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Abstract: The key objective of the paper is to draw out the association between the quality of services rendered by banks and the satisfaction of the customer in public and private sector banks. To identify the gap of these two factors, gap analysis performed and the finding are disclosed. The study also aims at bringing out the most important attributes of the service quality that distinguish one bank from another. A comparative analysis was performed between the customers of public sector and private sector banks with the factor perceived quality. The SERVQUAL tool is the most effective tool to assess the service quality in banks all over the world. The survey adopted the five dimensions of the service quality (Tangibility, Responsibility, Reliability, Assurance and Empathy) to measure their effect on satisfaction of customer. The structured questionnaire was distributed to 420 customers of different public and private sector banks and preferred 418 completely filled questionnaires, analyzed the data and interpreted the results.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The banking industry in a developing country like India plays a key role in improving the economy. India is a country with population growing greater than that of the world’s population growth. It is estimated that by 2025, India will be the highest populated country in the world. With the growing population, the need for banks where people can save their money is also growing. With the increase in number of banks, the competition also is increasing. The banks, in order to attract more customers, need to know what aspects of a bank the customers like the most. The banks can then concentrate on those aspects more and achieve greater customer appreciation. Our project here helps us to find the different factors which the customers like most and the gap of perceived quality of customer with the services provided by the bank.

Services quality of banks are positively influencing customer satisfaction and directs the banks towards profitability. There are numerous reimbursements to the banking industry through excellent quality of services such as visible corporate image, development in customer gratification - which leads to long relationship, mouth-to-mouth marketing – through which they can grab the market. In competitive and contemporary business trends of banking system, long term customer relationship is a key element to expand the market. In banking industry, SERVQUAL is the most familiar instrument to analyze the quality of services in five dimensions.

The research primarily focuses on the quality of services of bank customers and their satisfaction level through perceived quality and perceived satisfaction. The adopted tool, SERVQUAL, consists of five dimensions, which includes 20 items.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Sudhakar and Selvam (2007), in their research examined thirty four determinants in retail banking sector about service quality scale development in India. Findings of this study is more determinants are correlating with customer satisfaction.

Keerthe and Vijayalakshmi (2009), examined the level of perception of customer with the respondents’ demographic and socio-economic profile on banking services. They found that educational qualifications and work experience have more effect on customer satisfaction.

Balakrishna (2010), viewed that the value of services provided by the banking sector calculated in terms of quality and quantity. The success of banks to be depends up on not only being hi-tech maintenance but also the banks has to stay in touch with customers through betterment of services.

G. Uma Maheswari et.al. (2017) found that there is correlation between service quality and customer satisfaction. This study also examines the effect of service quality elements: Convenience, cost, facilities and social factors; on the level of customer satisfaction in public and private sector banks in India. Administering the gap between actual and perceived opinions will result in high satisfaction levels of customers.

III. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To examine customers’ perceived quality of services provided by public and private sector banks.
2. To elevate the priority of services preferred by the customers’
3. To inspect the difference between the quality of services provided by public and private sector banks
4. To perform the gap analysis between the expected and perceived quality of services from bank in the view of customer

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Parasuraman et al. (1988) questionnaire was adopted to measure the perceived service quality, which comprises into two part, one is expectation and the other is perception, which is of 20 questions each and 40 questions in total. Each part has five dimensions measured on 5 point like scale ranging from 1= “strongly agree” to 5=” strongly disagree”.
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Sources of data: The questionnaire has been administered to 418 people of which 250 are online and the remaining 168 are self-administered.

Sampling: As the survey is expected to gather more responses, the survey is done both online and offline. The sampling techniques used for both are different. For online data collection, Snowball sampling technique is used and for offline method, Snowball sampling technique is used. The survey requires us to use a variety of software tools to complete the project. Some of the tools used are Google Forms for administering questions online, Excel for recording responses and sorting data and SPSS for analysis and testing.

V. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATIONS

Table-1: Demographic Profile of respondents

| Variable          | Category       | Count | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|
| Gender            | Male           | 219   | 52.4%          |
|                   | Female         | 199   | 47.6%          |
|                   | Total          | 418   | 100.0%         |
| Age Groups        | 18-24          | 222   | 53.1%          |
|                   | 25-34          | 122   | 29.2%          |
|                   | 35-44          | 24    | 5.7%           |
|                   | 45-54          | 45    | 10.8%          |
|                   | 55-64          | 5     | 1.2%           |
|                   | Total          | 418   | 100.0%         |
| Educational Qualification | Illiterate     | 3     | .7%            |
|                   | High School    | 15    | 3.6%           |
|                   | Intermediate   | 13    | 3.1%           |
|                   | Graduate       | 243   | 58.1%          |
|                   | Post Graduate  | 143   | 34.2%          |
|                   | Total          | 418   | 100.0%         |
| Marital Status    | Single         | 286   | 68.4%          |
|                   | Married        | 132   | 31.6%          |
|                   | Total          | 418   | 100.0%         |
| Occupation        | Government Employee | 30 | 7.2% |
|                   | Private Employee| 109  | 26.1%          |
|                   | Business       | 14    | 3.3%           |
|                   | Student        | 205   | 49.0%          |
|                   | Self Employed  | 35    | 8.4%           |
|                   | House Wife     | 15    | 3.6%           |
|                   | Farmer         | 6     | 1.4%           |
|                   | Retired        | 4     | 1.0%           |
|                   | Total          | 418   | 100.0%         |
| Type of the Bank  | Public         | 291   | 69.6%          |
|                   | Private        | 127   | 30.4%          |
|                   | Total          | 418   | 100.0%         |
| Duration of Use   | 1-4            | 257   | 61.5%          |
|                   | 5-9            | 115   | 27.5%          |
|                   | 10-14          | 26    | 6.2%           |
|                   | 15-19          | 16    | 3.8%           |
|                   | Above 20       | 4     | 1.0%           |
|                   | Total          | 418   | 100.0%         |

Interpretation: Out of total responses 52% are from male respondents and 48% are from female. According to the data received from the survey, 33% of the male population are using a Public sector bank account and fro the same, women accounts to 36% of the total population. It is observed that 19% male and only 11% female respondents used a private sector bank account. From the survey, we can infer that there are more people using a public sector bank account rather than a private sector bank account and in the respondents most of the account holders are female. An observation of the survey reveals that most of the respondents are of the age group 18-24. 174 respondents scaling to a whopping 59% of the total respondents are youngsters. Among the collected data most youth prefer a Public sector bank to a Private sector bank. The reasons for this favoritism may be unveiled at the end of this survey. The percentage of graduates participated in this survey accounts to 58% followed by post graduates. Here also it is observed that the number of people using public sector bank accounts are more in number than that of the private sector. 68% of the Graduates and 72% of the Post Graduates preferred a Public Sector bank account to a private sector one.

We can interpret from the above that most of the students own a bank account from a public sector bank. The students constitute about 49% of the total respondents in the survey among which about 78% of them own a Public Sector bank account and the remaining own a Private Sector bank account. It is also clear that most of the Government and private employees use a public sector bank account for their regular salary transactions.

Of all the respondents, 68% are unmarried and the remaining 32% are married. Almost 71% of the Public Sector Bank account holders and 61% of the private Sector Bank account holders are unmarried. In this survey, 70% of the respondents own a Public Sector Bank Account and only 30% own a Private Sector Bank Account. We can observe that most of the respondents are using Savings bank account both in public and private sector banks. 84% of the total Respondents use a Savings Bank Account. Most of the respondents are using this account less than 5 years. 61% of the respondents were using their bank accounts for less than 5 years. 27% were using for almost 10 years. Only a meagre number of respondents constituting to just 1% have been using bank accounts for more than 20 years. Among these users, most of them are using public sector bank accounts. Among the online banking users, though the number of Public sector bank users are more in number, Private sector bank account users are the most to avail the online services.

It is observed that almost 82% of the private sector bank users are using the Online banking services whereas only 73% of the total public sector bank account users use the online services.

A. Gap Analysis:
From the analysis of means, we observe that the means on the expected side are more than those from the perceived side. The difference in means of expected and perceived values are all positive indicating that the expected is more than what is perceived by the customers in private sector banks. The average difference indicating the Gap is more in Empathy segment and least in tangibles.

### VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

From the above survey, we can arrive at some conclusions on various aspects of services and quality of services provided at public and private sector banks. The conclusions are as follows:

i. Private sector bank customers are expecting more from the bank and the services and the quality of services provided at the banks are not up to the expectations of the customers.

ii. Customers are expecting less with the services of the public sector bank and the banks are meeting the customer’s expectations.

iii. In both public and private sector banks, Empathy is almost the common main dimension lagging in the banks as it is observed that the gap between expected and perceived service quality is more. Public sector banks are more empathetic though, when compared to the private sector banks.

iv. In providing tangible dimension relating to that of technology and physical facilities, both the banks are doing a good job. This can be concluded by observing that there is a very less gap between the differences of means.

By observing the above conclusions, some suggestions can be provided for the banks:

i. Banks should concentrate more on being empathetic towards its customers rather than spending valuable money on physical ambiance.

ii. Private sector banks should concentrate more its customer’s needs than public sector banks as people are not expecting more from public sector banks.
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