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Abstract

Background: Cochlear implantation has become a mainstream treatment option for patients with severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss. During cochlear implant, there are key surgical steps which are influenced by anatomical variations between each patient. The aim of this study is to determine if there are potential predictors of difficulties that may be encountered during the cortical mastoidectomy, facial recess approach and round window access in cochlear implant surgery based upon pre-operative temporal bone CT scan.

Methods: Fifty seven patients undergoing unilateral cochlear implantation were analyzed. Difficulty with 1) cortical mastoidectomy, 2) facial recess approach, and 3) round window access were scored intra-operatively by the surgeon in a blinded fashion (1 = "easy", 2 = "moderate", 3 = "difficult"). Pre-operative temporal bone CT scans were analyzed for 1) degree of mastoid aeration; 2) location of the sigmoid sinus; 3) height of the tegmen; 4) the presence of air cells in the facial recess, and 5) degree of round window bony overhang.

Results: Poor mastoid aeration and lower tegmen position, but not the location of sigmoid sinus, are associated with greater difficulty with the cortical mastoidectomy. Presence of an air cell around the facial nerve was predictive of easier facial recess access. However, the degree of round window bony overhang was not predictive of difficulty associated with round window access.

Conclusion: Certain parameters on the pre-operative temporal bone CT scan may be useful in predicting potential difficulties encountered during the key steps involved in cochlear implant surgery.
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Background

Cochlear implantation has become a widely accepted treatment option for patients with severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss. The benefits to the patient are well published in both pediatric and adult populations. Historically, the cochlear implant electrode was inserted through a cochleostomy, typically anterior-inferior to the presumed location of the round window. Currently, many large cochlear implant centres, including our own, have chosen the round window approach for the majority of electrode insertions. This was made possible mainly by the development of slimmer, atraumatic electrodes and through the popularization of the concept of “soft” hearing preservation surgical techniques [1].

There are several key surgical steps for a cochlear implant with the intention of a round window insertion. They include 1) cortical mastoidectomy; 2) opening the facial recess; and 3) round window membrane identification and opening. A cortical mastoidectomy is defined as a canal-wall-up mastoidectomy in which its main purpose is to establish the location of the mastoid antrum and allow access to the facial recess. The facial recess, also known as a posterior tympanotomy, is a well-established otologic surgical pathway that gains access to the middle ear without...
violating the tympanic membrane. Its borders are defined as
the vertical segment of the facial nerve medially, the
chorda tympanica nerve/tympanic annulus laterally and the
incus buttress superiorly. This narrow, bony 3-dimensional
space which comprises the facial recess can often be chal-
lenging to identify and expose in order to gain access to the
round window located more posteriorly. Finally, the round
window is usually partially hidden by the bony round win-
dow niche and this familiar landmark must be identified be-
fore the bony niche can be drilled away to fully expose the
round window membrane. Once the round window mem-
brane is fully exposed, then it can be opened to enter the
perilymphatic space of the scala tympani before the elec-
trode can be carefully and slowly inserted.

These well-established steps of cochlear implantation
may be influenced by anatomical variations among pa-
ients, which can pose unanticipated technical challenges
with respect to obtaining adequate surgical exposure. A
pre-operative temporal bone CT scan, done routinely in
many centres including ours, serves as a guide to the
anatomical layout of the ear to be implanted. Our hy-
pothesis is that by analyzing the pre-operative temporal
bone CT scan, it may be possible to determine certain
radiological features that can predict the level of diffi-
culty with the aforementioned surgical steps. In turn,
such information can help surgical trainees anticipate
and prepare for technical challenges that may be en-
countered during the operation.

There are several previous studies that have assessed
the relationship between the findings from pre-operative
temporal bone CT scan and intraoperative findings of
structural abnormalities during cochlear implant [2–4].
However, most of these studies have focused on cochlear
patency/ossification and did not attempt to correlate in-
trooperative difficulties with pre-operative CT param-
eters. In the study by Woolley et al. [4], pre-operative CT
findings were compared to intraoperative findings dur-
ing pediatric cochlear implantation in a retrospective
fashion, but there was no intraoperative grading to ‘quantify’
the difficulties associated with pertinent steps; in-
stead, they described the difficulties and any intraopera-
tive complications that occurred. In comparison, our
study is a prospective study, which assessed the correla-
tions between specific and easy-to-measure parameters
on the pre-operative temporal bone CT and intraopera-
tive difficulties with key surgical steps that were graded
by the surgeon during cochlear implantation.

Methods
Study design
This was a prospective, observational study of consecutive
cochlear implant surgeries with the goal of a round window
insertion performed at an adult tertiary implant centre. All
surgeries were performed by three surgeons who routinely
perform round window electrode insertions. Patients with
previous mastoid surgery, re-implantations, revision surger-
ies, and patients who were implanted via alternative tech-
niques (e.g. transcanal) were excluded from the study.

Ethics, consent, and permissions
The study was approved by the ethics board at the
Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre and the consent for
participation in research study was taken as part of the
consent for cochlear implant surgery.

Subjects
A total of 57 patients who underwent unilateral cochlear
implant with round window electrode insertion and had
pre-operative high-resolution temporal bone CT scan were
included. All patients had moderate to profound bilateral
sensorineural hearing loss, which was unaidable with hear-
ing aids. All patients underwent routine audiometric testing
and pre-operative electronystagmography, as well as tem-
poral bone CT scans. They also received pre-operative
counseling from a cochlear implant audiologist as part of
our screening protocol.

Intra-operative scoring of surgical difficulties
Difficulties encountered with each of the three key intra-
operative steps - cortical mastoidectomy, access to the
facial recess, and round window access - were scored ac-
cording to the following scale: 1 = “easy”, 2 = “moderate”,
3 = “difficult”. Scoring was performed by the primary
surgeon, who was a staff otologist, or by the fully cre-
dentialled otology fellow. They were blinded to the po-
tential predictors of difficulties with the aforementioned
surgical steps on the pre-operative CT scan.

Analysis of pre-operative CT scan
Pre-operative temporal bone CT scans were analyzed by
the primary author, who was blinded to the intra-
operative scoring of surgical difficulties. The CT scan con-
tained high-resolution images that are 0.625 mm thick
with reconstructed coronal and sagittal images. The im-
ages were viewed in the standard bone window setting.
The CT scan was analyzed for 1) degree of aeration of the
mastoid; 2) location of the sigmoid sinus; 3) height of the
tegmen, which correspond to difficulties associated with a
cortical mastoidectomy, as well as 4) the presence of air
cells around the facial recess, which relates to difficulty
associated with performing the facial recess, and 5) degree
of round window bony overhang, which relates to difficult-
ties associated with round window access.

With respect to mastoid aeration, the mastoid on the
ipsilateral side of cochlear implant was examined on
axial images. The degree of its aeration was categorized
as being either “well aerated”, “moderately aerated”, or
“poorly aerated” (Fig. 1a-c).
Location of the sigmoid sinus was measured on the same axial images as above. A straight line was first drawn through the mid-portion of the round window and the facial nerve, thus bisecting these landmarks. Subsequently, a perpendicular line from this axis to the most anterior aspect of the sigmoid sinus was drawn and measured (in mm) (Fig. 2).

To determine the height of the tegmen, a straight line was drawn through the axis of the horizontal semicircular canal on a coronal image. Subsequently, a perpendicular line from this axis to the lowest level of tegmen was drawn and measured (in mm) (Fig. 3). These three parameters were then compared to the intraoperative scoring of difficulty associated with the cortical mastoidectomy.

In addition, using the axial images, presence or absence of air cells around the facial recess was assessed (Fig. 4). This was correlated to the intraoperative scores of difficulties with access to facial recess.

Finally, the degree of round window bony overhang was measured by assessing four consecutive axial cuts, beginning with the most superior cut showing the round window membrane and proceeding inferiorly (Fig. 5). The number of cuts showing full thickness bony overhang around the round window was counted out of four. For instance, if there were two slices showing full thickness bony overhang, it was measured as 2/4 or 0.5. This variable was then compared to the intraoperative scoring of difficulty associated with round window access.
Statistical analysis
A chi-square test of independence was used to find a potential relationship between difficulty with mastoidectomy and mastoid aeration, as well as a potential relationship between difficulty with accessing facial recess and presence/absence of facial recess air cell.

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and student’s t-test were used to compare the location of the sigmoid and the level of the tegmen between the three groups representing degrees of difficulties with mastoidectomy (i.e. “Easy”, “Moderate”, “Difficult”). In addition, one-way ANOVA and student’s t-test were used to compare level

---

**Fig. 3** Representative coronal image of pre-operative high-resolution temporal bone CT scan illustrating the distance measured from the line drawn through horizontal semicircular canal to the tegmen.

**Fig. 4** Representative axial image of pre-operative high-resolution temporal bone CT scan illustrating an air cell (arrow) anterior to facial nerve.
of round window bony overhang between the three groups of surgical difficulty.

Results

Demographics
The average age of subjects was 58 (range: from 21 to 84). There were 29 males and 28 females in the study. Twenty-eight patients underwent right cochlear implantation and 29 patients underwent left cochlear implantation.

Mastoidectomy
A chi-square test of independence was utilized to assess whether the difficulty encountered during the cortical mastoidectomy is related to the degree of mastoid aeration as assessed on the pre-operative temporal bone CT scan. The analysis revealed a chi-square value of 26.7 that is significant at the \( p \)-value of <0.001, demonstrating that lower degree of mastoid aeration is associated with higher level of difficulty during the cortical mastoidectomy.

The distance between the straight line drawn through the round window and the facial nerve, and the sigmoid sinus on the pre-operative CT scan provides an indication of how anterior the sigmoid sinus is. The mean distance was compared between the three groups, which corresponded to the levels of difficulty with the cortical mastoidectomy. The mean distance was compared between the three groups, which corresponded to the levels of difficulty with the cortical mastoidectomy ("Easy" = 7.11 ± 0.34, "Moderate" = 6.39 ± 1.74, "Difficult" = 5.15 ± 1.74; mean SEM). One-way ANOVA revealed that the difference in mean distance of sigmoid sinus between the three groups is not statistically significant. When student's \( t \)-test was performed between the groups separately, none of the comparisons was statistically significant.

The height of the tegmen on pre-operative CT scan was analyzed in similar manner. The mean distance of tegmen from the axis of horizontal semicircular canal was significantly different between the three groups based on one-way ANOVA ("Easy" = 5.50 ± 0.22, "Moderate" = 4.36 ± 0.46, "Difficult" = 3.11 ± 0.35; \( p < 0.01 \)). The mean distance for the "Moderate" group was significantly lower than the "Easy" group (\( p = 0.05 \)), while the mean distance for the "Difficult" group tended to be lower than the "Moderate" group, although the difference was not statistically significant (\( p = 0.06 \)) (Fig. 6).

Facial recess access
A chi-square test of independence was used to determine whether presence or absence of an air cell around the facial recess on pre-operative CT scan is a predictor for the degree of difficulty with accessing facial recess. The result shows that the presence or absence of an air cell around the facial nerve is significantly related to the degree of difficulty with accessing the facial recess (\( p = 0.05 \)), with the presence of an air cell being associated with an ‘easier’ rating of facial recess.

Round window access
The degree of round window bony overhang was compared between the three groups corresponding to levels of difficulty associated with round window access using
Discussion

The objective of this study was to determine if there are potential predictors of difficulties associated with the key surgical steps during cochlear implantation based on an analysis of the pre-operative temporal bone CT scan. These well-established steps include cortical mastoidectomy, facial recess, and round window exposure, which are not only important for successful insertion of the cochlear implantation electrode through the round window, but in fact are related since each subsequent step is dependent upon the previous steps being done properly and adequately. This study demonstrates that the difficulty associated with the cortical mastoidectomy is related to the degree of mastoid aeration and the height of the tegmen, and that the difficulty associated with facial recess is related to the presence/absence of an air cell around facial nerve.

Pre-operative CT scans are currently the standard of care for adult patients undergoing cochlear implantation. These images are routinely reviewed by the surgeon prior to surgery and a mental checklist is often performed to ensure that there are no anatomical obstructions to implant insertion. If there is any anatomical variation, the surgeon is not only better prepared intraoperatively, but a frank discussion can be undertaken with the patient preoperatively about alternative surgical steps, such as transcanal insertions or removal of the posterior canal wall.

However, there can be a high degree of variability in how the pre-operative CT scan is analyzed by the individual surgeon. Our goal was to formalize and standardize various radiological markers which can be used to prognosticate the potential challenges the surgeon may encounter during surgery. This planning is similar to obtaining a MRI to assess cochlear duct patency in post-meningitis patients who suffer profound sensorineural hearing loss and are requiring urgent cochlear implantation.

Our finding that decreased mastoid aeration and lower level of tegmen are associated with a greater level of perceived difficulty associated with cortical mastoidectomy is not surprising. In a sclerotic or small mastoid, identifying important landmarks required for subsequent steps, such as the identification of the lateral semicircular canal and incus body, is naturally more difficult. These are well established otologic landmarks that help the surgeon identify the location of the facial nerve and mastoid antrum. Without this identification, the risks of iatrogenic injury to the facial nerve and inner ear are significantly increased. Similarly, a low tegmen can also slow down the surgeon and make the exposure of the mastoid antrum during cortical mastoidectomy more challenging. However, our findings do not rule out other anatomical or radiological markers that may contribute to technical challenges associated with mastoidectomy.

Interestingly, there was no significant association between the location of sigmoid sinus (in other words, how anterior its location is) and the degree of difficulty with mastoidectomy. It may be that even in patients whose mastoidectomy was “difficult”, the sigmoid sinus was sufficiently away from the surgical field. This may also be related to the type of surgery. In cochlear implantation, most of the surgical dissection is located anterior to the sigmoid sinus within a limited mastoidectomy, as opposed to a wide, ‘saucerized’ cavity required for cholesteatoma or acoustic neuroma surgery.

Our results also show that the presence of an air cell around the facial recess on the preoperative CT scan is associated with lower degree of difficulty with facial recess access. Again, this is not surprising, as surgeons welcome the presence of an air cell in the facial recess, which is then used to confirm opening into the middle ear and guides rest of the facial recess enlargement.

During round window exposure, a thick bony overhang can pose problems for the surgeon. A thick bony overhang often precludes the true location and orientation of the round window. This overhang must be drilled away to expose the round window and to allow smooth insertion of the electrode into the perilymphatic space of the cochlea unhindered by bony obstructions. A thick bony overhang was assessed radiologically by assessing four consecutive axial cuts of the pre-operative CT scan. A thicker round window bony overhang was not associated with greater difficulty in accessing round window. It is likely that the orientation and size of the round window (i.e. how posterior it is), rather than thickness of the bony overhang, better predicts the difficulty with round window access, but this was not tested in our radiological markers. Most of the patients who received a cochlear implant also had little evidence of chronic mastoid disease, which made identification of the overhang easier.

There are several limitations with our study. The descriptors for difficulty associated with different steps of the cochlear implant are subjective and what is deemed as “difficult” vs “moderate”, for instance, may vary widely between surgeons. Furthermore, only one investigator analyzed the CT images and therefore inter-observer reliability or variability is not known. This will be addressed in the future studies.

Conclusion

The results of our study show that 1) aeration of the mastoid and height of the tegmen may help predict the degree of difficulty with cortical mastoidectomy and 2) the presence of air cell around the facial recess may be a predictor of an easier facial recess.
These radiological parameters are relatively easy and quick to assess on readily available pre-operative temporal bone CT scan. They can form a pre-operative checklist that provides a formalized approach for the surgeons and, in particular surgical trainees, predict and, thus prepare for, potentially challenging cochlear implant cases.
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