field, the thermal current takes the following form after accounting for both normal and anomalous contributions\(^{89,61-63}\)

\[
\mathbf{Q} = \frac{\int d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \epsilon_k - \mu \right) \left[ \mathbf{v}_k + \frac{\mathbf{eB}}{\hbar} (\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \Omega_k) \right] f_k + \frac{k_B T}{\beta \hbar}
\]

\[
\times \frac{\int d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \Omega_k \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{3} f_0 + \beta^2 (\epsilon - \mu)^2 f_0 \right] - \frac{k_B T}{\beta \hbar}
\]

\[
\times \frac{\int d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \Omega_k \left[ \ln(1 + e^{-\beta(\epsilon_k - \mu)}) + 2Li_2(1 - f_0) \right]
\]  

where the first term of the above equation represents the standard contribution to the heat current in the absence of Berry curvature. Here, \( Li_2(z) \) is the polylogarithmic function of order 2, defined as

\[
Li_2(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^n}
\]

for an arbitrary complex order \( n, \) for a complex argument \( |z| < 1. \) The other terms of Eq. (23) implies the anomalous response of the heat current. In the application of thermal gradient, the anomalous response of \( \mathbf{Q} \) can be written as
\[ Q_x = l_{xy} \nabla_y T \]. The quantity \( l_{xy} \) can be calculated using the relation
\[ l_{xy} = -\frac{k_B T c_2}{h} \]  
where \( c_2 \) can be written as\[ c_m = \int [dk] \Omega_T \int_{-\mu}^{\infty} de(\beta \epsilon) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \]  
For \( m = 2 \), the energy integral described in Eq. (25) reduces to following form\[ \int_{-\mu}^{\infty} de(\beta \epsilon) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} f_0 + \beta^2 (\epsilon - \mu)^2 f_0 - \ln(1 + e^{-\beta(\epsilon - \mu)^2}) - 2Li_2(1 - f_0) \]  
Substituting \( f_k \) in Eq. (23) and comparing with the linear response Eq. (6), we now arrive at the expression for longitudinal magneto-thermal conductivity
\[ l_{xx} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} D^{-1} \frac{(\epsilon_k - \mu)^2}{T} \left[ \left( \epsilon_x + \frac{eB \cos \theta}{\hbar} (v_k \cdot \Omega_k) \right)^2 \right. \]  
\[ \left. - \left( \sin \theta d_x v_x + \cos \theta d_y v_y + d_z v_z \right)^2 \left( \epsilon_x + \frac{eB \cos \theta}{\hbar} (v_k \cdot \Omega_k) \right) \right] \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right) = L^{xx}_{\parallel} \]  
In the limit of \( \theta = 0 \), we get back to the same equation as discussed in earlier works\[ l_{xx} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(\epsilon_k - \mu)^2}{DT} \left[ \left( v_y + \frac{eB \sin \theta}{\hbar} (v_k \cdot \Omega_k) \right)^2 \right. \]  
\[ \left. + \cos \theta d_y v_y + d_z v_z \right] \left( v_y + \frac{eB \sin \theta}{\hbar} (v_k \cdot \Omega_k) \right) \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \]  
\[ + \frac{k_B}{\beta \hbar} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \Omega_k \left[ \ln(1 + e^{-\beta(\epsilon_k - \mu)^2}) + 2Li_2(1 - f_0) \right] \]  
\[ - \frac{k_B}{\beta \hbar} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} f_0 \Omega_k \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \beta^2 (\epsilon_k - \mu)^2 \right] = L^{xx}_{\parallel} \]  
Eq. (29) thus take the form
\[ l_{xx} = l_0 + e^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(\epsilon_k - \mu)^2}{DT} \left( - \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \frac{B^2}{\hbar^2} (v_k \cdot \Omega_k)^2 \]
Eq. (29) thus take the form
\[ l_{xx} = l_0 + \Delta l \cos^2 \theta \]  
where \( \Delta l = l_{\parallel} - l_{\perp} \), gives the anisotropy in magneto-thermal conductivity due to chiral magnetic effect. The longitudinal magneto-thermal conductivity has the angular dependence of \( \cos^2 \theta \) which is shown in Fig. 3(b), leading to the anisotropic thermal resistance. It is clear from the expression that LMTC has the finite contribution for all field directions.

### IV. LONGITUDINAL MAGNETO-THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY

In this section, we have computed the longitudinal magneto-thermal conductivity for a lattice model of type-I and type-II WSMs and discuss the B dependence and angular dependence of LMTC. The longitudinal magneto-thermal conductivity \( (l_{xx} \text{ and } l_{zz}) \) for lattice model of Weyl fermions is shown in Fig. 2 for three different tilt parameters. The lattice model provides itself a physical ultra-violet energy cut-off to the low energy spectrum.

#### A. Type-I WSM

Fig. 2 depicts \( l_{xx} \) as a function of magnetic field at \( T = 12 \) K for a TRS breaking type-I WSM (\( \gamma = 0 \)). In the absence of any tilt, LMTC follows quadratic B dependence as shown in figure. Using Eq. (29) we can now express \( l_{xx} \) in terms of the diagonal components of the conductivity tensor, \( l_{\parallel} \) and \( l_{\perp} \), corresponding to the cases when the thermal current flows along and perpendicular to the magnetic field. Substituting \( \theta = 0 \) and \( \theta = \pi/2 \) into Eq. (29), we have
\[ l_{\parallel} = l_0 + e^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(\epsilon_k - \mu)^2}{DT} \left( - \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \frac{B^2}{\hbar^2} (v_k \cdot \Omega_k)^2 \]

#### B. Type-II WSM

In type-II WSM, we have calculated the LMTC for two different configurations; \( l_{xx} \) appears in a configuration where both \( \nabla T \) and B are parallel to tilt direction (i.e. along \( x \) axis in present case) whereas \( l_{zz} \) comes into play when both \( \nabla T \) and B act perpendicular to tilt direction. Fig. 2 depicts \( l_{xx} \) and \( l_{zz} \) as a function of magnetic field at \( T = 12 \) K for a TRS breaking type-II WSM described by the Eq. (2) for \( \gamma = 0.15 \). Our calculation reveals that longitudinal magneto-thermal conductivity \( (l_{xx} \text{ and } l_{zz}) \) follows linear in B for the parallel setup as shown in Fig. 2(c). On the other hand, \( B^2 \)
dependence of LMTC has been found when B applied perpendicular to the tilt direction ($l_{zz}$) as depicted in Fig. 2(f). It is clearly seen from the Eq. (29) that both types of B dependence in LMTC arise due to chiral magnetic term. There is no qualitative difference in results for type-I and type-II WSM phases in the presence of anisotropy.

In the type-II WSM, the B-linear term in $l_{xx}$ becomes dominant due to presence of anisotropy which leads the computed longitudinal magneto-thermal conductivity to follow the $\cos \theta$ angular dependence at finite magnetic field for parallel setup as shown in Fig. 3(c).

V. WIEDEMANN-FRANZ LAW OF AN INVERSION SYMMETRY BREAKING WÉYL SEMIMETAL

Wiedemann-Franz law states that the ratio of electronic contribution of thermal conductivity and electrical conductivity for a metallic state is proportional to temperature. This law which holds for Landau Fermi Liquid, can be written as

$$\frac{\kappa_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}T} = L_0$$

(33)

where $L_0 = \frac{2\pi k_B^2}{3e^2}$ is the Lorentz number.

Recently, WTe$_2$ has been classified as an inversion broken type-II Weyl semimetal both theoretically\textsuperscript{64} and experimentally\textsuperscript{25}. It has been found that WTe$_2$ contains 8 Weyl points in the $k_z = 0$ plane and form a pair of quartets located at 0.052 eV and 0.058 eV above the Fermi level ($E_F$)\textsuperscript{64}. Therefore, the linearized Hamiltonian for WTe$_2$ can be written as

$$H(k) = \Delta + Ak_x + Bk_x + (ak_x + ck_y)\sigma_y + (bk_x + dk_y)\sigma_z + f k_z\sigma_x$$

(34)

The parameter values for WTe$_2$ obtaining by fitting the Hamiltonian to the $ab$ initio band structure calculation\textsuperscript{64}, are given in Table I.

| Energy of the WPs | A | B | a | b | c | d | f |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.052 eV         | -2.739 | 0.612 | 0.987 | 1.107 | 0.0 | 0.270 | 0.184 |
| 0.058 eV         | 1.204 | 0.686 | -1.159 | 1.107 | 0.0 | 0.270 | 0.184 |

We have computed both longitudinal thermal conductivity and longitudinal electrical conductivity for WTe$_2$. Interestingly, it turns out that Wiedemann-Franz law is violated and becomes B dependent for this material due to both chiral magnetic effect and chiral anomaly. In Fig. 4 we have plotted the deviation of Lorentz number from its standard value ($L'$) as a function of applied magnetic field. Our calculation reveals that the deviation of Lorentz number ($L'$) follows quadratic B dependence when the external fields are applied perpendicular to the tilt direction ($z$ axis) as shown in Fig. 4(a). On the other hand, linear B-dependence of $L'$ has been found when applied fields are parallel to the tilt direction ($x$ axis) as depicted in Fig. 4(b). In both cases, the sign of $L'$ becomes positive which indicates that the ratio of thermal to electrical conductivity will increase from its standard value with the applied field.
VI. PLANAR THERMAL HALL EFFECT

In this section, we discuss the numerical results of the novel effect PTHC for type-I and type-II WSMs. We have computed the B dependence and angular dependence of \( p_{yx}^{\text{th}} \) using Eq. (30) for a TRS breaking WSM.

A. Type-I WSM

We first examine the behavior of \( p_{yx}^{\text{th}} \) for the case \( \gamma = 0 \), type-I WSM phase. Using Eqs. (31), we can now express \( p_{yx}^{\text{th}} \) as

\[
 p_{yx}^{\text{th}} = \Delta l \sin \theta \cos \theta
\]

(35)

The amplitude (\( \Delta l \)) of planar thermal Hall conductivity shows \( B^2 \)-dependence for any angle except for \( \theta = 0 \) and \( \theta = \pi/2 \) as shown in Fig. 5(a). The planar thermal Hall conductivity follows the \( \cos \theta \sin \theta \) dependence as depicted in Fig. 5(c).

B. Type-II WSM

If we increase the \( \gamma \) value then Weyl cones start to be tilted along the \( k_x \) direction and the system stabilizes in type-II WSM phase after a critical value of \( \gamma = 0.1 \). In Fig. 5(b) we have plotted the numerically calculated PTHC (\( p_{yx}^{\text{th}} \) at \( \theta = \pi/4 \)) for a type-II WSM as a function of \( B \). Our calculations reveal that the PTHC follows a B-linear dependence when \( B \) and \( \nabla T \) are parallel to the tilt axis. For non-zero magnetic field, PTHC shows \( \sin \theta \) dependence for the same configuration of the applied \( \nabla T \) and \( B \) as shown in Fig. 5(d). On the other hand, the B-dependence PTHC is quadratic when the \( \nabla T \) and \( B \) are applied perpendicular to the tilt direction. In this configuration, PTHC follows the same angular dependence as in the case for type-I WSM with no tilt. We have also investigated the behavior of PTHC for type-I WSM with finite tilt. We find that PTHC shows similar angular and B dependence as in the case of type-II WSM.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We present a quasi-classical theory of chiral magnetic effect induced planar thermal Hall effect in Weyl semimetals. We show that when the thermal gradient and magnetic field are applied in-plane but not aligned parallel to each other, a non-zero planar thermal Hall response arises strictly out of the chiral magnetic effect. This Hall effect is of a different nature from the usual Lorentz force mediated thermal Hall response and even the Berry phase mediated anomalous thermal Hall response. We derive an analytical expression for planar thermal Hall conductivity and investigate its generic behavior for type-I and type-II WSMs. Interestingly, we find that PTHC follows the \( B^2 \) dependence in type-I Weyl semimetal (anisotropy parameter \( \gamma = 0 \), see Eq. 4) whereas it is linear in B in type-II Weyl semimetal when B and \( \nabla T \) are applied along the tilt direction. The angular dependence of PTHC also changes from \( \cos \theta \sin \theta \) to \( \sin \theta \) as we go from type-I WSM (\( \gamma = 0 \)) to type-II WSM. In type-II WSM, when both B and \( \nabla T \) are applied perpendicular to the tilt direction, the PTHC shows the conventional \( B^2 \)-dependence as in the case of type-I WSM (\( \gamma = 0 \)). Additionally, we also investigate the longitudinal magneto thermal conductivity in Weyl semimetals and the violation of the Wiedemann-Franz law in inversion broken type-II Weyl semimetal such as WTe\(_2\). We find that Wiedemann-Franz Law is violated in WSMs due to both chiral magnetic effect and chiral anomaly.
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