Remediation of chromium-slag leakage with electricity cogeneration via a urea-Cr(VI) cell

Binbin Yu, Huimin Zhang, Wei Xu, Gang Li & Zucheng Wu

Department of Environmental Engineering, Laboratory of Electrochemistry and Energy Storage; State Key Laboratory of Clean Energy Utilization, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, P. R. China.

Chromium pollution has been historically widespread throughout the world. Most available remediation technologies often require energy consumption. This study is aimed to develop electrochemical remediation for Cr(VI) in chromium-slag leakage with self-generated electricity. Dynamic leaching experiments of chromium-slag samples were conducted to survey the release and leaching behavior of Cr(VI). Based on previous work, a unique urea-Cr(VI) was designed, in which urea was employed as the fuel and Cr(VI) from the leakage of the dichromate slag served as the oxidant. Furthermore, the electrochemical results showed that the removal percent of Cr(VI) was more than 96% after 18 h with the leakage Cr(VI) concentration of 2.69 mM. The open circuit potential (OCP) varied in the range of 1.56 ~ 1.59 V under different initial Cr(VI) leakage concentrations. The approach explores the feasibility of the promising technique without the need of energy input for simultaneous chromium-slag remediation and generation of electricity.

Chromium was widely used in industry for the production of steel and alloys, ore refining, wood preservation, and electroplating. Due to improper treatment of chromium-containing waste and waste-water, chromium pollution was historically widespread across the world in locations where chromium was released to the environment, such as the Death Village in China. Regardless, it is difficult to remediate polluted sites caused by chromium-containing waste, and thus, most chromium-slag (67%) was simply deposited over a span of decades. UK investigators estimated that approximately 2.5 million tons of chromite ore processing residue were found on several sites in the vicinity of Glasgow. Improper dispersal of chromium pollution has now become a serious contamination problem for soil and water around the world.

To avoid further contamination by chromium-slag, the proper technologies should be applied to treat such chromium wastes before their simple deposit. Currently, most available technologies, such as detoxification treatment and utilization, are often energy consuming. Calcinations of chromium-slag with cinder had been used for the reduction of Cr(VI), but this technique requires special equipment and produces secondary pollution, such as waste gas. Bio-consolidation and bio-remediation were regarded as new and promising detoxification methods. However, the current bio-remediation techniques could hardly be widely used due to limitations such as the microbial species, microbial sensitivity to environment, and long remediation time. Moreover, chromium-slag could be used for the preparation of cement, glass, brick, and concrete aggregate material. However, current technologies are required to use the existing equipment and nearby resources to reduce cost. Furthermore, given the complicated variety and uncertainty for utilization and detoxification treatment, it is difficult to make sufficient use of chromium-slag without also causing secondary pollution.

Cr(III) and Cr(VI) are both present in chromium-slag, but the latter ionic form is much more toxic and carcinogenic to humans due to its faster mobility, high solubility, and strong oxidation. It is known that dichromate possesses the ability to accept electrons and contains considerable chemical energy that could be retrieved from this substance. Dichromate accepts six electrons, and its oxidation potential is 1.33 V under acidic conditions, which is shown in Equation 1.

\[
Cr_2O_7^{2-} + 14H^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow 2Cr^{3+} + 7H_2O \quad E^0 = 1.33 \text{ V}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Recently, great attention has been paid to energy extraction, instead of energy input, during wastewater treatment by the conversion of chemical energy to electricity. Based on our previous research, electricity can be harvested directly from an alkaline ethanol fuel cell reactor in which K2Cr2O7 functions as the oxidant. This work aimed to...
Table 1 | Cr(VI) and total Cr content for the different samples

| Sample | Color | Source | Particle size μm | The amount of Cr(VI) (mass ratio m/m) | The amount of total Cr (mass ratio m/m) |
|--------|-------|--------|------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1      | Yellow| Kunming| <150             | 0.71%                                 | 2.2%                                   |
| 2      | Green | Qujing | <150             | 0.72%                                 | 2.0%                                   |
| 3      | Green | Qujing | 250–830          | 0.85%                                 | 1.9%                                   |

Develop electrochemical remediation of Cr(VI) in chromium-slag with the electricity generation to self-accumulate energy. To retrieve the energy, a special urea-Cr(VI) fuel cell device was designed and assembled, in which the reduction of chromium(VI) from the leaching procedure with a flow rate of 1.5 mL/h (equal to the level in the leachate for the different eluting solutions in the dynamic leaching experiment of chromium-slag and (2) to establish a unique fuel cell to reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III), which is considered less toxic.

Results

Dynamic leaching test for chromium-slag. To investigate the leaching procedure and influencing factors for chromium-slag, three samples of chromium-slag, each with a sample size of less than 1000 μm, were collected from the Yunnan province in China. Detailed information on the samples is provided in Table 1. All samples were randomly collected from chemical manufacturers and were typical ore processing residues of chromium-slags that are often largely deposited and waiting for passive treatment. The mass ratio of Cr(VI) in these samples varied from 0.71% to 0.85%. Dynamic leaching tests of chromium-slag were conducted to characterize the Cr(VI) leaching when the samples were seized before the dynamic experiments could be performed uniformly. A setup (Figure 1) was conducted to measure the total Cr(VI) released from the slag by using different eluting solutions.

As the chromium-slag was exposed to the ambient environment, it would be rinsed by natural rain with low acidity. Therefore, it is necessary and meaningful to test the effect of simulated acid rain on the dissolution-release of Cr(VI)19. Several different solutions, including HNO₃, HCl, water, H₂SO₄, NaCl solution and distilled water with CO₂ were used as eluting solutions for chromium-slag. Two types of artificial acid rain, including saturated CO₂ distilled water (pH = 5.6) and a H₂SO₄ solution with a fixed pH value, were simulated as the eluting solution in this study and employed to leach the slag. Distilled water was used as the control solution. The main parameters of simulated rain intensity for the different levels of rainfall are provided in Table 2, according to the weather parameters.

The effect of the eluting solution on Cr(VI) release and pH values in the leachate for the different eluting solutions in the dynamic leaching procedure with a flow rate of 1.5 mL/h (equal to the level of moderate rain) is displayed in Figure 2. The elution time and volume of eluting solution exhibited significant effects on the cumulative amount of Cr(VI) released from the chromium-slag. No leakage was collected within the first 2 h and 3 h for sample 2 and sample 1, respectively, as there were insufficient eluting solutions. During the leaching process, a faster leaching velocity of Cr(VI) within 8 h was obtained, and then the leaching rate gradually slowed. After 15 h of continuous moderate rain, the cumulative amounts of Cr(VI) released from 5 g of sample 1 were 14.4 mg, 15.1 mg and 15.6 mg by the elution of water, saturated CO₂ solution, and the H₂SO₄ solution, respectively, while those of sample 2 were 16.8 mg, 17.0 mg, and 17.2 mg. Therefore, the percent of released Cr(VI) varied from 42% to 45% for sample 1 and changed from 47% to 49% for sample 2. These results indicated that the eluting abilities for the release of Cr(VI) were in the following order: H₂SO₄ solution with a pH value of 4.5 > saturated CO₂ solution > distilled water. In addition, the leakage pH values, shown in Figure 2, indicate that the pH values generally remained constant over the prolonged elution time. The final leakage pH values from sample 1 were 8.82, 9.01, and 9.00 for the H₂SO₄ solution with a pH value of 4.5, saturated CO₂ solution, and distilled water, respectively. Due to the alkaline property of chromium-slag, the elution with low acidity could not significantly change the pH values. Of the three eluting solutions, the H₂SO₄ solution with a pH value of 4.5 exhibited good capability for accelerating leakage. Hence, the H₂SO₄ solution was chosen as the elution in the following experiment.

The influence of different amounts of chromium-slags was examined using the H₂SO₄ solution with a pH value of 4.5 under heavy rain level (Figure 3). The results indicated that the percentage of released Cr(VI) from the same sample was not significantly affected by the amount of sample under the fixed eluting solution. Cr(VI) percentages varying from 40% to 42% were obtained by increasing the amount of sample from 5 g to 50 g after 14 h of continuous heavy rain. Hence, the sample amount of 5 g can be a proper amount in the following experiments.

Different chromium-slags were compared with a flow rate of 9.5 mL/h using the H₂SO₄ solution with a pH value of 4.5 (Figure 4). The dissolution equilibrium was reached after 2–4 h for all slag samples under the level of storm rain. Nevertheless, dissolution from the slag tended to slow with extended time. Cr(VI) percentages of 47%, 65%, and 35% could be dissolved after 9 h of rinsing for sample 1, sample 2, and sample 3, respectively. Obviously, the smaller the particle size, the faster the Cr(VI) dissolution-release velocity was obtained.

Because the pH values of natural rainfall varied (3.5–6.5), the impact of pH values for the H₂SO₄ solution should be considered.

Table 2 | Main parameters of stimulated rain intensity

| The level of rainfall | Intensity b (mm/h) | Flow rate of eluting solution b (mL/h) |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1                    | light rain         | 1                                     | 0.31                                  |
| 2                    | moderate rain      | 5                                     | 1.57                                  |
| 3                    | heavy rain         | 15                                    | 4.71                                  |
| 4                    | rainstorm          | 30                                    | 9.42                                  |

*Intensity is collected based on weather parameters; bFlow rate = intensity of rainfall × area of column.
As shown in Figure 5, decreasing pH accelerated the accumulated amount of Cr(VI) in the leachate. However, the final released amounts of Cr(VI) were similar after 11 h under the various pH values, with the content ranging from 15.32 mg to 15.69 mg. With increasing H⁺ concentration, the oxidative property of Cr(VI) in the chromium-slag increased, which could lead to more redox reactions between Cr(VI) and the reducing substances in the slag and result in less Cr(VI) released in the end. However, the absolute amount of H⁺ was low in the H₂SO₄ leaching solution with pH value ranged from 3.5 to 6.5, and the eluting solution was alkaline, and therefore, the amounts of Cr(VI) released were similar regardless of pH. Furthermore, according to the F test of statistics, the differences in the accumulative amounts of Cr(VI) were not statistically significant.

To compare the eluting results under the different levels of rainfall, the effect of different flow rates on the Cr(VI) release was investigated over a range of 1.5 mL/h to 9.5 mL/h (Figure 6). A higher flow rate was a benefit to the rapid dissolution of Cr(VI) and the total amount of released Cr(VI), which could reach equilibrium within 3 h using storm rain. Thus, the experiments took 5 h and 8 h to reach equilibrium for accumulative amounts of Cr(VI) under moderate rain and heavy rain, respectively.

Cogeneration of electricity and Cr(VI) removal. A special urea-Cr(VI) fuel cell device was designed and assembled to simultaneously generate electricity and reduce Cr(VI) released from chromium-slag. To study the stability of Cr(VI) leakage and examine if reducing substances were obviously existing in the leakage, the concentration of Cr(VI) in the leakage with 0.5 M H₂SO₄ was determined with prolonged storage time. Table 3 shows that the leakage Cr(VI) concentration decreased slightly during the 112 h, which revealed that few reduction compounds presented in the leakage and the urea-Cr(VI) fuel cell device could theoretically perform well.
Urea was employed as the anode fuel due to its convenience and low-cost advantages. Under alkaline conditions, urea can release six electrons and the products of CO₂, H₂O, and N₂ without forming secondary pollution (Equation 2).

\[
\text{CO(NH}_2\text{)}_2 + 6\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 5\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{N}_2 + 6\text{e}^- \tag{2}
\]

Two types of medium, including PBS (phosphate buffer solution, pH = 7.4) and KOH solution (1 M), were applied to compare the variation of cell voltage with time (Figure 7). Alkaline urea showed higher cell voltage than that of urea in the PBS solution; the open circuit potential (OCP) was 1.58 and 1.17 V. In addition, Cr(VI) exhibited a greater removal efficiency in urea with the KOH solution. After 24 h of operation, the removal efficiency was 98% and 47% in the KOH and PBS systems, respectively.

From Figure 8, it can be seen that the OCP varied from 1.55 V to 1.58 V when the initial concentration of Cr(VI) changed from 1.34 mM to 5.35 mM. The preliminary cell potential was laid between 0.476 V and 0.586 V. Then, the value decreased to 0.063 V and 0.083 V after the 24 h process. Moreover, the cell potential could maintain above 0.2 V for the initial 10 h when using Cr(VI) with a concentration of 5.35 mM. Overall, when a leakage with a higher concentration of Cr(VI) was used, higher cell potential was observed. However, the curves of cell potential tended to be the same using different concentrations of Cr(VI) in the leakage after

| Table 3 | Concentration of Cr(VI) in the leakage under different storage times with the H₂SO₄ of 0.5 M (mM) |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Initial concentration of Cr(VI) | Concentration of Cr(VI) after 40 h | Concentration of Cr(VI) after 64 h | Concentration of Cr(VI) after 112 h |
| 1.44 | 1.42 | 1.42 | 1.26 |
| 2.88 | 2.60 | 2.57 | 2.34 |

Figure 5 | Amount of Cr(VI) in the leakage at different pH values in the dynamic leaching procedure. (5 g samples, flow rate of 4.5 mL/h, equal to the level of heavy rain.).

Figure 6 | Amount of Cr(VI) concentration in the leachate at different flow rates in the leaching procedure. (5 g samples, H₂SO₄ with a pH value of 4.5.).

Figure 7 | Variation of cell voltage and Cr(VI) concentration in the leakage with time under different systems. (1.0 M PBS (red), 1.0 M KOH (black)), containing 1.0 M urea and fixing catholyte Cr(VI) at 3.0 mM in 0.5 M H₂SO₄, with a constant load of 985 Ω.).

Figure 8 | Variation of cell voltage with time under different initial Cr(VI) concentrations in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and fixing the anolyte urea at 1.0 M in 1.0 M KOH, with a constant load of 1 kΩ.
after 48 h. Remarkably, the efficiencies were above 98% for all systems, and the Cr(VI) removal efficiency of Cr(VI) was 96.7% for 1.34 mM Cr(VI) after 12 h. Furthermore, the Cr(VI) removal efficiencies were further evaluated using the different systems, which is presented in Table 4. The removal efficiency of Cr(VI) was 96.7% for 1.34 mM Cr(VI) after 12 h, 98.6% for 2.68 mM Cr(VI) after 18 h, and 98.6% for 5.35 mM Cr(VI) after 48 h. Remarkably, the efficiencies were above 98% for all systems after 48 h when the initial Cr(VI) was less than 5.35 mM.

Table 4 | Cr(VI) removal efficiency and electrical parameters under different electrolytes

| System | C0-Cr(VI) mM | OCP(V) | Cr(VI) removal efficiency | Total generation electricity (C) | Theoretical generation electricity (Q) | Cathodic efficiency (%) |
|--------|--------------|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Urea + PBS-Cr(VI) | 2.68 | 1.19 | 96.5% | - | - | - |
| Urea + KOH-Cr(VI) | 1.34 | 1.56 | 96.7% | 8.42 | 3.88 | 46.1 |
| Urea + KOH-Cr(VI) | 2.68 | 1.59 | 98.6% | 11.3 | 7.76 | 68.6 |
| Urea + KOH-Cr(VI) | 5.35 | 1.59 | 98.6% | 20.5 | 15.3 | 74.6 |

†1 = 12 h, †2 = 18 h, †3 = 48 h.

Discussion

This dynamic leaching study indicated that the main factors influencing the release of Cr(VI) are flow rate, eluting solution, and properties of the sample. Acidity should be controlled because too much H⁺ could lead to a vigorous reaction in the chromium-slag and a decreased amount of Cr(VI) released from the slag. The smaller particle size and the larger flow were beneficial for the leaching rate and release of Cr(VI). Based on the parameters gained from the leaching experiments, the total amount of Cr(VI) released from the slag was approximately 15–17 mg within 2–4 hours at a flow rate of 9.5 mL/h, which represented 42–48% of the total amount in the chromium-slag. It could be predicted that most Cr(VI) could be released from chromium-slags by the elution of natural rainwater when the annual rainfall is adequate. This study suggested that Cr(VI) contamination in the surrounding environment cannot be neglected if the chromium-slag was simply deposited. Considering the huge amount of chromium-slag produced by related industries, the device could be easily enlarged and would be an alternative and effective technique for simple and convenient treatment.

The reduction of Cr(VI) released from the contaminated slag via a urea-supported Cr(VI) fuel cell device demonstrates that cogeneration of electricity and chromium-slag remediation could be achieved efficiently at the same time. Nevertheless, this study displayed that most of the Cr(VI) reduction was due to the reaction that mainly produces electricity, while the remaining amount was used for the self oxidation-reduction reaction in the cathode cell due to the complicated interface in the leakage. However, the latter was regarded as an invalid reaction for the fuel cell, as it decreased the cell performance. Compared to the pure K₂Cr₂O₇ solution with the varied OCP in the range of 1.56–1.59 V, the OCP in the leakage solution demonstrated the same range under the different amounts of initial leakage Cr(VI) concentrations. Satisfactory results for Cr(VI) reduction in the leakage were obtained. The removal percent of Cr(VI) was more than 96% after 18 h with the concentration of leakage Cr(VI) at 2.69 mM.

Methods

Leaching experiment of chromium-slag. Dried chromium-slag was put into a glass column (4 glass columns were used with diameters of 2 cm, 4 cm, 6 cm or 8 cm) and eluted by simulated acid rain at a leaching rate (Figure 1). Then, the leakage was collected hourly from the column bottom. The Cr(VI) concentrations in the leakage were determined by using a colorimetric 1,5-diphenylcarbazide method for absorption at 540 nm, and the pH value of the leakage was measured simultaneously. The release percentage of Cr(VI) was calculated according to the following formula (Equation 3):

$$\eta_1 (\%) = \frac{m_1}{(m_0 \times \eta_2)} \times 100$$

where \(\eta_1\) is the release percentage of Cr(VI) from the chromium-slag, \(m_1\) is the accumulative content of Cr(VI) in the leakage, \(m_0\) is the amount of chromium-slag, and \(\eta_2\) is the mass ratio of Cr(VI) in the chromium-slag.

Preparation of the electrode. For the preparation of the Ni powder, NiSO₄ (5.0 mL, 0.4 M) and sodium citrate (10.0 mL, 0.1 M) were added to 250 mL deionized water in a three-neck flask. The solution was treated by aeration of nitrogen gas for 15 min. Then, fresh NaBH₄ solution (4 wt%) was added dropwise into the mixed aqueous solution while under violent stirring for 3 h. The final products were collected by filtration and washed several times with deionized water and alcohol. The powder was dried at 45°C for 12 h in a vacuum oven. The Ni powder (100 mg), carbon powder (100 mg, Vulcan XC-72R), deionized water (600 mL), 5% NaCl solution (1.2 mL), and isopropyl alcohol (600 mL) were added into the bottle sequentially. Then, the mixture was in ultrasonic dispersion for 30 min until at last a homogeneous solution was obtained, which would be layered on a carbon cloth (Hesen, Shanghai, China) with an effective geometric area of 10 cm². The special carbon cloth was used as the anode in the urea-KOH solution after it was dried at room temperature for 24 h. The same carbon cloth with the same area was treated as the cathode.

Reduction of Cr(VI) in the leakage via the fuel cell device. A Ni/C catalyst-coated carbon cloth with a loading of 10 mg/cm² was used as the anode, while a preprocessed carbon fiber cloth was employed as the cathode. The saturated KNO₃ solution was the cathode. The dichromate solution with the 0.5 M H⁺ solution was fed as the oxidant into the cathode chamber. A 1.0 M urea in 1.0 M KOH solution was used as the fuel in the anode chamber. All experiments were carried out in a water bath at 25°C. A data acquisition system (PSO-813, IPCDAS) was employed to record cell potentials (U) every minute. The electrical parameters, including the cathodic efficiency, theoretical electricity generation by Cr(VI) reduction, and total generated electricity were calculated on the basis of the recorded cell potential (U) and resistance via the formula in the literature.

The electric quantity (Q) generated during the experiment was calculated by integration of the discharging curve. The theoretical electric quantity at the cathode was calculated according to Equation 1. At the cathode, 50 μL of the chromium solution was removed to determine the remaining Cr(VI) concentration at a predetermined time interval.
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