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ABSTRACT

Asteroseismology offers the prospect of constraining differential rotation in Sun-like stars. Here we have identified six high signal-to-noise main-sequence Sun-like stars in the Kepler field, which all have visible signs of rotational splitting of their \( p \)-mode frequencies. For each star, we extract the rotational frequency splitting and inclination angle from separate mode sets (adjacent modes with \( l = 2, 0, 1 \)) spanning the \( p \)-mode envelope. We use a Markov chain Monte Carlo method to obtain the best fit and errors associated with each parameter. We are able to make independent measurements of rotational splittings of \( \sim 8 \text{ radial orders for each star. For all six stars, the measured splittings are consistent with uniform rotation, allowing us to exclude large radial differential rotation. This work opens the possibility of constraining internal rotation of Sun-like stars.}
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1. Introduction

Until recently, measuring the rotation of a star other than the Sun has been restricted to measuring the rotation rate at, or near, the photosphere. Techniques such as spectral line broadening obtain the projected rotational velocity \( \sin i \) \citep{Kraft1970, Gray2005}, where \( i \) is the inclination of the stellar rotation axis with respect to the line of sight. However, this is difficult for slowly rotating stars \citep[e.g.,][]{Reiners2012} and a measurement is fundamentally ambiguous because of the often unknown inclination. An alternative to this approach is to analyze photometric light curves for signs of active regions crossing the stellar disk \citep{Nielsen2013, Reindhold2013, McQuillan2014}. If enough crossing events of such active regions are detected, one can estimate the rotation period. However, for a star like the Sun this is not always possible because the short lifetime of active regions \citep{Solanki2003} compared to the mean solar rotation period leads to an incoherent signature in integrated light.

Asteroseismology is a tool that can be used to independently measure stellar rotation. In stars like the Sun the outer convective zone randomly excites acoustic oscillations (called \( p \)-modes) that propagate through the stellar interior. These oscillation modes can be described by a set of spherical harmonic functions of angular degree \( l \) and azimuthal order \( m \), as well as radial order \( n \). Modes with \( |m| > 0 \) travel around the rotation axis of the star in prograde and retrograde motion. For a non-rotating star the frequencies of these modes are degenerate with that of the \( m = 0 \) modes, but become Doppler shifted if the star is rotating. This frequency shift, or splitting, is linearly related to the rotation rate of the star \citep[e.g.,][]{Aerts2010} for details.

This effect has been exploited to image the internal rotation in the Sun \citep[see, e.g.,][]{Schou1998}.

Using the high-quality observations of stellar light curves from space borne missions such as CoRoT \citep{Fridlund2006} and Kepler \citep{Borucki2010}, it is possible to detect this frequency shift of the azimuthal modes in stars. This was done for a sample of subgiant stars by \cite{Deheuvels2012, Deheuvels2014}, for which it is possible to measure radial differential rotation because of the presence of mixed modes. These modes are sensitive to conditions in both the core and the outer envelope, thereby revealing the rotation rate at different depths in the star. This has been achieved for a wide variety of stars such as pulsating B-type stars \citep[e.g.,][]{Aerts2003, Pamyatnykh2004}, white dwarfs \citep{Charpinet2009}, and a main-sequence A-star \citep{Kurtz2014}. However, stars like the Sun only exhibit pure acoustic modes, which are primarily sensitive to conditions in the outer envelope, and so measurements are dominated by the rotation rates in this part of the star. The average rotation for a few Sun-like stars has been measured using data from CoRoT \citep{Gizon2013} and Kepler \citep{VanEylen2014, Lund2014}. In this paper we perform an asteroseismic analysis of six Sun-like main-sequence stars observed by Kepler, and measure the rotational splittings from their oscillation spectra.

2. Analysis

The splitting of oscillation modes by rotation in Sun-like stars is typically only seen as a broadening rather than a distinct separation of the modes, caused by the combined effect of the mode linewidths and the slow rotation rates. We handpicked Sun-like stars with the longest observed time series to get the highest possible frequency resolution, and a high signal-to-noise ratio near the \( p \)-mode envelope. The typical length of a time series used in this work spans \( \sim 3 \text{ years. We defined these stars as Sun-like}

\* Table 2 is only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/568/L12
based on their reported temperatures $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 6000\text{K}$ and surface gravities $\log g \gtrsim 4$ from spectroscopic measurements by Bruntt et al. (2012) and Molenda-Zawokicz et al. (2013). We found six stars with these characteristics that also have visible rotational splitting of the $l = 1$ and $l = 2$ modes.

We used the Lomb-Scargle method as applied by Frandsen et al. (1995) to compute the power spectrum of each light curve. We fit a model of the oscillation modes to small segments of the power spectrum spanning a set of $l = 2, 0, 1$. These mode sets are all consecutive in frequency and together span the $p$-mode envelope of the star in question (see Table 1). We used maximum likelihood estimation to find the best-fit solution and obtain a rotational splitting for each mode set.

**2.1. Observations**

We used short-cadence (~58 second) white light observations from the NASA Kepler mission from March 2009 until the end of the mission in early 2013. The data were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). We used data that was pre-processed by the PDC MAP and nG MAP pipelines (Smith et al. 2012; Thompson et al. 2013) prior to release. In some cases we found narrow peaks caused by residual instrumental effects (Christiansen et al. 2011), although none of these overlapped with the $p$-mode frequencies. However, we note that the various background noise terms which we included in our model could potentially be influenced by the presence of instrumental peaks.

The Kepler Input Catalogue (KIC) numbers for the analyzed stars are shown in Table 1, along with the spectroscopic effective surface temperature and surface gravity measurements (Bruntt et al. 2012; Molenda-Zawokicz et al. 2013).

**2.2. Power spectrum model**

We fit the power spectrum with a model consisting of a constant noise level, two frequency-dependent Harvey-like noise terms (see Equation 1 in Aigrain et al. 2004), in addition to the individual oscillation modes. We model these as a sum of Lorentzian profiles as per Equation 10 in Handberg & Campante (2011), in addition to the individual oscillation modes. We assume a common inclination for the modes of a given mode set.

We divide the $p$-mode envelope into segments of length roughly equal to the separation between radial orders (called the large frequency separation), and centered approximately between the $l = 0$ and $l = 1$ modes. Thus a segment contains a set of modes of angular degree $l = 2, 0, 1$ and 1 (see Fig. 1), which we fit separately from the other sets in the spectrum.

For each angular degree $l$ there is multiplet of $2l+1$ azimuthal modes, where, for a slowly rotating star, the components are mutually separated by an amount proportional to the stellar rotation rate $\Omega$. The frequencies of these modes can be expressed as

$$v_{nlm} = v_{nl} + \frac{\Omega}{2\pi} (1 - \mu_m) \approx v_{nl} + \mu \delta v,$$

where $v_{nl}$ is the frequency of the central $m = 0$ mode, with the $|m| > 0$ modes displaced from this frequency by the effect of rotation. The value $\mu$ is small for modes of $l \geq 20$ in Sun-like stars and is considered negligible. We can therefore approximate the frequency shift of the azimuthal orders by the amount $\delta v_m$, where the rotational splitting $\delta v$ is equivalent to the rotation frequency of the star. We assume a common rotational splitting for the modes of a given mode set.

The amplitude of the Lorentzian profiles is a product of the mode height and the mode visibility. The mode heights are left as free parameters, and are assumed equal for all the components of a given $l$. The mode visibility is a function of the inclination $i$ of the star, where we fit a common inclination for the modes of each set. We use the form of the mode visibility as in Gizon & Solanki (2003).

For stars that rotate pole-on relative to our point of view ($i = 0$), the visibility of the $|m| > 0$ modes approach zero, and so rotation cannot be measured. However, if a splitting of the $l = 1$ or $l = 2$ modes can be measured the different visibilities of the modes allow us to infer the inclination of the stellar rotation axis, which is not easily done using other types of observations like spectroscopy, for example.

Each mode has a finite width proportional to the lifetime of the oscillations, which is typically only on the order of a few days for Sun-like stars. For slowly rotating stars the rotational splitting may be small compared to the broadening caused by the lifetime of the mode. This makes it difficult to identify the individual azimuthal orders. However, the $l = 0$ mode is unaffected by rotation so the linewidth of this mode can be taken as representative of the $l = 1$ and $l = 2$ modes and their associated azimuthal components (Chaplin et al. 1998). Thus, for each set of $l = 2, 0, 1$ modes we assume a common mode linewidth.

**2.3. Fitting**

We used a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampler (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) to find the best-fit solution. The likelihood was computed using a $\chi^2$ probability density function as in Anderson et al. (1990). We used the MCMC chains to compute the marginalized posterior distributions for each parameter.

---

1. http://archive.stsci.edu/kepler/

2. The affine invariant sampler in the EMCEE package for Python, http://dan.iel.fm/emcee/current/
where we adopt the median of each distribution as a robust measure of the best-fit parameter value. We estimated the lower and upper errors for each parameter by the 16th and 84th percentile values of the posterior distributions. Figure 1 shows a model fit to a section of the power spectrum of KIC006106415.

For the mode heights, central frequencies and width the initial positions of the walkers were randomly chosen from a normal distribution centered on a manually-determined initial guess. Each distribution had a standard deviation equal to 10% of the initial guess value in order to provide the walkers with sufficient initial coverage of parameter space. For the inclination and splitting parameters we opted to use a uniform random distribution between 0°–90° and 0–2\(\mu\)Hz, respectively, since these two parameters are known to be non-linearly correlated.

We used a probability distribution function of \(\sin i\) on the inclination angle as a prior. We used uniform priors for all other parameters. These were only constrained for the \(l = 2\) and \(l = 0\) frequencies and the rotational splitting, such that the frequencies of each mode could not overlap. Initial testing showed that the walkers of the MCMC chain would sometimes switch the frequencies of these two modes because of their proximity. We found that this limitation on \(\delta\nu\) did not bias the measurements or errors after inspection of the posterior distributions.

We used 100 walkers to generate the MCMC chains which were allowed to run for 1200 steps, giving us 120,000 samples in the available parameter space. Although not strictly necessary owing to the rapid mixing of the walkers, we chose to disregard the first 600 steps as the burn-in phase of the MCMC chains.

3. Rotation and inclination as a function of frequency

The complete list of fit values and associated errors (Table 2) is available as online material via the CDS. The fit values for the rotational splitting \(\delta\nu\) and the inclination angle \(i\) are presented in Fig. 2. We compute a variance weighted mean of the splittings measured for each star, and list these in Table 1. The posterior distributions of the rotational splittings are approximately Gaussian around the mean (see Fig. 3), so the variance is representative of the errors associated with each splitting. This is not true for the posterior distributions of the inclinations and so we cannot apply this to obtain a weighted mean value representative of the inclination of each star. We therefore only list an unweighted mean of the inclination measurements with typical errors of \(\sim 20°\).

A few stars (e.g., KIC006106415) appear to show a marginal trend in the splittings with increasing frequency. To test this further we computed a \(\chi^2\) and the associated p-values based on the variance weighted mean splitting, i.e., a constant rotational splitting with frequency. We found that the \(\chi^2\) values ranged between 0.6 – 3.9 and the p-values between 0.69 – 0.998, indicating that the measurements are consistent with a constant splitting over frequency. We noted that the errors on the rotational splittings are likely to be anti-correlated with the errors on the inclinations (see Fig. 3). We therefore also computed posterior distributions of \(\delta\nu \sin i\) (middle row in Fig. 2), and performed the same test for constant rotation. The computed \(\chi^2\) and p-values were between 3.3 – 7.7 and 0.36 – 0.77, respectively, i.e., the variations seen in \(\delta\nu \sin i\) are still consistent with uniform rotation in these stars. We therefore find no evidence of differential rotation in these stars. In Sun-like stars the mode linewidths increase strongly with frequency (Chaplin et al. 1998). This means that using a common linewidth likely ceases to be a good approximation for the last few mode sets at higher frequencies, thus introducing a bias in the splitting parameter.

The inclination of the rotation axis is an important parameter for characterizing exoplanetary systems and constraining models of planet formation and evolution (e.g., Nagasawa et al. 2008). However, we found that the inclination angles are very poorly constrained when using a single mode set, even with these prime examples from the Kepler database. In Fig. 3 we show the marginalized posterior distributions for the fit shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 2. The measured splitting \(\delta\nu\) and inclination \(i\) of each mode set. The points show the results of the local fit as a function of the mode sets in each power spectrum. The error bars denote the 16th and 84th percentile values of the marginalized posterior distributions obtained from the MCMC samples. Dashed lines indicate the variance weighted mean of the values, using the variance of each posterior distribution. Red points show the mode set used in Fig. 1.](image-url)
The posterior distribution reveals that the inclination angle is dominated by the \( i \) prior, i.e., an individual mode set yields very little information about the stellar inclination axis. In this case, based on the posterior distribution we could only conclude that \( i \lesssim 45^\circ \) is unlikely. This is a common trait of the posterior distributions for the other stars in our sample, and some are even less constrained so that we can only rule out \( i \lesssim 20^\circ \). The reader should not use the mean values and associated errors reported here, but should refer to the online material for more accurate values for each mode set. For comparison, the final column shows the stellar rotation rate relative to the solar value (we used \( \Omega_{\odot} = 0.424\mu Hz \)).

![Figure 3](image)

**Fig. 3.** Bottom left: A 2D representation of the marginalized posterior distributions for the rotational splitting \( \delta \nu \) and the inclination of the rotation axis \( i \). Top and right frames show the projection onto each axis in solid black. The solid red lines indicate the median along each axis, and dashed red lines are 16th, 50th, and 84th percentile values. These distributions are obtained from the local fit to the modes shown in Fig. 4.

### Table 1. Variance weighted mean rotational splittings (\( \delta \nu \)), inclination (\( i \)), and rotation period \( \Omega/\Omega_{\odot} \) for the six Sun-like stars. The effective temperature \( T_{\text{eff}} \), surface gravity log \( g \), and frequency intervals considered for each star are also listed, where each interval is divided into segments of length approximately equal to the large frequency separation. The variance weighted mean splittings (\( \delta \nu \)) are shown as dashed lines in Fig. [2] where the listed errors are the standard deviations of the weighted mean values. We note that the posterior distributions for the \( \delta \nu \) are only approximately Gaussian. The posterior distributions of inclination measurements cannot be approximated as a Gaussian and so we only show the unweighted mean of the inclinations where typical errors are \( \sim 20^\circ \). For comparison, the final column shows the stellar rotation rate relative to the solar value (we used \( \Omega_{\odot} = 0.424\mu Hz \)).

| Star | \( T_{\text{eff}} \) [K] | log \( g \) [cm/s\(^2\)] | Fit interval [\mu Hz] | \( \langle \delta \nu \rangle \) [\mu Hz] | \( \langle \delta \nu \sin i \rangle \) [\mu Hz] | \( i \) [deg] | \( \Omega/\Omega_{\odot} \) |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| KIC004914923 | 5808 ± 92 | 4.28 ± 0.21 | 1429 - 2135 | 0.522 ± 0.074 | 0.371 ± 0.029 | 54 | 1.23 ± 0.29 |
| KIC005184732 | 5669 ± 97 | 4.07 ± 0.21 | 1632 - 2400 | 0.643 ± 0.063 | 0.517 ± 0.027 | 62 | 1.52 ± 0.12 |
| KIC006106415 | 6050 ± 70 | 4.40 ± 0.08 | 1677 - 2609 | 0.708 ± 0.038 | 0.647 ± 0.022 | 64 | 1.67 ± 0.27 |
| KIC006116048 | 5991 ± 124 | 4.09 ± 0.21 | 1620 - 2425 | 0.703 ± 0.053 | 0.603 ± 0.024 | 69 | 1.66 ± 0.36 |
| KIC006933898 | 5837 ± 97 | 4.21 ± 0.22 | 1157 - 1662 | 0.404 ± 0.078 | 0.296 ± 0.034 | 57 | 0.95 ± 0.27 |
| KIC101963065 | 6097 ± 130 | 4.00 ± 0.21 | 1760 - 2475 | 0.801 ± 0.079 | 0.656 ± 0.032 | 56 | 1.89 ± 0.20 |
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