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Five-year follow-up outcomes after Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty: a retrospective study
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ABSTRACT

Objective To assess graft survival and endothelial cell density (ECD) over a 5-year follow-up period after Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK).

Methods and analysis This retrospective study involved 130 eyes of 130 consecutive patients with Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy (FECD), non-FECD bullous keratopathy (BK) (non-FECD) or BK post-trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery (glaucoma with bleb) who underwent DSAEK between August 2007 and January 2012 and were followed for more than 5 years postoperatively. Patients with previous keratoplasty, graft suture failure, primary graft failure, postoperative endothalmitis and ocular infection were excluded. Graft survival and ECD was then examined in all patients who underwent DSAEK and completed the postoperative follow-up period. The association between clinical factors and 5-year graft survival after DSAEK was analysed with multivariate logistic regression analysis.

Results The overall graft survival rate at 5 years postoperatively was 85%, yet significantly poorer in the glaucoma with bleb eyes (47%) than in the FECD (100%) or non-FECD (90%) eyes (p<0.01, log-rank test). In the FECD, non-FECD and glaucoma with bleb eyes, the mean ECD at 5 years postoperatively was 1054 cells/mm², 1137 cells/mm² and 756 cells/mm², respectively. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed history of trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery and postoperative allograft rejection to be negative factors for graft survival at 5 years after DSAEK (OR 0.01, 95% CI 0.00 to 0.10 and OR 0.02, 95% CI 0.00 to 0.33, respectively).

Conclusion Our findings show that at 5 years postoperatively, the surgical outcome after DSAEK was poorer in eyes after trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery.

Trial registration number UMIN000024891.

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) is a well-known surgical procedure for the treatment of bullous keratopathy (BK). To date, there have been no published reports involving over 130 patients with BK due to a variety of causes who were followed up for over 5 years after DSAEK.

What are the new findings?

Our findings revealed that the overall graft survival rate at 5 years after DSAEK was 85%, yet significantly poorer in the glaucoma with bleb eyes (47%), and multivariate analysis revealed that a history of trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery and postoperative allograft rejection were high-risk factors for graft failure at 5 years after DSAEK.

How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?

Further study is needed to elucidate the optimal clinical management and additional treatments required after DSAEK in patients with BK with a history of glaucoma surgery.

INTRODUCTION

Endothelial keratoplasty (EK) is a well-known surgical procedure for the treatment of bullous keratopathy (BK), a pathological condition caused by corneal endothelial dysfunction.1–3 It has been reported that in terms of better visual function, less astigmatism and a lower rate of graft rejection and wound dehiscence after surgery,4–7 EK is a procedure that is superior to penetrating keratoplasty (PK). More than a decade has now passed since Descemet’s stripping endothelial keratoplasty and Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK), respectively, were first reported by Price and Price3 in 2005 and Govoroy,5 Melles6 and Terry et al7 in 2006. It was recently reported that in comparison to EK, the number of cases undergoing Descemet’s membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK) is on the increase.8–10 However, in 2017, over 20000 eyes in the USA underwent DSAEK, while only 7000 eyes underwent DMEK, thus illustrating that DSAEK remains the primary surgical option for the treatment of BK.

In order to properly assess the safety and efficacy of DSAEK, it is necessary to evaluate
the long-term postoperative results, and previous studies have compared the clinical outcomes between DSAEK and PK. Price et al reported that the 5-year donor graft survival and corneal endothelial cell density (ECD) after DSAEK is superior to that after PK, however, by 10 years postoperatively, the amount of endothelial cell loss after DSAEK and after PK was comparable. Moreover, in a cohort study involving Asian eyes, Ang et al reported similar results using a standardised surgical procedure and postoperative follow-up course. It should be noted that the majority of the previous reports on DSAEK involved patients afflicted with Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy (FECD). However, a study from a tertiary referral hospital in Japan reported that the trend of DSAEK for the treatment of BK due to FECD has decreased, yet has increased for the treatment of BK following trabecectomy.

The purpose of this present study was to investigate the clinical outcomes in consecutive BK cases over a 5-year follow-up period after DSAEK, including the rate of donor graft survival and ECD.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design and patients**

This retrospective cohort study of ECD after DSAEK was registered at the University Hospital Medical Information Network (UMIN) (http://www.umin.ac.jp/english/). In accordance with the tenets set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki, written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to their involvement in the study.

This study involved 239 consecutive patients who underwent DSAEK surgery by corneal experts at the Baptist Eye Institute, Kyoto, Japan, between August 2007 and January 2012. Of those 239 cases, 42 were excluded due to the data of the contralateral eye of the same patient (n=8 cases) and a history that could lead to an acceleration of ECD loss, such as previously undergoing keratoplasty (n=23 cases), graft suture (n=4 cases), primary graft failure (n=5 cases), postoperative endophthalmitis (n=1 case) and ocular infection (n=3 cases). Of those remaining 197 cases, 130 (66.0%) were followed for more than 5 years postoperatively and investigated.

The mean age of the 130 patients included in this study was 70.8±10.1 years (mean±SD; range 34–86 years), and the patients were classified into the following three primary groups: (1) cases with BK due to FECD (n=17 cases); (2) cases without FECD (ie, non-FECD), including laser irisotomy-induced BK (LI-BK) (n=47 cases), pseudophakic BK or aphakic BK (PBK/ABK) (n=24 cases), corneal endothelitis (n=7 cases), pseudoxefoliation (PEX) keratopathy (n=3 cases), iridocorneal endothelial syndrome (n=3 cases), trauma-induced BK (n=5 cases), BK after Sato-type anterior-posterior corneal refractive surgery (n=2 cases) and BK due to unknown causes (n=5 cases); and (3) cases had previously undergone trabeculectomy alone (n=18 cases), and both trabeculectomy and tube-shunt surgery (n=1 case) (glaucoma with bleb) (n=19 cases). Of the 130 included cases, DSAEK was performed in combination with lens surgery in 54 (ie, 8 FECD cases, 44 non-FECD cases and 2 glaucoma with bleb cases).

**Surgical technique**

All donor corneas were obtained from SightLife Eye Bank (Seattle, Washington), and all DSAEK flaps used for implantation were prepared by SightLife prior to being shipped to Japan. The techniques used for all DSAEK surgeries were as previously described. Briefly, after an anterior chamber maintainer was set up, the Descemet’s membrane at the central posterior cornea was removed using a reverse Sinskey hook (Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, New York). A corneal graft button approximately 8.0 mm in diameter, yet appropriately adjusted to match the diameter of the host cornea, was then cut for transplantation by use of a Barron Vacuum Punch (Barron Precision Instruments, Grand Blanc, Michigan). Using a Busin glide, the prepared DSAEK flap was then inserted into the anterior chamber through a 4 mm incision at the temporal region of the corneal limbus. The inserted flap was then positioned stromal side up, and air was injected into the anterior chamber in order to sufficiently increase the intraocular pressure (IOP) to firmly attach the graft to the host cornea. After 10 min, the IOP was adjusted to over 10–20 mm Hg by adding and aspirating air and BSS PLUS Irrigating Solution (Alcon, Geneva, Switzerland) using a 30 or 32 G needle. In the patients who underwent combined cataract surgery, a separate 2.5 mm wide scleral tunnel was made at the superior position for phacoemulsi-

**Postoperative management**

As per the protocol reported in our previous study, following DSAEK, each patient received a systemic dose of 125 mg methylprednisolone 1 hour prior to surgery, and then a systemic dose of 4 mg betamethasone for 2 days postoperatively followed by 1 mg betamethasone for an additional 5 days. In addition, a topical application of 0.3% gatifloxacin and 0.1% betamethasone eye drops was administered four times daily, and at 6 months after DSAEK, the 0.1% betamethasone eye drops were switched to 0.1% fluorometholone eye drops instilled two to four times daily. For the patients with general problems, such as poor control of diabetes or renal failure, and so on, the systemic dose of steroid was appropriately adjusted. In all patients, the use of antiglaucoma eye drops was discontinued at the time of surgery.

**Clinical examination**

In each patient, the preoperative ECD of the donor graft was obtained from the donor information provided by SightLife Eye Bank, and at every 6 months postoperatively, ECD was measured by use of a non-contact specular microscope (EM-2000 or EM-3000; TOMYE, Nagoya, Japan). Corneal graft failure was defined as irreversible...
corneal oedema. Cases with graft failure, and cases with a clear graft in which clear specular microscopy images could not be obtained, were excluded from the postoperative ECD analysis. The donor graft survival rate was recorded every 6 months postoperatively, and was defined as the percentage of clear grafts.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro V.12.1.0 statistical analysis software (SAS, Cary, North Carolina). The cumulative donor graft survival rate was analysed by the Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank test. In order to elucidate if there was any bias due to the high dropout rate in the included patients (n=130, 66.0%) who were followed for more than 5 years postoperatively, we reanalysed the rate of graft survival after DSAEK in the patients who were followed for 2 years postoperatively (n=170, 86.3%) and for 3 years postoperatively (n=149, 75.6%).

To identify causative clinical factors associated with 5-year graft survival of post-DSAEK, the analysis was performed with multivariate logistic regression analysis adjusted for donor ECD that included patient age at surgery, patient gender, donor age, donor graft size, donor gender, the presence of combination with cataract surgery, anterior iris synchiae, sutured IOL, bleb, air removal due to pupillary block, air rebubbling, postoperative rejection and additional postoperative glaucoma surgery as covariates. The incidence OR with 95% CIs was calculated. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Of the 197 cases who were followed for more than 5 years after DSAEK, donor graft survival rate and postoperative ECD was examined in 130 eyes of 130 patients. The overall donor graft survival rate at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 years postoperatively was 99%, 95%, 93%, 87% and 85%, respectively (figure 1A). The 5-year cumulative graft survival rate in the FECD cases (n=17) was relatively comparable to that in the non-FECD cases (n=94) (ie, 100% in the FECD cases and 90% in the non-FECD cases, p=0.18). However, in the glaucoma with bleb cases (n=19), the 5-year cumulative graft survival rate from the second year to 5 years postoperatively was consistently poorer than that in the FECD and non-FECD cases (p<0.01), and the donor graft survival rate at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 years postoperatively was 100%, 84%, 74%, 53% and 47%, respectively (figure 1B), in the glaucoma with bleb cases.

In order to investigate whether there was any bias due to the high dropout rate in this study, we reanalysed the rate of donor graft survival in the patients who were followed for 2 years postoperatively (n=170) and for 3 years postoperatively (n=149). The overall donor graft survival rate at 2 years postoperatively was 97% in the 2-year follow-up group and 96% in the 3-year follow-up group, respectively, while that at 3 years postoperatively was 94% in the 3-year follow-up group. The donor graft survival rate in the glaucoma with bleb cases at 2 years postoperatively was 89% in the 2-year follow-up group (n=28) and 87% in the 3-year follow-up group (n=23), respectively, while that at 3 years postoperatively was 78% in the 3-year follow-up group (n=23). Moreover, the donor graft survival rate over 2 years postoperatively in the glaucoma and bleb subgroup was poorer than that in the FECD and non-FECD cases (online supplementary figures 1 and 2).

The average ECD (mean±SD) after DSAEK was assessed during the 5-year follow-up period and at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 years postoperatively was 1925±514 cells/mm², 1719±585 cells/mm², 1467±617 cells/mm², 1244±609 cells/mm² and 1096±604 cells/mm², respectively (table 1). Postoperative ECD analyses among the three groups (ie, the included FECD cases, non-FECD cases and glaucoma with bleb cases) revealed that the ECD of the FECD cases was comparable to that of the non-FECD cases during the 5-year postoperative period, while that of the glaucoma with bleb cases was decreased compared with that of both the FECD cases and the non-FECD cases at 1 year postoperatively and was clearly declining over the 5-year postoperative period (figure 2 and table 1).

To investigate the risk factors between the clinical factors and the 5-year graft survival after DSAEK, multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed. After DSAEK, of the 130 cases included in the study, 9 cases required air removal due to pupillary block, 8 cases required air rebubbling, 7 cases exhibited allograft rejection and 8 cases required additional glaucoma surgery. Of the various clinical factors, we found that a history of trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery was a negative factor for graft survival at 5 years after DSAEK (OR 0.01, 95% CI 0.00 to 0.10, p<0.01), that postoperative allograft rejection was a high-risk factor for graft failure (OR 0.02, 95% CI 0.00 to 0.33, p<0.01) and that there was no significant association between the other factors and graft survival over the 5-year postoperative period (table 2).

![Figure 1](http://bmjophth.bmj.com/)

**Figure 1** Graphs showing a cumulative Kaplan-Meier graft survival curve after Descemet’s stripping endothelial keratoplasty (DSEK) in total eyes (A) and in three different groups, including Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy (FECD), non-FECD bullous keratopathy (BK) (ie, non-FECD) and patients with BK with a history of trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery (glaucoma with bleb) (B). Asterisk indicates a p value less than 0.01 (log-rank test).
Table 1  Endothelial cell density after Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK)

| The causes of bullous keratopathy | Endothelial cell density (cells/mm²) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                                  | Baseline | 1 year | 3 years | 5 years |
|----------------------------------|----------|--------|---------|---------|
| FEDC                             | 2868     | 2044   | 1595    | 1054    |
|                                  | (2504–3325) | (1303–2434) | (665–2349) | (504–2303) |
| (n=17)                           | (n=17)   | (n=16) | (n=16) |
| Non-FEDC                         | 2937     | 1943   | 1469    | 1137    |
|                                  | (2306–3673) | (500–2938) | (454–2627) | (348–2517) |
| (n=94)                           | (n=93)   | (n=86) | (n=82) |
| Glaucoma with bleb               | 2978     | 1731   | 1292    | 756     |
|                                  | (2519–3642) | (800–2569) | (529–2054) | (500–1082) |
| (n=19)                           | (n=19)   | (n=13) | (n=8) |
| Total                            | 2934     | 1925   | 1467    | 1096    |
|                                  | (2306–3673) | (500–2938) | (454–2627) | (348–2517) |
| (n=130)                          | (n=129)  | (n=115)| (n=106) |

Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy (FEDC); non-FEDC, laser iridotomy-induced bullous keratopathy (LI-BK), pseudophakic BK (PBK), aphakic BK (ABK), corneal endothelitis, pseudoexfoliation keratopathy, iridocorneal endothelial syndrome, trauma-induced BK, BK after Sato-type anterior-posterior corneal refractive surgery and BK due to unknown causes; glaucoma with bleb, bullous keratopathy with history of trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective study, we investigated the graft survival outcomes and ECD changes after DSAEK in consecutive patients with FEDC, non-FEDC and patients with glaucoma with bleb who underwent a minimum 5-year postoperative follow-up. As mentioned above, most previous studies on the surgical outcomes after DSAEK have primarily focused on the analysis of patients with FEDC. However, in those studies, there were a variety of causes of BK that required DSAEK, such as PBK, ABK, trabeculectomy-induced BK, LI-BK, cytomegalovirus-induced BK, PEX keratopathy and trauma. Hence, the findings in this present study may help broaden our understanding of the long-term surgical outcomes after DSAEK.

In regard to the rate of donor graft survival after DSAEK, the 5-year cumulative graft survival rate in the glaucoma with bleb eyes was only 47.4%, yet was 100% in the FEDC eyes and 90.2% in the non-FEDC eyes. In this present study, the overall mean ECD at 5 years after DSAEK was 1088 cells/mm², lower than that at the same postoperative follow-up time point reported in the previous studies (ie, 1510 cells/mm², 17 1312 cells/mm² 18 and 1322 cells/mm² 19). However, that is probably due to the fact that the present study included cases with a history of undergoing trabeculectomy and tube-shunt surgery, which are both more likely to reduce ECD after DSAEK.

It has been reported that a previous trabeculectomy is an independent risk factor for graft detachment and graft dislocation after DSAEK.20–23 However, in this present study, air rebubbling was found to not be associated with graft failure at 5 years postoperatively. It has also been reported that even after a successful DSAEK procedure, failure of the donor graft is more likely to occur in patients with a history of glaucoma surgery, including trabeculectomy and tube-shunt surgery,24 25 and the multivariate logistic regression analysis in this present study revealed that a history of trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery was one of the negative factors for graft survival at 5 years after DSAEK (OR 0.01, 95% CI 0.00 to 0.10, p<0.01).

Of continued interest is the fact that the exact mechanism by which graft failure occurs in eyes with pre-existing glaucoma is still not completely understood. It has previously been reported that aqueous humour proteome analysis revealed higher levels of oxidative, apoptotic and inflammatory plasma proteins in eyes that

Figure 2  Graph showing a comparison of the average endothelial cell density after Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) between patients with Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy (FEDC), non-FEDC bullous keratopathy (BK) (ie, non-FEDC) and BK with a history of trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery (glaucoma with bleb). SD is indicated by the lines above the upper and lower black dots.
Table 2  Multivariate ORs of clinical factors for 5-year graft survival of post-DSAEK

| Clinical factors                                      | Multivariate analysis |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                                      | OR  | 95% CI     | P value | n  |
| Age at surgery                                       |     |            |         |    |
| >65 years old                                        | 4.48| 0.71 to 28.38 | 0.11    | 106|
| Gender                                               |     |            |         |    |
| Female                                               | 0.50| 0.08 to 3.21 | 0.46    | 75 |
| Donor graft size                                      |     |            |         |    |
| >8.0 mm                                              | 2.61| 0.32 to 20.70 | 0.37    | 19 |
| Donor age                                             |     |            |         |    |
| >65 years old                                        | 3.44| 0.73 to 16.16 | 0.12    | 69 |
| Donor gender                                          |     |            |         |    |
| Female                                               | 0.45| 0.09 to 2.29 | 0.34    | 61 |
| Combined with lens surgery                           |     |            |         |    |
| Yes                                                   | 0.46| 0.09 to 2.49 | 0.37    | 54 |
| Peripheral anterior synechia                         |     |            |         |    |
| Yes                                                   | 0.79| 0.11 to 5.69 | 0.82    | 16 |
| Scleral-suture fixated IOL                            |     |            |         |    |
| Yes                                                   | 0.53| 0.06 to 4.43 | 0.56    | 11 |
| Previous trabeculectomy and tube-shunt surgery       |     |            |         |    |
| Yes                                                   | 0.01| 0.00 to 0.10 | <0.01   | 19 |
| Air removal due to pupillary block                    |     |            |         |    |
| Yes                                                   | 0.14| 0.01 to 1.75 | 0.13    | 9  |
| Air rebubbling                                       |     |            |         |    |
| Yes                                                   | 5.57| 0.19 to 159.58 | 0.32   | 8  |
| Postoperative rejection                               |     |            |         |    |
| Yes                                                   | 0.02| 0.00 to 0.33 | <0.01   | 7  |
| Additional glaucoma surgery after DSAEK               |     |            |         |    |
| Yes                                                   | 0.30| 0.03 to 2.65 | 0.28    | 8  |

Multivariate analysis was adjusted for donor endothelial cell density.
DSAEK, Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty; IOL, intraocular lens.

have undergone glaucoma surgery.26 27 Currently, repeat
DSAEK is the only surgical procedure available for visual
recovery in patients with glaucoma who experience
repeat graft failure.28 29 However, although we previ-
osly reported that there were no significant differences
in the surgical outcomes among the number of repeat
PKs performed,30 the surgical outcomes following repeat
DSAEK have yet to be fully elucidated. Thus, further
investigation is needed in regard to surgical outcomes
and the number of repeat DSAEK performed.

It should be noted that this present study did have
several limitations. The first limitation is that 34% of
patients who underwent DSAEK dropped out of the study
during the 5-year follow-up period, primarily because
it was difficult for elderly patients and patients living
in remote areas to continuously visit our institute for
long-term follow-up examinations. The data reanalysed
in the 2-year and 3-year follow-up groups suggest that
there might be more patients who remained with poor
prognosis in longer follow-up. However, we found that
there was a similar trend in donor graft survival among
the 2, 3 and 5-year follow-up groups, thus demonstrating
that glaucoma with bleb was the subgroup with a lower
donor graft survival rate compared with that of the FECD
and non-FECD cases. The second limitation is over a 33%
of the patients included in this study were BK secondar-
y to laser iridotomy, which is relatively unique pathology
in Asian countries.31 However, our findings did indicate
that a history of trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery was
a negative factor for donor graft survival. The third limi-
tation is that this was a retrospective study. For example,
even though we did discover that a history of glaucoma
surgery could result in earlier failure of the donor graft,
the number of cases in which that occurred was small.
Thus, in order to confirm the reproducibility of our
findings, a prospective study involving a larger cohort of
patients is needed.
In conclusion, the findings of this detailed 5-year follow-up study revealed that the graft survival rate and ECD after DSAEK was lower in eyes with a history of trabeculectomy or tube-shunt surgery.
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