Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.
Correspondence

Impaired memory B-cell response to the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine in patients with common variable immunodeficiency

To the Editor:

The recent article by Hagin et al. reports that most patients with inborn errors of immunity (IEI) generate humoral and cellular immune responses to the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine. Neutralizing anti-receptor-binding domain (RBD) antibodies, RBD-specific B cells of the IgG and IgA isotype, and T cells producing IL-2 and IFN-\(\gamma\) were detected in most vaccinated patients.

Hagin et al. conclude that patients with IEI should be vaccinated because most of them are able to generate protective responses. Although we completely agree on the necessity of vaccinating patients with IEI, it is also indispensable to correctly evaluate the establishment and duration of protective immunity in this group of patients.

We conducted a similar study in a cohort of 33 patients with common variable immunodeficiency (CVID). We evaluated the level of SARS-CoV-2–specific serum antibodies and frequency of memory B cells (MBCs) following administration of the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine. Only 33% of our patients with CVID showed an antibody response, compared with 85.7% of the patients (12 of 14) reported by Hagin et al. (see Fig 2, A in Hagin et al.). Hagin et al. also measured RBD-specific MBCs, which play a fundamental role in long-term protection when serum antibody levels decline. In Fig E2 of Hagin et al. (available in the Online Repository at www.jacionline.org), the gating strategy to identify RBD-specific IgG and IgA B cells and the percentage thereof are shown. Hagin et al. conclude that RBD-binding B cells are detected in healthy vaccinated donors and patients with CVID.

We have different results showing that healthy vaccinated donors generate RBD-specific MBCs after 2 vaccine doses, whereas patients with CVID are unable to do so (Fig 1).

Our different results might be explained by the difficulty of correctly quantifying cells present at low frequency in the sample analyzed. Flow cytometry can effectively and accurately manage extremely rare event analyses down to \(10^{-5}\). In cases of rare event analysis, the nonspecific cell events can often outnumber the relevant cell frequency, making the count totally unreliable. Introduction of the concepts of limit of detection and limit of quantification in rare event analysis has been a remarkable advancement to ensure robust and reliable measurements of
rare events. Only when the limit of quantification is achieved can the frequency be considered reliable.4 The numbers of relevant events (RBD-specific B cells in this case) should be a defined percentage of the total acquired events.

RBD+ cells are a fraction of the MBCs generated by vaccination. B cells acquire increased specificity and affinity thanks to the mechanisms of somatic mutation and selection in the germinal centers. These mechanisms are severely impaired in patients with CVID.5

Beyond the technicalities, an inaccurate evaluation of the number of specific MBCs may lead to the conclusion that patients are protected and will be able to react to a SARS-CoV-2 encounter thanks to their MBCs. In contrast, when serum titers decline, patients with CVID will be unable to produce new specific antibodies because they lack the right MBCs. Administration of mAbs may prevent severe disease and emergence of new viral variants in these cases.
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Reply

To the Editor:

We would like to thank Salinas et al1 for reading our article and for their comments. However, they interpreted our conclusions completely incorrectly. Our study did not suggest that vaccinated patients with inborn errors of immunity (IEI) are able to generate a protective immune response; rather, it described an early post-vaccine T-cell and B-cell immunogenicity in patients with IEI. This point is clearly emphasized in the Discussion section of our article.2

The recently reported “breakthrough” COVID-19 cases in vaccinated individuals, which are the result of both the emergence of new viral variants and waning immunity over time, are a challenge in healthy vaccinated individuals, let alone in vaccinated patients with IEI. That said, we are standing behind the presented data and believe that patients with IEI should be encouraged to get vaccinated.

As for the comments on our flow cytometry data that were expressed by Salinas et al,1 we appreciate their concern regarding correct identification of rare events; however, it is absolutely unnecessary. As correctly noted by Salinas et al,1 RBD-specific memory B cells are generated by vaccination. However, they should note that our analysis in Fig E2 of our article2 identified RBD+, CD19+ IgG/IgA+ B cells (ie, all IgG/IgA B cells that are specific to RBD) and not only memory B cells. Therefore, our analysis also includes activated B cells that may not be yet CD27+, as well as plasmablasts that are on their developmental route to becoming antibody-secreting plasma cells. This strategy is completely different, and it is by no means disputed by the results that Salinas et al1 present in their Fig 1. The reasons why we chose this population are the early time point at which the samples were collected (2 weeks after vaccination) and the fact that the frequency of RBD-specific B cells, and not only RBD-specific memory B cells, is highly correlated with humoral activation and B-cell responses to SARS-CoV-2.

Regarding the suggestion by Salinas et al1 that the number of RBD-specific B cells be defined in a way similar to the number of paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria cells, in their article on consensus guidelines to detect glycosylphosphatidylinositol-deficient cells, Illingworth et al3 specifically suggest that limit of detection and limit of quantification should be calculated out of the gated events acquired, and not the total number of events. Therefore, in our case, these events should be calculated out of the B-cell population. This approach would make sense, as patients with CVID can have B-cell lymphopenia, and calculating the number of RBD+ cells out of the total number of events would result in underestimation of the frequency of antigen-specific B cells within the B-cell population.

In addition, our Fig E2 shows representative plot figures of RBD+ B cells, and the percentage of RBD+ cells correlated well with the donor anti-S IgG levels. We used several methods to evaluate our patients’ humoral response, including commercial anti-S antibody detection assay, in-house ELISA assay, and inhibition assay. All 3 methods showed similar results. It is therefore reasonable to assume that these antibodies were produced by RBD-specific B cells.

Salinas et al1 detected humoral vaccine response in only 23.5% of their patients with CVID, and they explain this finding by impaired mechanisms of somatic hypermutation and selection in the germinal center. In that regard, the term CVID probably includes a group of mechanistically distinct pathologies, mostly affecting cell maturation and differentiation.4 Our study showed that patients with CVID exhibit a wide range of anti–SARS-CoV-2 antibody titers following vaccination, with a significantly better responses seen in younger patients (younger than 50 years), as opposed to older patients with CVID. In accordance with our