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Abstract: The insulin-like growth factor pathway, regulated by a complex interplay of growth factors, cognate receptors, and binding proteins, is critically important for many of the hallmarks of cancer such as oncogenesis, cell division, growth, and antineoplastic resistance. Naturally, a number of clinical trials have sought to directly abrogate insulin-like growth factor receptor 1 (IGF-1R) function and/or indirectly mitigate its downstream mediators such as mTOR, PI3K, MAPK, and others under the assumption that such therapeutic interventions would provide clinical benefit, demonstrable by impaired tumor growth as well as prolonged progression-free and overall survival for patients. Though a small subset of patients enrolled within phase I or II clinical trials revealed dramatic clinical response to IGF-1R targeted therapies (most using monoclonal antibodies to IGF-1R), in toto, the anticancer effect has been underwhelming and unsustained, as even those with marked clinical responses seem to rapidly acquire resistance to IGF-1R targeted agents when used alone through yet to be identified mechanisms. As the IGF-1R receptor is just one of many that converge upon common intracellular signaling cascades, it is likely that
effective IGF-1R targeting must occur in parallel with blockade of redundant signaling paths. Herein, we present the rationale for dual targeting of IGF-1R and other signaling molecules as an effective strategy to combat acquired drug resistance by carcinomas and sarcomas.
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1. Introduction

Since 1957, IGF-1 and IGF-2 (historically referred to as somatomedins A and C, respectively) were identified as second messengers of growth hormone (GH) capable of promoting insulin-like anabolic effects upon normal somatic tissues such as skeletal muscle and bone [1]. Since then, they and their cognate receptors have been demonstrated to affect a diverse range of cancers by facilitating malignant transformation, altering cell differentiation, and promoting cancer growth, metastasis, and chemotherapy resistance. However, only within the last decade have physicians had at their disposal both a host of clinically relevant anti-IGF-1R targeted therapies (small molecules and antibody-based inhibitors against IGF-1R or IGF-1) and a number of diverse high throughput technology platforms capable of readily teasing apart the multifaceted pharmacodynamic effects exerted by such IGF-1R antagonism.

Whilst a number of excellent reviews have thoroughly discussed the impact of IGF-1R stimulation upon normal and malignant cells [2-7], or highlighted the myriad therapeutic options under preclinical and clinical investigation, few have concisely narrowed the focus to the complex interplay that exists between IGF-1R and a host of redundant signaling pathways (e.g., integrins, her2/neu, EGFR) potentially responsible for both de novo and acquired resistance to IGF-1R and its downstream targets. Following a necessarily brief summary of the IGF-1R family and its cancer promoting effects, the crux of this review has been dedicated to mechanisms of IGF-1R resistance and dual-targeted strategies aimed at circumventing them. We conclude by drawing upon the success of other targeted therapies (such as trastuzumab or imatinib) and suggest a rational path forward in IGF-1R centric trial design that integrates pharmacodynamic biomarkers to improve patient selection for likely responders and enhance our monitoring of the molecular changes induced by IGF-1R targeting.

2. IGF-1R Receptor Family

2.1. IR and IGF-1R Receptors

Three receptors (Insulin receptor, IGF-1R and IGF-2R), their respective ligands (insulin, IGF-1, and IGF-2), and six IGF binding proteins (IGFBP1-6) comprise a phylogenetically well-conserved signaling family that strongly influences anabolic and metabolic control over both physiologic and aberrant cellular processes. First identified, and more closely linked to metabolism, the insulin receptor (IR) is a hetero-tetrameric receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) composed of two identical heterodimers forged from α- and β-chains linked by covalent disulfide bonding. Two isoforms exist; isoform A, which lacks the twelve amino-acids coded by exon 11 within the carboxy-terminus of the α-subunit
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(residues 717-729), or Isoform B that contains the replete amino-acid sequence [8]. A close structural analog of the IR, IGF-1R has nearly 61% overall homology to the insulin receptor, is 84% homologous at the kinase domains, and nearly identical within the ATP-binding pocket [9-11]. The IGF-2R lacks a functional intracellular β-chain but may inversely affect IGF-1R signaling by sequestering IGF-2, a less potent IGF-1R binding partner.

For both the IR and IGF-1R, cleavage of the pro-receptor spawns a 130-kDa α-chain and a 90-kDa β-chain. They are joined within the cytoplasm, glycosylated, and folded under the direction of calnexin and calreticulin (both chaperones) then, as ‘half-receptors’, migrate to the plasma membrane where they float freely, able to interact with themselves or, rarely, with other RTK. Adding a layer of complexity to the IGF-1R pathway, the α- and β-isoforms of IR may associate with the IGF-1R half-receptor to form functionally active hybrid receptors (hybrid-R), mainly when IR and IGF-1R are heavily expressed or imbalanced in their expression, as would be expected to occur following sequential internalization and down-regulation induced by a number of IGF-1R-targeted therapeutic antibodies now undergoing clinical investigation. Under these circumstances, such hybrid receptors can become the predominant vehicle by which IGF-1, IGF-2, and, to lesser extent insulin, exert their oncogenic effects. As will be discussed later, though most drug candidates targeting the IGF-1R pathway were selected for their ability to preferentially inhibit IGF-1R rather than IR (out of concern regarding the perceived and sometimes real risk of hyperglycemia), less stringent binding to also include the hybrid receptors is increasingly considered of potential value.

X-ray crystallography has solved the three-dimensional structure of both IR [12] and IGF-1R [13,14] in both their unphosphorylated (inactive) and phosphorylated states. The ligand-binding α-domains of IR and IGF-1R are purely extracellular, whereas the β-domain includes extracellular, transmembrane, and intracellular segments – the latter is comprised of a kinase domain and two regulatory regions. The more proximal juxta-membrane regulatory region serves as a docking site for adaptor proteins such as IRS-1 and SHC, whereas a distal one includes an activation loop capable of acting as an autoinhibitory pseudosubstrate that blocks the tyrosine-binding site in the inactive, closed confirmation until trans-phosphorylation occurs. The exact mechanism of ligand-induced trans-phosphorylation of the adjoined α-β IGF-1R heterodimer is unclear, but is thought to occur through receptor oligomerization or altered conformational change of pre-coupled heterodimers [15,16].

2.2. Ligands and IGF-Binding Proteins

As opposed to physiological levels of insulin (0.5 nmol/L), secreted by the pancreatic β-cells, circulating IGF-1 (20 nmol/L) and IGF-2 (90 nmol/L) can be produced by the liver (under the influence of growth hormone), by malignant tissues themselves, or by their associated stroma; thus, they may stimulate cancers through endocrine, autocrine, or paracrine effects, respectively [17-20]. IGF-2 is frequently over-expressed by normal and cancerous tissues due to a loss of imprinting. Modulating ligand bioactivity, the six IGFBPs generally act to intercede with ligand-receptor binding. Whereas IGFBP3 provides the greatest IGF-1 binding and serves to prolong its serum half-life, IGFBP2 and IGFBP5 can rarely have the opposite effect, possibly by enhancing ligand distribution and release into neoplastic tissues. Though early attempts to suppress circulating IGF-1 (using hormonal agents such as octreotide or IGFBP-mimetics) failed, nascent approaches have been more promising.
2.3. Downstream Signaling

Unlike other tyrosine kinase receptors, like her2/neu for example, gene amplification and/or mutations in the IGF-1R gene are distinctly uncommon, occurring in less than ten percent of breast cancers [21] and rarely in pediatric wild-type gastrointestinal stromal tumors [22], pancreatic adenocarcinomas [23], and Wilms’ tumors [24]. Constitutive activation of the IGF-1R receptor also appears infrequently [25]. Instead, enhanced IGF-1R signaling generally occurs through greater ligand binding and/or increased IGF-1R expression followed by secondary propagation through two principal pathways, the mitogen-activation protein kinase pathway (MAPK) and the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3-K)/Akt/mTOR pathway (extensively reviewed elsewhere) [26-29].

In greater depth, as shown in Figure 1, this process begins when IGF-1 or IGF-2 (albeit with reduced affinity) binds to IGF-1R or the IGF-1R/IR hybrid receptors, resulting in trans-phosphorylation of the IGF-1R tyrosine kinase domain and other critical sites. Secondary phosphorylation of IGF-1R at amino acid 950 results in enhanced interaction with the phosphotyrosine binding (PTB) site of IRS-1 principally, but also IRS-2, which themselves becomes tyrosine-phosphorylated; IRS-1/2 may occasionally become constitutively phosphorylated independent of IGF-1R [30]. Adaptor proteins (such as Shc, Grb2, CrkII, CrkL, Sos) may bind to one of eighteen unique Src homology 2 (SH2) or PTB binding sites on IRS-1 and, thereby, stimulate the sequential activation of Ras, Raf, MEK1/2 and ERK1/2 along the MAPK cascade. Alternatively, IGF-1R-mediated activation of IRS-1/2 can recruit PI3K to the plasma membrane, where it catalyzes the conversion from PIP2 to PIP3. PIP3 in turn activates 3-phosphoinositide-dependant protein kinase 1 (PDK1) and downstream Akt. Finally, Akt, through inhibition of tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2), which regulates Rheb, mTOR becomes activated. A recent report indicates PDK1 can be tyrosine phosphorylated by direct IGF-1R binding [31]. As is readily apparent, one implication of the lengthy and often tortuous nonlinear path from proximal IGF-1R signaling to final activation of MAPK and/or mTOR is that neoplastic cells have ample means to maintain downstream IGF-1R signaling despite well-intentioned IGF-1R targeting.

3. IGF-1R and Cancer: Pathway Signaling & Single-agent Targeted Therapy

Given the capacity of IGF-1R to initiate both normal and pathological signaling cascades, IGF-1R and its downstream mediators have, naturally, been widely implicated in contributing to various malignancies and been investigated as potential therapeutic targets now for more than two decades. High IGF-1 levels have been found in several sarcoma subtypes [32,33] and IGF-1R overexpression in breast, lung, prostate, or colon cancer has been shown to accelerate cancer progression [34-37] and enable anchorage-independent growth [17]. Conversely, congenital syndromes resulting in IGF-1 or growth hormone deficiency likely confer protective effects [38,39].
Figure 1. Key proteins and phosphorylation states necessary for IGF-1R signaling, shown schematically (A) and with full description of each protein’s potential effect (B). Although multiple downstream pathways exist, several including MAPK and Akt/mTOR are critical for cell proliferation, differentiation, protein synthesis, cell survival, and metabolism.
The inner workings of the IGF-1R molecular machinery share considerable similarities between carcinomas and sarcomas, both from a myopic view at the level of biologically conserved protein-protein interactions that can be understood with reasonable specificity, and from the higher vantage point of a ‘signaling network’ that can be anticipated to progress, if not deterministically, at least under stochastic rules gleaned from years of scientific scrutiny. Yet, considerable biologically complexity exists not only from one cancer type to the next but also, as is often the case, among asynchronously responding tumors within the same patient.

In addition to the intrinsic differences present within the IGF-1 pathway itself (via altered expression of IGF-1R, its ligand, the IGFBPs, or downstream effectors, for example), such changes only partially explain the varied sensitivity to IGF-1 oriented therapies. Extrinsic differences independent of the IGF-1R pathway, such as her2/neu or EGFR activation for breast or lung cancer, respectively, likely play a larger role since those pathways often serve as the primary regulator of their malignant phenotype. Under that scenario, the IGF-1 pathway would theoretically be redundant, and largely quiescent, until the primary pathway becomes impaired, either spontaneously or secondary to therapeutic intervention. Ultimately, then, the importance of IGF-1 signaling can vary tremendously across cancer types and even temporally within a patient’s tumor if selective pressure is applied through therapeutic targeting.

### 3.1. Carcinomas

Among carcinomas, IGF-1R targeting has been most widely evaluated in breast, lung, colon, and pancreatic cancer and numerous reviews have outlined its impact, or absence thereof, in detail elsewhere. Therefore, only limited information is summarized below to illustrate how dual targeted therapy could likely prove beneficial, given the multifaceted interaction between the IGF-1R pathway and others that converge upon MAPK or mTOR.

#### 3.1.1. Breast Cancer

High IGF-1R expression has been observed in breast cancer cell lines and human tissue specimens, leading to increased activity of this pathway [40]. Just as hybrid IGF-1R/IR receptors may occur, IGF-1R may pair with ErbB2 or EGFR to form functional hybrid receptors capable of enhancing downstream mediators of survival and proliferation (cyclins, E2F4, survivin, HIF1a, Bcl2, Bax, c-Myc, etc.) [27,41,42]. IGF-1R over-expression has been associated with resistance to trastuzumab and pertuzumab therapies in vitro [43-45] and its inhibition, via IGF-1R targeted small interfering RNA (siRNA) or tyrosine kinase inhibitors (like NVP-AEW541) appears to counteract those resistance mechanisms [46,47]. Thus, there is a strong rational for dual targeting of IGF-1R and ErbB2 or EGFR in breast or other carcinomas such as lung cancer [48].

#### 3.1.2. Prostate Cancer

Like breast cancer, prostate cancers are often controlled by several regulatory effects of growth factors such as IGF-1, EGF, FGF-β, and KGF [49]. Antibody-mediated inhibition of IGF-1R can result in significant inhibition of tumor growth in both androgen independent and dependent xenograft
models [50,51] and IGF-1R/EGFR crosstalk has been associated with resistance to gefitinib in the well-characterized DU145 prostate cancer cell line. Numerous studies have shown that dual inhibition of IGF-1R and EGFR can be synergistic in moderating growth and migration of prostate cancer [43], lung cancer [52-54], and colorectal cancer [55,56].

3.1.3. Colon Cancer

Expression of IGF-1R by immunohistochemistry has been found in more than half of colon cancer specimens [57] or cell lines [58], and its affect upon colon cancer oncogenesis and progression has been observed in a number of preclinical models [59]. However, the clinical effects of IGF-1R signaling remain less certain. Whereas a prospective study by Ma et al. associated high levels of IGF-1, and low IGFBP3, with an increased risk of colon cancer, this same group later reported no associated link between IGF-1 and patients at high risk of acquiring colon cancer [60,61]. Furthermore, among patients treated for nonmetastatic colorectal cancer, neither IGF-1 nor IGFBP3 expression affected mortality rates. Finally, in a randomized phase II trial of IMC-A12 used alone or in combination with cetuximab in patients with advanced colorectal cancer, none of the twenty-three patients treated with the single-agent IGF-1R antibody responded [62]. Therefore, IGF-1R directed therapy likely offers little if any benefit for this cancer type.

3.1.4. Pancreatic Cancer

The IGF-1R signaling cascade has been implicated in the development and progression of pancreatic cancer [63-66], and naturally, both preclinical [25,67-70] and clinical studies directed at this pathway have been initiated. For example, one clinical trial that targets EGFR and IGF-1R in combination with gemcitabine (NCT00617708) has recently closed, while a similar trial at our institution (using a different IGF-1R directed antibody) is still ongoing (NCT00769483). Another, assessing a single-agent IGF-1R antibody in local unresectable or metastatic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors remains open as well (NCT01024387). Though it is too early to judge of the efficacy of IGF-1R therapies, alone or in combination with other targeted agents in pancreatic cancer, preliminary results suggest they’re relatively well tolerated even in patients with advanced cancer stage.

3.1.5. Lung Cancer

Deregulation of IGF signaling has been described in both non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and SCLC [71,72]. Furthermore, elevated plasma levels of IGF-1 have been associated with an increased risk of lung cancer and high plasma levels of IGFBP3 have been associated with a reduced risk, although results from a meta-analysis did not recapitulate this association [73-75]. Among several IGF-1R single-agent antibodies in various stages of clinical development, figitumumab has been tested most extensively [76,77], however, recent phase III trials of figitumumab were terminated due to an apparent imbalance of serious adverse events and excess mortality in the experimental arm. As might be expected, there are several ongoing clinical trials combining IGF-1R targeted antibodies with traditional cytotoxics or EGFR inhibitors such as erlotinib in NSCLC patients. A phase II randomized
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trial, called IMPACT, is recruiting patients affected by advanced non-squamous NSCLC to receive cisplatin plus pemetrexed with or without weekly MK-0646 as first-line therapy [78].

As we have learned from EGFR inhibitors, the challenge for IGF-1R inhibitors will consequently correspond to how we optimally select patients who could benefit most from these agents. Interestingly, IGF-1R overexpression has been shown in squamous cell carcinoma and recent studies suggested that it could serve as a predictive biomarker of response to anti-IGF-1R antibody, such as R1507 [54] or figitumumab [79]. Based on a quantitative immunohistochemical analysis of patients sample from the phase II trial of figitumumab [77], the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) status of cancer might be a candidate biomarker of response rate to the combination of chemotherapy and figitumumab [79], which needs further elucidation. Although these studies were not designed to investigate the activity according to NSCLC histologies or EMT status, these results suggest rational strategy to enrich for lung cancer patients that might benefit from treatment with anti-IGF-1R antibodies. Furthermore, EGFR and K-ras mutations have been implicated as biomarkers for selecting patients in IGF-1R TKI-based therapy for NSCLC patients (Kim WY et al., AACR 2010 Annual Meeting, Abstract # 4127). In this study, introduction of mutant K-Ras induced IGF-1R TKI resistance, while a knockout of mutant K-Ras restored the sensitivity in in vitro and in vivo models. These findings emphasize the need to produce more robust preclinical, early clinical and translational data to be successful in larger randomized trials.

3.2. Sarcomas

Since more than fifty sarcomas subtypes exist, each clinically and molecularly distinct from one another and often driven by unique pathognomonic genomic translocations, the effects of IGF-1R signaling are naturally varied and subtype specific. Hirschfeld and Helman first described a role for IGF-1R in tumor promotion of pediatric solid tumors including osteosarcoma and Ewing’s sarcoma (EWS), and since then, preclinical studies have confirmed that IGF-1R autocrine signaling is important for not only their pathogenesis but for a number of soft-tissue sarcomas as well [32].

With respect to EWS, the major focus of our laboratory, the ubiquitously expressed IGF-1R receptor works in concert with the most common EWS oncogenic fusion protein (EWS-FLI-1) to promote tumorigenesis. IGF-1R activation is required for EWS-FLI-1 induced malignant transformation of murine fibroblasts [80] and, when transfected in mouse progenitor cells, the EWS-FLI-1 fusion protein (but not native FLI-1 or ERG) is reported to induce a nearly nine-fold increase in IGF-1 expression – directly linking the most common EWS translocation to IGF-1 autocrine signaling [81]. Furthermore, upon binding of the EWS/FLI-1 fusion protein to the insulin like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP-3) promoter, IGFBP-3 transcriptional activity is reduced, free IGFBP-3 decreases, and more IGF-I ligand is available for ligand-induced activation of the ubiquitous IGF-1R [10,82,83]. Thus, autocrine loops may occur both through up-regulation of total IGF-1 and increased availability for IGF-1R binding. A final auto-stimulatory circuit occurs via up-regulation of IGF-1R itself, as is the case of desmoplastic small round cell tumors (DSCRT), an even rarer sarcoma subtype the bares substantial molecular and clinical similarities to EWS. For DSRCT, the EWS-WT1 fusion protein has been reported to increase IGF-1R promoter activity by 3.4-fold.
Although a mouse monoclonal antibody (αIR-3) was first shown to be effective against *in vivo* rhabdomyosarcoma in 1986, translation to the clinic was slow, secondary to human-anti mouse Ab formation. Small molecule targeting of IGF-1R had different challenges, related to the close homology between IGF-1R and IR in the TK domains. Using a class of fully humanized anti-IGF-1R Ab, made possible through recombinant technologies, unexpectedly high clinical response rates for sarcoma subtypes (specifically EWS and osteosarcoma) have renewed academic interests in IGF-1R targeted therapies and, consequently, nearly a dozen phase I/II trials are currently underway evaluating IGF-1R targeted monoclonal antibodies (mAb) or small molecules for the treatment of EWS [84,85]. Preclinical evidence suggests that IGF-1R signaling is likely to be vital for soft-tissue sarcomas as well. As stated previously, although *IGF1R* mutations are distinctly uncommon in tumors, genetic polymorphisms exist in genes that encode IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 [27]. Elevated IGF-1R expression has been linked to *IGF1R* amplification, which infrequently occurs in wild-type (WT) gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) that lack prototypical gain-of-function c-kit receptor mutations [22], but overexpression is the norm in pediatric WT GISTs even in the absence of such amplification events [86]. It’s still too early to predict from ongoing clinical trials which sarcoma subtypes will ultimately benefit the most from IGF-1R targeting, as unexpected clinical responses have occurred in several diverse subtypes (e.g., solitary fibrous tumors, liposarcoma, and others).

In preclinical animal models using antibodies to IGF-1R, no antibody dependent cellular toxicity (ADCC) or cross-reactivity to the insulin receptor has been observed. Though still presented only in abstract form at ASCO, the preliminary results of the SARC-11 trial (a multicenter, open-label, multi-arm, phase II study of R1507 for the treatment of patients with recurrent or metastatic, drug-refractory EWS and selected other sarcomas) were disappointing; this trial, and two smaller ones, exhibited treatment responses less than 9%. Interestingly, nearly one-third of patients exhibited early treatment response after 9–14 days (as assessed by PET/CT in several trials) but the vast majority of such responders rapidly progressed thereafter, presumably due to acquired resistance. Although in most cases the early imaging findings were not used as metrics of clinical response, they nevertheless point to a much higher, albeit brief, signal of activity that could prove clinically meaningful if acquired resistance mechanisms can be identified and thwarted.

For a description of binding specificities for IGF-1R, IR, and/or hybrid receptors [87] and comprehensive review of the dozen or more IGF-1R-targeted single-agent antibodies or small molecules now in preclinical development or early phase clinical trials, one may refer to a number of excellent reviews highlighting their possible therapeutic value for cancer in general [2,3,7,20,88-90], and sarcoma [6,33,91] or carcinoma [5,59,92] in particular.

### 4. Resistance Mechanisms to IGF-1R-targeted Therapy

Notwithstanding a clear benefit observed in a small subset of patients treated with single-agent IGF-1R antagonists, enthusiasm for single-agent IGF-1R targeting has waned and most active or developing clinical trials have evolved to use IGF-1R-targeted therapies together with others that surmount anticipated mechanisms of resistance. Of course the resistance mechanisms themselves have only partially been enumerated and, as discussed previously, they likely vary from one cancer type to another, subject to the predominant oncogenic driver (Table 1).
Table 1. Mechanisms of resistance to IGF-1R-targeted therapy.

| Pathway/ Biomarker | Cancer Type | Effect | Ongoing Combination | Results |
|--------------------|-------------|--------|----------------------|---------|
|                    | Breast      | Lung   | Colorectal           | Pancreas| Prostate | Sarcoma | In vitro | Xenograft | Clinical |
| IR                 | [130-132]   | [130]  |                      | [130]   |          |         | Yes       | [132,133] | [132,133] |
|                    |             |        |                      |         |          |         | Crosstalk between IGF-1R and IR can provide signaling to IGF-1R cascade. | |
| IGFBPs             | [134]       | [135]  | [135]                |         |          |         | No        | [134,135] |         |
|                    |             |        |                      |         |          |         | Interferes in interaction between IGF ligands and receptor. | |
| HER-2              | [44,136]    |        | [70,137,138]         | [43,50,138] | [130] |         | Yes       | [44-47, 139] | [137,140] | [44,139] |
|                    |             |        |                      |         |          |         | Crosstalk signaling and alternative pathway | |
| HSP90              | [130,141, 142] | [95,142] |                  |         |          |         | Yes       | [142] | [142] |
|                    |             |        |                      |         |          |         | Stabilizing IGF-1R and downstream effector proteins | |
| EGFR               | [114]       | [49,50,114, 120] | [107-109, 114] | [37,44,114] |         |         | Yes       | [45,48,52, 55,143] | [48,50,52, 55,143] | [144] |
|                    |             |        |                      |         |          |         | Crosstalk signaling and alternative pathway | |
| mTOR               |             | [34,114, 124] |                      |         |          |         | Yes       | [27,143, 145] | [27,143, 145] | [116] |
| FAK                |             | [106]  |                      |         |          |         | Interaction of FAK and IGF-1R through theirs N-terminal domains | No | [146-149] |
Putative mechanisms of resistance may be conceptually grouped by two broad categories:

1. Primary independence from IGF-1R activation, presumably through myriad pathways that bypass IGF-1R (i.e., upstream plasma membrane bound receptors including alternative RTKs and hybrid receptor combinations that also activate Grb2, Sos, or IRS-1) or downstream molecules capable of intrinsic self-activation of MAPK and Akt/mTOR.

2. Direct counterregulatory effects within the IGF-1R system, including up-regulated expression or phosphorylation of IGF-1R, increased expression or availability of ligands, and altered modulation by IGFBPs.

With respect to the first category or resistance, cross talk via alternative RTK or non-receptor transmembrane signalers (such as integrins) could potentially bypass the need for IGF-1R signaling. In addition to EGFR, PDGF-β [93], NGF-R [10], and HER2 expression [94], some sarcomas have been shown to express c-kit [93,94]. Imatinib-induced shutdown of c-kit receptor phosphorylation leads to a 20–30% reduction in EWS cell proliferation and suppressed tumor growth in xenograft models, albeit at doses 20-fold higher than that used for treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (18–22 µM) [93,95]. Used alone, less than 5% of EWS patients achieve a partial response to single-agent imatinib (440 mg/m²/day) [96]. Dasatinib, a multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) of c-kit and PDGF-β has also shown activity, again at high concentrations [97]. Given the partial overlap IGF-1R antagonists and of the c-kit or PDGF-β TKIs (which predominately suppress MAPK), one may hypothesize that c-kit or PDGF-β up-regulation is a potential mechanism of IGF-1R resistance. The synergy observed in vitro between small molecule antagonists of the IGF-1R (such as NVP-ADW742 or NVP-AEW541) and imatinib, through apoptotic mechanisms, supports this hypothesis although, to our knowledge, secondary up-regulation of those receptors in IGF-1R-resistant cells has yet to be shown [98].

Other receptors, including the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR-2), and rearranged in transformation (RET) kinase receptor have been evaluated and another, macrophage-stimulating 1 receptor tyrosine kinase (MST1R) has just recently been identified as potential means to induce IGF-1R-independent stimulation [99,100]. Though gefitinib (an EGFR kinase inhibitor) and vandetanib (an inhibitor of VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3, and RET kinase) inhibited EWS growth at high concentrations (greater than 5 µM), nonspecific effects were suspected since the phosphorylation state of MAPK and Akt were unchanged. Scotlandi et al. has reported HER2 expression in 16% of EWS specimens, however gene amplification was absent and little antiproliferative response to trastuzumab (Herceptin) was observed [94]. In summary, of the experience of non-IGF-1R tyrosine kinase inhibitors for EWS treatment, none has significant single-agent activity in the setting of functional IGF-1R. This does not, of course, rule out their role in IGF-1R-resistant tumors; the additive and/or synergistic effects reported in combination with either of the Novartis’s pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine derivatives or Bristol Myers Squibb’s pyrrolecarboxaldehydes (BMS-554417 or BMS-536924), in fact, suggests compensatory signaling could occur under IGF-1R-null conditions, as has been recently reported by Helman [101]. Adding a layer of complexity, since insulin and IGF-1 half-receptors have been reported to form heterodimers with members of the EGFR family in lung cancer, this adds another layer of complexity in assessing TKI-mediated resistance [72].

Regarding the second category of IGF-1R resistance, complex counterregulatory loops in the IGF-1R autocrine circuit, including the receptors, ligands, and binding-proteins, may be involved. One
such example is the autoregulatory loop between Mdm2 and p53. Froment et al. have reported that Mdm2, a protein antagonist of p53, can physically bind IGF-1R and target it for ubiquitination-induced degradation independent of p53 [102,103]. Interestingly, whereas wild type-p53 down-regulates transcription of IGF-1R at the promoter level, mutant p53 induced the opposite effect in osteosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma cells. Since p53 mutations are observed in less than 5% of EWS primary tumors [104], it remains to be determined whether mutant-p53-induced up-regulation of IGF-1R exists as a resistance mechanism for IGF-1R targeted therapy.

The level or activation status of members of the IGF-1R family may affect resistance. Since neither mutation nor amplification is common, this is not the most likely contributor to antibody resistance. Though not yet confirmed to be prognostic in EWS, high levels of IGF-1R appear to confer sensitivity in rhabdomyosarcoma, and may serve as a valid prognostic biomarker for that cancer [105]. Low levels of IGF-1R may, conversely, confer resistance in at least two ways: (1) IGF-1R-low-expressing cells would theoretically be less reliant or ‘addicted’ upon IGF-1R for growth and; (2) targeted therapies generally requires a paired target for effectiveness [106]. Paradoxically, high IGF-1R levels, when stabilized by Heat Shock Protein-90 (HSP90; a chaperone protein that helps maintain stability, renature unfolded proteins, or targeted their degradation), may also confer at least short-term resistance as hypothesized by Martins et al. [95]. In evaluating why HSP-90 was transiently elevated in ADW742-resistant A673 EWS cells, it was suggested that client-protein stabilization of activated IGF-1R or and Akt by HSP90, maintained downstream signaling of the Akt/mTOR pathway.

In the most recent and comprehensive report of IGF-1R resistance mechanisms to use genetic and proteomic profiling, Helman compared BMS-536924-resistant sarcoma and neuroblastoma cells to sensitive ones, thereby identifying gene and protein subsets that significantly correlated with de novo drug sensitivity. Although members of the IGF-1R family did not reach statistical significance for a priori inclusion within those subgroups, high IGF-1R, IGF-1, or IGF-2 levels portended sensitivity whereas elevated IGFBPs 3 and 6 were higher in resistant cell lines. Unexpectedly, the combination of IGF-1 and IGF-2 into a single model was better than either used alone in predicting response, suggesting an active role for both ligands in IGF-1R signaling. While an IGF-2-mediated effect may not be intuitive, since IGF-2 has twenty-fold less affinity for IGF-1R, it has recently been reported that malignancies can shift their reliance from the paradigmatic IGF-1-stimulated IGF-1R pathway instead to an IGF-2-stimulated one that acts upon the IGF-1R/IR-α hybrid receptor already mentioned [107].

As suggested earlier, given the capacity for tumor-associated stoma to secrete IGF-1 or IGF-2, paracrine loops may also affect the efficacy of IGF-1R targeted therapy. Gorlick, Houghton, and others have reported relative insensitivity to IGF-1R- or mTOR-targeted therapies in vitro compared to xenografts models of similar tumor types, supporting our hypothesis that extracellular mechanisms of resistance are important [108]. Since tumor regrowth (after initial response) is a near universal occurrence in xenograft models (reportedly with continued IGF-1R downregulation and maintained p-Akt) [108] and patients treated with single-agent IGF-1R targeted therapies to date, a fresh approach must seek to obviate not only IGF-1R signaling but also the cancer type-specific resistance mechanism(s) as well.

Although many of the IGF-1R resistance mechanisms described above pertain to sarcomas, major mechanisms of resistance can be found across the spectrum of diverse cancer types. At other times, the
mechanism(s) of resistance are unique and specific to the individual features that distinguish one cancer from another, as identified for the most common cancer types within Table 1.

5. Combating IGF-1R Resistance: Dual Targeting and Beyond

Even before the precise mechanisms of single-agent IGF-1R success, and in some cases failure, are thoroughly scrutinized, a limited number of preclinical studies and mostly early phase clinical trials have begun to assess the safety and efficacy of dual targeting of IGF-1R and putative secondary targets suspected of enabling acquired IGF-1R resistance (Table 2). Paralleling the defined mechanisms of resistance highlighted above, multi-targeted therapy can target key components intrinsic to the IGF-1R receptor family (the receptors, ligands, or IGFBPs in various combinations) or extrinsic ones.

Table 2. Dual Targeting of IGF-1R and other pathways.

| Impaired Pathways/ Biomarker | Compound          | Potential Target | Potential Drug Type | Cancer Type          | References                  |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| EGFR                         | panitumumab      | IGF-1R           | MAb/TKI             | Colorectal Cancer    | [55,56,143]                |
|                              | cetuximab        | IGF-1R           | MAb/TKI             | Pancreatic Cancer    | [70,137,138]               |
|                              | erlotinib        | IGF-1R           | MAb/TKI             | Colorectal Cancer    | [55,56,143]                |
|                              | gefitinib        | IGF-1R           | MAb/TKI             | Pancreatic Cancer    | [70,137,138,143]           |
|                              |                  | IGF-1R           | MAb                 | Lung cancer          | [143]                      |
|                              |                  | IGF-1R           | TKI                 | Pancreatic Cancer    | [70,137,138]               |
|                              |                  | IGF-1R           | TKI                 | Breast Cancer        | [43,45,48,52,90,131,143,150-153] |
|                              |                  | IGF-1R           | TKI                 | Prostate cancer      | [43,50,143]                |
|                              |                  | IGF-1R           | TKI                 | Colorectal Cancer    | [154]                      |
| HER-2                        | trastuzumab      | IGF-1R           | TKI                 | Breast Cancer        | [44,47,90,131,139,143,150,151] |
|                              | pertuzumab       | IGF-1R           | TKI                 | Breast Cancer        | [44,45,90,131,139,143,150,151] |
| mTOR                         | rapamycin        | IGF-1R           | MAb                 | Breast Cancer        | [27,143,145,155,156]       |
|                              | temsirolimus     | IGF-1R           | MAb                 | Breast Cancer        | [27,143,145,155,156]       |
|                              | temsirolimus     | IGF-1R           | MAb                 | Prostate cancer      | [40,143,157]               |
| FAK                          | FAK-siRNA        | IGF-1R           | MAb/TKI             | Pancreatic Cancer    | [147-149]                  |

An example of intrinsic targeting includes MEDI-573, a dual IGF-1/2 targeted neutralizing antibody that can theoretically prevent activation of both IGF-1R and IGF-1R/IR-A hybrid receptors. Similar in effect, small molecule inhibitors of IR and IGF-1R, such as OSI-906, have generated significant enthusiasm, given anecdotal reports of clinical response [109-111]. Though not in clinical trials, yet another approach combines two antibodies that together target divergent epitopes within the ligand binding sites of IGF-1R [112]. Each of those therapeutic strategies offer to inhibit IGF-1R function while countering the compensatory IR-mediated crosstalk inherent in IR-A and its pairing with IGF-1R [88]. Whereas both IGF-1 and IGF-2 ligands bind and activate IGF-1R and IGF-1R/IR-A hybrid receptors, the additional suppression of IGF-2 may limit unopposed IR-A signaling [113]. Given the increased expression IR-A within neoplastic tissues and preferential affect upon IGF-induced mitogenic signaling, as opposed to IR-B that exerts greater influence upon glucose hemostasis within normal liver, muscle and fat, one could conceivably target just IGF-1R and the
oncogenic IR-A splice variant while minimizing hyperglycemia and untoward side effects associated with down-regulated IR-B. In practice, however, this hypothesis remains to be proven and, to our knowledge, there are no selective IR-A inhibitors. Because elevated levels of plasma IGF-1 and insulin occur as respective feedback mechanisms induced through selective IGF-1R targeting and off-target effects upon IR-B, it may be necessary to target both IGF ligands, insulin, IGF-1R, both hybrid receptor types, and IR-A in unison to have the greatest clinical impact while avoiding the unintended pharmacodynamic consequences. Finally, to the extent insulin can promote IR-A mediated oncogenic effects, one could hypothesize its use for the treatment of iatrogenic hyperglycemia should be avoided in patients harboring IGF-1R driven malignancies when other pharmacological options exist.

Of course a number of preclinical and clinical studies utilize a dual-targeting approach aimed at IGF-1R and extrinsic cascade-initiating RTKs or downstream mediators. Co-targeting c-kit and IGF-1R appears to be synergistic in EWS and small cell lung cancer (SCLC) cells [114]. A novel small molecule inhibitor of the IGF-1R/IR/ALK triad, GSK1838705A, has shown antitumor activity in human tumor models and should help elucidate the relationship of IGF-1R pathway activation in ALK-positive tumors noted within subtypes of NSCLC, lymphoma, and sarcoma [115]. And several phase I/II trials investigating mTOR/IGF-1R co-targeting have just been completed; everolimus/figitumumab [116] and cixutumumab/temsirolimus (Naing, personal communication), and ganitumab/rapamycin is on the horizon [117].

Certainly with respect to cancers of the lung, prostate and colon, which rely in part upon EGFR signaling for tumor growth and survival, dual targeting of IGF-1R and EGFR has garnered much interest, given the fact that reciprocal inhibition of one RTK in epithelial cancers often enhances expression of the other. Bispecific antibodies capable of binding both IGF-1R and EGFR are undergoing investigation [118] and numerous studies have combined IGF-1R targeted therapies with others against EGFR [118-121]. Such RTK crosstalk has also been observed for the human EGF receptor 2 (HER2), the target of trastuzumab in breast cancer, and preclinical studies indicate synergy with dual IGF-1R/HER2 targeting [122,123]. Finally, significant crosstalk between IGF-1R and the androgen receptor in prostate cancers [50,124] or estrogen receptor in breast cancers [125-129] has been observed, though this combination remains to be validated clinically. Of course, many preclinical studies, and some clinical ones, have assessed the role of IGF-1R antagonists in combination with traditional cytotoxic chemotherapy. However, this topic is beyond the scope of this review.

6. Conclusions

After a flourish of clinical trials designed to investigate the role of single-agent IGF-1R targeted therapy, much of the initial optimism has been tempered by the realization that only limited subsets of patients respond and, when they occur, such responses too often are unsustained beyond a few months. Not surprisingly, without significant response rates observed for common cancers (i.e., breast, colon, lung, or prostate cancer), many pharmaceutical companies have ceased, or at a minimum delayed, clinical development of their respective IGF-1R inhibitors.

Though this deceleration in clinical trial implementation will assuredly limit patient access to IGF-1R targeted therapies in the short term, over the longer term it may actually serve a benefit by allowing the necessary preclinical science to be mature before committing a substantial number of
patients to empiric, lengthy, and potentially suboptimal treatment. Drawing upon the lesions learned from other biologically targeted therapies such as trastuzumab, a number of questions must be answered if we are to make significant strides forward. Among just a few are as follows: (a) what predictive biomarkers allow for effective patient enrichment for those most likely to benefit; (b) what pharmacodynamic effects are associated with tumor control, and finally; (c) how should combinatorial therapies be advanced to avoid acquired resistance and maximize response duration. As the scientific community races to find answers, one anticipates in the not too distant future that IGF-1R antagonists will prove an essential weapon in the oncologist’s arsenal to be wielded in unison with other biologically targeted agents.
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