The benefit of bevacizumab therapy in patients with refractory vasogenic edema caused by brain metastasis from lung and colon cancers
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Objective: This retrospective study investigated the efficacy of bevacizumab in refractory brain edema caused by brain metastasis from lung cancer and colon cancer.

Methods: A total of 72 patients with refractory brain edema were divided into the lung cancer and colon cancer groups according to their primary tumor. All patients received a single bevacizumab treatment for refractory brain edema. MRI was performed 1 week before the treatment and 4 weeks after the treatment. The edema and tumor volumes were calculated using imaging modalities.

Results: After a single bevacizumab treatment, the refractory brain edema of 61 patients was controlled, and the clinical symptoms of 65 patients were improved. The average edema volume before treatment was 201,708.97 ± 61,426.04 mm³, which has decreased to 116,947.01 ± 43,879.16 mm³ after treatment (P < 0.05). After treatment, the edema index decreased from 25.97 ± 7.15 to 17.32 ± 5.24 (P < 0.05). We found that brain edema was controlled in 40 patients (93.02%) in the lung cancer group and 21 patients (72.41%) in the colon cancer group (P<0.05). In addition, 22 patients (88.00%) in the radiotherapy group achieved edema control, compared to 39 (82.98%) in the non-radiotherapy group (P>0.05). Nine patients experienced hypertension after treatment, two patients exhibited decreased platelet counts, and no hemorrhage cases were observed.

Conclusion: Bevacizumab can significantly alleviate refractory brain edema, and there is a significant difference in the efficacy of bevacizumab on refractory brain edema caused by brain metastasis from lung and colon cancers.
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Introduction

Brain metastases are 10 times more common than primary intracranial cancer and represent the most common intracranial malignancy in adults (1, 2). Brain edema often occurs around brain metastases due to the abnormal accumulation of fluid in the brain parenchyma (3), which increases brain volume and elevated intracranial pressure (ICP) within the skull (4). Elevated ICP may decrease cerebral blood flow, causing hypoxia in the brain tissue and even brain herniation. These factors can lead to irreversible damage to nerve function and even death. Mannitol, diuretics, and steroids are used to reduce brain edema, but their therapeutic effect on refractory brain edema is unsatisfactory. Previous studies have shown that the control rate of these drugs for refractory brain edema is 27%–39% (5–10). These drugs cannot eliminate potential pathogenic factors and have many adverse reactions (11). The long-term use of steroids can lead to significant systemic side effects, including immunosuppression and avascular necrosis (12, 13). Mannitol may cause systemic hypotension, decreased cerebral perfusion, and acute renal failure (14, 15). Vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) promotes angiogenesis and vascular permeability (16). Therefore, it is considered to play a key role in brain tumor-related edema. Bevacizumab, a monoclonal antibody against VEGF-A, is an effective treatment for brain edema (17–20). The purpose of this study is to explore whether there is a difference in the efficacy of bevacizumab for refractory cerebral edema caused by metastatic tumors with distinct anatomical origins.

Materials and methods

Patients

From January, 2014 to January, 2021, 287 patients were treated with bevacizumab in our hospital. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) peritumoral brain edema confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examination; (2) clinical symptoms were not improved after more than 5 days of mannitol or glucocorticoid treatment; and (3) patients underwent pathological testing. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients with a history of hypertension; (2) patients with a history of other tumors; (3) patients with incomplete clinical data; and (4) patients who refused to sign the informed consent. All patients signed a written informed consent form before receiving bevacizumab treatment. The academic and ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University approved this study.

Demographic characteristics

Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the enrolled patients. A total of 72 patients were divided into a lung cancer group (n=43) and colon cancer group (n=29) according to the source of the primary tumor. We demonstrated that bevacizumab is effective for the treatment of refractory cerebral edema. Furthermore, the efficacy of bevacizumab for the treatment of refractory cerebral edema caused by metastatic tumors with distinct anatomical origins is different.

|               | Lung cancer | Colon cancer | P     |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| Age (Y)       | 62.51 ± 12.31 | 60.62 ± 13.15 | >0.05 |
| Sex           |             |              | >0.05 |
| Male          | 23          | 16           |       |
| Female        | 20          | 13           |       |
| KPS           | 62.79 ± 9.84 | 60.00 ± 12.82 | >0.05 |
| Tumor size (mm)| 8.95 ± 3.11 | 8.41 ± 3.26  | >0.05 |
| Edema volume  | 201,538.70 ± 59,327.27 | 201,931.79 ± 65,482.65 | >0.05 |
| Edema index   | 25.60 ± 7.47 | 26.52 ± 6.73  | >0.05 |
| Treatment time| 1           | 1            | >0.05 |
| History of craniotomy | 5          | 3            | >0.05 |
| Radiotherapy (mean ± SE) |             |              | >0.05 |
| Stereotactic radiotherapy | 8(13.56 ± 2.53 Gy) | 5(14.72 ± 1.24 Gy) | >0.05 |
| Whole-brain radiotherapy | 5(16.31 ± 4.25 Gy) | 4(17.76 ± 3.28 Gy) |     |
| Intensity-modulated radiotherapy | 1(20 Gy) | 2(20.50 ± 0.71 Gy) |     |
None of the patients had a history of radiation therapy prior to bevacizumab treatment. In total, 25 patients received radiotherapy during MRI examination.

**Treatment**

Previous studies have suggested that the therapeutic dose of bevacizumab was 5 or 10 mg/kg (21, 22). The relationship between the bevacizumab dose and adverse reactions is unclear (23, 24). The purpose of utilizing bevacizumab in this study was to control refractory brain edema, so the therapeutic dose we used was 5 mg/kg. All patients received a single dose of bevacizumab.

**Imaging examination**

MRI was performed 1 week before the treatment and 4 weeks after treatment (25, 26). The tumor volumes were measured using T1-weighted images, and edema volumes were calculated using FRFSE and T2-weighted images. The tumor and edema volumes were measured using a method previously described by Bitzer (27). It is assumed that the volume of the tumor and brain edema is an elliptical sphere. Therefore, \( V = \frac{\pi}{6} \times ABC \) calculates the volume. Figure 1 demonstrates the volume measurement technique. Volume is measured by drawing mutually perpendicular diameters (A and B) of the largest cross-section of cerebral edema in the axial plane and maximum height of sagittal cerebral edema (C). These measurements are substituted into the formula above to complete the volume calculation. The edema index (EI) was calculated as (volume of edema + tumor volume)/tumor volume (27). Edema volume reduction >10% was considered controlled, and volume increase or change ≤10% was considered uncontrolled (28). A total of 25 patients received radiotherapy during MRI examination. In total, 13 patients received stereotactic radiotherapy. Nine patients received whole-brain radiotherapy, and three patients received intensity-modulated radiotherapy.

**Statistical analyses**

Our data were analyzed using SPSS 26.0 statistical software. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare the differences in the edema volume and EI before and after bevacizumab treatment. The edema control rate of each group was compared using the chi-square test. An arbitrary level of 5% was used to indicate statistical significance.

**Results**

**Therapeutic effect**

After treatment, the edema control rate was calculated by examining images. The results revealed that the refractory brain edema was controlled in 61 patients and the clinical symptoms were improved in 65 patients. Table 2 summarizes the changes in the edema volume and EI before and after bevacizumab treatment. The edema control rate of each group was compared using the chi-square test. An arbitrary level of 5% was used to indicate statistical significance.
FIGURE 2
Changes in the edema volume before and after bevacizumab treatment in the lung cancer group (A), colon cancer group (B), radiotherapy group (C), and non-radiotherapy group (D). Red represents the edema volume before treatment, and blue represents the edema volume after treatment. We use * to indicate statistical difference. * for p<0.05, and *** for p<0.001.

TABLE 2 Changes in edema volume and edema index after treatment.

|                          | Pretreatment (x ± s) | Posttreatment (x ± s) | P      |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| All (n=72)               |                      |                       |        |
| Edema volume (mm³)       | 201,708.97 ± 61,426.04 | 116,947.01 ± 43,879.16 | <0.05  |
| Edema index              | 25.97 ± 7.15         | 17.32 ± 5.24          | <0.05  |
| Lung cancer (n=43)       |                      |                       |        |
| Edema volume (mm³)       | 201,558.70 ± 59,327.27 | 108,344.40 ± 35,299.96 | <0.001 |
| Edema index              | 25.60 ± 7.47         | 16.98 ± 5.21          | <0.001 |
| Colon cancer (n=29)      |                      |                       |        |
| Edema volume (mm³)       | 201,931.79 ± 65,482.65 | 129,702.62 ± 52,258.17 | <0.05  |
| Edema index              | 26.52 ± 6.73         | 17.83 ± 5.34          | <0.05  |
| Radiotherapy (n=25)      |                      |                       |        |
| Edema volume (mm³)       | 215,883.08 ± 56,569.51 | 123,312.40 ± 48,058.32 | <0.001 |
| Edema index              | 25.12 ± 6.73         | 16.88 ± 4.79          | <0.05  |
| Non-radiotherapy (n=47)  |                      |                       |        |
| Edema volume (mm³)       | 194,169.55 ± 63,141.89 | 113,561.17 ± 41,629.79 | <0.05  |
| Edema index              | 26.43 ± 7.39         | 17.55 ± 5.50          | <0.001 |
Table 3 compares the edema control rate in each group after treatment.

Adverse reactions

Adverse reactions to bevacizumab included hypertension, several types of bleeding, venous thrombus exfoliation, and albuminuria (29, 30). The correlation between the drug dose and adverse reactions is unclear. Besse reported that the incidence of intracerebral hemorrhage in patients with brain metastases was 0.8%–3.3% after bevacizumab, while the incidence without bevacizumab was 1.0% (31). Khasraw reported that the incidence of intracerebral hemorrhage in patients with glioma or brain metastasis after bevacizumab treatment was 3.7%, while the incidence in those not administered bevacizumab was 3.6% (32). In addition, other complications after bevacizumab treatment have been reported, such as thrombocytopenia, intestinal perforation, and sepsis (33). In our study, nine patients experienced hypertension after treatment, two patients exhibited decreased platelet counts, and no cases of hemorrhage were observed.

Discussion

Surgery is often considered first-line treatment for patients with a large (usually defined as >3 cm in diameter) or...
symptomatic brain metastasis; however, many patients are not optimal candidates for resection due to medical comorbidities, extensive extracranial burden of disease, or multiple intracranial metastases (34). None of the patients in this study were able to undergo craniotomy for various reasons. In these cases, radiation, either as whole-brain radiotherapy or stereotactic radiosurgery, is considered. There is a protracted response time following radiotherapy, with the earliest reaction observed within 2–3 months (35). Because the onset of radiation therapy was longer than our follow-up period, we believe that the effects of radiation therapy on cerebral edema in the patients during this study were small. Furthermore, although there is no definitive time limit, radiation-associated cerebral edema usually appears 3 or more months after radiation therapy (5).

Steroids are widely used to control clinical symptoms caused by perifocal edema (36). However, steroid treatment has side effects that impair the quality of life, including iatrogenic Cushing syndrome, which is frequently evident after only a few weeks of treatment (37). Steroid side effects such as mood changes, metabolic derallment, sleep disorders, and myopathy add to the symptoms of advanced cancer and can further impair the quality of life (9). Due to steroids’ adverse complications, they often do not provide long-term efficacy. In addition, steroids combined with mannitol have poor efficacy in refractory cerebral edema, with a control rate of approximately 30% (38, 39). Bevacizumab has been reported to improve steroid-resistant cerebral edema. A previous study reported that bevacizumab treatment resolved edema in 82% of patients (5). In our study, the edema control rate was similar at 84.72%.

This study is the first to assess differences in the therapeutic efficacy of bevacizumab on refractory brain edema caused by brain metastasis from different tissues of origin: lung and colon. These findings may have important clinical significance for the treatment of these patients. Previous studies have shown that bevacizumab treats brain edema by blocking the binding of VEGF-A to its receptor (40–43). Zustovich reported on 18 patients with peritumoral cerebral edema treated with bevacizumab. The objective control rate was 100%, and the effective rate was 60% (44).

In this study, patients were reexamined by MRI 4 weeks after treatment. A total of 61 patients (84.72%) achieved edema control after a single bevacizumab treatment. We found that brain edema was controlled in 40 patients (93.02%) in the lung cancer group and 21 patients (72.41%) in the colon cancer group (P<0.05). This observation confirms that bevacizumab has differential efficacy in refractory cerebral edema caused by brain metastases from different organs. Refractory brain edema from colon cancer brain metastases may require higher doses of bevacizumab. In addition, 22 patients (88.00%) in the radiotherapy group achieved edema control compared to 39

|            | Controlled n/N (%) | Uncontrolled n/N (%) | P       |
|------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|
| All (n=72) | 61/72 (84.72%)    | 11/72 (15.28%)       |         |
| Lung cancer (n=43) | 40/43 (93.02%)    | 3/43 (6.98%)         |         |
| Colon cancer (n=29) | 21/29 (72.41%)    | 8/29 (27.59%)        | <0.05   |
| Radiotherapy (n=25) | 22/25 (88.00%)    | 3/25 (12.00%)        |         |
| Non-radiotherapy (n=47) | 39/47 (82.98%)   | 8/47 (17.02%)        | >0.05   |

FIGURE 4
Radiographic images of brain edema before and after treatment with bevacizumab. Panel (A) represents edema in a lung cancer patient before treatment, and panel (B) represents after treatment. Panel (C) represents edema in a colon cancer patient before treatment, and panel (D) represents after treatment.
therapy, and could be associated with a favorable tumor prognosis. This was a single-center study. If we can conduct further multicenter research, the results will be more representative. Second, radiotherapy during follow-up may have an impact on the edema volume and edema index. Our study found for the first time that bevacizumab has a differential efficacy of refractory brain edema caused by brain metastases from primary lung and colon cancers. However, the reasons for the differences in efficacy need to be further studied. Finally, only 72 patients were included in this study. All patients received only a single dose of bevacizumab with a short follow-up period. Increasing the follow-up time and bevacizumab dose allows for a more precise assessment of bevacizumab efficacy.

Conclusion

This study suggests that bevacizumab may reduce refractory brain edema, and there is a significant difference in the efficacy of bevacizumab on refractory brain edema caused by the brain metastasis of lung cancer and colon cancer. A total of 11 patients experienced mild adverse reactions and quickly returned to normal. Therefore, bevacizumab is a safe and effective treatment option for refractory brain edema.
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