Retrocecal hernia preoperatively diagnosed by computed tomography: A case report
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A B S T R A C T
INTRODUCTION: Retrocecal hernia is a rare type of pericecal hernia. Because it is difficult to diagnose preoperatively, it is often treated with emergency operation.
CASE PRESENTATION: An 83-year-old male patient experienced sudden abdominal pain. Marked small bowel dilatation and intestinal obstruction were detected by abdominal computed tomography (CT). An enhanced CT scan also revealed a trapped cluster of small bowel loops behind the cecum and ascending colon. We preoperatively diagnosed small bowel ileus as a result of retrocecal hernia. After conservative therapy with a long intestinal tube, an emergency operation was performed. During the surgery, a portion of the ileum was found to be incarcerated in the retrocecal fossa. Intestinal resection was not necessary because the incarcerated ileum appeared viable, and the orifice to the hernia was opened. The patient was discharged without postoperative complications.
DISCUSSION: The diagnosis of retrocecal hernia can often be confirmed intraoperatively. This disease is identified based on a minimal error in rotation with incarceration behind the cecum during the final phase of descent and fixation of the right colon or failure of cecal and retroperitoneal fixation. Early preoperative diagnosis is important to prevent intestinal ischemia, necrosis, and perforation and to reduce resection rates.
CONCLUSION: Early preoperative diagnosis is important to avoid resection of the small intestine. CT scans are useful for preoperative diagnosis in case of retrocecal hernia.
© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction
Pericecal hernia is a rare disease that occurs at one of the four principal fossae in the cecal region [1,2]. Although the correct preoperative diagnosis of pericecal hernias is difficult, we diagnosed retrocecal hernia by computed tomography (CT) before successful surgical treatment. Early preoperative diagnosis of pericecal hernias is important to avoid resection of the small intestine. The presented case has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [3].

2. Case presentation
The patient was an 83-year-old man who presented with sudden pain in the right lower abdomen. He underwent right partial pneumonectomy following a traffic accident; therefore, arterial blood gas analysis showed hypoxia (PO2 of 35 mmHg; PCO2 of 70 mmHg). He had no remarkable family history, and his vital signs were normal, except for mild pyrexia of 37.5 °C. Examination of the abdomen revealed rebound tenderness at the right lower portion. Blood tests revealed no abnormalities, except for a white blood cell count of 11,000 mm−3 (n.v.: 3200–8500 mm−3). Furthermore, marked small bowel dilatation and intestinal obstruction were evident on abdominal X-ray and CT imaging. CT imaging also revealed a dilation of the small bowel in the right lower abdomen, and the cecal colon was displaced inward (Fig. 1). We diagnosed small bowel ileus as a result of retrocecal hernia. The conservative therapy with a long intestinal tube failed to resolve the symptoms, therefore an emergency operation was performed. Because of the patient’s hypoxia, laparotomy and not laparoscopy was selected. Intraoperative findings revealed that a part of the small bowel was incarcerated within
the retrocecal recess and the intestinal tract was strangulated in the hernia orifice, thereby confirming retrocecal hernia (Fig. 2). The incarcerated small intestine was viable and reducible, and the orifice of the retrocecal region was opened. He was discharged in a good condition on the 14th postoperative day with no complications. The patient had no recurrence in 6 months after surgery.

3. Discussion

Internal hernias are either congenital or acquired; in majority of the cases, they are acquired because of a previous abdominal surgery [1]. Cases of acquired internal herniation in adults who have undergone an abdominal surgery mainly occur after liver transplantation and bariatric procedures, peritoneal inflammations, traumas, and ischemic changes [2]. Congenital internal hernias in adults are extremely rare and originate from congenital anomalous openings lacking a true peritoneal sac [4]. In such cases, abdominal surgeries are never performed. Internal hernias are generally classified into six types: paraduodenal, pericecal, foramen of Winslow, transmesenteric, pelvic and supavesical, and intersigmoid [4–6]. Moreover, pericecal hernia is a major type of internal hernia that can be classified into four types: superior ileocecal recess, inferior ileocecal recess, paracolic sulcus, and retrocecal recess [6]. A study reported that paracecal hernias are the most common type of internal hernia, accounting for 46.7% of cases, followed by retrocecal hernias, which account for 26.7% of cases [7].

Because there are no specific symptoms of internal hernias, they are rarely diagnosed preoperatively. Currently, CT is an important tool for the evaluation of intestinal obstruction and acute abdominal diseases [8] and has become the first-line imaging technique in patients with a suspected internal hernia [9]. The CT features of internal hernias include the presence of a sac-like mass or cluster of dilated small bowel loops at an abnormal anatomic location and stretched, engorged, or displaced mesenteric vascular pedicle and converging vessels at the hernia orifice [10]. In case of acute-onset and severe small bowel obstruction, Furukawa et al. [11] recommended performing an emergency surgical procedure, whereas partial bowel dilation can initially be managed with conservative therapy. However, if abnormal bowel loops at unusual anatomic regions, including behind the ascending colon, are detected by CT, surgery is recommended to decrease the risk of hernial strangulation. Furthermore, close monitoring of the patient’s vital signs, physical examination, and follow-up CT are useful for preventing small bowel resection.
We searched PubMed for reports containing the key words “retrocecal hernia” from 2000 to 2017. Four cases have been reported in the literature; including our case [12–14] (Table 1). In all cases; the patients were male; with an average age of 74 years. Three cases were diagnosed with retrocecal hernia or paraccecal hernia by preoperative radiological examination. All cases underwent surgery; although none of the cases underwent small bowel resection. However; two of the 31 patients with retrocecal hernia in Japan died following surgery [15]. Therefore; early preoperative diagnosis is imperative in preventing intestinal ischemia; necrosis; and perforation and in reducing resection rates [16]. Although the use of laparoscopic surgery is gradually becoming more widespread [17–19]; it was not suitable for our case because the patient had hypoxia. Researchers in recent reports have described the laparoscopic management of acute small bowel obstruction; which resulted in the early recovery of bowel function and a shortened postoperative stay [19,20]. The treatment for such orifices follows two patterns: opening or closure. In our case; we performed the opening of the orifice. According to a previous study; approximately 50% of approaches to an orifice are either opening or closure [13].

4. Conclusion

Despite low probability; retrocecal hernia should be included in the differential diagnosis for right lower abdominal pain. Abdominal CT scan is useful for the preoperative diagnosis of retrocecal hernias.
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Table 1

| No | Author (year) | Age | Sex | Preoperative diagnosis | Operation procedure | Location of hernia | Resection of small bowel | Treatment of the hernia orifice |
|----|---------------|-----|-----|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1  | Shibuya [12] (2010) | 63 | M   | Strangulation in the ileocecal region | Open | ileum | none | Closure |
| 2  | Sasaki [13] (2016) | 65 | M   | Internal hernia | Laparoscopy | ileum | none | Closure |
| 3  | Hiyama [14] (2016) | 86 | M   | Retrocecal hernia | Open | ileum | none | Closure |
| 4  | Our case (2017) | 83 | M   | Retrocecal hernia | Open | ileum | none | open |
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