Raman microspectroscopy: toward a better distinction and profiling of different populations of dental stem cells

**Aim** To characterize stem cells originating from different dental tissues (apical papilla [SCAP], dental follicle [DFSC], and pulp [DPSC]) and test the capacity of Raman microspectroscopy to distinguish between the three dental stem cell types.

**Methods** SCAP, DFSC, and DPSC cultures were generated from three immature wisdom teeth originating from three patients. Cell stemness was confirmed by inducing neuro-, osteo-, chondro-, and adipo-differentiation and by mesenchymal marker expression analysis by flow-cytometry and real-time polymerase chain reaction. Cellular components were then evaluated by Raman microspectroscopy.

**Results** We found differences between SCAP, DFSC, and DPSC Raman spectra. The ratio between proteins and nucleic acids (748/770), a parameter for discriminating more differentiated from less differentiated cells, showed significant differences between the three cell types. All cells also displayed a fingerprint region in the 600-700 cm\(^{-1}\) range, and characteristic lipid peaks at positions 1440 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1650 cm\(^{-1}\).

**Conclusion** Although different dental stem cells exhibited similar Raman spectra, the method enabled us to make subtle distinction between them.
Dental tissues contain stem cells with high proliferation and differentiation potential, showing great promise for use in regenerative and reparative dentistry, and medicine in general (1,2). Although dental stem cells are multipotent, adult, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), originating from the neural crest (3-5), it is difficult to make a precise distinction among the increasing number of newly discovered subpopulations. They rapidly emerge as an attractive biomaterial due to their accessibility and easy isolation compared with embryonic stem cells (ESCs). Dental stem cells (DSCs) can be obtained from several dental tissues, including apical papilla (SCAP), dental pulp of permanent teeth (DPSC), and dental follicle (DFSC) (6).

SCAP can easily be collected after the extraction of immature third molar, from a soft, developing tissue called the apical papilla present at the end of incompletely formed roots. DFSCs are isolated from dental follicle, a sac surrounding the enamel organ and the dental papilla of the developing tooth germ prior to eruption, while DPSC are isolated from the permanent tooth pulp. Although there is a marked resemblance between the three types of cells, they also show some differences in their stemness potential (7-10). An accurate method that would precisely assess stem cell characteristics and help in determining the most appropriate type of cell source for a given regenerative procedure, ie, for the application in different and specific clinical settings, has not yet been established (11).

Raman spectromicroscopy (RS) has been widely used to characterize dental mineral tissues (12-14), showing no apparent negative effects on cells (cellular morphology, proliferation, and other features) due to laser exposure (15-17).

RS has been previously applied to discriminate MSCs from ESCs based on the amount of intracellular lipids (18); to identify various stages of mesenchymal and embryonic murine stem cell differentiation (18-20); and before transplantation, to discriminate normal from abnormal stem cells in ex vivo cultures (21). Considering numerous advantages of adult stem cells over ESC, and the growing importance of dental stem cells, we compared DSCs in terms of their structural fingerprint, ie, their biochemical characteristics, by means of Raman spectromicroscopy (RS). The aim of this study was to assess the ability of Raman spectroscopy to discriminate between SCAP, DPSC, and DFSC.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Isolation of SCAP, DFSC and DPSCs

The material was obtained from three immature wisdom teeth (Figure 1), obtained from three patients aged between 14 and 15 years (one tooth per patient). Atraumatic teeth extraction was performed at the Clinic for Oral Surgery, School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, in 2016, after having obtained a written informed consent from the patients’ parents. The study was approved by the
Ethics Committee of the School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade.

Teeth were immediately transported to the laboratory and further processed under sterile conditions. Tooth surfaces were thoroughly rinsed with Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline solution (DPBS, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and dental tissues were isolated as previously described (22-24). Briefly, the apical papilla was gently scrapped from the root apex, the dental follicle was separated from the tooth crown with a surgical blade, and the dental pulp tissue was extracted with an endodontic instrument, after having exposed the pulp chamber by crushing the tooth with a sterile clamp. Stem cells were derived without enzymatic digestion (25). Tissues were cut into 1 mm³ pieces and separately transferred into Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution (all from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and cultivated under standard conditions at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Cell cultures were passaged after reaching 80% confluence. The experiments were done on fifth-passage cells.

Cell differentiation capacity

To induce neurogenic differentiation, cells were seeded onto T-25 tissue culture flasks in standard culture medium at the density of 1.5 x 10⁵ cells. Control cells were incubated in standard culture medium. After 24 hours, neural pre-induction medium and DMEM with 100 mM beta-mercaptoethanol were added, and cells were incubated for 4 hours. Cell differentiation was continued in a neural induction medium containing recombinant human basic fibroblast growth factor, neural growth factor, and B27 supplement (all from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and cultivated under standard conditions at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Cell cultures were passaged after reaching 80% confluence. The experiments were done on fifth-passage cells.

Flow cytometry analyses

The markers used for flow-cytometry were fluorescein-isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled monoclonal antibodies against CD90, CD105, CD34, and phycoerythrin (PE)-labeled mouse monoclonal antibodies against CD73 and CD45. After trypsinization, cells were resuspended in 10% FBS in DPBS (about 1 x 10⁶ cells for every sample). Antibody concentrations were recommended by the manufacturer (Exbio, Prague, Czech Republic). Cells were incubated in the dark for 45 minutes at 4°C with the appropriate combination of antibodies: CD34 (FITC) and CD73 (PE), CD45 (PE) and CD105 (FITC). CD90 (FITC) was added separately. After incubation, cells were rinsed twice with DPBS and analyzed on a multi-laser flow cytometer system (Partec, Münster, Germany).

Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

The expression of cell surface mesenchymal markers was assessed by using real-time PCR (qPCR). RNA was isolated by using TRizol Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to manufacturers’ recommendation. Subsequent reverse transcription from 1 μg of total RNA was performed using RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in order to obtain cDNA for qPCR analysis. The list of specific primers (for CD73, CD90, CD45, CD133, and housekeeping gene GAPDH) is given in Table 1.

| Table 1. List of primers used for quantitative polymerase chain reaction |
|-------------------------------------------------|
| **Cell marker** | **Sequence of primers (5’→3’)** | **PCR primer set** |
| CD73 Forward: GAGTTGGGTTGTCAGAAAAATA | Reverse: TGCACACTGTITTTAAGGTTG |
| CD90 Forward: TAACAGTCTTGCAGGTCCTC | Reverse: AAGCGGCTAAGTACAGGAC |
| CD45 Forward: GCAAAAGATGCCCAGTGTTCCACTT | Reverse: ATCTGAGGTGTTCGCTGTGATGGT |
| CD133 Forward: ACTTGGCTCAGACTGGTAAA | Reverse: GTTCTGAGCAAAATCCAGAG |
| GAPDH Forward: TCATGACACAGTCCGTTCCATT | Reverse: CCTCTTGAACAAAATCCAGAG |
The results obtained from each qPCR run were threshold cycle (Ct) values. The relative expression level was assessed using the ΔΔCt method. The relative mRNA expression levels for mesenchymal and hematopoietic markers for each sample were calculated as the ratio between the expression of the gene of interest and the expression of the selected housekeeping gene (GAPDH).

Raman microspectroscopy sample preparation

Cells from the fifth passage were cultivated in growth medium until confluent. After passaging and cell counting, the cells were brought to a concentration of 1 × 10⁶ per mL of the medium. After centrifugation at 300 g for 6 minutes at room temperature, cell pellets were transferred to a golden plate for Raman spectromicroscopy, without fixation.

Spectroscopic measurements

The Raman spectra of pellets were recorded in the range from 400-2600 cm⁻¹ with a Horiba Jobin Yvon Xplora device (Horiba Jobin Yvon S.A.S., Villeneuve-d’Ascq, France) equipped with a BX51 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Raman scattering was excited by a laser diode at the wavelength of 785 nm, with a laser power of 90 mW incident on the pellets and the spot size of around 2 μm. Before spectra acquisition was started, the pellet upper surface was visualized and focused by using a microscope with a lens of 100 × magnification. Each pellet was measured by the Raman device at 25 different spots by using a random movement in order to obtain mean spectra of the sample. The acquisition time per spectrum was 100 s. The dispersive spectrometer had an entrance slit of 100 μm and a focal length of 200 mm, grating of 600 lines/mm, and average spectral resolution of 2.5 cm⁻¹. The Raman-scattered light was detected by a thermoelectrically cooled CCD camera (Syncerity, Horiba Scientific, Edison, NJ, USA) operating at 213 K. The spectral acquisition was performed by using LabSpec 6 software (Horiba Scientific, Villeneuve-d’Ascq). For the calibration procedure, the spectra of an aspirin (4-acetylsalicylic acid) were measured daily as a reference control and for subsequent data processing. The achieved signal-to-noise ratio was at least 20.

Figure 2. Representative examples of dental stem cell (apical papilla) differentiation into four different lineages (magnification 100 ×). Slender projections indicated neuro-differentiation (A); Alizarin Red S stained extracellular mineral deposits indicated osteogenic differentiation (B); Safranin O stained areas with proteoglycan presence indicated chondrogenic differentiation (C); and positive Oil Red O staining indicated lipid droplets accumulation, ie, adipogenic differentiation (D). Ctrl – control.
Data processing

Each Raman spectrum (250 spectra in total, around 25 spectra per cell type per patient) was first corrected by subtracting its baseline, determined as a 4th order polynomial fitted through several characteristic points (at around 425, 615, 1700, 2100, and 2500 cm⁻¹) of the spectrum. Peaks in Raman spectra due to cosmic rays were removed. Then, all spectra were smoothed by Savitzky-Golay filter, using a second-order polynomial. After smoothing, vector normalization was applied to all spectra between 400 and 1800 cm⁻¹. Mean and standard deviation was determined for normalized spectra for each cell type (around 75 spectra per cell type): SCAP, DFSC and DPSC.

Statistical analysis

The normality of distribution was assessed by using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The differences between Raman spectra intensities were determined by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal-Wallis’ H-test, followed by Tukey’s post-hoc analysis or Bonferroni corrected Mann-Whitney U test, where appropriate. The level of significance was set at $P=0.05$. For Bonferroni corrected Mann-Whitney U test we used a stricter probability value (less than 0.017). Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 17.0 statistical package (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Multilineage differentiation

Specific cell morphology confirmed neurogenic differentiation; Alizarin Red S staining of mineralized nodules around cells confirmed osteogenic differentiation; the presence of Oil Red O staining showed intracellular lipid accumulation; and the presence of Safranin O clusters of proteoglycans characteristic for cartilage cells confirmed chondro-differentiation (Figure 2). Cells of all the three origins displayed comparable behavior when induced toward a specific lineage. In the control group (non-induced cells) there were no stained cells.

Cell surface markers detection by flow-cytometry

SCAP, DFSC, and DPSCs were strongly positive for CD90, CD73, and CD105 (cell surface markers of mesenchymal
stem cells) and negative for CD45 and CD34 (cell surface markers of hematopoietic cells) (Figure 3). No significant difference was observed between different cell types based on flow-cytometry.

### Gene expression analysis by real-time PCR

The main mesenchymal markers expression was confirmed in all three cell groups, without significant differ-

**FIGURE 4.** Relative gene expression of mesenchymal (CD73 and CD90) and hematopoietic markers (CD45 and CD133) of stem cells isolated from dental pulp (dPSC, black), apical papilla (SCaP, dark gray), and dental follicle (DFSC, light gray).

**TABLE 2.** Biochemical differences between apical papilla (SCaP), dental follicle (DFSC), and pulp stem cells (dPSC) determined by Raman spectroscopy and vector-normalized

| Wavenumber (cm⁻¹)/ assignment | Raman intensity peaks in | P-values |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
|                               | SCaP        | DFSC | DPSC | SCaP vs DFSC | SCaP vs DPSC | DFSC vs DPSC |
| 471 glycogen*                 | -0.043 (0.021) | -0.047 (0.012) | -0.047 (0.038) | 0.008 | 0.501 | 0.166 |
| 612 C-C stretch               | -0.046 ± 0.002 | -0.048 ± 0.002 | -0.047 ± 0.002 | <0.001 | 0.001 | 0.376 |
| 706 lipids*                   | -0.013 (0.011) | -0.013 (0.012) | -0.014 (0.013) | NS     | NS     | NS     |
| 748 protein                   | -0.017 ± 0.003 | -0.018 ± 0.004 | -0.017 ± 0.004 | NS     | NS     | NS     |
| 770 DNA-RNA                   | -0.026 ± 0.004 | -0.018 ± 0.005 | -0.017 ± 0.003 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.950 |
| 843 glycoprotein              | 0.040 ± 0.006  | 0.037 ± 0.009  | 0.044 ± 0.010  | 0.079  | 0.002  | <0.001 |
| 929 glycoprotein              | 0.042 ± 0.008  | 0.038 ± 0.008  | 0.044 ± 0.012  | 0.010  | 0.267  | <0.001 |
| 990 tryptophan                | 0.078 ± 0.007  | 0.074 ± 0.008  | 0.077 ± 0.011  | 0.004  | 0.392  | 0.131 |
| 1023 proteins                 | 0.052 ± 0.005  | 0.030 ± 0.006  | 0.034 ± 0.007  | 0.111  | 0.024  | <0.001 |
| 1074 lipids                   | 0.053 ± 0.005  | 0.051 ± 0.006  | 0.055 ± 0.009  | 0.194  | 0.041  | <0.001 |
| 1094 DNA*                     | 0.045 (0.020)  | 0.045 (0.006)  | 0.046 (0.012)  | 0.108  | 0.164  | 0.006 |
| 1116 protein*                 | 0.046 (0.010)  | 0.043 (0.010)  | 0.045 (0.020)  | 0.016  | 0.495  | 0.002 |
| 1198 nucleic acid*            | 0.033 (0.010)  | 0.035 (0.010)  | 0.031 (0.000)  | 0.028  | 0.004  | <0.001 |
| 1252 lipids                   | 0.078 ± 0.006  | 0.081 ± 0.008  | 0.075 ± 0.008  | 0.010  | 0.163  | <0.001 |
| 1293 lipids*                  | 0.080 (0.010)  | 0.081 (0.010)  | 0.079 (0.010)  | 0.086  | 0.194  | 0.004 |
| 1329 guanine                  | 0.070 ± 0.004  | 0.068 ± 0.004  | 0.070 ± 0.006  | 0.043  | 0.978  | 0.023 |
| 1440 lipids, proteins*        | 0.100 (0.010)  | 0.096 (0.020)  | 0.100 (0.020)  | NS     | NS     | NS     |
| 1546 nucleic acid             | 0.091 ± 0.004  | 0.090 ± 0.006  | 0.089 ± 0.008  | <0.001 | 0.269  | <0.001 |
| 1650 proteins                 | 0.026 ± 0.008  | 0.025 ± 0.008  | 0.022 ± 0.010  | 0.816  | 0.020  | 0.088 |
| 748/770                       | 0.809 ± 0.168  | 0.888 ± 0.204  | 0.972 ± 0.176  | 0.018  | <0.001 | 0.009 |

*Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or median (inter-quartile range). P values represent differences between groups determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal-Wallis’ H-test*, followed by Tukey’s post-hoc analysis or Mann-Whitney U test, where appropriate. Bonferroni’s correction was applied after Kruskal-Wallis’ H-test.
ence between the cells, while the expression of hematopoietic markers was negligible in all samples (Figure 4).

Raman spectromicroscopy

Cell spectra of the three patients, when averaged, showed obvious similarities (Figure 5). However, there were significant differences between SCAP, DFSC, and DPSC (Table 2). Generally speaking, the most important differences were noticed between DFSC and DPSC, namely, out of 20 prominent peaks, 11 showed significant differences. Significant differences between SCAP and DFSC were observed in 8 peaks, while significant differences between SCAP and DPSC were observed only in 4 peaks. The parameter R4 (the ratio between proteins and nucleic acids, 748/770), which is considered to be a reliable parameter for the discrimination between more and less differentiated cells (15), showed a significant difference between the three cell types (SCAP vs DFSC, \( P = 0.018 \); SCAP vs DPSC, \( P < 0.001 \); DFSC vs DPSC, \( P = 0.009 \)). From the R4 values it can tentatively be concluded that the decreasing potency of the analyzed cells was: SCAP > DFSC > DPSC.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the use of standard methodologies for quantitative and qualitative estimation of stemness characteristics of dental tissue cells suggested that SCAP, DFSC, and DPSC exhibited very similar phenotypic characteristics during cultivation and differentiation. Although different dental stem cells exhibited similar Raman spectra, the method enabled us to make a subtle distinction between them.

Several cellular components are closely related to stemness characteristics. Stem cells must constantly maintain a fine balance between anabolism and catabolism, and metabolic plasticity is seen as a crucial phenomenon in the regulation of stem cell transition from self-renewal to lineage specification (26). For instance, glycogen is considered a regulator of potency and cellular differentiation capacity. Although the function of increased storage and production of glycogen in human stem cells is not fully understood, glycogen synthesis seems to be crucial for self-renewal, cell survival, growth rates, shorter doubling time, and differentiation (27,28). High glycogen accumulation is also typically observed in human embryonic stem cells, though its level has not been fully investigated in other stem cells types (29). These findings are in line with the present study, as Raman peaks for glycogen/glycoproteins at 470, 841, and 927 cm\(^{-1}\) showed substantial intensities in all cell types.

Lipids are also considered to be closely linked to stem cells potency (20,30), and lipid metabolism has a pivotal role in stem cell fate determination (31,32). Namely, inhibition of the eicosanoid pathway is associated with the maintenance of the pluripotent state in murine ESC (32). The eicosanoid pathway promotes the hydrolysis of membrane phospholipids by releasing lipid messengers into the cytoplasm (32), and their level progressively decreases during differentiation (18). In our study, all three cell types in all patients exhibited substantial lipid levels as judged by the very characteristic peaks at 1470, 841, and 927 cm\(^{-1}\).

Nucleic acids content could also be considered as a marker of stemness (33,34), as well as the ratio between protein (tryptophan) and nucleic acids. While proteins have more prominent peaks in differentiated cells, nucleic acids quanti-
ty, on the contrary, decreases during differentiation, ie, there is a dominance-reversal in differentiated cells. Tryptophan (protein) peak vs nucleic acid peak (748 vs 770 cm⁻¹) ratio is therefore considered as a differentiation status indicator (18,35). In the present study, highly significant differences in this ratio were obtained between the three cell types. As judged by R4, the decreasing differentiation potential of the three types of cells was as follows: SCAP>DFSC>DPSC. This result, however, must be interpreted with caution, since it can probably vary depending on the patient’s age and the stage of tooth development. Further studies, on a larger sample and on other cell populations would also be necessary for final conclusions to be drawn.

New cell subpopulations are emerging, especially in the orofacial region (36), necessitating the use of different techniques that are able to distinguish among them in order to better understand their lineage relationships. Raman microspectroscopy can provide a rapid, non-invasive, and label-free tool for uncovering subtle biochemical differences that can be used to distinguish more potent from less potent stem cells. The present study brings new insights into dental stem cell characteristics, enhancing the possibility of their clinical application.
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