Searching for the odderon in $pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-$ and $pp \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-$ reactions in the $\phi(1020)$ resonance region at the LHC
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Abstract

We explore the possibility of observing odderon exchange in the $pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-$ and $pp \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-$ reactions at the LHC. We consider the central exclusive production (CEP) of the $\phi(1020)$ resonance decaying into $K^+K^-$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$. We compare the purely diffractive contribution (odderon-pomeron fusion) to the photoproduction contribution (photon-pomeron fusion). The theoretical results are calculated within the tensor-pomeron and vector-odderon model for soft reactions. We include absorptive corrections at the amplitude level. In order to fix the coupling constants for the photon-pomeron fusion contribution we discuss the reactions $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$ and $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$ including $\phi$-$\omega$ mixing. We compare our results for these reactions with the available data, especially those from HERA. Our coupling constants for the pomeron-odderon-$\phi$ vertex are taken from an analysis of the WA102 data for the $pp \rightarrow pp\phi$ reaction. We show that the odderon-exchange contribution significantly improves the description of the $pp$ azimuthal correlations and the $dP_t$ “glueball-filter variable” dependence of $\phi$ CEP measured by WA102. To describe the low-energy data more accurately we consider also subleading processes with reggeized vector-meson exchanges. However, they do not play a significant role at the LHC. We present predictions for two possible types of measurements: at midrapidity and with forward measurement of protons (relevant for ATLAS-ALFA or CMS-TOTEM), and at forward rapidities and without measurement of protons (relevant for LHCb). We discuss the influence of experimental cuts on the integrated cross sections and on various differential distributions. With the corresponding LHC data one should be able to get a decisive answer concerning the presence of an odderon-pomeron fusion contribution in single $\phi$ CEP.
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I. INTRODUCTION

So far there is no unambiguous experimental evidence for the odderon (O), the charge conjugation $C = -1$ counterpart of the $C = +1$ pomeron ($P$), introduced on theoretical grounds in [1, 2]. A hint of the odderon was seen in ISR results [3] as a small difference between the differential cross sections of elastic proton-proton ($pp$) and proton-antiproton ($p\bar{p}$) scattering in the diffractive dip region at $\sqrt{s} = 53$ GeV. Recently the TOTEM Collaboration has published data from high-energy elastic proton-proton scattering experiments at the LHC. In [4] results were given for the $\rho$ parameter, the ratio of real to imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude. This is a measurement at $t = 0$. In [5] the differential cross section $d\sigma/dt$ was measured for $0.36 \text{ GeV}^2 < |t| < 0.74 \text{ GeV}^2$. The interpretation of these results is controversial at the moment. Some authors claim for instance that the $\rho$ measurements show that there must be an odderon effect at $t = 0$ [6, 7]. But other authors find that no odderon contribution is needed at $t = 0$ [8–12]. For a general analysis of $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ elastic scattering see e.g. [13].

As was discussed in [14] exclusive diffractive $J/\psi$ and $\phi$ production from the pomeron-odderon fusion in high-energy $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions is a direct probe for a possible odderon exchange. The photoproduction mechanism (i.e. pomeron-photon fusion) constitutes a background for pomeron-odderon exchanges in these reactions. Other sources of background involve secondary reggeon exchanges, for instance pomeron-$\phi_R$-reggeon exchanges. Exclusive production of heavy vector mesons, $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$, from the pomeron-odderon and the pomeron-photon fusion in the pQCD $k_t$-factorization approach was discussed in [15]. The exclusive $pp \rightarrow p\phi \phi$ reaction via the $(pQCD$-pomeron)-photon fusion in the high-energy corner was studied in [16]; see also [17] for the exclusive photoproduction of charmonia $J/\psi$ and $\psi'$ and [18] for the exclusive $\omega$ production.

A possible probe of the odderon is photoproduction of $C = +1$ mesons [19, 20]. At sufficiently high energies only odderon and photon exchange contribute to these reactions. Photoproduction of the pseudoscalars $\pi^0$, $\eta_c, \eta_c'$, and of the tensor $f_2(1270)$ in $ep$ scattering at high energies was discussed in [21–25]. For exclusive $\eta_c$ photoproduction within the high-energy framework of eikonal dipole scattering see [26]. In [27, 28] a probe of the perturbative odderon in the quasi-diffractive process $\gamma^* \gamma^* \rightarrow \eta_c \eta_c$ was studied.

Another interesting possibility is to study the charge asymmetry caused by the interference between pomeron and odderon exchange. This was discussed in diffractive $c\bar{c}$ pair photoproduction [29], in diffractive $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair photoproduction [30–33], and in the production of two pion pairs in photon-photon collisions [34]. However, so far in no one of the exclusive reactions a clear identification of the odderon was found experimentally. For a more detailed review of the phenomenological and theoretical status of the odderon we refer the reader to [35, 36]. In this context we would also like to mention the EMMI workshop on “Central exclusive production at the LHC” which was held in Heidelberg in February 2019. There, questions of odderon searches were extensively discussed. Corresponding remarks and the link to the talks presented at this workshop can be found in [37].

Recently, the possibility of probing the odderon in ultraperipheral proton-ion collisions was considered [38, 39]. In [40] the measurement of the exclusive $\eta_c$ production in nuclear collisions was discussed. The situation of the odderon in this context is also not obvious and requires further studies.

In [41] the tensor-pomeron and vector-odderon concept was introduced for soft re-
actions. In this approach, the $C = +1$ pomeron and the reggeons $\mathbb{R}_+ = f_{2R}, a_{2R}$ are treated as effective rank-2 symmetric tensor exchanges while the $C = -1$ odderon and the reggeons $\mathbb{R}_- = \omega_{R}, \rho_{R}$ are treated as effective vector exchanges. For these effective exchanges a number of propagators and vertices, respecting the standard rules of quantum field theory, were derived from comparisons with experiments. This allows for an easy construction of amplitudes for specific processes. In [42] the helicity structure of small-$|t|$ proton-proton elastic scattering was considered in three models for the pomeron: tensor, vector, and scalar. Only the tensor ansatz for the pomeron was found to be compatible with the high-energy experiment on polarized $pp$ elastic scattering [43]. In [44] the authors, using combinations of two tensor-type pomerons (a soft one and a hard one) and the $\mathbb{R}_+$-reggeon exchange, successfully described low-$x$ deep-inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering and photoproduction.

Applications of the tensor-pomeron and vector-odderon ansatz were given for photoproduction of pion pairs in [33] and for a number of central-exclusive-production (CEP) reactions in proton-proton collisions in [45–53]. Also contributions from the subleading exchanges, $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathbb{R}_-$, were discussed in these works. As an example, for the $pp \to pppp$ reaction [50] the contributions involving the odderon are expected to be small since its coupling to the proton is very small. We have predicted asymmetries in the (pseudo)rapidity distributions of the centrally produced antiproton and proton. The asymmetry is caused by interference effects of the dominant $(P, P)$ with the subdominant $(\bar{O} + \mathbb{R}_-, \bar{P} + \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $(\bar{P} + \mathbb{R}_+, O + \mathbb{R}_-)$ exchanges. We find for the odderon only very small effects, roughly a factor 10 smaller than the effects due to reggeons.

In this paper we consider the possibility of observing odderon exchange in the $pp \to pp\phi$, $pp \to pp(\phi \to K^+K^-)$, and $pp \to pp(\phi \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ reactions in the light of our recent analysis of the $pp \to pp\phi\phi$ reaction [52]. In the diffractive production of $\phi$ meson pairs it is possible to have pomeron-pomeron fusion with intermediate $\hat{t}/\hat{u}$-channel odderon exchange. Thus, the $pp \to pp\phi\phi$ reaction is a good candidate for the odderon-exchange searches, as it does not involve the coupling of the odderon to the proton. By confronting our model results, including the odderon, the reggeized $\phi$ exchange, and the $f_2(2340)$ resonance exchange contributions, with the WA102 data from [54] we derived an upper limit for the $PO\phi$ coupling. Taking into account typical kinematic cuts for LHC experiments in the $pp \to pp\phi\phi \to ppK^+K^-K^+K^-$ reaction we have found that the odderon exchange contribution should be distinguishable from other contributions for large rapidity distance between the outgoing $\phi$ mesons and in the region of large four-kaon invariant masses. At least, it should be possible to derive an upper limit on the odderon contribution in this reaction.

Here we will try to understand the $pp \to pp\phi$ reaction at relatively low center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 29.1$ GeV by comparing our model results with the WA102 experimental data from [55]. We shall calculate the photoproduction mechanism. For this purpose we have to consider also low-energy photon-proton collisions in the $\gamma p \to \phi p$ reaction where the corresponding mechanism is not well established yet; see, e.g., [56–65]. The amplitude for $\gamma p \to \phi p$ cannot be realised by the $C = -1$ odderon exchange. Therefore, the corresponding results of [65] which include the odderon contribution cannot correspond to reality. In addition to the $\gamma P$-fusion processes we shall estimate also subleading contributions, e.g. the $\gamma$-pseudoscalar-meson-fusion, the $\phi P$-fusion, the $\omega P$-fusion, the $\omega f_{2R}$-fusion, and the $\rho \pi^0$-fusion, to determine their role in the $pp \to pp\phi$ reaction. Our aim is to see how much room is left for the $OP$-fusion which is the main object of our
Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we consider the reaction \( pp \rightarrow pp(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) \). Section III deals with \( \mu^+\mu^- \) production. For both reactions we give analytic expressions for the resonant amplitudes. Sec. IV contains the comparison of our results for the \( pp \rightarrow pp\phi \) reaction with the WA102 data. We discuss the role of different contributions such as \( \gamma P, OP, \phi P, \omega P, \) and \( \omega f_{2R} \) fusion processes. Then we turn to high energies and show numerical results for total and differential cross sections calculated with typical experimental cuts for the LHC experiments. We discuss our predictions for the \( K^+K^- \) channel for \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \). In addition, we present our predictions for the \( \mu^+\mu^- \) production also at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \) which is currently under analysis by the LHCb Collaboration. We briefly discuss and/or provide references to relevant works for the continuum contributions. Sec. V presents our conclusions and further prospects. In Appendices A and B we discuss useful relations and properties concerning the photoproduction of \( \omega \) and \( \phi \) mesons. In Appendix C we give the definition of the Collins-Soper (CS) frame used in our paper.

In our paper we denote by \( e > 0 \) the proton charge. We use the \( \gamma \)-matrix conventions of Bjorken and Drell [66]. The totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol \( \epsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} \) is used with the normalisation \( \epsilon_{0123} = 1 \).

II. THE \( pp \rightarrow pp\phi \rightarrow ppK^+K^- \) REACTION

Here we discuss the reaction

\[
p(p_a, \lambda_a) + p(p_b, \lambda_b) \rightarrow p(p_1, \lambda_1) + K^+(p_3) + K^-(p_4) + p(p_2, \lambda_2), \tag{2.1}
\]

where \( p_{a,b}, p_{1,2} \) and \( \lambda_{a,b}, \lambda_{1,2} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \) denote the four-momenta and helicities of the protons and \( p_{3,4} \) denote the four-momenta of the \( K \) mesons, respectively.

The full amplitude of the reaction (2.1) is a sum of the continuum amplitude and the amplitudes through the \( s \)-channel resonances as was discussed in detail in [51]. Here we focus on the limited dikaon invariant mass region i.e., the \( \phi \equiv \phi(1020) \) resonance region, \( 1.01 \text{ GeV} < M_{K^+K^-} < 1.03 \text{ GeV} \). \( \tag{2.2} \)

---

**FIG. 1:** The Born-level diagrams for central exclusive \( \phi \)-meson photoproduction in proton-proton collisions with the subsequent decay \( \phi \rightarrow K^+K^- \): (a) photon-pomeron fusion; (b) pomeron-photon fusion.
That is, we consider the reaction
\[
p(p_a, \lambda_a) + p(p_b, \lambda_b) \rightarrow p(p_1, \lambda_1) + [\phi(p_{34}) \rightarrow K^+(p_3) + K^-(p_4)] + p(p_2, \lambda_2) . \tag{2.3}
\]

The kinematic variables are
\[
  p_{34} = p_3 + p_4, \quad q_1 = p_a - p_1, \quad q_2 = p_b - p_2, \\
  s = (p_a + p_b)^2 = (p_1 + p_2 + p_{34})^2, \\
  t_1 = q_1^2, \quad t_2 = q_2^2, \\
  s_1 = (p_1 + p_{34})^2, \quad s_2 = (p_2 + p_{34})^2. \tag{2.4}
\]

For high energies and central \(\phi\) production we expect the process (2.3) to be dominated by diffractive scattering. The corresponding diagrams are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. That is, we consider the fusion processes \(\gamma P \rightarrow \phi\) and \(OP \rightarrow \phi\). For the first process all couplings are, in essence, known. For the odderon-exchange process we shall use the ansätze from [41] and we shall try to get information on the odderon parameters and couplings from the reaction (2.3). The amplitude for (2.3) gets the following contributions from these diagrams
\[
  (1) \mathcal{M}_{pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-}^{(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-)} = \mathcal{M}_{pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-}^{(\gamma P)} + \mathcal{M}_{pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-}^{(P\gamma)}, \\
  (2) \mathcal{M}_{pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-}^{(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-)} = \mathcal{M}_{pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-}^{(OP)} + \mathcal{M}_{pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-}^{(PO)} . \tag{2.5}
\]

To give the full physical amplitude, for instance, for the \(pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-\) process (2.1) we should include absorptive corrections to the Born amplitudes. For the details how to include the \(pp\)-rescattering corrections in the eikonal approximation for the four-body reaction see e.g. Sec. 3.3 of [46] and [67].

The measurement of forward protons would be useful to better understand absorption effects. The GenEx Monte Carlo generator [68, 69] could be used in this context. We refer the reader to [70] where a first calculation of four-pion continuum production in the \(pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\) reaction with the help of the GenEx code was performed.
A. $\gamma$-$P$ fusion

The Born-level amplitude for the $\gamma$-$P$ exchange, see diagram (a) in Fig. [1], reads

$$\mathcal{M}^{(\gamma P)}_{pp\rightarrow ppK^{+}K^{-}} = (-i)\bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1)i\Gamma_{\mu}^{(\gamma pp)}(p_1, p_a)u(p_a, \lambda_a) \times i\Delta^{(\gamma)}_{\mu}(q_1)i\Gamma_{c\nu}^{(\gamma \rightarrow \phi)}(q_1)i\Delta^{(\phi)}_{\nu}(q_1)i\Gamma_{p2p1a\beta}^{(Ppp)}(p_{34}, q_1)i\Delta^{(\phi)}_{\rho2\kappa}(p_{34})i\Gamma_{\kappa}^{(PKK)}(p_3, p_4) \times i\Delta^{(P)}_{\alpha\beta, \delta\eta}(s_2, t_2)\bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2)i\Gamma_{\delta\eta}^{(Ppp)}(p_2, p_b)u(p_b, \lambda_b).$$

(2.7)

The $\gamma pp$ vertex and the photon propagator are given in [41] by formulas (3.26) and (3.1), respectively. The $\gamma \rightarrow \phi$ transition is made here through the vector-meson-dominance (VMD) model; see (3.23)–(3.25) of [41]. $\Delta^{(P)}$ and $\Gamma^{(Ppp)}_{\mu}$ denote the effective propagator and proton vertex function, respectively, for the tensorial pomeron. The corresponding expressions, as given in Sec. 3 of [41], are as follows

$$i\Delta^{(P)}_{\mu\nu, k\lambda}(s, t) = \frac{1}{4s} \left( g_{\mu\kappa}g_{\nu\lambda} + g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\kappa} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}g_{k\lambda} \right) (-isa_{P}^{(t)})^{-1},$$

(2.8)

$$i\Gamma^{(Ppp)}_{\mu\nu}(p', p) = -i3\beta_{PNN}F_1(t) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \gamma_{\mu}(p' + p)v + \gamma_{\nu}(p' + p)_{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\nu\mu}(p' + p) \right] \right\},$$

(2.9)

where $t = (p' - p)^2$ and $\beta_{PNN} = 1.87$ GeV$^{-1}$. For simplicity we use for the pomeronnucleon coupling the electromagnetic Dirac form factor $F_1(t)$ of the proton. The pomeron trajectory $a_{P}(t)$ is assumed to be of standard linear form, see e.g. [71,72],

$$\begin{align*}
a_{P}(t) &= a_{P}(0) + a'_{P}t, \quad (2.10) \\
a_{P}(0) &= 1.0808, \quad a'_{P} = 0.25 \text{ GeV}^{-2}. \quad (2.11)
\end{align*}$$

Our ansatz for the $P\phi\phi$ vertex follows the one for the $P\rho\rho$ in (3.47) of [41] with the replacements $a_{P\rho\rho} \rightarrow a_{P\phi\phi}$ and $b_{P\rho\rho} \rightarrow b_{P\phi\phi}$. This was already used in Sec. IV B of [51]. The $P\phi\phi$ vertex function is taken with the same Lorentz structure as for the $f_2\gamma\gamma$ coupling defined in (3.39) of [41]. With $k', \mu$ and $k, \nu$ the momentum and vector index of the outgoing and incoming $\phi$, respectively, and $k\lambda$ the pomeron indices the $P\phi\phi$ vertex reads

$$i\Gamma^{(P\phi\phi)}_{\mu\nu, k\lambda}(k', k) = iF_M((k' - k)^2) \bar{\tilde{F}}^{(\phi)}(k^2) \tilde{F}^{(\phi)}(k^2) \times \left[ 2a_{P\phi\phi} \Gamma^{(0)}_{\mu\nu, k\lambda}(k', -k) - b_{P\phi\phi} \Gamma^{(2)}_{\mu\nu, k\lambda}(k', -k) \right],$$

(2.12)

with form factors $F_M$ and $\tilde{F}^{(\phi)}$ and two rank-four tensor functions,

$$\Gamma^{(0)}_{\mu\nu, k\lambda}(k_1, k_2) = \left[ (k_1 \cdot k_2)g_{\mu\nu} - k_2\mu k_1\nu \right] \left[ k_1k_2k_{\lambda\lambda} + k_2k_1k_{\mu\mu} - \frac{1}{2}(k_1 \cdot k_2)g_{k\lambda\lambda} \right],$$

(2.13)

$$\Gamma^{(2)}_{\mu\nu, k\lambda}(k_1, k_2) = (k_1 \cdot k_2)\left( g_{\mu\kappa}g_{\nu\lambda} + g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\kappa} \right) + g_{\mu\nu}(k_1k_2k_{\lambda\lambda} + k_2k_1k_{\mu\mu}) - k_1k_2g_{\mu\kappa} - k_1k_2g_{\nu\lambda} - k_2k_1g_{\mu\lambda} - k_2k_1g_{\mu\kappa}.$$

(2.14)

For details see Eqs. (3.18)–(3.22) of [41]. In (2.12) the coupling parameters $a_{P\phi\phi}$ and $b_{P\phi\phi}$ have dimensions GeV$^{-3}$ and GeV$^{-1}$, respectively. In [51] we have fixed the coupling parameters...
parameters of the tensor pomeron to the $\phi$ meson based on the HERA experimental data for the $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$ reaction [73, 74]. However, the $\omega$-$\phi$ mixing effect was not taken into account there. In the calculation here we include the $\omega$-$\phi$ mixing and we take the coupling parameters found in Appendix B.

The full form of the vector-meson propagator is given by (3.2) of [41]. Using the properties of the tensorial functions (2.13) and (2.14), see (3.18)–(3.22) of [41], we can make for the $\phi$-meson propagator the following replacement

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}(k) \rightarrow -g_{\mu\nu} \Delta^{(\phi)}_{\nu}(k^2),$$ (2.15)

where we take the simple Breit-Wigner expression, as discussed in [51],

$$\Delta^{(\phi)}_{\nu}(s) = \frac{1}{s - m_{\phi}^2 + i\sqrt{s} \Gamma(\phi)},$$ (2.16)

$$\Gamma(\phi)(s) = \Gamma_{\phi} \left( \frac{s - 4m_{K}^2}{m_{\phi}^2 - 4m_{K}^2} \right)^{3/2} \frac{m_{\phi}^2}{s} \theta(s - 4m_{K}^2).$$ (2.17)

For the $\phiKK$ vertex we have from (4.24)–(4.26) of [51]

$$i\Gamma^{(\phiKK)}_{\kappa}(p, p_4) = -\frac{i}{2} g_{\phi K-K}(p_3, p_4) F^{(\phiKK)}(p_3 p_4),$$ (2.18)

with $g_{\phi K-K} = 8.92$ and $F^{(\phiKK)}$ a form factor.

In the hadronic vertices we take into account corresponding form factors. We insert in the $P\phi\phi$ vertex (2.12) the form factor $F_{M}(k^2)$ to take into account the extended nature of $\phi$ mesons and $F^{(\phi)}(k^2)$ since we are dealing with two off-shell $\phi$ mesons; see (4.27) of [51] and (B.85) of [33]. Convenient forms are

$$F_{M}(k^2) = \frac{1}{1 - k^2/\Lambda_{0,\Pi\phi}^2},$$ (2.19)

$$F^{(\phi)}(k^2) = \left[ 1 + \frac{k^2 (k^2 - m_{\phi}^2)}{\Lambda_{\phi}^4} \right]^{-\tilde{n}_{\phi}}, \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_{\phi} = 2 \text{ GeV}, \quad \tilde{n}_{\phi} = 0.5.$$ (2.20)

We have $F^{(\phi)}(0) = F^{(\phi)}(m_{\phi}) = 1$. In (2.19) we take $\Lambda_{0,\Pi\phi}^2 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ (set A) or $\Lambda_{0,\Pi\phi}^2 = 4.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ (set B); see Fig. 32 of Appendix B. In practical calculations we include also in the $\phiKK$ vertex the form factor [see (4.28) of [51]]

$$F^{(\phiKK)}(k^2) = \exp \left( -\frac{(k^2 - m_{\phi}^2)^2}{\Lambda_{\phi}^4} \right), \quad \Lambda_{\phi} = 1 \text{ GeV}.$$ (2.21)
Inserting all this in (2.7) we can write the amplitude for the $\gamma\pi$-exchange as follows

$$
\mathcal{M}_{pp\rightarrow ppK^+K^-}^{(\gamma\pi)} = -i e^2 \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1) \left[ \gamma^a F_1(t_1) + \frac{i a^a a'}{2m_p} (p_1 - p_a) a' F_2(t_1) \right] u(p_a, \lambda_a)
\times \frac{1}{t_1} \left( -m^2_{\phi} \right) \frac{1}{t_1 - m^2_{\phi}} \gamma_{\pi} \Delta_+^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2) \frac{(\delta p_{KK}^+) F(p_{34})}{2} (p_3 - p_4) \beta F^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2)
\times \left[ 2a_\pi \phi \Gamma^{(0)}_{\beta\alpha\lambda}(p_{34}, -q_1) - b_\pi \phi \Gamma^{(2)}_{\beta\alpha\lambda}(p_{34}, -q_1) \right] \delta_+^{(\phi)}(t_1) \delta^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2) F(t_2)
\times \frac{1}{2s_2} \left( -is_{2} a'_{\pi} \right)^{a_\pi(t_2)-1} 3b_{\pi NN} F_1(t_2) \left[ \gamma^\lambda(p_2 + p_b) \right] u(p_b, \lambda_b) \tag{2.22}
$$

Here $\gamma_\phi$ is the $\gamma$-$\phi$ coupling constant; see (3.23)–(3.25) of [41].

For the $\pi\gamma$-exchange we have the same structure as for the above amplitude with

$$
(p(a, \lambda_a), p(p_1, \lambda_1)) \leftrightarrow (p(b, \lambda_b), p(p_2, \lambda_2)), t_1 \leftrightarrow t_2, q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2, s_1 \leftrightarrow s_2. \tag{2.23}
$$

In the following we shall also consider the single $\phi$ CEP in $pp$ collisions

$$
p(a, \lambda_a) + p(b, \lambda_b) \rightarrow p(p_1, \lambda_1) + \phi(p_{34}, \epsilon_{(\phi)}) + p(p_2, \lambda_2). \tag{2.24}
$$

In (2.24) $\epsilon_{(\phi)}$ denotes the polarisation vector of the $\phi$ and we have $p_{34}^2 = m_{\phi}^2$. The amplitude for the $\gamma\pi$ fusion contribution to the reaction (2.24) is obtained from (2.7) by making the replacement

$$
i \Delta^{(\phi)}(p_{34}) i \Gamma^{(\phi)}_k(p_{34}, p_4) \rightarrow \epsilon_{(\phi)}^* p_2. \tag{2.25}
$$

The same replacement holds for the $\pi\gamma$ fusion contribution. Analogous replacements hold for all other diagrams when going from the reaction (2.3) to (2.24).

### B. O-π fusion

The amplitude for the diffractive production of the $\phi(1020)$ via odderon-pomeron fusion, see diagram (a) in Fig. 2, can be written as

$$
\mathcal{M}_{pp\rightarrow ppK^+K^-}^{(O\pi)} = (-i) \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1) i \Gamma^{(O\pi)}_{\mu}(p_1, p_\mu) u(p_\mu, \lambda_\mu)
\times i \Delta^{(O)}(s_1, t_1) i \Gamma^{(O\pi\phi)}_{\rho_1 \rho_2 \alpha\beta}(q_1, p_{34}) i \Delta^{(\phi)}(p_{34}) i \Gamma^{(\phi\pi\pi)}_{\delta\eta}(p_{34}, p_4)
\times i \Delta^{(O)}(s_2, t_2) u(p_2, \lambda_2) i \Gamma^{(O\pi\pi)}_{\delta\eta}(p_2, p_b) u(p_b, \lambda_b). \tag{2.26}
$$

Our ansatz for the $C = -1$ odderon follows (3.16), (3.17) and (3.68), (3.69) of [41]:

$$
i \Delta^{(O)}_{\mu
u}(s, t) = -ig_{\mu\nu} \frac{H_0}{M_0} (-isa'O)^{a_\Omega(t)-1}, \tag{2.27}
$$

$$
i \Gamma^{(O\pi\pi)}_{\mu}(p', p) = -i3b_{O\pi\pi} M_0 F_1((p' - p)^2) \gamma_\mu, \tag{2.28}
$$
where $\eta_0$ is a parameter with value $\eta_0 = \pm 1$; $M_0 = 1$ GeV is inserted for dimensional reasons; $\alpha_O(t)$ is the odderon trajectory, assumed to be linear in $t$:

$$\alpha_O(t) = \alpha_O(0) + \alpha'_O t.$$  \hfill (2.29)

The odderon parameters are not yet known from experiment. In our calculations we shall choose as default values

$$\alpha_O(0) = 1.05, \quad \alpha'_O = 0.25 \text{ GeV}^{-2}. \hfill (2.30)$$

The coupling of the odderon to the proton, $\beta_{Opp}$, in (2.28) has dimension GeV$^{-1}$. For our study here we shall assume

$$\beta_{Opp} = 0.1 \beta_{PNN} \simeq 0.18 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \hfill (2.31)$$

which is not excluded by the data of small-$t$ proton-proton high-energy elastic scattering from the TOTEM experiment [4, 5].

For the $PO\phi$ vertex we use an ansatz analogous to the $P\phi\phi$ vertex; see (3.48)–(3.50) of [52]. We get then with $(-q_1, \rho_1)$ and $(p_{34}, \rho_2)$ the outgoing oriented momenta and the vector indices of the odderon and the $\phi$ meson, respectively, and $\alpha\beta$ the pomeron indices,

$$i \Gamma_{\rho\gamma\alpha\beta}^{(PO\phi)}(-q_1, p_{34}) = i \left[ 2a_{PO\phi} \Gamma_{\rho\gamma\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(-q_1, p_{34}) - b_{PO\phi} \Gamma_{\rho\gamma\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(-q_1, p_{34}) \right]$$

$$\times F^{(PO\phi)}((p_{34} - q_1)^2, q_1^2, p_{34}^2)$$

$$= i \left[ 2a_{PO\phi} \Gamma_{\rho\gamma\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(p_{34}, -q_1) - b_{PO\phi} \Gamma_{\rho\gamma\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(p_{34}, -q_1) \right]$$

$$\times F^{(PO\phi)}(q_1^2, q_1^2, p_{34}^2). \hfill (2.32)$$

Here we use the relations (3.20) of [41] and as in (3.49) of [52] we take the factorised form for the $PO\phi$ form factor

$$F^{(PO\phi)}(q_1^2, q_1^2, p_{34}^2) = \tilde{F}_M(q_1^2) \tilde{F}_M(q_1^2) F^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2) \hfill (2.33)$$

with the form factors $\tilde{F}_M(q_1^2)$ as in (2.19)\footnote{Here we assume that $\tilde{F}_M(q_1^2)$ and $\tilde{F}_M(q_2^2)$ have the same form (2.19) with the same $\Lambda_{0,PO\phi}^2$ parameter. In principle, we could take different form factors with different $\Lambda_{0,PO\phi}^2$ parameters.}, but with $\Lambda_{0,P\phi\phi}^2$ replaced by $\Lambda_{0,PO\phi}^2$ and $F^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2) = F^{(\phi KK)}(p_{34}^2)$ (2.21), respectively. The coupling parameters $a_{PO\phi}, b_{PO\phi}$ in (2.32) and the cut-off parameter $\Lambda_{0,PO\phi}^2$ in the form factor $\tilde{F}_M(q_1^2)$ (2.33) could be adjusted to experimental data; see [4, 5]–[4, 7] in Sec. IV.A below.

The amplitude for the $OP$-exchange can now be written as

$$M_{pp \to ppK^+K^-}^{(OP)} = -i 3 \beta_{Opp} M_0 F_1(t_1) \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1) \gamma^\alpha u(p_a, \lambda_a)$$

$$\times \frac{\eta_0}{M_0} (-is_1 \alpha'_O) a_0(t_1) \Delta_t^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2) \frac{g_{\phi KK}}{2} (p_3 - p_4) \beta_{KK}^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2)$$

$$\times \left[ 2a_{PO\phi} \Gamma_{\rho\gamma\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(p_{34}, -q_1) - b_{PO\phi} \Gamma_{\rho\gamma\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(p_{34}, -q_1) \right] F^{(PO\phi)}(q_1^2, q_1^2, p_{34}^2)$$

$$\times \left( -is_2 \alpha'_P \right) \alpha_{PP}(t_2) 3 \beta_{PNN} F_1(t_2) \bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2) \left[ \gamma^\lambda (p_2 + p_b) \right] u(p_b, \lambda_b). \hfill (2.34)$$

For the $PO$-exchange we have the same structure as for the above amplitude with the replacements (2.23).
FIG. 3: The Born-level diagrams for central-exclusive production of $\phi$ decaying to $K^+K^-$ in proton-proton collisions with pseudoscalar meson $\tilde{M}$ exchange: (a) $\gamma-\tilde{M}$ fusion; (b) $\tilde{M}-\gamma$ fusion.

C. Subleading contributions

At the relatively low c.m. energy of the WA102 experiment, $\sqrt{s} = 29.1$ GeV, we have to include also subleading contributions with meson exchanges. In this section we discuss the following processes contributing to $pp \rightarrow pp\phi$. The fusion processes $\gamma-\pi^0, \gamma-\eta, \gamma-\eta'$, and $\gamma-f_0, \gamma-a_0$, and fusion processes involving vector mesons $\phi-P, \omega-P, \omega-f_{2R}, \rho-\pi^0, \omega-\eta, \omega-\eta'$ can have also $\omega-f_0$ and $\omega-f'_2$ contributions. But these contributions are expected to be very small since the $\phi$ is nearly a pure $s\bar{s}$ state, the $\omega$ nearly a pure $u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}$ state. In the following we shall, therefore, neglect such contributions.

1. $\gamma$-pseudoscalar-meson contributions

First we consider processes with pseudoscalar meson $\tilde{M} = \pi^0, \eta, \eta'$ exchanges. The generic diagrams for these contributions are shown in Fig. 3(a), (b). We have for the total $\gamma$-pseudoscalar-meson-exchange contribution

$$\begin{align*}
(3) \mathcal{M}^{(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-)}_{pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-} &= \sum_{\tilde{M}=\pi^0, \eta, \eta'} \left( \mathcal{M}^{(\gamma \tilde{M})}_{pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-} + \mathcal{M}^{(\tilde{M}\gamma)}_{pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-} \right) .
\end{align*}$$

The $\gamma-\tilde{M}$ amplitude can be written as

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}^{(\gamma \tilde{M})}_{pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-} &= (-i) \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1) i\Gamma^{(\gamma pp)}_\mu(p_1, p_a) u(p_a, \lambda_a) \\
&\times i\Delta(\gamma) \mu \rho_1(q_1) i\Gamma^{(\phi \tilde{M})}_{\rho_2 p_1}(p_{34}, q_1) i\Delta(\phi) \rho_2 \kappa(p_{34}) i\Gamma^{(\phi KK)}(p_3, p_4) \\
&\times i\Delta(\tilde{M})(t_2) \bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2) i\Gamma^{(\tilde{M}pp)}(p_2, p_b) u(p_b, \lambda_b) .
\end{align*}$$

For the $\tilde{M}$-proton vertex we have (see (3.4) of [49])

$$i\Gamma^{(\tilde{M}pp)}(p', p) = -\gamma s_{\tilde{M}pp} F^{(\tilde{M}pp)} ((p' - p)^2) .$$

We take $s_{\pi pp} = \sqrt{4\pi \times 14.0}$, $s_{\eta pp} = \sqrt{4\pi \times 0.99}$; see Eqs. (28) and (29) of [58].

An effective Lagrangian for the $\phi\tilde{M}$ coupling is given in (22) of [58]

$$\mathcal{L}'_{\phi\tilde{M}} = \frac{e s_{\phi\tilde{M}}}{m_\phi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left( \partial_\mu \phi \right) \left( \partial_\alpha A_\beta \right) \tilde{M}$$
with $A_\beta$ the photon field and $g_{\phi\gamma\tilde{M}}$ a dimensionless coupling constant. From this we get the $\phi\gamma\tilde{M}$ vertex, including a form factor, as follows

\[
\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{(\phi\gamma\tilde{M})}(p_{34}, q_1) = -ie\frac{g_{\phi\gamma\tilde{M}}}{m_\phi} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_1^\rho q_1^\sigma F(\phi\gamma\tilde{M})(p_{34}, q_1^2, (p_{34} - q_1)^2). \tag{2.39}
\]

We use a factorised ansatz for the $\phi\gamma\tilde{M}$ form factor

\[
F(\phi\gamma\tilde{M})(p_{34}^2, q_1^2, (p_{34} - q_1)^2) = F(\gamma)(q_1^2) F(\phi)(p_{34}^2) F(\phi\gamma\tilde{M})((p_{34} - q_1)^2). \tag{2.40}
\]

Based on considerations of the vector-meson-dominance model (VMD) we write the $\tilde{F}(\gamma)$ form factor as

\[
\tilde{F}(\gamma)(q_1^2) = \frac{m_V^2}{m_V^2 - q_1^2} \tilde{F}(V)(q_1^2) \tag{2.41}
\]

with $V = \rho^0$ for $\tilde{M} = \pi^0$ and $V = \omega$ for $\tilde{M} = \eta, \eta'$. For the form factors $\tilde{F}(V)$ we choose the form as for $\tilde{F}(\phi)$ in (2.20) replacing $\phi$ by $V = \rho^0, \omega$.

The effective coupling constant $g_{\phi\gamma\tilde{M}}$ is related to the decay width of $\phi \to \gamma\tilde{M}$, see (31) of [58],

\[
\Gamma(\phi \to \gamma\tilde{M}) = \frac{1}{24} \frac{(m_\phi^2 - m_{\tilde{M}}^2)^3}{m_\phi^4} |g_{\phi\gamma\tilde{M}}|^2. \tag{2.42}
\]

Using the most recent values from [75], and taking the negative signs as in [58], we have found $g_{\phi\gamma\pi^0} = -0.137$, $g_{\phi\gamma\eta} = -0.705$, and $|g_{\phi\gamma\eta'}| = 0.726$. Note that $|g_{\phi\gamma\eta'}| > |g_{\phi\gamma\eta}|$.

But the contribution of $\eta'$ exchange is suppressed relative to the $\eta$ exchange because of the heavier mass occurring in the propagator and of the smaller value of $g_{\eta'pp} \approx g_{\eta pp}/2$, where we follow [58]. However, we note that there is no consensus on this latter relation in the literature. In [76] $g_{\eta'pp} \approx 6.1$ and $g_{\eta pp} = 6.14$ are given.

We follow [58, 59, 63] and use monopole ansätze for the form factors $F(\tilde{M}pp)$ (2.37) and $F(\phi\gamma\tilde{M})$ (2.40)

\[
F(\tilde{M}pp)(t) = \frac{\Lambda_{MNN}^2 - m_{\tilde{M}}^2}{\Lambda_{MNN}^2 - t}, \tag{2.43}
\]

\[
F(\phi\gamma\tilde{M})(t) = \frac{\Lambda_{\phi\gamma\tilde{M}}^2 - m_{\tilde{M}}^2}{\Lambda_{\phi\gamma\tilde{M}}^2 - t}. \tag{2.44}
\]
The cut-off parameters $\Lambda_{\pi NN} = 0.7$ GeV, $\Lambda_{\phi\gamma\pi} = 0.77$ GeV, $\Lambda_{\eta NN} = 1.0$ GeV, $\Lambda_{\phi\gamma\eta} = 0.9$ GeV are taken from [58].

To examine uncertainties of the photoproduction contribution in the $pp \to pp\phi$ reaction we intend to show also the result with $\Lambda_{\bar{M}NN} = 1.2$ GeV and $\Lambda_{\phi\gamma\bar{M}} = 1.2$ GeV in (2.43) and (2.44), respectively, which are slightly different from the values given in [58]. This choice of parameters was used in [62]; see Sec. II B there.

In Appendix B we discuss the $\gamma p \to \phi p$ reaction. There we compare our model calculations for different parameter sets with the experimental data.

Inserting (2.37)–(2.44) in (2.36) we can write the amplitude for the $\gamma \bar{M}$ exchange as follows

$$\mathcal{M}_{pp \to ppK^-}^{(\gamma\bar{M})} = i e^2 \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1) \left[ \gamma^\alpha F_1(t_1) + \frac{i}{2m_p} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} (p_1 - p_\alpha) F_2(t_1) \right] u(p_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha) \times \frac{1}{t_1} \frac{g_{\gamma\bar{M}}}{m_\phi} \epsilon_{\beta\alpha\sigma} p_{34} \eta_1 \bar{F}(\gamma\bar{M})(p_{34}^2, q_1^2, q_2^2) \times \Delta_\Gamma(p_{34}^\beta) \frac{g_{\phi\bar{K}^-\bar{M}}}{2} (p_3 - p_4)^\beta F(\phi\bar{K}K)(p_{34}^2) \times \frac{1}{t_2 - m_{\bar{M}}^2} g_{\bar{M}pp} F(\bar{M}pp)(t_2) \bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2) \gamma_5 u(p_\beta, \lambda_\beta).$$

The amplitude $\mathcal{M}_{pp \to ppK^-}^{(\bar{M}\bar{M})}$ is obtained from (2.45) with the replacements (2.23).

2. $\gamma$-scalar-meson contributions

Next we turn to the amplitudes for $\phi$ production through the fusion of $\gamma$ with scalar mesons $S = f_0(500), f_0(980)$, and $a_0(980)$. Their contribution is

$$(4) \mathcal{M}_{pp \to ppK^-}^{(\phi\bar{K}^-\bar{M})} = \sum_{S = f_0(500), f_0(980), a_0(980)} \left( \mathcal{M}_{pp \to ppK^-}^{(\gamma S)} + \mathcal{M}_{pp \to ppK^-}^{(\phi S)} \right).$$

The generic diagrams for these contributions are as in Fig. 3 with $\bar{M}$ replaced by $S$. The same applies to the analytic expressions. We get $\mathcal{M}^{(\gamma S)}$ from $\mathcal{M}^{(\gamma \bar{M})}$ in (2.36) replacing $\Gamma_{\rho_3\rho_1}^{(\gamma \bar{M})}, \Delta^{(\bar{M})}$, and $\Gamma_{\rho_3\rho_1}^{(\phi \gamma S)}$ by $\Gamma_{\rho_3\rho_1}^{(\phi \gamma S)}$, $\Delta^{(S)}$, and $\Gamma^{(Spp)}$, respectively. We use the following expressions for the $S$-proton and for the $\phi\gamma S$ effective coupling Lagrangians, see (34) and (35), respectively, of [58],

$$\mathcal{L}_{Spp} = \bar{g}_{Spp} \bar{p} p S,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi\gamma S} = \frac{e g_{\phi\gamma S}}{m_\phi} (\partial^\alpha \phi^\beta) (\partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha) S.$$

From these we get the vertices including form factors, as follows, where the momentum flow and the indices are chosen as for the $\bar{M}pp$ and $\phi\gamma \bar{M}$ vertices, respectively, see (2.37) and (2.39),

$$i \Gamma^{(Spp)}(p', p) = ig_{Spp} F^{(Spp)}((p' - p)^2),$$

$$i \Gamma^{(\phi\gamma S)}_{\mu\nu}(p_{34}, q_1) = -ie g_{\phi\gamma S} \frac{1}{m_\phi} \left[ q_1 \mu p_{34} - (p_{34} \cdot q_1) g_{\mu\nu} \right] \tilde{F}^{(\phi\gamma S)}(p_{34}^2, q_1^2, (p_{34} - q_1)^2).$$
FIG. 4: The Born-level diagrams for diffractive production of a φ meson via reggeon-pomeron fusion (a) and pomeron-reggeon fusion (b) with the subsequent decay φ → K⁺K⁻.

For the contributions of scalar exchanges we take the parameters found in Appendix C of [58]: \( g_{\gamma\gamma f_0(500)} = 0.047, \ g_{f_0(500)pp} = \sqrt{4\pi} \times 8.0, \ g_{\phi\gamma f_0(980)} = -1.81, \ g_{f_0(980)pp} = 0.56, \ g_{\phi\gamma a_0(980)} = -0.16, \ g_{a_0(980)pp} = 21.7 \). For \( f_0(500) \) the monopole form of the form factors as in (2.43) and (2.44) with \( M \) replaced by \( f_0(500) \) and \( \Lambda_{f_0(500)NN} = \Lambda_{\phi\gamma f_0(500)} = 2 \text{ GeV} \) is used. For the heavier mesons \( (f_0(980) \text{ and } a_0(980)) \) the following compact form is used [58]:

\[
F^{(S\bar{p}p)}(t)F^{(\phi\gamma S)}(t) = \frac{\Lambda_S^4}{\Lambda_S^4 + (t - m_S^2)^2}, \quad \Lambda_S = 0.6 \text{ GeV}.
\] (2.51)

The final expression for the \( \gamma S \)-exchange amplitude in (2.46) reads

\[
\mathcal{M}_{pp^\to ppK^+K^-}^{(\gamma S)} = e^2 \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1) \left[ \gamma^A F_1(t_1) + \frac{i}{2m_p} \sigma^{aa'}(p_1 - p_a)_{a'} F_2(t_1) \right] u(p_a, \lambda_a) \\
\times \frac{1}{t_1} \frac{g_{\phi\gamma S}}{m_\phi} \left[ q_1 \beta p_{34} \gamma_2 + (p_{34} \cdot q_1) g_{\beta_2} \right] f^{(\phi\gamma S)}(p_{34}^2, q_1^2, q_2^2) \\
\times \Delta^{(\phi)}(p_{34}) \frac{g_{\phi K^+K^-}}{2} (p_3 - p_4)^\beta F^{(\phiKK)}(p_{34}^2) \\
\times \frac{1}{t_2 - m_S^2} g_{S\bar{p}p} F^{(S\bar{p}p)}(t_2) \bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2) u(p_b, \lambda_b). \] (2.52)

For \( \mathcal{M}_{pp^\to ppK^+K^-}^{(S\gamma)} \) we have to make the replacements (2.23).

3. \( \phi\bar{p}p \) and \( \omega\bar{p}p \) contributions

Here we discuss two approaches, reggeized-vector-meson-exchange approach (I) and reggeon-exchange approach (II). For the second approach the corresponding diagrams are shown in Fig. 4.

First we consider the contributions through the vector mesons \( V = \phi \) and \( \omega \):

\[
(5) \mathcal{M}_{pp^\to ppK^+K^-}^{(\phi\to K^+K^-)} = \sum_{V = \phi, \omega} \left( \mathcal{M}_{pp^\to ppK^+K^-}^{(V\bar{p}p)} + \mathcal{M}_{pp^\to ppK^+K^-}^{(PV)} \right). \] (2.53)
The amplitude for the VIP-exchange can be written as
\[
\mathcal{M}_{pp\rightarrow ppK^+K^-}^{(VP)} = (-i)\bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1)i\Gamma_{\mu}^{(VP)}(p_1, p_a)u(p_a, \lambda_a)
\times i\Delta_T^{(V)}(t_1) \Delta_{\phi}^{(K)}(p_{34}^2) \frac{g_{\phi K^+K^-}}{2} (p_3 - p_4)^\beta F^{(\phi KK)}(p_{34}^2)
\times \left[2\alpha_{PV} \Gamma_{\rho\kappa\lambda}^{(0)}(p_{34} - q_1) - b_{PV} \Gamma_{\rho\kappa\lambda}^{(2)}(p_{34}, -q_1)\right] F_M(q_2^2) F^{(V)}(q_2^2) F^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2)
\times \frac{1}{2s_2} (-i s_2 a^s_A F_{\phi}^{(2)}(t_2))^{a^s_2 A} 3\beta_{PNN} F_1(t_2) \bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2) \left[\gamma^\nu(p_2 + p_b)^\lambda\right] u(p_b, \lambda_b).
\] (2.54)

The V-proton vertex is
\[
\Gamma_{\mu}^{(VP)}(p', p) = -ig_{VP} F^{(VP)}(t) \left[\gamma_\mu - i\frac{\kappa_V}{2m_p} \sigma_{\mu\nu}(p' - p)^\nu\right],
\] (2.55)

with the tensor-to-vector coupling ratio, \(\kappa_V = f_{VP}/g_{VP}\). Following \[76\] we assume \(\kappa_\phi = \kappa_\omega\) to be in the range \(\sim \pm 0.5\), \(g_{\phi pp} = -0.6\) and \(g_{\omega pp} = 9.0\); see also \[77\]. Thus, the tensor term in (2.55) is small and in the calculation we take the vectorial term only with \(g_{\phi pp} = -0.6\) and \(g_{\omega pp} = 8.65\). This latter value was determined in Sec. 6.3 of \[41\] and, as discussed there, we assume \(g_{\omega pp} = g_{\omega Kpp}\).

We also make the assumption that the \(t\)-dependence of the V-proton coupling can be parametrised in a simple exponential form
\[
F^{(VP)}(t) = \exp \left(\frac{t - m_V^2}{\Lambda_{VNN}^2}\right), \quad \Lambda_{VNN} = 1 \text{ GeV}.
\] (2.56)

This form factor is normalized to unity when the vector meson \(V\) is on its mass shell, i.e., when \(t = m_V^2\).

The amplitude for the VIP-exchange can now be written as
\[
\mathcal{M}_{pp\rightarrow ppK^+K^-}^{(VP)} = -ig_{VP} F^{(VP)}(t_1) \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1)\gamma^\alpha u(p_a, \lambda_a)
\times \Delta_T^{(V)}(t_1) \Delta_{\phi}^{(K)}(p_{34}^2) \frac{g_{\phi K^+K^-}}{2} (p_3 - p_4)^\beta F^{(\phi KK)}(p_{34}^2)
\times \left[2\alpha_{PV} \Gamma_{\rho\kappa\lambda}^{(0)}(p_{34} - q_1) - b_{PV} \Gamma_{\rho\kappa\lambda}^{(2)}(p_{34}, -q_1)\right] F_M(q_2^2) F^{(V)}(q_2^2) F^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2)
\times \frac{1}{2s_2} (-i s_2 a^s_A F_{\phi}^{(2)}(t_2))^{a^s_2 A} 3\beta_{PNN} F_1(t_2) \bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2) \left[\gamma^\nu(p_2 + p_b)^\lambda\right] u(p_b, \lambda_b).
\] (2.57)

For the \(\Pi\Phi\phi\) and \(\Pi\omega\phi\) coupling vertices and constants see the discussion in the Appendices \[A\] and \[B\].

For small values of \(s_1 = (p_1 + p_{34})^2\) the standard form of the vector-meson propagator factor \(\Delta_T^{(V)}(t_1)\) in (2.57) should be adequate; see (2.16) for \(V = \phi\). For higher values of \(s_1\) we must take into account the reggeization. We do this, following (3.21), (3.24) of \[52\], by making in the amplitude \(\mathcal{M}^{(VP)}\) (2.57) the replacement
\[
\Delta_T^{(V)}(t_1) \rightarrow \Delta_T^{(V)}(t_1) \left(\exp(i\phi(s_1)) s_1 a^s_A F_{\phi}^{(2)}(t_1)\right)^{a^s_2 A},
\] (2.58)

\[
\phi(s_1) = \frac{\pi}{2} \exp \left(\frac{s_{\text{thr}} - s_1}{s_{\text{thr}}}\right) - \frac{\pi}{2};
\] (2.59)
where \( s_{\text{thr}} \) is the lowest value of \( s_1 \) possible here:

\[
s_{\text{thr}} = (m_p + 2m_K)^2.
\]

(2.60)

Note, that in (2.58) we take \( s_1 \alpha'_V \) instead of \( s_1 / s_{\text{thr}} \) as in (3.21) of [52]. We assume for the Regge trajectories

\[
\alpha_V(t) = \alpha_V(0) + \alpha'_V t, \quad V = \phi, \omega,
\]

(2.61)

\[
\alpha_\phi(0) = 0.1, \quad \alpha'_\phi = 0.9 \text{ GeV}^{-2},
\]

(2.62)

\[
\alpha_\omega(0) = 0.5, \quad \alpha'_\omega = 0.9 \text{ GeV}^{-2};
\]

(2.63)

see Eq. (5.3.1) of [78].

Alternatively, we shall consider the exchange of the reggeons \( \phi_R \) and \( \omega_R \) instead of the mesons \( \phi \) and \( \omega \) as discussed above. We recall that \( C = -1 \) exchanges (\( \omega_R, \phi_R \)) are treated as effective vector exchanges in our model. In order to obtain the \( \omega_R \)P-exchange amplitude we make in (2.54) the following replacements:

\[
\Gamma_{\mu}^{(Vpp)}(p_1, p_a) \to \Gamma_{\mu}^{(\omega_Rpp)}(p_1, p_a),
\]

(2.64)

\[
\Delta^{(V)}(q_1) \to \Delta^{(\omega_R)}(s_1, t_1),
\]

(2.65)

\[
\Gamma_{\rho_2\rho_1\alpha\beta}^{(PV\phi)}(p_{34}, q_1) \to \Gamma_{\rho_2\rho_1\alpha\beta}^{(\omega_R\phi)}(p_{34}, q_1).
\]

(2.66)

We take the corresponding terms (2.64) and (2.65) from (3.59)–(3.60) and (3.14)–(3.15) of [41], respectively. In (2.66) we use the relations (A13) and (B9) and we take the factorised form for the \( \omega_R \)P form factor

\[
F^{(\omega_R\phi)}(q_2^2, q_1^2, p_{34}^2) = F_M(q_2^2) F_M(q_1^2) F^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2)
\]

(2.67)

with \( F_M(q^2) \) as in (2.19) but with \( \Lambda^2_5 = 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and \( F^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2) = F^{(\phi KK)}(p_{34}^2) \); see (2.21). Then, the \( \omega_R \)P-exchange amplitude can be written as

\[
\mathcal{M}_{pp \to ppK^-K^+}^{(\omega_RP)} = i g_{\omega_Rpp} F_1(t_1) \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1) \gamma^\lambda u(p_a, \lambda_a)
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{M_-^2} \left( -is_1 \alpha'_\omega \Delta_T^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2) \frac{8s_1 + K^+ - K^-}{2} \right)(p_3 - p_4)^\beta F^{(\phi KK)}(p_{34}^2)
\]

\[
\times \left[ 2a_{\omega_R\rho_2\rho_1} \Gamma^{(0)}_{\rho_2\alpha\lambda}(p_{34}^- - q_1) - b_{\omega_R\rho_1} \Gamma^{(2)}_{\rho_2\alpha\lambda}(p_{34}^-, -q_1) \right] F^{(\omega_R\phi)}(q_2^2, q_1^2, p_{34}^2)
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{2s_2} \left( -is_2 \alpha'_\rho \Delta_T^{(\phi)}(t_2) \right)(t_2 - 1) \gamma^\lambda \left[ \gamma^\lambda (p_2 + p_b) \right] \bar{u}(p_b, \lambda_b) \cdot
\]

(2.68)

We use for the parameter \( M_- \) in the \( \omega_R \) propagator the value found in (3.14), (3.15) of [41]

\[
M_- = 1.41 \text{ GeV}.
\]

(2.69)

In a similar way we obtain the \( \phi_R \)P-exchange amplitude. We assume that \( g_{\phi_Rpp} = g_{fpp} \).

The \( \mathcal{M}^{(PV)} \) and \( \mathcal{M}^{(PV_R)} \) amplitudes are obtained from (2.57) and (2.68), respectively, with the replacements (2.23).

For the WA102 energy, \( \sqrt{s} = 29.1 \text{ GeV} \), also the secondary \( f_{2R} \) exchange may play an important role. Setting \( \sqrt{s_1} \approx \sqrt{s_2} \) (\( \sqrt{s_1} \) and \( \sqrt{s_2} \) are the energies of the subprocesses
\[ p( p_a) P( q_2) \rightarrow p( p_1) \phi( p_{34}) \text{ and } p( p_b) P( q_1) \rightarrow p( p_2) \phi( p_{34}), \text{ respectively} \]\n\text{and using the relation } s_1 s_2 \approx s m_\phi^2 \text{ we obtain } \sqrt{s_1} \approx \sqrt{s_2} \approx 5.4 \text{ GeV}. \text{Therefore, in interpreting the WA102 data it is necessary to take possible contributions from } \omega-f_{2R} \text{ and } \omega_R-f_{2R} \text{ exchanges into account, in addition to the } \omega-P \text{ and } \omega_R-P \text{ exchanges.}

In a way similar to (2.54)–(2.68) we can write the amplitudes for the \( \omega-f_{2R} \) and \( \omega_R-f_{2R} \) exchanges, since both, \( P \) and \( f_{2R} \) exchange, are treated as tensor exchanges in our model. The effective \( f_{2R} \)-proton vertex function and the \( f_{2R} \) propagator are given in [41] by Eqs. (3.49) and (3.12), respectively. As an example, the \( \omega_R f_{2R} \)-exchange amplitude can be written as in (2.68) with the following replacements:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_P(t) &\rightarrow \alpha_{R+}(t), \\
3\beta_{PNN} &\rightarrow \frac{g_{f_{2R}PP}}{M_0}, \\
\alpha_P\omega_R \phi &\rightarrow a_{f_{2R}\omega_R \phi}, \quad b_P\omega_R \phi \rightarrow b_{f_{2R}\omega_R \phi}, \\
F(P\omega_R \phi) &\rightarrow F(f_{2R}\omega_R \phi).
\end{align*}
\]

We take \( \alpha_{R+}(t) = \alpha_{R+}(0) + \alpha_{R+}'t, \alpha_{R+}(0) = 0.5475, \alpha_{R+}' = 0.9 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \text{ from (3.13) of [41]} \] and \( g_{f_{2R}PP} = 11.04, M_0 = 1 \text{ GeV} \text{ from (3.50) of [41]}. \) For the \( f_{2R}\omega_R \phi \) coupling parameters we assume that \( a_{f_{2R}\omega_R \phi} = a_{f_{2R}\omega_R \phi}, b_{f_{2R}\omega_R \phi} = b_{f_{2R}\omega_R \phi} \) and use the relations (B10). We assume that \( F(f_{2R}\omega_R \phi) = F(P\omega_R \phi) \) (2.67) and take \( \Delta_3^2 = 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \).

In addition, we could have also the \( \rho-a_{2R} \) and \( \rho_R-a_{2R} \) exchanges, but the couplings of \( \rho_R \) and \( a_{2R} \) to the protons are much smaller than those of \( \omega_R \) and \( f_{2R} \); see (3.62), (3.52), (3.60), and (3.50) of [41]. Therefore, we neglect the \( \rho-a_{2R} \) and \( \rho_R-a_{2R} \) terms in our considerations.

4. \( \rho-\pi^0 \) contribution

Finally, we consider the contribution from \( \rho\pi^0 \), respectively \( \rho_R\pi^0 \), fusion.

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(\rho\pi^0)}_{pp\rightarrow ppK^+K^-} = \mathcal{M}^{(\pi^0\rho)}_{pp\rightarrow ppK^+K^-} + \mathcal{M}^{(\pi^0\rho)}_{pp\rightarrow ppK^+K^-}.
\]

For the \( \rho-\pi^0 \) amplitude we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}^{(\rho\pi^0)}_{pp\rightarrow ppK^+K^-} &= (-i) \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1)i\Gamma_{\mu}^{(pp)}(p_1, p_a)u(p_a, \lambda_a) \\
&\quad \times i\Delta(\rho)\mu_1(q_1)\Gamma_{\rho_2\rho_1}^{(\rho\pi^0)}(p_{34}, q_1) i\Delta(\phi)\rho_2\chi(p_{34})i\Gamma_{\chi}^{(\phi KK)}(p_3, p_4) \\
&\quad \times i\Delta(\pi^0)(t_2) \bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2)i\Gamma^{(\pi^0pp)}(p_2, p_b)u(p_b, \lambda_b).
\end{align*}
\]

The \( \rho \)-proton vertex is given by (2.55) and (2.56) with \( V = \rho \). The \( \phi\rho\pi^0 \) vertex is as the \( \phi\gamma\bar{M} \) vertex in (2.39) with the replacements

\[
\gamma \rightarrow \rho, \quad \bar{M} \rightarrow \pi^0, \quad e\phi\gamma\bar{M} \rightarrow g_{\phi\rho\pi^0}.
\]

The proton-\( \pi^0 \) vertex is given in (2.37).
Then the $\rho-\pi^0$ amplitude can be written as

$$ M_{pp\rightarrow pp\rho\pi^0} = i g_{\rho pp} F^{(\rho pp)}(t_1) \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1) \left[ \gamma^a - i \frac{\kappa_\rho}{2m_\rho} \sigma^{a\sigma} (p_1 - p_4)_\sigma \right] u(p_4, \lambda_4) $$

$$ \times \Delta_T^{(\rho)}(t_1) \Delta_T^{(\phi)}(p_{34}^2) \frac{g_{\phi^+K^-}}{2} (p_3 - p_4)_\beta F(\phi KK)(p_{34}^2) $$

$$ \times \frac{1}{t_2 - m_\pi^2} g_{\pi^0 pp} F^{(\pi^0 pp)}(t_2) \bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2) \gamma_5 u(p_b, \lambda_b). $$

(2.77)

We take $g_{\rho pp} = 3.72, \kappa_\rho = 6.1, \text{ and } g_{\phi\rho \pi^0} = -1.258 \text{ from } [63]$. Here we choose monopole form factors (2.43) and (2.44) with $\Lambda_{\rho pp} = 1.2 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Lambda_{\phi \rho \pi^0} = 1.2 \text{ GeV}$, respectively. However, in [76] smaller numerical values can be found, $g_{\rho pp} = 2.63-3.36$ and $g_{\phi \rho \pi^0} = -0.65$, respectively. Therefore, our result should be considered rather as an upper limit for the $\rho-\pi^0$ contribution.

The reggeization of the $\rho$-meson propagator in the $t$-channel in $M^{(\rho \pi^0)}$ is taken into account here by the prescription (2.58) for $V = \rho$. We assume for the $\rho$ trajectory

$$ \alpha_\rho(t) = \alpha_\rho(0) + \alpha'_\rho t, $$

(2.78)

$$ \alpha_\rho(0) = 0.5, \quad \alpha'_\rho = 0.9 \text{ GeV}^{-2}. $$

(2.79)

The amplitude $M^{(\pi^0 \rho)}$ is obtained from $M^{(\rho \pi^0)}$ (2.75) by the replacements (2.23).

In principle we can also have $\omega$-$\eta$ and $\omega$-$\eta'$ fusion contributions. $g_{\phi \omega \eta}$ and $g_{\phi \omega \eta'}$ cannot be obtained from mesonic decays. Then one could rely only on models. Due to these model uncertainties of the coupling constants for the $\omega$-$\eta$ and $\omega$-$\eta'$ fusion processes we neglect these contributions in our present study.

III. THE $pp \rightarrow ppp \phi \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-$ REACTION

In this section we will focus on the exclusive reaction

$$ p(p_a, \lambda_a) + p(p_b, \lambda_b) \rightarrow p(p_1, \lambda_1) + \phi(p_{34}) + p(p_2, \lambda_2) $$

$$ \rightarrow p(p_1, \lambda_1) + \mu^+(p_3, \lambda_3) + \mu^-(p_4, \lambda_4) + p(p_2, \lambda_2), $$

(3.1)

where $p_{a,b}, p_{1,2}$ and $\lambda_{a,b,1,2} = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ denote the four-momenta and helicities of the protons and $p_{3,4}$ and $\lambda_{3,4} = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ denote the four-momenta and helicities of the muons, respectively.

The amplitudes for the reaction (3.1) through $\phi$ resonance production can be obtained from the amplitudes discussed in Sec. II with $i\Gamma_\kappa^{(\phi KK)}(p_3, p_4)$ replaced by $\bar{u}(p_4, \lambda_4)\Gamma_\kappa^{(\phi \mu \mu)}(p_3, p_4)\gamma(p_3, \lambda_3)$. Here we describe the transition $\phi \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, see Fig. 5 by an effective vertex

$$ i\Gamma_\kappa^{(\phi \mu \mu)}(p_3, p_4) = ig_{\phi \mu^+\mu^-}\gamma_\kappa. $$

(3.2)
FIG. 5: Decay of a $\phi$ meson to $\mu^+\mu^-$. 

The standard $\phi$-$\gamma$ coupling (see e.g. (3.23), (3.24) of [41]) gives

$$g_{\phi\mu^+\mu^-} = -e^2 \frac{1}{\gamma_\phi}, \quad \gamma_\phi < 0. \quad (3.3)$$

The decay rate $\phi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ is calculated from the diagram Fig. 5 (neglecting radiative corrections) as

$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = \frac{1}{12\pi} |g_{\phi\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-}|^2 m_\phi \left(1 + \frac{2m_\mu^2}{m_\phi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{m_\phi^2}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (3.4)$$

From the experimental values [75]

$$m_\phi = (1019.461 \pm 0.016) \text{ MeV},$$
$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_\phi = (2.86 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4},$$
$$\Gamma_\phi = (4.249 \pm 0.013) \text{ MeV}, \quad (3.5)$$

we get

$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (1.21 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3} \text{ MeV} \quad (3.6)$$

and using (3.4)

$$g_{\phi\mu^+\mu^-} = (6.71 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}. \quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand, using (3.3) directly with the standard range for $\gamma_\phi$ quoted in (3.24) of [41], $4\pi/\gamma_\phi^2 = 0.0716 \pm 0.0017$, we get

$$g_{\phi\mu^+\mu^-} = (6.92 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}. \quad (3.8)$$

Within the errors the two values obtained in (3.7) and (3.8) are compatible. In the following we shall take (3.8) for our calculations.

IV. RESULTS

In this section we wish to present first results for three cases $pp \rightarrow pp\phi(1020)$, and with $\phi$ decaying to $K^+K^-$ or $\mu^+\mu^-$, corresponding to the processes discussed in Secs. II and III.
A. Comparison with the WA102 data

The $\phi$-meson production in central proton-proton collisions was studied by the WA102 Collaboration at $\sqrt{s} = 29.1$ GeV. The experimental cross section quoted in Table 1 of [55] is

$$\sigma_{\exp} = (60 \pm 21) \text{ nb}. \quad (4.1)$$

In [55] also the $dP_t$ dependence of $\phi$ production and the distribution in $\phi_{pp}$ were presented. Here $dP_t$ is the “glueball-filter variable” [79,80] defined as:

$$dP_t = q_{t,1} - q_{t,2} = p_{t,2} - p_{t,1}, \quad dP_t = |dP_t|, \quad (4.2)$$

and $\phi_{pp}$ is the azimuthal angle between the transverse momentum vectors $p_{t,1}, p_{t,2}$ of the outgoing protons. For the kinematics see e.g. Appendix D of [45].

In Fig. 6 (left panel) we compare our theoretical predictions for the $\phi_{pp}$ distribution to the WA102 experimental data for the $pp \to pp\phi$ reaction normalised to the central value of the total cross section $\sigma_{\exp} = 60$ nb from [55]; see (4.1). We consider the two photoproduction contributions: $\gamma\Pi$ plus $\Pi\gamma$ and $\gamma M$ plus $\tilde{M}\gamma$ with $\tilde{M} = \pi^0, \eta$. For the photon-pomeron fusion we show the results for the two parameter sets, set A and set B, discussed in Appendix B (see Fig. 32). For the estimation of an upper limit of the $\gamma-M$ plus $M-\gamma$ contribution we take $\Lambda_{NNNN} = \Lambda_{\phi\gamma\tilde{M}} = 1.2$ GeV in (2.43) and (2.44); see the discussion in Appendix B and Fig. 33. We find that the $\gamma-\tilde{M}$ plus $\tilde{M}-\gamma$ contribution is much smaller than the $\gamma-\Pi$ plus $\Pi-\gamma$ contribution. It constitutes about 15% of $\gamma\Pi$ plus $\Pi\gamma$ in the integrated cross section. The $\gamma-S$ ($S = f_0(500), f_0(980), a_0(980)$) contribution terms are expected to be even smaller than the $\gamma-M$ ($\tilde{M} = \pi^0, \eta$) ones; see Fig. 33. Therefore, we neglect the $\gamma\tilde{M}$ and $\gamma S$ fusion contributions in the further considerations. Clearly, we see that the photoproduction mechanism is not enough to describe the WA102 data, at least if we take the central value of $\sigma_{\exp}$ quoted in (4.1) for normalising the data for the $\phi_{pp}$ distribution. In Fig. 6 (right panel) we show the distributions in rapidity of the $\phi$ meson. The photoproduction mechanisms with $\Pi$ exchange ($\gamma\Pi$ and $\Pi\gamma$) dominate at midrapidity. The $\gamma-M$ and $M-\gamma$ components are separated and contribute in backward and forward region of $y_\phi$, respectively. The separation in rapidity means also the lack of interference effects between the $\gamma-\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{M}-\gamma$ components.

In Fig. 6 we denote, for brevity, the sum of the contributions $\gamma\Pi$ plus $\Pi\gamma$ by $\gamma\Pi$, the sum of $\gamma\tilde{M}$ plus $\tilde{M}\gamma$ by $\gamma\tilde{M}$. The analogous notation will be used for these and all other contributions in the following.

It is a known fact that absorption effects due to strong proton-proton interactions have an influence on the shape of the distributions in $\phi_{pp}$, $dP_t$, $|t_1|$ and $|t_2|$. Thus, absorption effects should be included in realistic calculations. In the calculations presented we have included the absorptive corrections in the one-channel eikonal approximation as was discussed e.g. in Sec. 3.3 of [46]. The absorption effects lead to a large damping of the cross sections for purely hadronic diffractive processes and a relatively small reduction of the cross section for the photoproduction mechanism. We obtain the ratio of full and Born cross sections $\langle S^2 \rangle$ (the gap survival factor) at $\sqrt{s} = 29.1$ GeV and without any cuts included as follows $\langle S^2 \rangle \approx 0.8$ for the photoproduction contribution and $\langle S^2 \rangle \approx 0.4$ for the purely hadronic diffractive contributions discussed below. However, the absorption
strongly depends on the kinematic cuts on $|t_1|$ and $|t_2|$. This will be discussed in detail when presenting our predictions for the LHC; see Sec. [IV B] below. In Fig. [7] we show results for the $\gamma\Pi$ and the subleading fusion processes discussed in Sec. [II C]. We present results for the two approaches as follows. In the top panels (approach II) we show results for the reggeon-pomeron ($\phi_R^\ast\Pi$, $\omega_R^\ast\Pi$) and the reggeon-reggeon ($\omega_R^\ast f_{2R}$) contributions, [2.64]–[2.68], and in the bottom panels (approach I) we show results for the reggeized-$\phi$/$\omega$-meson exchanges [2.57]–[2.63]. The $\rho-\pi^0$ fusion contribution is calculated in the approach I, i.e., for the reggeized $\rho^0$-meson exchange.

In Figs. [8]–[10] we present several differential distributions for the $\gamma\Pi$ and the $O\Pi$ fusion processes corresponding to the diagrams shown in Figs. [1] and [2] respectively, and for the subleading processes $\omega\Pi$, $\phi\Pi$, $\omega_{f_2R}$ and $\rho-\pi^0$ fusion. For the $O\Pi$ contribution we take the following parameters, see [2.27]–[2.33],

\begin{align}
\eta_O &= -1, \quad \alpha_O(0) = 1.05, \quad \alpha'_O = 0.25 \text{ GeV}^{-2}, \\
\Lambda^2_{0,PO\phi} &= 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2,
\end{align}

and we choose different values for $a_{PO\phi}$ and $b_{PO\phi}$:

\begin{align}
(a) \quad a_{PO\phi} &= -0.8 \text{ GeV}^{-3}, \quad b_{PO\phi} = 1.0 \text{ GeV}^{-1}; \\
(b) \quad a_{PO\phi} &= -0.8 \text{ GeV}^{-3}, \quad b_{PO\phi} = 1.6 \text{ GeV}^{-1}; \\
(c) \quad a_{PO\phi} &= -0.6 \text{ GeV}^{-3}, \quad b_{PO\phi} = 1.6 \text{ GeV}^{-1}.
\end{align}

The results shown in panels (a), (b), and (c) of Figs. [8] and [9] correspond to the approach II and the $PO\phi$ parameters in [4.5], [4.6], and [4.7], respectively. The results shown in panel (d) correspond to the approach I and [4.7].
FIG. 7: Distributions in proton-proton relative azimuthal angle $\phi_{pp}$ (left panels) and in $dP_t$ (4.2), the “glueball filter” variable (right panels), for the $pp \rightarrow pp\phi\phi$ reaction at $\sqrt{s} = 29.1$ GeV. The data points have been normalized to the central value of the total cross section (4.1) from [55]. The results for the fusion processes $\gamma P$ (the blue solid lines), $\omega P$ (the black dashed line), $\omega f_{2R}$ (the black dotted line), $\phi P$ (the green dash-dotted line), and $\rho \pi^0$ (the violet dotted line) are presented. In the top panels the $\omega$-P, $\phi$-P and $\omega$-$f_{2R}$ exchanges are treated, respectively, as reggeon-pomeron and reggeon-reggeon exchanges (approach II) while in the bottom panels these contributions were calculated in the reggeized-vector-meson approach (2.58) (approach I). The coherent sum of these contributions is shown by the two black solid lines. The lower line is for the parameter set A (B7) and the upper line is for the parameter set B (B8). The absorption effects are included here.

We have checked that these parameters are compatible with our analysis of the WA102 data for the $pp \rightarrow pp\phi\phi$ reaction in [52]. Comparing the results shown in Fig. 7 with those in Figs. 8 and 9 we can see that the complete results indicate a large interference effect between the $\gamma P$, OIP, $\omega P$, $\omega f_{2R}$, and $\phi P$ terms.

In [55] experimental values for the cross sections in three $dP_t$ intervals and for the ratio
FIG. 8: Distributions in proton-proton relative azimuthal angle $\phi_{pp}$ for the $pp \rightarrow pp \phi$ reaction at $\sqrt{s} = 29.1$ GeV. The data points have been normalized to the central value of the total cross section (4.1) from [55]. The results for the fusion processes $\gamma IP$ (the blue solid lines), $OIP$ (the red long-dashed line), $\omega IP$ (the black dashed line), $\omega f_{2R}$ (the black dotted line), $\phi IP$ (the green dash-dotted line), and $\rho \pi^0$ (the violet dotted line) are presented. In the panels (a), (b), (c) the $\omega$- and $\phi$-exchanges are treated as reggeon exchanges (approach II) while in the panel (d) as the reggeized-vector-meson exchange (2.58) (approach I). The coherent sum of all contributions is shown by the black solid lines. The lower line is for the parameter set A of photoproduction (B7), see Fig. 32 and the upper line is for set B (B8). The absorption effects are included here.

of $\phi$ production at small $dP_t$ to large $dP_t$ are given. We show our corresponding results in Table I for the two approaches, I and II, with appropriate $IP\phi$ coupling constants (4.5), (4.6), (4.7). Here we take the parameter set B (B8) for the $\gamma IP$ contribution.

Now we discuss our results concerning the WA102 data. As already mentioned we find that the $\gamma IP$ fusion processes alone cannot describe the WA102 data for the $\phi_{pp}$ distribution. This holds even if we scale down the experimental data by about 30% cor-
FIG. 9: Distributions in $dP_t$, the “glueball filter” variable $4.2$, for the $pp \rightarrow pp\phi$ reaction at $\sqrt{s} = 29.1$ GeV. The meaning of the lines is the same as in Fig. 8.

responding to the quoted error on the total cross section in $4.1$. Thus, we need other contributions, subleading ones or maybe odderon-pomeron fusion. From the subleading ones we find that the $\gamma-\pi^0$ and $\gamma-\eta$ contributions are very small; see Fig. $6$. Also the $\rho-\pi^0$-fusion contribution turns out to be very small. According to our results, the important subleading contributions are $\omega-P$, $\omega_fR$ and $\phi-P$ fusion. We have treated them with two methods of reggeization, I and II. The reggeized vector-meson approach I, see (2.58), (2.59), almost certainly overestimates these contributions. The reggeization means that we replace the vector-meson exchange by a coherent sum of exchanges with spin $1 + 3 + 5 + \ldots$. The higher the spin the higher the mass of the exchanged particle. In (2.58) this increase of mass is not taken into account leading to the overestimate. Also, the distribution in $\phi_{pp}$ in this approach I is too flat and does not fit the data; see the $\omega P$ contribution in the left bottom panel in Fig. $7$. The approach II, on the other hand, assumes reggeon exchanges, $\omega_R$ and $\phi_R$. This approach maybe underestimates the contributions
FIG. 10: Distributions in rapidity of the $\phi$ meson (top panels) and in transverse momentum of the $\phi$ meson (bottom panels) for the $pp \rightarrow pp\phi$ reaction at $\sqrt{s} = 29.1$ GeV. The meaning of the lines is the same as in Fig. 8.

if $s_1$ or $s_2$ are small, but should be very reasonable for large $s_1$ or $s_2$. But note that in our reaction the threshold for $s_1$ and $s_2$ is already quite large $s_{\text{thr}} \approx 4$ GeV$^2$; see (2.60). We see clearly from Fig. 7 that in this approach the sum of the $\gamma IP, \gamma f_{2R}, \omega_{R}IP, \omega_{R}f_{2R}, \phi_R IP$ and $\rho \pi^0$ contributions, added coherently, cannot explain the $\phi_{pp}$ data. This gives a hint that the missing contribution could be the odderon-pomeron fusion. And, indeed, with suitable odderon parameters we arrive at a decent description of the $\phi_{pp}$ data and the $dP_t$ data from WA102; see Figs. 8, 9, and Table I, respectively. However, we have to remember that the $\phi_{pp}$ distributions have a large normalisation uncertainty due to the relatively large

---

2 For clarity, here we took into account the $P$ and $f_{2R}$ exchanges as a result of $\omega-\phi$ mixing; see the diagram (b) of Fig. 31. We neglect the $\phi_R f_{2R}$-fusion contribution and the $f_{2R}$-exchange term from the diagram (a) of Fig. 31 and the $a_{2R}$-exchange term from the diagram (b) there.
TABLE I: Results of central $\phi$ production as a function of $dP_t$ expressed as a percentage of its total contribution at the WA102 collision energy $\sqrt{s} = 29.1$ GeV. In the last column the ratios of $\frac{d\sigma}{dP_t \leq 0.2 \text{ GeV}}$ to $\frac{d\sigma}{dP_t \geq 0.5 \text{ GeV}}$ are given. The experimental numbers are from Table 2 of [55]. The theoretical numbers correspond to the total results including all terms contributing; see the upper black lines in Fig. 9.

| $dP_t$ | experiment | approach II, no odderon | approach I, no odderon | approach II-a | approach II-b | approach II-c | approach I |
|--------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
|        | $0.2 \leq dP_t \leq 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ | $0.2 \leq dP_t \leq 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ | $dP_t \geq 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ | $0.2 \leq dP_t \leq 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ | $dP_t \geq 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ | $dP_t \geq 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ | $dP_t \geq 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ |
| 8 $\pm$ 3 | 47 $\pm$ 3 | 45 $\pm$ 4 | 0.18 $\pm$ 0.07 | 22.0 | 46.9 | 31.1 | 0.71 |
| 19.5 | 48.0 | 32.5 | 0.60 | 17.4 | 42.2 | 40.4 | 0.43 |
| 13.3 | 37.0 | 49.7 | 0.27 | 13.0 | 37.1 | 49.9 | 0.26 |
| 14.7 | 41.1 | 44.2 | 0.33 | 13.7 | 41.6 | 44.9 | 0.34 |

error on $\sigma_{\text{exp}}$ [4.1]. Therefore, we emphasise that our fits to the WA102 data on single $\phi$ CEP only give a hint that this reaction could be very interesting for a search of odderon effects. From Fig. 10 we see that the odderon-pomeron contribution dominates at larger $|y_{\phi}|$ and $p_{t,\phi}$ compared to the photon-pomeron contribution. As we shall see this also holds at LHC energies and should help in searches for odderon effects there.

B. Predictions for the LHC experiments

1. The $pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-$ reaction

In this subsection we wish to show our predictions for the LHC experiments. We start with the presentation of the differential distributions for the $pp \rightarrow pp(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-)$ reaction (2.3) which we integrate in the $\phi$ resonance region (2.2). First we show, for orientation purposes, results for the $\gamma P$-fusion and the OP-fusion contributions separately (see the diagrams shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively). For the final results we shall, of course, add these contributions coherently and calculate absorption corrections at the amplitude level. We have checked that in the kinematic regimes discussed in the following the sub-leading contributions (see Sec. II C) can be safely neglected.

In Figs. 11–17 we show the results for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, and $|\eta_K| < 2.5, p_{t,K} > 0.1$ GeV and sometimes with extra cuts on the leading protons of $0.17$ GeV $< |p_{y,1}|, |p_{y,2}| < 0.50$ GeV as will be the proton momentum window for the ALF A detectors placed on both sides of the ATLAS detector.

Figure 11 shows the Born-level distributions in $|t_1|$ (top panels) and in transverse momentum $p_{t,1} = |p_{t,1}|$ of the proton $p (p_1)$ (bottom panels). In the left panels the photo-production contributions are plotted while in the right panels we show the results for the odderon contributions. The results for the parameter set B [88] for the photoproduction term and for the parameters quoted in (4.3), (4.4), (4.6) for the OP-fusion are presented. We show results for two diagrams separately and for their coherent sum (total). The interference effects between the two diagrams are clearly visible, especially for the OP-fusion mechanism. A different behaviour is seen at small $|t_1|$ for the $\gamma P$ and the OP components. Due to the photon exchange the protons are scattered only at small angles and the
\(\gamma P\) distribution has a singularity for \(|t_1| \to 0\). Of course, \(t_1 = 0\) cannot be reached here from kinematics. In contrast, the OP \(P\) distribution shows a dip for \(|t_1| \to 0\). An explanation of this type of behaviour is given in Appendix C of [33]. In the bottom panels we show the \(p_t\) distributions for proton \(p (p_1)\). Here these differences are also clearly visible.

![Graphs showing distributions for different processes](image)

**FIG. 11:** The distributions in four-momentum transfer squared \(|t_1|\) (top panels) and in transverse momentum \(p_{t,1}\) of the proton \(p (p_1)\) (bottom panels) for the \(pp \to pp (\phi \to K^+K^-)\) reaction at \(\sqrt{s} = 13\) TeV and for \(|\eta_K| < 2.5, p_{t,K} > 0.1\) GeV. Absorption effects are not included here. In the left panels we show the results for the photoproduction mechanism obtained with the parameter set B \(B_8\). The results for the \(\gamma P\) and \(P\gamma\) fusion contributions are presented. Their coherent sum is shown by the blue solid thick line. In the right panels we present the results for the odderon-pomeron-fusion mechanism obtained with the parameters quoted in (4.3), (4.4), and (4.6). Again, we show the OP- and PO-fusion contributions separately and their coherent sum (red long-dashed thick line).

In Fig. 12 we show results for the hadronic diffractive contribution for the two type of couplings in the \(P\phi\) vertex (2.32) separately and when both couplings are taken into
account. We can see that the complete result indicates a large interference effect of the $a$ and $b$ coupling contributions in the amplitudes.

Figure 12 shows the correlations in the relative azimuthal angle between the outgoing protons (see the top panels) and the rapidity distance between the two centrally produced kaons, $y_{\text{diff}} = y_3 - y_4$ (see the bottom panels) without (the left panels) and with (the right panels) limitations on the leading protons. The thin lines represent the results for one of the two diagrams separately ($\gamma P$ or $P\gamma$ as well as OP or IP) and the thick lines represent their coherent sum ($\gamma P$ plus $P\gamma$, OP plus IP). The influence of kinematic cuts on the leading protons is also shown. The reader is asked to note a reversed interference
behaviour for the photon-pomeron and odderon-pomeron mechanisms. The odderon-pomeron contribution dominates at larger $|y_{\text{diff}}|$ compared to the photon-pomeron contribution.

FIG. 13: The distributions in azimuthal angle $\phi_{pp}$ between the transverse momentum vectors $p_{t,1}$, $p_{t,2}$ of the outgoing protons (top panels) and in rapidity difference between kaons $y_{\text{diff}}$ (bottom panels). The calculations were performed for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and for the ATLAS-ALFA experimental cuts $|\eta_K| < 2.5$, $p_{t,K} > 0.1$ GeV (left panels), and with extra cuts on the leading protons of $0.17$ GeV $< |p_{y,1}|, |p_{y,2}| < 0.50$ GeV (right panels). The blue thick solid line corresponds to the coherent sum of the two diagrams ($\gamma P$ and $P \gamma$). The red thick dashed line corresponds to the coherent sum of the OP and PO contributions. The thin lines correspond to the results for one of the two diagrams separately (the second contribution is the same). For the $\gamma P$-fusion contribution we take the parameter set B (B8). For the OP-fusion contribution we take the parameters quoted in (4.3), (4.4), and (4.6).

In Fig. 14 we show the kaon angular distributions in the $K^+ K^-$ rest system using the Collins-Soper (CS) frame; see Appendix C. The Collins-Soper frame which we use here is defined as in our recent paper on extracting the $PPf_2(1270)$ couplings in the
$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$ reaction [53] with $K^+$ and $K^-$ in the place of $\pi^+$ and $\pi^-$, respectively. For the $pp \rightarrow pp(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-)$ reaction we can observe interesting structures in the $\phi_{K^+,CS}$ (top panel) and in the $\cos\theta_{K^+,CS}$ (bottom panel) distributions. The distributions in $\phi_{K^+,CS}$ for the hadronic diffractive contribution $(O\!P + \bar{O}\!P)$ are relatively flat. The photoproduction term, in contrast, shows pronounced maxima and minima which are due to the interference of the $\gamma P$ and $P\gamma$ terms. The cuts on leading protons considerably change the shape of the $\phi_{K^+,CS}$ distributions for the photon-exchange contribution. The angular distribution $d\sigma/d\cos\theta_{K^+,CS}$ looks promising for a search of odderon effects as it is very different for the $\gamma P$ fusion and the $O\!P$ fusion processes.

In Fig. 15 we compare results without (the thin lines) and with (the thick lines) absorption effects. The absorption effects have been included in our analysis within the one-channel-eikonal approach. For the ATLAS-ALFA kinematics the absorption effects lead to a large damping of the cross sections both for the hadronic diffractive and for the photon-exchange contributions.
toproduction mechanisms. We find a suppression factor of the cross section $\langle S^2 \rangle \simeq 0.3$; see Table II. From Fig. 15 we see that the absorption effects also modify the shape of the distributions.

Up to now we have shown results including the ATLAS-ALFA experimental cuts for concrete set of parameters, set B (B8) for the photoproduction term and (4.3), (4.4), (4.6) for the OP-fusion contribution.

In Figs. 16 and 17 we show distributions in several variables for different choices of parameters. We show results for the $\gamma P$- and OP-fusion contributions separately (see the blue and red lines, respectively) and when both terms are added coherently at the amplitude level (the black line). The absorption effects are included in the calculations. The upper blue solid line is for the parameter set B (B8) and the lower blue solid line is for the parameter set A (B7). The red long-dashed line corresponds to the odderon parameters quoted in (4.3), (4.4), and the $\text{IPO}_\phi$ coupling parameters (b) (4.6), the red dash-dotted line is for the choice of $\text{IPO}_\phi$ coupling parameters (a) (4.5), and the red dotted line is for (4.7). The black solid line represents the coherent sum of $\gamma P$-fusion and OP-fusion contributions for the coupling parameters (B8) and (4.6), respectively. We can see that the complete result indicates a large interference effect of $\gamma P$- and OP-fusion terms. The odderon-pomeron contribution dominates clearly at larger $|y_K|$, $|y_{\text{diff}}|$, $p_{t,K}^+K^-$, the transverse momentum of the $K^+K^-$ pair, and $\cos \theta_{K^+,\text{CS}} = \pm 1$, compared to the photon-pomeron contribution. We encourage the experimentalists associated to the ATLAS-ALFA experiment to prepare such distributions, especially $d\sigma/dy_{\text{diff}}$, $d\sigma/d\cos \theta_{K^+,\text{CS}}$, and $d\sigma/d\phi_{K^+,\text{CS}}$. Observation of the pattern of maxima and minima would be interesting by itself as it is due to interference effects. It is worth adding that much smaller interference effects are predicted when no cuts on the outgoing protons are required; see the results in Table II and Figs. 19 and 20 below. When cuts on transverse momenta of the outgoing protons are imposed then the $\gamma P$- and OP-fusion contributions become comparable and large interference effects are in principle possible.

Now we shall discuss results for the LHCb experimental conditions. In Fig. 18 we show the two-dimensional distributions in $(p_{t,K^+}, p_{t,K^-})$ for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, $2.0 < \eta_K < 4.5$, and $p_{t,K} > 0.1$ GeV. In the left panel we show the result for $\gamma P$-fusion obtained with the parameter set B (B8). In the right panel we show the result for OP-fusion for the parameters quoted in (4.3), (4.4), and (4.6). We can see that the OP-fusion contribution is larger at smaller $p_{t,K}$ than the OP-fusion contribution. Therefore, a low-$p_{t,K}$ cut on transverse momenta of the kaons can be helpful to reduce the $\gamma P$-fusion contribution; compare the left and right panels in Figs. 19 and 20 below.

In Figs. 19 and 20 we show several distributions for $\gamma P$-fusion and OP-fusion contributions for the LHCb experimental conditions, $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, $2.0 < \eta_K < 4.5$, $p_{t,K} > 0.3$ GeV (left panels) or $p_{t,K} > 0.5$ GeV (right panels). Our predictions for different choices of parameters are shown. The absorption effects were included in the calculations. For larger kaon transverse momenta (or transverse momentum of the $K^+K^-$ pair) the odderon-exchange contribution is bigger than the photon-exchange one.

As in the previous (ATLAS-ALFA) case the angular distributions in the $K^+K^-$ Collins-Soper rest system seem interesting. In Fig. 21 we show the two-dimensional distributions in $(\phi_{K^+,\text{CS}}, \cos \theta_{K^+,\text{CS}})$ for $2.0 < \eta_K < 4.5$ and $p_{t,K} > 0.3$ GeV.
FIG. 15: The differential cross sections for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and the ATLAS-ALFA cuts without (the thin lines) and with (the thick lines) absorption effects. For the $\gamma\mathrm{P}$-fusion contribution we take the parameter set B (B8). For the OP-fusion contribution we take the parameters quoted in (4.3), (4.4), and (4.6).
FIG. 16: Selected predictions for the ATLAS-ALFA experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The absorption effects are included here. The two blue solid lines represent the results for the photoproduction mechanism. The top line corresponds to the parameter set B (B8) while the bottom line is for the set A (B7). The red long-dashed line corresponds to the odderon parameters quoted in (4.3), (4.4), and the $PO\phi$ coupling parameters (4.6), the red dash-dotted line is for the choice (4.5) of the $PO\phi$ coupling parameters, and the red dotted line is for (4.7). The coherent sum of two fusion processes with the coupling parameters (B8 and 4.6) is shown by the black solid line.
FIG. 17: The distributions in $\cos\theta_{K^+, CS}$ (the left panel) and in $\phi_{K^+, CS}$ (the right panel). The calculations were performed for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and for the ATLAS-ALFA experimental cuts $|\eta_K| < 2.5$, $p_{t,K} > 0.1$ GeV, and with extra cuts on the leading protons of $0.17 \text{ GeV} < |p_{y,1}|, |p_{y,2}| < 0.50 \text{ GeV}$. The meaning of the lines is the same as in Fig. 16. The absorption effects are included here.

2. The $pp \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-$ reaction

The $\phi$ meson can also be observed in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel. In this subsection we wish to show our predictions for the $pp \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-$ reaction for the LHCb experiment at
FIG. 19: The differential cross sections for the \( pp \to pp(\phi \to K^+K^-) \) reaction. Calculations were done for \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV, \( 2.0 < \eta_K < 4.5 \), and \( p_{t,K} > 0.3 \) GeV (left panels) or \( p_{t,K} > 0.5 \) GeV (right panels). Results for the photoproduction (blue solid lines) and the OIP-fusion (red lines) contributions are shown separately. The meaning of the lines is the same as in Fig. 16. The black solid line corresponds to the coherent sum of the \( \gamma P \) and OIP fusion reactions with the coupling parameters (B8) and (4.6), respectively. The absorption effects are included here.
\[ \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV for the } 2.0 < \eta_\mu < 4.5 \text{ pseudorapidity range. Here we require no detection of the leading protons.} \]

In Fig. 22 we present the \( \mu^+ \mu^- \) invariant mass distributions in the \( \phi(1020) \) resonance region. We show the contributions from the \( \gamma P \)- and OP-fusion processes and the continuum \( \gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \) term. The dimuon-continuum process \( (\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \) was discussed e.g. in [81] in the context of the ATLAS measurement [82]. In our analysis here we are looking at the dimuon invariant mass region \( M_{\mu^+ \mu^-} \in (1.01, 1.03) \) GeV. In Fig. 23 we show two-dimensional distributions in \( (p_t, \mu^+, p_t, \mu^-) \) for three different processes. The result in the panel (a) corresponds to the continuum contribution without the cut on \( M_{\mu^+ \mu^-} \). Here the maximum of the cross section is placed along the \( p_{t,\mu^+} = p_{t,\mu^-} \) line which is due to the predominantly small transverse momenta of the photons in this photon-exchange process. The results in the panels (b), (c), and (d) correspond to the continuum term, the \( \gamma P \)- and OP-fusion processes, respectively, including the limitation on \( M_{\mu^+ \mu^-} \).

Note, that in the continuum term, \( \gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \), the \( \mu^+ \mu^- \) are in a state of charge
FIG. 21: The two-dimensional distributions in $(\phi_{K^*CS}, \cos \theta_{K^*CS})$ for the $pp \rightarrow pp (\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-)$ reaction via $\gamma P$ fusion (left panel) and via OP fusion (right panel). The calculations were done for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and with the cuts $2.0 < \eta_K < 4.5$ and $p_{t,K} > 0.3$ GeV. We show the result for $\gamma P$ fusion obtained with the parameter set B while the result for OP fusion was obtained with the parameters quoted in (4.3), (4.4), and (4.6). The absorption effects are included here.

conjugation $C = +1$. For $\phi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ we have a state of $C = -1$. Thus, the interference of the continuum and the $\phi$-production reactions will lead to $\mu^+\mu^-$ asymmetries. We have checked, however, that the interference in $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel is smaller than numerical precision, definitely smaller than 2%.

In Figs. 24 and 25 we show the predictions for the $pp \rightarrow pp \mu^+\mu^-$ reaction for typical experimental conditions on the transverse momentum of the muons, $p_{t,\mu} > 0.1$ GeV and $p_{t,\mu} > 0.5$ GeV, respectively. In contrast to dikaon production here there is for both the $\gamma P$- and the OP-fusion contributions a maximum at $y_{\text{diff}} = 0$ (or $\cos \theta_{\mu^+CS} = 0$). In Fig. 24 the continuum contribution is large. Imposing a larger cut on the transverse momenta of the muons reduces the continuum contribution which, however, still remains sizeable at $y_{\text{diff}} = 0$. Such a cut reduces the statistics of the measurement; see the results in Table III. The $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel seems to be less promising in identifying the odderon exchange at least when only the $p_{t,\mu}$ cuts are imposed. Eventually, the absolute normalization of the cross section and detailed studies of shapes of distributions should provide a clear answer whether one can observe the odderon-exchange mechanism here.

In Fig. 26 we present the distributions in transverse momentum of the $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair. We can see that the low-$p_{t,\mu}$ cut can be helpful to reduce the continuum ($\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$) and photon-pomeron-fusion contributions.

In Fig. 27 we show the results when imposing in addition a cut $p_{t,\mu} > 0.8$ GeV. The $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ contribution is now very small. We can see from the $y_{\text{diff}}$ distribution that the photon-pomeron term gives a broader distribution than odderon-pomeron term. At $y_{\text{diff}} = 0$ the odderon-exchange term is now bigger than the photoproduction terms.

Finally, in Fig. 28 we show two-dimensional distributions in $(\eta_{\mu^+}, \eta_{\mu^-})$. The results for three different processes are shown. One can see quite different distributions for the $(\gamma P$ plus $P\gamma)$ and the (OP plus PO) contributions. The odderon exchange contribution.
shows an enhancement at \( \eta_{\mu^-} \sim \eta_{\mu^+} \sim (4.0 - 4.5) \).

![Graph showing distributions in \( \mu^+ \mu^- \) invariant mass for the exclusive \( pp \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^- \) reaction including the \( \phi \)-meson production via the \( \gamma\Pomeron \) and the \( \text{OP} \)-fusion processes and the nonresonant \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- \) continuum term. The calculations were done for \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 2.0 < \eta_{\mu} < 4.5, \) and \( p_{t,\mu} > 0.1 \text{ GeV}. \) Here we show the result for \( \gamma\Pomeron \) fusion (the blue solid line) obtained with the parameter set B (B8). The result for \( \text{O-}\Pomeron \) fusion (the red long-dashed line) was obtained with the parameters quoted in (4.3), (4.4), and (4.6). The black short-dashed line corresponds to the continuum contribution. The absorption effects are included here.]

In Table II we have collected integrated cross sections in nb for \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \) and with different experimental cuts for the exclusive \( pp \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^- \) and \( pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^- \) reactions including the \( \gamma\Pomeron \) or \( \text{OP} \)-fusion processes separately. We also show the results for the coherent sum of the \( \gamma\Pomeron \) and \( \text{OP} \) fusion reactions including absorption corrections. Here we take for the \( \gamma\Pomeron \) and \( \text{OP} \) fusion contributions the coupling parameters (B8) and (4.6), respectively.
FIG. 23: The two-dimensional distributions in $(p_{t,\mu^+}, p_{t,\mu^-})$ for the $pp \rightarrow pp \mu^+\mu^-$ reaction. The calculations were done for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and $2.0 < \eta_\mu < 4.5$. The results in the panels (a) and (b) correspond to the $\mu^+\mu^-$ continuum without and with the cut on $M_{\mu^+\mu^-} \in (1.01, 1.03)$ GeV, respectively. The results in the panels (c) and (d) correspond to the $\phi$ production via $\gamma\gamma$ fusion and via OIP fusion, respectively. No absorption effects are included here.
FIG. 24: The differential cross sections for the $pp \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-$ reaction in the dimuon invariant mass region $M_{\mu^+\mu^-} \in (1.01, 1.03)$ GeV. Calculations were done for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, $2.0 < \eta_\mu < 4.5$, and $p_{t, \mu} > 0.1$ GeV. The meaning of the lines is the same as in Fig. 22. The absorption effects are included here.
FIG. 25: The same as in Fig. 24 but for $p_{t,\mu} > 0.5$ GeV. The upper blue solid line is for the $\gamma P$- and $P\gamma$-fusion contribution for the parameter set B (B8), while the lower blue solid line is for the parameter set A (B7). The red long-dashed line corresponds to the OIP- and PO-fusion contributions with the parameters quoted in (4.3), (4.4), and (4.6), the red dash-dotted line is for another choice of the PO$\phi$ coupling parameters (4.5), and the red dotted line is for (4.7). The black short-dashed line corresponds to the continuum contribution. The absorption effects are included here.
FIG. 26: The distributions in transverse momentum of the \( \mu^+\mu^- \) pair for the \( pp \to pp\mu^+\mu^- \) reaction in the dimuon invariant mass region \( M_{\mu^+\mu^-} \in (1.01, 1.03) \) GeV. Calculations were done for \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV, \( 2.0 < \eta_\mu < 4.5 \) and for \( p_{t,\mu} > 0.1 \) GeV (left panel) and for \( p_{t,\mu} > 0.5 \) GeV (right panel). The absorption effects are included here. The meaning of the lines is the same as in Fig. 25. The coherent sum of all contributions is shown by the black solid line. Here we take the \( \gamma P \)-fusion and \( OP \)-fusion contributions for the coupling parameters (B8) and (4.6), respectively.
FIG. 27: The differential cross sections for the $pp \rightarrow pp\mu^+\mu^-$ reaction in the dimuon invariant mass region $M_{\mu^+\mu^-} \in (1.01, 1.03) \text{ GeV}$. Calculations were done for $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$, $2.0 < \eta_{\mu} < 4.5$, $p_{t,\mu} > 0.1 \text{ GeV}$, and $p_{t,\mu^+\mu^-} > 0.8 \text{ GeV}$. The meaning of the lines is the same as in Fig. 26 (right panel). The coherent sum of all contributions is shown by the black solid line. Here we take the $\gamma P$-fusion and OP-fusion contributions for the coupling parameters (B8) and (4.6), respectively. The absorption effects are included here.
FIG. 28: The two-dimensional distributions in \((\eta_{\mu^+}, \eta_{\mu^-})\) for the \(pp \rightarrow pp \mu^+ \mu^-\) reaction. The results for the \(\phi\) production via \(\gamma\)IP fusion (the panel a), via OP fusion (the panel b), and for the \(\mu^+ \mu^-\) continuum (the panel c) are shown. The calculations were done for \(\sqrt{s} = 13\) TeV and with cuts on \(M_{\mu^+ \mu^-} \in (1.01, 1.03)\) GeV, \(2.0 < \eta_{\mu^-} < 4.5\), \(p_{t,\mu} > 0.1\) GeV, and \(p_{t,\mu^+ \mu^-} > 0.8\) GeV. The absorption effects are included here.
TABLE II: The integrated cross sections in nb for the central exclusive production of single $\phi$ mesons in proton-proton collisions with the subsequent decays $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ or $\phi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$. The results have been calculated for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in the dikaon/dimuon invariant mass region $M_{34} \in (1.01, 1.03)$ GeV and for some typical experimental cuts. The ratios of full and Born cross sections $\langle S^2 \rangle$ (the gap survival factors) are shown in the last column.

| Cuts | Contributions | $\sigma^{\text{Born}}$ (nb) | $\sigma^{\text{full}}$ (nb) | $\langle S^2 \rangle$ |
|------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| $|\eta_K| < 2.5$, $p_{t,K} > 0.1$ GeV | $\gamma\rho$ fusion | 60.07 | 55.09 | 0.9 |
| | OP fusion | 21.40 | 6.44 | 0.3 |
| | $\gamma\rho$ and OP | 58.58 | | |
| $|\eta_K| < 2.5$, $p_{t,K} > 0.1$ GeV, $0.17$ GeV $< |p_{y,1}|,|p_{y,2}| < 0.5$ GeV | $\gamma\rho$ fusion | 1.77 | 0.52 | 0.3 |
| | OP fusion | 2.91 | 0.79 | 0.3 |
| | $\gamma\rho$ and OP | 0.93 | | |
| $2.0 < \eta_K < 4.5$, $p_{t,K} > 0.1$ GeV | $\gamma\rho$ fusion | 43.18 | 40.07 | 0.9 |
| | OP fusion | 16.73 | 4.70 | 0.3 |
| | $\gamma\rho$ and OP | 43.28 | | |
| $2.0 < \eta_K < 4.5$, $p_{t,K} > 0.3$ GeV | $\gamma\rho$ fusion | 3.09 | 2.57 | 0.8 |
| | OP fusion | 6.57 | 1.64 | 0.3 |
| | $\gamma\rho$ and OP | 4.24 | | |
| $2.0 < \eta_K < 4.5$, $p_{t,K} > 0.5$ GeV | $\gamma\rho$ fusion | $0.93 \times 10^{-1}$ | | |
| | OP fusion | | $0.66 \times 10^{-1}$ | 0.7 |
| | $\gamma\rho$ and OP | | $0.16$ | |
| | | | $0.24$ | 0.2 |
| $2.0 < \eta_\mu < 4.5$, $p_{t,\mu} > 0.1$ GeV | $\gamma\rho$ fusion | $23.93 \times 10^{-3}$ | $20.96 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.9 |
| | OP fusion | $10.06 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.02 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.3 |
| | $\gamma\rho$ and OP | $21.64 \times 10^{-3}$ | | |
| $2.0 < \eta_\mu < 4.5$, $p_{t,\mu} > 0.5$ GeV | $\gamma\rho$ fusion | $1.21 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.85 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.7 |
| | OP fusion | $1.49 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.45 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.2 |
| | $\gamma\rho$ and OP | | $1.07 \times 10^{-3}$ | |
| $2.0 < \eta_\mu < 4.5$, $p_{t,\mu} > 0.1$ GeV, $p_{t,\mu^+\mu^-} > 0.8$ GeV | $\gamma\rho$ fusion | $0.70 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.41 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.6 |
| | OP fusion | $2.46 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.51 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.2 |
| | $\gamma\rho$ and OP | | $0.91 \times 10^{-3}$ | |
In the present paper we have discussed the possibility to search for odderon exchange in the $pp \rightarrow pp\phi$ reaction with the $\phi$ meson observed in the $K^+K^-$ or $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels.

There are two basic processes: relatively well known (at the Born level) photon-pomeron fusion and rather elusive odderon-pomeron fusion. In our previous analysis on two $\phi$-meson production in proton-proton collisions [52] we tried to tentatively (optimistically) fix the parameters of the pomeron-odderon-$\phi$ vertex to describe the relatively large $\phi\phi$ invariant mass distribution measured by the WA102 Collaboration [54]. The calculation for the $pp \rightarrow pp\phi$ process requires in addition knowledge of the rather poorly known coupling of the odderon to the proton. The latter can be fixed, in principle, by a careful study of elastic proton-proton scattering. The present estimates suggest $\beta_{opp} \simeq 0.1 \beta_{PNN}$ (see Eq. 2.31). In the present study we therefore fixed the odderon coupling to the proton at this reasonable value and tried to make predictions for central exclusive $\phi$-meson production. Our results also depend on the assumptions made for the Regge trajectory of the odderon, Eqs. (2.29) and (2.30). In this context the photon-pomeron fusion is a background for the odderon-pomeron fusion. The parameters of photoproduction were fixed to describe the HERA $\phi$-meson photoproduction data; see Appendices A and B. There, we pay special attention to the importance of the $\phi$-$\omega$ mixing effect in the description of the $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$ and $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$ reactions. To fix the parameters of the pomeron-odderon-$\phi$ vertex (coupling constants and cut-off parameters) we have considered several subleading contributions and compared our theoretical predictions for the $pp \rightarrow pp\phi$ reaction with the WA102 experimental data from [55].

Having fixed the parameters of the model we have made estimates of the integrated cross sections as well as shown several differential distributions for $pp \rightarrow pp\phi$ at the WA102 energy $\sqrt{s} = 29.1$ GeV. In addition we have discussed in detail exclusive production of single $\phi$ mesons at the LHC, both in the $K^+K^-$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$ observation channels, for two possible distinct types of measurements: (a) at midrapidity and with forward measurement of protons (relevant for ATLAS-ALFA or CMS-TOTEM), (b) at forward rapidities and without measurement of protons (relevant for LHCb). In contrast to low energies, where several processes may compete, at the large LHC energies the odderon-exchange contribution competes only with the photoproduction mechanism. We have considered different dedicated observables. Some of them seem to be promising. The distributions in $y_{\text{diff}}$ (rapidity difference between kaons) and angular distributions of kaons in the Collins-Soper frame seem particularly interesting for the $K^+K^-$ final state.

Increasing the value of the cut on the transverse momenta of kaons improves the signal (pomeron-odderon fusion) to the background (photon-pomeron fusion) ratio. Of course, in this way the rates are reduced; see Table II. In general, the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel seems to be less promising in identifying the odderon exchange. In this case detailed studies of shapes of $d\sigma/dy_{\text{diff}}$ or $d\sigma/d\cos\theta_{\mu^+\text{CS}}$ would be very useful in understanding the general situation. To observe a sizeable deviation from photoproduction a $p_{t,\mu^+\mu^-} > 0.8$ GeV cut on the transverse momentum of the $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair seems necessary. Such a cut reduces then the statistics of the measurement considerably. A combined analysis of both the $K^+K^-$ and the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels should be the ultimate goal in searches for odderon exchange. We are looking forward to first experimental results on single $\phi$ CEP at the LHC.

In summary, we have presented results for single $\phi$ CEP both at the Born level as well
as including absorption effects in the eikonal approximation. We have argued that the WA102 experimental results at c.m. energy \( \sqrt{s} = 29.1 \) GeV leave room for a possible odderon-exchange contribution there. Then we have turned to LHC energies where single \( \phi \) CEP can be studied by experiments such as: ATLAS-ALFA, CMS-TOTEM, ALICE, and LHCb. Using our results it should be possible to see experimentally if odderon effects as calculated are present, if our odderon parameters have to be changed, or if it is only possible to derive limits on the odderon parameters. We present distributions which are sensitive to the odderon-exchange contribution. We are looking forward also to relevant data from the lower energy COMPASS experiment. At high energies the deviations from the \( \gamma p \)-fusion contribution can be treated as a signal of odderon exchange. In our opinion several distributions should be studied to draw a definite conclusion on the odderon exchange. So far the odderon exchange was not unambiguously identified in any reaction. In the present paper we have shown that for the odderon search the study of central exclusive production of single \( \phi \) mesons is a valuable addition and alternative to the study of elastic proton-proton scattering or production of two \( \phi \) mesons in the \( pp \rightarrow pp\phi\phi \) reaction discussed by us very recently; see \[52]\.

### Appendix A: Off-diagonal diffractive \( \omega \rightarrow \phi \) transition

In the naive quark model the nucleon has no \( s \bar{s} \) content, whereas the \( \phi \) meson is a pure \( s \bar{s} \) state (ideal mixing of the vector mesons). Thus, the coupling of the \( \phi \) meson to the nucleon is expected to be very weak. In practice there is a slight deviation from ideal mixing of the vector mesons, which means that the \( \phi \) meson has a small \( u \bar{u} + d \bar{d} \) component. Therefore, one should worry about diffractive off-diagonal \( \omega \rightarrow \phi \) transitions (\( \omega \) strongly couples to the nucleon). We should consider the diagrams shown in Fig.4. How to treat the off-diagonal diffractive transitions due to pomeron exchange?

The physical states \( \omega \) and \( \phi \) are usually written in terms of flavour eigenstates \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_8 \) and the so-called mixing angle \( \theta_V \) [see (B1) of \[58]\]

\[
\omega = \omega_8 \cos \theta_V + \omega_1 \sin \theta_V , \\
-\phi = -\omega_8 \sin \theta_V + \omega_1 \cos \theta_V , \tag{A1}
\]

where \( \omega_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (u \bar{u} + d \bar{d} + s \bar{s}) \), \( \omega_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (u \bar{u} + d \bar{d} - 2s \bar{s}) \). The mixing angle can be written as:

\[
\theta_V = \theta_{V,i} - \Delta \theta_V . \tag{A2}
\]

The first component corresponds to the so-called ideal mixing angle and the second one quantifies the deviation from the ideal mixing. For the ideal mixing angle \( \theta_{V,i} \) we have:

\[
\sin \theta_{V,i} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}, \quad \cos \theta_{V,i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \tan \theta_{V,i} = \sqrt{2}, \quad \theta_{V,i} = 54.74^\circ . \tag{A3}
\]

Then it is easy to show, using (A2) and (A3), that:

\[
\sin \theta_V = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \cos \Delta \theta_V - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \Delta \theta_V , \quad \cos \theta_V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos \Delta \theta_V + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sin \Delta \theta_V . \tag{A4}
\]
Inserting this in (A1) and defining \( \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) \) and \( \phi_0 = -s\bar{s} \) the mixing equation reads:

\[
\omega = \omega_0 \cos(\Delta \theta_V) + \phi_0 \sin(\Delta \theta_V), \\
\phi = -\omega_0 \sin(\Delta \theta_V) + \phi_0 \cos(\Delta \theta_V).
\]

(A5)

The reverse reads

\[
\omega_0 = \omega \cos(\Delta \theta_V) - \phi \sin(\Delta \theta_V), \\
\phi_0 = \omega \sin(\Delta \theta_V) + \phi \cos(\Delta \theta_V).
\]

(A6)

It is well known that experimentally the angle \( \Delta \theta_V \) is small. Thus, the physical \( \omega \) and \( \phi \) are nearly equal to \( \omega_0 \) and \( \phi_0 \), respectively.

Now we consider the \( P\omega_P\omega, P\omega_P\phi, P\phi_P\omega \), and \( P\phi_P\phi \) vertices for which we assume a structure as in (2.12) with appropriate coupling constants \( a \) and \( b \). In our case (CEP of \( \phi \) meson in proton-proton collisions) the \( \omega_R \) (\( \omega \) reggeon) is, however, off-mass shell and we neglect the rather unknown mixing in this Regge-like state and include mixing in the on-shell \( \phi \) only. We shall argue, therefore, that in the \( P\omega_P\omega \) and \( P\omega_P\phi \) vertices only the \( \omega_0 \) will couple. In this way we get for our coupling constants \( a \) and \( b \)

\[
a_{P\omega_P\omega} = a_{P\omega_P\omega_0} \cos(\Delta \theta_V), \\
b_{P\omega_P\omega} = b_{P\omega_P\omega_0} \cos(\Delta \theta_V), \\
a_{P\omega_P\phi} = -a_{P\omega_P\omega_0} \sin(\Delta \theta_V), \\
b_{P\omega_P\phi} = -b_{P\omega_P\omega_0} \sin(\Delta \theta_V); \\
\frac{a_{P\omega_P\phi}}{a_{P\omega_P\omega}} = -\tan(\Delta \theta_V), \\
\frac{b_{P\omega_P\phi}}{b_{P\omega_P\omega}} = -\tan(\Delta \theta_V). 
\]

(A7)

(A8)

(A9)

In an analogous way we shall assume that in the \( P\phi_P\omega \) and \( P\phi_P\phi \) vertices only the \( \phi_0 \) will couple. This gives

\[
a_{P\phi_P\omega} = a_{P\phi_P\phi_0} \sin(\Delta \theta_V), \\
b_{P\phi_P\omega} = b_{P\phi_P\phi_0} \sin(\Delta \theta_V), \\
a_{P\phi_P\phi} = a_{P\phi_P\phi_0} \cos(\Delta \theta_V), \\
b_{P\phi_P\phi} = b_{P\phi_P\phi_0} \cos(\Delta \theta_V); \\
\frac{a_{P\phi_P\phi}}{a_{P\phi_P\phi}} = \tan(\Delta \theta_V), \\
\frac{b_{P\phi_P\phi}}{b_{P\phi_P\phi}} = \tan(\Delta \theta_V). 
\]

(A10)

(A11)

(A12)

In Sec. II we consider also the couplings of the pomeron to reggeized vector mesons and vector mesons. In Appendix B below we need the couplings of the pomeron to the off-shell vector mesons at \( q^2 = 0 \) and the vector mesons. We denote here, for clarity, these...
reggeized or off-shell mesons by $V$. In the following we shall assume that

$$a_{P\omega R\omega} = a_{P\bar{\omega}\omega} = a_{P\omega\omega},$$

$$a_{P\omega R\phi} = a_{P\bar{\phi}\omega} = -\tan(\Delta\theta_V) a_{P\omega\omega},$$

$$b_{P\omega R\omega} = b_{P\bar{\omega}\omega} = b_{P\omega\omega}.$$  (A13)

$$a_{P\phi R\phi} = a_{P\bar{\phi}\phi} = a_{P\phi\phi},$$

$$a_{P\phi R\omega} = a_{P\bar{\phi}\omega} = \tan(\Delta\theta_V) a_{P\phi\phi},$$

$$b_{P\phi R\phi} = b_{P\bar{\phi}\phi} = b_{P\phi\phi},$$

$$b_{P\phi R\omega} = b_{P\bar{\phi}\omega} = \tan(\Delta\theta_V) b_{P\phi\phi}.\quad (A14)$$

From (A7) to (A14) we obtain the coupling constants to be inserted in (2.68) and (2.57).

The deviation $\Delta\theta_V$ from the ideal mixing in (A9) can be estimated through the decay widths of $\phi \to \pi^0\gamma$ and $\omega \to \pi^0\gamma$ ($\pi^0$ is assumed not to have any $s\bar{s}$ component); see Eq. (B2) of [58]. Using the most recent values from [75] we have

$$\frac{g_{\phi\gamma\pi^0}}{g_{\omega\gamma\pi^0}} = \frac{-0.137}{1.811} = -0.076\quad (A15)$$

and $\Delta\theta_V = \arctan(0.076) = 4.35^\circ$. In Refs. [83–85] a smaller value was found, $\Delta\theta_V \simeq 3.7^\circ$. In the following we shall use this latter value for $\Delta\theta_V$.

**Appendix B: Photoproduction of $\omega$ and $\phi$ mesons**

In order to estimate the coupling constants $a_{P\omega\omega}$ and $b_{P\omega\omega}$ we consider the reaction $\gamma p \to \omega p$. It is known, that in order to describe the intermediate $\gamma p$ energy region we should include not only pomeron exchange but also subleading reggeon exchanges. In Fig. 29 we show the two diagrams with diffractive exchanges which we shall take into account in our analysis. The diffractive amplitude for the $\gamma p \to \omega p$ reaction represented by the diagram (a) of Fig. 29 can be treated analogously as for the $\gamma p \to \rho^0 p$ reaction, see Sec. II and Eqs. (2.9)–(2.11) of [46], but with the replacements: $m_\rho \to m_\omega, \gamma_\rho \to \gamma_\omega$ [see

3 To calculate the coupling constants the expression (2.42) was used; see (31) of [58].
(3.25) of [41], \( a_{\rho\rho} \rightarrow a_{\rho\omega\omega}, b_{\rho\rho} \rightarrow b_{\rho\omega\omega} \). In our case \((\gamma\rho \rightarrow \omega\rho)\) the \(a_{2\rho}\)-reggeon exchange cannot be neglected due to the large value of the \(\gamma\rho_0\) coupling constant; see (3.23)-(3.25) of [41]. The propagators for \(\gamma, f_{2\rho}, \) and \(a_{2\rho}\) will be taken as in (3.10), and (3.12), respectively, of [41]. The couplings of \(\gamma, f_{2\rho}, \) and \(a_{2\rho}\) to the proton will be taken according to (3.43), (3.49), and (3.51), respectively, of [41]. Here, in analogy to \(\gamma\rho \rightarrow \rho_0\rho\), we take \(\Lambda_0^2 = 0.5\) GeV\(^2\) in the form factor \(F_M(t)\); see (2.11) of [46] and (3.34) of [41]. In Fig. 29 the diagram (b) represents the \(\phi\omega\) coupling term to the process \(\gamma\rho \rightarrow \omega\rho\). The procedure for determining the appropriate constants for this process is outlined below; see Eqs. (B3), (B6).

In order to estimate the relevant coupling parameters we shall assume that the \(f_{2\rho}\) couplings are similar to the \(f_{2\rho}\) ones. Then we take the default values for the \(f_{2\rho}\) and \(a_{2\rho}\) couplings estimated from VMD in Sec. 7.2, Eqs. (7.31), (7.32), (7.36), and (7.43), of [41]:

\[
a_{f_{2\rho}\omega\omega} \approx a_{f_{2\rho}\rho\rho} = 2.92\ \text{GeV}^{-3}, \quad b_{f_{2\rho}\omega\omega} \approx b_{f_{2\rho}\rho\rho} = 5.02\ \text{GeV}^{-1}, \quad b_{a_{2\rho}\rho\omega} = 2.56\ \text{GeV}^{-3}, \quad b_{a_{2\rho}\rho\rho} = 4.68\ \text{GeV}^{-1}.
\]

In [B2] we assume that both coupling constants are positive. To estimate the \(\gamma\rho\omega\) coupling constants we use the relation:

\[
2m_\omega^2 a_{\rho\omega\omega} + b_{\rho\omega\omega} = 4\beta_{\rho\pi\pi} = 7.04\ \text{GeV}^{-1},
\]

in analogy to the corresponding one for the \(\rho\) meson; see (7.27) of [41] and (2.13) of [46]. Note that \(a_{\rho\omega\omega}\) must be positive in order to have a positive \(\rho\pi\) total cross section for all \(\omega\) polarisations. This follows from (7.21) of [41] replacing there the \(\rho\) by the \(\omega\) meson.

In Fig. 30 we show the cross sections for the \(\gamma\rho \rightarrow \omega\rho\) reaction together with the experimental data. From the comparison of our results to the experimental data, taking first only the diagrams of Fig. 29(a) into account, we found that even a small (and positive) value of the \(a_{\rho\omega\omega}\) coupling leads to a reduction of the cross section. Therefore, for simplicity, we choose \(a_{\rho\omega\omega} = 0\) in (B3). The black solid line corresponds to the calculation including only the terms shown in the diagram (a) of Fig. 29. We used here the \(\gamma\rho\omega\) coupling constants

\[
a_{\rho\omega\omega} = 0, \quad b_{\rho\omega\omega} = 7.04\ \text{GeV}^{-1}
\]

and the parameters (B1) and (B2) for the reggeon exchanges. We recall that for all exchanges participating in the diagram (a) we take \(\Lambda_0^2 = 0.5\) GeV\(^2\) in the form factor \(F_M(t)\); see (3.34) of [41].

Now we include the off-diagonal terms from the diagram of Fig. 29(b). For estimating the coupling constants \(a_{\rho\phi\omega}\) and \(b_{\rho\phi\omega}\) we use (A14) and the determination of \(a_{\rho\phi\phi}\) and \(b_{\rho\phi\phi}\) from the discussion of the \(\gamma\rho \rightarrow \rho\phi\) reaction below. We get with the sets A and B, respectively, with \(\Delta\theta_V = 3.7^\circ\)

set A : \(a_{\rho\phi\omega} = 0.05\ \text{GeV}^{-3}, \quad b_{\rho\phi\omega} = 0.23\ \text{GeV}^{-1}, \quad \Lambda_{0,\rho\phi\omega}^2 = 1.0\ \text{GeV}^2; \) (B5)

set B : \(a_{\rho\phi\omega} = 0.07\ \text{GeV}^{-3}, \quad b_{\rho\phi\omega} = 0.19\ \text{GeV}^{-1}, \quad \Lambda_{0,\rho\phi\omega}^2 = 4.0\ \text{GeV}^2. \) (B6)

In a similar way the coupling parameters for \(f_{2\rho}\) exchange, \(a_{f_{2\rho}\phi\omega}\) and \(b_{f_{2\rho}\phi\omega}\), can be obtained. However, the \(f_{2\rho}\phi\phi\) couplings are expected to be very small. In practice, we
do not consider an $f_{2R}$-exchange contribution from the diagram of Fig. 31 (a). Here, we neglect also the $f_{2R}$ exchange from the diagram of Fig. 29 (b).

The blue solid line in Fig. 30 corresponds to the calculation including in addition to the processes from diagram (a) of Fig. 29 the $\phi$-$\omega$ mixing effect for the $\mathcal{P}$ exchange [see diagram (b) of Fig. 29]. Our model calculation describes the total cross section fairly well for energies $W_{\gamma p} > 10$ GeV. At low $\gamma p$ energies there are other processes contributing, such as the $\pi^0$-meson exchange, and the $\omega$ bremsstrahlung; see e.g. [18, 86] for reviews and details concerning the exclusive $\omega$ production. We nicely describe also the differential cross section $d\sigma/d|t|$. We have checked that the complete results including the $\phi$-$\omega$ mixing effect with sets A (B5) and B (B6) differ only marginally.

FIG. 30: Left panel: The elastic $\omega$ photoproduction cross section as a function of the center-of-mass energy $W_{\gamma p}$. Our results are compared with the ZEUS data [87] (at $\gamma p$ average c.m. energy $\langle W_{\gamma p} \rangle = 80$ GeV) and with a compilation of low-energy experimental data (open circles; see the caption of Fig. 2 of [18] for more references). The black solid line corresponds to results with both the pomeron and reggeon ($f_{2R}$, $a_{2R}$) exchanges. The black long-dashed line corresponds to the pomeron exchange alone while the black short-dashed line corresponds to the reggeon term. In the calculation we used the parameters of the coupling constants given by (B1), (B2) and (B4). The blue solid line corresponds to the complete result including the $\phi$-$\omega$ mixing effect (for the $\mathcal{P}$ exchange) with the parameter set A (B5). Right panel: The differential cross section for the $\gamma p \to \omega p$ reaction at $W_{\gamma p} = 80$ GeV. Our complete results, without (the black line) and with (the blue line) the mixing effect, are compared to the ZEUS data [87].

Next, we discuss the $\gamma p \to \phi p$ reaction. At high $\gamma p$ energies the pomeron exchange contribution, shown by the diagram (a) of Fig. 31, is the dominant one; see Sec. IV B of

---

4 A slight mismatch of our complete result with the ZEUS data may be due to the fact that the formula given by Eq. (B3), assuming that at high energies the total cross section for transversely polarised $\omega$ mesons equals the average of the $\pi^\pm p$ cross sections, is an approximate relation.
FIG. 31: Photoproduction of a φ meson (a) via pomeron and subleading $f_{2R}$ exchanges, and (b) as a result of ω-φ mixing.

[51]. As was mentioned in Sec. [1] in the low-energy region the corresponding production mechanism is not well established yet. There the nondiffractive processes of the pseudoscalar $\pi^0$- and $\eta$-meson exchange are known to contribute and are not negligible due to constructive $\eta$-$\pi^0$ interference; see, e.g., [58, 59]. In addition, many other processes, e.g., direct φ meson radiation via the $s$- and $u$-channel proton exchanges [58, 65], $s\bar{s}$-cluster knockout [57], $t$-channel $\sigma$, $f_2(1270)$- and $f_1(1285)$-exchanges [64] were considered. In [64] no vertex form factors were taken into account for the reggeized meson exchange contributions and instead of the $f_2(1270)$-exchange there one should consider $f'_2$-exchange with appropriate parameters. However, a peak in the differential cross sections $(d\sigma/dt)_{t_{min}}$ at forward angles around $E_\gamma \sim 2$ GeV ($W_{\gamma p} \sim 2.3$ GeV) observed by the LEPS [88, 89] and CLAS [90] collaborations cannot be explained by the processes mentioned above. To explain the near-threshold bump structure the authors of [61, 62, 65] propose to include exchanges with the excitation of nucleon resonances. In [60, 63] another explanation, using the coupled-channel contributions with the $\Lambda(1520)$ resonance, was investigated. In [63] the hadronic box diagrams with the dominant $K\Lambda(1520)$ rescattering amplitude in the intermediate state were treated only approximately in a coupled-channel formalism neglecting the real part of the transition amplitudes.

Implementation of the box diagrams in our four-body calculation is rather cumbersome. On the other hand, we expect that they do not play a crucial role for the $pp \to p p \phi$ reaction at the high energies of interest to us here.

In Fig. 32 we show the elastic φ photoproduction cross section as a function of the center-of-mass energy $W_{\gamma p}$ (left panel) and the differential cross section $d\sigma/d|t|$ (right panel). We show results for two parameter sets, set A and set B,

set A: $a_{P\phi\phi} = 0.81$ GeV$^{-3}$, $b_{P\phi\phi} = 3.60$ GeV$^{-1}$, $\Lambda^2_{0, P\phi\phi} = 1.0$ GeV$^2$, (B7)

set B: $a_{P\phi\phi} = 1.15$ GeV$^{-3}$, $b_{P\phi\phi} = 2.90$ GeV$^{-1}$, $\Lambda^2_{0, P\phi\phi} = 4.0$ GeV$^2$, (B8)

which were obtained based on the diagrams (a) and (b) of Fig. 31 including the diffractive ω-φ transition terms with

$$a_{P\tilde{\omega}\phi} = 0, \quad b_{P\tilde{\omega}\phi} = -0.46 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \quad \text{(B9)}$$

using (A13) and (B4). Similarly we obtain from (A13) and (B1), (B2)

$$a_{f_{2R}\tilde{\omega}\phi} = -\tan(\Delta \theta_V) a_{f_{2R}\omega\phi} = -0.19 \text{ GeV}^{-3},$$

$$b_{f_{2R}\tilde{\omega}\phi} = -\tan(\Delta \theta_V) b_{f_{2R}\omega\phi} = -0.33 \text{ GeV}^{-1}; \quad \text{(B10)}$$

$$a_{\tilde{a}_{2R}\rho\phi} = -\tan(\Delta \theta_V) a_{\tilde{a}_{2R}\rho\omega} = -0.17 \text{ GeV}^{-3},$$

$$b_{\tilde{a}_{2R}\rho\phi} = -\tan(\Delta \theta_V) b_{\tilde{a}_{2R}\rho\omega} = -0.30 \text{ GeV}^{-1}. \quad \text{(B11)}$$
FIG. 32: Left panel: The elastic \( \phi \) photoproduction cross section as function of the center-of-mass energy \( W_{\gamma p} \). Our results are compared with the HERA data \[73\] at \( W_{\gamma p} = 70 \text{ GeV} \) and with a compilation of low-energy experimental data (see the caption of Fig. 6 of \[51\] for references). The upper lines represent results for two parameter sets, set A and set B, including the \( \omega \to \phi \) transition terms with (B9), (B10), (B11). Here we take in (2.19), in set A (B7), \( \Lambda_{0, \Phi \phi}^2 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and, in set B (B8), \( \Lambda_{0, \Phi \phi}^2 = 4.0 \text{ GeV}^2 \). The lower red line represents the result for the diagram (a) of Fig. 31 only with the parameter set (B7). Right panel: The differential cross section \( d\sigma/d|t| \) for the \( \gamma p \to \phi p \) process. We show the ZEUS data at low \( |t| \) (at \( W_{\gamma p} = 70 \text{ GeV} \) and the squared photon virtuality \( Q^2 = 0 \text{ GeV}^2 \), solid marks, \[73\]) and at higher \( |t| \) (at \( W_{\gamma p} = 94 \text{ GeV} \) and \( Q^2 < 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2 \), open circles, \[74\]). Again, the results for the two parameter sets, set A (B7) and set B (B8), are presented.

Note that the parameter set (B7) for \( \Lambda_{0, \Phi \phi}^2 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2 \) is different than found by us in Sec. IV B of \[51\] (see Fig. 6 there)

\[
a_{\Phi \phi} = 0.49 \text{ GeV}^{-3}, \quad b_{\Phi \phi} = 4.27 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \quad \Lambda_{0, \Phi \phi}^2 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2,
\]

where the \( \omega-\phi \) mixing effect was not included. For comparison, the red lower line represents the result without the \( \omega-\phi \) mixing, i.e., it contains only the terms represented by the diagram (a) of Fig. 31 We can see from Fig. 32(right panel) that the parameter set B (B8) for \( \Lambda_{0, \Phi \phi}^2 = 4.0 \text{ GeV}^2 \) with the relevant values of the coupling constants \( a \) and \( b \) describes more accurately the \( t \) distribution.

In Fig. 33 we show the integrated cross section for the \( \gamma p \to \phi p \) reaction at low \( W_{\gamma p} \) energies. We can see that the diffractive pomeron and reggeon exchanges, even including the pseudoscalar and scalar meson exchange contributions, are not sufficient to describe the low-energy data. Here we want to examine the uncertainties of the photoproduction contribution due to the meson exchanges in the \( t \) channel. In the left panel, for the meson exchanges, we use the values of the coupling constants and the cut-off parameters from \[58\] while in the right panel we choose \( \Lambda_{M N N} = \Lambda_{\Phi \gamma M} = 1.2 \text{ GeV} \) in (2.43) and (2.44).

Our extrapolations of the cross section, using the theory applicable at high energies,
represents the experimental data roughly on the average. But the scatter of the experimental data is quite considerable. Thus, it is impossible for us to draw any further conclusions concerning these low-energy results at the moment.

FIG. 33: The elastic $\phi$ photoproduction cross section as a function of $W_{\gamma p}$ integrated over $|t| < 1\, \text{GeV}^2$. The theoretical results are compared with a compilation of low-energy experimental data from [91–93], and [56]. The open data points are taken from [64] (data was obtained there by integrating over the differential cross sections given in [90]). The solid lines correspond to a coherent sum of pomeron, $f_2$ reggeon, pseudoscalar, and scalar exchanges. For the diffractive component ($P + R$) we take the set $A$ of parameters from Fig. 32. The results for the pseudoscalar and scalar exchanges shown in the left panel were obtained with the parameters from [58]; see Sec. II C 1. In the right panel, for comparison, we show results obtained for different values of the cut-off parameters in the pseudoscalar term. Here we take $\Lambda_{MNN} = \Lambda_{\phi\gamma M} = 1.2\, \text{GeV}$ in (2.43) and (2.44).

Appendix C: The Collins-Soper frame

To make our present article self contained we give here the definition of the Collins-Soper (CS) frame used in our paper; see [53] and for general remarks on various reference frames of this type Appendix A of [33].

We go to the $K^+K^-$ or $\mu^+\mu^-$ rest frame for studying the reactions (2.1) or (3.1), respectively. Let $p_a, p_b$ be the three-momenta of the initial protons in this system. We define the unit vectors

$$\hat{p}_a = p_a/|p_a|, \quad \hat{p}_b = p_b/|p_b|.$$  

(C1)
The CS frame is then defined by the coordinate-axes unit vectors

\[
e_{1,\text{CS}} = \frac{\hat{p}_a + \hat{p}_b}{|\hat{p}_a + \hat{p}_b|},
\]

\[
e_{2,\text{CS}} = \frac{\hat{p}_a \times \hat{p}_b}{|\hat{p}_a \times \hat{p}_b|},
\]

\[
e_{3,\text{CS}} = \frac{\hat{p}_a - \hat{p}_b}{|\hat{p}_a - \hat{p}_b|}.
\]

(C2)

The angles \(\theta_{K^+,\text{CS}}\) and \(\phi_{K^+,\text{CS}}\) are the polar and azimuthal angles of the momentum vector \(\hat{p}_3\) in this system. We have

\[
\cos \theta_{K^+,\text{CS}} = \hat{p}_3 \cdot e_{3,\text{CS}}.
\]

(C3)
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