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ABSTRACT
Easy price search makes demand tremendously price-sensitive. However, merchants’ obfuscation strategy can hinder consumers from searching, thus greatly reducing their price sensitivity to other products. This paper presents an empirical examination of how obfuscation strategy affects consumers’ purchasing decisions. The author improves the model proposed by Glenn Ellison and Sara Fisher Ellison and applies the observed data from taobao.com and tmall.com to the model. This paper proves that, for some products in these two websites, the prices and the rankings can significantly affect sales volume, and for some products, merchants will adopt obfuscation strategies for low-quality products to maximize their profits.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With the development of the Internet and digital economy, Internet technology will make it easy for consumers to find the exact product they want and compare prices from rival retailers. The most intriguing demonstration of this potential so far is the price search engine. However, it is common for merchants to control search costs and prices in order to boost profits. Anything that increases understanding of the cost of each firm’s products or forces more consumers to search firm-by-firm is likely to be seen as a form of obfuscation.

Obfuscation often occurs in practice. Instead of creating artificial search friction, for instance, supermarkets use limited shelf space to place products with different profit margins. One phrase commonly used is "eye level is buying level". In Mitford(1978)’s book[1], she describes how the coffin sellers first guide customers to buy more expensive items, and then introduce a cheaper alternative to consumers.

This paper aims to explore how obfuscation strategy affects consumers’ purchasing decisions. For this purpose, the author takes a simple model originally presented in Stahl(1989)[2], and was further refined by G. Ellison and S.F. Ellison(2009)[3]. Ellison and Ellison studied the competition between a group of Internet retailers operating in an environment dominated by price search engines. The author made an empirical analysis using relevant data on monitor, mouse and keyboard, which were obtained from Taobao and Tmall under Alibaba Group.

Predecessors to C2C websites such as Taobao and Tmall research mainly includes three aspects, one is from reputation, information transfer and market contract execution, to explore the effectiveness of the e-commerce market, the second is to explore the management mode of e-commerce trade platform itself and competitive strategy, the third is the study of the characteristics of online consumer behavior and analyze the causes. For instance, Weian Li, Desheng Wu and Hao Xu(2007)[4] and Youzhi Xue and Yongfeng Guo(2012)[5] from the seller’s perspective, using the data of Taobao, studies the competitive strategy. Yali Dong and Bei Yang(2007)[6], Puyang Sun, Jingjia Zhang and Xiaoyu Jiang(2017)[7] studied the factors that influence consumers’ purchasing behavior.

On the basis of existing research, this paper contributes to the recent economic literature on obfuscation by examining the topic in two websites as a mechanism for screening consumer preferences.
Through empirical results, it can be proved that both rank and price will have a negative impact on sales. But in some obfuscation strategies, the price can also be positively correlated with volume. Product rankings have a significant impact on sales. Obfuscation strategies will bring greater profits to merchants. At the same time, this paper also proves that the price of low- and medium-quality products on Taobao has a significant impact on the sales of products, while Tmall, on the contrary, only high-quality products have this situation. Second, in different models of the same product, merchants will adopt different obfuscation strategies for low-quality products to maximize profits.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The using model and theory are listed in Section 2. Section 3 puts forward two hypotheses. In Section 4, the author discusses the collected data, studies the impact of obfuscation and price on the sales volume of products of different qualities, verifies the hypothesis. Section 5 concludes.

2. THE MODEL

This section begins with a simple model presented by Stahl in 1989. The author then discusses a more specific micro model presented by G. Ellison and S.F. Ellison(2009) under the incomplete consumer search, allowing search friction to be determined by the competing investments of search engines and retailers.

2.1 The Forerunner Model

Stahl(1989), considers a model with two types of consumers. The consumer with the proportion \( \mu \in [0,1] \) has zero search cost; these consumers were referred to "shoppers". The remaining \( 1 - \mu \) proportion of consumers have a common search cost \( c > 0 \). The Bertrand(1883)[8] result and the Diamond(1971)[9] result obtain in the model when, \( \mu = 1 \) and \( \mu = 0 \), respectively. When \( \mu \in [0,1] \), there is no pure-strategy Nash Equilibrium(NE), but there is a unique symmetric Nash Equilibrium(NE), BE, and varies in the manner assumed above if define \( S \equiv 1 - \mu \): retailer profits are lower and demand is higher (in the sense of first-order random advantage) when the fraction of informed consumers is higher, and price converges to cost in the limit as \( \mu \) goes to one. The solution has similar comparative statics in the \( c \) parameter, so one could alternately take \( s \equiv c \) as the measure of search frictions.

2.2 Incomplete Consumer Search

G. Ellison and S.F. Ellison(2009) constructs a more specific micro model, allowing search friction to be determined by the competing investments of search engines and retailers.

It is a clear model of friction for research purposes, assuming that the ability of consumers to absorb the information displayed on the webpage is different. According to Ellison and Ellison, the search engine’s investment \( x^{se} \) would be an investment in collecting information and presenting it in a manner that is easier to understand. Retailers’ investments \( x^r \) would be investments in making pricing more complicated and difficult to describe. To fit the model above exactly, one could assume that the distribution of consumer abilities is such that after these investments are made, a fraction \( \mu_0 + x^{se} - x^r \) of consumers are able to understand the webpage fully and thereby learn all firms’ prices, whereas the rest of the consumers cannot understand the price information at all and must resort to visiting the listed websites sequentially (in random order) to understand each retailer’s price. The analysis of the search and obfuscation game would then follow exactly as described above. In any interior equilibrium, the model shows a positive increase in retail sales, price dispersion across retailers, and limited knowledge of prices among some consumers.
Based on G. Ellison and S.F. Ellison’s model, to provide a straightforward analysis of how consumers choose low-, medium- and high-quality between site A and site B, the author estimates simple logit models on the consumer-level data.

\[ Q_{wqt} = e^{X_{wqt} \beta} \]

with

\[ X_{wqt} \beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(1 + \text{Rank}_{wqt}) + \beta_2 \log(P_{wqt}) + \sum_{t=1}^{30} \beta_{t+2} \text{TimeTrend}_{t} + \epsilon_w \]

Formally, the author supposes that customer choosing to buy a quality \( q \) product, the quantity \( Q \) of products purchased from the website \( w \) on the day \( t \) is

\[ \text{H1} \text{ For a more mature site, obfuscation occurs and affects more.} \]

\[ \text{H2} \text{ For the same product category, different quality will also be obfuscated by merchants, and it is most significant in low-quality products.} \]

In order to verify the above two hypotheses, the author made regression analyses in section 4.

4. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

4.1 Data

The data used by this paper were downloaded from TAOBAO.com and TMALL.com. The author considers that Alibaba’s Taobao and Tmall are very typical examples of obfuscation. According to the 2019 eMarketer report, in 2018, Taobao and Tmall occupied the first and second place of e-commerce platform worldwide ranking, and their gross merchandise value(GMV) reached $515 and $432 billion respectively. The shopping festivals such as "November 11", "March 8" created by them are typical examples of obfuscation promotion strategies. These data were collected at daily frequency from February 1, 2021 to March 3, 2021. They contain information within each of the eight predefined categories mentioned above.

In this section, the author collected data on three areas: the Alienware monitor, the Logitech mouse, and the HP keyboard. The author considers monitor to be of high quality, mouse to be of medium quality, and keyboard to be of low quality.

The raw data is at the level of sales and indicates from which website the customer bought...
the product, the number of sales, the price and cost, and the daily average ranking of products in the search interface.

Table 1. Summary statistics for monitor, mouse and keyboard data

| Variables | N   | Mean | Stdev | Min  | Max  |
|-----------|-----|------|-------|------|------|
| Qlow      | 154 | 10.96| 61.70 | 0.033| 666.7|
| QMid      | 125 | 6.536| 19.34 | 0    | 138.9|
| QHi       | 93  | 0.564| 1.260 | 0    | 7    |
| Plow      | 154 | 131.5| 25.67 | 89   | 199  |
| PMid      | 125 | 662.8| 243.5 | 369  | 1,349|
| PHI       | 93  | 8,578| 7,127 | 3,375| 34,999|
| log(1 + Rank<sub>1</sub>) | 154 | 2.166| 0.465 | 0.301| 2.648|
| log(1 + Rank<sub>n</sub>) | 125 | 1.945| 0.605 | 0.301| 2.600|
| log(1 + Rank<sub>H</sub>) | 93  | 1.761| 0.446 | 0.301| 2.356|

The author made descriptive statistics on the data ("Table 1"). Q represents the dependent variable in the model. 30 days average sales of low-quality product (keyboard) is $Q_{low}$, of medium-quality (mouse) is $Q_{mid}$, of high-quality (monitor) is $Q_{hi}$. The 30-day average prices are expressed by $P_{low}, P_{mid}$ and $P_{hi}$ respectively. Log($1 + \text{Rank}$) are calculated based on the natural number ranking of the product in the search interface.

It can be seen that the standard deviation of $PH_i$ is high. The maximum is 34,999 and the minimum is 3375. Considering the price of a high-quality product, the author thinks the standard deviation is reasonable. About other variables, low-quality products’ price minimum is 89 and the maximum is 199. The price of the medium-quality product is from 369 to 1349. The daily sales of the low-quality product are from 0.033 to 666.7, medium-quality product is from 0 to 138.9 and high-quality product is from 0 to 7.

### 4.2 Results

The author discusses how the rankings and prices of three different quality products on one website affect sales volume. Comparisons between websites are not considered, so the subscript $w$ on equation (1) is omitted in this table. The investigation results are shown in "Table 2".

Table 2. Evidence of incomplete consumer search: effects of product prices and rankings on different products

| Independent Variables | Dep. var.: Sales of each quality level | Low_q | Mid_q | High_q |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| $\beta_0$             |                                       | 8.507*** | 4.021*** | 0.417 |
|                       |                                       | (5.29)  | (2.94) | (0.39) |
| log(1 + Rank)         |                                       | -1.508*** | -0.702*** | -0.581*** |
|                       |                                       | (-11.26) | (-5.53) | (-3.46) |
| log($P_{low}$)        |                                       | -2.650*** | -1.015** | -0.086 |
|                       |                                       | (-3.62) | (-2.10) | (-0.30) |
| Observations          |                                       | 154    | 125   | 93    |
| R-squared             |                                       | 0.467  | 0.227 | 0.130 |

*The numbers in parentheses are t statistics; * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01
In "Table 2", it can be seen that \( \log(1 + \text{Rank}) \) and \( \log(P) \) both have negative effect on the sales. This shows that whether it is a high-, mid- or low-quality products, the display order on the search interface has an important impact on the purchase of consumers. It is reasonable because lower ranking means less search cost, and the more likely consumers will make a purchase if it meets their psychological expectations. The inverse ratio of price and volume is also understandable. This is also the reason why merchants are willing to use obfuscation strategy.

It can be noted that price is significant for sales of low- and medium-quality products at 1% and 5%, respectively, but not significant for high-quality. The author suggests this may be due to differences in price sensitivity. Low-quality products are highly price-sensitive, resulting in a significant impact on sales volume. The price sensitivity of medium-quality products decreases relatively, so its significance decreases. And the price sensitivity of high-quality products is low, so the impact of price is small.

From the model of obfuscation, which is discussed in "Table 2", the rank of products can influence the sales significantly. Moreover, the obfuscation effect can indicate in low-quality product more significant.

In "Table 2", it can be seen that \( \log(1 + \text{Rank}) \) and \( \log(P) \) both have negative effect on the sales. This shows that whether it is a high-, mid- or low-quality products, the display order on the search interface has an important impact on the purchase of consumers. It is reasonable because lower ranking means less search cost, and the more likely consumers will make a purchase if it meets their psychological expectations. The inverse ratio of price and volume is also understandable. This is also the reason why merchants are willing to use obfuscation strategy.

It can be noted that price is significant for sales of low- and medium-quality products at 1% and 5%, respectively, but not significant for high-quality. The author suggests this may be due to differences in price sensitivity. Low-quality products are highly price-sensitive, resulting in a significant impact on sales volume. The price sensitivity of medium-quality products decreases relatively, so its significance decreases. And the price sensitivity of high-quality products is low, so the impact of price is small.

From the model of obfuscation, which is discussed in "Table 2", the rank of products can influence the sales significantly. Moreover, the obfuscation effect can indicate in low-quality product more significant.

### Table 3. Evidence of incomplete consumer learning: effects of product prices and rankings on different websites (Taobao and Tmall)

| Independent Variables | Dep. var.: Sales of each quality level |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                       | Taobao.com                            | Tmall.com                               |
|                       | Low_q      | Mid_q      | High_q     | Low_q      | Mid_q      | High_q     |
| \( \beta_0 \)         |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| \( \log(1 + \text{Rank}) \) | 5.482***  | 5.583***  | 1.676**   | 6.139**   | 2.401     | 4.894***   |
|                        | (5.21)     | (3.24)     | (2.35)    | (2.48)    | (1.46)    | (4.20)     |
| \( \log(P) \)         | -1.136***  | -1.516***  | -1.251*** | -1.057*** | -1.106*** | -0.569***  |
|                        | (-8.20)    | (-6.52)    | (-8.35)   | (-7.03)   | (-3.45)   | (-5.81)    |
| Observations           | 94         | 73         | 70         | 60         | 42        | 41         |
| R-squared              | 0.442      | 0.382      | 0.602      | 0.472      | 0.236     | 0.737      |

* The numbers in parentheses are t statistics; * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01

The author thinks this is a good result. The H1 testing results are shown in "Table 3".

In "Table 3", the author compares different websites, Taobao.com and Tmall.com.

In Taobao.com, it can be found that \( \log(1 + \text{Rank}) \) and \( \log(P) \) both have negative effect on low-quality product and the coefficient is significant. Rankings also significantly affected sales of high-quality products, but prices remained insignificant.

The results of taobao.com are similar to those presented in "Table 2".

For tmall.com, the ranking is still significant, but the difference is that \( \log(P) \) has no significant effect on low quality and medium quality products, but has an effect on high quality products with p-value less than 0.01.

This confirms H1, more mature Taobao is more consistent with the logic that the phenomenon of low-quality obfuscation is more significant. For the relatively new website Tmall, consumers have relatively low trust in the purchase of high-quality products, so the price sensitivity will be enhanced accordingly. For low to medium quality products, there is also a degree of disorder as a result of trust.

The author continues using equation (1) to test H2. The results are shown in "Table 4".
Table 4. Evidence of incomplete consumer search: effects of product prices and rankings on different category mouse

| Independent Variables | Dep. var.: mouse’s sales of each quality level |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                       | Low_q | Mid_q | High_q |
| $\beta_0$             | -3.222| 2.968* | 1.352  |
|                       | (-1.30)| (1.90) | (0.53) |
| $\log(1 + \text{Rank})$ | -0.768*** | -0.980*** | -0.602*** |
|                       | (-4.41)| (-6.01) | (-3.78) |
| $\log(P)$             | 2.289* | -0.492 | -0.171 |
|                       | (1.95) | (-0.83) | (-0.20) |
| Observations          | 122   | 117   | 79     |
| R-squared             | 0.188 | 0.241 | 0.159  |

* The numbers in parentheses are t-statistics; * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01

In "Table 4", the author used three different models of Logitech mouse to represent high-quality, medium-quality, and low-quality products: GPW as high-quality product, G502 as medium-quality product and G102 as low-quality product. Rankings still have a significant impact on sales, but the absolute values of the coefficient are reduced compared with the previous analysis.

It is worth noting that in the low-quality case, the price has a positive effect on sales, with a coefficient of 2.289 and a p-value less than 0.1. Such a positive effect suggests another obfuscation strategy for Logitech’s products, making their low-quality products more popular at higher prices. It was a successful obfuscation strategy that magnified their own profits. In another column, the author cannot see some signs in other variables. About the reason, maybe the observation number is limited, if the author wants to see more details, the sample needs to be enlarged.

This will, to some extent, prove the validity of hypothesis 2. In the same product, merchants did use different obfuscation strategies for different quality models, and the strategy did work for low-quality products.

From the results of table 1 to table 4, the author can find that $\log(1 + \text{Rank})$ and $\log(P)$ are playing important role in obfuscation strategy influence product sales. In Tmall.com, $\log(P)$ also have influence on high-quality product, but not in Taobao. As to different quality level mouse, the author can also verify that $\log(1 + \text{Rank})$ have effect on every quality product, and $\log(P)$ has an anomalously positive effect on sales volume in low-quality models.

4.3 Robustness Test

Given the assumed functional form of demand, economic theory implies that prices should be exogenous. In this environment, the profit-maximizing price of the firm with constant marginal cost is independent of the realization of demand shock. Even if a firm observes a demand shock before setting a price, the price set to maximize profits will not be endogenous. But in today's e-commerce landscape, where merchants have access to more information and pricing strategies are more complex, the authors argue that this theory may not hold true. To enhance the rigor, in this section, the author examines the robustness of the two instrumental variable strategies. The price adjustment mechanism of merchants mainly includes: cost (mainly variable cost), supply and demand relationship, price changes of competitors with the same strategic positioning and market segments, and platform policies. In the past, cost was the most important determinant of pricing, but today, cost pricing is less common, and most of the price changes by e-retailers are due to the use of demand information. At the same time, since platform policies are not easy to quantify, the author chose two sets of instruments, which are called the "pageviews" instruments and the "competitor" instruments, to measure the robustness of the model.

The "pageviews" instrument is the contemporaneous total values of pageviews for the products studied (154 HP keyboard, 125 Logitech...
The results show that pageviews do have a significant effect on price. The difference is that in low-quality products, pageviews have a negative impact on price, while in medium- and high-quality products, pageviews have a positive impact. This proves that when merchants formulate sales strategies for low-quality products, they will adopt a low-price strategy for products with greater consumer demand. For medium- and high-quality products, the higher the consumer demand, the higher the price will be set. This is in line with the principle of profit maximization.

For "competitor" instruments, they are the contemporaneous values of \( \log(1 + \text{Rank}) \), \( \log(P) \), and \( \log(Q) \) for the same website but for different products, Logitech keyboard, Laser mouse and Dell monitor respectively. These three brands have similar strategic positioning to HP, Logitech and Alienware in section 4, with similar price ranges. The authors observed 176 Logitech keyboard, 241 Laser mouse, 118 Dell monitor, and their descriptive statistics are shown in Table 6.

\( Q \) is the average daily sales over the 30-day period, \( P \) is the average price over the 30-day period, and \( \text{Rank} \) is the ranking of the product in the search interface.

### Table 6. Summary statistics for instrumental monitor, mouse and keyboard data

| Variables | N  | Mean | Stdev | Min  | Max  |
|-----------|----|------|-------|------|------|
| Qlow      | 176| 10.65| 38.18 | 0.400| 333.3|
| QMid      | 241| 3.070| 16.04 | 0.0333| 233.3|
| QHi       | 118| 1.119| 2.313 | 0    | 14   |
| Plow      | 176| 195.8 | 57.99 | 111  | 299  |
| PMid      | 241| 231.1 | 72.17 | 109  | 399  |
| PHI       | 118| 4.190 | 1.847 | 2.000| 10,499|
| \( \log(1 + \text{Rank}_c) \) | 176| 1.833 | 0.390 | 0.301| 2.248|
| \( \log(1 + \text{Rank}_M) \) | 241| 2.343 | 0.441 | 0.477| 2.876|
| \( \log(1 + \text{Rank}_H) \) | 118| 1.797 | 0.443 | 0.477| 2.408|
"Table 7" illustrates the effects of product prices and rankings on different instrumental products. It can be clearly seen from "Table 7" that for these competitors, price and ranking also have a significant impact on sales volume, and both price and ranking have a negative impact on sales volume. This is consistent with the research results of this paper. Compared with the result of Section 4, for high-quality products, price has a more significant impact on sales volume, with p-value less than 0.01. Compared with the result of Section 4, for high-quality products, price has a more significant impact on sales volume, with p value less than 0.01. The impact of medium quality prices has also increased in significance.

Table 7. Effects of product prices and rankings on different instrumental products

| Independent Variables | Dep. var.: Sales of each quality level |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                       | Low_q  | Mid_q  | High_q |
| $\beta_0$             | 4.596*** | 4.940*** | 5.269*** |
|                        | (7.59)   | (7.13)   | (6.05)   |
| $\log(1 + \text{Rank})$ | -1.161*** | -0.867*** | -1.142*** |
|                        | (-14.35) | (-9.39) | (-11.91) |
| $\log(P)$             | -0.929*** | -1.429*** | -1.057*** |
|                        | (-3.72)   | (-4.83) | (-4.27)   |
| Observations          | 176      | 241     | 117      |
| R-squared             | 0.547    | 0.352   | 0.623    |

The numbers in parentheses are t statistics; * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01

The author believes that this reflects that the instruments eliminate most of the true exogenous variation in these variables. These exogenous changes come from the fact that these prices consider consumer demand and competitor pricing during the adjustment period. The effect of these instruments on prices is stable.

5. CONCLUSION

Through empirical results, it can be proved that the ranking of products can significantly affect sales volume. This is consistent with the original hypothesis that obfuscation leads to greater profits for merchants. In addition, in the two hypotheses, for the first one that compares Taobao and Tmall websites, the price of low- and medium-quality products in Taobao also has a significant impact on the sales of the products, but Tmall acts the opposite, which embodies this situation in high-quality products. The author speculated that this may be because Taobao’s operation time and operation system are more mature, and there are more fixed customer groups. At the same time, there are more medium- and low-quality goods on Taobao. Therefore, when people want to buy medium- and low-quality products, Taobao is the preferred choice. For hypothesis 2, the comparison of mouse, the effect of ranking on sales can also be found.

In theory, based on the literature of Stahl and Ellison, this paper proposes another form of an extended model of obfuscation. In existing studies, Weian Li et al.(2007) used the data of Taobao.com to study the role of reputation mechanism in online transactions, Youzhi Xue and Yongfeng Guo(2012) studied Taobao’s competitive strategy, Yali Dong and Bei Yang(2007) analyzed the factors that influence consumer buying behavior on Taobao, but they were not from the perspective of obfuscation strategy affects sales, so this paper enriches the empirical conclusion and contribute to the research on obfuscation.

In practice, this paper gives a positive explanation for the obfuscation strategy of online shopping websites with multiple products and merchants, and merchants can learn from the experience of Taobao and Tmall to achieve the goal of profit maximization.

However, this paper also has many shortcomings. First of all, due to the limitations of technical level and platform policy, the data time span and sample size used in this paper are defective, so the empirical results obtained may not be universal. The data may be more convincing at shopping festivals, such as 11 November, when
obfuscation is most evident. Secondly, this paper only considers the case where merchants obfuscate prices with the products price and the ranking. In fact, there are far more ways to obfuscate prices, for example, personalized price, pre-sell strategy, "deposit first, balance payment later" strategy, cross-store order to get reduction, etc. As merchant obfuscation strategies become more and more abundant, theoretical research should also keep up with the pace, which is also an interesting direction for future research.
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