HTLV-1 activates YAP via NF-κB/p65 to promote oncogenesis
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Abstract

Adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATL) is an aggressive malignancy caused by human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) infection. HTLV-1 exerts its oncogenic functions by interacting with signaling pathways involved in cell proliferation and transformation. Dysregulation of the Hippo/YAP pathway is associated with multiple cancers, including virus-induced malignancies. In the present study, we observe that expression of YAP, which is the key effector of Hippo signaling, is elevated in ATL cells by the action of the HTLV-1 Tax protein. YAP transcriptional activity is remarkably enhanced in HTLV-1-infected cells and ATL patients. In addition, Tax activates the YAP protein via a mechanism involving the NF-κB/p65 pathway. As a mechanism for this cross talk between the Hippo and NF-κB pathways, we found that p65 abrogates the interaction between YAP and LAT51, leading to suppression of YAP phosphorylation, inhibition of ubiquitination-dependent degradation of YAP, and YAP nuclear accumulation. Finally, knock-down of YAP suppresses the proliferation of ATL cells in vitro and tumor formation in ATL-engrafted mice. Taken together, our results suggest that p65-induced YAP activation is essential for ATL pathogenesis and implicate YAP as a potential therapeutic target for ATL treatment.
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Adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATL) is an aggressive T-cell malignancy caused by human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) (1, 2). HTLV-1 infection is associated with the clonal proliferation and transformation of T lymphocytes (1, 3). The oncogenic potential of HTLV-1 is attributed to the ability of viral genes to regulate cellular transcription factors and signaling pathways that are involved in cell growth, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis (4). The HTLV-1 genome encodes several structural and enzymatic proteins ( gag, pol, and env) and regulatory and accessory proteins (Tax, Rex, HBZ, p12, p13, and p30) (5, 6). Among these, Tax was thought to play a pivotal role in the development of ATL because of its pleiotropic effects (7, 8). Indeed, Tax not only activates viral transcription but also deregulates a wide range of cell signaling pathways, leading to immortalization of T lymphocytes and tumor formation in vitro and in vivo (1, 7, 8).

Tax-mediated nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) activation plays a central role in the initiation and maintenance of the malignant phenotype of ATL (9, 10). Tax was reported to interact with IKKα and induce the phosphorylation of IKKβ and IKKβ. Phosphorylated IKKα and IKKβ then trigger the proteasome-mediated degradation of IκB, leading to the release of p50/p65 from IκB and nuclear translocation of the activated p65 (10). In ATL, the activation of the NF-κB pathway stimulates the expression of cellular genes which are essential for cell proliferation (11). Evidence suggests that NF-κB signaling does not exist in isolation, and it closely coordinates with other signaling pathways to support the development of cancer (12). Further studies are ongoing to understand the complex interaction between NF-κB and other parallel signaling networks.

The Hippo pathway plays critical roles in controlling cell proliferation, and its dysregulation is widely implicated in numerous human cancers. YAP, a Hippo signaling effector, often acts as a nexus and integrator for multiple prominent signaling networks. In this study, we discover NF-κB cross talk with the Hippo pathway and identify p65 as a critical regulator for YAP nuclear retention and transcriptional activity. Furthermore, we find that p65-induced YAP activation is essential for maintaining the proliferation of ATL cells in vitro and in vivo. Our findings unravel the functional interplay between NF-κB and YAP signaling and provide mechanistic insights into the YAP-dependent growth control pathway and tumorigenesis.
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a transcriptional coactivator, interacting with the TEADs (TEAD1–4 transcription factors) and stimulating the expression of target genes associated with cell proliferation and resistance to apoptosis (19). Therefore, activation of the Hippo pathway suppresses cell proliferation. Unsurprisingly, dysregulation of the Hippo pathway and overexpression of YAP have been found to contribute to the development of many malignancies (13, 20).

Accumulating evidence shows that a variety of human viruses exert their carcinogenic function via the Hippo pathway (21). Known mechanisms of Hippo dysregulation in virus-infected cells include 1) up-regulation of YAP expression through activating its promoter (22–24), 2) inhibition of proteasome-dependent degradation of YAP protein (25, 26), 3) inhibition of YAP phosphorylation to increase nuclear localization (26, 27), and 4) suppression of the Hippo pathway through regulating the kinase activity of MST1/2 or LATS1/2 (28).

To date, whether the Hippo pathway plays a role in the genesis of HTLV-1–induced ATL has been unknown. In this study, we report that the Hippo tumor suppressor pathway is inhibited in ATL cells. HTLV-1 infection stimulates YAP activity in two ways: Tax increases the transcription of the YAP gene, and Tax activates NF-κB, which in turn activates the YAP protein. YAP activation supports the proliferation of ATL cells in vitro and in vivo. Thus, our study indicates that p65-mediated YAP activation is associated with the leukemogenesis of ATL.

Results

YAP Is Up-Regulated and Activated in ATL. To determine the status of YAP protein in ATL cells and to examine the expression of YAP, which is the core effector of the Hippo pathway, in primary T cells isolated from ATL patients. Compared with T cells from healthy subjects, primary ATL cells expressed high levels of YAP (Fig. 1A). Next, we examined the expression of YAP in HTLV-1–infected cell lines. Quantitative real-time PCR results demonstrated that YAP expression was up-regulated in many ATL cell lines (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). Consistent with these results, we found that the protein levels of YAP were significantly elevated in HTLV-1–infected cell lines, relative to noninfected ones (Fig. 1B).

Nuclear translocation is required for YAP to regulate its target gene expression (29). We next examined the subcellular localization of YAP protein in ATL cell lines. An immunofluorescence assay indicated that YAP was enriched in the nucleus of the HTLV-1–infected cell lines, ATL-T and ATL-2, while it was distributed in the cytoplasm in the control Jurkat and HuT78 cells (Fig. 1C). To further investigate the activation of YAP in ATL, we transfected the Hippo pathway reporter construct CTGF–Luc into HTLV-1 noninfected and infected cell lines. As shown in Fig. 1D, CTGF reporter activity was higher in all tested HTLV-1–infected cell lines compared to the HTLV-1–negative T cells. Analysis of the well-characterized YAP target genes’ expression revealed that the transcription of the CYR61 and CTGF genes was enhanced, and the expression of the RASSF4 and TIMP1 genes was suppressed in HTLV-1–positive cell lines, as would be consistent with the activation of YAP (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B).

Taken together, these results indicate that YAP was elevated and activated in ATL and in HTLV-1–infected cell lines.

HTLV-1 Tax Up-Regulates YAP Expression. To investigate the mechanism of YAP up-regulation in ATL cells, we transfected an infectious molecular clone of HTLV-1 (pXIMT-M) into Jurkat cells. The result showed that overexpression of HTLV-1 up-regulated the level of YAP protein (Fig. 1E). It is well established that Tax and HBZ are the two viral genes that play a central role in the pathogenesis of HTLV-1. Thus, we next analyzed whether Tax or HBZ could control YAP expression. As shown in Fig. 1F and SI Appendix, Fig. S1C, the protein level of YAP was elevated in CdCl₂-treated JXK-9 cells by enforced expression of Tax rather than CdCl₂ treatment itself. In addition, we found that HBZ had no significant effect on YAP level in Jurkat cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D). Indeed, the levels of YAP correlated with the Tax expression in ATL cells (Fig. 1B).

To clarify the mechanism by which Tax enhanced the expression of YAP in ATL cells, we constructed a luciferase reporter that contains the putative promoter region of YAP (encompassing the −1003 to +117 region) and performed a luciferase assay. As shown in Fig. 1G, overexpression of Tax led to an increase of YAP-1120-luciferase expression in Jurkat cells, whereas HBZ had no such effect. In addition, we verified the binding of Tax to the YAP promoter using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays in ATL cell lines (Fig. 1H).

These results demonstrate that the enhanced expression of YAP in ATL can be attributed to the association of Tax with the YAP promoter.

Tax Stimulates YAP Activity via p65. We next investigated whether the Tax M47, which can activate NF-κB but not the CREB/ATF pathway, and Tax M22, which is capable of activating CREB/ATF but not NF-κB signaling (30), luciferase assays demonstrated that Tax M47 significantly enhanced YAP-mediated transcriptional activation, whereas Tax M22 was ineffective at doing so (Fig. 2C and SI Appendix, Fig. S1E). In addition, we found that the activation effect of Tax on YAP was suppressed by a cotransfected dominant negative mutant of IkB (S32A/S36A), whereas ACREB, which is a dominant negative inhibitor of CREB, had no effect on these responses (Fig. 2D). These observations indicate that Tax stimulates YAP activity via the NF-κB pathway. Next, we cotransfected the expression vectors of YAP and p65 into Jurkat cells. Fig. 2E demonstrated that the expression of p65 can enhance YAP-dependent transcription.

Overall, these results indicate that Tax-mediated activation of NF-κB pathway is responsible for the YAP activation in ATL.

p65 Interacts with YAP and Enhances Its Activity. By what mechanism does p65 activate YAP? Accumulating evidence shows that the interaction between cellular factors and the main components of the Hippo pathway may affect their subcellular localization and modulate transcription activation (14). Therefore, we next explored whether p65 can physically interact with Hippo-associated proteins. YAP, LATS1, MST1, 14-3-3, and p65 expression plasmids were cotransfected into 293T cells. As shown in Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Fig. S2A, p65 interacted with YAP and to a lesser extent with MST1 and 14-3-3, but could not bind to LATS1. Moreover, this YAP–p65 interaction was also confirmed to occur endogenously in the ATL cell lines, ATL-T and ATL-2 (Fig. 3B and SI Appendix, Fig. S2B). The association between YAP and p65 was further analyzed by confocal microscopy. As shown in Fig. 3C, the YAP and p65 protein colocalized well in the nucleus.

We next evaluated the p65 deletion mutants shown in Fig. 3D to determine which region of p65 is responsible for interacting with YAP. Immunoprecipitation assays indicated that wild-type p65 and mutant p65 (1–320) interacted with YAP, while the p65 (313–551) mutant that lacks the Rel homology domain...
could not bind to YAP (Fig. 3D). Consistent with this observation, a luciferase assay demonstrated that p65 (1–320) could enhance YAP-induced reporter activity about as well as wild-type p65 could, whereas p65 (313–551) lost the transcriptional activation function (Fig. 3E). Neither of the p65 mutants could activate the NF-κB pathway (Fig. 3F). This observation suggests that the Rel homology domain of p65 is essential for activating YAP.

To identify the region of YAP responsible for the binding with p65, we tested the ability of a series of FLAG-tagged truncated YAP proteins to interact with p65 (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A). As shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S3B, YAP mutants without the TAD and WW domains could not bind to p65, indicating that the interaction with p65 is mediated by the TAD segment of YAP.

p65 Inhibits Ubiquitination-Dependent Degradation of YAP and Induces YAP Nuclear Translocation. In the Hippo signaling pathway, the LATS1 tumor suppressor binds to and further phosphorylates YAP, resulting in the inactivation of YAP (31). The observations shown in Fig. 3 prompted us to ask whether p65 influenced YAP/LATS1 complex formation because p65 interacted with YAP. The 293T cells were transfected with the expression vector of YAP and LATS1 with or without p65. As
shown in Fig. 4D, p65 protein inhibited the interaction between YAP and LATS1. As phosphorylation at the serine 127 and 381 sites of YAP by LATS is key events for YAP inhibition, we next performed experiments to determine the effect of p65 on YAP phosphorylation. The results showed that enforced expression of p65 suppressed the phosphorylation of YAP protein at its Ser127 and Ser381 sites, and this inhibition was dose-dependent (Fig. 4B). In addition, the phosphorylation of YAP in ATL-T cells was up-regulated by treatment with the p65 inhibitor SN50 (Fig. 4C).

It has been reported that phosphorylation of YAP at Ser127 induces its binding with 14-3-3 leading to its cytoplasmic sequestration, while phosphorylation on YAP Ser381 triggers its ubiquitination and degradation (17, 18). We therefore investigated whether the p65-induced down-regulation of YAP phosphorylation at Ser127 could influence the interaction between YAP and 14-3-3. As shown in Fig. 4D, overexpression of p65 suppressed YAP/14-3-3 complex formation. An immunofluorescence assay indicated that YAP was largely localized in the cytoplasm of control 293T cells. When p65 protein was coexpressed with YAP, YAP was enriched in the nucleus, indicating that p65 caused the localization of YAP to shift from the cytoplasm to the nucleus (Fig. 4E). Treatment of ATL-T cells with SN50, an inhibitor of p65, significantly changed the location of YAP protein from the nucleus to the cytoplasm (Fig. 4F). However, p65 could not inhibit the interaction between 14-3-3 and the YAP-S127D mutant, which is a phosphorylation mimic form of YAP (Fig. 4G).

To examine the effect of p65 on YAP degradation, we tested YAP protein stability by CHX chase experiment. As shown in Fig. 4H, FLAG-YAP protein expressed in 293T cells was degraded quickly. Enforced expression of p65 dramatically stabilized YAP protein (Fig. 4H). Protein ubiquitination is a crucial modification that induces degradation of YAP proteins in the cytoplasm. We therefore studied whether p65 could inhibit the ubiquitination of YAP. The result shows that YAP immunoprecipitated from MG132-treated HA-Ub–expressing 293T cells exhibited incorporation of ubiquitin. When coexpressed with p65, the polyubiquitination of YAP was abrogated (Fig. 4I). This p65-induced suppression of K48-linked ubiquitination of YAP was abrogated by replenishment with Ub-K48R (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). p65 could not inhibit ubiquitination of the YAP-S381D mutant (the YAP phosphorylation mimic) (Fig. 4I). Collectively, these results demonstrate that p65 stimulates YAP activity by inhibiting its degradation and by localizing it to the nucleus.

Having shown that Tax activates YAP via a mechanism involving p65 and that p65 activates YAP by binding to it, we next investigated whether Tax affected the binding of p65 to YAP. SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A and B demonstrated that Tax binds to YAP and enhances the interaction between YAP and p65. Moreover, Tax inhibits the phosphorylation of YAP protein through the NF-κB/p65 pathway (SI Appendix, Fig. S5C). These observations suggest that the Tax/p65/YAP axis might be essential in the dysregulation of the Hippo pathway in ATL.

**YAP Promotes Proliferation of ATL Cells In Vitro and In Vivo.**

Finally, to determine the physiological significance of the up-regulated YAP expression and activity in ATL cells, we...
investigated whether YAP plays a role in ATL cell growth or tumorigenesis. As shown in Fig. 5A, knockdown of YAP by short hairpin RNA (shRNA) in ATL-T and ATL-2 cells led to a 60% reduction of the expression levels of YAP protein. MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assays indicated that suppression of YAP significantly inhibited proliferation of HTLV-1–infected cell lines (ATL-T and ATL-2) but not an HTLV-1–noninfected cell line (Jurkat) (Fig. 5B and SI Appendix, Fig. S6A). In addition, the colony-forming ability of ATL-T and ATL-2 cells was strongly suppressed when YAP was depleted (Fig. 5C and SI Appendix, Fig. S6B). To further investigate the effect of YAP, we analyzed the expression of Hippo target genes in YAP knockdown cells. As shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S6C, YAP silencing resulted in a down-regulation of CYR61, CTGF, and NRP1 genes and up-regulation of RASSF4 and TIMP1 genes in ATL cells,
Fig. 4. p65 inhibits YAP degradation and induces YAP nuclear localization. (A) p65 suppressed the interaction between YAP and LATS1. The 293T cells were cotransfected with FLAG-YAP, HA-LATS1, and HA-p65. Cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) using anti-FLAG followed by immunoblotting (IB) with anti-HA. (B) p65 inhibited YAP phosphorylation. The 293T cells were transfected with FLAG-YAP and increasing amounts of HA-p65. After 48 h, the cell lysates were subjected to immunoblotting using YAP phosphorylation antibodies. (C) NF-κB contributed to the activation of YAP in ATL. After the treatment of ATL-T cells with SN50, cell lysates were subjected to Western blot using the indicated antibodies. (D) p65 inhibited the YAP/14-3-3 interaction. The 293T cells were cotransfected with FLAG-YAP, HA-p65, and Myc-14-3-3. Cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-FLAG followed by immunoblotting with anti-Myc. (E) p65 induced YAP nuclear translocation. The 293T cells were transfected with pCMV-FLAG-YAP together with or without pcDNA-MycHis-p65. YAP was detected using anti-FLAG-biotin and secondary Streptavidin-Alexa 488 antibody. (Scale bar, 10 μm.) (F) SN50 treatment inhibited nuclear localization of YAP protein. After treating the ATL-T cells with SN50, cells were fixed and subjected to immunofluorescence analysis to determine the localization of YAP protein. (Scale bar, 10 μm.) (G) p65 could not inhibit the YAP-S127D/14-3-3 interaction. The 293T cells were cotransfected with FLAG-YAP-S127D, HA-p65, and Myc-14-3-3. Cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-FLAG followed by immunoblotting with anti-Myc. (H) p65 inhibited YAP degradation. The 293T cells were transfected with FLAG-YAP and HA-p65 and then subjected to determination of YAP protein degradation in the presence of CHX (50 μg/mL) by Western blot. (I) p65 suppressed polyubiquitination of YAP. The 293T cells were transfected with FLAG-YAP, FLAG-YAP-S381D, and HA-ubiquitin, together with or without pSG-p65. After 24 h, cells were treated with MG132 for 12 h. Cell lysates were subjected to IP using anti-FLAG followed by IB using anti-HA.

PNAS Zhao et al. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2115316119

HTLV-1 activates YAP via NF-κB/p65 to promote oncogenesis
indicating that YAP might support the proliferation of ATL cells through modulating the expression of its target genes involved in proliferation and apoptosis.

We then investigated if the activation of YAP contributed to the tumorigenesis of ATL in vivo. An equivalent number of control and YAP knockdown ATL-T or ATL-2 cells were injected into NCG mice, and tumor growth was analyzed. Consistent with the in vitro results, silencing YAP expression attenuated the expansion of ATL cells in this xenograft model, as shown by both tumor size and weight (Fig. 5 D and E and SI Appendix, Fig. S6 D and E). Moreover, the expression of YAP and its target genes CYR61 and NRP1 was down-regulated in the tumor nodules originating from YAP knockdown cells compared to nodules originating from negative control cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S6F).

Furthermore, we tested whether p65 contributes to the oncogenic functions of YAP. As shown in Fig. 5F and SI Appendix, Fig. S7, decreased cell proliferation ability induced by knockdown of YAP was partially rescued by wild-type p65 or the p65 (1-320) mutant which can interact with YAP.

Overall, we conclude that YAP enhances the proliferation of ATL cells in vitro and in vivo. Tax activates the transcription of YAP, and p65 activates the YAP protein by binding to YAP and inhibiting its interaction with LATS1. Thus, p65 suppresses YAP phosphorylation, resulting in the inhibition of ubiquitination-dependent degradation of YAP and permitting YAP nuclear translocation (Fig. 5G).

Discussion

Accumulating evidence shows that the Hippo/YAP signaling pathway plays critical roles in a wide range of biological processes, including cell proliferation, apoptosis, and tumorigenesis (13, 14, 20). Dysregulation of YAP protein, the key factor in the Hippo pathway, has been implicated in viral transformation (21). Examples include hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), human papillomavirus (HPV), Epstein–Barr virus, and Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (21). The expression level of YAP is remarkably elevated in HBV-infected hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cells (22). Knockdown of YAP/TAZ abolishes the proliferation of HCC cells induced by viral HBx, HBXIP, and preS2 proteins, indicating that HBV contributes to the growth of hepatoma cells through dysregulating the Hippo pathway (22, 23, 32). In the case of HCV infection, HCV NS4B protein suppresses the Hippo pathway, resulting in epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and HCC development through activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway (33). After HPV infection, the E6 viral protein prevents the proteasome-dependent degradation of YAP and induces YAP nuclear localization. Activation of YAP maintains the proliferation of HPV-infected cervical cancer cells and facilitates HPV infection (25, 34). These findings indicate that modulation of the Hippo pathway is common among different viruses, suggesting that these activities are important for viral oncosgenesis. This study addresses the regulatory role of the Hippo pathway in ATL. Moreover, all reported instances of virus-induced dysregulation of Hippo signaling so far have been due to direct regulation by viral proteins (21). In this study, we demonstrate that HTLV-1 employs a unique mechanism to enhance YAP-mediated transcriptional activation through the NF-xB pathway.

Extensive research on signal transduction reveals that a single pathway cannot operate independently and that pathways are small parts of integrated networks within the cell. Several studies have demonstrated that the Hippo pathway activity is dysregulated through cross talk with multiple cancer-associated signaling pathways, such as the MAPK, Wnt, TGFβ/BMP, GPCR, PI3K-mTOR, Notch, Hedgehog, Mevalonate, Androgen Receptor (AR), and Hypoxia pathways (13, 35–38). Moreover, YAP/TAZ often acts as a nexus and integrator for multiple prominent signaling networks (38). Recently, several studies demonstrated that the Hippo/YAP pathway can activate or suppress the effects of the NF-xB pathway on gene transcription. Gao et al. reported that TNFα or macrophage conditional medium (CM) treatment increases the interaction between p65 and YAP. YAP/TEAD and p65 proteins then synergistically regulate the transcription of hoxycinase 2 (H2K) (39). Another study showed that YAP is necessary to attenuate osteoarthritis progression by inhibiting inflammatory responses triggered by the NF-xB pathway (40). In soft tissue sarcomas, YAP was found to suppress the expression of ubiquitin-specific peptidase 31 (USP31), a negative regulator of NF-xB signaling, leading to the promotion of sarcomagenesis (41). However, to date there has been no evidence to show that the NF-xB pathway could regulate Hippo signaling directly. Our study reports experimental evidence of such a direct mechanism of cross talk between these two pathways.

NF-xB is a major regulator of cell proliferation and is involved in tumorigenesis. It is possible that the NF-xB pathway exerts some of its prosurvival effects in HTLV-1–infected cells through cross talk with Hippo signaling. Characterization of the mechanism(s) of cross talk between these two signaling pathways can add new possibilities for the development of anti-ATL therapies. Through the elucidation of the crystal structures of the p65/YAP protein complexes, we can design novel small molecular inhibitors to block the interactions between YAP and p65 and to interfere with the resulting signal transduction cascades.

The NF-xB signaling pathway is persistently activated in HTLV-1–infected T cells and freshly isolated ATL cells (11). Constitutively activated NF-xB contributes to the abnormal proliferation and genetic changes of ATL cells and thus drives the progression of ATL (9). It is well established that Tax acts as an oncogenic mediator of HTLV-1 and induces cell immortalization and transformation mainly through activating the NF-xB pathway. However, in 60% of ATL cases, ATL cells have lost Tax expression because of genetic and epigenetic changes in the HTLV-1 provirus and subsequent selection by immune pressure. In such ATL cells lacking functional Tax, other viral and/or cellular factors may also be essential for the maintenance and/or progression of ATL (1). NF-xB is constitutively activated even in ATL cells without Tax, provided that YAP is regulated by several mechanisms (42, 43). In this study, we demonstrate that p65-mediated NF-xB signaling dysregulates the Hippo pathway and activates YAP activity, resulting in the maintenance of ATL cells’ proliferation. Thus, we have identified a new mechanism that regulates Hippo/YAP signaling as a mechanism for initiating and maintaining ATL regardless of Tax.

Accumulating evidence shows that HBZ interacts with Tax in many signaling pathways, such as NF-xB, AP-1, TGF-β, and Wnt (6). However, we found in this study that while Tax is an activator of YAP via p65, HBZ has no opposite effect on the Hippo pathway. In addition, HBZ selectively inhibits cell senescence induced by a hyperactivated NF-xB pathway, while HBZ does not influence NF-xB triggered cell proliferation (44). Thus, the YAP protein is constitutively activated in ATL cells and supports their proliferation.

The Hippo pathway exerts a significant impact on tumorigenesis due to its regulation not only of cell proliferation but also of DNA damage-induced apoptosis, EMT, and both innate and adaptive immunity (13, 20, 45). It was reported that YAP could enhance the expression of Bcl-2 family members in cancer cell lines, thus suppressing mitochondrial induced apoptosis (46). Overexpression of YAP induces resistance to the apoptosis cascade induced by tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), FAS, and chemotherapeutic agents (47). Additionally, YAP can trigger the transition of normal epithelial cells into metastatic cells via EMT, which is a key step for tumor metastasis (48). In HTLV-1–infected cells, Tax engages two signaling pathways, AKT and NF-xB, to
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Fig. 5. YAP supports proliferation of ATL cells in vitro and in vivo. (A) Lentivirus-based shRNA system was used to stably knockdown YAP in ATL-T and ATL-2 cells. The knockdown efficiency was confirmed by Western blot. (B) Knockdown of YAP suppressed ATL cell proliferation. ATL-T and ATL-2 cells were transfected with a recombinant lentivirus expressing the pLKO-shYAP. After puromycin selection, cell proliferation was detected by MTT assay. (C) Effect of YAP shRNAs on proliferation of ATL-T cells was determined by colony-formation assay. ATL-T cells or negative cells were injected into the NCG mice (n = 8). Three weeks after injection, all mice were killed. Photographs of dissected tumors from NCG mice. (F) Diagram of average volumes and weight of tumors from ATL-T-shYAP injected NCG mice. Overexpression of p65 rescued cell proliferation inhibition by YAP silencing. ATL-T cells were transfected with a recombinant lentivirus expressing the pLKO-shYAP plasmid together with pCSII-CMV-p65 or pCSII-CMV-p65 (1-320). After puromycin selection, cell proliferation was determined by MTT assay. (G) Schematic model that illustrates Tax/p65/YAP signaling axis in ATL. In normal T cells, phosphorylation of YAP by MST-LATS cascade induces cytoplasmic retention of YAP and triggers ubiquitination-dependent degradation of YAP. In ATL, Tax-induced p65 activation abrogated YAP-LATS1 interaction, leading to the suppression of YAP phosphorylation. It results in the inhibition of YAP degradation and induction of YAP nuclear translocalization. Hyperactivated YAP supports the proliferation of ATL cells. The statistical analyses were performed by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
inhibit apoptosis (1). However, as mentioned above, in >60% of ATL patients the leukemic cells contain mutations that silence the Tax gene. The absence of Tax in these cells implicates other cellular factors or signaling pathways in the suppression of apoptosis in ATL. We present evidence that YAP is overexpressed and hyper-activated after HTLV-1 infection. Moreover, Hippo pathway target genes associated with cellular apoptosis, such as RASSF4 and BCL2, are abnormally expressed in ATL cells. As mentioned above, YAP may remain activated in ATL cells even in the absence of Tax. Thus, the constitutive activation of YAP may explain how apoptosis is quelled in the absence of Tax. Recently, emerging evidence has shown that the Hippo pathway also participates in the immune response, acting as a negative regulator of innate immunity against human viruses (21, 45). As shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S8, YAP protein indeed represses IFN-β immune signaling in ATL cells. We suggest that HTLV-1 might facilitate its escape from the host immune system and maintain cell survival via Hippo/YAP signaling. Further research is needed to fully elucidate the cellular functions and mechanisms of the Hippo pathway in HTLV-1 infection and ATL development. In conclusion, we have shown that HTLV-1 infection dysregulates the Hippo signaling pathway and induces the activation of YAP through two mechanisms: Tax-mediated stimulation of YAP gene transcription and Tax-mediated NF-kB activation of the YAP protein. The activated YAP supports the proliferation of ATL cells in vitro and in vivo. HTLV-1 may take advantage of these mechanisms to allow infected cells to proliferate.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture. HTLV-1 transformed human T-cell lines (MT-1, MT-2, MT-4, ATL-T, and TL-Om1), HTLV-1-negative human T-cell lines (Jurkat, Hut78, and Molt-4), and JPK-9 (a modified Jurkat line that expresses Tax under a metallo- thionein promoter) were grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics. Human embryonic kidney cell line 293T was cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics.

Clinical Samples. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from ATL patients (n = 10) and healthy volunteers (n = 4). CD4+ T cells were enriched by human naive CD4 T-cell enrichment set (BD Biosciences). Clinical samples were obtained and used according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki, and the experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhejiang Normal University and Kyoto University (approval no. RT2019001). All ATL patients and healthy individuals provided written informed consent for the collection of samples and subsequent analysis.

Plasmids and Reagents. Expression vectors for YAP, LATS1, 14-3-3, MST1, and CTGF luciferase reporter construct (CTGF-Luc) were kindly given by Bin Zhao of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China. Expression plasmids for Tax, Tax deletion mutants, HBZ, p63, p65 deletion mutants, pX1MT-M, k b (S32A/S36A), ACREB, and pHL-TK were prepared as previously described (49). The ubiquitin and its mutant plasmids (HA-Ub, HA-Ub-K48, and HA-Ub-K48R) were provided by Guangyong Ma (China Pharmaceutical University) and Dr. Guangyong Ma (China Pharmaceutical University) for quantitative and semiquantitative PCR. The shRNAs targeting YAP were synthesized by Sigma-Aldrich. The shRNA sequence specifically targeting YAP was shYAP#1, 5′-CTGGTCAAGATATCTTCAA-3′; and shYAP#2, 5′-AAAGCTTGAGGTCTGCATCC-3′.

Cell Proliferation Assay. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates, and at indicated time points, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was performed to access the proliferation of cells as described previously (52). For colony formation assay, ATL cells were plated into each well of six-well plates and kept in complete medium for 2 wk. Colonies were fixed with methanol and stained with methylene blue.

Immunofluorescence Analysis. The 293T cells were transfected with expression vectors using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and then permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100. After blocking by 5% BSA, p65 protein was detected using anti-c-MYC Cy3 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich). YAP was detected using anti-FLAG-biotin (Sigma-Aldrich) and secondary Streptavidin-Alexa 488 antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Immunofluorescence was observed under a fluorescence confocal microscope (Leica TCS SPS AOBS). For the detection of YAP subcellular localization in ATL cells, YAP protein was stained using anti-YAP and secondary Cy3-conjugated Goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich).

ChIP Assay. The 293T cells were transfected with the indicated expression vectors together with reporter plasmid. Forty-eight hours after transfection, a ChIP assay was done according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Upstate Biotechnology) as reported previously (42). Precipitated DNA was purified and amplified by real-time PCR using primers specific for the YAP promoter. All primers are listed in Table 1.

In Vivo Tumorigenesis Assay. ATL cells were harvested and resuspended with sterile phosphate-buffered saline; 4 × 106 cells were injected into the liver, lung, spleen, and skin of NOD-Prkdc−/− (nu/nu, NOD/ShiLtJ/nude based immune-deficient mice) (Model Animal Research Center of Nanjing University). Three weeks after injection, all mice were killed. Tumor weights and volumes were determined in mice from the shYAP and control groups as described (42). The expression of YAP and its target genes were determined by real-time PCR. All animals used in this study were maintained and handled according to protocols approved by Zhejiang Normal University (approval no. DW2019001).

Statistical Analyses. Each experiment was repeated at least three times. The statistical significance of differences between different groups was determined using the unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test. Significance was assumed for *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.

Data Availability. All study data are included in the article and/or SI Appendix.
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