Nuclease Triggered “Signal-On” and Amplified Fluorescent Sensing of Fumonisin B\(_1\) Incorporating Graphene Oxide and Specific Aptamer
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Abstract: Remarkable advancements have been achieved in the development of rapid analytic techniques toward fumonisin B\(_1\) (FB\(_1\)) monitoring and even trace levels for food safety in recent years. However, the point-of-care testing for quantitative and accurate FB\(_1\) determination is still challenging. Herein, an innovative aptasensor was established to monitor FB\(_1\) by utilizing graphene oxide (GO) and nuclease-triggered signal enhancement. GO can be utilized as a fluorescence quenching agent toward a fluorophore-modified aptamer, and even as a protectant of the aptamer from nuclease cleavage for subsequent target cycling and signal amplification detection. This proposed sensing strategy exhibited a good linearity for FB\(_1\) determination in the dynamic range from 0.5 to 20 ng mL\(^{-1}\) with a good correlation of \(R^2 = 0.995\). Its limit of detection was established at 0.15 ng mL\(^{-1}\) (S/N = 3), which was significantly lower than the legal requirements by three orders of magnitude. The interferent study demonstrated that the introduced aptasensor possessed high selectivity for FB\(_1\). Moreover, the aptasensor was successfully applied to the detection of wheat flour samples, and the results were consistent with the classical ELISA method. The rapid response, sensitive and selective analysis, and reliable results of this sensing platform offer a promising opportunity for food mycotoxin control in point-of-care testing.
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1. Introduction

Mycotoxin contamination in food is of worldwide concern, and poses serious hazards to human health [1–4]. Fumonisins, an important molecule group of carcinogenic mycotoxins, mainly occur through fungal species such as Fusarium moniliforme and Fusarium proliferatum composed of various tricarballylic acid and polyhydric alcohol [5]. Of the major fumonisins, fumonisin B\(_1\) (FB\(_1\)) is the most toxic and present one, accounting for 70% of total fumonisin contamination [6–8]. Consequently, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has categorized FB\(_1\) as a 2B group carcinogen [9,10]. Accordingly, the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has regulated the maximum residue limit (MRL) for whole fumonisins (sum of FB\(_1\), FB\(_2\), and FB\(_3\)) as 2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in degemmed dry-milled corn products [11], and the MRL value for combined FB\(_1\) and FB\(_2\) set by European Union was restricted to 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in maize [12]. Considering the low MRL and the enhancement of toxic damage, rapid, accurate, sensitive, and selective analytical techniques for FB\(_1\) detection are urgently required to ensure food safety.

For the monitoring of trace levels of FB\(_1\), analytical approaches are mainly based on high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [13,14], high-performance liquid...
chromatography combined with mass spectrometry (HPLC–MS) [15–17], and classic immunoassays [18–21]. However, these techniques commonly suffer from some limitations such as high cost, highly trained personnel, low stability, as well as complicated protocols. To overcome the barriers, great endeavors have been performed to develop a fluorescent methodology for food safety. Moreover, aptamers, owing to their distinguishing characteristics such as ease of modification and high specificity, etc., have been confirmed to be similar or even superior to antibodies [22–24]. Aptamer-based fluorescent sensing has been established towards FB1 [25,26]. Nevertheless, these sensing strategies commonly require the conjugation of the aptamer with probes, as well as complicated protocols. Accordingly, the development of point-of-care (POC) sensing platforms for rapid and sensitive FB1 analysis remains challenging.

Graphene oxide (GO) has been a rising star nanomaterial for sensing applications in recent years [27–29]. Excitingly, single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) aptamers can be directly modified with fluorophores to produce a fluorescent signal, which would be quenched by GO via π–π stacking interactions between fluorophores and GO [28,30,31]. In addition to the fluorescence quenching performance, GO can protect ssDNA aptamers from nuclease digestion because of the hydrophobic stacking reactions between nucleobases and GO [32–34]. As a consequence, fluorescent aptasensing coupled with GO nanomaterials has been developed to monitor AFM1 and AFB1 in our previous research and another recent attempt, respectively [35,36]. To the best of our knowledge, an aptamer-based sensor combining fluorescence-quenching and aptamer protection of GO with nuclease amplification for detection of FB1 has not yet been found.

Inspired by this knowledge, a novel nuclease triggered “signal-on” and amplified fluorescent sensing of FB1 was fabricated using GO nanomaterial and a specific aptamer. The embedding of GO was realized for fluorescence quenching and the protectant of aptamers from nuclease cleavage. In the absence of FB1, the introduction of GO can avoid the digestion of aptamers by nuclease, and the “signal-off” mechanism was induced. When target FB1 was present, the aptamer could capture the target to form a special three-dimensional configuration, resulting in the separation of the aptamer from the GO surface. Then, the aptamer was digested by nuclease and released FB1, and target cycling signal amplification was eventually achieved. Consequently, the quantitative detection of FB1 levels was established via monitoring the changes in fluorescent signals within 5 min.

2. Results and Discussion
2.1. Sensing Strategy for FB1 Detection

As mentioned in Section 1, graphene oxide binds to ssDNA such as aptamers with high efficiency as a result of π–π stacking and hydrophobic interaction. As a consequence, the fluorescence signal of the fluorophore-modified aptamer was dramatically reduced owing to GO’s powerful fluorescence quenching property. GO can be thus integrated in aptasensing construction on food hazards detection. Moreover, to enhance the signal response, the nuclease (DNase I) was embedded to digest the aptamer into DNA fragments, leading to the release of FB1. A schematic representation of this aptasensor for amplified FB1 detection was depicted in Figure 1. In this novel design, the specific aptamer was modified with fluorophore carboxy-X-rhodamine (ROX). Upon the addition of aptamer into GO solution, the fluorescence signal was significantly decreased, which revealed great adsorption and fluorescence quenching of GO toward the aptamer. When FB1 was present, the aptamer preferred to bind the target, generating a special three-dimensional configuration. Subsequently, the aptamer was separated and digested by the nuclease. The target was then released from the compound and available for recognition by another sequence. Hence, a cycling signal amplification was realized for the highly sensitive detection of FB1.
sequence. Hence, a cycling signal amplification was realized for the highly sensitive detection of FB1.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the GO-assisted fluorescent aptasensor platform for detection of fumonisin B1 via the utilization of nuclease triggered signal-on performance and the specific aptamer.

2.2. Signal Enhancement Sensing of FB1 with Nuclease

As shown in Figure 2, when GO was present at 20 μg mL\(^{-1}\), the fluorescent intensity was dramatically reduced. Once the FB1 level reached 10 ng mL\(^{-1}\), the fluorescent signal was increased, which demonstrated the generation of an aptamer/FB1 compound, and the separation of the aptamer. In addition, the molecule recognition of the aptamer was not affected by fluorophore modification. Upon the simultaneous addition of FB1 and nuclease, a significant enhancement of the fluorescent signal by 110% over the background was measured, indicating that the embedding of nuclease led to the enhancement of the fluorescent signal, together with the improvement in the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio and an increase in the amplified detection of FB1.

Figure 2. Fluorescence emission spectra of this sensing method in different conditions including the absence (0) of FB1, presence of 10 ng mL\(^{-1}\) FB1, and 10 ng mL\(^{-1}\) FB1 and 100 U DNase I. Conditions: 100 nM FB1 aptamer, 20 μg mL\(^{-1}\) GO in Tris buffer (10 mM Tris, 120 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 20 mM CaCl\(_2\), pH 7.0). Excitation wavelength (\(\lambda_{\text{ex}}\)) is set at 585 nm.
2.3. Detection Performance of the Aptsensor

The analytical performance of the proposed amplified aptasensing platform was evaluated by the analysis of the fluorescence signal response versus different levels of FB1. The detection conditions were 585 nm of the excitation wavelength and 605 nm of the emission wavelength. As illustrated in Figure 3, it can be seen that the fluorescent intensity was enhanced as the increase in target concentrations in the range of 0.5–20 ng mL\(^{-1}\). Moreover, a dynamic response was observed between the fluorescent signal and target levels. The linear equation was achieved as \( F = 31.65\, C + 126.05 \) with a high correlation of \( R^2 = 0.995 \), where \( F \) represents the fluorescence signal intensity and \( C \) represents concentrations of FB1. The limit of detection (LOD) was calculated to be 0.15 ng mL\(^{-1}\) (signal-to-noise = 3), demonstrating a wide linear response and compatible detection sensitivity toward FB1 in comparison with the protocols reported previously (Table 1). In particular, the proposed method exhibited relatively low LOD over the antibody-based immunoassays and other fluorescent aptasensors \([9,20,25,37–42]\). Additionally, it is well known that the production and preparation of antibodies has a high cost and a long period. Antibody-based immunoassays are pretty expensive. However, the synthesis and modification of aptamer (25–80 bases) can be completed by the biotech company with only a few dollars. Especially, the cost of the fluorescent aptasensor in another attempt is also more expensive than that of this work since noble metal platinum nanoparticles (Pt NPs) are required in their design \([25]\). Therefore, the proposed method is cheaper than the existing ones. More excitingly, only 5 min is required in the analytic process, demonstrating that the promising point-of-care testing of mycotoxins is superior to other analytic techniques.
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**Figure 3.** (a) Fluorescence emission spectra of the aptasensor in the addition of FB1 at various concentrations. (b) Linear relationship between the fluorescence intensity and FB1 concentrations in the range of 0.5 to 20 ng mL\(^{-1}\).

| Table 1. Comparison of the analytical performance of currently available methods for the detection of FB1. |
| --- |
| Method | Detection Time (min) | Linear Range (ng mL\(^{-1}\)) | LOD (ng mL\(^{-1}\)) | Reference |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Chemiluminescence ELISA | 60 | 0.93–7.73 | 0.12 | [37] |
| Electrochemical | 180 | 0.01–1000 | 0.002 | [20] |
| Amperometric | 60 | 0.73–11.2 | 0.33 | [38] |
| ELISA | –60 | 0.27–5.92 | 0.15 | [39] |
| Chemiluminescence | 60 | 0.01–0.1 | 0.0017 | [40] |
| Chemiluminescence | 150 | 0.05–25 | 0.027 | [41] |
| Colorimetric immunoassay | 120 | 3.125–25 | 12.5 | [9] |
| Antibody-based HRP sensor | 22 | 0.31–162.42 | 0.21 | [42] |
| Fluorescent aptasensor | 15 | 1–10,000 | 0.4 | [25] |
| Fluorescent aptasensor | 5 | 0.5–20 | 0.15 | Current work |
2.4. Selectivity Analysis of the Aptsensor

Selectivity validation plays a very important role in the preciseness assessment of the developed aptsensor. To assess the selectivity of the developed aptsensor for FB1 determination, other mycotoxins such as AFB1, AFM1, and OTA were measured in this sensing protocol with the same level (5 ng mL⁻¹) as that of FB1. In addition, the detection procedures were also under identical experimental conditions as FB1 detection. As seen in Figure 4, the proposed aptsensor displayed a strong fluorescent signal to monitor FB1. When other mycotoxins were added, the fluorescent signal was significantly reduced, and a similar result was obtained in the control group. These results confirm the specificity of the aptamer for the recognition of FB1. Furthermore, the results obtained in this section reveal that this sensing platform possesses satisfactory specificity for FB1 analysis.
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**Figure 4.** Fluorescence signal response in the absence (control) and presence of mycotoxins at a concentration of 5 ng mL⁻¹: FB1, AFM1, AFB1, and OTA. The measurement conditions were as follows: Excitation wavelength (λex) was set at 585 nm, 100 nM FB1 aptamer, 20 μg mL⁻¹ GO, 100 U DNase I. Each data point was the mean of three replicates.

2.5. Method Validation of This Method

The applicability of the sensing strategy was investigated for the detection of FB1 in wheat flour samples. The results in Table 2 showed that the recovery ratios in the range of 99% to 111% were monitored in the spiked wheat flour samples, which were satisfactory for mycotoxin monitoring by using a rapid screening method. Meanwhile, the detection results measured by the classic ELISA method ranged from 100% to 114%, demonstrating the high agreement with the current aptsensing strategy for detecting similar samples. It was further revealed that this method was accurate and reliable for FB1 analysis in real samples, and moreover, provided a promising potential in hazards detection to ensure food safety.
Table 2. Detection of FB1 in the wheat flour samples.

| Sample     | Spiked Concentration (ng mL⁻¹) | Current Aptsensor Method | Classic ELISA Method |
|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
|            |                               | Detected Concentrations  | Recovery (%)        | Detected Concentrations | Recovery (%) |
|            |                               | Mean ± SD b (ng mL⁻¹)    | (%)                 | Mean ± SD b (ng mL⁻¹)   | (%)          |
| Wheat flour| 0                             | ND c                     | -                   | ND c                     | -            |
|           | 1.5                           | 1.67 ± 0.02              | 111                 | 1.71 ± 0.08              | 114          |
|           | 8                             | 7.93 ± 0.56              | 99                  | 8.02 ± 0.52              | 100          |
|           | 15                            | 15.47 ± 0.68             | 103                 | 16.22 ± 0.84             | 108          |

* a The mean of three measurements; b SD means standard deviation; c ND means not detected.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials and Reagents

Ochratoxin A (OTA), Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1), aflatoxin M1 (AFM1), and FB1 standard substances were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MI, USA). In addition, graphene oxide and DNase I (RNase-free) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MI, USA). Chemicals materials, namely, sodium chloride (NaCl), potassium chloride (KCl), anhydrous calcium chloride (CaCl₂), and 2-Amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol (Tris) were from Shanghai Chemical Reagent Company (Shanghai, China). All chemicals used in this experiment at least were analytical grade and used as received with no further purification. Double-distilled water was used throughout the study. The specific aptamer oligonucleotides synthesized by Sangon Biotech., Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) were purified through the HPLC system and utilized in the experiment. The aptamer stock solutions were obtained using Tris buffer (10 mM Tris, 120 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 20 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.0). As shown in Figure 5, the ssDNA aptamer oligonucleotides of the carboxy-X-rhodamine (ROX)-modified FB1 aptamer and the specific interactions with the target are illustrated [43].

3.2. Fluorescent Response for Aptsensing of FB1

To achieve amplified monitoring of FB1 [35,36], the fluorophore-modified aptamer was dissolved and diluted to 100 nM with Tris buffer. Then, graphene oxide at a concentration of 20 µg mL⁻¹ was incubated with the aptamer solution at room temperature for 15 min to produce an aptamer/GO compound (Figure 5), together with a remarkably reduced fluorescent signal. Subsequently, various levels of target FB1 and DNase I (100 U) were added to the mixture simultaneously. Next, the complex was incubated for signal enhancement at room temperature for 1 h. Ultimately, the Shimadzu RF-5301 Luminescence Spectrophotometer (Tokyo, Japan) was used to record the fluorescent intensity. The
experiment conditions were under the excitation wavelength of 585 nm, and the emission spectra were measured in the wavelength range of 590–690 nm. Slit widths for both the excitation and emission were set at 10 nm.

3.3. Specificity Analysis

To investigate the performance of this aptasensing method for the highly selective recognition of FB$_1$ over other substances, mycotoxin standard substances including AFB$_1$, AFM$_1$, and OTA were respectively measured at the same concentration of 5 ng mL$^{-1}$. The analytical protocol was identical to that of FB$_1$ determination.

3.4. Practicability Analysis of This Aptasensing Platform

The proposed aptasensing method was realized for quantitative detection of FB$_1$ in wheat flour samples for practicability analysis. The prepared samples were spiked with 2 mL of FB$_1$ at concentrations of 0, 1.5, 8, and 15 ng mL$^{-1}$, respectively, and were operated in triplicate, achieving final levels of 0, 1.5, 8, and 15 µg kg$^{-1}$. Each sample was accurately weighed (2.00 ± 0.05 g), and extraction of the samples was performed with 2 mL of extraction solution (50% methanol in water). Subsequently, the obtained mixtures were filtrated via a syringe filter (0.45 µm) three times. Eventually, the filtrates were collected and monitored by the amplified aptasensing experiments and the ELISA method.

3.5. Statistical Analysis of the Experiment Results

Standard deviations (SDs) and means of fluorescent intensities were achieved in triplicate. The calibration curve standards and samples for detection of FB$_1$ were performed from three replicates. Fluorescence emission spectra curves toward FB$_1$ determination were plotted by using Origin 8.0 software (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA). Linear regression analysis was achieved with Microsoft Excel between fluorescent signals and concentrations of FB$_1$.

4. Conclusions

In this work, a novel, sensitive, and accurate aptasensor for amplified and specific detection of FB$_1$ was firstly introduced, which relies on the GO and DNase I-induced target cycling and signal enhancement strategies. A wide dynamic range from 0.5 to 20 ng mL$^{-1}$ was achieved between the fluorescence intensity and concentrations of FB$_1$, its detection limit was determined to be 0.15 ng mL$^{-1}$, which is sensitive and compatible with the current methods. In addition, the specific tests and practical analysis performance were also investigated by detecting different mycotoxins and real wheat flour samples. Compared to the previous methods reported in the literature, this novel fluorescent sensing platform exhibited advantages such as ease of operation, excellent sensitivity, and selectivity, as well as low cost (several hundred dollars). Moreover, this proposed approach allowed point-of-care testing since it only took 5 min to complete the analysis detection; in particular, it is well-known that hand-held fluorometers, cover the emission spectra in the range 590–690 nm, and have been widely developed in fluorescent sensing platform. Therefore, the fabricated aptasensor coupled with hand-held fluorometers opens up a new horizon for on-site detection of FB$_1$. Given the promising potential of this developed fluorescent aptasensor, future studies are expected to improve the detection efficiency and applicability for food safety.
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