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Abstract: The sediment–water interface of spiked-sediment toxicity tests is a complex exposure system, where multiple uptake pathways exist for benthic organisms. The freely dissolved concentration \( C_{\text{free}} \) in sediment porewater has been proposed as a relevant exposure metric to hydrophobic organic contaminants (HOCs) in this system. However, \( C_{\text{free}} \) has rarely been measured in spiked-sediment toxicity tests. We first developed a direct immersion solid-phase microextraction method for measuring \( C_{\text{free}} \) in overlying water and porewater in a sediment test using polydimethylsiloxane-coated glass fibers, resulting in sensitive and repeatable in situ measurements of HOCs. Then, we measured \( C_{\text{free}} \) and total dissolved concentrations \( C_{\text{diss}} \) in the sediment test systems with the freshwater amphipod Hyalella azteca and thoroughly evaluated the temporal and spatial profiles of four HOCs (phenanthrene, pyrene, benzo[al]pyrene, and chlorpyrifos). Furthermore, we examined the relationship between the measured concentrations and the lethality of \( H. \) azteca. We found that the test system was far from an equilibrium state for all four chemicals tested, where \( C_{\text{diss}} \) in overlying water changed over the test duration and a vertical \( C_{\text{free}} \) gradient existed at the sediment–water interface. In porewater \( C_{\text{diss}} \) was larger than \( C_{\text{free}} \) by a factor of 170 to 220 for benzo[al]pyrene because of the strong binding to dissolved organic carbon. Comparison of the median lethal concentrations of chlorpyrifos in the sediment test and those in water-only tests indicates that \( C_{\text{free}} \) in porewater was the most representative indicator for toxicity of this chemical. The method and findings presented in the present study warrant further research on the chemical transport mechanisms and the actual exposure in sediment tests using different chemicals, sediments, and test species. Environ Toxicol Chem 2021;40:3148–3158. © 2021 The Authors. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of SETAC.
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INTRODUCTION

Spiked-sediment toxicity tests are widely used methods for assessing the ecological risk of chemicals present in sediment. Tests are conducted by exposing benthic organisms to field sediment or artificial sediment spiked with a test chemical. This approach provides direct exposure-effect relationships for a single organism and the test chemical and eliminates uncertainties caused by the presence of other species and contaminants (Nowell et al., 2016). Also, standardized test methods are available for several benthic organisms including amphipods, midges, polychaetes, and oligochaetes (ASTM International, 2019; Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2004, 2007, 2010; US Environmental Protection Agency, 1994, 2000). Toxicity data of spiked-sediment tests have been widely used to derive sediment quality guidelines (Nowell et al., 2016; Simpson et al., 2005).

Over the last decades, observed toxicity of hydrophobic organic contaminants (HOCs) in spiked-sediment toxicity tests was usually linked to the nominal \( C_{\text{nom}} \) or measured sediment \( C_{\text{sed}} \) concentration, which resulted in a large variability in observed toxicities between test conditions, following differences in bioavailability (Di Toro et al., 1991). The freely dissolved concentration \( C_{\text{free}} \) is generally considered a suitable metric for the
bioavailable fraction of the contaminant in sediment (Lydy et al., 2014) and should normalize variabilities in chemical bioavailability between test conditions. It can consider aggregated exposure from water, sediment particles, and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) if the biota–sediment–water partition equilibrium is established (Di Toro et al., 1991). Toxicity as expressed with $C_{\text{free}}$ in spiked-sediment toxicity tests can be linked to $C_{\text{free}}$, measured in environmental sediments for risk-assessment purposes, emphasizing the importance of accurate quantification of $C_{\text{free}}$ in sediment toxicity tests.

The standard approach of quantifying $C_{\text{free}}$ of HOCs in spiked-sediment toxicity tests is estimation based on the nominal or measured chemical concentration in the sediment and the chemical’s organic carbon–water partitioning coefficient ($K_{\text{OC}}$; Ankley et al., 1994; Di Toro et al., 1991; US Environmental Protection Agency, 2012). Alternatively, equilibrium passive sampling with solid-phase microextraction (SPME) fibers was applied to measure $C_{\text{free}}$ using separated porewater or an aliquot of spiked sediment after toxicity testing (Ding et al., 2013; Harwood et al., 2013; Jonker et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2007; You et al., 2006). Interestingly, however, direct immersion of SPME fibers in the sediment and overlying water within the sediment toxicity test system has not been applied yet, although it should provide an indisputable measurement of the free concentration at a particular sampling location. Direct immersion SPME is now an established method to measure porewater $C_{\text{free}}$ in contaminated soils and sediments (Mayer et al., 2000; Ter Laak et al., 2006).

While $C_{\text{free}}$ in sediment pores is increasingly accepted as a useful exposure metric, the actual sediment–water test systems are highly complex and offer multiple exposure pathways to benthic organisms. For example, a continuous or intermittent water exchange with uncontaminated water is often applied, which could cause a temporal change in the exposure concentration in sediment toxicity tests. Moreover, epibenthic organisms can mainly be present on the sediment surface or swimming in the overlying water instead of burying into the sediment and more often exposed to corresponding chemical concentrations (Chapman et al., 2002). Furthermore, previous studies indicated that the bound fraction significantly increases the uptake by organisms from what would be expected from the freely dissolved fraction alone (Lin et al., 2018), potentially resulting in a higher observed toxicity in the presence of DOC in the water (Fischer et al., 2016). Thus, in addition to $C_{\text{free}}$ in porewater, knowing the temporal and spatial variation of chemical concentrations in both free and bound states within the sediment toxicity test system should be beneficial.

The present study examined the distribution and state of HOCs in the sediment toxicity test system by measuring various types of concentrations including the total dissolved concentration ($C_{\text{diss}} = C_{\text{free}} + $ concentration bound to DOC) and $C_{\text{free}}$ in porewater and overlying water. A particular emphasis was placed on the measurement of $C_{\text{free}}$ using direct immersion of SPME fibers. As a model sediment toxicity test system, a standardized semi-flow-through test was performed using formulated sediment spiked with HOCs. The HOCs considered in the present study were phenanthrene (Phe; log octanol–water partition coefficient [$K_{\text{OW}}$] 4.4), pyrene (Pyr; log $K_{\text{OW}}$ 4.9), benzo[a]pyrene (BaP; log $K_{\text{OW}}$ 6.1), and chlorpyrifos (CPS; log $K_{\text{OW}}$ 5.1), covering a wide range of hydrophobicity. We first evaluated a direct immersion SPME method in terms of equilibrium time, repeatability, and sensitivity. Toxicity tests were then performed with the freshwater amphipod Hyalella azteca to discuss the relevance of each concentration type for toxicity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design**

We performed four experimental runs with the semi-flow-through toxicity test system, each run with different purposes (Table 1). All experiments were conducted using formulated sediment spiked with HOCs. Moreover, in all runs, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)–coated fibers were added to the experimental systems to measure $C_{\text{free}}$, and overlying water was sampled and filtered to measure $C_{\text{diss}}$ over time. In addition, in Run 1, we evaluated the attainment of PDMS fiber/water equilibrium for three polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs; i.e., Phe, Pyr, and BaP) with different hydrophobicity in porewater and overlying water by following the concentrations in PDMS over time. Next, we measured temporal and spatial concentration profiles of the three PAHs in sediment toxicity tests in more detail (Run 2). These two runs were performed without addition of amphipods and food. Finally, to relate various types of HOC concentrations to lethality of H. azteca, we performed sediment toxicity tests of BaP (Run 3) and CPS (Run 4), which were selected for toxicity tests as model chemicals with high hydrophobicity and high toxicity, respectively. Experimental setups are summarized in Table 1 and explained in more detail in the following sections.

**Materials and chemicals**

Benzo[a]pyrene (>97.0% purity, Chemical Abstracts Service [CAS] no. 50-32-8), CPS (>99.0% purity, CAS no. 2921-88-2), Phe (>99.0% purity, CAS no. 85-01-8), Pyr (>98.0% purity, CAS no. 129-00-0), acetone (>99.5% purity), acetonitrile (>99.8% purity), and kaolin (practical grade) were purchased from FujiFilm Wako Pure Chemicals. Chlorpyrifos-$d_{10}$ was purchased from Kanto Chemical. Quartz sand (0.2–0.8 mm particle ≥40%, loss on ignition at 900 °C ≤0.05%) was purchased from Merck. Peat was purchased from Midori, which was collected from Hokkaido, Japan. The elemental composition of the peat as determined by an elemental analyzer (Flash EA1112; Thermo Fisher Scientific) was as follows: N 1.5% w/w, C 37.6% w/w, H 4.4% w/w.

**Test organisms**

Hyalella azteca was obtained from a brood stock which has been maintained for more than 10 years at the National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan. The stock culture was
Run 3 BaP 0 (control), 50, 100, 200, and 400 Yes
Run 4 CPS 0 (control), 0.01, 0.032, 0.1, 0.32, and 1 Yes

* C_{diss,pore} was not measured in Run 1; C_{free,intf} was measured instead of C_{free,over} in Run 2; C_{free,over} was not measured in Run 3; C_{total,over} was measured instead of C_{diss,over} only in Run 4.

**Body concentrations were determined only for BaP in Run 3.

Phe = phenanthrene; Pyr = pyrene; BaP = benzo[a]pyrene; CPS = chlorpyrifos; C_{ed} = concentration in spiked sediment; C_{free,pore} = freely dissolved concentration in porewater; C_{diss,over} = freely dissolved concentration in overlying water; C_{free,intf} = freely dissolved concentration at the sediment-water interface; C_{total,over} = total dissolved concentration in porewater; C_{diss,over} = total dissolved concentration in overlying water; C_{total,over} = concentration in unfiltered overlying water; DOC = dissolved organic carbon; DO = dissolved oxygen; PDMS = polydimethylsiloxane.

maintained in aquaria containing dechlorinated tap water and nylon mesh sheets (mesh size 500 μm) at 22 °C under a 16:8-h of light: dark photoperiod, supplied with continuous aeration, and fed synthesized food (Halios; Feed One) twice a week. The dechlorinated tap water was confirmed to have an alkalinity of approximately 60 mg CaCO₃/L, 35 mg Cl/L, and 0.20 mg Br/L, which met the criteria recommended by Ivey and Ingersoll (2016). A reference 96-h water-only test with cadmium chloride was performed according to Environment and Climate Change Canada (2017). The median lethal concentration (LC50) was 6.1 μg Cd/L (95% confidence interval [CI] 4.5–7.7, based on nominal concentrations), which fell within two standard deviations (SDs) of the laboratory’s historical LC50 of 4.4 ± 1.4 μg Cd/L (mean ± SD), thus indicating acceptable sensitivity of the population.

**Spiked-sediment toxicity test**

The tests were performed according to standardized protocols (Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2017; US Environmental Protection Agency, 2000) with slight modifications. Formulated sediment was prepared according to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development test guideline 218 (2004). Briefly, 140 g of ground peat moss powder (<250 μm) was suspended in 550 ml of deionized water for 2 days under stirring. The pH value was adjusted to 5.5 ± 0.5 and 6.0 ± 0.5 with calcium carbonate at the start and end of stirring, respectively. Defined volumes of acetic stock solutions containing the test chemical(s) and an additional 50 ml of acetone were added to 100 g quartz sand in a 1-L brown bottle. The bottle was gently shaken to coat the quartz with the acetone, and the solvent was evaporated to complete dryness under nitrogen. The rest of the quartz sand (75% w/w), peat moss (5% on the basis of dry wt), kaolinite (20% w/w), and dechlorinated tap water (achieving 30% water content w/w) were added to the bottle. The bottle was then shaken on a roller shaker at 6 to 8 °C (Runs 2 and 4) or room temperature (Runs 1 and 3) at 50 to 100 rpm for 4 to 14 days (varied between experiments; Supporting Information, Table S1). Two concentration levels (i.e., 5 and 50 mg/kg-dry) were prepared for Runs 1 and 2, while the following 4 (Run 3) or 5 (Run 4) levels were prepared for toxicity experiments: 50, 100, 200, and 400 for Run 3 and 0.01, 0.032, 0.1, 0.32, and 1 mg/kg-dry for Run 4 (Table 1). These concentrations in Runs 3 and 4 were selected based on the results of preliminary range-finding tests (data not shown). The control sediment without spiking was also prepared in Runs 3 and 4.

Ninety grams (wet wt) of the prepared sediment (equivalent to 55 ml) and 220 ml of dechlorinated tap water were transferred to a 300-ml tall beaker. Ten replicate beakers were prepared in Run 1, and two beakers each were sacrificed 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 days after the beginning of water flow to follow the time course of the concentration in PDMS fiber. Four, eight, and eight replicate beakers were prepared in Runs 2, 3, and 4, respectively, and one, two, and two beakers were used to collect porewater by centrifugation at the end of tests (i.e., 11 days after the beginning of water flow). Approximately 10 g of the wet sediment were taken for the measurement of concentration in sediment and the total organic carbon (TOC) content. The beakers were placed below a semi-flow-through water renewal system modified from Zuwalt et al. (1994; Figure 1; Supporting Information, Figure S2), which dropped dechlorinated tap water at 880 ml/day to each beaker for a 24-h pre-equilibration period to flush out fine powders that were easily suspended in overlying water and that led to high water turbidity, following 440 ml/day during the 10-day toxicity test. After the 24-h pre-equilibration (i.e., at day 0), 10 juvenile amphipods (7–9 days...
old) were added to each beaker in Runs 3 and 4. Over the test duration, water quality in overlying water was checked (i.e., dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, and temperature; Supporting Information, Tables S5 and S9), and amphipods were fed 1.75 ml of yeast–cerophyl–trout chow (Recenttec) and 3.15 mg of synthesized food (Halios) three times per week. Exposure amphipods were kept at 22.5 °C under a 16:8 light:dark photoperiod. At the start and end of tests (i.e., days 0 and 10), the ammonia concentration in overlying water was measured to ensure that it was below the effective concentration for *H. azteca* (Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2017). At day 10, the survival of amphipods was judged by eye, and the missing were considered dead. Methods of measurement of growth and bioaccumulation are described in the Supporting Information.

**Concentration measurement**

*C*<sub>free</sub> measurement using PDMS fiber. Values of *C*<sub>free,pore</sub> and *C*<sub>free,over</sub> were measured using a passive sampling method with PDMS-coated glass fibers. In this method, the concentrations in the PDMS coating phase (*C*<sub>PDMS</sub>) were measured and converted to the corresponding freely dissolved concentrations via the equation *C*<sub>free,pore</sub> (or *C*<sub>free,over</sub>) = *C*<sub>PDMS</sub>/*K*<sub>PDMS/sw</sub>, where *K*<sub>PDMS/sw</sub> is the PDMS–water partition coefficient. The PDMS fibers (30 μm coating thickness; Polymicro Technologies) were cut into lengths of 3, 4, and 7 cm (PDMS volume of 0.39, 0.53, and 0.92 μl, respectively). Longer PDMS fibers (i.e., 7 cm) were used for *C*<sub>free,over</sub> measurement to increase the sensitivity. The fibers were rinsed twice for 15 minutes with excess ethyl acetate and methanol, dried under the fume hood, and stored in Milli-Q water until use. Log *K*<sub>PDMS/sw</sub> for Phe, Pyr, and BaP were taken from Muijs and Jonker (2009; 3.73, 4.28, and 5.22, respectively).

At day −1 of the sediment toxicity tests (i.e., the day when all beakers received the spiked sediment, which is 1 day before amphipods were added to the beakers), 1 to 4 pieces per beaker of 3- or 4-cm PDMS fibers were buried into the sediment (the numbers of fiber pieces are given in Supporting Information, Table S1). At day 0, two pieces of 3- or 7-cm PDMS fibers were placed in the overlying water, standing on the sediment and leaning against the wall diagonally to the sediment (*C*<sub>free,over</sub>; Figure 1; Supporting Information, Table S1). At day 10, the PDMS fibers were retrieved from the overlying water and sediment, wiped with moist lint-free tissues, and transferred to a 1.5-ml vial, which received the extraction solvent (acetonitrile for Phe, Pyr, and BaP and n-hexane for CPS; volume varied between experiments). Extracts for Phe, Pyr, and BaP were measured with high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and those for CPS with gas chromatography–mass spectroscopy (GC/MS). Conditions for HPLC and GC/MS are described in the Supporting Information.

*C*<sub>diss</sub> and *C*<sub>total</sub> measurement. Over the 10-day sediment toxicity tests, up to 6 ml of overlying water were repeatedly sampled (Table 1) with a glass syringe and filtered with a glass fiber filter (GB-140, pore size 0.4 μm; Advantec) to measure *C*<sub>diss,over</sub>. The filter was baked in advance in an oven at 550 °C for 1 h. Also, the unfiltered overlying water was collected in Run 2 to measure the total aqueous concentrations (*C*<sub>total</sub> = *C*<sub>diss</sub> + concentration sorbed to suspended particles). Water samples were not filtered for the *C*<sub>diss,over</sub> measurement of CPS in Run 4 because preliminary experiments showed that there was no difference between filtered and unfiltered concentrations for CPS and thus indicated negligible binding of CPS to suspended particles in overlying water (i.e., *C*<sub>total,over</sub> = *C*<sub>diss,over</sub>). The overlying water samples were taken from 1 to 2 cm above the sediment surface in all runs. In Run 2, water was also collected from just below the water surface for comparison. At day 10, porewater was collected by ultracentrifugation of wet sediment at 10 000 g for 30 min (CR21; Hitachi) followed by...
filtration with the glass fiber filter. Water samples containing Phe, Pyr, and BaP were diluted 1:1 in acetonitrile; filtered with a poly(tetrafluoroethylene) membrane (pore size 0.45 μm) if not filtered at the time of water sampling; and then analyzed with HPLC. For CPS, the water sample was transferred to a glass tube, liquid–liquid-extracted with n-hexane containing CPS-d_{10} as a surrogate for GC/MS analysis, and concentrated under N2 gas if necessary. The filtered overlying water and porewater samples were used also for DOC determination with a TOC-L analyzer (Shimadzu).

**C_{sed} measurement.** Concentrations in spiked sediment (C_{sed}) were measured using sediment samples collected at days −1 and 10 of the test, following freeze-drying, addition of CPS-d_{10} as surrogate (only for CPS), and extraction with a 1:1 mixture of acetone and n-hexane in an ultrasonic bath for 15 min. The extracts were analyzed with HPLC for Phe, Pyr, and BaP and with GC/MS for CPS. The ratio of measured to nominal C_{sed} (i.e., recovery ratio) at day −1 was 42 to 78% for PAHs in Run 2 (Supporting Information, Table S3) and 59 to 82% for CPS in Run 4 (Supporting Information, Table S7); however, it was decreased to 33 to 63% and 53 to 64% at day 10 in Runs 2 and 4, respectively. Also, TOC was measured using the freeze-dried sediment samples with TOC-L equipped with a solid sample module (SSM-5000A; Shimadzu), which resulted in 1.8±0.3% at day −1 and 1.4±0.4% at day 10 in Run 3 (Supporting Information, Table S4) and 2.0±0.3% at day −1 and 1.9±0.3% at day 10 in Run 4 (Supporting Information, Table S8).

**Data analysis**

All data analyses were performed with R software, Ver 4.0.5 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2021). In situ K_{OC} (liters per kilogram), the partition coefficient between sediment organic carbon and the freely dissolved phase in porewater was calculated as \((C_{sed}/TOC)/C_{free,pore}\) and K_{DOC} (liters per kilogram) between DOC and the freely dissolved phase in porewater as \(((C_{diss,pore}−C_{free,pore})/DOC)/C_{free,pore}\). Lethal concentrations (e.g., LC50) were calculated based on the 2-parameter log logit logistic equation (Equation 1) with a binomial error distribution using the drc R package (Ver 3.0-1 [Ritz et al., 2015]).

\[
\text{Mortality} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left[ b (\log(x) - \log(e)) \right]}
\]  

In Equation 1, x represents the exposure concentration (i.e., C_{sed}, C_{free,over}, C_{free,pore}, C_{diss,over}, C_{diss,pore}); b denotes the slope of the regression curve, and e is the LC50. For x, the arithmetic mean value of C_{diss,over} over exposure duration was used because data were available at multiple time points. Similarly, the arithmetic mean value of C_{sed} (for Run 4) at the start and end of exposure was used for x. Values for C_{sed} (for Run 3), C_{free,over}, C_{free,pore}, and C_{diss,pore} were only measured at the end of exposure; thus, these values were directly used for x.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Equilibrium time for passive sampling with PDMS fibers**

In Run 1, C_{PDMS} of Phe, Pyr, and BaP was measured over time to examine the time to reach partition equilibrium between PDMS fiber and water. In all cases, C_{PDMS} of Phe and Pyr reached the highest values within 5 days (Figure 2; Supporting Information, Figure S3). Partitioning of BaP required a longer time, although there was no statistically significant difference between C_{PDMS} at days 7 and 10 for both porewater and overlying water. These results indicate that PDMS fiber/water partition equilibrium for these chemicals can be established during the 10-day toxicity test. Because the time needed to reach equilibrium typically increases with increasing passive sampler/water partition coefficients (Doong et al., 2000), other HOCs with log K_{PDMS,sw} lower than that of BaP (5.22) are also expected to reach equilibrium in the experimental setting of the present study. Note that C_{PDMS} of Phe and Pyr in Run 1 apparently decreased after 5 days. This result may be related to the decrease of the water phase concentrations that the fibers were exposed to (Supporting Information, Figure S4).
Temporal courses of overlying water concentration will be discussed in the section Temporal change in concentrations using more extensive data from Runs 2 through 4.

Repeatability and sensitivity of C_free measurements

In Runs 2 to 4, C_free,pore, C_free,over, and/or the freely dissolved concentration at the sediment–water interface (C_free,intf) were measured using PDMS fiber (Figure 3). The beaker-to-beaker variation was negligible compared to the fiber-to-fiber variation in a single beaker; thus, all fiber pieces in the replicate beakers were considered replicate measurements. The C_free measurement was variable in Run 2, with the coefficient of variation being 5 to 75% for Phe, Pyr, and BaP (n = 6). The repeatability largely improved in Runs 3 and 4 with 7 to 34% (BaP, n = 15–28) and 3 to 27% (CPS, n = 6–12). The reason for the improvement is unknown but could be related to improved handling of fibers by operators. In all cases, measured C_free linearly increased with nominal spiked concentration in sediment. The lowest C_free measured for CPS in the present study was as low as 0.001 μg/L, which is well below the level that causes toxic effects on aquatic organisms (Huang et al., 2020). High sensitivity is particularly important for insecticides such as CPS because the toxicity threshold value is often extremely low. The lowest C_free values measured for Phe, Pyr, and BaP in the present study were 0.1, 0.2, and 0.01 μg/L, respectively, which corresponded to approximately 0.5, 4, and 2 μg/L in final acetonitrile solution injected to HPLC. Considering the peak intensities of these three chemicals in HPLC measurements, 100 times lower concentrations could also be quantified. We have not attempted measurement at such low concentrations because Phe, Pyr, and BaP cause toxicity to benthic organisms at much higher concentrations. All in all, the direct immersion SPME methods used in the present study have sufficient sensitivity to be applied for spiked-sediment toxicity tests even for highly toxic chemicals like CPS.

Temporal change in concentrations

In all Runs, C_diss,over was measured over time (Figure 4; Supporting Information, Figures S4, S6, S9, and S15). Temporal changes in C_diss,over were observed in all cases, showing the maximum concentration from days 0 to 2 and a decreasing trend after day 2. No clear time trend was observed for BaP because of the high variability in C_diss,over. The increase of C_diss,over from days 0 to 2 is likely due to the decrease in the exchange rate of overlying water from 880 to 440 ml/day at day 0. Thus, the outflow rate decreased by a factor of 2 at day 0, and so did the mass loss due to the outflow, which increased C_diss,over. The successive concentration decrease after day 2 confirms that the continuous water renewal decreases C_diss,over in the long run. Such temporal changes in C_diss,over are expected in other sediment toxicity tests that apply water exchange of uncontaminated water to provide sufficient oxygen and remove excretes (e.g., ammonia; ASTM International, 2019; US Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

The continuous water renewal discharged test chemicals out of the test beaker, which was reflected by the reduction in C_sed during tests. The reduction in C_sed during tests was 26 ± 7% for Phe (Run 2), 24 ± 1% for Pyr (Run 2), 13 ± 10% for CPS (Run 4), and 23 ± 7% for BaP (Run 2).

Vertical concentration profile in sediment toxicity test system

In Run 1, we found that C_free,pore > C_free,over for all three chemicals tested. This indicates that there is a vertical...
Concentration gradient in the sediment–water test system. More detailed depth-related analysis was performed in Run 2, where we measured total (i.e., unfiltered) aqueous concentrations ($C_{\text{total}}$) of Phe, Pyr, and BaP in the overlying water <1 cm below the water surface (“top”) and 1 to 2 cm above the sediment surface (“bottom”). Note that filtered ($C_{\text{diss}}$) and unfiltered ($C_{\text{total}}$) concentrations agreed well for Phe and Pyr, whereas there was a considerable difference for BaP because of the high hydrophobicity and resulting significant binding to suspended solids that are removed during filtration (Supporting Information, Figure S6). The top and bottom $C_{\text{total}}$ agreed well for all three chemicals (Figure 5), which indicates that the overlying water was well mixed over the entire test duration at least from 1 cm above the sediment surface to the water surface. In Run 2, PDMS fiber was placed on the sediment surface with the intention to measure $C_{\text{free}}$ right at the sediment–water interface ($C_{\text{free,intf}}$). The measured $C_{\text{free,intf}}$ was 2.7 to 16 and 1.3 to 1.9 times lower than $C_{\text{free,pore}}$ for Phe and Pyr, respectively, whereas only a small difference (0.8–1.5 times) was measured for BaP (Figure 3). These results indicate that the extent of $C_{\text{free}}$ gradient depends on the chemical properties. Moderately hydrophobic chemicals (i.e., Phe, Pyr) would be depleted in the upper sediment layer because of lower sediment sorption and fast transport and dilution in overlying water by water renewal, resulting in a larger gap between $C_{\text{free,pore}}$ and $C_{\text{free,over}}$ compared to highly hydrophobic chemicals that are strongly bound to the sediment and less soluble in water. Depletion of the concentration in the top layer of sediment was also reported in a previous study that used more hydrophilic chemicals for spiked-sediment tests (Dorn et al., 2021).

Overlying versus porewater and total dissolved versus freely dissolved concentrations

While temporal and spatial concentration profiles of HOCs in the sediment test systems were chemical-specific, the following general trend was observed: $C_{\text{diss,pore}} \geq C_{\text{free,pore}} > C_{\text{free,intf}} > C_{\text{diss,over}} \geq C_{\text{free,over}}$ (Figure 3). For all tested chemicals, $C_{\text{diss,pore}}$ showed the highest value of the measured types of concentrations and $C_{\text{free,pore}}$ the second highest, with the exception of BaP whose $C_{\text{diss,over}}$ showed the second highest. As discussed, this result indicates a nonequilibrium state between overlying water and porewater and the presence of a vertical concentration gradient in the system, partially caused by the semi-continuous refreshment of overlying water. The value of $C_{\text{free,pore}}$ was lower than that of $C_{\text{diss,pore}}$ by a factor of 1.1 ± 0.1 for Phe (Run 2), 3.5 ± 0.4 for Pyr (Run 2), 2.5 ± 0.8 for CPS (Run 4), 217 ± 112 for BaP (Run 2), and 165 ± 10 for BaP (Run 3). This difference between $C_{\text{diss,pore}}$ and $C_{\text{free,pore}}$ resulted from the significant binding of the HOCs to DOC in porewater and increased with increasing hydrophobicity, and hence $K_{\text{DOC}}$, of the HOCs. The corresponding log $K_{\text{DOC}}$ values were 3.0 ± 0.4 for Phe (Run 2), 4.5 ± 0.0 for Pyr (Run 2), 4.0 ± 0.2 for CPS (Run 4), 6.4 ± 0.2 for BaP (Run 2), and 6.1 ± 0.0 for BaP (Run 3). These log $K_{\text{DOC}}$ were lower than the log $K_{\text{DOC}}$ calculated from the respective runs (5.0 ± 0.1 for CPS in Run 4 and 7.0 ± 0.2 for BaP in Run 3). Compared with the significant difference between $C_{\text{diss,pore}}$ and $C_{\text{free,pore}}$, the difference between $C_{\text{diss,over}}$ and $C_{\text{free,over}}$ was absent for CPS (a factor of 1.1 ± 0.2) because of a low level of DOC in the overlying water (i.e., 6 mg CL on average of all runs, ~15 times lower than that in porewater).

Concentrations representative for amphipod toxicity

The 10-day spiked-sediment toxicity tests resulted in >80% control survival of *H. azteca*, >0.1 mg dry weight per surviving amphipod, and a monotonically increasing concentration–response relationship. The calculated LC50 was $1.0 \times 10^4$ (95% CI $5.7 \times 10^3$–$1.5 \times 10^4$) mg/kg of organic carbon (kg–OC) and 2.6 (95% CI 2.2–3.0) mg/kg–OC for BaP and CPS, respectively (Figure 6). The obtained LC50 value for CPS was comparable to...
with those reported in previous studies with \textit{H. azteca}, ranging from 1.8 to 4.4 mg/kg-OC (Amweg & Weston, 2007; Hintzen et al., 2009; Weston & Amweg, 2007). To our knowledge, no LC50 value for BaP has been reported for \textit{H. azteca} in a 10-day spiked-sediment toxicity assay. Growth inhibition and body concentration showed a monotonic concentration-response relationship for BaP (Supporting Information, Figures S12 and S13), whereas no significant effect on growth was observed for CPS (Supporting Information, Figure S18).

In search of an exposure metric that represents \textit{H. azteca} toxicity in varying conditions, the LC50 values based on four concentration types (i.e., \(C_{\text{diss, pore}}\), \(C_{\text{free, pore}}\), \(C_{\text{diss, over}}\), \(C_{\text{free, over}}\)) were compared to those in 10- or 4-day water-only tests reported in the present study and the literature (Ding et al., 2012; Phipps et al., 1995; Tani et al., 2021; Supporting Information, Figure S14; Table 2). Note that water-only toxicity is only available on the basis of \(C_{\text{diss}}\) or \(C_{\text{total}}\), except that Ding et al. (2012) also inferred \(C_{\text{free}}\) for CPS using their SPME method. The comparison showed that LC50 values for CPS based on overlying water concentrations in the present sediment tests, be it \(C_{\text{diss, over}}\) or \(C_{\text{free, over}}\), were 3 to 10 times lower than LC50 values in water-only tests. In contrast, the LC50 values from the sediment porewater concentrations, regardless of \(C_{\text{diss, pore}}\) or \(C_{\text{free, pore}}\), and those from water-only tests agree within a factor of 2.2. This result suggests that the porewater concentration is a more representative indicator than the overlying water for the toxicity of CPS in sediment tests with \textit{H. azteca}. In particular, the \(C_{\text{free, pore}}\)-based LC50 for CPS from our sediment test was in excellent agreement with the \(C_{\text{free}}\)-based LC50 from the water-only test by Ding et al. (2012; within a factor of 1.1). Data that allow such comparison are, however, limited, particularly \(C_{\text{free}}\) data in water-only tests. For BaP, for example, we were not able to find a \(C_{\text{free}}\)-based LC50 value for \textit{H. azteca} in water-only tests. Instead, a \(C_{\text{free}}\)-based bioconcentration factor (BCF) measured in a water-only test is available (Schlechtriem et al., 2019), the value of which (3.5 ± 0.1 log units) fell between the \(C_{\text{free, pore}}\)-based and \(C_{\text{diss, pore}}\)-based BCF in the present study (4.4 ± 0.1 and 3.0 ± 0.5 log units, respectively; see Supporting Information, Table S6). This indicates that the bound fraction of chemicals might contribute to the bioaccumulation of BaP as well as the freely dissolved fraction. Measuring \(C_{\text{free}}\) also in water-only toxicity tests is highly recommended for highly hydrophobic compounds such as BaP to further evaluate \(C_{\text{free}}\) as a unifying toxicity metric.

It may be worth reiterating that the \(C_{\text{diss, over}}\)-based LC50 values in the present study were calculated using the arithmetic
mean of multiple measurements over 10-day exposure. The individual measurements differed from the arithmetic mean by a factor of up to 4 for CPS and 40 for BaP, which were even larger than the differences between \( C_{\text{diss,over}} \) and \( C_{\text{diss,pore}} \), and between \( C_{\text{diss,over}} \) and \( C_{\text{free,over}} \). As shown in the present study, instability of the overlying water concentration is unavoidable under the standard semi-flow-through condition because overlying water is repeatedly diluted with freshwater. Hence, observed toxicity cannot be linked to a steady-state concentration in overlying water, which complicates the interpretation and extrapolation to other exposure scenarios. For direct comparison of water-only and spiked-sediment tests, both tests should be operated in more stable conditions (e.g., using passive dosing exposure [Fischer et al., 2016]). Porewater concentrations, in contrast, are expected to be more stable than overlying water concentrations.

### Implications for sediment risk assessments

The method for the measurement of \( C_{\text{free,pore}} \) and \( C_{\text{free,over}} \) in the \( H. \) azteca sediment test presented in the present study may be adopted in the practice of spiked-sediment toxicity testing of HOCs for improved effect interpretation on the basis of different exposure concentrations. The experimental observations emphasize the complexity of chemical exposure in sediment toxicity tests. Although protocols exist, there remain variations between test setups (e.g., static, manual, or automated water exchange; Hiki et al., 2021), leading to differences in chemical exposure. The application of immersed SPME fibers to measure \( C_{\text{free}} \) in situ in porewater and overlying water may help to account for these differences, eventually increasing the comparability between toxicity data from different laboratories. Furthermore, for risk-assessment purposes, effect concentrations on the basis of \( C_{\text{free}} \) measurements can directly be compared to environmental \( C_{\text{free}} \) measured in field sediments, which accounts for the chemical bioavailability both in the laboratory experiment and in the environment.

The observed gap between \( C_{\text{free,pore}} \) and \( C_{\text{free,over}} \) is important to consider when the toxicity of chemicals to organisms that are primarily present in the sediment, in the overlying water, or at the sediment–water interface is interpreted. Although porewater concentration was proved to be a more representative indicator for CPS sediment toxicity to \( H. \) azteca, it is known that this species can change its burrowing behavior depending on sediment type (e.g., sandy or silty; Doig & Liber, 2010) and some toxicants (e.g., ammonia; Whiteman et al., 1996), thereby being more exposed to overlying water. In this case, the application of equilibrium calculations or ex situ equilibrium SPME in sediment to estimate or measure \( C_{\text{free}} \) in porewater will lead to underestimated exposure and hence underestimated chemical toxicity because \( C_{\text{free}} \) in overlying water or at the sediment–water interface can be significantly lower, especially in test systems that apply water renewal. Our experimental results not only suggest that chemical distribution is influenced by water replacement but indicate a transport resistance at the sediment–water interface. Further investigation on the chemical transport using mechanistic modeling approaches may be useful, for which \( C_{\text{free}} \) data as provided in the present study should be useful input.

It is a matter of ongoing debate what role the bound fraction of chemicals can play in the toxicity and bioaccumulation of HOCs to aquatic organisms (Fischer et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2018). Particularly, the large difference between freely dissolved and bound concentrations was found for very hydrophobic chemicals (e.g., BaP), and the role of the bound species in additional uptake and toxicity compared to the free species needs to be clarified for such chemicals. The relevance of the DOC-bound species will depend on the chemical's sorptive affinity, the type of organic matter in the sediment, and the water exchange setup, which influences the DOC concentrations. Further experiments with more chemicals, in the presence of sediments with different properties, and with test species of different habitats and feeding strategies are needed to investigate the role of bound chemicals in uptake in sediment toxicity tests. Experimental \( C_{\text{free}} \) and \( C_{\text{diss}} \) determined by the methods described in the present study will serve as anchors to distinguish these different uptake routes in future experiments.
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