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Abstract

We study angular momentum in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics (NRQED). We construct the effective total angular momentum operator by applying Noether’s theorem to the NRQED lagrangian. We calculate the NRQED matching for the individual components of the QED angular momentum up to one loop. We illustrate an application of our results by the first calculation of the angular momentum of the ground state hydrogen atom carried in radiative photons, $\alpha^3_{\text{em}}/18\pi$, which might be measurable in future atomic experiments.
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where scale \( \mu \) is needed to define the QED operator in dimensional regularization and modified minimal subtraction scheme (\( \overline{\text{MS}} \)), the infrared-finite constants \( a_\sigma, a_B, \text{ and } a_\pi \) include quantum effects at scale \( m \) and above and can be calculated as a perturbation series in \( \alpha_{em} \). Likewise, we can write down an expansion for the orbital part,

\[
\Psi^\dagger(r \times \pi)\Psi(\mu)|_{\text{QED}} = d_\sigma \Psi^\dagger(\frac{\sigma}{2})\psi + d_R \Psi^\dagger(r \times \pi)\psi + \frac{d_E}{4m}\Psi^\dagger[r \times (\sigma \times eE)]\psi
\]

\[
+ \frac{d_\pi}{8m^2}\Psi^\dagger[(\sigma \times \pi) \times \pi - \pi \times (\sigma \times \pi)]\psi + \frac{d_B}{4m^2}\Psi^\dagger(eB)\psi
\]

\[
+ \frac{d_D}{8m^2}[r \times (eB \times \partial)](\Psi^\dagger\psi) + \frac{d_S}{8m^2}r \times [(eB) \times (\Psi^\dagger(\frac{\pi}{2} \times \sigma)\psi)]
\]

\[
+ \frac{d_s'}{8m^2}r \times [\sigma \times (\Psi^\dagger(eB \times \frac{\pi}{2})\psi)] + \ldots , \tag{4}
\]

and finally the the photon angular momentum operator,

\[
r \times (E \times B)(\mu)|_{\text{QED}} = d_1(\mu)r \times (E \times B) + f_R \Psi^\dagger(r \times \pi)\psi + f_\pi \Psi^\dagger(\frac{\sigma}{2})\psi
\]

\[
+ \frac{f_E}{4m}\Psi^\dagger[r \times (\sigma \times eE)]\psi + \frac{f_\pi}{8m^2}\Psi^\dagger[(\sigma \times \pi) \times \pi - \pi \times (\sigma \times \pi)]\psi
\]

\[
+ \frac{f_B}{4m^2}\Psi^\dagger(eB)\psi + \frac{f_D}{8m^2}[r \times (eB \times \partial)](\Psi^\dagger\psi)
\]

\[
+ \frac{f_S}{8m^2}r \times [(-eB) \times (\Psi^\dagger(\frac{\pi}{2} \times \sigma)\psi)]
\]

\[
+ \frac{f_s'}{8m^2}r \times [\sigma \times (\Psi^\dagger(eB \times \frac{\pi}{2})\psi)] + \ldots . \tag{5}
\]

Note that the non-local angular momentum operators receive contribution from local operators after matching to non-relativistic theory. Rotational symmetry imposes the following constraint through the total NRQED angular momentum,

\[
a_\sigma + d_\sigma + f_\sigma = d_R + f_R = 1 ,
\]

\[
a_\pi + d_\pi + f_\pi = a_B + d_B + f_B = 0 ,
\]

\[
d_D + f_D = c_D ,
\]

\[
d_S + f_S = c_S ,
\]

\[
d_s' + f_s' = d_E + f_E = 0 . \tag{6}
\]

Moreover, angular momentum evolution in the full theory imposes constraints on the renormalization-scale dependence of the coefficients \( \frac{15}{15} \).

The various coefficients can be directly evaluated using two- and three-point functions matching. Through two-point function matching, we get \( \frac{14}{14} \),

\[
a_\sigma = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} ; \ a_\pi = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi};
\]

\[
d_R = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} \left( -\frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} - \frac{17}{9} \right) ; \ d_\sigma = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} \left( -\frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} - \frac{20}{9} \right) ; \ d_\pi = -1 - \frac{5\alpha_{em}}{6\pi} ,
\]

\[
f_R = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} \left( \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} + \frac{17}{9} \right) ; \ f_\sigma = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} \left( \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} + \frac{11}{9} \right) ; \ f_\pi = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{3\pi}. \tag{7}
\]
From the three-point function matching, we have

\[ a_B = 1 + \frac{7 \alpha_{\text{em}}}{2\pi} ; \]
\[ d_D = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{2\pi} \left( \frac{-4}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} + \frac{16}{3} \ln \frac{m}{2\Lambda} + \frac{37}{9} \right) ; \]
\[ d_S = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{2\pi} \left( \frac{-4}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} + \frac{31}{9} \right) ; \]
\[ d'_S = \frac{2 \alpha_{\text{em}}}{3\pi} ; \]
\[ d_E = \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{3\pi} ; \]
\[ d_B = -1 + \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{2\pi} \left( -16 \ln \frac{m}{2\Lambda} + \frac{23}{9} \right) ; \]
\[ f_D = \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{2\pi} \left( \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} + \frac{1}{3} \right) ; \]
\[ f_S = -\frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{2\pi} \left( -\frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} + \frac{13}{9} \right) ; \]
\[ f'_S = -\frac{2 \alpha_{\text{em}}}{3\pi} ; \]
\[ f_E = -\frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{3\pi} ; \]
\[ f_B = \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{2\pi} \left( 16 \ln \frac{m}{2\Lambda} - \frac{86}{9} \right) ; \] (8)

where \( \mu \)-dependence comes from the definition of the original QED operators in \( \overline{\text{MS}} \), and \( \Lambda \)-dependence comes from the NRQED calculations with three-momentum cut-off.

As a first application, let us consider the orbital angular momentum of the electron in the ground state of the hydrogen atom. In non-relativistic theory, the electron is in \( s \)-wave with vanishing orbital motion. However, in relativistic framework, the electron’s wave function is a four-component Dirac spinor,

\[ \Psi_{nljm} = \begin{pmatrix} iG_{lj}(r) \psi_{jm} \hbar \psi_{jm} \end{pmatrix} . \] (9)

In the ground state \( (n = l = 0, j = 1/2) \), the upper component is an \( s \)-wave, but the lower component is a \( p \)-wave. Therefore, there is an orbital angular momentum contribution to the ground state spin of the hydrogen atom (we ignore the spin of the proton) which can be calculated directly through the above Dirac wave function. In effective NRQED, this angular momentum contribution can be calculated as the matrix elements of the

\[ -\frac{d_{\pi}}{8m^2} \int d^3r \psi^\dagger[(\sigma \times \pi) \times \pi - \pi \times (\sigma \times \pi)]\psi , \] (10)

in the Coulomb wave function, which yields easily \( \langle L_z \rangle = \alpha_{\text{em}}^2 / 6 \), consistent with the full theory. This contribution is balanced by the equal amount of depletion of the electron spin contribution \( \langle \Sigma_z / 2 \rangle = 1/2 - \alpha_{\text{em}}^2 / 6 \).

---

FIG. 1: Leading \( (O(\alpha_{\text{em}}^2)) \) electromagnetic contribution to the spin of the Coulomb-bound electron. The cross represents the photon angular momentum operator; the dashed line an external Coulomb field and the wavy line an off-shell photon field. The double line is an electron eigenstate in the external static Coulomb field.
A more interesting question is: what is the amount of hydrogen spin carried by radiative photons? This question is particularly relevant in the spin structure of the nucleon \([9, 15]\) since, due to strong coupling, the QCD gluon could contribute significantly to the nucleon spin \([12, 16]\). The spin program at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider is largely motivated by this possibility \([10]\). Here to simplify the problem, we take the proton mass to infinity and are left with essentially an electron in a static Coulomb potential.

To answer this question, let us first consider the calculation in the full QED theory. The leading order contribution comes from the diagram in Fig. 1. This contribution can be easily shown to be zero because of the Coulomb nature of the static potential \([17]\),

\[
\int d^3r \, \mathbf{r} \times (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0. \tag{11}
\]

Therefore, the magnetic field generated by the electron current does not contribute to the hydrogen's angular momentum. This contribution, were it non-zero, would have been of order \(\alpha^2\).

Thus, the first non-vanishing electromagnetic contribution comes from radiative photons shown by Fig. 2a, which will be at least order \(\alpha_\text{em}^3\). The loop integral is ultraviolet divergent by simple power counting. One can interpret this divergence in two ways: First, define photon and electron in terms of a certain renormalization (or cut-off) scheme, and the answer is finite within the scheme. But the result is then scheme and scale dependent \([15]\). This, however, is the preferred approach in QCD because there are no free quarks and gluons due to color confinement. A second approach is to define the electron and photon using the asymptotic physical states. In this case, the physical electron spin acquires the same radiative corrections and therefore one must subtract off the contribution in which the intermediate electron is a free-space one, as shown by Fig. 2b. The subtraction will produce a finite contribution, i.e., free of ultraviolet divergence. This situation is similar to the famous Lamb shift calculation for the energy shift.
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**FIG. 2:** a) Next-to-leading order \(\mathcal{O}(\alpha_\text{em}^3)\) electromagnetic contribution to the spin of the Coulomb-bound electron, b) subtraction needed to define the physical contribution.

To calculate the contribution from Fig. 2a, we use the NRQED approach similar to the calculation of the Lamb shift outlined in Ref. \([18]\). We split the loop momentum in Fig. 2a into small and large regions. When the loop-momentum is large, we can expand the bound state electron wave function in terms of its successive interactions with the static Coulomb field. After subtracting off the free contribution, we are left with Fig. 3 in the large loop momentum region. This contribution can be matched to local operators made of the quark
fields in Eq. (5), related to the matching coefficients $f_E$. Therefore, the large momentum contribution is

$$\left\langle \int d^3r \times (E \times B) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{f_E}{4m} \int d^3r \psi^\dagger [r \times (\sigma \times eE)] \psi \right\rangle = \frac{\alpha^3_{em}}{18\pi}. \quad (12)$$

There is no logarithm associated with this, in contrast to the Lamb shift.

FIG. 3: First-order expansion of electromagnetic contribution to the spin in an external Coulomb field, in large loop momentum region.

In the low-momentum region, we calculate the matrix elements of $\int d^3r \times (E \times B)$ using the old-fashioned first-order perturbation theory. It is easy to see that the contribution is zero, including the free intermediate state contribution. This is because Figs. 2a and 2b in NRQED are independent of the electron spin. Therefore, Eq. (12) is the total radiative photon contribution to the spin of the Coulomb bound electron. It is interesting to note that the result is positive. Future atomic physics experiments might be able to measure this small quantity. To maintain the total spin 1/2, this photon contribution is balanced by the electron’s orbital motion, as is clear from Eq. (4).

In conclusion, we have calculated the matching of the angular momentum operator to that in non-relativistic effective theory. Using the tree-level result, we easily reproduce the orbital angular momentum in the s-wave state using Coulomb wave functions. Using the one-loop matching for the photon angular momentum, we calculated the amount of the hydrogen angular momentum carried in the radiative photons, which is a positive $\alpha^3_{em}/18\pi$.
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