SCD2-mediated cooperative activation of IRF3-IRF9 regulatory circuit controls type I interferon transcriptome in CD4+ T cells
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Type I interferons (type I-IFN) are critical for the host defense to viral infection, and at the same time, the dysregulation of type I-IFN responses leads to autoinflammation or autoimmunity. Recently, we reported that the decrease in monounsaturated fatty acid caused by the genetic deletion of Scd2 is essential for the activation of type I-IFN signaling in CD4+ Th1 cells. Although interferon regulatory factor (IRF) is a family of homologous proteins that control the transcription of type I-IFN and interferon stimulated genes (ISGs), the member of the IRF family that is responsible for the type I-IFN responses induced by targeting of SCD2 remains unclear. Here, we report that the deletion of Scd2 triggered IRF3 activation for type I-IFN production, resulting in the nuclear translocation of IRF9 to induce ISG transcriptome in Th1 cells. These data led us to hypothesize that IRF9 plays an essential role in the transcriptional regulation of ISGs in Scd2-deleted (sgScd2) Th1 cells. By employing ChIP-seq analyses, we found a substantial percentage of the IRF9 target genes were shared by sgScd2 and IFNβ-treated Th1 cells. Importantly, our detailed analyses identify a unique feature of IRF9 binding in sgScd2 Th1 cells that were not observed in IFNβ-treated Th1 cells. In addition, our combined analyses of transcriptome and IRF9 ChIP-seq revealed that the autoimmunity related genes, which increase in patient with SLE, were selectively increased in sgScd2 Th1 cells. Thus, our findings provide novel mechanistic insights into the process of fatty acid metabolism that is essential for the type I-IFN response and the activation of the IRF family in CD4+ T cells.
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Introduction

While type I Interferon (type I-IFN) was initially discovered as a humoral factor with an important role in antiviral responses, dysregulated type I-IFN signaling has also been implicated in a number of inflammatory diseases including the regulation of progression in autoimmune diseases (1, 2). Interferon regulatory factors (IRF), which consist of nine members in mammals, are well-known transcription factors that regulate type I-IFN production and signal transduction (3, 4). IRFs play pivotal roles in the regulation of both innate and adaptive immune responses (3, 4). In particular, IRFs have been shown to be involved in the activation and differentiation of distinct immune cell populations (3, 4). Depending on which types of nucleic acid sensing pathway are triggered, different types of IRF family members are activated to control type I-IFN signaling (3, 4). STING and MAVS, which recognize intracellular DNA or RNA, respectively, activate IRF3 and IRF7 to induce the production of type I-IFN (2, 5). Also, a Toll like receptor-dependent activation of MyD88 induces the production of type I-IFN via IRF5 and IRF7 (6). In the context of signal transduction, IRF9 forms a complex called interferon stimulated gene factor 3 (ISGF3) with STAT1 and STAT2 to regulate the expression of interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) (3, 4, 7). The overproduction of type I-IFN and aberrant induction of ISGs are considered to be a major contributor to the pathology of autoimmune disease.

Although type I-IFN is mainly produced by innate immune cells, including plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) and macrophages, CD4+ T cells can also produce type I-IFN by recognizing cytosolic nucleic acids of foreign and autologous origin (8, 9). It has been reported that cGAMP, a potent STING ligand, activates IRF3 and IRF7 in CD4+ T cells, resulting in the type I-IFN mediated inhibition of cGAMP-induced growth inhibition (8). CD4+ T cells infected with HIV or HSV also produce type I-IFN to induce anti-viral responses (9). Due to the ubiquitous expression of the type I-IFN receptor (IFNAR), most cells can receive type I-IFN signaling and increase the expression levels of ISGs. In addition to the upregulation of ISGs, type I-IFN has been shown to influence the ability of CD4+ T cells to construct follicular helper T cells, which help B cells produce antibodies upon pathogen encounters. It has also been reported that type I-IFN regulates the cytokine production of CD4+ T cells in experimental autoimmune uveitis, a pathological model of autoimmune disease, and contributes to the regulation of the disease (10).

Intracellular metabolism has been reported to contribute to the regulation of T cell proliferation, activation, and memory generation. In naïve CD8+ T cells, a metabolic switch from oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) to glycolysis is required for effector differentiation upon antigen entry (11). Especially, cell intrinsic lipolysis is essential for the generation and maintenance of memory CD8+ T cells (12). CD4+ T cells also require lipid metabolism for their proliferation, activation, and memory generation (13, 14). The homeostasis of regulatory T cells in lung tissue relies on the metabolism of Acsbg1-regulated acyl-coenzyme A (CoA) (15). Our recent study also indicates that ACC1, a rate limiting enzyme of fatty acid biosynthesis, is an essential metabolic regulator of CD4+ T cell-mediated allergic inflammation in the lung and skin (16).

It has been suggested that the lipid metabolism and type I-IFN responses are mutually connected. Recent studies have reported that cellular lipid metabolism regulates type I-IFN responses (17). Bensinger et al. showed that altering the balance between cholesterol biosynthesis and scavenging, rather than reducing the endogenous lipid pool size, is essential for achieving type I-IFN responses in macrophages (17). We also previously found that genetic deletion or pharmacological inhibition of acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC1), which is rate limiting enzyme of fatty acid biosynthesis, substantially increases the basal expression of ISGs via the spontaneous production of type I-IFN (18). Our data further indicated that selective decrease in monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA) biosynthesis is crucial for the induction of type I-IFN response in CD4+ T cells (18). Indeed, CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing of the stearoyl-CoA desaturase 2 (Scd2), which is responsible for MUFA biosynthesis, dramatically increased the expression of ISGs in CD4+ T cells (18). On the other hand, type I-IFN signaling changes the fatty acid oxidation, OXPHOS and expression levels of genes related to lipid metabolism in pDCs and macrophages (19). Although the relationships between lipid metabolism and the type I-IFN response have been investigated, the types of IRF family that are important for the lipid metabolism-mediated type I-IFN response remain unclear.

In the present study, using a combination of global RNA sequencing and ChIP-sequencing technologies, we investigated the critical IRF family members for the type I-IFN response induced by the suppression of the MUFA biosynthesis pathway. Enhanced type I-IFN signaling in Scd2 KO Th1 cells (hereafter referred to as sgScd2 Th1 cells) highly depend on IRF3 and IRF9 activation, but not IRF7. The genetic deletion of Scd2 caused
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nuclear translocation of IRF3 and IRF9 to induce type I-IFN production and ISG transcription, respectively. Using a ChIP-seq analysis, we also revealed genome wide binding profiles of IRF9 in sgScd2 Th1 cells and found that IRF9 bound to the regulatory region of ISGs, the expression levels of which are characteristically elevated in patients with autoimmune diseases. Our results clarified the mechanistic insight into the regulatory relationship between lipid metabolism and the IRF family in the type I IFN response.

Material and methods

Mice

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from CLEA Japan. All mice were used at 6–8 weeks old and were maintained under specific-pathogen-free conditions. Almost equal number of male and female animal was used for this study. Animal care was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of KAZUSA DNA research institute, Japan.

Cell preparation

Splenic naïve CD4+ T cells were obtained by the negative selection using the Mojo Sort Mouse CD4 T Cell Isolation Kit (Biolegend #480006) and positive selection using CD62L MicroBeads, mouse (Miltenyi Biotec #130-049-701). Naïve CD4+ T cells were plated onto 24-well tissue culture plates (Costar #3526) pre-coated with 1 µg/ml Anti-mouse TCRβ antibody (clone H57-597, Biolegend #109255, Lot#B312356) with 1 µg/ml anti-CD28 antibody (clone 37.51, BioLegend #102116, Lot#B229335) were dissolved in PBS supplemented with 3% BSA and treated with 100U/ml. IFNAR neutralizing antibody (clone MAR1-5A3, Biolegend #504122, Lot#B336348). Recombinant mouse IFNβ (Biolegend #581302, Lot#B229335) were dissolved in PBS supplemented with 3% BSA and treated with 100U/ml. IFNAR neutralizing antibody (clone MAR1-5A3, Biolegend #127322, Lot#B163670) was treated with 10 µg/ml.

Cas9 mediated-genome-editing

The short guide RNA (sgRNA) was designed using the online tool provided by CHOPCHOP 3.0.0 (http://chopchop.cshl.edu) (20). sgRNA were purchased from FASMAC. Freshly isolated naïve CD4+ T cells were activated with plate bound anti-CD3 and CD28 antibodies for 24 hours as described in section of Cell Preparation. 24h after T cell activation, these cells were electroporated with a Neon transfection kit and device (Thermo Fisher Scientific #MPK1025). Briefly, Cas9 proteins (Takara #632641) were prepared immediately before experiments by incubating 1 µg Cas9 with 0.3 µg sgRNA (FASMAC #GE-001) in transfection buffer at room temperature for 10 min. Then, mixture of Cas9 and sgRNA was added with 1 µl of Cas9 electroporation enhancer (Integrated DNA Technologies #1075916). The electroporation was carried out following parameter (1800 Volts of pulse voltage, 10 ms of pulse width, and 3 pulses). 24 hours after the electroporation, cells were cultured for 3 days without TCR-stimulation and used as experimental samples.

Primer sequences for Cas9 mediated-genome-editing

Control-targeting: 5’-CGTATTACTGATATTGGTGGG-3’ sgScd2: 5’-AACAGTTGAGATCCTGACACAGG-3’ sgIrj3: 5’-GAAAGGCGGTCAGGTCGACACGG-3’ sgIrj7: 5’-TCAGCAGGCGCAATGAGAGGG-3’ sgIrj9: 5’-TACGCTGACCGAAAGCTGGGG-3’

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated with the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen #15596-018). cDNA was synthesized with an oligo (dT) primer and Superscript II RT (Invitrogen #18064-014). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using TB Green Real Time PCR kit (Takara #RR820A). Primers were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Gene expression was normalized with the Hprt mRNA signal or the 18S ribosomal RNA signal.

Primer sequences for real-time PCR

18S_FW: 5’-AACATCAGTTATGGTGCTTTTGTC-3’ 18S_RV: 5’-GCTCTGAGATAACAGTATGTC-3’ Hprt_FW: 5’-TCTCTCTGAGCAGGAACTCA-3’ Hprt_RV: 5’-CTCTGGTTGGAAGCCGGAACA-3’ F830016B08Rik_FW: 5’-AGCCGAGGACCTGTAAGAAG-3’ F830016B08Rik_RV: 5’-AGGAGCTGACCCAATGTTGATG-3’ Gbp7_FW: 5’-ATTGGGCTGACAGCTGTGTA-3’ Gbp7_RV: 5’-ACTTGGTGTGCGGAGATGGA-3’ Herc6_FW: 5’-TCTGGCATCTTAAAACCTGATG-3’ Herc6_RV: 5’-TGAAAACAACATATCAGGAGTTC-3’ If203_FW: 5’-AGTCTCCTCGAGGAAGACAGC-3’ If203_RV: 5’-TTGT CTTCACTCACTCAGGC-3’ If1t3b_FW: 5’-TTCCAGCAGCAGCAGAAC-3’ If1t3b_RV: 5’-
TCAGCTTGCCCTAAGCAGCTC-3′ Igmg2_FW: 5′-GAAGGTGAGGCATCTCAGGGAGAAAAC-3′ Igmg2_RV: 5′-TGTTGCTGAAAGGAGGACAA-3′ 1rf7_FW: 5′-CTCAGCACCTTTCTTCCGAGA-3′ 1rf7_RV: 5′-TTGTA GTTGAGGACCTGTTGCTC-3′ Oas2_FW: 5′-AGTGAACATGTGGGAGAATGTC-3′ Oas2_RV: 5′-CTTCCCGGCTGTCTGATTAC-3′ Oasl FW: 5′-GGCCAACCAGCTGCTGAAAA-3′ Oasl RV: 5′- TGGATATCGGGTGCTCTCTT-3′ Samhd1_FW: 5′-CAA GCGGTCAGAGATAAATAA-3′ Samhd1_RV: 5′- TGAACCTGGTCTGCAAATTTCC-3′ Tlr7_FW: 5′- TGGCTCCTTCGATCAGGAGA-3′ Tlr7_RV: 5′- ATGTCTCTTGTGCCCCAAA-3′ Tmem184b_FW: 5′- CTCCTAGTCAGGCTTTAGA-3′ Tmem184b_RV: 5′- CCCCAGCTGATGTGGC-3′ Trif21_FW: 5′-GGG AAAGTTGGCCGAGAA-3′ Trif21_RV: 5′- ACCAGCAATTCCCTCTTCCA-3′ Trim26_FW: 5′- CGCACTACACAGGACACCA-3′ Trim26_RV: 5′- TGTAGGATCCACTGCGCCA-3′

**Primer sequences for ChIP qRT-PCR**

Ift1 (Promoter)_FW: 5′-TGCCGAGGATGTCGCTACTCT-3′ Ift1 (Promoter)_RV: 5′-GAAGGTGAGGCATCTCAGGGAGAAAAC-3′ 1rf7 (Promoter)_FW: 5′-TGTTGCTGAAAGGAGGACAA-3′ 1rf7 (Promoter)_RV: 5′-TGGATATCGGGTGCTCTCTT-3′ Il5 (Promoter)_FW: 5′-AGTCTAGCTACCAGCCAATA-3′ Il5 (Promoter)_RV: 5′-AGCAAGGTGAGTCTGACATC-3′ Va (Promoter)_FW: 5′-TCCAGCTATGCTGAGCTGCA-3′ Va (Promoter)_RV: 5′-CATCTCCCACAACCCCAAAGATATA-3′

**FACS analysis**

Dead cells were first stained with Fixable Viability Dye eFluor 780 (1:1000, eBioscience #65-0865-14) for 10 min. For pSTAT1, pIRF7 and IRF7 staining, sample preparation was conducted with Lyse/Fix buffer for 10 min at 37°C (BD Biosciences #558049) and Perm buffer III (BD Biosciences #558050) for 30 min on ice according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were stained with pSTAT1-PE (1:50, Clone 4a, BD Biosciences #562069), anti-pIRF7 Alexa647 (1:200, Clone K47-61, BD Biosciences #558630) and anti-IRF7 PE (1:200, Clone MNGPKL, eBioscience #12-5829-82) for 45 min in the dark. Flow cytometric data were analyzed after removal of dead cells and doublets using Flowjo software (version 10.4).

**Immunoblotting**

Immunoblotting was performed as described previously (21). Briefly, cytoplasmic extracts and nuclear extracts were prepared using NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific #78833) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific #87785) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific #78420). Protein concentrations were determined using a Bradford protein assay (BIORAD #5000006JA) and equal amount of sample protein was separated by SDS-PAGE and then transferred onto a PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare #10600023). After blocking with protein-free blocking buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #37584), the membranes were incubated with the appropriate antibody concentration. HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (GE Healthcare #NA934) and HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:2000, GE Healthcare #NA931) were used as the secondary antibodies. The antibodies used for the immunoblot analysis were anti-S će2D2 (1:1000, sc-518034), anti-phosphoIRF3(Ser396) (1:2000, CST#4947), anti-IRF3 (1:2000, Biolegend#655701), anti-IRF9 (1:2000, CST#28845), anti-STAT1 (1:2000, CST#14995), anti-phosphoSTING (Ser365) (1:2000, CST#29715), anti-STING (1:2000, CST#136475), anti-phosphoTB1K (S172) (1:2000, CST#58435), anti–TBK1 (1:2000, CST#35048) and anti-Tubulin (1:2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific#14-4502-82).

**3’mRNA-seq library preparation**

TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific #15596-018) was used for the extraction of total cellular RNA and Quantus Fluorometer (Promega #E6150) was used for determining of RNA concentrations. Total 500 ng of RNA was used for the 3’mRNA library preparation with QuantSeq 3’ mRNA-Seq Library Prep Kit FWD (LEXOGEN #015.384) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After the PCR step, size distribution and yield of the library was determined by the D1000 high sensitivity tape station (Agilent #5067-5582) or Agilent High Sensitivity DNA kit on the bioanalyzer (Agilent #5067-5583). The pooled libraries were loaded on the Illumina Nextseq500 platform and analyzed by 75bp single read.

**Analysis of RNA-seq data**

Adaptor sequences were trimmed from the raw RNA-seq reads with fastp (v 0.23.1) (22). Trimmed reads of each sample were mapped to the reference mouse genome mm10 by using STAR (v 2.3.1) (23) and normalized to 1 million reads in the original library. Genes with an average of 10 or more reads in either group were subjected for further analysis. 1.5-fold changed genes was defined as differentially expressed genes. PCA analysis and heatmap were depicted with R software (https://cran.r-project.org/) (v 3.6.0).
ChIP qRT-PCR

1 × 10^7 cells were fixed in 1% formaldehyde at 25°C for 10 min, followed by the addition of 1.25 M glycine. Cells were sedimented, washed, and lysed with lysis buffer (50mM HEPES (pH7.9), 140mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA (pH8.0), 10% Glycerol, 0.5% NP-40, 0.25% TritonX-100, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mg/ml aprotinin, and 1 mg/ml leupeptin). The lysates were sonicated to reduce the DNA lengths to between 200 and 1,000 bp using Covaris (M8S Instruments Inc. #M220). The soluble fraction was diluted in ChIP dilution buffer and incubated with Ab conjugated with Dynabeads proteins A and G (VERITAS #DB10015) overnight at 4°C. The immune complexes were then captured using a magnet and washed with low-salt, high-salt, LiCl, and Tris-EDTA wash buffer. Enriched chromatin fragments were eluted with elution buffer (0.1 M NaHCO3 containing 1% SDS). The eluted material was incubated at 65°C for 6 h to reverse the formaldehyde cross-links and treated with RNase A (10 mg/ml) and proteinase K (40 mg/ml). DNA was extracted with a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen #28104). The total input DNA (cellular DNA without immunoprecipitation) was purified in parallel. The antibody used in the ChIP assay was as follows: anti-IRF9 (CST#28845).

ChIP-seq library preparation

ChIP was performed as described in “ChIP qRT-PCR”. Library preparation was conducted with NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs #E7645L) for Illumina according to manufactured protocol. After PCR step, size distribution and yield of the library was determined by Agilent High Sensitivity DNA kit on the bioanalyzer (Agilent #5067-5583). qRT-PCR was conducted for determining of library concentration using GenNext NGS Library Quanti cation Kit (TOYOBO #NLQ-101). The pooled libraries were loaded on the Illumina Nextseq500 platform and analyzed by 75bp single read.

Analysis of ChIP-seq data

Adaptor sequences were trimmed from the raw ChIP-seq reads with fastp (v 0.23.1). Trimmed reads of each sample were mapped to the reference mouse genome mm10 by using Bowtie2 (v 0.12.8) (24) and peak call was used with MACS2 (v 2.1.2) (25). Generated file was used for depicting heatmap and PCA analysis with DESeq2 (v 3.15) (26). BED files recording ChIP-seq signals were converted to BiGWig files using deepTools (v 3.5.0) (27) and normalized to reads per genome coverage. The integrative Genomic Viewers software program (v 2.4.1) (28) was used for visualization of BiGWig files. HOMER tag directories, which were created by the HOMER platform (v 4.10) (29) from the aligned Sequence Alignment/Map (SAM) formats using SAMtools (v 1.15.1) (30). When ChIP peaks were annotated to the promoter of the closest gene, HOMER annotatePeaks.pl (mm10 genome build) was used. The tag count density was normalized as tags per 10 million reads in the original library.

Statistics and reproducibility

Data are expressed as mean ± SD. The data were analyzed with the Graphpad Prism software program (version 7). Differences were assessed using unpaired two-tailed student t tests or one-way anova followed by tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Differences with P values of <0.05 were considered to be significant. No data were excluded from the analysis of experiments. Mice were commercially sourced and randomized into experimental groups upon arrival, and all animals within a single experiment were processed at the same time. For RNA-sequencing analysis and ChIP-sequencing analysis, the investigator was blinded. Data display similar variance between groups and are normally distributed where parametric tests are used.

Results

Genetic deletion of SCD2 triggered cooperative activation of IRF3 and IFR9 to induce ISGs in T cells

Previously we reported that the suppression of monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA) biosynthesis increased the expression levels of ISGs in CD4+ T cells (18). However, it is still unclear which types of IRF family are crucial for the MUFA-mediated control of ISGs in T cells. Among the IRF family members, IRF3, 7 and 9 have been well studied for the MUFA-mediated control of ISGs in T cells. Among the IRF members, IRF3, 7 and 9 have been well studied for the regulation of interferon production in innate immune cells; however, the role of these factors remains poorly understood in T cells (34). Therefore, we first performed CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing of Irf3, Irf7 or Irf9 in sgScd2 T cells, and analyzed the global gene expression profiles of each group using RNA-sequence analyses. Consistent with our previous data, the genetic deletion of Scd2 induced the expression of ISGs in Th1 cells (Figure 1A). A gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) showed statistically significant enrichment of type I-IFN inducible genes in sgScd2 Th1 cells (Figure 1B, C and Supplementary Figure 1A). To evaluate the role of Irf3, Irf7 or Irf9 in the regulation of ISGs in sgScd2 Th1 cells, we next performed simultaneous knock out of Scd2 and those of IRF
genes (Supplementary Figure 1B), RNA-seq showed that the gene expression profile of sgScd2, sgScd2/sgIrf3, sgScd2/sgIrf7 or sgScd2/sgIrf9 Th1 cells was largely altered in comparison to control Th1 cells (Supplementary Figure 1B). Among them, the double knockout of Scd2 with Irf7 very mildly affected the gene expression profiles and showed a relatively closer pattern to Scd2 single-deficient Th1 cells. The Venn diagram revealed that overlapped genes between sgScd2 Th1 cells or sgScd2/sgIrf7 Th1 cells were involved in type I-IFN inducible genes which were found by GSEA (Figure 1D). A hierarchical clustering heatmap and a principal component analysis (PCA) revealed that the ISG expression profiles of sgScd2/sgIrf3 or sgScd2/sgIrf9 Th1 cells were very close to the phenotype of control Th1 cells (Figure 1E and Supplementary Figure 1C). We also found that sgScd2/sgIrf3 and sgScd2/sgIrf9 Th1 cells failed to increase the expression of ISGs (Figures 1F, G). On the other hand, sgScd2/sgIrf7 Th1 cells still possess the ability to induce the expression of ISGs (Figure 1H). Importantly, the GSEA also revealed the significantly increased transcription of genes related to type I-IFN inducible pathways in sgScd2/sgIrf7 Th1 cells (Figure 1I, sgScd2/sgIrf3: p<0.05, sgScd2/sgIrf9: p<0.05). Consistent with the results of the GSEA, a gene ontology analysis also showed that the accumulation of ISGs is no longer observed in sgScd2/ Irf3 or sgScd2/Irf9 Th1 cells (Supplementary Figures 1D–G). Taken together, these data indicate that IRF3 and Irf9, but not Irf7, are responsible for the induction of ISGs when the Scd2-MUFA metabolism is targeted in Th1 cells.

Genetic deletion of Scd2 induced nuclear translocation of IRF3 and Irf9 to control the type I-IFN signaling pathway

Next, we performed a molecular analysis to examine the functional requirements of IRF3 and Irf9 to control type I-IFN signaling in sgScd2 Th1 cells. The genetic deletion of Scd2 induced phosphorylation of IRF3, which is a marker of activated IRF3 (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure 2A). Furthermore, we also confirmed the moderate translocation of Irf9 into the nuclei in sgScd2 Th1 cells compared with phosphorylation of IRF3 (Figure 2B and Supplementary Figure 2B). Although the genetic deletion of Scd2 increased the IRF7 mRNA and protein expression levels (Figures 2C, D and Supplementary Figure 2C), the level of IRF7 phosphorylation was unchanged between control and sgScd2 Th1 cells (Supplementary Figure 2D). Previously, we reported that the activation of the STING-TBK1 axis is needed to induce the expression of ISGs by the genetic deletion of Scd2 in Th1 cells (18). Consistent with this observation, we found that the double knockout of Scd2 and Tmem173, which encodes STING, failed to activate IRF3 and Irf9 (Figure 2E and Supplementary Figures 2E). Even when Irf3 or Irf9 were deleted in sgScd2 Th1 cells, higher levels of phospho-STING and phospho-TBK1 were still detected (Figures 2F, G and Supplementary Figures 2F, G). These data indicate that IRF3 and Irf9 can be activated in the downstream molecules of the STING pathway in sgScd2 Th1 cells. Furthermore, the spontaneous production of IFNγ is heavily dependent on IRF3 in sgScd2 Th1 cells (Figure 2H). We also found that sgScd2/sgIrf3 Th1 cells failed to induce the nuclear translocation of IRF9 (Figure 2I and Supplementary Figure 2H). In contrast, the level of phospho-IRF3 was not affected in sgScd2/sgIrf9 Th1 cells (Figure 2J and Supplementary Figure 2I). Blockade of the type I-IFN receptor suppressed the nuclear translocation of Irf9, indicating that extrinsic type I-IFN was required to induce Irf9 to translocate into nuclear fraction (Figure 2K and Supplementary Figure 2I). Taken together, these data indicated that the deletion of Scd2 triggered IRF3 activation for type I IFN production, resulting in the nuclear translocation of Irf9 to induce the ISG transcriptome.

Genome-wide comparison of Irf9 occupancy between Scd2-deleted and IFNβ-treated Th1 cells

Our data indicated that the genetic deletion of Scd2 enhanced the spontaneous production of type I-IFN, resulting in tonic type I-IFN stimulation and the nuclear translocation of Irf9. These data led us to hypothesize that Irf9 plays an essential role in the transcriptional regulation of ISGs in sgScd2 Th1 cells. To address this point, we assessed the genome-wide binding pattern of Irf9 using Scd2-deleted or type I-IFN stimulated Th1 cells by chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled with high-throughput DNA sequencing (ChIP-seq). To more accurately evaluate the Irf9 binding profiles, we prepared Irf9-deficient Th1 cells as a negative control. In order to identify target genes, we first conducted peak calls with MACS2 (25). A ChIP qRT-PCR analysis also confirmed that the binding of Irf9 to promoter regions of ISGs, including Ifit1 or Irf7, was repeatedly detectable in type I-IFN treated or sgScd2 Th1 cells (Supplementary Figures 3A, B). Importantly, only lower signal intensity was observed in negative control regions, including Il5 or Bcr gene (Vα) regions (Supplementary Figures 3C, D). We identified 38, 141, 13 and 185 peaks for Irf9 in mock-control, Scd2-deleted, non-treated and IFNβ-treated Th1 cells respectively (Supplementary Figures 3E, F). To enumerate Irf9-bound genes, genes for which at least one significant peak (4-fold increase in signal intensity in comparison to input DNA) had been detected in the gene locus were selected (hereafter referred to as Irf9-bound genes). Each gene locus was defined as a region from 3 kb upstream of the transcriptional start site to -5 kb downstream of the transcriptional end site. We used a PCA and signal heat map to visualize the binding profiles of Irf9 in each cell population (Figures 3A, B). PCA revealed that a cluster of sgScd2 Th1 cells...
was located close to that of IFNβ-treated Th1 cells and was distinct from Mock and sgScd2/sgIrf9 Th1 cells (Figure 3A). Moreover, a heat map analysis showed a strong signal intensity in the groups of IFNβ-treated or sgScd2 Th1 cells. (Figure 3B). We also found that the positioning of IRF9 binding patterns in sgScd2 Th1 cells was close to that of IFNβ-treated Th1 cells (Figure 3C).

To further confirm the motif sequences of IRF9-bound genes, HOMER tools was used to calculate the enrichment of known motifs. A known motif enrichment analysis clearly showed the high enrichment of the interferon-sensitive response element (ISRE) in both of IFNβ-treated and sgScd2 Th1 cells. The summary of relative intensity was shown. Band intensity was determined by image j. (D) The Venn diagram showed overlaps and differences between 1.5-fold increased genes in sgScd2, sgScd2/sgIrf3, sgScd2/sgIrf7 or sgScd2/sgIrf9 Th1 cells compared to control Th1 cells. (E) A heat map depicts the gene relevant to (B). (F–H) A scatter plot of gene expression by RNA-sequencing (n = 5 per genotype) compares sgScd2/sgIrf3 (F), sgScd2/sgIrf9 (G) or sgScd2/sgIrf7 (H) Th1 cells against control Th1 cells. (I) GSEA reveals the upregulation of the ISGs in sgScd2/sgIrf7 Th1 cells. Genes below the picture indicate leading edge subset. Three experiments were performed and showed similar results (C). Data represent mean ± SD (one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test for multiple comparisons, P****<0.0001).

ChIP-seq profiles revealed a unique feature of IRF9 binding in sgScd2 Th1 cells

We next analyzed ChIP-seq data in greater detail to evaluate the similarities and differences between the IRF9 binding profiles in
sgScd2 Th1 cells and IFNβ-treated Th1 cells. A considerable percentage of the IRF9 target genes, including Cd274, Ddx58, Ifi27, Ifi7, Ifi9, Mx1, Mx2, Oasl2, Rtp4, and Stat2, were shared by Scd2-deleted and IFNβ-treated Th1 cells (71.8% and 70.1%, respectively) (Figure 4A). We also observed sgScd2-unique IRF9-bound genes, including Atp8b4, Cd47, Gbp2b, Gbp7, F830016B08Rik and Oas2. Similarly, Asb13, Gbp9, Herc3, Ifi203, Ifi35b and Samhd1, were observed as IFNβ-unique genes. The deletion of Ifi9 was associated with a very weak enrichment of ChIP-seq peaks in both IFNβ-treated and sgScd2 Th1 cells, suggesting that the peaks obtained from our experiments showed IRF9-specific DNA binding regions. According to the profiles, we found that the deletion of Scd2 efficiently induced IRF9 to bind the transcription start site (TSS) to the same extent as IFNβ-treated Th1 cells (Figures 4B, C). We also confirmed that IRF9 binding was observed in the majority of ISGs, including Ifi27, Ifi7, Ifi9, Oas2, Rtp4, in both IFNβ-treated and sgScd2 Th1 cells (Figures 4D, E). To further investigate IRF9-specific DNA binding regions, we next
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Gene deletion of SCD2 resulted in the translocation of IRF3 and IRF9 from cytosolic to nuclear. (A, B) Western blot analysis of phospho-IRF3 (pIRF3), total IRF3 (A) and IRF9 (B) from control and sgScd2 Th1 cells. (C) qRT-PCR analyses of the relative expression of Irf7 from control, sgIf7, sgScd2 and sgScd2/sgIf7 Th1 cells. Relative expression (normalized to Hprt) with SD is shown. (D) Intracellular staining and flow cytometry analyzing of IRF7 in control, sgIr7, sgScd2 and sgScd2/sgIr7 Th1 cells. Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of IRF7 are shown. Summary data of three independent experiments of IRF7 expression are shown here. Each dot represents one experiment. Data are means ± SD. (n = 3 per each group biologically independent sample). (E) Western blot analysis of pIRF3, total IRF3 and IRF9 from control, sgScd2 and sgScd2/sgTmem173 Th1 cells. (F, G) Western blot analysis of pSTING, total STING (F) and pTBK1, TBK1 (G) from control, sgScd2, sgScd2/sgIr7, sgScd2/sgIr9 and sgScd2/sgIr7 and sgScd2/sgIr9 Th1 cells. (H) The amount of IFNα in the cell supernatant was measured by ELISA. Data are means ± SD. (I) Western blot analysis of IRF9 from control, sgScd2 and sgScd2/sgTmem173 Th1 cells. (J) Western blot analysis of pIRF3 and total IRF3 from control, sgScd2, sgScd2/sgIr3, sgScd2/sgIr7 and sgScd2/sgIr9 Th1 cells. (K) Western blot analysis of IRF9 from sgScd2 Th1 cells treated with 10μg/ml IFNAR neutralizing antibody. Isotype antibody was used as control. Band intensity was determined by image j and summary of three independent experiments was shown. Three technical replicates were performed with quantitative RT-PCR and relative expression (normalized to Hprt) with SD is shown (C). Three experiments were performed and showed similar results. Data represent mean ± SD (unpaired two-tailed student t tests or one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test for multiple comparisons, P*<0.05, P**<0.01, P***<0.001, P****<0.0001). ns means “not significant”.
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focused on IFNβ- and sgScd2-unique peaks. A de novo motif analysis of IFNβ- and sgScd2-unique peaks revealed significant enrichment of the ISRE consensus binding site in both groups (Figures 4F, G). We also found that IFNβ-unique peaks contained Trim26, Herc3, and Asb13, while sgScd2-unique peaks contained F830016B08Rik, Ghp26, Oas2. In particular, Trim26, Herc3, Ghp26 and Oas2 are known to be related to the progression of autoimmune disease (Figures 4H, I and Supplementary Figures 4A–D). Thus, detailed ChIP-seq profiles revealed a unique feature of IFR9 binding in sgScd2 Th1 cells that were not observed in IFNβ-treated Th1 cells.

Integrated analysis of RNA-seq and IRF9 ChIP-seq in sgScd2 Th1 cells

To globally determine the genes controlled by IRF9 activated via the modulation of MUFA metabolism, we combined transcriptional profiling with genome-wide mapping of IRF9 target genes in sgScd2 Th1 cells and IFNβ-treated Th1 cells. To this end, we first focused on the shared IRF9-bound genes and found a strong positive correlation between the levels of IRF9 binding in sgScd2 Th1 cells and IFNβ-treated Th1 cells (Figure 5A). Consistent with this result, the expression levels of shared ISGs (other than Ifi27) were increased in both IFNβ-treated and sgScd2 Th1 cells (Figure 5A). These data indicate the functional role of IRF9 in the regulation of the ISGs expression in sgScd2 Th1 cells. Next, we focused on the sgScd2-unique genes and compared the expression levels of the genes between IFNβ-treated and sgScd2 Th1 cells. Even though expression of some genes were increased by IFNβ stimulation, the levels tended to be higher in sgScd2 Th1 cells (Figure 5B). We also found that the higher expression levels of IFNβ-unique genes in IFNβ-treated Th1 cells (Figure 5C). Therefore, these data indicate that IRF9 has a selective functional role in the transcription of genes characterized by IRF9 binding in IFNβ-treated or sgScd2 Th1 cells, respectively. To examine the relationship between IRF9-bound genes and their expression levels in more detail, we

![FIGURE 3](attachment:image.jpg)

**FIGURE 3**

IRF9 ChIP-seq analysis revealed similar genome-wide binding profile of IRF9 in sgScd2 Th1 cells and IFNβ-treated Th1 cells. (A) Projections of PC1 and PC2 for normalized IRF9 ChIP-seq signal intensities of derived from non-treat, IFNβ, IFNβ-treated sgIrf9, mock, sgScd2 and sgScd2/sgIrf9 Th1 cells. Duplicates of each group are shown (ChIP-seq: PC1 77.6%, PC2 12.6%). (B) A heat map depicts the normalized IRF9 ChIP-seq signal intensities relevant to (A). (C) Pie chart showed the distribution and genomic location of transcription factor IRF9, showing the percentage for each genomic location category in IFNβ-treated and sgScd2 Th1 cells. (D) Results of the known motif enrichment analysis de novo motif analysis from IFNβ-treated and sgScd2 Th1 cells. (E, F) GREAT analysis of IRF9 ChIP-seq peaks in IFNβ-treated (D) and sgScd2 (F) Th1 cells. The enriched terms for GO Biological Process and mouse phenotype are shown.
compared the gene expression profiles of sgScd2 and sgScd2/sgIrf9 Th1 cells. The expression levels of shared genes tended to be strongly upregulated in sgScd2 Th1 cells in comparison to Scd2-unique and IFNβ-unique genes (Figure 5D). We also found that the double knockout of Scd2 and Irf9 resulted in the downregulation of IRF9-bound genes that were uniquely detected in or IFNβ-treated or sgScd2 Th1 cells. These results show the importance of IRF9 to construct ISG transcriptome in sgScd2 Th1 cells. Finally, to investigate the association between the IRF9-regulated ISGs expression in sgScd2 Th1 cells and autoimmune diseases, we focused on the expression levels of ISGs that are elevated in patients with SLE (31). A transcriptomic analysis revealed that ISGs related to the pathogenesis of SLE was upregulated in sgScd2 Th1 cells in an IRF9-dependent manner (Figure 5E). Importantly, the expression levels of IRF9-bound genes that are uniquely regulated by the genetic deletion of Scd2 or IFNβ treatment were downregulated by genes targeting Irf9 to almost the same extent as by shared genes (Figure 5E). Taken together, sgScd2 Th1 cells activate IRF9 nuclear translocation, resulting in the construction of a unique ISG transcriptome, which includes autoimmune-related ISGs.

Discussion

In the present study, we discovered that IRF3 and IRF9, but not IRF7, are important in the regulation of SCD2-mediated type I-IFN responses in T cells. Using RNA-seq analyses, the increased expression of ISGs in sgScd2 Th1 cells was found to be suppressed by the deletion of Irf3 or Irf9. Although IRF7 has been known to be an essential transcriptional factor for the
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production of type I-IFN in innate immune cells (32), the genetic deletion of Irf7 only very slightly affected the ISGs expression in sgScd2 Th1 cells. Using ChIP-seq analyses, we investigated the genome-wide binding of IRF9 in sgScd2 and IFNβ-treated Th1 cells in detail. A genome-wide comparison of IRF9 occupancy identified 120 shared genes in sgScd2 and IFNβ-treated Th1 cells. We also found 47, or 51 unique IRF9-bound genes in sgScd2 or IFNβ-treated Th1 cells, respectively. In addition, through a combination of RNA-seq and IRF9 ChIP-seq analyses, we defined the novel functional properties regarding IRF9-mediated regulation of sgScd2-unique, IFNβ-unique and shared IRF9-bound genes. Taken together, our findings provide a novel molecular cascade wherein SCD2-mediated type I-IFN responses require IRF3 to induce type I-IFN production, resulting in the activation of IRF9 to drive ISG transcription.

Many studies on the type I-IFN pathways have been conducted in innate immune cells (2,5,10). As a key, in type I-IFN signaling during viral infection, pathogen-derived nucleic acid activates STING and MAVS, which recognize intracellular DNA and RNA, respectively (5). In turn, STING and MAVS activate IRF3 and IRF7 to induce the production of type I-IFN, resulting in the stimulation of downstream anti-viral responses (2,5). Consistently, we have previously reported that higher amounts of cGAMP and cytosolic DNA originated from genomic DNA in sgScd2 Th1 cells, leading to the activation of the STING pathway (18). Secreted type I-IFN plays a critical role in inducing the nuclear translocation of IRF9 in an autocrine (and/or paracrine) fashion in macrophages (7,33). Upon the binding of type I-IFN to IFNAR, the JAK/STAT pathway is activated to induce the construction of ISGF3—which is composed of STAT1, STAT2 and IRF9—for the transactivation of ISGs (7,33). We now show that MUFA-mediated STING activation is crucial for IRF3 to produce type I-IFN and subsequent IRF9-dependent transactivation of ISGs. Importantly, a GSEA showed significant enrichment of ISGs in sgScd2 Th1 cells. These ISGs, including Rsad2, Ifit3, Isg15, Xaf1, Oas3, Isg20, Slfn1, Ifi2, Ifr9, Ift2, Ift36, Irf7 and Trim56, were also upregulated by type I-IFN stimulation in innate immune cells (34,35). Thus, consistent with the series of molecular pathways observed in innate immune cells, our present study indicated that sgScd2 Th1 cells showed the similar type I-IFN signaling cascade to innate immune cells.

An intriguing finding in the present study is that IRF3, but not IRF7 is critical for the production of type I-IFN in sgScd2 Th1 cells. The importance of IRF7 for type I-IFN production in innate immune cells has been well studied (32,36). Honda et al., reported that IRF7 is crucial for the induction of type I-IFN production in pDCs infected with VSV or HSV (32). Furthermore, the capability...
of MEFs to produce type I-IFN during VSV or HSV infection is heavily dependent on IRF7 rather than on IRF3. Moreover, some reports have shown that IRF7 is required to induce type I-IFN responses in CD4+ T cells (8, 37). For example, cGAMP stimulation of activated CD4+ T cells induces IRF3 and IRF7 activation for type I-IFN responses (8). In addition, CD4+ T cells from HIV-infected subjects display higher IRF7 phosphorylation in comparison to HIV-free subjects, suggesting the importance of IRF7 in type I-IFN responses in this context (37). It has been reported that IRF7 can be fully activated via interaction with MAVS and STING (38). The study suggests that activation of IRF7 is more stringent than the activation of IRF3 (38). Mechanistically, IRF3 only requires phosphorylation of the 2S site for activation, whereas IRF7 requires phosphorylation of both the 2S and 4S sites for activation (38). In relation to the report, we previously showed that MAVS was not involved in type I-IFN responses in sgScd2 Th1 cells (18). Furthermore, we now show that the phosphorylation level of IRF7 was unchanged between control and sgScd2 Th1 cells. Taken together, it is possible that MAVS-dependent full phosphorylation may be required for the activation of IRF7, which is the reason why IRF7 is not involved in the regulation of type I-IFN responses in sgScd2 Th1 cells.

Several studies have shown that type I-IFN responses and type I-IFN associated signaling pathways contribute to the pathogenesis of various autoimmune diseases (2, 5, 6). These studies reported that higher amounts of type I-IFN and the upregulation of ISGs are found to be overexpressed in patients with Sjogren’s syndrome (1). It has been documented that ~15% of a total cohort of 41 patients with SLE showed high amounts of cGAMP in their serum, indicating that the 2S and 4S sites for activation (38). In relation to the report, we previously showed that MAVS was not involved in type I-IFN responses in sgScd2 Th1 cells. Furthermore, we now show that the phosphorylation level of IRF7 was unchanged between control and sgScd2 Th1 cells. Taken together, it is possible that MAVS-dependent full phosphorylation may be required for the activation of IRF7, which is the reason why IRF7 is not involved in the regulation of type I-IFN responses in sgScd2 Th1 cells.

Several studies have shown that type I-IFN responses and type I-IFN associated signaling pathways contribute to the pathogenesis of various autoimmune diseases (2, 5, 6). These studies reported that higher amounts of type I-IFN and the upregulation of ISGs are detected in patients with autoimmunity disease, including rheumatoid arthritis, SLE and Sjogren’s syndrome (1). It has been documented that ~15% of a total cohort of 41 patients with SLE showed high amounts of cGAMP in their serum, indicating that the activation of the cGAS-STING pathway could be involved in the pathogenesis (2). Furthermore, the IRF9 expression level is also elevated in SLE monocytes in comparison to healthy controls, and its expression is associated with enhanced levels of ISGs at baseline in SLE patients (39). Additionally, genes related to type I-IFN activity including, ICSBP, MX1, IFITM1, IFITM2, IRF9, were found to be overexpressed in patients with Sjogren’s syndrome (40). Our combined analyses of transcriptome and IRF9 ChIP-seq revealed that the autoimmunity related genes, which increase in patients with SLE were selectively increased in sgScd2 Th1 cells. In particular, sgScd2-unique IRF9 bound genes, including Herc6, Oas2, Xaf1, were deeply related to autoimmunity and suppressed by the genetic deletion of Irf9. Since the deletion of Scd2 activates the cGAS-STING axis for IRF3-mediated type I-IFN production and consequently stimulates IRF9-mediated ISG transcription, our findings could be useful to interpret the disease progression and treatment of autoimmune disease.

In conclusion, our results provide mechanistic insight into the regulatory relationship between lipid metabolism and the IRF family members in the type I IFN response. The genetic deletion of Scd2 augmented the activation of IRF3, but not IRF7, for type I-IFN production to induce nuclear translocation of IRF9. Furthermore, using a combination of IRF9 ChIP-seq and transcriptome analyses, we found the deletion of Scd2 causes IRF9 binding to unique genes that are not detected in type I-IFN-treated Th1 cells. Thus, the findings presented herein provide evidence of the involvement of lipid metabolism in the regulation of a family of IRF to induce type I-IFN responses and increase the expression of ISGs.
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