Impact of Gene Repression on Biofilm Formation of Vibrio cholerae
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Vibrio cholerae, the etiological agent of cholera, is a facultative intestinal pathogen which can also survive in aquatic ecosystems in the form of biofilms, surface-associated microbial aggregates embedded in an extracellular matrix, which protects them from predators and hostile environmental factors. Biofilm-derived bacteria and biofilm aggregates are considered a likely source for cholera infections, underscoring the importance of Vibrio cholerae biofilm research not just to better understand bacterial ecology, but also cholera pathogenesis in the human host. While several studies focused on factors induced during biofilm formation, genes repressed during this persistence stage have been fairly neglected.

In order to complement these previous studies, we used a single cell-based transcriptional reporter system named TetR-controlled recombination-based in-biofilm expression technology (TRIBET) and identified 192 genes to be specifically repressed by Vibrio cholerae during biofilm formation. Predicted functions of in-biofilm repressed (ibr) genes range from metabolism, regulation, surface association, transmembrane transport as well as motility and chemotaxis. Constitutive (over)-expression of these genes affected static and dynamic biofilm formation of Vibrio cholerae at different stages. Notably, timed expression of one candidate in mature biofilms induced their rapid dispersal. Thus, genes repressed during biofilm formation are not only dispensable for this persistence stage, but their presence can interfere with ordered biofilm development. This work thus contributes new insights into gene silencing during biofilm formation of Vibrio cholerae.
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INTRODUCTION

Vibrio cholerae is a facultative bacterial pathogen and the causative agent of cholera, an acute life-threatening diarrheal disease which affects approximately 2.9 million people per year and causes an estimated 95,000 deaths every year in 69 countries where the disease is endemic (Ali et al., 2015). Virulent V. cholerae enters the body when ingested together with contaminated food or water and then proceeds to colonize the small bowel and induce illness upon conditional expression of many well-known virulence factors, such as the choleratoxin and the toxin co-regulated pilus (TCP). Treatment of piped water is effective in preventing the risk of the water-borne disease. However, in low-income countries where cholera is endemic, potable water is sometimes not available, hygiene is poor and treatments or medical care are often insufficient (Harris et al., 2012).
In-between epidemic outbreaks, *V. cholerae* survives in coastal and estuarine aquatic environments, where it mostly exists in the form of sessile microbial communities embedded in an exopolymeric matrix, called biofilms (Schulze et al., 2021). Bacterial biofilms are formed through attachment to biotic or abiotic surfaces and protect enclosed bacteria from microbial predators, bacteriophages, toxic chemicals, and desiccation, while also serving as platforms for accumulation of nutrients and horizontal gene transfer (Flemming et al., 2016). Throughout its life cycle, *V. cholerae* shuttles between aquatic ecosystems and the human host environment, and thus needs to integrate an array of external signals in order to adapt accordingly. Biofilms seem to have a crucial role in this transition, as cells in a biofilm display markedly higher *in vivo* fitness and are more primed for intestinal colonization when compared to their planktonic counterparts (Tamayo et al., 2010; Gallego-Hernandez et al., 2020). Moreover, ingestion of floating biofilm aggregates seems to be a high risk factor for cholera, as simply removing particles larger than 20 μm from contaminated waters prior to use reduced the incidence of cholera by almost 50% (Colwell et al., 2003), underlining the importance of biofilms not only to better understand the ecology of *V. cholerae* and how it persists and behaves during inter-epidemic periods, but also to gain knowledge on the mechanisms of infection and disease, for improved clinical management.

Over the past 30 years, knowledge about the regulatory mechanisms, biological functions, and biochemical composition of *V. cholerae* biofilms substantially increased. Biofilm formation can be generally divided into three stages: attachment, maturation, and dispersal. In *V. cholerae*, the attachment phase initiates upon surface contact. This is facilitated by flagellum-driven rotating motility across the surface, which maximizes contact between the mannosensitive hemagglutinin (MSHA) type IV pilus and the surface in order for the cells to find optimal adhesion spots and irreversibly attach themselves (Utada et al., 2014). In addition, the structural matrix protein Bap1 seems to be also relevant for initial attachment (Berk et al., 2012), as well as the c-di-GMP-regulated adhesins FlrA and CraA (Kitts et al., 2019). Furthermore, the type IV pilus TCP, which is necessary for adherence to the small bowel epithelium, has been implicated to facilitate attachment to chitinous substrates found in the aquatic ecosystem (Reguera and Kolter, 2005).

Upon attachment, *V. cholerae* proliferates in a sessile state while simultaneously secreting the components of the extracellular matrix. This matrix is mainly composed of the *Vibrio* polysaccharide (VPS), the structural proteins RbmA, RbmC, and Bap1, and extracellular DNA (Yildiz and Schoolnik, 1999; Fong and Yildiz, 2007; Absalon et al., 2011; Seper et al., 2011). VPS is the most prevalent component of the extracellular biofilm matrix, accounting for over 50% of its total mass (Yildiz et al., 2014). Expression of most biofilm-relevant gene clusters, such as vps gene clusters, is negatively regulated by quorum sensing (Hammer and Bassler, 2003) and induced by high levels of the second messenger bis-(3′-5′)-cyclic dimeric guanosine monophosphate (c-di-GMP; Lim et al., 2006; Krasteva Petya et al., 2010; Hsieh et al., 2018).

When biofilms mature, cells disperse from the upper layers and return to the planktonic state to colonize other environments. This process is less well understood, but recent efforts have revealed factors implicated in biofilm dispersal in *V. cholerae*, namely c-di-GMP signaling-related proteins, matrix digestion enzymes, flagellar motility modulators, two-component regulators, and extracellular nucleases (Seper et al., 2011; Bridges et al., 2020).

In summary, many molecular factors are known today whose expression and activity are necessary for proper biofilm development. Most of the reports analyzing expression profiles in *V. cholerae* biofilms relied on transcriptome analyses. However, microarray or RNAseq studies have to deal with two main limitations: (i) the consequences of averaging heterogeneity in the bacterial population and (ii) the restriction to a snapshot analysis (Beloin and Ghigo, 2005; An and Parsek, 2007; Stewart and Franklin, 2008). Any unique patterns of gene expression in specific regions in a biofilm or at specific time-points during biofilm formation might be lost. Most likely cells in the biofilm are in different metabolic states, and the average RNA levels per cell can vary drastically. Transcriptional profiling on RNA levels might be skewed toward the fast-growing population with higher RNA levels. Not surprisingly, three independent transcriptome studies on genes expressed in *Escherichia coli* K-12 biofilms share only two genes in common (Schembri et al., 2003; Beloin et al., 2004; Ren et al., 2005). Thus, alternative technologies, which can overcome at least some of these limitations, are required to unravel new insights in biofilm physiology.

Single-cell based reporter gene systems are useful strategies to explore gene expression in heterogeneous environments like biofilms but have been under-used (Beloin and Ghigo, 2005; An and Parsek, 2007; Stewart and Franklin, 2008). Recombination-based systems are capable of detecting gene deregulation in sub-populations according to their spatial and temporal expression and could therefore reveal novel insights into the biofilm physiology (Angelichio et al., 1999, 2004; Schild et al., 2007; Seper et al., 2014; Cakar et al., 2018).

In addition, most studies performing transcriptome analyses have mainly focused on static biofilms of *V. cholerae*, demonstrating a strict dependence of biofilm formation on the vps and quorum sensing genes, which are essential for exopolysaccharide formation and cell–cell signaling, respectively. Static biofilm models are generally performed in enclosed systems, such as test tubes or microtiter plates allowing high-throughput analyses, but result in accumulation of metabolic end products and provide limited supply of nutrients over time. In contrast, dynamic biofilm models using flow cell systems allow a continuous nutrient supply over time (Sternberg and Töltzer-Nielsen, 2006), which might reflect a more natural situation, since in the aquatic environment *V. cholerae* is also exposed to flows and currents. Dynamically grown biofilms of O1 El Tor were also reported to be VPS- and quorum sensing-independent (Müller et al., 2007). Intrigued by this observation, a recombination-based screen to identify genes induced during biofilm formation identified several candidates to be exclusively induced in biofilm conditions, and respective mutants exhibited altered phenotypes only in one condition.
(Seper et al., 2014). This highlights a discrepancy between static and dynamic biofilm formation.

In this work, we have adapted a TetR-controlled resolvase-based single-cell reporter system, previously used to identify in vivo repressed genes of V. cholerae (Cakar et al., 2018), to now be capable to screen in-biofilm repressed (ibr) genes. So far, little attention has been given to transcriptional silencing during biofilm formation. Such in-biofilm repressed genes might encode factors, which are simply not required during the sessile persistence state. In contrast, their expression could also interfere in biofilm formation and repression is mandatory to allow regular biofilm development. The screen presented herein identified 192 unique in-biofilm repressed genes. Of these, nine were comprehensively analyzed for their impact on biofilm formation and biofilm-associated phenotypes. In general, the vast majority of these nine ibr genes markedly affected static biofilm formation upon constitutive (over)-expression, while most deletion mutants showed no or only minor changes. Further analyses revealed that constitutive (over)-expression of ibr genes can impact biofilm development at different stages. This study therefore highlights the importance of gene repression of V. cholerae during biofilm formation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions**

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. *Vibrio cholerae* AC53 was used as the wild type (WT) strain and is a spontaneous streptomycin-resistant (Sm⁰) derivative of the O1 El Tor Ogawa clinical isolate E7946 (Miller et al., 1989). All *V. cholerae* mutant strains used in this study are derivatives of AC53. *Escherichia coli* strains DH5α::pir and SM10::pir were used for genetic manipulations. Unless stated otherwise, strains were cultivated in lysogeny broth (LB) or on LB agar plates with aeration at 37°C. If required, antibiotics and other supplements were added during the following final concentrations: streptomycin (Sm), 100 μg/ml; ampicillin (Ap), 100 μg/ml, or 50 μg/ml in combination with other antibiotics, chloramphenicol (Cm), 2 μg/ml; kanamycin (Km), 50 μg/ml; arabinose (Ara), 0.2% (w/v); glucose (Glc), 0.2% (w/v; for overnight cultures), or 0.02% (w/v; for biofilm dispersal assays); sucrose (Suc), 10% (w/v).

**Construction of Deletion Mutants and Expression Plasmids**

The isolation of chromosomal DNA, PCR reactions, purification of plasmids or PCR products, and construction of suicide or expression plasmids were carried out as described previously (Seper et al., 2011; Leitner et al., 2015; Pressler et al., 2016). Qiagen plasmid kits were used for isolation of plasmid DNA; Qiaquick® Gel extraction and Qiaquick® PCR Purification kits (Qiagen) were used for purifying DNA fragments. PCR reactions for fusion primer and nested integrated (FPNI)-PCR, subcloning, or generation of splicing by overlap extension (SOE)-PCR fragments were carried out using the Q5® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs), while Taq DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) was used for all other PCRs.

### TABLE 1 | Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

| Strain or plasmid | Genotype/resistance/description | References |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| *Escherichia coli* DH5α::pir | ΔΦ80lacZΔM15Δ(dx516ΔF'lacU169)ΔdeoR recA1 endA1 hisD17 r(λcm) supE44 thi-1 gyrA69 relA1, Δpir::Km | Platt et al., 2000 |
| SM10::pir | thr leu tonA lacY5 supE4 RecA::RPA-2:: Te::Mu Δpir::Km, Km⁰ | Simon et al., 1983 |
| *V. cholerae* WT | AC53, wild type V. cholerae strain serogroup: O1; biotype: El Tor; serotype: Ogawa; spontaneous Sm⁰ mutant of E7946; clinical isolate from Bahrain 1978; hapR⁺; Sm⁰; used for previous immunization studies (Schild et al., 2008; 2009; Bishop et al., 2010, 2012; Leitner et al., 2013) | Miller et al., 1989 |
| irgA::tpc | Insertion of tetR-phoA-cat downstream of irgA in WT, Sm⁰, Cm⁰ | Cakar et al., 2018 |
| ΔVC0178 | Deletion of VC0178 in WT, Sm⁰ | This study |
| ΔVC0512 | Deletion of VC0512 in WT, Sm⁰ | This study |
| ΔVC0845 | Deletion of VC0845 in WT, Sm⁰ | This study |
| ΔVC0998 | Deletion of VC0998 in WT, Sm⁰ | This study |
| ΔVC1289 | Deletion of VC1289 in WT, Sm⁰ | This study |
| ΔVCA0281 | Deletion of VCA0281 in WT, Sm⁰ | This study |
| ΔVCA0658 | Deletion of VCA0658 in WT, Sm⁰ | This study |
| ΔVCA0773 | Deletion of VCA0773 in WT, Sm⁰ | This study |
| ΔVCA0988 | Deletion of VCA0988 in WT, Sm⁰ | This study |
| pUC19 | pUC6 backbone with M13mp19-derived multiple cloning site in reverse orientation, high copy number, Ap⁰ | Quzman et al., 1995 |
| pBK-VC0178 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Donnenberg and Aper, 1991 |
| pUC19 | pUC6 backbone with M13mp19-derived multiple cloning site in reverse orientation, high copy number, Ap⁰ | Norrander et al., 1989 |
| pBK-VC0512 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Donnenberg and Aper, 1991 |
| pBK-VC0845 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Norrander et al., 1989 |
| pBK-VC0998 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Donnenberg and Aper, 1991 |
| pBK-VC1289 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Norrander et al., 1989 |
| pBK-VCA0281 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Donnenberg and Aper, 1991 |
| pBK-VCA0658 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Norrander et al., 1989 |
| pBK-VCA0773 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Donnenberg and Aper, 1991 |
| pBK-VCA0988 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Norrander et al., 1989 |
| ΔVCA0281 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Donnenberg and Aper, 1991 |
| ΔVCA0658 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Norrander et al., 1989 |
| pCVDψpsA::phoA | Insertion of phoA directly downstream of the ψpsA locus in WT, Sm⁰ | This study |
| Plasmids | pBK | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Quzman et al., 1995 |
| pCVD442 | pBAD18-Kan, arabinose-inducible, Km⁰ ori6K, mobRP4, sacB, Ap⁰ | Donnenberg and Aper, 1991 |
| pUC19 | pUC6 backbone with M13mp19-derived multiple cloning site in reverse orientation, high copy number, Ap⁰ | Norrander et al., 1989 |
Construction of in-frame deletion mutants in *V. cholerae* were carried out *via* two established methods. In case of ΔVCA0281 and ΔVCA0658, the suicide vector mutagenesis described by Donnenberg and Kaper using derivatives of pCVD442, i.e., pΔVCA0281 and pΔVCA0658 (Donnenberg and Kaper, 1991), was used. To generate pΔVCA0281 and pΔVCA0658, ~800 bp PCR fragments located up- and downstream of each gene were amplified using the oligonucleotide pairs VCA0281_SacI_1/VCA0281_BamHI_2 and VCA0658_XmaI_1/VCA0658_X_2, as well as VCA0281_BamHI_3/ VCA0281_XbaI_4 r or VCA0658_X_3/VCA0658_XbaI_4, using chromosomal DNA from *V. cholerae* WT as template (Table 2). After digestion of the PCR fragments with the appropriate restriction enzyme (NEB) indicated by the name of the oligonucleotide, they were either directly ligated into SacI/ XbaI-digested pCVD442 (for pΔVCA0281), or first connected by SOE-PCR and then ligated into XmaI/XbaI-digested pCVD442 (for pΔVCA0658). Unless noted otherwise, electrocompetent DH5αpir were transformed with ligation products and ApR colonies were characterized for the correct constructs by PCR.

To obtain deletion strains, *E. coli* Sm10pir were transformed with ΔVCA0281 or pΔVCA0658 and plasmids were conjugated into *V. cholerae*. Exconjugants were purified by SmR/Apir selection. Sucrose selection was used to obtain ApR colonies, and chromosomal deletions were confirmed by PCR (data not shown). In all other cases, deletion mutants were obtained *via* the genome editing by chitin-induced natural co-transformation as described by Dalia et al. (2014). Briefly, 3 kb PCR fragments located up- and downstream of the respective target gene were amplified using the oligonucleotide pairs X_1/X_2 and X_3/X_4, in which X stands for the gene (Table 2). As X_2 and X_3 were designed to share an overlapping sequence at their 5′ ends, merging of the purified up- and downstream fragments into one 6 kb fragment was achieved through SOE-PCR (Horton et al., 1989). One to 3 μg of the resulting unselected PCR product were used for natural co-transformation and mixed with 40 ng of pUC19 for initial selection of overall transformants on LB-Ap agar; pUC19 was later cured from successful mutant clones through overnight growth in LB without Ap (Dalia et al., 2014). Chromosomal deletions were confirmed by PCR (data not shown), after which they were tested for Ap sensitivity by plating on LB-Ap plates and incubating overnight at 37°C.

The chromosomal transcriptional vpsA::phoA fusion strain of *V. cholerae* was generated by suicide vector mutagenesis using a derivative of pCVD442 (Donnenberg and Kaper, 1991). Briefly, 800 bp regions located at the 3′ end of the vpsA ORF and directly downstream of the vpsA locus were amplified by PCR using the primer pairs vpsA_SacI_1/vpsA_2 and vpsA_5/ vpsA_Sall_6, respectively, and the phoA gene was generated by PCR from chromosomal DNA of SM10pir using the primers phoA_3 (which overlaps with vpsA_2) and phoA_4 (which overlaps with vpsA_5). All three fragments were joined by SOE-PCR into one final fragment of approximately 3.1 kb. This final fragment was digested with SacI/Sall, ligated into pCVD442 (originating pCVDvpsA-phoA), and the ligation product transformed into DH5αpir. Resulting colonies were tested by PCR (data not shown), and successful constructs were introduced into SM10pir by transformation and transferred *via* conjugation into *V. cholerae*, followed by sucrose selection of ApR colonies, according to Seper et al. (2011). Successful vpsA::phoA recombinants were verified by PCR (data not shown).

All plasmids allowing constitutive (over)-expression of the genes were constructed in a similar manner using the arabinoose-inducible vector pBK (Guzman et al., 1995). PCR fragments of the genes of interest spanning from the Shine–Dalgarno sequence to the stop-codon were amplified using the oligonucleotide pairs A_5′_B and A_3′_B, in which A stands for the gene and B for the restriction site used (Table 2). PCR fragments were digested with the appropriate restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs) indicated by the name of the oligonucleotide and ligated into a similarly digested pBK. After transformation of DH5αpir with the ligation products, KmR colonies were characterized by PCR (data not shown).

### Screening for In-Biofilm Repressed (ibr) Genes of *Vibrio cholerae*

To identify genes transcriptionally silenced during biofilm formation, we combined the library of the TetR-controlled recombination-based *in vivo* expression technology (TRIVET) and the biofilm setup of the recombination-based in biofilm expression technology (RIBET; Osorio et al., 2005; Schild et al., 2007; Seper et al., 2014; Cakar et al., 2018; Zingl et al., 2020), which was consequently renamed TetR-controlled recombination-based in-biofilm expression technology (TRIBET). An aliquot of each pool of the library (Cakar et al., 2018) was spread in triplicate on LB-Sm/Km/Ap plates. After O/N incubation ~5,000 colonies were collected from each plate, resuspended in LB-Km broth, adjusted to an OD600 of 2 (approximately 5 × 10^7 CFU) and used to inoculate the reservoir in the dynamic biofilm system.

To ensure that the gene-tnpR fusions which we later identify as transcriptionally repressed during biofilm formation do not originate from genes induced in the planktonic phase of the reservoir, but rather in the process of biofilm formation, we used Km in the reservoir. Seven milliliters of the inoculum were added to a 50-ml conical tube, which was fixed on a metal stand with a 45° angle; we kindly refer to the original manuscript for details on the biofilm setup (Seper et al., 2014). Briefly, a cover slip (borosilicate) with a sterile P1000 pipette tip glued to one end was put in the conical tube. After a 1-h adaptation phase, LB-Sm was pumped through sterile silicon tubing (1.5 × 3 mm, VWR) with a peristaltic pump (Watson-Marlow 205S) from the supply bottle into the 1 ml tip (2 rpm, equivalent to a flow rate of approximately 10 ml/h). A hole (1 × 2 cm in dimensions) punched into the conical tube at the 5 ml mark allowed constant draining of the overflow medium and consequently kept the reservoir volume constant. Visible biofilm formed on the cover slip along the single stream of medium during a 24 h period of incubation at RT.

To remove planktonic and loosely attached cells, the flow rate was turned to maximum for 5 s before the biofilm was harvested. At 1–2 cm above the reservoir, approximately 1 cm length of biofilm was removed from the cover slip and suspended...
### TABLE 2 | Oligonucleotides used in this study.

| Primer name | Sequence (5′–3′)* |
|-------------|--------------------|
| FP1a        | GTAATACGACCTCACTATAAGGCCGACGCTGGTGNTGCGASTWTSGWGTT |
| FP2a        | GTAATACGACCTCACTATAAGGCCGACGCTGGTGNTGCGASTWTSGWGTT |
| FP3a        | GTAATACGACCTCACTATAAGGCCGACGCTGGTGNTGCGASTWTSGWGTT |
| FP4a        | GTAATACGACCTCACTATAAGGCCGACGCTGGTGNTGCGASTWTSGWGTT |
| FP5a        | GTAATACGACCTCACTATAAGGCCGACGCTGGTGNTGCGASTWTSGWGTT |
| FP6a        | GTAATACGACCTCACTATAAGGCCGACGCTGGTGNTGCGASTWTSGWGTT |
| FSP1        | GTAATACGACCTCACTATAAGGCCGACGCTGGTGNTGCGASTWTSGWGTT |
| VC0178-5′-SacI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-3′-SphI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-1    | GATTTAACCCTTCTTTCTCTTCCTTT |
| VC0178-2    | CCAATTAAGGATAGAAGTGAAGATTCG |
| VC0178-3′-SacI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-5′-KpnI | GGGGTGTAGCTATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-5′-KpnI | GGGGTGTAGCTATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-1    | GATTTAACTTTCTTCTCTTCCTTT |
| VC0178-2    | CCAATTAAGGATAGAAGTGAAGATTCG |
| VC0178-3′-SacI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-5′-KpnI | GGGGTGTAGCTATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-1    | GATTTAACTTTCTTCTCTTCCTTT |
| VC0178-2    | CCAATTAAGGATAGAAGTGAAGATTCG |
| VC0178-3′-SacI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-5′-KpnI | GGGGTGTAGCTATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-1    | GATTTAACTTTCTTCTCTTCCTTT |
| VC0178-2    | CCAATTAAGGATAGAAGTGAAGATTCG |
| VC0178-3′-SacI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-5′-KpnI | GGGGTGTAGCTATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-1    | GATTTAACTTTCTTCTCTTCCTTT |
| VC0178-2    | CCAATTAAGGATAGAAGTGAAGATTCG |
| VC0178-3′-SacI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-5′-KpnI | GGGGTGTAGCTATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-1    | GATTTAACTTTCTTCTCTTCCTTT |
| VC0178-2    | CCAATTAAGGATAGAAGTGAAGATTCG |
| VC0178-3′-SacI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-5′-KpnI | GGGGTGTAGCTATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-1    | GATTTAACTTTCTTCTCTTCCTTT |
| VC0178-2    | CCAATTAAGGATAGAAGTGAAGATTCG |
| VC0178-3′-SacI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-5′-KpnI | GGGGTGTAGCTATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-1    | GATTTAACTTTCTTCTCTTCCTTT |
| VC0178-2    | CCAATTAAGGATAGAAGTGAAGATTCG |
| VC0178-3′-SacI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-5′-KpnI | GGGGTGTAGCTATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-1    | GATTTAACTTTCTTCTCTTCCTTT |
| VC0178-2    | CCAATTAAGGATAGAAGTGAAGATTCG |
| VC0178-3′-SacI | AAAAGAGCTCAACGGAGGTACACTGACTGGAATAT |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-5′-KpnI | GGGGTGTAGCTATTTTCTCTAGA |
| VC0178-3′-XbaI | ATTTCTAGACTAATTTTCTCTAGA |

(Continued)
TABLE 2 | Continued

| Primer name | Sequence (5′–3′)* |
|-------------|------------------|
| VCA0988-5′-EcoRI | AAAGAATTTCACAGGAACTATTGAATGGAGC |
| VCA0988-3′-XbaI  | ACGTCTAGATTTAATCTGGCGGGAATCTTG |
| VCA0988-1      | CTGCGGCAAAAGGGGTACG |
| VCA0988-2      | GCTTGTGTTTATCATAAAGAGCCTCATTCAATAGT |
| VCA0988-3      | CTCTTTATGTAAACAAAGCAAGCAGCT |
| VCA0988-4      | ATCCAAACCATGTCTTTTCTTCG |
| vpsA-SacI-1    | AAAAGGCTCATGTATTAACACAAATTCCGGT |
| vpsA-2        | ATGTACAAATCTATTTCGCTAAAATGTCCG |
| phoA-3        | ACGCAAATAGGTTTGTACATGGAAGAAATAGT |
| phoA-4        | CCGTATTATCAACAAATTCCGGT |
| vpsA-5        | GTCGAC′ |
| vpsA-SalI-6   | GTCGAC′ |

*Restriction sites are underlined.

**Sequences originally designed by Wang et al. (2011).**

![FIGURE 1](image-url) Functional distribution of the identified in-biofilm repressed (ibr) genes. Shown is a pie chart of the validated ibr genes (see also Table 3), identified with the TetR-controlled recombination-based in-biofilm expression technology (TRIBET) screening technology, allocated in functional groups by their proposed function according to Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG; www.genome.jp/kegg). The total number and percentage of ibr genes represented in the respective group are indicated by the numbers in parenthesis.

in LB. Serial dilutions were plated on LB agar lacking NaCl and supplemented with 10% Suc and Sm to select for resolved strains lacking the res or res1 cassette. After incubation for 48 h at RT, eight Suc°/Km° colonies were picked from each biofilm output, grown in LB over night at 37°C and stored at −80°C in 96-well plates (Greiner) in LB plus 20% glycerol.

The number of false positives was reduced by quantification of the resolved TRIVET strains for PhoA activity in vitro as described by Cakar et al. (2018). As reported previously (Cakar et al., 2018; Schild et al., 2007; Seper et al., 2014) reveals that strains with in vitro resolution frequencies below 30% have only a 5% chance to be false positives. As the tpc-cassette remains stably integrated in the chromosome, PhoA activity of in biofilm resolved strains can be measured under in vitro conditions. As described previously, we used the irgA-fusion test strain as control to correlate the obtained PhoA activity to a resolution frequency (Cakar et al., 2018). All of the identified in biofilm resolved strains (Figure 1; Table 3) were subjected to this validation step and exhibited an in vitro PhoA activity higher than the irgA-fusion test strain as control (for details see Cakar et al., 2018).

To identify the exact insertion of the tpc-cassette, the fusion primer and nested integrated-PCR (FPNI-PCR) technique was used (Wang et al., 2011) with a few modifications. Briefly, genomic DNA from each PhoA activity-validated in biofilm resolved TRIBET strain was used as template for three primary PCRs, each using one random combination of three of the nine fusion arbitrary degenerated primers (FP1-9) listed in Table 2, together with the specific primer 1 (SP1-tetR) which is reverse-complementary to the 5′ terminal region of tetR in the tpc cassette. Primary PCRs were programmed as short reactions with a combination of annealing cycles of low, medium, and high stringency, to maximize binding of FP to template DNA, according to the optimized strategy by Wang et al. (2011). One microliter of the products of each primary PCR was directly used as template for a secondary PCR using the fusion-specific primer 1 (FSP1), which is homologous to the fusion region of known fixed sequence at the 5′ end of each FP, and the specific primer 2 (SP2-tetR), which is nested from SP1-tetR. Secondary PCRs were standard PCRs with high stringency annealing cycles, favoring amplification of FSP1/SP2-tetR amplicons and suppressing amplification of non-specific templates. Finally, products of each secondary PCR were diluted 1:50 in ddH2O, and 1 μl of each dilution was used as template for a tertiary high stringency PCR using FSP2 and SP3-tetR, which are nested from FSP1 and SP2-tetR, respectively. Dilution of the products of secondary PCRs further reduces non-specific PCR products from the primary and secondary reactions to negligible amounts, while desired specific products originally generated from a FP and the SP1-tetR continue to be exponentially amplified.
| Operon | Gene locus | Annotation/gene symbol | No of hits |
|--------|------------|------------------------|------------|
| VC0012-15 | VC0014 | DNA replication and repair protein RecF | 1 |
| VC0027-31 | VC0027 | Threonine dehydratase | 1 |
| VC0031 | VC0031 | Acetolactate synthase II catalytic subunit | 1 |
| VC0074 | VC0074 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0078-79 | VC0078 | Ferritin | 1 |
| VC0143-144 | VC0143 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0156 | VC0156 | TorB-dependent vitamin B12 receptor | 1 |
| VC0175 | VC0175 | Deoxycytidylate deaminase-like protein | 1 |
| VC0178-181 | VC0178 | Hypothetical protein; cyclic AMP-GMP synthase, DncV | 4 |
| VC0182-185 | VC0182 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0184 | VC0184 | Patatin-like protein | 4 |
| VC0189 | VC0189 | Gamma-glutamyltranspeptidase | 2 |
| VC0206 | VC0206 | N-Acetylmuramic acid-6-phosphate etherase, MurQ; | 1 |
| VC0228 | VC0228 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0233-237 | VC0233 | 3-Deoxy-D-manno-octulosonic acid transferase | 1 |
| VC0234 | VC0234 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0240 | VC0240 | ADP-L-glycero-d-manno-heptose 6-epimerase | 1 |
| VC0241-251 | VC0241 | Mannose-1-phosphate guanylyltransferase | 1 |
| VC0242 | VC0242 | Phosphomannomutase | 1 |
| VC0243 | VC0243 | GDP-mannose 4,6-dehydratase | 1 |
| VC0245 | VC0245 | RfbG protein | 2 |
| VC0246 | VC0246 | Lipo polysaccharide alpha-O-antigen transport protein | 1 |
| VC0249 | VC0249 | RfbL protein | 6 |
| VC0250 | VC0250 | Iron-containing alcohol dehydrogenase | 15 |
| VC0251 | VC0251 | Acyl protein synthase/acetyl-CoA reductase RfbN | 7 |
| VC0252 | VC0252 | Acetyltransferase | 1 |
| VC0259-260 | VC0259 | Lipo polysaccharide biosynthesis protein RfbV, N-acetyl-alpha-D-glucosaminyl-diphospho-ditran, octacis-undecaprenol 3-alpha-mannosyltransferase/hamnosyltransferase | 1 |
| VC0260 | VC0260 | Mannosyltransferase | 2 |
| VC0262-263 | VC0262 | UDP-glucose 4-epimerase | 1 |
| VC0269-270 | VC0269 | ROK family protein | 2 |
| VC0308 | VC0308 | Deoxyguanosine-thiophosphate triphosphohydrolase-like protein | 1 |
| VC0353 | VC0353 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0354 | VC0354 | RKPB-type peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase FkpA | 1 |
| VC0358 | VC0358 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0390 | VC0390 | B12-Dependent methionine synthase | 1 |
| VC0391 | VC0391 | Aspartate kinase | 1 |
| VC0444-445 | VC0444 | Survival protein SurA, peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase SurA | 1 |
| VC0449 | VC0449 | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein | 1 |
| VC0490-492 | VC0490 | Hypothetical protein | 3 |
| VC0491 | VC0491 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0492 | VC0492 | Hypothetical protein | 4 |
| VC0512 | VC0512 | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein | 1 |
| VC0513-515 | VC0513 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0537 | VC0537 | CysM; cysteine syntheses B | 1 |
| VC0538-541 | VC0538 | Sulfate ABC transporter ATP-binding protein, sulfate/thiosulfate transport system ATP-binding protein | 2 |
| VC0568 | VC0568 | Cell division protein ZapE | 1 |
| VC0578-580 | VC0578 | Hemolysin | 1 |
| VC0591-598 | VC0591 | Hemolysin | 2 |
| VC0594 | VC0594 | Glutamyl-Q tRNA (Asp) synthetase | 1 |
| VC0632-633 | VC0632 | D-alanyl-D-alanine carboxypeptidase/endopeptidase | 1 |
| VC0641-648 | VC0641 | Ribosome-binding factor A | 1 |
| VC0671-673 | VC0671 | Dinucleoside polyphosphate hydrolase | 1 |
| VC0672 | VC0672 | Fused phosphoeneolpyruvate-protein phosphotransferase PtsP/GAF domain-containing protein | 1 |
| VC0764 | VC0764 | Hypothetical protein | 2 |
| VC0824 | VC0824 | 2-Cys peroxiredoxin, thiol peroxidase, atypical 2-Cys peroxiredoxin | 1 |
| VC0845 | VC0845 | Accessory colonization factor AcfD | 2 |
| VC0885-886 | VC0885 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0900-901 | VC0900 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VC0917-927 | VC0917 | Serine acetyltransferase-like protein, serine O-acetyltransferase | 1 |
| VC0977 | VC0977 | Putative thioredoxin | 1 |

(Continued)
| Operon   | Gene locus | Annotation/gene symbol                                                                 | No of hits |
|---------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| VC0998  |            | Tfp pilus assembly protein FimV                                                           | 2          |
| VC1010  |            | Lactoylglutathione lyase                                                                  | 1          |
| VC1033  |            | Zinc/cadmium/mercury/lead-transporting ATPase                                            | 1          |
| VC1092-1095 |       | Oligopeptide ABC transporter permease                                                     | 1          |
| VC1118-1125 |     | Transcriptional regulator                                                                | 1          |
| VC1129  |            | Inosine-guanosine kinase, inosine kinase                                                  | 1          |
| VC1155-1156 |      | Response regulator                                                                       | 1          |
| VC1160-1162 |      | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC1169-VC1174 |    | Anthranilate synthase component II (TrpG)                                                | 1          |
| VC1235  |            | Sodium/dicarboxylate symporter                                                           | 1          |
| VC1236  |            | PIIb-like protein, peptide-methionine (R)-S-oxide reductase                              | 1          |
| VC1237-1239 |     | CobT; nicotinate-nucleotide--dimethylbenzimidazole phosphoribosyltransferase              | 2          |
| VC1252  |            | Competence damage protein CinA                                                           | 1          |
| VC1264  |            | Iron-regulated protein A                                                                  | 1          |
| VC1278  |            | MafR family transcription factor                                                          | 1          |
| VC1289  |            | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein                                                       | 1          |
| VC1309  |            | Ribosomal-protein-alanine acetyltransferase                                               | 2          |
| VC1312-1313 |       | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein                                                       | 1          |
| VC1314-1316 |       | Chemotaxis protein CheY                                                                   | 2          |
| VC1321  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 2          |
| VC1373-1375 |       | Molecular chaperone DnaK-related protein                                                  | 1          |
| VC1396-1403 |      | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein                                                       | 1          |
| VC1424-1428 |     | PotA-putrescine/spermidine ABC transporter ATPase protein                                  | 1          |
| VC1433-1434 |     | Universal stress protein UspE                                                             | 1          |
| VC1443  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC1444  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC1445  |            | Sensor histidine kinase/response regulator                                                | 1          |
| VC1446-1448 |     | RTX toxin transporter, rbxD                                                               | 1          |
| VC1492  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC1549-1553 |     | Glycerol-3-phosphate transporter ATP-binding subunit                                       | 1          |
| VC1552  |            | Chemotaxis protein, CheV                                                                  | 2          |
| VC1562  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 2          |
| VC1565  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 2          |
| VC1592  |            | Fimbrial biogenesis and twitching motility protein, type IV pilus assembly protein, PiF  | 1          |
| VC1599  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC1628-1631 |     | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC1629  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC1637-1639 |     | Sensor histidine kinase                                                                  | 1          |
| VC1678  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 2          |
| VC1852  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 2          |
| VC1891  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC1911  |            | Orotidine 5'-phosphate decarboxylase                                                     | 1          |
| VC1931  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC1956-1957 |     | Lytic murein transglycosylase, membrane-bound lytic murein transglycosylase B             | 1          |
| VC1965-1966 |     | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC2037  |            | Na^+}/H^+ antipporter, NhaC                                                                | 1          |
| VC2067  |            | MinD-like protein; flagellar assembly protein PhnG                                       | 2          |
| VC2068  |            | Flagellar biosynthesis regulator, PhnF                                                    | 2          |
| VC2072  |            | Peptidase/insulinase family protein                                                       | 3          |
| VC2129-2137 |     | Fil-flagellum-specific ATP synthase                                                       | 4          |
| VC2130  |            | Flagellin ( FlaD)                                                                         | 1          |
| VC2143  |            | Flagellin ( FlaD)                                                                         | 1          |
| VC2187  |            | Flagellin ( FlaC)                                                                         | 2          |
| VC2318  |            | Hypothetical protein                                                                     | 1          |
| VC2324-2325 |     | LysR Family transcriptional regulator                                                     | 1          |
| VC2369-2370 |    | Aerobic respiration control sensor protein ArcB                                           | 1          |
| VC2370  |            | Sensory box/GGDEF family protein, diguanylate cyclase                                      | 1          |

(Continued)
TABLE 3 | Continued

| Operon<sup>a</sup> | Gene locus<sup>b</sup> | Annotation/gene symbol<sup>b</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                 | No of hits<sup>c</sup> |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| VC2376-2377       | VC2377                 | Glutamate synthase subunit beta, glutamate synthase (NADPH) small chain, GltD                                                                                                                                                    | 1                      |
| VC2385-2387       | VC2387                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC2421            | VC2422                 | N-Acetyl-anthromuramyl-L-alanine amidase                                                                                                                                                                                              | 1                      |
| VC2422            | VC2520                 | ABC transporter ATP-binding protein, MlaF; phospholipid/cholesterol/gamma-HCH transport system ATP-binding protein                                                                                                                | 1                      |
| VC2513-2520       | VC2542                 | UDP-N-acetylmuramate/L-alanyl-gamma-D-glutamyl-meso-diaminopimelate ligase                                                                                                                                                              | 1                      |
| VC2547-2548       | VC2547                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 2                      |
| VC2547            | VC2557                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 2                      |
| VC2547            | VC2566                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC2566            | VC2600                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC2566            | VC2619                 | Para-aminobenzoate synthase component II                                                                                                                                                                                              | 1                      |
| VC2561            | VC2684                 | Bifunctional aspartate kinase II/homoserine dehydrogenase II, bifunctional aspartokinase/homoserine dehydrogenase 2                                                                                                                  | 1                      |
| VC2683-2684       | VC2684                 | General secretion pathway protein E (GspE)                                                                                                                                                                                            | 1                      |
| VC2723-2732       | VC2732                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC2757            | VC2760                 | DNA-Binding transcriptional regulator                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 1                      |
| VC2760            | VC2801                 | Integrase                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 2                      |
| VC2801            | VC2831                 | dGTPase-like protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC2831            | VC3031                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC2831            | VC3034                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC2831            | VC3041                 | Bipheryl-2,3-diol 1,2-dioxygenase                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 1                      |
| VC3041            | VC3051                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC3051            | VC3053                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC3053            | VC3088                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 2                      |
| VC3088            | VC3092                 | Antidote protein, antitoxin HigA-1                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 1                      |
| VC3092            | VC3095                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC3095            | VC3096                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 2                      |
| VC3096            | VC3097                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC3097            | VC3099                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 2                      |
| VC3099            | VC4040                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC4040            | VC4042                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 2                      |
| VC4042            | VC4043                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC4043            | VC4046                 | Bipheryl-2,3-diol 1,2-dioxygenase                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 1                      |
| VC4046            | VC4047                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 3                      |
| VC4047            | VC4048                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC4048            | VC4049                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
| VC4049            | VC4051                 | Acetyltransferase                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 1                      |
| VC4051            | VC4052                 | Anaerobic ribonucleotide reductase-activating protein, anaerobic ribonucleoside-triphosphate reductase activating protein, nrdG                                                                                                          | 2                      |
| VC4052            | VC4053                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 2                      |
| VC4053            | VC4054                 | Chloride channel protein, CIC family                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 1                      |
| VC4054            | VC4055                 | Transcriptional regulator                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 1                      |
| VC4055            | VC4056                 | Hypothetical protein                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 2                      |
| VC4056            | VC4057                 | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 1                      |
| VC4057            | VC4058                 | Preprotein translocase subunit SecD                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 1                      |
| VC4058            | VC4072                 | Iron-containing alcohol dehydrogenase, NADP-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase                                                                                                                                                              | 1                      |
| VC4072            | VC4073                 | TMAO reductase system periplasmic protein, periplasmic protein TorT                                                                                                                                                                   | 1                      |
TABLE 3 | Continued

| Operon | Gene locus | Annotation/gene symbol | No of hits² |
|--------|------------|------------------------|-------------|
| VCA0773 | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein | 7 |
| VCA0802 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VCA0848 | Diguanylate cyclase | 1 |
| VCA0849 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VCA0895 | Chemotactic transducer-like protein | 1 |
| VCA0917-918 | TetR family transcriptional regulator | 1 |
| VCA0917 | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein | 1 |
| VCA1044 | Hypothetical protein | 1 |
| VCA1072-1073 | This region contains an authentic point mutation, causing a premature stop, and is not the result of a sequencing artifact; similar to the bifunctional protein PutA and sodium/proline importer PutP (E. coli); identified by sequence similarity; putative | 1 |
| VCA1084 | Toxin secretion ATP-binding protein; ATP-binding cassette, subfamily C, bacterial LapB | 1 |

*Operon predictions are according to MicrobesOnline (http://www.microbesonline.org/).

1Gene locus and annotation/gene symbols are stated according to the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/; Kanehisa and Goto, 2003).

1Number of isolates identifying the insertion site of the tpc cassette in the same gene locus.

Purified PCR fragments obtained at the tertiary step of FPNI-PCR were used directly as templates in sequencing reactions (LGC Genomics, Berlin, Germany) with the oligonucleotide SP3-tetR. To identify the exact position of the tpc-cassette, insertion sequences were subjected to the BLAST search tool1 of the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database to the V. cholerae N16961 genome (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000). We considered transcriptional fusions of tetR to any annotated ORF; if it was inserted in the same orientation lying ≤100bp from the RBS of tetR as long as no factor-independent transcriptional terminators were present (Schild et al., 2007; Cakar et al., 2018).

Static Biofilm Assays

Static biofilms in microtiter plates were assayed by crystal violet staining as previously published (Seper et al., 2011, 2014), with some modifications. Briefly, the respective strains were grown over night on LB-Sm or LB-Km/Glc agar plates (for plasmid containing strains), suspended in LB-Sm or LB-Km/ Ara (for plasmid containing strains), adjusted to an OD₆₅₀ of 0.001 and inoculated in a 96-well microtiter plate (U bottom, Sterillium) for 24 or 48 h at RT (22°C–24°C). Wells were subsequently rinsed using a microplate washer (Anthos Mikrosysteme GmbH, Fluido2), biofilm was stained with 0.1% (w/v) crystal violet, solubilized in 96% (v/v) ethanol, and the OD₆₅₀ was measured (microplate reader: BMG Labtech SPECTROstarNano) to quantify the amount of biofilm.

Attachment Assays and Dynamic Flow Cell Biofilm Formation

For visualization and quantification of bacterial cell adhesion and dynamically formed biofilm, the three-channel flow cell system (DTU Systems Biology, Technical University of Denmark) with 2% LB-Sm or 2% LB-Km/Ara broth was used as described previously (Seper et al., 2011). The respective overnight cultures were adjusted to OD₆₅₀ = 0.2, and approximately, 300μl were inoculated per channel. After static incubation for 2 h at RT (22°C–24°C), attachment was quantified by staining or flow was initiated at a constant rate of 3 ml/h with the use of a Watson Marlow 205S peristaltic pump to allow biofilm formation for a time period of 24 h at RT. After 2-h incubation for attachment assays or 24 h for dynamic biofilm assays, approximately 250μl SYTO® 9 solution from the Live/Dead BacLight Bacterial Viability kit (Invitrogen, diluted 1:1000 in 2% LB-Sm broth) was injected per flow cell channel to stain attached cells or biofilms at RT for 20 min. Images of attached cells or biofilms were recorded with an Inverted Microscope Eclipse Ti-E (Nikon™) using 485 nm excitation and 498 nm emission. Optical sectioning was performed in 0.5μm steps. For visualization and processing of image data, the NIS-Elements BR software (Nikon™) was used. Quantification and morphological analysis of image stacks were performed using the computer program COMSTAT2 (Heydorn et al., 2000; Vorregaard, 2008).

Microscopical Analysis of Bacterial Cell Morphology

The respective strains were grown overnight on LB-Sm or LB-Km/Glc agar plates (for plasmid containing strains), suspended and adjusted to an OD₆₅₀ of 0.001 in LB-Sm or LB-Km/Ara (for plasmid containing strain) and cultivated at RT (22°C–24°C) for 24 and 48 h. At the given time point, an aliquot of each culture was mixed 1:1 with SYTO® 9 solution (diluted 1:500 in LB-Sm broth or in LB-Km/Ara) and incubated at RT (22°C–24°C) for 20 min. High-resolution imaging of single cells was performed with a Leica SP8 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems Inc., Germany), with spectral detection and a HCX PL APO 63x 1.4 NA oil immersion objective. SYTO® 9 was excited at 488 nm and fluorescence emission was detected at 500–550 nm.

Biofilm Dispersal Assays

In order to assess the impact of ibr gene expression in mature biofilms, the three-channel flow cell system was used, akin to

https://www.genome.jp/tools/blast/

http://www.comstat.dk
the procedure described for dynamic biofilm formation, but using 2% LB-Km/Glc broth instead, for repression of the P_{BAD} promoter while biofilms develop overnight. After 16 h of incubation at RT (22°C–24°C) with constant medium flow, the medium was changed to 2% LB-Km/Ara or fresh 2% LB-Km/Glc. Medium flow resuspended for 8 h, and biofilms were then stained with SYTO™ 9, visualized, and quantified as defined for dynamic biofilm analysis.

Alkaline Phosphatase Assay
Alkaline phosphatase activities (expressed in Miller Units) for TRIBET clones with ibr gene fusions or chromosomal vpsA::phoA transcriptional fusions were determined as described previously (Seper et al., 2011), using cultures with a starting OD_{600} of 0.02 grown overnight at 37°C in LB-Sm/Ap (for TRIBET clones with ibr gene fusions) or 24°C in LB-Km/Ara (for chromosomal vpsA::phoA transcriptional fusions).

Swim Agar Assays
Swimming ability of V. cholerae strains was assessed by swim agar plates [1% (w/v) tryptone; 0.5% (w/v) NaCl; and 0.3% (w/v) agar] as previously described (Moisi et al., 2009). Each strain was grown on an LB-agar plate with appropriate antibiotics/supplements overnight at 37°C. Afterward, three to four single colonies were inoculated using a sterile P10 micropipette tip into swim plates. Inoculated swim plates were then incubated at 37°C for 16 h, after which the diameter of growth of the respective strain was measured.

Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed using the Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by post hoc Dunn’s multiple comparisons. GraphPad Prism version 7 was used for all statistical analyses.

RESULTS
Identification of In-Biofilm Repressed (ibr) Genes in Vibrio cholerae
In order to identify genes which are repressed along the course of biofilm development, we applied the library of the TRIVET, recently used to identify in vivo repressed genes in V. cholerae (Cakar et al., 2018; Zingl et al., 2020), to the biofilm setup established for a previous screen to identify in biofilm induced genes (Osorio et al., 2005; Schild et al., 2007; Seper et al., 2014). The methodology used herein was consequently renamed TRIBET. Briefly, the single-cell-based technology consists of three chromosomal elements, a tetR-phoA-cat (tpc) reporter cassette, a TetR-controlled tnpR gene, encoding a site-specific DNA recombinase (resolvase), and the resolvase target, the res cassette conferring kanamycin resistance (Kn^R) and sucrose sensitivity (Suc^R). The tpc cassette can be randomly integrated into the chromosome via Tn10 mutagenesis to generate transcriptional fusions of V. cholerae genes to the promotorless tetR and phoA. The TetR-controlled tnpR gene and the res cassette are inserted at a neutral site (lacZ locus) of the V. cholerae chromosome. Reporter strains with sufficient tetR expression via the tpc cassette will repress tnpR and sustain the resistance profile (Kn^R and Suc^R) provided by the res cassette. In contrast, transcriptional silencing of the chromosomal promotor controlling tetR expression will derepress the resolvase resulting in irreversible excision of the res cassette. This allows the identification of conditionally repressed genes via the altered resistance profile (Kn^R and Suc^R).

In total, a collection of strains in 20 independent pools with approximately 500 independent tpc cassette insertions per pool were allowed to form biofilms on a plastic abiotic surface, after which biofilm material was collected and resuspended in LB; serial dilutions of these suspensions were plated on LB-Sm/Suc agar to select for in biofilm resolved colonies. Single colonies were picked from these plates, grown overnight in LB-Sm/Ap and stored at –80°C (see Materials and Methods for more detail).

To minimize false positives, in biofilm resolved strains were further validated by assessing their PhoA activity in vitro as described by Cakar et al. (2018) and in materials and methods. Chromosomal DNA preparations of 288 individual biofilm-resolved and PhoA-validated colonies were amplified by FPNI-PCR and sequenced in order to identify the exact insertion site of the tpc cassette and upstream located genes. In total, we identified 192 unique genes that were repressed during biofilm development and can be allocated in different functional categories, while 96 sequencing approaches revealed duplicates, i.e., insertion site of the tpc cassette in the same gene locus (Figure 1; Table 3). Since the herein used reporter system identifies in biofilm-repressed promoters, the table additionally indicates operon predictions for each identified ibr gene.

Genes related to metabolism make up the largest group (38 genes), enforcing the notion that basal metabolism is altered and likely downregulated when cells shift from planktonic to biofilm state. Notably, operons encoding type VI secretion system (T6SS) components (VCA0105-106 and VCA0107-120) have been identified herein to be in biofilm repressed (Table 3). T6SS has been demonstrated to impact biofilm formation in several bacteria, such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumannii, and Burkholderia spp. (Aubert Daniel et al., 2008; Schwarz et al., 2010; Moscoso et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2022). In V. cholerae, T6SS and biofilm formation are also connected as both negatively controlled by quorum sensing (Hammer and Bassler, 2003; Zheng et al., 2010). Furthermore, genes related to motility and chemotaxis comprise a fairly large set (18 genes), validating the intuitive belief that cells need to suppress motility in order to attach to a surface and start generating a biofilm-encased sessile community. In addition to genes related to flagellar synthesis and regulation, several ibr genes could be allocated to chemotaxis including intracellular signaling (cheV and cheY) and several methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCPs). Interestingly, the later are sharply overrepresented in this screen (eight MCP genes) when compared to similar screens previously performed of in vivo induced (Osorio et al., 2005; Schild et al., 2007; Seper et al., 2014; Cakar et al., 2018; Zingl et al., 2020), in biofilm induced (Seper et al., 2014) and in vivo repressed genes (Cakar et al., 2018).
Vibrio cholerae has a notably high number of MCPs encoded in its genome (46 MCPs) when compared to E. coli (four MCPs), but functional explanations for this discrepancy are currently lacking (Boin et al., 2004; Nishiyama et al., 2012). Although V. cholerae encodes a high number of MCPs, they represent not more than 1% of the total genes encoded by V. cholerae. In contrast, more than 4% of the herein identified ibr genes fall into the MCP category reinforcing their high prevalence among ibr genes.

A recent study demonstrated that constitutive expression of in vivo repressed genes reduces colonization fitness in the murine model (Cakar et al., 2018). In that line, constitutive expression of ibr genes may impact biofilm development indicating a pivotal role of gene silencing during this stage of V. cholerae's lifecycle. For these detailed analyses, strains constitutively (over)-expressing selected ibr genes upon presence of arabinose using the pBK vector system as well as the respective in-frame deletion strains were constructed. Although the herein used arabinose-inducible pBK vector allows a tight regulation with lower expression levels than observed for IPTG-inducible systems (Guzman et al., 1995), we cannot exclude that expression levels from the pBK system are higher than in the WT. Thus, we herein refer to the pBK system as constitutive (over)-expression. Among the nine ibr genes chosen for these comprehensive analyses, we selected five MCPs based on their high abundance among ibr genes as well as randomly selected candidates of different functional categories, i.e., two surface associated factors (VC0845 and VC0998), the phospholipase CapV (VC0178) and a putative phage integrase (VCA0281). All of these randomly selected candidates were identified at least two times in the screen (Table 3). Notably, VC0998 (HubP) is also linked to chemotactic cascades, being a landmark protein in cell pole differentiation which targets several other proteins related to chemotaxis, motility and cell wall homeostasis to the cell poles via their cytosolic or periplasmic modules (Yamaichi et al., 2012; Altinoglu et al., 2022).

**Constitutive (Over)-Expression of ibr Genes Affects Static Biofilm Formation**

The aptitude for biofilm formation of each strain constitutively (over)-expressing ibr genes as well as deletion mutants was examined using static biofilm assays in microtiter plates with crystal violet staining at 24 and 48 h (Figures 2, 3). Wild type carrying the empty vector (WT pBK) served as control for the strains constitutively expressing ibr genes (Figure 2), while parental WT was used as control for the deletion mutants (Figure 3). Possible growth disparities due to constitutive (over)-expression or permanent absence of an ibr gene were monitored by OD_{595} measurements for both time points executed in parallel with the biofilm quantification (Supplementary Figures S1A, S2A). As some variations in growth dynamics were observed, the amount of biofilm detected at a given time was also normalized to the respective growth to exclude growth-dependent alterations in biofilm formation (Supplementary Figures S1A, S2A).

In general, these microtiter plate assays allow a rapid screening of diverse strains for biofilm formation. Focus herein will be given to most pronounced differences in biofilm formation capacity, although several minor, but significant alterations could be observed.
Already at 24 h, constitutive (over)-expression of VC0845 and VC0998 resulted in increased biofilm production, while constitutive (over)-expression of other ibr genes did not massively impact biofilm compared to WT pBK (Figure 2). At 48 h, WT pBK reached biofilm amounts similar to WT pBK-VC0845 and WT pBK-VC0998, while most strains constitutively (over)-expressing ibr genes (i.e., WT pBK-VC0178, WT pBK-VC0512, WT pBK-VCA0281, WT pBK-VCA0658, WT pBK-VCA0773, and WT pBK-VCA0988) showed markedly lower biofilm formation (Figure 2). Only constitutive (over)-expression of VC1289 had no significant effect on biofilm formation compared to pBK control strain (Figure 2). The majority of the deletion strains showed no or only mild alterations in biofilm formation at both timepoints compared to the parental WT (Figure 3). Notable exceptions are ΔVC0998, which shows strikingly lower biofilm levels at both 24 and 48 h, as well as VCA0281 and ΔVCA0988, which exhibit slight, but significant lower biofilm levels either at 24 or 48 h compared to the WT, respectively (Figure 3). All the observations described above are still present upon normalization for OD$_{600}$ suggesting that growth alterations are unlikely the major cause for the observed differences in biofilm formation (Supplementary Figures S1B, S2B).

Based on the predicted function of some ibr genes in membrane composition or cell division, diverging cell morphology for ibr gene mutants or strains constitutively (over)-expressing ibr genes cannot be excluded. As such morphological alterations might affect the OD$_{600}$ we also determined the CFU for both time points (Supplementary Figure S3). Consistent with the OD$_{600}$ measurement only slight, but in some cases significant differences in CFU counts compared to the WT were observed. Thus, growth differences of mutants and constitutive (over)-expression strains may at best only account partially for the observed biofilm phenotypes.

Considering a predicted role of VC0998 in cell division, cell morphology of the strain constitutively (over)-expressing VC0998 and the ΔVC0998 mutant were evaluated by microscopy (Supplementary Figure S7). Comparative analyses of the mutant and WT revealed no altered cell morphology for both time points tested. Similarly, the constitutive (over)-expression strain showed no pronounced alterations in cell morphology compared to the WT carrying the empty vector at 24 h. Only at 48 h, a slight tendency toward more elongated, s-shaped cells might be observable for the strain constitutively (over)-expressing VC0998 in comparison to WT pBK (Supplementary Figure S7).

**Role of ibr Genes in Attachment, Biofilm Formation, and Detachment in a Dynamic Flow Setting**

Next, we investigated the efficacy of attachment and mature biofilm formation of the nine strains with constitutive (over)-expression of an ibr gene in a dynamic biofilm setup, using a three-channel flow cell system with constant medium flow (Sternberg et al., 1999). Expression of any of the nine ibr genes tested affected the ability of cells to attach to an abiotic surface compared to WT carrying the empty vector (Figure 4; Supplementary Figure S4). Constitutive (over)-expression of VC1289 and VCA0773 resulted in increased surface coverage, while all other strains constitutively (over)-expressing ibr genes showed reduced attachment.

These observations in attachment efficiency translated into proportional decreases or increases in biomass, average thickness, roughness and/or diffusion distances in mature biofilms where VC0178, VC0512, and VCA0773 are constitutively (over)-expressed from the start (Figure 5; Supplementary Figure S5). In contrast, decreased attachment efficiencies observed for WT pBK-VC0845 and WT pBK-VCA0281 inversely lead to increased biofilm formation under dynamic biofilm conditions indicated by elevated biomass, average thickness and diffusion distance compared.
to WT carrying the empty vector. Constitutive (over)-expression of VCA0658 and VCA0988 had no impact on the biomass and average thickness, but significantly increased the diffusion distance suggesting dense packaging of cell aggregates within the biofilm. Only constitutive (over)-expression of VC0998 during dynamic biofilm formation did not result in any significant change of the parameters analyzed. Notably, ΔVC0998 was the only ibr gene mutant with pronounced defect in static biofilm formation (Figure 3). Thus, we also analyzed whether the deletion mutant showed impaired surface attachment or biofilm morphology using the flow-cell setup (Supplementary Figure S6). Attachment to abiotic surfaces was not altered in ΔVC0998 compared to WT (Supplementary Figures S6A,B); however, the dynamic biofilms of the deletion mutant exhibit a different architecture, i.e., higher roughness and maximum diffusion distance, than the WT (Supplementary Figures S6C,D).

The flow-cell setup in combination with the tight regulation of the arabinose-inducible pBAD vector system (Guzman et al., 1995) enabled us to study the impact of ibr gene induction in mature biofilms, as opposed to constitutive (over)-expression from the start of the attachment phase (see materials and methods for more detail). Briefly, biofilms were allowed to form for 16 h in presence of glucose, ensuring a tight repression of the ibr gene on the arabinose-inducible pBK vector, after which arabinose was added to trigger the expression of the ibr gene. VC0178 was selected as ibr gene candidate for this assay as: (i) throughout the study, constitutive (over)-expression of VC0178 resulted in a marked decrease of biofilm formation in static and dynamic conditions; (ii) VC0178 encodes a phospholipase, which could multiply effects upon expression due to the enzymatic activity facilitating detectability; and (iii) activity of VC0178 has been linked to alterations in the membrane composition, which could impact adhesive properties of the bacterial surface (Severin et al., 2018). No significant differences in biofilm biomass were observed between WT pBK and WT pBK-VC0178 under continuous presence of glucose (Figure 6), indicating effective glucose-mediated transcriptional silencing of VC0178. In contrast, switch from glucose to arabinose for the final 8 h resulted in significantly less biomass in biofilms of WT pBK-VC0178 compared to the WT pBK, which suggests a substantial dispersal of mature biofilms upon VC0178 expression (Figure 6). Notably, a glucose to arabinose switch for the final 8 h of biofilm formation already resulted in a slight, but significant biomass reduction and minor alterations in biofilm architecture of WT pBK. Depletion of glucose along with the arabinose supplementation likely causes metabolic and physiological changes, such as the recently reported arabinose-dependent spheroplast formation (Espinosa et al., 2020), which also might affect biofilms. Nonetheless, the glucose to arabinose switch showed much stronger effects on WT pBK-VC0178 biofilms highlighted by a biomass reduction of almost 50% and pronounced changes in morphology compared to the glucose-repressed condition (Figure 6). Thus, biofilm formation is not only inhibited by constitutive (over)-expression of VC0178 from initial stages onwards, but dispersal of mature biofilms can be also induced upon timed VC0178 expression at late biofilm stages.

Constitutive (Over)-Expression of ibr Genes Can Interfere in Swimming Behavior

In V. cholerae, biofilm formation and motility are connected in several ways (Yildiz and Visick, 2009; Guttenplan and Kearns, 2013). Sensory systems, such as quorum sensing, and signaling networks revolving around the second messenger c-di-GMP, have a pivotal role in inversely regulating motility and biofilm formation. For example, higher intracellular concentrations of c-di-GMP stimulate expression of adhesion factors and extracellular matrix components while repressing motility, and vice versa (Waters et al., 2008; Conner et al., 2017; Hsieh et al., 2018). Furthermore, there is evidence that active flagellar motility is important for surface attachment (Watnick and Kolter, 1999) as well as for mature biofilm dispersal (Brigges et al., 2020).

Considering the complex, yet strong, relationship between these two phenotypic aspects of V. cholerae as well as the overrepresentation of MCPs within the ibr genes, swimming assays in semi-solid agar were performed to reveal an impact of any of the nine ibr gene candidates (Figure 7). Constitutive (over)-expression of the MCPs VC0512, VCA0658, VCA0773,
and VCA0988 showed substantially impaired swimming behavior compared to WT pBK (Figures 7A,C), while deletion of any of these genes showed no effect (Figures 7B,D). Similarly, constitutive (over)-expression of VC0845 and VCA0281 significantly decreased swimming motility, while the corresponding deletion mutant again showed no difference to the WT. Neither constitutive (over)-expression nor deletion of VC0178 and VC1289 had a significant effect on the swimming phenotype compared to WT pBK or WT, respectively. Finally, either constitutive (over)-expression or deletion of VC0998 resulted in strikingly lower swimming motility compared to their respective control strains (Figures 7A–D), suggesting that de-regulation of VC0998 in any direction impairs swimming ability.

Impact of ibr Genes on vpsA Expression

The V. cholerae biofilm matrix is a lattice of polysaccharides, structural proteins, extracellular DNA, and lipids (Schulze et al., 2021). The major and most prevalent component, however, is the Vibrio polysaccharide (VPS), a secreted substance whose synthesis and secretion are achieved by proteins encoded in 2 gene clusters, vps-I and vps-II (Fong et al., 2010). The vps genes are controlled by several pathways, including quorum sensing...
and c-di-GMP signaling, and altered vps gene expression directly affects biofilm formation (Yildiz and Schoolnik, 1999; Hammer and Bassler, 2003, 2009; Yildiz et al., 2004; Waters et al., 2008; Fong et al., 2010; Srivastava et al., 2011). As such, we sought to discover whether the differences in biofilm formation by strains constitutively (over)-expressing ibr genes could be linked to changes in VPS expression. To this end, a promoterless phoA, encoding the alkaline phosphatase (PhoA), was inserted downstream of vpsA, the first gene in the vps-I cluster (Fong et al., 2010), to generate a chromosomal transcriptional vpsA::phoA fusion. Hence, PhoA activities reflect the vps transcription and allowed detection of altered VPS expression levels upon ibr gene expression (Figure 8). Constitutive (over)-expression of VC0845 and VC0998 caused a significant increase in vpsA expression (Figure 8). This is in line with observations from static biofilm assays, where constitutive (over)-expression of VC0845 and VC0998 resulted in high static biofilm formation within 24 h (Figure 2). In addition, WT pBK-VC0845 exhibited also increased biomass compared to WT pBK in the dynamic setup (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

In biofilm formation, as in any other biological process of adaptation of an individual or a group to its surroundings, a thorough modulatory program of gene expression is triggered upon recognition of specific environmental signals. In the last few decades, the genomic description of this program in *V. cholerae* has greatly expanded, mainly through generation of mutant libraries and sequencing of mutants showing aberrant biofilm behavior, in order to identify genes and gene clusters whose expression is necessary for each stage of biofilm development, spanning from initial attachment to biofilm dispersal (Yildiz and Schoolnik, 1999; Fong and Yildiz, 2007; Fong et al., 2010; Berk et al., 2012; Bridges et al., 2020). Our group has recently devised a single-cell based reporter screen for in biofilm induced genes (RIBET), which identified several new candidates relevant for biofilm formation not detected previously by conventional phenotypic screens or microarray studies (Seper et al., 2014). Still, focus has mostly been on genes necessary for biofilm formation, and which thus need to be expressed, while scarce attention has been given to genes which are unnecessary or detrimental for biofilm synthesis, but whose transcriptional silencing might be of equal importance for proper biofilm development.

With the TRIBET screen presented herein, we report a panoramic view on the biofilm repressome of *V. cholerae* including first phenotypical analyses. In total, we identified 192 genes to be in-biofilm repressed, which, together with the recently identified in biofilm induced genes (Seper et al., 2014),
provides a comprehensive profile of differential gene regulation of *V. cholerae* along biofilm formation. It should be noted that these single cell-based reporter technologies rely on an irreversible excision of an antibiotic resistance cassette upon gene silencing. This allows the detection of transient gene repression and will identify spatiotemporal gene silencing of *V. cholerae* during biofilm development. It is known that several regulatory programs are temporally concerted to allow adaptation of the bacteria to the different phases of biofilm generation (Yildiz and Visick, 2009). Hence, gene expression likely varies along biofilm development, for example, genes can be repressed at one point to later be expressed, and vice versa. Indeed, four genes (VC0764, VC1612, VC2072, and VC2750) have been not only identified herein as in-biofilm repressed, but also as in biofilm induced by a previous study (Seper et al., 2014), suggesting varying induction and repression profiles along biofilm formation.

Deciphering the individual spatiotemporal patterns of genes found to be differentially regulated along biofilm formation of *V. cholerae* will be a challenging task in the future.

Having such spatiotemporal patterns of gene expression in mind, strains constitutively (over)-expressing nine ibr genes as well as corresponding deletion mutants were chosen for further analyses. Constitutive (over)-expression of two ibr genes (VC0845 and VC0998) resulted in increased static biofilm formation at 24 h, while expression of five ibr genes (VC0178, VC0512, VCA0658, VCA0773, and VCA0988) reduced biofilm formation at 48 h. In comparison with the parental WT, expression of VCA0281 resulted in elevated biofilm formation at 24 h, followed by a decrease at 48 h. Thus, the majority of constitutive (over)-expression strains revealed a phenotype in static biofilm formation, whereas absence of ibr genes along biofilm formation can be tolerated in most cases.

Notably, constitutive (over)-expression of any of the nine ibr genes changed attachment efficacy to abiotic surfaces compared to the parental WT suggesting that presence of ibr gene products can already interfere in initial stages of biofilm development. Three strains constitutively (over)-expressing ibr genes showed the same phenotype under dynamic and static conditions (VC0178, VC0512, and VC0845). Expression of VCA0281 resulted in increased biomass in dynamic conditions,
which is also consistent with the elevated static biofilm levels at 24h. Constitutive (over)-expression of VCA0773 and VCA0988 showed inverse phenotypes in static and dynamic conditions, reinforcing the observation that requirements and molecular mechanisms of biofilm formation under these conditions can differ (Müller et al., 2007; Seper et al., 2014).

Some ibr genes and corresponding phenotypes of this work deserve further consideration. AcfD (VC0845) is one of the four accessory colonization factors of V. cholerae, which are transcriptionally controlled by ToxR and facilitate host colonization (Peterson and Mekalanos, 1988). The exact function of the surface associated AcfD remains to be elucidated. Repression during biofilm development is consistent with the idea that virulence and biofilm formation reflect different stages of V. cholerae's life cycle and are generally inversely regulated, for example, via c-di-GMP (Conner et al., 2017). Constitutive (over)-expression of AcfD resulted in thick and dense biofilms with high biomass but reduced attachment to abiotic surfaces. Furthermore, constitutive (over)-expression of AcfD caused reduced motility and elevated expression of vpsA. Thus, AcfD might have adhesive properties facilitating inter-bacterial interactions rather than between the bacterial surface and abiotic surfaces. As such, cell–cell interaction by AcfD-decorated bacteria could interfere in swimming behavior by blocking flagellar rotation, which has been implicated as mechanosensory mechanism which triggers production and secretion of VPS upon irreversible surface attachment (Watnick et al., 2001; Lauriano et al., 2004). Alternatively, constitutive (over)-expression of AcfD could increase c-di-GMP levels, which are known to enhance VPS expression and reduction of flagellar motility (Conner et al., 2017).

Notably, ΔVC0998 was the only ibr gene mutant exhibiting a strong phenotype almost abolishing biofilm formation under static conditions. Vice versa, constitutive (over)-expression of VC0998 increased VPS expression and static biofilm formation. VC0998 (HubP) is a large transmembrane protein involved in localizing and organizing several other proteins in the cell pole, namely proteins involved in cell division, cell wall remodeling, chemotaxis and motility (Yamaichi et al., 2012; Altimoglu et al., 2022). One of the recently identified proteins requiring HubP for proper membrane localization is MotW, which has been linked to c-di-GMP degradation (Altimoglu et al., 2022). Thus, altered expression of HubP could interfere via MotW in c-di-GMP signaling, which in turn influences VPS expression and biofilm formation. Differential expression of HubP also impaired motility, which might also be linked to disturbances in c-di-GMP levels or other chemotaxis and motility proteins, whose polar localization is HubP-dependent (Altimoglu et al., 2022).

Constitutive (over)-expression of VC0178 consistently showed adverse effects on static and dynamic biofilm formation, while its deletion had no impact. Moreover, induction of VC0178 in mature biofilms resulted in their dispersal. To our knowledge, this is the first report of an effector, causing biofilm dissolution upon timed expression in mature biofilms. A recent study characterized VC0178 as the patatin-like phospholipase CapV, whose activity results in the release of free fatty acids from the cell membrane (Severin et al., 2018). Activity of CapV is stimulated by the second messenger 3′,3′-cyclic guanosine monophosphate-adenosine monophosphate (cGAMP), which is produced by the cGAMP synthase DncV (VC0179), also identified among the biofilm repressed genes herein (Table 3). Notably, cGAMP has been shown to downregulate biofilm formation in E. coli (Li et al., 2019), but so far neither cGAMP-related signaling nor CapV activity have been connected to biofilm formation in V. cholerae. It has been proposed that CapV remodels the cell membrane of V. cholerae facilitating adaptation to different environmental stressors (Severin et al., 2018).

CapV activity has not only been linked to altered membrane composition, but also to reduced membrane integrity (Severin et al., 2018). Detrimental effects on cell viability upon constitutive (over)-expression of CapV using the pBAD system are unlikely as no defect in growth or motility was observed. However, CapV activity could affect secretion of biofilm matrix components and weaken the adhesive properties of the bacterial surface, hence justifying its repression in biofilms. Interestingly, CapV belongs to the 7th pandemic island of pathogenicity 1 (VPS-1) transcriptionally controlled by the virulence regulator ToxT through the action of the small RNA TarB (Bradley et al., 2011; Davies et al., 2012), implying that CapV activity might be required during in vivo colonization, while being detrimental for biofilm development. Results herein show that CapV expression triggers dispersal of mature biofilms, which suggests that CapV might be induced at late biofilm stages. Indeed, a recent report highlights the induction of several virulence-related genes in biofilms, including toxT, facilitating hyperinfectivity of biofilm-derived V. cholerae (Gallego-Hernandez et al., 2020).

Finally, genes encoding for MCPs, the membrane-associated chemosensors of bacterial chemotaxis systems, are fairly overrepresented among ibr genes. No previous study using a resolvase-based single-cell reporter system to identify genes differentially regulated during intestinal colonization or genes induced during biofilm formation revealed such a high percentage of MCPs (Osorio et al., 2005; Schild et al., 2007; Seper et al., 2014; Cakar et al., 2018; Zingl et al., 2020). Strikingly, V. cholerae has a large number of 46 predicted MCPs when compared to closely related species such as E. coli (four MCPs), Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (seven MCPs) or Pseudomonas aeruginosa (26 MCPs; Armitage, 1999; Boin et al., 2004; Kato et al., 2008; Hoffmann et al., 2017). The reason for this discrepancy needs yet to be elucidated, but the complex life cycle of V. cholerae with varying conditions might require detection of a more panromatic array of chemical signals from its environment. Such detailed sensing could facilitate acclimatization of the bacteria when transitioning between aquatic environments and the human host, between planktonic and biofilm phases, and to evolving conditions in each of these spaces and states (Nishiyama et al., 2012). Several MCPs have been identified to be induced during intestinal colonization by microarray analysis or resolvase-based screens (Osorio et al., 2005; Nielsen et al., 2006; Schild et al., 2007) and a subset has already been characterized for their roles in vivo (Jeffery and Koshland, 1993; Everiss et al., 1994; Harkey et al., 1994; Lee et al., 2001; Nishiyama et al., 2012; Wurm et al., 2017).
The impact of MCPs on biofilm formation is less understood, most likely because they were not identified in any previous biofilm-related screen and deletion mutants, as reported herein, show no or minor effects on biofilm formation or swimming behavior. A notable exception is Mlp37, which recognizes tauarine, a major constituent of bile, as an attractant (Nishiyama et al., 2016). Bile triggers various responses in *V. cholerae* including virulence induction and enhanced biofilm formation through upregulation of the vps genes (Hung et al., 2006). Analysis of the five ibr MCPs in this study (VC0512, VC1289, VCA0658, VCA0773, and VCA0988) provides additional insights on the impact of bacterial chemoreceptor on biofilm formation. Constitutive (over)-expression of any of the five MCPs in *V. cholerae* significantly decreased static biofilm formation and expression of four MCPs (VC0512, VCA0658, VCA0773, and VCA0988) and reduced swimming ability on motility plates. The later might be explained by interference in the chemotactic response cascades downstream of the MCPs. Attachment and dynamic biofilm assays add more complexity: expression of two (VC1289 and VCA0773) increased attachment to abiotic surfaces, while expression of three (VC0512, VCA0658, and VCA0988) reduces it. Lower and higher attachment upon constitutive (over)-expression of VC0512 and VCA0773 is sustained in biomass quantification of respective dynamic biofilms. Constitutive (over)-expression of VCA0988 decreased attachment proficiency, but promoted dynamic biofilm formation.

In summary, it is evident that constitutive (over)-expression of these MCPs affects biofilm formation in different ways with diverging, detrimental effects. Thus, the identified MCPs likely exhibit individual spatiotemporal repression patterns along biofilm formation and interfere in different stages of biofilm development. In case of the last stage, a recent study implied that *V. cholerae* requires chemotaxis mediating reorientations in swimming direction to efficiently escape from mature biofilms (Bridges et al., 2020), but the required MCPs and corresponding chemotactic ligands remain to be identified. It is tempting to speculate that a complex network of differentially expressed MCPs allows transient sensing of distinct chemicals driving chemotactic responses to ensure proper biofilm development.

In conclusion, the TRIBET system successfully identified genes specifically repressed during biofilm formation, representing another successful application of reporter system besides the identification of *V. cholerae* gene repression during host passage (Cakar et al., 2018). Phenotypic analyses indicate that most ibr genes are not simply dispensable for biofilm formation, but their silencing is a necessity for ordered biofilm formation.
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