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ABSTRACT

Heart failure is a situation that occurs when the heart is unable to pump enough blood to meet the needs of other organs in the body. It is responsible for the annual death of approximately 17 million people worldwide. Series of studies have been done to predict heart failure survival with promising results. Hence, the purpose of this study is to increase the accuracy of previous works on predicting heart failure survival by selecting significant predictive features in order of their ranking and dealing with class imbalance in the classification dataset. In this study, we propose an integrated method using machine learning. The proposed method shows promising results as it performs better than previous works and this study confirms that dealing with imbalanced dataset properly increases accuracy of a model. The model was evaluated based on metrics such as F-measure, Precision-Recall curve and Receiver Operating Characteristic Area Under Curve. This discovery has the potential to impact on clinical practice, when health workers aim at predicting if a patient will survive heart failure. Attention may be focused on mainly serum creatinine, ejection fraction, smoking status and age.

INTRODUCTION

It is a known fact that heart is an essential organ of human body [1]. The heart generates blood to other part of the organs in the body. Where it fails to do so or something goes wrong while performing its responsibility, it could lead to immediate death of the person or heart failure! In essence heart failure is a situation whereby the heart is unable to pump enough blood to other organs in the body. It is usually caused by conditions such as diabetes, high blood pressure, or other heart conditions or diseases like HIV, thyroid disorders, alcohol abuse, congenital disease, etc [2,3]. It is the situation that occurs when muscle in the heart wall fades and enlarges, limiting heart pumping of blood [4]. It is important to note that heart disease is one of the most popular diseases in middle aged citizens [5]. As affirmed by the World Health Organization (WHO) [6], Cardiovascular disease (CVD) which is the heart and blood vessels disorders, is now top reason for death causing 31% of deaths annually! Also, Chicco and his colleague [3] stated that it causes the death of approximately 17 million people worldwide annually!

Since the past decades, machine learning and data mining are becoming very popular in the prominent researches in virtually every aspect of human activities and the healthcare sector is not exempted. Machine learning is a subset of artificial intelligence that scientifically studies algorithms and statistical models which computer systems use in order to perform a specific task effectively without using explicit instructions, but relying on patterns and inference instead [7,8]. The healthcare industry has accumulated big data [9]. Data analytics has been of great help for bringing insight into big data for diagnostic, disease prevention, prediction and policy-making purposes in healthcare industry [10,11].

Data analytics is advancing in healthcare industry but modelling survival for heart failure is still a problem nowadays, both in terms of achieving high prediction accuracy and identifying the driving factors [3]. Virtually all of the models developed for this purpose reach only modest accuracy [12]. More recent times models are showing promising improvements, especially if coupled with additional targets [3].

In this study, analysis was carried out on a dataset of medical records of patients having heart failure. Ahmad and his colleagues [4] in 2017, worked on this dataset using traditional biostatistics time-dependent models in order to predict mortality and identify the main features of patients having heart failure, from their medical records. Later, Zahid and his colleagues [13] in 2019, analyzed this same dataset to create two different gender-based survival models; in essence, one for men and the other for women. Although, these two works [4,13] show promising results, the problem was tackled by standard biostatistics methods; thereby leaving room for machine learning methods. This made Chicco and Jurnan [3] to use machine learning methods to predict survival of patients with heart failure in which their results showed that serum creatinine and ejection fraction alone are enough to predict mortality of heart failure. However, major challenges in model learning is the feature selection problem, as the feature selection step is so important in machine learning with the purpose of eliminating unnecessary
and unimportant features [9,14–16] and also dealing with imbalanced dataset. It is not always possible to generate a good predictive model for imbalance dataset; approaches have been proposed to this issue in which we try to use in this paper in order to address the problem.

Similarly, the main objective of the research paper is to apply machine learning algorithms to predict survival of heart failure patients by addressing the issue of imbalanced dataset which [3] did not address and feature selection in order to achieve better accuracy compared to [3]. The second section discusses the methodology used in this research, while the third section showcases the result, followed by the discussion of the result and finally the conclusion is drawn at the fourth section.

**METHOD**

The description of the proposed methodology is given below:

1. **Pre-processing (data manipulation and normalization)**
2. **Feature selection:** performed using four different algorithms couple with ranker search method.
3. **Classification – classifiers** were tested: kNN, SVM, Naïve bayes, random forests.

### Table 1: Meanings, measurement units, and intervals of each feature of the dataset derived from [3]

| S/N | Feature | Explanation | Measurement | Range               |
|-----|---------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 1   | Age     | Age of the patient | Years     | [40,…,95]          |
| 2   | Anemia  | Decrease of red blood cells or haemoglobin | Boolean   | 0,1                |
| 3   | High blood pressure | If a patient has hypertension | Boolean   | 0,1                |
| 4   | Creatinine phosphokinase (CPK) | Level of the CPK enzyme in the blood | mcg/L     | [23.,…,7861]      |
| 5   | Diabetes | If the patient is diabetic | Boolean   | 0,1                |
| 6   | Ejection fraction | The percentage of blood that leaves that heart after each contraction | Percentage | [14.,…,80]         |
| 7   | Sex     | Male or Female | Binary     | 0,1                |
| 8   | Platelets | Platelets in the blood | kiloplatelets/mL | [25.01,…,850.00] |
| 9   | Serum creatinine | Level of creatinine in the blood | mg/dL     | [0.50,…,9.40]     |
| 10  | Serum sodium | Level of sodium in the blood | mEq/L     | [114.,…,148]      |
| 11  | Smoking | If the patient is a smoker | Boolean   | 0,1                |
| 12  | Time    | Follow-up period | Days       | [4,…,285]          |
| 13  | Death Event (target) | If the patient died during the follow-up period | Boolean   | 0,1                |

**Data Pre-processing**

Based on the dataset collected, 6 of the attributes are Boolean including the target class (Anemia, High blood pressure, Diabetes, Sex, Smoking and death event) were converted into two categories, in order to make the dataset usable for classification task. Diabetes, smoking, anemia and high blood pressure 0 and 1 attributes were converted to false and true respectively, while death event 0 and 1 were converted to alive and died respectively also sex 0 and 1 were converted to female and male respectively. In this process it was discovered that the dataset was highly skewed (imbalanced), a novel hybrid of oversampling and undersampling method called SMOTE+ENN [18] was used to alleviate the class imbalance problem.

**Data Selection**

The data selection phase involves understanding the datasets and selecting the attributes which will produce the necessary data needed to infer the knowledge been sought for was then carried out. This is also known as feature selection which is a process of identifying the subset of data from large dimension of data [19]. Time which is the period of follow-up was removed from the dataset which was also done by [3,4].
In this section, we first describe the results we obtained for the validation purposes changing the random seed starting from 1 classification. This process was done 5 times coupled with ranker search method. Table 2 presents a summary of the attributes and how the algorithms ranked them.

Table 2: Summary of evaluators’ ranking of each attribute of the dataset

| Feature            | SU   | IG   | GR   | CO   |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Serum creatinine   | 3    | 4    | 9    | 6    |
| Ejection fraction  | 0.19676 | 0.30616 | 0.14372 | 0.30851 |
| Smoking            | 0.07610 | 0.06618 | 0.08736 | 0.28818 |
| Age                | 0.07151 | 0.06842 | 0.07341 | 0.28883 |
| Serum sodium       | 0.07050 | 0.06863 | 0.07110 | 0.20655 |
| Diabetes           | 0.03608 | 0.03424 | 0.03737 | 0.21455 |
| High blood pressure| 0.02298 | 0.03424 | 0.02321 | 0.17621 |
| Sex                | 0.02010 | 0.0187 | 0.02127 | 0.15908 |
| Anemia             | 0.00033 | 0.00032 | 0.00033 | 0.02133 |
| Creatinine phosphokinase | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00095 |
| Platelets          | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0.06639 |

The first four highest ranked attributes by the evaluators as best influencing heart failure survival are: Serum creatinine, Ejection fraction, smoking, and age. Hence, they are selected for the classification problem.

Classification

After the data preprocessing, Random forest, Naïve bayes, Support vector machines (SVM) and K-nearest neighbors algorithms were implemented using Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis (WEKA). It is a tested and trusted open source software for machine learning developed at the University of Waikato, New Zealand [20]. Cross validation was selected as the test mode option with 10 as the number of folds and death event attribute was set as the target to be predicted for the classification. This process was done 5 times coupled with changing the random seed starting from 1 -5 for the process for validation purposes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we first describe the results we obtained for the survival prediction on the complete dataset before data selection followed by the results we obtained after data selection. Then we evaluated the results obtained to what [3] obtained.

The algorithms were implemented as stated in the previous section. The performance measures which includes Matthews correlation coefficient (MCC) [21], Receiver Operating Characteristic Area Under Curve (ROC AUC), Precision-Recall Area Under curve (PR AUC) which is best used to determine the accuracy of imbalanced dataset [22] and F-Measure which are gotten from the confusion matrix which is used to determine how well a classification has performed [23] by reporting the number of true positives (TP), true negatives (TN), false positives (FP), and false negatives (FN).

Table 3 and Table 4 shown below give the details of the average performance of the classification based on MCC, F-Measure, accuracy, PR AUC and AOC RUC after the process was repeated five times coupled changing of random seed.

Table 3: Details of performance measure of the classification before feature selection

| Method       | MCC     | F-Measure | Accuracy (%) | ROC AUC | PR AUC |
|--------------|---------|-----------|--------------|---------|--------|
| Random forests | 0.542   | 0.7772    | 77.74834     | 0.770   | 0.716  |
| KNN          | 0.487   | 0.7504    | 75.09934     | 0.742   | 0.696  |
| Naïve Bayes  | 0.485   | 0.7456    | 75.2318      | 0.815   | 0.783  |

Table 4: Details of performance measure of the classification after feature selection

| Method       | MCC     | F-Measure | Accuracy (%) | ROC AUC | PR AUC |
|--------------|---------|-----------|--------------|---------|--------|
| Random forests | 0.654   | 0.8316    | 83.1788      | 0.906   | 0.904  |
| KNN          | 0.440   | 0.7266    | 72.649       | 0.722   | 0.678  |
| SVM          | 0.411   | 0.7124    | 71.72186     | 0.698   | 0.650  |

To this end, we review recent research on the heart failure dataset, as described in Table 5. The results of accuracy, MCC, F-Measure, ROC AUC and PR AUC criteria for the models were obtained according to the 10-fold cross validation method compared to previous studies. The parameters such as MCC considers the values in the confusion matrix (TP, TN, FP, and FN) and the higher the MCC value (closer to 1) implies the better the classifier performs and both classes are predicted, likewise for F-Measure, ROC AUC and PR AUC values also.
Table 5. The performed works for heart failure survival dataset with the 10-fold cross validation method.

| Reference | Methods            | No. Features | Features Subset Selection | MCC  | F-Measure | Accuracy | ROC AUC | PR AUC |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|
| [3]       | Random forests     | 2            | +0.418                    | 0.754| 0.585     | 0.698    | 0.886   | 0.876  |
| In our study | Random forests | 2            | +0.575                    | 0.792| 0.7947    | 0.886    | 0.876   |
| [3]       | Random forests     | 11           | +0.384                    | 0.547| 0.740     | 0.800    | 0.657   |
| In our study | Random forests | 11           | +0.5424                   | 0.7772| 0.7775    | 0.7706   | 0.7166  |
| [3]       | Naïve Bayes        | 11           | +0.224                    | 0.346| 0.696     | 0.589    | 0.437   |
| In our study | Naïve Bayes       | 11           | +0.4854                   | 0.7456| 0.7523    | 0.8156   | 0.7836  |
| [3]       | KNN                | 11           | -0.025                    | 0.148| 0.624     | 0.493    | 0.323   |
| In our study | KNN                | 11           | +0.4876                   | 0.7504| 0.7509    | 0.7426   | 0.6968  |

Taking a look at Table 5, it can be seen that the proposed method outperforms other methods in terms of accuracy, MCC, F-Measure, ROC AUC, and PR AUC. It implies that the 4 features extracted are the most informative ones about heart failure survival.

Discussion

Our results not only show that better accuracy can be obtained from well-handled imbalanced dataset but also, more accurate result could be obtained by feature selection. This aspect is encouraging for healthcare industry should many laboratory test results and clinical features were missing from the electronic health record of a patient; health workers could still be able to predict patient survival by just analysing the ejection fraction, serum creatinine, age and smoking values.

Based on our analysis also, some interesting results that differ from the original dataset curators study [4], Ahmad and colleagues, affirmed that smoking status has nothing to do with heart failure survival of patient. Instead, smoking status is a major determinant after serum creatinine and injection fraction. We advise that additional confirmatory studies need to be carried out before this machine learning procedure can be taken up into clinical practice.

CONCLUSIONS

Conclusively, it can be said that serum creatinine, injection fraction, smoking status and age are the major determinants in predicting heart failure survival. Also in this work we have shown the necessity of dealing with imbalanced dataset for classification. In addition, this study does not have any data on the blood group, genotype and physical future such as the weight, height and body mass index. Therefore, it would be interesting to include it in a future study.
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