RNA viruses can hijack vertebrate microRNAs to suppress innate immunity

Derek W. Trobaugh¹, Christina L. Gardner¹, Chengqun Sun¹, Andrew D. Haddow², Eryu Wang², Elik Chapnik³, Alexander Mildner⁴, Scott C. Weaver², Kate D. Ryman¹ & William B. Klimstra¹

Currently, there is little evidence for a notable role of the vertebrate microRNA (miRNA) system in the pathogenesis of RNA viruses. This is primarily attributed to the ease with which these viruses mutate to disrupt recognition and growth suppression by host miRNAs⁴–⁵. Here we report that the haematopoietic-cell-specific miRNA miR-142-3p potently restricts the replication of the mosquito-borne North American equine encephalitis virus in myeloid-lineage cells by binding to sites in the 3′-non-translated region of its RNA genome. However, by limiting myeloid cell tropism and consequent innate immunity induction, this restriction directly promotes neurologic disease manifestations characteristic of eastern equine encephalitis virus infection in humans. Furthermore, the region containing the miR-142-3p binding sites is essential for efficient virus infection of mosquito vectors. We propose that RNA viruses can adapt to use antiviral properties of vertebrate miRNAs to limit replication in particular cell types and that this restriction can lead to exacerbation of disease severity.

miRNAs are 21–23-nucleotide host-encoded RNAs that are cell-specific and bind to complementary sequences in the 3′-non-translated region (NTR) of host messenger RNAs (mRNAs)⁶. The extent of sequence complementarity between the miRNA and mRNA leads to control of mRNA-encoded polypeptide levels by either a block in translation, degradation of the mRNA, or both⁷,⁸. For RNA viruses, limited evidence exists for host miRNAs binding to viral RNAs and restricting infection or affecting disease⁹–¹². In the case of hepatitis C virus, the opposite is observed: the liver-specific miRNA miR-122 binds to the viral 5′ NTR, stabilizing the RNA and enhancing in vitro viral replication⁹–¹⁰.

Wild-type North American eastern equine encephalitis virus (EEEV) strains are highly virulent mosquito-borne alphaviruses causing a 30–70% case fatality rate in humans⁹. The recognized geographic range and disease incidence of EEEV in the northeastern United States has increased over the past 10 years, raising concern about potential widespread outbreaks¹². EEEV disease is characterized by a limited prodrome before manifestations of encephalitis, resulting from restricted myeloid cell replication and minimal induction of systemic type I interferon (IFN)⁵,¹³. Longer prodrumes in human paediatric cases increase the likelihood of recovery, suggesting that host prodrmal responses may limit disease severity⁵.

Wild-type EEEV is defective for replication in human and murine macrophages and dendritic cells¹⁴. Using a luciferase-expressing translation reporter RNA encoding the 5′ and 3′ NTRs and translation initiation control sequences of wild-type EEEV (Extended Data Fig. 1a), we found that translation was restricted in murine RAW 264.7 (RAW) cells, a monocyte/macrophage myeloid cell line, versus BHK-21 fibroblasts (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1d). Translation of an analogous reporter RNA derived from the related myeloid-cell-tropic wild-type Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEEV) was efficient in both RAW (Fig. 1a) and BHK-21 (Extended Data Fig. 2a, b) cells¹⁵,¹⁶. Removal of the EEEV 5′ NTR (EEEV 5′A NTR) (Extended Data Fig. 1b) did not alleviate the restriction in translation in RAW cells (Fig. 1a), suggesting that the EEEV 3′ NTR confers this restriction. Indeed, transfer of the EEEV 3′ NTR to a host mRNA mimic (5′ host 3′ EEEV) (Extended Data Fig. 1c) resulted in translation blockade in RAW cells but not in BHK-21 cells (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1d). Transfer of the VEEV 3′ NTR to the host mimic had no effect on translation in RAW or BHK-21 cells (Extended Data Fig. 2a, b). Therefore, the EEEV 3′ NTR but not the VEEV 3′ NTR contains the restricting element(s).

Two miRNA prediction algorithms, miRANDA¹⁷ and PITIA¹⁸, identified three putative canonical and one non-canonical binding sites for the haematopoietic-cell-specific miRNA miR-142-3p in the 3′ NTR of the North American EEEV strain FL93–939 (Extended Data Fig. 3a, b). The three canonical miR-142-3p seed sites are conserved in 17 out of 23 sequenced North American EEEV strains collected between 1954 and 2012, suggesting a strong selection for their retention¹⁹ (S.C.W., unpublished observations). To determine whether the miR-142-3p binding sites in the EEEV 3′ NTR restrict viral replication, we generated an EEEV mutant (11337) with a deletion of 260 nucleotides encompassing all of the miR-142-3p-binding sites (Extended Data Fig. 3c). In BHK-21 cells, we observed no significant difference in viral replication at 12 h post-infection (h.p.i.) with 11337 compared to wild-type EEEV (P > 0.2, Extended Data Fig. 3d). However, replication of 11337 in RAW cells (Fig. 1b) was nearly 1,000-fold higher than wild-type EEEV within 8 h.p.i. A similar phenotype was observed after injection of human K562 and THP-1 monocyte/macrophage cells with wild-type EEEV and 11337 (Extended Data Fig. 4a, b) as well as infection of primary bone-marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs), reported to express high levels of miR-142-3p⁰²⁰ (Fig. 1c). Wild-type EEEV remained replication-defective in BMDCs in the absence of type I IFN signalling (Extended Data Fig. 4c); however, replication of 11337 increased, indicating that myeloid cell restriction of wild-type EEEV is IFN-independent but dependent upon the 3′ NTR sequences containing the miR-142-3p binding sites. All monocyte/macrophage cells used in this study expressed high levels of miR-142-3p in contrast to BHK-21 cells, in which miR-142-3p expression was undetectable (Extended Data Fig. 5).

To confirm a specific role for miR-142-3p in restricting EEEV replication, we expressed miR-142 in BHK-21 cells and assessed its effects on infection by wild-type EEEV, 11337 and wild-type VEEV. Ectopic expression of miR-142 in BHK-21 cells (Extended Data Fig. 5) completely blocked wild-type EEEV infection in comparison to control cells expressing a neuron-specific miRNA, miR-124 (Fig. 1d). By contrast, both 11337 and wild-type VEEV infected miR-142-expressing BHK-21 cells. To demonstrate the dependence of this restriction on the specific miR-142-3p binding sites, we generated mutant viruses that had either each of the miR-142-3p binding sequences deleted (142del) or three point mutations in each miR-142-3p binding site seed sequence (142pm; Extended Data Fig. 6a, b). These viruses replicated equally well in BHK-21 (Extended Data Fig. 6c) and RAW (Fig. 1e) cells, similar to 11337. To confirm that the increase in replication in myeloid cells was due to
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translation of the viral genome, we infected RAW cells with nsP3 reporter viruses to measure translation of virus-particle-delivered genomes13. Translation was significantly increased by 4 h.p.i. with 142del and 142pm viruses compared to wild-type EEEV (Fig. 1f). To assess the contribution of the miR-142-3p binding sites in EEEV to this phenotype, we infected BMDCs derived from miR-142-deficient (Mir142−/−) mice with wild-type EEEV or 11337, and detected no significant difference in viral titres between the viruses after 12 h.p.i. (P > 0.1, Fig. 1g). These data demonstrate that the presence of haematopoietic-cell-specific miR-142-3p binding sites in the wild-type EEEV 3′ NTR results in potent blockade of viral translation and subsequent replication in miR-142-3p-expressing cells in vitro.

Wild-type-EEEV-infected mice exhibit a minimal prodrome (for example, ruffled fur, hunched posture, weight loss), which is probably due to restricted myeloid cell replication and minimal type I IFN induction14,15. Ablation of the miR-142-3p binding sites in EEEV increases translation in infected RAW cells (Fig. 2a, b). Overexpression of miR-142-3p in BHK-21 cells blocks EEEV infection compared to overexpression of the control miR-124. Data are represented as the ratio of the percentage of cells co-expressing the microRNA miR-142 (eGFP) and virus-infected (mCherry) to cells co-expressing miR-124 and virus-infected. Data are averaged (mean ± s.d.) from two independent experiments. Overexpression of miR-142-3p in BHK-21 cells blocks EEEV infection compared to overexpression of the control miR-124. Data are represented as the ratio of the percentage of cells co-expressing the microRNA miR-142 (eGFP) and virus-infected (mCherry) to cells co-expressing miR-124 and virus-infected. Data are averaged (mean ± s.d.) from two independent experiments.

**Figure 1 | EEEV restriction in myeloid cells is due to miR-142-3p binding sites in the 3′ NTR.** a. The EEEV 3′ NTR restricts translation in RAW cells. Errors bars represent mean ± standard deviation (s.d.) from three independent experiments. WT, wild type. b, c. Replication of wild-type EEEV and 11337 in RAW cells (b) and C57BL/6 BMDCs (c). p.f.u., plaque-forming units. d. Overexpression of miR-142-3p in BHK-21 cells blocks EEEV infection compared to overexpression of the control miR-124. Data are represented as the ratio of the percentage of cells co-expressing the microRNA miR-142 (eGFP) and virus-infected (mCherry) to cells co-expressing miR-124 and virus-infected. Data are averaged (mean ± s.d.) from two independent experiments. e. Replication of EEEV 142del and 142pm in RAW cells. f. Ablation of the miR-142-3p binding sites in EEEV increases translation in RAW cells. nLuc, NanoLuc-luciferase; RLU, relative light units. g. Replication of wild-type EEEV and 11337 are similar in Mir142−/− BMDCs. Data represent the geometric mean ± s.d. from three independent experiments unless indicated. Asterisks indicate differences that are statistically significant (⁎P < 0.05, ⁎⁎P < 0.01, ⁎⁎⁎P < 0.001).

Previously, we observed that serum levels of IFN-α/β and prodromal signs were associated with alphavirus replication in myeloid cells within popliteal lymph nodes (PLN) after footpad inoculation13,14. Although wild-type EEEV replicated poorly in the PLN compared to wild-type VEEV throughout infection (Fig. 2d), both 71–77 and 11337 viruses replicated significantly more than wild-type EEEV by 12 h.p.i. The double mutant, 71–77/11337, replicated more efficiently in PLNs at all time points compared to either 71–77 or 11337, and at levels comparable to, but lower than, those seen in wild-type-VEEV-infected PLNs. Replication restriction was alleviated for 71–77 and 71–77/11337 in type I IFN receptor-deficient Ifnar1−/− mice (Fig. 2d), and survival times for the four viruses were essentially identical (P > 0.1; Extended Data Fig. 7), indicating that IFN-α/β is the primary attenuating factor for 11337 and 71–77/11337, and that the 11337 mutation does not compromise replication in vivo in comparison with the wild-type virus. Fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometric analysis (FCA) of PLNs from mice infected with mCherry-expressing viruses demonstrated that the number of cells infected after wild-type EEEV infection were not significantly different from uninfected mice, but 11337 and 71–77/11337 infected a significantly higher number of cells compared to wild-type EEEV, with the number of cells infected with 71–77/11337 approaching those seen after wild-type VEEV infection (Fig. 2e, f). Cells infected by 11337, 71–77/11337 and wild-type VEEV were predominantly CD11b+ with a subset also CD11c+, indicative of myeloid lineage cells (Extended Data Fig. 8). 71–77 appeared to infect some PLN cells by microscopy (Fig. 2e); however, failure to detect 71–77 PLN infection by...
Given the rapid mutation rate of RNA viruses, we proposed that the miR-142-3p binding sites in EEEV 3’ NTR decrease virus replication in the lymph node and enhance disease progression. Overall, deletion of the miR-142-3p binding sites alone or in combination with disruption of the heparan-sulphate-binding ability of EEEV increased infection of PLN myeloid-lineage cells, prodromal disease signs, and type I IFN production but decreased virulence in vivo dependent upon a functioning IFN-α/β response.

Figure 2 | miR-142-3p binding sites in EEEV 3’ NTR decrease virus replication in the lymph node and enhance disease progression. a, Survival curves of CD-1 mice. n = 9 and 10 (11337) mice per group, three independent experiments. b, Mice were monitored daily for clinical signs of disease. Y-axis represents number of mice exhibiting each sign of disease on each day. c, Serum levels of IFN-α/β in infected CD-1 mice. n = 8 mice, two independent experiments. Limit of detection of IFN-α/β ranged from 1.9 international units (IU) ml⁻¹ to 3.9 IU ml⁻¹. d, Quantification of viral replication in the PLNs using nLuc-reporter viruses. n = 8 mice (CD-1) and 6 mice (Ifnar1−/−) per time point, two independent experiments. e, Visualization of mCherry-reporter-virus-infected whole PLN from CD-1 mice 12 h.p.i. Images are representative of one PLN from two mice. Arrows indicates virus-infected cells(s) in wild-type EEEV-, 71–77- and 11337-infected PLNs. f, Quantification of virus-infected cells in PLN collected 12 h.p.i. n = 4 (naive), 5 (71–77) or 6 (71–77/11337) mice, two independent experiments. Error bars for all experiments represent geometric mean ± s.d. Asterisks indicate differences for all experiments that are statistically significant (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).

Figure 3 | EEEV sequences containing the miR-142-3p binding sites in EEEV are required for efficient mosquito infection. a, Replication of wild-type EEEV, 11337, 142del and 142pm in C6/36 mosquito cells. n = 3 or 4 (11337) independent experiments. Error bars represent geometric mean ± s.d. and asterisks indicate differences that are statistically significant using a two-tailed unpaired t-test comparing wild-type EEEV to all other viruses (**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). b, Infection rates of Aedes taeniorhynchus after ingestion of infectious bloodmeals (n = 20 mosquitoes per virus). † indicates 0 of 20 mosquitoes infected.
miRNA-mediated restriction of virus replication can lead to suppression of innate immune responses and exacerbation of disease, thereby benefiting the infecting microorganism. Understanding the role of miRNA expression levels and virus genotype in the efficiency of restriction may provide insight into temporal, geographical and individual host variation in EEEV and potentially other RNA virus diseases.

METHODS SUMMARY

Culture of BHK-21, 1929 fibroblasts and RAW monocyte/macrophage cells and BMDCs from CD-1, Ifnar1−/− and Mir142−/− mice has been described13,29. Virus growth curves with BHK-21 cell titration of progeny viruses were performed as described previously14 using enhanced GFP (eGFP) or mCherry reporter viruses described below.

Wild-type EEEV, wild-type VEEV and host mimic luciferase-expressing translation reporters were described previously14,20. Other reporters were constructed using the QuikChange II XL mutagenesis kit. Translation assays were performed as described with minor modifications17.

Construction of cDNA clones of VEEV ZPC 738 (ref. 27; wild-type VEEV), EEEV FL93-939 (ref. 28; wild-type EEEV) and EEEV 71–77 (ref. 14) were described previously. The EEEV 11337, 1422d and 142pm mutants were constructed from the FL93-939 cDNA. mCherry, eGFP- and nLuc-expressing versions of all viruses were constructed similarly to a described capsid-PE2 fusion reporter viruses using the QuikChange II XL kit29.

The eGFP-expressing pCMV-miR-142 or pCMV-miR-124 expression plasmids were electroporated into BHK-21 cells. After ~18 h, cells were infected with mCherry reporter viruses for 8 h followed by assessment of co-expression of the miRNA with nLuc or mCherry reporter viruses. PLNs were collected at indicated time points and processed for Nano-Glo luciferase assay, for fluorescence microscopy, with nLuc or mCherry reporter viruses. Growth curves with BHK-21 cell titration of progeny viruses were performed as described previously14 using enhanced GFP (eGFP) or mCherry reporter viruses described below.
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RT–PCR to detect mir-142-3p. Total cellular RNA was collected from cells using Trizol (Life Technologies) and 1-bromo-3-chloropropane and isopropanol. RNA (200 ng) was reverse transcribed with the miScript II RT kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s guidelines. cDNA was diluted in water and mature miR-142-3p was quantified using the miScript SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s guidelines using the miR-142-3p-specific primer 5′-TGTAGTGT TTCTACTCTTATGGA-3′. mir-142-3p expression was normalized to RN6B using the primer 5′-GATGACAGCAAATCTGTA-3′ and the ΔΔCt method. Data are calculated as fold change in expression compared to expression of mir-142-3p in BHK-21 cells in which mir-142-3p expression was undetected.

Mouse infections, tissue collection, nLuc analysis. Six-week-old female outbred CD-1 mice (Charles River Laboratories) and 6–9-week-old female or male Ifnar1−/− mice bred in house were randomly distributed, infected subcutaneously in both footpads and scored daily for clinical signs and weight loss as described previously23,24. Two investigators were used to analyse the clinical symptoms observed in the mice during morbidity and mortality studies. Investigators were not privy to timing and onset of clinical symptoms from previous experiment. For tissue collection, mice were infected with 103 p.f.u. of nLuc- or mCherry-reporter TaV viruses. PLNs were collected at indicated time points and either frozen on dry ice, placed in 200 µl × PLB for nLuc analysis or placed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 1 week for visualization on a fluorescence microscope. PLNs were homogenized and analysed for nLuc expression using the Nano-Glo Luciferase assay system. All EEEV PLNs were photographed using equal exposure times (615 ms) whereas the VEEV lymph node, owing to an increased signal, was visualized using a lower exposure time (90 ms) at ×4 magnification using cellsens Standard software (Olympus). The 71–77/11337 inset was imaged at ×40 magnification and 457-ms exposure length. Brightness and contrast of the images were adjusted equally using Adobe Photoshop CS3 and Microsoft Powerpoint software. All animal procedures were carried out in accordance with American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International–approved institutional guidelines for animal care and use and approved by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. No statistical methods were used to ensure adequate power. Sample sizes were chosen based upon experience with the mortality kinetics of EEEV in mice and historical group number requirements to achieve statistical significance yet use the fewest animals possible.

Quantification of virus-infected cells from PLN. To quantify number of virus infected cells in PLNs, CD-1 mice were infected as above, collected at 12 h.p.i., minced and incubated with Liberase TL (0.2 mg ml−1 Roche) and DNasel (0.2 mg ml−1 Roche) for 20 min at 37 °C. After removal of cellular debris, cells were stained with anti-mouse CD11b (clone N418, BioLegend), and anti-mouse CD11c (clone M1/70, eBioscience) to identify myeloid cells. Cells were fixed in 4% PFA and analysed using a BD LSRFortessa (BD Bioscience) and Flojo Software (Tree Star). Number of virus-infected cells was calculated based on total number of cells in both PLNs per mouse.

IFN-α/β analysis. Serum IFN-α/β was measured using a standard biological assay on 1929 cells as described previously24.

Mosquito infection. Adult female Aedes (Ochlerotatus) taeniorhynchus mosquitoes were infected with EEEV, 11337, 142del and 142pm mCherry- or eGFP-reporter TaV viruses in artificial bloodmeals. Engorged females were incubated at 27 °C for 10 days under 12 h light/12 h dark cicadian lighting conditions and assayed for infection by inoculation onto Vero cell monolayers and observation for cytopathic effects as described previously31.

Statistical analysis. Statistical significance for mortality curves was determined by Mantel–Cox log-rank test. For all viral growth curve experiments, data was log10 transformed and unpaired t-tests were performed and corrected for multiple comparisons using the Holm–Sidak method with alpha = 0.05. For all other experiments, a two-tailed unpaired t-test was used (GraphPad Prism software). Statistical analysis for nLuc expression in CD-1 PLN was performed comparing wild-type EEEV versus the other EEEV viruses whereas wild-type VEEV was compared to only 71–77/11337 at each time point. nLuc expression in Ifnar1−/− mice was compared to the corresponding viruses at 12 h.p.i. in CD-1 mice only. Statistical analysis for quantification of the number of infected cells in PLN was performed between the EEEV mutant viruses and wild-type EEEV, and between wild-type VEEV and 71–77/11337.

31. Sun, C., Gardiner, C. L., Watson, A. M., Ryman, K. D. & Klimstra, W. B. Stabile presentation of reporter proteins from plasmid expression vectors to track replication and dissemination during encephalitis and arthritogenic disease. J. Virol. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02990-13) (4 December 2013).
Extended Data Figure 1 | EEEV 3’ NTR does not restrict translation in BHK-21 fibroblasts. a, Wild-type EEEV and wild-type VEEV translation reporters encode the translational initiation control sequences fused to the Firefly luciferase (fLuc) gene. b, The EEEV 5’Δ NTR and VEEV 5’Δ NTR encode the truncated nsP1 gene and only the 3’ NTR of either EEEV or VEEV, respectively. c, The 3’ NTR of EEEV or VEEV was inserted into a host mRNA mimic reporter to generate the 5’ host 3’ EEEV or 5’ host 3’ VEEV reporters. All translation reporters contain a 5’ cap and a 3’ poly(A) tail. d, Translation of wild-type EEEV, EEEV 5’Δ NTR, and 5’ host 3’ EEEV reporters in BHK-21 cells. Error bars represent mean ± s.d. and the data are averaged from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. WT, wild type.
Extended Data Figure 2 | VEEV 3’ NTR does not restrict translation in myeloid cells. a, b. Translation of wild-type VEEV, VEEV 5’Δ NTR, and 5’ host 3’ VEEV reporters in RAW (a) and BHK-21 (b) cells. Error bars represent mean ± s.d. and the data are averaged from three independent experiments performed in triplicate.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Removal of miR-142-3p binding sites in the 3′NTR of EEEV does not alter replication in BHK-21 fibroblasts. a, Red boxes indicate the four miR-142-3p binding sites in the 3′ NTR. Numbers represent nucleotide (nt) positions at the start and end of each miRNA binding site. b, Grey boxes correspond to the complimentary nts in the EEEV 3′ NTR and miR-142-3p. c, EEEV mutant 11337 contains a deletion in the 3′ NTR from nt 11,337 to 11,596. d, Replication of wild-type EEEV and 11337 in BHK-21 cells. n = 3 independent experiments. Error bars indicate geometric mean ± s.d., and asterisks indicate differences that are statistically significant (***P < 0.01).
Extended Data Figure 4 | miR-142-3p binding sites in EEEV restrict replication in human macrophage/monocyte cell lines and primary murine Ifnar1⁻/⁻ BMDCs. a, b, Replication of wild-type EEEV and 11337 in human K562 (a) and THP-1 (b) cells. n = 2 (THP-1) and 3 (K562) independent experiments. c, Removal of type I IFN does not alleviate wild-type EEEV restriction in primary murine Ifnar1⁻/⁻ BMDCs. n = three independent experiments. Data represent the geometric mean ± s.d., and asterisks indicate differences that are statistically significant (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, **P < 0.001).
Extended Data Figure 5 | Relative expression of miR-142-3p in mouse and human cells. Quantitative RT–PCR on primary murine BMDCs, murine and human monocyte/macrophage cell lines, and BHK-21 cells expressing miR-142-3p (BHK-21 w/miR-142-3p). Fold increase in expression is calculated compared to expression of miR-142-3p in BHK-21 cells in which miR-142-3p expression was undetectable.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Specific deletion of the miR-142-3p binding sites in the 3’ NTR of wild-type EEEV does not alter replication in BHK-21 fibroblasts. a, EEEV 142del virus contains four deletions corresponding to the complimentary nucleotides in the 3’ NTR that bind to miR-142-3p, eliminating all four miR-142-3p binding sites. b, EEEV 142pm virus contains three point mutations in each of the miR-142-3p binding sites that correspond to the seed sequence of miR-142-3p. c, Replication of wild-type EEEV and 11337 in BHK-21 cells. n = three independent experiments. Error bars indicate geometric mean ± s.d.
Extended Data Figure 7  |  Type I IFN attenuates 11337 and 71–77/11337.
Survival curves in Ifnar1−/− mice. n = 8 and 10 (71–77/11337) mice per virus from two independent experiments.
Extended Data Figure 8 | EEEV 11337 and 71–77/11337 infect myeloid lineage cells in the PLN. a, Per cent virus-infected cells in PLN in naïve, wild-type VEEV-, wild-type EEEV-, 71–77-, 11337- and 71–77/11337-infected mice. Plots are representative of n = 4 (naive), 5 (71–77) or 6 (71–77/11337) mice from two independent experiments. b, c, Wild-type VEEV, 11337 and 71–77/11337 infect myeloid lineage cells in the PLN. b, Representative flow plot from 1 mouse of CD11b (y-axis) and CD11c (x-axis) expression on virus-infected cells. n = 4 (naive), 5 (71–77) or 6 (71–77/11337) mice from two independent experiments. c, Summary of CD11b and CD11c expression on virus-infected cells from wild-type VEEV-, 11337- and 71–77/11337-infected PLNs. Only mice with responses above naïve mouse background levels were used to determine CD11b and CD11c expression.
**Extended Data Table 1 | Primers used to generate the translation reporters using the QuikChange II XL mutagenesis kit**

| Reporter       | Primer Name     | Sequence                                                                 |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EEEV Δ5' NTR   | EEEV Δ5' NTR-F  | 5'-agctcggatcctaatagtagtagggaagtggctgaatgtcatactagc-3'                  |
|                | EEEV Δ5' NTR-R  | 5'-tgctcagcttcataagtggaacctcctactctctatagactagagct-3'                  |
| VEEV Δ5' NTR   | VEEV Δ5' NTR-F  | 5'-agaggatccttaaatactagactactatagatgtagagagagttcacttcactgtagaggaa-3'   |
|                | VEEV Δ5' NTR-R  | 5'-ttctcgtagctcaacgtagctcatctctctatagcatcgagtattaggagtctct-3'          |
| Host mimic w/Not1 | fLuc-Not1-F     | 5'-cocaacaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaagggcgccccaggtatgtctcatagc-3' |
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### Extended Data Table 2 | Primers used in the generation of EEEV mutant viruses

| Virus   | Primer Name | Sequence                                      |
|---------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 11337   | EEEV11337-F | 5’-gcacattaacatctttgtaacggcagcataatgctgttttatc-3’ |
|         | EEEV11337-R | 5’-gataaagacagcattatgcgctggtggtacaagatgttaatgtc-3’ |
| 142del  | EEEV-Del-1-2-F | 5’-cataagacattacatctttggttggtactggttaaaaggcctacccctgtactttcgagc-3’ |
|         | EEEV-Del-1-2-R | 5’-ccggagctacatcggactaggtggaaggccctataacaactgcccagatgttaattctatg-3’ |
|         | EEEV-Del-3-4-F | 5’-cttttataatctgggtataatctggcctggcagcataatgctgct-3’ |
|         | EEEV-Del-3-4-R | 5’-gcacgacattatgcgctggtcaccagttatgattataaagc-3’ |
| 142pm   | ΔmiR-142-1-F | 5’-taacatctttgtaacacataactcaagagcaggttgta-3’ |
|         | ΔmiR-142-1-R | 5’-atttgtgaacacagttgtgttaaggctctccgtcact-3’ |
|         | ΔmiR-142-2-F | 5’-taaggcgtctctctaacaactcaagatctaccctgta-3’ |
|         | ΔmiR-142-2-R | 5’-ctaggggtatacttttagtttagtaagaagcactta-3’ |
|         | ΔmiR-142-3-F | 5’-gcataatgggtgtataactcataaggtgtaatatcgcctttgtataaa-3’ |
|         | ΔmiR-142-3-R | 5’-tttttaagagccagttattacctcttgtagtaatgttatataccggaatttgc-3’ |
|         | ΔmiR-142-4-F | 5’-ataccgcctctataactcaagagcagcggc-3’ |
|         | ΔmiR-142-4-R | 5’-gcgctgctctttgagttaaagagcggat-3’ |