Use of Agro-Energy Waste as Agricultural Inputs: Impact on Soil Bacterial Diversity
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Abstract: Waste reuse is a very interesting option from an economic, environmental, and often a social point of view. However, it is important to assess the impact of their use on soil characteristics to optimize proper dosages. Because microbial diversity can be used as one of the indicators of soil quality; we aimed to evaluate soil bacterial diversity after using combinations of gravel (waste from drilling in the petroleum industry) and Crambe press-cake (a by-product of biodiesel production) in haplic planosol cultivated with sunflowers (Helianthus annus l.) using a culture-independent technique, Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE). Analysis of variance showed that the use of gravel and Crambe press cake at doses tested in haplic planosol did not significantly affect soil microbial diversity. This wastes had no significant effect on the number of bands obtained using DGGE. Besides, dynamics of the bacterial population did not follow any other parameter, such as chemical parameters, evaluated in the study, confirming that changes in the chemical characteristics of the soil due to the application of the wastes did not affect bacterial diversity. The results indicate that the use of these wastes is environmentally safe and that higher sodium content did not affect soil bacteria diversity.
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Introduction: Brazil’s oil reserves have increased substantially with the discovery of pre-salt oil deposits. In addition to the pollutants emitted when petroleum is used, the industry produces gaseous and liquid effluents as well as solid wastes that can be harmful to the environment and to public health. Gravel from drilling is prominent among the wastes produced by oil well operations. The amount generated may vary in exploratory wells from 200 to 1000 tons, and its significant polluting potential depends on the drilling fluid used (Dias et al., 2004).

Drilling fluids are mixtures of different components used when wells are drilled, including barium (Ba) and sodium (Na), which are the most significant, along with hydrocarbons and heavy metals in some cases (Fam and Dusseault, 1998). However, preliminary studies have shown that the presence of Na and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in gravel mainly limit its use in agriculture. Ba has been exonerated as a contaminant because of its low bioavailability in the form of barium sulfate (Magalhaes et al., 2012).

The disposal of gravel and its uses in soil remain to be studied in detail. However, Freitas et al. (2015) have shown that if the problems related to possible contaminants are overcome, gravel may be used to improve soil chemical properties, with consequent improvements in soil characteristics related to fertility and soil physics.
Seeking alternatives to using fossil fuels is a worldwide trend. Initiatives related to the use of ethanol and biodiesel are attracting global attention by offering economically and ecologically viable alternatives to fossil fuels. Agriculture-derived energy is less polluting and cheaper and represents the second most important source of primary energy in Brazil. The production of vegetable oil, the raw material for biodiesel production, generates by-products. The long-term accumulation of these organic wastes without adequate treatment creates environmental and health risks. However, Petrobras developed a new technology for manufacturing biodiesel, which produces this fuel directly from seeds (of any oleaginous plant) without the need to extract the oil in a previous step (Freitas, 2009; Presotto, 2014). Both processes generate a residue called press cake.

Using waste from the agro-energy and petroleum industries in agriculture helps decrease losses from improper disposal. Considering agriculture, the main advantage of using by-products depends on the nutrients they comprise and/or benefits linked to their organic content, which can maintain or even increase organic matter content in soil and correct soil acidity (Marciano et al., 2001; Pires et al., 2008). However, this strategy should be seriously treated, and studies monitoring its impact should be conducted to prevent the occurrence of larger problems (Oliveira, 2008). Crame abyssinica is an alternative winter crop for biodiesel production. One of its by-products is press cake, which can be used as a protein source in ruminant feed (Silva, 2013). Oil crop press cakes, such as Crambe press cake, exhibit a potential use as a fertilizer; however, studies related to this usage are still scarce. In this study, Crambe press cake was used to assess whether its addition might attenuate salinity rates produced by gravel.

Araújo and Monteiro (2007) have defined soil quality as its ability to function within the ecosystem to sustain biological productivity, maintain environmental quality, and promote the health of plants and animals. Evaluation of physical, chemical, and biological processes or properties is essential for soil quality analysis. These properties together are known as indicators of soil quality. Biological indicators are related to biomass and microbial diversity, nutrient mineralization, and soil respiration. Microorganisms are the base of the food chain and are intrinsically associated with various soil ecological processes. Microbial diversity has been found to be an important indicator of soil quality (Zilli et al., 2003).

Microbial structural diversity is currently being studied using methods based on the investigation of partial environmental DNA sequences, particularly the 16S rDNA gene for bacteria and the 18S rDNA gene for fungi. The sequences are amplified using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and subsequently characterized by cloning and sequencing or analyzed by electrophoresis using ARDRA, T-RFLP, RAPD, RISA, DGGE/TGGE, and SSCP methods to obtain microbial community profiles. This type of analyses has become very popular to help identify unknown bacteria (Elsas and Smalla, 1995; Derakshani et al., 2001; Torsvik and Ovreas, 2002).

Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) was first used by Muyzer et al. (1993) to assess microbial diversity. PCR products are separated in polyacrylamide gels containing a denaturant gradient with increasing concentrations of formamide and urea. The separation of similar-sized fragments with different nucleotide composition is based on the electrophoretic mobility of the partially denatured DNA molecules in the polyacrylamide gels. Variations in the nucleotide composition of the different DNA fragments determine their migration behavior in the gel, causing fragments with different compositions to stop at different positions in the gel. Migration of the DNA fragments in DGGE is determined not only by nucleotide composition but also by the interactions between these nucleotides within the molecule (Breslauer et al., 1986). DGGE enables the investigation of microbial communities in the soil and can indicate changes in the composition of this community resulting from anthropogenic activities (Ferreira, 2009).

Development of strategies to reuse wastes generated by the agro-energy and petroleum industries is crucial. In particular, this is relevant if the waste reuse involves improving soil quality of oil crops areas to produce biofuels. However, data in the field is still lacking. Thus, we aimed to evaluate the impact of waste application, such as gravel obtained from drilling oil wells and press cake resulting from pressing Crame abyssinica, on bacterial diversity in soil cultivated with sunflowers.

Materials and Methods

Experimental setup and activities in the greenhouse

The experiment was conducted in the greenhouse of the Soils Department in the Agronomy Institute of the Rural Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

The experimental design was factorial (3 × 3) and composed of three doses of gravel (0, 30, and 60 Mg ha−1) and Crambe press cake (0, 16, and 32 Mg ha−1) in a completely randomized design. In these soils, sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) seedlings were sown, summing up to nine plots or experimental units used in this study.

Each plot consisted of 8 dm−3 soil along with the
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respectively. The treatments were mixed with the soil in a concrete mixer for 5 min; the resulting mixture was placed into pots, and water was added until 70% of field capacity was attained. Forty-two days after the treatments were mixed, the seeds were sown in each plot. After germination, the two most vigorous plants were chosen from each plot, and these were grown for 45 days in the greenhouse. After the plants were cultivated, a sample of soil was collected from each plot and stored at −20°C until analysis.

The soil used in this assay was collected from the surface layer (0–20 cm) of haplic planosol (EMBRAPA, 2013) located in the municipality of Seropédica, RJ. After collection, the soil was dried in shade and sieved through a 4-mm mesh. To calculate the soil depth, a soil depth of 0.2 m was considered. This soil is composed of 596, 132, and 272 mg kg−1 of sand, silt, and clay, respectively.

Table 3: Error! Reference source not found. presents the concentrations of nutrients (N, P, K+, Ca2+, Mg2+, Fe, Cu, Mn, and Zn) in the Crambe press cake and gravel used in the experiment and the level of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in the gravel.

Direct DNA extraction from soil
Total extraction of the DNA from soil was performed using the PowerMax™ Soil DNA Isolation kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc.), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were stored at −20°C, and DNA quantity and quality were evaluated by electrophoresis using 0.8% agarose gel combined with SYBR Safe DNA Gel Stain (Invitrogen).

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) of the 16S rDNA region of bacteria
To increase sensitivity and facilitate the examination of similar-sized fragments, nested PCR was used. The first PCR used 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas), 1× reaction buffer, 200 µM of each dNTP, 3.0 mM of MgCl2, and 0.5 µM of 27f primer (Suzuki and Giovannoni, 1996) and 1512r primer (Kane et al., 1993). The amplifications were conducted as follows: 5 min initial denaturation at 94°C, 30 cycles at 94°C for 60 s, 55°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 60 s, followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products (5 µL) were separated by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gel combined with SYBR Safe DNA Gel Stain (Invitrogen).

Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE)
Products of the second PCR were evaluated using 8% polyacrylamide gel with a concentration gradient ranging from 44% to 60% defined from a mixture of urea and deionized formamide solutions. Electrophoresis was performed at 70 V and 60°C for 16 h using a DcodeTM Universal Mutation Detection System device (Bio-Rad, Richmond, USA). The gels were photographed and the images were analyzed using BioNumerics software (Applied Maths, St-Martens-Latem). Differences were determined using the Dice coefficient, and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean algorithm was used for the grouping analysis. Richness represents the number of bands in the DGGE gel, which refers to the operational taxonomic units (OTU).

Recovery of DGGE bands and analysis of sequences
Some bands were selected for identification by sequencing. They were excised from the gel using a sterilized scalpel blade, and the DNA was eluted in 10 µL of ultrapure water for 16 h at 4°C. After incubation, this material was centrifuged for 1 min at 124 × g, and an aliquot of 2 µL was used for amplification by PCR using the 338f/518r primers. The amplifications were conducted as follows: 5 min initial denaturation at 94°C, 30 cycles at 94°C for 60 s, 55°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 60 s, followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and then sequenced using the ABI Applied Biosystems 3130xl sequencer at the genomic sciences and biotechnology laboratory of the Catholic University of Brasilia. Both sequences will be sequenced to ensure fidelity and will be analyzed in the Sequence Bioedit Alignment Editor program. The sequences will be subjected to the BLASTn algorithm, allowing comparison with nucleotide sequences stored in the NCBI database (GenBank: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and inference of species.

Statistical analysis
Analysis of variance was performed for data on the number of gel bands in the DGGE gel using the F-test for each factor (Crambe press cake, gravel, and interaction). The Pearson correlation coefficient between the number of bands and the other variables was also obtained. Statistical analyses were performed using the SAS program (SAS Institute, Inc. 2010).

Results and Discussion
DNA extracted from the soil samples had a high molecular weight and proved suitable for use as a template for PCR. The bacterial primers amplified regions of the 16S rDNA gene from the 27th (27F) to the 1512th (1512R) position, whereas 338 (GC-338F)
and 518 (518R) were relative to the rDNA nucleotide sequence of Escherichia coli, generating fragments of 1500 and 198 bp, respectively. Amplicons of approximately 198 bp produced using nested PCR were subjected to DGGE (Fig. 1A). The migration profile in the DGGE gel revealed DNA fragments of the same size but different base sequences (OTUs). This offered an overview of the bacterial community structure in nine samples of haplic planosol treated with different wastes from the agro-energy industry. It was observed from the duplicates that the profile obtained for each sample is reproducible with only minor differences.

Some bands, represented by solid arrows in the gel (Fig. 1A), were present in all the samples, suggesting that some bacteria remained in the soil regardless of the treatment. However, bands at various positions within the DGGE profiles (as shown by the dotted arrows) indicated different OTUs (of individuals and/or communities) and may be related to soil management, such as the addition of by-products.

Considering the richness values, sample 30/32 (30 Mg ha−1 gravel and 32 Mg ha−1 Crambe press cake) showed the highest number of OTUs (27.5), indicating that this combination presented the greatest bacterial diversity. Table 1 presents the average of the sum of the number of bands (OTUs) in each sample (richness value) in both DGGE gels analyzed, enabling the analysis of bacterial diversity dynamics. Bacterial richness increased when 16 Mg ha−1 and 32 Mg ha−1 Crambe press cakes were used without gravel or when combined with 30 Mg ha−1 gravel. However, combining Crambe press cake with 60 Mg ha−1 gravel compromised diversity because a decrease in the number of OTUs was observed. However, analysis of variance for the richness values (number of OTUs) from the DGGE revealed no significant effect from varying doses of gravel (P = 0.68331), Crambe press cake (P = 0.4937), and both gravel/Crambe press cake). This variation did not follow the dynamics of the samples. These data are important to determine whether bacterial species or communities in waste-treated soils were affected.

DGGE results demonstrated that gravel and Crambe press cake, at the doses tested in haplic planosol, did not significantly affect soil microbial diversity because no significant effect on the number of bands (OTUs) was observed. This indicates that adding gravel and Crambe press cake had no or a very little impact on bacterial diversity. This information is important because it contradicts the hypothesis that large amounts of gravel compromise the diversity of bacterial population and consequently soil quality.

Although the levels of gravel and Crambe press cake did not significantly affect bacterial richness, we observed a difference in bacterial community structure according to the treatment based on the presence of different amplicons (OTUs) in the DGGE profiles for each sample tested (Fig. 1A). Microbial population behavior depends on the quality and the quantity of wastes added to the soil. This may have an impact on the dynamic balance of populations in the soil bacterial community, which might be modified. Moreover, there were bands present in all treatments. It is possible that these bacteria were established in this environment prior to the experiment. Communities of microorganisms pre-established in an environment cannot be considered as bioindicators of soil quality because they do not reflect impacts from the application of the gravel.

In addition to the number of OTUs, similarity between treatments was determined using the similarity matrix generated by the Jaccard coefficient to creating a dendrogram, forming groups with <60% similarity up to a group with 78% similarity (Fig. 2). Two large groups were obtained. The first group contained the following samples: 0/0, 0/16, 0/32, 30/0, and 30/16 (Mg ha−1 gravel/Crambe press cake), and the second group contained the following samples: 30/32, 60/0, 60/16, and 60/32 (Mg ha−1 gravel/Crambe press cake). Dendrogram analysis revealed that samples that received 60 Mg ha−1 gravel belonged to the same group because they displayed the same bacterial profile. This result indicates that although the addition of gravel did not directly increase bacterial diversity, a specific bacterial population was favored. These bacteria were also present in the sample with the greatest diversity.

Nineteen bands were excised from the DGGE gel and sequenced to obtain information on some of the bacterial populations present in all the treatments and those favored by only some treatments. The positions of the sequenced bands are shown in Fig. 1B. Table 2 shows the results of sequencing, i.e., the similarity values compared with the related sequences available in Genbank. However, it was possible to identify the genus Virgibacillus sp. and Bacillus sp in only 2 of the 19 selected bands. The others exhibited similarity to non-cultivable bacteria. The search for bacteria in the soil has been effective in expanding the knowledge about their diversity, enabling new species discoveries and reports and opening up the possibility of future utilization of these species for various purposes (Kirk et al., 2004). An important result of this study was the sequencing of the DGGE gel bands and identification of the bacterial species in the samples. These data are important to determine whether bacterial species or communities in waste-treated soils were affected.

Table 1 shows the levels of parameters, such as Na, potassium, calcium, and magnesium, increased with increased doses of gravel and Crambe press cake. This variation did not follow the dynamics of bacterial diversity. Furthermore, the correlation between the number of OTUs obtained using DGGE
and other variables in the experiment was analyzed. The P-value of the comparison was pH × OTU = 0.337271; Na × OTU = 0.555242; K × OTU = 0.263779; Ca × OTU = 0.399176; Mg × OTU = 0.240598; press cake × OTU = 0.091258; gravel × OTU = 0.603871. The results showed no significant correlation between these factors and the number of OTUs. These results confirm that changes in chemical characteristics of the soil due to waste application did not affect bacterial diversity.

In conclusion, in this study, bacterial diversity in the different treatments was not negatively affected on applying gravels and Crambe press-cake to the soil, which indicates that the use of this waste is environmentally safe. Besides, the higher sodium content did not seem to affect the bacteria in the soil. The understanding of these dynamics and measurement of the effects of this waste in soil may contribute to the management of gravel and press cake, enabling their reuse in a safe and responsible manner.
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Table 1. Chemical characteristics and number of OTUs (Operational Taxonomic Units) in soils treated with different combinations of Crambe press cake and gravel after 60 days

| Gravel | Crambe presscake | pH† | Na+   | K+    | Ca2+  | Mg2+  | Average numbers of OTUs‡ |
|--------|------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------|
| 0      | 0                | 4.85| 0.09  | 0.41  | 3.97  | 3.70  | 21.50                    |
| 0      | 16               | 5.91| 0.19  | 3.25  | 5.90  | 5.33  | 24.00                    |
| 0      | 32               | 5.06| 0.39  | 6.38  | 8.63  | 7.87  | 22.50                    |
| 30     | 0                | 5.73| 28.93 | 0.61  | 16.73 | 7.43  | 20.50                    |
| 30     | 16               | 5.96| 29.24 | 3.02  | 19.57 | 6.93  | 25.00                    |
| 30     | 32               | 5.99| 40.23 | 7.10  | 23.90 | 12.43 | 27.50                    |
| 60     | 0                | 6.11| 51.89 | 1.39  | 27.57 | 9.47  | 24.00                    |
| 60     | 16               | 6.19| 68.21 | 3.74  | 29.93 | 10.27 | 20.00                    |
| 60     | 32               | 6.24| 56.55 | 6.06  | 34.80 | 13.77 | 21.50                    |

† pH in water. ‡ Average number of bands (operational taxonomic units) in the two DGGE gels

Table 2. Sequence analysis of bands excised from DGGE gel from samples of haplic planosol treated with combinations of energy industry wastes

| Identification of excised band | Closest relative | Identity | Accession no. |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|---------------|
| S1                            | Uncultured bacterium isolate | 94% | EF366387.1 |
| S2                            | Uncultured bacterium clone | 92% | JF194885.1 |
| S3                            | Virgibacillus sp. | 87% | KJ584890.1 |
| S4                            | Uncultured bacterium clone | 92% | KF096722.1 |
| S5                            | Uncultured microorganism clone | 90% | JN915056.1 |
| S6                            | Uncultured bacterium clone | 88% | KF110654.1 |
| S7                            | Uncultured bacterium clone | 85% | JF163311.1 |
| S8                            | Uncultured bacterium clone | 91% | JF162119.1 |
| S9                            | Uncultured bacterium clone | 97% | JF162243.1 |
| S10                           | Uncultured bacterium clone | 91% | JF114851.1 |
| S11                           | Uncultured microorganism clone | 91% | JN915084.1 |
| S12                           | Uncultured bacterium clone | 97% | JF143760.1 |
| S13                           | Uncultured bacterium clone | 94% | JF427841.1 |
| S14                           | Uncultured bacterium clone | 98% | JF122902.1 |
| S15                           | Uncultured bacterium clone | 94% | JF109824.1 |
| S16                           | Uncultured bacterium clone | 94% | JF192610.1 |
| S17                           | Uncultured bacterium clone | 99% | KF092445.1 |
| S18                           | Uncultured bacterium clone | 86% | JF165298.1 |
| S19                           | Bacillus sp. | 89% | JX274439.3 |

Table 3. Chemical characterization of Crambe presscake and gravel

|                      | N  | P  | K+ | Ca2+ | Mg2+ | Na+ | Fe  | Cu  | Mn  | Zn  | PHCs‡ |
|----------------------|----|----|----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| pH†‡                 |    |    |    |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Crambe presscake     | 5.12 | 38.9 | 3.12 | 8.7  | 8.6  | 3.1 | 0.0 | 140 | 5.4 | 22.1 | 44.5  |
| Gravel               | 6.83 | 1.77 | 0.56 | 3.3  | 31.8 | 15.2 | 38.5 | 30.053 | 40.4 | 646 | 67.2  | 20.27 |
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Figures

Fig. 1. A: Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of amplicons produced using nested PCR with CG338F/518R primers: 1 = 0/0; 2 = 16/0; 3 = 32/0; 4 = 0/30; 5 = 16/30; 6 = 32/30; 7 = 0/60; 8 = 16/60; and 9 = 32/60. B: Identification of the sequenced bands.

Fig. 2. Genetic similarity dendrogram obtained by the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean clustering method, and the pattern of amplicons corresponding to the 16S rDNA gene obtained using PCR-DGGE from soil samples treated with different combinations of gravel and Crambe press cake. Soil samples treated with 0/0, 0/16, 0/32, 30/0, 30/16, 30/32, 60/0, 60/16, and 60/32 Mg ha⁻¹ of gravel/Crambe press cake.