Introduction

Femur is the longest and the strongest bone in our body contributing to hip and knee joints. The neck of the femur is approximately 5 cm long, and connects the head to the body with an average angle of 127º, that is called as the inclination angle (IA). IA is an important determinant of vulnerability of an individual to osteoarthritis and femoral neck fractures and pathological conditions such as coxa vara and valga. These significant pathological conditions and injuries among the elderly mostly require expensive hip replacement surgery. It was reported that the deviation of AA from normal degree might cause coxa vara or valga resulting in lateral growth disorder of the proximal femoral epiphysis.

These angles in the proximal part of the femur are important to detect pathological conditions in orthopedics and planning surgical interventions. For this reason, understanding whether these angles of the femur change with age or gender and determining normal range in healthy adults will contribute to explain pathological conditions more accurately and will help planning the surgical approaches. Although the age and gender differences of IA is relatively well-known, there are few studies on AA with suggestion of conducting further research on showing the age and gender differences in this respect. For that reason, we aimed to the evalu-
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ate age and gender changes in IA and AA in healthy individuals, and thus, help the physicians to take more accurate measures while evaluating and managing the follow up of a patient undergoing total hip arthroplasty, reconstructive surgery or planning physical therapy.

Materials and Methods

Pelvic anterior-posterior (AP) radiography of 208 patients (103 males, 105 females, mean age: 52.32±16.89 years) who admitted to Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Bolu Abant Izzet Baysal University Faculty of Medicine with low back pain or minor trauma were investigated retrospectively. Those who had any pathologies that would affect the angular parameters of the proximal femur in pelvis AP radiography and those with inflammatory arthritis, coxarthrosis, lower extremity fractures, Perthes Disease, avascular necrosis, traumas, previous joint surgeries, congenital skeletal system hematologic diseases, etc. and the radiographies that were not taken in appropriate position were not included. For inclusion to the study, the minimum age was set as 21 and maximum as 80 years old. Accordingly, the radiographies were divided into 3 groups according to the age of the patients as; Group 1: aged 21–40 years old (30 males, 30 females), Group 2: aged 41–60 years old (30 males, 31 females), and Group 3: aged >61 years old (45 males, 42 females) (Table 1).

The IA and AA was evaluated bilaterally in pelvic AP radiographies of patients (Figure 1). A standard protocol with patellae facing vertically (when allowed by internal rotation of the hip) was used for χ-ray technique digital AP view χ-rays. The pubic symphysis was the source of the focus of χ-ray. The distance between the source and the patient was 900–1200 mm to include minimum 8 inches proximal femur in all images.[9] All parameters were measured by two experienced researchers with three repetitions, and the averages were taken.

Mean and standard deviations were given in numerical variables for descriptive statistics of the measurements, and number and percentage values were given in categorical variables. Normality assumption was examined with the Kolmogorov Smirnov Test and graphic methods. Whether there were differences between two independent groups was examined with the significance test between two mean values, and whether there were differences between the two dependent groups was examined with the t-test in dependent groups. Whether there were differences between gender and age groups was examined with two-way variance analysis. When differences were detected, the group/groups that differed were examined by using Bonferroni correction. Pearson correlation coefficient was used for the relations between numerical variables. Linear regression analysis was used to make corrections according to age. Intraclass correlation coefficient was employed to evaluate parallel measurement compliance and to examine the reliability coefficients of in-person and inter-person compliances. Analyses were made by using IBM SPSS (SPSS Version 21, Armonk; NY, USA). The significance level was taken as p<0.05.

Results

According to the inclusion criteria a total of 208 radiographies were evaluated bilaterally (Table 1). The mean IA of the femur on the right-side was 132.88±7.08º and on the left 130.27±7.81º. The mean AA of the femur on the right-side was 42.07±7.04º and on the left 41.43±7.03º (Table 2) The difference between the right and left sides was not statistically significant (p>0.05).

The age and gender interaction of the femoral IA was not significant on the right-side when the age groups examined based on gender (p=0.782). Therefore, age groups were not compared among males and females. However, the mean IA was significantly higher in males (p<0.001), and there was a significant difference between

Table 1

| Characteristics of the participants (n=208). |
|-----------------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Gender          | Number| Percent| Mean±SD| Min-Max  |
| Female          | 105   | 50.5   |       |        |
| Male            | 103   | 49.5   |       |        |
| Age             |       |        |       |        |
| 21–40           | 60    | 28.8   |       |        |
| 41–60           | 61    | 29.3   |       |        |
| > 61            | 87    | 41.8   | 52.32±16.89 | 21–80 |
overall age groups (p=0.001). The IA was significantly lower in Group 2 (130.09±5.32º) than Group 1 (133.73±5.32º) and Group 3 (134.26±7.64º). No significant difference was present between Group 1 and Group 3 (p>0.05).

The age and gender interaction of the femoral AA was also not significant on the right-side (p=0.098). Therefore, likewise IA, age groups were not compared among males and females. The AA was significantly higher in males (p=0.034), and there was a significant difference between overall age groups (p<0.001). The AA was significantly higher in Group 1 (46.28±7.93º) than Group 2 (40.62±6.03º) and Group 3 (40.19±5.78º). And no significant difference was present between Group 2 and Group 3 (p>0.05).

The age and gender interaction of the femoral IA was significant on the left-side (p=0.047). Therefore, the age groups compared separately between males and females. Although no significant difference was detected between age groups in females (p=0.105), the difference was significant between age groups in males (p=0.001). The difference was significant between Group 2 and 3 among males, being higher in Group 3 (p=0.001). Although no differences were detected between gender in Group 1 (p=0.483) and Group 2 (p=0.618), the mean IA of males (135.20±8.64º) was significantly higher than females (129.04±7.44º) in Group 3 (p=0.001) (Table 3).

The age and gender interaction of the femoral AA was significant on the left-side (p=0.004). Although no significant differences were detected between the age groups in females (p=0.233), the difference was significant between age groups in males (p=0.001). The AA in males was highest in Group 1 and lowest in Group 2. When age groups analysed separately, the mean AA on the left-side was significantly higher in males (47.91±8.86º) than females (40.32±7.17º) in Group 1 (p=0.001), but no significant difference was found among Group 2 (p=0.963) and Group 3 (p=0.129) (Table 3).

There was a positive, high-level and statistically significant relation at 73.7% between right-side femur IA and right-side AA (p<0.001). A positive, moderate and statistically significant relation was also detected at 69.2% between the left-side femur IA and the left-side AA (p<0.001) (Table 4).

---

**Table 2**

| Descriptive statistics (n=208). |
|--------------------------------|
| Mean±SD                       |
| Right-side femur inclination angle | 132.88±7.08 |
| Right-side femur Alsberg angle    | 42.07±7.04  |
| Left-side femur inclination angle | 130.27±7.81 |
| Left-side femur Alsberg angle    | 41.43±7.03  |

**Table 3**

| Distribution of angles among gender and age groups. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Age groups (p-value) (gender) (interaction) |
| Total (age: 21–40 years) | Group 1 (age: 41–60 years) | Group 2 (age: >61 years) | p-value (gender) | p-value (interaction) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| **Right-side femur inclination angle** | | | | | |
| Female | 130.69±6.11 | 132.03±6.46 | 127.77±4.34 | 131.86±6.33 | <0.001 | 0.782 |
| Male | 135.11±7.34 | 135.43±7.42 | 132.49±5.22 | 136.71±8.14 | 0.098 |
| **Total** | 133.73±7.11 | 130.09±5.32 | 134.26±7.64 | | |
| p-value (gender) | 0.001 | | | | |
| **Right-side femur Alsberg angle** | | | | | |
| Female | 41.19±6.17 | 43.88±6.74 | 40.37±6.10 | 39.94±5.19 | 0.034 | 0.098 |
| Male | 42.97±7.79 | 48.67±8.39 | 40.88±6.05 | 40.45±6.38 | 0.004 |
| **Total** | 46.28±7.93 | 40.62±6.03 | 40.19±5.77 | | |
| p-value (gender) | <0.001 | | | | |
| **Left-side femur inclination angle** | | | | | |
| Female | 130.23±6.36 | 126.69±5.39 | 129.04±7.44 | 0.105 | 0.004 |
| Male | 131.63±8.77 | 127.42±5.97 | 135.20±8.64 | <0.001 | 0.001 |
| p-value (gender) | 0.483 | 0.618 | 0.233 | | |
| **Left-side femur Alsberg angle** | | | | | |
| Female | 40.32±7.17 | 38.39±5.94 | 40.76±5.20 | 0.233 | 0.004 |
| Male | 47.91±8.86 | 38.46±5.19 | 42.61±6.03 | <0.001 | 0.004 |
| p-value (gender) | 0.001 | 0.963 | 0.129 | | |

A,B,C The groups that differ according to post-hoc results are shown with different letters, groups that are not different are shown with the same letter.
The relation between right-side femoral IA and right-side femoral AA was also found to be significant after the correction made according to age (p<0.001). The relation between left-side femoral IA and left-side femoral AA was also significant after the correction according to age (p<0.001) (Table 5).

The IA on the left-side was not statistically different than the right-side and did not differ according to age groups (p>0.05). The AA on the left-side was not statistically different than the right-side (p>0.05), however when analyzed according to the age groups, the AA was significantly higher in Group 3, than Groups 1 and 2 (p<0.001).

Discussion

The angles of the femur are especially important for planning total hip arthroplasty. Knowing how IA and AA will be affected by age and gender, and understanding their relations will ensure that a more accurate approach is adopted towards pathological cases.

Gilligan et al.\(^4\) reported the mean IA as 135° by a study made on 8000 femurs. This value was simply measured with a goniometer on dry bones and was larger than classically known as 127°. When compared with our study, our results seem in between these two values. The difference may come from the method used and also from the characteristics of the population and even age of the specimens. In an other study made by Oguz,\(^2\) the mean right-side IA was revealed as 123.72°, left-side IA as 125.96°, right-side AA as 39.92°, and left-side as AA 40.61° on dry bone specimens. Our study trusted on measurements made on radiographies and suggested to provide more reliable and repeatable data.

In a study examining IA and AA angles together on dry femur specimens, it was reported that AA would change in the same way with IA.\(^2\) In our study, we observed that right-sided IA and right-sided AA changed at significantly high levels, and left-sided IA and left-sided AA changed in positive directions. These results suggest that our data is coherent with the results reported by Oguz.\(^2\)

No bilateral asymmetry was found in a study examining dry femurs of 20 cadavers for right and left-sided asymmetry.\(^10\) In another study, the IA value of 148 healthy individuals was examined with pelvic radiography in different age groups for bilateral asymmetry. No differences were detected between right and left-sided IA in all individuals and between genders (p>0.05). It was also found that the average IA was 132.47° on the right-side, and 128.84° on the left-side in individuals who were over 60. The right-sided average IA was reported to be higher than the left-side; however, this was not at statistically significant levels.\(^1\) Likewise, in our study, the IA without considering the gender and age groups (n=208), seems higher on the right-side than the left, but the difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05). When examined according to gender groups, no significant difference was found as well (p>0.05), but bilateral asymmetry was detected in AA in the group >61 years of age (p<0.001). Body laterality and considering the dominant foot as covariates would be helpful for further studies exploring bilateral asymmetry.

When the angles were compared according to gender, it was found that the angles in males were higher than those of females, which was statistically significant. Some of the previous studies such as the study by Tahir et al.\(^11\) also reported statistically significant differences among females and males, but Shrestha et al.\(^1\) reported no difference. The reason for this discrepancy may be the ethnic differences of the study groups.

Table 4
Relation between right-side and left-side femur inclination and Alsberg angle.

| Femur Alsberg angle | Right-side | Left-side |
|---------------------|------------|----------|
| r                   | 0.737      | 0.692    |
| p                   | <0.001     | <0.001   |

Table 5
Univariate and multiple linear regression analysis results.

|                     | Univariate | Multiple* |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|
|                     | b         | t         | p      | b         | t         | p      |
| Right-side          |            |           |        |            |           |        |
| Femur Alsberg angle | 0.883      | 12.466    | <0.001 | 0.779      | 11.621    | <0.001 |
| (0.743–1.023)       |            |           |        | (0.647–0.912) |           |        |
| Left-side           |            |           |        |            |           |        |
| Femur Alsberg angle | 0.778      | 10.972    | <0.001 | 0.773      | 10.944    | <0.001 |
| (0.638–0.918)       |            |           |        | (0.663–0.912) |           |        |

*Age corrected, femur inclation angle was taken as dependent variable. b: regression coefficient, t: t-statistic value.
When IA and AA were evaluated according to the age groups, it was found that the right and left sided IA of the femur seems to decrease with age until the age of 60 and then increase after 61 slightly. The right and left-side IA angles were examined in a study conducted with the same age groups as in our study, and it was reported that, although the left-side IA angle values were found to be low, no differences were detected between all age groups.\(^1\) Another study that considered age groups reported that the IA value was higher in young people in growth process, and generally reached adult values in adolescence, and then remained stable. However, there might be a small decrease after 60 years of age. Moreover, significant increases were detected in mean IA values among populations with increasing sedentary and mechanical life styles.\(^2\) Another study reported a modest trend towards increasing IA with the transition from forager to agricultural life and urban lifestyles, and to a lesser extent, from a mobile to a sedentary existence.\(^3\) Both studies support that sedentary lifestyle increases IA values.\(^4,12\) When we compared our results with studies reporting increased IA with sedentary lifestyle, it can be concluded that the results of our study points a more sedentary life before 40 and after 60 years of age. Although, the most active age group seems to be between 41 and 60, longitudinal studies should be conducted on same people proving this hypothesis. An interesting study by Anderson and Trinkaus\(^4\) made on modern, historic and prehistoric human population samples, showed no evidence for geographic differences, but showed significant increase in IA across populations with an increasingly sedentary life style. This view supports the developmental plasticity of femur with respect to habitual load levels during ontogeny of the hip region.

There are studies showing that bone structure and metabolism are affected by many factors in aging process, such as by different hormones, age, body composition, lifestyle, body laterality, leg dominance and bilateral asymmetry, and by different climates and related clothing styles.\(^4,11–15\) The limited morphometric studies that consider the factors affecting bone metabolism with aging focus on bone mineral density.\(^9,16–18\) In a study conducted on bone morphology, proximal femur parameters were examined by considering the gonadal hormone levels of surgical and natural postmenopause females, and no differences were found between the two groups.\(^8\) Sertel Meyvaci et al.\(^8\) reported the right and left-side IA as 143.87±7.33º and 134.73±7.87º, and right and left-side AA as 50.60±8.24º and 43.35±8.82º, respectively in the natural postmenopause group. According to the results of our study, the mean right and left-side IA was 130.87±5.32º and 127.05±5.65º, and left and right-side AA was 40.62±6.03º and 38.43±5.54º respectively in females between the ages of 41 and 60. It can be noted that although age groups were close to each other in the same society, the mean IA reported by Sertel Meyvaci et al.\(^8\) was higher than in our study. We believe that this is because of the non-standardized factors affecting bone metabolism such as body composition, menopausal status, life style and socio-economic status.

The major limitations of the present study are that the evaluations were made without considering the bone metabolism, body laterality, and leg dominance.

**Conclusion**

The results of our study showed that the age and gender factors have effects on IA and AA of femur. It was found that the right-side femoral AA change directly proportional to IA, as reported in literature. The relation of AA and IA can help to take more accurate measures while evaluating and managing the follow up of a patient undergoing total hip arthroplasty, reconstructive surgery or planning physical therapy. We suggest conducting further studies taking the bone metabolism, body laterality, and leg dominance into account as co-variants.
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