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**Background.** Coelidiinae, a relatively large subfamily within the family Cicadellidae, includes 129 genera and ~1,300 species distributed worldwide. However, the mitogenomes of only two species (*Olidiana* sp. and *Taharana fasciana*) in the subfamily Coelidiinae have been assembled. Here, we report the first complete mitogenome assembly of the genus *Olidiana*. **Methods.** Specimens were collected from Wenzhou County (Gansu Province, China) and identified on the basis of their morphology. Mitogenomes were sequenced by next-generation sequencing, following which an NGS template was generated, and this was confirmed using polymerase chain reaction and Sanger sequencing. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses. **Results.** The mitogenome of *O. ritcheriina* was 15,166 bp long, with an A + T content of 78.0%. Compared with the mitogenome of other Cicadellidae sp., the gene order, gene content, gene size, base composition, and codon usage of protein-coding genes (PCGs) in *O. ritcheriina* were highly conserved. The standard start codon of all PCGs was ATN and stop codon was TAA or TAG; *COII*, *COIII*, and *ND4L* ended with a single T. All tRNA genes showed the typical cloverleaf secondary structure, except for *trnSer*, which did not have the dihydrouridine arm. Furthermore, the secondary structures of rRNAs (*rrnL* and *rrnS*) in *O. ritcheriina* were predicted. Overall, 5 domains and 42 helices were predicted for *rrnL* (domain III is absent in arthropods), and 3 structural domains and 27 helices were predicted for *rrnS*. Maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses indicated that *O. ritcheriina* and other Coelidiinae members were clustered into a clade, indicating the relationships among their subfamilies; the main topology was as follows: (Deltococephalinae + ((Coelidiinae + Iassinae) + (Typhlocybinae + Cicadellinae) + (Idiocerinae + (Treehopper + Megophthalminae)))). The phylogenetic relationships indicated that the molecular taxonomy of *O. ritcheriina* is consistent with the current morphological classification.
Complete mitogenome of *Olidiana ritcheriina* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and phylogeny of Cicadellidae

Xian-Yi Wang¹, Jia-Jia Wang¹, Zhi-Hua Fan², Ren-Huai Dai¹

¹Institute of Entomology, Guizhou University, The Provincial Key Laboratory for Agricultural Pest Management of Mountainous Region, Guiyang, Guizhou, P. R. China
²Jingtanggang Customs House, Tangshan, 063611, Hebei, P. R. China

Corresponding Author:
Ren-Huai Dai¹
Institute of Entomology, Guizhou University, The Provincial Key Laboratory for Agricultural Pest Management of Mountainous Region, Guiyang, Guizhou 550025, P. R. China

Email address: *dmolbio@126.com*

**Abstract**

**Background.** Coelidiinae, a relatively large subfamily within the family Cicadellidae, includes 129 genera and ~1,300 species distributed worldwide. However, the mitogenomes of only two species (*Olidiana* sp. and *Taharana fasciana*) in the subfamily Coelidiinae have been assembled. Here, we report the first complete mitogenome assembly of the genus *Olidiana.*

**Methods.** Specimens were collected from Wenxian County (Gansu Province, China) and identified on the basis of their morphology. Mitogenomes were sequenced by next-generation sequencing, following which an NGS template was generated, and this was confirmed using polymerase chain reaction and Sanger sequencing. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses.

**Results.** The mitogenome of *O. ritcheriina* was 15,166 bp long, with an A + T content of 78.0%. Compared with the mitogenome of other Cicadellidae sp., the gene order, gene content, gene size, base composition, and codon usage of protein-coding genes (PCGs) in *O. ritcheriina* were highly conserved. The standard start codon of all PCGs was ATN and stop codon was TAA or TAG; *COII, COIII,* and *ND4L* ended with a single T. All tRNA genes showed the typical cloverleaf secondary structure, except for *trnSer,* which did not have the dihydrouridine arm. Furthermore, the secondary structures of rRNAs (*rrnL* and *rrnS*) in *O. ritcheriina* were predicted. Overall, 5 domains and 42 helices were predicted for *rrnL* (domain III is absent in arthropods), and 3 structural domains and 27 helices were predicted for *rrnS.* Maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses indicated that *O. ritcheriina* and other Coelidiinae members were clustered into a clade, indicating the relationships among their subfamilies; the main topology was as follows: (Deltocephalinae + ((Coelidiinae + Iassinae) + ((Typhlocybinae + Cicadellinae) +
(Idiocerinae + (Treehopper + Megophthalminae))). The phylogenetic relationships indicated that the molecular taxonomy of *O. ritcheriina* is consistent with the current morphological classification.
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**Introduction**

Coelidiinae is a relatively large subfamily within the Cicadellidae family, and it includes 129 genera and approximately 1,300 species (Nielson, 2015), including some species that serve as vectors of pathogens causing economically important plant diseases (Du et al., 2017; Frazier, 1975; Li & Fan, 2017; Maramorosch, Harris & Futuyma, 1981; Zhang, 1990). However, the taxonomic status of some species, on the basis of their morphology, remains controversial, and the phylogenetic relationships among major lineages of Membracoidea remain poorly understood (Dietrich et al., 2017). Moreover, knowledge regarding the taxonomic status of *Olidiana* within Cicadellidae and its phylogenetic relationship with other leafhopper genera is limited.

Complete mitogenomes provide large and diverse datasets for species delineation, and such mitogenomes have extensively been used for evolutionary studies of insects, particularly members of the orders Lepidoptera, Diptera, and Hemiptera (Salvato et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011; Du et al., 2017; Su & Liang, 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2017). To date, approximately 34 species (27 complete and 7 nearly complete) of the Cicadellidae mitogenome are available in GenBank. However, the mitogenomes of only two species [*Olidiana* sp. (partial genome, KY039119.1) and *Taharana fasciana* (NC_036015.1)] have previously been published for Coelidiinae, the largest subfamily of Cicadellidae.

*Olidiana* McKamey is the largest leafhopper genus in the tribe Coelidiini and it comprises 91 species. Among these, 54 species have been reported from China. However, to date, none of the characterized mitogenomes of the *Olidiana* sp. is complete; this lack of information restricts our understanding of the evolution of the Coelidiinae sp. at the genomic level. Therefore, new mitogenomic data will provide insights for determining the phylogenetic relationships and evolution of Cicadellidae in the future.

*Olidiana ritcheriina*, first described in 1990 (Zhang, 1990), is widely distributed throughout the Chinese provinces of Shaanxi, Hubei, Hunan, Guangdong, Hainan, Guangxi, Sichuan, Guizhou, and Yunnan. Therefore, a complete mitogenome of *O. ritcheriina* (GenBank accession NO.: MK738125) was sequenced to elucidate the phylogenetic status and relationships of the Coelidiinae sp.

**Materials & Methods**

**Sample collection and identification**
The use of the specimens collected for this study was approved. The specimens were collected from Wenxian County, Gansu Province, China (32°95′N, 104°68′E) on October 17, 2018, and identified on the basis of their morphological characteristics, as described by Zhang (1990) and Li & Fan (2017). Fresh specimens were preserved in absolute ethanol and stored at −20 °C until DNA extraction.

**DNA extraction**

Genomic DNA was extracted from the whole body of adult males (after removing the abdomen and wing) using DNeasy® Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The samples were incubated at 56 °C for 6 h for completely lysing the cells, and total genomic DNA was eluted in 100 μL of double-distilled water; the remaining steps were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted genomic DNA was stored at −20 °C until further use. Voucher specimens with male genitalia and DNA samples have been deposited at the Institute of Entomology, Guizhou University, Guiyang, China.

**Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and sequencing**

Mitogenomes were sequenced using next-generation sequencing (Illumina HiSeq 4000 and 2 Gb raw data; Berry Genomic, Beijing, China), and two sequence fragments were reconfirmed by PCR amplification using primers (*Table S1*). Following this, an NGS template was generated and this was further confirmed using PCR and Sanger sequencing. PCR amplification of overlapping sequence fragments was performed using universal primers (*Table S1*). Two pairs of species-specific primers were designed using Primer Premier 6.0 (Premier Biosoft, Palo Alto, CA, USA) to amplify the control region (*Table S1*). PCR was performed using a PCR master mix (Sangon Biotech Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Sequence analysis**

Next-generation sequences were assembled using Geneious R9 (Kearse et al., 2012). The assembled mitochondrial gene sequences were compared with the homologous sequences of *Olidiana* sp. (KY039119) and *T. fasciana* (KY886913) retrieved from GenBank and identified through BLAST searches in NCBI to confirm sequence accuracy. The sequences obtained by PCR amplification and TA cloning were assembled using SeqMan in the DNAStar software package (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, WI, USA). The mitogenomes were annotated using the MITOS webserver (Bernt et al., 2013). Base composition and relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) were analyzed using MEGA 6.06 (Tamura et al., 2013), and the boundaries and secondary structures of 22 tRNA genes were determined using tRNAscan-SE version 1.21 (Schattner, Brooks & Lowe, 2005) and ARWEN version 1.2 (Laslett & Canbäck, 2008). rRNA genes were identified on the basis of the locations of adjacent tRNA genes and comparisons with sequences of other Hemipterans. The secondary structures of rRNAs were inferred on the basis of models proposed for other Hemiptera (Wang, Li & Dai, 2017; Su et al., 2018). Helices were numbered according to the convention established by the Comparative RNA Web Site (Cannone...
et al., 2002). Strand asymmetry was calculated using the following formulas: AT skew = (A - T) / (A + T), GC skew = (G - C) / (G + C) (Perna & Kocher, 1995). Intergenic spacers and overlapping regions between genes were manually counted.

**Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis**

The phylogenetic analysis included complete or nearly complete mitogenome sequences of 42 insect species, namely 35 leafhoppers, 5 treehoppers, 2 froghoppers (*Tettigades auropilosa* and *Cosmoscarta bispecularis*) as outgroups, and *O. ritcheriina*, which was newly sequenced (Table 1).

Each PCG and rRNA sequence was aligned using the MAFFT algorithm in Translator X (Abascal, Zardoya & Telford, 2010; Katoh, Rozewicki & Yamada, 2017) and MAFFT v7.0 online serve with the G-INS-i strategy (Castesana, 2000), respectively. Poorly aligned sites were removed using Gblocks 0.91b (Castresana, 2000) under default settings, except that the gap sites were toggled as “none.” Subsequently, the resulting 15 alignments were assessed and manually corrected using MEGA 6 (Tamura et al., 2013).

The following five datasets were concatenated for phylogenetic analysis: (1) P123: all codon positions of 13 PCGs (10,116 bp); (2) P12: first and second codon positions of 13 PCGs (6,744 bp); (3) P123-rR: P123 and two rRNAs (11,934 bp); (4) P12-rR: P12 and two rRNAs (8,562 bp); and (5) AA: amino acid sequences of 13 PCGs (3,371 bp). The potential substitution saturation of four datasets (P123, P12, P123-rR, and P12-rR) was assessed using the index of substitution saturation (*Iss*) proposed by Xia et al. (2003) and implemented in DAMBE 5 (Xia, 2013).

Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis was performed using IQ-TREEv1.6.3 (Nguyen et al., 2014) with the best model for each partition selected under the corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using PartitionFinder2 (Table S2) (Miller, Pfeiffer & Schwartz, 2010) and evaluated using the ultrafast bootstrap approximation approach for 10,000 replicates. Bayesian (BI) analysis was performed using MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist et al., 2012). Two independent runs with four simultaneous Markov chains (one cold and three incrementally heated at T = 0.2) were run for 50,000,000 generations, sampling every 100 generations under the GTR+I+G model. The best models were then selected on the basis of the corrected AIC (Nylander et al., 2004). The phylogenetic trees were visualized using FigTree 1.4.2.

**Results**

**General features of the *O. ritcheriina* mitogenome**

The complete mitogenome of *O. ritcheriina* (MK738125) was 15,166 bp long, which is within the range of the complete mitogenomes of other Cicadellidae sp. (*Nephotettix cincticeps*, 14,805 bp and *Idioscopus laurifoliae*, 16,811 bp) (Table 1). The mitogenome comprised 37 genes (13 PCGs, 22 tRNAs, and 2 rRNAs) and a large A + T-rich D-loop control region (Fig. 1). The
majority strand (J strand) harbored most of the genes (9 PCGs and 14 tRNAs), whereas the
minority strand (N strand) harbored the remaining genes (4 PCGs, 2 rRNAs, and 8 tRNAs) (Fig.
1; Table 2). Moreover, the mitogenome of *O. ritcheriina* comprised intergenic spacers of 1 to 12
bp long at nine different loci. A total of 12 gene pairs overlapped with one another, with overlap
lengths ranging from 1 to 13 bp. In addition, 16 gene pairs, including *rrnL–trnV* and *trnV–rrnS*
(*Table 2*), were directly adjacent to one another. With a multicopy of *trnI* (AAT) located
between the control region and *trnI–trnQ–trnM*, the mitogenome of *O. ritcheriina* exhibited a
strong A + T bias. The A + T content of the whole genome was 78.0% (44.6% A, 33.4% T, 8.5%
G, and 13.5% C) (*Table 3*); this percentage was between the A + T content of *Yanocephalus
yanonis* (74.6%) and *Trocnadella arisana* (80.7%) (*Table 1*). The segment with the highest A +
T content was present in the control region (83.8%); the A + T content of this segment was
generally higher than that of other segments (2 rRNAs, 81.1%; 22 tRNAs, 78.6%; whole
genome, 78.0%; and 13 PCGs, 77.2%) (*Table 3*).

Composition analysis revealed that the mitogenome of *O. ritcheriina* exhibited a positive AT
(0.144) and negative GC skew (−0.227) in the whole mitogenome as well as in the 13 PCGs
(AT skew: 0.163; GC skew: −0.250), 2 rRNAs (AT skew: 0.160; GC skew: −0.265), and 22
tRNAs (AT skew: 0.111; GC skew: −0.110). However, slightly negative AT (−0.049) and GC
(−0.031) skews were detected in the control region (*Table 3*).

Comparative analysis of the base composition of every component of the mitogenomes of
Coelidiinae indicated that the control regions showed the highest A + T content (81.4%–83.8%)
and that all species exhibited a positive AT (0.144 to 0.16) or negative GC (−0.227 to −0.23)
skew (Wang, Li & Dai, 2017) (*Table 3*).

**PCGs and codon usage**
The concatenated lengths of the 13 PCGs of *O. ritcheriina* were 10,116 nucleotide positions.
Similar to the mitogenomes of other Cicadellidae sp., *ND5* was the largest gene (1,671 bp) and
*ATP8* was the smallest gene (150 bp). Only four PCGs (*ND4*, *ND4L*, *ND5*, and *ND1*) were coded
by the minority strand (N strand), whereas the other nine PCGs (*COI, COII, COIII, ATP8*, *ATP6*,
*ND2, ND3, ND6*, and *CYTB*) were coded by the majority strand (J strand). Most PCGs exhibited
the typical start codon ATN (ATA/ATT/ATG/ATC) and stop codon TAA or TAG, but *COII, 
COIII*, and *ND4L* showed an incomplete stop codon T.

Analysis of the behavior of PCG codon families revealed an extremely similar codon usage
among the mitogenomes of Cicadellidae, with TTA-Leu, ATA-Met, ATT-Ile, and TTT-Phe
being the four most frequently used codons (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, the RSCU of *O. ritcheriina*
indicated that degenerate codons were biased to use more A/T than G/C at the third codon (Fig.
2B). Similarly, the biased usage of A + T nucleotides was reflected in the codon frequencies.
tRNAs and rRNAs

All the 22 typical tRNA genes were present in the mitogenome of *O. ritcheriina*, and their lengths ranged between 61 (trnA and trnH) and 71 bp (trnK). All tRNAs were identified using tRNAscan-SE (Schattner, Brooks & Lowe, 2005) and ARWEN (Laslett & Canbäck, 2008). Among these, 14 were located on the J strand and 8 on the N strand. All tRNAs exhibited the typical cloverleaf secondary structure, with the exception of trnS1 (AGN) in which the dihydrouridine arm formed a loop (Fig. 3). Abascal et al. (2006; 2012) have shown that the invertebrate mitochondrial genetic code even shifts within the Hemiptera, with *Triatoma* (Cimicomorpha), *Homalodisca* (Cicadellidae), and *Philaenus* (Cercopoidea) using the AGG codon that was translated as Lys instead of Ser; accordingly, our tRNA analysis shows that the AGG codon in *O. ritcheriina* was translated as Lys instead of Ser.

Two rRNA genes (rrnL and rrnS) in the mitogenomes of Cicadellidae were highly conserved. The putative lengths of the *O. ritcheriina* genes rrnL and rrnS were 1,180 bp between trnL2 and trnV and 731 bp between trnV and the control region, respectively (Tables 2 and 3). In the mitogenomes of Coelidiinae, the length of rrnL ranged from 1,178 (*Olidiana* sp.) to 1,192 bp (*T. fasciana*) and that of rrnS ranged from 729 (*Olidiana* sp.) to 775 bp (*T. fasciana*). The secondary structure of the *O. ritcheriina* gene rrnL comprised five domains (I, II, IV, V, and VI; domain III is absent in arthropods) and 42 helices (Fig. 4). Multiple alignment of the Coelidiinae gene rrnL extended over 1,180 positions and comprised 1,016 conserved (86.10%) and 164 variable (13.90%) sites. Domains IV and V were structurally more conserved than the other domains.

The secondary structure of rrnS comprised three structural domains and 27 helices (Fig. 5). Multiple alignments of the Coelidiinae gene rrnS extended over 730 positions and comprised 586 conserved (80.23%) and 164 variable (19.73%) sites. Domain III was structurally more conserved than domains I and II.

These rRNA secondary structures can be useful for the precise alignment of sequences for phylogenetic studies (Rijk & Wachter, 1997). Nevertheless, additional details regarding such rRNA structures should be accumulated in future studies.

Control region

The control regions (A + T-rich regions) in the mitogenomes of Coelidiinae were not highly conserved, with lengths ranging between 915 (*T. fasciana*) and 1,069 bp (*Olidiana* sp.) and A + T content ranging between 77.9% (*T. fasciana*) and 78.1% (*Olidiana* sp.) (Table 1). The length of the control region of *O. ritcheriina* was 981 bp, with a high A + T content (83.8%) and two repeats: R1 (2 × 49 bp) and R2 (2 × 128 bp) (Fig. 6 A). However, the control regions of *T. fasciana* and *Olidiana* sp. comprised a single repeat (Fig. 6 B-C). In addition, the control region of the *O. ritcheriina* showed slightly negative AT (−0.049) and GC (−0.031) skews (Table 3).
Phylogenetic relationship

Phylogenetic trees were constructed on the basis of five concatenated nucleotide sequence datasets from 40 available mitogenomes of Membracoidea, with two species considered outgroups [Cicadoidea (T. auropilosa) and Cercopoidea (C. bispecularis)]. Saturation analysis addresses the issue on whether some positions or partitions of a dataset are saturated and to test whether these sites can be used for further phylogenetic analysis. These phylogenetic trees showed uncorrected pairwise divergence in transitions (s) and transversions (v) against divergences calculated with the GTR model, and none of the four candidate nucleotide sequence datasets (Fig. S1 A: P123; Fig. S1 B: P12; Fig. S1 C: P123-rR; Fig. S1 D: P12-rR) had reached saturation (all Iss < Iss. cSym or Iss. cAsym, p = 0.0000) (Table 4; Fig. S1), thereby suggesting that the concatenated data is suitable for phylogenetic analysis.

All the 10 trees are presented in Fig. 7 and Fig. S2 A-F. Almost all nodes received high support (posterior probability, PP > 0.88) in BI analyses, whereas a few nodes received only moderate or low support in ML analyses of some datasets (bootstrap support, BS < 75). Monophyly at the subfamily level within Membracoidea was strongly supported in all the trees. Membracidae as a sister group to Cicadellidae was well supported by all the results (PP > 0.94, BS = 100). Within Cicadellidae, the 37 species sampled in this study represent seven subfamilies and the main topology was as follows: (Deltocephalinae + ((Coelidiinae + Iassinae) + ((Typhlocybinae + Cicadellinae) + (Idiocerinae + (Treehopper + Megophthalminae)))))) (Fig. 7). The results of BI and ML analyses generated results that are consistent with those of previous phylogenetic studies on the basis of combined morphological and molecular data (Dietrich et al., 2001; 2017; Cryan et al., 2000; 2012; Krishnankutty, 2013; Wang, Dietrich & Zhang, 2017).

Discussion

The phylogenetic relationships inferred according to the five datasets showed slightly different topologies. In the BI-P123-rR/ML-P12-rR/ML-P123-rR analysis, the main topology was as follows: (Typhlocybinae + (Cicadellinae + (Deltocephalinae + ((Coelidiinae + Iassinae) + (Idiocerinae + (Treehopper + Megophthalminae)))))) (Fig. S2 C). This topology is consistent with that reported in a previous study (Du et al., 2017) based on BI analysis of amino acid sequences. However, in some other studies (Du et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018), the main topology has been reported to be different, i.e., (Deltocephalinae + (Typhlocybinae + (Cicadellinae + (Coelidiinae + Iassinae) + (Idiocerinae + (Treehopper + Megophthalminae)))))) (Fig. S2 D). This difference can be mainly attributed to the unstable positions of Deltocephalinae, Typhlocybinae, and Coelidiinae and Iassinae (Fig. 7).

In Membracoidea, three clades exhibited a stable sister relationship as shown in all trees in the present analysis: Idiocerinae + (Typhlocybinae + Cicadellinae); Coelidiinae + Iassinae; and Treehopper + Megophthalminae. This result is consistent with that reported in some previous studies (Dietrich et al., 2001; 2017; Krishnankutty, 2013; Wang et al., 2017; 2018). Coelidiinae was the most closely related to Iassinae in the present study according to the BI (PP = 1.00) and
ML (BS = 100) trees, which were the same as those reported in previous studies (Wang et al., 2017; 2018). Within Coelidiinae, the three species sampled in the present study represent *Olidiana* and *Taharana*. The inferred relationships (*Taharana fascianus* + (*Olidiana* sp. + *Olidiana ritcheriina*)) were well supported by all BI (PP = 1.00) and ML (BS = 100) trees. The third codon position shows higher saturation than the first and second codon positions (Wei et al., 2010; Song et al., 2012) (*Table S2*). Nevertheless, in our phylogenetic results, tree topologies were consistent regardless of whether the third codon position was excluded; however, this exclusion slightly increased support for some nodes in ML analyses (ML-13PCGs12/ML-13PCGs and ML-13PCGs12-2RNA/ML-13PCGs-2RNA) (*Fig. S2 C, F*). The results of the present study are consistent with those of a previous phylogenetic study (Du et al., 2017).

**Conclusions**

We sequenced the mitogenome of *O. ritcheriina* from Coelidiinae and presented their structure and sequence characteristics. Consistent with previous observations related to Membracoidea, the mitogenome of *O. ritcheriina* was highly conserved in terms of gene content, gene size, gene order, base composition, PCG codon usage, as well as tRNA and rRNA secondary structures. Furthermore, the phylogeny of Membracoidea was inferred with all 40 complete mitogenomes, namely, 35 Cicadellidae and 5 Treehopper. The overall phylogenetic structure of Membracoidea is consistent with that reported in previous studies. Coelidiinae was grouped with a clade comprising Iassinae. The mitogenomic information of *O. ritcheriina* can be useful for future studies aimed at exploring the mitogenomic diversity of insects and evolution of related insect lineages.

The lack of complete mitogenomes of Coelidiinae sp. has restricted the understanding of the evolution of this group at the genome level. Therefore, further studies are required to elucidate the phylogenetic status of species belonging to this group and their relationships. In this context, the addition of more taxa and genes to the leafhopper mitogenomic dataset may contribute to the determination of the relationships shared among major leafhopper lineages.
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Circular map of the *Olidiana ritcheriina* mitochondrial genome. Protein coding and ribosomal genes are shown with standard abbreviations. Transfer RNA (tRNA) genes are indicated using the IUPAC-IUB single letter amino acid codes (L1: CUN; L2: UUR; S2
Figure 2

The codon number and relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) of PCGs in *Olidiana ritcheriina* mitogenome.
Figure 3

Secondary structures of tRNAs in the mitogenome of Olidiana *ritcheriina*. The dashes indicate Watson-Crick bonds and GU pairs, solid dots indicate mismatches.
Figure 4

Predicted secondary structure of the *rrnL* in the mitogenome of *Olidiana ritcheriina*. Roman numerals indicate the conserved domain structure. Watson-Crick pairs are joined by dashes, whereas GU pairs are connected by dots.
Figure 5

Predicted secondary structure of the *rrnS* in the mitogenome of *Olidiana ritcheriina*. Roman numerals indicate the conserved domain structure. Watson-Crick pairs are joined by dashes, whereas GU pairs are connected by dots.
Figure 6

Organization of the control region structure in the mitochondrial genomes of *Olidiana ritcheriina*. R: repeat unit.
Figure 7

Phylogenetic trees of *Olidiana ritcheriina* inferred based on the first and second codon positions of 13 PCGs using GTR+I+G model in MrBayes.
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Summary of the mitogenomes used in this study.
| Species                      | Size (bp) | A+T (%) | Accession number | Reference                  |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------|----------------------------|
| **Cicadellinae**             |           |         |                  |                            |
| Bothrogonia ferruginea       | 15,262    | 75.5    | KU16755          | Unpublished                |
| Homalodisca vitripennis      | 15,304    | 78.4    | NC_00689         | Unpublished                |
| Olidiana ritcheriina        | 15,166    | 78.0    | MK73812          | This study                 |
| Olidiana sp. a              | 15,253    | 78.1    | KY03911          | Unpublished                |
| Talarana fasciana           | 15,161    | 77.9    | KY88691          | Wang, Li & Dai (2017)      |
| Deltocephalinae              |           |         |                  |                            |
| Agellus sp. a               | 14,819    | 75.8    | KX437738         | Song, Cai & Li (2018)      |
| Alobaldia tobae a           | 16,02     | 77.3    | KY03911          | Song, Cai & Li (2017)      |
| Cicadula sp. a              | 14,92     | 74.7    | KX43772          | Song, Cai & Li (2018)      |
| Drabesoides nuchalis        | 15,309    | 75.6    | NC_028154        | Wu et al. (2016)           |
| Exitianus indicus a         | 16,089    | 75.1    | KY03912          | Song, Cai & Li (2017)      |
| Japananus hyalinus          | 15,364    | 76.1    | NC_03629         | Du et al, 2017             |
| Macrosteles quadrilineatus  | 16,626    | 78.0    | NC_03478         | Mao, Yang & Bennett (2017) |
| Maiestas dorsalis           | 15,352    | 78.6    | NC_03629         | Du et al. (2017)           |
| Nephrotettix cincticeps     | **14805** | 77.6    | NC_02697         | Unpublished                |
| Norvellina sp. a            | 15,594    | 74.5    | KY03913          | Song, Cai & Li (2017)      |
| Orosius orientalis a        | 15,513    | 72.0    | KY03914          | Song, Cai & Li (2017)      |
| Phlogotettix sp.            | 15,136    | 77.9    | KY03913          | Song, Cai & Li (2017)      |
| Scaphoideus maaei           | 15,188    | 77.2    | KY81724          | Du, Dai & Dietrich (2017)  |
| Scaphoideus                 | 15,235    | 76.0    | KY81724          | Du, Dai &                  |
| Genus                              | Species             | Accession | Length | Date                |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|---------------------|
| nigrivalveus                      | Scaphoideus varius  | KY81724   | 75,207 | Dietrich (2017)     |
| Tambocerus sp.                    |                     | HT827824  | 76,955 | Yu et al. (2017)    |
| Yanocephalus yanonis              | Trocanadella arisana| NC_03613  | 74,623 | Song, Cai & Li (2017)|
| Iassinae                          |                     |           |        |                     |
| Idiocerin ae                      | Idioscopus clypealis| MF78443   | 78,393 | Dai, Wang & Yang (2018)|
| Idioscopus laurifoliae            |                     | MH43362   | 79,811 | Wang et al. (2018)  |
| Idioscopus sp myrica              |                     | MH49231   | 77,423 | Wang et al. (2018)  |
| Idioscopus nitidulus              |                     | NC_02920  | 78,287 | Choudhary et al. (2018)|
| Populicerus populi                |                     | MH49231   | 77,494 | Wang et al. (2018)  |
| Megophthalinae                    | Durgades nigropicta | NC_03568  | 78,974 | Wang et al. (2017)  |
| Japanagallia spinosa              |                     | NC_03568  | 76,655 | Wang et al. (2017)  |
| Treehopper                        | Darthula hardwickii | NC_02669  | 78,355 | Liang, Gao & Zhao (2016)|
| Entylia carinata                  |                     | NC_03353  | 78,662 | Mao, Yang & Bennett (2016)|
| Leptobelus gazella                |                     | NC_02321  | 78,007 | Zhao & Liang (2017) |
| Leptobelus sp.                    |                     | JQ910984  | 77,201 | Li et al. (2017)    |
| Tricentrus sp.                    |                     | KY03911   | 78,419 | Unpublished         |
| Typhlocybinae                     | Empoasca onukii      | NC_03721  | 78,167 | Liu et al. (2017)   |
| Empoasca sp. a                    |                     | KX43773   | 76,116 | Song, Cai & Li (2018)|
| Empoasca vitis                    |                     | NC_02483  | 78,154 | Zhou et al. (2017)  |
| Illinigina sp. a                  |                     | KY03912   | 76,803 | Song, Cai & Li,     |
| Family          | Species               | Length (bp) | Accession | Date       | Authors                  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|--------------------------|
| Cicadoidea      | *Typhlocyba* sp.      | 15,223      | KY03913   | 2017       | Song, Cai & Li           |
|                 |                       |             | 1         |            | (2017)                   |
|                 |                       |             | 77.1      |            |                          |
|                 | *Tettigades auropilosa* | 14,944      | KM000129  | Unpublished |                          |
|                 |                       |             | 75.0      |            |                          |
| Cercopoidae     | *Cosmoscarta bispecularis* | 15,426      | KP064511  | 2016       | Yang, Liu & Liang        |
|                 |                       |             | 78.5      |            | (2016)                   |

1 a Incomplete mitochondrial genomes.
Table 2 (on next page)

Composition and skewness of the *Oliidia ritcheriina* mitogenome.
| Gene     | Direction | Location       | Size (bp) | Start | Stop | Anticodon | Intergenic nucleotides |
|----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-------|------|-----------|------------------------|
| trnI     | J         | 1-62           | 62        | -     | -    | GAT       |                        |
| trnQ     | N         | 64-130         | 67        | -     | -    | TTG       | 1                      |
| trnM     | J         | 131-196        | 66        | -     | -    | CAT       | 0                      |
| ND2      | J         | 197-1,153      | 957       | ATT   | TAA  | -         | 0                      |
| trnW     | J         | 1,152-1,213    | 62        | -     | -    | TCA       | 2                      |
| trnC     | N         | 1,201-1,262    | 62        | -     | -    | GCA       | -13                    |
| trnY     | N         | 1,263-1,325    | 63        | -     | -    | GTA       | 0                      |
| COI      | J         | 1,338-2,873    | 1,536     | ATG   | TAA  | -         | 12                     |
| trnL1(UUR)| J       | 2,874-2,940    | 67        | -     | -    | TAA       | 0                      |
| COII     | J         | 2,941-3,616    | 676       | ATT   | T    | -         | 0                      |
| trnK     | J         | 3,617-3,687    | 73        | -     | -    | CTT       | 0                      |
| trnD     | J         | 3,687-3,748    | 62        | -     | -    | GTC       | -1                     |
| ATP8     | J         | 3,750-3,899    | 150       | ATA   | TAA  | -         | 1                      |
| ATP6     | J         | 3,893-4,537    | 645       | ATG   | TAA  | -         | -7                     |
| COIII    | J         | 4,538-5,315    | 778       | ATG   | T    | -         | 0                      |
| trnG     | J         | 5,316-5,375    | 60        | -     | -    | TCC       | 0                      |
| ND3      | J         | 5,376-5,729    | 354       | ATA   | TAG  | -         | 0                      |
| trnA     | J         | 5,728-5,788    | 61        | -     | -    | TGC       | -2                     |
| trnR     | J         | 5,788-5,852    | 65        | -     | -    | TCG       | -1                     |
| trnN     | J         | 5,850-5,913    | 64        | -     | -    | GTT       | -3                     |
| trnS1(AGN)| J      | 5,913-5,974    | 62        | -     | -    | GCT       | -1                     |
| trnE     | J         | 5,974-6,036    | 63        | -     | -    | TTC       | -1                     |
| trnF     | N         | 6,036-6,103    | 68        | -     | -    | GAA       | -1                     |
| Gene   | Type | Start (nt) | Length (nt) | Start (AA) | Stop (AA) | Start (nt) | Stop (nt) |
|--------|------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| ND5    | N    | 6,103-7,773| 1,671       | ATA        | TAG       | -          | -1        |
| trnH   | N    | 7,774-7,834| 61          | -          | -         | GTG        | 0         |
| ND4    | N    | 7,834-9,150| 1,317       | ATG        | TAG       | -          | -1        |
| ND4L   | N    | 9,152-9,419| 278         | ATG        | T         | -          | 1         |
| trnT   | J    | 9,422-9,484| 63          | -          | -         | TGT        | 1         |
| trnP   | N    | 9,485-9,546| 62          | -          | -         | TGG        | 0         |
| ND6    | J    | 9,549-10,025| 477        | ATA        | TAA       | -          | 2         |
| CYTB   | J    | 10,030-11,151| 1,122    | ATT        | TAA       | -          | 4         |
| trnS2(UCN)| J  | 11,151-11,214| 64        | -          | -         | TGA        | -1        |
| ND1    | N    | 11,216-12,146| 939      | ATT        | TAA       | -          | 1         |
| trnL2(CUN)| N  | 12,147-12,214| 68        | -          | -         | TAG        | 0         |
| rrnL   | N    | 12,215-13,394| 1,180    | -          | -         | -          | 0         |
| trnV   | N    | 13,395-13,454| 60        | -          | -         | TAC        | 0         |
| rrnS   | N    | 13,455-14,185| 731      | -          | -         | -          | 0         |
| A+T-rich|     | 14,148-14,313| 166      | -          | -         | -          | 0         |
Table 3 (on next page)

Annotation of the Olidiana ritcheriina mitogenome.
| Regions         | Size | A % | G % | T % | C % | A+T % | G+C % | AT skew | GC skew |
|-----------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|---------|---------|
| Whole genome    | 15,166 | 44.6 | 8.5 | 33.4 | 13.5 | 78.0  | 22.0  | 0.144   | −0.227  |
| PCGs            | 10,890 | 44.7 | 8.8 | 32.1 | 14.4 | 77.2  | 23.2  | 0.163   | −0.250  |
| tRNA genes      | 1405  | 43.6 | 9.5 | 34.9 | 11.9 | 78.6  | 21.4  | 0.111   | −0.110  |
| rRNA genes      | 1911  | 47.0 | 7.0 | 34.1 | 12.0 | 81.1  | 18.9  | 0.160   | −0.265  |
| Control region  | 981   | 39.9 | 7.8 | 43.9 | 8.4  | 83.8  | 16.2  | −0.049  | −0.031  |
Table 4 (on next page)

Substitution saturation tests for the four dataset.
Table:

| Data set | Observed Iss | Iss.cSym | Psy | Iss.cAsy | Pasy | Data set | Observed Iss | Iss.cSym | Psy | Iss.cAsy |
|----------|--------------|----------|-----|----------|------|----------|--------------|----------|-----|----------|
| P123     | 0.419        | 0.817    | 0.00 | 0.571    | 0.00 | P123     | 0.420        | 0.818    | 0.00 | 0.572    |
| P12      | 0.296        | 0.814    | 0.00 | 0.570    | 0.00 | P12      | 0.320        | 0.816    | 0.00 | 0.571    |

1 NumOUT = 32. aCritical values assuming a symmetrical tree. bSignificant difference between Iss and Iss.cSym (two-tailed test). cCritical values assuming an extreme asymmetrical tree. dSignificant difference between Iss and Iss.cAsym (two-tailed t-test).