Diffuse light in the young cluster of galaxies CL J1449+0856 at z=2.07 *

C. Adami¹, F. Durret², L. Guennou¹, and C. Da Rocha³

¹ LAM, OAMP, Université Aix-Marseille & CNRS, Pôle de l’Etoile, Site de Château Gombert, 38 rue Frédéric Joliot-Curie, 13388 Marseille 13 Cedex, France
² UPMC-CNRS, UMR7095, Institut d’Astrophysique de Paris, 98bis Bd Arago, F-75014, Paris, France
³ Núcleo de Astrofísica Teórica, Universidade Cruzeiro do Sul, R. Galvão Bueno 868, 01506–000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Context. Cluster properties do not seem to be changing significantly during their mature evolution phase, for example they do not seem to show strong dynamical evolution at least up to z∼0.5, their galaxy red sequence is already in place at least up to z∼1.2, and their diffuse light content remains stable up to z∼0.8. The question is now to know if cluster properties can evolve more significantly at redshifts notably higher than 1.

Aims. We propose here to see how the properties of the intracluster light (ICL) evolve with redshift by detecting and analysing the ICL in the X-ray cluster CL J1449+0856 at z=2.07 (discovered by Gobat et al. 2011), based on deep HST NICMOS H band exposures.

Methods. We used the same wavelet-based method as that applied to 10 clusters between z=0.4 and 0.8 by Guennou et al. (2012).

Results. We detect three diffuse light sources with respective total magnitudes of H=24.8, 25.5, and 25.9, plus a more compact object with a magnitude H=25.3. We discuss the significance of our detections and show that they are robust.

Conclusions. The three sources of diffuse light indicate an elongation along a north-east south-west axis, similar to that of the distribution of the central galaxies and to the X-ray elongation. This strongly suggests a history of merging events along this direction. While Guennou et al. (2012) found a roughly constant amount of diffuse light for clusters between z=0 and 0.8, we put in evidence at least a 1.5 magnitude increase between z≈0.8 and 2. If we assume that the amount of diffuse light is directly linked to the infall activity on the cluster, this implies that CL J1449+0856 is still undergoing strong merging events.
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1. Introduction

Clusters of galaxies are the final product of a long term hierarchical accretion process, starting with the first matter density concentrations visible in the Cosmic Microwave Background and continuing until present days with still growing massive clusters such as Coma. We can define at least three benchmarks in the cluster building process.

First, the actually favored cosmological ΛCDM model predicts that the first massive structures should appear around z∼2. More quantitatively, Romer et al. (2001) for example have shown that structures hotter than 2 keV should not be found in a ΛCDM universe with Ωm=0.3 approximately beyond this redshift. Such temperatures of 2 keV can be considered as the lowest possible values for clusters, group temperatures being closer to 1 keV (e.g. Fig. 7 of Ulmer et al. 2005). The fact that the highest redshift confirmed massive structures are located around z∼2.1 (Gobat et al. 2011) is in good agreement with this model (as long as other massive structures are not detected at significantly higher redshifts).

After having reached this initial (somewhat arbitrary) temperature of 2 keV, clusters continue to grow accreting matter from their surrounding filaments. This growth is probably mainly driven by accretion of already formed groups (e.g. Adami et al. 2005a). At redshifts close to 2, such events could be considered as major mergers between more or less equally massive structures, the impactor (the infalling group) not being significantly less massive than the impacted structure (the “cluster”). At lower redshifts, the impacted structure has gained mass, while infalling groups are still of low mass, and we therefore reach a situation where fusions are becoming minor. This allows us to define a second crucial step in the cluster history,
which corresponds to the epoch (around z ∼1, see Appendix A) where infalling groups are becoming significantly less massive than the accreting cluster.

Clusters then mainly grow through minor fusions until they (hypothetically) reach a virialized state. However, such virialized clusters are very rare, even if a few are known at z ∼0 (e.g. A496, Durret et al. 2000). This shows that clusters have generally not yet reached this third step.

We have therefore defined two main epochs in the cluster history: their initial accretion phase between z ∼2 and 1, and their mature growth at redshifts lower than 1.

There is accumulating evidence that cluster properties do not change very significantly during their mature evolution phase. Even if their galaxy populations show variations during this period, with more lenticular and fewer late type galaxies at z ∼0 than at z ∼1, clusters do not seem for example to show strong dynamical evolution at least up to z ∼0.5 (e.g. Adami et al. 2000), their galaxy red sequence is already in place at least up to z ∼1.2 (e.g. Bremer et al. 2006), and their diffuse light content remains stable up to z ∼0.8 (Guennou et al. 2012).

The question is now to know if cluster properties evolve more significantly at redshifts notably higher than 1. This is a new field of investigation since very few clusters are known in this redshift interval. We propose in this paper to pave the way regarding the cluster diffuse light content by trying to detect this cluster baryonic component at z ∼2.1 in the structure discovered by Gobat et al. (2011).

Diffuse light becomes an important aspect in clusters of galaxies as shown by recent studies (Gregg & West 1998; Mihost et al. 2005; Zibetti et al. 2005; González et al. 2007; Krick & Bernstein 2007; Rudick et al. 2010; Burke et al. 2012). It finds its origin at least partly in matter ejections from galaxies during galaxy-galaxy or galaxy-cluster potential interactions (see e.g. Adami et al. 2005b, or Dolag et al. 2010 for recent simulations). More intense interactions should therefore lead to a larger amount of diffuse light in clusters, and by the detection of diffuse light we can evaluate the infalling activity on a cluster.

Galaxy evolution and “pre-processing” in groups, prior to their infall in clusters, also play an important role in the content of diffuse light in mature clusters (Fujita 2004; Rudick et al. 2006). The amount of pre-processing will depend on the characteristics of the infalling group, such as density and dynamical evolution stage (Da Rocha & Mendes de Oliveira 2005, Da Rocha et al. 2008).

Guennou et al. (2012) used deep HST ACS data to perform detection of diffuse light for 10 clusters between z=0.4 and 0.8 and did not detect a significant variation of the cluster diffuse light content with redshift. By applying a somewhat less sophisticated method, Burke et al. (2012) extended this search to a sample of 6 clusters at z=1 based on VLT/HAWK-I data, and found that IntraCluster Light (hereafter ICL) only constituted (1 − 4)% of the total cluster light.

Playing the same game at higher redshift is a difficult task, since extremely deep images are required to be treated cosmological dimming factor acting on extended sources following a (1+z)^4 law. The need for images with very low sky background is even more crucial at redshifts significantly larger than 1, given the surface brightness of the searched sources. This clearly speaks in favor of space-based images. Finally, such a search requires redder bands as we go to higher redshifts, because the diffuse light usually detected in clusters is rather red, and therefore probably not forming stars (e.g. Adami et al. 2005b). Hence, we need to use photometric bands sensitive to old stellar populations at the considered redshift (typically redder than the rest-frame V band). At z≥1, this eliminates all visible bands, only leaving us with NIR bands.

Combining these three requirements drastically reduces the number of clusters with suitable data available. The Gobat et al. (2011) z=2.07 structure is one of them. Being one of the very rare z≥1.5 clusters with a measured X-ray temperature hotter than 2 keV, it has been observed with the NICMOS HST near infrared camera in the F160W band (close to the H band) with a ~20 ksec exposure time. Such data should make possible the detection of diffuse light sources only ~five times brighter in flux than the typical Coma sources, by applying the same wavelet technique as in Guennou et al. (2012).

Section 2 describes the data. Section 3 describes the method and presents our detections. Section 4 deals with the detection robustness. Section 5 deals with the source redshift, and Section 6 is the discussion. We adopted the concordance cosmological model (Ω_{Λ}=0.74, Ω_{M}=0.26). All magnitudes are given in the AB system.

2. Data

As previously stated, we downloaded the HST NICMOS H band data for the CL J1449+0856 cluster (observing program 11174, PI: E. Daddi). The data consist of two separate exposures of 7680 and 10240 sec in the F160W filter. This cluster, with a center at α = 222.3092°, δ = +8.9404° (see Gobat et al. 2011) also shows extended X-ray emission, and is at a redshift of 2.07. Gobat et al. (2011) estimated its mass to (5.3 − 8)×10^{13} M_{\odot}.

The F160W filter at z=2.07 is more or less equivalent to the F814W filter for clusters at z ~0.56. This is close to the mean redshift of the cluster sample analysed by Guennou et al. (2012) based on data taken with the F814W filter and analysed with the same method we will apply here. We are therefore in a very favourable situation to compare the results of the present study with those of Guennou et al. (2012).

We used the NICMOS-pipelined mosaic images. This reduction is suitable for our purposes because it only removes a constant sky level, and therefore does not remove potential large scale diffuse light sources. We then extracted a 134×162 pixel^2 area centered on the cluster position. This eliminated portions of the initial images strongly affected by flat field residuals (see also Section 4).

We finally combined the two images to create a ~18 ksec F160W image. This was made with the Scamp and Swarp softwares (Bertin et al. 2002; Bertin 2006) without background removal in order not to erase diffuse light sources because of the Spline background estimate method used by Swarp.

We note that the cluster does not occupy the same place on the NICMOS detector in the two individual images, minimizing the effect of flat field and detector artifacts in our analysis.
3. Method and results

3.1. The use of the OV_WAV package

We applied the same wavelet-based method (the OV_WAV package, see e.g. Pereira 2003, and Da Rocha & Mendes de Oliveira 2005) to detect diffuse light as applied to 10 clusters between z=0.4 and 0.8 by Guennou et al. (2012). A similar method was also used for the Coma cluster (z=0.023, Adami et al. 2005b) and Abell 2667 (z=0.233, Covone et al. 2006). We are therefore in the position of discussing the full cluster history, from z=0 to z~2.1. We briefly summarize below the method that we applied. We only give the salient points of the technique in this section and refer the reader to Pereira (2003) and Da Rocha & Mendes de Oliveira (2005) for more details.

OV_WAV is a multi-scale vision model (e.g. Rué & Bijaoui 1997). After applying a wavelet transform to an observation of the targeted cluster, we identified the statistically significant pixels in the wavelet transform space (at the 5σ level). We note that the three diffuse light sources that we will discuss in the following were detected as objects by OV_WAV in the second object image, melted with numerical residuals. This is why we used the residual image to locate these diffuse light sources.

In a first step, we detect small scale objects in the sky image to produce our object image. We consider characteristic scales between 1 and 32 pixels in wavelet space, adapted to the size of the NICMOS images. The object image is then subtracted from the sky image to produce the residual image (Fig.1 left). This residual image includes both hidden features which are typically too faint to satisfy the wavelet first-pass thresholding conditions (fixed at 5σ) and the diffuse light sources. We finally detect objects in this residual image, on characteristic scales between 1 and 16 pixels, to create a second object image.

In a second step we search for what we call the real ICL sources, i.e. extended low surface-brightness features in the residual image. These features are detected in this image by considering the pixels where the signal is larger than 2.5σ compared to an empty area of the residual image (Fig. 1 shows the 2.5 and 3σ levels as red contours). Each of these sources was visually inspected before the removal of obvious numerical residuals of bright saturated Galactic stars or defects due to image cosmetics. This was done by subtracting a Sinc function (see Appendix B) with the orientation of the SExtractor detected objects. The amplitude of the Sinc function was scaled to the SExtractor object magnitudes. We note that the three diffuse light sources that we will discuss in the following were detected as objects by OV_WAV in the second object image, melted with numerical residuals. This is why we used the residual image to locate these diffuse light sources.

3.2. Detected sources

We display our results in Fig. 1. Objects that are wavelet-detected in the first pass are compact and also nearly all SExtractor-detected. The residual image (Fig.1 left) includes three diffuse light sources (S1, S2, S3) and probably a compact low signal to noise object (indicated as Obj 1). We also show the blue rectangles in which we measured the magnitudes for S1, S2, S3, and Obj 1. S1, S2, and S3 have respective total magnitudes of H=24.8±0.13, 25.5±0.21, and 25.9±0.34 (see next section). Their mean surface brightness are 25.95, 26.0, and 26.3. This amount of diffuse light in CL J1449+0856 roughly corresponds to a L* field galaxy at z~2 (e.g. Ilbert et al. 2005). This is a similar amount to what we found in the Coma cluster (Adami et al. 2005b). Obj 1 is a compact object with a total magnitude of H=25.3.

We note that the S1 source is located 2.4 arcsec from the optical center of CL J1449+0856. At z=2.07, this translates to almost 20 kpc, typical of the clustercentric distances found for the diffuse light sources of Guennou et al. (2012). This also means that such a study is impossible with classical ground-based data where the typical seeing is of the order of 1 arcsec.

4. Detection robustness

4.1. Simulations

We first address the question of the theoretical detection limit of our method with the data in hand. Considering the simulations by Guennou et al. (2012), OV_WAV should not allow us to detect Coma-like 2.5σ diffuse light sources at z greater than 0.8 in 10 ksec F814W HST ACS exposures. Assuming a (1+z)3 dimming factor, the restframe wavelength, and an exposure of 18 ksec, this implies that we could only detect sources 1.7 magnitude/arcsec2 brighter than Coma-like sources at z=2.07 with F160W at the 2.5σ level, and sources 1.2 magnitude/arcsec2 brighter than Coma-like sources at z=2.07 with F160W at the 2σ level. Note however that these estimates are based on two different instruments (ACS versus NICMOS) and related to different redshifts and observed wavelength ranges (already formed clusters at z≤1 versus a young cluster just at the beginning of its formation at z~2).
we performed this exercise 10 times for each simulated surface brightness. This allowed to quantify our ability to detect sources of diffuse light, as well as the purity of our detections (how many fake sources we are detecting).

Knowing that relatively strong flat field residuals are affecting the external parts of the HST NICMOS images, we can ask the question of the contribution of this effect to the diffuse light detection. We therefore performed the described simulations in two areas. The first one is centered on the cluster and the second one is located in the image region which is the most affected by flat field residuals (see Fig. 2).

We show the results in Fig. 3. We see that we are able to detect 100% of the diffuse light sources down to a brightness of $H \sim 25.2$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. The detection percentage then decreases rapidly when considering the image region that is strongly affected by flat field residuals to reach a null efficiency for brightness of $H \sim 26.2$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. On the other hand, we see that we can detect sources down to $H \sim 26.8$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ in the cluster region. These results are in good agreement with our detections. Obj1 (see Fig. 1) is located just at the limit of the full detection range, while the three diffuse light sources are located in the decreasing part of the blue curve in Fig. 3. We note that the faintest diffuse light source would not have been detected if it had been located in the high flat field residual region. Fig. 3 also implies that we possibly miss diffuse light sources with brightnesses fainter than $H \sim 26.8$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ in our detections, so our estimate of the total amount of diffuse light in CL J1449+0856 is only a lower limit.

Finally Fig. 3 shows that our results are probably only weakly affected by fake detections because no such detections occur for very faint brightnesses (where we theoretically are unable to detect our simulated sources). This means that our purity is higher than 90%: less than 1 fake detection is occurring among our 10 simulations.

With these simulations, we were also able to estimate the typical uncertainty on the magnitudes of S1, S2, and S3, computed as the 1σ variation of the recovered surface brightnesses.
This allowed us to estimate the magnitude uncertainties given in Section 3.2.

4.2. Individual exposures

Another test is to try to detect diffuse light sources in each of the two individual NICMOS images in order to estimate the robustness of our detections. Since the cluster does not fall at the same place on the detector in the two exposures, flat field problems should not affect the detection of diffuse light in the same way. We do not expect to detect S1, S2, and S3 at better than the 2σ level in individual exposures but the fact that they could be visible in each of the two individual images would give confidence in our summed-image detections. We show in Fig. 4 the residual images obtained for the two individual exposures. The 2σ level detections are similar to the results obtained with the 18 ksec combination. S1 is not significantly detected in the 7680 sec exposure, but appears in the 10240 sec exposure. S2 also seems to appear in both exposures, while S3 and Obj1 are detected in the two individual images. Detection levels are low (2σ versus 2.5σ for the combined image), as expected, but this gives confidence in our detections performed on the 18 ksec combined image.

5. Redshift of the diffuse light sources

The next crucial step is to estimate the redshift of the detected diffuse light sources. It is obviously impossible with the instrumentation presently available to measure a spectroscopic redshift for these sources. It is also impossible to compute a photometric redshift with the single available photometric band. We will therefore rely on statistical arguments to show that the three detected diffuse light sources are probably members of the CL J1449+0856 cluster. Several objects could mimic cluster diffuse light sources, in particular: (1) relatively bright and single extended objects on the line of sight, (2) associations of very faint objects.

5.1. Single galaxies along the line of sight?

In order to test hypothesis (1), we considered the Cosmos HST-ACS catalog (Scoville et al. 2007). We computed the mean surface brightness using the Cosmos aperture magnitude measured inside a 0.3 arcsec radius area.

- This catalogue extends over ~2 deg², provides objects down to I~26.5 (total magnitude), and theoretically includes all objects (including the faintest possible surface brightness objects) down to I~23.75 (see Fig. 5). The star-galaxy separation is efficient down to I~24. Objects fainter than this limit become so small that even the Cosmos high resolution images start to be unable to resolve them. Besides the consequences on our surface brightness computation, this also underlines our inability to select pure galaxy samples at these magnitudes.

- The Cosmos survey does not include H-band measurements, so we had to compute F814W - F160W colors for different redshifts and different spectral types in order to be able to put our three diffuse light sources on the same plot. This was done with the LePhare tool (Ilbert et al. 2006) between redshifts of 0.1 and 6 and for three different spectral types (Elliptical1: red circles, Sa0: blue dots, and Sd0: green crosses in Fig. 5) among the Cosmos templates.

- We assumed the Cosmos galaxy catalog complete in terms of surface brightness and not polluted by stars down to I~23.75 (total magnitude). In other words, we assumed that all objects brighter than I~23.75 are detected in the Cosmos survey whatever their surface brightness, and that we can separate stars from galaxies. We then computed the 3σ, 2.5σ, and 2σ Cosmos detection limits in terms of surface brightness down to I~23.75. In other words, for a given total I-band magnitude, we computed the maximal mean surface brightness allowing the detection of 99.7% of the galaxies (i.e. the 3σ level: red broken line in Fig. 5), of 98.75% of the galaxies (i.e. the 2.5σ level: green broken line in Fig. 5), and of 95.45% of the galaxies (i.e. the 2σ level: blue broken line in Fig. 5). Finally, we fit straight lines on these broken lines to roughly estimate the surface brightness limitations at fainter total I-band magnitudes to detect galaxies at the 3σ, 2.5σ, and 2σ levels, where objects are too small to be resolved in the considered images. Note that these lines are in fact upper limits, since one would expect that as magnitudes become fainter, the surface brightness limit cannot continue to increase linearly.

Fig. 5 shows that less than 0.3% of the Cosmos galaxies could be faint enough in terms of surface brightness to
mimic our three diffuse light sources. Considering the density of known Cosmos galaxies on the sky and the spatial extension of our diffuse light sources, we conclude that we only have 15% of chances to have a Cosmos-like galaxy on the line of sight explaining S1, 8% of chances for S2, and 7% of chances for S3. The probability for all three diffuse light sources to be explained by Cosmos-like galaxies on the line of sight is therefore lower than 0.1%.

The last possibility in the hypothesis (1) framework would be to have detected large and extremely low surface brightness galaxies on the line of sight, faint enough in surface brightness not to be detected by the Cosmos survey. To test this, we used the field low surface brightness galaxy catalog detected in Adami et al. (2006). In a 30×30 arcmin² empty sky region, we detected 29 galaxies with B ≤ 25.5 and B surface brightness ≤ 27.2 mag arcsec⁻². Assuming a B-I color varying between 1 and 6 (from LePhare computations) between z=0 and 4, the probability to have such a low surface brightness galaxy in a 10 × 10 arcsec² area (the presently considered region of interest including S1, S2, and S3) varies between 0.2% and 8%. The probability to have 3 such galaxies is therefore lower than 0.05%.

5.2. Associations of very faint objects along the line of sight?

Associations of very faint objects along the line of sight (hypothesis 2) could also explain our diffuse light sources. They could be compact classical objects that are spatially correlated. In order to test this, we considered the Hubble Ultra Deep Field (Beckwith et al. 2006), providing an object catalog down to i ≤ 29.75 (on a more reduced area than the Cosmos region). Given the spatial density of HUDF objects, only 0.3, 0.17, and 0.15 objects could statistically be located in the S1, S2, and S3 areas. This is clearly not enough to explain an association of objects mimicking our diffuse light sources. The only way to reach such a result would be to have exceptionally detected 3 extremely distant groups of galaxies in a ~10 × 10 arcsec² area. Even with the most favourable cluster-cluster angular correlation functions (e.g. Durret et al. 2011), the probability to find 3 groups hotter than 2 keV (Romer et al. 2001) in a 10 × 10 arcsec² area to explain S1, S2, and S3 is completely negligible (≤10⁻¹¹).

We can therefore conclude quite securely that the best possibility to have detected three such low surface brightness objects in such a small area is to have detected diffuse light sources related to the CL J1449+0856 cluster.

6. Discussion

As already stated in this work, the general process of the cluster building history starts by the growth of an initial mass fluctuation. This seed is then fed by infalling matter along the cosmological filaments, mainly in the form of already formed groups of galaxies (in which galaxies are pre-processed). This induces interactions between galaxies, and between infalling galaxies and the cluster potential. The intensity and frequency of these interactions depend on many parameters, such as the stage of dynamical evolution of the infalling groups and of the growing cluster. Interactions induce matter ejections from galaxies through for example dynamical friction or tidal disruptions. The signatures of such processes are then directly detectable through intracluster diffuse light source detections. Their distribution in a given cluster (assuming that the survival time of these sources is not too long) is therefore expected to give direct indications on the zones where galaxy interactions have taken place, and on the directions from which infall has occurred on to the cluster.

We applied this technique to the CL J1449+0856 cluster, comparing the indications given by intracluster diffuse light sources to other indicators: the position of the central galaxies of CL J1449+0856 clearly indicates a north-east south-west axis. The same orientation is visible in the S1, S2, S3 distribution. This elongation is similar to that found in X-rays from XMM-Newton data by Gobat et al. (2011, see the white ellipse on their Fig. 1, left) and therefore suggests a history of merging events along this direction.

Similarly, the amount of diffuse light detected in a cluster will also give insight on the intensity of the infall process undergone by the cluster. We show in Fig. 6 the total absolute magnitude (in F814W rest frame for the 10 Guennou et al. (2012) clusters to the left, and F160W for CL J1449+0856 to the right). The F160W filter at z=2.07 is equivalent to the F814W filter for clusters at z=0.56. Filled circles: raw values, crosses: mass normalized values with Fig. 10 of Guennou et al. (2012). Error bars are only plotted for the mass normalized values. The Guennou et al. (2012) points were assumed to be plagued by a ±0.2 magnitude error, as suggested by Fig. 10 of this paper.
to exhibit larger amount of diffuse light compared to a lower mass cluster. While Guennou et al. (2012) found a more or less constant amount of diffuse light for clusters between $z=0$ and 0.8, we put in evidence a broadening by at least 1.5 magnitude between $z=0.8$ and 2. If we assume that the amount of diffuse light is directly linked to the infall activity on a given cluster, as discussed in Guennou et al. (2012), we have here a clear sign of the intense merging processes ongoing in CL J1449+0856, as expected for such a young and distant cluster.
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Appendix A: Infalling activity on clusters in the Millennium simulation

The approximate redshift where infalling groups are becoming less massive than the accreting cluster can be evaluated using the Millennium simulation (Springel et al. 2005), based on a $\Lambda$CDM universe with $\Omega_m=0.3$ and $\Omega_\Lambda=0.7$ (close to the concordance model of Dunkley et al. 2009). For haloes more massive than $4 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$, we computed in four redshift intervals the ratio (expressed in percentages) between the halo mass and the maximum mass of the other haloes in a 2.5 Mpc radius (a typical virial radius for clusters) and within 0.01 in redshift. Such neighbors are assumed here to be structures that will be accreted in the future. We show in Fig. A.1 that a cut seems to appear at $z \geq 1$ where infalling haloes are closer to the value of the main system mass. The position of this cut is also in good agreement with the expectations of Ulmer et al. (2009), based on the typical relaxation time of a galaxy falling onto a typical cluster. This will obviously have to be confirmed on larger numerical simulations in the future given the relatively small number of massive structures present in the Millenium simulations.

Appendix B: Sinc subtraction in the residual image

In order to better remove the numerical artifacts in the residual image, we subtracted from this image a SinC function centered on each object and scaled to the object magnitude. In order to test the validity of this approach, we show in Fig. B.1 (black curve) the numerical artifacts coming from the brightest star in the CL J1449+0856 field of view ($\alpha=14:49:14.820; \delta=+08:56:12.70$) after the removal of the object image from the sky image (see Section 3). These artifacts can be modeled by a SinC function (red curve). The subtraction of this analytical curve will therefore remove the negative and positive annuli...
circling the central peak, at the cost of having an oversubtraction of this residual central peak.

Fig. B.1. Black curve: numerical artifacts along the image x-axis coming from the brightest star in the CL J1449+0856 field of view ($\alpha=14:49:14.820; \delta=+08:56:12.70$) after the removal of the object image from the sky image (see Section 3). Red curve: Sinc modelisation.
Fig. A.1. Histograms of the mass ratios of the most massive infalling structure to the main structure in the Millennium simulation given as percentages, in four redshift bins. The vertical lines show the mean values for each redshift bin. A percentage of 100 means that the most massive infalling structure has the same mass as the main structure.