Atraumatic Bilateral Instability of Ulnar Nerve and Extensor Carpi Ulnaris in a Patient with Marfan’s Syndrome
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Learning Point of the Article:
Bilateral ulnar nerve instability and ECU dislocation should be addressed as possible manifestations of systemic disorders such as Marfan’s syndrome.

Abstract

Introduction: Marfan’s syndrome is a hereditary, autosomal dominant multisystemic disorder involving connective tissue. Bilateral extensor carpi ulnaris and ulnar nerve (UN) instability is rare, usually caused by the alteration of structures mainly formed by connective tissue. The association between Marfan’s syndrome and bilateral instability of UN and extensor carpi ulnaris has never been reported.

Case Report: We present the case of a 38-year-old female with no history of trauma, diagnosed with Marfan’s syndrome, who developed bilateral instability of the UN and extensor carpi ulnaris. Bilateral UN transposition and extensor carpi ulnaris tenoplasty were performed.

Conclusion: Atraumatic bilateral instability of UN and extensor carpi ulnaris is a new rare clinical profile caused by Marfan’s syndrome whether standard treatment is successful in a long-term basis in these particular cases of collagen intrinsic pathology remains unclear.
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Introduction
Extensor carpi ulnaris (ECU) dislocation may be associated with instability of the distal radioulnar joint as the result of post-traumatic sequelae. It is also frequently seen in rheumatoid disease [1]. On the other hand, recurrent dislocation of the ulnar nerve (UN) at the elbow is uncommon. Hyperlaxity is usually present, with no differences in age, gender, or physical activity [2].

These two conditions can be caused by the alteration of structures that provide stability to both the ECU and the UN. These structures are known as the ECU tendon subsheath and the cubital tunnel retinaculum. They are mainly formed by connective tissue; therefore, in the presence of tissue anomalies, the chances of instability may increase.

Marfan’s syndrome (MS) was first described by Antoine-Bernard Marfan [3] in 1896. It is a hereditary, autosomal dominant connective tissue multisystemic disorder [4, 5]. Classic clinical profile includes ocular, cardiovascular, and musculoskeletal abnormalities [6]. Skeletal findings include pectus excavatum, pectus carinatum, scoliosis, spondylolisthesis, calcaneal displacement, “protrusio acetabuli,” arachnodactyly, and pes planus[7]. MS diagnosis requires multidisciplinary assessment.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no medical reports describing MS as the cause of bilateral UN and ECU instability.

Case Report
A 38-year-old right-hand dominant female patient with MS was admitted to our institution addressing bilateral elbow and wrist pain for the past 2 years. The patient had no history of upper limb trauma. Her symptoms increased during manual activities. Clinically, exquisite and snapping pain could be reproduced
when the patient pronates her forearms applying wrist flexion and ulnar deviation. When achieving full pronosupination, palpable and visible ECU dislocation was equally addressed in both limbs (Fig. 1). When reaching 30 degrees of elbow flexion, UN subluxed anteriorly sliding over the medial epicondyle; this phenomenon was found to be bilateral (Fig. 2). Paresthesia along UN territory was constant. There was no motor deficit along with UN distribution.

The patient’s initial assessment included visual analog scale (VAS), Quick-Disability of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (Q-DASH), and the modified American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (m-ASES) scores. VAS for wrists and elbows happened to be 7 and 5, respectively. Pre-operative Q-DASH and m-ASES scores were 33 and 45, respectively.

Plain radiographs were performed with no pathological findings. A magnetic resonance imaging disclosed bilateral ECU dislocation together with tendonitis and tendinosis (Fig. 3).

Due to the insufficient mechanical containment of both ECU and UN, bilateral surgical treatment was offered. Limbs were planned to be treated separately; first, the dominant side. Surgical procedure consists of two acts: First, ECU tenoplasty and 3 months later UN transposition. Then, the same surgical procedure was performed on the contralateral limb.

**Surgical technique**

Ultrasound-guided bilateral infraclavicular block combined with general anesthesia is performed. The patient is operated in supine position.

**ECU tenoplasty**

Dorsal approach of the fifth and sixth compartments is performed. ECU tendon subsheath was weaker and thinner than normal. When flexing about 30 degrees the wrist in slight ulnar deviation and simultaneous rotating the forearm from neutral to full supination, the ECU tendon dislocated ulnarily leaving the ulnar groove and translated anteriorly. The abnormally thin and elastic tendon sheath stretched in this maneuver letting the tendon dislocate when tensed.

Plain radiographs were performed with no pathological findings. A magnetic resonance imaging disclosed bilateral ECU dislocation together with tendonitis and tendinosis (Fig. 3).
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An extensor retinaculum flap was made. ECU tendon was then lifted and the ulnar groove of the sixth compartment’s floor was deepened to give better support to the tendon. ECU was finally wrapped around the flap and attached above the fifth compartment using absorbable 2.0 bone anchors (Fig. 4). Adequate tendon stability was assessed through stress maneuvers. A wrist immobilization splint was used for protection during 6 weeks.

**UN nerve transposition**

Incision was done over the course of the nerve between the medial epicondyle and the olecranon. Special care was taken to identify and protect the medial brachial cutaneous nerve. UN dislocation was then addressed with flexion/extension of the elbow. Osborne’s ligament and the arcade of Struthers were incised, together with the deep flexor-pronator mass fascia. As
Once the nerve was released, anterior subcutaneous transposition was performed and maintained in its new position with a facial flap (Fig. 5). UN stability and proper gliding were assessed. The arm was immobilized in a sling during a 2-week period.

The patient continued with paresthesia along with the UN territory for 3 weeks after UN transposition (dominant side); then, all neurological symptoms disappeared both at rest and during elbow motion. Two weeks after the procedure, the sling was removed, starting a rehabilitation protocol. At a 16-week follow-up, the patient had no clinical symptoms, with 0 pain according to the VAS. Final Q-DASH score was 11 and m-ASES was 90 (excellent).

Discussion

Glycoprotein fibrillin-1 mutation (chromosome 15q21) is responsible for MS. This glycoprotein is an important component of the extracellular microfibrils. Despite the exact role of microfibrils remains unclear, these structures are found in a wide range of connective tissues and are vital for normal elastogenesis, elasticity, and homeostasis of the elastic fibers [8]. This finding explains patients’ joint laxity: Hyperextensile fingers, wrists, elbows, and knees [9].

Under stress conditions, ECU is involved in activities known as “loaded pronosupination tasks” such as turning a screwdriver, swimming, or draining cloth; particularly, when the wrist is loaded in full supination, extension, and ulnar deviation. In this situation, the only structure able to prevent ECU dislocation is the “ECU tendon subsheath.” This subsheath is a thin layer of collagen fibers in relation with the sixth extensor compartment; a fibroosseous tunnel that maintains the ECU moored in the dorsal sulcus of the distal ulna [10, 11]. Under normal circumstances, the ECU subsheath is a very strong structure able to neutralize ECU dislocating force. Marfan’s syndrome weakens this collagen structure making it less effective for its supporting function. Although it was repaired and short-term results are acceptable, long-term follow-up is essential because the tissue might stretch again due to the basal syndrome.

O’Driscoll [12] described the cubital tunnel retinaculum as a 4 mm wide band extending from the medial epicondyle to the olecranon, suggesting that this retinaculum was responsible for holding the UN in position. He classified this retinaculum according to anatomic variations and clinical implications: In type 0, the retinaculum is absent and the UN tends to dislocate, while in type 1, the retinaculum is “normal.” Whether the patient had a type 0 or a type 1 retinaculum, it seems that UN dislocation may happen more likely due to her MS condition and ligament weakness and stretching. The same thoughts regarding long-term results of tendon sheath reconstruction are to be considered when performing UN transposition and neurological symptoms improvement. Longer follow-up is needed to verify if standard techniques succeed in the presence of tissue collagen pathology.

Conclusion

Bilateral ECU and UN instability as a direct consequence of MS has not been reported in medical literature before. We strongly believe that connective tissue disorders present in MS could be responsible for this infrequent clinical presentation: The lack of ECU tendon subsheath efficiency and a deficient retinaculum at the cubital tunnel act as predisposing factors for ECU and UN instability.
**Clinical Message**

Bilateral ECU and UN instability should become suspicious of systemic connective tissue disorders. We ignore if standard treatment is successful in a long-term basis in these particular cases of collagen intrinsic pathology.
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