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SUMMARY

The unicellular ancestor of animals had a complex repertoire of genes linked to multicellular processes. This suggests that changes in the regulatory genome, rather than in gene innovation, were key to the origin of animals. Here, we carry out multiple functional genomic assays in Capsaspora owczarzaki, the unicellular relative of animals with the largest known gene repertoire for transcriptional regulation. We show that changing chromatin states, differential lincRNA expression, and dynamic cis-regulatory sites are associated with life cycle transitions in Capsaspora. Moreover, we demonstrate conservation of animal developmental transcription-factor networks and extensive network interconnection in this premetazoan organism. In contrast, however, Capsaspora lacks animal promoter types, and its regulatory sites are small, proximal, and lack signatures of animal enhancers. Overall, our results indicate that the emergence of animal multicellularity was linked to a major shift in genome cis-regulatory complexity, most notably the appearance of distal enhancer regulation.

INTRODUCTION

A defining feature of multicellular animals is their capacity to generate multiple specialized cell types through temporally and spatially regulated developmental programs. These programs of individual cell differentiation involve the generation of cell-specific transcriptional profiles. Recent genomic analyses, however, have shown that the unicellular ancestor of Metazoa already had a complex gene repertoire involved in multicellular functions, including specific differentiation programs (Fairclough et al., 2013; King et al., 2008; de Mendoza et al., 2015; Sebè-Pedrós et al., 2013b; Srivastava et al., 2010; Suga et al., 2013).

Since the origin of animals was not solely dependent on the appearance of new genes, it is likely that animal evolution involved a shift in the genome regulatory capabilities required to generate cell-type-specific transcriptional profiles during animal development. In animals, these profiles are established and maintained by a complex combination of chromatin regulatory dynamics, distal cis-regulatory elements, and transcription factor networks (Bernstein et al., 2007; Buecker and Wysocka, 2012; Ho et al., 2014; de Laat and Duboule, 2013; Levine, 2010; Levine and Tjian, 2003). Interestingly, a recent analysis of an early branching and morphologically simple animal, the cnidarian Nematostella vectensis, has shown that cnidarians and bilaterians share a conserved gene regulatory landscape (Schwaiger et al., 2014). However, it is unclear whether these ancient genome regulatory features are animal innovations or whether they were already present in the unicellular ancestor of Metazoa.

To determine the timing and importance of regulatory changes in the origin of Metazoa, we need to unravel the genomic regulation of the extant animal relatives. Among the closest extant unicellular relatives of Metazoa, the amoeboid filasterean Capsaspora owczarzaki (herein Capsaspora), has the richest repertoire of transcription factors described to date (Sebè-Pedrós et al., 2011). These include genes, such as Brachury, Myc, and Runx, that are essential for animal development. Moreover, Capsaspora is known to differentiate into three temporal life stages that are transcriptionally tightly regulated (Sebè-Pedrós et al., 2013b). These temporal cell types include (1) a filopodiated amoeba, which corresponds to the proliferative trophic stage, (2) an aggregative multicellular stage, in which the cells produces an extracellular matrix, and (3) a cystic resistance form without filopodia (see an schematic representation of the life cycle in Figure 3). Its key phylogenetic position as the sister group of animals and choanoflagellates, its rich gene repertoire, and the observed regulatory capabilities of Capsaspora, therefore, make it an ideal candidate to explore the origin of animal genome regulation.
The advent of functional genomics assays based on next-generation sequencing (NGS) has revolutionized the study of the regulatory genome. These techniques have shown that different chromatin biochemical signatures and accessibility are associated with cis-regulatory elements (Creyghton et al., 2010; Rada-Iglesias et al., 2011; Thurman et al., 2012), promoter types (Lenhard et al., 2012), ncRNAs (Marques et al., 2013), and gene transcriptional states (Dunham et al., 2012; Schaiger et al., 2014). To date, however, this new paradigm has only been systematically applied to a handful of model species (Ho et al., 2014), and our understanding of most eukaryotic genomes remains limited to primary sequence. These techniques hold the potential to go beyond genome content description and systematically explore genome regulation in non-model systems like Capsaspora. Here, we apply these principles to study the dynamic Capsaspora regulatory genome in a comparative evolutionary framework and demonstrate that a major change in genome regulation was linked to the origin and the subsequent diversification of animal body plans.

RESULTS

Histone Modifications in Capsaspora
Posttranslational modifications of histone tails (hPTMs) are important components of the regulatory genomic landscape in eukaryotes. hPTMs play a crucial role in maintaining and transmitting on-off transcriptional signals (Zhou et al., 2011) by modifying the chromatin structure, and they are associated with specific regulatory elements in animals (Creyghton et al., 2010; Rada-Iglesias et al., 2011). To determine whether hPTMs are conserved between animals and their closest relatives or across all eukaryotes, we first analyzed the hPTMs of Capsaspora by chemical derivatization coupled to mass spectrometry and compared those with eukaryotes for which hPTMs are known (Figures 1 and S1). We found that H3 and H4 modifications are largely conserved across the eukaryotes analyzed. In contrast, we identified several novel Capsaspora-specific modifications in H2B and H2AZ and a Capsaspora-specific H2A variant, indicating that H2AZ and H2B histones and histone variants are the fastest evolving components of the histone code. Additionally, there was a correspondence between hPTMs and histone-modifying enzymes in the genome of Capsaspora (Figure 1). An example is the lack of H3K9me3 and H3K27me3, the two best-characterized animal repressive marks, co-occurring with the absence of the enzymes responsible for writing and erasing them (Suv3/9, G9a, and SETD1B for H3K9me3 and EZH2 (PRC2 complex) for H3K27me3). Despite some lineage-specific changes, H3 and H4 hPTMs are mostly conserved across eukaryotes, and thus, informative comparative analyses can be performed across distant taxa.

Dynamic Chromatin States in Capsaspora
To investigate the genome-wide distribution of Capsaspora hPTMs across temporally segregated cell types, we selected those marks that have been widely used in animals to characterize chromatin states (Ho et al., 2014). Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) was carried out for H3 lysine 4 trimethylation and monomethylation (H3K4me3 and H3K4me1), H3 lysine 27 acetylation (H3K27ac), and H3 lysine 36 trimethylation (H3K36me3). Deep-sequencing reads were mapped in the Capsaspora genome, and their correlation with different genomic features and gene expression was analyzed (Figures 2, S2, and S3). Additionally, we undertook transposase-accessible chromatin sequencing (ATAC-seq) (Buenrostro et al., 2013) in each cell stage in order to interrogate nucleosome positioning and accessible chromatin as a proxy for active cis-regulatory elements. Normalized ChIP-seq read coverage around the transcription start site (TSS) reveals a unimodal H3K4me3 peak well positioned after the TSS of active genes that strongly colocalizes with H3K27ac (Figure 2A). In contrast, two sharp H3K4me1 peaks appear flanking H3K4me3/H3K27ac peaks, both before and after the TSS. Finally, H3K36me3 spreads through the gene bodies of active genes. All these marks correlate with the level of expression of active genes (Figure 2A), in a pattern similar to that observed in human cells (van Galen et al., 2016). It must be noted, though, that histone modifications might also be related to other regulatory processes; e.g., H3K36me3 has been linked to splicing (Kolasinska-Zwierz et al., 2009). Nucleosomes appear in highly ordered positions after the TSS of expressed genes, while, in contrast, nucleosomal fuzziness (which measures the deviation of each nucleosome position in the cell population) increases in weakly expressed and silent genes (Figures 2B and 2C). ATAC nucleosome-free reads are preferentially distributed in the surroundings of the TSS (Figure 2B). Finally, we also analyzed the distribution of RNA Pol II in Capsaspora genes (Figure S2), showing a strong peak around the TSS. In contrast, C-terminal domain (CTD) S2 phosphorylated RNA polymerase II (RNA Pol II) is distributed along the gene body, consistent with the known association of this S2 phosphorylated RNA Pol II form with transcriptional elongation (Egloff et al., 2012; Eick and Geyer, 2013; Schwer and Shuman, 2011). RNA Pol II coverage is associated with increased gene expression (Figure S2B) and changes dynamically between life stages (Figure S2C).

Next, we integrated these hPTM maps and ATAC nucleosome-free reads in order to predict chromatin states and their genome-wide distribution in Capsaspora, using a hidden Markov model (ChromHMM) (Ernst and Kellis, 2012) (Figure 2D). Overall, we defined seven different chromatin states that preferentially associated with specific genomic features (Figure 2E). For example, state one (defined by H3K36me3) is the most abundant and associates with coding regions and non-first introns (Figure 2E), consistent with the function of H3K36me3 as a transcriptional elongation mark (Dunham et al., 2012). In contrast, state seven corresponds to ATAC nucleosome-free signal, together with H3K4me1, and is strongly enriched around TSS (Figure 2E), corresponding to potential regulatory sites.

Given the absence of known repressive marks in Capsaspora (see Figure 1), we asked whether strongly repressed genes show any particular biochemical signature. Thus, we compared lowly expressed genes (<2 FPKMs) with active genes (Figures 2E and 2F) and observed a particular profile in which H3K4me1 shifts from two flanking peaks to a single post-TSS peak, H3K27ac is spread across the gene body, and both H3K4me3 and H3K36me3 are absent (Figures 2F, S2, and S3). Similarly, we observe a strong enrichment of state four across the gene
body and of state three around TSS. If we specifically select genes with H3K27ac across the gene body (>800 bp from TSS) and post-TSS H3K4me1 peaks (TSS+800 bp), we recover the population of repressed genes (Figure 2G). This signature of repression has never been described in any other organism and might represent a Capsaspora-specific mechanism.

Finally, we evaluated how changes in chromatin features correlate with life stage transitions in Capsaspora. First, we observed that chromatin marks change between life stages, correlating with changes in genes expression (Figures 3A, 3B, and S3). Second, we treated Capsaspora cells with Trichostatin A (TSA), a widely used histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor (Simola et al., 2016), in order to study the role of histone acetylation in the life cycle of Capsaspora. Treatment with 3 μM TSA blocked life cycle transitions, e.g., from cystic to filopodial stage (Figure 3C). As expected when blocking HDACs, TSA induced an increase in histone acetylation levels (Figure 3D). Using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), we also observed that TSA caused a generalized activation of gene expression (Figure 3E). These observations directly link histone modifications with life cycle transitions and gene expression in Capsaspora.

Overall, we obtained high-coverage linear maps of multiple epigenomic features, which show consistent patterns of association with expression states, specific genomic regions and temporal cell-type transitions. These maps allowed us to further systematically dissect functional elements in Capsaspora genome.

**The Origin of Animal Promoter Types**

To understand the evolution of proximal promoter chromatin regulatory signatures, we compared TSS profiles of Capsaspora with different metazoan taxa and Saccharomyces cerevisiae using publicly available ChIP-seq datasets (Figure 4). All species...
show well-positioned post-TSS H3K4me3/H3K27ac peaks correlated with active gene expression. Homo sapiens show a strong bimodal peak, whereas a Drosophila melanogaster and Caenorhabditis elegans show weak bimodality. In comparison, the cnidarian Nematostella vectensis, as well as Capsaspora and Saccharomyces, present sharp unimodal post-TSS H3K4me3/H3K27ac peaks. This difference between bilaterians and others could be related to the presence (bimodality) or absence (unimodality) of anti-sense transcript production from some TSS (Ho et al., 2014). Moreover, H3K36me3 is present in the gene bodies of active genes in all species. Interestingly, H3K4me1 is enriched on both sides of the TSS in animals and Capsaspora. The signal is weaker and less sharp in animals, whereas it is sharp and complementary to the H3K4me3/H3K27ac peak in Capsaspora. In contrast, Saccharomyces has only one post-TSS H3K4me1 peak after the H3K4me3/H3K27ac peak.

The distribution of histone modifications around TSS has been used to define three different promoter types in metazoans (Lenhard et al., 2012). Type I promoters are associated with tissue-specific expression in terminal-differentiated cell types, and they are characterized by fuzzy nucleosomes, strongly positioned H3K4me3 and H3K27ac peaks, and no H3K4me1 and H3K27me3 marks. Type II promoters are found in ubiquitously expressed genes and show strongly positioned nucleosomes and flanking H3K4me1 marks (in addition to post-TSS H3K4me3 and K27ac). Finally, type III promoters, also called bivalent promoters, are associated with developmentally regulated genes and present both activation (H3K4me3) and repression (H3K27me3) marks (Lenhard et al., 2012). Thus, the different configurations observed here are likely to reflect different promoter specification modes. Interestingly, Capsaspora TSS signatures strongly resemble those of animal type II promoters (also called “ubiquitous”), including highly ordered nucleosome positioning (Figure 2). In contrast, no type I (without flanking H3K4me1 and fuzzy nucleosomes) or type III (H3K27me3-regulated developmental promoters) promoters could be identified in Capsaspora. This indicates that type I and type III promoters are animal innovations and related to the emergence of cell-type-specific (type I) and developmental regulation of gene expression (type III).

**Premetazoan Long Intergenic Non-coding RNAs Regulation**

Long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs) are an important component of animal genome regulation (Marques and Ponting, 2014; Ulitsky and Bartel, 2013). lincRNAs exert multiple developmental and cell-type-specific regulatory functions, and their number is greatly expanded in multicellular animals and plants (Gaiti et al., 2015; Kapusta and Feschotte, 2014; Ulitsky and Bartel, 2013). In order to understand the evolution of lincRNAs in the lineage leading to metazoan multicellularity, we used deep strand-specific polyA-enriched RNA-sequencing data to annotate lincRNAs in Capsaspora. After applying multiple filters, we predicted 632 lincRNAs and validated 17 of them by RT-PCR (Figures S4 and S5). This is less than those identified in multicellular animals, but more than those found in yeast (Kapusta and Feschotte, 2014). Capsaspora lincRNAs show dynamic expression (Figure S4A) and have multiple features that differentiate them from coding genes (Figure S4B). Interestingly, we found that predicted Capsaspora lincRNAs can be separated into two populations based on their association with H3K4me1 and H3K27me3 (Figures S4C and S4E), resembling those found in mouse lincRNAs (Marques et al., 2013). Moreover, similar to mouse, these two lincRNA populations show only slight differences in length, expression level, and expression variation (Figure S4D), so the functional significance of these two populations remains unclear. Thus, our data reveal that elaborate lincRNA genome regulation was already present in unicellular premetazoans.

**Accessible Chromatin Landscape of Capsaspora**

Transcription factors and other regulatory proteins bind to discrete DNA sequences, creating nucleosome-depleted areas of high-nuclease/transposase accessibility. We therefore used high-coverage nucleosome-free ATAC reads to identify all active regulatory sites in the Capsaspora genome and study their distribution and cell-type dynamics (Figures 5 and S6). In Capsaspora, 95% of the genome lies within 6.4 kb of one of the 11,927 discrete regulatory sites identified, and 83% of genes are associated with at least one site (Figure 5A). As an estimation of the number of regulatory inputs, we calculated the number of

---

**Figure 2. Genome-Wide Chromatin Annotation in Capsaspora**

(A) Top: TSS-centered average normalized read coverage plots of HPTMs in the filopodial stage for genes with high (green), intermediate (yellow), and low (red) expression levels. The x axis spans –5 to +5 kb around the TSS. The shaded gray area represents the average size of Capsaspora genes. Bottom: scatterplots of HPTMs coverage (log2 normalized reads) compared to mRNA expression levels (log2 fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads [FPKM]).

(B) Heatmaps of ATAC mononucleosome-associated (left) and nucleosome-free (right) reads centered around the TSS of genes sorted by level of expression in the filopodial stage. Right: histogram showing an example of the distribution of ATAC-seq fragment sizes obtained.

(C) Boxplot representing the mean fuzziness score of the first four post-TSS nucleosomes of genes grouped by the level of expression in the filopodial stage. The p value is indicated for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

(D) Heatmaps representing the emission (left) and transition (right) parameters of a seven-state hidden Markov model. In the left heatmap, the white-blue (0–1) scale represents the frequency with which a given mark is found at genomic positions corresponding to the chromatin state. In the right heatmap, the white-blue (0–1) scale represents the frequency with which a given state changes into another state at the neighboring location.

(E) Chromatin signatures in active genes (>2 FPKMs) in the filopodial stage. The plot (left) represents the average normalized read coverage of histone modifications around the TSS of these active genes, and the heatmap (right) indicates the relative percentage of the genome represented by each chromatin state (first column) and relative fold enrichment for different genome features (other columns).

(F) Chromatin signatures in silent genes in the filopodial stage (heatmap and plot as in C).

(G) Boxplot representing the expression levels in the filopodial stage of genes (left) selected for having a significant peak of H3K27ac in the gene body (more than 800 bp from the TSS) and a significant peak of H3K4me1 after the TSS (within 800 bp), and vice versa (right). The p value is indicated for Wilcoxon the rank-sum test.

See also Figures S2 and S4 and Data S1.
Figure 3. Dynamic Chromatin Modifications

(A) Boxplots showing hPTMs coverage levels in differentially expressed genes between stages, as indicated above each boxplot. The p value is indicated for the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

(B) Illustrative examples of dynamic chromatin modifications in Capsaspora. Different genomic windows show normalized coverage for different chromatin features and their dynamic association with gene expression. For each feature, the top track corresponds to the filopodial stage, the middle track to the aggregative stage, and the bottom track to the cystic stage.

(C) Histone deacetylase inhibition experiments. Pictures of Capsaspora cells at different time points of incubation with DMSO (negative control) and TSA 3µM. Transition from cystic to filopodial stage is blocked in the TSA-treated cells. Scale bar, 10 µm.

(D) Western blot against total H3 and H3K27ac on histone extracts from control cells (DMSO) and cells treated with 0.5 and 3 µM TSA. White line indicates a lane was removed.

(E) Gene expression distributions from biological replicates of control (DMSO, gray colors) and TSA-treated (red colors) cells. Notice the decrease in the fraction of non-expressed genes and the general shift in the distribution of TSA-treated cells.

See also Figures S2 and S3.
ATAC-defined regulatory sites per gene. Comparison of the number of associated sites across different types of genes revealed that transcription factors (TFs) are significantly enriched in regulatory sites (Figure 5B). In particular, T-box, bHLH, and bZIP TFs have the largest number of regulatory sites (Figure 5C). In contrast to previous predictions (Sebé-Pedrés and De Mendoza, 2015), this indicates the presence of intricate TF networks in Capsaspora. In addition, these regulatory sites were strongly enriched around TSS, in particular at proximal intergenic regions, first introns, and 5’ UTRs (Figure 5D), and depleted at gene bodies and distal intergenic regions. Interestingly, many of these regulatory sites show dynamic changes in ATAC-seq signal across life stages in Capsaspora (Figure 5E). In particular, 36% are stage specific and only 22% are constitutive in all three stages. Therefore, this specific and primarily proximal regulatory lexicon supports temporal cell-type transitions in Capsaspora and very likely also in the unicellular ancestors of animals.

Ancient Transcription Factor Networks

Capsaspora has a rich repertoire of metazoan-like TFs that are enriched in regulatory sites; however, it is unclear which specific genes are regulated by these TFs. To gain insights into premetazoan TF networks, we used motif analysis of the ATAC-defined regulatory sites. First, we looked for sites potentially bound by Capsaspora-Brachyury, an essential gene for animal gastrulation and mesoderm differentiation and the only TF whose binding site has been experimentally validated in Capsaspora (Sebé-Pedrés et al., 2013a). We found approximately 900 instances of this motif in the regulatory sites, all of them consistently displaying a similar tag density profile (Figure 6A). When compared with the whole population of cis-regulatory elements, these inferred Bra sites are preferentially located at the first intron and 5’ UTR and are predominantly associated with the filopodial amoeba and aggregative stages (Figure 6B). Accordingly, these Capsaspora-Bra sites are also more strongly correlated with the activating marks H3K4me3 and H3K27ac in these two stages (Figure 6C) compared with the cystic stage, and they are also enriched in these active histone marks compared with random Bra motifs found outside ATAC-defined regions (Figure 6D).

In order to validate some of our Bra downstream target predictions, we developed an anti-Capsaspora-Bra (CoBra) antibody (Figures 6E and 6F) and performed ChIP-qPCR experiments. We selected 20 ATAC-defined regulatory sites with Bra motifs (e.g., Figure 6H), including several with our lower limit selection threshold (0.80 Matscan cutoff [Blanco et al., 2006]), and compared them with ten random regions in the genome with strongly conserved Bra motifs (>0.90 Matscan cutoff). The ATAC-defined Bra regulatory sites were strongly enriched in CoBra compared with random motifs (Figure 6G), validating our Bra target prediction approach.

The Capsaspora-Bra downstream target network includes genes involved in establishment of cell polarity, phagocytosis, metabolism, transcription factors, and GPCR signaling genes (Figure 6I). Moreover, we identified 63 shared orthologs between inferred Capsaspora-Bra targets and those known for mouse Brachyury (Lolas et al., 2014). Interestingly, those shared orthologs are enriched in actin cytoskeleton and amoeboidal cell-motility functions (Figure 6J). This suggests that there was a conserved Brachyury downstream target network already present in premetazoan lineages and involved in cell migration, an essential cellular function later used in animal gastrulation.

Next, we performed a blind motif-enrichment analysis of all ATAC-defined sites in order to gain additional information on other TFs. Among the 29 significantly enriched nucleotide motifs, three of them strongly resemble (~90% similarity) known motifs for animal Runx, NFAT/NFkappaB, and Myc TFs. Capsaspora has clear orthologs of these three TFs (Sebé-Pedrés et al., 2011). Assuming that the motifs represent the consensus motifs for these Capsaspora orthologs, this provides evidence of
associations with genomic features and hPTMs (Figures 6 and S7). In particular, *Capsaspora-Myc*, a well-studied proto-oncogene in animals, appears to be strongly associated with regulatory sites that show higher ATAC-seq signal in the filopodial stage (Figure 6L), the proliferative stage in *Capsaspora* (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2013b). These *Capsaspora-Myc* sites are more strongly correlated with the activating marks H3K4me3 and H3K27ac in filopodial and aggregative stages (Figure 6M) compared with the cystic stage, and they are also enriched in these active histone marks compared with random Myc motifs found outside ATAC-defined regions (Figure 6N). Moreover, Myc regulates genes mainly involved in ribosome biogenesis and translation (Figure 6O), similar to what is known for animal Myc networks (van Riggelen et al., 2010).

Interestingly, all TFs analyzed here show an enrichment of other TFs in their inferred downstream networks, reinforcing the idea of relatively complex TF-TF regulatory interactions in *Capsaspora*. The expansion of the TF repertoire at the stem of Metazoa (Sebé-Pedrós and De Mendoza, 2015), both in the total number of genes and of TF families, was probably associated with an increase in complexity of these TF networks. Remarkably, however, the inferred *Capsaspora* TF downstream targets suggest that at least some TF downstream regulatory networks were already conserved in the unicellular ancestor of metazoans and then subsequently remodeled within the animal lineage.

**Distal Enhancers Are Animal Specific**

To address whether there are potential distal enhancer elements in the genome of *Capsaspora*, we compared the regulatory sites defined by ATAC between *Capsaspora* and animals. Regulatory sites in *Capsaspora* are significantly smaller and more uniformly distributed than are sites in *Drosophila* and *Homo sapiens*. The expansion of the TF repertoire at the stem of Metazoa (Sebé-Pedrós and De Mendoza, 2015), both in the total number of genes and of TF families, was probably associated with an increase in complexity of these TF networks. Remarkably, however, the inferred *Capsaspora* TF downstream targets suggest that at least some TF downstream regulatory networks were already conserved in the unicellular ancestor of metazoans and then subsequently remodeled within the animal lineage.
Figure 6. *Capsaspora* Brachyury and Myc Regulation

(A) Plot of ATAC-seq nucleosome-free reads average density around Bra motifs (top) and heatmap of the signal around the individual sites (bottom).

(B) Differential distribution of regulatory sites containing Bra motif compared with all sites according to genomic feature (top) and stage/s in which the site is active (bottom).

(C) Enrichment of different histone modifications (ChIP versus input) at Bra sites across stages.

(D) Enrichment of different histone modifications (ChIP versus input) at Bra sites in ATAC-defined sites compared with motifs occurring randomly in the genome.

(E) Western blot of recombinant Capsaspora-Brachyury protein and Capsaspora nuclear protein extract, using Capsaspora-Brachyury affinity-purified antibody from guinea pig.

(legend continued on next page)
(Figure 7A). This means that regulatory sites in Capsaspora are bound by small numbers of proteins, whereas in animals large assemblies of regulatory factors bind active sites, allowing more complex combinatorial regulation. Comparison of the distribution of regulatory sites across genomic features revealed that distal sites, located at non-first introns and at intergenic regions, are extremely abundant in Homo and Drosophila but rare in Capsaspora (Figure 7B), and even rarer in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Figure S7I) (Bulger and Groudine, 2011). Distal regulatory sites in animals, called enhancer elements, have unique chromatin signatures (Creyghton et al., 2010; Rada-Iglesias et al., 2011), including the presence of H3K4me1 constitutively and H3K27ac when activated. Using ATAC-defined proximal and distal intergenic cis-regulatory sites, we analyzed the read coverage for different histone marks for those sites in Capsaspora. At distal intergenic sites, Capsaspora shows no enrichment of H3K4me1 and H3K27ac compared with H3K4me3, whereas this enrichment is evident in Homo sapiens and Drosophila (Figure 7C). At proximal intergenic sites (800 bp upstream of TSS), H3K4me3 is significantly enriched over H3K4me1, although in Capsaspora this is less marked due to the proximal intergenic H3K4me1 enrichment described above. In all examined sites, H3K36me3 is depleted, as is expected outside gene bodies. Thus, regulatory sites in Capsaspora are mostly proximal, in contrast with the distal regulation observed in animals. Moreover, none of the regulatory sites in Capsaspora have biochemical signatures typical of animal enhancers. This indicates that distal regulation by enhancer elements is an animal evolutionary innovation and, probably, the most important difference in the genomic regulatory capabilities between premetazoans and metazoans.

**DISCUSSION**

In order to understand the evolution of the metazoan regulatory genome, we have here performed the first integrative analysis of the genome regulatory biology of a close unicellular relative of metazoans, the amoeboid filasterean Capsaspora owczarzaki. Indeed, this is the first such analysis of temporal chromatin dynamics in any non-model eukaryote.

We show that histone posttranslational modifications, particularly those in H3 and H4, are highly conserved between Capsaspora and animals and also in other eukaryotes. Furthermore, good correspondence exists between these modifications and the presence/absence of known histone-modifying enzymes in the Capsaspora genome. For example, Capsaspora lacks H3K27me3 Polycomb repression marks, and it also lacks the PRC2 complex proteins, including EZH2, the central methyltransferase of the complex (Margueron and Reinberg, 2011).

We observe that 91.7% of the compact Capsaspora genome (28 Mb) includes regions producing transcripts (protein coding or lincRNAs) and/or regions with particular chromatin signatures and cis-regulatory sites. These signatures and regulatory sites are dynamically associated with life cycle progression and gene expression in Capsaspora and some, particularly active chromatin states, are shared with metazoans.

Our results indicate that Capsaspora has more numerous potential TF-TF regulatory connections than was previously thought, suggesting complex regulatory networks exist. Transcription factor networks tend to be quickly rewired during evolution (Li and Johnson, 2010; Sorrells and Johnson, 2015), and despite this, we find a remarkable degree of conservation between Capsaspora and animals in the downstream networks of orthologous TF that are key to animal multicellularity and development, such as Brachyury and Myc. These findings suggest that core downstream target networks of some developmental TF evolved long before the advent of animal multicellularity (Davidson and Erwin, 2006), controlling behaviors, such as proliferation and cell motility, in the first animal cells. These core conserved TF networks were subsequently integrated into complex developmental programs during animal evolution (Peter and Davidson, 2011).

Capsaspora also has a large repertoire of polyadenylated and, in some cases, alternatively spliced lincRNAs. These lincRNAs have temporal, cell-type-specific expression patterns, and they are associated with chromatin signatures similar to those found in metazoans (Marques et al., 2013). These Capsaspora lincRNAs show no homology with any known metazoan lincRNA, due to the fast evolution of lincRNA genes (Hezroni et al., 2015; Kapusta and Feschotte, 2014), and their functions are currently unknown. Despite this, our results indicate that elaborate genome regulation by long non-coding RNAs is not exclusive to multicellular organisms and was likely present in the protistan ancestors of Metazoa.

In contrast, the most important difference observed between Capsaspora and animal genome regulation is the marginal presence of distal cis-regulatory sites in Capsaspora, together with the absence of particular chromatin signatures associated with animal enhancers. This is in line with what is known in yeast, where regulation is proximal to the TSS (Bulger and Groudine, 2011) and no distal regulatory loops have been identified in genome 3D structure studies (Duan et al., 2010; Tanizawa et al., 2010). This result strongly indicates that distal enhancer elements are a major animal evolutionary innovation and constitute the basis of the sophisticated and highly evolvable gene regulatory program between premetazoans and metazoans.
regulatory landscapes observed in animals (Andersson et al., 2014; Schwaiger et al., 2014; Villar et al., 2014). The emergence of these long-range cis-regulatory elements could also explain the pervasiveness of conserved syntenic regulatory blocks in animal genomes (Irimia et al., 2013) and the absence of these blocks in animal unicellular relatives like Capsaspora (Irimia et al., 2012). Moreover, the observation that cis-regulatory sites in Capsaspora are much smaller than those of animals further indicates that complex combinatorial TF binding appeared after the divergence of animals. Thus, not only did TF numbers expand (de Mendoza et al., 2013) and TF interaction networks became more intricate (Reinke et al., 2013; Sebé-Pedró et al., 2013a) at the stem of Metazoa, but also the combinatorial binding of multiple TFs increased the potential number of developmental regulatory states in animals (Erwin, 2009; Erwin and Davidson, 2009; Peter and Davidson, 2011). Finally, specific promoter types for cell-type-specificity and developmental regulation, defined by chromatin signatures, appear also to be an animal innovation, since Capsaspora only has type II promoters. The emergence of additional promoter architectures in animals allowed distinct groups of genes to be controlled in different ways (Lenhard et al., 2012).

Overall, we reconstruct an evolutionary scenario in which the emergence of specific enhancer and promoter features at the onset of Metazoa, together with the expansion and remodeling of TF networks and non-coding RNA systems, allowed for fine-tuned spatiotemporal control of gene expression. Thus, the increase in regulatory genome complexity was probably a crucial step for the integration of cell types associated with the emergence of animal multicellularity. The precise molecular basis for this regulatory change remains to be determined. However, we hypothesize that it could be associated with the emergence of new chromatin modifying and remodeling enzymes and/or linked to the evolution of mechanisms for long-range genomic interaction and compartmentalization (Tanay and...
Capsaspora cultures

Capsaspora strain ATCC30864 cells were grown axenically in ATCC medium 1034 at 23°C and differentiated as described in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Histone Mass Spectrometry

Capsaspora histones were isolated by acid extraction, derivatized with propionic anhydride, and digested as described in García et al. (2007). Tryptic peptides were analyzed via liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry on an LTQ-Orbitrap Velos Pro mass spectrometer. Peptides were identified using the Mascot search engine.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

ChIP-seq and ChIP-qPCR were performed at three different life stages using antibodies against H3K4me3, H3K4me1, H3K27ac, H3K36me3, RNApolII, and CoBrα as detailed in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures. 50 bp single-end Illumina sequencing reads were aligned to the Capsaspora genome (v.2) using Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009), and regions of enrichment were determined using MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008), correcting for genome mappability. Chromatin state definition and genomic feature enrichment was performed using ChromHMM (Ernst and Kellis, 2012). Chromatin state definitions and genomic feature enrichment analysis were performed using ChromHMM (Ernst and Kellis, 2012).

ATAC-Seq

ATAC-seq was performed as originally described in Buenrostro et al. (2013), using 500,000 cells per cell stage. 50 bp paired-end sequencing reads were aligned to the Capsaspora genome (v.2) using Bowtie. Nucleosome-free reads were used to define cis-regulatory sites using MACS2. The blind TF motif enrichment analysis was performed in these sites using Homer (Heinz et al., 2010). Mononucleosomal reads were used to define nucleosome positions and fuzziness using Danpos2 (Chen et al., 2013).

lincRNA Annotation

High-coverage RNA-seq data were used for de novo annotation Capsaspora lincRNAs as detailed in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
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**A**

- **H2A** and **H2AZ**
- **H2A-MMT** and **H2A-H3R**
- **H2A variant** and **H2B**

**B**

- **MHSKmA1**
- **H3K7ac**
- **H3K28me3**

(legend on next page)
Figure S1. Identification of Histone Modifications in Capsaspora, Related to Figure 1

(A) Histone N-terminal tail sequences of Capsaspora with all identified post-translational modifications and their location. A quotation mark indicates the impossibility of reliably assigning a modification to one or another of a pair of neighboring residues.

(B) Representative MSMS analysis of modified peptides from H3 (from top to bottom): K4me3 (TK(me3)QTAR); K4me1 (TK(me)QTAR); K27ac (K(ac) TAVTSGVWKPHR); K36me3 (KTAVTSGV(me3)KPHR). The b- and y-ion series are represented in red and blue, respectively. Non-fragmented precursor peptides are shown in green.
Figure S2. RNApolII ChIP-Seq Experiments, Related to Figure 2

(A) TSS-centered average normalized read coverage plots for RNApolII in the filopodial stage, using three different antibodies: 8WG16 (which preferentially recognizes unphosphorylated RNApolII), CTD4H8 (which recognizes both phospho- and unphosphorylated RNApolII) and S2P (which recognizes S2P-CTD phosphorylated RNApolII, the form associated to transcriptional elongation). The x axis spans -5Kb to +5Kb around the TSS. Shaded gray area represents the average size of Capsaspora genes.

(B) Scatterplots of RNApolII coverage (log2 normalized reads) compared to mRNA expression levels (log2 FPKMs) in the filopodial stage.

(C) Illustrative examples of RNApolII dynamic changes. Different genomic windows showing normalized coverage for different chromatin features. For each feature, the top track corresponds to filopodial stage, middle track to aggregative stage and bottom track to cystic stage.
Figure S3. Additional Illustrative Examples of Dynamic Chromatin Modifications in Capsaspora, Related to Figure 3
Different genomic windows showing normalized coverage for different chromatin features and their dynamic association with gene expression. For each feature, the top track corresponds to filopodial stage, middle track to aggregative stage and bottom track to cystic stage.
Figure S4. Capsaspora lincRNA Populations Defined by Chromatin Marks, Related to Figure 2
(A) Heatmap showing clustered lincRNA expression (RPKM) across replicates of each stage. Only significantly differentially expressed lincRNAs (DESeq FDR < 0.05) are represented.

(B) Characteristics of lincRNA loci compared with coding protein genes, including exon number distribution (top left), GC content (bottom left), length (top right), level of expression (middle right) and coefficient of variation in expression between stages and replicates (bottom right).

(C) Heatmaps showing average read normalized coverage of four different histone modifications along lincRNA loci.

(D) Characteristics of H3K4me1 versus H3K4me3 marked lincRNA loci.

(E) Illustration of the genomic location of a lincRNA locus and normalized read coverage of histone modifications ChIP-seq.

(F) RT-PCR validation of CUFF.777 lincRNA, revealing the existence of 3 isoforms. The minus sign indicates the negative control performed using RNA without reverse transcription to check for genomic DNA contamination.
Figure S5. RT-PCR Validation of lincRNAs, Related to Figure S6
Each panel shows the result of a PCR over poly-A-selected cDNA for each stage, as well as a control sample for genomic contamination (a cross-stages pool of the original non-retrotranscribed RNAs). The name of the lincRNA locus and the predicted size is indicated below each image. Cases of splicing-event validation are indicated schematically. An asterisk indicates that the observed sizes are smaller than predicted.
Figure S6. Examples of ATAC Profiling of Regulatory Sites, Related to Figure 5

(A and B) Different genomic windows (size indicated above) showing normalized coverage for different chromatin features and their dynamic association with gene expression. For each feature, the top track corresponds to filopodial stage, middle track to aggregative stage and bottom track to cystic stage. Significant peaks of ATAC nucleosome-free reads are highlighted.
Figure S7. Capsaspora Runx and NFAT/NFκB Regulatory Networks, Related to Figures 6 and 7

(A) Plot of ATAC-seq nucleosome-free average signal density around Runx motifs (top) and heatmap of signal around individual sites (bottom).

(B) Differential distribution of regulatory sites containing Runx motif compared with all sites according to genomic feature (top) and stage/s where the site is active (bottom).

(C) Enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways among genes associated with Runx regulatory sites.

(D) Enrichment of different histone modifications (ChIP versus input) at Runx motifs in ATAC-defined sites compared with motifs occurring randomly in the genome (left) and at Runx sites across stages (right).

(E) Plot of ATAC-seq nucleosome-free average signal density around NFAT/NFκB motifs (top) and heatmap of signal around individual sites (bottom).

(F) Differential distribution of regulatory sites containing NFAT/NFκB motif compared with all sites according to genomic feature (top) and stage/s where the site is active (bottom).

(G) Enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways among genes associated with NFAT/NFκB regulatory sites.

(H) Enrichment of different histone modifications (ChIP versus input) at NFAT/NFκB motifs in ATAC-defined sites compared with motifs occurring randomly in the genome (left) and at NFAT/NFκB sites across stages (right).

(I) Regulatory Site Distribution in Capsaspora and yeast. Genomic feature distribution of ATAC-defined regulatory sites in Capsaspora compared with the distribution of transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) extracted from UCSC (with evidence support > 2). In the left pie chart, TFBS at < 50bp of distance were merged.
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Supplemental Experimental Procedures

Cell Culture and Differentiation Conditions

Capsaspora strain ATCC30864 cells were grown axenically in 5 ml flasks with ATCC medium 1034 (modified PYNFH medium) in an incubator at 23ºC (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2013a). Filopodial stage cells were obtained by initiating a new 1/100 sub-culture (from an approximately $5 \times 10^6$ cells/ml initial culture) and, after 3–4 days, cells were harvested. Aggregative stage was induced by initiating a new 1/250 sub-culture (from an approximately $5 \times 10^6$ cells/ml initial culture) and by gentle agitation at 60 rpm during 4–5 days. Finally, cystic stage cells were obtained from a 14-day-old culture, starting from a new 1/100 sub-culture (from an approximately $5 \times 10^6$ cells/ml initial culture).

Histone Extraction and Analysis of Histone Modifications.

Capsaspora owczarzaki cells were centrifuged at 8000g for 10 min and washed once with cold PBS. The pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of lysis buffer (10 mM TrisHCl pH 6.5, 50 mM Natrium-Bisulfite, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM MgCl2, 8.6% Sucrose, 10 mM Na-Butyrate, plus protease and phosphatase inhibitors and 0.5 mM DTT). The cell lysate was centrifuged at 14000g for 15 seconds and the supernatant was discarded. This process was repeated twice. Next, the pellet was washed once in 1 ml wash buffer (10mM TrisHCl pH 7.4, 13mM EDTA, 10mM Na-Butyrate, plus protease and phosphatase inhibitors), resuspended in 150μl of H2SO4 0.4N and incubated 1h at 4ºC. After centrifugation at 14000g for 5 min, the supernatant was recovered and histones precipitated ON at -20ºC, adding 1350 μl of cold acetone. The mixture was centrifuged at 14000g for 10 min and the histone pellet air dried for 10 min, before suspending it in 50 μl of water.

Histones were quantified by the BCA (Bicinchoninic acid assay) method and 10mg of each sample were derivatized with propionic anhydride, digested with trypsin and derivatized again with propionyl anhydride as described before (Garcia et al., 2007b). Briefly, samples were dissolved in 30 mL of 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate and 0.5 mL of ammonium hydroxide was added to bring the pH between 7 and 9. The propionyl anhydride was prepared by adding 25 mL of propionic anhydride to 75 mL of anhydrous isopropanol. 15 mL of propionic anhydride was added to the samples and immediately 8 mL of ammonium hydroxide were added to maintain the pH at around 8 and samples were incubated at 37ºC for 15 minutes. Samples were vacuum dried and the propionylation procedure repeated. Dried samples were dissolved in 100 mL of 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate and digested 6h at 37ºC with 0.5 mg of trypsin. The digestion was quenched adding 10 mL of glacial acetic acid, vacuum centrifuged and pH adjusted again between 7 and 9. The propionylation procedure was repeated twice. Samples
were vacuum dried and desalted with C18 ultramicrospin columns (The Nest Group Inc, Southborough, MA).

A 2-μg aliquot of the peptide mixture was analyzed using a LTQ-Orbitrap Velos Pro mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) coupled to a nano-LC (Proxeon, Odense, Denmark) equipped with a reversed-phase chromatography 12 cm column with an inner diameter of 75 μm, packed with 3 μm C18 particles (Nikkyo Technos, Japan) with both collision induced dissociation (CID) and high energy collision dissociation (HCD) fragmentation. Chromatographic gradients started at 3% buffer B with a flow rate of 300 nL/min and gradually increased to 10% buffer B in 1 min and to 35% buffer B in 30 min. After each analysis, the column was washed for 10 min with 90% buffer B (Buffer A: 0.1% formic acid in water. Buffer B: 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile). The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ionization mode with nanospray voltage set at 2.2 kV and source temperature at 300 °C. Ultramark 1621 for the FT mass analyzer was used for external calibration prior the analyses. The background polysiloxane ion signal at m/z 445.1200 was used as lock mass. The instrument was operated in data-dependent acquisition (DDA) mode, and full MS scans with 1 microscan at resolution of 60 000 were used over a mass range of m/z 350–2000 with detection in the Orbitrap. Auto gain control (AGC) was set to 1e6, dynamic exclusion was set at 30 s, and the charge-state filter disqualifying singly charged peptides for fragmentation was activated. Following each survey scan, the 20 (CID) or the 10 (HCD) most intense ions with multiple charged ions above a threshold ion count of 5000 (CID) or 10000 (HCD) were selected for fragmentation at normalized collision energy of 35%. Fragment ion spectra produced via CID were acquired in the linear ion trap and the produced via HCD were acquired in the Orbitrap, AGC was set to 1e4 (CID) or 4e4 (HCD) and isolation window of 2.0 m/z, activation time of 10 ms (CID) or 0.1ms (HCD), and maximum injection time of 100 ms were used. All data were acquired with Xcalibur software v2.2. Data Analysis. Acquired data were analyzed using the Proteome Discoverer software suite (v1.3.0.339, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the Mascot search engine (v2.3, Matrix Science) (Perkins et al., 1999) was used for peptide identification. Data were searched against a Capsaspora protein database derived from the Broad Institute plus the most common contaminants (total of 9407 sequences). A precursor ion mass tolerance of 7 ppm at the MS1 level was used, and up to three missed cleavages for trypsin were allowed. The fragment ion mass tolerance was set to 0.5 Da (CID) or 20 mmu (HCD). Dimethyl lysine, trimethyl lysine, propionyl lysine and propionyl + methyl lysine were defined as variable modification. Propionylation on N-terminal was set as a fix modification. The identified peptides were filtered by mascot ion score higher than 20. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD002342.
Identified histone modifications were compared with known modifications (identified by similar methods) in homologous residues in other organisms, including *Homo sapiens* (Garcia et al., 2007a; Robin et al., 2007), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Garcia et al., 2007a; Krebs, 2007; Millar et al., 2006), *Tetrahymena thermophila* (Bonenfant et al., 2006; Garcia et al., 2007a; Medzirradzky et al., 2004; Wei et al., 1998), *Plasmodium falciparum* (Nardelli et al., 2013; Trelle et al., 2009) and *Trypanosoma brucei* (Cross, 2008; Mandava et al., 2008).

**Reannotation of *Capsaspora owczarzaki* Genome.**

Nine different RNA-seq experiments (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2013a), obtained over 2 lanes HiSeq 2000 instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), were pooled, representing 197M 76-base strand-specific paired reads and a high depth of coverage (>1000x) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample/?term=txid595528%5BOrganism:noexp%5D). Genome re-annotation was performed using the PASA pipeline (Haas et al., 2003) as described here: http://pasapipeline.github.io/. In brief, a genome-guided *de novo* transcriptome assembly was generated using Trinity (Haas et al., 2013), with the Jaccard_clip option. This assembly was used in the PASA pipeline, with default options, to perform an incremental annotation over *Capsaspora* v3 annotation (https://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/genome/multicellularity_project/download/?sp=EAT ranscriptsGtf&sp=SC_owczarzaki_V2&sp=S.zip). UTR annotation was significantly improved, both in terms of length and also number of genes with annotated UTRs (from 40% to 83.3% in the case of 5'UTRs and from 31.2% to 77.6% in the case of 3'UTRs). Consequently, this resulted in an increment of the accuracy of Transcription Start Site (TSS) and intergenic regions delimitation (Supplementary File 1).

**Histone Deacetylase Inhibition Experiments**

For the life stage transition assay, 300µl of a 1/100 dilution of a *Capsaspora* culture in cystic stage were plated in 400ul of fresh ATCC medium 1034 in glass-bottom dish. Immediately, 3µM Trichostatin A (TSA, #T8552, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and the equivalent volume of DMSO (negative control) were added to the cells. Cells were observed at 12h, 18h, and 24h in an inverted microscope with a 63X objective (Zeiss Axio Observer Z.1, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

For the histone acetylation assay, histones were extracted as described above (acid extraction method) from TSA (0.5 µM and 3 µM) and DMSO treated cells. 5 µg of histones per lane were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Histones were probed with antibodies against total H3 (1:2000, #ab1791, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and H3K27ac (1:1000, #07-360, Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Proteins of were detected with HRP-
conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:20000, #12-348, Millipore) and visualized with Supersignal WestPico chemiluminescent substrate (#34078, ThermoScientific, Rockford, IL). For the RNA-seq experiments, Capsaspora filopodial stage cells were cultured as described above and incubated with DMSO (negative control) and 3 µM TSA during 24h in a 23°C incubator. Two replicate experiments were performed per each condition. Total RNA from each condition (and from two replicates of each condition) was extracted using Trizol reagent (#15596018, ThermoScientific). Four strand-specific libraries (one per sample) were sequenced over 1 lane of an Illumina HiSeq2000 instrument in the Genomics Unit at the Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG). We obtained around 35M paired-end 50bp reads per sample. Reads were aligned to the reference genome using TopHat (Trapnell et al., 2012a) with default options. Transcript abundances were quantified using kallisto (Bray et al., 2015).

Capsaspora-Brachyury Antibody Production

An epitope near the C-terminal region of Capsaspora-Brachyury was used as antigen for antibody production (QQPVSLVQSMQPGQPQSMPQQPIQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQLGQYAAQTNVLPYGQPQMVDRRVFYEQQPQLQQQQQLQPLQQQQQLQPLQR). The polypeptide was produced by the Biomolecular Screening and Protein Technologies Unit of the CRG (cloned in pETM44 with the His-MBP-tag, expressed in E.coli, cut the tag with PreScission Protease, purified by exclusion chromatography). The polyclonal antibody was produced in guinea-pig (one animal) by Rockland-TebuBio (conjugated with KLH and 3mg of antigen injected). The serum corresponding to the terminal bleed was affinity-purified against the antigen by the Biomolecular Screening and Protein Technologies Unit of the CRG. The antibody was validated by western blot and immunostaining.

For Western blot, we used Capsaspora nuclear protein extracts and recombinant Capsaspora-Brachyury protein. Nuclear proteins were extract from 5x10e9 cells, collected by centrifugation at 6,000g 10 min. This pellet was resuspended in 12ml Nuclear Extraction Buffer (10mM Hepes-KOH pH7.9, 1.5mM MgCl2, 10mM KCl, 0.2% NP-40, 0.5mM DTT, and protease and phosphatase inhibitors), incubated 10min on ice, and centrifuged at 5000g 5 min at 4°C. The pellet of nuclei was lysed for 10min on ice in 2ml Lysis Buffer (50mM Tris-HCl pH8.8, 300mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 5mM EDTA, 1mM EGTA, 1% NP-40, 1mM MgCl2, 1mM CaCl2, 1mM DTT, and protease and phosphatase inhibitors), then sonicated (3 pulses of 15 sec, 10% amplitude) using a Branson Digital Sonifier, and centrifuged 20,000g 20min at 4°C. Capsaspora-Brachyury protein was expressed with a His-tag in E.coli and purified by affinity chromatography (Nickel resin) in denaturing conditions by the Biomolecular Screening and Protein Technologies Unit of the CRG. 30 µg of nuclear proteins and 3.5 µg of Capsaspora-
Brachyury recombinant protein were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Proteins were probed with the anti-\textit{Capsaspora}-Brachyury antibody (1\,\mu g/ml) and detected with HRP-conjugated goat anti-guinea pig IgG antibody (1:5000, #PA128679, ThermoScientific) and visualized with Supersignal WestPico chemiluminescent substrate (#34078, ThermoScientific).

For the immunostaining validation of the antibody, filopodial stage cells were grown on coverslips and fixed for 5 min with 6\% acetone and for 5 min with 4\% formaldehyde. The coverslips were washed gently four times with PBS1x, incubated for 30 min in blocking solution (1\% BSA, 0.3\% Triton X-100 in PBS1x), incubated overnight in primary antibody solution (1\mu g in 100\mu of blocking solution), and washed four times in blocking solution. After that, samples were incubated 1h in the dark with alexa-488 goat anti-guinea pig (1:1000, #A11073, ThermoScientific). and washed again four times (now with PBS1x). To visualize F-actin, samples were incubated for 15 min in the dark with Phalloidin Texas Red (1:100, #T7471, ThermoScientific), washed twice with PBS, and incubated for 20 min with DAPI (1:100), to visualize the nucleus. After two final washes with PBS1x, coverslips were mounted onto slides with Fluorescent Mounting Media (4 \muL; Prolong Gold Antifade, #P36930, ThermoScientific). Images were taken with a 63x oil immersion objective on a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope (Leica-Microsystems, Vienna, Austria).

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Assays**

Cells were crosslinked in 1\% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature (RT). Crosslinking was quenched with 0.125 M glycine for 5 min RT. Pelleted cells were lysed in Lysis buffer I (10 mM HEPES.KOH pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, 0.2 \% NP40, plus protease and phosphatase inhibitors and 0.5 mM DTT), incubated on ice for 10 minutes and centrifuged at 8000g 10 min to pellet the nuclei. Nuclei were resuspended in Lysis buffer II (1\% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM tris ClH pH 8.1 plus protease and phosphatase inhibitors), incubated on ice for 10 min and sonicated for 15 min (15 cycles, each one 30sec “on”, 30 sec “off”) in a Bioruptor (Diagenode, Seraing, Belgium) in order to generate 200bp fragments. Optimal sonication conditions were previously set up by testing a range of sonication cycles (from 3 to 24), determining that 15 cycles was the optimal.

An amount of chromatin equivalent to 40 \mu g of DNA was used per ChIP. Antibodies used were: anti-H3K4me3 (#pAb-003-050, Diagenode), anti-H3K4me1 (#ab8895, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-H3K36me3 (#ab9050, Abcam), and anti-H3K27Ac (#07-360, Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Immunocomplexes were recovered with Protein A-Agarose Beads (Diagenode). Immunoprecipitated material was washed once with low salt washing buffer (0.1\% SDS, 1\% Triton x100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris HCl pH8, 150 mM NaCl) and twice with high salt
buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton x100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris HCl pH 8, 500 mM NaCl). DNA complexes were eluted 30 min at 65°C (Elution buffer: 1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO3), decrosslinked ON at 65°C, treated with proteinase K and purified using MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Libraries of immunoprecipitated and input DNA were prepared using the NEBNext DNA sample prep reagent Set 1 kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. These libraries were sequenced over 1 lane of an Illumina HiSeq2000 instrument in the Genomics Unit at the CRG. We obtained between 10 and 20 M single-end 50bp reads per sample (Supplemental Table S1).

Bra-ChIP was performed as described above, with the only modifications of higher input chromatin (60 µg) and High Salt Washing Buffer containing 250 mM NaCl instead of 500 mM. The immunoprecipitated DNA fragments were analyzed by quantitative PCR (ChIP-qPCR) using SYBR Green I PCR Master Mix (Roche) and the Roche LightCycler 480. Primer sequences are available upon request. The fold enrichment of the target sequence in the immunoprecipitated material compared with the input (% of input) was calculated using the comparative Ct method (Frank et al., 2001).

For RNApolIII ChIP-seq experiments, cells were crosslinked in 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature (RT). Crosslinking was quenched with 0.125 M glycine for 5 min RT. Pelleted cells were resuspended in Lysis/Sonication buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 140 mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% Na-deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS plus protease and phosphatase inhibitors), incubated on ice for 10 minutes and sonicated for 14 min (14 cycles, each one 30 sec “on”, 30 sec “off”) in a Bioruptor (Diagenode). ChIPs assays were performed as previously described (Stock et al., 2007) with some modifications. An amount of chromatin equivalent to 60 µg of DNA was used per ChIP. Antibodies used were: anti-RNAPII [8WG16] (Abcam, ab817), anti-RNAPII [CTD4H8] (Biolegend/Covance, 904001), anti-RNAPII Phospho-S2 (Abcam, ab5095). Immunocomplexes were recovered with Dynabeads Protein G or A (Novex) for mouse or rabbit IgG antibodies respectively. Beads were then washed once with Lysis/Sonication buffer, once with Wash Buffer A (50 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 500 mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% Na-deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS), once with Wash Buffer B (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 250 mM LiCl, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate) and twice with TE (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). DNA complexes were eluted 30 min at 65°C (Elution buffer: 1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO3), decrosslinked ON at 65°C, treated with proteinase K and purified using QIAgen PCR Purification Kit. The immunoprecipitated DNA was processed in the Ultracequencing Unit at the CRG and subjected to deep sequencing using the illumina HiSeq2000 sequencer.
**ATAC-seq**

ATAC-seq was performed as originally described by Buenrostro et al. (2013) (Buenrostro et al., 2013). In brief, for each stage, 500,000 cells were collected and nuclei were obtained as described above. Nuclei were resuspended in 22.5 μl of water, 25 μl of 2x TD Buffer and 2.5 μl of Tn5 Transposase from the Nextera DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Transposed DNA was purified using MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) and immediately we performed 12 cycles of PCR amplification, using the following primers: Forward: AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTG and Reverse: CAAGCAGAAGACCGCATACGAGATNNNNNGTCTCGGCTCGGAGATGT (N indicates barcode nucleotides). Amplified libraries were purified using MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) and quantified using Qubit fluorimeter (Life Technologies, San Francisco, CA). The quality and profile of the libraries was analysed using Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA), in order to select only those with nucleosomal periodicity signal as an indicative of successful transposition reaction. We sequenced 2 replicates for the filopodial stage, 2 for the aggregative stage and 1 for the cystic stage. We obtained in total 140M 50bp paired-end reads over 2 lanes of an Illumina HiSeq2000 instrument in the Genomics Unit at the CRG.

**ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq Data Analysis**

ChIP-seq and input reads were mapped into the *Capsaspora* reference genome using Bowtie v1.1.1 (Langmead et al., 2009) with -v 1 -m 1 parameters (single mapping and 1 or 0 mismatches). Duplicates reads were removed using samtools v1.1 (Li et al., 2009). Peak calling was performed using MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) with --nomodel, --shiftsize 100, -n 24500000 (genome mappability) and a q-value threshold of 0.01; except for H3K36me3 samples, for which additionally used --broad parameter and a q-value threshold of 0.05. Genome mappability was estimated using the gem-mappability function from the GEM library (http://algorithms.cnag.cat/wiki/The_GEM_library).

ATAC-seq reads were mapped into the *Capsaspora* reference genome using Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009) with -v 1 -m 1 and -X2000 (only fragments up to 2Kb are aligned) parameters. Replicate samples of the same cell stage (for the filopodial stage, and aggregative stage) were pooled as high correlation (R>0.98) between them was observed. Duplicates reads were removed using samtools v1.1 (Li et al., 2009). Read start sites were corrected to account for the 9 bp insert between adaptors introduced by Tn5 transposases (Buenrostro et al., 2013): all reads aligning to the plus strand were offset by +4 bp, and all reads aligning to the minus strand were...
offset -5 bp. Finally, reads were classified into nucleosomal free reads (paired-read distance <100bp) and mononucleosomal reads (pair-read distance between 150 and 240bp), representing single nucleosomes.

Nucleosomal free reads were used to identify cis-regulatory sites. Peak calling was performed using MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) with the following parameters: -g 24500000 -q 0.01 --extsize 40 --call-summits --nomodel. Peaks from different samples were merged using Bedtools (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) to generate the final dataset of 11927 peaks (Supplemental Table 1). The *Capsaspora-Brachyury* binding motif, determined using a Protein Binding Microarray (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2013b), was scanned in these peaks using Matscan (Blanco et al., 2006), with a cut-off of 0.80. *De novo* motif enrichment analysis of the ATAC-defined regulatory sites was performed using HOMER software (Heinz et al., 2010), with default parameters, except -size given. The predicted binding sites for *Capsaspora* Myc, Runx and NFAT/NFkappaB (>0.85 similarity with metazoan orthologs binding site) were scanned in the ATAC-defined regulatory sites using the HOMER-defined cut-off. Gene ontology (GO) functional enrichment analyses were calculated using Ontologizer (Bauer et al., 2008) using the Topology-Weighted method and a p-value cut-off of 0.01. The gene ontology of 8,637 *Capsaspora* genes generated in Sebé-Pedrós et al. (2013) (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2013a) was used. Additionally, a KEGG pathway annotation of all *Capsaspora* genes was generated using the WebMGA (Wu et al., 2011) and KEGG pathway enrichments were calculated using Hoea (http://hoea.sourceforge.net/).

Mononucleosomal reads were used to define nucleosome positions and fuzziness using Danpos2 'Dpos' function (Chen et al., 2013), with -a 1 -p 1 -m 1 parameters. Chromatin states across the genome were defined using ChromHMM (Ernst and Kellis, 2012) with default parameters, except for binary size of 10bp. We analysed four chromatin marks plus the nucleosomal-free fraction of ATAC-seq. We tested ChromHMM with different *a priori* defined states (from 4 to 20) and chose seven states as the best number to maximize informative features (i.e. different chromatin marks and their unique combinations) with minimal redundancy. The seven ChromHMM defined states were used to calculate the percentage of the genome occupied by any mark. State enrichment in different genomic features was calculated dividing the percentage of nucleotides occupied by a particular state in a particular genomic feature by the percentage of nucleotides that this genomic feature represents in all genome.

bedGraph coverage files were obtained from normalized alignment files, using Bedtools genomeCoverageBed tool (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) with -bg option (and -split option in the case of RNA-seq data), and loaded into Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) (Robinson et al., 2011) for visualization.

Transcription Start Site (TSS) read coverage profiles and heatmaps, as well as transcription factor motif-centered tag density profiles and heatmaps, were calculated using ngs.plot (Shen et
We restricted our TSS profile analyses to tail-to-head oriented genes, to avoid the potential impact of gene orientation in the analysis of chromatin states, given the compact genome of *Capsaspora*. Bedtools intersectBed tool (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) was used to calculate overlaps between peaks and chromatin states with the different genomic features, as well as to assign each cis-regulatory site to a particular gene.

**Cross-species Comparison**

Available ChIP-seq datasets for different species and for H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K27Ac, H3K36me3 and the corresponding input files, were used to compare with the *Capsaspora* data obtained in this study. In the case of *Homo sapiens*, ENCODE experiments (https://www.encodeproject.org/experiments/) corresponding to cell line GM12878 and the hg19 reference genome were used. modENCODE experiments (http://data.modencode.org/) corresponding to larval stage L3 and the ce6 reference genome were used for *Caenorhabditis elegans*. modENCODE experiments (http://data.modencode.org/) corresponding to mixed adults and the dm3 reference genome were used for *Drosophila melanogaster*. Data from Schwaiger et al. (2014) (Schwaiger et al., 2014) (GSE46488, GEO accession number) corresponding to gastrula stage and the Nemvec1 reference genome were used for *Nematostella vectensis*. Finally, data from Weiner et al. (2015) (Weiner et al., 2015) (GSE61888, GEO accession number) corresponding to mid-log phase strain BY4741 yeast cells and the SacCer3 reference genome were used for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. For each species, RNA-seq data from the same sample type was used to classify genes according to expression and the TSS of the longest isoform for each gene were used for TSS profile analyses. Finally, ATAC-based cis-regulatory sites defined in *Homo sapiens* by Buenrostro et al. (2013) (Buenrostro et al., 2013) and those defined in *Drosophila melanogaster* by Davie et al. (2015) (Davie et al., 2015) were used to compare with *Capsaspora*.

**lincRNA Annotation, Validation and Analysis**

Two complementary approaches were used to identify candidate lincRNAs. The first one was based on the Tophat-Cufflinks pipeline (Trapnell et al., 2012a). We used our pooled 197M strand-specific paired reads RNA-seq dataset (see above) and aligned it to the *Capsaspora* reference genome using Tophat2 with default parameters (except --min-intron-length 30). Aligned reads were assembled into transcripts using Cufflinks2.1.1 with -u, --min-intron-length 30, --max-intron-length 2000 and --intron-overhang-tolerance 30 parameters and with the improved *Capsaspora* gene annotation (see above) as reference (-g). Only newly assembled transcripts were considered and TBLASTX against the predicted *Capsaspora* proteome (e-value < e-3) was used to verify these were non-previously annotated transcripts.
The second approach was based on de novo genome-guided Trinity assembly and the PASA pipeline (see above) (Haas et al., 2003, 2013). We used both programs with default parameters, except --MAX_INTRON_LENGTH 2000 (in order to, like in Cufflinks, minimize over fusion of transcripts). TBLASTX against the predicted Capsaspora proteome (e-value < e-3) was used to filter out transcripts representing previously known genes.

Both populations (from Cufflinks and from TrinityGG+PASA) were pooled an a series of filters were applied:

1. First, we filtered out transcripts intersecting any annotated Capsaspora gene using Bedtools intersectBed tool (Quinlan and Hall, 2010).
2. We selected only transcripts above 200bp in length.
3. We performed TBLASTX against NCBI non-redundant database and against the transcriptomes and genomes of several closely related species (including 2 choanoflagellates, Salpingoeca rosetta and Monosiga brevicollis; one other filasterean, Ministeria vibrans; and 6 ichthyosporeans; Sphaeroforma arctica, Ichthyophonous hoferi, Pirum gemmata, Amoebidium parasiticum, Abeoforma whistleri, Creolimax fragrantissima and Corallochytrium limacisporum) and selected only those transcripts that did not retrieve any significant hit (e-value < e-3).
4. We analyzed the remaining transcripts with RfamScan_2, using Rfam 11 database (Burge et al., 2013), in order to filter out (threshold < e-5) those transcripts corresponding other types of known ncRNAs (like tRNAs or ribosomal RNAs).
5. Next, we used Coding Potential Calculator (CPC) (Kong et al., 2007) to discard transcripts suspected to have coding potential (coding potential score < -0.5).
6. Finally, we collapsed the transcripts into single loci using Cuffcompare (Trapnell et al., 2012b) and further discarded those potential lincRNAs with an expression level below 1 RPKMs (See below).

These resulted in 632 predicted lincRNA loci (Supplementary File 2 and 3). To validate lincRNA predictions, the three stages were induced (see above) and RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Life Technologies). To eliminate genomic DNA, total RNA was treated with DNase I (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and purified using RNeasy columns (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). Polyadenylated RNA was enriched from total RNA using Poly(A)Purist MAG kit (Life Technologies). For each stage, cDNA was produced from 50ng of polyA-enriched RNA using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies). PCR was performed using ExpandTaq polymerase (Roche), using 45 cycles and a melting temperature of 65°C.

The expression levels (RPKMs) of each lincRNA was calculated using bamutils 'count' function, from the NGSUtilities suite (Breese and Liu, 2013). Differentially expressed lincRNA (included in Figure S4A) were identified using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) with a p-adjusted cut-off of 0.01.
Supplemental References

Bauer, S., Grossmann, S., Vingron, M., and Robinson, P.N. (2008). Ontologizer 2.0—a multifunctional tool for GO term enrichment analysis and data exploration. Bioinformatics 24, 1650–1651.

Bonenfant, D., Coulot, M., Towbin, H., Schindler, P., and van Oostrum, J. (2006). Characterization of histone H2A and H2B variants and their post-translational modifications by mass spectrometry. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 5, 541–552.

Bray, N.L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P., and Pachter, L. (2015). Near-optimal RNA-Seq quantification. arXiv.

Breese, M.R., and Liu, Y. (2013). NGSUtils: a software suite for analyzing and manipulating next-generation sequencing datasets. Bioinformatics 29, 494–496.

Burge, S.W., Daub, J., Eberhardt, R., Tate, J., Barquist, L., Nawrocki, E.P., Eddy, S.R., Gardner, P.P., and Bateman, A. (2013). Rfam 11.0: 10 years of RNA families. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, D226–D232.

Cross, G.A.M. (2008). Histone modifications in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 156, 41–50.

Davie, K., Jacobs, J., Atkins, M., Potier, D., Christiaens, V., Halder, G., and Aerts, S. (2015). Discovery of Transcription Factors and Regulatory Regions Driving In Vivo Tumor Development by ATAC-seq and FAIRE-seq Open Chromatin Profiling. PLOS Genet. 11, e1004994.

Frank, S.R., Schroeder, M., Fernandez, P., Taubert, S., and Amati, B. (2001). Binding of c-Myc to chromatin mediates mitogen-induced acetylation of histone H4 and gene activation. Genes Dev. 15, 2069–2082.

Garcia, B. a, Hake, S.B., Diaz, R.L., Kauer, M., Morris, S. a, Recht, J., Shabanowitz, J., Mishra, N., Strahl, B.D., Allis, C.D., et al. (2007a). Organismal differences in post-translational modifications in histones H3 and H4. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 7641–7655.

Haas, B.J., Delcher, A.L., Mount, S.M., Wortman, J.R., Smith Jr, R.K., Hannick, L.I., Maiti, R., Ronning, C.M., Rusch, D.B., Town, C.D., et al. (2003). Improving the Arabidopsis genome annotation using maximal transcript alignment assemblies. Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 5654–5666.

Haas, B.J., Papanicolaou, A., Yassour, M., Grabherr, M., Blood, P.D., Bowden, J., Couger, M.B., Eccles, D., Li, B., Lieber, M., et al. (2013). De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. Nat. Protoc. 8, 1494–1512.

Heinz, S., Benner, C., Spann, N., Bertolino, E., Lin, Y.C., Laslo, P., Cheng, J.X., Murre, C., Singh, H., and Glass, C.K. (2010). Simple Combinations of Lineage-Determining Transcription Factors Prime cis-Regulatory Elements Required for Macrophage and B Cell Identities. Mol. Cell 38, 576–589.
Kong, L., Zhang, Y., Ye, Z.-Q., Liu, X.-Q., Zhao, S.-Q., Wei, L., and Gao, G. (2007). CPC: assess the protein-coding potential of transcripts using sequence features and support vector machine. Nucleic Acids Res. 35, W345–W349.

Krebs, J.E. (2007). Moving marks: dynamic histone modifications in yeast. Mol. Biosyst. 3, 590–597.

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol. 10, R25.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and Subgroup, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25 2078–2079.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-Seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15, 1–21.

Mandava, V., Fernandez, J.P., Deng, H., Janzen, C.J., Hake, S.B., and Cross, G.A.M. (2008). Histone modifications in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 156, 41–50.

Medzhiradszy, K.F., Zhang, X., Chalkley, R.J., Guan, S., McFarland, M.a, Chalmers, M.J., Marshall, a G., Diaz, R.L., Allis, C.D., and Burlingame, a L. (2004). Characterization of Tetrahymena histone H2B variants and posttranslational populations by electron capture dissociation (ECD) Fourier transform ion cyclotron mass spectrometry (FT-ICR MS). Mol. Cell. Proteomics 3, 872–886.

Millar, C.B., Xu, F., Zhang, K., and Grunstein, M. (2006). Acetylation of H2AZ Lys 14 is associated with genome-wide gene activity in yeast. Genes Dev. 20, 711–722.

Nardelli, S.C., Che, F., and De, N.C.S. (2013). The Histone Code of Toxoplasma gondii Comprises Conserved and Unique Posttranslational Modification. MBio. 4, e00922-13.

Perkins, D.N., Pappin, D.J.C., Creasy, D.M., and Cottrell, J.S. (1999). Probability-based protein identification by searching sequence databases using mass spectrometry data. Electrophoresis 20, 3551–3567.

Quinlan, A.R., and Hall, I.M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics 26, 841–842.

Robinson, J.T., Thorvalsdottir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E.S., Getz, G., and Mesirov, J.P. (2011). Integrative genomics viewer. Nat. Biotechnol. 29, 24–26.

Schwaiger, M., Schonauer, A., Rendeiro, a. F., Pribitzer, C., Schauer, A., Gilles, a. F., Schinko, J.B., Renfer, E., Fredman, D., and Technau, U. (2014). Evolutionary conservation of the eumetazoan gene regulatory landscape. Genome Res. 24, 639–650.

Shen, L., Shao, N., Liu, X., and Nestler, E. (2014). ngs.plot: Quick mining and visualization of next-generation sequencing data by integrating genomic databases. BMC Genomics 15, 284.
Stock, J.K., Giadrossi, S., Casanova, M., Brookes, E., Vidal, M., Koseki, H., Brockdorff, N., Fisher, A.G., and Pombo, A. (2007). Ring1-mediated ubiquitination of H2A represses poised RNA polymerase II at bivalent genes in mouse ES cells. Nat Cell Biol 9, 1428–1435.

Trapnell, C., Roberts, A., Goff, L., Pertea, G., Kim, D., Kelley, D.R., Pimentel, H., Salzberg, S.L., Rinn, J.L., and Pachter, L. (2012a). Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nat. Protoc. 7, 562–578.

Trapnell, C., Hendrickson, D.G., Sauvageau, M., Goff, L., Rinn, J.L., and Pachter, L. (2012b). Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with RNA-seq. Nat. Biotechnol. 31, 46–53.

Trelle, M.B., Salcedo-Amaya, A.M., Cohen, A.M., Stunnenberg, H.G., and Jensen, O.N. (2009). Global histone analysis by mass spectrometry reveals a high content of acetylated lysine residues in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. J. Proteome Res. 8, 3439–3450.

Wei, Y., Mizzen, C. a, Cook, R.G., Gorovsky, M. a, and Allis, C.D. (1998). Phosphorylation of histone H3 at serine 10 is correlated with chromosome condensation during mitosis and meiosis in Tetrahymena. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 95, 7480–7484.

Weiner, A., Hsieh, T.S., Rando, O.J., Friedman, N., Weiner, A., Hsieh, T.S., Appleboim, A., Chen, H. V., Rahat, A., and Amit, I. (2015). High-Resolution Chromatin Dynamics during a Yeast Stress Response. Mol. Cell 58, 1–16.

Wu, S., Zhu, Z., Fu, L., Niu, B., and Li, W. (2011). WebMGA: a customizable web server for fast metagenomic sequence analysis. BMC Genomics 12, 444.

Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nusbaum, C., Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W., et al. (2008). Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 9, R137.