Brown manuring effects on soil and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa*) under rainfed midland farming system
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**ABSTRACT**

The present study conducted in the year of 2015, 2016 and 2017 aiming to determine the effect of brown manuring on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in inceptisol under midland farming of southern Chhattisgarh. Six treatment combinations with the objective of reducing chemical fertilizers, which were line sowing of rice and broadcasting *dhaincha*, line sowing of rice and *dhaincha* together in same row, incorporation of *dhaincha* in line sown rice at 30 DAS, broadcasting of rice and *dhaincha*, line sowing of rice and broadcasting of rice, all *dhaincha* crops were smothered by spraying 2,4-D at 25 DAS. The higher grain yield was with Line sowing of rice and *dhaincha* together in same row followed by line sowing of rice and broadcasting *dhaincha* and 30.95% higher grain yield in Line sowing of rice and *dhaincha* together in same row over broadcasting of rice. Soil organic carbon showed an overall increase over the initial SOC of 0.28%. The mean SOC (%) was 0.62, 0.45 and 0.41 % higher under line sowing of rice and *dhaincha* together in same row, line sowing of rice and broadcasting *dhaincha* and incorporation of *dhaincha* in line sown rice at 30 DAS treatments respectively over the broadcasting of rice. The highest B:C was under Line sowing of rice and *dhaincha* together in same row (4.26) then incorporation of *dhaincha* in line sown rice at 30 DAS (2.37) followed by broadcasting of rice and *dhaincha* line sowing of rice 2.39, 2.86 and 2.46, respectively.
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Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) has largest contribution to sustained self-sufficiency in food grain production to feed ever increasing population of the country. Development of input-responsive varietal introduction capable of achieving high yield potential particularly under irrigated ecology is responsible to this attainment (Zaman 2012). The agricultural production system of Bastar region is constrained by low organic carbon, low clay, and uneven distribution of rainfall which induces soil erosion with steep slope. Rainfed system of cultivation sprawling fastly in India because of erratic nature of rainfall which governs the cultivation practices and cultural operations to be performed depends on rain frequency and quantum, moisture stress is a regular phenomenon in rice cultivation till harvest. Green manuring is done with sowing of rice in same furrow and germinate after receiving rain and also grows simultaneously in staggered manner makes the system sustainable and easy operation as when mixes with rice and *dhaincha* seeds together. Rainfed cultivation of rice is being done in sloppy to flat land with intensive tillage. After harvesting, biological residuals are not returned to soil with further consequences of the process of soil degradation (Sharma *et al.* 2012). The post-emergence herbicide spray on green manure leaves resulting in loss of chlorophyll in leaves showing brown in colour is referred to as brown manuring (Tanwar *et al.* 2010). Generally, brown manuring practice is introduced in the fields where *Sesbania* spp @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ is broadcast three days after rice sowing and allowed to grow for 30 days and was dried by spraying 2,4-D ethyle-easter which supplied up to 35 kg/ha⁻¹ N, dry matter, control of broad leaf weeds, higher yield by 4-5 q ha⁻¹ due to addition of organic matter in low fertile soils. This may also be a preferred option on lighter soils prone to erosion and reduce weeds (Sharma *et al.* 2014; Singh *et al.* 2014).

Conservation Agriculture (CA) is the concept for resource conservation and mitigation of adverse climatic impacts that has higher profitability (Acharya *et al.* 1998). Reduced tillage is defined as any tillage that leaves at least 30% of the soil surface covered by residue after planting (Lal 2003). Minimum and zero tillage are a practice for soil and water conservationowing to reduced cost of cultivation expanding benefits to retention of soil water and physical protection of soil organic carbon (Anitha and Mathew 2010). Brown manuring is a version of incorporation of green manures using abroad leaf killing herbicide in mix flora (rice + *dhaincha*). In ‘Brown manuring’ practice, both the main crop and intercrops are seeded together and allowed
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to grow up to 35 days then green manuring crop is knocked down by applying herbicide (Singh et al. 2007). In case of rice, a loss in productivity to the extent of 8.0-10.3 kg/ha for loss of each mm of top soil has been reported (Ghosh et al. 2012). Information regarding effect of conservation tillage (CT) on crop yield in the Shivalik region is meagre. The farmers’ adoption of conservation tillage in India has been limited due to the lack of sufficient field level research.

Keeping the view of green manuring in rice, a combination of green manuring methods were designed with objectives to determine impact of green manuring on grain yield in rice (Oryza sativa L.) cropping system, to determine soil organic carbon and moisture storage, and to evaluate economics of various resource conservation practices.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Instructional cum Research Farm, S G College of Agriculture & Research Station, IGKV, Raipur located at Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh, India (82°01' N latitude, 19°5'E longitude and 547 m above msl). The soil is inceptisol with low organic carbon. The average soil pH (1:2) is 6.5; EC 0.31dS/m; organic carbon 0.28%; available N 238 kg/ha, P2O5 21.2 kg/ha, K2O 421 kg/ha, Zn 1.12 mg/kg, Cu 0.53 mg/kg, Fe 2.75 mg/kg and Mn 5.37 mg/kg. The area receives 1408.40 mm mean annual rainfall, of which more than 70% occurs in 3-4 months (June to September).

The study was conducted in the year of 2015, 2016 and 2017; an experimental trial was taken in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with four replications. Twenty four plots of size 5 m × 5 m were prepared with a uniformly leveled field. Treatment comprised Line sowing of rice and broadcasting dhaincha (T1); line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row (T2); incorporation of dhaincha in line sown rice at 30 DAS (T3); broadcasting of rice and dhaincha (T4); line sowing of rice (T5) and broadcasting of rice (T6). Fertilizer was applied at the rate of 100 kg N/ha, 60 kg P/ha and 40 kg K/ha and nitrogen was applied in two splits, i.e., at sowing and 35 days after sowing, rice variety MTU 1010 was grown as rainfed crop. After harvesting, plot-wise soil samples in triplicate were collected from the surface (0-30 cm) layer. The soil samples were air dried, ground and passed through a 0.2 mm sieve for determination of soil organic carbon (OC) by Walkley and Black (1934) method. Observation on growth and yield parameters were recorded and the average rate of return per rupee investment was calculated as per prevailing rate of market.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Yield attributes under different treatments:** The highest plant height was noted with line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row (103.25 cm) to be significantly superior than remaining treatments except Incorporation of dhaincha in line sown rice at 30 DAS (102.45 cm) and line sowing of rice and broadcasting dhaincha (95.52 cm) which were found statistically similar to that of line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row. Similar trend was noticed in effective tillers m-2, panicle length, and number of grains panicle-1. In case of broadcasting of rice and dhaincha, line sowing of rice and broadcasting of rice which were found at par with each others as shown in Table 1.

**Yield of rice under different treatments:** Yield varied significantly between treatments. The higher grain yield was with line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row followed by line sowing of rice and broadcasting dhaincha and 30.95% higher grain yield in line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row over broadcasting of rice (Table 2). The treatments like line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row produced 57.79 and 65.67% higher over broadcasting of rice and dhaincha and line sowing of rice, respectively.

**Soil organic under different treatments:** Soil organic carbon showed an overall increase over the initial SOC of 0.28%. The mean SOC (%) was 0.62, 0.45 and 0.41 % higher under line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row, line sowing of rice and broadcasting dhaincha and incorporation of dhaincha in line sown rice at 30 DAS treatments respectively, over the broadcasting of rice (Table 2). The soil organic carbon followed a trend similar to the quantity of biomass added under various treatments. Soil chemical properties were found to be higher in line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row. Line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row favoured better organic carbon build up. Reduction of runoff and soil through bio-resources recycling is expected as carbon input from organic sources helps in formation of more water stable macro-aggregates (Anitha and Mathew 2010), thus

| Treatment                                      | Plant height (cm) | Effective tillers/m² | Panicle length (cm) | 1000 grain wt. (g) | No. of grains/panicle |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Line sowing of rice and broadcasting dhaincha | 95.52             | 128.94               | 19.84               | 25.36             | 53.31                |
| Line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row | 103.25           | 139.41               | 21.45               | 26.35             | 54.81                |
| Incorporation of dhaincha in line sown rice at 30 DAS | 102.45           | 138.27               | 21.28               | 25.14             | 52.75                |
| Broadcasting of rice and dhaincha              | 85.62             | 115.56               | 17.79               | 25.63             | 50.23                |
| Line sowing of rice                            | 91.26             | 123.18               | 18.96               | 24.15             | 52.29                |
| Broadcasting of rice                           | 79.25             | 120.47               | 18.54               | 24.17             | 50.27                |
| CD (P=0.05)                                    | 7.08              | 1.14                 | 1.59                | 0.19              | 0.98                 |
Table 2 Effect of brown manuring on yield, OC and economics of rice (Pooled data of three years)

| Treatment                                           | Rice yield (q/ha) | Organic carbon (%) | Net return ₹/t | B:C     |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| Line sowing of rice and broadcasting dhaincha       | 27.15             | 0.45               | 47721          | 2.37    |
| Line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row | 32.08             | 0.62               | 64957          | 4.26    |
| Incorporation of dhaincha in line sown rice at 30 DAS | 25.12             | 0.41               | 44280          | 2.39    |
| Broadcasting of rice and dhaincha                   | 18.54             | 0.34               | 34338          | 2.86    |
| Line sowing of rice                                 | 21.07             | 0.12               | 37432          | 2.46    |
| Broadcasting of rice                                | 13.89             | 0.19               | 22713          | 1.89    |
| CD (P=0.05)                                        | 4.91              | 0.17               | 17232          | 1.35    |

providing more time for infiltration; and reduced soil water evaporation by shading and cooling the soil; and by reducing wind speed at the soil surface (Loch 1989).

Economic analysis of different treatments: The highest net return was recorded under line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row (₹ 47721) followed by line sowing of rice (₹ 64957) and broadcasting dhaincha and incorporation of dhaincha (₹ 44280) in line sown rice at 30 DAS and the lowest being in broadcasting of rice (Table 2). The highest B:C was under line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row (4.26) then incorporation of dhaincha in line sown rice at 30 DAS (2.37) followed by broadcasting of rice and dhaincha in line sowing of rice 2.39, 2.86 and 2.46, respectively. Earlier workers have also reported that the conservation tillage improves economic performance, reduces production risks, decreases soil disturbance, improves and benefits soil quality (Zentner et al. 2004).

It was concluded that the line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row followed by line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row overbroadcasting of rice. The mean SOC (%) was 0.62, 0.45 and 0.41 % higher under line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row, line sowing of rice and broadcasting dhaincha and incorporation of dhaincha in line sown rice at 30 DAS treatments respectively, over the broadcasting of rice (Rana et al. 2003). The highest B:C was under line sowing of rice and dhaincha together in same row (4.26) then incorporation of dhaincha in line sown rice at 30 DAS (2.37).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the AICRPDA conducted trials consequently two years with same set of treatments under guidance of CRIDA, Hyderabad for their support during course of study.

REFERENCES

Acharya C L, Kapur O C and Dixit S P. 1998. Moisture conservation for rainfed wheat production alternative mulches and conservation tillage in hills of north-west India. *Soil Tillage Research* 46:153-163.

Anitha S and Mathew J. 2010. In situ green manuring with dhaincha (*Sesbania aculeata* Pers.): a cost effective management alternative for wet seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Tropical Agriculture* 48:34-39.

Ghosh B N, Dogra P, Sharma N K and Dadhwal K S. 2012. Soil erosion-productivity relationship assessment in sloping lands of north-west Himalayas. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 82(12): 1068-71.

Lal R. 2003. Global potential of soil carbon sequestration to mitigate the greenhouse effect. *Critical Reviews in Plant Science* 22(2): 157-89.

Loch R J. 1989. Aggregate breakdown under rain: Its measurement and interpretation. Ph D Thesis. University of New England, Queensland, Australia. Sharda V N, Dogra P and Prakash C. 2010. Assessment of production losses to water erosion in rainfed area of India. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 65: 79-91.

Rana N S, Singh A K, Kumar S, Kumar S. 2003. Effect of trash mulching and nitrogen application on growth yield and quality of sugarcane ratoon. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 48(2):124-126.

Sharma A R. 2014. Weed management in conservation agriculture systems-problems and prospects. National Training on advances in Weed Management, pp 1-9.

Sharma K L, Mandal B and Venkateswarlu B. 2012. Soil quality and productivity improvement under rainfed conditions-Indian perspectives (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0).

Singh R. 2014. Weed management in major kharif and rabi crops. National Training on Advances in Weed Management, pp 31-40.

Singh S, Ladha J K, Gupta R K, Bhushan L, Rao A N, Shiva Prasad B and Singh P. 2007. Evaluation of mulching, intercropping with *Sesbania* and herbicide use for weed management in dry-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Crop Protection* 26: 518–24.

Tanwar S P S, Singh A K and Joshi N. 2010. Changing environment and sustained crop production: A challenge for agronomy. *Journal of Arid Legumes* 7(2):91-100.

Walkley A and Black I A. 1934. An examination of the degradative method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science* 37: 29-38.

Zentner R P, Lafond G P, Derksen D A, Nagy C N, Wall D D and May W E. 2004. Effects of tillage method and crop rotations on non-renewable energy use efficiency for a thin Black Chernozem in the Canadian Prairies. *Soil Tillage Research* 77: 125-13.

Zaman A. 2012. More crop and income with less water under sustainable agriculture. *Green Farming Strategic Vision* 3(4): 10-11.