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Abstract
This paper compares the signal-to-noise ratio obtained using an InSb photodiode for infrared (IR) polarization spectroscopy to that obtained using an upconversion detector, and shows a factor 64 improvement by the change. Upconversion detection is based on using sum frequency generation to move the IR optical signal to near-visible wavelengths to improve the sensitivity.
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Introduction
Infrared (IR) detectors are used for a large number of different applications, from monitoring industrial processes to advanced research applications. Most IR detectors are either thermal- or semi-conductor-based, and thermal detectors are limited by their low temporal resolution. Photodiodes are faster, but due to their low bandgap energy, they need to be cryogenically cooled to reduce the Johnson–Nyquist noise, and then they are limited by a high dark current resulting from the thermal background radiation. Upconversion detection has been demonstrated as an alternative approach to IR detection. Here, sum frequency generation (SFG) in a periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) crystal is used to move the IR signal to near-visible wavelengths, so that it may be detected using a silicon-based detector. This circumvents or greatly reduces the noise sources associated with IR detection and eliminates the need for cryogenic cooling.

The use of SFG for IR detection is referred to as upconversion detection, and the module built for this is the upconversion detector (UD). Two changes have been made. A mirror in the cavity have been replaced. This was done to reduce the beam waist in the PPLN crystal from 180 μm radius to 90 μm, which increases the conversion efficiency by roughly a factor 3.6, to 23.5–36.7%, depending on wavelength. The second change is that the 808 nm laser diode (LD) pump used for the 1064 nm cavity was replaced with an 880 nm LD. This has been done because the upconverted signal from 3 μm light lies close to 800 nm, and the change means that scattered LD pump light can be removed with a filter.

The Upconversion Detector

The UD used here is similar to one described previously. Two changes have been made. A mirror in the cavity have been replaced. This was done to reduce the beam waist in the PPLN crystal from 180 μm radius to 90 μm, which increases the conversion efficiency by roughly a factor 3.6, to 23.5–36.7%, depending on wavelength. The second change is that the 808 nm laser diode (LD) pump used for the 1064 nm cavity was replaced with an 880 nm LD. This has been done because the upconverted signal from 3 μm light lies close to 800 nm, and the change means that scattered LD pump light can be removed with a filter.
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The UD module is built around SFG in a PPLN crystal. For effective SFG to take place, the incoming light must be: polarized vertically to match the extraordinary refractive index of the PPLN, overlapped spatially with the 1064-pump beam, and quasi-phase-matched (QPM) to the 1064-pump beam. Quasi-phase-matched requires the right period, poling period, and crystal temperature. The optimal conversion efficiency is achieved when the incident light is collinear with the pump. A weak green beam is produced by frequency doubling of the pump beam in the PPLN and using this as a guide beam ensures collinear incidence.

The PPLN crystal used in the UD module had poling periods, \( \Lambda \), of 21 \( \mu \)m, 21.5 \( \mu \)m, 22 \( \mu \)m, 22.5 \( \mu \)m, and 23 \( \mu \)m. By selecting an appropriate poling period and crystal temperature, any wavelength in the range of 2.9–5.5 \( \mu \)m can be detected, as is shown by the temperature tuning curves seen in Fig. 1. Temperature tuning the crystal is relatively slow, 0.1 \(^\circ\)Cs\(^{-1}\), and the 1064-cavity is less stable during tuning. However, at a given crystal temperature, the detector will have a certain spectral acceptance bandwidth. This is determined by an efficiency function:  

\[
\eta_{\Delta k} = \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta k L}{2\pi} \right)
\]  

(1)

where \( \eta_{\Delta k} \) is the conversion efficiency reduction due to phase mismatch, \( L \) is the crystal length, and \( \Delta k \) is the phase mismatch given by:

\[
\Delta k = \frac{n(\lambda_3)}{\lambda_3} - \frac{n(\lambda_1)}{\lambda_1} - \frac{n(\lambda_2)}{\lambda_2}
\]  

(2)

where \( n \) is the refractive index, \( \lambda_1 \) is the wavelength of the IR signal, \( \lambda_2 \) is the wavelength of the pump and \( \lambda_3 \) is the wavelength of the upconverted signal. The full width half-maximum (FWHM) of this function is generally quite small, 6–15 nm, except near the inflection point seen for \( \Lambda = 23 \mu m \), where it increases to a maximum of 200 nm. The narrow spectral bandwidth might seem like a negative feature, but it very effectively reduces the influence of broadband thermal background radiation. Similarly, the phase-match conditions on the angle of the incoming light gives a narrow acceptance angle, approximately 3.9° at 3 \( \mu \)m, which reduces the amount of background radiation and scattered light that is collected by the detector.

The upconverted signal is detected with a silicon-based camera (IDS, model UI-3240CP-NIR-GL). The charge-coupled device (CCD) is at ambient temperature. It is possible to use the UD as a spectrometer, with resolutions up to 0.2 cm\(^{-1}\). However, here we achieve spectral resolution by scanning a narrow linewidth laser, and the signal is collected in one 4 \( \times \) 4 pixel spot on the camera. This maximizes detection efficiency and reduces the influence of the CCD read noise.

**Experimental**

Figure 2 shows a simplified diagram of the experimental setup. The IR laser generates 3 mJ pulses at 10 Hz, with a linewidth of 0.03 cm\(^{-1}\). It is used to scan the range 3148–3150 cm\(^{-1}\), to measure the signal from the R(13) line of the v\(_3\) band of CH\(_4\). This line was chosen because it is isolated from interfering spectral lines and it has a high intensity at standard ambient temperature and pressure. The exact laser setup has been described previously. The IR laser beam is overlapped with a visible guide beam from a HeNe laser, to simplify alignment. A f\(_1\) = 500 mm focal length lens is used to focus the beam 4 mm above the burner. The IR beam is split into a weak probe beam and a strong pump beam, using a 7% reflection from a CaF\(_2\) window. The probe beam passes through the first polarizer and the pump beam passes through a \( \lambda/4 \) plate. The two are then overlapped in the middle of the gas flow. The IRPS signal is generated by the strong pump beam introducing a birefringence when it matches an absorption line. The birefringence rotates the polarization of the probe beam, letting part of it pass through the second polarizer. In this setup, the gas is delivered through a porous plug burner of McKenna type to ensure an even flow. While the gas was delivered through a burner, only gas at standard ambient temperature and pressure was used, with no combustion taking place. The pump beam is terminated by a beam dump and the signal from the probe beam passes through the second polarizer and is collimated by a second lens, f\(_2\) = 1000 mm. A flip-mirror is used to direct the signal to either the InSb detector (Teledyne-Johnson Model J10D-M204-R04M-60, with PA-9 amplifier) or to the UD.
The gas flow is created by mixing the output from three different mass flow controllers (MFC):

(i) a 50 mL/min \(\text{MFC (BronkHorst, F201CV-050-AAD-33-K)}\) calibrated for CH\(_4\) for the CH\(_4\) flow;
(ii) a 15 L/min \(\text{MFC (BronkHorst, F201CV-FA-33-V)}\) calibrated for N\(_2\) for the first N\(_2\) flow;
(iii) a 20 L/min \(\text{MFC (BronkHorst, F201CV-20 K-AAD-33-V)}\) calibrated for Ar, for the second N\(_2\) flow.

The signal is focused to a spot matching the pump beam in the UD, using a \(f_3 = 100\) mm focal length lens. A rough alignment is achieved by overlapping the red HeNe guide beam with the green guide beam from the UD. This is enough to get a visible signal on the UD camera, which can be used to optimize the alignment. Phase-matching the incoming signal is achieved at \(62^\circ\)C and a poling period of 22 \(\mu\)m. The trigger signal from the IR laser is used to time the shutter of UD camera.

The InSb is time-gated using the trigger signal from the IR laser and the signal read out using an oscilloscope (LeCroy WaveRunner 6100 1 GHz). This detector is cooled using liquid nitrogen.

**Results**

Measurements were made in two series, one for each detector. Known concentrations of CH\(_4\) in an N\(_2\) flow were made using the MFCs, and the resulting IRPS signals were measured for a range of CH\(_4\) concentrations, first with the InSb photodiode, then with the UD. For each measurement point, the IR laser scanned the range 3148–3150 cm\(^{-1}\) five times. The concentration range measured with the UD is 90–400 ppm. At the high end of this range, the UD began to saturate. The line integral of the R(13) line of the \(v_3\) band of an averaged scan was used as the signal:

\[
S = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} I_{\text{signal}} - I_{\text{bg}} \, d\omega
\]

\(S\) is the integrated signal, \(I_{\text{signal}}\) is the averaged scan, and \(I_{\text{bg}}\) is the averaged background scan. This is shown for both detectors in Fig. 4. The standard deviation of the line integrals of the five scans were used as the measurement error. The background offset was subtracted before taking line integrals. To determine the noise level, a background scan in a pure N\(_2\) flow was done with each detector. These backgrounds had a reproducible component combined with random variation. The reproducible component could be subtracted from the measurements, as shown in Fig. 3, and is not included in the calculations of the noise. The noise levels were determined by taking a root-mean-square integral over the same range as line integral for the signal:

\[
N = \sqrt{\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} (I_{\text{bg}} - I_{\text{bg, mean}})^2 \, d\omega}
\]
$N$ is the noise power, $I_{bg}$ is the averaged background scan, and $I_{bg, \text{mean}}$ is the mean of the averaged scan in the range of the integral. The signal-to-noise (SNR) ratio is then given by

$$\text{SNR} = \frac{S}{N}$$

which is shown in Fig. 5. As can be seen, the SNR ratio of the UD is significantly higher than that of the InSb photodiode. The IRPS signal has a square dependence on concentration. The function $10 \log_{10}(ac^2)$ was therefore fitted to both measurement series, where $a$ is a fitting constant and $c$ is the concentration. The ratio between the values found for $a$ give an improvement in the SNR of a factor of 64, corresponding to a detection limit eight times lower. That detection limit has not been demonstrated here, due to limitations on how low concentrations could be accurately prepared. The noise equivalent power of the InSb detector could be reduced by reducing the size of the photodiode, but this is not recommended due to beam-walking and alignment difficulties.

The large uncertainty in the signal has two main sources:

(i) variations in pulse energy, both from pulse to pulse and from scan to scan; and

(ii) for each pulse, IR laser spectrum consists of two to three longitudinal modes, and the signal will vary depending on how the pulse energy is distributed among these, and this distribution will not be the same for each scan.

To solve these issues, we are working on two possible solutions. The first is using a reference beam to measure the energy of each pulse, to take the variation into account when processing measurements. The second is solution is replacing the current dye laser system with a seeded optical parametric generator. This would remove the longitudinal laser modes and give a more continuous tuning.

The strength of polarization spectroscopy is the high resolution given by the narrow scanning laser and the spatial resolution given by the nonlinear signal generation. These make it possible to use a single absorption line to determine the concentration of a species at different positions in a flame. Alternatively, the ratio of two temperature-sensitive lines can be measured to determine temperature. The end goal of our current work is to increase detection sensitivity and accuracy, so that more minor species can be detected and measured quantitatively, and so that accurate temperature profiles for flames can be determined.
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