What Is “Chronic” in “Chronic Sleep Reduction” and What Are Its Consequences? A Systematic Scoping Review of the Literature

E.J. De Bruin1,2 • J. F. Dewald-Kaufmann3,4

Accepted: 3 June 2021 / Published online: 4 August 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Purpose of Review In this scoping review, we aimed to (1) provide an overview of chronic sleep reduction by systematically reviewing the existing literature (limited to systematic reviews and meta-analyses), (2) investigate the evidence of the effects of short sleep duration on daytime functioning, and (3) identify research gaps in this field.

Recent Findings The results showed that (1) clear definitions of chronicity of sleep reduction are lacking—none of the included reviews/meta-analyses provided a full definition—and (2) short sleep duration appeared to be related to obesity and diabetes, whereas relations with cardiovascular disease (CVD), cognitive functioning, emotional problems, general health, and mortality, showed either small effects and appeared to be complex (e.g., for CVD and cognitive functioning), or studies were scarce or completely lacking (e.g., mortality in children/adolescents and emotional problems in adults).

Summary Although short or insufficient sleep is highly prevalent and is associated with impaired mental and physical wellbeing, as well as pervasive negative consequences for daytime functioning, the concepts of “chronicity” and “chronic sleep reduction” have not been clearly defined and its effects on health are therefore still largely unknown. Moreover, there are large research gaps concerning studies on the relations between short sleep and health consequences. Further studies are recommended to define and operationalize chronicity of sleep reduction and develop measurements that adequately represent the complexity of the concept.
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Introduction

Insufficient and/or inadequate sleep is highly prevalent and is associated with impaired emotional wellbeing [1, 2], daytime functioning [3], and physical health [4]. Even the DSM-5 states that (chronic) insomnia does not solely refer to problems with initiating and/or maintaining sleep three times/week for at least 3 months, but also includes impairments in daytime functioning [5]. Sleep deprivation in the laboratory, in which individuals are prohibited to sleep for a defined number of hours and/or nights, is a useful experimental paradigm to study the effects of acute sleep loss under controlled conditions. However, it is less representative of individuals’ daily life (i.e., ecological validity), in which reduced sleep is usually experienced over a longer time period (e.g., due to shift work, lifestyle, work load). Furthermore, other influential sleep variables, such as sleep quality and sleep variability, can also best be studied in somebody’s natural environment. Partial sleep deprivation can result from fragmented sleep (e.g., in sleep apnea), loss of specific physiological sleep stages, or sleep restriction, which is characterized by the reduced opportunity
to sleep (e.g., 5, 6, or 7 h/24 h) (e.g., [6]). The latter is often referred to as chronic sleep reduction, meaning that sleep is reduced over a longer time, such as several days or even weeks [6] although no clear definition of this concept exists.

In addition to the problem of ecological validity of laboratory research, the comparison of the results from epidemiological studies is often challenged by the use of different definitions for sleep and sleep problems (e.g., sleep reduction, sleep deprivation, sleep problems including sleep disorders, sleep quality, symptoms of sleep disorders, sleep curtailment, short sleep), and by assumptions about the direction of the risk, even though most studies concern cross-sectional data that are not suited for causal inference. Moreover, many of these definitions contain subjective aspects, such as sleep quality and sleepiness. This subjective aspect is also represented in the classification systems of some sleep disorders (e.g., insomnia disorder), but is usually very difficult to quantify or even to define clearly and unidimensional.

In the general population, sleep durations seem to decrease over the years (e.g., [7, 8]) and many studies have addressed the question of the effects of chronically reduced sleep on daytime functioning, both in longitudinal and cross-sectional studies. The “Joint Consensus of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine and Sleep Research Society” concluded that healthy adults should sleep on average 7 or more hours per night, as less sleep is related to negative health outcomes (e.g., weight gain, obesity, diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, stroke, depression, impaired immune function, increased pain, increased risk of accidents, increased risk of death) [9]. However, the concept of chronicity still remains vaguely defined or even undefined, meaning that little is known yet, of how many nights sleep has to be reduced by how many hours, and in which way (e.g., variability, circadian shift) in the context of personal sleep need and satisfaction (e.g., [10]), to negatively affect somebody’s daytime functioning. This issue, together with problems of ecological validity and definitions for sleep and sleep problems, leads to the question whether chronic sleep reduction can be defined in such a way that it catches both the quantitative aspect from laboratory studies and the broader phenomenological descriptions and definitions from epidemiological or clinical studies.

In comparison to systematic reviews, scoping reviews aim to map the existing literature, to identify research gaps, to give a broader overview of the literature on a specific topic, and to provide ideas for future research (e.g., [11]). Concerning the topic of this review, two recent studies aimed to summarize the current knowledge concerning the relationship between sleep, developmental aspects, and health in children and adolescents [12, 13]. Although these studies give a good overview of the research field, both were limited to children and adolescents, and one focused on research of the last 5 years. In order to summarize the existing literature in this field, we, therefore, conducted a scoping review, aiming to provide an overview of chronic sleep reduction by its daytime and health consequences, and by sleep duration and quality, and to identify important research gaps.

Methods

We conducted a systematic literature search (PsycINFO, Medline, Scopus, Cochrane library) using variations and combinations of the following keywords dependent on the requirements of the databases that were searched: sleep deprivation, insufficient sleep, sleep disruption, systematic review, meta-analysis, suicide, emotions, affect, problem behavior, cognitive functioning, memory, executive functioning (see the addendum for a full search report).

Articles were included in the paper based on the following inclusion criteria: (1) the paper was published in a peer-reviewed journal and written in English; (2) the paper was a systematic review or meta-analysis of original studies; (3) the concept of chronic sleep reduction was conceptualized, e.g., a definition of too short or insufficient sleep in quantitative terms was given or the hours of sleep, defined as insufficient sleep in the original studies, were provided; (4) the article addressed a healthy population. Articles were excluded (1) if they were not written in English or not published in a peer-reviewed journal; (2) if they were no systematic review/meta-analysis but an original study (e.g., experimental study, cross-sectional study); (3) if sleep problems referred to sleep disorders (e.g., insomnia, sleep apnea) or addressed a specific population that is assumed to experience insufficient sleep because of specific circumstances (e.g., pregnant women); (4) if the review focused on participants with a certain disorder, such as psychiatric disorders (e.g., bipolar disorders), dementia, Parkinson’s disease, chronic pain, physical illness (e.g., cancer, epilepsy); (5) if the review/meta-analysis included only experimental studies in the laboratory for short-term sleep deprivation or extension. The following characteristics were coded: (1) goals/aims, (2) number and nature of included studies, (3) participants’ age, (4) operationalization/definition of chronic sleep reduction (including definition of sleep characteristic, definition of short sleep duration), (5) assessment methods of chronic sleep reduction (e.g., questionnaire, polysomnography), (6) consequences of chronic sleep reduction (e.g., effects on daytime functioning, mental health, physical health), (7) quality assessment of the included studies.

A total of 1726 unique articles were identified with the initial search that was conducted on March 6, 2017. After screening titles and abstracts, 1684 articles were excluded, resulting in 42 articles, which were fully read and coded by two independent researchers (EdB, JDK). From these 42 articles, 27 did not fully meet the in- and exclusion criteria and were excluded, resulting in 15 articles. On June 18, 2018, we updated the search and identified 370 additional unique
articles. After screening, 19 of these articles were fully read, coded, and discussed, resulting in five additional articles. We repeated this search strategy on May 7, 2019, identified 297 additional unique articles from which 16 were fully read, coded, and discussed, and two additional articles were included. This brought the total number of included articles in the present study to 22. Figure 1 presents the applied search strategy. Because different reviews/meta-analyses about similar outcomes often included several of the same original articles, we calculated and reported the unique number of articles and participants (i.e., deleted the overlap). The numbers of articles and participants in Table 1, however, are as the authors originally reported them in the reviews/meta-analyses.

Fig. 1 Database search and article selection

| Database          | Articles     |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Medline           | 1,497 Articles |
| PsychINFO         | 749 Articles  |
| Scopus            | 832 Articles  |
| Cochrane          | 120 Articles  |

Total: 3,198 Articles

Excluded 1,472 duplicate articles

Result: 1,726 unique articles

Title and abstract screening: did not meet inclusion criteria: 1,684 Articles

Full text screening: 27 Articles did not meet inclusion criteria

Second search: 370 additional unique articles

Title and abstract screening: 351 articles did not meet inclusion criteria

Full text screening of 19 articles: 14 did not meet inclusion criteria

5 additional articles included

Third search: 297 additional unique articles

Title and abstract screening: 282 articles did not meet inclusion criteria

Full text screening of 15 articles: 13 did not meet inclusion criteria

2 additional articles included

Included: 22 Articles
### Table 1  Characteristics of the included reviews and meta-analyses

| Reference                      | Aims                                                                 | Included studies and design                                                                 | Definition insufficient sleep                                                                 | Assessment | Consequences of insufficient sleep | Quality assessment |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Anothaisintawee et al., 2016  | Systematic review and meta-analysis of the relative risk of sleep (disturbances) for diabetes, compared to overweight, family, physical activity. | 36 cohort studies (1,061,555 participants in total, 583,263 participants on sleep duration)  | Relative risks for self-reported diabetes were estimated for self-reported short (< 5 h/day), normal (7–8 h/day), and long (≥ 9 h/day) sleep, and for sleep quality (defined as presence or absence of an insomnia symptom - difficulty initiating or maintaining sleep), obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), or shift work. Definition of chronicity not reported. | Self-report | Pooled RR for diabetes: 1.48 (95% CI = 1.25–1.76); Short sleep 6 h/day: 1.18 (95% CI = 1.00–1.39); Long sleep 9 h/day: 1.36 (95% CI = 1.21–1.53) | Assessment: Risk of bias was assessed with the modified Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS). Results: In all studies, there was a low risk of bias concerning adjustment for confounders. Low risk of bias ranged from 30.5% (ascertainment of exposure) to 100% (adjustment of confounding factors). |
| Aziz et al., 2017             | Systematic review of how sleep duration and sleep quality affect the burden of subclinical cardiovascular disease. | Total 31 (54,120 participants) 28 cross-sectional 3 cohort | Subjective sleep quality is defined as “one’s perception that they fall asleep easily, get sufficient duration so as to wake up feeling rested, and can make it through their day without experiencing excessive daytime sleepiness.” Measured by self-report such as Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index. | Polysomnography, actigraphy, self-report | Association between subjective short sleep duration and coronary artery calcium (CAC) and carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) was present, which was less consistent with endothelial dysfunction (ED) and arterial stiffness. Objective short sleep duration: There was an association with | Quality assessment of studies not reported. |
| Reference | Aims | Included studies and design | Definition insufficient sleep | Assessment | Consequences of insufficient sleep | Quality assessment |
|-----------|------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Brewster et al., 2015 (USA) | Systematic review of the relationship between sleep parameters and cognition in older adults without sleep disorders. | Total 29 studies (80,176 participants) Age: 60 years 19 cross-sectional 2 prospective 8 longitudinal (Some of those combined) | Objective sleep quality is defined by sufficient duration (7 h), high efficiency (85%), low fragmentation (25), and proper sleep staging (1-4 and REM), measured by actigraphy and polysomnography. Definition of chronicity not reported, but implicit 1 month in measurements such as Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index. | Mixed definitions for sleep duration: e.g., Short sleep duration as 5 h, 6 h, 7 h Long sleep duration as 8 h, 8.5 h, 9 h No definition of cut-offs for sleep parameters: Sleep latency Wake after sleep onset | CIMT, which was inconsistent concerning CAC. There was no association with ED. Poor subjective sleep quality: There was an association between ED and arterial stiffness, which was inconsistent concerning CAC and CIMT. Objective sleep quality: There was an association between CIMT and ED, which was inconsistent concerning CAC. Overall conclusion: There appeared to be a relationship between short sleep duration and poor sleep quality. | Quality assessment of studies not reported. |
| Reference          | Aims                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Included studies and design                                                                                                                                  | Definition insufficient sleep                                                                 | Assessment                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Consequences of insufficient sleep                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Quality assessment¹ |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Cappuccio et al., 2008 (UK) | Meta-analysis and review of the relationship between short sleep duration and obesity at different ages, and an estimate of the risk.                                                                 | Total 45 (45,264 children, 791,943 adults)                                                                                                                                                                           | Short sleep duration defined as: For children: 10 h or 10 h/night. For adults: 5 h or 5 h/night Chronicity not defined. | Use of self-report, objective measures, and sleep diaries.                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Depression, but not with subjective sleep efficiency. Sleep onset latency: mixed results, most consistent relation with global cognition. Wake after sleep onset: mixed results, some indications of relations with specific cognitive domains (executive function, attention, episodic memory, verbal fluency) and global cognition. Overall conclusion: Inconsistent relations between short sleep duration, sleep complaints, and sleep problems with cognition in older adults exist. Depressive symptoms, undiagnosed (other) sleep disorders, and medical conditions may modify these relations. | Quality assessment of studies not reported |

1. Quality assessment of studies not reported
| Reference | Aims | Included studies and design | Definition insufficient sleep | Assessment | Consequences of insufficient sleep | Quality assessment |
|-----------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Chaput et al., 2016 (Canada) | Systematic review of the relationships between sleep duration and health in children and youth | 141 articles (110 unique samples, 592,215 participants) | Mixed definitions of short or long sleep: e.g., 10.5 h for increased vs. 8.1 h for decreased sleep. | Sleep duration was measured objectively (polysomnography or actigraphy) in 29 studies. The remaining 157 studies used subjective self-report or parent-report. | Overall conclusion: Cross-sectional studies of children and adults with short sleep duration indicate an increased risk of obesity. | Quality of evidence was assessed with the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) framework. |
| | Age: children/adolescents (5–17 years) | Adiposity (n = 71) | Short sleep duration as ≤ 6 h/night. | Overall conclusion: Shorter sleep duration was associated with impaired physical and mental health in children and adolescents (i.e., excess adiposity (58/71 studies), decreased emotional regulation (49/62 studies) and academic achievement (14/21 studies), and lower quality of life/wellbeing (3/3 studies). Associations were less clear concerning the relationship between sleep duration and cognition, harms/injuries, and cardiometabolic marker (none of the included studies reported a clear positive association). | Assessment: Quality of the evidence was assessed with the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) framework. |
| | Adiposity (n = 71) | Emotion regulation (n = 62) | Short sleep as children who slept 10 h/night. | Results: The quality of evidence ranged from very low to high across study designs and health indicators (the possible 4 categories were “high,” “moderate,” “low,” “very low”). | Advertisement [135] Curr Sleep Medicine Rep (2021) 7:129–154 |
| Reference | Aims | Included studies and design | Definition insufficient sleep | Assessment | Consequences of insufficient sleep | Quality assessment¹ |
|-----------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Chen et al., 2008 (USA) | Systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiologic evidence on the relation between sleep duration and childhood obesity. | 17 studies (55,791 participants) <br>3 cohort studies <br>12 cross-sectional studies <br>2 case-control studies | sleep 690 min, long sleep ≥690 min, 10 h vs. 11 h per night. Short sleep as 8 h/night. Definition of chronicity not reported. | objective measures (polysomnography or actigraphy) in 10 studies, and by actigraphy and sleep logs in 11 studies. | short sleep duration and adiposity (20/31 studies), poor emotion regulation (13/25 studies), increased screen time (5/5 studies), and increased risk of injuries (2/3 studies). For the associations with cognitive development, motor development, physical activity, and quality of life/wellbeing, the evidence was less clear. | Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) framework. Relevant evidence quality ranged from very low to high across study designs and health indicators. (The possible 4 categories were “high,” “moderate,” “low,” “very low.”) |

| Reference | Aims | Included studies and design | Definition insufficient sleep | Assessment | Consequences of insufficient sleep | Quality assessment¹ |
|-----------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| | | 17 studies (55,791 participants) <br>3 cohort studies <br>12 cross-sectional studies <br>2 case-control studies | Standardized reference for sleep duration was defined as ≥11 h for children aged 5 years, ≥10 h for children aged between 5 and 10 years, and ≥9 h for children ≥10 years of age. Children’s sleep duration was contrasted with the recommended level of sleep duration (e.g., 9 h for children aged ≥10 years). Dose-response investigated by 3 levels of short sleep duration: Shortest, shorter and short: Children 5 years: 9 h, 9–10 hr, 10–11 hr. | Sleep duration was assessed by self-report in 15 studies, by self-report and time diaries in 1 study, and by actigraphy in 1 study. | General pediatric population: OR:1.58 (95% CI = 1.26–1.98). No clear dose-response relationship was found. Comparison of short sleep duration vs. recommended sleep duration: OR = 1.60; 95% CI = 1.22–2.10 Comparison of shortest sleep duration vs. recommended sleep duration: OR = 1.92; 95% CI = 1.15–3.20 Pooled OR for obesity: 0.91 (95% CI = 0.84–1.00) for each hour increased sleep duration. | Quality assessment of studies not reported |
| Reference                          | Aims                                                                 | Included studies and design                                                                 | Definition insufficient sleep                                                                                      | Assessment                                                                      | Consequences of insufficient sleep                                                                 | Quality assessment¹ |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Chiu et al., 2018 (Taiwan)        | Systematic review and meta-analysis on the dose-response relationship between sleep duration and risk of suicidality in adolescents. | 10 cross-sectional (598,281 participants), with 1 study reporting on 2 samples and 2 studies reporting sex-specific ratios (13 reports in total). Age: 14.6–16.3 years | Children 5-10 years: 8 h, 8–9 h, 9–10 h. Children 10 years: 7 h, 7–8 h, 8–9 h. Definition of chronicity not reported. | Self-reported sleep duration frequency question in all but one study, which used the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. | Increased risk of childhood obesity.                                                       |                  |

Fatima et al., 2015 (Australia)    | Review and meta-analysis of the prospective association of short sleep duration with obesity in children and adolescents. | 22 studies (47,223 participants) Age: children/adolescents (0.5–18 years) All longitudinal studies (follow-up ranged from 1–27 years) 12 studies on children 6 studies on children and adolescents 4 studies on adolescents | Normal sleep category based on Sleep Health Foundation Recommendation, category less than this recommendation defined as short sleep | Sleep duration measured by self- or parent-report in most studies, 6 studies used time diaries or sleep logs, 2 studies used validated | Association between short sleep duration and obesity Pooled OR: 2.15 (95% CI = 1.64–2.81) The strongest association was | Assessment: Quality of the studies was assessed using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and |

¹ Quality assessment refers to the study quality assessment tools used, such as the Critical Appraisal Checklist recommended by the Joanna Briggs Institute.
| Reference | Aims | Included studies and design | Definition insufficient sleep duration. Recommendation based on 24 h Short sleep duration varied: Younger children 10–12 h/night Older children from 7.5–10.5 h/night Adolescents 6–11 h/night The reference category cut-off was 0.5–1.0 h greater than the “short” sleep category cut-off. Definition of chronicity not reported. | Sleep duration reference values for normal sleep differed between studies, e.g.: Children 3 years: 12 h Children 7 years: 9 h Children 8–11 years: 9 h, 10 h Children/adolescents 8–16 years: 6.5 h Children 8–11 years: short: 7.47–8.56 h, long: 9.32–10.50 h Children 9–11 years: 9 years: 10 h; 10 years: 9.75 h; 11 years: 9.5 h Adolescents 14–16 years: 7 h | Sleep duration measured objectively (e.g., actigraphy, polysomnography). | Consequences of insufficient sleep reported in studies where reference sleep was equivalent to normal sleep duration: OR 2.32 (95% CI = 1.77–3.05). A trend appeared of a stronger association for adolescents (OR: 2.06; 95% CI = 1.55–2.74) compared to children (OR: 1.76; 95% CI = 1.44–2.15). Overall conclusion: The risk for obesity is higher in children and adolescents with shorter sleep duration compared with those with normal or longer sleep duration. | Quality assessment | Evaluation (GRADE) and the Methodological Evaluation of Observational Research (MEVORECH). Results: Quality of individual studies ranged from 7 to 12 (maximum points: 17) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Felső et al., 2017 (Hungary) | Systematic review of the association of sleep duration and obesity and a discussion of its underlying (patho)physiological mechanisms | 33 studies (22,920 participants) Age: children/adolescents (0.5–19 years) 3 randomized controlled trials 3 cohort studies 26 cross-sectional studies 1 cross-sectional and cohort study Some studies included experimental sleep restriction. 23 studies on obesity 6 studies on diet 6 studies on physical activity 2 studies on screen time 4 studies on insulin sensitivity 4 studies on ghrelin and leptin levels | Sleep duration reference values for normal sleep differed between studies, e.g.: Children 3 years: 12 h Children 7 years: 9 h Children 8–11 years: 9 h, 10 h Children/adolescents 8–16 years: 6.5 h Children 8–11 years: short: 7.47–8.56 h, long: 9.32–10.50 h Children 9–11 years: 9 years: 10 h; 10 years: 9.75 h; 11 years: 9.5 h Adolescents 14–16 years: 7 h | Sleep duration measured objectively (e.g., actigraphy, polysomnography). | | Quality of studies not assessed. |
| Reference                  | Aims                                                                 | Included studies and design                                                                                                                                  | Definition insufficient sleep                                                                                           | Assessment                                                                                                           | Consequences of insufficient sleep                                                                 | Quality assessment                                                                 |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fobian et al., 2018 (USA)  | Systematic review assessing sleep, hypertension, and cardiovascular risk | 24 studies (35,039 participants), children/adolescents 9 studies on hypertension 15 studies on obesity 13 studies on glucose or insulin 8 studies on lipids 3 on inflammation | Adolescents 15–19 years: 8 h/night Definition of chronicity not reported. Sleep duration reference values differed between studies, e.g.: Children 3–11 years: sleep ≤ 9 h Adolescents 10–15 years: sleep 8 h Some studies included sleep stages from polysomnography in analyses. Definition of chronicity not reported. | Sleep duration measured in most studies by parent report, child self-report, questionnaires (e.g., Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index, BEARS). In 4 studies polysomnography was used, and in 2 studies actigraphy. | Overall conclusion: Obesity, hypertension, and insulin sensitivity showed the strongest evidence of an association with sleep duration and some evidence was indicated of a link between insulin resistance and sleep. No strong evidence appeared for an association between glucose or insulin, lipids, or inflammation and sleep duration. | Assessment: Risk of bias was assessed using the RTI Item Bank. Results: Only 1 study had low risk of bias, 3 had moderate risk of bias, all others had high risk of bias (categories are low, medium, high). |
| Frange et al., 2014 (Brazil) | Association between sleep duration and self-rated health.             | 5 studies (159,044 participants) Age: adults (17–95 years) 4 cross-sectional studies 1 longitudinal (cohort) study | Sleep duration reference differed between studies. All studies used categories ranging from very short (e.g., 6 h) to very long (e.g., 10 h). Definition of chronicity not reported. | All studies used one-item questions on sleep duration such as “On average, how many hours of sleep do you get in a 24 hour period?” | Overall conclusion: Short and long sleep duration had negative impact on SRH, even when analyses were adjusted for possible confounders. | Quality assessment of studies not reported.                                                   |
| Gallicchio & Kalesan, 2009 (USA)  | Systematic review and meta-analysis of the associations between sleep duration and mortality. | 23 studies (2,218,284 participants) Age: adults (18–102 years) All prospective cohort studies with years of follow-up between 3.5 and 25 years. | A single short sleep group (7 h for most studies) and long sleep group (9 h for most studies) were comprised by pooling sleep duration categories from the individual studies, and compared to a | All studies examined sleep duration using a single self-reported survey item. | Pooled relative risk (RR) of short sleep duration: All-cause mortality: 1.10 (95%; CI = 1.06–1.15) Cardiovascular-related mortality: 1.06 (95% CI = 0.94–1.18) | Quality assessment of studies not reported.                                                   |
| Reference                          | Aims                                                                 | Included studies and design                                                                 | Definition insufficient sleep                        | Assessment                                                                 | Consequences of insufficient sleep                                                                 | Quality assessment |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Itani et al., 2017 (Japan)       | Systematic review and meta-analysis of short sleep duration and mortality, health complications, and diseases. | 108 studies (5,172,710 participants) 153 datasets for nine outcomes, 14 of these datasets not included in meta-analyses. Age: 20 years at baseline. All prospective cohort studies, with follow-up ranging from 1–30 years Some studies used multiple outcomes: 38 studies on mortality 20 studies on diabetes 11 studies on hypertension 25 studies on cardiovascular disease 14 studies on stroke 20 studies on coronary heart disease 21 studies on obesity 2 studies on dyslipidemia 2 studies on depression | medium sleep duration group (7–7.9 h). Definition of chronicity not reported. | Because normal reference values for sleep duration vary among cultures and ethnicities, definition of short and normal sleep duration was based on the original paper, but most studies defined short sleep duration as less than 5 h or 6 h and normal sleep duration as 7 h. Definition of chronicity not reported. | Cancer-related mortality: 0.99 (95% CI: 0.88–1.13) Overall conclusion: Short sleep increases the risk for mortality. | Assessment by the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) was used to assess the studies' quality. Results: Scores ranged from 7 to 9 (a score of 8 was considered as high quality). Most studies were considered to be high-quality studies. |
| Jensen et al., 2013 (Australia)  | Systematic review of the association between poor sleep quantity/quality, and dietary intake, and physical activity in children and adolescents. | 7 studies (8849 participants) Age: children/adolescents (7–15 years) 5 studies on physical activity 5 studies on diet | Definitions of sleep duration and sleep quality differed between studies: Children 7–9 years: sleep duration 9 h, 9–10 h, 10–11 h, 11 h Children 9.56 years: self-reported average sleep latency. Adolescents 11–16 years assessed by | One study used actigraphy; all others used self-report or parent report, including insomnia symptoms. | Overall conclusion: There is an indication that poor sleep quantity/quality may be associated with poorer dietary and physical activity behaviors. | Assessment by the Joanna Briggs Institute Meta-Analysis of Statistics Assessment and Review Instruments, using 9 questions, of which 2 were not applicable because all 7 included studies were cross-sectional with... |
| Reference | Aims | Included studies and design | Definition insufficient sleep | Assessment | Consequences of insufficient sleep | Quality assessment |
|-----------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Li et al., 2017 (China) | Systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies on the associations between sleep duration and obesity in children. | 32 studies 12 studies included in meta-analysis (44,200 participants) Age: children/adolescents (0.5–18 years), all cohort studies | Sleep duration differed between studies but were categorized from short to long, e.g.: Children 0.5 years: 12, ≥ 12 h Children 3 years: 10.5, 10.5–10.9, 11–11.9, 12 h Children 3–12 years: 8, 8–9, 9–10, 10–11, ≥ 11 h Children 0–13 years: ≤ 25th percentile of age-specific sleep recommendation Adolescents 12–18 years: 6, 6–8, 8–11, 11 h | Most parent report or self-report, some time diaries, one polysomnography. Pooled relative risk (RR) of short sleep duration for obesity: 1.45 (95% CI = 1.14–1.85). | Overall conclusion: Short sleep is related to obesity in children. | Assessment: Quality of each study was evaluated using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). Results: Scores ranged from 5 to 8. |
| Reference | Aims | Included studies and design | Definition insufficient sleep | Assessment | Consequences of insufficient sleep | Quality assessment |
|-----------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Liu et al., 2017 (China) | Meta-analysis of sleep duration and all-cause mortality | 24-h sleep duration: 28 studies Night-sleep duration: 14 studies Age: 20–97 years | Categories differed between studies. 7 h was used as reference. Definition of chronicity not reported. | Mostly self-reported questionnaires and structured interviews | 24-h sleep duration: J-shaped association. Every 1-h of sleep increased the risk of all-cause mortality slightly (RR = 1.07; 95% CI = 1.03–1.11) 4 h of sleep: RR = 1.05 (95% CI = 1.02–1.07) 5 h of sleep: RR = 1.06 (95% CI = 1.03–1.09) 6 h of sleep: RR = 1.04 (95% CI = 1.03–1.06) | Quality assessment of studies not reported. |
| Lo et al., 2016 (Singapore) | Systematic review and meta-analysis of effects of short sleep duration on cognitive performance in older adults | 18 studies (97,246 participants) 35 independent samples Age: adults (≥ 55 years) 11 cross-sectional studies 7 prospective cohort studies with follow-up between 1 and 22 years | Reference for normal sleep duration ranged from 5 to 9 h: most commonly used were 7 h, 8 h, and 7–8 h Short sleep duration: 5 h Long sleep duration: ≥ 9 h Definition of chronicity not reported. | Self-reported sleep duration, most with one-item questions. | Odds for poor cognitive functioning was 1.4 times higher among short sleepers than normal sleepers; multiple-domain tasks: OR = 1.28 (95% CI = 1.07–1.53) and OR = 1.24 (95% CI = 1.02–1.50) without studies on Alzheimer’s disease/dementia; executive functions: OR = 1.33 (95% CI = 1.11–1.46); verbal | Quality assessment: The quality of the studies was assessed with the modified version of the Downs and Black Quality Index score system Results: All studies were of satisfactory quality. Scores ranged from 13 to 16 (cross-sectional studies) and 13–19 (prospective studies) (maximum scores: 16 for cross-sectional; 19) |
### Table 1 (continued)

| Reference       | Aims                                                                 | Included studies and design                                                                 | Definition insufficient sleep                                                                 | Assessment                                                                 | Consequences of insufficient sleep                                                                 | Quality assessment |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Magee et al., 2012 (USA) | Systematical review of the relationship between sleep duration and subsequent weight gain in observational longitudinal human studies. | 20 studies (123,354 adult and 10,959 child participants)  
Age: adults and children  
13 adults (18–81 years at baseline)  
7 children (0–12 years at baseline) | Sleep duration reference differed between studies.  
Some adult studies used categories ranging from short (e.g., 5–6 h, or ≤ 5h) to long (e.g., 9–10 h, or ≥ 8 h), compared to normal (7–8 h) sleep duration. In children studies categories ranged for short sleep duration (e.g., 12 h/day for babies, or 10.5 h for age 3 years). | All children studies used parental report and one also self-report. In adult studies, all used self-report, except one that used actigraphy. | Memory: OR = 1.33 (95% CI = 1.19–1.49), working memory capacity: OR = 1.35 (95% CI = 1.15–1.49), speed of processing: OR = 1.36 (95% CI = 0.88–2.12). | for prospective studies |

**Overall conclusion:** Mixed results with small effect sizes exist for the relationship between short sleep and cognitive functioning; however, short sleep duration is seen as a risk factor for cognitive aging.
| Reference | Aims | Included studies and design | Definition insufficient sleep | Assessment | Consequences of insufficient sleep | Quality assessment 1 |
|-----------|------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Miller et al., 2018 (UK) | Systematic review and meta-analysis on the prospective relationship between sleep and obesity in a pediatric population. | 42 studies (116,523 participants, 63 cohorts) 20 studies on obesity 14 studies on change in BMI Z-scores 16 studies on change in BMI Infants (0 to 3 years) Early childhood (3 to 9 years) Middle childhood (9 to 12 years) Adolescents (12 to 18 years) | Short sleep duration as defined in included articles was used, differing for age groups, e.g., short sleep duration: ≤ 11.25 h compared to normal sleep; 11.25 h at age 3 or 4 years, or short sleep duration: 10.5 h (lowest quarter) compared to 12 h (highest quarter) at age 3 years. Short sleep duration 12 h compared to ≥12 h for children from 6 months to 2 years. Short sleep duration 11 h and long sleep duration 11.5 h compared to 11–11.5 h for 5, 7, 9, and 11 years. Short sleep duration: ≤8.5 h compared to ≥9.5 h. Short sleep duration: 6 h compared to 8 h. Sleep duration also analyzed as continuous variable. Definition of chronicity not reported. | Actigraphy was used in 4 studies; 1 study used polysomnography; all others used parent report and/or self-report. | Pooled relative risk (RR) overweight or obesity in infancy: RR: 1.40 (95% CI = 1.19–1.65) Early childhood: RR: 1.57 (95% CI = 1.40–1.76) Middle childhood: RR: 1.95 (95% CI = 2.23; 2.18–2.27) Adolescence: RR: 1.30 (95% CI = 1.11–1.53). Sleep duration was also associated with a significant change in BMI z-score RR: −0.03 (95% CI = −0.04 to −0.01) and in BMI RR: −0.03 (95% CI = −0.04 to −0.01) | Assessment: Quality of included studies was assessed by the Downs and Black Quality Index score system. Results: Scores ranged from 12 to 18 (maximum score: 20). 7 studies scored 15 and were removed in sensitivity analyses, which did not impact the results.

Overall conclusion: Short sleep duration seems to be a risk factor concerning the development of obesity in infants, children, and adolescents. |
| Reference                      | Aims                                                                 | Included studies and design                                                                 | Definition insufficient sleep                                                                 | Assessment                                                                 | Consequences of insufficient sleep                                                                 | Quality assessment¹ |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Nielsen et al., 2011          | Systematic review of epidemiological studies on the relation between sleep duration and obesity. Review of reviews on this subject from before 2008, and reviews of unique new studies not included in any of the previous reviews. | 7 reviews from before 2008, 15 studies (prospective studies and cross-sectional studies) not included in these previous reviews (80,181 child and 13,117 adult participants) Age: 8 children 7 adults | Sleep duration defined differing between studies. Infants: 12 h of sleep/day compared with 12 h/day Short sleep duration (10.5 h) at age 2 Definition of chronicity not reported. | Studies used parent report or personal parent interview, and one study used parental sleep diary. | Overall conclusion: Short sleep duration is consistently associated with increased risk of development of obesity in children and young adults, but not consistently so in older adults. Causal interpretation of the association is hampered by several epidemiological problems possibly leading to biases as well as by more fundamental conceptual problems. | Quality assessment of studies not reported. |
| Zhou et al., 2019             | Systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis to investigate the relation between sleep duration and risk of obesity | 12 prospective cohort studies (16 reports; 198,579 participants) Age: Adults (18 years at baseline) | In the majority of studies, exposure categories were ≤5 h/sleep and 5–7 h/sleep, 7–8 h/sleep were mostly considered as the reference category. Definition of chronicity not reported. | Not reported | Pooled RR for risk of obesity: 1.09 (95% CI = 1.05–1.14). Overall conclusion: Short sleep duration is a significant risk factor for obesity, especially when sleeping 7 h/night. For each 1-h decrease in sleep duration, the risk of obesity increases 9%. | Assessment: Quality of included studies was assessed by the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) Results: The included studies were considered to be of high quality. Scores ranged from 6 to 8; the mean score was 6.9 (maximum score: 9). |

All experimental studies and results from longer sleep duration were excluded from the numbers reported in this table

¹ Quality assessment only reported in this table if it was conducted with a systematic quality assessment instrument in the review.
Results

Twenty-two articles (13,504,230 participants) were included with 13 studies (1,811,969 participants) on children and/or adolescents and 12 studies (11,692,261 participants) on adults (three studies included both children/adolescents and adults). The following domains of daytime functioning were affected by insufficient sleep: weight/obesity (13 studies), diabetes (four studies), cardiovascular diseases (five studies), cognitive functioning (four studies), emotional problems (three studies), mortality (three studies), and general health/quality of life (three studies). One study investigated the relationship between sleep duration and suicidality and a few reviews mentioned additional domains (e.g., physical activity, dietary, screen time), which could not be assigned to one of the above-mentioned domains (see Table 1). Overall, the results clearly show that the majority of reviews focused on physical health problems, with most articles addressing the relationship between insufficient sleep and obesity/weight, whereas only a limited number of reviews addressed the effects of insufficient sleep on behavior and cognitive functioning. The limited number of reviews on these topics may demonstrate a research gap of original studies addressing the effects of insufficient sleep on emotional and psychological wellbeing as well as on cognitive performance. Figure 2a–d present the number of unique studies and participants divided into children/adolescents and adults for each domain separately.

Chronic Sleep Reduction

All included reviews provided data on sleep duration, meaning that studies gave a definition of short sleep by providing the exact hours of sleep. Although the definition of short sleep duration usually differed depending on the original studies rather than on theoretical grounds, in most reviews on adults, short sleep duration varied in the majority of included studies between 5 and 6 h of sleep per night [14–20]. Few reviews used slightly longer sleep durations (e.g., 7 h of sleep) as short sleep duration [17, 20–22, 23*]. The only article that additionally included other (actigraphic and polysomnographic) aspects of sleep quality was conducted by Aziz et al. [24], who defined sufficient sleep duration as 7 h sleep per night, but also used sleep efficiency 85%, sleep fragmentation 25%, and proper sleep staging from polysomnography as indicators for sufficient sleep.

The definition of short sleep for children and adolescents differed from adults. Some reviews on children used sleep durations 9/10 h of sleep per night as an indication for insufficient sleep [15, 25], whereas others (additionally) specified sleep duration in more detail depending on different age categories [25, 26] or listed the different studies and their definitions of short sleep [27–32]. In most reviews, the definition depended on the original articles; however, Chen et al. [26] divided sleep duration into three categories (shortest, shorter, short). Fatima et al. [25] on the other hand created three groups with different definitions of insufficient sleep, which were based on the recommendations of the Sleep Health Foundation. Concerning adolescents, most studies used 6 to 8 h of sleep per night as insufficient sleep [25, 29, 32, 33].

Although all included reviews aimed to assess the effects of insufficient sleep, operationalized by short sleep duration, on certain outcome variables, not one review provided a clear definition of chronicity, meaning that no review answered the question of how many nights sleep had to be insufficient or reduced. The reviews usually included studies that referred to an “average” or “general” sleep duration measured by a single question such as “how many hours of sleep do you usually get?” which indirectly includes chronicity as it implies that individuals receive that amount of sleep over a longer period. Additionally, some reviews/meta-analyses reported that the measurement of usual sleep duration was indicated “over the last month” (e.g., [24]), for instance, when the Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI; [34]) was used, or reported the use of sleep diaries, which typically measure one or 2 weeks of subjective sleep (e.g., [20]). Yet other studies reported the use of self-reported insomnia symptoms over a longer period (e.g., [31]). The only studies that defined some form of chronicity were the few experimental studies that were included in the reviews, such as 6.5 h in bed per night for 5 nights in one study included in the review by Chaput et al. [27]. However, this definition was related to experimental studies and is therefore excluded from this scoping review. Table 1 provides the detailed results of sleep duration for each review separately.

Effects on Outcome Variables

Weight/Obesity

We included five systematic reviews/meta-analyses on weight/obesity in adults (two systematic reviews, three studies combining meta-analysis and systematic review) that included 47 studies with 1,039,337 unique participants, and eleven systematic reviews/meta-analyses on children and adolescents (six systematic reviews, five studies combining meta-analysis and systematic review) that included 152 unique studies with 635,160 participants. Magee and Hale [19] pictured mixed results for the literature on adults when systematically reviewing longitudinal studies: Eight studies reported an association between short sleep duration and weight gain.
Fig. 2 a–d Numbers of unique child/adolescent and adult studies and participants included in the reviews and meta-analyses. NB. some outcome or mediator variables from the following articles could not be included in the categories of the graphs: adiposity, emotion regulation, growth, screen time, risk of injuries, cognitive development, motor development, physical activity, and quality of life/wellbeing [28]; suicidality [33]; insulin resistance, sedentarism, unhealthy dietary patterns, physical activity, screen time, change in ghrelin, and leptin levels [30]; inflammation [29]; sleep, diet, and physical activity [31]
whereas five studies did not. Nielsen and colleagues [35] included both reviews of studies on adults and children from before 2008 as well as unique new studies published after 2008 and which were not part of the previous reviews. They concluded that in children and young adults, short sleep duration is consistently related to increased risk of obesity, but not in older adults. This finding supports the above-summarized evidence of adult studies. The meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies by Itani et al. [16] showed a clear effect of insufficient sleep on obesity as sleep duration 6 h per night was related to a significant increase in obesity. Comparable effects for cross-sectional studies were reported by Cappuccio et al. [15] and by Zhou et al. [23], who showed that the risk of obesity increases 9% for each 1-h decrease in sleep duration, when compared with 7 h of sleep per night.

Concerning children and adolescents, the systematic review on children by Fobian et al. [29] found the strongest evidence for an association between sleep and obesity, hypertension, and insulin sensitivity, and some evidence of a link between insulin resistance and sleep. There was no strong evidence of a relationship between glucose or insulin, lipids, or inflammation and sleep. Similarly, also Nielsen et al. [35], Felsö et al. [30], and Chaput et al. [27] found support for the idea that insufficient sleep influences weight gain and consequently the risk of obesity in children; however, the authors claim that the underlying explanatory mechanisms (e.g., physical activity) are still not fully understood. Still, it should be mentioned that not all included studies reported clear positive results. The conclusion for school-aged children and adolescents by Chaput et al. [27] was for instance based on 58 studies with significant associations and 13 studies reporting null findings. A similar effect was found in younger children aged 0–4 years [28], which was based on 20 studies reporting a positive association, nine studies with null findings, and two studies with an association between long sleep duration and adiposity. Based on the review of longitudinal studies, Magee and Hale [19] furthermore concluded that short sleep in children and adolescents is consistently related to weight gain. There was only one exception in one study that included older children (8.0–12.9 years at baseline and followed for 5 years). The meta-analytic evidence, which is based on cross-sectional, cohort, and case-control studies, by Chen et al. [26] showed that compared to children receiving sufficient sleep, children who have much shorter sleep duration also have an increased risk of obesity. Intriguingly, the group of children with the shortest sleep duration even had the highest risk of obesity. Similar results were found by Cappuccio et al. [15], who reported an increased risk of obesity for children with short sleep duration. Fatima et al. [25] showed in a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies that children and adolescents with short sleep double their risk to develop obesity compared with those who sleep longer with a stronger association for adolescents than for children. Miller et al. [36] showed in their meta-analysis on prospective studies that short sleep duration seems to be a risk factor or marker for the development of obesity in infants, children, and adolescents; however, studies per age group were rather small with three to eight studies per age group. Furthermore, the authors demonstrated that sleep duration was related to significant BMI changes. Li et al. [32] included only cohort studies in their meta-analysis and reported that short sleep duration increased the risk for obesity. To summarize, the existing systematic reviews and meta-analyses point towards an increased risk of weight gain/obesity in individuals with insufficient sleep.

Diabetes

We included two articles on diabetes combining a meta-analysis and systematic review in adults, which included 29 unique studies with 848,011 participants, and two systematic reviews in children and adolescents, which included 16 unique studies with 27,804 participants. The systematic review and meta-analysis on cohort studies of adults by Anothaisintawee et al. [14] reported a significantly small effect of sleep for diabetes. Comparable results were reported by Itani et al. [16], who found that a sleep duration 6 h per night was associated with a significant increase in diabetes.

No study directly assessed the association between sleep and diabetes in children and adolescents; however, Felsö et al. [30] verified in their systematic review of studies with mixed study designs that short sleep duration is related to the development of insulin resistance, sedentarism, and unhealthy dietary patterns. Similarly, Fobian et al. [29] reported a strong relationship between sleep and obesity, hypertension, and insulin sensitivity, and some evidence of a link between insulin resistance and sleep. There was no strong evidence of a relationship between glucose or insulin, lipids, or inflammation and sleep. It can therefore be concluded that short sleep seems to increase the risk to develop diabetes or health conditions that are related to diabetes, in all age groups.

Cardiovascular Disease

We included two articles on cardiovascular disease in adults (one systematic review, one study including a systematic review and meta-analysis), which included 56 unique studies with 1,260,193 participants, and three systematic reviews in children and adolescents, which included 32 unique studies with 51,855 participants. Aziz et al. [24] conclude that in cohort studies with adults, a relationship of sleep with increased subclinical cardiovascular disease burden; however, it has to be considered that mixed results were reported by the included studies. Itani et al. [16] were the only authors who conducted a meta-analysis and indicated a threshold of sleep duration, by stating that a sleep duration 6 h per night was associated with a significant increase in cardiovascular
diseases. Mixed findings for sleep and cardiometabolic markers in children and adolescents aged 5–17 years were shown by Chaput et al. [27] as some studies being included in their review found a positive association whereas others reported null findings. In another review from Chaput et al. [28] on children aged 0–4 years, the authors found no studies that investigated the association between sleep duration and cardiometabolic biomarkers. Fobian et al. [29] found in their systematic review on children, in which mixed study designs were included, the strongest evidence of an association with sleep for obesity, hypertension, and insulin sensitivity, and some evidence of a link between insulin resistance and sleep, whereas there was no strong evidence of a relationship between glucose or insulin, lipids, or inflammation and sleep.

In summary, although the existing reviews suggest a relationship between short sleep duration and cardiovascular disease, it seems to be rather small and complex. More research focusing on this complexity should therefore be conducted and compared in systematic reviews and/or meta-analyses.

### Cognitive Functioning

We included two articles on cognitive functioning in adults (one systematic review and one study including a systematic review and meta-analysis), which included 37 unique studies with 124,696 participants, and two systematic reviews in children and adolescents, which included 42 unique studies on cognitive functioning with 57,993 participants. Brewster et al. [20] included cross-sectional, prospective, and longitudinal studies in their systematic review and found mixed results with indications of a U-shaped relationship between sleep and cognitive functioning in older adults. The meta-analysis by Lo et al. [18], which included cross-sectional and prospective cohort studies, found a small effects size for the relationship between sleep duration and cognitive functioning. The effect differed depending on cognitive domains, as insufficient sleep was significantly associated with poorer performance in multiple-domain tasks, executive functioning, verbal memory, and memory capacity but not with speed of processing. Chaput et al. [27] included studies on children and adolescents and Chaput et al. [28] even investigated the relationship between sleep duration and cognitive development in children aged 0 to 4 years. Both reviews, including mixed study designs, found mixed results for the association between sleep duration and cognition, which is in line with the reviews on adults. Academic achievement, which is closely related to cognitive functioning, on the other hand, was found to be critically related to shortened sleep [27]. Interestingly, none of the reviews included a clear cut-off indicating sufficient sleep, which would allow drawing conclusions on the amount and period of insufficient sleep needed in order to significantly affect cognitive functioning. It can therefore be concluded that the relationship between insufficient sleep and cognitive performance seems to be small and complex as the results of the only meta-analysis highlight the need to carefully differentiate between different cognitive domains.

### Emotional Problems

We included one meta-analysis on emotional problems in adults that included two unique studies with 10,096 participants, and two systematic reviews in children and adolescents that included unique 81 studies with 554,978 participants. The study by Itani et al. [16] was the only meta-analysis on studies with adults that aimed to include depression as the outcome variable. However, the authors were unable to conduct the analysis as the amount of available evidence was too small. Therefore, no conclusion about the association between sleep and emotional problems in adults can be drawn. Based on 49 studies reporting that long sleep duration was associated with better emotion regulation, eleven studies with null findings and two studies with opposite findings, Chaput et al. [27] conclude that insufficient sleep is related to more emotion regulation problems in children and adolescents aged 5–17 years. A similar relationship was found in a review on younger children aged 0 to 4 years, which was based on 13 studies reporting a significant effect, ten studies reporting null findings, and two studies that found an association between longer sleep duration and poorer emotional regulation [28]. It remains an interesting research gap that no results from a systematic review or meta-analysis in adults were available and that the empirical evidence in children and adolescents is rather limited.

### General Health/Quality of Life

We included one systematic review on general health in adults that included five studies with 159,044 participants, and two systematic reviews on children and adolescents that included four studies with 151,834 participants. Concerning general health in adults, Frange et al. [17] found in their systematic review on mainly cross-sectional studies that sleep duration negatively affects self-reported health even when analyses were adjusted for possible confounders. However, as mentioned above, this systematic review was based on only five studies and should therefore be carefully interpreted.

Chaput et al. [27] conclude in their review on school-aged children and adolescents that an association between sleep duration and quality of life exists, whereas the evidence for the relationship between sleep duration and harm/injury was mixed. However, it has to be mentioned that the conclusion on quality of life could only be based on three studies and that all reported an effect and the conclusion on harm/injury was based on four studies, with one study reporting a positive effect, one study reporting no effect, and two studies reporting mixed results. In younger children, aged 0 to 4 years, the
review by Chaput et al. [28] found that growth, risk of injuries, and screen time are affected by shortened sleep, whereas results seem to be less clear for motor development, physical activity, and quality of life. This may at least partially be caused by the very limited number of studies on these topics, which makes it difficult to compare study results.

These results show that little research has been done investigating the relationship between insufficient sleep and general health, both in adults and children. This includes the number of studies that could be included in the reviews as well as the number of existing reviews with not one meta-analysis.

**Mortality**

We included three meta-analyses on the association between sleep and mortality in adults that included 62 studies with 3,374,285 unique participants. No systematic review/meta-analysis on children or adolescents was found. Based on the review of prospective cohort studies by Gallicchio and Kalesan [21], it can be concluded that short sleepers are at higher risk of mortality. Similar results from prospective cohort studies were reported by Itani et al. [16], who even report a higher risk of mortality. Similar results from prospective cohort studies (e.g., diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and obesity). Liu et al. [22] found in their meta-analysis on prospective cohort studies a J-shaped association between sleep duration over 24 h (including daytime sleep) and all-cause mortality. They reported that every hour of reduced sleep increased the risk of all-cause mortality. However, concerning night-sleep duration only, less than 7 h did not increase the risk of all-cause mortality.

**Study Quality**

Out of the 22 included reviews/meta-analyses, only 12 reported on the quality of the included studies (see Table 1 for a detailed overview). The results were heterogeneous, ranging from studies with very low to high quality. However, reviews used different assessment tools, to measure study quality. As these tools also focused on different aspects of study quality (e.g., study design, validity and reliability of measurements, follow-up measures), the reported results are difficult to compare. Still, we highly recommend including quality assessments in reviews/meta-analyses, as it helps to interpret the described results and conclusions.

**Subgroup Analyses and Health Disparities**

Literature indicates that socio-demographic variables, such as age, gender, income, educational level, and race/ethnicity, can influence the duration and quality of sleep. In order to assess health disparities, a meta-analysis rather than a systematic review is needed as this would allow an estimation of the exact effect for subgroups. In response to this, many of the included meta-analyses used these variables to control for possible confounding effect and to identify the pure effect of sleep duration and quality on health outcomes. In some cases, this led to including subgroup analyses based on age (e.g., [15, 16, 18, 22, 23, 25, 26, 32, 36]) and/or gender (e.g., [16, 18, 21–23, 26]), for which results have been described above. However, from the included meta-analyses, it is not possible to draw direct conclusions on health disparities between populations with different socio-demographic characteristics, such as race/ethnicity, income, and educational level, as health disparities were not the primary aim of the meta-analyses. It also has to be mentioned that studies often controlled for influential factors, meaning that the meta-analyses were using effects that were already controlled for possible confounding variables in the original studies.

**Discussion**

The results from our scoping review regarding the aim to provide an overview of chronic sleep reduction show that a clear definition of chronic sleep reduction is lacking. None of the included reviews/meta-analyses explicitly addressed the question of chronicity. Studies usually reported an average sleep duration or defined insufficient sleep on average (e.g., 7 h per night) and measured sleep duration with one single question (“e.g., how many hours do you usually sleep at night?”). Regarding the second and third aims of this scoping review, namely to summarize the effects of short sleep on daytime functioning and identify research gaps, the results showed that short sleep duration is related to obesity and diabetes. Relations were also demonstrated with CVD, cognitive functioning, emotional problems, general health, and mortality, but the effects were mostly small, the relations appeared complex (e.g., for CVD and cognitive functioning), and the numbers of studies were small or completely lacking (e.g., mortality in children/adolescents, and emotional problems in adults).

Although the lack of clearly defined criteria for chronicity in all studies seems surprising at first sight, the following research challenges may help to explain this result: most studies that were included in the reviews/meta-analyses had cross-sectional designs, in which participants were simply asked to indicate their average sleep duration. More complex or objective aspects of sleep were not included, and therefore, the systematic reviews or meta-analyses were unable to address the question of chronicity (e.g., time period during which insufficient sleep has to occur to affect functioning) or even the direction of the relations between sleep and other outcomes. Furthermore, it is striking that in many instances the original studies that were included in these reviews/meta-analyses did not report criteria for chronicity either. Considering the fact
that in experimental studies a dose-response relationship between sleep and performance has been demonstrated (e.g., [37]), this lack of definitions and reporting of the time period of sleep duration is an important omission in the current literature. In some reviews/meta-analyses, studies were included that measured sleep with sleep logs and/or actigraphy over 7 days, or used polysomnography. These measures of sleep duration may be more reliable than a single self-report item in a long questionnaire, but it is unclear how these measures relate to chronicity, meaning how sleep duration from for example polysomnography is related to usual sleep duration over a longer time period. Another important issue refers to the phenomenon of individual sleep need, which can be defined as the amount of sleep somebody needs without being influenced by previous sleep debt [38]. Individual sleep need was shown to range from 7.5 to 8.5 h/sleep per night [39] with an average sleep need of 8.16 [37] for adults, and 9.35 h for adolescents [40*], and has a genetic component with 31% to 55% heritability [41–43]. From this existing evidence, it can be concluded that the effects of sleep duration should be interpreted with reference to one's individual sleep need; however, this facet of sleep was not addressed in the included reviews/meta-analyses.

One attempt to overcome the problem of the influence of individual sleep need on optimal sleep duration has been provided by Meijer [44], who developed the “Chronic Sleep Reduction Questionnaire (CSRQ)” for adolescents [44, 45]. This questionnaire measures daytime problems rather than sleep directly and assumes that adolescents only score high on these problems if they experience less sleep than needed over a prolonged time period. Although this seems to be an interesting approach, also this questionnaire does not give an evidence-based rationale for the time period of 2 weeks, during which these daytime symptoms have to occur. In contrast, the DSM-5 defines that symptoms of insomnia must be present for at least 3 months to fulfill the criteria of the diagnosis “chronic insomnia” [5] similar to the ICSD-3 classification of “Chronic Insomnia Disorder” [46]. However, the DSM-5 also claims that individuals experience impairments in their daytime functioning [5], indirectly indicating that individuals sleep less than their individual sleep need. Although this idea is in line with the assumption of the CSRQ, based on the results of this scoping review, it remains unclear whether the CSRQ subscales (shortness of sleep, sleepiness, irritation, loss of energy) represent the best empirically supported categories. We found that especially physical health was related to insufficient sleep, whereas the evidence for the relationship between sleep and emotional or psychological wellbeing was too limited to draw clear conclusions (see discussion below). Furthermore, we did not find reviews on the effects of insufficient sleep on daytime sleepiness, one of the CSRQ subscales.

Concerning the outcomes of daytime functioning related to short sleep duration, the results of this scoping review show that most reviews addressed weight gain/obesity both in children/adolescents and in adults, and also found the most robust association, indicating that insufficient sleep is related to weight gain and/or even obesity. Some reviews attempted to identify possible mediators (e.g., dietary, physical activity, screen time) that may play a role within this association. Although no strong evidence of these factors could be confirmed by the reviews so far, the existing empirical evidence is limited and future studies should further investigate their role in order to understand the complexity of the effects of insufficient sleep. The effects on diabetes and CVD seem to be less stable, as especially mixed results were found for the relationship between sleep and the latter. Mortality and general health seem to be related to sleep reduction; however, again the available empirical evidence is rather limited and reviews were often based on the results of only a few studies. Moreover, the largest body of literature consists of large cross-sectional studies that is limited for causal inference, and mostly used single-item self or parent report of sleep duration. The limitations of these methods have been outlined above, and results should be interpreted with caution regarding direction of the risk, and validity of the measurements.

Although the literature often postulates that sleep affects cognitive functioning [47–49], our review points towards mixed results and rather small effect sizes. This may especially be caused by the finding that sleep seems to affect certain aspects of cognitive functioning (e.g., multiple-domain tasks, executive functioning, verbal memory, memory capacity), which refer to higher order cognitive functioning, whereas more basic cognitive aspects (e.g., speed of processing) seem to be unaffected. This finding is in line with other reviews that found sleep to be related to some, but not all areas of cognitive functioning [50, 51] (these reviews were not included in this scoping review as they did not meet the inclusion criteria). Reynoud et al. [50] furthermore found more significant effects for studies with larger sample sizes, which supports the idea that effect sizes seem to be rather small and large sample sizes are needed to detect effects and to ensure sufficient statistical power. Interestingly, also academic achievement, which requires cognitive functioning, seems to be related to shortened sleep. This finding was also supported by two meta-analyses in children and adolescents [52, 53]; however, it has to be mentioned that also these meta-analyses reported small effect sizes, indicating that additional factors seem to play an important role. We highly recommend focusing future research on such mediators within the long-term relationship between sleep and cognitive functioning and/or academic achievement. Surprisingly little evidence was found for the relationship between sleep and emotional problems, although experimental studies and clinical groups point towards an interplay between sleep problems, mood, and psychiatric problems [54]. It was
even shown that sleep problems are already present prior to the development or recurrence of depression [55] and Beatti et al. [56] conclude in their systematic review that sleep deprivation affects emotion recognition, measured with experimental paradigms. These effects were not only seen on a behavioral or emotional level but also affected brain activity [56], pointing towards underlying neural correlates. The present scoping review therefore identifies an important research gap in the area of sleep and emotional problems in healthy samples, which should be addressed in future studies, optimally in (prospective) cohort or longitudinal study designs.

Overall, the study quality of included studies was rather low for all outcome variables. Therefore, more high-quality research should be conducted in the future in order to draw valid conclusions about the effects of insufficient sleep on daytime functioning. The finding that the majority of reviews/meta-analysis investigated weight gain/obesity, whereas cognitive functioning, for example, appeared in much fewer numbers, especially if compared in numbers of participants that were included in the studies, could be partially due to practical and financial constraints that are inherent to measuring cognitive functioning in large cohorts. However, the inherent complexity of measuring cognitive functioning could be argued to be present as well in measuring sleep. As discussed above, sleep duration in most reviews/meta-analyses was measured with single-item questions, which disregards chronicity, quality, variability, fragmentation, timing, and individual sleep need. Moreover, measuring weight gain/obesity requires more than a single item on a questionnaire and can be regarded as a somewhat labor-intensive measure. It seems that especially in larger cohort studies that adopt such single-item questions on sleep duration, this complexity has been underestimated. It is therefore highly recommended to adjust the method of sleep measurement in epidemiological studies, which is in concurrence with previous recommendations [57, 58].

Limitations

The following limitations should be mentioned: (1) there is a big heterogeneity not only between original studies but also between the included systematic reviews and meta-analyses, which makes it difficult to directly compare the results; (2) many studies measure sleep duration with one single item, which may lead to biased results; (3) the study quality of most studies was rather low; (4) as we included only previous systematic reviews or meta-analyses rather than original studies, lacking evidence on some outcome domains (e.g., behavioral problems, emotional problems) may either result from a small number of original studies, representing a research gap in this area, or from a lack of reviews on this topic; (5) the results clearly demonstrate a lack of definitions for chronicity of insufficient sleep and it was therefore impossible to provide a definition of chronic sleep reduction, which (as stated in the introduction) is highly needed for future research and clinical practice.

Future Directions and Research Agenda

We highly recommend more studies that aim to define the chronicity of insufficient sleep. This first attempt should be to experimentally clarify the dose-response relationship between insufficient sleep and outcome variables, followed by more naturalistic, quasi-experimental studies in individuals’ daily life and natural environment. Additionally, influential factors, including mediating, and moderating effects, and health disparities between different socio-demographic populations, should be assessed. We also recommend focusing more on resilience and protective factors that may buffer the negative effects of insufficient sleep. More knowledge on these topics is highly relevant for future prevention and intervention studies that aim to improve daytime functioning and physical and/or psychological health by improving individuals’ sleep. Regarding outcomes, we recommend further research on areas that have been demonstrated to be affected by sleep reduction in experimental research, but are largely lacking in cohort studies, such as emotional and behavioral problems, and cognitive functioning. Furthermore, research should focus to develop more specific and clear evidence-based guidelines on chronic sleep reduction and its effects, and develop validated measures that can replace the existing methods in larger epidemiological or cohort studies. Such guidelines would be helpful for future research, as they would help to make studies more comparable and guide clinical practitioners.
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