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Abstract: This study aimed to evaluate the use of quinoa and chia to improve the functional properties and enhance the health benefits of soft-serve ice milk and ice cream. Treatments were prepared with different proportions of fresh milk, quinoa & chia flour, cream, and skimmed milk powder to obtain mixes according to fat and total solids ratios. The product was examined for quality by physicochemical, rheological, and sensory properties analysis. The differences in the sensory scores for respective attributes were highly significant (p ≤ 0.05). Treatments with quinoa and chia flour exhibited higher viscosity and improved concentration of polyphenols availability and antioxidant activity. Ice milk CIM (prepared by replacing fresh milk with chia flour) and ice cream CIC (prepared by replacing fresh milk with chia flour) samples took a longer time to melt. Nutritional quality values of ice cream samples were quite high relatively. Ice milk and ice cream prepared by replacing fresh milk with chia and quinoa flour tend to be a benefit to human health due to its low atherogenicity (AI) & thrombogenicity (TI) index and suitable n-6/n-3 ratio. But, the desaturase activity (DA) had close values between all treatments. The red-green coordinate (a*) and yellow-blue coordinate (b*) were significantly higher (p ≤ 0.05) in CIM (ice milk prepared by replacing fresh milk with chia flour), QIM (ice milk with quinoa flour), CIC (ice cream prepared by replacing fresh milk with chia flour), QIC (ice cream with quinoa flour) and lower (p ≤ 0.05) in MIC (control ice cream) and MIM (control ice milk). Due to the nutritional and functional characteristics conferred to the soft-serve ice milk and ice cream, the use of the quinoa (QIC and QIM) and chia flour (CIC) showed an adequate potential to improve the functional properties and enhance the health benefits of soft-serve ice milk and ice cream.
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INTRODUCTION

Soft serve includes ice cream and related products that are sold as drawn directly from the scraped-surface freezer without hardening. Ice cream, frozen custard, low-fat ice cream, or frozen yoghurt can all be served soft-frozen although there are typically slight variations in soft-serve formulations compared to their hard-frozen counterparts. Soft-frozen products are typically prepared at the site of consumption from mixes that have been processed in dairy processing facilities and are packaged and distributed in bulk. Low-fat mixes for soft-servely contain 3-5% fat, 11-14% milk solids-not-fat, 13-15% sweetener, and 0.3-0.5% stabilizer/emulsifier (Goff and Hartel, 2013). Soft-frozen dairy desserts appeal to many consumers because of their creamy and smooth texture. Soft-frozen desserts became popular in the 1950s and remain popular with customers of shops focusing on these products and in fast-food-style restaurants. Less capital is needed by the retailer to get into the soft-serve ice cream business than to make and sell hard-frozen ice cream (Goff and Hartel, 2013).

Consumer demand for healthy food and the increasing prevalence of adverse reactions have created a need for special foods (Pineli et al., 2015). The quinoa seed has received renewed interest, particularly in Western countries due to its high nutritional value. It is especially rich in protein and essential amino acids, contains adequate levels of important micronutrients such as minerals and vitamins, and significant amounts of other bioactive compounds, such as polyphenols. As a versatile substrate, quinoa has been used for different products (Jeske et al., 2018). Quinoa functional products like a quinoa cereal bar, quinoa flakes, quinoa pasta, have been known to have various health benefits and to be effective in cases of obesity, cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, and celiac disease (Alvarez-Jubete et al., 2010).

Despite the high nutritional values, the chia seeds are rarely used in food production. The seeds contain fat that is a good source of omega 3 and omega 6 fatty acids. Furthermore, the seeds have a relatively high content of protein of high biological value. They are rich in the essential amino acids, particularly leucine, lysine, isoleucine, and valine (Sandoval-Oliveros and Paredes-López, 2013). Therefore, the seeds can be a complement of cereal proteins that are deficient in the essential amino acids. Additionally, chia seeds have a high dietary fiber content, which is characterized by high-water absorption and the ability to form aqueous solutions with increased viscosity. Chia seeds are an important source of natural antioxidants such as tocopherols, sterols, carotenoids, phenolic compounds including chlorogenic and caffeic acid, quercetin, myricitin, and kaempferol (Reyes-Caudillo et al., 2008). In recent years, the European Parliament approved chia seeds as a novel food. This resulted in an increase in the use of chia seeds in food production (Ullah et al., 2016).

Therefore, the present study aimed to the possibilities of using quinoa and chia flour in the production of soft-serve ice milk and ice cream. Also, determine the impact of quinoa and chia flour on physicochemical, rheology, sensory properties, and nutritional quality.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials:
Fresh bulk buffaloes' milk (82.8% moisture, 7.5% fat, 5.2% lactose, 3.7% protein, and 0.81% ash), were standardized to fat content 4% and fresh sweet cream (53.2% moisture, 41% fat, 2.5% lactose, 3% protein, and 0.43% ash) were obtained from the dairy products unit at Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University.

Quinoa seeds (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) were purchased from the Egyptian Natural Oils Company, Cairo, Egypt. Chia seeds (Salvia hispanica L.), were obtained from Field Crops Research Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza-Egypt. The quinoa and chia seeds were milled and ground into flour and sieving through 40 mesh screens using a hammer mill. Then the resultant quinoa and chia flour was packed and kept in the refrigerator temperature until used.

The characteristics of flour indicated by the manufacturer were as follows: quinoa flour (10% moisture, 13.5% protein (N x 5.70), 6.5% fat, 68.4% total carbohydrates, and 1.8% ash); chia flour (4.53% moisture, 23.11% protein (N x 5.70), 34.50% fat, 33.87% total carbohydrates, and 3.65% ash).

Valio® skimmed milk powder (SMP) spray dried-low heat (3.9% moisture, 1.3% fat, 52% lactose, 35% protein, and 7.8% ash) was obtained from Valio Haapavesi Plant, Finland. Stabilizer (Guar gum E412, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose E466, and mono and diglyceride of fatty acid E471 (1:1:1)) was obtained from the Egyptian Company for Dairy Products and Food Additives "EGY- DAIRY." (10th of Ramadan city, Egypt). Commercial grade sugar (sucrose) and vanilla were obtained from a local market. Maltodextrin De 15-20 was obtained from the National Company for Maize Products (NCMP), Egypt.

Methods:

Preparation of ice cream
Soft serve ice cream (Softy) mixes were prepared according to Goff and Hartel (2013). The formulation was prepared to obtain ice milk and ice cream according to fat and total solids ratios, the proportions of adding quinoa and chia flour were calculated according to fat and total solids ratios in mixes (Chandan and Kilara, 2011). Table (1) shows the formulations of the different prepared mixes. Skim milk powder (SMP) and flour (quinoa or chia) were first mixed with sugar, stabilizer, and 0.5% vanilla to generate a "dry mix". Fresh milk was preheated to 40°C followed by the addition of fresh cream, the temperature was raised to 60°C and the "dry mix" was slowly added to the "liquid mix" with gentle stirring. The mix, at the temperature of 60±2°C, was homogenized using a double-stage homogenizer to prevent fat clumping at 15 MPa in the first stage and at 3.5 MPa in the second stage. The mixture was then heated for 80°C/30 sec, followed by cooling to 4-5°C and aged in the fridge for 4 hrs. The aged ice cream mixes were mixed well, freezed, and whipped in the ice cream maker (Taylor C712, Soft Serve Freezer Twist, Pump, Taylor Company, Blackhawk Blvd. Rockton, Illinois, USA). The ice cream was collected at an exit temperature of -7±1°C, placed in 100 ml plastic cups to the consumer directly (for sensory evaluation), covered, and stored at -20°C until analysis.

Table (1): The different formulations of ice milk and ice cream mix ingredients (Kg/100Kg mix) and chemical properties

| Ingredients | Treatments | Ice milk | Ice cream |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|
|             | MIM | QIM | CIM | MIC | QIC | CIC |
| Fresh milk  | 74.6 | - | - | 60.8 | - | - |
| Quinoa flour| - | 14 | - | - | 9.7 | - |
| Chia flour  | - | - | 10 | - | - | 5 |
| Cream       | 2.5 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 19 | 23.4 | 20.5 |
| Skim milk powder | 5.3 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 3 | 8.3 |
| Sucrose     | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Maltodextrin| 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Vanilla     | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Stabilizer/emulsifier | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Water       | 0 | 60.1 | 64.3 | 0 | 48.8 | 51.1 |
| Total       | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Chemical properties:

| Treatments | Ice milk | Ice cream |
|------------|----------|-----------|
| Total solids (%) | 34.02±0.18a | 34.01±0.82a |
| Total protein (%) | 4.63±0.09b | 2.28±0.03c |
| Total fat (%) | 4.03±0.06b | 4.01±0.18b |
| Total ash (%) | 1.03±0.06b | 0.32±0.04b |
| Milk-solids-non-fat (%) | 12.66±0.12a | 12.66±0.16a |
| Total carbohydrate (%) | 24.34±0.48a | 27.40±1.24a |
| Total calories (Kcal/100g) | 149.35±1.30d | 150.97±0.10d |

Mean (±SD)
a,b,c Values in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (p ≤ 0.05).

MIM= control ice milk, QIM= ice milk prepared by replacing fresh milk with quinoa flour, and CIM= ice milk prepared by replacing fresh milk with chia flour; MIC= control ice cream, QIC= ice cream prepared by replacing fresh milk with quinoa flour, and CIC= ice cream prepared by replacing fresh milk with chia flour.
Physico-chemical analyses:

The total solids, fat, protein, and ash contents were determined as described by AOAC (2007). The pH value was determined using a pH meter (Persica model pH 900, Switzerland). Carbohydrate content was calculated by difference TS - (fat + protein + ash) according to Guzmán-González et al. (1999). The caloric value was calculated by the following equation given (Chandak and Kilara, 2011). Calories value = (protein × 4.27) + (fat × 8.79) + (carbohydrate × 3.87) as Kcal/100 ml of the product. Apparent viscosity measurements of ice cream mixes were carried out according to Mitschka (1982). Brookfield Programmable Rheometer (Model RVDV-III Ultra, Brookfield Engineering Laboratories, Stoughton, MA, USA) was used for viscosity measurements. All mixtures were tempered for 5 min at 20±1°C in a concentric cylinder with RV spindle number 3 at 40 rpm. Rheocalc software (ver. 2.5, Brookfield Engineering Laboratories, Inc.) was used to collect the values of apparent viscosity. Plastic viscosity, yield stress, flow behavior index, and consistency coefficient index were drowning of measured values of shear stresses and apparent dynamic viscosity. Ice cream mixes and resultant ice cream were analyzed for specific gravity and freezing point, overrun, and meltdown resistance according to Goff and Hartel (2013). The weight per gallon (kg) of ice cream samples was determined by multiplying the specific gravity of ice cream by the factor 3.786 (Burke, 1947).

Colour measurement:

Colour attributes of the soft ice milk and ice cream (L*, a* and b*) were performed using Hunter Lab colour analyzer (Hunter Lab Color Flex EZ, USA) according to Chen et al. (1997). The L* value (lightness index scale) ranges from 0 (black) to 100 (white) while, a* value indicates the redness (+a) or greenness ( - a) and the b* value refers to the yellowness (+b) or blueness ( - b). Samples were placed in Petri dishes and filled to the top. The petri dish was placed directly on the colorimeter sensor. The colour intensity (C), the hue angle (hθ) (0° to 360° = purplish-red, 90° = yellow, 180° = bluish-green, 270° = blue), whiteness index (WI) and total colour difference (ΔE) in comparison to an untreated control, were calculated as:

\[ C = (a^2 + b^2)^{0.5} \]
\[ hθ = \arctan \left( \frac{b^*}{a^*} \right) \]
\[ WI = 100 - [(100-L*)^2 + a^2 + b^2]^{0.5} \]
\[ RI = \frac{a^*}{b^*} \]
\[ \Delta E = [(L - L_0)^2 + (a - a_0)^2 + (b - b_0)^2]^{0.5} \]

Where: \( L_0, a_0 \) and \( b_0 \) were the \( L, a, \) and \( b \) values of the reference sample which is the control samples.

The Browning Index (BI*) was calculated as described by Palou et al. (1999) using the following formula:

\[ \text{Browning index (BI*)} = \frac{(100-x-(0.31))}{0.172} \]

Where: \( x = (a + 1.75L)/(5.645L + a - 3.012b) \)

Total phenolic and antioxidant activity analyses

Preparation of water ice cream extract:

Ice cream samples (10 g) was mixed with 2.5 ml distilled water and the pH was adjusted to 4.0 using 1 M HCl. The ice cream samples were then incubated in a water bath (45°C) for 10 min and the precipitated proteins were removed by centrifugation (10,000 rpm, 10 min, 4°C). The supernatant was harvested and the pH was adjusted to 7.0 using NaOH (0.5 M) followed by another centrifugation (10,000 rpm, 10 min, 4°C) to remove residual precipitated proteins and salts. The supernatant was harvested, kept refrigerated, and used for subsequent analysis within 24 h, packed, and stored in amber bottles in a freezer (-20°C) until analysis.

Total phenolic content assay:

The total phenolic contents were determined as described by Shetty et al. (1995). Absorbance at 725 nm, using a spectrophotometer (model 2010, Cecil Instr. Ltd., Cambridge, UK), was converted to total phenolics expressed in micrograms equivalents of gallic acid per gram (µg GAE/g) sample. Standard curves were established using various concentrations of gallic acid (5-60 µg/ml) in methanol.

Determination of antioxidant activity (radical scavenging activity, RSA %):

Free radical scavenging activities (RSA %) of the samples were measured using the method of Shetty et al. (1995). Water ice cream extract (250 µl) was added into 3 ml of 60 µM 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH) in ethanol. The mixture was shaken vigorously and allowed to stand at room temperature for several minutes. The absorbance was then measured at 517 nm by a UV-visible spectrophotometer (model 2010, Cecil Instr. Ltd., Cambridge, UK). The readings were compared with the control which contained distilled water (250 µl) instead of water ice cream extract. The % inhibition was calculated by

\[ \% \text{ inhibition} = \frac{[\text{Absorbance control} - \text{Absorbance extract}]}{[\text{Absorbance control}]} \times 100. \]

Fatty acid profile:

The total lipids were extracted from ice cream samples according to the method described by Folch et al. (1957). Fatty acids composition was analyzed by gas chromatography (GC, Agilent 7820A) equipped with an autosampler, a flame ionization detector, and an ionic liquid capillary column (TRACE TR-FAME, 60 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm, Thermo Fisher, USA). The identification of fatty acids was conducted by comparing the retention times of GC peaks with those of corresponding known standards.

The atherogenicity (AI) and thrombogenicity (TI) index were calculated using the equations of Ulbricht and Southgate (1991) as follows:

\[ \text{AI (Lauric} + (4 \times \text{Myristic}) + \text{Palmitic)}/(\text{MUFA} + \text{PUFA}) \]
\[ \text{IT (Myristic + Palmitic + Stearic)/(0.5 \times \text{MUFA}) + (0.5 \times n6)/(3 \times n3) + (n3/n6)} \]

Desaturase activity (DA) following the model proposed by Yang et al. (2018):
DA= \frac{\text{Sum } \Delta^g \text{ desaturase products/Sum } \Delta^g \text{ desaturase substrates}}{+\text{products;}}
\sum \Delta^g \text{ desaturase products} = (\text{Myristoleic } + \text{ Palmitoleic } + \text{ Oleic } + \text{ Conjugated linoleic;})
\sum \Delta^g \text{ desaturase substrates} = (\text{Myristic } + \text{ Palmitic } + \text{ Stearic } + \text{ Elatici).}

The health-promoting index (HPI) was proposed by Chen et al. (2004) to assess the nutritional value of dietary fat, which focuses on the effect of fatty acids composition on cardiovascular disease (CVD). The formula is:

$$\text{HPI} = \Sigma \text{ SUFA/ } [C12: 0 + (4 \times C14: 0) + C16: 0].$$

### Amino acid analysis

Amino acids were determined according to the AOAC (2007) method with some modifications. Amino acids were analyzed by using reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (Agilent 1100 HPLC; Agilent Ltd., Palo Alto, CA, USA). The amino acids composition was expressed as grams of amino acids per 100 g of protein.

### Nutritional Characterization

Chemical Score (CS) estimates the amount of protein required to provide the minimal essential amino acids (EAA) pattern for adults, which was recently redefined by FAO/WHO (1991). It was calculated using the equation, the sequence of limiting essential amino acids (EAA) corresponds to the list of EAA, having the lowest chemical score and the protein score indicates the chemical score of the most limiting EAA present in the test protein described by Block and Mitchel (1946). Essential Amino Acid Index (EAAI) estimates the quality of the test protein, using its EAA content as the criterion Oser (1959). EAAI was calculated according to the equation:

$$\text{EAAI} = \frac{s \times \sum \frac{L_p}{L_p} \times \frac{r}{r} \times \ldots \times \frac{h_i}{h_i}}{s \times \sum \frac{L_p}{L_p} \times \frac{r}{r} \times \ldots \times \frac{h_i}{h_i}}$$

In which the subscript $p$ refers to the food protein; $s$, the stander protein (whole egg); and $n$, the number of amino acids entering into the calculation.

Biological value (BV) and net protein utilization (NPU) were calculated using the equations suggested by Oser (1959) and Block and Mitchell (1946):

$$\text{BV} = (1.09 \times \text{EAAI}) \times 11.70;$$

$$\text{NPU} = \text{BV} \times \text{Digestibility (protein 95%).}$$

Protein efficiency ratio (PER) based on the amino acid contents were calculated according to the recommendations of Alsmeyer et al. (1974) using the following equations:

$$\text{PER1} = -0.684 + 0.456 \text{ (leucine)} - 0.047 \text{ (proline);}$$

$$\text{PER2} = -0.468 + 0.454 \text{ (leucine)} - 0.105 \text{ (tyrosine);}$$

$$\text{PER3} = -1.816 + 0.435 \text{ (methionine)} + 0.78 \text{ (leucine)} + 0.211 \text{ (histidine)} - 0.944 \text{ (tyrosine).}$$

The Nutritional Index (NI) normalizes the qualitative and quantitative variations of the test protein compared to its nutritional status. NI was calculated using the equation of Chang and Hayes (1978) which considers all the factors with equal importance:

$$\text{NI} = (\text{EAA} \times \text{Protein (g/100 g)})/100.$$
9.54% of total dietary fiber, insoluble dietary fiber (IDF) and 3.01 g/100 g total dietary fiber (TDF) content, of which 53.45 g/100 g crude fiber content and 56.46 g/100 g) (Jaddu, and Yedida, 2018). The fiber in quinoa flour and seeds has implications on its functional characteristics in food applications.

Chia seeds are high in total dietary fiber fractions of 53.01% and soluble dietary fiber 3.45% and insoluble dietary fiber 47.55% (Jaddu et al., 2009). Salvia hispanica -Lugo et al. (2010) found that the chia seeds are rich in dietary fiber (34.6%), protein (24.6%), and oil contents (32.2%). The dietary fiber content of the seed is well characterized by high water absorption and the ability to form aqueous solutions with increased viscosity. Olivos-Lugo et al. (2010) found that the chia seeds are high in dietary fiber (34.6%), protein (24.6%), and oil contents (32.2%). The dietary fiber content of the seed has implications on its functional characteristics in food applications. Functional properties impacted by the dietary fiber include fat-binding and gel-forming. Chia flour had approximately 29.17% crude fiber content compared to chia seed 25.55% (Coorey et al., 2014). Chia seeds are high in total dietary fiber fractions of chia (Salvia hispanica) were 56.46 g/100 g (insoluble dietary fiber 53.01% and soluble dietary fiber 3.45 g/100 g) (Jaddu, and Yedida, 2018). The fiber-rich fraction (FRF) for chia (Salvia hispanica) flour had 29.56 g/100 g crude fiber content and 56.46 g/100 g total dietary fiber (TDF) content, of which 53.45 g/100 g was insoluble dietary fiber (IDF) and 3.01 g/100 g was soluble dietary fiber (SDF) (Alfredo et al., 2009). Kurek et al. (2018) determined the contents of dietary fiber in quinoa flour and seeds samples. They found 9.54% of total dietary fiber, insoluble dietary fiber 5.66% and 3.88% soluble dietary fiber for quinoa flour, and 16.43%, 13.20% and 3.23% for quinoa seeds, respectively. Repo-Carrasco-Valencia and Serna (2011) determined the contents of dietary fiber in raw quinoa samples. They found 1.92-3.38% crude fiber content and 13.72-15.99% of total dietary fiber, insoluble dietary fiber 11.99-14.39% and 1.41-1.60% soluble dietary fiber for raw quinoa. Quinoa proteins do not facilitate a network-forming matrix and gel strength is weak, unlike other proteins such as casein in bovine milk, as shown also by Mäkinen et al. (2015).

The content of TPC and the antioxidant activity of ice milk and ice cream mixes after aging are shown in Table (2). There was a significant difference (p ≤ 0.05) between QIM and CIM (ice milk) and QIC and CIC (ice cream) for values of TPC and antioxidant activity of fresh samples. Nonetheless, the changes of TPC and antioxidant activity for ice milk and ice cream mixes are due to the differences in the quantities of quinoa and chia flour added in each of the ice milk mixes (QIM and CIM) and ice cream (QIC and CIC), and secondly to the differences in the quantities of added dairy products as well as the amount of sugar (sucrose).

The addition of a great amount of quinoa and chia flour of the ice milk mixes (QIM and CIM) increased significantly (p ≤ 0.05) both of TPC and antioxidant activity compared with the ice cream (QIC and CIC), containing fewer quantities of quinoa and chia flour. Therefore, QIM, CIM, QIC and CIC respectively, had the highest levels of TPC and antioxidant activity compared with control samples (MIM and MIC). Thus, using quinoa and chia flour could improve the TPC and the antioxidant activity of the ice milk and ice cream. Quinoa rich in protein and essential amino acids contains adequate levels of important micronutrients such as minerals and vitamins, and significant amounts of other bioactive compounds, such as polyphenols (Alvarez-Jubete et al., 2010)

Properties of ice cream mixes:

Physicochemical properties:

The changes in the physical properties of different ice milk and ice cream are presented in Table (2). Incorporation of air into ice cream is rapid, within seconds, and at the same time: the viscosity of the surrounding matrix is increasing exponentially due to freezing, such that air bubbles, after formation, become physically entrapped into a semisolid matrix, making their collapse quite difficult. Loss of air can lead to a defect known as shrinkage, the occurrence of which is fairly and responsible for the loss of quality and acceptability of the product (Dubey and White, 1997). Incorporation of air in ice cream mix during the freezing process decreased the specific gravity of ice cream and consequently decreases its weight per gallon. It was noticed that the specific gravity of ice cream (CIC) than ice milk. While no significant differences were observed in weight per gallon between treatments. In addition, ice cream (CIC, QIC, and MIC) tended to have higher (p ≤ 0.05) overrun % than ice milk (CIM, QIM, and MIM), respectively. This may be due to the high contribution of fat to the stability of air phase of ice cream during freezing and whipping (Goff et al., 1999). Milk proteins are well known for their ability to form foams. Foaming
is important during the manufacture of ice cream, because air is incorporated to about 50% of the phase volume. Milk proteins contribute to stabilizing the air interface in ice cream. This air interface is important for the overall structure and structural stability (Turan et al. 1999).

Ice cream (CIC, QIC, and MIC) showed significantly higher (p ≤ 0.05) overrun than that of ice milk (CIM, QIM, and MIM) which can be attributed to the high viscosity of mix containing quinoa and chia flour (Table 2). The increase in viscosity ran parallel to the increase in the added chia flour in ice cream CIC. Furthermore, the decrease in the total protein content of treated sample QIC and QIM compared with other samples do not affect the overrun percentage probably due to increased air bubbles stability by the compounds and proteins of quinoa flour.

Ice milk and ice cream melting are important in two ways melting in the mouth during consumption and meltdown related to storage at warm temperatures. Table (2) shows the melting rate (structural collapse) of ice cream as represented by the weight loss of the tested samples during 20 min. at room temperature (25±1°C). Ice milk CIM and ice cream CIC samples took a longer time to melt and were liquid, than QIM, QIC, MIC and MIM, respectively. The contribution of dietary fiber to the structural characteristics and heat conductivity of ice cream can explain the former effects Olivos-Lugo et al. (2010). The dietary fiber has implications on its functional characteristics in food applications. Functional properties impacted by the dietary fiber include fat-binding and gel-forming.

Fat globules in ice cream mix are in a partially solidified state based on the broad range of triacylglycerol molecules that make up milk fat or other saturated fats that are used for ice cream manufactures such as coconut oil or palm kernel oil. That is the fat triacylglycerols with high melting points are crystalline in ice cream mix while those with low melting points are in liquid form. It is during cooling after pasteurization and then aging of the mix that most of the fat crystallization takes place. Typically, about 2/3 of milk fat will crystallize at 4°C and this has fully crystallized after 4-5 h of aging (Adleman and Hartel, 2001). Thus, as a rule fractionated milk fats or nondairy fats are usually selected that provide about 50-70% solid fat at 4°C (Persson, 2009).

Huppertz et al. (2011) also demonstrated the importance of protein structure in the unfrozen phase by the use of high-pressure hydrostatic processing of mix to rearrange the casein proteins into a micellar network of sorts, which resulted in very smooth ice cream of high viscosity with a higher melting resistance.

Table (2): Physicochemical, rheological properties, total phenolic, and radical scavenging activity of different mixes and resulted of ice milk and ice cream

| Parameter                      | Treatments* | Ice milk | Ice cream |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
|                                | MIM         | QIM      | CIM       | MIC       | QIC       | CIC       |
| Mix after aging:               |             |          |           |           |           |           |
| pH value                       | 6.47±0.08ab | 6.44±0.10a | 6.38±0.20a | 6.43±0.18a | 6.41±0.20a | 6.37±0.08a |
| Specific gravity (kg / L)      | 1.120±0.14abc | 1.120±0.04ab | 1.120±0.06ab | 1.101±0.02ab | 1.102±0.01ab | 1.101±0.08ab |
| Weight per gallon (kg)         | 4.24±0.48ab  | 4.24±0.26ab | 4.24±0.38ab | 4.17±0.14ab | 4.17±0.18ab | 4.17±0.16ab |
| Freezing point (°C)            | -2.49±0.04b | -2.49±0.12b | -2.49±0.16b | -2.32±0.02d | -2.32±0.10d | -2.32±0.06ab |
| Apparent viscosity (mPas)      | 327.68±6.44a | 353.34±5.32a | 398.78±2.44a | 339.21±5.58a | 341.25±6.50a | 377.64±6.72a |
| Plastic viscosity (mPas)       | 163.84±4.32a | 185.97±5.06bc | 196.44±6.12a | 180.43±7.14a | 182.61±7.28ab | 188.82±2.36b |
| Yield stress (N m⁻²)           | 9.10±0.80d  | 12.13±0.90b | 13.79±0.42a | 11.02±0.66c | 11.28±0.78ab | 12.59±0.73b |
| Flow behavior index            | 0.517±0.03a  | 0.467±0.04bc | 0.443±0.05c | 0.502±0.02ab | 0.489±0.06ab | 0.461±0.04bc |
| Consistency coefficient (mPas) | 304.33±1.34d | 328.99±0.02c | 375.63±2.74a | 314.86±2.28a | 316.25±1.50d | 350.29±0.52b |
| Total phenolic content (mg GAE/100g) | 41.39±2.61c | 64.06±2.94a  | 54.14±3.86b | 37.71±3.29c | 52.98±2.02b | 42.96±3.04c |
| Radical scavenging activity (RSA %) | 53.12±1.88d | 87.84±3.16a  | 76.23±3.77b | 49.25±1.75d | 73.74±1.26b | 67.26±0.74c |
| Ice milk or Ice Cream:         |             |          |           |           |           |           |
| Specific gravity (kg / L)      | 0.589±0.03a  | 0.582±0.01ab | 0.575±0.03ab | 0.555±0.01ab | 0.551±0.03ab | 0.542±0.01ab |
| Weight per gallon (kg)         | 2.23±0.14a   | 2.20±0.18a  | 2.18±0.08a  | 2.10±0.24ab | 2.09±0.06a  | 2.05±0.18a  |
| Overrun (%)                    | 91.57±0.86ad | 93.11±2.28bc | 95.19±3.73c | 95.34±1.74e | 97.18±1.86ab | 98.71±0.40ad |
| Meltdown rate (%):             |             |          |           |           |           |           |
| 5 min                          | 5.10±1.80a   | 3.42±1.16a  | 3.13±1.74c  | 4.25±1.80ab | 4.10±1.50ab | 3.37±1.26ab |
| 10 min                         | 44.27±3.46bc | 35.58±2.84bc | 30.28±1.44ad | 36.58±1.54ab | 32.23±0.84bcd | 31.25±2.66ed |
| 15 min                         | 62.41±1.68ab | 52.84±2.32bc | 50.27±1.46bc | 57.84±0.66ab | 54.67±1.52ab | 51.27±2.02c  |
| 20 min                         | 91.27±1.74a  | 80.27±1.50c  | 75.34±2.32ab | 84.64±1.22ab | 83.17±2.80bc | 78.84±0.86c  |

Mean (±SD)

a,b,c Values in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (p ≤ 0.05).

*See footnote Table (1).
Amino acid profile of the formulas of ice milk and ice cream:

The amino acid profile of the ice milk (MIM, QIM, and CIM) and ice cream (MIC, QIC, and CIC) are presented in Tables (3). The results show that the total essential amino acids, values range between 47.65, 41.40 and 45.98 g/100g for ice milk (MIM, QIM, and CIM) and 47.99, 45.33 and 47.78 mg/100g for ice cream (MIC, QIC, and CIC). Nutritionally, the recommended daily allowances (RDA) of some of the essential amino acids (valine, isoleucine and phenylalanine) were adequately met by the formulated food samples (FAO/WHO, 1991).

For the non-essential amino acids content of the ice cream samples range between 52.35, 58.60 and 54.02g/100g for ice milk (MIM, QIM and CIM) and 52.01, 54.67 and 52.22 mg/100g for ice cream (MIC, QIC and CIC).

The leucine: isoleucine ratios of all treated chickpea seeds were typical, with an ideal ratio of 2.03:1 suggested by FAO/WHO (2011). Deosthale et al. (1970) showed that excess leucine in foods interfered with the utilization of isoleucine and lysine. Stratton et al. (2003) showed that the provision of nutritional supplements to malnourished patients to correct the protein-energy deficiencies reduces complications such as infections and wound breakdown by 70% and mortality by 40%.

Table (3): Amino acid composition (g/100g protein) of ice milk and ice cream

| Amino acids                | Treatments* | FAO/WHO (1991) | Whole egg (Oser, 1959) |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------------|
|                            | MIM         | QIM            | CIM        | MIC         | QIC         | CIC         |
| Essential amino acids      |             |                |            |             |             |             |
| Histidine                  | 2.83c       | 3.33c          | 2.71ab     | 2.79ab      | 2.98c       | 2.65c       | 1.90        | 2.40        |
| Isoleucine                 | 4.84b       | 3.43c          | 4.58b      | 4.99b       | 4.54d       | 5.15a       | 2.80        | 6.60        |
| Leucine                    | 8.60b       | 7.71c          | 8.20b      | 8.72a       | 8.47ab      | 8.74a       | 6.60        | 8.80        |
| Lysine                     | 8.23b       | 6.61c          | 6.31d      | 8.12a       | 7.06b       | 6.92b       | 5.80        | 6.40        |
| Methionine + Cystine       | 2.76d       | 2.61d          | 4.28a      | 2.85a       | 2.92c       | 3.79b       | 2.30        | 5.48        |
| Phenylalanine + Tyrosine   | 9.26d       | 7.96c          | 9.34d      | 9.25c       | 8.54b       | 9.26a       | 6.30        | 10.08       |
| Threonine                  | 4.42c       | 4.41c          | 4.18b      | 4.40a       | 4.36a       | 4.24a       | 3.40        | 4.98        |
| Tryptophan                 | 1.26e       | 0.85d          | 1.05c      | 1.27a       | 1.09c       | 1.19b       | 1.10        | 1.65        |
| Valine                     | 5.44a       | 4.50d          | 5.34c      | 5.59b       | 5.38e       | 5.84a       | 3.5         | 7.42        |
| Total EAA                  | 47.65a      | 41.40c         | 45.98b     | 47.99a      | 45.33b      | 47.78c      | 33.70       |             |
| Non-essential amino acids  |             |                |            |             |             |             |             |             |
| Alanine                    | 3.30d       | 4.78a          | 4.33b      | 3.30d       | 4.07b       | 3.82c       |             |             |
| Arginine                   | 3.36d       | 9.60c          | 7.00b      | 3.38d       | 6.68b       | 5.27c       |             | 6.56        |
| Aspartic                   | 7.57c       | 10.57a         | 7.92c      | 7.51c       | 8.99b       | 7.59c       |             |             |
| Glutamic                   | 21.83a      | 17.13d         | 19.16bc    | 21.52a      | 18.47c      | 19.55b      |             |             |
| Glycine                    | 1.89e       | 5.83a          | 3.58c      | 1.91e       | 4.01b       | 2.81d       |             |             |
| Proline                    | 9.46c       | 4.91d          | 5.99d      | 9.42a       | 6.97c       | 7.57b       |             |             |
| Serine                     | 4.95b       | 5.77c          | 6.03c      | 4.98b       | 5.48ab      | 5.61ab      |             |             |
| Total NEAAs                | 52.35c      | 58.60a         | 54.02b     | 52.01c      | 54.67b      | 52.22c      |             |             |
| Leucine/isoleucine ratio   | 1.78c       | 2.24a          | 1.79c      | 1.75cd      | 1.87b       | 1.70cd      | 2.36        | 1.33        |

abcd Values in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (p ≤ 0.05).

Nutritional quality of the formulas of ice milk and ice cream:

Chemical Score (CS) estimates the amount of protein required to provide the minimal essential amino acids (EAA) pattern for adults, which was re-defined by FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) in report FAO/WHO (2011). Based on CS, the sequence of limiting amino acids and the protein score were determined for all the samples (Table 4). The protein score (SC) of ice milk MIM, QIM and CIM, was 81.69, 76.93 and 90.57%, and ice cream MIC, QIC and CIC, range between 84.10, 86.31 and 88.65%, respectively.

The chemical score of ice milk and ice cream protein is up to 70, which makes it comparable to egg white (Friedman, 1996). Treatments of ice cream prepared by replacing fresh milk with quinoa and chia flour can be used to enrich products in the limiting amino acids. The results of nutritional quality of the ice cream (Table 4). The nutritional value of a protein may be estimated by calculating the values of protein efficiency ratio (PER). This calculation is based on the concentration of either leucine and proline (PER1); leucine and tyrosine (PER2); or methionine, leucine, histidine, and tyrosine (PER3). Usually, PER values
higher than 2 indicate the high quality of a protein. PER values calculated for ice cream CIC, QIC and MIC and ice milk CIM, QIM and MIM, respectively indicated good quality of the protein (Mir et al., 2019). The essential amino acid indices (EAAIs) of the ice milk (MIM, QIM and CIM) and ice cream (MIC, QIC and CIC) samples were 81.45, 79.38, 85.68, 82.12, 83.96 and 86.28 respectively; while that of the biological values (BV) were 77.08% for the MIM, 81.69% CIM, 77.81% MIC, 79.81% QIC and 82.35% CIC sample. Generally, a protein material is said to be of good nutritional quality when its biological values (BV) is high (70-100%) and also when the essential amino acid index (EAAI) is above 90% and to be useful as food when the values are around 80% and to be inadequate for food material when below 70% (Oser, 1959). For human and animal nutrition the quality of protein is determined by its biological value (BV) which serves as an indicator of protein intake by relating nitrogen uptake to nitrogen excretion. The highest values (p ≤ 0.05) of BV correspond to proteins of whole egg (93.7%) and cow milk (84.5%) (Friedman, 1996). The protein of quinoa has a BV of 83%, which is higher (p ≤ 0.05) than that of fish (76%), beef (74.3%), soybean (72.8%), wheat (64%), rice (64%) and corn (60%) proteins (James, 2009). In comparison, the BV and EAAI values in the present study were quite high relatively to the values reported by the Oser (1959). The same trend was observed for EAAI, PER, and BV indexes, which are commonly used to estimate the quality of food proteins. The Nutritional Index (NI), which is affected by the amount of protein and the EAA ratio, ice milk (MIM, QIM and CIM) and ice cream (MIC, QIC and CIC) samples were 2.23, 0.95, 2.18, 2.19, 1.37 and 2.21, respectively.

Table (4): Nutritional characteristics of the ice milk and ice cream

| Parameter | Ice milk | Ice cream |
|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Treatments* | MIM | QIM | CIM | MIC | QIC | CIC |
| **Limiting EAA:** | | | | | | |
| First | Tryptophan | Tryptophan | Tryptophan | Tryptophan | Tryptophan | Tryptophan |
| Second | Methionine + Cystine | Methionine + Cystine | Histidine | Methionine + Cystine | Methionine + Cystine | Histidine |
| CS% | 81.69<sup>a</sup> | 76.93<sup>b</sup> | 90.57<sup>a</sup> | 84.10<sup>d</sup> | 86.31<sup>f</sup> | 88.65<sup>f</sup> |
| EAAI | 81.45<sup>c</sup> | 79.38<sup>d</sup> | 85.68<sup>b</sup> | 82.12<sup>e</sup> | 83.96<sup>f</sup> | 86.28<sup>f</sup> |
| PER1 | 2.80<sup>b</sup> | 3.97<sup>b</sup> | 4.14<sup>ab</sup> | 4.22<sup>a</sup> | 4.22<sup>a</sup> | 4.32<sup>a</sup> |
| PER2 | 2.96<sup>d</sup> | 3.59<sup>d</sup> | 3.73<sup>c</sup> | 3.95<sup>ab</sup> | 3.89<sup>a</sup> | 3.97<sup>a</sup> |
| PER3 | 2.10<sup>ef</sup> | 5.97<sup>ab</sup> | 5.70<sup>b</sup> | 5.83<sup>ab</sup> | 6.14<sup>a</sup> | 6.01<sup>ab</sup> |
| BV% | 77.08<sup>c</sup> | 74.82<sup>d</sup> | 81.69<sup>e</sup> | 77.81<sup>c</sup> | 79.81<sup>b</sup> | 82.35<sup>d</sup> |
| NPU | 73.22<sup>c</sup> | 71.08<sup>d</sup> | 77.61<sup>b</sup> | 73.92<sup>d</sup> | 75.82<sup>e</sup> | 78.23<sup>d</sup> |
| NI | 2.23<sup>b</sup> | 0.95<sup>c</sup> | 2.18<sup>a</sup> | 2.19<sup>a</sup> | 1.37<sup>b</sup> | 2.21<sup>a</sup> |

<sup>a,b,c</sup>Values in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (p ≤ 0.05).
<sup>See footnote Table (1).</sup>

**Fatty Acid Profile:**

The fatty acid composition of the ice cream was showed in Table (5). In ice milk MIM, QIM and CIM the content of saturated fatty acids (SFA) was 81.40, 67.06 and 17.61%, respectively and ice cream MIC, QIC and CIC were 80.27, 76.30 and 67.25%, respectively.

The content of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) in ice milk MIM, QIM and CIM, 16.21, 19.08 and 4.45%, respectively and ice cream MIC, QIC and CIC, 16.83, 17.60 and 14.34%, respectively. The content of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA n-6) in ice milk MIM, QIM and CIM, 1.90, 11.59 and 18.39%, respectively and ice cream MIC, QIC and CIC, 2.39, 5.04 and 5.78%, respectively. The content of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA n-3) in ice milk MIM, QIM and CIM, 0.18, 1.77 and 59.39%, and ice cream MIC, QIC and CIC, 0.44, 0.90 and 12.40%, respectively. The CIM and QIC samples had significantly p ≤ 0.05 higher content of PUFA n-3 and PUFA n-6 than the QIM, QIC, MIC and MIM. The content of α-linolenic acid in the ice cream had been rising according to the addition of chia flour. Also, there was observed a change in the ratio of polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-6) to polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3). For the ice milk MIM, QIM and CIM sample the ratio were 6.42:1, 6.07:1 and 0.31:1 respectively, whereas in case of ice cream MIC, QIC and CIC were 5.24:1, 4.49:1 and 0.58:1 respectively. The high content of n-3 fatty acids in the diet provides many health benefits. It is essential for proper brain functions and has beneficial effects in cardiovascular diseases and in prevention of arthritis (Pizarro et al., 2015).

**Health-related indicators:**

The indices of atherogenicity (IA) and thrombogenicity (IT) and the n6/n3 ratio in ice cream samples, calculated on the base of the fatty acid contents, are presented in Table (5). The data show that the ice milk CIM and QIM and has generally better health-related indicators than ice cream CIC and QIC. Noticeable changes were found in the dynamics of IA and IT. The ratio of essential fatty acids (n6/n3) in ice milk prepared by replacing fresh milk with chia flour (CIM) is also lower, therefore in the CIM and CIC the effect of chia flour on n6/n3 can be considered
positive. It follows ice cream prepared by replacing fresh milk with quinoa flour than control ice cream (MIC) and control ice milk MIM. Moreover, ice milk and ice cream prepared by replacing fresh milk with chia and quinoa flour tends to be a beneficial to human health due to its low AI, TI and suitable n-6/n-3 ratio. But, Desaturase activity (DA) have close values between all treatments. Haug et al. (2007) and Tsiplakou and Zervas (2008) found the camel milk tends to be a beneficial to human health due to its low AI and suitable n-6/n-3 ratio. Yang et al. (2018) reported that the camel milk is likely to have a beneficial fatty acid profile due to its low AI and n-6/n-3 ratio. The low n-6/n-3 ratio may differentiate yak milk from buffalo and cow milk. Desaturase activity index (DA) for buffalo, camel, cow, goat and yak milk samples was 0.29, 0.39, 0.29, 0.34 and 0.30, respectively. Taking into account a negative role of the C12:0, C14:0, and C16:0 acids, proposed atherogenic indices (AI) and thrombogenic indices (TI). Based on AI and TI values, conclusions may be drawn concerning fat quality from the point of view of human diet (Ullbricht and Southgate, 1991). The health-promoting index (HPI) is the inverse of the IA. It is currently mainly used in research on dairy products such as milk and cheese (Chen et al., 2004). Detailed information about the literature related to the HPI values range from 0.16 to 0.68. Dairy products with a high HPI value are assumed to be more beneficial to human health (CIC, QIM, CICM and QIC).

Table (5): Fatty acids profile (g/100 g total FA methyl esters) of the ice milk and ice cream

| Fatty Acids         | Treatments* | Ice milk | Ice cream |
|---------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
|                     | MIM        | QIM      | MIC       | QIC      | CIC    |
| Butyric (C4:0)      | 9.23ab     | 4.31bc   | 0.74c     | 5.98c    | 5.19c  | 4.56c |
| Caproic (C6:0)      | 3.40a      | 2.65c    | 0.45d     | 3.45b    | 3.19b  | 2.81c |
| Caprylic (C8:0)     | 1.70c      | 1.52e    | 0.26e     | 1.94a    | 1.83b  | 1.61d |
| Capric (C10:0)      | 3.35b      | 3.31b    | 0.57d     | 3.98a    | 3.99a  | 3.51b |
| Undecanoic (C11:0)  | 0.08ab     | 0.09bc   | 0.01b     | 0.10d    | 0.10b  | 0.09ab|
| Lauric (C12:0)      | 3.89bc     | 3.75bc   | 0.64e     | 4.53g    | 4.50b  | 3.95b |
| Tridecyl (C13:0)    | 0.16bc     | 0.21a    | 0.02d     | 0.17bc   | 0.18ab | 0.14c |
| Myristic (C14:0)    | 13.80a     | 11.02c   | 1.92d     | 14.09a   | 13.25ab| 11.64bc|
| Pentadecanoic (C15:0)| 1.43ab    | 1.36b    | 0.21d     | 1.45a    | 1.41ab | 1.18c |
| Palmitic (C16:0)    | 37.89a     | 30.90b   | 11.49c    | 37.98a   | 35.70a | 32.29b|
| Margaric (C17:0)    | 0.48ab     | 0.44bc   | 0.11d     | 0.50a    | 0.48ab | 0.42c |
| Stearic (C18:0)     | 5.76c      | 4.70d    | 1.03c     | 5.84a    | 5.51b  | 4.85c |
| Arachidic (C:20)    | 0.23ab     | 0.26a    | 0.16b     | 0.21b    | 0.22ab | 0.19b |
| Lignoceric (C24:0)  | N.D.       | 2.54a    | N.D.      | 0.06c    | 0.75b  | N.D. |
| SFA                 | 81.40a     | 67.06c   | 17.61d    | 80.27a   | 76.30b | 67.25c|
| Myristoleic (C14:1n9)| 1.65b    | 1.51c    | 0.26d     | 1.86a    | 1.82a  | 1.60b |
| Palmitoleic (C16:1n9)| 2.45b    | 1.56c    | 0.30e     | 2.08b    | 1.79c  | 1.56d |
| Heptadecenoic (C17:1)| 0.28c    | 0.21d    | N.D.      | 0.27bc   | 0.25abc | 0.23bc |
| Elaidic (C18:1n9t)  | 0.42c      | 0.87a    | 0.12d     | 0.77b    | 0.91a  | 0.77b |
| Oleic(C18:1n9c) (C18:1o-9) | 11.19d | 14.37a | 3.66b | 11.58c | 12.41b | 10.05c|
| Vaccenic (C18:1n7)  | 0.16a      | N.D.     | N.D.      | 0.16c    | 0.15a  | 0.13a |
| Eicosenoic (C20:1n9) | N.D.    | 0.26a | N.D. | N.D.    | 0.07b | N.D. |
| Erucic (C22:1n9)    | N.D.       | 0.29a    | N.D.      | 0.05c    | 0.12b  | N.D. |
| Nervonic (C24:1)    | 0.06c      | N.D.     | N.D.      | 0.06c    | 0.06c  | N.D. |
| MUFA                | 16.21d     | 19.08a   | 4.35f     | 16.83c   | 17.60b | 14.34c|
| Linolealaidic (C18:2n6t) | 0.22c | 0.21a | 0.04b | 0.26b | 0.25a | 0.22a|
| Linoleic (C18:2o-6) | 1.24c      | 10.91b   | 17.90a    | 1.58c    | 4.28d  | 4.99c |
| Conjugated linoleic (cis-9, trans-11) (C18:2n6) | 0.33c | 0.31a | 0.05b | 0.38a | 0.38b | 0.33a|
| γ-Linolenic (C18:3n6) | N.D.    | N.D.    | 0.27a     | N.D.      | N.D.   | 0.05b |
| Arachidonic (C20:4 o-6) (C20:4n6) | 0.12b | 0.16ab | 0.14ab | 0.18a | 0.19a | 0.19a|
| ω-Linolenic acid (C18:3 o-3) (C18:3n3) | 0.18b | 1.77c | 59.38b | 0.30c | 0.74d | 12.25b|
| Homo-γ-linoleic (C20:3 o-9) (C20:3n3) | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. | 0.09b | 0.09b | 0.08a|
| Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) (C22:6 o-3) | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. | 0.06b | 0.07b | 0.07b|
| PUFA n-6            | 1.90b      | 11.59b   | 18.39a    | 2.39b    | 5.09d  | 5.78c |
| ω-Linolenic acid (C18:3 o-3) (C18:3n3) | 0.18b | 1.77c | 59.38b | 0.30c | 0.74d | 12.25b|
| Homo-γ-linoleic (C20:3 o-9) (C20:3n3) | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. | 0.09b | 0.09b | 0.08a|
| Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) (C22:6 o-3) | N.D. | N.D. | N.D. | 0.06b | 0.07b | 0.07b|

*Values in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (p ≤ 0.05). N.D., not detected

*aSee footnote Table (1).
**Colour properties:**

The colour of ice cream has a remarkable influence on consumer acceptance. According to the results obtained for the colour parameters (Table 6) significant changes (p ≤ 0.05) were observed with the addition of different flour of quinoa and chia. A pronouncedly (p ≤ 0.05) decrease in the lightness (L°, scale ranges from 0 to 100, from black to white) was observed with the increase of the amount of flour of quinoa and chia ice milk (QIM and CIM)) and increase in the lightness L° of ice cream (MIC, QIC and CIC), respectively. This behaviour is related to an increase of the red colour (RI) and browning Index (BI) of the ice cream fortified by chia and quinoa ice milk CIM, QIM and ice cream CIC and QIC respectively, reducing the tendency to white visualized on the control ice cream and ice milk (MIC and MIM). The ∆E increased for the ice cream samples containing chia flour (CIM and CIC) then treatments with quinoa flour (QIM and QIC). Lightness was then suggested as the major contributing factor to whiteness. Chroma (C*) increased in ice milk samples CIM and QIM, indicating that the colour of ice cream became more intense, it followed ice cream samples CIC and QIC. Differences in the colour parameters of ice cream can be attributed to different content of the flour quinoa and chia. Regarding measurements made in ice cream, it was found that the anthocyanin (colour) content in the ice cream with different amounts of quinoa and chia flour showing colours degree of light yellowish whites and slightly yellowish whites when added to the ice cream fortified by quinoa and chia flour (CIM, QIM, CIC and QIC, respectively. This reason has resulted from increase of browning Index (BI) for ice cream containing more quantities of chia and quinoa flour in ice milk (CIM QIM and QIC) then less quantities in ice cream (CIC and QIC) compared with control (MIM and MIC). Browning index is a parameter in measuring amounts of colour parameters and shows the amount of color change towards brown of chia seed flour (Hatamian et al., 2020). Table (6) shows also the colour parameters (L°, a°, b°, hab and C°). The difference in lightness (L°) was statistically significant (p ≤ 0.05) among white flours and red and black ones, for the latest in fact were recorded the lowest values (p ≤ 0.05). The red-green coordinate (a°) and yellow-blue coordinate (b°) were significantly higher (p ≤ 0.05) in CIM, QIM, CIC, QIC and lower (p ≤ 0.05) in MIM and MIM. Consequently, the same statistically differences were showed in Chroma (C°), for which CIM, QIM, CIC and QIC; concerning hue values (hab), higher degrees (p ≤ 0.05) were recorded for MIM (~ 73.89) while lower ones for CIM (~86.97). The obtained results suggest therefore, that the incorporation of a certain quantity of these flours (chia and quinoa) may change appearance of target systems. Pellegrini et al. (2018) reported that the quantities of flours obtained from quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd) seeds should be chosen considering consumer preferences and product marketability.

**Table (6): Colour properties of the ice milk and ice cream**

| Parameter | Treatments | Ice milk | Ice cream |
|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|
|           | MIM        | QIM      | CIM       | MIC       | QIC       | CIC       |
| L°        | 83.7±0.18abc | 76.14±0.63c | 69.58±2.36d | 86.78±1.87c | 81.24±1.90b | 77.11±0.64c |
| a°        | -2.29±0.86abc | -2.23±0.20bc | -0.62±0.08a | -2.55±0.16c | -2.08±0.06bc | -0.87±0.08a |
| b°        | 7.93±1.16b  | 9.88±0.76b  | 11.72±2.03a | 6.47±0.52d  | 8.06±0.62c  | 10.51±1.90abc |
| C°        | 8.25±1.22a  | 10.13±1.12ab | 11.74±1.36a | 6.95±0.88d  | 8.32±0.58c  | 10.55±1.44b |
| hab°      | -73.89±0.35b | -77.28±0.84c | -86.97±1.03d | -68.49±1.63bc | -75.53±0.72nc | -85.27±0.97cd |
| WI        | 81.74±0.80b | 74.08±1.32abc | 67.39±1.70b | 85.06±1.08b | 79.48±1.13c | 74.80±1.70d |
| AE        | 0.00        | 7.82±0.58d  | 14.72±1.12a | 0.00       | 5.78±0.38c  | 10.61±0.82b |
| RI        | -0.29±0.06c | -0.23±0.04b  | -0.05±0.04a | -0.39±0.03d | -0.26±0.10nc | -0.08±0.02a |
| BI        | 7.59±1.02d  | 11.24±1.40c | 17.14±1.96b | 5.33±1.08b  | 8.20±1.84d  | 13.30±1.44b |

Mean (±SD)

abc Values in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (p ≤ 0.05).

*See footnote Table (1).

**Sensory Characteristics:**

The differences in sensory properties of ice cream from different treatments are shown in Table (7). The ice cream (MIC, QIC and CIC) gained the highest scores for acceptability, rich and creamy taste. Milk fat has been recognized as an essential constituent for the formation and support of the structural characteristics of ice cream (Turgut and Cakmakci, 2009). This has been interconnected with milk fat functionality including fat destabilization, increased air incorporation and air cells stabilization, lubrication of oral tissue and improvement of mouth sensation (Dresselhuis et al., 2008). The panelists observed that removing the fat from ice cream made it cooler and more watery body & texture with few visible air bubbles. Adding quinoa flour significantly improved the body & texture of ice cream and gained the highest p ≤ 0.05 acceptability scores. This may be explained on the possible interactions between fibers, protein matrix and stabilizer which resulted in higher rheological characteristics of activity. Colour is an important parameter to the quality of food products because of its association with factors such as freshness, ripeness, desirability, and food safety. It is often a primary
consideration for consumers when making purchasing decisions. All ice cream exhibited different colours of variable intensity according to the ratio used. The panelists described the colour of the resultant ice cream as new and unique. A physical property of ice cream has a major influence on the sensory quality in general, and texture assessment is viscosity. Sensory viscosity in the partially melted state is an important factor because it influences how a sample of ice cream reacts within a person’s mouth. The resistance of an ice cream to the mechanical forces imparted by the tongue, upper palate and teeth will dictate the overall perception of ice cream texture (Akoh, 1998). Panelists found significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) among the samples (Table 7). Sensory judges perceived ice creams containing chia and quinoa flour to have the least viscosity and their differences were significantly important (p ≤ 0.05). If the effect of the flour type in the viscosity production was considered, it could be seen that (CIM and CIC) produced the highest (p ≤ 0.05) value of sensory viscosity, the difference with MIC and MIM was significant (p ≤ 0.05). It was observed that there is a good correlation between the results of the sensory viscosity and instrumental viscosity (apparent and Plastic viscosity). As melting occurs within the mouth, large ice particles are momentarily left behind creating the distinct sensation of coldness (Bodyfelt et al., 1988). Chia and quinoa flour (CIM, CIC, QIM and QIC) produced the highest coldness in ice cream, respectively (Table 7). This is probably due to the role of viscosity. The firmness of ice cream is related to its structure. The air cells of ice cream structure are essentially spherical, although there is some distortion due to the formation of fat and ice crystals. The material surrounding these air cells is a non-Newtonian fluid containing clumps of fat (up to 80%) and small ice crystals (Aime et al., 2001). Chia and quinoa flour produced the highest firmness in the products, respectively. These findings were predictable due to their viscosity. It was seen that the protein and fibers bind water, increase the viscosity and enhanced the firmness of ice creams. As it is shown in Table (7), panelists found significant difference for the degree of smoothness (coarseness) among the treatments (p ≤ 0.05). Ice milk prepared by replacing fresh milk with chia flour (CIM) produced the highest coarseness resulted the more amount chia flour used. All the samples had a desirable smoothness, which confirm that selected quinoa and chia flour act as suitable in term of smoothness. A smooth texture is an indicator of uniform small ice crystals and air cells and no detectable crystals (Abdullah et al., 2003).

The liquefying rate scores were significantly different (p ≤ 0.05). The liquefying or melting rate was evaluated as intermediate that is acceptable (Table 7). If the product melts too fast, a messy situation ensues. A fast-melting product is undesirable as it tends to heat readily. However, a slow rate of melting can also be indicative of a defective ice cream (Goff and Hartel, 2013). Ice milk and ice cream containing chia flower had the highest (p ≤ 0.05) liquefying rate, while quinoa ice milk and ice cream had intermediate liquefying rate. Texture is directly related to the structure. Structure depends on the size, number and arrangement of air cells, ice crystals and fat clumps (Abdullah et al., 2003). The body and texture values were significantly different (p ≤ 0.05). Samples with quinoa flour (QIC and QIM) acquired the best body and texture scores (Table 7), although the difference between MIM and MIC was not significant (p ≥ 0.05). On the contrary, CIM declined the body and texture scores of ice creams significantly (p ≤ 0.05). This can be related to the increase amount chia flour of ice cream. In this study, all the ice cream samples obtained body and texture scores better than average. The desired texture of an ice cream is smooth, creamy and homogeneous but the desired body should be firm with substantial feeling of solid matter within the foam (Goff and Hartel, 2013). The total acceptance of soft ice cream was assessed between 7.11 and 8.91, where the most acceptable ice cream was the sample containing quinoa flour (QIC and QIM) (Table 7). Total acceptance scores of all the samples were higher than the average value (7) in a 9-point scale. Although significant differences were observed between the samples containing quinoa and chia flour, CIM decreased the overall acceptability of an ice cream.

| Parameter | Ice milk | Treatments* | Ice milk |
|-----------|----------|-------------|----------|
|           | MIM | QIM | CIM | MIC | QIC | CIC |
| Appearance (9) | 8.57±0.75a | 8.19±0.12c | 7.56±0.30c | 8.91±0.08a | 8.56±0.32a | 8.29±0.10bc |
| Flavour (9) | 8.89±0.18a | 8.53±0.34ab | 7.11±1.55bc | 8.95±1.36bc | 8.62±0.30ab | 8.23±0.08ab |
| Coldness (9) | 8.01±0.42a | 8.34±0.68bc | 8.93±0.06ab | 8.13±0.02ab | 8.29±0.48ab | 8.71±0.58ab |
| Firmness (9) | 8.24±1.08a | 8.46±0.92a | 8.89±0.22ab | 8.38±0.06b | 8.31±0.38ab | 8.76±0.12ab |
| Viscosity (9) | 8.07±0.14a | 8.68±0.04ab | 8.85±0.30ab | 8.11±0.98bc | 8.38±1.04abc | 8.72±0.44a |
| Smoothness (9) | 8.83±0.26c | 8.52±0.16bc | 8.05±0.10c | 8.92±0.16c | 8.84±0.12a | 8.57±0.04b |
| Liquefying (9) | 8.07±0.14c | 8.55±0.40ab | 8.96±0.08a | 8.15±0.30c | 8.51±0.22bc | 8.62±0.76ab |
| Body and texture (9) | 8.62±0.44ab | 8.77±0.84a | 8.16±0.22ab | 8.67±0.34ab | 8.96±0.08a | 8.87±1.04a |
| Total acceptance (9) | 8.72±0.40bc | 8.34±0.34ab | 7.11±0.22ab | 8.84±0.72ab | 8.91±0.94a | 8.24±0.62a |
| Total score (81) | 75.57±2.14a | 76.38±2.04a | 73.62±2.12ab | 77.06±2.10a | 77.38±1.46a | 77.01±1.31a |

Mean (±SD)

abc Values in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (p ≤ 0.05).

*See footnote Table (1).
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the current study has shown that the application of quinoa (QIM and QIC) and chia flour (CIC) flour has a positive impact on the production of ice milk and ice cream without impeding effects on the physicochemical characteristics and sensory properties of the final product. The results showed that completely replacing fresh milk with chia flour in ice milk resulted in a product with high rheological properties, but lower sensory properties as compared to the other samples. Whereas, when replacing fresh milk with quinoa flour in the ice milk and ice cream, it caused high sensory properties scores and lower rheological properties than samples containing chia flour.
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استخدام الكينوا والشيا في تحسين الخصائص الوبيلوبية وتعزيز الفوائد الصحية للمثلجات اللبنانية والقشدية الطرية

صلح أحمد خليفة

قسم علم الأغذية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق - الزقازيق ١١٥٤٤ - مصر

هذة الدراسة تتعلق بقياس استخدام الكينوا والشيا لتحسين الخصائص الوبيلوبية وتعزيز الفوائد الصحية للمثلجات اللبنانية والقشدية (الطرية). تم تحضير معمولات المثلجات اللبنانية والقشدية الطرية باستخدام اللبن الطازج بدقيق الكينوا والشيا وإضافة الفرد والمشروبات الحمضية. كما تم حساب نسبة إضافة دقيق الشيا على أساس نسب الدهن والمواد الصلبة الكلية في الخلطات الناتجة. تم تقييم جودة المنتج من خلال الخصائص الفيزيائية والكيميائية والزروانية والصحة. كانت الفرق في درجات الخواص الصحية معنوية (p ≤ 0.05). تنتج عن المعمولات القشادية الكبيرة والكيميائية والزروانية وتحسين تركيز مركبات الفينول المنتج ونشاط مضادات الأكسدة استغرق تحسين خصائص التغذية الوبيلوبة وتحسينات الشكلين CIC (المحضر بدلاً من اللبن الطازج بدقيق الشيا) والملعقات القشدية QIC (الملعقة الدائمة بمزيج الشيا) و QIM (الملعقة الدائمة بمزيج الشيا ودقيق الكينوا) وأقل P ≤ 0.05 (في QIM في P)، أظهر استخدام الكينوا ودقيق الشيا QIM (دمج الشيا CIC ودقيق الشيا QIC) إمكانية تحسين الخصائص الوبيلوبية وتعزيز الفوائد الصحية واللحوم على نتائج مفصلة ومربوطة.