Humoral response of broilers to live Newcastle Disease virus vaccines manufactured by different companies
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ABSTRACT

Increased incidence and severity of fatal Newcastle Disease Virus (NDV) in commercial and domestic poultry has been reported from across Pakistan. The present study was carried out to evaluate the efficacy of various live NDV vaccines (Gallivac, Intervet and Ceva) by adapting different vaccination schemes in broilers. Antigenic count of each vaccine and its generated antibody were determined by Haemagglutination Inhibition test and Haemagglutination inhibition tests respectively. Two different NDV vaccination schemes were tested in such a way that one group had received three vaccines in different time periods whereas, the other after priming at 0 day, was followed by a single booster dose. For this purpose, healthy broilers were divided into four groups A, B, C, and D. On zero day of vaccination, there was no detectable anti NDV-HI titer for all vaccines (Gallivac=2, Intervet=2 & Ceva=2). In first vaccination scheme, detectable anti NDV-HI titer was observed on 16th day of vaccination (Gallivac=3.8, Intervet=4.2 & Ceva=3.6). All vaccines showed protective anti NDV-HI titer on 32nd day, post vaccination (Gallivac=5.8, Intervet=6.2, Ceva=5.8). In second vaccination scheme, detectable anti NDV-HI titer was achieved on 16th day of post vaccination (Gallivac=3.8, Intervet=4.2 & Ceva=5.6). While on 32nd day vaccination, all vaccines showed protective anti NDV-HI titer (Gallivac=5.4, Intervet=5.6 & Ceva=5.2). It is concluded that the two-dose vaccination program, with interval of 12 days, is much better than the 3-dose vaccination scheme. However, in broilers, anti-NDV antibody titer was induced by all three types of vaccines manufactured by various companies.
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INTRODUCTION

The Newcastle disease (ND), which is also called Ranikhet, is a virus inflicted disease. NDV belongs to genus Avulavirus and family Paramyxoviridae. The signs of this disease are related to nervous, digestive and respiratory systems. Economically there have been many losses due to this disease in poultry industry and many cases have been reported, for many years, from different parts of country too.

Various domestic and wild birds have been affected by this disease. Initially, the disease was observed in England and Indonesia in 1926 but now these viruses have become a worldwide threat. In spite of massive immunization, NDV observed to have been constantly spread over in Pakistan in different commercial poultry like breeding flocks, layers and broilers. Severe problems of the nervous system are characterized by mild signs of air sacculitis, paralysis, and even death of the infected organism by visceral involvement. There are routine NDV vaccination programs and different methods of giving vaccines in forms of intraocular, intranasal, drinking water and aerosol spray. The immune response of broiler chicken is greatly influenced by the chick's ages while vaccinating and during the time of level of antibodies derived maternally, and their response to vaccine antigen is also influenced. There is no satisfactory protection of vaccination used in Pakistan for broiler industry; hence, desired protection may be achieved by few required responses such as antibody or humoral responses. Firstly, the vaccine was used against ND for protection of...
The ND is still causing major threats to poultry industry in spite of various vaccination schedules provided worldwide by different poultry vaccine manufacture\(^5\). The effects on chicken are eliminated by different live vaccines and killed oil-based vaccines which are being used in most countries including Pakistan\(^5\). Outbreaks are still common in most areas of Pakistan in spite of extensive use of vaccines, causing huge economic losses. Lasota strain, Muktaswer strain, Comrov strain and Hitchner B; strain are important strains of NDV which are used in Pakistan against NDV for immuno-prophylaxis\(^2\). The current study is designed to evaluate the comparative humoral response of various strains of NDV which are causing major threats to poultry industry in Pakistan in spite of extensive use of vaccines and killed oil vaccines. There is mild conjunctivitis and influenza like symptoms in human after exposure to the infected but there was no evidence of strong hazards to human health from this ND virus\(^6\).

The objectives of the study are as follows:

- Evaluate the anti NDV-HI antibody effectiveness titers of vaccines manufactured by different sources.
- Compare the different vaccination schedules to get best and optimum protection level in minimum time period.
- To determine the immunogen count and infectivity titer of each NDV vaccine.
- The ultimate goal is, through the results of each NDV vaccine, to provide information to local farmers using the under study vaccines about the proper use and schedule of NDV vaccination.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Source of vaccines:**

Three different NDV live vaccines-Gallivac, Intervet and Ceva, were purchased from the sole distributor of each. Each vaccine was packed with dry ice in well-insulated containers, transported to the University of Lahore and stored at the selected temperature, till processed. The experiment of examined studies was revealed in collaboration with the Institute of Molecular biology and Biotechnology, The University of Lahore with Ottoman Pharma, Lahore, Pakistan.

**Source and rearing of bird:**

One day old \(n=35\) broiler were purchased from the well reputed Big Bird poultry breeders; located at Raiwind road; and were shifted immediately to the clean and fumigated experimental cages. The birds were marked with different dyes, showing that different ones were immunized with different vaccines as shown in Table 3.1. All the birds were offered with feed and water at libitum, under a controlled environment. The humidity and temperature values were checked on regular basis and were noted in the log book as shown in Figure 3.1.

**Experimental model:**

**Experiment # 01:**

All the vaccines were opened in the safety cabinet and diluted according to instruction of each manufacturer. The 50 µl of diluted vaccine antigen were assessed for biological titration through haemagglutination assay (HA) test\(^13\).

**Experiment# 02:**

The birds were divided into three groups with each containing 5 birds marked with different coloring dyes as shown in Table 3.1. The birds in Group A, B and C were immunized with live Gallivac, Intervet and Ceva NDV vaccines respectively at 0, 8 and 18 days of age whereas, group D served as negative control as shown in figure 3.2. The blood from each bird of every group was collected on 0, 16 and 32nd-day of post vaccination. The seroconversion of all the birds of the vaccinated group was evaluated by haemagglutination test.

| Sr. # | Groups | Color | Injecting routes | Types of vaccines |
|-------|--------|-------|------------------|------------------|
|       |        |       | Day 0            | Day 8            | Day 18          |
| 1     | A      | Green | Drinking water   | Gallivac         | Gallivac        | Gallivac        |
| 2     | B      | Yellow| Drinking water   | Intervet         | Intervet        | Intervet        |
| 3     | C      | Pink  | Drinking water   | Ceva             | Ceva            | Ceva            |
| 4     | D      | White | Control group    | No vaccines      | No vaccines     | No vaccines     |

**Experiment # 03:**

The birds were divided into three groups each containing 5 birds marked with different coloring dyes as shown in table 1. The birds in Group E, F and G were immunized with Gallivac, Intervet and Ceva respectively at 6th and 18th days of age whereas, group D served as negative control as shown in table no 2. The blood from each bird of every group was collected on 0, 16 and 32-days post vaccination. The seroconversion of all birds of the vaccinated groups was evaluated by haemagglutination test.

| Serial No | Groups | Colour | Injecting routes | Types of vaccines |
|-----------|--------|--------|------------------|------------------|
|           |        |        | Day 6            | Day 18           |
| 1         | E      | Brown  | Orally           | Gallivac         |
| 2         | F      | Blue   | Orally           | Intervet         |
| 3         | G      | Black  | Orally           | Ceva             |
| 4         | D      | White  | Control group    | No vaccines      |
Collection of Blood Sample:
1 ml blood was collected from every bird of each group on 0, 1st, 16th and 32nd day, post vaccination as shown in Figure 3. The serum was separated using centrifuge and sample was run at 3000 RPM for 15 minutes. The supernatant was collected and subjected for haemagglutination inhibition test. 2 ml epindroff tubes were used for packing the serum from each syringe separately, specific codes were used for marking and then they were frozen at 8°C for further processing.

| Groups | No of Birds | Color | Blood Collected/ml | Position of Injection | Sampling Days |
|--------|-------------|-------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| A      | 5           | Green | 1 ml               | Wing vein             | 0, 16, 32     |
| B      | 5           | Yellow| 1 ml               | Wing vein             | 0, 16, 32     |
| C      | 5           | Pink  | 1 ml               | Wing vein             | 0, 16, 32     |
| D      | 5           | White | 1 ml               | Wing vein             | 0, 16, 32     |

Table 1.3: Blood collection and determinations of antibody Titer

Seroconversion of vaccines:
Anti NDV antibodies were manufactured at different time interval against specific NDV in 96 well plates as mentioned below:

Haemagglutination assay Test:
With the help of micropipette, 50 µl of normal saline is dispensed in all wells of 1st row of 96 well micro titer plates. 50 µl antigens are added in 1st well and 2 fold diluted till 11th well is too. Add 50 µl of 1% washed chicken’s RBCs from well 1 to 12 wells. Incubate at 37°C for 30 minutes and note the results.

Haemagglutination Inhibition Test:
With the help of micro pipette, 50µl normal saline is dispensed from 1st to 12th well of 96 well micro titer plates. 1st well is filled to 50 µl with serum. Prepare 2 fold serial dilution till the 10th well is reached. Add 50 µl 4HA (Ag) to 1st well and continue filling till 11th well, is reached by double dilution. It is incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. 50 µl of 1% washed chicken’s RBCs is dispensed from 1st to 12th well; the results are analyzed after 20-25 minutes.

Statistical analysis:
By using mean standard deviation, data is analyzed which is obtained in that study. By using SPSS version 21, subsequently analyze are repeated measures of variables (ANOVA).

RESULTS
In 1st experiment, 50 µl of each Gallivac, Ceva and Intervet live NDV vaccines showed 64, 64 and 128 haemagglutination titer respectively as shown in Table 4.1.

Table 4.2: Anti NDV-HI titers response to 1st scheme immunization

In 2nd experiment, the birds 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of group one vaccinated with Gallivac on zero day and 1st booster on 8th day and 2nd booster on 16th day. On zero and 16 DPV, there was some detectable anti NDV-HI antibody titers while protective anti NDV-HI antibody titers were achieved on 32 DPV as shown in Table 4.2 and Figure 4.1. Similarly, the birds 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 of Group 2 vaccinated with Intervet on zero day, 1st booster on 8th day and 2nd booster on 18th day. On zero and 16 DPV, some anti NDV-HI antibody titers were detected. While on 32 DPV, there was highest anti NDV-HI antibody titer as shown in Table 4.2 and Figure 4.1. Similarly, the birds 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 of Group 3 vaccinated with Ceva on zero day, 1st booster on 8th day and 2nd booster on 18th day. On zero and 16 DPV, some anti NDV-HI antibody titers were detected. While on 32 DPV, there was highest anti NDV-HI antibody titer as shown in Table 4.2 and Figure 4.1. The birds of group 4 was negative control without giving any vaccine, anti NDV-HI titers were induced but there was not sufficient protective anti NDV-HI antibody titers as shown in Table 4.2 and Figure 4.1.
In 3rd experiment, the birds 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of group one vaccinated with Gallivac on 6th day and 1st booster on 18th day. On zero and 16 DPV, there was some detectable anti NDV-HI antibody titers while protective anti NDV-HI antibody titers were achieved on 32 DPV as shown in Table 4.3 and Figure 4.2. Similarly, the birds 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 of Group 2 vaccinated with Intervet on 6th day, 1st booster on 18th day. On zero and 16 DPV, some anti NDV-HI antibody titers were detected. While on 32 DPV, there was highest anti NDV-HI antibody titer as shown in Table 4.3 and Figure 4.2.

Similarly, the birds 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 of Group 3 vaccinated with Ceva on 6th day, 1st booster on 18th day. On zero and 16 DPV, showed some anti NDV-HI antibody titers. While on 32 DPV, there was highest anti NDV-HI antibody titer as shown in Table 4.3 and Figure 4.2. The birds of group 4 was negative control without giving any vaccination, anti NDV-HI titers were induced but there was not sufficient protective anti NDV-HI antibody titers as shown in Table 4.3 and Figure 4.2.

Table 4.3: Anti NDV-HI titers response to 2nd scheme immunization

| Groups | Vaccines | HI antibody titer |
|--------|----------|-------------------|
|        |          | 0D    | 16D    | 32D    |
| Group E| Gallivac | 2,2,2,2 | 4,4,3,4 | 5,5,6,6 |
| Group F| Intervet | 2,2,2,2 | 4,4,4,5 | 6,5,6,6 |
| Group G| Ceva     | 2,2,2,2 | 4,4,3,3 | 5,5,6,5 |
| Group D| Control  | 2,2,2,2 | 2,1,1,1 | 0,0,0,0 |

Figure 1.1: Graphical Representation of seroconversion at different time period

Figure 4.2: Graphical Representation of seroconversion at different time period
DISCUSSION

Newcastle Disease (ND) is the fourth most dangerous viral disease affecting the poultry industry, all over the world. A large number of countries are affected by many animal diseases like Bovine tuberculosis, rabies and Newcastle disease, which were considered to be the most widespread animal diseases from 2006 to 2009. The mesogenic and lentigenic strains of NDV are used for immunization in layer and broiler flocks. Newcastle disease was identified firstly in Island of Java and England in 1926. On global scale, presently this virus is responsible for epizootic events may cause epidemics.

Live vaccines instead of killed vaccines are preferred because live vaccines can be prepared on large scale for having low immunogen count, particularly having one that is easily administered, is low cost to get cell-mediated and provide humoral and mucosal surface’s long lasting immunity in a single dose. There are some disadvantages in the use of such, for these are less stable, allergen and have potential to revert their virulent forms, while killed vaccines are of advantage in these departments, being more stable and being non-infectious. The disadvantages however, include short half-life, need adjuvants, require multiple doses and need boosters14.

Newcastle Disease (ND) is controlled by intensive use of vaccination, but natural out breaks are reported in many vaccinated flocks. According to Sarcheshmei vaccine, failure in such cases is associated with vaccination program, type of vaccines, homology of vaccinal and wild type strain, vaccination equipment, maternal antibody and the type of birds15. Virulence of wild type strain could be evaluated through intravenous pathogenicity index (IVPI), mean death time (MDT) and intracerebral pathogenicity index (ICPI) however, homology between two types can be established by virus neutralization test16.

Haemagglutination test is for confirmatory diagnosis and for provision of specific, sensitive, more accurate and rapid tests for titration of haemagglutinating viruses17. Our studies revealed that Initial HI-antibody titer in both experiments are same at zero day, while, they were detected on 16th day post vaccination. Gallivac, Intervet and Ceva showed almost similar anti NDV-HI antibody titers on 16D post vaccination in both experiments, while Intervet showed comparatively high HI-antibody titer (4.2, 6.2) than Gallivac (3.8, 5.8) and Ceva (3.6, 5.8) in both experiments on 16th and 32nd post vaccination. There was no significant difference between Gallivac and Ceva on 16D and 32D post vaccination. The NDV live vaccine immunization in broilers primed on 0 day and boosted on 8th day of age showed detectable anti NDV-HI antibody titers (> 3.6) for all the three vaccines at 16th day post vaccination. However, protective anti NDV-HI titers (> 3.8) were achieved on 32nd day post vaccination, after 2nd booster dose given on 18 day of age. It was also observed that birds immunized with Intervet vaccines showed comparatively higher antibody response (6.2) as to that of Ceva (5.8) vaccine and Gallivac (5.8).

The results of the current study are in line with the observations of Makoui, who immunized the broilers with different live ND vaccines on 8th day, 22nd day and 36th day by drinking water whereas the HI test revealed that in titration there is significant difference between all the vaccines18. Bwala also conducted a study on different NDV vaccines and determined that there was no significant difference in protection level of different vaccines19. The writer Muir, 2000 also proved that antibody titer was enhanced by booster doses after priming, while there was no significant enhance the high antibody titer after priming20.

The NDV live vaccine immunization in broilers primed on 6th day showed detectable anti NDV-HI antibody titers (> 3.6) for all the three vaccines on 16th day, post vaccination. However, protective anti NDV-HI titers (> 5.2) were achieved on 32nd day post vaccination after 1st booster dose given on 18th day of age. It was also observed that the birds immunized with Intervet vaccines showed a comparatively higher antibody response (5.6) as to that of Ceva (5.2) vaccine and Gallivac (5.4). Our study was in agreement with Xiao, who investigated about efficacy of commercially available live NDV vaccines and evaluated 2 different vaccination schemes on 2 different days. There was no significant difference in HI antibody titer between the Lasota vaccines alone. In the 2nd scheme, Lasota was provided with Mukteshwer, causing a significant difference between protective index of scheme B and scheme A21.

The observation of Giambrone was also in line with our study who evaluated ND vaccination programs and has examined that there were highest NDV HI titers and in birds, there was greatest resistance to the challenge22. These birds were firstly immunized with live vaccines on 1st day by coarse spray and then re-immunized with same vaccines on day 14. There was an increase in the antibody titer after priming. In vaccinated birds, there was a decrease in the HI antibody titer after a challenge whereas in non-vaccinated birds, there was an increased HI antibody titer. These findings were approved by Ge, who determined that neutralization of viruses by circulating antibodies, was involved in decreasing antibody titer23.

The experiment was carried on 90 broilers with different vaccination schedules and divided these birds into four groups. Three were immunized with all vaccines on different days orally and with aerosol spray but the fourth group was taken as negative control. After performing HI, the antibody titer was determined after collecting blood samples on 1st, 15th, 28th and 43rd day, post vaccination and their results showed that in both vaccination schedules, all vaccines showed detectable antibodies and there was no comparative difference between the antibody titers of all three vaccines. Even though during their study period, vaccination days were different, their HI results still correlated to our study.

CONCLUSION

Different vaccinations schemes in broilers are being trialed to get maximum protection against velogenic strain of new castle disease virus. However, it would not be possible for scientists to set a standard schedule for NDV immunization due to randomization in maternal antibody titer. The results of current study would help the farmers to establish two-dose vaccination program with interval of 12 days is much effective to that of 3-dose vaccination scheme. However, all three types of vaccines manufactured by different companies are capable of inducing anti-NDV antibody titer in broilers.
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