Selective Etching of Sr-Modified and Directionally Solidified Industrial Al–Si Eutectic Alloys for Fabricating Fibrous Eutectic Si
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Abstract: In order to fabricate fibrous eutectic Si, the selective etching of industrial Al–Si eutectic alloys directionally solidified at different growth rates and modified by different amounts of Sr was studied. Flake eutectic and fibrous Si were obtained by selective etching of non-modified, Sr-modified or directionally solidified Al–Si eutectic alloys. The optimal amount of Sr for fabricating branching eutectic Si was 0.04–0.07%. Through directional solidification with a high enough growth rate (more than 200 μm/s), lamellar eutectic Si transforms to fibrous eutectic Si for use in non-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys. The potentiodynamic polarization and cyclic voltammetry methods were used to test the corrosion behavior of non-modified and Sr-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys. With a constant potential of 0.5 V in HCl solution, non-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys displayed initial pitting corrosion and subsequent spalling corrosion, and 0.04% Sr-modified samples displayed uniform pitting corrosion. Compared with non-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys, Sr-modified samples displayed better corrosion resistance with lower current density and shallower pit depth during the same etching conditions.
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1. Introduction

Al–Si eutectic alloys are broadly used in the engineering field due to their low weight ratio, excellent corrosion resistance and cast ability. The mechanical properties of conventional cast Al–Si eutectic alloys are very poor due to the existence of lamellar eutectic silicon in the matrix [1–5]. Therefore, the element Na or the element Sr is usually used to modify the Al–Si eutectic in the process of casting production [6–12]. Since Pacz [13] invented the modification process of Al–Si alloys in 1927, many studies have researched the relationship between eutectic morphology and growth conditions [14–16]. Further, little work has focused on the effect of the amount of Sr added and the growth rate on directionally solidified Al–Si eutectic alloys. Another method to prompt the transition of flake to fibrous eutectic Si is rapid solidification with a high growth rate [17].

At present, mature preparation methods for silicon nanowires mainly include laser ablation [18], chemical vapor deposition [19], the oxide-assisted growth method [20], the template method [21], and the chemical corrosion method [22]. Combined selective dissolution with directional solidification was used by Hassel to fabricate metallic nanowires [23–25].
Fibrous eutectic Si was obtained by a selective etching of Sr-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys, and fibrous eutectic Si maybe a potential material in semiconductor field [10]. Al–Si eutectic alloys used in Hassel’s work were smelted by pure Al and Si. However, in this work, industrial Al–Si eutectic alloys were used to reduce the cost of fabricating fibrous eutectic Si to promote fibrous eutectic Si use in the future in the semiconductor field. No studies have focused on the effect of Sr modification and rapid solidification on the morphology and microstructure of industrial Al–Si eutectic alloys for fabricating fibrous eutectic Si. Moreover, the effect of Sr addition on the corrosion behavior of industrial Al–Si eutectic alloys during the selective etching process is rarely considered.

In this work, the morphology and microstructure of directionally solidified Al–Si eutectic alloys modified by Sr at different growth rates were studied. The effect of Sr addition on the corrosion behavior of Al–Si eutectic alloys was considered. The selective etching process of the $\alpha$-Al matrix for fabricating fibrous eutectic Si in industrial Al–Si eutectic alloys was also studied. This opens the door to low-cost fabrication and extraction of fibrous eutectic Si for use in future in the semiconductor field.

2. Experimental

The starting materials used in the experiments were industrial ZL102 Al–Si eutectic alloys. The alloy composition is shown in Table 1. Al–Si eutectic alloys were processed in a homemade directional solidification furnace at a heating temperature of 1000 °C, a temperature gradient of approximately 5 K cm$^{-1}$, and growth rates of 30, 50, 70, 100, 150, and 200 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$. The element Sr was added in the form of the master alloy Al–10%Sr. Samples were heated to 760 °C in the crucible furnace. After holding at that temperature 30 min, the temperature was reduced to 730 °C and the samples were added at amounts of 0.01%, 0.04%, 0.07% and 0.1%, respectively. Alloy liquids were mechanically stirred for 5 min, then the temperature was reduced to 720 °C. Alloy liquids were held at that temperature for 30 min and then casted to samples.

Table 1. Alloy composition of industrial ZL102 Al–Si eutectic alloys.

| %    | Si   | Fe     | Cu | Mn | Mg | Zn | Ti | Impurities | Allowance |
|------|------|--------|----|----|----|----|----|------------|-----------|
| ZL102| 10.0–10.3 | 0.0–0.7 | ≤0.3 | ≤0.5 | ≤0.1 | ≤0.1 | ≤0.2 | ≤2.0 Al |

The three-dimensional morphology of the samples was obtained by etching the samples in 1 M HCl at a constant potential of 0.5 V by an electrochemical workstation. A conventional three-compartment cell with working, reference, and counter electrodes was employed at room temperature. The reference electrode was a saturated calomel electrode, and platinum foil was adopted as the counter electrode. The directionally solidified Al–Si eutectic alloy test rod was connected to the sheets as the working electrode. The working and reference electrodes were connected by a Luggin capillary tube. The scanning speed of the potentiodynamic polarization curve is 0.5 mV·s$^{-1}$, and the scanning potential interval is −2.0～+0 V (vs. SCE). The scanning range of the cyclic voltammetry curve is −0.5～+0.2 V (vs. SCE), and the scanning speed is 40 mV·s$^{-1}$.

A high-precision desktop acidity meter (HANNA HI3221, Hanna, Padova, Italy) was used to measure the pH values in the etchants. An electrochemical workstation (CorrTest CS350, Wuhan Corrtest Instruments Corp., Ltd., Wuhan, China) was applied in the electrochemical measurements. The morphology of eutectic Si was observed by using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM; Quanta 600FEG, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) with energy-dispersive spectra (EDS) analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows the three-dimensional morphology of eutectic Si modified by different amounts of Sr after selective etching the $\alpha$-Al matrix. The $\alpha$-Al matrix was removed by etching the samples in 1 M HCl at a constant potential of 0.5 V for 4 h. Optimum conditions
for selective etching matrix were determined using Pourbaix diagrams of Al and Si [10].

For selective dissolution, the $\alpha$-Al matrix conditions were a pH above 0 (1 M HCl) and a potential of 0.5 V. As shown in Figure 1, the $\alpha$-Al matrix was almost completely dissolved, and the eutectic Si was exposed. Eutectic Si has a thick sheet structure in non-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys (Figure 1a) [26]. When the addition amount of Sr is 0.01%, a few short rods of eutectic Si are found on surface of the $\alpha$-Al matrix (Figure 1b). Increasing the amount of Sr added to 0.04%, significantly increases the number of fine short rod eutectic Si (Figure 1c). The optimal amount of Sr for fabricating long and branching eutectic Si from thick flake Si was 0.07% (Figure 1d). The numbers of branching eutectic Si are revealed on the surface of the $\alpha$-Al matrix. The diameter of the branching Si micro wires is approximately 100–200 nm, and its length can reach dozens of microns. Combined with selective etching, Sr modification is a low-cost and efficient method for fabricating branching silicon micro-wires, and these micro-wires maybe have potential applications in semiconductor devices [10]. The optimal amount of Sr for fabricating branching eutectic Si was 0.04–0.07%.

According to the impurity-induced twinning mechanism (IIT), Sr adsorbs on the front of the growth interface of eutectic Si, which hinders the growth of the original twin crystal step of the eutectic Si. This induces a large number of twin crystals, which results in the growth of eutectic Si changes from anisotropy to isotropy. Therefore, fibrous eutectic Si with a number branches is produced. EDS plane scanning in Figure 2 shows that the element Sr is almost uniformly distributed in eutectic Si. Additionally, micro X-ray fluorescence detection technology demonstrated the same phenomenon [27]. This verifies that the element Sr aggregates on the growth front interface of eutectic silicon and urges the transformation of lamellar to fibrous eutectic Si [28].
When the growth rate is 30 \( \mu m/s \), there are small branches at the end of some sheet eutectic silicon (Figure 3a). When at 70 \( \mu m/s \), the larger lamellar structure of eutectic silicon is obviously reduced, and the silicon wafer is finer. The size of large flake structure decreases and more bifurcations appear at the end of flake structure at the growth rate of 200 \( \mu m/s \) (Figure 3c). For those Sr-modified eutectic Si, branching Si micro-wires are found in the surface of the matrix. With the increase in growth rate, the size of Si micro-wires decreases and the length of branching increases. The evolving microstructure of non-modified eutectic Si with increasing growth rate is the intrinsic growth mechanism. At the low growth rate, the growth mechanism of eutectic Si is controlled by the impurity-induced twinning mechanism, and displays a lamellar morphology (Figure 3a). When increasing the growth rate, the growth is becoming isotropic in the plane of the eutectic Si. This may conclude in the development and formation of the rod-like structures within the plane of the eutectic Si (Figure 3c). With a high enough growth rate, lamellar eutectic Si transforms to fibrous eutectic Si due to the low-speed in-plane growth to high-speed out-of-plane growth without Sr modification [17]. The transformation of sheet Si to fibrous Si is associated with dynamic undercooling in the high growth rate. The high growth rate results in a high growth dynamic undercooling of eutectic Si, and this high dynamic undercooling leads to the unsteady growth of eutectic Si (Figure 3). This illustrates that eutectic Si micro-wires can be obtained by a high enough growth rate without Sr modification.
Figure 3. Morphology of eutectic Si in directional solidification of the Al–Si eutectic alloy at different growth rates and modified by different amounts of Sr. 0% Sr: (a–c); 0.07% Sr: (d–f).

Figure 4 shows that the eutectic spacing $d$ and the size $a$ of the non-modified eutectic Si vary with the growth rate $V$. Both the eutectic spacing and size of eutectic Si decrease with increasing growth rate. As illustrated in Figure 4, eutectic spacing and size are linearly related to the growth rate, and the linear fitting results are as follows: 

\[ a = 10.13V^{-0.38}, \]
\[ d = 34.08V^{-0.48}, \]

which satisfy the J-H model [29]. Both the eutectic spacing and the size of eutectic Si also decrease with increasing growth rate for the Sr-modified eutectic Si. As illustrated in Figures 5 and 6, eutectic spacing and size are linearly related to the growth rate, which also satisfy the J-H model.
Figure 4. The eutectic spacing \( d \) and the size \( a \) of non-modified eutectic Si vary with the growth rate \( V \).

Figure 5. The eutectic spacing \( d \) of modified eutectic Si varies with the growth rate \( V \).

Figure 6. The eutectic spacing size \( a \) of modified eutectic Si varies with the growth rate \( V \).
Figure 7 shows the morphology of non-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys etching at different times. When the etching time is 1 h, a small amount of pitting pores are found in the surface of the matrix (Figure 7a). With the increase in corrosion time to 4 h, pitting corrosion exacerbates and obvious crevice corrosions appear [30]. As the α-Al matrix is etched and removed, the coarse lamellar eutectic silicon with a clear structure is revealed in the pitting pores (Figure 7c,d). With continued etching of the α-Al matrix, greater amounts of eutectic Si fall into the etching solution. The coarse sheet eutectic silicon is obtained in the surface of the filter paper after 10 h of etching, as shown in Figure 7d. Clearly, sheet Si is not corroded by the process [10].

Figure 8 shows the morphology of 0.04% Sr-modified Al–Si eutectic alloy etching at different etching times. When the etching time is 1 h, corrosion pits appear on the surface of Al–Si eutectic alloys. A number of eutectic Si micro-wires are found in the etching holes. When increasing the etching time to 4 h, many deep corrosion holes are formed on the alloy surface due to the longitudinal deepening and expansion of pitting corrosion. There are a large number of silicon micro rods near the etching pit, which are disorderly distributed in micron α-Al dendrites. At 10 h, many dendritic micron α-Al dendrites are corroded on the substrate surface, and many rectangular steps are exposed on the surface of α-Al. A small amount of silicon micron rod insets the α-Al dendrites. As shown in Figure 8, with a prolonged etching time, the Si micro-wires fall off the α-Al dendrites due to the etching of the α-Al matrix and the H₂ scouring produced by the reaction.
Figure 8 shows the morphology of 0.04% Sr-modified Al–Si eutectic alloy etching at different etching times. When the etching time is 1 h, corrosion pits appear on the surface of Al–Si eutectic alloys. A number of eutectic Si micro-wires are found in the etching holes. When increasing the etching time to 4 h, many deep corrosion holes are formed on the alloy surface due to the longitudinal deepening and expansion of pitting corrosion. There are a large number of silicon micro rods near the etching pit, which are disorderly distributed in micron $\alpha$-Al dendrites. At 10 h, many dendritic micron $\alpha$-Al dendrites are corroded on the substrate surface, and many rectangular steps are exposed on the surface of $\alpha$-Al. A small amount of silicon micron rod insets the $\alpha$-Al dendrites. As shown in Figure 8, with a prolonged etching time, the Si micro-wires fall off the $\alpha$-Al dendrites due to the etching of the $\alpha$-Al matrix and the H$_2$ scouring produced by the reaction.

The results of the polarization test for Al–Si eutectic alloys with different amounts of Sr are shown in Figure 9, which shows that the addition of Sr can change the corrosion resistance of Al–Si eutectic alloys. With an increase in Sr, the polarization curves shift to negative, self-corrosion potential decreases, and self-corrosion tendency increases. Current density fluctuates in the anode branch with an increase in corrosion potential. The fluctuation in current density in the anode branch could be attributed to the action of pitting corrosion and the eutectic Si falls off the surface of the matrix. Corrosion current density and corrosion potential are given in Table 2. The corrosion current density of non-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys is 0.475 mA·cm$^{-2}$, and current density decreases to $8.26 \times 10^{-2}$ mA·cm$^{-2}$ for the 0.04% Sr samples. The corrosion resistance of aluminum alloy depends on the microstructure and precipitate phase [31,32]. The decrease in current density could be due to the transformation of sheet eutectic silicon into fibrous eutectic silicon (Figure 1).
fluctuation in current density in the anode branch could be attributed to the action of pit-
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modified Al–Si eutectic alloys is 0.475 mA·cm$^{-2}$, and current density decreases to 8.26 × 10$^{-2}$ mA·cm$^{-2}$ for the 0.04% Sr samples. The corrosion resistance of aluminum alloy depends
on the microstructure and precipitate phase [31,32]. The decrease in current density could
be due to the transformation of sheet eutectic  silicon into fibrous eutectic silicon (Figure
1).

Figure 9. Potentiodynamic polarization curves for Al–Si eutectic alloys with different amounts of Sr
in 0.1 M HCl solution.

| Sr contents (wt) | $E_{\text{corr}}$ (V vs. SCE) | $I_{\text{corr}}$ (mA·cm$^{-2}$) |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 0%               | −0.79                         | 0.475                         |
| 0.04%            | −0.96                         | 8.26 × 10$^{-2}$            |

Table 2. Polarization data of Al–Si eutectic alloys modified by different amounts of Sr in 0.1 M HCl
solution.

Figure 10 shows cyclic voltammetry curves for 0% Sr and 0.04% Sr-modified Al–Si
eutectic alloys in 0.1 M HCl solution. When scanning the potential from the negative value
to the positive value, a large current peak appears at $-0.31$ V for the 0.04% Sr-modified
Al–Si eutectic alloys, which is attributed to the oxidation of the element Al. However, for
non-modified samples, the oxidation peak is at $-0.26$ V. When the anodic potential exceeds
a certain value, the current rises suddenly to a very high value, suggesting breakdown of
the passive film and initiation of pitting corrosion [33]. The breakdown of the passive film
can be ascribed to the adsorption of the chloride ion on the passive film [34]. The pitting
corrosion beyond pitting potential is confirmed by the SEM image of the matrix surface
before and after its polarization at 0.5 V in a 0.1 M HCl solution (Figure 8a). In reverse
scanning, a reduced peak is observed at $-0.37$ V, which may be related to the reduction
of H$^+$ into H$_2$ for the 0.04% Sr-modified samples, while the reduction peak is at $-0.32$ V
for non-modified samples. There is a decrease in current density at $-0.50$ V for both the
non-modified and 0.04% Sr-modified samples. This illustrates that the pitting potential is
at approximately $-0.50$ V, which is consistent with the results of Hasssan [33].
Figure 11 shows the current–time curves for 0% Sr and 0.04% Sr-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys. The current density of non-modified and 0.04% Sr-modified samples are between 28 and 35 mA·cm$^{-2}$. For non-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys, current density increases with prolonged etching time and sharply declines at approximately 200 s. The increase in current density is due to the continued etching of the α-Al matrix. The local sharp decrease in current density is associated with the exfoliation of coarse lamellar eutectic silicon from the α-Al matrix. At the initial stage, the structure of the pitting pores is unstable and is in a metastable state. The Cl$^-$ ion attacks the alumina film in a continuous acidification process in the pitting pores. The Cl$^-$ ion adsors and transfers to the aluminum film, it then destroys the film at the film–metal interface and etches the α-Al matrix around the eutectic silicon. Compared with non-modified samples, the current density of 0.04% Sr-modified samples is more stable with prolonged etching time. With a constant potential of 0.5 V, the current density of non-modified samples is maintained at approximately 33.5 mA·cm$^{-2}$, while that of 0.04% Sr-modified samples is maintained at approximately 31.2 mA·cm$^{-2}$. Therefore, non-modified samples displayed initial pitting corrosion and subsequent spalling corrosion (Figure 7). The 0.04% Sr-modified samples prefer uniform pitting corrosion (Figure 8).

The oxidation and etching of the α-Al matrix are according to the following:

$$2\text{Al} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{H}_2 \uparrow \quad (1)$$
$$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 6\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{Al}^{3+} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2)$$

After the development of pitting corrosion, pitting corrosion develops into intergranular corrosion (Figures 7b and 8a). When the well-structured eutectic falls off the α-Al matrix, current density fluctuates (Figure 11).

The current density of pitting corrosion can be described by [35]:

$$i_{\text{pit}} = \frac{nFD_{\text{Al}^{3+}}\left(C_{\text{Al}^{3+}} - C'_{\text{Al}^{3+}}\right)}{d_{\text{pit}}(1 - t_+)} \quad (3)$$

where $i_{\text{pit}}$ is current density, $n$ is the valence of the metal dissolution reaction, $F$ is Faraday’s constant, $D_{\text{Al}^{3+}}$ is the diffusivity of the metal cation, $C_{\text{Al}^{3+}}$ is the concentration of Al$^{3+}$ at the pit bottom, $C'_{\text{Al}^{3+}}$ is the concentration of Al$^{3+}$ in the bulk solution, $d_{\text{pit}}$ is the pit depth,
and $t_+$ is the transference number for $\text{Al}^{3+}$ cations. Using current density in Figure 11, assuming $n = 2.9$, $C_\text{Al}^{3+} = 2.9M$, $t_+ = 0.45$, $C'_\text{Al}^{3+} = 0$ and $D_\text{Al}^{3+} = 3.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$ [36]. The calculated $d_{\text{pit}}$ of non-modified sample is 15.39 $\mu$m, while that of the 0.04% Sr-modified sample is approximately 16.52 $\mu$m. The calculated pit length in this work is higher than Lucente’s work (at 5 $\mu$m), and this may be due to the differences in the material structure, composition and etching condition of the samples. Sr-modified samples show a lower current density and pitting depth compared with non-modified samples.

![Figure 11. Current–time curves for 0% Sr and 0.04% Sr-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys in 1.0 M HCl solution at a corrosion potential of 0.5 V.](image)

4. Conclusions

Flake and fibrous eutectic Si were fabricated by a selective etching of the $\alpha$-Al matrix in non-modified and Sr-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys, respectively. The following conclusions were drawn:

1. The optimal amount of Sr for fabricating fibrous eutectic Si was 0.04–0.07%. Sr adsorbed on the front of the growth interface of eutectic Si, and hindered the growth of the original twin crystal step, which resulted in the growth of eutectic Si changes from anisotropy to isotropy. Therefore, fibrous eutectic Si with a number of branches was produced in Sr-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys.

2. Transformation of lamellar eutectic Si to fibrous eutectic Si could be realized by high rapid solidification with a high enough growth rate (more than 200 $\mu$m/s) for non-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys. Both eutectic spacing and size decrease with increasing growth rate for Sr-modified and non-modified eutectic Si.

3. With a constant potential of 0.5 V in HCl solution, non-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys displayed initial pitting corrosion and subsequent spalling corrosion, and 0.04% Sr-modified samples preferred uniform pitting corrosion. Compared with non-modified Al–Si eutectic alloys, Sr-modified samples show better corrosion resistance with lower current density and shallower pitting depth due to Sr modification of eutectic Si.
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