Development of thermoplastic vulcanizates based on in situ synthesized thermoplastic polyurethane and acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber: the influence of the curing system
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Abstract
We prepared different thermoplastic elastomers (TPEs) using an internal mixer. We investigated the properties of thermoplastic polyurethanes (TPUs) produced from prepolymers with various isocyanate content and two different chain extenders to select the most appropriate TPU matrix for preparing thermoplastic vulcanizates (TPVs). Based on the results, we selected a prepolymer with moderate isocyanate content (10%) and the more flexible 1,6-hexanediol as a chain extender and prepared TPVs by dynamic vulcanization with in situ produced TPU. The rubber phase was acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber (NBR) with peroxidic and sulfuric curing with different accelerators. Dynamical mechanical analysis (DMA) results show that the room temperature storage modulus of the TPVs decreases due to the rubber phase. The TPU/NBR-CBS TPV with delayed action accelerator yields the highest tensile and tear strength and elongation at break. TPU/NBR-DCP made with a peroxide-based rubber blend shows similar tensile strength with significantly lower elongation at break and tear strength. The good mechanical properties of TPU/NBR-CBS can be explained by the long induction period, which allowed the rubber phase to disperse before vulcanization took place. In the TPU/NBR-DCP, the peroxide formed bonds not only in the rubber phase but also between the rubber and TPU phases, which is manifested in the high mixing torque and the result of the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).
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Introduction
The family of polyurethane polymers is diverse. The properties of polyurethanes can be varied within wide limits by choosing the quantity and quality of the components (isocyanates, polyols, and chain extenders) used in the synthesis. This family contains foams of different densities, protective coatings, and elastomers. They also play an increasingly important role as injection molding materials (reactive injection molding), casting resins, and film formers in the imitation leather industry. Polyurethane is also used in making adhesives with high bond strength and fibrous materials with hundreds of percent elongation. Because of their physiological neutrality, they are widely used in health care [1–4]. Thermoplastic polyurethanes (TPUs) were the first homogeneous thermoplastic elastomers developed in the 1950s [5]. TPUs belong to the family of linear block copolymers whose molecular chains are composed of two different types of segments. Due to the difference in polarity, the hard and soft segments are typically immiscible, so phase separation occurs [6–9]. TPUs can be prepared in two ways. One of the easiest methods is the one-shot process. In this case, the three components—the diisocyanate, the polyol, and the chain extender—are mixed, and then the polyaddition takes place under appropriate conditions. The other is the prepolymer method. In this case, the polyol is first reacted with an excess of isocyanate in an inert atmosphere at 60–90 °C, and then, in a second step, the isocyanate-terminated prepolymer units thus obtained are connected with a chain extender. The “prepolymers” typically contain 1–32% free isocyanate groups by weight. Prepolymers can be divided
into two groups based on their free isocyanate content. With 1 to 15 wt% isocyanate content, they are called prepolymers, and between 16 and 32 wt% isocyanates, quasi-prepolymers. This method makes the processes more controllable, including the toxicity of the material, the reactivity of the components, and the properties of the final products. Elastomers with more regular structures can be prepared with the prepolymer method [1, 2, 10, 11].

There are several studies in which TPUcs have been compounded with various rubbers to produce polymer blends with improved properties [12, 13]. Mixing a small amount of ethylene propylene diene rubber (EPDM) (8 wt%) with the TPU can increase its strength and abrasion resistance. This is explained by the fact that a small amount of high-resilience EPDM increases the flexibility of the soft phase of the TPU, thereby facilitating phase separation [14]. The use of compatibilizers (e.g., poly(ethylene-1-octene) grafted with maleic anhydride) can improve the relationship between the hard phase of the TPU and the EPDM. Thus, with the same composition, a finer phase structure and better mechanical properties can be achieved [8]. Acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber (NBR) and epoxidized natural rubber (ENR) are often used for this purpose, due to their polar nature, like TPU. These blends are often prepared by solution mixing techniques that are less economical and environmentally friendly [15]. Several researchers increased acrylonitrile content or the degree of epoxidation of the rubber, which gave the blends better mechanical properties, which is explained by the better compatibility of the two phases [16–18]. Pichaiyut et al. found that in the case of TPU/ENR blends, much better mechanical properties can be achieved with dynamic vulcanization than with simple mixing, during which no vulcanization takes place in the rubber phase [18]. The mechanical properties of TPU/(E) NR blends are often improved by using fillers of natural origin, such as rice husk ash or kenaf fiber [19, 20]. In TPU/methyl vinyl silicone rubber (MVQ) based thermoplastic vulcanizates have shown that both the type and the amount of peroxide used as a vulcanizing agent have a significant effect on the properties of blends [21].

Dynamic vulcanization means that the rubber phase is vulcanized and dispersed simultaneously in the molten thermoplastic polymer matrix during intensive mixing and at a high temperature. The thermoplastic vulcanizates (TPVs) thus produced consist of a thermoplastic matrix and 1–2 μm Vulcanized rubber islands dispersed in it. Like other thermoplastic elastomers, these materials combine the flexibility of rubbers with the easy processing and recyclability of thermoplastic polymers [22–25]. The first TPV, which is still on the market today, was launched by Monsanto in the early 1980s under the trademark of Santoprene®. In this, the thermoplastic matrix is isotactic polypropylene, and the rubber phase is EPDM [26, 27]. In the years since a number of thermoplastic polymer/rubber pairs have been tested with various vulcanization systems. However, only a small number of these combinations are technologically viable even after dynamic vulcanization [26]. The most suitable thermoplastic polymer/rubber pairs for this purpose are those in which i) the surface energy of the components is almost the same, ii) the melt viscosity of the components is similar, iii) the cross-link density of the rubber phase is high, iv) the thermoplastic polymer matrix is semi-crystalline [28, 29].

However, in the case of blends made from different polymers, the immiscibility and/or incompatibility of the components cause problems. This results in a weak interfacial adhesion between the constituents, which can lead to weak mechanical properties. This can be improved by various compatibilization methods [30]. A new method aims to improve this relationship; here, the enclosing thermoplastic matrix is also processed with a reactive technology, i.e., the matrix itself is formed in situ from its constituents during the preparation of the blend [31, 32].

This paper focuses on the in situ preparation of thermoplastic polyurethanes from various components by the prepolymer method. We used different prepolymers with various isocyanate contents and two different chain extenders. After that, we prepared thermoplastic vulcanizates from the selected TPU with polar acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber with peroxide and sulfuric vulcanization systems.

**Experimental**

Materials

The TPUcs were prepared from four prepolymers with different isocyanate contents (Table 1) and the 1,4-butanediol (1,4-BD) and 1,6-hexanediol (1,6-HD) chain extenders. The prepolymers were based on 4,4’-diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI) and polypropylene glycol. The 1,4-butanediol was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Darmstadt, Germany, the 1,6-hexanediol by Lanxess, Cologne, Germany. The composition of the materials was described with the ratio of the free isocyanate (–NCO) groups of the prepolymer and the hydroxyl (–OH) functional groups of the chain extender in the reaction mixture. The NCO/OH ratio was kept constant at 1.05 during the experiment.

We used NBR as a base rubber for the rubber phase of the TPVs. The NBR was produced by Arlanxeo (The Hague, Netherlands) under the name of Perbunan 3445 F. Its bound acrylonitrile content was 34.0 ± 1.0 wt%, and its Mooney viscosity (ML, 1 + 4, 100 °C) was 45 ± 5 MU. The dicumyl peroxide (DCP) with 40 wt% active ingredients was produced by Norac (Azusa, USA) under the name of Norox DCP-40BK. The other component of the sulfur-based
vulcanization systems and their suppliers were: zinc oxide (Werco Metal, Zlatna, Romania), stearic acid (Oleon, Ertvelde, Belgium), zinc diethyldithiocarbamate (ZDEC) (Rhein Chemie, Mannheim, Germany), N-Cyclohexyl-2-benzothiazolesulfenamide (CBS) (Rhein Chemie, Mannheim, Germany), tetramethylthiuram disulfide (TMTD) (Lanxess, Cologne, Germany), zinc dibuthyldithiocarbamate (ZDBC) (Rhein Chemie, Mannheim, Germany) and sulfur (Ningbo Actmix Polymer, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China). All materials were used as received.

Preparation of thermoplastic polyurethanes

In the first step of the experiment, the TPUs were prepared in a Brabender Plasti-Corder (Brabender GmbH, Duisburg, Germany) internal mixer equipped with a W 50 EHT chamber (free volume 55 cm$^3$). The initial temperature was 150 °C, rotor speed was 50 rpm, and mixing time was 30 min. The reaction scheme of the synthesis is shown in Fig. 1. The equipment recorded the torque required for mixing and the temperature of the material in the chamber.

In the next step, we increased the amount of TPU produced. The TPUs were prepared in the larger (370 cm$^3$) chamber of the internal mixer shown above with the same parameters.

Preparation of thermoplastic vulcanizates

The rubber mixtures were also prepared in the larger chamber (370 cm$^3$) of the internal mixer at an initial temperature of 70 °C with the formulation given in Table 2.

The TPVs were also produced in the 370 cm$^3$ chamber of the internal mixer at an initial temperature of 150 °C, with a rotor speed of 50 rpm and a mixing time of 25 min. The TPVs contained 60 wt% TPU and 40 wt% rubber mixture. First, the components of TPU (prepolymer and chain extender) were added, then the rubber compound after 5 min.

Preparation of specimens

The 1 and 2 mm thick TPU and TPV specimens were compression molded at 190 °C under a pressure of 2 MPa for 3 or 4 min in a Collin Teach-line Platen Press 200E (Dr. Collin GmbH, Ebersberg, Germany) laboratory hot press. 2 mm thick sheets (160 × 160 mm) were prepared from the rubber mixtures (Table 2) too, which were also vulcanized in the hot press shown above at 170 °C under a pressure of 2 MPa for $t_{90}$ (vulcanization time). Specimens for the further tests were cut from the sheets produced.

Table 1 Prepolymers used in this study. *XX stands for BD (1,4-butanol) and HD (1,6-hexanediol)

| Prepolymer | Producer          | NCO content (wt%) | MW of the polyol | Notation* |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|
| BI-6       | Polinvent Ltd.    | 8.0               | 2000             | TPU08XX   |
| Suprasec 2008 | Huntsman Co.     | 10.2              | 4000             | TPU10XX   |
| Ongronat XP 1156 | Borsod Chem Plc. | 15.0              | not provided     | TPU15XX   |
| Suprasec 2067 | Huntsman Co.     | 19.3              | 2000             | TPU19XX   |
Test methods

The curing properties of the rubber mixtures were tested in a MonTech D-RPA 3000 Dynamic Rubber Process Analyzer (MonTech GmbH, Buchen, Germany). The vulcanization curves were recorded under isothermal conditions at 170 °C (1.67 Hz and 1° amplitude) and non-isothermal conditions. The latter aimed to verify that the vulcanization of the rubber phase occurs during dynamic vulcanization. Therefore, the temperature profile of the curing test was set according to the temperature curves recorded during the production of the corresponding TPV.

The hardness of the compression molded sheets was determined according to the ISO 7619–1 Shore A and Shore D methods with a Zwick H04.3150.000 hardness tester (Zwick GmbH, Ulm, Germany). Ten tests were performed on each sample.

The mechanical properties of the samples were determined on a Zwick Z005 (Zwick GmbH, Ulm, Germany) universal testing machine equipped with a 5 kN load cell. The tensile test was performed according to the ISO 37 standard at room temperature and at a crosshead speed of 100 mm·min⁻¹. In the first phase of the research (synthesis of TPU), due to the small amounts of materials, Type 2 dumbbell specimens were tested, while in the second phase (production of TPVs), including matrix TPU, Type 1 specimens were tested. Tear strength was determined according to the ISO 34–1 standard method B at room temperature and a crosshead speed of 100 mm·min⁻¹. We used angle test pieces with a nick. Five tests were performed on each material in both cases.

The compression set of the TPVs was determined according to ISO 815–1, Method A. Cylindrical, type B disc samples (diameter 13 mm and thickness 6 mm) were compressed by 25% for 24 h at 70 °C. After that, the pressure was removed, and the samples were allowed to cool for 30 min at room temperature before measuring the height of the samples.

Dynamical mechanical analysis (DMA) of the samples was performed with a TA Q800 DMA machine (TA Instruments Ltd., New Castle, DE, USA) in tensile mode on rectangular specimens with dimensions of 1.0 mm × 2.5 mm × 10 mm (thickness x width x clamped length). Tests were run with a heating rate of 3 °C·min⁻¹ from -90 to 150 °C at a frequency of 10 Hz with a preload of 0.01 N and superimposed 0.1% sinusoidal strain.

The thermal stability of the TPV samples was investigated with a TA Q500 TGA machine (TA Instruments Ltd., New Castle, USA), under a nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹ from 50 °C to 600 °C.

The morphology of the TPVs was investigated with a JEOL JSM 6380LA (Joel Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) type scanning electron microscope (SEM). The samples were immersed in liquid nitrogen and then fractured. Before the test, the cryo-fractured surfaces were coated with a thin layer of gold.

Results

Characterization of thermoplastic polyurethanes (TPUs)

Synthesis of TPU

The torque and temperature curves recorded by the internal mixer provide useful information about the processes taking place in the chamber. The measured torque is proportional to the viscosity of the material, which depends on the molecular weight. Hence, a change in torque is a good indicator of a change in molecular weight [33]. Figure 2 shows that both the torque and temperature curves reached a steady-state by the end of the mixing time. It means that the polyaddition reaction has taken place. For the TPU08 samples, a mixing time of 30 min was not sufficient. This prepolymer has the lowest concentration of free isocyanate groups, so the number of effective collisions for the reaction was smaller, which reduced the reaction rate. Unlike the other samples, a peak is observed on the torque curve of the TPU10HD (Fig. 2b). It may be caused by the reaction-induced phase segregations or the formation of short-chain oligomers from excess MDI molecules and the extension of prepolymer chains by diols.

The torque curves indicate that the maximum torque increased with increasing isocyanate content of the prepolymer during mixing because the amount of hard phase in the TPU increased with the isocyanate content of the prepolymer. It also had a significant effect on the mechanical properties (presented later). Contrary to expectations, the TPU19 prepolymer with the highest isocyanate content did

| Component       | Amount of components (phr) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
|                 | NBR-DCP         | NBR-ZDEC | NBR-CBS | NBR-S donor |
| NBR             | 100             | 100      | 100     | 100         |
| DCP             | 4.25            |          |         |             |
| Zinc oxide      |                 | 5        | 5       | 5           |
| Stearic acid    |                 | 2        | 2       |             |
| ZDEC            |                 | 1        |         |             |
| CBS             |                 |          | 1.5     |             |
| TMTD            |                 |          |         | 3           |
| ZDBC            |                 |          |         | 0.6         |
| Sulfur          |                 | 2        | 2       |             |
not have the largest maximum torque. This material became clastic and powdery after mixing, from which sheets were also difficult to produce.

**Mechanical properties of TPU**

Evaluation of the mechanical properties of TPU (Fig. 3 and Table 3) showed that increasing the isocyanate content of the prepolymer increased the tensile strength, modulus, and Shore A hardness of the samples, while it decreased elongation at break. This is due to the fact that the amount of hard phase in the TPU also increases because of the increasing isocyanate content. The hard phases act as physical cross-links in thermoplastic elastomers. Increasing the amount of hard phase decreases the length of the chain segments between the two cross-links, which results in a stiffer material.

An examination of the effect of the molecular weight of the chain extender showed that the samples made with 1,6-hexanediol have greater tensile strength and elongation at break due to more flexible hard segments in the polymer.

**Dynamical mechanical analysis of TPU**

Both the molecular weight of the polyol and the free isocyanate content of the prepolymer affect the thermomechanical curves of TPU. An intense glassy transition is observed on the curves for samples with low isocyanate content (TPU08) (Fig. 4 and Table 4). This transition can be assigned to the soft phase of TPU. The intensity of the transition decreases with increasing isocyanate content. For TPU10 samples, the T_g of the soft phase (T_g,soft) shifted by 40 °C toward the negative range due to the higher molecular weight of the polyol (Table 1). It makes the soft phase more flexible, thus
reducing the \( T_g \). By further increasing the isocyanate content of the prepolymer, the \( T_g \) of the hard phase (\( T_g \)hard) becomes more pronounced instead of the \( T_g \)soft.

The isocyanate content of the prepolymer, i.e., the amount of hard segment in the TPU, also has a strong influence on the room temperature storage modulus (\( E'_{20\,^\circ C} \)). As the isocyanate content increases, an intensive increase in the \( E'_{20\,^\circ C} \) value is obvious, i.e., the TPUs become stiffer (Table 4). It is consistent with the result of the mechanical tests (Fig. 3 and Table 3).

### Characterization of thermoplastic vulcanizates (TPVs)

#### Production of TPVs

The aim of the first phase of this study (synthesis of TPUs) was to select the most appropriate TPU matrix components for the production of TPVs. Based on the result of mechanical tests, acceptable tensile strength values were achieved with TPU10 among the prepolymers, in addition to the elongation at break values typical of elastomers. Of the chain extenders, 1,6-hexanediol gave better results for all prepolymers.

Therefore, we chose the TPU10 (Suprasec 2008) prepolymer with 10% isocyanate content and 1,6-hexanediol chain extender as the matrix polymer for the TPVs. Before producing TPVs, we decided to increase the amount produced because we plan to carry out additional tests on our samples in the future (e.g., compression set). We replaced the small chamber of the internal mixer with a large (370 cm\(^3\)) chamber. As a reference, we also prepared the TPU chosen as the matrix polymer in the large chamber.

Before producing the TPVs, we analyzed the isothermal cure characteristics of rubber mixtures (continuous lines in Fig. 5 and Table 5). The vulcanization of NBR-ZDEC was the fastest, while the NBR-S donor was the slowest, and it reached the lowest maximum torque. The induction time was longer for the sample containing CBS (NBR-CBS), but the vulcanization rate was high after that.

The processes in the internal mixer can be monitored by analyzing the torque and temperature curves. The torque curves (Fig. 6) showed a sudden steep increase when we added the rubber mixture, followed by stagnation. This stagnation was due to the softening of the rubber because of the shearing and high temperature. In the case of NBR-ZDEC, we cannot see this because the vulcanization of the

---

**Table 3** Mechanical properties of TPUs

| Sample | Tensile strength (MPa) | Elongation at break (%) | Modulus of elasticity (MPa) | Hardness (Shore A) | Hardness (Shore D) |
|--------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| TPU08BD| 8.01 ± 1.04            | 921.5 ± 33.2            | 2.5 ± 0.4                  | 51 ± 1            | 13 ± 0            |
| TPU10BD| 10.56 ± 1.33           | 346.0 ± 59.4            | 22.1 ± 1.0                | 80 ± 1            | 24 ± 0            |
| TPU15BD| 28.02 ± 2.89           | 208.7 ± 20.8            | 50.9 ± 13.2               | 96 ± 1            | 52 ± 2            |
| TPU19BD| 21.47 ± 2.61           | 7.0 ± 3.6               | 566.5 ± 12.6              | 98 ± 1            | 67 ± 1            |
| TPU08HD| 8.25 ± 1.40            | 937.2 ± 36.2            | 1.7 ± 0.4                 | 47 ± 1            | 11 ± 1            |
| TPU10HD| 15.00 ± 0.80           | 594.4 ± 39.8            | 22.8 ± 0.9                | 79 ± 1            | 24 ± 1            |
| TPU15HD| 33.43 ± 2.93           | 254.1 ± 19.0            | 71.5 ± 9.8                | 93 ± 1            | 53 ± 2            |
| TPU19HD| 23.50 ± 1.04           | 45.3 ± 12.9             | 340.8 ± 29.9              | 97 ± 1            | 69 ± 1            |

---

**Fig. 4** Thermomechanical curves of the TPUs made from different prepolymers with a 1,4-butandiol, b 1,6-hexanediol

© Springer
rubber mixture began when it was feeding into the chamber due to the high vulcanization rate. The torque curve of the TPU/NBR-DCP is slightly different. The increase in torque was initially slower, accelerating over time and increasing steeply, even as it became flatter for the other TPVs. It can be explained by the fact that the decomposition of peroxide only starts above a certain temperature. When the temperature is further increased, decomposition is accelerated, and the number of peroxide radicals involved in the formation of cross-links increases.

In the next section, the curves showed a significant increase due to the polymerization of the TPU and the simultaneous vulcanization of the rubber phase. Finally, the curves showed a decrease due to the fragmentation and dispersion of the vulcanized rubber particles. The TPU/NBR-DCP TPV reached the highest maximum torque and temperature. It is consistent with the fact that the NBR-DCP rubber mixture achieved the largest torque during both isothermal and non-isothermal vulcanization. Both can be reasoned by the formation of the highest cross-link density in the NBR-DCP mixture.

Fig. 7 shows a photo of the TPVs produced. The vulcanization systems greatly influence the color of the samples. Three of the samples are homogeneous. On the surface of the TPU/NBR-ZDEC sheets, there are small (0.1–2.0 mm) particles. Based on the color and the hardness of the grains, the TPU forms the continuous phase, and the rubber forms the dispersed phase.

After preparing the TPVs, we also recorded the non-isothermal curing curves of the rubber compounds (dashed lines in Fig. 5). About 19 min were available for the curing of the rubber mixtures during dynamic vulcanization. As the non-isothermal vulcanization curves showed, we achieved a pretty good degree of vulcanization in all four samples. It is clear from Fig. 5 that the induction phase was longer in the non-isothermal case, which the lower initial temperature can explain. Unlike the other mixtures, the NBR-DCP sample reached a higher maximum torque in the non-isothermal case. This is due to the temperature-induced decomposition of the peroxides since, in this case, the final temperature was higher than 170 °C.

---

**Table 4** The glass transition temperature of the soft (Tg soft) and the hard phases (Tg hard) and the room temperature storage modulus (E’20 °C) of TPUs

| Sample    | Tg soft (°C) | Tg hard (°C) | E’20 °C (MPa) |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| TPU08BD   | -6.4         | —            | 5.5           |
| TPU10BD   | -48.4        | —            | 44.0          |
| TPU15BD   | —            | 100.6        | 387.8         |
| TPU19BD   | —            | 110.3        | 1014.0        |
| TPU08HD   | -0.8         | —            | 3.1           |
| TPU10HD   | -47.7        | —            | 46.9          |
| TPU15HD   | —            | 55.6         | 411.9         |
| TPU19HD   | —            | 85.6         | 1110.0        |

---

**Table 5** The isothermal cure characteristics of rubber mixtures

| Rubber mixture | t10 (min) | t90 (min) | Max. torque (M) (dNm) |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|
| NBR-DCP       | 0.43      | 3.98      | 25.45                 |
| NBR-ZDEC      | 0.29      | 1.73      | 21.00                 |
| NBR-CBS       | 1.46      | 2.95      | 24.58                 |
| NBR-S donor   | 0.68      | 5.13      | 13.68                 |

---

**Fig. 5** The curing curves of the rubber mixtures in isothermal (—) and non-isothermal conditions (- - -)

**Fig. 6** The parameters recorded during the synthesis of the thermoplastic vulcanizates: torque curves (—) and temperature curves (- - -)
Mechanical properties of the TPVs

The tensile test results show that the mechanical properties of vulcanized rubbers are inferior to the properties of TPU10HD (Fig. 8a and Table 6). The Shore A hardness of the rubbers was around 50, while that of the matrix TPU was 80. The poorer performance of the rubbers and, therefore, the TPVs is because they do not contain any fillers.

In general, the mechanical properties of TPVs fall between the properties of the matrix TPU and the rubber mixture. The TPU/NBR-DCP and TPU/NBR-CBS TPVs have the largest tensile strength and elongation at break. In the case of NBR-DCP, peroxide formed chemical bonds not only in the rubber phase but also at least at the boundary of the two phases. This was also shown in a previous study where peroxide was used as a vulcanizing agent in TPU/ENR blends [34]. The TPU/NBR-CBS sample contains a delayed action accelerator, and it has the longest induction period, so the rubber phase could break up before vulcanization occurs. Because of this, the rubber mixture can be evenly distributed in the TPU matrix, which results in good mechanical properties.

The incorporation of NBR into the TPU reduced the Shore A hardness to about 69 compared to about 80 for the neat TPU (Table 7). The best tear strength was achieved with sulfur-based blends, including a delayed action accelerator (TPU/NBR-CBS).

![Fig. 7](image1.png)

*Fig. 7* The prepared TPVs, from left to right: TPU/NBR-ZDEC, TPU/NBR-CBS, TPU/NBR-S donor, and TPU/NBR-DCP (Note: the size of the vulcanized sheets is 160×160 mm)

![Fig. 8](image2.png)

*Fig. 8* Typical tensile curves of a the TPU and rubbers, b TPVs

| Sample               | Tensile strength (MPa) | Elongation at break (%) | Modulus of elasticity (MPa) |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| TPU10HD              | 11.84±1.84             | 507.7±77.5              | 16.6±0.4                    |
| NBR-DCP              | 2.02±0.13              | 171.5±10.3              | 2.6±0.2                     |
| NBR-ZDEC             | 2.41±0.36              | 206.6±23.7              | 2.6±0.2                     |
| NBR-CBS              | 2.41±0.27              | 272.9±34.3              | 2.9±0.1                     |
| NBR-S donor          | 2.70±0.40              | 453.6±65.1              | 2.1±0.1                     |
| TPU/NBR-DCP          | 6.40±0.37              | 286.2±15.6              | 13.2±0.8                    |
| TPU/NBR-ZDEC         | 3.50±0.24              | 225.1±19.5              | 12.6±0.5                    |
| TPU/NBR-CBS          | 6.70±0.21              | 460.6±16.3              | 12.4±0.3                    |
| TPU/NBR-S donor      | 2.16±0.06              | 107.9±9.1               | 14.9±0.4                    |
The cross-linked rubber islands reduced the compression set at elevated temperatures of the TPVs (Table 7). Consistent with the mechanical properties, the TPU/NBR-S donor sample has the weakest compression set properties. Some of these samples burst under the applied pressure.

**Fig. 9** DMA result of the TPU10HD matrix and the related TPVs

The cross-linked rubber islands reduced the compression set at elevated temperatures of the TPVs (Table 7). Consistent with the mechanical properties, the TPU/NBR-S donor sample has the weakest compression set properties. Some of these samples burst under the applied pressure.

**Table 7 Tear strength, Shore A hardness and compression set of the TPU matrix and the TPVs**

| Sample           | Tear strength (kN/m) | Hardness (Shore A) | Compression set (%) |
|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| TPU10HD          | 38.06 ± 1.57         | 81 ± 1             | 74 ± 2             |
| TPU/NBR-DCP      | 15.67 ± 0.69         | 69 ± 0             | 63 ± 4             |
| TPU/NBR-ZDEC     | 18.41 ± 1.41         | 69 ± 1             | 63 ± 4             |
| TPU/NBR-CBS      | 18.57 ± 0.23         | 69 ± 1             | 63 ± 4             |
| TPU/NBR-S donor  | 10.02 ± 1.32         | 66 ± 1             | 75 ± 4             |

**Table 8 The glass transition temperatures ($T_g$ soft and $T_g$ rubber) and the room temperature storage modulus ($E'_{20 \text{°C}}$) of TPVs**

| Sample           | $T_g$ soft (°C) | $T_g$ rubber (°C) | $E'_{20 \text{°C}}$ (MPa) |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| TPU10HD          | -47.7          | —                | 46.9                     |
| TPU/NBR-DCP      | -45.1          | -9.1             | 21.3                     |
| TPU/NBR-ZDEC     | -46.5          | -8.8             | 23.4                     |
| TPU/NBR-CBS      | -48.0          | -11.3            | 20.6                     |
| TPU/NBR-S donor  | -48.8          | -17.5            | 21.5                     |

**Fig. 10** The a TG and b DTG curves of the TPU10HD matrix and the TPVs

**Dynamical mechanical analysis of TPVs**

There are two glass transitions on the storage modulus and tanδ curves of TPVs due to the incompatibility of the two phases (Fig. 9). The first – with lower intensity – belongs to the soft segment of the TPU, while the second belongs to the rubber phase. The rubber mixture reduced the room temperature storage modulus of the TPVs by approximately half compared to the matrix TPU (Table 8).

**Table 9 The result of the TGA test**

| Sample           | $T_{5\%}$ (°C) | $T_{50\%}$ (°C) | $T_{\text{max}}$ (°C) |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
|                  | Step 1         | Step 2          |                       |
| TPU10HD          | 285.9          | 347.7           | 332.1                 | 366.3                 |
| TPU/NBR-DCP      | 291.3          | 410.8           | 321.8                 | 427.6                 |
| TPU/NBR-ZDEC     | 286.4          | 403.2           | 321.4                 | 404.0                 |
| TPU/NBR-CBS      | 286.0          | 410.0           | 301.1                 | 423.3                 |
| TPU/NBR-S donor  | 279.7          | 410.5           | 311.6                 | 424.7                 |

**Springer**
The thermal stability of the TPU matrix and the TPVs was investigated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). As shown in Fig. 10 and in Table 9, TPU decomposes in two steps. The first step involves the decomposition of the urethane groups, while the second step is the result of the decomposition of the carbon chains [35, 36]. Two decomposition steps can also be observed for TPVs. The first one belongs to thermoplastic polyurethane, while the second

Fig. 11 SEM images of the cryo-fractured surfaces of a TPU10HD, b TPU/NBR-DCP, c TPU/NBR-ZDEC, d TPU/NBR-CBS, and e TPU/NBR-S donor
intense peak is the result of a superposition of the decomposition of TPU components and NBR phase.

The samples have similar decomposition temperatures ($T_{5\%}$, the temperature at which the weight loss is 5%). The $T_{5\%}$ value of the sample containing dicumyl peroxide is slightly higher than the others, since cross-linking may have occurred at the boundary of the phases in the TPU phase. In contrast, the sample TPU/NBR-S donor, has lower $T_{5\%}$ than the others. This is consistent with the low torque measured in the internal mixer, as well as the poor mechanical properties.

Due to the rubber phase, the TPV samples have higher $T_{50\%}$ temperature than the matrix polyurethane. TPU/NBR-ZDEC has slightly lower $T_{50\%}$ temperature due to the polysulfide bridges in the rubber.

The decomposition peak of the matrix ($T_{\text{max}}$, Step 1) is slightly shifted towards lower temperatures in TPVs, suggesting that the molecular weight of TPU is lower than in pure TPU.

**Morphology of TPVs**

On the cryo-fractured surface of the TPVs, the rubber “islands” dispersed in the TPU matrix are well observed (Fig. 11b-e). Their size is in the range of a few microns. There are no sharp grain boundaries on the surface of the TPU/NBR-DCP sample (Fig. 11b), because the dicumyl peroxide can form covalent bonds within the rubber phase and between the two phases. As a result, a thin layer of TPU remains on the surface of the rubber particles. In the TPU/NBR-ZDEC sample (Fig. 11c), inhomogeneous particles are observed in the SEM image. In this case, rubber particles of visible size were formed in the TPU matrix. On the cryo-fractured surface of the TPU/NBR-CBS TPV (Fig. 11d), many tiny grains and holes are observed. On the surface of the TPU/NBR-S donor (Fig. 11e), more holes can be observed in addition to the particles. It suggests that only a weak interfacial bond developed between the matrix and the rubber phase. It may be the reason for its poor mechanical properties.

**Conclusions**

The aim of our research was to prepare thermoplastic vulcanizates with an in situ synthesized TPU matrix and with acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber mixtures with different vulcanization systems. We obtained the TPU matrix with the best properties from the reaction of the Suprasec 2008 prepolymer containing 10% isocyanate and 1,6-hexanediol as a chain extender. Based on the DMA result, the isocyanate content of the prepolymer has a significant effect on the thermomechanical curves of TPUs. As the isocyanate content increases, the intensity of the glass transition of the soft segment decreases, and then the $T_g$ of the hard segment becomes decisive. The non-isothermal vulcanization test confirmed the successful dynamic vulcanization of the rubber phase. The SEM images of the cryo-fractured TPVs clearly show the dispersed rubber particles. TPVs have two glass transition temperatures due to the immiscibility of the two phases. Based on the mechanical properties, in the case of TPV production, the rubber mixture must have a longer induction period so that the rubber phase can break up before vulcanization occurs. The rubber islands reduced the compression set of TPVs at elevated temperatures compared to the TPU matrix. To achieve better mechanical properties, we need to reinforce the rubber phase with active fillers and further improve the relationship between the two phases.
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