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Abstract

Hundred and ten Advanced Breeding Lines (ABLs) derived from fourteen families of green gram in F_6 generation were evaluated in augmented design during summer-2019 for estimation of genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield attributing traits. High genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficient of variation were recorded for number of pods per plant and seed yield per plant. High heritability and high genetic advance under mean were recorded for plant height, number of branches per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, hundred seed weight and seed yield per plant. The characters, number of pods per plant and hundred seed weight had highest positive direct effect and also had high positive correlation on seed yield. Thus these are the most important and reliable characters which can be utilized in direct selection for improving the seed yield.
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Introduction

Green gram [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek], also known as mungu, pachaipayaru, golden gram and green soy. It is from Indo-Burma region of Hindustan (Vavilov, 1926). Pulses are the major group of food crops that could play an important role in solving the problems viz., food security and nutritional security and also block the environmental challenges. It will adds about 9-10 per cent to total food grain production and is an inexpensive source of plant derived proteins, minerals and vitamins.

In some part of the Middle East, it acts as a major ingredient in cuisines. The achievement of plant breeding programme depends on
thorough knowledge of current genetic differences and degree of association between yield and its component characters is essential for developing high yielding genotypes in green gram. The information about direct and indirect effect of component yield characters on dependent character is also important for genetic improvement activities. Yield is a complex character and it is influenced by many component characters.

So absence of sufficient genetic variability in economically important measureable traits is considered to be one of the major barriers in yield improvement of green gram. Numerous tactics have been engaged up for enrichment of genetic variability in green gram but hybridization and induction of mutations are considered to be relatively promising to select appropriate variants from the segregating population (Kumar et al., 2013). Association studies are the most important technique, which explains the magnitude and scope of relationship exist among important plant traits and correlation study provides basic measure for selection. However the data it supplies on the nature of association is often inadequate (Sahu et al., 2015).

Path coefficient analysis is an effective quantitative technique, particularly designed to measure the interrelationship of different yield influencing characters and their indirect and direct effects on dependent character i.e., seed yield. Based on this technique yield influencing characters will be ranked and particular characters showing a given magnitude of correlation could be concentrated for further improvement of yield (Hemavathy et al., 2015). Heritability of a quantitative character measures the amount of similarity between the parental genotypes and the progenies and its magnitude directs the ability with which a genotype can be identified by its phenotypic countenance, whereas genetic advance assistances in exercising the essential selection pressure (Prasad and Prasad, 2013). Identification of better parents revealing high heritability and high genetic advance for several characters is a crucial prerequisite for any crop improvement programme (Bhutia et al., 2016). Above aspects are essential and valuable in crop improvement as they benefit plant breeders in identification of finest genotypes for qualitative and quantitative traits for variety and hybridization breeding programme. Keeping the above details in view, the present exploration was under taken to estimate genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and association studies on yield and component traits in green gram.

**Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted during summer- 2019 at F- block, Experimental plot, AICRP on MULLaRP, Main Agricultural Research Station (MARS), College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences (UAS), Dharwad, Karnataka. The research site was located 15\(^0\)12’ N latitude and 75\(^0\)07’ E longitudes with an altitude of 678 m above mean sea level. The experiment was carried out from 28\(^{th}\) January 2019 to 2\(^{nd}\) May 2019. For the study of genetic variability, Correlation and Path analysis for 110 advanced breeding lines derived from 14 crosses in F\(_6\) generation were used as experimental material. The experiment was conducted in augmented block design with nine parental check. Each row length of 4 m with plant to plant spacing 10 cm and row to row spacing 30 cm was maintained. Each advanced breeding lines was sown in three rows of four meter length in ordered to minimize the environmental effect on individual ABLs and each row was maintained with 40 plants. Regular agronomic practices were carried out to maintain healthy crop.
Recording of observations

All the eleven important yield and yield influencing characters observations were recorded for randomly selected five plants from each advanced breeding line. The data on days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, primary branches per plant, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, hundred seed weight and seed yield per plant were recorded.

These data computed to estimate Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (GCV) and Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV) using the formulae of Burton and De Vane (1953); Heritability by Lush (1949) and Hanson et al., (1956); Genetic Advance (GA) by Johnson et al., (1955); Correlation by Singh and Chaudhary (1977) and Path analysis was carried out from method devised by Dewey and Lu. (1959).

Results and Discussion

Analysis of variances for 110 advanced breeding lines derived from fourteen crosses (F6 generation) revealed that, there is a significant difference existing between progenies/entries of each block with respect to all studied characters. The significant variation was observed for all the studied characters. The mean square values between 11 characters are presented in Table 1.

Performance of genotypes

Days to 50% flowering ranged from 40 to 46 days; plant height ranged between 18.33 to 43.66 cm; number of branches per plant showed 3 to 6.66 range; number of cluster per plant ranged from 3 to 8; number of pods per cluster ranged from 2.66 to 5; number of pods per plant ranged between 6.66 to 35.33; pod length had 5.33 to 10 cm range; number of seeds per pod ranged from 6.33 to 10.66; hundred seed weight ranged from 3.12 to 4.98 g and seed yield per plant ranged between 1.51 to 12.03 g were presented in Table 2.

Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV)

When variation has to be compared for different characters each denoted by different units, using variance, standard deviation or standard error is not an acceptable measure. To overcome this challenge, coefficient of variation can be used. This unit less measure of variation is derived from both standard deviation and mean. Hence phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variations are actual measures to compare the variation created for diverse characters.

In the present investigation, among eleven characters studied in breeding lines derived from fourteen crosses, the phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variations were recorded high for the characters viz., number of pods per plant (32.09 % and 30.92 % respectively) and seed yield per plant (36.61 % and 31.89 % respectively) indicating that these characters were less affected by environment and these characters specify wider variability and vice versa.

The moderate phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation was recorded for the characters namely plant height (14.01 % and 13.49% respectively), number of branches per plant (17.86 % and 14.33 % respectively), number of clusters per plant (19.03 % and 14.47 % respectively), number of pods per cluster (14.64 % and 13.25 % respectively) and hundred seed weight (15.08 % and 13.57 % respectively) (Table 2).Similar findings for number of pods per plant and seed yield per plant were reported by Patel et al., (2012);
Hemavathy et al., (2015) and Bhutia et al., (2016). These traits are possibly to allow reasonable scope of improvement through selection in advanced generation due to their existence of comparatively moderate variability in green gram progeny lines evaluated.

In all the eleven studied characters in breeding lines of hybridized populations, phenotypic coefficient of variation was higher than genotypic coefficient of variation. This suggests that, environment has certain extent of influence on all these characters and the extent up to which it is influenced is estimated using heritability parameters.

### Heritability and genetic advance

Heritability does differ among environments because environmental variance (\(V_E\)) is a part of phenotypic variance (\(V_P\)). Heritability is dimensionless therefore it is useful for comparing across species and traits that are measured on very different scales. Heritability and genetic advance can be used in these situations.

To design an effective selection strategy for the utilization of the existing breeding materials, the knowledge on the extent of variation, heritability of the trait and genetic gain is important (Azam et al., 2018).

In the present investigation heritability and the genetic advance under per cent of mean were observed to be high for the quantitative characters viz., plant height (92.72 and 26.76 % respectively), number of branches per plant (64.39 and 23.68 % respectively), number of pods per cluster (81.85 and 24.69 % respectively), number of pods per plant (92.86 and 61.38 % respectively), hundred seed weight (80.98 and 25.16 % respectively) and seed yield per plant (75.84 and 57.21 % respectively).

High heritability with moderate genetic advance under per cent of mean was recorded for pod length (86.50 and 13.85 % respectively) and number of seeds per pod (90.62 and 13.69 % respectively). Moderate heritability with high genetic advance under per cent of mean was recorded for the character number of clusters per plant (57.80 and 22.66 % respectively) (Table 2).

These results are in conformity with the findings of Patel et al., (2012) for number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant and seed yield per plant and similar results were obtained by Hemavathy et al., (2015); Bhutia et al., (2016) and Prasad and Prasad, (2013) for plant height, number of pods per plant and hundred seed weight.

These characters are amenable for improvement by selection, particularly mass selection. Such value of high heritability and genetic gain may be attributed to additive effect. Hence, selection in segregating generations would be very effective for this character.

### Correlation and path analysis

Correlation study has been conducted to identify the nature and extent of association between yield and its determinants. Selection for specific characters is known to result in correlated response in certain other characters (Falconer, 1960). Generally, plant breeders make selection for one or two attributes at a time and then it becomes important to know the effect on other characters. Path analysis allows the correlation coefficient to be broken down into direct and indirect effects on a dependent variable. Path coefficient analysis explains whether the association of seed yield per plant with its component characters is due to the direct effects of component characters on seed yield or is a consequence of its indirect effects through some other traits.
Table.1 ANOVA for yield and yield attributing traits of green gram breeding lines

| Source of variation          | Degrees of Freedom | Days to 50% Flowering | Days to Maturity | Plant Height | Number of Branches per Plant | Number of Clusters per Plant | Number of Pods per Cluster | Number of Pods per Plant | Pod Length | Number of Seeds per Pod | Hundred Seed Weight | Seed Yield per Plant |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Blocks (eliminating checks + Var) | 10                 | 0.10                   | 0.10             | 1.91         | 0.40                         | 0.13                         | 0.05                      | 2.32                      | 0.03        | 0.03                   | 0.06                | 0.03                |
| Entries (ignoring blocks)    | 113                | 2.04**                 | 1.36**           | 40.27**      | 1.09**                       | 1.42**                       | 0.76**                    | 52.71**                   | 0.80**      | 0.97**                 | 0.55**              | 6.57**              |
| Checks                       | 3                  | 0.56                   | 3.90 **          | 14.61**      | 3.02**                       | 2.89**                       | 2.41**                    | 98.85**                   | 2.74**      | 0.21**                 | 0.07                | 2.31**              |
| Varieties                    | 109                | 1.908**                | 0.81 **          | 26.20**      | 0.73**                       | 0.90**                       | 0.43**                    | 36.73**                   | 0.36**      | 0.43**                 | 0.38**              | 3.07**              |
| Checks vs Varieties          | 1                  | 21.40**                | 53.52**          | 1637.3**     | 33.69**                      | 52.48**                      | 30.64**                   | 1639.4**                  | 41.69**     | 61.63**                | 20.20**             | 397.5**             |
| Error                        | 30                 | 0.26                   | 0.26             | 1.47         | 0.21                         | 0.19                         | 0.06                      | 2.03                      | 0.03        | 0.03                   | 0.05                | 0.04                |
| Ci- Cj                       | 1                  | 0.45                   | 0.45             | 1.05         | 0.40                         | 0.38                         | 0.21                      | 1.24                      | 0.17        | 0.15                   | 0.21                | 0.17                |
| Ci- Vi                       | 1                  | 1.23                   | 1.23             | 2.89         | 1.11                         | 1.04                         | 0.60                      | 3.39                      | 0.47        | 0.42                   | 0.57                | 0.48                |

*- Significant at 5 % level of probability  ** - Significant at 1 % level of probability

Table.2 Assessment of variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield component traits

| Sl. No | Characters                          | Mean Range | Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (%) | Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (%) | Heritability (broad sense) (%) | Genetic Advance | Genetic Advance under Mean (%) |
|--------|-------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1      | Days to 50% flowering               | 43.07      | 40.00 - 46.00                          | 2.63                                   | 2.90                          | 82.28            | 2.08                          | 4.92            |
| 2      | Days to maturity                    | 73.28      | 72.00 - 75.00                          | 0.77                                   | 0.99                          | 60.79            | 1.03                          | 1.25            |
| 3      | Plant height (cm)                   | 33.38      | 18.33 - 43.66                          | 13.49                                  | 14.01                         | 92.72            | 8.60                          | 26.76           |
| 4      | Number of branches per plant        | 4.30       | 3.00 - 6.66                            | 14.33                                  | 17.86                         | 64.39            | 1.03                          | 23.68           |
| 5      | Number of clusters per plant        | 4.85       | 3.00 - 8.00                            | 14.47                                  | 19.03                         | 57.80            | 1.04                          | 22.66           |
| 6      | Number of pods per cluster          | 3.97       | 2.66 - 5.00                            | 13.25                                  | 14.64                         | 81.85            | 0.99                          | 24.69           |
| 7      | Number of pods per plant            | 18.30      | 6.66 - 35.33                           | 30.92                                  | 32.09                         | 92.86            | 10.19                         | 61.38           |
| 8      | Pod length (cm)                     | 7.43       | 5.33 - 10.00                           | 7.23                                   | 7.77                          | 86.50            | 0.95                          | 13.85           |
| 9      | Number of seeds per pod             | 8.41       | 6.33 - 10.66                           | 6.98                                   | 7.33                          | 90.62            | 1.08                          | 13.69           |
| 10     | Hundred seed weight (g)             | 4.25       | 3.12 - 4.98                            | 13.57                                  | 15.08                         | 80.98            | 0.92                          | 25.16           |
| 11     | Seed yield per plant (g)            | 5.53       | 1.51 - 12.03                           | 31.89                                  | 36.61                         | 75.84            | 2.46                          | 57.21           |
### Table 3 Phenotypic correlation for yield and yield attributing traits in breeding lines of green gram

| Yield attributing traits          | Days to 50% Flowering | Days to Maturity | Plant Height | Number of Branches per Plant | Number of Clusters per Plant | Number of Pods per Cluster | Pod Length | Number of Seeds per Pod | Hundred Seed Weight | Seed Yield per Plant |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Days to 50% Flowering            | 1                      | 0.7846**         | 0.4156**     | 0.3410**                   | 0.4140**                   | 0.4206**                  | -0.0362    | -0.0098                | 0.2518**            | 0.2836**            |
| Days to Maturity                 | 1                      | 0.3651**         | 0.3364**     | 0.3497**                   | 0.2937**                   | 0.3043**                  | 0.1551     | 0.1366                 | 0.2459**            | 0.2691**            |
| Plant Height                     | 1                      | 0.7691**         | 0.7076**     | 0.5715**                   | 0.7190**                   | 0.2632**                  | 0.1950     | 0.5726**               | 0.6423**            |                    |
| Number of Branches per Plant     | 1                      | 0.8678**         | 0.6147**     | 0.7921**                   | 0.3180**                   | 0.2587**                  | 0.7076**   | 0.7544**               |                     |                    |
| Number of Clusters per Plant     | 1                      | 0.6320**         | 0.8441**     | 0.3757**                   | 0.3551**                   | 0.6861**                  | 0.7532**   | 0.5939**               | 0.6812**            |                    |
| Number of Pods per Cluster       | 1                      | 0.3419**         | 0.3121**     | 0.7800**                   | 0.8608**                   | 0.5939**                  | 0.6812**   | 0.3187**               | 0.2974**            |                    |
| Pod Length                       | 1                      | 0.7864**         | 0.2918**     | 0.3757**                   | 0.3551**                   | 0.6861**                  | 0.7532**   | 0.5939**               | 0.6812**            |                    |
| Number of Seeds per Pod          | 1                      | 0.7864**         | 0.2918**     | 0.3757**                   | 0.3551**                   | 0.6861**                  | 0.7532**   | 0.5939**               | 0.6812**            |                    |
| Hundred Seed Weight              | 1                      | 0.8266**         | 0.3187**     | 0.2974**                   | 0.8266**                   | 0.5939**                  | 0.6812**   | 0.3187**               | 0.2974**            |                    |

* - Significant at 5% level of probability ** - Significant at 1% level of probability

### Table 4 Direct and indirect effect of yield attributing characters on seed yield

| Yield attributing traits          | Days to 50% Flowering | Days to Maturity | Plant Height | Number of Branches per Plant | Number of Clusters per Plant | Number of Pods per Cluster | Pod Length | Number of Seeds per Pod | Hundred Seed Weight | Seed Yield per Plant |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Days to 50% Flowering            | -0.0708                | -0.0555          | -0.0294      | -0.0241                    | -0.0293                    | -0.0298                   | -0.0262    | 0.0026                 | 0.0007              | -0.0178            |
| Days to Maturity                 | 0.0324                 | 0.0412           | 0.0151       | 0.0139                     | 0.0144                     | 0.0121                    | 0.0125     | 0.0064                 | 0.0056              | 0.0101            |
| Plant Height                     | 0.0036                 | 0.0032           | 0.0086       | 0.0066                     | 0.0061                     | 0.0049                    | 0.0062     | 0.0023                 | 0.0017              | 0.0049            |
| Number of Branches per Plant     | 0.0365                 | 0.0360           | 0.0823       | 0.1070                     | 0.0929                     | 0.0638                    | 0.0848     | 0.0340                 | 0.0277              | 0.0757            |
| Number of Clusters per Plant     | -0.0030                | -0.0025          | -0.0051      | -0.0063                    | -0.0072                    | -0.0046                   | -0.0061    | -0.0027                | -0.0026             | -0.0050            |
| Number of Pods per Cluster       | 0.0233                 | 0.0163           | 0.0317       | 0.0340                     | 0.0350                     | 0.0554                    | 0.0434     | 0.0162                 | 0.0124              | 0.0329            |
| Number of Pods per Plant         | 0.1688                 | 0.1389           | 0.3283       | 0.3617                     | 0.3854                     | 0.3581                    | 0.4566     | 0.1561                 | 0.1421              | 0.3561            |
| Pod Length                       | 0.0009                 | -0.0038          | -0.0065      | -0.0078                    | -0.0093                    | -0.0072                   | -0.0084    | -0.0247                | -0.0194             | -0.0066            |
| Number of Seeds per Pod          | -0.0004                | 0.0052           | 0.0075       | 0.0099                     | 0.0136                     | 0.0086                    | 0.0120     | 0.0302                 | 0.0384              | 0.0095            |
| Hundred Seed Weight              | 0.0923                 | 0.0902           | 0.2100       | 0.2595                     | 0.2516                     | 0.2178                    | 0.2860     | 0.0983                 | 0.0908              | 0.3667            |
| (r)Seed Yield per Plant          | 0.2836**               | 0.2691**         | 0.6423**     | 0.7544**                   | 0.7532**                   | 0.6812**                  | 0.8608**   | 0.3187**               | 0.2974**            | 0.8266**           |

Residual effect = 0.4367
In the present investigation, the characters number of pods per plant and hundred seed weight had highest positive direct effect (0.4566 and 0.3667 respectively) (Table 4) and also had high positive correlation (0.8608** and 0.8266** respectively) (Table 3) with seed yield. These findings are in accordance with the findings of Hemavathy et al., (2015); Patel et al., (2012) and Baisakh et al., (2016). Thus these are the most important and reliable characters and it can be utilized in direct selection for improving the seed yield. These characters had highest positive direct effect and relatively high positive indirect effect through other characters. Hence these characters can be used for improving seed yield.

The path diagram revealed a residual effect of 0.4367 indicates studied characters contribute 56.33 per cent to the yield. Relatively similar residual effects of 0.241, 0.383 and 0.375 were recorded by Hemavathy et al., (2015); Baisakh et al., (2016) and Anand et al., (2016). It can be concluded that, number of pods per plant and hundred seed weight should be given topmost priority while formulating a selection strategy for improvement of yield in green gram.
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