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Abstract

Extensive range loss for the Golden-winged Warbler (Vermivora chrysoptera) has occurred in areas of intrusion by the Blue-winged Warbler (V. cyanoptera) potentially related to their close genetic relationship. We compiled data on social pairing from nine studies for 2,679 resident Vermivora to assess evolutionary divergence. Hybridization between pure phenotypes occurred with 1.2\% of resident males for sympatric populations. Pairing success rates for Golden-winged Warblers was 83\% and for Blue-winged Warblers was 77\%. Pairing success for the hybrid Brewster’s Warbler was significantly lower from both species at 54\%, showing sexual selection against hybrids. Backcross frequencies for Golden-winged Warblers at 4.9\% was significantly higher than for Blue-winged Warblers at 1.7\%. More frequent backcrossing by Golden-winged Warblers, which produces hybrid phenotypes, may contribute to the replacement of Golden-winged by Blue-winged Warblers. Reproductive isolation due to behavioral isolation plus sexual selection against hybrids was 0.966. Our analyses suggest that plumage differences are the main driving force for this strong isolation with reduced hybrid fitness contributing to a lesser degree. The major impact of plumage differences to reproductive isolation is compatible with genomic analyses (Toews et al. 2016), which showed the largest genetic difference between these phenotypes occurred with plumage genes. These phenotypes have maintained morphological, behavioral, and ecological differences during two centuries of hybridization. Our estimate of reproductive isolation supports recognition of these phenotypes as two species. The decline and extirpation of the Golden-winged Warbler in almost all areas of recent sympatry suggest that continued coexistence of both species will require eco-geographic isolation.
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Table 1. Phenotype frequencies for resident Golden-winged and Blue-winged Warblers and their hybrids at each study area.
| Sites                          | Male | Female | Male | Female | Percentage | Percentage | GWWA1 | GWWA2 |
|-------------------------------|------|--------|------|--------|------------|------------|-------|-------|
| Managed forest, high elevation PA | 137  | 100    | 4    | 2      | 97%        | 97%        |       |       |
| Pasture, high elevation WV   | 245  | 180    | 14   | 7      | 95%        | 95%        |       |       |
| Old field, low to mid-elevation WV | 108  | 109    | 14   | 16     | 88%        | 88%        |       |       |
| Old field, north-central NY  | 86   | 76     | 30   | 32     | 72%        | 72%        |       |       |

- **GWWA1** and **GWWA2** represent two different study sites.
- **Male** and **Female** represent the sex of the birds.
- **Resident** Golden-winged Warbler phenotypes as a percentage of the sum of resident Golden-winged and Blue-winged Warbler phenotypes.
| Sites                          | Golden-winged male | Golden-winged female | Blue-winged male | Blue-winged female | Brewster's Warbler | Lawrence's Warbler | Percentage GWWA1 | Percentage GWWA2 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Diverse habitats, s NY, 1998-1999 | 62                 | 37                   | 37               | -                  | 13                | 13                | 1                | 1                |
| Diverse habitats, s NY, 2009-2010 | 52                 | 43                   | 43               | 26                 | 18                | 68%               | 3                | 3                |
| Mine lands, mid-elevation WV | 298                | 267                  | 267              | 246                | 185               | 57%               | 27               | 27               |
| Old field, north-central MI  | 26                 | 13                   | 13               | 23                 | 15                | 51%               | 3                | 3                |

*Note: The table shows the percentage of resident Golden-winged Warbler and Blue-winged Warbler phenotypes as a percentage of the sum of resident Golden-winged and Blue-winged Warbler phenotypes.*
Table 2. Primary hybridization. Shown are the sum of paired individuals observed for all years at each study area and the sum of all study areas: A) the number of paired, male and female Golden-winged and Blue-winged warblers; B) the proportion of paired Golden-winged Warblers out of all paired Golden-winged and Blue-winged warblers; C) the proportion of primary hybrid pairs out of all pairs among Golden-winged and Blue-winged warblers, and the number of primary hybrid pairs.

| Sites                          | Number of Paired Individuals | Proportion of Paired GWWA | Primary Hybridization |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Managed forest, high elevation, PA | 198 5                        | 98%                       | 3.0% 3                |
| Pasture, high elevation WV    | 357 15                       | 96%                       | 1.6% 3                |
| Sites                                      | Number of Paired Individuals | Proportion of Paired GWWA | Primary Hybridization |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Old field, low to mid-elevation WV        | 217 30                      | 88%                      | 0.8% 1                |
| Old field, north-central NY               | 162 62                      | 72%                      | 2.7% 3                |
| Diverse habitats, s NY, 1998-1999         | 74 —                        | —                        | 4.0% 1               |
| Diverse habitats, s NY, 2009-2010         | 86 37                       | 70%                      |                      |
| Mine lands, mid-elevation WV              | 547 370                     | 60%                      | 0%                    |
| Old field, north-central MI               | 26 29                       | 47%                      | 0%                    |
| Old field, central NY                     | 13 35                       | 27%                      | 0%                    |
| Total                                     | 1680 583                    | 73%                      | 14                    |
| Pooled Mean                               |                             |                          | 1.2%                  |
| Mean of Study Areas                       |                             |                          | 1.5%                  |

1 Value derived from the sum of primary hybrid pairings of Golden-winged Warblers in 1998-'99 and primary hybrid pairings for Golden-winged and Blue-winged warblers in 2009-'10. Table 3. Pairing success rates for male Golden-winged, Blue-winged, and Brewster’s warblers for nine studies and for the pooled values and for the mean of the nine studies.
Table 4. Backcross Frequencies: Social pairs by male Golden-winged and Blue-winged warblers with hybrids.

| Study Areas                               | Male GWWA | Male GWWA | Male GWWA | Male BWWA | Male BWWA | Male BWWA | Male BRWA | Male BRWA |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Diverse habitats, s NY, 1998-1999         | 62        | 60%       |           |           | 13        | 13        | 8%        |           |
| Diverse habitats, s NY, 2009-2010         | 52        | 83%       | 26        | 26        | 73%       | 3         | 3         | 33%       |
| Mine lands, mid-elevation, WV             | 298       | 94%       | 246       | 246       | 75%       | 27        | 27        | 48%       |
| Old field, north-central, MI              | 26        | 50%       | 23        | 23        | 61%       | 3         | 3         | 33%       |
| Total                                     | 1021      | 372       | 372       | 94        | 94        | 51%       |           |           |
| Pooled Values                             |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Study Area                                |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Means                                     |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| GWWA                                      | 2         | 0.1%      | 2         | 0.1%      |           |           |           |           |
| BWWA                                      |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| BRWA                                      |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Table 4. Backcross Frequencies: Social pairs by male Golden-winged and Blue-winged warblers with hybrids.
| Study Areas                                     | GWWA  | GWWA  | GWWA  | GWWA  | BWWA  | BWWA  | BWWA  | BWWA  |
|------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                                | x BR  | x LA  | x BR + LA | x BR  | x LA  | x BR + LA | x BR  | x LA  | x BR + LA |
|                                                | n     | n     | n       | n     | n     | n       | n     | n     | n       |
| Managed forest, high elevation PA              | 100   | 6.0%  | 6.0%    | 2     | 0     | 0       | 143.3%| 13.3% | 26.7%   |
| Pasture, high elevation WV                     | 180   | 6.7%  | 6.7%    | 7     | 13.3% | 13.3%   | 3.3%  | 1     | 3.3%    |
| Old field, low to mid-elevation WV             | 109   | 3.7%  | 3.7%    | 16    | 6.3%  | 0       | 6.3%  | 2     | 1.6%    |
| Old field, north-central NY                    | 76    | 1.3%  | 1.3%    | 32    | 6.3%  | 1.6%    | 4.5%  | 3     |         |
| Diverse habitats, sNY, 1998-1999               | 37    | 0     | 0       | 18    | 0     | 0       | 2.7%  | 3     |         |
| Diverse habitats, sNY, 2009-2010               | 43    | 2.3%  | 2.3%    | 18    | 0     | 0       | 2.7%  | 3     |         |
| Mine lands, mid-elevation WV                   | 267   | 3.0%  | 3.0%    | 185   | 0.5%  | 1.1%    | 1.6%  | 1     |         |
| Old field, north-central MI                    | 13    | 0     | 0       | 15    | 6.7%  | 0       | 3.4%  | 1     |         |
| Old field, central NY                          | 6     | 16.7% | 16.7%   | 20    | 25.0% | 5       | 14.3% | 5     |         |
| Total                                          | 831   | 33    | 30      | 295   | 11    | 3       | 14    |       |         |
| Pooled Mean                                    | 4.0%  | 0     | 3.7%    | 3.7%  | 4.5%  |         | 4.7%  |       |         |
| Study Areas Mean                               | 4.4%  | 0     | 4.4%    | 7.4%  | 0.45% | 7.0%    |       |       |         |

Table 5. Backcross frequencies. Social pairs by female Golden-winged and Blue-winged warblers with hybrids.

Table 6. Backcross Frequencies: Social pairs by male and female Golden-winged and Blue-winged warblers with hybrids.
Figure 1. _Vermivora_ spp. phenotypes considered in this study: Golden-winged Warbler ( _V. chrysoptera_ ; GWWA), Blue-winged Warbler ( _V. cyanoptera_ ; BWWA), ‘Brewster’s’ Warbler (hybrid; BRWA) and ‘Lawrence’s’ Warbler (hybrid’ LAWA). Males () and females () are both shown.
Figure 2. Box and whisker plot of the strength of reproductive isolating barriers (1000 bootstrapped averages). Abbreviations denote the following: behavioral isolation (BI), individual component of sexual selection against hybrids (SSH (IC)), absolute contribution of sexual selection against hybrids (SSH (AC)), and total reproductive isolation (TI).
Figure 3. As the difference in plumage between males and females increases, the likelihood of mating (observed number of pairs – expected number of pairs due to random chance) decreases. Plumage was quantified by scoring 11 plumage patches on males and females of each phenotypic class (see Supporting Information for plumage scoring criteria). Below the $x$-axis are representative illustrations of the different pair types (top row: males, bottom row: females), depicting the male-female plumage divergence with increasing values of $x$.

Appendix 1. A plumage scoring criterion. We modified the method of Toews et al. (2016) to rank *Vermivora* spp. plumage with the exception of three edits (denoted using asterisks within table). Intermediate throat pigmentation (“Thr.”) between a Golden-winged Warbler (Thr. = 0) and Blue-winged Warbler (Thr = 2) should resemble a Brewster’s Warbler (i.e., white in color) rather than that of a second-year male Golden-winged Warbler as in Toews et al. (2016). Additionally, breast plumage color (“Breast”) and belly plumage color (“Belly”) for adult male Blue-winged Warblers are bright yellow (rather than yellow-green) so those plumage categories were extended (from 4- to 5). All other plumage scoring criteria were identical to those used by Toews et al. (2016): wing bar width (“W. Bar Wd.”), wing bar color (“W. Bar. Col.”), nape color (“Nape”), back color (“Back”), rump color (“Rump”), auricular pigmentation pattern (“Auric.”), supercillium color (“Super.”), and malar color (“Malar”). A male Blue-winged Warbler with typical plumage would score 40 using this protocol whereas a Golden-winged Warbler would score 0.

| Score | Thr. | W. Bar Wd.        | W. Bar Col.                      | Nape                      |
|-------|------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 0     | black/gray | broad, confluent | yellow                      | gray or white            |
| 1     | white* | broad, well-separated | yellow with pale base   | Gray or white with some yellow or yellow-green  |
| 2     | yellow | broad, less separated | white and yellow (50/50)   | mixed gray and green     |
| 3     | -     | narrow, well-separated | white with pronounced yellow | yellow-green with gray    |
| Score | Thr. | W. Bar Wd. | W. Bar Col.   | Nape        |
|-------|------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| 4     | -    | -          | yellow edging| yellow-green|
| 5     | -    | -          | white        | -           |
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