Unmet Dental Treatment Needs and Barriers to Dental Care of Patients with Special Needs Attending a Dental Teaching Hospital
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Abstract
Objectives: This work attempted to determine the unmet dental treatment needs and self-reported barriers to continued care, in patients with special needs, attending a dental teaching hospital in the English-speaking Caribbean. Methods: A chart audit of patients who were planned for comprehensive treatment for the period from August 1, 2015 to July 31, 2017 was used to determine the types of treatment required for the sample of patients. Treatment was classified as either urgent, preventive, or restorative. Restorative treatment was further divided into operative, endodontic, periodontic, and prosthodontic treatment. Reasons for not returning to the clinic to complete planned treatment care were ascertained via a telephone interview. A non-parametric McNemar change test for related samples at an alpha level of 0.05 was used to compare planned treatment with completed treatment. Results: 34\% of patients with special needs had comprehensive treatment plans developed for the period under investigation. Preventive dental treatment was included in most comprehensive plans (96.1\%). Large proportions of patients also required care for periodontal disease (65.7\%) and operative management of caries (52.9\%). While there was no significant difference between preventive treatment planned and received, there were significant differences in treatment planned and received for all types of restorative care. Major identified barriers included cost, accessibility, and psychosocial issues. Conclusions: There was an unmet need for restorative and periodontal treatment. Major self-reported barriers to dental care included cost, accessibility to care in terms of transportation issues, and psychosocial issues.
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Introduction

The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD) defines special healthcare needs as “any physical, developmental, mental, sensory behavioral, cognitive, or emotional impairment or limiting condition that requires medical management, health care intervention, and/or use of specialized services or programs” [1]. Evidence suggests that patients with special needs are at an increased likelihood of oral diseases [2]. This requires dentists and dental team members to tailor the expertise to individual patients with special needs.

In Trinidad and Tobago, the findings of the most recent national census indicate that there are over 52,243 persons living with a disability [3]. The School of Dentistry of The University of the West Indies (SoD) has a dedicated special needs clinic (SNC) that addresses the dental needs of patients with special needs as part of a competency-based curriculum for the training of dental students. At this clinic, patients with varying degrees of intellectual, developmental, and physical disabilities are treated for urgent or episodic issues or dental needs are approached comprehensively. Patients/guardians presenting for urgent and episodic care are encouraged to return for comprehensive treatment. Comprehensive treatment planning would normally happen after intervention either through pharmacological means or dental treatment to alleviate the signs and symptoms of acute disease. Anecdotal evidence based on the appointment schedules of these patients suggested that many patients would attend only for urgent or episodic problems, with a smaller proportion of patients having comprehensive dental management.

Many persons with special needs frequently experience barriers to oral health care. Barriers noted in a review of the literature include cost, fear or anxiety, the perceived need for care, location and distance to health facilities, and waiting time [4].

The aims of this study were to (1) determine the treatment needs of patients with special needs attending a dental teaching hospital, (2) determine the unmet need (treatment gap) in treatment provided compared to treatment planned for patients with special needs, (3) investigate the barriers to the completion of planned treatment for patients with special needs.

Materials and Methods

A retrospective chart audit of paper-based dental records was conducted of patients attending the SNC of the SoD in the period from August 1, 2015 to July 31, 2017. The University’s Ethics Committee granted an exemption to ethical approval (CEC769/11/18). Individual records were included in this retrospective audit once the following inclusion criteria were met: a recorded medical history inclusive of the patient’s disability, a comprehensive treatment plan, working telephone contact included in the records, and a patient/guardian who was willing to give consent via telephone for a short interview by the principal investigator. Charts were not included for audit if the patient or guardian could not be reached via telephone or no comprehensive treatment plan was completed for the patient.
Treatment needs were assessed by review of recorded treatment plans. Treatment notes were used to ascertain how much treatment was completed. Treatment notes were used to identify the difference between planned treatment and the completed period over the selected period. Comprehensive treatment plans were dissected to understand the relative proportions of various types of treatment required, such as continued urgent care, preventive and restorative treatment.

Urgent treatment in this setting included procedures that alleviate pain, infection, and discomfort, such as extraction, pulpal extirpation, and prescription of antibiotics and/or analgesics. Preventive treatment included oral hygiene instructions and dietary advice to the patient and/or guardian, scaling, fluoride application, and the placement of fissure sealants. Restorative treatment was further parsed into operative treatment to manage dental caries, endodontic, periodontic, fixed and removal prosthodontic treatment. The number of patients requiring a certain type of treatment was calculated as a percentage of the total number of patient records audited. Completed treatment was calculated as a percentage of a particular type of treatment completed of the total number of records audited. The measured outcomes of interest were (1) type of treatment planned and (2) unmet treatment, i.e., treatment not received.

Barriers to care were assessed using a short telephone interview format, with one open-ended question, “What prevented you from returning to the Special Needs Clinic?” to ascertain the reasons for not returning to the clinic to complete care of the planned treatment. The answers were recorded and categorized into main barriers of cost, accessibility, psychosocial issues, dental knowledge, perceived clinical competence, and other reasons. Patients/guardians were allowed to state more than one reason for not returning for treatment. Individual answers were collated and presented as percentages relative to the total number of patients/guardians interviewed.

Data was entered into Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 27. Frequency distributions were used to rank types of treatment needs and self-reported barriers to care. A non-parametric McNemar change test for related samples at an alpha level of 0.05 was used to ascertain if there were significant differences between treatment required and treatment provided for specific types of treatment (unmet treatment).

Results

Of the 300 files assessed during the review period, only 102 charts (34%) met the inclusion criteria. The remainder of patients either could not be contacted (54%) or only received urgent treatment (12%). The age of patients in this audited sample ranged from 7 to 82 years. Table 1 shows the demographic information and types of disabilities of the patients whose records were audited. Table 2 shows the percentages of types of treatment planned versus the percentages of treatment received together with significance. When types of treatment were ranked, most patients required preventive treatment followed by periodontal, restorative, and urgent care in that order. Endodontics and prosthodontics – fixed or removable – were treatments least planned for patients with special needs. Table 3 lists the percentages of self-reported barriers described by patients/guardians in completing dental treatment at the clinic. Major identified barriers to accessing continued care at this clinic included cost, accessibility, and psychosocial issues.

Discussion

Clinical audits can measure patient outcomes and facilitate quality improvement in healthcare. Dentistry has followed the lead of medicine and used clinical audit as a
Other self-reported barriers to continued dental treatment are given in Table 3. These include:

| Barrier            | No. of responses | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Cost               | 35               | 34.3           |
| Accessibility      | 34               | 33.3           |
| Psychosocial issues| 22               | 21.6           |
| Dental knowledge   | 19               | 18.6           |
| Treatment duration | 7                | 6.9            |
| Clinical competence| 3                | 2.9            |
| Waiting time       | 2                | 2.0            |
| Dental fear        | 2                | 2.0            |
| Recall             | 2                | 9.8            |

Within the competency-based curriculum, at the SoD students are taught to comprehensively manage the dental needs of patients holistically, taking into consideration other factors such as the medical and social health of the patient. Within the clinical teaching curriculum, all patients should be planned for comprehensive treatment or encouraged to return for comprehensive treatment planning and care.

This clinical audit of treatment plans for patients with special needs revealed that preventive treatment was planned for most patients attending the clinic. Vozza et al. discuss the general principles of health promotion and disease prevention when individualized risk assessment is taken into consideration [7]. Comprehensive treatment planning for patients with special needs that incorporates risk assessment in formulating treatment plans including prevention can facilitate acceptance of treatment plans by patients and guardians. The audit revealed that most patients who had been planned to receive preventive care did have such care delivered. This augurs well for this population being studied given recent evidence suggests that persons with special needs continue to demonstrate a high risk for the development of oral diseases [8]. This is evidenced by high prevalence rates of oral diseases ranging from 48 to 67% depending on age [8]. Preventive treatment in a population of patients with special needs would most likely also have higher rates of acceptance amongst both patients and guardians, similar to a population of younger children, given the noninvasive nature of preventive treatment strategies [9]. It would be particularly relevant for the groups of patients with intellectual disabilities who have higher reported issues in dealing with dental procedures [10].

There was no statistically significant difference between patients who had planned and received future urgent care. This meant that most patients requiring future urgent treatment received such treatment. This contrasts with reported literature, where many patients with developmental and intellectual disabilities require urgent management under general anesthetic due to a lack of cooperation with dental personnel [11, 12]. This could be explained by the sample of patients under review. Patients with physical disabilities are more likely to be cooperative compared to those with intellectual disabilities to sit for comprehensive examination and treatment planning. This statistical non-significance between planned and received urgent care could be attributed to the relatively high percentage of persons (40%) with physical disabilities only in this sample.

The treatment needs of this audited population align with that of other studies and local populations of patients with special needs [13]. This audit confirmed that patients with special needs had treatment needs consistent with high rates of caries and periodontal disease [14]. Statistically significant differences were noted in planned and received treatment for operative dentistry, periodontics, endodontics, and prosthodontics, meaning that such planned treatment was not received. Generally, special needs patients with developmental or intellectual disabilities receive restorative care such as placement of restorations and periodontal management under general anesthesia [15]. In this clinical audit, patients with intellectual and developmental disabilities accounted for 60% of patients with special needs. At the SoD teaching hospital, services requiring general anesthesia are not readily available for patients with special needs and dental services under general anesthesia must be rationalized within a wider and under-resourced hospital setting in terms of operating room availability and trained anesthetists.

Significant observations in unmet need could also be attributed to self-reported barriers to access continued care. Cost of care was reported as the main self-reported barrier. Although the cost of dental services at the SoD is heavily subsidized as compared to treatment at private dental offices, 34.5% of patients still found that the cost was prohibitive. Some parents and patients noted the lack of insurance and government assistance/grants.
made it impossible to pay for patient care or prioritize over medical health care. This is in contrast to other jurisdictions where dental management of patients with special needs is fully funded by government agencies [16].

Approximately one-third of the respondents indicated that accessibility was a major challenge, specifically the distance to the clinical facilities, cost of transportation, and lack of transport. This is in keeping with the work of de Gutierrez et al., who suggested certain patients with special needs, such as those with cerebral palsy, report greater physical barriers in accessing dental care [17]. de Gutierrez, however, did not find transportation to be a major barrier to accessing dental care by patients with special needs [17].

Psychosocial issues were identified in 21.6% of respondents as a barrier to continuing treatment. These issues may be defined as concerns involving or relating to both the social and psychological aspects of a patient’s and/or guardian’s life such as occupational or financial stress or perceptions of need [18]. Patients and guardians stated illnesses, lack of time off from work, no familial support system, and dental fear or patient behavior as some of the psychosocial issues which prevented them from returning for treatment.

Exactly 18.6% of respondents to the telephone interview reported unawareness that further treatment was planned or did not understand the importance of further planned dental care. This highlights the importance of communication with patients and caregivers regarding holistic dental management. Communication must also include oral health education and promotion with an emphasis on the importance of returning for continued care to mitigate against further severe disease.

Only a small proportion of respondents listed dissatisfaction with the perceived clinical competence of dental students, interns, or dentists providing treatment. This could be related to the fact that care happens within the confines of a teaching hospital. Dental management of patients with special needs within the SoD is facilitated mainly with the use of behavioral management and modification of treatment strategies. Since students themselves are now learning these strategies, patient management can take long periods. The exposure of dental students to patients with special needs in the undergraduate curriculum however can improve future access of patients with special needs to dental care [19].

Limitations of the study include the use of a non-probability or convenience sample of patients attending a single clinic. Such sampling frameworks can reduce the external validity of the study and not make the study’s findings broadly generalizable to the wider population. Since only 34% of all available charts were included for audit based on the inclusion criteria this could lead to selection bias. In this instance given that the sample of patients being reviewed formed less than half of all patients seen during this period, a true and complete representation of perceived barriers was not captured by this research. For this reason, the authors suspect that unmet dental treatment need is much higher and additional identified barriers of care could be uncovered. Future research should focus on assessing the treatment needs and perceived barriers to care of a greater proportion of patients attending the SNC.

**Conclusions**

This retrospective chart audit revealed that patients with special needs attending the SoD for dental treatment had mainly preventive and urgent treatment completed at the SNC. There was an unmet need for restorative and periodontal treatment. Major self-reported barriers to dental care included cost, accessibility to care in terms of transportation issues, and psychosocial issues. Provision of preventive care can mitigate against the development of future dental disease; however, strategies need to be developed to understand how other identified treatment needs of patients with special needs can be addressed to alleviate disease progression.

**Statement of Ethics**

The study protocol was reviewed by the Ethics Committee of The University of the West Indies, St. Augustine and granted an exemption from requiring full ethical approval (CEC769/11/18). Approval was given to obtain the verbal consent of respondents for the short telephone interview.

**Conflict of Interest Statement**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Funding Sources**

This work was not funded by any funding agency.
**Author Contributions**

This research was conceptualized by Drs. Marchan and Balkaran. Data collection was completed by Dr. Coppin, data entry was completed by Dr. Balkaran, and data analysis was completed by Dr. Marchan. Dr. Marchan was the primary author of the present manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final draft of the manuscript.

**Data Availability Statement**

The data that supports this work can be found at the data repository, Harvard Dataverse: https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/HCMQQE4X.

**References**

1. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Definition of special health care needs American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. The reference manual of pediatric dentistry. Chicago, IL, USA: American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry; 2020. p. 19.
2. Silva SN, Gimenez T, Souza RC, Mello-Moura ACV, Raggio DP, Morimoto S, et al. Oral health status of children and young adults with autism spectrum disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2017;27:388–98.
3. Gayle-Geddes A. A situational analysis of persons with disabilities in Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago: education and employment policy imperatives. In: Block P, Kasnitz D, Nishi-da A, Pollard N, editors. Occupying disability: critical approaches to community, justice, and decolonizing disability. Basel: Springer; 2016. p. 127–41.
4. Williams JJ, Spangler CC, Yusaf NK. Barriers to dental care access for patients with special needs in an affluent metropolitan community. Spec Care Dentist. 2015;35(4):190–6.
5. Bennadi D, Konekeri V, Kshetrimayum N, Reddy V. Clinical audit: a literature review. J Int Dent Medical Res. 2014;7:49–55.
6. Malleshi SN, Joshi M, Nair SK, Ashraf I. Clinical audit in dentistry: from a concept to an initiation. Dent Res J. 2012;9:665–70.
7. Vozza I, Cavallè E, Corridore D, Ripari F, Spota A, Brugnoletti O, et al. Preventive strategies in oral health for special needs patients. Ann Stomatol. 2015;6:96–9.
8. Folch A, Salvador-Carulla I, Vicens P, Cortés MJ, Irazabal M, Muñoz S, et al. Health indicators in intellectual developmental disorders: the key findings of the POMONA-ESP project. J Appl Res Intelect Disabil. 2019;32(1):23–34.
9. Cañares TL, Vohra S, Kang J, Tai J, Park M, Dachman J, et al. Acceptance of preventive dental services for children at a retail-based clinic: a pilot study. J Dent Child. 2019;86:40–6.
10. Stensson M, Norderyd J, Van Riper M, Marks L, Björk M. Parents’ perceptions of oral health, general health and dental health care for children with down syndrome in Sweden. Acta Odontol Scand. 2021;79(4):248–55.
11. Jockusch J, Sobotta BAJ, Nitschke I. Outpatient dental care for people with disabilities under general anaesthesia in Switzerland. BMC Oral Health. 2020;20:225–14.
12. Schnabl D, Oberhofer M, Barbieri F, Latmer J, Steiner R, Bruckmoser E, et al. Medical diagnoses, mode of residence, and dental treatment demand under general anaesthesia in special needs adults in Innsbruck, Austria: a retrospective breakdown of four and a half years. Healthcare. 2021;9(3):279.
13. Naidu RS, Percival T, Ramroop V, Prabhu SR, Perlman S. The oral health status of special Olympics athletes in Trinidad and Tobago. J Disabil Oral Health. 2006;7:48–52.
14. Rada RE. Treatment needs and adverse events related to dental treatment under general anesthesia for individuals with autism. Intellect Dev Disabil. 2013;51(4):246–52.
15. Schnabl D, Guarda A, Guarda M, von Spreckelsen LMI, Riedmann M, Steiner R, et al. Dental treatment under general anaesthesia in adults with special needs at the University Hospital of Dental Prosthetics and Restorative Dentistry of Innsbruck, Austria: a retrospective study of 12 years. Clin Oral Investig. 2019;23(11):4157–62.
16. Sundaresan P, Ling G, Borromeo G. Referral patterns of special needs patients to the Oral Health Unit of Auckland District Health Board. N Z Dent J. 2018;114:19–27.
17. Gutierrez GM, Diniz MB, Lima BFA, Santos KK, Santos MTBR. Barriers to access to dental treatment for people with physical disabilities in a Brazilian Metropolis. Pesqui Bras Odontopediatra Clin Integr. 2018;18(1).3946
18. Freeman R. Barriers to accessing dental care: dental health professional factors. Br Dent J. 1999;187:197–200.
19. Faulks D, Freedman L, Thompson S, Sagheri D, Dougall A. The value of education in special care dentistry as a means of reducing inequalities in oral health. Eur J Dent Educ. 2012;16(4):195–201.