Neuroimaging plays an important role in etiologic research on neurological diseases in the elderly. The Rotterdam Scan Study was initiated as part of the ongoing Rotterdam Study with the aim to unravel causes of neurological disease by performing neuroimaging in a population-based longitudinal setting. In 1995 and 1999 random subsets of the Rotterdam Study underwent neuroimaging, whereas from 2005 onwards MRI has been implemented into the core protocol of the Rotterdam Study. In this paper, we discuss the background and rationale of the Rotterdam Scan Study. We also describe the imaging protocol and post-processing techniques, and highlight the main findings to date. Finally, we make recommendations for future research, which will also be the main focus of investigation in the Rotterdam Scan Study.
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Introduction

Neurologic diseases in the elderly, such as dementia and stroke, will pose an ever increasing burden on societies over the next couple of decades [1–4]. However, effective therapeutic or preventive strategies are lacking. In order to develop such strategies, knowledge on the etiology of these diseases is essential. A feature of neurodegenerative diseases is that morphologic brain pathology may be already present years before clinical onset and can be visualized using magnetic resonance imaging [5–10].

Realizing this potential benefit, already in the 1990s various population-based studies implemented neuroimaging to study the preclinical brain changes that ultimately lead to or may indicate an increased risk of developing clinically manifest diseases, such as dementia and stroke [7, 11–19]. However, most studies implemented neuroimaging in only a subset of their population leading to limited sample sizes. More importantly, over the course of the last two decades MR imaging has undergone a dramatic improvement in hardware and software leading to higher field strengths, higher resolution, shorter scanning times, and more sensitive sequences. Furthermore, digital imaging techniques have
led to a new field of research aimed at automating and increasing throughput of image processing for better visualization and quantification of imaging findings. Taken together, these developments now allow for performing neuroimaging in larger sample sizes and using state-of-the-art imaging and processing techniques. In turn, this has opened the way for more in depth and thorough investigation of (more subtle) brain changes that can lead to neurological diseases.

It was in this light, that in 1995 the Rotterdam Scan Study was initiated to investigate risk factors and risk indicators of neurological diseases in the elderly using MR imaging to visualize the underlying brain changes and brain pathology. In 1995 and 1999, random subsets of the Rotterdam Study underwent neuroimaging off site in clinical scanners. From 2005 onwards, the Rotterdam Scan Study has been embedded within the core protocol of the Rotterdam Study. This was possible due to installation of a dedicate research scanner on site.

The purpose of this paper is to give a general outline of the study population, scanning protocol, image post-processing and to discuss the main findings of the Rotterdam Scan Study, with the main focus on the period from 2005 to 2011.

Design and study population

The source population of the Rotterdam Scan Study originates from the Rotterdam Study [20–23], which is a population-based study in the Netherlands and aims to investigate causes and determinants of chronic diseases in the elderly. The Rotterdam Study (RS I) was initiated in 1990 with 7,983 participants aged 55 and over. In 2000 the cohort was expanded by 3,932 persons aged 55 and over at that time. In 2006 the cohort was further expanded by 3,011 persons aged 45 years and over (RS II). The whole cohort undergoes re-examinations every 3–4 years. The total Rotterdam Study population encompasses 14,926 persons.

Figure 1 shows an overview of the various Rotterdam Study cohorts, the time of their (re-)examination visits, and the implementation of MRI-scanning in the core protocol of the Rotterdam Study in 2005. Initially, we invited random persons from the second visit of RS II to undergo MRI. Subsequently, we have scanned all eligible and consenting participants from the first visit of RS III and fifth visit of RS I. Currently, persons from the third visit of RS II are undergoing scanning. For 2012, participants from RS III are scheduled to be re-invited. Because the throughput of performing MR imaging has been higher than that of the Rotterdam Study (56 MRI slots per week versus 36 slots for regular study center visits), we were able to invite additional subsets for re-scanning. As such, we re-invited participants from RS I in 2006, RS II in 2008, and RS III in 2010 outside their regular visits for the Rotterdam Study. As a result, some of the participants are already undergoing their third MRI-exam.

Of all persons taking part in the Rotterdam Study, those who are demented or with MRI contra-indications are considered not eligible for the Rotterdam Scan Study. Furthermore, persons suffering from claustrophobia are also not considered further. Therefore, as of January 2011, a total of 5,886 brain MR-scans have been obtained on the research scanner. This includes multiple scans from the same person.

Scan protocol

In 2005, a 1.5T MRI unit (General Electric Healthcare, Milwaukee, USA, software version 11.0) dedicated to the research project was installed in the Rotterdam Study research center. Besides the possibility of high throughput image acquisition, this enabled us to maintain acquisition parameters unchanged by excluding software or hardware upgrades in order to ensure data stability and comparability over time. The MRI unit was fitted with a dedicated 8-channel head coil (best coil configuration available at time of installation) and the possibility for parallel imaging using the array spatial sensitivity encoding technique (ASSET).

Maximum total examination time (from arrival of one participant in the MRI suite until the next) was set at 45 min, in order to accommodate the MRI acquisition into the generic workflow of the Rotterdam Study. We therefore designed a 30 min brain imaging protocol that balanced the restrictions of time, costs and inconvenience for the participants with the relevance and quality of the acquired imaging data. To ensure participant compliance and reproducible image quality (reduce motion artefacts) an acquisition limit of 6 min per sequence was chosen.

To facilitate easier applicability of the current MRI protocol by radiology technicians, we chose to use the standard brain imaging package delivered by the system manufacturer instead of custom developed sequences.

The MRI sequences were chosen based on the primary variables of interest, i.e.:

1. quantitative measures of brain tissue volumes and volumes of various neurostructures (e.g. hippocampus)
2. quantitative assessment of white matter lesions,
3. qualitative assessment of brain infarcts (lacunar and cortical) and microbleeds,
4. quantitative assessment of white matter microstructural integrity and connectivity,
5. quantitative assessment of total cerebral blood flow.

In designing the protocol, we tried to meet both the time constraint and the contrast and resolution requirements. Where possible, we preferred 3D over 2D sequences because of higher signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), enabling the acquisition of smaller voxel sizes. Yet, acquisition time, sensitivity to motion and blurring artefacts did not allow 3D acquisition in all sequences. For each sequence, we adjusted the imaging parameters during optimization procedures to obtain a specific target resolution with adequate SNR ($\geq 25$) for tissues in the center of the brain while scan time was limited to 6 min for each sequence.

The resulting protocol is presented in the Table 1 with all the relevant imaging parameters and the execution order listed. The protocol starts with a three-plane localizer, executed with the shimming option enabled. For subsequent sequences shimming is turned off to accelerate receiver adjustments. Morphological imaging is performed with T1-weighted (T1w), proton density-weighted (PDw) and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequences. The combination of different MR contrasts provided by these sequences can be used for automated brain tissue and white matter lesion segmentation (see section on processing). For this purpose, the T1w scan is acquired in 3D at high in-plane resolution and with thin slices (voxel size $<1 \text{ mm}^3$). A 3D T2*-weighted gradient-recalled echo (GRE) scan is used to image cerebral microbleeds. For this sequence a TE $= 30 \text{ ms}$ was selected to obtain stronger T2*-weighting. For registration purposes, the same slice thickness with a lower in-plane resolution as compared to the 3D T1w scan is used. Parallel imaging is applied for this sequence to stay within the 6 min scan time limit.

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is used to quantitatively assess white matter microstructural integrity [24, 25]. For this 2D DTI scan, we use an echo planar imaging (EPI) readout with gradients ($b = 1\,000 \text{ s/mm}^2$) applied in 25 directions [24, 25]. The $b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2$ image is collected with NEX $= 3$. The number of gradient directions, i.e. 25, was chosen to best fit the optimized protocol by Jones et al.
whilst remaining within time limits and maximum number of slices permitted by the scanner. To minimize geometrical distortions, the number of frequency encoding points was set to 64 and parallel imaging was applied with an acceleration factor of 2, with an imaging matrix of $64 \times 96$ and providing a voxel size of $3.3 \times 2.2 \times 3.5 \text{ mm}^3$.

An ungated 2D GRE phase contrast flow measurement is applied for assessment of total cerebral blood flow [26], which has shown to be fast and accurate [26]. A 2D thick slab projection phase contrast angiographic localizer (60 mm thick, velocity encoding (VENC) = 60 cm/sec) is positioned sagittally to determine the location of the carotid and basilar arteries. Next a thin slice perpendicular to all three vessels at the level of the precavernous internal carotid artery is positioned (VENC = 120 cm/s, slice thickness 5 mm, NEX = 8). Flow velocity data can be calculated from the phase difference images as described before [26].

Figure 2 illustrates an example of all the different sequences acquired in the final protocol. The sequence acquisition order was chosen in a way to provide adequate reconstruction speeds and to eliminate delays. The 3D T2*w GRE scan was the last sequence executed in the protocol pipeline because of the long reconstruction time necessary for parallel imaging and the resulting lag time in scan execution.

For quality check, a daily quality assessment (measuring transmit gain, center frequency and SNR) is performed by technicians using a phantom. In addition, weekly measurements of echo-planar stability, isocenter reliability and accuracy of absolute scaling along the cardinal axes (x, y, z) are performed. Regular scanner maintenance is performed by the manufacturer and results are filed in a log.

Furthermore, interscan reproducibility measurements have been performed by re-inviting study participants ($n = 20–30$) within on average 2 weeks after initial examination for repeat MRI. Both for brain tissue segmentation as well as for diffusion tensor connectivity analysis, interscan reproducibility has been shown to be very good [27].

### Image processing

Within the context of the Rotterdam Scan Study, a standardized and validated image analysis workflow is being developed to enable the objective, accurate, and reproducible extraction of relevant parameters describing brain anatomy, possible brain pathologies, and brain connectivity from multispectral MRI data. Image processing in the Rotterdam Scan Study has initially been centered around three main goals: First, owing to the sheer size and complexity of the imaging database being generated, we aim to automate the tedious task of manual analysis, both
Qualitative (e.g., use of rating scales, for example for cortical atrophy) and quantitative (e.g., manual contouring for volume analyses). Second, our goal is to replace qualitative image assessment by quantitative analyses as much as possible. Third, we aim to limit or avoid altogether inter- and intraobserver variability. In recent years, image processing has been expanded to also allow the extraction of relevant image-derived parameters that would not be feasible manually or cannot be assessed visually.

In the following paragraphs, we briefly describe the different quantitative image analysis methods that have been developed and/or employed within the Rotterdam Scan Study.

Image pre-processing

Prior to analysis, a number of pre-processing steps are performed. For multispectral image analysis, the different scans are spatially registered using rigid registration. Subsequently, the brain is extracted from the scan. Here, a manually segmented brain mask, which excludes a.o. the cerebellum, eyes and skull, is non-rigidly registered to the T1-weighted image using Elastix [28].

Finally, scans are corrected for intensity non-uniformity using the N3 method [29]; non-uniformity correction is carried out within the brain mask.

Brain tissue segmentation

Automated brain tissue segmentation on MRI has received considerable attention [11, 30–39]. An important distinction that can be made is whether methods are supervised (i.e., they depend on annotated training data), or unsupervised. In the Rotterdam Scan Study we have used two types of supervised approaches, both based on k-nearest neighbour (kNN) segmentation. In kNN segmentation, image voxels are assigned labels (grey matter (GM), white matter (WM),...
cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or background (BG)) based on the most similar voxels in the training data. Similarity here depends on the distance in normalized MR image intensities. We have both investigated segmentation based on T1w images, and multispectral MRI data (T1w and PDw images). The two approaches we have used differ in the way that the training data are obtained. In the first approach manual segmentations by two observers of six T1w datasets (the PDw dataset is implicitly segmented after rigid registration to the T1w datasets), that include labels for GM, WM, CSF, and BG, were used as training data [30, 40]. In the second approach the training data were obtained from the scan to be segmented itself. Hereto, the manually segmented scans are used as atlases and are non-rigidly transformed to the scan, creating probability density maps that indicate the likeliness of each voxel to belong to a certain tissue type. After random selection of likely voxels for each class, and a pruning step to remove outliers, the training samples for WM, GM, CSF and BG are obtained [40, 41].

Both methods have been extensively evaluated within the context of the Rotterdam Scan Study, showing good accuracy and reproducibility [27, 40]. An example of the automated tissue segmentation is shown in Fig. 3.

To facilitate more regionalized analysis of total brain, WM and GM volumes, individual lobes have been segmented. This is achieved by non-rigidly registering a template image in which the lobes have been manually outlined [42–44].

White matter lesion classification

The brain tissue segmentation methods described above have been complemented with white matter lesion (WML) segmentation [45]. Hereto, both the brain tissue segmentation, and the FLAIR image is used. In the brain tissue segmentation, possible WMLs are misclassified as GM with a ring of WM voxels. In the FLAIR image the WMLs are hyperintense. We therefore process the histogram from the FLAIR image intensities of all voxels that are classified as GM, to estimate the mean and standard deviation of true GM voxels. Subsequently, WML voxels are extracted by intensity thresholding, where the threshold depends on the estimated GM distribution. False positives are removed by excluding voxels which are not sufficiently connected to the white matter. The different parameters (intensity threshold, and quantitative definition of not being sufficiently connected) have been optimized on large reference dataset. The method has been quantitatively evaluated [45] and has successfully been applied to the whole cohort [46]. Visual inspection of the results indicates that the method is robust, with approximately only 4% of the scans containing false positive or false negative WMLs. Figure 4 demonstrates the automated WML segmentation result.

Brain structure segmentation and shape analysis

Within the Rotterdam Scan Study we have developed a graph cut framework for neurostructure segmentation [47] combining atlas registration and statistical models of image appearance [48]. As the hippocampus is one of the first regions to be affected by Alzheimer’s disease, most work has focused on developing and optimizing the framework for this application.

The developed framework requires annotated training data (atlases), which are used both for atlas registration,
and for training the statistical image appearance models. Two observers manually outlined the hippocampus in twenty datasets, following a well-described protocol [49]. The twenty atlases are nonrigidly registered to an image to be segmented, after which by averaging a spatial probability map is obtained which indicates the likeliness of a voxel to belong to the hippocampus. Within the graph cut framework, this information is complemented by the likeliness that a voxel is part of the hippocampus based on intensity information. Hereto voxel intensities are related to the expected intensity distributions of the hippocampus and the surrounding tissue. The method has been shown to improve on existing manual hippocampus segmentation techniques [48], and has been applied to a number of studies [50, 51]. An example of hippocampus segmentation is shown in Fig. 5. The graph cut framework developed for hippocampus segmentation has additionally been used for ventricle segmentation [52], and segmentation of the cerebellum [53]. We are working on further extending the applicability to other neurostructures.

Currently, our focus extends beyond plain volumetry to incorporating shape-based analysis. Therefore, we have developed a method to quantify hippocampal shape, and investigated its potential to predict dementia. Because our aim is prediction, the most suitable datasets are those obtained in 1995, which have more than 10 years of clinical follow-up. We compared MRI scans of 47 persons who were cognitively normal at scan time and developed dementia during 10-years of follow-up, with 47 age- and gender-matched controls who remained free of dementia. A statistical shape model was built from the 94 segmentation results and was used to predict incident dementia. We found that the combination of hippocampal volume and hippocampal shape performed better than when just using volume [54].

Diffusion tensor imaging: global and tract-based analysis

DTI enables measurement of the microstructural integrity of white matter. Within the Rotterdam Scan Study, a number of image analysis techniques have been employed and developed for the analysis of DTI data. These include conventional global and regional analysis of DTI-derived measures such as Fractional Anisotropy (FA) and Mean Diffusivity (MD) [55], and tract-based analysis of FA [56]. Global and regional analysis of FA and MD has been performed using the FSL toolbox [57], and consisted of Eddy current correction, head motion correction, skull stripping and tensor model fitting. As discussed below, DTI data were registered with the other imaging data to study relations between atrophy, white matter lesions, and DTI-derived measures.

Tract-based analysis of DTI enables a more localized comparison of FA and MD between groups. In the Rotterdam Scan Study, tract-based analysis has been achieved using tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) [58] a technique

Fig. 4 White matter lesion segmentation (purple), using both the FLAIR image (left) and brain tissue segmentation (Fig. 3) as input

Fig. 5 Hippocampus segmentation, which is used as a basis for both volumetric and shape analysis
that creates a common skeleton of the white matter tracts from a series of images, onto which for each individual the local maximum FA value is projected. This enables robust voxelwise statistical analysis of the microstructural integrity of white matter across persons [56]. Statistical analysis is performed with multiple linear regressions, adjusting for age, sex and multiple comparisons. In addition, to study relations between macroscopic white matter changes and white matter microstructure, DTI data are aligned with the other MR imaging data.

Diffusion tensor imaging: connectivity analysis

Using deterministic or probabilistic tractography, DTI can also be used to study structural connectivity of the brain. In order to compare structural connectivity across persons in the Rotterdam Scan Study, we developed a novel framework, SAMSCo, which enables construction of weighted structural brain connectivity networks which can be effectively analyzed using statistical methods [59, 60]. The weighted networks are obtained using a minimum cost path (mcp) method with an anisotropic local cost function based on the locally estimated diffusion tensor weighted images. Start and end regions of the mcp were defined by a FreeSurfer segmentation [61, 62] of subcortical structures and cortical parcellation. In proof of principle experiments we showed that the brain connectivity maps contain information on age and sex [59, 60]; we hypothesize that it can also be used for getting valuable insight into normal brain ageing and disease progression. Using a re-scan on 30 persons, good reproducibility of the connectivity maps was shown [27].

Visual ratings

Scan quality and incidental findings

Each MRI scan that is acquired is visually examined within 1 week after acquisition by a research physician in the Rotterdam Scan Study. During this visual inspection, the MRI scan is rated for quality and the presence and severity of motion artefacts or signal inhomogeneity (for example due to metallic implants) is recorded. Furthermore, each scan is evaluated by trained research physicians for presence of incidental findings, i.e. abnormalities of potential clinical relevance that were previously unknown, that are unexpected and that are unrelated to the purpose of the scan [63, 64]. All potential findings are recorded in a database and are in a later stage evaluated by an experienced neuroradiologist. The most frequent findings in the Rotterdam Scan Study are aneurysms (1.8%) and benign primary
tumors (1.6%) [63]. Referral of participants for further medical examination occurs in accordance with an expert-defined protocol [63].

Ischemic small vessel disease on MRI

Infarcts are rated on FLAIR, proton density-weighted, and T1-weighted sequences. Lacunar infarcts are defined as focal lesions ≥3 and <15 mm in size with the same signal characteristics as CSF on all sequences, and (when located supratentorially) with a hyperintense rim on the FLAIR sequence [63]. Lesions ≥15 mm in size, but otherwise similar, are rated as subcortical infarcts. Infarcts showing involvement of cortical gray matter are classified as cortical infarcts.

Cerebral microbleeds

All 3D T2* GRE scans are reviewed for the presence, number, and location of cerebral microbleeds. Microbleeds are defined as focal areas of very low signal intensity on T2*-weighted imaging that are not accompanied by evident signal abnormality on other structural sequences [65]. Microbleed location is categorized into one of three locations: lobar (cortical gray and subcortical or periventricular white matter), deep (deep gray matter: basal ganglia and thalamus, and the white matter of the corpus callosum, internal, external, and extreme capsule), and infratentorial (brainstem and cerebellum) [65]. Intraobserver and interobserver reliabilities for microbleed rating are very good (κ = 0.85–0.87 [66]) and review of the initial ratings by an experienced neuroradiologist yielded very high accordance as well [66].

Visual check of automated processing performance

Though post-processing for tissue segmentation and structure segmentation takes places fully automated and without user interaction, all end results are visually checked for performance. For example, small motion artefacts in the FLAIR sequence that do not necessitate exclusion of an MRI scan may interfere with white matter lesion segmentation and cause false positive lesions after automated segmentation; or brain masking may result in minimal inclusion of dura or skull. After visual inspection, manual editing of any errors is needed in less than 10% of scans, depending on the type of post-processing. Furthermore, less than 1% of scans are excluded based on artefacts that are only discovered after automated post-processing (for example motion or susceptibility artefacts in diffusion tensor images, which are not apparent in the raw unprocessed data).
Main findings

Vascular factors and brain pathology

Previous experience with brain MRI in the Rotterdam Study had learned that markers of small vessel disease such as white matter lesions and lacunar infarcts are highly prevalent in the elderly and that these relate to common cardiovascular risk factors [44, 67–78]. In the MRI scans obtained from 2005 onwards, we confirmed the frequent occurrence of WML and infarcts in the elderly and further extended the prevalence and volume estimates to the middle aged population [63]. Furthermore, cerebral microbleeds were added as a new marker of vascular brain pathology, and their interrelationship with ‘classic’ markers of small vessel disease was examined (see further below). In addition to known classic cardiovascular risk factors, we examined cerebral blood flow as a measure of brain perfusion and studied its determinants and association with cognition and vascular brain disease, as further described below. In line with this, we investigated the association between arterial calcification (measured with computed tomography), a relatively new marker of atherosclerosis, and subclinical vascular disease and found arterial calcification in various vessel beds to be related to both WMLs and lacunar infarcts [79]. Besides our quantitative measures of brain tissue atrophy and lesion volume, DTI added new quantitative information on tissue microstructural integrity, as further elaborated upon below. Finally, in collaboration with research partners in the CHARGE consortium [80], we performed a genome-wide association study of WML burden and were able to identify 6 novel risk-associated SNPs on chromosome 17q25 [81], which we subsequently replicated in a separate Rotterdam Scan Study cohort [46]. After Apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype related to tCBF and total brain perfusion differed after adjustment for vascular risk factors and other imaging markers of small vessel disease [88]. These results suggest an independent role for microbleed-associated vasculopathy in cognitive impairment.

Cerebral microbleeds

In 200 Rotterdam Scan Study participants, we showed that the 3D high-resolution T2* GRE sequence detected more microbleeds in more persons, compared with a conventional MRI sequence. When we applied this high-resolution sequence in 1,062 participants, we found that cerebral microbleeds were present in 1 in 5 persons over age of 60 and in over 1 in 3 in persons aged 80 years and older [66]. This prevalence is much higher than reported previously, which in part may be explained by the use of a more sensitive MRI sequence. We recently updated this prevalence estimate for a larger population (4,006 persons, mean age 60.3 years) and found that it still holds [83]. Furthermore, we have consistently shown that risk factors for microbleeds vary according to the location of microbleeds in the brain. Cardiovascular risk factors and markers of ischemic small vessel disease were related to deep or infratentorial microbleeds, whilst APOE genotype related to strictly lobar microbleeds [66, 83]. This is indirect evidence that deep or infratentorial microbleeds result from arteriosclerotic angiopathy, whereas strictly lobar microbleeds are caused by cerebral amyloid angiopathy. This is also corroborated by our finding that spatial distribution of lobar microbleeds in our population follows the known topographic distribution of amyloid angiopathy [84].

The high prevalence of cerebral microbleeds and their potential link with bleeding-prone microangiopathy raised our interest in how these relate to antithrombotic drug use. We found that persons who had used or were using antithrombotic medication significantly more often had cerebral microbleeds [85]. Longitudinal research needs to confirm this association and may unravel a potential link with risk of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage. Interestingly, we found a potential link between microbleeds and symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage when investigating lipid factions as risk factor for both [86].

We also studied the occurrence of incident microbleeds on follow-up MR imaging after an interval of 3–4 years. We found that 10% of persons developed new microbleeds and that this was strongly related to presence of microbleeds at baseline, but also to various risk factors such as hypertension and smoking [87]. Again, risk factors differed according to the location of new microbleeds, lending further support to the hypothesis on microbleed etiology.

Finally, we recently showed that presence of numerous microbleeds, especially in a strictly lobar location, was associated with worse performance on cognitive tests, even after adjustment for vascular risk factors and other imaging markers of small vessel disease [88]. These results suggest an independent role for microbleed-associated vasculopathy in cognitive impairment.

Cerebral blood flow

Total cerebral blood flow (tCBF) and total brain perfusion (tCBF per 100 mL brain tissue) were measured with 2D phase contrast imaging as described above. We showed a close relationship between tCBF and markers of the microvasculature, e.g. retinal vessel diameters [77]. In 892 persons aged 60 years and older, we further showed that determinants of tCBF and total brain perfusion differed largely, due to the large influence of brain volume on tCBF values [89]. Higher pulse pressure, higher body mass...
index, and current smoking were all associated with higher total brain perfusion. Furthermore, persons with low total brain perfusion had significantly more white matter lesions compared to those with high total brain perfusion. The role of tCBF with cognitive performance appeared more complex with brain atrophy either confounding or mediating the association [90].

**White matter microstructural integrity**

We have found DTI parameters within white matter lesions and normal-appearing white matter to be associated with cognitive function, even when taking into account volume of white matter lesions and white matter atrophy [55]. This indicates that the deleterious effect of white matter changes on cognition not only depends on lesion burden or amount of atrophy, but also on characteristics that are not easily evaluated by conventional MRI.

Also, we demonstrated that age-related changes in the normal-appearing white matter are primarily explained by white matter atrophy and white matter lesion formation and not by the aging process in itself. Furthermore, we found that white matter atrophy and white matter lesion formation related to loss of integrity in distinct brain regions, indicating that the two processes are not sequential events but are rather independent and thus pathophysiologically potentially different [56].

**Future perspectives**

The Rotterdam Scan Study provides a unique environment to study the etiology of neurological diseases in the elderly. Nearly 6,000 persons have already undergone brain MRI-scanning and in coming years repeated waves of follow-up examination will ensure a wealth of imaging data, both cross-sectional and longitudinal. So far, the focus of research has been on finding risk factors and determinants of brain pathology. In the coming years we hope to expand the scope of research in several ways, which include novel image sequences, novel post-processing techniques, novel risk factors, and study the relationship of imaging markers with clinical outcomes.

Currently, we are performing pilot studies to investigate the feasibility of resting state functional MRI in the Rotterdam Scan Study. This will allow us to explore not only structural markers of brain pathology, but also functional correlates thereof. The current planning is to fully incorporate BOLD-fMRI in the core scanning protocol by the end of 2011.

In the coming years ongoing hardware developments will necessitate upgrading of the scanner. Although there are no current plans to change the scanner, we foresee upgrading to a 3 Tesla scanner in a few years.

Standardized and evaluated automated image processing techniques are crucial in exploiting the rich information that is available in population imaging data. They have enabled a transition from qualitative image interpretation into quantitative imaging. Quantitative imaging is non-trivial; it requires standardization and optimization in all the steps from data acquisition, to data analysis in structured reporting. In the next years, the number of validated quantitative image analysis techniques will further increase, also including more complex measures. Also, the results of these analyses will increasingly be stored in a standardized manner. This will enable a richer characterization of brain anatomy, pathology, connectivity and function. Relating these quantitative image measures to disease status, progression and events, will be a powerful tool for development of novel diagnostic and prognostic quantitative imaging biomarkers.

The standardization of image acquisition and processing protocols also implies that high quality reference data are being acquired. These can be used in a clinical setting to contrast findings in an individual with a reference population.

Our visual ratings currently include infarcts and microbleeds. We are exploring the possibilities of developing a validated visual rating for enlarged Virchow Robin-spaces (perivascular spaces). Evidence suggests that these may be a hallmark of either small vessel disease or atrophy [91, 92].

Finally, the concomitant continuous monitoring of all participants in the Rotterdam Study ensure that we have a wealth of clinical data available, including cognitive performance and the occurrence of dementia and stroke. By the end of 2012, we will already have 6 years of clinical follow-up for the first participants of the Rotterdam Scan Study. In the coming years we hope to investigate how MRI markers of brain pathology relate to these clinical outcomes. It will be especially interesting to investigate how the recently introduced markers, i.e. microbleeds, microstructural integrity, and cerebral blood flow, relate to incident disease, and whether these markers can contribute additional information on top of established markers, such as white matter lesions and infarcts.
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