Staphylococcus aureus is the most common bacterial agent of the skin flora of patients with seborrheic dermatitis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Seborrheic dermatitis is an inflammatory skin disease that affects 1–3% of the general population. The Malassezia species has been implicated as the main causative agent; however, the bacterial flora of the skin may also play role in the etiopathogenesis. Therefore, we investigated the most common bacterial agent of the skin flora of patients with seborrheic dermatitis.

Materials and Methods: Fifty-one patients with seborrheic dermatitis and 50 healthy individuals are included in this study. Sterile cotton swabs were rubbed on the scalp of the participants for bacterial culture. Colonial morphology was identified with gram stain and catalase test.

Results: Staphylococcus aureus was isolated from 25 (49%) patients with seborrheic dermatitis and 10 (20%) healthy individuals within the control group. Coagulase-negative staphylococci were isolated from 24 (47.1%) patients with seborrheic dermatitis and 17 (34%) healthy individuals within the control group. Diphtheroids were present in 2 (3.9%) patients and 1 (2%) subject within the control group. Gram-negative bacilli were present only in 1 (2%) patient. Hemolytic streptococci and bacilli were identified in 1 (2%) subject from each group. Colonization of coagulase-negative staphylococci, diphtheroids, gram-negative bacilli, hemolytic streptococci, and bacillus did not differ between patients and healthy controls. However, S. aureus colonization was significantly more common in patients with seborrheic dermatitis than in healthy controls.

Conclusion: Within this study we revealed that S. aureus colonization was significantly higher among the patients. Therefore, we propose that, in addition to the Malassezia species, S. aureus may play a role in the etiopathogenesis of seborrheic dermatitis.
Introduction

Seborrhagic dermatitis is a chronic inflammatory skin disease that affects 1–3% of the general population [1]. Seborrhagic dermatitis is more common in men than in women and usually occurs in adolescents and young adults. Seborrhagic dermatitis presents with erythematous, yellowish, greasy, scaly plaques. The lesions predominantly occur on sebaceous gland-rich areas like scalp, eyebrows, ears, nasolabial folds, chest, axillae, and groin [1]. The diagnosis of seborrhagic dermatitis is usually made on the basis of its clinical features. The etiology remains unknown; however, Malassezia yeasts, skin sebum levels, androgens, and immunologic mechanisms have been described as contributing factors [2]. Overgrowth of Malassezia species has been found to be associated with inflammation in seborrhagic dermatitis. However, similar amounts of Malassezia species have also been reported in patients with seborrhagic dermatitis and control groups [2,3]. Nevertheless, bacterial skin microbiota has been implicated in the pathogenic process of seborrhagic dermatitis [4]. Propionibacterium acnes and micrococi like Micrococcus butyricus, Micrococcus pyogenes var. aureus have been considered as possible etiologic agents [5]. However, the association of bacteria with seborrhagic dermatitis remains controversial [4]. Therefore, in this study, we aim to investigate the most common bacterial agent of the skin flora in patients with seborrhagic dermatitis.

Materials and Methods

This study included 51 patients with seborrhagic dermatitis of the scalp and 50 healthy individuals within the control group who were admitted to the dermatology outpatient clinic between February and April 2016. All participants provided written informed consent. The exclusion criteria were having an inflammatory skin disease like psoriasis or lichen planus and receiving any topical or systemic treatment for seborrhagic dermatitis. The scoring index that was described by Koca et al was used to determine the severity of seborrhagic dermatitis [6]. We evaluated the presence of erythema, desquamation, pruritus and irritation as absent (0), mild (1), moderate (2) and severe (3) according to Koca scoring index. The sum of these values indicated the severity of seborrhagic dermatitis (0-4; mild, 5-8; moderate, 9-12; severe).

Sterile cotton swabs were moistened with sterile distilled water and rubbed on the frontal aspect of the scalp of each participant for bacterial culture. The test site was uniform for all the patients and the control group. The samples were taken from skin lesions of the patients with seborrhagic dermatitis and normal skin of the healthy individuals within the control group. The samples were plated on 5% sheep blood agar and chocolate agar. Afterward, they were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours under aerophilic and capnophilic conditions. The microorganisms were identified by conventional methods. Colonial morphology was identified with gram stain and catalase test. Catalase positive, coagulase positive, and gram-positive cocci that produced yellow pigmentation on manitol-salt agar were described as S. aureus.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Continuous variables were defined as the mean ± standard deviation and medians (minimum-maximum). Categorical variables were expressed as percentages. Differences between groups were analyzed by Independent Samples t test for numerical variables and chi-square test for categorical variables. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Fifty-one patients with seborrhagic dermatitis (28 female, 23 male) and 50 healthy individuals within the control group (30 female, 20 male) were included in the study (p=0.60). The median age of the patients and control group was 27 years (range: 17 to 57 years, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 24-32) and 28 years (range: 17 to 56 years, 95% CI: 26-35), respectively (p=0.35). Seven (13.7%) patients with seborrhagic dermatitis had a family history of seborrhagic dermatitis. The median disease duration was four years (range: 1-40 years, 95% CI: 3-10) (Table 1). In addition to scalp involvement, 8 (15.7%) patients had seborrhagic dermatitis lesions on the eyebrows, 6 (11.8%) patients had lesions on nasolabial folds, 5 (9.8%) patients had lesions on the retroauricular region, 3 (5.9%) patients had lesions on the chest and 1 (2%) patient had lesions on the glabella. According to the Koca scoring index, 24 (47.1%) patients had mild seborrhagic dermatitis, 22 (43.1%) patients had moderate seborrhagic dermatitis, and 5 (9.8%) patients had severe seborrhagic dermatitis. Table 2 shows the results of bacterial cultures. S. aureus was isolated from 25 (49%) patients with seborrhagic dermatitis and 10 (20%) healthy individuals within the control group. Coagulase-negative staphylococci were isolated from 24 (47.1%) patients with seborrhagic dermatitis and 17 (34%) healthy individuals within the control group. Diphtheroids were present in 2 (3.9%) patients, and 1 (2%) subject within the control group Gram-negative bacilli were present only in 1 (2%) patient. Hemolytic streptococci and Bacillus were identified in 1 (2%) subject from each group. Colonization of coagulase-negative staphylococci, diphtheroids, gram-negative bacilli, hemolytic streptococci and bacillus did not differ significantly between patients and healthy controls (p=0.18, 0.57, 0.32, 0.99 and 0.99, respectively). However, S. aureus was significantly more frequent in patients with seborrhagic dermatitis compared to the control group (p=0.02).
TABLE 1. Demographic features of the subjects and disease severity score in patients with seborrheic dermatitis

|                          | Patients with Seborrheic Dermatitis (n=51) | Healthy Controls (n=50) | P-value |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)              |                                          |                         |         |
| Mean ±sd                 | 30.6±11.4                                | 32.7±10.7               | 0.35    |
| Median/range             | 27/ (17-57)                              | 28/ (17-56)             |         |
| 95% CI                   | 24-32                                    | 26-35                   |         |
| Gender (n/%)             |                                          |                         |         |
| Female                   | 28 (54.9%)                               | 30 (60%)                | 0.60    |
| Male                     | 23 (45.1%)                               | 20 (40%)                | 0.60    |
| Disease duration (years) |                                          |                         |         |
| Mean ±sd                 | 7.30±8.50                                |                         |         |
| Median/range             | 4 / (1-40)                               |                         |         |
| 95% CI                   | 3-10                                     |                         |         |
| Disease severity (n/%)   |                                          |                         |         |
| Mild                     | 24 (47.1%)                               |                         |         |
| Moderate                 | 22 (43.1%)                               |                         |         |
| Severe                   | 5 (9.8%)                                 |                         |         |

sd: standard deviation  
CI: Confidence interval

The patients and healthy individuals in the control group were statistically similar in age and gender; 46 patients (90.2%) had mild to moderate seborrheic dermatitis. Only 5 patients (9.8%) had severe seborrheic dermatitis according to the seborrheic dermatitis disease severity scoring index that was described by Koca et al.

TABLE 2. Bacterial culture results of the patients with seborrheic dermatitis and control group

| Isolated Bacteria          | Patients With Seborrheic Dermatitis (n/%) | Healthy Controls (n/%) | P value |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Staphylococcus aureus      | 25 (49.0%)                               | 10 (20.0%)             | 0.02    |
| Coagulase-negative staphylococci | 24 (47.1%)                             | 17 (34.0%)             | 0.18    |
| Diphtheroids               | 2 (3.9%)                                 | 1 (2.0%)               | 0.57    |
| Gram-negative bacilli      | 1 (2.0%)                                 | 0 (0.0%)               | 0.32    |
| Hemolytic streptococci     | 1 (2.0%)                                 | 1 (2.0%)               | 0.99    |
| Bacillus                   | 1 (2.0%)                                 | 1 (2.0%)               | 0.99    |

S. aureus colonization was significantly more common in patients with seborrheic dermatitis than in healthy individuals (p=0.02). Colonization of coagulase-negative staphylococci, Diphtheroids, gram-negative bacilli, hemolytic streptococci and Bacillus were statistically similar in patients and healthy controls.

Discussion

The etiopathogenesis of seborrheic dermatitis is not clearly understood. However, Malassezia species has been implicated as the main causative agent in seborrheic dermatitis [7]. In addition, Karincaoglu et al indicated that Demodex folliculorum might play a role in the etiopathogenesis of seborrheic dermatitis. Karincaoglu et al claimed that the Demodex mite was significantly more prevalent in patients with seborrheic dermatitis compared to healthy controls [8]. Nevertheless, Tehrani et al showed no association between seborrheic dermatitis and demodicosis. Tehrani et al investigated the prevalence of seborrheic dermatitis in patients with Demodex infestation. Included in their study were 123 demodicosis positive patients and 57 demodicosis negative individuals. The prevalence of seborrheic dermatitis in patients with...
demodicosis was 63.4% and in *Demodex* negative subjects was 57.9%. The results did not show statistically significant differences between the two groups [9].

There have been a few studies investigating the bacterial flora of the patients with seborrheic dermatitis. In 1954, Pachtman et al reported no correlation between bacteriologic flora and seborrheic dermatitis. The most frequent bacteria isolated from both seborrheic and normal subjects were micrococci and some *Corynebacterium* species [5]. In 1975, McGinley et al evaluated scalp flora of the patients with dandruff, patients with seborrheic dermatitis, and healthy controls. Coagulase-negative cocci were the most common bacteria isolated from all subjects. However, *S. aureus* was identified in 20% of the patients with seborrheic dermatitis, while it was uncommon in patients with dandruff and healthy controls [10]. In 1978, Ihrke et al investigated bacterial flora of normal and seborrheic canine skin. Cutaneous flora of seborrheic dogs consisted mostly of *S. aureus*, while the flora of normal dogs consisted primarily of coagulase-negative cocci [11]. In 1980, Höfler et al investigated the bacterial flora of non-affected skin of patients with seborrheic dermatitis and bacterial skin flora of healthy individuals. Höfler et al reported that the mean count for *Propionibacteria* in the pilosebaceous ducts of the forehead was reduced in patients compared to the control group. In addition, the mean counts of the coagulase-negative staphylococci were the same on the backs of the patients and healthy individuals [12]. In 2016, Tanaka et al examined bacterial microbiota of lesional and non-lesional skin of patients with seborrheic dermatitis. *Acinetobacter*, *Corynebacterium*, *Staphylococcus*, and *Propionibacterium* were found on both lesional and non-lesional sites. However, *Propionibacterium* was predominantly present on non-lesional skin. *Acinetobacter*, *Staphylococcus* and *Propionibacterium* were predominantly present on lesional sites. Tanaka et al suggested that bacterial microbiota might play a role in the development of seborrheic dermatitis by hydrolyzing sebum and providing nutrients for *Malassezia* [13]. Park et al investigated scalp microbiome in patients with dandruff, seborrheic dermatitis and healthy individuals. *Bacteroides*, *Propionibacterium* and *Chryseobacterium* revealed by random forest analysis showed an increase in disease groups. Park et al claimed that symptoms such as itching, burning, and pain were caused by bacterial community [14].

In our study, *S. aureus* and coagulase-negative staphylococci were the most common bacteria isolated from the patient and control groups, respectively. *S. aureus* colonization was significantly more frequent in the skin lesions of patients with seborrheic dermatitis (49%) than in healthy subjects within the control group (20%). There was no clinical sign and symptom of any bacterial infection in the lesions of the patients with seborrheic dermatitis. In addition, coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* was more common in patients (47.1%) than in healthy subjects (34.0%). However, colonization of coagulase-negative staphylococci, diphtheroids, gram-negative bacilli, hemolytic streptococci and bacillus did not show statistically significant difference between the patient and control group.

We have revealed that bacterial skin communities of the patients with seborrheic dermatitis are different from the healthy individuals. *S. aureus* was significantly more common among the patients. Our results are consistent with the previous studies reported by McGinley et al in 1975 and by Ihrke et al in 1978. McGinley et al isolated *S. aureus* from 21% of seborrheic dermatitis cases, 4% of normal subjects and 3% of patients with dandruff. Ihrke et al demonstrated that skin flora of dogs with seborrheic dermatitis were mainly composed of *S. aureus*. However, humans and canines are different species, making a direct comparison is not possible.

In conclusion, bacterial diversity in the skin lesions of seborrheic dermatitis as interactions between *Malassezia* species and bacterial flora of the skin seem to be associated with the development of seborrheic dermatitis. *S. aureus* is a pathogenic microorganism commonly found in the skin flora of the patients with seborrheic dermatitis. We propose that, in addition to *Malassezia* species, *S. aureus* may play a role. Therefore, appropriate antibiotic therapy should be considered in the treatment of severe and persistent seborrheic dermatitis cases.
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