INTRODUCTION

Gender dysphoria is defined as an incongruence between an individual’s sex assigned at birth and the gender with which one identifies. It is estimated that 0.39%–2.7% of the US adult population is gender non-conforming and that this percentage is increasing. As a result of increased insurance coverage and evolving social norms, the number of gender-affirming surgeries being performed in the United States is exponentially rising.

Chest masculinization is the most common and often first performed gender-affirming surgery. This is because breasts are a major female identifying characteristic and not easily concealed by clothes. Transmale patients often resort to binding their breasts, which has been linked to multiple adverse effects on health, including musculoskeletal, neurologic, gastrointestinal, respiratory, and dermatologic sequelae. A number of studies have demonstrated improved psychosocial quality of life after chest masculinization.

Various surgical techniques for chest masculinization have been described. Depending on incision placement, the patient’s excess tissue and nipple-areolar complex (NAC) is managed with more or less freedom and safety profile. Placement of the NAC is contentious in the literature, with some authors supporting the use of various landmarks such as the borders of the pectoralis muscle, midhumeral points, thorax circumference, and sternal length. Yet, some authors prefer to rely on surgeon intuition. Even though various other concepts of localizing the NAC have been published, management
continues to be perplexing to many surgeons. The authors aimed to determine the ideal location for the male NAC by using crowdsourcing technology.

**METHODS**

To determine the most aesthetically favorable position for the NAC in chest masculinization, the authors crowd-sourced opinions utilizing Amazon Mechanical Turk, a software providing access to a virtual community of survey takers. The survey collected each respondent’s age; race/ethnicity; nation of origin; gender identity; sexual orientation; and the most favorite, second most favorite, third most favorite, and least favorite male chest images. Images were given favorability scores: 3 points if ranked first, 2 if second, 1 if third favorite, and −3 if chosen as the least favorite per respondent. Patients were paid 50 US cents for completion of the survey. Analysis of variance with subsequent Tukey honest significant difference (HSD) was used for a statistical comparison of favorability scores for different NAC localizations. Values were considered significant with \( P < 0.05 \).

To create a model for varied NAC placement, Adobe Photoshop Creative Cloud (CC) 2017 software was used to edit a digital image of a male torso found online. As the study analyzes transmale NAC placement, we used female parameters to adjust the image proportions. Under the assumption that the average height for women raised in the United States was 5’4” as per the Centers for Disease Control, the average torso length was calculated as the distance from C7 to the iliac crest. Because the average female torso is 14–18”, we used the average, 16” or 40.64 cm, for creating our model.20 To avoid nipple size interfering with nipple location, we utilized 2.5 cm for the NAC diameter, an average of NAC diameters encountered during our literature review.16,21–23 For all images, we utilized the areolar width:height (W:H) ratio of 1.1 or 2.25:2.05 cm.16

Before generating the images, it was also important to establish the location of the fourth intercostal space (ICS). In studies done to determine appropriate electrocardiogram lead placement, the distance from the suprasternal notch (STN) to the right fourth ICS was found to be 0.67 × (STN to xiphoid process length).24 In our model, this distance is 18 cm from the middle of the STN, placing the fourth ICS 12 cm caudal to the STN. The upper border of the NAC was placed here for models with parameters involving the fourth ICS for horizontal positioning.

Previous research has proposed various measurements and formulas for idealization of the NAC location on the male chest. We utilized this research to model our images. Image A places the NAC 2.5 cm medial to the pectoralis muscle lateral border and 2.4 cm above inferior pectoralis insertion.10 Image B utilizes a nipple-to-nipple (N-N) distance of 19.5 cm and suprasternal notch to horizontal nipple plane distance of 15.75 cm. This was calculated from the equations (N-N) = (0.618 × U-AX) and (suprasternal notch to horizontal nipple plane) = (U-AX)/2, where U-AX is the umbilicus to axillary fold distance.25 U-AX distance was determined to be 31.5 cm, using the measuring tool in the Adobe software and the assumed average female height of 5’4”. For Image C, the NACs are located 21 cm from each other.21 Initially, we planned to also place the NAC 20 cm from the STN and 18 cm from the midclavicular line, but these did not fit the standard female torso.21 To determine a top-to-bottom distance, we placed the NAC as close as possible to the pectoral border. Image D places the NAC at the fourth to fifth ICS about 11 cm from the sternal midline.21 Image E utilized the fourth ICS and lateral border of the pectoralis muscle as landmarks for the ideal NAC placement, which is the preferred algorithm of the senior author (J.D.K.).17 For Image F, we initially calculated the suprasternal notch (STN) to nipple distance as 16.9 cm, using the average ratio of the STN-to-nipple distance to the patient’s height of 0.104 and assuming that the average female height is 162.56 cm.26 However, this nipple plane fell off the chest, and we took the freedom to place the NAC as low as possible while still within the pectoral muscle borders. Using the average female chest circumference of 36” or 92 cm, N-N distance was calculated as 0.190 × chest circumference + 2.192 to equal 19.67 cm.27 Images G and H placed the NAC at 0.71 and 1.81 cm, respectively, from the mid- sternal line to accommodate more medial alignments as suggested by recent literature (Fig. 1).

**RESULTS**

A total of 819 respondents participated in the survey. An estimated 65.39% were identified as men, 33.46% as women, and 0.38% as transgender. In total, 75.89% were categorized as heterosexual, 16.24% as bisexual, 2.54% as gay, 1.65% as lesbian, 1.02% identified as asexual, 0.76% identified as pansexual, 0.38% as queer, 0.76% as other, and 0.76% were unsure of their sexual orientation. Most participants were between 25 and 34 years old (51.97%), followed by 35 and 44 (19.06%) and 18 and 24 (16.90%) years old. Most respondents identified as White or Caucasian (55.85%), followed by Asian or Asian American (27.10%), Black or African American (6.87%), and Hispanic or Latino (5.60%). The respondents’ most common countries of origin were the United States (64.2%) and India (20.3%) (Table 1).

NAC positions of Images C (mean score = 1.9222) and A (mean score = 1.7365) received higher favorability scores than those of Images D, E, F, G, and H (all \( P < 0.05 \), Fig. 2). Although Image B received a higher score than Images F, G, and H, its score was not significantly different from Images A, D, and E (B versus F, G, and H: \( P > 0.05 \); B versus A, D, and E: \( P > 0.05 \), Fig. 2). In addition, Image B received a lower favorability score than Image C (\( P = 0.0220 \)). There were no significant differences between Images C and A (C versus A: \( P = 0.6412 \), Fig. 2). NAC localizations from Images G (mean score = −2.0532) and H (score = −1.6908) received lower favorability scores than Images A, B, C, D, E, and F (all \( P < 0.05 \), Fig. 2). There were no significant differences between Images G and H (\( P = 0.2279 \), Fig. 2).


**DISCUSSION**
For individuals transitioning from being a woman to a man, breasts are constant reminders of biological sex rather than of true gender identity, which can negatively impact their mental well-being. Although the prevalence of chest masculinization surgery is increasing, the ideal location of the NAC is poorly understood. Lindsay and Berry et al caution that the NAC is commonly misplaced “too high and too medial.” This malposition is caused by failure to account for the inferior and lateral location of the male nipple compared with the female nipple.

In our survey, Image C had the highest mean favorability score, suggesting that it may display the ideal NAC localization. This model uses fixed measurements of 20 cm from the STN and 18 cm from the midclavicular line as well as for an N-N distance of 21 cm. Although this technique is easy to reproduce, it fails to adjust for patient body habitus and proportions, especially in obese patients for whom using the midclavicular line as a landmark is subject to higher interobserver variation. Additionally, as this technique was developed for gynecomastia, we ran into issues using these fixed parameters for female chest dimensions. Therefore, although Image C was most favored by survey respondents, measurements may not align for the average female chest and therefore some surgeon aesthetic judgment may be required. Plastic surgeons should be reassured, however, that their ideal nipple location closely resembles that of the general population.

Image A had the second highest mean favorability score. This model was specifically designed for chest masculinization surgery and places the NAC 2.5 cm medial to the pectoralis muscle lateral border and 2.4 cm above inferior.
pectoralis insertion. Although this technique is easy to reproduce and accounts for anatomical differences, it may be difficult to localize the pectoralis borders preoperatively in an obese patient, resulting in NAC placement that is too superior or medial. Both Images C and A place the NAC relatively lateral and low on the anterior male chest.

Images G and H had the lowest mean favorability scores, indicating that medial placement of the NAC is less aesthetically pleasing. Image B was ranked higher than Images F, G, and H, further supporting that the NAC should be placed more laterally in chest masculinization for an aesthetically pleasing result. Interestingly, Image B, which places the NAC more superior than in Image C, was scored lower than Image C. This result suggests that although the ideal male nipple should be placed relatively inferiorly, medial deviations are not tolerated and thus lateral positioning of the NAC is of the highest aesthetic priority. Also, the parameters of Image B may be less practical in an operating room setting, due to its use of mathematical formulas for NAC localization.

Limitations

Although this study is the first to utilize crowdsourcing to determine the ideal NAC location in transmales, it does suffer from some limitations. Unfortunately with an online survey, we were unable to screen participants nor monitor participation; so we were unable to gauge how seriously the participants took the study. Furthermore, Amazon Mechanical Turk does not link demographic data to individual responses, and therefore, further analyses could not be accomplished. In addition, several respondents skipped one or multiple questions. Most of our survey respondents were cisgender men or women; so the results do not reflect the preferences of the patient population that these NAC positions would benefit. Additionally, the majority of our survey participants were from the United States or India. Also, our survey did not include images utilizing all chest masculinization-specific aesthetic parameters for NAC positioning in the literature. Future research should focus on eliciting the transgender population’s opinions specifically and the use of patient-reported outcomes measures for postoperative patients. Additionally, changes in body habitus may affect the ideal nipple location. Finally, although Agarwal et al. suggest

| Demographics of mTurk Survey Participants | No. Respondents (%) |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Age                                      |                     |
| Under 18                                 | 2 (0.25)            |
| 18–24                                    | 133 (16.90)         |
| 25–34                                    | 409 (51.97)         |
| 35–44                                    | 150 (19.06)         |
| 45–54                                    | 51 (6.48)           |
| 55–64                                    | 35 (4.45)           |
| 65+                                      | 7 (0.89)            |
| Gender                                   |                     |
| Male                                     | 514 (65.39)         |
| Female                                   | 263 (33.46)         |
| Transgender man                          | 2 (0.25)            |
| Transgender woman                        | 1 (0.13)            |
| Nonbinary                                | 2 (0.25)            |
| Agender                                  | 2 (0.25)            |
| Unsure                                   | 0 (0.00)            |
| Other                                    | 2 (0.25)            |
| Sexual orientation                       |                     |
| Heterosexual                             | 508 (75.89)         |
| Gay                                      | 20 (2.54)           |
| Lesbian                                  | 13 (1.65)           |
| Asexual                                  | 8 (1.02)            |
| Bisexual                                 | 128 (16.24)         |
| Pansexual                                | 6 (0.76)            |
| Queer                                    | 3 (0.38)            |
| Unsure                                   | 6 (0.76)            |
| Other                                    | 6 (0.76)            |
| Race                                     |                     |
| White or Caucasian                       | 439 (55.85)         |
| Black or African American                | 54 (6.87)           |
| Hispanic or Latino                       | 44 (5.60)           |
| Asian or Asian American                  | 213 (27.10)         |
| American Indian or Alaska Native         | 11 (1.40)           |
| Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander| 2 (0.25)            |
| Other                                    | 23 (2.93)           |
| Nation of origin                         |                     |
| United States                            | 512 (64.16)         |
| India                                    | 162 (20.30)         |
| Brazil                                   | 13 (1.63)           |
| Canada                                   | 8 (1.00)            |
| United Kingdom                           | 7 (0.88)            |
| Australia                                | 3 (0.38)            |
| Other                                    | 33 (4.14)           |
| Unspecified                              | 60 (7.52)           |

Fig. 2. Preferred NAC positions of mTurk survey respondents ranking by favorability scores.
an areolar W:H ratio of 2.255:2.05 cm or 1.1, there is no consensus on how large the reconstructed nipple should be, which should be the focus of future studies for improving chest masculinization techniques.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Most respondents preferred models of the male chest that included more inferior and laterally placed NACs. It also seems that more medial deviation of the NAC is more aesthetically egregious than superior deviations. Ultimately, final NAC placement and construction during chest masculinization will be based on shared decision-making between patient and surgeon to fit the patient's individual aesthetic goals.
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