Kicked-rotor quantum resonances in position space: Application to situations of experimental interest
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Abstract In this work we apply the formalism developed in [M. Lepers et al., Phys. Rev. A 77, 043628 (2008)] to different initial conditions corresponding to systems usually met in real-life experiments, and calculate the observable quantities that can be used to characterize the dynamics of the system. The position space point of view allows highly intuitive pictures of the physics at play.
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1 Introduction

Over the last 30 years, the kicked rotor has played a central role in the field of quantum chaos, which is defined as the study of quantum systems whose classical counterpart is chaotic [1, 2, 3]. The first atom-optics experimental realization of its quantum version in 1995 [4] was obtained by submitting laser-cooled atoms to a periodic series of “kicks” of a laser standing wave which is put on periodically for a very short time compared to the atom dynamics. It has been shown that, under quite general conditions, this system displays a characteristic behavior named “dynamical localization”, that is, an asymptotic saturation of its average kinetic energy, that can be attributed to the existence of destructive interferences in the system. An impressive number of experimental results followed this pioneering work [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], spanning domains like quantum transport [14], measurements of the gravitational constant [15], condensed matter and quantum phase transitions with, recently, the first experimental observation of the metal-insulator Anderson transition with matter waves [13, 16, 17].

Dynamical localization is not the only interesting phenomenon displayed by the kicked rotor. Another striking feature of the quantum kicked rotor (QKR) is the existence of quantum resonances (QRs) [1], which arise if the kicking period matches the evolution of the quantum phase of the atoms. A QR is characterized by a ballistic behavior in which the velocity increases linearly and the average kinetic energy quadratically with time, in sharp contrast with dynamical localization. Since the pioneering theoretical works [18, 19], the QRs have been the subject of an impressive amount of work, both theoretical [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 20, 27, 28, 29] and experimental [13, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38], including the effect of gravity (the so-called quantum accelerator modes) [7, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44], directed motion (or quantum ratchets) [45, 46, 47, 48, 49], or the effects of interatomic interactions in a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) [49, 50].

The main goal of activity around QRs is to calculate and measure the average momentum and kinetic energy, and possibly control the dynamics, e.g. by constructing ad hoc position or momentum distributions. The present article is placed in this mainstream. We apply analytical methods previously developed in [27], validated by comparison with numerical simulations, to the mean momentum and kinetic energy of the QKR in situations often encountered in the laboratory. Some of these results have already been presented in other works, but our approach and the intuitive picture of the dynamics sheds a new light on these complex behaviors.

Systems that are periodic in space, as the kicked rotor is, conserve quasimomentum. This means that its eigenstates are combs displaying the same periodicity as the potential, both in the position and in the momentum space, the Fourier transform of a comb being a comb. A comb-shaped spatial wave function, in the absence of a potential, has the striking property of resuming its initial (strongly localized) shape after some characteristic time, an effect known in optics as the Talbot effect [51]. The QRs of the kicked rotor are a quantum version of the Talbot effect. In [27] we performed an analysis of such effect in the position space, which allowed us to simply relate the QR dynamics...
to local properties of the potential at the positions where the wave function reconstructs. The QRs’ ballistic behavior then arises as a consequence of the fact that the wave function focuses, before each kick, at the same position with respect to the potential. The system thus receives the same amount of momentum from each kick, and the effect of successive kicks adds constructively. The resulting dynamics, although based on interferences, can be interpreted in terms of purely mechanical arguments.

However, the initial conditions of real experiments are seldom comb-shaped functions. The initial state of a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC), for example, can be modeled as a sharp superposition of plane waves around zero momentum. The hotter atom cloud from a magneto-optical trap is represented as an incoherent mixture of plane waves. The purpose of the present article is to show that the picture presented in [27] is also valid in those situations. The main point is that an arbitrary initial wave function can be interpreted in terms of purely mechanical arguments. Not directly related to the periodicity of the potential, the initial conditions of real experiments are seldom comb-shaped functions. The initial state of a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC), for example, can be modeled as a sharp superposition of plane waves around zero momentum. The hotter atom cloud from a magneto-optical trap is represented as an incoherent mixture of plane waves. The purpose of the present article is to show that the picture presented in [27] is also valid in those situations. The main point is that an arbitrary initial wave function can be interpreted in terms of purely mechanical arguments.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall the essential features of our approach to QRs in position space. We then generalize these results to initial conditions of experimental interest: After considering the case of a plane wave (sec. 3), which is the basic component to describe experimental situations, we address the case of a coherent superposition of two plane waves (sec. 4), which is used to interpret the recent experiments on quantum transport and ratchets, the case of a narrow momentum distribution, which is characteristic of a BEC (sec. 5); finally, for the sake of completeness, we briefly discuss the “trivial” case of a broad initial momentum distribution, characteristic of a thermal cloud (sec. 6). In all these cases, our picture of the evolution seen in position space allows a simple interpretation of the dynamics. Section 7 draws the conclusions of this work.

2 Quantum resonances in position space

The atomic kicked rotor is obtained by placing laser-cooled atoms of mass \( M \) and momentum \( p \) in a standing wave formed by two counterpropagating (along the x-axis) laser beams of wave number \( k_L = 2\pi/L_L \). The atoms are thus submitted to a mechanical potential called “optical potential” \( V(x) = V_0 \cos(2k_Lx) \) formed by the standing wave. If the standing wave is modulated in the form of periodic pulses (period \( T \)) of duration \( \tau \) very short at the timescale of the atom dynamics, one obtains the well-known kicked rotor Hamiltonian

\[
H = \frac{p^2}{2} + K \cos X \sum_n \delta(t - n),
\]

where we used reduced variables \([4]\) in which time is measured in units of the kicking period \( T, X = 2k_L x, P = kp/2\hbar k_L \), \( K = kV_\tau/\hbar \), where \( k = 4\hbar k_L^2 T/M \) plays the role of a reduced Planck constant \([3]\). The parameter \( k \), which plays a critical role in what follows, can be experimentally tuned by changing the kicking period \( T \).

The quasimomentum \( \beta \) is defined by the relation

\[
P = (n + \beta) k,
\]

where \( n \) is an integer and \( \beta \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \) is in the first Brillouin zone. The spatial periodicity of the potential implies that \( \beta \) is a constant of motion \([4]\). In position space, wave functions \( \psi_\beta(X) \) corresponding to a well-defined quasimomentum have the well-known Bloch-wave structure

\[
\psi_\beta(X) = e^{i\beta X} u_\beta(X),
\]

where \( u_\beta(X) \) has the same spatial period \( 2\pi \) as the potential \([4]\).

The dynamical evolution of the atoms is governed by the one- (temporal) period evolution operator of the quantum kicked rotor

\[
U = \exp\left(-i\frac{K}{k} \cos X\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{p^2}{2k}\right),
\]

where the first term on the right-hand side corresponds to the effect of an instantaneous kick and the second to a free propagation between two kicks. The factorization of \( \hbar / M \ll \lambda_L \) for all relevant values of the atomic momentum \( p \).

\[5\] As the atoms move on a straight line, \( X \in ]-\infty; +\infty[ \) and \( P \in ]-\infty; +\infty[. \]

\[6\] In the sense that the corresponding Schrödinger equation is \( \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = H \psi \).

\[7\] The conservation of quasimomentum is a general property of periodic potentials, but the case of the atomic kicked rotor allows a completely different interpretation. Interacting with a far-detuned standing wave, an atom can only absorb and re-emit photons by stimulated emission. Four kinds of process are possible: Two of them correspond to the absorption and emission of a photon in the same propagative wave forming the standing wave; in this case the overall momentum exchanged between the atom and field is zero. Two other process correspond to the absorption of a photon in one of the propagative waves followed by the emission of a photon in the other propagative wave, in which case the momentum exchanged is two times the photon momentum, that is \( 2\hbar k_L \). Starting from a well-defined momentum \( p_0 \), only momentum states of the form \( 2n\hbar k_L \) (or \( nk \) in our units) are populated. The conservation of quasimomentum can thus be deduced from this “microscopic” argument, not directly related to the periodicity of the potential.

\[8\] The atom wave function is thus \( \psi(X) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\beta \psi_\beta(X) \).
is allowed because the kicks are short compared to the atom dynamics, so that one can neglect the contribution of the free-evolution term during this short time. (General) quantum resonances appear if \( k \) is a rational multiple of \( 4\pi \), i.e. \( k = 4\pi r/s \). In physical units, this corresponds to a kicking period \( T = RrT \), where \( T = \pi/M/\hbar \bar{k}^2 \) is a characteristic time, called the Talbot time. Given a state \( \psi(\beta, t) \) of well-defined quasimomentum \( \beta \), one can use Eq. (4) to calculate the wave function \( \psi(\beta, t) \) after one period later. The condition for simple quantum resonances is \( k = 2\pi\ell \), with \( \ell \) integer, which leads to a particularly simple recursion relation [see Eq. (10)] for the wave function:

\[
\psi(\beta, t) = e^{-iK\cos{X}/\hbar} \exp{(ik(\beta + 1)/2)} \times \psi(\beta, t - 1).
\]

The term \( \exp{(ik(\beta + 1)/2)} \) is a global phase that does not affect the dynamics. The free evolution between kicks thus preserves the shape of \( \psi(\beta) \) but translates it, over a whole kick period, of

\[
v_\beta = k \left( \beta + \frac{1}{2} \right). \tag{6}
\]

In what follows, we have considered the evolution of an atom initially prepared in a Bloch wave perfectly localized in a spatial period \([-\pi, \pi]\) and centered at a position \( \xi \in [-\pi, \pi] \), that is

\[
\chi(\beta, \xi) = e^{i\beta X} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(X - \xi - 2\pi j). \tag{7}
\]

The CSBW in Eq. (7) is characterized by its quasimomentum \( \beta \) and by its “center” \( \xi \) which is determined by the weights of each momentum component \( nk \). In the case of a quantum resonance – and only in this case – the evolution between two subsequent kicks just translates the wave packet of \( v_\beta \); therefore, for fixed \( \beta \), contributions due to different initial values of \( \xi \) are not mixed, and each CSBW \( \chi(\beta, \xi) \) evolves independently of the others. An arbitrary initial state can be expanded in CSBWs \( \chi(\beta, X - \xi) \) [see Eq. (17)]

\[
\psi(\beta, t = 0) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\xi \psi(\beta, t = 0) \chi(\beta, X - \xi), \tag{11}
\]

with \( \psi(\beta, t = 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n} e^{(n+\beta)\xi} \tilde{\psi}(n) \), \( \tilde{\psi}(n) \) being the amplitude of the component \( n \) in momentum space.

In the following sections, we apply the above formalism to cases of experimental interest where the initial state is not a CSBW, but either a coherent or an incoherent mixture of CSBWs. As the CSBWs evolve independently, we have just to find the weights \( \pi_{\beta, \xi} \) of each CSBW in the initial state, which then allows us to directly calculate the averages

\[
\mathcal{P}_X(t) - \mathcal{P}_X(t = 0) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\beta}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi} \pi_{\beta, \xi} \left( \mathcal{P}_X^k(t) - \mathcal{P}_X^k(t = 0) \right) \tag{13}
\]

with \( k = 1, 2 \), and \( \mathcal{P}_X^k \) given by Eqs. (9) and (10). In the case where the initial state is a coherent superposition of plane waves, \( \pi_{\beta, \xi} \) is given by

\[
\pi_{\beta, \xi} = |\psi(\beta, \xi, t = 0)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n, n'} e^{i(n - n')\xi} \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(n) \tilde{\psi}(n), \tag{14}
\]

while in the case of an incoherent mixture, \( \pi_{\beta, \xi} \) is

\[
\pi_{\beta, \xi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n} \left| \tilde{\psi}(n) \right|^2. \tag{15}
\]

### 3 Plane wave

Let us first apply the previous results to the simple case of a plane wave. A plane wave of momentum \( p_0 \) has a well-defined quasimomentum \( \beta_0 \) which satisfies \( p_0 = (n_0 + \beta_0)k \), but is completely delocalized in the real space, which means that all values of \( \xi \) are equiprobable on \([-\pi, \pi]\), hence \( \pi_{\beta, \xi} = \delta(\beta_0 - \beta)/2\pi \). From Eqs. (9) and (13) one finds,

\[
\mathcal{P}_X(t) - \mathcal{P}_X(t = 0) = \frac{K}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\xi \sum_{s=1}^{t} \sin(\xi + v_\beta s).
\]

\[
= p_0. \tag{16}
\]
Figure 1. (color on line) Dynamics of a zero-momentum plane wave. The initial distribution, uniform in the position space (restricted here to two potential wells), is divided into “slices” represented in different colors. Panel (a) corresponds to the ballistic evolution of the quantum resonance \( k = 4\pi \). After a free evolution between kicks, each color has come back to the initial position (slices of same color are at the same position in the bottom “degradé” as in the top one). The subsequent kick is thus applied to the same spatial distribution, and each slice receives the same momentum from any kick. The momentum transfers thus add constructively from kick to kick. Panel (b) corresponds to the “anti-resonance” condition \( k = 2\pi \). In such case, each colored slice is shifted by half the lattice step by the free evolution between kicks, and thus receives from a given kick a momentum transfer of the same magnitude, but of opposite direction with respect to the previous kick, which leads to a periodic behavior.

The average kinetic energy is obtained in the same way:

\[
\overline{E}(t) = E(0) + K^2 \frac{\beta_0^2}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\xi \left( \sum_{s=1}^{t} \sin(\xi + \beta_0 s) \right)^2
= \frac{k^2}{2} (\beta_0^2 + \beta_0^2)^2 + K^2 \sin^2(\beta_0 t/2) / 4 \sin^2(\beta_0/2) ,
\]

(17)
a result previously obtained in \([23]\). If \( v_{\beta_0} = 0 \)[2\pi], where \( x[2\pi] \) means \( x \mod 2\pi \), the dynamics is ballistic: \( \overline{E}(t) = E(0) + K^2 t^2 / 4 \), whereas if \( v_{\beta_0} = \pi[2\pi] \) (e.g. \( k = 2\pi, \beta_0 = 0 \)) the dynamics is periodic (or “anti-resonant”), i.e. \( \overline{E}(t) = \overline{E}(0) + K^2 / 4 \) for odd and \( \overline{E}(t) = \overline{E}(0) \) for even.

Figure 1 gives a simple interpretation of this behavior for a zero-momentum plane wave \( (n_0 = \beta_0 = 0) \). On panels (a) and (b), the initial position-space distribution is uniform, but we have attributed a different color to each slice of it, so that one can follow its evolution. Eq. \((8)\) shows that the free evolution between kicks results in a simple global shift of the wave function between \( t = 0 \) and \( t = 1 \) kick. In the ballistic case (a), this shift is such that all “colors” (or slices) come back to the same place at \( t = 1 \) (and also at \( t = 2, 3, \ldots \)). Therefore, each part of the wave function receives the same momentum transfer \( K \sin \xi \) [for example, the yellow part receives the maximum momentum transfer \( +K \), see Eq. \((19)\)]. As the wave function fills uniformly in the potential wells when the kicks are applied, the average momentum is always zero. But, the average kinetic energy grows quadratically, since some “colors” are accelerated in the positive direction, while others are accelerated in the negative direction. Although the position-space distribution is uniform, the local effect of the kicks remains visible on the average kinetic energy. By contrast, figure 1 (b) illustrates the so-called anti-resonant case, for which the position-space kicks, a given “color” receives a momentum equal to \(-K \sin \xi\), whereas at even kicks, it receives \(+K \sin \xi\), hence a periodic behavior.

4 Superposition of two plane waves: inducing directed motion

It has recently been show that directed motion of the wave packet (which is sometimes called a “quantum ratchet”) can be obtained by preparing a BEC in a coherent superposition of two momentum states via a Bragg pulse \[45\-47\-48\]. This yields a superposition of two plane waves: say, one centered at \( P = 0 \) and one centered at \( P = -k \), each plane wave being equally populated. We present here an alternative, and hopefully more intuitive, interpretation of this result using the position-space point of view.

A coherent superposition of two plane waves \( (|0\rangle - \iota e^{i\phi} |\bar{k}\rangle) / \sqrt{2} \) is characterized by a mean momentum equal to \(-k/2 \) \[10\]. The corresponding initial CSBW distribution \( \pi_{\beta,\xi} \) is obtained from

\[
\tilde{\psi}_{\beta}(n) = \frac{\delta(\beta)}{\sqrt{2}} (\delta_{n,0} - \iota e^{i\phi} \delta_{n,-1})
\]

(18)
and Eq. \((12)\):

\[
\pi_{\beta,\xi} = |\tilde{\psi}_{\beta}(\xi, t = 0)|^2 = \frac{\delta(\beta)}{2\pi} (1 - \sin(\xi - \phi)) ,
\]

(19)
which is localized in quasimomentum and inhomogeneous in the position representation. Performing the integral in Eq. \((13)\) we retrieve Eq. \((5)\) of ref. \[10\]

\[
\overline{P}(t) = -\frac{k}{2} - \frac{Kt}{2} \cos \phi ,
\]

(20)
which shows a directed motion, which is not due to the non-zero initial average momentum, but to the inhomogeneity of the position-space distribution. This point can be stressed by considering an initial superposition of two arbitrary plane waves \( (|n\rangle - \iota e^{i\phi} |(n - 1)\rangle) \) \( \sqrt{2} \). By applying Eqs. \((13)\) and \((12)\), one obtains the same distribution \( \pi_{\beta,\xi} \) as in Eq. \((19)\). For all values of \( n \), the directed motion will be characterized by the same current, whatever the initial average momentum and kinetic energy. This remarkable property emphasizes the central role of \( \pi_{\beta,\xi} \) on the dynamical evolution of the QKR.
Figure 2. Time evolution of the average kinetic energy obtained by integration of Eq. (1). The initial momentum distributions are coherent Gaussian superpositions of plane waves, whose central quasimomenta \( \beta = 0 \) are ballistic \((k = 4\pi)\). Their root mean squares, \( \sigma = 0.0115 \) (solid lines) and \( \sigma = 0.00866 \) (dashed lines), are such that \( \Delta = 0.04 \) and 0.03 respectively (see text). Other parameter: \( K = 10 \).

In Eq. (20), the direction of the motion is controlled by the quantum phase. For \( \phi = 0 \), the maximum of \( \pi_{x,\xi} \) is located at \( \xi = -\pi/2 \), where the local momentum transferred from the pulse reaches its minimal value \(-K\) (cf. Fig. 1), which creates a dominant current towards \(-X\), thus corresponding to a negative average momentum. The opposite situation \( \phi = \pi \) corresponds to the case where the maximum of \( \pi_{x,\xi} \) coincides with the maximum positive transferred momentum \(+K\): the current is maximum towards the \(+X\) direction. The prefactor 1/2 in the current comes from the averaging over the width of the spatial distribution, which means that some slices of the distribution receive an amount of momentum which is smaller than \( K \) in absolute value. The amplitude of the current can thus be increased by building a position-space distribution sharply localized on favorable values of the spatial potential.

As pointed out in Ref. [45], such kind of quantum transport cannot be observed if the initial state is a plane wave. Our picture of QRs makes this result easily understandable, as a plane wave equally samples all parts of the kicking potential. This reasoning is straightforwardly generalized to the arbitrary kicking potentials obtained by adding higher harmonics of the spatial frequency \( 2k_L \) [52].

In the anti-resonant case \( k = 2\pi\ell \) ( \( \ell \) odd), no transport can be observed, even with an inhomogeneous distribution, as given slice at position \( \xi \) will always receive from kick \( t \) a momentum exactly opposite to the one received at kick \( t - 1 \).

5 Narrow initial momentum distribution

The momentum distribution of a BEC, although rather sharp, has actually a finite width, which induces a damping with time of the effects observed in the ideal, zero-width case [14]. This is illustrated on Figs. 2 and 3 with numerical simulations made for a coherent initial momentum distribution, which is a Gaussian centered at zero \((\bar{P}(0) = 0)\), and whose root mean square is \( \sigma \). This distribution is assumed to be so narrow \((\sigma \ll 1)\), that it only populates the first Brillouin zone in a significant way,

\[
|\tilde{\psi}_\beta(n)|^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{(n + \beta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\
\approx \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \delta_{n0}. \tag{21}
\]

Note that \( \sigma \approx 10^{-2} \) for Bose-Einstein condensates. Therefore, each quasimomentum \( \beta \) is associated to a plane wave centered at \( k\beta \), and the CSBW distribution \( \pi_{x,\beta} \) is thus independent of \( \xi \),

\[
\pi_{x,\beta} = \frac{1}{\sigma (2\pi)^{3/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \tag{22}
\]

Figures 2 and 3 show that the behavior associated to the central quasimomentum is visible in the early dynamics. Then, it disappears after a characteristic time \( \tau_d \), which decreases as the distribution width \( \sigma \) increases.
Namely, Fig. 2 shows a numerical simulation of the resonant case $k = 4\pi$ in which this modification manifests itself as a transformation of the initial ballistic motion, characterized by a quadratic increase of the average kinetic energy (see inset) into a diffusive motion in later times $t > \tau_d$, characterized by a linear increase of the average kinetic energy. For the sharper initial distribution (in dashed lines), the change in the dynamics occurs slightly later, and the resulting diffusion is characterized by a higher rate. Fig. 3 shows the anti-resonant case $k = 2\pi$, whose characteristic oscillations of the average kinetic energy are damped. The broader is the initial momentum distribution, the faster is the damping process.

Such changes between the early and the asymptotic dynamics has been observed for thermal gases, i.e. incoherent mixtures of plane waves [28,44], whereas our simulations are made for Bose-Einstein condensates, i.e. coherent superpositions of plane waves. For narrow momentum distributions ($\sigma \ll 1$), the dynamics is the same for coherent and incoherent superpositions. As the initial momentum and quasimomentum distributions are identical, the different momentum components evolve independently from each other, and so are not sensitive to any phase difference between them.

In order to give a simple picture of the dynamics, in what follows, we consider a square initial momentum distribution

$$|\tilde{\psi}_\beta(n)|^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} & \text{for } |\beta| \leq \frac{\Delta}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

The parameter $\Delta$ is such that the Gaussian and square momentum distributions have the same average kinetic energy, that is $\sigma = \Delta/\sqrt{12}$. The corresponding CSBW distribution $\pi_{\xi,\beta}$ is

$$\pi_{\beta,\xi} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi \Delta} & \text{for } |\beta| \leq \frac{\Delta}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Applying Eq. (17) to this particular case, we can write the average kinetic energy in the form

$$\bar{E}(t) = \bar{E}(0) + \frac{K^2}{4\Delta} \int_{-\Delta/2}^{\Delta/2} d\beta f_\beta(t), \quad (25)$$

which shows a filtering process in momentum space, associated to the function

$$f_\beta(t) = \frac{\sin^2(\pi \ell (\beta + 1/2)t)}{\sin^2(\pi \ell (\beta + 1/2))}, \quad (26)$$

characteristic of diffraction by a grating [23]. As $f_\beta(t)$ becomes sharper with time, it better “resolves” the details of the initial momentum distribution, especially its finite width, which can explain the damping process observed on Figs. 2 and 3.

An alternative explanation of this damping effect can be obtained by considering the behavior of a slice at position $\xi$ of the initial distribution. After $t$ kicks, the parts of the distribution characterized by the extremal values of $\beta = \pm \Delta/2$ have been translated, according to (5), of $\pi t (\pm \Delta + 1)t/2$. The filtering process becomes significant when an initial slice $\xi$ has spanned one potential well (the “spatial” first Brillouin zone), which gives a damping time $\tau_d = 2/\ell \Delta \sim (t\Delta)^{-1} \sim (k\Delta)^{-1}$, a trend confirmed by our numerical simulations.

Now let us turn to the specific case of Fig. 2. Let us approximate the central lobe $|\beta| t \leq 1$ of the filtering function $f_\beta(t)$ by

$$f'_\beta(t) = t^2 \cos^2(\pi \beta t), \quad (27)$$

which is shown in Figs. 3(a) and (b) for different times $t = 8$ and $t = 60$. If $t \leq \Delta^{-1}$, the central lobe completely encompasses the initial momentum distribution; therefore the average kinetic energy is

$$\bar{E}(t) \approx \bar{E}(0) + \frac{K^2t^2}{4\Delta} \int_{-\Delta/2}^{\Delta/2} d\beta \cos^2(\pi \beta t)$$

$$\approx \bar{E}(0) + \frac{K^2t^2}{8} \left(1 + \frac{\sin(\pi \Delta t)}{\pi \Delta t}\right), \quad (28)$$

Figure 4. The filtering function $f_\beta(t)$ [see Eq. (25)] in full lines, and its approximation $f'_\beta(t)$ [see Eq. (27)] in dashed lines: for (a) $t = 8$ kicks, and (b) $t = 60$ kicks. The initial momentum distributions, of Gaussian shape ($\sigma = 0.0115$) and of square shape ($\Delta = 0.04$), are also plotted for comparison, in dotted and dashed-dotted lines, respectively. They are multiplied by an arbitrary factor to be visible on the graph.
which shows, as expected, that the early dynamics is ballistic ($E(t) \propto t^2$), with a coefficient $K^2/4$, as for a plane wave. As $t$ approaches $\Delta^{-1}$, Eq. (29) predicts that the dynamics remains ballistic, but that the rate decreases from $K^2/4$ to $K^2/8$. This conclusion in not valid for $t > \Delta^{-1}$, when the edges of $\pi \beta, \xi$ fall outside of the central lobe of the filtering function. We can then calculate the integral (25) over the range of the central lobe, that is for $|\beta| \leq 1/2$:

$$E(t) \approx E(0) + \frac{K^2 t^2}{4 \Delta} \int_{-1/2t}^{1/2t} d\beta \cos(\pi \beta t), \quad (29)$$

which yields a diffusive behavior ($E(t) \propto t$)

$$E(t) \approx E(0) + \frac{K^2}{8 \Delta} t, \quad (30)$$

whose rate is inversely proportional to $\Delta$. This trend is also visible on Fig. 2 for Gaussian momentum distributions.

In the anti-resonant case shown in Fig. 3, the energy, although damped, oscillates for a time equal to a few $\Delta^{-1}$, and finally “freezes” at $K^2/8$. To catch the most important features of the dynamics, we will once again take an approximate form for Eq. (25). Indeed, we can take $\sin^2 \{\pi t (\beta + 1/2)\} \approx 1$ over the width of the quasimomentum distribution $\Delta$. By expanding $\sin^2 \{\pi t (\beta + 1/2)\}$, one gets

$$E(t) \approx E(0) + \frac{K^2}{8} \left[ -1 - \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{-\Delta/2}^{\Delta/2} d\beta \cos \{2\pi t (\beta + 1/2)\} \right]$$

$$\approx E(0) + \frac{K^2}{8} \left[ -1 - (-1)^t \sin \left( \frac{\pi t \Delta t}{\Delta} \right) \right]. \quad (31)$$

For $t \gg \Delta^{-1}$ the oscillations are completely damped and the energy tends to its asymptotic value

$$E(t \to \infty) = E(0) + \frac{K^2}{8}. \quad (32)$$

Incidentally, if one keeps in Eq. (31) a general momentum distribution $\pi_{\beta, \xi}$, the equation shows that the kinetic energy is proportional to the cosine-Fourier series of the momentum distribution (see Fig. 3). Using the properties of Fourier series, we can “invert” Eq. (31) to obtain

$$\left| \tilde{\psi}(\beta) \right|^2 \approx \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s=1}^4 \frac{4}{K^2} \left( E(0) - E(t) \right) \cos \left( 2\pi t \left( \beta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right), \quad (33)$$

which yields an approximate expression for the initial distribution. Since it appears as a Fourier series, the accuracy of this approximation increases when $t \to \infty$. This result is valid for narrow momentum distributions that contain no ballistic quasimomentum. As ballistic quasimomenta are more numerous with increasing $k$, the phenomenon is more likely to be observed for $k = 2\pi$.

6 Broad initial momentum distribution

For the sake of completeness, we consider now the trivial case of an initial momentum distribution that is a few times larger than the Brillouin zone. This case leads to a well-known diffusive behavior,

$$E(t) = E(0) + \frac{K^2}{4} t, \quad (34)$$

which was demonstrated with thermal gases \[23, 28, 44\]. In this section, we use our approach to demonstrate that the diffusion can be observed both with coherent and incoherent superpositions of plane waves.

The momentum-space wavepacket associated with a coherent, say Gaussian, superposition of plane waves is

$$\tilde{\psi}(n) = \frac{e^{-i(n+\beta)\phi}}{\sqrt{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}}} \exp \left( -\frac{(n + \beta)^2}{4\sigma^2} \right), \quad (35)$$

where $\sigma \gg 1$. The corresponding CSBW distribution is approximately uniform in $\beta$, but strongly localized about $\xi = \phi$. Eq. (8) shows that, after one kick, the part of the CSBW distribution corresponding to quasimomentum $\beta$ moves to $\phi + 2\pi \ell \phi (\beta + 1/2)$, which means that the CSBW distribution covers a whole potential well. Therefore, the average momentum does not change, and the average kinetic energy is increased by $\int d\beta K^2 \sin^2 (\xi + 2\pi \ell \beta)/2 = K^2/4$, hence the diffusive behavior.

For incoherent mixtures, there is no well-defined phase $\phi$ between the different momentum components. By applying Eq. (33) and by supposing that all quasimomenta are equally populated, we can write $\pi_{\beta, \xi} \approx (2\pi)^{-1}$. The reasoning made for a coherent superposition of plane waves can be applied for a given slice $\xi$, hence the diffusive behavior characterized by the rate $K^2/4$, which is then not modified by the average over $\xi$.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have applied the analysis of quantum resonances in position space given in \[24\] to cases that are met in the everyday laboratory life. Our interpretation of the simple quantum resonances, based on the local momentum transferred from the kicks, can also be applied to wave functions that are not sharply localized in the “spatial first Brillouin zone”. As shown above, this allows one to build intuitive and easily understandable images of the phenomena that are often simpler than the corresponding explanation in the momentum representation. We have applied our approach to both coherent and incoherent superpositions of plane waves, which stand for Bose-Einstein condensates and thermal gases, respectively. We have demonstrated that the two types of superpositions induce the same dynamical behavior, in the two limiting cases of narrow and broad initial momentum distributions. By contrast, we expect significant differences to appear if the width of the distribution is comparable to the first Brillouin zone.
The case of high-order quantum resonances has not been addressed here. For $k = \pi$, the initial wave packet reconstructs into two sub-packets separated by half the lattice step. When a kick is applied, the momentum shift is due to the local potential gradient at the place of the sub-packets [27]. However, the weights of the sub-packets depend on their phase difference, giving birth to a complex interfering pattern after a few kicks. The evolution of the average kinetic energy reflects this “history”, and therefore cannot be explained in terms of the simple arguments developed in this paper.

An interesting prospect of the present work is its application to the case where interactions between atoms are present (e.g., one uses a dense Bose-Einstein condensate). A first experimental study of such a system has been made by Raizen and co-workers [50], and it was demonstrated in [19] that for a weak interaction strength, the resonant value of $k$ is shifted. The latter work also shows that the diffusion coefficient presents sharp edges as the nonlinearity is varied. A clear picture of the physics underlying these observations is still missing. A kind of nonlinear optical Talbot effect has been observed recently in an optical system [53], which hints that our approach could be generalized to this much more complex case.
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