Screening, Characterization and Identification of Sophorolipid-Producing Yeast Isolated from Palm Oil Effluent Polluted Soil

K. F. Williams a*, O. K. Agwa b, G. O. Abu b and O. Akaranta c

a World Bank African Centre of Excellence, Centre for Oil Field Chemicals Research, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.
b Department of Microbiology, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.
c Department of Pure and Industrial Chemistry, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

Authors’ contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMB/2021/v21i1230409

Editor(s):
(1) Dr. Ana Cláudia Correia Coelho, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto, Portugal.

Reviewers:
(1) Anélia Marais, South Africa.
(2) Belkhodja Hamza, University of Mustapha Stambouli, Algeria.

Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/76592

Original Research Article

Received 09 September 2021
Accepted 19 November 2021
Published 26 November 2021

ABSTRACT

Aim: This study investigated the screening, characterization and identification of sophorolipid-producing yeast isolated from palm oil effluent polluted soil.

Place and Duration: Two soil samples impacted with palm oil mill effluent were obtained from Elibrada and Rumuche in Emohua Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria, between March 2020 and September 2021.

Methodology: The soil samples were analyzed for physicochemical, geotechnical and microbiological qualities. The yeast isolates were identified using biochemical and 16S ITS molecular approaches. The isolates were screened for hydrocarbon degradation and sophorolipid production. The sophorolipid production was evaluated using emulsification index (E24), haemolytic activity, oil spread activity, drop collapse and blue agar plate assay (Cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide CTAB Method).

Results: The pH of the Palm oil mill effluent -impacted soil ranged between pH 6.18 to 6.34 the electrical conductivity value for Elibrada and Rumuche were 20.84 µS/cm and 80.19 µS/cm.
respectively while that of the unpolluted soil was 220.13 μS/cm. Permeability of the soil from Elibrada was 2.7 cm/s, Rumuche had 1.3 cm/s while the unpollluted soil had 5.6 cm/s. Total organic carbon for soil samples from Rumuche was 4.92%, Elibrada had 6.13% while the unpolluted soil had 8.74%. Oil and grease component for the Rumuche soil was 10500 mg/kg, Elibrada had 7200 mg/kg and the unpollluted soil had 28.0 mg/kg. Total fungal count for Rumuche soil samples was 3.8 × 10⁵ CFU/g while Elibrada had 3.2 × 10⁷ CFU/g. Eighty percent (80%) of the isolates were Candida spp. while 20% were Saccharomyces sp. There were four bands separated which were denoted as ITS 600bp and a Ladder L which was 500bp. The phylogenetic construct showed that the strain 8 was 70.2% similar to Candida parapsilosis. The isolate Y3 was 95.8% similar to Candida haemulonis. Isolate Y9 had 100% similarity with Pichia kudriavzevii while Y13 had a 97.4% identity with Saccharomyces cerevisiae the GENBANK accession numbers were Candida haemulonis MW182014, Candida parapsilosis MW182015, Pichia kudriavzevii MW182016 and Saccharomyces cerevisiae MW182017.

**Conclusion:** There is an increasing concern to develop a process for microbial isolation and characterization for effective biotechnological advancement using impacted environmental media as a bioresource.

**Keywords:** Palm oil; polluted soil; screening; sophorolipid; yeast isolated.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Sophorolipids are surfactant-like biopolymers. Surfactants are amphiphilic compounds that lower the system's free energy by displacing higher-energy bulk molecules at an interface [1,2]. It is composed of a hydrophobic moiety that has a low affinity for the bulk aqueous medium and a hydrophilic portion that is attracted to it. Industrially, surfactants have been used as flocculating, wetting, and foaming agents, adhesives and de-emulsifiers, lubricants, and penetrants [3]. The ability of surfactants to reduce surface tension is a critical property. Surfactants tend to accumulate at interfaces (air-water and oil-water) and on surfaces due to their amphiphilic nature. As a result, surfactants reduce the repulsion forces between dissimilar phases at interfaces or surfaces, allowing the two phases to mix more easily [4]. Biosurfactants are a structurally diverse class of molecules with surface-active properties that are synthesized by living organisms. Their ability to reduce surface and interfacial tension while exhibiting low toxicity, high specificity, and biodegradability has sparked increased interest in these microbial products as potential replacements for chemical surfactants [5,6]. Biosurfactants are amphiphilic compounds produced by a wide variety of microorganisms that either adhere to the cellular membrane or are excreted into the culture medium extracellularly [7]. They can reduce the surface and interfacial tensions in oil-water systems and are used in a variety of industrial applications as moistening agents, dispersants, emulsifiers, foaming agents, and detergents [8]. These compounds outperform conventional synthetic surfactants in terms of low toxicity, improved environmental compatibility, increased intersurface activity, increased foaming capability, increased selectivity, and improved biodegradability [9,10]. Biosurfactant is a term that refers to microbial compounds that exhibit significant surface activity [11]. Biosurfactants are unique amphipathic molecules that have been investigated for a variety of industrial and bioremediation applications [12], pharmaceutical and food processing, and oil recovery. Microbial surfactants, also known as biosurfactants, are a class of surface-active molecules derived from a diverse array of microorganisms. These surface-active compounds produced by bacteria have the ability to decrease the surface and interfacial tension between two immiscible fluid phases. It is only in the last few decades that surface-active microbial molecules, dubbed biosurfactants, have garnered widespread interest [13]. Secondary metabolites, biosurfactants are produced during the stationary phase of microbial growth [14,15]. Biosurfactant are broadly classified into Glycolipids, lipoproteins or lipopeptides, phospholipids, fatty acids or natural lipids, polymeric surfactants, and particulate surfactants [16,17]. Global surfactant production exceeded 2.5 million tons in 2002, reached approximately 1,735.5 million USD in 2011, and was expected to reach 2,210.5 million USD in 2018, implying an average annual growth rate of 3.5 percent from 2011 to 2018 [18]. The production of biosurfactant can be carried out in batch or continuous mode. Batch fermentation involves the addition of media and inoculum simultaneously to the bioreactor, and the product is collected at the conclusion of the fermentation
[19]. Conditions in the bioreactor change throughout the process (i.e., nutrients and products and waste were reduced). According to Rodrigues et al. [20], using soybean waste as a substrate enabled the production of biosurfactants and biomass at concentrations of 11.70 g/L and 11.5 g/L, respectively. Pansiripat et al. [21] used a carbon source with a 40:1 oil-glucose ratio. They reported a 58.5 percent reduction in surface tension due to the biosurfactant. Additionally, batch-type bioreactors have advantages. For instance, they can be used when a material is only available during specified times and is suitable for high solids content (25%). While the continuous process is ongoing, substrate streaming and product collection can begin at any time after the maximum product concentration or substrate limits have been reached. In this case, substrates and inoculants can be continuously added, extending the exponential phase [19]. Due to the difficulties associated with controlling substrate availability, studies on biosurfactant production using this type of fermentation have not been widely reported.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection

2.1.1 Soil samples

Three (3) soil samples were obtained from major palm oil mills in Rumuche and Elibrada Emohua within Rivers State. The soil samples were collected using simple hand-held augers which were obtained from the department of Geography and Environmental management. Sterile seal bags were purchased from the Everyday Supermarket, Choba, Rivers State. The sample bags were marked on the basis of site of sample collection. The samples were transported in an ice chest to the laboratory, Alakahia for physicochemical analysis. Samples points were georeferenced using Geographic positioning system (GPS) receives.

2.1.2 Geotechnical and physicochemical evaluation of POME-impacted soil

Imhoff-cone approach coupled with agitation of the cones for 20 to 30 minutes were employed for particle size determination. The mass of the particles was documented and presented in %w/w. The method of ASTM D422 was used to determine the soil texture of the soil samples using different sieve meshes. Specific gravity of the soil samples was determined using a pycnometer method ASTM D854. Permeability of the soil using the samples was determined through the ASTM-D2434 method while Atterberg Limits was determined using ASTM-6913 for a soil moisture test. The pH of the soil samples was determined using the electrochemical method Agbaji et al., [22]. Electrical conductivity was determined using the method of APHA, [23]. The modified method of Effiong et al. [24] was employed for soil alkalinity. The modified method of Agbaji et al., 2020 was employed for phosphate determination of the soil samples using the APHA, [23] approach while turbidometric approach was employed for sulphate determination. The exchangeable ammonia or ammoniacal nitrogen was determined using the APHA, [23] method. The modified methods of Effiong et al. [24]; Orhohoro et al. [25] and Agbaji et al., [22] was employed in the analysis of parameters such as heavy metals, hydrogen sulphides and salinity.

2.1.3 Microbiological analyses of soil samples

The culture medium for the total heterotrophic bacterial count was made up by suspending twenty-eight grams nutrient agar in de-ionized water. The suspension was heated over a Bunsen burner to dissolve the media completely and placed in an autoclave 121°C for 15 minutes at 15 psi. After cooling to room temperature, it was dispense into petri dishes and allowed to solidify. One milliliter of enriched samples was drawn from the setup and diluted using pre-sterilized normal saline and cultured using spread plate technique. Colony count within 30-300 CFU/ml were employed in the determination of the bacterial load. Similarly, the total fungal count was determined by suspending 0.1 ml of the enriched samples and diluted in a 10-fold serial dilution, it was then plated on potato dextrose agar fortified with 0.1% lactic acid to inhibit bacterial contaminants. The inoculated plates were incubated at 25°C for 3-7 days [26].

2.1.4 Isolation of Yeast species from palm oil impacted soil

Aliquots of the enrichment setup were diluted using 9.9 ml sterile normal saline. Glucose yeast peptone media were prepared and autoclaved at 121°C and 15psi for 15minutes. After sterilizing upon cooling, 1.0% lactic acid was introduced into the media to inhibit bacterial contaminants. Vacuum filtered palm oil was used in the palm oil utilization test and the vapor-phase culturing
technique was adopted by soaking the sterile Whatman filter paper no1 with crude oil and palm oil and aseptically placed on the cover of the petri dishes. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 hrs [25,27].

2.2 Biosurfactant Production Screening

2.2.1 Haemolytic activity

Pure cultures of the yeast isolates were streaked and incubated on blood agar plates at 25°C for 45 minutes. The glucose yeast peptone containing 10% fresh sheep blood to 80% nutrient agar plates were allowed to solidify. The streaked plates were incubated for 24-48 hrs at 37°C. The result was interpreted for α, β and γ heamolysis [28].

2.2.2 Oil spread activity

The yeast isolates with potential to degrade crude oil, were sub-cultured to attain pure cultures. The yeast isolates were cultured at 37°C for 24 hrs and dislodged with sterile distilled water. The concentration of yeast cells was determined using 0.1MacFarland before incubation. Two milliliters (2 ml) of inoculum suspension were added to 100ml mineral salt medium in a 250ml Erlenmeyer flask, incubated in an orbital shaker (Stuart Orbital Shaker S150) at 150 rpm, 37°C for 7 days. The reaction was carried out in the ratio of 10:1:0.05 for water; bonny light crude oil; biosurfactant [29].

2.2.3 Drop collapse method

This test is a quality measure of sophorolipids, for this test, 5.0 µl of the 48 hrs culture was used, before and after centrifugation at 12,000 xg for 5 min to separate biomass from the sophorolid and transferred to the oil-coated 96 well micro titer plate. The drop size formed from the sophorolips was observed after 1 min with the aid of a magnifying glass. The result was considered positive for biosurfactant production when the drop was flat and those cultures that gave rounded drops were scored as negative, indicative of the lack of biosurfactant production.

2.3 Blue Agar Plate Assay (Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide CTAB Method)

The modified method of Ndibe et al. [30] was adopted for this study, as mineral salt agar medium supplemented with cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB: 0.8 mg/mL) and methylene blue (MB: 0.02%) was prepared to detect anionic biosurfactant. The crude sophorolipid was dispensed into wells (4.0 mm) in the medium. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 3 days.

2.4 Emulsification Index (E24%)

The modified method of Ndibe et al. [30] using 2.0ml of cell free sophorolipid in the ratio of 1:1, the mixture was then mixed vigorously for half a minute and left to stand for (24h). The ratio of the emulsion to the column was determined mathematically;

\[
\text{Emulsification Index (E24)} = \frac{\text{Height of emulsion formed}}{\text{Height of aqueous phase}} \times 100\%
\]

2.5 Hydrocarbon Degradation Screening of Yeast Isolates

In vitro crude oil biodegradation potential of the organisms was done using the modified method of Shekhar et al. [31]. The test was conducted using 1.0ml overnight culture suspension seeded into 90% mineral salt media fortified with 1% bonny light crude oil (BLCO). The setup was kept under standard conditions for 7 days. The setup was repeated using 2,6-dichlorophenolindophenol. The growth was monitored through culture densities, by taking the O.D readings daily at 600nm against Bushnell Haas medium as blank. Corresponding daily pH was recorded.

2.6 Molecular Identification of Yeast

The isolates were identified molecularly using the method described by Onwumah et al (2015). The yeast DNA was extracted using an Inqaba South African-supplied ZR fungal DNA mini prep extraction kit. Pure and abundant yeast biomass was suspended in 200 l of isotonic buffer and seeded into ZR Bashing Bead Lysis tubes using 500 l of lysis solution. Prior to assembly, the tubes were pre-fitted with a 2 ml column holder and beads and spun at maximum speed for 5 minutes. For 60 seconds, the ZR bashing bead lysis tube was spun at 10,000 xg. Approximately 400 l of the supernatant was transferred to a collection tube fitted with a Zymo-Spin IV spin Filter (orange top) and centrifuged at 7000 xg for 1 minute. 1200 l of fungal DNA binding buffer was added to the filtrate in the collection tubes, bringing the final volume to 1600 l. Around 800 l were then transferred to a Zymo-Spin IIC column
2.10 contained in a collection tube and centrifuged for 1 minute at 10,000 xg. The collection tube’s flow through was discarded. The remaining volume was transferred to the same Zymo-spin and spun; 200 l of the DNA Pre-Wash buffer was added to the Zymo-spin IIC in a new collection tube and centrifuged at 10,000 xg for 1 minute, followed by 500 l of fungal DNA wash buffer. Transferring the Zymo-spin IIC column to a clean 2.0 l centrifuge tube. To elute the DNA, 10.0 l of DNA elution buffer was added to the column matrix and centrifuged at 10,000 xg for 30 seconds. Following that, the ultra-pure DNA was stored at -20 °C for use in subsequent analyses.

2.7 DNA Quantification

The extracted genomic DNA was quantified using the Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer.

2.8 Internal Transcribed Space (ITS) Amplification

The ITS region of the rRNA genes of the isolates were amplified using the ITS1(TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG) and ITS4(TCCCTCCGCTATTGATATGC) primers on ABI 9700 Applied Biosystems thermal cycler at a final volume of 50 μl for 35 cycles. The PCR mix contained twice Dream taq Master mix supplied by Inqaba, South Africa (taq polymerase, dNTPs, MgCl), the primers at a concentration of 0.4 M and the extracted DNA as template. The PCR conditions used were as follows: Initial denaturation, 95°C for 5 min; denaturation, 95°C for 30 Sec; annealing, 53°C for 30 Sec; extension, 72°C for 30 sec and final extension, 72°C for 5 min. The product was resolved on a 1.5 % agarose gel at 120 V for 15 min and visualized on a UV trans illuminator.

2.9 Sequencing

Sequencing was done using the Big Dye Terminator kit on a 3510 ABI sequencer (Inqaba Biotechnological, Pretoria South Africa).

2.10 Phylogenetic Analysis

The sequences were obtained after bioinformatics algorithm trace edit, similar sequences were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database using BLASTN. These sequences were aligned using ClustalX. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method in MEGA 6.0 [32]. The bootstrap consensus tree was inferred from 500 replicates [33] and taken to represent the evolutionary history of the taxa analysed. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Jukes-Cantor method [34].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the physicochemical profile of the palm oil mill effluent impacted soil in Emohua Local Government, Rivers State, Nigeria. The pH of the unpolluted soil was 7.43, Rumuche palm oil mill site had pH 6.18 while that of the Elibrada palm oil mill site was 6.34. The temperature of the soil samples was 31.2°C, 31.9°C and 32.01 °C for the unpolluted, Rumuche and Elibrada palm oil processing sites respectively. The electrical conductivity for Elibrada soil sample was 20.84 µS/cm, the sample from Rumuche had electrical conductivity of 80.1 µS/cm while that of the unpolluted soil was 220.13 µS/cm. The salinity of the Elibrada soil sample was 2.022 mg/kg while for the Rumuche and Unpolluted soil were 1.03 and 1.02 mg/kg. The alkalinity of the Elibrada soil sample was 50.74 mg/kg, Rumuche soil had 30.51 mg/kg while that of the unpolluted soil was 40.58 mg/kg. Phosphate content of the soil samples were 3.57mg/kg, 3.81 mg/kg and 6.24 mg/kg for unpolluted soil, Rumuche and Elibrada palm oil mill effluent impacted sites respectively. Ammonia content of the Elibrada and Rumuche soil sample were 0.056 and 0.041 mg/kg while the result for the unpolluted soil was 0.028 mg/kg. Sulphates and hydrogen sulphide concentration of the soil sample from Rumuche location was 253.83 mg/kg and 5.17 mg/kg, for Elibrada location was 166.49 and 0.052 mg/kg, while for the control unpolluted soil site it was 27.94 and 0.052 mg/kg. The concentration of exchangeable cations iron and zinc was 5.10 and 3.01 mg/kg for unpolluted soil while for Elibrada it was 1.35 and 1.29 mg/kg and Rumuche locations it was 1.83 and 1.59 mg/kg.

Table 2 shows the geotechnical properties of the samples obtained from the study area. The silt content in Rumuche soil sample was 2.57 % w/w, Elibrada was 2.38% while the unpolluted soil was 1.92%w/w. The sandy components were 96.15% w/w, 96.57% and 97.25% for Rumuche, Elibrada and unpolluted soil respectively. The moisture content of the Rumuche soil was 28.6%, Elibrada soil was 15.83%w/w while the unpolluted soil was 8.74%. Permeability of the soil from Elibrada was 2.7 cm/s, Rumuche had 1.3 cm/s while the unpolluted soil had 5.6 cm/s. Total organic carbon for soil samples from
Rumuche was 4.92% w/w, Elibrada had 6.13% while the unpolluted soil had 8.74%. Oil and grease component for the Rumuche soil was 10500 mg/kg, Elibrada had 7200 mg/kg and the unpolluted soil had 28.0mg/kg. The plastic index was 8.0% and 13.0% for Rumuche and Elibrada respectively while the unpolluted soil had 11.0%.

3.1 Microbial Population of the Soil Samples

Table 3 shows the microbial population of the soil samples obtained during the study. The unpolluted soil had a total heterotrophic bacteria count of $1.32 \times 10^5$ CFU/g, while the sample obtained from Rumuche had a total heterotrophic bacterial count of $1.02 \times 10^5$ CFU/g. The impacted soil samples from Elibrada had $9.0 \times 10^4$ CFU/g. The Total fungal count for Rumuche soil sample was $3.8 \times 10^3$ CFU/g while Elibrada had $3.2 \times 10^6$ CFU/g.

3.2 Biochemical Identification of Yeast

Table 4 shows the biochemical identification of the yeast isolates mined from the palm oil mill effluent impacted soil. Eighty percent (80%) of the isolates were Candida spp. while 20% was Saccharomyces sp; Isolates Y1 (Candida sp.), Y3 (Candida sp.), Y8 (Saccharomyces sp.) and Y13 (Candida sp.).

Fig. 1 shows the pattern of the growth, biomass accumulation of the yeast isolates during the hydrocarbon degradation screening. The Candida sp. isolate Y3 was observed to perform optimally under the hydrocarbon spiked medium. The organism Y3 was observed to have a brief lag phase on the first day of the study. The exponential phase was recorded between the 1st and 5th day. Isolate Y13 was observed to have a lag phase between the 1st day and the 3rd day. Then, there was a steady peak into the log phase between the third days. The control was unaffected or did not accumulate biomass during the biodegradation of bonny light crude oil.

Table 5 shows the sophorolipid production potential of the yeast isolates obtained from the study area. The isolate Y3 (Candida sp) was observed to have a gamma heamolysis, an excellent hydrocarbon degradation potential with obvious decolorization of dichlorophenyl indophenol with methylene blue dye. The oil spread was 28.3 mm using bonny light crude oil. The emulsification index (E.I.) was 52.9% while the reaction with CTAB was moderately positive and the surface tension was 30.0N/m. The Y8 (Saccharomyces sp.) also had a gamma heamolysis with an oil spread potential of 34.43 and a higher emulsification potential of 61.1%. The cell free or crude sphoroplipid material obtained from the isolate had a positive hydrocarbon degradation potential.

### Table 1. Physicochemical composition of soil samples

| Parameter     | Unpolluted soil | Rumuche Palm oil Mill Impacted soil | Elibrada Palm oil Mill Impacted soil | F-Stat Value |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| pH            | 7.43±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 6.18±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 6.34±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 6284.78      |
| Temperature (°C) | 31.2±0.04<sup>a</sup> | 31.9±0.00<sup>b</sup> | 32.0±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 864.86       |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) | 220.13±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 80.19±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 20.84±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 1.0x10<sup>d</sup> |
| Salinity (mg/kg) | 1.02±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 1.03±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 2.02±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 4356         |
| Alkalinity (mg/kg) | 40.58±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 30.51±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 50.74±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 1.3x10<sup>c</sup> |
| Phosphate (mg/kg) | 3.57±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 3.81±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 6.24±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 29156.77     |
| Ammonia (mg/kg) | 0.028±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 0.041±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 0.056±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 201.09        |
| Phenol (mg/kg) | 0.066±0.002<sup>a</sup> | 0.464±0.004<sup>c</sup> | 0.38±0.007<sup>b</sup> | 3684.56       |
| H<sub>2</sub>S (mg/kg) | 0.05183±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 5.17±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 8.02±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 387765.07     |
| Sulfates (mg/kg) | 27.94±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 253.83±0.06<sup>c</sup> | 166.49±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 1.7x10<sup>d</sup> |
| Pb (mg/kg) | 0.038±0.001<sup>a</sup> | 8.23±0.09<sup>b</sup> | 13.96±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 34031.34      |
| V (mg/kg) | 0.0001<sup>a</sup> | 0.61±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 2.0138±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 32203.0       |
| Fe (mg/kg) | 5.10±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 1.83±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 1.35±0.10<sup>a</sup> | 4700.75       |
| Cr (mg/kg) | 1.82±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 43.03±0.00<sup>b</sup> | 51.72±0.00<sup>c</sup> | 2.0 x10<sup>b</sup> |
| Zn (mg/kg) | 3.01±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 1.59±0.014<sup>b</sup> | 1.29±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 2416.42       |

Data presented as Mean ± Standard Deviation; Similar superscripts in a column imply there was no significant difference, those with different superscripts are significant at p-value <0.05
Table 2. Geotechnical composition of the soil samples

| Parameter                  | Unpolluted soil | Rumuche Palm oil Mill Impacted soil | Elibrada Palm oil Mill Impacted soil | F-Stat |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Silt (%)                   | 1.92±0.01 a     | 2.57±0.01 c                         | 2.38±0.01 b                         | 4468   |
| Clay (%)                   | 0.83±0.03 a     | 1.28±0.01 c                         | 1.05±0.03 b                         | 393.45 |
| Sand (%)                   | 97.25±0.01 c    | 96.15±0.00 a                        | 96.57±0.05 b                        | 678.62 |
| Soil Type                  | Sandy           | Sandy                               | Sandy                               |        |
| Moisture Content (%) w/w   | 8.74±0.01 a     | 28.6±0.14 c                         | 15.83±0.04 b                        | 27931.97 |
| Permeability (cm/s)        | 5.6±0.07 c      | 1.3±0.07 a                          | 2.7±0.01 b                          | 1829.33 |
| Total Organic Carbon (%)   | 8.74±0.02 c     | 4.92±0.14 a                         | 6.13±0.01 b                         | 32781.5 |
| Oil and Grease (mg/kg)     | 28.0±0.20 a     | 10500±0.28 c                        | 7200±0.01 b                         | 21285131.6 |
| Liquid Limit (%)           | 19.00±0.04 a    | 28.00±0.01 b                        | 31.0±0.01 c                         | 10378.4 |
| Plastic Limit (%)          | 8.0±0.03 a      | 16.0±0.14 b                         | 18.0±0.14 c                         | 4188.5 |
| Plastic Index              | 11±0.71 b       | 8.0±0.14 a                          | 13.0±0.01 c                         | 43.8   |
| Plastic Description        | Grey Sandy      | Dark-Silty Sandy                    | Grey-Silty Sandy                    |        |
| Permeability Description   | Moderately rapid| Slow                                | Moderately slow                     |        |

Data presented as Mean ± Standard Deviation; Similar superscripts in a column imply there was no significant difference, those with different superscripts are significant at p-value <0.05

Table 3. Microbial population of palm oil mill impacted soil and pristine soil

| Sample                        | THBC(CFU/g) | TFC(CFU/g) |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Unpolluted soil               | 1.32×10⁵    | 4.6×10⁴    |
| Rumuche Palm oil Mill Impacted soil | 1.02×10⁴  | 3.8×10³    |
| Elibrada Palm oil Mill Impacted soil | 9.80×10⁴  | 3.2×10³    |

THBC= Total Heterotrophic Bacterial Count; TFC= Total Fungal Count; CFU/g= Colony Forming Unit per gram

declourization of DCPIP, drop collapse and CTAB activity and a surface tension of 30.0N/m. The isolate Y13 (Candida sp.) had an alpha blood haemolysis with an oil spread potential of 33.5 and an emulsification index of 66.7% with no CTAB activity and surficial tension of 50.0 N/m.

3.3 Molecular Characteristics of the Yeast Isolates

The result presented in Fig. 3 shows the gel electrophoresis of genomic extracts. There are four bands separated and denoted as ITS 600bp and a ladder L which was 500bp. The bands were tagged or labeled Y3, Y8, Y9 and Y13. The molecular mass is a measure of the migration on the gel.

Fig. 4 shows the phylogenetic construct of the isolates. The phylogenetic construct shows that the Y8 was 70.2% similar to Candida parapsilosis. The isolate Y3 was 95.8% similar to the Candida haemulonis. Isolate Y9 had 100% similarity Pichia kudriavzevii while Y13 had a 97.4% identity with Saccharomyces cerevisiae.

Table 6 shows the NCBI accession numbers for the four yeast isolates. The Y3 (Candida haemulonis), Y8 (Candida parapsilosis), Y9 (Pichia kudriavzevii) and Y13 (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) had accession numbers between MW182014 to MW182017.

4. DISCUSSION

Palm oil mills in the southern part of Nigeria has remained a mainstay for a number of economic benefits. The activities from the milling have been reported in both cottage and commercial scale in many states of Nigeria. The need for proper treatment of palm oil mill effluent has been advocated by researchers [35,36]. There have been concerns that indiscriminate discharge of POME may impact on the physicochemical attributes such as the total...
hydrocarbon content, and level of dissolved oxygen as reported by Ohimain et al. [37]. The impact of these industrial activities on the soil have been reported to have a number of negative effects ranging from aesthetic loss to tainting and leaching of nutrients. The account of lyakndue et al. [38] suggests a marked change in the physicochemical and nutrient availability for the soil pre-exposed to palm oil mill effluent. Chikwendu and Ogbonna [39] reported a high level of soil radicals. Their study also documented a change in the microbial flora.

Table 4. Biochemical characteristics of yeast isolated from palm oil impacted soil

| ISOLATE | GERM TUBE | GLUCOSE | LACTOSE | MALTOSE | GALACTOSE | SUCROSE | UREA | PROBABLE GENERAL |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|------|------------------|
| Y1      | -         | +       | -       | -       | +         | +       | -    | Candida sp.      |
| Y2      | -         | +       | -       | -       | +         | +       | -    | Candida sp.      |
| Y3      | -         | -       | -       | -       | -         | +       | +    | Candida sp.      |
| Y4      | -         | +       | +       | +       | +         | -       | +    | Saccharomyces sp.|
| Y5      | -         | +       | -       | +       | +         | -       | +    | Candida sp.      |
| Y6      | -         | +       | -       | +       | +         | -       | +    | Candida sp.      |
| Y7      | -         | -       | -       | -       | -         | +       | +    | Candida sp.      |
| Y8      | -         | +       | -       | -       | +         | +       | +    | Saccharomyces sp.|
| Y9      | -         | -       | -       | -       | -         | +       | -    | Candida sp.      |
| Y10     | -         | +       | -       | -       | +         | -       | +    | Candida sp.      |
| Y11     | -         | +       | -       | -       | +         | -       | +    | Candida sp.      |
| Y12     | -         | +       | -       | -       | +         | -       | +    | Candida sp.      |
| Y13     | -         | +       | -       | -       | +         | -       | +    | Candida sp.      |
| Y14     | -         | +       | -       | -       | +         | -       | +    | Candida sp.      |

Fig. 1. Growth pattern of yeast isolates for hydrocarbon degradation
Fig. 2. Effect of pH during hydrocarbon degradation screening of the yeast isolates

Table 5. Sophorolipid production potential screening of yeast isolates obtained from palm oil impacted soil

| Isolate | Blood Haemolysis | HC- Deg potential | DCPIP Degradation | Drop Collapse | Oil Spread (mm) | Emulsification Index (%) | CTAB Surface Tension |
|---------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Y1      | +                | +                | +++               | 18.2          | 40.6            | -                        | 38                   |
| Y2      | +                | +                | +++               | 15.6          | 22.2            | -                        | 48.7                 |
| Y3      | +++              | ++               | ++                | 28.3          | 52.9            | ++                       | 30                   |
| Y4      | +                | +                | +                 | 30.4          | 39.3            | +                        | 33.5                 |
| Y5      | +                | +                | -                 | 1.5           | 10.8            | -                        | 41                   |
| Y6      | +                | +                | +++               | 22.6          | 32.3            | +                        | 55.2                 |
| Y7      | +                | +                | +++               | 30.7          | 35.5            | +                        | 57                   |
| Y8      | ++               | ++               | +++               | 34.3          | 61.1            | ++                       | 30                   |
| Y9      | ++               | ++               | ++                | 30.4          | 42.7            | +                        | 40                   |
| Y10     | +                | +                | -                 | 3.8           | 15.3            | -                        | 41.2                 |
| Y11     | +                | +                | +                 | 20.1          | 46.9            | +                        | 52.6                 |
| Y12     | +                | +                | +                 | 28.7          | 53.3            | ++                       | 37.1                 |
| Y13     | ++               | ++               | ++                | 33.5          | 66.7            | -                        | 50                   |
| Y14     | +                | +                | +                 | 16.7          | 21.4            | -                        | 64.3                 |
Fig. 3. Gel electrophoresis pattern and band of the genomic DNA extracts obtained after gene amplification

Fig. 4. Phylogenetic tree showing the evolutionary distance between the fungal isolates

Table 6. Bioinformatics details of yeast isolates

| Sequence | Isolate Code | Percentage Similarity (%) | Identity               | Gen Bank Accession Number |
|----------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1        | Y3           | 95.8                      | Candida haemulonis     | MW182014                  |
| 2        | Y8           | 70.2                      | Candida parapsilosis   | MW182015                  |
| 3        | Y9           | 100                       | Pichia kudriavzevii    | MW182016                  |
| 4        | Y13          | 97.4                      | Saccharomyces cerevisiae | MW182017                 |
The pH of the impacted soil ranged from 7.43 for the unpolluted soil to 6.18 for Rumuche while pH 6.3 was recorded for Elibrada soil. The pH of any soil has been credited and correlated with the microbial activities, nutrient uptake, diversity and bioavailability. This range observed was in tandem with the report of Chikwendu and Ogbonna [39] whose investigation reported pH 7.48. There was a steady decline in the pH values of the polluted soil making them slightly acidic. This observation was similar to the report of Iwuagwu and Ugwanyi [40] whose study reported a similar decline in the pH of the POME-impacted soil. In a related study, the report of Nnaji et al. [41] also reported that there was a steady decline in the pH of an impacted soil, but also observed that the steadily returned to alkaline condition from the acidic condition caused by the palm oil mill effluent. POME-impacted soil has been widely reported to be acidic and could create a number of acidic soil challenges [42].

The electrical conductivity of the soil impacted by POME ranged from 20.84 µS/cm to 31.9 µS/cm, slightly more than the unpolluted soil. This was in agreement with the report of Osman et al. [35] whose account suggested that the effluent could increase the level of ions in the soil and also affect the level of electrical conductivity of the soil. In a related study, Chikwendu and Ogbonna [39] reported an electrical conductivity of 3.2 µS/cm. This agrees with the concentration of Iron which was 1.83 mg/kg, lead (Pb) was 8.23 and 13.96 mg/kg for palm oil mill effluent-impacted soil from Elibrada which also suggest a considerably significant presence of cations. These values were similar to those reported by Osman et al. [35] who reported a significant number of ions such as lead, chromium, arsenic in palm oil mill effluent. Futhermore, Iyakndue et al. [40] also reported that palm oil mill effluents and processing are associated with a number of ions.

Geotechnical evaluation revealed a number of soil specific profile about the study area. The Silt (%) was 2.57 for Rumuche and 2.38%w/w for Elibrada while sand (%) was 96.15 and 96.57% respectively. The soil type under evaluation were categorized as sandy soil with a moisture content of 28.6% and 15.83% for Rumuche and Elibrada. The Oil and Grease values for the POME-polluted soil was 10500 mg/kg for Rumuche and 7200 mg/kg for Elibrada samples. The plastic limit was 16.0% and 18% respectively for the POME-impacted soil samples. These findings support the finding of Awotoye et al. [42] that POME-mediated pollution can alter the geotechnical properties of soil. Although their study observed a similar index, they concluded that this type of pollution caused by a poor management profile and treatment technologies could leach into other non-target aquatic ecosystems, potentially resulting in blow-out situations. These findings corroborated the finding of Iyakndue et al. [38], who also noted a difference in the level of fluxes in the geotechnical properties of POME-impacted soil.

Microbial diversity of POME-impacted soil has remained a constant worry to several scientific researchers. Total heterotrophic count of the soil samples from Rumuche and Elibrada was 1.02 ×10^5 and 9.80×10^4 CFU/g respectively while that of the unpolluted soil had 1.3×10^5 CFU/g. This suggests that the microbial population of an unpolluted soil was at least 10 times higher than the POME-impacted soil. This implies that there could be a significant decline in the microbial community profile of the soil. However, total fungal count of the soil was observed to remain fairly the same with little or no significant changes as the microbial population varied from 3.2×10^5 CFU/g to 4.6×10^4 CFU/g. Chikwendu and Ogbonna [39] reported a total heterotrophic count in POME-impacted soil of 25×10^5 CFU/mL while the unpolluted soil had a concentration of 1.86×10^6 CFU/mL. The work of Awotoye et al. [42] reported a total heterotrophic count of POME-impacted soil to range from 1.8 ×10^6 CFU/g to 6.50×10^6 CFU/g, with a total fungal count of 9.5 ×10^2 CFU/g to 2.5×10^3 CFU/g; their finding was in close proximity with the report obtained from the present study. This study may have varied only on the basis of the temperature of the effluent employed in the spiking of the soil. The temperature of the effluent and the geotechnics of the soil have been attributed to the changes in the microbial bioload as observed by Okwute and Isu [36]. These researchers observed that the changes in the total aerobic count varied on the basis of the temperature of the POME. The counts obtained from their study showed a steep decline in their total heterotrophic count although the account of Archana et al. [43] attributed the presence of organic matter to the stability of the fungal community while the heat associated with the effluent as a major reason for the dynamics in the heterotrophic bacterial count. Okwute et al. [44] reported that the activity of POME compared to certain soil or water microbiota could either be
positive or negative as the nutritional components associated with the effluent depleted.

Eighty percent (80%) of the isolates was Candida spp. while 20% was Saccharomyces sp. isolates Y1 (Candida sp.), Y3 (Candida sp.), Y8 (Saccharomyces sp.) and Y13 (Candida sp.). The report of Okwute et al. [32] reported Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Proteus, Micrococcus, Aspergillus, Penicillium, Paecilomyces and Candida as the microflora they observed from POME- polluted soil. This agrees with the findings of the present study that Candida, Saccharomyces sp. and other yeast isolates may be associated with this nature of industrial effluent. This agrees with the report of Bala et al. [45] whose investigation revealed the presence of Aspergillus fumigatus 107PF, Aspergillus nomius 108PF, Aspergillus niger 109PF and Meyerozyma guilliermondii 110PF was associated with this nature of industrial effluent. Nebo and Abu [46] reported that the yeast has the ability to withstand and grow on POME and also possesses degradative and biosurfactant production potential. The report of Nwuche and Ogbonna [47] isolated lipase producing fungi from POME-dumpsite in Nsukka, Nigeria and characterized isolates such as Aspergillus sp., Penicillium and Mucor genera. Sophorolipid production potentials of the yeast isolates showed that the yeast isolates had a significant potential to induce reduction in the surficial tension of effluent. The Candida isolate (Y3) was observed to have a positive reaction to the drop collapse test; oil spread of 18.2 mm, emulsification index of 52.9% and a moderate surface tension activity. This agrees with the reported of Neboh and Abu [46] whose study identified a surface tensaactive profile by the yeast isolates obtained from POME-effluent. Their report documented an appreciable yield in the sophorolipid produced by the yeast associated with POME-impacted soil. Koniishi et al. [48] was able to assess the yeast isolates as possible tools for white biotechnology and evaluated their potential and phylogeny. The report of Orji et al. [49] reported the isolates obtained from POME-impacted environment with the possibility of producing diverse tensiveactive substances. The study of Silveira et al. (2019) also supported that these sophorolipids produced by yeast isolates such as Starmerella bombicola can both function as emulsifiers and antimicrobial agents. The work of Archana et al. [43] identified and screened the potential of yeast from impacted environment with a yield of 4.23g/L. Their report identified the sophorolipid production potentials of Candida species. The present study agreed very strongly with the findings of these authors. These surfactants have been identified as a possible adaptive feature of microbes. The report of Claus and Bogaert [50] was also able to screen yeast producing sophorolipid and categorized them as environmentally friendly but decried the faulty characterization protocol as major problem in niche-related studies. Their study also developed a number of protocols in the assessment of sophorolipid production potentials of yeast isolates.

Hydrocarbon degradation of the yeast isolates were identified during this study. The activity to reduce the methylene blue indicator in DCPiP was observed during the study. There was a remarkable reduction in the colour of the indicator during the study with a corresponding increase in the biomass and pH of the medium. The molecular identification of the yeast isolates showed that the isolates possess the ability required for mineralization of the petroleum hydrocarbons. The phylogenetic construct shows that the Y8 was 70.2% similar to the Candida parapsilosis. The isolate Y3 was 95.8% similar to the Candida haemulonlis. Isolate Y9 had 100% similarity to Pichia kudriavzevii while Y13 had a 97.4% identity with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The Y3 (Candida haemulonlis, Y8 (Candida parapsilosis), Y9 (Pichia kudriavzevii) and Y13 (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) isolates had accession numbers between MW182014 to MW182017. These findings strongly agree with the report of Al-Dhabaan [51] who reportedly isolated and characterized yeast isolates with hydrocarbon degradation capacities from Kafji oil field in Saudi Arabia, namely Candida tropicalis, Rhodotorula mucilaginosa and Rhodosporidium toruloides. The account of Miranda et al. [52] identified the degradation of petroleum hydrocarbon by prolific yeast isolates Rhodotorula auranticaca and Candida ernobii. However, Okerentugba et al. [53] reported the activity of hydrocarbon degradation potentials from palm wine yeast such as Candida adriatica and Candida taoyuanica. In a related study Shumin et al. [54] reported that Pichia omen had the potential to degrade hydrocarbon fractions. It is common knowledge that indigenous microorganisms inhibiting the soil for a long time, could adapt and function in diverse ecosystems. This also validates that there could be a number of exogenous microbes which could also play a vital role in eco-restoration. There have been considerations that the exogenous organisms
may not be perfect eco-adapters in every terrestrial habitat situation [54,55,56]. Several scientific reports have identified yeast as being environmentally friendly and ecologically diverse.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Palm oil processing will remain a major economic driver in the southern part of Nigeria and the effluent associated with these activities both at cottage and industrial scale will remain a major source of concern to both the scientific and entrepreneurial enthusiast until a cheap and feasible treatment and management protocol are established to meet these teeming concerns. The habitat and niche occupied by a number of ecological drivers such as yeast isolates have been identified in the present study. The geotechnical and physicochemical properties of soil samples impacted by the palm oil mill process have been reported to have created a shift in the soil texture and profile. The microbial population of the soil samples evaluated was in accordance to previously published literature. The biodiversity of the soil had been identified as narrowing the diversity of yeast flora observed during the study. Biosurfactant (sophorolipid) production potentials were observed on the isolates obtained from the study. There was a significant surface tension, crude oil biodegradation potential, CTAB, emulsification and oil spread activities which suggested a major qualitative and quantitative potential of the yeast isolates. Molecular studies showed the presence of Candida haemulonis, Candida parapsilosis, Pichia kudriavzevii and Saccharomyces cerevisiae as the major microflora of the POME-impacted soil in Emohua Local Government area of Rivers State. This study was able to identify and agree with a number of peer review articles on the potency of these yeast isolates as ecofriendly and also as bioemulsifiers in their ecological niches. This investigation further recommends the potency of several impacted environmental matrices as archives and reservoirs of ecologically friendly yeast isolates whose potentials could be harnessed in a number of biotechnological processes. The academia at all level must synergize in the use of these prolific and ecologically diverse flora in the production of high value industrial and environmental technology and promote the application of indigenous microbes in diverse of biotechnological advancements.
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