Vector magnetic field sensing by a single nitrogen vacancy center in diamond
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Abstract – In this letter, we proposed and experimentally demonstrated a method to detect the vector magnetic field with a single nitrogen vacancy (NV) center in diamond. The magnetic field in parallel with the axis of the NV center can be obtained by detecting the electron Zeeman shift, while the Larmor precession of an ancillary nuclear spin close to the NV center can be used to measure the field perpendicular to the axis. Experimentally, both the Zeeman shift and Larmor precession can be measured through the fluorescence from the NV center. By applying additional calibrated magnetic fields, complete information on the vector magnetic field can be achieved with such a method. This vector magnetic-field detection method is insensitive to temperature fluctuation and it can be applied to nanoscale magnetic measurements.

Introduction. – The magnetic field plays a significant role in various areas such as material science and quantum physics since it can affect the motions of charged particles and the dynamics of electrons and nuclei spin states. Therefore, to precisely detect the magnetic field is becoming more and more important. Various magnetic detection techniques have been developed based on different systems including scanning Hall probe microscope [1], magnetic resonance force microscope [2], optical magnetometry [3] and scanning superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) [4]. Very recently, nitrogen vacancy (NV) centers in diamond were proposed to detect the magnetic field with nanoscale spatial resolution [5–7]. The general idea of the NV magnetometer is that the electron spin energy levels change with the external magnetic field due to the electron Zeeman effect. The great advantages of such a magnetometer are that the electron spin states in the NV center possess long coherence time at room temperature and they can be optically initialized and detected [8]. Therefore, the NV magnetometry has a great potential for future practical applications. It is not only convenient to be operated without cryostat as required in SQUID, but also very sensitive to weak magnetic fields, even the magnetic field produced by single remote nuclear spin [9–11].

It is also important and necessary to detect the vector magnetic field with a single NV center. The interest in the detection of vector magnetic fields has been driven by numerous applications. For example, it can provide both translation and rotation information on nanoparticles in biological cells [12,13]. However, the application of NV centers in magnetic measurements is restricted by the fact that the electron Zeeman shift mainly depends on the external magnetic-field component along the defect’s symmetry axis, especially for a weak magnetic field. Though several NV centers with different orientations have been used to detect the local magnetic-field vector [14], they were separated by hundreds of nanometers to be optically distinguished, which will reduce the spatial resolution.

Here, we proposed a method to detect the vector magnetic field by a single NV center, with the assistance of the $^{15}\text{N}$ nuclear spin. Since the distance between nuclear spin and electron spin is less than 1 nm, high spatial resolution can be achieved. The magnetic field in parallel with the axis of the $^{15}\text{N}$ center is obtained by detecting the electron Zeeman shift. To get the magnetic field perpendicular to the axis, a spin-selective pulse is used to measure the nuclear spin state, which undergoes Larmor precession in the magnetic field. The results of the electron spin Zeeman effect and nuclear spin Larmor precession can be detected through the fluorescence from
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the $^{15}$NV center. Therefore, the value of the magnetic field is determined, as well as the angle between the NV center symmetry axis and the magnetic field. The complete vector magnetic field can be determined by introducing calibrated magnetic fields. Such a vector magnetic-field sensor can be used for future studies of nano-materials and biological systems.

**Model.** – The NV center in diamond consists of a substitutional nitrogen atom and an adjacent vacancy with $C_{3v}$ symmetry. The ground state of the NV electron spin is a triplet with $S = 1$. The Hamiltonian of the NV center electron spin reads

$$H_e = D S_z^2 + g_e \beta_e \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S}.$$  

(1)

Here, $z$ denotes the NV center symmetry axis. $\mathbf{S}$ is the electron spin vector operator. $g_e$ and $\beta_e$ are the electron $g$ factor and Bohr magneton, respectively. $D \approx 2.87 \text{ GHz}$ is the zero-field splitting (ZFS) between sublevels $m_s = 0$ and $m_s = \pm 1$ at room temperature (fig. 1(b)). These spin states can be manipulated by microwave and detected through fluorescence intensity. Due to Zeeman effect, an external static magnetic field will cause the shifts of the electron spin states. Usually, without the knowledge of the direction of the external magnetic field, we cannot distinguish the electron spin transitions between $\Delta m_s = +1$ and $\Delta m_s = -1$ only from the resonant spectrum. But we can definitely get two different resonant frequencies $\omega_{\pm}$ with $\omega_+ > \omega_-$. Under weak-field condition with $|\mathbf{B}| \ll D/g_e \beta_e$, the shifts caused by magnetic field can be expressed as

$$\Delta \omega_{\pm} \approx \pm g_e \beta_e |B_z| \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} g_e^2 \beta_e^2 B_z^2 \left( \frac{3D + g_e \beta_e |B_z|}{D^2 - g_e^2 \beta_e^2 |B_z|^2} \right) \right].$$  

(2)

where $B_z = \sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2}$. Obviously, the second term is much smaller than the first term. So the Zeeman shift is mainly determined by $B_z$.

However, the electron spin of the NV center is not isolated in diamond. Usually three species of nuclear spins are found to be coupled with the electron spins of the NV center: the $^{14}$N or $^{15}$N atom associated with the NV center, and randomly placed $^{13}$C atoms. When the distance between the nuclear spin and the electron spin of the NV center is small, the nuclear spin will affect the dynamics of the electron spin significantly. The Hamiltonian of a nearby nuclear spin and its hyperfine interaction with the electron spin are, respectively,

$$H_n = -g_n \beta_n \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{I},$$  

(3)

$$H_i = \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I}.$$  

(4)

Here, $g_n$ and $\beta_n$ are the nuclear $g$ factor and magneton, respectively. $\mathbf{A}$ is the hyperfine interaction tensor. And $\mathbf{P}$ is the nuclear quadrupole interaction tensor for $I \geq 1$.

Our magnetic measurement idea is that $\mathbf{B}$ can affect the nuclear spin indirectly through the hyperfine interaction, then the dynamics of nuclear spin is more magnetic sensitive than the nuclear Zeeman effect. Here, a single $^{15}$NV was chosen to present the measurement method. The $^{15}$NV center can be found in natural diamond. It also can be created artificially by ion implantation [15], which makes it feasible for future applications. In such a composite system, the Larmor precession of $^{15}$N is affected not only by the external magnetic field, but also by the electron spin state [16,17]. Here, we focus on the nuclear free precession with $m_s = 0$. The Larmor frequency is expressed as

$$\omega_L = |g_n \beta_n \mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}|,$$  

(5)

with $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}} = \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{g}_0$. The $\mathbf{g}_0$ tensor can be calculated by second-order perturbation theory [16,18]:

$$\mathbf{g}_0 \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{2g_0 \beta_n D}{g_n \beta_n D} \begin{pmatrix} A_{xx} & A_{xy} & A_{xz} \\ A_{yx} & A_{yy} & A_{yz} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$  

(6)

where $A_{ij}$ is the element of the hyperfine interaction tensor $\mathbf{A}$. As the nuclear spin $^{15}$N lies on the NV center’s symmetry axis, the interaction tensor has the diagonal form [17] $A_{ij} = A_{ij} \delta_{i,j}$. Therefore the relation between nuclear spin Larmor frequency and external magnetic field can be simplified as

$$\omega_L \approx |g_n \beta_n| \sqrt{\alpha_x^2 B_x^2 + \alpha_y^2 B_y^2 + B_z^2},$$  

(7)

with the coefficient $\alpha_i = 1 + \frac{2g_e \beta_e D}{g_e \beta_e D} \times A_{ii}$.

These measurable frequencies $\Delta \omega_{\pm}$ and $\omega_L$ are functions of $|B_z|$ and $|B_{\perp}|$. Therefore we can solve the absolute values of the total magnetic field and polar angle between the vector and the NV axis. The nuclear Zeeman effect is ignored in $\Delta \omega_{\perp}$ since it is much smaller than the electron Zeeman effect as $\beta_e / \beta_n \approx 1836$. 

---

Fig. 1: (Color online) (a) The schematic of experimental setup of a single NV center magnetometry in diamond. (b) Level structure of the $^{15}$NV center. (c) The ODMR spectrum of the $^{15}$NV center with an unknown magnetic field. The spectrum reveals the hyperfine structure of $^{15}$N.
As shown in fig. 1(c), the transition frequencies between spin states can be measured through optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR) \([5,19]\). When the applied microwave was resonant with one of the transition frequencies, the fluorescence intensity would show a decrease. More precise results can be obtained by other methods like Ramsey fringes \([20]\). The \(^{15}\text{N}\) atom with nuclear spin \(I = 1/2\) split the electron spin states, as shown in fig. 1(b). Therefore, we can observe two valleys in fig. 1(c) for one electron spin transition branch (\(m_s = 0 \rightarrow m_s = -1\) or \(m_s = 0 \rightarrow m_s = +1\)). The Lorentzian fitting revealed two resonant frequencies 2.78465(2) GHz and 2.78774(2) GHz, corresponding to different nuclear spin states. Comparing with the zero-magnetic-field resonant frequencies, we got \(\Delta \omega_{\perp} = -85.26(6)\) MHz under the external static magnetic field.

The free precession signal of \(^{15}\text{N}\) nuclear spin was obtained by two nuclear spin selective microwave \(\pi\) pulses. The pulse sequences and results are shown in fig. 2(a) and (b). The electron spin of the NV center was first polarized to \(|0\rangle\) with \(3\) \(\mu\)s green laser pulses. Without nuclear spin polarization, the nuclear spin was assumed to be at thermal equilibrium state with the state density matrix \(P_z|0, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle|0, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle + P_z|0, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle|0, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle\) following the basis \(|m_s, m_I\rangle\) \((m_s, m_I)\). After the first selective \(\pi\) pulse (e.g., on the transition \(|0, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle \rightarrow | -1, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle\)), the density matrix became \(P_{\perp}| -1, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle| -1, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle + P_{\perp}|0, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle|0, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle\). During the free precession time \(t\), the nuclear spin with \(m_s = 0\) periodically changed the direction, while the splitting between nuclear spin states in the \(m_s = -1\) subspace prevented the precession of nuclear spin \([17,21]\). The second microwave \(\pi\) pulse mapped the information of nuclear spin onto the electron spin. At last, another green laser pulse was used to read out the electron spin. The detected photoluminescence intensity oscillated as \(I(t) \propto P_s \cos(2\pi\omega_L t)\) with Larmor frequency \(\omega_L\). Fitting the precession signal by a cosine function, we got \(\omega_L = 163.2(3)\) kHz in fig. 2(b).

For \(^{15}\text{N}\), the nuclear \(g\) factor is about \(-0.566\). The hyperfine interaction parameters are \(A_{zz} = A_{yy} = 3.65(3)\) MHz and \(A_{xz} = 3.03(3)\) MHz \([22]\), thus \(\alpha_x = \alpha_y = -15.5(1)\). Substituting these parameters into eqs. (2) and (7), we solved the absolute magnitude of the magnetic field as \(|B_z| = 3.129\) mT and \(|B_{\perp}| = 2.426\) mT. The second term in eq. (2) was about 2.4 MHz. It was small but cannot be neglected for high-accuracy magnetometry. Utilizing this method, we presented the spatial distribution of the magnetic field by moving the permanent magnet along the \(X\)- or \(Y\)-axis (the laboratory coordinate frame). The results of \(B_z\) and \(B_{\perp}\) are shown in fig. 3. As the angle between magnetic field and NV axis varies with position, the change of \(B_z\) might be contrary to \(B_{\perp}\) at the same locations.

For comparison, the electron spin echo was also measured to estimate \(|\mathbf{B}|\). As shown in fig. 4, the spin echo signal is modulated by both the \(^{15}\text{N}\) spin and magnetic field.
The coupling between $^{13}$C nuclear spin ensembles and NV electron spin contributes to the collapse and revival of the electron spin echo [16,23], while the coupling between $^{15}$N and $^{15}$NV electron spin contributes to the rapid oscillations. The result was fitted by the model including the hyperfine interaction with $^{15}$N as [16] \[ S = \frac{1}{2} (e^{-i \gamma T_\tau} + b e^{-i (\gamma - \tau) T_\tau}) \times \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin(2 \pi \omega T / 2) \right] \sin(2 \pi \omega T / 2), \] where $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are the Larmor frequencies of the $^{15}$N nuclear spin with different electron spin states. The first revival peak was at $\tau_{rev} = 24.27(6) \mu$s, corresponding to the revival frequency $\omega_{revival} \approx 41.2$ kHz, which equaled the Larmor frequency of $^{13}$C. It corresponded to a magnitude of the field $|B| = \omega_{revival}/g_{\perp} \gamma c \approx 3.85$ mT. This agreed with the previous results, where $|B| = \sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2} \approx 3.96$ mT. Combined with the electron Zeeman effect, the electron spin echo can also provide the information on the vector magnetic field. However, the detection of the spin echo is usually slower than that of nuclear free precession. Furthermore, $^{13}$C nuclear spins are randomly distributed around the NV center, and the nuclear spins close to the NV center will lead to very rapid oscillations in the spin echo signal. All of these make the revival peaks difficult to be located precisely and lead to detection error.

**Discussion.** – Now we will discuss the sensitivity in figs. 1 and 2. The ODMR spectrum has Lorentzian shape, and without calibrated field indicate that the real direction of the implanted $^{15}$NV centers which are near the sample surface, the collection efficiency can be improved. And a longer coherence time of the $^{15}$N nuclear spin will also improve the sensitivity.

With the method in ref. [5], where all electron spin resonant frequencies’ shifts $\Delta \omega_{\perp}$ are measured with ODMR, $|B_z|$ and $|B_{\perp}|$ can also be calculated by $\Delta \omega_{\perp} - \Delta \omega_0$ and $\Delta \omega_0 + \Delta \omega_{\perp}$. In this way, the error $\delta B_{\perp} \approx D \frac{\delta \omega_0}{\Delta \omega_{\perp}}$, will be about $30 \mu$T with $B_{\perp} = 2.4$ mT. It is higher than our method. Furthermore, the ODMR method is affected by the fluctuation of temperature. If the temperature changes by 1 K at room temperature, $D$ will shift about 84 kHz [24]. Then $\omega_0 + \omega_{\perp}$ changes by 168 kHz, and the corresponding error of $B_{\perp}$ could be more than 100 µT in weak magnetic situations. In contrast, the Larmor frequency of $^{15}$N is mainly determined by $B_{\perp}$, and it is insensitive to temperature fluctuations.

Although only the magnitude and polar angle of the vector magnetic field are obtained so far, it is possible to deduce all the vector information by additional calibrated fields. As shown in fig. 5(a), all possible magnetic vectors started at a fixed point in the vector space with the endpoints limited on two rings (in blue). By adding the additional calibrated field, we can get other two rings of the possible endpoints of the detected magnetic field. The two yellow rings in fig. 5(a) show the measured results by subtracting the additional calibrated field. If the calibrated field is neither perpendicular nor parallel to the NV axis, there would be only two possible directions of the unknown magnetic field left. In this way, two different calibrated fields are needed to calculate the complete magnetic-field vector.

We also propose a near-field scanning vector magnetometry with nanoscale spatial resolution, as shown in fig. 5(b). A single $^{15}$NV center is fixed at the tip of an atomic force microscope (AFM) probe. There are metal...
strip lines on the tip which can generate a calibrated magnetic field with fixed direction by applying a specific current. As the distance between nuclear spin and electron spin is lower than 1 nm, the spatial resolution should be several nanometers, which is limited by the AFM. Using this scanning magnetometry, the three-dimensional vector magnetic-field distribution can be measured with high precision and high spatial resolution, which can be used to study the dynamics of biological systems, and probe the properties of a nanostructure which consists of magnetic materials.

Conclusion. – In summary, we proposed and demonstrated a vector magnetic-field magnetometry by a single NV center with an ancillary nuclear spin. In this composite spin system, the frequency shift of the electron spin and the Larmor frequency of the nuclear spin can be determined. Furthermore, by applying calibrated magnetic fields with defined directions, complete information on the vector can be measured. In future work, the precision of measurement can be improved by methods like dynamic decoupling. With high-spatial-resolution systems like AFM, the magnetic properties of nanoscale particles can be detected.
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