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We apply a new updating algorithm scheme to investigate the critical behavior of the two-dimensional ferromagnetic Ising model on a triangular lattice with nearest neighbor interactions. The transition is examined by generating accurate data for large lattices with \( L = 8, 10, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50 \). The spin updating algorithm we employ has the advantages of both metropolis and single-update methods. Our study indicates that the transition to be continuous at \( T_c = 3.6403(2) \). A convincing finite-size scaling analysis of the model yield \( \nu = 0.9995(21), \beta/\nu = 0.12400(18), \gamma/\nu = 1.75223(22), \gamma'/\nu = 1.7555(22), \alpha/\nu = 0.00077(420) \) (scaling) and \( \alpha/\nu = 0.0010(42) \) (hyperscaling) respectively. Estimates of present scheme yield accurate estimates for all critical exponents than those obtained with Monte Carlo methods and show an excellent agreement with their well-established predicted values.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ising Model on triangular lattice, as an archetypical example of a frustrated system, was initially studied by Wannier \[1\] and Newell \[2\]. The triangular Ising model has attracted much attention and because of its remarkable properties it has a long history of investigation. No exact solution is available in two dimensions in an arbitrary magnetic field. Hence, simulations of the Ising model are essential. Monte Carlo simulation methods have been widely using techniques to update the spins of the system and to study the Ising model on triangular lattice to obtain numerical solutions.

A number of Monte Carlo methods based on Metropolis algorithms \[3\] have been applied to the model in the past with somewhat mixed results. A classical Monte Carlo using Metropolis algorithm runs into difficulties on large lattice sizes due to critical slowing down and rapid increase in correlation time. Because of these reasons it is difficult to obtain meaningful results on larger lattice sizes. A cluster technique, which uses multi-cluster algorithm, was pioneered by Swendsen and Wang \[4\]. Their method demonstrated its validity and efficiency for the Potts model successfully. Similar ideas have been pursued by Wolff \[5\] who proposed single-cluster algorithm, a nonlocal updating technique based on multiple-cluster algorithm but more efficient and easily applicable to achieve the meaningful results. Both of these cluster algorithms are very effective in reducing critical slowing down. But for the same system, one sweep of single-cluster or multiple-clusters take much more time than that of Metropolis algorithm, hence less effective for large lattice sizes. Very little has been done since then using these approaches on this model. Since large systems have the advantage of reducing finite-size effects, for this reason, we are forced to look yet again for an alternative approach.

In this study, we attempt to use a mixture of extend a single-cluster (multiple-cluster) and Metropolis algorithms to the Ising model in two-dimensions on triangular lattice. Applications of combined algorithm techniques have been extremely successful in lattice QCD \[6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13\] and have given rise to great optimism about the possibility of obtaining results relevant to continuum physics from Monte Carlo simulations of lattice version of the corresponding theory. As mentioned above, our aim is to use standard Monte Carlo techniques based on combined effect of single-cluster (multiple-cluster) and Metropolis algorithm to update the spins of the system and see whether useful results can be obtained. The values of the critical exponents are well established for this model in 2-dimensions and in order for our method to be considered successful, it must reproduce these well-established values. For this purpose, the critical exponents are computed by using the combined algorithm.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: In Sec. II we discuss the Ising model in 2-dimensions in its lattice formulation. Here we describe the details of simulations and the methods used to extract the observables. We present and discuss our results in Sec. III. Our conclusions are given in Sec. IV

II. MODEL AND SIMULATION DETAILS

The Hamiltonian of the model is given by

\[
H = -J \sum_{<i,j>} S_i S_j, \tag{1}
\]

where \( J \) is positive and denotes the strength of the ferromagnetic interaction, \( S_i = \pm 1 \) is the Ising spin variable.
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and \( i, j \) restricts the summation to distinct pairs of nearest neighbors. The term on the right-hand side of Eq. \( \text{IV} \) shows that the overall energy is lowered when neighbouring spins are aligned. This effect is mostly due to the Pauli exclusion principle. No such restriction applies if the spins are anti-parallel. The geometrical structure of the model is shown in Fig. \( \text{I} \). Periodic boundary conditions were employed during the simulations to reduce finite-size effects.

Configuration ensembles were generated using both mixture of Metropolis and single-cluster (multiple-cluster) methods on two dimensional triangular lattice of size \( L = 8 - 50 \). We outline the procedure of single-cluster updating algorithm used to study the Ising model on triangular lattice below:

(a) Choose a random lattice site \( x \) as the first point of cluster \( c \) to built.

(b) Visit all sites directly connecting to \( x \), and add them to the cluster \( c \) with probability \( P(S_x, S_y) = 1 - \exp\{\min[0, 2KS_xS_y]\} \), where \( y \) is the nearest neighbor of site \( x \) and \( K \) is the inverse temperature.

(c) Continue iteratively in the same way for the newly adjoined sites until the process stops.

(d) Flip the all sites of the cluster \( c \).

(e) Repeat (a), (b), (c) and (d) sufficient times.

We define a compound sweep as one single-cluster updating sweep following with five Metropolis updating sweeps. In our simulations, five compound sweeps were performed between measurements. We observed that Metropolis - single-cluster (multiple-cluster) and compound sweep updating techniques proved equally good for large ensembles. However, in case of the compound sweep technique the computational cost turned out to be far less. For this reason we adapted this technique in our simulations.

We generated \( 1 \times 10^7 \) ensembles at transition point for each lattice size to performed the finite-size analysis. High statistics could help us to obtain a more accurate result. Since the ensembles were generated by Monte Carlo method, they were not completely uncorrelated. The Jackknife procedure was employed because it took the autocorrelation between the ensembles into account and provided an improved estimate of the mean values and errors.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the fluctuation dissipation theorem, the heat capacity \( (C) \) is the derivative of the energy with respect to temperature and has the form

\[
C = \frac{<E^2> - <E>^2}{L^2T^2}.
\]

For improved comparability among different system sizes, it is better to compute the heat capacity per spin which is displayed in in Fig. \( \text{II} \). Theoretical derivations suggest that the heat capacity should behave like \( \log |T - T_c| \) near the critical temperature. We notice a progressive steepening of the peak as the lattice size increases, which illustrates a more apparent phase transition. Note that due to finite size effects, the peak is flattened and moved to the right. Our interest is to look for such a transition point and investigate the critical phenomena associated with the transition using finite-size scaling method \([14, 15, 16]\).

![Fig. 2: Plot showing the differing results of the heat capacity with respect to temperature for varying lattice size, \( L \times L \).](image)

#### A. Estimation of the transition temperatures

The fourth-order magnetic cumulant \( U_L \), which is used to estimate the transition temperature, is defined by the following expression \([15] \):

\[
U(T, L) = 1 - \frac{<m^4(T, L)>}{3 <m^2(T, L)>^2}.
\]

![Fig. 1: Triangular Ising net.](image)
where \( < m^k(T, L) > \) is the thermodynamic average value of the \( k \)th power of the magnetic order parameter per spin for the lattice of size \( L \) with temperature \( T \). The variation of \( U(T, L) \), for a given size \( L \), with the temperature is illustrated in Fig. 3. To determine the temperature \( T_c(L, L') \), we make use of the condition \( \frac{U(T, L')}{U(T, L)} \bigg|_{T=T_c(L, L')} = 1 \), (4)

where \( L' \) and \( L \) are two different lattice sizes. Thus we can determine \( T_c(L, L') \) by locating the interaction of these curves - the so called “cumulant crossing”.

![Figure 3](image)

**FIG. 3:** The fourth-order magnetic parameter cumulant plotted as a function of inverse temperature for several lattice sizes.

Fig. 4 shows the results for \( T_c(L, L') \) plotted as a function of the ratio \( \ln^{-1}(L'/L) \). Keeping \( L \) fixed, linear extrapolation is performed to obtain \( T_c(L, \infty) \) which corresponds to the critical temperature of lattice size \( L \). Results of the extrapolations for \( 8 \leq L \leq 15 \) agree quite well within the errors. The transition temperature for the infinite lattice is thus estimated as \( T_c = 3.6403(2) \), which is much close to the exact value \( 4/\ln 3 \).

### B. Order of the transition

A better way to identify the order of the transition is the internal-energy cumulant \( V(T, L) \) defined by

\[
V(T, L) = 1 - \frac{< E^4(T, L) >}{3 < E^2(T, L) >^2}, \tag{5}
\]

where \( < E^k(T, L) > \) is the thermodynamic average value of \( k \)th power of internal energy for the lattice of size \( L \) with temperature \( T \). \( V(T, L) \) is a useful quantity since its behavior at a continuous phase transition is quite different from that at a first order transition. This variable has a minimum (near the critical point), and in the limit \( L \to \infty \) achieves the value \( V^* = \frac{3}{2} \) for a continuous transition, whereas \( V^* < \frac{3}{2} \) is expected in the case of a first-order transition. Plots of \( V(T, L) \) versus temperature for several lattice sizes are shown in Fig. 5. The graphs indicate that the transition is not first-order since the energy cumulant \( V(T, L) \) does not peak near the critical temperature. This has also been observed in various other studies \( [20, 22, 23] \).

![Figure 4](image)

**FIG. 4:** Estimates for \( T_c \) plotted vs inverse logarithm of the scale factor \( b = L'/L \) for several lattice sizes.

![Figure 5](image)

**FIG. 5:** Plots of energy cumulant with respect to temperature for several lattice sizes.

Since the magnetic susceptibility \( \chi \) scales as \( L^d \) for
a discontinuous transition and as $L^{\gamma/\nu}$ for a continuous one \cite{24}, we can also determine the transition order by $\chi$’s scaling behaviors. As discussed below, we find that $\chi$ scales as $L^{1.75223}$ for $T < T_c$ and as $L^{1.7555}$ for $T > T_c$, but not as $L^2$. Thus we can conclude that the transition is continuous.

C. Estimation of the critical exponents

To get an estimation for the critical exponents, finite-size scaling relations at critical point are used. For this purpose, we extract the critical exponents, which are related to specific heat, the order parameter, and the susceptibility, from our simulation data at $T_c(\infty)$ by using finite-size analysis \cite{14, 15, 16}.

First we extract $\nu$ from $dU/dK$ which obey the following relation

$$\frac{dU}{dK} \sim L^{1/\nu},$$

(6)

Finite-difference derivative method can be used to determine $dU/dK$, but it is rather a poor choice since the results are highly sensitively to the interval of the linear approximation. To obtain a precise result of the critical exponent $\nu$, one can write the derivative of $U$ with respect to $K$ as

$$\frac{dU}{dK} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{m^2}{< m^2 >^2} \left[ < m^4 > < E > - 2 < m^4 > < m^2 E > < m^2 > \right]$$

$$= \frac{m^4}{3 < m^2 >^2} < E > - \frac{2m^2 E < m^4 >}{3 < m^2 >^3} .$$

(7)

The plot of $\ln(dU/dK)$ versus $\ln L$ is shown in Figure 7. The slope of the straight line obtained from the log-log plot of the scaling relation corresponding to this quantity gives the correlation length exponent $\nu = 0.9995(21)$, which is slightly different from the theoretical value. Since the critical properties of $\ln(dU/dK)$ have a large lattice size dependence \cite{25}, we could also extract the value of $\nu$ from other observables, which are defined as following \cite{24, 25, 26}:

$$V_1 = \ln \left[ \frac{d\ln m}{dK} \right] = \ln \left[ < E > - < m > < m > \right]$$

$$= \ln \left( \frac{E(< m > - m)}{< m >} \right), \quad (8)$$

$$V_2 = \ln \left[ \frac{d\ln m^2}{dK} \right] = \ln \left( \frac{E(< m^2 > - m^2)}{< m^2 >} \right), \quad (9)$$

$$V_3 = 2|m| - |m|^2, \quad (10)$$

$$V_4 = 3|m|^2 - 2|m|^3, \quad (11)$$

where

$$[m^n] = \ln \frac{\partial < m^n >}{\partial K}$$

$$= \ln < E > < m^n > - < m^n E >$$

$$= \ln < E > < m^n > - m^n > . \quad (12)$$

The curves show a smooth behavior and linear fitting of the data is adopted. We found the slopes of the straight lines of $V_i$, with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are very close to that of $\ln(dU/dK)$, as illustrated in Fig. 7.

![Figure 6: plots of order parameter cumulant with respect to temperature for several lattice sizes.](image)

![Figure 7: The critical exponent $\nu$ is determined from the slope of $\ln(dU/dK)$ and $V_i$ vs $\ln L$ at $T = 3.6403$. Linear fittings result in $\nu = 0.9995(21)$.](image)
for the ratio of critical exponents $\beta/\nu = 0.12400(18)$. Using our estimate of $\nu = 0.9995(21)$, we get the critical exponent of magnetization $\beta = 0.12394(32)$.

On finite lattices, the magnetic susceptibility per spin is given by

$$\chi = (L^2/T) < m^2 >$$

(14)

for $T > T_c$ and

$$\chi' = (L^2/T)(< m^2 > - < m >^2)$$

(15)

for $T < T_c$, and satisfy

$$\chi \sim L^{\gamma/\nu}, \quad \chi' \sim L'^{\gamma'/\nu}. \quad (16)$$

As another estimation, we compute the magnetic susceptibility per spin by examining log-log plot shown in Fig. 9 of $\chi$ and $\chi'$ versus $L$ at the transition point. The data displays a smooth scaling behaviour. The slope of the linear fit to the data gives estimates of $\gamma/\nu = 1.75223(22)$ and $\gamma'/\nu = 1.7555(22)$, respectively. With our estimated values $\nu = 0.9995(21)$, we obtain $\gamma = 1.7514(37)$ and $\gamma' = 1.7546(43)$, respectively. These results agree rather well with the theoretical prediction.

With three critical exponents $\nu, \gamma, \beta$ determined, the fourth exponent $\alpha$ is estimated using scaling law

$$2\beta + \alpha + \gamma = 2.$$

(17)

This yields $\alpha/\nu = 0.00077(420)$ and $\alpha = 0.00077(420)$ for $\gamma/\nu = 1.75222(22)$, $\alpha/\nu = -0.0025(47)$ and $\alpha = -0.0025(47)$ for $\gamma'/\nu = 1.7555(22)$ respectively. We can also estimate $\alpha$ from hyperscaling relation

$$d\nu + \alpha = 2,$$

(18)

where $d = 2$ is dimension of the system. It gives a result $\alpha = 0.0010(42)$ and $\alpha/\nu = 0.0010(42)$, which is consistent with that given by scaling law.

Estimates of critical exponents from finite-size scaling at critical temperature $T_c$ are summarized in Table I.

TABLE I: Estimates of critical exponents

| exponent | value          | exact value$^{[28, 29]}$ |
|----------|----------------|---------------------------|
| $\nu$    | 0.9995(21)     | 1                         |
| $\beta/\nu$ | 0.12400(18)   | 1/8                       |
| $\gamma/\nu$ | 1.75223(22)   | 7/4                       |
| $\gamma'/\nu$ | 1.7555(22)    | 7/4                       |
| $\alpha/\nu$ | 0.00077(420)  | 0                         |
| $\alpha/\nu$ | 0.0010(42)    | 0                         |

To ensure the validity of our estimate of $\alpha$, we compare it with those obtained using the specific heat per spin from the fluctuations of the total energy. For a continuous transition it behaves as

$$C \simeq a + bL^{\alpha/\nu}.$$  

(19)

Using $\alpha/\nu = 0.00077(420)$ calculated above, the heat capacity at critical temperature is plotted as a function of $L^{0.00077}$ in Fig. 11. It was found that the evaluations of $^{[17]}$ and $^{[19]}$ yield results very consistent within statistical errors. We find the values are in good agreement with the theoretical ones. An over all error of about $0.1 - 0.8\%$ is estimated for the values of the critical exponents.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have obtained critical exponents for the Ising model with nearest-neighbour interactions on a triangular lattice by using a mixture of single-cluster and Metropolis algorithm in our simulations. The data are analysed according to the finite-size scaling theory. The idea of using extensions of mixed algorithm to estimate the critical exponents seems to supply a quite accurate
FIG. 10: Plot of heat capacity $C$ with respect to $L^{\alpha/\nu}$, where $\alpha/\nu = 0.00077$ and $C$ is evaluated at $T_c = 3.6403$.

route for their estimation. In conclusion, it can be stated

that the compound update algorithm method with periodic boundary conditions reproduces with a high accuracy the critical properties of the model and confirms the prediction that first order transition is not supported by the finite size behavior of the system. Our results show that the several estimations for the critical exponents are in good agreement with their theoretical values. We stress that the present result for the exponent is better than previous estimates obtained by finite size scaling and Monte Carlo approach.
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