An Enzyme-Based Biosensor for the Detection of Organophosphate Compounds Using Mutant Phosphotriesterase Immobilized onto Reduced Graphene Oxide
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Enzymatic detection of organophosphate (OP) compounds can be tailored using highly sensitive and selective enzymes in the development of biosensors. Previously, mutant (YT) phosphotriesterase (PTE) was reported to efficiently hydrolyze Sp and Rp enantiomers of phosphotriester. This study reports the use of phosphotriesterase mutant YT (YT-PTE) immobilized onto reduced graphene oxide (rGO) and fabricated onto a screen-printed carbon electrode (SPCE) for electrochemical detection of OP compounds. Immobilization of YT-PTE onto rGO was secured using N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) and N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide (EDC) cross-linker, and the resulting immobilized enzyme was able to retain up to 90% of its activity. Electrochemical analysis of the SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE showed detection of paraoxon in a linear range of 1 mM–0.005 μM with its limit of detection as low as 0.11 μM. SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE exhibited high selectivity towards paraoxon and parathion and have good reproducibility. Furthermore, detection of paraoxon was also possible in a real water sample with only minor interferences.

1. Introduction

The use of agricultural and domestic pesticides is a common practice among farmers and plant breeders for the purpose of protecting their crops from pests so that high-quality produce with high marketability can be cultivated. Increases in the use of pesticides, however, have raised public concerns over the possibility of leachate contamination of such pesticides in water sources, food sources, and the environment as a whole [1]. Organophosphate (OP) compounds are examples of a group of toxic compounds that are presently used as pesticides. Apart from being a major constituent in many brands of pesticide, OP compounds such as paraoxon, parathion, and malathion are also used to make plasticizers and other insecticides and even misused as chemical warfare agents [2–5]. OP compounds are known to be inhibitors towards the enzyme acetylcholine esterase (AChE), an enzyme that is crucial for the functional operation of the nervous system. Inhibition of the enzyme AChE has neurotoxic effects on humans and animals as it disrupts the transfer of neurotransmitters, which will result in paralysis and ultimately cause death [6, 7]. Cases
involving OP compound pollution have been reported over the past few years and these numbers are increasing at an alarming rate [1, 8, 9].

The development of portable sensors utilizing functional materials, polymers, and biomolecules is seen to ease the process of analysis through the use of more simplified methods [10]. One manner of performing such detection is through the use of enzymes as bioreceptors for the desired compound. The use of enzymes in biosensors is deemed to be favorable due to its specificity and selectivity towards specific substrates. Organophosphate hydrolase (OPH) is an enzyme capable of hydrolyzing the P-O bonds of OP compounds, thus releasing the radicals that contribute to the toxicity of the compound and thereby rendering it neutralized [11, 12].

Phosphotriesterase (PTE), a type of OPH, has been shown to be able to hydrolyze OP compounds such as paraoxon and parathion exceptionally well [13, 14]. Tsai et al. have highlighted a specific PTE variant known as mutant H257Y/L303T (YT-PTE), which exhibits enhanced hydrolysis affinity towards a series of toxic enantiomers of the OP compound [15]. In addition to high affinity towards various OP compound enantiomers, they also exhibit enhanced kinetic value resulting in a faster hydrolysis rate of OP compound than other mutant variants and wild-type PTE [15]. This enzyme is a suitable candidate for the development of a biosensor utilizing an on-site detection method where the OPH will act as a bioreceptor that is fabricated onto a screen-printed electrode to allow for the electrochemical detection of specific OP compounds [16]. In addition to the detection ability against OP compounds, using PTE as a biosensor is a technique that can be done in a relatively short amount of time and both the electrode and enzyme are relatively inexpensive [17].

In various works in the literature, it has been described that the detection of OP compounds utilizing enzymes has been conducted via either an electrochemical approach [18, 19] or an optical approach [20, 21]. In the electrochemical approach, OPH is usually immobilized onto nanomaterial supports such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs), carbon black, mesoporous carbon, and graphene. The use of these carbon-based nanomaterial supports is appealing since these materials are relatively inexpensive, have good conductive properties, and are easy to handle [22]. The immobilization of OPH onto conductive nanomaterials facilitates electron transfer within the sensor system since biomolecules such as enzymes on their own are generally nonconductive in nature [23]. Nowadays, the use of graphene oxide (GO) as nanomaterial support has gained some interest among researchers due to its structural and electrochemical properties. Although GO can be tailored to have many functional groups on the surface that can efficiently bind any biomolecule [24], the presence of oxide layers could reduce the electrochemical sensing performance in terms of redox activities [25]. As a result, in order to eliminate oxide layers, the GO has to go through the reduction process, forming reduced graphene oxide (rGO). rGO has gained interest in electrochemical sensing due to its unique properties, including high surface area [26], low manufacturing cost [27], good biocompatibility [28], outstanding mechanical flexibility [29], and high thermal and electrical conductivity [30–32]. The utilization of rGO as a biorecognition also has been widely used for electrochemical sensing, such as cortisol hormone [33, 34], cancer biomarker [35], dopamine [36], glucose [37], human T-lymphotropic virus-1 (HTLV-1) [38], and DNA [39]. However, earlier studies have not yet reported on the combination of YT-PTE with rGO as a new biorecognition for OP detection, which is seen as an interesting work to explore. Hence, this work reports the immobilization of phosphotriesterase YT mutant (YT-PTE) onto reduced graphene oxide (rGO) as a promising bioreceptor, with high affinity and high specificity, for use in the electrochemical detection of OP compounds.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals, Reagents, and Apparatus. The gene sequence of the crystal structure of YT-PTE was obtained from the protein data bank (PDB ID 2OB3). This gene was synthesized by Apical Sdn. Bhd., Selangor, Malaysia, and was subcloned into pET51b and expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3). Yeast extract and tryptone were purchased from Oxoid (Hampshire, UK). Ammonium sulfate [(NH4)2SO4], potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH2HPO4), sodium hydrogen phosphate (NaHPO4), magnesium sulfate heptahydrate (MgSO4.7H2O), sodium sulfate (Na2SO4), D(-)-glucose, N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), and N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N’-ethylcarbodiimide (EDC) were purchased from Merck (Kenilworth, NJ). Aminofunctionalized reduced graphene oxide (rGO), glycerol, α-lactose, paraxon-ethyl, and phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Ampicillin was purchased from Gold Biotechnology (St. Louis, MO). Solvents used in this research were of analytical grade, including ethanol, which was purchased from R&M Chemicals (Essex, UK). Screen-printed carbon electrodes (SPCE) were purchased from DropSens (Oviedo, Spain). The electrochemical analysis was conducted using an Autolab PGSTAT 204 potentiostat. All solutions used in this research were prepared with double-distilled water.

2.2. Expression and Purification of PTE. E. coli BL21 harboring pET51b/YT-PTE was grown in autoinduction media following the method of Studier [40] with glucose as the primary source of carbon and α-lactose as the inducer. The starting culture was inoculated in 400 mL of autoinduction media supplemented with 50 µg/mL ampicillin and grown in an incubator shaker at 37°C, 150 rpm for 3 h. The temperature was then reduced to 25°C and the culture was further incubated for 24 h. Next, the culture was centrifuged at 4°C, 10,000 rpm for 10 mins, resuspended in 0.1 M Tris-Cl buffer (pH 9.3), and sonicated thrice with intermittent timing of 30 secs to obtain the soluble crude PTE. Purification of the PTE was conducted using anion exchange chromatography XK16/20 column (GE Healthcare, USA) packed with Q-sepharose resin. The column was equilibrated with 0.1 M
2.3. Assay of Phosphotriesterase Activity. The enzyme activity of PTE was measured using paraaxon as the substrate following the method of Laothanachareon et al. [41] with slight modification. 100 μL of 1 mM paraaxon was mixed with 890 μL of PBS solution prior to the addition of 10 μL of the enzyme in a microcentrifuge tube. The mixture was briefly mixed by vortexing and was incubated at 30°C for 10 minutes. The reaction was terminated by adding 200 μL of absolute ethanol. The supernatant of the mixture was collected for absorbance reading at A400. A unit of phosphotriesterase activity was defined as the production of one mol of p-nitrophenol (pNP) per minute under standard conditions.

2.4. Construction of the Sensing Electrode SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE. A 1 mg/mL of reduced graphene oxide (rGO) suspension was dispersed to homogeneity in PBS buffer solution by ultrasonication for 10 mins. An equal amount of 5 mM Fe3(CN)6 solution supplemented with 0.1M KCI as the electrolyte was dropped onto the surface of the modified working electrode, and the electrochemical analysis was recorded using cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV). The potential range of CV and DPV analysis was set in the range of −1.0 to 1.0 V and −0.2 to 0.4 V with a scan rate of 50 mV/s and 10 mV/s, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Modified Electrode. In recent time, amine-functionalized rGO has been shown to exhibit better water dispersal [42], reinforce stability, and improve affinity towards redox reaction [43]. Apart from that, it provides numerous amine functional groups for the formation of amide bond linkages during the interaction with EDC-NHS cross-linkers [23]. Enzyme cross-linking typically occurs within the amine group of the amino acid residues; nevertheless, the formation of linkages can also be found within the carboxylic group of the amino acid residues [44, 45]. The addition of EDC-NHS cross-linkers activates the amino group of rGO prior to covalent attachment to the carboxylic group of the enzyme, as illustrated in Scheme 2. This interaction forms a strong amide bond, which held the enzyme onto the support securely and provided structural support for the enzyme.

The formation of immobilized YT-PTE onto the rGO was confirmed by morphological analysis using a Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM). FESEM analysis was conducted on two samples, SPCE/rGO and SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE, and the difference in their morphologies was compared and recorded. The morphology of SPCE/rGO appeared to be flaky and have a crumpled appearance as shown in Figure 1(a). Immobilization of YT-PTE onto rGO changed the morphology of SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE into a more compact and smoother appearance as shown in Figure 1(b). This morphology is attributed to the formation of an immobilized YT-PTE layer on top of rGO, which inadvertently indicated the success of immobilization of the enzyme onto rGO surfaces [46].

Electrochemical analysis of conductivity and resistivity of SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE and SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE was conducted using cyclic voltammetry (CV) and Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS). rGO was fabricated onto SPCE to enhance the conductivity of the biosensor and to act as a carrier for immobilizing the YT-PTE [47]. The 2D structure of rGO enables the fast flow of electrons between the Fe3(CN)6 analyte and the working electrode, owing to its good conductivity characteristics. As was expected, the enzyme YT-PTE, which is a nonconductive biomolecule, and its immobilization onto the working electrode increased the resistance against electron flow. The resistivity of YT-PTE was analyzed with CV and EIS and this is illustrated in Figures 2(a) and 2(b), respectively.

CV analysis of the biosensor, as compared to a bare SPCE, displayed an increase of the CV anodic peak by 58.2% when rGO was fabricated onto the SPCE in contrast with YT-PTE immobilized onto rGO, which recorded an increment of only 8.0%. The EIS analysis measured the frequency of electron transfer, which is then illustrated as a semicircle-shaped Nyquist plot. The Nyquist plot from the
Scheme 1: Schematic illustration of the construction of SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE for detection of OP compounds.

Scheme 2: Immobilization of YT-PTE onto rGO via EDC-NHS cross-linking [35, 36].

Figure 1: FESEM images of (a) SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE and (b) SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE under 1000x magnification.
EIS analysis revealed that the Rct value, which determined the resistivity by measuring the diameter of the semicircle of the Nyquist plot, of SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE was recorded at 23.2 kΩ as compared to the Rct value of SPCE/rGO, which was recorded at 17.4 kΩ. The incorporation of YT-PTE as a bioreceptor onto the surface of the electrode formed a nonconductive layer, which disrupted the electron flow, explaining the changes observed in the CV and EIS analysis of SPCE/rGO and SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE, respectively.

3.2. Mechanism of Electrochemical Detection. In this work, we utilized the enzymatic reaction between YT-PTE and paraoxon to the basis for the development of a biosensor that is able to determine the level of paraoxon present. In the presence of paraoxon, immobilized YT-PTE hydrolyzes the P-O bond in paraoxon to the corresponding diethyl phosphate and p-nitrophenol (pNP) as shown in Scheme 3. Since the amount of pNP generated is proportionate to the amount of OP compound present in the sample [6, 12, 48], the level of paraoxon can thus be quantified by the electrochemical oxidation signal of pNP that couples with Fe3(CN)6 redox molecules as the form of signal amplification. In general, as the concentration of paraoxon increases, more pNP molecules can be generated and oxidized, thus resulting in an increase in the electrochemical current signal present.

3.3. Optimization of the Biosensor. The performance of the biosensor depends on the enzyme activity of YT-PTE. The amount of support to enzyme ratio (rGO: YT-PTE) needs to be optimized to ensure maximum enzyme activity recovery. The amount of rGO used in this study was fixed at 1 mg/mL, following the method described in previous works of literature [23, 53]. Different concentrations of YT-PTE (2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 mg/mL) were mixed and allowed to be immobilized onto rGO. Figure 3(a) shows the recovered activity of the YT-PTE after being immobilized onto various concentrations of rGO. It can be seen that the recovered activity of immobilized PTE increased when higher concentrations of PTE were used, up to 1:8 support to enzyme ratio, and it shows the optimum recovered activity of up to 90%, which eventually decreased at the 1:10 ratio. Maximum loading of enzyme depended on the structure and conformation of the nanomaterial support. The use of EDC-NHS as a cross-linker formed secure linkages, which held YT-PTE securely on the rGO despite the fact that it is difficult to adhere the enzyme onto a 2D planar configured nanomaterial. Inadvertently, the simple planar configuration of rGO was found to not have a detrimental effect upon YT-PTE since up to 90% of enzyme activity was recovered after the enzyme underwent the immobilization processes.

The use of a nonbiocompatible redox solution, Fe3(CN)6, had a detrimental effect on the immobilized enzyme. This solution disrupts the structural conformation of the enzyme, especially its active sites, thus rendering it denatured [54, 55]. This caused major concern since the detection mechanism of this sensor relies on the hydrolysis of OP compounds by the enzyme. For that reason, the substrate was allowed to react with the enzyme first prior to the addition of the redox solution for electrochemical analysis of the hydrolysis product. The incubation time for hydrolysis of the OP compound was investigated at 5 min intervals. As shown in Figure 3(b), the peak current detected for pNP increased with increasing the incubation time from 0 mins to 10 mins. The peak current was recorded at a plateau level.
when the time reached 10 mins, which signaled the completion of the hydrolysis reaction. Therefore, 10 mins was chosen as the optimal substrate incubation time prior to the addition of the redox solution for electrochemical analysis.

3.4. Electrocatalytic Analysis of SPCE/rGO/PTE. The performance of SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE was investigated in a series of paraoxon dilutions, which were measured with differential pulse voltammetry (DPV). The effect of different concentrations of paraoxon was tested using concentrations ranging between 1 mM and 0.5 μM. The DPV peak resulted in an anodic peak about +0.1 V (Figure 3(a)), which corresponds to pNP oxidation in the Fe₃(CN)₆ redox solution. In general, as the concentration of paraoxon rises, the oxidation peak rises, which occurred at +0.1 V, correlating with the fact that the amount of pNP generated is proportional to the amount of paraoxon found in the sample [6, 12, 48]. While the emergence of an anodic oxidation peak of pNP is often very small, the incorporation of rGO as a support for the immobilization of YT-PTE greatly enhanced this oxidation signal [56]. Figure 4(b) represents the relationship between the logarithm concentration of paraoxon and the peak current, which was illustrated by the linear expression $y = -1.99E-06x + 6.9E-06$ with an $R^2$ value of 0.9151. The calibration curve demonstrated a linear relationship between the $-\log_{10}$ concentration of paraoxon and the DPV peak current signal. The limit of detection (LOD) of the biosensor was calculated at 0.11 μM. The LOD calculated in this work was equivalent to the LOD of other OPH-based OP biosensors reported in the literature (see Table 1). The prominent advantages of YT-PTE were that it had high sensitivity towards paraoxon in particular and further modifications such as the incorporation of nanoparticles or metal oxides could be done to increase the potential sensitivity of the biosensor [58].

3.5. Evaluation of the Biosensor. The reproducibility of the SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE biosensor was determined by fabricating the immobilized YT-PTE onto different SPCEs prior to electrochemical analysis using 1 mM paraoxon as the substrate. The analysis was conducted weekly over a period of five weeks. As shown in Figure 5(a), the peak current of the various fabricated SPCEs is measured around 4.8 μA with a standard deviation of 0.95 nA. This analysis indicates that the SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE had good reproducibility for use as a biosensor in the detection of OP compounds. The
selectivity of the fabricated electrode was tested using 1 mM of different substrates: paraoxon, parathion, malathion, chlorpyrifos, and diazinon. Based on Figure 5(b), paraoxon displayed the highest current signal followed by parathion. This is due to the nature of YT-PTE, which had a higher hydrolysis affinity towards phosphotriesters such as paraoxon and parathion [59, 60]. The higher affinity towards these two compounds could be attributed to the structural homogeneity that each other shared, as shown in Figure 6. The only difference between these two compounds was the presence of a P-S bond in parathion as compared to P-O bonds in paraoxon [16]. Consequently, weaker current signals were detected with malathion, chlorpyrifos, and diazinon due to the different phosphorus bond types found with these OP compounds.

3.6. Application of the Biosensor in a Real Water Sample. Since OP compounds are commonly used for various agricultural purposes, as mentioned earlier, these

| Enzyme | Immobilization approaches | Substrate | LOD    | References |
|--------|---------------------------|-----------|--------|------------|
| OPH    | Covalent bonding onto AuNP-rGO | Diazinon  | 0.302 μM | [57]       |
| OPH    | Adsorption onto RGO/IL-modified Au electrodes | Paraoxon | 2 μM   | [46]       |
| OPH    | Adsorption onto MWCNTs      | Paraoxon  | 25 μM  | [19]       |
| OPH    | Adsorption onto BSA/TiO₂/OPH/CNT | Methyl-parathion | 12 nM  | [18]       |
| OPH    | Adsorption onto MC/CB       | Paraoxon  | 0.12 μM | [56]       |
| PTE    | Covalent bonding onto rGO   | Paraoxon  | 0.11 μM | This work  |

Figure 5: (a) Repeatability of SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE tested by different week and (b) selectivity studies of different substrates.

Table 1: Comparison of SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE with other OP biosensors as reported in the literature.
compounds are often found leached into nearby water sources [61, 62]. This poses a serious threat as the contamination can directly affect public health, both human and animal. The detection of OP compounds in real water samples using the SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE biosensor was tested by spiking different water samples: lake water, drain water, and soil run-off water, with 1 mM of paraoxon. As shown in Figure 7, the current signal of distilled water (a sample with minimal or no interferences) spiked with paraoxon exhibited is 4.72 ± 0.985 μA, while for the soil run-off water sample, the current signal was 4.17 ± 0.465 μA. It can be clearly seen that the values of the current signal reduce only around 11%. Moreover, in lake water and drain water, the current signal reduces to 1.79 ± 0.502 μA and 1.50 ± 0.434 μA, respectively. It is expected due to high interference from environmental changes such as salinity, temperature, and pH that will affect the enzyme activity. The detection of current signals in these samples varied as the different water samples harbored different interference levels towards the biosensor. Nevertheless, the changes in the current signal with and without the presence of paraoxon can still be obtained. It was thus ascertained that the SPCE/rGO/YT-PTE biosensor system could be utilized for the detection of OP compounds in various water samples.

4. Conclusion

In general, YT-PTE immobilized onto rGO was able to retain up to 90% of its enzyme activity as compared to the free enzyme. Subsequently, the incorporation of rGO as the support for immobilizing YT-PTE aided in the electron transfer flow within the biosensor. The constructed biosensor was also shown to be able to detect the oxidation signal of pNP, which is a product of enzymatic hydrolysis of paraoxon. The electrochemical sensor utilizing rGO and YT-PTE in this work recorded a linear range of 1 mM–0.05 μM with a detection limit measured at 0.11 μM. In addition to that, the biosensor showed selectivity towards different OP compounds, especially paraoxon and parathion. Immobilization of YT-PTE onto rGO resulted in a good bioreceptor, which can easily be fabricated onto SPCE for fast and portable field detection of OP compounds in real environmental water samples.
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