Identification \textit{BCL6} and miR-30 family associating with Ibrutinib resistance in activated B-cell-like diffuse large B-cell lymphoma
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Abstract

Ibrutinib has clear efficacy for activated B-cell-like diffuse large B cell lymphoma (ABC-DLBCL) in previous clinical researches. However, the resistance of Ibrutinib has limited its therapeutic benefit and the potential mechanism remains unclear. This study was aimed to identify potential candidate genes and miRNA targets to overcome Ibrutinib resistance in ABC-DLBCL. First, two expression profiles were downloaded from the GEO database, which used to identify the DEGs related to Ibrutinib resistance in ABC-DLBCL cell lines by GEO2R analysis separately. And the common DEGs were obtained though Venn diagram. Then Gene ontology (GO) and pathway enrichment analysis were conducted by DAVID database. From STRING database, \textit{BCL6}, \textit{IL10}, \textit{IL2RB}, \textit{IRF4}, \textit{CD80}, \textit{PRDM1} and \textit{GZMB} were determined to be the hub genes by protein–protein interaction (PPI) network. Through miRNA-mRNA targeting network, we found that \textit{BCL6}, \textit{IRF4}, \textit{CD80}, and \textit{PRDM1} were common target genes of miR-30 family. The cBioPortal database showed that \textit{BCL6} had the highest level of genetic alterations among DLBCL. In addition, another expression profile from GEO database showed that \textit{BCL6} was significantly high expression in no responsive patients after Ibrutinib treatment, and the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve which was used to evaluate the relationship between \textit{BCL6} expression and its effect was 0.67. MTT assay showed that treatment with FX1 (a \textit{BCL6} inhibitor) can enhance the sensitivity of Ibrutinib in C481S BTK HBL-1 cells. The results suggested that \textit{BCL6} and miR-30 family maybe associate with Ibrutinib resistance in ABC-DLBCL.
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Introduction

Diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL) were classified as germinal center B-cell-like (GCB) and activated B-cell-like (ABC) DLBCL with cell-of-origin (COO) [1, 2]. And the ABC subtype presents poor prognosis [3]. Although targeted therapy drugs improved the prognosis of DLBCL patients. However, about 40% patients of DLBCL could not benefit from first-line therapy, and drug resistance is a leading cause of it [4].

Drug resistance relates to various mechanisms, such as gene-driven, pathway mediated. \textit{TBL1XR1}, \textit{IRF4}, \textit{TP53}, \textit{FOXO1}, \textit{KMT2C (MLL3)}, \textit{CCND3}, \textit{NFKBIZ}, and \textit{STAT6}, were potential candidate targets to overcome drug resistance in DLBCL [5, 6]. In addition, increasing evidences have revealed miRNAs negatively regulated expression of their target genes and abnormally expressed in many tumors, including DLBCL [7, 8]. A meta-analysis suggests that DLBCL patients with abnormal expression of miR-155, miR-17/92 clusters, miR-21, miR-224, or miR-146b-5p are associated with worse outcome and higher risk of drug resistance [9]. Currently, more and more DLBCL related genes and signaling pathways have been identified, and a number of targeted therapeutic drugs have recently been introduced, such as Ibrutinib.
Ibrutinib, a small molecule inhibitor of Brutons tyrosine kinase (BTK), was approved to use for several B-cell malignancies by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2013 [10]. The curative effect of Ibrutinib monotherapy on rel/ref ABC DLBCL is significantly better than that of GCB subtype [11]. However, resistance to ibrutinib limits its effectiveness, and the underling mechanisms are still not clear. Bioinformatics analysis is used to analyze data of high-throughput sequencing, which helps us to study potential molecular mechanisms of drug resistance. The present study generated differently expressed genes (DEGs) to identified the core gene among their regulatory relations and miRNA targets associated with Ibrutinib resistance in ABC-DLBCL.

Materials and methods

Microarray data

The three microarray expression profile datasets (GSE138126, GSE93984 and GSE93985) were obtained from the gene expression omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) database [12]. GSE138126 was determined by GPL13497 Agilent-026652 Whole Human Genome Microarray 4 × 44 K (Submission date: Sep 29, 2019, Last update date: Nov 03, 2019), a total of 6 Ibrutinib-resistant and 6 sensitive samples, including HBL-1 and OCI-LY10 ABC DLBCL cell lines. And GSE93985 was based on the comparison between sensitive TMD8 ABC DLBCL and its Ibrutinib-resistant cell lines. It was performed by GPL17586.0 Affymetrix Human Transcriptome Array 2.0 (Submission date: Jan 24, 2017, Last update date: Oct 29, 2018). The GSE93984 was detected by GPL570 U133 plus 2.0 arrays, which included Ibrutinib pretreated tumor biopsy samples from ABC-DLBCL patients.

DEGs screening

The DEGs between Ibrutinib sensitive and resistant cell lines were obtained by GEO2R analysis with cutoff values of P value < 0.05 and |Log2FC|> 1 [13], a web application based on R software in GEO database. Then we used limma package of R software to constructed Volcano maps showing the DEGs and Draw Venn Diagram website (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/) to get the overlapped DEGs. The overlapped DEGs were considered to be associated with Ibrutinib resistance.

Gene ontology (GO) and pathway analysis

GO analysis was carried out to analyze different functions of Ibrutinib resistance-related DEGs including biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), molecular function (MF) category. Pathway enrichment analysis was carried out with Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and Reactome database. In this work, GO terms and pathway analysis were both performed by Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) (vision 6.8) [14] database with P value < 0.05 as the threshold value.

Integration of protein–protein interaction (PPI) network

Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING, http://string-db.org) (vision 11.0) [15] database was used to generate PPI network to show the association among DEGs. Further, PPI network was visualized through cytoscape (vision 3.7.2) software [16], then the top DEGs with Maximal Clique Centrality (MCC) score > 10,000 were regarded as hub genes by CytoHubba plugin.

Exploring genetic alterations of hub genes and predicting hub gene-related miRNAs

The cBioPortal database (http://www.cbioportal.org/) [17] was used to explore genetic alterations of hub genes. The miRNAs who target hub genes were predicted by miRDB database (http://mirwalk.umm.uni-heidelberg.de) [18] and the miRNA–mRNA interaction was constructed by Cytoscape (vision 3.7.2) software.

BCL6 expression in ABC-DLBCL patients with different outcome after Ibrutinib treatment

In the GSE93984 expression profile, 17 ABC-DLBCL patients were classified into no responsive (stable disease + progression disease, SD + PD) group, and 11 ABC-DLBCL patients were responsive (complete response + partial response, CR + PR) group after Ibrutinib treatment. We used the GraphPad Prism Software 7.0 to visualize the relative expression of BCL6 between above two groups, and the ROC R package was performed to operate ROC curves.

Cell culture

C481S BTK HBL-1 cells, the BTK C481S mutant induced resistance to Ibrutinib, were a gift from Prof. Zhu J (Key laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research, Department of Lymphoma, Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute). C481S BTK HBL-1 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (BasalMedia, China), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, UT) and 0.05 mM 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma, USA) at 37 °C with 5% CO2 with a humidified incubator (Thermo, USA).
Ibrutinib sensitivity assay

The effect of Ibrutinib or combination with FX1 (a BCL6 inhibitor) on C481S BTK HBL-1 cells was detected by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5 diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, Sigma, MO, USA) assay in vitro. C481S BTK HBL-1 cells were seeded at a density of 4 × 10^4/well and incubated with different concentrations of Ibrutinib (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 µM) with/without 17.5 µM FX1 at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ incubator for 48 h. Then cells were incubated with 20 µL MTT (5 mg/mL) in the last four hours of the experiment. Optical density (OD) value was measured at the wave length of 490 nm and 630 nm by an Elx808 Absorbance Microplate spectrophotometer (BioTek, UT).

Statistics analysis

Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviations and unpaired t test, processed by SPSS statistics software 25.0 or GraphPad Prism Software 7.0, where P < 0.05 means significant statistical differences.

Results

Identification of DEGs between Ibrutinib sensitive and resistant cell lines in ABC-DLBCL

The two mRNA expression profiles, GSE138126 and GSE93985, were obtained from the GEO database including 3 ABC-DLBCL cell lines (HBL-1, OCI-LY10, TMD8), as shown in Table 1. We obtained 6191, 7063, 2531 DEGs from above 3 cell lines, respectively, with P < 0.05 and |Log₂FC| ≥ 1 as cutoff values by GEO2R analysis. Volcano plot of the DEGs between Ibrutinib sensitive and resistant cell lines were generated (Fig. 1a–c). A total of 671 common DEGs were identified from above 3 cell lines, and 236 of 671 common DEGs showed concordant expression changes, among them, 136 downregulated and 100 upregulated (Fig. 1d, e). Therefore, above 236 common DEGs would be used for subsequent analysis.

GO analysis and pathway analysis

We performed GO analysis to analyze the functional role of resistance-related DEGs using DAVID database (vision 6.8). The top 5 GO terms were selected to display according to count (numbers of related gene). Biological process indicated that upregulated DEGs were correlated with transcription (Fig. 2a) while downregulated DEGs were signal transduction and negative regulation of apoptotic process (Fig. 2d). And both the enrichment in cellular components mainly related to nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 2b, e). Under the category of molecular function, upregulated DEGs were significantly enriched in DNA binding and chromatin binding (Fig. 2c), whereas downregulated DEGs were mainly enriched in protein binding (Fig. 2f).

KEGG Pathway and Reactome database were performed to learn Ibrutinib resistance-related signaling pathway in ABC-DLBCL. The Table 2 and Table 3 listed the downregulated and upregulated DEGs significantly enriched pathway (P < 0.05), respectively. The common signaling pathway was cell cycle pathway in upregulated DEGs while cytokine-based signaling pathways in downregulated DEGs.

PPI network and hub genes identification

String database and Cytoscape (vision 3.7.2) software were used to study and visualize the correlation among the DEGs at the protein level. The Ibrutinib resistance-related PPI network included 228 nodes and 430 edges with PPI enrichment (P value < 1.0e−16) (Fig. 3a). The top 10 DEGs based on MCC score in above PPI network were identified according to cytoHubba plugin in Cytoscape software as in Fig. 3b. With the threshold of MCC score > 10,000, a total of seven genes were considered hub genes: BCL6, IL10, IL2RB, IRF4, CD80, PRDM1, GZMB (Fig. 3c). And BCL6 got the highest score so that BCL6 may be the most important gene among them.

Construction of miRNA-mRNA interaction

MiRDB database was used to analyze miRNA associated with hub genes which might affect Ibrutinib resistance in DLBCL. Every predicted miRNA in miRDB database has a prediction score so that we defined > 70 as the threshold level. However, we could not find miRNA that targets

Table 1 Information of the two microarray expression profiles

| GEO ID      | Platform                  | Cell line | Samples                                |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------|
| GSE138126   | GPL13497 Agilent-026652 Whole Human Genome Microarray 4 × 44 K | HBL-1     | 3 Ibrutinib-resistant/3 parental cell lines |
| GSE138126   | GPL13497 Agilent-026652 Whole Human Genome Microarray 4 × 44 K | OCI-LY10  | 3 Ibrutinib-resistant/3 parental cell lines |
| GSE93985    | GPL17586[HTA-2_0] Affymetrix Human Transcriptome Array 2.0 | TMD8      | 2 Ibrutinib-resistant/2 parental cell lines |
GZMB. Next, Cytoscape software was used to generate miRNA–mRNA interaction among 6 hub genes (Fig. 4). We found that hsa-miR-30a-5p, hsa-miR-30b-5p, hsa-miR-30c-5p, hsa-miR-30d-5p, and hsa-miR-30e-5p targeted for BCL6, PMDR1, CD80, and IRF4 simultaneously. And above miRNAs belong to miRNA-30 family members.

Genetic alteration of hub genes

We used cBioportal database to explore the genetic alterations of hub genes including 1295 samples in five DLBCL datasets (DFCI, Nat Med 2018, BCGSC, Blood 2013, Broad, PNAS 2012, Duke, Cell 2017, TCGA, PanCancer Atlas) (Fig. 5). Genetic alteration of IL2RB was not found while genetic alterations of the remaining six hub genes included mutation, fusion, multiple alterations, amplification, and deep deletion. Mutation was the most common genetic alterations among these hub genes. Significantly, we found that BCL6 showed the highest level of genetic alterations among hub genes. According to the result of PPI network and genetic alterations, we thought the BCL6 may play the most important role among these hub genes. Therefore, we validated the BCL6 in following analysis and experiment.

Validation of BCL6

We got the BCL6 expression in Ibrutinib pretreated tumor biopsy samples of ABC-DLBCL patients from GSE93984 dataset. The BCL6 was significantly highly expressed in no responsive than responsive patients (Fig. 6a). The ROC analysis showed that BCL6 expression can evaluate Ibrutinib effect with an area under ROC curve (AUC) of 0.67 (Fig. 6b). It suggested well confidence of high expression of BCL6 in no responsive patients after Ibrutinib treatment. We used MTT assay to determine the effect of Ibrutinib with/without FX1 (a BCL6 inhibitor) on C481S BTK HBL-1 cells. The inhibition rate of C481S BTK HBL-1 cells increased with increasing concentrations of Ibrutinib (Fig. 6c). The IC50 value of using Ibrutinib alone was 1.951 ± 0.247 µM, while combining FX1 was 0.800 ± 0.137 µM (Fig. 6d). Thus, FX1 can enhance Ibrutinib-induced apoptosis in C481S BTK HBL-1 cells, which indicated that BCL6 can decrease Ibrutinib-induced apoptosis in C481S BTK HBL-1 cells.
Discussion

Even though patients of DLBCL respond sensitively to first-line treatment, approximately 40% of patients still could not benefit from it [4]. Ibrutinib is an inhibitor of BTK, showed obvious efficacy in rel/ref DLBCL, especially ABC subtype [11]. A phase I study of Ibrutinib combined rituximab, ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide (R-ICE) for patients with rel/ref DLBCL reported the high rate of overall response of 90%, including 11 patients achieved complete remission (CR) and 7 patients partial remission (PR) [19]. And another phase III study indicated Ibrutinib and rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone...
(R-CHOP) improved event-free survival (EFS), progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS) in ABC DLBCL patients [20]. Increasing clinical trials investigate Ibrutinib curative effect on DLBCL. We knew that Ibrutinib was approved to be used for several B-cell malignancies in FDA in 2013 [10]. However, the emergence of Ibrutinib

| Pathway Term | Pathway Term | $P$ value | Genes |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|-------|
| KEGG hsa05330: allograft rejection | 4.19E−05 | CD80, GZMB, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, IL10 |
| KEGG hsa04672: intestinal immune network for IgA production | 1.36E−04 | CD80, CCR10, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, IL10 |
| KEGG hsa05145: toxoplasmosis | 1.64E−04 | CIITA, HLA-DPA1, JAK2, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, IL10, AKT3, STAT3 |
| KEGG hsa05320: autoimmune thyroid disease | 2.20E−04 | CD80, GZMB, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, IL10 |
| KEGG hsa05332: graft-versus-host disease | 3.99E−04 | CD80, GZMB, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA |
| KEGG hsa04940: type I diabetes mellitus | 1.01E−03 | CD80, GZMB, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA |
| KEGG hsa05152: tuberculosis | 2.79E−03 | CIITA, HLA-DPA1, JAK2, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, IL10, AKT3, CD74 |
| KEGG hsa05416: viral myocarditis | 3.17E−03 | CD55, CD80, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA |
| KEGG hsa055310: asthma | 3.94E−03 | HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, IL10 |
| KEGG hsa05321: inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) | 4.82E−03 | CD80, GZMB, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, IL10, STAT3 |
| KEGG hsa04920: adipocytokine signaling pathway | 6.62E−03 | CD36, SOCS3, JAK2, AKT3, STAT3 |
| KEGG hsa05140: leishmaniasis | 6.96E−03 | HLA-DPA1, JAK2, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, IL10 |
| KEGG hsa04612: antigen processing and presentation | 8.83E−03 | CIITA, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, CD74 |
| KEGG hsa05164: influenza A | 1.08E−02 | CIITA, SOCS3, HLA-DPA1, JAK2, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, AKT3 |
| KEGG hsa04978: mineral absorption | 1.15E−02 | HMOX1, MT2A, STEAP1, MT1F |
| KEGG hsa04062: chemokine signaling pathway | 1.46E−02 | LYN, PREX1, HCK, CCR10, JAK2, AKT3, STAT3 |
| KEGG hsa04630: jak-STAT signaling pathway | 1.95E−02 | IL2RB, SOCS3, JAK2, IL10, AKT3, STAT3 |
| KEGG hsa05150: staphylococcus aureus infection | 2.00E−02 | HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, IL10 |
| KEGG hsa04145: phagosome | 2.23E−02 | CD36, TFRC, TUBB6, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA |
| KEGG hsa04931: insulin resistance | 2.86E−02 | CD36, SOCS3, PTPN1, AKT3, STAT3 |
| KEGG hsa04159: epstein-barr virus infection | 4.19E−02 | CD36, SOCS3, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, CD74 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-877300: interferon gamma signaling | 1.34E−05 | CIITA, TRIM2, SOCS3, MT2A, HLA-DPA1, JAK2, IRF4, HLA-DPB1 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-982772: growth hormone receptor signaling | 5.73E−05 | LYN, SOCS3, JAK2, PTPN1, STAT3 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-2132295: MHC class II antigen presentation | 7.94E−04 | EHHADH, CT50, TUBB6, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DOA, CD74 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-389513: CTLA4 inhibitory signaling | 9.67E−04 | LYN, CD80, PPP2R5C, AKT3 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-114604: GPVI-mediated activation cascade | 1.59E−03 | IL2RB, GAB2, LYN, JAK2, AKT3 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-2586552: signaling by Leptin | 4.21E−03 | SOCS3, JAK2, STAT3 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-1059683: interleukin-6 signaling | 4.21E−03 | SOCS3, JAK2, STAT3 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-1433557: signaling by SCF-KIT | 4.43E−03 | GAB2, LYN, JAK2, STAT3 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-877312: regulation of IFNG signaling | 6.84E−03 | SOCS3, JAK2, PTPN1 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-392451: G beta:gamma signaling through PI3K-gamma | 9.18E−03 | IL2RB, GAB2, JAK2, AKT3 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-912526: interleukin receptor SHC signaling | 2.45E−02 | IL2RB, GAB2, JAK2 |
| REACTOME R-HSA-202433: generation of second messenger molecules | 4.17E−02 | ENAH, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1 |
resistance has limited its efficacy [21, 22], so it’s important to study the mechanism of Ibrutinib resistance in DLBCL. In this study, three microarray expression profile datasets were analyzed to further study mechanism of Ibrutinib resistance. First, a total of 237 common DEGs between Ibrutinib sensitive and resistant cell lines were identified through two expression profiles, including 100 upregulated and 137 downregulated genes. Second, GO analysis was performed to study functional roles, including biological process, molecular functions and cellular component. The upregulated DEGs were correlated with transcription and downregulated DEGs were signal transduction and negative regulation of apoptotic process. Third, signal pathway enrichment analysis showed that upregulated DEGs were mainly enriched in cell cycle and downregulated DEGs were mainly enriched in cytokines-based pathways. What is more, PPI network was conducted to illustrate interactions among the DEGs at the protein level, of which, a total of 7 genes—BCL6, IL10, IL2RB, IRF4, CD80, PMDR1, GZMB—were selected as hub genes with the threshold of MCC score > 10,000. And through predicting miRNA associated with hub genes, we found that miR-30 family may be related to Ibrutinib resistance in ABC-DLBCL. From the cBioportal database, we found that BCL6 showed the highest level of
genetic alterations among above hub genes. Using another expression profile, we found that $BCL6$ highly expressed in no responsive ABC-DLBCL patients, and the AUC of ROC curve was 0.67.

$BCL6$, a transcription repressor, plays an important role of initiation and maintenance of germinal center reactions [23, 24], which has been identified as one of predictors of outcome in several cancers, such as DLBCL and B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) [25, 26]. It was reported that $BCL6$ is associated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) resistance in Philadelphia chromosome positive (Ph+) ALL and chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) cells [27, 28]. And another study showed overexpression of $BCL6$ inhibited the sensitivity of methotrexate in children with B-ALL by

Fig. 5 The construction of miRNA–mRNA interaction of hub genes in ABC-DLBCL. Diamond represents hub gene and circle represents predicted the potential miRNA.
promoting ZEB1 expression [29]. What is more, Julie et al. found association between BCL6 overexpression and etoposide resistance in DLBCL cell lines [30]. Cardenas et al. reported that BCL6 expresses in most ABC-DLBCL at a low level [31]. It was interesting that BCL6 was upregulated in Ibrutinib-resistant ABC-DLBCL cell lines in our study. And BCL6 had the highest MCC score in Ibrutinib-resistant PPI network and linked with another hub genes. So using another gene profile validated that BCL6 highly expressed in no responsive ABC-DLBCL patients after Ibrutinib treatment. And in vitro experiment was carried out to validate if BCL6 inhibitor can enhance the sensitivity of Ibrutinib in C481S BTK HBL-1 cells. FX1, a BCL6 inhibitor, destroyed the formation of BCL6 repression complex and suppressed ABC-DLBCL cell lines with IC_{50} of 35 uM [31]. FX1 used in our study was lower than its IC_{50}, which can increase sensitivity of Ibrutinib in C481S BTK HBL-1 cells. Thus, our finding that BCL6 may be involved in drug resistance is consistent with previous studies. What is more, BCL6 maybe the potential target to improve Ibrutinib sensitivity in C481S BTK HBL-1 cells.

BCL6 inhibits expression of various target genes via binding gene promoters. BCL6 not only destroys interactions between T and B cells by CD80 and PD-L1 but also inhibits B cell differentiation across decreasing expression of PRDM1 and IRF4 [32–37]. Above studies are consistent with the upregulation of BCL6, and downregulation of other hub genes in our present study. PRDM1/BLIMP1 encodes a transcriptional repressor, which is necessary for differentiation of B cells into plasma cells [38]. Studies reported that PRDM1 acts as a tumor suppressor gene in ABC-DLBCL in vivo mouse models [39, 40]. As previously described, PRDM1 is frequently inactivated by genetic alterations, including genetic deletions or mutations or transcriptional repression in ABC-DLBCL [38, 41]. Parekh et al. found that different genetic alterations within PRDM1 had adverse prognostic factors [42]. And inactivation of PRDM1 can upregulate expression of C-MYC and downregulate expression of p53 pathway molecule in ABC-DLBCL [42, 43]. These results suggest that inactivation of PRDM1 is closely linked to development of ABC-DLBCL.

IRF4 was essential for regulating gene transcription and mitochondrial homeostasis in plasma cells [44]. IRF4 activates or is repressed by BCL6, and co-expresses with PRPM1 affecting plasma cells development [45–47]. However, most studies found that it does not express PRDM1 protein though the presence of IRF4 in ABC-DLBCL, suggesting other regulatory mechanisms between them [40]. Abnormal expression of IRF4 is linked to several blood malignancies. For example, expression of IRF4 is related
to poor survival outcomes in peripheral T-cell lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [48, 49]. What is more, studies showed IRF4 dysregulation is associated with resistance to immunomodulatory compounds in Wal- denström’s macroglobulinemia and myeloma [50, 51]. A previous study has reported that Ibrutinib downregulates IRF4 and consequently synergizes with lenalidomide in killing ABC-DLBCL [52]. Another study indicated mutation of IRF4 may explain the rel/ref phenotype of ABC-DLBCL [5]. Therefore, the role of IRF4 in ABC-DLBCL need further explore.

Lin et al. identified that upregulation of miR-30 family can directly downregulate BCL6 in B-lymphocytes and lymphoma cells [53]. Current studies found miR-30 family played a significant role in various tumors. Zhang et al. proved miR-30d could inhibit autophagy thereby promoting cell apoptosis [54]. The higher expression of mRNA-30c had better outcome with tamoxifen treatment in breast cancer [55]. Another investigation found that overexpression of miR-30b and miR-30c have better outcome after TKIs treatment in non-small cell lung cancer [56]. Interestingly, miR-30 family is considered as oncogenic miRNA, too. For instance, Gaziel-Sovran et al. reported that miR-30b and miR-30d promoted invasion of melanoma cells leading to generated IL10 and reduced immune cells activation and recruitment [57]. Taken together, miR-30 family has complex functions in various cancers. However, the role of miR-30 family in Ibrutinib resistance of ABC-DLBCL has not been reported. Our study showed that miR-30 family may mediate Ibrutinib resistance in ABC-DLBCL, which is worthy of further exploration.

Conclusion

In summary, the present study has analyzed DEGs based on two microarray expression (GSE138126 and GSE93985). BCL6 was identified as the core gene for Ibrutinib resistance in ABC-DLBCL. Using another expression profile (GSE93984) showed that BCL6 highly expressed in no responsive ABC-DLBCL patients after Ibrutinib treatment. Further study found that BCL6 inhibitor may increase the sensitivity of C481S BTK HBL-1 cells to Ibrutinib therapy. And miRNA target prediction results showed that miR-30 family were involved in Ibrutinib resistance in ABC-DLBCL. And miR-30 family can directly down-regulate BCL6 which was reported before [53]. The BCL6 maybe a potential target overcoming Ibrutinib resistance in ABC-DLBCL.
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