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Abstract. In this manuscript we deal with existence/uniqueness and regularity issues of suitable weak solutions to nonlocal problems driven by fractional Laplace type operators. Different from previous researches, in our approach we consider gradient non-linearity sources with sub-critical growth, as well as appropriated measures as sources and boundary datum. We provide an in-depth discussion on the notions of solutions involved together with existence/uniqueness results in different regimes and for different boundary value problems. Finally, this work extends previous ones by dealing with more general nonlocal operators, source terms and boundary data.

1. Introduction

1.1. Main proposals and contrasts with former results. In this article, we propose to study the existence/uniqueness and regularity of appropriate weak solutions for nonlocal quasi-linear problems involving measures, more precisely we consider

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^{\alpha}u(x) &= g(x, |\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu \\
u(x) &= \rho \mu
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

in \( \Omega \), \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) are suitable Radon measures, \( g : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is a continuous function fulfilling certain growth conditions (to be presented a posteriori) and \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) is a \( C^2 \)-bounded domain.

As the nonlinear term \( g \) appears in the right-hand side, such a model (1.1) with \( \rho = 0 \), may be understood as a Kardar-Parisi-Zhang stationary problem (models of growing interfaces) driving by fractional diffusion (see [40] for the model in the local setting and [1] for an instrumental work in the nonlocal scenario). On the other hand, the problem with the nonlinear (Hamiltonian) term in the left-hand side is the stationary counterpart of a Hamilton-Jacobi equation with a viscosity term under certain critical fractional diffusion (see [51] and the references therein).

In the last two decades, the fractional Laplacian operator \( \mathcal{L} = (-\Delta)^{\alpha} \), or more general elliptic linear integro-differential operators (with singular kernels), have been a classic topic of research in several fields of pure mathematics such as Geometry, Harmonic Analysis, PDEs and Probability. Furthermore, there has been renewed interest in these kind of operators due to their current connections with certain stochastic processes of Lévy type [3], [9], [10], [23], [42], [45] theory of semigroups [35], [55], recent progress in geometric analysis and conformal geometry [20], [34], [39], and existence and regularity issues in a number of nonlocal diffusion and free boundaries problems [1], [4], [13], [17], [19], [27], [28], [32], [33], [51], [52], [53], [54], just to mention a few.

We should also highlight that nonlocal type operators arise naturally in a number of applied mathematical modelling such as in continuum mechanics, image processing, crystal dislocation,...
Nonlinear Dynamics (Geophysical Flows), phase transition phenomena, population dynamics, nonlocal optimal control and game theory as pointed out in [7], [8], [14], [15], [18], [24], [29], [30], [37], [45] and the references therein. Just for illustration, the fractional heat equation may appear in probabilistic random-walk procedures and, in turn, the stationary case may do so in pay-off models (see [14] and the references therein). In the works [18] and [19] the description of anomalous diffusion via fractional dynamics is investigated and various fractional partial differential equations are derived from Lévy random walk models, extending Brownian motion models in a natural way. Finally, fractional type operators are also encompassed in mathematical modeling of financial markets, since Lévy type processes with jumps take place as more accurate models of stock pricing (see e.g. [3] and [26] for some illustrative examples). In fact, the boundary condition

$$u = q\mu \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$$

which is given in the whole complement may be interpreted from the stochastic point of view as the fact that a Lévy process can exit the domain \(\Omega\) for the first time jumping to any subset \(E \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\) with probability density given by \(q\mu(E)\).

As a prelude to our investigations, let us present a historical overview regarding recent advances in semi-linear elliptic problems with measure data. In the pioneering work [12] (see also [5]), Brezis studied the existence/uniqueness of solutions to semi-linear Dirichlet elliptic problems of the form

$$\begin{align*}
    &-\Delta u + g(u) = \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
    &u = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega,
\end{align*}$$

where \(\nu\) is a bounded measure, \(g\) is non-decreasing, positive and satisfies the integral growth condition

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{(g(s) - g(-s))}{s^{N+1}} ds < \infty.$$

Observe that when \(g\) is a \(p\)-th power, i.e. \(g(s) = s^p\), the above integrability condition is satisfied whenever

$$p < \frac{N}{N-2}.$$

When \(p \geq \frac{N}{N-2}\), solutions might not exist (see for instance [51]).

Posteriorly, Véron generalizes the former results in [56] by replacing the Laplacian by more general second-order (uniformly) elliptic operators (in divergence form), allowing for measure sources so that

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho^\beta(x) d|\nu| < \infty,$$

for \(\beta \in [0,1]\) and where \(\rho\) is the distance-to-the-boundary function. The non-linearity \(g\) now is assumed to satisfy the integrability condition

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{(g(s) - g(-s))}{s^{2+\frac{\beta}{N+\beta-2}}} ds < \infty.$$

Finally, in [50], Nguyen-Phuoc and Véron obtained existence results of solutions to

$$\begin{align*}
    &-\Delta u + g(|\nabla u|) = \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
    &u = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega,
\end{align*}$$
where $g$ fulfils the integrability assumption
\[
\int_1^\infty \frac{g(s)}{s^{2N-1}} ds < \infty.
\]
For an instrumental survey on elliptic Dirichlet problems involving the Laplace operator and measures we recommend the Marcus and Véron’s Monograph [47] and the references therein.

Now, let us highlight some pivotal works regarding existence and regularity for problems driven by fractional diffusion with measure datum (for $g \equiv 0$)
\[
-Lu = \nu \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N.
\]
Such results for the fractional Laplacian (for powers $\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$) have been obtained in [41], where the approach is via duality method. In the recent work [23] the authors deal with fractional equations (this time for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$) involving measures, where the study is carried out through fundamental solutions. In [2] is proposed a notion of re-normalised solution for semi-linear equations. The work [43] (see also the enlightening survey [44]) deals with more general nonlinear integro-differential equations (possibly degenerate or singular) with measurable, elliptic/coercive and symmetric kernels, thereby obtaining existence of suitable weak solutions (SOLA - Solutions Obtained as Limits of Approximations) and regularity results by means of nonlinear potentials of Wolff type.

Concerning elliptic problems with measure source governed by fractional Laplacian (for $g \not\equiv 0$), recently Chen and Véron in [23] investigated the semi-linear fractional equation
\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^\alpha u + g(u) = \nu & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
where $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \rho^\beta)$, i.e., $\int_{\Omega} \rho^\beta(x) d|\nu| < \infty$, with $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$. In such a work the authors proved existence/uniqueness of solutions when $g$ is nondecreasing and satisfies
\[
\int_1^\infty \frac{g(s) - g(-s)}{s^{1+k_{\alpha, \beta}}} ds < \infty,
\]
where
\[
k_{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{cases}
\frac{N}{N-2\alpha} & \text{if } \beta \in \left[0, \frac{N-2\alpha}{N}\alpha\right] \\
\frac{N-\alpha}{N-2\alpha+\beta} & \text{if } \beta \in \left(\frac{N-2\alpha}{N}\alpha, \alpha\right],
\end{cases}
\]
With respect to fractional Laplacian with gradient source term, according to our scientific knowledge up to date, the more recent findings regarding existence/uniqueness and regularity issues of solutions to problems like (1.1) can be found in [1], [22] and [24] respectively. In [1] Abdellaoui and Peral address an extensive and complete analysis to
\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^\alpha u = |\nabla u|^q + \lambda f & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \\
u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
regarding existence/uniqueness and regularity of weak solutions in three different cases: subcritical, $1 < q < 2\alpha$; critical, $q = 2\alpha$; and supercritical, $q > 2\alpha$, for $\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ and $f$ a measurable.
non-negative function with suitable integrability hypotheses. On the other hand, in [24], the authors treated the problem
\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^\alpha u = g(|\nabla u|) + \nu & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
while the case for prescribed measures in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ was considered in [22]. In both cases, the non-linearity is assumed to satisfy an integral or polynomial growth condition.

These former results have been our starting point in obtaining qualitative results for models like (1.1) under appropriated assumption on the data, and with nonlinear gradient sources and measures.

In order to finish these theoretical landmarks, let us briefly present the more current existence/uniqueness results related to measure supported on the boundary. In this direction, in [21] the authors studied weak solutions of the fractional elliptic problem
\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^\alpha u(x) + \varepsilon g(u(x)) = k \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \nu^\alpha_n} & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u(x) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
where $k > 0$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, $\nu$ is a bounded Radon measure supported in $\partial \Omega$ and $\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \nu^\alpha_n}$ is defined in a suitable distributional sense (see Section 5 for more detail). In such a context, they prove (for $\varepsilon = 1$) that (1.2) admits a unique weak solution when $g$ is a continuous nondecreasing function satisfying the integral condition
\[
\int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{(g(s) - g(-s))}{s^{1+\frac{N+\alpha}{N-\alpha}}} ds < +\infty.
\]
On the other hand, when $\varepsilon = -1$ and $\nu$ is nonnegative, by employing the Schauder’s fixed point theorem, they obtain existence of a positive solution under the hypothesis that $g$ is a continuous function satisfying:
\[
\int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{g(s)}{s^{1+\frac{N+\alpha}{N-\alpha}}} ds < +\infty.
\]
In contrast with [21], in our approach the boundary term $\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \nu^\alpha_n}$ will just appear (in a natural way) when we invoke the nonlocal integration by parts criterium for our definition of solution. Furthermore, we will focus our attention in proving existence results to problems like (1.1) where $\nu$ is supported on the boundary.

1.2. Our main contributions. In this work, we propose to study problem (1.1) with a non-linearity $g$ depending on both the spatial and gradient variables. Roughly speaking, it will be assumed that $g$ is continuous, verifies a polynomial growth in $|\nabla u|$ and it is integrable in $x$. Therefore, the main contributions of our work will be:

(1) A detailed discussion of the appropriate notion of distributional solutions to elliptic integro-differential problems involving measures as both: sources and Dirichlet boundary data.

(2) Existence and uniqueness of solutions in two different regimes based on different ranges for a $p-$growth type of $g$ w.r.t. $|\nabla u|$: 
(a) sub-linear regime: $0 < p \leq 1$.
(b) super-linear and sub-critical: $1 < p < p^* := \frac{N}{N-(2\alpha-1)}$.

(3) Stability of solutions under perturbations of the data.
(4) Extension of the analysis to existence of solutions to boundary value problems with measures concentrated on $\partial \Omega$ and $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$.

(5) Discussion to more general fractional type operators.

Let us discuss heuristically the emergence of the critical exponent $p^* = \frac{N}{N-(2\alpha-1)}$. Observe that to define distributional solutions to (1.1) the minimal integrability assumption $g(x, |\nabla u|) \in L^1(\Omega)$ will be necessarily required. For the non-linearities considered here, with a $p$-growth behaviour, the above regularity translates into $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, if $u$ solves

$$
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^\alpha u = \nu & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
$$

for a bounded measure $\nu$ in $\Omega$, the following Green representation for $u$ holds

$$
u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G_\alpha(x,y)d\nu(y)
$$

where $G_\alpha$ is the Green kernel for the fractional Laplacian in $\Omega$ (see [22], [23] and [24]).

Regarding this matter, let us remind that Bogdan-Kulczycki-Nowak, and Bogdan-Jakubowski in [10] and [11] (see also [1, Lemma 2.10]), by applying a probabilistic approach, were able to prove the following (point-wise) estimates of the Green function (and its gradient) provided $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$:

$$
|G_\alpha(x,y)|d(x) \leq C_1 \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2\alpha}}, \frac{d^\alpha(x)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}, \frac{d^\alpha(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \right\}
$$

and

$$
|\nabla_x G_\alpha(x,y)| \leq C_2 G_\alpha(x,y) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{|x-y|}, \frac{1}{d(x)} \right\},
$$

where $d: \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is the distance function to the boundary of $\Omega$. Particularly, we get

$$
|\nabla_x G_\alpha(x,y)| \leq \frac{C_3}{|x-y|^{N-(2\alpha-1)}},
$$

where $C_i$, with $i = 1, \ldots, 3$, are universal constants independent of $x$ and $y$. As a consequence (see e.g. [11, Theorem 2.12]), $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is obtained provided $p < p^*$.

For the regime $p \geq p^*$, we are not able to appeal to estimates or compactness properties of the Green operator and hence a different approach has to be undertaken (see [47, Theorem 1.2.2] for the local case and compare with [43] and [44] for the nonlocal case when $g \equiv 0$).

Finally, we recommend to interested reader [9], [25], [42] for several properties of Green function/Poisson Kernel of certain symmetric $\alpha$-stable processes in domains via probabilistic approaches, and [13] for a self-contained expository survey (without probabilistic methods) on the representation formula for the Green function on the ball.

**Organization of the paper.** In Section 2 we provide the basic definitions and assumptions used throughout the work. Moreover, we give a deep discussion and motivation of the notion of solutions for (1.1). In Section 3 we deal with existence of solutions in the sub-critical framework. Some stability results are provided in Section 4. A discussion of boundary value problems with the addition of measures concentrated on the boundary of $\Omega$ is supplied in Section 5. We closed the paper with Section 6 with some remarks for more general fractional type operators.
2. Preliminaries and initial insights into the theory

In order to introduce an appropriate notion of distributional solutions, we will present some useful definitions. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ is given by

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha u(x) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (-\Delta)_{\epsilon}^\alpha u(x)$$

where

$$(-\Delta)_{\epsilon}^\alpha u(x) := C_{N, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \chi_{\epsilon}(|x-y|)dy$$

with

$$\chi_{\epsilon}(|x|) := \begin{cases} 0, & |x| < \epsilon \\ 1, & |x| \geq \epsilon \end{cases}$$

and

$$C_{N, \alpha} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1 - \cos(\xi_1)}{|\xi|^{N+2\alpha}} d\xi \right)^{-1} = -\frac{2^{2\alpha} \Gamma(\frac{N}{2} + \alpha)}{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}} \Gamma(-\alpha)}$$

being a normalization constant to have the following identity:

$$F^{-1}(|\xi|^{2\alpha} F u) = (-\Delta)^\alpha u, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (the class of Schwartz functions), where $F$ states the Fourier transform (see [55]).

Now, we will introduce the appropriated test functions space.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a function $\phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ belongs to $\mathcal{X}_\alpha(\Omega)$ if and only if the following holds:

1. $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset \overline{\Omega}$.
2. The fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^\alpha \phi(x)$ exists for all $x \in \Omega$ and there is $C > 0$ so that $|(-\Delta)^\alpha \phi(x)| \leq C$.
3. There are $\varphi \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ so that $|(-\Delta)_{\epsilon}^\alpha \phi(x)| \leq \varphi(x)$, a.e. in $\Omega$ and for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$.

From now on, we denote by $G_\alpha$ the *Green kernel* of $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ in $\Omega$ and by $G_\alpha[\cdot]$ the associated *Green operator* defined by

$$G_\alpha[\nu](x) := \int_{\Omega} G_\alpha(x, y) d\nu(y), \quad \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega),$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ states the space of Radon measures on $\Omega$.

Throughout the manuscript, we shall assume the following on the data of problem (1.1):

1. $\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$;
2. $0 \leq g \in C^0(\Omega \times [0, \infty))$ and $g(\cdot, s) \in L^1(\Omega)$;
3. $\rho, \sigma \geq 0$ are constant;
4. $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ is non-negative, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)$ with $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and $\mu(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega) < \infty$.

In the following definition, we introduce the class of distributional solutions to problem (1.1) with homogeneous data in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$.

\footnote{Soon, we will assume additional assumptions on $g$, when we have taking into account uniqueness assertions.}
Definition 2.2. A function \( u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \), with \( g(x,|\nabla u|) \in L^1(\Omega) \), is a distributional solution to problem
\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)\alpha u = g(x,|\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu & \text{in } \Omega \\
0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
if for any \( \phi \in \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\Omega) \), there holds
\[
\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)\alpha \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi g(x,|\nabla u|)dx + \sigma \int_{\Omega} \phi d\nu.
\]

For non-zero boundary data, we provide a definition based on the following: suppose that \( u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \), bounded and \( \phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \) so that \( \phi = 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \). If \( u \) is a classical solution to (1.1), we would have that \( u \) is the probability density of the measure \( \mu \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \) and moreover
\[
\int_{\Omega} \phi(-\Delta)\alpha u dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x,|\nabla u|)\phi dx + \sigma \int_{\Omega} \phi d\nu.
\]

By nonlocal integration by parts [31], it follows
\[
\int_{\Omega} \phi(-\Delta)\alpha u dx = \frac{C_{N,\alpha}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}(\mathcal{C} \Omega)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} dxdy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N \setminus \Omega}} \phi N_{\alpha} u dx,
\]
where \( N_{\alpha} \) is the nonlocal normal derivative introduced in [31] given by
\[
N_{\alpha} v(x) = c_{N,\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\]
and
\[
c_{N,\alpha} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} \right)^{-1}
\]
is a universal constant.

Since \( \phi = 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \) and applying again integration by parts, equation (2.3) becomes
\[
\int_{\Omega} \phi(-\Delta)\alpha u dx = \frac{C_{N,\alpha}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}(\mathcal{C} \Omega)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} dxdy
\]
\[
= \int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)\alpha \phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} u N_{\alpha} \phi dx
\]
\[
= \int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)\alpha \phi dx + \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} N_{\alpha} \phi d\mu.
\]

Observe that in view of the assumptions on \( \mu \), the integral
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} N_{\alpha} \phi d\mu
\]
is defined for all \( \phi \in \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\Omega) \). Plugging (2.4) into (2.2), we arrive at
\[
\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)\alpha \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x,|\nabla u|)\phi dx + \sigma \int_{\Omega} \phi d\nu - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} N_{\alpha} \phi d\mu,
\]
for any test function $\phi \in X_0(\Omega)$ (compare the expression (2.5) with its local versions in [22], [47] and the references therein). Motivated by the above considerations we give the following definition:

**Definition 2.3.** A function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, with $g(x,|\nabla u|) \in L^1(\Omega)$, is a distributional solution to problem (1.1) if the integral equality (2.5) holds for any $\phi \in X_0(\Omega)$.

Before discussing on uniqueness assertions for our problem, let us present a useful comparison result to fractional quasi-linear problems with gradient source:

**Theorem 2.4 (Comparison Principle, [1, Theorem 3.1]).** Let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ be a non-negative function. Assume that for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ verifies } |G(x, \xi_1) - G(x, \xi_2)| \leq Cb(x)|\xi_1 - \xi_2|,$$

where $b \in L^\sigma(\Omega)$ for some $\sigma > \frac{N}{2p}$. Consider $w_1, w_2$ two positive functions such that $w_1, w_2 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all $p < p_*$, $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}w_1, (-\Delta)^{\alpha}w_2 \in L^1(\Omega)$ and,

$$\begin{cases}
    (-\Delta)^{\alpha}w_1 \leq G(x, \nabla w_1) & \text{in } \Omega \\
    (-\Delta)^{\alpha}w_2 \geq G(x, \nabla w_2) & \text{in } \Omega \\
    w_1 \leq w_2 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega.
\end{cases}$$

Then, $w_2 \geq w_1$ in $\Omega$.

Now, let us assume for a moment, that we have proved existence of weak solutions to (1.1) (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 for such results). Then, by supposing (additionally) that $g$ fulfills the hypothesis of the Theorem 2.4 we obtain uniqueness of solutions to (1.1) via Theorem 2.4.

In this point, we stress that the class of functions $g$ which we are able to obtain uniqueness to (1.1) is very broad. Particularly, it is worth to comment that for $g$ of the form:

$$g(x,|\nabla u|) = c(x)|\nabla u|^p + \varepsilon |f(x)|, \quad c \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad f \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

with $1 \leq p < p^*$ uniqueness holds true. As a matter of fact, take $u_1$ and $u_2$ two weak solutions of (1.1) and define $w = u_1 - u_2$. From Definition 2.3 we have that $w = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Moreover, by using the fact that for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for all $r > 1$ we have

$$|\xi_1|^r - |\xi_2|^r \leq r|\xi_1|^{r-2}|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \leq r|\xi_1|^{r-1}|\xi_1 - \xi_2|.$$ 

Thus, we get in the distributional sense:

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}w = g(x,|\nabla u_1|) - g(x,|\nabla u_2|) \leq p\|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}|\nabla u_1|^{p-1}|\nabla (u_1 - u_2)| = b(x)|\nabla w|.$$ 

Since $p < p^*$, $p' > p^*$, hence, $b$ falls into the hypothesis of the Theorem 2.4. Therefore, from Comparison Principle we obtain $w_+ = 0$. Similarly, by setting $\tilde{w} = u_2 - u_1$, we obtain that $\tilde{w}_+ = 0$, thereby concluding the desired uniqueness result.

Additionally, it is important to highlight that similar uniqueness assertion holds true in the sub-linear case (see Sub-Section 3.2). Indeed, we have the following elementary inequality

$$|\xi_1|^r - |\xi_2|^r \leq |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^r \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < r < 1.$$ 

Thus, we are able to apply (once again) the Comparison Principle (Theorem 2.3). Nevertheless, in this case, we must impose the following restriction $2(1 - \alpha) < p < 1$ in order to put $b(x) = |\nabla (u_1 - u_2)|^{p-1}$ under the assumptions of such a comparison result.
Regarding existence, in Section 3 we shall prove that there exist distributional solutions to (1.1) under polynomial growth conditions on the non-linearity \( g \). Furthermore, we shall find that the unique solution \( u \) to (1.1) admits the following representation formula:

\[
(2.6) \quad u(x) = G_\alpha[g(x, |\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu](x) + \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu](x)
\]

where for \( x \in \Omega \):

\[
G_\alpha[g(x, |\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu](x) = \int_{\Omega} g(x, |\nabla u(y)|)G_\alpha(x, y)dy + \sigma \int_{\Omega} G_\alpha(x, y)d\nu(y)
\]

represents the “Green potential” and

\[
\mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu](x) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} (N_\alpha G_\alpha(x, \cdot))(y)d\mu(y)
\]

represents the “Poisson potential” (compare with [56, Section 2.4] in the local scenario).

Finally, we must point out that the expression \( \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu] \) in the decomposition (2.6) plays the role of Poisson operator in the nonlocal setting. In this regard, it is interesting to compare the expression (2.6) with related results such as [47, Proposition 1.1.3 and Theorem 1.2.2] and [50, Proposition A].

Continuing, let us discuss the expression (2.6). Formally, the function \( v = G_\alpha[g(x, |\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu] \) in (2.6) satisfies

\[
(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\alpha} v = g(x, |\nabla(v + \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu])|) + \sigma \nu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \end{cases}
\]

in the sense of Definition 2.2. The existence of \( v \) will be the main topic of Section 3. Uniqueness of \( v \) follows again from [23, Proposition 2.4]. On the other hand, \( w = \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu] \) solves

\[
(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\alpha} w = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = \mu & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \end{cases}
\]

in the sense of Definition 2.3. To see this, we introduce the auxiliary function:

\[
w_\mu(x) = C_{N,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \frac{1}{|z - x|^{N+2\alpha}} d\mu(z), \quad x \in \Omega
\]

so that

\[
(2.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} w_\mu \phi dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} N_\alpha \phi d\mu, \quad \text{for any } \phi \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha(\Omega),
\]

and hence

\[
(2.10) \quad \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu](x) = \int_{\Omega} w_\mu(z)G_\alpha(x, z)dz = G_\alpha[w_\mu](x).
\]

In view of our assumptions on \( \mu \) and [22, Lemma 5.2], it holds \( w_\mu \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu] \in C^{1,\beta}(\Omega) \) for some \( \beta \in (0, 1) \), and

\[
(2.11) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu](-\Delta)^{\alpha} \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi w_\mu dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} N_\alpha \phi d\mu \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha(\Omega).
\]
Hence, \( P_\alpha[\mu] \) solves (2.8). Consequently, assuming for the moment that \( v \) solves (2.7), the function \( u \) as in (2.6) is indeed a solution of problem (1.1):
\[
\int_\Omega u(-\Delta)^\alpha \phi dx = \int_\Omega v(-\Delta)^\alpha \phi dx + \rho \int_\Omega P_\alpha[w_\mu](-\Delta)^\alpha \phi dx
\]
\[
= \int_\Omega g(x, |\nabla u|)\phi dx + \sigma \int_\Omega \phi d\nu + \rho \int_\Omega w_\mu \phi dx
\]
\[
= \int_\Omega g(x, |\nabla u|)\phi dx + \sigma \int_\Omega \phi d\nu - \rho \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} N_\alpha \phi d\mu, \text{ for all } \phi \in X_\alpha(\Omega),
\]
where we have used (2.10) and (2.9) in the latter two equalities. Therefore, it remains to prove that problem (2.7) admits a solution.

3. Main results: Sub-critical case \( 0 < p < \frac{N}{N-(2a-1)} \)

In this section, we prove existence of weak solution to the elliptic integral-differential problem (1.1) under a \( p \)-polynomial growth condition on \( g \). As it was discussed in the previous section, it is enough to solve problem (2.7).

We assume throughout the section that:
\[
p < p^* := \frac{N}{N-(2a-1)}.
\]

Furthermore, we will divide the exposition in two sub-cases:

1. Super-linear case, i.e., \( p > 1 \);
2. Sub-linear case, i.e., \( p \leq 1 \).

3.1. Super-linear case. Firstly, we will treat the super-linear setting. We provide existence result under appropriated growth/integrability conditions on the gradient source term. Moreover, such solutions fulfills a certain explicit characterization.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that \( g \) satisfies the following growth hypothesis:
\[
\tag{3.1}
g(x, s) \leq cs^p + \varepsilon|f(x)|, \quad s \geq 0, \varepsilon \geq 0,
\]
where \( f \in L^1(\Omega) \) and \( 1 \leq p < p^* \). Then, the problem (1.1) admits a non-negative weak solution \( u \) for all small enough \( c \). Furthermore, \( u \) fulfills the decomposition (2.6).

**Proof.** Firstly, we will approximate the nonlinearity \( g \) and Radon measure \( \nu \) by regular sequences \( \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and \( \{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) respectively. For that end, consider sequences of non-negative functions \( \nu_n \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \) and \( g_n \in C^1(\Omega, [0, +\infty)) \) such that
\[
\tag{3.2}
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \xi \nu_n dx = \int_{\Omega} \xi d\nu \quad \text{for all } \xi \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad g_n(x, 0) = g(x, 0) \text{ for every } x \in \Omega; \\
(2) & \quad g_n \leq g_{n+1} \leq g \text{ and sup } g_n(x, s) = n; \\
(3) & \quad \|g_n - g\|_{L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+)} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\end{align*}
\]
By (3.2), we have for all $n \gg 1$ (large enough)

\begin{equation}
\sup_n \| \nu_n \|_{L^1(\Omega)} = \sup_n \int_{\Omega} d
\nu_n \leq C_0
\end{equation}

where $C_0 := \| \nu \|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)} + 1$. To solve (2.7), we first find approximations by solving the problems

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)\alpha u = g_n(x, \nabla (u + \varrho \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu])) + \sigma \nu_n & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega;
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

By fixed-point methods, we shall prove that (3.4) admits a non-negative solution $v_n$ such that

\[ \| \nabla v_n \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \lambda^* \]

uniformly for some $\lambda^* > 0$ (to be determined \textit{a posteriori}). For this purpose, we define the closed, convex and bounded sets

\[ \mathcal{G}_\lambda = \{ u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) : \| \nabla u \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \lambda \} \]

and operators $T_n$ on $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ as follows: for each $v \in \mathcal{G}_\lambda$, let $v_n = T_n(v)$ be the weak solution to

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)\alpha w = g_n(x, \nabla (v + \varrho \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu])) + \sigma \nu_n & \text{in } \Omega, \\
w = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

First of all, we will check that $T_n(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda^*}) \subset \mathcal{G}_{\lambda^*}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Recalling [24, Proposition 2.4] there exists $c_0 > 0$ so that

\[ \| \nabla T_n(v) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_0 \| g(x, \nabla (v + \varrho \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu])) + \sigma \nabla \nu_n \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \]

\begin{equation}
\leq c_0 \left( c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (v + \varrho \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu])|^p dx + \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \sigma C_0 \right)
\]

\leq c_0 \left( c 2^{p-1} \lambda^* + c 2^{p-1} \varrho^p \| \nabla (\mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu]) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \varrho \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \sigma C_0 \right) .
\end{equation}

Let us consider the auxiliary function:

\[ F(\lambda) = c_0 \left( c 2^{p-1} \lambda^* + c 2^{p-1} \varrho^p \| \nabla (\mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu]) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \varrho \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \sigma C_0 \right) - 1 .
\]

Now, choose $\lambda > 0$ such that

\[ \frac{\varrho \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \sigma C_0}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2c_0} ,
\]

and take $c > 0$ in (3.1) small enough such that

\[ c 2^{p-1} \left( \lambda^* + \frac{\varrho^p \| \nabla (\mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu]) \|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{\lambda} \right) < \frac{1}{2c_0} .
\]

Hence, $F(\lambda) < 0$ for such a $\lambda$. Also, observe that $F(\lambda) > 0$ for small enough $\lambda$. Thus, there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ so that $F(\lambda^*) = 0$. Choosing $\lambda^* \in \mathcal{G}_\lambda$, the inequalities (3.6) imply that $T_n(v) \in \mathcal{G}_{\lambda^*}$ for all $n$. This shows that $T_n$ maps $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda^*}$ into itself.

Clearly, if $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$, then $g_n(x, \nabla (u_n + \rho \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu])) \to g_n(x, \nabla (u + \rho \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu]))$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence, $T_n$ is a continuous map. We prove now that $T_n$ is a compact
operator. For each \(n\), let \(v_{n,k}\) be a sequence in \(\mathcal{G}_\lambda\). By definition of \(\mathcal{G}_\lambda\) and Poincaré inequality, \(v_{n,k}\) is bounded in \(W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)\). Observe that
\[
g_n(x, \nabla(v_{n,k} + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu])) + \sigma v_n
\]
is bounded \((in \ k)\) in \(L^1(\Omega)\) and hence by the compactness of the operator
\[
L^1(\Omega) \ni h \to \nabla G_\alpha[h] \in L^p(\Omega),
\]
there is a subsequence of \(\nabla T_n(v_{n,k})\) converging to \(v_n\) in \(L^p(\Omega)\). We conclude that \(T_n(v_{n,k})\) admits a converging subsequence in \(W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)\). From Schauder’s fixed point Theorem, there exists \(v_n \in \mathcal{G}_\lambda\) such that \(T_n(v_n) = v_n\) and \(\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \lambda^*\). It remains to prove that \(v_n \to v\), where \(v\) solves \((2.7)\).

Since \(v_n = T(v_n)\), we have
\[
\int_{\Omega} v_n(-\Delta)\varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} g_n(x, \nabla v_n + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu]) \varphi \, dx + \sigma \int_{\Omega} \varphi \, dv_n, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Omega).
\]

Hence,
\[
v_n = G_\alpha [g_n(x, \nabla v_n + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu]) + \sigma v_n] \quad \text{in } \Omega.
\]
Moreover, assumption \((3.1)\) and
\[
\|\nabla(v_n + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu])\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \lambda^* + \varphi \|\nabla^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu]\|_{L^p(\Omega)}
\]
yield that \(g_n(x, \nabla(v_n + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu]))\) is uniformly bounded in \(L^1(\Omega)\). From \((3.10)\) and the compactness of the operator
\[
L^1(\Omega) \ni h \to (G_\alpha[h], \nabla G_\alpha[h]) \in L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega)
\]
it follows the strong convergence, up to subsequence, of \(v_n\) in \(W^{1,p}(\Omega)\) to some \(v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)\). Thus \(\nabla v_n\) converges point-wise to \(\nabla v\), and so
\[
g_n(x, \nabla(v_n + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu])) \to g(x, \nabla(v + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu])) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.
\]

In the sequel, we prove that \(g_n(x, \nabla(v_n + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu]))\) is uniformly integrable. For that end, observe that for any Borel subset \(E \subset \Omega\)
\[
\int_E g_n(x, \nabla(v_n + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu])) \, dx \leq c \int_E |\nabla v_n + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu]|^p \, dx + \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^1(E)}
\]
\[
\leq c 2^{p-1}\|\nabla v_n - \nabla v\|_{L^p(E)}^p + c 2^{p-1}\|\nabla(v + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu])\|_{L^p(E)}^p,
\]
where \(\varepsilon > 0\) be arbitrary. Then there are \(N_0, \delta_0 > 0\) so that \(n \geq N_0\) implies
\[
c 2^{p-1}\|\nabla v_n - \nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p < \frac{\hat{\eta}}{3},
\]
and \(|E| < \delta_0\) gives
\[
\max \left\{ \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^1(E)}, \ c 2^{p-1}\|\nabla(v + \varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha[\mu])\|_{L^p(E)}^p \right\} < \frac{\hat{\eta}}{3}.
\]
In addition, for each \(n \in \{1, \ldots, N_0\}\), there is \(\delta_n > 0\) so that \(|E| < \delta_n\) implies:
\[
c 2^{p-1}\|\nabla v_n - \nabla v\|_{L^p(E)}^p < \frac{\hat{\eta}}{3}.
\]
Choose \( \delta := \min \{ \delta_0, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{N_0} \} \) and \( |E| < \delta \). Hence, plugging (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) into (3.11) gives:

\[
\int_E g_n(x, |\nabla (v_n + \varphi^\alpha_\mu)|)dx < \hat{\eta} \quad \text{for all } n.
\]

Thus \( g_n(x, |\nabla (v_n + \varphi^\alpha_\mu)|) \) is uniformly integrable. By Vitali’s convergence theorem we obtain

\[
g_n(x, |\nabla (v_n + \varphi^\alpha_\mu)|) \to g(x, |\nabla (v + \varphi^\alpha_\mu)|) \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega).
\]

Therefore, taking \( n \to \infty \) in (3.9) it holds:

\[
\int_\Omega v((-\Delta)^{\alpha} \psi)dx = \int_\Omega g(x, |\nabla (v + \varphi^\alpha_\mu)|)\psi dx + \int_\Omega \psi \, dv.
\]

Hence, \( v \) is a weak solution of problem (2.21). Finally, by writing

\[
u = v + \varphi^\alpha_\mu
\]

we obtain a solution to (1.11). \( \square \)

Remark 3.2. Observe that to derive the existence of a positive root for \( F \) in the above proof, one may ask for \( \varphi, \epsilon \) and \( \sigma \) to be sufficiently small instead of the imposed condition on the size of \( c \).

3.2. Sub-linear case. In the sequel, we will deal with the sub-linear scenario. Similarly to the previous section, we provide existence of weak solutions under appropriated growth/integrability conditions on the gradient source term, which will satisfy a certain explicit representation.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that \( g \) satisfies:

\[
g(x, s) \leq cs^p + \epsilon |f(x)|, \quad x \in \Omega, s \geq 0 \epsilon \geq 0,
\]

where \( f \) is integrable and \( 0 \leq p \leq 1 \). Then the problem (1.1) admits a non-negative weak solution \( u \) for all small enough \( c \). In addition, \( u \) admits the representation formula (2.6).

**Proof.** We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. We point out the differences. Consider the sequences \( \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and \( \{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) as in the super-linear case, and define the operators

\[
T_n(u) = G^\alpha_n g_n(x, |\nabla (v + \varphi^\alpha_\mu)|) + \sigma v_n
\]

for \( v \) in the set

\[
\mathcal{O}_\lambda := \left\{ v \in W^{1,1}_0(\Omega) : \|\nabla v\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \lambda \right\}
\]

for some \( \lambda > 0 \) (to be adjusted \( a \ posteriori \)). First of all, we show that \( T_n \) maps \( \mathcal{O}_\lambda \) into itself. Observe that [24, Proposition 2.4] and (3.11) yield

\[
\|\nabla T_n(v)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq c_0 \int \left( c |\nabla (v + \varphi^\alpha_\mu)|^p + \epsilon |f(x)| + \sigma v_n \right) dx
\]

\[
\leq c_0 \left( \int_S c |\nabla (v + \varphi^\alpha_\mu)|^p dx + \int_{\Sigma^c} c |\nabla (v + \varphi^\alpha_\mu)|^p dx + \sigma \int_\Omega v_n dx + \epsilon \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right)
\]

\[
\leq c_0 c \left( \|\nabla v\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \varphi \|\nabla P_\alpha[\mu]\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + |\Omega| + \frac{\epsilon}{c} \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right) + c_0 \sigma \left( \sup_n v_n(\Omega) \right)
\]

\[
\leq c_0 c \left( \lambda + \varphi \|\nabla P_\alpha[\mu]\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{\epsilon}{c} \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + |\Omega| \right) + c_0 \sigma \left( \sup_n v_n(\Omega) \right),
\]

for some \( c_0 \) and \( c \).
where \( \Sigma := \{ x \in \Omega : |\nabla (v + g_{\mathbb{P}, \alpha}[\mu])| > 1 \} \). Now, consider:

\[
F(\lambda) = c_0 . c \left( 1 + \frac{\| \nabla \mathbb{P}, \alpha \|_{L^2(\Omega)}}{\lambda} + \epsilon \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega)} / c + |\Omega| \right) + \frac{c_0 . \sigma}{\lambda} (\sup_n \nu_n(\overline{\Omega})) - 1.
\]

Choose \( c < \frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}} \). Hence for \( \lambda \gg 1 \) large enough it holds \( F(\lambda) < 0 \). Moreover, fixing \( c \) as before, we have that \( F(\lambda) \gg 0 \) for \( \lambda \) sufficiently closed to 0. Hence, there is \( \overline{\lambda} > 0 \) so that \( F(\overline{\lambda}) = 0 \). We take \( \overline{\lambda} \) in \( \mathcal{O}_\lambda \) and thus \( T_n : \mathcal{O}_\lambda \to \mathcal{O}_\lambda \). Moreover, \( T_n \) is continuous and compact. Therefore, for each \( n \) there is \( v_n \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \) so that \( T_n(v_n) = v_n \) and \( ||\nabla v_n||_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \overline{\lambda} \). Observe that \( g_n(x, |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])|) \) is bounded in \( L^1(\Omega) \). In effect,

\[
\int_{\Omega} g_n(x, |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])|) dx \leq c \left( \int_{\Sigma^n} |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])|^p dx + \int_{(\Sigma^n)^c} |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])|^p dx \right)
\]

\[
+ \epsilon \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq c (\overline{\lambda} + \epsilon \| \nabla \mathbb{P}, \alpha \|_{L^1(\Omega)}) + c |\Omega| + \epsilon \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega)},
\]

where \( \Sigma^n = \{ x \in \Omega : |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])| > 1 \} \). Hence,

\[ v_n = \mathcal{G}_\alpha[g_n(x, |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])| + \sigma v_n)] \]

has a converging subsequence in \( W^{1,q}(\Omega) \) for all \( q \in [1, p^*) \). In particular, there exists \( v \in W^{1,q}(\Omega) \) so that

\[ g_n(x, |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])|) \to g(x, |\nabla (v + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])|), \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \]

To show that \( g_n(x, |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])|) \) is uniformly integrable we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. We write (3.11) as follows:

\[
\int_E g_n(x, |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])|) dx \leq \int_{\Sigma^n_E} |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])|^p dx + \int_{(\Sigma^n)^c_E} |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])|^p dx \]

\[
+ \epsilon \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq c (||\nabla v_n - \nabla v||_{L^1(E)} + ||\nabla (v + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])||_{L^1(E)}) + c |E| + \epsilon \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega)},
\]

where \( \Sigma^n_E = E \cap \{ |\nabla (v_n + \mathbb{P}, \alpha[\mu])| > 1 \} \). The rest of the proof is the same as in Theorem 3.1. \( \square \)

4. Stability results

In this section, we prove the stability of solutions to (1.1) under appropriate perturbations of the measures involved.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume \( p < p^* \) and \( g \) satisfies

\[
0 \leq g(x, s) \leq cs^p + \epsilon |f|, \quad s, \epsilon \geq 0, f \in L^1(\Omega).
\]

Suppose that

\[ \mu_n \to \mu \quad \text{in the sense of duality in } C_0(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega), \]

and

\[ \nu_n \to \nu \quad \text{in the sense of duality in } C(\overline{\Omega}), \quad \nu_n \in L^1(\Omega). \]
Then, if \( u_n \) is the weak solution of

\[
\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)\alpha v &= g(x, |\nabla v|) + \sigma \nu_n \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
v &= \varrho \mu_n \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(4.2)

We have

\[ u_n \to u \text{ strongly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad \text{if } 1 \leq p < p^*, \]

and

\[ u_n \to u \text{ strongly in } W^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad \text{if } 0 \leq p \leq 1. \]

Moreover, the limiting profile \( u \) solves

\[
\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)\alpha u &= g(x, |\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u &= \varrho \mu \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]

(4.3)

**Proof.** We provide details for the case \( 1 \leq p < p^* \). The sub-linear case works similarly. Let \( u_n \) be as above. Then,

\[ u_n = \mathbb{G}_\alpha[g(x, |\nabla u_n|) + \sigma \nu_n] + \varrho \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu_n]. \]

By (2.11) we have for any \( \phi \in X_\alpha(\Omega) \)

\[
\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu_n](-\Delta)^\alpha \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} w_{\mu_n} \phi \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} w_\mu \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu](-\Delta)^\alpha \phi \, dx \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,
\]

by the assumptions on \( \mu \) stated in Section 2 and dominated convergence theorem. We conclude that \( \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu] \) solves problem (2.8).

On the other hand, \( v_n = \mathbb{G}_\alpha[g(x, |\nabla u_n|) + \sigma \nu_n] \) satisfies

\[
\int_{\Omega} v_n (-\Delta)^\alpha \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x, |\nabla u_n|) \phi \, dx + \sigma \int_{\Omega} \phi \nu_n, \quad \text{for all } n.
\]

(4.4)

By assumption,

\[
\int_{\Omega} \phi \nu_n \to \int_{\Omega} \phi \nu
\]

for all test function \( \phi \). Appealing to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have that there is \( \lambda_n^* > 0 \) so that

\[
\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \lambda_n^*.
\]

Observe that it is possible to choose \( \lambda^* \) so that \( \lambda_n^* \leq \lambda^* \) for all \( n \). This follows from the uniform boundedness of \( \nu_n \) in \( L^1(\Omega) \), (3.7), (3.8), and the fact that

\[
\|\nabla \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu_n]\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_0 \|w_{\mu_n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad \text{for all } n.
\]

Hence, \( g(x, |\nabla u_n|) + \sigma \nu_n \) is uniformly bounded in \( L^1(\Omega) \). From the compactness of \( \nabla \mathbb{G}_\alpha \), up to a subsequence, \( v_n \to v \) in \( W^{1,p}(\Omega) \). Moreover, since \( \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu_n] = \mathbb{G}_\alpha[w_{\mu_n}] \) and \( w_{\mu_n} \) is uniformly bounded in \( L^1(\Omega) \), it follows

\[ u_n \to u := v + \varrho \mathbb{P}_\alpha[\mu] \]

strongly in \( W^{1,p}(\Omega) \). Appealing to Vitali’s converging theorem and taking \( n \to \infty \) in (4.4), it follows that \( v \) solves (2.7). Therefore, \( u \) solves (4.3). \( \square \)
5. Existence results for measures concentrated on the boundary

In the previous sections, we have considered integro-differential problems with boundary values \( \mu \) supported in \( \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega} \). In this part we shall add boundary measures \( \eta \) concentrated on \( \partial \Omega \).

Precisely, we shall be interested in studying existence of solutions to the following problems

\[
\begin{cases}
(\Delta)^\alpha u &= g(x, |\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u &= \eta \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
u &= \varrho \mu \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}.
\end{cases}
\]

For a given Radon measure \( \eta \in \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega}) \) supported in \( \partial \Omega \), we first consider the simpler problem

\[
\begin{cases}
(\Delta)^\alpha u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u &= \eta \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

In the local case (see for instance [6], [38] and [47, Chapter 1]) a solution \( u \in L^1(\Omega) \) to the problem

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta u &= \mu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u &= \eta \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

is understood in the sense that

\[
\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)\xi dx = \int_{\Omega} \xi d\mu - \int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_x \xi \cdot \nu d\eta \quad \text{for all } \xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).
\]

Here \( \nu_x \) denotes the unit inward normal vector at \( x \in \partial \Omega \). This inspired the definition of solutions to (5.2). In effect, motivated by [21], we define the normal derivative \( \partial_x \eta \) in the distributional sense as follows

\[
\left\langle \frac{\partial^\alpha \eta}{\partial \nu_x^\alpha}, \xi \right\rangle = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial^\alpha \xi}{\partial \nu_x^\alpha}(x) d\eta(x) \quad \xi \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Omega),
\]

where for \( x \in \partial \Omega \)

\[
\frac{\partial^\alpha \xi}{\partial \nu_x^\alpha}(x) := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\xi(x + t \nu_x) - \xi(x)}{t^\alpha} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} t^{-\alpha} \xi(x + t \nu_x).
\]

Roughly speaking, the derivative \( \frac{\partial^\alpha \eta}{\partial \nu_x^\alpha} \) may be approximated by measures \( \{t^{-\alpha} \eta_t\}_{t>0} \) with support in the level sets

\[\Xi(t) = \{x \in \Omega : \rho(x) = t\},\]

where

\[\rho(x) := \begin{cases} \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) & \forall \ x \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

Definition 5.1. A function \( u \in L^1(\Omega) \) is a distributional solution to (5.2) if

\[
\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)\xi dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial^\alpha \xi}{\partial \nu_x^\alpha}(x) d\eta(x) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Omega).
\]
For convenience of the reader, we will provide some facts from [21]. Firstly, the approximation of $\frac{\partial^{\alpha} \eta}{\partial \nu^{\alpha}}$ by Radon measures concentrated on manifolds in $\Omega$ is done as follows: by [36] and [46], there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that
\[
\Omega_t = \{ x \in \Omega : \rho(x) > t \},
\]
is a $C^2$ domain for all $t \in [0, t_0]$ and for each point $x_t \in \partial \Omega_t$ there corresponds $x \in \partial \Omega$ such that
\[
|x - x_t| = \rho(x).
\]
Conversely, for each $x \in \partial \Omega$, there is a unique $x_t \in \partial \Omega_t$ so that
\[
|x - x_t| = \rho(x).
\]
In this way, for each Borel subset $E \subset \partial \Omega$, there is a unique $E_t \subset \partial \Omega_t$ so that $E_t = \{ x_t : x \in E \}$. Define the measures
\[
\eta_t(E_t) = \eta(E), \quad \text{for each } E_t \subset \partial \Omega_t \text{ Borel.}
\]
Hence $\eta_t$ is a Radon measure supported in $\partial \Omega_t$ that may be extended to $\overline{\Omega}$ by
\[
\eta_t(E) = \eta_t(\alpha \cap \partial \Omega_t), \quad E \subset \overline{\Omega} \text{ Borel.}
\]
By [21 Proposition 2.1] we have that the Radon measures $\{ t^{-\alpha} \eta_t \}_{t > 0}$ converge to $\frac{\partial^{\alpha} \eta}{\partial \nu^{\alpha}}$ in the distributional sense
\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\partial \Omega_t} \xi(x)t^{-\alpha} \, d\eta_t(x) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial n^\alpha} \, d\eta(x) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\Omega).
\]
Consequently, since $t^{-\alpha} \eta_t$ has support in $\Omega$, the solution of problem (5.2) may be approximated by solutions $u_t$ to
\[
(5.3) \begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^{\alpha} u = t^{-\alpha} \eta_t & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]
For existence of solutions to (5.3) and their convergence to a solution of (5.2) we refer the reader to [21]. We now give the definition of solution to problem (5.1).

**Definition 5.2.** A function $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, with $g(x, |\nabla u|) \in L^1(\Omega)$, is a distributional solution to (5.1) if
\[
\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)^{\alpha} \xi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x, |\nabla u|) \xi \, dx + \sigma \int_{\Omega} \xi \, d\nu - \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial n^\alpha} \, d\eta(x) - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} N_\alpha \xi \, d\mu
\]
for all $\xi \in \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\Omega)$.

**Theorem 5.3.** Assume that $p \in (0, p^*)$ and that $g$ satisfies (1.1). Moreover, suppose that all the general hypothesis from Section 2 are in force and that $\eta \in \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega})$ is supported in $\partial \Omega$. Then, problem (5.1) admits a solution $u$ for all small enough $c$ as in (4.1).

**Proof.** Firstly, we solve (5.2). Consider $k_0$ large enough so that $k \geq k_0$ implies $t_k := \frac{1}{k} < t_0$. In what follows, we take $k \geq k_0$. Let $\eta_k \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be non-negative, with
\[
\text{supp}(\eta_k) \subset (\partial \Omega)_{2t_k} := \{ x \in \overline{\Omega} : \rho(x) < 2t_k \}
\]
and $\eta_k \to \eta$ in the sense of duality in the Banach space
\[
C_\alpha(\overline{\Omega}) := \{ f \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : \rho^{-\alpha} f \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \}.\]
There is a constant $\sup_{\Omega} G$ in the sense of Definition 2.2. The existence of $\eta_k$ implies that $0$ is a constant $C$ that for each $k$, consider a sequence of compact sets $K_{k,n} \subset \Omega$ as $n \to \infty$ and take $\xi_{n,k} \in C_\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $0 \leq \xi_{n,k} \leq 1$, $\xi_{n,k} = 1$ in $K_{k,n}$ and $\xi_{n,k} = 0$ near $\partial \Omega$. Hence monotone convergence theorem and (5.3) imply
\[
\int_{\Omega} t_k^{-\alpha} \eta_k dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} t_k^{-\alpha} \eta_k \chi_{K_{k,n}} dx \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} t_k^{-\alpha} \eta_k \xi_{n,k} dx \leq C.
\]
In this way, we conclude that $t_k^{-\alpha} \eta_k$ is uniformly integrable in $\Omega$.

Moreover, by [21] Section 6 there is a non-negative solution $w_k \in L^1(\Omega)$ of (5.3) with $t^{-\alpha} \eta_k$ replaced by $t_k^{-\alpha} \eta_k$. By [21] Proposition 2.3, for each $q \in (1,p^*)$, there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that
\[
\|w_k\|_{W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)} \leq c_0 \|t_k^{-\alpha} \eta_k\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C
\]
for all $k$. Therefore, $u_k$ converges weakly to a function $w \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$. Moreover, in [21] it is shown the convergence of $w_k$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ to a solution of (5.3). Hence, the limiting profile $w$ must be the solution of (5.5) and we deduce the further regularity $w \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$. We call $w = \mathcal{G}_\alpha \left[ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial n} \right]$. Consider
\[
u = \mathcal{G}_\alpha \left[ g(x,|\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu \right] = \mathcal{G}_\alpha \left[ g(x,|\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu \right],
\]
where $v = \mathcal{G}_\alpha \left[ g(x,|\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu \right]$ solves
\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^\alpha v = g(x,|\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu \quad &\text{on } \Omega, \\
v = 0 \quad &\text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
in the sense of Definition 2.2. The existence of $v$ is achieved as in Section 3 recalling that $\mathcal{G}_\alpha \left[ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial n} \right] \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. This ends the proof of the theorem.\[\square\]

**Remark 5.4**. As in the first part of paper, we are able to prove uniqueness assertions to provided $g$ fulfills the assumptions of the Comparison Principle result (Theorem 2.4).
6. Final comments

We have presented some existence/uniqueness and regularity results for problems driven by fractional diffusion operators and with nonlinear gradient sources and measures. In order to conclude our work, let us point out that our results also work for problems with more general nonlinear gradient term \( g \in C^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)) \) \( \cap L^1(\Omega) \) as follows:

\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^\alpha u(x) = g(x, u, |\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u(x) = g \mu & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]

which fulfils the following growth assumptions: \(|g(x, s, \xi)| \leq c_0|\xi|^p + c_1|s|^q + \varepsilon|f(x)|\), where \(0 < q < +\infty\) and \(p, \sigma, \nu, g, \mu \) and \(f\) are as before. In particular, such analysis extends the former results in [22].

Finally, it is worth emphasising that our approach can be applied for weak solutions of more general fractional-type problems (as long as existence and compactness of the associated Green operators hold) of the form:

\[
\begin{cases}
-\mathcal{L}_\alpha u(x) = g(x, u, |\nabla u|) + \sigma \nu & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u(x) = g \mu & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]

where \(-\mathcal{L}_\alpha\) is a nonlocal elliptic operator defined by

\[
\langle -\mathcal{L}_\alpha u(x), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))K(x, y)dx dy,
\]

for every smooth function \(\varphi\) with compact support. Also, the function \(\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is assumed to be continuous, fulfilling \(\Phi(0) = 0\) and the monotonicity property

\[
\lambda|s|^2 \leq \Phi(s)s \leq \Lambda|s|^2 \quad \forall \ s \in \mathbb{R}
\]

for constants \(\Lambda \geq \lambda > 0\), and \(K : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}\) is a general singular kernel satisfying the following structural properties: there exist constants \(\Lambda \geq \lambda > 0\) and \(M, \varsigma > 0\) such that

1. [Symmetry] \(K(x, y) = K(y, x)\) for all \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N\);
2. [Ellipticity condition] \(\lambda \leq K(x, y),|x - y|^{N+2\alpha} \leq \Lambda\) for \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N, x \neq y\);
3. [Integrability at infinity\)] \(0 \leq K(x, y) \leq \frac{M}{|x - y|^N + \varsigma}\) for \(x \in B_2\) and \(y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\frac{1}{4}\).
4. [Translation invariance] \(K(x + z, y + z) = K(x, y)\) for all \(x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^N, x \neq y\).
5. [Continuity] The map \(x \mapsto K(x, y)\) is continuous in \(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{y\}\).

Clearly the former class of operators have as prototype the \(\alpha\)-fractional Laplacian operator provided \(\Phi(s) = s\) and \(K(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}}\) (cf. [44] and references therein).

Finally, for \(0 < \alpha < 1 < p < \infty\) consider the nonlinear integro-differential operator

\[
(-\Delta)^\alpha_p u(x) := C_{n, p, \alpha, \nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))dy}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha p}}.
\]

Such an operator is nowadays known as fractional \(p\)-Laplacian (see [27], [28], [43] and [44] and references therein). It seems an interesting and challenging proposal to seek new strategies in order to prove existence/uniqueness and regularity results, for instance, without the explicit representation formula for solutions and associated Green function.
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