Study of Pre-Monsoon Thunderstorms and Associated Thermodynamic Features Over Bangladesh Using WRF-ARW Model
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Abstract

Thunderstorm is a mesoscale system (from a km to below thousands of km and sustaining less than one hour). Two pre-monsoon thunderstorms events are analyzed in this study which are named as event-1 (0030-0150 UTC of 19 April 2018 over Chattogram) and event-2 (0600-1000 UTC of 4 May 2018 over Dhaka). To predict these events Mean Convective Available Potential Energy (mCAPE), Mean Convective Inhibition Energy (mCINE), K Index (KI), Total totals Index (TTI), wind distribution, and relative humidity (RH) are investigated. The model simulated mCAPE and mCINE values, 18 hours before the events, are found greater than 1700 J/Kg and less than 100 J/Kg respectively which satisfies the conditions for thunderstorms to occur. The KI values are close to 40°C and TTI values are greater or equal to 45°C for both events. The wind patterns and the high value of mid–tropospheric RH also favors the formation of severe thunderstorm.
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I. Introduction

Thunderstorms are the local severe storms which cause huge loss of lives and damage to properties. Thunderstorms consist of three stages of evolution, namely, cumulus stage (updraft), mature stage (updraft and downdraft), and dissipating stage (downdraft). They are classified as single cell, multicell, squall line and supercell. Thunderstorms cause heavy rain, lightning, hail, thunder, gusty wind squalls. Prediction of these events using weather prediction models is still difficult because of relative coarse resolution, lack of observations of the initial state, and the limited predictability of small mesoscale phenomena. Meteorologists use stability indices to quickly assess the occurrence of the thunderstorms. These indices are a measure of the atmospheric stationary stability. Yamane et al. demonstrated that Convective Available Potential Energy (CAPE) represents the atmospheric instability required for the formation of severe local storms over the Indian subcontinent. Kunz examined the skill of convective parameters and indices to predict isolated and severe thunderstorms over south-west Germany. Litta and Mohanty have used the thermodynamic indices values specified in the literature to identify the occurrence of thunderstorm activity in their modelling study of a thunderstorm event. In this study, we have selected two thunderstorm events, namely, event-1 (0030-0150 UTC of 19 April 2018 over Chattogram) and event-2 (0600-1000 UTC of 4 May 2018 over Dhaka) and used WRF model to investigate various parameters.

II. Model and Methodology

WRF Model Description

The Advanced Research Weather Research and Forecast (ARW) version 3.8.1 mesoscale model developed by the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) is used in this study. The model is used for both research and operational forecasting and covers different meteorological phenomena. It includes multiple dynamical cores, a three-dimensional variational data assimilation system and software architecture to allow computational parallelism and system extensibility. The model includes fully compressible non-hydrostatic Euler equations and different prognostic variables that have conservation properties. The terrain following hydrostatic pressure is considered as the vertical coordinate. The equations also include the effects of moisture, Coriolis and curvature terms. The dynamics employed and domain configurations in the model for the present study are in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

Table 1. Overview of WRF model

| No. of domain | 1 |
| Center of the domain | 23.42 N, 90.82 E |
| Resolution | 10 |
| Vertical co-ordinate | 38 sigma levels |
| No. of grid points | West-east:120, South-north:57 |
| Horizontal grid system | Arakawa C-grid |
| Time integration Scheme | 3rd order Runge kutta scheme |
| Cumulus physics | Kain Fritsch |
| Microphysics | Kessler Scheme |
| Planetary Boundary scheme(PBL) scheme | Yonsei University |
| Radiation | Dudhia Shortwave Scheme (short wave) |
| | RRTM Longwave Scheme(long wave) |

Run time(48 hour)

Event-1:0000 UTC of 17 April 2018 to 0000 of UTC 19 April 2018
Event-2:0000 UTC of 2 May 2018 to 0000 UTC of 4 May 2018

*Author for correspondence. e-mail: imsyed@du.ac.bd
Model was run using six hourly NCEP (National Center for Environmental Prediction)-FNL datasets on 1° × 1° grids. The model outputs help to investigate the synoptic and environmental characteristics for these events.

The Mean Convective Available Potential Energy (mCAPE)\(^{13}\), Mean Convective Inhibition Energy (mCINE)\(^{14}\), K-Index(KI)\(^{15}\), Total totals Index (TTI)\(^{16}\), wind speed, wind direction, relative humidity are investigated.

\[
\text{CAPE (J/Kg)} = g \int_{Z_{inb}}^{Z_{ifc}} \frac{T_{ve} - T_{vp}}{T_{ve}} dZ
\]

Where \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration, \( T_{ve} \) and \( T_{vp} \) are the virtual temperatures of atmosphere and parcel respectively. \( Z_{inb} \) and \( Z_{ifc} \) are the heights of the level of neutral buoyancy and the level of free convection respectively.

\[
\text{CINE (J/Kg)} = g \int_{Z_{ground}}^{Z_{top}} \frac{T_{vp} - T_{ve}}{T_{vp}} dZ
\]

Where \( Z_{top} \) is the level of free convection.

\[
KI (°C) = (T850 - T500) + Td850 - (T700 - Td700)
\]

According to Miller (1972)\(^{16}\)

\[
TTI (°C) = 7850 + Td850 - 2T700
\]

Where \( T \) is the temperature and \( Td \) is the dew point temperature at different pressure levels. The threshold values of different stability indices are in table 2.

### Table 2. Threshold value of stability indices\(^{17}\)

| Stability indices | Threshold value |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| CAPE              | >1500 J/Kg      |
| CINE              | <200 J/Kg       |
| KI                | >38°C           |
| TTI               | >45°C           |

### III. Result and Discussion

Mean Convective Available Potential Energy (mCAPE) and Mean Convective Inhibition Energy (mCINE):

The spatial distribution of mCAPE (shaded) and mCINE (contour) for the event-1 is represented in Fig. 2. To predict this thunderstorm event, we have studied the outputs before 48 hours of the occurrence of the event. At 0300 UTC of 17 April 2018 (Fig. 2a), mCAPE and mCINE are found to be about 1400 J/Kg and 50 J/Kg respectively over the Chattogram region. Values of this parameter are found to be increasing with time and at 0900 UTC of 18 April 2018 mCAPE is around 1750 J/Kg and mCINE is nearly 100 J/Kg. This increasing pattern of the values of mCAPE and mCINE are also found by Papa R. G. et al.\(^{17}\) The CAPE values i.e. the available buoyant energy for the vertical acceleration of the air parcels is found to be above 1500 J/Kg for most of the time of the observation. Johns and Doswell (1992)\(^{18}\) suggested that values of CAPE above 1500 J/Kg are favorable for the formation of supercells, which in turn, leads to the formation of thunderstorm events. At 0300 UTC of 17 April 2018 (Fig. 2a), values of mCINE are found to be above 200 J/Kg. Large values of mCINE is an indication of stable atmosphere and such atmospheric situation is not favorable for thunderstorm event even though the other factors are favorable for the occurrence of convective activities\(^{10}\). That is why no thunderstorm even is recorded by BMD over the south-western part of Bangladesh. At 0900 UTC of 18 April (Fig. 2c) mCINE values are around 150J/Kg. At 0000 UTC of 19 April mCINE values are below 100 J/Kg over most of the region of Bangladesh and values are extremely low (around 50 J/Kg) over the south eastern part of Bangladesh. The low value of mCINE along with the high value of mCAPE is clear indication for the formation of thunderstorm events over Chattogram and nearby territory.

**Fig. 2.** mCAPE (J/Kg) (shaded) and mCINE (J/Kg) (contour) at (a)0300 UTC of 17 April, (b)1800 UTC of 17 April, (c)0900 UTC of 18 April, (d)0000 UTC of 19 April, 2018.**

Fig. 3. mCAPE(shaded) and mCINE(contour) for the event-2, starting form 0300 UTC of 2 May to 0900 UTC of 3 May, shows that the mCAPE values are around 2500 J/Kg. The mCINE values are very low (below 50 J/Kg) over the total observation period. Over the Dhaka region the mCINE values are below 30 J/Kg and in some location these values are even low. These favorable atmospheric conditions lead
to the formation of severe thunderstorm over Dhaka and its surrounding areas.\(^{19}\)

**Fig. 3.** mCAPE (J/Kg) (shaded) and mCINE (J/Kg) (contour) at (a) 0300 UTC of 2 May, (b) 1800 UTC of 2 May, (c) 0900 UTC of 3 May, (d) 0000 UTC of 4 May, 2018.

**K-Index (KI) and Total Totals Index (TTI):**

KI values represent an aggregation of the Vertical Totals (VT) and lower atmosphere dew point temperature difference.\(^{29}\) Here, VT is the lapse rate between 850 hPa and 500 hPa. At 0300 UTC of 17 April (Fig. 4a), KI is found to be approximately 35°C over the Chattogram. At 1800 UTC of 17 April (Fig. 4b), KI value is nearly 40°C. These large values of KI improve the probability of unstable weather.\(^{17}\) At 0900 UTC of 18 April (Fig. 4c) the KI values are close to 43°C. As the KI increases with time, so does the probability of having a severe thunderstorm.\(^{16}\) The TTI values at 0300 UTC and at 1800 UTC of 17 April are approximately above 45°C. At 0900 UTC of 18 April the TTI values are even larger and reach above 50°C. TTI values greater than 45°C is favorable for the development of thunderstorm.\(^{16}\) At 0000UTC of 19 April, the TTI values are also very high, close to 50°C. According to Haklander A.J. et al.,\(^{25}\) TTI  ≥ 46°C is favorable for severe thunderstorm. Therefore, the KI and TTI values are always nearly equal or greater than threshold values which lead to the formation of thunderstorm (event-1).

**Fig. 4.** KI (°C)(shaded) and TTI(°C)(contour) at (a)0300 UTC of 2 May, (b)1800 UTC of 2 May, (c) 0900 UTC of 3 May, (d)0000 UTC of 4 May 2018.

**Fig. 5.** KI (°C)(shaded) and TTI(°C)(contour) at (a)0300 UTC of 2 May,(b) 1800 UTC of 2 May, (c) 0900 UTC of 3 May, (d)0000 UTC of 4 May 2018.

**Distribution of Upper and Lower Level Wind:**

**Fig. 6.** Distribution of upper (350 hPa) and lower level (900 hPa) wind (m/s), (a)0000 UTC of 17 April, (b)0000 UTC of 19 April in upper level, (c)0000 UTC of 17 April, (d)0000 UTC of 19 April 2018 in lower level.

Fig. 6 displays the wind speed (m/s) (shaded) and direction (arrow) for event-1. From the upper level of wind distribution at 0300 UTC of 17 April (Fig. 6a) and 0000 UTC of 19 April (Fig. 6b), wind speed is around 30 m/s all over Bangladesh and winds are directed north easterly (dry air from the Himalayan region). This dry upper level air is one of the conditions that must be satisfied for the formation of thunderstorm.\(^{16}\)
On the other hand, the lower level wind (Fig. 6c) at 0300 UTC of 17 April, shows a maximum wind of 10 m/s (much less than upper level wind speed). The south easterly wind direction confirms the arrival of wind from the Bay of Bengal (BoB) towards the Chattogram region. At 0000 UTC of 19 April (Fig. 6d), the lower level wind speed increases (~12 m/s). These airs from BoB enhance the moisture arrival and develop convective activities. These dry speedy air (heavy) in the upper level and moist air (lighter) in lower level is essential for thunderstorm occurrence which is also found by Ahsan et al.\textsuperscript{10}

Fig. 7. Distribution of upper (350 hPa) and lower level (900 hPa) wind flow (m/s), (a) 0000 UTC of 2 May, (b) 0000 UTC of 4 May in upper level, (c) 0000 UTC of 2 May, (d) 0000 UTC of 4 May 2018 in lower level.

Fig. 7(a-b) shows the distribution of upper level wind speed (m/s) and direction for the event-2. Circulating air from the Himalayan region with maximum speed 30 m/s has flown over Dhaka. At 0000 UTC of 2 May and 4 May (Fig. 7 c-d), wind (lower level) has come from ocean to land (southerly) with a speed of around 10 m/s, which shows the similar pattern like event-1.

So, in both events, the upper and lower level winds show the development of multi-cell thunderstorms.\textsuperscript{22}

Relative Humidity (RH):

Fig. 8(a-d) shows the vertical profile of RH for event-1 along 91.5°E longitude (Chattogram). High RH of about 70% is found up to 400 hPa. High values of RH (moisture) between low and mid troposphere increase the probability of occurrence of thunderstorm.\textsuperscript{23}

For event-2 (Fig. 9 (a-d)) the high RH distribution is more evident. At 0300 UTC of 2 May 2018, RH of the order of 90% is found only on the lower troposphere. RH increases with time (~100 %) and extends up to top troposphere. At 0000 UTC of 4 May, the high intensity RH field has reached between 300 to 200 hPa. This updraft of moist air leads to the formation of thunderstorm over Dhaka region.\textsuperscript{10}

IV. Conclusion

For predicting the thunderstorm events several stability indices (mCAPE, mCINE, KI, TTI), wind distribution, and
RH are analyzed using WRF model. More than 18 hours before the event-1 the model simulated mCAPE and mCINE values are found greater than 1700 J/Kg and less than 100 J/Kg respectively and for event-2 these values are found around 2500 J/Kg and below 50 J/Kg respectively. The KI and TTI values for event-1 are found 43°C and 50°C respectively and for event-2 these values are found around 40°C and 45°C respectively, 18 hours before the event in both cases. The value of all these stability indices agrees with the threshold values stated in Table-2. The wind patterns and the high value of mid-tropospheric RH (>70%) also favors the formation of severe thunderstorm. So, it can be concluded that the WRF-ARW model can predict the thunderstorm pretty well even 18 hours before the event. But for more accurate forecasting more studies with better resolutions are needed.
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