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Effect of Particle Size and Sintering Temperature on the Formation of Mullite from Kyanite and Aluminum Mixtures
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1. Introduction

Mullite (3Al₂O₃·2SiO₂) is the only stable silicoaluminate in the binary system of SiO₂-Al₂O₃ at atmospheric pressure [1, 2] and is a very important refractory material with high melting temperature, high hot strength, excellent thermal shock resistant, and high creep resistance; it is volume stable at very high temperatures, it has a low coefficient of thermal expansion, and it has excellent electrical insulation properties [3, 4]. It has outstanding hot load-bearing properties, and it is resistant to many corrosive environments. Mullite is very low in magnetic iron, which is beneficial in many applications [5]. The finely dispersed, amorphous silica is very reactive and combines easily with sources of alumina to form mullite that is beneficial. Mullite rarely occurs as a mineral in nature. In fact, the word mullite is derived from the Isle of Mull off the west coast of Scotland, where the only naturally occurring deposits of mullite have ever been found [6–8]. Kyanite is an anhydrous aluminosilicate, with crystalline structure triclinic, it belongs to the Al₂O₃-SiO₂ system, and its chemical formula is Al₂O₃·SiO₂; its decomposing at high temperatures produces mullite (3Al₂O₃·2SiO₂) and free silica (SiO₂). The mullitization process accompanies 16–18 vol % expansion, making it be widely used as expanding agent in the refractory field [9]. Decomposition occurs according to the following reaction:
Sainz et al. [10] showed that the formation of mullite from kyanite is carried out by means of thermal transformation; the kyanite decomposes into mullite plus silica due to the induced heat. During the decomposition, they found different stages of transformation and formation of a liquid. The first stage was at 1320°C, which corresponds to the beginning of the transformation of the kyanite; the second stage was located between 1320 and 1420°C, associating with the progress of the reaction allowing a complete transformation to 1420°C. Raghdi et al. [11] synthesized mullite-zirconia composites prepared from halloysite reaction with boehmite and zirconia. They reported the phase transformations that ended at 1550°C with the formation of monolithic mullite in halloysite-boehmite mixture and mullite-zirconia composites in halloysite-boehmite-zirconia mixture. Goski and Caley [12] investigated the reaction sintering of kyanite and alumina to form mullite composites, and the resulting 78%–22% mullite material formed a fine-grained structure (1 μm) with 14% decrease in shrinkage and 11% reduction in linear expansion coefficient. Chargui et al. [13] prepared mullite from natural kaolin and aluminum slag; they studied the structural transformations of kaolin-aluminum slag mixtures during heating. The amount of formed mullite increases with the firing temperature; at 1500°C, the mullitization of the mixture is almost complete. The morphology of the formed mullite is bimodal (primary and secondary phases). The primary mullite, formed from processing of kaolin by the gradual collapse of metakaolin from 990°C, has a shape of elongated crystals. On the other hand, the secondary mullite formed by solution-precipitation from the glass phase in the presence of alumina particles has a shape of acicular grains. Guo and Li [14] fabricated mullite ceramics with different crystal shapes of mullite by in situ reaction with middle-grade kyanite as raw material, Al(OH)₃, γ-Al₂O₃, ρ-Al₂O₃, and α-Al₂O₃ as alumina sources. Results showed that mullite in the sample with Al(OH)₃ mainly showed accicular morphology, with a successive slowdown in reactivities of Al(OH)₃, γ-Al₂O₃, ρ-Al₂O₃, and α-Al₂O₃, the amount and aspect ratio of mullite were reduced, and its growth mechanism gradually transforms into two-dimensional nucleation. Accicular mullite not only reinforced samples but also made effective pore sizes smaller, which allowed the sample with Al(OH)₃ to present low bulk density, high apparent porosity and linear changes, small average pore size, and good mechanical strength. Sánchez-Soto et al. [15] utilized kaolin waste, sericite clay containing kaolinite, and industrial kaolin with addition of alumina in a wet medium to synthesize mullite (72 wt% Al₂O₃ and 28 wt% SiO₂). They found by sintering at 1500–1600°C for, at least, 30 min, and the reaction sintering between α-alumina and silica originated from thermal decomposed kaolinite in the samples produced mullite. According to XRD results, it evidenced the disappearance of residual crystalline phases, mainly quartz and cristobalite, with relics of α-alumina in a single case. The thermal phase evolution was affected by the presence of impurities in the mixtures. These impurities produce, in fact, the formation of a glassy phase or partial vitrification in some areas as deduced by SEM-EDS, giving rise to a better sintering which allow the sample to reach a 74% of total densification. A variety of preparation methods are used to synthesize mullite from various materials, such as alumina-silica minerals, hydroxides, sols, silicon alkoxide, and aluminum alkoxide [16, 17]. In the present work, the reaction sintering of kyanite+alumina mixtures has been used in the synthesis of the mullite samples studied. The processing route employed can be explained according to the following reactions [9]:

$$3(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{SiO}_2) \xrightarrow{\Delta} 3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 2\text{SiO}_2 + \text{SiO}_2$$

kyanite primary mullite + cristobalite

(1)

$$3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{SiO}_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} 3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 2\text{SiO}_2 + (\text{SiO}_2)\text{expelled}$$

Reaction sintering is an adaptation of the reaction bonding aluminum oxide developed by Claussen et al. [18] who found that the reaction bonded is an oxidation-based process, which begins with a compact of aluminum and alumina. During heating in air, the Al particles are oxidized in the solid state having a volume expansion ≈28%, which can compensate for the shrinkage by sintering. The oxidation of the aluminum particles represents the key to this new technology for obtaining Al₂O₃-based composites. The characteristics of these composites are as follows: low sintering shrinkage and high resistance. The low shrinkage results from a partial compensation for sintering shrinkage by an expansion associated with oxidation. The high resistance is due to the fine grain size (<1 μm) which develops during the reaction bonding process. Reaction sintering has been shown to favour the absence of sintering aids which can

$$3(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)\text{in situ} + 2(\text{SiO}_2)\text{expelled} \xrightarrow{\Delta} 3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 2\text{SiO}_2$$

secondary mullite

(2)
allow for improved strength and final density at lower firing temperatures. The principle advantage of reaction sintering is foreseen as the in situ development of multiphase composites with a fine, uniform microstructure achieved using economical reactants [19, 20].

2. Materials and Methods

For this work, the starting materials were kyanite ore (Al₂O₃·2SiO₂) from the Kyanite Mining Corporation located in Dillwyn, Virginia, USA, with an average particle size of 35 mesh (417 μm), and aluminum powder, Alcoa Atomized Aluminum Powder, 20 μm. The phase identification was performed by X-ray diffractometry (XRD, Model Equinox 2000, Inel, Artenay, France) with Cu Kα1 (1.5406 Å) radiation, operating at 30 mA and 20 kV. The morphology and microstructure were observed in a scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Model 6300, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. The particle size was determined in a centrifugal analyzer particle size Shimadzu model SA-CP4; the specific surface area was performed by the nitrogen adsorption method (BET). The milling of the kyanite and metallic aluminum was carried out separately in a vertical mill attritor Szegvari (Union Process, USA), with a cylindrical stainless steel container capacity of 3.785 L in air, the grinding media were steels balls of 5 mm diameter, and milling time was 0.5, 3, 6, and 12 hours at 400 rpm. The aluminum was milled for 3 hours in wet with 100 ml of isopropanol as a control agent; then, kyanite ground at different times was mixed for 0.5 h, in order to homogenize the mixture. For the preparation of mixtures of aluminum kyanite, 15.73% Al and 84.27% kyanite were weighed to form a mullite 3 : 2 (3Al₂O₃·2SiO₂) according to equation (2). The powder mixture was die-pressed uniaxially in a hydraulic press Stroud Daniels at 180 MPa into disks of 10 mm × 6 mm, and it was fired in a muffle furnace Thermolyne brand, model 46200, with 8 heating elements of SuperKanthal 33 in air at a heating rate of 1°C/min up to 950°C, followed by 10°C/min heating to different temperatures (1100, 1200, 1300, 1400, 1500, and 1600°C). The thermal decomposition, weight, and dimensional changes were studied by TG/DTA (Setaram Model Setsys Evolution, Caluire, France) under an air atmosphere at a constant heating rate of 10°C/min. Bulk density of fired samples was determined by measuring its mass and volume (the Archimedes method using distilled water), and porosity values were calculated from its bulk density and the theoretical density of mullite, using the following equation [21]:

$$V_p = \left(1 - \frac{\rho_b}{\rho_s}\right) \times 100,$$

where \(\rho_b\) (g/cm³) is the bulk density of a sample and \(\rho_s\) (3.16 g/cm³) is the theoretical density of mullite. The chemical composition of raw materials was determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS, Model 2380, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, Massachusetts). For practical purposes, the letter K is used to identify the unmixed kyanite and KA for the kyanite-aluminum mixtures followed by the milling time.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Sample Preparation. Figure 1 shows the diffractogram of the original kyanite, which is dominated by a very large peak at 26.6°2 theta, the (200) planes, while the relative intensities of the remaining reflections are smaller as reported in the JCPDS card no. 11-0046. In addition, small reflections of quartz and muscovite were identified.

The chemical composition of raw materials is shown in Table 1, mullite (3 : 2) has a theoretical Al₂O₃/SiO₂ ratio of 2.54, this value is below for all mixtures, and this difference is possibly due to aluminum losses during grinding. Due to the wear of the grinding media (steel ball), there was contamination of Fe₂O₃ (until 4.8% weight for KA12) in the kyanite-aluminum powders, so the mixtures were leached with a concentrated solution of hot HCl. As we can see, this method was effective and reduced the amount of Fe₂O₃ to values of 0.185 for the same sample.

Figure 2 shows the micrographs of raw materials; the morphology and the size of the precursor powders were determined by scanning electron microscopy, the kyanite powders 2(a) are prismatic, elongated, tabular crystals with a size up to 400 μm long, and the aluminum powders have a cylindrical shape with a size ≈ 20 μm.

The micrographs of mixed powders of kyanite-aluminum are observed in Figure 3; the unmilled mixture 3(a) is formed by elongated crystals of kyanite of approximately 300 μm in length covered by aluminum particles; after 0.5 h of grinding 3(b), the original morphology of the kyanite is no longer visible, and the particles showed average sizes of 6 μm. The powders milled for 3 h 3(c) consisted of elongated particles of up to 3 μm and others of smaller size, and the powders obtained during the grinding of 6 h 3(d) showed particles smaller than 1 μm and agglomerates of finer particles. Finally, in the mixture of powders milled for 12 h 3(e), quasispherical particles with sizes smaller than 1 μm were observed; it should be noted that aluminum was observed as irregular shaped particles with average sizes of 3 to 1 μm, and this morphology is due to the ductile nature of aluminum as it tends to be rolled at the time of grinding. The particle size distribution of the milled kyanite at different times is observed in Figure 4; the powders of the K0.5 sample exhibited a bimodal size distribution, with 60% of the particles in an interval of coarse size of 4 to 40 μm, and 40% of the particles in a fine range of 0.3 to 1.5 μm. The samples K3, K6, and K12 were obtained with average sizes (d₅₀) of 0.52, 0.38, and 0.16 μm, respectively. The specific surface area of the kyanite and the mixtures of kyanite-aluminum are observed in Table 2. For kyanite-aluminum mixtures, it can be noted that the increase in grinding time generated an increase in the specific surface area from 8.4 m²·g⁻¹ at 0.5 h of milling time to 42.36 m²·g⁻¹ at 12 h of milling.

3.2. X-Ray Diffraction. The X-ray diffraction patterns for the raw kyanite and kyanite-aluminum mixtures are shown in
Figure 5; it can be observed that no reaction occurred during the milling 5(a) as peak patterns of milled samples are basically overlapping peaks in the XRD patterns of the unmilled samples.

Table 1: Chemical composition of kyanite raw and kyanite-aluminum mix (%).

| Oxides     | K0     | K3     | K6     | K12    | KA0    | KA0.5  | KA3    | KA6    | KA12   |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Al₂O₃      | 58.30  | 58.9   | 59.1   | 59.5   | 66.9   | 67.8   | 68.3   | 68.5   | 68.8   |
| SiO₂       | 38.60  | 38.01  | 38.15  | 37.95  | 30.98  | 29.50  | 29.59  | 29.67  | 28.15  |
| Fe₂O₃      | 0.40   | 0.185  | 0.217  | 0.223  | 0.126  | 0.165  | 0.175  | 0.178  | 0.185  |
| TiO₂       | 1.78   | 1.83   | 1.33   | 1.17   | 1.00   | 0.84   | 0.82   | 0.85   | 0.83   |
| Na₂O       | 0.82   | 0.80   | 0.85   | 0.81   | 0.63   | 0.65   | 0.632  | 0.67   | 0.68   |
| K₂O        | 0.10   | 0.15   | 0.15   | 0.18   | 0.078  | 0.086  | 0.090  | 0.089  | 0.090  |
| Al₂O₃/SiO₂ | 1.51   | 1.55   | 1.55   | 1.57   | 2.16   | 2.30   | 2.31   | 2.31   | 2.44   |

Table 1: Chemical composition of kyanite raw and kyanite-aluminum mix (%).

(a)

(b)

Figure 2: SEM images of raw kyanite (a) and aluminum powder (b).

Although peaks in the diffractograms of the samples milled 5(b) are smaller and wider because of the decrease in crystallite size and possible microstrain contained in the powder particles, in addition, aluminum peaks in 38.5° and
44.74° 2 theta were observed [15]. The transformation of kyanite into mullite is closely related to the size of kyanite powders and sintering temperature [22]. Figure 6 shows the XRD patterns of the phase evolution of the mixture of kyanite and aluminum powders milled at different times and sintered in a range of 1100–1600°C. In Figure 6(a), we can see the samples unmilled (KA0h) and sintered at 1100°C; in this sample, the major phase is kyanite (k) and α-Al₂O₃ is obtained by the aluminum oxidation [23]. In the range of 1200–1500°C, it is observed that the reflection intensity of kyanite decreased, while the expelled silica (cristobalite-CR) and formed primary mullite were increased due to the sintering temperature. Finally, mullite without any traces of crystalline silica was formed after sintering at 1600°C. Cristobalite is a silica polymorph in ceramic materials, as it can crystallize in SiO₂-rich systems during high-temperature processes. The formation of cristobalite was increased at temperature ≥1200°C; at this temperature, the peak of
cristobalite is barely noticeable, but a notable increase occurs in the samples KA6 and KA12. Sahraoui et al. [24] investigated the effects of mechanical activation on the sintering of mullite produced from kaolin and aluminum metal powders. The results showed the formation of silicon, quartz, and small amount of nacrite after 40 h of milling at room temperature. All mixture powders milled for different time showed the formation of several alumina transitions during heat treatment. The formation of alumina transitions, $\alpha$-alumina, cristobalite crystallization, and mullite (primary and secondary) formation was affected by ball milling time. The mixture of kaolin and aluminum milled for 40 h shows

**Figure 4**: Particle size distribution of ground kyanite at different times.

| Milling time (h) | Average size of kyanite (µm) | Specific surface area of kyanite (m²·g⁻¹) | Specific surface area of kyanite-aluminum mixtures (m²·g⁻¹) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 0.5              | 5.82                        | 8.51                                     | 8.40                                             |
| 3                | 0.52                        | 21.35                                    | 20.19                                            |
| 6                | 0.38                        | 32.1                                     | 39.03                                            |
| 12               | 0.16                        | 40.4                                     | 42.36                                            |

**Table 2**: Average size and specific surface area of kyanite raw and kyanite-aluminum mix.

**Figure 5**: XRD patterns of the raw kyanite (a) and kyanite-aluminum metal mixtures (b) milling at different times. K = kyanite; A = aluminum.
Figure 6: XRD patterns of mixture of kyanite-aluminum powder milled at (a) KA0 h, (b) KA0.5 h, (c) KA3 h, (d) KA6 h, and (e) KA12 h at different sintering temperatures. K = kyanite, A = aluminum, M = mullite, CR = cristobalite, and α = α-Al₂O₃.
the formation of kyanite (Al₂SiO₅) at 1300°C, and the mechanical treatment enhances the formation and sintering of mullite. After 0.5 h of milling, Figure 6(b) shows the XRD patterns of the mixture sintering at various temperatures; at 1100–1200°C, the primary mullite phase started to form from the decomposition of kyanite. The majority phase is still kyanite with minor amounts of α-Al₂O₃. In the range of 1300–1400°C, the cristobalite phase started and the peaks of kyanite were not observed and noticeably increased the reflections of mullite. The behavior at 1500 and 1600°C is similar, so the mullitization reaction finished (from 1500°C) and could be observed only the characteristic peaks of mullite. Sule et al. [25] investigated the effect of temperature on mullite synthesis from attrition-milled pyrophyllite and α-alumina by spark plasma sintering at temperatures ranging from 1400°C to 1700°C, with this increase in sintering temperature, and the results showed a phase of mullite and a minority phase of alumina. They also determined that the intensities of alumina peaks gradually decreased with increasing sintering temperature. Figure 6(c) shows the XDR spectra of the powders milled for 3 h; in the sample heated at 1000°C, it could be determined that the major phase was kyanite and minor ones were mullite and α-Al₂O₃. In the range of 1200–1300°C, the intensities of the kyanite reflections were decreased markedly and the mullite peaks increased. At 1400–1500°C, cristobalite started to derive from the decomposition of kyanite; at 1600°C, the mullitization reaction ends, and secondary mullite was formed through the interaction of α-Al₂O₃ and SiO₂ (cristobalite). Figures 6(d) and 6(e) show the XRD patterns of the samples milled at 6 h and 12 h, respectively; the behavior is very similar, the decomposition started at 1200°C decreasing the kyanite peaks completely to temperature >1300°C, the cristobalite peak disappeared to 1600°C, and only the characteristic reflections of mullite were observed. The above behavior suggests that the reaction of decomposition accelerated with increasing temperature and decreasing particle size [24, 26]. Similar conclusion was drawn by Khattab et al. [27], where XRD patterns showed that by increasing the sintering temperature up to 1350°C, the peak intensity of cristobalite and quartz has been decreased with remarkable increase in the peak intensity of mullite and cordierite. Such decrease in peak intensity of cristobalite and quartz may be attributed to their reaction with MgO and Al₂O₃ forming cordierite and mullite.

3.4. Thermadilatometry (TD). The dilatometric behavior of the KA12 sample (Figure 7(b)) was similar; firstly, initial weight loss of 7.5% was greater than the sample KA0h, since when milled for 12 hours, it absorbed more amount of organic species, since isopropyl alcohol was used as a control agent. The oxidation of aluminum in the solid state (first stage) was observed in the range of 450–658°C, with a weight gain of 3.2%; finally, a rate of oxidation reaction is reduced from 658 until 850°C. The decomposition temperature of kyanite is reduced by approximately 100°C with respect to the unground sample, since in this case, this happened at approximately 1315°C. This decrease is due to presumably because the very fine particle sizes experience a change in the kinetics of the decomposition reactions. Attrition-milling also produces much more reactive rejected silica that readily combines with aluminum oxide obtained in situ from aluminum metal additions to produce a phase-pure mullite [24].

3.5. Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA). The thermal inspection of mixtures by differential thermal analysis (DTA) was carried out in inert atmosphere in the range of room temperature to 1600°C at a heating rate of 1°C/min until 950°C, above 950°C, and the heating rate was increased to 10°C/min to avoid much further oxidation [29]. In accordance with Yu [30], the mullite formation reaction is generally exothermic when oxide powders serve as the reactants;
agreed with this, several exothermic peaks could be observed (Figure 9(a)). Peak at 325°C was associated with the evaporation of organic species that were absorbed during milling, an exothermic peak was observed that has a maximum at 571°C corresponding to the oxidation of aluminum in solid state, and at 659°C, a small endothermic peak due to the fusion of aluminum was identified. In the range of 720–740°C, a fairly wide and low-intensity endothermic peak was observed, which corresponds to the oxidation of aluminum in liquid state. At 965°C, a disturbance of the curve caused by the change in heating rate from 1°C/min to 10°C/min is shown, so it is not a thermal event associated with changes in the sample. At 1286°C, an endothermic event started, which finished at 1460°C, and the above one coincides reasonably well with the starting and ending temperatures of the decomposition of kyanite, which was observed in the dilatometry curve (Figure 8(a)). At 1508°C, a small exothermic peak that could correspond to the reaction between α-Al2O3, which comes from the oxidation of aluminum, and the silica expelled by the decomposition of kyanite to form secondary mullite. In Figure 9(b) (sample KA12h), basically the same endothermic peaks ≤965°C corresponding to sample KA0h are shown. The main difference corresponds to the temperatures of initiation and termination of the decomposition of kyanite, and the transformation temperature of the secondary mullite and these thermal events correspond to the endothermic peaks located at 1215, 1330, and 1400°C, respectively. In

Figure 7: Thermogravimetric behavior of samples KA0h (a) and KA12h (b).

Figure 8: Thermodilatometric behavior of the mixture unmilled KA0h (a) and attrition-milled mixture KA12h (b).
Figures 9(a) and 9(b), can be noticed an insert where can be seen an enlargement of the endothermic peak corresponding to the fusion of aluminum.

3.6. Bulk Density and Open Porosity. Variations of bulk density and open porosity of mullite samples at different milling time are depicted in Figures 10(a) and 10(b), respectively; in Figure 10(a), it is observed that at temperatures of 1100 and 1200°C, there is a very small variation, and these values decreased when reaching the temperature of 1300°C, due to the expansion produced during the decomposition reaction of kyanite. From 1400°C, the increase in density is restarted for all samples except for the unground sample (KA0 h), which does not densify due to the particle size (400 μm) and the strong expansion (≈18%) due to decomposition of kyanite causing cracking [9]. Upon reaching 1500°C, the density continued to increase, and at 1600°C, the maximum density was reached for the sample ground for 12 h (KA12 h) of 3.04 Kg·m⁻³, approximately 96.2% of the density theoretical of mullite (3.16 Kg·m⁻³). It has been shown that the decomposition of kyanite starts at surface sites. From this perspective, the decrease in particle size activates the thermal decomposition of the surface that already has an increase in surface area and the amount of microcracks. In this way, the concentration of nucleation sites increases where decomposition can start. Many of the main factors that have a marked influence on the sinterability of ceramic materials are related to the characteristics of the compacted powder: the heating conditions and the Al₂O₃/SiO₂ ratio of the precursor materials. The effect of the agglomeration of dust particles on the sintering of mullite has also been evaluated [4]. The density reached will depend on the size and packing of the agglomerates, and breaking them will produce additional surface areas and smaller particle sizes. The presence of higher contents of alumina and SiC with low melting silicate phases increase the bulk density and consequently decrease the apparent porosity of samples [31]. Regarding the results of open porosity (Figure 10(b)), it was observed that in the KA0 h sample, the open porosity ranges from 20.7% at 1100°C until reaching a maximum of 26.2% at 1600°C, in the temperature range of 1500 to 1600°C, a decrease in open porosity was observed, that is, an increase in density, and this is related to the contraction seen in the dilatometry curves (Figure 8) since in this temperature interval, a stage of shrinkage causes an increase in density. Samples KA0.5 h, KA3 h, KA6 h, and KA12 h show a similar behavior; they show a decrease in porosity in the range of 1100–1500°C, remaining constant up to 1600°C.

3.7. Microstructure. The microstructures obtained after heating at 1600°C of the samples milled at different times are observed in Figure 11, and the sample prepared with the unground kyanite KA0 h (Figure 11(a)) showed a great cracking, which is attributed to the great expansion of the decomposition [9]. In Figures 11(b)–11(e), the microstructures for the samples KA0.5 h, KA3 h, KA6 h, and KA12 h, respectively, are shown. In these figures, elongated mullite grains were found, surrounded by a dark phase [32]. It is well known that mullite grains with these characteristics grow when they are immersed in a vitreous phase. In this microstructure, it is clearly observed that milling improves the reactivity of kyanite with alumina. During heating, aluminum oxidizes and deposits on the surface of the kyanite particles, and when this species decomposes, primary mullite is formed and silica precipitates, as shown by the XRD patterns of these samples; the silica is expelled to the surface and comes into contact with the alumina grains reacting to form secondary mullite. In the samples prepared in this work, there was an alumina deficiency (see Table 1), possibly because during the milling, an amount of aluminum was lost that was

![Figure 9: DTA curves of the kyanite-aluminum mixtures unmilled (a) and 12 h milled (b).](image-url)
Figure 10: Density (a) and open porosity (b) of samples as function of sintering temperature and ball milling time.

Figure 11: Continued.
adhered to the walls of the mill and to the grinding elements. Because of this, the samples had an excess of liquid phase in which the mullite grains grow, as observed in the microstructures; the liquid phase can also form from the eutectic reactions between alumina and silica by impurities existed in kyanite. In unmilled mixtures, this vitreous phase is not expelled to the surface of the transformed grains, but it is trapped inside it. As Awaad et al. [33] pointed out, mullite formation is independent on the Al₂O₃/SiO₂ ratio, but greatly dependent on the type and amount of glassy phase present. Therefore, the mullitization reaction is slower and cannot be completed. As the particle size decreases, denser and finer microstructures are obtained, with less open porosity and more homogeneous which is promoted by grinding.

4. Conclusions

(i) The present study shows that mullite can be obtained by reaction sintering of kyanite and aluminum metal powders.

(ii) The decomposition reaction temperatures for kyanite and aluminum powders mixtures were lower relative to unground kyanite. Therefore, we have that the transformation and growth of mullite is faster, deducing that this process depends on the particle size and the specific surface area.

(iii) The reduction of the particle size by grinding influences the thermal decomposition of the kyanite, the expansion due to this transformation, and the secondary mullite formation reaction and increases the shrinkage.

(iv) Milling significantly increases the reactivity of the kyanite. The milled kyanite decomposes faster and at lower temperatures than coarse kyanite.

(v) The reaction between the silica expelled from the kyanite and alumina that forms in situ starts at ∼1400°C. This reaction occurs faster for mixtures that contain finer kyanite.

(vi) A milling time of 6 h is appropriate for obtaining micron size grains of mullite.
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