Heparanase Induces Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription (STAT) Protein Phosphorylation

PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE IN HEAD AND NECK CANCER
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Background: We hypothesized that STAT proteins mediate the protumorigenic function of heparanase.

Results: Heparanase enhances the phosphorylation of STAT3 and STAT5b, SRC and EGFR. Notably, cytoplasmic rather than nuclear phospho-STAT3 correlated with poor prognosis.

Conclusion: Heparanase levels are associated with the outcome of head and neck cancer patients.

Significance: A novel feature of head and neck cancer is revealed.

Activity of heparanase is implicated strongly in dissemination of metastatic tumor cells and cells of the immune system. In addition, heparanase enhances the phosphorylation of selected signaling molecules, including SRC and EGFR, in a manner that requires secretion but not enzymatic activity of heparanase and is mediated by its C-terminal domain. Clinically, heparanase staining is associated with larger tumors and increased EGFR phosphorylation in head and neck carcinoma. We hypothesized that signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) proteins mediate the protumorigenic function of heparanase downstream of the EGFR. We provide evidence that heparanase enhances the phosphorylation of STAT3 and STAT5b but not STAT5a. Moreover, enhanced proliferation of heparanase transfected cells was attenuated by STAT3 and STAT5b siRNA, but not STAT5a or STAT1 siRNA. Clinically, STAT3 phosphorylation was associated with head and neck cancer progression, EGFR phosphorylation, and heparanase expression and cellular localization. Notably, cytoplasmic rather than nuclear phospho-STAT3 correlated with increased tumor size (T-stage; \( p = 0.007 \)), number of metastatic neck lymph nodes (\( p = 0.05 \)), and reduced survival of patients (\( p = 0.04 \)).

Heparanase is an endo-\( \beta \)-n-glucuronidase capable of cleaving heparan sulfate side chains of heparan sulfate proteoglycans at a limited number of sites (1, 2). Heparanase activity correlated with the metastatic potential of tumor-derived cells, attributed to enhanced cell dissemination as a consequence of heparan sulfate cleavage and remodeling of the extracellular matrix barrier (1, 2). Similarly, heparanase activity was implicated in neovascularization, inflammation, and autoimmunity, involving migration of vascular endothelial cells and activated cells of the immune system (1–3). Evidence has shown that heparanase is up-regulated in various primary solid tumors (i.e. carcinomas and sarcomas) and hematological malignancies (4–7). Heparanase up-regulation correlated with increased lymph node and distant metastasis, increased microvessel density, and reduced post-operation survival of cancer patients, thus providing a strong clinical support for the prometastatic and proangiogenic features of the enzyme and encouraging the development of heparanase inhibitors (8–12). In addition, heparanase up-regulation in primary human tumors correlated in some cases with tumors bigger in size (4). Likewise, heparanase overexpression enhanced (13, 14), whereas local delivery of anti-heparanase siRNA inhibited (14) the progression of tumor xenografts. These results imply that heparanase function is not limited to tumor metastasis but is engaged in the progression of primary lesions. The cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying these aspects of heparanase function are not entirely clear but likely involve proangiogenic features (4, 15). In addition, results obtained in recent years indicate that heparanase facilitates the phosphorylation and activity of selected signaling molecules and induces transcription of proangiogenic (i.e. VEGF-A, VEGF-C, COX-2), prothrombotic (i.e. tissue factor), mitogenic (hepatocyte growth factor), and osteolytic (RANKL) genes (4, 13, 15–20). Signaling function requires heparanase secretion but not enzymatic activity and appears to be mediated by its C-terminal domain (21–24).

We have reported previously that heparanase enhances the phosphorylation of EGFR in an SRC-dependent manner, lead-
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**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Antibodies and Reagents**—The following antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA): anti-lamin A/C (sc-7292), anti-SRC (sc-18 and sc-19), anti-phosphotyrosine (sc-7020), anti-AKT (sc-5298), anti-EGFR (sc-03), anti-pEGFR (Tyr1173, sc-12351R), anti-STAT3 (sc-7179), anti-phospho-STAT3 (Tyr705, sc-8059), anti-STAT5a (sc-1081), anti-STAT5b (sc-1656), anti-phospho-ERK (sc-7383), and anti-ERK2 (sc-154). Polyclonal antibodies to phospho-SRC (Tyr416) and phospho-AKT (Ser473) were purchased from Cell Signaling (Beverly, MA). Anti-actin antibody was purchased from Sigma. Anti-heparanase polyclonal antibody was purchased from Abcam. Anti-heparanase monoclonal antibody-HRP conjugated was purchased from Invitrogen. The PCR primer sets were purchased from Thermo Scientific. SMARTpool duplex siRNA oligonucleotides (siGENOME ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool duplex) were purchased from Dharmacon (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and transfection was carried out utilizing DharmaFECT reagent, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Dharmacon). Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen), and RNA (1 μg) was amplified using a one-step PCR amplification kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Scientific). The PCR primer sets were as follows: heparanase F, 5′-AGGTTGCATATGGAGGCGG-3′ and heparanase R, 5′-TGAACCTCTGCGGAGGACG-3′ and GAPDH F, 5′-CCAGCCGGACATCGTC-3′ and GAPDH R, 5′-ATGAAGCCCGCTTCTCCAT-3′.

**Cell Culture and Transfection**—Mouse embryonic fibroblasts have been described previously (26). FaDu pharynx carcinoma cells were kindly provided by Dr. Eben L. Rosenthal (University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL) (27). SQ-20B laryngeal carcinoma and JSQ3 nasal vestibule carcinoma cells were kindly provided by Dr. Ralph Weichselbaum (University of Chicago, Chicago, IL) (28), and CAG myeloma cells were kindly provided by Dr. Ben-Zion Katz (Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel) (29). Human LNCaP prostate carcinoma, U87 glioma, Cal27 tongue carcinoma, and T47D breast carcinoma cells were purchased from the ATCC. Cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with glutamine, pyruvate, antibiotics, and 10% fetal calf serum in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37°C. For stable transfection, cells were transfected with heparanase gene constructs using the FuGENE reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche Applied Science), selected with Zeocin (Invitrogen) for 2 weeks, expanded, and pooled, as described (17, 21). Cells were passed in culture no more that 3 months after being thawed from authentic stocks.

**Cell Fractionation, Immunoprecipitation, and Protein Blotting**—Cell fractionation was carried out utilizing NE-PER nuclear and cytoplasmic extraction reagents according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Pierce). Preparation of cell lysates, immunoprecipitation, and immunoblotting were performed essentially as described (17, 21).

**Cell Proliferation**—For growth curves, cells (5 × 10^4) were seeded into 6-cm culture dishes in duplicate. Cells were dissociated with trypsin/EDTA and counted every other day using a Coulter Counter, and cell numbers were further confirmed by counting with a hemacytometer. Additionally, cell proliferation was analyzed by BrdU incorporation using cell proliferation labeling reagent (1:1000, GE Healthcare) as described (21). At least 1000 cells were counted for each cell type.

**Gene Silencing and PCR Analysis**—Transfection and analysis of cells following siRNA transfection were carried out essentially as described (21). Anti-heparanase, anti-STAT3, anti-STAT5a, anti-STAT5b, anti-STAT1, and control anti-GFP siRNA oligonucleotides (siGENOME ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool duplex) were purchased from Dharmacon (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and transfection was carried out utilizing DharmaFECT3 reagent, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Dharmacon). Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen), and RNA (1 μg) was amplified using a one-step PCR amplification kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (ABgene, Epsom, UK). The PCR primer sets were as follows: control F, 5′-AGGTTGCATATGGAGGCGG-3′ and control R, 5′-CAGCCGGACATCGTC-3′ and GAPDH F, 5′-ATGAAGCCCGCTTCTCCAT-3′.

**Immunocytochemistry**—Immunofluorescent staining was performed essentially as described (21, 30, 31). Staining was observed under a fluorescent confocal microscope.

**Immunostaining**—Staining of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded 5-micron sections was performed essentially as described (17, 32, 33). Briefly, slides were deparaffinized and rehydrated, and endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched (30 min) by 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol. Slides were then subjected to antigen retrieval by boiling (20 min) in 10 mM citrate buffer, pH 6.0. Following washes with PBS, slides were incubated with 10% normal goat serum in PBS for 60 min to block nonspecific binding and incubated (20 h, 4°C) with anti-phospho-STAT3, diluted 1:100 in blocking solution. Slides were extensively washed with PBS containing 0.01% Triton X-100 and incubated with a secondary reagent (Envision kit) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Dako). Following additional washes, color was developed with the AEC reagent (Dako), sections were counterstained with hematoxylin and mounted as described (17, 21). Immunostained specimens were examined by a senior pathologist (I. Naroditsky) who was blind to clinical data of the patients. Staining was scored according to the intensity of staining (0, none; +1, weak to moderate; +2, strong), and the percentage (extent staining) of tumor cells that were stained. The extent of staining was further categorized as low (0, <10%), moderate (+1, 10–50%), and high (+2, >50%) of...
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RESULTS

Heparanase Enhances STAT Protein Phosphorylation—Overexpression of wild type (Hepa) or inactive, double-mutated (Glu225 and Glu343) (21) heparanase gene constructs in FaDu pharyngeal carcinoma cells resulted in a marked increase in the phosphorylation levels of EGFR (pEGFR; Fig. 1, A–C) and SRC (pSrc; Fig. 1, D–F) in LNCaP prostate carcinoma cells (supplemental Fig. 1, A–C). Similarly, heparanase overexpression enhanced, whereas heparanase gene silencing (Fig. 1, G–I) attenuated the phosphorylation of EGFR (Fig. 1, J) and SRC (pSrc; Fig. 1, J). In contrast with FaDu cells, however, AKT phosphorylation was not significantly affected by heparanase in LNCaP cells (Fig. 1B, third panels; supplemental Fig. 1, G and I). Because ERK phosphorylation does not appear to be regulated by heparanase (Fig. 1A, lower panels; supplemental Fig. 1D) (23, 25), we hypothesized that STAT proteins mediate the proliferative effect of heparanase noted in LNCaP cells (21). Of the seven mammalian STAT proteins, STAT3 and STAT5 are directly by SRC (35–37). Indeed, STAT5b phosphorylation was not significantly affected by heparanase in LNCaP (Fig. 1, B and F). Similarly, heparanase-enhanced STAT5b phosphorylation was observed in FaDu pharyngeal carcinoma cells (supplemental Fig. 1, B). In contrast with FaDu cells, however, AKT phosphorylation was not significantly affected by heparanase in LNCaP cells (Fig. 1B, third panels; supplemental Fig. 1, G and I). Because ERK phosphorylation does not appear to be regulated by heparanase (Fig. 1A, lower panels; supplemental Fig. 1D) (23, 25), we hypothesized that STAT proteins mediate the proliferative effect of heparanase noted in LNCaP cells (21). Of the seven mammalian STAT proteins, STAT3 and STAT5 are directly by SRC (35–37). Indeed, STAT5b phosphorylation was
increased nearly 3-fold in heparanase-transfected FaDu (Fig. 1A, seventh panel; supplemental Fig. 2A) and LNCaP (Fig. 1B, left, seventh panel; supplemental Fig. 2B) cells, whereas heparanase gene silencing resulted in a comparable, 3-fold decrease in STAT5b phosphorylation (Fig. 1B, right, seventh panel; supplemental Fig. 2C). A similar increase in STAT5b phosphorylation was observed in T47D breast carcinoma cells overexpressing heparanase (Fig. 1C; supplemental Fig. 2D), accompanied by a marked increase in nuclear STAT5b (Fig. 1D, left panels). In contrast, the phosphorylation status (Fig. 1C, third panel; supplemental Fig. 2E) and cellular localization (Fig. 1D, right panels) of STAT5a was not altered by heparanase, indicating a specific effect on STAT5b. Accordingly, exogenous addition of recombinant heparanase to mouse embryonic fibroblasts resulted in efficient phosphorylation (Fig. 2A, right most panels) and translocation of STAT5b to the cell nucleus (Fig. 2A, Hepa). Enhanced nuclear translocation was similarly observed in LNCaP prostate carcinoma and CAG myeloma cells overexpressing heparanase (supplemental Fig. 3A, left and right panels, respectively). Enhanced nuclear translocation of STAT5b was further confirmed biochemically, clearly revealing increased amounts of STAT5b (Fig. 2B, upper panel) but not STAT5a (Fig. 2B, second panel) in the cell nuclei following exogenous addition of latent heparanase. Notably, nuclear translocation following addition of heparanase was markedly attenuated by PP2 and CL-387785, selective inhibitors of SRC and EGFR, respectively. This was observed by immunofluorescent staining (Fig. 2A) and biochemically, subjecting nuclear extracts to immunoblotting applying anti-STAT5b (Fig. 2C, upper and lower panels) antibodies. These results indicate that enhanced STAT5b protein phosphorylation and nuclear translocation by heparanase are mediated by SRC and EGFR, whereas MAPK does not seem to be involved.

To confirm that increased phosphorylation (Fig. 1) and nuclear translocation (Figs. 1D and 2, A–C) of STAT5b is associated with enhanced gene transcription, nuclear extracts of control (Vo) and heparanase-transfected T47D (Hepa; Fig. 2D, upper panel) and FaDu (Fig. 2D, lower panel) cells were incubated with biotinylated oligonucleotides containing the STAT5 binding site of the bovine β-casein promoter. Strepavidin-agarose beads were then added for 60 min, and, after washing, agarose-bound material was subjected to immunoblotting with anti-STAT5b antibody. Note the increased STAT5b association with the casein gene promoter following heparanase overexpression.
that heparanase facilitates the transcriptional activity of selected STAT proteins.

Immunofluorescent staining further revealed increased nuclear translocation of STAT5b in heparanase overexpressing Cal27 tongue carcinoma, JSQ3 nasal vestible carcinoma, and FaDu cells (Fig. 3A, upper panels, respectively), whereas heparanase gene silencing results in reduced levels of nuclear STAT5b (Fig. 3A, lower panels), indicating that endogenous
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Cal27 tongue carcinoma, JSQ3 nasal vestible carcinoma, and FaDu cells (Fig. 3A, upper panels, respectively), whereas heparanase gene silencing results in reduced levels of nuclear STAT5b (Fig. 3A, lower panels), indicating that endogenous

**Figure 3**

**A** Vo and Hepa Cal27, JSQ3, FaDu, and FaDu siGFP and siHepa images showing increased nuclear translocation of STAT5b. **B** Vo and Hepa FaDu, JSQ3, and U87 images with pSTAT3 and STAT3 protein expression levels. **C** siGFP, siSTAT5b, siSTAT5a, and siSTAT1 images with corresponding protein expression levels.
Heparanase modulates STAT5b localization. Notably, not only STAT5b but also STAT3 phosphorylation is increased following heparanase overexpression in FaDu (Fig. 3B, lower left panel), Cal27 (Fig. 3B, lower second left panel), JSQ3 (Fig. 3B, lower second righthand panel) and U87 glioma (Fig. 3B, righthand panels). In FaDu cells as well as in JSQ3 and U87 cells, phospho-STAT3 evidently was not translocated to the cell nucleus but rather resided perinuclearly (FaDu; Fig. 3B, upper left panel), at the cell periphery (JSQ3; Fig. 3B, upper middle panels), possibly at sites of focal adhesions (39), or diffusely distributed in the cell cytoplasm (U87; upper righthand panels).

To reveal whether STAT protein mediates the proliferative function of heparanase, LNCaP cells were transfected with siRNAs directed against STAT, and cell proliferation was evaluated by BrdU incorporation. Anti-STAT5b siRNA resulted in a significant decrease in STAT5b levels (Fig. 3C, lower lefthand panel) and a 2-fold decrease in BrdU incorporation (Fig. 3C, siSTAT5b; \( p = 0.003 \)). Likewise, a 2-fold decrease in BrdU incorporation was noted following STAT3 gene silencing (Fig. 3C, siSTAT3). In contrast, BrdU incorporation was not significantly altered by siRNAs directed against STAT5a or STAT1 (Fig. 3C, siSTAT5a, STAT1), suggesting that STAT3 and STAT5b mediate cell proliferation elicited by heparanase in LNCaP cells.

We next applied immunohistochemistry to reveal STAT phosphorylation levels in the course of tumor xenograft development. We could not obtain satisfactory staining for pSTAT5 by applying polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies from various vendors. Anti-pSTAT3 antibody nonetheless yielded reproducible specific staining. STAT3 phosphorylation was markedly increased in tumor xenografts produced by heparanase-transfected U87 glioma cells (Fig. 4A, left panels), a model system in which heparanase overexpression resulted in significant increase in tumor development (22) and in line with the protumorigenic properties of STAT3 in glioma (40). A similar increase in pSTAT3 was evident in tumor xenografts produced

**FIGURE 3.** Heparanase modulates STAT5b and STAT3 phosphorylation. A, heparanase overexpression and gene silencing, Cal27 (upper panels), JSQ3 (second panels), and FaDu cells (third panels) were transfected with an empty vector (Vo) or heparanase gene construct (Hepa), and stably transfected cells were subjected to immunofluorescent staining with anti-STAT5b antibody. FaDu cells were transfected similarly with anti-GFP (siGFP) or anti-heparanase (siHepa) siRNA oligonucleotides. Three days thereafter, cells were subjected to immunofluorescent staining with anti-STAT5b antibody (lower panels). Note increased nuclear STAT5b in heparanase-overexpressing cells and decreased nuclear STAT5b following heparanase gene silencing. Original magnification, \( \times 40 \). B, STAT3 phosphorylation, FaDu (lower left panels), Cal27 (second left panel), JSQ3 (second right panel), and U87 (lower right panels) cells were transfected with empty control (Vo) or heparanase expression vector (Hepa), and lysate samples were subjected to immunoblotting applying anti-pSTAT3 or anti-STAT3 antibodies. STAT3 phosphorylation index calculated by densitometry analysis (Vo cells arbitrary set to a value of 1) of at least five independent experiments is shown in the bottom panels. Control (Vo) and heparanase-transfected (Hepa) FaDu (upper left panel), JSQ3 (middle panels), and U87 (upper right panels) cells were also subjected to immunofluorescent staining applying anti-pSTAT3 antibody (green); nuclei counterstaining (DAPI) is shown in blue. Original magnification, \( \times 63 \). C, BrdU incorporation. Subconfluent heparanase-transfected LNCaP cells were transfected with control (siGFP), anti-STAT5b, anti-STAT5a, anti-STAT1, or anti-STAT3 siRNA oligonucleotides. After recovery for 2 days in growth medium, cells were kept in serum-free medium for 20 h followed by incubation with BrdU (1:1000) for 2 h. Cells were then fixed and immunostained with anti BrdU monoclonal antibodies. Positively stained, red-brown nuclei were counted versus blue, hematoxylin counterstained nuclei (upper panels). At least 1000 cells were counted for each cell type, and the percentage of positively stained cells is noted in each bar. Decreased STAT3, STAT5b and STAT5a levels following siRNA transfection are shown in the lower panels.
by FaDu cells overexpressing heparanase (Fig. 4A, right panels). In these tumor models, phospho-STAT3 was not localized to the cell nuclei but was localized in the cytoplasm, in agreement with the in vitro phenotype (Fig. 3B). Only modest increases in pSTAT3 levels were observed following heparanase overexpression by CAG myeloma cells (supplemental Fig. 3B). In contrast, STAT3 phosphorylation was markedly augmented in endothelial cells lining blood vessels in xenografts produced by heparanase overexpressing CAG cells compared with control mock-transfected cells (supplemental Fig. 3B), altogether suggesting that, depending on the cell type, heparanase may activate STAT3 in cells of the tumor and/or its microenvironment.

Clinical Significance of Phospho-STAT3 in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma—We applied immunostaining to reveal pSTAT3 levels in biopsies of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), a cohort utilized previously in a number of studies (15, 17, 21, 32). Clinical description of the patients included in the study is summarized in Table 1. Positive pSTAT3 staining was found in 94% (81/86) of the tumor specimens, whereas 6% (5/86) of the specimens were found negative for pSTAT3 (Fig. 4B, upper panel). In most of the specimens (83%; 67/81), pSTAT3 staining localized preferentially to the cell nuclei (Fig. 4B, middle panel). In the other cases (27%; 14/81), pSTAT3 was predominantly localized in the cell cytoplasm (Fig. 4B, lower panel). We analyzed the clinical significance of nuclear versus cytoplasmic pSTAT3, omitting the small number of cases (5) found negative for pSTAT3. Importantly, cytoplasmic pSTAT3 was associated with tumor larger in size (T-stage). Hence, all 14 cases with cytoplasmic pSTAT3 were diagnosed with large tumors (T-stage 3–4) compared with 64% of such tumors where pSTAT3 assumed nuclear localization (p = 0.007; Table 2, “T-Stage”). One of the most crucial prognostic factors for the survival of patients with HNSCC is tumor metastasis to neck lymph nodes. Notably, the number of metastatic lymph nodes found in the pathological specimens of neck dissections was twice as much in head and neck cancer patients having cytoplasmic versus nuclear pSTAT3 (3 versus 1.56 for cytoplasmic and nuclear pSTAT3, respectively; supplemental Fig. 3C; p = 0.05). Accordingly, the status of patients was worse when pSTAT3 was localized to the cytoplasm: 79% of the patients with cytoplasmic pSTAT3 died by the end of the study, compared with 46% of the patients exhibiting nuclear pSTAT3 (p = 0.04; Table 2, “Status”).

We have reported previously that in this cohort of patients, staining for pEGFR associates with tumor size (21). Notably, pEGFR levels were associated with pSTAT3 localization (Table 2, “pEGFR”). Thus, all cases (100%) exhibiting cytoplasmic localization of pSTAT3 were also positive for pEGFR compared with 63% of the specimens positive for pEGFR and assuming nuclear localization of pSTAT3, differences that are statistically highly significant (p = 0.006). Even a higher significance of association is obtained when pEGFR staining extent is analyzed in comparison with pSTAT3 cellular localization (supplemental Fig. 3D). In this analysis, mean pEGFR staining extent was 58.9% ± 7.7 in specimens harboring cytoplasmic pSTAT3 compared with 27.5% ± 4.1 in specimens where pSTAT3 assumed nuclear localization (p = 0.0007). These results strongly imply that pSTAT3 is found downstream EGFR, associating with disease progression in HNSCC. Most importantly, heparanase staining extent was associated with pSTAT3 localization (Table 2, “Heparanase extent”). Thus, 93% of the specimens that exhibit cytoplasmic localization of pSTAT3 displayed high levels of heparanase staining extent compared with 64% of the cases in which pSTAT3 assumed nuclear localization (p = 0.03). Furthermore, pSTAT3 localization was associated with heparanase localization (Table 2, “Heparanase extent”). Remarkably, nuclear heparanase was associated with nuclear pSTAT3, both of which predict favorable outcome (32, 41), whereas cytoplasmic heparanase, which correlates with increased EGFR phosphorylation (21), was linked with cytoplasmic pSTAT3 (p = 0.03) and enhanced tumor progression (Table 2, “T-stage” and “Status”).

Ordinal logistic fit subsequently was performed to identify parameters associating with HNSCC tumor size (T-stage). In univariate analysis, both heparanase and pSTAT3 localization

### Table 1

| Site of Tumor | No. of Patients | Percent |
|--------------|----------------|---------|
| Larynx       | 65             | 76      |
| Pharynx      | 9              | 10      |
| Oropharynx   | 5              |         |
| Hypopharynx  | 4              |         |
| Skin (metastatic) | 5   | 6       |
| Oral cavity  | 3              | 3       |
| Unknown origin | 2           | 2       |
| Other        | 2              |         |

### Table 2

| pSTAT3 Localization | Cytoplasmic pSTAT3 | Nuclear pSTAT3 | Total |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|
| T-Stage (p = 0.007) |                    |                |       |
| 0–2                 | 0                  | 24 (36)        | 24    |
| 3–4                 | 14 (100)           | 43 (64)        | 57    |
| Total               | 14                 | 67             | 81    |
| Status (p = 0.04)   |                    |                |       |
| Dead                | 11 (79)            | 31 (46)        | 42    |
| Alive               | 3 (21)             | 36 (54)        | 39    |
| Total               | 14                 | 67             | 81    |
| pEGFR (p = 0.006)   |                    |                |       |
| Negative            | 0                  | 18 (37)        | 18    |
| Positive            | 14 (100)           | 30 (63)        | 44    |
| Total               | 14                 | 48             | 62    |
| Heparanase extent (p = 0.03) |                |                |       |
| Cytoplasmic         |                    |                |       |
| Low                  | 1 (7)              | 21 (36)        | 22    |
| High                 | 13 (93)            | 37 (64)        | 50    |
| Total                | 14                 | 58             | 72    |
| Heparanase localization (p = 0.03) |                |                |       |
| Cytoplasmic         |                    |                |       |
| Nuclear              | 10 (71)            | 31 (60)        | 41    |
| Nuclear              | 4 (29)             | 37 (64)        | 41    |
| Total                | 14                 | 58             | 72    |

* Data of 19 patients were missing.
* Data of nine patients were missing.
were found significant ($p = 0.01$ and $0.0009$ for heparanase and pSTAT3, respectively). However, when using multivariate logistic fit that included both parameters, pSTAT3 localization remained significant ($p = 0.004$), whereas heparanase became insignificant ($p = 0.11$), indicating that the two parameters are interconnected. Thus, the protumorigenic function of heparanase in HNSCC (32) likely involves STAT3 phosphorylation.

To further reveal the significance of heparanase in HNSCC, we next examined whether cells overexpressing heparanase acquire resistance toward EGFR inhibitors. For this purpose, we subjected FaDu pharynx carcinoma cells overexpressing heparanase to increasing concentrations of CL-387785, an irreversible EGFR inhibitor, compared with control cells harboring an empty vector (Vo). Heparanase overexpression resulted in a marked increase in the phosphorylation levels of EGFR (Fig. 5A, upper and second panels, dimethyl sulfoxide), AKT (fourth panel), and STAT3 (sixth panel). Total amounts of EGFR, AKT, and STAT3 are shown in the third, fifth, and seventh panels, respectively. Note the sustained EGFR signaling in heparanase overexpressing cells even in the presence of high doses (1 $\mu$M) of CL-387785. A, colony formation. Control (Vo) and heparanase-transfected (Hepa) FaDu cells ($5 \times 10^4$) were mixed with soft agar and cultured for 3 weeks in the absence or presence of EGFR inhibitor, CL-387785 (CL, 0.1 $\mu$mol/liter). Shown are photomicrographs of colonies at low ($\times10$) magnification. Note more and larger colonies produced by heparanase overexpressing cells following EGFR inhibition compared with control cells.

**DISCUSSION**

Approximately 45,000 new cases of head and neck cancer are diagnosed in the United States each year, and the estimated worldwide incidence is 500,000 (42). Despite of the introduction of novel therapeutics and improved techniques in surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy, locoregional and distant recurrence remain common and is almost always fatal. Development of new therapeutics is thus needed urgently.

We have reported previously that heparanase expression is induced in HNSCC and is associated with tumors larger in size, increased invasiveness (extracapsular extension), and reduced the survival of patients (32). In addition, we have found that heparanase facilitates the phosphorylation of SRC, leading to augmented EGFR phosphorylation, enhanced cell proliferation, and larger colonies in soft agar (21). Clinically, increased EGFR phosphorylation was associated with larger tumors and with heparanase expression and cytoplasmic localization in HNSCC (21), yet molecular determinants that mediate heparanase function downstream to EGFR have not been so far characterized. The results presented in this study suggest a linear association by which heparanase, when localized in the cell cytoplasm, facilitates the phosphorylation of SRC and EGFR which, in turn, facilitates STAT3 phosphorylation, leading to cancer progression. Nuclear heparanase, in contrast, does not activate EGFR or STAT3 and predicts favorable outcome of head and neck cancer patients (21).

Although expression and phosphorylation of STAT3 are elevated in HNSCC as compared with normal epithelial cells, and its activation is thought to represent an early event in head and neck carcinogenesis (43–46), the prognostic value of STAT3 is not entirely clear. Seethala et al. (47) reported that pSTAT3

![FIGURE 5. Cells overexpressing heparanase are less sensitive to EGFR inhibitor. A, control (Vo) and heparanase-transfected (Hepa) FaDu cells were incubated with the indicated concentration ($\mu$M) of CL-387785 for 2 h. Vehicle (dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)) was used as control. Total cell lysates were subjected to immunoblotting with antibodies directed against the phosphorylated state of EGFR (pEGFR, upper and second panels), AKT (pAKT, fourth panel), and STAT3 (pSTAT3, sixth panel). Total amounts of EGFR, AKT, and STAT3 are shown in the third, fifth, and seventh panels, respectively. Note the sustained EGFR signaling in heparanase overexpressing cells even in the presence of high doses (1 $\mu$M) of CL-387785. B, colony formation. Control (Vo) and heparanase-transfected (Hepa) FaDu cells ($5 \times 10^4$) were mixed with soft agar and cultured for 3 weeks in the absence or presence of EGFR inhibitor, CL-387785 (CL, 0.1 $\mu$mol/liter). Shown are photomicrographs of colonies at low ($\times10$) magnification. Note more and larger colonies produced by heparanase overexpressing cells following EGFR inhibition compared with control cells.]
levels did not correlate with clinical outcome in two cohorts of head and neck cancer patients. Likewise, we could not find significant correlations between the staining intensity or extent of nuclear phospho-STAT3 and clinical (i.e. T-stage, N-stage) or molecular (i.e. heparanase, EGFR) parameters (data not shown). Utilizing tissue micro array and automated quantitative analysis, Pectasides et al. (41) recently reported that high levels of nuclear STAT3 are associated with a favorable outcome, predicting a lower risk of progression and death of head and neck cancer patients. Notably, we found that cytoplasmic pSTAT3 (Fig. 4B, lower panel) is associated with tumors larger in size (Table 2, “T-stage”), increased number of metastatic lymph nodes (supplemental Fig. 3C), and reduced survival of patients (Table 2, “Status”) compared with nuclear STAT3. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic evaluation of the clinical significance of cytoplasmic versus nuclear pSTAT3. Cytoplasmic localization of pSTAT3 may therefore be considered a valuable diagnostic parameter in HNSCC.

Previous reports have shown that pSTAT3 is localized not only in cell nuclei but also in cytoplasmic protrusions and focal adhesions of migrating cells and in endocytic vesicles (i.e. endosomes) (48, 49), in a SRC-dependent manner (39). Indeed, immunofluorescent staining clearly revealed increased pSTAT3 levels in heparanase overexpressing cells, which was localized in the cell periphery (JSQ3; Fig. 3B) and cytoplasmic vesicles residing perinuclearly (FaDu; Fig. 3B), indicating that pSTAT3 is retained in the cytoplasm of some head and neck cancer cell lines. Retention of pSTAT3 in the cytoplasm, possibly due to impaired interaction with importins (36), may sequester pSTAT3 from the nucleus, preventing the induction of proapoptotic/differentiation genes (41), or governing transcription-independent function of pSTAT3 leading to head and neck tumor development (48, 49). Mechanisms(s) underlying protumorigenic function of cytoplasmic pSTAT3 are yet to be defined. Increased STAT3 expression and activation has been associated with amplified EGFR signaling, which occurs in most epithelial malignancies including HNSCC, where anti-EGFR therapeutics are implemented clinically (i.e. Erbitux) or are being evaluated in phase III clinical trials (43). STAT3 activation also occurs through SRC, an event considered sufficient to induce cell transformation (50). Although we were unable to obtain reproducible staining for pSrc, staining for pEGFR was found to be tightly associated with head and neck tumor progression (21) and cytoplasmic localization of pSTAT3 (Table 2, “pEGFR”; supplemental Fig. 3D), further strengthening the validity and significance of the obtained results.

A key occurrence in the above signaling events is induction of heparanase and its cellular localization. We have reported previously that heparanase expression is increased in the majority (86%) of head and neck cancer patients and, when localized in the cytoplasm, correlates with poor prognosis (32) and increased EGFR phosphorylation (21). The current study further links between cytoplasmic heparanase and cytoplasmic pSTAT3, first by showing that 93% of the cases in which pSTAT3 assumes cytoplasmic localization also exhibit high extent of heparanase staining (Table 2, “Heparanase extent”), and second, by demonstrating that heparanase and pSTAT3 coincide in the cytoplasm in 71% of the cases (Table 2, “Heparanase localization”), and last, by double staining demonstrating that heparanase and pSTAT3 co-localize in the cytoplasm of the very same cells (data not shown). In tumor models, pSTAT3 staining was increased in xenografts produced by U87 glioma and FaDu cells overexpressing heparanase (Fig. 4A), corresponding to accelerated tumor growth (22). In CAG myeloma, pSTAT3 staining was augmented most prominently in endothelial cells (supplemental Fig. 3B). Thus, heparanase, secreted by tumor cells or other cells in the tumor milieu, may enhance STAT3 phosphorylation in the tumor and/or its microenvironment, including the tumor vasculature, in agreement with the proangiogenic properties of STAT3 (51). It should be noted that cytoplasmic heparanase in tumor biopsies represents a secretable heparanase protein responsible for eliciting SRC and EGFR phosphorylation (21); nuclear translocation simply may sequester heparanase and prevent its function extracellularly or play a direct role in gene regulation (4). Note-worthy, increased STAT3 phosphorylation was found in inflamed colons of transgenic mice overexpressing heparanase compared with control mice, associated with highly proliferating colon epithelial cells (52). This suggests that STAT3 activation by heparanase is not limited to cancer progression but rather is common in different biological settings.

Although STAT3 phosphorylation and its clinical relevance appear striking, heparanase function is not restricted to this family member. We found that phosphorylation of STAT5b is increased following heparanase overexpression or exogenous addition, whereas heparanase gene silencing results in decreased STAT5b phosphorylation. This is shown by IP, immunoblotting, and nuclear translocation applying immunofluorescent staining and cell fractionation in a number of cell lines (Figs. 1, 2, and 3A). Notably, comparable increase in STAT5b phosphorylation was observed in FaDu cells transfected with mutated, enzymatically inactive heparanase (Fig. 1A, DM), thus supporting the notion that heparanase exerts both enzymatic and nonenzymatic effects (13, 15, 18). In contrast, phosphorylation of STAT5a was not altered by heparanase, clearly revealing the specificity of this regulatory mechanism. Increased STAT5b phosphorylation by heparanase is mediated by SRC and EGFR because its phosphorylation and nuclear translocation (Fig. 2, A and B) were prevented by selective inhibitors of SRC (PP2) and EGFR (CL-387785). This is in agreement with STAT5b activation by receptor (i.e. EGFR) and non-receptor (i.e. SRC) tyrosine kinases (44, 53). Importantly, heparanase overexpression facilitated the interaction of STAT5b with the β-casein promoter region (Fig. 2D; supplemental Fig. 2, F and G), implying its biological functionality. Furthermore, STAT5b gene silencing was associated with reduced proliferation of heparanase overexpressing LNCaP cells, whereas silencing of STAT5a or STAT1 genes had no such effect (Fig. 3C), in line with a protumorigenic function of STAT5b in prostate cancer (54). STAT5b activation is noted in several other human malignancies, including head and neck carcinoma (55), resulting in resistance to cisplatin-mediated apoptosis and to growth inhibition by anti-EGFR small molecule inhibitor (erlotinib) (56). Acquired resistance of heparanase overexpressing cells toward EGFR inhibitor (Fig. 5; supplemental Fig. 4) is in line with this notion and further supports...
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the clinical significance of heparanase expression in head and neck and possibly other carcinomas. Studies examining STAT3 and STAT5 phosphorylation and its association with heparanase staining and clinical outcome in several other patient cohorts are currently in progress.
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