The zonal evolution of collagen-network morphology quantified in early osteoarthritic grades of human cartilage
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SUMMARY
Objective: We aimed to directly quantify the zone-specific evolution in morphology of collagen fibers and networks in human cartilage during the progression of early osteoarthritis. Collagen fibers exhibit depth-dependent orientations and diameters crucial to their mechanical roles. Cartilage degenerates in osteoarthritis, affecting the morphology of the collagen network and ultimately the intra-tissue mechanics.

Design: We obtained specimens of human cartilage from healthy human knees (n = 3) and from total knee arthroplasties (n = 5). We utilized TEM and custom image analyses to visualize and quantify distributions in principal orientation, dispersion (about the principal orientation), and diameter of collagen fibers in the early grades of OA within each through-thickness zone. We then used histological and statistical analyses to probe for significant changes in the zone-specific evolution in collagen-network morphology as a function of Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) grade.

Results: Dispersion in the alignment of collagen fibers increased with progression of early OA in both the superficial and deep zones, and decreased in the middle zone, while principal orientation did not change significantly. The non-normal and right-skewed distributions in fiber diameters did not evolve with the progression of OA.

Conclusions: We provide the research community with quantitative data (1) on the through-thickness morphology of collagen in healthy cartilage and (2) on the evolution of through-thickness morphology of collagen with progressing early OA. Such quantitative data facilitate an improved mechanistic understanding of the progression of OA, and may facilitate identifying image-based biomarkers and treatment targets, and ultimately finding clinical interventions for OA.

1. Introduction

The remarkable mechanics of articular cartilage derive from complex interactions of charged proteoglycans, collagens, and electrolytic fluid [1,2]. In healthy, human cartilage collagen fibers constitute approximately 60% of the dry weight [3] and create a network with important contributions to overall mechanical strength and stiffness [4], e.g. collagen density strongly correlates with local shear modulus [5]. In health these fibers form a network that exhibits depth-dependent principal orientations and distributions in diameters: fibers in the superficial zone (SZ) have the smallest diameters and generally align with the articular surface, fibers in the deep zone (DZ) have the largest diameters and align perpendicular to the cartilage-bone interface, and fibers in the middle zone (MZ) create a transition between these two morphologies with either a random/isotropic alignment [6] or a gradual realignment of orientation as in the Benninghoff model [7].

The depth-dependent morphology of collagen fibers creates specific intra-tissue mechanics and functions in cartilage that deteriorate in osteoarthritis (OA), a disease of the synovial joint, with degeneration and loss of articular cartilage as one hallmark change. In healthy cartilage strong alignment of fibers (to the articular surface) in the SZ, e.g. creates a low-friction, tensile-resistant surface layer, while fibers within the DZ...
anchor cartilage to the subchondral bone and provide shear stiffness [8, 9]. The average diameter of collagen fibers also correlates with the ultimate tensile strength across many connective tissues [4]. In late OA microstructural changes in the collagen network of articular cartilage alter recruitment of fibers under applied loads [10]. Changes in the diameters of fibers, and the inter-fibril spacing, likely also impact permeability and inter-constituent interactions [11]. Qualitatively, the loss of volume and microstructural organization produces a less resilient cartilage unable to perform its in vivo mechanical role.

Previous studies applied different imaging modalities and image-analysis methods to probe morphology of collagen fibers and networks in biological tissues. Ex-vivo modalities for imaging cartilage include Polarized Light (PLM), Second Harmonic Generation (SHG), Scanning Electron (SEM), and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) [12–15]. Pixel-wise methods for image analyses relate each pixel to a skeletonized binary representation or use vector summations of pixel intensity and position [16,17]. Alternatively, Fourier transformations facilitate detection of orientation in images of many fibrous tissues including ligament, cornea/sclera, arteries, and cartilage [19–22]. These methods may include additional filtering in either the spatial (e.g. Gaussian filters) or the frequency (e.g. bandpass and wedge filtering) domains, or sampling based on fiber-dimensions [19,20,22,24]. Each approach has benefits and drawbacks that depend on the research questions.

Previous studies report that osteoarthritic articular cartilage presents both a range of localized OA severity within individual joints [25,26] and corresponding changes within the network of collagen. The principal orientation and dispersion of collagen fibers in human patellar cartilage, visualized via PLM, showed significant changes with advanced OA [13]. OA-induced damage also caused the orientation of collagen to become more dispersed (less well aligned) throughout bovine metacarpophalangeal cartilage (10). Comparison of healthy versus degraded human cartilage, visualized by PLM, showed an increase in fiber disorganization and a corresponding decrease in fiber diameters [27]. Unfortunately the authors used only qualitative measures and compared healthy cartilage from the knee to degraded cartilage from the hip. Thicker collagen fibers of osteoarthritic human knee and hip cartilage also appear to unwind into thinner fibers, as noted in SEM images [15]. Nonetheless, no results quantify the progression of collagen network morphology from direct fiber measurements at multiple points during progression of early grades of OA using human cartilage from the same joint. In this study we aimed to directly quantify the zone-specific evolution in morphology of collagen fibers and networks in human cartilage with advancing histological grade of OA, hereafter defined as progression of OA. We utilized TEM and custom image analyses to visualize and quantify distributions in principal orientation, dispersion (about the principal orientation), and diameter of collagen fibers in early OA within each through-thickness zone. We then used histological and statistical analyses to test for significant zone-specific changes as a function of Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) grade [28]. Quantitative longitudinal data on the zone-specific morphology of collagen may elucidate evolving bulk- and intra-tissue mechanics intimately connected to the degenerative progression of OA, facilitate identifying new biomarkers for disease progression, and aid modeling of OA-associated matrix degradation.

2. Materials & methods

2.1. Preparation of specimens

We obtained specimens from femoral condyles of healthy human knees from the Musculoskeletal Transplant Foundation (n = 3) and from cartilage removed during total knee arthroplasties at Hartford Healthcare Bone & Joint Institute (n = 5). A review by the Institutional Review Board (IRB, Assurance #FWA 00000601) found that this study did not constitute research involving human subjects according to 45 CFR 46.102(f) and therefore did not require further review or oversight by the IRB. We stored specimens at −80°C in phosphate-buffered saline [29]. We determined the local split-line direction (SLD) by pricking the articular surface with a dissecting needle dipped in India ink [30]. We extracted 30 pairs of 3 mm by 3 mm, full-thickness (~ 4 – 5 mm) cuboid specimens of cartilage using razor and surgical scalpel blades with one though-thickness edge parallel to the SLD. We extracted multiple pairs of specimens from presumed load-bearing regions of the medial or lateral condyle from each patient. From each pair of specimens we used one specimen for histological assessment (Section 2.2) and reserved the other for TEM (Section 2.3). Fig. 1 outlines our process for gathering quantitative information from each source of cartilage.

2.2. Histological assessments

We fixed specimens in 10% neutral buffered formalin and decalcified them in 0.5 M EDTA before embedding in paraffin and sectioning. We stained each section with Safranin O fast green (Novo altra Safranin-O stain kit, IHC World, Ellicott City, MD). Four trained research scientists independently graded randomized histological images according to the OARSI grading system [28]. We averaged grades to obtain a single OARSI grade for each specimen characterizing the local severity of OA. After reviewing the source of each specimen and its histological assessment, we selected 18 cuboidal specimens across all patients and grades to process for TEM imaging (see Appendix B). We binned specimens into five groups based on grade: healthy (from healthy source, H), normal (grade < 1, OA-0), early (1 ≤ grade ≤ 2, OA-1), mild (2 ≤ grade < 3, OA-2), and moderate (3 ≤ grade ≤ 5, OA-3).

2.3. Transmission electron microscopy

The day of fixation we thawed the specimens, trimmed off all subchondral bone, and cut the cuboids into 0.5 mm thick slices parallel to the SLD using a surgical scalpel. We placed each in a fixative composed of 3.0% glutaraldehyde, 3.0% paraformaldehyde, 0.05% w/v tannic acid in 0.1 M PIPES buffer overnight [31]. We performed post-fixation with 1% osmium tetroxide for one hour and en bloc staining with 2% uranyl acetate in 50% ethanol for 15 min and 2.5% Reynold’s lead citrate for three minutes. We dehydrated and cleared the specimens in graded ethanol and in propylene oxide, respectively. We infiltrated and embedded the specimens with low-viscosity Spurr’s resin and polymerized the resin in a flat-bottomed embedding capsule for 24 h at 70°C. We cut ultrathin (50 nm) sections using a Diatome Ultra 45° diamond knife (Diatome US, Hatfield, PA) on a Leica Ultracut UCT ultramicrotome (Leica Biosystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL) and collected sections on copper 100 mesh grids. We collected the top and bottom half of serial sections on separate grids in cases where through-thickness sections were larger than 2 mm. We stained all grids with 1.5% saturated uranyl acetate for ten minutes and 2.5% lead citrate for three minutes.

We imaged sections using a FEI Technai 12 G2 Spirit BioTWIN (FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR) at 80 kV with a four megapixel 2k X40 CCD camera (Advanced Microscopy Techniques, Woburn, MA). We located both the articular surface and hyaline-calcified cartilage interface and measured total specimen thickness using the built-in navigation software. We calculated ten imaging locations equally spaced horizontally (parallel to the articular surface) at the vertical center of each zone using zonal definitions based on total thickness: Superficial–SZ (0–15%), Middle–MZ (15–70%), Deep–DZ (70–100%) [6]. In cases where the designated imaging location fell on a chondrocyte, grid bar, or processing artifact we moved the imaging location to the nearest unaffected region. We captured all images at 11,000× magnification.

2.4. Analyses of microscopy images

We used the articular surface and hyaline-calcified cartilage interface to find the relative orientation φ between these (roughly parallel) sur-
We calculated the mean vector \( \bar{f}_i \) for each region [19]. For each ROI, we considered the principal direction as a unit vector \( \bar{u} \). We used the relative orientation \( \phi \) of the section to rotate the principal direction back into a common configuration using the articular surface as a reference (zero degrees or horizontal). We bounded the principal directions (angles) to \( \alpha_i \in [0, \pi] \) to account for unidirectional fibers, and multiplied each angle by two to account for central symmetry [32]. We calculated the mean vector \( \langle \pi, \kappa \rangle \) characterizing the circular fiber distribution using (Eqs. 2–4)

\[
f(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(u, v) \sin^2(u, v, \alpha_i),
\]

where \((u, v)\) is a point in the Fourier image and \(\alpha_i\) is the principal direction (angle) for a given region [19].

For each ROI, we considered the principal direction as a unit vector with direction \( \alpha_i \). We used the relative orientation \( \phi \) of the section to rotate the principal direction back into a common configuration using the articular surface as a reference (zero degrees or horizontal). We bounded the principal directions (angles) to \( \alpha_i \in [0, \pi] \) to account for unidirectional fibers, and multiplied each angle by two to account for central symmetry [32]. We calculated the mean vector \( \langle \pi, \kappa \rangle \) characterizing the circular fiber distribution using (Eqs. 2–4)

\[
f(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos(\alpha_i),
\]

where \( f(\alpha) \) is the mean Fourier transform of the image \( P(u, v) \) for a given direction \( \alpha \). We used the relative orientation \( \phi \) of the section to rotate the principal direction back into a common configuration using the articular surface as a reference (zero degrees or horizontal). We bounded the principal directions (angles) to \( \alpha_i \in [0, \pi] \) to account for unidirectional fibers, and multiplied each angle by two to account for central symmetry [32]. We calculated the mean vector \( \langle \pi, \kappa \rangle \) characterizing the circular fiber distribution using (Eqs. 2–4)

\[
s = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sin(\alpha_i),
\]

\[
r = \sqrt{c^2 + s^2},
\]

where \( n \) is the total number of ROIs and directions \( \alpha_i \) within a specific image, i.e. \( n = 225 \), and (Eq. 5)

\[
\pi = \begin{cases} 
\tan^{-1} \left( \frac{c}{r} \right), & \text{if } c \geq 0, \\
\tan^{-1} \left( \frac{c}{r} \right) + \pi, & \text{if } c < 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \pi \in [0, 2\pi) \) is twice the mean direction within an image and \( r \in [0, 1] \) measures the corresponding dispersion (strength of alignment in direction \( \pi \) or lack thereof) with \( r = 0 \) indicating uniform isotropy and \( r = 1 \) indicating perfect alignment.

To facilitate comparisons with prior literature, e.g. Ref. [20,21], we determined parameters \( \theta \) and \( \kappa \) from the \( \pi \)-periodic von Mises circular normal distribution (Eq. 6)

\[
f(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\kappa \pi} \exp \left\{ \kappa \cos(2\pi(\alpha - \theta)) \right\},
\]

where \( I_0(\kappa) \) is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order zero and \( \kappa \) is a concentration parameter that characterizes dispersion about the principal orientation \( \theta \). We determined \( \theta \in [0, \pi] \) by dividing the...
mean direction \( \pi \) by two (again accounting for central symmetry) and we determined \( \kappa \) from the measure of dispersion \( r \) as \([33]\) (Eq. 7):

\[
\kappa = \begin{cases} 
2r^2 + 5r^3/6, & \text{if } r < 0.53, \\
-0.4 + 0.39r + 0.43(1 - r), & \text{if } 0.53 < r < 0.85, \\
1/(r^2 - 4r^2 + 3r), & \text{if } r > 0.85.
\end{cases}
\]

(7)

The concentration parameter \( \kappa \in [0, \infty) \) quantifies the distribution in principal orientation with \( \kappa = 0 \) for uniform (isotropy) and \( \kappa = \infty \) for perfectly aligned (Dirac delta). We implemented our analyses in Matlab (R2019a, The MathWorks, Natick, USA).

We also generated a 5-by-5 even grid over each image and measured the diameter of the fiber closest to the center of each region using ImageJ [34]. Thus we measured the diameter of \( n = 25 \) fibers while minimizing subjectivity.

2.5. Statistical analyses

We selected specimens allowing us to assess inter-grade and inter-patient variability in the morphology of the networks of collagen. We processed specimens until we obtained sufficient power (\( \beta > 0.80 \)) in post-hoc analysis of the zone-grade effect on the mean value of \( \kappa \). We used the Rayleigh test to check for uniform fiber orientation distributions within all zones of all specimens. We calculated the specimen mean and specimen standard deviation (M±SD) of each parameter (\( \rho \) and \( \kappa \)) for each zone within each specimen, using the parameters from the \( n = 10 \) images in the zone and assuming normality. We used a Shapiro-Williams test to check for normality in our data on the diameter of fibers. We analyzed normally distributed data on fiber diameter using M±SD and non-normally distributed data using median and interquartile range (M ± IQR). We determined mean and 95% confidence interval (M±95%CI) of all parameters in each zone in each grade using mean values from the \( n = 3 - 6 \) specimens within each grade, assuming normally distributed measurements within a grade-zone.

We used nested, mixed-model ANCOVAs assuming homoscedasticity to evaluate how the morphology of the networks of collagen varied among zones and grades. We completed a separate analysis for each of the six response variables: mean principal orientation \( \theta \), SD of principal orientation \( \theta \), mean concentration parameter \( \kappa \), SD of concentration parameter \( \kappa \), median fiber diameter, and IQR of fiber diameter.

We treated zone as a fixed categorical effect, grade as a continuous variable, and patient as a random effect. We included the interaction between zone and grade, and accounted for non-independence among specimens extracted from the same patient by nesting specimen within patient. We further evaluated statistically significant effects of zone and grade using post-hoc, Tukey-Kramer tests with corrections for multiple comparisons. The standard deviation of \( \kappa \) was log10\( (x + 0.01) \) transformed to meet the assumptions of ANOVA but the five other response variables met model assumptions without transformation. We used SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC) for these analyses and reported the degrees-of-freedom \( \df \), F-ratio \( F \), p-value, and model-estimated parameters as least-square estimates plus or minus standard error (LSE±SE).

Using relative zonal comparisons within each specimen (e.g. SZ<MZ and DZ for fiber diameters), we used a proportion test to identify specimen-independent, relative zonal characteristics. To probe changes in the diameters of fibers across grades, e.g. the number of large (\( > 200 \) nm) fibers, we used a Student’s t-test. To assess inter-observer agreement in OARSI grading, we calculated Fleiss’ kappa coefficient \( \kappa_s \). We used a threshold of \( a = 0.05 \) for statistical significance, but we illustrated effect sizes and report key statistical parameters (e.g. degrees of freedom, F statistics, and P-values) that support our primary conclusions to avoid confounding statistical significance and scientific relevance [35].

3. Results

We analyzed 540 images from TEM and from each obtained 225 measurements of mean direction and dispersion, and 25 measurements of fiber diameter. Fig. 2 and Fig. D6 show representative results from a cartilage specimen of Grade 0 and Grade 3, respectively.

We found fair inter-observer agreement (\( \kappa_F = 0.292 \)) in OARSI grading of cartilage specimens by four individuals.

3.1. Overall collagen morphology

We observed substantial variation among zones in all six response variables longitudinally, from healthy to OARSI grade 3. Specifically, the mean principal orientation varied among zones (\( \df = 2.32; F = 12.35; p < 0.001 \)), though post-hoc tests revealed that the small mean principal orientation \( \theta \) within the SZ (0.19 ± 0.14) relative to both the DZ (1.33 ± 0.14) and the MZ (1.17 ± 0.14), which were not significantly different from one another, drove this effect. Similarly, the large estimate in the SZ (3.19 ± 0.39) relative to the DZ (1.67 ± 0.39) and MZ (1.19 ± 0.39), which were not significantly different from one another, drove variation in the mean of concentration parameter (\( \df = 2.32; F = 12.18; p < 0.001 \)). The difference between the SZ and MZ, which were individually not significantly different from the DZ, drove the variation in the SD of \( \theta \) and \( \kappa \) (\( \df = 32; t = 3.48; p = 0.004 \) and \( \df = 36; t = -3.15; p = 0.009 \), respectively). Post-hoc tests revealed that median and IQR of fiber diameter were significantly different among all zones.

We found no uniform distributions in principal orientations of collagen fibers when grouping data by zone, grade, or zone-grade. Using the mean concentration parameter \( \kappa \) as a measure of dispersion, fibers were the most aligned in the SZ in 12 out of the 18 specimens (\( z = 3.09; p = 0.002 \)). All distributions of diameters of fibers were non-normal and right skewed for each zone-grade. The median diameter of collagen fibers was smallest in the SZ in 15 out of 18 specimens (\( z = 4.54; p < 0.001 \)) and was largest in the DZ in 13 out of 18 specimens (\( z = 3.52; p < 0.001 \)).

3.2. Evolution of collagen morphology

Fig. 3(a) shows the evolution of mean principal orientation and of von Mises concentration parameter (as a measure of dispersion in orientation) of collagen fibers in early grades of OA.

Neither the mean or standard deviation of the principal orientation (\( \df = 1.32; F = 1.90; p = 0.18 \) and \( \df = 1.32; F = 2.64; p = 0.11 \), respectively) changed with grade; this was consistent across zones (\( \df = 2.32; F = 1.56; p = 0.22 \) and \( \df = 2.32; F = 0.43; p = 0.66 \)). We detected marginally significant tendency for mean concentration parameter \( \kappa \) to decline as grade increases (\( \df = 1.32; F = 3.68; p = 0.06 \)) and a relatively large interaction between grade and zone (\( \df = 2.32; F = 5.93; p = 0.007 \)). The mean concentration parameter decreased with progression of OA in the SZ while we did not detect significant changes in the MZ and DZ. Assuming a linear model, the estimated slope in the SZ and DZ equaled −1.17 ± 0.30 and −0.99 ± 0.43, respectively, while in the MZ this equaled 0.25 ± 0.43 (see Appendix C). The standard deviation of \( \kappa \) did not vary substantially with grade (\( \df = 1.36; F = 0.04; p = 0.83 \)), but there is an interaction effect (\( \df = 2.36; F = 3.70; p = 0.03 \)).

Fig. 3(b) shows the evolution in the distributions of fiber diameters with grade and zone. The non-normal and right-skewed character of the distributions did not evolve with the progression of OA. Our analyses did not detect any statistically significant effect of grade, or the interaction between grade and zone on the distribution of fiber diameters (grade effect on median: \( \df = 1.32; F = 0.35; p = 0.56 \); grade effect on IQR: \( \df = 1.32; F = 0.73; p = 0.40 \); grade-zone interaction effect on median: \( \df = 2.32; F = 0.45; p = 0.64 \); grade-zone interaction effect on IQR: \( \df = 2.32; F = 0.77; p = 0.47 \)). Past OARSI Grade 1, the number/fraction of larger fibers (\( > 200 \) nm) decreased nearly significantly (\( \df = 16; p = 0.001 \)).
Table 1 provides the quantitative evolution of mean principal orientation $\theta$, von Mises concentration parameter $\kappa$, and mean diameter plus IQR of collagen fibers in cartilage in health (H) and early grades of osteoarthritis (OA-0–OA-3), in the SZ, MZ, and DZ.

3.3. Inter- and intra-patient variability

We did not detect significant variation among patients in any of the response variables; in all models, the estimated variance for the random effect of patient was zero. While several models estimated non-zero variance among specimens (nested within patient), this variation was never statistically significantly different from zero ($Z$ tests, $p > 0.25$ for all analyses).

4. Discussion

TEM imaging offers a valuable method to observe collagen networks in cartilage ex vivo because specimen preparation preserves the “hydrated,” in vivo-like state. Any processing of soft tissues can affect the internal structures, and minimal isotropic shrinkage was a possible artifact of our process potentially affecting the measured fiber diameters. We captured images at a resolution of $\approx 5.4$ nm per pixel, while we found almost no fibers with diameters less than 20 nm ($< 0.2\%$). Other studies reported minimum fiber diameters of $10 – 38.6$ nm, the largest possibly exaggerated by a coating of $5–10$ nm of gold to faceplate imaging via SEM [27,36,37]. Hence our results fit within the expected range of minimum diameters, thus supporting our methods.

In analyzing our images to quantify fiber orientation and dispersion, we decided on a ROI size of $\approx 650 \times 650$ nm. Our ROI analyses capture the overall orientation of $\approx 3 – 15$ fibers while minimizing computational cost. A ROI size too large would mask local changes in fiber orientation and a size too small would be susceptible to noise and computationally expensive.

4.1. Overall collagen morphology

Our results on the overall morphology of the healthy collagen network are consistent with literature: in the SZ networks aligned with the articular surface while in the DZ networks aligned perpendicular to the cartilage-bone interface [38].

There is some discrepancy in the literature regarding the morphology of...
of the collagen network in the MZ. Some sources report that the MZ contains an effectively isotropic distribution in orientations, cf. [6,39]. Other sources report that fibers run continuously from the SZ through a transition then adopt an orientation perpendicular to the articulating surface through the MZ and DZ, cf. [7,10,40], an architecture commonly called the Benninghoff arcade model. Our results in the MZ also presented some alignment ($\kappa > 0$) with a principal orientation five times closer ($\geq 25\times$ closer before Grade 2) to the principal orientation of the DZ than that of the SZ. The increase in fiber alignment, and progressive mismatch in alignment with respect to the DZ, within the MZ and the corresponding decrease in fiber alignment within the DZ may be an important imaging-based biomarkers for OA. Based on these findings it may be more appropriate to model collagen networks within healthy cartilage with the arcade model originally proposed by Benninghoff [7].

Fig. 3. In early osteoarthritis human cartilage exhibits significant changes in dispersion of collagen fibers but not principal orientation or diameter. Evolution of (a) the mean principal orientation $\theta$ and (b) the von Mises concentration parameter $\kappa$ (as a measure of dispersion in orientation) of collagen fibers in cartilage in health (H) and in early grades of osteoarthritis (OA-0 – OA-3) in the superficial zone (SZ), middle zone (MZ), and deep zone (DZ). (c) Evolution of zone-specific distributions in diameters of fibers. We quantify these data for, e.g. mechanical modeling, in Table 1.
We observed relatively small diameter fibers (~ 25 – 125 nm) in all three through-thickness zones, with larger diameter fibers (> 150 nm) appearing in the DZ, consistent with previous studies [3,15]. Using 10 nm wide bins to generate histograms we found that all zone-specific distributions of diameters of fibers are non-normal and right skewed. Conversely, previous studies reported bimodal distributions in histograms of fiber diameter [4,27].

### 4.2. Evolution of collagen morphology

We provided detailed measurements identifying morphological turning points in the progression of OA. We selected the OARSI grading method to quantify disease progression as it was designed to distinguish among early severities of OA. Our results show a general decrease in fiber alignment in the SZ with the progression of OA, consistent with previous studies highlighting increased disorganization of fibers with progression of OA [10,13]. The SZ, where collagen damage generally first presents, had the greatest change in dispersion during early OA [41,42]. The SZ exhibits structural differences even between healthy and grades zero and one, indicating changes in collagen microstructures possibly due to microscopic, metabolic changes of early OA. The large SE of κ for the SZ indicates alignment with the SLD can be very strong in regions of healthy cartilage [30]. Loss of tissue volume at the articular surface of cartilage during OA may disrupt the thickness-based calculation of zonal location for imaging the SZ. However, we found no significant differences in specimen thicknesses across OARSI grades 0 – 3 in our study that would indicate substantial loss of SZ (data not shown) [43].

Our results support the current understanding of zonal fiber diameter distributions in health. While fibers larger than 200 nm become less abundant with the progression of OA, the lack of additional differences in distributions of fiber diameters either points to an otherwise stable network or removal of damaged fibers/fibrils. In vitro studies on chondrocyte metabolism have shown that collagen fragments in the extracellular matrix can induce production of metalloproteinases, possibly facilitating degradation of damaged collagen [44,45]. In the literature, reported observations on the evolution of fiber diameter with progression of OA were inconsistent. Some sources reported general and zonal decreases in fiber diameters with OA [15,27] while others observed significantly larger diameters [46]. Differences reported among previous studies may result from differences in experimental preparation and location (hip vs. knee). Here we focused on experimental consistency in an effort to reduce the number of confounding variables.

### 4.3. Inter- and intra-patient variability

Supported by our findings on inter-patient and inter-specimen variability, we assumed normality between specimens to estimate our reported parameters, cf. Table 1. We anticipated that there would be greater variability across grades than within specimens of the same grade from the same individual, and this was confirmed in our results. When modeling cartilage, e.g. with finite element analyses, inter- and intra-patient variability help determine the appropriateness of generalized model parameters and conclusions versus patient-specific methods.

### 4.4. Limitations and outlook

We made every attempt to accurately assess the morphology of the fiber network such that our results quantify the networks of collagen in vivo. Although we consistently applied a procedure designed to gently and uniformly dehydrate soft tissues without shifting collagen fibers, processing cartilage may alter the geometry [47]. Additionally, we captured all images in the plane parallel to the SLD while cartilage is three-dimensional. We assumed that the fiber networks all have one local principal orientation, where perhaps multiple principal orientations may better fit the data.

Our determination of the principal orientation was also susceptible to other imaging details. We designed the EM protocol to clear away all of the proteoglycans during dehydration, but it is possible some molecules remained and disrupted the contrast between the clear resin background and the solid collagen fibers. The collagen fibers were also densely packed in healthy and grade 0 cartilage of the SZ, potentially masking high alignment. With progression of OA tissue degeneration and swelling leads to greater spacing between fibers and thus more edges for detecting directionality.

Our morphological parameters characterizing individual specimens were well supported statistically and we obtained sufficient power in our analyses of zone effects. However, we were not able to distinguish the effects of grade versus anomalies in specific specimens perhaps due to our number of specimens per grade (n ≥ 3) which also impacted the power of our analyses with lower effect sizes, e.g. grade effect on fiber diameters and κ mean in the DZ. Additionally, we assumed linear changes in network morphology when making grade a continuous fixed variable (the simplest assumption), while the progression of OA may not be linearly related to OARSI grade. Finally, although our fair interobserver agreement is a limitation, the number of independent observers provides support to our OARSI grading [48].

We provide the research community with quantitative data (1) on the through-thickness morphology of collagen in healthy cartilage and (2) on the evolution of through-thickness morphology of collagen with increasing histological grades of OA. Combining these results with results from studies on the depth-dependent and bulk mechanics of cartilage, e.g. Maier et al. [26, 49, 50], will also aid in understanding the intra-tissue mechanics of cartilage and its manifestations at the tissue level and through the progression of OA. Such understanding may

---

**Table 1**

| Parameter | Healthy (n = 3) | Grade 0 (n = 3) | Grade 1 (n = 6) | Grade 2 (n = 3) | Grade 3 (n = 3) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Principal Orientation (θ, radians, M±95%CI) | SZ 0.0447 ± 0.255 | 0.210 ± 0.645 | 0.0777 ± 0.107 | 0.286 ± 0.631 | 0.320 ± 0.382 |
|                | MZ 1.55 ± 0.312 | 1.45 ± 0.663 | 1.63 ± 0.288 | 0.511 ± 0.4875 | 1.36 ± 0.442 |
|                | DZ 1.61 ± 0.359 | 1.46 ± 0.526 | 1.58 ± 0.367 | 1.35 ± 0.577 | 1.76 ± 0.551 |
| Concentration Parameter (κ, M±95%CI) | SZ 7.32 ± 14.4 | 1.55 ± 1.54 | 3.46 ± 1.55 | 2.22 ± 1.49 | 1.13 ± 0.430 |
|                | MZ 0.806 ± 0.695 | 1.95 ± 1.05 | 1.19 ± 0.620 | 1.17 ± 1.62 | 1.91 ± 3.96 |
|                | DZ 1.42 ± 0.843 | 2.45 ± 0.894 | 1.67 ± 1.20 | 1.22 ± 1.54 | 1.56 ± 1.23 |
| Median Fiber Diameter (nm, M±95%CI) | SZ 41.1 ± 19.3 | 38.1 ± 4.41 | 39.1 ± 10.4 | 38.1 ± 5.22 | 35.8 ± 14.3 |
|                | MZ 51.9 ± 32.1 | 56.9 ± 12.2 | 51.1 ± 7.98 | 48.6 ± 17.6 | 48.4 ± 19.7 |
|                | DZ 61.9 ± 44.7 | 59.6 ± 53.8 | 67.8 ± 13.8 | 60.0 ± 19.3 | 65.3 ± 15.9 |
| Fiber Diameter IQR (nm, M±95%CI) | SZ 15.2 ± 13.2 | 13.2 ± 7.06 | 17.3 ± 6.38 | 14.8 ± 3.62 | 16.6 ± 13.0 |
|                | MZ 28.1 ± 22.8 | 31.9 ± 19.2 | 26.8 ± 6.30 | 21.4 ± 4.64 | 23.3 ± 11.8 |
|                | DZ 41.2 ± 32.6 | 48.4 ± 56.7 | 46.2 ± 9.77 | 42.2 ± 12.6 | 40.5 ± 15.9 |
facilitate identifying image-based biomarkers and treatment targets, and ultimately finding clinical interventions for OA. Our quantitative results (measured parameters) also provide data for calibrating and/or validating models of OA degeneration, e.g. via finite element analyses.
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Appendix A

In Figure A4 we provided results from our preliminary study on the sensitivity of our image analyses, where we ran the analyses at all possible ROI sizes.

Fig. A4. Preliminary study on the sensitivity of our image analyses. (a-c) Representative images presenting the principal orientations determined using $n \times n$ regions of interest (ROIs) for $n = 12, 15, 18$ respectively. (d) The mean principal orientation determined with our analyses stabilizes with sufficient resolution in ROIs, where images from the superficial zone (blue lines) present mean principal orientations parallel to the articulating surface ($\theta = \pi/2$) and where images from the deep zone (red lines) present mean principal orientations perpendicular to the surface ($\theta = 0$). (e) For $n \geq 9$. Changing the size of ROIs for subsequent analyses, does not change the estimated principal orientation in a physically significant way (results from a representative image).
Appendix B

In Table B2 we provided a detailed summary of all specimens used for statistical analyses, including patient number and OARSI grade.

Table B2
Summary of patient source and OARSI grade for all 18 specimens used in this study. We extracted 30 pairs of specimens from eight patients and evaluated their OARSI grades. After reviewing the source of each and the corresponding histological assessment, we selected specimens to process for TEM imaging to determine effects of patient and grade on parameters quantifying the collagen networks. We included additional specimens in Grade 1 to investigate multiple specimens from the same grade and from the same patient.

| Patient | Healthy | Grade 0 | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Total |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| 1       |         | 1       | 1       | 2       |         | 4     |
| 2       | 1       |         | 1       | 1       |         | 3     |
| 3       | 2       |         |         |         |         | 2     |
| 4       | 1       |         |         | 1       |         | 2     |
| 5       | 1       |         |         |         | 3       | 4     |
| 6       |         | 1       |         |         |         | 1     |
| 7       | 1       |         |         |         |         | 1     |
| 8       | 1       |         |         |         |         | 1     |
| Total   | 3       | 3       | 6       | 3       | 3       | 18    |

Appendix C

In Figure C5 we assumed a linear model for the change in zone-specific concentration parameter \( \kappa \) with the progression of osteoarthritis (OA) from healthy (H) to OARSI grade 3 (OA-3).

Fig. C5. Linear model and 95% confidence interval for the change in zone-specific concentration parameter \( \kappa \) with the progression of osteoarthritis (OA) from healthy (H) to Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) grade 3 (OA-3), where SZ = Superficial Zone, MZ = Middle Zone, and DZ = Deep Zone.

Appendix D

In Figure D6 we show representative results from a Grade 3 specimen for comparison to results from a Grade 0 specimen (Fig. 2).
Fig. D6. Representative results from a cartilage specimen of Grade 3 show differences in principal orientation, dispersion in orientation, and diameter of fibers with representative results from a specimen of Grade 0 (Fig. 2) and between through-thickness zones. (a) Zonal images from transmission electron microscope (width = 6 μm) each reveal a different distribution of local principal directions within 225 ROIs, each represented as red vectors. (b) Corresponding probability-normalized polar histogram of principal orientation presented. (c) Corresponding histogram of diameter of fibers.
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