Application of PCR-Denaturing-Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE) Method to Examine Microbial Community Structure in Asparagus Fields with Growth Inhibition due to Continuous Cropping
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Growth inhibition due to continuous cropping of asparagus is a major problem; the yield of asparagus in replanted fields is low compared to that in new fields, and missing plants occur among young seedlings. Although soil-borne disease and allelochemicals are considered to be involved in this effect, this is still controversial. We aimed to develop a technique for the biological field diagnosis of growth inhibition due to continuous cropping. Therefore, in this study, fungal community structure and Fusarium community structure in continuously cropped fields of asparagus were analyzed by polymerase chain reaction/denaturing-gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE). Soil samples were collected from the Aizu region of Fukushima Prefecture, Japan. Soil samples were taken from both continuously cropped fields of asparagus with growth inhibition and healthy neighboring fields of asparagus. The soil samples were collected from the fields of 5 sets in 2008 and 4 sets in 2009. We were able to distinguish between pathogenic and non-pathogenic Fusarium by using Alfie1 and Alfie2GC as the second PCR primers and PCR-DGGE. Fungal community structure was not greatly involved in the growth inhibition of asparagus due to continuous cropping. By contrast, the band ratios of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. asparagi in growth-inhibited fields were higher than those in neighboring healthy fields. In addition, there was a positive correlation between the band ratios of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. asparagi and the ratios of missing asparagus plants. We showed the potential of biological field diagnosis of growth inhibition due to continuous cropping of asparagus using PCR-DGGE.
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Asparagus (Asparagus officinalis L.) is a long-term perennial vegetable crop. A certain number of years after planting, yield and quality start to decline due to natural aging. In addition, when marketable yields continually decrease as a result of growth suppression, it becomes necessary to replant; however, asparagus production in former asparagus fields (replanting) is often less profitable than that in fresh fields without a history of asparagus crops (new planting). This phenomenon is common in older asparagus production areas and is known as the replant problem (1, 7, 16, 37). Growth inhibition due to continuous cropping of asparagus has become a large problem in the world’s older asparagus production areas.

A number of factors have been associated with the early decline of asparagus, including increased infection of the soil with soil-borne pathogenic fungi, mainly Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. asparagi and Fusarium proliferatum (1, 26, 34). F. oxysporum and F. proliferatum are the casual agents of a destructive disease of asparagus called Fusarium crown and root rot. Both species are ubiquitous in asparagus field soils and colonize the crowns and roots of symptomatic, as well as asymptomatic, plants (33). F. oxysporum is more often found in young roots, whereas F. proliferatum is dominant in nearly all other plant parts (4). These pathogens can be transmitted by seeds, and can simultaneously colonize both vascular and epidermal tissues.

Furthermore, growth inhibition due to allelochemicals from the asparagus residue and to the degradation of field environments, such as the physical condition and chemical properties of the soil, seem to be incidental factors in the early decline of asparagus (6, 21, 36). So far, effective countermeasures have not been established, and technological development in this area is expected.

Many studies have focused on the effects of soil-borne fungus on asparagus (1, 3, 4, 19, 26); however, little research has focused on the effects of soil microorganism community structures on asparagus. Soil microorganisms directly and indirectly affect crop growth, whereas fertilization, organic substance management, and cropping systems affect the soil microorganism community structure. Recently, it has become possible to extract DNA directly from the soil (environmental DNA), and a method has been developed for estimating the soil microorganism community structure from the environmental DNA. Muyzer et al. was the first to profile microbial communities using polymerase chain reaction and denaturing-gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE) (23). The first application of this technique for fungal community analysis was performed by Kowalchuk et al. (15). Since then, PCR-DGGE has proven to be a powerful technique for the culture-
independent detection and characterization of fungal populations in plant materials and soil. Yergeau et al. used PCR-DGGE to profile *Fusarium* community composition directly from plant samples, without the need for isolation and culture; however, no study has tried to assess *Fusarium* community composition in soil.

In this study, we examined fungal and *Fusarium* community structures in asparagus fields and compared these structures in continuously cropped fields of asparagus with growth inhibition and healthy neighboring fields of asparagus. We judged that microbial diagnosis could determine fields with a high possibility of early decline by examining the *Fusarium* community structure. The last goal of this research was to develop a microbial diagnosis method for asparagus fields experiencing growth inhibition due to continuous cropping.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sampling site**

Soil samples were collected in August 2008 and 2009 in the Aizu region of Fukushima Prefecture, Japan; samples were taken from both continuously cropped fields of asparagus with growth inhibition and healthy neighboring fields of asparagus. Soil analysis was carried out as a set of fields of asparagus with growth inhibition and healthy neighboring fields of asparagus. Soil samples were collected from the fields as 5 sets in 2008 and 4 sets in 2009. All soil samples were taken at a depth of 15–25 cm and collected from the position which left 5 cm of the asparagus plant. The soil was collected from 5 places in one field.

Soil samples were passed through a 2 mm sieve to remove plant debris, and the microbial biomass was immediately analyzed. Microbial biomass carbon was measured by a chloroform-fumigation-extraction method (32). For DNA extraction, the soil samples were stored at −20°C. For soil chemical analysis, the soil samples were air dried. The number of missing plants in the field was divided by all the plants, and the ratio of missing asparagus plants was calculated. The number of missing plants in the field was investigated in October 2009.

**Analysis of soil properties**

Soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were determined in a 1:5 suspension of each soil sample. Available phosphate was calculated. The number of missing plants in the field was investigated in October 2009.

**DGGE analysis**

DGGE analysis was performed using the DCode System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). In the case of fungi, gel electrophoresis was carried out for 18 h at 50V on a 7% acrylamide/bis-acrylamide (37.5:1) gel with a 20–45% denaturant gradient. Gel electrophoresis was carried out for 18 h at 50V on a 7% acrylamide/bis-acrylamide (37.5:1) gel with a 20–45% denaturant gradient. The improved PCR method of Yergeau et al. (35), or Alfie1 (5'-TGTCTACAGCCAGCTCGACT-3') and Alfie2-GC (5'-CGCCCAGGCAGCTCGACT-3'). All reactions were carried out in 50 μL volumes containing 5 μL of 10×PCR buffer, 5 μL of each primer (10 μM), 1 μL of 10 mM dNTP mix, and 1.25 μL of KOD-plus (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan). PCR was conducted with a GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems/Life Technologies).

**Results and Discussion**

All data were analyzed by ANOVA and, where a significant effect was observed, Tukey’s HSD test was applied for comparison of treatment means using STATISTICA 6.0J software (StatSoft, Tokyo, Japan). Dendrograms were generated based on the unweighted pair group with the arithmetic mean (UPGMA) clustering method.
These farmers apply cattle feces compost at levels up to 20 t ha$^{-1}$ as compost. On average, incidence of asparagus diseases is a subject for future study. Promotes the incidence of clubroot disease (22). Each value is the mean (n=3) of biomass C, exchangeable potassium and calcium levels often showed a high value in continuously cropped asparagus fields with growth inhibition; however, the difference was not marked and was not thought to inhibit growth. As an explanation of the high values in growth inhibited fields, it is supposed that cultivation had been repeated for many years; however, we were not able to identify any characteristic chemical properties of continuously cropped asparagus fields with growth inhibition. Similarly, there was no relationship between growth inhibition due to continuous cropping and microbial biomass carbon values. Because the investigated fields were adjacent, soil physical properties and drainage conditions were likely to have been similar among fields. Thus, we hypothesized that the chemical and physical properties of the soil have little effect on the poor growth of asparagus and that the microbial community structure has an influence on the growth of asparagus in this region.

**PCR-DGGE analysis of fungal 18S rDNA fragment**

There was no significant difference in the DGGE banding pattern of the 18S rRNA gene between continuously cropped fields of asparagus with growth inhibition and good growth fields. The results were subjected to cluster analysis and visualized on a dendrogram (Fig. 1). In both years, the cluster was not clearly divided between continuously cropped fields with growth inhibition and good growth fields. As a result, we surmised that the fungal community structure was not greatly involved in growth inhibition in continuously cropped fields. Also, the Shannon diversity indexes of the fungal community structures showed no consistent trend in either year, and the Shannon diversity indexes in continuously cropped fields of asparagus were not low (data not shown).

Some studies have reported that the soil microbial community structure was affected by plant nutrient management techniques, such as cropping systems, tillage systems, fertilization, and fumigation. Suzuki et al. reported that fungal communities were related to fertilization methods such as manure application and concluded that fungal communities might be sensitive to soil environmental changes (29). In addition, Sekiguchi et al. reported that green manure applications changed the fungal DGGE profile (27). Fertilization techniques such as compost application changed the fungal community structure greatly. In this study, most of the investigated fields—including both continuously cropped

### Table 1. Chemical and microbial properties of sampled fields

| Fielda | pH (dS m$^{-1}$) | EC (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) | Truong-P (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) | Exchangeable cation (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) | Total C (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) | Total N (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) | Biomass C (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) |
|--------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2008 A | 5.96           | 0.227                | 0.66                        | 3.08                                   | 34.3                      | 3.21                     | 1.03                        |
| A*     | 6.14           | 0.226                | 1.69                        | 5.60                                   | 43.7                      | 3.26                     | 1.24                        |
| B      | 5.17           | 0.315                | 0.50                        | 1.10                                   | 27.6                      | 2.37                     | 0.70                        |
| B*     | 5.33           | 0.614                | 0.81                        | 1.95                                   | 20.8                      | 2.05                     | 0.73                        |
| C      | 5.97           | 0.470                | 0.58                        | 2.83                                   | 19.4                      | 1.63                     | 0.76                        |
| C*     | 5.94           | 0.223                | 0.54                        | 2.59                                   | 17.8                      | 1.81                     | 0.85                        |
| D      | 5.31           | 0.742                | 1.22                        | 5.32                                   | 49.0                      | 3.80                     | 0.78                        |
| D*     | 5.69           | 0.488                | 1.03                        | 6.60                                   | 51.9                      | 3.84                     | 1.13                        |
| E      | 4.84           | 0.164                | 0.46                        | 1.70                                   | 40.9                      | 2.91                     | 0.97                        |
| E*     | 6.03           | 0.239                | 1.65                        | 4.80                                   | 45.0                      | 3.42                     | 1.03                        |

| Fielda | pH (dS m$^{-1}$) | EC (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) | Truong-P (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) | Exchangeable cation (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) | Total C (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) | Total N (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) | Biomass C (g kg$^{-1}$ soil) |
|--------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2009 a | 6.12           | 0.228                | 0.93                        | 2.51                                   | 30.7                      | 2.69                     | 1.33                        |
| a*     | 6.40           | 0.178                | 1.02                        | 5.65                                   | 41.0                      | 3.00                     | 1.49                        |
| b      | 5.06           | 0.420                | 0.63                        | 1.06                                   | 30.5                      | 2.40                     | 0.95                        |
| b*     | 5.46           | 0.309                | 0.67                        | 1.59                                   | 20.6                      | 1.80                     | 0.85                        |
| c      | 5.82           | 0.213                | 0.34                        | 2.04                                   | 21.1                      | 1.74                     | 0.97                        |
| c*     | 5.94           | 0.177                | 0.48                        | 2.35                                   | 20.2                      | 1.75                     | 1.15                        |
| d      | 5.88           | 0.224                | 0.45                        | 3.19                                   | 44.8                      | 3.07                     | 0.79                        |
| d*     | 5.99           | 0.147                | 0.43                        | 2.66                                   | 18.3                      | 1.49                     | 0.73                        |

Each value is the mean (n=3). aA given asparagus field is represented by the same letter. Upper case, samples from 2008; lower case, samples from 2009. Asterisk indicates growth injury due to continuous asparagus cropping in a field.
fields and good growth fields—had been fertilized with cattle feces compost. It is clear that compost application affected the fungal community structures, and so we concluded that fungal community structures might not differ between continuously cropped fields and good growth fields of asparagus. We would like to focus our analysis on the community structure of *Fusarium*, including pathogenic fungi, because there was no a marked difference in fungal community structure.

**Discrimination of pathogenicity and nonpathogenic Fusarium sp. by PCR-DGGE**

We extracted DNA from *Fusarium* sp., which cause disease in asparagus and other crops, and PCR-DGGE was carried out based on the method of Yergeau et al. (35); however, there were multiple bands from the *Fusarium* sp. isolates. Yergeau et al. also reported multiple banding patterns from *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *dianthi* and *F. proliferatum* isolates. For this reason, improvement of the method was necessary for DGGE analysis of *Fusarium*. First, the annealing temperature of the first PCR was changed from 50°C to 60°C. In addition, the primer that attached to the GC clamp was changed to Alfie2. The GC clamp alters the melting properties of the fragment; this change greatly increased the detectable fractions. Using appropriate conditions, the attachment of a GC clamp can increase the detection of single base-pair change to nearly 100% (24, 28). As a result, it was confirmed that the DGGE result of isolated *Fusarium* was a single band (Fig. 2). The band positions differed between *Fusarium* sp. that cause disease in asparagus and other crops. Thus, we could analyze disease-causing *F. oxysporum* and other *F. oxysporum* separately with this method.

**PCR-DGGE analysis of Fusarium sp. in asparagus field soils**

The DGGE profiles of the *Fusarium* in the investigated fields are shown in Fig. 3. In the case of continuously cropped fields with growth inhibition, there was a thick band (indicated by arrow α) in the upper part of the lanes. This band was identified as *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *asparagi*. By contrast, there was no thick band in the upper part of the lanes in samples from healthy neighboring fields of asparagus. Thus, we surmised that the growth of asparagus was reduced when the intensity of this band was high and proceeded to examine the band intensity ratios of the investigated fields (Fig. 4). The band intensity ratio of a field with growth inhibition was higher than that of the corresponding healthy neighboring field.

The band in the lower part of the lanes (indicated by arrow β) was *F. proliferatum*, which is pathogenic to asparagus (Fig. 3). There was no consistent trend between the occurrence of the growth inhibition of asparagus and the band intensity ratio in the lower part of the lanes. Moreover, this band was not detected in some fields. For these reasons, we concluded that soil disease in asparagus fields in the Aizu region of Fukushima Prefecture was mainly caused by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *asparagi*. *F. proliferatum* has been a frequent isolate in the warmer climates of southern Italy (19), North and Central America, Australia, and South Africa (3). In contrast, Blok and Bollen failed to recover any *F. proliferatum* isolates.
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in the Netherlands (1) due to the cooler soil temperature; therefore, because Fukushima Prefecture is located in a cold region of Japan, it seems reasonable to conclude that soil disease is mainly caused by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *asparagi*.

Because the correlation between the growth of asparagus and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *asparagi* band intensity was expected, we calculated the correlation. There was a positive correlation ($r=0.93$, $p<0.05$) between the band ratio of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *asparagi* and the ratio of missing plants in an asparagus field (Fig. 5). These results led us to conclude that the PCR-DGGE method is effective for field diagnosis because we can estimate the ratio of missing plants in a field by analyzing the band ratio of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *asparagi*. Although a significant correlation was found between the band ratio of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *asparagi* and the ratio of missing asparagus plants, further investigations will be necessary because the number of investigated fields in this study was not sufficient.

Conclusions

The PCR-DGGE method could take on a substantial role in the diagnosis of asparagus fields, and may eventually enable field diagnosis similar to a human health examination. PCR-DGGE is an efficient method that can detect *Fusarium* directly from the soil without an isolation step. Previous methods required prior cultivation of *Fusarium* isolates, which limited the number of possible analyses. The PCR-DGGE method is easy and rapid and makes it possible to investigate many fields in a short time.

Here, we showed the potential of the field diagnosis of growth inhibition due to continuous cropping via PCR-DGGE analysis of the *Fusarium* community structure. With this method, growth inhibition in a continuously cropped field may be avoided by carrying out a field diagnosis before replanting asparagus. In cases where the intensity of the band representing *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *asparagi* is low, the field can be judged as healthy, and the planting of asparagus seedlings in that field can be recommended. In contrast, when the band intensity of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *asparagi* is high, the field can be judged as growth inhibited. Soil disinfection is required in such a field. There are many methods for soil disinfection, including chloropicrin fumigation, soil solarization (13), steam sterilization (31), and soil reduction (18). For reasons of environmental conservation and the health of farmers, it is preferable to avoid methods using agricultural chemicals and, instead, to perform soil disinfestation and
remediation with microbes (2, 5).

In conclusion, PCR-DGGE analysis of the Fusarium community structure could be used for the biological field diagnosis of asparagus, allowing the assessment of Fusarium community structure directly from the soil, without the need for isolation and culture.
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