MATERIAL REMOVAL MODE IN 3D MICRO USM
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Abstract

Ultrasonic machining (USM) is known for its ability of processing brittle and hard materials such as silicon, glass and quartz. Usually, material removal in conventional USM is in brittle mode. The machined surface is covered with sharp tips and edges. In micro USM, the size of machined feature is less than 1 mm. Different from the conventional USM, in micro USM, it was found that the machined surface is flat and smooth under certain machining conditions. This indicates that the ductile material removal mode exists in micro USM. Based on the experimental observation, the surface roughness, Rpk, is used to identify the existence of ductile material removal mode in micro USM. The impact force of a single abrasive particle is calculated based on the elastic theory and crack generation. In this paper, 3D micro cavities were machined in quartz by micro USM under different machining conditions. Machined bottom surfaces were measured. Experimental results indicate that the brittle and ductile material removal modes are achievable by controlling the static load of micro USM.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Micro ultrasonic machining (Micro USM), as an unconventional machining method, is widely used in the fine machining of hard and brittle materials, such as silicon, quartz and glass regardless of electrical and chemical properties of material [Thoe 2003]. There are two kinds of removal mode in the processing of hard and brittle materials: ductile mode and brittle mode. The removal mode of brittle materials changes with the depth of cut. Based on the brittle fracture of the surface, the material removal mode caused by material shedding changes to the ductile removal mode caused by elastic and plastic deformation of the surface. Consequently the surface also tends to be smooth. There is a transition mode between ductile mode and brittle mode [Shimada 1995].

Z. Yu et al. studied the effect of debris accumulation on material removal rate and surface roughness, and established the corresponding mathematical model to describe the influence of particles in the work area [Yu 2006]. Jiawen Song et al. found that the surface roughness Rpk value was suitable to identifying the removal mode in micro USM by processing micro-holes on <100> faces of monocrystalline silicon. When the Rpk value is larger than 500nm, the workpiece surface presents a brittle removal mode. When the Rpk value is between 450nm and 500nm, the workpiece is removed in the transition mode. When Rpk is less than 450nm, the workpiece surface presents ductile removal characteristics [Song 2014]. Guodong Li et al. established a theoretical model to identify the removal mode of micro USM by machining micro-holes on the <100> face of monocrystalline silicon and quartz crystal. It has been verified that Rpk value was suitable for identifying the removal mode of micro USM [Li 2016]. Micro USM is able to generate 3D micro features in brittle and hard materials [Yu 2004]. In 3D micro USM, the tool is controlled to move along the designed tool path, which is different from the hole drilling up-down tool path. The removal mode of micro USM influences on the material removal rate and surface quality. In brittle mode, the material removal rate is larger than that in ductile mode, while the surface quality is worse than that in ductile mode. It is necessary to study the influence of material removal mode in 3D micro USM.

In this paper, after calculating the indentation volume thresholds for radial and lateral crack under different material removal modes, the corresponding impact force of single abrasive particle is calculated based on the elastic theory. The static load used to control micro USM process is obtained based on the concentration of slurry. To verify the achievable material removal mode in micro USM, static loads under different machining conditions are calculated and 3D micro cavities in quartz are machined. Machined bottom surfaces were measured. Experimental results are analyzed and discussed. Comparing the experimental results with the theoretical values, it was found that the brittle and ductile material removal modes are achievable by controlling the static load in 3D micro USM.

2 DATA CALCULATION

2.1 USM principle

Fig. 1 shows the schematic of micro USM. In USM, the abrasive particles are vibrated between the tool and workpiece by a high frequency vibration. The material is
mainly removed by the direct hammering of the abrasive particles against the workpiece surface.

\[ \delta V_D - \text{the indentation volume threshold for radial/median cracks, } \delta V_b - \text{the indentation volume threshold for lateral cracks, } K_c - \text{the fracture toughness of the workpiece, } \mu - \text{a fit constant by least square fit, } H - \text{the hardness, } \beta - \text{the factor a dimensionless constant independent of material properties and indenter shape, value of } \beta \text{ Marshall calculated from fits of crack lengths of Anstis et al. [Anstis 1981], } \zeta - \text{the factor is fitted on experiment with Vickers indenters, } A - \text{the factor describes the shape of the chip of material above the lateral crack. Marshall et al. concluded that the quarter plate model was the most appropriate [Marshall 1982].}

There are 3 kinds of material removal modes in micro USM. The removal mode also varies with the change of the volume of indentation. The material removal mode in micro USM follows the following rules:

1. \( \delta V < \delta V_D \), ductile mode.
2. \( \delta V_D \leq \delta V < \delta V_b \), brittle-ductile transition mode.
3. \( \delta V \geq \delta V_b \), brittle mode.

2.2 The model of material removal in micro USM

T.C. Lee observed the abrasive particles acting on the workpiece surface are similar to indenters by high speed photography [Lee 1997]. It is helpful to study the material removal mode in micro USM using indentation of brittle materials. In the indentation test and indentation fracture as shown in Fig. 2, the formation of radial and lateral crack can be described as (a) an inelastic deformation zone formation under a sharp particle; (b) at some threshold, radial/median crack is formed, with the indentation force increasing; (c) an increase in load causes growth of the median crack; (d) the median crack begins closing, on unloading; (e) with the particle removal, lateral cracks begin to develop; (f) upon complete unloading, lateral cracks continue extend toward specimen surface [Lawn 1975].

The inelastic deformation zone can be defined by the indentation pressure, \( P \), and the indentation volume \( \delta V \), and plastic zone exhibits spherical symmetry, regardless of indenter geometry [Chiang 1982].

P. J. Slikkerveer summarized the former results and concluded that the cracks threshold for the radial/median cracks and laterals can be calculated by equation (1) and (2) [Slikkerveer 1998].

\[
\delta V_D = \left( \frac{3}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \mu^6 \frac{E^2 K_c^6}{H^\frac{17}{2}} \\
\delta V_b = \frac{1}{3} \frac{5^3}{\alpha \delta_0^3} \frac{E^2 K_c^6}{H^\frac{15}{2}}
\]

\[ (1) \]

\[ (2) \]

\[ \delta V = \frac{1}{3} \pi (3r - \delta_w) \delta_w^2 \]  
\[ \delta = \left( \frac{9\pi^2 F^2 k^2}{16r} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \]  

\[ (3) \]

\[ (4) \]

\( \delta \) - the indentation depth under the impact force \( F \), \( \delta_w \) - the indentation depth of workpiece, \( F \) - maximum impact force of one particle during one cycle of vibration, \( k \) - factor ( \( k = \frac{1-v^2}{\pi E} \)), \( v \) - Poisson’s ratio, \( E \) - modulus of elasticity, \( r \) - radius of particle.

Therefore, the ductile threshold force, \( F_D \), and the indentation depth, \( \delta_0 \), are calculated by equations (1), (3) and (4). The brittle threshold force, \( F_B \), and the indentation depth, \( \delta_b \), are calculated by equations (2), (3) and (4).

In this study, the workpiece material is quartz crystal, the tool material is tungsten and the abrasive particles are polycrystalline diamond powder, which are consistent with precious experimental conditions [Li 2016]. Quartz parameters are listed in Tab. 1. The calculated corresponding forces and depths are listed in Tab. 2.
The measured force in micro USM is the electronic force, \( F \), in the micro USM is calculated by equation (5). Results are listed in the Tab. 4 [Yu 2006]. The static load range of different material removal modes during machining are listed in the Tab. 5.

\[
N = \frac{3R_{c}^{2}}{2r(1 + \rho c)}
\]  

\( \rho \): specific gravity of abrasive particle (\( \rho = 3.47 \)), \( c \): weight concentration of particles (\( c = 1\% \)).

**Table 1: Quartz parameters.**

| Parameters | Value          |
|-----------|---------------|
| \( K_{IC} \) | 0.66MPa m\(^{1/2} \) |
| \( \mu \)     | 0.63          |
| \( H \)       | 13.9Gpa       |
| \( \beta \)   | 0.966         |
| \( \phi \)    | 1.2x103       |
| \( A \)       | 0.75          |
| \( v \)       | 0.1           |

The quartz parameters used in calculation are from [Slikkerveer 1998, Anstis 1981, Marshall 1982, Guzzo, 2000, Li 2016].

**Table 2: Indentation threshold depth and force.**

| \( r (\mu m) \) | \( \delta_{o}(\mu m) \) | \( F_{0}(g) \) | \( \delta_{s}(\mu m) \) | \( F_{b}(g) \) |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| 0.25           | 0.0529                  | 0.0871      | 0.08882        | 0.1894      |
| 0.75           | 0.0297                  | 0.0633      | 0.0305         | 0.1331      |

Micro USM is a dynamic processing in which the impact force varies within a certain range. The ductile threshold force, \( F_{0} \), and the brittle threshold force, \( F_{b} \), in Tab. 2 are theoretical values. To apply theoretical values in micro USM, they have to be modified using the force correction coefficient \( K = 4.36 \) [Li 2016]. The threshold force, \( F' \), in the micro USM is calculated by equation (5). Results are listed in the Tab. 3. The measured force in micro USM is the average value of impact force, as shown in Fig. 4. Abrasive particles from \( t_{0} \) to \( t_{1} \), contact with the workpiece. The average force, \( F' \), is the average force of a single abrasive particle, \( F_{avg} \), by equation (6). The average forces of a single abrasive particle are listed in the Tab. 3.

\[
F' = F / K
\]  

**Fig. 4: Indentation depth and contact time of a particle in one cycle of vibration.**

\[
2F\left(\frac{1}{4f} - \frac{\arcsin\left(1 - \frac{\delta_{o}}{A}\right)}{2\pi f}\right) = F_{avg}\]

\( f \): frequency of vibration (\( f = 35.5kHz \)), \( R_{o} \): radius of tool (\( R_{o} = 50\mu m \)).

**Table 3: Threshold force and average force.**

| \( r (\mu m) \) | \( F_{o} (g) \)   | \( F_{avg} (g) \) | \( F_{s} (g) \)   | \( F_{bavg} (g) \) |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 0.25           | 0.01998          | 0.00146            | 0.0434           | 0.00412            |
| 0.75           | 0.0145           | 0.0006985          | 0.0305           | 0.00214            |

To control the micro USM, the tool move up-down based on the static load which is the sum of average force of all abrasive particles involved in machining. To obtain the static load, the number of particles, \( N \), in working area is necessary to calculate. The number of particles is calculated by equation (7) and results are listed in the Tab. 4 [Yu 2006].

3 EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

3.1 Experimental equipment

Fig. 5 shows the self-developed experimental equipment of micro USM. The main components of equipment include: XYZ stages with the resolution of 0.1μm; an electronic balance used for monitoring the static force during machining with the resolution of 1mg; a wire electrical discharge grinding (WEDG) unit used for preparing tools [Masuzawa 1985]; the CCD camera used for observing the tool and micro USM; a set of ultrasonic system including an ultrasonic generator and an ultrasonic transducer and a set of computer system.

![Experimental setup](image)
3.2 Experimental parameters and conditions

To obtain the relative volume wear rate of tools under different machining conditions of micro USM, extensive experiments are carried out. A commercial CAD/CAM software is used to design the 3D cavity and generate machining paths. The tool paths are regenerated based on the uniform method.

Fig. 6 is the designed 3D cavity with the top square side length of 510μm, the bottom side length of 350μm, height of 80μm and 45° of side surface. The cavity is machined layer-by-layer with a layer thickness of 1μm. Scan pattern of the tool path is shown in Fig. 7. Based on Tab. 5, the static load setting and the corresponding tool wear ratio are listed in Tab. 6 [Yu 2004].

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Machined cavity, surface and tools

Figs. 8 (a) and (b) show the machined cavities in brittle and ductile mode. The cavity was machined 3.6 hrs in brittle mode and 8.4 hrs in ductile mode. Although it was machined in 80 layers, the thermal deformation of experimental structure might caused the side surface machined in several large layers. The other reason caused the large layers on the side surface of cavity might be the electron scanning during SEM image generation.
In brittle mode.

Fig. 8: Machining 3D cavity.

Figs. 9 (a) and (b) show the tool after 3D micro USM. It can be seen that tools were worn uniformly. The tool wear length was calculated by the position difference using contact force of 0.02g at the same reference point of workpiece surface before and after machining. The tool wear length was 176.4μm in brittle mode and 86.3μm in ductile mode, respectively.

(a) Brittle mode.  (b) Ductile mode.

Fig. 9: The tool after micro USM.

Fig. 10 is an enlarged view of the bottom surface processed in the ductile removal mode using abrasive particles of 0.5μm in size. Fig. 11 shows the enlarged bottom surface processed in the brittle removal mode using abrasive particles of 1.5μm in size. It can be found that the surface is smooth without obvious sharp and deep pit in the ductile mode in Fig. 10 while there are obvious sharp tips and pits on the surface in the brittle mode in Fig. 11.

Fig. 10: Machined surfaces in the ductile removal mode.

Fig. 11: Machined surfaces in the brittle removal mode.

4.2 Results discussion

In previous study of USM of monocrystalline silicon <100> faces, it was found that the material removal mode can be identified by surface roughness, Rpk [Song 2014]. For quartz material, when the Rpk value is larger than 350nm, the machined surface shows the brittle removal mode; when the Rpk value is less than 350nm, the machined surface shows the existence of ductile removal mode [Li 2016].

In 3D micro USM, the material is removed by layer-by-layer. The machined bottom surfaces were measured using a set of white light interferometer. The bottom surface quality of 3D cavity depends on the static load of the last layer. The bottom surface roughness, Rpk, is average values of five locations on the bottom surface of a cavity. Fig. 12 shows the measured surface roughness values under machining conditions listed in Tabs. 5 and 8. No. in Fig. 12 is the sample number.

Fig. 12: Rpk value of the workpiece surface.
The average static load, $L_{avg}$, in Tab. 9 is calculated based on recorded static load in the final layer. Although the static load was controlled based on static load setting of different removal mode in Tab. 5, measured $R_{pk}$ value of sample No. 4 in Fig. 12 is larger than 350nm, the threshold surface roughness $R_{pk}$ value of ductile removal mode. The corresponding average static load in Tab. 9 is less than static load setting of ductile removal mode 0.25g in Tab. 5.

Tab. 9: Static load of the last layer.

| No. | thickness (μm) | r (μm) | tool rotation | $L_{avg}$ (g) |
|-----|----------------|--------|---------------|--------------|
| 1   | 1              | 0.25   | Y             | 0.228        |
| 2   | 1              | 0.25   | Y             | 0.0414       |
| 3   | 1              | 0.25   | N             | 0.0925       |
| 4   | 1              | 0.25   | N             | 0.232        |
| 5   | 1              | 0.75   | Y             | 0.062        |
| 6   | 1              | 0.75   | N             | 0.227        |
| 7   | 1              | 0.75   | N             | 0.596        |

To understand this phenomenon, static load record of sample No. 4 for the last layer machining is shown in Fig. 13. Fig. 14 shows the corresponding SEM of the machined surface. It can be seen that the machined surface covered with sharp edges and deep pits. During machining of sample No. 4, there was no tool rotation. The machining process of last layer in sample No. 4 was unstable. On the other hand, abrasive particles are suspended in slurry. In 3D micro USM, they might be unevenly distributed in machining area, resulting in uneven material removal.

5 SUMMARY

This paper presented 3D micro USM under different material removal modes. Based on elastic theory and crack generation theory, the impact force of single particle abrasive is calculated and the corresponding static pressure under different removal modes is obtained. By controlling the static pressure, 3D cavities were obtained under different removal modes of micro USM in quartz. The surface roughness $R_{pk}$ is used to identify the removal method. The experimental results indicates that the calculated static load based on theories can be used to control 3D USM under different material modes.
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