Role for rodent Smc6 in pericentromeric heterochromatin domains during spermatogonial differentiation and meiosis
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Chromatin structure and function are for a large part determined by the structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) protein family, which form three heterodimeric complexes: Smc1/3 (cohesin), Smc2/4 (condensin) and Smc5/6. Each complex has distinct and important roles in chromatin dynamics, gene expression and differentiation. In yeast and Drosophila, Smc6 is involved in recombinational repair, restarting collapsed replication forks and prevention of recombination in repetitive sequences such as rDNA and pericentromeric heterochromatin. Although such DNA damage control mechanisms, as well as highly dynamic changes in chromatin composition and function, are essential for gametogenesis, knowledge on Smc6 function in mammalian systems is limited. We therefore have investigated the role of Smc6 during mammalian spermatogonial differentiation, meiosis and subsequent spermiogenesis. We found that, during mouse spermatogenesis, Smc6 functions as part of meiotic pericentromeric heterochromatin domains that are initiated when differentiating spermatogonia become irreversibly committed toward meiosis. To our knowledge, we are the first to provide insight into how commitment toward meiosis alters chromatin structure and dynamics, thereby setting apart differentiating spermatogonia from the undifferentiated spermatogonia, including the spermatogonial stem cells. Interestingly, Smc6 is not essential for spermatogonial mitosis, whereas Smc6-negative meiotic cells appear unable to finish their first meiotic division. Importantly, during meiosis, we find that DNA repair or recombination sites, marked by γH2AX or Rad51 respectively, do not co-localize with the pericentromeric heterochromatin domains where Smc6 is located. Considering the repetitive nature of these domains and that Smc6 has been previously shown to prevent recombination in repetitive sequences, we hypothesize that Smc6 has a role in the prevention of aberrant recombination events between pericentromeric regions during the first meiotic prophase that would otherwise cause chromosomal aberrations leading to apoptosis, meiotic arrest or aneuploidies.
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Chromatin structure and function are for a large part determined by the structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) protein family. In association with several other non-SMC subunits, these proteins form three types of ring-like complexes: cohesin (Smc1/3), condensin (Smc2/4) and the Smc5/6 complex, all of which have the capacity to hold two double-stranded DNA strands together.ⁱ,² Cohesin is required for sister chromatid cohesion and properly timed segregation during mitosis. Furthermore, also by holding sister chromatids together, cohesin is essential for DNA damage repair by homologous recombination during the S and G2 phases of the cell cycle.⁴–⁵ Condensin’s main function is to form loops within a single chromosome, thereby causing condensation of the chromosomes required for the mitotic divisions.⁵ In addition, both condensin and cohesin are thought to have roles in gene expression.⁶ Cohesin is known to contribute to the gene regulatory functions of CTCF (CCCTC-binding factor), an enhancer-blocking insulator protein, by altering chromosomal conformation in order to influence the interaction between promoter and enhancer elements.⁷–¹⁰ Moreover, it has been proposed that dynamic placement of cohesin complexes by pluripotency transcription factors, contributes to a chromosome organization that maintains pluripotency of embryonic stem cells.¹¹

The Smc5/6 complex was first described in yeast cells to be involved in the DNA damage response process by promoting sister chromatid recombination and recruiting cohesin to double-strand break (DSB) sites.⁴,¹²–¹⁴ Similarly, it has been shown that the yeast Smc5/6 complex is required to resolve meiotic recombination intermediates and that abrogation of the complex leads to unresolved linkages between the meiotic chromosomes.¹⁵–¹⁷ Although DNA damage repair has long
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been proposed to be its main function, in many mammalian cancer cells lacking telomerase activity, Smc5/6 is also involved in a process called alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) by promoting intra-telomeric recombination.\textsuperscript{14,18–20} Moreover, apart from facilitating recombination, yeast Smc5/6 has also been found to provide structural organization and topological relief to replication forks in mitotically dividing cells.\textsuperscript{4,21–28} and could perhaps even be involved in gene silencing.\textsuperscript{29–32}

In contrast, in heterochromatin regions containing densely packed, highly repetitive DNA sequences such as rDNA and the pericentromeric regions, Smc5/6 maintains genomic stability by suppressing aberrant intra-chromosomal recombination between these repetitive sequences.\textsuperscript{14,26,33,34} Additionally, Smc6 is required for repair of DSBs that occur in these heterochromatic regions\textsuperscript{14,26,33} by having an essential role in the translocation of the damaged DNA to adjacent euchromatic regions where recombinational repair can be completed, safe from the complications associated with a high density of surrounding repetitive sequences.\textsuperscript{34}

During meiosis, DSBs are initiated endogenously and are required for meiotic recombination and formation of chiasmata, which are essential for proper alignment and segregation of the chromosomes during the first meiotic division.\textsuperscript{35} However, meiotic recombination within the repetitive pericentromeric regions can lead to branched chromosome structures and meiotic segregation errors and should therefore be avoided.\textsuperscript{36} Indeed, more than 80 years ago it was discovered in Drosophila that the centromeres and the surrounding pericentromeric regions have relatively low rates of meiotic recombination.\textsuperscript{37} Numerous studies in various organisms, including human, subsequently confirmed this phenomenon\textsuperscript{36} and the centromeres and pericentromeric regions are now considered ‘cold’ spots with a significantly low rate of meiotic recombination.\textsuperscript{36} In yeast and Drosophila, meiotic recombination within pericentromeric regions is suppressed by formation of pericentromeric heterochromatin.\textsuperscript{38,39} Importantly, also during mouse meiosis, the centromeric regions are condensed in pericentromeric heterochromatin.\textsuperscript{40}

Spermatogenesis, including spermatogonial differentiation, meiosis and subsequent spermiogenesis,\textsuperscript{41} is characterized by continuously ongoing, rapid and profound changes in composition and function of chromatin. Chromatin structure and dynamics safeguard genomic integrity, influence replication and transcription and steer the mitotic and meiotic cell cycles. Therefore, failure in the spatio-temporal organization of chromatin can cause genomic instability, which can lead to incorrect chromosome segregation, chromosomal aberrations and aneuploidy. During early spermatogenesis, failure to maintain genomic integrity can cause spermatogonial apoptosis, while later chromosomal misalignments can lead to infertility caused by meiotic arrest.\textsuperscript{42} Even though proper chromatin architecture sits at the fundament of efficient and safe reproduction, surprisingly little is known about the changing chromatin dynamics that are already clearly visible during spermatogonial differentiation.\textsuperscript{43,44}

In mammals, Smc6 mRNA is predominantly expressed in the testis\textsuperscript{45} and seems regulated by post-translational modifications.\textsuperscript{45,46} However, because knockout of Smc6 leads to embryonic lethality and mutation of its ATP hydrolysis motif has no apparent effect on fertility,\textsuperscript{47} its function during spermatogenesis remains to be elucidated. Here, we find that Smc6 functions as part of the pericentromeric heterochromatin domains that start to take shape when undifferentiated type A spermatogonia (including the spermatogonial stem cells) become irreversibly committed toward meiosis and are referred to as differentiating spermatogonia.\textsuperscript{48} These domains persist in the subsequent intermediate and type B spermatogonia, are present during the entire process of meiosis and only disappear when haploid spermatids start to elongate. Interestingly, although not essential for spermatogonial mitosis, Smc6-negative meiotic cells often appear arrested in M-phase. We therefore propose that, in line with its function within heterochromatin in Drosophila,\textsuperscript{34} Smc6 has a key role in the suppression of meiotic recombination between and within centromeric regions and thus the prevention of accumulating branched chromosome structures that would otherwise cause meiotic arrest and, consequently, male infertility.

**Results**

**Smc6 expression and localization in the testis.** To investigate the presence of the Smc5/6 complex in the testis, we performed western blot analysis for Smc6 on several organs of the mouse, including the testis. Interestingly, Smc6 expression was much stronger in the testis than in other organs, showing a 20- to 100-fold increase in band-intensity compared with brain or other organs, respectively (Figure 1a; Supplementary Figure 1a). In contrast to a similar extra band observed in human cultured cells,\textsuperscript{45} we did not find the upper band for Smc6 to be caused by hyper-phosphorylation (Supplementary Figure 1b).

We next studied the localization of Smc6 in the different cell types present in the mouse testis by immunohistochemistry (Figure 1b). After examination of seminiferous tubules of all the stages of the seminiferous epithelium in four different animals, two subpopulations of type A spermatogonia were found; spermatogonia without nuclear Smc6 and spermatogonia showing an, often speckled, nuclear staining. This speckled staining pattern appeared stronger and more pronounced in the intermediate and type B spermatogonia. In spermatocytes, these speckles became larger and were most often located at the rim of the nucleus. In addition to the general faint cytoplasmic localization present in all germ cells, round spermatids showed Smc6 staining in the chromatcenters present in the nucleus. In developing round spermatids, Smc6 was found to gradually decrease until only a faint cytoplasmic Smc6 staining remained in elongating spermatids. We did not detect Smc6 in any of the somatic cells of the testis, including the Sertoli cells, Leydig cells and peritubular cells.

**Undifferentiated spermatogonia, including the spermatogonial stem cells, do not express Smc6.** To further characterize the Smc6-negative and -positive spermatogonial subpopulations, we determined the number of Smc6-negative spermatogonia per 100 Sertoli cells in the different stages of the seminiferous epithelium (Figure 2a).
Interestingly, the observed quantitative pattern of Smc6-negative spermatogonia across the different epithelial stages matches with a similarly obtained pattern described for the presence of LIN28, an accepted marker for undifferentiated spermatogonia in the mouse.49 Accordingly, this pattern also indicates that the Smc6-positive type A spermatogonia are differentiating.

To verify that the Smc6 expression marks differentiating spermatogonia, we conducted immunohistochemistry for both Smc6 and LIN28 on consecutive sections of mouse testes. Using this approach, we found that when spermatogonia present in one section express Smc6, the same cells in a neighboring section are indeed negative for LIN28 (Figure 2b). Vice versa, spermatogonia that express LIN28 are negative for Smc6. Hence, undifferentiated spermatogonia are Smc6-negative and expression of Smc6 marks differentiating spermatogonia.

**Smc6 expression coincides with induction of spermatogonial differentiation.** To experimentally investigate whether Smc6 expression is directly correlated with the transition of undifferentiated to differentiating spermatogonia, we used a model of vitamin A-deficient (VAD) rats. Because retinoic acid, the active metabolite of vitamin A, is required for this transition, the only germ cells in the testes of these animals are undifferentiated spermatogonia.50 However, upon administration of retinoic acid, the arrested undifferentiated spermatogonia synchronously resume spermatogonial differentiation.50–52 In line with our previous experiments, all spermatogonia in the VAD testes were negative for Smc6 (Figure 2c). Only after retinoic acid administration, many Smc6-positive spermatogonia were found (Figure 2c), showing that the presence of Smc6 indeed marks spermatogonial differentiation and thus the transition after which spermatogonia are irreversibly committed to undergo meiosis and subsequent spermiogenesis.

**Smc6 expression is localized in heterochromatin domains.** Because the timing and expression pattern of Smc6 in differentiating spermatogonia appears similar to the gradual development of heterochromatin during spermatogenesis,43,44 we performed co-immunofluorescence
microscopy on testis sections using antibodies against Smc6, the heterochromatin marker H3K9me3 and DAPI as DNA-stain to determine specific cell types by nuclear morphology and tubular context. In all Smc6-positive germ cells, nuclear Smc6 strongly localized to DAPI-bright regions that also contained H3K9me3. Hence, Smc6 is indeed enriched at sites of heterochromatin in differentiating spermatogonia, spermatocytes and round spermatids (Figure 3; Supplementary Figure 2).

Smc6 is always absent from the chromosomes in mitotic metaphase, whereas Smc6-negative spermatocytes do not complete the first meiotic division. As shown for mitotically dividing cells in culture, we find that Smc6 is never located on the chromosomes of mitotically dividing spermatogonia (Figure 4a). In contrast, Smc6 is strongly present on the chromosomes of all healthy meiotically dividing spermatocytes in what looks like centromeric regions or kinetochores (Figures 4a and b). Interestingly, of all

Figure 2  Smc6 is only expressed in differentiating spermatogonia. (a) The amount of Smc6-negative type A spermatogonia per 100 Sertoli cells counted per stage. For every epithelial stage, at least 10 tubules were counted; data are plotted as mean ± S.E.M. (b) Consecutive sections of mouse testis were stained with anti-Smc6 or anti-LIN28 and the same spermatogonia, when present in both sections, were compared. Smc6-negative cells (*) are LIN28-positive and vice versa (arrowheads). Epithelial stages are indicated with roman numerals (left panel). Sertoli cells are marked with Ser. (c) Testis sections from VAD rats, without and after administration of retinoic acid, were stained with anti-Smc6. Only after induction of differentiation by retinoic acid, Smc6-positive spermatogonia are found. Negative controls showed no staining. Bar = 20 μm
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pericentromeric heterochromatin domains already start to form during spermatogonial differentiation, continue to embed the centromeric regions during meiosis and gradually disappear in developing round spermatids.

**Meiotic pericentromeric heterochromatin domains do not co-localize with DNA damage repair or recombination sites.** To fully exclude a role for Smc6 during meiotic DSB repair, we first co-stained Smc6 with γH2AX in combination with either CREST or STAG3, to mark the centromeres or the synaptonemal complex, respectively (Figure 6). During early stages of meiosis, when the homologous chromosomes are not yet synapsed and more than 20 CREST dots are visible, γH2AX marks meiotic DSBs. However, in these cells, we did not observe co-localization between Smc6 and γH2AX. Subsequently during pachytene, when the somatic chromosomes are fully synapsed, γH2AX only marks the XY body in which the largely unsynapsed X and Y chromosomes are silenced by meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI). In these cells, we did observe some overlap between γH2AX and Smc6, but mostly in the pericentromeric region containing a CREST dot. Occasionally, γH2AX also marks somatic chromosomes in pachytene-like cells, most likely due to aberrant synopsis causing meiotic silencing of unsynapsed chromosomes (MSUC). However, in such cells, Smc6 and γH2AX did not co-localize on the somatic chromosomes.

Most meiotic DSBs will be resolved by homologous recombination, which can be visualized by staining for Rad51. To investigate the spatial relation between pericentromeric heterochromatin and the presence of Rad51, we first co-labeled meiotic cells with Smc6 and H3K9me3 together with CREST or STAG3 to confirm pericentromeric heterochromatin domain structure and content in meiotic spread preparations (Figure 7a). We then used confocal microscopy to study whether Rad51 foci are localized to the pericentromeric heterochromatin domains, marked by H3K9me3. However, as shown in the max projection of the confocal layers (Figure 7b) or the supplemented 3D rendering of the same Z-scan (Supplementary Video 1), the meiotic pericentromeric heterochromatin domains did not co-localize with Rad51.

Taken together, these results show that during the first meiotic prophase, when synopsis between the homologous chromosomes and meiotic recombination take place, Smc6 is part of pericentromeric heterochromatin domains and does not have a role in early meiotic DSB repair, meiotic recombination or silencing of unsynapsed chromosomes.

**Discussion**

We identify a distinct Smc6-positive subpopulation of A spermatogonia that, based on its presence in specific spermatogenic stages and the lack of LIN28-expression, appears to represent differentiating spermatogonia. Unlike their undifferentiated progenitors, these spermatogonia are irreversibly committed to eventually enter meiosis and further divisions and development of these cells are strictly orchestrated by the stages of the seminiferous epithelium. To experimentally validate that Smc6 expression indeed
coincides with spermatogonial differentiation, we used a VAD rat model in which arrested undifferentiated spermatogonia only commence spermatogonial differentiation upon retinoic acid administration. This model confirms that the Smc6-positive spermatogonia are indeed differentiating. Still, because the germ cells present in the VAD rat model are all synchronized, one could argue that the observed change in Smc6 expression observed is cell cycle dependent. However, Smc6 protein expression has been shown to be cell cycle independent in cultured mammalian cells. Moreover, if Smc6 expression would depend on cell cycle phase, we would have found both Smc6-negative and -positive cells among the LIN28-expressing spermatogonia. Thus, our results convincingly show that Smc6 is excluded from the undifferentiated spermatogonia, including the spermatogonial stem cells, and specifically marks the differentiating spermatogonia.

Considering its spermatogonial expression pattern, Smc5/6 could be involved in the initiation of spermatogonial differentiation, analogous to the cohesin complex which, in combination with the chromatin binding protein CTCF, has been connected to chromatin changes that lead to altered gene expression. Moreover, independently of CTCF binding, cohesin co-localizes with pluripotency-related transcription factors in embryonic stem cells, thereby preventing differentiation. Theoretically, if not cohesin, the Smc5/6 complex could be the SMC complex facilitating CTCF-mediated gene regulation during spermatogenesis. In line with this hypothesis, it has been shown that CTCF, as we find for Smc6, only starts to be expressed in late spermatogonia. A model in which the Smc5/6 complex functions together with CTCFL to regulate genes necessary for spermatogonial differentiation could therefore be appealing.

The differentiating spermatogonia are preparing their genome to undergo meiosis, a process that includes the induction of DSBs, synopsis of the homologous chromosomes, meiotic recombination and the subsequent meiotic divisions. The preparations for these events involve drastic changes in chromatin architecture, which in rodents, are illustrated by the increasing amount of heterochromatin that appears at the nuclear rim while the spermatogonia differentiate. As we have shown that Smc6 expression coincides with spermatogonial differentiation, we investigated whether Smc6 localization is also correlated with heterochromatin formation. By fluorescently co-staining testis sections for Smc6 and the heterochromatin marker H3K9me3, we demonstrated that Smc6 indeed co-localizes with heterochromatic regions. Additionally, by co-staining

Figure 4 Smc6-negative M-phase spermatocytes arrest at the first meiotic division. (a) Fluorescent staining of testis sections for Smc6. Smc6 is located on the chromosomes (visualized by DAPI) during all healthy meiotic divisions but never during mitotic divisions. (b) Synchronously dividing Smc6-positive M-phase spermatocytes (M) in stage XII. (c) Still in stage XII, arrested Smc6-negative M-phase spermatocyte (arrowhead) that did not proceed to secondary spermatocyte (2'). (d) Arrested Smc6-negative spermatocyte (arrowhead) present in stage I that should have proceeded to round spermatid (Rs). Negative controls showed no staining. Bar = 5 μm (a) or 20 μm (b-d)
testis sections for Smc6 and the centromere marker CREST, we show that these heterochromatic patches are actually pericentromeric heterochromatin domains that start to take shape during spermatogonial differentiation, continue throughout meiosis and early spermiogenesis and are characterized by the presence of Smc6.

In yeast, the Smc5/6 complex appeared essential to resolve meiotic recombination intermediates. During mammalian meiosis, DSBs are endogenously initiated (marked by γH2AX) in early meiotic cells to be resolved later by homologous recombination (marked by Rad51). However, we observe that meiotic DSB sites marked by γH2AX or Rad51 do not co-localize with the Smc6/H3K9me3-positive pericentromeric heterochromatin domains. Moreover, as observed previously, we do not find Smc6 on the synaptonemal cores nor do we see any other Smc6 foci that would point to a function in meiotic recombination. Only in the XY body, in which γH2AX marks MSCI of the unsynapsed X and Y chromosomes, Smc6 and γH2AX partly overlap. However, this overlap is restricted to the pericentromeric region where the X and Y chromosomes are actually synapsed. Moreover, Smc6 and γH2AX do not co-localize in occasional pachytene-like cells that display γH2AX staining on somatic chromosomes. Because the presence of γH2AX indicates endogenously occurring synopsis problems in these cells, we do not consider Smc6 to directly contribute to MSUC.

Interestingly, also yeast-Smc6 is strongly enriched in the pericentromeric regions during the G2 phase of mitosis, which functionally resembles the prolonged first meiotic prophase, where it is essential for the timely separation of chromatids and the prevention of branched and entangled chromosome structures and subsequent mitotic arrest. An additional challenge that only meiotic cells face is that DSBs are endogenously induced and repaired by homologous recombination to generate genetic diversity among their haploid daughter cells. Because the pericentromeric regions are rich in repetitive sequences, they are prone to form intra-chromosomal recombination structures, which is why meiotic recombination around the centromeres is generally suppressed. However, the mechanism behind this centromere-specific suppression of meiotic recombination is currently not clear. In yeast and Drosophila, it has been shown that homologous recombination around the centromeres is suppressed by the formation of pericentromeric heterochromatin. Moreover, in Drosophila, Smc6 has been found to have a key role in the suppression of
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Figure 5 Smc6 is part of pericentromeric heterochromatin domains during meiosis. Co-immunofluorescent staining of meiotic spread preparations using antibodies against Smc6 and synaptonemal complex protein SCP3, CREST-serum to mark the centromeres and DAPI. Smc6 shows to be part of pericentromeric heterochromatin domains during all examined stages of the meiotic prophase. Negative controls showed no staining. Bar = 10 μm.
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homologous recombination in heterochromatin domains in somatic cells by inhibiting the recombinase Rad51 within these domains. As we find that Smc6 functions within pericentromeric heterochromatin domains over the entire time frame during which meiotic recombination takes place, we propose that Smc6 is involved in suppressing recombination within and between centromeric regions during mammalian meiosis. This hypothesis is strengthened by the observation that the meiotic pericentromeric heterochromatin domains indeed do not contain DSBs or meiotic recombination sites marked by γH2AX or Rad51, respectively.

We also found centromeric Smc6 expression during and in between the two subsequent meiotic divisions MI and MII. During yeast mitosis, Smc5/6 has been found to provide topological relief to replication forks and separating sister chromatids. Similarly, Smc6 could be involved in providing topological relief to the separating chromosomes during meiosis. Both centromeric recombination and topological stress will activate the spindle checkpoint and cause meiotic arrest. Indeed, Smc6-negative spermatocytes are arrested and thus mostly found in a subsequent stage in which the healthy spermatocytes have synchronously developed into round spermatids.

Even after completion of meiosis, Smc6 is still present in round spermatids within the chromocenter(s) in which the centromeres are located during spermiogenesis. However, Smc6 gradually disappears, as these haploid cells develop toward elongated spermatids. This Smc6 could be remnants of meiosis or could be involved in the subsequent pair-wise clustering and condensation of the centromeric regions in developing spermatids.

To conclude, in this study we demonstrate roles for the chromatin-modifying protein Smc6 during spermatogonial differentiation, meiosis and perhaps even spermiogenesis.
Smc6 in differentiating spermatogonia could influence gene expression, possibly in cooperation with CTCFL.\textsuperscript{57} However, considering the fact that we find Smc6 to function in pericentromeric heterochromatin domains that already form in differentiating spermatogonia, Smc6 localization in these cells could also be part of chromatin modifications that prepare the cells for the upcoming meiotic events. During meiosis, recombination between and within the centromeric regions must be repressed to prevent the accumulation of branched chromosome structures that would otherwise cause meiotic arrest and, consequently, male infertility. This can be achieved by the formation of pericentromeric heterochromatin domains\textsuperscript{38,39} and the presence of Smc6 within these domains to exclude Rad51-mediated recombination.\textsuperscript{34} We have now

**Figure 7** Meiotic Smc6 localizes to pericentromeric heterochromatin domains that are deprived of Rad51 foci. Co-immunofluorescent staining of meiotic spreads preparations using antibodies against Smc6, H3K9me3, Rad51 and STAG3 (to mark the synaptonemal complex core), CREST-serum (to mark the centromeres) and DAPI. (a) Smc6 co-localizes with pericentromeric heterochromatin marked by H3K9me3. (b) These pericentromeric heterochromatin show no Rad51 foci, indicating that meiotic recombination does not occur at these sites. Bar = 5 μm
shown that the pericentromeric regions during mouse meiosis are indeed condensed in Smc6-positive/Rad51-negative heterochromatin domains. Moreover, we observe spermatocytes without Smc6 to be arrested, probably at the first meiotic division. Considering all these data, we hypothesize that, as in somatic heterochromatin in Drosophila, Smc6 has an important role in the suppression of recombination around the centromeres during mammalian germ cell development.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Surplus adult male mice (strains 129, FVB and Balb-c, between 8 and 15 weeks old) were used for western blot analysis, immunohistochemistry and meiotic spreads. The animals were used and maintained according to regulations provided by the animal ethical committee of the Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, which also approved the experiments. Previously obtained paraffin-embedded testes of VAD Wistar rats were used for immunohistochemistry. All experiments were repeated using at least four different animals.

Western blotting. Pieces of mouse organ tissue (4 × 6 mm) were placed in RIPA buffer (1% NP40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) containing PMSF (100 μM), protease inhibitor cocktail (PIC, 1 ×, Roche, Almere, The Netherlands) and PhosSTOP (Roche). Tissues were homogenized and lysed on ice for 1 h. After centrifugation (15 min/14 000 r.p.m./4°C), the supernatant was collected and stored at −80°C for a minimum of 1 h. Protein concentrations were measured using the Qubit Protein assay Kit (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Of each sample, 30 μg was loaded on a 4–12% gel (Invitrogen). Proteins were blotted on an Immobilon-FL PVDF membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and blocked with Odyssey Blocking buffer (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) and incubated in Odyssey Blocking buffer containing 5% goat serum. After washing three times for 10 min in TBST (Tris buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20), membranes were incubated in an IRDye 800CW secondary antibody 1:15 000 for 1 h at room temperature (diluted in Odyssey Blocking buffer containing 0.1% Tween-20). As a loading control, a primary mouse anti-β-actin antibody 1:5000 (A1978, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used. Image acquisition and quantification were done with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences). To determine phosphorylation of Smc6, samples were lysed in RIPA buffer with and without PhosSTOP. Samples lacking phosphatase inhibitors were treated with CalPhos Intestinal alkaline phosphatase (New England Biolabs, Leiden, The Netherlands) for 1 h at 37°C, after which the samples were processed as described.

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemical staining was performed on 5-μm mouse testes sections, previously fixed in 4% formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Antigen retrieval was performed on deparaffinized sections in sodium citrate, pH 6.0 at 98°C for 10 min. Nonspecific adhesion sites were blocked for 1 h at room temperature in PBS (phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20) containing 1% goat serum. To visualize Smc6 and LIN28, sections were subsequently incubated with the primary rabbit anti-Smc6 antibody 1:500 (ab18039, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), diluted in Odyssey Blocking buffer containing 0.1% Tween-20. After washing three times for 10 min in TBST (Tris buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20), membranes were incubated in an IRDye 800CW secondary antibody 1:15 000 for 1 h at room temperature (diluted in Odyssey Blocking buffer containing 0.1% Tween-20). As a loading control, a primary mouse anti-β-actin antibody 1:5000 (A1978, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used. Image acquisition and quantification were done with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences). To determine phosphorylation of Smc6, samples were lysed in RIPA buffer with and without PhosSTOP. Samples lacking phosphatase inhibitors were treated with CalPhos Intestinal alkaline phosphatase (New England Biolabs, Leiden, The Netherlands) for 1 h at 37°C, after which the samples were processed as described.
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