Calcium Montmorillonite Clay for the Reduction of Aflatoxin Residues in Milk and Dairy Products
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Abstract

In this study, dairy cows were treated with calcium montmorillonite clay (NSP; BASF Corp., Ludwigshaven, Germany) in a replicated 5x5 Latin square design. The primary objectives were to determine if milk composition was altered following ingestion of NSP, and to investigate the ability of NSP to reduce aflatoxin (AF) transfer to milk with the inclusion of low doses in the diet (concentrations equal to 0.125 and 0.25% w/w). The experiment was conducted at the Bearden Dairy Research Center at Mississippi State University. Cows were housed in a free-stall barn with sand bedding and were fed and milked twice daily. The experiment consisted of 5 10-d periods, where cows were randomly assigned to 1 of 5 dietary treatments (n=3 for each treatment):

A. Absolute control (CON), basal total mix ration (TMR) with no AF or NSP;
B. AF Control (AFC), basal TMR plus 50 ppb AF;
C. NSP Control (NSPC), basal TMR plus 0.5% estimated dry matter intake (DMI) NSP;
D. low-dose clay with AF (NSP-0.125%), basal TMR plus 0.125% estimated DMI NSP and 50 ppb AF;
E. Or high-dose clay with AF (NSP-0.25%), basal TMR plus 0.25% estimated DMI NSP and 50 ppb AF.

All additions to the basal TMR were top dressed and mixed into the top of feed offered. Dry matter intake and nutrient intake did not differ among dietary treatments (P>0.05). Milk yield and feed efficiency (FE) were similar throughout all treatments, and no treatment effects were observed for fat yield, lactose, protein yield, solids, or somatic cell count (SCC). Furthermore, vitamin A and riboflavin concentration in milk were similar across all treatments and averaged, 0.30±0.03 and 1.54±0.13µg/mL, respectively. A reduction (P < 0.01) in concentration of AFM1 in milk with the inclusion of NSP was shown. Feeding the AFC diet resulted in 0.75±0.05µg AFM1/L; this value was reduced by 17.3% (0.62 ± 0.02 µg/L) with the inclusion of NSP at 0.125% of DMI and by 21.3% (0.59 ± 0.02 µg/L) when NSP was fed at 0.25% of DMI. Specifically, transfer rate was reduced from 1.78% with the AF diet to 1.50% and 1.46 ±0.16% with the inclusion of NSP at 0.125% and 0.25% of DMI. Due to reduced transfer rate, total excretion of AFM1 was also reduced (P<0.01). This study was part of a multistate dairy project. When compared to other projects in this project, NSP resulted in a linear decrease in AFM1 ranging from 17% (at the smallest dose of clay) to 71% (at the greatest dose of clay). At all doses, DMI, milk yield, milk composition, minerals, vitamin A, and riboflavin concentrations were unaffected by the dietary treatments. The inclusion of NSP in contaminated dairy feeds may help mitigate AF problems without affecting milk production or composition. The results of this study will aid in determining the appropriate dosage of NSP needed to decrease AFM1 below allowable concentrations.
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Introduction

Aflatoxins (AF) are secondary metabolites produced by the fungi Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus and are immunosuppressive, anti-nutritional, and mutagenic Kurtzman et al. [1]. Additionally, they are potent carcinogens in a variety of species including humans Linsell & Peers [2]; Peers et al. [3]. The four naturally occurring aflatoxins are aflatoxin B1 (AFB1), B2 (AFB2), G1 (AFG1), and G2 (AFG2), named for their characteristic florescent properties Binder & Krska [4]. Of these, AFB1 is the most toxic and carcinogenic Food and Drug Administration
Contamination of food with AF is a global problem and typically occurs in regions that experience elevated temperatures and frequent drought. This includes areas of Sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, Central America, and the southern United States [7]. In addition to direct consumption of AF, humans and animals may also be exposed to toxic metabolites of AF. One such metabolite is aflatoxin M1 (AFM1), the hydroxylated derivative of AFB1. When lactating animals consume AFB1-contaminated diets, the toxin is metabolized through cytochrome P450-mediated oxidation and excreted into milk as AFM1 [8].

Feed contamination with AF may occur during pre- or post-harvest contamination of crops. Pre-harvest contamination increases in periods of drought stress and elevated temperatures during the growing season of crops Cotty & Jaime-Garcia [9], whereas post-harvest contamination may occur from improper storage conditions that promote fungal growth Cavallarin et al. [10]. Although AFM1 is less carcinogenic than the parent AFB1 molecule, it is toxic and considered to be a risk factor for aflatoxicosis in vulnerable populations and is classified as a group 2 carcinogen [6]. Young children and animals are more susceptible to the effects associated with AF exposure. Because milk is a major nutrient source for the young, AF concentrations are strictly regulated in milk and milk products. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has established Action Limits of 0.5 and 20ppb for AFM1 and AFB1 in milk and feed for lactating dairy animals, respectively [5]. To keep the concentration of AF below legal limits, postharvest treatments are often considered. Efforts to mitigate AFM1 may be ineffective due to its resistance to pasteurization and processing Stoloff et al. [11]; Stoloff & Truckses [12]; Yousef & Marth [13].

For this reason, various approaches have been developed to address the issue of AF contamination in feeds. These methods include various mechanical methods and density separation procedures Dickens & Whitaiker [14]; Henderson et al. [15], thermal inactivation Yazdanpanah et al. [16]; Bagley [17], treatment with ammonia and ozone Allameh et al. [18]; McKenzie et al. [19], and the potential use of various adsorbents, Diaz et al. [20]; Firmin et al. [21]; Queiróz et al. [22]; Huwig et al. [23].

One of the most promising strategies used to mitigate exposure is the inclusion of high affinity AF adsorbents in the diet. For example, montmorillonite clays including NovaSil (NS) and NovaSil Plus (NSP) have been reported to be effective in reducing AF exposures Harvey et al. [24]; Kutz et al. [25]; Smith et al. [26]. These clays are able to bind AF in the gastrointestinal tract, effectively reducing its bioavailability and distribution throughout the body Phillips [27]; Phillips et al. [28]; Phillips et al. [29]. Importantly, studies with clay in animals and humans have shown that it does not interfere with vitamin or nutrient uptake and utilization Afriyie-Gyawu et al. [30]; Afriyie-Gyawu et al. [31]; Mitchell et al. [32]; Phillips et al. [33].

NovaSil Plus (at concentration between 0.5 and 2.0% w/w in the diet) has been shown to reduce AFM1 concentrations in milk from dairy cows without altering the nutritional quality or causing overt toxicity Harvey et al. [34]. This study focused on low dose inclusion of clay. Further work is needed to establish safety and efficacy of montmorillonite clay at a wider range of dose to facilitate its inclusion as an aflatoxin binder in dairy animal feed.

In a recent multi-state project, where lactating cows at each research site were fed AF or NSP clay or both, the primary objectives were to determine whether milk quality and composition changed following ingestion of clay by the animals, and to further validate the efficacy of NSP clay to reduce AF transfer to milk. Studies conducted at Tarleton State University in Texas Maki et al. [35] and the University of Georgia Maki et al. [36] have shown that NSP significantly reduces AF carry-over, measured by AFM1 metabolite concentrations in milk from AF treated cows, without altering the composition of milk.

The final study (of a multistate project) is presented in this paper, and was conducted at Bearden Dairy Research Center at Mississippi State University (MSU). The objectives were to assess the carryover of AF into milk and its effects on milk quality and milk composition at doses of clay lower than have been tested previously.

Materials and Methods

Animal care, housing and feeding

This study was conducted at the Mississippi Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station, Bearden Dairy Research Center (Starkville, MS) under the approval of the Mississippi State Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The study consisted of fifteen lactating Holstein cows housed in free-stalls with sand bedding. Cows were trained to use individual feeding gates (Calan Broadbent Feeding System, American Calan, Northwood, NH) prior to treatment and were individually fed at 0530 and 1730h, allowing for ad libitum intake. Cows were milked at 0400 and 1600h in a double eight parallel milking parlor. Treatment was received once daily during the 0530 feeding.

Animals, experimental design, and treatments

Cows were placed in a triplicate 5 x 5 Latin square study design consisting of five 10-d periods. Treatment was applied on days 1 through 5, whereas days 6 through 10 were used as a washout period to prevent carry-over effects. NovaSil Plus was tested at different concentrations. Cows were predicted to consume 25kg of DM, and NSP was fed at 0.125, 0.25, and 0.5% (NSP control) of predicted DMI. The AF supplement was produced from rice fermentation by A. parasiticus NRRL 2999 as described by Shotwell et al. [37] and modified by West et al. [38]. Rice Powder containing 758mg AFB1/kg was obtained from the Food and Feed Safety Research Facility, USDA/ARS (College
was performed in triplicate. A 20mL aliquot was evaporated to dryness under nitrogen and resuspended in 5mL of mobile phase. The analysis was performed by HPLC (Waters Milford, MA, USA). In this protocol, 100μL of sample were injected in a mobile phase consisting of hexane-isopropyl alcohol (100+0.25, v/v) with a flow rate of 1.5±0.2mL/min at ambient temperature. Peaks were detected via UV absorbance at 325nm with a sensitivity of 0.1 AUFS. The column was a 4.6mm id x 15cm stainless steel reversed-phase C-18 column with a 5μm particle size.

Concentration of riboflavin was determined according to AOAC official methods (Method 985.31; [5]) with modifications for milk described by Ndaw et al. [42]. Fifty mL of 0.1M hydrochloric acid were added to 5mL of milk in a 250mL conical flask. Samples were autoclaved at 121 °C for 30min and then allowed to cool to room temperature. The pH was adjusted to 4.5 with 2.5M sodium acetate, followed by addition of 100mg of Takadiastase (Pfaltz& Bauer, Waterbury, CT).

The solution was incubated for 18h in an oven at 45 °C. After incubation the solution was diluted to 100mL with 0.01M HCl and filtered with a 0.2μm filter. Analysis was performed by HPLC using an injection volume of 50 μL of the filtrate at a flow rate of 1mL/min. The HPLC-system was equipped with a 250 x 4.6mm id reversed phase column with a 5μm particle size. Samples were run in isocratic mode using methanol: 0.05M sodium acetate buffer (30:70) as the mobile phase. Riboflavin was measured directly with fluorescence detection using excitation and emission wavelengths set at 422nm and 522nm, respectively.

AFM1 was extracted from milk samples according to official methods of the AOAC (Method 2000.08; [40]). Samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS (Waters H-class UPLC-MS/MS with ESI capability) in the positive mode using the method of Warth et al. [43]. Briefly, samples were warmed to 37 °C, centrifuged for 20min at 2000xg and defatted. The samples were passed through a coffee filter to remove any residual fat. A 10mL aliquot of the defatted fraction was passed through an immunoaffinity column (Afla WB, Vicam, Milford, MA) at a steady gravity controlled flow rate (approximately 1mL/min). Columns were washed twice with 10mL of double distilled, deionized water (MilliQ 18.2MΩcm) and eluted with 4mL of acetonitrile. Samples were evaporated to dryness under constant nitrogen and were then re-suspended in 1mL of 1:1 MeOH water solution and analyzed by LC-MS/MS (Waters H-class UPLC-MS/MS with ESI capability) in the positive mode for AFM1 (mol. wt. 328). The mobile phase consisted of an isocratic gradient of 80% water; 70% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 0.325mL/min. The column temperature was 60°C and injection volume was 40 μL and 10μL, respectively.

Aflatoxin standards were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Aflatoxin concentrations were quantified with the instrument software (Empower 2, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA). Aflatoxin excretion was calculated by multiplying the concentration of AFM1 by the milk yield based on milk production.
production the day of collection. Aflatoxin transfer was calculated by dividing AFM1 excretion by AFB1 intake and multiplying by 100. As shown by the following equation:

\[ \text{AF excretion} = \text{concentration of AF in milk} \times \text{milk yield} \times 100 \]

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed as a triplicated 5×5 Latin square design using JMP Pro software 11.0.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) following methods described by Littell et al. [44] for repeated measures. Aflatoxin M1 and vitamin concentrations from each treatment period were represented by milk samples collected on d 4 and 5. The mean values of DMI and milk yield from d1 through 5 were used to represent the experimental period. All AFM1, DMI, milk yield, milk composition, vitamin A, and riboflavin data are expressed as mean±standard error of the mean (SEM).

Means were separated for DMI, milk yield, milk composition, and feed composition using LSMEANS. A Tukey’s test was used to assess differences between treatment means for AFM1 variables. Vitamin concentrations were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to compare treatment groups by experimental period, followed by a Tukey’s test to assess differences between treatment means.

Statistical significance for all treatment effects was declared at P≤0.05; trends are discussed at P≤0.15. All mean results are presented as least square means±the largest standard error of the mean unless stated otherwise.

Results

Diet composition averaged 56.3% DM, 17.2% CP, 7.54% Ash, 34.8% NDF, and 18.3% ADF (Table 1). Dry matter intake and nutrient intake did not differ among the 5 dietary treatment groups (P>0.05), averaging 33.52 kg/d and 20.72 kg/d, respectively (Table 2). Data on milk performance are presented in Table 3. Milk yield and FE were similar throughout treatments, and no treatment effects were observed for fat yield, lactose, protein yield, solids, or SCC. Milk fat content was 4.22, 4.49, 4.38, 4.75, and 4.61 (%) for CON, NSPC, AFC, NSP-0.125%, and NSP-0.25%, respectively. Milk protein content was 2.93, 2.96, 2.98, 2.92, and 3.02 for CON, NSPC, AFC, NSP-0.125%, and NSP-0.25%, respectively. Furthermore, vitamin A and riboflavin concentration in milk were similar across the 5 treatment groups and average, 0.30±0.03 and 1.54±0.13 g/mL, respectively.

Table 1: Ingredient and chemical composition of basal diet.

| Item | Value |
|------|-------|
| Alfalfa | 0.06 |
| Bermudagrass | 0.02 |
| Corn Silage | 0.39 |
| Bermuda hay | 0.01 |
| Whole cotton seed | 0.04 |
| Energy Booster® | 0.01 |
| Concentrate premix2 | 0.47 |

1Hubbard feeds, Mankato, MN.
2Contained grain products, plant products, roughage products, forage products, cane molasses, salt, vitamin A acetate, vitamin D3 supplement, vitamin E supplement, zinc oxide, zinc sulfate, manganous oxide, manganous sulfate, copper sulfate, cobalt carbonate, calcium iodate and sodium selenite (16% Dairy Feed, Ware Milling, Houston, MS).

Table 2: Effect of dietary addition of NovaSil Plus1 on intake of dairy cows consuming a known concentration of aflatoxin (AF).

| Item^1 | CON | NSPC | AFC | NSP-0.125% | NSP-0.25% | SEM^2 | P< value^3 |
|--------|-----|------|-----|------------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| DMI, kg/d | 32.55 | 33.34 | 33.71 | 33.48 | 34.46 | 0.81 | 0.57 |
| CPI, kg/d | 9.97 | 10.09 | 10.14 | 10.17 | 10.31 | 0.25 | 0.9 |
| OMI, kg/d | 28.36 | 29 | 29.34 | 29.12 | 30.02 | 0.7 | 0.57 |
| NDFI, kg/d | 19.41 | 20.01 | 20.13 | 20.18 | 20.29 | 0.52 | 0.77 |
| ADFI, kg/d | 10.13 | 10.54 | 10.6 | 10.57 | 10.77 | 0.27 | 0.56 |

1NovaSil Plus (BASF Corp., Ludwigshaven, Germany) is a calcium montmorillonite clay.
2CON=basal TMR; AFC=basal TMR+50ppb AF; NSPC=basal TMR+0.5% estimated DMI clay; NSP-0.125%=basal TMR+50ppb AF+0.125% estimated DMI clay; NSP-0.25%=basal TMR+50ppb AF+0.25% estimated DMI clay.
3DMI = dry matter intake; CPI = crude protein intake; OMI = organic matter intake; NDFI = neutral detergent fiber intake; ADFI = acid detergent fiber intake.

4Greatest standard error of treatment mean.

5Main effect of treatment.
Table 3: Effect of dietary addition of NovaSil Plus1 on performance of dairy cows consuming a known concentration of aflatoxin (AF)

| Item1 | CON | NPSC | AFC | NSP-0.125% | NSP-0.25% | SEM* | P< value† |
|-------|-----|------|-----|------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| MY, kg/d | 36.69 | 37.12 | 36.45 | 36.27 | 36.18 | 0.75 | 0.9 |
| FE2 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.03 | 0.55 |
| Fat, kg | 1.55 | 1.67 | 1.61 | 1.71 | 1.67 | 0.05 | 0.12 |
| Fat, % | 4.22c | 4.49b | 4.38bc | 4.75a | 4.61ab | 0.08 | < 0.01 |
| Lactose, kg | 1.77 | 1.8 | 1.78 | 1.77 | 1.74 | 0.04 | 0.9 |
| Lactose, % | 4.84 | 4.86 | 4.89 | 4.87 | 4.83 | 0.02 | 0.37 |
| Protein, kg | 1.08 | 1.1 | 1.08 | 1.05 | 1.09 | 0.02 | 0.57 |
| Protein, % | 2.93c | 2.96bc | 2.98bc | 2.92a | 3.02b | 0.02 | < 0.01 |
| Solids, kg | 3.19 | 3.23 | 3.2 | 3.17 | 3.16 | 0.06 | 0.95 |
| Solids, % | 8.69 | 8.74 | 8.8 | 8.72 | 8.76 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| SCC, x103 | 143 | 155 | 217 | 188 | 340 | 53.8 | 0.06 |
| Vitamin A, µg/mL | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.62 |
| Riboflavin, µg/mL | 1.62 | 1.53 | 1.65 | 1.43 | 1.49 | 0.13 | 0.76 |

1NovaSil Plus (BASF Corp., Ludwigshaven, Germany) is a calcium montmorillonite clay.
2CON=basal TMR; AFC=basal TMR+50ppb AF; NSP = basal TMR+0.5% estimated DMI clay; NSP-0.125%=basal TMR+50ppb AF + 0.125% estimated DMI clay; NSP-0.25%=basal TMR+50ppb AF+0.25% estimated DMI clay.
3MY=milk yield; FE=kg DMI/kg milk.
4Greatest standard error of treatment mean.
5Main effect of treatment.

Table 4: Effect of dietary addition of NovaSil Plus1 on aflatoxin M1 content in milk from dairy cows consuming an aflatoxin-challenge diet.

| Item3 | CON | NPSC | AFC | NSP-0.125% | NSP-0.25% | SEM* | P-value |
|-------|-----|------|-----|------------|-----------|------|---------|
| AFM1 (µg/L) | 0.09c | 0.03c | 0.75c | 0.62c | 0.62c | 0.02 | 0.001 |
| Excretion (µg/d) | 3.27c | 1.10c | 29.42c | 24.69b | 23.89b | 1.47 | < 0.01 |
| Transfer (%) | N/A | N/A | 1.78b | 1.50c | 1.46b | 0.08 | 0.01 |

1NovaSil Plus (BASF Corp., Ludwigshaven, Germany) is a calcium montmorillonite clay.
2CON=basal TMR; NSP=basal TMR+125g of clay; AFC=basal TMR+50µg of AFB1/kg DMI; 0.125%+AF=basal TMR+32 g of clay+50µg of AFB1/kg DMI; 0.25%+AF=basal TMR+60 g of clay+50µg of AFB1/kg DMI.
3Highest standard error of treatment mean is shown.
4Main effect of treatment. a-dValues in the same row with different superscript differ.

Data for AFM1 content in milk are presented in Table 4; a dose dependent reduction (P<0.01) in concentration of AFM1 in milk was observed with the inclusion of low concentrations of NSP. Feeding AFC diet resulted in 0.75 ± 0.02 µg/L AFM1 in milk; this value was reduced by 117.3% (0.62 ± 0.02 µg/L) with the inclusion of NSP at 0.125% of DMI and by 22.7% (21.3% (0.59 ± 0.02 µg/L) when NSP was fed at 0.25% of DMI. Specifically, the transfer rate from AFB1 intake to AFM1 excretion was reduced from 1.78% in the AF diet treatment to 1.50% and 1.46 ±0.16% with the inclusion of NSP at 0.125% and 0.25% of DMI, respectively.

Due to a reduced transfer rate, the total excretion of AFM1 was also reduced (P<0.01) in a dose dependent manner. Cows that consumed AFC excreted AFM1 at 29.42 µg/d, whereas cows consuming the NSP-0.125% and NSP-0.25% reduced AFM1 at 24.69 µg/d and 23.89 ± 2.07 µg/d; equivalent to 16.1% and 19.0% reduction, respectively.

Discussion

Excretion of AFM1 in bovine milk occurs when AF-contaminated feed is consumed by lactating dairy cows, resulting in increased risk of exposure to contaminated milk and dairy products. Therefore, reducing the carryover of AF into milk through the inclusion of a toxin adsorbent, such as NSP, is an effective way to reduce AFM1 content in milk. This study investigated the effects of NSP on milk yield and composition from lactating dairy cows fed an AF-contaminated diet and indicated that doses as low as 0.125 and 0.25% significantly...
decreased the AFM1 concentrations in milk without affecting milk quality and composition.

Cows did not demonstrate any abnormal behavior or clinical signs that would be associated with aflatoxicosis. Dry matter intake, milk yield, vitamin A, and riboflavin across the entire experimental period were similar among dietary treatments (P>0.05). These results are consistent with the previous work at Tarleton State University [35] and the University of Georgia [36] with the exception of milk composition, including milk fat and protein reported from previous studies [25]; [35,36]. Queiroz et al. [22] reported a suppression of milk fat yield and protein percent in animals consuming feed contaminated with 75 ppb AFB1, however there were no differences in animals treated with a clay additive compared to control animals. This differs from the current results showing an increase in milk protein content in NSP-0.125% and an increase in milk fat content in NSP-0.25% cows compared to CON cows. The tendency for increase in milk solids content can most likely be attributed to the increase in fat and protein content. In addition, the tendency for an increase in SCC is possibly due to cow variation and normal incidence of disease in the herd. Animals consuming NSP-0.25% diets tended to have increased SCC; however NSPC cows were similar to CON and AFC cows were similar across all treatments.

The tendency for this increase does not appear to be attributed to AF or inclusion of NSP in the diets of lactating cows. This work also agrees with previous studies in other animals and humans showing that ingestion of NS and similar clay, at concentrations ranging from 2.5g/kg to 20.0g/kg of the diet, did not interfere with serum vitamins and minerals Afrəgie-Gyawu et al. [45]; [31,28]. Maki et al. [35] also investigated mineral content in milk from animals that were treated with NSP at concentrations as great as 1.0% w/w and showed no difference among treatments.

Novasil Plus has been reported to effectively sorb AFB1 at pH 6.5 and bind it to active surfaces within its interlayer pores in vitro Marroquin-Cardona et al. [46]. This pH is close to the mean ruminal pH of dairy cows. Because of this, the significant reduction (P<0.01) in AF transfer to milk may be explained by binding and sequestration of AF in the rumen, resulting in decreased bioavailability and transfer to the milk. It is important to note that NSP was still active as a binder for aflatoxins at the very low inclusion rate of 0.125%. This is the first report of efficacy of NSP clay at these low concentrations.

The transfer rates in this study were similar to transfer rates reported for dairy cows consuming AF contaminated diets Harvey et al. [16]; Xiong et al. [47]. It is important to note that background AFM1 concentrations were detected in this study (and in similar studies in Texas and Georgia) in milk from cows consuming control diets. This finding confirms the presence of naturally occurring AF in the basal TMR and further supports the critical need for practical strategies to more effectively mitigate this toxin in milk.

As part of the multistate dairy project, in recent studies in Texas and Georgia, NSP was introduced at doses of 0.5% (w/w) and 1.0% (w/w) in the feed. The study in Texas Maki et al. [35] resulted in a reduction of AFM1 by 47.3% and 70.9% when NSP was included in the diet at 0.5% and 1.0%, respectively. Likewise, the study in Georgia Maki et al. [36] demonstrated a similar reduction of AFM1 by 55.3% and 68.2% when NSP was included in the diet at 0.5% and 1.0%, respectively. When the data from these independent studies is combined with data from the current study in Mississippi, a dose-dependent, decrease in AFM1 in milk is observed in a linear manner. This correlation is illustrated in Figure 1 with an R2=0.8914. The equation is represented as:

\[ y = 0.7722x \]  

Where \( y \) = percent reduction of AFM1 in milk and \( x \) = NSP added to the feed at a w/w ratio

Based on the association between dose of NSP and the percentage reduction of aflatoxin in milk, it is possible to use this algorithm to derive an estimate of the amount of clay inclusion needed to maintain a concentration below 0.5ppb. This equation, does not take into account other potentially confounding factors that may affect AFM1 transfer, such as DIM, milk yield, breed, or TMR. However, the association is strengthened by the fact that it was derived from different dairy cows on different diets at
different research sites in different states at different times. Only the sources of clay and aflatoxin were the same.

Each of these three studies was performed using the same 5x5 design, but allowed the dairies to utilize their normal routines without the inconvenience of additional equipment or tasks. This suggests that similar feed treatments may be successfully employed at other dairies without the need for expensive equipment or special circumstances. The viability of these results is reflected in its ability to reduce the concentration (50-100ppb) of AF, which may allow the dairy industry to intervene in times of drought when AF in feed can frequently exceed 20ppb. Inclusion of clay can decrease potential adverse effects in cows and reduce the carryover of toxins into the milk.

Feed contaminated with AF is of special concern in dairy animals due to the inherent risk of increased AFM1 in dairy products intended for human consumption. The current data demonstrates that feeding NSP is a safe and effective strategy to reduce AFM1 in milk. NovaSil Plus did not affect milk quality and composition when included at 12.1g/kg and 6.0g/kg of DM in contaminated feed.

Conclusion

This work indicates that NSP clay was able to significantly decrease AFM1 concentrations in milk at doses lower than 0.5% (the lowest dose tested prior to this study). Importantly, the efficacy and safety of NSP was consistent throughout recent studies despite the differences in cows, feed, and location. NovaSil Plus reduced the concentration of AFM1 even when fed at the smallest dose. When all studies were compared, NSP resulted in a linear decrease in AFM1 ranging from 17% (at the smallest dose of clay) to 71% (at the greatest dose of clay). At all doses, DMI, milk yield, milk composition, minerals, vitamin A, and riboflavin concentrations were unaffected by the various dietary treatments.

NovaSil Plus has favorable characteristics for AFB1 sorption as well as negligible interactions with nutrients. The inclusion of NSP in contaminated dairy feeds may help to mitigate AF problems without affecting milk production or composition. Importantly, the results of this study will aid in determining the appropriate dosage of NSP needed to decrease AFM1 below allowable concentrations.
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