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Background: Polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB) eye drops are a frequently used medication to treat Acanthamoeba keratitis. In the absence of marketed PHMB eye drops, pharmacy compounding units are needed to prepare this much needed treatment, but the lack of validated PHMB stability data severely limits their conservation by imposing short expiration dates after preparation. In this study we aim to assess the physicochemical and microbiological stability of a 0.2 mg/mL PHMB eye drop formulation stored in two kinds of polyethylene bottles at two different temperatures.

Methods: A liquid chromatography coupled with diode array detector (LC-DAD) stability-indicating method was validated to quantify PHMB, using a cyanopropyl bonded phase (Agilent Zorbax Eclipse XDB-CN column 4.6 mm×75 mm with particle size of 3.5 μm) and isocratic elution consisting of acetonitrile/deionized water (3/97 v/v) at a flow rate of 1.3 mL/min. PHMB eye drops stability was assessed for 90 days of storage at 5 and 25°C in ethylene oxide sterilized low density polyethylene (EOS-LDPE) and gamma sterilized low density polyethylene (GS-LDPE) bottles. The following analyses: visual inspection, PHMB quantification and breakdown products (BP) screening, osmolality and pH measurements, and sterility assessment. PHMB quantification and BP screening was also performed on the drops emitted from the multidose eyedroppers to simulate in-use condition.

Results: The analytical method developed meets all the qualitative and quantitative criteria for validation with an acceptable accuracy and good linearity, and is stability-indicating. During 90 days of storage, no significant decrease of PHMB concentration was found compared to initial concentration in all stored PHMB eye drops. However, BP were found at day 30 and at day 90 of monitoring in both kind of bottles, stored at 5 ° and 25 °, respectively. Although no significant variation of osmolality was found and sterility was maintained during 90 days of monitoring, a significant decrease of pH in GS-LDPE PHMB eye drops was noticed reaching 4 and 4.6 at 25°C and 5 °C respectively, compared to initial pH of 6.16.

Discussion: Although no significant decrease in PHMB concentration was found during 90 days of monitoring in all conditions, the appearance of breakdown products and their unknown toxicities let us believe that 0.2 mg/mL PHMB solution should be conserved for no longer than 60 days in EOS-LDPE bottles at 25°C.
STABILITY OF AN OPHTHALMIC FORMULATION OF POLYHEXAMETHYLENE
BIGUANIDE IN GAMMA-STERILIZED AND ETHYLENE OXIDE STERILIZED LOW
DENSITY POLYETHYLENE MULTIDOSE EYEDROPPERS

Yassine Bouattour (1), Philip Chennell (2) *, Mathieu Wasiak (1), Mireille Jouannet (1), Valérie Sautou (2)

(1) CHU CLERMONT-FERRAND, PÔLE PHARMACIE, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND, FRANCE
(2) UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT AUVERGNE, CHU CLERMONT FERRAND, CNRS, SIGMA CLERMONT, ICCF, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND, FRANCE

* : corresponding author:
Dr Philip Chennell, Hospital Pharmacist, PharmD MSc
pchennell@chu-clermontferrand.fr
Phone : +(33)4 73 75 10 36

CNRS UMR 6296 MPS
Institut de Chimie de Clermont-Ferrand (ICCF)
UFR de Médecine Pharmacie
Place Henri-Dunant, 63003 Clermont-Ferrand
FRANCE
STABILITY OF AN OPHTHALMIC FORMULATION OF POLYHEXAMETHYLENE BIGUANIDE IN GAMMA-STERILIZED AND ETHYLENE OXIDE STERILIZED LOW DENSITY POLYETHYLENE MULTIDOSE EYEDROPPERS

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB) eye drops are a frequently used medication to treat Acanthamoeba keratitis. In the absence of marketed PHMB eye drops, pharmacy-compounding units have to prepare this much needed treatment, but the lack of long-term validated PHMB stability data severely limits their conservation period by imposing short expiration dates after preparation. In this study we aim to assess the physicochemical and sterility of a 0.2 mg/mL PHMB eye drop formulation stored in two kinds of polyethylene bottles at two different temperatures.

METHOD: A liquid chromatography coupled with diode array detector (LC-DAD) stability-indicating method was validated to quantify PHMB, using a cyanopropyl bonded phase (Agilent Zorbax Eclipse XDB-CN column 4.6 mm×75 mm with particle size of 3.5 μm) and isocratic elution consisting of acetonitrile/deionized water (3/97 v/v) at a flow rate of 1.3 mL/min. PHMB eye drops stability was assessed for 90 days of storage at 5 and 25°C in ethylene oxide sterilized low density polyethylene (EOS-LDPE) and gamma sterilized low density polyethylene (GS-LDPE) bottles. The following analyses were performed: visual inspection, PHMB quantification and breakdown products (BP) screening, osmolality and pH measurements, and sterility assessment. PHMB quantification and BP screening was also performed on the drops emitted from the multidose eyedroppers to simulate in-use condition.

RESULTS: The analytical method developed meets all the qualitative and quantitative criteria for validation with an acceptable accuracy and good linearity, thus is stability indicating. During 90 days of storage, no significant decrease of PHMB concentration was found compared to initial concentration in all stored PHMB eye drops. However, BP were found at day 30 and at day 90 of monitoring in both kind of bottles, stored at 5 ° and 25 °C, respectively. Although no significant
variation of osmolality was found and sterility was maintained during 90 days of monitoring, a significant decrease of pH in GS-LDPE PHMB eye drops was noticed reaching 4 and 4.6 at 25°C and 5 °C respectively, compared to initial pH of 6.16.

**DISCUSSION:** Although no significant decrease in PHMB concentration was found during 90 days of monitoring in all conditions, the appearance of breakdown products and their unknown toxicities let us believe that 0.2 mg/mL PHMB solution should be conserved for no longer than 60 days in EOS-LDPE bottles at 25°C.

**INTRODUCTION**

Acanthamoeba keratitis (AK) is a rare but severe sight-threatening ocular infection with increasing prevalence worldwide. It is most common in contact lens (CL) wearers, with reported rates in the range of 1 to 33 cases per million CL wearers (Maycock & Jayaswal, 2016). Patients with AK may experience pain with photophobia, ring-like stromal infiltrate, epithelial defect and lid oedema. If AK is not treated adequately and aggressively, it can lead to loss of vision (Lorenzo-Morales, Khan & Walochnik, 2015). AK treatment must therefore be effective on both trophozoites and cyst stage of Acanthamoeba. Indeed, Acanthamoeba trophozoites are susceptible to antibiotics, antiseptics, antifungals, and antiprotozoals. However, due to the existence of a cyst form in Acanthamoeba that is highly resistant to therapy, this may lead to prolonged or resistant infections, as most of the above treatments remain ineffective. The first line treatments of AK are biguanides such as chlorhexidine or polyhexamethylene biguanide (Lorenzo-Morales, Khan & Walochnik, 2015; Maycock & Jayaswal, 2016). Polyhexamethylene biguanide, also known as Polyhexanide (PHMB) is a polymer used for its antiseptic and disinfectant properties. Its activity is due to interactions with the phospholipid membrane of the infectious cell, caused by rapid attraction of PHMB toward the negatively charged bacterial cell surface, with strong and specific adsorption to phosphate-containing compounds causing impairment of the integrity of the outer membrane. PHMB is then attracted to the inner membrane and bonded to phospholipids, with an increase in inner membrane permeability accompanied by bacteriostasis; complete loss of membrane function follows, with precipitation of intracellular constituents and a bactericidal effect (Broxton, Woodcock & Gilbert, 1983; Ikeda,
Due to this mechanism, PHMB is effective against numerous organisms like viruses such as HPV (Gentile, Gerli & Di Renzo, 2012) or HIV (Krebs et al., 2008; Passic et al., 2010), Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria (Yanai et al., 2011), and fungi. In 1992, Larkin et al first reported the success of treating 5 of 6 patients with a 0.02% eye-drops of PHMB, qualifying the treatment as promising in this infection (Larkin, Kilvington & Dart, 1992). A retrospective evaluation of the clinical outcomes of AK patients treated with unlicensed drugs, realized by the Community Research and Development Information Service (CORDIS), indicated that 96% of patients were using contact lenses, and that PHMB was the most frequently used medication. To treat AK, PHMB eye-drops are given hourly (day and night) for the first 48 hours before being reduced to hourly (daytime only) for several days or weeks. It is important that the treatment regimen is reduced and tailored to each clinical case to minimize any epithelial toxicity. The aim is to reduce therapy to 4 times a day, but patients may need to be on therapy for up to 6 months (Radford, Lehmann & Dart, 1998).

The efficacy of PHMB established it as a promising candidate for obtaining an official AK treatment license by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) (“European Commission : CORDIS : Projects and Results : PHMB Eye drops for treating Acanthamoeba ocular infections”). A phase I study of PHMB eye-drops has already been completed and a phase III is still ongoing to demonstrate experimental scientific evidence on the quality, safety and efficacy of PHMB and to prepare the basis for a Marketing Authorization application. (“Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) Ophthalmic Solution in Subjects Affected by Acanthamoeba Keratitis - Full Text View - ClinicalTrials.gov”).

Until then, pharmacy-compounding units have to prepare PHMB eye-drops, but the lack of long-term validated PHMB stability data severely limits their conservation period by imposing short expiration dates after preparation.

The objective of this study was to assess the physicochemical stability and to control the sterility of a PHMB 0.2 mg/mL (0.02%) ophthalmic solution in two different low-density polyethylene multidose eyedropper, at two conservation conditions (5 °C and 25°C) for three months in unopened eyedroppers and during 10 hours of simulated patient use.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Preparation and storage of PHMB solution formulations:

500 mL of 0.2 mg/mL solutions of PHMB were prepared by diluting 500 µL of 200 mg/mL PHMB solution (batch 15H28-B11, expiring September 2017, Fagron Services, Netherlands) in 49.5 mL of deionized water (Versylene® Fresenius Kabi France, Louviers, France) to obtain a 2 mg/ml solution, which was then diluted with 450 mL of sterile 0.9% Sodium chloride solution (Versylene® Fresenius Kabi France, Louviers, France).

The solutions were sterilely distributed (2 mL per unit) using a sterile syringe tipped with a 0.22 µm pore size filter (reference SLGP033RS Millipore SAS batch number R5MA47234, Molsheim Cedex, France) under the laminar air flow of an ISO 4.8 microbiological safety cabinet into two different multidose low density polyethylene (LDPE) eyedroppers:

- 1) Gamma sterilized white opaque LDPE (GS-LDPE) eyedropper squeezable bottle (reference 10002134 with Novelia® caps reference 20050772, Nemera, La Verpillière Cedex, France)
- 2) Ethylene oxide sterilized white opaque LDPE (EOS-LDPE) eyedropper squeezable bottle (reference VFLA10B10, Laboratoire CAT®, Montereau en Gatinais, France).

Study design

The stability of 0.2 mg/mL PHMB solution was studied in the two kinds of unopened multidose eyedroppers for 90 days at 25°C or 5°C, and in simulated use conditions for 10 hours.

Stability of 0.2 mg/mL PHMB solution in unopened multidose eyedroppers

The eyedroppers containing PHMB were stored upwards at controlled refrigerated temperature (Whirlpool refrigerator) at 5°C ± 3°C or in a climate chamber (BINDER GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany) at 25°C ± 2°C and 60± 5% residual humidity, until analysis.

Immediately after preparation, and at day 4, 8, 15, 30 and 90, 3 units per kind of eyedropper and storage temperature were subjected to the following analyses: visual inspection, PHMB quantification, breakdown products research, osmolality and pH measurements. Sterility was also assessed using 3 units for each kind of eyedropper and storage temperature immediately after preparation and after 30 and 90 days of storage.
As a complementary study, pH monitoring of sodium chloride 0.9% solutions was also realized in colored gamma sterilized LDPE bottle (Nemera® reference BW-F180), colored non-sterilized LDPE bottles (Nemera® reference BW-F176), translucent non-sterilized LDPE bottles (Nemera® reference BW-F178) and translucent non-sterilized polypropylene (PP) bottles (Nemera® reference C52116583658), for 30 days, at 25°C and 60% residual humidity.

**Evaluation of PHMB concentrations in eye drops during simulated use.**

At day 0, 10 eyedroppers for each tested condition were subjected to simulated patient use: every hour for 10 hours, a drop was emitted, and PHMB quantification was realized in 10 pooled drops of the 10 bottles per conditioning and per storage temperature condition.

**Analyses performed on the PHMB solutions**

**Visual inspection**

The multidose eyedroppers were emptied into polycarbonate test tubes and the PHMB solutions were visually inspected under day light. Aspect and colour of the solutions were noted, and a screening for visible particles, haziness, or gas development was performed.

**PHMB quantification and breakdown products (BPs) research**

**Chemicals and instrumentation**

For each unit, PHMB was quantified and breakdown products detected using a stability indicating method adapted from Kusters et al (Küsters et al., 2013), by liquid chromatography (LC) using AS-2055 Plus sampler with LG-1580-02 pump, Jasco MD-2018 plus with diode array detector in ultraviolet-visible range (DAD UV-Vis) and ChromNAV ® software integrator (Jasco, France). The LC separation column used was an Agilent Zorbax Eclipse XDB-CN column (4.6 mm×75 mm) with particle size of 3.5 μm and guard column (4.6 mm×12.5 mm). The mobile phase was an acetonitrile/water mixture (3/97 % v/v) in which the acetonitrile was HPLC quality (Chromosolv® for HPLC, Honeywell®) and the water was deionized and sterile (Versylene® Fresenius Kabi France, Louviers, France). The flow rate through the column for the analysis was set at 1.3 mL/min, with the column thermo-regulated to a temperature of 25 °C. The injection volume was 100 μL. The quantification
wavelength was set up at 220 nm. Breakdown product (BP) detection was realized by screening with
DAD detector from 200 nm to 600 nm.

**Validation method**

Linearity was initially verified by preparing one calibration curve daily for 3 days using 5
concentrations of PHMB 0.12; 0.16; 0.2; 0.24 and 0.28 mg/ml. Each calibration curve should have
determination coefficient R² equal or higher than 0.999. Homogeneity of the curves was verified using
Cochran test. ANOVA test was applied to determine applicability of linear model.

Each day for three days, 6 solutions of PHMB 0.2 mg/ml were prepared, analyzed and quantified
using a calibration curve prepared the same day. To verify the method precision, repeatability was
estimated by calculating RSD of intraday analysis and intermediate precision was evaluated using
RSD of inter-day analysis. Both RSDs should be less than 5%. Specificity was assessed by comparing
UV spectra using DAD detector. Method accuracy was verified by evaluating recovery of 5
theoretical concentrations to experimental values found using mean curve equation, and results should
be found within the range of 95–105%. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ)
were estimated based on the standard deviation of the response and the slope, following ICH
guidelines. For 3 days, LOD was verified based on visual inspection of the chromatograms of 6
samples and LOQ was verified by calculating repeatability and trueness of measurement on 10
samples.

In order to exclude potential interference of degradation products with PHMB quantification, PHMB
0.2 mg/mL solutions was subjected to many forced degradation conditions. Degradation conditions
were the following: 0.5N hydrochloric acid for 30 minutes at 80°C, 0.5N sodium hydroxide for 120
minutes at 80°C, 3% hydrogen peroxide at 80°C for 24 hours and thermal degradation for 24 hours
at 80°C. Susceptibility to light was not performed due to use of opaque bottles because of known
photostability of PHMB (Roth et al., 2011)

**Osmolality and pH measurements**

For each unit, pH was measured using a SevenMulti TH S40 – Mettler Toledo pH-meter equipped
with InLab® Micro Pro pH electrode. Measures were preceded and followed by instrument validation
using standard buffer solution of pH 4 (HANNAH® Instrument).
Osmolality was measured for each solution using an osmometer Model 2020 Osmometer® (Advanced instruments Inc, Radiometer, SAS, Neuilly Plaisance).

**Sterility assay**

Sterility test method was validated (i.e. growth promotion test, validation of the sterility assay and elimination of the inhibitory effect) by Thibert et al. (Thibert et al., 2014), using a method adapted from the European Pharmacopoeia sterility assay (2.6.1). Multidose eyedroppers were opened under the laminar air flow of an ISO 4.8 microbiological safety cabinet, and the contents filtered under vacuum using a Nalgene analytical test filter funnel onto a 47mm diameter cellulose nitrate membrane with a pore size of 0.45µm (ref 147-0045, Thermo Scientific, Thermo Electron SAS, Courtaboeuf Cedex, France). The membranes were then rinsed with 90 mL of Letheen broth (VWR International, Pessac, France), to remove any antibacterial effect of the solution and divided into two equal parts. Each individual part was transferred to each of a fluid thioglycolate and soya tripcase medium, and incubated at 30-35°C or 20-25°C respectively, for 14 days. The culture medium was then examined for colonies.

**Data analysis – acceptability criteria**

The stability of diluted PHMB solutions was assessed using the following parameters: visual aspect of the solution, PHMB concentration, presence or absence of breakdown products, pH and osmolality.

The study was conducted following methodological guidelines issued by the International Conference on Harmonisation for stability studies (ICH guidelines for stability (“International Conference of Harmonization (ICH), Quality Guidelines : ICH. Guidelines for stability Q1A to Q1f, (n.d.).”)) (“ICH Q5C : quality of biotechnological products : Stability testing of biotechnological/biological products”), and recommendations issued by the French Society of Clinical Pharmacy (SFPC) and the Evaluation and Research Group on Protection in Controlled Atmosphere (GERPAC)(“French Society of Clinical Pharmacy (SFPC), Evaluation and Research Group on Protection in Controlled Atmosphere (GERPAC), Methodological guidelines for stability studies of pharmaceutical preparations, 2013.”). A variation of concentration outside the 90% - 110 % range of initial concentration (including the limits of a 95% confidence interval of the measures) was considered as being a sign of instability. Presence of breakdown products and the variation of the physicochemical parameters were also considered a sign of PHMB instability. The observed solutions must be limpid, of unchanged colour,
and clear of visible signs of haziness or precipitation. Since there are no standards that define acceptable pH or osmolality variation, pH measures were considered to be acceptable if they did not vary by more than one pH unit from initial value (“French Society of Clinical Pharmacy (SFPC), Evaluation and Research Group on Protection in Controlled Atmospher (GERPAC), Methodological guidelines for stability studies of pharmaceutical preparations, 2013.”), and osmolality results were interpreted considering clinical tolerance of the preparation.

RESULTS

PHMB quantification and breakdown products research

PHMB retention time was of 9.1 minutes ± 0.8 minute. The chromatographic method used was found linear for concentrations ranging from 0.12 to 0.28 mg/mL with a determination coefficient R² equal or higher to 0.999. Average regression equation was $y = 3985934.2 \times -12276.9$ where $x$ is the PHMB concentration and $y$ the surface area of the corresponding peak. Interception was not significantly different from zero and average determination coefficient R² of 3 calibration curves was 0.988. Recovery of 0.2mg/mL was 98.85 ± 0.005, repeatability’s relative standard deviation (RSD) was 2.2% and intermediate precision’s RSD was 3%. LOD was equal to 0.021 mg/mL and LOQ was 0.065 mg/mL, and found within the range of linearity of the calibration curve with recovery coefficients of less than 5%.

Three impurities were found to be already present in the PHMB solution, two of them being visible only at 200 nm and with diode array detection, showing retention times of 3.0, 3.5 and 7.7 min on reference chromatograms and relative retention time of 0.32; 0.38 and 0.84 compared to PHMB peak (Figure 1). At 200 nm, the area of impurities 1 and 3 represented respectively 0.51%, and 1 % of PHMB peak area whereas only impurity 2 was visible at 220 nm, representing 0.68% of PHMB peak surface.

After forced degradation (Figure 2), several breakdown products were detected with a resolution higher than 1.5 of PHMB peak to all its breakdown products, except for thermal degradation which showed no change in chromatograms after 24 hours of heating at 80 °C. Figure 3 summarises relative...
retention times and intensities of all detected impurities and breakdown products function of the degradation conditions.

Stability of PHMB in unopened multidose eyedroppers

Physical stability:

All samples stayed limpid and uncoloured during the study, for both tested concentrations and conservation temperatures, and there was no appearance of any visible particulate matter, haziness or gas development.

Chemical stability

Throughout the dosage times, mean concentrations of PHMB in all studied conditions did not vary by more than 5.2% of mean initial concentration (see Table 1).

Chromatographs showed no sign of breakdown products until day 60 included for both types of LDPE eyedroppers at 25°C. After 90 days of storage, many breakdown products appeared including a breakdown product which had not been detected during forced degradation, with a retention time of 2.5 min. An increase in intensity of the acid breakdown product (retention time 1.5 min), was also noted for GS-LDPE bottles but not for EOS-LDPE bottles (Figure 4). When stored at 5°C, another previously undetected breakdown product with a maximum of absorbance at 280 nm, appeared after 30 days, for both LDPE eyedroppers (Figure 5)

Throughout the study, osmolality did not vary by more than 1.94 % (5 mosm/kg) of initial osmolality (258 mosm/kg) for both types of LDPE eyedroppers at both storage temperatures.

For the PHMB kept in EOS-LDPE, pH did not vary throughout the study by more than 0.52 and 0.46 pH units from initial pH (6.27) when stored at 5°C and 25°C respectively. However, the pH decreased considerably in the solutions conditioned in GS-LDPE, losing respectively 1.56 and 2.16 pH units from initial pH (6.16) after 90 days when stored at respectively 5°C and 25°C (see Figure 6).
Figure 7 shows no significant decrease of non-colored non-sterilized PP bottle and colored and non-colored non-sterilized LDPE bottle. However, we found a major decrease of pH (over 2.1 unit) after 30 days in colored gamma sterilized LDPE bottle.

Sterility assay

None of the 3 analysed solutions conserved in unopened bottles at day 0, day 30 or day 90 showed any signs of microbial growth.

Stability of PHMB in simulated use conditions

During 10 hours of drop sampling, no variation exceeding of ± 10% of initial concentration was found for any of the studied conditions, as presented in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

Our study presents new data on the physicochemical stability of an aqueous formulation of PHMB conditioned in two differently sterilized LDPE eyedroppers (gamma radiations and ethylene oxide). Our study also highlighted the influence of the mode of sterilization of the eyedroppers on the properties of the solutions they are designed to contain, as well as identifying a surprising chemical degradation of PHMB when conserved in refrigerated conditions.

Initial chromatograms of PHMB 0.2 mg/mL showed the presence of three impurities, which is in accordance with the analysis certificate of the raw material, which reports the presence of four impurities, one of which (hexamethylenediamine) is not visible in UV (Pubchem). PHMB retention time presented variabilities with an RSD of 8.8% due to the high sensibility of the method to minute acetonitrile concentration variation. The use of DAD detector added more specificity to the method and allowed the identification of PHMB during analyses despite the slight variations of retention time.

Forced degradation assays showed that PHMB remained stable against most tested stress conditions except acidity. In fact, we found that PHMB concentration decrease was of about 28 % after 15 min
in 0.5 N of hydrochloric acid at 80 °C, 20.2% after 120 min in 0.5N of NaOH solution at 80°C and 12.3% after 24 hours in 3% solution of hydrogen peroxide at 80°C. On the other hand, PHMB decrease was only of 2% after 24h of heating at 80°C showing a resistance towards heating. All breakdown products appeared during forced degradation were sufficiently separated from PHMB peak with a resolution higher than 1.5. Since it was impossible to quantify breakdown products and impurities, we estimated the relative absorbance of those products compared to PHMB peak. All of the products have a relative absorbance between 0,4% and 5%, except for alkaline condition which showed two breakdown products of 68% and 118%, suggesting that those breakdown products have a higher molar extinction coefficient than PHMB.

During three months of monitoring, mean concentration of PHMB remained within a 90 - 110% range of initial concentration in EOS-LDPE and GS-LDPE bottles, at 5 and 25°C. In fact, all the analysed solutions showed a decrease of less than 6% at the end of the study. However, variability of PHMB concentrations was noticed during monitoring, causing enlargement of confidence interval in EOS-LDPE eye-drops stored at 5°C, and in GS-LDPE stored at 5 and 25°C, possibly suggesting the beginning of an instability of the drug at these conditions. Only PHMB solution in EOS-LDPE bottles stored at 25°C stayed within the 90 to 110 % of initial concentration range, when considering the 95% confidence interval. Breakdown products began to appear at day 30 for solutions stored at 5°C in both kind of bottles. Such results have not been reported in any research, but concurring information was found in the certificate of analyses of PHMB 20%, suggesting that solution storage should be at a temperature higher than 8°C. Eye-drops stored at 25°C showed the appearance of several breakdown products at day 90 of monitoring for both kind of bottles as well, demonstrating that PHMB is chemically more stable at 25°C than at 5°C.

Although visual aspect was found unchanged and osmolality did not vary during the 90 days of monitoring in both conditioning at both temperatures, pH on the other hand presented a remarkable decrease during conservation in GS-LDPE bottles at 5 and 25°C. In fact, we found that pH decreased from 6.16 to reach 4 and 4.6 at 25°C and 5 °C respectively in GS-LDPE bottles, but no significant variation noticed for EOS-LDPE. Gamma rays are energetic rays that oxidise LDPE, and could result in liberation of protons that could acidify contained solution. To further verify the cause of pH decrease (LDPE, LDPE dye, LDPE method of sterilisation or the PHMB solution itself), pH comparison of 0.9% sodium chloride solution conditioned in sterilized colored, non-sterilized colored
and non-colored LDPE bottles, and uncolored non-sterilized PP bottles was realised as well. pH comparison demonstrated that gamma sterilised eyedroppers were at risk of a pH decrease in sodium chloride solution and thus could explain pH decrease in PHMB solution. This is probably due to liberation of acids from LDPE when sterilized with gamma irradiation. In fact, Kawamura (Kawamura, 2004) reported that acids such acetic acid, propionic acid, butanoic acid, pentanoic acid and acetone were detected in polyethylene and polypropylene products after irradiation doses of 10, 30 and 50 kGy.

Six BPs was found at day 90 in both kind of LDPE eye drop bottles, among which one BP was not found in any condition during forced degradation assay, and another found in acid degradation conditions. The acid BP peak was very close to the solvent front peak which makes it difficult to quantify, but by comparing the intensity of this peak between solution in GS-LDPE and EOS-LDPE, we found that this peak was higher in GS-LDPE solution, which suggest that its formation was accelerated due to the acidification of the solution in GS-LDPE bottle. Since best stability of PHMB is between 5 to 7 (Küsters et al., 2013), the acidification of the solution in GS-LDPE could therefore accelerate its degradation.

PHMB eye drops was described to be stable for 45 days when frozen and for 14 days when refrigerated if prepared with 0.01% benzalkonium chloride water (McElhiney, 2013). The difference between stabilities of those eye drops to ours could be explained by the use of different excipient, since we used 0.9% sodium chloride instead, considered as more isotonic, or alternatively the study was not performed for a longer time. Despite there being no other published data on ophthalmic formulations of PHMB, its stability was assessed in other pharmaceutical forms. For instance, Lucas et al found that PHMB at 1 ppm in multipurpose contact lens solution being stable after 30 days stored at -20°C, 5°C and 25 °C (Lucas, Gordon & Stratmeyer, 2009). However, since their quantification method were not stability indicating, it is hard to confirm the absence of potentially toxic breakdown product in PHMB solutions. On the other hand, Küsters et al (Küsters et al., 2013) also found PHMB at 0.02% stable for 12 months at 22°C in wound gel and irrigation solution, but as previously mentioned, their method might not have been optimal for the detection of specific breakdown products and the galenic form of their product does not make it suitable for eye delivery.

Our data has proven that PHMB concentrations remained physicochemically stable throughout the study at 25°C for up to 2 months without any detectable breakdown products appearing or other
parameter modification when stored in ethylene oxide sterilized low density polyethylene bottles. This information is therefore in favour of identical quality of the product after 60 days of storage. A study of PHMB biological activity, using bioassays such as MIC testing or time-kills against standard bacterial isolates or the use of an Acanthamoeba complete-kill assay (Kowalski et al., 2013) could however confirm this information: as intact PHMB already possessed antimicrobial activity, unchanged PHMB should retain this activity. Such an additional study could however be used to investigate the activity of physicochemically unstable PHMB solutions. For example, when breakdown products are observed, is the formulation still potent? Do these products or other compounds released from the container modify PHMB activity or affect ophthalmic tolerance? This investigation could therefore be coupled to a cytotoxicity study evaluating “unstable” formulation, in order to assess the pertinence of the stringent quality specifications adopted for stability studies.

The sterility assay performed in our work followed the European Pharmacopeia sterility monography ("Council of Europe, European pharmacopoeia, 2014. Monographs No. 2.6.1, eighth ed. Council of Europe, Strasbourg") and did not reveal any microbial contamination after 3 months of storage. Absence of microbiological growth during use is fundamental to improve patient safety. Optimal microbiological sterility can be achieved using single dose eyedroppers, however such a technology is not always applicable for all drugs or formulations and not available in most of hospital compounding department.

During simulated use of PHMB eye droppers, we evaluated PHMB concentrations in emitted eye drops for 10 hours, in order to research any sorption phenomenon that could lead to a decrease of PHMB concentration in the delivered drops. Since PHMB eye drops must be taken each hour for the first 24 hours, any loss of PHMB concentration during use may probably delay healing. Any sorption phenomenon that could have happened would have appeared early, so the absence of any concentration decrease leads us to believe that no clinically relevant sorption happened. In our experiments, we pooled 10 drops for analytical reasons. We found no significant variation of PHMB concentration in both LDPE bottles, especially for GS-LDPE which contain silicone in their caps, thus establishing that there is no clinically significant sorption between PHMB and the used eyedroppers.

**CONCLUSION:**
Although no significant decrease in PHMB concentration was found during 90 days of monitoring, the appearance of breakdown products and their unknown toxicities let us believe that 0.2 mg/mL PHMB solution should be conserved for no longer than 60 days in EOS-LDPE bottles at 25°C.
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Figure 1

Reference chromatograms of 0.2 mg/ml PHMB solution. A: at 220 nm; B: at 200 nm and C: diode array detection screening
Figure 2

Screening with diode array detector of 0.2 mg/mL solution of PHMB after acid (A), alkaline (B), thermal (C) and oxidative (D) degradation.
Figure 3

Relative retention times and relative intensity of all detected impurities and breakdown products function of the degradation conditions at 220nm. * at 200 nm
Figure 4

Chromatogram of PHMB solution in GS bottles at 25°C at day 0 (blue) and day 90 (red) in wavelength of 200 nm (A), 220 nm (B) and diode array detector screening (C)
Figure 5

Chromatograms of 0.2 mg/ml PHMB solution in GS-LDPE bottle after 30 and 90 days storage at 5°C, viewed with 280 nm (PHMB not detectable at this wavelength) (A) and diode array detector screening (B).

BP: Breakdown Products
Figure 6

pH evolution in PHMB 0.2 mg/mL solution during 90 days (mean ± SD, n = 3). Straight red lines indicate initial pH ± 1 unit.
Figure 7

pH monitoring of 0.9% NaCl in polypropylene, colored gamma sterilized, colored non-sterilized and non-colored non-sterilized low density polyethylene bottles during 30 days.
Table 1 (on next page)

Evolution of PHMB concentration for each storage condition and kind of bottle in unopened eyedroppers (mean ± confidence interval, n = 3).
| Kind of bottle and storage temperature | Initial concentration at Day 0 = 100% (mg/mL) (mean ± IC 95%) | % of initial T0 measurement (mean ± CI 95%) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                                      |                                                               | Day 4  | Day 8  | Day 15 | Day 30 | Day 60 | Day 90  |
| EOS-LDPE                             |                                                               |        |        |        |        |        |         |
| 5°C                                  | 0.204 ± 0.002                                                 | 99.8 ± 3.19 | 98.8 ± 6.55 | 98.44 ± 5.2 | 103.91 ± 7.27 | 97.61 ± 13.37 | 96.42 ± 3.90 |
| 25°C                                 |                                                               | 102.03 ± 4.48 | 98.94 ± 1.54 | 99.85 ± 4.90 | 101.21 ± 7.08 | 99.85 ± 4.63 | 97.58 ± 7.72 |
| GS-LDPE                              |                                                               |        |        |        |        |        |         |
| 5°C                                  | 0.201 ± 0.002                                                 | 101.45 ± 10.99 | 104.5 ± 0.75 | 103.37 ± 8.9 | 102.92 ± 3.46 | 97.55 ± 15.43 | 97.87 ± 3.62 |
| 25°C                                 |                                                               | 100.83 ± 7.63 | 99.55 ± 4.32 | 99.75 ± 0.38 | 103.62 ± 9.41 | 97.51 ± 11.06 | 95.89 ± 3.33 |
Table 2 (on next page)

Evolution of PHMB concentration (% of initial concentration) in drops for each storage condition and kind of bottle in in-use condition during 10 hours, pooled from 10 bottles (n=1). * Not determined
| Hours | 25°C  | 5°C  | 25°C  | 5°C  |
|-------|-------|------|-------|------|
| 0     | 99.3% | 98.4%| 103.9%| 103.9%|
| 1     | 104.2%| 103.9%| *     | 105.2%|
| 2     | 99.7% | 103.6%| 101.3%| 105.1%|
| 3     | 96.3% | 100.0%| 101.6%| 98.0%  |
| 5     | 105.9%| 105.7%| 105.7%| 100.1%|
| 6     | 98.1% | 104.2%| 99.5% | 102.2%|
| 7     | 99.7% | 104.4%| 103.1%| 104.8%|
| 8     | 101.8%| 102.6%| 103.4%| 105.0%|
| 9     | 102.7%| 102.9%| 101.3%| 101.9%|
| 10    | 103.3%| 102.7%| 103.6%| 104.4%|