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Brassinosteroids modulate ABA-induced stomatal closure in Arabidopsis
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Abstract

Stomatal movement in response to water availability is an important physiological process in the survival of land plants. The plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA) and brassinosteroids (BRs) regulate stomatal closure. The physiological functions of ABA and BRs, including germination, cell elongation and stomatal movement, are generally known to be antagonistic. Here, we investigated how BRs affect stomatal movement alone and in combination with ABA. We demonstrate that brassinoslide (BL), the most active BR, promotes stomatal closure in an ABA-independent manner. Interestingly, BL also inhibited ABA-induced stomatal closure when a high concentration of BL was added to ABA. Furthermore, we found that the induction of some genes for reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation by ABA (AtrbohD, NIA1 and NIA2) and subsequent ROS production were repressed by BL treatment. The BR signaling mutant bri1-301 failed to inhibit ABA-induced stomatal closure upon BL treatment. However, BRI1-overexpressing transgenic plants were hypersensitive to ABA during stomatal closure, and BL reversed ABA-induced stomatal closure more completely than in wild type plants. Taken together, these results suggest that BRs can positively and negatively modulate ABA-induced stomatal closure. Therefore, interactions between ABA and BR signaling are important for the regulation of stomatal closure.
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Introduction

Stomatal closure under water-deficient conditions is a cellular process common to most land plants. The proper development of stomata and regulation of stomatal movements are critical for the regulation of water levels and for facilitating the productivity of plants. Stomatal movement is regulated not only by various environmental conditions, such as light, CO₂ (Hubbard et al., 2012), nitric oxide (NO) (Sugiyama et al., 2012), and ozone (Vahisalu et al., 2010), but also by multiple plant hormones, such as abscisic acid (ABA), ethylene, methyl jasmonate, and brassinosteroids (BRs) (Suhita et al., 2004; Desikan et al., 2006; Haubrick et al., 2006; An et al., 2008).

ABA is a major plant hormone that is increased under a variety of abiotic stresses (Chinnusamy et al., 2004; Nakashima et al., 2009). It is detected by the soluble receptor PYRABACTIN RESISTANCE1 (PYR1)/PYR1-LIKE (PYL)/REGULATORY COMPONENT OF ABA RECEPTORS (RCAR) (Park et al., 2009; Klingler et al., 2010). This inhibits ABA-insensitive 1 (ABI1), a clade A-type protein phosphatase 2C (PP2C) (Vlad et al., 2009), which releases major positive regulators for ABA signaling, including the cytoplasmic protein kinases, sucrose non-fermenting 1-related subfamily 2 (SnRK2.6)/OPEN STOMATA1
(OST1), SnRK2.2 and SnRK2.3, from inhibition by PP2Cs (Ng et al., 2011). Free activated OST1 activates the S-type and R-type anion channels SLAC1 and QUAC1, respectively (Lee et al., 2009; Vahisalu et al., 2010; Imes et al., 2013), and the K+ channel KAT1 (Mori et al., 2000; Sato et al., 2009) in the plasma membrane of guard cells, resulting in stomatal closure. OST1 also activates AtrbohF, a subunit of NADPH oxidase, resulting in the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Sirichandra et al., 2009). Further downstream signaling through the activation of OST1 activates the transcription factors ABI3, ABI4, and ABI5 (Feng et al., 2014), leading to changes in the expression of genes involved in the regulation of seed germination and root and hypocotyl growth (Finkelstein et al., 2002; Nakashima et al., 2009; Hayashi et al., 2014).

The cellular physiological functions of ABA are thought to be antagonistic to those of BRs. In seed maturation and germination, embryonic ABA maintained seed dormancy, preventing precocious germination (Seo et al., 2009) and exogenous ABA delayed seed germination, while several ABA-deficient mutants, such as nced6, nced9, aba2 and aao3, showed a higher percentage of germination than wild type plants (Seo et al., 2006; Preston et al., 2009). In comparison, 24-epibrassinolide (EBR) treatment rescued germination of giberellin acid (GA)-deficient and GA response mutants (Steber et al., 1998; Steber and McCourt, 2001; Ullah et al., 2002). Furthermore, germination of the BR-deficient mutant det2 and the BR signaling mutant bril-1 was more delayed in response to ABA than it was in wild type plants (Steber and McCourt, 2001), indicating that BRs promote seed germination. Hypocotyl and root elongation were more severely inhibited in the det2 and bril-9 mutants in response to ABA than in the wild type (Xue et al., 2009).

However, the functional relation of BRs with ABA in stomatal closure, which is an ABA-induced phenotype, seems to be more complex. On one hand, the BR-deficient mutants sax1 and det2 and BR signaling mutant bril-9 showed enhanced ABA-induced stomatal closure (Ephritikhine et al., 1999; Xue et al., 2009), supporting the hypothesis that ABA sensitivity is inversely related to BR level, as suggested previously (Steber and McCourt, 2001). On the other hand, a BR and ABA cooperated to reduce stomatal transpiration in Vicia faba guard cells in response to drought (Haubrick et al., 2006). In maize leaves, BR-induced NO production and subsequent NO-activated ABA biosynthesis were reported to exert water stress tolerance (Zhang et al., 2011). Recently, EBR was reported to induce stomatal closure in Arabidopsis leaves (Shi et al., 2015). Taken together, these findings support the hypothesis that BRs promote stomatal closure. Moreover, Xia et al. (2014) reported a dose-dependent effect of EBR on stomatal closure and opening in tomato leaves (Xia et al., 2014). A low EBR concentration (<0.1 μM) induced stomatal opening, whereas stomatal closure was observed under higher concentrations of EBR.

BR signaling is triggered by BR binding to BRI1, a leucine rich-repeat (LRR) serine/threonine receptor-like kinase (RLK) located in the plasma membrane (Li and Chory, 1997; Wang et al., 2005). BR-bound BRI1 recruits another type of LRR-RLK, BAK1, to form a functional receptor complex (Li et al., 2002; Nam and Li, 2002). Recently, we reported the reduced ABA sensitivity of bak1 in stomatal closure and showed that BAK1 interacts with OST1 near the plasma membrane. Moreover, brassinolide (BL) negatively affected the ability of BAK1 to form a complex with OST1 in the presence of ABA (Shang et al., 2016). Taken together, these results make it difficult to assess the function of BRs in the regulation of stomatal movement.

We have noted that, thus far, many experiments performed to measure stomatal apertures have involved single applications of ABA or BR to BR-related or ABA-related mutants, respectively. In this study, we further investigated how BR affects stomatal movement on its own or in combination with ABA. BR promotes stomatal closing in Arabidopsis, as does ABA. However, in combination with ABA, BR concentrations exceeding a threshold level antagonized the effects of ABA on stomatal closure. Co-treatment of BR and ABA repressed the expression of genes responsible for ABA-induced ROS production, resulting in decreased ROS signaling. Using BR-deficient and signaling mutants, and a BR-hypersensitive BRI1-overexpressing transgenic plant, we further investigated how BR affects the movement of stomata following closure in response to ABA treatment. We found that BR signaling is required for the plants to maintain ABA sensitivity and to inhibit ABA-induced stomatal closure by BR. Taken together, these results suggest that interactions between ABA and BR signaling are important for the regulation of stomatal closure.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana Columbia-0 (Col-0) was used as the wild type plant in all experiments, except when aba2-1 [Landsberg (Ler) background] and daf4-1 [Wassilewskija-2 (Ws-2) background] were examined. Other mutants and the BRI1-GFP transgenic plants used in this study were from our own lab stocks. Brassinazole (BRZ) was provided by Prof. Seong-Ki Kim from Chung-Ang University. Seeds were sterilized with 75% ethanol containing 0.05% Tween-20 for 15 min, washed twice in 95% ethanol and once in 100% ethanol, and then placed onto 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) (Duchefa Biochemie) plates containing 0.8% phytoagar. All plants were grown at 22 °C under long-light conditions (16 h light–8 h dark).

Stomatal aperture measurement

We followed the methods described by Shang et al. (2016) to measure stomatal apertures. Abaxial surfaces of cotyledons from 10-d-old seedlings were incubated in stomatal opening solution (50 mM KCl, 10 μM CaCl2, 10 mM MES, pH 6.15) in a growth chamber with light intensity of 130 μmol m−2 s−1 at 22 °C. Various reagents, such as ABA (Sigma-Aldrich), BL (Sigma-Aldrich), BRZ, H2O2 and sodium nitroprusside (SNP) (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the opening solution for the indicated period. Stomatal opening was evaluated by measuring the width and length of the stomata observed under a microscope (Leica, DM2500) and was calculated by determining the width/length ratio.

Quantitative RT-PCR

To analyse the quantitative expression of genes involved in H2O2 and NO production in response to ABA or BL, RNA was isolated
from 10-d-old seedlings using the TRIzol reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) after the seedlings were treated with 1 μM ABA or BL, or together for 1 h. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) and the oligo(dt) 15 primer using 1 μg RNA. The same aliquot of first-strand cDNA was used as a template in the second PCR with gene-specific primers. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with UBQ5 as an internal control and analysed with the Step-one Plus Real Time PCR system using the same cDNA and SYBR Green PCR Master Mix as described previously (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Data were normalized to UBQ5 expression. Primer sequences used in this experiment are provided in Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online.

Detection of H$_2$O$_2$ and NO production
Abaxial leaf epidermal peels from 4-week-old plants were used to determine H$_2$O$_2$ and NO production. Epidermis was incubated in the stomatal opening solution containing ABA or BL alone, or in combination, and were then incubated in 100 μM 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H$_2$DCF-DA) (Fisher Scientific) for 15 min to detect H$_2$O$_2$ production. To detect NO production, epidermis was incubated with 200 μM 4-amino-5-methylamino-2′,7′-difuorofluorescein diacetate (DAF-FMDA) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 20 min. Dyes were washed off with distilled water. H$_2$O$_2$ and NO production in guard cells was observed under a fluorescence microscope (Leica, DM2500 with a fluorescence module: Fluo Illuminator L4/23) and the L5 filter system (excitation BP480/40, emission BP527/30). To prevent photo-oxidation of H$_2$DCF-DA, all fluorescence images were collected with a single rapid capture (150.8 ms frame$^{-1}$) at ×400 magnification, as described by Shang et al. (2016).

Results
Treatment with ABA or BR induces stomatal closure
To determine the roles of BRs and ABA in stomatal closure, we examined ABA- or BR-induced stomatal movements for various time periods. Stomatal closure in cotyledons of 10-d-old seedlings was detected 15 min after ABA treatment. Two-hour ABA treatment almost completely closed the stomata of wild type plants in response to ABA, while ABA-induced stomatal closure was suppressed (Fig. 1B). Treatment with BL partially rescued sdet2 shoot phenotypes (Supplementary Fig. S2). Then, we determined stomatal aperture of sdet2 and aba2-1 in response to low and high concentrations of ABA and BL, respectively. The sdet2 mutant remained sensitive to ABA-induced stomatal closure under both low (1 μM) and high (10 μM) concentrations of ABA treatment (Fig. 2A). It showed the same sensitivity to ABA even at concentrations lower than 1 μM compared with wild type plant (Supplementary Fig. S3). Stomata of the aba2-1 plants were also closed in response to both low (10 nM) and high (1 μM) and concentrations of BL treatment (Fig. 2B). Another BR-biosynthetic mutant, daf4-1, and ABA biosynthetic mutant, aao3-4, showed similar trends in stomatal closure in response to BL and ABA, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S4A, B). In addition, the ABA signaling mutant abil-1 (Wu et al., 2003) showed similar stomatal aperture closing to that of wild type plants in response to BL, while ABA-induced stomatal closure was suppressed (Supplementary Fig. S4C). These results suggested that BL and ABA can cause stomatal closure independently.

![Fig. 1](https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-abstract/67/22/6297/2339774)

Fig. 1. Abscisic acid (ABA) and brassinolide (BL) induced stomatal closure. ABA (1 or 10 μM; A) or BL (10 nM or 1 μM; B) was added to the opening solution and the seedlings were incubated for the indicated times. Stomatal closure was measured as the width/length ratio of the cotyledon stomata. Experiments were independently repeated three times (n=30 each time). Error bars indicate standard errors. Values labeled with different letters are statistically different analysed by one-way ANOVA (P<0.05). (This figure is available in color at JXB online.)
ABA-induced stomatal closure was reversed by a high concentration of BR

At concentrations higher than 500 nM, BL treatment opened the stomata that had closed in response to ABA (Fig. 3B). To reverse this pattern, co-treatment of BRZ with BL partially recovered ABA sensitivity in stomatal movement when compared with the effect of the BL treatment alone (Fig. 3C). Taken together, these results suggest that exogenously applied BL antagonizes ABA-induced stomatal movements dose-dependently.

BR negatively affects ABA-induced \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and NO production

ROS, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), and NO are signaling intermediates in stomatal closure in response to plant hormones as well as environmental stimuli (Pei et al., 2000; Desikan et al., 2002; Kolla et al., 2007). ABA-induced ROS or NO production is a prerequisite for stomatal closure (Kolla et al., 2007; Gayatri et al., 2013). Therefore, we wanted to determine whether ROS production was affected following co-treatment with ABA and BL, leading to the BL-induced inhibition of ABA on stomatal closure. First we examined the expression of genes encoding the proteins required for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and NO biosynthesis in wild type plants. Expressions of \textit{AtrbohD} and \textit{AtrbohF}, which encode NADPH oxidase catalytic subunits required for ROS production, were induced by ABA in guard cells (Kwak et al., 2003). Consistent with a previous report, the expression of \textit{AtrbohD} was increased around three-fold by ABA. However, the expression of \textit{AtrbohF} was not changed by ABA. We also found that the expression of \textit{AtrbohD} and \textit{AtrbohF} was up-regulated in response to BL treatment. However, the transcript levels of \textit{AtrbohD} and \textit{AtrbohF} were lower upon ABA and BL co-treatment than with single hormone treatment (Fig. 4A). These gene expression patterns for ROS-producing enzymes correlated well with ROS production. Using a \( \text{H}_2\text{DCF-DA} \) fluorescent dye, we examined ROS production in guard cells. We observed that upon ABA or BL treatment, ROS were produced specifically in the guard cells, and that ROS production was lower with the co-treatment of ABA and BL than with single hormone treatment, although the ROS level was still slightly above the basal level (Fig. 4B). Similar results were obtained in experiments investigating NO production. The expression of \textit{NIA1} and \textit{NIA2}, which encode two nitrate reductases in Arabidopsis (Desikan et al., 2002), was also up-regulated following ABA or BL treatment. However, the expression of these genes decreased almost to basal levels under ABA and BL co-treatment (Fig. 4A). The patterns of NO production detected using DAF fluorescence were correlated with the patterns of \textit{NIA1} and \textit{NIA2} expression (Fig. 4B). These results indicate that BR negatively affects ABA-induced \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and NO production, through the down-regulation of genes involved in ROS production.

To test this hypothesis, we determined whether application of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and the NO donor sodium nitroprusside (SNP) could suppress the inhibiting effect of BR on ABA-induced stomatal closure. We sequentially added ABA, BL, and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) or SNP to the solution at 30 min intervals and measured the stomatal aperture after 1 h of treatment with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). We
observed that 1 h treatment of H$_2$O$_2$ or SNP was sufficient to inhibit the effect of BR on stomatal opening in the presence of ABA (Fig. 6A, B).

**BR signaling capacity is required for ABA-induced stomatal closure**

To examine whether the inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure by BL occurs through the activation of BR signaling, we examined stomatal movement in the bri1-301 mutant, which is a weak bri1 mutant allele (Xu et al., 2008). First, to confirm the ABA sensitivity of bri1-301 mutant in stomatal closure, we measured the stomatal aperture of the bri1-301 plants in response to various durations of ABA exposure with different ranges of ABA concentrations (Desikan et al., 2002). Stomatal closing occurred later following short period of ABA treatment in the bri1-301 mutant than in the wild type, although a 2 h treatment period was sufficient to close the stomata of bri1-301 mutant to a similar degree to that observed in the wild type. Furthermore, decreased stomatal closure in the bri1-301 mutant was more distinct upon treatment with lower concentrations of ABA (Fig. 7). These results indicate that ABA sensitivity was reduced in the bri1-301 mutant. Upon treatment of BL alone, as expected, BL-induced stomatal closure was not observed in the bri1-301 mutant and the bak1-3 mutant (Supplementary Fig. S6). Based on these results, next, we monitored whether the inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure by BL was affected in the bri1-301 mutant. We also confirmed the stomatal movements of the bak1-3 and sdet2 mutants in response to BL and ABA together for comparison. When 10-d-old cotyledons of each plant were incubated in an ABA-containing solution, the stomata of the bri1-301 and sdet2 mutants were closed within 2 h, consistent with the response in wild type plants. When plants were co-treated with BL and ABA, ABA-induced stomatal closure of the sdet2 mutant was inhibited proportionally to the concentration of BL used, which was similar to the response observed in the wild type plant. However, ABA-induced stomatal closure of the bri1-301 mutant was not inhibited by BL treatment (Fig. 8A). These results suggest that functional BRI1 is required for the regulation of stomatal movement: closure of stomata in response to BL and inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure. In comparison to the bri1-301 mutant, the stomata of the bak1-3 mutant were less sensitive to ABA (Fig. 8A),
consistent with findings from a previous report (Shang et al., 2016). BAK1 does not directly bind BL (Wang et al., 2008), although it is important for BR signaling as a coreceptor of BRI1. Moreover, as BAK1 can function in guard cells by forming a complex with OST1 under ABA treatment (Shang et al., 2016), ABA insensitivity in the bak1-3 mutant may not be caused solely by the failure of BR signaling due to a lack of BAK1. When BL and ABA are both present, BAK1 can function as a versatile component in both signaling pathways. ROS production in the bak1-3 mutant was not induced by ABA (Shang et al., 2016). In this study, we also found that BL-induced ROS production in the guard cells of wild type plants (Supplementary Fig. S7). Therefore, the stomatal aperture in the bak1-3 mutant seemed to be the result of disturbances in both BR and ABA signaling pathways.

As reduced BR signaling led to the failure of BL to inhibit ABA-induced stomatal closure, we attempted to further validate the relationship between BR signaling capacity and stomatal movement using the BRI1-GFP transgenic plant, in which BR signaling capacity is increased by increasing the number of BRI1 receptors (Wang et al., 2001; Kinoshita et al., 2005). We observed that the BRI1-GFP plants were hyper-sensitive to ABA for stomatal closure when compared with wild type plants even in the absence of exogenous BL. Furthermore, the degree of inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure by BL was greater in BRI1-GFP transgenic plants than in wild type plants (Fig. 8B). Moreover, even in the presence of low concentration of BL, which did not affect stomatal movement in wild type plants, inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure was detected in the BRI1-GFP plants (Supplementary Fig. S8). These results show that BRI1-GFP transgenic plants were more sensitive than wild type plants to ABA and BL, such that they exhibited enhanced stomatal closure upon ABA treatment and enhanced inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure in the presence of BL. Therefore, BR signaling capacity affects ABA-induced stomatal closure positively and negatively through BRI1.

**Fig. 4.** High concentrations of BL inhibited ABA-induced ROS production. (A) Relative expression of AtrbohD and AtrbohF in response to ABA and BL alone, and as a co-treatment. qRT-PCR analyses were performed in triplicate using RNA isolated from 10-d-old wild type Col-0 seedlings. Data were normalized to the expression of ubiquitin. Experiments were independently repeated twice. Error bars indicate standard error. Values labeled with different letters (roman and italic, respectively) are statistically different analyzed by one-way ANOVA (P<0.05). (B) ROS production in response to each condition was detected by fluorescent H$_2$DCF-DA in the guard cells (upper panels). Lower panels show the bright field images corresponding to the same region of the epidermal tissues. Scale bar indicates 20 μm.
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Discussion

BR alone promotes stomatal closure, but in concert with ABA, BR modulates ABA-induced stomatal closure both positively and negatively

Plants open stomata by regulating the turgor pressure of the two guard cells in order to obtain CO$_2$ for photosynthesis, which results in over 95% of their water loss occurring through the stomatal pores (Buckley, 2005; Kim et al., 2010). Therefore, plants have developed several multi-layered methods to regulate stomatal closure in an appropriate and timely manner for their survival (Carvalho et al., 2015; Murata et al., 2015; Scuffi et al., 2016). We investigated how BR affects stomatal movement by itself and in combination with ABA. Although low concentrations of EBR (<100 nM) induced stomatal opening in tomato leaves, high concentrations (>1 μM) induced stomatal closure (Xia et al., 2014), as in Arabidopsis thaliana (Shi et al., 2015). In the present study, we describe the detailed kinetics of BL-induced stomatal closure in the presence of low and high concentrations of BL in wild type plants (Fig. 1B). Our result that even low concentration of BL induced stomatal closure differs from findings in tomato leaves treated with low concentrations of EBR (Xia et al., 2014). However, it is difficult to directly compare relative effective doses of EBR and BL, and the possibility of different sensitivities to BRs in different tissues or different plant species cannot be ruled out.

In addition to the BR-induced stomatal closure, we also show that BRs can modulate ABA-induced stomatal closure both positively and negatively, depending on the BR concentration applied. Previous studies addressing the function of BRs in stomatal movements have examined stomatal responses of BR-biosynthetic, or signaling mutants in the presence of ABA (Ephritikhine et al., 1999; Xue et al., 2009). These studies showed that stomatal closure of the det2 or bri1-9 mutants was more sensitive to ABA, leading to the conclusion that BR functions negatively in stomatal closure. However, in the present study, we demonstrated that ABA sensitivity of the bri1-301 mutant was partially defective when ABA was applied for shorter periods or when the

Fig. 5. High concentrations of BL inhibited ABA-induced NO production. (A) Relative expression of NIA1 and NIA2 in response to ABA and BL alone, and in combination. qRT-PCR analyses were performed in triplicate using RNA isolated from 10-d-old wild type Col-0 seedlings. Data were normalized to the expression of ubiquitin. Experiments were independently repeated twice. Error bars indicate standard error. Values labeled with different letters (roman and italic, respectively) are statistically different analysed by one-way ANOVA (P<0.05). (B) NO production in response to each condition was detected by fluorescent DAF in the guard cells (upper panels). Lower panels show the bright field images corresponding to the same region of the epidermal tissues. Scale bar indicates 20 μm.
concentration of ABA was low (Fig. 7), whereas ABA sensitivity of the sdet2 mutant in the stomatal closure was not changed compared with wild type plant (Supplementary Fig. S3). When ABA was applied for longer periods or was followed by BL treatment (over 0.5 μM), ABA led to stomatal closure in the sdet2 and bri1-301 mutants to a similar degree as was observed in wild type plant (Figs 2A and 8A). However, inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure by BL occurred in the sdet2 mutant, not in the bri1-301 mutant (Fig. 8A), and the BR-biosynthetic inhibitor BRZ alleviated the BR repression on ABA-induced stomatal closure (Fig. 3C). These results strongly suggest that BRI1 needs to be functional in order to maintain ABA sensitivity and to transduce BR signaling to inhibit ABA-induced stomatal closure. These assumptions were strengthened by results in the BRI1-overexpressing transgenic plant BRI1-GFP, which displayed increased sensitivity to ABA in stomatal closure. Even low concentration of BL inhibited ABA-induced stomatal closure in the BRI1-GFP plants. Furthermore, BL inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure was enhanced in the BRI1-GFP plants (Fig. 8B). Therefore, these results suggest that under endogenous levels of BR, proper BRI1 function seems to be required for ABA-induced stomatal closure by maintaining ABA sensitivity. However, when the BR concentration exceeds a threshold level in the presence of ABA, BR inhibits ABA-induced stomatal closure by activating BR signaling. In this way, BR acts both positively and negatively to regulate stomatal movement, which is primarily governed by ABA.

BL suppressed ABA-induced ROS and NO production, thereby inhibiting ABA-induced stomatal closure

ROS, including H₂O₂, and NO are important downstream signaling intermediates in various processes regulated by plant hormones (Simontacchi et al., 2013; Sanz et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2015). Many studies have demonstrated that ABA (Pei et al., 2000; Kwak et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2014) and

Fig. 6. H₂O₂ or SNP treatment repressed the effect of BL on the inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure. (A) H₂O₂ (100 μM) or (B) SNP (100 μM) was applied 30 min after BL (1 μM) to the ABA-containing solution, and the cotyledons were incubated for a further 1 h before apertures were measured. Experiments were independently repeated twice (n=30 each time). Error bars indicate standard errors. Values labeled with different letters are statistically different analysed by one-way ANOVA (P<0.05). (This figure is available in color at JXB online.)

Fig. 7. The bri1-301 mutant showed reduced sensitivity to ABA for stomatal closure. Different concentrations of ABA (1 μM, 100 nM, and 10 nM) were added to the opening solution and the seedlings were incubated for the indicated times. Stomatal closure was measured as the width/length ratio of the cotyledon stomata. Experiments were independently repeated three times (n=25 each time). Error bars indicate standard errors (*P<0.0001, compared with the Col-0 plants under the same treatment, t-test). (This figure is available in color at JXB online.)
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BR (Zhou et al., 2014; Kang and Nam, 2016) induce ROS production. Usually during ABA-induced stomatal closure, OST1 promotes $H_2O_2$ production by activating ROS-producing enzymes (Kwak et al., 2003). The resulting increase in $H_2O_2$ further induces an increase in the cytosolic $Ca^{2+}$ level (Pei et al., 2000). Therefore, our data showing that ROS production and the induction of genes involved in $H_2O_2$ and NO productions following treatment of ABA or BL alone are consistent with the findings of previous studies (Figs 4 and 5). When BR was applied to maize leaves, BR-induced NO production was observed, which subsequently activated ABA synthesis, resulting in enhanced water stress tolerance (Zhang et al., 2011). In addition, we also found that ABA-induced $H_2O_2$ and NO production was repressed by co-treatment with BL (Figs 4 and 5). These observations were consistent with the observation that stomatal aperture was affected by ABA with or without BL treatment (Fig. 3), providing a possible explanation for why BL inhibited ABA-induced stomatal closure upon co-treatment. These results implied that, although ROS production can be induced in various ways, such as by ABA or BR treatment as shown in the present study, it is not cumulative. Simultaneous ROS production by various stimuli should be regulated in order to maintain homeostasis to prevent the excessive accumulation of ROS, which may be harmful for cellular processes. For example, ethylene played a positive role in ROS production but also induced synthesis of flavonols, which function as antioxidants, leading to slower stomatal closure (Watkins et al., 2014). Xia et al. detected

Fig. 8. BRI1 is required for the BL inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure. (A) Comparison of the effect of BL on the inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure in various BR-related mutants. Indicated concentrations of BL were added to the 1 μM ABA-containing solution in which each mutant seedling was incubated. Stomatal closure was measured as a width/length ratio of the cotyledon stomata. Experiments were independently repeated three times ($n=30$ each time). Error bars indicate standard errors. Values labeled with different letters (roman, italic, primed letters, respectively) are statistically different analysed by one-way ANOVA ($P<0.05$). (B) ABA-induced stomatal closure of the BRI1-GFP plants was measured with or without BL treatment for the indicated times compared with wild type. Experiments were independently repeated three times ($n=50$ each time). Error bars indicate standard errors (*$P<0.001$, compared with the wild type plant under the same treatment). (C) Proposed model showing how BR induces stomatal closure and affects ABA-induced stomatal closure as well. (This figure is available in color at JXB online.)
dynamic changes of ROS production depending on the concentrations of EBR (Xia et al., 2014). Transient increases in ROS production induced by low concentrations of EBR are required for EBR-induced stomatal opening in tomato leaves. However, prolonged ROS production was observed in guard cells upon application of high concentrations of EBR, resulting in stomatal closure. These results suggest that high levels of ROS function downstream of ABA and BL signaling in the process of stomatal closure. The requirement of ROS and NO production for stomatal closure was confirmed by direct treatment of H$_2$O$_2$ or SNP in the ABA- and BL-containing solution. Both H$_2$O$_2$ and SNP suppressed the inhibitory effect of BL on ABA-induced stomatal closure (Fig. 6A, B). Taken together, these results indicate that BRs negatively affect ABA-induced H$_2$O$_2$ and NO production, through the down-regulation of genes involved in ROS production.

Interactions of ABA and BR signaling are important for the regulation of stomatal closure

Based on these and our previous results showing that BAK1 acts in ABA signaling through the interaction with OST1 in the regulation of stomatal closure triggered by ABA (Shang et al., 2016), we propose that BR inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure occurs in two ways. One is through the activation of BR signaling. As discussed, application of BR to stomata closed in response to ABA did not affect stomatal movement in the bri1-301 mutant (Fig. 8A). However, application of BR to stomata closed in response to ABA caused the stomata to open to a greater degree in the BRII-GFP plants than in wild type plants (Fig. 8B). In addition, even when ABA synthesis is disturbed, closed stomata were normally observed in aba2-1 in response to BL, as the BR-signaling capacity in this mutant is intact (Fig. 2B). A second way is through BAK1, which was originally identified as a co-receptor of BRI1 (Li et al., 2002; Nam and Li, 2002). BAK1 was subsequently shown to be a co-receptor of FLS2, which is a flagellin-binding receptor involved in plant immunity (Chinchilla et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2013; Koller and Bent, 2014), and of ER and ERL1, which are EPF2 and EPF1 receptors for stomatal development and patterning (Meng et al., 2015). These results suggest that BAK1 can act in multiple pathways to regulate plant development. Here, we demonstrated that the bak1-3 mutant also showed defects in BL-induced stomatal closure and BL-induced ROS production (Supplemental Figs S6 and S7). We previously showed that ABA-induced stomatal closure was abolished in the bak1 mutant. Therefore, as shown in Fig. 8A, in the presence of both BR and ABA, the stomatal movement of the bak1 mutant was prevented. ABA-induced OST1 expression and ROS production were also impaired in the bak1 mutant. These results suggest that BAK1 has a dual function in ABA and BR signaling. Therefore, in addition to the inhibition of ABA-induced ROS and NO productions by BL, it is possible that BR can affect ABA-induced stomatal closure by inhibiting the interaction between BAK1 and OST1 (Shang et al., 2016), which lead to recruitment of further BAK1 to BR11, leading to stomatal reopening (Fig. 8C). Taken together, these results suggest that interactions between ABA and BR signaling are important for fine-tuning the regulation of stomatal closure throughout the plant lifespan.
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Supplemental data are available at JXB online.

Figure S1. Brassinolide (BL) induced stomatal closure.

Figure S2. Phenotypes of the sdet2 mutants.

Figure S3. Stomatal aperture in sdet2 mutant in response to various concentration of ABA.

Figure S4. Stomatal aperture in dwf4-1, aao3-4 and abil-1 mutants in response to ABA or BL.

Figure S5. ABA-induced stomatal closure was inhibited by BL even in the presence of high concentration of ABA.

Figure S6. BL-induced stomatal closure was not observed in bri1-301 and bak1-3 mutants.

Figure S7. ROS productions in bak1-3.

Figure S8. BRII-GFP plants showed higher sensitivity to BL in the inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure.

Table S1. List of primers used in this study.
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