Improvement of a Potential Anthrax Therapeutic by Computational Protein Design*§

Received for publication, April 13, 2011, and in revised form, June 30, 2011 Published, JBC Papers in Press, July 18, 2011, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M111.251041

Sean J. Wu†, Christopher B. Eiben†, John H. Carra§, Ivan Huang†, David Zong†, Peixian Liu†, Cindy T. Wu†, Jeff Nivala‡, Josef Dunbar‡, Tomas Huber‡, Jeffrey Senft§, Rowena Schokman§, Matthew D. Smith§, Jeremy H. Mills§, Arthur M. Friedlander§, David Baker‡, and Justin B. Siegel†

From the †Department of Biochemistry and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195 and the §United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Frederick, Maryland 21702

Past anthrax attacks in the United States have highlighted the need for improved measures against bioweapons. The virulence of anthrax stems from the shielding properties of the Bacillus anthracis poly-γ-D-glutamic acid capsule. In the presence of excess CapD, a B. anthracis γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, the protective capsule is degraded, and the immune system can successfully combat infection. Although CapD shows promise as a next generation protein therapeutic against anthrax, improvements in production, stability, and therapeutic formulation are needed. In this study, we addressed several of these problems through computational protein engineering techniques. We show that circular permutation of CapD improved production properties and dramatically increased kinetic thermostability. At 45 °C, CapD was completely inactive after 5 min, but circularly permuted CapD remained almost entirely active after 30 min. In addition, we identify an amino acid substitution that dramatically decreased transpeptidation activity but not hydrolysis. Subsequently, we show that this mutant had a diminished capsule degradation activity, suggesting that CapD catalyzes capsule degradation through a transpeptidation reaction with endogenous amino acids and peptides in serum rather than hydrolysis.

Bacillus anthracis, the causative agent of anthrax, poses a significant bioterrorist threat. This was demonstrated in 2001 by a series of attacks in which B. anthracis spores were sent via the United States Postal Service to various media outlets and government officials. Although a safe and effective vaccine is available (1), there remain concerns about the potential for use of naturally occurring or genetically engineered antibiotic- and vaccine-resistant strains of B. anthracis (2, 3) as bioterrorist weapons. Anthrax inhalation of aerosolized spores seems the most likely scenario for a bioterrorist attack. The last case of inhalational anthrax reported in the United States prior to the 2001 attacks occurred in 1976 (4). The rarity of this particular type of infection makes it difficult to study the efficacy of various response strategies. These concerns and the historically effective use of B. anthracis to cause disease intentionally have led the biomedical community to focus on developing novel therapeutics as prophylactic countermeasures to the potential threat of a bioterrorist attack.

The potent virulence of B. anthracis is thought to be a result primarily of exotoxins (lethal and edema toxins) as well as an antiphagocytic γ-linked poly-D-glutamic acid (PDGA)2 capsule (5, 6). Although the precise mechanism by which the capsule inhibits phagocytosis is unknown, it has been well established that strains lacking the PDGA capsule have significantly diminished virulence (7).

The capsule is created by a γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, CapD, attached to the outer cell wall, which covalently anchors PDGA to the B. anthracis peptidoglycan layer (8). Although the function of native CapD is to build the antiphagocytic capsule, it was shown recently that administration of high doses of recombinant CapD significantly decreased the mortality rate of mice infected with B. anthracis (9). The ability of recombinant CapD to counteract virulence (the opposite of its native function) is likely due to the removal of the outer antiphagocytic capsule of B. anthracis in vivo, as it is no longer attached to the outer cell wall and localized by the peptidoglycan layer. The ability to degrade the capsule has been demonstrated in vitro previously (7, 9, 10). Because of the ability to confer antibiotic resistance to anthrax in a laboratory setting, significant focus has been placed on the further development of CapD into a robust and effective next generation protein therapeutic.

The structure of CapD was solved recently and has opened the possibility of applying computational protein design techniques to further enhance the potential therapeutic properties of this protein (11). In this study, we used the Rosetta software suite (12, 13) to create a circularly permuted variant of CapD (termed CP) with significantly improved production properties and kinetic thermostability. In addition, we computationally designed a variant of CP that altered the reaction specificity of the enzyme such that the transpeptidation reaction rate was reduced, whereas the rate of its hydrolytic side reaction was essentially maintained. We then utilized the mutant to examine the mechanism of capsule degradation. The improved physical properties of CP, in addition to a more complete understanding of the native mechanism of capsule degradation, should lead to the development of improved protein-based therapeutics that
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can better withstand environmental stress and that have improved pharmacokinetic properties.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**DNA Manipulations**—The genes encoding proteins were synthesized to optimize codon usage for *Escherichia coli* by GenScript. The genes were inserted into the pET29b+ vector between the NdeI and XhoI restriction endonuclease sites, and a genetically encoded His$_6$ tag was added to the C terminus for ease of purification. Mutants of these enzymes were generated using site-directed mutagenesis as described by Kunkel (14).

**Protein Production and Purification**—Proteins were produced by transforming the constructs into electrocompetent *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells. Single colonies were picked and grown in 1-ml cultures of lysogeny broth with 50 μg/ml kanamycin overnight at 37 °C. These cultures were used to inoculate a 50-ml culture of Terrific broth with 50 g/ml of kanamycin, which was grown to $A_{600} = 0.3–0.5$, at which point protein expression was induced by the addition of isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside to a final concentration of 1 mM. The temperature was then reduced to 18 °C, and overexpression of protein was allowed to continue for another 24 h. The cells were harvested via centrifugation and stored at −20°C until lysis. To lyse the cells, frozen pellets were thawed and resuspended in 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 500 mM NaCl, 25 mM imidazole, 2 × Bug-Buster (Novagen), 2 mg/ml lysozyme, and 0.2 mg/ml DNase I. The resuspended pellets were then incubated while rocking for 1 h at 4°C, after which the lye was clarified by centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 30 min. The supernatant was then flowed over TALON resin (Clontech, Mountain View, CA); washed with 20 column volumes of 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 500 mM NaCl, and 25 mM imidazole; and eluted with 5 column volumes of 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 500 mM NaCl, and 500 mM imidazole. Protein purity was determined by SDS-PAGE analysis. The relative level of processing for heterogeneous samples of CapD was quantified using NIH Image gel densitometry software.

**Kinetics Assay and Mutant Screen**—The enzymatic activities of CapD and CP were assayed using the Förster resonance energy transfer substrate 5-FAM- (D-γ-Glu)$_3$-K(QXL$_{TM}$ 520)-NH$_2$ (AnaSpec, Fremont, CA). A 5 mM enzyme was incubated with 2-fold serially diluted substrate from 1000 to 1 nM in a 100-μl reaction containing 25 mM HEPES and 0.1% Tween 20 with 1 mg/ml BSA at pH 7.4 and 21°C. No significant differences in reaction rates were observed regardless of whether or not BSA was present, suggesting that BSA does not act as an acceptor substrate for CapD. The addition of BSA appeared to result in increased reproducibility of the results when enzyme concentrations were <1 nM. This is likely due to the prevention of adsorption of CapD to the plastic tubes used in the experiments. L-Glutamic acid was added to a final concentration of 5 mM to measure transpeptidation. The difference in activity observed in the presence versus absence of an acceptor amino acid (such as L-glutamic acid) was used to determine final transpeptidation activity. To measure hydrolytic activity, the reaction rate was measured in the absence of added acceptors. The reaction rates were determined by continuously measuring an increase in relative fluorescence units at an excitation wavelength of 490 nm and an emission wavelength of 520 nm in a SpectraMax M5e microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) for 1 h. The change in fluorescence intensity was converted to product concentration by creating a standard curve with the unquenched synthetic product 5-FAM-(D-γ-Glu)$_3$ (AnaSpec). To account for the inner filter effect, 12 separate standard curves were created in which the product standard was varied in the presence of each substrate concentration used for the kinetics assays (supplemental Table S1).

**Amino Acid Specificity Assay**—Enzymatic activity was assayed using a modified protocol of the kinetics assay. Specifically, 0.5 mM enzyme was incubated with 50 nM substrate and 5 mM amino acid. L-Tyrosine was used at 1.8 mM due to its limited solubility.

**Capsule Degradation Assay**—Capsule degradation gel electrophoresis assays were performed using a modification of a previously described method (7). Briefly, 500 μg of purified capsule was incubated with CapD or derivative enzyme for 30 min at 37°C in 0.5× PBS with 50% mouse serum. Digested samples were run on 1% agarose gel and stained with methylene blue.

**Circular Dichroism**—Far-UV CD spectra were taken in PBS at 25°C with a Jasco-810 spectropolarimeter and a stopped 1-mm path length rectangular quartz cell (Starna, Atascadero, CA). Protein solutions were 0.1 mg/ml. Four scans were accumulated and averaged, without smoothing. Melting curves were done by heating at 1°C/min.

**Stability Assay**—Purified enzyme was incubated in PBS at a concentration of 100 nM and at temperatures ranging from 30 to 50°C. Aliquots were removed at 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, and 30 min and diluted 10-fold into a 4°C solution of PBS with 1 mg/ml BSA. Activity was assayed at 0.5 mM enzyme, 50 nM substrate, and 5 mM L-glutamate in buffer as described under “Kinetics Assay and Mutant Screen.”

**Protein Modeling**—A model of the tetrahedral intermediate of the hydrolysis reaction transition state was built in the program Spartan (15) and overlaid onto the active site of the crystal structure of CapD (Protein Data Bank code 3G9K). The protein-ligand interface was then minimized using the Rosetta modeling suite (12) such that the geometric constraints (supplemental Fig. S1) were satisfied. This model was used as a starting point to identify mutations aimed to change the protein’s reaction specificity. Mutations were evaluated using the RosettaDesign algorithm (16) and were accepted if they resulted in a decrease in energy or if they increased the predicted energy by <5 units.

**Circular Permutation Design**—To design CP, the first amino acid of the small subunit of CapD (normally residue 325) was set to correspond to the first amino acid at the N terminus of the new protein, CP. A short peptide linker (SGGSG) predicted to correspond to the first amino acid at the N terminus of the small subunit (residue 521 in CapD) to the N terminus of the large subunit (residue 1 in CapD) was modeled into the protein using the FoldIT interface to the Rosetta software suite. This change is graphically depicted in Fig. 1.

**RESULTS**

**Circular Permutation of CapD**—Recombinant expression and purification of CapD resulted in an inconsistent and heterogeneous mixture of soluble protein consisting of unprocessed
N-terminal methionine is 55,417 Da. The observed mass is within the reported 0.02% machine error of the expected size of the protein from which the N-terminal methionine has been removed. This suggests that the catalytic threonine represents the actual N terminus of CP (supplemental Fig. S2).

Kinetic Characterization of CP—To determine whether the circular permutation of CapD had affected catalytic activity, the reaction velocity was measured as a function of substrate concentration to determine the kinetic constants of the transpeptidation and hydrolysis reactions for CapD and CP (Table 1 and supplemental Fig. S3). Both enzymes exhibited standard Michaelis-Menten behavior for the transpeptidation reactions, and the data were fit to the standard Michaelis-Menten model (Equation 1).

$$k_{\text{obs}} = \frac{k_{\text{cat}}[S]}{K_m + [S]}$$

(Eq. 1)

For the hydrolysis reactions, substrate inhibition was observed for both enzymes, and the data were therefore fit to Equation 2.

$$k_{\text{obs}} = \frac{k_{\text{cat}}[S]}{K_m + [S]\left(1 + \frac{[S]}{K_i}\right)}$$

(Eq. 2)

Because of the heterogeneity of purified soluble CapD, it is difficult to determine exact kinetic constants. Therefore, a sample that was >85% processed, as determined by gel electrophoresis (supplemental Fig. S4), was used for the assays. No corrections for purity were made to the calculations, as the level of additional processing that takes place over the course of the measured reaction is unclear. The additional corrections would not significantly change the calculated kinetic constants. This was not a concern for CP, as it appears homogeneous by both gel electrophoresis and mass spectrometry (Fig. 2 and supplemental Fig. S2).

CapD and CP both exhibited a 20-fold increase in the catalytic rate ($k_{\text{cat}}$) for the transpeptidation reaction relative to
hydrolysis (Table 1). Analysis of the hydrolytic activity for both enzymes suggested that each had at least a 15-fold higher $K_m$ in the transpeptidation reaction. Interestingly, in the case of transpeptidation, a 1.5-fold decrease in $K_m$ was observed relative to CapD despite the fact that no mutations were made within the active site of CP. For the hydrolysis reaction, the calculated substrate inhibition constants ($K_i$) were $\sim$100-fold higher than the Michaelis constant ($K_m$) for both enzymes. The cause of substrate inhibition for this enzyme is unclear and has not been previously reported.

The amino acid acceptor specificity in the transpeptidation reaction for CapD and CP was characterized by measuring the specific activity for these enzymes against all 20 amino acids (Fig. 3). Both enzymes were able to utilize most amino acids as substrates for the transpeptidation reaction. There was a systematic increase in activity for CP over CapD, consistent with the shift in $K_m$ (Table 1). Proline showed the lowest transpeptidation activity with an 11-fold drop relative to glutamate, the most active of the acceptor amino acids tested. The trends for specific activity across the 20 amino acids for both CapD and CP were consistent, suggesting that no significant structural changes had occurred within the active site after the circular permutation.

**CD Analysis of CapD and CP**—The far-UV CD spectra of CapD and CP showed no significant differences (supplemental Fig. S5A), suggesting that their secondary structures are similar, as expected. The melting temperature of CapD was 48.4 °C, whereas CP had a melting temperature of 51.1 °C, 2.7 ° higher (supplemental Fig. S5B). Both proteins denatured irreversibly and aggregated with heating above the melting temperatures.

**Kinetic Thermostability**—To determine the effect of temperature on enzymatic activity (i.e. kinetic thermostability), both CapD and CP were incubated at temperatures ranging from 30 to 50 °C, and the rate of decay of enzymatic activity was measured. The loss of activity for both proteins over time exhibited first-order kinetics, as shown in Fig. 4A. At higher temperatures, a clear increase in thermostability of CP relative to CapD was observed, as incubation at 45 °C for 30 min resulted in complete inactivation of CapD, whereas CP was still 90% active (Fig. 4A).

**Computational Redesign of CP Reaction Specificity**—To determine whether the in vivo mechanism of capsule degradation was due to hydrolysis or transpeptidation, we aimed to engineer an enzyme that exhibited a decreased level of transpeptidation activity without loss of the ability to catalyze the hydrolytic side reaction. Using the FoldIt interface to the Rosetta software suite, a library of 84 CP enzyme variants was designed such that the hydrolysis transition state was either stabilized or not significantly affected. Although the mutations were not explicitly modeled in the presence of the substrate or transpeptidation product transition states (as the software is not currently set up for multistate modeling), we hypothesized that some of the enzymes in the designed library would prevent an amino acid from binding in the acceptor site while still allow-
Mechanism of Capsule Degradation—To model the mechanism of capsular degradation in vivo, the degradation efficiency of F24H and CP in mouse serum was analyzed. If the mechanism of action in serum was transpeptidation, the activity of F24H should be significantly crippled relative to CP. Alternatively, if the mechanism of action in serum was hydrolysis, only a minimal difference in degradation activity between CP and F24H would be expected. The level of capsular degradation by both enzymes was determined using a gel shift assay as depicted in Fig. 6. F24H was significantly less efficient at degrading the capsule in serum compared with CP. Although the gel analysis is only qualitative, the levels of degradation for 12.5 μg/ml F24H are roughly equivalent to 0.78 μg/ml CP, representing a 16-fold change in activity (Fig. 6). These data agree well with the 15-fold drop in transpeptidation activity measured using the synthetic PDGA substrate.

DISCUSSION

The B. anthracis γ-linked d-glutamyl transpeptidase CapD is expressed as an inactive linear peptide chain, which must undergo autocatalytic processing to form the active enzyme. This post-translational processing results in a heterodimer with a large subunit (residues 1–324) and a small subunit (residues 325–521), as depicted in Fig. 1A. The N-terminal threonine of the small subunit serves as the catalytic residue for the degradation of the PDGA substrate. Recombinant expression of CapD results in a heterogeneous mixture of processed and unprocessed soluble proteins (Fig. 2). This production property is not ideal for a protein therapeutic because consistent structural integrity is required for Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval (18). Specifically, the FDA requires that a combination of SDS-PAGE, isoelectric focusing, high-performance liquid chromatography, and mass spectrometry be used to show that proteins are not fragmented, aggregated, or otherwise modified (e.g. partial autocatalytic processing). To address the issue of partial and variable autocatalytic processing of CapD, the protein was circularly permuted such that it would be produced as a homogeneous monomeric protein.

As depicted in Fig. 1A, the N terminus of the large subunit and the C terminus of the small subunit of CapD are in proximity to one another in the folded processed heterodimer. We hypothesized that the termini could be connected to create a single-chain monomeric protein, circumventing the need for autocatalytic processing. Using the FoldIT interface of the Rosetta software suite, we designed a short peptide linker that was predicted to connect the C terminus of the small subunit to the N terminus of the large subunit (Fig. 1B). In the circularly permuted variant, the catalytic N-terminal threonine is natively translated as the protein’s N-terminal residue, abrogating the need for CapD to undergo autocatalytic cleavage to form an active enzyme.

Experimental characterization confirmed that autocatalytic processing was no longer required for the CP variant (Fig. 2). The activities of CP for both hydrolysis and transpeptidation were analyzed and were observed to remain the same as for CapD, if not slightly improved (Table 1).

It has been previously demonstrated that circular permutation of proteins can result in changes in thermostability (19). To characterize kinetic thermostability, CapD and CP were incubated at a range of temperatures, after which the remaining activity was measured at a series of time points. As depicted in Fig. 4 (A and B), the circular permutation resulted in a significant increase in overall kinetic thermostability. At 45 °C, CapD was rendered inactive in a manner of minutes, whereas CP remained 90% active after 0.5 h. However, the structural thermostability was determined by CD for CapD and CP. Both enzymes exhibited similar melting temperatures of 48.4 and 51.1 °C, respectively (supplemental Fig. S5B). In this case, there was a much smaller change in stability. We hypothesize that
this difference in stability is due to a loss of quaternary structure (i.e. dissociation of the two subunits), resulting in a decrease in catalytic activity for CapD. Such a disruption of quaternary structure would result in an inactive enzyme without significantly affecting the signal observed by CD. At significantly higher temperatures, the secondary structures of both proteins were disrupted, resulting in the observed changes in the CD signal (supplemental Fig. S5B). The designed peptide linker that connects the two subunits in CP altered the quaternary structure of the enzyme such that it was converted from a heterodimeric protein into a single-chain monomeric protein. This change would be predicted to have a more significant effect on the dissociation of the two subunits than the protein’s secondary structure. This is consistent with the circular permutation of CapD having an increased kinetic thermostability. The increase in stability is likely to improve the ease of handling and may enhance its in vivo pharmacokinetic properties.

Another feature of CapD that has remained a mystery is the mechanism through which it catalyzes the capsular degradation of B. anthracis in mouse serum. The primary function of CapD is transpeptidation, in which the polyglutamyl chain is cleaved, resulting in an acyl-enzyme intermediate. An acceptor with a free amine (such as an amino acid or a peptide from the peptidoglycan layer) must then bind within the active site and subsequently accept the polyglutamyl chain. If no acceptor is present, hydrolysis of the acyl-enzyme intermediate occurs instead. In this case, a water molecule acts as the primary acceptor, resulting in the formation of a free acid and the regenerated enzyme (supplemental Fig. S7). The balance of transpeptidation versus hydrolysis is well established for this class of enzymes (20, 21).

Although the native function of CapD is to build the antiphagocytic outer coat of B. anthracis through a transpeptidation reaction, the mechanism of capsular degradation could proceed through either a hydrolysis or transpeptidation reaction. In the case of transpeptidation, endogenous amino acids and peptides in the host serum could act as acceptors instead of the peptidoglycan layer. If CapD levels are elevated beyond a particular threshold, the low-level but irreversible hydrolysis side reaction could eventually overwhelm the dominant but reversible transpeptidation reaction to the peptidoglycan layer. In an effort to develop protein-based anthrax therapeutics, it will be useful to know through which of the two mechanisms the capsular degradation is occurring. One potential method to determine this is to re-engineer the reaction specificity of CapD such that the transpeptidation reaction is diminished but the hydrolytic activity of the enzyme remains intact.

Using the Rosetta software suite, we created a computationally designed active site library of 84 mutants predicted to be compatible with the hydrolysis transition state. Each of the 84 mutants was generated and screened for both hydrolytic and transpeptidation activities. From the initial screen, a general preference of disrupting transpeptidation with concomitant maintenance of hydrolytic activity was observed (supplemental Fig. S6). One mutant, F24H, showed a particularly interesting property in that it essentially maintained hydrolytic activity while significantly decreasing the transpeptidation reaction rate. Kinetic characterization of the F24H variant suggested that this mutation accomplished the desired alteration of reaction specificity, as shown in Table 1.

To use this protein as a tool to elucidate the in vivo mechanism of capsular degradation, it was important to validate that the rate of the transpeptidation reaction was diminished not only with respect to the amino acid acceptor glutamate but also for all of the 20 amino acids that may be present in serum. As depicted in Fig. 3, this mutation significantly decreased the transpeptidation activity for every amino acid. In addition, the mutation did not compromise kinetic thermostability (Fig. 4B), suggesting that the change of the reaction was due to an alteration of the chemistry occurring in the active site and not simply due to a global structural destabilization. The F24H model does not clearly indicate why this particular mutation would result in such a drastic change in reaction specificity, as the closest nitrogen atom of His24 is 7.1 Å away from the oxygen atom of the catalytic Thr1 (Thr1 of CP corresponds to Thr352 of CapD) (Fig. 5B). The change in specificity could be the result of a modulation of the electrostatic environment of the active site such that the attack of the acyl-enzyme intermediate by a neutral amine within the active site is disfavored. Further experimentation is required to develop a more complete understanding of how this mutation alters the reaction specificity.

Assays were conducted to explore the mechanism of capsular degradation in serum using CapD, CP, and F24H. Degradation efficiency in the presence of serum was measured as a function of protein concentration, as shown in Fig. 6. F24H exhibited a >10-fold decrease in its ability to degrade the capsule relative to CP and CapD. This change in reaction specificity is consistent with the mechanism of CapD-mediated capsule degradation being transpeptidation. If a hydrolytic mechanism were employed, this assay would not have shown such a significant difference between the ability of F24H to degrade the capsule relative to CP. The abilities of CP and F24H to catalyze the degradation of a synthetic PDGA substrate in the presence or absence of serum was then explored. Again, F24H showed an ~2-fold increase in activity in the presence of 25% serum, whereas CP and CapD showed a >8-fold increase in activity in the presence of 25% serum (supplemental Fig. S8). Assuming that capsular degradation in mouse serum is representative of an in vivo environment, these experimental results comparing F24H with CP are consistent with the in vivo capsule degradation mechanism being transpeptidation (likely using endogenous amino acids and peptides as PDGA acceptors), as opposed to hydrolysis. Although F24H exhibits a significant change in reaction specificity and has provided an essential tool for the investigation of the in vivo mechanism of capsular degradation, additional mutants with larger changes in reaction specificity will be required to conclude that the in vivo reaction catalyzed by CapD is done primarily through transpeptidation and not hydrolysis.

It is important to generate improved anthrax therapeutics in the near future. The native B. anthracis protein CapD is a promising candidate for such a protein-based therapeutic but currently suffers from limitations that may prevent its general use. In this study, we have applied computational protein modeling techniques both to improve the production properties of CapD and to significantly increase its kinetic thermostability. In
addition, we generated a novel enzyme that was then used to help elucidate how this protein functions in vivo, and our results will inform future studies aimed at converting this protein into a potent protein-based therapeutic. The improved properties of CP, as well as the enhanced knowledge of this protein’s function, should lead to the more efficient development of anthrax therapeutics.
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Figure Legends for Supplemental Figures

Supplemental Figure S1. CapD Hydrolysis Transition State Modeling. The gamma-linked poly-D-glutamate hydrolysis transition state model was generated and modeled as described in the Experimental Procedures. Briefly, the Rosetta Modeling Suite was used to minimize the protein-ligand interface energy given the distance (d\_x: in angstroms) and angle (a\_x: in degrees) constraints.

Supplemental Figure S2. Mass Spectrometry Analysis of CP. The purified CP protein was analyzed using electrospray liquid-chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LCMS) on a TSQ Quantum Access. The expected weight of CP without the N-terminal methionine is 55285 Da, and with methionine is 55417 Da. The data for CP shows that the only major protein peak in the sample corresponds to the CP without the N-terminal methionine. The difference of 10 Da is well within the 0.02% error expected from the deconvolution of the liquid-chromatography electrospray ionization mass spectrometry charge envelope. The instrument was calibrated using the manufacturer recommended polytyrosine standard and the deconvolution was done using the manufacture provided ProMass Deconvolution 2.5 Software.

Supplemental Figure S3. Substrate versus Velocity Curves. The rate observed for CapD (A), CP (B), and F24H (C) was measured using three independent measurements for each enzyme as described in the Experimental Procedures section. Transpeptidation was measured as the observed activity in the presence of 5mM L-Glutamate and hydrolysis was measured as the observed activity in the absence of any exogenously added amino acids. The transpeptidation reaction for all three enzymes exhibited traditional Michaelis-Menten kinetics and was fit to \( k_1 \), while the hydrolysis reaction was better fit to a substrate inhibition model \( k_2 \).

Supplemental Figure S4. Purified CapD used for Determination of Kinetic Constants. The sample of CapD (right) used for determination of kinetic constants is to have more than 85% of the protein having undergone autocatalytic processing to active, dimeric protein. The Precision Plus Protein Kaleidoscope Standards (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) are labeled with molecular weights on the left in kDa.

Supplemental Figure S5. Far-UV and Melting Curve Circular Dichroism on CapD and CP. CD experiments were conducted as described in Experimental Procedures. (A) Far-UV CD spectra yielded similar ellipticity curves for CapD and CP, showing minimal difference between protein structures. (B) Melting curves display midpoint melting temperatures of 48.4°C for CapD and 51.1°C for CP, a 2.7°C shift in favor of CP. For both experiments, four curves were accumulated and averaged without smoothing.

Supplemental Figure S6. Initial Screen of the Eighty-Four Computationally Designed Enzymes. Each protein variant was generated, expressed, purified, and assayed once as described in Experimental Methods. For each protein, the measured specific activity for transpeptidation and hydrolysis was normalized to the original activity measured for CP (Yellow, normalized at 1.1). Points below the line represent successful designs in which transpeptidation was more significantly decreased than hydrolysis. In particular the mutant F24H (red) is highlighted since it had a minimal effect on hydrolysis, but significantly decreased the transpeptidation reaction.

Supplemental Figure S7. Transpeptidation versus Hydrolysis Mechanism. CapD undergoes two half reactions. In the first half of the reaction, an acyl-enzyme is formed with the C-terminal fragment of the peptide substrate, and the N-terminal half is released. In the second half, a transpeptidation reaction can occur, in which an amine can act as an acceptor to the C-terminal fragment of the original peptide that is stuck on the enzyme, resulting in the formation of free enzyme and a new peptide. Alternatively, water can catalyze the hydrolysis of the acyl-enzyme resulting in the release of the C-terminal fragment as a free acid as well as the regeneration of free enzyme.
Supplemental Figure S8. Serum Dependence of Synthetic PDGA Cleavage. Each enzyme was incubated at several different concentrations of mouse serum, as indicated in the Figure. The relative rate of PDGA cleavage was measured and plotted as a function of serum concentration. Each measurement at each concentration was done once and therefore no error bars are included.
Supplemental Table S1. Fluorescence Intensity Calibration Curves. The conversion between relative fluorescence units (RFU) and product concentration was done by measuring the fluorescence intensity of a serially diluted product standard at each substrate concentration used in the Michaelis-Menten profiles. The slope of each curve reported was linear and had an $R^2$ value of greater than 0.99.
| Amino Acid     | capD    | CP       | F24H     |
|---------------|---------|----------|----------|
| Alanine       | 10.59 ± 0.39 | 12.62 ± 0.64 | 1.09 ± 0.02 |
| Arginine      | 9.1 ± 0.28   | 12.88 ± 0.04   | 1.03 ± 0.02   |
| Asparagine    | 12.53 ± 0.52  | 15.07 ± 0.31   | 1.04 ± 0.01   |
| Aspartic Acid | 2.61 ± 0.01   | 3.15 ± 0.29   | -0.03 ± 0.13  |
| Cysteine      | 11.28 ± 0.02  | 15.7 ± 0.26    | 0.81 ± 0.12   |
| Glutamic Acid | 10.76 ± 0.16  | 17.63 ± 0.12   | 0.51 ± 0.07   |
| Glutamine     | 11.66 ± 1.86  | 16.9 ± 1.07    | 1.19 ± 0.06   |
| Glycine       | 4.89 ± 1.20   | 6.56 ± 0.21    | 0.59 ± 0.03   |
| Histidine     | 8.46 ± 0.96   | 12.28 ± 0.15   | 0.68 ± 0.13   |
| Isoleucine    | 11.73 ± 0.47  | 14.95 ± 0.50   | 1.02 ± 0.11   |
| Leucine       | 2.52 ± 0.04   | 3.82 ± 0.17    | 0.86 ± 0.06   |
| Lysine        | 6.44 ± 0.08   | 7.73 ± 0.14    | 0.80 ± 0.03   |
| Methionine    | 8.95 ± 0.04   | 12.89 ± 0.07   | 0.57 ± 0.02   |
| Phenylalanine | 10.03 ± 0.35  | 13.98 ± 0.09   | 0.89 ± 0.09   |
| Proline       | 0.16 ± 0.02   | 1.57 ± 0.10    | 0.02 ± 0.03   |
| Serine        | 12.32 ± 0.07  | 16.43 ± 0.14   | 1.09 ± 0.03   |
| Threonine     | 8.51 ± 0.37   | 8.09 ± 1.42    | 0.82 ± 0.07   |
| Tryptophan    | 1.54 ± 0.11   | 2.81 ± 0.09    | -0.18 ± 0.04  |
| Tyrosine*     | 4.51 ± 1.01   | 7.38 ± 0.03    | 0.38 ± 0.10   |
| Valine        | 3.57 ± 0.36   | 4.87 ± 0.06    | 0.79 ± 0.09   |
| Hydrolysis    | 0.66 ± 0.02   | 1.41 ± 0.01    | 0.56 ± 0.02   |

**Supplemental Table S2. Amino Acid Specificity of CapD, CP, and F24H.** The specific activity for each enzyme, CP, CapD, and F24H, was determined using 5mM of the amino acid acceptor, as described in Experimental Procedures. The specific activity for Tyrosine (Tyrosine*) was done at 1.8 mM amino acid due to the limited solubility of tyrosine. Each activity was measured in triplicate with the errors representing the calculated standard deviation.
Supplemental Table S3. Observed hydrolysis and transpeptidation rates from the experimental screen of the computationally designed enzyme library. Each protein variant was generated, expressed, purified, and assayed as described in Experimental Methods. For each protein, the measured specific activity for transpeptidation and hydrolysis was normalized to the original activity measured for CP. As this was only an initial screen to identify potentially interesting protein variants from the designed library the expression and activity measurements for each member of the library was only done once.

| Mutant Name (CP Numbering) | Relative Transpeptidation | Relative Hydrolysis |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| CP                         | 1.0                       | 1.0                 |
| CapD                       | 0.5                       | 0.7                 |
| F24H                       | 0.1                       | 1.2                 |
| F24A                       | 0.0                       | 0.1                 |
| F24H, L40R, T59D_M61S      | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| F24H, L40R, T59N_M61S      | 0.1                       | 1.1                 |
| F24H, L40R, T59S_M61S      | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| F24H, R356K                | 0.1                       | 0.3                 |
| F24H, T59R                 | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| F24H, T59N                 | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| F24W                       | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| F24Y                       | 0.6                       | 0.9                 |
| F24Y, L40R                 | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| F24Y, L40R, T59N           | 0.0                       | 0.2                 |
| F24Y, R305K                | 0.0                       | 0.2                 |
| F24Y, T59N                 | 0.0                       | 0.2                 |
| F24Y, T59N, R305K          | 0.0                       | 0.1                 |
| F60W                       | 0.2                       | 0.5                 |
| G79A                       | 0.0                       | -0.5                |
| I83T                       | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| I83V                       | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| L40A                       | 0.2                       | 0.4                 |
| L40R                       | 1.2                       | 1.5                 |
| L40S                       | 0.2                       | 0.6                 |
| L40W                       | 0.8                       | 1.2                 |
| M61H                       | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| M61N                       | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| M61S                       | 0.3                       | 0.5                 |
| N23K                       | 0.8                       | 0.8                 |
| N23Q                       | 0.2                       | 0.6                 |
| S143K                      | 0.6                       | 0.4                 |
| S22I, T59A                 | 0.0                       | -0.1                |
| S22Q, T59Q                 | 0.0                       | 0.1                 |
| S57H, M61H                 | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| T18L, T59Q, M61N, F452W    | 0.0                       | 0.0                 |
| T18S_T20S                  | 0.6                       | 1.2                 |
|                | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|----------------|-----|-----|
| T20A           | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20C           | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| T20S           | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| T20S, L40D     | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S, L40E     | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| T20S, L40F     | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| T20S, L40H     | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S, L40K     | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S, L40Q     | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| T20S, L40R     | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S, L40R, F60E | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S, L40R, F60Q | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S, L40R, N81E | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S, L40R, N81Q | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| T20S, L40R, T59K | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S, L40R, T59K_M61S | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S, T59Q, M61T | 0.0 | -0.1 |
| T20S,M61A      | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S,M61C      | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| T20S,M61D      | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S,M61G      | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S,M61L      | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S,M61N      | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S,M61S      | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| T20S,M61T      | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| T20S,M61V      | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T20S,T59N      | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| T20S,T59S_M61S | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| T20S_F24H      | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| T20S_F24Y      | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| T20S_F24Y,T59N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T2A            | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T2C            | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T2S            | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| T2S,T18S_T20S  | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| T2S,T18S_T20S,T59S_M61S | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T2S,T20S       | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| T2V            | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T59H_M61A      | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T59H_M61T      | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T59M           | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T59N           | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| T59Q           | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T59Q, M61Q, F452W | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| T59S, M61H | 0.0 | 0.1 |
Supplemental Figure S1

|      |      |      |      |      |
|------|------|------|------|------|
| $d_1$ | 1.5 ± 0.1 |
| $d_2$ | 2.8 ± 0.1 |
| $d_3$ | 2.8 ± 0.1 |
| $a_1$ | 109 ± 5  |
| $a_2$ | 109 ± 5  |
| $a_3$ | 120 ± 5  |
| $a_4$ | 120 ± 5  |
Supplemental Figure S5
