New Frontiers in Anxiety Research: The Translational Potential of the Bed Nucleus of the Stria Terminalis
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After decades of being overshadowed by the amygdala, new perspectives suggest that a tiny basal forebrain region known as the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) may hold key insights into understanding and treating anxiety disorders. Converging research indicates that the amygdala and BNST play complementary but distinct functional roles during threat processing, with the BNST specializing in the detection of a potential threat to maintain hypervigilance and anxiety, while the amygdala responds to the perceived presence of an aversive stimulus (i.e., fear). Therefore, given that human anxiety is largely driven by future-oriented hypothetical threats that may never occur, studies involving the BNST stand at the forefront of essential future research with the potential to bring about profound insights for understanding and treating anxiety disorders. In this article, we present a narrative review on the BNST, summarizing its roles in anxiety and the stress response and highlighting the most recent advances in the clinical realm. Furthermore, we discuss oversights in the current state of anxiety research and identify avenues for future exploration.
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INTRODUCTION

Anxiety disorders are currently the most prevalent subgroup of mental disorders in most Western societies, with nearly one in three lifetime incidence in the USA (1, 2). These disorders are not only pervasive, but are frequently chronic and a leading cause of disability worldwide (3). While significant progress has been made in understanding the neural circuitry of threat processing in preclinical studies, these mechanistic advances have not translated to widely efficacious therapies. Promising new treatments either have turned out to be only moderately effective or have induced adverse side effects, limiting applicability in clinical practice (3–5).

To date, anxiety disorder research has primarily fixated on the amygdala, with nearly 5,000 human neuroimaging studies alone detailing its central role in emotion processing and threat detection (6). This line of work has led to well-supported conclusions that anxiety disorders can, in part, be attributed to hyper-responsivity of the amygdala to perceived threat (7), as well as dysregulated prefrontal control over amygdala reactivity due to altered structural or functional connectivity (8). Yet discouragingly, this ventromedial prefrontal (vmPFC) to amygdala circuit dysfunction has also been proposed as a model for many other disorders ranging from depression (9) to psychopathy (10). While many psychiatric and mood disorders undoubtedly
share some semblance of dysregulated emotion processing, explaining this common finding, it is unlikely that this single pathway represents such a broad etiology that could account for the heterogeneous symptomatology and phenotypic dysfunction seen across disorders or even within a single disorder. Although revolutionary in its initial discovery, this explanation of anxiety disorders now stands as an oversimplification that is ultimately hindering our understanding. The field is in need of the next iteration of specificity. Fortuitously, emerging research suggests that a tiny and lesser-known basal forebrain region may bring about a new wave of insights and opportunities for the development of novel therapeutics. Enter: the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST).

**DISTINGUISHING ANXIETY FROM FEAR**

Anxiety can be defined as a prolonged state of apprehension brought on by an uncertain or unpredictable prospective threat. In rodents, anxiety-like behaviors can be elicited by physically distant threats such as a predator in the environment, or diffuse contextual threats like a brightly lit open space. While comparable situations can indeed be anxiety provoking for humans (e.g., dark enclosed spaces), in general, humans are much more prone to encounter psychological stressors. Thus, an anxious emotional state can be triggered by ambiguously threatening stimuli or even by internally generated thoughts of real or imagined prospective threats. While the term “anxiety” is often colloquially used interchangeably with “fear,” more precisely, fear describes a phasic response to the presence of an immediate and identifiable threat (6). However, it should be noted that perception is critical, as a threatening stimulus that is perceived as present or even imagined can activate a fear response.

Corresponding to this psychological dissociation between fear and anxiety, converging evidence suggests that two partially segregated neural circuits support these divergent responses (11, 12). Spearheaded by Davis and Walker, a highly influential model theorizes that the amygdala underlies phasic responses to explicit threats, supporting feelings of fear, while the BNST, considered part of the “extended amygdala,” is thought to mediate more sustained responses to unpredictable, ambiguous, or diffuse threats, thus underlying persistent states of anticipation or hypervigilance and promoting feelings of anxiety (11). In further support of these distinct functional roles, studies in rodents show that lesioning the amygdala eliminates conditioned fear to auditory (13) and visual conditioned stimuli (14) and reduces fear-potentiated startle (15), but does not alter anxiety-like behavior in an elevated plus maze (15) or anxiety-like responses to bright light or corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) injection (14). Conversely, lesioning the BNST attenuates anxiety-like responses (16–20) and alters cortisol release (21) but, importantly, does not affect conditioned fear (14, 17, 19, 20).

While there is a general consensus for the involvement of the BNST in anxiety processing, the mechanisms are less well-understood due to the complexity of the BNST structure and the wide variety of the neurotransmitters it expresses, including GABA, glutamate, noradrenaline (NA), serotonin (5-HT), and CRH, among others (22). The literature suggests that glutamatergic and GABAergic neuronal populations have opposing influences, with glutamate promoting anxiogenic effects and GABA inducing a reduction in anxiety (23). Although the GABAergic population dominates in the BNST (24), in many cases, the glutamatergic subpopulation exerts a greater overall influence, in part due to higher intrinsic excitability and altered responsiveness to NA (23). The interaction between NA and 5-HT is also believed to contribute to anxiety, with the majority of evidence suggesting that anxiety disorders are characterized by underactivation of serotonergic function and overactivation or complex dysregulation of noradrenergic function (25). In adaptive anxiety, release of CRH is met by inhibition via 5-HT, which aids in decreasing reactivity of the BNST and regulating the stress response. Furthermore, while NA ramps up autonomic arousal, raising heart rate, and increasing memories of aversive contexts, 5-HT acts to decrease such memories. Thus, dysregulation of this mutually inhibitory system can lead to increased vigilance and aversive behavior due to overactive NA (26) and decreased inhibition of stress reactivity due to a hyporesponsive 5-HT system (25).

CRH has repeatedly been identified as an important contributor to fear and anxiety behavior and is largely expressed in stress-related brain regions, including the amygdala and BNST. Once more, this points to the BNST as not only a mediator of anxious feelings and behaviors but also a central modulator of the stress response (27). The BNST is ideally situated in the brain to stimulate allostatic changes through its dense connections with the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus, the primary node of the hypothalamic-pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis that initiates the stress response and regulates cortisol release. Perhaps even more compelling, evidence suggests that the BNST’s position is important for coordinating neuroendocrine and behavioral responses (28, 29). Very few limbic forebrain regions provide direct innervation to the PVN, but the BNST appears to serve as a point of convergence between these higher-order regions and HPA effector neurons. Furthermore, rather than merely relaying these signals, the BNST has been shown to dynamically integrate information from multiple upstream sources, including the medial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus, and to modulate the downstream neuroendocrine and behavioral responses during stress (28, 29). Thus, differences in the structural or functional connectivity of the prefrontal–BNST or hippocampal–BNST pathways could bias an individual towards different coping styles or alter susceptibility toward anxiety and other stress-related disorders. With this understanding of the BNST’s role in mediating anxiety and the stress response, a renewed emphasis has been placed on the investigation of the human BNST throughout the past decade, although research in humans, and specifically in relation to anxiety and other stress-related disorders, is still in its infancy.

**THE HUMAN BNST**

Less is known about the human BNST (Figure 1), in part due to the combination of its small structure size and the relatively low
spatial resolution of standard functional MRI (fMRI). With just 12–18 subnuclei comprising the BNST (27) and at approximately 190 mm³—the size of a sunflower seed—the BNST is so small that many human neuroimaging studies have qualified their reported results with statements such as “a region overlapping” or “consistent with” the BNST (6). However, with recent advances in neuroimaging technology, including improvements that permit a 27× increase in spatial resolution (e.g., 3 to 1 mm³), new opportunities await to reinvigorate the investigation about the distinction between fear and anxiety in humans and the relative importance and influence of the BNST in cognitive health and dysfunction.

Studies that have begun to approach these questions in humans have described complementary findings to the pioneering work of Davis and Walker. For example, work by Alvarez and colleagues reported a similar dissociation in the functional roles of the amygdala and BNST using a combination of cued and contextual threats. During fMRI scanning, participants were placed in three prerecorded virtual reality environments: a restaurant, casino, or bank. One environment served as a predictable threat context in which electric shocks were consistently delivered following an auditory tone. In the other two contexts, the tone was meaningless, with shocks being administered in an unsignaled or semi-random manner in one environment (unpredictable threat) and no shocks being delivered in the control context. Results showed that amygdala activity transiently increased at the onset of both threat contexts, but only the unpredictable threat context yielded sustained activity in the BNST (30), supporting previous animal models of phasic and sustained fear. Additional investigations in humans have helped uncover a more nuanced role for the BNST, suggesting that rather than simply mediating sustained responses to threats, the BNST appears to exhibit a specialized role in detecting potential threats when the specifics of the threat are uncertain. In another study, participants viewed videos of a line fluctuating in height over time and were told that each time the line exceeded a certain threshold, they would accumulate an electric shock to be delivered after the task (but in fact, were never actually shocked). During this time of anxiously anticipating future shocks, the authors reported robust BNST activity, but the amygdala was said to show minimal task-modulated activity even at exploratory statistical thresholds (31). As a result, the BNST was given a new title of “threat monitoring,” and in support of this notion, further reports demonstrated the BNST’s ability to track threat proximity in both the physical sense (e.g., monitoring the distance of an approaching tarantula) (32) and the psychological sense (e.g., tracking the likelihood of threat occurrence) (31).

Later, studies subsequently sought to separate out the responses related to the anticipation or monitoring of a prospective threat, relative to actual threat confrontation (i.e., presentation of aversive stimulus). In two closely related but independent studies, BNST activity was found to be significantly elevated during uncertain threat anticipation, while it was the amygdala that exhibited a significant response during the aversive outcome (12, 33). In sum, these findings suggest that a regional dissociation can be attributed to the BNST playing a role in helping to detect a potential threat and maintain hypervigilance until threat encounter or situational resolve, while the amygdala preferentially responds to the actual presence of an aversive stimulus, mediating instantaneous responses during acute danger. Therefore, given that human anxiety is largely driven by future-oriented hypothetical threats that may never occur, studies involving the BNST stand at the forefront of essential future research.

**OVERSIGHTS AND OPPORTUNITIES**

Although this relationship between uncertainty about future adverse events and anxiety makes intuitive sense, this conceptualization of anxiety has not been reflected in many neuroimaging investigations aimed at elucidating the neurocircuitry of clinical anxiety disorders. This is principally true in studies investigating how emotion is regulated. Dysregulated emotion is a hallmark among many psychiatric disorders including anxiety disorders, and consequently, a strong focus has been placed on uncovering the neural mechanisms supporting effective emotion regulation (ER) due its significance...
and potential applicability transdiagnostically. Typically, ER is studied in the context of individuals attempting to volitionally control their emotional response to explicitly cued and overtly displayed pictorial stimuli (negative scenes or faces), through reappraisal or distancing/suppression strategies (34–36). This work indicates that the degree of regulating subjective negative emotion is dependent upon the strength of functional and structural connections between the vmPFC and the amygdala, which is likely mediated by higher-order lateral prefrontal regions to ultimately downregulate amygdala activity through top–down goal-directed behavior.

However, three critical barriers arise when this line of research is intended to specifically elucidate ER mechanisms in the context of anxiety disorders. First, many ER studies utilize stimuli meant to induce disgust or general negative affect rather than simulate ecologically relevant threats. Second, because the predominant focus of ER research has been centered on emotion control during the overt display of such aversive stimuli, these tasks are not capturing the psychological processes at the heart of anxious pathology—namely, the anticipatory cognitive and affective processes in the face of uncertain or unpredictable threats—and are instead essentially uncovering mechanisms needed to regulate general negative affect or disgust after a concrete stimulus has been presented. In light of this, recent studies are attempting to model threat anticipation more precisely to explicate the complex underlying neural circuitry (37). Furthermore, other lines of research are deriving more nuanced views in how attentional control may modulate anxiety-potentiated coupling between medial prefrontal and amygdala circuitry (38). Nevertheless, the field remains in critical need of work that definitively targets anxiety regulation. Finally, despite growing research demonstrating the BNST as a primary mediator of both anticipatory anxiety and the stress response, the BNST is essentially absent from ER literature. As a result, many crucial outstanding questions remain: How does the brain regulate emotional processing of the overt stimulus? How does the brain regulate general negative affect or downregulate after a concrete stimulus has been presented. Can the BNST be downregulated before stimulus presentation? What are the mechanisms and does this downregulation reduce subjective feelings of anxiety? Does this then subsequently change processing of the overt stimulus?

**CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS**

Anxiety disorders are characterized by both excessive fear and anxiety. However, elucidating the mechanisms of sustained anxious states and regulation of the stress response, both processes mediated by the BNST, appear to be especially relevant and not just in the case of generalized anxiety disorder (GAD). For example, individuals with posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) not only suffer from conditioned fear to cues that evoke traumatic memories, but they also exhibit persistent symptoms of sustained anxiety (e.g., hypervigilance). Similarly, in panic disorder (PD), although a hallmark is the experience of panic attacks, another key element is anxiety caused by persistent apprehension and continuous worry about the recurrence of future panic attacks (39). Even specific phobia, the prototypical “fear disorder,” involves episodes of sustained anxiety when anticipating a future confrontation with their phobic fear (39). Finally, intolerance of uncertainty, or an inability to cope with potential negative outcomes, is not only an established hallmark of GAD but may also be a transdiagnostic feature of obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD), such that compulsions and ritualistic behaviors are performed as a means to reduce this distress (40).

Evidence from human neuroimaging studies reinforces the role of the BNST across anxiety disorder subtype. One study in GAD patients found higher arousal and increased activation in the BNST when exposed to a gambling game with high monetary uncertainty (41). Similarly, relative to healthy controls, GAD patients exhibited enhanced phasic activity in the amygdala and heightened sustained activity in the BNST when faced with a temporally unpredictable threat exposure involving human screams (42). Utilizing the same experimental paradigm, Brinkmann et al. (43, 44) found corresponding results in both PTSD and PD, with patients displaying sustained activation in the BNST during unpredictable anticipation of aversive sounds, relative to controls. Human neuroimaging investigations have additionally explored the role of the BNST in patients with specific phobia when anxiously anticipating the presentation of phobogenic stimuli (e.g., spiders). Under conditions of unpredictable sustained anticipation, patients showed increased activation in anterior cingulate cortex and once more, the BNST (45, 46), while the predictable phasic fear condition was associated with elevated amygdala activity (46). Together, these studies further strengthen the case for distinct functionality of the amygdala and BNST and indicate that heightened and prolonged reactivity of the BNST may be a contributing factor to clinical anxiety disorders.

Although very preliminary, small clinical case studies suggest that being able to selectively regulate BNST activity could have profound effects on anxious propensities and predispositions. In a double-blind, randomized crossover trial, deep brain stimulation (DBS) in the BNST showed to be effective in reducing obsessions and compulsions in OCD patients. In this report, it was noted that beneficial effects on mood and anxiety were observed first, before apparent changes in obsessions or compulsions, suggesting that these initial anxiolytic effects may subsequently drive the attenuation of OCD symptoms (47). Similarly, BNST DBS was used in a patient who had battled remitting and relapsing anorexia nervosa since adolescence (over 40 years in total), as well as concurrent major depressive disorder (MDD). Following bilateral BNST implantation, improvement was gradual but incredibly profound. Nine months after surgery, the patient was released from the psychiatric ward after nearly a 4-year stay, and tube feeding for her eating disorder was discontinued. The patient reported that all of her anxiety concerning food and eating had essentially vanished, and her food intake had become more stable. In the patient’s own words, despite the absence of anxious or obsessive thoughts, she continued to eat just enough to keep her weight stable out of habit, although she was now motivated to begin behavioral training to break this pattern (48).
Continued research on the BNST may additionally uncover insights into the onset and prevalence in anxiety disorders. Anatomically, the BNST is a sexually dimorphic structure, and although this adds an additional layer of complexity to research, these structural differences may help explain the gender disparity that exists in the prevalence of anxiety disorders and other stress-related psychiatric disorders. Interestingly, however, the BNST does not show strong sexual differentiation at birth, but rather appears to develop sexual dimorphism around puberty (49). This late divergence in BNST volume between men and women may be a general characteristic of the BNST and, if so, curiously coincides with the earliest onset of many anxiety disorders. Together, these observations offer yet a few more motivations for continued investigation of the BNST structure and function in humans.

CONCLUSIONS

Progress in understanding the pathogenesis of anxiety and in identifying neural signatures that differentiate affected versus nonaffected individuals is critically dependent upon our ability to develop relevant models of anxiety. The crux of anxiety concerns uncertain and unpredictable threats, and therefore, the first essential step is to develop lab paradigms that psychologically elicit anxiety in an ecologically valid manner. At the same time, while the segregation between fear and anxiety is important in our theoretical approach to parse out the specific roles of regions such as the BNST, it is hard to image a real-life threatening scenario that solely depends on the actions of a single structure. Thus, in our continued effort to uncover the relative importance and influence of the BNST, we must also continue to explore the intricacies in which regions dynamically communicate within larger circuits and networks. Higher-order cognition undoubtedly requires cooperative activity from disparate regions and integration between distributed brain networks (50). Moreover, network organization is known to be temporally dynamic, whereby some regions may flexibly shift their functional connectivity to affiliate more strongly with some networks than others depending on the emotional state and current task demands (51, 52). For example, the amygdala is known to interact with the salience and cingulo-opercular networks in states of anxiety (53, 54). Investigations of such relationships with the BNST are rare (but see for example 51); however, it stands to reason that if the BNST represents a more tonic state in threat processing, this would likely be reflected in a strengthened relationship with higher-order attentional states (55). The approach of cognitive network neuroscience, therefore, aims to reconcile the seemingly opposing perspectives of functional segregation and functional integration, by investigating how networks, and regions within networks, dynamically communicate to support optimal processing (56). In sum, many avenues of research suggest that we are well on our way to untangling these intricacies, and we can be optimistic that the next decade of research will bring great strides in anxiety research and the neural bases of psychopathology, in part thanks to the untapped potential of the BNST.
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