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Abstract

In this paper, we construct nonlinear coherent states for the generalized isotonic oscillator and study their non-classical properties in-detail. By transforming the deformed ladder operators suitably, which generate the quadratic algebra, we obtain Heisenberg algebra. From the algebra we define two non-unitary and an unitary displacement type operators. While the action of one of the non-unitary type operators reproduces the original nonlinear coherent states, the other one fails to produce a new set of nonlinear coherent states (dual pair). We show that these dual states are not normalizable. For the nonlinear coherent states, we evaluate the parameter $A_3$ and examine the non-classical nature of the states through quadratic and amplitude-squared squeezing effect. Further, we derive analytical formula for the $P$-function, $Q$-function and the Wigner function for the nonlinear coherent states. All of them confirm the non-classicality of the nonlinear coherent states. In addition to the above, we obtain the harmonic oscillator type coherent states from the unitary displacement operator.
I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the construction of nonlinear coherent states and their dual pair for a conditionally solvable potential

\[ V(y) = \left( \frac{m_0 \omega^2}{2} y^2 + \frac{g_a (y^2 - a^2)}{(y^2 + a^2)^2} \right), \]

where \( m_0, \omega, a \) and \( g_a \) are parameters. Introducing \( y = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m_0 \omega}} x \) and choosing the parameters \( a \) and \( g_a \) in such a way that \( a^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2m_0 \omega} \) and \( g_a = \frac{2\hbar^2}{m_0} \) with the energy eigenvalues \( e_n = \hbar \omega E_n \), we can rewrite the time independent Schrödinger equation associated with the above potential is of the form

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \psi_n(x)}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( x^2 + \frac{8(2x^2 - 1)}{(2x^2 + 1)^2} \right) \psi_n(x) = E_n \psi_n(x). \]

On solving Eq. (2), the authors of Ref. [1] obtained the eigenfunctions and energy eigenvalues as

\[ \psi_n(x) = N_n \frac{P_n(x)}{(1 + 2x^2)} e^{-x^2/2}, \]

\[ E_n = -\frac{3}{2} + n, \quad n = 0, 3, 4, 5, ..., \]

where the polynomial factors \( P_n(x) \) are given by

\[ P_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } n = 0 \\
H_n(x) + 4nH_{n-2}(x) + 4n(n-3)H_{n-4}(x), & \text{if } n = 3, 4, 5, ...
\end{cases} \]

(5)

and the normalization constant is given by

\[ N_n = \left[ \frac{(n - 1)(n - 2)}{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}} \right]^{1/2}, \quad n = 0, 3, 4, 5, ... \]

(6)

We consider Eq. (2) as the number operator equation after subtracting the ground state energy \( E_0 = -\frac{3}{2} \) from it, that is

\[ \hat{N}_0 |n\rangle = n |n\rangle. \]

(7)

From the ground state solution \( \psi_0 \), we can identify a superpotential for (1) of the form

\[ \phi = -\frac{\psi_0'}{\psi_0} = x + \frac{4x}{1 + 2x^2}. \]

(8)
During the past few years, attempts have been made to analyze the system in different perspectives. In the following we briefly summarize these activities. To start with Fellows and Smith have shown that the solvable potential given in Eq. (2) is a supersymmetric partner potential of the harmonic oscillator. Kraenkel and Senthilvelan have considered the exactly solvable potential of Eq. (2) and obtained a different class of exactly solvable potentials by transforming the Schrödinger equation into the position-dependent mass Schrödinger equation and solving the underlying equation. The coherent states for the position-dependent mass Schrödinger equation were then constructed by the present authors with an illustration of the above exactly solvable nonlinear oscillator potential. Sesma considered the Schrödinger equation associated with potential given in Eq. (1) and transformed the equation to the confluent Heun equation and solved the later equation numerically. For certain parametric values the author has given quasi-polynomial solutions. The present authors have constructed various non-classical states including intelligent states, nonlinear coherent states and even and odd nonlinear coherent states for this nonlinear oscillator. In a very recent paper, Hall et al. have generalized the potential given in Eq. (1) and solved the associated Schrödinger equation with the help of asymptotic iteration method and derived the quasi-exact solutions of Sesma as well as the results of Cariñena et al as special cases. The N-dimensional version of the potential given in Eq. (1) has also been solved using supersymmetry technique in Ref.

We note here that through supersymmetry technique Junker and Roy have derived a class of conditionally exactly solvable potentials, including the potential given in Eq. (2), which are supersymmetric partners of the linear harmonic oscillator. The symmetry algebra for the conditionally exactly solvable potentials had also been discussed briefly in Ref. 9. Very recently Quesne has come up with a more general solvable form of inverse square type potential of the form (10). Using point canonical transformation method the author had shown that the bound state solution to the Schrödinger equation can be expressed in terms of Laguerre exceptional orthogonal polynomials. Interestingly this general inverse square type potential admits shape invariant property unlike the potential (11). The parameter which makes the general inverse square potential as shape invariant one is being fixed in the potential (11) and consequently the shape invariance property is lost by (11).

In our earlier study, from the solution of the Schrödinger equation, we have constructed
deformed annihilation $\hat{N}_-$ and creation $\hat{N}_+$ operators of the form

$$\sqrt{2}\hat{N}_- = \left[ \frac{2(2x^2 - 1)}{(1 + 2x^2)^2} - 1 \right] \left[ \frac{d}{dx} + \phi \right] + \left[ \frac{d}{dx} + x \right] \hat{N}_0, \quad (9)$$

$$\sqrt{2}\hat{N}_- = -\frac{2(2x^2 - 1)}{(1 + 2x^2)^2} \left[ \frac{d}{dx} + \phi \right] + \left[ -\frac{d}{dx} + x \right] \hat{N}_0. \quad (10)$$

We note here that one can express these deformed annihilation and creation operators in the form $\hat{A}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{A}$ by taking into account the fact that the potential given in Eq. (2) is a partner potential of the harmonic oscillator. Here, $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ are annihilation and creation operators of the harmonic oscillator potential and $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}^\dagger$ are the operators which relate the potential given in Eq. (2) to the harmonic oscillator and are given by

$$\hat{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{d}{dx} + \phi \right), \quad \hat{A}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{d}{dx} + \phi \right) \quad (11)$$

with $\phi$ is given in Eq. (8).

One can use these deformed ladder operators $\hat{N}_-$ and $\hat{N}_+$ to generate deformed algebras. Using the framework given in Ref. 12, in this paper, we construct coherent states from the deformed quadratic algebra. From the deformed $su(1, 1)$ algebra we define two non-unitary displacement type operators, namely $D(\alpha)$ and $\tilde{D}(\alpha)$. We demonstrate that while the action of one of them on the ground state (in our case $|3\rangle$) reproduces the original nonlinear coherent states, which are reported in our earlier paper, the other one fails to produce a new set of nonlinear coherent states which are known as their dual pair. The aim of this paper is make a comprehensive study on the non-classical properties exhibited by the nonlinear coherent states. In particular, we investigate the parameter $A_3$ and examine non-classical nature of the nonlinear coherent states by evaluating quadrature squeezing and amplitude-squared squeezing. Further, we derive the analytical expressions for the $P$-function, the $Q$-function and the Wigner function for the non-classical states. The higher order singularity of the $P$-function and the partial negativity of the Wigner function do confirm the non-classical properties of the nonlinear coherent states. All these informations about this system given in Eq. (11) are new to the literature. From the unitary displacement operator we obtain usual form of canonical coherent states. All our investigations confirm that coherent states possess Poissonian distribution only. We also prove that these states are minimum uncertainty states. We mention here that the analysis of non-classical property of states has significance in the study of quantum entanglement. Non-classicality of the inputs is a necessary condition for entangling fields in a beam splitter.
We organize our presentation as follows. In the following section, we discuss the method of obtaining Heisenberg algebra from the deformed annihilation and creation operators. In section 3, we present the construction of nonlinear coherent states and their dual pair from the Heisenberg algebra for this nonlinear oscillator. Consequently, we analyze certain photon statistical properties, quadrature and amplitude-squared squeezing properties exhibited by the nonlinear coherent states and the harmonic oscillator type coherent states in section 4. Followed by this, in section 5, we study the quadrature distribution and quasi-probability distributions for the nonlinear coherent states. Finally, we present our conclusions in section 6.

II. DEFORMED OSCILLATOR ALGEBRA

The deformed ladder operators \( \hat{N}_- \) and \( \hat{N}_+ \) satisfy the relations
\[
\hat{N}_- |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} f(n) |n - 1\rangle, \quad \hat{N}_+ |n\rangle = \sqrt{n + 1} f(n + 1) |n + 1\rangle, \quad n = 0, 3, 4, 5, ... \tag{12}
\]
with \( f(n) = \sqrt{(n - 1)(n - 3)} \). Since \( f(n) \) has zeros at \( n = 1 \) and \( 3 \), we relate the annihilation (\( \hat{a} \)) and creation operators (\( \hat{a}^\dagger \)) to the deformed ladder operators \( \hat{N}_- \) and \( \hat{N}_+ \) through the relations,
\[
\hat{a} = \frac{1}{f(N_0 + 1)} \hat{N}_-, \quad \hat{a}^\dagger = \frac{1}{f(N_0)} \hat{N}_+, \quad n = 0, 3, 4, 5, ... \tag{14}
\]
in which we preserve the ordering of operators \( f(N_0), \hat{N}_- \) and \( \hat{N}_+ \). Specifically the operators \( \hat{a} \) and \( \hat{a}^\dagger \) act on the states \( |0\rangle \) and \( |3\rangle \) yield
\[
\hat{a} |0\rangle = \frac{1}{f(N_0 + 1)} \hat{N}_- |0\rangle = 0, \quad \hat{a}^\dagger |0\rangle = \frac{1}{f(N_0)} \hat{N}_+ |0\rangle = 0, \quad \hat{a} |3\rangle = \frac{1}{f(N_0 + 1)} \hat{N}_- |3\rangle = 0, \quad \hat{a}^\dagger |3\rangle = \frac{1}{f(N_0)} \hat{N}_+ |3\rangle = \sqrt{4} |4\rangle. \tag{15}
\]
For the remaining states, the operators produce
\[
\hat{a} |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n - 1\rangle, \quad \hat{a}^\dagger |n\rangle = \sqrt{n + 1} |n + 1\rangle, \quad n = 4, 5, 6, 7, ... \tag{17}
\]
and \( \hat{N}_0 = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \).
From (12) and (13), we observe that \( \hat{N}_-|0\rangle = 0 \) and \( \hat{N}_+|0\rangle = 0 \). As a consequence the ground state can be considered as an isolated one. Further, the expression \( \hat{N}_-|3\rangle = 0 \) implies that the first excited state \( |3\rangle \) acts as a ground state. This is due to the fact that \( f(n) \) has zeros at \( n = 1 \) and \( 3 \). Because of this fact, the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) consists of states \( |0\rangle, |3\rangle, |4\rangle, ... \) splits up into two invariant sub-spaces, namely (i) \( |\Psi\rangle = |0\rangle \) and (ii) \( |\Psi'\rangle = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} c_n |n\rangle \) for the operators \( \hat{N}_- \) and \( \hat{N}_+ \). We consider the sub-Hilbert space, \( \mathcal{H}' \), spanned by the eigenstates, \( |3\rangle, |4\rangle, |5\rangle, ... \) and exclude the ground state \( |0\rangle \) for further discussion.

The operators \( \{ \hat{N}_-, \hat{N}_+, \hat{N}_0 \} \) satisfy the following quadratic algebra\(^{9,12,16}\)

\[
[\hat{N}_+, \hat{N}_-]|n\rangle = [5\hat{N}_0 - 3\hat{N}_0^2]|n\rangle, \quad [\hat{N}_0, \hat{N}_\pm]|n\rangle = \pm \hat{N}_\pm|n\rangle. \tag{19}
\]

The deformed \( su(1,1) \) algebra given in Eq. \( (19) \) is equivalent to the one studied by Delbecq and Quesne in Ref. \( 17 \). In the present case we observe that one of the deformed functions is unity and the other one is a quadratic polynomial in \( \hat{N}_0 \). The above algebra has the Casimir operator of the type\(^{17}\)

\[
\hat{C} = \hat{N}_-\hat{N}_+ + h(\hat{N}_0) = \hat{N}_+\hat{N}_- + h(\hat{N}_0 - 1), \tag{20}
\]

where \( h(\hat{N}_0) \) is a real function which involves only \( \hat{N}_0 \). Following the ideas given in Ref. \( 17 \) we find \( h(\hat{N}_0) \) is of the form

\[
h(\hat{N}_0) = \frac{5}{2}\hat{N}_0(\hat{N}_0 + 1) - \hat{N}_0(\hat{N}_0 + 1)(\hat{N}_0 + 1/2). \tag{21}
\]

To construct nonlinear coherent states\(^{15,18,19}\) for the system (2), we transform the operators \( \hat{N}_- \) and \( \hat{N}_+ \) in such a way that they satisfy the Heisenberg algebra. By transforming either one of the operators suitably or transforming both operators simultaneously we can achieve this goal. In the following, we consider all these three possibilities. To begin with we rescale these deformed operators suitably and obtain the desired Heisenberg algebra. We then construct the nonlinear coherent states using the transformed ladder operators.

First let us rescale \( \hat{N}_+ \) such that\(^{12}\)

\[
\hat{N}_+ = \hat{N}_+ F(\hat{C}, \hat{N}_0), \tag{22}
\]

where \( \hat{N}_+ \) is the new deformed ladder operator and \( F(\hat{C}, \hat{N}_0) = \frac{\hat{N}_0 + \delta}{\hat{C} - h(\hat{N}_0)} = \frac{\hat{N}_0 + \delta}{\hat{N}_-\hat{N}_+} \), where \( \delta \) is a parameter.
We can generate Heisenberg algebra for the nonlinear system, through the newly deformed ladder operator given in Eq. (22), in the form

\[ [\hat{N}_-, \hat{N}_+]|n\rangle = |n\rangle, \quad [\hat{N}_1, \hat{N}_-]|n\rangle = -\hat{N}_-|n\rangle, \quad [\hat{N}_1, \hat{N}_+]|n\rangle = \hat{N}_+|n\rangle, \quad (23) \]

where \( \hat{N}_1 = \hat{N}_+ \hat{N}_- \) is a number operator in the sub-Hilbert space spanned by the states \(|3\rangle, |4\rangle, |5\rangle, ...\).

Similarly by rescaling the ladder operator \( \hat{N}_- \) such that

\[ \hat{\mathcal{N}}_- = F(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0)\hat{\mathcal{N}}_-, \quad (24) \]

where \( \hat{\mathcal{N}}_- \) is the new deformed ladder operator with

\[ F(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) = \frac{\hat{\mathcal{N}}_0 + \delta}{\hat{\mathcal{C}} - \hbar(\hat{\mathcal{N}}_0)} = \frac{\hat{\mathcal{N}}_0 + \delta}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}_- \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+} \]

we can generate the second set of Heisenberg algebra in the form

\[ [\hat{\mathcal{N}}_-, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+]|n\rangle = |n\rangle, \quad [\hat{\mathcal{N}}_2, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_-]|n\rangle = -\hat{\mathcal{N}}_-|n\rangle, \quad [\hat{\mathcal{N}}_2, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+]|n\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+|n\rangle, \quad (25) \]

where \( \hat{\mathcal{N}}_2 = \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ \hat{\mathcal{N}}_- \) is also a number operator in the sub-Hilbert space spanned by the states \(|3\rangle, |4\rangle, |5\rangle, ...\).

The constant \( \delta \) in \( F(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) \) can be fixed by utilizing the commutation relations,

\[ [\hat{\mathcal{N}}_-, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+]|3\rangle = |3\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{\mathcal{N}}_-, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+]|3\rangle = |3\rangle. \]

From these two relations, we find \( \delta = -2 \) and fix

\[ F(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) = \frac{\hat{\mathcal{N}}_0 - 2}{\hat{\mathcal{N}}_- \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+}. \]

Finally, one can rescale both the operators \( \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{N}}_- \) simultaneously and evaluate the commutation relations. For example, let us rescale \( \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{N}}_- \) respectively as \( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_+ = \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ G(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_- = G(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) \hat{\mathcal{N}}_- \). The explicit form of \( G(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) \) can be found by using the commutation relation \([\hat{\mathcal{K}}_-, \hat{\mathcal{K}}_+] = \hat{I}, \) that is

\[ G(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) \hat{\mathcal{N}}_- \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ G(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) - \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ G^2(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) \hat{\mathcal{N}}_- = \hat{I}. \quad (26) \]

Solving Eq. (26) we find \( G(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) = \sqrt{F(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0)}. \)

With this choice of \( G(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_0) \) we can establish

\[ \text{Case: (iii)} \quad [\hat{\mathcal{K}}_-, \hat{\mathcal{K}}_+]|n\rangle = |n\rangle, \quad [\hat{\mathcal{K}}_0, \hat{\mathcal{K}}_-]|n\rangle = -\hat{\mathcal{K}}_-|n\rangle, \quad [\hat{\mathcal{K}}_0, \hat{\mathcal{K}}_+]|n\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{K}}_+|n\rangle, \quad (27) \]

where \( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_0 = \hat{\mathcal{K}}_+ \hat{\mathcal{K}}_- \). Here \( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_0 \) serves as a number operator.

We construct coherent and nonlinear coherent states using these three sets of new deformed ladder operators.
III. NONLINEAR COHERENT STATES

A. Non-unitary displacement type operators and nonlinear coherent states

The transformed operators $\hat{N}_+$ and $\hat{N}_-$ which satisfy the commutation relations given in Eqs. (23) and (25) help us to define two non-unitary displacement type operators, namely

Case: (i) $D(\alpha) = e^{\alpha \hat{N}_+ - \alpha^* \hat{N}_-}$,

Case: (ii) $\tilde{D}(\alpha) = e^{\alpha \hat{N}_+ - \alpha^* \hat{N}_-}$.

By applying these two operators on the lowest energy state $|3\rangle$, given in Eq. (3), after multiplying the displacement operators by $e^{\frac{\alpha}{2} |\alpha|^2}$, we obtain nonlinear coherent states in the form

Case: (i) $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle = N\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{(n+3)!}} |n+3\rangle$,

Case: (ii) $\tilde{|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle} = \tilde{N}\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n \sqrt{(n+3)!}}{n!} \tilde{f}(n+3)! |n+3\rangle$,

respectively. In the above expressions, $\tilde{f}(n+3)! = f(n+3)f(n+2)f(n+1)...f(4)$, $(n+3)! = (n+3)(n+2)(n+1)...4$, and $\tilde{f}(3)! = 3! = 1$. The normalization constant, $N\alpha$, which can be calculated from the normalization condition, $\langle \alpha, \tilde{f}|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle = 1$, is found to be

Case: (i) $N\alpha = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!(n+2)!(n+3)!}\right)^{-1/2}$.

The nonlinear coherent states $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle$ given in Eq. (30) coincide with the one given in our earlier paper.

However, the series given in Eq. (31) is a divergent one. This can be proved by performing a ratio test. By considering the series (Eq. (31)) is of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{a_n} \alpha^n |n+3\rangle$, where $a_n = \frac{(n+2)!(n+3)!}{n!}$ and evaluating the ratio test we find

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{(n+3)! (n+4)! n!}{(n+1)! (n+2)! (n+3)!} = \frac{(n+3) (n+4)}{(n+1)} = \infty.$$

In other words, the radius of convergence $R$,

$$R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{(n+3)(n+4)}{n}} = 0.$$
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does not meet the condition $|\alpha| < R \neq 0$. This in turn confirms that the dual state is not normalizable. This result reveals that for the generalized isotonic oscillator one can construct only nonlinear coherent states and not their dual counterparts.

**B. Unitary operator and coherent states**

In this case the operators, $\hat{K}_-, \hat{K}_+$ and $\hat{K}_0$, act on the states $|n\rangle$ as

$$\hat{K}_-|n\rangle = \sqrt{n-3}|n-1\rangle, \hat{K}_+|n\rangle = \sqrt{n-2}|n+1\rangle, \hat{K}_0|n\rangle = (n-3)|n\rangle, n = 3, 4, 5, \ldots \ (35)$$

We observe that these operators act, on the states $|3\rangle, |4\rangle, |5\rangle, \ldots$ of Eq. (2), in the same way as the annihilation ($\hat{a}$), creation ($\hat{a}^\dagger$) and number operators ($\hat{n}$) act on the photon number states $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle, \ldots$ of harmonic oscillator. Using the operators, $\hat{K}_+, \hat{K}_-, \hat{K}_0$, we define an unitary displacement operator, namely

$$D(\zeta) = e^{\zeta \hat{K}_+ - \zeta^* \hat{K}_-}. \quad (36)$$

By applying this displacement operator on the lowest energy state, given in Eq. (3), we can obtain the coherent states of the form

$$|\zeta\rangle = D(\zeta)|3\rangle = e^{-|\zeta|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n+3\rangle. \quad (37)$$

One may observe that these states have the same form as that of the harmonic oscillator. We will discuss the properties exhibited by these coherent states in next section and demonstrate how these non-Gaussian wave packets minimize the uncertainty relation.

**C. Completeness condition**

The utmost requirement of the coherent states is that they should furnish the resolution of unity. In this sub-section, we investigate whether the nonlinear coherent states $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle$ form a complete set of states in the Hilbert space or not. To establish this we invoke the completeness relation

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle W(|\alpha|^2) \langle \alpha, \tilde{f}| d^2\alpha = \hat{I}, \quad (38)$$
where $W(|\alpha|^2)$ is a positive weight function and $\tilde{f}$ is an identity operator. From Eq. (38) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int \int_{C} \langle \psi | \alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle W(|\alpha|^2) \langle \alpha, \Phi | \tilde{f} \rangle d^2 \alpha = \langle \psi | \tilde{f} | \Phi \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

Substituting $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle$ and its conjugate (vide Eq. (30)) in the left hand side of Eq. (39), we get (which we call $G$)

$$G = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \psi | n \rangle \langle m | \Phi \rangle}{\sqrt{(n+3)! (n+2)! n! (m+3)! (m+2)! m!}} \int \int_{C} \alpha^n \alpha^m \tilde{N}^2(|\alpha|^2) W(|\alpha|^2) d^2 \alpha.$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

Taking $\alpha = re^{i\theta}$, one can separate the real and imaginary parts and obtain

$$G = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \psi | n \rangle \langle m | \Phi \rangle}{\sqrt{(n+3)! (n+2)! n! (m+3)! (m+2)! m!}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \tilde{N}^2(r^2) r^{n+m} W(r^2) r dr \times \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(n-m)\theta} d\theta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

Since the second integral vanishes except $n = m$ we can bring Eq. (41) to the form

$$G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \psi | n \rangle \langle n | \Phi \rangle}{(n+3)! (n+2)! n!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \tilde{N}^2(r^2) r^{2n} W(r^2) 2r dr.$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

Taking $r^2 = x$, we find

$$G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \psi | n \rangle \langle n | \Phi \rangle}{(n+3)! (n+2)! n!} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^n \tilde{N}^2(x) W(x) dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

Choosing $\tilde{N}^2(x) W(x) = G_{4,1,2,5}^{4,1} \left( x \left| \begin{array}{c} 0, 5 \\ 0, 2, 3, 5, 0 \end{array} \right. \right)$, the integral on the right hand side in Eq. (43) can be brought to the form

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^n G_{4,1,2,5}^{4,1} \left( x \left| \begin{array}{c} 0, 5 \\ 0, 2, 3, 5, 0 \end{array} \right. \right) dx$$

which can be integrated in terms of gamma functions, namely $\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+3) \Gamma(n+4)^{23}$. As a result one gets

$$G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \psi | n+3 \rangle \langle n+3 | \Phi \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

We mention here that the nonlinear coherent states contain the states with photon number greater than or equal to three. As a consequence Eq. (44) turns out to be a projector in which the state $n = 0$ is excluded.
As we mentioned earlier, the coherent states of Case (iii), given in Eq. (37), are in the same form as that of harmonic oscillator coherent states. The Hilbert space properties of this type of coherent states, such as (i) overlap between two different coherent states, (ii) linear dependency of the coherent states and (iii) the completeness condition, are studied in Ref. 21 which reveal the fact that these coherent states provide alternatively a new continuous non-orthogonal basis for the Hilbert space of the nonlinear oscillator.

IV. NON-CLASSICAL PROPERTIES

One can analyze the classical and non-classical nature of the states by investigating photon statistical properties of the states. The coherent states exhibit Poissonian probability distribution and are said to be closest to the classical description of a radiation field. In contrast to coherent states, the non-classical states exhibit non-classical properties such as sub-Poissonian and super-Poissonian statistics, quadrature squeezing and higher order squeezing.

A. $A_3$-parameter

In this sub-section, we investigate the parameter $A_3$ associated with the nonlinear coherent states (Eq. (30)). This parameter was introduced as a counterpart to the Mandel’s parameter $Q$ by Agarwal and Tara in Ref. 24. It was also recently studied for the newly introduced $\beta$-nonlinear coherent states\textsuperscript{25}. The parameter $A_3$ can be calculated from the expression\textsuperscript{24},

$$A_3 = \frac{\det m^{(3)}}{\det \mu^{(3)} - \det m^{(3)}}; \quad (45)$$

where,

$$m^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_1 & m_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ m_2 & m_3 & m_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3 \\ \mu_2 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (46)$$

In the above, $m_j = \hat{K}_+^j \hat{K}_-^j$ and $\mu_j = (\hat{K}_+ \hat{K}_-)^j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For the coherent and vacuum states $\det m^{(3)} = 0$ and for a Fock state $\det m^{(3)} = -1$ and $\det \mu^{(3)} = 0$. For the
non-classical states \( \det m^{(3)} < 0 \) and since \( \det \mu^{(3)} > 0 \), it follows that parameter \( A_3 \) lies between 0 and -1.

\[ \text{FIG. 1: The plots of the parameter } A_3 \text{ which is calculated with respect to nonlinear coherent states Eq. (30).} \]

To calculate the parameter \( A_3 \), we have to evaluate \( \langle m_j \rangle \)'s and \( \langle \mu_j \rangle \)'s, \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), for the nonlinear coherent states \( |\alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle \). To begin with let us calculate \( \langle m_j \rangle \). To do so we first evaluate

\[
m_j|\alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle = N_\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!(n+2)!(n+3)!}} m_j|n+3 \rangle. \tag{47}
\]

Since \( m_j|n+3 \rangle = \hat{K}_+^j \hat{K}_-^j |n+3 \rangle = n(n-1)(n-2)...(n-j+1)|n+3 \rangle \), we obtain

\[
m_j|\alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle = N_\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!(n+2)!(n+3)!}} n(n-1)(n-2)...(n-j+1)|n+3 \rangle. \tag{48}
\]

From Eq. (48), we find

\[
\langle \alpha, \tilde{f} | m_j | \alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle = N_\alpha^2 \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{(n-j)!(n+2)!(n+3)!}. \tag{49}
\]

In a similar way one can obtain the expression for \( \langle \mu_j \rangle \) in the form

\[
\langle \alpha, \tilde{f} | \mu_j | \alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle = N_\alpha^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n} n^{j-1}}{(n-j)!(n+2)!(n+3)!}. \tag{50}
\]

From the expectation values we work out the parameter \( A_3 \) for the nonlinear coherent states \( |\alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle \). We then plot the results in Fig. 1 where we draw the parameter \( A_3 \) against \( r(=|\alpha|) \). The parameter \( A_3 \) lies between 0 to -1 for the nonlinear coherent states given in Eq. (30).
B. Quadrature squeezing

The non-classical nature of a quantum state can also be characterized by examining the degree of squeezing it possesses. Since $\hat{K}_-$ and $\hat{K}_+$ act as annihilation ($\hat{a}$) and creation ($\hat{a}^\dagger$) operators for the system (2), we define two Hermitian operators, $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$, namely

$$\hat{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{K}_+ + \hat{K}_-), \quad \hat{p} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{K}_+ - \hat{K}_-).$$ (51)

Then the Heisenberg uncertainty relation holds, $(\Delta \hat{x})^2 (\Delta \hat{p})^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}$, where $\Delta \hat{x}$ and $\Delta \hat{p}$ denote uncertainties in $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$ respectively. In general, a state is squeezed, if any of the following conditions holds: $(\Delta \hat{x})^2 < \frac{1}{2}$ or $(\Delta \hat{p})^2 < \frac{1}{2}$. Using the expressions (51), the squeezing conditions can be transformed to the following inequalities, that is

$$I_1 = \langle \hat{K}_+^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{K}_-^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{K}_- \rangle^2 - 2\langle \hat{K}_- \rangle \langle \hat{K}_+ \rangle + 2\langle \hat{K}_+ \hat{K}_- \rangle < 0,$$ (52)

$$I_2 = -\langle \hat{K}_+^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{K}_-^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{K}_- \rangle^2 + 2\langle \hat{K}_- \rangle \langle \hat{K}_+ \rangle + 2\langle \hat{K}_+ \hat{K}_- \rangle < 0,$$ (53)

where the expectation values are calculated with respect to the nonlinear coherent states $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle$ for which the squeezing property has to be examined.

![Figure 2: The plots of $I_1$ and $I_2$ which are calculated with respect to nonlinear coherent states for $n_{max} = 200$.](image)

The identities given in Eqs. (52) and (53) are evaluated numerically and plotted in Fig. 2 with $\alpha = re^{i\theta}$. From the figure we observe that the identities given in Eqs. (52) and (53) for the nonlinear coherent states $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle$, satisfying the uncertainty relation show small oscillations in $I_1$ and $I_2$. These two quantities, $I_1$ and $I_2$, oscillate out of phase $\pi$ with each other. This in turn reveals that a small degree of squeezing can be observed in both the quadratures, $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$, at different values of $\theta$. 
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As far as Case (iii) is concerned, since the photon statistical properties have the classical nature they do not admit any squeezing property. However, in the following, we demonstrate that these coherent states are minimum uncertainty states. Recalling the definition and using Eq. (51) we find
\[
(\Delta \hat{x})^2 (\Delta \hat{p})^2 = \frac{1}{4}
\]
from which we deduce
\[
(\Delta \hat{x})^2 = (\Delta \hat{p})^2 = \frac{1}{2}.
\]
Thus, the coherent states $|\zeta\rangle$ minimize the uncertainty relation but do not exhibit the squeezing property.

C. Amplitude-squared squeezing

The amplitude-squared squeezing, which was introduced by Hillery\textsuperscript{27}, involves two operators which represent the real and imaginary parts of the square of the amplitude of a radiation field.

To investigate the amplitude-squared squeezing effect for the Case (i), we introduce again two Hermitian operators $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{P}$ from $\hat{K}_+$ and $\hat{K}_-$ respectively of the form
\[
\hat{X} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{K}_+^2 + \hat{K}_-^2), \quad \hat{P} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{K}_+^2 - \hat{K}_-^2).
\]
Here $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{P}$ are the operators corresponding to the real and imaginary parts of the square of the complex amplitude of a radiation field. Heisenberg uncertainty relation of these two pairs of conjugate operators is then given by $(\Delta \hat{X})^2 (\Delta \hat{P})^2 \geq -\frac{1}{4} \langle [\hat{X}, \hat{P}] \rangle^2$. For the nonlinear coherent states (30), we find that the states satisfy the uncertainty relation. By following the steps given in quadrature squeezing, one can straightforwardly derive conditions for the amplitude-squared squeezing. The conditions read
\[
I_3 = \frac{1}{4} \left( \langle \hat{K}_+^4 \rangle + \langle \hat{K}_-^4 \rangle - \langle \hat{K}_+^2 \rangle^2 - \langle \hat{K}_-^2 \rangle^2 - 2 \langle \hat{K}_+^2 \hat{K}_-^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{K}_+^2 \hat{K}_+^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{K}_-^2 \hat{K}_-^2 \rangle \right) - \langle \hat{K}_+ \hat{K}_- \rangle - \frac{1}{2} < 0,
\]
\[
I_4 = \frac{1}{4} \left( -\langle \hat{K}_+^4 \rangle - \langle \hat{K}_-^4 \rangle + \langle \hat{K}_+^2 \rangle^2 + \langle \hat{K}_-^2 \rangle^2 - 2 \langle \hat{K}_+^2 \hat{K}_+^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{K}_-^2 \hat{K}_-^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{K}_+^2 \hat{K}_-^2 \rangle \right) - \langle \hat{K}_+ \hat{K}_- \rangle - \frac{1}{2} < 0,
\]
where the expectation values are calculated with respect to the nonlinear coherent states \( |\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle \) for which the squeezing property has to be examined.

![Image](image_url)

FIG. 3: The plots of \( I_3 \) and \( I_4 \) which are calculated with respect to nonlinear coherent states \( |30\rangle \) for \( n_{\text{max}} = 200 \).

We evaluate these two identities, in Eqs. (57) and (58), numerically and plot the results in Fig. 3. The identities \( I_3 \) and \( I_4 \) also vary in an oscillatory manner. For certain values of \( r \) and \( \theta \) when one of the identities \( (I_3) \) is positive the other identity \( (I_4) \) becomes negative, which shows the squeezing in one of the operators \( \hat{X} \) and \( \hat{P} \). The results confirm the non-classical behaviour exhibited by nonlinear coherent states \( |30\rangle \).

In Case (iii), from the definition of coherent states \( \hat{K}_-|\zeta\rangle = \zeta|\zeta\rangle \), we find

\[
\langle \zeta | \hat{X} | \zeta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta^2 + \zeta^*2), \quad \langle \zeta | \hat{X}^2 | \zeta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta^2 + \zeta^*2)^2 + (2|\zeta|^2 + 1),
\]

\[
\langle \zeta | \hat{P} | \zeta \rangle = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta^*2 - \zeta^2), \quad \langle \zeta | \hat{P}^2 | \zeta \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}(\zeta^*2 - \zeta^2)^2 + (2|\zeta|^2 + 1).
\]

Using the expressions (59) and (60) we can show the coherent states \( |\zeta\rangle \) minimize the uncertainty relation as

\[
\left( \Delta \hat{X} \right)^2 \left( \Delta \hat{P} \right)^2 = (2|\zeta|^2 + 1)^2
\]

with

\[
\left( \Delta \hat{X} \right)^2 = \left( \Delta \hat{P} \right)^2 = (2|\zeta|^2 + 1).
\]

Hence, the coherent states minimize the uncertainty relation (vide Eq. (61)) and does not exhibit amplitude-squared squeezing property.
D. Photon statistical properties

For the nonlinear coherent states (30), Case (i), the photon statistical properties, such as photon number probability distribution, Mandel’s parameter and the second order correlation function, were studied in Ref. 6. So we proceed to the Case (iii) straightaway.

As far as Case (iii) is concerned, we find photon number distribution is of the form

$$P(n) = |\langle n + 3|\zeta \rangle|^2 = \frac{|\zeta|^{2n}}{n!} e^{-|\zeta|^2}$$

which is nothing but a Poisson distribution. We give the photon number distribution $P_3(n)$ as a function of $n$ for different values of $r$ in Fig. 4(a).

We calculate Mandel’s parameter and the second order correlation function for this case

![Fig. 4: The plots of (a) photon number distribution $P(n)$, (b) mean photon number $\langle \hat{K}_0 \rangle$, (c) Mandel’s parameter $Q$ and (d) the second order correlation function $g^2(0)$ of coherent states (37).](image-url)
as well, with the help of the operator $\hat{K}_0$, by using the expressions\cite{28,31}

\[ Q = \frac{\langle \hat{K}_0^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{K}_0 \rangle}{\langle \hat{K}_0 \rangle} - 1, \quad (64) \]

\[ g^2(0) = \frac{\langle \hat{K}_0^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{K}_0 \rangle}{\langle \hat{K}_0 \rangle^2}. \quad (65) \]

The expectation values of $\langle \hat{K}_0 \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{K}_0^2 \rangle$ are turned out to be

\[ \langle \hat{K}_0 \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\zeta|^2 n}{(n-1)!}, \quad \langle \hat{K}_0^2 \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\zeta|^{2n} n}{(n-1)!}, \quad (66) \]

where $\langle \hat{K}_0 \rangle$ is the average number of photons. We draw the average number of photons, $\langle \hat{K}_0 \rangle$, for different values of $r$ in Fig. 4(b) which shows the linear dependence of $\langle \hat{K}_0 \rangle$ on $r$. Substituting Eq. (66) in Eqs. (64) and (65), we find $Q = 0$ and the second order correlation function $g^2(0) = 1$. The results are given in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d) respectively which once again confirm the Poissonian nature of the coherent states (Eq. 37) for all values of $r$.

V. QUADRATURE DISTRIBUTION AND QUASI-PROBABILITY FUNCTIONS

A. Phase-parameterized field strength distribution

In this sub-section, we calculate phase-parameterized field strength distribution of the nonlinear coherent states $|\alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle$, which is defined by

\[ P(x, \phi) = |\langle x, \phi | \alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle|^2, \quad (67) \]

where $|x, \phi\rangle$ is the eigenstate of the quadrature component $\hat{x}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e^{-i\phi} \hat{K}_- + e^{i\phi} \hat{K}_+ \right)$, that is

\[ \hat{x}(\phi)|x, \phi\rangle = x|x, \phi\rangle, \quad (68) \]

which can be expressed in photon number basis as\cite{32}

\[ |x, \phi\rangle = \frac{e^{-x^2}}{\pi^{1/4}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_n(x)e^{in\phi}}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} |n + 3\rangle, \quad (69) \]

where $H_n(x)$ is the Hermite polynomial. From Eq. (69) we find

\[ \langle x, \phi | \alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle = \frac{N_\alpha e^{-x^2}}{\pi^{1/4}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n H_n(x)e^{-in\phi}}{(n + 3)! \sqrt{2^n n!(n + 2)!}} |n\rangle. \quad (70) \]
Considering $\alpha = re^{i\theta}$ and substituting Eq. (70) and its conjugate in Eq. (67), we arrive at

$$P(x, \phi) = \frac{N_\alpha^2e^{-x^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{n+m} \cos[(n-m)(\theta - \phi)]H_n(x)H_m(x)}{n! m! \sqrt{2^{n+m}(n+2)!(m+2)!(n+3)!(m+3)!}}. \quad (71)$$

From the expression (71), we calculate the quadrature distribution numerically. We plot the function $P(x, \phi)$ in Fig. 5 for $r = 5$ and $\theta = 0.5$. The results match with the one discussed in Ref. 32. From Fig. 5, we observe that $P(x, \phi)$ is symmetric around $\phi = 0$. Further we observe that at $x = 0$ a two-peak shape appears around $\phi = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ in the distribution function and it converges as $x$ increases. When $x > 2$, this two-peak shape submerges and a single broad peak appears as $\phi$ gets closer to 0. Finally, all phase information disappears when $x$ exceeds the value 2.8. We observe that any change in $\alpha$ makes a difference in the two-peak shape.

![FIG. 5: The plot of $P(x, \phi)$ for nonlinear coherent states (30) for $r = 5$ and $\theta = 0.5$.](image)

B. $s$-parameterized quasi-probability function

In this sub-section, we study three different quasi-probability distribution functions, namely (i) Wigner function $(W(x, p))$, (ii) Glauber - Sudarshan function $(P)$ and (iii) Husimi function $(Q(x, p))$ of the nonlinear coherent states (30) constructed for the system given in Eq. (2). The Wigner function describes the state of a quantum system in phase space in the same fashion as the probability distribution function (non-negative by definition), which characterizes a classical system. The Wigner function, which was introduced as quantum corrections in classical statistical mechanics, normally takes negative values in certain domains of phase space so that it cannot be interpreted as a classical distribution function.
which is non-negative by necessity. Hence, the negativity of the Wigner function is indeed a good indication of the highly non-classical character exhibited by the state. The Glauber-Sudarshan function \( P \) and the Husimi function \( Q \) are used to express quantum mechanical expectation values as classical averages, with the respective distribution function as the weight function. For some states, Glauber-Sudarshan \( P \)-function is in fact so strongly singular that it is not even a tempered distribution. Hence the singularity of the Glauber-Sudarshan function represents the non-classical character of the quantum states.

In contradiction to other two distribution functions, the Husimi \( Q \)-function is well behaved and non-negative. Moreover it is simply expressed by the coherent expectation value of the field density matrix \( Q(x, p) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \zeta | \hat{\rho} | \zeta \rangle \) and has been widely adopted to describe field dynamics in situations where the density matrix \( \hat{\rho} \) can be easily computed. The quasi-probability distribution function is, in fact, more general than that of the above said three distribution functions. Cachill and Glauber have generalized the concept of quasi-probability distribution function by introducing the \( s \)-parameterized function with \( s \) being a continuous variable. This generalized function interpolates the Glauber-Sudarshan \( P \)-function for \( s = 1 \), Wigner function \( W \) for \( s = 0 \), and Husimi \( Q \)-function for \( s = -1 \).

The \( s \)-parameterized quasi-probability distribution function, \( F(z, s) \), is the Fourier transformation of the \( s \)-parameterized characteristic function

\[
F(z, s) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int C(\lambda, s) e^{(z^* \lambda - \lambda z^*)} d^2 \lambda, \tag{72}
\]

where

\[
C(\lambda, s) = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} D(\lambda)] \exp \left[ \frac{s}{2} |\lambda|^2 \right] \tag{73}
\]

is the \( s \)-parameterized characteristic function. \( D(\lambda) \) is the displacement operator and \( s \) is the ordering parameter. To study quasi-probability distribution of the nonlinear coherent states of Eq. (2), we only consider the unitary displacement operator \( D(\lambda) \) with \( \hat{K}_- \) and \( \hat{K}_+ \), that is \( D(\lambda) = \exp (\lambda \hat{K}_+ - \lambda^* \hat{K}_-) \), since \( \hat{K}_- \) and \( \hat{K}_+ \) act as annihilation (\( \hat{a} \)) and creation (\( \hat{a}^\dagger \)) operators for the system (2).

For the nonlinear coherent states \(| \alpha, \tilde{f} \rangle \), we find

\[
\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} D(\lambda)] = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} B_{n,m} \langle m + 3 | D(\lambda) | n + 3 \rangle, \tag{74}
\]
FIG. 6: The plots of Wigner function $W(x, p)$ and Husimi $Q(x, p)$ function corresponding to nonlinear coherent states $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle$ for $\alpha = 10 + i10$. 

where

$$B_{n,m} = N_{\alpha}^2 \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^m \alpha^n}{\sqrt{n! m!(n+2)!(m+2)!(n+3)!(m+3)!}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (75)$$

We find $\langle m + 3 | D(\lambda) | n + 3 \rangle$ is to be $^{37,38}$

$$\langle m + 3 | D(\lambda) | n + 3 \rangle = \exp \left[ -\frac{|\lambda|^2}{2} \right] \sqrt{\frac{n!}{m!}} \lambda^{m-n} L_n^{m-n}(|\lambda|^2),$$  \hspace{1cm} (76)$$

where $L_n^{m-n}$ is an associated Laguerre polynomial. Using Eqs. (76) and (73) in Eq. (72), one can evaluate the function $^{32,37}$

$$F(z, s) = \frac{2 \exp \left[ \frac{2}{(s-1)}|z|^2 \right]}{\pi(1-s)} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} B_{n,m} (-2z)^{m-n} \sqrt{\frac{n!}{m!}} \frac{(s+1)^n}{(s-1)^m} L_n^{m-n} \left( \frac{4|z|^2}{1-s^2} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (77)$$

Formula (77) yields the Glauber-Sudarshan P-function for $s = 1$, the Wigner $W$-function for $s = 0$ and the Husimi function for $s = -1$.

Using Eq. (75) in Eq. (77), we can calculate Wigner function ($s = 0$) for the nonlinear coherent states $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle$ numerically. The results are plotted in Figs. 6(a). In Fig. 6(a), we consider $\alpha = 10 + i10$ with $z = x + ip$. The Wigner function $W(x, p)$ possesses negative values for the nonlinear coherent states $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle$. This in turn confirms the non-classical nature of the nonlinear coherent states given in Eq. (30). We observe that any slight change in $\alpha$ also causes a change in the negativity of Wigner distribution function.

Proceeding further, substituting the expressions (75) in Eq. (77) and considering $z = x + ip$, we obtain the following formula for the Husimi function ($s = -1$) for $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle$, that is

$$Q(x, p) = \frac{N_{\alpha}^2}{\pi} e^{-(x^2 + p^2)}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (78)$$
The function $Q(x,p)$ given in Eq. (78) is evaluated and plotted in Fig. 6(b) for $\alpha = 10 + i10$. The Fig. 6(b) shows that the distribution is Gaussian for both the nonlinear coherent states, which in turn confirm that the Husimi function is a smoothed Wigner function. Here also we observe that Husimi function is sensitive to any change in $\alpha$.

As mentioned earlier, the Glauber-Sudarshan $P$-function can be deduced from $s$-parameterized function by fixing $s = 1$ in Eq. (77), that is

$$P(z) = F(z,1).$$

(79)

Since the term $(1 - s)$ appears in the denominator the $P$-function becomes infinite for all quantum states. Hence, the $P$-function for a given density operator $\hat{\rho}$ is alternatively defined to be

$$P(z) = \lim_{s \to 1^-} F(z,s),$$

(80)

which can make the function $P(z)$ either as a well behaved function or a tempered distribution. By considering $n = m$ in Eq. (77), we find

$$P(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,n} (-1)^n e^{2|z|^2} \frac{d^n}{d(|z|^2)^n} \delta(|z|^2),$$

(81)

where $\delta(|z|^2)$ is the Dirac-delta function. The expression (81) shows that $P(z)$ is highly singular and confirms the non-classical nature of the nonlinear coherent states $|\alpha, \tilde{f}\rangle$.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have pointed out the non-existence of dual states for the generalized isotonic oscillator (1) with $a^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0 \omega}$ and $g_a = \frac{2\hbar^2}{m_0}$. To show this result, we have obtained the Heisenberg algebra by transforming the deformed ladder operators suitably. We have shown that these transformations can be chosen in three different ways. Once the Heisenberg algebra has been identified, we have unambiguously defined the displacement type operators in all three cases. In the first two cases, the displacement operators are of non-unitary type whereas in the third case it is an unitary one. The non-unitary displacement type operator $D(\alpha)$ acts on the lowest energy state $|3\rangle$ of system (2) yields the nonlinear coherent states whereas $\tilde{D}(\alpha)$ fails to produce their dual pair and the unitary displacement operator provides only the harmonic oscillator coherent states. The non-classical nature of
the nonlinear coherent states has been confirmed through the evaluation of the parameter $A_3$. Further, we have demonstrated that the nonlinear coherent states possess certain other non-classical properties as well, namely quadrature and amplitude-squared squeezing. In addition to the above, we have analyzed the quadrature distribution and $s$-parameterized quasi-probability function for the nonlinear coherent states and confirmed the non-classical nature, exhibited by these states. Finally, we have derived analytical expressions for the $P$-function, $Q$-function and the Wigner function for the nonlinear coherent states. As far as the harmonic oscillator coherent states are concerned our investigations confirmed that they possess Poissonian distribution only. We have also demonstrated that these coherent states minimize the uncertainty relation. The results summarized in this paper are all useful in the quantum entanglement perspective.
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