Properties of Bromine Fused Salts Based on Quaternary Ammonium Molecules and Their Relevance for Use in a Hydrogen Bromine Redox Flow Battery
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Abstract: Bromine complexing agents (BCA) in aqueous electrolytes for hydrogen bromine flow batteries are used to reduce bromine’s vapour pressure, while an insoluble and liquid fused salt is formed. The properties (concentrations, composition, conductivity and viscosity) of this fused salt are investigated in this study systematically ex situ by using 7 BCAs at different state of charge in HBr/Br₂/H₂O electrolytes with a theoretical capacity of 179.6 Ah L⁻¹. Bromine is stored in the fused salt at concentrations up to 13.6 M, reaching theoretical volumetrical capacities up to 730 Ah L⁻¹ in fused salts. The fused salt consists of a pure, bromine- and water-free ionic liquid of organic [BCA]⁺ cations and polybromides, and its conductivity bases on a hopping mechanism among the polybromides. Alkyl side chain length of the BCAs and distribution of polybromides influence strongly the conductivity and viscosity of the fused salts. 1-ethylpyridinium-1-iumbromide results to be favoured BCA for application.

Introduction

Bromine-complexing additives (BCA) are salts of organic cations utilised to bind volatile bromine (Br₂) in aqueous electrolytes of zinc/bromine redox flow batteries (Zn/Br₂-RFB) and hydrogen/bromine redox flow batteries (H₂/Br₂-RFB).[1–6] In these batteries, the positive half cells are operated with bromide-containing electrolytes, and the reactions are based on the redox couple bromine/bromide.[7,8] Electrolytes consist of zinc bromide, supporting electrolytes or HBr and Br₂ in aqueous solutions and the added BCAs.[4,5]

The organic cations are usually quaternary ammonium compounds.[1,4,10,11] In contact with polybromides in the aqueous solution, they precipitate and form a liquid and heavy fused salt.[11,12] The electrolyte consists of an aqueous and a fused salt phase. In this study the properties of these fused salt phases are investigated (conductivity, viscosity, composition) for different 1-alkylpyridinium-1-ium bromides and 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazol-1-ium bromides with different alkyl side chains (ethyl, n-propyl, n-butyl and n-hexyl), which are shown in Table 1. The influence of the polybromide mixture in the fused salt and of the alkyl side chain length of the BCA on the properties is investigated within the state of charge (SoC) range for an electrolyte with a volumetric capacity of 179.6 Ah L⁻¹ for a H₂/Br₂-RFB and impacts on cell operation are discussed.

State of the art

In the aqueous electrolytes, Br₂ and bromide form addition compounds[13,14] generating polybromide anions Brₙ⁺ Br⁻ (n=1–5,17) like tribromide (Br₃⁺ Br⁻), pentabromide (Br₅⁺ Br⁻) and heptabromide (Br₇⁺ Br⁻)[9] which are soluble in aqueous solutions.[1] By the addition of [BCA]⁺ cations, these polybromides are extracted into a fused salt phase which operates as a bromine and energy storage reservoir.[6] While bromide salts of many [BCA]Br are highly soluble in aqueous solutions,[18] there is poor solubility of the BCA-polybromide form in the aqueous phase.[5,10] The solubility equilibrium is shown in Equation (1). The fused salt precipitates to form an additional, heavy and liquid electrolyte phase.[1,2,4,10,17]

\[\text{[BCA]⁺ (aq) + Br_{2n+1}^{-} (aq) ⇌ [BCA]Br_{2n+1} \downarrow (fs)}\]  

1

Equation (1)

Mainly investigated BCAs are from the groups of 1-alkylpyridinium halides, 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium halides, 1-alkyl-1-methylpyridinium halides, 1-alkyl-1-methylmorpholinium halides or aliphatic ammonium compounds with ethyl, n-butyl or n-hexyl side chain groups.[1,2,4,5,10,11,18–24] In the past BCAs have been mainly applied and investigated in Zn/Br₂-RFB electrolytes.[6,12,18,20,21,22,23,24]

The vapour pressure and volatility of Br₂ in the aqueous phase are significantly reduced by the use of the BCAs.[16,18,21,27] They potentially increase the safety towards the toxicity of bromine gas[16,28] for operators when handling the bromine-containing electrolytes and increase the solubility of Br₂ in the...
It is known that BCAs bind bromine of the electrolyte strongly in the fused salt phase, while only low Br\textsubscript{2} concentrations remain in the aqueous phase, depending on the composition of the electrolyte and the type of BCA.\textsuperscript{[2,4]} During the operation of the H\textsubscript{2}/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFB with a BCA, aqueous electrolyte phases have been pumped through the cell.\textsuperscript{[3,29]} Reported concentrations of Br\textsubscript{2} in the aqueous phase range between 33 and 163 mM as initially used in Zn/Br\textsubscript{2} electrolytes.\textsuperscript{[10]} However, this concentration range can be considered too low and limit the discharge performance of the battery.\textsuperscript{[12,20]} Low Br\textsubscript{2} concentrations between 5.5 and 313 mM are also found in the aqueous phase of BCA-containing electrolytes for H\textsubscript{2}/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFB.\textsuperscript{[3]} Therefore, increasing interest in the possibility of using the remaining high concentrated Br\textsubscript{2} fused salt phases in the positive half-cell of a bromine RFB is ambitioned.\textsuperscript{[12]}

Application of the fused salt phase in Zn/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFB systems is described in literature.\textsuperscript{[6,11,16,24,30]} The use of BCA results in a low self-discharge rate in the RFB as crossover of Br\textsubscript{2} is limited,\textsuperscript{[6]} which improves the coulomb efficiency of the battery.\textsuperscript{[18,20,21,31]} In general, limiting the crossover of Br\textsubscript{2} with the BCA-containing electrolytes, also prevents the possibility of corroding the active materials of the corresponding negative half cells of the battery. Hence, it prevents parasitic reactions such as Zn corrosion on Zn/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFBs\textsuperscript{[4,20,33]} and Pt corrosion on the hydrogen half cell of the H\textsubscript{2}/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFBs.\textsuperscript{[5,32]} An additional advantage is that fused salt drops adhering to the electrode surface lead to higher discharge current densities, since Br\textsubscript{2} is released from the fused salt into the aqueous phase directly at the electrode surface.\textsuperscript{[34]} Amit et al.\textsuperscript{[34]} apply emulsions of aqueous electrolyte and the fused salt in a membrane-less Zn/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFB. In their work they show that increasing the volume fraction of the fused salt phase from 1 to 5 vol\% in the emulsion, significantly increased both the discharge current densities and the ohmic resistance of the cell.\textsuperscript{[35]} If not managed correctly, the accumulation of fused salt droplets on the graphite electrode surfaces can lead to the complete coverage of the electrode surface which results in a decrease of the current densities of the cell.\textsuperscript{[36]} Additionally, Magnes et al.\textsuperscript{[4]} use fused salt in a membrane-free Zn/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFB, while the set-up consisted of a horizontally positioned cell that allowed the heavy fused salt to be overlaid with aqueous electrolyte. With a strong BCA-cation, such as 1-n-butyl-3-methylimidazol-1-iun, the deposition efficiency of Zn is thereby increased in the zinc half cell.\textsuperscript{[4]}

Viscosities of the fused salt in ZnBr\textsubscript{2} electrolytes between 50 and 70 mPas and conductivities between 50 and 60 mS cm\textsuperscript{-1} are reported in literature,\textsuperscript{[34]} while other authors found conductivities between 63 and 115 mS cm\textsuperscript{-1} (calculated from Ref. [23]). Different BCAs in various electrolyte mixture have been investigated.\textsuperscript{[23]}

Although previous literature shows that fused salt phases are used especially in Zn/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFB to improve discharge performance, only rare information about their composition, Br\textsubscript{2} concentration in combination with their influence on fused salt conductivity and viscosity have been published. For high concentrated electrolytes for H\textsubscript{2}/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFB no data for fused salt properties are accessible in literature. All parameters are indispensable for designing a RFB system including fused salts. Further the composition and anion characters of the BCA-

| Structure/Substance name and substance abbreviation | Raman shifts of symmetric stretching vibration of polybromides in the fused salt phase |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1-ethylpyridin-1-iunide, [C2Py]Br                    | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 165–159 |
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 253–254 |
| 1-n-butylpyridin-1-iunide, [C4Py]Br                 | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 267    |
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 163–161|
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 254    |
| 1-n-hexylpyridin-1-iunide, [C6Py]Br                 | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 267    |
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 160–159|
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 254    |
| 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazol-1-iunide, [C2Mim]Br        | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 267    |
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 162–160|
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 254    |
| 1-n-propyl-3-methylimidazol-1-iunide, [C3Mim]Br     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 267    |
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 161–160|
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 253    |
| 1-n-butyl-3-methylimidazol-1-iunide, [C4Mim]Br      | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 267    |
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 162–160|
|                                                     | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 254    |
| 1-n-hexyl-3-methylimidazol-1-iunide, [C6Mim]Br      | v\textsubscript{sym} (Br\textsubscript{2}) | 267    |
polybromide fused salts has also not been conclusively clarified to date,\textsuperscript{[12,22]} although different polybromide anions up to Br\textsubscript{11}\textsuperscript{[10,12]} or mixtures of BCA and Br\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{[22]} are assumed. Influence of BCAs, their structure and alkyl side chain length as well as composition of the fused salt for high capacity electrolytes in H\textsubscript{2}/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFB has not been investigated, leading to a lack of background information for BCA application in this battery type.

Working plan

In this work, the heavy fused salt phases of 7 BCA-containing HBr/Br\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2}O electrolytes are systematically investigated on their properties for the first time. The polybromide fused salts of the BCAs 1-ethylpyridin-1-ium bromide [C2Py]Br, 1-n-butylpyridin-1-ium bromide [C4Py]Br, 1-n-hexylpyridin-1-ium bromide [C6Py]Br, 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazol-1-ium bromide [C2MIm]Br, 1-n-propyl-3-methylimidazol-1-ium bromide [C3MIm]Br, 1-n-butyl-3-methylimidazol-1-ium bromide [C4MIm]Br and 1-n-hexyl-3-methylimidazol-1-ium bromide [C6MIm]Br are tested ex situ on their properties within the entire SoC range in an electrolyte with a storage capacity of 179.6 Ah L\textsuperscript{-1}. The structures of this BCAs are shown in Table 1.

This study complements the work of Küttinger et al.\textsuperscript{[2]} which discusses in detail the properties of the aqueous electrolyte phase for use in H\textsubscript{2}/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFB. The corresponding properties of the fused salt phase for the same BCAs are compared and discussed here. A scheme of the work plan is shown in Figure 1. The selection of the investigated BCAs is based on results presented in Ref. [2].

Results and Discussion

Concentration of Br\textsubscript{2} in the fused salt phase

Fused salt fraction in the electrolyte, Br\textsubscript{2} fused salt concentration and energy capacity of the fused salt phase

High Br\textsubscript{2} concentration of fused salts are of interest for their application in the positive half-cell during discharge, where Br\textsubscript{2} is reduced to bromide (Br\textsuperscript{-}). As discussed by Fabjan et al.\textsuperscript{[12]} the use of fused Br\textsubscript{2} salts increased discharge current densities and power densities of the RFBs. Mass transport limitations or limitations due to insufficient convection of Br\textsubscript{2} in front of the electrode are expected to be reduced compared to aqueous solutions with low concentrated Br\textsubscript{2}. From Ref. [2] the Br\textsubscript{2} concentrations in the aqueous phase are known to be quite low.

![Figure 1. Scheme of the procedure to investigate properties of the fused salt phase(fs) from 7 BCAs with different alkyl side chain groups at pyridine and 3-methylimidazole basic molecule structure: Concentration of Br\textsubscript{2} in fused salt, distribution of Br\textsubscript{2} in different polybromides Br\textsubscript{n}, conductivity (fs) and charge transport mechanism depending on fused salt composition, viscosity (fs) and explanation of binding strength between the BCAs and the polybromides in the fused salt. One BCA out of 7 BCAs is selected on the basis of the results for feasible application in H\textsubscript{2}/Br\textsubscript{2}-RFB. Results and Discussion. The photo in the scheme shows an example of a bromine electrolyte with the well-known BCA 1-ethyl-1-methylpyrrolidin-1-ium bromide [MEP]Br\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{[10,12,20,21,23,26]} with the heavy fused salt on the bottom of the glass, overlaid by the aqueous electrolyte phase and on top the gas phase. (Drops in the gas phase are aqueous phase drops at the glass from shaking the two phase electrolyte.)](image-url)
with a maximum of 11 mol% Br₂ stored there. As a comparison, the determined concentrations of Br₂ in the fused salt are shown in Figure 2.

From our previous publication, we know that at least 89 mol% of the Br₂ is transferred to the fused salt phase[25] where it is stored compactly in rather small volumes. Br₂ is mainly stored in a reddish-brown, liquid and heavy second phase, as shown in the central photo in Figure 1. For SoC < 30%, the measured volumes of the fused salts are smaller than 2 mL, leading to errors in the determination of the volumes and Br₂ concentrations. This range is not considered in the detailed discussion. Volume fractions of the fused salt in the electrolyte are in the range between 7.5 and 27.7 vol% as shown in Table S5 in the Supporting Information.

The fraction of fused salt increases with increasing SoC for all applied BCAs. Due to the rising absolute concentration of Br₂ in the electrolytes, Br₂ is increasingly stored in the fused salt and its volume fraction increases. Using the example of the fused salt based on [C2Py]Br₂, its volume fraction increases from 7.5 vol% at SoC = 30% to 22.1 vol% at SoC = 100%. This tendency is observed for all investigated BCAs and is tabulated in the Supporting Information (Table S5). Simultaneously, the size of the [BCA]+ cation influences the volume fraction of the fused salt in the electrolyte. The larger the [BCA]+ cation or the longer the n-alkyl side chain, the larger the volume fraction of the fused salt in the total electrolyte volume at same SoC values. Using the example of the electrolyte at SoC = 50%, the volume fraction of the fused salt increases from 13.2 vol% for [C2Py]Br₂, (fs) via 18.3 vol% for [C4Py]Br₂, (fs) to 20.8 vol% for [C6Py]Br₂, (fs). Volume fractions between 1-alkylpyridin-1-ium-BCAs and 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazol-1-ium-BCAs both having the same n-alkyl side chain and at the same SoC differ only slightly and there is no distinct trend for the influence of the two different structures of the BCAs.

The Br₂ concentrations in the fused salt c(Br₂, fs) with 4.98 ≤ c(Br₂, fs) ≤ 13.62 M are significantly higher than the total, theoretical concentration of Br₂ in the electrolyte mixture with a maximum of 3.35 M Br₂ at SoC = 100% (Figure 2a and b green line/dots). Br₂ concentrations in the fused salts are tabulated in Table S3 in the Supporting Information. In comparison to the Br₂ concentrations in the aqueous phase with c(Br₂, aq) < 0.35 M from Ref. [2] for the same samples, the huge difference in concentration between the two phases is noticed, showing the strong bromine binding strength of the BCA cation in contact with polybromides. The solubility equilibrium (Eq. (1)) is strongly shifted in favour of the salt formation of [BCA]Br₂, (fs). Based on the obtained results, and considering the amount of Br₂ stored in the fused salt only, capacities ranging between 266.9 Ah L⁻¹ and 730.0 Ah L⁻¹ can be achieved.

In this sense, the fused salt phase represents a high-density energy storage solution for the positive half-cell of the H₂/Br₂-RFB. Although the volume fraction of the fused salt increases with rising SoC due to the uptake of Br₂ and [BCA]Br, tabulated in Table S5 in the Supporting Information, the concentration of Br₂ in the fused salt increases between 30 ≤ SoC ≤ 100%. Bromine accumulates progressively in this phase. As a result, increasingly more energy from the positive half-cell is stored in the fused salt in a smaller phase volume.

However, it should be explicitly pointed out here that an operation of the positive half-cell in the H₂/Br₂-RFB with pure fused salt is not possible. The aqueous electrolyte solution contains and transports protons into the positive half-cell or takes up protons from there, depending on the operation mode. The aqueous electrolyte solution is therefore essential for the half cell reaction of the negative hydrogen half-cell.

The fact that increasingly more Br₂ is stored in the fused salt in a smaller volume with increasing SoC is confirmed by rising densities of the fused salt at θ = 23 ± 1°C shown in Figure 3.

This parameter is an independently determined value which confirms the increase in concentration of Br₂ in the fused salt phase. The measured fused salt densities ρ(fs) are tabulated in Table S6 in the Supporting Information and show high values ranging between 1.63 ≤ ρ(fs) ≤ 2.28 g cm⁻³. In comparison, pure bromine holds a density of 3.12 g cm⁻³ at room temperature.[35] The density of the fused salt phase strongly depends on the SoC, i.e. the composition of the fused salt phase, and at the same time strongly on the [BCA]+ cation present in the fused.
Influence of the BCA basic molecule structure and side chain length on fused salt Br₂ concentration and phase density

Overall, the concentration of Br₂ in the fused salt depends on the chosen BCA. Short alkyl side chain length in the BCA leads to rising Br₂ concentrations in the fused salt independent of the SoC (see Figure 2). It is known from Küttinger et al.40 that same BCAs with long side chains have a much higher binding strength towards Br₂ compared to short alkyl side chain BCAs. However, based on the trend observed in Figure 2, the concentration of Br₂ for the long and short side chain alkylated BCAs is dominated by the effect of the BCA cation size in the fused salt. More specifically, smaller organic BCA cations lead to higher concentrations of Br₂ in the fused salt phase. This is expected to be because the organic BCA molecules increase in size with increasing chain length of their alkyl side group, thus, requiring larger volumes in the fused salt. Therefore, an increase in volume due to increasing BCA molecule size leads to lower molar concentrations of Br₂ in the fused salt phase. The size of the [BCA]⁺ cation in parallel influences the density of the fused salt phase (see Figure 3) caused by the same effects. The overall trend shows that the long side chain BCA cations lead to lower fused salt densities compared to short side chain BCA cations.

Additionally, we observed that the Br₂ concentrations appear to be independent of the selected basic molecule structure of the BCAs (pyridine or 3-methylimidazole). Fused salts out of both groups with equal lengths of the alkyl side chains lead to approximately equal bromine concentrations (see Figure 2 and values in Table S3 of the Supporting Information). With this, we can conclude that the length of the alkyl side chain is the decisive factor affecting the concentration of Br₂ in the fused salt phase. On the other hand, the densities of the investigated BCAs depend on both the basic structure and the side chain length of the BCA. In general, the density of the fused salts for the 1-alkylpyridin-1-ium-BCAs are 0.01 to 0.1 g cm⁻³ larger than the densities obtained for 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazol-1-ium BCAs. The lowest observed differences are for [C6Py]Br and [C6MIm]Br, while for [C2Py]Br and [C2MIm]Br the densities differ the most out of the BCAs investigated. For [C2Py]Br and [C2MIm]Br the difference of fused salt density is caused by having one ethyl side chain group in [C2Py]Br, while one ethyl and one methyl side chain are available in [C2MIm]Br, leading to a larger molecule size and to a reduced density compared to fused salts of [C2Py]Br.

Within the SoC range from 30% to 100%, the concentrations of Br₂ in the fused salt increase. At the same time, the total bromine concentration in the electrolytes also increases linearly from 0 M at SoC = 0% to 3.35 M at SoC = 100% due to the oxidation reaction of bromide to bromine in the cell. Since the volume of the fused salt phase also increases, an increase in concentration in the fused has to imply that in this case the storage form of Br₂ is increasingly compact. The measurements
of the fused salt density, shown in Figure 3, confirm the concentration measurements and the more compact storage of [BCA][Br$_{2n+1}$(fs)]. This is determined in detail by examining the distribution of Br$_2$ among the different polybromides in the fused salt described in the following section.

Composition of the fused salt phase and bromine binding strength of BCA in the fused salt

The change of the bromine concentration and the density of the fused salt phase as a function of the SoC indicates changes in the composition of the fused salt phase with the SoC, probably affected by the formation of polybromides. However, hardly any information is available in the literature about the composition of these fused salt phases. In order to gain a deeper insight into this topic and to elucidate the different compositions of the investigated phases, Raman spectra of the fused salt samples are recorded between a Raman shift of $100 \leq \nu \leq 4000$ cm$^{-1}$. Overall, the knowledge about the fused salt composition allows an understanding of Br$_2$ storage properties considering the solubility equilibrium Equation (1), the fused salt conductivity and viscosity investigated in the subsequent sections.

Existing components in the fused salt

Comprehensive information about the composition of the fused salt phase can be determined from the Raman spectra. For all investigated BCAs, the fused salt is a pure and water free ionic liquid based on [BCA]$^{+}$ cations and polybromide anions Br$_{2n+1}^{-}$, free of pure Br$_2$ molecules within the whole SoC range of the electrolyte. The polybromide anions present in the fused salt have been reported in a previous work$^{[2]}$ to be tribromide Br$_3^{-}$, pentabromide Br$_5^{-}$ and heptabromide Br$_7^{-}$ for fused salts of [C$_3$MIm]$^{+}$, [C$_4$MIm]$^{+}$ and [C$_6$MIm]$^{+}$ cations at SoC = 33%.$^{[2]}$

An example of the recorded Raman spectra can be found in Figure 4 and for all BCAs and SoCs in the Supporting Information in Tables S1 to S7. Initially, the Raman spectra have

![Raman spectra of different fused salt phases (black dots), and fitting results for the symmetric and antisymmetric stretching vibrations of Br$_3^{-}$, Br$_5^{-}$ and Br$_7^{-}$, as well as the sum of all Raman fits: (a) fused salt of [C$_2$Py]$^{+}$ cations at SoC = 20%, (b) [C$_2$Py]$^{+}$ cations at SoC = 80%, (c) [C$_6$Py]$^{+}$ cations at SoC = 20% and (d) [C$_6$Py]$^{+}$ cations at SoC = 80%.

Figure 4. Raman spectra of different fused salt phases (black dots), and fitting results for the symmetric and antisymmetric stretching vibrations of Br$_3^{-}$, Br$_5^{-}$ and Br$_7^{-}$, as well as the sum of all Raman fits: (a) fused salt of [C$_2$Py]$^{+}$ cations at SoC = 20%, (b) [C$_2$Py]$^{+}$ cations at SoC = 80%, (c) [C$_6$Py]$^{+}$ cations at SoC = 20% and (d) [C$_6$Py]$^{+}$ cations at SoC = 80%.
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been investigated on characteristic peaks caused by water molecules, as previous work by Skyllas-Kazacos\textsuperscript{21} described the fused salt to be an anhydrous oily phase. The presence of water can be easily identified by Raman spectroscopy as the expected Raman shifts of water in aqueous HBr solutions is set to occur at $\nu = 1600$ cm$^{-1}$ and between $\nu \approx 3000$ cm$^{-1}$ and 3800 cm$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{18,36} As expected, the Raman spectra of the fused salts show no characteristic Raman shifts of water for all BCAs evaluated within the whole SoC range (results not shown). In addition, Raman experiments gave no evidence on the presence of free Br$^-$ in the fused salts. The Raman activity of pure Br$_2$ molecules is generally evidenced by a sharp single peak or shoulder at a Raman shift between 300 cm$^{-1}$ $\leq \nu \leq$ 320 cm$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{14,37–39}, however this signal is not detected for any of the chosen BCAs of the evaluated SoCs.

While the investigated aqueous electrolytes had a total concentration of 1.11 M [BCA]Br, the concentration of the BCAs in aqueous solutions can reach much higher values. As an example, [C2Py]Br solutions with concentrations in pure water of 6.57 M at $\theta = 23 \pm 1$ °C can be reached, while in 48 wt% (8.7 M) HBr solution still 5.68 M [C2Py]Br are soluble. The solubility of bromide is predominant in the form of [BCA]Br(aq) and also HBr tends to be solvated in aqueous solution due to its pK$\textsubscript{a} = 8.2$ to 9\textsuperscript{40} while polybromides in contact with [BCA]$^+$ are hardly soluble in the aqueous phase. We suggest that due to the outstanding solubility of [BCA]Br and HBr in aqueous solutions, both substances stay solvated in the aqueous phase and are not transferred into the fused salt phase.

The Raman peaks of the polybromides Br$_3^-$, Br$_5^-$ and Br$_7^-$, are investigated in the wavenumber range between 100 $\leq \nu \leq$ 350 cm$^{-1}$. Additionally, Figure 4 includes the fitting results for the different polybromide stretching vibrations by the example of fused salts based on [C2Py]Br and [C6Py]Br at SoC = 20 and 80%. All Raman spectra are provided in the Supporting Information in Figure S1 to S7 for the investigated fused salts and SoCs. Characteristic Raman wavenumbers of the individual polybromides in aqueous solution from literature are applied to determine fused salt polybromides and show the presence of Br$_3^-$, Br$_5^-$ and Br$_7^-$ in the fused salt phase: $\nu$ (Br$_3^-$, sym.$) \approx$ 164–170 cm$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{13,41,42} $\nu$ (Br$_5^-$, antisym.$) \approx$ 190–198 cm$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{13,37,42} $\nu$ (Br$_7^-$, sym.$) \approx$ 210 cm$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{13,42} $\nu$ (Br$_7^-$, sym.$) \approx$ 253–255 cm$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{13,37–39,42,43} $\nu$ (Br$_7^-$, sym.$) \approx$ 269 cm$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{13,38}.

Raman shifts of the polybromide stretching vibrations are slightly lower (max. 5 cm$^{-1}$) in the fused salts compared to their equivalents in the aqueous phase (from Ref.\textsuperscript{[2]}), and are tabulated in Table 1. The difference between the observations in the aqueous and fused salt phase might depend on the lack of solvent/water solvation in the fused salt phase. Thus, a solvate shell does not enclose the molecules in the fused salt. Raman shifts of polybromide vibration in the aqueous phase of the same BCAs are tabulated in Ref.\textsuperscript{[2]}. Figure 4 presents as an example a comparison of two BCAs with different lengths of the alkyl side chains ([C2Py]Br and [C6Py]Br) under two different SoCs (20 and 80%). While for SoC = 20% the peaks of Br$_3^-$ stretching oscillation in the fused salt of [C6Py]Br strongly dominate compared to the peaks of the higher polybromides Br$_5^-$ and Br$_7^-$, for the fused salt phase of [C2Py]Br the peaks of Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$ are present in similar proportions. At low SoCs the fused salt of [C6Py]Br consists mainly of tribromide salt [C6Py]Br$_3$ while in [C2Py]Br based fused salt mixtures of tri- and pentabromide salts [C2Py]Br$_5$ and [C2Py]Br$_7$ are available. (The detailed fractions of Br$_n^-$ in polybromides are discussed in the following subchapter.) It shows that bromine is stored and bound in [C2Py]Br fused salts in both polybromides, while for the longer n-hexyl side chains in [C6Py]Br fused salts, storage takes place primarily in the form of Br$_3^-$. As known from Ref.\textsuperscript{[2]}, [C6Py]Br is a much stronger Br$_2^-$ binding BCA than [C2Py]Br. We can validate this by the fact that [C6Py]$^+$ cations combined with tribromide are hardly soluble in the aqueous phase and pass into the fused salt as shown here. [C2Py]$^+$ cations are more soluble, but also accumulate with pentabromide during precipitation. The combination of BCAs and tribromide leads to energetically more favourable states and depends strongly on the chosen BCA.\textsuperscript{22}

For SoC = 80%, on the other hand, the peak dimensions for Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$ hardly differ at first between the two BCAs, but a storage of Br$_3^-$ in the form of Br$_3^-$ seems slightly to be more pronounced in the fused salt of [C6Py]Br than in the fused salt of [C2Py]Br. However, the differences between the spectra at SoC = 80% are basically rather small, so that it can be assumed that at SoC = 80% only a small dependence of the Raman spectra and the distribution of Br$_n^-$ on the polybromides (next subchapter) on the BCA is to be expected.

Between SoC = 20% and SoC = 80%, Br$_3^-$ is increasingly stored in Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$, while the peaks of Br$_n^-$ are proportionally very small. For high SoCs, Br$_3^-$ is predominately stored in the form of Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$.

In summary, the fused salt phase is composed purely of [BCA]$^+$ cations and various polybromides, with the presence of the individual polybromides depending on the SoC. An anhydrous ionic liquid is present which is also free of pure bromine. One organic [BCA]$^+$cation per polybromide anion is present in the fused salt phase to keep the charge balance in the fused salt settled.

**Distribution of Br$_n^-$ in the different polybromides in the fused salts**

In order to obtain the distribution of Br$_n^-$ among the different polybromides in the fused salt phases depending on the SoC and the [BCA]$^+$ cation, the fractions of Br$_n^-$ in the polybromides x(BCA$^{-}$), x(BCA$^{-}$) and x(BCA$^{-}$) found for the respective SoC are calculated based on the Raman spectra. Investigation of the distribution is described in the “Experimental section” and is discussed in Ref.\textsuperscript{[9]} and Ref.\textsuperscript{[2]} The results are summarized in Figure 5 for the investigated BCAs, 1-alkylpyridin-1-ium bromide and 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazol-1-ium bromide.

For SoC $<$ 50% (Figure 5, region I), a fraction of 80 to 90 mol% of the Br$_3^-$ is stored in Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$ for all fused salts. In addition, there is a strong dependence between the distribution of Br$_3^-$ in Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$. For SoC $\leq$ 33%, all BCAs in this range in the fused salt preferably form [BCA]Br$_3$(Br$_3$) rather than [BCA]Br$_7$(Br$_7$) fused salts.
Br− stored in [BCA]Br3 increases with $27.7 \leq x(\text{Br}_3^-) \leq 49.2$ mol%.

For SoC $\geq 50\%$ (see Figure 5, region II), the fraction of Br− in Br3− continues to decrease from $x(\text{Br}_3^-) \approx 31$ mol% at SoC $= 50\%$ to $x(\text{Br}_3^-) \approx 9$ mol% at SoC $= 100\%$, whereas the fraction of Br7− in Br7− increases up to SoC $= 66\%$ to $x(\text{Br}_7^-) \approx 50$ to 60%. For SoC $> 66\%$, the fraction of Br− in Br3− decreases as shown in Figure 5. The fraction of Br− stored as heptabromide Br7−, however, increases for SoC $\geq 50\%$ to $x(\text{Br}_7^-) \approx 8.6$ to 59.6 mol% at SoC $= 100\%$ except for [C2MIm]Br with 35.7 mol%. Overall, for SoC $\geq 50\%$, Br− is increasingly stored in pentabromide and even more preferred in heptabromide. In contrast to the range SoC $< 50\%$, there are no noticeable differences in the distribution of Br− among the polybromides for the different BCAs with different alkyl side chains here. The distribution is similar for all fused salt phases with different BCAs in the range of SoC $\geq 50\%$.

**Figure 5. Distribution of Br− in the fused salt to polybromides Brn+1 in different alkyl side chains in the N-position of the BCA with (a) 1-alkylpyridine-1-ium bromides and (b) 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazole-1-ium bromides as BCAs at $\gamma = 23 \pm 1\degree$ C.**

The bromine binding strength describes how strong the Br− is bound in the fused salt phase by a BCA compared to the possibility to remain in the aqueous electrolyte phase. The bromine binding strength of the BCA is defined by the molar fraction of Br− stored in the fused salt phase compared to the absolute amount of Br− in the sample and is shown in Figure 6.

For SoC $\leq 50\%$ the binding strength depends on the investigated BCAs mainly on the alkyl side chain length and is close to 100% for [C6Py]1+ and [C6MIm]1+, while a general decrease for [C4Py]1+, [C4MIm]1+ to [C2Py]1+ and [C2MIm]1+ is recognizable.

While the concentration of Br− in the fused salts appears to be independent to the binding strength between the [BCA]1+ cations and the polybromide anions Brn+1−, the distribution of Br− among the different polybromides in the fused salt is of particular importance with respect to stability of the liquid fused salts and its influence on the solubility equilibrium in Equation (1). BCAs with rising alkyl side chain length in the N-position of [BCA]1+ cations store Br− with an increasingly higher fraction in Brn− as shown in Figure 5 for SoC $< 50\%$. Under this conditions, the interaction between the [BCA]1+ cations must be stronger with Br7− compared to Br5− and Br3−. This interaction is intensified when n-butyl or n-hexyl groups are present at the BCA basic molecule components, either for pyridine or for 3-methylimidazole. Evans et al. [43] described that ammonium cations with large side chains allow polybromides to attach in their lowest energy form, which in this case would be Br7−. Therefore, the interaction of the positively charged [C6Py]1− and [C6MIm]1− cations with the negatively charged Brn− is expected to be extremely strong, leading to a high binding strength in Figure 6. For these BCAs, hardly any Br7 remains in the aqueous phase and more than 98 mol% of Br7 is transferred to the fused salt for SoC $< 33\%$. [5] Easton et al. [44] attributes this observation to the interaction between hydrogen atoms of the side chains C–H and the heteroaromatic basic structure of the BCAs with
the polybromide anions, known to be stronger for Br$_3^-$.

The dominance of Br$_3^-$ for SoC $\leq 33\%$ observed in Figure 5 overlaps exactly with the strong Br$_2^-$ binding strength shown in Figure 6 in this SoC range and leads to low concentrations of Br$_2^-$ in the aqueous phase. As a general trend, we observed that the higher the fraction of Br$_3^-$ in Br$_2^-$ in the fused salt the stronger the chosen [BCA]$^+$ binds Br$_2^-$ in the fused salt phase.

**Dependence of polybromide distribution on the BCA and storage of Br$_2^-$ in higher polybromides (SoC $\geq 50\%$)**

In the SoC range of SoC $\geq 50\%$ most of the [BCA]$^+$ cations are transferred from the aqueous phase into the fused salt. More specifically, [BCA]$^+$ cations are no longer detected in the aqueous phase for SoC $> 70\%$. However, due to the change of the SoC the total and fused salt Br$_2^-$ concentrations continue to rise over the SoC range. During this period, Br$_2^-$ is absorbed at the interface into the fused salts instead of being transferred as fused salt micelle into the fused salt bulk. As the concentration of Br$_2^-$ decreases, lower polybromides like Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$ take up Br$_2^-$ molecules and form Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$. The fractions of Br$_2^-$ in Br$_2^-$ are rising as shown in Figure 5. The number of molecules remains constant, since each [BCA]$^+$ cation is opposed by one polybromide anion to achieve charge neutrality of the solution. Although heptabromide ions are larger than tribromides, the volume per bromine molecule in heptabromide is lower than in the two polybromides Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$.

The interaction between higher polybromides and [BCA]$^+$ cations is still pronounced. However, only the presence of the [BCA]$^+$ cations is decisive for this behaviour and not the length of the alkyl side chain. The general development of the bromine distribution in Figure 5 is similar for all BCAs used in the fused salt phase. Also the bromine binding strength in Figure 6 is approximately independent of the SoC and independent of the length of the alkyl side chain. Easton et al. described by means of DFT calculations that higher polybromides interact less strongly with the BCAs. Haller et al. also described a decreasing interaction between Br$^-$ and Br$_n^-$ anions with [BCA]$^+$ cations. This is the reason why for SoC $\geq 50\%$ the binding strength is nearly independent of the BCA and its alkyl side chain when mainly Br$_5^-$/Br$_7^-$ anions are available. Similar Br$_2^-$ concentrations in aqueous electrolyte phases, independent of the chosen BCA for 1-alkylpyridin-1-ium and 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazol-1-ium, are based on the Br$_5^-$/Br$_7^-$ composition of the fused salt.

**Conductivities of the fused salt phase**

Since the fused salt can exist as part of an electrolyte emulsion or in the form of larger droplets and, when segregated, as a film on the electrode surface, a high conductivity of the fused salt is a prerequisite for its application in the H$_2$/Br$_2$-RFB cell. The fused salts should have a high ionic conductivity in order to achieve high cell performance. The electrolytic conductivities of the evaluated fused salts are shown in Figure 7 at $\vartheta = 23 \pm 1^\circ$C.

In general, all equilibrated fused salt phases have conductivities ($\kappa$) between 6.89 mS cm$^{-1}$ $\leq \kappa \leq$ 89.73 mS cm$^{-1}$ at $\vartheta = 23 \pm 1^\circ$C as shown in Figure 7. The reported values are significantly lower when compared to the electrolytic conductivities of their aqueous phases with conductivities in the range between 324.78 $\leq \kappa \leq$ 745.00 mS cm$^{-1}$ and adding up to an increase in conductivity of 3.6 to 108 times higher than the conductivity of the fused salt. The increased conductivity of the aqueous BCA phases is mainly governed by a Grothuss-like mechanism due to oxonium ions in the aqueous solution, resulting from the hydrobromic acid in the electrolyte. The conductivity difference between the two phases results in a large conductivity and overpotential gradient at the interface between the aqueous and fused salt in the electrolyte. Consequences for application of fused salt in the positive half-cell are discussed in Section "Applicability of the fused salt in the H$_2$/Br$_2$ battery" later on in this research article.
The two mechanisms differ in the way charges are transported in the electrolyte. Whereas in the vehicle mechanism oppositely charged ions migrate into opposite directions in the electric field, in the hopping mechanism a bond rearrangement occurs, and charged ions are transported impulsively by “bond shifting” in the hopping mechanism.

As an example, conductivities of aqueous potassium chloride solutions ($\kappa(1 \text{ M KCl}) = 108.62 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ and $\kappa(0.1 \text{ M KCl}) = 12.824 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$) at $\vartheta = 25^\circ \text{C}$ are in the range of measured fused salt conductivities. Papanacea et al.\cite{50} determined the conductivities of aqueous solutions of [C2MIm]Cl, [C4MIm]Cl and [C6MIm]Cl at $\vartheta = 25^\circ \text{C}$ and obtained values between $5 \text{ mS cm}^{-1} \leq \kappa \leq 85 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ depending on the mass fraction of the [BCA]Cl salt. Aqueous solutions of 1.0 M 1-ethyl-1-methylmorpholin-1-ium bromide [MEM]Br in 3.0 M ZnBr$_2$ electrolytes for Zn/Br$_2$-RFB offer conductivities of $\kappa = 44.4 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ (calculated from Ref.\cite{11}), which are in the conductivity range of the investigated fused salts. In aqueous electrolytes of ZnBr$_2$/BCA supporting electrolytes of zinc sulphate, potassium chloride, ammonium chloride or ammonium bromide increase the conductivity up to $\kappa = 128.2 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ (calculated from Ref.\cite{11}).

Moreover, pure bromide based fused salts offer only low conductivities such as for 1-tetrabutylammonium bromide [TBA]Br at $\vartheta = 410^\circ \text{C}$ with $\kappa = 3.293 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ and [C6MIm]Br at $\vartheta = 27^\circ \text{C}$ with $\kappa = 54.2 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$.

However, in our case the polybromides present in the fused salt could be responsible of the increased conductivity compared to the reported literature values. Without considering this fact, the fused salts [MEM]Br in a Zn/Br$_2$-RFB electrolyte with $13.7 \leq \kappa \leq 50 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ at $\vartheta = 23^\circ \text{C}$\cite{11,49} and 1-$n$-hexyl-3-methyl-imidazol-1-ium nonabromide [C6MIm]Br$_2$ with $\kappa = 52.1 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ for $\vartheta = 25.6^\circ \text{C}$ show conductivities that confirm the results of this study.

**Dependence of the fused salt conductivity on the chosen SoC**

Conductivity curves of the fused salt within the SoC range in Figure 7 show that the conductivity increases with increasing Br$_2$ concentration in the electrolyte as depicted in Figure 2. This tendency is mentioned in the literature\cite{11,12} and is achieved from measured values, but has not been discussed in detail so far. A comparison of the conductivities $\kappa$ with the polybromide composition in Figure 5 shows a strong dependence of the conductivity on the polybromide composition of the fused salt. While for small SoC values the conductivities are rather low, with Br$_2$ predominantly bound in Br$_2$$_{9n+1}$, it increases with increasing SoC if a mixture of Br$_2$$_{3n+1}$ and Br$_2$$_{4n+1}$ is present. For high SoCs with a mixture of Br$_2$$_{2n+1}$ and Br$_2$$_{3n+1}$, maximum conductivities are achieved for each [BCA]-fused salt. There is a correlation between higher polybromides Br$_2$$_{9n+1}$ and a conductivity increase at the same time. To investigate this phenomenon in detail, the mechanisms of the transport of charges and mass in the fused salt are investigated and discussed in the next chapter.

**Influence of the basic molecule structure and alkyl side chain length of the BCAs on fused salt conductivity**

In parallel to the distribution of polybromides in the fused salt phase, Figure 7 shows a significant difference in the conductivities for different BCAs. Based on this observation, the influence of the length of the alkyl side chain and the basic molecule structure on the conductivity is evaluated in detail. The length of the alkyl side chain in the N-position of the BCA leads to an overall conductivity increase as seen in Figure 7. The longer the alkyl side chain in the N-position, the lower the conductivity for
each individual SoC value. This trend is observed as the hydrodynamic radius of the [BCA] cations increases with the length of the alkyl side chain. Applying the vehicle mechanism, [BCA] cations with n-hexyl side chains move more slowly in the fused salt within the applied electric field due to ionic size. However, as Stegemann et al. and Rubinstein et al. suggest charge transport by means of the hopping mechanism between the polybromides, the large [BCA] cations with long alkyl side chains need to be bypassed by the hopping mechanism between the polybromide anions. At the same time, BCAs with long side chains tend to bind Br more strongly and thus limit the possibilities of easy charge transport compared to equivalent polybromide mixtures of Br and Br (Figure 5). As the SoC increases, the conductivity and the difference between the different BCA fused salts get larger.

Conductivities of fused salts with BCAs of the same alkyl side chain, but different basic molecule structure (1-n-alkylpyridin-1-ium and 1-n-alkyl-3-methylpyridin-1-ium), are observed to be very similar. Slightly higher conductivities of 1-n-alkylpyridin-1-ium fused salts compared to 1-n-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium salts can be assigned to the additional methyl group in imidazolium cations. The conductivity of the [C2MIm][Br] fused salt decreases more strongly and the phase forms crystals for SoC < 60%, which has been already reported in Ref. [2]. We expect that since the sizes of the evaluated BCA basic molecules (pyridine and 1-methylimidazole) are similar, they influence the hopping mechanism in the fused salt in a similar way.

Mechanism of charge transport and bromine mass transport in fused salt phase

Although the ionic conductivity of the fused salt is in the conductivity range of aqueous KCl solutions, we assume that the mechanism for charge and mass transport in the fused salt is not a vehicle mechanism. Instead, a charge transport by a hopping mechanism between the polybromides is suggested and discussed in detail here.

Comparison of existing charge and mass transport models

In aqueous solutions of KCl and [BCA]Cl or [MEM][Br/ZnBr, the salts are completely or partially dissolved with water molecules. The solvation shell formed around the ions protects them against the electrostatic interactions that they can experience with other ions in the solution. In these cases, ions are able to move in the electrical field due to the mutual shielding of their charges. Charge transport and molecule transport according to the vehicle mechanism, is described by Kreuer et al. and strongly depends on the hydrodynamic radii of the ions. As described in the previous sections, the ions in the fused salt phase cannot experience a water solvation shell as the fused salt phase was found to be completely anhydrous. Charges of the [BCA] cations and polybromide anions Br cannot be shielded against their electrostatic interactions when moving in the electric field. However, since the conductivity of the fused salt phase is comparable to that of aqueous salt solutions, it is assumed that a hopping mechanism must exist between the polybromides.

High conductivities in solutions and ionic liquids were studied by Stegemann et al. in the presence of polyhalides. Their study describes the ion conduction via a Grotthus-like transfer of negative charge using the example of triiodide I and iodide I, whereby pentaiodide I is formed in a transitional state and charge transport occurs by the exchange of I with the I anion. This theory is additionally supported by Rubinstein et al. who proposed the same hopping mechanism for Br /Br in nitrobenzene/tetraethylammonium bromide. Charges are transferred in the solution by binding rearrangement between Br and Br shown in Figure 8. Br is expected to be present as a transitional stage in this model. However, due to the much longer addition bonds, pentabromide ions can bind the Br weaker than the tribromide ions.

We assume that a hopping mechanism could be also responsible for the high conductivity of the fused salts in the bromine half-cell electrolyte. But in contrast to Stegemann and Rubinstein, we suggest that the Br could exist only as a transitional state between two polybromides, as it has not been detected by Raman spectra.

However, we still see a significant contribution of the Br from our Raman spectra (see Figure 4) that contradicts the proposed mechanism by Stegemann and Rubinstein, which assumes the presence of free Br and restricts the existence of Br only as a transitional state. Based on these observations, we believe that the mechanism described in Figure 8 is not the sole responsible of the ionic movement in the investigated fused salt samples, while another hopping mechanism among polybromides could describe the high conductivity.

Charge and mass transport mechanism of Br by hopping mechanism for SoC ~ 50% within the Br/Br polybromide regime

As mentioned in the previous section, the conductivity of ions in the investigated fused salt phases might be governed by a hopping mechanism of the ions between the polybromides present in the electrolyte. Since the Raman spectra clearly indicated that the pentabromide is abundant in the fused salt phases for SoC ~ 50%, we propose a Br molecule in transition state as shown in Figure 9a instead of the initial pentabromide transition state introduced by Stegemann and Rubinstein.

![Figure 8. Hopping mechanism between bromine and tribromide for charge transport in fused phase according to Stegemann et al. and Rubinstein et al. Formation of an addition bond between Br and Br. In step (3), Br is available as transitional stage.](image-url)
range at least more than 40 mol% of Br$_2$ are available in the form of Br$_5^-$. For [C2Py]Br$_{2n+1}$ amounts of Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$ are close to be equivalent and conductivities are high with $42.6 \leq \kappa \leq 60.4 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ for SoC \( \leq 50 \% \).

The hypothesis of a pure fused salt consisting of only [BCA]$^+$ cations and Br$_3^-$ cations would lead to even lower fused salt conductivities at room temperature. The hopping mechanism proposed in Figure 9a would not take place because no Br$_2$ can be transmitted to the Br$_5^-$ due to a lack of Br$_5^-$, which is, in this situation, essential. Charge transport could take place exclusively via the vehicle mechanism, but would be strongly limited by the intense electrostatic forces of attraction and repulsion between the unsolvated ions. The formation of [BCA]Br$_2$-fused salt is the reason for sharply increasing ohmic cell resistances when fused salt is formed in the bromine half cell during the charge process as shown in Ref. [5,29].

**Charge and mass transport mechanism of Br$_2$ for SoC \( \geq 50 \% \) within the Br$_3^-/Br_5^-$ polybromide regime**

Increasing SoC values of SoC \( \geq 50 \% \) lead to fused salt mixtures with mainly Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$ present. More than 65 mol% of the Br$_2$ are bound in Br$_5^-$ and Br$_7^-$ for SoC \( \geq 50 \% \) and more than 80 mol% Br$_2$ for SoC \( \geq 80 \% \). Also with increasing SoC the fused salt conductivity increases. Fabjan et al. [12] mentioned that the conductivity increases with increasing Br$_2$ content but did not further describe this effect. Rather, it can be assumed that higher polybromides have a key role in charge transport, with Br$_2$ being exchanged. This is done by rearranging Br$_2$ from a higher polybromide to a lower polybromide as depicted in Figure 9b for heptabromide and pentabromide. Although, Br$_2$ transition from higher polybromides to Br$_5^-$ might additionally occur at these SoCs, we believe that the transition of Br$_2$ from Br$_5^-$ to Br$_3^-$ is preferred due to lower bonding strength between Br$_2$ and the Br$^-$ ion in the polybromide. The bonds between the internal bromide ion of the polybromide anion and the attached Br$_2$ molecules have different length for different polybromides. [18] The bond lengths between Br$^-$ and Br$_2$ in Br$_5^-$ and Br$_7^-$ are longer than the bond of the attached Br$_2$ molecule as shown in Chen et al. [13] and Br$_2$ is bound weaker to the bromide ion in the polybromide. This leads to an enhanced bond breaking between the bromide of the heptabromide and an attached Br$_2$ molecule, leading in the next step to a bond formation of this Br$_2$ molecule in the transitional state and the pentabromide in the fused salt. The mechanism is shown in Figure 9b. The longer this bond, the easier it is to be broken. Br$_2$ molecules and charges are more easily transported in Br$_5^-$ / Br$_7^-$ mixtures, leading to higher electrolytic conductivities.

Haller et al. [31] confirmed the possibility of a hopping-like mechanism in these phases using the example of [C6MIm]Br$_{2n+1}$, but assumed a charge transport to occur between non-abromide Br$_2$ and Br$.^-$. The occurrence of Br$^-.$ is opposed by the fact that an ion pairing between bromide and the [BCA]$^+$ cations is generally energetically unfavourable in the fused salt compared to ion pairing of Br$_2$ with Br$_3^-$ or Br$_5^-$ with the [BCA]$^+$ cation, as Easton et al. [44] have determined on the basis of the

---

**Figure 9.** Hopping mechanism for charge transport in [BCA]Br$_{2n+1}$ fused salts for (a) mixtures of tribromide and pentabromide and for (b) mixtures of pentabromide and heptabromide. Solid lines show the covalent bonding and the addition bonding between the bromine atoms in the polybromides. Dotted lines show the process of bonding rearrangement during the charge transport process.

Our proposed mechanism suggests that the charge transfer can occur via the formation and breakage of bonds between Br$_3^-$ and Br$_5^-$. For the mechanism to occur, the addition bond from Br$_2$ to Br$_5^-$ must be weaker than the interaction of the Br$_2$ and the Br$_3^-$...
free Gibbs energy for this process by DFT calculations on various [BCA]⁺ cations.

Fused salt viscosity as a function of the BCA and SoC

Additionally, the viscosity of the fused salts is a decisive parameter for the application of fused salt in the positive half-cell of the H₂/Br₂-RFB. It determines the design of the piping system and indicates whether fused salt could be used in the cell, and if so, whether this should be in a finely dispersed emulsion and in what volume ratio between fused salt and aqueous phase. Therefore, the dynamic viscosities of the fused salt phases of the individual BCAs with different alkyl side chains are determined at θ = 23 ± 1 °C and shown in Figure 10.

The influence of the existing polybromide composition in the fused salt for the respective SoCs on the viscosity is determined and discussed.

During viscosity measurements, all fused salts showed a Newtonian flow behaviour at the investigated shear rates. A linear relationship between shear rate and force required to achieve shear of the fused salt could be determined for all fused salts and independent of the SoC. At θ = 23 ± 1 °C, all fused salts have dynamic viscosities (Figure 10) between 51.70 ≥ η ≥ 8.16 mPas and thus 8 to 51 times higher viscosities than the viscosity of water (η = 1.02 mPas at θ = 20 °C [54]). For viscosity measurements, [C2MIm]Br is only available in liquid aggregate state from SoC ≥ 60 %, as already investigated and discussed in an earlier work.[2]

**Influence of the BCA and alkyl side chain length on the fused salt viscosity**

The dynamic viscosity depends on the selected [BCA]⁺ cation and even stronger on the length of the alkyl side chain in the N-position. Figure 10 shows a decrease in viscosity as the SoC increases for all fused salts investigated. In general, we observed that long alkyl side chains lead to higher viscosities within the entire SoC range, following the trend: η([C6MIm]Br₂₃ₓ) > η([C6Py]Br₂₃ₓ) > η([C4Py]Br₂₃ₓ). Larger [BCA]⁺ cations with larger hydrodynamic radii have a higher resistivity towards displacement, whereby Van der Waals interactions between the alkyl groups occur more frequently. The direct comparison between pyridin-1-ium and 3-methylimidazol-1-ium derivatives does not show any fundamental differences. The length of the alkyl side chain in N-position is decisive again. The lowest viscosity is available for fused salts of [C2Py]Br, between 8.16 ≤ η ≤ 14.00 mPas. The low viscosity and the stability of the liquid fused salt[10] of [C2Py]Br₂₃ₓ make it the most promising BCA for application in the cell in comparison to the other investigated fused salts.

**Influence of fused salt polybromide composition on its viscosity**

The viscosity change within the entire SoC range depends on the polybromide distribution in the fused salt according to Figure 10. This effect is particularly noticeable with the sharp viscosity drop observed for [C6MIm]Br, [C6Py]Br and [C4Py]Br between 30 ≤ SoC ≤ 60 %. The trend of decreasing viscosity coincides with the trend of decreasing Br⁻ content bound in Br₃⁻ (see Figure 5). So it can be inferred that the higher the fraction of Br⁻ stored in tribromide, the higher the viscosity of the fused salt. Hence, if the amount of Br⁻ decreases while more Br₂⁻/Br₃⁻ is present, the dynamic viscosity of the fused salt will decrease. As discussed before, the distribution of Br⁻ on the polybromides Br₃⁻, Br₂⁻ and Br⁻ strongly depends on the length of the alkyl side chain length of the chosen BCA. For fused salts of [C6Py]Br and [C6MIm]Br the fraction of Br⁻ in Br₃⁻ x(Br⁻) > 69 mol% for SoC ≤ 33 %, hence their viscosities ranged among the largest measured at values ≥ 44 mPas. For fused salts of [C4Py]Br and [C4MIm]Br the molar fraction of 49 < x(Br⁻) < 69 mol% for SoC ≤ 33 % is obtained and values of viscosities are between 24.8 ≤ η ≤ 30.8 mPas. For [C2Py]Br an approximately similar mixture of x(Br⁻) ≈ x(Br₂⁻) ≈ 50 mol% of...
Br$_2$ bound in Br$_2$-$^+$ and Br$_2$-$^-$ is available, thus lower viscosity of 13 to 14 mPas is reached.

For all BCAs, the viscosity still decreases for SoC $\geq$ 50% but at a lower rate, while mixtures of Br$_2$/Br$_3$-$^-$ at high SoC $> 50\%$ lead to viscosities between 8.16 $\leq$ $\eta$ $< 33.5$ mPas. In general, in this SoC range, the fraction of Br$_2$ in Br$_n$-$^-$ is x(Br$_n$-$^-$) $\leq$ 35 mol%, with values nearly independent of the BCA and its alkyl side chain length. Whereas, x(Br$_n$-$^-$) rises from around 10 mol% to around 50 mol%, while Br$_2$-$^-$ contains around 31 to 60 mol% Br$_2$. The observation that the viscosity decreases for higher polybromides can be explained by the following theory.

If one considers that the fused salt is composed on the one hand by single positively charged organic [BCA]$^+$ cations and on the other hand by different but single negatively charged polybromide anions Br$_n$-$^-$ and Br$_n$-$^-$, both ions are not shielded versus electrostatic interactions between the ions due to the lack of a solvation shell. The displacement of individual ions against each other is thus subject to resistivity. While oppositely charged ions cannot be shifted by attractive forces, ions with the same charge are repelled by repulsive forces. In Br$_n$-$^-$, the charge density is lower than in Br$_3$-$^-$, due to its larger hydrodynamic radius. The interactions between the polybromides and the individual [BCA]$^+$ cations strongly depend on both species and are weaker for higher polybromides like Br$_n$-$^-$ and Br$_n$-$^-$, compared to strongly interacting Br$_3$-$^-$. Haller et al.\textsuperscript{[31]} determined on the basis of $^1$H NMR analysis that nonambromide Br$_n$-$^-$ enters into much weaker interactions with [C6MIm]$^+$ than bromide Br$_-$.

Easton et al.\textsuperscript{[42]} also calculated that there is a strong ion pairing between Br$_3$-$^-$ and [BCA]$^+$ ions, while the tendency for ion pairing is weaker between Br$_n$-$^-$ and [BCA]$^+$. The addition of Br$_2$ with rising SoC leads to the formation of higher polybromides from Br$_-$ to Br$_3$-$^-$. For the investigated fused salts, the polybromides interact less strongly with the [BCA]$^+$ cation with increasing Br$_2$ loading. They can be moved in the fused salt more easily by an external force, which allows a higher shear rate. In this case, BCAs with longer alkyl side groups tend to interact very strongly with tribromide Br$_3$-$^-$, leading to high viscosities in the fused salt.

**Temperature stability of the electrolyte exemplified by [C2Py]Br as BCA**

Since [C2Py]Br emerges as a potentially applicable BCA for the application of fused salt due to its high ionic conductivity and low viscosity compared to the other BCAs investigated, the temperature stability of the fused salt phase is examined here as an example. For an application of H$_2$/Br$_2$RFB in many countries worldwide, temperatures far below $\vartheta = 0$°C can be reached in winter. Both electrolyte phases, the aqueous and the fused salt phases, must remain as a liquid within the entire SoC range. Therefore, electrolyte samples consisting of an aqueous electrolyte phase and a fused salt are cooled down from room temperature starting from $\vartheta = \pm 23$°C. The freezing temperatures of the electrolyte are shown in Figure 11.

When the electrolytes are cooled down, safe use of the two-phase liquid electrolyte can be observed at a temperature $\vartheta >$ 5°C within the whole SoC range. For $\vartheta >$ 5°C, electrolytes of [C2Py]Br as BCA in the considered mixture can be used in the cell for cycling.

For SoC = 0%, the electrolyte consists of a bromine-free mixture of 7.7 M HBr in H$_2$O and 1.11 M [C2Py]Br and can be cooled down to at least $\vartheta = -22$°C without crystallisation. Due to the BCA content and especially the high content of HBr, there is a melting point depression in the mixture of predominantly HBr and H$_2$O. In the electrolyte samples for SoC = 0%, a two-phase electrolyte mixture is then present, whereby each phase can crystallize individually when temperature is reduced.

Between 10 $\leq$ SoC $\leq$ 70%, crystallization of the fused salt phase occurs with decreasing temperature as shown in Figure 11. The fused salt phase is solid and can no longer be pumped or moved. The freezing temperature of the fused salt phase decreases from SoC = 10% with $\vartheta = 5$°C to SoC = 70% with $\vartheta = -20$°C. For higher SoCs, it is possible to use the electrolyte at temperatures below $\vartheta = 0$°C in the H$_2$/Br$_2$ RFB. For SoC $> 80\%$, the fused salt phase remains liquid in the electrolyte at least down to a temperature of $\vartheta = -22$°C. For crystallization, it is shown that the present polybromides in the fused salt phase have an influence on crystal formation with decreasing temperature. Although the influence of temperature on the distribution of bromine in the polybromides has not been investigated, based on the Br$_2$ distribution at $\vartheta = 23$±1°C, a clear influence of the polybromides on the freezing temperature can be observed. The decrease in freezing temperature roughly parallels the decrease in Br$_3$ concentration in the fused salt phase from x(Br$_3$-$^-)$ = 48% at SoC = 20% to x(Br$_3$-$^-)$ = 8% at SoC = 100%. As known in Küttinger et al.,\textsuperscript{[21]} crystallization of positively charged [BCA]$^+$ cations occurs by formation of...
tribromide salts [BCA]Br₃. This has been explained in detail using the example of [C2MIm]Br in Ref. [2] For 10 ≤ SoC ≤ 50% with a 30 ≤ x(Br) ≤ 48%, the fraction of Br⁻ and Br₃⁻ is predominant. Due to the smaller hydrodynamic radius and the higher charge density of Br⁻, crystallization occurs preferentially and is therefore possible at temperatures between 0 ≤ θ ≤ 5°C. As explained in detail in Ref. [42] a preferential aggregation of tribromide with the BCAs occurs. For SoC > 50%, fractions of Br⁻ and Br₃⁻ predominate in the mixture. In these mixtures, crystallization also occurs, but at much lower temperatures than at lower SoCs. Br⁻ and Br₃⁻ have a larger hydrodynamic radius and a lower charge density compared to Br⁻. Hence, they are less likely to bond with the [BCA]⁻ cations or to crystallize only at lower temperatures.

At SoC = 90 and 100%, the aqueous electrolyte phase crystallizes at θ = –17°C and –12°C, respectively. In the aqueous phase, the solutions contain a bromine concentration of around 0.26 M and HBr with concentration between 1.00 M and 1.67 M. Overall, the sum of HBr and Br⁻ concentration is lowest at this point. The melting point depression in the aqueous phase is lowered by low HBr concentrations, so that crystallization of the aqueous phase for both samples occurs above θ = –22°C.

### Applicability of the fused salt in the H₂/Br⁻ battery

The application of the fused salt is advantageous for the discharge process due to bromine concentrations [Br⁻, fs] > 4 M, since high discharge current densities based on high bromine concentrations can be expected. However, the conductivity of the fused salt compared to the aqueous electrolyte solution in electrolytes is not sufficient for the application in H₂/Br⁻-RFB. For the application, there is an explicit difference between the conductivities of the aqueous phase with k(aq) > 298 mS cm⁻¹ and the fused salt with k(fs) < 89.7 mS cm⁻¹, which increases with decreasing SoC as shown in Figure 7 and in conductivity values in Ref. [2]. Thus, the feasibility of obtaining high current densities is limited by the low conductivity of the fused salt compared to the one of the aqueous phase.

A comparison of the possible voltage losses between the aqueous phase and the fused salt phase clearly shows the differences between the two electrolytes. The ohmic overpotential arising from the BCA-containing electrolytes can be calculated using the phase conductivities determined in this study and in Ref. [2]. As an example, the values are estimated for the [C₆Py]Br, Br⁻ and BCA series and are summarized in Table 2.

For the calculation, it is assumed that the selected electrolyte phase is present in front of the electrode surface with a layer thickness of 250 μm, and that the cell is charged or discharged applying a current density of 100 mA cm⁻² (as seen in Figure 12).

In a comparison to the aqueous electrolyte, the results in Table 2 clearly suggest that the ohmic drop resulting from the fused salt phase is much higher than its equivalent in the aqueous phase. The ohmic overpotential caused by the fused salt changed depending on the SoC and the [BCA]⁺ cation and it is a consequence of the phase low conductivity. Contrarily, the aqueous electrolyte solutions of the same layer thickness show much lower overpotentials in the single-digit mV range, as also shown in Table 2.

This factor distinguishes the H₂/Br⁻-RFB from the Zn/Br⁻-RFB, since small current densities are used in Zn/Br⁻-RFB with the aim of uniform zinc deposition free of dendrites and the conductivities of the aqueous Zn/Br⁻-RFB electrolytes and the fused salts are similar as can be seen in the example of Eustace⁺. Aqueous phase: 44.4 mS cm⁻¹ < k < 128.2 mS cm⁻¹ and fused salt: 13.7 mS cm⁻¹ < k ≤ 50 mS cm⁻¹.

An area-covering layer of the fused salt phase on the electrode surface is not practical for the application in H₂/Br⁻-RFB. Ultimately, the application of fused salt thus also strongly depends on the configuration of the electrode. While dense felt electrodes offer a high specific surface for the reaction, they also offer an increased possibility of adhesion of fused salt to their surface.

Furthermore, for practical application, pumping a pure fused salt is not appropriate. Since the energy required for pumping increases proportional to the dynamic viscosity, the energy consumption would be 8 to 51 times higher than for water. The large variation of the fused salt viscosity in addition influences the choice of pumps. As an alternative to reduce the flow resistance, the fused salt could be transported in the form of drops within the aqueous phase for example in an emulsion, without touching the walls of the battery supply tubes. However, in any case, discharging the pure fused salt in the cell would not be possible if there was no aqueous phase to absorb the protons.

For the application of fused salt in H₂/Br⁻-RFB, it is necessary to investigate the following points in future studies, while taking the properties of the fused salt into account: Structure of...
the electrode in the positive half cell (felt, carbon paper, thickness of the cell, retention time characteristics), adhesion of the fused salt to the electrode surface as a function of the SoC, coalescence characteristics of emulsions as a function of the fused salt composition, and the bromine/bromide redox kinetics from the fused salt phase.

**Conclusion**

In aqueous HBr/Br2 electrolytes for H2/Br2-RFB, bromine complexing additives are applied to bind volatile bromine in a separate and second liquid phase; called fused salt, in the electrolyte. In this work, the chemical and operational relevant properties of these fused salts have been investigated. The properties of fused salts of seven different BCAs from the group of 1-alkylpyridin-1-i um bromides and 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazol-1-i um bromides with the alkyl side chains ethyl, n-propyl, n-butyl and n-hexyl have been determined:

All BCAs form a separated fused salt phase in contact with polybromides Br5+, Br6+ and Br7+, with only [C2MIm]Br as BCA tending to crystallize the fused salt phase. The previously widely unverified composition of fused salt phases has been determined for the first time and consists of anhydrous and bromine-free ionic liquids composed purely of [BCA]+ cations, and the polybromide anions Br5−, Br6− and Br7−. It is a pure ionic liquid for all chosen BCAs and the whole investigated SoC range of the electrolyte.

Bromine is stored in concentrations between 4.98 < c(Br2, fs) < 13.62 M in the fused salt, resulting in capacities between 267 to 730 Ah.L−1, which are largest known for RFBs electrolytes. However, operation of the electrolyte is only feasible in combination with the aqueous electrolyte phase in the bromine half-cell of the RFB.

With conductivities between 7 < k(fs) < 90 mS cm−1, the fused salt phases are about 3.6 to 108 times less conductive than their corresponding aqueous electrolyte solutions. Simultaneously, their conductivities are comparable to the ones of aqueous KCl solutions with c(KCl) < 1 M. This indicates an exceptionally high conductivity of the fused salt phase at room temperature, despite the fact that it is a pure fused salt. The transfer of charges and Br2 in the fused salt phase takes place by a hopping mechanism comparable to the Groththus-mechanism between water and protons. Here a Br2 molecule is transferred from a higher polybromide such as Br5+/Br5− to a lower polybromide Br6+/Br6−. Lower polybromides bind Br2 more strongly by forming an addition bonding than higher polybromides. Charge is transported through the electrolyte by the release and replacement of these bonds. It is proposed that Br2 is present as a transition state in the hopping mechanism, as it was not detected in the fused salt phases. Hence, original models by Stegemann and Rubinstein, which assume free Br2 molecules in the fused salt, do not apply to describe the conductivity of the fused salt phases in this work. In the Raman spectra of the sample only Raman peaks of Br5+, Br6+ and Br7− polybromides are registered, while pure Br2 is not available in the fused salt to fulfil the mechanism of Stegemann and Rubinstein.

The viscosities of the fused salt phase between 8 < u < 52 mPas strongly depend on the BCA and on the polybromides present, whereby BCAs with short alkyl side chains are to be preferred with high amounts of Br5− and Br6−.

An application of the fused salt in combination with the aqueous electrolyte phase is not advantageous by directly pumping the fused salt into the cell due to low conductivity and high viscosity. For Zn/Br2-RFB instead, or in form of emulsions, an application could be possible, expecting adjusted bromine half-cell design. The most promising electrolytes are those based on 1-ethylpyridin-1-ium bromide [C2Py]Br as BCA, since its fused salt reaches a maximum volumetric capacity and at the same time has the highest conductivities and lowest viscosities. However, Zn/Br2-RFB electrolytes are of different composition including zinc bromide (ZnBr2) or conducting salts like ammonium bromide (NH4Br) and a general transformation of this work to Zn/Br2-RFB electrolytes is not feasible. Further investigations for [C2Py]Br fused salts are necessary in the form of aqueous fused salt emulsions in thin bromine electrodes with carbon paper for use in H2/Br2-RFB.

**Experimental Section**

A detailed description of the testing methods is given in the Supporting Information (chapter 1) for this article to enable reproducibility of the measurements. An abbreviated form is reproduced here.

**Reagents and synthesis of bromine complexing agents**

Bromine electrolyte samples consist of hydrobromic acid, bromine and distilled water. All used BCAs (Table 1) are synthesized from 1-methylimidazole, pyridine, bromoethane, 1-bromopropane, 1-bromobutane and 1-bromohexane. Suppliers and purity of chemicals are listed in the Supporting Information. Investigated BCAs are listed with name and structure in Table 1. BCAs are synthesized in one step and solvent-free nucleophilic substitution reaction (S N 2 preferred) according to Dzyuba et al. Detailed information on their synthesis and cleaning process are described in Ref. [2]. 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectra of the synthesized substances are recorded and are consistent with NMR shifts found in the literature. 39

**Electrolyte formulation**

Fused salt properties are investigated ex situ from the fused salt phases of the electrolyte mixtures for the synthesized BCAs. Electrolyte samples for SoC 10, 20, 30, 33, 40, 50, 60, 66, 70, 80, 90 and 100% are prepared with a total volume of 30 mL each, while concentrations in dependence of the SoC are shown in Table S1 in the Supporting Information. The SoC range is defined for SoC = 0% with 7.7 M HBr, 1.11 M [BCA]Br and 0 M Br2 in aqueous solution and for SoC = 100% with 1 M HBr, 1.11 M [BCA]Br and 3.35 M Br2 in the aqueous solution. SoC definition is derived from an earlier study investigating the limits in the cycling capacity of pure HBr/Br2/H2O electrolytes. The electrolyte separates into two phases. The choice of the BCA concentration of 1.11 M [BCA]Br for all samples bases on the expectation to store theoretically 3 molecules of Br2 at one
Values of measured Br concentrations are determined by Raman spectroscopy on the fused salt phase samples.

The values of c(Br\text{fs}, total) for each sample and the total mass of Br\text{fs} (m(Br\text{fs}, total)) in the sample. The bromine concentration is calculated by the following Equation (2):

\[
c(Br\text{fs}, \text{total}) = \frac{m(Br\text{fs}, \text{total}) - c(Br\text{aq}, \text{aq}) \cdot V(\text{aq})}{V(\text{fs})}
\]

Concentrations of Br\text{fs} in the fused salt phase of the seven investigated BCA\text{fs} are determined for all chosen SoCs. Br\text{fs} concentrations are calculated by measuring the volume of the fused salt V(\text{fs}), the aqueous phase V(\text{aq}), utilization values of measured Br\text{fs} concentration of aqueous phase c(Br\text{aq}, \text{aq}) for each sample and the total mass of Br\text{fs} (m(Br\text{fs}, total)) in the sample. The bromine concentration is calculated by the following Equation (2):

\[
c(Br\text{fs}, \text{total}) = \frac{m(Br\text{fs}, \text{total}) - c(Br\text{aq}, \text{aq}) \cdot V(\text{aq})}{V(\text{fs})}
\]

The density of the fused salt samples is investigated at 1.11 M. Concentrations of BCA\text{fs} are tabulated in the Supporting Information.

Concentration of BCA\text{fs} cations in fused salt phases

Concentrations of BCA\text{fs} cations in the fused salt are calculated from the volumes of the fused salt phase V(\text{fs}) and the aqueous electrolyte phase V(\text{aq}), the total BCA\text{fs} concentration of each sample (1.11 M) and the BCA\text{fs} concentrations of the aqueous electrolyte phase following Equation (3):

\[
c(\text{BCA}^+, \text{fs}) = \frac{1.11 \text{ M} \cdot 0.03 \text{ L}}{V(\text{fs})} - \frac{c(\text{BCA}^+, \text{aq}) \cdot V(\text{aq})}{V(\text{fs})}
\]

Concentrations of BCA\text{fs} cations in aqueous electrolyte phase c(BCA\text{fs}, \text{aq}) are determined by Raman spectroscopy. The method is described in detail in Ref. [2].

Polybromide determination and Br\text{fs} distribution on the polybromides in fused salt phases

By means of Raman spectroscopy on the fused salt phase samples, the occurrence of the different polybromides Br\text{fs}, Br\text{fs} and Br\text{fs} in fused salt series of the BCA\text{fs} cations at different SoCs is investigated. The procedure, parameter and equipment are described in the Supporting Information. Peak areas of the following stretching oscillations of the polybromides are determined by fitting according to the Lorentz model and using the iteration algorithm according to Levenberg-Marquardt: \(\nu(Br\text{fs}, \text{sym}) \approx 164-170 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) \[13,37-39,42\] \(\nu(Br\text{fs}, \text{antisym}) \approx 190-198 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) \[13,37-39,42\] \(\nu(Br\text{fs}, \text{antisym}) \approx 210 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) \[13,37-39,42\] \(\nu(Br\text{fs}, \text{sym}) \approx 253-255 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) \[13,37-39,42\] \(\nu(Br\text{fs}, \text{sym}) \approx 269 \text{ cm}^{-1}\). The fraction of the area of a symmetrical stretching oscillation in relation to the sum of all areas of the symmetrical stretching oscillations of Br\text{fs}, Br\text{fs} and Br\text{fs} gives the fraction of the Br\text{fs} concentration present in each polybromide x(Br\text{fs}), x(Br\text{fs}) and x(Br\text{fs}). A detailed description and explanation of the method shown in another work of the authors.\[20\] All Raman spectra of the aqueous phase are shown in Figure S1–S7 in the Supporting Information.

Ionic conductivities of the fused salt phases of all chosen BCA\text{fs} are determined at various SoCs at \(\theta = 23 \pm 1 \text{°C}\). In a conductivity cell ohmic electrolyte resistances \(R_{\text{ELECTROLYTE(measurement)}}\) are measured by help of potentiostatic impedance spectroscopy. The electrolyte conductivity \(k_{\text{ELECTROLYTE}}\) is calculated taking the cell constants \(K_{\text{Conductometer}}\) into account. Equations for calculation and measurement of \(K_{\text{Conductometer}}\) are described in the Supporting Information of this article in detail.

Temperature stability of the two phase electrolyte

Electrolytes with [C2Py]Br as BCA\text{fs} at different SoCs are cooled down in a climatic chamber from \(\theta = 25 \text{°C}\) in steps of \(\Delta \theta = 1 \text{°C}\) up to a maximum of \(\theta = -22 \text{°C}\) and visually examined whether and which of the two electrolyte phases crystallizes.
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