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Abstract
We present families of tableaux which interpolate between the classical semi-standard Young tableaux and matching field tableaux. Algebraically, this corresponds to SAGBI bases of Plücker algebras. We show that each such family of tableaux leads to a toric ideal, that can be realized as initial of the Plücker ideal, hence a toric degeneration for the flag variety.
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1. Introduction

Computing toric degenerations of varieties is a standard tool to extend the deep relationship between combinatorics and toric varieties to more general spaces [And13]. Given a projective variety, a toric degeneration is a flat family whose fibre over zero is a toric variety and all its other fibres are isomorphic to the original variety. Hence, toric degenerations enable us to extend the computational methods from toric varieties to general varieties.

In particular, toric degenerations of flag varieties are extensively studied, see e.g. [FFL17, GL96]. The most known degeneration is the Gelfand-Cetlin degeneration, studied in [ACK18, KM05], which is related to semi-standard Young tableaux and their associated polytopes. This example has been generalized in different directions [Fei12, IFF+19]. On the other hand, the Gelfand-Cetlin degenerations naturally arise from the Gröbner basis theory and the SAGBI basis theory for Plücker algebras. More precisely, given an $n \times n$ matrix of indeterminants the Gelfand-Cetlin degeneration corresponds to a choice of weight vector which picks the diagonal term of each minor as its initial term. Therefore, a natural question is whether changing the weight vector would lead to other toric degnerations of flag varieties.

In this note, using the theory of matching fields [Stu96] we introduce a family of tableaux as follows. Let $\Lambda(k, n)$ be a set of $k \times 1$ tableaux of integers corresponding to all $k$-subsets of $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\Lambda_n$, or $\Lambda$ when there is no confusion, be the union of $\Lambda(k, n)$’s. By combining tableaux from $\Lambda$ side by side, we can construct larger tableaux. Then, we let $R = \mathbb{K}[P_I : I \in \Lambda]$ be the polynomial ring whose variables are corresponding to tableaux in $\Lambda$. Note that each tableau $T = \{I_1, \ldots, I_t\}$ corresponds to a monomial $P_T = P_{I_1} \cdots P_{I_t}$. We denote $J_\Lambda$ for the ideal generated by binomials $P_T - P_S$ where $S$ and $T$ are two row-wise equal tableaux. Our main motivation for studying $J_\Lambda$ is toric degenerations: Given a family of tableaux $\Lambda$ that are inferred from a matching field, the goal is to construct a weight vector $w_\Lambda$ and estimate its corresponding initial Plücker ideal, also known as a Gröbner degeneration.
Our approach to calculating toric degenerations of flag varieties is to see whether $J_\Lambda$ can be realized as the initial of the Plücker ideal with respect to $w_\Lambda$. For a particular class called block diagonal matching fields, we show that this is indeed the case, and hence we provide a new family of toric degenerations for flag varieties.

Our work is related to computing the tropicalizations of Grassmannians and flag varieties [SS04, FR15, KM16, MS18, RW]. More precisely, we present a combinatorial method to compute several maximal cones in the tropicalizations of flag varieties. We remark that for small flag varieties, calculating tropicalizations is explicitly carried out in [BLMM17], however for larger dimensions these computations are very hard.

The paper is structured as follows. In §2, we introduce the notation and definitions which we will use throughout the paper. In particular, we define matching fields and introduce their corresponding tableaux and ideals. In §3, we highlight some important features of these tableaux and we show that the ideals $J_\Lambda$ is quadratically generated. In §4, we identify a family of 2-column matching field tableaux in bijection with 2-column semi-standard tableaux, which enables us to transfer the classical algebraic results from semi-standard to matching field tableaux. We then apply our results to show that the set of Plücker forms is a SAGBI basis for the Plücker algebra with respect to the weight vector induced by any block diagonal matching field. This leads to a new family of toric degenerations of flag varieties.
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2. Preliminaries

Throughout we fix a field $\mathbb{K}$ with char($\mathbb{K}$) = 0. We are mainly interested in the case when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. We let $[n]$ be the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and by $\mathbb{P}^n$ we denote the collection of all proper subsets of $[n]$. We let $S_n$ be the symmetric group on $[n]$.

1.1. Flag varieties. A full flag is a sequence of vector subspaces of $\mathbb{K}^n$, 
\[
\{0\} = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-1} \subset V_n = \mathbb{K}^n
\]
where $\dim_\mathbb{K}(V_i) = i$. The set of all full flags is called the flag variety denoted by $\text{Fl}_n$, which is naturally embedded in a product of Grassmannians using the Plücker variables. Each point in the flag variety can be represented by an $n \times n$ matrix $X = (x_{i,j})$ whose first $k$ rows generate $V_k$. Each $V_k$ corresponds to a point in the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$. So the ideal of $\text{Fl}_n$, denoted by $I_n$ is the kernel of the map, 
\[
\varphi_n : \mathbb{K}[P_J : \emptyset \neq J \subseteq [n]] \to \mathbb{K}[x_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n]
\]
sending each Plücker variable $P_J$ to the determinant of the submatrix of $X$ with row indices $1, \ldots, |J|$ and column indices in $J$. Similarly, we define the Plücker ideal of $\text{Gr}(k, n)$, denoted by $G_{k,n}$, as the kernel of the map $\varphi_n$, restricted to the variables $P_J$ with $|J| = k$.

1.2. Gröbner degeneration. Let $R = \mathbb{K}[P]$ be the polynomial ring on $d$ Plücker variables and let $f = \sum a_u P^u$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}_0^d$. For each $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define the initial form of $f$ to be 
\[
\text{in}_w(f) = \sum_{w\cdot u \text{ is minimal}} a_u P^u.
\]
If $I$ is an ideal in $R$, then its initial ideal with respect to $w$ is $\text{in}_w(I) = \langle \text{in}_w(f) : f \in I \rangle$.

An important feature of an initial ideal that we want to emphasize here is that it naturally gives rise to a flat degeneration of the variety $V(I)$ into the variety $V(\text{in}_w(I))$ called the Gröbner degeneration. Our goal is to find such degenerations where $\text{in}_w(I)$ is toric.

### 1.3. Matching fields.

A matching field is a map $\Lambda_n : \mathbb{P}^n \to S_n$. When there is no ambiguity, we write $\Lambda$ for $\Lambda_n$. Suppose $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \subset [n]$, we think of the permutation $\sigma = \Lambda(I)$ as inducing a new ordering on the elements of $I$, where the position of $i_s$ is determined by the value of $\sigma(s)$. Note that we can restrict a matching field to all subsets of $[n]$ of size $k$ which are the matching fields associated to Grassmannians.

Given a matching field $\Lambda$ and a $k$-subset $I \subset [n]$, we represent the Plücker form $P_I$ as a $k \times 1$ tableau with entries given by $I$ ordered by $\Lambda$. Let $X = (x_{i,j})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix of indeterminants. To $I \subset [n]$ with $\sigma = \Lambda(I)$ we associate the monomial $x_{\Lambda(I)} := x_{\sigma(1)i_1} x_{\sigma(2)i_2} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)i_k}$. A matching field ideal $J_\Lambda$ is defined as the kernel of the monomial map,

$$\phi_\Lambda : \mathbb{K}[P_I] \to \mathbb{K}[x_{ij}] \quad \text{with} \quad P_I \mapsto \text{sgn}(\Lambda(I))x_{\Lambda(I)},$$

where $\text{sgn}$ denotes the sign of the permutation $\Lambda(I)$.

**Definition 2.1.** A matching field $\Lambda$ is coherent if there exists an $n \times n$ matrix $M$ with entries in $\mathbb{R}$ such that for every proper subset $I$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ the initial form of the Plücker form $P_I \in \mathbb{K}[x_{ij}]$, $\text{in}_M(P_I)$ is $\text{sgn}(\Lambda(I))x_{\Lambda(I)}$. Where, $\text{in}_M(P_I)$ is the sum of all terms in $P_I$ with the lowest weight with respect to $M$. In this case, we say that the matrix $M$ induces the matching field $\Lambda$.

**Example 2.2.** Consider the coherent matching field $\Lambda_4$ induced by the matrix $M$ below. The value $M_{ij}$ is the weight of the variable $x_{ij}$ which lies in the matrix $X$:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 12 & 9 & 6 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & x_{34} \\ x_{41} & x_{42} & x_{43} & x_{44} \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Let us consider the Plücker form $P_{24}$ which is the determinant of the submatrix of $X$ consisting of the first two rows and the second and fourth columns.

$$P_{24} = \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{14} \\ x_{22} & x_{24} \end{vmatrix} = x_{12}x_{24} - x_{14}x_{22}.$$  

The weight of the Plücker form is the minimum weight of monomials appearing in $P_{24}$. We see that the weight of $x_{12}x_{24}$ is $0 + 4 = 4$ and the weight of $-x_{14}x_{22}$ is $0 + 2 = 2$. So the weight of $P_{24}$ is 2 and the initial term is $\text{in}_M(P_{24}) = -x_{14}x_{22}$. We record $\text{in}_M(P_{24})$ as a $2 \times 1$ tableau with entries 4, 2. Continuing in this way, we write down the single column tableaux arising from the matching field above:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{array}.$$
**Definition 2.3.** Let $\Lambda$ be a coherent matching field induced by the matrix $M$. We define $w_{\Lambda}$ to be the *weight vector* induced by $M$ on the Plücker variables. That is, the component of $w_{\Lambda}$ corresponding to the variable $P_I$ is the minimum weight of monomials appearing in $\phi_{\Lambda}$ with respect to $M$. The weight of a monomial is the sum of the corresponding terms in the weight matrix $M$. For ease of notation we write $P^w$ for the monomial $P_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots P_{i_\ell}^{\alpha_\ell}$ where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell)$. And so the weight of $P^w$ is simply $\alpha \cdot w_{\Lambda}$. Given a coherent matching field $\Lambda$, we denote the *initial ideal* of $I_n$ with respect to $w_{\Lambda}$ by $\text{in}_{w_{\Lambda}}(I_n)$.

**Example 2.4.** Consider the matching field $\Lambda_4$ from Example 2.2. The Plücker variables are $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{41}, P_{23}, P_{42}, P_{43}, P_{123}, P_{124}, P_{134}, P_{234}$ where, by convention, we order the entries of the indices according to initial terms of the corresponding Plücker form. The weight vector $w_{\Lambda_4}$ with the variables in this order is given by

$$w_{\Lambda_4} = (0, 0, 0, 2, 1, 3, 1, 2, 1, 6, 4, 3, 3).$$

For instance, to obtain the weight of the Plücker variable $P_{124}$ we note that the initial term of the Plücker form is in $M(P_{124}) = x_{11}x_{22}x_{34}$. We then sum the corresponding entries on $M$, i.e. the leading diagonal of the submatrix of $M$ consisting of the first three rows and the first, second and fourth columns.

Computing the ideal $\text{in}_{w_{\Lambda_4}}(I_4)$ in Macaulay2 [GS], we obtain the following generating set,

$$\text{in}_{w_{\Lambda_4}}(I_4) = \langle P_{123}P_{134} - P_{13}P_{23}, P_{43}P_{124} - P_{24}P_{13}, P_{23}P_{124} - P_{12}P_{234}, P_{13}P_{124} - P_{12}P_{134}, P_{13}P_{43} - P_{12}P_{43}, P_{3}P_{123} - P_{12}P_{3}, P_{4}P_{123} - P_{12}P_{4}, P_{43}P_{12} - P_{12}P_{43}, P_{13}P_{42} - P_{12}P_{42}, P_{12}P_{43} - P_{12}P_{34} \rangle.$$

Let $\Lambda_3$ be the matching field $\Lambda_4$ restricted to the subsets of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. The single column tableaux arising from $\Lambda_3$ are exactly those for $\Lambda_4$ which do not contain 4. The weight vector is therefore $w_{\Lambda_3} = (0, 0, 0, 2, 1, 1)$. The initial ideal with respect to $w_{\Lambda_3}$ is given by,

$$\text{in}_{w_{\Lambda_3}}(I_3) = \langle P_{12}P_{13} - P_{1}P_{23} \rangle = \text{in}_{w_{\Lambda_4}}(I_4) \cap \mathbb{K}[P_I : \emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}].$$

Note that for $\Lambda_3$, the entries in each column of each tableau are strictly increasing. We call such matching field *diagonal*. For diagonal matching fields, their corresponding Gröbner cones are related to Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes.

**1.4. Block diagonal matching fields.** Given $n$ and $0 \leq \ell < n$, we define the block diagonal matching field denoted by $B_{\ell} = (1 \cdots \ell | \ell + 1 \cdots n)$ as the map $B_{\ell} : \mathbb{F}^n \to S_n$ with

$$B_{\ell}(I) = \begin{cases} id & \text{if } |I| = 1 \text{ or } |I \cap \{1, \ldots, \ell\}| \geq 2, \\ (12) : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

These matching fields are called 2-block diagonal in [MS18]. Each matching field $B_{\ell}$ is induced by the weight matrix:

$$M_{\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \ell & \ell - 1 & \cdots & 1 & n & n - 1 & \cdots & \ell + 1 \\ 2n & 2(n - 1) & \cdots & 10 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ (n - 1)n & (n - 1)^2 & \cdots & 5(n - 1) & 4(n - 1) & 3(n - 1) & 2(n - 1) & n - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
Figure 1: Three examples of tableaux for Fl₆ and block diagonal matching field $B = (123|456)$. The tableau $T''$ is in semi-standard form as the entries of each row are weakly increasing and the entries of each column are strictly increasing. The tableaux $T$ and $T'$ are row-wise equal and $T'$ has been partitioned into two parts $X$ and $Y$. For each column $I \in X$ we have $B(I) = id$ and for each $I \in Y$ we have $B(I) = (12)$. Note that $X$ and $Y$ have weakly increasing rows, in particular $X$ is in semi-standard form.

Therefore, all block diagonal matching fields are coherent. We note that if $\ell = 0$ or $\ell = n$ then the matching field is diagonal, see Example 2.4. We denote by $w_\ell$ the weight vector $w_B^\ell$, see Definition 2.3.

**Example 2.5.** For $n = 3$, we calculate the weight vector $w_\ell$ and matching field ideals for each block diagonal matching field $B_\ell$. The Plücker ideal is given by $I_3 = \langle P_1P_{23} - P_2P_{13} + P_3P_{12} \rangle$. The weight matrices are given by,

$$M_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 6 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 6 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For each matching field we can write down the weight vector and initial ideal,

| $\ell$ | Weight vector $w_\ell$ for $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{23}$ | Ideal $\text{in}_{w_\ell}(I_3)$ |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0     | $(0,0,0,2,1,1)$                                 | $\langle P_1P_{23} - P_2P_{13} \rangle$ |
| 1     | $(0,0,0,1,1,2)$                                 | $\langle P_3P_{12} - P_2P_{13} \rangle$ |
| 2     | $(0,0,0,1,2,1)$                                 | $\langle P_1P_{23} + P_3P_{12} \rangle$ |

**3. Matching field ideals**

In this section, we examine a generating set of block diagonal matching field ideals. In particular, using the combinatorics of matching field tableaux we show that all these ideals are quadratically generated. Notice that, for a general ideal, providing a bound for the degree of its generators is a difficult problem. Such questions are usually studied for special classes of ideals which are define combinatorially, see e.g. [Whi80, Hib87, OH99, EHM11, DM14].

**Definition 3.1.** Suppose that $T$ and $T'$ are two tableaux that are row-wise equal. We say that $T$ and $T'$ differ by a *swap*, or $T'$ is obtained from $T$ by a *quadratic relation*, if $T$ and $T'$ are the same for all but two columns. If two tableaux differ by a sequence of swaps then we say that the tableaux are *quadratically equivalent*.

Given an arbitrary matching field $\Lambda$ and two row-wise equal tableaux we cannot guarantee that the tableaux are quadratically equivalent, see Example 3.2. However in the case of block
diagonal matching fields, row-wise equal does imply quadratically equivalent. So for example in Figure 1, it is possible to find a sequence of quadratic relations from $T$ to $T'$.

**Example 3.2.** Fix $n = 6$ and suppose we have a matching field $\Lambda$ such that the only subsets $I$ of $\{1, \ldots, 6\}$ for which $\Lambda(I) = id$ are those which appear in $T$ and $T'$ where,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T' = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}. $$

The tableaux $T$ and $T'$ are row-wise equal, however it is not possible to apply a quadratic relation to either tableau. Hence $T$ and $T'$ are not quadratically equivalent.

**Theorem 3.3.** The ideals of block diagonal matching fields are quadratically generated.

**Proof.** Suppose that $T$ and $T'$ are row-wise equal tableaux. The first step is to show that there is a sequence of quadratic relations after which $T$ and $T'$ have the same columns of size 1. We define $P_T$ to be the sub-tableau of $T$ which contains all columns of $T$ but only the first two rows. Similarly we define $P_T'$. We form a sub-tableau $\overline{T}$ of $T$ and $\overline{T}'$ of $T'$ as follows. Initially set $\overline{T} = T$ and $\overline{T}' = T'$. For each column $C$ in $P_T$, we check whether there is a column $C'$ in $P_{T'}$ with the same entries. If $C'$ exists, then we remove the column in $\overline{T}$ corresponding to $C$ and remove the column in $\overline{T}'$ corresponding to $C'$. If $\overline{T}$ and $\overline{T}'$ are empty, then the columns of size 1 in $T$ and $T'$ are equal.

Assuming that the columns of size 1 in $T$ and $T'$ are different, we will show that there is always a swap which reduces the size of $\overline{T}$.

We write $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_t$ for the entries of the columns of size 1 in $\overline{T}$ and $b_1 \leq \cdots \leq b_t$ for the entries of the columns of size 1 in $\overline{T}'$. Suppose that $s$ is the largest index such that $a_s \neq b_s$. Without loss of generality we assume that $a_s < b_s$. Suppose that $a_s, b_s \in B_2$, then there is a column $C = (b_s, c_2, \ldots, c_r)^T$ in $\overline{T}$. We may swap $a_s$ and $b_s$ in $T$. Now there is a new column $(b_s)$ of size one in $T$ which appears in $T'$. So we have reduced the number of size one columns in $\overline{T}$ and $\overline{T}'$. We may now assume that $a_s \in B_1$. Since the first row of $\overline{T}$ and $\overline{T}'$ are equal as multisets, $a_s$ appears in a column $C = (a_s, c_2, c_3, \ldots, c_r)^T$ in $\overline{T}'$, where $r \geq 2$. Since $a_s \in B_1$, it follows that $c_2 \in B_1$. Since the second rows of $\overline{T}$ and $\overline{T}'$ are equal as multisets, it follows that there is a column $D = (d_1, c_2, d_3, \ldots, d_t)^T$ in $\overline{T}$, for some $t \geq 2$. By assumption we have that $C \in \overline{T}$ and $D \in \overline{T}'$. Therefore $d_1 \neq a_s$. We may swap $a_s$ and $d_1$ in $T$. Since the pair $(a_s, c_2)^T$ appears in $P_T$, we have reduced the number of columns in $\overline{T}$ and $\overline{T}'$. Since there are finitely many columns in $\overline{T}$, it follows that there is a sequence of swaps which makes the columns of size one in $T$ and $T'$ equal.

To complete the proof, by the first step, we may assume that $T$ and $T'$ do not contain any columns of size one. We now construct tableaux $S$ and $S'$ by filling the columns of $T$ and $T'$ which have size less than $n$ as follows. Suppose we have an empty space in $T$ and $T'$ in row $i$, then we fill this space with the entry $n + i$. We do this for all spaces to obtain a rectangular tableau with $n$ rows whose entries lie in $\{1, \ldots, 2n\}$. We have that $S$ and $S'$ are valid tableaux for the block diagonal matching field $B' = (B_1, B_2 \cup \{n + 1, \ldots, 2n\})$. Since $S$ and $S'$ are row-wise equal, by the Grassmannian case [CM19, Theorem 4.1], there is a
sequence $S = S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m = S'$ of quadratic relations from $S$ to $S'$. For each $i$, we remove the entries in the tableau $S_i$ lying in $\{n+1, \ldots, 2n\}$ to obtain a sequence of quadratic relation from $T$ to $T'$, which completes the proof. □

4. Matching field algebras

In this section, we show that the set of Plücker forms is a SAGBI basis for the Plücker algebra with respect to the weight vectors induced by block diagonal matching fields. More precisely, we determine a family of 2-column matching field tableaux which are in bijection with the set of 2-column semi-standard tableaux. Note that a tableau is in semi-standard form if the entries of each row are weakly increasing and the entries of each column are strictly increasing, see for example Figure 1.

First, we recall the definition of SAGBI basis from [RS90] in our setting.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $\mathcal{A}_n$ be the Plücker algebra of $\text{Fl}_n$ and let $A_\ell = \mathbb{K}[\text{in}_{M_\ell}(P_I) : \emptyset \neq I \subseteq [n]]$ be the algebra of $B_\ell$. The set of Plücker forms $\{P_I : \emptyset \neq I \subseteq [n]\}$ is a SAGBI basis for $\mathcal{A}_n$ with respect to the weight vector $w_\ell$ if and only if for each $I \subset [n]$, the initial form $\text{in}_{w_\ell}(P_I)$ is a monomial and $\text{in}_{w_\ell}(A_n) = A_\ell$.

Similarly, denoting $\mathcal{A}_k^n$ and $A_\ell^k$ for the Plücker algebra of $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ and the algebra of $B_\ell$ restricted to Plücker variables of size $k$, we say that the set $\{P_I : |I| = k\}$ is a SAGBI basis for $\mathcal{A}_k^n$ if and only if the initial forms $\text{in}_{w_\ell}(P_I)$ are monomials and $\text{in}_{w_\ell}(\mathcal{A}_k^n) = A_\ell^k$.

4.1. Initial algebras of $\text{Gr}(k, n)$. First we recall the following definition from [CM19].

**Definition 4.2.** Fix $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ and $B_\ell = (B_1 | B_2)$ a matching field. Let $\mathcal{T}_\ell^k$ be the collection of all tableaux $T$ which follow. We partition $\mathcal{T}_\ell^k$ into types, each of which is described below. We also define a map $S^k$ taking each tableau $T \in \mathcal{T}_\ell^k$ to a semi-standard tableau $S^k(T)$. Note that $S^k(T)$ does not necessarily lie in $\mathcal{T}_\ell^k$. We write,

$I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k\}$ and $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k\}$.

**Type 1.**

\[
T = \begin{array}{c|c}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & j_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i_k & j_k \\
\end{array}
\]

Where, $i_1 \leq j_1, i_2 \leq j_2, \ldots, i_k \leq j_k$. $S^k(T) = \begin{array}{c|c}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & j_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i_k & j_k \\
\end{array}$.

**Type 2.**

\[
T = \begin{array}{c|c}
i_2 & j_2 \\
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_3 & j_3 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i_k & j_k \\
\end{array}
\]

Where, $i_1 \leq j_1, i_2 \leq j_2, \ldots, i_k \leq j_k$. $S^k(T) = \begin{array}{c|c}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & j_2 \\
i_3 & j_3 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i_k & j_k \\
\end{array}$.
Type 3A.

\[
T = \begin{array}{cc}
  i_2 & j_1 \\
  i_1 & j_2 \\
  i_3 & j_3 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_k & j_k
\end{array}
\]

Where,
- \(i_1 \in B_1\),
- \(i_2, \ldots, i_k, j_1, \ldots, j_k \in B_2\),
- \(i_2 \leq j_1\),
- \(i_3 \leq j_3\), \ldots, \(i_k \leq j_k\).

\[
S^k(T) = \begin{array}{cc}
  i_1 & j_1 \\
  i_2 & j_2 \\
  i_3 & j_3 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_k & j_k
\end{array}
\]

Type 3B(r).

\[
T = \begin{array}{cc}
  i_2 & j_1 \\
  i_1 & j_2 \\
  i_3 & j_3 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{r-1} & j_{r-1} \\
  i_r & j_r \\
  i_{r+1} & j_{r+1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_k & j_k
\end{array}
\]

Where,
- \(i_1 \in B_1\),
- \(i_2, \ldots, i_k, j_1, \ldots, j_k \in B_2\),
- \(j_1 < j_2 \leq i_2\),
- \(i_3 > j_3\), \ldots, \(i_r > j_r\),
- \(i_{r+1} \leq j_{r+1}\), \ldots, \(i_k \leq j_k\).

\[
S^k(T) = \begin{array}{cc}
  i_1 & j_1 \\
  i_2 & j_2 \\
  i_3 & j_3 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{r-1} & j_{r-1} \\
  i_r & j_r \\
  i_{r+1} & j_{r+1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_k & j_k
\end{array}
\]

Type 3C(s).

\[
T = \begin{array}{cc}
  i_2 & j_1 \\
  i_1 & j_2 \\
  i_3 & j_3 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_s & j_s \\
  i_{s+1} & j_{s+1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_k & j_k
\end{array}
\]

Where,
- \(i_1, j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s \in B_1\),
- \(i_2, \ldots, i_k, j_s+1, \ldots, j_k \in B_2\),
- \(i_1 \leq j_1 < j_2\),
- \(i_s+1 \leq j_{s+1}\), \ldots, \(i_k \leq j_k\).

\[
S^k(T) = \begin{array}{cc}
  i_1 & j_1 \\
  i_2 & j_2 \\
  i_3 & j_3 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_s & j_s \\
  i_{s+1} & j_{s+1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_k & j_k
\end{array}
\]

Type 3D(s).

\[
T = \begin{array}{cc}
  i_2 & j_1 \\
  i_1 & j_2 \\
  i_3 & j_3 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_s & j_s \\
  i_{s+1} & j_{s+1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_k & j_k
\end{array}
\]

Where,
- \(i_1, j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s \in B_1\),
- \(i_2, \ldots, i_k, j_{s+1}, \ldots, j_k \in B_2\),
- \(i_1 \geq j_2\),
- \(i_{s+1} \leq j_{s+1}\), \ldots, \(i_k \leq j_k\).

\[
S^k(T) = \begin{array}{cc}
  i_1 & j_1 \\
  i_2 & j_2 \\
  i_3 & j_3 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_s & j_s \\
  i_{s+1} & j_{s+1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_k & j_k
\end{array}
\]
The following theorem shows that the collection of tableaux in Definition 4.2 forms a SAGBI basis for the Plücker algebra, see [CM19, Theorem 4.3].

**Theorem 4.3.** For each pair of integers \( k \) and \( \ell \), we have that \( \text{in}_{w_r}(\mathcal{A}_n^k) = A_{\ell}^k \). Moreover, following the notation of Definition 4.2, the set \( T_\ell^k \) of tableaux is a basis for the degree two subspace of \( A_{\ell}^k \) denoted by \([A_{\ell}^k]_2\), and the map \( S^k \) is a bijection.

**4.1. Initial algebras of \( \text{Fl}_n \).**

Extending our results for Grassmannians, we now introduce a family of 2-column matching field tableaux which are in bijection with the set of 2-column semi-standard tableaux.

**Notation.** Fix a block diagonal matching field \( B_\ell = (B_1 | B_2) \) of non-empty subsets \( I_i \subset [n] \). For each collection \( \bar{I} = \{I_1, \ldots, I_r\} \) of non-empty subsets \( I_i \subset [n] \), \( I \) denote by \( T_{\bar{I}} \), or when there are few columns by \( T_{I_1 \ldots I_r} \), the tableau with columns \( I_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq r \). The order of elements in column \( I_i \) are given by the matching field \( B_\ell \).

**Definition 4.4.** Fix \( B_\ell = (B_1 | B_2) \) a matching field. We define \( T_\ell \) to be the collection of all tableau \( T \) which follow. We also describe the map \( S \) which assigns to each \( T \) in \( T_\ell \) a semi-standard tableau \( S(T) \). To help identify tableaux, we assign to each tableau in \( T_\ell \) by type along with the image of \( T \) under \( S \).

By convention for any subsets \( I, J, I', J' \subset [n] \), we write,

\[
I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s\}, \quad J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_t\},
\]

\[
I' = \{i'_1 < i'_2 < \cdots < i'_{s'}\}, \quad J' = \{j'_1 < j'_2 < \cdots < j'_{t'}\}.
\]

**Type 1.** For each \( 1 \leq k \leq n \) and \( T_{IJ} \) in \( T_\ell^k \), let \( T = T_{IJ} \) and define \( S(T) = S^k(T) \). In this case we have \( s = t = k \). We subdivide this type of tableau into further types. We say that \( T \) in \( T_\ell \) is of type \( 1.X \), where \( X \in \{1, 2, 3A, 3B(r), 3C(s), 3D(s) : 2 \leq r, s \leq k\} \) if \( T \) is of type \( X \) in \( T_\ell^k \) according to Definition 4.2. So, for instance, if \( T \) in \( T_\ell^k \) is of type \( 3B(2) \), then we say \( T \) is of type \( 1.3B(2) \) in \( T_\ell \).

**Type 2.** Let \( s > t \geq 2 \) and \( T_{IJ'} \) in \( T_\ell^s \). In this case we have \( s' = t' = t \). Suppose \( T_{IJ} \) is of type \( X \) in \( T_\ell^s \) as in Definition 4.2. Let \( m = \min\{i'_1, j'_1\} \) and pick any subset \( \{i_1, \ldots, i_s\} \subset [n] \) with \( m < i_{t+1} < \cdots < i_s \leq n \). If \( i'_t \leq j'_t \) then let,\n
\[
I = I' \cup \{i_{t+1}, \ldots, i_s\}, \quad J = J'.
\]

Otherwise if \( i'_t > j'_t \) then let,\n
\[
I = I', \quad J = J' \cup \{i_{t+1}, \ldots, i_s\}.
\]

Then let \( T = T_{IJ} \). We say that \( T \) is of type \( 2.X \). Note that \( i'_t > j'_t \) only if \( T \) is of type \( 2.3B(t), 2.3C(t) \) or \( 2.3D(t) \). Let \( T_{IJ''} = S'(T_{IJ'}) \) be a tableau in semi-standard form. We set \( S(T) = T_{IJ'} \cup \{i_{t+1}, \ldots, i_s\} \).

**Type 3.** Fix \( s \geq 2 \) and \( t = 1 \). We partition this type into the following sub-types.
Type 3A(r). Where \( r \in \{1, 2\} \).

\[
T = \begin{array}{cc}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & i_3 \\
\vdots & \\
i_s & \\
\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c}
i_1, i_2 \in B_r, \\
i_1 \leq j_1.
\end{array}
\]

\( S(T) = T \).

Type 3B.

\[
T = \begin{array}{cc}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & i_3 \\
\vdots & \\
i_s & \\
\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c}
i_1, i_2 \in B_2, \\
j_1 \in B_1.
\end{array}
\]

\( S(T) = \begin{array}{cc}
j_1 & i_1 \\
i_2 & i_3 \\
\vdots & \\
i_s & \\
\end{array} \).

Type 3C.

\[
T = \begin{array}{cc}
i_2 & j_1 \\
i_1 & i_3 \\
\vdots & \\
i_s & \\
\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c}
i_1 \in B_1, \\
j_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s \in B_2, \\
i_2 \leq j_1.
\end{array}
\]

\( S(T) = \begin{array}{cc}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & i_3 \\
\vdots & \\
i_s & \\
\end{array} \).

Type 3D.

\[
T = \begin{array}{cc}
i_2 & j_1 \\
i_1 & i_3 \\
\vdots & \\
i_s & \\
\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c}
i_1, j_1 \in B_1, \\
i_1 \leq j_1, \\
i_2, \ldots, i_s \in B_2.
\end{array}
\]

\( S(T) = \begin{array}{cc}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & i_3 \\
\vdots & \\
i_s & \\
\end{array} \).

Example 4.5. Fix the block diagonal matching field \((1|2345678)\). For each \(1 \leq k \leq 7\), the set \(T^k_l\) is included in \(T_l\). These are the tableaux of type 1. So we observe that \(T_1\) is a tableau of type 1.B(3) shown below. The tableaux of type 2 in \(T_l\) are built from those of type 1. For example \(T_2\) is a tableau of type 2.3B(3) obtained by adding 7, 8 to the left column of \(T_1\).

\[
T_1 = \begin{array}{ccc}
4 & 2 \\
1 & 3 \\
5 & 4 \\
6 & 7 \\
\end{array}, \quad T_2 = \begin{array}{ccc}
4 & 2 \\
1 & 3 \\
5 & 4 \\
6 & 7 \\
8 & \\
\end{array}, \quad T_3 = \begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 5 \\
6 & \\
\end{array}. 
\]

The tableaux of type 3 are those which have exactly one column of size one. The tableau of type 3A are exactly those in semi-standard form. For the other types, 3B, 3C and 3D, it is not possible to put these tableau into semi-standard form. For example \(T_3\) is a tableau of type 3D and we cannot swap the entries in the first row.
Remark 4.6. Notice that the algebra $A_{\ell}$ has the standard grading and any monomial $P_{l_1}P_{l_2}\ldots P_{l_t}$ in $A_{\ell}$ is identified with the tableau $T_{l_1l_2\ldots l_t}$. Moreover, two monomials are equal in $A_{\ell}$ if and only if their corresponding tableaux are row-wise equal.

Lemma 4.7. The tableaux $T_{\ell}$ span $[A_{\ell}]_2$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by showing that any tableau $T_{IJ}$ is row-wise equal to some tableau $T_{I'J'}$ in $T_{\ell}$. So fix $I, J \subseteq [n]$, we take cases on $|I|$ and $|J|$.

Case 1. Let $|I| = |J| = k$ for some $k$. By Theorem 4.3 there exists $I', J' \subseteq [n]$ such that $T_{IJ}$ and $T_{I'J'}$ are quadratically equivalent and $T_{I'J'}$ in $T_{\ell}^k \subset T_{\ell}$.

Case 2. Let $|I| > |J| \geq 2$. Let $s = |I|$ and $t = |J|$. Consider the sub-tableau $T'$ of $T_{IJ}$ consisting of the first $t$ rows. By Theorem 4.3 there exists $I', J' \subset [n]$ such that $T'$ is row-wise equal to $T_{I'J'}$ and $T_{I'J'}$ in $T_{\ell}^t \subset T_{\ell}$. Now if $i_s' \leq j_s'$ set $I' := I' \cup \{i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_k\}$. Otherwise if $i_s' > j_s'$ then set $J' := J' \cup \{i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_k\}$. Then we have $T_{IJ}$ and $T_{I'J'}$ are row-wise equal and $T_{I'J'}$ in $T_{\ell}$ is a tableau of type 2.

Case 3. Let $|I| \geq 2$ and $|J| = 1$. Now we take cases on $i_1, i_2$ and $j_1$. For each case $T_{IJ}$ is depicted in Figure 2. For simplicity we depict only those entries in the first two rows of $T_{IJ}$.

Case 3.i. Let $i_1, i_2, j_1 \in B_1$. If $i_1 \leq j_1$ then we have that $T_{IJ} \in T_{\ell}$ is a tableau of type 3A(1). On the other hand, if $i_1 > j_1$ then we may swap $i_1$ and $j_1$ to obtain the tableau $T_{I'J'} \in T_{\ell}$ of type 3A(1).

Case 3.ii. Let $i_1, i_2 \in B_1, j_1 \in B_2$. So $T_{IJ} \in T_{\ell}$ is a tableau of type 3A(1).

Case 3.iii. Let $i_1, j_1 \in B_1, i_2 \in B_2$. If $i_1 \leq j_1$ then we have that $T_{IJ} \in T_{\ell}$ is a tableau of type 3D. If on the other hand $i_1 > j_1$ then we may swap $i_2$ and $j_1$ to obtain the tableau $T_{I'J'} \in T_{\ell}$ of type 3A(1).

Case 3.iv. Let $i_1 \in B_1, i_2, j_1 \in B_2$. If $i_2 \leq j_1$ then we have that $T_{IJ} \in T_{\ell}$ is a tableau of type 3C. If on the other hand $i_2 > j_1$ then we may swap $i_2$ and $j_1$ to obtain the tableau $T_{I'J'} \in T_{\ell}$ of type 3C.

Case 3.v. Let $j_1 \in B_1, i_1, i_2 \in B_2$. So $T_{IJ} \in T_{\ell}$ is a tableau of type 3B.

Case 3.vi. Let $i_1, i_2, j_1 \in B_2$. If $i_1 \leq j_1$ then we have that $T_{IJ} \in T_{\ell}$ is a tableau of type 3A(2). If on the other hand $i_1 > j_1$ then we may swap $i_1$ and $j_1$ to obtain the tableau $T_{I'J'} \in T_{\ell}$ of type 3A(2).

Example 4.8. For each case in Lemma 4.7 we provide an example of the manipulation of the tableaux. For Cases 1 and 2, the manipulations follow from Theorem 4.3 so we consider Case 3 and fix the block diagonal matching field $(123|456)$ for $F_6$. 
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Case 3.i. Consider the tableau $T$ below. In this case we swap the entries in the first row to obtain $T'$ which is a tableau of type $3A(1)$.

$$T = \begin{array}{c}
2 & 1 \\
3 & \\
4 &
\end{array}, \quad T' = \begin{array}{c}
1 & 2 \\
3 & \\
4 &
\end{array}.$$

Case 3.ii. The tableau $T$ below is an example of a tableau of type $3A(1)$ which arises in this case.

$$T = \begin{array}{c}
1 & 4 \\
3 & \\
4 &
\end{array}.$$

Case 3.iii. Consider the tableau $T$ below. The entry of the right column is less than the second entry of the left column. So we may swap the entries in the first row to obtain $T'$ which is a tableau of type $3A(1)$. On the other hand, the entry in the right column of $T''$ is greater or equal to the second row entry in the left column. So $T''$ is a tableau of type $3D$.

$$T = \begin{array}{c}
4 & 1 \\
2 & \\
5 &
\end{array}, \quad T' = \begin{array}{c}
1 & 4 \\
2 & \\
5 &
\end{array}, \quad T'' = \begin{array}{c}
4 & 2 \\
1 & \\
5 &
\end{array}.$$

Case 3.iv. Consider the tableau $T$ below. We may swap the entries in the first row to obtain $T'$, a tableau of type $3C$.

$$T = \begin{array}{c}
5 & 4 \\
1 & \\
6 &
\end{array}, \quad T' = \begin{array}{c}
4 & 5 \\
1 & \\
6 &
\end{array}.$$

Case 3.v. The tableau $T$ below is an example of a tableau of type $3B$:  

$$T = \begin{array}{c}
4 & 1 \\
5 & \\
6 &
\end{array}.$$

Case 3.vi. Consider the tableau $T$ below. Since all its entries lie in $B_2$ we can put it in semi-standard form by a swap. The resulting tableau $T'$ is of type $3A(2)$.

$$T = \begin{array}{c}
5 & 4 \\
6 &
\end{array}, \quad T' = \begin{array}{c}
4 & 5 \\
6 &
\end{array}.$$

Lemma 4.9. The tableaux $T'$ are linearly independent.

Proof. Since the ideal associated to the block diagonal matching field is generated by binomials, it suffices to show that no two tableaux in $T'$ are row-wise equal. Suppose by contradiction that $T_{IJ}$ and $T'_{I'J'}$ are two distinct row-wise equal tableaux in $T'$. We may
assumed without loss of generality $|I| = |I'|$ and $|J| = |J'|$. We now proceed by taking cases on the type of $T_{IJ}$.

Case 1. Let $T_{IJ}$ be of type 1. Then $|I| = |I'| = |J| = |J'| = k$ for some $k$. Therefore $T_{I'}$ is also of type 1 and $T_{IJ}$ and $T_{I'}$ belong to $T^{k}_\ell$. However $T_{IJ}$ and $T_{I'}$ are linearly independent by Theorem 4.3, a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $T_{IJ}$ be of type 2. Then $|I| > |J| \geq 2$. Let $U_{IJ}$ be the sub-tableau of $T_{IJ}$ consisting of the first $|J|$ rows and $V_I$ be the sub-tableau consisting of the final $|I| - |J|$ rows. Note that $V_I$ depends only on the contents of $I$. Similarly we define $U_{I'}$ and $V_{I'}$. By row-wise equality of $T_{IJ}$ and $T_{I'}$, it follows that $V_I$ and $V_{I'}$ are equal and so $U_{IJ}$ and $U_{I'}$ are row-wise equal and distinct. By Definition 4.2, we have that $U_{IJ}$ and $U_{I'}$ belong to $T^{\ell^{|J|}}$. However, since $U_{IJ}$ and $U_{I'}$ are distinct by Theorem 4.3 they are linearly independent, a contradiction.

Case 3. Let $T_{IJ}$ be of type 3. So $|I| > |J| = 1$. Note that, by row-wise equality, $T_{I'}$ is obtained from $T_{IJ}$ by swapping the entries in the first row. In particular, given $T_{IJ}$ there is exactly one option for $T_{I'}$. We now take cases on the sub-types of $T_{IJ}$, see Definition 4.4. Note that when considering the type of $T_{IJ}$ and $T_{I'}$, we need only consider the first two rows of the tableaux.

Case 3.A. Let $T_{IJ}$ be of type 3.A. So the tableaux have the following form,

$$T_{IJ} = \begin{array}{c} i_1 \\ i_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} j_1 \\ i_2 \end{array}, \quad T_{I'} = \begin{array}{c} j_1 \\ i_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} i_1 \\ i_2 \end{array}$$

where $i_1 < i_2$ and $i_1 \leq j_1$. If $T_{I'}$ is also of type 3.A then we have $i_1 = j_1$ and so $T_{IJ}$ and $T_{I'}$ are equal, a contradiction. Otherwise, if $T_{I'}$ is not of type 3.A, then we must have that $i_1, i_2 \in B_1$ and $j_1 \in B_2$. Therefore $T_{I'}$ is a tableau of type 3.D and $i_2 \leq i_1$, a contradiction.

Case 3.B. Let $T_{IJ}$ be of type 3.B. So the tableaux have the following form,

$$T_{IJ} = \begin{array}{c} i_1 \\ i_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} j_1 \\ i_2 \end{array}, \quad T_{I'} = \begin{array}{c} j_1 \\ i_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} i_1 \\ i_2 \end{array}$$
Let $T$ be a tableau with columns $I$ and $J$.

| $|I|$ = $|J|$ = $k$, for some $k$. |

Apply Theorem 4.2

| $|I|$ > $|J|$ = 1, |

Take cases on $|I|$ and $|J|$.

| $|I|$ > $|J|$ > 1, |

Take cases on the first two rows.

Case 2.

Case 3.

| $|I|$ = $|J|$ = $k$, for some $k$. |

Case 1.

Case 3.i.

Case 3.ii.

Case 3.iii.

Case 3.iv.

Case 3.v.

Case 3.vi.

Case 3.

T is a tableau of type 3A(1).

T is a tableau of type 3A(2).

T is a tableau of type 3B.

T is a tableau of type 3C.

T is a tableau of type 3D.

Swap the entries in the first row of $T$.

Figure 4: Depiction of the proof of Lemma 4.7. Each step in the figure has a case number which gives the corresponding case in the proof. A key for the colours can be found in Figure 3. By ‘Apply Theorem 4.3’ we explicitly mean, find the unique tableau in $T_k^s$ which is row-wise equal to the green part of the tableau in the diagram.
where \( i_1, i_2 \in B_2, j_1 \in B_1 \) and \( i_1 < i_2 \). However, \( T_{I J} \) is not a valid matching field tableau, a contradiction.

**Case 3.C.** Let \( T_{I J} \) be of type 3.C. So the tableaux have the following form,

\[
T_{I J} = \begin{pmatrix} i_2 & j_1 \\ i_2 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_{I' J'} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & i_2 \\ i_1 \\ \end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( i_1 \in B_1, i_2, j_1 \in B_2 \) and \( i_2 \leq j_1 \). It follows that \( T_{I' J'} \) is also a tableau of type 3.C and so \( i_2 = j_1 \). Therefore \( T_{I J} \) and \( T_{I' J'} \) are equal, a contradiction.

**Case 3.D.** Let \( T_{I J} \) be of type 3.D. So the tableaux have the following form,

\[
T_{I J} = \begin{pmatrix} i_2 & j_1 \\ i_2 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_{I' J'} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & i_2 \\ i_1 \\ \end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( i_1, j_1 \in B_1, i_2 \in B_2 \) and \( i_1 \leq j_1 \). However \( T_{I' J'} \) is not a valid matching field tableau, a contradiction. □

**Lemma 4.10.** The map \( S \) is a bijection between \( \mathcal{T}_I \) and the set of semi-standard tableaux with two columns.

**Proof.** We proceed to show that the inverse to \( S \) is well defined. Let \( T = T_{I J} \) be a tableau in semi-standard form. We will take cases on \( |I| \) and \( |J| \).

**Case 1.** Let \( |I| = |J| = k \), for some \( k \). By Theorem 4.3 we have \( S^{-1}(T_{I J}) = (S^k)^{-1}(T_{I J}) \).

**Case 2.** Let \( |I| > |J| \geq 2 \) and \( t = |J| \) for some \( t \). Let \( \tilde{T} \) be the sub-tableau of \( T \) consisting of the first \( t \) rows. By Theorem 4.3, we have that \( S' \) is a bijection between \( T_{I J} \) and rectangular semi-standard tableau with two columns and \( t \) rows. Let \( T_{I' J'} = (S')^{-1}(\tilde{T}) \). If \( i'_t \leq j'_t \) then redefine \( I' := I' \cup \{i_{t+1}, \ldots, i_s\} \). Otherwise, redefine \( J' := J' \cup \{i_{t+1}, \ldots, i_s\} \). So \( S^{-1}(T_{I J}) = T_{I' J'} \).

**Case 3.** Let \( |I| \geq 2 \) and \( |J| = 1 \). We take cases on \( i_1, i_2, j_1 \).

**Case 3.i.** Let \( i_1, i_2 \in B_1 \). We have \( S^{-1}(T) = T \) which is a tableau of type 3A(1).

**Case 3.ii.** Let \( i_1, j_1 \in B_1 \) and \( i_2 \in B_2 \). Then \( S^{-1}(T) = T' \) is the tableau of type 3D as shown in Figure 5.

**Case 3.iii.** Let \( i_1 \in B_1 \) and \( i_2, j_1 \in B_2 \). We take cases on \( j_1 \) and \( i_2 \).

**Case 3.iii.a.** Let \( j_1 < i_2 \). Then \( S^{-1}(T) = T' \) is the tableau of type 3B, see Figure 5.

**Case 3.iii.b.** Let \( j_1 \geq i_2 \). Then \( S^{-1}(T) = T' \) is the tableau of type 3C, see Figure 5.

**Case 3.iv.** Let \( i_1, i_2, j_1 \in B_2 \). We have \( S^{-1}(T) = T \) which is a tableau of type 3A(2).

In each case the pre-image of \( S \) is unique, so we have shown that \( S \) is a bijection from \( \mathcal{T}_I \) to semi-standard tableaux with two columns.

**Example 4.11.** Fix the block diagonal matching field \( B_3 = (123|456) \). Consider the semi-standard tableau \( T \) below. Since the right hand column \( J \) has size one, we look at the values in the first two rows. The values are \( i_1 = 1, i_2 = 4 \) and \( j_1 = 3 \), so we are in Case 3.ii. of the proof of Lemma 4.10. Hence \( S(T') = T \) for the following tableau \( T' \in \mathcal{T}_3 \),

\[
T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \quad T' = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 6 \\ \end{pmatrix}
\]
In particular, the tableau $T'$ lies in $T_3$ and is of type 3D.

Now, we are ready to present our main result which extends the classical result from the diagonal matching field tableaux, known as semi-standard tableaux to block diagonal matching field tableaux. We follow the notation in Definition 4.1.

**Theorem 4.12.** The Plücker forms are a SAGBI basis for the Plücker algebra with respect to the weight vectors arising from block diagonal matching fields.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.3, we have seen that the matching field ideal $J_B$ is quadratically generated. In order to show that $\{P_I : \emptyset \neq I \subseteq [n]\}$ forms a SAGBI basis for $A_n$, it is enough to show that $\dim([A_\ell]) = \dim([A_0])$. By Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.9 we have that $T_\ell$ is a basis for $[A_\ell]$. Then by Lemma 4.10 we have $T_\ell$ is in bijection with semi-standard tableaux with two columns. Since semi-standard tableaux form a basis for $A_0$, we have shown that $\dim([A_\ell]) = \dim([A_0])$. □

As a corollary of the above statements and [Stu96, Theorem 11.4] we have that:

**Corollary 4.13.** Each block diagonal matching field produces a toric degeneration of $Fl_n$.

4.2. Matching field polytopes.

Let $B_\ell$ be a block diagonal matching field. We have seen that $B_\ell$ produces a toric degeneration of $Fl_n$. So we define the matching field polytope to be the polytope of the associated toric variety. 

**Remark 4.14.** Table 1 shows computational results for matching field polytopes, namely $Fl_n$ for $n = 3, 4, 5$, which were computed in Polymake [GJ00]. In particular, we see that for $Fl_4$ and $Fl_5$, the matching fields give distinct toric ideals except for $\ell = 3$ and $\ell = 4$ respectively. 

**Remark 4.15.** For each $n \in \{6, 7, 8, 9\}$ we have verified that the face lattice of the polytope for each block diagonal matching field is distinct. To do this we use the isomorphic function in polymake to test if any pair of polytopes have isomorphic face lattices. Whilst the calculation to find the $f$-vectors of the matching field polytopes did not terminate, we can write down the number of vertices for the matching field polytopes: $2^n - 2$, which is the number of non-empty proper subsets of an $n$ element set.
Let $T$ be a semi-standard tableau with columns $I$ and $J$.

Take cases on $|I|$ and $|J|$.

Case 1.

Apply Theorem 4.2.

$T$ is a tableau of type 1.

Case 2.

Apply Theorem 4.2.

$T$ is a tableau of type 2.

Case 3.

Take cases on the first two rows.

Case 3.i.

$T' = T$, $S^{-1}(T)$, a tableau of type 3A(1).

Case 3.ii.

$S^{-1}(T) = T'$, a tableau of type 3D.

Case 3.iii.a.

$S^{-1}(T) = T'$, a tableau of type 3B.

Case 3.iii.b.

$S^{-1}(T) = T'$, a tableau of type 3C.

Case 3.iv.

$|I| > |J| > 1$.

$|I| = |J| = k$, for some $k$.

$|I| > |J| = 1$.

Figure 6: Depiction of the proof of Lemma 4.10. Each step in the figure has a case number which corresponds to the cases in the proof. A key for the colours can be found in Figure 3. By ‘Apply Theorem 4.3’ we mean, replace the green part of the tableau $T_k$ with $(S^k)^{-1}(T_k)$ where $k$ is the number of rows in $T_k$.  
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Table 1: For each $\text{Fl}_n$ and matching field $B_\ell$ we calculate the $f$-vector of the toric polytope associated to the matching field $B_\ell$.

| $\text{Fl}_n$ | $\ell$ | The $f$-vector of the toric polytope |
|--------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| 3            | 0,1,2  | 6 13 13 6                           |
| 4            | 0      | 14 71 186 257 275 165 60 12         |
|              | 1      | 14 71 188 296 291 19 66 13          |
|              | 2.3    | 14 71 189 301 301 189 71 14         |
| 5            | 0      | 30 305 1695 5007 10872 16261 17523 1370 7888 3251 936 178 20 |
|              | 1      | 30 305 1622 5332 11777 18292 20464 16640 9798 1106 1182 219 23 |
|              | 2      | 30 305 1634 5446 12255 19458 22297 18580 11197 4784 1393 257 26 |
|              | 3.4    | 30 305 1626 5378 11997 18883 21464 17761 10644 4531 1318 244 25 |
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