Molecular neural crest cell markers enable discrimination of organophosphates in the murine cardiac embryonic stem cell test
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A B S T R A C T

The cardiac embryonic stem cell test (ESTc) originally used the differentiation of beating cardiomyocytes for embryotoxicity screenings of compounds. However, the ESTc consists of a heterogeneous cell population, including neural crest (NC) cells, which are important contributors to heart development in vivo. Molecular markers for NC cells were investigated to explore if this approach improved discrimination between structurally related chemicals, using the three organophosphates (OP): chlorpyrifos (CPF), malathion (MLT), and triphenyl phosphate (TPP). To decrease the test duration and to improve the objective quantification of the assay read-out, gene transcript biomarkers were measured on study day 4 instead of the traditional cardiomyocyte beating assessment at day 10. Gene expression profiling and immunocytochemistry were performed using markers for pluripotency, proliferation and cardiomyocyte and NC differentiation. Cell proliferation was also assessed by measurements of embryoid body (EB) size and total protein quantification (day 7). Exposure to the OPs resulted in similar patterns of inhibition of beating cardiomyocyte differentiation and of myosin protein expression on day 10. However, these three chemically related compounds induced distinctive effects on NC cell differentiation, indicated by changes in expression levels of the NC precursor (Msx2), NC marker (Ap2a), and epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT; Snai2) gene transcripts. This study shows that investigating NC markers can provide added value for ESTc outcome profiling and may enhance the applicability of this assay for the screening of structurally related test chemicals.

1. Introduction

European legislation for chemical safety necessitates extensive in vivo toxicity testing requiring large amounts of laboratory animals. The field of developmental toxicology has been estimated to demand a large part (approximately 65%) of all test animals in Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) and therefore is a focal area for the development of animal alternatives [1,2]. The cardiac Embryonic Stem cell Test (ESTc) is an often studied animal-free model in developmental toxicology. Originally the ESTc uses the differentiation to beating cardiomyocytes as a screening tool to identify embryotoxic compounds and has been validated by the European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods (ECVAM) [3]. However, this assay is known to be limited in various aspects. Therefore, refining this assay could make it more useful as part of a chemical hazard testing and profiling paradigm.

One of the limitations is the 10-day duration of the test restricting the possibility to use it as a high-throughput screening tool. In addition, the read-out of the test is rather subjective i.e. scoring embryoid bodies (EBs) for beating cardiomyocytes by human eye, using a light microscope. To reduce test duration and to improve the objective quantification of the test results, it is necessary to incorporate alternative and more accurate technologies, such as quantification of the expression of a pre-determined gene transcript set. Quantifying the read-out can improve effect assessment and provide potency rankings between similar chemical structures within the same compound class.

A second limitation is that the standard ESTc protocol only considers beating cardiomyocyte differentiation, effectively ignoring potential
effects on any other cell types also present. Neural crest (NC) cell presence in the heterogeneous ESTc population has been demonstrated previously in our laboratory, using specific NC markers [4]. By extending the investigations into this cell population within the ESTc, the biological domain of this assay can be better defined and employed. This may enhance the detection of potential embryo-toxictants that do not affect cardiomyocyte differentiation in the ESTc.

This added value of NC cell differentiation related gene expression readouts in the ESTc was explored by testing Organophosphates (OPs), a chemical family with pesticide and flame-retardant applications. Some OPs cause developmental toxicity in animal models [5]. The mechanism through which the OPs would cause developmental toxicity was long thought to be by specifically inhibiting neurone development and cardiac looping and function in the zebrafish model [26]. The variety of developmental effects observed and differences in developmental effects observed in rats suggested different mechanisms of action among OPs [6]. Some OPs interact with muscular receptors in rats in the nanomolar range as agonists of the m2 subtype, involved in cardiac contraction [6,7]. Direct effects of some OPs with rat cardiac mycardiomyocytes in vitro would suggest a potential contribution to cardiac toxicity [7]. The most extensively used OP pesticide is chlorpyrifos (CPF) and acts on cell signalling cascades involved in cardiac and hepatic homeostasis in rats [8].

Exposure of pregnant rats to CPF showed increased degenerated neurons in the cerebellum of the offspring, but also a reduced number of Purkinje cells [9]. In neonatal rats, a dose-dependent decrease in muscular receptors and reductions in acetylcholine esterase (AChE) were observed [9]. Other studies reported a delay in psychomotor development in neonatal rats when dams were exposed to 1 mg/kg per os [10]. Farag et al. [11] described multiple malformations at maternally toxic doses of 25 mg/kg per day, including anophthalmia and ectodactyly, cleft soft palate, liver haemorrhage, cranial retardation, retardation of pelvic bones, and absence of phalanges. The maternal effects included reduction in body weight and AChE activity [11]. Malathion (MLT) and the flame retardant triphenyl phosphate (TPP) were neither fetotoxic nor teratogenic in rabbits (for MLT) and rats (for TPP) [12,13].

These differences in effect may be attributed to the metabolism of the compounds in vivo. CPF and MLT are metabolised to reactive oxon metabolites by hepatic microsomal enzymes [9,14–16]. These oxon metabolites are more potent inhibitors of the AChE enzyme, although there is significant evidence that also other targets are affected by both the parent compounds and metabolites [17–21]. For TPP the parent compound is more reactive and is degraded by hydrolysis into its metabolites diphenyl and monophenyl phosphates by CYP450 enzymes [22,23]. In zebrafish, CPF, MLT and TPP did show a range of teratogenic effects, including cardiac-related developmental defects [20,24–26]. CPF induced pericardial oedema, MLT induced bradycardia and a reduced heart rate [20,25], and TPP impaired cardiac looping and function in the zebrafish model [26]. The variety of developmental effects observed and the proposed mechanisms in different models warrant further investigations within this chemical class.

We studied the OPs CPF, MLT and TPP in the ESTc, specifically for their interference with proliferation, cardiac differentiation, and NC cell development in order to explore the benefit of additional molecular parameters for assessing differential developmental toxicity of structurally related chemicals.

2. Methods

2.1. Test compounds

Chlorpyrifos (CPF, CAS # 2921-88-2), malathion (MLT, CAS # 121-75-5), and triphenyl phosphate (TPP, CAS # 115-86-6) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands) and were tested in the subsequent described assays at concentrations up to 330 μM in order to obtain sigmoid-shaped concentration-response curves. 0.25% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, CAS # 67-68-5, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a solvent.

2.2. Stem cell culture

Murine embryonic stem cells (ES-D3 (D3), ATCC®, Manassas, VA, USA) were cultured as previously described [4,27]. In short, the cells were cultured every 2–3 days in a humidified atmosphere of 37 °C with 5% CO2 by using culture dishes (35 mm, Corning, New York, NY, USA). The culture medium consisted of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM; Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA) enriched with 20% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS; Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmünster, Austria); 2 mM L-Glutamin (Gibco); 1% Non-Essential Amino Acids (NEAA; Gibco); 1% 5000 IU/mL Penicillin/5000 μg/mL Streptomycin (Gibco); and 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Gibco). 1000 units/mL leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF; ESGRO®, Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) was added to the medium to maintain the ES-D3 cells in a pluripotent state.

2.3. Cell viability assay

Cell viability of the ES-D3 cells was executed as before [28]. 500 cells per well were plated in a 96-wells plate (Greiner Bio-One) and were kept warm at 37 °C and 5% CO2 for two hours. Cells were exposed in six replicates to 200 μl of LIF-containing medium including the OPs in concentrations ranging from 330 μM to 0,33 μM or 0 μM or including the negative control penicillin G (500 μg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich), the positive control 5-fluorouracil (0.1 μg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich), or the vehicle control DMSO (0.25%; Sigma-Aldrich). The exposure medium was refreshed at identical final concentrations after three days of exposure under 37 °C and 5% CO2. Following incubation for a further two days, the exposed plates were prepared for the viability fluorescence measurements by replacing 100 μl of solution by 20 μl of CellTiter-Blue reagent (Promega, Leiden, The Netherlands) per well [29]. After 2 h of incubation the extinction values were determined at 544 μm/590 nm on the SpectraMax® M2 spectrofluorometer (Molecular Devices, Berkshire, United Kingdom). Viability levels were calculated relative to the DMSO control (in %). For each test compound the average and standard deviation of the six replicates of each experiment (n = 3) were analysed using PROAST v6.7.0 as described in section 2.9. This was used to determine the concentration for which 50% of the cells were viable (IC50 values).

2.4. Cell differentiation assay

A previously described protocol was used to differentiate the ES-D3 cells into cardiomyocytes during the ESTc assay [3,27]. A similar medium composition was used as for stem cell culture and viability testing except LIF was no longer provided, to enable differentiation. At differentiation day 0, hanging drops were formed by plating a 3.75×104 cells/ml suspension in 56 droplets of 20 μl to the lid of a 100/20 mm CELLSTAR® cell culture dish (Greiner Bio-One), which itself held 5 ml of ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS; Ca2+<sup>2</sup>, Mg2+ free; Gibco). The hanging drops were kept warm at 37 °C and 5% CO2 for 3 days and were relocated to a 60 mm bacterial petri dish (Greiner Bio-One) with 5 ml of exposure medium. This exposure medium contained concentrations between 330 μM to 0,33 μM of CPF, MLT, TPP or included controls. After two days of consequent incubation, each embryonic body (EB) was transferred to a well of a culture plate (24-wells, Greiner Bio-One) with 1 ml of exposure medium or controls. Within one experiment, each plate consisted of one condition (= 24 replicates) and was performed in duplicate. On differentiation day 10, after exposing the EBs for an additional 5 days, the EBs were scored for beating cardiomyocytes using a bright field microscope (Olympus BX51, Shinjuku, Japan). The number of beating EBs was divided by the total number of EBs per 24-wells plate. These data were pragmatically used for protein and gene expression analysis in order to determine a concentration at which an effect was measured. To determine such a concentration, two independent experiments were performed for each test compound. The dose for
which beating cardiomyocyte differentiation was inhibited at 50% (EC50) was determined for each compound as described in section 2.9.

2.5. Embryoid body (EB) size measurements

To measure effects on proliferation, EB size and total protein (see section 2.6) were measured as surrogates for proliferation success. The differentiation test was performed as described in the previous paragraph with the same exposure concentrations for the three compounds. To be able to capture one EB with a 4x magnification, EB sizes were measured at differentiation day 7. The EB sizes were captured using a bright field microscope (Olympus BX51, Shinjuku, Japan) and the software CellSens Standard version 2.3 (Olympus Life Science). Measurements were executed by indicating the EB borders using ImageJ 1.51n, which computed the EB area. The average area of 24 EBs per condition was calculated and the 50% effect concentrations (EC50 values) were determined as described in section 2.9.

2.6. Total protein measurements

The differentiation test was performed as described in section 2.4 with the same exposure concentrations for CPF, MLT, and TPP. At differentiation day 7, 24 EBs per condition were incubated in cell dissociation buffer (Gibco) at 37 °C for 3 min. The EBs were collected and after precipitation they were washed with PBS (Ca2+, Mg2+; Gibco). The EBs were permeabilised in 1 ml of 1% Triton X-100 for 5 min (T9284, Sigma-Aldrich) and protein levels were measured in triplicate using the Micro BCA™ Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher) according to manufacturer’s protocol [30]. EC50 values were determined as described in section 2.9.

2.7. Protein expression analysis

The differentiation test was performed with exposure to ID50 values of each compound determined as in section 2.9 or with a 0.25% DMSO control. Immunocytochemistry was performed according to a previously described protocol [4]. On differentiation day 5, EBs were collected in 35 mm culture dishes for further differentiation and were later stained using immunocytochemistry on differentiation day 10. The samples were washed with PBS (37 °C, Ca2+, Mg2+ free; Gibco) and were fixed with 4% formaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) for 30 min at differentiation day 10. For up to 7 days, the fixed cells were kept at 4 °C until the moment of staining. The cells were washed for 3 x 5 min with PBS (Ca2+, Mg2+ free; Gibco) in between each step of the protocol and before and after storage. The EBs were incubated at room-temperature with 0.2% Triton X-100 (T9284, Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS (Ca2+, Mg2+ free; Gibco) for 5 min to permeabilise the cells. To minimise non-specific protein binding, samples were incubated with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich) with 0.5% Tween-20 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS (Ca2+, Mg2+ free; Gibco) for 1 h. The following primary antibodies were added to the EBs in dilution buffer (0.5% BSA, 0.5% Tween-20 in PBS (Ca2+, Mg2+ free; Gibco)) at 4 °C overnight: Activating Enhancer-Binding Protein 2 Alpha (AP2α, early NC marker, sc-12726, Santa-Cruz, 1:100), Myosin Heavy Chain (MF20, MAB4470, R&D Systems, 1:100), proliferation marker (Ki67, MA5-14520, Thermo Fisher, 1:500), E-cadherin (ECAD, ECCD-2, 13-1900, Thermo Fisher, 1:1000). The next day, the following secondary antibodies in dilution buffer were added for 1 h: goat-anti-mouse A647 (1:500, A21236, Thermo Fisher), goat-anti-rabbit A488 (1:1000, A11034, Thermo Fisher) or goat-anti-rat A555 (1:500, A21434, Thermo Fisher). A concentration of 1 μg/mL DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) in dilution buffer was added to the EBs and incubated for 10 min. The EBs were washed with PBS (Ca2+, Mg2+ free; Gibco) for 10 min and were covered with a cover glass and mounting medium (Thermo Fisher). The EBs were visualised with a 4x magnification DMi8 microscope (Leica, Germany) with a Leica DFC7000 GT camera (Leica, Germany).

2.8. Gene expression analysis

The stem cells were differentiated until day 4 (24 h of exposure) of the protocol and samples were collected from EBs exposed to ID50 concentrations for each compounds determined as described in section 2.9 or to the DMSO control (0.25%). 7 to 8 EB samples in RNAprotect (Qiagen, Cat # 76526) from two independent experiments (n = 2) were stored at –80 °C. Parallel to this, beating was scored of control plates on differentiation day 10. The stored samples were used for RNA isolation (RNeasy Mini-kit, Qiagen, Cat. # 74104) according to manufacturer’s protocol [31]. QIAshredder columns (Qiagen, Cat. # 79654) homogenised the samples and a DNase step (RNase-Free DNase set, Qiagen, Cat. # 79254) was added to the RNA isolation protocol. RNA quantity and quality was assessed using the Nanodrop (Nanodrop Technologies Inc., Wilmington, Delaware) and the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Amstelveen, The Netherlands). Quality control results contained 260 nm/280 nm absorbance ratios between 1.9 and 2.2. RIN (RNA Integrity Number) scores were evaluated >8.2. cDNA was synthesised using a cDNA synthesis kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s prescriptions. The cDNA was quantified using the thermal cycling conditions: 95 °C for 20 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 3 s and 60 °C for 30 s using a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The used TaqMan® Assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific) are listed in table S1. The relative differences were calculated using the ΔΔCT method [32], and were normalised against the mean expression levels of the Hprt1, Gusb, and Polr2a housekeeping genes (Table S1). The expression levels for each experiment separately, are provided as supplementary material in figure S1 for experiment 1 and in figure S2 for experiment 2.

2.9. Statistics

The obtained data on cell viability, differentiation, EB size, and protein levels were fitted and statistically analysed using PROAST v76.0 using the exponential method [33]. IC50, ID50, and EC50 values were obtained from the concentration-response curves with 90% confidence lower and upper benchmark concentration limit values (BMCL-BMCU). Control values were added to the graphs using a dummy value. An one-way ANOVA test with a post-hoc Sidák’s multiple comparisons test (p < 0.05) was performed to compare gene expression data to the control samples.

3. Results

3.1. Effects on EB proliferation

The effects of OPs on EB size and total protein content were used as surrogates to determine the in vitro concentration provoking a 50% reduction (EC50) in EB proliferation. The EB area (Fig. 1a) and total protein content (Fig. 1b) were reduced by all three OPs in a concentration-dependent manner. For the EB area measurements (Fig. 1a), comparable EC50 levels were found, except for MLT which resulted in a lower EC50 value where confidence limits did not overlap the values of CPF and TPP (Fig. 1a, Table 1). The total protein measurements resulted in comparable EC50 values for all treated groups (fig.1b, Table 1).

3.2. Effects on cell viability and functional cardiomyocyte differentiation

The classical ESTs measures of cell viability and cardiomyocyte differentiation were assessed and compared between the three compounds. Viability was reduced with ID50 concentrations for CPF, MLT, and TPP of 85.7 μM, 49.4 μM, and 61.4 μM, respectively (Fig. 2a, b, c, Table 1). CPF, MLT, and TPP reduced the development of beating cardiomyocytes with ID50 levels of 117 μM, 73.2 μM, and 143 μM, respectively (Fig. 2d, e, f, Table 1). The overlapping BMC confidence
limits of the three compounds indicated no differences in ID$_{50}$ for inhibition of beating cardiomyocytes or EC$_{50}$ values for cell viability.

3.3. Effects of OPs on protein and gene expression levels

As the classical readouts didn’t show differences between the compounds, we needed to zoom in on specific protein and gene expression levels. Effects on proliferation and differentiation were studied with markers for pluripotency, proliferation, cardiomyocyte differentiation, and neural crest cell differentiation. Fig. 3a shows immunostainings for proliferation (Ki67), the cardiomyocyte marker myosin heavy chain (MF20), and pluripotency (ECAD) markers after exposure to ID$_{50}$ concentrations of CPF, MLT, and TPP. ECAD staining for pluripotency was present in all exposure groups with staining areas located at different positions within the EB. Fig. 3b indicates presence of the neural crest marker AP2 with clear expression in the MLT and DMSO groups. CPF and TPP exposure did not show a clear AP2 staining.

To assess if the differences between control and treated EBs observed qualitatively at the protein level were also present at the gene transcript level, gene expression analysis was performed for markers of acetylcholine esterase (Ache), cell death, pluripotency, cardiomyocyte differentiation and neural crest cell differentiation (Fig. 3c, d). Expression for Ache seemed to be augmented by CPF and TPP but not by MLT compared to controls, as the two performed experiments showed opposite results in Ache expression for MLT as shown in figures S1 and S2. Regarding cell death, the pro-apoptotic marker Casp3 was statistically significantly downregulated by CPF, but not by TPP and MLT. The expression levels for the necrosis marker Parp1 were not affected in any of the exposures groups. The pluripotency marker Ssea-1 showed an upregulated trend in the TPP exposed group and Cdh1 (=Ecad) was statistically significantly upregulated by all three exposure groups. Ki67 for proliferation showed a downregulated trend in the TPP exposed group and the cardiomyocyte marker Nkx2–5 showed an upregulated trend in the TPP exposed group. MLT exposure resulted in opposite expression levels in up- or downregulation of Nkx2–5 when comparing the two experiments, as shown in the supplementary figures S1 and S2.

As to NC markers, Mxs2 showed a downregulated trend in all three exposure groups and the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) marker Snai2 for NC was statistically significantly upregulated in the CPF and TPP exposed groups when compared to the control.

4. Discussion

The objectives of the experiments conducted in this work were to investigate the added value of molecular gene and protein markers for cell proliferation, cardiomyocyte differentiation, and neural crest (NC) cell development to distinguish between structurally related compounds using the ESTc. Using the organophosphates CPF, MLT, and TPP as model compounds, distinctive effects on gene expression were observed, while effects on cell proliferation and differentiation were not distinct. At differentiation day 4 (24 h of exposure), gene expression regulation of NC cell markers revealed clear differences between the compounds. Therefore, NC marker gene expression profiles provide...
specific developmental toxicity information in addition to the cardiomyocyte beating read-out of the standard ESTc, and so may contribute to the screening and prioritisation of new chemicals for further commercial development.

In particular, the three NC cell specific genes tested did show clear and distinct responses after 24 h of exposure (Msx2, Ap2α and Snai2, Fig. 3). All three compounds showed a downregulated trend of Msx2 gene expression. Msx2 is a neural plate border specifier and a NC precursor gene present in the neural folds [34]. The expression levels of Ap2α were upregulated by CPF and MLT (Fig. 3c). AP2α is a NC specifier and alterations in its gene or protein expression affect the non-neural ectoderm, neural plate border, neural folds and in derivatives of the NC cells. These alterations cause defects in NC derivatives in mutant mice [34,35]. Additionally, exposure to TPP and CPF showed a statistically significantly Snai2 gene transcript upregulation compared to the control. SNAI2 acts as a transcriptional repressor of e.g. E-cadherin and a Snai2 overexpression would stimulate NC cell delamination and migration by activating epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) when the NC cells separate from the neural tube [34,35]. Although neural tube closure and NC migration akin to in vivo morphogenesis cannot occur in the in vitro ESTc assay, alterations were observed in the expression levels of NC cell markers after 24 h of exposure (differentiation day 4) to the tested OPs. The presence of NC cells in the ESTc has been investigated in previous research and also showed compound specific effects when testing valproic acid analogues [4]. These previous and current findings confirm the utility of assessing gene expression profiling at an early time-point as part of the toxicity screening with the ESTc [36–38].

The use of a short exposure duration of 24 h for gene expression analysis may also benefit distinguishing developmental effects from possible effects on viability that may arise after longer exposures as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. After 24 h of exposure, the expression levels of Casp3, which is a pro-apoptotic gene, were statistically significantly down-regulated following CPF exposure, whereas TPP and MLT did not affect its expression (Fig. 3c). This suggests that the apoptotic pathway is not activated yet at this time-point. The downregulation of pro-apoptotic Casp3 expression levels was accompanied by an upregulation of the pluripotency marker Cdh1. This latter observation illustrates the relationship between increases of highly proliferating pluripotent cells and a relatively lower level of apoptosis. Therefore, monitoring effects on gene expression levels is of added value to the ESTc.

Additionally, a short exposure duration of 24 h benefits NC cell gene expression over cardiomyocyte gene expression. After 24 h TPP exposure, the early cardiomyocyte differentiation marker Nkx2–5 seemed to be differentially expressed, but the late differentiation marker Myh6 was not expressed yet. In the ESTc, myosin structures start to form on differentiation day 7 [4]. Also on the gene expression level, Myh6 for myosin is expressed later during development and was most evidently expressed on differentiation day 14 of the embryonic stem cell line H1M1 [39].

The effects of the OPs CPF, MLT or TPP specifically on NC cell differentiation have been previously studied to a limited extent. Tussellino et al. (2016) showed developmental defects caused by CPF on anatomical NC derived cranial structures and NC gene expression levels in Xenopus laevis [40]. In the chick (Gallus domesticus), exposure to a mixture of CPF and cypermethrin (50%; 5%) during embryo development affected the cranial NC cells and resulted in craniofacial dysmorphism [41]. In zebrafish, impairments in cardiac looping and function defects were observed after exposure to TPP [42–47]. Defects in neural crest cell differentiation and migration can cause cardiac deficiencies during embryogenesis, like defective outflow tract septation, abnormal patterning of the aortic arch and great arteries and abnormal

![Fig. 2](image-url) Cell viability and cardiomyocyte differentiation effects after exposure to CPF, MLT, and TPP. Viability was depicted as relative to the control for a) CPF, b) MLT, and c) TPP and datapoints represent six replicates. Three independent experiments were conducted. The dotted lines specify the IC50 values. Effects on differentiation of cardiomyocytes for d) CPF, e) MLT, and f) TPP are expressed in percentage of beating embryoid bodies (EBs) on differentiation day 10 with small triangles as data points and large triangles as average from two independent experiments. The dotted lines specify the ID50 values obtained through statistical analysis using the exponential method of PROAST v67.0 in R.
Fig. 3. Effects of CPF, MLT or TPP on the expression levels of markers for Ache, cell death, pluripotency, proliferation, cardiomyocyte differentiation, and neural crest cell differentiation in the ESTc assay. a) Immunocytochemistry staining of the nuclei (DAPI), proliferation marker Ki67 (1:500), myosin heavy chain marker MF20 (1:100), and pluripotency marker ECAD (1:1000) after exposure to 0.25% DMSO or ID_{50} concentrations of CPF, MLT and TPP at differentiation day 10 (7 days of exposure). Scale bar indicates 500 μm, magnification 4 ×. b) Immunocytochemistry staining of the nuclei (DAPI) and neural crest marker AP2α (1:100) after exposure of 0.25% DMSO or ID_{50} concentrations of CPF, MLT and TPP at differentiation day 10 (7 days of exposure). Scale bar indicates 500 μm, notice the smaller scale bar for the DMSO control for which the image was stitched. Magnification 4 ×. c) Expression levels of Ache, cell death, pluripotency, proliferation, cardiomyocyte and neural crest cell related genes after exposure to the ID_{50} concentrations at differentiation day 4 (24 h of exposure). Gene expression was measured using real-time PCR. Error bars indicate the standard deviation. Two independent experiments with in total 7-8 samples. Asterisks indicate a significant difference compared to the DMSO control (one-way ANOVA; Sidak’s multiple comparisons post-hoc test). * p < 0.05. d) Mean gene expression levels expressed as -ddCt in a heatmap with scale bar ranging from yellow (upregulation) to blue (downregulation) (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.).
cardiac tube looping [48,49].

In contrast to the effects of OPs on NC cell differentiation, the effects on acetylcholine esterase (AChE) have been more extensively studied. OPs inhibit the activity of this enzyme [6,9,11]. In this study, AChE gene expression levels seemed to be augmented by CPF and TPP (Fig. 3c).

Although an inhibition of AChE would be expected, the opposing upregulation of gene expression levels after exposure to CPF has been measured before in embryonic stem cell conditions in which the enzymatic activity was inhibited [15]. Based on the gene expression results in general, TPP seems to be more potent and this may be explained by the lack of metabolic activation within the ESTc. TPP is degraded by hydrolysis into less reactive metabolites diphenyl and monophenyl phosphates by CYP450 enzymes [22,23]. CPF and MTL are metabolised in vivo by hepatic microsomal enzymes, belonging to the CYP450 family, to their reactive oxons [9,14–16,50–55]. These metabolites have a higher affinity for AChE in fungicides, although there is significant evidence also other targets are affected that cause adverse effects in vivo by both the parent compounds and metabolites [17–21]. In line with most in vitro cellular assays, the ESTc has limited xenobiotic metabolic capacity [54,55]. This should be taken into account in in vitro-in vitro extrapolations for which the mechanistic boundaries should be further explored [55].

Taken together, our results indicate that adding parameters specific to the neural crest (NC) cell population and gene expression analysis allows discrimination between the tested compounds that is not observable with classical readout parameters usually monitored in the ESTc. Therefore, molecular markers for neural crest differentiation provide a benefit for the detection and discrimination of putative developmental toxicants in the ESTc. In general, this study illustrates the usefulness of more fully exploring and exploiting the biological domain of in vitro assays for toxicity screening.
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