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The Role of Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio in Predicting Pathological Response for Resectable Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer Treated with Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Combined with PD-1 Checkpoint Inhibitors
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Purpose The aim of our study was to investigate the value of baseline and preoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) in predicting the pathological response and disease-free survival (DFS) of neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone or combined with programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) checkpoint inhibitors in patients with resectable non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Materials and Methods Resectable NSCLC patients who underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone or combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors between January 2018 and January 2020 were included. Peripheral venous blood samples of the patients were collected within 3 days prior to the first neoadjuvant treatment and within 3 days prior to surgery.

Results A total of 79 patients in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group and 89 patients in neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group were included. Thirty-five point four percent of the patients achieved pathological complete response (pCR) in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group, whereas only 9.0% reached pCR in the group of neoadjuvant chemotherapy. High NLR level were correlated with poor pathological response and DFS in neoadjuvant chemotherapy or combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group. Multivariate analysis revealed that baseline NLR could independently predict pathological response and DFS in the neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group.

Conclusion High NLR level were correlated with poor pathological response and shorter DFS in patients with NSCLC undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy or combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors. Meanwhile, baseline NLR could independently predict response to pathological response and DFS, revealing its potential as a screening tool in NSCLC patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors.
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Introduction

Global cancer statistics showed that lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death [1]. Non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for 85% of all lung cancer, mainly consisting of adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma [2]. The emergence of immune checkpoint blockade targeting programmed cell death-1 programmed cell death ligand-1 (PD-1/PD-L1) has revolutionized the treatment of NSCLC. These drugs unleash antitumor immunity, resulting in tumor regression and improved survival in some patients with advanced NSCLC [3,4]. Combining anti–PD-1/PD-L1 with chemotherapy in metastatic NSCLC has also shown a survival advantage over chemotherapy alone, regardless of the level of PD-L1 expression or tumor mutation burden [5,6].

It has been hypothesized that neoadjuvant immunotherapy for early-stage NSCLC has the advantage of maximizing T-cell activation using the primary tumor as an antigen source, thereby systemically eliminating micro-metastases [7]. Using immune checkpoint inhibitors as neoadjuvant treatment could be superior to using them as adjuvant treatment since they could release neoantigens from dying tumor cells and stimulate the priming and expansion of neoantigen-specific T cells in the tumor before surgical resection [8]. A trial of neoadjuvant ipilimumab combined with chemotherapy showed that 58% of patients with NSCLC had an objective response [9].

There is a pressing need to find easy-to-use, reliable, and inexpensive biomarkers to identify NSCLC patients who may respond to neoadjuvant anti–PD-1 antibody. Cancer-related
inflammation is a critical determinant of disease progression and survival in most cancers [10]. Hematologic parameters neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) that may reflect the balance between inflammation and immune response, has been shown to be useful for predicting the prognosis of patients with advanced NSCLC after immunotherapy [11,12]. The predictive value of NLR has not been evaluated in patients with NSCLC after neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with immunotherapy. The aim of our study was to investigate the baseline and preoperative hematologic parameter NLR to predict the pathological response and disease-free survival (DFS) of NSCLC patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with immunotherapy compared with neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone.

### Materials and Methods

#### 1. Patients

A total of 79 resectable NSCLC patients (II and IIIA stage) receiving surgery after neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors and 89 patients receiving surgery after neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone were enrolled in Tianjin cancer Hospital (Tianjin, China) from January 2018 to January 2020. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients with NSCLC confirmed by bronchoscopy biopsy or computed tomography (CT) guided puncture biopsy; (2) the preoperative staging was done with contrast-enhanced CT or positron emission tomography; (3) neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors (nivolumab, camrelizumab, or tislelizumab) or neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone were applied for at least two cycles before surgery; (4) peripheral blood was collected before neoadjuvant therapy initiation and surgery, respectively. The following data were collected from the medical records: age, sex, smoking history, histology, neoadjuvant therapy number of cycles, tumor size at baseline, and pathological response. The rate of major pathologic response (MPR; residual viable tumor in NSCLC ≤ 10%), pathological complete response (pCR; absence of any viable invasive tumor in the lung tissue and lymph node) and DFS which was defined by the symptom-, metastasis-, and recurrence-free survival time of patients after treatment were well calculated. The cutoff date was June 31, 2021.

#### 2. Specimen collection

Peripheral venous blood samples of the patients were collected within 3 days prior to the first neoadjuvant treatment and within 3 days prior to surgery. Total white blood cell count (WBC), absolute neutrophil count (ANC), platelet count (PLT), absolute lymphocyte count (ALC), and tumor markers (carcinoembryonic antigen [CEA], squamous cell carcinoma antigen [SCC], and total prostate specific antigen [TPSA]) were collected. NLR was defined as the ratio of ANC to ALC. The upper limit of normal value is 5 μg/L for CEA, 1.5 μg/L for SCC, and 80 U/L for TPSA, respectively. Based on the reference range, the baseline levels of serum tumor markers CEA, SCC, and TPSA were categorized into normal and high.

### Results

#### 1. Baseline clinical characteristics of patients

The main clinical characteristics of participants were presented in Table 1. The neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group had 79 NSCLC patients (79.7% were smokers or ex-smokers), whose median age was 61 years old (range, 40 to 77 years), including 63 men (79.7%) and 16 women (20.3%). The squamous cell carcinomas, adenocarcinoma, and large cell carcinoma accounted for 55.7%, 26.6%, and 17.7% of these patients, respectively. The postoperative pathological results that there were 21 patients (26.6%) who had lymph node metastasis. Before surgery, all patients had received two or more cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors treatment: 39 (49.4%) cases had received two cycles of neoadjuvant treatment, 30 (38.0%) had received three cycles, and 10 (12.6%) had received four cycles.
The neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group had 89 NSCLC patients (86.5% were smokers or ex-smokers), including 73 men (82.0%) and 16 women (18.0%). The squamous cell carcinomas, adenocarcinoma, and large cell carcinoma accounted for 60.7%, 33.7%, and 5.6% of these patients, respectively. There were 38 patients (42.7%) who had pathological lymph node metastasis.

### 2. Cutoff determination of baseline and preoperative NLR

The optimum cutoff values for baseline and preoperative NLR were determined by ROC analysis, respectively. In neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group, the cutoff point of baseline and preoperative NLR for predicting pCR was 1.96 (area under the curve [AUC], 0.679; sensitivity, 0.860; specificity, 0.500) and 1.89 (AUC, 0.657; sensitivity, 0.600; specificity, 0.750). The cutoff point of baseline NLR for predicting MPR was 2.05 (AUC, 0.664; sensitivity, 0.829; specificity, 0.500) and preoperative NLR for predicting MPR was 1.93 (AUC, 0.594; sensitivity, 0.575; specificity, 0.684). In neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group, the cutoff point of baseline and preoperative NLR for predicting pCR was 1.01 (AUC, 0.422; sensitivity, 0.968; specificity, 0.154) and preoperative NLR for predicting MPR was 1.43 (AUC, 0.615; sensitivity, 0.575; specificity, 0.684).
sensitivity, 0.694; specificity, 0.615) (Fig. 1). Patients were then divided into low and high NLR groups according to the cutoff values.

3. Correlations between baseline and preoperative hematological parameters and pathological response of neoadjuvant treatment

In neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group, higher baseline and preoperative WBC, neutrophils, NLR, and higher preoperative PLT were observed in the non-pCR group than pCR group. However, in neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group, there were no significant differences in these hematological parameters between the non-pCR and pCR group (Table 2).

Then we assessed the correlations between NLR and MPR and found that higher baseline NLR was observed in the non-MPR groups in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with immunotherapy group. What’s more, there were no significant differences between NLR and MPR in neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group (Fig. 2). Fig. 2 showed the correlations between NLR and pathological responses.

Univariate logistic regression analysis (Table 3) showed that histology, lymph node metastasis or not, baseline CEA,
Table 2. The correlation between pathological response and hematologic parameters in the two different neoadjuvant treatment groups

| Characteristic                        | Neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with immunotherapy group | Neoadjuvant chemotherapy group |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                       | pCR \((n=28)\) | Non-pCR \((n=51)\) | Total | p-value | pCR \((n=8)\) | Non-pCR \((n=81)\) | Total | p-value |
| Baseline WBC \((\times 10^9/L)\)     | 6.69 (4.99-12.18) | 8.12 (3.35-23.56) | 7.42 (3.35-23.56) | 0.009 | 7.19 (4.95-12.47) | 7.05 (3.23-18.99) | 7.06 (3.23-18.99) | 0.605 |
| Baseline neutrophils \((\times 10^9/L)\) | 3.93 (2.34-9.01) | 5.60 (1.73-19.24) | 4.80 (1.73-19.24) | 0.001 | 4.70 (1.94-10.85) | 4.32 (1.5-12.53) | 4.38 (1.5-12.53) | 0.789 |
| Baseline monocytes \((\times 10^9/L)\) | 0.51 (0.11-1.03) | 0.56 (0.06-5.90) | 0.53 (0.06-5.90) | 0.166 | 0.52 (0.27-0.84) | 0.53 (0.09-1.13) | 0.53 (0.09-1.13) | 0.746 |
| Baseline lymphocyte \((\times 10^9/L)\) | 2.10 (1.16-3.75) | 1.84 (0.78-3.99) | 1.90 (0.78-3.99) | 0.335 | 1.92 (0.73-3.52) | 1.88 (0.82-5.07) | 1.89 (0.73-5.07) | 0.817 |
| Baseline platelet \((\times 10^9/L)\) | 248.50 (103.00-387.00) | 286.00 (146.00-541.00) | 267.00 (103.00-541.00) | 0.026 | 272.00 (145.00-317.00) | 277.00 (160.00-563.00) | 273.00 (145.00-563.00) | 0.733 |
| Baseline NLR                           | 2.00 (0.85-4.76) | 2.88 (1.20-13.23) | 2.61 (0.85-13.23) | 0.009 | 2.74 (0.77-14.86) | 2.25 (0.86-6.28) | 2.31 (0.77-14.86) | 0.537 |
| Preoperative WBC \((\times 10^9/L)\)  | 5.30 (3.80-15.36) | 6.47 (2.43-12.66) | 5.86 (2.43-15.36) | 0.035 | 5.28 (4.39-7.29) | 6.14 (3.06-15.70) | 5.99 (3.06-15.70) | 0.128 |
| Preoperative neutrophils \((\times 10^9/L)\) | 2.76 (1.21-13.65) | 3.31 (1.04-9.34) | 3.19 (1.04-13.65) | 0.013 | 2.86 (2.27-3.58) | 3.37 (0.58-12.63) | 3.32 (0.58-12.63) | 0.127 |
| Preoperative monocytes \((\times 10^9/L)\) | 0.56 (0.30-1.08) | 0.60 (0.18-1.35) | 0.58 (0.18-1.35) | 0.059 | 0.48 (0.33-0.93) | 0.57 (0.24-1.44) | 0.56 (0.24-1.44) | 0.134 |
| Preoperative lymphocyte \((\times 10^9/L)\) | 2.06 (0.63-2.79) | 1.73 (0.79-3.09) | 1.83 (0.63-3.09) | 0.632 | 1.78 (0.84-3.30) | 2.03 (0.47-3.85) | 2.02 (0.47-3.85) | 0.851 |
| Preoperative platelet \((\times 10^9/L)\) | 222.00 (93.00-690.00) | 237.50 (147.00-384.00) | 233.50 (93.00-690.00) | 0.186 | 215.00 (166.00-260.00) | 230.00 (117.00-509.00) | 224.00 (117.00-509.00) | 0.489 |
| Preoperative NLR                      | 1.63 (0.53-21.67) | 2.02 (0.79-7.52) | 1.83 (0.53-21.67) | 0.022 | 1.38 (0.85-6.20) | 1.83 (0.21-17.68) | 1.69 (0.21-17.68) | 0.880 |

Values are presented as median (range). NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; pCR, pathological complete response; WBC, white blood cell.
baseline and preoperative NLR were significantly correlated with pCR and MPR in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group. Lymph node metastasis, baseline and preoperative NLR were significantly correlated with pCR and MPR in neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group. Multivariate logistic regression analysis (Table 4) revealed that lymph node metastasis or not (pCR: p=0.033; hazard ratio [HR], 11.741; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.212 to 11.371; MPR: p=0.013; HR, 26.385; 95% CI, 1.980 to 35.163), and baseline NLR (pCR: p=0.030; HR, 5.407; 95% CI, 1.178 to 24.825; MPR: p=0.015; HR, 10.549; 95% CI, 1.562 to 72.924) could independently predict pCR and MPR after neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors in patients with NSCLC. However, the baseline and preoperative NLR were not independently predictive factor for pCR or MPR in neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group.

4. Correlations between baseline and preoperative NLR and DFS

We further analyzed the correlations between NLR and DFS in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group. After a median follow-up of 18 months for the entire cohort, 26 patients had disease relapse or progression. The optimum cutoff values of baseline and preoperative NLR for predicting DFS were 2.43 and 1.48 determined by ROC analysis as mentioned above. Low baseline and preoperative NLR group had better DFS than high baseline and preoperative NLR group (Fig. 3). The uni-
Table 3. Univariate analysis of pCR and MPR for neoadjuvant chemotherapy or combined with immunotherapy group

| Characteristic                          | Univariate for pCR and MPR in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with immunotherapy group | Univariate for pCR and MPR in neoadjuvant chemotherapy group |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                         | p-value          | Odds ratio (95% CI) | p-value          | Odds ratio (95% CI) | p-value          | Odds ratio (95% CI) | p-value          | Odds ratio (95% CI) |
| Sex                                     | Male or female  | 0.129              | 2.851 (0.737-11.031) | 0.102              | 3.517 (1.023-12.097) | 0.590              | -               | 0.793              | 0.805 (0.160-4.046) |
| Age (yr)                                | < 61 or ≥ 61    | 0.340              | 0.624 (0.237-1.643)  | 0.388              | 0.534 (0.922-5.930)  | 0.973              | 0.976 (0.228-4.171) | 0.349              | 0.563 (0.169-1.876) |
| Smoking history                         | smoker, ex-smoker or never smoker          | 0.695              | 1.265 (0.391-4.096)  | 0.138              | 2.420 (0.753-7.774)  | 0.860              | 1.217 (0.137-10.803) | 0.456              | 2.250 (0.267-18.955) |
| Histology                               | Squamous cell carcinomas                   | 0.082              | 0.014               | 0.644              | -               | 0.349              | -               | 0.001              | 6.286 (0.217-1.610) |
|                                        | Adenocarcinoma                      | 0.068              | 4.500 (0.893-22.668) | 0.025              | 5.777 (1.241-25.878) | 0.349              | 0.286 (0.021-3.921) | 0.349              | 0.286 (0.021-3.921) |
|                                        | Others                                      | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1               | 1                  | 1               | 1                  | 1                  |
| Neoadjuvant therapy number of cycles   | (≤ 2 or >2)                               | 0.187              | 0.532 (0.208-1.358) | 0.429              | 0.699 (0.288-1.696) | 0.544              | 1.673 (0.317-8.830) | 0.342              | 1.944 (0.493-7.666) |
| N (N0 or N1-2)                          | 0.007                                         | 0.001              | 9.130 (2.413-34.546) | 0.095              | 0.162 (0.019-1.374) | 0.039              | 0.192 (0.040-0.923) | 0.007              | 0.001              |
| Baseline CEA (normal or high)           | 0.033                                         | 0.018              | 3.281 (1.231-8.745) | 0.859              | 1.146 (0.256-5.127) | 0.874              | 1.102 (0.330-3.687) | 0.033              | 0.018              |
| Preoperative CEA (normal or high)       | 0.150                                         | 0.296              | 2.000 (0.543-7.337) | 0.657              | 0.710 (0.156-3.221) | 0.988              | 0.990 (0.275-3.561) | 0.150              | 0.296              |
| Baseline SCC (normal or high)           | 0.304                                         | 0.164              | 0.502 (0.191-1.324) | 0.924              | 0.929 (0.207-4.173) | 0.410              | 0.607 (0.185-1.992) | 0.304              | 0.164              |
| Preoperative SCC (normal or high)       | 0.564                                         | 0.135              | 2.640 (0.738-9.439) | 0.409              | 0.540 (0.125-2.332) | 0.643              | 0.741 (0.208-2.640) | 0.564              | 0.135              |
| Baseline TPSA (normal or high)          | 0.539                                         | 0.964              | 0.977 (0.366-2.609) | 0.658              | 1.403 (0.314-6.260) | 0.612              | 1.366 (0.409-4.557) | 0.539              | 0.964              |
| Preoperative TPSA (normal or high)      | 0.173                                         | 0.101              | 3.136 (0.878-10.209) | 0.628              | 1.429 (0.260-7.854) | 0.643              | 1.343 (0.386-4.668) | 0.173              | 0.101              |
| Baseline NLR (normal or high)           | 0.001                                         | 0.005              | 4.371 (1.556-12.282) | 0.020              | 12.000 (1.498-11.28) | 0.090              | 6.000 (0.756-47.63) | 0.001              | 0.005              |
| Preoperative NLR (normal or high)       | 0.004                                         | 0.023              | 2.931 (1.159-7.413) | 0.032              | 6.240 (1.175-33.144) | 0.039              | 3.636 (1.069-12.375) | 0.004              | 0.023              |

CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CI, confidence interval; MPR, major pathologic response; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; pCR, pathological complete response; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma antigen; TPSA, total prostate specific antigen.
ate cox analysis results revealed that the baseline NLR and preoperative NLR were significantly correlated with DFS, as well as lymph node metastasis or not and baseline CEA. Multivariate cox regression analysis determined that baseline NLR and lymph node metastasis or not were independent predictors of DFS in patients with NSCLC in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group (Table 5).

In neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group, after a median follow-up of 24 months for the entire cohort, 33 patients had disease relapse or progression. The optimum cutoff values of baseline and preoperative NLR for predicting DFS were 4.78 and 1.43, respectively. Low baseline and preoperative NLR group had better DFS than high baseline and preoperative NLR group (Fig. 3). However, the baseline and preoperative NLR were not independently predictive factor for DFS in neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group (Table 5).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first time to evaluate the predictive values of baseline and preoperative inflammatory factor NLR for pathological response and DFS of patients with resectable NSCLC receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy or combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors. The findings suggested that high baseline and preoperative NLR level were correlated with poor pathological response and DFS in NSCLC patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone or combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors. In addition, NLR level significantly declined from baseline to preoperative after neoadjuvant therapy. Furthermore, baseline NLR could independently predict pCR, MPR, and DFS of NSCLC patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors.

It is very well known that patients achieving pCR or MPR after neoadjuvant immunotherapy usually had longer DFS and overall survival (OS) compared with neoadjuvant therapy. For this reason, primary endpoint in recent neoadjuvant therapy studies are pCR and MPR to predict the DFS and OS [13]. Previous studies showed that only 5%-8% of patients had pCR for neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone in patients with stage IIIA NSCLC [14]. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors has changed the treatment landscape of metastatic NSCLC, which guided us to explore the effectiveness of this strategy in the neoadjuvant therapy. Our study showed that 48.1% of patients had MPR and 35.4% of patients achieved pCR in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group, whereas the MPR and pCR were only 14.6% and 9.0% in neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group, respectively.

---

**Table 4. Multivariate analysis of pCR and MPR for neoadjuvant chemotherapy or combined with immunotherapy group**

| Characteristic                  | Multivariate Analysis of pCR (95% CI) | Multivariate Analysis of MPR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Squamous cell carcinoma        | 0.839 (0.195-3.809)                  | 0.375 (0.053-2.744)                  |
| Adenocarcinoma                 | 1.851 (0.270-12.697)                 | 1.522 (0.166-13.960)                 |
| Others (N0 or N1-II)           | 26.385 (1.980-35.163)                | 1.278 (0.275-2.581)                  |
| Baseline CEA (normal or high)  | 1.908 (0.516-7.052)                  | 1.172 (0.267-5.144)                  |
| Baseline NLR (normal or high)  | 5.407 (1.178-24.522)                 | 1.045 (0.650-1.729)                  |
| Preoperative NLR (normal or high) | 1.520 (0.391-5.916)                 | 1.522 (0.166-13.960)                 |
| CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CI, confidence interval; MPR, major pathologic response; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; pCR, pathological complete response.
Inflammation can stimulate angiogenesis and affect immune surveillance as well as treatment response [15]. Tumorigenesis and progress are driven by the production of inflammatory cytokines, which could recruit inflammatory cells like neutrophils and platelet counts. Lymphopenia has a negative effect on cell-mediated immunity that initiate tumor cell death, and there has been growing evidence supporting the relationship between lymphopenia during neoadjuvant therapy and pathological response in patients with cancer [16,17]. Fang et al. [18] found that a higher lymphocyte level during neoadjuvant therapy was associated with a higher rate of pCR in patients with esophageal adenocarcinoma. In this study, baseline and preoperative WBC, neutrophils, and preoperative platelet counts were significantly higher in the non-PCR group than in the pCR group in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group. Thus, by assessing the status of these tumor-associated inflammatory responses, this might be evidence for early clinical evaluation of antitumor activity and potential therapeutic effects. This could be further explored to help differentiate between those who are not achieved pCR responding to treatment versus those achieved pCR.

Recent study reported that pretreatment derived neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio was found to be a predictive factor for pCR in patients with breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy [19]. While high NLR was found to be associated with poor survival in NSCLC patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy [20], its relationship with pathological response is uncertain. Our results indicated that high baseline NLR was related to poor pCR and MPR in patients with NSCLC receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors, as well as baseline CEA and lymph node metastasis or not. CEA has been mainly investigated as prognostic or predictive markers in NSCLC patients treated with chemotherapy. Recent study reported that CEA may serve as a reliable marker of efficacy in NSCLC patients treated with nivolumab when considering the determination of the markers at baseline [21]. Lymph node stations is a more accurate prognostic indicator in patients with completely resected non-small cell lung cancer.
Table 5. Univariate and multivariate analysis of DFS in neoadjuvant chemotherapy or combined with immunotherapy group

| Characteristic                                      | Univariate for DFS in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with immunotherapy group | Multivariate for DFS in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with immunotherapy group | Univariate for DFS in neoadjuvant chemotherapy group | Multivariate for DFS in neoadjuvant chemotherapy group |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                                                   | p-value  | Odds ratio  | p-value  | Odds ratio  | p-value  | Odds ratio  | p-value  | Odds ratio  |
|                                                   |          | (95% CI)    |          | (95% CI)    |          | (95% CI)    |          | (95% CI)    |
| Sex (male or female)                              | 0.284    | 1.579 (0.685-3.639) | -        | -           | 0.500    | 0.755 (0.334-1.708) | -        | -           |
| Age (< 61 yr or ≥ 61 yr)                          | 0.524    | 0.756 (0.320-1.787) | -        | -           | 0.319    | 0.682 (0.322-1.448) | -        | -           |
| Smoking history (smoker, ex-smoker or never smoker)| 0.336    | 1.532 (0.642-3.657) | -        | -           | 0.245    | 1.626 (0.717-3.689) | -        | -           |
| Histology                                          | 0.120    | -           | 0.145    | 1.074 (0.140-8.219) | 0.245    | -           | 0.245    | 1.928 (0.254-14.638) | -        | -           |
| Squamous cell carcinomas                           | 0.247    | 2.413 (0.543-10.721) | -        | -           | 0.945    | 1.074 (0.140-8.219) | -        | -           |
| Adenocarcinoma                                     | 0.061    | 4.232 (0.933-19.187) | -        | -           | 0.526    | 1.928 (0.254-14.638) | -        | -           |
| Others                                             | 1        | 1           | 1        | 1           | 1        | 1           | 1        | 1           |
| Neoadjuvant therapy number of cycles (≤ 2 or > 2) | 0.587    | 0.807 (0.373-1.749) | -        | -           | 0.649    | 0.507 (0.227-1.143) | -        | -           |
| N (N0 or N1-2)                                     | 0.001    | 4.067 (1.739-9.512) | 0.038    | 1.645 (1.028-2.633) | 0.002    | 0.265 (0.113-0.621) | 0.212    | 0.549 (0.214-1.410) | -        | -           |
| Baseline CEA (normal or high)                      | 0.010    | 3.108 (1.310-7.375) | 0.077    | 2.277 (0.915-5.663) | 0.336    | 1.433 (0.688-2.982) | -        | -           |
| Preoperative CEA (normal or high)                  | 0.922    | 1.056 (0.355-3.141) | -        | -           | 0.374    | 0.714 (0.340-1.500) | -        | -           |
| Baseline SCC (normal or high)                      | 0.143    | 0.496 (0.194-1.268) | -        | -           | 0.335    | 0.658 (0.281-1.542) | -        | -           |
| Preoperative SCC (normal or high)                  | 0.080    | 0.882 (0.321-2.423) | -        | -           | 0.343    | 0.695 (0.327-1.476) | -        | -           |
| Baseline TPSA (normal or high)                     | 0.491    | 0.711 (0.269-1.877) | -        | -           | 0.122    | 1.789 (0.856-3.741) | -        | -           |
| Preoperative TPSA (normal or high)                 | 0.302    | 1.625 (0.647-4.082) | -        | -           | 0.425    | 1.396 (0.615-3.172) | -        | -           |
| Baseline NLR (normal or high)                      | 0.004    | 4.264 (1.605-11.328) | 0.019    | 3.848 (1.252-11.827) | 0.008    | 4.337 (1.460-12.886) | 0.109    | 2.517 (0.813-7.791) | -        | -           |
| Preoperative NLR (normal or high)                  | 0.026    | 5.131 (1.212-21.727) | 0.151    | 3.034 (0.668-13.776) | 0.004    | 4.741 (1.644-13.669) | 0.067    | 2.904 (0.926-9.102) | -        | -           |

CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CI, confidence interval; DFS, disease-free survival; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma antigen; TPSA, total prostate specific antigen.
But their effect on pathological responses and prognosis in neoadjuvant therapy has rarely been reported and needs further investigation. However, there were no significant differences in neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group and it might be due to the low number of patients reaching pCR or MPR. We will increase the sample size for further verification in the subsequent study.

Hematologic parameter NLR value has been reported as a prognostic biomarker in patients with solid tumor [23,24]. Recent studies have showed that NLR was significantly associated with prognosis in patients with NSCLC and other metastatic solid tumors treated with immunotherapy [25,26]. It was reported that high pretreatment NLR (≥ 5) was independently related to poorer OS and PFS in advanced NSCLC patients treated with nivolumab [11,26]. In operable NSCLC patient, previous studies showed that a high preoperative NLR was an independent negative prognostic indicator [27]. Our results indicate that a high degree of NLR was corresponded to a poor DFS in patients with NSCLC and to the best of our knowledge is the first to report the prognostic value of inflammatory factor NLR in NSCLC patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors.

In this study, baseline NLR showed an independent predictive ability for pathological response and DFS after neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors. However, the preoperative NLR did not show an independent predictive ability for pCR or MPR in multivariate analysis, which may be related to the inherent correlation between baseline and preoperative NLR. This phenomenon has been described in some studies [28], and it still needs to be further explored.

Because of readily available, non-invasive, and economic advantages, NLR can be used as a predictor of efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors. We are aware of the limitations of our study. The study is a retrospective study and cannot control the influence of confounding factors on the results. In addition, the sample size is small and samples only come from single center and single-race that will not be generalized. No significant difference in NLR between the different pathological response groups especially in neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone group might due to the limited sample size. We will further expand the sample size for verification in the future. Therefore, whether it can be widely used in clinical practice to help evaluate the pathological response and prognosis of NSCLC patients treated with neoadjuvant therapy will require further study.

Our study proposed that a high baseline and preoperative NLR level were correlated with poor pathological response and DFS in NSCLC patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone or combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors. Moreover, baseline NLR could independently predict pCR, MPR and DFS in neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors group. We believe that the inflammatory factor NLR, which can be detected in a simple, quick, cheap, and practical manner, may be used as an auxiliary clinical indicator to increase the predictability of pathological response and DFS in NSCLC patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with PD-1 checkpoint inhibitors.
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