The immunoglobulin G1 N-glycan composition affects binding to each low affinity Fc γ receptor
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ABSTRACT

Immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1) is the most abundant circulating human antibody and also the scaffold for many therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs). The destruction of IgG-coated targets by cell-mediated pathways begins with an interaction between the IgG Fc region and multiple varieties of membrane-bound Fc γ receptors (FcγRs) on the surface of leukocytes. This interaction requires the presence of an asparagine-linked (N)-glycan on the Fc, and variations in the N-glycan composition can affect the affinity of CD16A binding (an FcγRI). Contemporary efforts to glycoengineer mAbs focus on increasing CD16A affinity, and thus treatment efficacy, but it is unclear how these changes affect affinity for the other FcγRs. Here, we measure binding of the extracellular Fc-binding domains for human CD16A and B, CD32A, B and C, and CD64 to 6 well-defined IgG1 Fc glycoforms that cover ~85% of the pool of human IgG1 Fc glycoforms. Core α1–6 fucosylation showed the greatest changes with CD16B (8.5-fold decrease), CD16A (3.9-fold decrease) and CD32B/C (1.8-fold decrease), but did not affect binding to CD32A. Adding galactose to the non-reducing termini of the complex-type, biantennary glycan increased affinity for all CD16s and 32s tested by 1.7-fold. Sialylation did not change the affinity of core-fucosylated Fc, but increased the affinity of afucosylated Fc slightly by an average of 1.16-fold for all CD16s and CD32s tested. The effects of fucose and galactose modification are additive, suggesting the contributions of these residues to Fc γ receptor affinity are independent.

Introduction

Immunoglobulin G (IgG) is a versatile molecule developed by the immune system to neutralize invading pathogens with high specificity, and it is now employed by clinicians to treat a wide range of diseases. Biologics are the fastest growing class of new prescription drugs driven largely by the phenomenal expansion of monoclonal antibody (mAb)-based therapies.1 Though some mAbs are able to affect disease simply by irreversibly binding the target epitope and blocking function (e.g., anti-tumor necrosis factor adalimumab), many mAbs recognize cell surface biomarkers and recruit circulating lymphoid and myeloid cells to destroy the diseased tissue. This latter process requires Fc γ receptors (FcγRs) that are expressed on the surface of recruited macrophages/microcytes, natural killer (NK) cells and neutrophils. The FcγRs bind mAb-coated tissues through the IgG crystallizable fragment (Fc) and kill the target by phagocytosis or cell-mediated cytotoxic processes.2

Human cells express 5 activating FcγRs (CD64, the “high affinity” FcγR, and the “low affinity” FcγRs CD32A, CD32C, CD16A, CD16B) and one “low affinity” inhibitory receptor (CD32B) (Fig. 1). The expression profile for these receptors is complex and discussed in detail elsewhere.3 A few features, however, should be noted. Macrophages express the entire complement of FcγRs; naïve NK cells express primarily CD16A and neutrophils primarily CD16B.4 Signaling through the low affinity receptors requires IgG oligomers, formed as a result of target opsonization.5 Even so, in vitro affinities of mAbs binding to the extracellular CD16A domains correlate with improved treatment outcome in treated patients.6,7 Thus, in vitro measurements of monovalent affinity are important metrics in mAb optimization.

IgG:FcγR interactions, and thus most mAb-mediated therapies, rely on the presence of an asparagine-linked (N)-glycan at residue Asn297 of the IgG Fc region.5,8 This complex carbohydrate is added to the newly synthesized immunoglobulin polypeptide chain during transport into the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). The IgG N-glycan is remodeled by glycosyltransferases and glycosylhydrolases during transit through the ER and Golgi in a template-independent manner. The result is a distribution of IgG glycoforms that are primarily of a biantennary complex-type with ~7–12 linked monosaccharide units and contain variable levels of fucose, galactose and N-acetylneuraminic acid.9 Proper N-glycan remodeling is required for efficient effector function, and the N-glycan composition is known to contribute to FcγR-mediated immune activation.10

The IgG1 Fc N-glycan forms an intramolecular carbohydrate/polypeptide interface that stabilizes the polypeptide loop containing Asn297, the site of N-glycan attachment.11 Truncating the N-glycan length or disrupting the carbohydrate/polypeptide interface reduces CD16A binding.12,13
Recent advances in expression technologies produce glyco-engineered mAbs with reduced fucosylation to achieve tighter CD16A binding, stronger cell-mediated cytotoxicity and better patient outcomes.\textsuperscript{14,15}

A number of studies have reported the effects of IgG carbohydrate composition on receptor-binding affinity (for examples, see ref. 13, 14, 16-21). These studies have used different FcγR ligands, including Fc and a wide range of mAbs; as a result, it is impossible to accurately compare different reports to quantify the effect of IgG N-glycan composition among different receptor fragments. Here, we measured the binding of 6 FcγRs to 6 IgG1 Fc glycoforms covering >85\% of the glycan variability in the human IgG1 Fc pool\textsuperscript{22} to establish the relative contribution of each carbohydrate modification for each receptor. We were able to prepare and validate pure glycoforms, as well as quantify receptor binding in vitro with high precision. Our results provide clear insight into only the Fc:FcγR interaction component of FcγR-mediated cellular activation, and eliminates the influence of multiple variables present in cell activation studies, including immune complex size/construction, cell viability/health, FcγR density, and the effect of monovalent Fc:FcγR affinity in multivalent immune complex:cell surface interaction. We expect these results will be informative in the greater context of cell and immune system activation, and will be helpful to guide next-generation monoclonal antibodies with engineered Fc regions. Rather than full-length IgG, the Fc is used because full receptor binding properties of IgG are retained in the Fc portion, the IgG1 Fc is common to all IgG1-based mAbs, and removing the antigen-binding fragments likely does not affect Fc structure.\textsuperscript{23-25} The data reported herein revealed unanticipated differences among the FcγRs that will contribute to understanding of the effect of a single glycan modification on mAb binding to all FcγRs in the body.

\section*{Results}

\textbf{Fc γ receptor expression and homogenous IgG1 Fc preparation}

Fc γ receptor extracellular soluble domains fused to an N-terminal green fluorescent protein (GFP) tag expressed from HEK293F cells at high glycoprotein yields (90–170 mg/L) and high purity for the CD16s and CD32s (Fig. 2). The extracellular domains of CD32B and CD32C are indistinguishable by protein sequence and are analyzed as a single construct (hereafter referred to as CD32B/C; Fig. 1.). An optimized CD64 construct
without a GFP tag expressed at lower levels (4.3 mg/L) and appears as a smear on an SDS-PAGE with reduced Coomassie staining resulting from high N-glycosylation (7 sites; Fig. 2B). We estimate CD64 is 90–95% pure following purification. IgG1 Fc expression in the same cell line (with or without a reported inhibitor of fucosylation\(^{26-28}\)) produced a limited range of glycoforms that were remodeled in vitro with a \(\beta\)-galactosidase and glycosyltransferases to produce nearly homogenous IgG1 Fc preparations\(^{29,30}\) that eluted in a single peak at \(\sim 52\) kDa from a size-exclusion chromatography column (Fig. 2C).

Further analysis of the Fc glycoproteins by mass spectrometry (MS) confirmed their high homogeneity. An analysis of the PNGaseF-released N-glycans revealed little variation in the Fc N-glycan preparations (Fig. 3), particularly with respect to galactose and fucose modification. However, the sialylated forms were less homogenous. Though sialylation of IgG1 Fc is difficult to achieve,\(^{30}\) we consistently recovered at least 80% of the disialylated and core-fucosylated A2G2F form, but only 60% of the A2G2 form with the remainder being monosialylated. This level of conversion was consistent with repeated experiments and suggests differences in N-glycan accessibility to the \(\alpha2\)–\(6\) sialyltransferase (ST6Gal-1) due to the presence of a core \(\alpha1\)–\(6\)-linked fucose residue. Analysis of the intact Fc glycoproteins by electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) confirmed the glycan remodeling and protein homogeneity. Representative spectra of the G0 and G0F forms are shown determined by X-ray crystallography.\(^{31-35}\) The G0 preparation revealed the clear establishment of binding equilibrium at all concentrations analyzed and high quality fits of equilibrium binding isotherms (Table 1). The association of CD64 was much slower at the low concentrations tested, and many conditions failed to reach equilibrium within the timeframe of the experiment. As a result, we were unable to determine equilibrium binding constants for CD64 using equilibrium data, and instead analyzed the rates of association and dissociation to estimate binding affinity (Fig. 7). The CD64 \(k_{on}\) and \(k_{off}\) are compared to rates measured using CD16A and CD32s in Table 2.

Receptor identity, not surprisingly, proved to be the largest contributor to observed binding affinity variability when using the predominant human IgG1 Fc glycoforms (G0F, G1F (not studied here) and G2F) with CD64 > CD16A > CD32A > CD32B/C > CD16B. These results are similar to those of previous studies that used full-length IgG1 and no explicit control of Fc glycosylation with CD64 (\(K_D=15\) nM) > CD32A (192 nM) > CD16A (500 nM) > CD16B (5 \(\mu\) M) > CD32B (8 \(\mu\) M).\(^{36,37}\) In addition to polypeptide sequence, we found IgG1 Fc N-
glycan composition changes dramatically altered the binding of some, but not all, Fcγ receptors.

Fucosylation decreases CD16A,B and CD32B/C affinity but not CD32A

Core fucosylation of the IgG1 Fc N-glycan was shown to decrease the IgG1 Fc:CD16A affinity in vitro by 2.6–10 fold for IgG monomers and 19–50 fold for IgG dimers. We determined that Fc core fucosylation decreased the IgG1 Fc:CD16A affinity by 3.9 ± 0.6 fold after comparing the effect of fucose addition to each glycoform (e.g., G0 vs. G0F). A similar result was expected for CD16B; however, binding was reduced by 8.5 ± 0.8 fold. The effects on each Fc glycoform on CD16A and CD16B binding are shown in Fig. 8. This may be explained by the fact that CD16A and 16B have a conserved N-glycan (on Asn162) that was shown to stabilize the Fc:FcγR interaction when fucose is absent, although it is unclear why the effect of fucose is considerably greater for CD16B than 16A given the high degree of identity at the polypeptide level (Fig. 1B).

Core fucosylation had no measureable effect on CD32A binding (1.02 ± 0.06-fold increase; Fig. 9), which is expected because CD32A does not contain a predicted N-glycan at the site homologous to Asn162 of CD16A and CD16B. The soluble CD32B/C construct also does not contain a homologous CD16A/B Asn162 N-glycan site; however, fucosylation reduced CD32B/C affinity by 1.8 ± 0.1 fold when removing the effects of galactosylation and sialylation. Fucosylation increased both $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$ for CD64 binding, but had little measureable effect on affinity. The binding kinetics for CD16A, B CD32A and B/C were not affected in a similar manner and no clear connections between fucosylation and Fcγ receptor binding kinetics were found (data not shown), which agrees with previous reports. A comparison of the relative Fcγ receptor binding affinity to afucosylated Fc forms was CD64 > CD16A > CD16B > CD32A > CD32B/C.

Fc stabilization through galactose modification

Yamaguchi et al. previously demonstrated that galactosylation of a fucosylated Fc increased CD16A affinity by 1.7 fold, close
to our finding of 2.0 fold for G0F vs. G2F (Table 1). We found similar effects for CD16s and CD32s that increased affinity by an average of 1.7 ± 0.3 fold. There was no clear difference between the stabilization of fucosylated Fc versus afucosylated (data not shown). These data indicate galactosylation stabilizes the Fc in a general receptor binding conformation. This result is notable, considering the >15 Å distance between the galactose residues and the Fc γ receptor binding site on Fc, but can be explained by the allosteric effect of the N-glycan on receptor binding.12

N-glycan sialylation shows minimal effect

In previously published studies, IgG1 Fc sialylation was reported to have either a profound effect on CD16A binding40,41 or no measurable effect.19 In our experiments, N-acetyllneuraminic acid addition (sialylation) to fucosylated Fc showed no measurable effect on binding to any CD16 or CD32 receptor (1.00 ± 0.06-fold increase). Sialylation of afucosylated Fc did show a slight increase in affinity (1.16 ± 0.11 fold). The lack of a sialylation effect is expected considering that the Fc receptors do not contact sugar residues on the non-reducing termini of the N-glycan32,42 and N-acetyllneuraminic acid residues on the IgG1 Fc N-glycan do not interact with the Fc polypeptide directly.30,43

Discussion

The “low affinity” FcγRs responded differently to changes in IgG Fc N-glycan composition, with CD16B showing the greatest range followed by CD16A >> CD32B/C >> CD32A (Fig. 9). Fucose addition accounted for the majority of the variation by reducing CD16A and B binding, but had a much smaller effect on CD32B/C and no measurable effect on CD32A. It is interesting to note that galactose addition consistently increased affinity for all low affinity receptors, indicating a mechanism that likely stabilizes the Fc itself in a receptor-independent manner. Furthermore, the effect of fucose and galactose addition was additive. Sialylation affected receptor binding to the least extent, with a statistically significant increase in affinity only noted for sialylation of afucosylated Fc binding to CD16B. There is growing evidence that the IgG1 Fc N-glycan composition changes in response to disease.44-48 Our data indicate variations in Fc N-glycan composition profiles alter relative FcγR binding affinities. Considering the tissue-specific expression profile for the FcγRs,3 changes to the IgG1 Fc N-glycan composition therefore have the potential to direct which leukocyte populations are affected and, as a result, direct the body’s response to infection.

It was surprising that variation in the IgG Fc fucosylation caused a larger change to CD16B binding than observed for CD16A, considering the high degree of CD16A/B homology (Fig. 1) and the preservation in CD16B of an N-glycosylation site known to stabilize the afucosylated Fc:CD16A interaction.17,18,33,34 Although CD16A is often considered the primary target for the Fc of therapeutic mAbs,10,49,50 a growing body of evidence reveals a possible role for CD16B and neutrophil activation as an important mAb mechanism of action. Glycoengineered obinutuzumab, a CD20-targeting mAb that contains reduced fucose levels (plus a bisecting N-acetylgalactosamime residue), has a 7-fold higher affinity to CD16B than the rituximab, which also targets CD20.51 Tighter CD16B binding correlated
with neutrophil activation, and might explain the better suppression of cancer progression observed for chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients.\textsuperscript{49,52} These data implicate CD16B-targeting mAbs developed from afucosylated IgG1 with galactose-terminated N-glycans as a new direction for future mAbs designed to recruit neutrophils.

Multiple groups have speculated on the structural role of Fc N-glycan composition. Krapp et al. (2003) highlighted

\textbf{Figure 5.} Representative SPR analysis of afucosylated IgG1 Fc (G0 form) binding to the Fc γ receptors. The left column shows the sensograms and the right column shows the analysis of maximum response values at binding equilibrium fitted with a binding isotherm.
evidence for changes in the orientation of the Fc Cγ2 domains upon extending the N-glycan to a galactose-terminated form (G2F).53 These structures were still constrained by contacts within the crystal lattice, but offered a tangible hypothesis suggesting additions to the N-glycan non-reducing termini pushed the Cγ2 domains apart and provided an optimal Cγ2-Cγ2 distance for FcγR binding. This model is not supported by studies by our lab on molecules in solution.

Figure 6. Representative SPR analysis of fucosylated IgG1 Fc (G0F form) binding to the Fc γ receptors. The left column shows the sensograms and the right column shows the analysis of maximum response values at binding equilibrium fitted with a binding isotherm.
at physiological pH that indicates the Fc N-glycan does not contribute much to the quaternary structure of the Fc, but rather stabilizes primarily the local secondary structure of a single polypeptide loop formed by residues including and adjacent to the site of N-glycan attachment (Asn297).\textsuperscript{11,12}

Though the data we present here do not directly address the structural features of Fc, based on our previous reports we believe that galactosylation of the Fc N-glycan contributes to stabilization of the Asn297-containing C′E loop. The role of fucosylation has been addressed by multiple groups and is thought to specifically disrupt the Fc:CD16A interface.\textsuperscript{33,34}

The data presented herein define the role of Fc N-glycan composition on FcγR binding. Our Fc constructs do not provide an opportunity to measure cell activation, although our results are quantitatively consistent with a recent report of Fc N-glycan composition on CD16A-expressing NK92 cell activation. Connell-Crowley and coworkers altered the N-glycan composition of 2 mAbs with glycosidase digestions, chromatography and antibody production techniques,\textsuperscript{54} and determined that a 1% decrease in fucosylation resulted in a 24% increase in antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC). Reducing galactose levels showed

| Receptor   | Kₐ (nM) | +/− err | Kₐ (nM) | +/− err | Kₐ (nM) | +/− err |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| CD16A⁺    | 101     | 12      | 64      | 8       | 51      | 8       |
| CD16B⁻    | 757     | 21      | 402     | 21      | 329     | 26      |
| CD32A⁺    | 1370    | 70      | 785     | 26      | 765     | 31      |
| CD32B⁻    | 1980    | 200     | 1540    | 160     | 1350    | 131     |
| CD64⁺     | 1.41    | 0.09    | 1.69    | 0.32    | 1.1     | 0.20    |

\textsuperscript{+} determined from fitting intensity data at equilibrium  
\textsuperscript{−} determined from fitting a kinetic model to the sensorgrams  
n.d.- not determined

Table 1. Equilibrium dissociation constants for the Fc gamma receptors.

Figure 7. Kinetic analysis of CD64 binding to immobilized IgG1 Fc (G0 and G0F forms). The left column shows a plot comparing observed association rates at each receptor concentration and the right column the observed dissociation rates at each receptor concentration measured from the SPR sensorgrams shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The kₐ was estimated by measuring the slope of a line that best fits the data in the right column and is reported in Table 2. The kₐ was determined by averaging the observed dissociation rates. Standard deviation of the mean is shown in the plots of kₐ rates as dashed lines.
a decrease in ADCC, and neuraminidase treatment did not affect ADCC. These results perfectly mirror our results where fucosylation most dramatically affected CD16A binding, a small but significant effect was noted upon galactosylation, and no effect was observed with N-acetylneuraminic acid. We interpret this agreement between our results and those of Connell-Crowley and coworkers to indicate the strength of our experimental approach and the applicability of in vitro studies of the Fc:FcγR interaction to guide the next generation of IgG1-based antibodies through Fc-N-glycan optimization.

Materials and methods

Materials - All materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise noted.

Protein expression and purification - Human IgG1 Fc (residues 216–447) and CD16A (residues 19–193, V158 allotype) were expressed and purified as described.12 The extracellular domains of receptors CD16B (residues 19–193), CD32A (residues 43–216, LR (H143) allotype) and CD32B (residues 43–216) with N-terminal His8 and GFP tags and a tobacco etch virus protease (TEV) digestion site were cloned into the pGen2 vector using the EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites.30, 55 As similar strategy to express CD64 failed to recover significant amounts of protein; as a result, CD64 (residues 16–292) was cloned with C-terminal TEV site and His8 tag between the NotI and HindIII sites of the pGen2 vector. Receptors were expressed via a transient transfection of HEK293F cells (Life Technologies) and purified using a Ni-NTA agarose (QIAGEN) column as described previously.12,55 Afucosylated Fc forms (G0, G2, A2G2) were expressed in the presence of 250 μM 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-L-fucose26 (Santa Cruz Biotech). IgG1 Fc glycovariants were analyzed with a 3.2/100 S200 column using an AKTA Pure FPLC (GE Healthcare). Fc samples (40 μL of 0.2 mg/mL) were injected and eluted at a flow rate of 0.075 ml/min with continuous monitoring at 280 nm. The column was equilibrated and eluted with a buffer containing 20 mM 3-morpholinopropane-1-sulfonic acid (MOPS), 100 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2. The volume at which Fc eluted was compared to the elution volume of blue dextran, bovine serum albumin (BSA), carbonic anhydrase (CA) and cytochrome C.

Table 2. Rate constants for Fc gamma receptor binding.

| Receptor | $k_{on}$ (mM⁻¹s⁻¹) | +/- err | $k_{off}$ (s⁻¹) | +/- err | $k_{on}$ (mM⁻¹s⁻¹) | +/- err | $k_{off}$ (s⁻¹) | +/- err |
|----------|---------------------|---------|---------------|--------|---------------------|---------|---------------|--------|
| CD16A    | 420                 | 11%     | 0.00433       | 6%     | 67.8                | 6%      | 0.0138        | 6%     |
| CD16B    | n.d.                | 33%     | 0.27000       | 33%    | n.d.                | 3%      | 0.2200        | 3%     |
| CD32A    | 110                 | 17%     | 0.11800       | 14%    | 163                 | 9%      | 0.0780        | 22%    |
| CD32B    | n.d.                | 0%      | 0.16000       | 9%     | n.d.                | 4%      | 0.2400        | 4%     |
| CD64     | 360                 | 1%      | 0.00051       | 2%     | 2200                | 3%      | 0.0011        | 13%    |

n.d.- not determined because the observed association rates were not linear with respect to IgG concentration

Figure 8. Relative effect of Fc core fucosylation on CD16 affinity. Errors of fit for the dissociation constants are shown.
Glycan remodeling - Fc glycovariants were prepared by previously described in vitro N-glycan remodeling methods.30,56 Approximately 5 mg of purified Fc from the HEK293F expression was treated with a β-1,4 galactosidase (New England Biolabs) to prepare the agalactosylated G0F forms. Samples were purified as previously described, and placed in a buffer containing 20 mM MOPS, 100 mM sodium chloride, 20 mM manganese chloride, pH 7.2. To prepare the G2F (digalactosylated) form, approximately 4 mg of Fc sample was treated with a β-1,4 galactosyltransferase (GalT) plus 10 mM UDP-galactose and incubated at 37°C for 24 hr. Equal amounts of GalT and UDP-galactose were added after 24 hr. Samples were purified and placed in a buffer containing 20 mM MOPS, 100 mM sodium chloride, and 20 mM manganese chloride, pH 7.2. The disialylated form (A2G2F), was prepared as previously described.30 Afucosylated forms (G0, G2 and A2G2) were prepared as described above except material was purified from HEK293F cells cultured in the presence of 250 μM 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-L-fucose. All samples were then placed in a buffer containing 20 mM MOPS, 100 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2.

Analysis of remodeled glycans by Mass Spectrometry - Released N-glycans of all Fc glycovariants were analyzed as described previously36,57 using matrix-assisted laser-desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) on a Voyager-DE PRO (Applied Biosystems).

Analysis of Fc forms by Electrospray Ionization-Mass Spectrometry - Fc (0.1 mg/mL) in 100 μL of 20 mM MOPS, 100 mM sodium chloride, 50 mM dithiothreitol, pH 7.2 was denatured by heating at 95°C for 5 min. Samples were further analyzed by a liquid chromatography system coupled with ESI-MS (Q Exactive Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer; Thermo Scientific). Samples (10 μL) were injected at a flow rate of 0.1 mL/min onto a C4 reversed-phase column (5 μM, 30 mm × 1 mm, Restek) previously equilibrated with 95% solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and 5% solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at 20°C. Samples were eluted using a linear gradient of 5–100% solvent B from 3 to 10 min followed by a 5 min elution with 100% solvent B before washing with 95% solvent A and 5% solvent B. The ESI-MS instrument was set to positive polarity with 60 eV in-source collision-induced dissociation (CID) with a mass scan range of 700–4000 m/z. Data were displayed and analyzed using Thermo Xcalibur Qual Browser (version 3.0.63). Average protein masses were deconvoluted using ProMass Deconvolution software (Thermo Scientific).

IgG1 Fc Immobilization - A Biacore T100 instrument was used to measure the binding affinities between Fc and its receptors. All SPR measurements were performed at 25°C by standard amine coupling procedures58 with Fc immobilized on a CM5 sensor chip (GE Life Sciences). The carboxymethyl dextran surface was activated by 1:1 mixture of 0.4 M 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride and 0.1 M N-hydroxy succinimide for 7 min at a flow rate of 5 μL/min. Fc between 1–50 μg/mL in 1 mL of 10 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.0 was coupled by injecting at a flow rate of 5 μL/min. Immobilization was completed by deactivating residual sites using 1 M ethanolamine, pH 8.5 for 7 min. Final immobilization response units were between 400–700 for 1 μg/mL and 5000–6000 for 50 μg/mL. Flow line 1 on all sensor chips was used as a blank with no immobilized Fc.

Binding analysis using surface plasmon resonance - All binding analyses were performed with binding buffer containing 20 mM MOPS, 100 mM sodium chloride, 1 μM BSA and 0.05% P20 surfactant (GE Life Sciences), pH 7.4. The CM5 chip surface was regenerated by a 100 mM glycine, pH 3.0 wash for 30 s to remove bound receptors. A minimum of one replication for each condition was collected on different days. Representative results are shown.

SPR data analysis - All the Biacore sensograms were processed using Biacore T100 Evaluation Software (Version 1.0). Sensograms were zeroed in the response unit axis and response of the blank injection was subtracted from the analyte injected flow cell responses to remove systematic artifacts. The equilibrium response units (RU) for different analyte concentrations [A] were fitted to obtain the dissociation constant (K_D) by using Equation (1) with the maximum response unit (Rmax) obtained among the measured concentration range.

\[
RU = (R_{max} - [A]) / (K_D + [A])
\]  

The observed rates of association and dissociation were obtained by fitting an exponential equation to the association
or dissociation profiles observed in the SPR sensorgrams. $k_{\text{on}}$ was determined by measuring the slope of a line fitted to the observed association rates. $k_{\text{off}}$ was determined by averaging the observed dissociation rates. Presented data are representative of at least 2 independent experiments for each condition.
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