Akt/mTOR-Mediated Autophagy Confers Resistance To BET Inhibitor JQ1 In Ovarian Cancer
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Background: Bromodomain and extra-terminal domain inhibitors like JQ1 have proved to be promising epigenetic agents for the treatment of malignant ovarian carcinoma. However, the resistance of ovarian cancer cells to BET inhibitors has not been elucidated. In this study, we investigated the potential mechanisms underlying the resistance of ovarian cancer cell lines to the BET inhibitor JQ1.

Materials and methods: We evaluated the apoptotic and proliferative response of four ovarian cancer cell lines to JQ1. The cell lines were designated as resistant (A2780 and HO-8910) and sensitive (SKOV-3 and HEY). Further experiments detected the different levels of JQ1-induced autophagy. Anti-tumour effect of the combination of JQ1 and autophagy inhibitors was tested both in vitro and in vivo.

Results: In the JQ1-sensitive group, JQ1 effectively inhibited proliferation and apoptosis in a concentration-dependent manner. Conversely, JQ1 showed modest inhibition of proliferation and negligible apoptosis in the resistant group. We detected increased LC3-II lipidation, autophagosome formation, upregulation of Beclin-1 and ATG5, and downregulation of P62/SQSTM1 in the resistant group. Inhibition of JQ1-induced autophagy by pharmacologic autophagy inhibitors was tested both in vitro and in vivo.

Conclusion: These findings revealed that JQ1-induced pro-survival autophagy might be a potential mechanism in the resistance of ovarian cancer cells to BET inhibition by JQ1. Combination of JQ1 and autophagy inhibitors could be an effective therapeutic strategy for overcoming BET inhibitor resistance in ovarian cancer.
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Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is the leading cause of gynaecological cancer-associated death worldwide, partly because it is detected often at an advanced stage. Although carboplatin and paclitaxel chemotherapies are first-line treatment and the initial response rate is high (~80%), most patients eventually recur, and mortality occurs within 5-years, and patients with high-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC) have shorter survival. BETA bromodomain protein BRD4 has recently emerged as an exciting new class of target for the treatment of cancer, as BRD4 overexpression can enhance transcription of critical oncogenes, for example, MYC.
(BETi) were developed. Recent studies have shown that inhibition of BRD4 could reduce the expression of oncogenes and result in tumour regression. Therefore, clinical trials of BETi are in progress for many cancers. Although BETi showed great promise as cancer therapeutics, the anti-cancer effects of BETi were quite variable. Besides, emerging evidence showed that cancer cells acquire resistance to BETi, indicating single agent targeting of BRD4 may not produce ideal therapeutic response.

JQ1, a selective BET inhibitor that mimics the acetyl moiety and occludes the acetyl-lysine binding pocket, thus replacing BET proteins from chromatin and shown to be highly effective against epithelial ovarian cancer. Recently JQ1 was shown to induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in EOC cells. JQ1 also suppresses PD-L1 expression in both immune and tumour cells to promote anti-tumour immunity. Another study showed that JQ1 reduces homologous recombination (HR) and enhances PARP inhibitor-induced DNA damage. Thus, JQ1 appears to be a promising therapeutic option for targeting EOC.

However, EOC cell lines exhibit different proliferative and apoptotic responses to JQ1. The resistance of some EOC cell lines to JQ1 cannot be explained by differences in basal expression of BRD4 or changes in the levels of c-Myc and BRD4. These findings suggested that primary resistance to JQ1 may not describe its inability to suppress c-Myc or different expression levels of basal BRD4, but could be due to compensatory mechanisms triggered by c-Myc inhibition. A recently published study suggested that resistance to BET inhibitors in EOC is mediated by adaptive kinome reprogramming, where activation of compensatory pro-survival kinase networks overcomes BET protein inhibition. However, the mechanisms underlying different sensitivities of EOC cell lines to JQ1 remain elusive. A better understanding of the mechanisms involved in resistance of EOC cell lines to JQ1 is needed to combat BET inhibitor resistance in EOC.

Autophagy was defined initially as a self-digestion process by which cytoplasmic contents were sequestered in autophagosomes and delivered to lysosomes for degradation. Autophagy plays a dual role both as pro-death or pro-survival in malignant tumour treatment, largely depends on the tumour type and treatment characteristics. Many cellular pathways like the Akt/mTOR pathway and AMPK/ULK1 pathway have been reported to be involved in autophagy initiation. Autophagy protects MDR (multi-drug resistant) cancer cells from apoptosis and promotes resistance to chemotherapy treatment. Inhibition of autophagy may sensitise MDR cells to anticancer drugs. It represents a new battle-line in the fight against drug resistance. Accordingly, it is vital to have a better understanding of the roles and mechanisms of autophagy and key signalling pathways involved in cancer resistance for targeting autophagy as an approach to overcome drug resistance.

In the present study, we investigated the mechanisms underlying the resistance of EOC cell lines to JQ1. We showed that JQ1-resistant A2780 and HO-8910 cell lines acquired resistance to JQ1 through induction of autophagy for the first time. Autophagy in JQ1-resistant EOC cells was mediated by inactivation of the Akt/mTOR pathway, Blocking JQ1-induced autophagy enhanced JQ1 anti-tumour effect on EOC proliferation and survival both in vitro and in vivo.

Statistical Analysis
All experiments were repeated at least three times for statistical analysis. All data were analysed using GraphPad Prism version 7.0. The tumour growth curve data were presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM); other results were shown as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Unpaired Student’s t-test determined statistical differences between two independent groups. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results
Effect Of JQ1 On Ovarian Cancer Cell Lines
Initially, we examined the effect of JQ1 on proliferation and apoptosis of four ovarian cancer cell lines A2780, HO-8910, SKOV-3, and HEY. The four cell lines were incubated for 48 hrs, with varying concentrations of JQ1. As shown in Figure 1A, JQ1 inhibited proliferation of the four cell lines in a concentration-dependent manner. However, the sensitivity of four cell lines to JQ1 was different, since the IC50 values of A2780 and HO-8910 (6.963 and 5.18 μmol/L) were higher than SKOV-3 and HEY (1.503 and 0.503 μmol/L), suggesting A2780 and HO-8910 cell lines are more resistant to JQ1. The OC cell lines were stained with PI and Annexin V to detect the total apoptotic cell populations. As shown in Figure 1B and C, after 48 hrs incubation with JQ1, the apoptotic response to JQ1 was different in the four cell lines. In SKOV-3 and HEY cells, total apoptotic cell population increased significantly in a dose-dependent manner. While apoptotic cell death was increased modestly in A2780, and HO-8910 cell lines, this means A2780, and HO-8910 was indeed more resistant to
JQ1 compared with other two OC cell lines. For analysis, four OC cell lines were classified into JQ1-sensitive (n=2) and JQ1-resistant (n=2) groups based on IC_{50} and the median value of apoptosis. Between the sensitive group and resistant group, the total apoptotic cell population showed a significant difference (P<0.01).

Because the basal expression of BRD4 and the suppression of MYC were the critical determinants of BET
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bromodomain inhibitor sensitivity in another malignant
tumour, then we detected the expression of BRD4
and c-Myc and the suppression of BRD4 and c-Myc in
the presence of JQ1. As is shown in Figure 1D–F, the
different expression of BRD4 and c-Myc had no signif-
cance in four cell lines, and the expression of BRD4 and c-
Myc were all suppressed in the presence of JQ1. These
results indicated other potential mechanisms were mediat-
ing the sensitivity of OC cells to JQ1.

JQ1-Induced Autophagy In JQ1-Resistant
OC Cell Lines

Autophagy plays an important role in the regulation of
drug resistance, and we investigated whether JQ1
induces autophagy in resistant OC cell lines. Because
conversion of cytosolic LC3-I to LC3-II through lipida-
tion by a ubiquitin-like system is a classical marker of autop-
hapsome formation, we first evaluated JQ1 effect on the
conversion of LC3-I to lipidoslated LC3-II in four cell lines.
As is shown in Figure 2A, LC3 conversion did not occur
in SKOV-3 and HEY, OC cell lines. In contrast, increased
LC3-II conversion in A2780 and HO-8910 cells was
observed after treatment with increasing concentrations
of JQ1 (Figure 2A and B). Furthermore, we investigated
the expression of SQSTM1/P62, Beclin1, and ATG5 in OC
cell lines. Our results showed that treatment with JQ1 led
to increased expression of ATG5 and Beclin1, and
decreased SQSTM1/P62 in JQ1 resistant OC cell lines.
In contrast, the autophagy molecular marker expression
changed modestly after JQ1 treatment in JQ1-sensitive
cell lines (Figure 2B). Besides, the expression of BRD4
decreased in the four cell lines, showing the effectivenss
of JQ1 in this experiment. To further test for JQ1-induced
autophagy in the resistant group, we analysed the distribu-
tion of endogenous LC3 puncta, another classical marker
of autophagosome formation. Figure 2C shows a marked
increase by JQ1 of the endogenous LC3 puncta in the JQ1-
resistant group compared to DMSO-treated control cells.
Since the presence of acidic vesicle organelles (AVO) is
another characteristic of autophagy, we used acidine
orange stain to detect AVO. Figure 2D shows, abundant
cytoplasmic AVO in JQ1-resistant group compared to
those treated with DMSO.

Reports show LC3-II conversion and the accumulation of
LC3 puncta also occur when autophagosome turnover is
inhibited in late stages, and suggest that the entire autophagy
process was not complete. Therefore, we next investigated
whether JQ1-induced autophagy was responsible for activation
of autophagic flux rather than blocking the degradation of autolysosome. Figure 2E shows that co-treatment with JQ1
and CQ (an autophagy late-stage inhibitor that inhibits lys-
some fusion with autophagosome) increased the level of LC3-
II conversion compared to CQ treatment alone. SQSTM1/P62
was an indicator of autophagic flux, and the expression of
SQSTM1/P62 also decreased after treatment with JQ1
(Figure 2B). Our data demonstrated that JQ1-induced autophagy
was responsible for activation of autophagic flux rather
than inhibiting degradation of autolysosome.

Altogether, these results demonstrate that JQ1-induced
autophagy in JQ1-resistant OC cell lines, while this effect
was absent in JQ1-sensitive group.

Inhibition Of JQ1-Induced Autophagy
Enhances Anti-Proliferative Activities And
Promotes Cell Apoptosis In JQ1-
Resistant Group

Accumulated evidence shows the involvement of autop-
hagy in the context of anticancer therapy and its roles as
cytoprotective, non-protective, cytotoxic, and cytostatic.
Therefore, we determined whether autophagy mediates
cellular resistance to JQ1. First, we evaluated the relationship
between JQ1-induced autophagy and growth inhibition
in the resistant group. As shown in Figure 3A, the
induction of autophagy by JQ1 in A2780 and HO-8910
OC cell lines was blocked by autophagic inhibitor 3-MA.
In addition, cell growth was evaluated by colony forma-
tion assays, to exclude the possibility that the above effect
was due to the additive effects of JQ1 and 3-MA or CQ
(Figure 3B). Next, we evaluated the effect of the combina-
tion of autophagy inhibitors 3-MA (5 µmol/L) and CQ (5
µmol/L) on cell proliferation and growth. MTT assays
showed that inhibiting autophagy by 3-MA and CQ sig-
nificantly suppressed the growth of JQ1-resistant group
compared to those treated with JQ1 alone (Figure 3C).
Because the JQ1 concentration used in this assay has no
apparent effect on cell growth as seen in colony formation
assay, the results showed that 3-MA or CQ treatment
enhanced the effect of JQ1 and reversed JQ1 resistance
in the JQ1-resistant group. Collectively, our data suggest
that inhibition of JQ1-autophagy enhances JQ1 promoted
cell apoptosis.

Next, we examined whether JQ1-induced autophagy
contributed to survival in JQ1 resistant group by inhibiting
autophagy with 3-MA and CQ. The addition of 3-MA or
CQ to JQ1 resulted in a significant increase in the level of apoptosis in JQ1-resistant group (Figure 3D) compared with JQ1 treatment alone. As expected, no significant differences in JQ1-induced apoptosis following co-treatment with 3-MA or CQ was observed in JQ1-sensitive group. Because cleavage of PARP by cleaved caspase-3
is an indicator of apoptosis, we evaluated changes in the levels of these markers of apoptosis using Western blot analysis after treatment with JQ1 in the presence or absence of 3-MA and CQ. Figure 3A shows, after treatment with JQ1 or autophagy inhibitor alone for 48 hrs in JQ1-resistant group, cleaved PARP was marginally detectable. However, the combination of JQ1 and 3-MA or CQ increased the expression level of cleaved PARP in JQ1-resistant group, indicating that the observed synergy is due to induction of apoptosis by the combination treatment. Activation of JQ1-induced autophagy mediated resistance to apoptotic cell death. We also detected the expression of cleaved-PARP in JQ1-sensitive group under similar condition. Figure S1A shows that after treatment with JQ1, the expression of cleaved-PARP increased compared to the control group or autophagy inhibitor alone group. Besides, the combination of JQ1 with autophagy inhibitor did not increase the expression of cleaved-PARP, suggesting that

Figure 3 Inhibition of JQ1-induced autophagy enhances anti-proliferative activities and promotes cell apoptosis in resistant ovarian cancer cells. (A) A2780 and HO-8910 cells were treated with 2.5 μM JQ1, 5 μM 3-MA, or 5 μM CQ or a combination of JQ1 with 3-MA or CQ for 48 h, and measured the expression of the apoptosis marker cleaved PARP p85 and autophagy marker LC3-I/III. (B) A2780 and HO-8910 cells were plated in 6-well plates and treated with 2.5 μM JQ1, 5 μM 3-MA or 5 μM CQ, or a combination of both JQ1 and autophagy inhibitors for 14 days in a colony formation assay. (C) After co-treatment with increased concentrations of JQ1 and 5 μM 3-MA or 5 μM CQ, MTT assay was used to detect the anti-proliferation effect of JQ1 on resistant OC cells. IC_{50} was calculated by GraphPad Prism software. (D) A2780 and HO-8910 cells were treated with 2.5 μM JQ1, 5 μM 3-MA, or 5 μM CQ or a combination for 48 h and quantified for apoptosis by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) based on annexin V staining. P < 0.05 vs JQ1-alone treated group.
JQ1-induced autophagy in the resistant group could partly explain the different response to JQ1. Thus, we conclude that JQ1 and autophagy inhibitors are synergistic in suppressing the growth of JQ1 resistant cell lines by inducing apoptotic cell death.

Inactivation Of The Akt/mTOR Pathway Is Associated With JQ1-Induced Autophagy In The Resistant Group
The previous study showed a negative regulatory role of the Akt/mTOR pathway in autophagy. Therefore we investigated whether Akt/mTOR pathway is involved in JQ1-induced autophagy in the resistant group. First, Western blot was used to examine the phosphorylation status of Akt, mTOR, and p70S6K (a characterised target of the mTOR1 complex). Figure 4A shows inhibition of the Akt/mTOR pathway in JQ1 resistant OC cell lines after treatment with JQ1 and confirmed by decreased phosphorylation levels of Akt, mTOR, and p70S6K. We also tested whether JQ1 shows a similar effect on Akt/mTOR pathway in JQ1 sensitive group. As shown in Figure S1B, JQ1 did not show the same effect on Akt/mTOR pathway in JQ1 sensitive group. Next, we rescued JQ1-induced Akt/mTOR inhibition by overexpressing Akt1 cDNA and observed decreased LC3-II conversion and endogenous LC3 puncta accumulation in JQ1 treated resistant cells (Figure 4B and C). Figure S1C showed that after transfection with AKT1 plasmid, an increase in the level of p-Akt and activation of Akt/mTOR pathway. In contrast, co-treatment of JQ1-resistant cells with LY294002 (an inhibitor of PI3K/Akt pathway) and JQ1 significantly increased the level of LC3-II conversion in JQ1 treated resistant cells (Figure 4D). Overexpression of Akt1 also rescued the anti-proliferative effect of JQ1 in A2780, and HO-8910 cells since the level of apoptosis increased significantly in Akt1 overexpressed cells after treatment with JQ1 (Figure 4E). While transfected with Akt1 plasmid had no such effect in sensitive group (Figure S1D and E). These results showed an association of JQ1-induced autophagy in resistant cells with inactivation of the Akt/mTOR pathway in resistant OC cell lines.

Combination Therapy With JQ1 And Chloroquine Enhances Antitumor Activities In Vivo
A2780 cells were implanted subcutaneously into immunodeficient (BALB/c Nu/Nu) mice, and the xenografted tumours were established over 15 days. The mice were randomised into four treatment groups: DMSO control, JQ1 (20 mg/kg), CQ (80 mg/kg), and combination of JQ1 (20 mg/kg) and CQ (80 mg/kg) treated groups respectively. The mice were treated at 3-day intervals and received a total of ten treatments and observed until 45 days. Figure 5A shows the slowest growth in the group treated with JQ1 and CQ. The JQ1 (20 mg/kg) or CQ (80 mg/kg) treated groups showed a modest effect on ovarian tumour growth. Consistently, the tumour burden decreased to a significant extent, as measured by the weight of the dissected tumours (Figure 5B and C). However, there was no significant difference in body weight of nude mice among the different treatment groups, which proved that there was no overt toxicity of the combination treatment (Figure 5D). Our data demonstrate that blocking JQ1-induced autophagy by CQ in JQ1-resistant ovarian cancer xenograft animal model enhances the anti-tumour activity of JQ1. Autophagy inhibitor sensitises EOC tumours to the BET inhibitor JQ1 in vivo.

Discussion
Although members of the BET family, like BRD4, have been identified as potential therapeutic targets in ovarian carcinoma, and BET inhibitor JQ1 exhibits anti-ovarian cancer activity both in vitro and in vivo, emergence of drug resistance limits their clinical value. However, the underlying mechanisms of resistance to BET inhibitors remain poorly understood, and the means to optimise BET inhibitors clinical efficacy remains a considerable challenge. Our study showed, for the first time, the involvement of inactivated Akt/mTOR pathway in induction of autophagy, and conferring JQ1 resistance in ovarian cancer cells.

Recent studies have shown that resistance to BET inhibitors is mediated by kinome reprogramming in ovarian cancer. Specifically, the activation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and downstream signalling by phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), Akt, and ERK mediate resistance to BET inhibitors. Santin AD pointed out that gain of c-Myc was a potential target for BET inhibitors and the inability of BET inhibitors in suppressing c-Myc might also be a mechanism of drug resistance. Huang H showed that stable expression of BRD4, an important member of BET family targeted by JQ1, mediates the resistance to BET inhibitors. These data suggested that compensatory activation of transcriptional pathways or inability to suppress c-Myc result in stable expression of c-Myc or dysregulation of BRD4, thus mediating drug resistance. Our results confirm the differential ovarian cells...
response to JQ1. A2780 and HO-8910 were defined as JQ1-resistant group and SKOV-3 and HEY as JQ1-sensitive group. Although we also observed considerable c-Myc and BRD4 downregulation in both resistant and sensitive groups, and the expression of altered basal BRD4 and c-Myc levels could not explain the different response to JQ1. Furthermore, JQ1 could not induce apoptosis in JQ1-resistant group, even at a 2-fold higher concentration than the IC\textsubscript{50} (10 \( \mu \)M), whereas expression of BRD4 and c-Myc decreased simultaneously. These findings supported that in JQ1-resistant group, inducing BRD4 downregulation and c-Myc related synthetic lethality was ineffective for BET inhibitors.

Autophagy plays a complex and controversial role in tumorigenesis and treatment of malignant tumour. On the one hand, excessive autophagy can commit cancer cells to “autophagic cell death (ACD)” or “type II programmed cell death.” On the other hand, autophagy can play a protective role by eliminating damaged organelles and recycling degradation products in normal cells. Autophagy can be affected by various pathways. For example, AMPK pathway and AKT

---

Figure 4 JQ1 induces autophagy through the inhibition of the Akt/mTOR pathway. (A) A2780 and HO-8910 cells were treated with the indicated concentration of JQ1 for 48 h. Cell lysates were used to detect Akt (S473), mTOR (S2448), P70S6K (S424/T421), and their phosphorylated counterparts by Western blot. (B and C) After treatment with 2.5 \( \mu \)M JQ1 in combination with transfection by Akt1 plasmid or control vector for 48 h. Immunofluorescence staining was used to detect the endogenous expression of LC3B, and Western blotting was used to identify the phosphorylated Akt (P-Akt), LC3- I, and LC3-III in A2780 and HO-8910 cells. ‘\( P \) < 0.05. (D) Cells were treated with or without JQ1 (2.5 \( \mu \)M) in combination with LY294002 (a PI3K/Akt inhibitor) for 48 h. Phosphorylated Akt (P-Akt), LC3- I, and LC3-III were detected by Western blot. P < 0.05 vs JQ1-alone treatment group. (E) Annexin V-FITC/PI staining were used to detect the level of apoptosis after treatment with JQ1 (2. \( \mu \)M) in combination with transfection by Akt1 plasmid or control vector for 48 h. P < 0.05 vs JQ1-alone treatment group.
pathway can regulate the initiation of autophagy. During drug treatment, autophagy can protect cancer cells and attenuate drug-induced apoptosis and promote survival. Autophagy has been a mechanism of drug resistance in cancer therapy. Our findings indicated that JQ1 in ovarian cancer cell lines downregulated c-Myc. However, previous studies reported the induction of cytoprotective autophagy by c-Myc. Hence c-Myc inhibition should decrease autophagy in cancer cells. Consistent with this finding, we found that in the JQ1-sensitive group, c-Myc was downregulated and autophagy slightly attenuated after JQ1 treatment, and the ratio of apoptosis increased significantly as the concentration of JQ1 increases. Whether inhibiting c-Myc or BET inhibitors like JQ1 could induce autophagy in ovarian cancer has not been investigated. Interestingly, the JQ1-resistant group showed reduced expression of c-Myc and increased autophagy since the markers of autophagy, for example, conversion of LC3-I to lipidated LC3-II and ATG5, and Beclin1 increased. Furthermore, degradation

Figure 5 Autophagy inhibitor CQ synergises with BET inhibitor JQ1 in suppressing the growth of JQ1-resistant xenograft ovarian tumours in vivo. (A) A2780 cells were injected subcutaneously into the flank of NSG mice. The mice were randomised into four indicated treatment groups (n=5) after 2nd week and treated daily with vehicle control, 20 mg/kg JQ1, 80 mg/kg CQ, or in combination for 30 days. The tumour sizes were measured at the indicated time points. *P < 0.05. (B) Tumour weight was measured as a surrogate for tumour burden at the end of treatment. *P < 0.05. (C) Tumour mass images of A2780 model mice at day 45. P < 0.05 vs control group or JQ1-alone or CQ-alone treatment group. (D) Bodyweight of the mice from the indicated treatment groups at the starting and finishing points of the treatments. There is no statistical difference between the different treatment groups. Data are represented as mean with SEM (n = 5 mice/group).
of P62/SQSTM1 was observed in the JQ1-resistant group after JQ1 treatment, another indication of increased autophagy. Co-treatment of autophagy inhibitors 3-MA and CQ with JQ1 indicated that JQ1-induced autophagy played a cytoprotective role during drug treatment. Autophagy inhibition reverses the resistance of JQ1-resistant A2780 and HO-8910 ovarian cancer cell lines to BET inhibition to increase apoptosis. In vivo studies confirmed the effects of the combination of JQ1 and autophagy inhibitors. Detection of tumour-weight in different treatment groups confirmed that the combination of JQ1 and CQ was safe. Altogether, our results indicated that JQ1-induced autophagy is a critical mechanism involved in JQ1 resistance in ovarian cancer cells.

Recent studies have shown the association of resistance to BET inhibitor with Akt1/mTOR pathway in ovarian cancer. It was shown that the proliferation and apoptosis of cancer cells were regulated by Akt/mTOR pathway. In addition to the Akt pathway, activated AMPK pathway also participates in resistance to BET inhibitor in AML. However, activation of the LKB1/AMPK pathway-induced autophagy showed anti-tumour effect in bladder cancer. Since Akt1/mTOR pathway can regulate autophagy and has been tested in ovarian cancer, we investigated whether Akt1/mTOR pathway is involved in JQ1-induced cytoprotective autophagy in JQ1-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines. Our data showed detection of decreased phosphorylated levels of Akt, mTOR, and p70S6K in JQ1-resistant group after treatment with JQ1. Consistent, overexpression of Akt1 also led to a decrease in accumulated levels of LC3 puncta and LC3-II conversion induced by JQ1. In contrast, co-treatment of A2780 and HO-8910 cells with LY294002 (a PI3K/Akt pathway inhibitor) and JQ1 significantly increased the levels of LC3-II conversion in JQ1 treated cells. Besides, overexpressing Akt1 also increased the sensitivity of JQ1 resistant cells to JQ1 with improvement in the ratio of apoptotic cells. These data strongly suggested the involvement of Akt/mTOR signalling pathway in JQ1-induced autophagy in ovarian cancer.

Altogether, our data showed that ovarian cancer cell lines have different sensitivity to BET inhibitor JQ1. Different levels of JQ1-induced autophagy were partly responsible for this differential response. Besides, autophagy inhibitor 3-MA or CQ markedly enhanced JQ1-induced apoptosis and attenuated the resistance to BET inhibitor in JQ1-resistant ovarian cancer cells, and in vivo studies also confirmed the combination effect. Combination of autophagy inhibitor and JQ1 treatment may be a useful approach for the treatment of ovarian cancer. However, further studies are needed to determine how autophagy is regulated differentially in resistant and sensitive groups. Additionally, reliable biomarkers are lacking for explicit confirmation of clinical patients who might benefit from this combination treatment. Furthermore, more in vivo studies are necessary to confirm the safety of the combination treatment further. JQ1 displays antitumor activities in a variety of human cancers with different modes of action, and a significant challenge for further development and clinical testing of JQ1 is the lack of knowledge on intrinsic resistance JQ1 itself and lack of reliable biomarkers to predict its sensitivity. Our findings are valuable for indicating the potential molecular mechanisms involved in resistance to BET inhibitor JQ1 in the ovarian tumour and suggest modified treatment strategies for overcoming this resistance.

**Conclusion**

JQ1-induced autophagy in ovarian cancer cell lines A2780 and HO-8910 attenuated the anti-tumour effect of JQ1. Akt/mTOR pathway was responsible for this. Inhibition of autophagy by 3-MA or CQ or overexpression of Akt1 significantly improved the A2780 and HO-8910 cell response to JQ1. The combined effect was also confirmed in vivo and may provide a potential strategy to sensitisise OC to BET targeting therapy.
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