Case Report

Endovascular Treatment for Pseudoaneurysms after Surgical Correction of Aortic Coarctation
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1. Introduction

Open surgery remains the gold standard for the treatment of aortic coarctation. Surgical treatment includes resection of the narrow segment with end-to-end anastomosis of the aorta and aortoplasty using a subclavian flap or synthetic material. These techniques show initially excellent results; however, late anastomotic pseudoaneurysms develop in 3 to 38% of all cases [1, 2]. Reoperation in these patients is complex and associated with high morbidity and mortality [2–5]. Therefore, in the last decade endovascular techniques emerged to treat these complications [5–9], based on the experience of endovascular treatment in atherosclerotic aneurysms in this location. We report the case of two patients who presented with pseudoaneurysms after surgical correction for aortic coarctation, which were treated by endovascular means.

2. Case 1

A 31-year-old female patient underwent surgical correction of aortic coarctation at the age of 4 years using a Gore-tex patch. During a routine angio-CT 17 years after the initial surgery, a recoarctation and a pseudoaneurysm were diagnosed, and a balloon-expandable stent was placed at the recoarctation site. A control angio-CT showed stent migration and growth of the pseudoaneurysm measuring $53 \times 40$ mm in diameter. In contrast to the previous angio-CT, the pseudoaneurysm was multilobulated with irregular walls, and the origin of the left subclavian artery was dilated with 25 mm in diameter. Due to the morphologic changes and the size of the pseudoaneurysm, this patient was referred to our institution for endovascular treatment. Before treatment, a diagnostic angiography was performed using a 5F pigtail catheter with markers. The angiography showed a small caliber aortic arch with severe angulation and a pseudoaneurysm, that extended proximally to the origin of the left subclavian artery. The balloon-expandable stent was in contact with the aortic wall only at its distal end (Figure 1(a)). Treatment was performed in two times. Under general anesthesia a left carotid-subclavian bypass was performed using prosthetic Goretex of 8 mm, and the left subclavian artery was ligated. Two days after the surgery, the endovascular treatment was performed under general anesthesia and systemic heparinization. A right femoral access was used to introduce a Captivia (Medtronic Vascular, Santa Rosa, CA) thoracic endoprosthesis, 22 $\times$ 150 mm. The device was released covering the origin of the left subclavian artery (Figure 1(b)). Seventy-two hours after the...
3. Case 2

A 46-years-old male patient, who presented with mild hemoptysis at our institution, was operated at the age of 17 years for aortic coarctation using a prosthetic patch. In the angio-CT a pseudoaneurysm was diagnosed as a late complication of surgery of aortic coarctation measuring 64 × 68 mm in diameter. The diagnostic angiogram showed a sac-ular and irregular shaped pseudoaneurysm (Figure 2(a)). The right vertebral artery was found to be patent without atherosclerotic lesions and of preserved diameter. An aortobronchial fistula could be identified neither in the angio-CT nor in the diagnostic angiogram. Under general anesthesia and systemic heparinization the right common femoral artery was dissected, and a first endoprosthesis body Zenith TX2 (Cook Inc, Bloomington, IN) 22 × 115 mm was introduced and released, covering the left subclavian artery. After that, a second endoprosthesis body Captivia (Medtronic Vascular, Santa Rosa, CA) 26-26-150 mm was used to exclude the pseudoaneurysm. Due to the risk of death caused by severe hemoptysis, an emergency treatment was considered, consisting in endovascular bridging with stent grafts and proximal occlusion of the left subclavian artery. For this reason, two different endoprosthesis were used, since no other stent grafts, available in our stock, met the required characteristics. After liberating both devices a latex balloon was introduced over a stiff wire for sealing. Through a left brachial access the left subclavian artery was than embolized using a 16-mm Amplatzer device. The final angiogram showed no leaks with present collateral flow in the left subclavian artery (Figures 2(b) and 2(c)) via right vertebral artery. The control angio-CT 3 days after the surgery showed a leak. There was an uncertainty, whether there was a leak type I or II, additionally a pseudoaneurysm in the right subclavian artery was found which was considered iatrogenic after placement of a jugular central line.

Through a right brachial access the pseudoaneurysm was embolized with 3 microcoils (Trufill, Cordis, Miami Lakes, FL) (Figures 3(b) and 3(c)). The same access was used to clearly demonstrate a leak type I of the first endoprosthesis body (Figure 3(d)). Under general anesthesia a 23-mm angioplasty balloon catheter was delivered through a left femoral artery access to successfully seal the leak.

Before discharging the patient, a control angio-CT was performed, and no leak could be identified. At the 6-month follow-up the patient remained asymptomatic without hemoptysis and without other evidence of leakage or pathologic images in the angio-CT.
Figure 2: (a) Angiography shows a large aortic pseudoaneurysm extending to the origin of the left subclavian artery with an irregular wall (white circle). (b) Final angiography after stent placement and embolization of the left subclavian artery demonstrates complete exclusion of the pseudoaneurysm with no evidence of leakage. (c) and (d) Late angiographic image shows left subclavian artery perfusion due to subclavian steal.

Figure 3: (a) Selective angiography of the left subclavian artery showing iatrogenic pseudoaneurysm after central line placement. (b) Selective intraaneurismal angiography was performed with a 1.9 Fr microcatheter showing a long neck. (c) Result after embolization with microcoils demonstrating complete occlusion of the sack. (d) Selective angiography using a 5 Fr Sidewinder catheter shows a type I leak which can also be found on the angio-CT. (e) After angioplasty of the proximal endoprosthesis body the type I endoleak could be sealed completely.

described even in small pseudoaneurysms [7]. Knyshov et al. reported a rupture rate at 15 years of 100% of these pseudoaneurysms [2]. Reoperation in these patients is complex and is associated with high mortality and morbidity such as paraplegia, recurrent nerve paralysis, and bleeding [2]. In the last decade, endovascular techniques were introduced for the treatment of late complications after coarctation surgery in order to reduce complications related to open surgery. Even if the reported series are small, they show very encouraging results [5–9]. The technical difficulty of treating these patients includes hypoplastic aortic arch, proximal fixation zone in relation to the extension of the pseudoaneurysm and the dimension of the access arteries. None of our patients had aortic arch hyperplasia; however one case presented with a severe angulated arch and a small diameter aorta. Additionally, the procedure was complicated by an aortic stent which was attached to the arterial wall only by the distal end. In this kind of patient and in patients with small caliber accessing arteries, friction and resistance can complicate the procedure, not permitting the device to be delivered to the proximal anchoring zone. The use of new devices with hydrophilic coating could help to overcome problems with angled arches, intravascular devices, and small accessing arteries.
In both cases the left subclavian artery had to be covered. In the first case, the left subclavian artery was revascularized through a carotid-subclavian bypass, and in the second case the subclavian artery was embolized using an Amplatzer device. Left subclavian artery occlusion is generally well tolerated; however there are several studies reporting the risk of complications such as superior extremity claudication or associated neurological symptoms [10–13]. Preinterventional angiography of the supraaortic vessels should be performed in order to demonstrate collateralization blood flow from the right to the left vertebral artery. These studies have been conducted on a patient population with atherosclerotic disease in which the collateral pathway, like vertebral arteries or the thyrocervical trunk, may be affected by the arteriosclerotic angiopathy. However, young patients usually do not have atherosclerotic disease, therefore occlusion of the left subclavian artery might be better tolerated. In a previous publication we reported the case of a young patient with traumatic aortic rupture in whom the left vertebral artery originated directly from the aortic arch [14]. In this case, despite independent embolization of left vertebral artery and left subclavian artery due to a type II leak, no neurological symptoms or signs of claudication in the left upper limb could be found, and the ipsilateral brachial and radial pulse was palpable in the following controls [14].

Although there are reports of endovascular treatment in late complications after surgical repair of aortic coarctation, these reports include a small number of patients. The published results are very encouraging, and with new devices more patients with complex anatomy can be treated safely with a high technical success. The endovascular treatment is an important alternative to surgical reoperation due to its lower morbidity and mortality. However, more data from long-term monitoring are needed to determine their effectiveness and to detect late complications.
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