Cooperative Gold Nanoparticle Stabilization by Acetylenic Phosphaalkenes
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Abstract: Acetylenic phosphaalkenes (APAs) are used as a novel type of ligands for the stabilization of gold nanoparticles (AuNP). As demonstrated by a variety of experimental and analytical methods, both structural features of the APA, that is, the $P=\mathrm{C}$ as well as the $C=C$ units are essential for NP stabilization. The presence of intact APAs on the AuNP is demonstrated by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS), and first principle calculations indicate that bonding occurs most likely at defect sites on the Au surface. AuNP-bound APAs are in chemical equilibrium with free APAs in solution, leading to a dynamic behavior that can be explored for facile place-exchange reactions with other types of anchor groups such as thiols or more weakly binding phosphine ligands.

Gold clusters at the nanoscale are generally stabilized by a coordinating ligand shell. Over the last years, there has been a focus on the development of novel anchoring groups for molecule–gold junctions that can overcome the insulating character of thiols which have traditionally been used in the context of molecular electronics. As a general theme, these novel anchoring groups bind to the surfaces through a bond that is orthogonal to a conjugated $\pi$-system. Recent examples of this strategy include the grafting of sp-hybridized acetylene termini directly onto AuNPs or flat gold surfaces (type A, Figure 1), or the direct anchoring of phenyl ($sp^2$, type B) and benzyl groups ($sp^3$, type C) onto Au substrates, the latter providing very efficient communication on the basis of a hyperconjugative interaction.

Owing to the close relationship between phosphorus and carbon, also phosphorus-based ligands would most likely constitute promising candidates for this type of application, in particular as $\lambda^3\sigma^2$-phosphanes contain a lone pair for Au binding, as well as an orthogonal $\pi$-system that provides an alternative communication pathway. Stabilization and coating of defined gold clusters (e.g., eleven gold atoms) and small nanoparticles (up to 1.5 nm) have hitherto been mostly limited to saturated $\lambda^2\sigma^2$-phosphanes (e.g., triphenyl phosphine, type D).

To the best of our knowledge, the only example of using unsaturated phosphines, namely $\lambda^3$-phosphinines (type E), as ligands for AuNP stabilization is a report by Le Floch and coworkers. Interestingly, these ligands induced a significant redshift of the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) compared to thiol- or phosphane-coated AuNPs, supporting the notion that sizeable communication operates across the Au–P phosphinine junction. Structural integrity of the surface-bound phosphinines is however debated in view of a solid-state MAS-NMR spectroscopic study.

With our interest to explore low-valent phosphorus-containing systems for molecular electronics applications, we were intrigued by the possibility to use phosphaalkenes as conducting anchoring groups on Au surfaces. The inherent instability of phosphaalkenes has presumably kept many researchers from using $\lambda^3\sigma^2$-phosphanes for these kinds of...
purposes. This obstacle is easily met through kinetic stabilization provided by P-bound Mes* groups (Mes* = 2,4,6-(tBu)₃C₆H₃). However, steric protection of the P=C unit could also impede strong binding of the phosphorus center to the Au surface. The present paper is the first report that shows that λ²p₂-phosphanes in the form of phosphaalkenenes can stabilize AuNPs, albeit only when in conjunction with acetylenes. Experimental observations, spectroscopic data, and theoretical evidence are presented to show that both structural features of acetylenic phosphaalkenenes, that is, the P=C and the C=C units are required in a cooperative fashion for AuNP stabilization.

Initial attempts to prepare and stabilize AuNPs by the use of simple phosphaalkenenes (PAs) such as 1–3 (Figure 2) following protocols based on various literature-known preparations of AuNPs were not met with success. For example, the reduction of HAuCl₄ in a variety of solvents utilizing either potassium naphthalide, 9-BBN, or Et₃SiH[11] in the presence of 1 to 3 (Figure 2) did not afford any NPs. However, the picture changes dramatically when an additional acetylene unit is introduced at the phosphaalkene moiety and one of the resulting acetylenic phosphaalkenenes (PAs) 4–7 are employed during NP fabrication. For example, following a procedure by Wallner et al.,[11b] a mixture of HAuCl₄ and C,C-diacetylenic phosphaalkene 7[12] was reduced with Et₃SiH and AuNP formation was apparent from the immediate appearance of a dark red SPR. For the determination of the factors that govern NP fabrication, it was necessary to confirm that NP stabilization proceeded through the P=C unit, and not through acetylenes (similar to type A, Figure 1) that could arise from desilylation of 7. Thus, further acetylenic phosphaalkenenes 4, 5, and 6[13] were screened for AuNP stabilization. In phosphaalkenenes 5 and 6 the potentially reactive trimethylsilyl (TMS) group of 7 was replaced by robust substituents to avoid undesirable protodesilylation reactions. All phosphaalkenenes that contain an additional acetylene unit in trans position to the Mes* group facilitate stable AuNP formation.[13]

Further control experiments to demonstrate the coordinating role of the phosphaalkenenes in 4–7 were conducted with two complexes shows the expected coordination of the gold atom to the phosphorus lone pair for both the acetylenic and the dibromo phosphaalkene. Both complexes exhibit short bonds ([AuCl(7)]; 2.206(2) and [AuCl(1)]; 2.218(1)) compared to regular unsupported Au–P coordination (2.220(3)–2.251(2) Å).[16a–c, d]

With [AuCl(1)] and [AuCl(7)] in hand, it was tested whether they could be reduced further to form AuNPs having already precoordinated ligands.[17] Similar to our observations with free ligands, complex [AuCl(7)] yielded stable AuNPs, whereas [AuCl(1)] was found incompatible with NP formation. Hence, it is clear that the success of the AuNP fabrication depends on the molecular structure of the stabilizing molecule, and cannot be altered by precoordination of the ligand to AuCl. The AuNPs prepared from [AuCl(7)] are identical to those prepared from neat 7 with respect to all characterizations described below. Noteworthy, a displacement of ligands was not observed using additional PMe₃ or PPh₃ ligands during the synthesis, but rather resulted in nonsitized gold precipitates.

¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra of the crude APA-stabilized AuNPs (see the Supporting Information, SI) only show the presence of excess free ligand, but no signals that could be attributed to ligands on the AuNP surface.[18] The absence of signals that can be assigned to surface-bound APAs is perhaps not surprising, considering their generally low concentration and the expected dramatic line broadening.[18b] The APA-stabilized AuNPs could be purified by removal of all volatiles under reduced pressure, followed by extensive washing of the
precipitate with cold methanol (3 $\times$ 50 mL). The remaining dark red solid can be quantitatively redissolved in common organic solvents such as benzene and THF without any change or loss of color. Consistent with the results from the crude reaction mixtures, NMR spectra ($^1$H, $^{31}$P) show the absence of any detectable signals. However, and most interestingly, a significantly reduced stability of the purified AuNP solution is observed. While the crude reaction mixture, as well as the solid AuNPs, are stable over months, solutions of purified AuNPs show precipitation of elemental gold and a concomitant complete loss of color within a few hours. We attribute this behavior to a chemical equilibrium between AuNP-bound ligands and free ligands in solution. In the presence of excess ligand as is the case before purification, a sufficient amount of APAs are NP-bound to guarantee NP stabilization. Purified AuNPs are depleted of excess free ligand, and re-establishing the equilibrium leads to a significant reduction of surface-bound APAs and, concomitantly, NP decomposition.

The observed equilibrium between surface-bound ligand and free ligand in solution is an indirect proof that the molecular integrity of the APAs is maintained under the conditions for AuNP formation and that intact APA molecules are bound to the surface of the AuNP. A direct spectroscopic proof of intact ligands on the AuNP surface was obtained by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS). Our measurements clearly show the presence of acetylenic phosphaalkenes with bands at 2107 and 1207 cm$^{-1}$ for the acetylene and phosphaalkene stretching vibrations, respectively (Figure 4). Both of these values are significantly shifted compared to those in the free ligand (2131 and 1130 cm$^{-1}$), underlining the cooperative binding mode of the acetylenic phosphaalkenes.

Moreover, we explored the possibility to replace the labile APA ligands by means of place-exchange reactions. Hence, an excess of aryl thiol (benzene thiol or 1,2-benzene dithiol) was added to a freshly prepared AuNP[6] solution. Successful exchange was confirmed by means of SERS after purification of the nanoparticles. Exchange reactions with trimethyl phosphine resulted in a complete loss of color indicating that an excess of strongly binding phosphine ligands leads to NP decomposition. The decomposition of the AuNPs is initiated by a decolorization of the solution followed shortly after by the precipitation of elemental gold which indicates the rapid formation of nonstabilized gold aggregates. Interestingly, however, we were able to perform such exchange reactions with weakly binding triphenyl phosphate ligands.

The size determination by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) shows an average AuNP size of 7.9 to 9.8 nm for the different preparations (Figure 5). Irrespective of the employed APA and the preparation method, both the size and their SPR are very similar. Interestingly, we observe extensive formation of mainly Au(111) facets for these nanoparticles. However, there are also some Au(002) facets and some disorder areas found. The SPR exhibits its maximum between 520 and 530 nm, which is slightly shifted compared to similarly sized AuNPs with citrate, thiol, amine, or triphenylphosphine ligands.[20] The observed redshift of the SPR is a first indication of interactions between the Au atoms and their coating molecules, although this interaction seems less pronounced compared to that of the strongly electron-withdrawing phosphinines (type E, Figure 1).

Beyond that, we were interested in understanding the surface adsorption by modeling APA 4 on gold surfaces (Table 1). We explain the coordination of phosphaalkenes by defect sites of the Au(111) lattice planes that were indicated by electron microscopy. In contrast to pristine Au(111) surface, adding a low-coordinated gold atom leads to short

![Figure 5. High-resolution TEM image with FFT inset showing the presence of mainly Au(111) lattice planes.](image)

**Table 1: Calculated binding of acetylenic phosphaalkene 3 to (modified) Au(111) surface.**

| Compound         | $d$ | $d$ | $E_{\text{ass}}$ |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----------------|
|                  | 4   | 3   |                 |
|                  | 4†  | 3†  |                 |
|                  | 4 + adatom† | 3† + adatom† |                 |

| Compound         | $d$ | $d$ | $E_{\text{ass}}$ |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----------------|
|                  | 4   | 3   |                 |
|                  | 4†  | 3†  |                 |
|                  | 4 + adatom† | 3† + adatom† |                 |

[a] Flat Au(111) surface. [b] Au(111) plus additional Au atom [c] Au–P distance in A, for the flat surface the distance is defined as the distance of the P atom from the top layer of gold atoms in Au(111). [d] P–C distance in A, values in brackets denote gas-phase distances. [e] Association energy of relaxed molecules [kcal mol$^{-1}$].
Au–P bonds of 2.36 Å and hence gives rise to a significant binding of ca. –24 kcal mol⁻¹. The additional gold atom serves as a mediator of this interaction to the substrate, which presumably is of dative character. Direct binding of APA 4 to Au(111) is unlikely to contribute to AuNP stabilization as the Au–P bonds are significantly elongated (≈4.1 Å) and the association energies are slightly positive (ca. 0.5 kcal mol⁻¹). Notably, the bond lengths within the phosphaalkene moiety do not differ significantly from those calculated for isolated compounds. As shown in Figure 6, bonding interactions of APA 4 extend into the triple bond giving rise to dominant bonding interactions between [Au,C1] (3.87 Å) and [Au,C2] (4.50 Å, for further details of this interactions see the SI).

In summary, we report the preparation of uniform face-rich gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) with C-acetylenic phosphaalkene ligands in a size regime of ca. 8–10 nm. Stability arises through coordination of the phosphorus lone pair and is further enhanced by the pendant acetylenic unit. Our experimental findings are supported by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) and theoretical calculations. In contrast to thiol ligands, we observe a fast dynamic behavior of APA ligands in solution. Such weakly bound ligands are highly warranted in applications that need organic solvent conditions and that require facile place exchange with other types of anchor groups such as thiols or weakly binding phosphane ligands. This novel class of gold nanoparticle ligands provides a facile synthesis of monodisperse AuNPs as simple precursors for subsequent exchange reactions with thiolate or weakly binding phosphate ligand systems.
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To keep the previously used 1:2 ratio of ligand to gold, one equivalent of HAuCl₄ was added to the Au³ complexes using again 5 equiv of Et₃SiH as reducing agent.

Additional sharp signals were detected in the crude reaction mixtures that presumably originate from protodesilylation during the AuNP preparations with 4 and 7.
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