Current understanding and applications of the cold sintering process
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Abstract In traditional ceramic processing techniques, high sintering temperature is necessary to achieve fully dense microstructures. But it can cause various problems including warpage, overfiring, element evaporation, and polymorphic transformation. To overcome these drawbacks, a novel processing technique called “cold sintering process (CSP)” has been explored by Randall et al. CSP enables densification of ceramics at ultra-low temperature (≤300°C) with the assistance of transient aqueous solution and applied pressure. In CSP, the processing conditions including aqueous solution, pressure, temperature, and sintering duration play critical roles in the densification and properties of ceramics, which will be reviewed. The review will also include the applications of CSP in solid-state rechargeable batteries. Finally, the perspectives about CSP is proposed.
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1 Introduction

Ceramics processing techniques have been developed for centuries since the Upper Paleolithic Period [1,2]. During the long development history of ceramic processing, heating is used as the dominate energy source for densification. The driving force of densification is the reduction in surface Gibbs free energy or chemical potential of the ceramics ensemble [3]. The sintering temperatures for conventional sintering techniques are typically in the range of 50% to 75% of ceramic melting points. Due to high melting points of most of ceramics, the sintering temperature is normally above 1000°C [2]. In addition, due to the slow solid-state diffusion process, the required time for densification is usually hours or days [2]. The high temperature and long duration could cause problems accompanying with densification, including warpage, overfiring, element evaporation, and polymorphic transformation [3], which have deleterious effects on properties of ceramic products. Excessive grain growth caused by overfiring can result in decrease in mechanical strength [3] and affect the various properties for the functional ceramics [4–7]. Element evaporation is a common problem for some ceramics containing volatile elements, such as Na, Pb, Li, Bi, and K [3]. In addition, long duration sintering is time- and energy-consuming [3]. Some new sintering techniques have been developed in order to reduce sintering temperatures and duration. One common way to reduce sintering temperatures is to increase the driving force of densification through applying pressure simultaneously with temperature, such as over-pressure sintering [8], hot pressing [9,10], and hot isostatic pressing [11,12]. Another approach is through utilizing electrical field to enhance diffusion processes, such as flash sintering [13,14], spark plasma sintering [15,16], and microwave sintering [17,18]. Even though the sintering temperature has been reduced to some extent, it is still quite high [19–24]. Therefore, new processing method that can densify a wide range of materials at ultra-low temperature, or even at room temperature with short duration is in demand.

Recently, Randall et al. have developed a novel ceramic processing technique called “cold sintering process” (CSP) [1]. The CSP is described as a low temperature sintering technique with assistance of transient aqueous solution and pressure. Ceramic materials with very high density can be produced at ≤300°C within short duration (~1 h) [2]. The mechanism of CSP is proposed as “dissolution-precipitation” process. Aqueous solution, temperature, pressure, and duration are the four key processing variables of CSP to govern the densification process of materials. In this
paper, mechanisms of CSP and the effects of processing conditions on densification behaviors will be reviewed. The application of CSP on solid-state rechargeable battery materials will also be discussed.

2 Mechanisms and advantages of CSP

2.1 Mechanisms of CSP

CSP of ceramics was firstly reported by Randall et al. at 2016. They proposed “dissolution-precipitation” mechanisms to describe densification of ceramics [1]. Figure 1 schematically shows the different stages involved in CSP [2]. In the first stage — particle compaction, an appropriate amount of aqueous solution is introduced to wet the surface of ceramic particles homogenously and forms a liquid film around the particles [25]. Aqueous solution enables local dissolution of the sharp surface of the particles and acts as lubricant to promote particles rearrangement and sliding [26]. With the compaction of particles by applied external pressure, the redistribution of the aqueous solution will proceed readily, and the liquid phase will fill in the particle-particle interspaces [27]. In second and third stages, dissolution-precipitation and crystal growth occur, including removing liquid phase in particle interspaces via evaporation at a temperature right above the boiling temperature of the aqueous solution [28]. The evaporation of liquid phase enables a supersaturated state at particle interspaces, and the chemical potential at contact area is higher than that at crystal sites [1]. Therefore, the dissolved atomic clusters and/or ionic species will precipitate on crystal sites and contribute to densification of ceramics [25]. In addition to the formation of well-crystallised structure at crystal sites, non-equilibrium phases are probably formed, such as metastable compound or glass phase [29]. The reason is that the nucleation rate in metastable phase is higher than that in stable phases [2]. In this case, the supersaturated solution will nucleate a less stable amorphous phase and further suppress the crystallisation [25]. From energy demand point of view, the liquid phase mass transport minimizes the demand for Gibbs free energy change for sintering [2]. A Gibbs free energy diagram in a single component system is shown in Fig. 2. Compared to conventional sintering, the Gibbs free energy change for crystalisation from an aqueous solution to form a solid is significantly lower.

2.2 Advantages of CSP

The advantages of CSP over other sintering processes can be summarized [25–29]: (i) Ultra-low sintering temperature (<300°C) due to facilitated mass transport kinetics by mechanical-chemical couplings. (ii) Capability to fabricate nanostructured ceramics due to ultra-low sintering temperature and short duration. (iii) Great potential on co-sintering of dissimilar materials with large difference in processing temperature window. For example, ceramic/polymer composites can be easily produced by CSP. (iv) Low energy consumption and simple experimental setup.

3 Effects of processing variables

In CSP, densification of materials is affected by several chemical and physical factors, including aqueous solution, applied pressure, temperature, and duration. Each factor plays individual role in different stages of CSP and facilitates densification with different mechanisms. Understanding of the effect of each processing variables on
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mainly by high temperature [3], aqueous solution in CSP transport in the initial stages of CSP. Comparing with boundary defects, and reduce activation energy for mass favoring environment for chemical reaction, induce grain establishing a proper aqueous solution, including nature of the solute, \( \text{pH} \) value, and solute concentration, enables a favorable environment for chemical reaction, induce grain boundary defects, and reduce activation energy for mass transport in the initial stages of CSP. Comparing with solid-state sintering, in which mass transport is facilitated mainly by high temperature [3], aqueous solution in CSP enables ions/atom mass transport in liquid phase, which is a more efficient way than solid-state transport. The mass transport in solid and liquid phase can be further explained by diffusivity, which is represented as \( D_{gb} \delta_{gb} \) for grain boundary diffusion in solid state sintering and \( D_{L} \delta_{L} \) in liquid phase sintering, where \( D_{gb} \) and \( D_{L} \) are diffusion coefficients of atoms at grain boundary and liquid, respectively. \( \delta_{gb} \) is the thickness of surface diffusion, and \( \delta_{L} \) is the width of the liquid bridge [31]. As the \( \delta_{L} >> \delta_{gb} \) and \( D_{L} >> D_{gb} \), the mass transport in liquid phase is significantly enhanced comparing with solid-state sintering. The pre-condition for ions/atoms mass transport in CSP is dissolution of particle surfaces in an aqueous solution:

Hygroscopic substances, including \( \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 \) [2], \( \text{NaNO}_2 \) [2], \( \text{NaCl} \) [32], carbonate materials [33], and alkali molybdates [1] (\( \text{Li}_2\text{MoO}_4, \text{Na}_2\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_7, \text{K}_2\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_7 \)) can easily dissolve in water [2]. Thus, they can be easily densified to achieve high density (> 90%) by CSP from a temperature as low as room temperature to 120°C. The solubility of a material in water can be evaluated by solubility product constant \( (K_{sp}) \) [34]. For example, for an \( A_{a}B_{b} \) insoluble electrolyte in water, the equilibrium condition and the \( K_{sp} \) can be represented as:

\[
A_{a}B_{b} \rightleftharpoons aA^{n+} + bB^{m-},
\]

where, \([A^{n+}] \) and \([B^{m-}] \) are the concentrations of respective ions dissociated in water. Generally, the larger solubility of a material in water, the higher concentration of dissociated ions, hence the higher \( K_{sp} \) value. In other words, a material with lower \( K_{sp} \) is more difficult to dissolve in water [34]. To dissolve the insoluble solid, the ion product in water has to be smaller than \( K_{sp} \) to deviate the equilibrium condition [34]. This can be achieved by reducing one of the ionic concentrations \([A^{n+}] \) or \([B^{m-}] \) to push the reaction to shift from left to right (solid to ions) in Eq. (1). One way to reduce the ionic concentration is to introduce other materials that can react with one of the ions to form a weak electrolyte with low degree of dissociation [34], for example, acid. Taking \( \text{Mg(OH)}_{2} \) as an example, \( \text{Mg(OH)}_{2} \) is a kind of insoluble electrolyte and will slightly dissociate forming \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) and \( \text{OH}^{-} \) in water. With addition of \( \text{HCl} \), the \( \text{OH}^{-} \) will react with \( \text{H}^{+} \) provided by dissociation of \( \text{HCl} \) to form \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \). This reaction will force \( \text{Mg(OH)}_{2} \) to continually dissociate to provide \( \text{H}^{+} \). This mechanism explains the dissolution of insoluble materials in acid/alkali solutions. Based on this mechanism, acid and alkali solution can be applied in CPS to increase solubility of the insoluble materials. For example, 2 mol·L\(^{-1}\) \( \text{LiOH} \) and ammonia solution with \( \text{pH} \) value of 10.5 were used for densification of \( \text{LiFePO}_4 \) [35] and \( \text{InGaZnO}_4 \) target [29] by CSP, respectively. Whereas, acetic acid was chosen for densification of \( \text{ZnO} \) [36]. The determination of \( \text{pH} \) value or concentration of acid/alkali solutions depends on the solubility of a material at different pH values. Most of materials show different chemical species in solutions as a function of \( \text{pH} \) values. For example, according to the phase stability diagram for \( \text{BaTiO}_3-\text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{CO}_2 \) system (Fig. 3) [37], \( \text{BaTiO}_3 \) is stable phase above about \( \text{pH} \) 12 if the \( \text{pCO}_2 \) is less than 4.5. But it is easy to be dissolved as cations at \( \text{pH} < 12 \) and its solubility increases with decreasing of \( \text{pH} \) value [37]. Generally, the dissolution of materials increases with increasing acid/alkali concentration. Therefore, concentration of acid/alkali solution is critical for the densification during CSP. A much higher density (> 90%) of \( \text{ZnO} \) was observed when 1 mol·L\(^{-1}\) acetic acid solution was used, compared to that (65%) when water or 0.1 mol·L\(^{-1}\) acetic acid was used [38]. Gonzalez-Julian et al. [36] also reported that an acid solution with high concentration is beneficial to fast sintering rate as well as reduction in onset temperature of densification. This is attributed to the formation of grain boundary defect due to diffusion of \( \text{H}^{+} \) and \( \text{OH}^{-} \) ions from dissociation of water into crystals [36]. In addition to proper selection of acid/alkali solutions, there are still other ways to increase solubility of ceramic powders in aqueous
solubility of particle with in
finite radius, \( s_{\infty} \) is the solubility of particle with infinite radius, \( \gamma_{\text{ad}} \) is the interfacial tension, \( \Omega \) is the atomic volume, \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, and \( T \) is absolute temperature. Above equation indicates that the solubility \( (S_r) \) of nanoparticles can substantially increase due to the Gibbs–Thomson effect. In addition, nanoparticles provide more lattice sites for dissolution process and nucleation during precipitation due to the high surface-to-volume ratio [2]. As a result, nanoparticles are beneficial to enhanced dissolution and densification during CSP [39].

For some ultra-stable compounds with very low solubility product constants \( (K_{sp}) \), such as \( \text{Pb(Zr,Ti)}_2\text{O}_3 \), a transient solution containing corresponding cations can be used as an alternative to water or acid/alkali solutions in order to generate a saturated solution environment and form the same composites as final products. For example, Wang et al. [40] used \( \text{Pb(NO}_3)_2 \) solution for densification of \( \text{Pb(Zr,Ti)}_2\text{O}_3 \) by CSP under 500 MPa at 300°C, and 89% of theoretical density was achieved. Moreover, to densify BaTiO3 by CSP under 500 MPa at 300°C, and 89% of theoretical density was achieved. Similar suspension of \( \text{SrCl}_2/\text{TiO}_2 \) nanoparticles was used in densification of \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) by CSP [44]. The in-filling micro-reactions between \( \text{TiO}_2 \) and \( \text{SrCl}_2 \) occurred around grains and high density (~97%) was achieved after CSP and post-annealing [44].

Nonaqueous solvents, such as organic solvents with low boiling temperature (<200°C), have also been employed in CSP. The low boiling temperature guarantees the low processing temperature of CSP. For example, Berbano et al. [45] used ethanol as a substitute for water in CSP of \( \text{Li}_{1.5}\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{Ge}_{1.5}(\text{PO}_4)_3 \) solid electrolyte. The density (~75%) and ionic conductivity \( (6.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ S cm}^{-1}) \) of \( \text{Li}_{1.5}\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{Ge}_{1.5}(\text{PO}_4)_3 \) produced by using ethanol were comparable to those produced by using water. Sato et al. [46] has proposed an organic solvent family with long-pair electron orbital and an opposite proton donor site as solvents for CSP of Zr-based ceramics. Such organic solvents include formamide, propylamine, ethanalamine, ethanol, and glycerol, etc. [47].

3.2 Effect of pressure, temperature, and duration

The processing parameters, such as applied pressure, temperature, ramp rate, and sintering duration, play roles in providing an effective driving force for mass transport and controlling grain growth.

Pressure is a crucial parameter in CSP since it contributes to distribution of liquid phase, the reorientation, sliding, and compaction of particles, which lead to higher densification rates and controlled grain sizes. The effect of pressure on density of \( \text{NaCl} \) [32] and \( \text{Na}_3\text{.25Mg}_0\text{.125Zr}_{\text{1.872}}\text{Si}_{\text{2}}\text{PO}_{\text{12}} \) (Mg-doped NASICON) [48] produced by CSP was studied. It was found that the densities increased with applied pressure (Fig. 4). Gonzalez-Julian et al. [36] reported that the increasing applied pressures can reduce the onset temperature of densification, and thus restrain the grain growth during densification. This has been confirmed in the studies of \( \text{NaCl} \) [32] and \( \text{ZnO} \) [38] produced by CSP. Fully dense ceramics with controlled grain size are always desirable for the performance of ceramics [49–51].

High temperature in CSP provides energy for mass transport, liquid phase evaporation, and grain growth. Densification rate of cold-sintered materials varies with different temperature range. The densification rate initially increases quite fast with increasing sintering temperature, then is gradually stagnant with further increasing temperature (Fig. 5) [45]. The variation of densification rate with temperature is associated with the evaporation rate of liquid phase at different temperatures. The densification
process may slow down at high temperature since the amount of water/solution decreases by evaporation [48]. Gonzalez-Julian [36] studied the effect of the rate of heating ramp on densification in CSP and found that more water can be retained under fast heating rate at high temperature, hence promoted densification (Table 1) [36]. To prove this, further experimental works was conducted. The density was not increased by simply increasing the pressure (from 150 to 300 MPa). By adding doubled amount of water in the system to compensate the early loss of the water due to evaporation under slow heating rate, ZnO with high density of 93.4% was successfully produced [36]. The water loss during CSP also results in the similar change in density vs sintering duration. The density of most ceramics usually increases within the first one hour and levels off after that period [38].

3.3 Effect of post-annealing

Fully densified structures may not be achieved for some ceramic materials by just one-step CSP due to the limited dissolution and formation of amorphous or second phase in the grain boundaries. In this case, a post-annealing process is necessary to increase the crystallinity, eliminate the amorphous/second phase, and finally increase the density. Post-annealing temperature and time depend on the crystallisation temperatures of glass. When BaTiO3 was produced by CSP [25], a second phase, BaCO3, was formed along grain boundaries between crystalline BaTiO3 grains in as-CSPed sample due to the reaction between Ba2+ and CO2 in atmosphere (Fig. 6(a)) [25]. To eliminate second phase and glass phase, the samples were annealed at 700°C–900°C [26]. The glass phase is significantly reduced in content after annealing at 700°C (Fig. 6(b)) and almost completely removed after annealing at 900°C (Fig. 6(c)). Similar phenomenon was also observed in CSP of Pb(Zr,Ti)O3 [40]. A second phase, PbO, was found in as-CSPed sample, and the relative density was 89%. After annealed at 900°C, the second phase was eliminated, and the density increased up to 99%. Leng et al. [48] compared the effect of post-annealing after CSP and conventional sintering (dry press) on the density of Mg-doped NASICON. At the same annealing (sintering) temperature (<1200°C), the samples produced by post-annealing after CSP exhibit higher density than those produced by conventional sintering, which demonstrates the important role of initial CSP step on final density (Fig. 7(a)). Even though the post-annealing temperature is much higher than cold sintering temperature, it is still lower than conventional sintering temperature.

Table 1 Summary of CSP conditions and relative densities of ZnO samples by field assisted sintering technique (FAST)/spark plasma sintering (SPS) and hand pressing techniques. Reproduced from ref. [36] with permission, copyright 2018 Elsevier.

| Techniques | Composition      | Water content /wt-% | Heating rate /°C min⁻¹ | Pressure /MPa | Holding time /min | Relative density /% |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|
| FAST/SPS   | ZnO/H2O          | 1.6                 | 100                     | 150           | 5                | 91.8              |
|            |                  | 1.6                 | 20                      | 150           | 5                | 87.9              |
| CSP        |                  | 1.6                 | 20                      | 300           | 5                | 88.0              |
|            |                  | 3.2                 | 20                      | 300           | 5                | 93.4              |
The post-annealing process is expected to improve performance of CSPed ceramics due to enhanced density and crystallinity. Jing et al. [52] reported the improved electrical conductivity of CSPed ZnO ceramics by post-annealing in controlled atmosphere. After cold sintered at 250°C under 350 MPa for 1 h, ZnO ceramics showed high density (97.5%), but very low electrical conductivity of $0.0005 \text{ S cm}^{-1}$. After annealed at 500°C in argon atmosphere, the conductivity increased up to $16.4 \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ (Fig. 7(b)) [52]. The improvement in electrical conductivity is mainly attributed to the increase in the crystallinity of ZnO, the concentration of oxygen vacancies and interstitial zinc atoms due to desorption of oxygen on grain boundaries by annealing in argon atmosphere.

### 3.4 Effect of CSP variables on composite materials

Dense ceramic/ceramic or ceramic/polymer composites with uniform microstructure are usually difficult to be produced by conventional co-sintering due to significant mismatch in constitutes’ properties, such as melting temperature, thermal expansion coefficient, etc. As the processing temperature can be controlled under 300°C in CSP, it makes the one-step co-sintering of dissimilar materials possible. At present, several ceramic/ceramic composites [53–55] and ceramic/polymer composites [27,56,57] have been fabricated by CSP in order to improve density and electrical properties, such as relative dielectric permittivity [54], microwave quality factor (Qf) [53], breakdown strength [57], and electrical conductivity [58].

The pre-condition for densification of composite materials by CSP is still dissolution, which is the same for monolithic ceramic. However, as two or more constitutes with different solubility are involved in composites, it is difficult to achieve simultaneous dissolution of all constitutes. Thus, as long as one of the constitutes is soluble, it is possible to achieve densification of composites by CSP. For example, NaCl and Li$_2$MoO$_4$ (LMO) were chosen as soluble additives to produce Al$_2$Si$_3$/NaCl [55], Al$_2$SiO$_5$/NaCl [54], and Na$_{0.5}$Bi$_{0.5}$MoO$_4$/Li$_2$MoO$_4$ (NBMO/xLMO) [53] composites by CSP. In Induja’s work [54], the density of Al$_2$Si$_3$/NaCl (1:1 vol-%) composites was reported to be 91.2% after CSP at 120°C. In comparison, the density of monolithic Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_5$ ceramic produce by conventional
sintering at 1525°C was only 64.5%. The density of cold-sintered NBMO/xLMO composites (x = 0–100 wt-%) as a function of the content x of soluble LMO was studied [53]. The relative density increased from 84.9% to 96.4% with increasing content of LMO from 0–100 wt-% at the same processing conditions. The addition of LMO in composites not only increases their density, but also improve the microwave properties of NBMO/xLMO composites. The relative dielectric permittivity of NBMO/xLMO composites decreased from 24.1 to 5.3 and Qf increased from 2236 to 24319 GHz with increasing amount of LMO from 10 to 100 wt-% [53].

For ceramic/polymer composites, the dissolution process is mainly determined by ceramic matrix in CSP, due to the insolubility of most of polymers in aqueous solutions. Therefore, the effect of aqueous solution on densification behaviors of ceramic/polymer composites is similar to that of monolithic ceramic materials. For example, because acetic acid was used for densification of monolithic ZnO by CSP [52], ZnO/polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) was cold-sintered with 2 mol/L of acetic acid to achieve high density of 92%–97% [27]. PTFE is an insulating phase segregating in intergranular regions to limit the conduction across grain boundary and achieve varistor behaviors. The grain boundary resistivity increased up to 1.5×10^{10} \Omega when 10 vol-% of PTFE was added [27]. Na_{2}Mo_{2}O_{7}/poly(ether imide) (PEI) [57] composite with high density of >90% was produced by CSP using water as transient solvent. The dielectric breakdown strength of Na_{2}Mo_{2}O_{7}/PEI composite increased from 55.1 to 120 MV/m when the content of PEI increased from 0–40 vol-% [57]. Although the addition of polymer with appropriate amount can improve the electrical properties of ceramic/polymer composites, excess amount of polymer will result in agglomeration of polymers and heterogeneous separation of ceramics and polymers [57].

4 Applications of CSP on solid-state rechargeable batteries

CSP has been applied to sinter a wide range of materials including ferroelectric materials, piezoelectric materials, semi-conductive materials, and energy storage materials, etc. [25–29,33,34,36,37,39–42,45,46,48,52–62]. The applications of above materials are extremely broad, such as capacitors, actuators, oxygen sensor [26], protect sensitive electronic devices [38], touch panels [63], solar cells [64], thermoelectric devices [65], and solid-state rechargeable batteries [48], etc. Considering that solid-state rechargeable batteries have received intensive attentions due to their high energy density and excellent safety over liquid counterparts for electric vehicle applications [66–68], we mainly focus on the recent works on electrodes and electrolytes for solid-state rechargeable batteries produced by CSP.

Two cathode materials, LiFePO_{4} (LFP) [35,60] and V_{2}O_{5} [56,58], have been fabricated by CSP in order to increase density and electrical properties. A traditional processing technique to fabricate cathode coating with 20–40 wt-% of conductive carbon black and polymer binders is tape-casting [60], which results in the low volumetric capacity density. Seo et al. [60] used CSP to fabricate both monolithic LFP and LFP cathode composites pellets. The theoretical density of monolithic LFP pellets produced by CSP was 85%, which was much higher than that (~64%) of pellets produced by dry-press under the same pressure and temperature [35]. Similarly, LFP cathode composite pellets with 10 wt-% of conductive carbon and 10 wt-% of PVDF produced by CSP exhibited higher density (~89%) than that (~62%) of composite pellets with same formulation produced by tape-casting [35]. The enhanced density of LFP cathode composite pellets led to the significant improvement in volumetric capacity (~340 mAh·cm^{-3} for CSP vs. ~159–227 mAh·cm^{-3} for tape-casting) at the same discharge rate (0.1 C). In addition, the cold sintered cathode composites also show good cycling performance. 87% of initial capacities were preserved after 40 cycles [60]. To fabricate thin film LFP cathode composites with high density of 70%, Seo combined tape-casting with CSP. High volumetric capacities, 373 and 247 mAh·cm^{-3}, were achieved at low (0.1 C) and high (10 C) charge/discharge rates, respectively [60]. Moreover, the cycling performance of the thin film cathode was also very good due to the high density and structural stability. Over 90% of initial capacity was retained after 100 cycles even at high current rate of 10 C [60]. V_{2}O_{5} is a semiconductor with layered crystal structure, which allows the intercalation of Li^{+}, and thus can be used as cathode for lithium ion battery [69]. Conductive polymer, such as polyaniline, is commonly added to increase the electrical conductivity and stability of V_{2}O_{5} [70]. Due to the large difference in process temperature window between V_{2}O_{5} and polymer, the fabrication of above composites is challenging. CSP was applied to produce V_{2}O_{5}/poly(3,4-ethylenedioxy-thiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT : PSS) composites with a high density of 90.2%. The electrical conductivity of monolithic V_{2}O_{5} was 4.8×10^{-4} S·cm^{-1} [58]. However, the electrical conductivity of the composites with 1–2 vol-% of PEDOT:PSS increased up to 10^{-2} S·cm^{-1} [56].

When ceramic electrolytes are produced by the traditional sintering technique, high temperature is necessary to increase the density and ionic conductivity through minimizing grain boundary area and further reduce grain boundary resistivity [45]. However, high temperature process may cause volatile element (e.g., Li) evaporation and formation of second phase. On the contrast, CSP enables to densify electrolytes with pure phase and high ionic conductivity at much lower temperature. A proton electrolyte CsH_{2}PO_{4} with 98% of theoretical density and high proton conductivity (2.3×10^{-4} S·cm^{-1}) was produced by CSP at 200°C, which was lower than the
dehydration temperature (230°C) of CsH2PO4 [61]. Post-annealing is often used after CSP to eliminate insulating amorphous phase at grain boundaries in order to enhance ionic conductivity. Mg-doped NASICON solid-state electrolyte with composition of Na3.250Mg0.128Zr1.872Si2PO12 for Na-ion battery was produced by CSP in Leng’s work [48]. As-CSPed sample exhibited low conductivity (0.041 mS·cm⁻¹) due to low density (~83%) and the formation of second phase along grain boundaries [48]. After post-annealed at 1200°C, the sample showed pure phase with the increased density (~98%) and ionic conductivity (1.406 mS·cm⁻¹) [48]. Berbano et al. [45] used CSP to produce Li1.5Al0.5Ge1.5(PO4)3 electrolyte with density of 79% and ionic conductivity of 7.9 × 10⁻⁶ S·cm⁻¹. The impedance spectrum was dominated by grain boundary resistance. In comparison, post-annealing at 650°C slightly reduced the density (75%), but greatly increased the ionic conductivity up to 5.4 × 10⁻⁵ S·cm⁻¹ [45]. Finally, the CSP has high potential to fabricate ceramic/polymer composites electrolytes to increase the interface stability between electrolytes and Li metal anode [71] and develop fully integrated solid-state batteries [60]. Berbano used CSP to produce composite electrolyte consisting of Li1.5Al0.5Ge1.5(PO4)3 and (-CH2CF2-)x [-CF2CF(CF3)-]y (PVDF-HFP) [45]. Subsequently, as-CSPed composites electrolytes were soaked in liquid electrolyte. As a result, the ionic conductivity of the composites reached ~10⁻⁴ S·cm⁻¹ [45]. Soaking in liquid electrolyte is crucial to increase conductivity since the polymer phase is swelled during soaking, and thus leads to increase in grain boundary contact area and provide ionic bridge for conducting of ions [45].

5 Summary and perspectives

In this paper, CSP mechanism, the effect of processing variables on densification behavior and properties, and the applications of CSP on solid-state rechargeable batteries are reviewed. The mechanism of the CSP is proposed to be the “dissolution-precipitation” process. Dissolution is crucial for densification during CSP since it is the precondition of mass transport within liquid phase. As the solubility of most ceramic materials is poor, several ways have been proposed for cold sintering of the insoluble ceramics, including employing acid/alkali aqueous solution or nonaqueous solvents, using nanoparticles as starting materials, introducing hydrothermal precursor solutions/suspensions. The other key processing variables include pressure, temperature, heating rate, and duration, etc. The density of ceramics usually shows positive correlation with these variables. Increasing applied pressure lead to reduction in the onset temperature of densification and restrained growth rate. Fast heating rate is helpful to retain more water in system at high temperature. Post-annealing, as a complementary step, can increase the density and crystallinity, eliminated second phase and amorphous phase, and thus improve material performances. CSP shows high potential in production of ceramic/ceramic or ceramic/polymer composites for a wide range of applications. To density composite materials, at least one constitutes should be soluble to ensure the occurrence of dissolution–precipitation process.

Cathode composites fabricated by CSP exhibit higher volumetric capacity compared to that produced by conventional tape-casting. Ceramic electrolytes produced by CSP also show the improved density and ionic conductivity. The exploration of CSP is still at the early stage and the following challenges have to be addressed: (i) Low solubility of ceramics. As mentioned previously, the formation of hydrothermal environment completely depends on the dissolution of particle in the aqueous solution. The low solubility of ceramics greatly retards dissolution, and thus different aqueous solutions are yet to be optimised in order to increase solubility of ceramics. (ii) Formation of amorphous phase at grain boundaries. At the final stage of CSP, if the nucleation rate in metastable phase is higher than that in stable phases, an amorphous phase will be generated, which sometimes can decrease the performance of ceramics. Therefore, a post-annealing process is necessary to eliminate the amorphous phase. (iii) Complex mechanisms about CSP. The mechanisms of dissolution and precipitation process, and the kinetics of formation and elimination of glassy/amorphous phase are still not fully understood. (iv) Scalability of CSP. With increasing dimension of components, uniform distribution of pressure and temperature during CSP is more difficult to be achieved. Thus, it is challenging to scale up CSP.
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