The quest for $\text{H}_3^+$ at Neptune: deep burn observations with NASA IRTF iSHELL
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ABSTRACT

Emission from the molecular ion $\text{H}_3^+$ is a powerful diagnostic of the upper atmosphere of Jupiter, Saturn, and Uranus, but it remains undetected at Neptune. In search of this emission, we present near-infrared spectral observations of Neptune between 3.93 and 4.00 μm taken with the newly commissioned iSHELL instrument on the NASA Infrared Telescope Facility in Hawaii, obtained 2017 August 17–20. We spent 15.4 h integrating across the disc of the planet, yet were unable to unambiguously identify any $\text{H}_3^+$ line emissions. Assuming a temperature of 550 K, we derive an upper limit on the column integrated density of $1.0^{+1.2}_{-0.8} \times 10^{13}$ m$^{-2}$, which is an improvement of 30 per cent on the best previous observational constraint. This result means that models are overestimating the density by at least a factor of 5, highlighting the need for renewed modelling efforts. A potential solution is strong vertical mixing of polyatomic neutral species from Neptune’s upper stratosphere to the thermosphere, reacting with $\text{H}_3^+$, thus greatly reducing the column integrated $\text{H}_3^+$ densities. This upper limit also provide constraints on future attempts at detecting $\text{H}_3^+$ using the James Webb Space Telescope.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Neptune is the most distant planet in our Solar system orbiting the Sun at a distance of 39 au, receiving only 2 per cent of the solar flux that Jupiter receives. It has only been visited by a single spacecraft, Voyager 2, which flew by in 1989 August. It has a magnetic field tilted from the rotational axis by 47° (Ness et al. 1989), which positions the magnetic poles at mid-latitudes. Therefore, unlike at Jupiter and Saturn, we do not expect auroral emissions to be located close to the rotational poles. In addition, the magnetic dipole is offset from the centre of the planet by 0.55 $R_N$ (Neptune radii, 24 622 km) towards the Southern hemisphere, rendering the northern auroral region large and the southern small, reminiscent of Uranus (Herbert 2009). Disc-wide ultraviolet $\text{H}_2$ emissions were observed at Neptune by Voyager 2 on the nightside (Sandel et al. 1990), with the addition of a brightness peak in the Southern hemisphere that was interpreted as auroral emission about the southern magnetic pole. This tentative result remains the only observation of the aurora of Neptune. Modelling by Masters (2015) suggests that reconnection at the magnetopause is less favoured at Neptune than at Jupiter, Saturn, or Uranus, making the likelihood of a bright aurora small.

The molecular ion $\text{H}_3^+$ is produced in the upper atmosphere of a giant planet via this exothermic reaction:

$$\text{H}_2^+ + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_3^+ + \text{H}, \quad (1)$$

where the $\text{H}_2^+$ reactant is ionized by either extreme ultraviolet (EUV) solar photons, or by charged auroral particles impacting the atmosphere. Observations of $\text{H}_3^+$ have played a critical role in advancing our understanding of the ionosphere, upper atmosphere, aurora, and magnetic field at Jupiter, Saturn, and Uranus (e.g. Yelle & Miller 2004; Miller 2010; Melin et al. 2011b). We can derive upper-atmospheric temperatures and densities by observing the spectrum of $\text{H}_3^+$, in addition to providing a measure of energy lost via radiation to space. The morphology of the observed $\text{H}_3^+$ emission produced by auroral processes is directly related to where in the magnetospheric charged particles originate, revealing the planet’s magnetic configuration.

Using Voyager 2 radio occultations Lyons (1995) derived an altitude profile of ionospheric electrons, from which they modelled the vertical distributions of several related species, including $\text{H}_3^+$. The
ion had a peak density at about 1400 km (~1.1 nbar) above the 1 bar level with a volumetric density of $1.1 \times 10^6$ m$^{-3}$. At this altitude, the temperature of the upper atmosphere is ~550 K (Broadfoot et al. 1989), although this altitude region coincides with the positive thermospheric temperature gradient, suggestive of significant uncertainties. The exospheric temperature derived from these occultations is ~750 K (Broadfoot et al. 1989).

$H_3^+$ emissions from the giant planets are observed to be variable on both short and long time-scales (e.g. Melin et al. 2013). Short-term variability is driven mostly by the highly dynamic auroral process, producing a rapid changes in the ionization rate of molecular hydrogen. These changes in auroral activity are caused by variable solar-wind conditions, or by changes in the production rate of plasma inside the planet’s magnetosphere. Long-term changes are somewhat harder to elucidate, but are likely driven by changes in the ionization rate of molecular hydrogen by changing solar conditions as we move through the solar cycle. These variabilities fluctuate around a baseline of average solar and auroral ionization conditions. Via the analysis of $H_3^+$ spectra, we can investigate the physical mechanisms responsible for these changes. However, at Neptune, where $H_3^+$ remains undetected, we do not know the extent of any variability, or what the baseline level is.

Despite several attempts at detecting $H_3^+$ emissions from Neptune, none has been successful (Trafton et al. 1993; Encrenaz et al. 2000; Feuchtgruber & Encrenaz 2003; Melin et al. 2011a). Most recently, Melin et al. (2011a) used about 1 h of Keck NIRSPEC data from 2006 (McLean et al. 1998) observations to derive an upper limit of the $H_3^+$ column density of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{13}$ m$^{-2}$ at an assumed temperature of 550 K.

The iSHELL instrument at the NASA Infrared Telescope Facility, installed on the telescope in 2016 August, combines high sensitivity with high spectral resolution, and provides our best present opportunity for long integrations to search for $H_3^+$ emissions at Neptune. Section 2 describes the observations and instrument configuration, with Section 3 describing the analysis of the data. In Section 4 we discuss the results of the analysis and we end with conclusions in Section 5.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS

We used the NASA IRTF iSHELL spectrograph (Rayner et al. 2016) to observe Neptune and Uranus between 17 and 20 of August 2017 (UT). The observations are outlined in Table 1. We used the 1.5 arcsec wide slit covering most of the 2.4 arcsec disc of Neptune, aligning the slit along the planetographic equator, with a position angle of 55° West of North. This slit produces a resolving power of $R = \Delta \lambda / \lambda$. These observations allowed us to nod the telescope so that Neptune is on the slit in both the object (A) and sky (B) exposures. Each exposure was 120 s long, divided into two co-adds. We guided the telescope on Neptune, using the on-axis guider (Kyle) with the J-band filter (1.05–1.45 µm).

The Lp3 (L') iSHELL cross-disperser setting produces 13 spectral orders that are projected on to the infrared detector array, which has 2048 by 2048 pixels. These cover a wavelength range between 3.83 and 4.19 µm, with some overlap between each order. The angular projection of each spatial pixel is 0.18 arcsec (or 0.08 $R_0$). We used our newly developed IDL iSHELL pipeline to reduce the observations, including standard flat-fielding and sky subtractions. The orders were straightened in both the vertical (spatial) and horizontal (spectral) dimensions, and wavelength calibrated using the telluric skylines. The spectra were flux calibrated using observations of the A0 star HR 830 (K magnitude 5.909). We only extracted the two orders that contained the $H_3^+$ Q(1, 0)− and the Q(3, 0)− emissions (orders 131 and 130 respectively), with each extracted spectral order having a dimension of 2048 by 110 pixels and a wavelength resolution of 0.016 nm. The spectral range of these two orders is 3.93–4.00 µm.

Our observing strategy was as follows: for each of the four nights that we observed (Table 1), about 5 h were spent integrating on Neptune, until an airmass of 2 was reached. Over the entire programme, we integrated on Neptune for 15.4 h. We then performed short reference observations of Uranus, so that the location of the $H_3^+$ emission lines on the detector array could be experimentally determined, and our wavelength calibration validated. We spent a total of 1.1 h integrating on Uranus. The angular diameter of Uranus was 3.6 arcsec, and we used the same slit rotation as used for the Neptune observations – see Fig. 1. At the end of the night the flux calibration star was observed, in addition to flat-fields and other calibration frames.

The rotation period of Neptune is 16.11 ± 0.05 h (Warwick et al. 1989), which means that for each Earth day, Neptune has completed ~1.5 rotations, and we are faced with a 180° longitude phase difference on consecutive observing nights. Over four half nights of observing, all longitudes of Neptune will have been observed, albeit some at large viewing angles. Regardless, as will become clear, we lack the signal to noise to analyse any shorter components than the average of all observations.

### Table 1. The NASA IRTF iSHELL observations of Neptune and Uranus analysed in this study, with the times being the on-target integration-times, without overheads. We were awarded five second nights in 2017 August (programme 2017B077). The first four nights were clear, but we were unable to observe on August 21 due to a snowstorm at the summit.

| Observing date | Neptune (h) | Uranus (h) | Seeing (arcsec) |
|---------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| 2017 Aug 17   | 3.7         | 0.2        | 0.6            |
| 2017 Aug 18   | 3.7         | 0.4        | 0.6            |
| 2017 Aug 19   | 3.8         | –          | 0.7            |
| 2017 Aug 20   | 4.2         | 0.5        | 0.6            |
| 2017 Aug 21   | –           | –          | –              |
| Total         | 15.4        | 1.1        | –              |

~17.500. The observing geometry can be seen in Fig. 1. The slit length was 15 arcsec allowing us to nod the telescope so that Neptune is on the slit in both the object (A) and sky (B) exposures. Each exposure was 120 s long, divided into two co-adds. We guided the telescope on Neptune, using the on-axis guider (Kyle) with the J-band filter (1.05–1.45 µm).

The Lp3 (L') iSHELL cross-disperser setting produces 13 spectral orders that are projected on to the infrared detector array, which has 2048 by 2048 pixels. These cover a wavelength range between 3.83 and 4.19 µm, with some overlap between each order. The angular projection of each spatial pixel is 0.18 arcsec (or 0.08 $R_0$). We used our newly developed IDL iSHELL pipeline to reduce the observations, including standard flat-fielding and sky subtractions. The orders were straightened in both the vertical (spatial) and horizontal (spectral) dimensions, and wavelength calibrated using the telluric skylines. The spectra were flux calibrated using observations of the A0 star HR 830 (K magnitude 5.909). We only extracted the two orders that contained the $H_3^+$ Q(1, 0)− and the Q(3, 0)− emissions (orders 131 and 130 respectively), with each extracted spectral order having a dimension of 2048 by 110 pixels and a wavelength resolution of 0.016 nm. The spectral range of these two orders is 3.93–4.00 µm.

Our observing strategy was as follows: for each of the four nights that we observed (Table 1), about 5 h were spent integrating on Neptune, until an airmass of 2 was reached. Over the entire programme, we integrated on Neptune for 15.4 h. We then performed short reference observations of Uranus, so that the location of the $H_3^+$ emission lines on the detector array could be experimentally determined, and our wavelength calibration validated. We spent a total of 1.1 h integrating on Uranus. The angular diameter of Uranus was 3.6 arcsec, and we used the same slit rotation as used for the Neptune observations – see Fig. 1. At the end of the night the flux calibration star was observed, in addition to flat-fields and other calibration frames.

The rotation period of Neptune is 16.11 ± 0.05 h (Warwick et al. 1989), which means that for each Earth day, Neptune has completed ~1.5 rotations, and we are faced with a 180° longitude phase difference on consecutive observing nights. Over four half nights of observing, all longitudes of Neptune will have been observed, albeit some at large viewing angles. Regardless, as will become clear, we lack the signal to noise to analyse any shorter components than the average of all observations.

**Figure 1.** The observing geometry for the NASA IRTF iSHELL observations of Neptune and Uranus in 2017 August. The 1.5 arcsec wide and 15 arcsec long slit was aligned along the planetographic equator of Neptune. The full length of the slit is not shown in this schematic. Neptune subtended 2.4 arcsec in the sky, and Uranus subtended 3.6 arcsec.
Figure 2. The medianed spectral images of Neptune and Uranus obtained in 2017 August using NASA IRTF iSHELL. The horizontal axis is the spectral dimension, containing over 4000 pixels, and the vertical is the spatial dimension. The dashed lines shows the angular size of each planet. Neptune has a distinct continuum emission, whilst Uranus has discreet H$_3^+$ emission lines.

During these observations, Uranus was moving towards the Earth with a speed$^1$ of 26 km s$^{-1}$, whilst Neptune moved towards us with a speed of 9 km s$^{-1}$. This means that Neptune is receding relative to Uranus, and the expected Doppler red-shift of discrete line emission from Neptune relative to emission from Uranus is 0.22 nm (17 km s$^{-1}$). Therefore, any H$_3^+$ emission present at Neptune will appear 0.22 nm long-ward of the H$_3^+$ emission at Uranus. The spectral data of Neptune presented in this study was shifted by 0.22 nm (14 spectral pixels) towards longer wavelengths to account for this, and all of the following analysis includes this shift. This effectively puts the Neptune spectra in the Uranus rest frame. Additionally, the iSHELL wavelength dispersion is optimized for use with a 0.375 arcsec slit at $R \sim 70,000$. Since we are using the 1.5 arcsec slit producing a spectrum at $R \sim 17,500$, effectively oversampling in the spectral domain by a factor of 4, we smooth the spectral data along the wavelength dimension using a rolling boxcar with a width of 4 pixels. We use the wide slit to cover as much as the disc of Neptune as possible, whilst maintaining the ability to guide on Neptune itself.

3 ANALYSIS

The reduced and calibrated individual Neptune observations were stacked and for each pixel, we determined the median value across the 232 A–B pairs, as to produce the average view of the emission from Neptune over the 15.4 h of observation. The spectral image of the two Neptune iSHELL orders can be seen in Fig. 2(a). The horizontal dimension is spectral and the vertical dimension is spatial, so that the intensity along the slit, across the disc from dawn to dusk, is shown bottom to top. The observations of Neptune in Fig. 2(a) shows continuum emission visible along the entire narrow wavelength range, across the entire disc of the planet. This continuum is likely solar reflection from clouds and hazes in the lower atmosphere.

The Uranus observations were similarly stacked and medianed, seen in Fig. 2(b), showing clear H$_3^+$ Q(1, 0$^-$) line emission at 3.953 $\mu$m in spectral order 131, and an additional three strong emission lines in the spectral order 130: Q(2, 0$^-$) at 3.971 $\mu$m, Q(3, 0$^-$) at 3.986 $\mu$m, and Q(3, 2$^-$) at 3.994 $\mu$m. The spectral lines are seen across the entire disc, and even slightly above the limbs as a result of a small telescope movements due to uncertainty in the guiding.

By averaging the spectral intensity in Fig. 2 across the diameter of both Neptune and Uranus we produce the disc average spectra, shown in Fig. 3. The Uranus spectrum has been offset by +0.5 mW m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$ for clarity. The dashed lines show the zero intensity level for each spectrum, the dotted lines indicated the fitted H$_3^+$ line centres in the Uranus spectrum, and the dot–dashed line shows the boundary between the two spectral orders. The Neptune spectrum is offset from zero, indicating the presence of a continuum emission. The Uranus spectrum shows no evidence of continuum emission and has four discreet H$_3^+$ emission lines.

3 Sourced from NASA Horizons at https://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/horizons.cgi.

Figure 3. The averaged spectral intensity across the disc of Uranus and Neptune. The Uranus spectra has been shifted by +0.5 mW m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$ for clarity. The dashed lines show the zero intensity level for each spectrum, the dotted lines indicated the fitted H$_3^+$ line centres in the Uranus spectrum, and the dot–dashed line shows the boundary between the two spectral orders. The Neptune spectrum is offset from zero, indicating the presence of a continuum emission.
The $H_3^+$ line spectrum of Uranus in Fig. 3 fits to a temperature of \(482 \pm 5\) K and a $H_3^+$ column density of $6.8 \times 10^{15}$ m\(^{-2}\). The integrated $H_3^+$ Q(1, 0) line intensity is 0.22 \(\mu\)W m\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\). These numbers are similar to those derived by Melin et al. (2011a, 2013), and confirms that the flux calibration that emerges from our iSHELL calibration pipeline is consistent with data from other instruments and facilities.

No clear and obvious $H_3^+$ line emission in the Neptune spectrum in Fig. 3 stands out. In order to increase the signal to noise, we add the four spectral regions of the Neptune spectral image (Fig. 2a) that contain $H_3^+$ emission at Uranus. By fitting Gaussians to the four spectral lines in Fig. 3, we determine the centre wavelength of each line. These are shown as dotted lines in Fig. 3. We then produce a total spectral image of Uranus and Neptune respectively by adding the four individual spectra images centred around each line. These sums of $H_3^+$ Q(1, 0), Q(2, 0), Q(3, 0), and Q(3, 2) lines are seen in Fig. 4, covering 40 spectral pixels either side of the line centre, indicated by a vertical dotted line. The dashed horizontal lines indicate the spatial extent of each planet.

Fig. 4(b) shows clear spatial structure in the distribution of intensity across the disc of Uranus. The Uranus observations use a slit rotation generally unsuited for observing the planet, i.e. neither aligned with the equator nor the rotational axis – see Fig. 1. Regardless, analysing the fine details in the Uranus emission remains outside the scope of this study. The sole purpose of the Uranus observations obtained for this study is to accurately determine the expected location of any $H_3^+$ emissions at Neptune.

The summed spectral images of Neptune in Fig. 4(a) clearly shows the continuum emission with a peak intensity of about 0.4 mW m\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) nm\(^{-1}\). There is no obvious intensity enhancement at the expected location of the $H_3^+$ line emission, but it may be difficult to ascertain due to the background continuum. In order to determine if there is emission atop the continuum, we average the emission across the disc of Neptune, and subtract the average value of the continuum emission where $H_3^+$ is not expected to be present. This residual signal is shown in Fig. 5(a). Fig. 5(b) shows the average intensity of the spectral image in Fig. 4(b) averaged across the disc of Uranus, showing a strong $H_3^+$ line profile.

Whilst the residual spectral intensity at Neptune in Fig. 5(a) shows a slight enhancement above the zero intensity at the location of the expected $H_3^+$ emission, it is at the level of the surrounding noise. We measure the height of the observed spectral intensity above the mean (zero) level at the expected peak location to be $12^{+15}_{-10}$ nW m\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) nm\(^{-1}\). This is shown as a red dashed line in Fig. 5(a), with the grey area indicating the sizeable level of uncertainty. Using the $H_3^+$ line list of Neale, Miller & Tennyson (1996) and the partition function of Miller et al. (2010) we can calculate the upper limit of the $H_3^+$ column integrated density by dividing the observed intensity of the four lines added to make Fig. 5 by the calculated intensity per molecule of the same four lines at a certain temperature.

Lyons (1995) derived a $H_3^+$ density peak at 1400 km, at which Broadfoot et al. (1989) measured a temperature of 550 K. Using this temperature, as did Melin et al. (2011a) and Feuchtgruber & Encrenaz (2003), we derive an upper limit of the column integrated $H_3^+$ density of $1.0^{+1.3}_{-0.8} \times 10^{15}$ m\(^{-2}\). For a temperature of 750 K we derive an upper limit of $0.2^{+0.2}_{-0.16} \times 10^{15}$ m\(^{-2}\).

### 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Despite 15.4 h of iSHELL observations of the disc of Neptune we are unable to identify unambiguous $H_3^+$ emissions. The upper limit of the $H_3^+$ column density derived here improves the value of Melin et al. (2011a) by ~30 per cent.

The upper limit is about a factor of ~500 times lower than the $H_3^+$ column densities observed at Uranus (Melin et al. 2011b, 2013), ~100 times lower than at Saturn (O'Donoghue et al. 2014), and ~1000 times lower than at Jupiter (Stallard et al. 2002; Dinelli et al. 2017). One notable difference between Neptune and the other giant planets in our Solar system is the presence of CH\(_4\), CO\(_2\), and H\(_2\)O at altitudes greater than 500 km above the 1 bar level (Moses & Poppe 2017). The presence of these polycyclic molecules acts as to destroy $H_3^+$ very rapidly via this reaction:

\[
H_3^+ + X \rightarrow XH^+ + H_2, \tag{2}
\]

where X is a neutral species heavier than molecular hydrogen (H\(_2\)). This recombination would have the effect of vastly shortening the $H_3^+$ lifetime, and whilst the production rate could be comparable to the other giant planets, the very short lifetimes reduce the number of $H_3^+$ molecules to currently undetectable levels. In this scenario, the amount of $H_3^+$ present in the atmosphere of Neptune would be

---

**Figure 4.** The four summed $H_3^+$ spectral images of Neptune and Uranus – see text for details. The horizontal axis shows the wavelength from the $H_3^+$ line centre, indicated by the dotted vertical line, and the vertical axis is the spatial dimension.

**Figure 5.** The average spectral intensity contained in the summed spectral images of Neptune and Uranus seen in Fig. 4. The dotted line indicates the $H_3^+$ line centre. (a) The average continuum of Neptune has been subtracted off, leaving the residual. The red dashed line indicates the upper limit of the $H_3^+$ line intensity profile, with the grey area indicating the uncertainty range. (b) The sum of the four Uranus $H_3^+$ line profiles – see text for details.
no continuum emission, which is clearly observed here (see Fig. 2).

The structure of the near-infrared emission emerging from the lower atmosphere is driven by the presence of clouds (e.g. Irwin et al. 1998). The continuum emission we observe indicates that the lower atmosphere is driven by the presence of clouds (e.g. Irwin et al. 1998). The continuum emission we observe indicates that the underlying clouds are unable to absorb the incoming sunlight, which in turn is dependent on the vertical mixing process in the stratosphere (de Pater et al. 2014; Fletcher et al. 2014); without rigorous vertical mixing, the extended presence of these species into the upper stratosphere and thermosphere cannot be maintained. Therefore, once detected, the column density of H$_3^+$ at Neptune can be used to probe the extent of the vertical mixing in the upper stratosphere.

The Keck observations of Neptune by Melin et al. (2011a) showed no continuum emission, which is clearly observed here (see Fig. 2). The structure of the near-infrared emission emerging from the lower atmosphere is driven by the presence of clouds (e.g. Irwin et al. 1998). The continuum emission we observe indicates that the underlying clouds are unable to absorb the incoming sunlight, which is instead scattered back out of the atmosphere. Here, individual nights of observations have slightly different continuum emission profiles across the disc of the planet, suggesting that the clouds are localized in longitude. This emergence of cloud opacity is consistent with the recent development of large storm systems on Neptune observed by de Pater et al. (2014).

At Jupiter, the presence of storms has been associated with heating in the ionosphere by the breaking of acoustic waves, travelling from the troposphere to the upper atmosphere (O’Donoghue et al. 2016). With large storms emerging on Neptune, any heating of the ionosphere would have the effect of increasing the intensity of any H$_3^+$ emission; the intensity is driven exponentially by temperature, and linearly by the H$_3^+$ density (Melin et al. 2014). Despite this potential source of increased H$_3^+$ intensities, we are unable to detect H$_3^+$ at Neptune.

The observations presented here span 15.4 h over 4 d, with a spectrograph slit that covers a significant fraction of the disc of Neptune (see Fig. 1). Since the rotation period is 16.1 h, the observations effectively provide a longitude averaged view, removing any short-term variability driven by changes in viewing geometry. The detection attempt of Melin et al. (2011a), using Keck observations from 2006, is separated from the 2017 observations presented here by almost exactly one solar cycle. Therefore, we may expect the levels of solar EUV flux to be similar, resulting in similar levels of H$_3^+$ produced via solar ionization. We do not yet know what the ‘normal’ baseline levels of H$_3^+$ emissions are at Neptune, and a detection would enable us to characterize the upper atmosphere and aurora, and associated variability, for the first time. A 30 per cent reduction in the upper limit of the H$_3^+$ intensity from the previous estimate of Melin et al. (2011a) means that we are moving further below the model predictions of Lyons (1995), with the model over-estimating the H$_3^+$ density by at least a factor of 5. Consequently, there is clearly potential scope for developing new modelling efforts at Neptune.

The iSHELL slit-width used in this study (1.5 arcsec) produces a spectrum at a similar spectral resolution to that of Keck NIRSPEC, used by Melin et al. (2011a). Assuming that similar solar conditions prevailed, it can be instructive to average the two data sets to investigate if the upper limit can be improved upon, with the understanding that such an exercise can be fraught with complications. In Fig. 6(a) we have down-sampled the iSHELL observations of Fig. 5 (0.016 nm pixel$^{-1}$) to the same wavelength dispersion as the NIRSPEC observations (0.060 nm pixel$^{-1}$). Fig. 6(b) shows the sum of the H$_3^+$ Q(1, 0$^-$), Q(2, 0$^-$), Q(3, 0$^-$), and Q(3, 2$^-$) lines of Uranus and Neptune contained within the Keck data set of Melin et al. (2011a). The difference in the spectral intensity of the Uranus H$_3^+$ line profiles in Figs 6(a) and (b) is likely driven by differences in both temperature and H$_3^+$ density between 2006 and 2017: we derive a temperature for the 2017 data of 482 ± 5 K, whereas the temperature derived from the 2006 Keck data was 608 ± 12 K (Melin et al. 2011b). Fig. 6 shows the average Uranus and Neptune profiles, and we derive an upper limit on the H$_3^+$ density of 0.37 ± 0.14 × 10$^{13}$ m$^{-2}$ (at 550 K), a factor of 2.7 lower than derived from the iSHELL data alone. Therefore, the combination of the two data sets suggests that the model of Lyons (1995) overestimates the H$_3^+$ density by at least a factor of 13.

At Uranus, the arrival of solar wind compressions have been shown to increase the ultraviolet auroral emissions (Lamy et al. 2012). An increased particle precipitation flux could also lead to an increase in the production of H$_3^+$. One potential strategy for future H$_3^+$ detection attempts at Neptune is to time the iSHELL observations to coincide with the arrival of such compressions, potentially producing more intense H$_3^+$ auroral emission. However, there remains significant uncertainty in modelling the propagation
of these solar wind features observed at Earth out to the orbit of Neptune at 39 au.

The instrument suite on-board the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), due to be launched in 2018, includes a near-infrared spectrograph (NIRSpec, Bagnasco et al. 2007) capable of observing H$_3^+$ emissions from the giant planets (Norwood et al. 2016). Detecting H$_3^+$ emissions from Neptune would open many significant avenues of scientific inquiry, and JWST emerges as the next step in this particular journey. Our present study provides limits on the expected intensity of the H$_3^+$ emissions.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have analysed NASA IRTF iSHELL observations of Neptune obtained over four nights in 2017 August in an attempt to detect H$_3^+$ emission from the planet. Despite 15.4 h of integrating across the disc of the planet, covering all longitudes, we are unable to make a positive detection. Instead, we derive an upper limit of the H$_3^+$ column density of $1.0^{+1.4}_{-1.0} \times 10^{13}$ m$^{-2}$ for a temperature of 550 K.

The upper limit for the H$_3^+$ column density is about 500 times less than is observed at Uranus. This difference could be driven by the fact that Neptune has strong vertical mixing that moves CH$_4$, CO$_2$, and H$_2$O from the upper stratosphere into the thermosphere, which acts as to destroy H$_3^+$. This shortens H$_3^+$ lifetimes, and reduces the H$_3^+$ density to currently undetectable levels.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) Grant ST/N000749/1 for HM and TSS. LNF was supported by a Royal Society Research Fellowship at the University of Leicester. REJ and PTD were supported by STFC studentships. Support for JO'D. comes from an appointment to the NASA Postdoctoral Program at Goddard Space Flight Center, administered by Universities Space Research Association under contract with NASA. LM was supported by NASA under Grant NNX17AF14G issued through the SSO Planetary Astronomy Program. HM, REJ, and TSS are Visiting Astronomers at the Infrared Telescope Facility, which is operated by the University of Hawaii under contract NNH14CK55B with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. We would like to express our gratitude to Steve Miller for helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

Bagnasco G. et al., 2007, in Heaney J. B., Burriesci L. G., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 6692, Cryogenic Optical Systems and Instruments XII. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 66920M

Broadfoot A. L. et al., 1989, Science, 246, 1459

de Pater I. et al., 2014, Icarus, 237, 211

Dinelli B. M. et al., 2017, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 4625

Encrenaz T., Schulz B., Drossart P., Lellouch E., Feuchtgruber H., Atreya S. K., 2000, A&A, 358, L83

Feuchtgruber H., Encrenaz T., 2003, A&A, 403, L7

Fletcher L. N., de Pater I., Orton G. S., Hammel H. B., Sitko M. L., Irwin P. G. J., 2014, Icarus, 231, 146

Herbert F., 2009, J. Geophys. Res. (Space Phys.), 114, 11206

Irwin P. G. J. et al., 1998, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 23001

Lamy L. et al., 2012, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L07105

Lyons J. R., 1995, Science, 267, 648

Masters A., 2015, J. Geophys. Res. (Space Phys.), 120, 479

McLean I. S. et al., 1998, in Fowler A. M. ed., Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 3354, SPIE, Bellingham, p. 566

Melin H., Stallard T., Miller S., Lystrup M. B., Trafton L. M., Booth T. C., Rivers C., 2011a, MNRAS, 410, 641

Melin H., Stallard T., Miller S., Trafton L. M., Encrenaz T., Geballe T. R., 2011b, ApJ, 729, 134

Melin H., Stallard T. S., Miller S., Geballe T. R., Trafton L. M., O’Donoghue J., 2013, Icarus, 223, 741

Melin H., Stallard T. S., O’Donoghue J., Badman S. V., Miller S., Blake J. S. D., 2014, MNRAS, 438, 1611

Miller S., 2010, H$_3^+$ cooling in planetary atmospheres. Vol. 147, Faraday Discussions, p. 283

Miller S., Stallard T., Melin H., Tennyson J., 2010, Faraday Discuss., 147, 283

Moses J. I., Poppe A. R., 2017, Icarus, 297, 33

Neale L., Miller S., Tennyson J., 1996, ApJ, 464, 516

Ness N. F., Acuna M. H., Burlaga L. F., Connerney J. E. P., Lepping R. P., 1989, Science, 246, 1473

Norwood J. et al., 2016, PASP, 128, 018005

O’Donoghue J. et al., 2014, Icarus, 229, 214

O’Donoghue J., Moore L., Stallard T. S., Melin H., 2016, Nature, 536, 190

Rayner J. et al., 2016, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 9908. Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy VI. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 990884

Sandel B. R., Herbert F., Dessler A. J., Hill T. W., 1990, Geophys. Res. Lett., 17, 1693

Stallard T., Miller S., Millward G., Joseph R. D., 2002, Icarus, 156, 498

Trafton L. M., Geballe T. R., Miller S., Tennyson J., Ballester G. E., 1993, ApJ, 405, 761

Warwick J. W. et al., 1989, Science, 246, 1498

Yelle R. V., Miller S., 2004, in Bagental F., Dowling T. E., McKinnon W. B., eds, Jupiter’s Thermosphere and Ionosphere. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 185

This paper has been typeset from a \LaTeX file prepared by the author.