Disease-associated Mutations at Copper Ligand Histidine Residues of Superoxide Dismutase 1 Diminish the Binding of Copper and Compromise Dimer Stability

A subset of superoxide dismutase 1 (Cu/Zn-SOD1) mutants that cause familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (FALS) have heightened reactivity with ONOO$^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ in vitro. This reactivity requires a copper ion bound in the active site and is a suggested mechanism of motor neuron injury. However, we have found that transgenic mice that express SOD1-H46R/H48Q/H63G/H120G, also diminish the binding of radioactive copper. Further, using native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and a yeast two-hybrid assay, the binding of copper was found to be

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), which is characterized by progressive muscle weakness and motor neuron loss, presents as both sporadic and familial (FALS) illness. A subset of FALS cases is caused by missense mutations in the superoxide scavenging enzyme, Cu/Zn-superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) (1–2). To date, over 100 different point mutations, and >5 early termination mutations have been linked to FALS (www.alsod.org) (for reviews see Refs. 2–4). Early studies of FALS-SOD1 enzymes demonstrated that some mutants retain high levels of activity and relatively long half lives (5). Moreover, mutant proteins that are inactive or short-lived do not exhibit evidence of dominant negative action with regard to the superoxide-scavenging activity of enzyme derived from the normal allele (6). In transgenic mice, the hyperexpression of the G93A and G37R variants of FALS-SOD1 increases superoxide scavenging activity, kills motor neurons, and causes paralysis (7,8). SOD1 knock-out mice do not develop ALS-like phenotypes but do show sensory and motor neuropathy (9). Together, these studies establish that SOD1 mutations cause ALS through a gained toxic property. Although expressed ubiquitously (8,10), mutant SOD1 selectively damages motor neurons, by mechanisms yet to be fully understood.

Each subunit of the mature homodimeric SOD1 enzyme binds one atom of copper and one atom of zinc and contains a single oxidized disulfide bond between Cys-57 and Cys-146 (11–13). Because copper can participate in many types of potentially deleterious reactions, the role of the copper cofactor of SOD1 in the toxicity associated with mutant protein has been intensely studied (for review see Refs. 4 and 14). To dissect the role of copper in the toxicity of mutant SOD1, we have examined the impact of mutations of the four histidine residues that are the primary copper ligands of the enzyme. Two of the four histidine residues that coordinate copper have been documented as targets of natural FALS mutations; His-46 to Arg and His-48 to Gln (www.alsod.org). Both of these mutants are almost completely devoid of superoxide-scavenging activity (15). Combining these two mutations into one protein pro-
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duces a molecule that also lacks demonstrable activity but retains high toxicity to motor neurons (16). Additional substitutions at the two other copper ligands (His-63 to Gly and His-120 to Gly), eliminate the copper-binding ligands, generating a protein that remains capable of inducing motor neuron disease in transgenic mice (17). Studies of SOD1-H46R suggest that this single mutation interferes with copper binding (18, 19), whereas the H48Q mutant can be made to bind copper in the correct site, although with an altered coordination geometry (20). The copper-binding abilities of H46R/H48Q or SOD1-Quad are also predicted to be severely compromised, but this has not yet been demonstrated experimentally.

To fill this gap in knowledge, we here study copper binding of four SOD1 variants, H46R, H48Q, H46R/H48Q, and H46R/H48Q/H63G/H120G (Quad), using a direct radioactive copper incorporation assay. In transfected cell models, we show that none of these variants possess high affinity for copper. We also use single crystal x-ray diffraction to examine directly the copper-binding site of SOD1-H46R/H48Q protein expressed in yeast and isolated by standard non-denaturing biochemical methods. This analysis reveals that the H46R/H48Q mutant protein does not bind copper in either of the metal-binding sites of the protein. We also noted that mutation of copper ligands correlated with reduced ability to form stable dimers, using native gel electrophoresis and a yeast two-hybrid assay. We interpret these findings as evidence that the loss of copper-binding His ligands in SOD1 reduces the stable binding of copper, and that the lack of such binding may underlie the inability of these mutants to mature into stable dimeric enzymes. We note that nearly all of SOD1-H46R/H48Q or SOD1-Quad proteins found in spinal cord tissues display an electrophoretic migration pattern of monomeric enzyme, suggesting that the poor incorporation of copper in these mutants also occurs in spinal cord tissues.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Expression Plasmids—cDNA of human SOD1 harboring the following mutations, A4V, G37R, G85R, G93A, I113T, H46R, H48Q, H46R/H48Q, and Quad were inserted into the pEF.Bos vector. Each of these plasmids has been described and used in prior studies (5, 6, 15–17).

Copper Incorporation Studies—The general methods used in metabolic radiolabeling with 64Cu have been described previously (21). Briefly, 64CuCl2 was obtained from Michael Welch at Washington University School of Medicine with a specific activity of 50–200 mCi/µg. CHO cells were transfected 48 h prior to copper metabolic labeling with 5 µg of DNA of the constructs noted using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Cells were labeled in Opti-Mem (Invitrogen) containing 50 µCi/ml 64Cu for 3 h at 37 °C. Cells were washed, harvested, and lysed in Nonidet P-40 lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, 250 mM NaCl, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, 5 mM EDTA, pH 7.6) containing protease inhibitors. The lysate, 100 µg, was mixed with Laemmli sample buffer (final SDS concentration 1%) (22) and electrophoresed on a non-reducing 10% polyacrylamide gel containing 0.1% SDS. Samples were not heated prior to electrophoresis. The gel was exposed to PhosphorImager plates (Amersham Biosciences) overnight; plates were analyzed in the instrument as described by the manufacturer. For SOD1 immunoblot, the gel from the copper labeling study was transferred at 400 mA for 1 h then blocked in 5% nonfat milk in phosphate-buffered saline with Tween-20 (standard protocol, Pierce Biotechnology). Primary α-SOD1 antibody (SOD1 100, Stressgen Bioreagents Corp.-Nventa Biopharmaceuticals Corp., San Diego, CA) at 1:5,000 was incubated overnight at 4 °C. The blot was washed in phosphate-buffered saline with Tween-20 followed by secondary antibody (goat α-rabbit-horseradish peroxidase, Pierce) at 1:10,000 and washed prior to development with the Pico Kit (Pierce).

Yeast Two-hybrid Assessment of SOD1 Dimer Formation—To assess how FALS mutations effect homodimeric interactions, we utilized a yeast two-hybrid assay to measure interactions between mutant and wild-type subunits. The variants tested included the following: the G37R, G93A, and I113T mutations, which have been previously established to form stable dimers (5, 6, 23); the H46R, H48Q, H46R/H48Q double mutant, and the Quad variants, which affect residues critical for the coordinated binding of copper (13); and the A4V mutant, which has been suggested to be prone to monomerization (24). The A4V, G37R, G85R, G93A, H46R/H48Q, and Quad variants have all been expressed in transgenic mice to produce mouse models of FALS (7, 8, 16, 25–27).

The procedure for developing the assay involved the following, using the DupLEX-A system (OriGene Technologies, Rockville, MD). First, each of the 10 different mutants, and the wild-type cDNAs, were fused to the LexA DNA-binding domain of the bait–fusion protein (plasmid pEG202 between EcoR1 and BamH1 sites). Wild-type SOD1 cDNA was cloned into the target plasmid pJG4–5 between EcoR1 and Xhol sites located at 3′ of the activation domain. Bait plasmids were transformed into the EGY48 strain of yeasts, and the target plasmid was transformed into the mating strain RFY206. Before mating, yeasts harboring the bait constructs were screened for sufficient expression of the bait–fusion protein to repress transcription of a reporter gene in the plasmid pJK101 encoding β-galactosidase under the transcriptional control of a constitutive promoter interrupted by the LexA operator. Yeast cells were cultured on media containing 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal, Sigma-Aldrich). For each bait construct, we identified three or four independent yeast clones that were white; indicating complete repression of β-galactosidase expression. Low expression of β-galactosidase in each colony was verified by liquid assay. This approach established that each clone expressed the bait–fusion protein at levels sufficient to saturate the DNA-binding site recognized by the LexA domain of the fusion protein.

Each of the three clones harboring the bait–fusion and reporter constructs were then made ready for mating to the RGY206 yeast strain (harboring the SOD1-wt target fusion proteins) by culturing the yeast on media containing 5-fluoroorotic acid (Sigma-Aldrich). The pJK101 repressor-reporter plasmids utilize URA-3 as the selectable marker gene, allowing the use of media containing 5-fluoroorotic acid to force the segregation of the bait–fusion constructs and the reporter construct (URA-3 is an enzyme in the uracil biosynthetic assay, which acts upon 5-fluoroorotic acid to produce a toxin). Only cells that have lost
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Previous studies had established that natural pathogenic mutations at histidines 46 (H46R) and 48 (H48Q) drastically reduce enzyme activity (15). Not surprisingly, combining the H46R/H48Q mutations into one variant or adding experimental mutations H63G/H120G did not restore activity (16, 17). However, whether any of these mutants stably bind copper has not been directly assayed.

In previous work, we demonstrated that SOD1-H46R/H48Q is relatively stable, inactive, and capable of inducing motor neuron disease in transgenic mice (16). To examine the copper-binding site of this mutant, purified protein was crystallized and analyzed as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Analysis of the copper- and zinc-binding sites of the double mutant superimposed on SIGMAA electron density contoured at 1.5 σ demonstrated that the mutations preclude copper ion binding at the copper site without spurious binding in the zinc site (Fig. 1). This observation agrees with data obtained from inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry on the protein sample prior to crystallization, which returned values of 0.05 equivalents of copper and 1.5 equivalents of zinc, per dimer.

To assess copper binding by these variants, and others, in mammalian cells, we used a cell transfection model to express high levels of mutant SOD1 in CHO cells. 48 h after transfection, cells were incubated with $^{64}$Cu, then lysed in buffers with non-ionic detergent and chromatographed on non-denaturing, non-denaturing 10% polyacrylamide gels, containing 0.1% SDS and standard Laemmli buffers (22), before exposure to PhosphorImager plates (21). Cells transfected with human SOD1-wt expression plasmids contained abundant levels of radiolabeled homodimer enzyme, whereas in cells transfected with the H46R, H48Q, H46R/H48Q, or Quad variants, the only labeled protein evident was the endogenous CHO protein (Fig. 2A). Because these gels allow for assay of crude cell lysates and because there are currently no radioactively labeled forms of zinc that are available to us, whether any of the proteins visual-
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FIGURE 2. FALS mutations at copper-binding histidine residues of SOD1 dramatically reduce affinity for copper. A, CHO cells were transfected to express human SOD variants before being metabolically labeled with 50 μCi/ml of 64Cu for 3 h. 100 μg of each cell lysate was separated on a non-reducing 10% polyacrylamide gel containing 0.1% SDS. The 64Cu autoradiogram shows Cu-labeled endogenous hamster SOD1 dimer (solid arrow) in all samples, and Cu-labeled human SOD1 dimer (solid arrowhead) only in the WT sample. B, a duplicate of the gel used for 64Cu autoradiogram was analyzed by SOD1 immunoblot, which reveals endogenous hamster SOD1 monomer and human SOD1 monomers that are not labeled by 64Cu. Note: apo refers to the absence or presence of copper.

To confirm expression of the mutant proteins and to determine the relative position of migration in these gels, after exposure to the PhosphorImager plates, the gels were electrotransferred to nitrocellulose for immunoblotting as described under "Experimental Procedures." The only SOD1 found in the lysates of cells transfected with the histidine mutants displayed an electrophoretic migration consistent with the previously reported electrophoretic migration of monomeric SOD1 (21, 35), which migrates much faster than the holo, dimeric enzyme (also see supplemental Fig. S2). Note the lack of 64Cu labeling (in Fig. 2A) at positions on the gel corresponding to the position of the four histidine variants (Fig. 2B).

To further address the effect of FALS mutations on dimer interactions, we developed a yeast two-hybrid assay to measure interactions between mutant and wild-type subunits. The variants tested included the four histidine variants described above as well as the A4V mutant, which has been reported to be prone to monomerization (24), and the G37R, G85R, G93A, and I113T mutants, which we have previously established to form stable active dimers (5, 6, 23, 36). The assay involved two steps described in detail under "Experimental Procedures." In the first step, yeast cells were transfected with plasmids that harbor the bait fusion constructs (each mutant cDNA fused to a LexA DNA-binding domain) and a reporter plasmid to assess the stable expression of the bait-fusion protein. Three independent yeast clones showing complete repression of reporter transcription were isolated for each bait-fusion construct. This assay established that the expression of the various bait proteins was at a level sufficient to saturate binding of the promoter elements of reporter constructs. Each of these clones was then cultured in selection media to screen for segregation of the bait-fusion constructs and the repression-reporter construct. Three independent clones for each were isolated and cultured in media to confirm loss of the reporter construct. In the second step, these strains were mated to yeast harboring the target-fusion construct (SOD1-wt/transcriptional activator domain) and a promoterless β-galactosidase reporter plasmid before selection solely on the basis of selectable-marker genes within the plasmids. Assays for β-galactosidase activity in yeast lysates revealed significant variation in the strength of bait-target fusion interaction to promote β-galactosidase production (Fig. 3).

The wt, G37R, G85R, G93A, and I113T bait-fusion constructs showed strong activation of β-galactosidase synthesis. Whereas, the A4V construct, and all variants harboring mutations at copper-binding histidines, showed very poor activation of β-galactosidase production (Fig. 3).

Given the apparent correlation between mutation of copper ligand residues and loss of stable homodimer interactions, we prepared extracts of spinal cords from transgenic mice that express wt, G37R, G85R, G93A, H46R/H48Q, and Quad variants of human SOD1. These extracts were then electrophore-
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Analysis of extracts from the mice expressing the G85R variant reveal data consistent with the yeast two-hybrid assay in that G85R SOD1 is capable of forming a dimeric protein (Fig. 4C). G85R is reported to retain partial activity in a solution assay, but its activity is undetectable in the gel assay (15). It is possible that copper was initially loaded in the dimeric enzyme and then lost during some part of the processing and gel electrophoresis. Alternatively, the G85R variant may have copper bound, stabilizing the homodimer, but for some other reason is less able to catalyze superoxide disproportionation in the gel assay.

DISCUSSION

In previous work, we established that transgenic mice expressing SOD1-H46R/H48Q develop motor neuron disease typical of FALS (16, 17). Although this mutant lacks detectable superoxide disproportionation activity (16), whether this mutant stably binds copper was not known. Here, we provide three lines of evidence that indicate that this protein does not stably bind copper. First, the crystal structure of SOD1-H46R/H48Q purified from yeast indicates an absence of copper ions in the copper or zinc sites and substantial rearrangement of the copper-binding pocket. Expression of SOD1-wt in the same system yields correctly metallated, active, homodimeric enzyme (28). Second, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry analysis of the purified protein isolated from yeast indicates <0.05 equivalent of copper per unit of purified protein (prior to crystallization). Third, cells transfected with expression plasmids for SOD1-H46R/H48Q demonstrate little or no 64Cu associated with this protein as assayed by autoradiography of non-reducing, non-denaturing gels. Together, these data establish that SOD1-H46R/H48Q does not possess a high affinity for copper.

Moreover, we extend our analyses to other SOD1 variants harboring mutations at Cu-ligand histidine residues. We find that single mutations at H46R or H48Q, when expressed in CHO cells, also diminish the binding of radioactive copper. Not surprisingly, the experimental mutant SOD1-Quad also lacks evidence of copper binding. We also find an additional property shared by SOD1 variants with mutations at His Cu-ligands. In native gels, SOD1 variants expressed in CHO cells that encoded mutations at histidine ligands showed electrophoretic mobilities similar to that of the monomeric protein. In addition, the majority of mutant SOD1 in spinal cord extracts from mice expressing both the H46R/H48Q and Quad mutants migrates at a position resembling reduced and de-metallated hSOD1-WT (open arrow). The less abundant mutant G85R appears to migrate at a position expected for dimeric enzyme.

FIGURE 4. The electrophoretic migration of mutant SOD1 (H46R/H48Q and Quad-His) isolated from spinal cord resembles that of metal-deficient/reduced wild-type SOD1. Spinal cords from pre-symptomatic transgenic animals (2–3 months of age) were removed and homogenized in PBS by probe sonication for 30 s at 50% output (70 watts, Tekmar, Cincinnati, OH) and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 5 min in a Beckman Airfuge (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Fullerton, CA). A, 100 µg of supernatant protein was separated by native gel electrophoresis and assayed for superoxide dismutase activity by gel assay as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Amounts (0.125–2.0 µg) of purified human SOD1 proteins were used as standards. Only dimeric holoenzymes show activity. In contrast to the WT, G37R, and G93A human SOD1 that show abundant active human holoenzymes (hDimer, solid arrow), H46R/H48Q, Quad, and G85R proteins show neither detectable activity nor affect the migration of mouse SOD1 homodimer (mDimer, open arrow), confirming their inability to form heterodimers (m/hDimer, solid arrowhead). NTg = non-transgenic sample. B, 0.5 µg of supernatant protein from mouse spinal cords was assayed for SOD1 protein levels by the standard SDS-PAGE using an antiserum against a conserved region in human SOD1 (hSOD1, solid arrow) and mouse SOD1 protein (mSOD1, open arrow). Note: G85R human protein runs slightly above the mouse SOD1. C, supernatant protein (5 µg) from mouse spinal cords was separated by native gel electrophoresis and immunoblotted using an antiserum that recognizes the human SOD1 but not the mouse protein. The homodimers (solid arrow) formed by WT, G37R, or G93A are consistent with those in A. The majorities of H46R/H48Q and Quad mutants migrate at positions similar to reduced and de-metallated hSOD1-WT (open arrow). The less abundant mutant G85R appears to migrate at a position expected for dimeric enzyme.

and the migration pattern of these proteins (Fig. 4C) resembles that of wild-type enzyme reduced in the presence of chelating agents (see supplemental Fig. S2). A small amount of these mutants co-migrated with SOD1-wt and SOD1-G93A homodimers and may therefore be in a homodimeric state. Clearly, however, the majority migrates much more rapidly, similar to metal-deficient/reduced wild-type protein.

sed on native gels for SOD1 activity assay (5, 37) or were trans-ferred to nitrocellulose and assayed for superoxide dismutase activity by probe sonication for 30 s at 50% output (70 watts, Tekmar, Cincinnati, OH) and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 5 min in a Beckman Airfuge (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Fullerton, CA). A, 100 µg of supernatant protein was separated by native gel electrophoresis and assayed for superoxide dismutase activity by gel assay as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Amounts (0.125–2.0 µg) of purified human SOD1 proteins were used as standards. Only dimeric holoenzymes show activity. In contrast to the WT, G37R, and G93A human SOD1 that show abundant active human holoenzymes (hDimer, solid arrow), H46R/H48Q, Quad, and G85R proteins show neither detectable activity nor affect the migration of mouse SOD1 homodimer (mDimer, open arrow), confirming their inability to form heterodimers (m/hDimer, solid arrowhead). NTg = non-transgenic sample. B, 0.5 µg of supernatant protein from mouse spinal cords was assayed for SOD1 protein levels by the standard SDS-PAGE using an antiserum against a conserved region in human SOD1 (hSOD1, solid arrow) and mouse SOD1 protein (mSOD1, open arrow). Note: G85R human protein runs slightly above the mouse SOD1. C, supernatant protein (5 µg) from mouse spinal cords was separated by native gel electrophoresis and immunoblotted using an antiserum that recognizes the human SOD1 but not the mouse protein. The homodimers (solid arrow) formed by WT, G37R, or G93A are consistent with those in A. The majorities of H46R/H48Q and Quad mutants migrate at positions similar to reduced and de-metallated hSOD1-WT (open arrow). The less abundant mutant G85R appears to migrate at a position expected for dimeric enzyme.
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H48Q mutant is consistent with what is observed in two different crystal structures of singly substituted H46R SOD1 that reside in the protein data bank, which contains a combined total of twelve H46R SOD1 subunits (19, 20). In each case, the side chain of Arg-46 in these subunits donates a hydrogen bond to an acceptor across the active site channel. These hydrogen bond acceptors include the indole nitrogen of His-63, the carbonyl or side-chain oxygen of Thr-137 (as in Fig. 1), or a side-chain oxygen of Asp-124 (19, 20). Taken together, these data suggest that the H46R substitution alone markedly disrupts the binding of copper in the copper site.

In contrast, the singly substituted human H48Q SOD1 protein has been shown to bind copper ions at the copper site when expressed in the presence of high levels of copper (38) or when re-folded in vitro (20). Spectroscopic analysis of SOD1-H48Q, expressed in insect cells grown in media supplemented with copper and zinc sulfate (up to 300 μM), suggested that the copper is coordinated in a geometry that deviates from the distorted tetragonal arrangement found in wild-type toward one that is more regular (38). The coordination of copper ion bound to yeast H48Q SOD1 reconstituted with two equivalents of copper and zinc per dimer was observed directly in the x-ray crystal structure refined to high resolution (PDB code 1F1A). In this case, the copper coordination geometry was found to be square pyramidal, with a water molecule and the indole nitrogens of His-46, His-63, and His-120 in the square plane and an axial water molecule acting as a fifth ligand. Thus, all of the studies mentioned above provide evidence that H48Q SOD1 is capable of binding metal ions in the copper site in a non-native conformation.

Our cell culture labeling studies are generally in agreement with the structural data in that SOD1 proteins with the H46R mutation fail to show binding of radiolabeled copper. In contrast to the studies cited above that used purified protein, we find that the H48Q variant, when expressed in CHO cells, does not bind radiolabeled copper with significant affinity. The loading buffers and gels used in Cu-labeling experiments contained SDS at concentrations that do not affect the binding of copper to wild-type SOD1 (21). Although we cannot rule out the possibility that the SDS removed loosely bound copper from the H48Q mutant, the electrophoretic migration of SOD1-H48Q in these gels was identical to that of the other histidine mutants (see Fig. 2), which together are similar to monomeric wild-type protein (see below and supplemental Fig. S2).

In yeast and human SOD1, the oxidation of the intrasubunit disulfide bond between Cys-57 and Cys-146 stabilizes the monomeric subunit structure, facilitating the dimerization of enzyme. This oxidation of the disulfide bond appears to be dependent upon the loading of copper (39, 40). When analyzing purified wild-type human enzyme that has an oxidized disulfide and zinc bound in the zinc site, the removal of copper alone is not sufficient to dissociate homodimeric enzyme (28). To produce monomers, removal of both the metal ions and the reductant of the normal intramolecular disulfide bond are required (28). Therefore, for wild-type SOD1, monomerization is associated with both loss of metal and reduction of the disulfide. However, for the mutants we study here, we do not know whether monomerization also requires loss of the disulfide bond, although it seems likely. One simple interpretation of the data would be that the His mutants monomerize, because they bind copper poorly. This interferes with the maturation of the protein to generate structures that allow for normal dimeric interactions (39).

Assays of SOD1 Dimerization—Native gel electrophoresis has routinely been used to distinguish dimeric and monomeric species of SOD1, with monomeric enzyme running significantly faster than dimeric enzyme (21, 35). A potential caveat in using native gels is that some FALS mutations can affect the electrophoretic migration of dimeric SOD1 (5). For example, SOD1-G37R dimers migrate more slowly than SOD1-wt (see Figs. 4 and 5), whereas SOD1-G41D migrates more rapidly (5). In the analysis of protein expressed in CHO cells (see Fig. 2), the sample and gel buffers contained SDS, which masks the small charge effects of amino acid substitutions on gel migration (21). In the study of proteins extracted from mouse tissues, native gels lacking SDS were used, where charge can have a greater effect on electrophoretic migration. However, the most robust effect would occur by the substitution of His-46 for Arg (pl of Arg is 11.15 versus 7.41 for His), which should slow, rather than speed migration as it occurs for the G37R substitution (5). Moreover, other studies of SOD1-H46R purified from Sf9 insect cells and analyzed by native gel (no SDS) also reported migration consistent with monomeric protein (38). Overall, we believe that the histidine mutants are less able to form stable dimers.

Whether the monomeric forms of these mutants bind zinc is unknown. The crystals of SOD1-H46R/H48Q contained forms of the protein that resembled normal dimers and contained zinc bound correctly in the zinc site (see Fig. 1 and supplemental Fig. S1). However, the role of zinc binding in the maturation of the protein in cell cytosol is uncertain and requires further study.

The yeast two-hybrid assay we developed to assess dimer interactions provides additional experimental validation of the role Cu-ligand residues have in dimer formation. As described in under both “Experimental Procedures” and “Results,” we demonstrated that the bait fusion proteins of each mutant were expressed to sufficient levels to saturate the DNA-binding domains of reporter constructs. Thus, the lack of production of β-galactosidase is indicative of poor interaction with the target SOD1-wt fusion protein. The bait fusions of SOD1-wt (positive control) and three of the FALS mutant enzymes behaved as predicted by other studies. Studies by us (5) and others (7, 23, 36) have collectively established that the G85R, G93A, and I113T mutants can form stable dimers. We also note that the electrophoretic migration pattern of G85R protein extracted from mouse tissues was consistent with dimeric enzyme (see Fig. 4C). The A4V variant did not interact with wild-type subunits efficiently in the two-hybrid assay; data consistent with previous reports that the A4V variant monomerizes at low concentrations (24). Moreover, other studies have demonstrated that if A4V fails to bind copper and oxidize the intramolecular disulfide, then the protein is essentially an unfolded monomer (41). Therefore, the data on SOD1-wt, SOD1-A4V, SOD1-G85R, SOD1-G93A, and SOD1-I113T in the yeast two-hybrid assay are consistent with other biochemical data on these mutants. The histidine mutants uniformly show very poor
interactions in the two-hybrid assay, data that are corroborated by the electrophoretic migration of these mutants in native gels. Notably, in the high protein concentrations used in crystallization, some of the H46R/H48Q subunits formed dimers resembling the mature enzyme (PDB accession code 2NNX). However, at the concentrations present in cell cytosol or transgenic mouse tissues, our data indicate that the majority of the protein is monomeric. We interpret these data as an indication that the four variants we have analyzed here, harboring mutations at Cu-ligand His residues, fail to adopt a structure compatible with the formation of stable dimers.

In yeast, human SOD1 acquires copper via interactions with the yeast copper chaperone for SOD1 or from reduced glutathione (42), and we therefore expect that the fusion proteins used in the yeast two-hybrid experiments could acquire copper. Unfortunately, we found that the levels of SOD1-fusion protein expression in the yeast strains used in our assay were too low to determine whether any of the proteins bound copper (i.e., were active in assay gels, data now shown). We therefore cannot be certain that the binding of copper by the wt, G93A, G85R, or I113T bait-fusion proteins is responsible for the ability to for these bait-fusion proteins to interact with SOD1-wt. Because the Cu-ligand residues are not known to be directly involved in bonding at the dimer interface (13,43), we believe it likely that poor binding of copper by the fusion proteins is one factor in determining the quaternary structure and the ability to of subunits to dimerize.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we demonstrate that mutations at histidine residues critical for the coordinated binding of copper, particularly H46R, dramatically reduce the ability of these enzymes to stably bind copper, which affects subsequent post-translational folding to achieve dimeric structure. It is of interest that previous studies have associated the H46R mutation in humans with a very slowly progressing form of the disease (44,45), which could be construed as consistent with the idea that Cu-mediated toxicity plays a role in disease, because this mutant would bind copper poorly and thus be less toxic. However, in transgenic mouse models, the dose of protein required for Cu-deficient variants to induce disease is similar to that of variants that stably bind copper (16,17). To explain this observation, mutants that bind copper weakly would have to somehow possess toxicity equivalent to mutants that bind copper far better, and presumably are far more active in toxic Cu-mediated chemistry. The simplest interpretation in our view is that Cu-mediated chemistry, when possible to occur, plays a secondary role in disease pathogenesis with other mechanisms driving the basic disease process. Based on the observation that all mice expressing mutant forms of SOD1, including the mutants examined here and very unstable C-terminal truncation mutants, accumulate aggregated species of mutant protein as symptoms progress (17,46–48), we believe that aggregation of the mutant protein is likely to be one of the central mechanisms of toxicity.
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