Progesterone regulates the proliferation of breast cancer cells – in vitro evidence

Abstract: Reports state that surgery performed at different phases of the menstrual cycle may significantly affect breast cancer treatment outcome. From previous studies, we identified differentially expressed genes in each menstrual cycle phase by microarray, then subjected them to functional in vitro analyses. Microarray studies disclosed genes that are upregulated in the luteal phase and follicular phase. TOB-1 is a tumor suppressor gene and was expressed exclusively in the luteal phase in our microarray study. Therefore, we further functionally characterized the protein product of TOB-1 in vitro. To our knowledge, no studies have yet been conducted on reactive oxygen species-regulated tumor suppressor interactions in accordance with the biphasic nature of progesterone. This work demonstrates that progesterone can produce reactive oxygen species in MCF-7 cells and that TOB-1 exerts a series of non-genomic interactions that regulate antiproliferative activity by modulating the antioxidant enzyme superoxide dismutase. Furthermore, this study implicates PTEN as an interacting partner for TOB-1, which may regulate the downstream expression of cell cycle control protein p27 via multiple downstream signaling pathways of progesterone through a progesterone receptor, purely in a time- and concentration-dependent manner. These results support the hypothesis that surgery conducted during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle may facilitate improved patient survival.
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Introduction

The importance of the timing of tumor removal in relation to the menstrual cycle and its influence on disease-free survival has been studied by researchers since 1988; however, such work remains exploratory. Although a hypothetical role for the menstrual cycle in the surgical treatment of breast cancer has been proposed by Hortobagyi, experimental evidence and supporting data are lacking. Therefore, the molecular mechanisms underlying the timing of breast cancer surgery with respect to menstrual cycle phase are relevant; our studies profiled the gene expression in tissues from different phases of the menstrual cycle. Most of the reflective clinical studies, and two meta-analyses, have reported an average 10-year disease-free survival advantage for breast cancers that are resected during the early luteal phase of the menstrual cycle. The concept of the timing of surgical intervention to treat breast cancer in premenopausal women based on the different phases of their menstrual cycle originated with a report that women who experienced a mastectomy during their perimenstrual period exhibited a greater metastatic risk than those operated on during their mid-cycle. The results of numerous studies concerning the consequence of breast cancer surgery timing in relation to the menstrual cycle phase have been conflicting and lacking biological consistency in the survival differences observed as a result of surgery timing in premenopausal women. In this study, we explored the role of progesterone and the nuclear progesterone receptor (PR) in the progression of steroid hormone receptor-positive breast cancer on patient survival,
with indirect implications about surgery timing in relation to the menstrual cycle phase. Progesterone action is mediated by PR, expressed as two functionally different proteins (PRA or PRB) that are controlled by separate promoters. Studies on human endometrium have demonstrated that PRA and PRB are either distributed evenly throughout the nucleus or into discrete nuclear foci, the latter of which coincides with the secretory phase of the menstrual cycle, wherein serum progesterone levels are high and PR activity is maximal. Abnormal PR foci formation has been observed in cancer tissue when compared with normal tissue, possibly impacting PR-mediated transcription and following target gene expression. Human breast cancer cell-line investigations have provided valuable insights into the paradoxical effects of progestins on cell proliferation, demonstrating clear biphasic effects on cell cycle progression. Progestins and growth factors synergistically augment the transcription of key cell cycle components, including cyclin D1, cyclin E, and p21WAF1, indicating crosstalk between these signaling pathways. A single treatment of progesterone is growth stimulatory, but after 48 hours cell growth is halted, and a second stimulus fails to restart growth. This growth arrest is accompanied by a gradual increase in p27, leading to cell cycle arrest at the late G1 phase and to initiation of cell differentiation.

From our studies, we determined that certain genes are solely expressed in its lipid phosphatase activity, in breast cancer cells. Additionally, we identified that TOB-1 functions to negatively regulate the cell cycle by blocking G1 progression.

PTEN promotes arrest of the cell cycle G1 phase by downregulating cyclin D1 through its protein phosphatase activity, while upregulating p27 through its lipid phosphatase activity, in breast cancer cells. From our studies, we identified certain genes that are solely expressed in each menstrual cycle phase. Additionally, we determined that progesterone—primary hormone of the luteal phase—regulates TOB-1 function, subsequently inhibiting the expression of the antioxidant enzyme superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1), which in turn increases the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and leads to cell cycle arrest signaling.

Materials and methods

For immunohistochemical analysis, human tissue section slides were obtained as per the Institutional Human Ethical clearance certificate number IHEC/01/2011/02 from the Rajiv Gandhi Centre for Biotechnology (Thiruvananthapuram, India).

Cell lines, antibodies, and reagents

MCF-7, T47D, SKBR3, and MCF-10A cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, 10%). For all experiments, cells were starved in DMEM containing 5% charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Ro-green fluorescent protein (GFP) MCF-7 cells were obtained as a gift from Dr T R Santhosh Kumar (Rajiv Gandhi Centre for Biotechnology, India). Progesterone and Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kits were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). Anti-p27, anti-SOD2, and anti-TOB-1 antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Anti-PRB and anti-SOD1 antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA), anti-P53 antibody was obtained from BD Biosciences (San Diego, CA, USA), and anti-PTEN antibody was obtained from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). All secondary antibodies were from Sigma-Aldrich.

Small interfering RNA transfections

Cells were transfected with TOB-1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) double-stranded RNA oligonucleotides using the Lipofectamine RNAiMax transfection method (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Control small interfering RNA (siRNA) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) were used as negative controls for transfection.

Chromatin condensation assay

Apoptotic cell populations were detected using Hoechst 33342 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) staining. After treatment with progesterone at 25 nM for 24, 48, and 72 hours, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature, stained with Hoechst 33342 (5 mg/mL) for 20 minutes at 37°C in the dark, and visualized under a fluorescence microscope (Eclipse E-600, Nikon, Melville, NY, USA), utilizing a 350 nm excitation and a 460 nm emission filter.
Detection of apoptotic cells
The Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) was used for the detection of apoptotic cells as per the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, cells were treated with progesterone for 48 and 72 hours. After treatment, the cells were washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then trypsinized. From the cell suspension, 1×10⁶ cells were resuspended in 1× binding buffer and then incubated with 5 μL of Annexin V-FITC and 10 μL of propidium iodide (PI) solution. Finally, they were incubated for 10 minutes in the dark. Fluorescence of the cells was determined using flow cytometry (FACS Aria I; Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA).

Detection of intracellular ROS
Intracellular ROS were estimated using a fluorescent probe: 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (Calbiochem, EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Cells were seeded on 12-well plates, and at 60% confluence cells were administered a charcoal treated serum treatment, followed by a 25 nM progesterone treatment, along with control cells, for 24, 48, and 72 hours. Cell-permeable fluorogenic probe 2′, 7′-Dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) was added to each well at a final concentration of 5 μM, and cells were then incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in the dark. After centrifugation, the cell samples were immediately analyzed via fluorescent dye (2′, 7′-dichlorofluorescein) detection using flow cytometry (FACS Aria I, Becton, Dickinson and Company, San Jose, CA, USA).

Redox-sensitive GFP imaging
For redox-sensitive GFP imaging, MCF-7-Ro-GFP cells were cultured in six-well plates and transfected with TOB-1 siRNA. After 24 hours, the cells were treated with progesterone and imaged under an epifluorescent microscope using xenon as the excitation light source (Lambda XL, Sutter Instrument Company, Novato, CA, USA). An emission wavelength of 535/30 nm was collected upon dual excitation using a filter set of 405/20 μm and 488/20 μm in a sequential mode. Images were captured with a charge coupled device camera (CoolSNAP HQ, Photometrics, Tucson, AZ, USA). The ratio images were generated by dividing the 405 nm channel by the 488 nm channel on a pixel-by-pixel basis using NIS-Elements software (version 3.21.00, Nikon, Minato-ku, Japan).

Cell cycle analyses
Cell cycle distribution was analyzed by flow cytometry. Control and treated cells were harvested, washed twice with PBS and fixed in 70% ethanol overnight at −20°C. Fixed cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated with 1 mL of PBS containing 50 μg/mL PI and 100 μg/mL RNase A for 30 minutes at 37°C. Stained cells were analyzed using a FACS Aria I flow cytometer (Becton, Dickinson and Company).

Western blot analyses
Progesterone-treated and non-treated cells were washed with PBS and lysed using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% nonylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4) containing protease inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich). Briefly, equal amounts of protein, as determined by the Bradford assay, were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, followed by transfer to a nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The membrane was blocked in a 5% powdered non-fat milk solution for 1 hour. The membrane was then incubated overnight with a primary antibody, followed by incubation with a species-specific horseradish peroxide-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000, Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature for 1 hour. Protein bands were visualized on X-ray film using ECL Plus reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Statistical analyses
Data are presented as the mean ± SD of at least three experiments or are representative of experiments repeated at least three times.

Results
Role of TOB-1 in progesterone signaling
In view of the contradicting reports of Love et al. and Hortobagyi,1 our earlier microarray gene expression analyses revealed key genes that are noticeably downregulated in the luteal phase with anti-invasive and anti-metastatic properties. Although estrogen is a major stimulant of mammary cell proliferation, the effect of progesterone remains controversial. As epidemiological data have shown that a progesterone-rich phase has a role in surgery timing and patient prognosis, we conducted a detailed study of the stimulatory role of progesterone. Reports regarding the biphasic nature of progesterone also exist.22–24 Notably, PRA and PRB are expressed in most human target cells, suggesting the involvement of alternative mechanisms that control the diversity of progesterone actions.25 As TOB-1 is a well-known tumor suppressor in breast cancer,19 which showed consistent positivity in our clinical samples (Figure 1) in our earlier studies, we focused on the functional characterization of TOB-1 in the presence of a physiological
level of progesterone in vitro. The optimum concentration of progesterone in the selected cells was determined by an 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT)-based cytotoxicity assay (Figure S2) and cell viability assay by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) (Figure S3) and compared with that reported in previous research. Initial studies of cells treated with progesterone revealed a time-dependent regulation of TOB-1 in MCF-7 cells (Figure 2).

**Progesterone-induced cell cycle arrest**

As progesterone-treated cells showed only mild apoptosis, we confirmed that the progesterone influence on cell growth was due to the cell cycle. Cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry after 48 hours indicated that progesterone-treated cells showed accumulation in the sub-G1 phase (Figure 4). Reports have implicated cell cycle inhibitor p27 as a negative regulator of G1 progression, which in turn controls cyclin D1 expression.

**Knockdown of TOB-1 reduced p27 and PTEN activation**

The earlier data indicate that progesterone influences cell cycle progression in MCF-7 cells with mild cell death associated with TOB-1 induction. However, whether the observed effect is a direct or indirect consequence of TOB-1 induction remains unclear. Therefore, we first evaluated the expression of the key cell cycle regulator p27 upon progesterone treatment at different time points (Figure 5A and B) and also in TOB-1 silenced cells (Figure 5C and D). In progesterone-treated cells, p27 expression increased to a maximum level within 12 hours (Figure 5A and B), whereas p27 expression decreased in silenced cells (Figure 5E and F). These trends may be due to the time-dependent survival signal of progesterone, as well as the interaction between TOB-1 and p27. Here, progesterone
Progesterone and breast cancer growth modulation

Figure 2 Progesterone activates TOB-1, PR, and p53 in breast cancer cells.

Notes: (A) MCF-7 cells were treated with progesterone for the indicated periods of time (0–72 hours) and harvested. The lysate was analyzed by Western blot analysis for its content of total TOB-1 (A), PR (B), and p53 (C). Relative intensities of bands were normalized to β-actin and shown as graphical representations (B and D).

Abbreviations: PR, progesterone receptor; h, hours; min, minutes.

Figure 3 Progesterone induces mild chromatin condensation and apoptosis.

Notes: MCF-7 cells induced by progesterone for 24, 48, and 72 hours were stained with (A) Hoechst 33342 nuclear staining for chromatin condensation and viewed under an Eclipse E-600 fluorescence microscope (×400). (B) Annexin V-FITC/PI double-stained cells were used to assess apoptosis/necrosis by flow cytometry. Cells in the lower right quadrant indicate Annexin-positive/PI-negative, early apoptotic cells. The cells in the upper right quadrant indicate Annexin-positive/PI-positive, late apoptotic or necrotic cells.

Abbreviations: FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; PI, propidium iodide; h, hours.
Figure 4 Cell cycle arrest by progesterone.
Notes: Propidium iodide staining was performed in MCF-7 cells without treatment (A), and treated with progesterone for 24 hours (B), 48 hours (C), and 72 hours (D). Samples were then analyzed by flow cytometry. At 72 hours, cells accumulate in the sub G1 phase, indicating cell cycle arrest.

Figure 5 Knockdown of TOB-1 reduces p27 expression in breast cancer cells.
Notes: (A) The expression of p27, the cell cycle modulator, was analyzed by immunoblotting with the corresponding antibody. (B) Relative intensities of bands were normalized to β-actin and shown as graphical representations. After growing to 50%-60% confluence in six-well plates, the MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with the siRNA pools targeting TOB-1. (C) Expression of p27 was analyzed by Western Blot with and without PG treatment in TOB-1 silenced cells. Twenty-four hours later, the transfected cells treated with progesterone, and the expression of TOB-1 and (E) p27 were assayed by Western blot analysis. (D) and (F) show graphical representations of the relative intensities of bands that were normalized to corresponding β-actin.
Abbreviations: PG, progesterone; siRNA, small interfering RNA; h, hours; min, minutes.
may act as a regulator of the cell cycle through TOB-1 and p27. A recent study showed that the biological activity of TOB-1 is initiated by its interaction with the key tumor suppressor protein PTEN, which inhibits the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway and has a multifunctional role in cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. \(^{18,27,28}\) Interestingly, silencing of TOB-1 resulted in the downregulation of PTEN (Figure 6), suggesting TOB-1-dependent PTEN signaling. Thus, progesterone can modulate the function of TOB-1, subsequently influencing the function of PTEN and ultimately leading to increased p27 expression.

**Progesterone-mediated ROS regulate TOB-1 function**

Steroids have been implicated in modulating the redox balance in cells. The ability of estradiol to reduce the generation of ROS has been demonstrated in vitro and in vivo. \(^{29}\) Furthermore, Dabrosin et al\(^{30}\) demonstrated alteration of redox balance in normal cultured human breast epithelial cells by estradiol and progesterone treatment, which was associated with increased cell death. Nguyen and Syed\(^{31}\) reported that progesterone regulates the growth of breast cancer cells by modulating the redox balance. Studies have also suggested the critical role of intracellular redox balance in the progression of the cell cycle. \(^{32}\) We observed an increase in TOB-1 upon progesterone treatment with changes in cell cycle progression. Therefore, experiments were proposed to understand the link between progesterone, TOB-1, and redox balance. Progesterone-induced ROS generation in a time-dependent manner in MCF-7 cells, as indicated by the increase in 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate fluorescence in treated cells when compared with control cells and counterpart MCF-10A cells (Figure 7). These results were further confirmed by calculating the 405/488 nm ratio in MCF-7-Ro-GFP cells (Figure 7B), where TOB-1 silencing reduced the formation of ROS in the presence of progesterone. Another interesting observation is that progesterone treatment does not alter the ROS level in T47D and MCF-10A cells (Figure S1), which may suggest the importance of the estrogen receptor (ER)/PR ratio in different breast cancer cell lines for developing a change in redox balance, in response to progesterone. \(^{33}\) Intracellular ROS are regulated by several antioxidant and pro-oxidant signaling molecules, of which the SOD group of enzymes is prominent; in particular, SOD1 is overexpressed in cancer. \(^{34}\) Thus, we analyzed whether the time-dependent increase in progesterone-induced ROS generation altered the SOD balance. As shown in Figure 7C, we observed a significant reduction in the level of SOD1 in treated cells compared with that of SOD2. SOD1 expression was very low at 24 hours (Figure 7C) compared with control cells. However, SOD2 (Figure 7C) showed reciprocal expression of SOD1. The PR and p53 were considered to be prognostic markers in predicting clinical outcomes of breast cancer patients. We have shown that progesterone treatment causes biphasic activation of the PR. Taken together, all of these experiments suggest that progesterone may alter the redox balance in MCF-7 cells, subsequently triggering tumor suppressor function, which may lead to further cell growth arrest, at specific concentrations.

**Discussion**

Surgical intervention is a treatment option for breast cancer patients. \(^{35}\) In 1989, Hrushesky et al\(^{6}\) proposed that the timing

---

**Figure 6** PTEN is an interacting partner for TOB-1 in MCF-7 cells.

**Notes:** (A) The cells were transfected with the siRNA pools targeting TOB-1, and 24 hours later, transfected cells were treated with progesterone. The expression of TOB-1 and PTEN was analyzed by Western blot analysis with corresponding antibodies. (B) The relative intensities of the bands were normalized to β-actin and shown as graphical representations.

**Abbreviations:** PG, progesterone; siRNA, small interfering RNA.
Figure 7 Progesterone-induced ROS production in MCF-7 cell lines.

Notes: (A) H2DCF-DA staining was used to assess the production of ROS in response to progesterone treatment in a time-dependent manner. The flow cytometry results were compared with the corresponding controls and the increase in ROS indicated by the increase in fluorescence. (B) 405/488 nm ratio image with MCF-7-Ro-GFP cells. The Ro-GFP-transfected MCF-7 cells were transfected with TOB-1 siRNA and treated with progesterone. Cytoplasmic localization of the probe is shown at ×100 magnification. The emission at 535/30 nm was recorded at dual excitation 405/20 and 490/20 in sequential mode for both untreated and treated cells. The ratio images were generated by dividing a 405×490 nm channel on a pixel-by-pixel basis. (C) The change in SOD level was determined by analyzing the protein expression of SOD1 and SOD2 with their corresponding antibodies. (D) and (E) show the relative intensities of the bands, normalized to β-actin, and their graphical representations. The change in excitation ratio at 405 and 488 nm reflects the oxidized or reduced state of the probe. Ratio imaging by microscopy revealed an increase in the 405/488 nm ratio after silencing TOB-1 and progesterone treatment, specifying oxidation of the probe.

Abbreviations: H2DCF-DA, 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; ro-GFP, reduction-oxidation-sensitive green fluorescent protein; ROS, reactive oxygen species; scr, scrambled; siRNA, small interfering RNA; SOD, superoxide dismutase; h, hours; min, minutes.
of surgical interventions for breast cancer had a substantial influence on the outcome. The option for surgical intervention mainly depends on the cancer stage.\textsuperscript{36} Subsequently, a number of controversial reports followed.\textsuperscript{4} Thus, this study attempted to determine a possible molecular explanation for improved patient survival during breast cancer surgery treatment in the luteal phase. Using microarray-based gene expression analyses, we explored several pro-apoptotic and cell cycle-regulating genes in the luteal phase and anti-apoptotic genes in the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle. In clinical practice, the co-expression of PR with ER is currently assessed as a predictive marker for favorable disease prognosis and for response to hormonal therapy. During the secretory phase of the menstrual cycle, when high circulating levels of progesterone are associated with reduced PR expression, PRA was preferentially reduced, resulting in a distinct predominance of PRB.\textsuperscript{37} The high posttranslational modification of PR dramatically alters its function, receptor localization, and promoter selectivity. Additionally, the function of PR is complex in the context of tissue-specific effects, isoform-specific actions, timing, and hormone delivery dose.\textsuperscript{38} In the present study, we demonstrated the expression of PRB (Figure 2C) with a moderate concentration of progesterone in vitro, which most likely reflects the expression of PRs at different phases of the menstrual cycle. For the first time, we showed that the protein product of \textit{TOB-1} – TOB-1 – which is identified as one of the differentially expressed genes exclusively present in the luteal phase in our tissue microarray study, exerts ROS-regulated antiproliferative activity, followed by modulation of multiple downstream signaling pathways via PR. Jiao et al\textsuperscript{39} reported that \textit{TOB-1} functions as a tumor suppressor by modulating epidermal growth factor receptor and its downstream signaling pathways through a direct or indirect interaction with the key tumor suppressor PTEN. Loss of PTEN expression occurs commonly in breast cancer, which has been associated with loss of ER\textsuperscript{39} and resistance to cancer therapies.\textsuperscript{40} Recent reports have shown the regulatory role of PTEN in breast carcinoma cell growth.\textsuperscript{41} Correlation of PTEN loss with ROS regulation in progesterone-treated cells in vitro has not been well characterized in the literature, with the exception of a few studies that analyzed prognostic parameters. The findings of these studies were consistent with those of our own study and showed a significant reduction in PTEN expression when the TOB-1 function was silenced. Loss of PTEN expression occurs commonly in breast cancer and correlates with disease-related death, lymph node metastasis, and loss of receptor staining.\textsuperscript{42} Thus, ROS generated due to progesterone promote a survival signal that may ultimately control the function of PTEN. None of the studies compared survival and disease recurrence in connection with PTEN expression. The tumor suppressor protein p53 is a redox-active transcription factor that organizes and directs cellular responses in the face of a variety of stresses, leading to genomic instability. Both ROS and p53 participate in multiple cellular processes, and interactions between, and pathway intersections of ROS and p53 occur.\textsuperscript{43} Progesterone, which generates ROS in MCF-7 cells, may activate p53 through antioxidant enzyme interactions (Figure 2C). Vurusuner et al\textsuperscript{43} reported that manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) is suppressed at the promoter level by p53 activation. Suppression of antioxidant genes by p53 is an alternative way to increase cellular ROS, conferring oxidative stress. Reduced MnSOD activity can favor proliferation owing to increased superoxide and low hydrogen peroxide levels, whereas heightened MnSOD activity drives proliferating cells to transition into quiescence owing to increased hydrogen peroxide generation. In this context, the SOD1 and SOD2 balance determines whether a cell proliferates or transitions to a quiescent state. PTEN and PI3K regulate p27 at a posttranscriptional level, and p27 protein stability also increases in cells expressing PTEN.\textsuperscript{44} Our study revealed that progesterone can activate PTEN, which may further control the activity of p27 at a transcriptional level. As no significant activity or difference in PI3K phosphorylation occurred upon progesterone treatment, PTEN may be active in progesterone signaling through its phosphatase-independent activity. Several studies have demonstrated that PTEN can activate p53 through direct and indirect protein–protein interactions, including phosphatase-dependent and phosphatase-independent mechanisms.\textsuperscript{41,45} With all of these observations, we propose a model for the action of progesterone in ER/PR-positive breast cancer via PR in a time- and concentration-dependent manner by modulating signaling pathways that include tumor suppressor pathways (Figure 8). Although many questions remain, the current findings may lead to further investigations regarding the impact of menstrual cycle hormones on breast cancer. A better understanding of breast cancer surgery timing could potentially save the lives of women by preventing recurrence of the disease.

Conclusion

Different studies have demonstrated a biphasic progesterone response in breast cancer cells, consisting of an initial proliferative burst, followed by sustained growth arrest. The present study identified TOB-1 as a target for progesterone-mediated signaling through the PR, significantly influencing
p53, PTEN, and p27 expression and downregulating antioxidant enzymes. The unique cascade identified from this study appears to result in the inhibition of breast cancer growth. Our results support, mechanistically, how ROS modulate and trigger the function of TOB-1 and PTEN, promoting crosstalk and subsequent signaling to cancer cells in optimum progesterone-rich conditions. The results clearly show that the luteal phase hormone has a role in the inhibition of breast cancer growth, which in turn may influence patient outcome, depending on the phase of the menstrual cycle during surgical breast cancer treatment. The timing of surgical treatment during a particular menstrual cycle phase plays a significant role in the survival of pre-menopausal breast cancer and could perhaps prolong and/or save a great number of patients’ lives.

**Acknowledgments**

We are grateful to the Regional Cancer Centre for providing samples. We would also like to thank Santhi Achuthan, Sherin, and Dr TR Santhosh Kumar, from the Rajiv Gandhi Centre for Biotechnology, for helping us with the experiments. This study was supported by a grant from the Kerala State Council for Science Technology and Environment (KSCSTE), the Government of Kerala, India, and the Rajiv Gandhi Centre for Biotechnology.

**Disclosure**

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

**References**

1. Hortobagyi GN. The influence of menstrual cycle phase on surgical treatment of primary breast cancer: have we made any progress over the past 13 years? *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2002;94(9):641–643.
2. Hrushesky WJM. Breast cancer, timing of surgery, and the menstrual cycle: call for prospective trial. *J Womens Health*. 1996:5:555–565.
3. Hrushesky WJM. Mastectomy and oophorectomy by menstrual cycle phase in women with operable breast cancer (letter to the editor). *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2002;94(22):1720–1721.
4. Love RR, Ba Duc N, Van Dinh N, et al. Mastectomy and oophorectomy by menstrual cycle phase in women with operable breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2002;94(9):662–669.
5. Hrushesky WJ, Gruber SA, Sothern RB. Natural killer cell activity: age, estrous- and circadian-stage dependence and inverse correlation with metastatic potential. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1988;80(15):1232–1237.

6. Hrushesky WJ, Bluming AZ, Gruber SA, Sothern RB. Menstrual influence on surgical cure of breast cancer. Lancet. 1989;2(8669):949–952.

7. Ratejezak HV, Sothern RB, Hrushesky WJM. Estrous influence on surgical cure of a mouse breast cancer. J Exp Med. 1988;168(1):73–83.

8. Badwe RA, Gregory WM, Chaudary MA, et al. Timing of surgery during menstrual cycle and survival of premenopausal women with operable breast cancer. Lancet. 1991;337(8752):1261–1264.

9. Hrushesky WJ. Timing of surgery in breast cancer (letter). Lancet. 1991;337:1603–1604.

10. Senic R, Rosen P, Rhodes P, Lesser M. Timing of breast cancer excision during the menstrual cycle influences duration of disease-free survival. Ann Intern Med. 1991;115(5):337–342.

11. Kastner P, Krust A, Turcotte B, et al. Two distinct estrogen-regulated promoters generate transcripts encoding the two functionally different human progesterone receptor forms A and B. EMBO J. 1990;9:1603–1614.

12. Arnett-Mansfield RL, DeFazio A, Mote PA, Clarke CL. Subnuclear distribution of progesterone receptors A and B in normal and malignant endometrium. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2004;89(3):1429–1442.

13. Sutherland RL, Prall OWJ, Watts CKW, Munro EA. Estrogen and progesterone regulation of cell cycle progression. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia. 1998;3(1):63–72.

14. Lange CA, Richer JK, Shen T, Horwitz KB. Convergence of progesterone and epidermal growth factor signaling in breast cancer. Potentialization of mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways. J Biol Chem. 1998;273:3108–3116.

15. Clarke CL, Sutherland RL. Progesterone regulation of cellular proliferation. Endocr Rev. 1990;11(2):266–302.

16. Horwitz KB. Mechanisms of hormone resistance in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 1993;26(2):119–130.

17. Santen RJ, Manni A, Harvey H, Redmond C. Endocrine treatment of metastatic human breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1989;2(8669):949–952.

18. Jiao Y, Sun KK, Zhao L, Xu JY, Wang LL, Fan SJ. Suppression of human lung cancer cell proliferation and metastasis in vitro by the transducer of ErbB-2.1 (TOB-1). J Biol Chem. 2001;276(15):13176–13185.

19. O’Malley S, Su H, Zhang T, Ng C, Ge H, Tang CK. TOB suppresses breast cancer tumorigenesis. Int J Cancer. 2009;125(8):1805–1813.

20. Yamada KM, Araki M. Tumor suppressor PTEN: modulator of cell signaling, growth, migration and apoptosis. J Cell Sci. 2001;114(Pt 13):2375–2382.

21. Weng LP, Brown JL, Eng C. PTEN coordinate G1 checkpoint control and p53 signaling in response to 17beta-estradiol. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(15):12537–12546.

22. Groshong SD, Owen GI, Grimmel G, et al. Biphasic regulation of breast cancer cell growth by progesterone: role of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, p21 and p27(Kip1). Mol Endocrinol. 1997;11(11):1593–1607.

23. Lange CA, Richer JK, Horwitz KB. Hypothesis: progesterone primes breast cancer cells for cross-talk with proliferative or antiproliferative signals. Mol Endocrinol. 1999;13(6):829–836.

24. Owen GI, Richer JK, Tung L, Takimoto G, Horwitz KB. Progesterone regulates transcription of the p21(WAF1) cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor gene through Sp1 and CBP/p300. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(17):10696–10701.
Supplementary materials

**Figure S1** Reactive oxygen production in (A) MCF10A cell line and (B) T47D cell line.
Abbreviation: FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate.

**Figure S2** (A) MTT assay of T47D cells with different concentration of progesterone at 48 hours. (B) MTT assay of MCF-7 cells with different concentration of progesterone at 48 hours.
Abbreviations: C, control; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide.
Figure S3 Cell viability assay in T47D cells with different concentrations of progesterone for 48 hours.

Abbreviations: Pg, progesterone; PI, propidium iodide.