Clinical study: Evaluation of the effect of topical nepafenac on central foveal thickness and visual acuity post panretinal photocoagulation in diabetic retinopathy
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To study the effect of topical nepafenac in patients with diabetic retinopathy following PRP in terms of Central foveal thickness (CFT) and Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA).

Materials and Methods: This is a hospital based randomized control study conducted in Minto ophthalmic hospital, Regional institute of ophthalmology and Bowring and Lady Curzon hospital, from November 2018 to May 2020. Patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) without macular edema were subjected to PRP after detailed clinical evaluation and were divided into test and control groups. All the patients in two groups were matched based on: age, gender, type of diabetes, HBA1C levels and duration of type II diabetes. All patients underwent three sittings of PRP with a gap of one week interval between the sittings. Nepafenac eye drops (Nevanac, Alcon Laboratories Inc, Texas, USA) were used three time a day for a month followed by two time a day for three months in the test group and moxifloxacin eye drops (placebo)(moxicip, Cipla Ltd, India) three time a day for a month followed by two times for 3 months were used in the control group following PRP.

Results: The patients in both test and control group were followed up at 1, 2 and 4 months after PRP completion and the changes in CFT and BCVA were recorded. There was increase in CFT on each follow up visit in both test and control group. However increase in CFT in test group was less compared to control group which is statistically significant.(p=0.0001) There was worsening of BCVA in the test group was less compared to control group which is clinically significant but statistically insignificant.

Conclusion: Using topical Nepafenac eye drops following PRP for PDR is effective in reducing central foveal thickness and preventing the corresponding worsening of BCVA.

1. Introduction/Background

Diabetes Mellitus is a metabolic disorder characterised by chronic hyperglycemia associated with disturbances in metabolism of carbohydrates, proteins and fats as a result of defect in the secretion of insulin or its action.1

India is known as the “diabetic capital of the world”. It is estimated that, by 2030 the diabetic population in India will rise to 79.4 million. Diabetic retinopathy is becoming an important cause of blindness in India. It was 17th cause of blindness 20 years back and now it ascended to 6th position.

Diabetic retinopathy is one of the microvascular complications of DM which affects 1 out of 3 patients with diabetes resulting in poor quality of life in patients with severe DR.2 Diabetic retinopathy is the leading cause of blindness among the age group of 25-40 years in the industrialised world. Chronic hyperglycemia is the primary factor responsible for the development of diabetic retinopathy and the other complications of diabetes. The importance of long term glycemic control and the decrease...
in the complications of diabetes is conclusively established by the landmark clinical trials like the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) and the UK prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS).3,4 Proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) is characterized by neovascularization, including neovascularization on disc, neovascularisation elsewhere which leads to vitreous hemorrhage and neovascularisation of iris which may lead to neovascular glaucoma.5 Laser photocoagulation is the most common treatment method employed for proliferative diabetic retinopathy. The laser therapy in the form of panretinal photocoagulation where about 2000 large burns each measuring about 500µm of greater intensity are applied on to retina outside the central thirty-degree zones. This tends to decrease the caliber of new vessels and helps in regression of new vessels.6 Macular edema is main side-effect of panretinal photocoagulation and may be sufficiently severe to reduce the visual acuity.6 Inflammation and altered blood flow are the possible mechanisms responsible for the development of post panretinal photocoagulation macular edema.7 Topical treatment with nepafenac (NSAID) is known to produce significant decline in diabetes induced biochemical alterations, including retinal PGE2, CYCLOOXGENASE 2, superoxide production.8 In addition, cellular and morphological changes such as leucostasis, retinal capillary degeneration, endothelial cell apoptosis were inhibited by Nepafenac.9 Our study aims at studying the effect of topical Nepafenac in preventing the development of macular edema following PRP.

2. Materials and Methods

Our study was a hospital based randomized control study, conducted from November 2018 to May 2020, at our tertiary eye hospital. A total of 68 eyes of 66 patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy without pre-existing macular edema were included in the study, after taking informed consent. The study was planned and conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. Institution ethical committee approval was taken. All patients of PDR without macular edema and those requiring PRP were included in the study. Patients with dry eye syndromes, corneal epithelial defect, with prior macular edema, advanced diabetic eye disease, ocular media opacity, prior history of ocular surgery or laser treatment and patients allergic to NSAIDs were excluded from study. Detailed ocular examination included Recording of Visual acuity using Snellen’s chart/ LogMAR chart, Examination of the adnexa and extraocular structures-Face, orbits, eyebrows, eyelids, palpebral fissure, extra ocular movements, lacrimal sac, Examination of Anterior segment by slit lamp biomicroscopy, Examination of Posterior segment by ophthalmoscopy (direct and indirect) and +90 D Biomicroscopy. Central foveal thicknes was measured using Spectral domain OCT (Cirrus 5000, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc, Dublin, USA) pre and post panretinal photocoagulation of all the patients. Fundus photography and fundus flurosecin angiography were performed as and when necessary.

All the patients were divided into two equal groups using random tables

1. Patients who were given nepafenac eye drops (test
2. Patients who were given carboxymethyl cellulose or moxiflox eye drops (placebo (control

All the patients in two groups were matched based on: age, sex, type of diabetes, HBA1C levels and duration of type II diabetes (defined as the duration from time of diagnosis up to the time of enrolment into the study).

PRP was done to all the patients in 3 sessions within 3 weeks, with the parameters- retinal spot size 500µm, intensity 150-250mw until a grey white spot seen, duration of 0.1s, with 1000spots/eye in the first session, followed by 300-500 spots/eye in the subsequent two sessions. Following which, patients were followed-up at 1st month, 2nd month and 4th month. Efficacy parameters- best corrected visual acuity and central foveal thickness using HD OCT was measured at each visit.

2.1. Statistical analysis

The data is entered in MS excel and analysed using SPSS Ver 20.0. Descriptive statistics is analysed and represented using mean, median, standard deviation, standard error of mean and categorical variables are represented using percentages. Pearson Chi Square test and Fischer’s Exact test is used to measure association between two categorical variables, Independent sample test is used to measure statistically significant difference among two groups.

3. Observation and Results

Study was conducted on 66 eyes of 68 patients. There were 19 males (55.88%) in test group and 20 males (58.82%) in control group. (Table 1) Mean age was 62.20 years in test group and 61.79 years in control group. Mean HBA1c in test group was 8.57% and in control group was 8.78% which is statistically insignificant between the groups. 19 patients in test group and 15 patients in control group had systemic hypertension. Mean duration of Diabetes was 15.58years in test group and 15.29 years in control group respectively. Mean foveal thickness in control group at baseline (Pre PRP), month 1, month 2 and month 4 after PRP was 206.38±6.38 microns, 223.79±9.31microns, 227.94±9.70 microns and 231.97±10.06 microns respectively. Mean foveal thickness in control group at baseline (Pre PRP), month 1, month 2 and month 4 after PRP was 208.79±7.31
microns, 228.82\pm10.23 \text{ microns}, 236.52\pm12.12 \text{ microns}
and 247.08\pm15.78 \text{ microns} respectively. There was a
significant difference in CFT between test and control
group. (Table 2) Mean best corrected visual acuity in test
group at baseline (Pre PRP), month 1, month 2 and month 4
after PRP was 0.97.38\pm0.11 \text{ logMAR}, 1.04\pm0.14 \text{ logMAR},
1.04\pm0.14 \text{ logMAR} and 1.05\pm0.14 \text{ logMAR} respectively.
Mean best corrected visual acuity in control group at
baseline (Pre PRP), month 1, month 2 and month 4
after PRP was 0.96.38\pm0.14 \text{ logMAR}, 1.08\pm0.16 \text{ logMAR},
1.09\pm0.16 \text{ logMAR} and 1.13\pm0.19 \text{ logMAR} respectively.
There was no statistically difference in BCVA between
the groups at any of the follow up. (Table 3) Four eyes (11.76%)
in each group reported blurred vision following PRP. three
patients (8.82%) in test group and one patient (2.94%) in
control group reported itching following PRP. One patient
(2.94%) in each group reported eye pain following PRP.

\section*{4. Discussion}

Diabetes mellitus is one the important etiological factor in
causation of preventable blindness. As there is increasing
in the prevalence of diabetes, burden of blindness in going
to increase. There are various causes for reduction of
visual acuity which includes Cataract, Macular edema,
tractional retinal detachment, vireous hemorrhage and
neovascular glaucoma. PRP is one of the key treatment
tool for management of proliferative diabetic retinopathy in
developing countries like India. However there are certain
complications which occurs with PRP.

In a study conducted by Watanachai N et al\textsuperscript{10} it was
observed that following a single session multispot PRP,
there was increase in macular thickness after 1 week of
PRP with corresponding mild change in BCVA. Similarly
in the study conducted by Mukhthar A\textsuperscript{11} it was concluded
that there is change in central macular thickness and BCVA
as measured by OCT following 2 sessions of PRP with
PASCAL at the interval of 4 weeks in between the 2
sessions.

Macular edema is main side-effect of panretinal
photocoagulation and may be sufficiently severe to reduce
the visual acuity.\textsuperscript{6} Inflammation and altered vascular
permeability are the possible mechanisms responsible
for the development of post panretinal photocoagulation
macular edema.\textsuperscript{7}

Topical treatment with Nepafenac (NSAID) is known
to produce significant decline in diabetes induced
biochemical alterations, including retinal PGE2, CYCLO-
OXYGENASE 2 and superoxide production\textsuperscript{12} In addition,
cellular and morphological changes such as leucostasis,
retinal capillary degeneration, endothelial cell apoptosis
were inhibited by Nepafenac.\textsuperscript{8}

A study conducted by David Callanan et al\textsuperscript{9} showed
decrease in macular edema as measured by OCT with the
use of topical nepafenac twice daily. In our study we have
used topical nepafenac to decrease the macular thickness
following PRP. The results obtained from our study is
similar to the results of the study conducted by Abu Hussein
et al.\textsuperscript{12}

68 eyes of 66 patients were included in our study, in
which 34 eyes were divided into test and control group
each. Every patient underwent PRP in 3 sessions at the
interval of 1 week, and were followed-up at 1 month, 2
months and 4 months. CFT was more in control group as
compared to test group and this change was statistically
significant. Change in BCVA was significant clinically but
not statisitically between the groups during follow up.

The changes in central foveal thickness noted during the
follow-up in our study and the study conducted by Nahla B.
Abu Husseinere are shown in table 6. Similarly the BCVA
in our study showed clinically significant changes but was
statistically insignificant.

The difference in the BCVA in our study and the study
conducted by Nahla B. Abu Husseinican be explained by the
observation that the mean age of presentation in our
study was 62 years, most of the patients were with the
advanced diabetic eye disease and few patients developed
cataract during the follow-up. Late presentation due to
the lack of proper awareness on the routine screening
programmes for diabetic retinopathy was also observed
during the study. Non-compliance with medication was also
noted in few patients during the follow-up. Comparison
of ocular adverse events between our study and the study
conducted by Nahla B. Abu Hussein did not show any
significant difference.(Table 6)

\section*{5. Conclusion}

Using topical nepafenac eye drops following PRP for
proliferative diabetic retinopathy is effective in reducing
central foveal thickness and preventing the corresponding
worsening of BCVA.
Table 1: Comparison of other variables between test and control group

| Variable                      | Test       | Control    | P value |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Gender distribution           |            |            |         |
| Males                         | 19         | 20         |         |
| Females                       | 15         | 14         |         |
| Mean age                      | 62.20 years| 61.79 years| 0.27    |
| Mean hba1c                    | 8.57%      | 8.78%      | 0.507   |
| Hypertension                  | 19 patients| 15 patients| 0.332   |
| Mean duration of diabetes     | 15.58 years| 15.29 years| 0.714   |

Table 2: Comparison of mean central foveal thickness and BCVA between test and control group

| Pre PRP  | 1st month | 2nd month | 4th month |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Test     | 206.38 ± 6.38 | 223.79 ± 9.31 | 227.94 ± 9.70 | 231.97 ± 10.06 |
| Control  | 208.79 ± 7.31 | 228.82 ± 10.23 | 236.52 ± 12.12 | 247.08 ± 15.78 |
| P Value  | 0.152      | 0.042      | 0.002      | 0.0001      |

Table 3: Comparison of mean best corrected visual acuity between test and control group

| Pre PRP  | 1st month | 2nd month | 4th month |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Test     | 0.97 ± 0.11 | 1.04 ± 0.14 | 1.04 ± 0.14 | 1.05 ± 0.14 |
| Control  | 0.96 ± 0.14 | 1.08 ± 0.16 | 1.09 ± 0.16 | 1.13 ± 0.19 |
| P Value  | 0.840      | 0.211      | 0.204      | 0.068       |

Table 4: Ocular adverse events during follow-up

| Adverse events       | Test       | Control    |
|----------------------|------------|------------|
| Blurred vision       | 4(11.76%)  | 4(11.76%)  |
| Itching              | 3(8.82%)   | 1(2.94%)   |
| Eye pain             | 1(2.94%)   | 1(2.94%)   |
| Eye discharge        | 1(2.94%)   | 2(5.88%)   |
| Conjunctivitis       | 0(0%)      | 1(2.94%)   |
| Punctate keratitis   | 1(2.94%)   | 0(0%)      |
| Chronic redness      | 3(8.82%)   | 3(8.82%)   |

Table 5: Comparison of mean central foveal thickness and BCVA of our study with Nahla B Abu Hussein study

| Mean Central foveal thickness | Pre PRP | 1st month | 2nd month | 4th month |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Our study                     | Test    | Control   | Test      | Control   | Test      | Control   |
|                               | 206.38  | 208.79    | 223.79    | 228.82    | 227.94    | 236.52    |
| Nahla B. Abu Hussein          | 191.63  | 182.68    | 200.44    | 204.18    | 205.56    | 210.25    |
| BCVA                          |        |           |           |           |           |           |
| Our study                     | Test    | Control   | Test      | Control   | Test      | Control   |
|                               | 0.97    | 0.96      | 1.04      | 1.08      | 1.04      | 1.09      |
| Nahla B. Abu Hussein          | 0.06    | 0.07      | 0.09      | 0.14      | 0.09      | 0.17      |

Table 6: Comparison of ocular adverse events between our study and the study conducted by Nahla B. Abu Hussein did not show any significant difference

|                | Blurred Vision | Itching | Eye pain | Discharge | Conjunctivitis | Punctate keratitis | Chronic redness |
|----------------|----------------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Our study      | T 4            | C 4     | T 3      | C 1       | T 1            | C 1                | T 0             |
| Nahla B. Abu   |                |         |          |           |                |                    |                 |
| Hussain et al  | T 5            | C 3     | T 2      | C 1       | T 0            | C 0                | T 0             |

T: Test; C: Control
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