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Topological phases have recently witnessed a rapid progress in non-Hermitian systems. Here we study a one-dimensional non-Hermitian Aubry-André-Harper model with imaginary periodic or quasiperiodic modulations. We demonstrate that the non-Hermitian off-diagonal AAH models can host zero-energy modes at the edges. In contrast to the Hermitian case, the zero-energy mode can be localized only at one edge. Such a topological phase corresponds to the existence of a quarter winding number defined by eigenenergy in momentum space. We further find the coexistence of a zero-energy mode located only at one edge and topological nonzero energy edge modes characterized by a generalized Bott index. In the incommensurate case, a topological non-Hermitian quasicrystal is predicted where all bulk states and two topological edge states are localized at one edge. Such topological edge modes are protected by the generalized Bott index. Finally, we propose an experimental scheme to realize these non-Hermitian models in electric circuits. Our findings add a new direction for exploring topological properties in Aubry-André-Harper models.

Topological phases have become one of the most fascinating and rapidly developing research fields in condensed matter physics in the past decade, both theoretically and experimentally [1–3]. Despite being found in Hermitian systems, topological phases have recently sparked tremendous interests in non-Hermitian systems [4–46]. Such systems exist naturally or artificially due to gain or loss arising from the finite lifetime of quasiparticles [47], the interaction with environment [48, 49], the engineered complex refractive index [50, 51] and the engineered Laplacian in electric circuits [40, 52]. A number of new topological phases have been found, such as anomalous edge modes corresponding to half a winding number in a non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model [12], Weyl exceptional rings with both quantized Chern number and quantized Berry phase [18] and anomalous corner modes in non-Hermitian higher order topological insulators [53–55].

While there have been extensive studies of topological non-Hermitian phenomena including classification of topological non-Hermitian phases [31, 43, 44], the one-dimensional (1D) Aubry-André-Harper (AAH) model [56, 57] has been largely overlooked and not well explored. The AAH model, a 1D system modulated by an on-site sinusoidal potential, plays a very important role in investigating the Anderson localization and topological phases [58–72]. Specifically, the model can be mapped to a two-dimensional (2D) Hall effect system with topological edge modes [64–66]. Further generalization to an off-diagonal AAH model leads to a topological phase with zero-energy modes. Another very interesting aspect is that this model gives rise to a topological quasicrystal when the incommensurate modulation is considered [65, 66].

In this paper, we study the topological phases in a non-Hermitian off-diagonal AAH model with a purely imaginary sinusoidal modulation and asymmetric hopping under both commensurate and incommensurate scenarios. We find that (i) non-Hermitian AAH models can host zero-energy modes at the edges. In contrast to the Hermitian counterpart, the zero-energy mode can be localized only at one edge. Such a topological phase corresponds to the existence of a quarter winding number defined by eigenenergy in momentum space. We further find the coexistence of a zero-energy mode located only at one edge and topological nonzero energy edge modes characterized by a generalized Bott index. In the incommensurate case, a topological non-Hermitian quasicrystal is predicted where all bulk states and two topological edge states are localized at one edge. Such topological edge modes are protected by the generalized Bott index. Finally, we propose an experimental scheme to realize these non-Hermitian models in electric circuits. Our findings add a new direction for exploring topological properties in Aubry-André-Harper models.

Model Hamiltonian.— We start by considering the following 1D non-Hermitian AAH model

\[ \hat{H} = \sum_j t(1 - \gamma + \lambda_j)\hat{c}_j^{\dagger}\hat{c}_{j+1} + t(1 + \gamma + \lambda_j)\hat{c}_{j+1}^{\dagger}\hat{c}_j, \quad (1) \]

where \( \hat{c}_j^{\dagger} (\hat{c}_j) \) is the creation (annihilation) operator for a spinless particle at site \( j \), \( t \) and \( \gamma \) denote the hopping strength and an asymmetric hopping strength, respectively, and \( \lambda_j = i\lambda \cos(2\pi\alpha j + \delta) \) depicts an imaginary modulation with \( \lambda, \alpha \) and \( \delta \) being real parameters. When \( \alpha \) is a rational number such that \( \alpha = p/q \) with \( p \) and \( q \) being relatively prime positive integers, the modulation is periodic with \( q \) being its period, whereas the modulation...
becomes quasiperiodic, when \( \alpha \) is an irrational number.

To determine the eigenenergy and eigenstates of the system under OBCs, we write the Hamiltonian as \( \hat{H} = \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{H} \hat{c} \) where \( \hat{c} = (\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2, \ldots, \hat{c}_L) \) with \( L \) being the number of sites and diagonalize the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}^I \) and \( \hat{H} \) allowing us to obtain both the left and right eigenstates \( |\Psi_n^I\rangle \) and \( |\Psi_n^R\rangle \) which satisfy \( \hat{H}^I |\Psi_n^I\rangle = E_n^I |\Psi_n^I\rangle \) and \( \hat{H} |\Psi_n^R\rangle = E_n^R |\Psi_n^R\rangle \) (\( E_n \) is the corresponding eigenenergy), respectively. In the commensurate case, the Hamiltonian is translational invariant with respect to \( q \) sites under periodic boundary conditions. As a result, we can write the Hamiltonian in momentum space \( \hat{H} = \sum_k \hat{c}_k^\dagger \hat{H}(k) \hat{c}_k \) where \( \hat{c}_k = (\hat{c}_{1k}, e^{-ikq/4} \hat{c}_{2k}, \ldots, e^{-i(q-1)k/4} \hat{c}_{Lk}) \) with \( k \in [0, 2\pi) \) and \( \hat{H}(k)_{mn} = \delta_{mn} - t_m + \delta_{m-1n} t_n + \delta_{m1} \delta_{nq} e^{-ik} + \delta_{mq} \delta_{n1} e^{ik} \) with \( t_j = t(1 + \gamma + \lambda_j) \) and \( t'_j = t(1 - \gamma + \lambda_j) \). Note that we have scaled the quasimomentum \( k \) so that \( k \in [0, 2\pi) \). The left and right eigenvectors in momentum space \( |\Psi_n^L(k)\rangle \) and \( |\Psi_n^R(k)\rangle \) can be obtained by diagonalizing the matrix \( \hat{H}^I(k) \) and \( \hat{H}(k) \), respectively.

**Zero-energy modes in the commensurate AAH model**—
Let us first consider the commensurate modulation. To show the topological features, we first consider the simplest case with \( \alpha = 1/4 \). In Fig. 1(a), we map out the topological phase diagram with respect to \( \delta \) and \( \gamma \), showing four distinct topological phases characterized by \( (W, N_c) \), where \( W \) and \( N_c \) denote the winding number of the Hamiltonian in momentum space and the number of zero-energy edge eigenstates, respectively. These four phases correspond to \( (W, N_c) = (-1, 2), (-1/2, 1), (-1/2, 0), (0, 0) \), which will be elaborated on in the following discussion.

Since the 1D system for a fixed \( \delta \) respects the sublattice symmetry \([74, 75], \hat{H}(k) \) can be transformed into an off-diagonal block form \([75]: \hat{H}(k) \rightarrow [0 h_1(k); h_2(k) 0] \), and the winding number for each block is defined as \([31] W_{1,2} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \partial_k \log \det h_{1,2}(k) \). We can further define the winding number of the system as \( W = (w_1 - w_2)/2 \) \([31] \). In the Hermitian case, \( h_2 = h_1^\dagger \) leading to \( w_1 = -w_2 \) and thus \( W \) has to equal an integer. However, the non-Hermitian term breaks this relation so that \( W \) can be a half integer \([31] \). This occurs in our system with \( W = -1/2 \) (see Fig. 1(a)). For a system with two energy bands, such as the SSH model, if \( W = \pm 1/2 \), we have \( w_1 = n \) and \( w_2 = n \pm 1 \) with \( n \) being an integer and thus \( h_1 \propto e^{i\phi_1(k)} \) and \( h_2 \propto e^{i(\pm 1)\phi_2(k)} \), where \( \theta_1(k) \) (\( \nu = 1, 2 \)) changes continuously from \( \theta_1(k_0) \) to \( \theta_2(k_0) + 2\pi \) as \( k \) varies from \( k_0 \) to \( k_0 + 2\pi \). Since the eigenenergy is \( E_k = \pm \sqrt{-H_1(k)H_2(k)} \propto e^{i\phi_1(k) + \phi_2(k)/2} e^{i\theta_2(k)/2} \), implying that one ends up with the other energy \(-E\) starting from one energy \( E \) as \( k \) varies from \( k_0 \) to \( k_0 + 2\pi \).

However, in our system, we find that when \( W = -1/2 \), all these four energy bands are connected (see Fig. 1(c)), implying that \( E_k \propto e^{i\theta(k)/4} \), where \( \theta(k) \) gains a \( 2\pi \) as \( k \) continuously vary from \( k_0 \) to \( k_0 + 2\pi \), similar to \( \theta_1 \).

This shows that the energy encloses a branch point of order 3 so that a state needs to travel across the Brillouin zone four times to return. To discriminate with the case involving a branch point of order 1, we define a winding number for a separable energy band \( E_n \) as

\[
W_{E_n} = \frac{1}{2\pi m} \int_{0}^{2\pi} dk \delta \arg[\langle\Psi_n(k)|E_n(k) - E_B|\rangle] \tag{2}
\]

with respect to a base energy \( E_B \), where \( E_n(k) = E_n(k + 2\pi m) \) with \( m \) being the smallest integer so that this relation is satisfied. For the non-Hermitian SSH model involving a branch point of order 1, \( W_{E} = 1/4 \). However, in our system when \( W = -1/2 \), we find \( W_{E} = 1/4 \). Further calculation of the Berry phase \( C_1 = \int_{0}^{2\pi} dk \langle\Psi_n^L(k)|i\partial_k\Psi_n^R(k)/\Psi_n^L(k)/\Psi_n^R(k)\rangle \) as \( k \) varies from 0 to \( 8\pi \) shows that \( C_{1,\text{mod}2\pi} = \pi \) \([18] \). Interestingly, in the region with \( W = -1 \) and \( W = 0 \) and \( \gamma \neq 0 \), we see that each separable energy bands encloses a branch point of order 1, yielding \( W_{E_n} = W_{E_c} = 1/2 \) with respect to the corresponding base energies inside the rings (see Fig. 1(b)).

Under OBCs, we show that when \( W = -1 \), there appear two zero-energy edge states located at two edges as
shown in Fig. 1(e). While this is similar to the Hermitian case, different properties arise that all bulk states are localized at the left edge when $\gamma > 0$ due to the non-Hermitian skin effects arising from the asymmetric hopping. More interestingly, when $W = -1/2$ and $W_E = 1/4$, no zero-energy modes emerge, implying the breakdown of the bulk-edge correspondence (here bulk correspond to the wave functions in momentum space). This arises from the dramatic change of the bulk wave functions as boundary conditions are changed [26]. To restore the bulk-edge correspondence, we need to use the wave functions under OBCs to calculate the winding number. Let us follow the method proposed in Ref. [29] and calculate the \[ \det(H(\beta) - EI) = 0 \] where $I$ is an identity matrix and $H(\beta) = H(e^{i\kappa} \to \beta)$ with the Hamiltonian $H$ in momentum space [75]. This equation gives us two solutions $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ for each $E$ satisfying $\beta_1 \beta_2 = \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} t_j / \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} t_j$. For the bulk states, $|\beta_1| = |\beta_2| = r$. This leads to a generalized Bloch Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = H(e^{i\kappa} \to re^{i\kappa})$ so that calculation of the winding number of this Hamiltonian gives us the phase boundary for the existence of zero-energy modes. In fact, this new Hamiltonian gives the same winding number as the case without $\gamma$. For $\gamma = 0$, we do not find any skin effects so that the bulk-edge correspondence is preserved, implying that the gap closing of the energy bands in momentum space with respect to $\delta$ signals whether zero-energy edge modes appear. We find that the gap closes when $\delta = (2j + 1)\pi/4$ with $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and zero-energy edge modes emerge when $|\sin \delta| < |\cos \delta|$ as shown in Fig. 1.

In the general case, when $q = 4m + 2$ (m being an integer and 4m being prime to p) instead of a multiple of 4, we find that the energy spectrum of $H$ is gapless with the presence of zero-energy eigenstates for every $\delta \in [0, 2\pi]$ [75], indicating the absence of the zero-energy edge modes in such cases [76]. When $q = 4m$, we have proved that the spectrum of $H$ is gapless when $\delta = (2n + 1)\pi/(4m)$ with $n = 0, 1, \cdots, 4m - 1$ (suppose $m > 0$) [75]. When $\gamma = 0$, it is proved that a gapped region can appear, showing that the topologically nontrivial zero-energy modes can exist [75]. In other cases, for instance, when $q$ is an odd number, there is no sublattice symmetry and thus the zero-energy eigenstates cannot be protected.

Coexistence of distinct types of edge modes in the commensurate AAH model—The non-Hermitian AAH model also exhibits a peculiar feature that the single zero-energy mode can coexist with other topological nonzero energy edge modes (see Fig. 2). Specifically, Fig. 2 shows that there exist two regions with one and two zero-energy edge states, respectively. In the former region, $W_E = 1/4$ for the eigenstates in momentum space. Besides the zero-energy states, we find other edge modes inside a gap, reminiscent of chiral edge modes in a Chern insulator if $\delta$ is viewed as a quasimomentum. In the complex energy plane for all $\delta$, we observe five separable bands with four lines connecting four bands outside to one at the center; these four lines correspond to the edge states.

When $\gamma > 0$, we find that all bulk states are localized at the left edge, implying that we need to use the wave functions obtained under OBCs to characterize the “chiral” edge states. Here, we generalize the Bott index [77] by defining it as

$$\text{Bott} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Im} \text{Tr} \log U_y U_x U_y^\dagger U_x^\dagger,$$

(3)

where $U_{a,mn} = \langle \Psi_{m}^{L} | e^{2\pi i a/L_a} \Psi_{n}^{R} \rangle$ with $a = x, y$ and $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ denoting the position operators along $x$ or $y$, respectively, and $L_a$ labelling the size of the system along the corresponding direction. Additionally, $|\Psi_n^R\rangle$...
and \(|\Psi_{m}^{L}\rangle\) represent the right and left eigenvectors in a separable band, respectively. To calculate the Bott index, we map our system into a 2D Harper model \([80]\). Transforming this Hamiltonian along \(y\) to the form in momentum space exactly gives us the Hamiltonian \((1)\) if \(k_{y}\) is replaced with \(\delta\). This allows us to calculate the Bott index of \(H_{2D}\) under periodic (open) boundary conditions along \(y\) \((x)\) to obtain the topological invariant of our system. We find that for the five separable bands, the Bott index is \(-4\) for the central band and \(1\) for each of the other four bands at the corners of the complex energy plane \((\text{see Fig. 2(d)})\), demonstrating that the edge states are topologically protected. We note that, with OBCs, while there appear edge states connecting the separable bands, their presence does not affect our results.

**Non-Hermitian quasicrystals.**—When \(\alpha\) is irrational, the non-Hermitian AAH model becomes quasiperiodic, and the imaginary modulation is incommensurate with lattice spacings, leading to a quasicrystal. Similar to the commensurate scenario, in Fig. 3(a), we illustrate the energy spectrum in the complex energy plane for all \(\delta\) from 0 to \(2\pi\) for \(\alpha = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2\). The figure exhibits rich band structures. Apparently, there are two separable bands with the imaginary value around \(\pm 2.5\). They are connected by the edge states (denoted by the brown lines) to the band with real energies. For each of these two bands, there is also a mini-gap within which four edge states reside \((\text{see the insets})\). For the band with real energies, there exist a gap and a mini-gap with four and eight edge states inside, respectively. These edge states can also be observed when the energy spectrum is projected to the real or imaginary part.

Remarkably, we further find that all bulk states are localized at the left edge when \(\gamma \neq 0\) and all edge states are located at the left edge when \(\gamma\) is sufficiently large, in stark contrast to the Hermitian case, as shown in Fig. 3(d). We note that the localization of the bulk states is caused by the non-Hermitian skin effect instead of the Anderson localization. Since the bulk states are sensitive to the boundary conditions, we cannot apply a twisted boundary condition to calculate the Chern number as the Hermitian case \([65]\). Instead, we can still calculate the Bott index using the wave functions obtained under OBCs. We find that the Bott index for each separable band equals the number of edge states inside the gap. For instance, the Bott index of the band with the imaginary value around \(\pm 2.5\) and the real value smaller than \(-0.1\) is 5, protecting five edge states coming from this band \((\text{see Fig. 3(a)})\).

**Experimental realizations.**—Recently, electric circuits have been demonstrated to be a powerful platform to simulate topological phenomena, such as the SSH model \([52]\), Weyl semimetals \([81]\) and higher order topological insulators \([82]\). Here, we propose an experimental scheme with electric circuits for realizing the non-Hermitian AAH models \((\text{see Fig. 3(e)})\). We can achieve the required Laplacian so that \(J = -(EI + H)\) by choosing appropriate impedances for these electric devices \([83]\). The edge states can be observed by measuring the two-point impedance between two nodes which diverges as \(E + E_{n} = 0\) as we vary \(E\).

In summary, we have demonstrated that for the commensurate non-Hermitian off-diagonal AAH model, there exist zero-energy states localized at the edges. In contrast to the Hermitian case, the edge states can be localized only at one edge. Such a topological phase corresponds to the emergence of a quarter winding number defined by eigenenergy in momentum space. We further find that the zero-energy edge modes can coexist with nonzero energy edge modes protected by the generalized Bott index. For the incommensurate case, topological non-Hermitian quasicrystals with edge modes are predicted. These edge modes can be characterized by the generalized Bott index. Our findings pave the way for further studies on topological properties in non-Hermitian Aubry-André-Harper models.
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Here the generalized Bloch Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$ is used instead of $H(k)$ because of the breakdown of the bulk-edge correspondence when $\gamma \neq 0$.

In the supplementary material, we will derive the generalized Bloch Hamiltonian for bulk states with open boundary conditions, prove the condition under which the system is gapless around zero energy and discuss the condition for the presence of the winding number of the Hamiltonian in detail.

### A. Generalized Bloch Hamiltonian

We follow the method proposed in [S1, S2] to obtain the generalized Hamiltonian. For the commensurate case with $\alpha = p/q$ with $q$ and $p$ being mutually prime positive integers, we can write the eigenstate of $H$ as $|\Psi^R_j\rangle = (\psi_{1,j}^1, \ldots, \psi_{N,j}^1, \ldots, \psi_{1,j}^q, \ldots, \psi_{N,j}^q)^T$ where $N$ is the number of unit cells. For open boundary conditions, let us suppose that $\psi_{n,\mu}^j = (\beta)^n \phi_{\mu}^j$. The equation $H|\Psi^R_j\rangle = E|\Psi^R_j\rangle$ leads to

$$ H(\beta) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^j \\ \phi_2^j \\ \phi_3^j \\ \vdots \\ \phi_q^j \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^j \\ \phi_2^j \\ \phi_3^j \\ \vdots \\ \phi_q^j \end{pmatrix}, $$

(S1)

where

$$ H(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_1 & 0 & \ldots & t_q \beta^{-1} \\ t_1' & 0 & t_2 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & t_2' & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_q \beta & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. $$

(S2)

To have a nontrivial solution, we require that $\det(EI - H(\beta)) = 0$. This gives us a quadratic equation for $\beta(E)$ with two solutions $\beta_1, \beta_2$ satisfying

$$ \beta_1 \beta_2 = \frac{t'_1 t'_2 \cdots t'_q}{t_1 t_2 \cdots t_q}. $$

(S3)
For bulk states, we require $|\beta_1| = |\beta_2|$ \[S2\] in order to obtain a continuum band. This gives us
\[
|\beta_{1,2}| = r = \sqrt{\frac{t_1' t_2' \cdots t_q'}{t_1 t_2 \cdots t_q}}.
\] (S4)

The generalized Bloch Hamiltonian can be obtained by replacing the $e^{ik}$ with $\beta = re^{ik}$ in the Bloch Hamiltonian $H(k)$, that is,
\[
\tilde{H}(k) = H(k \rightarrow k - i \log r) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & t_1 & 0 & \cdots \ t_q' r^{-1} e^{-ik} \\ t_1' & 0 & t_2 & \cdots \ 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right).
\] (S5)

In the following, we will use the generalized Bloch Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(k)$ to determine the condition for the existence of zero-energy edge states with open boundary conditions and calculate the corresponding winding number.

## B. Condition for the existence of topological zero-energy modes

In this section, we will show that for $q = 4m + 2$ with $m$ being an integer, the spectrum is gapless around zero energy under periodic boundaries for all $\delta \in [0, 2\pi]$, while for $q = 4m$, the spectrum is gapless for $\delta = (2n + 1)\pi/(4m)$ with $n = 0, 1, \ldots, 4m - 1$ (suppose $m > 0$).

When $q$ is an even number, the system has the sublattice symmetry $S_1^{-1} \tilde{H}(k) S_1 = -\tilde{H}(k)$ with $S_1 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots, 1, -1)$ being a $q \times q$ diagonal matrix and we thus can transform $\tilde{H}(k)$ into the off-diagonal form: $\tilde{H}(k) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \tilde{h}_1(k) \\ \tilde{h}_2(k) & 0 \end{array} \right)$ with
\[
\tilde{h}_1(k) = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t_q' r^{-1} e^{-ik} \\ t_2 & t_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & t_4' & t_5 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t_{q-1} \\ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{h}_2(k) = \begin{pmatrix} t_1' & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & t_2' & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_3' & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_q' r e^{ik} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t_{q-1}' \end{pmatrix},
\] (S6)

which are $\frac{q}{2} \times \frac{q}{2}$ matrices. Here $k \in [0, 2\pi]$. When $r = 1$, $\tilde{h}_1 = h_1$ and $\tilde{h}_2 = h_2$. If the determinant of $\tilde{H}(k)$ equals zero, i.e., $\det(\tilde{H}(k)) = (-1)^{q/4} \det(\tilde{h}_1(k)) \det(\tilde{h}_2(k)) = 0$, there will be eigenstates with zero eigenenergy.

In the case with $q = 4m + 2$, we have
\[
\det(\tilde{h}_1(k)) = t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{4m+1} + t_2 t_4 \cdots t_{4m+2} r^{-1} e^{-ik} = t_o + t_e' r^{-1} e^{-ik},
\] (S7)

where $t_o = t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{4m+1}$ and $t_e' = t_2' t_4' \cdots t_{4m+2}'$. This expression can be simplified to
\[
\det(\tilde{h}_1(k)) = t_e' r^{-1} (\Omega + e^{-ik}),
\] (S8)

where
\[
\Omega = \frac{t_o r}{t_e'} = \frac{t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{4m+1}}{t_2' t_4' \cdots t_{4m+2}} \sqrt{\frac{t_1' t_2' \cdots t_{4m+2}'}{t_1 t_2 \cdots t_q}},
\] (S9)

\[
|\Omega| = \sqrt{\frac{t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{4m+1}}{t_2 t_4 \cdots t_{4m+2}} / \frac{t_1' t_3' \cdots t_{4m+1}'}{t_2' t_4' \cdots t_{4m+2}'}},
\] (S10)

We have
\[
\left| \frac{t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{4m+1}}{t_2 t_4 \cdots t_{4m+2}} \right| = \left| \prod_{j=1}^{2m+1} [1 + \gamma + i\omega \cos(p \frac{2j-1}{2m+1} + \delta)] \right| \left| \prod_{j=1}^{2m+1} [1 + \gamma + i\omega \cos(p \frac{2j}{2m+1} + \delta)] \right|
\]
Similarly, we obtain
\[
\left| \frac{t'_1 t'_2 \cdots t'_{m+1}}{t'_2 t'_4 \cdots t'_{4m+2}} \right| = 1. \quad (S12)
\]

Thus, we have \(|\Omega| = 1\), indicating that for each \(\delta\), we can always find a \(k_1 \in [0, 2\pi]\) such that \(\det(\tilde{h}_1(k_1)) = 0\). Therefore, we conclude that when \(q = 4m + 2\), the system is gapless in the energy spectrum for all \(\delta\), implying the absence of the zero-energy edge states.

Before we consider the case for \(q = 4m\), we first present a lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let \(f_j, g_j \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(j = 1, \cdots, m\) and \(m\) being an integer larger than zero. If \(\prod_{j=1}^{m} (1 + \lambda f_j) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1 + \lambda g_j)\) for all \(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\), then for each \(f_j\) with \(1 \leq j \leq m\), there exists a \(g_r\) with \(1 \leq r \leq m\) such that \(f_j = g_r\); conversely, for each \(g_j\) with \(1 \leq j \leq m\), there exists a \(f_r\) with \(1 \leq r \leq m\) such that \(g_j = f_r\).

**Proof.** Since the equation is satisfied for all \(\lambda\), we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j = A_1, \quad (S13)
\]
\[
\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m} f_{j_1} f_{j_2} = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m} g_{j_1} g_{j_2} = A_2, \quad (S14)
\]
\[
\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \leq m} f_{j_1} f_{j_2} f_{j_3} = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \leq m} g_{j_1} g_{j_2} g_{j_3} = A_3, \quad (S15)
\]
\[
\cdots \quad (S16)
\]
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j = \prod_{j=1}^{m} g_j = A_m. \quad (S17)
\]

Let \(l\) be an integer such that \(1 \leq l \leq m\). With aids of Eq. (S14), multiplying Eq. (S13) by \(f_l\) gives us
\[
f_l^2 - A_1 f_l + A_2 = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m} f_{j_1} f_{j_2}, \quad (S18)
\]
where \(\sum'\) indicates that its subscripts cannot be equal to \(l\). We further multiply Eq. (S18) by \(f_l\) and, with aids of Eq. (S15), we obtain
\[
f_l^3 - A_1 f_l^2 + A_2 f_l - A_3 = -\sum' f_{j_1} f_{j_2} f_{j_3}. \quad (S19)
\]

We repeat this process until we get
\[
f_l^m - A_1 f_l^{m-1} + A_2 f_l^{m-2} - A_3 f_l^{m-3} + \cdots + (-1)^{m-1} A_{m-1} f_l + (-1)^m A_m = 0. \quad (S20)
\]

Since the left-hand expression can be written as \(\prod_{j=1}^{m} (f_l - g_j)\), we have
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{m} (f_l - g_j) = 0. \quad (S21)
\]

For all \(l\), this equation holds, implying that, for each \(f_l\), there exists a \(g_r\) with \(1 \leq r \leq m\) such that \(f_l = g_r\). Conversely, similar derivation gives us
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{m} (g_l - f_j) = 0, \quad (S22)
\]

implying that, for each \(g_l\), there exists a \(f_r\) with \(1 \leq r \leq m\) such that \(g_l = f_r\). \(\square\)
When \( q = 4m \), we have

\[
\det(\hat{h}_1(k)) = t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{4m-1} - t'_1 t'_3 \cdots t'_{4m} r^{-1} e^{-ik}
\]

\[
= t_o - t'_e r^{-1} e^{-ik}
\]

\[
= t'_e r^{-1}(\Omega - e^{-ik}),
\]

where \( t_o = t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{4m-1} \), \( t'_e = t'_2 t'_4 \cdots t'_{4m} \) and

\[
\Omega = \frac{t_o r}{t'_e} = \frac{t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{4m-1}}{t'_2 t'_4 \cdots t'_{4m}} \sqrt{\frac{t'_1 t'_3 \cdots t'_{4m}}{t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{4m}}},
\]

\[
|\Omega| = \sqrt{T T'} = \sqrt{\frac{t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{4m-1}}{t'_2 t'_4 \cdots t'_{4m}}} \sqrt{\frac{t'_1 t'_3 \cdots t'_{4m-1}}{t_2 t_4 \cdots t_{4m}}},
\]

where

\[
T = \left| \frac{t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{4m-1}}{t_2 t_4 \cdots t_{4m}} \right| = \left| \prod_{j=1}^{2m} [1 + \gamma + i \lambda \cos(p \pi \frac{2j-1}{2m} + \delta)] \right| \left| \prod_{j=1}^{2m} [1 + \gamma + i \lambda \cos(p \pi \frac{2j}{2m} + \delta)] \right|
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=1}^{m} [(1 + \gamma)^2 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p \pi \frac{2j-1}{2m} + \delta)] \prod_{j=1}^{m} [(1 + \gamma)^2 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p \pi \frac{2j}{2m} + \delta)],
\]

and

\[
T' = \left| \frac{t'_1 t'_3 \cdots t'_{4m-1}}{t'_2 t'_4 \cdots t'_{4m}} \right| = \left| \prod_{j=1}^{m} [(1 - \gamma)^2 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p \pi \frac{2j-1}{2m} + \delta)] \right| \left| \prod_{j=1}^{m} [(1 - \gamma)^2 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p \pi \frac{2j}{2m} + \delta)] \right|
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=1}^{m} [(1 - \gamma)^2 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p \pi \frac{2j-1}{2m} + \delta)] \prod_{j=1}^{m} [(1 - \gamma)^2 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p \pi \frac{2j}{2m} + \delta)],
\]

When \( \gamma = 0 \), we have

\[
|\Omega| = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} [1 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p \pi \frac{2j-1}{2m} + \delta)]}{\prod_{j=1}^{m} [1 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p \pi \frac{2j}{2m} + \delta)]}.
\]

Based on the lemma, if \( |\Omega| = 1 \) for all \( \lambda \), we have

\[
\cos(p \pi \frac{2j_1 - 1}{m} + 2\delta) = \cos(p \pi \frac{2j_2}{m} + 2\delta),
\]

where \( 1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq m \). This equation gives two types of possible solutions. For the first one,

\[
(2j_1 - 1)p = 2pj_2 + 2nm,
\]

with \( n \) being an integer, which does not hold as odd numbers cannot be equal to even ones. For the second one, we have

\[
p \pi \frac{2j_1 - 1}{m} + p \pi \frac{2j_2}{m} + 4\delta = 2n\pi.
\]

Solving this equation shows that the gap of the energy spectrum closes when

\[
\delta = \left| n - \frac{p}{4m} (2(j_1 + j_2) - 1) \right| \pi,
\]

which is equivalent to

\[
\delta = (2n + 1)\pi/(4m)
\]

with \( n = 0, 1, \cdots, 4m - 1 \). This tells us that the energy gap closes for all \( \lambda \) when \( \delta \) takes the above values. When \( \delta \) takes other values, there exist \( \lambda \) so that the system is gapped, implying that the zero-energy edge states can exist there.
When \( \gamma \neq 0 \), while we cannot prove that these \( \delta \) in Eq. S33 are all the solutions to \(|\Omega| = 1\) for all \( \lambda \), we can verify that when \( \delta \) take these values,
\[
T = T' = 1, \tag{S34}
\]
yielding \(|\Omega| = 1\) and thus the energy gap closes at some \( k \).

For each term \([(1 + \gamma)^2 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p\pi \frac{j_1 - 1}{2m} + \delta)]\) in the numerator of \( T \), we can find a corresponding term \([(1 + \gamma)^2 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p\pi \frac{j_2}{2m} + \delta)]\) in the denominator of \( T \) to satisfy
\[
(1 + \gamma)^2 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p\pi \frac{j_1 - 1}{2m} + \delta) = (1 + \gamma)^2 + \lambda^2 \cos^2(p\pi \frac{j_2}{2m} + \delta), \tag{S35}
\]
if the two indices \( j_1 \) and \( j_2 \) satisfy
\[
p\pi \frac{2j_1 - 1}{m} + p\pi \frac{2j_2}{m} + 4\delta = 2n'\pi, \tag{S36}
\]
with \( n' \) being an integer. This is true for \( \delta = \left[\frac{n' \pi}{2} - \frac{p}{4m}(2(j_1 + j_2) - 1)\right] \pi \) which is equivalent to \( \delta = (2n + 1)\pi/(4m) \) with \( n = 0, 1, \cdots, 4m - 1 \). It can also be seen that that \( T' = 1 \) holds true in these cases. Therefore, the energy spectrum is gapless at these \( 4m \) points.

C. The Winding number of the generalized Bloch Hamiltonian

Since the system respect the sublattice symmetry, we can use the winding number as the topological invariant to characterize the zero-energy edge modes. When \( q = 4m \), the winding number of the generalized Bloch Hamiltonian for each block is defined as
\[
w_{1,2} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi i} \partial_k \log \det \tilde{h}_{1,2}(k). \tag{S37}
\]
We obtain
\[
w_1 = -w_2 \\
= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi i} \partial_k \log (\Omega - e^{-ik}) \\
= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi i} \frac{e^{-ik}}{\Omega - e^{-ik}} \\
= i \int_\Gamma \frac{dz}{\Omega - z}, \tag{S38}
\]
where \( \Gamma \) denotes an integral path along a clockwise unit circle in the complex plane. Clearly, we have \( w_1 = -1 \) if \(|\Omega| < 1\) and \( w_1 = 0 \), if \(|\Omega| > 1\), corresponding to topologically nontrivial and trivial regions, respectively.
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