Identifying different phenotypes in takotsubo cardiomyopathy by latent class analysis
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Abstract

Aims  This study sought to determine whether clinical clusters exist in takotsubo cardiomyopathy. Takotsubo cardiomyopathy (TCM) is a heterogeneous disorder with a complex, poorly understood pathogenesis. To better understand the heterogeneity of TCM, we identified different clinical phenotypes in a large sample of TCM patients by using latent class analysis (LCA).

Methods and results  Using the National Inpatient Sample (NIS) database, we identified 3139 patients admitted to hospitals in 2016–2017 with a primary diagnosis of TCM. We performed LCA based on several patient demographics and comorbidities: age, sex, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, obesity, current smoking, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and anxiety and depressive disorders. We then repeated LCA separately with the NIS 2016 and 2017 data sets and performed a robust test to validate our results. We also compared in-hospital outcomes among the different clusters identified by LCA. Four patient clusters were identified. C1 (n = 1228, 39.4%) had the highest prevalence of hyperlipidemia (93.4%), hypertension (61.6%), and diabetes (34.3%). In C2 (n = 440, 14.0%), all patients had COPD, and many were smokers (45.8%). C3 (n = 376, 11.8%) largely comprised patients with anxiety disorders (98.4%) and depressive disorders (80.1%). C4 (n = 1097, 34.8%) comprised patients with isolated TCM and few comorbidities. Among all clusters, C1 had the lowest in-hospital mortality (1.0%) and the shortest length of stay (3.2 ± 3.1 days), whereas C2 had the highest in-hospital mortality (3.4%).

Conclusions  Using LCA, we identified four clinical phenotypes of TCM. These may reflect different pathophysiological processes in TCM. Our findings may help identify treatment targets and select patients for future clinical trials.
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Introduction

Since it was first described in 1990, takotsubo cardiomyopathy (TCM), also known as stress-induced cardiomyopathy, has been increasingly reported worldwide.¹ This disorder is characterized by a wall motion abnormality that extends beyond the territory of a single coronary artery, with no angiographic evidence of acute plaque rupture.² Although it is considered a reversible myocardial injury, TCM has in-hospital mortality rates similar to those of acute myocardial infarction and acute coronary syndrome.³ Additionally, the frequency of hospital admissions for TCM has been increasing recently.⁴ The pathogenesis of TCM is not well understood. Its widely accepted putative pathophysiological mechanisms include endothelial dysfunction,⁵ coronary artery spasm,⁶ myocardial stunning resulting from excessive catecholamine release,⁷ reperfusion injury, and abnormalities in cardiac fatty acid metabolism.⁸ Despite increasing recognition of the clinical and biological heterogeneity within TCM, TCM has been mainly managed with supportive therapy only, with no specific treatment developed so far. Traditional cardiovascular medications such as β-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), and statins have been studied as treatments for TCM in both retrospective and meta-analysis studies. These studies yielded results that were either...
conflicting or showed no benefit of treatment, which might reflect the incomplete understanding of the pathophysiology of TCM and again indicate the heterogeneity of this disease.3,9–11

Dividing TCM into subtypes to compensate for this heterogeneity is crucial and could potentially inform the development of novel treatment regimens for TCM. Some investigators have classified TCM into different subtypes on the basis of the inciting event12 or anatomic variants.13 Although those classifications have been used in clinical settings, they do not provide specific guidance on treatment planning for different groups of patients, which limits their clinical utility for TCM management.

Multiple clinical risk factors for TCM have been reported and proposed to be involved in the underlying pathophysiology of TCM. These include conventional cardiovascular risk factors [smoking, obesity,14 hypertension, and diabetes mellitus (DM)15], psychiatric disorders (i.e. depressive and anxiety disorders16), and pulmonary diseases [e.g. chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)17 and asthma18]. These risk factors may reflect the heterogeneity of TCM and should be incorporated into clinical parameters for identifying the phenotypes of TCM.

Latent class analysis (LCA), a validated statistical method of mixture modelling for finding the best-fit model for a dataset, has been used to identify phenotypes based on clinical risk factors in diseases such as acute respiratory distress syndrome and gout, and these findings have significant pathophysiological and clinical implications.19,20 In our study, we capitalized on the wealth of clinical data available from the National Inpatient Sample (NIS) database, using LCA to identify and validate novel phenotypes of TCM and testing their association with clinical outcomes.

Methods

Data source and study population

We identified all patients with a primary diagnosis of TCM from the 2016 and 2017 NIS database by the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM) codes (Supporting Information, Table S1). Patients with no recorded age or sex were excluded. The NIS database represents a stratified sample of 20% of all inpatient hospitalizations in the United States and contains the following data: primary and secondary diagnoses, patient demographic characteristics, hospital characteristics, total charges, expected payer, discharge status, length of stay (LOS), and comorbidity measures. Because the NIS is a deidentified, publicly available database, using the NIS does not require Institutional Review Board approval. The process of patient selection in this study is shown in Figure 1.

Assignment of clinical phenotype and statistical analysis

Latent class analysis is a statistical method that uses responses to a set of observed categorical variables to identify potential discrete, mutually exclusive latent classes of objects.21 As a type of model-based clustering approach, LCA has the advantage of not relying on traditional modelling assumptions such as linearity, normality, and homogeneity. Additionally, LCA can determine the number of clusters that best fits the data. This technique calculates estimates of item response probabilities (IRP) conditional upon class membership (i.e. the probability for an individual in a given cluster to provide a certain response to a specific item) and each individual’s class membership probabilities (i.e. an individual’s probability of membership in each class). Thereby, LCA assigns each individual to the class for which that individual has the largest membership probability.

We calculated the IRP in the data sets to define four phenotypes’ characteristics. The greater the IRP, the more likely the individual is to be assigned to the corresponding subgroup. For example, a COPD IRP of 0.8 corresponds to an 80% chance for the individual in the cluster to have COPD.

In an effort to classify the TCM patients, we selected multiple known risk factors for TCM, including older age, female sex, current smoking, hypertension, DM, hyperlipidaemia, obesity, anxiety, depression, COPD, and asthma. We examined the 2016 and 2017 NIS databases, starting with a model with two classes and increasing the number of classes to determine whether the set of available model diagnostics suggested a more appropriate number of classes. By examining the number of parameters, log-likelihood, Bayesian information criterion (BIC), sample-size-adjusted BIC, Akaike’s information criterion, Pearson χ² goodness of fit, and the likelihood ratio χ² (G²) statistic, we found that four classes presented the most parsimonious solution in considering goodness-of-fit measures and the interpretability of the model. That is, four classes were the minimum number from which the solution could be interpreted meaningfully, according to the BIC model’s recommendation.

In addition, we repeated the LCA test on NIS 2016 and 2017 separately, using the same method, to test the replicability of our results. Finally, we tested the association between subgroups and outcomes in all patients with TCM. The primary outcomes measured were in-hospital mortality, LOS, and the total charges of hospitalization. The secondary outcomes included cardiac arrest, cardiogenic shock, acute kidney injury, and acute respiratory failure (ARF). All the variables and outcomes in this study were identified by International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification codes or were directly extracted from the NIS.

To further examine the reliability of the clustering results, we conducted a robust LCA test by excluding from the cohort those patients who did not undergo diagnostic angiography.
or who had percutaneous coronary intervention or surgical revascularization during the hospitalization according to their ICD-10 Procedure Coding System codes (Table S1). The criteria for TCM patients’ identification in the robust-test cohort were consistent with those used in the previous study.22
Performing LCA requires categorical variables, so we treated all baseline variables accordingly. Age was separated into categories of <50 and ≥50 years, as studies show that 50 is the average age at which women reach menopause23 and that postmenopausal women are at higher risk of TCM2 and have worse outcomes24 than premenopausal women. All categorical variables are represented by numbers and percentages and were compared by using the χ² test. Continuous variables are presented as mean and standard deviation and were tested with analysis of variance. All statistical analyses were performed by the R statistics software. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Population characteristics

In the NIS data, we identified 3141 patients with a primary diagnosis of TCM: 1582 from the 2016 database and 1559 from the 2017 database. Similar to prior studies, 90.4% of the patients were women with an average age of 66.7 years.3 Conventional cardiovascular risk factors included hypertension (49.0%), hyperlipidaemia (48.8%), DM (19.5%), current smoking (18.7%), and obesity (12.7%); respiratory diseases, including COPD (21.8%) and asthma (9.5%); and psychiatric disorders such as anxiety (25.2%) and depression (17.6%). The risk factor distributions were similar in the 2016 and 2017 data (Table 1).

Latent class analysis

In each cohort, analysis of latent class models suggested that a four-class model provided the lowest BIC (Tables 2, S2, S3, and S4) and, therefore, the best model performance. Table 3 shows the distribution of risk factors among the four subgroups.

The latent class models were applied again independently to the 2016 data (Table S5), the 2017 data (Table S6), and the robust-test cohort (Table S7). We also examined the association between the phenotypes and clinical outcomes in all TCM and robust-test patients (Tables 4 and S8, respectively). The IRPs of the four phenotypes are shown for each of the four data sets in Figures 2–5 and S1.

Phenotypes identified by clustering

The LCA identified four clusters of patients with common characteristics. For each cluster, the LCAs run for the separated 2016, 2017, and robust-test data sets produced similar results to those of the LCA for the entire cohort. For the association between the phenotypes and clinical outcomes, the robust-test cohort yielded similar results to those of the entire cohort.

C1: Metabolic disease cluster

In Cluster 1 (n = 1228), 99.1% of patients were more than 50 years old. Metabolic diseases, such as hyperlipidaemia (94.0%), hypertension (61.2%), and diabetes (34.0%), were most prevalent among patients in this cluster. Interestingly, patients in Cluster 1 had the lowest inpatient mortality (1.0%), shortest LOS (3.2 ± 3.1 days), and lowest incidence of ARF (7.4%) among the four clusters.
Table 1 | Baseline characteristics of patients with takotsubo cardiomyopathy in three data sets

| Characteristic                        | Overall | 2016  | 2017  |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|
|                                      | N = 3141 | N = 1582 | N = 1559 |
| Age (years)                          | 66.7 ± 12.7 | 66.8 ± 12.9 | 66.5 ± 12.4 |
| Age ≥ 50 years                       | 2878 (91.6) | 1455 (92.0) | 1423 (91.3) |
| Female                               | 2841 (90.4) | 1422 (89.9) | 1419 (91) |
| Hypertension                         | 611 (19.5) | 311 (19.7) | 300 (19.2) |
| Diabetes mellitus                    | 1532 (48.8) | 766 (48.4) | 766 (49.1) |
| Hyperlipidaemia                      | 399 (12.7) | 189 (11.9) | 210 (13.5) |
| Obesity                              | 793 (25.2) | 375 (23.7) | 418 (26.8) |
| Anxiety disorder                     | 554 (17.6) | 269 (17.0) | 285 (18.3) |
| Depressive disorder                  | 299 (9.5) | 159 (10.1) | 140 (9.0) |
| Current smoking                      | 586 (18.7) | 272 (17.2) | 314 (20.1) |
| Asthma                               | 684 (21.8) | 324 (20.5) | 360 (23.1) |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease| 2878 (91.6) | 1455 (92.0) | 1423 (91.3) |

Values are mean ± SD or n (%).

Table 2 | Fit statistics for latent class models from two to five classes for the full cohort

| Model        | Npar | LL    | BIC   | aBIC  | AIC   | χ²   | G²    | Entropy |
|--------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|---------|
| Two classes  | 23   | −16 172.33 | 32 529.85 | 32 456.77 | 32 390.65 | 1700.94 | 3255.44 | 0.50  |
| Three classes| 35   | −16 016.33 | 32 314.50 | 32 203.26 | 32 102.67 | 1388.42 | 2789.70 | 0.50  |
| Four classes | 47   | 15 919.95  | 32 218.35 | 32 068.99 | 31 933.89 | 1194.58 | 2624.13 | 0.63  |
| Five classes | 59   | 15 874.22  | 32 223.52 | 32 036.02 | 31 866.43 | 1101.87 | 2565.15 | 0.62  |

aBIC, sample-size-adjusted Bayesian information criterion; AIC, Akaike's information criterion; BIC, Bayesian information criterion; LL, log-likelihood; Npar, number of parameters.

Table 3 | Characteristics of patients with takotsubo cardiomyopathy after clustering on risk factors in the full cohort

| Characteristic                                      | Overall | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|----|----|----|----|---------|
|                                                     | N = 3141 | n = 1228 | n = 440 | n = 376 | n = 1097 |
| Age (years)                                         | 66.7 ± 12.7 | 70.0 ± 10.4 | 67.5 ± 10.6 | 64.0 ± 11.6 | 63.6 ± 15.0 | <0.001 |
| Age ≥ 50 years                                      | 2878 (91.6) | 1217 (99.1) | 431 (92.6) | 328 (87.2) | 902 (82.2) | <0.001 |
| Female                                              | 2841 (90.4) | 1106 (90.1) | 386 (87.2) | 370 (89.8) | 979 (89.2) | <0.001 |
| Hypertension                                        | 1538 (49.0) | 752 (61.2) | 170 (38.6) | 203 (54.0) | 413 (37.6) | <0.001 |
| Diabetes mellitus                                   | 611 (19.5) | 417 (34.0) | 55 (12.5) | 73 (19.4) | 66 (6.0) | <0.001 |
| Hyperlipidaemia                                     | 1532 (48.8) | 1154 (94.0) | 131 (29.8) | 218 (58.0) | 29 (2.6) | <0.001 |
| Obesity                                             | 399 (12.7) | 212 (17.3) | 21 (4.8) | 94 (25.0) | 72 (6.6) | <0.001 |
| Anxiety disorder                                    | 793 (25.2) | 166 (13.5) | 86 (19.5) | 359 (95.5) | 182 (16.6) | <0.001 |
| Depressive disorder                                 | 299 (9.5) | 117 (9.5) | 46 (10.5) | 57 (15.2) | 79 (7.2) | <0.001 |
| Asthma                                              | 299 (9.5) | 117 (9.5) | 46 (10.5) | 57 (15.2) | 79 (7.2) | <0.001 |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease               | 684 (21.8) | 83 (6.8) | 211 (48.0) | 125 (33.2) | 167 (15.2) | <0.001 |

Values are mean ± SD or n (%).

Table 4 | Inpatient outcomes in the four clusters of takotsubo cardiomyopathy patients in the full cohort

| Outcomes                          | Overall | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | P value |
|-----------------------------------|---------|----|----|----|----|---------|
|                                   | N = 3141 | n = 1228 | n = 440 | n = 376 | n = 1097 |
| Death                             | 52 (1.7) | 12 (1.0) | 15 (3.4) | 4 (1.1) | 21 (1.9) | 0.005 |
| Length of stay, days              | 3.4 ± 3.3 | 3.2 ± 3.1 | 4.0 ± 2.9 | 3.9 ± 3.8 | 3.4 ± 3.5 | <0.001 |
| Total charges (USD)               | 49 805 ± 47 946 | 46 825 ± 40 164 | 55 580 ± 47 343 | 49 666 ± 44 240 | 50 861 ± 56 464 | 0.009 |
| Cardiogenic shock                 | 145 (4.6) | 48 (3.9) | 29 (6.6) | 14 (3.7) | 54 (4.9) | 0.102 |
| Acute kidney injury               | 287 (9.1) | 115 (9.4) | 45 (10.2) | 30 (8.0) | 97 (8.9) | 0.699 |
| Acute respiratory failure         | 342 (10.9) | 91 (7.4) | 103 (23.4) | 50 (13.3) | 99 (9.1) | <0.001 |

Values are mean ± SD or n (%).
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Figure 2  Risk factors in Cluster 1 (metabolic disease). (A) Combined 2016 and 2017 NIS data. (B) 2016 NIS data. (C) 2017 NIS data. (D) Distribution of variables. COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HLD, hyperlipidaemia; HTN, hypertension; IRP, item response probabilities; NIS, National Inpatient Sample.

Figure 3  Risk factors in Cluster 2 (COPD and smoking). (A) Combined 2016 and 2017 NIS data. (B) 2016 NIS data. (C) 2017 NIS data. (D) Distribution of variables. COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HLD, hyperlipidaemia; HTN, hypertension; IRP, item response probabilities; NIS, National Inpatient Sample.
Figure 4 Risk factors in Cluster 3 (psychiatric disorders). (A) Combined 2016 and 2017 NIS data. (B) 2016 NIS data. (C) 2017 NIS data. (D) Distribution of variables. COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HLD, hyperlipidaemia; HTN, hypertension; IRP, item response probabilities; NIS, National Inpatient Sample.

Figure 5 Risk factors in Cluster 4 (minimal risk factors). (A) Combined 2016 and 2017 NIS data. (B) 2016 NIS data. (C) 2017 NIS data. (D). Distribution of variables. COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HLD, hyperlipidaemia; HTN, hypertension; IRP, item response probabilities; NIS, National Inpatient Sample.
**C2: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and smoking cluster**

Cluster 2 (n = 440) had the highest prevalence of COPD (100.0%) and smoking (48.0%). This cluster of patients had the poorest overall outcomes, having the highest in-hospital mortality (3.4%), the longest LOS (4.0 ± 2.9 days), and the highest incidence of ARF (23.4%).

**C3: Psychiatric disorders cluster**

Cluster 3 (n = 376) was characterized by significant psychiatric disorders. In this cluster, 95.5% of patients had a diagnosis of anxiety disorder, and 82.2% had a diagnosis of major depression disorder. Notably, C3 was noted to have the lowest incidence of cardiac arrest (0.3%). In addition, C3 had an in-hospital mortality of 1.1% and an LOS of 3.9 ± 3.8 days.

**C4: Minimal risk factors cluster**

Overall, Cluster 4 (n = 1097) had the fewest risk factors among the four clusters. Individuals in this cluster tended to be younger (mean age 63.6 years) and had the fewest comorbidities, having low rates of hypertension (37.6%), DM (6.0%), hyperlipidaemia (2.6%), asthma (7.2%), and depression (6.1%). There were no patients with COPD in this cluster.

While C4 had the fewest risk factors and the lowest hospitalization cost ($50 861 ± $56 464), it also had the highest incidence of cardiac arrest (2.6%). This group had an in-hospital mortality of 1.9% and a LOS of 3.4 ± 3.5 days.

**Discussion**

Efforts have been made to classify TCM by distribution of regional wall motion abnormalities or by precipitating factor (emotional vs. physical triggering event). One study found no significant difference in cardiogenic shock and death rates between patients with typical and atypical TCM. A study by Sobue et al. associated TCM caused by a physical trigger with a higher in-hospital death rate than TCM caused by a non-physical trigger, although many TCM cases do not have an identifiable trigger. Those classifications did not prove useful in guiding treatment or predicting outcome.

In this study, we sought to identify clinical phenotypes of primary TCM. Whereas TCM is described as secondary when it occurs in individuals already hospitalized for other medical, surgical, anaesthetic, obstetric, or psychiatric conditions and is considered a complication of the patient’s primary medical condition, TCM is considered primary when the specific symptoms described are the chief reason for the patient’s acute presentation. These patients include those with or without clearly identifiable stress triggers or any coexisting medical conditions that could be risk factors for TCM.

By using the NIS database, we used risk factors to classify TCM patients into four different clusters and tested for outcome differences among them. Surprisingly, Cluster 1, which had significant metabolic disease, had the best performance in terms of inpatient mortality, LOS, and ARF rate. In contrast, Cluster 2, which was characterized by significant COPD and smoking, had the worst primary and secondary outcomes. To our knowledge, this study is the first to use LCA to identify different TCM phenotypes according to risk factors.

**C1: Metabolic disease**

This cluster had the most patients more than 50 years old, and the patients were the most likely to have metabolic diseases such as hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, and DM. Nonetheless, these patients tended to have lowest in-hospital mortality and the shortest LOS. Because of their known cardiovascular risk factors, these individuals might have been more likely to be receiving cardiac-protective medications such as β-blockers, calcium channel blockers, ACEIs, angiotensin receptor blockers, and statins, which now are widely used to treat TCM in its acute and chronic phases. Previous studies found that β-blockers can relieve the ventricular discordance that typifies TCM by antagonizing the activation of sympathetic nerves in patients with a significant intraventricular pressure gradient. The ACEIs, angiotensin receptor blockers, and statins, serving as direct and indirect antioxidants, can suppress nitrate tolerance and endothelial dysfunction to relieve coronary artery spasm, especially in patients with the aldehyde dehydrogenase 2-deficient genotype.

There is conflicting evidence regarding the benefit of using those medications for TCM. One meta-analysis showed that early receipt of β-blockers after TCM had no significant association with inpatient mortality. However, another study associated the increasing percentage of patients taking long-term cardiac medications after TCM with a decline in the incidence of complications from TCM. The mixed therapeutic effectiveness of traditional cardiac medications may illustrate the heterogeneity of TCM patients and suggest that some of them may benefit from these medications. Taking cardiac-protective medications could be protective for these patients, potentially explaining why they tended to have a better outcome than patients in the other clusters. However, further study is needed to confirm this hypothesis.

**C2: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and smoking**

Patients in C2, characterized by a high prevalence of COPD and smoking, had the highest mortality rate, highest
incidence of ARF, and longest LOS. Smoking and COPD share the pathophysiological mechanisms of endothelial dysfunction, arterial stiffness, and inflammation, which can cause adverse cardiovascular events.\(^3\)_1,31 Smoking can also disrupt the balance of the autonomic nervous system and increase plasma catecholamine levels.\(^3\)_2 Additionally, the use of \(\beta_2\)-adrenoergic agonists for bronchospasm may mimic the actions of the endogenous catecholamines epinephrine and norepinephrine in COPD patients. Studies have suggested that sympathetic nervous system activation and higher plasma catecholamine levels are associated with poor prognosis in TCM patients.\(^3\)_3

In addition, TCM has been noted to occur during severe dyspnoea in COPD. As a result, a specific phenotype called ‘bronchogenic TCM’ was proposed because of its correlation with COPD, as well as its atypical presentation.\(^3\)_4 Exacerbation of COPD can mask the symptoms of bronchogenic TCM, causing delay of TCM treatment and resulting in poor prognosis. Patients with COPD are more likely to develop ARF than those without COPD, which, in combination with severe physical diseases such as TCM, worsens inpatient outcomes. In addition, ARF that necessitates mechanical ventilation is a risk factor for TCM\(^3\)_5 and makes treating it more difficult. One previous study has shown that TCM patients with cardiopulmonary failure have greater in-hospital mortality than those without.\(^3\)_6 More attention should be paid to the respiratory diseases of such patients to prevent ARF and reduce their need for mechanical ventilation.

**C4: Minimal risk factors**

Patients in Cluster 4 had the fewest risk factors. Although this group had the lowest total costs, it had the highest incidence of cardiac arrest and the second-highest in-hospital mortality rate among the four clusters. It is possible that this group of patients is the least likely to seek medical attention, and that when they do, acute coronary syndrome and TCM are less likely to be considered as potential diagnoses because these patients are generally at lower risk. Likewise, patients in this cluster might be less likely to be prescribed cardiac medications after risk stratification. Nevertheless, further research is required to understand the aetiology of this cluster’s poor prognosis and its unique underlying pathology.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to use LCA to identify different phenotypes of patients with TCM by their clinical characteristics and to study these phenotypes’ correlation with outcomes. Our study used a large, well-characterized sample of TCM patients. We also repeated LCA independently in two subsets of our data to confirm our findings from the entire cohort.

**Identification of takotsubo cardiomyopathy**

In this study, we formed our main cohort by using the ICD-10 code for TCM to identify patients with the primary diagnosis of TCM. Previous studies have showed that the discharge diagnosis of TCM has a high accuracy and positive predictive value. Basic et al.\(^3\)_4 conducted a study to validate the hospital discharge diagnosis of cardiomyopathy at three hospitals in western Sweden. The authors found that ‘other cardiomyopathy’ (defined as restrictive, arrhythmogenic right ventricular, left ventricular noncompaction, takotsubo, and peripartum cardiomyopathies) had a diagnostic accuracy rate of 100%. Another validation study in a similar inpatient database, the Danish National Patient Registry, found that the positive predictive value of the ICD-10 code for a discharge diagnosis of TCM (which was the same ICD code we used in our study) was 100% in all age and sex groups.\(^3\)_5 Another study designed to validate the in-hospital invasive cardiac procedure codes in an administrative health database showed that the negative predictive values of PCI and angiography codes were 87.9% and 79.9%, respectively, which means there is a chance that patients without discharge codes for PCI and angiography nonetheless underwent these procedures.\(^3\)_6 Thus, we only used the discharge code for TCM to identify TMC patients. However, we did not find any research articles on the validity of using the ICD-10 code for TCM in the NIS database specifically.

To examine the reliability of our primary results, we conducted a robust analysis by using the code for TCM together with the code for angiography without PCI/CABG to identify TCM, as described in a previous study.\(^1\)_2 The results of the
robust analysis are in accordance with our primary results. The LCA runs for the robust-test cohort produced similar results to those of the LCA for the entire cohort.

Study limitations

This is a retrospective study with no follow-up data. In addition, not all potentially relevant variables were available in the NIS database. These variables included the medications each patient was taking before admission (e.g. cardiac medications and inhalational beta agonists); echocardiographic, physiological, and laboratory data; anatomic type of TCM (apical, reverse, mid-ventricular, and right ventricular involvement); the specific trigger for the patient's TCM; and the severity of each risk factor, all of which may also reflect the heterogeneity of TCM and could have enhanced phenotype verity of each risk factor, all of which may also reflect the heterogeneity of TCM and could have enhanced phenotype identification. Furthermore, because the NIS is a deidentified public database, we were unable to identify any patient or access their original medical records. Thus, duplication due to patient transfer could not be eliminated. However, by comparing patients’ characteristics between transferred TCM patients and the rest, we found that the maximum rate of duplication due to patient transfer being recorded as two separated admissions was low: 0.64%. On the other hand, patients with TCM are often readmitted with recurrent TCM, which could also lead to data duplication (the frequency of which we cannot estimate).

Conclusions

By using the LCA method, our study identified four clusters of TCM patients based on differences in their risk factors for TCM. We also found statistically significant differences in in-hospital outcomes among these clusters. Our findings support the clinical and pathophysiological heterogeneity of TCM, which could be the key to optimizing TCM treatment and predicting outcomes for individual patients. Our study suggests that future efforts should aim to further characterize these phenotypes with comprehensive clinical and biological data. In addition, our study has the potential to directly inform future randomized controlled trials of novel treatments for TCM.
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