Seroprevalence of Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus in Local Borana Cattle Breed and Camels (Camelus dromedarius) in Ethiopia
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Background: Bovine viral diarrhea, caused by bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV), has been considered a disease of cattle but is now emerging in camels. In Ethiopia it has been detected in exotic and cross-bred dairy cattle but no information is available on its occurrence in indigenous cattle breeds and camels. This study was, therefore, conducted to estimate the prevalence of BVDV infection in indigenous Borana cattle and camels (Camelus dromedarius) in Moyale and Miesso pastoral districts.

Methodology: Serological investigation was carried out on 219 cattle from 44 herds and 137 camels from 11 herds in contact with the selected cattle herds in Borana zone and 348 camels from 41 herds in Shinille zone. The sera samples were tested using a competitive enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (c-ELISA) to detect antibodies against p80 protein of BVDV. In addition, all of the cattle sera were tested using antigen detection ELISA for identification of persistent infection.

Results: Among the 219 cattle tested, 177 (80.82%; 95% CI: 74.97–85.81) were found to be positive for antibodies against BVDV in Moyale district, Borena Zone. The prevalence varied among different age groups and parity. The highest prevalence was observed in cattle aged 8 years and older (84.0%; 95% CI: 69.6–98.4) and in primiparous cattle (85.5%; 95% CI: 76.2–94.8). Two of the 219 cattle tested (0.05%; 95% CI: 0.02–0.08) were found to be positive with antigen detection ELISA. In addition, out of a total of 137 camels tested, two (1.46%; 95% CI: 0.18–5.17) were found to be positive in this district. Among the 348 camels tested, eight (2.39%; 95% CI: 0.99–4.485) were found to be positive for antibodies against BVDV. In conclusion, this study revealed a high prevalence of infection in Borana cattle. In addition, it recorded the occurrence of infection with BVDV in camel herds. None of the camels tested positive for the antigen of BVDV using antigen ELISA.
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Introduction

Bovine viral diarrhea (BVD) is a viral disease, caused by a bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV), which belongs to the genus Pestivirus of the family Flaviviridae.¹ BVDV consists of two genotypes designated as BVDV-1 and BVDV-2,³ each of which has both cytopathic and non-cytopathic biotypes.⁴ Mutations of the non-cytopathic biotypes can result in the emergence of cytopathic biotypes. The non-cytopathic biotypes are known to cause persistent infection in animals, which are a major source of the virus for susceptible animals.⁵ The biotypes of BVDV determine the epidemiology and pathogenesis of the disease both in cattle and camels since they are prevalent in both host species.⁷–⁹
Bovine viral diarrhea occurs worldwide and is one of the notifiable diseases of the World Organization for Animal Health. It causes significant economic losses due to reduced milk yield, extended calving intervals, and increased number of services per conception and abortion.\textsuperscript{10–13} BVD can also result in immune suppression,\textsuperscript{14} predisposing animals to other infections and bovine respiratory disease complex (BRD) if co-infection occurs with other respiratory viruses.\textsuperscript{15} It is known to affect different mammalian species belonging to Antilocapridae, Camelidae, Cervidae, Giraffidae, Suidae, Tragulidae families and small ruminants,\textsuperscript{16–19} although it is mainly a disease of cattle.\textsuperscript{20}

Although it has been widely recognized in cattle, BVD is an emerging disease in camels,\textsuperscript{21} which acquire the virus while sharing pastureland with cattle.\textsuperscript{22} It has been shown that both old world and new world camels are susceptible to BVDV infection.\textsuperscript{22} In camels the disease is characterized by diarrhea, ill-thriftiness, reproductive wastage as well as respiratory signs.\textsuperscript{23–25} Studies have shown that BVDV was prevalent in 3.9% of camels in Tunisia,\textsuperscript{26} 16% of camels in Sudan,\textsuperscript{27,28} 3.4% of camels in Somalia,\textsuperscript{29} and 52.5% of camels in Egypt.\textsuperscript{30}

The Shinille and Borana pastoral zones of Ethiopia are characterized by the presence of large number of cattle and camels.\textsuperscript{31} In these areas cattle and camels serve the pastoral community as sources of cash income, food, power and collaterals. They are an integral part of pastoralism where cattle, camels and small ruminants are often comingled at communal pastureland in both zones. Therefore, transmission of BVDV from cattle to camels could be common. Although the occurrence of BVD in exotic and cross-bred dairy cattle is a well-established fact, information on its occurrence in local cattle breeds and camels is lacking. Since persistently infected animals serve as sources of the virus to susceptible animals and play important roles in the epidemiology of the disease\textsuperscript{32,33} their identification is important for understanding of its epidemiology. This will help the livestock and veterinary authorities pertinent to the pastoral areas to devise preventive and control measures. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to estimate prevalence of persistent infection with BVDV and associated risk factors in camels (Camelus dromedarius) and local cattle breeds in Borana and Shinille pastoral zones, Ethiopia.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Areas**

The study was conducted in Moyale district of Borana zone and Miesso district of Shinille zone of Ethiopia. Moyale is geographically located 567 km southeast of Addis Ababa between 03°37′23.8″–05°02′52.4″N latitude and 37°56′49.4″–39°01′101″E longitude. Pastoralism is the means of livelihood of the millions of the Borana community in which cattle and camels constitute the important animal species.\textsuperscript{31}

Miesso district is located between 09°41′N latitude and 41°51′E longitude having an average altitude of 1079 meters above sea level.\textsuperscript{34} The majority of the inhabitants in the study areas are pure pastoralists for whom livestock production constitutes the only means of livelihood. Camels, small ruminants and cattle are important animal species in the zone.\textsuperscript{31}

**Study Population, Design and Sample Size**

The study population considered in this study consists of cattle and camels of both sexes and different age groups reared in the selected districts. Indigenous breeds of cattle (Borana cattle) reared in Moyale district, that were above 6 months of age were included in the study. In addition, camels above 6 months of age belonging to Borana type (from Moyale district) and Somali type (from Miesso district) were included in the study. All cattle and camels that constitute the study population have no history of vaccination since no vaccine is in use in Ethiopia against BVDV. Cross-sectional design was employed to collect samples from study animals. Two-stage clustered sampling technique was used to select the herd (first stage) and individual animals (second stage) for collection of blood samples.

The number of herds required for this study was estimated using the formula described for clustered sampling approach\textsuperscript{35} considering 95% confidence interval. For Moyale district since there is no predetermined intra-cluster correlation coefficient, \( \rho \) (roh) for BVD was presumed to be 0.3, an expected prevalence of 32.6%\textsuperscript{36} with absolute precision of 10% was used to compute the sample size for cattle. Accordingly, a minimum of 50 herds and 250 individual cattle was needed from each district. However, 219 cattle from 44 herds were sampled due to unavailability of some of the herds since they moved in search of pasture and water. So five cattle were sampled from each herd except one herd from which four cattle were sampled using convenient sampling technique. From this district 137 camels from 11 herds that were in contact with the selected cattle herds were also sampled. In Miesso district, only 348 camels from 41 herds were sampled since cattle producers were not willing to participate.
Sample Collection
Cattle and camels included in the study were restrained properly by pastoralists to enable blood sample collection. Plain vacutainer tubes and 18 gauge needles were used to puncture the jugular vein and draw blood from each animal. The vacutainer tubes containing blood samples were kept at a slant position overnight at room temperature to allow separation of serum. The sera samples were harvested into labeled cryovial tubes and stored at −20°C until laboratory analysis.

Serological Tests Employed
Antibody Detection ELISA
Anti-bovine BVDV p80 antibody competitive ELISA (ID.Vet, rue Louis Pasteur-Grabels, France) having a sensitivity and specificity of 100% was used to detect the presence of circulating antibodies in the serum following the manufacturer’s instruction. Briefly, the samples to be tested and controls were added to the microwells and incubated at 37°C. An anti-p80-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugate was added to the wells and incubated at 37°C. The plate was removed, washed with TMB solution and substrate was added and incubated at 21°C (±5°C) for 15 min ± 2min. Finally, 100 µL of stop solution was added and the result read at 450 nm. The test was valid if the OD of the positive control (ODpc) was greater than 0.5 and the ratio of the mean value of the OD of the positive and negative controls (ODpc/ODnc) was greater than 3. In order to interpret the test result, the sample to positive percentage (S/P%) of each sample was computed using the following formula

\[
S/P\% = \frac{OD_{sample} - OD_{NC}}{OD_{PC} - OD_{NC}} \times 100
\]

Samples with S/P% less than 35% and greater or equal to 35% were considered negative and positive, respectively.

Statistical Analysis
The data collected during this study were analyzed using SPSS version 20 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The association between the seroprevalence of BVDV and potential predictors was analyzed using logistic regression in which the odds ratio was used to estimate the effect size. The logistic regression analysis was done only for Moyale district where both cattle and camels were tested and sufficient numbers of animals were found positive. In contrary in Miesso district where only camels were tested and only eight animals were positive out of 348 tested statistical tests were not used. The relationship between the predictors and the seroprevalence of the BVD was estimated using Maximum likelihood. Association of parity and abortion on the seroprevalence of BVDV was analyzed only for female animals. The differences were considered significant if the p-value is < 0.05.

Results
Prevalence of BVD in Borana Zone
From a total of 219 cattle tested, 177 (80.82%; 95% CI: 74.97–85.81) were found positive for antibodies against BVDV (Table 1). The prevalence varied among different age groups and parity. The highest prevalence was observed
in cattle aged 8 years and older (84.0%; 95% CI: 69.6–98.4) and in primiparous cattle (85.5%; 95% CI: 76.2–94.8). The prevalence of BVD was strongly associated with the species of animals tested from Moyale district, Borana zone (Table 2). Primiparous cows and those having two and greater parities had higher odds of being infected with BVDV than nulliparous cattle while there was no statistically significant difference in seroprevalence among cattle having parity greater than two and nulliparous ones. The odds of seropositivity to BVDV exposure was statistically higher in females that had no history of abortion than those which had history of abortion.

In addition, out of a total of 137 camels tested two (1.46%; 95% CI: 0.18–5.17) were found to be positive in this district.

Detection of BVDV Antigen in Cattle
An attempt was also made to establish the occurrence of antigen in the herds using Antigen detection ELISA. Accordingly from the total of 219 cattle sampled during the study period, two (0.05%; 95% CI: 0.02–0.08) were found positive with antigen detection ELISA.

Prevalence of BVD in Camels in Miesso District, Shinille Zone
A total of 348 camels were tested among which eight (2.29%; 95% CI: 0.99–4.485) were found to be positive for antibodies against BVDV. Higher seroprevalence of bovine viral diarrhea was recorded in younger camels.

Table 1 Seroprevalence of BVD in Borana Cattle in Moyale District, Southern Ethiopia (n = 219)

| Variables     | No. Sampled | No. Positive | Prevalence (95% CI) | χ² | P-value |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|----|---------|
| Age           |             |              |                     |    |         |
| <3            | 16          | 8            | 50.0 [25.4–74.5]    | 10.6 | 0.05    |
| 3–8           | 178         | 148          | 83.1 [77.5–88.5]    |    |         |
| >8            | 25          | 21           | 84.0 [69.6–98.4]    |    |         |
| Sex           |             |              |                     |    |         |
| Male          | 22          | 18           | 81.8 [65.7–97.9]    | 0.016 | 0.90    |
| Female        | 197         | 159          | 80.7 [75.2–86.2]    |    |         |
| Parity        |             |              |                     |    |         |
| Nulliparous   | 20          | 12           | 60.0 [38.5–81.5]    | 6.43 | 0.04*   |
| Primiparous   | 55          | 47           | 85.5 [76.2–94.8]    |    |         |
| Pluriparous   | 122         | 100          | 82.0 [75.2–88.8]    |    |         |
| Pregnancy     |             |              |                     |    |         |
| Yes           | 38          | 9            | 76.3 [62.8–89.8]    | 0.584 | 0.445   |
| No            | 159         | 130          | 81.8 [75.8–87.8]    |    |         |
| Abortion      |             |              |                     |    |         |
| Yes           | 19          | 15           | 78.9 [60.5–97.2]    | 0.042 | 0.838   |
| No            | 178         | 144          | 80.0 [74.1–85.9]    |    |         |
| Total         | 219         | 177          | 80.8 [74.9–85.8]    |    |         |

Note: *Statistically significant.

Table 2 Results of Logistic Regression Analysis of the Association Between Seroprevalence of BVD and Potential Risk Factors

| Variables | OR  | SE  | Z    | P-value | 95% Confidence Interval |
|-----------|-----|-----|------|---------|-------------------------|
| Age       | 1.09| 0.07| 1.2  | 0.213   | 0.95                    |
|           |     |     |      |         | 1.3                     |
| Sex       |     |     |      |         |                         |
| Male      | 1.31| 0.7 | 0.4  | 0.653   | 0.41                    |
|           |     |     |      |         | 4.2                     |
| Species   |     |     |      |         |                         |
| Camel     | 0.003|0.002|−7.9 |<0.001  | 0.001                   |
|           |     |     |      |         | 0.01                    |
| Constant  | 2.46| 1.1 | 1.9  | 0.051   | 0.99                    |
|           |     |     |      |         | 6.1                     |
(6.82%; 95% CI: 1.43–18.66) than adults, and in camels having history of abortion (2.38%; 95% CI: 1.03–4.64) than in those without history of abortion (0.00%) whereas nearly similar seroprevalence was recorded in camels having different parities. The results of antibody ELISA performed on camels in Miesso district is given in Table 3.

Of the total of 41 camel herds tested, 10 (24.39%; 95% CI: 12.36–40.30) were found positive with one or more animals infected per herd with the virus. The seroprevalence of BVD was higher in herds consisting of more than 10 camels per herd. However, none of the camels tested gave positive results for the antigen using antigen detection ELISA.

**Discussion**

This study provides the first report of the occurrence of BVD in camels and indigenous Borana cattle in Ethiopia. Emphatically the detection of persistent infection bears important implications since persistently infected animals serve as sources of the virus to susceptible animals and play important roles in the epidemiology of the disease.\(^{32,33}\) It has also been shown that seropositivity is associated with the presence of persistently infected animals whereas seronegativity is associated with the absence of PI animals in a herd.\(^{37}\) It is clear from the results that the virus is well entrenched in the cattle population in Borana zone and is endemic. In addition, the occurrence of BVDV virus in camel populations that were in contact with the cattle herd tested was confirmed.

The overall seroprevalence of bovine viral diarrhea (80.82%) recorded in cattle in this study in Moyale district suggests the endemicity of the disease in the area. The seroprevalence is quite high, revealing the widespread distribution of the virus for a long period of time without recognition. It is in agreement with previous reports of seroprevalence in cattle reported from various countries such as India,\(^{38}\) Mexico\(^{39}\) and Iran.\(^{40}\) It conforms to the published data showing that 60–80% of cattle herds are exposed to BVDV.\(^{37}\) However, our observation showed a higher prevalence than the previous studies conducted in Ethiopia. Previous studies reported prevalence ranging from 9.59% to 51.9% in southwestern and central Ethiopia.\(^{41–44}\) The difference observed is most likely due to herding strategy, herd size, and breed of animals studied. The previous authors studied cross-bred dairy cattle reared under smallholder and commercial dairy production systems where cattle reared on individual farms are managed separately with no or minimal contact with cattle from other farms. In contrary in pastoral areas the cattle herds from various holdings often mix with one another at communal grazing and watering areas, thus contributing to the spread of the virus. The herd size per farm is also relatively small compared with the pastoral herds. The larger herd size in pastoral areas increases the contact among cattle and the chance of spread of the virus. In addition, since cross-bred cattle are mostly reared under smallholder and commercial dairy farms, they often get more veterinary attention than cattle kept under pastoral areas.

**Table 3 Seroprevalence of Bovine Virus Diarrhea in Camels Tested from Miesso District, Shinille Zone, Eastern Ethiopia**

| Variables     | No. Tested | No. Positive | Prevalence (%) |
|---------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| Age in years  |            |              |                |
| < 4           | 44         | 3            | 6.82 (1.43–18.66) |
| ≥ 4           | 304        | 5            | 1.64 (0.54–3.79)  |
| Parity        |            |              |                |
| Nulliparous   | 115        | 3            | 2.61 (0.54–7.43)  |
| Primiparous   | 67         | 2            | 2.99 (0.36–10.37) |
| 2 parity      | 166        | 3            | 1.81 (0.37–5.19)  |
| Pregnancy     |            |              |                |
| Yes           | 297        | 8            | 2.69 (1.17–5.24)  |
| No            | 51         | 0            | 0.00 (0.00–5.70)  |
| Abortion      |            |              |                |
| Yes           | 336        | 8            | 2.38 (1.03–4.64)  |
| No            | 12         | 0            | 0.00 (0.00–26.45) |
| Total         | 348        | 8            | 2.29 (0.99–4.48)  |
The results of this study revealed that odds of seropositivity increased by a factor of 7.41 and 5.66 in primiparous cattle and those which had two parities compared with nulliparous ones, respectively. The increase in seroprevalence with increasing parity could be due to an increase in animals’ risk of exposure to BVDV or it may be due to immunotolerance in animals in which they fail to produce antibodies detectable by the ELISA procedure employed.45,46 This observation supports the association of BVDV with the reproductive tracts of infected cattle. Similar observations have been reported in dairy cattle in western parts of Ethiopia by Tadele et al.44 This observation conforms to the fact that the virus has strong tropism for the reproductive system of infected animals. Previous investigations revealed that BVDV causes ovarian infection with possible changes in ovarian function that could lead to reduced fertility,6 although further investigation is warranted to elucidate the importance of BVD in causing reproductive failures under pastoral conditions.

The results of the current study revealed quite low levels of seropositivity of BVDV in camels. In Moyale district, Borana zone the camels that were tested were in contact with cattle. In Miesso district, Shinille zone as well, although cattle were not sampled, the camels have been herded along with cattle. Since BVDV has been known in cattle for a long time, camels might have acquired the virus from cattle. Since there is no vaccination program against BVD either in cattle or camels in the country the seroprevalence recorded in this study is due to exposure of the animals to the virus. To the best knowledge of the authors this is the first report of infection of camels with BVDV in Ethiopia. The seroprevalence observed in camels in this study is comparable to the prevalence previously reported in camels in a European zoo,47 and in dairy camels in UAE,48 whereas it is lower than the prevalence reported in a zoo in the USA,49 in Saudi Arabia,50 in Iraq,51 in Nigeria52 and in Oman. Higher seroprevalence has also been reported among camels in Egypt30 and Sudan. The difference observed in the prevalence of BVD in camels between this study and the previous studies may be due to the variation in sample size, sensitivity and specificity of the tests used, camel management systems and geographic location of the study areas.

**Limitations of the Study**

In this study the number of animals studied was slightly lower than the sample size required. Besides, cattle were not included in this study from Miesso district, Shinille zone due to the unwillingness of the owners; their inclusion would have enabled the comparison of the occurrence of infection with BVDV in potential hosts. Indigenous cattle and camels are of primary economic importance in the study areas where pastoralists mainly depend on them. In line with their importance, this is the first serological study in indigenous cattle and camels in pastoral areas that provides the evidence of occurrence of BVDV and encourages further studies. Finally, we believe that our study would provide baseline data on the epidemiology of BVD in the pastoral areas.

**Conclusions**

This study revealed high prevalence of BVDV infection in Borana cattle. In addition, it recorded the occurrence of infection with BVDV in camel herds. None of the camels tested positive for persistent infection using antigen ELISA. Therefore, in order to minimize the impacts of BVD on reproductive and productive performance, the livestock and veterinary authorities pertinent to the pastoral areas should take this into account in their plans for improvement of cattle and camel production. Further investigation is warranted for better understanding of the epidemiology of the disease.
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