THE CHESS SURVEY OF THE L1157-B1 SHOCK REGION: CO SPECTRAL SIGNATURES OF JET-DRIVEN BOW SHOCKS
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ABSTRACT

The unprecedented sensitivity of Herschel coupled with the high resolution of the HIFI spectrometer permits studies of the intensity–velocity relationship \( I(v) \) in molecular outflows, over a higher excitation range than possible up to now. Over the course of the CHESS Key Program, we have observed toward the bright bow shock region L1157-B1, the CO rotational transitions between \( J = 5–4 \) and \( J = 16–15 \) with HIFI, and the \( J = 1–0, 2–1, \) and \( 3–2 \) with the IRAM 30 m and the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory telescopes. We find that all the line profiles \( I_{\text{CO}}(v) \) are well fit by a linear combination of three exponential laws \( \propto \exp(-|v/v_0|) \) with \( v_0 = 12.5, 4.4, \) and 2.5 km s\(^{-1}\). The first component dominates the CO emission at \( J \geq 13 \), as well as the high-excitation lines of SiO and H\(_2\)O. The second component dominates for \( 3 \leq J_{\text{up}} \leq 10 \) and the third one for \( J_{\text{up}} \leq 2 \). We show that these exponentials are the signature of quasi-isothermal shocked gas components: the impact of the jet against the L1157-B1 bow shock (\( T_k \simeq 210 \) K), the walls of the outflow cavity associated with B1 (\( T_k \simeq 64 \) K), and the older cavity L1157-B2 (\( T_k \simeq 23 \) K), respectively. Analysis of the CO line flux in the large-velocity gradient approximation further shows that the emission arises from dense gas \( n(\text{H}_2) \geq 10^{12} – 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) close to LTE up to \( J = 20 \). We find that the CO \( J = 2–1 \) intensity–velocity relation observed in various other molecular outflows is satisfactorily fit by similar exponential laws, which may hold an important clue to their entrainment process.
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1. INTRODUCTION

During the earliest protostellar stages of their evolution, young stars generate fast-collimated winds which impact against the parent cloud through shock fronts, generating slow “molecular outflows” of swept-up material. The intensity–velocity relationship \( I_{\text{CO}}(v) \) observed in low-J CO lines in molecular outflows has been studied by various authors as a possible test for discriminating among entrainment mechanisms. Downes & Cabrit (2003, hereafter DC03) showed that hydrodynamical simulations of jet-driven molecular outflows could successfully account for the observed relation \( I_{\text{CO}}(v) \) in CO \( J = 2–1 \). The sensitivity and range of excitation conditions explored were somewhat limited, however.

The heterodyne instrument, HIFI, on board Herschel now allows studies with unprecedented sensitivity of the dynamical evolution of gas in protostellar outflows and shocks at spectral and angular resolutions comparable to the largest ground-based single-dish telescopes (de Graauw et al. 2010). In particular, HIFI gives access to the CO ladder from \( J = 5–4 \) up to \( J = 16–15 \), probing a wide range of physical conditions.

As part of the CHESS Key Program dedicated to chemical surveys of star-forming regions (Ceccarelli et al. 2010), the outflow shock region L1157-B1 was investigated with Herschel. The protostellar outflow driven by the Class 0 proto-star L1157-mm (\( d = 250 \) pc; Looney et al. 2007) is the prototype of chemically rich bipolar outflows (see Bachiller et al. 2001 and references therein). Gueth et al. (1996) showed that the southern lobe of this molecular outflow consists of two cavities, likely created by the propagation of large bow shocks due to episodic events in a precessing, highly collimated jet. Located at the apex of the more recent cavity, the bright bow shock region B1 has been widely studied at millimeter and far-infrared wavelengths and has become a benchmark for magnetized shock models (see Gusdorf et al. 2008). Preliminary results (Codella et al. 2010) have confirmed the chemical richness of L1157-B1 and revealed the presence of multiple components with different excitation conditions coexisting in the B1 bow shock structure (Lefloch et al. 2010; Benedettini et al. 2012).

In this Letter, we report on high-sensitivity CO observations with HIFI of L1157-B1, from \( J = 5–4 \) up to \( 16–15 \), and complementary observations of the \( J = 1–0, 2–1 \) and \( 3–2 \) with the IRAM 30 m and the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory (CSO) telescope.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. The HIFI data

CO transitions between \( J = 5–4 \) and \( J = 16–15 \) were observed with HIFI at the position of L1157-B1 \( \alpha_{2000} = 20^{h}39^{m}10^{s}.2 \)
\( \delta_{2000} = +68^\circ01'10''.5 \). The observations were carried out in double beam switching mode. The receiver was tuned in double sideband and the Wide Band Spectrometer was used, providing a spectral resolution of 1.1 MHz, which was subsequently...
Table 1

| Transition | Frequency (GHz) | $E_{up}$ (K) | ObsID | $\eta_{mb}$ | HPBW (″) | rms (mK) | $g_1$ (K km s$^{-1}$) | $g_2$ (K km s$^{-1}$) | $g_3$ (K km s$^{-1}$) | Comment |
|------------|----------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| 1–0        | 115.27120      | 5.5         | ...   | 0.78        | 21.4      | 2.0      | 1.82                 | 13.8                 | 27.8                 | IRAM    |
| 2–1        | 230.53800      | 16.6        | ...   | 0.59        | 10.7      | 2.7      | 2.39                 | 29.7                 | 52.1                 | IRAM    |
| 3–2        | 345.79599      | 33.2        | ...   | 0.65        | 22.0      | 130      | ...                  | 42.9                 | 17.5                 | CSO     |
| 5–4        | 576.26793      | 83.0        | 1342181160 | 0.75    | 37.4      | 8.0      | 2.58                 | 39.8                 | 8.4                  | HIFI    |
| 6–5        | 691.47308      | 116.2       | 1342207066 | 0.75    | 30.7      | 5.0      | 3.03                 | 45.3                 | 7.5                  | HIFI    |
| 7–6        | 806.65180      | 154.9       | 1342207077 | 0.75    | 26.3      | 7.1      | 3.03                 | 36.9                 | 3.0                  | HIFI    |
| 7–6        | 806.65180      | 154.9       | 1342207624 | 0.75    | 26.3      | 7.1      | 3.03                 | 36.9                 | 3.0                  | HIFI    |
| 8–7        | 921.79970      | 199.1       | 1342201554 | 0.74    | 23.0      | 10.0     | 4.55                 | 23.8                 | ...                  | HIFI    |
| 9–8        | 1036.91239     | 248.9       | 1342200962 | 0.74    | 20.5      | 7.7      | 4.09                 | 23.8                 | ...                  | HIFI    |
| 10–9       | 1151.98544     | 304.2       | 1342207641 | 0.74    | 20.5      | 7.7      | 4.09                 | 23.8                 | ...                  | HIFI    |
| 13–12      | 1496.92291     | 503.2       | 1342214390 | 0.72    | 14.1      | 46       | 4.55                 | ...                  | ...                  | HIFI    |
| 16–15      | 1841.34551     | 751.8       | 1342196586 | 0.70    | 11.5      | 26       | 2.27                 | ...                  | ...                  | HIFI    |

Note. Telescope main-beam efficiency $\eta_{mb}$, half-power beamwidth, rms (per 1 km s$^{-1}$ velocity interval), and integrated fluxes of the shock components $g_1$, $g_2$, and $g_3$ are given. Temperatures are expressed in $T_A^*$ units.

degraded to reach a final velocity resolution of 0.5 km s$^{-1}$. The telescope parameters (main-beam efficiency $\eta_{mb}$, half-power beamwidth, HPBW) were adopted from Roelfsema et al. (2012; see Table 1).

The data were processed with the ESA-supported package HIPE 6\textsuperscript{9} (Herschel Interactive Processing Environment). FITS files from level 2 data were then created and transformed into GILDAS\textsuperscript{10} format for baseline subtraction and subsequent data analysis.

2.2. Complementary Ground-based Observations

The CO $J = 3–2$ line emission was mapped at the Nyquist spatial frequency across a region of $72'' \times 120''$ in the southern lobe of the L1157 outflow in 2009 June using the facility receivers and spectrometers of the CSO on Mauna Kea, Hawaii. Observations were carried out in position switching mode using a reference position 10' east from the nominal position of B1. Small contamination from the cloud was observed, resulting in a narrow dip at the cloud velocity $v_{lsr} = +2.6$ km s$^{-1}$ (Bachiller & Pérez Gutiérrez 1997). The data were taken under good to average weather conditions, with system temperatures $T_{sys}$ in the range 750–850 K. An FFTS was used as a spectrometer, which provided a nominal resolution of 0.1 km s$^{-1}$. The final resolution was degraded to 0.25 km s$^{-1}$. The final rms of the map is $\approx 0.25$ K per 0.25 km s$^{-1}$ velocity interval.

Deep integrations were performed at the frequency of the CO $J = 1–0$ and $J = 2–1$ transitions in 2011 June and August as part of an unbiased spectral survey of L1157-B1 at the IRAM 30 m telescope (B. Lefloch et al. 2012, in preparation). The EMIR receivers were connected to the 200 kHz resolution (FTS) spectrometers. Observations were carried out using a nutating secondary with a throw of 3', resulting in a narrow absorption feature at the cloud velocity.

The observations (frequency, ObsID) and the telescope parameters are summarized in Table 1. Line intensities are expressed in units of antenna temperature corrected for atmospheric attenuation (for ground-based observations) $T_A^*$.

3. RESULTS

3.1. CO Spectral Signatures

The CO line profiles are displayed in Figure 1 ($J_{up} \geq 5$), Figure 2(a) ($J = 1–0$, 2–1), and Figure 3 ($J = 3–2$) on a

\textsuperscript{9} HIPE is a joint development by the Herschel Science Ground Segment Consortium, consisting of ESA, the NASA Herschel Science Center, and the HIFI, PACS, and SPIRE consortia.

\textsuperscript{10} http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS
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**Figure 2.** (a) CO \( J = 1–0 \) and \( J = 2–1 \) line profiles observed toward L1157-B1 at IRAM. The line intensity is expressed on a logarithmic scale in corrected antenna temperature \( T_A^* \). The CO profiles are fit by a linear combination of three exponential functions \( g_1 \propto \exp(-|v/12.5|) \) (blue), \( g_2 \propto \exp(-|v/4.4|) \) (red), and \( g_3 \propto \exp(-|v/2.0|) \) (black). We superpose the fit to the full CO \( J = 1–0 \) and \( J = 2–1 \) emission with a dashed magenta line. (b) Similarity of the CO \( J = 16–15 \) \( (E_{\text{up}} = 750 \text{ K}; \text{thick black}), \text{H}_2O \ 312–303 \ (E_{\text{up}} = 215.2 \text{ K}; \text{red dotted}), \text{and SiO} \ J = 8–7 \) line profiles \( (E_{\text{up}} = 75.0 \text{ K}; \text{blue dashed}). \) (c) CO rotational diagrams at 20\arcsec spatial resolution for the three components: \( g_1 \) (blue), \( g_2 \) (red), and \( g_3 \) (black). (d) \( \chi^2 \) distribution of LVG slab models for \( g_1 \), \( g_2 \), and \( g_3 \). Contours levels of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 are drawn with solid, dashed, and dotted lines, respectively. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

- The high-excitation CO transitions \( J = 13–12 \) and \( J = 16–15 \) are well fit by the component \( g_1 \) alone, with \( v_0 = 12.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (Figure 1, top). In the lower \( J \) transitions, \( g_1 \) still dominates the emission at high velocity \( v \lesssim -20 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (Figures 1 and 2) and a simple scaling to the \( J = 16–15 \) line profile in this velocity range allows us to determine the total \( g_1 \) contribution to the integrated intensity of each CO line (Table 1). After removing the contribution of \( g_1 \), the \( J = 10–9 \) and \( J = 9–8 \) line profiles appear to be well reproduced by the \( g_2 \) component alone, with \( v_0 = 4.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (Figure 1). Hence, the same procedure as above was applied to estimate the total contribution of \( g_2 \) across the CO ladder (Table 1). An emission excess with respect to the \( g_2 \) and \( g_1 \) contributions is observed at velocities close to the cloud velocity at \( J \lesssim 7 \) and actually dominates the emission in the low excitation transitions \( J \lesssim 2 \) (Table 1). This “residual emission” is well fit by the third exponential function \( g_3 \propto \exp(-|v/2.5|) \), except for the transitions \( J \lesssim 2 \) for which \( v_0 \) has a slightly steeper value of \( \approx 2.0 \).

- This profile decomposition is justified by the fact that the \( ^{12}\text{CO} \) line emission is optically thin, as shown by comparison with \( ^{13}\text{CO} \) spectra, except at velocities very close to that of the ambient cloud for the low-\( J \) transitions.

- The fact that the slopes of \( g_1 \), \( g_2 \), and \( g_3 \) are independent of the CO transition considered is quite remarkable, and somewhat unexpected as one would naively assume the temperature gradients in shocked, accelerated gas to alter the shape of \( I_{\text{CO}}(v) \) depending on the CO rotational level. Instead, it seems that the upper energy of the level only changes the relative importance of each exponential component in the resulting profile. In the following section, we show that this behavior is due to each component probing a distinct spatial region with almost uniform excitation conditions.

### 3.2. Shock Origin and Physical Conditions

Figures 2(a) and 1 show that first \( g_3 \), then \( g_2 \), and finally \( g_1 \) dominate at progressively higher \( J_{\text{up}} \), which implies that the three components trace gas with progressively higher excitation conditions. We note that all three components emit over a wide range of velocities and all display an emission peak at velocities close to the cloud velocity. Therefore, their excitation conditions cannot be determined from a simple analysis (e.g., line ratios) in different velocity intervals. Instead, we use our CO profile decomposition, which yields the total flux of each component as a function of \( J_{\text{up}} \) (Table 1). The excitation conditions in each component are first obtained from a simple rotational diagram analysis of the HIFI and IRAM CO fluxes, after convolving to a common angular resolution of 20\arcsec (Figure 2(c)). Further constraints on the kinetic temperature and density of the CO gas are then obtained using a radiative transfer code in the large-velocity gradient (LVG) approximation assuming a plane parallel geometry. We used the \( \text{H}_2 \) collisional rate coefficients of Yang et al. (2010) and built a grid of models with density between 10\(^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and 10\(^7 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and temperature between 10 K and 1000 K (250 K) to determine the region of minimum \( \chi^2 \) as a function of density and temperature for \( g_1 \) (\( g_2 \) and \( g_3 \)). We adopted a typical line width \( \Delta v \) of 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} (\( g_1 \)), and 5 \text{ km s}^{-1} for (\( g_2 \) and \( g_3 \)).

#### 3.2.1. The \( g_1 \) Component

PACS observations of L1157-B1 have shown that the CO \( J = 16–15 \) emission arises from a small \( (\approx 7\arcmin–10\arcmin) \) region, which peaks at \( \approx 5\arcmin \) north with respect to the nominal position of B1, and is associated with a partly dissociative \( J \)-type shock in the region where the protostellar jet impacts the cavity (Benedettini et al. 2012). We conclude that \( g_1 \) is the spectral signature of this shock and refer to this gas component as the “\( g_1 \) shock” in subsequent discussion.

As shown in Figure 2(b), the \( g_1 \) component alone dominates the profiles of the \( \text{H}_2O \ 312–303 \) \( (E_{\text{up}} = 215 \text{ K}) \) and \( \text{SiO} \ J = 8–7 \) \( (E_{\text{up}} = 75 \text{ K}) \) lines, observed respectively with HIFI (G. Busquet et al., in preparation) and the IRAM 30 m telescope (C. Codella et al., in preparation). In spite of large differences in their upper level energies, an excellent match is observed at all velocities between those tracers and the CO \( J = 16–15 \) \( (E_{\text{up}} = 751.8 \text{ K}) \), except in the low-velocity range of the \( \text{SiO} \ J = 8–7 \) transition, where a slight excess is observed. These three transitions are therefore probing the same physical region and the similarity of their line profiles justifies our use of the CO \( J = 16–15 \) as a template for determining the contribution of \( g_1 \) to each CO transition.

Given the wide range of CO transitions and frequencies considered, the variations of the coupling of the telescope beam...
with the (off-centered) compact g1 shock must be taken into account. This was done by convolving a fully sampled map of the SiO J = 8–7 emission obtained at the IRAM 30 m telescope (C. Codella et al., in preparation) to the resolution of the HIFI beams of the CO transitions J = 5–4 up to J = 8–7. The J = 16–15 line flux in a 20″ beam was directly obtained by convolving the PACS image of Benedettini et al. (2012) and the J = 13–12 line flux was estimated under the assumption that the ratio of J = 13–12/J = 16–15 is preserved when degrading the resolution from 14″ (10″/7) to 20″. This is supported by the fact that both lines show similar profiles. Since the IRAM J = 2–1 and HIFI J = 16–15 observations have very similar angular resolution (Table 1), the J = 2–1 line flux was estimated under the same assumption as for J = 13–12.

The rotational diagram of g1 is shown in Figure 2(c). The level populations in the HIFI range are well fit by a single rotational temperature T_rot = 206 K and a beam-averaged column density N(CO) = 2.3 × 10^{15} cm^{-2}. The populations of the levels J_{up} = 1 and J_{up} = 2 lie a factor of 3–7 above this trend, and could be the signature of a lower excitation component. LVG calculations were then carried out taking into account the CO line fluxes from J = 5–4 to J = 20–19, using the HIFI and PACS data (see Benedettini et al., 2012). The results are shown in Figure 2(d) in the form of χ^2 contours. The best-fit solution (χ^2 = 0.35) is obtained for T_k = 210 K and n(H_2) ≥ a few 10^6 cm^{-3}, and a source-averaged column density N(CO) = 0.9 × 10^{16} cm^{-2} for a source size of ≈10″. While our results are consistent with our previous PACS analysis (Benedettini et al., 2012), they favor dense solutions close to LTE with n(H_2) ≥ 10^6 cm^{-3} and kinetic temperatures in the range 200–300 K (Figure 2(d)).

### 3.2.2. The g2 Component

Our CSO map shows that the CO J = 3–2 outflow emission is dominated by the g2 component all over the B1 cavity, from the driving protostar L1157 mm down to the bow shock L1157-B1, at the cavity apex (Figure 3). The exponent of g2 remains constant at all the positions observed. This suggests that the g2 component arises from the shocked gas in the walls of the B1 cavity.

The excitation conditions in the g2 component were derived by scaling the CO fluxes at each J to a common angular resolution of 20″, following the same procedure as described above. The coupling between the telescope beam and the source was estimated from the convolution of our CO J = 3–2 map to the resolution of the different HIFI beams. The data are well fit by a single rotational temperature T_rot ≈ 64 K and a beam-averaged column density N(CO) = 4.0 × 10^{16} cm^{-2}. Our LVG calculations again favor an LTE solution with density above 10^5 cm^{-3} and kinetic temperature in the range 60–80 K (see Figure 2(d)). The best-fit solution (χ^2 = 0.27) is obtained for n(H_2) ≥ 1.0 × 10^6 cm^{-3}, T_k = 64 K, and a source-averaged column density N(CO) = 0.9 × 10^{17} cm^{-2} for a typical source size of 20″. Such a value of T_k is in good agreement with the value derived by Tafalla & Bachiller (1995) from multi-transition NH3 observations using the Very Large Array. The bulk of NH3 emission arises from the cavity walls and peaks at the apex of B1 (Tafalla & Bachiller 1995), supporting our interpretation of the g2 component as tracing this cavity.

### 3.2.3. The g3 Component

The g3 component was identified as the residual emission in the CO line profile, in addition to the contributions of g1 and g2. The CSO data bring some insight into the spatial origin of this component. The CO J = 3–2 emission from the older B2 cavity, south of B1, is seen to follow the same intensity-velocity distribution I_{CO}(v) ∝ exp(−|v|/2.0) as the g3 component observed toward B1 (see bottom panel in Figure 3). We thus speculate that g3 is actually tracing shocked gas from the previous ejection, which led to the formation of the B2 outflow cavity.

The excitation conditions in the g3 component were derived by scaling the CO fluxes at each J to a common angular resolution of 20″, following the same procedure as described above. A rotational diagram analysis of the g3 emission yields T_rot = 26 K and a beam-averaged gas column density N(CO) = 2.6 × 10^{16} cm^{-2}. Our LVG calculations again favor an LTE solution with density ≥ 10^16 cm^{-3} and T_k ≈ 23 K (Figure 2(d)). The best-fit solution was obtained for a source size of 25″ and a source-averaged column density N(CO) ≈ 1.0 × 10^{17} cm^{-2}. The lower temperature of g3 compared to g2 is consistent with the B2 cavity being older than B1, thus having experienced more post-shock cooling (Gueth et al. 1996 estimated an age of 3000 yr and 2000 yr for the outflow cavities associated with bow shocks B2 and B1, respectively).

### 3.3. The Relation I_{CO}(v) Revisited

Previous work described the relation I_{CO}(v) in outflows by a broken power law, I_{CO}(v)∝ v^{-γ} with γ ≈ 1.8 up to line-of-sight velocities v_{li}≈ 10–30 km s^{-1} and a steeper slope γ ≈ 3–7 at higher velocities. This behavior is successfully reproduced...
by jet-driven flows, as a result of CO dissociation above shock speeds of 20 km s$^{-1}$ and of the temperature dependence of the line emissivity (see DC03). However, the underlying bow shock model predicts a power-law at low velocities on long timescales, rather than an exponential law, as observed in L1157-B1. It also predicts a continuous range of temperatures in the swept-up gas, rather than an exponential law, as observed in L1157-B1. We conclude that an exponential relation $I_{\text{CO}}(v) \propto \exp(-|v/v_0|)$ is a good approximation to the observed intensity relation not only in L1157-B1 but in molecular outflows in general, with a reduced number of free parameters compared to a broken power law.

Our second main finding, that the exponential components in L1157-B1 appear quasi-isothermal and close to LTE, also has important implications. First, it shows that HIFI data are crucial in resolving ambiguities between sub-LTE versus LTE fits to CO excitation diagrams based on PACS data (Benedettini et al. 2012; Neufeld 2012). Second, it shows that the CO flux up to $J_{\text{up}} = 16$ is dominated in each component by the densest, coolest post-shock gas. Since such dense gas already reached a final constant speed, the broad velocity range of each exponential may require a broad range of view angles and/or shock speeds within the telescope beam. The exact origin of this spectral shape remains to be explained and may hold an important clue to entrainment and shock dynamics in molecular outflows.
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