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ABSTRACT

This paper discusses properties of the European Large Area ISO Survey 15 μm quasars and tries to establish a robust method of quasar selection for future use within the Spitzer Wide-Area Infrared Extragalactic Survey (SWIRE) framework. The importance of good quality ground-based optical data is stressed, both for the candidates selection and for the photometric redshifts estimates. Colour-colour plots and template fitting are used for these purposes. The properties of the 15 μm quasars sample are studied, including variability and black hole masses and compared to the properties of other quasars that lie within the same fields but have no mid-infrared counterparts. The two subsamples do not present substantial differences and are believed to come from the same parent population.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The European Large Area ISO Survey (ELAIS) (Oliver et al. 2000) is the largest survey performed with the Infrared Space Observatory (Kessler et al. 1996) at 6.7, 15, 90 and 175 μm and resulted in the delivery of the largest catalogue of any ISO survey (Rowan-Robinson et al. 2004) from both the ISOCAM (Cesarsky et al. 1996) and ISOPHOT (Lemke et al. 1996) instruments. In particular, the 15 μm survey (performed with the ISOCAM instrument) covers an area of ~12 deg², divided into four main fields (N1, N2, N3, and S1) and several smaller areas. 15 μm observations in the four main fields were analysed by Vaccari et al. (2004), providing a catalogue of 1923 sources detected with S/N > 5 over 10.85 deg². The Final Band-Merged Catalogue (Rowan-Robinson et al. 2004) combines all source lists at different wavelengths and redshifts obtained to date in ELAIS fields. This catalogue comprises a total of 3523 entries with about one third having spectroscopic identifications.

Due to the fact that complete spectroscopic follow-up is usually not feasible over large and deep fields, one needs to use tools for detecting quasar candidates using photometric data only. As part of our study of mid-infrared (IR) quasars we present the results of two independent quasar candidates selection techniques, one based on colour-colour diagrams, and the other one template fitting, and try to improve the selection including IR constraints. In recent years, with the available multicolour surveys, quasar photometric redshift methods have been developed, yielding however somewhat less reliable results than the ones for galaxies (e.g. Hatziminaoglou et al. 2000; Richards et al. 2001). For the purposes of this work, the template fitting technique (Hatziminaoglou et al. 2000) is applied on the two different data sets available for the studied fields (SDSS and WFS). All methods and results described in this paper can be directly applied to the Spitzer Wide-Area Infrared Extragalactic Survey (SWIRE; Lonsdale et al. 2003).

The layout of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 a brief description of the optical data available for this work is given. Section 3 deals with variability issues. In Section 4 a description of the selection of quasar candidates using optical and IR properties is given, based on two different methods. Photometric redshifts for the spectroscopically confirmed quasars in the two data sets (SDSS and WFS) are estimated and the results obtained for the two photometric
systems are discussed. Section 4 compares the results for the sources with and without IR counterparts, in terms of their statistical properties and black hole (BH) masses. Our conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2 THE 15 µm QUASAR SAMPLE AND RELATED OPTICAL DATA

In the present work we study the type I quasars detected by ISO at 15 µm in two of the ELAIS fields, N1 and N2. Throughout this work, the traditional (but conservative) requirement of a quasar to be point-like has been set and sources classified as extended based on their r-band morphology are not taken into account. The morphological selection is made in order to avoid contamination by galaxies of the quasar candidates samples discussed in Section 4 and has no impact on the validity of the results presented here. Out of the 1056 sources in N1 and N2 contained in the ELAIS 15 µm Final Analysis Catalogue Version 1.0 (Vaccari et al. 2004), 849 sources were identified in INT WFS images by González-Solares et al. (2001), the non-identification being due either to incomplete optical coverage of the 15 µm fields or the optical limiting magnitude.

The ELAIS N1 and N2 have been fully covered by the Wide Field Survey (WFS; McMahon et al. 2001), carried out with the prime focus Wide Field Camera (WFC) at the 2.5m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) at La Palma. The survey consists of single 600s exposures in five bands U, g, r, i and z down to AB magnitude limits (5σ limits for a point source) of 24.1, 24.8, 24.1, 23.6 and 22.4, respectively. The AB corrections for the conversion from the (original) Vega magnitudes to AB magnitudes have been computed using HyperZ (Bolzonella et al. 2000) and are: 0.751, -0.063, 0.165, 0.407 and 0.534, for U, g, r, i and z, respectively. Out of the 849 sources detected in 15 µm in N1 and N2, there are 110 point sources (SExtractor CLASS < 0.9), excluding saturated stars, with emission at 15 µm (González-Solares et al. 2001).

In addition to WFS, the Sloan Digital Sky Survey has validated and made publicly available its Data Release 1 (DR1; Abazajian et al. 2003), partially covering our fields. SDSS consists of five-band (u, g, r, i, z) imaging data covering 2009 deg², 186.240 spectra of galaxies, quasars, stars and calibrating blank sky patches selected over 1360 deg² of this area, and catalogues of measured parameters from these data. The imaging data reach a limiting AB magnitude (Vanden Berk et al. 2003) of r ~ 22.6 (95% completeness limit for stars). Among the 15 µm sources in the areas covered by the SDSS DR1 photometry, 82 have been morphologically classified as point sources (SDSS OBJC$_{\text{TYPE}}$ = 6). In fact, the partial coverage of N1 and N2 provides photometry and spectra for a variety of sources. More particularly, among the 36 SDSS spectroscopically confirmed quasars lying in the N1 and N2 fields, 16 have been detected at 15 µm. Note, however, that the areas covered by spectroscopy do not exactly coincide with those covered by photometry and are, in fact, smaller. Two morphologically extended low-redshift quasars (0.214 and 0.245) with r-band magnitudes of 18.25 and 18.58, have been excluded from the spectroscopically confirmed quasar sample, for the reasons mentioned at the beginning of this Section. Throughout this work and unless otherwise stated, all magnitudes will refer to the AB system.

Fig. 1 shows the coverage of the ELAIS N1 and N2 fields by the WFS and the SDSS DR1 photometric and spectroscopic data. Finally, as a part of the extensive program of ground based spectroscopy associated with the ELAIS survey, there have been follow-up observations of ELAIS 15 µm sources in the N1 and N2 fields (Pérez-Fournon et al. in preparation) using the WYFFOS multi-fibre spectrograph, on the William Herschel Telescope (WHT) at La Palma. This observing run added nine previously unknown quasars to the spectroscopic sample in the regions of N1 and N2 not covered by the spectroscopic DR1.

Therefore, a total of 25 spectroscopically confirmed quasars have been identified among the final ELAIS 15 µm catalogue and their properties are described in Table 1. Fig. 2 shows the 15 µm flux versus r-band flux for the quasar sample.

3 VARIABILITY

Variations in the luminosity of quasars have been observed from X-ray to radio wavelengths, with timescales of minutes to years. The majority of QSOs have continuum variability on the order of 10% on timescales of months to years (Vanden Berk et al. 2003). Furthermore, recent observations of radio-quiet quasars indicate that more than 80% show long-term (month to year) variability with amplitudes up to half a magnitude (Huber et al. 2002). Variability is wavelength dependent. The continuum ($F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$) tends to get harder (the spectral index, $\alpha$, decreases) as the quasar
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Figure 1. Coverage of the ELAIS N1 and N2 fields (small inner rectangles) by the WFS and the SDSS DR1 photometric and spectroscopic data.

Table 1. ELAIS 15 μm spectroscopically confirmed quasars. r-band magnitude in column 5 is the SDSS r-band magnitude apart from the objects marked with an asterisk. These objects are outside the spectroscopic coverage of DR1 and the magnitude shown is the WFS r magnitude. The last column (reference) refers to the origin of the spectra (1: Abazajian et al. 2003; 2: Pérez-Fournon et al. in preparation)

| ELAIS ID | RA (optical) | Dec (optical) | z   | r mag | 15 μm flux (mJy) | z   | Reference |
|----------|--------------|---------------|-----|-------|------------------|-----|------------|
| ELAISC15+160250.9+545057 | 240.71234131 | 54.84947205 | 1.1971 | 19.22 | 2.1820 | SDSS1 |
| ELAISC15+160250.9+545057 | 241.34640503 | 54.93708420 | 0.5722 | 19.94 | 2.2160 | SDSS1 |
| ELAISC15+160630.5+540556 | 241.62754822 | 54.35544159 | 0.8205 | 18.73 | 3.4460 | SDSS1 |
| ELAISC15+160638.0+535009 | 241.65779114 | 53.83572006 | 2.9426 | 19.77 | 1.6010 | SDSS1 |
| ELAISC15+161007.2+535814 | 242.59200205 | 53.97055435 | 2.0317 | 18.86 | 1.7400 | SDSS1 |
| ELAISC15+161521.8+543148 | 243.80774548 | 54.53016663 | 0.4737 | 18.24* | 3.492 | WYFFOS2 |
| ELAISC15+161526.7+543004 | 243.80694666 | 54.50179064 | 1.3670 | 19.35* | 1.457 | WYFFOS2 |
| ELAISC15+161531.1+410025 | 248.87956238 | 41.00761032 | 1.1500 | 18.81 | 1.3990 | WYFFOS2 |
| ELAISC15+161533.9+404025 | 248.89179041 | 40.67300524 | 0.5340 | 19.72 | 2.7300 | WYFFOS2 |
| ELAISC15+161535.3+412054 | 249.14343262 | 41.46200180 | 0.1711 | 18.50 | 4.1540 | WYFFOS2 |

gets brighter, which means that the variations are larger at shorter wavelengths.

The SDSS imaging strategy consists in observing in almost simultaneous mode the same field in the five different bands. The imaging strategy of the WFS was, however, different, and the same fields were observed in the different filters in timescales ranging from a few months to more than a year. Fig. 3 shows the differences of the magnitudes for the point sources lying in the common area in the two photometric systems. The magnitude differences show very small dispersions down to a certain magnitude for almost all point sources that are identified as stars but large variations appear in the case of many of the confirmed quasars (red crosses) in all filters but especially in the U- and g-bands, indicating variability related issues.

It might be argued that the variations are due to the different photometric systems, however close they may be. For this purpose, a simulated quasar catalogue was created,
Figure 3. Magnitudes differences between SDSS and WFS, the black points represent the point sources, mostly stars, while the red crosses indicate the 73 confirmed quasars. The photometric errors for the quasars are lower than 0.05 magnitudes in all bands and the dispersion in their magnitudes is evident.

based on the colours of the SDSS composite quasar spectrum [Vanden Berk et al. 2001], including all 10 filters and for redshifts spanning from 0 to 6 and the magnitudes were compared. Fig. 3 illustrates the expected magnitude differences as a function of magnitude for redshifts in the interval [0,4], where all our spectroscopically confirmed quasars lie, and for the filters $U$, $g$ and $z$. Filters $r$ and $i$ were omitted for clarity as the points largely overlap with the rest of the points. Even though magnitude variations are predicted, their amplitude is significantly smaller than the ones observed. We therefore conclude that not all observed variations between the SDSS and WFS photometry can be explained by the differences of the photometric systems and that some must be a result of quasar variability.

This strongly suggests that quasar candidates selection and photometric redshift estimates are likely not to be accurate for all objects when WFS or other similar data are used and additional methods have to be looked for.

4 QUASAR CANDIDATES SELECTION

For the purpose of identifying high probability quasar candidates from the available datasets we used two techniques, a combination of colour-colour diagrams (hereafter method 1 - $M1$) and the template fitting method ($M2$). $M1$, based on Richards et al. 2002, consists of a colour-colour selection algorithm trained using the SDSS Early Data Release (Stoughton et al. 2002), for low- to intermediate redshift ($\sim 2.5$) objects. $M2$ is the standard template fitting that simultaneously provides a photometric redshift estimate for the quasar candidates. The point source template library comprises quasar and stellar templates and the observed SED of each object is compared to the one computed by convolving each template with the filters transmissions (for further details see Hatziminaoglou et al. 2000 and 2002). $M1$ is expected to give higher confirmation rates (i.e. number of real quasars over then number of quasar candidates) at low and intermediate redshifts but its efficiency greatly depends on the photometric system used and can not be applied as such when other filters are used. $M2$ is subject to higher contamination from sources other than quasars (e.g. stars) but is expected to have a much better efficiency at high redshift and can be used for any filter combination. In order to improve the results, we also make use of the IR information available for the 15 $\mu$m sources keeping in mind that the combination of all these techniques will be applied in the near future in the framework of SWIRE.

A first test is made using the more reliable SDSS photometry (Section 1.1) but an attempt of selecting candidates based on the WFS photometry will also be presented (Section 1.2). Our test sample consists of 21 spectroscopically confirmed quasars with available SDSS photometry and 25 with WFS photometry, which include all 21 from SDSS (see Table 1). Fine-tuning the method for WFS data is very important as large part of the SWIRE fields have been observed by the WFS.
Table 2. Comparison of the completeness and confirmation rate yielded by M1 and M2 on a sample of 82 SDSS point sources with 15 µm emission. The second column shows the number of quasar candidates ($N_c$) and the number of spectroscopically confirmed quasars among them ($N_f$).

| Method | $N_c$ ($N_f$) | Completeness | Conf. Rate |
|--------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| M1     | 26 (19)      | 90%          | 73%        |
| M2     | 33 (19)      | 90%          | 58%        |

4.1 Quasar Candidates with SDSS Photometry

A sample of 82 15 µm ELAIS sources identified as point sources from their optical photometry (SDSS OBJC_TYPE = 6) with r-band magnitudes brighter than 22.6 has been selected from the SDSS photometric catalogue. The optical magnitude cut has been imposed in order to avoid spurious detections and large photometric errors.

The 15 µm information can be used in order to impose IR conditions in the selection of quasar candidates. Stars, galaxies, and AGN all have different optical to mid-IR slopes, with stars typically having larger optical than mid-IR fluxes (González-Solares et al. 2004). Furthermore, according to models of galaxies in the IR (Rowan-Robinson 2001), quasar mid-IR fluxes are some 10 to 100 times larger than their optical ones. Taking this into account, one can impose additional constraints on the selection criteria requiring a mid-IR to optical flux ratio of at least 10 for quasar candidates (hereafter condition $C1$). This condition allows the removal of three quasar candidates selected by $M2$ that have mid-IR to optical fluxes those of stars. Fig. $\text{C}$ illustrates the positions of the different objects types and the regions where quasars and quasar candidates selected by the two methods lie.

In order to distinguish between quasars and galaxies, one can make a combined use of optical and optical/IR colours taking advantage of the fact that quasars up to a redshift of $\sim 3$ are typically bluer than galaxies (González-Solares et al. 2004). Furthermore, 97.55% of all spectroscopically confirmed quasars in the DR1 quasar catalogue (Schneider et al. 2003) have $r-i < 0.52$ (hereafter $C2$). Fig. $\text{D}$ shows the distribution of stars (lower mid-IR to optical fluxes), galaxies and quasars (bluer than galaxies in general). Marked in red are the spectroscopically confirmed quasars (left panel) and the candidates selected by methods $M1$ and $M2$ (middle and right panels, respectively). As can be seen, all spectroscopically confirmed quasars form a clump bluewards of $r-i \sim 0.52$. In this particular case, $C2$ does not improve the results of any of the methods but will be used further on.

If $N_c$ is the number of quasar candidates stemming from the identification technique, $N_f$ the number of real quasars among the candidates, and $N_c$ the number of expected (based on models) or known (based on complete observations) quasars, completeness and confirmation rate can be defined as $N_f/N_c$ and $N_f/N_c$, respectively ([Hatziminaoglou et al. 2001]). Table 2 compares the two quantities yielded by the two methods. Both methods give the same completeness but the colour-colour selection favours the confirmation rate. Note, however, that the values given here for confirmation rate are lower limits. A substantial number of candidates (some 30%) are fainter than the SDSS spectroscopic completeness limit or lie outside the area covered by spectroscopy and therefore their nature is unknown. For the same reason, the value of the completeness is also indicative as a complete spectroscopic coverage would alter both $N_f$ and $N_c$.

4.2 Quasar Candidates with WFS Photometry

From the objects morphologically identified as point sources (SExtractor CLASS_STAR $\geq 0.9$) with detections at 15 µm 110 objects are part of the ELAIS Final Band-merged Catalogue (Rowan-Robinson et al. 2004). M1 yields 27 quasar candidates with 18 spectroscopically confirmed, while M2 finds 63 candidates with 25 spectroscopically confirmed (Table 1). The two candidate lists have 27 objects in common, 18 of which are spectroscopically confirmed quasars. Taking into account condition $C1$, 7 out of the 63 candidates proposed by $M2$ can be safely discarded as stars. Applying $C2$ on the remaining 56 candidates, we discard another five as more likely to be galaxies. Fig. $\text{D}$ shows the positions of confirmed quasars and candidates, similar to Fig. $\text{C}$ but for the WFS photometry.

The results obtained using WFS photometry are summarised in Table 2. As already mentioned, the values of completeness are underestimated due to lack of complete spectroscopic coverage.

After thorough consideration we reach the conclusion that WFS data can be used in order to reliably obtain quasar candidates despite the issues raised by variability, especially if one combines $M1$ and $M2$ with the constraints imposed by the objects’ IR properties.
Figure 5. 15 μm flux (mJy) vs SDSS r-band magnitude for the point sources (stars) within the parts of ELAIS N1 and N2 areas covered by SDSS. The red squares indicate the confirmed quasars (left panel), M1 quasar candidates (central panel) and M2 quasar candidates (right panel). The blue lines represent constant 15 μm to r-band flux, with values 0.1, 1., and 10.

Figure 6. 15 μm to r-band flux vs SDSS r − i for the point sources (stars) and extended sources (open circles). Red squares indicate spectroscopically confirmed quasars (left panel), M1 quasar candidates (central panel) and M2 quasar candidates (right panel).

Figure 7. 15 μm to r-band flux vs WFS r − i for the point sources (stars) and extended sources (open circles). In red squares, the spectroscopically confirmed quasars (left), M1 quasar candidates (centre) and M2 quasar candidates (right).
4.3 Quasar Photometric Redshifts

For estimating the photometric redshifts we applied a standard template fitting procedure using synthetic quasar spectra consisting of a power law continuum and emission lines of fixed equivalent width values (Hatziminaoglou et al. 2000), on the sample of 73 spectroscopically confirmed quasars with both SDSS and WFS photometry available. The results are rather reliable when using SDSS photometry (53 out of 73 \( \Delta z \leq 0.2 \), i.e. 73\%), but get worse when using the WFS photometry (29 out of 73 for \( \Delta z \leq 0.2 \), i.e. 30\%). Note, however, that all seven objects with spectroscopic redshifts lower than 0.3 have been assigned the wrong photometric redshifts. These objects, however, are extended (OBJC\_TYPE = 3) and, therefore, their magnitudes (psf magnitudes for SDSS and core magnitudes for WFS) must be contaminated by the light of the host galaxy. If we consider only the objects with spectroscopic redshifts higher than 0.3, the numbers of good identifications become 80\% and 44\%, for SDSS and WFS, respectively. Furthermore, all 30 objects that were assigned correct photometric redshifts using the WFS photometry have also correct photometric redshifts when SDSS photometry is used. Fig. 2 illustrates the results obtained for SDSS (left panel) and WFS (right panel) photometry.

The fact that the bands for WFS photometry were taken in different periods of time (especially the U-band, which was taken more than two years apart in some cases) lead us to the conclusion that variability might be the basis of the discrepancy problem we encountered, as described in Section 3.

A case-by-case study of all objects that have correct photometric redshift estimates with SDSS photometry and bad estimates (\( \Delta z > 0.25 \)) with WFS photometry showed that they all lie in the redshift range \( [0.3, 2.0] \) and their differences in magnitudes span a much larger range than the one expected due to the filters’ differences. One finally concludes that the wrong photometric redshift assignments are most probably due to variability, as argued earlier.

5 COMPARISON BETWEEN IR DETECTED AND NON-DETECTED QUASARS

36 spectroscopically confirmed quasars lie in the parts of the ELAIS N1 and N2 fields covered by the SDSS spectroscopic data release, with 16 of them detected at 15 \( \mu \)m, as already mentioned. Considering the homogeneous way the SDSS quasar candidates are selected (Richards et al. 2002), we can ask is if the two subsamples (16 IR and 20 non-IR emitters) have the same properties or if the IR detected quasars are, in some way, different. The colour-redshift (Fig. 3) and colour-colour (Fig. 4) diagrams of the two subsamples do not show any differences.

A two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test on the BH mass distributions of the two subsamples (seen in the right panel of Fig. 11) resulted in a larger than 90\% probability for them to come from the same population. The same test gave a 35\% probability for the redshift distributions to be representative of from the same population. The largest deviation is noticed in the r-band magnitude distributions (left panel of Fig. 11). The objects not detected by ISO at 15 \( \mu \)m are on average half a magnitude fainter in the r-band. Fig. 5 indicates a possible correlation between the 15 \( \mu \)m fluxes of the SDSS quasars and their optical (r-band) fluxes, suggesting that the lack of 15 \( \mu \)m counterparts could be due to their fainter magnitudes. Deeper IR observation would, presumably, provide counterparts for the remaining objects, since for a given covering fraction and varying bolometric luminosity, brighter optical AGN should have a higher mid-IR emission.

5.1 Quasar Black Hole Masses

The principal assumption underlying the BH virial mass estimate is that the dynamics of the Broad Line Region (BLR) are dominated by the gravity of the central supermassive black hole. Under this assumption an estimate of the central BH mass, \( M_{BH} \), can be \( M_{BH} \propto R_{BLR}V^2/G \); where \( R_{BLR} \) is the radius of the BLR and \( V \) is the velocity of the line-emitting gas, traditionally estimated from the FWHM of the H\( \beta \) emission line (see Kaspi et al. 2000). For quasars with redshifts higher than typically \( z \sim 0.8 \), when \( H\beta \) is no longer available, (McLure & Jarvis 2002) suggested the use of \( MgII \) as an estimator of the BH mass. More precisely, the BH mass is computed as follows (McLure & Dunlop 2004):

\[
\frac{M_{bh}}{M_\odot} = 4.7 \left( \frac{M_{5100}}{10^{37}W} \right)^{0.61} \left( \frac{FWHM(H\beta)}{km/sec} \right)^2 \tag{1}
\]

and

\[
\frac{M_{bh}}{M_\odot} = 3.2 \left( \frac{M_{37}}{10^{37}W} \right)^{0.62} \left( \frac{FWHM(MgII)}{km/sec} \right)^2 \tag{2}
\]

For the sample of 36 SDSS quasars in N1 and N2, therefore, low redshift (up to \( z \sim 0.8 \)) mass estimates are based on the correlation between the \( H\beta \) and the monochromatic luminosity at 5100 Å (Eq. 1) while for higher redshift ones the correlation between \( MgII \) and 3000 Å luminosity (Eq. 2) is used. For objects with redshifts higher than 2, all estimators fall outside the covered wavelength range and BH masses can no longer be computed. Only objects with SDSS spectra were used for the BH mass computation as line and continuum of all SDSS spectra are measured in a consistent way by the SDSS pipeline.

Fig. 6 shows the distribution of BH mass as a function of redshift. Red marks the objects for which \( H\beta \) was used (with redshifts typically lower than \( z \sim 0.8 \)), while black illustrates the ones for which \( MgII \) was considered. Filled circles denote the objects with 15 \( \mu \)m emission while triangles correspond to SDSS objects within the ELAIS fields but without
Figure 8. Photometric versus spectroscopic redshifts for the 73 SDSS quasars within the ELAIS N1 and N2 areas, using SDSS (left) and WFS (right) photometry. The larger scatter in the right plot is most likely due to quasar variability, see text for details.

Figure 9. Colour - redshift diagrams for SDSS DR1 quasars (dots), non-ELAIS quasars (triangles) and ELAIS quasar (filled circles).

Figure 10. Colour - colour diagrams for SDSS DR1 quasars (dots), non-ELAIS quasars (triangles) and ELAIS quasar (filled circles).
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Figure 11. Histograms of r-band magnitude (left panel), redshift (middle panel) and black hole mass (right panel) for the ELAIS (black solid line) and non-ELAIS (red dotted line) quasars.

Figure 12. Black hole mass as a function of redshift for the ELAIS quasars with SDSS spectra (filled circles) and for the SDSS quasars without ELAIS counterparts (triangles).

IR detections. BH masses show no differences between the two subsamples and follow the distributions found for the entire SDSS DR1 quasar catalogue by McLure & Dunlop (2004). The right panel of Fig. 11 shows the BH mass histogram for the two subsamples, clearly indicating that both of them belong to the same parent population.

For the objects for which the 15 μm fluxes were available, their IR luminosity was computed assuming an (Ω, Λ) = (0.3, 0.7) cosmology. Fig. 13 shows the 15 μm IR luminosity of these objects as a function of redshift (left panel) and as a function of the BH mass (right panel). Clearly, high-mass BH tend to produce higher IR luminosities. Furthermore, the IR luminosity versus redshift distribution compares nicely to the findings of Pozzi et al. (2003), indicating that type I AGN in the redshift range [1,2] have IR luminosities higher than 10^{12} L⊙ (see Fig. 6 of Pozzi et al. 2003), proving thus that ISO only skimmed the brighter end of the luminosity function.

6 DISCUSSION

This paper discusses some properties of the ELAIS 15 μm quasars and tries to establish a robust method of quasar selection for future use within the SWIRE framework. The importance of good quality ground-based data is stressed, both for the candidates selection and for the photometric redshifts estimates. Colour-colour plots and template fitting are used for these purposes. Colour-colour plots give a higher confirmation rate, as expected since they were trained on the same photometric system, while template fitting has the advantage of being independent of the filters used. The SDSS data have proved to be a reliable dataset, while the WFS data are somewhat less efficient due to the large timescales over which the imaging has been carried out and the variability issues this introduced. In order to correct for these effects, the IR and optical to IR properties of the objects have been taken into account imposing additional constraints on the quasar candidate selection techniques. The two subsamples of spectroscopically confirmed quasars detected and non-detected at 15 μm have been examined and they have shown no intrinsic physical differences. Their non-detection is most probably due to their fainter magnitudes, probably correlated to their higher redshifts.

This work can be seen as a validation of the tools and methods that will be used in the framework of SWIRE or other similar IR surveys supported by ground-based optical data. SWIRE will survey six high-latitude fields (including ELAIS N1 and N2), totaling 50 square degrees in all seven Spitzer bands. One of the key scientific goals of SWIRE is to determine the evolution of quasars in the redshift range 0.5 ≤ z ≤ 3 (Lonsdale et al. 2001). As we suggested in Section 5 with deeper IR observations one could detect all the quasars in the discussed fields down to the optical magnitude limit. In particular, the SWIRE 5σ photometric sensitivity
is 0.0037 mJy in the IRAC 8 μm band and 0.15 mJy in
the MIPS 24 μm band, much deeper than the characteristic
depth of ~ 1 mJy of ELAIS 15 μm (Vaccari et al. 2004).
Last but not least, the multitude of the SWIRE bands will
allow for a much better galaxy-AGN separation in the mid-
IR colour space, possibly making the optical morphological
preselection of quasar candidates obsolete.

Models (e.g. Granato & Danese 1994; Nenkova et al.
2002) and observations (e.g. Elvis et al. 1994) suggest that
quasar IR spectra are more or less flat (in νLν) from ~ 1
μm down to at least 25 μm, even in the absence of starburst
activity. Therefore, the deeper and better quality SWIRE 8
μm and 24 μm observations will allow the detection of high
numbers of quasars and the easy adaptation of the tools
presented here. The stellar contamination will probably be
higher at 8 μm than at 15 μm but this problem will most
likely be solved due to the multitude of IR bands, that will
allow an easier separation of the galactic and extragalactic
populations.

As a last remark, we would like to stress that a robust
candidate selection technique and subsequent photometric
redshift estimates such as the ones presented here will be
increasingly required by all future large area surveys, as spec-
troscopic coverage will never reach the same completeness,
neither in area nor in depth.
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