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Abstract: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the consumers’ adoption of renewable energy in Poland. The study focused on finding out the factors that influence the adoption of the technology, considering its importance in conserving the environment. The study was conducted using a quantitative method, with primary data collected from 467 households using renewable energy technology in Poland. The research adopted the TAM model. The independent variables of the study included renewable energy initial cost, environmental concern, risk and trust for renewable energy, ease of use, financial incentives, and relative advantage. The dependent variable was renewable energy adoption. Structural equation modelling (SEM) was used to analyze the study hypotheses. The research found out that environmental concerns, ease of use, financial incentives, and relative advantage have a positive and significant influence on adoption of renewable energy technology in Poland. However, renewable energy initial cost and risk, and trust for renewable energy did not significantly influence renewable energy adoption. The study recommended that the stakeholders should consider the aspects of environmental concern as a key role player in pushing for adoption of renewable energy. The government, non-governmental organizations, and concerned stakeholders should consider giving incentives towards renewable energy adoption. Creating awareness regarding the benefits and strengths of renewable energy should be prioritized to the households.
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1. Introduction

Renewable energies involve energies harnessed from natural resources that can be replenished constantly. Often referred to as clean energy, renewable energy involves energies from solar energy, wind energy, geothermal energy, and water. Renewable energy is considered a new technology as it replaces the traditional forms of energy from fossil fuels that cannot be replaced [1]. The increasingly innovative ways to harnessing renewable energy continue to become important worldwide, replacing the non-renewable forms of energy such as oil, gas, and coal. Unlike the non-renewable forms of energy, renewable energy technologies are considered clean energy as they do not release pollutants such as carbon dioxide and greenhouse gases [2].

Although renewable energy technologies are considered a new phenomenon, renewable energy has been in use for centuries. For instance, humans have relied on the sun for lighting and heat, whereas water and windmills were used to power granaries [3]. Subsequently, the increased dependency by humans on non-renewable energies such as fossil fuels has led to widespread detrimental effects on the planet, including climate change.

The recent technological advancements in harnessing natural energies offer solutions to replacing the traditional non-renewable energies. For instance, the use of solar panels on both small and large scales offers solutions to the production of clean energy from
solar energy [4]. Renewable energies harnessed from natural resources by renewable technologies can be used for electricity, transportation, and heating, among other functions.

There are various sources of renewable energy technologies that can be adopted as alternative forms of energy from traditional fossil fuel energies. For instance, solar energy is harnessed from the sun [5]. Solar energy is tapped from the sun through the solar systems that convert the sunlight to the energy for heating and lighting. Solar-powered technologies include solar panels and are considered clean energy sources [6]. In 2019, solar energy contributed to 2% of global electricity. Wind power is another type of renewable energy that is harnessed through wind turbines. Wind energy is used to turn turbine blades that feed electric generator producing electricity [7]. Wind power energy has been widely adopted across the world; in Europe, for instance, wind power provides 14% of Europe’s electricity.

Another form of renewable energy is hydropower. Hydropower energy is harnessed from moving water to generate electricity. Often, plants are located near elevated moving water sources that are used to turn turbine blades, which in turn spin the generator that is used in the production of electricity. The amount of hydroelectric power generated depends on both the volume and flow of water to turn the generator turbines [1]. The adoption of hydropower is one of the largest around the world, with 36% of electricity across Europe being generated from hydropower. Biomass is another form of renewable energy technology that involves the generation of energy from organic materials from plants and animals. Plants contain chemical energy from the sun and can be converted to energy through direct combustion, chemical conversion to produce liquid fuels, and other forms. Biomass is considered one of the highest contributors to renewable energy. Across Europe, biomass contributes to 4% of the total energy supply.

The increasing energy demand is accelerated by the increasing economic growth on the global scale. Similarly, the increasing environmental changes resulting from economic growth have led to the depletion of natural resources, necessitating the need for alternative sources of energy from traditional fossil fuels. Poland is one of the countries in Europe that depends heavily on traditional sources of energy, including coal, to produce energy. However, Poland has, over the years, embarked on the adoption of renewable energies, and in 2017, renewable energy in the country accounted for 14% of electricity. In 2016, Poland, through the National Renewable Energy Action Plan, committed to generating 15% of its energy from renewable sources by 2020. In 2021, the country increased its target, aiming to pursue at least 23% of renewable energy consumption by 2030 [8].

Renewable energy adoption in Poland has been remarkable over the years; however, the country needs to increase its consumption to meet its 2030 renewable energy policy targets [8]. Based on the Polish national renewable energy action plan, the country’s renewable energy market is dominated by biomass. Biomass is the most abundant form of renewable energy in Poland because it is easy to use and involves the direct burning of solid and gaseous biofuels. Other forms of renewable energies in Poland include wind power energy, which produces an approximated 5.8 GW. Poland is ranked seventh in the European Union in the production of wind power energy [8]. The country also produces solar energy, which is viewed by many in the country as the alternative form of energy to traditional fossil fuels. By 2019, the adoption level of solar energy in Poland was estimated to total 700 megawatts.

From the background presented above, it is evident that renewable energy is a necessary commodity in Polish households. This study, however, does not measure the perceived usefulness and attitude of Polish residents towards the use of renewable energy. This is because the variable that measures relative advantage addressed this usefulness from an external point of view. Hence, the perceived usefulness was not considered an important variable to assess in this study.

Energy is also needed as an environmentally conservative alternative source. However, the adoption of technology is not well implemented, considering the market needs. As well, the aspects influencing its adoption have not been researched in Poland. Therefore, given
the increasing demand of renewable energy in Poland, the associated benefits emanating from adopting the technology, and the increased efforts by various stakeholders to adopt the technology, this study bridges this gap by investigating the factors influencing renewable energy technology adoption in Poland.

The research will be guided by two major research questions: (1) What are the factors influencing consumers’ adoption of renewable energy in Poland? And (2) What are the recommendations to stakeholders regarding the adoption of renewable energy in Poland? The research has several sections. The introduction gives the background of the paper, and the literature review evaluates the previous research related to this study. The methodology presents the techniques adopted in the study, the results section presents the findings of the study, and the discussion evaluates the findings in relation to the previous literature, concludes and develops the implications and recommendations of the research.

2. Literature Review

The purchase behavior of consumers is a complicated process, which is influenced by many factors. In an attempt to explain the purchase behavior of consumers, several theoretical models have been developed, including theory of reasoned action (TRA), theory of planned behavior (TPB), technology acceptance model (TAM, TAM2), and unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT). Among them, TAM was considered for this study as it addresses the individual’s acceptance behavior motivated by external factors surrounding the technology. TAM adoption was also motivated by the fact that renewable energy is relatively a new technology in Poland. From the TAM model, the factors adopted were: external factors (initial cost, environmental concern, risk and trust, financial incentives, relative advantage), ease of use, and renewable energy adoption (representing actual use of technology). These factors and the TAM model are expounded in the following sections.

2.1. Factors Affecting Renewable Energy

The development of renewable energy in various countries around the world is dependent on the drivers as well as barriers to renewable energy. According to [9,10], there are various determinates, including legal, physical, and mental, that influence renewable energy adoption. In Poland, the adoption of renewable energy is considered one of the key technologies that will ensure meeting future energy needs and meeting sustainable development in the country. However, various factors impact the production and consumption of renewable energy, as well as its adoption.

2.1.1. Initial Costs (IC)

Although the benefits of using a new technology [11] such as renewable energy sources are enormous, attaining a full switch to renewable energy use is not yet viable due to the various aspects involved in the development of these energy sources. Costs are the most significant challenges in the adoption of renewable energy in many countries. The costs associated with the development of renewable energy include the building and installing of the facilities [12–14]. For instance, solar and wind energy sources are cheap as they involve the use of free energy from the sun and wind; however, the majority of the costs are in the initial phases of installation. In Poland, the average cost in the installation of solar panels is $15,500 [8], whereas the cost of storage of renewable energy is estimated at 9 cents per hour. The high initial costs for renewable energy adversely affect the consumer’s perception of renewable energy, leading to the slow adoption of the new technologies. Similarly, financial institutions aiming to lend finances towards the development of renewable energy may perceive the ventures as being risky, leading to higher lending rates for renewable energy developers.
The use of energy impacts the environment in different ways. Energy sources based on fossil fuels are known to contribute to negative environmental effects, including the production of greenhouse gases that contribute to climate change [15]. Environmental concerns impact the production and adoption of renewable energy as it presents as an alternative to fossil fuels in the preservation of the environment. According to [16], renewable energy produces 99% fewer greenhouse gases compared to the energy from traditional coal and oil. The use of renewable energy is critical in ensuring environmental conservation through reducing global warming and, consequently, climate change [17,18]. The increased environmental concerns positively impact the adoption and use of renewable energy.

Trusts play a vital role in financing renewable energy infrastructure; however, the use of trusts is vulnerable to the changes in power prices and other risks associated with renewable energy influencing its adoption. According to [8], renewable energy investment continues to grow strongly; however, the investment is dependent on the various risks associated with renewable energy. Poland is one of the leading countries in Eastern Europe in the development of renewable energy [19]. The increased growth in the development of renewable energy in the country has seen an increase in global renewable energy investors to Poland, positively influencing the development of renewable energy. However, the renewable energy sector faces various risks that may affect the investment trust. For instance, political and regulatory risks influencing the development of renewables affect the rate of investment trusts in the country and consequently the rate of renewable energy adoption. Poland is one of the remaining countries in Europe that recently introduced the feed-in-tariffs (FiT) aimed at promoting the renewable energy sector. Having few renewable energy risks helps in attracting renewable energy investment trusts and consequently leads to an increased in renewable energy adoption.

The process of adopting renewable energy may be complex, necessitating the need to streamline the various processes to ensure the achievement of sustainability [20–23]. The consumer’s willingness to adopt new renewable technologies depends on the complexities of using renewable energy. In the study by [6], the author articulates that although many people are willing to adopt the use of renewable energy in their homes, the process towards the adoption is often affected by income. The belief that renewable energy is associated with high costs of application negatively impacts the willingness of consumers to use renewable energy [24–26]. Uncertainty in business also contributes to the complexity of the adoption of renewable energy [27]. Business competition influences the energy prices in the markets that in turn influence the level of renewable energy. Favorable conditions leading to lower energy prices have the effect of influencing increased willingness to adopt renewable energy [28,29]. In Poland, the willingness to adopt renewable energy is affected by aspects including peer support, age, income, and environmental attitudes of consumers. This link has been previously used in other researches, but for this case, different observational factors related to renewable energy in Poland were applied.

Financial incentives play a critical role in the promotion and adoption of renewable energy around the world. Governments keen on promoting the adoption of renewable energy to promote sustainable growth use financial incentives to address the various challenges hindering the development of renewable energy. For instance, financial incentives help reduce the high initial costs associated with the installation of renewable energy infrastructure [1]. Although much of renewable energy involves the use of free resources such as wind and sunlight, huge capital is involved in the development of infrastructure, such as the installation of wind turbines in the generation of wind power [30–32]. Financial
incentives help improve the ease to access to capital and positively impact the adoption of renewable energy. Poland’s renewable energy sources receive financial incentives from the government in the form of subsidy and loan schemes, the quota system, and tax relief. An increased financial incentive towards renewable energy has the effect of increased adoption rates among consumers.

2.1.6. Relative Advantage (RA)

The relative advantage in technology involves the degree to which a particular innovation is superior to the traditional methods. Renewable energy technologies compete with traditional sources of energy, including fossil fuels [33] and their installations [34]. The relative advantage in energy can thus be measured based on convenience, satisfaction, economic terms, and social prestige factors. The increased awareness of the effects of climate change has increased the social concerns towards the adoption of green energy. Thus, the need to ensure environmental sustainability comes as a relative advantage towards the adoption of renewable energy compared to traditional fossil fuel energy. In the study by [16], 80% of energy consumers in Poland consider environmental protection important to ensure sustainability. The pro-environmental attitudes in Poland act as a relative advantage towards the adoption of renewable energy in the country. A greater relative advantage towards renewable energy helps in increasing the adoption rates.

2.2. Theoretical Literature and Model Development

The technology acceptance model (TAM), which was adopted for this study, predicts the intention to accept technology by individual users [35,36]. The model, developed by Davis [37], highlights the various factors that influence individual behavior towards the use of the new technology. The technology acceptance model (TAM) suggests that the level of acceptability of new technology by individuals is determined by factors including the perceived ease of use and the perceived usefulness.

The perceived usefulness refers to the degree to which the individuals believe the use of a particular technology will enhance their performance [38,39]. Technology is often utilized to enhance performance, and individuals will pick particular types of technology based on the belief that the technology will be useful in attaining their goals. The idea of the perceived usefulness of new technology is considered as the determinant of the actual behavior of individuals towards new technology. In the scenario involving the adaptation of renewable energy, the concept of perceived usefulness involves the degree of belief in the usefulness of the technology towards improving their performance [40]. Because this study seeks to place more emphasis on external factors that influence the adoption of renewable energy, perceived usefulness will not be considered as a variable as proposed in studies such as [38–41].

Relative advantage is also considered as a variable that can give an indication into the perceived usefulness of a technology. Study [42] argues that although there are conceptual differences between relative advantage and perceived usefulness, they have been used interchangeably by researchers. Because the study variables are mainly external variables, the researchers believe that relative advantage of adopting renewal energy sources can be used to replace perceived usefulness. However, when the study is based mainly on internal variables, it would be expedient to include perceived usefulness as a variable as it would answer questions that go beyond external validations of adopting a technology, but it also addresses issues that are personal to the respondents, especially, how useful they consider the technology, which ultimately influences the attitude towards its adoption [43].

The perceived ease-of-use, on the other hand, refers to the level of belief by individuals that the technology will be free of effort. According to scholars [37,44], where technology is perceived to be easy to use, individuals are likely to create positive attitudes towards the new innovation. However, where the technology is considered to be complicated, then it is also likely that individuals will develop a negative attitude towards the new innovation [45]. Often, consumers are likely to perceive new technology as being better
than the similar existing technologies [46]. In the case of renewable energy technologies, individuals are likely to consider the new energy technologies due to the ease of evaluating the benefits compared to other forms of traditional energy.

2.3. Conceptual Framework

From the critical review of the previous literature, and the adoption of the TAM model above, the conceptual framework was developed. The model contains six latent variables, with a varying number of observed variables. The latent variables include Renewable Energy Initial Cost (IC), Environmental Concern (EC), Renewable Energy Risk and Trust (RT), Ease of Use (EU), Financial Incentives (FI), and Relative Advantage (RA). The dependent variable is Renewable Energy Adoption (REA). The scales adopted for the study are attached in the Appendix A. The research model is presented in the Figure 1.
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**Figure 1.** Research model.

From the above conceptual framework, adopted theory, and consulted literature review, the following hypotheses were developed:

**Hypothesis 1 (H1).** Initial costs have a significant and negative effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland.

**Hypothesis 2 (H2).** Environmental concerns have a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland.

**Hypothesis 3 (H3).** Risk and trust have a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland.

**Hypothesis 4 (H4).** Ease of use has a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland.

**Hypothesis 5 (H5).** Financial Incentives have a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland.

**Hypothesis 6 (H6).** Relative Advantage has a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland.
3. Research Methods

The study consisted of the renewable energy consumers’ survey using a structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was divided into two major sections: the first section contained demographic questions and the second section contained renewable energy adoption questions. The questions contained a 5-point Likert scale.

The study population was the household members who intended to use and those that were already using renewable energy in Poland, which were represented by a sample from which the study data were collected. The data were collected in the rural and urban areas of Poland between January 2021 and August 2021. The questionnaire was sent to the targeted respondents through email, where they were required to complete and submit Google forms. A total of 600 respondents were targeted, among which 467 respondents gave suitable feedback that was used for the data analysis.

The first analysis involved the verification of the study model. This was done using reliability and validity analysis using techniques such as composite reliability (CR) and average variance extracted (AVE). This evaluated the suitability of the analysis model, and the potential reliability of the analysis. Another analysis that was conducted was of the descriptive statistics, which evaluated the demographic characteristics of the respondents, such as age, gender, and occupation. The evaluation of the study was done using the structural equation modeling (SEM). The analysis was conducted using AMOS vs. 26 and SPSS vs. 23.

4. Results

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the respondents. From the results, the majority of the respondents were female, comprising 63%, and males comprised 37%. Considering the age, the majority of respondents were in the age group 30–40 years followed by those aged 18–30 years. The smallest age group category was above 60 years. For education, the highest education as those with diplomas consisting of 59% followed by those with high school or lower level of education. The research also investigated the occupation of the respondents, and most of them were self-employed, represented by 37%, followed by those with technical jobs, comprising 36%.

| Table 1. Demographics of respondents. |
|---|
| n | % |
| Gender | Male | 172 | 36.8 |
| | Female | 295 | 63.2 |
| Age | 18–30 Years | 100 | 21.4 |
| | 30–45 Years | 248 | 53.1 |
| | 50–60 Years | 77 | 16.5 |
| | Above 60 Years | 42 | 9 |
| Highest Education Level | High School or Lower | 99 | 21.2 |
| | Diploma | 276 | 59.1 |
| | Graduate | 90 | 19.3 |
| | Post-Graduate/Higher | 2 | 0.4 |
| Occupation | Technical Jobs | 166 | 35.5 |
| | Company Employee | 49 | 10.5 |
| | Government Officer | 34 | 7.3 |
| | Self-Employed | 173 | 37 |
| | Others | 45 | 9.6 |

Table 2 and Figure 2 shows the results of confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). The first CFA analysis was conducted, but one measure of indices (NFI) was below the threshold.
The model was evaluated using the modification indices and the error terms with high modification indices were correlated. As a result, the CFA indices improve to acceptable levels as shown in the “adjusted model” column in Table 2 below. The thresholds, according to [47–51], were within the acceptable threshold.

Table 2. CFA Fit Indices.

| CFA Indices | Threshold | First Model | Adjusted Model | Status    |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|-----------|
| CMIN/df     | <3.00     | 2.014       | 1.889          | Satisfactory |
| RMSEA       | <0.08     | 0.049       | 0.044          | Satisfactory |
| CFI         | >0.90     | 0.943       | 0.954          | Satisfactory |
| TLI         | >0.90     | 0.936       | 0.949          | Satisfactory |
| NFI         | >0.90     | 0.897       | 0.908          | Satisfactory |
| IFI         | >0.90     | 0.943       | 0.955          | Satisfactory |
| Overall     |           |             |                | Satisfactory |

Discriminant validity was conducted to evaluate whether the tests of the concepts were highly correlated with other tests that are designed to measure theoretically different concepts. The required threshold that the square root of AVE was greater than inter-construct correlations was met. This is presented in Table 3.

Table 4 shows that all the standardized factor loadings were above the threshold of 5.0 according to [48]. The reliability conducted by composite reliability (CR) and validity results conducted by average variance extracted (AVE) is presented in the Table 4. The composite reliability of all the study variables ranged from 0.787 to 0.854. These figures were all above 7.0 thresholds as stipulated by [52]. For the AVE, the values ranged from 0.503 to 0.644, which satisfied the set threshold of 5.0 according to [53].
Table 3. Discriminant validity.

|     | EC   | EU   | FI   | IC   | RA   | REA  | RT   |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| EC  | 0.814|      |      |      |      |      |      |
| EU  | 0.674| 0.830|      |      |      |      |      |
| FI  | 0.685| 0.678| 0.777|      |      |      |      |
| IC  | −0.071|−0.089|−0.036| 0.814|      |      |      |
| RA  | 0.699| 0.740| 0.698|−0.048|0.835 |      |      |
| REA | 0.678| 0.641| 0.661|−0.070|0.625 |0.831 |      |
| RT  | 0.645| 0.689| 0.717|−0.062|0.672 |0.592 |0.796 |

Table 4. Reliability and validity results.

| Variable | CR      | AVE |
|----------|---------|-----|
| IC       | 0.794   | 0.543|
| EC       | 0.841   | 0.521|
| RT       | 0.854   | 0.503|
| EU       | 0.853   | 0.516|
| FI       | 0.822   | 0.644|
| RA       | 0.787   | 0.581|

Note: IC = Initial Cost; EC = Environmental Concern; RT = Risk and Trust; EU = Ease of Use; FI = Financial Incentives; RA = Relative Advantage.

The structural equation modeling (SEM) was the major statistical analysis of this research, which was aimed at evaluating the hypotheses of the study. The output of the SEM analysis is presented in Figure 3 below.

Figure 3. Evaluation of structural equation modeling results.

Though the model suitability metrics were tested in the CFA, it was also necessary to evaluate the suitability of the SEM model. The SEM indices indicated that CFA Indices CMIN/df = 1.889, RMSEA = 0.044, CFI = 0.954, TLI = 0.949, NFI = 0.908, IFI = 0.955, which were all above recommended thresholds, according to [45–48]. This implied that the
proposed model was fit to conduct the SEM analysis for evaluation of the study hypotheses. The results of the SEM hypotheses analysis are presented in the Table 5 below.

### Table 5. Evaluation of study hypotheses.

| Hypothesis | Path Relationship | Estimate   | Accept? |
|------------|-------------------|------------|---------|
| H1         | IC → REA          | −0.007     | No      |
| H2         | EC → REA          | 0.355 ***  | Yes     |
| H3         | RT → REA          | 0.065      | No      |
| H4         | EU → REA          | 0.203 ***  | Yes     |
| H5         | FI → REA          | 0.307 ***  | Yes     |
| H6         | RA → REA          | 0.082 ***  | Yes     |

Note: *** = \( p < 0.01 \). Source: research data.

The results revealed that among the six study hypotheses, four of them were accepted. The first accepted hypothesis was H2, represented by the path coefficient between environmental concerns (EC) and renewable energy adoption (REA) (\( \beta = 0.355, p < 0.01 \)). This indicated that environmental concern has a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland. The second was H4, represented by the path coefficient between ease of use (EU) and renewable energy adoption (REA) (\( \beta = 0.203, p < 0.01 \)), indicating that ease of use has a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland. The third was H5, represented by the path coefficient between financial incentives (FI) and renewable energy adoption (REA) (\( \beta = 0.307, p < 0.01 \)). This indicated that financial incentives have a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland. The last was H6, represented by the path between relative advantages (RA) and renewable energy adoption (REA) (\( \beta = 0.082, p < 0.01 \)). This implied that relative advantage has a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland. However, H1 and H3 were rejected, which implied that initial cost and risk and trust did not significantly influence renewable energy adoption in Poland.

This research found that environmental concern has a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland. This implied that aspects such as environmental conservation and prevention of pollution by energy sources would result in positive renewable energy technology adoption. According to the findings, a unit increase in environmental conservation aspects would result in 0.355 units in renewable energy adoption in Poland. Another vital finding of this research was that ease of use has a significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland. These findings were supported by [54], who indicated that environmental motivations are slightly more important than economics and that perception of solar installers is also important to adopters.

Ease of use implied aspects such as how easy it is to understand, operate, and maintain the technology. The findings of this study were supported by [13], who indicated that perceived ease of use significantly influenced the intention to use solar energy technology. This finding is also important when considering future studies to ascertain internal factors that influence the adoption of renewable energy sources in Poland. Study [41] discussed the importance of factors such as perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and attitude; a future recommendation is a study that explores the influence of these internal variables in exploring the framework to ascertain if the findings will be consistent with the results in [37–41] on the significance of these variables towards measuring TAM postulates.

Additionally, financial incentives were found to have significant and positive effect on renewable energy adoption in Poland. The financial incentives included government and non-governmental organizations support, installation support, and discounts of on the initial cost. This study found that a unit increase in financial incentives resulted in 0.307 units increase in renewable energy technology adoption in Poland. These findings agreed with that of [14], who indicated that a well-established regulatory system to support consumers helps in efficient adoption of renewable energy technology.

The findings of the study also indicated that relative advantage aspects of renewable energy technology positively influenced renewable energy adoption in Poland. Specifically,
one unit increase in relative advantage aspects led to 0.082 units increase in renewable energy adoption. These findings were supported by [28], whose studies found that relative advantage of a technology as compared to other technology is a major factor in its adoption.

5. Conclusions, Implications, and Policy Recommendations

Considering the increasing awareness of the environmental pollution and increasing demand for renewable energy, this study provides critical insights regarding the factors that should be considered as far as the adoption of renewable energy adoption is concerned in Poland. Some aspects are vital to consider in the effort to promote renewable energy technology in Poland. These aspects, as identified by this study, include environmental concern, ease of use of the technology, financial incentives, and the relative advantage of the renewable energy technology as compared to other sources of energy. As Poland undergoes the great regulatory revolution towards renewable energy technology such as wind and solar energy and works towards significantly reducing the use of non-renewable and polluting energy such as coal, the aspects considered in this study are vital to consider.

This study focused on evaluating consumers’ adoption of renewable technology in Poland. The study was necessitated by how harnessing renewable energy continues to become important worldwide, replacing the non-renewable forms of energy such as oil, gas, and coal. The study, guided by the TAM model, used primary data collected from renewable energy household users in Poland. The study found out that there are four major factors influence the adoption of renewable energy technology in Poland. These include environmental concern, ease of use, financial incentives, and relative advantage [26,55,56].

Based on the findings of this research, several policy recommendations were made. First, the aspects of environmental concern should play a key role in pushing for adoption of renewable energy, as more people are aware and concerned about environmental impacts and its conservation. There is a dire need to minimize environmental pollution caused by non-renewable sources of energy. RE adoption is the major alternative. Creating awareness among the residents is of great importance and should be collaboratively undertaken by the government, non-governmental organizations, (as well as enterprises. The issues solved and benefits of RE should be explicitly disclosed to the public.

Second, government, non-governmental organizations, and concerned stakeholders should consider giving incentives towards renewable energy sources, as this has a significant influence on its adoption by households. This is necessitated by the fact that RE is capital intensive, and the upfront cost is quite high. Therefore, there is need for the government and other stakeholders to provide long-term and proper financing strategies for interested consumers. It is also important to consider reducing the interest rates associated with RE as a motivational mechanism.

Third, technology should be made available to households in an easy-to-use manner, as complexity may discourage its adoption [57,58]. Finally, relative advantage is a critical aspect in renewable energy technology, and awareness regarding the benefits and strengths of renewable energy should be prioritized by households.

The overall process of conducting the study is considered quite satisfactory from the researchers’ perspective. However, two limitations are evident. First, this study was conducted in Poland, and specifically applies to the renewable energy situation in Polish households. Therefore, the application of the findings should be made with caution and recognition of the study environment. The second limitation is that the study applied the TAM model and used six variables. However, there are other models, such as unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT), which would allow inclusion of several study variables. In addition, this study excluded internal variables such as perceived usefulness, subjective norm, and attitude. The second part of this study will address this shortcoming and provide a more holistic outlook towards the discourse on renewable energy adoption in Poland and guide the formulation of government policy towards renewable energy use in households in Poland.
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Appendix A

Table A1. The scales adopted for the study.

| Construct                      | Sources       |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| **Initial Cost**               |               |
| IC1: I find that initial cost of owning and installing renewable energy are expensive | [7,14]       |
| IC2: I think that renewable energy should have cheaper price to encourage their purchase |               |
| IC3: I have sources of funds for the purchase of renewable energy |               |
| IC4: I find the purchase of renewable energy a good investment for future |               |
| IC5: I find that renewable energy is more expensive compared with conventional energy |               |
| **Environmental Concern**      | [18]          |
| EC1: I am anxious about pollution in the environment |               |
| EC2: Environmental pollution caused by energy is not good |               |
| EC3: I am anxious about environmental problems caused by energy sources |               |
| EC4: I am anxious about climate change and the associated hazardous effects |               |
| EC5: Utilization of renewable energy can improve the environment |               |
| **Risk and Trust**             | [18]          |
| RT1: I believe that renewable energy is a risk-free source of energy |               |
| RT2: I am aware of the risks associated with renewable energy |               |
| RT3: I don’t think I am at risk when using renewable energy |               |
| RT4: I trust renewable energy because it can provide for my best interest in mind |               |
| RT5: I have more trust in renewable energy more than other energy sources |               |
| **Ease of Use**                | [29]          |
| EU1: It is easy to install renewable energy equipment |               |
| EU2: Learning to use renewable energy is easy for me |               |
| EU3: Manuals on renewable energy are easy to understand |               |
| EU4: Renewable energy installations are easy to operate |               |
| EU5: I can master using renewable energy equipment easily |               |
| **Financial Incentives**       | [31,32]       |
| FI1: Loans are available to require renewable energy |               |
| FI2: Grants are available to acquire renewable energy |               |
| FI3: Government subsidies renewable energy adoption |               |
| FI4: Feed-in-Tariff (FiT) is available for adopters of renewable energy |               |
| FI5: Tax relief is available to those who acquire renewable energy |               |
| **Relative Advantage**         | [29]          |
| RA1: Using renewable energy reduces fossil fuels usage |               |
| RA2: Using renewable energy decreases environmental pollution |               |
| RA3: Using renewable energy decreases carbon footprint |               |
| RA4: Using renewable energy the costs of energy supply |               |
| RA5: Energy generation from renewable energy is easier than from fossil fuels. |               |
Table A1. Cont.

| Construct                              | Sources          |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|
| Renewable Energy Adoption              | [18,20]          |
| REA1: I have the intention to spend more on renewable energy technologies than other sources of energy |                  |
| REA2: I am planning to use renewable energy technologies                     |                  |
| REA3: I am encouraged to adopt renewable energy                                |                  |
| REA4: I am willing to be renewable energy adoption ambassador                    |                  |
| REA5: I strongly recommend others to adopt renewable energy technologies       |                  |
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