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The massive accumulation of genome-sequences in public databases promoted the proliferation of genome-level phylogenetic analyses in many areas of biological research. However, due to diverse evolutionary and genetic processes, many loci have undesirable properties for phylogenetic reconstruction. These, if undetected, can result in erroneous or biased estimates, particularly when estimating species trees from concatenated datasets. To deal with these problems, we developed GET_PHYLOMARKERS, a pipeline designed to identify high-quality markers to estimate robust genome phylogenies from the orthologous clusters, or the pan-genome matrix (PGM), computed by GET_HOMOLOGUES. In the first context, a set of sequential filters are applied to exclude recombinant alignments and those producing anomalous or poorly resolved trees. Multiple sequence alignments and maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenies are computed in parallel on multi-core computers. A ML species tree is estimated from the concatenated set of top-ranking alignments at the DNA or protein levels, using either FastTree or IQ-TREE (IQT). The latter is used by default due to its superior performance revealed in an extensive benchmark analysis. In addition, parsimony and ML phylogenies can be estimated from the PGM. We demonstrate the practical utility of the software by analyzing 170 Stenotrophomonas genome sequences available in RefSeq and 10 new complete genomes of Mexican environmental S. maltophilia complex (Smc) isolates reported herein. A combination of core-genome and PGM analyses was used to revise the molecular systematics of the genus. An unsupervised learning approach that uses a goodnes of clustering statistic identified 20 groups within the Smc at a core-genome average nucleotide identity (cgANiB) of 95.9% that are perfectly consistent with strongly supported clades on the core- and pan-genome trees. In addition, we identified 16 misclassified RefSeq genome sequences, 14 of them labeled as S. maltophilia, demonstrating...
the broad utility of the software for phylogenomics and geno-taxonomic studies. The code, a detailed manual and tutorials are freely available for Linux/UNIX servers under the GNU GPLv3 license at https://github.com/vinuesa/get_phylomarkers. A docker image bundling GET_PHYLOMARKERS with GET_HOMOLOGUES is available at https://hub.docker.com/r/csicunam/get_homologues/, which can be easily run on any platform.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Accurate phylogenies represent key models of descent in modern biological research. They are applied to the study of a broad spectrum of evolutionary topics, ranging from the analysis of populations up to the ecology of communities (Dornburg et al., 2017). The way microbiologists describe and delimit species is undergoing a major revision in the light of genomics (Vandamme and Peeters, 2014; Rosselló-Móra and Amann, 2015), as reflected in the emerging field of microbial genomic taxonomy (Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2007; Thompson et al., 2009, 2013). Current geno-taxonomic practice is largely based on the estimation of (core-)genome phylogenies (Daubin et al., 2002; Lerat et al., 2003; Tettelin et al., 2005; Ciccarelli et al., 2006; Wu and Eisen, 2008) and the computation of diverse overall genome relatedness indices (OGRIs) (Chun and Rainey, 2014), such as the popular genomic average nucleotide identity (gANI) values (Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2005; Goris et al., 2007; Richter and Rosselló-Móra, 2009). These indices are rapidly and effectively replacing the traditional DNA-DNA hybridization values used for species delimitation in the pre-genomic era (Stackebrandt and Goebel, 1994; Vandamme et al., 1996; Stackebrandt et al., 2002).

The ever-increasing volume of genome sequences accumulating in public sequence repositories provides a huge volume of data for phylogenetic analysis. This significantly improves our capacity to understand the evolution of species and any associated traits (Dornburg et al., 2017). However, due to diverse evolutionary forces and processes, many loci in genomes have undesirable properties for phylogenetic reconstruction. If undetected, these can lead to erroneous or biased estimates (Shen et al., 2017; Parks et al., 2018), although, ironically, with strong branch support (Kumar et al., 2012). Their impact is particularly strong in concatenated datasets (Kubatko and Degnan, 2007; Degnan and Rosenberg, 2009), which are standard in microbial phylogenomics (Wu and Eisen, 2008). Hence, robust phylogenomic inference requires the selection of well-suited markers for the task (Vinuesa, 2010).

For this study we developed GET_PHYLOMARKERS, an open-source and easy-to-use software package designed with the aim of inferring robust genome-level phylogenies and providing tools for microbial genome taxonomy. We describe the implementation details of the pipeline and how it integrates with GET_HOMOLOGUES (Contreras-Moreira and Vinuesa, 2013; Vinuesa and Contreras-Moreira, 2015). The latter is a popular and versatile genome-analysis software package designed to identify robust clusters of homologous sequences. It has been widely used in microbial pan-genomics and comparative genomics (Lira et al., 2017; Nourdin-Galindo et al., 2017; Savory et al., 2017; Sandner-Miranda et al., 2018), including recent bacterial geno-taxonomic (Gauthier et al., 2017; Gomila et al., 2017), and plant pan-genomic studies (Contreras-Moreira et al., 2017; Gordon et al., 2017). Regularly updated auxiliary scripts bundled in the GET_HOMOLOGUES package compute diverse OGRIs, at the protein, CDS and transcript levels, provide graphical and statistical tools for a range of pan-genome analyses, including inference of pan-genome phylogenies under the parsimony criterion. GET_PHYLOMARKERS was designed to work both at the core-genome and pan-genome levels, using either the homologous gene clusters or the pan-genome matrix (PGM) computed by GET_HOMOLOGUES. In the first context, it identifies single-copy orthologous gene families with optimal attributes (listed further down) and concatenates them to estimate a genomic species tree. In the second scenario, it uses the PGM to estimate phylogenies under the maximum likelihood (ML) or parsimony optimality criteria. In addition, we implemented unsupervised learning methods that automatically identify species-like genome clusters based on the statistical analysis of the PGM and core-genome average nucleotide identity matrices (cgANl).

To demonstrate these capabilities and benchmark performance, we applied the pipeline to critically evaluate the molecular systematics and taxonomy of the genus Stenotrophomonas. Species delimitation is problematic and far from resolved in this genus (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017), despite recent efforts using genomic approaches with a limited number of genome sequences (Patil et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2016; Lira et al., 2017).

The genus Stenotrophomonas (Gammaproteobacteria, Xanthomonadales, Xanthomonadaceae) (Palleroni and Bradbury, 1993; Palleroni, 2005) groups ubiquitous, aerobic, non-fermenting bacteria that thrive in diverse aquatic and edaphic habitats, including human-impacted ecosystems (Ryan et al., 2009). As of March 2018, 14 validly described species were listed in Jean Euzey's list of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature (http://www.bacterio.net/stenotrophomonas.html). By far, its best-known species is S. maltophilia. It is considered a globally emerging, multidrug-resistant (MDR) and opportunistic pathogen (Brooke, 2012; Chang et al., 2015). S. maltophilia-like organisms display high genetic, ecological and phenotypic diversity (Valdezate et al., 2004; Vasileuskaya-Schulz et al., 2011), forming the so-called S. maltophilia complex (Smc) (Svensson- Stuttgart et al., 2012; Berg...
and Martinez, 2015). Heterogeneous resistance and virulence phenotypes have been reported for environmental isolates of diverse ecological origin classified as S. maltophilia (Adamek et al., 2011; Deredjian et al., 2016). We have recently shown that this phenotypic heterogeneity largely results from problems in species delimitations within the Smc (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017). We analyzed the genetic diversity of a collection of 108 Stenotrophomonas isolates recovered from several water bodies in Morelos, Central Mexico, based on sequence data generated for the 7 loci used in the Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST) scheme available for S. maltophilia at https://pubmlst.org. We assembled a large set of reference sequences retrieved from the MLST database (Kaiser et al., 2009; Vasileuskaya-Schulz et al., 2011) and from selected genome sequences (Crossman et al., 2008; Lira et al., 2012; Davenport et al., 2014; Vinuesa and Ochoa-Sánchez, 2015; Patil et al., 2016), encompassing 11 out of the 12 validly described species at the time. State-of-the-art phylogenetic and population genetics methods, including the multispecies coalescent model coupled with Bayes factor analysis and Bayesian clustering of the multilocus genotypes consistently resolved five conservatively-defined genospecies within the Smc clade, which were named S. maltophilia and Smc1-Smc4. The approach also delimited Smc5 as a sister clade of S. rhizophila. Importantly, we showed that (i) only members of the Smc clade that we designed as S. maltophilia were truly MDR and (ii) that S. maltophilia was the only species that consistently expressed metallo-beta-lactamases (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017). Strains of the genospecies Smc1 and Smc2 were only recovered from the Mexican rivers and displayed significantly lower resistance levels than sympatric S. maltophilia isolates, revealing well-defined species-specific phenotypes.

Given this context, the present study was designed with two major goals. The first one was to develop GET_PHYLOMARKERS, a pipeline for the automatic and robust estimation of genome phylogenies using state-of-the-art methods. The emphasis of the pipeline is on selecting top-ranking markers for the task, based on the following quantitative/statistical criteria: (i) they should not present signs of recombination, (ii) the resulting gene trees should not be anomalous or deviating from the distribution of tree topologies and branch lengths expected under the multispecies coalescent model, and (iii) they should have a strong phylogenetic signal. The top-scoring markers are concatenated to estimate the species phylogeny under the ML optimality criterion using either FastTree (Price et al., 2010) or IQ-TREE (IQT) (Nguyen et al., 2015). The second aim was to apply GET_PHYLOMARKERS to challenge and refine the species delimitations reported in our previous MLSA study (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017) using a genomic approach, focusing on resolving the geno-taxonomic structure of the Smc and S. maltophilia sensu lato clades. For this purpose we sequenced five strains from the new genospecies Smc1 and Smc2 and analyzed them together with all reference genome sequences available for the genus Stenotrophomonas as of August 2017 using the methods implemented in GET_PHYLOMARKERS. The results were used to critically revise the molecular systematics of the genus in light of genomics, identify misclassified genome sequences, suggest correct classifications for them and discover multiple novel genospecies within S. maltophilia.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Genome Sequencing, Assembly, and Annotation**

Ten Stenotrophomonas strains from our collection were selected (Table 1) for genome sequencing using a MiSeq instrument (2 x 300 bp) at the Genomics Core Sequencing Service provided by Arizona State University (DNASU). They were all isolated from rivers in the state of Morelos, Central Mexico, and classified as genospecies 1 (Smc1) or 2 (Smc2), as detailed in a previous publication (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017). Adaptors at the 5′-ends and low quality residues at the 3′-ends of reads were trimmed-off using ngsShoRT v2.1 (Chen et al., 2014) and passed to Spades v3.10.1 (Bankevich et al., 2012) for assembly (with options –careful -k 33,55,77,99,127,151). The resulting assembly scaffolds were filtered to remove those with low coverage (<7X) and short length (< 500 nt). All complete genome sequences available in RefSeq for Stenotrophomonas spp. were used as references for automated ordering of assembly scaffolds using MeDuSa v1.6 (Bosi et al., 2015). A final assembly polishing step was performed by remapping the quality-filtered sequence reads on the ordered scaffolds using BWA (Li and Durbin, 2009) and passing the resulting sorted binary alignments to SAMtools (Li et al., 2009) for indexing. The indexed alignments were used by Pilon 1.21 (Walker et al., 2014) for gap closure and filling, correction of indels and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), as previously described (Vinuesa and Ochoa-Sánchez, 2015). The polished assemblies were annotated with NCBI’s Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP v4.2) (Angiuoli et al., 2008). BioProject and BioSample accession numbers are provided in Table S1.

**Reference Genomes**

On August 1st, 2017, a total of 169 annotated Stenotrophomonas genome sequences were available in RefSeq, 134 of which were labeled as S. maltophilia. The corresponding GenBank files were retrieved, as well as the corresponding table with assembly metadata. Seven complete Xanthomonas spp. genomes were also downloaded to use them as outgroup sequences. In January 2018, the genome sequence of S. bentonitica strain VV6 was added to RefSeq and included in the revised version of this work to increase the taxon sampling.

**Computing Consensus Core- and Pan-Genomes With GET_HOMOLOGUES**

We used GET_HOMOLOGUES (v05022018) (Contreras-Moreira and Vinuesa, 2013) to compute clusters of homologous gene families from the input genome sequences, as previously detailed (Vinuesa and Contreras-Moreira, 2015). Briefly, the source GenBank-formatted files were passed to get_homologues.pl and instructed to compute homologous gene clusters by running either our heuristic (fast) implementation of the bidirectional best-hit (BDBH) algorithm (“B”) to explore the complete dataset, or the full BDBH, Clusters of Orthologous
Groups—triangles (COGtriangles), and OrthoMCL (Markov Clustering of orthologs, OMCL) algorithms for the different sets of selected genomes, as detailed in the relevant sections and explained in the GET_HOMOLOGUES's online manual (eead-cscicompbio.github.io/get_homologues/manual/manual.html). PFAM-domain scanning was enabled for the latter runs (-D flag). BLASTP hits were filtered by imposing a minimum of 90% alignment coverage (-C 90). The directories holding the results from the different runs were then passed to the auxiliary script compare_clusters.pl to compute either the consensus core genome (-t number_of_genomes) or pan-genome clusters (-t 0). The commands to achieve this can be found in the online tutorial https://vinuesa.github.io/get_phylomarkers/# get_homologues-get_phylomarkers-tutorials provided with the distribution.

### Overview of the Computational Steps Performed by the GET PHYLOMARKERS Pipeline

Figure 1 presents a flow-chart that summarizes the computational steps performed by the pipeline, which are briefly described below. For an in-depth description of each step and associated parameters, as well as for a full version of the pipeline’s flow-chart, the reader is referred to the manual (https://vinuesa.github.io/get_phylomarkers/). The pipeline is primarily intended to run DNA-based phylogenies (“-R 1 -t DNA”) on a collection of genomes from different species of the same genus or family. However, it can also select optimal markers for population genetics (“-R 2 -t DNA”), when the source genomes belong to the same species (not shown here). For more divergent genomes, the pipeline should be run using protein sequences (“-R 1 -t PROT”). The analyses are started from the directory holding single-copy core-genome clusters generated either by “get_homologues.pl -e -t number_of_genomes” or by “compare_clusters.pl -t number_of_genomes.” Note that both the protein (faa) and nucleotide (fna) FASTA files for the clusters are required, as detailed in the online tutorial (https://vinuesa.github.io/get_phylomarkers/#get_homologues-get_ phylomarkers-tutorials). The former are first aligned with clustal-omega (Sievers et al., 2012) and then used by pal2nal (Suyama et al., 2006) to generate codon alignments. These are subsequently scanned with the Phi-test (Bruen et al., 2005) to identify and discard those with significant evidence for recombinant sequences. Maximum-likelihood phylogenies are inferred for each of the non-recombinant alignments using by default IQT v.1.6.3 (Nguyen et al., 2015), which will perform model selection with ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017) and the “-fast” flag enabled for rapid computation, as detailed in the online manual. Alternatively, FastTree v2.1.10 (Price et al., 2010) can be executed using the “-A F” option, which will estimate phylogenies under the GTR+Gamma model. FastTree was compiled with double-precision enabled for maximum accuracy (see the manual for details). The resulting gene trees are screened to detect “outliers” with help of the R package kdetrees (v.0.1.5) (Weyenberg et al., 2014, 2017). It implements a non-parametric test based on the distribution of tree topologies and branch lengths expected under the multispecies coalescent, identifying those phylogenies with unusual topologies or branch lengths. The stringency of the test can be controlled with the -k parameter (inter-quartile range multiplier for outlier detection, by default set to the standard 1.5). In a third step, the phylogenetic signal of each gene-tree is computed based on mean branch support values (Vinuesa et al., 2008), keeping only those above a user-defined mean Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like (SH-alt) bipartition support (Anisimova and Gascuel, 2006) threshold (“m 0.75” by default). To make all the previous steps as fast as possible, they are run in parallel on multi-core machines using GNU parallel (Tange, 2011). The set of alignments passing all filters are concatenated and subjected to maximum-likelihood (ML) tree searching, using by default IQT with model fitting, to estimate the genomic species-tree.

The complete GET PHYLOMARKERS pipeline is launched with the master script run_get_phylomarkers_pipeline.sh, which calls a subset of auxiliary Bash, Perl and R programs to perform specific tasks. This architecture allows the user to run the
individual steps separately, which adds convenient flexibility for advanced users (examples provided in the Supplementary Materials). The pipeline is highly customizable, and the reader is referred to the latest version of the online manual for the details of each option. However, the default values should produce satisfactory results for most purposes, as these were carefully
selected based on the benchmark analysis presented in this work. All the source code is freely available under the GNU GENERAL PUBLIC LICENSE V3 from https://github.com/vinuesa/get_phylomarkers. Detailed installation instructions are provided (https://github.com/vinuesa/get_phylomarkers/blob/master/INSTALL.md), along with a hands-on tutorial (https://vinuesa.github.io/get_phylomarkers/). The software has been extensively tested on diverse Linux distributions (CentOS, Ubuntu and RedHat). In addition, a docker image bundling GET_HOMOLOGUES and GET_PHYLOMARKERS is available at https://hub.docker.com/r/csicunam/get_homologues/. We recommend running the docker image to avoid potential trouble with the installation and configuration of diverse dependencies (second party binaries, as well as Perl and R packages), making it easy to install on any architecture, including Windows, and to reproduce analyses with exactly the same software.

**Estimating Maximum Likelihood and Parsimony Pan-Genome Trees From the Pan-Genome Matrix (PGM)**

The GET_PHYLOMARKERS package contains auxiliary scripts to perform diverse clustering and phylogenetic analyses based on the panegenome_matrix.t0.* files returned by the compare_clusters.pl script (options “-t 0 -m”) from the GET_HOMOLOGUES suite. In this work, consensus PGMs (Vinuesa and Contreras-Moreira, 2015) were computed as explained in the online tutorial (https://vinuesa.github.io/get_phylomarkers/#get_homologues-get_phylomarkers-tutorials). These represent the intersection of the clusters generated by the COGtriangles and OMCL algorithms. Adding the -T flag to the previous command instructs compare_clusters.pl to compute a Wagner (multistate) parsimony tree from the PGM, launching a tree search with 50 taxon jumbles using pars from the PHYLIP (Felsenstein, 2004b) package (v.3.69). A more thorough and customized ML or parsimony analysis of the PGM can be performed with the aid of the auxiliary script estimate_pangenome_phylogenies.sh, bundled with GET_PHYLOMARKERS. By default this script performs a ML tree-search using IQT v1.6.3 (Nguyen et al., 2015). It will first call ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017) using the JC2 and GTR2 base models for binary data, the latter accounting for unequal state frequencies. The best fitting base model + ascertain bias correction + among-site rate variation parameters are selected using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). IQT (Nguyen et al., 2015) is then called to perform a ML tree search under the selected model with branch support estimation. These are estimated using approximate Bayesian posterior probabilities (aBpp), a popular single branch test (Guindon et al., 2010), as well as the recently developed ultrafast-bootstrap2 (UFBoot2) test (Hoang et al., 2017). In addition, the user may choose to run a parsimony analysis with bootstrapping on the PGM, as detailed in the online manual and illustrated in the tutorial. Note however, that the parsimony search with bootstrapping is much slower than the default ML search.

**Unsupervised Learning Methods for the Analysis of Pairwise Average Nucleotide (ANI) and Aminoacid (AAI) Identity Matrices**

The GET_HOMOLOGUES distribution contains the plot_matrix_heatmap.sh script which generates ordered heatmaps with attached row and column dendrograms from squared tab-separated numeric matrices. These can be presence/absence PGM matrices or similarity/identity matrices, as those produced with the get_homologues -A option. Optionally, the input cgANIb matrix can be converted to a distance matrix to compute a neighbor joining tree, which makes the visualization of relationships in large ANI matrices easier. Recently added functionality includes reducing excessive redundancy in the tab-delimited ANI matrix file (-c max_identity_cutoff_value) and sub-setting the matrix with regular expressions, to focus the analysis on particular genomes extracted from the full cgANIb matrix. From version 1.0 onwards, the mean silhouette-width (Rousseeuw, 1987) goodness of clustering statistics is included to determine the optimal number of clusters automatically. The script currently depends on the R packages ape (Popescu et al., 2012), dendextend (https://cran.r-project.org/package=dendextend), factoextra (https://cran.r-project.org/package=factoextra) and gplots (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gplots).

**RESULTS**

**Ten New Complete Genome Assemblies for the Mexican Environmental Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Complex Isolates Previously Classified as Genospecies 1 (Smc1) and 2 (Smc2)**

In this study we report the sequencing and assembly of five isolates each from the genospecies 1 (Smc1) and 2 (Smc2) recovered from rivers in Central Mexico, previously reported in our extensive MLSA study of the genus *Stenotrophomonas* (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017). All assemblies resulted in a single chromosome with gaps. No plasmids were detected. A summary of the annotated features for each genome are presented in Table 1. Assembly details are provided in Table S1.

**Rapid Phylogenetic Exploration of Stenotrophomonas Genome Sequences Available at NCBI’s RefSeq Repository Running GET_PHYLOMARKERS in Fast Runmode**

A total of 170 *Stenotrophomonas* and 7 *Xanthomonas* reference genomes were retrieved from RefSeq (see methods). Figure 2A depicts parallel density plots showing the distribution of the number of fragments for the *Stenotrophomonas* assemblies at the Complete (n = 16), Chromosome (n = 3), Scaffold (n = 63), and Contig (n = 88) finishing levels. The distributions have conspicuous long tails, with an overall mean and median number of fragments of ~238 and ~163, respectively. The table insets in Figure 2A provide additional descriptive statistics of the
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FIGURE 2 | Density plots showing the distribution of the number of fragments of the Stenotrophomonas genomes available in RefSeq as of August 2017, plus the genome of S. bentonitica V6, released in January 2018. (A) Distribution of the number of fragments in the assemblies of 170 annotated Stenotrophomonas genomes, as a function of assembly status (contigs vs. scaffolds), plus 7 Xanthomonas genomes used as outgroup to root the tree. Inset tables provide additional summary statistics of the RefSeq assemblies. (B) Distribution of the number of fragments in the assemblies of the 119 genomes selected for the analyses presented in this study, which include 102 reference Stenotrophomonas genomes, 10 new genomes generated for this study, and 7 complete Xanthomonas spp. genomes.

distributions. A first GET_HOMOLOGUES run was launched using this dataset ($n = 177$) with two objectives: (i) to test its performance with a relatively large set of genomes and (ii) to get an overview of their evolutionary relationships to select a non-redundant set of those with the best assemblies. For this analysis, GET_HOMOLOGUES was run in its “fast-BDBH” mode (-b), on 60 cores (-n 60; AMD Opteron™ Processor 6380, 2500.155 MHz), and imposing a stringent 90% coverage cut-off for BLASTP alignments (-C 90), excluding inparalogues (-e). This analysis took 1 h:32 m:13 s to complete and identified 132 core genes. These were fed into the GET_PHYLOMARKERS pipeline, which was executed using a default FastTree search with the following command line: “run_get_phylomarkers_pipeline.sh -R 1 -t DNA -A F,” which took 8 m:1 s to complete on the same number of cores. Only 79 alignments passed the Phi recombination test. Thirteen of them failed to pass the downstream kdetree test. Selection of a Stringently Defined Set of Orthologous Genes Using GET_HOMOLOGUES

Selection of a Stringently Defined Set of Orthologous Genes Using GET_HOMOLOGUES

After the quality and redundancy filtering described in the previous section, 109 reference genomes (102 Stenotrophomonas + 7 Xanthomonas) were retained for more detailed investigation. Table S2 provides an overview of them. To this set we added the 10 new genomes reported in this study (Table 1). Figure 2B depicts a density plot and two inset tables summarizing the distribution of number of contigs/scaffolds in the selected reference genomes and the new genomes for the Mexican environmental Smc isolates previously classified as genospecies 1 (Smc1) and 2 (Smc2) (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017). A high stringency consensus core-genome containing 239 gene families was computed as the intersection of the clusters generated by the BDBH, COG-triangles and OMCL algorithms (Figure 3A).

GET_PHYLOMARKERS in Action: Benchmarking the Performance of FastTree and IQ-Tree to Select Top-Scoring Markers for Phylogenomics

The set of 239 consensus core-genome clusters (Figure 3A) was used to launch multiple instances of the GET_PHYLOMARKERS pipeline to evaluate the phylogenetic
FIGURE 3 | Combined filtering actions performed by GET_HOMOLOGUES and GET_PHYLOMARKERS to select top-ranking phylogenetic markers to be concatenated for phylogenomic analyses, and benchmark results of the performance of the FastTree (FT) and IQ-TREE (IQT) maximum-likelihood (ML) phylogeny inference programs. (A) Venn-diagram indicating the number consensus and algorithm-specific core-genome orthologous clusters. (B) Parallel box-plots summarizing the computation time required by FT and IQT when run under “default” (FTdef, IQTdef) and thorough (FThigh, IQThigh) search modes (s_type) on the 239 consensus clusters, as detailed in the main text. Statistical significance of differences between treatments were computed with the Kruskal-Wallis (robust, non-parametric, ANOVA-like) test. (C) Distribution of SH-ait branch support values of gene-trees found by the FThigh and IQThigh searches. Statistical significance of differences between the paired samples was computed with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. This is a non-parametric alternative to paired t-test used to compare paired data when they are not normally distributed. (D) Association plot (computed with the vcd package) summarizing the results of multi-way Chi-Square analyses of the lnL score ranks (1–4, meaning best to worst) of the ML gene-trees computed from the set of 105 codon alignments passing the kde trees filter in the IQT Thigh run (Table 2) for each search-type. The height and color-shading of the bars indicate the magnitude and significance level of the Pearson residuals. (E) Statistical analysis (Kruskal-Wallis test) of the distribution of consensus values from majority-rule consensus trees computed from the gene trees passing all the filters, as a function of search-type. (F) Statistical analysis (Kruskal-Wallis test) of the distribution of the edge-lengths of species-trees computed from the concatenated top-scoring markers, as a function of search-type.
TABLE 2 | Comparative benchmark analysis of the filtering performance of the GET_PHYLOMARKERS pipeline when running the FastTree (FT) and IQ-TREE (IQT) maximum-likelihood algorithms, under default and high search-intensity levels.

| Test                                                                 | FTdef | FThigh | IQTdef | IQThigh |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| Alignments passing the Phi recombination test                        | 127/239 (53.14%) | 125/239 (52.30%) | 125/239 (52.30%) | 127/239 (53.14%) |
| Outlier phylogenies (kdetrees test; \( k = 1.0 \)) out of the indicated number of non-recombinant alignments | 22/127 (17.32%) | 18 (14.17%) | 19 (14.96%) | 22 (17.32%) |
| Alignments passing the phylogenetic signal (mean SH-alrt bipartition support; \( m \geq 0.7 \)) test | 98/105 (93.33%) | 99/107 (92.52%) | 52/106 (49.05%) | 55/105 (52.38%) |
| Concatenated top-scoring markers, lnL score, substitution model and number of independent searches | 98 markers var. sites = 36,082 | 99 markers var. sites = 35,509 | 52 markers var. sites = 25,383 | 55 markers var. sites = 26,988 |
| InL = −917444.522                                                   | InL = −899808.614 | InL = −666437.563 | InL = −70933.476 |
| GTR+G                                                                | GTR+G | GTR+F+ASC+R6 | GTR+F+ASC+R6 |
| Searches = 1                                                         | Searches = 1 | Searches = 1 | Searches = 5 |
| Total wall-clock time of runs on 50 cores                            | 0 h:13 m:39 s | 0 h:38 m:30 s | 1 h:22 m:18 s | 2 h:40 m:13 s |

The analyses were started with the stringently defined set of 239 consensus core-genome clusters computed by GET_HOMOLOGUES for a dataset of 119 genomes (112 Stenotrophomonas spp. and 7 Xanthomonas spp.).

The performance of FastTree (FT; v2.1.10) and IQ-TREE (IQT; v1.6.3), two popular fast maximum-likelihood (ML) tree searching algorithms. Our benchmark was designed to compare:
(i) the execution times of the FT vs. IQT runs under default (FTdef, IQTdef) and thorough (FThigh, IQThigh) search modes (see methods and online manual for their parameterization details); (ii) the phylogenetic resolution (average support values) of gene trees estimated by FT and IQT under both search modes; (iii) the rank of lnL scores of the gene trees found in those searches for each locus; (iv) the distribution of consensus values of each node in majority rule consensus trees computed from the gene trees found by each search type; (v) the distribution of edge-lengths in the species-trees computed by each search type. The results of these analyses are summarized in Table 2 and in Figure 3. The first steps of the pipeline (Figure 1) comprise the generation of codon alignments and their analysis to identify potential recombination events. Only 127 alignments (53.14%) passed the Phi-test (Table 2). Phylogenetic analyses start downstream of the recombination test (Figure 1). The computation times required by the two algorithms and search intensity levels were significantly different (Kruskal-Wallis, \( p < 2.2e-16 \)). FastTree being always the fastest, and displaying the lowest dispersion of compute times across trees (Figure 3B). This is not surprising, as IQT searches involved selecting the best substitution model among a range of base models (see methods and online manual) and fitting additional parameters (+G+ASC+I+F+R) to account for heterogeneous base frequencies and rate-variation across sites. In contrast, FT searches just estimated the parameter values for the general time-reversible (GTR) model, and among-site rate variation was modeled fitting a gamma distribution with 20 rate categories (+G), as summarized in Table 2. Similar numbers of “outlier” trees (range 18:22) were detected by the kdetrees-test in the four search types (Table 2). However, the distributions of SH-alrt support values are strikingly different for both search algorithms (Wilcoxon, \( p < 2.2e-16 \)), revealing that gene-trees found by IQT have a much lower average support than those found by FT (Figure 3C). Consequently, the former searches were significantly more efficient to identify gene trees with low average branch support values (Table 2 and Figure 3C). This result is in line with the well-established fact that poorly fitting and under-parameterized models produce less reliable tree branch lengths and overestimate branch support (Posada and Buckley, 2004), implying that the FT phylogenies may suffer from clade over-credibility. These results demonstrate that: (i) FT-based searches are significantly faster than those performed with IQT, and (ii) that IQT has a significantly higher discrimination power for phylogenetic signal than FT. Due to the fact that the number of top-scoring alignments selected by the two algorithms for concatenation is notably different (Table 2), the lnL scores of the resulting species-trees are not comparable (Table 2). Therefore, in order to further evaluate the quality of the gene-trees found by the four search strategies, we performed an additional benchmark under highly standardized conditions, based on the 105 optimal alignments that passed the kdetrees-test in the IQThigh search (Table 2). Gene trees were estimated for each of these alignments using the four search strategies (FTdef, IQTdef, FThigh, and IQThigh) and their lnL scores ranked for each gene tree. An association analysis (deviation from independence in a multi-way chi-squared test) was performed on the lnL ranks (1–4, coding for highest to lowest lnL scores, respectively) attained by each search type for each gene tree. As shown in Figure 3D, the IQThigh search was the winner, attaining the first rank (highest lnL score) in 76/105 of the searches (72.38%), way ahead of the number of FThigh (26%), and IQTdef (0.009%) searches that ranked in the first position (highest lnL score for a particular alignment). A similar analysis performed on the full set of input alignments (\( n = 239 \)) indicated that when operating on an unfiltered set, the difference in performance was even more striking, with IQT-based searches occupying > 97% of the first rank positions (data not shown). These results highlight two points: (i) the importance of proper model selection and thorough tree searching in phylogenetic inference and (ii) that IQT generally finds better trees than FT. Finally, we evaluated additional phylogenetic attributes of the species-trees computed by each search type, either as the
majority rule consensus (mjrc) tree of top-scoring gene-trees, or as the tree estimated from the supermatrices of concatenated alignments. Figure 3E shows the distribution of mjrc values of the mjrc trees computed by each search type, which can be interpreted as a proxy for the level phylogenetic congruence among the source trees. These values were significantly higher for the IQT than in the FT searches (Kruskal-Wallis, \(p = 0.027\), with a higher number of 100% mjrc clusters found in the former than in the latter type of trees (Figure 3E). An analysis of the distribution of edge-lengths of the species-trees inferred from the concatenated alignments revealed that those found in IQT searches had significantly (Kruskal-Wallis, \(p = 1e-07\) shorter edges (branches) than those estimated by FT (Figure 3F). This highlights again the importance of adequate substitution models for proper edge-length estimation. Tree-lengths (sum of edge lengths) of the species-trees found in IQT-based searches are about 0.63 times shorter than those found by FT (Figure S2). As a final exercise, we computed the Robinson-Foulds (RF) distances of each gene tree found in a given search type to the species tree inferred from the corresponding supermatrix. The most striking result of this analysis was that no single gene-tree had the same topology as the species tree inferred from the concatenated top-scoring alignments (Figure S3).

**Effect of Tree-Search Intensity on the Quality of the Species Trees Found by IQT-REE and FastTree**

Given the astronomical number of different topologies that exist for 119 terminals, we decided to evaluate the effect of tree-search thoroughness on the quality of the trees found by FT and IQT, measured as their log-likelihood (lnL) score. To make the results comparable across search algorithms, we used the supermatrix of 55 top-scoring markers (26,986 non-gapped, variable sites) selected by the IQT high run (Table 2). One thousand FT searches were launched from the same number of random topologies computed with the aid of a custom Perl script. In addition, a standard FT search was started from the default BioNJ tree. All these searches were run in “thorough” mode (–quiet -nt -gtr -bionj -slow -slownni -gamma -mlacc 3 -spr 16 -sprlength 10) on 50 cores. The resulting lnL profile for this search is presented in Figure 4A, which reached a maximal score of \(-717195.373\). This is 121.281 lnL units better than the score of the best tree found in the search started from the BioNJ seed tree (lnL \(-717316.654\), lower discontinuous blue line). In addition, 50 independent tree searches were run with IQT under the best fitting model previously found (Table 2), using the shell loop command (# 5) provided in the Supplementary Material. The corresponding lnL profile of this search is shown in Figure 4B, which found a maximum-scoring tree with a score of \(-707932.468\). This is only 8.105 lnL units better than the worst tree found in that same search (Figure 4B). Importantly, the best tree found in the IQT-search is 9262.905 lnL units better that of the best tree found in the FT search, despite the much higher number of seed trees used for the latter. This result clearly demonstrates the superiority of the IQT algorithm for ML tree searching. Based on this evidence, and that presented in the previous section (Table 2; Figure 3), IQT was chosen as the default tree-search algorithm used by GET_PHYLOMARKERS. The Robinson-Foulds distance between both trees was 46.

**A Robust Genomic Species Phylogeny for the Genus Stenotrophomonas: Taxonomic Implications and Identification of Multiple Misclassified Genomes**

Figure 5 displays the best ML phylogeny found in the IQT search (Figure 4B) described in the previous section. This is a highly resolved phylogeny. All bipartitions have an approximate
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**FIGURE 5** | Best maximum-likelihood core-genome phylogeny for the genus *Stenotrophomonas* found in the IQ-TREE search described in Figure 4B, based on the supermatrix obtained by concatenation of 55 top-ranking alignments (Table 2). The tree was rooted using the *Xanthomonas* spp. as the outgroup. Arrows highlight genomes not grouping in the *S. maltophilia sensu lato* clade (Smsl), for which we suggest a reclassification, as summarized in Table 3. Black arrows indicate misclassified strains, while gray ones mark unclassified genomes. The shaded area highlights the strains considered as members of the *S. maltophilia* complex (Smc).

Bayesian posterior probability (aBypp) $p \geq 0.95$. It was rooted at the branch subtending the *Xanthomonas* spp. clade, used as an outgroup. A first taxonomic inconsistency revealed by this phylogeny is the placement of *S. panacihumi* within the latter clade, making the genus *Stenotrophomonas* paraphyletic. It is worth noting that *S. panacihumi* is a non-validly described,
and poorly characterized species (Yi et al., 2010). The genus *Stenotrophomonas*, as currently defined, and excluding *S. panachiumi*, consists of two major clades, labeled as I and II in Figure 5, as previously defined (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017).

Clade I groups environmental isolates, recovered from different ecosystems, mostly soils and plant surfaces, classified as *S. ginsengisoli* (Kim et al., 2010), *S. koreensis* (Yang et al., 2006), *S. daejeonensis* (Lee et al., 2011), *S. nitritireducens* (Finkmann et al., 2000), *S. acidaminiphila* (Assih et al., 2002), *S. humi* and *S. terrae* (Heylen et al., 2007). The recently described *S. pictorum* (Ouattara et al., 2017) is also included in clade I. These are all rather poorly studied species, for which only one or a few strains have been considered in the corresponding species description or to study particular aspects of their biology. None of these species have been reported as opportunistic pathogens, but some contain promising strains for plant growth-promotion and bio-remediation. Particularly notorious are the disproportionally long terminal branches (heterotachy) of *S. ginsengisoli* and *S. koreensis* (Figure 5). The potential impact of these long branches on the estimated phylogeny needs to be evaluated in future work.

Clade II contains the species *S. rhizophila* (Wolf et al., 2002), *S. chelatiphaga* (Kaparullina et al., 2009), the recently described *S. bentonitica* (Sánchez-Castro et al., 2017), along with multiple species and genospecies lumped in the *S. maltophilia* complex (Smc; shaded area in Figure 5) (Svensson-Stadler et al., 2012; Berg and Martinez, 2015). The Smc includes the validly described *S. maltophilia* (Palleroni and Bradbury, 1993) and *S. pavanni* (Ramos et al., 2011) (collapsed subclades Sm6 and Sm2, respectively, located within the clade labeled as *S. maltophilia sensu lato* in Figure 5), along with at least four undescribed genospecies (Sgn1-Sgn4) recently identified in our MLSA study of the genus (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017). In light of this phylogeny, we discovered 16 misclassified RefSeq genome sequences (out of 119; 13.44%), 14 of them labeled as *S. maltophilia*. These genomes are highlighted with black arrows in Figure 5. The phylogeny also supports the classification, either as a validly published species, or as new genospecies, of 8 (~6.72%) additional RefSeq genomes (gray arrows) lacking a species assignation in the RefSeq record, as summarized in Table 3. In addition, the phylogeny resolved 13 highly supported lineages (aBypp > 0.95) within the *S. maltophilia sensu lato* (Smsl) cluster, shown as collapsed clades. They have a cgANIB

---

**Table 3**

RefSeq genome sequences reclassified in this study based on the genomic evidence presented herein (see Figures 5–7).

| Definition (RefSeq classification) | Species/Reclassification | Status | Fragments | BioProject | BioSample | PMID |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-----------|------------|-----------|------|
| *Stenotrophomonas* sp. 69-14 | *S. acidaminiphila* | Draft | 27 | PRJNA279279 | SAMN05660631 | NA |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* ZBG7B | *S. chelatiphaga* | Draft | 145 | PRJNA272355 | SAMN03280975 | 26659682 |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* AA1 | Genospecies 2 (Sgn2/Smc2) | Complete | 1 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN06130095 | 28275097 |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* SBA I-2 | Genospecies 3 | Draft | 4 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN02641498 | 24804648 |
| *Stenotrophomonas* sp. 92mfo06.1 | Genospecies 3 | Draft | 11 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN04488690 | NA |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* PierC1 | Genospecies 3 | Draft | 59 | PRJEB9824 | SAMEA3309462 | 26276674 |
| *Stenotrophomonas* sp. RTI309 | Genospecies 3 | Draft | 45 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN02676627 | 24812212 |
| *Stenotrophomonas* sp. SC-N050 | Genospecies 3 | Draft | 24 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN05720615 | NA |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* StelTE02 | Genospecies 3 | Draft | 63 | PRJNA224116 | SAMEA3138997 | 24812214 |
| *Stenotrophomonas* sp. YR347 | Genospecies 3 | Draft | 11 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN05518671 | NA |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* B4 | Genospecies 4 | Draft | 180 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN03758363 | NA |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* Sm41DIVV | Genospecies 4 | Draft | 26 | PRJNA233790 | SAMN0188879 | 27540065 |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* SmCvFa1 | Genospecies 4 | Draft | 30 | PRJNA323845 | SAMN05190067 | 27540065 |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* IAM 12423 | Genospecies 4 | Draft | 9 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN04487782 | NA |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* SIDR01 | genospecies 4 | Draft | 2 | PRJNA249809 | SAMN06040735 | NA |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* 13146 | *S. bentonitica complex* | Draft | 60 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN07237143 | NA |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* BR12S | *S. bentonitica* | Draft | 80 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN03456145 | 26472823 |
| *Stenotrophomonas* sp. HMSC10/F07 | *S. bentonitica* | Draft | 63 | PRJNA269850 | SAMN03287020 | NA |
| *Stenotrophomonas* sp. LM091 | *S. bentonitica* | Complete | 1 | PRJNA344031 | SAMN05818440 | 27979933 |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* PML168 | *S. bentonitica* | Draft | 97 | PRJNA224116 | SAMEA2722452 | 22887661 |
| *Stenotrophomonas* sp. Leaf70 | *S. nitritireducens* | Draft | 11 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN04151613 | 26638631 |
| *Stenotrophomonas* sp. KCTC 12332 | *S. terrae complex* | Complete | 1 | PRJNA310387 | SAMN04517686 | 26689013 |
| *Stenotrophomonas* nitritireducens 2001 | *S. terrae complex* | Complete | 1 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN05428703 | NA |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* S028 | *Stenotrophomonas* sp. | Draft | 297 | PRJNA224116 | SAMN02469568 | 23144428 |
| *Stenotrophomonas* rhizophila QL-P4 | *Stenotrophomonas* sp. | Complete | 1 | PRJNA326321 | SAMN05276013 | NA |

*The numbered genospecies correspond to novel unnamed species identified by Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa (2017) and in this study. Strains assigned to the *S. bentonitica* and the *S. terrae* complexes. Most likely represent novel species related to these species, respectively.*
>96% (Figure 5). These lineages may represent 13 additional species in the Smsl clade, as detailed in following sections. Figure S4 shows the non-collapsed version of the species-tree displayed in Figure 5.

No genome sequences, nor MLSA data are available for the recently described S. tumulicola (Handa et al., 2016).

Pan-Genome Phylogenies for the Genus Stenotrophomonas Recover the Same Species Clades as the Core-Genome Phylogeny

A limitation of core-genome phylogenies is that they are estimated from the small fraction of single-copy genes shared by all organisms under study. Genes encoding adaptive traits relevant for niche-differentiation and subsequent speciation events typically display a lineage-specific distribution. Hence, phylogenetic analysis of pan-genomes, based on their differential gene-composition profiles, provide a complementary, more resolved and often illuminating perspective on the evolutionary relationships between species.

A consensus PGM containing 29,623 clusters was computed from the intersection of those generated by the COG-triangles and OMCL algorithms (Figure 6). This PGM was subjected to ML tree searching using the binary and morphological models implemented in IQT for phylogenetic analysis of discrete characters with the aid of the estimate_pangenome_phylogenies.sh script bundled with GET_PHYLOMARKERS (Figure 1). As shown in the tabular inset of Figure 6, the binary GTR2+FO+R4 model was by large the best-fitting one (with the smallest AIC and BIC values). Twenty five independent IQT searches were performed on the consensus PGM with the best-fitting model. The best tree found is presented in Figure 6, rooted with the Xanthomonas spp. outgroup sequences. It depicts the evolutionary relationships of the 119 genomes based on their gene content (presence-absence profiles). The numbers on the nodes indicate the approximate Bayesian posterior probabilities (aByp) and support values (see methods). The same tree, but without collapsing clades, is presented in the Figure S5. This phylogeny resolves exactly the same species-like clades highlighted on the core-genome phylogeny presented in Figure 5, which are also grouped in the two major clades I and II. These are labeled with the same names and color-codes, for easy cross-comparison. However, there are some notorious differences in the phylogenetic relationships between species on both trees, like the placement of S. panacihumi outside of the Xanthomonas clade, and the sister relation of genospecies 3 (Sgnp3) to the S. maltophilia sensu lato clade. These same relationships were found in a multi-state (Wagner) parsimony phylogeny of the PGM shown in Figure S6. In summary, all core-genome and pan-genome analyses presented consistently support our previous claim that the five genospecies defined in our MLSA study represent distinct species and support the existence of multiple cryptic species within the Smsl clade, as defined in Figure 5.

Application of a Non-supervised Learning Approach to BLAST-Based Core-Genome Average Nucleotide Distance (cgANDb) Matrices to Identify Statistically-Consistent Species-Like Clusters

The final goal of any geno-taxonomic study is to identify species-like clusters. These should consist of monophyletic groups identified on genome trees that display average genome identity (gANI) values >94%, based on a widely accepted cutoff-value (Rosselló-Móra and Amann, 2015). In this section we searched for such species-clusters within the taxonomically problematic Stenotrophomonas maltophilia complex (Smc). Our core- and pan-genome phylogenies consistently identified potential species-clades within the Smc that grouped exactly the same strains (compare Figures 5, 6). We additionally performed a cluster analysis of core-genome ANI values computed from the pairwise BLASTN alignments (cgANDb) used to define OMCL core-genome clusters for the 86 Smc genomes analyzed in this study. The resulting cgANDb matrix was then converted to a distance matrix (cgANDb = 100%-cgANDb) and clustered with the aid of the plot_matrix_heatmap.sh script from the GET_HOMOLOGUES suite. Figure 7 shows the resulting cladogram, which resolves 16 clusters within the Smc at a conservative cgANDb cutoff value of 5% (cgANDb = 95%). At this distance level, the four genospecies labeled as Sgn1-Sgn4 on Figure 5 are resolved as five clusters because the most divergent Sgn1 genome (ESTM1D_MKCIp4_1) is split as a separate lineage. This is the case also at cgANDb = 6 (Figure 7), reason why this strain most likely represents a sixth genospecies. All these genospecies are very distantly related to the large S. maltophilia sensu lato cluster, which gets split into 11 sub-clusters at the conservative cgANDb = 5% cutoff. Thirteen clusters are resolved at the 4% threshold, and a minimum of seven at the 6% level (cgANDb = 94%), as shown by the dashed lines (Figure 7). These results strongly suggest that the S. maltophilia sensu lato clade (Figure 5) actually comprises multiple species. The challenging question is how many? In an attempt to find a statistically-sound answer, we applied an unsupervised learning approach based on the evaluation of different goodness of clustering statistics to determine the optimal number of clusters (k) for the cgANDb matrix. The gap-statistic and a parametric, model-based cluster analysis, yielded k-values ≥ 35 (data not shown). These values seem too high for this dataset, as they correspond to a gANI value >98%. However, the more conservative average silhouette width (ASW) method (Kaufman and Rousseeuw, 1990) identified an optimal k = 19 (inset in Figure 7) for the complete set of Smc genomes. This number of species-like clusters is much more reasonable for this data set, as it translates to a range of cgANDb between 4.5 and 4.7 (cgANDb range: 95.5−95.3%). Close inspection of the ASW profile reveals that the first peak is found at k = 13, which has an almost identical ASW as that of the maximal value and maps to a cgANDb = 5.7 (cgANDb of 94.3%). In summary, the range of reasonable numbers of clusters proposed by the ASW statistic (k = 13 to k = 19) corresponds to cgANDb values in the range of
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FIGURE 6 | Maximum-likelihood pan-genome phylogeny estimated with IQ-TREE from the consensus pan-genome clusters displayed in the Venn diagram. Clades of lineages belonging to the S. maltophilia complex are collapsed and are labeled as in Figure 5. Numbers on the internal nodes represent the approximate Bayesian posterior probability/UFBoot2 bipartition support values (see methods). The tabular inset shows the results of fitting either the binary (GTR2) or morphological (MK) models implemented in IQ-TREE, indicating that the former has an overwhelmingly better fit. The scale bar represents the number of expected substitutions per site under the binary GTR2+0+F0 substitution model.

5.7–4.5% (cgANIlb range: 94.3–95.5%), which fits well with the new gold-standard for species delimitation (gANI > 94%), established in influential works (Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2005; Richter and Rosselló-Móra, 2009). We noted however, that at a cgANIlb = 4.1% (cgANIlb = 95.9%) the strain composition of the clusters was 100% concordant with the monophyletic subclades shown in the core-genome (Figure 5) and pan-genome (Figure 6) phylogenies. Importantly, at this cutoff, the length of the branches subtending each cluster is maximal, both on the core-genome phylogeny (Figure 5) and on the cgANIlb cladogram (Figure 7). Based on the combined and congruent evidence provided by these complementary approaches, we can safely conclude that: (i) the Smc genomes analyzed herein may actually comprise up to 19 or 20 different species-like lineages, and (ii) that only the strains grouped in the cluster labeled as Sm6 in Figures 5–7 should be called S. maltophilia.
FIGURE 7 | Application of an unsupervised learning approach to the cgANIb distance matrix to identify statistically-consistent species-like clusters. The cgANIb matrix was converted to a distance matrix (cgANDb) and clustered using the Ward.D2 algorithm. The optimal number of clusters ($k$) was determined with the average silhouette-width statistic. The inset shows the statistic’s profile, with $k = 19$ as the optimal number of clusters. This number corresponds to an cgANIb of 95.5% (gray dashed line). At a cgANDb of 4.1% (cgANIb = 95.9%) the groups delimited by the clustering approach are perfectly consistent with those delimited by the core- and pan-genome ML phylogenies displayed in Figures 5, 6, respectively.

The latter is the most densely sampled species-like cluster ($n = 19$) and includes ATCC 13637T, the type strain of the species.

On the Ecology and Other Biological Attributes of the Species-Like Clusters in the Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Complex

In this final section we present a brief summary of the ecological attributes reported for selected members of the species-like clusters resolved within the Smc (Figures 5, 7). The four unnamed genospecies (Sgn1-Sgn4) group mainly environmental isolates. This is consistent with our previous evolutionary and ecological analyses of a comprehensive multilocus dataset of the genus (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017). In that study only Mexican environmental isolates were found to be members of the newly discovered genospecies Sgn1 and Sgn2 (named as Smc1 and Smc2, respectively). In this work we discovered that the recently sequenced maize root isolate AA1 (Niu et al., 2017), misclassified as S. maltophilia, clusters tightly with the Sgn1 strains (Figure 5). The S. maltophilia sensu lato clade is split into 12 or 13 groups based on cgANDb (Figure 7). Sm6 forms the largest cluster, grouping mostly clinical isolates related to the type strain S. maltophilia ATCC 13637T, like the model strain K279a (Crossman et al., 2008), ISMMS4 (Pak et al., 2015), 862_SMAL, 1149_SMAL, and 1253_SMAL (Roach et al., 2015), as well as EPM1 (Sassera et al., 2013), recovered from the human parasite Giardia duodenalis. However, this group also comprises some environmental isolates like BurE1, recovered from a bulk soil sample (Youenou et al., 2015). In summary, cluster Sm6 holds the bona fide S. maltophilia strains (sensu stricto), which may be well-adapted to associate with different eukaryotic hosts and cause opportunistic infections in humans. Cluster Sm4a contains the...
model strain D574 (Lira et al., 2012) along with four other clinical isolates (Conchillo-Solé et al., 2015) and therefore may represent a second clade enriched in strains with high potential to cause opportunistic pathogenic infections in humans. Noteworthy, this group is distantly related to Sm6 (Figures 5, 7). Cluster Sm4b is closely related to Sm4a based on the pan-genome phylogeny and the cgANDDd cladogram (Figures 6, 7). It groups the Brazilian rhizosphere-colonizing isolate JV3, the Chinese highly metal-tolerant strain TD3 (Ge and Ge, 2016) and strain As1, isolated from the Asian malaria vector Anopheles stephensi (Hughes et al., 2016). The lineage Sm3 holds eight isolates of contrasting origin, including the Chinese soil isolate DDT-1, capable of using DDT as the sole source of carbon and energy (Pan et al., 2016), as well as clinical isolates like 1162_SMAL (Roach et al., 2015) and AU12-09, isolated from a vascular catheter (Zhang et al., 2013), and environmental isolates like SmF22, Sm32cOP, and Sm5OFb1, isolated from different manures in France (Bodilis et al., 2016). Cluster Sm2 groups the S. pavani strains, including the type strain DSM_25135T, isolated from the stems of sugar cane in Brazil (Ramos et al., 2011), together with the clinical isolates ISMMS6 and ISMMS7, that carry mutations conferring quinolone resistance and causing bacteremia (Pak et al., 2015), and strain C11, recovered from pediatric cystic fibrosis patients (Ormerod et al., 2015). Cluster Sm5 holds two strains recovered from soils, ATCC 19867 which was first classified as Pseudomonas hibiscicola, and later reclassified as S. maltophilia based on MLSA studies (Vasileuskaya-Schulz et al., 2011), and the African strain BurA1, isolated from bulk soil samples collected in sorghum fields in Burkina Faso (Youenou et al., 2015). Cluster Sm9 holds clinical isolates, like 131_SMAL, 424_SMAL, and 951_SMAL (Roach et al., 2015). Its sister group is Sm10. It holds 9 strains of contrasting geographic and ecological provenances, ranging from Chinese soil and plant-associated bacteria like the rice-root endophyte RR10 (Zhu et al., 2012), the grassland-soil tetracycline degrading isolate DT1 (Naas et al., 2008), and strain B418, isolated from a barley rhizosphere and displaying plant-growth promotion properties (Wu et al., 2015), to clinical isolates (22_SMAL, 179_SMAL, 453_SMAL, 517_SMAL) collected and studied in the context of a large genome sequencing project carried out at the University of Washington Medical Center (Roach et al., 2015). Cluster Sm11 tightly groups the well-characterized poplar endophyte R551-3, which is a model plant-growth-promoting bacterium (Ryan et al., 2009; Taghavi et al., 2009; Alavi et al., 2014) and SBo1, cultured from the gut of the olive fruit fly Bactrocera oleae (Blow et al., 2016). Cluster Sm12 contains the environmental strain SKA14 (Adamek et al., 2014), along with the clinical isolates ISMMS3 (Pak et al., 2015) and 860_SMAL (Roach et al., 2015). Sm1, Sm7, and Sm8 each hold a single strain.

The following conclusions can be drawn from this analysis: (i) the species-like clusters within the S. maltophilia sensu lato (Smsl) clade (Figure 5) are enriched in opportunistic human pathogens, when compared with the Smc clusters Sgn1-Sgn4; (ii) most Smsl clusters also contain diverse non-clinical isolates isolated from a wide range of habitats, demonstrating the great ecological versatility found even within specific Smsl clusters like Sm3 or Sm10; (iii) taken together, these observations strongly suggest that the Smsl species-like clusters are all of environmental origin, with the potential for the opportunistic colonization of diverse human organs. This potential may be particularly high in certain lineages, like in S. maltophilia sensu stricto (Sm6) or Sm4a, both enriched in clinical isolates. However, a much denser sampling of genomes and associated phenotypes is required for all clusters to be able to identify statistically sound associations between them.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we developed and benchmarked GET_PHYLOMARKERS, an open-source, comprehensive, and easy-to-use software package for phylogenomics and microbial genome taxonomy. Programs like amphora (Wu and Eisen, 2008) or phylosift (Darling et al., 2014) allow users to infer genome-phylogenies from huge genomic and metagenomic datasets by scanning new sequences against a reference database of conserved protein sequences to establish the phylogenetic relationships between the query sequences and database hits. The first program searches the input data for homologs to a set of 31 highly conserved proteins used as phylogenetic markers. Phylosift is more oriented toward the phylogenetic analysis of metagenome community composition and structure. Other approaches have been developed to study large populations of a single species. These are based on the identification of SNPs in sequence reads produced by high-throughput sequencers, using either reference-based or reference-free approaches, and subjecting them to phylogenetic analysis (Timme et al., 2013). The GET_PHYLOMARKERS software suite was designed with the aim of identifying orthologous clusters with optimal attributes for phylogenomic analysis and accurate species-tree inference. It also provides tools to infer phylogenies from pan-genomes, as well as non-supervised learning approaches for the analysis of overall genome relatedness indices (OGRIs) for geno-taxonomic studies of multiple genomes. These attributes make GET_PHYLOMARKERS unique in the field.

It is well-established that the following factors strongly affect the accuracy of genomic phylogenies: (i) correct orthology inference; (ii) multiple sequence alignment quality; (iii) presence of recombinant sequences; (iv) loci producing anomalous phylogenies, which may result for example from horizontal gene transfer, differential loss of paralogs between lineages, and (v) amount of the phylogenetic signal. GET_PHYLOMARKERS aims to minimize the negative impact of potentially problematic, or poorly performing orthologous clusters, by explicitly considering and evaluating these factors. Orthologous clusters were identified with GET_HOMOLOGUES (Contreras-Moreira and Vinuesa, 2013) because of its distinctive capacity to compute high stringency clusters of single-copy orthologs. In this study we used a combination of BLAST alignment filtering, imposing a high (90%) query coverage threshold, PFAM-domain composition scanning and calculation of a consensus core-genome from the orthologous gene families produced by three clustering algorithms (BDBH, COGtriangles and OMCL) to minimize errors in orthology inference.
Multiple sequence alignments were generated with CLUSTAL-Omega (Sievers et al., 2012), a state-of-the-art software under constant development, capable of rapidly aligning hundreds of protein sequences with high accuracy, as reported in recent benchmark studies (Le et al., 2017; Sievers and Higgins, 2018).

GET_PHYLOMARKERS generates protein alignments and uses them to compute the corresponding DNA-alignments, ensuring that the codon structure is always properly maintained. Recombinant sequences have been known for a long time to strongly distort phylogenies because they merge independent evolutionary histories into a single lineage. Recombination erodes the phylogenetic signal and misleads classic treeing algorithms, which assume a single underlying history (Schierup and Hein, 2000; Posada and Crandall, 2002; Martin, 2009; Didelot and Maiden, 2010; Pease and Hahn, 2013; Turriентes et al., 2014). Hence, the first filtering step in the pipeline is the detection of putative recombinant sequences using the very fast, sensitive and robust phi(w) statistic (Bruen et al., 2005). The genus *Stenotrophomonas* has been previously reported to have high recombination rates (Yu et al., 2016; Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017). It is therefore not surprising that the phi(w) statistic detected significant evidence for recombination in up to 47% of the orthologous clusters. The non-recombinant sequences are subsequently subjected to maximum-likelihood phylogenetic inference to identify anomalous gene trees using the non-parametric kdelta statistic (Weyenberg et al., 2014, 2017). The method estimates distributions of phylogenetic trees over the “tree space” expected under the multispecies-coalescent, identifying outlier trees based on their topologies and branch lengths in the context of this distribution. Since this test is applied downstream of the recombination analysis, only a modest, although still significant proportion (14–17%) of outlier trees were detected (Table 2). The next step determines the phylogenetic signal content of each gene tree (Vinuesa et al., 2008). It has been previously established that highly informative trees are less prone to get stuck in local optima (Money and Whelan, 2012). They are also required to properly infer divergence at the deeper nodes of a phylogeny (Salichos and Rokas, 2013), and to get reliable estimates of tree congruence and branch support in large concatenated datasets typically used in phylogenomics (Shen et al., 2017). We found that IQT-based searches allowed a significantly more efficient filtering of poorly resolved trees than FastTree. This is likely due to the fact that the former fits more sophisticated models (with more parameters) to better account for among-site rate variation. Under-parameterized and poorly fitting substitution models partly explain the apparent overestimation of bipartition support values done by FastTree. This is also the cause of the poorer performance of FastTree, which finds gene trees that generally have lower lnL scores than those found by IQT. A recent comparison of the performance of four fast ML phylogenetic programs using large phylogenomic data sets identified IQT (Nguyen et al., 2015) as the most accurate algorithm. It consistently found the highest-scoring trees. FastTree (Price et al., 2010) was, by large, the fastest program evaluated, although at the price of being the less accurate one (Zhou et al., 2017). This is in line with our findings. We could show that the higher accuracy of IQT is particularly striking when using large concatenated datasets. As stated above, this is largely attributable to the richer choice of models implemented in the former. ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017) selected GTR+ASC+F+R6 model for the concatenated supermatrix, which is much richer in parameters than the GTR+CAT+Gamma20 model fitted by FastTree. The +ASC is an ascertainment bias correction parameter, which should be applied to alignments without constant sites (Lewis, 2001), such as the supermatrices generated by GET_PHYLOMARKERS (see methods). The FreeRate model (+R) generalizes the +G model (fitting a discrete Gamma distribution to model among-site rate variation) by relaxing the assumption of Gamma-distributed rates (Yang, 1995). The FreeRate model typically fits data better than the +G model and is recommended for the analysis of large data sets (Soubrier et al., 2012).

The impact of substitution models in phylogenetics has been extensively studied (Posada and Buckley, 2004). However, the better models implemented in IQT are not the only reason for its superior performance. A key aspect strongly impacting the quality of phylogenomic inference with large datasets is tree-searching. This has been largely neglected in most molecular systematic and phylogenetic studies of prokaryotes (Vinuesa et al., 2008; Vinuesa, 2010; Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017). Due to the factorial increase of the number of distinct bifurcating topologies possible with every new sequence added to an alignment (Felsenstein, 2004a), searching the tree-space for large datasets is an NP-hard (non-deterministic polynomial-time) problem that necessarily requires heuristic algorithms. This implies that once an optimum is found, there is no way of telling whether it is the global one. The strategy to gain quantitative evidence about the quality of a certain tree is to compare its score in the context of other trees found in searches initiated from a pool of different seed trees. Due to the high dimensionality of the likelihood space, and the strict “hill-climbing” nature of ML tree search algorithms (Felsenstein, 1981), they generally get stuck in local optima (Money and Whelan, 2012). The scores of the best trees found in each search can then be compared in the form of an “lnL score profile,” as performed in our study. Available software implementations for fast ML tree searching use different branch-swapping strategies to try to escape from early encountered “local optima.” IQT implements a more efficient tree-searching strategy than FastTree, based on a combination of hill-climbing and stochastic nearest-neighbor interchange (NNI) operations, always keeping a pool of seed trees, which help to escape local optima (Nguyen et al., 2015). This was evident when the lnL score profiles of both programs were compared. IQT found a much better scoring species tree despite the much higher number of independent searches performed with FastTree (50 vs. 1,001) using its most intensive branch-swapping regime. An important finding of our study is the demonstration that the lnL search profile of IQT is much shallower than that of FastTree. This suggests that the former finds trees much closer to the potential optimum than the latter. It has been shown that the highest-scoring (best) trees tend to have shorter branches, and overall tree-length, than those stuck in worse local optima (Money and Whelan, 2012). In agreement with this report, the best
show that those models fitted our data well. We found highly significant genetic differentiation and marginal gene-flow across strains from sympatric Smc1 and Smc2 lineages, as well as highly significant differences in the resistance profiles of \textit{S. maltophilia sensu lato} isolates vs. Smc1 and Smc2 isolates. We could also show that all three lineages have different habitat preferences (Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017). The genomic analyses presented in this study for five Smc1 and Smc2 strains, respectively, fully support their separate species status from a geno-taxonomic perspective. Given the recognized importance of gene gain and loss processes in bacterial speciation and ecological specialization (Richards et al., 2014; Caputo et al., 2015; Shapiro and Polz, 2015; Jeukens et al., 2017), as reported also in plants (Gordon et al., 2017), we think that the evidence gained from pan-genome phylogenies is particularly informative for microbial geno-taxonomic investigations. They should be used to validate the groupings obtained by the classical gANI cutoff-based species delimitation procedure (Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2005; Goris et al., 2007; Richter and Rosselló-Móra, 2009) that dominates current geno-taxonomic research. It is well documented that pan-genome-based groupings tend to better reflect ecologically relevant phenotypic differences between groups (Lukjancenko et al., 2010; Caputo et al., 2015; Jeukens et al., 2017). We recommend that future geno-taxonomic studies search for a consensus of the complementary views of genomic diversity provided by OGRIs, core- and pan-genome phylogenies, as performed herein. \textsc{GET\_PHYLOMARKERS} is a useful and versatile tool for this task.

In summary, in this study we developed a comprehensive and powerful suite of open-source computational tools for state-of-the-art phylogenomic and pan-genomic analyses. Their application to critically analyze the geno-taxonomic status of the genus \textit{Stenotrophomonas} provided compelling evidence that the taxonomically ill-defined \textit{S. maltophilia} complex holds many cryptic species. However, we refrain at this point from making formal taxonomic proposals for them because we have not yet performed the above-mentioned population genetic analyses to demonstrate the genetic cohesiveness of the individual species and their differentiation from closely related ones. This will be the topic of a follow-up work in preparation. We think that comparative genomic analyses designed to identify lineage-specific genetic differences that may underlie niche-differentiation of species are also powerful and objective criteria to delimit species in any taxonomic group (Vinuesa et al., 2005; Ochoa-Sánchez and Vinuesa, 2017).
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