Horticultural therapy in a psychiatric in-patient setting
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In-patient mental health services have a duty to constantly seek to improve patient experience and to assist in the development of new skills that can aid recovery. Horticultural therapy can be implemented in an economic, social and environmentally sustainable way to achieve those goals.

In the UK, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) is a public body of the Department of Health that provides national guidance and advice on matters relating to health and social care. NICE publishes guidance, advice, quality standards and information services for health, public health and social care. Quality standards are documents that set out the priority areas for quality improvement. In 2011 and 2012 NICE published quality standards on service user experience in adult mental health services (NICE, 2011) and on patient experience in adult care in the National Health Service (NHS) (NICE, 2012). Among other points, these documents stress the importance of access to meaningful activities for people in hospital for mental healthcare that should include creative and leisure activities, exercise, self-care and community access where appropriate, facilitated by trained health or social care professionals (NICE, 2011), as well as of effective interactions with staff (NICE, 2012).

Although the findings cannot be generalised to the wider population, as a whole they suggest that gardens or other green spaces should be included within healthcare plans. In conclusion, while we acknowledge that there are a range of considerations in the allocation of healthcare resources and programmes for maximum benefit, we believe that those programmes which highlight the beneficial outcomes for people with mental illness of ‘feeling blue and touching green’ are worth implementing.
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Studies of the use of green spaces in mental healthcare

Population studies have pointed to a positive impact of green spaces, with a cumulative association between access to and quality of green spaces and lower scores on measures of psychological distress (Pope et al., 2015), the major determinants being accessibility of the green space, having sufficient green spaces in the neighbourhood and their use for relaxation and for recreation. Similarly, van den Berg et al. (2016) have shown a positive association between time in green spaces and better scores on mental health and vitality scales. Nutsford et al. (2013) suggested that green spaces are beneficial to mental health, in particular in the case of anxiety and mood disorders, both through people’s participation in activities in usable green spaces near to home and in terms of the proportion of usable green spaces in a neighbourhood. Similar positive effects on general health were found by Dadvand et al. (2016). Leck et al. (2015) looked at the impact of ‘care farms’ and found that measures of well-being were positively affected by attendance at these, with the health benefits mediated by the farm environment and social interactions becoming increasingly influential the longer the participants attended the care farm.

There is additional evidence suggesting that several therapeutic goals, such as interaction levels and self-esteem, can be achieved by gardening activities (Rappe et al., 2009), with the recognition of the promotion of well-being (Webber et al., 2015). Specifically relevant for an in-patient population is a study that identified the benefits of bringing horticultural therapy into patient spaces in a population with dementia (Lee & Kim, 2008). Ward garden spaces provide opportunity for graded participation in a number of green activities (Parkinson et al., 2011). A great benefit of these is social inclusion, identified by Caan (2004) as a way to provide a common identity. The psychological benefits of indoor plants in a population of office workers were critically reviewed by Bringslimark et al. (2009), with the recognition of the impact on outcomes such as psychophysiological stress.

The Cavell Centre’s horticultural therapy model

The Cavell Centre in Peterborough is one of the main mental health centres in the east of England. Among other clinical services, it lodges five adult mental health in-patient wards catering predominantly for patients, both voluntary and detained, from north Cambridgeshire and the Fenlands who are experiencing an acute deterioration in their mental health. With the awareness of promising outcomes in a variety of studies and of the aforementioned recommendations from NICE, the local acute adult mental health pathway team developed with the available resources a horticultural therapy project for the acute in-patient general adult psychiatry wards. Here we describe our pathways for horticulture therapy, the outcomes and challenges.

Pathways

Our in-patient wards have different pathways for horticulture therapy:

- plant in your room
- on-ward gardening (individual or in a group)
- on-site garden
- off-site community allotment
- volunteer community gardening
- paid gardening.

These pathways are underpinned by our model of practice: the Model Of Human Occupation (MOHO; Kielhofner, 2008). Our therapists work to improve the patient’s occupational performance skills. One of the core themes of this model is that humans are occupational beings and need to ‘do’, in the sense that there is a need to participate in tasks that offer a sense of self-worth. This model looks at behaviour from three key areas: volition, habituation and performance. The aim is to deliver meaningful and productive activities that can enable patients to develop their horticultural skills, and to do so within the framework of the triple bottom line of economic, social and environmental sustainability (Elkington, 1994).

Outcomes

As a proxy measure of how well received the project is, we rely on the average number of participants per week. Participation is on a voluntary basis. We also rely on qualitative statements from participants to review the role of the horticultural therapy project in their recovery and make adjustments as necessary, acting on that feedback.

Recent feedback surveys from the off-site allotment group revealed that 83% of 75 respondents (from a total of 27 documented allotment sessions) rated the group as ‘helpful’, ‘mostly helpful’ or ‘extremely helpful’. Additional benefits informally reported to the therapy team included a reduction in anxiety and in restlessness, and a feeling of general well-being.

Some comments made by patients concerning the project are quoted below:

- Getting off the ward and focusing on something else.
- Perfect practice for when you go home.
- Thinking about work and looking forward to getting out of here.
- Helping out with the garden and being productive.
- Beautiful flowers, beautiful garden, beautiful day, beautiful people.

The project contributes to a better experience of the people admitted to our wards at a particularly difficult period of their lives. It also has other associated gains. It strives to be self-sufficient. This includes less purchasing of essential resources,
reduced travel times and having a low carbon footprint. Produce harvested provides ingredients for cooking sessions, which in turn give an opportunity to teach basic cooking skills.

**Challenges and limitations**

We have encountered different challenges that we tried to address through better multidisciplinary work within the ward teams and communication with the in-patients. These include professionals overestimating the abilities or misinterpreting the skill set required for participation, the need for one-to-one input while in a group setting when there is only one facilitator available, the absence of appropriate clothing and footwear, and reduced motivation of some patients, leading to low participation rates at times.

Another limitation is the level of psychiatric disorder in our in-patient population, sometimes incompatible with participation in horticultural therapy due to factors such as reduced concentration, reduced executive function, inability to sustain activity for prolonged periods, reduced strength or stamina, risk of aggression and of abscondion, as well as, at times, intentional or unintentional destruction of the task environment.

The project originally started as a tentative small occupational therapy group running off the ward. Given that horticultural therapy is one of the aspects of treatment on offer among a plethora of intensive interventions available in an in-patient unit, it becomes difficult to measure the particular impact of this specific intervention.

Finally, time limitations and weather can also affect the ability to complete necessary tasks, such as digging over an entire allotment ready for spring.

**Conclusion**

The horticultural groups have a positive impact on the care provided, and contribute to the achievement of good vocational and educational outcomes. Patients can work vigorously and energetically or take a steadier approach. Our patients report that the project helps and supports the wider in-patient community, and describe pride and a sense of achievement when returning from these groups. Meaningful and purposeful goals are beneficial to all involved, although doing things just for the pleasure of it is an often-understated gain. Social interaction takes place in all the pathways – with services, peers or members of the public. This helps to tackle social isolation and increase social inclusion, contributing to the individual's recovery.
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**Global Echoes**

BJPsych International would like to encourage submissions from medical students, foundation doctors and psychiatry trainees. Those who are beginning their careers in mental health are often involved in high-quality projects or have diverse training and clinical backgrounds that would be stimulating for our readers to discover. They represent a valuable source of knowledge that can help all professionals to keep abreast of what is happening in the field around the world. We would like to receive submissions in the following areas, with a focus on international mental health work: brief literature reviews on mental health policy or services; reports of elective projects in psychiatry or other experiences of working or volunteering abroad; reflective or descriptive pieces about work undertaken or experiences or challenges encountered in working around the world, or in carrying out research in challenging contexts.

Submissions should be between 500 and 1500 words and original pieces. Email ip@rcpsych.ac.uk. Submissions will undergo peer review. See the online BJPsych International guidelines on format and style (http://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/usefulresources/publications/journals/submitpapers.aspx).