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The expression of a conserved set of heat shock proteins is induced when cells grown at low temperatures are shifted to higher temperatures. Heat shock proteins are molecular chaperones or proteases that act to fold, translocate, or degrade proteins that appear to be misfolded or denatured upon heat shock. The heat shock response has been the focus of much research, and how the temperature signal is sensed and transduced to the biosynthetic machinery has been studied extensively.

The $\sigma^{32}$ [RpoH] alternative $\sigma$-factor, which is encoded by the rpoH gene, is a key regulator of the *Escherichia coli* heat shock response (for review, see Gross 1996; Missiakas et al. 1996). Upon a temperature shift from 30°C to 42°C, $\sigma^{32}$ accumulates and directs RNA polymerase to the promoters of the heat shock genes (Fig. 1). Earlier studies showed that both increased synthesis and stability lead to the increased levels of $\sigma^{32}$. The activity of $\sigma^{32}$ and its association with RNA polymerase are also modulated by heat shock. A great deal has been learned about the increased stability of $\sigma^{32}$ in response to increased temperature. During normal growth the half-life of $\sigma^{32}$ is $\sim1$ min; upon upshift the half-life is increased to $\sim5$ min. Interestingly, the heat shock proteins DnaK, DnaJ, GrpE and HflB, whose expression is regulated by $\sigma^{32}$, function to destabilize $\sigma^{32}$. These proteins interact with $\sigma^{32}$, sequestering it away from RNA polymerase and targeting it for degradation. Misfolded proteins that accumulate after heat shock appear to titrate the DnaK, DnaJ, and GrpE chaperones and the HflB protease away from $\sigma^{32}$. Therefore, the pool of misfolded proteins is increased after heat shock, immediately downstream of the AUG initiation codon and denoted region A, was required for high-level expression of the rpoH–lacZ fusion. A second, ~97-nucleotide region (+112 to +208), internal to the rpoH coding sequence and denoted region B, was required for thermal regulation.

Support for the inhibitory rpoH mRNA secondary structure has come from several lines of evidence. First, the levels of expression detected from rpoH–lacZ fusions carrying base substitutions or internal deletions were consistent with the secondary structure preventing rpoH mRNA translation (Yuzawa et al. 1993). For example, mutations that were predicted to weaken the secondary structure led to constitutive expression. Thermoregulation could be restored by compensatory mutations. In addition, the predicted secondary structure is conserved in *Citrobacter freundii*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Proteus mirabilis*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* rpoH messages (Nakahigashi et al. 1995), and the *S. marcescens* and *P. aeruginosa* rpoH genes expressed in *E. coli* show the same temperature regulation as the *E. coli* clone (Nakahigashi et al. 1998). Furthermore, the results of recent chemical and enzymatic probing of the rpoH mRNA secondary structure are completely consistent with the proposed model (Morita et al. 1999a).

**RNA thermometer of heat shock in E. coli**

T. Yura and colleagues (HSP Research Institute, Kyoto, Japan) first gained insight into the translational regulation of rpoH by constructing an rpoH–lacZ translational fusion that carried ~650 bp of promoter sequence and most of the rpoH coding sequence. This fusion was induced strongly within 2 min after a shift from 30°C to 42°C. Analyses of a series of 5’ and 3’ deletions of the rpoH–lacZ fusion indicated the presence of two regulatory elements (Fig. 1). One, ~15-nucleotide region (+6 to +20), immediately downstream of the AUG initiation codon and denoted region A, was required for high-level expression of the rpoH–lacZ fusion. A second, ~97-nucleotide region (+112 to +208), internal to the rpoH coding sequence and denoted region B, was required for thermal regulation. Computer analysis predicted that the 5’ region of the rpoH mRNA (~19 to +247) might form a complex secondary structure with the region A and the initiation codon base-pairing to parts of region B (Fig. 1). This proposed structure was predicted to inhibit ribosome binding to the rpoH message.

E-MAIL: storz@helix.nih.gov; FAX (301) 402-0078.
Morita et al. (1999a) constructed a minimal construct to further examine the thermoregulation of the transcription, translation, and protein stability of the rpoH mRNA. Although rpoH transcription is not induced by 30°C to 42°C temperature shifts, rpoH transcription is controlled from four different promoters. One of these promoters is recognized by the σ^32–RNA polymerase, which acts to induce rpoH expression at extreme temperatures >50°C. rpoH mRNA translation, σ^32 stability, and σ^32 activity are all induced by temperature shifts from 30°C to 42°C (red arrows). As shown by Morita et al. (1999b), the rpoH mRNA secondary structure itself is a thermosensor. At lower temperatures, the rpoH mRNA is folded into a secondary structure that occludes the ribosome binding site (circled) and the initiation codon (boxed). Upon heat shock, this structure is unfolded allowing ribosome binding (in green) and increased σ^32 synthesis.

In this issue Morita et al. (1999b) used the minimal rpoH–lacZ construct to further examine the thermoregulation of rpoH translation. These workers show that mutations predicted to decrease stability give rise to increased expression and mutations predicted to increase stability give rise to decreased expression. Subsequently, they used circular dichroism (CD) to directly measure the temperature-melting profiles of different RNAs. The measured thermostability correlated with the levels of expression observed from the fusion constructs. For example, a mutant RNA carrying a C → A substitution at position +15 (15A), which shows increased expression at 30°C and therefore reduced thermoduction, was denatured at lower temperature than the control RNA. A mutant RNA carrying 15A and a compensatory mutation of position +124 (15A–124U) showed nearly wild-type thermoregulation and wild-type thermostability.

Figure 1. The expression of σ^32 is regulated at the levels of transcription, translation, and protein stability. Although rpoH transcription is not induced by 30°C to 42°C temperature shifts, rpoH transcription is controlled from four different promoters. One of these promoters is recognized by the σ^32–RNA polymerase, which acts to induce rpoH expression at extreme temperatures >50°C. rpoH mRNA translation, σ^32 stability, and σ^32 activity are all induced by temperature shifts from 30°C to 42°C (red arrows). As shown by Morita et al. (1999b), the rpoH mRNA secondary structure itself is a thermosensor. At lower temperatures, the rpoH mRNA is folded into a secondary structure that occludes the ribosome binding site (circled) and the initiation codon (boxed). Upon heat shock, this structure is unfolded allowing ribosome binding (in green) and increased σ^32 synthesis.

Together, these results clearly establish that translation of rpoH is regulated by the intrinsic stability of the rpoH mRNA secondary structure. It had long been suggested that a hypothetical factor would be required for the thermoregulation of rpoH translation. The results of the toeprint assays, which were carried out in the absence of any additional factors, show that rpoH structure alone can control σ^32 expression. These experiments have provided an answer to the long-standing puzzle of the nature of the cellular thermometer that gauges the need for increased σ^32 synthesis. However, some interesting questions remain. The extensive secondary structure, containing four stem–loops, is quite conserved between E. coli and other bacteria, although Morita et al. (1999a) found that a truncated derivative still exhibits normal thermoregulation in their assays. Do the conserved stem–loops have additional roles? What structural features determine the set point of the rpoH mRNA...
thermometer? Is the activation reversed by simple re-folding of the RNA structure? Do any other RNA or protein factors contribute to the regulation?

Other RNA thermometers

Correlations between levels of expression, temperature, and RNA secondary structure have been reported for a few other RNAs. One example is the lcrF mRNA of Yersinia pestis [Hoe and Goguen 1993]. lcrF encodes a transcription factor responsible for inducing the expression of plasmid-encoded virulence genes in response to temperature. A comparison of the amount of LcrF protein produced per unit of message at 26°C compared to 37°C indicated that the efficiency of lcrF mRNA translation increased with temperature. In predictions of the lcrF mRNA secondary structure, the lcrF ribosome binding site is sequestered in a stem-loop. These results led Hoe and Goguen (1993) to propose that the decreased stability of the stem–loop with increasing temperature leads to increased efficiency of translation initiation. This model needs to be tested but is very similar to the mechanism elucidated for rpoH. A second example is the mRNA encoding the cIII gene of bacteriophage λ [Altuvia et al. 1989]. In vitro experiments showed that the cIII mRNA can exist in two conformations. High temperatures (45°C) and mutations that increase cIII expression promoted the formation of one structure in which ribosome binding is efficient. By contrast, low temperatures (37°C) and mutations that reduce cIII expression promoted the formation of a second structure in which the translation region is occluded and ribosome binding is reduced. It is likely that other RNA species that possess different secondary structures at different temperatures can function as physiological sensors of either high or low temperature.

Protein thermometers

The activities of two DNA-binding proteins, Salmonella typhimurium TlpA and Drosophila HSF, are also directly sensitive to temperature [Hurme et al. 1997; Zhong et al. 1998]. TlpA, which is encoded on a virulence plasmid, is a transcriptional repressor of its own synthesis. Expression of a tlpA–lacZ transcriptional fusion is elevated 13.2-fold between 37°C and 45°C, and this regulation is dependent on TlpA. Gel mobility shift assays showed that the DNA-binding activity of purified TlpA is sensitive to temperature with less binding observed at 43°C than at 22°C. Thus, Hurme et al. (1997) propose the temperature shift that occurs upon entry of Salmonella into a host organism would lead to the derepression of tlpA and other as-yet-unidentified TlpA target genes. Drosophila HSF activates the expression of target heat shock genes in response to elevated temperatures. The transcription factor is normally present in a latent, monomeric form that is unable to bind DNA. Initial activation of HSF entails the conversion of monomers to homotrimers that bind to DNA with high affinity. Using gel filtration chromatography and equilibrium sedimentation, Zhong et al. (1998) recently showed that the trimerization and DNA binding of purified HSF can be directly induced by heat shock temperatures in vitro such that a higher percentage of trimers is observed at 40°C compared to 20°C.

It is intriguing that coiled–coil α-helices are implicated as part of the temperature-sensitive switch in both TlpA and HSF. TlpA contains a long coiled–coil domain that can switch between unfolded [monomer] and folded (coiled–coil, oligomer) states. Only the folded form of TlpA can act as a repressor. Hurme et al. [1997] suggest that high temperatures bring about the unfolding of the coiled–coil domain leading to the formation of nonfunctional monomers and the derepression of tlpA expression. The HSF trimerization domain encompasses several hydrophobic heptad repeats that are likely to assume a three-stranded coiled–coil structure in the HSF trimer. This three-stranded structure is thought to be precluded from forming in the monomer due to formation of an intramolecular coiled–coil structure. Thus, Zhong et al. (1998) suggest that activation of HSF may occur by a heat-induced conformational change that unmasks the trimerization domain in the monomer allowing trimer assembly. Further studies need to be carried out to elucidate the structures of TlpA and HSF at low and high temperatures. Whether coiled–coil α-helices are generally utilized as thermosensing domains in other proteins remains to be seen.

More thermometers?

Organisms are exposed to changes in temperature under a variety of conditions. In many environments, the temperature fluctuates substantially between day and night. Pathogens often encounter elevated temperatures when they enter the host organisms. Given the universal need to sense and respond to both increased and decreased environmental temperature, it is likely that many other thermosensors remain to be discovered. It will be interesting to compare the sensing mechanisms of these thermometers with the RNAs and proteins described above.
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