What Have We Learned? Implementation of a Shared Learning Agenda and Access Strategy for the Hormonal Intrauterine Device
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Key Findings

In this review of early experiences providing the hormonal intrauterine device (IUD) in sub-Saharan Africa, we found:

- Continuation and satisfaction were high among hormonal IUD users in pilot settings and generally comparable to those of other long-acting reversible contraceptives.
- Hormonal IUD users reported positive attributes of the method including its effectiveness, long duration, convenience, potential for reduced bleeding, and fewer side effects compared to other hormonal methods.
- Coordination across diverse organizations, including alignment on a shared learning agenda and access strategy, ultimately contributed to expanded access to the method.

Key Implications

- As several countries in sub-Saharan Africa are preparing to introduce the hormonal IUD on a wider scale, the addition of the hormonal IUD to the catalogs of the United States Agency for International Development and the United Nations Population Fund will help expand public-sector access.
- Additional investments in method introduction—including provider training, demand creation, robust implementation research, and monitoring and evaluation—will be critical to understanding how best to scale the method.

Background

In 2015, an interagency working group convened with the goal of expanding access to the hormonal IUD (Box 1) in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) in the context of volunteerism and contraceptive method choice.1,2 The hormonal IUD is a highly effective, long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC) with proven noncontraceptive benefits including treatment for menorrhagia and potential prevention of iron-deficiency anemia.3 Despite these advantages, the method has not been available at scale in LMICs because of access barriers including high commodity prices, service delivery constraints, and lack of understanding of potential demand in these markets.3
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The following additional terms are commonly used to describe the hormonal intrauterine device (IUD):

- Levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (LNG IUS)
- Hormonal IUS
- LNG IUD

To accommodate multiple products and new hormone-releasing IUDs under development, the World Health Organization adopted the term “hormonal IUD” as the recommended standardized nomenclature in 2021.1

However, new opportunities to expand access have emerged in recent years, and the method was added to the World Health Organization’s Essential Medicines List in 2015.3,5 Part of the mandate of the interagency working group was to create a shared learning agenda for the method and align on harmonized approaches to data collection as well as stakeholder engagement strategies.2 Since then, what progress has been made in answering questions about the method? How did the consortium, now known as the Hormonal IUD Access Group (Box 2), facilitate coordination at the global and country levels? What are the next steps to expand availability of quality-assured products as part of a comprehensive contraceptive method mix? In this article, we synthesize learnings from the past several years and provide updates from the group’s efforts to address both demand- and supply-side barriers to access.

### IMPLEMENTATION OF A SHARED LEARNING AGENDA: THEN AND NOW

One of the goals of the Hormonal IUD Access Group was to achieve consensus among a diverse group of stakeholders—including donors, researchers, suppliers, and service delivery organizations—on priority research and evaluation questions regarding the market potential of the hormonal IUD in LMICs. Although the hormonal IUD is widely available in high-income countries and has been frequently evaluated in those settings,6,7 evidence related to its use in LMICs has been limited. In recognition of this, stakeholders wanted to better understand potential opportunities and challenges for broader method introduction. This was considered especially important because uptake of the copper IUD has historically been low in many countries in sub-Saharan Africa.8 Although copper and hormonal IUDs have some attributes in common—including similar insertion and removal techniques—their side effect profiles and bleeding patterns are notably different, and thus, experiences with the 2 methods may differ among both providers and clients.9–11 In addition, because use of contraceptive implants has increased substantially in LMIC markets in recent years,12 there was interest in better understanding potential uptake of the hormonal IUD since both methods are hormonal LARCs. Also, evidence in some contexts has shown higher continuation rates for the hormonal IUD compared to implants.3 As such, stakeholders want to document continuation rates among users of the 3 LARCs in LMIC settings.

As a first step, preliminary market assessments were conducted by governments and implementing partners in several countries.13–16 In addition, the Hormonal IUD Access Group developed a shared learning agenda that focused on 5 domains: (1) client demand; (2) demand generation and marketing; (3) service delivery; (4) noncontraceptive attributes; and (5) cost-effectiveness and pricing.2 Key objectives included understanding potential demand and uptake among different populations (e.g., those with high unmet need for family planning, nonusers of contraception, and switchers from other methods); documenting continuation and satisfaction rates of hormonal IUD users compared to other methods; assessing clients’ understanding of and reactions to method attributes; and documenting providers’ perceptions of the method. Overall goals of

---

**BOX 1. Hormonal Intrauterine Device Terminology**

The following additional terms are commonly used to describe the hormonal intrauterine device (IUD):

- Levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (LNG IUS)
- Hormonal IUS
- LNG IUD

To accommodate multiple products and new hormone-releasing IUDs under development, the World Health Organization adopted the term “hormonal IUD” as the recommended standardized nomenclature in 2021.1

---

The learning agenda aimed to understand potential demand and uptake, document continuation and satisfaction rates, assess clients’ understanding of method attributes, and document providers’ experiences with the method.
Having a shared learning agenda included harmonizing data collection approaches, aligning donor investments, avoiding duplication, and applying insights across countries to inform future introduction efforts, such as considerations related to policy, training, and demand creation.

Since the learning agenda was finalized, implementing partners, donors, and suppliers have met on a regular basis to share emerging data and to promote a coordinated approach to stakeholder engagement at both country and global levels. Table 1 summarizes research projects led by organizations involved in the Hormonal IUD Access Group.

We review evidence generated by research partners included in the Hormonal IUD Access Group (Table 1). This article is not a systematic or scoping review of the literature but rather a review of evidence generated by the organizations that were proactively responding to questions articulated in the shared learning agenda. At the outset, Hormonal IUD Access Group members committed to harmonizing data collection approaches when feasible. This included sharing draft data collection forms among working group members and aligning on approaches and wording when appropriate. In addition, group members collaborated to summarize results across projects and provided joint dissemination of findings to both global- and country-level stakeholders. Table 2 provides a summary of the designs of the studies conducted in both public and social marketing sectors, and Table 3 lists the learning agenda questions that were addressed in countries where research was conducted (i.e., Kenya, Madagascar, Nigeria, and Zambia). Additional research was published on hormonal IUD use in LMICs during this period (2015–2021); however, those results are not summarized here.

All research conducted by members of the Hormonal IUD Access Group in pilot introduction settings, except in Madagascar, involved the use of product donated by the International Contraceptive Access (ICA) Foundation, a public-private partnership between the Population Council and Bayer AG (Box 3). In Madagascar, Population Services International and Catalyst Global (formerly WCG Cares) evaluated the introduction of Avibela, a new hormonal IUD product developed by Medicines360 that was approved for use there in 2018 (Box 4). Population Services International and Catalyst Global also supported the introduction of Avibela in Zambia starting in 2019; however, the research was initiated before Avibela introduction when the ICA Foundation product was still being used.

**SYNTHESIS OF KEY LEARNINGS GENERATED ON THE HORMONAL IUD**

We offer an overview of some of the key insights from the research led by organizations in the Hormonal IUD Access Group including a summary of similarities and differences observed across the studies. It is important to note that the contexts of the introduction programs influenced which client population(s) were reached with hormonal IUD services (Table 2), and this may therefore have influenced clients’ and providers’ experiences with the method. As such, results should be interpreted cautiously as they may not represent experiences if and when the method is made available on a wider scale.

---

**BOX 2. Structure of the Hormonal IUD Access Group**

The Hormonal IUD Access Group is a global consortium of governments, donors, researchers, manufacturers, procurement agencies, and service delivery groups that are collaborating to expand access to the hormonal intrauterine device (IUD) in low- and middle-income countries.

The Hormonal IUD Access Group comprises 3 subgroups:

1. A steering committee with representatives from donor and procurer groups that assume responsibility for supporting global supply- and demand-side strategies to increase access. This group also includes non-decision-making members who support analyses and participate as a Secretariat.
2. A partners group comprised of key stakeholders who support the introduction of the method through a targeted, phased strategy at the country level. Government leadership is key to these efforts. This group also facilitates opportunities for manufacturers to share technical expertise and resources.
3. An operations group that supports monitoring of market health, development of communications, identifying and tracking country needs, and supporting goals of steering committee and partners group meetings.
Client Demand
Demographics of Hormonal IUD Users
Most hormonal IUD users across pilot settings were married women aged older than 25 years with children and many had secondary education (Table 5). In both Madagascar and Kenya, a sizable minority of hormonal IUD users were aged younger than 25 years (30% and 41%, respectively). The Madagascar pilot involved demand generation in the private sector that included outreach to youth. Under the Maternal and Child Survival Program in Kenya and Zambia, where hormonal IUD services were offered in public-sector settings and providers were trained on postpartum insertion techniques, a substantial percentage of the insertions were among women in the postpartum period (Table 5).

Previous Methods Used
Most hormonal IUD users had previously used other modern contraceptive methods; however, between 5%–23% of adopters were new family planning users across the settings. Among those who were prior contraceptive users, the majority in most studies reported using a short-acting method as their last method before uptake of the hormonal IUD (data not shown).20–24

Continuation Rates
Cumulative continuation rates for the hormonal IUD were consistently high, ranging from 81%–95% at 12 months.20,21,24 In a study that compared hormonal IUD, copper IUD, and implant users in Nigeria and Zambia,21 the 12-month cumulative continuation rates were 87% in Nigeria and 95% in Zambia for the hormonal IUD, 87% in Nigeria and 89% in Zambia for the copper IUD, and 85% in Nigeria and 83% in Zambia for implants (Table 6).

Satisfaction Rates and Perceived Positive Method Attributes
Satisfaction with the hormonal IUD was generally high with 80%–98% reporting being satisfied or very satisfied with method use across the time points when data were collected.20–24 The study in Nigeria and Zambia that included copper IUD and implant users also documented high satisfaction rates with other LARCs (100% in Nigeria and 98% in Zambia for the

### Table 1. Organizations and Projects That Supported Implementation of a Shared Learning Agenda for the Hormonal IUD

| Project Name and Description | Funder (Years) | Partner Organizations | References With Key Findings |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The EECO project, led by Catalyst Global (formerly WCG Cares), supports the introduction of new and underutilized contraceptive technologies, including the hormonal IUD, in the context of method choice and provider acceptance. The project produces roadmaps for product introduction which can be used to scale up access. Under EECO, PSI introduced the hormonal IUD in Nigeria and Zambia in 2017 and in Madagascar in 2018. | USAID (2013–2023) | Catalyst Global, PSI | Danna et al.24 |
| The SIFPO-2 project was intended to increase access to and use of high-quality, affordable family planning and other health information, products, and services globally. | USAID | | |
| In 2016, under the SIFPO-2 project, MSI and MSION supported expanded hormonal IUD provision in pilot settings in Nigeria. | (2016–2017) | MSI, MSION, FHI 360 | Eva et al.18 |
| PSI’s SIFPO-2 project introduced the hormonal IUD in Nigeria and Zimbabwe in 2017 in collaboration with SFH. (Note: Data from Zimbabwe are unpublished; findings not included in this summary article). | (2014–2021) | PSI, SFH | Same as for EECO project |
| MCSP focused on 25 high-priority countries to prevent child and maternal deaths. The program introduced and supported high-impact, sustainable reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health interventions in collaboration with ministries of health and other partners. MCSP supported the introduction of the hormonal IUD in Kenya starting in 2016 and in Zambia starting in 2017. | USAID (2014–2019) | Jhpiego | Sitrin et al.20 |
| LEAP Initiative generated timely, actionable evidence to help determine if and how expanded access to the hormonal IUD could increase contraceptive use and continuation rates in sub-Saharan Africa. FHI 360 implemented the initiative in partnership with PSI, SFH Nigeria and Zambia, and Catalyst Global. | Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (2017–2022) | FHI 360, PSI, SFH | Brunie et al.21 Brunie et al.22 Brunie et al.23 Brunie et al.19 |

Abbreviations: EECO, Expanding Effective Contraceptive Options; IUD, intrauterine device; LEAP, Learning about Expanded Access and Potential of the LNG-IUS; MCSP, Maternal and Child Survival Program; MSI, Marie Stopes International; MSION, Marie Stopes International Organisation Nigeria; PSI, Population Services International; SFH, Society for Family Health; SIFPO-2, Support for International Family Planning and Health Organizations; USAID, United States Agency for International Development.
copper IUD, and 95% in Nigeria and 90% in Zambia for implants). Positive method attributes most commonly reported by hormonal IUD users included effectiveness, long duration, convenience, reduced bleeding, and fewer side effects (data not shown). Demand Generation and Marketing Sources of Information About the Method

Except for Madagascar where 70% of hormonal IUD users heard about the method from an outreach worker, providers were the main source of

| Project | Research Time Frame | Country | Participants at Baselinea | Study Design | Geographic Region | Service Delivery Context | Project Overview |
|---------|---------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| EECO    | 2018–2020           | Madagascar | N=242                  | Longitudinal prospective survey within 12 months of insertion | Mahajanga, Toamasina, Antsiranana, and Antananarivo | 19 social franchise clinics | • Private sector  
• Robust demand generation including to youth  
• Higher price for clients for hormonal IUD compared to other LARCs |
|         | 2018–2019           | Zambia   | N=166                   |              | Copperbelt and Muchinga | 19 public sector clinics | • Public sector  
• Free product and services  
• CHW support |
| SIFPO-2 (MSI) | 2016–2017          | Nigeria | N/A                     | Routine service data and supplemental hormonal IUD client data, in-depth interviews with providers | 16 states | 76 social franchise providers, 9 mobile outreach teams, 20 public-sector providers | • Public and private sector  
• Free product and services  
• Included mobile outreach component |
| SIFPO-2 (PSI) | 2017–2019          | Nigeria | N=208                   | Longitudinal prospective survey within 12 months of insertion | 18 states | 40 social franchise clinics | • Private sector  
• Higher price for clients for hormonal IUD compared to other LARCs  
• Limited CHW support |
| MCSP    | 2017–2019           | Kenya    | N=432                   | Provider-led “enhanced” data collection from their clients, follow-up phone interviews, focus group discussions with providers | Kisumu and Migori counties | 42 public sector clinics | • Public sector  
• Free product and services |
|         | 2017–2019           | Zambia   | N=754                   |              | Eastern, Central, Southern, Luapula provinces | 41 public sector clinics | • Public sector  
• Free product and services  
• Focus on maternity services |
| LEAP    | 2018–2019           | Nigeria | N=835                   | Mixed methods, prospective cohort studies; in-depth interviews with providers; focus group discussions with adolescent girls/youth; market research among potential users and providers | 18 states | 40 social franchise clinics | • Private sector  
• Higher price for clients for hormonal IUD compared to other LARCs  
• Limited CHW support |
|         | 2018–2019           | Zambia   | N=607                   |              | Copperbelt and Muchinga | 21 public sector clinics | • Public sector  
• Free product and service  
• CHW support |
TABLE 3. Shared Learning Agenda Questions That Were Addressed by Projecta

| Shared Learning Agenda: 2015–2020 | EECO | SIFPO2 (MSI) | SIFPO2 (PSI) | MCSP | LEAP |
|----------------------------------|------|--------------|--------------|------|------|
|                                  | Madagascar, Zambia | Nigeria | Nigeria, Zimbabwe | Kenya, Zambia | Kenya, Nigeria, Zambia |

A. Client demand

1. What are the profile(s) of the clients who will use this product?
   a. Is there or would there be demand for this product among subpopulations with high unmet need for FP (e.g., women in lower wealth quintiles, PP women, adolescents, WLHIV, PAC clients)?
   b. Will introduction of the hormonal IUD help reach new FP users (i.e., current non-users)?
   c. To what degree will introduction of the hormonal IUD result in “switching” and from what methods?

2. Does the hormonal IUD have the potential to “revitalize” the IUD market in FP2020 countries?
   a. Would demand for the hormonal IUD be higher than demand for the copper IUD has been?
   b. Would introduction of the hormonal IUD increase FP use overall/increase contraceptive prevalence rate(s)?
   c. Can scale-up of this product help meet FP2020 goals?

B. Marketing

6. What are effective demand creation strategies with different populations and in different sectors?

7. Can promotion of FP including the hormonal IUD be integrated into other health sectors?

C. Service delivery

8. How can we overcome barriers that have impacted provision of copper IUD when introducing hormonal IUD?

9. What are health care providers’ perceptions of this product?

10. What are effective service delivery models for hormonal IUD provision? How does it differ by context?
   a. What are effective provider training strategies for the hormonal IUD?

D. Noncontraceptive attributes

11. How does knowledge of noncontraceptive attributes of the hormonal IUD affect uptake and use?
   a. What noncontraceptive attributes are most attractive to women in different contexts?
   b. What noncontraceptive attributes are seen as most beneficial by providers?

12. What are perceptions of amenorrhea among providers and various client segments?

13. Can scale-up of the hormonal IUD help reduce rates of anemia?

E. Cost-effectiveness and pricing

14. To what extent is the hormonal IUD cost-effective compared to other FP methods including other LARCs?

15. What is the willingness-to-pay for the hormonal IUD among different populations of clients/groups?

Abbreviations: EECO, Expanding Effective Contraceptive Options; FP, family planning; IUD, intrauterine device; LARCs, long-acting reversible contraceptives; LEAP, Learning about Expanded Access and Potential; MCSP, Maternal and Child Survival Program; MSI, Marie Stopes International; PAC, postabortion care; PP, postpartum; PSI, Population Services International; SIFPO-2, Support for International Family Planning and Health Organizations; WLHIV, women living with HIV.

a This table is intended to summarize evidence collected across countries and projects, but not all results are reflected in this synthesis paper. For additional results, refer to the Hormonal IUD Access Portal website at www.hormonaliud.org.

b X = data available.

c (x) = Some data collected but quantity/quality limited. Assessment of the current status of evidence based on review and assessment of existing data from each country and project.
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**BOX 3. Development of Mirena and Overview of the International Contraceptive Access Foundation**

Over the past 65 years, the Population Council has developed and introduced several of the world’s most effective and popular contraceptive methods, including the hormonal intrauterine device (IUD). The Population Council began developing the hormonal IUD in the late 1970s to create a long-acting reversible contraceptive that combined the beneficial features of both hormonal contraceptives and IUDs.

Mirena, the innovator product, was developed by the Population Council and Bayer AG (formerly Leiras Oy) and first approved in Finland in 1990. In 2000, Mirena was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), which expanded its approval to include treatment of heavy menstrual bleeding in 2009. Produced in Finland by Bayer AG, Mirena is now distributed in more than 120 countries worldwide. Clinical data demonstrate high efficacy, safety, and acceptability. The method has a pregnancy rate of less than 1% in the first year of use, which is comparable to sterilization, and has the ability to lighten menstrual bleeding, which can prevent the need for hysterectomies among women with menorrhagia. Originally approved for up to 5 years of use, Mirena’s contraceptive indication was extended for up to 8 years of pregnancy prevention in 2022 by the U.S. FDA.

Although Mirena is available in more than 120 countries, it has been largely unavailable in low- and middle-income countries until recently. In 2003, the Population Council and Bayer AG collaborated to establish the International Contraceptive Access (ICA) Foundation, a public-private partnership, as a strategy to address limited access. The ICA Foundation donates an unbranded version of the product called the LNG IUS in low-resource settings outside of the United States (Table 4).

As of early 2022, the ICA Foundation has donated more than 180,000 LNG IUS devices to organizations in 39 countries. These donations support the work of governments and local nonprofit organizations, hospitals, and global partners. Across multiple contexts, ICA Foundation donations have helped expand access to the method and laid the groundwork for broader hormonal IUD introduction. In several countries, including Kenya, Nigeria, and Zambia, donations supported demonstration projects that provided a basis for the inclusion of the hormonal IUD within national health systems and contributed to the government’s decision to scale up the method.

**BOX 4. Introduction of Avibela in Low- and Middle-Income Country Settings**

In 2015, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved a hormonal intrauterine device (IUD) product, Liletta, which was brought to market by Medicines360, a global nonprofit women’s health pharmaceutical company, to expand access to the method for women around the world. In the United States, Liletta is available to qualifying public health clinics at a discounted price, and Medicines360 engages with public sector clinics on an ongoing basis to help ensure they can obtain and maintain access.

The more affordable price of Medicines360’s hormonal IUD also created momentum around the consideration of the method as a viable option for women in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Through Medicines360’s subsidiary, Impact RH360, the product is being registered in LMICs under the trade name Avibela. Impact RH360 works with international nongovernmental organizations and pharmaceutical company partners to support registrations, provider training, and product introduction. As of early 2022, Avibela had been registered in 7 countries in sub-Saharan Africa with additional registrations pending. Avibela is currently approved for up to 6 years of use, and Medicines360 has plans to further extend the duration of use.

information about the hormonal IUD (data not shown). Reasons Hormonal IUD Users Chose the Method

Across study settings, users mentioned long duration as a top reason they chose the hormonal IUD. Other common reasons included effectiveness, potential for fewer side effects compared to other hormonal methods, reduced bleeding with use of the method, convenience, recommended by others, and ability to use discreetly (data not shown).

What Clients Would Have Done if the Hormonal IUD Was Not Available

Across studies, between 16%–54% of hormonal IUD users reported they would have chosen a short-acting method if the hormonal IUD were not an option. Also, between 3%–46% said they would have chosen no method if the hormonal IUD was not available, with rates highest in Madagascar and Nigeria (data not shown). Potential Demand Among Adolescent Girls and Young Women

In focus group discussions conducted in Nigeria and Zambia with adolescent girls aged 15–19 years, positive method attributes most commonly mentioned by respondents in both countries included the potential for reduced or no menstrual bleeding and the potential for reduced menstrual cramps/pain. In both countries, perceptions of amenorrhea were mixed, with some respondents feeling it would primarily be an advantage, others feeling it would primarily be a disadvantage, and others mixed in their feelings. When asked about the insertion procedure for the hormonal IUD, some respondents in both countries expressed concern
TABLE 4. Overview of Hormonal IUD Products Approved by a Stringent Regulatory Authority and Available in Low-Income Countriesa

| Supplier                                | Quality-Assured Hormonal IUD Product Containing 52 mg LNG |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Bayer AGb                               | Mirena                                                   |
| International Contraceptive Access Foundation | Unbranded LNG IUS product                              |
| Medicines360                            | Avibela*c                                                 |

Abbreviation: IUD, intrauterine device; LNG, levonorgestrel; SRA, stringent regulatory authority.

a In addition to the products listed in the table, several hormonal IUD products are being introduced in a limited number of FP2020/FP2030 countries that are not currently approved by an SRA. In 2022, Mirena was the first hormonal IUD product to be prequalified by the World Health Organization.
b Bayer AG also manufactures the lower-dose hormonal IUD products Skyla and Kyleena. However, these products are not yet available in low- and middle-income countries, and therefore are not discussed in this paper.
c The Medicines360 product is sold in the United States under trade name Liletta; the product is sold in FP2020/FP2030 countries under the trade name Avibela.

Results showed that over a 10-year period, the hormonal IUD was more cost-effective compared to implants from both a health systems and societal perspectives.

Cost-Effectiveness and Pricing
A cost-effectiveness analysis comparing LARC options was conducted based on continuation data from research conducted in Nigeria and Zambia as well as data from the respective Demographic and Health Surveys. Costs were estimated using method-specific Markov models considering the probability of method switching and method failure for 1,000 simulated cohorts of 100,000 women of reproductive age in both countries. Results showed that over a 10-year period, the hormonal IUD was more cost-effective (i.e., lower incremental cost per unintended pregnancy avoided) compared to implants from both a health systems and societal perspectives, with the copper IUD remaining the most cost-effective LARC option over the 10-year period.

MOVING FROM INTRODUCTION TO SCALE
Results from the research findings were disseminated in the countries where pilot projects were conducted, as well as among global audiences. Based on emerging evidence, increased affordability and availability of quality-assured products, and a desire to expand contraceptive method choice, governments in several countries have decided to scale up the hormonal IUD in the public sector. Development of costed introduction plans are underway. Boxes 5 and 6 include case studies from Nigeria and Madagascar, respectively.

At the same time, the Hormonal IUD Access Group made substantial strides in addressing supply-side barriers to access. In 2021, the hormonal IUD was added for the first time to the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) product catalogs (both the Mirena and Avibela products). To facilitate distribution in LMICs, USAID’s family planning priority countries are eligible to procure the product through the USAID Global Health Supply Chain Program-Procurement and Supply Management project. The method is also available for procurement through UNFPA to all countries participating in the UNFPA Supplies Partnership or other countries using domestic or other resources.

LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE HORMONAL IUD ACCESS GROUP COORDINATION PLATFORM
In a previous assessment of the initial interagency working group, the advantages and disadvantages of the global coordination platform were assessed.
Here, we expand on these insights with a summary of some of the activities and lessons learned from the experiences of the Hormonal IUD Access Group.

**A Shared Learning Agenda Improved Harmonization and Achievement of Mutual Research Objectives**

The coordinated approach generated a body of data that catalyzed progress at the country and global levels. Research activities were intended to answer learning questions in both public-sector and social-marketing settings with a focus on user perspectives and experiences. Results helped inform governments’ decision making about if and when to scale up the method. The shared learning agenda also facilitated coordination between and within donors. Often donor organizations have multiple managers making funding decisions in different areas including service delivery, research, and commodity procurement; the learning agenda allowed for greater coordination to identify priorities and investment gaps.

However, implementing research within donor-supported projects created some limitations in achieving globally applicable insights. All early introduction and research activities included in the Hormonal IUD Access Group were based in Sub-Saharan Africa; the locations selected depended on where nongovernmental organization partners had country capacity, where ministries of health were interested, and where donor country offices provided approvals for activities. Also, working with a tightly defined group of partners with specific donor funding limited outreach to some organizations in other geographic regions such as recipients of ICA Foundation donations based in South America; this is a shortcoming that should be addressed moving forward.

### TABLE 5. Demographics for Hormonal IUD Adopters

| Project (N at baseline) | EECO (N=242) Madagascar | EECO (N=166) Zambia | SIFPO-2 (MSI) (N=286) Nigeria | SIFPO-2 (PSI) (N=208) Nigeria | MCSP (N=289) Kenya | MCSP (N=359) Zambia | LEAP (N=266) Nigeria | LEAP (N=153) Zambia |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Age, years, %           |                         |                     |                              |                               |                   |                   |                     |                     |
| <25                     | 30.0                    | 17.0                | See below a                   | 6.0                           | 41.0              | 21.0              | 8.0                 | 22.0                |
| 25+                     | 70.0                    | 82.0                |                               | 94.0                          | 55.0              | 72.0              | 92.0                | 78.0                |
| Married, %              | 79.0                    | 84.0                | 93.8                          | 95.0                          | 85.8              | 83.0              | 97.0                | 78.0                |
| Mean parity             | 1.7                     | 3.3                 | 4.4                           | 3.3                           | N/A f             | 4.1               | 3.3                 | 3.0                 |
| Secondary education or higher, % |           |                     | 69.5                          | 89.0                          | 57.0              | 45.0              | 86.0                | 50.0                |
| Timing of insertion, %   |                         |                     |                               | 8.7                           | 27.9              |                   |                     |                     |
| Postpartum (<48 hours)  |                         |                     |                               | 52.6                          | 30.1              |                   |                     |                     |
| Postpartum (48 hours to 1 year) |                   |                     |                               |                                |                   |                     |                     |                     |
| Postabortion            | 0.4                     | 4.3                 |                               | 37.4                          | 36.2              |                   |                     |                     |
| Not post-pregnancy      | 49%                     | 46%                 |                               |                                |                   |                     |                     |                     |
| Missing                 | 1.0                     | 1.5                 |                               |                                |                   |                     |                     |                     |

**Abbreviations:** EECO, Expanding Effective Contraceptive Options; IUD, intrauterine device; LEAP, Learning about Expanded Access and Potential; MCSP, Maternal and Child Survival Program; MSI, Marie Stopes International; PSI, Population Services International; SIFPO-2, Support for International Family Planning Organizations.

| a While 166 respondents participated in the baseline and 155 respondents participated in the follow-up, not all respondents answered all survey questions. As a result, in Zambia proportions will not always equal 100%.
| b Sociodemographic data available for 30% of hormonal IUD clients (n=286) although not all women answered all of the related questions.
| c Study includes hormonal and copper IUD adopters, but table only includes hormonal IUD adopters.
| d Study includes hormonal, copper IUD, and implant adopters, but table only includes hormonal IUD adopters.
| e Of the available sociodemographic data for hormonal IUD adopters, the mean age was 34 years.
| f Parity collected as categorical variable so mean parity not available. 49% of hormonal IUD adopters had parity 1–2, 30% had parity of 3–4, 15% had parity of 5+, and 4% had parity of 0.
| g Data for “timing of insertion” for Kenya came from 289 hormonal IUD adopters; for Zambia, it came from 395 hormonal IUD adopters.
The Hormonal IUD Access Group Facilitated Stakeholder Engagement and Alignment on an Introduction Strategy

Ongoing coordination through the Hormonal IUD Access Group facilitated engagement with suppliers and led to alignment around a phased, focused introduction strategy that addresses demand- and supply-side considerations. As early introduction efforts progressed, additional governments, partners, and donors became engaged, which in turn,

### TABLE 6. Continuation Rates for Those Studies That Followed Clients Over Time

| Time Frame | 6 Months | 12 Months |
|------------|----------|-----------|
|            | Nigeria, % (95% CI) | Zambia, % (95% CI) |
|             | LEAP | EECO | SIFPO-2 (95%) | LEAP |
| Hormonal IUD | n=266 | n=140 | n=242 | n=166 | n=208 | n=266 | n=140 |
|             | 94.3 (90.7, 96.5) | 96.3 (91.3, 98.4) | 84.0 (76.0, 90.0) | 81.8 (74.7, 87.1) | 90.0 (80.7, 94.9) | 86.8 (82.1, 90.4) | 94.7 (89.2, 97.4) |
| Copper IUD  | n=274 | n=149 | n=274 | n=149 |
|             | 92.4 (88.5, 95.0) | 94.0 (88.4, 97.0) | 86.9 (82.1, 90.4) | 89.1 (82.3, 93.4) |
| Implant     | n=295 | n=78 |
|             | 91.6 (87.7, 94.3) | 90.4 (80.9, 95.3) |
|             | n=295 | n=78 |

| 12 Months | Nigeria, % (95% CI) | Zambia, % (95% CI) |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| LEAP      | n=266 | n=140 |
|           | 86.8 (82.1, 90.4) | 94.7 (89.2, 97.4) |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; EECO, Expanding Effective Contraceptive Options; IUD, intrauterine device; LEAP, Learning about Expanded Access and Potential; PSI, Population Services International; SIFPO-2, Support for International Family Planning and Health Organizations.

### BOX 5. Development of a National Introduction Plan in Nigeria

Nigeria is the most populous country in Africa, with an estimated 45 million women of reproductive age. In 2018, Nigeria’s modern contraceptive prevalence rate was 12% among married women, reflecting an increase from 10% in 2013. In contrast, the copper intrauterine device (IUD) comprises 3% of the modern method mix. Nearly 80% of copper IUDs are provided through the public sector, with 40% obtained from government hospitals.

The federal government of Nigeria has prioritized family planning as a core program under the essential package of health care services in the National Strategic Health Development Plan II which provides a 5-year roadmap for Nigeria’s health sector. The stated goal under the Nigeria Family Planning Blueprint (2020–2024) is to increase the modern contraceptive prevalence rate to 27%.

Until recently, Nigeria’s strategy for long-acting reversible contraceptives focused on scaling up access to implants and the copper IUD. The hormonal IUD is yet to be widely available in the public sector, although several groups have supported early introduction of the method, including Rotary International which began offering the method in 2007. As noted above, results from pilot studies in Nigeria revealed high continuation and satisfaction rates among users. Findings also suggested that women who are counseled on a full range of methods may perceive that the hormonal IUD has unique benefits. After the dissemination of research results, in 2021, the Federal Ministry of Health (FMoH) adopted a national plan to introduce and scale up the hormonal IUD.

Members of the Product Introduction Coordination Mechanism (PICM) of the national Reproductive Health Technical Working Group led the development of the plan, which calls for phased implementation focused around 5 main thematic areas:

1. **Coordination**: The PICM will facilitate resource mapping as well as harmonization of partners’ introduction efforts.
2. **Capacity building**: Preservice curricula will be updated by partners and a comprehensive plan for training in-service providers will be created and rolled out.
3. **Procurement and supply chain management**: The FMoH will support adding the hormonal IUD to the national Essential Medicines List and guide the national quantification process and procurement of hormonal IUD commodities.
4. **Demand generation and communication**: Leveraging existing demand generation activities conducted by states and partners, it is recommended that messages be included to increase awareness and promote uptake of the hormonal IUD in the context of volunteerism. The method will also be added to existing and new counseling materials.
5. **Monitoring and supervision**: National health information management systems are being modified to include the hormonal IUD and being used to report on use of the method. Reporting and monitoring will feed into existing data and supervision systems.
accelerated momentum. In 2019–2020, stakeholders aligned on an updated strategy with the shared goals of (1) ensuring availability of affordable, quality-assured products to facilitate sustainable markets; and (2) supporting countries that are ready to introduce and scale up the method through a phased and focused approach. The current structure of the Hormonal IUD Access Group (Box 2) has allowed diverse stakeholders to feed into this strategy. Coordination across procurers and country governments is intended to ensure that demand for the product does not outstrip supply. Concurrently, there are continued efforts to manage supply security, accessibility, and affordability over the long term.

Also, by including a subgroup of implementing partners, the Hormonal IUD Access Group facilitates knowledge exchange within and between specific projects and countries. This means the Steering Committee’s decision making is informed by country-level experiences and government priorities, which has helped to reduce inefficiencies and avoid duplication. In addition, the operating structure has allowed the group to effectively collaborate with hormonal IUD suppliers, thus providing assurances regarding the global community’s vision and planning. This has been an important enabling factor underpinning manufacturer engagement and facilitation of public-sector access strategies.

However, there are limitations to method-specific introduction efforts. Other recent coordination mechanisms have also focused on specific methods (e.g., the Implant Access Group and DMPA-SC Access Group). Although these groups have been effective in helping expand access, their method-specific approaches may constrain countries’ ability to plan for product introduction across method categories and may impede cross-cutting resource mobilization. Siloed introduction efforts may also contribute to product introduction fatigue among stakeholders at the country level. Moving forward, a key question is how to balance cross-method coordination with method-specific considerations. New initiatives such as Shaping Equitable Market Access for Reproductive Health (SEMA), launched in 2021, may help address these challenges.

### Achieving More Affordable Hormonal IUD Public-Sector Pricing Was Not Based on a Volume Guarantee

Current hormonal IUD public-sector pricing does not depend on donor-driven market shaping interventions. Experience shows that market-shaping interventions can help expand access to critical health care products including contraceptives. Recent efforts to expand access to contraceptive implants and injectables have been supported through donor-backed minimum volume guarantees. These interventions helped to lower pricing in the public and social marketing sectors and were therefore key to facilitating rapid scale-up in LMIC markets. The lower unit cost achieved through the Implants Access Program was one of the primary reasons for a tenfold increase in implant procurement between 2010 and

### The Hormonal IUD Access Group Has Allowed Diverse Stakeholders to Align on Shared Goals of Ensuring Availability of Affordable Products and Supporting Countries to Introduce and Scale up the Method.

---

**Box 6. Efforts to Expand Access to Hormonal Intrauterine Device in Madagascar**

The modern contraceptive prevalence rate among married women in Madagascar is 43% and 35% among all women. The rate of unmet need for contraceptive methods remains high at 22% among married women. The method mix is heavily skewed toward injectables (66% of contraceptive users).

To help expand method choice, Population Services International (PSI) Madagascar supported the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH) to begin planning for the introduction of the hormonal intrauterine device (IUD) as part of a comprehensive method mix; the method was added to the national family planning guidelines in 2018.

After that, 28 private providers in PSI Madagascar’s social franchise network and 19 private providers outside this network were trained on the hormonal IUD and certified by the MOPH, and PSI Madagascar began offering the method at 30 private health facilities with funding from the United States Agency for International Development through the Expanding Effective Contraceptive Options project. As previously noted, research carried out at these facilities demonstrated high continuation after 12 months. The research also highlighted the broad appeal of the hormonal IUD across demographic profiles; these findings informed a broader marketing strategy for the method. In addition, provider champions were engaged to increase awareness of the method.

PSI collaborated with the MOPH to share these findings, and the MOPH then began developing a plan to scale up the method in the public sector. As of mid-2021, the MOPH and PSI began introducing the hormonal IUD in 6 of Madagascar’s 22 public sector regions with support from the Catalytic Opportunity Fund—a mechanism managed by the Clinton Health Access Initiative with support from the Government of the United Kingdom Foreign, Commonwealth, and Development Office and other donors—which provides funding to enable product introduction activities including for the hormonal IUD. As part of the initiative, master trainers will provide cascade trainings in public sector facilities, and the hormonal IUD will be included in campaigns led by community educators to generate awareness.
2018.55 Similarly, broader introduction of a subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate injectable was achieved when pricing agreements for procurement in LMICs were negotiated with the manufacturer.60 However, while these strategies have helped increase access to both methods, they have also posed challenges. In some cases, the sustainability of supply is uncertain. Also, procurement volumes needed to achieve lower public-sector pricing have been based on global volume guarantee targets, rather than being driven primarily by countries’ health system capacity or demand. In contrast, the Hormonal IUD Access Group does not have a mandate to meet specific volume targets; rather, the group is focused on supporting country-level decision making regarding if and when to expand their method mix to include the hormonal IUD and on facilitating careful supply management across countries. Moving forward, it will be important to conduct further analyses related to the impact of these different approaches, including on the pace of product introduction and sustainability of pricing and supply.

THE WAY FORWARD

Results from research activities and early introduction efforts have addressed preliminary questions about the potential of the hormonal IUD in markets in sub-Saharan Africa. Findings have demonstrated high continuation rates and satisfaction among hormonal IUD users that are comparable to other LARCs. Across studies, a sizable number of users reported they would have chosen a short-acting method or no method at all if the hormonal IUD were not an option. These findings suggest that women did not see the hormonal IUD as interchangeable with other LARC options and thus that the method may fill an important niche in the market; these findings are consistent with results from an earlier study in Kenya.61,62 Early evidence also suggests that the method may appeal to women in different demographic groups including adolescent girls, although uptake among younger women may remain lower without targeted outreach.24,41,42

It is notable that the potential for fewer side effects was a common reason that women chose the hormonal IUD across settings. Because the hormonal IUD involves localized release of levonorgestrel, it can result in lower systemic blood levels relative to other hormonal methods which may mean side effects are less pronounced for some women.3 Potential users seemed to understand this point after counseling, a finding which was also reflected in other qualitative research in Kenya among early adopters of Mirena.63 This finding is important since the most common reason women in LMICs cite for not using and discontinuing contraception are side effects.64 Results also indicated that the potential for reduced bleeding was a common reason women chose the method across settings. Data suggest that both women and providers appreciate the noncontraceptive attributes of the method, especially reduction of heavy bleeding and treatment of menstrual disorders. Women who experienced reduced or amenorrhea (paused bleeding) with the hormonal IUD generally reported that it had a positive impact on their lives overall, although perceptions of amenorrhea were more mixed, with fears remaining that amenorrhea can harm one’s health or fertility. Given this, effective counseling on contraceptive-induced menstrual changes remains a priority.65,66

Globally, the addition of the hormonal IUD in the USAID and UNFPA product catalogs for the first time in 2021 is a major milestone that will help expand public-sector access in LMICs. Several countries are now poised to scale up the method and have or are in the process of developing national introduction plans and forecasting for initial commodity needs. Moving forward, resource mobilization will be key. There is some identified funding to cover initial introduction efforts, but additional investments from governments and the private sector will be critical. In addition, in 2021, members of the Hormonal IUD Access Group in consultation with country governments refreshed the global learning agenda to reflect priority research questions in this new phase (Supplement). Additional investments in implementation research and robust monitoring and evaluation will be critical to understanding how best to launch and scale the method including how to raise awareness among potential users, ensure high-quality counseling and care in various settings, and address current and potential barriers and enablers for wider availability and use.

Addressing supply-side issues will also be important moving forward; having multiple quality-assured suppliers with affordable public-sector pricing is key for the long-term health of global markets. The Hormonal IUD Access Group and other partners will continue to work with suppliers to facilitate and monitor product availability and affordability. On the service delivery side, training providers and generating awareness of and demand for the hormonal IUD will be an ongoing priority, especially since provider- and client-side barriers have often
limited uptake of the copper IUD. There are also opportunities to support integrated service delivery and increase connections with other health areas including maternal health, nutrition, and menstrual health. Research is ongoing to further evaluate the potential of the hormonal IUD to help alleviate anemia. Continued coordination to address these priorities will help maximize impact as the hormonal IUD is introduced at a wider scale.
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