Multidimensional, High Precision Measurements of Beam Single Spin Asymmetries in Semi-inclusive \( \pi^+ \) Electroproduction off Protons in the Valence Region

S. Diehl, A. Kim, G. Angelini, K. Joo, S. Adhikari, M. Amatya, M. Arratia, H. Atac, H. Avakian, C. Ayerbe Gayoso, N.A. Baltzell, L. Baron, S. Bastami, M. Battaglieri, I. Bedlniski, F. Benmokhtar, A. Bianconi, A. Biselli, M. Bondi, F. Bossu, S. Boiarinov, K.-T. Brinkmann, W. Briscoe, W. Brooks, D. Bulumulla, D.S. Burkert, D.S. Carman, J.C. Carvajal, A. Celentano, P. Chatagnon, T. Chetry, C. Ciullo, L. Clark, B.A. Clary, P.L. Cole, P.L. Conte, G. Costantini, V. Crede, N. Dashyan, R. De Vita, M. Defurine, A. Deur, C. Djalali, M. Dugger, H. Egiyan, M. Ehrhart, A. El Alaoui, L. El Fassi, L. Elouadrhiri, S. Fegan, A. Filippi, T. Forest, G. Gavalian, G.P. Gilfoyle, M. Guidal, K. Hafidi, M. Hafidi, H. Hakobyan, M. Hollop, C.E. Hyde, D.G. Ireland, E.L. Isupov, H.S. Jo, R. Johnston, S. Joosten, M. Khachatryan, A. Khanal, W. Kim, A. Kripko, V. Kubarovski, S.E. Kuhn, L. Lanza, M. Leali, P. Lenisa, K. Livingston, I.J.D. MacGregor, D. Marchand, N. Markov, L. Marsicano, V. Mascauga, B. McKinnon, E.P. Segarra, U. Shrestha, P. Simmerling, D. Sokhan, O. Soto, N. Sparveris, I.I. Strakovsky, S. Strauch, K. Tezglin, A. Thornton, N. Tyler, R. Tyson, M. Ungaro, L. Venturrelli, H. Voskanyan, A. Vossen, E. Voutier, D.P. Watts, K. Wei, X. Wei, S.-S. Xu, B. Yale, N. Zachariou, and J. Zhang

(CLAS Collaboration)

1Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA
2Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85281, USA
3IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91919 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
4Christopher Newport University, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA
5University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA
6University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269, USA
7University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
8Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708-0305, USA
9Queen’s University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada
10University of Rome “La Sapienza”, Rome, Italy
11University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU, Bilbao, Spain
12INFN, Sezione di Ferrara, 44100 Ferrara, Italy
13Advanced Research Laboratory, Daejeon, Republic of Korea
14Advanced Research Laboratory, Daejeon, Republic of Korea
15INFN, Sezione di Ferrara, 44100 Ferrara, Italy
16INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
17INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy
18INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy
19The George Washington University, Washington, D.C. 20052, USA
20Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho 83209, USA
21INFN, Sezione di Ferrara, 44100 Ferrara, Italy
22INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
23INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy
24INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy
25Lamar University, 4400 MLK Blvd, P.O. Box 10046, Beaumont, Texas 77711, USA
26National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute—ITEP, Moscow, 117259, Russia
27National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
28National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, USA
29National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
30INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy
31INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
32INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy
33INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy
34INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
35INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy
36INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy
37INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
38INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy
39INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy
40INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
41INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy
42INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy
43INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
44INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy
45INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy
46INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
47INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy
48INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy
49INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
50INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy
51INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy
High precision measurements of the polarized electron beam-spin asymmetry in semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS) from the proton have been performed using a 10.6 GeV incident electron beam and the CLAS12 spectrometer at Jefferson Lab. We report here a high precision multidimensional study of single $\pi^+$ SIDIS data over a large kinematic range in Bjorken $x$, fractional energy, and transverse momentum of the hadron as well as photon virtualities $Q^2$ ranging from $1^{-7}$ GeV$^2$. In particular, the structure function ratio $F_{LU}^{\text{sin}\phi}$ has been determined, where $F_{LU}^{\text{sin}\phi}$ is a twist-3 quantity that can reveal novel aspects of emergent hadron mass and quark-gluon correlations within the nucleon. The data's impact on the evolving understanding of the underlying reaction mechanisms and their kinematic variation is explored using theoretical models for the different contributing transverse momentum dependent parton distribution functions.
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Since the discovery of quarks more than fifty years ago [1,2], science has sought to understand what they are and how they are bound by gluons to form nucleons. Ensuing decades of measurements via deep inelastic scattering (DIS) of lepton beams off nucleons have charted the nucleon's gluon and quark momentum distributions in terms of one-dimensional (1D) parton distribution functions (PDFs) [3–5]. These measurements have led to significant insights; but many structural aspects are invisible in such 1D images, which are essentially obtained by averaging over all degrees of freedom except longitudinal momentum. For instance, they cannot fully reveal whether quarks undergo orbital motion; if there is a connection between quark motion, their spin and the spin of the proton; or how the proton's total spin is built from the orbital angular momenta and spins of the gluons and quarks within. Today, building upon twenty-five years of theory [6–11], new possibilities for 3D imaging exist, which promise to deliver answers to these and other basic questions. In the past decade, a mathematical framework has been developed that links information on the confined motion of partons inside a rapidly moving nucleon with transverse momentum dependent parton distribution functions (TMDs) [8–10,12]. Knowledge of TMDs reveals, inter alia: the orbital motion of quarks within the parent nucleon; correlations between parton momentum and spin;
and additional effects driven by emergent hadron mass [13,14], e.g., dynamical chiral symmetry breaking (DCSB) [15] and the generation of quark + quark (diquark) correlations within nucleons [16].

A powerful tool for studying nucleon structural distributions in the plane transverse to its light-front (longitudinal) direction of motion is provided by semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS), which involves measuring at least one specified hadron in the final state plus the scattered lepton, see Fig. 1. Spin asymmetries in polarized SIDIS are related to convolutions of TMDs with fragmentation functions (FFs), the latter parametrizing the probability for a given struck parton to emit a particular hadron [11]. Such asymmetries attract intense interest [17–23].

Sizable single spin asymmetries (SSAs) have been observed in SIDIS with longitudinally polarized lepton beams and unpolarized targets (hereafter described as beam SSAs) [24–29]. Beam SSAs are twist-3 objects, i.e., compared with many other processes, their cross sections are suppressed by $O(M/Q)$, where $M$ is the target nucleon mass and $Q^2$ is the photon virtuality. This property can make measuring them difficult. However, at the energies characteristic of existing fixed-target (as opposed to colliding beam) facilities, contributions of $O(M/Q)$ can be significant, making beam SSAs accessible. One thereby gains rare access to information about correlations between gluons and quarks within the target [22,23]; and emergent hadron mass, which is responsible for the bulk of observable mass in the Universe [13–15].

This Letter presents high-precision beam SSAs measured in $\pi^+$ SIDIS of longitudinally polarized electrons off unpolarized protons with a wide range of fully differential multidimensional kinematics on $Q^2 \in [1.7, 7.0]$ GeV$^2$, $x_B \in [0.13, 0.52]$, $z \in [0.17, 0.7]$, and $P_T$ up to 0.85 GeV ($c = 1$). Here, $Q^2$ is the momentum transferred into the system by the lepton probe (the photon virtuality); $x_B$ is the fraction of the proton’s momentum carried by the struck quark; $P_T$ is the hadron’s transverse momentum, with respect to the virtual photon; $y$ is the energy fraction of the incoming lepton carried by the virtual photon, and $z$ is the fraction of the virtual photon’s energy carried by the outgoing hadron in the lab frame. The reaction kinematics of the process are sketched in Fig. 1.

In the one-photon exchange approximation beam SSAs ($A_{LU}$) are defined thus:

$$A_{LU}(z, P_T, \phi, x_B, Q^2) = \frac{d\sigma^+ - d\sigma^-}{d\sigma^+ + d\sigma^-} = \frac{A_{LU}^\sin \phi \sin \phi}{1 + A_{LU}^{\cos \phi} \cos \phi + A_{LU}^{\cos 2\phi} \cos 2\phi},$$

where $d\sigma^\pm$ is the differential cross section for each beam helicity state ($\pm$): spin parallel or antiparallel to the beam direction. The subscripts of the moments $A_{ij}$ represent the longitudinally polarized (L) or unpolarized (U) state of the beam and target, respectively. $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle between the electron scattering plane and the hadronic reaction plane, see Fig. 1.

Our chief focus is the $\sin \phi$ moment, $A_{LU}^\sin \phi$, which provides access to dynamical aspects of proton structure, as will become clear. It is proportional to the polarized structure function $F_{LU}^{\sin \phi}$:

$$A_{LU}^\sin \phi = \sqrt{2e(1-c)} F_{LU}^{\sin \phi} / F_{UU,T} + c F_{UU,L},$$

where the terms in $F_{LU} = F_{LU,T} + c F_{UU,L}$ are the contributions from longitudinal and transverse polarizations of the virtual photon, and $c$ is the ratio of their fluxes.

A TMD interpretation of our data requires that a (factorized) convolution formula be a valid interpretative tool [10,30]. The required kinematic conditions might not be met at our largest $P_T$ values in the smallest $x_B$ bins and a complementary analysis framework may be applicable on this domain [31]; but contemporary theory cannot provide rigorous guidance on these points because factorization has not yet been proved in connection with twist-three observables [32], although progress in that direction is being made [33–35]. Consequently, we proceed by assuming factorization is valid and remain vigilant against manifest violations. So, we write [10,30]:

$$F_{LU}^{\sin \phi} = \frac{2M}{Q} C \left[ -\frac{\vec{h} \cdot \vec{k}_T}{M_h} \left( x_B e H_1^+ + \frac{M_h}{M} f_1 \tilde{G}_1^\perp \right) \frac{1}{z} \right] + \frac{\vec{h} \cdot \vec{p}_T}{M} \left( x_B g^+ D_1 + \frac{M_h}{M} b_1^+ \tilde{E} \right),$$

where $C$ indicates a convolution of TMDs and FFs. Here, $e$ is a twist-3 TMD, $H_1^+$ is the Collins FF, $f_1$ is the unpolarized distribution function, $\tilde{G}_1^\perp$ is a twist-3 FF, $g^+$ is a twist-3 T-odd distribution function, $D_1$ is the unpolarized FF, $b_1^+$ is the Boer-Mulders function, and $\tilde{E}$ is a twist-3 FF. (The properties of these functions are detailed elsewhere [11,22,23].) Furthermore, $\vec{p}_T (\vec{k}_T)$ is the intrinsic
quark transverse momentum in the generic distribution function \( f_1 \) (fragmentation function \( D_1 \)), \( M_h \) is the pion mass, and \( \hat{h} \) is a unit vector in the direction of the pion’s transverse momentum.

Notably, most twist-3 structure functions can be separated into three terms using QCD’s equations of motion: a twist-2 piece, relating to some single-parton density; a genuine twist-3 term, containing information on quark-gluon correlations and DCSB; and a term proportional to the current-quark mass, which is usually neglected for light quarks. The so-called Wandzura-Wilczek (WW) approximation keeps only the twist-two piece [36]. Crucially, the structure function \( F_{LU}^{\sin \phi} \) is special because it contains no such twist-two contribution, i.e., it is genuinely twist three [10]; hence, particularly sensitive to quark-gluon correlations. Any analysis of our experiment that uses the WW terms, hence, sensitive to DCSB [13–15]. Other mechanisms involve convolution of the Boer-Mulders function \( h_1^\perp \) with the FF \( \hat{E} \) and the coupling between the unpolarized distribution function \( f_1 \) and the twist-3 FF \( \hat{G}^\perp \). Apart from those mentioned above, a mechanism involving the poorly known twist-3 TMD \( g_1^\perp \) can also generate the beam SSA. \( g_1^\perp \) appears in the decomposition of the quark correlator if the dependence on the light-cone vector is included and is sensitive to target quark-gluon correlations. To model the twist-3 T-odd chiral-even TMD \( g_1^\perp \) it is necessary to include final state interactions, which can be estimated via one-gluon exchange. Therefore, studying beam SSAs provides a unique opportunity to unravel the role of genuine twist-3 effects; and the subsequent discussion suggests that our data are particularly sensitive to the \( eH_1^\perp \) (DCSB) and \( g_1^\perp D_1 \) (quark gluon correlation) terms in Eq. (3).

SIDIS \( \pi^+ \) electroproduction was measured at Jefferson Lab with CLAS12 (CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer for experiments at 12 GeV) [40]. Beam SSAs were extracted over a wide range in \( Q^2, x_B, z, P_T, \) and \( \phi \). The incident 10.6 GeV electron beam was longitudinally polarized and the target was unpolarized liquid hydrogen. The CLAS12 forward detector consists of six identical sectors within a toroidal magnetic field. The momentum and the charge of the particles were determined by three regions of drift chambers from the curvature of the particle trajectories in the magnetic field. The electron identification was based on a lead-scintillator electromagnetic sampling calorimeter in combination with a Cherenkov counter. Positive pions were identified by time-of-flight measurements. For the selection of deeply inelastic scattered electrons, cuts on \( Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2, y \leq 0.75 \) and on the invariant mass of the hadronic final state \( W > 2 \text{ GeV} \) were applied. In addition, it was required that the \( e\pi^+X \) missing mass be larger than 1.5 GeV to reduce the contribution from exclusive channels.

Figure 2 shows the new CLAS12 data as a function of \( x_B, z, P_T \), integrated over all other kinematic variables, compared with available world data for \( F_{LU}^{\sin \phi}/F_{UU} \) from previous experiments. Details on the CLAS12 multidimensional analysis follow. Although \( F_{LU}^{\sin \phi} \) was studied at HERMES [26,27], COMPASS [28], and CLAS [29,41] during the last two decades, there is still no consistent understanding of the contribution from each part to the total structure function. The high statistics on an extended kinematic range, which distinguishes the new data, enables a high precision multidimensional analysis; hence, provides an excellent basis for TMD and FF extraction.

For the multidimensional binning, first the electron variables are sorted in nine bins in \( Q^2 \) and \( x_B \) (see Fig. 3). For each of these \( Q^2, x_B \) bins, a binning is applied to \( z \) and \( P_T \) as exemplified in Fig. 3.

The beam SSA and its statistical uncertainty were determined experimentally from the number of counts with positive and negative helicity (\( N_i^\pm \)) in a specific bin \( i \) as

\[
A_{LU} = \frac{1}{P_e} \frac{N_i^+ - N_i^-}{N_i^+ + N_i^-}, \quad \sigma_{A_{LU}} = \frac{1}{P_e} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_i^+ + N_i^-} - \left(\frac{P_e A_{LU}}{N_i^+ + N_i^-}\right)^2},
\]

where \( P_e \) is the average magnitude of the beam polarization. \( P_e \) was measured with a Möller polarimeter upstream of CLAS12 and was 86.3% \( \pm \) 2.6%. The polarization was flipped at 30 Hz to minimize systematic effects.

To extract \( A_{LU}^{\sin \phi} \), the beam SSA was measured as a function of the azimuthal angle \( \phi \). Then the data were fit with a \( \sin \phi \) function. The obtained \( A_{LU}^{\sin \phi} \) moment is then related to \( F_{LU}^{\sin \phi}/F_{UU} \) via Eq. (2). Several sources of
systematic uncertainty were investigated, including beam polarization, radiative effects, particle identification, and contamination from baryon resonances and exclusive ρ meson production. A detailed Monte Carlo simulation was performed to study acceptance and bin-migration effects, which were both found to be negligible compared to the other contributions. The influence of additional azimuthal modulations $\cos \phi$ and $\cos 2\phi$ on the extracted $\sin \phi$ amplitude was also evaluated, and found to be negligible. The total point-to-point systematic uncertainty of $F_{LU}^{\sin \phi}/F_{UU}$, defined as the square root of the quadratic sum of the uncertainties from all sources, is typically on the order of 5.6% and dominated by the uncertainty from radiative effects (3.0%) and acceptance and bin migration effects (2.7%). The beam polarization adds an additional 3.0% scale uncertainty to our observable.

The ratio $F_{LU}^{\sin \phi}/F_{UU}$ was extracted for each of the obtained 344 bins. The result for each bin, and the mean value of the kinematic variables in each bin, are listed in Supplemental Material and in the CLAS physics database [42,44]. Figure 4 (5) shows the $z$ ($P_T$) dependence for selected $P_T$ ($z$) bins in different bins of $Q^2$, $x_B$, which represent the characteristics of the different kinematic regions. Evidently, the measured asymmetries are positive. The experimental data reveal that the $z$ dependence changes from a more flat behavior at small $P_T$, $Q^2$, $x_B$ values to a steep increase at large $P_T$, $Q^2$, $x_B$. Also for the $P_T$ dependence a small magnitude with a nearly flat behavior can be observed at small $Q^2$, $x_B$, $z$ values, while for increasing $z$ a peaking structure with varying mean value and width is observed at small $Q^2$, $x_B$, while an increasing trend becomes dominant at large $Q^2$, $x_B$. The results are compared to theoretical predictions, calculated using the models in Refs. [45,46] (models 1 and 2) and Refs. [47,48] (model 3).

The first two models describe the proton as an active quark plus (inert) spectator scalar and axial-vector diquarks. Both models include the $eH_1^+$ and $g^2D_1$ terms. The others are assumed to be small. Model 1 uses a complicated propagator for the axial-vector diquark and a ratio for axial-vector and scalar strengths fitted [49] to ZEUS [50] and GRSV01 [51] PDFs. Diquark masses and various cutoffs are also model parameters. The PDF fits produce $|I = 1, I_z = 1|$ and $|I = 1, I_z = 0|$ axial-vector diquarks with very different masses. The model 1 diquark masses conflict with direct calculations [16], in which the scalar diquark mass is $\approx 80\%$ of that associated with degenerate axial-vector correlations. Model 2 uses a simple propagator for axial-vector diquarks and the ratio of axial-vector and scalar is fixed by SU(4) spin-flavor symmetry. The model also uses mass degenerate axial-vector diquarks. The models differ most significantly in the mass of the scalar diquark, the form of the propagator for the axial-vector diquark (complex versus simple) and the masses of these correlations (different versus degenerate). The FFs used in both models are described in Ref. [52].

Model 3 includes only the $eH_1^+$ term with the Collins function taken from the parametrization of Ref. [21] and $e(x)$ based on a chiral quark soliton model [39,47,53]. In that model the $P_T$ dependence of the TMD $e(x, P_T)$ is predicted to be narrow, emulating arguments elsewhere [54]. Thus, in model-3 calculations it is assumed that $e(x, P_T) = e(x)\delta^{(2)}(P_T)$ [48]. Hence, the approach explores the possibility that the FF’s transverse momentum dependence is more important to the beam SSA than that of the target TMD. This is the only model predicting the experimentally unmeasurable $\delta(x)$ contribution in $e(x)$ expected in QCD.
FIG. 5. \(P_T\) dependence of \(F_{LU}^{\sin \phi}/F_{UU}\) for increasing \(z\) bins (left to right) and for different \(Q^2\)-\(x_B\) bins (see caption of Fig. 4). The systematic uncertainty is given by the gray histogram. The predictions of the different theoretical models are shown by the bold and dashed lines (see caption of Fig. 4).

and related to the pion-nucleon sigma term [38]. Notably, since the Collins FF parametrizations in Refs. [21,52] are quite similar, then differences between this model’s predictions and others stem largely from the form of \(e(x)\) and the inclusion or omission of \(g_{-}\).

The best reproduction of the general trend is provided by model 2. For this model, the comparison of the different kinematic regions shows that while \(eH_{-1}^{1}\) is dominant at small \(Q^2\), \(x_B\), quark-gluon correlations \((g_{-}D_{1})\) become increasingly important at large \(Q^2\), \(x_B\). Model 1 mixes a complicated axial-vector diquark propagator with a simple proton wave function, uses a scalar diquark mass on the same scale as the lightest axial-vector diquark and vastly different masses for diquarks within the same isospin multiplet. Here, it is seen to be challenged by high precision experiments. In particular, its \(eH_{-1}^{1}\) contribution is uniformly negative. If the scalar diquark mass is reduced to the value used in model 2, this conflict is eliminated. The simplicity and internal consistency of model 2 is a more natural beginning for phenomenology. Even though model 3 uses a different approach, it provides results that are similar to the \(eH_{-1}^{1}\) term of model 2, which provides additional support for this model and, potentially, points to an important role for axial-vector diquarks in the proton’s wave function [16].

In addition to the \(z\) and \(P_T\) dependence, a strong \(x_B\) dependence (shown in Supplemental Material [42]) can be observed, with a more flat behavior at small \(z\), \(P_T\) and an increasing trend for larger \(P_T\), \(z\) values. These kinematic dependencies can provide valuable insights into the kinematic dependence of the involved TMDs and FFs.

Significantly, no parameters were varied in any of the models preparatory to making these experiment-theory comparisons, which therefore highlight the discriminating power of fully multidimensional analyses with high statistics over a wide kinematic range. Such data provide both the means of validating different models and their underlying assumptions, and the ability to place increasingly tight constraints on the TMDs involved.

Since a fully multidimensional analysis is herein made available for the first time, some new issues with model 2 are also exposed. [It was previously found (Fig. 6 in [45]) to underestimate the \(\pi^0\) SSA data obtained by HERMES [25].] These things indicate that either the parametrizations of the involved TMDs and FFs have to be improved or that additional terms from Eq. (3) besides the two that have been used provide measurable contributions in some kinematic regions. Therefore, including the multidimensional data presented in this work will help to further constrain the TMDs and FFs in global fits.

In summary, the structure function ratio \(F_{LU}^{\sin \phi}/F_{UU}\) corresponding to the polarized electron beam SSA in semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering has been measured over a wide range of kinematics in a fully multidimensional study. The comparison with calculations shows the promise of high-precision data to enable differentiation between competing reaction models and effects. In the context of currently available models, one sees: the potential importance of the chiral odd distribution \(e\), sensitive to emergent hadron mass, on the entire kinematic domain, and a possible role for the poorly known \(T\)-odd chiral-even TMD \(g_{-}\) at large \(P_T\) and \(z\); and incipient new signals in support of a role for axial-vector diquark correlations in the protons wave function. Therefore, including this multidimensional measurement into global fits, in combination with future measurements of unpolarized cross sections, as well as polarized target spin asymmetries, will provide new, strong constraints on the participating TMDs and FFs. Such progress will set us firmly on the path to a deeper understanding of nucleon structure in the 3D space most natural to picturing composite objects in relativistic quantum field theory.
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