Valley-Selective Topologically Ordered States in Irradiated Bilayer Graphene
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Gapless bilayer graphene is susceptible to a variety of spontaneously gapped states. As predicted by theory and observed by experiment, the ground state is however topologically trivial, because a valley-independent gap is energetically favorable. Here, we show that under the application of interlayer electric field and circularly polarized light, one valley can be selected to exhibit the original interaction instability while the other is frozen out. Tuning this Floquet system stabilizes multiple competing topologically ordered states, distinguishable by edge transport and circular dichroism.

Notably, quantized charge, spin, and valley Hall conductivities coexist in one stabilized state.

**Introduction.**—Chirally stacked few-layer graphene, ranging from the Bernal bilayer to its thicker cousins with Rhombohedral stacking, has become a paradigmatic platform for studying fascinating two-dimensional electron physics [1–4]. Unlike the linear Dirac bands in monolayer graphene, the bands in an N-layer system exhibit flatter dispersions \( \sim \pm k^N \). Notably, the Fermi surface only consists of band touching points at two inequivalent hexagonal Brillouin zone corners, known as \( K \) and \( K' \) valleys. Intriguingly, the Fermi points are protected by the quantized Berry phases \( \pm N\pi \) in the presence of a chiral symmetry between the two sublattices located at the top and bottom layers. This unique feature leads to band gap opening when an interlayer electric field breaks the chiral symmetry [5–10]. More remarkably, due to the large density-of-states near the Fermi points, the \( N > 1 \) systems are susceptible to a variety of broken chiral symmetry states [11], in which each spin-valley flavor spontaneously transfers charge between layers to yield opening of quasiparticle energy gaps [12–15] and spreading of momentum-space Berry curvature [3].

The order competing is enriched by the \( SU(4) \) spin-valley symmetry[11–44]. The nearly-degenerate ground states can be topologically classified based on the signs of spontaneous gaps at each spin-valley [3, 18], analogous to those single-particle states in Haldane and Kane-Mele models [45, 46]. The intervalley exchange interactions, although extremely weak and often negligible, energetically favor those candidates with valley degeneracy [19], i.e., the same layer polarization at the two valleys. In order to minimize the electrostatic energy, hence the two spin flavors must polarize toward opposite layers. These two facts yield a topologically trivial layer-antiferromagnetic (LAF) ground state [3, 19, 20, 29], as recently confirmed by experiment [37–41].

One may naturally wonder whether and how the more exotic topologically ordered states [3, 18] can be stabilized. The key is to relax the aforementioned valley degeneracy; a possible route is to explicitly break both time reversal (\( T \)) and spatial inversion (\( P \)) symmetries that dictate the valley degeneracy. We find that under the application of interlayer electric field and circularly polarized light in bilayer graphene, one valley can be selected to exhibit the original \( \pm k^N \)-band touching [3] and weak interaction instability \[12–15\], whereas the other valley is frozen out due to a large field-induced gap, as illustrated in Fig. 1. When the interaction-driven gap at the selected valley is opposite to the field-induced gap at the frozen valley, the ground state is a quantum anomalous Hall (QAH) state.

Remarkably, when the selected-valley gaps become opposite between the two spin flavors, quantized charge, spin, and valley Hall conductivities coexist. As we will examine, such an exotic ordered state dubbed “ALL” hereafter, elusive in the absence of the delicately applied fields or the electron-electron interactions, can be stabilized by tuning the interacting Floquet system, and different ordered states can be distinguished by edge transport and circular dichroism. We note that a light-irradiated Floquet state has been observed on a topological insulator (TI) surface [47, 48], and our predicted ordered Floquet states may be similarly studied in chiral graphene experiments [33–44].

**Floquet theory.**—We first establish our notation by

![FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of bilayer graphene under the application of an interlayer electric field and a circularly polarized light. (b) The electric (light) field opens an energy gap with the same (opposite) sign(s) at the two valleys. If both fields generate equal gap magnitudes, the energy gap of a selected valley vanishes. (c) Illustration of the layer polarizations in the four competing ordered states. The up/down arrows denote the two spins; the red/blue colors denote the two valleys.](image-url)
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discussing the effective Hamiltonian [3] that can coherently describe graphene (\(N=1\)), its Bernal bilayer (\(N=2\)), and its Rhombohedral few-layers (\(N>2\)), to which our main results derived for the bilayer system can be generalized. Such a model reads

\[
h_N = \frac{(i \nu_0 \hbar k)^N}{(-\gamma_1)^{N-1}} [\cos(N \phi_k) \sigma_x + \sin(N\phi_k) \sigma_y] + \lambda \sigma_z,
\]

where \(\nu_0\) is the Fermi velocity of graphene, \(\cot \phi_k = \tau k_z/\hbar y\), and \(\tau = \pm\) label the \(K\) and \(K'\) valleys. \(\gamma_1 \approx 0.4\) eV is the interlayer nearest-neighbor hopping energy, which sets the largest energy scale of the model. The Pauli matrices \(\sigma\) act on the layer-pseudospin space spanned by the two sublattices relevant at low energy, i.e., the top \(A\) and bottom \(B\) without interlayer nearest neighbors. For \(\lambda = 0\), the gapless spectrum of \(h_N\) has two band touch points at \(K\) and \(K'\), protected by the chiral (\(C\)) symmetry between the two sublattices.

\(\lambda \sigma_z\) is a mass term that characterizes \(C\) symmetry breaking and hence opens an energy gap whenever \(\lambda \neq 0\). It turns out that the mass term can be explicitly induced by an interlayer electric field or a circularly polarized light, or spontaneously generated by electron-electron interactions. In the first scenario, as demonstrated by the experiments in \(N>1\) systems [5–10], the interlayer electric field \(E_z\) breaks \(P\) and \(C\) symmetries by producing

\[
\lambda = \lambda_E = -eEzd,
\]

where \(d\) is the layer separation. \(\lambda_E\) is spin-valley independent, as required by \(T\) and spin \(SU(2)\) symmetries.

To demonstrate the second scenario, consider a circularly polarized light shining on a few-layer. This amounts to applying a time-dependent electromagnetic gauge potential \(A(t) = A_0(\xi \sin \omega t, \cos \omega t)\) to the system, where \(\xi = \pm\) denote the light helicities. In Floquet theory, such a periodically driven system can effectively be described by a static Hamiltonian [49, 50]

\[
h_{\text{eff}} = h_0 + \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ V_{+j} \lambda \sigma_z + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right) \right],
\]

\(h_0\) is the time-independent Hamiltonian without the periodic drive; \(V_{\pm j} = (\omega/2\pi) \int V(t)e^{\mp i\omega t} dt\) are the Fourier components of the time-dependent periodic potential \(V(t)\). Similarly to how Eq. (2) was derived [51], we apply \(A(t)\) to the original full-band model of \(N\)-layer graphene, followed by a projection to the two-band model at low energy. In the high-frequency limit, to the leading order the circularly polarized light yields

\[
\lambda = \lambda_A \tau = \frac{e^2 v_0^2 A_0^2}{\hbar \omega} \xi \tau.
\]

The radiation field can yield a mass term \(\lambda_A\) as its definite helicity breaks \(T\) and \(C\) symmetries. The intact spin \(SU(2)\) and \(P\) symmetries dictate \(\lambda_A\) to be spin independent but opposite at the two valleys. \(\lambda_A\) was originally derived in the seminal work on radiated monolayer graphene [52–55], and a similar gap has been experimentally observed on the TI surface [47, 48]. Here we generalize the idea to graphene few-layers and demonstrate the general validity of Eq. (4).

In a many-body scenario, Coulomb interactions can generate masses in the quasiparticle spectra of \(N>1\) systems [3]. While a microscopic theory will be given later, the ground state turns out to be LAF [38–43], breaking all the symmetries. The two spin flavors are polarized to opposite layers spontaneously as if they are subjected to opposite \(\lambda_E\) mean-fields, i.e., \(\lambda \propto s_z\) in Eq. (1).

In each scenario, the mass generation in Eq. (1) spreads the Berry curvature, which is integrated to \(N\text{sgn}(\lambda)\tau/2\) for each spin-valley [3]. Hence, \(\lambda_A\) induces a QAH state with Chern number 2\(N\), which is reminiscent of the Hal dane model [45]: \(\lambda_E\) produces a quantum valley Hall (QVH) state since the valley Chern numbers are opposite for different valleys [3, 56–61], analogous to the quantum spin Hall state [46]. Metallic in-gap states have indeed been experimentally observed [62–65] along a QVH domain wall in bilayer graphene where the edge conductance appears to approach \(4e^2/h\). By contrast, the LAF state is topologically trivial since it can be viewed as two opposite copies of QVH states. As motivated in Introduction and illustrated in Fig. 1(b), when \(|\lambda_A| = |\lambda_E|\), one valley can be selected to exhibit the original \(\pm k^\pm\)-band touching, whereas the other valley is almost frozen out due to a large field-induced gap. The interactions generate masses at the selected valley, whose signs determine three competing ordered states: QAH, QVH, and the emergent ALL states. With masses opposite (the same) in sign for the two spins at the selected (frozen) valley, the ALL state can be viewed as a QVH state for one spin but QAH for the other. Remarkably, such a state breaks all the symmetries and exhibits charge, spin, and valley Chern numbers of \(N\). More remarkably, the ALL state is a synergistic consequence of intrinsic interactions and external fields, instead of due to any magnetic moment or spin-orbit coupling.

\textbf{Hartree-Fock theory & phase diagram.}—We now study the phase diagram enrichment in terms of the following ordered state quasiparticle Hamiltonian [3, 38, 39]:

\[
\mathcal{H}^{HF} = \sum_{k\alpha\beta\sigma} c_{k\alpha\sigma}^\dagger \left[ h_N + h_H + h_F + h_V \right] c_{k\beta\sigma},
\]

\[
h_H = [V_0 \Delta_0 \delta_{\sigma^\beta} + V_z \Delta_z \sigma^\alpha_{\sigma} - i \mathcal{V}],
\]

\[
h_F = [V_0 + V_z \sigma^\alpha_{\sigma} \sigma^\beta_{\sigma} - i \mathcal{F}],
\]

\[
h_V = [\nu_0^2 \Delta^\alpha_{\sigma} \sigma^\beta_{\sigma} - i \mathcal{V}],
\]

which has well reproduced the experimentally observed gap size and \(T_c\) in bilayer graphene. In Eq. (5), Greek letters label layer, \(s\) labels spin, and \(\tau\) labels valley. \(V_0 = (V_z + V_d)/2\) denotes the average (difference) of intralayer and interlayer interactions at the same valley, and likewise \(V_0' = (V_z' + V_d')/2\) for valley-exchange interactions [19]. The density matrix \(\Delta^\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_k \langle \epsilon_k \rangle c_{k\alpha\sigma} \left[ c_{k\beta\sigma}^\dagger + 1 \right] / \mathcal{A}\) must be determined self-consistently. We introduce \(\Delta^\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \)
as the density sum (difference) of the top and bottom layers for one spin-valley flavor and \( \Delta_{0,\pm} \approx \sum_{\sigma \tau} \Delta_{0,\pm}^{\sigma \tau} \) as the total density sum (difference). The mean-field interaction vertices must be diagonal in layer due to in-plane rotational symmetry; neither spin nor valley coherence can be established spontaneously since the long-range Coulomb interactions \( V_{0,\pm} \) dominate. Therefore, in Eq. (1) \( \lambda \) reads

\[
\lambda^s_T = \lambda_E + \lambda_A T + V_z \Delta_z - \frac{V_z}{2} \Delta^s_T - \frac{V'}{2} \Delta^s_T,
\]

where \( \Delta^s_T \) minimize the energy density functional

\[
\varepsilon_g = -\frac{1}{A} \sum_{k,s} \sqrt{(\lambda^s_T)^2 + (v_0 h k)^2N \gamma_{1,2}^{2N-2}} - \left[ \frac{V_z}{2} (\Delta_z)^2 - \frac{V}{4} \sum_{\sigma \tau} (\Delta^s_T)^2 - \frac{V'}{4} \sum_{\sigma \tau} \Delta^s_T \Delta^s_{\bar{T}} \right].
\]

Given that the subtracted in the second line of Eq. (7) is exactly half of the mean-field interactions that are implicit in the first line, the three interaction parameters \( (V_z, V, V') > 0 \) play different roles in order competing. The intralayer exchange \( V_z \) causes spontaneous layer polarization in each spin-valley, whereas the Hartree energy determined by \( V_z \) prevents any total layer polarization. Although rather weak, the valley-exchange interaction \( V' \) favors a ground state in which different valleys have the same layer polarization. Therefore, for \( E_z = A_0 = 0 \), the ground state must be the LAF state in which different spin (valley) flavors are layer polarized in the opposite (same) sense, as observed in experiment [38–43].

We now examine how the circularly polarized radiation enriches the order competing and stabilizes the ordered QAH and ALL states. We focus on the \( N = 2 \) case to facilitate our numerics; the qualitative results should apply to \( N > 2 \) cases. Fig. 2(a) shows the phase diagram, which exhibits four competing orders and mirror symmetries with respect to \( \lambda_E, A = 0 \) lines. The LAF state exists in the limit of vanishing external fields. A sufficiently large \( \lambda_E \) \( (\lambda_A) \) favors the QVH (QAH) state. Near the \( |\lambda_E| = |\lambda_A| \) line, there emerges the ALL state.

In the phase diagram Fig. 2(a), the positions of the critical points \( A-D \) are determined by the strengths of three interaction parameters. This has also been discussed in the above analysis of Eqs. (6)-(7). (i) The experimental LAF gap is about 2 meV at zero fields [38, 39]; it follows from Eqs. (6)-(7) that the dominating intralayer exchange \( v_0 V_z = 0.2992 \), where \( v_0 \) is the density of states per flavor. (ii) Although both QAH and LAF states are ordered without total layer polarization, it is the weak valley-exchange interaction \( V' \) that favors the LAF state [19], in which different valleys have the same layer polarization. Consequently, the \( A \) point shifts toward a larger \( \lambda_A \) if \( V'/V_z \) is stronger, as shown in Fig. 2(c). (iii) The weak Hartree interaction \( V_z \) prevents any total layer polarization induced by finite \( \lambda_E \). Thus, both \( B \) and \( C \) shift toward larger \( \lambda_E \)’s if \( E_z/V_z \) is stronger, as shown in Fig. 2(d). (iv) Interactions favor the QAH state over the QVH state and produces the novel ALL state near their transition. The ALL-state phase boundaries in Fig. 2(b) are shifted away from the \( |\lambda_E| = |\lambda_A| \) line, which separates the QAH and QVH states in the absence of interactions. Such a shift arises from the compensation of the Hartree energy by the \( \lambda_E \) mass in the frozen valley \( \tau \), and it is given by \( |\lambda_E| = V_z/|\lambda_A| \). The width of the ALL-state regime is similarly determined, but by the selected valley \( \tau \), and it is given by \( \delta \lambda_E = V_z/|\lambda_A| \). Note that since \( B \) is sensitive to the change of \( V' \) whereas \( C \) is not, the ALL state may disappear at \( \lambda_A = 0 \) if \( V' \) exceeds a critical value, as exemplified by the magenta line in Fig. 2(d). (Here we predict that a circularly polarized light can stabilize and control the ALL state without Landau levels. Its quantum Hall ferromagnetic variant has been experimentally observed at \( \nu = 2 \) [42].)

The parameters for the emergence of the intriguing ALL state in the phase diagram Fig. 2(b) can be fitted to \( \lambda_A \approx 0.6 \lambda_E - 1 \) meV at relatively large fields. To observe the ALL state, e.g., one can scan the parameter region \( \lambda_E \approx 10 \) meV and \( \lambda_A \approx 5 \) meV. Given Eq. (2), the electric field strength is \( E_z = \lambda_E/(ed) \approx 30 \) mV/nm where \( d = 3.4 \) Å is the interlayer separation. The laser frequency, which should be much larger than the interaction-driven or field-induced energy gap \( \sim 10 \) meV but much smaller than \( \gamma_1 \sim 0.4 \) eV, can be chosen as \( h \omega \sim 100 \) meV. This corresponds to a light frequency \( \sim 25 \) THz or a light wavelength \( \sim 12 \) μm. Given Eq. (4), we can obtain the energy flux of the light is \( I = \omega^2 A^2_0/\mu_0 c \sim 3 \times 10^{10} \) W/m².

**Circular dichroism.** In addition to the number of valley-projected chiral edge states [Fig. 3(d)-(e)] dictated by the aforementioned Chern numbers, different topologically ordered states may also be characterized by optical means. Here we consider terahertz absorbance [66, 67]...
In the limit of the thresholds, helicity or polarization. This results in either destructively blocked or constructively absorbed, the total absorbance and the circular dichroism as

\[ \text{Absorbance} = P_{\text{abs}} = \frac{P_+ - P_-}{P_+ + P_-}. \]

In the limit of \( \hbar \omega' \gg |\lambda| \) or \( \lambda \to 0 \), the total absorbance recovers the universal result \( 2\alpha |\lambda| \) independent of the light helicity or polarization. This results in \( \eta \to 0 \). Close to the thresholds, \( \hbar \omega' = 2|\lambda^\sigma^\tau| \), a circularly polarized light is either destructively blocked or constructively absorbed, depending on the light helicity, the valley index, and the mass sign. This leads to sharp peaks in \( \eta \).

Figure 3 plots \( \lambda_{\text{tot}} \) and \( \eta(\omega') \) as functions of \( \lambda_E \) for the case of \( \lambda_A = 10 \text{ meV} \). As the electric field increases, the ground state undergoes QAH-ALL-QVH transitions. At the frozen valley the masses are large and of uniform sign for the two spins, whereas at the selected valley the masses are small and relatively inverted for zero, one, and two spin flavors, respectively, in QVH, ALL, and QAH states. Universally, \( \eta \) shows a marked jump when the selected valley starts to be probed and gradually falls upon increasing \( \omega' \), except that \( \eta \) switches sign for the ALL state due to the different magnitudes of \( \lambda^\tau \). Distinctively, when the frozen valley starts to be probed, \( \eta \) exhibits a tiny jump for the QAH and ALL states but switches sign for the QVH state and progressively vanishes with further advancing \( \omega' \). By contrast, the LAF state exhibits little circular dichroism, because the two spin flavors have opposite masses \( \sim 2 \text{ meV} \).

**Discussion.** — The absorption spectroscopy (or optical conductivity) and circular dichroism provides efficient means not only to measure the flavor dependent mass \( \lambda^\tau \) but also to distinguish the competing ordered states. It follows that the photoluminescence can also be circularly and valley polarized, controllable by the external fields. Similarly, opto-valley, -spin, and -charge Hall effects may be feasible upon the pumping of these fields, given the nontrivial conduction-band Berry curvature [3].

Three comments are in order. (i) Graphene does not reflect a significant amount of light as opposed to TI’s, and the interaction effects are more pronounced in suspended samples. Transmission spectroscopy is thus suggested to study the predicted effects. (ii) In non-equilibrium periodically driven systems, interactions and band populations are important and delicate issues for Floquet states [50, 72, 73]. In our case, additional terms beyond Eq. (4) produced by interactions can be safely ignored, as we focus on the weak interaction instability implied by peculiar band structures. Given the fact that absorbance per graphene layer is only \( \eta = 3 \% \) [66, 67], presumably the band population is almost intact in the presence of the high-frequency light. (iii) Our Hartree-Fock theory only captures the most essential physics of Floquet bilayer graphene. The weak yet important interaction parameters \( V_z \) and \( V'_z \) may vary from case to case and should be determined by future experiments. The phase boundaries in Fig. 2 are likely to be quantitatively modified by the thermal proliferation of domain walls separating different ordered states [31].

At single-particle level, the Floquet idea [52–55] was theoretically applied to TI’s [74] and semimetals [75–77], and experimentally realized in photonic crystals [78], TI surfaces [47, 48], and ultracold atoms [79]. Our proposal paves the way for generalizing the idea to a paradigmatic many-body system (i.e., chiral few-layer graphene), and revealed the significant roles played by interactions in stabilizing topologically ordered states (e.g. the ALL state) hardly accessible at single-particle level.
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