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ABSTRACT: The subject of free radicals and their effects on biological systems is an important problem in Medicine. Antioxidants can protect biological systems against free radicals. In this study, the effect of methanol ratio (0, 20, 50, 80, and 100%) in water on extraction yield of Total phenolic (TP) compounds and antioxidant activity (AA) of Stachys turcomanica extract were evaluated. The amount of TP compounds were determined using Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, and AA measured by 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and beta-caroten linoleic acid methods. The results showed extraction of phenolic compounds and their AA were affected by solvent combinations. In addition, there was a good correlation between TP content and AA of Stachys turcomanica extracts. Finally the methanol: water (80:20) was good solvent in extracting of phenolic compounds with highest AA.
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INTRODUCTION

The genus of Stachys with 300 species is in Lamiaceae family and it was found in Mediterranean area and Iran (TUNDIS 2014). In Iran, this genus has 34 species (MOZAFFARIAN, 1996). The plants in this genus have anticancer, antipyretic, antitoxic, anti-anxiety, anti-proliferative, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial and antioxidant activities (GHARHANMAN 1998, HAJHASHEMI 2007, MORTEZA-SEMNANI 2006, RABBANI 2005, RABBANI 2003, AYDIN 2006, DIGRAK 2001). Some species of this genus are used for treating abdominal pains and they used as disinfectant, antispasmodic and anti-fever agents (GRUENWALD et al., 2000). Phytochemical analysis demonstrated presence of mono terpenes, sesquiterpenes [AGHAEI 2013, DELAZAR 2011], flavonoids (EL-ANSARI et al., 1995) and phenylethanoid glycosides (MIYASE et al., 1996; NISHIMURA et al., 1991) in this genus. In Iran, the aerial parts of Stachys genus are used for infection, rheumatic and inflammatory disease (MALEKI et al., 2001). One of the Iranian species is Stachys turcomanica (RECHINGER; HEDGE, 1982; MOZAFFARIAN, 1996). Medicinal plants are known for their antioxidant compounds and they are less toxic than synthetic antioxidants (BURDA; OLESZK, 2001; HOLLMAN et al., 1996). Some conditions such as kind of solvent, temperature, concentration and extraction time have effects on the extraction of phenolic compounds (LIYANA-PATTHIRANA; SHAHIDI, 2004). The types of solvent and extracted compounds determine the antioxidant activity of the extract. Therefore the selection of solvent is important (NOBRE et al., 2005). Antioxidant activity in plants has been associated with phenolic compounds (THABREW et al., 1998) such as carotenoids, vitamins, phenols and flavonoids (CAO et al., 1997; VELIOGLU et al., 1998). In this work, we report the best solvent in the extraction of antioxidant compounds from Stachys turcomanica. Various methods were performed for evaluation of antioxidant activity, and in this study three methods such as FRAP, DPPH and beta-caroten linoleic acid were used. In addition, total phenolic content was used as material.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material
The aerial parts of Stachys turcomanica were collected in Jun 2014 from the North Khorasan Province Mountains of Iran. The plant was identified by Natural Products & Medicinal Plants Research Center, North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences (Iran).

Preparation of plant extracts
About 150 g of plant was macerated in methanol 100%, methanol 80%, methanol 50%, methanol 20% and water at room temperature for 48 h separately. The solvent was in contact with plant for 48 h and then the solvent was removed under vacuum at 40 °C to give the crude extract (PRACHAYASITTIKUL et al., 2008).
Total phenolic Determination

The total phenolic content in the different extracts of *Stachys turcomanica* was assessed using Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and gallic acid as a standard. Gallic acid solutions were prepared with concentrations of 0.03, 0.07, 0.11, 0.15, 0.19, and 0.22 mg/ml in 80% methanol. Then, 100 µL of each gallic acid solution was added to 2.8 mL of distilled water, 2 mL of 2% sodium carbonate (Na2CO3) solution and 100 µL of 50% Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and then tubes were incubated for 30 min. After that, their absorbance was recorded at 720 nm compared to the control. The calibration curve of gallic acid was prepared using the solution absorbance against concentration.

For determination of the total phenolic content of each extract, it was diluted with 80% methanol until its absorbance obtains in the range of the prepared calibration curve. Then, 100 µL of each diluted extract was added to 2.8 mL of distilled water, 2 mL of 2% sodium carbonate (Na2CO3) solution and 100 µL of 50% Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and then tubes were incubated for 30 min. After that, their absorbance was recorded at 720 nm compared to the control. The total phenolic contents of the extracts were reported based on milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per grams of dry weight of plant and extract (mg GAE/g DW) (MEDA ET AL., 2005).

**Antioxidant Activity Assays**

**DPPH (2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) assay**

In this test, the antioxidant activity was described by 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging capacity of the extract based on one-electron reduction [SHARMA 2013, SINGH 2007]. In this method, DPPH solution (0.004% w/v, 0.1 mM) was prepared in methanol, 3.9 ml of this solution was added to 0.1ml of sample solution and then incubated at room temperature for 30 min, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm and the experiment was carried out in triplicate (GOLMAKANI 2014). The percentage of radical scavenging activity was calculated from Equation (1):

$$\text{Eq (1):}$$

$$\text{Methanol was used as blank, ascorbic acid and BHT as positive controls.}$$

**FRAP Assay**

100 µL of each extract was added to 3 mL of FRAP reagent (300 mM sodium acetate buffer pH 3.6, tripyridyl triazine10 mM, and ferric chloride 20 mM). The mixture was vortexed and incubated at 30°C for 4 minutes. The control containing 100 µL distilled water with 3 mL of FRAP reagent. Absorbance of the solutions was read at 593 nm against control. Aqueous solutions of FeSO4.7H2O (0-1 mM) were used for calibration curve. The calibration curve was plotted with absorbance and concentration, and then total antioxidant activity was expressed as mmol Fe (II)/ g extract (mean ± standard error) (Xu 2010). In this method, the electron donating feature of antioxidants at low pH leads to reduction of ferric cation to ferrous. Hence, they can convert colorless ferric tripyridyl triazine complex to blue ferrous tripyridyl triazine which absorbs at 593 nm.

**β-carotene Bleaching Assay (BCB)**

Antioxidant activity of *Stachys turcomanica* for preventing oxidation of linoleic acid was studied through β-carotene linoleic acid method (KUMAZAWA et al., 2002). In this method, 200 mg of Tween 20, 20 µL of linoleic acid and 1 mg of β-carotene were added to 5 mL of chloroform. After that the mixture was put at 40°C until the chloroform had evaporated. Then, 50 mL of distilled water was added to the mixture and it was shacked for 30 min. 50 µL of each extract was added to 6 mL of this mixture. In the control tube, the mixture was added to 50 µL of methanol. After that, the absorbance was measured at 470 nm (A0), and the tubes were placed in a water bath at 50 °C for 2 h to catalyze the oxidation reaction and discoloring of β-carotene. The absorbance of mixtures was measured at 470 nm (A120) to calculate the decreased absorbance in each sample. All of the analyses were performed in triplicate. BHT was used as positive control. The antioxidant activity index (AAI) was carried out using Equation (2):

$$\text{Eq (2):}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

One of the important steps in optimizing the recovery of antioxidant compounds from a sample is the selection of solvent. In this study, the effect of type of solvent in total phenolic and antioxidant activity was evaluated. The yields of extraction were shown in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, the highest yield extraction was for methanol 80% with 27.33% yield and aqueous extract had lowest yield of extraction. In other studies, effects of aqueous and organic solvents in extracting different polyphenols has been reported (WANG ET AL., 2009) and they showed efficiency of water and methanol as extraction solvents.
In this study, total phenolic compounds of extracts were evaluated and the results were presented in Table (1). Standard curve of Gallic acid was drawn and the regression equation was: \( y = 0.009 x - 0.007 \) (\( R^2 = 0.996 \)). The maximum phenolic content was found in methanol 50% extract (17.36 mg GAE /g dried extract). The quantitative determination of phenolic compounds using Folin-Ciocalteu method is a widespread assay. In this method, the oxidation of phenols in alkaline solution and colorimetric measurement of the blue product was done [TAWAHA 2007].

**Table 1.** Extraction yield and total phenolic content of various extracts from *Stachys turcomanica*

| Extracts       | Extraction yield (%) | Total phenolic contents (mg GAE/g extract) | (mg GAE/kg DW) |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Methanol 100%  | 21.24%               | 14.263±1.1                                  | ±1.1 3029.46   |
| Methanol 80%   | 27.33%               | 15.36±3.5                                   | 4197.8±3.5     |
| Methanol 50%   | 21.5%                | 17.36±2.5                                   | 3732.6±2.5     |
| Methanol 20%   | 20.0%                | 11.90±1.5                                   | 2380.5±1.5     |
| Aqueous        | 10.37%               | 12.52±1.7                                   | 1299.0±1.7     |

*aResults correspond to the average ± standard deviation estimated from three aliquots of extracts.*

The phenolic compounds donate electrons and delocalize the unpaired electron with their aromatic structures [ROSS, 2002].

In this study, the 80% methanolic extract was found to contain higher total phenolic content than other extracts. Thus, this solvent is considered as a better and more efficient solvent system for extracting polyphenols.

The use of natural antioxidants can produce few side effects because of their low toxicity compared to other drugs [STÜLP 2012].

Several methods have been used for evaluation of antioxidant activity and each of them has some problems and limitations [DECKER, WARNER, RICHARDS; SHAHIDI, 2005; MAGALHÃES, SEGUNDO, REIS, AND LIMA, 2008].

In this study, antioxidant activity of extracts was evaluated with three methods DPPH, FRAP and \( \beta \)-carotene Bleaching.

The DPPH method is commonly method because it is simple, efficient and inexpensive. In this method, DPPH is a free radical that shows a maximum absorption at 517 nm and DPPH scavenging activity is based on the ability of sample to donate hydrogen which reacts with the DPPH radical and a reduction in absorbance was happened [KNEZEVIC 2011, MICHALAK 2006]. The DPPH radical scavenging activity of extracts is dependent on concentration. In this test, the results were reported as \( IC_{50} \), which is defined as the amount of antioxidant can inhibit 50% of DPPH free radicals. A lower value of \( IC_{50} \) indicates a higher antioxidant activity [HATAMNIA ET AL., 2014].

\( IC_{50} \) values of extract and positive controls were shown in Table 2. In the present study all of extracts had lower antioxidant activities as compared to the standard BHT. Also, the strongest DPPH activity was obtained by 80% methanolic extract and lowest activity was obtained in 20% methanolic extract. In Figure 1, the effects of solvent extraction on inhibition of free radicals were shown. In the FRAP assay, the ferric reducing ability was measured and the antioxidant activities were expressed by the reduction of ferric tripyridyltriazine complex to form a blue color ferrous tripyridyltriazine complex [EBRAHIMZADEH 2010]. In FRAP assay, The Equation for standard solution was: \( y = 0.380x + 0.020 \) (\( R^2 = 0.989 \)). In the present study, the FRAP value expressed in Table 2. The results showed methanol 80% extract revealed the highest ferric reducing potential.

Table 2 shows the antioxidant capacity of extracts using the beta-carotene/linoleic acid. The range for all extracts was 11-35 % inhibition. This shows that there are different amounts of antioxidants in various extracts. The results showed methanol 80% with 35.85% inhibition had highest antioxidant activity in this method. In this study, with increasing water content in the solvent, yield, total phenolic contents and antioxidant activities were decreased. The results showed the total phenolic content and antioxidant activity decreased with increasing water solvent in extracting solvent because the water extract contain more non phenolic compounds or possess phenolic compounds that contain a smaller number of active groups than the other solvents.
Figure 1. DPPH (2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging activity of *Stachys turcomanica* extracts in different solvents.

Table 2. Antioxidant activity of various extracts of *Stachys turcomanica* using the DPPH, FRAP and β-carotene/linoleate model systems.

| Extracts    | IC₅₀ (mg/mL) | FRAP value (mmolFe²⁺/g dry extract) | Carotene–linoleate (% inhibition) |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Methanol 100% | 2.58        | 1240                              | 28.59± 0.51                     |
| Methanol 80%  | 1.71        | 1330                              | 35.85± 0.19                     |
| Methanol 50%  | 1.87        | 1260                              | 33.9± 2.85                      |
| Methanol 20%  | 9.93        | 680                               | 11.18± 0.15                     |
| Aqueous      | 6.98        | 960                               | 29.6± 0.46                      |
| BHT          | 0.125       | ----                              | 93.25± 0.09                     |

Significant positive relationships were obtained between total phenolic content and the antioxidant activities evaluated by DPPH, FRAP, β-carotene linoleic acid assays (0.768, 0.692 and 0.550 respectively). The correlation coefficients between the inhibition of DPPH (IC₅₀) and total phenolic showed strong but negative relationships (Figure 2).
The results showed stronger correlation between total phenolic content and antioxidant activities, thus in this study, potent contribution of phenolic compounds to the antioxidant capacities of Stachys turcomanica was shown (BENMEDDOUR, MEHINAGIC, MEURLAY; LOUAILECHE, 2013). Also these results showed, the beta-caroten linoleic acid method was the least correlated with total phenolic content compared to FRAP and DPPH methods, while all showed a good correlation. This may be due the methods of total phenolic content by Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, FRAP and DPPH methods which involve electron transfer reaction mechanism. In other studies this correlation was shown (PAJA, K.; SOCHA; GALKOWSKA; RO_ZNOWSKI; FORTUNA, 2014).

CONCLUSIONS

The extraction of phenolic compounds and their antioxidant capacity is affected by solvent combinations.

There was a good correlation between total phenolic content and the antioxidant capacity of the Stachys turcomanica extracts.

The methanol: water (80:20) extract was good solvent in extracting of phenolic compounds with highest antioxidant activity. These results demonstrated this extract was the best solvent to release of most secondary metabolites from Stachys turcomanica for future studies, which could provide natural sources of antioxidant compounds.
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