Critical magnetic fluctuations induced superconductivity and residual density of states in CeRhIn$_5$ superconductor
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We propose the multiband extension of the spin-fermion model to address the superconducting d-wave pairing due to magnetic interaction near critical point. We solve the unrestricted gap equation with a general d-wave symmetry gap and find that divergent magnetic correlation length $\xi$ leads to the very unharmonic shape of the gap function with shallow gap regions near nodes. These regions are extremely sensitive to disorder. Small impurity concentration induces substantial residual density of states. We argue that we can understand the large $N_{\text{res}}(0) = \lim_{T \to 0} C_p(T)/T$ value and its pressure dependence of the recently discovered CeRhIn$_5$ superconductor under pressure within this approach.
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Recent discovery of superconductivity in CeMIn$_5$($M = Co, Rh, Ir$) has spurred renewed interest of heavy fermion systems and about the nature of its superconductivity. The rich phase diagram of these compounds and the tunability by pressure and chemical substitution of the transition metal element provide valuable information about the competition/interplay between the magnetism and superconductivity and perhaps a possible quantum criticality as a unifying origin of the phase diagram of these compounds. While the complete understanding of the phase diagram and the underlying mechanism for the magnetism and the superconductivity is still lacking, there are many details of thermodynamic and transport properties of each phase in these materials which need to be understood: large remnant $N_{\text{res}}(0) = \lim_{T \to 0} C_p(T)/T$ values both in magnetic and in superconducting phases, the weak first order transition to superconductivity in CeRhIn$_5$, a unidentified new phase inside the mixed state, a strong deviation of $\Delta C(T)/C(T_c)$ from BCS value and its pressure dependence, etc.

Motivated by CeRhIn$_5$ experiments, in this paper we examined possible conditions for a d-wave superconductors (1) to create a substantial density of states (DOS) in superconducting phase with a small impurity concentration, and (2) to have a large variation of $\xi$ as a function of pressure while keeping the constant $T_c$ values between 16 $\sim$ 21 kbar.

To address these questions we need a microscopic model for the superconducting state in CeMIn$_5$ materials. From the phase diagram of these materials$^{1-5}$ and from the thermal conductivity measurement indicating the unconventional pairing with lines of nodes, we argue that it is highly plausible that the superconductivity is mediated by the magnetic fluctuations$^{6,7}$. We propose a multiband generalization of the spin-fermion model$^8$, where localized Ce spins $\vec{S}$ are interacting with the conduction electrons (predominantly d band of In) via the Kondo exchange coupling $J$. In mixed momentum and real space representation the Hamiltonian is written as

$$H = \sum_{k,\alpha} c_{\alpha}^\dagger(k)\varepsilon(k)c_{\alpha}(k) + \sum_{r,\alpha,\beta} J\vec{S}(r) \cdot \sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(r) + H_S$$

(1)

where the first term is a kinetic energy and the second describes the Kondo exchange between Ce spins and conduction electron spin density. The last term represents an effective low energy Hamiltonian for the localized spins. The dynamics of the localized spins without long range AFM order coupled with conduction electrons is well captured by the spin correlation function$^9$

$$\chi(q,\omega) = \delta_{\omega} S^\dagger(q,\omega)S^\dagger(q, -\omega) = \frac{\nu_0}{i\omega/\omega_0 + \xi^{-2}(|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{Q}|)^2 + 1},$$

where $\omega_0$ is a spin relaxation energy scale, $\mathbf{Q}$ is the 2-dimensional antiferromagnetic vector, and $\xi$ is the magnetic correlation length. The physics of this model for one band case has been investigated for a long time$^{10}$. It is important to mention that the spin-fermion model of our multiband case is different in the following aspects: (1) the effective spin-fermion coupling should be much
weaker than that of the one band model. One important consequence of it is that the relaxational energy scale $\omega_0$ is much larger than that of the one band case $\omega_f$. (2) more importantly, while the $\xi \gg a$ limit can not be reached in the self-consistent one band model without deforming the FS topology of the conduction band in the two band model in conjunction with the weak coupling above mentioned, the $\xi \gg a$ limit does not necessarily modify the conduction band Fermi surface. (3) below $T_c$, however, the spin fluctuation damping $\omega_0$ is suppressed for $\omega < 2\Delta_{sc}$, thus modifying the spin fluctuations spectrum. This effect should be dealt with in the fully self-consistent Eliashberg equation. In our weak coupling BCS approximation, this effect is ignored and justified by the fact $\Delta < \omega_0$ a posteriori.

The phase diagram for this material suggests that $\xi$ becomes gradually shorter away from the phase boundary ($P_c \sim 15kbar$) toward the higher pressure. Then the basic feature of the magnetic fluctuations mediated potential is that near critical point with divergent $\xi$ $V(q) \equiv \chi(q, \omega = 0)$ is sharply peaked around the antiferromagnetic wave vector $\vec{q} \sim \vec{Q}$ without much tail at other $\vec{q}$ values. With this potential the d-wave gap becomes mostly confined near the antinodal points and the gap in the nodal regions becomes shallow. Upon decreasing the correlation length $\xi$, the potential has a longer tail extending outside the $\vec{Q}$ vector. As a result the gap function approaches a simple harmonic function $\sim (\cos(k_x) - \cos(k_y))$ with a constant slope of the gap over most of the Fermi surface (FS). In this paper we show that the OP slope of the nodal region is very sensitive to the shape of the pairing potential (see Fig.1(a)-(b)) and the shallow gap near nodal points leads to the enhanced sensitivity to disorder so that only small amount of impurities is required to produce substantial residual DOS $N_{res}(0)$. To capture this detailed shape of the d-wave OP, we solved the unrestricted gap equation with only keeping the general d-wave symmetry. Then we study the impurity effects using T-matrix approximation to calculate the impurity-induced DOS $N_{res}(\omega)$ in the superconducting state.

**Formalism.** For CeRhIn$_5$ we assume $\Delta_0 < \omega_0$. This allows us to use a weak coupling BCS gap equation of the spin-fermion model for the d-wave pairing. For simplicity, we assume a circular FS in two dimensions and integrate out the perpendicular component of momentum up to the BCS cut-off energy $\omega_D$, which is naturally provided by $\omega_0$ in our model. The effect of the impurity scattering is included within T-matrix approximation. For particle-hole symmetric case $T_0 = 0$, and for d-wave OP with isotropic scattering $T_1 = 0$ (also without loss of generality we can choose $T_2 = 0$ by U(1) symmetry). Then we need to calculate only $T_0(\omega)$. Self energy is given $\Sigma_0 = \Gamma T_0$, where $\Gamma = n_i/\pi N_0$, $N_0$ the normal DOS at the Fermi energy, $n_i$ the impurity concentration. Scattering strength parameter $c$ is related with the s-wave phase shift $\delta$ as $c = \tan^{-1}(\delta)$. Now $T_0(\omega_n) = \frac{g_0(\omega_n)}{|c^2 + i\omega_n - \Delta|^2}$, where $g_0(\omega_n) = \frac{1}{\pi N_0} \sum k \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_n + \sum + \Delta(k)}$, $\omega_n = \omega_n + \sum_0$. With this $T_0$ the following gap equation is solved self-consistently.

$$\Delta(\phi) = -N_0 \int \frac{d\phi'}{2\pi} V(\phi - \phi') \cdot F(\phi')$$

$$T \sum_{\omega_n} \int_{-\omega_D}^{\omega_D} \frac{\Delta(\phi')}{\omega_n^2 + \epsilon_k^2 + \Delta(k)}$$, (2)

Unlike the previous calculations of d-wave pairing, we assume no particular functional form for $\Delta(\phi)$ except imposing $D_2$ symmetry; namely $\Delta(n\pi/4) = 0 (n = 1, 3, 5, 7)$, $\Delta(\phi) = \Delta(\phi + \pi)$, and $\Delta(\phi) = -\Delta(\phi + \pi/2)$. Therefore the gap equation can produce the most general d-wave symmetry gap solution for a given pairing potential. The pairing potential is proportional to the static potential near to the Fermi level for all cases, but the strength of this potential is related with the s-wave phase shift $\delta_{05}$ and the parameter $b$. $\Delta(\phi)$ is normalized in the circular Fermi surface ($\xi \sim a/\Gamma$; $a$ is the lattice parameter).

Finally, we introduce the FS weighting function $F(\phi) = \cos^2(\phi/2)$ to correct the artifact of the circular FS and to mimic the important aspect of real FS topology.

**Results.** In all calculations we use $\omega_D = 1$, which also serves the unit energy. In Fig.1(a) we show the normalized pairing potentials $V(\phi)/V_d(b)$ as a function of $b$ for illustration. In Fig.1(b) the solutions of $\Delta(\phi)$ for the Born limit scatterer ($c = 1, \Gamma = 0.05$) are shown for the potentials shown in Fig.1(a).

The self-consistently determined $T_0(\omega_n)$ is analytically continued to real frequency using Padé approximant to calculate the self-energy $(\Sigma_0(\omega + i\eta))$. Then $N(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_k \text{Im} G_0(\omega, k)$ is calculated. In Fig.2.a-b we plot $\text{Im} \Sigma_0(\omega)$ for both Born and unitary limits for potentials with different $b \sim \xi^{-1}$ shown in Fig.1. There is no resonance at Fermi level for Born limit scatterer as known, but the scattering rate $\gamma = \text{Im} \Sigma_0(\omega = 0)$ increases as the gap of nodal region becomes flatter, and for all cases for $|\omega| > \Delta_0$ it approaches the normal scattering rate $\Gamma_N = \Gamma/(c^2 + 1) = 0.025$. As a result the residual DOS $N_{res}(\omega = 0)$ (see the Fig.3.a) sharply increases with flatter gap region. On the other hand, for the case of unitary scatterer (Fig.2.b) there is a resonance at the Fermi level for all cases, but the strength of this scattering rate $\gamma$ has opposite trend in contrast to the Born limit; the flatter the gap is, the smaller $\gamma$ is. This is because the self-consistent equations are different for each case: $\gamma = \Gamma n_0/c^2$ for Born limit and $\gamma = \Gamma/\pi n_0$ for unitary limit ($n_0 = <\sqrt{\gamma^2 + \Delta^2}>$). Also for $|\omega| > \Delta_0$ it
approaches the normal scattering rate $\Gamma_N = \Gamma = 0.005$. Nevertheless for both cases even small value of $\gamma$ is sufficient to create the substantial $N_{res}(0)$ at Fermi level when the gap is shallow near nodes. This is seen in Fig.3.a-b which plots the normalized DOS, $N(\omega)/N_0$, for both Born and the unitary limits. The clearly seen trend is that the longer magnetic correlation $\xi$ produces a more residual DOS, $N(\omega)/N_0$ for a same amount of impurities.

Fig.4(a) summarizes this trend, shows plots of $N(\omega = 0)/N_0$ as a function of $b$ ($\sim P$) for both imurity cases. The result shows that the Born limit scatterer has a stronger dependence on pressure compared to the unitary scatterer. This is because of the opposite trend of $\gamma$ in unitary scatterer due to the resonant pole. In comparison to the experimental data of $N_{res}(0)$ shown in Fig.4(b), the Born scatterer fits the data better.

In Fig.5 we show $T_c$ suppression as a function of impurity scattering parameter $\Gamma$ for both Born ($c = 1$) and unitary ($c = 0$) limits for the representative potentials ($b = 0.1$). The impurity scattering parameters used in our calculations gives $(T_c(\Gamma) - T_{c0})/T_{c0}$ suppression of at most a few % from Fig.5.(unitary limit 0.8%, Born limit 4%). In passing we note $\Gamma_{crit}/\Delta_0$ is about 50% larger than the simple $\cos(2\phi)$ type d-wave result both for Born and the unitary cases. This means that the unrestricted gap equation can find a more optimized gap solution up to higher temperature compared to the fixed form of $\Delta_0 \cos(2\phi)$ solution.

In summary, in this paper, we propose a multiband spin-fermion model as a description of the pairing in $CeMIn_5$ materials. Motivated by the phase diagram of these materials, we assume that the magnetic correlation length $\xi$ decreases with pressure and the functional shape of the magnetic fluctuations mediated pairing potential is changing. Using this potential we show that the slope of the gap near nodes can be sharply changed. This strong change of the slope can explain the pressure dependence of $N_{res}(0)/N_0$ as well as the large value of it in $CeRhIn_5$ superconductor close to the quantum critical limit of $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ with a small amount of impurity.

FIG. 1. (a) The normalized pairing potential $V(\phi)/V_d(\phi)$ as a function of the exchange momentum $\phi$, for different values of $b(\sim \xi^{-1})$. In increasing order of potential width, $b=0.02$, 0.04, ..., 0.2. $\phi = \pi /2$ is the AFM peak momentum $Q$. Inset is the $V_d(\phi)$ which is numerically determined to make $T_c$ constant. The trend is that the potential height is decreasing as $\xi$ becomes shorter (or the pressure increases) and the width is increasing. (b) The OP solutions $\Delta(\phi)$ for pairing potentials shown in (a) with impurities (Born limit $c = 1$ and $\Gamma = 0.05$) for all cases. In decreasing order of potential width, $\Delta(\phi)$ becomes flatter near node. This trend of the flatter gap near node approaching the magnetic phase (the longer $\xi$) is also observed in the high $T_c$ superconductor.$^4$

FIG. 2. (a) The imaginary part of self-energy $Im\Sigma_0(\omega)$ for different pairing potentials shown in Fig.1. with Born limit scatterer ($c = 1$ and $\Gamma = 0.05$). With increasing potential width, $\gamma = Im\Sigma_0(\omega = 0)$ decreases.; (b) the same as (a) with the unitary scatterer ($c = 0$ and $\Gamma = 0.005$). With increasing potential width, $\gamma$ increases.
FIG. 3. (a) The normalized DOS $N(\omega)/N_0$ for different pairing potentials as shown in Fig.1. with Born limit scatterer ($c = 1$ and $\Gamma = 0.05$). With increased potential width $N(\omega)/N_0$ decreases. Inset: with $\beta = 4$ and $\Gamma = 0.06$. (b) the same as (a) with the unitary scatterer ($c = 0$ and $\Gamma = 0.005$). With increased potential width $N(\omega)/N_0$ decreases. Inset: with $\beta = 4$ and $\Gamma = 0.006$.

FIG. 4. (a) The normalized DOS $N(\omega = 0)/N_0$ as a function of $b$ ($\sim \xi^{-1} \sim P - P_c$) for Born limit scatterer ($c = 1$, $\Gamma = 0.05$, solid square) and for the unitary scatterer ($c = 0$, $\Gamma = 0.005$, open square). Inset is with $\beta = 4$ ($c = 1$, $\Gamma = 0.06$, solid square; $c = 0$, $\Gamma = 0.006$, open square); (b) Experimental data from Ref[5].

FIG. 5. $T_c/T_{c,0}$ as a function of $\Gamma/\Delta_0$ both for the Born ($c = 1$) and unitary limit ($c = 0$) with a typical pairing potential ($b = 0.1$).
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