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Abstract
In this article we present \(T_2O\) – a workbench to assist the process of translating heterogeneous resources into ontologies, to enrich and add multilingual information, to help programming with them, and to support ontology publishing. \(T_2O\) is an ontology algebra.

1. Introduction
Dictionaries and Thesauri are valuable resources for Natural Language Processing but do not exist as freely available as expected, especially for languages other than English and, when they exist, they are just available for querying on-line.

Our main goal with \(T_2O\)\(^1\) is to create a multilingual ontology:
- freely available on-line and to download;
- with a computer readable format;
- with a good API;
- with a structure as rich as possible;
- reusing all the structured information we can get;

so that it can be used for computational-linguistic tasks, such as machine translation, named entity recognition or information retrieval.

\(T_2O\) aims not just to produce these resources but prepare a set of tools to bootstrap other ontologies, to enrich and to publish them. These resources will be made available as open-source software.

1.1. Dictionaries vs. Ontologies
A Dictionary is a word-oriented view over a complex semantic network of concepts. Traditional dictionaries connect single word entries with associated information, and normally they have a multi-senses structure to deal with ambiguity of words.

Ontologies, thesauri and terminologies are focused in concepts and semantic relations between concepts. In order to focus in semantic relations, it is crucial to reduce as much as possible term ambiguities. The main mechanisms used to reduce this ambiguity are:
- the use of multi-word terms: defining a context reduces ambiguity;
- definition of a domain for each concept: some of the ambiguities disappear if the domain is known. (e.g. Turkey in the domain of geography is different from the turkey in the animal domain);
- use a set of terms to represent each concept;
- for each concept, choose a preferential term (with low ambiguity) and a set of cross-reference terms.

We are aware of the fact that term ambiguities exist, and we are trying reduce it, but we could not find any good way of dealing with large scale sense-disambiguation.

2. \(T_2O = \text{Ontology Algebra}\)
To define an algebra we need to define sorts and operations. While the main sort will be ontologies, other will appear as well.

Our initial resources are:
- some thesauri available on the WEB (e.g. the Thesaurus of UNESCO (UNESCO, 1995), the EuroVoc Thesaurus (EuroVoc, 2004), the TEE (Community, 1991)). This kind of resources have a good structure, but sometimes are not available in Portuguese, or the number of terms is not very big;
- terminologies, glossaries and vocabularies;
- lists of some classes of elements (e.g. the list of the birds of Spain; the list of the countries and their capitals);

In order to take advantage of a so heterogeneous set of resources, we need a toolkit or ontology algebra with:
- a common type-system (sorts are: ontologies, tables, terms, information about the terms);
- a set of tools to transform the resources available in sorts of the previous type-system;
- a set of tools to conciliate and join the basic sorts;
- a set of tools to transform the basic sorts in sorts appropriated for the external user needs (to create several views over the information);
- a set of tools to create new thesauri (e.g. the domain specific language tabularThesaurus presented on subsection 4.);
- a set of tools to perform rule-based forward chaining completion;

\(^1\)\(T_2O\) — Thesaurus to Ontology Workbench
Many of the tasks related to the ontology construction are transformations and unions of information: term conciliation, ontology completions, ontology conciliation, translation of ontologies, inversion of ontologies to another language, transformation of tables into ontologies, transformation of taxonomies into ontologies, and so on.

2.1. Ontology Definition

To manage ontologies we use a Perl module named Biblio::Thesaurus (Simões and Almeida, 2002) that manages ISO-like monolingual and multilingual thesauri (ISO 2788, 2002; ISO 5964, 2002). In fact, the module manages much more than simple and traditional thesauri, but objects very similar to ontologies:

\[ T = \text{term} \mapsto (\text{L} \mapsto \text{term}_\text{L} \times \text{rel} \mapsto \text{term}^* \times \text{extrel} \mapsto \text{any}) \]

Explaining the math, in our ontology we relate each term in a base language to information: translations of that term in other languages (\( \text{L} \mapsto \text{term}_\text{L} \)), other terms related with the current one (\( \text{rel} \mapsto \text{term}^* \)) and other kind of information associated to the term (\( \text{extrel} \mapsto \text{any} \)).

The relations are the ones defined in (ISO 2788, 2002): BT: broader term; NT: narrower term; UF: use for; USES; RT: related term; SN: scope note; but are not restricted to this set. Biblio::Thesaurus copes with any other relation you might define.

Notice that the scope note is an external relation because it associates assorted text with the term.

Biblio::Thesaurus is a flexible tool and allows the users to define for each relation its range: if they are normal relations (to another term), external relations (like the scope note, where we associate text with the term) or languages.

In the ISO Thesaurus syntax tradition, Biblio::Thesaurus thesauri are defined in plain text files with concepts separated by empty lines. Each concept has its representative term in the first line. Following lines contain the relation and the term or list of terms related. See following example to get a better idea of how they work.

```
1 Acid rain
2 FR Pluie acide
3 ES Lluvia ácida
4 PT Chuva ácida
5 MT Pollution, disasters and safety
6 BT Air pollution
7 RT Pollutants
8 RT Precipitation
9 RT Rain
10 Pollutants
11 FR Polluant
12 ES Contaminante
13 PT Poluentes
14 MT Pollution, disasters and safety
15 NT Wastes
16 RT Acid rain, Bacteria, Carbon dioxide
17 RT Chemicals, Dangerous materials
18 RT Fertilizers, Hydrocarbons
19 RT Pesticides, Petroleum
```

In addition, the Biblio::Thesaurus thesauri files contain meta-information like the name of the base language, text encoding and rules about relations. See next subsection for more information.

2.2. Ontologies Completion

It is complex to maintain consistency in a big ontology. If we relate the term \( a \) with \( b \), we always forget to edit \( b \) entry, and relate it with \( a \). Biblio::Thesaurus (Simões and Almeida, 2002) solves this problem with the ability to define mathematical properties about relations like the inversion property. If the user defines these properties, the module will be able to complete automatically the ontology.

If we use the following ontology defining INSTances as inverse of IOF (Instance of),

```
%inv INST IOF
feline
INST cat, tiger, panther
```

the tool will output the following completed ontology:

```
%inv INST IOF
feline
INST cat, tiger, panther
cat
IOF feline
tiger
IOF feline
panther
IOF feline
```
2.3. Merging Ontologies

One of the main tasks when building an ontology from different resources, namely, from thesauri, is to conciliate them. Merging thesauri is trivial for terms which just appear in one of the thesauri. When there is the same term in two thesauri, we need to check if we can conciliate them. This is the harder task. We all know that a term can have many senses. It is true that thesaurus keep different terms for different concepts. But two thesauri can have the same term for different concepts.

To solve this problem, our approach is the definition of heuristics to try find incompatible terms. For example, if two terms are instances of the same concept, then they should mean the same. While some of these heuristics can be hard-coded and used for any thesaurus, some need to be defined accordingly with the thesauri being joined.

In case of conflicts we added the concept of namespace. If the same term \( a \) is used for two different concepts, we take a “instance of” or an “border term” related term\(^3\) \( b \), and use it as namespace, thus creating a concept represented by \( a \) and the other by \( b \) :: \( a \). While this concept is important, we will not discuss it further in this article.

If there is no conflict we have to join the information. For standard relations it is a simple task: just calculate the union of related terms. In case of external relations we have to choose one of them.

For languages, we should keep the two (or more) translations. While this is planned, at the moment we choose only one of them. The problem with keeping more than one translation if the inversion of thesaurus as discussed on subsection 2.5.

2.4. Adding a new Language

Other problem on reusing thesauri available in the Internet is their lack of the Portuguese translations. This means hard hand work adding those translations. We done that for the UNESCO thesaurus with help of some students. That resulted in a lot of hours of work, and in a very strange thesaurus as different students ended up translating concepts to the same identifier, or translating them in conflicting ways. The thesaurus is now being reviewed by a single person and we hope to have a good resource in the near future.

Meanwhile, we do not want to have all this work for every thesaurus we find in the Internet. So, we are developing a tool to translate thesauri in a semi-automatic fashion (the user can interact with the system, or just trust on its work). This tool uses a set of external dictionaries to perform the translation. These dictionaries can be hand-made, or can be extracted automatically from parallel corpora(Simões and Almeida, 2003) or other multilingual thesauri we have which include the Portuguese language (as well as the now available UNESCO thesaurus).

The process of adding the new language can be followed by the change of the base language of the thesaurus (see subsection 2.5.). In any case, we obtain a new multilingual thesaurus from where we can extract monolingual thesauri structures.

2.5. Changing an Ontology base language

The structure of a multilingual thesaurus assumes that there is a base language: the language which is available for all concepts in the thesaurus. When constructing a resource for Portuguese, we want it to be the base language of our thesaurus. Because we want to use freely available thesaurus from the web, we need a way to change their base language (which rarely is the Portuguese).

This process does not work when some entries of the thesaurus do not have a Portuguese translation. On these cases we are searching for the translation in a dictionary and, if we don’t find it, marking the missing translations for future hand verification. As an example, consider the following input with English as base language:

|   | cat           | PT gato      | IOF feline   | [PT-EN:feline] | INST gato |

To use the tool we need to name the current base language (EN), to refer the new base language (PT) and the file to be processed.

|   | gato          | EN cat       | [PT-EN:feline] | [PT-EN:feline] | INST gato |

It is important to note that when we do not have the translation form a term, a special [L1-L2:original] term is created in order to keep the connections between concepts and to help in the process of finding doubts and missing translations (a set of functions is provided to extract this list of missing translations).

2.6. More complex example

In the following example, the table contains the following fields:

- name of a river;
- length;
- list of the countries;
- list of geographic places;

The template definition is straightforward:
%inv flows_thru traversed_by
%ext length
$1
iof rio
length $2
in $3
flows_thru $4
%
Danube:2860:Germany,...: Vienna, Budapest

Notes:
• line 1 – defines a new relation and its inverse
• line 2 – length co-domain is not a term

After running TabularThesaurus and making the thesaurus completion we get an ontology with this kind of entries:

- Budapest
- IOF city
- IOF capital
- DEF Budapest is capital-city of Hungary
- IN Hungary
- traversed_by Danube
- Hungary
- HAS Budapest
- HAS Danube
- city
- INST Budapest, Lisbon, Vienna, Rome

3. Recycling Taxonomies

Taxonomies are normally easier to find than Thesaurus or Ontologies as they are mathematically simpler. There is just one main relation (which in fact can be seen as the union of a set of relations) with an hierarchic relationship. Given these properties, it is possible to construct a tool to convert taxonomies into ontologies. Consider the following extract from a taxonomy:

- Agronomy
  - Crop Science
  - Crop Production
  - Grain
  - Corn
  - Rice
  - Wheat
  - Tobacco
  - Horticulture
  - Floriculture
  - Turf or Ornamental Grass
  - Viticulture
  - Viniculture _USE_ Viticulture
  - Pedology _USE_ Soil Science
  - Pest Management
  - Forest Pest Management
  - Seed Production

We can easily transform this structure into the following ontology:

- Plant Sciences
  - NT Plant Biology, Agronomy
  - NT Plant Ecology
  - BT IRIS_top_term

- Agronomy
  - NT Crop Science, Horticulture
  - NT Seed Production
  - NT Pest Management
  - BT Plant Sciences, Agriculture

- Grain
  - NT Corn, Rice, Wheat
  - BT Crop Science

- Crop Science
  - NT Soybeans, Grain, Tobacco
  - UF Crop Production
  - BT Agronomy

- Pedology
  - USE Soil Science

4. An Ontology builder: Tabular Thesaurus

It is easy to find list of terms in the Internet, like country names, capital cities, animals, and so on. These are normally found in tabular formats. For example, countries and their capital cities:

- Lisbon:Portugal
- Budapest:Hungary
- Vienna:Austria
- Madrid:Spain

This representation is very compact and very easy to edit. TabularThesaurus is a domain-specific language that uses as input a file with the table and a simple description of how each element should be transformed into a thesaurus entry. For instance,

- $1
- IOF city, capital
- IN $2
- DEF $1 is capital-city of $2
- %
- Lisbon:Portugal
- Vienna:Austria
- Budapest:Hungary

is a short way to define this thesaurus:

- Lisbon
- IOF city, capital
- IN Portugal
- DEF Lisbon is capital-city of Portugal
- Vienna
- IOF city, capital
- IN Austria
- DEF Vienna is capital-city of Austria
- ...

5. Dictionary Views

Just to prepare a resource is not sufficient. The most important part in these kind of projects is to make the resources available in a suitable format, useful for the main user.
Is it not just important to publish, but also to do that early. To publish shows the utility of things earlier. If you need to increase the budget of your project, for instance, it will help if you can show how things will look at the end of the project. Also, people start looking at the published documents, giving feedback. This feedback will allow you to develop better resources, best views as well as to improve usability of the views made available. Finally, to publish help you to get friends and collaboration for you projects. Lot of projects began with little information and now include gigabytes or data. If you wait to have a good quantity of information before publishing it you will end up with a slower grow curve.

To make our ontologies available we are building:

- PDF views (through \LaTeX and DiCTEX, as shown in image 2);
- Multi-file static HTML. This generation tool makes a HTML document for each term in the dictionary (see image 3);
- HTML dynamic sites;
- Bilingual-dictionaries (with domain information);
- StarDict, wiseDict, xdfs and DictD dictionary files;

6. Conclusions

The TabularThesaurus tool has proved to be an efficient way to define ontologies, and with a syntax easy to be used by normal users.

In our experiments, the use of a few word lists, thesauri and multilingual terminologies resulted in a dictionary with about 100 000 entries. The tool also creates the 100 000 HTML files needed to navigate on the ontology, as well as 600 pages on a PDF file for the printed dictionary.

In this experiment appeared some cases of ambiguity that we did not solve yet. As discussed before, the resolution of this problem needs the use of some heuristics we are yet dealing with.

The quality of the resulting dictionary highly depends on the quality of the resources used. Some of the resources...
6.1. Future Work

Rule-based forward chaining completion

In order to add some (very simple) inference mechanism we propose a Rule-based forward chaining approach.

Consider the following examples:

The capital of a country is a city:

\[ A \text{ is\_capital} B \land B \text{ iof Country} \Rightarrow \text{add}(A \text{ iof city}) \]

The relation \text{iof} (instance of) is more specific than the relation textboarder term. So, if we have both relations we can delete boarder term:

\[ A \text{ iof} B \land A \text{ bt} B \Rightarrow \text{delete}(A \text{ bt} B) \]

The implementation of this kind of rules in Biblio::Thesaurus should be easy, and is planned for real soon.

Relations ordering

Another special kind of rule is the relation ordering. If we define that some relations are more specific than others (for instance, \text{instance of} is more specific than \text{border term}) we can simplify the thesaurus removing one in favour to the more specific.
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