Dietary intake and household exposures as predictors of urinary concentrations of high molecular weight phthalates and bisphenol A in a cohort of adolescents
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Abstract

Background Phthalates and bisphenol A (BPA) are endocrine disrupting chemicals used in consumer products, building materials, and food processing and packaging materials. They are associated with adverse health outcomes, especially when exposure occurs during heightened windows of susceptibility.

Objective We evaluated the relationship between housing and dietary characteristics and the concentration of several high-molecular-weight (HMW) phthalate metabolites and BPA in a cohort of Latina adolescents.

Methods We collected information on recent food consumption and housing characteristics and quantified the concentration of HMW phthalate and BPA metabolites in urine collected at two different time points. We used generalized estimating equations (GEE) to assess predictors of each metabolite.

Results No significant associations were observed between housing and dietary characteristics and metabolites of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) or BPA. In contrast, higher urinary monobenzyl phthalate (MBzP) concentration was associated with living in a home with vinyl or linoleum flooring (66.7% change, p-value <0.01), while higher urinary mono(3-carboxypropyl) phthalate (MCPP) concentration was associated with recent consumption of coffee (47.2% change, p-value <0.01), and fast food (30.3% change, p-value <0.05).

Significance These findings may be useful in targeting interventions that reduce phthalate uptake in young adults.
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Introduction

Phthalates and bisphenol A (BPA) are endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) [1] associated with numerous adverse health outcomes such as reproduction and developmental dysfunction [2, 3], metabolic disease [4–7], neurobehavioral development [8, 9], and immune dysfunction [10, 11], especially when exposure occurs during critical windows of susceptibility. Phthalates can be classified into two categories based on their molecular weight (high molecular weight (HMW) and low molecular weight (LMW) phthalates) [12]. While LMW phthalates are used in personal care products and cosmetics as fragrance carriers and solvents [13, 14], HMW phthalates are added to polyvinyl chloride (PVC) plastic to increase durability and flexibility in food processing and packaging materials [15, 16], building materials [17], and medical devices [18]. Individuals are primarily exposed to HMW phthalates via ingestion of food...
contaminated through processing or packaging [19], as well as via inhalation in buildings containing PVC flooring or other materials [20–22].

Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) was historically the most commonly used HMW phthalate, making up about 50% of the market [23], although use has declined in recent years. Human biomonitoring data show that exposure to DEHP and butyl benzyl phthalate (BBzP) has declined [24], reflecting a manufacturing shift toward substitutes, including alternative HMW phthalates such as dioctyl phthalate (DOP), diisononyl phthalate (DiNP), and di-isodecyl phthalate (DiDP).

BPA is a key component in hard polycarbonate plastics. It is used in epoxy resins for consumer and food product packaging, including canned food [25], and it is also found in plastic dinnerware, dental sealants [26], and thermal receipts [27]. Humans are exposed via oral, inhalation, and dermal exposure, although oral exposure from dietary sources is the most common [28].

Many studies have found an association between living in a home with vinyl flooring and higher urinary concentrations of BBzP metabolites [20, 29–31]. One study found that adolescents were primarily exposed to BBzP from food sources, while infants were more commonly exposed through household dust [32].

Fast food is also associated with HMW phthalate exposure. A study conducted in the 2003–2010 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) cohort observed a positive, dose–response relationship between fast food intake and exposure to HMW phthalates, but not BPA [33]. In a study of 491 Mexican-American pregnant women from the same geographic location as those in the present study, consumption of hamburgers was measured as a proxy for fast food consumption. Participants who consumed hamburgers three or more times a week had 20% higher urinary BPA concentration than non-consumers [34]. Food monitoring and diet studies conducted in Japan found that use of disposable PVC gloves during the preparation and packaging of meals was a major source of dietary intake of DEHP and that sterilizing the gloves with alcohol increased its migration [35].

HMW phthalate exposure is also linked to consuming food from other sources away from home, such as full service restaurants and cafeterias. A study conducted in Italy compared concentrations of DEHP and DiNP in school meals before and after the food was packaged and found that packaging increased phthalate concentrations by over 100% [36]. A recent cross-sectional study of NHANES participants between 2005 and 2014 found that dining out was positively associated with potency-adjusted exposure to multiple phthalates across age groups in the U.S. general population. Among adolescents, consuming food away from the home the prior day was associated with as much as 55% higher cumulative phthalate exposure compared to eating food at home [37]. For the first time, the study showed that the contribution of certain food sources varies by age group. Cafeteria food was associated with a smaller percent difference in phthalate exposure among children, compared to adolescents and adults, despite children consuming two and seven times the amount of cafeteria food as adolescents and adults, respectively [37].

Although several studies have evaluated predictors of phthalate and phenol exposure during pregnancy and childhood, fewer have examined predictors in adolescents. We aimed to assess dietary and household characteristics relevant to HMW phthalate and BPA exposure in a well-characterized cohort of Mexican-American teenage girls in Salinas, CA. We hypothesized that recent consumption of heavily processed and packaged foods, as well as the presence of PVC products in the home, would be associated with higher concentrations of HMW phthalate metabolites. We also hypothesized that recent exposure to canned food and beverages, fast food, and receipts would be associated with higher urinary concentrations of BPA.

**Methods**

**Study population**

The Health and Environmental Research on Makeup of Salinas Adolescents (HERMOSA) Study was a youth-led intervention study to reduce LMW phthalate and phenol exposure from cosmetics among adolescent girls [13, 14, 38]. Although HMW phthalates and BPA were measured, the intervention did not address these chemicals. Briefly, study participants were 100 Latina adolescent girls living in Salinas, California, recruited through word of mouth and personal networks. Participants were eligible for the study if they were between 14 and 18 years old, spoke English or Spanish, and had lived in the United States for at least 1 year. Data collection took place in June and July 2013. Written informed consent was obtained from parents, and assent was obtained from each teenage participant. All study activities were approved by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at the University of California, Berkeley.

**Data collection**

Study participants were interviewed three times: during an initial home visit, where consent/assent was obtained, and at pre- and post-intervention office visits. The pre- and post-intervention visits were exactly 3 days apart during which time participants used only personal care products labeled free from LMW phthalates, parabens, triclosan, and...
benzophenone-3. The product intervention demonstrated reduced urinary concentrations of these chemicals [14]. The intervention did not require any changes to diet or other household exposures of interest, and urinary concentrations of HMW phthalates and BPA were not significantly different between the pre- and post-intervention visits (see Table S3 from Harley et al. [14]).

During the initial home visit, a participant (typically the parent) completed a usual practice questionnaire related to family and housing characteristics, as well as household cooking and cleaning habits.

Youth participants were provided a 24-h food diary on which they were instructed to list every food and beverage consumed the full day prior to and on the day of their pre-intervention office visit. They were asked to list the ingredients included in meals (e.g., the components of their burrito), to star any food or beverages that came from a can, and to note the origin of each meal consumed (i.e. home prepared, restaurant name, school, etc.). Participants handed in their first 24-h food diary at the pre-intervention office visit and were provided a second food diary to complete in the same way prior to the post-intervention office visit.

During both office visits, participants also completed a recent practice questionnaire asking them about their behaviors on the day of the office visit, including specific questions regarding whether they handled a receipt in the past 24 h or consumed a canned food or drink item that day. The questionnaire asked if participants had consumed specific breakfast items that day (cold cereal, eggs, or breakfast meat), specific lunch items (hamburger, french fries, pizza, burrito or taco, chicken, cold cuts or deli meats, tuna fish, or soup), or specific drink items (milk, 100% juice, sweetened juice, ice tea, soda, coffee or hot tea, or water). Participants were encouraged to look at their food diary form to help remember.

At each office visit, the participant provided a spot urine sample in a sterile polypropylene cup for measurement of phthalate and BPA analytes.

Dietary and household predictors

Each family’s usual practices were ascertained at the home visit and included whether or not participants usually stored leftovers or microwaved in plastic, whether there was a plastic or vinyl shower curtain in the home, and whether the home had any linoleum and/or vinyl flooring. Although vinyl flooring contains phthalates and linoleum flooring does not [39], preliminary research showed that participants were unlikely to know whether their floors were vinyl or linoleum, and so this was asked as a single category. Whether or not each participant operated a cash register at work was ascertained at the office visits from the questionnaire.

Recent practices (past 1–12 h) (“Foods Today,” “Drinks Today,” “Canned Items Today”, and “Recent Receipt Contact”) were ascertained from the recent practice questionnaires and chosen a priori based on the literature. “Recent receipt contact” (past 24 h) was also ascertained from recent practice questionnaire. The food and drink items were limited to those in which a sufficient frequency of the population had consumed that day (i.e. N > 5 for both a “yes” and “no” response). If participants had responded “yes” to eating breakfast that day, they were then asked if they had consumed specific breakfast, lunch, drink, and canned items listed in the prior section. The office visits took place early morning to mid-afternoon, and so some participants had only consumed breakfast, while others had consumed both breakfast and lunch the day of the visit. Dietary intake (past 1–12 h) from the questionnaires was used for most analyses, because it was more difficult to ascertain food categories from the food diary data, although dietary intake recorded on the food diary was examined in supplemental analyses.

The origin of foods (past 24 h) (i.e. fast food, school meal) was obtained from the participants’ 24-h food diaries. Participants were asked to provide the location of each meal, which included the specific restaurant name, if applicable. Based on their responses, “fast food” and “school meal” binary variables were created, which indicate whether a participant had consumed any fast food or school meal, respectively, in the past 24 h. At present, there is no agreed upon definition of “fast food” for research purposes [40]. The NHANES definition was used in the present study, which classifies fast food as: (1) food obtained from restaurants without waiter/waitress service, or (2) food from pizza restaurants, regardless of waiter/waitress service, or (3) carry out and delivery food [33].

Laboratory analysis

Urine specific gravity was measured in the field at the time of collection using a handheld refractometer (PAL-10S, Atago USA Inc.). All urine samples were then aliquoted and frozen at −80 °C until shipment on dry ice to the Environmental Health Laboratory of the California Department of Public Health in Richmond, California, for analysis.

Six urinary HMW phthalate metabolites were measured and included in the analysis: monobenzyl phthalate (MBzP), a metabolite of BBzP; mono-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (MEHP), mono-(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate (MEHHP), mono-(2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl) phthalate (MEOHP), and mono-(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate (MECCP), all metabolites of DEHP; and mono (3-carboxypropyl) phthalate (MCP), a metabolite of DOP and a non-specific metabolite of several HMW phthalates.
Urinary phthalate metabolites were quantified using a high-performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometer (HPLC-MS/MS) (API 5000; AB Sciex). As described previously [14], a mixture of stable isotope-labeled internal standards (Cambridge Isotopes) was added to samples. The spiked samples were digested with glucuronidase at 37 °C for 90 min. After digestion, the samples were injected into an on-line solid-phase extraction column. The analytes were separated chromatographically on a Betasil™ phenyl column in a mobile phase, which consisted of acetonitrile and 0.1% acetic acid, in gradient elution mode [41]. MS/MS data were acquired using multi-period mode during the chromatographic elution time. An electrospray ionization source, operating in negative mode, ionized the analytes.

Urinary BPA concentrations were quantified using a reverse-phase HPLC-MS/MS system (API 5500 QTRAP; AB Sciex) as described previously [42]. Urine samples were spiked with stable isotope-labeled internal standards. The spiked samples were enzymatically de-conjugated overnight at 37 °C. After digestion, the samples were processed by solid-phase extraction using C18 cartridges. The eluents were evaporated and reconstituted with mobile phase prior to analyses. Atmospheric pressure chemical ionization ionized analytes.

The $r^2$ of each of the analyte calibration curves was $\geq 0.99$. Randomly selected samples were analyzed in duplicate. The relative percent difference between duplicates ranged from 0 to 19.6% for samples greater than the limit of detection (LOD). Quality control samples were included in every analytical run. Recoveries were within 30% of the respective target values. All analytes had a coefficient of variation (CV) of $\leq 15\%$, indicating that the precision for each quality control level was sufficient. For field quality control, 20 blanks were collected using highly purified water in contact with all field collection materials. Analytes in the field blanks were below their respective LODs.

Concentrations below the LOD were assigned the value of LOD/(square root 2) [43]. Chemical analyte concentrations were reported in ng/mL of urine. To adjust for variability in urine output, dependent on the hydration state of the participant at the time of sample collection, urinary concentrations were corrected for specific gravity, using the equation: (analyte concentration $\times [1.018–1]$)/ (sample specific gravity–1), where 1.018 is the median specific gravity for the population [44]. The HMW phthalate metabolites and BPA were log-normally distributed and log$_2$-transformed for analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

The main outcomes of interest were urinary concentrations of BPA, MBzP, MCPP, and the DEHP metabolites. Because DEHP metabolizes to four different metabolites, a single variable for the molar sum of the DEHP metabolites ($\Sigma$DEHP) was created by dividing each metabolite concentration by its molecular weight and then summing: $\Sigma$DEHP = [(MEHP $\times (1/278.348)$) + (MEHHP $\times (1/294.347)$) + (MEOHP $\times (1/292.331)$) + (MECPP $\times (1/308.33)$)]. Uncorrected and specific gravity-corrected geometric means (GM), distributions, and detection frequencies were calculated for each of the urinary metabolites of HMW phthalates and BPA. Because all metabolite concentrations were log-normally distributed, we log-transformed these variables using a log$_2$ transformation, which was more appropriate to the range of the concentrations than a log$_{10}$ or natural log.

To examine how GM concentrations in this cohort compared to those of a nationally representative sample, urinary HMW phthalate and BPA concentrations were compared to unweighted concentrations for female 12–19 year olds participating in the 2013–2014 wave of the NHANES ($N=235$) using two-sample $t$-tests of log$_2$-transformed concentrations [45, 46].

Dietary and household predictors of urinary MBzP, $\Sigma$DEHP, MCPP, and BPA concentrations were examined in the HERMOSA dataset using generalized estimating equations (GEE) models to account for repeated measures on each individual. Each model was mutually adjusted for all predictors, as well as for age, body mass index (BMI), and time since last meal. It was assumed that time since last meal would influence the magnitude of urinary concentration of each of the metabolites, due to their short half-lives [47]. A sample size of 200 was used (two office visits each for 100 participants), and the GEE models accounted for non-independence of the two visits within the same participant. An exchangeable correlation structure was chosen, assuming correlations were constant between any two observations on the same subject. A single set of a priori predictors was used for all HMW phthalate metabolite models, while a different set of a priori predictors was used for the BPA model. Percent change in concentration of urinary metabolites was calculated for each predictor from the regression coefficient (expressed as log$_2$), using the equation $(2^{\text{Coefficient}} – 1) \times 100$. In addition, we calculated the GM of phthalate and BPA metabolite concentrations among those with and without significant predictors. Finally, we ran sensitivity analyses in which we ran equivalent GEE models using food diary data, instead of questionnaire data, to ascertain participant’s recent practices (past 1–12 h).

**Results**

All participants were Latina girls between the ages of 14 and 18 years old. The majority of participants in the study were born in the United States (81%), lived in Spanish-
speaking households (86%), and were low income (67% were from households with annual incomes less than or equal to $36,000). The majority of the participants’ parents did not graduate from high school. Most participants had a normal BMI (56%) (Table 1). All the participants were interviewed in English, while their parents were interviewed in either English or Spanish.

The majority of participants reported using plastic containers for leftovers (94%). Half of the participants reported microwaving leftovers in plastic containers (50%) and living in a home with linoleum or vinyl flooring (50%). The majority of participants reported living in a home with a plastic or vinyl shower curtain (75%), while few reported operating a cash register (a marker for handling a large volume of receipts) at work (7%) (Table 2). A small percentage of individuals reported consumption of breakfast meat, eggs, chicken, and cold cuts the day of each office visit (<10%). The majority of participants drank bottled water (60%), and almost half of the participants consumed milk (48%) the day of each office visit (Table 2). In addition, about one-third of the participants consumed fast food in the 24 h leading up to the office visit (38%) and/or touched a receipt (42%) (Table 2).

All HMW phthalate urinary metabolites were detected in over 90% of the participant samples, while BPA was detected in over 80% of participant samples. The distributions of urinary metabolite concentrations are summarized in Table 3. Mean log2-transformed urinary phthalate concentrations in the study population were either similar or lower than those of the nationally representative sample of female 12–19 year olds participating in the 2013–2014 wave of the NHANES. There was no significant difference between MBzP and MEHP metabolites in the two study populations. In contrast, MEHHP, MECPP, MEOHP, MCPP, and BPA metabolites were significantly lower in the HERMOSA study, compared to the female 12–19-year-old NHANES participants.

### Table 1 Demographic characteristics of HERMOSA Study participants (N = 100).

| Characteristic          | N   | (%)  |
|-------------------------|-----|------|
| Age (years)             |     |      |
| 14                      | 11  | (11%)|
| 15                      | 22  | (22%)|
| 16                      | 29  | (29%)|
| 17                      | 30  | (30%)|
| 18                      | 8   | (8%) |
| Country of birth        |     |      |
| United States           | 81  | (81%)|
| Mexico                  | 19  | (19%)|
| Language spoken at home |     |      |
| Mostly English          | 14  | (14%)|
| Mostly Spanish          | 57  | (57%)|
| Both Spanish and English| 29  | (29%)|
| Annual household income |     |      |
| ≤$24,000                | 38  | (38%)|
| $24,000-$36,000         | 29  | (29%)|
| >$36,000                | 25  | (25%)|
| Unknown/refused         | 8   | (8%) |
| Parental highest education |   |      |
| 6th grade or less       | 25  | (25%)|
| 7–12th grade            | 32  | (32%)|
| High school graduate    | 33  | (33%)|
| Unknown                 | 10  | (10%)|
| BMI                     |     |      |
| Underweight             | 4   | (4%) |
| Normal weight           | 56  | (56%)|
| Overweight              | 26  | (26%)|
| Obese                   | 14  | (14%)|

### Table 2 Frequency of reported dietary and household exposures for HERMOSA study participants for usual practices (asked at home visit) and recent practices (asked at office visits 1 and 2).

| Exposure                                                                 | Percentage of study population |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| **Family’s Usual Practices**                                            |                                |
| Plastic containers for leftovers                                       | 94%                            |
| Microwave in plastic                                                   | 50%                            |
| Linoleum or vinyl floors in home                                       | 50%                            |
| Plastic or vinyl shower curtain                                       | 75%                            |
| Operate cash register at work                                          | 7%                             |
| **Participant’s Recent Practices (past 1–12 h)**                       |                                |
| Foods Today (yes/no)                                                   |                                |
| Breakfast meat                                                         | 7%                             |
| Eggs                                                                    | 9%                             |
| Chicken (including sandwich)                                           | 8%                             |
| Cold cuts or deli meats                                                | 5%                             |
| Drinks Today (yes/no)                                                  |                                |
| Coffee or hot tea                                                      | 8%                             |
| 100% fruit juice                                                       | 15%                            |
| Sweetened fruit drink                                                  | 8%                             |
| Milk                                                                    | 48%                            |
| Bottled water                                                          | 60%                            |
| Canned Items Today (yes/no)                                            |                                |
| Any canned food or drink                                              | 9.5%                           |
| **Participant’s Recent Practices (past 24 h)**                         |                                |
| Receipt Contact                                                        |                                |
| Receipt today or yesterday                                            | 42%                            |
| Meal Location                                                          |                                |
| Fast food today or yesterday                                           | 38%                            |
| School meal today or yesterday                                         | 12%                            |

aN = 200 because asked at office visits 1 and 2.
Higher urinary MBzP concentrations were seen among adolescent girls living in a home with vinyl or linoleum flooring (66.7% change, \(p\)-value <0.01), or who usually used plastic containers for leftovers (71.4% change, \(p\)-value <0.10) (Table 4). Higher urinary MCPP concentrations were associated with several recent exposures, which included consuming chicken (58.5% change, \(p\)-value <0.10), consuming cold cuts (87.9% change, \(p\)-value <0.10), drinking coffee (47.2% change, \(p\)-value <0.01), and eating fast food (30.3% change, \(p\)-value <0.05). There were no strong associations between urinary DEHP metabolite concentration and usual or recent exposures.

The absolute change, rather than the percent change, associated with these predictors is shown in Supplemental Table 1, which presents the GM of HMW phthalate urinary analyte concentrations (ng/mL) by (yes/no) exposure of predictors with \(p\)-value <0.10 in GEE models. Urinary MBzP concentration GM among participants living in a home with vinyl or linoleum flooring was 8.7 ng/mL, compared to 5.0 ng/mL among participants who did not. The GM among participants who usually used plastic containers for leftovers was 6.8 ng/mL, compared to 3.9 ng/mL among participants who did not. Urinary MCPP concentration GM among participants who had recently consumed chicken, cold cuts, and coffee was 3.1 ng/mL, 3.9 ng/mL, and 2.4 ng/mL, respectively, compared to 1.8 ng/mL among those who did not. The GM among participants who had recently consumed fast food was 2.2 ng/mL, compared to GM 1.7 ng/mL among those who had not.

Urinary BPA concentrations were associated with operating a cash register at work (65.2% change, \(p\)-value <0.10). In contrast, there was no association between any recent practice predictor and BPA concentration (Table 5). GM BPA urinary analyte concentrations (ng/mL) by (yes/no) exposure of predictors with \(p\)-value <0.10 in GEE models are reported in Supplemental Table 1. Urinary BPA GM among participants who operate a cash register at work was 1.7 ng/mL, compared to 1.1 ng/mL among those who did not.

In sensitivity analyses, in which we used food diary data to ascertain participants’ recent dietary practices (past 1–12 h), instead of the questionnaire given on the day of each office visit, similar results were observed for MBzP and DEHP metabolites, but coffee consumption was no longer significantly associated with MCPP metabolite.

### Discussion

We found that higher MBzP urinary concentration was associated with vinyl/linoleum flooring in the home, while higher MCPP concentration was associated with recent dietary consumption of coffee and/or hot tea and fast food.

Prior studies have demonstrated adverse health effects associated with exposure to phthalates and BPA during adolescence. For example, a recent cross-sectional study of 205 adolescents in the New Bedford Cohort found an association between an increase in urinary concentration of the molar sum of 11 phthalate metabolites, derived from antiandrogenic parent compounds, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)-related behavior problems [48]. Another cross-sectional study in a subset of 2003–2004 NHANES participants (8–15 years) found that higher urinary BPA concentration was associated with ADHD [49]. A cross-sectional study conducted in Chinese school children (8–15 years) found that nine urinary phthalate metabolites and five molar sums were positively associated with BMI and/or waist circumference [50]. Urinary BPA concentration was also associated with obesity in children and adolescents in a cross-sectional study of 2003–2008 NHANES participants (6–19 years) [51]. In addition, a study conducted in adolescents in Belgium (14–15 years) found a positive association between MnBP (metabolite of DBP) and \(\Sigma\)DEHP and asthma diagnosis, as well as 8-OHdG, a biomarker of oxidative stress [52].

The association between higher urinary concentrations of MBzP and living in a home with vinyl/linoleum flooring in our study is consistent with prior studies [20, 29, 30, 53]. For instance, one study found that living in a home with
PVC flooring in the kitchen and master bedroom, compared to no PVC, was associated with increased concentration of MBzP (99.9%, p-value <0.0001) in the first trimester urine of pregnant women [20]. The creatinine-corrected GM of MBzP in the study was similar to that of the specific-gravity corrected GM of MBzP in the HERMOSA study. Another study in Swedish mothers and children (ages 6–11 years old) found that living in a house with PVC in floorings or wall coverings was associated with higher levels of MBzP ($\beta = 0.48$, 95% CI: 0.04, 0.91). The GM levels ($\mu g/g$ creatinine) for those with PVC floorings, compared to those without, were 17.47 and 7.49 [30].

Finally, a prospective cohort study in New York City of 239 children reported that “vinyl or linoleum” flooring in the home environment was observed in 135 (56%) of monitored rooms, and that these rooms had significantly higher indoor air GM concentrations of BBzP (23.9 ng/m$^3$) than rooms with wood or carpet flooring (10.6 ng/m$^3$). Children from homes with “vinyl or linoleum” flooring had significantly higher urinary BBzP metabolite concentrations (GM: 32.6; interquartile range (IQR): 15.5, 70.9 ng/mL), compared to children with carpet or wood flooring (GM: 18.3, IQR: 9.1, 37.2 ng/mL), while indoor air DEHP was not associated with flooring type [53].

In our study, participants were asked whether they had linoleum or vinyl flooring as a proxy for vinyl flooring. This likely resulted in exposure misclassification, as phthalates would be expected in vinyl and not linoleum flooring products. However, this exposure misclassification would have likely biased estimates toward the null. Regardless, we still found a significant association between this predictor and MBzP concentration.

### Table 4 GEE population-averaged model for predictors of urinary concentration of phthalate metabolites (ng/mL) in HERMOSA participants.

| Predictor | MBzP % Change$^a$ (95% C.I.) | ΣDEHP % Change$^a$ (95% C.I.) | MCPP % Change$^a$ (95% C.I.) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Family’s Usual Practices** | | | |
| Plastic containers for leftovers | 71.4* (4.6, 138.2) | 8.3 (–21.1, 37.6) | –18.1 (–65.0, 28.7) |
| Microwave in plastic | 25.6 (–3.6, 54.7) | 0.7 (–26.5, 28.0) | 1.2 (–22.5, 24.8) |
| Linoleum or vinyl floors in home | 66.7*** (35.9, 97.5) | 1.5 (–25.9, 28.8) | 15.1 (–8.4, 38.6) |
| Plastic or vinyl shower curtain | 10.9 (–25.4, 47.2) | –12.2 (–44.5, 20.1) | –18.1 (–44.9, 8.7) |
| **Participant’s Recent Practices (past 1–12 h)** | | | |
| Foods Today | | | |
| Breakfast meat | –13.8 (–57.3, 29.7) | 17.3 (–49.6, 84.3) | –2.7 (–49.0, 54.4) |
| Eggs | 15.1 (–28.8, 59.1) | –1.3 (–47.0, 44.3) | –10.7 (–57.5, 36.1) |
| Chicken | 4.5 (–34.1, 43.2) | 4.7 (–45.3, 54.7) | 58.5* (5.1, 111.9) |
| Cold cuts or deli meats | –16.2 (–72.6, 40.2) | 11.5 (–43.0, 66.1) | 87.9* (3.7, 172.0) |
| Drinks Today | | | |
| Coffee or hot tea | –13.9 (–54.9, 27.2) | 4.9 (–35.1, 45.0) | 47.2*** (21.7, 72.8) |
| 100% fruit juice | –14.4 (–37.5, 8.7) | –0.3 (–34.9, 34.4) | 20.8 (–9.6, 51.2) |
| Sweetened fruit drink | 15.3 (–22.4, 53.0) | 12.7 (–31.1, 56.4) | –9.3 (–51.2, 32.5) |
| Milk | –2.6 (–28.5, 23.3) | 11.3 (–14.4, 37.0) | 17.5 (–6.5, 41.5) |
| Bottled water | –5.8 (–26.1, 14.5) | –10.8 (–33.3, 11.6) | –2.9 (–26.0, 20.3) |
| **Participant’s Recent Practices (past 24 h)** | | | |
| Meal Location | | | |
| Fast food today or yesterday | –9.0 (–27.4, 9.5) | 14.2 (–5.3, 33.8) | 30.3** (7.0, 53.5) |
| School meal today or yesterday | –7.4 (–41.2, 26.3) | –17.1 (–59.0, 24.9) | 23.8 (–13.5, 61.0) |

$^a$p-Value < 0.10; **p-value < 0.05; ***p-value < 0.01.

$^a$Model mutually adjusted for all predictors in table, plus age, BMI, and time since last meal.
Although our study was not able to measure specifically higher urinary MCPP concentration was associated with 2003 in this study. to MCPP, which was associated with fast food consumption metabolites of DiNP, this compound likely also metabolizes tions (\(\Sigma\)DEHP metabolites (\(\beta = 0.048, 95\% \text{ CI: } 0.021, 0.075\)) [55]. In our analysis, only MCPP urinary concentration was associated with poultry consumption. The differences between analyses could be due to changes in HMW phthalate use [24], as the NHANES study was conducted about a decade ago. A cross-sectional study examined the relationship between food and caloric intake, assessed by 24-h dietary recalls, and urinary phthalate metabolites in 2743 children and adolescents (ages 6–19) from the NHANES 2003–2008 cohort and observed an association between higher consumption of meat, poultry, and fish and increased HMW metabolite (% increment/unit consumption 0.09, 95% CI: 0.02, 0.16) and \(\Sigma\)DEHP metabolite (0.09, 95% CI: 0.02, 0.17) [56]. Animal products are a potential source of phthalates from processing and packaging [15, 56–58]. Consistently, analyses of milk in Belgium found higher concentrations of DEHP and BBzP in milk retail products than in raw cow milk [59].

Unlike other studies, we did not find that specific food consumption was associated with higher urinary concentrations of DEHP metabolites. Many studies have examined dietary predictors of DEHP metabolites, because DEHP was historically the most common phthalate plasticizer and prevalent in food products. However, marketplace trends in phthalate use are changing as a result of recent legislation. DEHP is increasingly being replaced with DiNP and DiDP in products. As of 2012, these two phthalates combined account for 30–60% of the current plasticizer market in the United States and the European Union [24]. These market trends are reflected in U.S. biomonitoring data showing that \(\Sigma\)DEHP metabolites decreased by 37% and MCOP (a DiNP metabolite) increased by 149% between 2001 and 2010 [24]. Thus, the lack of strong associations between \(\Sigma\)DEHP urinary metabolite concentration and dietary predictors in our study may reflect changing trends.

BPA is used in polycarbonate plastics and epoxy resins, which are present in the inner coatings of cans and can migrate from cans and other plastics into food [60]. BPA is also used in certain cash register and point-of-contact receipts [61]. We hypothesized recent canned food and beverage consumption, as well as recent receipt contact, would be associated with increased urinary BPA concentration. There was no association between recent receipt contact or recent canned food and beverage consumption. In contrast, there was a slight association between operating a cash register and higher BPA concentration in our study. In a Swedish cohort of mothers and children in 2006–2008, there was no association between urinary BPA concentration and canned food

### Table 5 GEE population-averaged model for predictors of urinary concentration of BPA (ng/mL) in HERMOSA participants.

| Predictor                              | % Change\(^a\) (95% C.I.) |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Family’s Usual Practices               |                           |
| Plastic containers for leftovers       | 33.9 (−26.8, 94.6)        |
| Microwave in plastic                   | 6.6 (−32.4, 45.8)         |
| Operate cash register at work          | 65.2\(^*\) (1.1, 129.3)   |
| Participant’s Recent Practices (past 1–12 h) |                |
| Foods Today                            |                           |
| Breakfast meat                         | −38.6 (−132.8, 55.7)      |
| Eggs                                   | 15.4 (−47.4, 78.2)        |
| Chicken (including sandwich)           | −21.2 (−86.0, 43.5)       |
| Cold cuts or deli meats                | −13.6 (−130.3, 103.1)     |
| Drinks Today                           |                           |
| Coffee or hot tea                      | −25.9 (−91.3, 39.5)       |
| 100% fruit juice                       | 12.2 (−33.2, 57.6)        |
| Sweetened fruit drink                  | −18.6 (−98.7, 61.5)       |
| Milk                                   | −6.4 (−44.7, 31.9)        |
| Bottled water                          | −2.1 (−37.0, 32.8)        |
| Canned Items Today (yes/no)            |                           |
| Any canned food or drink               | 43.2 (−6.1, 92.5)         |
| Participant’s Recent Practices (past 24 h) |               |
| Receipt Contact                        |                           |
| Touched receipt today or yesterday     | −10.4 (−48.6, 27.9)       |
| Meal Location                          |                           |
| Fast food today or yesterday           | 23.1 (−48.6, 98.7)        |
| School meal today or yesterday         | −21.8 (−79.2, 35.7)       |

\(^{a}\)p-Value < 0.10.

\(^{a}\)Model mutually adjusted for all predictors, plus age, BMI, and time since last meal.

We found that consuming fast food the day of or day prior to the office visit was associated with higher MCOP concentrations. Fast food consumption at least once per week, compared to less than once per week, was associated with higher urinary concentrations of metabolites of BBzP (55%, 95% CI: 9, 123) and DiNP (35%, 95% CI: −2, 85) in a cohort of U.S. children in Ohio [54]. A study examining the 2003–2010 NHANES cohort found that both fast food intake and fast food-derived fat intake was positively associated with metabolites of DEHP and DiNP [33]. Although our study was not able to measure specific metabolites of DiNP, this compound likely also metabolizes to MCOP, which was associated with fast food consumption in this study.

In an exploratory analysis of data collected as part of the 2003–2004 NHANES, total dairy consumption (assessed from a 24-h dietary recall) was significantly associated with higher log-transformed creatinine-adjusted MCPP concentrations (\(\beta = 0.039, 95\% \text{ CI: } 0.021, 0.057\)) [55]. In our analysis, higher urinary MCPP concentration was associated with coffee and/or hot tea the day of the office visit, which does sometimes contain milk, but also could be due to packaging or processing. The consumption of milk alone was not a strong predictor of MCPP concentration in our models. In the same NHANES study, poultry consumption was a significant predictor of individual and \(\Sigma\)DEHP metabolites (\(\beta = 0.048, 95\% \text{ CI: } 0.021, 0.075\)) [55].
or beverage consumption [62], although another study from a
2003–2006 cohort found a positive association between
urinary BPA concentration and canned vegetable consump-
tion frequency in U.S. men and women [63]. A study char-
acterizing dietary BPA exposure in the French population in
2005 found that canned products accounted for about 50% of
total BPA exposure [64]. Differences could be due to some
companies phasing out BPA with other understudied chemi-
cals such as bisphenol S and bisphenol F [65].

Findings from a study examining whether increased con-
tact with thermal paper receipts is associated with increased
BPA excretion in NHANES participants (2003–2004) [66]
was consistent with the present study findings. In the
NHANES study, females with potential occupational expo-
sure to thermal paper receipts had higher levels of urinary
BPA (GM: 5.45, 95% CI: 4.02, 7.39 µg/L) compared to
females with unlikely occupational exposure (GM: 2.16, 95%
CI: 1.73, 2.70 µg/L) [66]. In the present study, females who
operate a cash register at work had higher urinary BPA
concentration than those who do not (GM: 1.7 ng/mL,
compared to GM: 1.1 ng/mL). However, receipt contact in the past
24 h was not associated with BPA concentration, suggesting
that there could be additional occupational BPA exposures in
cash register jobs, or that the duration and magnitude of
receipt contact may have an impact (i.e. persistent handling of
receipts versus touching a receipt).

One limitation of the present study is that we were not
able to measure more metabolites of substitution chemicals,
such as DiNP, DiDP, DINCH, BPS, and BPF. In addition,
there were not enough participants eating certain food items
of interest, such as pizza and hamburgers, to proceed with
these analyses. The dietary items selected for the models
were chosen based on prior knowledge and whether enough
participants consumed them.

The major strength of our study was that it examined
predictors of urinary concentration of MCPP, a metabolite
of DOP and other HMW phthalates, which are replacing
DEHP and are relatively understudied in the literature. The
study was also specifically designed to obtain detailed,
individual level data on sources and timing of dietary and
household exposures within the past 24 h to examine assosciations with phthalate and phenol concentrations in
adolescents.

This is the first study to examine sources of HMW
phthalate and BPA in adolescents, specifically in a Mexican-
American population, who may have distinct dietary and
behavioral patterns. By examining the relationship between
urinary concentrations of HMW phthalates and BPA meta-
bolites and specific dietary and household exposure predictors
in population subsets, the most significant exposure sources
 can be pinpointed in order to guide product regulation and
consumer recommendations. This is important in providing
guidance for pregnant women, children, and adolescents,
because exposure to EDCs during these critical windows can
interfere with normal developmental processes and result in
adverse health effects later in life.

While urinary MBzP concentration was associated with
household factors, MCPP concentration was associated with
recent dietary exposures. There were no strong associations
between dietary and household predictors and DEHP
metabolites and BPA. Adolescence is a critical period of
development and growth, during which sensitivity to the
adverse effects of EDCs increases [67]. Interventions that
remove PVC gloves, tubing, and containers from food
manufacturing and production processes, as well as replace
vinyl and linoleum flooring in homes, could be beneficial in
reducing exposure to HMW phthalates.
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