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The association between body mass index and foot ulcer among patients with diabetes mellitus, Wad Medani, Sudan
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Abstract

Introduction: Globally about 463 million people are living with diabetes mellitus (DM) which is estimated to rise to 700 million by 2045; 80% are in middle and low-income countries. Recent studies have shown that body mass index (BMI) was one of the significant predictors, along with nephropathy and retinopathy, of diabetic foot ulcers (DFU).

Objective: To assess the association between BMI and DFU in Wad Medani town, Gezira state, Sudan.

Method: The study was based on primary data obtained via a cross-sectional random sample of 400 patients with DM presenting at Aldarga Diabetic Centre in Wad Medani. The data collection tool was a structured questionnaire designed in English and translated into Arabic for the field survey. Data were analysed with SPSS version 20, using frequency tables and chi-square tests.

Results: Of the 400 participants, 208 were diagnosed with foot ulcer. There was a statistically significant association between BMI and DFU. A total of 134 (56%) of the 239 overweight patients had diabetic foot ulcers compared to 74 (46%) of the 161 who were not overweight (p=0.04).

Conclusion: The result suggests a significant association between BMI and DFU at our Diabetic Centre.
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Introduction

Globally about 463 million people are living with diabetes mellitus (DM) and 80% are in middle and low-income countries. The International Diabetes Federation has estimated that the number of diabetes patients will rise to 700 million by 2045. Almost half of adult type-2 diabetes patients are unaware they have this disease and 185.8 million undiagnosed diabetics are in middle-income countries. Worldwide every 30 seconds, a lower limb is lost because of diabetes. The incidence of DFU amongst those with DM is 2% (9.26 million) but the risk of recurrence for those with a history of DFU increases to 17–60% over the following three years.

The prevalence of DM is expected to increase alarmingly in Africa. It is estimated that around 20 million Africans are now living with DM presenting a serious challenge for health systems now and in the future. A study in urban populations of the River Nile State, north Sudan, gave a prevalence of DM of 19.1%; a high proportion of the patients were undiagnosed.

Diabetic foot disease in Africa is also a growing problem and is associated with a high mortality. A meta-analysis reported data from 19 African countries on 56,173 diabetic patients with a prevalence of foot ulcers of 13%, which increased over time, especially since 2001. Approximately 15% of patients with foot lesions...
underwent major amputation and 14.2% died in hospital. [4] In a 2017 study in Khartoum the occurrence of DFU was 18.1%. [5]

A study of DM patients in Ethiopia[6] showed a relatively high incidence of DFU (4 per 100 person-years). A high body mass index (BMI) was one of the significant predictors, along with nephropathy and retinopathy. However, a meta-analysis in 2017[7] concluded that lower BMI was associated with higher risk of DFU.

Although, as yet, few studies have investigated its global epidemiology, diabetic foot is a severe public health issue and close monitoring of patients is essential to reduce DFU.

This study, as far as we know, may be the first to estimate the association between BMI and DFU among patients with DM, in Wad Medani, Sudan.

Definitions

- The International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot has defined the diabetic foot as “infection, ulceration, or destruction of tissues of the foot of a person with currently or previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus, usually accompanied by neuropathy and/or peripheral arterial disease (PAD) in the lower extremity.”

- Diabetic foot ulcers are non-traumatic lesions of the skin on the foot distal to the malleoli.

- Body Mass Index (BMI) = body weight (kg) divided by the height (m2). In adults BMI of <18.5 = underweight, 18.5–<25 = normal range and ≥25.0 = overweight.

- Diagnostic criteria for diabetes mellitus: Random plasma glucose ≥200 mg/dL (≥11.1 mmol/L) or 1 fasting plasma glucose value of ≥126 mg/dL (≥7.0 mmol/L) or 2-h. Oral glucose (100grams) tolerance test (GTT) value in venous plasma ≥200 mg/dL (≥11.1 mmol/L) glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) ≥6.5% (≥48 mmol/molHb).

Ethical Aspects

Ethical approval for the study was given by the Director of Health Affairs, Wad Medani. Permissions for data collection were obtained from the centre managers, and oral informed consent from the study participants after assuring them of the confidentiality of their data.

Method

This was a cross-sectional study among patients needing specialized care attending for follow-up at Aldarga Diabetic Health Centre in Wad Medani Town, Gezira State, Sudan from September to December 2020. The Centre is in the north of Wad Medani town, 186 kilometres south of Khartoum. It opened in 2007 as a specialized Diabetic Centre for the Central Region. So, the sampled population was not representative of the general population.

Sample size and sampling

We calculated that a sample size of 400 would be adequate to show a difference in prevalence of DFU between low/normal BMI and high BMI patients. The number of diabetic patients who reported to the Centre

### Table 1. Demographic characteristics

| Characteristics | Characteristics | n (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Age range (years) | Age range (years) | n (%) |
| 30-39 | 25 (6.2) |
| 40-49 | 59 (14.8) |
| 50-59 | 103 (25.8) |
| 60-69 | 105 (26.2) |
| 70-79 | 90 (22.5) |
| 80-89 | 18 (4.5) |
| Sex | Sex | n (%) |
| Male | 222 (55.5) |
| Female | 178 (44.5) |
| Residence | Residence | n (%) |
| Town | 225 (56) |
| Village | 175 (44) |
| Type of family | Type of family | n (%) |
| Nuclear | 168 (42) |
| Extended | 232 (58) |
| Income level | Income level | n (%) |
| Low | 134 (33.5) |
| Medium | 232 (58) |
| High | 34 (8.5) |
| Marital status | Marital status | n (%) |
| Single | 27 (6.8) |
| Married | 277 (69.2) |
| Widow | 72 (18) |
| Divorced | 24 (6) |
| Type of work of respondent | Type of work of respondent | n (%) |
| Professional / Business | 64 (16) |
| Contracted Employee | 35 (8.8) |
| Other Employee | 15 (3.7) |
| Farmer | 20 (5) |
| Housewife | 54 (13.5) |
| Other | 60 (15) |
| Unemployed | 152 (38) |
| Illiterate | 34 (8.5) |
| Khalwa/Madrasa | 77 (19.3) |
| Basic | 159 (39.8) |
| Secondary | 81 (20.2) |
| University/ Postgraduate | 49 (12.2) |
| Total | 400 (100) |
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First, we calculated the systematic sampling interval factor by dividing the total number of diabetic patients during the data collection period (November-December 2020) by the sample size i.e., \( \frac{N}{n} = \frac{1823}{400} = 4.55 \approx 5 \). The sample unit number 5 was selected.

Then, patients presenting to the centre during November and December 2020, were randomly selected, separately by sex, until we achieved our desired sample size of 400. Fewer patients than usual attended during these months due to the COVID-19 pandemic, so our sample may not be completely representative of all the patients attending the Centre. All the selected patients agreed to take part in the study.

**Figure 1. Relationship between BMI and DFU**

**Table 2. Diabetic specific variables for further research**

| Variable                                      | Response | DFU   | Total |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------|-------|-------|
|                                               | Yes      | No    |       |
| Diabetic in the family                        | 108      | 86    | 194   |
|                                               | 100      | 106   | 206   |
| Knowledge of caring for foot wound           | 191      | 179   | 370   |
|                                               | 17       | 13    | 30    |
| Wearing diabetic shoes                        | 136      | 102   | 238   |
|                                               | 72       | 90    | 162   |
| Other wounds                                  | 52       | 44    | 96    |
|                                               | 156      | 148   | 304   |
| Feeling better since going to doctor          | 195      | 178   | 373   |
|                                               | 13       | 14    | 27    |
| Having leg or foot disability                 | 53       | 79    | 132   |
|                                               | 155      | 113   | 268   |
| Type of disability                            |          |       |       |
| Functional                                    | 24       | 42    | 66    |
| Muscle                                       | 28       | 35    | 63    |
| Wound                                        | 1        | 2     | 3     |
| Duration of disability                        |          |       |       |
| <12months                                    | 9        | 17    | 26    |
| 1-<5 years                                   | 24       | 21    | 45    |
| ≥5, years                                    | 20       | 41    | 61    |
| Disability effect (e.g., psychological impact, financial impact) | Yes | 23 | 46 | 69 |
|                                               | No       | 30    | 63    |
|                                               | One      | 14    | 25    |
|                                               | More than one | 36  | 54    | 90    |
|                                               | No amputation | 158 | 127   | 285   |
| Foot or toe amputation                        | Yes      | 18    | 33    | 51    |
|                                               | No       | 190   | 159   | 349   |
| Total                                         | 208      | 192   | 400   |
Data collection

Data were collected by field data collectors using a structured questionnaire, mainly adapted from World Health Organization and other publications, written in English and then translated into Arabic. It was pretested on 8% of the total sample size and internal consistency measured under reliability by calculating Cronbach’s Alpha coefficient. (The value of Cronbach’s Alpha coefficient was greater than 90%).

Four hundred participants were recruited by the field data collection team, which consisted of the principal researcher, and 21 field data collectors who were paramedics working in the Centre and trained for 10 days on data collection methods and the interview/observation methodology. The training focused particularly on the anthropometric data collection. The presence or not of DFU was reported by the patient, and it was not recorded whether or not this was a first occurrence or a reoccurrence. Quality of collected data was checked by three well-trained paramedical staff who entered the data in SPSS program.

Population

The participants were selected from all the adult (≥18 years) diabetic patients at the follow-up clinic at Aldarga Diabetic Centre, but those who were seriously ill, gestational diabetic, diabetic patients who had traumatic ulcer, or clinically suspected of having Charcot foot were excluded. Data were coded and entered into a computer using two versions (24.0/25.0) of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows.

Results

Table 1 shows that of the 400 sampled, 55% were males and 45% were females, 58% lived in extended families and 56% lived in towns. Income was based on participants’ reports; 9% reported having a high income, 58% reported a medium income, and 33% a low income and showing that diabetic patients reporting to Aldarga Centre come mostly from families having a medium to low income.

The relationship between grouped BMI and foot ulcer was evaluated using a chi-squared test and is shown in Figure 1. Overweight patients were at significantly greater risk of DFU (p=0.04, relative risk 1.22).

We also collected data on other potentially relevant variables, and this information, without statistical analysis, is presented in Table 2.

The factors in Table 2 were not included at the outset as predictor variables. Although they have been analysed statistically, and some have p-values equal to or less than 0.05, great caution is needed to interpret them as there is a 1 in 20 chance that any such variable will, simply by chance, reach “significance.” Further research is therefore needed.

Discussion

Our sample may not have been representative of all the diabetic patients attending the Aldarga Diabetic Health Centre in Gezira, especially as data were collected during the COVID-19 pandemic. We chose to treat BMI as a two-level categorical variable, but we may have obtained a different result treating it as a continuous variable. We did not examine whether BMI was independently associated with DFU, or if other variables, such as glucose levels or diabetes duration could explain the association. Further analysis of our data is needed.

We are not aware of a study looking at the association between BMI and DFU in Gezira state, Sudan. Our findings are similar to those previously reported showing a strong association between a high BMI and the development of DFU. It might be that the higher the BMI the greater chance of hyperlipidaemia and vascular disease which, in turn, decreases blood supply to the lower extremities. Also, higher BMI is likely to lead to greater glucose intolerance and more severe DM with increasing vascular complications.

Although a 2017 meta-analysis study that noted that “the contribution of obesity to the risk of diabetic foot ulceration is inconclusive,” research by Zubair et al in India showed a positive correlation between ulcer duration and BMI, and amputation rate and BMI.

Although the variables in Table 2 need further analysis the data do suggest an association between duration of diabetes and DFU (as shown in a paper from Cameroon and dyslipidaemia and DFU which is similar to publications from Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia and India).

A variety of foot abnormalities and disabilities appeared to have differing effects for our patients (e.g., health and economic impact) so as family doctors our responsibilities towards our society are to detect the above risk factors for DFU early and try to ameliorate them.

Limitation of the study

There might be recall bias or reporting bias regarding the contributing factors, such as alcohol use or smoking frequency. Further, the cross-sectional nature of the study does not confirm the definitive cause and effect relationship.

Conclusion

DFU is a serious problem in Gezira and its occurrence is increasing. Encouraging overweight patients to reduce their BMI should contribute to reducing the risk of DFU.
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