Single-Domain Antibodies for Targeting, Detection, and In Vivo Imaging of Human CD4\(^+\) Cells
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The advancement of new immunotherapies necessitates appropriate probes to monitor the presence and distribution of distinct immune cell populations. Considering the key role of CD4\(^+\) cells in regulating immunological processes, we generated novel single-domain antibodies [nanobodies (Nbs)] that specifically recognize human CD4. After in-depth analysis of their binding properties, recognized epitopes, and effects on T-cell proliferation, activation, and cytokine release, we selected CD4-specific Nbs that did not interfere with crucial T-cell processes in vitro and converted them into immune tracers for noninvasive molecular imaging. By optical imaging, we demonstrated the ability of a high-affinity CD4-Nb to specifically visualize CD4\(^+\) cells in vivo using a xenograft model. Furthermore, quantitative high-resolution immune positron emission tomography (immunoPET)/MR of a human CD4 knock-in mouse model showed rapid accumulation of \(^{64}\)Cu-radiolabeled CD4-Nb1 in CD4\(^+\) T cell-rich tissues. We propose that the CD4-Nbs presented here could serve as versatile probes for stratifying patients and monitoring individual immune responses during personalized immunotherapy in both cancer and inflammatory diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

In precision medicine, diagnostic classification of the disease-associated immune status should guide the selection of appropriate therapies. A comprehensive analysis of a patient’s specific immune cell composition, activation state, and infiltration of affected tissue has been shown to be highly informative for patient stratification (1–3). In this context, CD4 is an important marker, as it is found on the surface of immune cells such as monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells and most
abundant on CD4⁺ T cells (4, 5). CD4⁺ T cells are a key determinant of the immune status due to their essential role in orchestrating immune responses in autoimmune diseases, immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs), cancer, and chronic viral infections (6–13). Current diagnostic standards such as intracellular-cytoplasmic flow cytometry analysis (IC-FACS), immunohistochemistry, and ex vivo cytokine assays or RT-PCR analysis are exclusively invasive and therefore limited with respect to repetitive analyses over time (14–17). Considering the emerging role of infiltrating lymphocytes and the impact of CD4⁺ T cells on the outcome of immunotherapies, novel approaches are needed to assess CD4⁺ T cells more holistically (18).

In this context, noninvasive imaging approaches offer a significant benefit compared to the current diagnostic standard. To date, radionuclide antibodies have been applied to image CD4⁺ cells in preclinical models (10, 19–21). Due to the recycling effect mediated by the neonatal Fc receptor, full-length antibodies have a long serum half-life, which requires long clearance times of several days before high-contrast images can be acquired (22). Additionally, effector function via the Fc region was shown to induce depletion or functional changes in CD4⁺ cells including the induction of proliferation or cytokine release (23–25). Notably, also higher doses of recombinant antibody fragments like Fab fragments or Cys-diabodies derived from the monoclonal anti-CD4 antibody GK1.5 were recently shown to decrease CD4 expression in vivo and inhibit proliferation and interferon (IFN)-γ production in vitro (24–26). These studies highlight the importance of carefully investigating CD4⁺ cell-specific immunoprobes for their epitopes, binding properties, and functional effects.

During the last decade, antibody fragments derived from heavy-chain-only antibodies of camelds, referred to as VHs or nanobodies (Nbs) (27), have emerged as versatile probes for molecular imaging [reviewed in (28)]. In combination with highly sensitive and/or quantitative whole-body molecular imaging techniques such as optical or radionuclide-based modalities, particularly positron emission tomography (PET), Nbs have been shown to bind their targets within several minutes of systemic application (29). Due to their great potential as highly specific imaging probes, numerous Nbs targeting immune- or tumor-specific cellular antigens are currently in preclinical development and even in clinical trials (28, 30, 31).

Here, we generated a set of human CD4 (hCD4)-specific Nbs. Following in-depth characterization of their binding properties, we selected candidates that did not affect T-cell proliferation, activation, or cytokine release and converted them into immune tracers for noninvasive optical and PET imaging. Using a mouse xenograft model and an hCD4 knock-in mouse model, we successfully demonstrated the capacity of these CD4-Nbs to visualize CD4⁺ cells in vivo.

RESULTS

Generation of High-Affinity CD4 Nanobodies

To generate Nbs directed against hCD4, we immunized an alpaca (Vicugna pacos) with the recombinant extracellular portion of hCD4 following an 87-day immunization protocol. Subsequently, we generated a Nb phagemid library comprising ~4 × 10⁸ clones that represent the full repertoire of variable heavy chains of heavy-chain antibodies (VHHs or Nbs) of the animal. We performed phage display using either passively adsorbed purified hCD4 or CHO and HEK293 cells stably expressing full-length hCD4 (CHO-hCD4 and HEK293-hCD4 cell lines, respectively). Following two cycles of phage display for each condition, we analyzed a total of 612 individual clones by whole-cell phage ELISA and identified 78 positive binders. Sequence analysis revealed 13 unique Nbs representing five different B-cell lineages according to their complementarity determining region (CDR) 3 (Figure 1A). One representative Nb of each lineage, termed CD4-Nb1–CD4-Nb5, was expressed in bacteria (Escherichia coli) and isolated with high purity using immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC) followed by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) (Figure 1B).

To test whether selected Nbs are capable of binding to full-length hCD4 localized at the plasma membrane of mammalian cells, we performed live-cell staining of CHO-hCD4 cells (Figure 1C, Supplementary Figure 1). Executed at 4°C, images showed a prominent staining of the plasma membrane, whereas at 37°C, the fluorescent signal was mainly localized throughout the cell body, presumably a consequence of endocytic uptake of receptor-bound Nbs. CHO wild-type (wt) cells were not stained by any of the five CD4-Nbs at both temperatures (data not shown). CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb3, both identified by whole-cell panning, displayed strong staining of CHO-hCD4 cells. Of the Nbs derived from panning with recombinant hCD4, CD4-Nb2 also showed strong cellular staining, whereas staining with CD4-Nb4 revealed weak signals. CD4-Nb5 showed no staining under these conditions and was consequently excluded from further analyses (Figure 1C). To quantitatively assess binding affinities, we performed biolayer interferometry (BLI), measuring serial dilutions of Nbs on the biotinylated extracellular domain of hCD4 immobilized at the sensor tip. For CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb2, Kᵦ values were determined to be ~5 and ~7 nM, respectively, while CD4-Nb3 and CD4-Nb4 displayed lower affinities of 75 and 135 nM, respectively (Figure 1D, Table 1, Supplementary Figure 2A). In addition, we determined corresponding EC₅₀ values with full-length plasma membrane-located hCD4 on HEK293-hCD4 cells by flow cytometry. In accordance with cellular staining and biochemically determined affinities, these values revealed a strong functional binding for CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb2 with EC₅₀ values in the subnanomolar range (~0.7 nM), whereas CD4-Nb3 and CD4-Nb4 displayed substantially lower cellular affinities (Figure 1E, Table 1, Supplementary Figure 2B). In summary, we generated four CD4-Nbs that bind isolated and cell-resident hCD4. While CD4-Nb3 and CD4-Nb4 appeared less affine, CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb2 displayed high affinities in the low nanomolar range.

Domain Mapping

Next, we applied chemo-enzymatic coupling using sortase A for site-directed functionalization of CD4-Nbs (32, 33). We thereby linked peptides conjugated to a single fluorophore to the C-terminus of CD4-Nbs, yielding a defined labeling ratio of 1:1 (34). Live-cell immunofluorescence imaging showed that all sortase-coupled CD4-Nbs retained their capability of binding
to cell-resident hCD4 of CHO-hCD4 cells (Supplementary Figure 3A). To localize the binding sites of the selected CD4-Nbs, we generated domain-deletion mutants of hCD4. Expression and correct surface localization of these mutants in CHO cells were confirmed by staining with antibody RPA-T4 binding to domain 1 of CD4. For mutants lacking domain 1, we introduced an N-terminal BC2 tag (35) to allow for live-cell surface detection with a fluorescently labeled bivBC2-Nb (34) (Supplementary Figure 3B). Transiently expressed domain-deletion mutants were then tested for binding of CF568-labeled CD4-Nbs by live-cell immunofluorescence imaging, including a non-specific fluorescently labeled green fluorescent protein (GFP)-binding Nb (GFP-Nb) as negative control. Based on these results, we allocated binding of CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb3 to domain 1, whereas CD4-Nb2 and CD4-Nb4 bind to domain 3 and/or 4 of hCD4 (Figure 2A, Supplementary Figure 3C).

To further examine combinatorial binding of the different CD4-Nbs, we performed an epitope binning analysis by BLI. Recombinant full-length hCD4 was immobilized at the sensor tip, and combinations of CD4-Nbs were allowed to bind consecutively (Supplementary Figure 4). Unsurprisingly, CD4-Nbs binding to different domains displayed combinatorial binding. Interestingly, a simultaneous binding was also detected for the combination of CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb3, suggesting that both CD4-Nbs bind to different epitopes within domain 1. In contrast, we did not observe simultaneous binding for CD4-Nb2 and CD4-Nb4, which might be due to close-by or overlapping epitopes at domain 3/4 for the latter Nb pair.

For a more precise epitope analysis, we conducted a hydrogen–deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) analysis of hCD4 bound to CD4-Nb1, CD4-Nb2, or CD4-Nb3 (Figures 2B–E, Supplementary Figure 5). Due to its low affinity, CD4-Nb4 was not considered for HDX-MS analysis (data not shown). In accordance with our previous findings, binding of CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb3 protected sequences of domain 1 from HDX, whereas CD4-Nb2 protected sequences of domains 3 and 4 of hCD4 (Figure 2B). The results obtained for binding of CD4-Nb1 (Figure 2C) are similar to those obtained for CD4-Nb3 (Figure 2D) in that binding of either Nb reduced hydrogen exchange at amino acid (aa) residues from aa T17 to N73, albeit with a different extent of protection at individual sequence segments. For CD4-Nb1, the greatest protection from HDX

### Table 1

| Dissociation constant KD (nM) | kon (10^9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹) | koff (10⁻⁴ s⁻¹) | R² | EC50 (nM) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----|-----------|
| CD4-Nb1                     | 5.1 nM            | 1.21 ± 0.022  | 6.13 ± 0.27 | 0.996 | 0.74 nM  |
| CD4-Nb2                     | 6.5 nM            | 1.22 ± 0.015  | 7.95 ± 0.18 | 0.998 | 0.73 nM  |
| CD4-Nb3                     | 75.3 nM           | 0.82 ± 0.026  | 61.8 ± 2.00 | 0.983 | 533 nM   |
| CD4-Nb4                     | 135 nM            | 1.18 ± 0.014  | 160 ± 0.97  | 0.998 | 7.36 µM  |

Supplementary Figure 1: Identification and characterization of nanobodies (Nbs) against human CD4 (hCD4). (A) Amino acid sequences of the complementarity determining region (CDR) 3 from unique CD4-Nbs selected after two rounds of biopanning are listed. (B) Recombinant expression and purification of CD4-Nbs using immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC) and size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE of 2 µg of purified Nbs is shown. (C) Representative images of live CHO-hCD4 cells stained with CD4-Nbs for 30 min at 4°C (top row) or 60 min at 37°C (bottom row); scale bar: 50 µm. (D) For biolayer interferometry (BLI)-based affinity measurements, biotinylated hCD4 was immobilized on streptavidin biosensors. Kinetic measurements were performed using four concentrations of purified Nbs ranging from 15.6 to 1,000 nM. As an example, the sensogram of CD4-Nb1 at indicated concentrations is shown. (E) EC50 determination by flow cytometry. Exemplarily shown for CD4-Nb1, the percentage of positively stained HEK293-hCD4 (frequency of parent) was plotted against indicated concentrations of CD4-Nbs.
was observed for the sequence ranging from aa K35 to L44 corresponding to β strand C’ and C’’ of the immunoglobulin fold of domain 1 and residues aa K46–K75, comprising β strands D and E. In contrast, binding of CD4-Nb3 confers only a minor reduction in HDX within the latter sequence but additionally protects sequence aa C84–E91, which correspond to β strands G and F and their intermediate loop. For CD4-Nb2, we found protection of sequences aa W214–F229 (β strands C and C’) and aa K239–L259 (β strands C’’–E) and to a minor extent sequence aa R293–L296 as part of β strand A of domain 4 (Figure 2E). In summary, our HDX-MS analysis revealed that all three tested Nbs bind three dimensional epitopes within different parts of hCD4. It further provides an explanation how CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb3 can bind simultaneously to domain 1 of hCD4 and confirms that the epitope of CD4-Nb2 is mainly located at domain 3.

**Binding of CD4-Nbs to Human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells**

Having demonstrated that all selected Nbs bind to recombinant and exogenously overexpressed cellular hCD4, we next examined their capability and specificity of binding to physiologically relevant levels of CD4+ T cells within peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) samples. We costained human PBMCs from three donors with CD4-Nb1–CD4-Nb4 coupled to CF568 (100 nM for high-affine CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb2; 1,000 nM for low-affine CD4-Nb3 and CD4-Nb4) in combination with an anti-CD3 antibody and analyzed the percentage of double-positive cells (CD3+CD4+) by flow cytometry (Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 6). Compared to staining with an anti-CD4 antibody used as a positive control, all CD4-Nbs stained a similar percentage of CD4+ T cells for all tested donors, while the non-specific GFP-Nb yielded a negligible percentage of double-positive cells even at the highest concentration (1,000 nM) (Table 2). Our analysis further revealed that, as observed with a conventional anti-CD4 antibody, the CD4-Nbs stain a substantial proportion of CD3- cells, indicating that all selected candidates are also able to recognize cells such as monocytes, macrophages, or dendritic cells that express lower levels of CD4 (Figure 3).

**Impact of CD4-Nbs on Activation, Proliferation, and Cytokine Release of CD4+ T and Immune Cells**

In view of the envisioned application as clinical imaging tracer, we next evaluated the potential of the Nbs to be further developed into clinically approved binding molecules. Since CD4-Nb2 and CD4-Nb3 contain a number of cysteine residues in their CDR3, we excluded them at this stage because such non-canonical unpaired cysteines are often associated with expression...
problems and a higher tendency to form aggregates in downstream production (37, 38). With CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb4, we pursued two candidates that do not contain non-canonical cysteines and also cover a broad affinity spectrum. For these two Nbs and a non-specific GFP-Nb as a control, we then examined their influence on CD4+ T-cell activation, proliferation, and cytokine release. To rule out adverse effects of bacterial endotoxins in the Nb preparations, we first removed endotoxins by depletion chromatography, resulting in Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-acceptable endotoxin levels of <0.25 EU per mg. Typically, Nb-based radiotracers are applied at serum concentrations between 0.01 and 0.2 μM in (pre)clinical imaging (39, 40). To investigate the effects of Nbs at the expected, but also at a 10-fold increased concentration and consequently elongated serum retention times that might occur during in vivo (pre)clinical imaging, we treated carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE)-labeled human PBMCs from three preselected healthy donors with three Nbs at concentrations ranging from 0.05 to 5 μM for 1 h at 37°C. Subsequently, cells were washed to remove Nbs and stimulated with an antigenic [cognate major histocompatibility complex (MHC)II peptides] or a non-antigenic stimulus (phytohemagglutinin, PHA-L) and analyzed after 4, 6, and 8 days by flow cytometry with the gating strategy shown in Supplementary Figure 7A. According to the highly similar CFSE intensity profiles observed, the total number of cell divisions was not affected by the different Nb treatments (exemplarily shown for one of three donors on day 6; Supplementary Figure 7A). For samples of the same donor and time point, no substantial differences in the percentage of proliferated cells were observed between mock incubation and individual Nb treatments.

For both stimuli, the average percentage of proliferated cells increased over time in all donors tested, with no clear differences between conditions (Figure 4A). As a quantitative measure of T-cell activation, we also determined the cell surface induction of a very early activation marker (CD69) and of the interleukin (IL)-2 receptor α chain (CD25) on CD4+ T cells (Figure 4B). Among samples of the same donor and stimulation, we found highly similar activation profiles for all Nb treatments. While the percentage of CD4+CD25+ cells steadily increased over time for MHCII peptide stimulation, for the PHA-stimulated condition, the percentage of positive cells was similarly high at all times of analysis. Importantly, regardless of the differences between donors, the individual Nb treatments from the same donor did not result in significant differences in the percentage of CD4+CD25+ or CD4+CD69+ cells for either stimulation at any point in the analysis.

Next, we analyzed cytokine expression of CD4+ T cells by intracellular cytokine staining after restimulation with cognate MHCII peptides. The corresponding gating strategy is shown in...
Samples of the same donor treated with different Nbs had highly similar percentages of cytokine [tumor necrosis factor (TNF), IFN-γ, or IL-2] or activation marker (CD154)-positive cells without stimulation and upon stimulation with MHCII peptides (Figure 4C). Overall, exposure to CD4-Nbs did not affect the proliferation, activation, or cytokine production of CD4+ T cells. In addition, we analyzed potential effects of CD4-Nbs on the release of cytokines from full-blood samples of three further donors. Upon stimulation with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or PHA-L, we determined the serum concentrations with a panel of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines (Supplementary Table 2). Although there was significant inter-donor variation for some cytokines, Nb treatment did not result in significant differences in either stimulated or unstimulated samples (Supplementary Figure 8).

**CD4-Nbs for In Vivo Imaging**

For optical in vivo imaging, we labeled CD4-Nbs with the fluorophore Cy5.5 (CD4-Nb-Cy5.5) by sortase-mediated attachment of an azide group followed by click-chemistry addition of dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO)-Cy5.5. First, we tested potential cross-reactivity of the four Cy5.5-labeled CD4-Nbs to murine CD4+ lymphocytes. Notably, flow cytometric analysis showed that none of the selected CD4-Nbs bound murine CD4+

---

**TABLE 2 | Percentage of double-positive cells of three donors, stained with CD4-Nb1 or CD4-Nb2 (100 nM), or CD4-Nb3 or CD4-Nb4 (1,000 nM), compared to anti-CD4 antibody and negative control Nb (GFP-Nb, 1,000 nM).**

| Frequency CD3+ CD4+ (%) |
|-------------------------|
| c (nM) | Donor 1 | Donor 2 | Donor 3 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| Anti-CD4 antibody | ~1 | 33.7 | 27.0 | 24.3 |
| CD4-Nb1 | 100 | 30.5 | 29.2 | 22.7 |
| CD4-Nb2 | 100 | 33.8 | 25.6 | 18.4 |
| CD4-Nb3 | 1,000 | 35.5 | 26.5 | 20.4 |
| CD4-Nb4 | 1,000 | 33.8 | 26.9 | 23.9 |
| GFP-Nb | 1,000 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 1.0 |

---

**FIGURE 4 | Impact of CD4-nanobodies (Nbs) on activation, proliferation, and cytokine release of T cells. Cells were stained with carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE), treated with 5 µM Nbs or without for 1 h (one replicate each), washed, stimulated with 5 µg/ml MHCII peptides, 10 µg/ml PHA or not stimulated, and cultured for 12 days. (A) Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for proliferation (CFSE-low/negative fraction) and activation (CD25 and CD69) on days 4, 6, and 8. Proliferation of CD4+ cells after stimulation with MHCII peptide(s) (left) or PHA (right). (B) Activation markers on CD4+ cells. Top: CD25 expression after stimulation with MHCII peptide(s) (left) or PHA (right); Bottom: CD69 expression after stimulation with MHCII peptide(s) (left) or PHA (right). Mean percentages of all three donors are shown as plain or dotted lines. (C) Cytokine and activation marker expression of CD4+ cells—TNF, IFN-γ, CD154 (left y-axis), or IL-2 (right y-axis). Cells were restimulated on day 12 with MHCII peptide(s) or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (background) for 14 h in the presence of Golgi Stop and brefeldin A and analyzed by flow cytometry. Error bars display SEM. Gating strategy is shown in Supplementary Figure 6; all percentages are given within CD4+ T cells.
cells, suggesting exclusive binding to hCD4. Moreover, low-affinity binding CD4-Nb4 bound neither mouse nor human CD4+ cells at the concentration used here (0.75 μg/ml, ~49 nM) (Supplementary Figure 9). Consequently, we focused on CD4-Nb1 as the most promising candidate and CD4-Nb4 as a candidate with a high off-target rate, both of which we further analyzed for their in vivo target specificity and dynamic distribution using a murine xenograft model.

To establish hCD4-expressing tumors, NOD SCID gamma (NSG) mice were inoculated subcutaneously with CD4+ T-cell leukemia HPB-ALL cells (41). After 2–3 weeks, mice bearing HPB-ALL xenografts were intravenously (i.v.) injected with 5 μg of CD4-Nb1-Cy5.5, CD4-Nb4-Cy5.5, or a control Nb (GFP-Nb-Cy5.5) and non-invasively in vivo investigated by optical imaging (OI) in intervals over the course of 24 h (Figure 5A, Supplementary Figure 10A). The Cy5.5 signal intensity (SI) of the control Nb peaked within 10–20 minutes and rapidly declined thereafter to approximately the half and a quarter of maximum level at 2 and 24 h, respectively (Figure 5B, Supplementary Figure 10B). While the SI of the low-affinity CD4-Nb4-Cy5.5 did not exceed the SI of the control Nb at any time (Supplementary Figure 10B), CD4-Nb1-Cy5.5 reached its maximum SI within the HPB-ALL xenograft of ~1.8-fold above the control Nb at 30 min and slowly declined to ~90% and ~80% of maximum after 2 and 4 h, respectively (Figure 5B). Based on the differences in the SI between CD4-Nb1-Cy5.5 and GFP-Nb-Cy5.5, we observed constant high target accumulation and specificity between 30 and 480 min post injection (Figure 5B). After 24 h, mice were euthanized, and the presence of fluorophore-labeled CD4-Nbs within the explanted tumors was analyzed by OI (Figure 5C, Supplementary Figure 10C). Compared to control, tumors from mice injected with CD4−Nb1–Cy5.5 had ~4-fold higher Cy5.5 SI, indicating a good signal-to-background ratio for this Nb-derived fluorescently labeled immunoprobe even at later time points. To confirm CD4-specific targeting of CD4-Nb1 within the xenograft, we additionally performed ex vivo immunofluorescence of HPB-ALL tumors at 2 and 24 h post injection (Supplementary Figure S11). At the early time point, when the in vivo OI signal peaked, CD4-Nb1 was widely distributed throughout the whole tumor, whereas no Cy5.5 signal was detected in the GFP-Nb-injected mice (Supplementary Figures 11A, B). Semiquantitative analysis at the single-cell level revealed intense CD4-Nb1 binding at the surface of HPB-ALL cells that correlated with the CD4 antibody signal and internalization of CD4-Nb1 in some cells (Supplementary Figure 11C). In contrast, no binding was observed upon administration of unrelated GFP-Nb (Supplementary Figure 11D). At 24 h post injection, we observed regions of strongly internalized CD4-Nb1 (Supplementary Figures 11E, G), but also regions showing a low residual CD4-Nb1 uptake (Supplementary Figures 11E, H).

The OI data from the xenograft model clearly indicates that the high-affinity CD4-Nb1 but not CD4-Nb4 is suitable to specifically visualize CD4+ cells in vivo within a short period.
(30–120 min) after administration. Considering that this model does not reflect the natural distribution of CD4+ T cells in an organism, we continued with a model that allowed us to visualize the physiological composition of CD4+ immune cells. Thus, we employed a humanized CD4 murine knock-in model (hCD4KI) in which the extracellular fraction of the mouse CD4 antigen was replaced by the hCD4 while normal immunological function and T-cell distribution is restored (42).

64Cu-CD4-Nb1 Specifically Accumulates in CD4+ T Cell-Rich Organs

To generate immunoPET compatible tracers, CD4-Nb1 and GFP-Nb were labeled with the PET isotope 64Cu using a copper-chelating BCN-NODAGA group added to our azide-coupled Nbs. Radiolabeling yielded high radiochemical purity (≥95%) and specific binding of 64Cu-hCD4-Nb1 to CD4-expressing HBP-ALL cells (46.5% ± 5.6%) in vitro that was ~30 times higher than the non-specific binding to CD4-negative DHL cells or of the radiolabeled 64Cu-GFP-Nb control (Supplementary Figure 12A).

Subsequently, we injected 64Cu-CD4-Nb1 i.v. in hCD4KI and wt C57BL/6 mice and performed PET/MRI repetitively over 24 h. In two of the hCD4KI animals, we additionally followed tracer biodistribution over the first 90 min by dynamic PET (Supplementary Figure 12B). As expected for small-sized immunotracers, after an initial uptake peak within the first 10 min, 64Cu-CD4-Nb1 is rapidly cleared from the blood, lung, and liver via renal elimination. In comparison to wild type, mice carrying the hCD4 antigen on T cells showed an increased tracer accumulation in lymph nodes, thymus, liver, and spleen (Figure 6A). In these organs, which are known to harbor high numbers of CD4+ T cells (43), discrimination of CD4+-specific signal from organ background was optimal 3 h post injection (Figure 6B). Here, lymph nodes yielded a ~3-fold, spleen a ~2.5-fold, and liver a ~1.4-fold higher 64Cu-CD4-Nb1 accumulation in hCD4KI mice compared to wt littermates (Figure 6B). In contrast, we observed similar uptake levels for blood, muscle, lung, and kidney in both groups (Supplementary Figure 12C). Analyzing ex vivo biodistribution 24 h post tracer injection confirmed persistent accumulation of 64Cu-CD4-Nb1 in lymph nodes and spleen of hCD4-expressing mice, although the limited number of animals per group did not allow statistical analysis (Supplementary Figure 12D). In summary, these results demonstrate that CD4-Nb1 is capable of visualizing and monitoring CD4+ T cells in both optical and PET-based imaging.

DISCUSSION

Given the important role of CD4 as a marker for a variety of immune cells including monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, and CD4+ T cells, detailed monitoring of this marker is proving to be extremely important for the diagnosis and concomitant therapeutic monitoring of a variety of diseases. Several mouse studies and early clinical trials have already indicated the value of noninvasive imaging of CD4+ cells in rheumatoid arthritis (20), colitis (21), allogeneic stem cell transplantation (44), organ transplant rejection (45), acquired immunodeficiency disease (10), and in the context of cancer immunotherapies (46), using radiolabeled full-length antibodies or fragments thereof. However, biological activity, particularly CD4+ T-cell depletion, and long-term systemic retention of full-length antibodies limit their development into clinically applied immunoprobes (20, 24, 47, 48).

![Figure 6](http://example.com/fig6.png)

**Figure 6** | 64Cu-CD4-Nb1 specifically accumulates in CD4+ T cell-rich organs. (A) Representative maximum intensity projection PET/MR images of human CD4 knock-in (hCD4KI) and wild-type (wt) C57BL/6 mice 3 h post intravenous (i.v.) injection of 64Cu-CD4-Nb1. White arrows indicate localization of lymph nodes. (B) Exemplary transversal PET/MR images of spleen, lymph nodes, and liver (3 h post injection) and dynamic organ uptake quantification of 64Cu-CD4-Nb1 over 24 h [n = 3 per group, arithmetic mean of the % injected dose per ml (%ID/ml) ± SEM, unpaired t-test of the 3-h time point, * p < 0.05]. White arrows indicate the target organ.
The aim of this study was to develop hCD4-specific Nb as novel in vivo imaging probes to overcome the limitations of previous noninvasive imaging approaches. To identify binders that recognize the cellular exposed CD4, we employed two screening strategies where we selected Nbs either against adsorbed recombinant CD4 or against hCD4-expressing cells. Interestingly, both panning strategies proved successful, as demonstrated by the selection of two Nbs each that efficiently bind cell-resident CD4. Combining different biochemical analyses including epitope binning, cellular imaging, and HDX-MS, we were able to elucidate in detail the detected domains, as well as the three-dimensional epitopes addressed by the individual Nbs, and thus identified two candidates, CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb3, that can simultaneously bind to different segments within domain 1, while CD4-Nb2 has been shown to bind to domain 3 of CD4.

Notably, for most Nbs currently being developed for in vivo imaging purposes, detailed information about their epitopes is not available (49–55). There are only few examples such as the anti-HER2-specific Nb 2Rs15d, where the precise epitope was elucidated by complex crystallization (56) and that was successfully applied in a phase I study for clinical imaging (39). However, for CD4-specific Nbs, this knowledge is all the more important because epitope-specific targeting of CD4+ T-cell functions has far-reaching implications. This is true especially for cancer treatment, as CD4+ T cells have opposing effects on tumor growth and response to immunotherapies, crucially depending on the CD4 effector cell differentiation and tumor entity (57, 58). In this context, it was shown that domain 1 of CD4 mediates transient interaction of the CD4 receptor and the MHCII complex (59–61), while T-cell activation is abrogated when T-cell receptor (TCR) and CD4 colocalization is blocked via domain 3 (62). To further elucidate a possible impact on immunomodulation, we analyzed the effect of CD4-Nb1 and CD4-Nb4 targeting two different domains on CD4+ T cell proliferation and cytokine expression. Notably, neither CD4-Nb1 nor CD4-Nb4 affected the behavior of endogenous CD4+ T-cells in vitro or induced increased cytokine levels in whole blood samples when employed at concentrations that are intended for molecular imaging purposes in patients. From these data, it can be concluded that these Nbs are mostly biologically inert and thus might be beneficial compared to full-length antibodies (24) or other antibody fragments such as the anti-CD4 Cys-diabody, which was recently reported to inhibit the proliferation of CD4+ cells and IFN-γ production in vitro (26).

Following our initial intention to generate immune tracer for in vivo imaging, we performed a site-directed labeling approach employing C-terminal sortagging to conjugate an azide group, which can be universally used to attach a multitude of detectable moieties by straightforward DBCO-mediated click chemistry (49). For the fluorescent and radiolabeled CD4-Nb1, we observed rapid recruitment and sustained targeting of CD4+ cells in a xenograft and hCD4 knock-in mouse model. Using a quantitative high-resolution PET/MR imaging approach, our radiolabeled 64Cu-CD4-Nb1 allowed visualizing T cell-rich organs with high sensitivity. Beyond immune organs including lymph nodes, thymus, and spleen, we could detect enhanced CD4-Nb1 uptake in liver. At this point, we cannot distinguish whether this is due to the presence of CD4+ cells or non-specific elimination occurring through this organ. Consequently, further experiments are needed to analyze whether CD4-Nbs lacking the Fc region are advantageous compared to larger antibody formats, which have a higher tendency to accumulate non-specifically in the spleen and liver due to Fcγ receptor-mediated uptake. However, to further modify serum retention times in order to improve specific tissue targeting, CD4-Nbs could easily be modified, as shown by the addition of an albumin-binding fragment (63) or PEGylation (49).

Considering the translation of the CD4-Nb1 for clinical imaging, additional aspects such as potential immunogenicity have to be assessed. Due to their high homology to the human type 3 VH domain, Nbs were described as weakly immunogenic in humans (64), and several strategies are available to humanize Nbs by exchanging a small number of aa residues in the framework regions (65). Moreover, a recent study of two Nbs in phase II clinical trials for PET imaging reported that very few patients developed low levels of anti-drug antibodies after prolonged administration of Nbs (66), indicating that monomeric Nbs present a low immunogenicity risk profile. In addition, long-term kidney retention of radiolabeled Nbs, mediated primarily by the endocytic receptor megalin (67), can cause undesirable nephrotoxicity and interfere with imaging of molecular targets near the kidneys. However, this can be overcome by targeted engineering of Nbs, e.g., removal of charged aa tags or simultaneous administration of positively charged components that interact with megalin receptors (68, 69). Of note, compared to other radiolabeled Nbs used for preclinical imaging of similar targets (49), CD4-Nb1 showed relatively low renal accumulation. However, as the molecular reasons for this are unclear at this stage, further studies are needed to gain deeper insight into this phenomenon.

In summary, this study demonstrates for the first time the generation and detailed characterization of Nbs specific for hCD4 and their comprehensive experimental evaluation in vitro and in vivo. In particular, CD4-Nb1 turned out as a promising candidate for a noninvasive whole-body study of CD4+ cells in mice. Considering the increasing importance of advanced molecular imaging in clinical practice, we anticipate that this Nb-based immunotracer could become a highly versatile tool as a novel theranostic to accompany the clinical translation of emerging immunotherapies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nanobody Library Generation

Alpaca immunization and Nb library construction were carried out as described previously (70, 71). Animal immunization has been approved by the government of Upper Bavaria (Permit number: 55.2-1-54-2532.0-80-14). In brief, an alpaca (Vicugna pacos) was immunized with the purified extracellular domains of...
hCD4 (aa26-390) recombinantly produced in HEK293 cells (antibodies-online GmbH, Germany). After initial priming with 1 mg, the animal received six boost injections with 0.5 mg hCD4 each, every second week. Then, 87 days after initial immunization, ~100 ml of blood were collected and lymphocytes were isolated by Ficoll gradient centrifugation using Lymphocyte Separation Medium (PAA Laboratories GmbH). Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Life Technologies), and mRNA was transcribed into cDNA using the First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (GE Healthcare). The Nb repertoire was isolated in three subsequent PCR reactions using the following primer combinations: 1) CALL001 and CALL002; 2) forward primer set FR1-1, FR1-2, FR1-3, FR1-4, and reverse primer CALL002; and 3) forward primer FR1-ext1 and FR1-ext2 and reverse primer set FR4-1, FR4-2, FR4-3, FR4-4, FR4-5, and FR4-6 introducing SfiI and NotI restriction sites. The Nb library was subcloned into the SfiI/NotI sites of the pHEN4 phagemid vector (72).

**Nanobody Screening**

For the selection of CD4-specific Nbs, two consecutive phage enrichment rounds were performed, both with immobilized recombinant antigen and CHO-hCD4 cells. E. coli TG1 cells comprising the hCD4-Nb library in pHEN4 were infected with the M13K07 helper phage to generate Nb-presenting phages. For each round, 1 × 10^11 phages of the hCD4-Nb library were applied with 1 × 10^11 phages under constant mixing at 4°C for 3 h. Cells were washed 3× with 5% milk or bovine serum albumin (BSA) in phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 PBS-T, and with increasing panning rounds, PBS-T washing stringency was increased. Bound phages were eluted in 100 mM triethylamine (TEA; pH 10.0), followed by immediate neutralization with 1 M Tris/HCl pH 7.4. For cell-based panning, 2 × 10^6 CHO-hCD4 or HEK293-hCD4 cells were non-enzymatically detached using dissociation buffer (Gibco) and suspended in 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) in PBS. Antibody-expressing cells were incubated with 1 × 10^11 phages under constant mixing at 4°C for 3 h. Cells were washed 3× with 5% FBS in PBS. Cell lines were alternated between panning rounds. Phages were eluted with 75 mM citric acid buffer at pH 2.3 for 5 min. To deplete non-CD4-specific phages, eluted phages were incubated 3× with 1 × 10^7 wt cells. Exponentially growing E. coli TG1 cells were infected with eluted phages from both panning strategies and spread on selection plates for the following panning rounds. Antigen-specific enrichment for each round was monitored by counting colony-forming units (CFUs).

**Whole-Cell Phage ELISA**

Polystyrene Costar 96-well cell culture plates (Corning) were coated with poly-L-lysine (Sigma Aldrich) and washed once with H_2O. CHO-wt and CHO-hCD4 were plated at 2 × 10^4 cells per well in 100 µl and grown to confluency overnight. Next day, 70 µl of phage supernatant was added to the culture medium of each cell type and incubated at 4°C for 3 h. Cells were washed 5× with 5% FBS in PBS. M13-horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled antibody (Progen) was added at a concentration of 0.5 ng/ml for 1 h, washed 3× with 5% FBS in PBS. One-Step Ultra TMB 32048 ELISA Substrate [Thermo Fisher Scientific (TFS)] was added and incubated until color change was visible, and the reaction was stopped by addition of 100 µl of 1 M H_2SO_4. Detection occurred at 450 nm at a Pherastar plate reader, and phage ELISA-positive clones were defined by a 2-fold signal above wt control cells.

**Expression Constructs**

The cDNA of hCD4 (UniProtKB-P01730) was amplified from hCD4-mOrange plasmid DNA (hCD4-mOrange was a gift from Sergi Padilla Parra; addgene plasmid #110192; http://n2t.net/addgene:110192; RRID : Addgene_110192) by PCR using forward primer hCD4 fwd and reverse primer hCD4 rev and introduced into BamHI and XhoI sites of a pcDNA3.1 vector variant [pcDNA3.1(+)]RES-eGFP, a gift from Kathleen L Collins; addgene plasmid #51406; http://n2t.net/addgene:51406; RRID : Addgene_51406]. We replaced the neomycin resistance gene (NeoR) with the cDNA for Blasticidin S deaminase (bsd), amplified with forward primer bsd fwd and reverse primer bsd rev, by integration into the XmaI and BssHII sites of the vector. CD4 domain deletion mutants were generated using the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (NEB) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For mutants lacking domain 1 of hCD4, we introduced an N-terminal BC2-tag (35). For the generation of plasmid pcDNA3.1_CD4_D1_IRES-eGFP, we used forward primer D1 fwd and reverse primer D1 rev; for pcDNA3.1_CD4_D1AD2_IRES-eGFP, forward primer D1AD2 fwd and reverse primer D1AD2 rev; for pcDNA3.1_CD4_D3AD4_IRES-eGFP, forward primer D3AD4 fwd and reverse primer D3AD4 rev. For bacterial expression of Nbs, sequences were cloned into the pHE6N vector (73), thereby adding a C-terminal sortase tag LPETG followed by 6x His-tag for IMAC purification as described previously (34). For protein production of the extracellular domains 1-4 of hCD4 in Expi293 cells, corresponding cDNA was amplified from plasmid DNA containing full-length hCD4 cDNA (addgene plasmid #110192) using forward primer CD4_D1-4 f and reverse primer CD4_D1-4 r. A 6x His tag was introduced by the reverse primer. Esp3I and EcoRI restriction sites were used to introduce the cDNA into a pcDNA3.4 expression vector with the signal peptide MGWTLVFLLLSVTAGVHS from the antibody JF5 (74).

**Cell Culture, Transfection, Stable Cell Line Generation**

HEK293T and CHO-K1 cells were obtained from ATCC (CCL-61, LGC Standards GmbH, Germany). As this study does not include cell line-specific analysis, cells were used without additional authentication. Cells were cultivated according to standard protocols. Briefly, growth media containing Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (HEK293) or DMEM/F12 (CHO) [both high glucose, pyruvate (TF5)] supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, L-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin (P/S; all from TFS) were used for cultivation. Cells were passaged using 0.05% trypsin-EDTA (TF5) and were cultivated at 37°C and 5% CO_2 atmosphere in a humidified chamber. Plasmid DNA was transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 following the manufacturer’s protocol. For transfection efficiency measurements, transfected cells were incubated with 32048 ELISA Substrate [Thermo Fisher Scientific (TFS)] as described previously (75).
2000 (TFS) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For the generation of the stable HEK293-hCD4 and CHO-hCD4 cell line, 24 h post transfection, cells were subjected to a 2-week selection period using 5 μg/ml Blasticidin S (Sigma Aldrich) followed by single cell segregation. Individual clones were analyzed by live-cell fluorescence microscopy regarding their level and uniformity of GFP and CD4 expression.

**Protein Expression and Purification**

CD4-specific Nbs were expressed and purified as previously published (71, 75). Extracellular fragment of hCD4 comprising domains 1–4 of hCD4 and a C-terminal His6-tag was expressed in Expi293 cells according to the manufacturer’s protocol (TFS). Cell supernatant was harvested by centrifugation 4 days after transfection, sterile filtered and purified according to previously described protocols (76). For quality control, all purified proteins were analyzed via sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) according to standard procedures. Therefore, protein samples were denaturized (5 min, 95°C) in 2× SDS sample buffer containing 60 mM Tris/HCl, pH 6.8; 2% (w/v) SDS; 5% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.02% bromphenole blue. All proteins were visualized by InstantBlue Coomassie (Expedeon) staining. For immunoblotting, proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad) and detection was performed using anti-His antibody (Penta-His Antibody, #34660, Qiagen) laboratories) and detection was performed using anti-His primary antibody (Penta-His Antibody, #34660, Qiagen) followed by donkey anti-mouse secondary antibody labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 (Invitrogen) using a Typhoon Trio scanner (GE Healthcare, excitation 633 nm, emission filter settings 670 nm BP 30).

**Live-Cell Immunofluorescence**

CHO-hCD4 and CHO wt cells transiently expressing CD4 domain-deletion mutants were plated at ~10,000 cells per well of a μClear 96-well plate (Greiner Bio One, cat. #655090) and cultivated at standard conditions. Next day, medium was replaced by live-cell visualization medium DMEMgp-2 (Evrogen, cat. #MC102) supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 2 μg/ml Hoechst 33258 (Sigma Aldrich) for nuclear staining and fluorescently labeled or unlabeled CD4-Nbs at concentrations between 1 and 100 nM. Unlabeled CD4-Nbs were visualized by addition of 2.5 μg/ml anti-VHH secondary Cy5 AffiniPure Goat Anti-Alpaca IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch). Images were acquired with a MetaXpress Micro XL system (Molecular Devices) at ×20 or ×40 magnification.

**Biolayer Interferometry**

To determine the binding affinity of purified Nbs to recombinant hCD4, biolayer interferometry (BLItz, ForteBio) was performed. First, CD4 was biotinylated by 3-fold molar excess of biotin-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester. CD4 was then immobilized at single-use streptavidin biosensors (SA) according to manufacturer’s protocols. For each Nb, we executed four association/dissociation runs with concentrations appropriate for the affinities of the respective Nbs (overall between 15.6 nM and 1 μM). As a reference run, PBS was used instead of Nb in the association step. As a negative control, the GFP-Nb (500 nM) was applied in the binding studies. Recorded sensograms were analyzed using the BLItzPro software, and dissociation constants (Kₘ) were calculated based on global fits. For the epitope competition analysis, two consecutive application steps were performed, with a short dissociation period of 30 s after the first association.

**Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cell Isolation, Freezing, and Thawing**

Fresh blood,uffy coats, or mononuclear blood cell concentrates were obtained from healthy volunteers at the Department of Immunology or from the ZKT Tübingen gGmbH. Participants gave informed consent, and the studies were approved by the ethical review committee of the University of Tübingen, projects 156/2012BO1 and 713/2018BO2. Blood products were diluted with PBS 1× (homemade from 10× stock solution, Lonza, Switzerland), and PBMCs were isolated by density gradient centrifugation with Biocoll separation solution (Biochrom, Germany). PBMCs were washed twice with PBS 1×, counted with an NC-250 cell counter (Chemometec, Denmark), and resuspended in heat-inactivated (h.i.) FBS (Capricorn Scientific, Germany) containing 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Merck). Cells were immediately transferred into a -80°C freezer in a freezing container (Mr. Frosty; TFS). After at least 24 h, frozen cells were transferred into a liquid nitrogen tank and were kept frozen until use. For the experiments, cells were thawed in Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Medium (IMDM) (+L-Glutamin + 25 mM HEPE; Life Technologies) supplemented with 2.5% h.i. human serum (HS; PanBiotech, Germany), 1× P/S (Sigma-Aldrich), and 50 μm β-mercaptoethanol (β-ME; Merck), washed once, counted, and used for downstream assays.

**Affinity Determination by Flow Cytometry**

For cell-based affinity determination, HEK293-hCD4 cells were detached using enzyme-free cell dissociation buffer (Gibco) and resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS containing 5% FBS). For each staining condition, 200,000 cells were incubated with suitable dilution series of CD4-Nbs at 4°C for 30 min. Cells were washed two times, and for detection of Cy5 AffiniPure Goat Anti-Alpaca IgG, VHH domain (Jackson ImmunoResearch) was applied for 15 min. PBMCs (Department of Immunology/ZKT Tübingen gGmbH, Germany) were freshly thawed and resuspended in FACS buffer. For each sample, 200,000 cells were incubated with suitable concentrations of CD4-Nbs coupled to CF568 in combination with 1:500 dilution of anti-CD3-FITC (BD Biosciences) at 4°C for 30 min. For control staining, PE/Cy5-labeled anti-hCD4 antibody (RPA-T4, BioLegend) was used. After two washing steps, samples were resuspended in 200 μl FACS buffer and analyzed with a BD FACS Melody Cell Sorter. Final data analysis was performed via FlowJo10® software (BD Biosciences).

**Sortase Labeling of Nanobodies**

Sortase A pentamutant (eSrTA) in pET29 was a gift from David Liu (Addgene plasmid # 75144) and was expressed and purified as described (77). CF568-coupled peptide H-Gly-Gly-Gly-Doa-
Lys-NH₂ (sortase substrate) was custom-synthesized by Intavis AG. For the click chemistry, a peptide H-Gly-Gly-Gly-propyl-azide was synthesized. In brief, for sortase coupling 50 μM Nb, 250 μM sortase peptide dissolved in sortase buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, and 150 mM NaCl) and 10 μM sortase were mixed in coupling buffer (sortase buffer with 10 mM CaCl₂) and incubated for 4 h at 4°C. Uncoupled Nb and sortase were depleted by IMAC. Unbound excess of unreacted sortase peptide was removed using Zeba Spin Desalting Columns (TF5, cat. #89890). Azide-coupled Nbs were labeled by strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition (SPAAC) click chemistry reaction with 2-fold molar excess of DBCO-Cy5.5 (Jena Bioscience) for 2 h at 25°C. Excess DBCO-Cy5.5 was subsequently removed by dialysis (GEBAflex-tube, 6-8 kDa, Scienova). Finally, to remove untagged Nb (side product of the sortase reaction), we used hydrophobic interaction chromatography (HIC; Hitrap Butyl-S FF, Cytiva). Binding of DBCO-Cy5.5-coupled Nb occurred in 50 mM H₂NaNPO₄, 1.5 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, pH 7.2. Quenching solution (0.2 M TCEP with 1.5% formic acid and 4 mM guanidine HCl in 100 mM ammonium formate solution pH 2.5). Quenched samples were immediately snap-frozen. Dye-labeled protein fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by fluorescent scanning on a Typhoon Trio (GE-Healthcare; CF568: excitation 633 nm, emission filter settings 580 nm BP 30; Cy5.5: excitation 633 nm, emission filter settings 670 nm BP 30; 546) and subsequent Coomassie staining. Identity and purity of final products were determined by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) (CD4-Nbs-CF568, >60%; CD4-Nb1-Cy5.5, ~94%; CD4-Nb4-Cy5.5, ~99%; GBP-Cy5.5, ~94%; CD4-Nb1-3, ~99%; bivGFP-Nb, ~99%).

**Hydrogen–Deuterium Exchange**

**CD4 Deuteration Kinetics and Epitope Elucidation**

On the basis of the affinity constants of 5.1 nM (CD4-Nb1), 6.5 nM (CD4-Nb2), and 75.3 nM (CD4-Nb3) (predetermined by BLI analysis), the molar ratio of antigen to Nb was calculated, ensuring 90% complex formation according to Kochert et al. (78). CD4 (5 μl, 65.5 μM) was preincubated with CD4-specific Nbs (5 μl; 60.3, 67.4, and 143.1 μM for Nb1, Nb2, and Nb3, respectively) for 10 min at 25°C. Deuteration samples containing CD4 only were preincubated with PBS instead of the Nbs. HDX of the preincubated samples was initiated by 1:10 dilution with PBS (pH 7.4) prepared with D₂O, leading to a final concentration of 90% D₂O. After 5- and 50-min incubation at 25°C, aliquots of 20 μl were taken and quenched by adding 20 μl ice-cold quenching solution (0.2 M TCEP with 1.5% formic acid and 4 M guanidine HCl in 100 mM ammonium formate solution pH 2.2), resulting in a final pH of 2.5. Quenched samples were immediately snap-frozen.

Immobilized pepsin (TFS) was prepared using 60 μl of 50% slurry (in ammonium formate solution pH 2.5) that was then centrifuged (1,000 × g for 3 min at 0°C). The supernatant was discarded. Prior to each analysis, samples were thawed and added to the pepsin beads. After digestion for 2 min in a water ice bath, samples were separated from the beads by centrifugation at 1,000 × g for 30 s at 0°C using a 0.22-μm filter (Merck, Millipore) and immediately analyzed by LC-MS. Undeuterated control samples for each complex and CD4 alone were prepared under the same conditions using H₂O instead of D₂O. Additionally, each Nb was digested without addition of CD4 to generate a list of peptic peptides deriving from the Nb. The HDX experiments of the CD4-Nb complexes were performed in triplicate. The back-exchange of the method as determined using a standard peptide mixture of 14 synthetic peptides was 24%.

**Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry**

HDX samples were analyzed as described previously (75).

**HDX Data Analysis**

A peptic peptide list was generated in a preliminary LC-MS/MS experiment as described previously (75). For data-based search, no enzyme selectivity was applied; furthermore, identified peptides were manually evaluated to exclude peptides originated through cleavage after arginine, histidine, lysine, proline, and the residue after proline (79). Additionally, a separate list of peptides for each Nb was generated, and peptides overlapping in mass, retention time, and charge with the antigen digest were manually removed. Analysis of the deuterated samples was performed in MS mode only, and HDEXaminer v2.5.0 (Sierra Analytics, USA) was used to calculate the deuterium uptake (centroid mass shift). HDX could be determined for peptides covering 87%–88% of the CD4 sequence (Supplementary Figure 11). The calculated percentages of deuterium uptake of each peptide between CD4-Nb and CD4-only were compared. Any peptide with uptake reduction of 5% or greater upon Nb binding was considered protected. All relevant HDX parameters are shown in Supplementary Table S3 as recommended (80).

**Endotoxin Determination and Removal**

The concentration of bacterial endotoxins was determined with Pierce LAL Chromogenic Endotoxin Quantitation Kit (TFS), and removal occurred using EndoTrap HD 1 ml (Lionex) according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

**Synthetic Peptides**

The following human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-class II peptides were used for the stimulations: MHCIi pool (HCMVA pp65 aa 109-123 MSIVYVYALPLKMLNI, HCMV pp65 aa 366-382 HPTFETSQYRIQGKLEYR, EBVB9 EBNA2 aa 276-290 PIFIRLRHLLLMRA, EBVB9 GP350 aa 381-395 YQEFFWDANDIYRI, EBVB9 EBNA1 aa 514-527 PRSPTVFYNIPPMPL, EBVB9 EBNA1 aa 514-527 KTSLYNLRRRTALAA, EBV BXLF2 aa 126-140 LEKQLFYYIGTMPLNTRPHS, EBV BRLFI1 aa 119-133 DRRFIQAPSNRVMIP, EBVB9 EBNA3 aa 381-395 PIIFIRLRHLLLMRA, EBVB9 GP350 aa 167-181 STNITAVVRAQGLDV, IABAN HEMA aa 306-318 PKKYKQNTKLAT) or CMV pp65 aa 510-524 YQEFFWDANDIYRIF. All peptides were synthesized and dissolved in water 10% DMSO as previously described (purity ≥80%) and were kindly provided by S. Stevanović (81).

**Stimulation and Cultivation of Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells**

PBMCs from donors previously screened for *ex vivo* CD4⁺ T-cell reactivities against MHCIi peptides were thawed and rested in T-cell medium (TCM; IMDM + 1× P/S + 50 μM β-ME + 10% h.i. 80%) and were kindly provided by S. Stevanović (81).
unstimulated and cultured at 37°C and 7.5% CO₂. Then, 2 ng/ml and incubated with 5, 0.5, or 0.05 μM carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE; BioLegend, USA) in 1 ml PBS 1× for 20 min according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The cells were washed twice in medium containing 10% FBS after CFSE labeling and seeded in a 48-well cell culture plate (1.6 × 10⁶ cells/well) and counted, and each condition was separated into three parts and seeded in a 48-well cell culture plate (1.6–2.5 × 10⁶ cells/well in triplicate). Cells were stimulated with either 10 μg/ml PHA-L (Sigma-Aldrich) or 5 μg/ml MHCII peptide(s) or left unstimulated and cultured at 37°C and 7.5% CO₂. Then, 2 ng/ml recombinant human IL-2 (R&D, USA) were added on days 3, 5, and 7. One-third of the culture on day 4, one half of the culture on days 6 and 8, and the remaining cells on day 12 were harvested, counted, and stained for flow cytometry analyses. For donor 1, the proliferation/activation status and cytokine production were analyzed in two different experiments, whereas for donors 2 and 3, cells from a single experiment were used for the three assays.

Assessment of T-Cell Proliferation and Activation

Cells from days 4, 6, and 8 were transferred into a 96-well round-bottom plate and washed twice with FACS buffer [PBS + 0.02% sodium azide (Roht, Germany) + 2 mM EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich) + 2% h.i. FBS]. Extracellular staining was performed with CD4 APC-Cy7 (RPA-T4; BD Biosciences), CD8 BV605 (RPA-T8; BioLegend), the dead cell marker Zombie Aqua (BioLegend), CD25 PE-Cy7 (BC96; BioLegend), CD69 PE (FN50; BD Biosciences) and incubated for 20 min at 4°C. All antibodies were used at pretested optimal concentrations. Cells were washed twice with FACS buffer. Approximately 500,000 cells were acquired on the same day using an LSRFortessaTM SORP (BD Biosciences, USA) equipped with the DIVA Software (Version 6; BD Biosciences). The percentage of proliferating CD4⁺ cells was determined by assessment of CFSE-negative cells and activation by the percentage of CD69⁺ or CD25⁺.

Assessment of T-Cell Function by Intracellular Cytokine Staining

On day 12, the MHCII peptide(s)-stimulated and cultured cells were transferred into a 96-well round-bottom plate (0.5–1 × 10⁶ cells/well) and restimulated using 10 μg/ml of the same peptide(s), 10 μg/ml staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB; Sigma-Aldrich; positive control), or 10% DMSO (negative control). Protein transport inhibitors brefeldin A (10 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) and Golgi Stop (BD Biosciences) were added at the same time as the stimuli. After 14-h stimulation at 37°C and 7.5% CO₂, cells were stained extracellularly with the fluorescently labeled antibodies CD4 APC-Cy7, CD8 BV605, and Zombie Aqua and incubated for 20 min at 4°C. Afterward, cells were washed once, fixed, and permeabilized using the BD Cytofix/Cytoperm solution (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions; stained intracellularly with TNF Pacific Blue (Mab11), IL-2 PE-Cy7 (MQ1-17H12), IFN-γ Alexa Fluor 700 (45.B7), and CD154 APC (2431) antibodies (all BioLegend) (82); and washed twice. Approximately 500,000 cells were acquired on the same day using an LSRFortessaTM SORP (BD Biosciences, USA) equipped with the DIVA Software (Version 6; BD Biosciences). All flow cytometry analyses were performed with FlowJo version 10.6.2; gating strategies are shown in Supplementary Figure 6. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism version 9.0.0.

Full Blood Stimulation and Cytokine Release Assay

Here, 100 μl of lithium-heparin blood was incubated for 1 h at 37°C and 7.5% CO₂. The blood was stimulated with 5 μM Nb (CD4-Nb1, CD4-Nb4, or control Nb), 100 ng/ml LPS (Invivogen, USA), or 2 μg/ml PHA-L in a final volume of 250 μl (serum-free IMDM medium) or left unstimulated for 24 h at 37°C and 7.5% CO₂. After two centrifugations, supernatant was collected without transferring erythrocytes. The supernatants were frozen at -80°C until cytokine measurements. Levels of IL-1β, IL-1Ra, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-13, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), IFN-γ, macrophage chemotactic protein (MCP)-1, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1β, TNFα, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) were determined using a set of in-house-developed Luminex-based sandwich immunoassays each consisting of commercially available capture and detection antibodies and calibrator proteins. All assays were thoroughly validated ahead of the study with respect to accuracy, precision, parallelism, robustness, specificity, and sensitivity (83, 84). Samples were diluted at least 1:4 or higher. After incubation of the prediluted samples or calibrator protein with the capture coated microspheres, beads were washed and incubated with biotinylated detection antibodies. Streptavidin-phycocerythrin was added after an additional washing step for visualization. For control purposes, calibrators and quality control samples were included on each microtiter plate. All measurements were performed on a Luminex FlexMap® 3D analyzer system using Luminex xPONENT® 4.2 software (Luminex, USA). For data analysis, MasterPlex QT, version 5.0, was employed. Standard curve and quality control samples were evaluated according to internal criteria adapted to the Westgard Rules (85) to ensure proper assay performance.

Analysis of Cross-Species Reactivity Binding to Mouse CD4⁺ Cells by Flow Cytometry

Murine CD4⁺ cells were isolated from spleen and lymph nodes of C57BL/6N mice by positive selection over CD4 magnetic microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech, Germany). Human CD4⁺ cells were extracted from blood using StraightFrom® Whole Blood CD4 MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotech). Single-cell suspensions were incubated with 0.75 μg/ml of CD4-Nbs-Cy5.5 (~47–60
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layer chromatography (t TLC-SA; Agilent Technologies; mobile

phase 0.1 M citric acid pH 5) and high-performance size

exclusion chromatography (HPLC; BioSep SEC-2000, 300 ×

7.8 mm, Phenomenex; mobile phase DPBS with 0.5 mM EDTA).

All radiolabeled preparations used for in vivo PET imaging had

radiochemical purities of ≥97% (t TLC) and ≥94% (HPLC).

In Vivo Radioimmunoassay

Here, 107 HPB-ALL or DHL cells were incubated in triplicate

with 1 ng (3 MBq/μg) of radiolabeled 64Cu-Cd4-Nb1 or 64Cu-

GFP-Nb for 1 h at 37°C and washed twice with PBS/2% FCS. The

remaining cell-bound radioactivity was measured using a

Wizard2 2480 gamma counter (PerkinElmer Inc., Waltham,

MA, USA) and quantified as percentage of total added activity.

PET/MRI

Human CD4 knock-in (hCD4KI, genOway, Lyon, France) and

wt C57BL/6j mice (Charles River) were injected intravenously with

5 μg (~15 MBq) of 64Cu-Cd4-Nb1. During the scans, mice were

anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane in 100% oxygen and

warmed by water-filled heating mats. Ten-minute static PET

scans were performed after 1.5, 3, 6, and 24 h in a dedicated small-

animal Inveon microPET scanner (Siemens Healthineers,

Knoxville, TN, USA; acquisition time: 600 s). For anatomical

information, sequential T2 TurboRARE MR images were

acquired immediately after the PET scans on a small animal 7 T

ClinScan magnetic resonance scanner (Bruker BioSpin GmbH,

Rheinstetten, Germany). Dynamic PET data of the first 90 min

post injection were gained in two mice and divided into 10-min

frames. After attenuation correction by a cobalt-57 point source,

PET images were reconstructed using an ordered subset

expectation maximization (OSEM3D) algorithm and analyzed with

Inveon Research Workplace (Siemens Preclinical Solutions).

The volumes of interest of each organ were drawn based on the anatomical MRI to acquire corresponding PET

tracer uptake. The resulting values were decay-corrected and

presented as percentage of injected dose per volume (%ID/ml).

Ex vivo γ-counting was conducted after the last imaging time

point by measuring the weight and radioactivity of each organ.

For quantification, standardized aliquots of the injected

radiotracer were added to the measurement.

Analyses and Statistics

Data analysis of the flow cytometry data was performed with the

FlowJo Software Version 10.6.2 (FlowJo LLT, USA), and graph

preparation and statistical analysis were performed using the

GraphPad Prism Software (Version 8.3.0 or higher).
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