Threshold expansion for heavy-light systems
and flavor off-diagonal current-current correlators
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An expansion scheme is developed for Feynman diagrams describing the production of one massive
and one massless particle near the threshold. As an example application, we compute the correlators
of heavy-light quark currents, $\bar{b}\gamma\mu u$, $\bar{b}\gamma_5 u$, through $O(\alpha^2)$.
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Processes mediated by $W$-bosons often involve production or annihilation of two fermions with very different masses. Examples of present interest include the $B$-meson decay constant $f_B$ and the single top-quark production. The basic ingredient in the analysis of such processes are correlators of heavy-light currents. For example, $f_B$ can be determined by relating such correlators to the measured spectrum of $B$ mesons, with help of the QCD sum rules [1].

In another important application one can use such correlators, computed perturbatively in the continuum, as an input for lattice calculations, in particular for the matching of the lattice and continuum currents. The perturbative production cross section computed close to the threshold (related to the imaginary part of the current correlators) is a convenient physical observable to perform the matching [2].

Recently, numerical values of those corrections were estimated [10, 11] using Padé approximants to describe the correlator as a function of $q^2$. The approximants were obtained from several terms in the expansion of the correlator for large ($q^2 \gg m^2$) and small ($q^2 \ll m^2$) values of the total energy. This approach is accurate for $q^2$ sufficiently far from the production threshold $q^2 = m^2$. However, for practical applications related to heavy quark physics, one needs the correlators quite close to the threshold where, for kinematic reasons, their imaginary part vanishes as $(q^2 - m^2)^2$. Because of this suppression, $O(\alpha^2)$ terms obtained from the Padé approximants are rather uncertain near the threshold, with an error estimated to be about 30% [11].

The approach presented in this Letter enables an analytic calculation of those terms. We introduce the parameter $\bar{\delta} = 1 - m^2/q^2$ which is small in the kinematic region close to threshold. We will show that an expansion in $\bar{\delta}$ can be constructed applying the reasoning familiar from HQET directly to the Feynman diagrams. The only new ingredient necessary for a calculation of the $O(\alpha^2)$ corrections to the correlators are certain three-loop HQET...
diagrams whose calculation we will describe.

We consider the following correlator,

\[
(-q^2 g_{\mu\nu} + q_\mu q_\nu) \Pi^\nu(q^2) + q_\mu q_\nu \Pi_L^\nu(q^2)
\]

\[
= i \int dx e^{ix\cdot q} \langle 0 | T j^\mu(x) j^\nu_v(0) | 0 \rangle,
\]

with \( j^\mu_v = \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^\mu \psi_2 \) and \( \psi_{1,2} \) denoting the Dirac spinors for the massless and massive quarks, respectively. This correlator is an analytic function of \( q^2 \) with a cut starting at \( q^2 = m^2 \), corresponding to \( \delta = 0 \). Since \( \delta \) is proportional to the space phase available for the production of heavy and light quarks, for small \( \delta \) the heavy quark is non-relativistic and always close to its mass shell. On the contrary, the massless quark is always ultrarelativistic, but its energy is small if \( \delta \) is small. The HQET is designed to study exactly this kind of situation and the calculation of the relevant Feynman diagrams can be simplified if one follows its pattern.

We have to consider two different scales of the momentum \( k \) flowing along a given line in a Feynman diagram: hard \( k \sim m \) or soft \( k \sim m \delta \). Consider the heavy quark propagator \( \sim 1/(k^2 + 2qk + q^2 \delta) \). If \( k \) is hard, the propagator can be expanded in a Taylor series in \( \delta \) yielding the on-shell heavy quark propagator \( \sim 1/(k^2 + 2qk) \). On the other hand, if \( k \) is soft, the propagator can be expanded in \( k^2 \) resulting in the static heavy quark propagator \( \sim 1/(2qk + q^2 \delta) \), familiar from HQET.

For each diagram, one has to consider all possible momentum routings and find all contributing subgraphs. Among them, there are two which can be easily described. First there is the situation when all lines are soft so that all heavy quark propagators become static and the diagram becomes what is usually referred to as a HQET matrix element. In our case, these subgraphs require three-loop calculations in HQET and we will explain below how we solve this problem.

The second type of subgraphs arises in the situation when all momenta are hard. In this case all heavy quark propagators are Taylor expanded in \( \delta \) the resulting Feynman diagrams are of the on-shell three-loop propagator type, studied in [12, 13], and their evaluation is possible. However, since these contributions are polynomials in \( \delta \), they do not contribute to the imaginary part of the correlator and we do not consider them here.

In between the two extreme cases discussed above, there are situations where, in a given diagram, some of the lines are soft and some are hard. Using the HQET language, these correspond to the HQET matrix elements with insertions of higher dimensional operators of the HQET Lagrangian or to the HQET matrix elements computed with leading order operators (HQET currents) corrected for the higher order Wilson coefficients. Since the Wilson coefficients are computed at the hard scale, such contributions factorize into products of simple subgraphs and can be easily computed.

Therefore, the main challenge are the three-loop HQET diagrams. There are two ways to compute them and we have taken both to have a cross check. Recently, the three-loop HQET diagrams have been analyzed in [14, 15] and a computer algebra program has been published, capable of computing all three-loop HQET propagator type diagrams. We have used that software to calculate the required HQET matrix elements. For the purpose of the cross check, we have written (in FORM [8]), in a completely independent way, a similar program solving the three-loop recurrence relations for HQET propagator-type integrals, restricting ourselves to topologies needed for the current calculation.

In both approaches, every Feynman diagram is expressed in terms of a few master integrals. The majority of them is known [14, 15, 17]. However, one of the master integrals has not been evaluated to sufficient accuracy in the existing literature and we have to compute it. It turns out that one can use a trick to this end. The Euclidean integral we need is \( (r^2 = 1, d = 4 - 2\epsilon) \):

\[
I = \int \frac{d^dk_1 d^dk_2 d^dk_3}{k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2 (k_1 - k_2)^2 (k_3 - k_2)^2} \times \frac{1}{(2pk_1 + 1)(2pk_2 + 1)(2pk_3 + 1)},
\]

To compute it, consider a similar integral,

\[
I_1 = \int \frac{d^dk_1 d^dk_2 d^dk_3}{k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2 (k_1 - k_2)^2 (k_3 - k_2)^2} \times \frac{1}{(2pk_1 + 1)(2pk_2 + 1)(2pk_3 + 1)},
\]

related to \( I \) by integration-by-parts identities. We perform a transformation \( |k_i| \to 1/|k_i| \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) in Eq. (3). The integral \( I_1 \) transforms to

\[
I_1 = C(\epsilon) \left[ 2\pi^2 \zeta_3 - 5\zeta_5 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),
\]

with \( C(\epsilon) = \frac{\pi^2}{18\epsilon^2} (1 + \epsilon)^3 \). We now use recurrence relations to obtain \( I \) from \( I_1 \) and derive:

\[
I = C(\epsilon) \left[ -\frac{\pi^2}{18\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( -\frac{4\pi^2}{9} + \frac{3}{5} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{8}{3} \zeta_3 - \frac{26\pi^2}{9} - \frac{17\pi^4}{540} \right] + \epsilon \left( -\frac{4\pi^2}{9} \zeta_3 + \frac{52}{3} \zeta_3 - \frac{160\pi^2}{9} - \frac{34\pi^4}{135} - \frac{83}{3} \zeta_5 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).
\]

With this integral at hand, all the three-loop HQET master integrals are available to sufficiently high power in
For the individual color structures we obtain:

$\Delta_{\lambda}^v$, $\Delta_{\lambda A}^v$, $\Delta_{\lambda L}^v$, $\Delta_{\lambda H}^v$ plotted as a function of $v = \delta/(2 - \delta)$.

their expansion in $\epsilon$ and we can proceed with the computation of the correlators.

We introduce the dimensionless quantity

$$R^v(q^2) = \frac{\Gamma(W^* \to b\bar{u})}{|V_{ub}|^2 \Gamma(W^* \to e\nu)} = 12\pi \text{Re} \left[ \Pi^v(q^2 + i\delta) \right],$$

and expand it in a series in the strong coupling constant (we use the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme and denote $a \equiv \alpha_s(M/\pi)$).

$$R^v \approx N_c \left( R_0^v + C_F a R_1^v + C_F a^2 R_2^v \right),$$

We find (an exact formula for $R^{(1),v} \equiv N_c R_1^v$ can be found in [1], eq. (9)):

$$R_0^v = \frac{\delta^2(3 - \delta)}{2},$$

$$R_1^v = \frac{3\delta^2}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{9}{2} - 3\ln\delta + \frac{2}{3}\pi^2 \right) + \delta \left( -\frac{139}{18} + \frac{11}{3}\ln\delta - \frac{2}{9}\pi^2 \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta^4),$$

$$R_2^v = \delta^2 \left( C_F\Delta_{\lambda}^v + C_A\Delta_{\lambda A}^v + T_R N_L \Delta_{\lambda L}^v + T_R \Delta_{\lambda H}^v \right).$$

For the individual color structures we obtain:

$$\Delta_{\lambda}^v = \frac{523}{64} - \frac{39}{8}\frac{\pi^2}{16} - \frac{7\pi^2}{4}\ln 2 - \frac{5\pi^2}{12} + \frac{\pi^4}{60} - \left( \frac{5\pi^2}{4} + \frac{147}{32} \right) L_\delta + \left( \frac{35}{12} - \frac{7\pi^2}{4}\ln 2 - \frac{575\pi^2}{432} - \frac{\pi^4}{180} \right) L_\delta^2 - \left( \frac{10079}{576} + \frac{39}{8}\frac{\pi^2}{16} - \frac{19\pi^2}{12}\ln 2 - \frac{575\pi^2}{432} - \frac{\pi^4}{180} \right) L_\delta^3$$

$$+ \delta \left[ -\frac{10079}{576} + \frac{39}{8}\frac{\pi^2}{16} - \frac{19\pi^2}{12}\ln 2 - \frac{575\pi^2}{432} - \frac{\pi^4}{180} \right] L_\delta^3 + \delta^2 \left[ \frac{1819}{144} \right] L_\delta^4,$$

$$- \frac{5\pi^2}{24}\ln 2 + \frac{421\pi^2}{1728} + \frac{\pi^2}{72} L_\delta + \frac{17}{16} L_\delta^2,$$

$$\Delta_{\lambda A}^v = \frac{1103}{64} - \frac{129}{16}\frac{\pi^2}{8}\ln 2 + \frac{427}{288}\pi^2 - \frac{\pi^4}{60} - \left( \frac{19\pi^2}{24} + \frac{423}{32} \right) L_\delta + \left( \frac{33}{16} \right) L_\delta^2,$$

$$\Delta_{\lambda L}^v = \frac{1103}{64} - \frac{129}{16}\frac{\pi^2}{8}\ln 2 + \frac{427}{288}\pi^2 - \frac{\pi^4}{60} - \left( \frac{19\pi^2}{24} + \frac{423}{32} \right) L_\delta + \left( \frac{33}{16} \right) L_\delta^2.$$

We denote $L_\delta = \ln \delta$ and the zeta function $\zeta_3 \approx 1.202$.

We do not display higher order terms in the expansion in $\delta$, but they can be easily obtained as well.

For completeness, we also give the results for $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ correction to the correlator of two scalar currents. Let us define

$$q^2\Pi^v(q^2) = i \int dx e^{i\psi(x)} T \psi(x) \psi(0),$$

where $j_x = Z_m \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2$ and, again, $\psi_{1,2}$ denote the Dirac spinors for the massless and massive quarks and $Z_m$ is the on-shell mass renormalization constant for the massive quark. We define

$$R^v(q^2) = 8\pi \text{Im} \left[ \Pi^v(q^2 + i\delta) \right],$$

$$R^v(q^2) \approx N_c \left( R_0^v + C_F a R_1^v + C_F a^2 R_2^v \right).$$

We then find (for an exact formula for $R_1^v$ see [1]):

$$R_0^v = \delta^2,$$

$$R_1^v = \delta^2 \left[ \left( \frac{13}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \ln 2 \right) L_\delta + \frac{1}{3} \pi^2 - 3\delta \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta^4),$$

$$R_2^v = \delta^2 \left( C_F\Delta_{\lambda}^v + C_A\Delta_{\lambda A}^v + T_R N_L \Delta_{\lambda L}^v + T_R \Delta_{\lambda H}^v \right).$$

For the individual color structures we obtain:

$$\Delta_{\lambda}^v = \frac{229}{32} + \frac{\pi^2}{4}\ln 2 + \frac{3}{2} \pi^2 + \frac{\pi^4}{90} - \frac{9}{4}\zeta_3,$$

$$+ \delta \left[ -\frac{425}{36} + \frac{\pi^2}{36} \ln 2 - \frac{671}{864} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 \right]$$

$$+ \delta^2 \left[ -\frac{493}{48} + \frac{115}{72} \pi^2 - 3\zeta_3 \right] L_\delta^{1/2}.$$
\[ \Delta_{NA}^2 = \frac{4361}{288} \frac{\pi^2}{4} \ln 2 + \frac{283}{432} \pi^2 - \frac{\pi^4}{90} - \frac{47}{8} \zeta_3 \]
\[ - \left( \frac{19}{36} \pi^2 + \frac{141}{16} \right) L_3 + \frac{11}{8} L_5^2 \]
\[ + \delta \left[ \frac{949}{72} - \frac{31}{432} \pi^2 - \frac{\zeta_3}{4} + \left( \frac{11}{3} - \frac{\pi^2}{18} \right) L_3 - \frac{1}{4} L_5^2 \right] \]
\[ + \delta^2 \left[ \frac{4651}{864} + \frac{\pi^2}{8} \ln 2 + \frac{179}{1728} \pi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \zeta_3 \right. \]
\[ + \left. \left( \frac{283}{96} - \frac{7}{72} \pi^2 \right) L_3 - \frac{5}{12} L_5^2 \right] \]
\[ \Delta_{L}^2 = \frac{427}{72} - \frac{7}{54} \pi^2 + 2 \zeta_3 + \left( \frac{2}{9} \pi^2 + \frac{13}{4} \right) L_3 - \frac{1}{2} L_5^2 \]
\[ + \delta \left( \frac{163}{36} + \frac{2}{9} \pi^2 - \frac{4}{3} L_3 \right) + \delta^2 \left( \frac{623}{432} - \frac{19}{18} L_3 + \frac{1}{6} L_5^2 \right) \]
\[ \Delta_{\mu}^2 = \frac{727}{144} - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + \frac{2}{9} \delta + \delta^2 \left( \frac{1}{36} \pi^2 - \frac{67}{2700} + \frac{1}{30} L_5^2 \right) \]
\[ (14) \]

The results for the pseudo-scalar and axial-vector currents are the same as for the scalar and vector currents because the presence of massless fermion line permits one to cancel the Dirac $\gamma_5$ matrices.

It is interesting to compare our results with the numbers obtained in [11]. We have plotted our results for the independent color structures as functions of the velocity $v = \delta/(2 - \delta)$ using our results for $R_2^c$, including terms $O(\delta^3)$ which we do not display in [11]. Comparing these results with Fig. 4 of [11], we find very good agreement for $v \lesssim 0.6$ [11]; for larger values of $v$ our truncated series is not accurate (as can be expected from the very nature of expansion) and more terms in the expansion are needed. We have also verified the relations between the scalar and vector correlators given in eqs. (32-33) in [11].

In [11], the values of the $O(\delta^3 \ln^0 \delta)$ terms were estimated by fitting numerical solutions. Our formulas provide analytic results for these coefficients. In the notation of eq. (45) of [11], we find
\[ \hat{c}_{FF} = \frac{1173}{128} + \frac{103}{48} \pi^2 + \frac{\pi^4}{90} - \left( \frac{97}{16} + \frac{5 \pi^2}{6} \right) \ln 2 \]
\[ + \frac{9}{8} \ln^2 2 - \frac{\zeta_3}{2} \approx 21.46, \]
\[ \hat{c}_{FA} = \frac{20057}{1152} + \frac{119}{216} \pi^2 - \frac{\pi^4}{90} - \left( \frac{141}{16} + \frac{19 \pi^2}{36} \right) \ln 2 \]
\[ + \frac{11}{8} \ln^2 2 - \frac{13 \zeta_3}{2} \approx 4.894, \]
\[ \hat{c}_{FL} = -\frac{1849}{288} - \frac{23}{108} \pi^2 + \left( \frac{13}{4} + \frac{2 \pi^2}{9} \right) \ln 2 \]
\[ - \frac{\ln^2 2}{2} + 2 \zeta_3 \approx -2.585. \]
\[ (15) \]

These results agree well with the estimates of [11] for the the abelian $\hat{c}_{FF} = 21(6)$ and the light quark $\hat{c}_{FL} = -2.3(7)$ contributions. The non-abelian part found in [11], $\hat{c}_{FA} = 1.2(4)$, differs by about 9 sigma from our result, 4.894. Since the production threshold is the most difficult place for the Padé approximants it is very likely [20] that the accuracy of this particular result was overestimated in [11].

The expansion around the heavy-light threshold presented here extends the class of Feynman diagrams which can be evaluated analytically. Our approach can be summarized as applying the HQET directly to Feynman diagrams. As an example application, we computed the imaginary part of flavor off-diagonal current correlators to $O(\alpha_s^2)$ useful as an input for both $f_B$ determination from QCD sum rules and also for matching of the lattice and continuum currents. Similar techniques can be used to study second order QCD corrections to differential distributions in heavy to light semileptonic decays. Work on this is in progress.

We are grateful to G. P. Lepage for a conversation which stimulated this study. This research was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by the DOE under grant number DE-AC03-76SF00515.
515 (2000), hep-ph/0005131.
[14] A. G. Grozin, JHEP 03, 013 (2000), hep-ph/0002266.
[15] A. G. Grozin (2001), hep-ph/0107248.
[16] J. A. M. Vermaseren, math-ph/0010025.
[17] M. Beneke and V. M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. B426, 301 (1994), hep-ph/9402364.

[18] K. G. Chetyrkin and F. V. Tkachev, Nucl. Phys. B192, 159 (1981).
[19] We are grateful to K. G. Chetyrkin for providing sample numerical values for comparisons.
[20] K. G. Chetyrkin, private communication.