Molecular genetics of surfactin and its effects on different sub-populations of Bacillus subtilis
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ABSTRACT

Surfactin is a biosurfactant produced by Bacillus subtilis. The srfA operon, Sfp gene, and two quorum sensing systems are required for its production. The master regulator spo0A also plays an indispensable role in proper surfactin synthesis. Upon production, surfactin itself acts as a signaling molecule and triggers the activation of Spo0A gene which in turn regulates cell differentiation. Interestingly, surfactin producing cells are immune to the action of surfactin but trigger other cells to differentiate into non-motile cells, matrix producing cells, cannibals, and spores. In case of competent cell differentiation, comS, which resides within the srfA operon, is co-expressed along with surfactin and plays a vital role in competent cell differentiation in response to quorum sensing signal. Surfactin inhibits the motility of certain cell subpopulations, although it helps the non-motile cells to swarm. Thus, surfactin plays significant roles in the differentiation of different subpopulations of specialized cell types of B. subtilis.

1. Introduction

Surfactants are amphiphilic compounds composed of hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts and function by lowering the surface tension between two phase systems. Surfactants can be chemical or biological in origin. Surfactants that are produced by biological systems like bacteria, yeasts, and fungi are known as biosurfactants [1]. The biosurfactants can be classified according to their properties and origin. Some of the major classes of biosurfactants are glycolipids, lipopeptides, lipoproteins, phospholipids, fatty acids, and polymeric surfactants [2]. Biosurfactants have more advantages than synthetic surfactants as biosurfactants possess properties like biodegradability, low toxicity, and less sensitivity to extreme environments [1, 3]. Surfactin, a cyclic lipopeptide is one of the biosurfactants that was identified in 1968 from the culture media of B. subtilis [4]. It is known as one of the most potent biosurfactants because of its strong activity as a surfactant. It can effectively reduce the surface tension of two phase systems at very low concentrations [5]. Structurally surfactin consists of an amino acid chain attached to a fatty acid chain. It is known to possess a range of biological activities, such as it can lyse red blood cells, inhibit blood clot formation, lyse bacterial cells, and inhibit cyclic 3′,5-monophosphate-di-esterase [6].

Knowledge of genetics required for the production of biosurfactants like surfactin is vital for their effective industrial applications. Currently, because of high production cost, biosurfactants are unable to compete with chemically synthesized surfactants. If the genes and their interactions that are associated with biosurfactant production are identified, the production process can be enhanced by applying various advanced techniques, such as placing the genes under the regulation of strong promoters. Concrete understanding of both the genetics and functions can help us to use surfactin more effectively in different industrial fields [7, 8].

Bacteria are capable to differentiate into different subpopulations of cells that together form complex multicellular communities [9]. These subpopulations can be phenotypically distinct, although they are genetically identical [10]. B. subtilis is called the master of differentiation because of its ability to differentiate into a number of cell types. Extensive studies have been done to explore this unique molecular characteristic of the bacteria in cell culture systems. For instance, at the beginning of the stationary phase, B. subtilis can either differentiate into surfactin producers, which may or may not be competent cells that can uptake DNA from the extracellular environment [11, 12], or it can enter into the sporulation phase that is extremely resilient to environmental impacts. The bacteria might also differentiate into matrix-producing cells that form biofilm [13, 14, 15]. These bacterial cells can also
differentiate in the exponential growth phase, for example, a portion of cells can develop flagella, as a result they differentiate into motile cells [16]. The ultimate fate of these subpopulations depends on either gene expression that results in morphological differences or on reporter-receptor interaction. The cell differentiation into multiple subpopulations in a stress condition requires a certain level of cell-cell communication that is cell density dependent and known as quorum sensing system [17]. Usually this type of cell-cell communication is accomplished with the help of a particular type of signaling molecules (called autoinducers) that are produced and secreted by the cells of the bacterial community and in turn these signaling molecules can induce cell differentiation [18]. Molecular mechanisms of these types of autoinducer molecules of B. subtilis have already been investigated [19, 20]. Surfactin is one of the signaling molecules that play significant roles in B. subtilis cell differentiation [21].

This review sheds light on the detailed knowledge on molecular genetics of surfactin production and how its production is controlled or regulated by two separate quorum sensing systems in B. subtilis. This review also highlights the gene regulatory pathways that are induced or blocked by surfactin to determine the cell fate of different subpopulations of B. subtilis under stress condition.

1.1. srfA operon–sfp gene cluster system

srfA operon–sfp gene cluster plays the most important role in surfactin production. Cosmina et al. sequenced the srfA operon–sfp gene cluster completely. Fig. 1 illustrates the nine major open reading frames (ORFs) of srfA operon along with the sfp gene. The gene cluster is flanked by the srfA promoter at one side and the sfp gene with its promoter at the other side. The distance between the transcriptional starting point of srfA operon and sfp gene was reported to be 30.5 kb. At the end of the forth ORF, a putative transcriptional terminator was detected. ORFs lying between the srfAORF4 and the sfp gene were named as ORFS to ORF8 [22].

1.2. srfA operon

According to Fabret et al., the length of srfA operon is 27 kb, although Hamoen et al. reported it is to be of 25 kb in length and Cosmina et al. reported that the operon has a length of 30 kb [22, 23, 24]. The srfA gene comprises of four ORFs (srfAORF1 to srfAORF4) with a promoter sequence at 5’ upstream of srfAORF1 and an adjacent transcriptional terminator sequence at the end of srfAORF4 [22, 25]. Polypeptides of 402, 401, and 144 kDa are encoded by srfAORF1, srfAORF2, and srfAORF3, respectively and Steller et al. reported that the srfAORF4 encoded protein has 44 kDa of molecular weight [26]. Surfactin synthetase is encoded by these four ORFs of srfA operon [23]. Later, this surfactin synthetase synthesizes the peptide chain of surfactin by a process known as non-ribosomal peptide synthesis [27].

The surfactin synthetase comprises of four enzymatic modules. Four open reading frames of srfA operon encodes these four modules. These four enzyme subunits are SrfAA (encoded by srfAORF1), SrfAB (encoded by srfAORF2), SrfAC (encoded by srfAORF3), and SrfAD (encoded by srfAORF4) [26]. Each module of the synthetase enzyme consists of numerous domains and each of them incorporate and modify one specific amino acid into the peptide chain of surfactin [6]. Previously it was thought that SrfAD (encoded by srfAORF4) was not required for surfactant production [22, 3]. Later, it was proved that SrfAA alone has the capability to initiate the lipopeptide formation; however, the formation of the products gets efficient support and stimulation from SrfAD [26]. Several research groups reported SrfAD as a repair enzyme because it efficiently regenerates misacylated cofactors attached to peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) domains during non-ribosomal protein synthesis (NRPS) [28, 29, 30]. SrfA is responsible for surfactin synthesis as well as for competence development [24]. Competence development is dependent on the gene comS, located within srfAORF2 [31].

2. ORFs between the srfA operon and sfp gene

According to the study performed by Cosmina et al., the region between the srfA operon and the sfp gene is about 4 kb in length and contains four ORFs (ORFS to ORF8) (Fig. 1). These ORFs have no effects on surfactin production (neither enhance or repress surfactin production) [22].

2.1. sfp gene

The sfp gene is positioned about 4 kb downstream of the srfA operon. A study performed by Quadri et al. showed that the sfp gene has significant roles in surfactin production. It creates docking sites in surfactin synthetase protein for loading specific amino acids to form peptide chain of surfactin [32]. Hamoen et al. reported that sfp gene activates the PCP domains by converting their inactive forms into their active forms [24].

2.2. comQXPA gene cluster

comQXPA gene cluster of B. subtilis is composed of comQ, comX, comP, and comA genes and has already been sequenced in the year 2000 [33]. comQ is found to be positioned adjacent to comX where comP and comA is located at the downstream of comQ in the bacterial chromosome (Fig. 2). comQ and comX genes are required for ComX pheromone production. ComX pheromone regulates one of the two quorum sensing systems that controls surfactin production [19]. The comX encodes a precursor of ComX pheromone which consists of a 55-amino acid long peptide. Production of mature ComX pheromone (10 amino acid long peptide) requires the processing, modification, and secretion of this peptide outside the cell. comQ helps in the processing and modification of precursor ComX pheromone. Studies showed that, comQ null mutant strains are unable to produce comX pheromone and have decreased expression of srfA. Transcriptional regulatory protein ComA and sensor histidine kinase ComP (response regulators) is produced by the two-component regulatory system ComP/ComA. After secretion from cell, ComX interact with the N-terminal sensory domain of ComP and activates it [34, 35]. In turn, this activated ComP phosphorylates and activates ComA which then (ComA) directly attaches to the promoter region of srfA operon and initiates the transcription of the adjacent gene [36, 25].
2.3. rapC-phrC operon

The rapC-phrC operon consists of rapC and phrC genes (illustrated in Fig. 3). This operon has two promoters, P1 and P2. The first one, promoter P1, is situated immediately upstream of the rapC gene, with the recognition sequence for the vegetative sigma factor, $\sigma^A$. The second one, promoter P2, is positioned inside rapC and upstream of phrC, and is $\sigma^H$-dependent [37]. Lazazzera proposed that, both P1 and P2 might control the expression of phrC gene [38, 39]. The phrC gene is responsible for the expression of an extracellular signaling peptide called competence and sporulation factor (CSF). The phrC gene encodes a pre-pro-peptide which is excreted outside of the cell by the Sec-dependent exporting pathway and a peptidase enzyme removes the signaling peptide. The secreted pro-peptide is then cleaved by an enzyme to release a mature five amino acid signaling peptide [39].

2.4. spo0A gene

Spo0A regulates the expression of genes that are required for the onset of sporulation in B. subtilis [43]. A study performed by Nakano et al. indicates that spo0A gene has significant role in surfactin production too (Illustrated in Fig. 5) [44]. Different studies reported that deletion of spo0A gene results in absolutely no surfactin production [45, 46]. Spo0A protein negatively regulates the gene abrB and thus the synthesis of AbrB protein. This Spo0A-mediated inhibition of abrB results in the reduction of the AbrB protein in the cell and in turn, the genes that are usually negatively controlled by AbrB protein, get activated [47]. The spo0H gene is one of those genes that are negatively controlled by AbrB protein. So, any reduction in the cellular level of AbrB protein leads to activation of spo0H gene. This gene encodes a sigma factor, $\sigma^H$, which is involved in expression of many different genes [48, 20]. For instance, $\sigma^H$ is required in the transcription of CSF from phrC [49, 50]. $\sigma^H$ binds to the second promoter of racC-phrC operon, which is a $\sigma^H$-dependent promoter and partly regulates CSF transcription [51]. So, the repression of AbrB protein by spo0A leads to the expression of CSF and thus indirectly regulates the expression of srfA.
3. Surfactin production network

Two quorum sensing systems regulate surfactin production in *B. subtilis*. Regulation initiates with the production of inactive ComX pheromone, modification is done by the ComQ, and finally get activated to become the signaling peptide ComX. ComX pheromone is secreted outside of the cell which interacts with membrane-bound histidine kinase ComP. This interaction causes ComP to autophosphorylate and get activated. Phosphorylated ComP donates a phosphate group to ComA that in turn gets phosphorylated and activated. Activated ComA binds directly to the promoter region of the operon *srfA* and initiates gene expression to produce surfactin synthetase enzyme. The gene *sfp*, situated downstream of the *srfA* operon is also needed for the surfactin production process. The *phrC* encodes CSF, which regulates the second quorum sensing system. Optimal CSF production requires Ω that is encoded by *spo0H*. The AbrB protein represses the *spo0H* that is antagonizes the *spo0A* gene by repressing the *abrB* gene. This is how *spo0A*, which is also called the master regulator, regulates the production of surfactin by regulating the expression of *phrC*. However, mature CSF enters into the cell with the help of Spo0K and induces *srfA* expression by inhibiting the activity of RapC protein. Surfactin synthetase later synthesize surfactin using its various domains and finally, surfactin is excreted outside the cell. The overall pathway of surfactin production and regulation is illustrated in Fig. 6.

4. Effect of surfactin on cell differentiation

Bacterial communities can perceive environmental changes and
adjust gene expression accordingly via a system, known as quorum sensing. It is a bacterial cell-density-dependent process which is performed with the help of the signaling molecules called autoinducers e.g. surfactin in *B. subtilis*. Concentration of signaling molecules increases in the extracellular environment as the bacterial cell density increases and when it reaches a threshold level, this group of bacterial cells acts in synchrony in response to the signaling molecule. These signaling molecules lead to an alteration in gene expression, coordination of bacterial behavioural changes, and differentiation into different cell types to adapt environmental changes [18]. In *B. subtilis*, surfactin helps to regulate genes that exerts cellular differentiation into different cell types via quorum sensing according to need to adapt adverse condition[49, 17]. According to some studies, along with cell differentiation surfactin may also have substantial role as a quorum sensing molecule in carbon metabolism [52, 53].

5. Surfactin producing cells are immune to surfactin

Production and secretion of specific signaling molecules that can trigger diverse signaling pathways, regulate the quorum sensing in bacteria (illustrated in Fig. 7) [18]. There are several secreted signaling molecules that activate specific signaling pathways in *B. subtilis*. Surfactin is one of them. Surfactin is produced by surfactin producing cells, a subpopulation of *B. subtilis* and other subpopulations respond to it. As the signal-producing and receptive cells are different, this is an example of paracrine signaling. Surfactin has significant roles on matrix producing cells, cannibals, competent cells, spores, and motile cells. However, surfactin producing cells are immune to its product, surfactin. It is not known for sure how they gain this immunity. But according to Lopez et al., ComS might be responsible for this immunity [54]. ComS protein is encoded by the gene *comS*, which is positioned within the *srfA* operon and this is why the gene is co-expressed with the surfactin production [31]. ComS might inactivate Spo0A, which is needed to respond to surfactin by interacting with MecA. It allows MecA to bind to and inhibit Spo0A by freeing MecA from ComK [17]. Inhibition of Spo0A might be the reason that allows the surfactin producing cells to remain immune to surfactin.

6. Competence

Developing natural competence is a very well-known phenomenon of *B. subtilis*. Competence is a state of cells when they can uptake and assimilate extracellular DNA into the cells. In environmental stress conditions, natural competency grow in a subpopulation via quorum sensing system to better adapt to the stress conditions. However, surfactin does not directly regulate competence development in *B. subtilis*. But the induction of competence and surfactin production follow the same pathway (Fig. 8) [25, 55]. The *comS*, a small ORF positioned within the *srfA* operon and is regulated by the same promoter (the promoter of the *srfA* operon). Therefore, the ComS protein is expressed along with surfactin. ComK, a vital factor for developing competence in *B. subtilis*, gets activated by ComS protein [31, 19, 56]. Both the ComS and ComK proteins are degraded by the protease, Clpc/C1pP [57]. MecA, which is an adapter protein, binds to either ComS or ComK and helps Clpc/C1pP to degrade them [58]. The ComS has a higher affinity than ComK to bind with MecA adapter. So, if there is a higher concentration of ComS, MecA binds to ComS instead of ComK and concentration of ComK increases by autoregulation. In this way, increased ComS promotes ComK to increase its concentration and thus the cell develops competence [59].

All surfactin-producing cells produce ComS, so competence might develop in all of them. But generally this does not occur. A bimodal regulation system of ComK activation [60], allows only a small part of surfactin-producing cells to develop competence. Competence and surfactin production are induced by the same signaling molecules,
pheromones ComX and CSF. Among the strains of *B. subtilis*, a polymorphism is found in the amino acid chains of ComX and CSF. ComP and Spo0K can precisely identify these signaling molecules that belong to a specific strain and rejects the other variants with the help of their extracellular recognition domains. [34, 33]. This is how *B. subtilis* controls the competence development only in the presence of some specific strains.

7. Matrix production

Matrix-producing cells are one of the well-known and well-studied specialised cell types of *B. subtilis* [54]. These cell types produce and secrete extracellular proteins that are required to hold the cells together to form biofilm [9, 61]. According to a study conducted by Lopez et al., surfactin produced by *B. subtilis* act as a quorum sensing signaling molecule and induce genes required to form biofilm [21]. Once produced, surfactin forms pores in the membrane and causes potassium leakage [62]. This potassium leakage leads to the decrease of the intracellular concentration of potassium. Low concentration of intracellular potassium is detected by KinC (a sensor histidine kinase) through its PAS–PAC sensory domain [21]. In response to this, KinC phosphorylates Spo0A and phosphorylated Spo0A induced the activation of genes that are involved in matrix production [21, 17, 54]. Two operons are required to be activated for the production of the extracellular matrix. The first one, *epsA-O* operon, composed of 15 genes, usually produces the exo-polysaccharide component. The second one, *yqxM-sipW-tasA* operon, produces and secretes TasA, a major protein component of the biofilm matrix [63, 16]. During exponential growth, SinR acts as an inhibitor of the *eps* and *yqxM* operons, and represses them in throughout the cell population. A subpopulation of cells with activated Spo0A expresses the *Sinl* gene, which can antagonize SinR [64]. The Sinl protein binds to the SinR protein and represses it. Thus SinR, the repressor, become disabled to inhibit the operons [65, 66]. In this manner, surfactin triggers the phosphorylation of Spo0A, concentration of Spo0A gets increased in the cell, which in turn ends the repression of operons and allows them to produce the proteins needed for biofilm formation (illustrated in Fig. 9).

8. Cannibalism

Under environmental stress condition, surfactin triggers the development of cannibalistic cells. A subpopulation of *B. subtilis* that kill and lyse some other cells, is known as cannibals. The expression of cannibalism is triggered by low levels of phosphorylated Spo0A (illustrated in Fig. 10). This subpopulation secretes specialized toxins that lyse or kill neighboring other cells but the cells that produce cannibalistic toxins, are immune to the effects of toxins [67, 17].

Cannibals produce and secrete two toxins, sporulation killing factor (Skf) and sporulation-delaying protein (Sdp). Neighboring susceptible cells are killed by these two toxins in a process, termed as cannibalism. These dead cells are used by the living cells as food at the time of nutritional deficiency and to delay the onset of spore formation [68, 69, 70]. Expression of the Skf and Sdp toxins is positively regulated by low intracellular concentration of phosphorylated Spo0A (Spo0A−P) [71]. Expression of the *skfA-H* operon, producer of the Skf toxin, is directly promoted by Spo0A−P. On the other hand, Spo0A−P indirectly promotes the expression of the *sdpABC* operon, the producer of the Sdp toxin, by repressing AbrB (repressor of *sdpABC* operon) [17, 21, 54, 67]. The living cells use the dead cells as their food and competent cells take up the released DNA of the dead cells. However, competent cells are immune to these harmful toxins because they can undergo in K-state (a semi-dormant state) that is toxin and antibiotic resistant [72, 73, 67, 74].
9. Motility

In non-motile subpopulation of *B. subtilis*, surfactin inhibits motility via spo0A–P (illustrated in Fig. 11). Spo0A promotes the transcription of the genes required for motility. Concentration of c-di-GMP increases in bacterial cells in response to environmental stress condition. Concentration of c-di-GMP is very important in regulating motility (inhibit motility) [75]. Phosphodiesterase (PDE) proteins enhance motility by degrading c-di-GMP. In response to surfactin, Spo0A gets phosphorylated and this phosphorylated Spo0A represses the PDE proteins, therefore concentration of c-di-GMP remains high in environmental stress condition [76, 77, 78]. High concentration of c-di-GMP leads to attachment with MotI. Bound c-di-GMP and MotI repress MotA, the flagellar motor component. Thus motor-rotor interactions of the flagellar machinery gets disturbed and finally motility gets inhibited [79].

In motile subpopulation of *B. subtilis*, surfactin is responsible for increasing swarming motility. Swarming motility refers to a prompt movement of bacteria over a surface with the help of its flagellar rotation [80]. High cellular concentrations and presence of a surfactant promote swarming motility [81]. Many swarming bacteria like *B. subtilis* secrete surfactants like surfactin, that reduce the surface tension between the substrate and bacterial cell and thus, this phenomenon permits bacterial movement across surfaces [82, 83, 84, 85]. Mutations in surfactin-producing machinery abolish swarming, which can be regained by adding purified surfactin in the system [83, 86]. Surfactin contains fatty-acyl tails of various lengths and they become distributed across the swarming tendrils. A study conducted by Debois et al. suggests that surfactin with the longest fatty-acyl chain are the most hydrophobic (14–16 carbon atoms) and can spread the fastest [87, 88].

10. Sporulation

Spores are usually dormant cells that are not active metabolically. Cell sporulation occurs when environmental conditions become tough and nutritional scarcity arises [13]. *B. subtilis* can measure the concentration of important metabolites inside the cell. They stimulate the activation of the master regulator Spo0A as soon as it senses scarcity of these intracellular metabolites [71, 89]. Phosphorylation of the master regulator, Spo0A triggers sporulation [64, 90]. Many types of genes get activated in accordance with different Spo0A–P concentrations. For instance, high concentration of Spo0A–P triggers sporulation (illustrated in Fig. 12), whereas low concentration induces expression of genes that are required for matrix production [71]. Surfactin plays a fundamental role in sporulation. Chen et al. reported that surfactin mutant strain showed serious defects in developing biofilm and spore formation in *B. amyloliquefaciens*, a close relative to *B. subtilis* [52].

Regulation of the master regulator Spo0A is highly complicated and involves many feedback loops. Regulation begins with the production of surfactin by surfactin producing subpopulation of *B. subtilis*. Surfactin later indirectly induces KinC to phosphorylate Spo0A. After the phosphorylation of Spo0A, it promotes the expression of the sigma factor O<sup>H</sup> [91]. Later, O<sup>H</sup> stimulates the expression of both the genes needed for phosphorylation and expression of Spo0A (e.g. KinA and Spo0A itself). Kinase KinA possesses three PAS–PAC sensory domains, PAS-A, PAS-B, and PAS-C. KinA can observe the changes in concentration of critical factors and metabolites inside the cell by using these domains and phosphorylates Spo0A when required [92]. That’s how a cycle of both synthesis and phosphorylation gets turned on by the phosphorylation of Spo0A [93]. Finally, the high level of phosphorylated Spo0A initiates the expression of genes required for sporulation [94].

The process of sporulation starts by dividing the cells unequally and producing two distinct sections, one is a mother cell and another one is a forespore. This unequal division activates a cascade of sigma factors. Different groups of genes are activated by the sigma factors in different sections. In forespore, the sigma factor O<sup>E</sup> is activated and later in mother cell, it activates O<sup>F</sup>. The products of genes under the control of these two sigma factors regulate the genes that are required for the forespore to be engulfed. Sigma factor O<sup>F</sup> becomes activated inside the forespore after the engulfment and later in the mother cell it activates O<sup>K</sup>. Finally, the cortex and the spore coat are produced that are essential for the maturation of the spore [13].

![Fig. 11. The regulation of motility. Phosphorylated spo0A increases C-di-GMP concentration by inhibiting PdeH. High concentration of c-di-GMP inhibits MotA protein and thus inhibits motility.](image-url)
on genetic regulation of surfactin production and also demonstrates how surfactin works as a signaling compound and triggers B. subtilis population heterogeneity. We now know that surfactin works as a quorum sensing molecule and affects cell differentiation to different subpopulations. Better understanding of B. subtilis cell heterogeneity will help us to manipulate its growth kinetics more efficiently and to use these different types of subpopulations according to our needs.
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Fig. 12. The development of sporulation. High concentration of phosphorylated spo0A activates a cascade of sigma factors. Later, these sigma factors promote the activation of a set of genes required for sporulation.
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