Cross-Species Application of Illumina iScan Microarrays for Cost-Effective, High-Throughput SNP Discovery
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Microarrays can be a cost-effective alternative to high-throughput sequencing for discovering novel single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Illumina's iScan platform dominates the market, but their commercial microarray products are designed for model organisms. Further, the platform outputs data in a proprietary format. This cannot be easily converted to human-readable genotypes or be merged with pre-existing data. To address this, we present and validate a novel pipeline to facilitate data analysis from cross-species application of Illumina microarrays. This facilitates the generation of a compatible VCF from iScan data and the merging of this with a second VCF comprising genotypes derived from other samples and sources. Our pipeline includes a custom script, iScanVCFMerge (presented as a Python package), which we validate using iScan data from three great ape genera. We conclude that cross-species application of microarrays can be a rapid, cost-effective approach for SNP discovery in non-model organisms. Our pipeline surmounts the common challenges of integrating iScan genotypes with pre-existing data.
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crop improvement (Gupta et al., 2008). These arrays can be purchased for independent use or for application at service laboratories.

For non-model organisms, however, discovering a panel of informative SNPs can be expensive, time-consuming, and methodologically complex. Non-targeted reduced-representation sequencing approaches, such as RADSeq (Baird et al., 2008), ddRAD (Peterson et al., 2012), and genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) (Elshire et al., 2011), can allow for finding species-specific markers on a large scale, but can suffer higher error rates than microarrays. Increasing the number of samples in a single next-generation-sequencing run also comes at the expense of decreased coverage per locus. Lower coverage can result in error rates > 2%, yielding SNPs not useful for kinship and GWAS studies (Fountain et al., 2016). Even if SNPs are successfully discovered, genotyping these on a larger scale is likely to be prohibitive: both PCR- and sequencing-based methods are either expensive (e.g., next-generation sequencing panels, or dual-probe TaqMan assays) or impractical for large sample sizes (e.g., Melt Analysis of Mismatch Amplification Mutation Assay, or Sanger sequencing). Designing and manufacturing a custom SNP chip is also unlikely to be practical, given the minimum number of chips that must be ordered. For example, Illumina's custom Infinium iSelect chips require a commitment of at least 1,152 samples, with chips manufactured in 24-sample format and comprising 3,072–700,000 markers—this will not be cost-effective for all but the largest of studies. The required buy-in can become even more inhibitive if the chosen SNPs do not amplify consistently or provide data of insufficient quality; this issue is especially problematic when genotyping degraded samples (von Thaden et al., 2020), or when the SNP markers were chosen from a small population subset.

Cross-species application of commercial SNP arrays might therefore be considered as a means to rapidly genotype SNPs at low cost and with limited equipment and skills (Miller et al., 2012). This approach to SNP discovery has been previously used in reindeer (Rangifer tarandus) with the BovineSNP50 and OvineSNP50 chips, respectively, intended for cattle and sheep (Kharzinova et al., 2015); Antarctic fur seals (Arctocephalus gazella), with the CanineHD BeadChip intended for dogs (Hoffman et al., 2013); bighorn (Ovis canadensis) and thinhorn (O. dalli) sheep, with the OvineSNP50 chip (Miller et al., 2010); and in Arabian (Oryx leucoryx) and scimitar-horned oryx (O. dammah) with the BovineSNP50 array (Ogden et al., 2011). Their success comes in varying degrees, as the number of polymorphic SNPs obtained can be expected to decline proportionately with phylogenetic distance (Miller et al., 2010). Furthermore, SNP discovery with a small sample size often results in ascertainment bias, skewing the discovery of accurate FST values to obtain population informative SNPs (Trask et al., 2011; Quinto-Cortés et al., 2018). However, this limitation has not diminished the utility of cross-species SNP-chip application. Notably, the Bovine50K SNP chip was successfully used for SNP discovery in deer (Odocoileus spp.), despite the > 25 million-year divergence between their taxonomic families (Haynes and Latch, 2012).

A key barrier to broader adoption of the cross-species approach is that most commercial arrays produce data in proprietary formats. In particular, Illumina's Infinium assays must be processed on their iScan System platform, producing IDAT-format files that record the scanner intensities for each probe on the array. These files are intended to be opened in Illumina's proprietary GenomeStudio software, to cluster and filter human-readable genotypes—though open-source IDAT parsing tools have since been written to produce the same outcome (e.g., Smith et al., 2013). Yet most cross-species studies will require their data in VCF format, to merge with data from other populations (e.g., from published studies). GenomeStudio can export variants as a GenomeStudio text file in four strand orientations—Illumina's top-bottom, plus-minus, forward-reverse, or probe-target. Illumina's top-bottom system was designed to allow for integration even if the reference allele changes in dbSNP or the human reference, but it is often difficult to understand (Guo et al., 2014). GenomeStudio also allows for data to be exported as a PLINK report (comprising .ped and .map files) following the top-bottom format (Purcell et al., 2007), or as an Affymetrix GeneSpring text file following the dbSNP forward strand format, but even using the dbSNP format means that not all SNPs are on the plus strand. There is no way to export a VCF that maintains the standard format and guarantees correct reference alleles for the target species. It is perhaps not coincidental, therefore, that none of the previously cited studies that used microarrays merged their genotypes with pre-existing data derived from non-microarray-based methods for comparative studies. On the contrary, each study analyzed the microarray data as a “closed” population, greatly limiting the utility of these genotypes.

Here, we provide guidance for selecting the most appropriate BeadChip for cross-species use, and for pre-processing the resulting IDAT files in GenomeStudio and PLINK. We then present a custom, cross-platform Python 3 script—iScanVCFMerge.py—that can be used to merge iScan microarray data with a pre-existing VCF comprising genotypes from other sources or samples. To demonstrate the efficacy of our script, we merged iScan data derived from 58 chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes), eight gorillas (Gorilla spp.), and 82 orang-utans (Pongo spp.) generated in this study with publicly available VCFs derived from whole-genome sequencing endeavors (Prado-Martinez et al., 2013). We show that microarrays for non-target species are an ideal tool for rapid and inexpensive SNP discovery.

**MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT**

Use of our pipeline requires Illumina microarray data in IDAT format; the accompanying software program, Illumina GenomeStudio (RRID:SCR_010973); and our custom script, iScanVCFMerge.py (RRID:SCR_021193), which was tested with Python 3.9 (RRID:SCR_008394). The script is available both on GitHub1 and for installation as a Python package (i.e., pip install iScanVCFMerge). Though we describe methods for generating
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1https://www.github.com/baneslab/
IDAT data (e.g., from great ape blood and tissue samples), this protocol is applicable to IDAT data generated from any cross-species application of Illumina bead chips.

**METHODS**

**DNA Extraction, Quantification, and Bead Chip Selection**

We collected whole blood \((N = 81)\) or tissue \((N = 4)\) samples from 85 orang-utans \((Pongo spp.)\) in zoos in the United States \((N = 65)\), China \((N = 18)\), and the Philippines \((N = 2)\); whole blood from 58 chimpanzees \((Pan troglodytes)\) in Chinese zoos; and whole blood from eight Western lowland gorillas \((Gorilla gorilla)\) in United States zoos, from 2013 to 2018. Blood was drawn into EDTA Vacutainers during routine veterinary examinations or through voluntary blood-draw training. Tissue was collected during necropsy and stored in tubes or Whirl-paks (Nasco). All samples were stored at −20°C following collection. Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood samples using the Promega ReliaPrep™ Blood gDNA Miniprep System or using the Promega Maxwell RSC Blood DNA Kit; tissue samples were extracted using the Promega Maxwell RSC Tissue DNA Kit. Extracts utilizing Maxwell RSC kits were automated on the eponymous instrument. We followed the manufacturer's standard protocols for all extractions, with one modification for tissue samples: we performed an initial overnight digestion in Tail Lysis Buffer (Promega).

We quantified DNA via qPCR on a Roche LightCycler 480 instrument, with SYBRGreen qPCR Master Mix [sensu (Fürnstück et al., 2014)] and primers targeting an 81-bp portion of the c-myc proto-oncogene (Morin et al., 2001). Conditions comprised an initial denaturation of 10 min at 95°C; followed by 40 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 10 s at 60°C, and 10 s at 72°C; concluding with one cycle of 10 s at 95°C, 60 s at 65°C, and 15 s at 95°C. We derived standard curves from serially diluted human genomic DNA (Promega). Extracts were then processed on the Illumina iScan platform, following the manufacturer's standard protocols. To select the best chip for use in each species, the probe sequences were obtained from the bed files provided by Illumina, which we mapped to the human hg18 genome. We then used BLAST to compare the probe sequences from five of Illumina's commercial Infinium human microarrays (Core 24, Omni 2.5, Omni 5, OmniExpress, and Multi-Ethnic Global) to each species' reference genome. We chose the chip with the highest proportion of total probes with the single best hit, proportional to the total size of the manifest. Subsequently, we hybridized orangutan DNA to the Illumina Infinium Multi-Ethnic Global Bead Chip (61.27% single best hit) and chimpanzee DNA to the Illumina Infinium Omni 2.5 Bead Chip (83.21% single best hit). As Illumina probe sequences are designed from the human transcriptome, we considered these values best estimates of on-target probes.

**iScan Data Analysis**

We analyzed the resulting IDAT files separately for each species in GenomeStudio 2.0. A detailed description of all abbreviations for iScan quality filters is presented in Supplementary Table 1. We first visualized sample performance by plotting the call rate against the P10 GC value; selected any samples that fell outside the majority cluster of samples; and excluded these poorly performing samples (i.e., a call rate below 0.98). After updating SNP statistics, we then filtered out SNPs based on low call quality: those that did not clearly cluster into homozygotes and heterozygotes (based on a Cluster Sep score < 0.3) and those for which more than 25% lacked calls across samples. We again updated SNP statistics, re-clustered all remaining SNPs, and exported the resulting new cluster positions as a custom cluster file for downstream analyses.

Using the custom cluster, we reanalyzed the IDAT files by first visualizing sample performance as above. After updating SNP statistics, we then filtered out SNPs based on low call quality: Cluster Sep score < 0.3 and those for which more than 10% lacked calls across samples. As this study only utilized autosomal SNPs, we filtered out all those on the X, Y, and mitochondrial chromosomes. Next, we filtered those with an AB R Mean < 0.12 (mean of the normalized intensity—R—values for the AB genotypes) and an AB T Mean < 0.15 or > 0.85 (mean of the normalized theta values of the heterozygous cluster); i.e., clustered too closely to the homozygous clusters. As the majority of our SNPs were homozygous across all individuals, we filtered SNPs with a Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) > 0.01 and <0.8. Finally, we updated SNP statistics and exported the resulting data in three formats: GenomeStudio Final Report (tab-delimited.txt) using top-bottom strand, PLINK (.ped; Purcell et al., 2007), and GeneSpring (.txt; Agilent Technologies).

Data exported in GenomeStudio and PLINK formats report the reference alleles using top–bottom strand reference. To convert the SNPs to positive strand format, we used the custom script by Robertson (2012) and the Strand and Position Files for each chip as presented by Rayner and McCarthy (2011). After converting the SNPs to the same strand, we then exported the SNPs from PLINK in VCF format for downstream analysis.

**Merging iScan Calls With Reference VCF Files**

We used the VCF files published by Prado-Martinez et al. (2013), who re-sequenced whole genomes from animals sourced across the natural range of the genera and mapped these to the human hg18 (NCBI Build 36.1, GCF_00000145.12) reference genome. As our iScan chips were in hg19 (GRCh37.p13, GCF_000001405.25) format, we used Picard® to lift-over the VCFs from hg18 to hg19. For orang-utans, we merged the separate species-specific VCFs into a single VCF using bcftools (Li, 2011).

Our script, iScanVCFMerge.py, is designed to merge two VCF files of any format into a single VCF based on matches of chromosome, position, and certain conditions of major and minor alleles. Matched rows in the two VCFs are concatenated into a single row in the output files. The concatenated row comprises data for all individuals in both VCFs. This process allows the individuals from multiple populations to be analyzed in the same dataset.

1http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard
The reference file's alleles are used as a reference and samples from this VCF are unchanged. Genotypes from the iScan VCF are re-coded to refer to the necessary ALT allele of the reference VCF. For example, where the reference VCF file states REF = G and ALT = T,A,C, and the iScan VCF states REF = G and ALT = C, an iScan genotype of 1/1 would be re-coded to 1/3.

Case 4: The positions are multi-allelic; the ALT allele of the iScan VCF exactly matches the REF allele of the reference VCF, but the REF allele of the iScan VCF matches either the tri- or quad-ALT allele of the reference VCF.

The reference file's alleles are used as a reference and samples from this VCF are unchanged. Genotypes from the iScan VCF are first flipped, and then re-coded to refer to the appropriate REF and ALT alleles of the reference VCF. For example, where the reference VCF file states REF = G and ALT = T,A,C and the iScan VCF states REF = C and ALT = G, an iScan genotype of 0/1 would be re-coded to 1/3.

At completion, the script will output four files containing the passing variants, plus a fifth in which all are merged for downstream analysis (merged.vcf): exact_matches_biallelic.vcf and exact_matches_multiallelic.vcf, containing either bi- or multi-allelic genotypes that matched the reference REF and ALT (or one of the ALTS) exactly; and exact_matches_rev_biallelic.vcf and exact_matches_rev_multiallelic.vcf, comprising those where the iScan REF and one ALT allele matched those of the reference once reversed. A sixth file, rejected.vcf, contains all positions that did not match, and was therefore dropped. The script reports progress and outputs summary statistics of all loci processed.

### RESULTS

Following re-clustering in GenomeStudio, we recorded on-target genotyping rates of 95% for chimpanzees and 70% for gorillas and orang-utans. In total, we genotyped 2,382,209 SNPs in chimpanzees and 1,748,250 SNPs in gorillas and orang-utans (Table 1). Of these, the majority were homozygous, as expected, with some SNPs in which all samples were heterozygous for the same alleles: 94% for chimpanzees, 96% for gorillas, and 95% for orang-utans.

We retained all chimpanzee and gorilla samples for analyses but removed three orang-utan samples that could not cluster...
correctly. After removing homozygous and purely heterozygous SNPs and filtering for MAF, we were left with 48,831 polymorphic SNPs for chimpanzees, 47,536 polymorphic SNPs for gorillas, and 44,389 polymorphic SNPs for orang-utans (Table 1).

After merging with iScanVCFMerge, our final chimpanzee VCF matched 49.6% of the published SNPs (24,255); thus, 50.4% of our SNPs were previously unreported. Our final gorilla VCF matched 36.4% of the published SNPs (17,305); thus 63.6% were newly discovered. Our final orang-utan VCF matched 45.9% of the published SNPs (20,362); thus, 54.1% of our SNPs were novel (Table 1). The majority of the remaining SNPs were lost during merging due to chromosome and position mismatches, i.e., SNPs were not genotyped at the same location in both the public and the iScan data. Two SNPs were rejected for chimpanzees due to REF and ALT mismatches at a chromosome and position, 28 SNPs were rejected for gorillas, and 53 SNPs were rejected for orang-utans.

DISCUSSION

Our findings reiterate that microarrays can be applied across species, and that—when utilizing our scripted pipeline—novel SNPs can be recovered and merged for downstream analyses with pre-existing data. Our polymorphic SNP recovery rates were slightly higher than in previous studies: 6% of all loci in chimpanzees, 4% in gorillas, and 5% in orang-utans, despite the former having diverged from our common ancestor c. 5 mya, c. 10 mya (Scally et al., 2012), and c. 14 mya, respectively (Locke et al., 2011). In contrast, the OvineSNP50 BeadChip—designed for domestic sheep (Ovis aries)—yielded 570 polymorphic SNPs in bighorn sheep (1.82% of the 48,230 genotyped) and 330 SNPs in thinhorn sheep (0.69% of the 48,004 genotyped), despite their much closer evolutionary history. The effect of species divergence on loci recovery emphasizes the importance of selecting the most appropriate chip. In our case, multiple human chips were available and assessed for their single best hit against the great ape genomes. In contrast, commercial sheep microarrays are less abundant, and are designed to detect recently arisen mutations useful in discerning domestic sheep breeds (Miller et al., 2010).

The utility of cross-species microarray data will depend on the yield of polymorphic SNPs. While whole-genome sequencing (for example) may yield a greater number, the lower input DNA quantities and scanning (vs. library preparation and sequencing) costs offset the disadvantage of lower yields from microarrays. In gorillas, for example, genome-wide SNPs have been obtained from whole-genome sequencing (Prado-Martinez et al., 2013), reduced representation sequencing (Scally et al., 2013), as well as with microarrays (this study). When comparing the number of polymorphic SNPs vs. input DNA and cost of sequencing, our cross-species microarray approach was substantially cheaper (Table 2).

Nonetheless, with only small numbers of SNPs, it can be difficult to calculate LD and runs of homozygosity (ROH), which are needed for inferring kinship or to perform QTL and GWAS studies. With a medium-density (50K) SNP array, the number of short ROH can be overestimated even when using microarrays in the species they were designed for (Ferenčaković et al., 2013; Szmatała et al., 2020). Though it may be tempting to include all SNPs, rather than filter out monomorphic SNPs, this will falsely raise homozygosity estimates and can lead to assumptions of inbreeding—as was observed when using the Bovine50 chip to study LD in reindeer (Shafer et al., 2016). Further, large gaps in SNP coverage can lead to the detection of false ROH islands, most likely caused by ROH detection algorithms not detecting short gaps in the flanking regions of the ROH (Nandolo et al., 2018). Nonetheless, in most non-model studies, microarray data are analyzed as private populations—meaning polymorphisms when compared to other populations cannot be detected. Our pipeline might therefore serve to increase the utility of microarray data from prior studies, if used to merge their outputs with pre-existing genotypes. The present version of iScanVCFMerge does not address the creation of tri-allelic data (i.e., creating a tri-allele when the iScan population has an allele present that is not present in the publicly accessed data). In a future iteration, however, this capability could facilitate discovery of rare alleles and kinship-informative alleles only found in the study population.

CONCLUSION

Cross-species application of microarrays is a rapid, cost-effective approach for SNP discovery in non-model organisms. The use of Illumina microarrays has to date been hampered by an inability to export genotypes into VCF and combine these with a pre-existing VCF comprising additional data. Our pipeline, utilizing our custom script—iScanVCFMerge—facilitates the simple and rapid merging of such files, enabling the detection of novel SNP loci and increasing the likelihood of observing polymorphic sites.

---

**Table 2** | Comparative costs of SNP discovery approaches in gorillas, considering either sequencing or BeadChip scanning costs, for either microarrays (this study), whole-genome sequencing (X), and reduced-representation sequencing (x).

| Method                  | Input DNA | No. animals sequenced | No. chips or lanes | Number of variable SNPs | Average cost (USD) |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Illumina iScan          | 200 ng    | 8                     | 1                  | 47,536                  | $256               |
| Whole genome            | 2 µg      | 31                    | 125                | 13,731,122              | $350,834           |
| Reduced Representation  | 1 µg      | 14                    | 12                 | 3,006,670               | $41,298            |

*aStatistics were determined from the 12 individuals published under NCBI BioProject PRJEB2590, for which one individual was sequenced per lane. The microarray approach required lower input DNA volumes and was substantially cheaper than the other approaches. Cost estimates were based on UW-Madison Biotechnology Center pricing (for iScan) or Genohub average pricing (http://www.genohub.com/; for Illumina sequencing), using the same instruments, read lengths, minimum coverage, and fragment sizes as detailed in the cited studies.
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