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ABSTRACT

The objective of this study is to present disaggregation coefficients for intense daily rainfalls for E2-046 rainfall station, located at Caraguatatuba, São Paulo state. The data obtained through rain gauge refer to a continuous period (31 years of series) and had a strong correlation with the measurements of the rain gauge, validating the pluviographs reading, which attributed greater reliability to the results. The average coefficient “24 hours”/“1-day” found for the study region was 1.075, which differs significantly from the values found in literature. The relative deviation considering other durations, and consequently other precipitation coefficients in relation to the mean values of the disaggregation values of the E2-046 station reached 22.06% (18 hours/“24 hours”). The relative deviation of rainfall disaggregation coefficients of the station studied in relation to the mean coefficients for the cities of São Paulo State to small durations was quite high, reaching almost 50% (10 minutes /“24 hours”). This reinforces the need of using local disaggregation coefficients.
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RESUMO

O objetivo deste trabalho é apresentar coeficientes de desagregação para chuvas intensas diárias do posto E2-046, situado em Caraguatatuba - SP. Os dados obtidos por meio do pluviógrafo se referem a um período contínuo (31 anos de série) e possuem forte correlação com as leituras do pluviômetro, validando as leituras do pluviógrafo, o que atribuiu maior confiabilidade aos resultados. O coeficiente médio “24 horas”/“1 dia” encontrado para a região de estudo foi 1.075, o qual difere dos valores encontrados na literatura. O desvio relativo considerando outras durações, e consequentemente outros coeficientes de precipitação, em relação à média da literatura chegou a 22.06% (18 horas/“24 horas”). O desvio relativo de coeficientes de desagregação para pequenas durações do posto estudado em relação à média de coeficientes para as cidades do Estado de São Paulo foi bastante elevado, atingindo quase 50% (10 minutos/“24 horas”). Tais desvios reforçam a necessidade de utilização de coeficientes de desagregação locais.
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INTRODUCTION

The knowledge of characteristics of the maximum rainfalls is fundamental to design hydraulic projects and the management of the water resources in engineering. In complex projects such as spillways, dams and bridges, estimation of the floods that may occur as well as their frequency assists and provides guidelines to design hydraulic structures.

Hydrological data of several basins do not always exist or, if they exist, they are not always available. Rainwater monitoring networks may not have pluviograph coupled to rain gauges, which prevents recording of rainfall data.

In this study, the maximum rainfall recorded during a 24 hours interval, starting at any time, will be defined as maximum rainfall “24 hours”. It shall not be confused with “1-day” rainfall that refers to the maximum rainfall observed in 1-day, always collected at the same time, considered here from 7 a.m. to 7 a.m. of the following day.

In this case, methods of daily rainfalls disaggregation into “24 hours” rainfalls or other rainfalls with shorter durations are used, allowing to obtain specific rainfall intensities. With the breakdown of the rainy season, it is also possible to generate curves of intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) from daily rainfall data provided by rain gauges.

Pfäffetter (1957) was the pioneer in Brazil establishing these correlations and for durations shorter than one day. However, 3 of the 98 stations investigated by that author, have less than five years of observation of rain gauges, and 89 stations did not reach 30 years of data. Reduced observation periods are prone to criticism because short historical series are subject to statistical fluctuations, which can result in “false” maxima rainfall. According to Genovez and Zuffo (2000), in the second edition of Pfäffetter's work (Pfäffetter, 1982), 25 years later, there was no change in the data. For this reason, these authors recommend the use of the referred work only when one doesn't have more adequate data.

There are several other published works about rainfall disaggregation coefficients: Occhipinti and Santos (1966) developed such coefficients for São Paulo city, while Taborga (1974) and CETESB (1986) generalized it throughout Brazil; Cardoso et al. (1998) developed the same work specifically for Santa Catarina, while Genovez and Zuffo (2000) have done it for some cities in São Paulo State. Silveira (2000) did the same for the Rio Grande do Sul, while Back (2009) and Back et al. (2012), did it for Santa Catarina.

In Brazil, there is a tendency to a generalization of these coefficients of disaggregation of rainfall (OCCHIPINTI; SANTOS, 1966; TABORGA, 1974). However, Bell (1969), conducted a study about correlations in the United States which concluded that there are geographical systematic variations of these coefficients; uncertainty about this generalization comes from convective rains that are responsible for short and strong rainfall intensities. Therefore, CETESB (1986) stresses that generalization of disaggregation coefficient of rainfall from “1-day” to “24 hours” should be viewed with reservation, since unfortunately there wasn't in Brazil research of the same nature that produced these figures in the United States. Another point highlighted by Genovez and Zuffo (2000) is that the disaggregation coefficients presented are based on very short periods to be admitted as representative for the whole country. Back et al. (2012) complement that national average values should be revised for those of regional averages, contemplating, therefore, the predominant precipitations in each region.

Furthermore, Genovez and Zuffo (2000) warn that the use of different coefficients for transforming daily rainfall into “24 hours” rainfall, even if they are close, introduce differences between the methods of determination of IDF curves.

Bell (1969) adds that the correlations do not vary significantly in relation to the return period, i.e. they are independent of frequency. However, the same author made comparisons between data from Australia, the United States, and Russia and concluded that geographical systematic patterns certainly occur and are unquestionable. In addition, Tucci (2009) also warns that the factor that relates the “24 hours” and “1-day” durations may be lower for regions where convective precipitation are predominant. The referred author also noticed a slight tendency influence of the period of return.

Both Bell (1969) and Chen (1983) have developed similar techniques, using different forms of relationship time-duration and frequency for any place in the United States.

Genovez and Zuffo (2000) complement Bell (1969) and conclude that empirical disaggregation coefficients do not replace rain gauge information of any site in study, but may assist with a reasonable estimate for regions where pluviographs data are scarce and only pluviometer(s) data are available.

Recently, Teodoro et al. (2014) developed intense rainfall equations for the municipality of Águas daua / MS by using three different methodologies of daily rainfall disaggregation (CETESB; 1986; SILVEIRA, 2000; BACK et al., 2012). It was found that the coefficients of disaggregation of daily rainfall influence considerably the determination of parameters of the IDF equation. Silva Neto et al. (2017) determined a constant of disaggregation of intense rainfalls for Tocantins State and proceeded the comparison of these constants with those obtained for other regions of Brazil. The comparison between results and the studies developed for other Brazilian regions showed variations up to -62.30%, leading to the conclusion that the use of local constants is important in the process of rainfalls disaggregation.

Abreu (2018) evaluated the assumptions of the Rainfall Relation Method of Different Durations (RRDD), analyzing pluviograph and pluviometry data and rainfall stations distributed in Minas Gerais state. The author concluded that in 93% of the cases there was no trend of the disaggregation coefficients as a function of the return period and that the analysis with local coefficients tended to increase the effectiveness of the RRDD method, providing smaller errors and better fitting statistics.

This work aims to present disaggregation coefficients for maximum daily rainfall of E2-046 rain gauge station, located in Caraguatatuba, on the north coast of São Paulo state. The specific coefficients from this station are compared to those presented in the literature in several papers published in Brazil. By means of pluviographic data, disaggregation rainfall coefficients were obtained for less than one-day duration for the climatic reality of this region.

The section “Study Area” introduces the study area and the sub-basin. The section “Materials and Method” presents the data obtained by the pluviograph and the calculation methodology. The section “Results and discussion” presents the daily disaggregation coefficient for any duration and return period, compared with values already published in the literature. In the “Conclusion”, an overview of the authors and suggestions for future work are presented.
STUDY AREA: JUQUERIQUERÊ RIVER BASIN

The region of study is the northern coast of São Paulo state, more specifically the Juqueriquerê River basin (Figure 1), which has a drainage area of 419.36 km². This river basin belongs to subbasin no. 34 of North Coast River Basin, UGRHI-5 (Unidade de Gerenciamento de Recursos Hídricos or Water Resources Management Unit) being the largest subbasin in terms of territorial area.

Caraguatatuba city has been suffering from constant floods over the years. The region is highlighted by the growing development due to recent construction works, like the new Port of São Sebastião and the duplication of the Tamoios Highway.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rainfall data derived from E2-046 rain gauge station

The rainfall data derived from E2-046 rain gauge station were recorded by a mechanical pluviograph. Throughout the 31-year period (1971 to 2001), 545 heavy rainfalls were read (Table 1), and they were considered as the major rainfalls, which means, total precipitates above 20 mm. Rainfall totals less than 20 mm were neglected for reading heavy rainfalls. Through reading these 545 rainfalls, it was possible to obtain the intensity for each duration during the 31 years of data. Some rainfalls had a short duration, like 20 minutes and others lasted 8,010 minutes, that is, almost 6 days. The “24 hours” rainfall of the rain gauge is obtained through the maximum intensity for a duration of 1,440 minutes.

Rainfall recorded by the rain gauge (located at the same station E2-046) is done at 7h. In this case, it is only possible to get the total daily precipitation, which is from 7 a.m. to 7 a.m. on the following day.

DAEE/SP (Department of Water and Electric Energy) made available the data, which were manually read and processed by the Data Processing Company of São Paulo State (Prodesp).

Furthermore, the moving averages were worked in a computational algorithm to generate the maximum intensities in 10, 20, 30, 60, 120, 180, 360, 720, 1080 and 1440 minutes in duration, for all recorded rainfalls.

Correlation between rainfalls of different durations

The magnitude of a hydrological event can be represented as the mean added to the product of the standard deviation with the frequency factor (KITE, 1977; MAYS, 2010). Chow (1964) proposed the following equation:

\[ T = \mu + K_T S \]

where is the average of the annual maximum values, frequency factor that varies with the number of years of sample data and the period of return and is the standard deviation of the sample.

After calculating the magnitude of the intensities (equation 1) of all the rainfalls, it was possible to calculate the coefficient of disaggregation of rainfall, which is defined by:

\[ C = \frac{X_{24h}}{X_T} \frac{1}{24h} \frac{1}{P_{\text{max}}} \]

where is the relation “24 hours”/“1-day”, the maximum annual intensity recorded by the pluviograph (mm/min), is the duration of the rainfall (in min) and (in mm) is the annual maximum daily rainfall recorded by the rain gauge.

Figure 1. Location of Juqueriquerê River basin and location of the E2-046 rain gauge station of DAEE/SP.
After the correlation between the daily rainfalls and the “24 hours” rainfalls was obtained, disaggregation was carried with the 545 rainfalls derived from the E2-046 rain gauge station. The correlations were made from rainfall ratios of 10, 20, 30, 60, 120, 180, 360, 720 and 1080 minutes with respective rainfalls of “24 hours”. These are the standard durations for IDF generation (CETESB, 1986). Otherwise, Pfafstetter (1957) established these durations in such a way that a geometric progression of ratio 2 was formed in order to allow a curve to easily join the results in logarithmic scale. These correlations allow determining rainfall intensities with durations of less than “1-day”, that is, from daily data obtained from a rain gauge.

The ratios were calculated according to the equation 3:

\[ R \left( \frac{y_{24h}}{y_{1d}} \right) = \frac{X_r(d_r)}{X_r(d')} \]  

(3)

where \( R \left( \frac{y_{24h}}{y_{1d}} \right) \) is the relationship between rainfalls with two specific durations, \( y \) is the magnitude of rainfall intensities recorded by the pluviograph for any duration of \( d \) and \( d' \).

The data from rain gauge station E2-046 were submitted to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov goodness of fit test, as modified by Lilliefors as presented Martins (2018).

RESULTS E DISCUSSION

Initially, the relation between the maximum annual rainfall of “24 hours” recorded in the pluviometer and the maximum annual rainfall of one day, recorded in the rain gauge.

The data presented here are analyzed according to the distribution model of Gumbel-Chow extreme values (Chow, 1964). Table 2 shows the calculation of the rainfall disaggregation coefficient obtained by equation 1 and 2.

According to Genovez and Zuffo (2000), the value of the ratio precipitations “24 hours” / “1-day” should always be greater than or equal to 1.0. If the values are lower than 1.0 then the data series should be reviewed.

In 6 of the 31 years of rainfall data (Table 2), the daily maximums exceeded those of “24 hours”. The rain gauge and pluviometer are in the same station, although they are different equipment. Therefore, some real conditions may result in small variations between the two measures. As an example, the reading time of the rain gauge may not be accurate, resulting in a rainfall lasting more than 24 hours being counted as daily rainfall. Eventually, the rain gauge may lock during siphoning and may not register a whole rainfall somehow. Facts like this can cause dispersion and difference between rain gauge and pluviograph

### Table 1. Number of annual heavy daily rainfall recorded in the period 1971 to 2001 for E2-046 rain gauge station.

| Years | Number of rainfall records |
|-------|-----------------------------|
| 1971  | 1                           |
| 1972  | 2                           |
| 1973  | 2                           |
| 1974  | 14                          |
| 1975  | 23                          |
| 1976  | 30                          |
| 1977  | 6                           |
| 1978  | 16                          |
| 1979  | 16                          |
| 1980  | 27                          |
| 1981  | 14                          |
| 1982  | 19                          |
| 1983  | 30                          |
| 1984  | 15                          |
| 1985  | 22                          |
| 1986  | 30                          |
| 1987  | 22                          |
| 1988  | 27                          |
| 1989  | 18                          |
| 1990  | 21                          |
| 1991  | 16                          |
| 1992  | 22                          |
| 1993  | 13                          |
| 1994  | 18                          |
| 1995  | 22                          |
| 1996  | 18                          |
| 1997  | 15                          |
| 1998  | 28                          |
| 1999  | 14                          |
| 2000  | 11                          |
| 2001  | 13                          |
| **Total** | **545**                  |

Table 2. Maximum daily values obtained by pluviometer and from rain gauge station E2-046 in the period 1971 to 2001.

| Years | “24 hours” | “1-day” | “24 hours”/ “1-day” |
|-------|------------|---------|---------------------|
| 1971  | 171.4      | 183.8   | 0.932               |
| 1972  | 96.5       | 90.5    | 1.066               |
| 1973  | 135.4      | 92.3    | 1.467               |
| 1974  | 141.1      | 129.0   | 1.094               |
| 1975  | 148.3      | 144.9   | 1.024               |
| 1976  | 265.0      | 224.6   | 1.180               |
| 1977  | 38.9       | 42.8    | 0.908               |
| 1978  | 154.1      | 139.6   | 1.04                |
| 1979  | 122.0      | 70.6    | 1.729               |
| 1980  | 82.1       | 33.3    | 2.465               |
| 1981  | 169.9      | 155.9   | 1.090               |
| 1982  | 99.4       | 96.5    | 1.030               |
| 1983  | 102.2      | 75.5    | 1.354               |
| 1984  | 67.0       | 61.8    | 1.083               |
| 1985  | 125.3      | 109.4   | 1.145               |
| 1986  | 89.3       | 67.3    | 1.327               |
| 1987  | 66.2       | 55.9    | 1.185               |
| 1988  | 79.2       | 58.8    | 1.347               |
| 1989  | 96.5       | 80.9    | 1.193               |
| 1990  | 123.8      | 120.7   | 1.026               |
| 1991  | 79.2       | 56.2    | 1.409               |
| 1992  | 118.1      | 120.2   | 0.982               |
| 1993  | 172.8      | 100.0   | 1.728               |
| 1994  | 121.0      | 127.3   | 0.950               |
| 1995  | 90.7       | 91.0    | 0.997               |
| 1996  | 141.1      | 140.6   | 1.004               |
| 1997  | 99.4       | 98.2    | 1.012               |
| 1998  | 95.0       | 69.8    | 1.362               |
| 1999  | 90.7       | 70.2    | 1.292               |
| 2000  | 116.6      | 99.8    | 1.169               |
| 2001  | 49.7       | 51.5    | 0.965               |

Martins et al. (2017a).
Table 3. Coefficients “24 hours”/“1-day” found in the literature.

| Source                          | C       | Location         |
|--------------------------------|---------|------------------|
| U. S. Weather Bureau (1962)    | 1.130   | Hawai            |
| Weiss (1964)                   | 1.14    | United States    |
| Occhipinti and Santos (1966)   | 1.136   | São Paulo county |
| Taborga (1974)                 | 1.095   | Brazil           |
| Magni (1984)                   | 1.040   | São Paulo county |
| Genovez e Pegoraro (1998)      | 1.110   | São Paulo state  |
| Back et al. (2012)             | 1.170   | Santa Catarina   |
| Present work                   | 1.075   | Caraguatatuba    |

*36 years of historical data and **49 years of historical data.

Table 4. Coefficients “24 hours”/“1-day” obtained by Gumbel-Chow (Chow, 1964).

| Tr   | 2  | 5  | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 50 | 100 |
|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| C    | 1.114 | 1.085 | 1.075 | 1.071 | 1.068 | 1.066 | 1.062 | 1.058 |

C (average value) = 1.075.

Table 5. Coefficients “24 hours”/“1-day” derived from E2-046 rain gauge station compared with some values obtained in the literature.

| Location          | 10 min | 20 min | 30 min | 60 min | 120 min | 180 min | 360 min | 720 min | 1080 min | 1440 min |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
|                  | 30 min | 60 min | 1440 min | 30 min | 60 min | 1440 min | 30 min | 60 min | 1440 min | 30 min | 60 min | 1440 min |
|                  | 1.044  | 0.759  | 0.685  | 0.390  | 0.522   | 0.596   | 0.714   | 0.843   | 0.920    | 1.075   |
| Caraguatatuba    | Average|        |        |        |         |         |         |         |          |         |
| Occhipinti and Santos (1966) | 0.54 | 0.81 | 0.74 | 0.42 | - | - | 0.72 | 0.85 | - | 1.14 |
| Magni (1984)     | 0.51  | 0.8   | 0.74  | 0.51  | - | - | 0.78 | 0.88 | - | - |
| U.S. Weather Bureau (1962) | 0.57 | - | 0.79 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.13 |
| Denver           | 0.63  | 0.84 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Average          | 0.563 | 0.817 | 0.757 | 0.465 | 0.750 | 0.865 | 1.135 |
| Deviation (%)    | 5.75  | 2.90 | 3.56 | 3.73 | 1.81 | 1.11 | 3.01 |
| Relative error (%)| -20.44 | -0.71 | -9.41 | -16.03 | -4.82 | -2.56 | -5.30 |
\[ c = 0.001606 \ln^4(d) + 0.032390 \ln^3(d) - 0.23853 \ln^2(d) - 0.98198 \ln(d) + 0.54489, \] (4)

where \( d \) (min) is a duration to which the correlation is desired and the dimensionless \( c \) is the correlation obtained for the chosen duration.

Table 6 presents disaggregation values for the durations commonly used in drainage design. In fact, average values that don’t match with the reality of any catchment basin may lead to wrong calculation of any basin exutory flow, leading to wrong hydraulic design.

Figure 2 illustrates the graphed values of average correlations obtained from Table 6. The Equation 4 was used to adjust a curve to data series of Caraguatatuba. Such adjustment was performed with \( R^2=0.99 \).

This curve represents the adjustment of the average values provided by Table 5, where they were generated by Equation 4 for the selected durations: 10, 20, 30, 60, 120, 180, 360, 720 and 1080 minutes of the data source derived from the E2-046 rain gauge.

Silveira (2000) already discussed about the difficulty of obtaining rainfall values for intermediate duration, as disaggregation coefficients available in the Brazilian literature are usually presented tabular form.

In Table 7, a comparison was made of disaggregation coefficients for some cities of Sao Paulo state defined by basic guidelines of the urban drainage design of Sao Paulo County (FCTH, 1999). Unfortunately the size and availability period of the data was not detailed and this information can vary greatly the correlations, as the case of E3-149 rain gauge station, that the authors comment on just that the values are widely varied. A extend and reliable historical data series are believed to produce better correlations.

For short durations, the deviation in relation to the average of other cities exceeds 100%, decreasing, however, with the raising of the duration. In other words, the establishing of average rainfall coefficients for short durations may cause completely wrong intensities.

We believe that for shorts return periods, that is to say, shorter than 15 years, it is recommended the adoption of average disaggregation, according to Table 6, because the values would be insecure safety to micro drainage design. Already for return periods greater than 15 years, it is strongly recommended the rainfall disaggregation should follow the Gumbel-Chow methodology presented in this work.

### Table 6. Rainfall disaggregation obtained to E2-046 rain gauge station.

| Tr (years) | 10 min | 20 min | 30 min | 60 min | 120 min | 180 min | 360 min | 720 min | 1080 min |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
|            | 1440 min | 1440 min | 1440 min | 1440 min | 1440 min | 1440 min | 1440 min | 1440 min | 1440 min |
| 2          | 0.111  | 0.188  | 0.242  | 0.340  | 0.449   | 0.520   | 0.652   | 0.804   | 0.905    |
| 5          | 0.118  | 0.200  | 0.262  | 0.380  | 0.507   | 0.580   | 0.701   | 0.835   | 0.917    |
| 10         | 0.120  | 0.203  | 0.268  | 0.392  | 0.524   | 0.599   | 0.716   | 0.844   | 0.920    |
| 15         | 0.121  | 0.205  | 0.271  | 0.397  | 0.531   | 0.606   | 0.721   | 0.848   | 0.922    |
| 20         | 0.121  | 0.205  | 0.272  | 0.399  | 0.535   | 0.610   | 0.725   | 0.850   | 0.922    |
| 25         | 0.121  | 0.206  | 0.273  | 0.401  | 0.538   | 0.613   | 0.727   | 0.851   | 0.923    |
| 50         | 0.122  | 0.207  | 0.275  | 0.406  | 0.545   | 0.620   | 0.733   | 0.855   | 0.924    |
| 100        | 0.123  | 0.208  | 0.277  | 0.409  | 0.550   | 0.625   | 0.737   | 0.857   | 0.925    |
| Average    | 0.120  | 0.203  | 0.267  | 0.390  | 0.522   | 0.596   | 0.714   | 0.843   | 0.920    |

Figure 2. Correlation of observed durations at E2-046 rain gauge between the years 1971 and 2001.
Table 7. Comparison of the disaggregation between coefficients obtained from E2-046 rain gauge station and coefficients of Alto do Tietê (FCTH, 1999).

| Prefix | Location         | Rainfall disaggregation coefficients of “24 hours” (dimensionless) | Duration (min) |
|--------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| E3-032 | Mogi das Cruzes  | 0.218 0.308 0.354 0.434 0.526 0.585 0.701 0.838 0.929          |
| E3-034 | Cotia            | 0.237 0.328 0.375 0.456 0.544 0.603 0.715 0.845 0.932          |
| E3-047 | Franco da Rocha  | 0.186 0.271 0.316 0.398 0.491 0.553 0.675 0.822 0.922          |
| E3-068 | Itapevercera     | 0.243 0.334 0.380 0.461 0.550 0.608 0.719 0.847 0.933          |
| E3-148 | Mauá             | 0.247 0.339 0.386 0.465 0.554 0.612 0.722 0.849 0.935          |
| E3-149 | Santo André      | 0.099 0.167 0.206 0.282 0.376 0.443 0.583 0.764 0.894          |
| E3-150 | Rudge Ramos      | 0.230 0.319 0.365 0.447 0.536 0.594 0.708 0.842 0.931          |
| E3-142 | S. B. Campo      | 0.179 0.264 0.309 0.390 0.483 0.546 0.669 0.818 0.920          |
| E3-085 | S. C. do Sul     | 0.245 0.335 0.382 0.463 0.552 0.609 0.719 0.848 0.934          |
| E3-251 | USP              | 0.262 0.353 0.400 0.480 0.568 0.624 0.731 0.856 0.937          |
| E3-096 | Ponte Pequena    | 0.248 0.339 0.385 0.466 0.554 0.612 0.721 0.850 0.935          |
| E3-035 | IAG              | 0.234 0.323 0.370 0.450 0.540 0.599 0.711 0.844 0.932          |
| E3-052 | Congonhas        | 0.268 0.360 0.407 0.486 0.573 0.629 0.735 0.858 0.938          |
| E3-007 | M. Santana       | 0.279 0.372 0.418 0.497 0.583 0.638 0.742 0.862 0.940          |
| Average|                 | 0.240 0.331 0.378 0.459 0.547 0.606 0.717 0.846 0.933          |
| E2-046 | Caraguatatuba    | 0.120 0.203 0.267 0.390 0.522 0.596 0.714 0.843 0.920          |
| Average| (average value)  | 100.62 63.21 41.16 17.42 4.71 1.53 0.44 0.37 1.37           |

CONCLUSIONS

The proposal of presenting disaggregation coefficients for rainfall is important for the development of IDF curves for any region in study. Although some authors have established disaggregation coefficients for the whole country, it is understood that these figures unduly disseminated are prone to large variations in their rainfall estimates. This usually happens due to the physical characteristics of convective rains, which cause rainfall events of high intensity and short duration all over the world. Rainfall events of short duration take place frequently under convection phenomenon, while longer duration events may have a combined orographic and frontal effects.

Rain gauge data present a strong correspondence with pluviometer data of E2-046 rainfall station, which validates the recently obtained pluviograph readings and assigns more reliability to the results obtained herein. Data obtained from pluviograph refer to an uninterrupted period (a 31-year series), which, according to WMO, is enough to establish a climatological normal.

It was also concluded that the relative deviation of disaggregation coefficient for short duration of the rainfall station studied in relation to the average coefficients of São Paulo state was very high, reaching 50% (10 minutes / “24 hours”). This may cause large variations in micro drainage design for small catchments, where the time of concentration is short.

The employment of these coefficients in the region studied or even in other climatically similar regions is of great value for hydraulic engineering projects. It is noticed that this work data allowed obtaining disaggregation coefficients dependent of the return period; nevertheless, it is recommended for hydraulic works with large return periods prescribed by standard (over 100 years) to adopt the Gumbel-Chow method for disaggregation of the design rainfall.

As a suggestion for future works, it would be recommended more deep investigation of new disaggregation coefficients in the scenario of climate changes. Martins et al. (2017b) and Martins (2018) performed statistical analyses of daily maxima data obtained by the E2-046 rain gauge, which included a non-parametric test of stationarity (Mann-Kendall). These analyses indicated with a high level of significance that de stationarity hypothesis should be rejected.
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