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ABSTRACT: This study describes the preparation of new bimetallic (Fe/Co)—organic framework (Bi-MOF) nanocatalysts with different percentages of iron/cobalt for their use and reuse in adsorption, antibacterial, antioxidant, and catalytic applications following the principles of green chemistry. The prepared catalysts were characterized using several techniques, including X-ray powder diffraction, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, transmission electron microscopy, and scanning electron microscopy. These techniques proved the formation of MOFs, and the average crystallite sizes were 25.3−53.1, 27.6−67.2, 3.0−18.9, 3.0−12.9, and 3.0−23.6 nm for the Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF samples, respectively. The nanoscale (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts as efficient heterogeneous solid catalysts showed high catalytic activity with excellent yields and short reaction times in the catalytic reactions of quinoxaline and dibenzoanthene compounds, in addition to their antioxidant and antibacterial activities. Furthermore, the nanoscale (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts efficiently removed toxic metal pollutants (Pb^{2+}, Hg^{2+}, Cd^{2+}, and Cu^{2+}) from aqueous solutions with high adsorption capacity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the design, crystal engineering, and synthesis of metal–organic coordination frameworks have attracted great attention as promising functional materials. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are a family of nonporous, crystalline solid-state materials with one-, two-, or three-dimensional structures.1−3 MOFs are also known as coordination polymers,4 and they are crystalline, microporous to mesoporous functional materials consisting of inorganic clusters interconnected by organic linkers.5 Their inorganic components may be single or have more metal atoms coordinated by ligand atoms, and their organic components (ligand) are generally small organic molecules that can bind to metal ions or clusters via functional groups. Metal-linker clusters contain more than one metal atom or extended arrays of metal atoms linked by single ligand atoms.6,7

Because of their unique characteristics (e.g., high specific surface area, high porosity, controllable pore size, low density, and great functionality), intriguing architectures, and intricate entangled motifs, MOFs have a wide range of applications in gas storage, gas separation, heterogeneous catalysis, microelectronics, nonlinear optics, sensing, drug delivery, membranes, and pollutant adsorption.8−14 MOFs have many advantages over the more widely known zeolites.15 Compared with traditional porous materials, MOFs have high specific surface areas, reaching about 10,000 m²/g.13 Although MOFs are relatively new, their basic structures, known as the secondary building unit,16 very closely resemble those of metal complexes as organic linkers can donate multiple lone pairs of electrons to metal cations, whereas metal cations are made up of vacant orbital shells that can accept these lone pairs of electrons to form MOFs.17

A general strategy for the utilization of heterometallic—organic frameworks, especially bimetallic—organic frameworks (Bi-MOFs), is the use of two or more different metals.18,19 On the subject of the catalytic activities of coordination polymers (MOFs), the types and properties of incorporated metal ions are important.20−25 In principle, the active sites of MOF catalysts can be the metals at the nodes of a framework (metal centers with unsaturated coordination environments).23,24 In MOF compounds, the metal ions and organic linker (ligand) play important roles in catalytic reaction mechanisms. The inorganic metal moieties are Lewis acids; that is, they can act as electron pair acceptors, especially when uncoordinated metal sites are generated because of the removal of coordinated solvent molecules that remain after the preparation of MOFs.10,25

The synthesis of multi-MOFs as nanomolds for hosting functional inorganic nanoparticles and their wide application have attracted attention in the last few years.19,22,26 In this
regard, heavy metals are of great concern because of the continuous industrial development. However, the intensive spread and accumulation of contaminants in water are considered serious environmental problems affecting human, animal, and plant life when released into the environment.\textsuperscript{27–30} For instance, lead, mercury, copper, and cadmium ions are considered the worst heavy metals for humans and animals.\textsuperscript{31–33}

Quinoline and its derivatives are fragments of several biologically active and pharmacologically important compounds.\textsuperscript{34} It exhibits a broad spectrum of biological activities (e.g., antibacterial, antiviral, anticancer, antifungal, antitubercular, and anti-inflammatory).\textsuperscript{35–38} In recent years, the use of recoverable and reusable catalysts has attracted special attention because of their nontoxicity and economic, environmental, and industrial advantages.\textsuperscript{3} For instance, xanthene and its derivatives are important because of their wide range of biological and pharmaceutical characteristics and have agricultural bactericidal, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, and antiviral activities.\textsuperscript{40–44} They have been widely used in dyes, fluorescent materials for the visualization of biomolecules, and laser technologies. However, many of the synthesis methods of these catalysts suffer from one or more disadvantages, such as long reaction times (from 16 h to 5 days), harsh conditions, expensive reagents, use of toxic organic solvents, low product yields, and the need for excess reagents and catalysts.

This work aims to further understand new (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts to determine their potential use in adsorption, antibacterial, antioxidant, and catalytic applications. Particular focus was devoted to the synthesis, structural characterization, and different applications of new inorganic–organic frameworks consisting of two different metals to investigate their diversity and potential as functional materials. We used the prepared nanocatalysts for the adsorption removal of four different heavy metals, catalytic activity of quinoxaline and dibenzoanthene compounds, and antibacterial and antioxidant activities. Following the principles of green chemistry, the design of easily separable, reusable, nontoxic, low-cost, and insoluble acidic (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts is the most important aim of this work.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Preparation of the Catalyst Samples. 2.1.1. Preparation of Fe-MOF Nanoparticles. The Fe-MOF was synthesized using the solvothermal method by mixing Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and terephthalic acid (1:1 M ratio M/L) in 40 mL of dimethylformamide (DMF) under vigorous stirring, followed by the addition of 2.2 mL of triethylamine dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 2 h and then transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave, which was sealed and maintained at 120 °C for 4 h. A precipitate was formed and then filtered by centrifugation, washed, added to chloroform, and left overnight. Thereafter, the precipitate was filtered and dried at 60 °C in a vacuum oven for 6 h.\textsuperscript{45–48}

2.1.2. Preparation of Co-MOF Nanoparticles. The Co-MOF was synthesized using the solvothermal method by mixing Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and terephthalic acid (1:1 M ratio M/L) in 40 mL of DMF under vigorous stirring, followed by the addition of 2.2 mL of triethylamine dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 2 h and then transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave, which was sealed and maintained at 120 °C for 4 h. A purple precipitate was formed and then filtered by centrifugation, washed, added to chloroform, and left overnight. Thereafter, the precipitate was filtered and dried at 60 °C in a vacuum oven for 6 h.\textsuperscript{45–48}

2.2. (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF Nanocatalyst Applications. 2.2.1. Adsorption Activity. Adsorption studies were performed using 0.03 g of activated Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, and (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts and 100 ppm/100 mL of heavy metal solutions (lead, copper, mercury, and cadmium) for 120 min. The pH values of the pollutant solutions were adjusted to 2–7 or 8 for heavy metals using 0.1 M HCl and 0.1 M NaOH solutions. The heavy metal equilibrium concentrations were determined using the spectrophotometric method at a certain wavelength for each pollutant. The adsorbed amount of heavy metals at equilibrium ($q_e$ in mg/g) was calculated using the following equation

\[
q_e = \frac{[(C_0 - C_e)V]}{W} \tag{1}
\]

and

\[
\% \text{ removal} = \frac{|C_0 - C_e|}{C_0} \times 100 \tag{2}
\]

2.2.2. Catalytic Activity. Quinoxaline was synthesized using a mixture of 0.1 mol o-phenylenediamine and 0.1 mol diethyl oxalate in a round flask. Then, the flask was placed in an oil bath and refluxed for 2 h at 80 °C in the presence of 0.03 g of activated (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts.\textsuperscript{41,44,54} Dibenzoanthene was synthesized using a mixture of 2 mmol β-naphthol with 1 mmol benzaldehyde in a round flask. The flask was then placed in an oil bath and refluxed for 30 min at 70 °C in the presence of 0.03 g of activated (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts.\textsuperscript{41,44,54} The formed solid was filtered, washed with water, and dried to give a pure compound. It could be also purified by crystallization from ethanol. The product was characterized by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy.

2.2.3. Antibacterial and Antioxidant Activities. We studied the antibacterial and antioxidant activities of all the nanocatalyst samples, where the antibacterial activities of the prepared nanocatalysts were individually tested against a panel of Gram-positive Bacillus subtilis, Gram-negative Escherichia coli, and Candida barbicans.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. X-ray Diffraction Analysis. Figure 1 shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the different percentages of (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts. The peaks of the Fe-MOF catalysts appeared at 5.34, 6.73, 9.63, 11.60, 12.88, 13.67, 14.80, 20.30, 23.34, 24.23, 25.25, 29.07, 34.68, 35.92, 37.49, 44.12, and 46.25°, indicating that the Fe-MOF catalysts were crystal-
The peaks of the Co-MOF catalysts appeared at 9.29, 10.56, 12.56, 15.35, 17.60, 20.19, 21.76, 23.34, 25.45, 27.94, 29.85, 34.35, 36.03, and 33.67°, indicating that the Co-MOF catalysts were crystallized. The XRD patterns of Co-MOF showed two main peaks at 9.29 and 20.19°, which corresponds to a standard pattern. The main peaks of the 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOFs appeared at 5.35, 6.23, 9.74, 13.74, 14.79, 20.10, 24.30, 23.23°, 24.23, 25.35, 29.28, 34.90, 35.92, 37.37, 43.90, and 46.25°, indicating that all (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF samples were crystallized. We observed that the peak at 9.63° of the Fe-MOF catalyst was stronger than that of the Co-MOF catalyst. The XRD patterns show that the peak at 9.29° increased with the increase in the iron percentage from 10 to 90% in the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs. The peak at 29.00° was clearer in the Co-MOF catalyst, which slightly decreased with the increased percentage of iron in the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts. Generally, the peaks of the Fe-MOF increased with the increasing percentages of iron in the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts, whereas the peak of the Co-MOF decreased with the decreasing percentages of cobalt in the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts (i.e., the peaks of the Co-MOF catalysts decreased with the increasing percentages of iron). A sharp peak below 10° indicated that the MOF materials are crystalline. These results confirm the formation of (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs and are in good agreement with the results of Wang et al. The crystallite sizes were 25.3–53.1, 27.6–67.2, 3.0–18.9, 3.0–12.9, and 3.0–23.6 nm for Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF, respectively.

3.2. FTIR Spectroscopy. The prepared catalysts were characterized using their FTIR spectra. Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF samples were observed at room temperature to confirm the presence of BTC (to confirm the formation of MOFs), as shown in Figure 2. The peaks at 1663 and 1579 and 1478 and 1384 cm⁻¹ indicate asymmetric and symmetric carboxylate groups, respectively. Additionally, the absence of a strong absorption band near 1710 or 1714 cm⁻¹ indicates that all carboxyl groups of the terephthalic ligand are deprotonated. The broad and medium-intensity peak at 3420−3432 cm⁻¹ was produced by the (OH) stretching vibrations of coordinated water molecules. The Δν [ν_(as)(COO) − ν_(s)(COO)] values were 185 and 195 cm⁻¹, which are characteristic of the bridge coordination mode. The relatively weak absorption band located at 3063 cm⁻¹ was due to the stretching vibrations of the aromatic ring (–CH)ar, which confirms the presence of ligand molecules in the MOFs. Additionally, the peak at 1105–1111 cm⁻¹ was related to the C–O stretching vibration. Generally, most of the bands in the region from about 1600–1605 to 700–800 cm⁻¹ were due to aromatic ring stretching. The weak and

![Figure 1. XRD pattern of Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, 10%Fe:90% Co-MOF, 50% Fe:50%Co-MOF, and 90% Fe:10%Co-MOF samples.](image1)

![Figure 2. FTIR spectra of (a) Co-MOF, (b) Fe-MOF, (c) (10%Fe:90%Co)-MOF, (d) (50% Fe:50%Co)-MOF, and (e) (90% Fe:10%Co)-MOF samples.](image2)
narrow bands at 1065–1083 and 750–782 cm$^{-1}$ could be attributed to the $\gamma$(C–H) and $\delta$(C–H) vibration of aromatic rings, respectively. The band with medium strength at 538–557 cm$^{-1}$ was due to M–O vibrations, which proved that MOFs were indeed formed.61

3.3. Transmission Electron Microscopy. Figure 3 shows the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the morphology and microstructure of Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, and (Fe/Co)-MOF catalysts. The results showed irregular and similar hexagonal particles with nanosizes reaching nearly 28.8 and 27.6 nm for the Fe-MOF and Co-MOF, respectively. The TEM images of the 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF catalysts showed the presence of two different shapes with defects in the (Fe/Co)-MOF samples, with nanosizes reaching nearly 23.0, 22.9, and 23.6 nm for 10%Fe:90%Co-MOFs, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOFs, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOFs, respectively. These results are in accordance with the XRD results. The 50%Fe:50%Co-MOFs showed an enhanced crystallinity with a crystallite size of 22.9 nm, making it the best nanosize sample with high catalytic and adsorption activities.

3.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy. Figure 4 shows the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the MOF samples, which show irregular and similar hexagonal particles with smooth and large surface areas and nanosizes reaching nearly 28.8 and 27.6 nm for the Fe-MOF and Co-MOF, respectively. They also show large surface areas and the presence of two different shapes for the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF samples with defects for 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF with nanosizes reaching nearly 23.0, 22.9, and 23.6 nm, respectively, which are in accordance with the XRD and TEM results.

3.5. Adsorption Activity. This section reports the adsorption of heavy metals (Pb$^{2+}$, Hg$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$) as environmental pollutants from aqueous solutions.

3.5.1. Effect of pH on Adsorption. The pH of pollutant solutions is one of the major factors that influence the adsorption of heavy metals.63 We studied the influence of pH on the removal of Pb(II), Hg(II), Cd(II), and Cu(II) using (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs, presented in Figure 5. Generally, the uptake of Pb(II), Hg(II), Cu(II), and Cd(II) ions on absorbents by 0.03 g of Bi-MOF catalysts placed in bottles containing 100 mL of aqueous solutions of heavy metals as inorganic pollutants was significantly high in basic solutions compared with that in acidic solutions at an initial concentration (100 mg/L). The pH values selected in the experiments were prior to the precipitation limit of metals: pH = 5 for Pb$^{2+}$, pH = 7 for Cd$^{2+}$, and pH = 6 for Cu$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$.
In Figure 5, the maximum adsorption capacities were 98.89, 95.98, 93.89, and 92.6% for lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper ions, respectively, on the Bi-MOF samples after 120 min. At low pH values, low removal efficiencies were observed because of hydrogen ions competing with metal ions on active sites (at ion-exchangeable sites).\textsuperscript{64,65} The protonation of the surface of the catalysts at a lower pH led to the extensive repulsion of metal ions, which may be another reason for the decrease in the adsorption of metals at lower pH ranges.

3.5.2. Effect of Initial Metal Concentrations on Adsorption. We studied the effects of the initial concentrations of lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper ions on the removal rate at initial concentrations of 25, 50, 100, 150, 200, and 300 mg/L after 120 min using 0.03 g of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst. Figure 6 shows the adsorption capacities of heavy metals. The removal percentage decreased with the increase in initial concentrations of heavy metals from 25 to 300 mg/L. Evidently, at \(C_0 = 25, 50, 100, 150, 200,\) and 300 mg/L, the maximum adsorption capacities on the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst after 120 min were 100, 100, 98.37, 92.16, 87.65, and 81.01% for lead ions; 100, 99.045, 95.98, 88.01, 78.00, and 73.63% for mercury ions; 100, 95.75, 93.89, 78.3, 64.02, and 53.55% for cadmium ions; and 100, 98.70, 92.40, 87.00, 76.99, and 70.50% for copper ions, respectively. At lower solution concentrations, the removal percentage was about 100% (i.e., approaching complete removal of heavy metals), which is highly appreciated in some cases such as the treatment of metal pollutants from wastewater. The decrease in the removal percentage of heavy metals at \(C_0 = 300\) mg/L may be attributed to the saturation of available active sites on the

![Figure 5](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c01770)

**Figure 5.** Effect of pH on the adsorption of Cd\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), and Hg\(^{2+}\) after 120 min using 0.03 g of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst.

![Figure 6](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c01770)

**Figure 6.** Effect of initial metal concentrations on the adsorption of Cd\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), and Hg\(^{2+}\) after 120 min using 0.03 g of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst.
surface of the catalysts with the increase in the initial concentrations of heavy metals.\textsuperscript{30,31,66,67} Additionally, the metal salt solutions contained nitrate or chloride anions, which increased in the metal solutions with increasing metal concentrations. In other words, the increase in the nitrate or chloride ions may block the active sites of the catalyst surfaces.

3.5.3. Effect of Adsorption Kinetics on the Adsorption of Heavy Metals. The adsorption efficiency as a function of contact time was monitored by varying the equilibrium time between the adsorbate (heavy metals) and the adsorbent (the prepared catalysts) in the range of 0−120 min for Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF samples. The effect of the content time on the adsorption of lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper ions onto the prepared catalysts was determined at an initial concentration of 100 mg/L at 25 °C using 0.03 g of the nanocatalysts. The time required to reach equilibrium is 6 h for the Fe-MOF and Co-MOF nanocatalysts and 120 min for the Bi-MOF nanocatalysts. Figures 7−12 show the effect of contact time on the adsorption of heavy metals. The removal of metal ions on the Fe-MOF and Co-MOF nanocatalysts observed a quick increase during the first 4 h and then a gradual increase within 6 h. Thereafter, no or a slight increase in metal adsorption was observed. For the removal of metal ions on the Bi-MOF nanocatalysts, a quick increase within the first 60 min, then a gradual increase within 120 min, and no able increase in the adsorption of heavy metals thereafter were observed. The experimental data confirmed that the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF was the best sample for the adsorption of heavy metals. The uptake expressed in terms of the amount of the metal removed from the pollutant solutions was as follows: Pb (II) > Hg(II) > Cd(II) > Cu(II).

3.5.4. Effect of Catalyst Dosage. The adsorption of lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper cations by the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts was studied by changing the amount of the
catalyst (0.01, 0.03, 0.05, and 0.07 g) but keeping the initial metal concentration at 100 mg/L for 120 min on the 50% Fe:50% Co-MOF sample. The effect of the catalyst weight on the removal of Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Cu²⁺ ions is presented in Figure 13. The results reveal that the removal percentage of the toxic heavy metals from aqueous solutions increased with the increase in the catalyst weight from 0.01 to 0.07 g. The maximum adsorption efficiencies for 0.07 g of the 50%Fe:50% Co-MOF nanocatalyst after 120 min were 100, 99.37, 98.45, and 98.11% for lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper ions, respectively. This indicates that as the amount of the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts is increased, their ability to adsorb metals increases. This is because surfaces are finite at low catalyst weights, whereas they are infinite at high weights.  

3.5.5. Effect of the Repeated Use of Catalysts. Figure 14 shows the effect of reusing catalysts on heavy metal adsorption using 0.03 g of the 50%Fe:50% Co Bi-MOF. The adsorption activity slightly decreased with catalyst reuse from 98.37, 95.98, 93.89, and 92.60% at the first use to about 60.11, 52.09, 46.89, and 50.31% at the fourth use for lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper ions, respectively. This clearly indicates the slight deactivation of the catalysts possibly because of the presence of nitrates or chlorides originating from the solutions of the metal salts or the gradual loss of weight of the catalysts during filtration and washing.  

Our recyclability studies suggest that the prepared catalysts can be repeatedly used as efficient adsorbents in water treatment.
3.5.6. Adsorption Isotherms. The study of adsorption isotherms is very important in determining the correlations between adsorbates and adsorbents at equilibrium conditions. Thus, we used several adsorption isotherm models with distinguished parameters to understand the adsorption mechanism behavior of the adsorbent surface during adsorption and its adsorption capacity to adsorb adsorbates (pollutants). We used in this study two widely used adsorption isotherm models: the Langmuir and Freundlich models.

The Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms equations can be written as follows:

**Langmuir equations**

\[ \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_m} + \frac{C_e}{q_m} \]  
\[ \log q_e = \frac{1}{n} \log C_e + \log K_F \]  

where \( q_m \) (mg/g) is the maximum amount of pollutants (heavy metals) that can be adsorbed, \( K_L \) is the Langmuir constant related to adsorption rates, \( K_F \) is the Freundlich constant, and \( n \) indicates the favorability of the adsorption process. For the Langmuir isotherm, a straight line with slope \( \frac{1}{q_m} \) and intercept \( \frac{1}{K_L q_m} \) is obtained by plotting \( C_e/q_e \) against \( C_e \). One of the essential characteristics of the Langmuir isotherm model
can be expressed by a separation factor ($R_L$), which is defined as

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K C_0} \quad (5)$$

The $R_L$ value implies whether the adsorption is linear ($R_L = 1$), favorable ($0 < R_L < 1$), unfavorable ($R_L > 1$), or irreversible ($R_L = 0$). The obtained $R_L$ values were 0.0020, 0.00261, 0.00290, and 0.0035 for lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper cations, respectively, showing that the adsorption of these metal cations on the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts was favorable.

$K_F$ and $n$ are Freundlich constants related to adsorption capacity and adsorption intensity, respectively. Generally, $n > 1$ illustrates that the adsorbate is favorably adsorbed on the adsorbent (catalysts), whereas $n < 1$ demonstrates that the adsorption process is chemical in nature. The plot of ln $q_e$ versus ln $C_e$ gave a straight line with a slope of $1/n$ and an intercept of ln $K_F$. The values found for $n$ were 3.127, 3.097, 1.851, and 2.51 for lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper cations, respectively, which proves that the adsorption of heavy metals on the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts is favorable and physical in nature.

The results show that the $R^2$ values obtained from the Langmuir isotherm for lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper ion adsorption on the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts were higher than those obtained from the Freundlich isotherm: the $R^2$ values from the Langmuir isotherm were 0.9998, 0.9997,
Figure 15. Equilibrium adsorption isotherms of $\text{Cd}^{2+}$, $\text{Pb}^{2+}$, $\text{Cu}^{2+}$, and $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ on the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst.

Figure 16. Linear form of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms for $\text{Pb}^{2+}$, $\text{Hg}^{2+}$, $\text{Cd}^{2+}$, and $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ on the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst.
9985, and 0.9978, whereas those from the Freundlich isotherm were 0.9642, 0.9637, 95.71, and 0.9108, respectively. This shows that the adsorption of lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper cations on the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts using the Langmuir isotherm model was favorable. The equilibrium adsorption isotherms of lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper cations onto the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst are described in Figure 15, whereas the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms are described in Figure 16.

3.5.7. Kinetic Study. Adsorption kinetics is an important characteristic when determining the pollutant adsorption process efficiency. The adsorption of a solute by solid catalysts in aqueous pollutant solutions is a phenomenon that usually has complex kinetics. In this study, adsorption kinetics describes the rate of adsorbate (heavy metals) uptake onto the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalyst samples. Several kinetic models are available to help us understand the behavior of adsorbents, examine the controlling mechanisms of the adsorption process, and test the experimental data. In the present investigation, the adsorption data were analyzed using two famous kinetic models: the pseudo-first-order model of heavy metal adsorption, which can be expressed by the equation

\[ \ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t \]  

and the pseudo-second-order model of adsorption, which may be written as

\[ \frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e} \]  

The results confirmed that the pseudo-first-order kinetic model did not produce good linearity, and the predicted \( q_e \) values obtained from this kinetic model did not display good agreement with the experimental ones. This suggested that heavy metal adsorption by (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanoparticles would not follow the pseudo-first-order kinetic model. Meanwhile, the pseudo-second-order kinetic model produced
good linearity, and the experimental and calculated $q_e$ values were obtained from this kinetic model as the value of $R^2$ of the pseudo-second-order kinetic model was higher than that of the pseudo-first-order kinetic model. In addition, the application of the pseudo-first-order model to the kinetic adsorption curves of lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper cations on the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts gave $q_e$ values that are significantly lower than the corresponding experimental ones. These suggested that the pseudo-second-order adsorption mechanism for the adsorption of lead, mercury, cadmium, and copper ions on the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts was dominant, showing that the adsorption process is controlled by chemisorption (the rate-limiting step in the adsorption of heavy metal ions is chemisorption), which involves valency forces through the sharing or exchange of electrons between the solvent and adsorbate. The pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models of heavy metal adsorption are described in Figures 17–20.

3.5.8. Mechanism of Adsorption of Heavy Metals. The infrared spectroscopy technique has proven to be a reliable technique for studying the interaction of a catalyst surface with pollutant species during the adsorption process of inorganic or organic pollutants from aqueous solutions. The change in the intensity of FTIR peaks after the adsorption process of heavy metals can be used to indicate interactions of the heavy metals with functional groups on the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalyst. Thus, FTIR spectra before and after metal ion adsorption were obtained and compared to identify the interaction of the 50% Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst surface with adsorbed heavy metals (Pb$^{2+}$, Hg$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$). Figure 21 shows that the intensities of characteristic FTIR peaks of the main M−O, M−OH, C=O, and −C−O groups (as functional groups) were decreased after the adsorption of Pb$^{2+}$, Hg$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$ compared with those of the fresh 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst, which indicates that these functional groups participated in the adsorption process of Pb$^{2+}$, Hg$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, and

![Figure 18. Pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order kinetic models for the adsorption of Hg$^{2+}$ by Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF samples.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c01770)
It was seen from the survey FTIR spectrum of the 50% Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst after the adsorption process that the FTIR peaks did not shift compared with those of the fresh (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalyst, indicating that the catalyst structure did not change after the adsorption of Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Cu²⁺, which clearly indicates the presence of the adsorbed metals on the catalyst surface. This result might be related to the chemical interactions between (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs and the heavy metal ions, as well as related to the formation of van der Waals forces, acid–base interactions, and diffusion on the catalyst surfaces. The magnetic properties of (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs may lead to an improved adsorption rate. Based on the above discussion, it could be concluded that the main functional groups (M−O, M−OH, C=O, and −C−O groups) were key active sites involved in the adsorption process of Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Cu²⁺ from aqueous solutions. The proposed adsorption mechanism on the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalyst has been illustrated in the Graphical Abstract.

### 3.6. Catalytic Activity

#### 3.6.1. Surface Acidity (Non-aqueous Titration)

Nonaqueous titration was used to investigate the acidity of surfaces, including the total number of acid sites and acidic strength. According to the nonaqueous titration, the initial electrode potential ($E_i$) indicates the maximum acid strength of the surface sites, whereas the range where a plateau is reached (meq/g solid) indicates the total number of acid sites. The nonaqueous titration method was conducted using $n$-butyl amine in acetonitrile. The value for the electrode potential in this method is related to the surface acidity of the catalysts, as shown in [Figure 19](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c01770).

#### 3.6.2. Catalysis of the Quinoxaline Synthesis by (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF Nanocatalysts

We studied the catalysis of quinoxaline synthesis at 80 °C in the presence of catalytic amounts of (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts (0.03 g). The progress of the reaction was monitored using TLC. After the completion of the reaction, the catalysts were filtered off, washed, and dried. The combined organic layers were then washed and dried.

![Figure 19](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c01770)
solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and pure products were obtained without any further purification.37,74 Figure 23 shows the FTIR analysis of the quinoxaline compounds. A wide range of homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts such as Cu (BDC), Fe3O4, HCl, and iodine are well-suited for such reactions.54,75

3.6.2.1. Effect of the Percentages of Iron and Cobalt Metals in (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs on the Catalytic Activity. The effect of the percentages of iron and cobalt in (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs on catalytic activity was studied using 0.03 g of the different catalysts (Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF) for the catalysis of the quinoxaline synthesis. From Figure 24, the quinoxaline yield percentage increased gradually with the increasing percentages of iron up to the maximum at 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF and then decreased. This conforms with the XRD and TEM results, which showed that the nanosize of the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts decreased with the increasing percentage of iron up to the maximum at 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF and then increased. When the nanosize decreased, the surface area increased, the number of active sites on the catalyst surface increased, and the catalytic activity was very high.

Figure 25 and Table 1 show that the catalytic activity and number of acid sites increased with the increasing iron percentage up to 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF and then decreased. The increase in the surface acidity may have been due to the strong interaction between the greater amounts of iron in Bi-MOFs and cobalt. 3.6.2.2. Effect of the Molar Ratio of o-Phenylenediamine/Diethyl Oxalate. The molar ratio of reactants in any catalytic reaction is one of the most important parameters that must be optimized.78 The effect of the molar ratio of o-phenylenediamine to diethyl oxalate ranging from 1:1 to 1:5 on the quinoxaline synthesis at 80 °C by the 0.03-g 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst was investigated. The results are shown in Figure 26. It can be observed that the percentage yield of quinoxaline increased from 93.40 to 95.51 and 98.38% as the molar ratio of o-phenylenediamine to diethyl oxalate is increased from 1:1 to 1:2 and 1:3, respectively. Thereafter, the yield percentage decreased from 95.15 to 90.15% with the increase in the molar ratio of o-phenylenediamine to diethyl
oxalate from 1:4 to 1:5. From these results, the 1:3 m ratio of o-phenylenediamine to diethyl oxalate was the optimum for the synthesis of quinoxaline. The decrease in the catalytic activity of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst at molar ratios of 1:4 and 1:5 may be explained on the basis of the fact that the increase in the diethyl oxalate concentration hinders the reaction by blocking active sites on the catalyst surface as a result of the saturation of the active sites with diethyl oxalate molecules.78,79

3.6.2.3. Reusability (Recyclability) Study of the Catalysts.

The reusability of heterogeneous catalysts is an important aspect of any industrial process. Thus, we studied the reusability of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst by conducting repeated runs of the reaction at 80 °C and keeping the reactant molar ratio of o-phenylenediamine to diethyl oxalate at 1:1. The 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF catalyst was regenerated after every 2 h of reaction time, and it was separated through filtration, washed with ethanol and DMF, dried at 120 °C for 2 h, and reused in another reaction under similar conditions. Figure 27 shows the results of reusing the catalyst four times. With the increase in the number of usages of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst, its catalytic activity is slightly decreased from 93.40, 86.10, and 82.40 to 76.80 for the first, second, third, and fourth use, respectively, because of the gradual loss of the catalyst weight during filtration and washing.80,81

3.6.2.4. Effect of the Weight of the Catalyst on the Synthesis of Quinoxaline.

In this part, the amount of the 50% Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst was varied to 0.01, 0.03, 0.05, and 0.07 g while keeping the molar ratio of o-phenylenediamine to diethyl oxalate at 1:1 and the reaction temperature at

Figure 21. FTIR spectra of 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF before and after the adsorption process.

Figure 22. Potentiometric titration curve of n-butylamine in acetonitrile for Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF samples.
80 °C for 2 h. Figure 28 shows that with the increase in the amount of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst from 0.01, 0.03, and 0.05, to 0.07 g, the quinoxaline yield increased from 71.98, 93.40, and 96.00 to 97.23%, respectively. This was due to the availability of the surface area and acid sites (active sites),79 where the catalyst weight increased (i.e., the number of nanoparticles increased), increasing the surface area and number of active sites.

3.6.2.5. Effect of the Reaction Time on the Synthesis of Quinoxaline. The influence of the reaction time on the quinoxaline synthesis by the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts is given in Figure 29. Using 0.03 g of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocomposite as the catalyst under other similar conditions, we studied the effect of various reaction time durations (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, and 3.0 h). The results indicate that the quinoxaline yield increased with the increase in reaction time from 0.5 to 2 h. Thereafter, no notable increase or only a slight increase in the quinoxaline yield was observed, suggesting the influence of time on the yield. The percentage yield of quinoxaline increased from 18.00, 49.91, 74.44, and 94.30 to 94.81% after 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, and 3.0 h, respectively. This means that the catalyst activity established equilibrium after 2 h.

3.6.2.6. Effect of the Used Solvent in the Reaction. Solvents are known to significantly affect the rates of catalytic activities. The effect of the used solvent is attributed to various factors, which include the solubility of the yield, thermodynamic interaction of the solvent with the reactants and products, and competitive adsorption of the solvent. Different reaction media were used to perform quinoxaline synthesis, such as those using H2O, C2H5OH, DMF, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as solvents. Figure 30 clearly shows that under the same reaction conditions, when water and ethanol were used as solvents, the reaction led to relatively higher yields and shorter reaction times; the quinoxaline yields were 93.4 and 92.12% with ethanol and water, respectively.34,82

Figure 23. FTIR analysis of the quinoxaline compound.

Figure 24. Effect of Fe/Co content on the synthesis of quinoxaline by (a) Fe-MOF, (b) Co-MOF, (c) 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF, (d) 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF, (e) 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, (f) 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF, and (g) 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF samples.
comparison, under the same reaction conditions, when DMF and DMSO were used as solvents, the yield decreased to 71.71 and 45.03%, respectively. This decrease in the yield may have been caused by the solvent dissolving the formed yield.34,83

3.6.3. Catalysis of the Dibenzoanthene Synthesis via (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF Catalysts. We studied the catalysis of dibenzoanthene synthesis at 70 °C in the presence of a catalytic amount of (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts (0.03 g). The progress of the reaction was monitored using TLC. After the completion of the reaction, the catalyst was filtered off, washed, and dried. The combined organic layers were then washed and dried. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and a pure product was obtained without any further purification.37,74 Figure 31 shows the FTIR analysis of the dibenzoanthene compound.

### Table 1. Total Number of Acid Sites of the Prepared Samples

| sample                  | 1st (Mv) | no. of acid sites × 10−20 | quinoxaline (%) | xanthene (%) |
|-------------------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Fe-MOF                  | 165.1    | 2.81                      | 66.32           | 41.76        |
| Co-MOF                  | 141.4    | 2.34                      | 53.45           | 32.5         |
| 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF         | 182.2    | 3.12                      | 74.50           | 60.00        |
| 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF         | 230.6    | 4.31                      | 86.88           | 78.99        |
| 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF         | 291.2    | 5.62                      | 94.30           | 87.45        |
| 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF         | 250.0    | 4.73                      | 90.11           | 81.03        |
| 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF         | 198.4    | 3.44                      | 79.15           | 73.26        |

3.6.3.1. Effect of the Percentages of Iron and Cobalt in (Fe/Co)-MOFs on the Catalytic Activity. The effect of the percentages of iron and cobalt in Bi-MOFs on catalytic activity using 0.03 g of different (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts for the catalysis of dibenzoanthene synthesis processes was also studied. As shown in Figure 32, the percentage of

![Figure 26. Effect of the molar ratio of \( \text{o-phenylenediamine: diethyl oxalate} \) on the synthesis of quinoxaline by the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c01770)
dibenzoxanthene yield increased gradually with the increase in the percentage of iron up to 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF and then decreased. This conforms with the XRD and TEM results, which showed that the nanosize of the (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts decreases with the increase in the percentage of iron up to 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF and then decreased. As the nanosize decreased, the surface area increased, the number of active sites on the catalyst surface increased, and thus, the catalytic activity is increased.\(^8^4\)

From Figure 33 and Table 1, the catalytic activity and the number of acid sites increased with the increase in iron percentage to 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF and then decreased. The increase in the surface acidity may have been due to the strong interaction between the greater amounts of iron in Bi-MOFs and cobalt.

3.6.3.2. Effect of the Molar Ratio of β-Naphthol/Benzaldehyde. We studied the effect of the molar ratio of β-naphthol to benzaldehyde (from 2:1 to 2:5) on the synthesis of dibenzoxanthene at 70 °C using 0.03 g of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF catalyst. Figure 34 shows that the percentage yield of dibenzoxanthene increased from 73.11 and 85.20 to 87.04% with the increase in the molar ratio of β-naphthol to benzaldehyde from 2:1 and 2:2 to 2:3, respectively. Therefore, the yield percentage increased with the increase in the molar ratio of β-naphthol to benzaldehyde from 2:4 to 2:5. From these results, the molar ratio of 2:3 was found to be optimum for the synthesis of dibenzoxanthene. The absence of an increase in the catalytic activity of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst at molar ratios of 1:3 to 1:4 may have been because β-naphthol was completely consumed in the reaction. Thus, both the benzaldehyde concentration and the amount of β-naphthol must be increased in the reaction.

3.6.3.3. Reusability (Recyclability) Study of the Catalysts. We also studied the reusability of the prepared nanocatalysts while keeping the reactant molar ratio of β-naphthol to benzaldehyde at 2:3. Figure 35 shows the result of reusing the catalysts four times. The catalytic activity of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF catalyst is slightly decreased from 87.45, 78.81, and 73.29 to 66.59 for the first, second, third, and fourth use, respectively, because of the gradual loss of the catalyst weight during filtration and washing.\(^1^7,^8^1\)

The 50%Fe:50%Co-MOFs catalyst was regenerated after every 30 min of reaction time, and it was separated through filtration, washed with ethanol and DMF, dried at 70 °C for 30 min, and reused in other reactions under similar conditions.

3.6.3.4. Effect of the Weight of the Catalyst on the Synthesis of Dibenzoxanthene. The amount of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst was varied from 0.01, 0.03, and 0.05, to 0.8 g while keeping the molar ratio of β-naphthol to benzaldehyde at 2:3 and the reaction temperature at 70 °C for 30 min. Figure 36 indicates that with the increase in the amount of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst from 0.01, 0.03, and 0.05 to 0.08 g, the yield of dibenzoxanthene increased from 70.66, 87.45, and 89.98 to 92.33%, respectively. This is due to the availability of the surface area and acid sites (active sites).\(^6^7\) As the catalyst weight increased (i.e., the number of nanoparticles increased), the surface area and number of active sites increased.

3.6.3.5. Effect of the Reaction Time on the Synthesis of Dibenzoxanthene. We studied the influence of the reaction time on the synthesis of dibenzoxanthene by (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts. In this regard, 0.03 g of 50%Fe:50%Co was taken as the catalyst under other similar conditions. Figure 37 shows the effect of 10, 20, 30, and 40 min reaction times. The results indicate that the yield of dibenzoxanthene increased with the increase in the reaction time from 10 to 30 min. Thereafter, no notable increase or only a slight increase in the yield of dibenzoxanthene was observed, suggesting the influence of time on the yield. The yield percentage of dibenzoxanthene increased from 25.98, 59.77, and 87.45 to 88.01% after 10, 20, 30, and 40 min, respectively. This means that the catalyst activity established the equilibrium after 30 min.

3.7. Antibacterial and Antioxidant Activities. 3.7.1. Antibacterial Activity. We study the antibacterial activity and antioxidant activity using MOF and (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF catalysts, where the antibacterial activity of catalysts prepared were individually tested against a panel of Gram-positive \textit{B. subtilis}, Gram-negative \textit{E. coli} bacteria, and \textit{Candida albicans}. Fe-MOF, Co-MOF, and (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF (10%
Fe:90%Co-MOF, 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF, and 90% Fe:10%Co-MOF) samples exhibited a remarkable antibacterial activity against the tested microorganisms.

Figure 28. Effect of the weight of the catalyst on the synthesis of quinoxaline by the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst.

Figure 29. Effect of the reaction time on the synthesis of quinoxaline using 0.03 g of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst.

Figure 30. Effect of the used solvent on the synthesis of quinoxaline using 0.03 g of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst.

Fe:90%Co-MOF, 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF, 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF, 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF, and 90% Fe:10%Co-MOF) samples exhibited a remarkable antibacterial activity against the tested microorganisms.
bacterial strains, as shown in Table 2. The results show that the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst exhibited the highest antibacterial activity. The antibacterial activities of the
common standard antibiotic ampicillin and antifungal clotrimazole were also recorded using the same procedure at the same concentration and solvents to be considered as the reference drug.

The % activity index was calculated using the following formula

$$\text{% activity index} = \frac{\text{zone of inhibition by test compound (diameter)}}{\text{zone of inhibition by standard (diameter)}} \times 100 \quad (8)$$

### 3.7.2. Antioxidant Activity

Antioxidant activity of MOF and (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF samples was screened using the ABTS assay method. For each of the investigated compounds (2 mL), ABTS solution (60 μM) was added to 3 mL of MnO₂ solution (25 mg/mL). Fe-MOF and (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF (10%Fe:90% Co-MOF, 30%Fe:70%Co--MOF, 50%Fe:50% Co-MOF, 70% Fe:30%Co-MOF, and 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF) samples exhibited a remarkable antioxidant activity, the absorbance was measured, and the reduction in color intensity was expressed as the inhibition percentage, as shown in Table 3. The results show that the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF sample exhibited the highest antioxidant activity.

### 3.8. Conclusions

(Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts have been successfully attained, obtaining a mixed-metal (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF material series with different weight ratios of Fe and Co. XRD, TEM, SEM and FTIR spectroscopy were used for the characterization of the prepared nanocatalysts. XRD, TEM, and SEM proved that (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs were obtained with sizes in the nanoscale reaching 3 nm, and FTIR results confirmed that (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs were formed. The (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs as good adsorbents showed efficient removal of the toxic heavy metal cationic pollutants (Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Cu²⁺) from the aqueous solution with high adsorption capacity. The nanoscale (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts as efficient heterogeneous solid acid catalysts showed high catalytic activity with excellent yields and short reaction time in the catalytic reactions of quinoxaline and dibenzoxanthene.

### Table 2. Antibacterial Activity of the Prepared Catalysts

| Catalyst Samples | C. Albicans (mg/mL) | B. subtilis (mg/mL) | E. coli (mg/mL) |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|
|                  | diameter of the inhibition zone (in mm) | % activity index | diameter of the inhibition zone (in mm) | % activity index | diameter of the inhibition zone (in mm) | % activity index |
| Co-MOF           | 6                   | 27.3               | 8              | 34.8               | 8              | 32.0               |
| Fe-MOF           | 8                   | 36.4               | 10             | 43.5               | 10             | 40.0               |
| 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF  | 10                  | 45.4               | 9              | 40.9               | 14             | 56.0               |
| 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF  | 9                   | 40.1               | 10             | 43.5               | 18             | 72.0               |
| 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF  | 15                  | 68.2               | 13             | 56.5               | 21             | 84.0               |
| 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF  | 13                  | 59.1               | 11             | 47.8               | 19             | 76.0               |
| 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF  | 11                  | 50.0               | 10             | 43.5               | 12             | 48.0               |
| Ampicillin       | 22                  | 100                | 23             | 100                |                |                   |
| Clotrimazole     | no activity         | no activity        | 25             | 100                |                |                   |

Figure 36. Effect of the weight of the catalyst on the synthesis of dibenzoxanthene by the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst.

Figure 37. Effect of the reaction time on the synthesis of dibenzoxanthene using 0.03 g of the 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst.

### Table 3. Antioxidant Activity of the Prepared Samples

| Compounds          | BTS absorbance of sample | % inhibition |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Control of ABTS    | 0.510                    | 0%           |
| Ascorbic-acid      | 0.056                    | 89.0%        |
| Co-MOF             | 41.4%                    | 0.299        |
| Fe-MOF             | 46.5%                    | 0.273        |
| 10%Fe:90%Co-MOF    | 45.2%                    | 0.279        |
| 30%Fe:70%Co-MOF    | 58.4%                    | 0.212        |
| 50%Fe:50%Co-MOF    | 64.5%                    | 0.181        |
| 70%Fe:30%Co-MOF    | 60.6%                    | 0.201        |
| 90%Fe:10%Co-MOF    | 48.6%                    | 0.262        |

### 3.8. Conclusions

(Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts have been successfully attained, obtaining a mixed-metal (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF material series with different weight ratios of Fe and Co. XRD, TEM, SEM and FTIR spectroscopy were used for the characterization of the prepared nanocatalysts. XRD, TEM, and SEM proved that (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs were obtained with sizes in the nanoscale reaching 3 nm, and FTIR results confirmed that (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs were formed. The (Fe/Co) Bi-MOFs as good adsorbents showed efficient removal of the toxic heavy metal cationic pollutants (Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Cu²⁺) from the aqueous solution with high adsorption capacity. The nanoscale (Fe/Co) Bi-MOF nanocatalysts as efficient heterogeneous solid acid catalysts showed high catalytic activity with excellent yields and short reaction time in the catalytic reactions of quinoxaline and dibenzoxanthene.
compounds. The analysis and experimental results confirmed that the 50%Fe/50%Co-MOF nanocatalyst was the best sample in terms of the adsorption and catalytic activity.
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