CONFORMAL NETS I: COORDINATE-FREE NETS
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Abstract. We describe a coordinate-free perspective on conformal nets, as functors from intervals to von Neumann algebras. We discuss an operation of fusion of intervals and observe that a conformal net takes a fused interval to the fiber product of von Neumann algebras. Though coordinate-free nets do not a priori have vacuum sectors, we show that there is a vacuum sector canonically associated to any circle equipped with a conformal structure. This is the first in a series of papers constructing a 3-category of conformal nets, defects, sectors, and intertwiners.
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Introduction

In their work on algebraic quantum field theory, Haag and Kastler studied nets of operator algebras. These are covariant functors from the category of open subsets of space-time to that of $C^*$-algebras or von Neumann algebras [18].

For two-dimensional conformal field theory, one takes space-time to be two-dimensional Minkowski space $\mathbb{M}^2$, or a compactification thereof, and requires the net to be covariant with respect to the group of conformal diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{M}^2$. Since that group contains $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}) \times \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R})$ as a subgroup of finite index, the natural next
step is to consider nets of von Neumann algebras on the real line or the circle, which are covariant with respect to diffeomorphisms of the line or the circle. The latter correspond to chiral conformal field theories, and are called conformal nets. They have been studied intensively—see for example the papers [8, 15, 35]. Classification results and many more references can be found in Kawahigashi–Longo [21].

Our interest in conformal nets was prompted by the following question of Stephan Stolz and Peter Teichner, which arose in connection with their ongoing program to construct elliptic cohomology using local quantum field theories [29, 30]. Recall that von Neumann algebras form the objects of a 2-category, where the morphisms are bimodules, and the 2-morphisms are maps of bimodules. Given an n-category C equipped with a unit object 1 ∈ C, the (n−1)-category L := Hom_C(1, 1) is called the loops on C. The n-category C is then said to deloop L.

**Question.** (Stolz-Teichner, 2004) Does there exist an interesting 3-category that deloops of the 2-category of von Neumann algebras?

Here, by an “interesting” 3-category, they meant a 3-category other than the obvious one-object 3-category defined by Ob(C) = {1} and Hom_C(1, 1) = {von Neumann algebras}. Actually, given that von Neumann algebras form a symmetric monoidal 2-category, one should ask for a symmetric monoidal 3-category that deloops von Neumann algebras. Some axiomatizations of the notion symmetric monoidal 3-category were presented in [13]. One of them is the notion of an internal bicategory in the 2-category of symmetric monoidal categories.

The present paper is the first of a series [3], the goal of which is to provide a positive answer to the above question of Stolz and Teichner. Namely, we will show that conformal nets form a symmetric monoidal 3-category (an internal bicategory in the 2-category of symmetric monoidal categories) that deloops the symmetric monoidal 2-category of von Neumann algebras. This first paper of our series contains our definition of conformal nets. We also treat the notion of sectors of conformal nets, otherwise known as representations.

Our definition of conformal nets is different from the standard definition in two important ways. The first difference is that we do not include a positive-energy assumption. This is important for the following reason. We want certain objects in our 3-category to be dualizable. The natural candidate for the dual of a net A is its complex conjugate Ā, which is almost never positive-energy. We expect our conformal nets include the usual ones (Virasoro, loop groups, free boson, orbifolds, and cosets, among others), but we also expect them to include new examples which do not have positive-energy, such as the spatial slices of nets on M^2 studied in Kawahigashi–Longo–Müger [22].

The second difference is that we expand the category of intervals on which a net is defined, and expand the category of von Neumann algebras in which they take values. Traditionally, conformal nets assign to a subinterval of the circle S^1 a von Neumann subalgebra of B(H_0) for a fixed “vacuum” Hilbert space H_0. In our definition, a “coordinate-free” conformal net will assign abstract von Neumann algebras to abstract intervals. This has the advantage that there is a priori no Hilbert space as part of the structure of a net. (In the hierarchy of our 3-category, Hilbert spaces appear later as 2-morphisms; the vacuum Hilbert space of a net A will be the identity 2-morphism of the identity 1-morphism of the net. In particular, we prove that the vacuum Hilbert space with its action of the diffeomorphism group of the circle can be reconstructed from the von Neumann algebras associated to intervals; our notion of conformal nets is therefore closely related to existing notions in the literature [22].) Moreover, we can glue abstract intervals to one another, but not arbitrary subintervals of the circle: the gluing of two subintervals is typically
no longer a subinterval. This will be of crucial importance for the composition of 1-morphisms, in the third paper of our series.

Outline. In the first section, we introduce our definition of conformal nets and study some of their basic properties. We discuss the category $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{A})$ of sectors of a conformal net $\mathcal{A}$, and define the monoidal structure on it. We also introduce a coordinate-free version of the category of sectors, $\text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A})$, that depends on the choice of a circle $S$.

In Section 2 we study the vacuum sector $H_0(S, \mathcal{A})$ of a conformal net $\mathcal{A}$, which is the unit object in $\text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A})$. In Theorem 2.13 we show that if the circle $S$ is equipped with a conformal structure, then the vacuum sector is well defined up to unique unitary isomorphism. The vacuum sector is covariant for conformal maps of circles, and projectively covariant for diffeomorphisms.

Section 3 concerns finiteness properties of the category of sectors. We start by discussing the notion of finite index for conformal nets in terms of a certain minimal index of the vacuum sector. We describe the characterization of finite index nets in terms of the category of sectors: the conformal net $\mathcal{A}$ has finite index if and only if the category of sectors $\text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A})$ is fusion. This provides alternative proofs of results of Kawahigashi–Longo–Müger [22] and Longo-Xu [26].

Finally, in Section 4 we relate our definition of conformal nets to other definitions in the literature. We start by presenting a circle-based definition that is in principle equivalent to our usual coordinate-free definition. We then discuss the more classical definition of conformal nets, which includes the positive-energy condition and is not equivalent to our notion. We call these classical nets “positive-energy nets”, and check that positive-energy nets yield examples of conformal nets in our sense. We review the construction of positive-energy nets from loop groups, and collect the necessary results from the literature to show that they yield coordinate-free nets.

The appendix contains a brief summary of definitions and results about von Neumann algebras, Connes fusion, dualizability, statistical dimension, and Haagerup’s $\alpha$-topology. With the exception of the last subsection, these topics are discussed in more detail in our paper [4].

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Stephan Stolz and Peter Teichner for their continual support during this project and for having formulated the question that led to it, and to thank Michael Müger for his invaluable guidance regarding conformal nets. We thank Sebastiano Carpi for pointing out a missing argument and the reference [25]. The last author also would like to thank Michael Hopkins for his suggestion to read Wassermann’s articles. The first author was supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich 878, and the second author was partially supported by a Miller Research Fellowship.

1. Conformal nets

1.A. Definition of conformal nets. All 1-manifolds are compact, smooth, and oriented. The standard circle $S^1 := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \}$ is the set of complex numbers of modulus one, equipped with the counter-clockwise orientation. By a circle, we shall mean a smooth manifold $S$ that is diffeomorphic to the standard circle $S^1$. Similarly, by an interval, we shall mean a smooth 1-manifold that is diffeomorphic to the standard interval $[0, 1]$. Equivalently, an interval is a compact non-empty 1-manifold with boundary that is connected and simply connected. For a 1-manifold $I$, we denote by $\tilde{I}$ the same manifold equipped with the opposite orientation, by $\text{Diff}(I)$ the group of diffeomorphisms of $I$, and by $\text{Diff}_+(I)$ the subgroup of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms. Let $\text{INT}$ be the category whose objects
are intervals and whose morphisms are embeddings, not necessarily orientation-preserving. We also let $\mathcal{VN}$ be the category whose objects are von Neumann algebras with separable preduals, and whose morphisms are $\mathbb{C}$-linear homomorphisms, and $\mathbb{C}$-linear anti-homomorphisms. A net is said to be continuous if for any intervals $I$ and $J$, the natural map $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{VN}}(I, J) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{VN}}(\mathcal{A}(I), \mathcal{A}(J))$ is continuous for the $C^\infty$ topology on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{VN}}(I, J)$ and Haagerup’s $u$-topology on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{VN}}(\mathcal{A}(I), \mathcal{A}(J))$, reviewed in the appendix. Given a subinterval $I \subseteq K$, we will often not distinguish between $\mathcal{A}(I)$ and its image in $\mathcal{A}(K)$.

**Definition 1.1.** A conformal net is a continuous net $\mathcal{A}$ subject to the following conditions. Here, $I$ and $J$ are subintervals of an interval $K$:

(i) **Locality:** If $I, J \subset K$ have disjoint interiors, then $\mathcal{A}(I)$ and $\mathcal{A}(J)$ are commuting subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}(K)$.

(ii) **Strong additivity:** If $K = I \cup J$, then $\mathcal{A}(K)$ is generated as a von Neumann algebra by its two subalgebras: $\mathcal{A}(K) = \mathcal{A}(I) \vee \mathcal{A}(J)$.

(iii) **Split property:** If $I, J \subset K$ are disjoint, then the map from the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{A}(I) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A}(J) \to \mathcal{A}(K)$ extends to a map from the spatial tensor product $\mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{A}(J) \to \mathcal{A}(K)$.

(iv) **Inner covariance:** If $\phi \in \text{Diff}_+(I)$ restricts to the identity in a neighborhood of $\partial I$, then $\mathcal{A}(\phi)$ is an inner automorphism of $\mathcal{A}(I)$. (A unitary $u \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ with $\text{Ad}(u) = \mathcal{A}(\phi)$ is said to implement $\phi$.)

(v) **Vacuum sector:** Suppose that $J \subset I$ contains the boundary point $p \in \partial I$, and let $\bar{J}$ denote $J$ with the reversed orientation; $\mathcal{A}(J)$ acts on $L^2(\mathcal{A}(I))$ via the left action of $\mathcal{A}(I)$, and $\mathcal{A}(J) \cong \mathcal{A}(J)^{\text{op}}$ acts on $L^2(\mathcal{A}(I))$ via the right action of $\mathcal{A}(I)$. In that case, we require that the action of $\mathcal{A}(J) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A}(J)$ on $L^2(\mathcal{A}(I))$ extends to an action of $\mathcal{A}(J \cup_p \bar{J})$:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{A}(J) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{J}) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{A}(J \cup_p \bar{J})
\end{array}
\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)))
\]

(1.2)

Here, $J \cup_p \bar{J}$ is equipped with any smooth structure extending the given smooth structures on $J$ and $\bar{J}$, and for which the orientation-reversing involution that exchanges $J$ and $\bar{J}$ is smooth.

Note that $\mathcal{A}(\bar{J})$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(J)^{\text{op}}$ via the antihomomorphism $\mathcal{A}(\text{Id}_J) : \mathcal{A}(J) \to \mathcal{A}(\bar{J})$. That fact was used above in the vacuum axiom in order to identify $\mathcal{A}(\bar{J})$ with $\mathcal{A}(J)^{\text{op}}$. Also, the proper way of visualizing the interval $J \cup_p \bar{J}$

\footnote{An anti-homomorphism is a unital map satisfying $f(ab) = f(b)f(a)$.}
is as submanifold of the circle \( S := I \cup_{\partial I} \bar{I} \):

\[
\begin{array}{c}
I \\
\cup \\
\downarrow \\
\cup \\
J
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\bar{I} \\
\cup \\
\downarrow \\
\cup \\
\bar{J}
\end{array}
\]

Here, the circle \( S \) is equipped with a smooth structure such that the three embeddings \( I \hookrightarrow S, I \hookrightarrow S, J \cup_{\partial} \bar{J} \hookrightarrow S \) are smooth, and the involution \( S \to S \) that exchanges \( I \) with \( \bar{I} \) is smooth.

**Example 1.3.** The trivial conformal net \( C(I) \) is given by \( C(I) = \mathbb{C} \) for any interval \( I \), and \( C(\iota) = \mathrm{id}_\mathbb{C} \) for any embedding of intervals \( \iota \).

Some more substantial examples of conformal nets are discussed in Section 4.

Given conformal nets \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \), their direct sum is given by \( (\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})(I) := \mathcal{A}(I) \oplus \mathcal{B}(I) \), and their tensor product is \( (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})(I) := \mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(I) \). Most of the axioms for \( \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \) and \( \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \) are straightforward. We just check the vacuum axiom for \( \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \): the Hilbert spaces \( \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(I)) \) and \( \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \otimes \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{B}(I)) \) are isomorphic as \( \mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(I) \)-bimodules, so the action of \( \mathcal{A}(J) \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathcal{B}(J) \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathcal{A}(J) \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathcal{B}(J) \) extends to \( \mathcal{A}(J) \cup_{\iota} J \otimes \mathcal{B}(J) \cup_{\iota} \bar{J} \). This provides the desired extension of the action of \( \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(J) \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\bar{J}) \) on \( \mathcal{L}^2((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})(I)) \).

We record the following easy result for future use:

**Lemma 1.4.** Let \( I_n \subseteq I \) be an increasing sequence of intervals whose union is the interior of \( I \). Then \( \bigvee_n \mathcal{A}(I_n) = \mathcal{A}(I) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \varphi_n : I \to I, \varphi_n(I) = I_n \) be a sequence of embeddings that tends to \( \mathrm{id}_I \). Then every element \( a \in \mathcal{A}(I) \) can be written as \( \lim_n \mathcal{A}(\varphi_n)(a) \in \bigvee_n \mathcal{A}(I_n) \). \( \square \)

**Remark 1.5.** The continuity condition in the definition of conformal nets is equivalent to the following slightly weaker condition. It is enough that for any interval \( I \), the natural map \( \mathrm{Diff}_+(I) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) be continuous for the \( C^\infty \) topology on \( \mathrm{Diff}_+(I) \) and the \( u \)-topology on \( \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}(I)) \), see Lemma 4.4.

**Remark 1.6.** It is possible that the condition that the algebras \( \mathcal{A}(I) \) have separable preduals follows from Definition 1.3 more specifically, from the split property axiom—compare with [12] Proposition 1.6.

**Definition 1.7.** A conformal net \( \mathcal{A} \) is called irreducible if every algebra \( \mathcal{A}(I) \) is a factor. A direct sum of finitely many irreducible conformal nets is called semisimple.

**1.3. Sectors of conformal nets.** In the 3-category that our series of papers [3] constructs, \( \mathcal{A} \)-sectors correspond to 2-morphisms

\[
\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\rho_I} \mathcal{B}(H), \quad I \subset S
\]

subject to the compatibility condition \( \rho_I|_{\mathcal{A}(J)} = \rho_J \) whenever \( J \subset I \). The category of \( S \)-sectors of \( \mathcal{A} \) is denoted \( \text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A}) \). 

For the standard circle $S^1 := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \}$, an $S^1$-sector of $\mathcal{A}$ is simply called a sector of $\mathcal{A}$, or an $\mathcal{A}$-sector. The category of $\mathcal{A}$-sectors is denoted $\mathrm{Sect}(\mathcal{A})$.

Given an interval $I$, let $\mathrm{Diff}_0(I)$ denote the group of diffeomorphisms that restrict to the identity near the boundary of $I$.

**Lemma 1.9.** Let $S$ be a circle, and let $I_i \subset S$ be intervals whose interiors cover $S$. If we have actions $\rho_i : \mathcal{A}(I_i) \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ subject to the compatibility $\rho_i|_{\mathcal{A}(I_i \cap I_j)} = \rho_j|_{\mathcal{A}(I_i \cap I_j)}$, then they extend uniquely to the structure of an $S$-sector on $H$.

**Proof.** Given an interval $J \subset S$, pick a diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(S)$ such that $\varphi(J) \subset I_i$. The group $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S)$ being generated by its subgroups $\mathrm{Diff}_0(I_i)$, we can write $\varphi = \varphi_1 \circ \ldots \circ \varphi_n$ for $\varphi_k \in \mathrm{Diff}_0(I_{i_k})$. Let $u_k \in \mathcal{A}(I_{i_k})$ be unitaries implementing the diffeomorphisms $\varphi_k$. Upon identifying $u_k$ with its image $\rho_{i_k}(u_k) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, we set

$$
\rho_J : \mathcal{A}(J) \to \mathcal{B}(H) \quad \rho_J(a) := u_n^* \cdots u_1^* \rho_i(\varphi(a)) u_1 \cdots u_n.
$$

For a sufficiently small interval $K \subset J \cap I_i$, one can check directly that $\rho_J|_{\mathcal{A}(K)} = \rho_i|_{\mathcal{A}(K)}$. Here, ‘sufficiently small’ means that the intervals $K, \varphi_n(K), \varphi_{n-1}(\varphi_n(K)), \ldots, \varphi(K)$ should all be contained in some element of our cover. By the strong additivity axiom, it follows that $\rho_J(a) = \rho_i(a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}(J \cap I_i)$. Finally, since the intervals $J \cap I_i$ cover $J$, the above conditions determine $\rho_J$ uniquely. □

Let $S$ be a circle, let $j \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(S)$ be an orientation-reversing involution fixing the boundary $\partial I$ of some interval $I \subset S$, and let $I' := j(I)$. The Hilbert space $H := L^2(\mathcal{A}(I))$ is equipped with:

- for each $J \subset I$, an action

$$
\rho_J : \mathcal{A}(J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(I) \xrightarrow{\text{left action of } \mathcal{A}(I) \text{ on } H} \mathcal{B}(H)
$$

of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(J)$.

- for each $J \subset I'$, an action

$$
\rho_J : \mathcal{A}(J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(I') \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(j)} \mathcal{A}(I')^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{right action of } \mathcal{A}(I) \text{ on } H} \mathcal{B}(H)
$$

of $\mathcal{A}(J)$.

- If $J \subset S$ satisfies $j(J) = J$, then by the vacuum sector axiom, the homomorphism

$$
\rho_{J \cap I} \otimes \rho_{J \cap I'} : \mathcal{A}(J \cap I) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A}(J \cap I') \to \mathcal{B}(H)
$$

extends to an action $\rho_J$ of $\mathcal{A}(J)$ on $H$.

Applying Lemma 1.9 we see that $L^2(\mathcal{A}(I))$ comes naturally equipped with the structure of an $S$-sector of $\mathcal{A}$. We call it the vacuum sector of $\mathcal{A}$ associated to $S$, $I$, and $j$.

We record the following subintervals of the standard circle for future usage:

$$
S^+_1 := \{ z \in S^1 : \Im(z) \geq 0 \}, \quad S^-_1 := \{ z \in S^1 : \Re(z) \geq 0 \},
\quad S^+_1 := \{ z \in S^1 : \Im(z) \leq 0 \}, \quad S^-_1 := \{ z \in S^1 : \Re(z) \leq 0 \}.
$$

**Definition 1.11.** We let $H_0(\mathcal{A})$ denote the vacuum sector of $\mathcal{A}$ associated to the standard circle $S^1$, its upper half $S^+_1$, and the involution $z \mapsto \bar{z}$. It is defined by

$$
H_0(\mathcal{A}) := L^2(\mathcal{A}(S^+_1)),
$$

and has left actions of $\mathcal{A}(I)$ for every $I \subset S^1$. 
Given two circles $S_1$, $S_2$ and a diffeomorphism $\varphi : S_1 \to S_2$, there is a corresponding functor

\[
\text{Sect}_{S_2}(A) \to \text{Sect}_{S_1}(A)
\]

\[H \mapsto \varphi^* H.
\]

For an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\varphi$, that functor sends $(H, \{\rho_j\}_{j \in S_2})$ to the $S_1$-sector with underlying Hilbert space $H$, and actions $\rho_{\varphi(j)} \circ A(\varphi)_j)$. If the diffeomorphism $\varphi$ is orientation-reversing, then $\varphi^* H$ is the complex conjugate Hilbert space $\overline{H}$, equipped with the actions $A(\overline{\varphi(j)})^\text{op} \to \overline{B(H)}^\text{op} \to \overline{B(\overline{H})} = B(H)$ for $J \subset S_1$.

**Proposition 1.13.** Let $S_1$ and $S_2$ be two circles, and let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Diff}_+(S_1, S_2)$ be diffeomorphisms. Then the functors

\[\varphi^*, \psi^* : \text{Sect}_{S_1}(A) \to \text{Sect}_{S_1}(A)\]

are non-canonically unitarily naturally equivalent (in other words, there exists a unitary natural equivalence $\varphi^* \cong \psi^*$, but there is no canonical way of choosing such a natural equivalence).

**Proof.** Write $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1} = \varphi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_n$ as a product of diffeomorphisms $\varphi_i \in \text{Diff}_0(I_i)$ with support in intervals $I_i \subset S_2$, and let $u_i \in A(I_i)$ be unitaries implementing them (one may arrange this with $n = 2$). For any sector $(H, \{\rho_j\}_{j \in S_2})$, conjugation by $\rho_1(u_1) \cdots \rho_n(u_n)$ provides a unitary isomorphism of $S_2$-sectors from $H$ to $(\varphi^{-1})^* \psi^* H$, which can be interpreted as a unitary isomorphism of $S_1$-sectors from $\varphi^* H$ to $\psi^* H$. The collection of all those isomorphisms is a unitary natural transformation from $\varphi^*$ to $\psi^*$.

**Corollary 1.14.** Let $S$ be a circle, and $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S)$ a diffeomorphism. Then for any $S$-sector $H$ we have $\varphi^* H \cong H$.

**Proof.** Take $\psi = \text{Id}_S$ in the above proposition.

**Corollary 1.15.** Let $S$ be a circle, $I_1, I_2 \subset S$ intervals, and let $j_1, j_2 \in \text{Diff}_-(S)$ be involutions that fix $\partial I_1$ and $\partial I_2$, respectively. Let $H_1$ be the vacuum sector of $A$ associated to $S$, $I_1$, $j_1$, and let $H_2$ be the vacuum sector of $A$ associated to $S$, $I_2$, $j_2$. Then $H_1$ and $H_2$ are isomorphic as $S$-sectors.

**Proof.** Let $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S)$ be a diffeomorphism that sends $I_1$ to $I_2$ and that intertwines the actions of $j_1$ and $j_2$. By the definition of vacuum sector, the diffeomorphism $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism $\varphi^* H_2 \cong H_1$ and by Corollary 1.14 we also have $\varphi^* H_2 \cong H_2$.

Given a sector $H \in \text{Sect}(A)$ on the standard circle, and given another circle $S$, we denote by $H(S) \in \text{Sect}_S(A)$ the $S$-sector $\varphi^* H$, where $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S, S^1)$ is some diffeomorphism. Note that by Proposition 1.13 the $S$-sector $H(S)$ is well defined up to (non-canonical) unitary isomorphism.

In the case of the vacuum sector, the above construction specializes to:

**Definition 1.16.** Given a circle $S$, we let

\[H_0(S, A) \in \text{Sect}_S(A)\]

stand for any one of the Hilbert spaces $H_0(A)(S)$ considered in the previous paragraph or equivalently any one of the Hilbert spaces considered in Corollary 1.15 and call it the vacuum sector of $A$ associated to $S$. We sometimes abbreviate $H_0(S, A)$ by $H_0(S)$. That Hilbert space is well defined up to non-canonical unitary isomorphism of $S$-sectors.
We will see later, in Section 2, that \( H_0(S, \mathcal{A}) \) can be determined canonically if we fix a conformal structure on \( S \).

For \( S \) a circle and \( I \subset S \) an interval, let us denote by \( I' \subset S \) the closure of its complement in \( S \).

**Proposition 1.17** (Haag duality). Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a conformal net, and \( S \) be a circle. Then for any \( I \subset S \), the algebra \( \mathcal{A}(I') \) is the commutant of \( \mathcal{A}(I) \) on \( H_0(S, \mathcal{A}) \).

Given intervals \( J \subset K \) such that \( J' \), the closure of \( K \setminus J \), is itself an interval, the commutant of \( \mathcal{A}(J) \) in \( \mathcal{A}(K) \) is \( \mathcal{A}(J'). \)

*Proof.* Let \( j \in \text{Diff}_-(S) \) be an involution that fixes \( \partial I \), and let \( H = L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) be the vacuum sector associated to \( S \), \( I \), and \( j \). The equation \( \mathcal{A}(I') = \mathcal{A}(I)' \) is obvious on \( H \), and follows for any vacuum \( H_0(S, \mathcal{A}) \) since the two are isomorphic.

For the second statement, pick an embedding \( K \to S \). Using strong additivity, we then have \( \mathcal{A}(J)' \cap \mathcal{A}(K) = \mathcal{A}(J)' \cap \mathcal{A}(K)' = (\mathcal{A}(J) \vee \mathcal{A}(K))' = \mathcal{A}(J \cup K)' = \mathcal{A}(J' \cap K) = \mathcal{A}(J'). \)

**1.17. Fusion along intervals.** In this section, we introduce the operation \( \odot \) of fusion of von Neumann algebras, and show that it corresponds to the geometric operation of gluing two intervals and then discarding the part along which they were glued:

\[
\text{(1.18)}
\]

Later in this section, we will use this operation to define the operation of fusion of sectors. This operation will also be crucial in the third paper of our series [3], in order to define the composition of two defects.

**Fusion of von Neumann algebras.**

**Definition 1.19.** Let \( A \leftarrow C^{\text{op}}, C \to B \) be two homomorphisms between von Neumann algebras, and let \( \mathcal{A}H \) and \( \mathcal{B}K \) be faithful modules. Viewing \( H \) as a right \( C \)-module, we may form the Connes fusion \( H \boxtimes C K \). One then defines

\[
\text{(1.20)}
\]

where the commutants of \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \) and \( C \) are taken in \( H \) and \( K \), respectively. This algebra is independent of the choices of \( H \) and \( K \) (see Proposition 1.22).

**Warning 1.21.** The operation \( \odot \) has rather poor formal properties. For example, given homomorphisms \( A_1 \leftarrow B_1^{\text{op}}, B_1 \to A_2, A_2 \leftarrow B_2^{\text{op}}, B_2 \to A_3 \), such that the images of \( B_1 \) and \( B_2^{\text{op}} \) commute in \( A_2 \), one might ask for an associator isomorphism \( (A_1 \odot B_1) \odot B_2 A_3 \cong A_1 \odot (B_2 \odot A_3) \). Such an isomorphism does not exist in general: there are algebras for which one of the following two inclusions

\[
(A_1 \cap B_1^{\text{op}}) \vee (B_2' \cap A_2 \cap B_2^{\text{op}}) \vee (B_3' \cap A_3) \hookrightarrow (A_1 \odot B_1) \odot B_2 A_3
\]

is an isomorphism, but the other isn’t. We present a counterexample (but without further justifications as this would take us too far afield): take a conformal net \( \mathcal{A} \) with \( \mu(\mathcal{A}) > 1 \) (Definition 3.1), and let \( A_1 = \mathcal{A}([0, 2])^{\text{op}}, B_1 = \mathcal{A}([1, 2]), A_2 = \mathcal{A}([-2, 2]) \cap \mathcal{A}([-1, 0])^{\text{op}}, B_2 = A_3 = \mathcal{A}([0, 1])^{\text{op}}. \)

**Proposition 1.22.** The algebra \( \mathcal{A} \odot C B \) is independent, up to canonical isomorphism, of the choice of faithful modules \( \mathcal{A}H \) and \( \mathcal{B}K \).
Proof. Let $H_1$ and $H_2$ be faithful $A$-modules, and let $K_1$ and $K_2$ be faithful $B$-modules. Upon choosing isomorphisms $H_1 \otimes \ell^2 \cong H_2 \otimes \ell^2$ and $K_1 \otimes \ell^2 \cong K_2 \otimes \ell^2$, we get the following commutative diagram of algebra homomorphisms:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{ (A \cap C^{op'}) \otimes_{alg} (C' \cap B) \ar[r] & B(H_1 \otimes_{C} K_1) \ar[d]^\cong & B((H_1 \otimes \ell^2) \otimes_{C} (K_1 \otimes \ell^2)) \ar[l] \ar[d]^\cong \\
B(H_2 \otimes_{C} K_2) & & B((H_2 \otimes \ell^2) \otimes_{C} (K_2 \otimes \ell^2)).}
\end{array}
\]

The completions of $(A \cap C^{op'}) \otimes_{alg} (C' \cap B)$ in $B(H_1 \otimes_{C} K_1)$ and $B(H_2 \otimes_{C} K_2)$ therefore agree since they might as well be taken in $B((H_1 \otimes \ell^2) \otimes_{C} (K_1 \otimes \ell^2))$ and $B((H_2 \otimes \ell^2) \otimes_{C} (K_2 \otimes \ell^2))$, respectively. □

If the modules $H$ and $K$ are not faithful, then there is still an action, albeit non-faithful, of $A \otimes_C B$ on $H \otimes_C K$:

**Lemma 1.23.** Let $A \leftrightarrow C$, $C \rightarrow B$ be homomorphisms, and let $A H$ and $B K$ be any modules. Then the natural map $(A \cap C^{op'}) \otimes_{alg} (C' \cap B) \rightarrow B(H \otimes_C K)$ extends to an action of $A \otimes_C B$ on $H \otimes_C K$. □

The operation $\otimes$ is compatible with spatial tensor product in the sense that given algebras $A_1, B_1, C_1, A_2, B_2, C_2$ and homomorphisms $A_1 \leftrightarrow C_1^{op}, C_1 \rightarrow B_1, A_2 \leftrightarrow C_2^{op}, C_2 \rightarrow B_2$, there is a canonical isomorphism

\[
(1.24) \quad (A_1 \otimes A_2) \otimes_{C_1 \otimes C_2} (B_1 \otimes B_2) \cong (A_1 \otimes_{C_1} B_1) \otimes (A_2 \otimes_{C_2} B_2).
\]

**Remark 1.25.** In [31], a similar operation $A \ast_C B$ is defined, under the name fiber product of von Neumann algebras. It is given by

\[
A \ast_C B := (A' \otimes_{alg} B')',
\]

where the commutants $A'$ and $B'$ are taken in $B(H)$ and $B(K)$ respectively, while the last one is taken in $B(H \otimes_C K)$. Unlike $\otimes$, the operation $\ast$ is associative. There is always an inclusion $A \otimes_C B \hookrightarrow A \ast_C B$ and under favorable circumstances, it can happen that those two algebras agree. This will always be true in the cases that we consider (see the section on associativity of composition in the third paper of our series [3] for a precise statement). We work with $A \otimes_C B$ as opposed to $A \ast_C B$ for technical convenience: it is easier to check that the former commutes with other von Neumann algebras.

The algebra of a fused interval. We now make precise the heuristic of picture (1.18). Consider three intervals $I, I_1, I_r$ equipped with two maps $i_I : I \rightarrow I_1$ and $i_r : I \rightarrow I_r$. The maps $i_I$ and $i_r$ are orientation-reversing and orientation-preserving, respectively. Moreover, we require that the closure $J_I$ of $I \setminus i_I(I)$ and the closure $J_r$ of $I_r \setminus i_r(I)$ be (non-empty) intervals, and that $I_1 \cup I_2$ be a “Y-graph”—see (1.20).

We can then define the fused interval $I_I \oplus_I I_r := J_I \cup J_r \subset I_1 \cup_I I_r$ by

\[
(1.26) \quad \xymatrix{ I_I \ar@/_/[d]_{\scriptstyle i_I} \ar@/^/[ru]^{\scriptstyle i_r} & I_1 \cup I_2 \ar@/_/[d]_{\scriptstyle i_I} \ar@/^/[ru]^{\scriptstyle i_r} & I_1 \oplus_I I_r : \ar@/_/[d]_{\scriptstyle i_I} \ar@/^/[ru]^{\scriptstyle i_r} \\
I & I_1 \cup I_2 & I_1 \oplus_I I_r : \ar@/_/[d]_{\scriptstyle i_I} \ar@/^/[ru]^{\scriptstyle i_r}
}
\]

Note that the fused interval $I_I \oplus_I I_r$ only inherits a canonical $C^1$ structure. Indeed, we have a natural identification of tangent spaces

\[
T_pJ_I \xrightarrow{\cong} T_pI \xrightarrow{\cong} T_pJ_r \quad p := J_I \cap J_r
\]

but no way to compatibly identify the higher germs of $J_I$ and $J_r$ at $p$. Pick involutions $\alpha \in \text{Diff}_- (I_I)$ and $\beta \in \text{Diff}_- (I_r)$ that fix $p$, and such that the map $\alpha|_{J_I} \cup \beta|_{I_r} : I_I = J_I \cup I \rightarrow I_1 \cup I_r = I_r$
is smooth. We equip $I_1 \oplus I_r$ with the smooth structure pulled back via $\alpha|_{I_1} \cup \text{Id}_{I_r}: I_1 \oplus I_r \to I_r$ or, equivalently, the one pulled back via $\text{Id}_{I_r} \cup \beta|_{I_1}: I_1 \oplus I_r \to I_1$.

The smooth structure on $I_1 \oplus I_r$ depends on the involutions $\alpha$ and $\beta$. The distinguishing feature of smooth structures arising this way is that there exists an action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ on $I_1 \cup I_r$ such that all the induced maps between $I_1$, $I_r$ and $I_1 \oplus I_r$ are smooth. The next proposition shows that the algebra $A(I_1 \oplus I_r)$ associated to the fused interval does not depend on the above choices, up to canonical isomorphism:

**Proposition 1.27.** Let $A$ be a conformal net, and let $I_1$, $I_r$, $J_1$, $J_r$ be as above. Then there is a canonical isomorphism $A(I_1 \oplus I_r) \cong A(I_1) \otimes A(I_r)$, compatible with the inclusions of $A(J_1)$ and $A(J_r)$.

**Proof.** Let $S := I \cup_{0f} I$ be the circle obtained by gluing two copies of $I$ along their common boundary, and let $j_0 : S \to S$ be the orientation-reversing involution that exchanges $I$ and $I$. Equip $S$ with any smooth structure compatible with those on $I$ and $I$, and for which the map $j_0$ is smooth.

Recall the involutions $\alpha \in \text{Diff} - (I_1)$ and $\beta \in \text{Diff} - (I_r)$ described above. Identify $I$ with its image in $I_1$ and $I_r$ under the inclusions $i_1$ and $i_r$ respectively, and let $p := J_1 \cap J_r$ be the trivalent vertex of the Y-graph $I_1 \cup I_r$. Pick orientation-preserving embeddings $f_1 : J_1 \to I$, $g_r : J_r \to I$ that send $p$ to itself, and satisfy the following two conditions: (i) the maps $f : I_1 \to S$ and $g : I_r \to S$ by given by

\[
f : I_1 = J_1 \cup I \xrightarrow{f_1 \cup \text{Id}_r} I \cup I = S
\]

\[
g : I_r = I \cup J_r \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_I \cup g_r} I \cup I = S
\]

are injective and smooth, and (ii) the equations $f \circ \alpha = j_0 \circ f$ and $g \circ \beta = j_0 \circ g$ are satisfied in a neighborhood of $p$. Note that the map $f_1 \cup g_r : I_1 \oplus I_r \to S$ is then also smooth.

Let $H_0 := L^2(\mathcal{A}(I))$ be the vacuum sector associated to $S$, $I$, and $j_0$. We have two faithful actions

\[
\mathcal{A}(I_1) \to B(H_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}(I_r) \to B(H_0)
\]

associated to $f$ and $g$. We can therefore compute $\mathcal{A}(I_1) \otimes B(\mathcal{A}(I_1) \mathcal{A}(I_r))$ inside $B(H_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{A}(I_1) \mathcal{A}(I_r))$. By Haag duality, the relative commutant of $\mathcal{A}(I_1)\otimes \mathcal{A}(I_r)$ in $\mathcal{A}(I_1)$ is $\mathcal{A}(J_1)$, and the relative commutant of $\mathcal{A}(I_r)$ in $\mathcal{A}(I_r)$ is $\mathcal{A}(J_r)$. We therefore have

\[
\mathcal{A}(I_1) \otimes B(\mathcal{A}(I_1) \mathcal{A}(I_r)) = \mathcal{A}(J_1) \vee \mathcal{A}(J_r) \subset B(H_0),
\]

which is equal to $\mathcal{A}(J_1 \cup J_r) = \mathcal{A}(J_1) \otimes B(H_0)$ by the strong additivity axiom.

**Corollary 1.28.** Let $A$ be a conformal net, let $H_I$ be an $\mathcal{A}(I_1)$-module, and let $H_r$ be an $\mathcal{A}(I_r)$-module. Then the two actions $\mathcal{A}(J_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(J_r)$ extend to an action of $\mathcal{A}(I_1 \oplus I_r)$ on $H_I \boxtimes \mathcal{A}(I_1) H_r$.

**Fusion of sectors.** Consider now a theta-graph $\Theta$ with trivalent vertices $p$ and $q$, and let $S_1, S_2, S_3 \subset \Theta$ be its three circle subgraphs, with orientations as drawn below:

\[
(1.29) \quad \Theta : \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots
\end{array}
\end{array}
, \quad \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots
\end{array}
\end{array}
, \quad \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots
\end{array}
\end{array}
.
\]

Equip $S_1$, $S_2$, $S_3$ with smooth structures for which there exists an action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ on $\Theta$ that fixes $p$ and $q$, permutes the three circles, and such that $\pi|_{S_a}$ is smooth for every $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_3$ and $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let

\[
I := S_1 \cap S_2, \quad K := S_1 \cap S_3, \quad L := S_2 \cap S_3.
\]
We equip \( K \) with the orientation inherited from \( S_1 \), and give \( I \) and \( L \) the ones coming from \( S_2 \).

**Definition 1.30.** Given \( S_1, S_2, S_3, I, K, L \) as above, the operation

\[
\mathcal{E}_I : \text{Sect}_{S_1}(\mathcal{A}) \times \text{Sect}_{S_2}(\mathcal{A}) \to \text{Sect}_{S_1}(\mathcal{A})
\]

of fusion of sectors is given by \( (H_1, H_2) \mapsto H_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(I)} H_2 \). That space inherits an \( \mathcal{A}(K) \) action from \( H_1 \), and an \( \mathcal{A}(L) \) action from \( H_2 \) (both are left actions). If \( J \subset S_3 \) is an interval not contained in and not containing \( K \) or \( L \), then \( \mathcal{A}(J) \) acts on \( H_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(I)} H_2 \) by Corollary \[.28\]

The Hilbert space \( H_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(I)} H_2 \) is then an \( S_3 \)-sector by Lemma \[.3\].

**Associativity of fusion.** The operation \[.31\] satisfies a certain version of associativity. Given a graph that looks as follows \[.\], with circles subgraphs \( S_1, \ldots, S_6 \) as indicated below

![Diagram](image)

then there is an associator coming from the associator of Connes fusion that makes the following diagram commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Sect}_{S_1}(\mathcal{A}) \times \text{Sect}_{S_2}(\mathcal{A}) \times \text{Sect}_{S_3}(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sect}_{S_1}(\mathcal{A}) \times \text{Sect}_{S_5}(\mathcal{A}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Sect}_{S_4}(\mathcal{A}) \times \text{Sect}_{S_5}(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sect}_{S_6}(\mathcal{A}).
\end{array}
\]

**Note.** There should be a similar canonical associator when the circles \( S_1, \ldots, S_6 \) are arranged as follows:

![Diagram](image)

but we only know how to construct it non-canonically. We will not discuss this construction.

**Unitality of fusion.** The unitality of fusion of sectors can be formulated as follows. Recall that given a sector \( H \in \text{Sect}(\mathcal{A}) \) on the standard circle and given another circle \( S \), we denote by \( H(S) \in \text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A}) \) the corresponding sector on \( S \) (well defined up to non-canonical isomorphism).

**Lemma 1.32.** Let \( S_1, S_2, S_3 \) and \( I = S_1 \cap S_2 \) be as in \[.29\]. Then for any sector \( H \in \text{Sect}(\mathcal{A}) \), there exists (non-canonical) unitary isomorphisms of \( S_3 \)-sectors

\[
H(S_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(I)} H_0(S_2) \cong H(S_3)
\]

and

\[
H_0(S_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(I)} H(S_2) \cong H(S_3).
\]

**Proof.** We only show the first equality. Let \( K \) and \( L \) be as above, let \( j_2 \) be the involution of \( S_2 \) coming from the action of \( \mathcal{S}_3 \) on \( S_1 \cup S_2 \), and let \( \varphi := \text{Id}_K \cup j_2|_{L} \) : \( S_3 \to S_1 \). By definition, we can take \( H_0(S_2) = L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \), with \( S_2 \)-sector structure induced by \( j_2 \). We then have

\[
H(S_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(I)} H_0(S_2) = H(S_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(I)} L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \cong \varphi^* H(S_1) \cong H(S_3).
\]

For \( J \subset S_3 \) an interval, the above isomorphism is both \( \mathcal{A}(J \cap K) \) and \( \mathcal{A}(J \cap L) \)-equivariant, and hence \( \mathcal{A}(J) \)-equivariant by strong additivity. \( \square \)
Corollary 1.33. Letting $S_1$, $S_2$, $S_3$, and $I$ be as in (1.29), we have
(1.34) $H_0(S_1) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}(I)} H_0(S_2) \cong H_0(S_3)$.

Monoidal fusion products. There another (closely related) notion fusion of sectors, for which the source and target categories are the same category. Let $S$ be a circle. Given an interval $I \subset S$, and an involution $j \in \text{Diff}_-(S)$ fixing $\partial I$, we can turn any $S$-sector into an $\mathcal{A}(I)\mathcal{A}(I)$-bimodule by equipping it with the right action induced by $\mathcal{A}(j) : \mathcal{A}(I)^{op} \to \mathcal{A}(I')$. The fusion of $\mathcal{A}(I)\mathcal{A}(I)$-bimodules then equips the category of $S$-sectors of $\mathcal{A}$ with a monoidal structure:

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{product:} & \text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A}) \times \text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{I}} \text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A}) \\
\text{unit object:} & L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \in \text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A})
\end{cases}
\]

(We usually drop $j$ from the notation, but occasionally write $\boxtimes_{I,j}$ when we need to be precise.) The unit object is the vacuum sector of $\mathcal{A}$ associated to $S$, $I$, and $j$.

We now explain why $H \boxtimes_{I} K$ is an $S$-sector. The algebras $\mathcal{A}(I)$ and $\mathcal{A}(I')$ act on $H \boxtimes_{I} K$ by their respective actions on $H$ and $K$. If $J \subset S$ is an interval that crosses $\partial I$ once, then we have

(1.36) $\mathcal{A}(J) \cong \mathcal{A}(J \cup I') \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(I)} \mathcal{A}(J \cup I)$

by Proposition 1.27. Here, the two maps $\mathcal{A}(J \cup I') \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(I)^{op}$, $\mathcal{A}(I) \to \mathcal{A}(J \cup I)$ used in the definition of the right-hand side of (1.36) are induced by $j : I \to J \cup I'$, and by the inclusion $I \hookrightarrow J \cup I$, respectively. The algebra (1.36) then acts on $H \boxtimes_{I} K$ by Corollary 1.25. This construction works for any $J \subset S$ that crosses $\partial I$ once, and so $H \boxtimes_{I} K$ is an $S$-sector by Lemma 1.19.

Proposition 1.37. Let $S$ be a circle, $I_1, I_2 \subset S$ intervals, and $j_1, j_2 \in \text{Diff}_-(S)$ involutions that fix $\partial I_1$ and $\partial I_2$, respectively. Let $\boxtimes_1$ and $\boxtimes_2$ be the monoidal structures on $\text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A})$ associated to $(I_1, j_1)$ and $(I_2, j_2)$, respectively, as in (1.35). Then these two monoidal structures are equivalent (but non-canonically).

Proof. The first monoidal structure is given by the data of a monoidal product $(X, Y \mapsto X \boxtimes_1 Y)$, a unit object $1_1$, an associator $a_1 : (X \boxtimes_1 Y) \boxtimes_1 Z \to X \boxtimes_1 (Y \boxtimes_1 Z)$, a left unit map $\ell_1 : 1_1 \boxtimes_1 X \to X$, and a right unit map $r_1 : X \boxtimes_1 1_1 \to X$; the second monoidal structure is similarly given by $\boxtimes_2$, $1_2$, $a_2$, $\ell_2$, and $r_2$. An equivalence between these two monoidal structures consists of a unitary natural transformation $\sigma : X \boxtimes_1 Y \to X \boxtimes_2 Y$, and a unitary isomorphism $\mu : 1_1 \to 1_2$ making the following three diagrams commute:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
(X \boxtimes_1 Y) \boxtimes_1 Z & \xrightarrow{a_1} & (X \boxtimes_1 Y) \boxtimes_1 Z \\
\downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\
X \boxtimes_1 (Y \boxtimes_1 Z) & \xrightarrow{a_2} & X \boxtimes_2 (Y \boxtimes_2 Z)
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
1_1 \boxtimes_1 X & \xrightarrow{\sigma \circ (a_1 \mu_1)} & 1_2 \boxtimes_2 X \\
r_1 \downarrow & & \ell_2 \\
X & \xrightarrow{\sigma \circ (a_1 \mu_2)} & X \boxtimes_2 1_2
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

The claim is that it is possible to find such a pair $(\sigma, \mu)$, but that there is no canonical choice.

Pick a diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S)$ that maps $I_1$ to $I_2$, and that intertwines the involutions $j_1$ with $j_2$. By Proposition 1.13, the functor $\varphi^*$ is naturally isomorphic
to the identity functor on \(\text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A})\). Pick such a natural isomorphism \(v\). The pair \((\sigma, \mu)\) is then given by

\[
\sigma : X \boxtimes_1 Y \xrightarrow{v^{-1} \sigma_1 v^{-1}} (\varphi^* X) \boxtimes_1 (\varphi^* Y) \cong \varphi^*(X \boxtimes_2 Y) \xrightarrow{v} X \boxtimes_2 Y,
\]

and

\[
\mu : L^2(\mathcal{A}(I_1)) \xrightarrow{L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi|_{I_1}))} \varphi^*(L^2(\mathcal{A}(I_2))) \xrightarrow{v} L^2(\mathcal{A}(I_2)).
\]

\[\square\]

**Definition 1.38.** Given a circle \(S\), we let \(H, K \Rightarrow H \boxtimes K\) denote any one of the monoidal structures on \(\text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A})\) considered in Proposition 1.37 and call it the “fusion of \(H\) and \(K\)”. It is well defined up to non-canonical unitary isomorphism.

In the case when \(S\) is the standard circle, there are two important special cases of (1.35): the vertical fusion and the horizontal fusion on \(\text{Sect}(\mathcal{A})\), given by

\[
H \boxtimes^v K := H \boxtimes_{S_1^1, j} K \quad \text{and} \quad H \boxtimes^h K := H \boxtimes_{S_1^1, j'} K,
\]

respectively. Here, \(S_1^1\) is the upper half of the standard circle, \(S_1^1\) its left half, and \(j\) and \(j'\) are the reflections given by \(j(z) = \bar{z}\) and \(j'(z) = -\bar{z}\).

1.D. **Central decomposition.** Given a conformal net \(\mathcal{A}\) and an orientation-preserving embedding \(f : I \to J\), we will show that \(\mathcal{A}(f) : Z(\mathcal{A}(I)) \to Z(\mathcal{A}(J))\) is always an isomorphism. In fact, there is an algebra \(Z(\mathcal{A})\), called the center of \(\mathcal{A}\), that only depends on \(\mathcal{A}\), and that is canonically isomorphic to \(Z(\mathcal{A}(I))\) for every \(I\). See [7, Sec. 3] for a similar discussion.

**Proposition 1.39.** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) be a conformal net. Then there is an abelian von Neumann algebra \(Z(\mathcal{A})\) such that for every interval \(I\) we have a canonical isomorphism \(Z(\mathcal{A}(I)) \cong Z(\mathcal{A})\), and such that for each embedding \(J \hookrightarrow I\), the inclusion \(\mathcal{A}(J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(I)\) induces a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z(\mathcal{A}(J)) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & Z(\mathcal{A}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Z(\mathcal{A}(I)) & \xrightarrow{\zeta(I)} & Z(\mathcal{A}(I))
\end{array}
\]

\[\text{(1.40)}\]

**Proof.** We first show that \(\zeta(I) := Z(\mathcal{A}(I))\) is a functor from intervals with orientation-preserving embeddings to von Neumann algebras. We define \(Z(\mathcal{A}) := \text{colim} \, \zeta\). The natural map \(Z(\mathcal{A}(I)) \to Z(\mathcal{A})\) will be an isomorphism if and only if \(\zeta\) is equivalent to a constant functor. Checking the latter condition involves two things: (a) given an embedding \(J \hookrightarrow I\), we show the induced map \(\mathcal{A}(J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(I)\) sends \(Z(\mathcal{A}(J))\) isomorphically onto \(Z(\mathcal{A}(I))\), and (b) given two orientation-preserving embeddings \(\alpha, \beta : J \hookrightarrow I\), we show that the induced isomorphisms \(\alpha_* : Z(\mathcal{A}(J)) \to Z(\mathcal{A}(I))\) and \(\beta_* : Z(\mathcal{A}(J)) \to Z(\mathcal{A}(I))\) are equal to each other.

(a) Let \(J \hookrightarrow I\) be an embedding. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \(I\) and \(J\) share a boundary point. Let \(J^c\) be the closure in \(I\) of the complement of \(J\). By Lemma 1.17 \(\mathcal{A}(J)\) and \(\mathcal{A}(J^c)\) are each other’s commutants in \(\mathcal{A}(I)\). Hence

\[
Z(\mathcal{A}(J)) = Z(\mathcal{A}(J^c)) = \mathcal{A}(J) \cap \mathcal{A}(J^c).
\]

An element of \(\mathcal{A}(J) \cap \mathcal{A}(J^c)\) commutes with both \(\mathcal{A}(J^c)\) and \(\mathcal{A}(J)\), and so it also commutes with \(\mathcal{A}(I) = \mathcal{A}(J) \cup \mathcal{A}(J^c)\). An element of \(Z(\mathcal{A}(I))\) commutes with both \(\mathcal{A}(J)\) and \(\mathcal{A}(J^c)\), and is therefore in the intersection \(\mathcal{A}(J^c) \cap \mathcal{A}(J)\) of their commutants. It follows that \(Z(\mathcal{A}(I)) = Z(\mathcal{A}(J))\).

(b) Without loss of generality, we may take \(I = J\), and \(\beta = \text{Id}_J\). Pick intervals \(I \subset I_\pm \subset K\), and a diffeomorphism \(\varphi : I_\pm \to I_\pm\) that restricts to \(\alpha\) on \(I\) and to the
identity on $K$:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
I & \xrightarrow{i} & I_+ \\
\varphi_j &=& \alpha \\
\varphi_{i_\ast} &=& \beta
\end{array}
\]

As the maps $i_* : Z(A(I)) \rightarrow Z(A(I_+))$ and $j_* : Z(A(K)) \rightarrow Z(A(I_+))$ are isomorphisms, it follows that $\alpha_* : Z(A(I)) \rightarrow Z(A(I))$ is the identity map.

We have now canonically identified every $Z(A(I))$ with $Z(A)$. To show that every diagram \[\text{(1.40)}\] is commutative, we still need to treat the case of orientation-reversing maps. For that purpose, it is enough to analyze the identity map from $J$ to $J$. Namely, we need to show that the map

\[
Z(A) \cong Z(A(J)) \xrightarrow{i_*} Z(A(\bar{J})) \cong Z(A)
\]

induced by $i := \text{Id}_J : J \rightarrow \bar{J}$ is the identity. Assuming the contrary, there would be a non-zero central projection $p \in A(J)$ that is orthogonal to its image $q := i_*(p)$. Letting $I$ be an interval containing $J$ and sharing one endpoint (as in the statement of the vacuum axiom), we would then have the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
p \otimes q & \in & A(I) \otimes_{\text{alg}} A(I)^{\text{op}} \\
\| & & \| \\
p \otimes q & \in & A(J) \otimes_{\text{alg}} A(J)^{\text{op}} \\
\| & & \| \\
p \otimes p & \in & A(J) \otimes_{\text{alg}} A(J) \\
\| & & \| \\
p^2 & = & p \in A(J \cup J)
\end{array}
\]

The element $p \otimes p$ goes to $p^2$ under the bottom map because it is the product of $p \otimes 1$ with $1 \otimes p$, and both get mapped to $p$. Since $p \otimes q$ acts as zero on $L^2 A(I)$, by the commutativity of the above diagram, so must $p$, contradicting the fact that $p \neq 0$. \qed

Given an abelian von Neumann algebra $A$, let $\text{Spec}(A)$ refer to any nice measure space $\mathcal{X}$ equipped with an isomorphism $L^\infty(\mathcal{X}) \cong A$. Any von Neumann algebra can be written as a direct integral of factors III indexed over $\text{Spec}$ of its center. Applying this to the algebras $A(I)$, one can then write every conformal net $A$ as a direct integral of irreducible conformal nets:

\[
A = \int_{x \in \text{Spec}(Z(A))}^{\oplus} A_x
\]

Here, we have secretly used that $\text{INT}$ is equivalent to a category with countably many objects (actually one object) and has separable hom spaces.

Conformal nets form a category: a morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is a natural transformation $\tau : A \rightarrow B$ that assigns to each interval $I$ a unital homomorphism $\tau_I : A(I) \rightarrow B(I)$ of von Neumann algebras. Objectwise spatial tensor product defines a symmetric monoidal structure on that category.

\textbf{Lemma 1.42.} Let $A$ and $B$ be conformal nets, and let $\tau : A \rightarrow B$ be a natural transformation. Then $\tau(Z(A)) \subset Z(B)$.

\textbf{Proof.} Let $I = [0, 1]$, $J = [1, 2]$, and $K = [0, 2]$. By Proposition \[\text{(1.39)}\] the natural maps $Z(A(I)) \rightarrow Z(A(K)) \leftarrow Z(A(J))$ are isomorphisms. By locality, the algebra $\tau(Z(A(I)))$ commutes with $B(J)$, and the algebra $\tau(Z(A(J)))$ commutes with $B(I)$. It follows that the image of $Z(A(K))$ commutes with $B(I) \vee B(J) = B(K)$. \qed
Corollary 1.43. Let \( \tau \) be a natural transformation between semisimple conformal nets (Definition 1.4). Then \( \tau \) is a direct sum of maps of the form

\[
\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{B}_i}{\longrightarrow} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{B}_i,
\]

where \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B}_i \) are irreducible conformal nets, \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (\( n = 0 \) allowed), \( \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{A} \) is the diagonal map, and \( \iota_i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_i \) are inclusions.

In view of the above results, the study of arbitrary conformal nets reduces to that of irreducible conformal nets. From now on, we shall therefore assume that our conformal nets are irreducible.

1.e. Conformal embeddings. In this section, we discuss two classes of morphisms of special interest, namely finite morphisms and conformal embeddings, and we show that all finite morphisms are conformal embeddings.

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) be irreducible conformal nets. Recall that given an interval \( I \), we denote by \( \text{Diff}(I) \) the subgroup of diffeomorphisms that restrict to the identity near the boundary of \( I \).

Definition 1.44. A natural transformation \( \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \) is called finite if for every interval \( I \) the map \( \tau_I : \mathcal{A}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(I) \) is a finite homomorphism (Definition 1.16).

We borrow the following terminology from affine Lie algebras [1]:

Definition 1.45. A natural transformation \( \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \) is called a conformal embedding if for every \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}(I) \), and every unitary \( u \in \mathcal{A}(I) \),

\[
\text{Ad}(u) = \mathcal{A}(\varphi) \Rightarrow \text{Ad}(\tau(u)) = \mathcal{B}(\varphi).
\]

Lemma 1.46. Let \( \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \) be a finite natural transformation between irreducible conformal nets. Then the relative commutant of \( \tau(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) inside \( \mathcal{B}(I) \) is trivial.

Proof. Let \( I = [0, 1] \), \( J = [1, 2] \), \( K = [0, 2] \), and let \( \mathcal{A}(I)^c \), \( \mathcal{A}(J)^c \), \( \mathcal{A}(K)^c \) be the commutants of \( \mathcal{A}(I) \), \( \mathcal{A}(J) \), \( \mathcal{A}(K) \) inside \( \mathcal{B}(K) \). By locality, the algebras \( \mathcal{A}(I)^c \cap \mathcal{B}(I) \) and \( \mathcal{A}(J)^c \cap \mathcal{B}(J) \) commute with \( \mathcal{A}(K) = \mathcal{A}(I) \vee \mathcal{A}(J) \). We therefore have inclusions

\[
\mathcal{A}(I)^c \cap \mathcal{B}(I) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(K)^c \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(J)^c \cap \mathcal{B}(J).
\]

Since \( \tau \) is finite, these inclusions are finite-dimensional by Lemma 1.17. They are all of the same dimension, and so the above inclusions are actually isomorphisms. Moreover, \( \mathcal{A}(I)^c \cap \mathcal{B}(I) \) and \( \mathcal{A}(J)^c \cap \mathcal{B}(J) \) commute with \( \mathcal{B}(J) \) and \( \mathcal{B}(I) \), respectively. It follows that \( \mathcal{A}(I)^c \cap \mathcal{B}(I) = \mathcal{A}(J)^c \cap \mathcal{B}(J) \) is central in \( \mathcal{B}(I) \vee \mathcal{B}(J) = \mathcal{B}(K) \). This finishes the argument since, by assumption, \( Z(\mathcal{B}(K)) = \mathbb{C} \).

Proposition 1.47. If \( \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \) is finite, then it is a conformal embedding.

Proof. Let \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}(I) \) be a diffeomorphism. By the inner covariance axiom, there exists a unitary \( v \in \mathcal{B}(I) \) such that \( \text{Ad}(v) = \mathcal{B}(\varphi) \). Similarly, there exists \( u \in \mathcal{A}(I) \) such that \( \text{Ad}(u) = \mathcal{A}(\varphi) = \mathcal{B}(\varphi) |_{\mathcal{A}(I)} \), where the restriction occurs along the morphism \( \tau \). It follows that \( \text{Ad}(\tau(u)v^*) |_{\mathcal{A}(I)} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}(I)} \), and hence that \( \tau(u)v^* \in \mathcal{A}(I)^c \cap \mathcal{B}(I) \). By Lemma 1.46, \( \tau(u) \) is therefore a scalar multiple of \( v \). It follows that \( \text{Ad}(\tau(u)) = \text{Ad}(v) = \mathcal{B}(\varphi) \).

2. Covariance for the vacuum sector

In this section, we study the natural projective actions of \( \text{Diff}(S^1) \) and its various subgroups on the vacuum sector of a conformal net. The main result of this section is that the vacuum sector construction can be upgraded to a functor from the category of conformal circles to the category of Hilbert spaces.

From now on, all conformal nets are irreducible, unless stated otherwise.
2. A. **Implementation of diffeomorphisms.** Given a Hilbert space $H$, we let $U_\pm(H) = U(H) \cup U_-(H)$ be the group of unitary and anti-unitary operators on $H$, equipped with the strong operator topology. This is a topological group. Note that, on $U_\pm(H)$, the strong, weak, and ultraweak topologies all agree.

Let $S$ be a circle, let $I_0 \subset S$ be an interval, and let $j: S \to S$ be an orientation-reversing involution that fixes $\partial I_0$. For a conformal net $\mathcal{A}$, let $H_0 := L^2(\mathcal{A}(I_0)) \in \text{Sect}_S(\mathcal{A})$ be the vacuum sector associated to $S$, $I_0$ and $j$, as in Section 1.4.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(S)$ be a diffeomorphism, and let $u \in U_+(H_0)$ be an operator that is complex linear if $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S)$, and complex antilinear otherwise. We say that $u$ implements $\varphi$ if

$$u: H_0 \to \varphi^* H_0$$

is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}$-sectors.

Unpacking the definition, a unitary $u \in U(H_0)$ implements a diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S)$ if

$$\mathcal{A}(\varphi)(a) = \text{Ad}(u)(a) = u a u^*$$

for all $I \subset S$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(I)$, and that an anti-unitary $u \in U_-(H_0)$ implements an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_-(S)$ if

$$\mathcal{A}(\varphi)(a) = \text{Ad}(u)(a^*) = u a^* u^*.$$

Here, the adjoint $u^*$ of an antilinear operator $u$ is defined by $(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \overline{\langle \xi, u^* \eta \rangle}$.

Throughout this section, we will adopt the notation $I_0'$ for the closure of $S \setminus I_0$.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $u$ be an (anti-)unitary operator on the Hilbert space $H_0$. In order to check that $u$ implements a diffeomorphism $\varphi$, it is enough to check (2.2) or (2.3) for all $a \in \mathcal{A}(I_0)$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(I_0')$.

**Proof.** Let $\varphi$ be a diffeomorphism, and let $u$ be an (anti-)unitary on $H_0$ that satisfies (2.2) or (2.3) for all $a \in \mathcal{A}(I_0)$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(I_0')$. Let $I \subset S$ be an interval. Consider the subalgebra of all elements $a \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ that satisfy (2.2) or (2.3). That subalgebra is closed in the ultraweak topology and contains $\mathcal{A}(I \cap I_0)$ and $\mathcal{A}(I \cap I_0')$ by assumption.

By strong additivity, it is therefore equal to $\mathcal{A}(I)$. \hfill $\square$

Recall that given a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the modular conjugation $J : L^2(\mathcal{A}) \to L^2(\mathcal{A})$ is an antilinear involution that satisfies $J(a \xi b) = b^* J(\xi) a^*$.

**Lemma 2.5.** The modular conjugation $J$ for $L^2(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$ (see (1.3)) implements $j$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.4, it is enough to verify (2.3) for all $a \in \mathcal{A}(I_0)$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}(I_0')$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}(I_0)$ and $\xi \in H_0$, we have $\mathcal{A}(j)(a)\xi = \xi a = J(a^* J(\xi))$, and for $b \in \mathcal{A}(I_0')$, we have $\mathcal{A}(j)(b)\xi = J(j(\xi) a(j)(b^*)) = J(b^* J(\xi))$. These equation are equivalent to (2.3) because $J$ is self-adjoint. \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** For any diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(S)$, the $S$-sectors $H_0$ and $\varphi^* H_0$ are unitarily isomorphic.

**Proof.** For $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S)$, this is Corollary 1.11. For $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_-(S)$, write $\varphi = j \circ \psi$ for some $\psi \in \text{Diff}_+(S)$. By the previous lemma, we have $j^* H_0 \cong H_0$. Therefore $\varphi^* H_0 = \psi^* j^* H_0 \cong \psi^* H_0 \cong H_0$. \hfill $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** Let $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S, \partial I_0)$ be a diffeomorphism that commutes with $j$, and let $\varphi_0 := \varphi|_{I_0}$. Then $L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi_0))$ implements $\varphi$.

---

2This might be surprising since, on $B(H)$, the map $a \mapsto a^*$ is not continuous for the strong topology.
Proof. By Lemma 2.4, it is enough to verify (2.2) for \( a \in A(I_0) \) and for \( b \in A(I'_0) \).

Given a von Neumann algebra \( A \), and an automorphism \( f : A \to A \), we always have \( L^2(f)(a\xi) = f(a)L^2(f)(\xi) \). Substituting \( A = A(I_0) \), \( f = A(\varphi_0) \), and \( \xi = L^2(\varphi_0)) \) for some \( \eta \in H_0 \), we get

\[
L^2(\varphi_0)) a L^2(\varphi_0)) \eta = \big( A(\varphi_0) a \big) \eta,
\]

which shows that (2.2) holds for \( a \in A(I_0) \).

Given an automorphism \( f \) of a von Neumann algebra \( A \), we also have \( L^2(f)(\xi a) = (L^2(f)(\xi)) f(a) \). Substituting \( A = A(I_0) \), \( f = A(\varphi_0) \), \( \xi = L^2(\varphi_0)) \) for some \( \eta \in H_0 \), we get

\[
L^2(\varphi_0)) (L^2(\varphi_0)) \eta) A(j)b = (A(\varphi_0)) A(j) b = (A(\varphi_0)) A(j) b.
\]

The left hand side is given by

\[
L^2(\varphi_0)) (L^2(\varphi_0)) \eta) A(j)b = L^2(\varphi_0)) b L^2(\varphi_0)) \eta
\]

and the right-hand side is

\[
\eta (A(\varphi_0) A(j)) = (A(j) \eta) (A(\varphi_0) A(j)) = (A(\varphi_0) A(j)) \eta,
\]

which shows that (2.2) holds for \( b \in A(I'_0) \).

Recall that, by Remark A.3, an anti-isomorphism \( f : A \to B \) induces a linear isomorphism \( L^2(f) : L^2(A) \to L^2(B) \) that exchanges left and right actions, that is, such that

\[
L^2(f)(a_1 \xi a_2) = f(a_2)L^2(f) - (f) f(a_1).
\]

Lemma 2.9. Let \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}_-(S) \) be a diffeomorphism that commutes with \( j \) and exchanges the endpoints of \( I_0 \). Let \( \varphi_0 := \varphi|_{I_0} \). Then \( L^2(\varphi_0)) \circ J \) implements \( \varphi \).

Proof. Let \( \varphi'_0 := \varphi|_{I'_0} \). Given \( a \in A(I_0) \), then by (2.8), we have

\[
A(\varphi_0)(a) \xi = L^2(\varphi_0))(L^2(\varphi_0)) \xi) a
\]

\[
= L^2(\varphi_0)) a \xi, L^2(\varphi_0)) \xi
\]

\[
= (L^2(\varphi_0)) J a \xi, L^2(\varphi_0)) J \xi.
\]

For \( b \in A(I'_0) \), we also have that

\[
A(\varphi'_0)(b) \xi = \xi (A(j) A(\varphi'_0)(b))
\]

\[
= \xi (A(\varphi_0) A(j)(b))
\]

\[
= L^2(\varphi_0))(A(j)(b) L^2(\varphi_0)) \xi)
\]

\[
= L^2(\varphi_0)) J (J L^2(\varphi_0)) \xi, A(j)(b) * J
\]

\[
= (L^2(\varphi_0)) J b \xi (L^2(\varphi_0)) J \xi.
\]

which finishes the proof by Lemma 2.4.

Given a Hilbert space \( H \), equip \( PU_\pm(H) = PU(H) \cup PU_-(H) := U_\pm(H)/S^1 \) with the quotient strong topology\(^3\). Recall that \( H_0 := L^2(A(I_0)) \) denotes the vacuum sector associated to \( S, I_0 \) and \( j \), and that \( A \) is assumed to be irreducible.

Proposition 2.10. Let \( A \) be a conformal net, and let \( H_0 \) be as above. Then there is a unique continuous representation \( \text{Diff}(S) \to PU_\pm(H_0) \), \( \varphi \mapsto [u_\varphi] \) such that

(i) \( u_\varphi \) is complex linear for \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S) \), and complex antilinear otherwise.

(ii) \( u_\varphi \) implements \( \varphi \).

Here, \( u \) denotes any preimage of \([u]\) in \( U_\pm(H_0) \).

\(^3\)With this topology, the projection \( U_\pm(H) \to PU_\pm(H) \) is a locally trivial bundle.
The vacuum \( H_0 \) is an irreducible sector. By Schur’s lemma, the implementation \( u_\varphi \) of a diffeomorphism \( \varphi \) is therefore unique up to phase. Moreover, an implementation always exists since, by Corollary 2.10, \( H_0 \cong \varphi^* H_0 \) for any diffeomorphism \( \varphi \).

It remains to show that the homomorphism \( \text{Diff}(S) \to \text{PU}_\pm(H_0) \) is continuous. For a subinterval \( K \subset I \) whose boundary is contained in the interior of \( I \), write \( \text{Diff}_{0,K}(I) \) for the diffeomorphisms of \( I \) that fix the complement of \( K \) pointwise. The restrictions \( \text{Diff}_{0,K}(I) \to \text{PU}_\pm(H_0) \) are continuous by Lemma 2.11 below. The result then follows as the \( C^\infty \) topology on \( \text{Diff}(S) \) is the finest one for which the inclusions \( \text{Diff}_{0,K}(I) \hookrightarrow \text{Diff}(S) \) are continuous.

Given an interval \( I \), by the inner covariance axiom, we have a group homomorphism \( \text{Diff}_0(I) \to \text{Im}(\mathcal{A}(I)) \cong \text{PU}(\mathcal{A}(I)) := U(\mathcal{A}(I))/S^1 \). By definition the net \( \mathcal{A} \) is continuous for the \( C^\infty \) topology on \( \text{Diff}_0(I) \) and the \( u \)-topology on \( \text{Im}(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) (note that we do not claim that the \( u \)-topology and the quotient strong topology coincide under the identification \( \text{Im}(\mathcal{A}(I)) = \text{PU}(\mathcal{A}(I)) \)).

**Lemma 2.11.** Let \( \text{Diff}_{0,K}(I) \) be as in the previous proof. Then the map \( \text{Diff}_{0,K}(I) \to \text{PU}(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) is continuous with respect to the \( C^\infty \) topology on \( \text{Diff}_{0,K}(I) \) and the quotient strong topology on \( \text{PU}(\mathcal{A}(I)) = U(\mathcal{A}(I))/S^1 \).

**Proof.** Pick an enlargement \( \hat{I} \) of \( I \), such that \( I \) is contained in the interior of \( \hat{I} \). By the split property axiom, the subfactor \( \mathcal{A}(I) \subset \mathcal{A}((\hat{I}) \) satisfies the assumption of Proposition A.10. The two vertical maps in the following diagram are therefore homeomorphisms onto their images:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Diff}_{0,K}(I) & \longrightarrow & \text{PU}(\mathcal{A}(I)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Diff}(\hat{I}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}(\hat{I})).
\end{array}
\]

The map \( \text{Diff}(\hat{I}) \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}(\hat{I})) \) is continuous by our definition of conformal nets, and therefore so is the map \( \text{Diff}_{0,K}(I) \to \text{PU}(\mathcal{A}(I)) \). \( \square \)

### 2.2. Conformal circles and their vacuum sectors.

**Conformal circles.** The group \( \text{Conf}(S^1) \) of conformal maps of the standard circle \( S^1 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \} \) consists of all maps of the form

\[
z \mapsto \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\beta z + \alpha}
\]

where \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = \pm 1 \). Those are the maps that extend to conformal transformations of unit disc in \( \mathbb{C} \). We let

\( \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \cong \text{PSU}(1,1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid \det(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}) = 1, \ (\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix})^{-1} = (\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & -\bar{b} \\ -\bar{c} & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}) \right\} / \{ \pm 1 \} \)

be the subgroup where \( |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1 \), and \( \text{Conf}_-(S^1) := \text{Conf}(S^1) \setminus \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \) its complement. Elements in the former are orientation-preserving maps, while elements in the latter are orientation-reversing. The subgroup \( \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \) can also be identified with \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) by conjugating it with the Cayley transform. Explicitly, the identification sends the matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} a & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PSU}(1,1) \) to \( \frac{1}{2}(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}) \begin{pmatrix} a & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} (\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}). \)

**Definition 2.12.** Let \( S \) be a circle. A conformal structure \( \tau \) on \( S \) is an orbit of the right \( \text{Conf}(S^1) \) action on the set \( \text{Diff}(S, S^1) \). Thus, a conformal structure on \( S \)
is an identification $S \rightarrow S^1$ that is only determined up to elements of $\text{Conf}(S^1)$. A conformal circle is a circle equipped with a conformal structure.

If $S$ and $S'$ are conformal circles, we write $\text{Conf}(S, S')$ for the set of all diffeomorphisms $S \rightarrow S'$ that are compatible with the conformal structures, and abbreviate $\text{Conf}(S, S)$ by $\text{Conf}(S)$. We also let $\text{Conf}_+(S, S') := \text{Conf}(S, S') \cap \text{Diff}_+(S, S')$, $\text{Conf}_+(S) := \text{Conf}_+(S, S)$, and similarly for $\text{Conf}_-$. The collection of all conformal circles forms a category. The objects of that category are conformal circles (always equipped with an orientation), and the morphisms from $S$ to $S'$ are given by $\text{Conf}(S, S') = \text{Conf}_+(S, S') \cup \text{Conf}_-(S, S')$.

The vacuum sector functor. The main goal of this section is to prove the following theorem. Recall that our conformal nets are assumed to be irreducible.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a conformal net. There is a functor

$$S \mapsto H_0(S, \mathcal{A})$$

from the category of conformal circles to the category of complex Hilbert spaces. For $\varphi$ a conformal map, the operator $H_0(\varphi, \mathcal{A})$ is unitary when $\varphi$ is orientation-preserving, and anti-unitary when $\varphi$ is orientation-reversing. Moreover, $H_0(S, \mathcal{A})$ is naturally equipped with the following structure:

(i) The Hilbert space $H_0(S, \mathcal{A})$ is an $S$-sector of $\mathcal{A}$, and it is a vacuum sector of $\mathcal{A}$ associated to $S$, in the sense of Definition 1.16.

(ii) The representation $\varphi \mapsto H_0(\varphi, \mathcal{A})$ of $\text{Conf}(S)$ on $H_0(S, \mathcal{A})$ extends to a continuous projective representation $\varphi \mapsto [u_\varphi]$ of $\text{Diff}(S)$ satisfying the two conditions listed in Proposition 2.17.

(iii) For any interval $I \subset S$, there is a unitary isomorphism of $S$-sectors

$$v_I : H_0(S, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{A}(I))$$

between $H_0(S, \mathcal{A})$ and the vacuum sector associated to $S$, $I$, and $j$, where $j \in \text{Conf}_-(S)$ is the involution that fixes $\partial I$ (see Lemma 2.21 below). Moreover, given two conformal circles $S$ and $S'$, an interval $I \subset S$, and a conformal map $\varphi \in \text{Conf}(S, S')$, the diagrams

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_0(S, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{v_I} & L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \\
H_0(\varphi, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi))} & L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(I))) \\
H_0(S', \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{v_{\varphi(I)}} & L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(I))) \\
H_0(S, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{v_{\varphi(I)}} & L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(I)))
\end{array}
\end{equation}

 commute.

(iv) If $j \in \text{Conf}_-(S)$ is the involution that fixes the boundary of $I \subset S$, then $v_I \circ H_0(j, \mathcal{A}) \circ v_I$ is the modular conjugation on $L^2(\mathcal{A}(I))$.

**Remark 2.16.** If the conformal net $\mathcal{A}$ is not irreducible, then most of Theorem 2.13 remains true. Indeed, given the direct integral decomposition $1.41$ of $\mathcal{A}$, we can define $H_0(\mathcal{A}, S)$ as $\int_{x \in \text{Spec}(Z(\mathcal{A}))} H_0(\mathcal{A}_x, S)$. The only piece of structure that is no longer present on $H_0(\mathcal{A}, S)$ is the projective action of $\text{Diff}(S)$. The issue is that the direct sum or direct integral of two projective representations is typically no longer a projective representation (except if the 2-cocycles are equal).
Before embarking on the proof of Theorem 2.13 we list a few of its consequences.

**Definition 2.17.** Let $S$ be a conformal circle and $A$ a conformal net. The Hilbert space $H_0(S, A)$ constructed in Theorem 2.13 is called the vacuum sector of $A$ on $S$.

The vacuum sector $H_0(S, A)$ is a unit for Connes fusion along any interval $I \subset S$. Indeed, given a right $A(I)$-module $H$, composing the isometry $v_I : H_0(S, A) \to L^2(A(I))$ with the unit map $H \boxtimes_{A(I)} L^2(A(I)) \cong H$, we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$H \boxtimes_{A(I)} H_0(S, A) \cong H.$$  

(2.18)

Recall that $\bar{I}$ denotes $I$ with the opposite orientation, and that there is a canonical isomorphism $i : L^2(A(I)) \cong L^2(A(\bar{I}))$ under which the left/right $A(I)$-actions on $L^2(A(I))$ corresponds to the right/left $A(\bar{I})$-actions on $L^2(A(\bar{I}))$. For every $I \subset S$, the vacuum sector $H_0(S, A)$ is a right $A(\bar{I})$-module via the isomorphism $A(I_0) : A(\bar{I}) \cong A(I)^{op}$. Composing $i$ and $v_I$, we obtain a right $A(\bar{I})$ linear isomorphism $H_0(S, A) \to L^2(A(\bar{I}))$. Let $K$ be any left $A(\bar{I})$-module. Using the left unit map $L^2(A(\bar{I})) \boxtimes_{A(\bar{I})} K \to K$, we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$H_0(S, A) \boxtimes_{A(I)} K \cong K.$$  

(2.19)

similar to (2.18).

Now let $S_1$ and $S_2$ be conformal circles, let $I_1 \subset S_1$ and $I_2 \subset S_2$ be intervals, and let $\varphi : I_2 \to I_1$ be an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism. Let us also assume that $\varphi$ is the restriction of some element in $\text{Conf}_-(S_2, S_1)$. Let $I'_2$ denote the closure of $S_1 \setminus I_1$. Finally, let $S_3 = I'_1 \cup_{\partial I_1} I'_2$ be the circle obtained by gluing $S_1$ and $S_2$ along $\varphi$, and then removing the interior of $I_1$.

The circle $S_3$ is given a conformal structure as follows. Letting $j_1 \in \text{Conf}_-(S_1)$ be the involution that fixes $\partial I_1$, the conformal structure on $S_3$ is the one making $j_1|_{I'_1} \cup \text{Id}_{I'_2} : S_3 \to S_3$ into a conformal map. Note that $\text{Id}_{I'_1} \cup j_2|_{I'_2} : S_3 \to S_3$ is then also a conformal map, where $j_2 \in \text{Conf}_-(S_2)$ is the involution that fixes $\partial I_2$.

$$S_1 : \includegraphics[width=0.3\textwidth]{s1}, \quad S_2 : \includegraphics[width=0.3\textwidth]{s2}, \quad S_3 : \includegraphics[width=0.3\textwidth]{s3}, \quad j_1 : \includegraphics[width=0.3\textwidth]{j1}.$$

The following is a refinement of Corollary 1.39 in the sense that (1.34) is now replaced by a canonical isomorphism:

**Corollary 2.20.** Let $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_3$ be as above. View $H_0(S_1, A)$ as a right $A(I_2)$-module via $A(\varphi^{-1})$. Then there is a canonical unitary isomorphism of $S_3$-sectors of $A$:

$$H_0(S_1, A) \boxtimes_{A(I_2)} H_0(S_2, A) \cong H_0(S_3, A).$$

Proof. The isomorphism is given by

$$H_0(S_1, A) \boxtimes_{A(I_2)} H_0(S_2, A) \xrightarrow{v_{I_1} \boxtimes v_{I_2}} L^2(A(I'_1)) \boxtimes_{A(I_2)} L^2(A(I_2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} L^2(A(I'_1)) \xrightarrow{v'_{I_1}} H_0(S_3, A).$$

Vacuum representations of the conformal group. We now discuss a number of results we will need for the proof of Theorem 2.13. Most importantly, we construct an action of the group $\text{Conf}(S^1)$ on the vacuum sector of a conformal net. We begin with two well known facts about conformal transformations:

**Lemma 2.21.** Let $S$ be a conformal circle and let $\zeta, \zeta' \in S$ be two distinct points.
(i) There is a unique \( j \in \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \) that fixes \( \zeta \) and \( \zeta' \) and is an involution.
(ii) The subgroup \( \text{Conf}_+(S^1, \{\zeta, \zeta'\}) \) of \( \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \) that fixes both \( \zeta \) and \( \zeta' \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{R} \). The elements of this subgroup commute with the unique involution \( j \in \text{Conf}_-(S) \) that fixes \( \zeta \) and \( \zeta' \).

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that \( S = S^1 \), \( \zeta = 1 \) and \( \zeta' = -1 \). The stabilizer of the pair \((1,-1)\) is given by
\[
\text{Conf} \left( S^1, \{1,-1\} \right) = \left\{ \frac{az + b}{bz + a} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a^2 - b^2 = \pm 1 \right\}.
\]

There is an isomorphism from \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \) to the above group that sends \((t,0)\) to \( \frac{\cosh(t) + \sinh(t)}{\sinh(t) + \cosh(t)} \) and \((t,1)\) to \( \frac{\cosh(t) + \sinh(t)}{\sinh(t) + \cosh(t)} = \frac{\cosh(t) - \sinh(t)}{\sinh(t) + \cosh(t)} \). The result follows since \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \) has a unique element of order two, and this element is central. \( \square \)

Lemma 2.22. Let \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \text{PU}(H) \) be a continuous representation for the quotient strong topology. Then it lifts uniquely to a continuous representation \( \widetilde{\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})} \to \text{U}(H) \) of the universal cover of \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \).

Proof. The composite \( \widetilde{\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})} \twoheadrightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \text{PU}(H) \) is a continuous projective representation of a semisimple simply-connected Lie group, and thus lifts to \( \text{U}(H) \) by the main theorem of [2]. Moreover, this lift is unique: any two lifts differ by a character, but the abelianization of \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) is trivial, and so it has no characters. \( \square \)

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a conformal net and \( S \) a conformal circle. We let \( j \in \text{Conf}_-(S) \) be an involution, \( I \subseteq S \) an interval whose boundary is fixed by \( j \), and we consider the Hilbert space \( H_0 := L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \). By Proposition 2.10 \( H_0 \) carries a projective \( \text{Diff}(S) \) action \( \varphi \mapsto [u_\varphi] \) implementing diffeomorphisms. On the subgroup \( \text{Conf}(S) \), this action lifts to an honest representation \( \varphi \mapsto u_\varphi \):

Proposition 2.23. Let \( S \) be a conformal circle, and let \( H_0 \) be as above. Then there exists a unique lift
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Conf}_+(S) & \xrightarrow{\varphi \mapsto u_\varphi} \text{U}(H_0) \\
\text{Diff}_+(S) & \xrightarrow{\exists!} \text{PU}(H_0)
\end{align*}
\]

of the projective action \( \text{Conf}_+(S) \to \text{PU}(H_0) \) constructed in Proposition 2.11 to an honest action \( \text{Conf}_+(S) \to \text{U}(H_0) \). There also exists a lift
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Conf}(S) & \xrightarrow{\exists} \text{U}_\pm(H_0) \\
\text{Diff}(S) & \xrightarrow{\exists} \text{PU}_\pm(H_0)
\end{align*}
\]

of the projective action \( \text{Conf}(S) \to \text{PU}_\pm(H_0) \) to an honest action \( \text{Conf}(S) \to \text{U}_\pm(H_0) \). The lift \( \exists \) is unique up to multiplication by the character \( \text{Conf}(S) \to \pi_0(\text{Conf}(S)) \cong \{\pm 1\} \).

Proof. The following proof is based on a trick from [17, Prop. 1.1]. Let \( \widetilde{\text{Conf}_+(S)} \) be the universal cover of \( \text{Conf}_+(S) \). By Lemma 2.22 the projective action of \( \text{Conf}_+(S) \) lifts uniquely to an action \( \widetilde{\text{Conf}_+(S)} \to \text{U}(H_0) \). Let us denote by \( u_\varphi \in \text{U}(H_0) \) the image of an element \( \varphi \in \widetilde{\text{Conf}_+(S)} \). By construction, \( u_\varphi \) implements the image
\(\overline{\varphi} \in \text{Conf}_+(S)\) of \(\varphi\). The conjugation action of \(j\) on \(\text{Conf}_+(S)\) lifts to an action, again denoted \(\varphi \mapsto j\varphi j\), on its universal cover. Note that

\[
\text{Conf}_+(S) \rightarrow U(H_0)
\]

\[
\varphi \mapsto J\overline{\varphi}j
\]

is a group homomorphism. By Lemma 2.5, \(J\overline{\varphi}j\) also implements \(\varphi\). The uniqueness in Lemma 2.22 then implies that \(u_\varphi = J\overline{\varphi}j\). Equivalently, we have \(u_{j\varphi j} = J\overline{\varphi}J\).

Let \(f \in \text{Conf}_-(S)\) be an involution that exchanges the two boundary points of \(I\), and let \(F := L^2(A(f|_I)) \circ J\). The operator \(F\) squares to one, commutes with \(J\), and implements \(f\) by Lemma 2.10. Let \(r \in \text{Conf}_+(S)\) be a lift of ‘rotation by \(\pi/2\)’, so that \(r^2\) generates the kernel of the projection map \(\text{Conf}_+(S) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_+(S)\), and its image \(\overline{r} \in \text{Conf}_+(S)\) satisfies \(r\overline{r}^{-1} = f\).

Finally, let \(R := u_r\) be the unitary implementing \(r\). By the above computation, the inverse of \(R\) is given by

\[
R^{-1} = u_{r^{-1}} = u_{jrj} = Ju_rJ = JRJ.
\]

This implies \(R = JR^{-1}J\). The involutions \(F\) and \(RJ\) both implement \(f\). It follows that \(RJR^{-1} = \lambda F\) for some \(\lambda \in \{\pm 1\}\). Now we compute

\[
R^2 - (RJR^{-1})^2 = (\lambda^2 F^2 - 1, 1)
\]

which shows that the action \((2.29)\) descends to \(\text{Conf}_+(S)\).

To extend it to \(\text{Conf}(S)\), it is enough to specify where \(j\) should go. The only anti-unitary involutions implementing \(j\) are \(J\) and \(\overline{J}\). Both assignments \(j \mapsto J\) and \(j \mapsto \overline{J}\) produce homomorphisms \(\text{Conf}(S) \rightarrow U(0, H_0)\).

**Convention 2.27.** As shown above, there are two possible actions of \(\text{Conf}(S)\) on \(H_0\). Henceforth, we will always consider the one that sends \(j\) to \(J\).

**Lemma 2.28.** Let \(\varphi \in \text{Conf}_+(S, \partial I)\) be a conformal map that commutes with \(j\), and let \(u_\varphi\) be the unitary constructed in 2.23 and 2.27. Then \(L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) = u_\varphi\).

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.21 [11] the group \(\text{Conf}_+(S, \partial I)\) is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\), and in particular is commutative. Consequently, given elements \(\varphi\) and \(\psi\) of that group, \(u_\varphi\) and \(u_\psi\) commute. If \(v\) is any other unitary implementing \(\psi\), then \(v = \theta u_\psi\) for some unit complex number \(\theta \in S^1\), and hence \(u_\varphi\) and \(v\) commute. In particular, \(u_\varphi\) commutes with \(L^2(A(\psi|_I))\). The map

\[
\text{Conf}_+(S, \partial I) \rightarrow S^1
\]

\[
\varphi \mapsto c_\varphi := u_\varphi^* \circ L^2(A(\varphi|_I))
\]

is therefore a homomorphism.

Let \(f \in \text{Conf}_-(S)\) and \(F = L^2(A(f|_I)) \circ J\) be as in the proof of Proposition 2.23. The involution implementing \(f\) being unique up to sign, we have \(F = \pm u_f\). From the equations

\[
Fu_\varphi F = u_f u_\varphi u_f = u_f \overline{\varphi}f = u_\varphi^{-1}
\]

and

\[
F L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) F = L^2(A(f|_I)) L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) L^2(A(f|_I)) = L^2(A((f \varphi f)|_I)) = L^2(A(\varphi^{-1}|_I))
\]

we have

\[
F L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) F = L^2(A(f|_I)) L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) L^2(A(f|_I)) = L^2(A((f \varphi f)|_I)) = L^2(A(\varphi^{-1}|_I))
\]

and

\[
F L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) F = L^2(A(f|_I)) L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) L^2(A(f|_I)) = L^2(A((f \varphi f)|_I)) = L^2(A(\varphi^{-1}|_I))
\]

and

\[
F L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) F = L^2(A(f|_I)) L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) L^2(A(f|_I)) = L^2(A((f \varphi f)|_I)) = L^2(A(\varphi^{-1}|_I))
\]
it follows that 
\[ c_\varphi = F c_\varphi F = (F u_\varphi^* F) \circ (F L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi|_I)) F) = u_\varphi^* \circ L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi^{-1}|_I)) = c_{\varphi^{-1}}. \]
The homomorphism (2.29) therefore takes its values in \{±1\}. Since \text{Conf}_+(S, \partial I)
 is connected, it must be trivial. \hfill \Box

Given a conformal circle \( S \) and an interval \( I \subset S \), we write \( L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) for the
vacuum sector associated to \( S, I \), and the involution \( j \in \text{Conf}_-(S) \) that fixes \( \partial I \).

**Lemma 2.30.** For \( \varphi \in \text{Conf}_+(S) \), and \( I \subset S \) an interval, consider the map
\[ (2.31) \quad T(\varphi, I) := u_\varphi^* \circ L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi|_I)) : L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \to L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(I))). \]
Then

(i) \( T(\varphi, I) \) is a morphism of \( S \)-sectors.

(ii) \( T(\varphi, I) \) depends only on \( I \) and on \( \varphi(I) \), but not on \( \varphi \): if \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \in \text{Conf}_+(S) \) are such that \( \varphi(I) = \psi(I) \), then \( T(\varphi, I) = T(\psi, I) \).

(iii) If \( \varphi, \psi \in \text{Conf}_+(S) \), then \( T(\psi \circ \varphi, I) = T(\psi, \varphi(I)) \circ T(\varphi, I) \).

**Proof.** (i) By naturality of the vacuum sector construction, the map \( L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi|_I)) \) is a morphism of sectors from \( L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) to \( \varphi^* L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(I))) \). The map \( u_\varphi : L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(I))) \to \varphi^* L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(I))) \) is also a morphism of sectors. The composite \( u_\varphi^* L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi|_I)) \) is therefore also a morphism of sectors.

(ii) Let \( K := \varphi(I) = \psi(I) \). By applying Lemma 2.28 to \( \varphi \circ \psi^{-1} \), we get
\[
T(\varphi, I) = u_\varphi^* L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi|_I)) \\
= u_\varphi^* u_{\varphi^{-1}}^* L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi^{-1}|_K)) L^2(\mathcal{A}(\psi|_I)) \\
= u_\varphi^* L^2(\mathcal{A}(\psi|_I)) = T(\psi, I).
\]

(iii) The operator \( T(\psi, \varphi(I)) \) is a isomorphism of \( S \)-sectors. Since the \( S \)-sector structure on a vacuum sector uniquely determines the action of \( \text{Conf}_+(S) \) on the sector, the operator \( T(\psi, \varphi(I)) : L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(I))) \to L^2(\mathcal{A}(\psi \circ \varphi(I))) \) intertwines the two actions of \( \text{Conf}_+(S) \). Therefore
\[
T(\psi, \varphi(I)) T(\varphi, I) = T(\psi, \varphi(I)) u_\varphi^* L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi|_I)) \\
= u_\varphi^* T(\psi, \varphi(I)) L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi|_I)) \\
= u_\varphi^* u_\psi^* L^2(\mathcal{A}(\psi \varphi(I))) L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi|_I)) \\
= u_* \phi L^2(\mathcal{A}(\psi \varphi(I))) = T(\psi \circ \varphi, I).
\]
\hfill \Box

**Construction of the vacuum sector.** After all the above preparation, we can finally construct the vacuum sector associated to a conformal circle:

**Proof of Theorem 2.13.** Given a conformal circle \( S \), let \( \mathcal{I} \) be the category whose objects are the intervals of \( S \), and in which every hom-set \( \text{Hom}(I, J) \) contains exactly one element. Recall that for an interval \( I \subset S \), we write \( L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) for the vacuum sector associated to \( S, I \), and the involution \( j \in \text{Conf}_-(S) \) that fixes \( \partial I \).

The assignment \( I \mapsto L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) extends to a functor from \( \mathcal{I} \) to the category of \( S \)-sectors of \( \mathcal{A} \) in the following way: given two intervals \( I, J \in \mathcal{I} \), pick a map \( \varphi \in \text{Conf}_+(S) \) that sends \( I \) to \( J \). The value of the functor on the unique morphism from \( I \) to \( J \) is then given by \( u_\varphi^* \circ L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi|_I)) : L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \to L^2(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(I))) \). By Lemma 2.30, this assignment is well defined, independent of the choice of \( \varphi \), and functorial. We can therefore define
\[
H_0(S, \mathcal{A}) := \lim_{I \in \mathcal{I}} L^2(\mathcal{A}(I)).
\]
We can therefore think of a vector in $H_0(S,A)$ as a collection of vectors $\{\xi_I \in L^2(A(I))\}_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ subject to the condition that

\begin{equation}
(2.32) \quad u^*_\varphi L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) (\xi_I) = \xi_{\varphi(I)}
\end{equation}

for every $I \subset S$, and every $\varphi \in \text{Conf}_+(S)$. Let

\begin{equation}
(2.33) \quad v_I : H_0(S,A) \to L^2(A(I))
\end{equation}

\{\xi_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mapsto \xi_I

be the maps that exhibit $H_0(S,A)$ as the limit of all the $L^2(A(I))$, and note that they are all isomorphisms as $\mathcal{I}$ is equivalent to the trivial category (with only one object and one identity arrow). We equip $H_0(S,A)$ with the Hilbert space structure that makes the maps $v_I$ unitary.

So far, we have only constructed $S \mapsto H_0(S,A)$ as a functor from conformal circles and orientation-preserving maps. Explicitly, for $\{\xi_I\}_{I \subset S_i} \in H_0(S_1,A)$ and $\varphi : S_1 \to S_2$ an orientation-preserving map, we have

\[ H_0(\varphi,A)(\{\xi_I\}) = \{ L^2(A(\varphi_{\varphi^{-1}}|_I)) (\xi_{\varphi^{-1}}) \} \in H_0(S_2,A) \]

for $K \subset S_2$. Equivalently, the map $H_0(\varphi,A)$ is characterized by the fact that

\[ H_0(\varphi,A) = v^*_\varphi \circ L^2(A(\varphi|_I)) \circ v_I \]

for every $I \subset S_1$.

If now $\varphi \in \text{Conf}_-(S_1,S_2)$ is an orientation-reversing map, define

\begin{equation}
(2.35) \quad H_0(\varphi,A) := v^*_{\varphi j} \circ L^2(A(\varphi j|_I)) \circ J \circ v_I,
\end{equation}

where $I \subset S_1$ is an interval, and $j \in \text{Conf}_-(S_1)$ is the involution that fixes $\partial I$.

To see that (2.35) is independent of the choice of interval $I$, given another interval $\bar{I} \subset S_1$, pick $\psi \in \text{Conf}_+(S_1)$ such that $\psi(I) = \bar{I}$, and let $\bar{j} = \psi j \psi^{-1}$ be the involution that fixes $\partial \bar{I}$. Letting $A_1 = A(I)$, $\bar{A}_1 = A(\bar{I})$, $A_2 = A(\varphi|_I)$, and $\bar{A}_2 = A(\varphi j|_I)$, we have to show that the following diagram is commutative

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
H_0(S_1,A) & \xrightarrow{v_I} & L^2(A_1) & \xrightarrow{L^2(\psi)} & L^2(\bar{A}_1) & \xrightarrow{L^2(\varphi j)} & L^2(\bar{A}_2) & \xrightarrow{v^*_{\varphi j,I}} & H_0(S_2,A) \\
\downarrow{u^*_\psi} & & \downarrow{L^2(\psi)} & & \downarrow{L^2(\varphi)} & & \downarrow{L^2(\varphi \varphi^{-1})} & & \downarrow{u^*_\varphi \varphi^{-1}} \\
H_0(S_1,A) & \xrightarrow{v_I} & L^2(\bar{A}_1) & \xrightarrow{L^2(\bar{j})} & L^2(\bar{A}_2) & \xrightarrow{u^*_{\varphi j}} & L^2(\bar{A}_2) & \xrightarrow{v^*_{\varphi j,I}} & H_0(S_2,A)
\end{array}
\end{equation}

where $L^2(\psi)$ stands for $L^2(A(\psi|_I))$, and we have used similar abbreviations for $L^2(A(\varphi j|_I))$, $L^2(A(\varphi j|_I))$, and $L^2(A(\varphi j \varphi^{-1}|_I))$. The commutativity of the left and right parts of the diagram are given by (2.32). The lower left square is commutative since $J = u^*_j$ on $L^2(\bar{A}_1)$. The commutativity of the remaining three squares is clear.

Given $\varphi \in \text{Conf}(S_1,S_2)$ and $\psi \in \text{Conf}(S_2,S_3)$, we still need to show that $H_0(\psi,A) \circ H_0(\varphi,A) = H_0(\psi \circ \varphi,A)$. The case when both $\psi$ and $\varphi$ are in $\text{Conf}_+$ and the case when $\psi \in \text{Conf}_+$ and $\varphi \in \text{Conf}_-$ are clear. The case $\psi \in \text{Conf}_-$ and
\[ \varphi \in \text{Conf}_+ \text{ is checked as follows:} \]

\[
H_0(\psi, A) \circ H_0(\varphi, A) = (v_{\varphi \psi}^L(I)^{v_{\varphi}(I)} \otimes (A(\varphi) v_{\varphi}(I))) J v_{\varphi}(I) (v_{\varphi}^L(I)^{v_{\varphi}(I)} \otimes (A(\varphi) v_{\varphi}(I))) v_I \\
= v_{\varphi \psi}^L(I)^{v_{\varphi}(I)} \otimes (A(\varphi) v_{\varphi}(I)) J v_I \\
= v_{\varphi \psi}^L(I)^{v_{\varphi}(I)} \otimes (A(\varphi) v_{\varphi}(I)) v_I \\
= H_0(\psi \circ \varphi, A).
\]

The last case, \( \varphi, \psi \in \text{Conf}_+ \), follows from the previous ones since \( H_0(\varphi, A) H_0(\psi, A) = H_0(\varphi \psi, A) \).

Finally, we summarize the structure on \( H_0(S, A) \):

(i) The vector space \( H_0(S, A) \) is an S-sector by construction.

(ii) By Proposition 2.10, \( H_0(S, A) \) comes equipped with a projective \( \text{Diff}(S) \) action \( \varphi \mapsto [u_\varphi] \), uniquely determined by the requirement that \( u_\varphi \) implements \( \varphi \). For \( \varphi \in \text{Conf}(S) \), the map \( H_0(\varphi, A) \) also implements \( \varphi \), and so \( [H_0(\varphi, A)] = [u_\varphi] \).

(iii) The maps \( v_I : H_0(S, A) \to \mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) are defined in (2.33); diagram (2.11) is equation (2.31), and diagram (2.13) is equation (2.35).

(iv) Letting \( \varphi = j \) in (2.33), we get \( H_0(j, A) = v_I^* J H_0(j, A) v_I \), which is equivalent to \( J = v_I H_0(j, A) v_I^* \).

\[ \square \]

3. Finite conformal nets and their sectors

3A. The index of a conformal net. We have defined a conformal net as functor \( \mathcal{A} : \text{INT} \to \text{VN} \) from the category of intervals to that of von Neumann algebras. In the second paper of this series [3], we will see that a conformal net can also be used to assign von Neumann algebras to arbitrary compact 1-manifolds, i.e., disjoint unions of intervals and circles. For now we focus on disjoint unions of intervals, extending \( \mathcal{A} \) by setting \( \mathcal{A}(I \cup \ldots \cup I_n) := \mathcal{A}(I_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{A}(I_n) \).

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( S \) be a circle, split into four intervals \( I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4 \) such that each \( I_i \) intersects \( I_{i+1} \) (cyclic numbering) in a single point:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{\( I_4 \)} \\
\text{\( I_3 \)} \\
\text{\( I_2 \)} \\
\text{\( I_1 \)}
\end{array}
\]

(3.2)

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a conformal net (always assumed irreducible). The algebras \( \mathcal{A}(I_1 \cup I_3) = \mathcal{A}(I_1) \otimes \mathcal{A}(I_3) \) and \( \mathcal{A}(I_2 \cup I_4) = \mathcal{A}(I_2) \otimes \mathcal{A}(I_4) \) act on \( H_0(S, A) \) and commute with each other. The **index** \( \mu(\mathcal{A}) \) of the conformal net \( \mathcal{A} \) is the minimal index of the inclusion \( \mathcal{A}(I_1 \cup I_3) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(I_2 \cup I_4) \):

\[
\mu(\mathcal{A}) := [\mathcal{A}(I_2 \cup I_4) : \mathcal{A}(I_1 \cup I_3)],
\]

where the commutant is computed in \( \mathcal{B}(H_0(S, A)) \). (See Definition [A.14] and the paper [4] for recollections about the notion of the minimal index of a subfactor.)

Note that the index is an invariant of the net (that is, it does not depend on the choice of circle and intervals), and that it satisfies \( \mu(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) = \mu(\mathcal{A}) \cdot \mu(\mathcal{B}) \).
Remark 3.3. In [22], nets of finite index were called completely rational. In our context however, that terminology would be quite misleading. Consider any unitary conformal field theory (not necessarily rational, e.g., the free boson compactified on a circle of irrational squared radius) viewed as a net $O \mapsto \mathcal{A}(O)$ on two-dimensional Minkowski space. We believe that the restriction of such a net to the zero time slice provides an example of a conformal net with index 1.

Recall that a bimodule $A_B$ is dualizable (Definition 3.10) if and only if the inclusion $A \subset B'$ has finite index [4]. A conformal net $A$ therefore has finite index if and only if the bimodule $A_{(I_1 \cup I_3)}H_0(S)A_{(I_2 \cup I_4)}$ has a dual. It is useful to identify this dual:

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $S$ be a circle split into intervals $I_1$, $I_2$, $I_3$, $I_4$ as above, and let $S$, $I_1$, $I_2$, $I_3$, $I_4$ be the same manifolds with the reverse orientation. Let $A$ be a conformal net with finite index. The dual of the bimodule $A_{(I_1 \cup I_3)}H_0(S)A_{(I_2 \cup I_4)}$ is

$$A_{(I_2 \cup I_3)}H_0(S)A_{(I_1 \cup I_3)}$$

using the canonical identifications $A(I_1 \cup I_3)^{op} \cong A(I_1 \cup I_3)$ and $A(I_2 \cup I_4)^{op} \cong A(I_2 \cup I_4)$.

**Proof.** Let $j \in \text{Diff}_-(S)$ be a diffeomorphism that exchanges $I_1$ with $I_4$, and $I_2$ with $I_3$, and let us abbreviate $A(j)$ by $j_\ast$. The $S$-sector $H_0(S, A)$ can be taken to be $L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2))$, with actions

$$a \xi = a \xi \quad \text{for } a \in A(I_1 \cup I_2),$$

$$b \xi = \xi j_\ast(b) \quad \text{for } b \in A(I_1 \cup I_3)$$

for $\xi \in L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2))$. The actions of the bimodule $A_{(I_1 \cup I_3)}H_0(S)A_{(I_2 \cup I_4)}$ are then given by

$$(a_1 \otimes a_3) \cdot \xi \cdot (a_2 \otimes a_4) = (a_1 a_2) j_\ast(b_3 a_4)$$

for $a_1 \in A(I_1)$, $a_2 \in A(I_2)^{op}$, $a_3 \in A(I_3)$, and $a_4 \in A(I_4)^{op}$. The dual bimodule is the complex conjugate $L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2))$, with actions

$$(a_2 \otimes a_3) \cdot \bar{\xi} \cdot (a_1 \otimes a_3) = \overline{(a_1 \otimes a_3)^* \cdot \xi \cdot (a_2 \otimes a_4)}$$

$$= \overline{(a_1 a_2) j_\ast(b_3 a_4)}$$

for $a_1 \in A(I_1)$, $a_2 \in A(I_2)^{op}$, $a_3 \in A(I_3)$, and $a_4 \in A(I_4)^{op}$. Here $\bar{\xi}$ denotes the vector $\xi \in L^2$ viewed as an element of $L^2$.

Note that $H_0(S, A) = L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2)) = L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2)^{op})$ has actions given by

$$a \eta = a \eta \quad \text{for } a \in A(I_1 \cup I_2)^{op}$$

$$b \eta = \eta j_\ast(b) \quad \text{for } b \in A(I_3 \cup I_4)^{op}$$

for $\eta \in L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2)^{op})$. Using the canonical identification between $L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2)^{op})$ and $L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2))$ that exchanges the left $A(I_1 \cup I_2)^{op}$-module structure with the right $A(I_1 \cup I_2)$-module structure and the right $A(I_1 \cup I_2)^{op}$-module structure with the left $A(I_1 \cup I_2)$-module structure, this becomes

$$a \xi = \xi a \quad \text{for } a \in A(I_1 \cup I_2)^{op}$$

$$b \xi = j_\ast(b) \xi \quad \text{for } b \in A(I_3 \cup I_4)^{op}$$

for $\xi \in L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2))$. The bimodule $A_{(I_2 \cup I_3)}H_0(S)A_{(I_1 \cup I_3)}$ is therefore given by

$$(a_2 \otimes a_4) \cdot \bar{\xi} \cdot (a_1 \otimes a_3) = j_\ast(b_3 a_4) \xi (a_1 a_2),$$

$a_1 \in A(I_1)$, $a_2 \in A(I_2)^{op}$, $a_3 \in A(I_3)$, $a_4 \in A(I_4)^{op}$. The isomorphism that intertwines (3.3) and (3.4) is given by the modular conjugation $J : L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2)) \to L^2(A(I_1 \cup I_2))$. □
Instead of splitting the circle in four as in (3.2), one can also split it into $2n$ intervals, for arbitrary $n \geq 2$.

**Lemma 3.7** (22). Let $S$ be a circle, split into $2n$ intervals $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_{2n}$ such that each $I_i$ intersects $I_{i+1}$ (cyclic numbering) in a single point:

![Diagram of circle with intervals](image)

Let $A$ be a conformal net with finite index, and let $\nu$ be the square root of the index of $A$. Then the bimodule

$$A(I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_{2n-1}) H_0(S) \subset (A(I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_{2n})^{op}$$

is dualizable, and its statistical dimension is given by $\nu^{n-1}$.

**Proof.** Glue intervals $J_1, \ldots, J_n$ to the circle $S$ as in the following picture:

![Diagram of circle with intervals](image)

and let $S_1 := I_1 \cup J_1$, $S_i := I_i \cup J_i \cup I_{2n+2-i} \cup \bar{J}_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$, $S_{n+1} := I_{n+1} \cup \bar{J}_n$:

![Diagram of circle with intervals](image)

The bimodule (3.8) can then be factored as

$$\left( H_0(S_1) \otimes H_0(S_3) \otimes \cdots \right) \otimes \left( H_0(S_2) \otimes H_0(S_4) \otimes \cdots \right).$$

Its statistical dimension is therefore (see [4 Prop 5.2]) the product of

$$\dim \left( A(I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_{2n-1}) \left( H_0(S_1) \otimes H_0(S_3) \otimes \cdots \right) \right) \cdot \dim \left( A(I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_{2n}) \left( H_0(S_2) \otimes H_0(S_4) \otimes \cdots \right) \right)$$

$$= 1 \cdot \nu \cdot \nu \cdot \cdots = \nu^{\frac{2n-2}{2}}$$

and

$$\dim \left( A(I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_{2n-1}) \left( H_0(S_2) \otimes H_0(S_4) \otimes \cdots \right) \right)$$

$$= \nu \cdot \nu \cdot \cdots = \nu^{\frac{n-1}{2}},$$

namely $\nu^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot \nu^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \nu^{n-1}$. \qed
3.3. Finiteness properties of the category of sectors. The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 3.14 which says that the category of sectors of a conformal net with finite index is a fusion category (Definition 3.32).

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be an (irreducible) conformal net with finite index. Hitherto, we have been talking about the vacuum sector \( H_0(S) = H_0(S, \mathcal{A}) \) as being associated to a circle \( S \). However, it is sometimes convenient to think of it as being associated to a disk \( D \) with \( \partial D = S \). More generally, given an oriented topological surface \( \Sigma \) whose boundary \( \partial \Sigma \) is equipped with a smooth structure, we will associate to it a Hilbert space \( V(\Sigma) \), well defined up to canonical-up-to-phase isomorphism. The construction of \( V(\Sigma) \) is rather involved, and we will only sketch it in Section 3.4. A thorough discussion, will appear in the second paper of this series [3].

In this subsection, we will describe the case when \( \Sigma \) is an annulus.

The sector of an annulus. Let \( S_0 \) be a circle, decomposed into four intervals \( I_1, \ldots, I_4 \), as in (3.2), and let \( S_r \) be another circle, similarly decomposed into four intervals \( I_5, \ldots, I_8 \). Let \( \varphi : I_5 \to I_1 \) and \( \psi : I_7 \to I_3 \) be orientation-reversing diffeomorphisms. These diffeomorphisms equip \( H_0(S_0) \) with the structure of a right \( \mathcal{A}(I_5) \otimes \mathcal{A}(I_7) \)-module. We are interested in the Hilbert space

\[
H_{\text{ann}} := H_0(S_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(I_5) \otimes \mathcal{A}(I_7)} H_0(S_r)
\]

This is the space \( V(\Sigma) \) associated to the annulus \( \Sigma = D_l \cup I_5 \cup I_7 \cup D_r \), where \( D_l \) and \( D_r \) are disks bounding \( S_l \) and \( S_r \).

\[
(3.9)
\]

Let \( S_b := I_2 \cup I_6 \) and \( S_m := I_4 \cup I_2 \) be the two boundary circles of this annulus.

We equip \( S_b \) and \( S_m \) with smooth structures that are compatible with those on \( S_l \) and \( S_r \) in the sense that, locally around the trivalent points, there exist actions of \( \mathcal{S}_{\beta} \) as in (1.29) whose restriction to each subinterval is smooth. Equivalently, the smooth structures around a trivalent point should be modeled on (1.29).

The Hilbert space \( H_{\text{ann}} \) is equipped with actions of the algebras \( \mathcal{A}(J) \) associated to the following subintervals of \( S_m \) and \( S_b \):

- For each \( J \subset I_2 \) or \( I_4 \), the algebra \( \mathcal{A}(J) \) acts on \( H_0(S_l) \), and thus on \( H_{\text{ann}} \).
- For each \( J \subset I_6 \) or \( I_8 \), the algebra \( \mathcal{A}(J) \) acts on \( H_0(S_r) \), and thus on \( H_{\text{ann}} \).
- A special case of (1.24) says that whenever \( D \) is a factor, we have

\[
(A \otimes D) \otimes_{\mathcal{C} \otimes D} (B \otimes D) \cong A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B.
\]

If \( J \subset S_m \) or \( S_b \) intersects \( \partial I_5 \cup \partial I_7 \) in one point, and that point is in the interior of \( J \) then by Proposition 1.27 and the above isomorphism, we have

\[
\mathcal{A}(J) \cong (J \cap S_l) \cup I_1 \cup I_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(I_5) \otimes \mathcal{A}(I_7)} \mathcal{A}(J \cap S_r) \cup I_5 \cup I_7,
\]

where the map \( (\mathcal{A}(I_5) \otimes \mathcal{A}(I_7))^{op} \to (\mathcal{A}(J \cap S_l) \cup I_1 \cup I_3) \) is induced by \( \varphi \cup \psi \).

That algebra then acts on \( H_{\text{ann}} \) by Lemma 1.22.
By Lemma 1.9 it follows that $H_{ann}$ is both an $S_m$-sector and an $S_b$-sector, and that those two structures commute. We abbreviate this by saying that $H_{ann}$ is an $S_m$-$S_b$-sector.

**Lemma 3.10.** Let $A$ be a conformal net with finite index, and let $S_1, S_r, S_b, S_m$, and $I_1, \ldots, I_8$ be as above. Then the $S_m$-$S_b$-sector $H_0(S_m) \otimes H_0(S_b)$ is a direct summand of $H_{ann}$, with multiplicity one.

**Proof.** Let $A := A(I_2 \cup I_4)$, $B := A(I_1 \cup I_3)^{op} \cong A(I_5 \cup I_7)$, $C := A(I_6 \cup I_8)^{op}$, and

$$H_1 := H_0(S_1), \quad H_r := H_0(S_r), \quad H_b := H_0(S_b), \quad H_m := H_0(S_m).$$

Since $A$ is irreducible, and since the intervals $I_2, I_4, I_6, I_8$ cover $S_m \cup S_b$, the Hilbert space $H_m \otimes H_b$ is an irreducible $A$-$C$-bimodule. In order to show that $H_m \otimes H_b$ is a direct summand of $H_{ann} := H_1 \boxtimes_B H_r$, with multiplicity one, it is therefore enough to show that

$$(3.11) \quad \text{Hom}_{A,C}(H_m \otimes H_b, H_1 \boxtimes_B H_r)$$

is 1-dimensional.

Since $A$ has finite index, the bimodule $A(H_1)_B$ is dualizable, and its dual is $H_0(S_1)$ by Lemma 3.4. Letting $\tilde{H}_1 := H_0(S_1)$, we may rewrite (3.11) as

$$\text{Hom}_{B,C}(\tilde{H}_1 \boxtimes_A (H_m \otimes H_b), H_r).$$

By Corollary 1.33, $\tilde{H}_1 \boxtimes_A (H_m \otimes H_b) \cong H_m \boxtimes_{A(I_1)} \tilde{H}_1 \boxtimes_{A(I_2)} H_b$ is isomorphic to $H_0(S_1)$. The above expression then reduces to $\text{Hom}_{B,C}(H_r, H_r)$, which is indeed 1-dimensional. 

**Sectors of nets of finite index.** Using $H_{ann}$ as a tool, we now show that given a finite index conformal net $A$, there are finitely many isomorphism classes of irreducible $A$-sectors, and that every irreducible sector is finite, in the following sense.

**Definition 3.12.** Let $S$ be a circle, $I \subset S$ an interval, and $I'$ the closure of its complement. An $A$-sector on $S$ is called finite if it is dualizable as an $A(I)$-$A(I')^{op}$-bimodule.

Note that the choice of interval $I$ in the above definition is irrelevant:

**Lemma 3.13.** For $I_1$ and $I_2$ subintervals of a circle $S$, an $S$-sector is dualizable as $A(I_1)$-$A(I_2)^{op}$-bimodule if and only if it is dualizable as $A(I_2)$-$A(I_1)^{op}$-bimodule.

**Proof.** Pick a diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$ that sends $I_1$ to $I_2$. A sector $H$ is dualizable as $A(I_2)$-$A(I_2)^{op}$-bimodule if and only if $\varphi^* H$ is dualizable as $A(I_1)$-$A(I_1)^{op}$-bimodule. The sector $\varphi^* H$ is isomorphic to $H$ by Corollary 1.14.

Recall from Section 1.8 that for a sector $K \in \text{Sect}(A)$ on the standard circle $\mathbb{S}^1$, given another circle $S$, we denote by $K(S) \in \text{Sect}_S(A)$ the corresponding sector on $S$. It is given by $K(S) := \varphi^* K$ for any $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S, \mathbb{S}^1)$, and is only well defined up to non-canonical isomorphism.

Let us also recall that our conformal nets are irreducible, and that all the von Neumann algebras are assumed to have separable preduals.

**Theorem 3.14** (Lemma 13 and Corollaries 14 and 39 of [22]). Let $A$ be a conformal net with finite index. Then all $A$-sectors are (possibly infinite) direct sums of irreducible sectors, and all irreducible $A$-sectors are finite. Moreover, there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of irreducible sectors.
Proof. Let $S_1, S_r, S_b, S_m$ and $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_8$ be as before:

and again let $H_I := H_0(S_I), H_r := H_0(S_r), H_b := H_0(S_b), H_m := H_0(S_m)$, and $H_{\text{ann}} := H_0(S_I) \otimes_{A(I_3) \otimes A(I_7)} H_0(S_r)$. We will also use the abbreviations

$A := A(I_2 \cup I_4)$, $B := A(I_1 \cup I_3)^{op} \cong A(I_5 \cup I_7)$, $C := A(I_6 \cup I_8)^{op}$,

$A_I := A(I_2)$, $A_m := A(I_4)^{op}$, $C_m := A(I_6)^{op}$, $C_r := A(I_8)$.

Since $A(H_I)_B$ and $B(H_r)_C$ are dualizable bimodules, $H_{\text{ann}} = H_I \boxtimes_B H_r$ is dualizable as an $A$-$C$-bimodule, and therefore splits into finitely many irreducible summands (see Lemma 13).

Forget the actions of $\{A(I)\}_{I \in S_m}$ on $H_{\text{ann}}$, and only view it as an $S_b$-sector. The von Neumann algebra generated by $\{A(I)\}_{I \in S_b}$ on $H_{\text{ann}}$ has a finite-dimensional center. (Otherwise, it would contradict the fact that $A(H_{\text{ann}})_C$ splits into finitely many irreducible summands: every central projection in that algebra commutes with $A(I_2), A(I_4), A(I_6)$, and $A(I_8)$, and therefore induces a non-trivial direct sum decomposition of $H_{\text{ann}}$ as $A$-$C$-bimodule.) We can therefore write $H_{\text{ann}}$ as a direct sum of finitely many factorial $S_b$-sectors:

$$H_{\text{ann}} \cong K_1(S_b) \oplus \ldots \oplus K_n(S_b).$$

Here $K_1, \ldots, K_n$ are $A$-sectors, and a sector is called factorial if its endomorphism algebra is a factor.

Given an arbitrary factorial $A$-sector $K$, we now show that there exists a $K_i$ in the above list to which $K$ is stably isomorphic, i.e., such that $K \otimes \ell^2 \cong K_i \otimes \ell^2$.

Letting $S_2 := I_2 \cup_{\partial I_2} I_2$ and $S_4 := I_4 \cup_{\partial I_4} I_4$, we have the following isomorphisms of $S_i$-sectors:

$K(S_2) \boxtimes_{A_I} H_I \cong K(S_1) \cong H_I \boxtimes_{A_m} K(S_4)$

Fusing with $H_r$ over $B$, we thus get

$K(S_2) \boxtimes_{A_i} H_{\text{ann}} \cong H_{\text{ann}} \boxtimes_{A_m} K(S_4)$.

We also have $K(S_b) \cong K(S_2) \boxtimes_{A_I} H_b$. By Lemma 10, it follows that

$K(S_b) \otimes H_m \cong K(S_2) \boxtimes_{A_I} (H_b \otimes H_m)$

$\cong K(S_2) \boxtimes_{A_I} H_{\text{ann}} \cong H_{\text{ann}} \boxtimes_{A_m} K(S_4)$.

Since $A_m$ is a factor, it has only one stable isomorphism class of modules. In particular, $K(S_4)$ and $L^2 A_m$ are stably isomorphic as $A_m$-modules. We therefore get a (non-canonical) inclusion of $S_b$-sectors:

$K(S_b) \otimes \ell^2 \cong K(S_b) \otimes H_m \otimes \ell^2 \subset H_{\text{ann}} \boxtimes_{A_m} K(S_4) \otimes \ell^2$

$\cong H_{\text{ann}} \boxtimes_{A_m} L^2 A_m \otimes \ell^2 \cong H_{\text{ann}} \otimes \ell^2,$

where the first equality uses an arbitrary unitary isomorphism $\ell^2 \cong H_m \otimes \ell^2$ of Hilbert spaces. The sector $K(S_b)$ is factorial. It therefore maps to a single summand $K_i \otimes \ell^2$ of $H_{\text{ann}} \otimes \ell^2$. It follows that $K$ and $K_i$ are stably isomorphic. In particular, this shows that there are at most finitely many stable isomorphism classes of factorial $A$-sectors.

By [22, Appendix C], since the algebras $A(I)$ have separable preduals, any $A$-sector can be disintegrated into irreducible ones. As a consequence, if there exists a factorial sector of type $II$ or $III$, then, again by the arguments in [22, Appendix
C], there must be uncountably many non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-sectors. This is impossible, and so all factorial sectors must be of type $I$.

Let us now go back to $H_{ann}$ and analyse it as an $S_0$-$S_m$-sector. Since every summand $K_i(S_0)$ in the decomposition (3.15) is a type $I$ factorial $S_0$-sector of $\mathcal{A}$, we can write it as $P_1 \otimes Q_i$, where $P_1$ is an irreducible $S_0$-sector, and $Q_i = \text{Hom}(P_1, H_{ann})$ is some multiplicity space. The multiplicity spaces $Q_i$ then carry residual $S_m$-sector structures, inherited from that of $H_{ann}$. The decomposition (3.15) then becomes

$$A_i \otimes A_m(H_{ann})_{C_r} \otimes C_m \cong \bigoplus_i A_i(P_i)_{C_r} \otimes A_m(Q_i)_{C_m}.$$ 

Since $H_{ann}$ is a dualizable $A$-$C$-bimodule, the bimodules $A_i(P_i)_{C_r}$ are also dualizable. To finish the argument, recall that any irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-sector is isomorphic to one of the $P_i$, and so any irreducible sector is finite. □

**Duals of finite sectors.** Given a conformal net $\mathcal{A}$ with finite index, let $\Delta = \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the finite set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-sectors. For every $\lambda \in \Delta$, let $H_\lambda$ be a representative of the isomorphism class. The set $\Delta$ has an involution $\lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}$ given by sending a Hilbert space $H_\lambda$ to its pullback $H_\lambda \cong \bar{j^*}H_\lambda$ along some element $j \in \text{Diff}_-(S^1)$, as defined in (1.12) (note that $H_\lambda$ is only well defined up to non-canonical isomorphism).

**Lemma 3.16.** Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} : \tilde{S} \to S$ denote the identity map. Then, there is an isomorphism of $S$-sectors $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^*H_\lambda(S) \cong H_\lambda(\tilde{S})$.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we take $S$ to be the standard circle $S^1$. Let $j : S^1 \to S^1$ be a reflection, and let us denote by $\bar{j}$ the same map, viewed as an orientation-preserving map from $S^1$ to $S^1$. We have $H_\lambda(S^1) \cong j^*H_\lambda$ and $H_\lambda \cong \bar{j}^*H_\lambda$. It follows that $H_\lambda(S^1) \cong j^*j^*H_\lambda \cong \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^*H_\lambda$. □

The following two lemmas describe the duals of sectors with respect to Connes fusion of bimodules and the monoidal product on sectors, respectively.

**Lemma 3.17.** Let $S$ be a circle, decomposed into two subintervals $I$ and $I'$. Then the dual of the bimodule $\mathcal{A}_I(H_\lambda(S))_{\mathcal{A}_{I'}}$ is $\mathcal{A}_{I'}H_\lambda(\tilde{S})_{\mathcal{A}_I}$.

**Proof.** Letting $i : \tilde{S} \to S$ be the identity map, we have $H_\lambda(\tilde{S}) \equiv i^*H_\lambda(S)$ by the previous lemma. Here, the sector $H_\lambda(\tilde{S})$ is the complex conjugate of $H_\lambda$, with action $\bar{a}\xi := \bar{a}\bar{\xi}$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{J})$, $J \subset \tilde{S}$. The bimodule $\mathcal{A}_I(H_\lambda(S))_{\mathcal{A}_{I'}}$ has actions given by

$$(3.18) \quad a\xi b := ab\xi \quad a \in \mathcal{A}(I), b \in \mathcal{A}(I'),$$

and the bimodule $\mathcal{A}_{I'}H_\lambda(\tilde{S})_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ has actions given by

$$(3.19) \quad b\bar{a}\xi := \bar{a}\bar{b}\xi \quad a \in \mathcal{A}(I), b \in \mathcal{A}(I').$$

Comparing (3.18) and (3.19), we see that $b\bar{a}\xi = a\xi b^*$, and so the two bimodules are dual to each other (see the discussion after (1.35) or Cor 6.12). □

**Lemma 3.20.** The sector $H_\lambda(S)$ is dual to $H_\lambda(S)$ with respect to the monoidal structure (1.35) on $\text{Sect}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$.

**Proof.** Let $j \in \text{Diff}_-(S^1)$ be an involution fixing $\partial I$, for $I \subset S^1$. The sector $H_\lambda \cong j^*H_\lambda$ is the complex conjugate $\overline{H_\lambda}$, with actions $a\xi := \overline{\mathcal{A}(j)(a^*)}\xi$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}(J)$, $J \subset S^1$. Following (1.35), we view $H_\lambda$ as an $(\mathcal{A}(I), \mathcal{A}(I'))$-bimodule, with actions

$$(3.21) \quad a\xi b := a\mathcal{A}(j)(b)\xi \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}(I).$$

The same procedure on $j^*H_\lambda$ yields the following left and right actions on $\overline{H_\lambda}$:

$$(3.22) \quad a\xi b := b^*\mathcal{A}(j)(a^*)\xi \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}(I).$$
Comparing (3.21) and (3.22), we see that \( a\xi b = b^*\xi a^* \), and so \( j^*H_\lambda \) is the dual of \( H_\lambda \) (again by [11 Cor 6.12]).

Computation of the annular sector. The following result, even though phrased in a rather different language, is essentially equivalent to [22 Theorem 9]. Recall that \( A \) is irreducible.

**Theorem 3.23.** Let \( A \) be a conformal net with finite index, and let \( S_m, S_b \), and \( H_{ann} \) be as in (3.9). We then have a non-canonical isomorphism of \( S_m \)-\( S_b \)-sectors

\[
H_{ann} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} H_\lambda(S_m) \otimes H_\lambda(S_b).
\]

We draw this isomorphism as

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\overset{\lambda}{\bigoplus} \otimes \\
\lambda \in \Delta
\end{array}
\]

Proof. Let \( H_I = H_0(S_I), \ H_r = H_0(S_r), \ H_I = H_0(S_I), \) and \( A, B, C, A_I, A_m, C_m, C_r \) be as in the proofs of Lemma 3.10 and Theorem 3.14. The Hilbert space \( H_{ann} = H_I \otimes_B H_r \) is a finite \( A-C \)-bimodule and therefore splits into finitely many irreducible summands. By the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.14 each irreducible summand is the tensor product of an irreducible \( S_m \)-sector and an irreducible \( S_b \)-sector, and so we can write \( H_{ann} \) as a direct sum

\[
H_{ann} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \Delta} N_{\lambda\mu} H_\lambda(S_m) \otimes H_\mu(S_b)
\]

with finite multiplicities \( N_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{N} \).

Given \( \lambda, \mu \in \Delta \), we now compute \( N_{\lambda\mu} \). By slight abuse of notation, we abbreviate \( H_\lambda := H_\lambda(S_m) \) and \( H_\mu := H_\mu(S_b) \). We also let \( K := (H_\lambda \otimes H_\mu)(S_r) \), where the operation of fusion of sectors is described in Definition 3.38. We then have \( \text{Hom}_{A,C}(H_\lambda \otimes H_\mu, H_{ann}) \cong \text{Hom}_{A,C}(H_\lambda \otimes H_\mu, H_I \otimes_B H_r) \)

\[
\cong \text{Hom}_{B,C}(H_I \otimes_A (H_\lambda \otimes H_\mu), H_r)
\]

\[
\cong \text{Hom}_{B,C}(H_\lambda \otimes_{A_0} H_I \otimes_A H_\mu, H_r)
\]

\[
\cong \text{Hom}_{B,C}(K, H_r) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } \mu = \lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

where the last equality is given by Lemma A.12. If follows that \( N_{\lambda\mu} = \delta_{\mu\lambda} \).

**Remark 3.25.** The isomorphism (3.24) is non-canonical. It does not even make sense to ask whether or not it is canonical since the right-hand side of the equation is only well defined up to non-canonical isomorphism.

Given \( \lambda \in \Delta \), consider the statistical dimension \( d_\lambda := \dim(H_\lambda(A(S_1^+)) A(S_1^-)) \) of \( H_\lambda \) as a bimodule for two complementary intervals.

**Corollary 3.26.** If a conformal net \( A \) has finite index, then the index satisfies

\[
\mu(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} d_\lambda^2.
\]

Proof. Let \( I_1, \ldots, I_8 \) be as in (3.9). By the multiplicativity of dimension under Connes fusion [11 Prop 5.2], the statistical dimension of \( H_{ann} \) as an \( A(I_2 \cup I_4) \)-\( A(I_6 \cup I_8) \)-bimodule is the square of the statistical dimension of \( A(I_8)H_0(A)A(I_6) \). In other words,

\[
\dim(A(I_2 \cup I_4))(H_{ann}) = \mu(A).
\]
The result follows from (3.24) and the fact (A.15) that $d_\lambda = d_\lambda'$. \qed

3.c. The Hilbert space associated to a surface. Given a closed 1-manifold $M$, we call a Hilbert space $H$ an $M$-sector of $A$ if it comes equipped with compatible actions $\rho_I : \mathcal{A}(I) \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ for all the intervals $I \subset M$. Here, compatible means that $\rho_J = \rho_I|_{\mathcal{A}(J)}$ whenever $J$ is contained in $I$, and that $\mathcal{A}(I)$ and $\mathcal{A}(J)$ commute whenever $I$ and $J$ have disjoint interiors. We denote by $\text{Sect}_M(A)$ the category of $M$-sectors of $A$.

**Lemma 3.27.** Let $M$ be a closed 1-manifold, and let $I_i \subset M$ be intervals whose interiors cover $M$. If a Hilbert space $H$ is equipped with compatible actions of the algebras $\mathcal{A}(I_i)$, then those actions extend in a unique way to the structure of an $M$-sector of $A$.

Moreover, if $I \subset M$ and $J \subset M$ are disjoint intervals, then the action of $\mathcal{A}(I) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A}(J)$ on $H$ extends to the spatial tensor product $\mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{A}(J)$.

**Proof.** The first statement is an immediate generalization of Lemma 1.9.

If the disjoint intervals $I$ and $J$ belong to the same connected component of $M$, then we may find an interval $K$ that contains both, in which case the map $\mathcal{A}(I) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A}(J) \to \mathcal{A}(K) \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ extends to $\mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{A}(J)$ by the split property. Assume now that $I$ and $J$ belong to two different connected components; call those components $S_1$ and $S_2$. Applying Theorem 3.14 to $H$ viewed as an $S_1$-sector, we may decompose it as

$$ (3.28) \quad H \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} H_\lambda(S_1) \otimes M_\lambda, $$

where $\Delta$ is the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $S_1$-sectors of $A$, the multiplicity spaces $M_\lambda = \text{hom}_{\text{Sect}_S(A)}(H_\lambda(S_1), H)$ are Hilbert spaces, and the tensor product is the completed tensor product of Hilbert spaces. The multiplicity spaces $M_\lambda$ have residual actions of $\mathcal{A}(I')$ for every interval $I' \subset M$ not contained in $S_1$. In particular, they are equipped with actions of $\mathcal{A}(J)$. It now follows from the form of the decomposition (3.28) that $H$ supports an action of $\mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{A}(J)$. \qed

In this section, we give a construction of a Hilbert space $V(\Sigma) \in \text{Sect}_{\partial \Sigma}(A)$ associated to a topological surface with smooth boundary—we insist that every connected component of the surface have non-empty boundary. The construction depends on the auxiliary choice of particular kind of cell decomposition of $\Sigma$. We will show later, in the second paper of this series [3], that it is actually independent of any choice, and that the construction also makes sense for surfaces without boundary (at least when the conformal net has finite index).

A cell decomposition of a topological surface is called regular if all the attaching maps are injective. We call a cell decomposition $\Sigma = \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{D}_n$ ordered if the set $\{\mathcal{D}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_n\}$ of 2-cells is ordered. Finally, a cell decomposition is collared if the 1-cells are equipped with smooth structures and with germs of (1-dimensional) local coordinates at their two end-points. The construction of $V(\Sigma)$ that we present here depends on the choice of a regular ordered collared cell decomposition of $\Sigma$.

The idea of the construction is to associate to each 2-cell $\mathcal{D}_i \subset \Sigma$ the vacuum sector $H_0(\partial \mathcal{D}_i)$, and to then glue them using Connes fusion. Let $\Sigma_i := \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{D}_i$, and let us assume that the $M_i := \mathcal{D}_i \cap \Sigma_{i-1}$ contain no isolated points. Give the 1-manifolds $M_i$ the orientations coming from $\partial \mathcal{D}_i$. Note that these manifolds have natural smooth structures due to the presence of collars (although they are typically not smoothly embedded in $\Sigma$, even if the latter is smooth): in any dimension, if two smooth manifolds have collars along their boundary, then glueing them along some boundary components will again produce a smooth manifold. We also make
the assumption that the manifolds $M_i$ are disjoint unions of intervals (in the sequel paper, that condition will be removed). Finally, we define inductively $V(\Sigma_i)$ by

\[(3.29) \quad V(\Sigma_i) := \begin{cases} H_0(\partial D_i) & \text{for } i = 1 \\ V(\Sigma_{i-1}) \otimes A(M_i) H_0(\partial D_i) & \text{for } 1 < i \leq n \end{cases} \]

where the algebras $A(M_i)$ are described in the beginning of Section 3.

For the above construction to work, we need to check that the algebras $A(M_i)$ act on $V(\Sigma_{i-1})$ and on $H_0(\partial D_i)$. The existence of an action of $A(M_i)$ on $H_0(\partial D_i)$ follows from the split property axiom. To see that $A(M_i)$ acts on $V(\Sigma_{i-1})$, it is enough to show that $V(\Sigma_{i-1})$ is a $\partial \Sigma_{i-1}$-sector of $A$. Assuming by induction that $V(\Sigma_{i-2})$ is a $\partial \Sigma_{i-2}$-sector, that $V(\Sigma_{i-1})$ is a $\partial \Sigma_{i-1}$-sector is a consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.30.** Let $N_1$, $N_2$, $N_3$ be 1-manifolds equipped with collars, and with an identification of their boundaries $\partial N_1 = \partial N_2 = \partial N_3$. Orient them so that

\[
M_1 := N_1 \cup N_2 \quad M_2 := N_2 \cup N_3 \quad M_3 := N_1 \cup N_3
\]

are closed and oriented (though not necessarily connected):

Assume furthermore that none of the connected components of $N_2$ are circles.

Let $A$ be a conformal net, let $H_1$ be an $M_1$-sector, and let $H_2$ be an $M_2$-sector. Then $H_3 := H_1 \otimes A(N_2) H_2$ is an $M_3$-sector of $A$.

**Proof.** Let $J \subset M_3$ be an interval that intersects $N_1 \cap N_3$ in at most one point. If $J \subset N_1$ (respectively if $J \subset N_3$), then $A(J)$ acts on $H_3$ via its action on $H_1$ (respectively on $H_2$). If the point $J \cap N_1 \cap N_3$ is in the interior of $J$ then, by Proposition 1.27, we have

\[
A(J) \cong A((J \cap N_1) \cup N_2) \otimes A(N_2) A((J \cap N_3) \cup N_2),
\]

and that algebra acts on $H_1 \otimes A(N_2) H_2$ by Lemma 1.28. The Hilbert space $H_3$ is therefore an $M_3$-sector by Lemma 3.27.

The requirement that $N_2$ does not have closed components is unnecessary. In the second paper of this series, we will define $A(N_2)$ for any 1-manifold $N_2$, and in that more general case the proof of the above lemma goes through unchanged. The collars can also be replaced by a slightly weaker piece of structure: one only needs smooth structures on $M_1$, $M_2$, and $M_3$ whose relationship to each other around a trivalent point is modeled on 1.20.

**Corollary 3.31.** The Hilbert space $V(\Sigma)$ is well defined (at this point, it still depends on a choice of cell decomposition of $\Sigma$), and is naturally equipped with the structure of a $\partial \Sigma$-sector.

**Proof.** Assuming by induction that $V(\Sigma_{i-1})$ is a $\partial \Sigma_{i-1}$-sector, we apply Lemma 3.30 to $H_1 := V(\Sigma_{i-1})$ and $H_2 := H_0(\partial D_i)$, with $N_2 := M_i$ as in (3.29), $N_1$ the closure of $\partial \Sigma_{i-1} \setminus M_i$, and $N_3$ the closure of $\partial D_i \setminus M_i$. \qed
3.D. **Characterization of finite-index conformal nets.** Recall that a dagger category is a category equipped with an involutive, identity-on-objects, contravariant endofunctor. Denote by $\text{Hilb}$ the dagger category of Hilbert spaces and bounded linear maps. Let us call a dagger category $\mathcal{C}$ a Hilb-category if it is a module over $(\text{Hilb}, \otimes)$, that is, if there is a functor $\otimes : \text{Hilb} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ along with invertible associator and unitality natural transformations

$$\circ \circ (\text{id} \times \circ) \Rightarrow \circ \circ (\otimes \times \text{id}) : \text{Hilb} \times \text{Hilb} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}, \quad \circ \circ (\text{id} \times \text{id}) \Rightarrow \text{id} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$$

subject to the obvious compatibility conditions.

Let us call a Hilb-category $\mathcal{C}$ a tensor category if it is equipped with a monoidal structure that is compatible with the Hilb-module structure.

**Definition 3.32.** A tensor category is called a fusion category\(^4\) if its underlying Hilb-category is equivalent to $\text{Hilb}^n$ (the category whose objects are $n$-tuples of Hilbert spaces) for some finite $n$, and if all its irreducible objects are dualizable.

In other words, a tensor category is fusion if it is semisimple with finitely many simples, and every simple is dualizable. (Note that the two possible definitions of dualizable, namely the one with and the one without the normalization condition in Definition A.10 are equivalent to each other, as shown in [4, Thm. 4.12].)

We know from Theorem 3.14 and Lemma 3.20 that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a conformal net with finite index, then the category of $S$-sectors of $\mathcal{A}$ is fusion with respect to the monoidal structure (1.35). By a result of Longo-Xu [26], the converse also holds. In this section, we give an alternative proof of this result using the coordinate-free point of view.

**Theorem 3.33.** If $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{A})$ is a fusion category, then the conformal net $\mathcal{A}$ has finite index.

The proof is based on the following technical lemma:

**Lemma 3.34.** Let $A$ and $B$ be von Neumann algebras, and let $A H_B$ and $B K_A$ be bimodules. Let $B H_A$ be the complex conjugate of $A H_B$. If $A H_B$ is irreducible and

1. $A H_B K_A$ is a direct sum of dualizable $A$-$A$-bimodules,
2. $B K_A H_B$ is a direct sum of dualizable $B$-$B$-bimodules,
3. $A H_B K A K_B$ is a direct sum of irreducible $A$-$B$-bimodules,
4. $A K_B H_B K A$ is a direct sum of irreducible $A$-$B$-bimodules,

(where those direct sums are possibly infinite) then $A H_B$ is a dualizable bimodule.

**Proof.** Pick dualizable bimodules $A(M_i)_A$ and maps $f_i : M_i \to H \otimes_B K$ so that $F := \bigoplus f_i : \bigoplus M_i \to H \otimes_B K$ is an isomorphism. Similarly, pick dualizable bimodules $B(N_j)_B$ and maps $g_j : K \otimes_A H \to N_j$ so that $G := \bigoplus g_j : K \otimes_A H \to \bigoplus N_j$ is an isomorphism. The composite

$$K \otimes_A \left( \bigoplus M_i \right) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus f_i} K \otimes_A H \otimes_B K \xrightarrow{G \otimes 1} \left( \bigoplus N_j \right) \otimes_B K$$

being an isomorphism, one can chose indices $i$ and $j$ so that

$$K \otimes_A M_i \xrightarrow{\bigoplus f_i} K \otimes_A H \otimes_B K \xrightarrow{g_j \otimes 1} N_j \otimes_B K$$

\(^4\)Strictly speaking, we should be calling these ‘fusion Hilb-categories’, as the term ‘fusion category’ usually refers to categories where each object is a finite direct sum of simples.
is non-zero. Write $M$ for $M_i$, and $N$ for $N_j$. By duality, the composite

\[
\overline{N} \boxtimes_B K \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \text{coev}_H} \overline{N} \boxtimes_B K \boxtimes_A M \boxtimes_A \overline{M}
\]

is also non-zero.

The bimodules $\overline{N} \boxtimes_B K$ and $K \boxtimes_A \overline{M}$ are direct summands of $\overline{H} \boxtimes_A \overline{K} \boxtimes_B K$ and $K \boxtimes_A \overline{K} \boxtimes_B \overline{M}$, respectively. By assumption, they can therefore be written as direct sums of irreducible bimodules:

\[
\overline{N} \boxtimes_B K \cong \bigoplus P_\alpha, \quad K \boxtimes_A \overline{M} \cong \bigoplus Q_\beta.
\]

Pick $\alpha$ and $\beta$ so that the map

\[
P_\alpha \mapsto \overline{N} \boxtimes_B K \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \text{coev}_H} \overline{N} \boxtimes K \boxtimes M \boxtimes \overline{M}
\]

(3.35)

is non-zero. Since $P_\alpha$ and $Q_\beta$ are irreducible, that map is actually an isomorphism. Use (3.35) to identify $P_\alpha$ and $Q_\beta$, and call it simply $P$. The maps

\[
\text{Ev} : P \boxtimes_A H \mapsto \overline{N} \boxtimes_B K \boxtimes_A H \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \text{g}} \overline{N} \boxtimes_B N \xrightarrow{\ev_N} L^2 B
\]

\[
\text{Coev} : L^2 A \xrightarrow{\text{coev}_H} M \boxtimes_A \overline{M} \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} H \boxtimes_B K \boxtimes_A \overline{M} \xrightarrow{\ev} H \boxtimes_B A
\]

then satisfy $(\text{Ev} \boxtimes 1_P) \circ (1_P \boxtimes \text{Coev}) = 1_P$. Since $H$ is irreducible, there is some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which

\[(1_H \boxtimes \text{Ev}) \circ (\text{Coev} \boxtimes 1_H) = \lambda 1_H.
\]

Moreover, by evaluating $(\text{Ev} \boxtimes \text{Ev} \boxtimes 1_P) \circ (1_P \boxtimes \text{Coev} \boxtimes \text{Coev})$ in two different ways, one can see that $\lambda = 1$, and so $H$ is dualizable. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Theorem 3.35.** Let $\Delta$ be the set of isomorphism classes of $A$-sectors. Since by assumption the category $\text{Sect}(A)$ is semisimple, any object $H$ can be decomposed as

\[
H \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} H_\lambda \otimes \text{Hom}_{\text{Sect}(A)}(H_\lambda, H),
\]

where the multiplicity spaces $\text{Hom}_{\text{Sect}(A)}(H_\lambda, H)$ are Hilbert spaces, and the tensor product is the completed tensor product of Hilbert spaces.

We need to show that

\[
H := \mathcal{A}(I_1 \cup I_3) H_0(S) \mathcal{A}(I_2 \cup I_4)^{\text{op}}
\]

is a dualizable bimodule, where $S$ and $I_1, \ldots, I_4$ are as in 3.2. For that, we verify the assumptions in Lemma 3.34 for the bimodules $H$ and $K := \mathcal{A}(I_2 \cup I_4)^{\text{op}} H_0(S) \mathcal{A}(I_1 \cup I_3)$. We only check the first and third conditions of the lemma, as the other two are entirely similar. Following the notation of the lemma, we let $A := \mathcal{A}(I_1 \cup I_3)$ and $B := \mathcal{A}(I_2 \cup I_4)^{\text{op}}$.

1. The fusion $A H \boxtimes_B K_A$ is the Hilbert space $H_{\text{ann}}$ studied in Section 3.3. It is an $S_1$-$S_2$-sector for the circles $S_1 := I_1 \cup_{\partial I_1} I_1$ and $S_3 := I_3 \cup_{\partial I_3} I_3$. By applying (3.36) to $H_{\text{ann}}$, viewed as an $S_1$-sector, we get

\[
H_{\text{ann}} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} H_\lambda(S_1) \otimes \text{Hom}(H_\lambda(S_1), H_{\text{ann}}).
\]
The multiplicity space $\text{Hom}(H_\lambda(S_1), H_{\text{ann}})$ is itself an $S_\lambda$-sector, and so we can apply (3.36) once more to write

$$H_{\text{ann}} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \Delta} H_\lambda(S_1) \otimes H_\mu(S_3) \otimes V_{\lambda \mu},$$

where $V_{\lambda \mu}$ is a multiplicity space. The $A$-$A$-bimodule $H_\lambda(S_1) \otimes H_\mu(S_3)$ is a tensor product of $A_{(f_1)}H_\lambda(S_1)A_{(f_1)^\vee}$ and $A_{(f_3)}H_\mu(S_3)A_{(f_3)^\vee}$, which are dualizable by assumption. We have thus verified the first condition of Lemma 3.34.

(3) Observe that $H \boxtimes B \boxtimes A \overline{K}$ is the Hilbert space $V(\Sigma)$ associated to the following surface (with the indicated cell decomposition)

$$\Sigma = \begin{array}{c}
\end{array}$$

with boundary $\partial \Sigma = S$. By Corollary 3.31 it is not only an $A$-$B$-bimodule, but also an $S$-sector. By strong additivity, the forgetful functor from $S$-sectors to $A$-$B$-bimodules is fully faithful. Therefore, a subspace of $H \boxtimes B \boxtimes A \overline{K}$ is an irreducible sub-$S$-sector if and only if it is an irreducible sub-$A$-$B$-bimodule. Since $\text{Sect}_S(A)$ is semisimple by assumption, every $S$-sector can be written as a direct sum of irreducible $S$-sectors. Decomposing $H \boxtimes B \boxtimes A \overline{K}$ as a direct sum of irreducible $S$-sectors then also provides a decomposition into irreducible $A$-$B$-bimodules. This verifies the third condition of Lemma 3.34.

4. Comparing conformal and positive-energy nets

4.1. Circle-based nets. In this section, we present an alternative version of the

definition of conformal nets that will provide an intermediary between our notion of coordinate-free conformal nets and existing notions of conformal nets in the literature [15, 25]. Recall that $S^1 := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \}$ denotes the standard circle, and $S^1_+ := \{ z \in S^1 : \exists m(z) \geq 0 \}$ its upper half. Let $\text{INT}_S$ be the poset of subintervals of $S^1$. Given a Hilbert space $H$ (always assumed separable), we write $\text{VN}_H$ for the poset of von Neumann subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Recall that given an interval $I \subset S^1$, we denote by $I'$ the closure of its complement.

A net on the circle $(A, H)$ is a Hilbert space $H$ equipped with a continuous projective action $\text{Diff}(S^1) \to \text{PU}_+(H)$, $\varphi \mapsto [u_\varphi]$ and an order preserving map

$$\text{INT}_{S^1} \to \text{VN}_H, \quad I \mapsto A(I).$$

It is required that $u_\varphi$ be complex linear if $\varphi$ is orientation-preserving and complex antilinear if $\varphi$ is orientation-reversing, where $u_\varphi$ is any representative of $[u_\varphi]$. Moreover, the continuity condition refers to the $C^\infty$ topology on $\text{Diff}(S^1)$, and the quotient of the strong topology on $U_+(H)$.

**Definition 4.1.** A conformal net on the circle is a net on the circle $(A, H)$, and a unitary isomorphism $v : H \cong L^2(A(S^1_+)))$, subject to the following conditions. Here, $I$, $K$, and $L$ will denote subintervals of $S^1$.

(i) **Locality:** If $I$ and $K$ have disjoint interiors, then $A(I)$ and $A(K)$ are commuting subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

(ii) **Strong additivity:** If $L = I \cup K$, then $A(L) = A(I) \lor A(K)$.

(iii) **Split property:** If $I$ and $K$ are disjoint, then the closure of the algebraic tensor product $A(I) \otimes_{\text{alg}} A(K) \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ is the spatial tensor product $A(I) \otimes A(K)$. 

(iv) Covariance: For \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}(S^1) \), we have \( u_{\varphi, a}(I)u_{\varphi}^* = A(\varphi(I)) \). If \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}(S^1) \) restricts to the identity on \( I' \), then \( u_{\varphi, a(\varphi(I))} = A(\varphi(I)) \).

(v) Vacuum: The map \( v \) intertwines the \( A(S^1) \)-module structures on \( H \) and on \( L^2(A(S^1)) \). Moreover, letting \( j : S^1 \to S^1 \) be complex conjugation, and \( J \) the modular conjugation on \( L^2(A(S^1)) \), then we have \( u_j = v^* J v \) in \( \text{PU}_- (H) \).

**Construction** (coordinate-free to circle-based conformal nets). Let \( A \) be an irreducible conformal net (Definition 4.1). By Theorem 2.13 there is a canonical vacuum sector \( H_0 (S^1, A) \) associated to the standard circle, and it is equipped with a continuous projective action \( \varphi \mapsto [u_{\varphi}] \) of \( \text{Diff}(S^1) \) such that \( u_{\varphi} \) implements \( \varphi \). We therefore obtain a net on the circle by setting \( H := H_0 (S^1, A) \), and defining \( A(I) \) to be the image of \( A(I) \) under its action on \( H_0 (S^1, A) \).

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \( A \) be an irreducible conformal net (Definition 4.1). Then the above construction produces a conformal net on the circle (Definition 4.1).

**Proof.** The locality, strong additivity, and split property axioms of Definition 4.1 follow immediately from the corresponding axioms of Definition 1.1. We have \( u_{\varphi, a}(I)u_{a}^* = A(\varphi(I)) \) because \( u_{\varphi} \) implements \( \varphi \). If \( \varphi \) restricts to the identity on \( I' \), then since \( u_{\varphi} \) implements \( \varphi \), \( u_{a} \) commutes with \( A(I') \), and so \( u_{\varphi, a}(I') = A(I') \) by Haag duality, Proposition 1.17. The isomorphism \( v : H \to L^2(A(S^1)) \) is the map \( v_{s^1} \) from part (iii) of Theorem 2.13. It is a morphism of \( A(S^1) \)-modules, and we have \( u_j = H_0 (j, A) = v^* J v \), by parts (ii) and (iv) of Theorem 2.13. \( \square \)

**Construction** (circle-based to coordinate-free conformal nets). Let now \( (A, H) \) be a conformal net on the circle. Given an abstract interval \( I \in \text{INT} \), let \( E_I \) be the category whose objects are smooth embeddings of \( I \) into \( S^1 \), and in which every hom-set contains exactly one element. We define a functor

\[
A_I : E_I \to \text{VN}
\]

as follows. At the level of objects, it is given by \( A_I(a) := A(\iota(I)) \).

To define \( A_I \) on morphisms, we need to provide an (anti-)isomorphism \( A(\iota(I)) \to A(\iota'(I)) \) for every pair of elements \( \iota, \iota' \in E_I \). Given \( \iota, \iota' \in E_I \), pick an extension \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}(S^1) \) of \( \iota' \circ \iota^{-1} : \iota(I) \to \iota'(I) \). If \( \varphi \) is orientation-preserving then \( a \mapsto u_{\varphi, a}u_{\varphi}^* \) defines an isomorphism \( A(\iota(I)) \to A(\iota'(I)) \), and if \( \varphi \) is orientation-reversing then \( a \mapsto u_{\varphi, a}^*u_{\varphi}^* \) defines an anti-isomorphism \( A(\iota(I)) \to A(\iota'(I)) \). If \( \varphi' \) is an extension of \( \iota' \circ \iota^{-1} \), then \( \varphi^{-1} \varphi' \) is the identity on \( \iota(I) \), and so \( \text{Ad}(u_{\varphi^{-1} \varphi'}) = \text{Ad}(u_{\varphi'}) \circ \text{Ad}(u_{\varphi}) \). The above prescription therefore defines a functor \( A_I \), and it makes sense to set

\[
A(I) := \lim_{\iota \in E_I} A_I(I) = \lim_{\iota \in E_I} A(\iota(I)).
\]

By definition, an element \( a \in A(I) \) is a collection of operators \( \{ a_i \in A(\iota(I)) \}_{\iota \in E_I} \), subject to the conditions that \( u_{\varphi, a}(I)u_{\varphi}^* = a_{\varphi, a} \) for each embedding \( \iota : I \to S^1 \) and \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1) \), and that \( u_{\varphi, a}(I)u_{\varphi}^* = a_{\varphi, a} \) for each \( \iota \in E_I \). Given an abstract embedding of abstract intervals \( f : I \to J \), there is an induced (anti-)homomorphism \( A(\iota) : A(I) \to A(J) \) that sends \( a = \{a_i \in A(\iota(I)) \}_{\iota \in E_I} \) to the unique element \( b = \{ b_j \in A(\iota(J)) \}_{\iota \in E_I} \) that satisfies \( b_j = u_{\varphi, a}(I)u_{\varphi}^* \) (or \( b_j = u_{\varphi, a}^*u_{\varphi}^* \) for every \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}_-(S^1) \) respectively \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}_-(S^1) \)) with \( j \circ \iota = \varphi \circ \iota \). This defines a functor \( A : \text{INT} \to \text{VN} \) that sends orientation-preserving embeddings to homomorphisms, and orientation-reversing embeddings to anti-homomorphisms.
Proposition 4.3. Let \((A, H)\) be a conformal net on the circle (Definition 1.1), then the functor \(A\): \(\text{INT} \rightarrow \text{VN}\) given by the above construction is a coordinate-free conformal net (Definition 1.2).

Proof. The locality, strong additivity, and split property axioms for \((A, H)\) imply the corresponding axioms for \(A\). For the remaining requirements, we argue as follows.

Covariance. Let \(\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(I)\) be a diffeomorphism that restricts to the identity on a neighborhood of \(\partial I\). We assume without loss of generality that \(I\) is contained in the standard circle, and let \(\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)\) be the extension of \(\varphi\) by the identity map on \(I^\prime\). The map \(A(\varphi) : A(I) \rightarrow A(I)\) is given by \(\{a_i\}_{i \in I^\prime} \mapsto \{b_j\}_{j \in I^\prime}\), where the \(b_j\) are determined by the requirement that \(b_j = u_\psi a_i u_\psi^*\) for every \(\psi \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)\) with \(j \circ \varphi = \psi \circ i\). Letting \(i = j = \text{id}_I\) and \(\psi = \bar{\varphi}\), we learn that \(b_{ai} = u_\psi a_{ai} u_\psi^*\). Under the identification \(\{a_i\} \rightarrow a_{ai}\) of \(A(I)\) with \(A(I)\), the map \(A(\varphi) : A(I) \rightarrow A(I)\) therefore corresponds to \(\text{Ad}(u_{\bar{\varphi}}) : A(I) \rightarrow A(I)\). Since \(u_{\bar{\varphi}} \in A(I)\), it follows that \(A(\varphi)\) is an inner automorphism.

Continuity. Let \(I\) be an interval, which we take, without loss of generality, to be a subinterval of \(S^1\). We identify \(A(I)\) with \(A(I)\) by \(a = \{a_i\}\). By Lemma 4.3 below, it suffices to check the continuity of the map \(\text{Diff}_+(I) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A(I))\). Letting \(\text{Diff}_+(S^1, I) := \{\varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1) \mid \varphi(I) = I\}\) and \(\text{PN}(A(I)) := \{U \in U(H) \mid U A(I) U^* = A(I)\}/S^1\), we have the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Diff}_+(S^1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Diff}_+(S^1, I) \\
\downarrow v & & \downarrow v_{\text{Diff}_+(S^1, I)} \\
\text{PU}(H) & \longrightarrow & \text{PN}(A(I)) \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}} \text{Aut}(A(I))
\end{array}
\]

where the existence of the middle vertical map is guaranteed by the covariance axiom. The two horizontal maps on the left are subgroup inclusions, equipped with subspace topologies. The map \(\text{Ad}\) is continuous by Lemma 4.18 and the map \(v\) is continuous by assumption. The middle vertical is continuous by restriction. The vertical map on the right is \(\varphi \mapsto A(\varphi)\), and we have to show that it is continuous. The \(C^\infty\) topology on \(\text{Diff}(I)\) coincides with the quotient topology under the map \(\text{Diff}_+(S^1, I) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(I)\). The continuity of \(\varphi \mapsto A(\varphi)\) therefore follows from that of \(\text{Ad} \circ v|_{\text{Diff}_+(S^1, I)}\).

Vacuum sector. Let \(K \subseteq I\) contain the boundary point \(p \in \partial I\), and let \(K \cup_p \bar{K}\) be equipped with any smooth structure that extends the ones on \(K\) and \(\bar{K}\) and for which the orientation-reversing involution \(j_K\) that exchanges \(K\) and \(\bar{K}\) is smooth. We have to show that the following diagram can be completed:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A(K) \otimes_{\text{alg}} A(\bar{K}) & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, A(j_K))} & A(K) \otimes_{\text{alg}} A(K)^{\text{op}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A(K \cup_p \bar{K}) & \longrightarrow & B(L^2 A(I)).
\end{array}
\]

Extend the smooth structure on \(K \cup_p \bar{K}\) to one on \(S := I \cup_{\partial I} I\) (make sure that the involution that exchanges \(I\) and \(I\) is smooth), and pick an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism \(\varphi : S \rightarrow S^1\) that intertwines the above involution with the standard involution \(j\) on \(S^1\) and that sends \(I\) to the upper half \(S^1_+\) of the circle. We then have isomorphisms

\[
\begin{align*}
A(K) & \rightarrow A(\varphi(K)) \\
A(K \cup_p \bar{K}) & \rightarrow A(\varphi(K \cup \bar{K})) \\
A(S^1) & \rightarrow A(S^1_+). \end{align*}
\]
(given by \( a \mapsto a_\iota \) where \( \iota \) is the appropriate restriction of \( \varphi \)) that make the following diagram commute:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{A}(K) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A}(K) \\
\downarrow (\text{id}, \mathcal{A}(j_{K})) \\
\mathcal{A}(K \cup_{\varphi} K) \\
\downarrow (\text{id}, a \mapsto a^* u_j) \\
\mathcal{A}(\varphi(K)) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A}(\varphi(K)) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{A}(\varphi(K \cup_{\varphi} K)) \\
\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \\
\end{array}
\]

Indeed, if \( a = \{a_\alpha\} \in \mathcal{A}(K) \) and \( b = \{b_\beta\} \in \mathcal{A}(K) \) are such that \( \mathcal{A}(j_{K})(a) = b \), then \( b_\beta = u_\psi a_\alpha^* u_\varphi \) for every \( \psi \in \text{Diff}_-(S^1) \) with \( \beta \circ j_{K} = \psi \circ \alpha \). Setting \( \alpha = \varphi \), \( \beta = \varphi \), and \( \psi = j \), we get the commutativity of the rear left square:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
a \mapsto b \\
\downarrow \\
a_\alpha \mapsto u_j a_\alpha^* u_j = b_\beta.
\end{array}
\]

Let us identify \( K \), \( \bar{K} \), and \( K \cup \bar{K} \) with their images under \( \varphi \) in order to simplify the notation. The existence of the top dotted arrow is now equivalent to the existence of an arrow completing the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{A}(K) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A}(K) \\
\downarrow (\text{id}, a \mapsto a^* u_j) \\
\mathcal{A}(K \cup_{\varphi} K) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{B}(H) \\
\end{array}
\]

We claim that the natural action \( \mathcal{A}(K \cup_{\varphi} K) \to \mathcal{B}(H) \) provided by the data of a conformal net on the circle makes the above diagram commute.

On the subalgebra \( \mathcal{A}(K) \) of \( \mathcal{A}(K) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A}(K) \), the commutativity of the above diagram is obvious since, by assumption, the isomorphism \( v : H \to L^2(\mathcal{A}(S^1)) \) is equivariant for the left actions of \( \mathcal{A}(S^1) \). On the subalgebra \( \mathcal{A}(K) \), we argue as follows. Pick \( a \in \mathcal{A}(K) \), with image \( u_j a^* u_j \in \mathcal{A}(K)^{op} \subset \mathcal{A}(S^1)^{op} \). That element goes to \( J(u_j a^* u_j)^* J \) under the right action map \( \mathcal{A}(S^1)^{op} \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{A}(S^1))) \), where \( J \) is the modular conjugation. By assumption, the isomorphism \( \mathcal{B}(H) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{A}(S^1))) \) sends \( u_j \) to \( J \). Since \( J = J^* \), the above expression then simplifies to \( J(Ja^* J)^* J = a \), which proves the commutativity of the diagram. \( \square \)

Recall the definition of the continuity of a net from the beginning of Section 4.4 and Haagerup’s \( w^* \)-topology from the Appendix.

**Lemma 4.4.** Given a net \( \mathcal{A} \), if the natural maps \( \text{Diff}_+(I) \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}(I)) \) are continuous, then so are the maps \( \text{Hom}_{\text{INT}}(I, J) \to \text{Hom}_{\text{VN}}(\mathcal{A}(I), \mathcal{A}(J)) \).

**Proof.** It is enough to show continuity on the subset \( \text{Hom}_{\text{INT}}^+(I, J) \) of orientation-preserving embeddings. Given a generalized sequence \( \varphi_i \in \text{Hom}_{\text{INT}}^+(I, J) \), indexed by the poset \( \mathcal{I} \), with limit \( \varphi \), and given a vector \( \xi \in \mathcal{A}(J)^* \) in the predual, we need to show that \( \mathcal{A}(\varphi_i)_* (\xi) \) converges to \( \mathcal{A}(\varphi)_* (\xi) \) in \( \mathcal{A}(J)^* \).

Pick an interval \( K \), identify \( I \) and \( J \) with subintervals of \( K \) via some fixed embeddings \( I \hookrightarrow K \), \( J \hookrightarrow K \) into its interior, and extend \( \varphi \) to a diffeomorphism \( \hat{\varphi} \in \text{Diff}_+(K) \). For each natural number \( n \), pick an extension \( \hat{\varphi}_{n,i} \in \text{Diff}_+(K) \) of \( \varphi_i \) such that \( \| \hat{\varphi}_{n,i} - \hat{\varphi} \|_{C^0} < \| \varphi_i - \varphi \|_{C^0} \), where \( \| \cdot \|_{C^n} \) is any norm that induces the \( C^n \) topology on \( \text{Diff}_+(K) \). It follows that

\[
F\text{-lim } \hat{\varphi}_{n,i} = \hat{\varphi},
\]
where \( F \) is the filter on \( \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{I} \) generated by the sets \( \{(n,i) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{I} \mid n \geq n_0, i \geq i_0(n)\} \). Given a lift \( \hat{\xi} \in \mathcal{A}(K)_* \) of \( \xi \) then, by assumption, \( F\text{-}\lim A(\hat{\varphi}_n,i)_*(\hat{\xi}) = A(\varphi)_*(\xi) \). Composing with the projection \( \pi : \mathcal{A}(K)_* \to \mathcal{A}(I)_* \), it follows that \( A(\varphi)_*(\xi) = \pi(A(\hat{\varphi}_n,i)_*(\hat{\xi})) \) converges to \( \pi(A(\hat{\varphi})_*(\hat{\xi})) = A(\varphi)_*(\xi) \).

4.B. **Positive-energy nets.** The following notion, that we call “positive-energy net”, corresponds to what most people would call “conformal net” in the literature. The goal of this section is to show that positive-energy nets subject to the extra conditions of strong additivity, the split property, and diffeomorphism covariance, yield examples of conformal nets in the sense of Definition 4.5.

**Definition 4.5.** A *positive-energy net* \((A, H)\) is a Hilbert space \( H \), a unit vector \( \Omega \in H \) called the vacuum vector; a continuous action \( \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \to U(H), \varphi \mapsto u_\varphi \), and an order preserving map

\[
\text{INT}_{S^1} \to \mathcal{V}N_H, \quad I \mapsto A(I)
\]

subject to the following conditions. Here, \( I \) and \( J \) are subintervals of \( S^1 \):

(i) **Locality:** If \( I \) and \( J \) have disjoint interiors, then \( A(I) \) and \( A(J) \) are commuting subalgebras of \( B(H) \).

(ii) **Covariance:** For \( \varphi \in \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \), we have \( u_\varphi A(I)u_\varphi^* = A(\varphi(I)) \).

(iii) **Vacuum vector:** The subspace of \( \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \)-invariant vectors of \( H \) is spanned by \( \Omega \). Moreover, \( \Omega \) is a cyclic vector for the action of the algebra \( \bigvee_{I \in \text{INT}_{S^1}} A(I) \).

(iv) **Positive-energy:** Let \( L_0 \) be the conformal Hamiltonian, defined by the equation \( u_\alpha = e^{i\alpha L_0} \), where \( \alpha \in \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \) is the anticlockwise rotation by angle \( \alpha \). Then \( L_0 \) is a positive operator.

There are three further conditions that may be imposed on a positive-energy net:

1. A positive-energy net satisfies **strong additivity** if \( A(I \cup J) = A(I) \vee A(J) \).

Note that if the interiors of \( I \) and \( J \) have non-empty intersection, then that condition is automatic.

2. A positive-energy net satisfies the **split property** if for any pair of disjoint subintervals \( I, J \subseteq S^1 \), the closure of the algebraic tensor product \( A(I) \otimes_{\text{alg}} A(J) \subseteq B(H) \) is the spatial tensor product \( A(I) \otimes A(J) \).

3. A positive-energy net is **diffeomorphism covariant** if \( u : \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \to U(H) \) extends to a continuous projective action of the orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms \( \text{Diff}_+(S^1) \to PU(H), \varphi \mapsto [u_\varphi] \), such that

\[ (i) \quad u_\varphi A(I)u_\varphi^* = A(\varphi(I)) \]

\[ (ii) \quad \text{if } \varphi \text{ has support in } I \text{ (i.e., is the identity outside } I \text{), then } u_\varphi \in A(I) \text{, for any lift } u_\varphi \in U(H) \text{ of } [u_\varphi] \text{. If a positive-energy net is diffeomorphism covariant then, by a result of Carpi and Weiner [8 Theorem 5.5], the extension } \text{Diff}_+(S^1) \to PU(H) \text{ is uniquely determined by the above two conditions. We will use this result to further extend the action to orientation-reversing diffeomorphisms.} \]

Recall that \( S^1_+ = \{z \in S^1 : 3m(z) \geq 0\} \) is the upper half of the standard circle, and that \( j : S^1 \to S^1_+ \) denotes complex conjugation.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let \((A, H)\) be a positive-energy net. Then there is an \( A(S^1_+)-linear \) unitary isomorphism \( v : H \cong L^2(A(S^1_+)) \) such that, letting \( J = v^* J v \) with
the modular conjugation, we have

\[ JA(I)J = A(j(I)) \quad \forall I \subset S^1, \]
\[ J u_\varphi J = u_{j \varphi} \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{Conf}_+(S^1). \]

**Proof.** By the Reeh-Schlieder theorem [15, Thm. 2.8], Ω is cyclic and separating for each algebra \( A(I) \), and in particular for \( A(S^1_+) \). Since Ω is separating, the corresponding state \( \omega \in L^1(A(S^1_+)) \), \( \omega(a) = \langle a \Omega, \Omega \rangle_H \), is faithful. The vector \( \omega^{1/2} \) is therefore cyclic in \( L^2(A(S^1_+)) \), and so there is a unique \( A(S^1_+) \)-linear isometry \( L^2(A(S^1_+)) \to H \) that sends \( \omega^{1/2} \) to \( \Omega \). That map is then surjective because \( \Omega \) is cyclic for the action of \( A(S^1_+) \) on \( H \).

The operator \( J \) is the modular conjugation of Tomita-Takesaki theory for the action of \( A(S^1_+) \) on \( H \) with respect to the cyclic and separating vector \( \Omega \). Using a result of Borchers [5, Thm. II.9], one can then show that \( JA(I)J = A(j(I)) \) and \( Ju_\varphi J = u_{j \varphi} \), see [15, Thm. 2.19]. □

Let \( j : S^1 \to S^1 \) and \( J : H \to H \) be as in the previous proposition.

**Proposition 4.7.** If a positive-energy net \((A, H)\) is diffeomorphism covariant, then the formula

\[ u_{j \varphi} = u_\varphi \circ J, \quad \varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1) \]

defines an extension of the projective action of \( \text{Diff}_+(S^1) \) on \( H \) to the group \( \text{Diff}(S^1) \) of all diffeomorphisms of \( S^1 \).

**Proof.** In order to show that (4.8) defines a representation, we need to verify that \( u_{j \varphi} = J u_\varphi J \) holds up to phase for all \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1) \). Consider the homomorphism \( \text{Diff}_+(S^1) \to \text{PU}(H) : \varphi \mapsto [\tilde{u}_\varphi] \) given by \( \tilde{u}_\varphi = J u_{j \varphi} J \). We have to show \( [\tilde{u}_\varphi] = [u_\varphi] \) for all \( \varphi \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1) \). For \( \varphi \in \text{Conf}_+(S^1) \), this equation holds by Proposition 4.6.

In particular, both \( u \) and \( \tilde{u} \) are extensions of \( u|_{\text{Conf}_+(S^1)} \). By the uniqueness result of Carpi and Weiner [9, Theorem 5.5], it suffices to check that \( \tilde{u} \) satisfies the same two conditions as \( u \):

(i) \( \tilde{u}_\varphi A(I) \tilde{u}_\varphi^* = A(j(I)) \)
(ii) if \( \varphi \) has support in \( I \), then \( \tilde{u}_\varphi \in A(I) \).

For the first condition, we check using Proposition 4.6 that

\[ \tilde{u}_\varphi A(I) \tilde{u}_\varphi^* = Ju_{j \varphi} J A(I) Ju_{j \varphi}^* J = Ju_{j \varphi} A(j(I)) Ju_{j \varphi}^* J = JA(j(\varphi(I))) J = A(j(\varphi(I))). \]

For the second condition, if \( \varphi \) has support in \( I \), then \( j \varphi \) has support in \( j(I) \). By the definition of diffeomorphism covariance, it follows that \( u_{j \varphi} \in A(j(I)) \), and so \( \tilde{u}_\varphi = Ju_{j \varphi} J \in JA(j(I)) J = A(I) \) by Proposition 4.6. □

**Proposition 4.9.** Every positive-energy net \((A, H)\) that satisfies strong additivity, the split property, and diffeomorphism covariance extends to a conformal net on the circle \((\text{Definition 4.7})\) and therefore to a conformal net \((\text{Definition 1.1})\). Moreover, the resulting conformal net is irreducible.

**Proof.** We first check that a positive-energy net extends to a conformal net on the circle. The isomorphism \( v : H \to L^2(A(S^1_+)) \) is given by Proposition 4.9. The projective action of \( \text{Diff}(S^1) \) on \( H \) is provided by Proposition 4.7. The equation \( u_j = J \) holds by definition, and the condition \( u_\varphi A(I) u_\varphi^* = A(\varphi(I)) \) for \( \psi = \varphi j \in \text{Diff}_-(S^1) \) follows from Proposition 4.6

\[ u_\psi A(I) u_\psi^* = u_\varphi JA(I) Ju_\varphi^* = u_\varphi A(j(I)) u_\varphi^* = A(\varphi(j(I))) = A(\psi(I)). \]

To get a conformal net from a positive-energy net, apply Proposition 4.3 to the conformal net on the circle associated to the positive-energy net. Irreducibility follows, using [26, Proposition 6.2.9], from the uniqueness of the vacuum vector. □
We will later need the following result from the literature:

**Theorem 4.10.** Let \((A, H)\) be a positive-energy net with conformal Hamiltonian \(L_0\). If \(e^{-\beta L_0}\) is of trace class for all \(\beta > 0\), then \((A, H)\) satisfies the split property.

**Proof.** See [25] Thm. 7.3.3 or [15] Lem. 2.12. \(\square\)

4.C. **The loop group conformal nets.** In this section, we describe, following [15], the construction of positive-energy nets associated to loop groups. We verify that these positive-energy nets satisfy strong additivity, the split property, and diffeomorphism covariance, and therefore extend to coordinate-free conformal nets.

There is such a net associated to each compact, simple, simply connected Lie group \(G\) equipped with a choice of a positive integer \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) called the level.

Let \(\mathfrak{g}\) be the Lie algebra of \(G\), \(\mathfrak{g}_C\) its complexification, and \(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_C\) a Cartan subalgebra. When dealing with loop groups, it is customary [27] to equip \(\mathfrak{g}\) with the negative definite \(G\)-invariant inner product \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) such that every short coroot \(\theta \in \mathfrak{h}\) has \(\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2\)—this is the so-called basic inner product. With respect to the dual inner product, a long root \(\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*\) then satisfies \(\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2\).

Let \(\tilde{L}_G := C^\infty(S^1, \mathfrak{g})\) be the Lie algebra of functions on \(S^1\) with values in \(\mathfrak{g}\), under the pointwise Lie bracket operation. Let \(c\) be the 2-cocycle on \(\tilde{L}_G\) given by

\[
c(f, g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \langle f, dg \rangle
\]

and let \(\tilde{L}_G\) be the central extension of \(L_G\) by \(\mathbb{R}\) that corresponds to that cocycle. Finally, let \(\omega\) be the left invariant closed 2-form on the loop group \(LG := C^\infty(S^1, G)\) whose value at the origin is given by \(c\). The integral of \(\omega\) against a generator of \(H_2(LG, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\) is \(2\pi\), and so there is a principal bundle with connection \(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \to P \to LG\) whose curvature is \(\omega\). The group of connection-preserving automorphisms of \(P\) that cover left translations is the simply connected Lie group that integrates the Lie algebra \(\tilde{L}_G\). It is a central extension

\[
S^1 \rightarrow \tilde{L}_G \rightarrow LG
\]

of \(LG\) by the abelian group \(S^1 := \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}\), and it is the universal central extension of \(LG\) inside the category of Fréchet Lie groups.

To construct the appropriate Hilbert space representations of \(\tilde{L}_G\), one starts at the Lie algebra level. The Lie algebra \(L_G\) has a dense subalgebra \(L_{G_{pol}}\) given by Laurent polynomial functions \(C^\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_C\) whose restriction to \(S^1 \subset C^\infty\) take values in \(\mathfrak{g}\). Its complexification \(L_{G_{pol}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g}_C[z, z^{-1}]\) is the algebra of all polynomial functions on \(C^\infty\) with values in \(\mathfrak{g}_C\). We denote by \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}\) the central extension of \(L_{G_{pol}}\) given by the same cocycle (4.11), and by \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_C = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\) the corresponding central extension of \(\mathfrak{g}_C[z, z^{-1}]\) by \(\mathbb{C}\). Finally, we let \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_C\) be the restriction of that last central extension to \(\mathfrak{g}_C[z] \subset \mathfrak{g}_C[z, z^{-1}]\). Note that the cocycle \(c\) is trivial on \(\mathfrak{g}_C[z]\), and so \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+\) splits as a direct sum of Lie algebras: \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \cong \mathfrak{g}_C[z] \oplus \mathbb{C}\).

Let \(C_{0,k}\) be the one-dimensional \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-}\)-module in which the first summand \(\mathfrak{g}_C[z]\) acts by zero, and the second summand \(\mathbb{C}\) acts by \(x \mapsto kix\) (the derivative of the \(k\)th irreducible representation of \(S^1\)). We then consider the induced \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_C\)-module \(W_{0,k} := \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}_C \otimes_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_C} C_{0,k}\), and let \(L_{0,k} := W_{0,k}/J\) be the quotient by its unique maximal proper submodule. The module \(L_{0,k}\) can be equipped [20] Chapt. 11 with a positive definite \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}\)-invariant inner product. We denote by \(H_{0,k}\) its Hilbert space completion. The action of \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}\) on \(L_{0,k}\) extends to an action of \(\tilde{L}_G\) on \(H_{0,k}\) by unbounded skew-adjoint operators, and the latter can then be integrated [16, 33] to a continuous unitary representation

\[
\pi : \tilde{L}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H_{0,k}).
\]
Moreover, by [16 Thm. 6.7] or [33 Thm. 6.1.2], one can use the Segal-Sugawara formulae to extend the induced map \( LG \to PU(H_{0,k}) \) to a continuous projective representation

(4.13) \( LG \rtimes \text{Diff}_+ (S^1) \to PU(H_{0,k}) \).

Finally, the projective action of \( \text{Diff}_+ (S^1) \) on \( H_{0,k} \) restricts to an honest action of the conformal group \( \text{Conf}_+ (S^1) \subset \text{Diff}_+ (S^1) \), and so one gets a homomorphism

(4.14) \( LG \rtimes \text{Conf}_+ (S^1) \to U(H_{0,k}) \).

Note that the infinitesimal generator \( L_0 \) of the rotation group \( S^1 \subset \text{Conf}_+ (S^1) \) has positive spectrum, and satisfies the assumption of Theorem 4.10:

**Lemma 4.15.** Let \( L_0 \) be the operator on \( H_{0,k} \) defined by \( u_{r_\alpha} = e^{i\alpha L_0} \) (see Definition 4.7), where \( r_\alpha \in S^1 \) is the anticlockwise rotation by angle \( \alpha \). Then \( L_0 \) is a positive self-adjoint operator. Moreover, for every \( \beta > 0 \), the operator \( e^{-\beta L_0} \) is trace class.

**Proof.** Let \( L_{0,k}(n) \subset L_{0,k} \) denote the subspace where \( S^1 \) acts by its \( n \)th representation, and let \( W_{0,k}(n) \) be the corresponding subspace of \( W_{0,k} \). We have to show that \( L_{0,k}(n) = 0 \) for \( n < 0 \), and that

\[
\text{tr}_{H_{0,k}}(e^{-\beta L_0}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \dim(L_{0,k}(n)) e^{-\beta n} < \infty.
\]

Clearly, since \( L_{0,k}(n) \) is a quotient of \( W_{0,k}(n) \), it is enough to show that \( W_{0,k}(n) = 0 \) for \( n < 0 \), and that \( \sum_n \dim(W_{0,k}(n)) e^{-\beta n} \) is summable.

By the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, there is an \( S^1 \)-equivariant isomorphism between \( W_{0,k} = U(\mathfrak{g}_C \oplus \mathfrak{g}_C) \) and \( \mathfrak{g}_C[z]\mathfrak{g}_C[z^{-1}] \). The rotation group \( S^1 \) acts by its \( n \)th representation on the span of \( z^{-n} \). We therefore have \( W_{0,k}(n) = 0 \) for \( n < 0 \), and

\[
\sum_n \dim(W_{0,k}(n)) e^{-\beta n} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( 1 + e^{-n\beta} + e^{-2n\beta} + e^{-3n\beta} + \ldots \right)^{\dim(g)},
\]

which converges.

For an interval \( I \subset S^1 \), we denote by \( L_I G \subset LG \) the subgroup of loops with support in \( I \), and by \( L_I G \) the preimage of \( L_I G \) in \( LG \). We also write \( L_{i} G \) and \( L_{i} \mathfrak{g} \) for the respective Lie algebras.

Consider \( \pi^{(2)} : LG \rtimes \bar{L}_G \to U(H_{0,k}) \), \( \pi^{(2)}(g,h) = \pi(gh) \), with \( \pi \) as in (4.12). The following proposition is proven in [32] Chapter IV, Proposition 1.3.2:

**Proposition 4.16.** Let \( I, J \subset S^1 \) intersect in one point, and let \( K \) be their union. Then \( \pi^{(2)}(L_I G \rtimes L_J G) \) is dense in \( \pi(\bar{L}_K G) \), where those two groups are given the subspace topology from \( (U(H_{0,k}), \text{strong}) \).

**Definition 4.17.** The loop group net for \( G \) at level \( k \) is the positive-energy net \( (\mathcal{A}_{G,k}, H_{0,k}) \) given by

\[
\mathcal{A}_{G,k} : \text{Int}_{S^1} \to \text{VN}_{H_{0,k}} \quad I \mapsto \pi(L_I G)^v \]

along with the action \( \pi^{(2)} \) of \( \text{Conf}_+ (S^1) \) on \( H_{0,k} \), and the unique (up to scalar) fixed vector \( \Omega \in H_{0,k} \) for the rotation group \( S^1 \subset \text{Conf}_+ (S^1) \).

The axioms of positive-energy nets are verified as follows. First note that if \( I \) and \( J \subset S^1 \) have disjoint interiors, then the cocycle \( c(f,g) \) vanishes for \( f \in L_I \mathfrak{g} \) and \( g \in L_J \mathfrak{g} \). As a consequence, the Lie algebras \( L_I \mathfrak{g} \) and \( L_J \mathfrak{g} \) commute inside \( \bar{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \), the subgroups \( L_I G \) and \( L_J G \) commute in \( \bar{L}_{G} \), and the subalgebras \( \mathcal{A}_{G,k}(I) \) and \( \mathcal{A}_{G,k}(J) \) commute.
and \( \mathcal{A}_{G,k}(J) \) commute in \( \mathbf{B}(H_{0,k}) \). The covariance axiom holds because the action of \( \varphi \in \operatorname{Conf}_+(S^1) \) conjugates \( \mathbf{L}_I G \) into \( \mathbf{L}_{\varphi(I)} G \). Positive-energy follows from Lemma 4.13. Finally, by the classification of unitary positive-energy representations of \( \operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \cong \operatorname{Conf}_+(S^1) \), any vector that is fixed by \( S^1 \subset \operatorname{Conf}_+(S^1) \) is actually fixed by the whole group \( \operatorname{Conf}_+(S^1) \). In particular, \( \Omega \) is a fixed vector for \( \operatorname{Conf}_+(S^1) \).

**Theorem 4.18.** The positive-energy net \( (\mathcal{A}_{G,k}, H_{0,k}) \) satisfies strong additivity, the split property, and diffeomorphism covariance.

Moreover, if \( G = \operatorname{SU}(n) \), then the conformal net associated (by Proposition 4.39) to the positive energy net \((\mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{SU}(n),k}, H_{0,k})\) has finite index (Definition 3.7).

**Proof.** Strong additivity follows from Proposition 4.16 and the split property follows from Lemma 4.15 and Theorem 4.10. We now check diffeomorphism covariance.

Let \( p : \operatorname{Diff} \to \operatorname{Diff}_+(S^1) \) be the central extension by \( S^1 \) pulled back along \((4.13)\), and let \( q : \operatorname{Aut}(LG) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{L}G) \) be the isomorphism given by the functoriality of universal central extensions. The semidirect product \( \mathbf{L}G \rtimes \operatorname{Diff} \) for the action

\[
\mathbf{L}G \rtimes \operatorname{Diff} \ni \varphi \to \mathbf{L}G \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{L}G)
\]

then acts on \( H_{0,k} \). One first observes that the action \((4.13)\) of a diffeomorphism \( \varphi \) conjugates \( \mathbf{L}_I G \) into \( \mathbf{L}_{\varphi(I)} G \), and therefore \( \mathcal{A}_{G,k}(I) \) into \( \mathcal{A}_{G,k}(\varphi(I)) \). Indeed, at the Lie algebra level, the action of \( \varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}_+(S^1) \) on \( \mathbf{L}g = \mathbf{L}g \oplus \mathbb{R} \) is simply given by \( \varphi \cdot (f, a) = (f \circ \varphi^{-1}, a) \). Let now \( I \subset S^1 \) be an interval, and let \( \varphi \) be a diffeomorphism with support in \( I \). Denote by \( I' \) the closure of \( S^1 \setminus I \). By Haag duality for positive-energy nets [15, Thm. 2.19.(ii)], in order to show that \( u_\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{G,k}(I) \), it is enough to argue that it commutes with \( \mathcal{A}_{G,k}(I') \). Equivalently, we have to show that the chosen lift \( \tilde{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Diff} \) of \( \varphi \) commutes with \( \mathbf{L}_I G \) inside the group \( \mathbf{L}G \rtimes \operatorname{Diff} \), i.e., that the action of \( p(\tilde{\varphi}) = \varphi \) on \( \mathbf{L}G \) is trivial. The last statement can be verified at the Lie algebra level.

Finally, building on work of Wassermann [31], Feng Xu proves in [37] that \((\mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{SU}(n),k}, H_{0,k})\) has finite index. \(\square\)

**Remark 4.19.** For other compact, simple, simply-connected Lie groups, it is expected that the conformal nets \( \mathcal{A}_{G,k} \) have finite index, as is known to be the case for \( G = \operatorname{SU}(n) \). It is also expected that the category of positive energy representations of \( \mathbf{L}G \) at level \( k \) is equivalent to the category of sectors for the corresponding conformal net. However, as far as we know, those problems are still open.

The main theorem of Wassermann [34, p.535] (combined with [22, Theorem 4.1]) shows that the category of positive energy representations of \( L\operatorname{SU}(n) \) at level \( k \) is a fusion category. Therefore, assuming that the category of positive energy representations of \( L\operatorname{SU}(n) \) at level \( k \) is equivalent to the category of sectors for the corresponding conformal net, it would follow that the category \( \operatorname{Sect}(\mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{SU}(n),k}) \) is fusion. An application of Theorem (4.33) would then yield an alternative proof that \( \mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{SU}(n),k} \) has finite index.

**Appendix**

**von Neumann algebras.** Given a Hilbert space \( H \), let \( \mathbf{B}(H) \) denote its algebra of bounded operators. The ultraweak topology on \( \mathbf{B}(H) \) is the topology of pointwise convergence with respect to the pairing with its predual, the trace class operators.

\[\text{6\textsuperscript{We have been informed that this will, in fact, be a consequence of ongoing work of Carpi-}\quad\text{Weiner; see also [36]. For the case } G = \operatorname{SU}(N), \text{ this result is a consequence of [31 Thm. 2.2], [22 Thm. 33], and [37 Thm. 3.5].}\)
Definition A.1. A von Neumann algebra, is a topological *-algebra \(^7\) that is embeddable as closed subalgebra of \(B(H)\) with respect to the ultraweak topology.

The spatial tensor product \(A_1 \otimes A_2\) of von Neumann algebras \(A_i \subset B(H_i)\) is the closure in \(B(H_1 \otimes H_2)\) of their algebraic tensor product \(A_1 \otimes_{alg} A_2\).

Definition A.2. Let \(A\) be a von Neumann algebra. A left (right) \(A\)-module is a Hilbert space \(H\) equipped with a continuous homomorphism from \(A\) (respectively \(A^{op}\)) to \(B(H)\). We will use the notation \(AH\) (respectively \(HA\)) to denote the fact that \(H\) is a left (right) \(A\)-module.

The main distinguishing feature of the representation theory of von Neumann algebras is expressed in the following lemma. Here, \(\ell^2\) stands for the Hilbert space \(\ell^2(\mathbb{N})\) if all the spaces in the statement of the lemma are separable. Otherwise, it stands for \(\ell^2(X)\), where \(X\) is any set of sufficiently large cardinality.

Lemma A.3. Let \(A\) be a von Neumann algebra and let \(H\) and \(K\) be two faithful left \(A\)-modules. Then \(H \otimes \ell^2 \cong K \otimes \ell^2\). In particular, any \(A\)-module is isomorphic to a direct summand of \(H \otimes \ell^2\).

The Haagerup \(L^2\)-space. (See [1] \([2]\) for further details.) A faithful left module \(H\) for a von Neumann algebra \(A\) is called a standard form if it comes equipped with an antilinear isometric involution \(J\) and a selfdual cone \(P \subset H\) subject to the properties

\begin{enumerate}
\item \(JAJ = A^{\prime}\) on \(H\),
\item \(JcJ = c^*\) for all \(c \in Z(A)\),
\item \(J\xi = \xi\) for all \(\xi \in P\),
\item \(aJaJ(P) \subseteq P\) for all \(a \in A\)
\end{enumerate}

where \(A^{\prime}\) denotes the commutant of \(A\). The standard form is unique up to unique unitary isomorphism [19]. It is an \(A-A\)-bimodule, with right action \(\xi a := J a^* J \xi\).

The space of continuous linear functionals \(A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) forms a Banach space \(A_*= L^1(A)\) called the predual of \(A\). It comes with a positive cone \(L^+_1(A) := \{ \phi \in A_* | \phi(x) \geq 0 \ \forall x \in A_+ \}\) and two commuting \(A\)-actions given by \((a \phi b)(x) := \phi(bxa)\). Given a von Neumann algebra \(A\), its Haagerup \(L^2\)-space is an \(A-A\)-bimodule that is canonically associated to \(A\) [23]. It is the completion of

\[ \bigoplus_{\phi \in L^+_1(A)} \mathbb{C} \sqrt{\phi} \]

with respect to some pre-inner product, and is denoted \(L^2(A)\). The positive cone in \(L^2A\) is given by \(L^2_+(A) := \{ \sqrt{\phi} | \phi \in L^+_1(A) \}\). The space \(L^2A\) is also equipped with the modular conjugation \(J_A\) that sends \(\lambda \sqrt{\phi}\) to \(\overline{\lambda} \sqrt{\phi}\) for \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\), and satisfies \((A,A)\)

\[ J_A(a \xi b) = b^* J_A(\xi) a^* \]

All together, the triple \((L^2(A),J_A,L^2_+(A))\) is a standard form for the von Neumann algebra \(A\).

Remark A.5. There is an isomorphism \(L^2(A) \cong L^2(A^{op})\) under which the left action of \(A\) on \(L^2A\) corresponds to the right action of \(A^{op}\) on \(L^2(A^{op})\), and the right action of \(A\) on \(L^2A\) corresponds to the left action of \(A^{op}\) on \(L^2(A^{op})\).

Remark A.6. The assignment \(A \mapsto L^2(A)\) defines a functor from the category of factors and isomorphisms, to the category of Hilbert spaces and bounded linear maps. (This still true for the larger category whose morphisms are finite homomorphisms between factors [4] Thm 6.7, but in the present paper we only need this functor for isomorphisms.)

\(^7\)Warning: there is no compatibility between the topology and the algebra structure; the multiplication map \((B(H),\text{ultraweak}) \times (B(H),\text{ultraweak}) \rightarrow (B(H),\text{ultraweak})\) is not continuous.
Connes fusion. (See [3, §3] for further details.)

**Definition A.7.** Given two modules $H_A$ and $A K$ over a von Neumann algebra $A$, their Connes fusion $H \boxtimes_A K$ is the completion of\(^{\text{(10) [25] [34]}}\)

\begin{equation}
\text{Hom}(L^2(A)_A, H_A) \otimes_A L^2(A) \otimes_A \text{Hom}(A L^2(A), A K)
\end{equation}

with respect to the inner product $\langle \phi_1 \otimes \xi_1 \otimes \psi_1, \phi_2 \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \psi_2 \rangle := \langle \phi_2^* \phi_1 \xi_1(\psi_1 \psi_2^*), \xi_2 \rangle$.

Here, we have written the action of $\psi_1$ on the right, which means that $\psi_1 \psi_2^*$ stands for the composite $L^2(A) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} K \xrightarrow{\psi_2^*} L^2(A)$.

The $L^2$ space is a unit for Connes fusion in the sense that there are canonical unitary isomorphisms

\begin{equation}
\alpha L^2(A) \boxtimes_A H \cong \alpha H \quad \text{and} \quad H \boxtimes_A \alpha L^2(A)_A \cong H_A.
\end{equation}

**Dualizability.** (See [3, §4] for further details.) A von Neumann algebra whose center is $\mathbb{C}$ is called a factor.

**Definition A.10.** For $A$ and $B$ factors, given an $A$-$B$-bimodule $H$, we say that a $B$-$A$-bimodule $\overline{H}$ is dual to $H$ if it comes equipped with maps

\begin{equation}
R : \alpha L^2(A)_A \to \alpha H \boxtimes_B H_A \quad S : B L^2(B)_B \to B \overline{H} \boxtimes_A H_B
\end{equation}

subject to the duality equations $(R^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes S) = 1$, $(S^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R) = 1$, and to the normalization $R^*(x \otimes 1)R = S^*(1 \otimes x)S$ for all $x \in \text{End}(A H_B)$. A bimodule whose dual module exists is called dualizable.

If $A H_B$ is a dualizable bimodule, then its dual bimodule is well defined up to canonical unitary isomorphism [3, Thm 4.22]. Moreover, the dual bimodule is canonically isomorphic to the complex conjugate Hilbert space $\overline{H}$, with the actions $b \alpha a := \overline{a^* b^*}$ [3, Cor 6.12].

**Lemma A.12** ([3, Lemma 4.6]). Let $A H_B$ and $B K_A$ be dualizable irreducible bimodules. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{A,A}(H \boxtimes_B K, L^2(A)) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{C} & \text{if } B K_A \cong B \overline{H}_A \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

**Lemma A.13** ([3, Lemma 4.10]). If $A H_B$ is a dualizable bimodule, then its algebra of $A$-$B$-bilinear endomorphisms is finite-dimensional.

**Statistical dimension and minimal index.** (See [3, §5] for further details.)

**Definition A.14.** The statistical dimension of a dualizable bimodule $A H_B$ is given by

$$\dim(A H_B) := R^* R = S^* S \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

where $R$ and $S$ are as in (A.11). For non-dualizable bimodules, one declares $\dim(A H_B)$ to be $\infty$.

Note that from the definition, it is obvious that

\begin{equation}
\dim(A H_B) = \dim(B \overline{H}_A).
\end{equation}

The minimal index $[B : A]$ of an inclusion of factors $\iota : A \to B$ is the square of the statistical dimension of $A L^2 B B$. If $A H_B$ is a faithful bimodule between factors, then we have $[B' : A] = [A' : B] = \dim(A H_B)^2$.

**Definition A.16.** Let $\iota : A \to B$ be an inclusion of factors. If the minimal index $[B : A]$ is finite, we say that $\iota$ is a finite homomorphism.

As a corollary of Lemma A.13, we have:

**Lemma A.17** ([3, Lemma 5.15]). Let $\iota : A \to B$ be a finite homomorphism between factors. Then the relative commutant of $\iota(A)$ in $B$ is finite-dimensional.
Haagerup’s u-topology. Given von Neumann algebras $A$ and $B$, the u-topology on $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ is defined by declaring that a generalized sequence $\{\varphi_i\}$ converges to $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ if for every $\xi \in L^1(B)$, we have $\lim_n (\xi \circ \varphi_i) = \xi \circ \varphi$ in $L^1(A)$. Equivalently, it is the topology generated by the semi-norms $\|\xi \circ \varphi\|_{L^1(A)}$ for $\xi \in L^1(B)$.

The subgroup $N(A) := \{u \in \text{U}(L^2(A)) \mid uAu^* = A\} \subset \text{U}(L^2(A))$ is closed for the strong (= weak) topology on $\text{U}(L^2(A))$.

Lemma A.18. The adjoint map $\text{Ad}: N(A) \to \text{Aut}(A)$, $\text{Ad}(u)(a) = uau^*$, is continuous for the strong topology on $N(A)$ and the u-topology on $\text{Aut}(A)$.

Proof. Given $\xi \in L^1_+(A)$, we need to show that $f_\xi : N(A) \to C$

$$f_\xi(u) = \sup_{a \in A, \|a\| \leq 1} |\xi(uau^*)|$$

is continuous for the strong topology on $N(A)$. Let $u_n \to u$ be a convergent sequence in $N(A)$. For every $v \in N(A)$, we have

$$\xi(vau^*) = \langle vau^*\sqrt{\xi}, \sqrt{\xi} \rangle_{L^2(A)} = \langle au^*\sqrt{\xi}, v^*\sqrt{\xi} \rangle_{L^2(A)}. $$

Therefore, given $a$ in the unit ball of $A$, we have

$$\left| \xi(u_na^*u_n) - \xi(uau^*) \right| = \left| \langle au_n^*\sqrt{\xi}, (u_n - u)^*\sqrt{\xi} \rangle_{L^2(A)} + \langle a(u_n - u)^*\sqrt{\xi}, u^*\sqrt{\xi} \rangle_{L^2(A)} \right| \leq 2 \cdot \|\sqrt{\xi}\|_{L^2(A)} \cdot \| (u_n - u)^*\sqrt{\xi} \|_{L^2(A)}.$$

Since $u_n^* \to u^*$ in the strong topology, we have $\lim_n \|u_n - u\|^2_{L^2(A)} = 0$, and so $\xi(u_na^*u_n)$ converges to $\xi(uau^*)$ uniformly in the unit ball of $A$. □

The functoriality of $L^2$ yields a map $\text{Aut}(A) \to N(A)$, $\psi \mapsto L^2(\psi)$. Haagerup calls this the canonical implementation. He also shows [19, Prop. 3.5] that it exhibits $\text{Aut}(A)$ with the u-topology as a closed subgroup of $N(A)$ with the strong topology.

Proposition A.19. Let $A_0 \subseteq A$ be a subfactor. Assume that the action of the algebraic tensor product $A_0 \otimes_{alg} A'$ on $L^2(A)$ extends to the spatial tensor product $A_0 \otimes A'$. Then $\text{Ad}: U(A_0) \to \text{Aut}(A)$ induces a homeomorphism from $\text{PU}(A_0)$ with the quotient strong topology onto its image in $\text{Aut}(A)$ with the u-topology.

Proof. Recall that the canonical implementation $\psi \mapsto L^2(\psi)$ identifies $\text{Aut}(A)$ with a closed subgroup of $U(L^2(A))$. For $u \in U(A)$, the canonical implementation of $\text{Ad}(u) \in \text{Aut}(A)$ is $L^2(\text{Ad}(u)) = uJv^*J$, where $J$ is the modular conjugation on $L^2(A)$. Thus it suffices to show that $f : U(A_0) \to U(L^2(A))$, $u \mapsto uJu^*J$

descends to a homeomorphism $\tilde{f} : \text{PU}(A_0) \to f(U(A_0))$. The map $f$ is well-defined, bijective, and continuous by Lemma A.18. It remains to show that $f^{-1}$ is continuous. Let $u_n$ and $u$ be elements of $U(A_0)$ so that $\lim_n f(u_n) = f(u)$ in $U(L^2(A))$. We would like to know that $\lim_n [u_n] = [u]$ in $\text{PU}(A_0)$. For that, it is enough to show that there exist $\lambda_n \in S^1$ such that $\lim_n \lambda_n u_n = u$ in $U(A_0)$.

8 Courtesy of http://mathoverflow.net/questions/87324/
Since the algebra generated by $A_0$ and $A'$ on $L^2(A)$ is their spatial tensor product, we can identify $f(u_n)$ and $f(u)$ with the elements $u_n \otimes Ju_n^*J$ and $u \otimes Ju^*J$ of $U(A_0 \otimes A)$. The existence of the $\lambda_n$ then follows from Lemma A.20. \hfill \Box

**Lemma A.20.** Let $A$ and $B$ be factors. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in $U(A)$, and $\{v_n\}$ a sequence in $U(B)$ so that

$$\lim_{n} (u_n \otimes v_n) = u \otimes v \quad \text{in} \quad U(A \otimes B)$$

for given $u \in U(A)$ and $v \in U(B)$. Then there exist $\lambda_n \in S^1$ so that $\lim_n \lambda_n u_n = u$ and $\lim_n \lambda_n^{-1} v_n = v$.

**Proof.** The identity $\lim_n (u_n \otimes v_n) = u \otimes v$ is equivalent to $\lim_n (u^*u_n \otimes v^*v_n) = 1 \otimes 1$, so we may assume that $u = 1$ and $v = 1$. Pick a faithful representation $H$ of $A$, and a unit vector $\xi \in H$. Replacing $u_n$ by $\lambda_n u_n$ and $v_n$ by $\lambda_n^{-1} v_n$ for appropriate $\lambda_n \in S^1$, we may also assume that $\langle u_n \xi \mid \xi \rangle \geq 0$.

Denote by $A_1$ and $B_1$ the unit balls in $A$ and $B$. These are compact in the weak (= ultraweak) topology, and so it is enough to show that the limit of any weakly convergent subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ is equal to 1, and the same for $\{v_n\}$. We can therefore assume that $\tilde{u} := \lim_n u_n$ and $\tilde{v} := \lim_n v_n$ exist. The product map $A_1 \times B_1 \to (A \otimes B)_1$ is continuous for the weak topology. It follows that $\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{v} = 1 \otimes 1$, and so $\tilde{u} = \lambda$ and $\tilde{v} = \lambda^{-1}$ for some $\lambda \in S^1$. Finally, $\lim_n \langle u_n \xi \mid \xi \rangle = \langle \tilde{u} \xi \mid \xi \rangle = \lambda$ is positive, and so $\lambda = 1$. \hfill \Box

Given two von Neumann algebras $A$ and $B$, recall that $\text{Hom}_{VN}(A, B)$ denotes the space of homomorphisms and antihomomorphisms from $A$ to $B$. That set is topologized as the disjoint union of $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ and $\text{Hom}(A, B^{op})$, where both of those Hom sets are given the Haagerup $w$-topology.
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