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A Rhesus channel in the coral symbiosome membrane suggests a novel mechanism to regulate NH$_3$ and CO$_2$ delivery to algal symbionts

Angus B. Thies$^1$*, Alex R. Quijada-Rodriguez$^2$, Haonan Zhouyao$^2$, Dirk Weihrauch$^2$, Martin Tresguerres$^{1*}$

Reef-building corals maintain an intracellular photosymbiotic association with dinoflagellate algae. As the algae are hosted inside the symbiosome, all metabolic exchanges must take place across the symbiosome membrane. Using functional studies in *Xenopus* oocytes, immunolocalization, and confocal Airyscan microscopy, we established that *Acropora yongei* Rh (ayRhp1) facilitates transmembrane NH$_3$ and CO$_2$ diffusion and that it is present in the symbiosome membrane. Furthermore, ayRhp1 abundance in the symbiosome membrane was highest around midday and lowest around midnight. We conclude that ayRhp1 mediates a symbiosomal NH$_4^+$-trapping mechanism that promotes nitrogen delivery to algae during the day—necessary to sustain photosynthesis—and restricts nitrogen delivery at night—to keep algae under nitrogen limitation. The role of ayRhp1-facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion is less clear, but it may have implications for metabolic dysregulation between symbiotic partners and bleaching. This previously unknown mechanism expands our understanding of symbioses at the immediate animal-microbe interface, the symbiosome.

**INTRODUCTION**

Photosymbiotic associations between invertebrates and microalgae are widespread in aquatic environments. Perhaps the most well known of these partnerships is that of reef-building corals (phylum: Cnidaria) and dinoflagellate symbiotic algae (family: Symbiodiniaceae), which is key to the evolutionary success of coral reef ecosystems (1). In an otherwise oligotrophic environment, the cnidarian host satisfies the majority of its energetic needs using photosynthates derived from its symbiotic algae (2). The host cells are believed to exercise considerable control over the metabolism of their symbionts, which favors both the production and release of algal photosynthates. This control is possible because of an architectural arrangement whereby coral gastrodermal cells host the algal symbionts intracellularly within an arrested phagosome known as the symbiosome [reviewed in (3)]. Because the symbiosome isolates the algae from the cytosol of the host cell, the symbiosome membrane necessarily mediates all metabolic exchanges between the symbiotic partners. In addition, the symbiosome membrane may serve as an interface for the coral to manipulate the alga’s microenvironment. For example, the coral symbiosome is markedly acidic (pH ~4) because of active H$^+$ pumping by V-type H$^+$-ATPases (VHAs) located in the symbiosome membrane (4). The acidic nature of the symbiosome drives CO$_2$ accumulation as part of a carbon concentrating mechanism (CCM) that helps overcome the low affinity of algal Rubisco for CO$_2$, thereby promoting algal photosynthesis (4). This H$^+$ gradient has been proposed to additionally energize the movement of other essential nutrients and metabolites into or out of the symbiosome including nitrogen, phosphorus, and sugars (3, 4). However, no additional molecular players or regulatory mechanisms have been definitely identified to date.

The vast majority of the symbiotic algae’s nitrogen demand is supplied by protein catabolism by their animal host, which produces waste as ammonia gas (NH$_3$) and ammonium ion (NH$_4^+$), which exist in a pH-dependent equilibrium [collectively referred to as “total ammonia” (Tamm)] (2, 5). Rather than excreting its nitrogenous waste into the environment like most other aquatic animals (6), the coral symbiosis recycles a substantial portion of Tamm via the glutamine synthase/glutamate dehydrogenase/glutamine oxoglutarate aminotransferase pathways (GS/GDH/GOGAT) (5, 7, 8). In addition, corals are able to take up NH$_4^+$ from seawater and transport it to their algal symbionts (9), and isolated algal symbionts take up and use NH$_4^+$ (10). Moreover, coral host cells are known to regulate Tamm delivery to their symbionts, and as a result, the algae accumulate significantly more nitrogen in the light than in the dark (9, 11). The diel regulation of Tamm delivery by corals allows for host control over the carbon and nitrogen metabolisms of symbionts (12) and, by extension, the growth rate and biomass of the symbiont population to prevent symbiont overgrowth that would disrupt the symbiosis (13). Transcriptomic analyses on whole coral colonies have identified candidate transporters proposed to mediate Tamm delivery to symbionts (14), but a lack of localization studies precludes a definite assessment of their involvement in symbiosis. Overall, the mechanisms that mediate and regulate nitrogen transport to symbionts across the symbiosome membrane remain unknown.

NH$_3$ and NH$_4^+$ exist in pH-dependent equilibrium with pKa ~9.25, and thus >96% of Tamm is found as NH$_4^+$ both in seawater (pH ~8) and in coral host cells (pH ~7.4) (15). However, the much lower pH in the symbiosome space has three critical and interlinked implications: first, a virtually nil NH$_3$ partial pressure ($p$NH$_3$) in the symbiosome space that should drive NH$_3$ gas diffusion from the host cytoplasm; second, the immediate “trapping” of NH$_3$ as NH$_4^+$ in the symbiosome space, which can be taken up by the alga, thus maintaining the inwardly directed NH$_3$ diffusion gradient; and lastly, an unfavorable electrochemical gradient for NH$_4^+$ transport into the symbiosome.

However, despite being a gas, NH$_3$ has limited permeability through lipidic membranes because of its strong dipole moment that makes...
it a polar molecule [reviewed in (16)]. In some plant-bacteria symbioses, NH₃ transport across the symbiosome membrane is facilitated by nodulin-intrinsic proteins (17, 18); however, this protein family is exclusive to plants. In addition, NH₃ diffusion across biological membranes can be significantly enhanced by Rhesus (Rh) channels, a family of evolutionarily conserved proteins present in eubacterial, invertebrate, and vertebrate lineages (19–21). On the basis of the observed up-regulation of an Rh-like mRNA transcript upon establishment of symbiosis in anemones (22–24), Rh channels have been suggested to play important roles in cnidarian-algae symbioses. However, the Rh-like mRNA was expressed in many soft coral cell subtypes (25), and therefore, the coded protein probably plays multiple physiological roles. In addition, Rh channels are typically present in the cell outer plasma membrane [reviewed in (16, 26)], and few studies have localized Rh-like proteins to intracellular compartments or organelles (27, 28). Last, the various Rh protein isoforms have different substrate specificity: some may transport both NH₃ and NH₄⁺ (16), some act as dual NH₃ and CO₂ gas channels (19, 29, 30), and others do not facilitate Tamm/CO₂ transport across membranes at all and have structural functions instead (31, 32). However, detailed functional studies about transport properties by “primitive” Rh proteins from invertebrate animals (termed “Rhp”) are very scarce. As a result, assessing the physiological role of the coral Rh-like coded protein and its potential involvement in delivering Tamm to algal endosymbionts requires elucidating its actual function as well as its cellular and subcellular localizations. Furthermore, if coral Rh facilitated CO₂ diffusion and was present on the symbiosome membrane, it would provide a pathway for CO₂ backflow from the symbiosome into the coral gastrodermal cells and affect interactions between nitrogen transport and the CCM.

Given that NH₃ diffusion through biological membranes is limited, we hypothesized that corals use Rh-like proteins to deliver NH₃ to their algae across the symbiosome membrane, which would subsequently get trapped as NH₄⁺ in the acidic symbiosome. To investigate this possibility, we cloned an Rh-like gene from the coral Acropora yongei (ayRhp1) and determined its phylogenetic relationship to other Tamm-transporting proteins. Then, we heterologously expressed ayRhp1 protein in Xenopus oocytes and measured Tamm transport under a range of pHs to determine whether it transports NH₃, NH₄⁺, or both. In addition, ayRhp1 facilitates CO₂ diffusion. Using custom-made antibodies and immunocytochemistry, we established the localization of ayRhp1 protein in the various cell subtypes throughout the coral colony, and, using confocal Airyscan microscopy, we investigated whether ayRhp1 was specifically located in the symbiosome membrane. Last, we quantified the subcellular localization of ayRhp1 within algae-containing coral gastrodermal cells throughout a diel cycle to explore a potential mechanism whereby coral host cells could regulate Tamm delivery to their algal symbionts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Rhp1 genes are widespread in corals**

The cloned ayRhp1 cDNA open reading frame contains 1440 base pairs encoding a protein with a predicted molecular weight of 51.8 kDa. BLAST searches in genomic and transcriptomic databases revealed predicted ayRhp1 homologs in multiple coral species from both the robust and complex clades, which diverged from each other 300 million to 400 million years ago (33). These coral Rh proteins clustered together with Rhp1 genes from invertebrate animals (fig. S1).

The protein features of ayRhp1 are similar to those of well-studied Rh channels from mammals (fig. S2). It has 12 transmembrane helices and an N-linked glycosylation site (N61), which differentiate all animal Rh50 channels capable of Tamm transport (Rhag-cg, Rhp1-2) (16) from the Rh30 proteins involved in structural functions (27). Crystallography and simulation studies have identified several key amino acid residues that are required for NH₃ transport across mammalian RhCG (20, 21); a phenylalanine gate (F130 and F235) and a cytosolic shunt (L193, T325, L328, I334, N341, and N342), which recruit NH₃⁺ at the external and internal vestibules, respectively, twin histidines that deprotonate NH₄⁺ to NH₃ (H185 and H344), two highly conserved aspartic acid residues that help shuttle the H⁺ back to the original compartment (D177 and D336), and a hydrophobic transmembrane channel that selectively conducts NH₃ but not NH₄⁺ (32, 34). An alignment of ayRhp1 with RhCG reveals that the phenylalanine gate (F147 and F251), the twin histidines (H202 and H364), and analogous aspartate residues (D195 and D356) are all conserved in ayRhp1, while the cytosolic shunt and hydrophobic channel-lining residues are highly conserved (~83 and ~70%, respectively). In addition, ayRhp1 contains the nine residues that form the putative cytoplasmic CO₂ binding pocket of mammalian RhCG [which facilitates CO₂ transport (19)]: L91, F94, D234, A237, M238, M299, V300, Q303, and N304. Six of these residues are also conserved in the Rh protein from the bacterium Nitrosomonas europaea, the CO₂ binding pocket was definitely identified using x-ray crystallography (35). In summary, the overall high conservation of these key structures suggests that ayRhp1 can facilitate both NH₃ and CO₂ transport; this was experimentally tested through functional studies.

**ayRhp1 facilitates NH₃ and CO₂ diffusion**

ayRhp1 was functionally characterized by measuring Tamm uptake rates in Xenopus oocytes injected with ayRhp1 cRNA. To avoid potential artifacts resulting from using radiolabeled [¹⁴C]-methylammonium as a Tamm analog (16), we used a hypochlorite-salicylate-nitroprusside–based colorimetric assay to directly measure Tamm accumulation in oocytes and estimate Tamm uptake rate. The bath solutions contained 1 mM Tamm at pH 6.5, 7.5, or 8.5, resulting in 10-fold pKa-dependent [NH₃] increases for every pH unit (1.8, 17.4, and 150.5 μM, respectively). ayRhp1-expressing oocytes had consistently higher Tamm uptake rates than those of controls in all conditions tested (P < 0.001; fig. S3). In addition, Tamm uptake rate in ayRhp1 oocytes significantly increased from 10.2 ± 1.4 pmol Tamm min⁻¹ at pH 6.5, to 36.9 ± 2.8 pmol Tamm min⁻¹ at pH 7.5, and to 49.6 pmol Tamm min⁻¹ at pH 8.5 (Fig. 1A), an apparent Jₕₑₕₐₜ = 51.93 ± 1.45 pmol Tamm min⁻¹ and 7.14 ± 0.91 μmol Tamm liter⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 1B). These results indicate that ayRhp1 transports NH₃ following the partial pressure difference. In addition, Tamm uptake rate of oocytes incubated in a solution with 10 mM Tamm at pH 7.5 was 50.3 ± 10.0 pmol Tamm min⁻¹ (i.e., indistinguishable from the rate in the 1 mM Tamm pH 8.5 solution) (Fig. 1B). These two solutions have similar [NH₃] (174.0 versus 150.5 μM), but the former has >10-fold greater [NH₄⁺] than the latter (9826.0 μM versus 849.5 μM). Together, these results established that ayRhp1 can facilitate NH₃ diffusion following pH-dependent partial pressure gradients and that Tamm transport is not directly dependent on the [NH₄⁺] difference.

Next, we preloaded control and ayRhp1 cRNA-injected oocytes with 5% CO₂ and measured CO₂ release into normocapnic media.
using a custom-built CO₂ analyzer (36). These experiments revealed that ayRhp1-expressing oocytes released CO₂ at a rate ~50% faster than control oocytes (P = 0.0019; Fig. 1C). As wild-type Xenopus oocytes lack notable HCO₃⁻ efflux (37), this demonstrates that ayRhp1 can facilitate the diffusion of CO₂ in addition to NH₃.

**ayRhp1 protein is present in multiple coral cell types**

Immunofluorescence microscopy using custom-made–specific antibodies revealed high ayRhp1 protein expression throughout A. yongei coral tissue sections (Fig. 2A). In the epidermis, ayRhp1 was present in the apical membrane of columnar cells along the seawater-coral interface (Figs. 2B and 3A). Although corals recycle most of their nitrogen waste through their algal symbionts, they also excrete some Tamm to the environment (2, 7). Thus, we hypothesize that ayRhp1 in epidermal cells aids in nitrogenous waste excretion as previously described in gills and skin from fish and aquatic invertebrates (38, 39), this demonstrates that ayRhp1 can facilitate the diffusion of CO₂ in addition to NH₃.

In the calicodermis, ayRhp1 was expressed in both calcifying cells that deliver dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), Ca²⁺, and matrix proteins for skeletal formation and in desmocytes that anchor living coral tissue to the skeleton. The ayRhp1 signal in desmocytes was very intense, especially at the apical membrane adjacent to the skeleton (Figs. 2C and 3B and fig. S4A). Previous studies have provided morphological descriptions of coral desmocytes (41, 42); however, to our knowledge, this is the first description of any protein specifically expressed in this cell type. As an NH₃ channel, ayRhp1 may contribute to coral calcification by enhancing NH₃ diffusion to buffer the pH of the extracellular calcifying medium (ECM) and maintain conditions favorable for calcification. Metabolic NH₃ has been proposed to promote biological calcification of avian egg shells (43), land snail shells (44), and coral skeletons (45) by buffering H⁺ produced during CaCO₃ precipitation as NH₄⁺. As a CO₂ channel, ayRhp1 could help deliver DIC to the ECM following the outwardly directed pCO₂ gradient favoring CO₂ diffusion from calicodermis cells into the ECM, which is an important source of DIC for calcification in multiple coral species (46, 47). In the gastroderm, ayRhp1 was highly expressed in alga-hosting coral cells surrounding the symbiotic algae (Fig. 2D), in a pattern that resembled that of VHA in the symbiosome membrane (4). This was explored in further detail.

**ayRhp1 is present in the symbiosome membrane**

Confocal Airyscan microscopy and coimmunostaining of ayRhp1 and Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase (NKA) allowed us to definitively establish ayRhp1’s subcellular localization within the tightly packaged host cells in coral.
tissues. Consistent with its universal presence in the plasma membrane (48), NKA outlined the perimeter of all alga-containing host cells (Fig. 4, A and B). The ayRhp1 signal was also present around the algae; but in most cells, it was internal to that of NKA and also present in the thin region between the host cell nucleus and the alga (Fig. 4 A1). Since these cells are tightly packed, this region is occupied by the symbiosome membrane (4, 49). This was most readily evident in the region adjacent to the coral nucleus where a region of cytosol separates the external NKA and internal ayRhp1 signals, further indicating their respective presence in the plasma and symbiosome membranes (Figs. 4A2 and 3). The symbiosomal localization of ayRhp1 was further confirmed in host cells containing two algae (fig. S5C), which are rather scarce but contain a larger cytoplasmic region that allows for better visualization of subcellular compartments. In addition, a minority of cells lacked ayRhp1 in the region between the host nucleus and the algae (Fig. 4B), which instead colocalized with or appeared slightly internal to NKA around the nuclear periphery, indicating ayRhp1's presence in the host plasma membrane, cytosolic vesicles, or both. We attempted to quantify the two ayRhp1 subcellular localization patterns using tissue sections; however, the high density of tightly packed gastrodermal cells coupled with their intense NKA signal confounded imaging and prevented an unbiased approach. To circumvent these limitations, we immunostained isolated coral cells, an approach we previously used to confirm

Fig. 2. Immunolocalization of Acropora yongei Rhesus protein (ayRhp1). (A) Overview of A. yongei tissues; the boxes indicate regions of interest shown at higher magnification below, and the white arrowhead indicates ayRhp1-labeled calcifying cells. (B1) Apical membrane of columnar cells in the oral epidermis. (C1) Desmocyte with intense signal in its apical region. (D1) Alga-containing gastrodermal cells. (B2, C2, and D2) Corresponding bright-field differential interference contrast images; the white arrowheads mark corresponding locations in (B), (C), and (D). Coral and algal nuclei are shown in blue, and ayRhp1 immunofluorescence is shown in green. Several algal nuclei are marked with asterisks in (B1) and (D1) for clarity. This coral was sampled at midday. sw, seawater; co, coelenteron; sk, skeleton. Scale bars, 20 μm.
the presence of VHA in the symbiosome membrane (4). Similar to tissue sections, a majority of isolated cells displayed ayRhp1 signal in the thin region between the host cell nucleus and the alga, indicative of ayRhp1 symbiosomal localization (fig. S5, B, C, and E to I). We also observed a minority of cells with ayRhp1 signal around the host cell nucleus (fig. S5, D and J to N), indicative of ayRhp1’s presence in the cytoplasm or plasma membrane of the host cell. Free algal cells released during the isolation procedure, identified by the lack of an adjacent host nucleus, did not have ayRhp1 signal (fig. S5A).

**Diel trafficking of ayRhp1**

On the basis of established patterns of nitrogen delivery to coral algal symbionts (9, 11), we hypothesized that the ayRhp1 subcellular localization would change in a diel fashion. We therefore quantified ayRhp1 subcellular localization patterns using epifluorescence microscopy on isolated alga-containing coral cells over a diel cycle. This allowed us to achieve sufficient replication (50 cells from 18 coral branches, 3 coral branches at each of six time points, for a total of 900 cells observed in blind fashion). Once an algal-containing coral host cell was identified, the observer rapidly and continuously shifted the focal plane and alternated between fluorescence and bright-field DIC while looking through the microscope eyepiece. This technique allowed the observer to determine whether the ayRhp1 signal was present in between the host cell nucleus and the alga (classified as “symbiosomal localization”; Fig. 4A and fig. S5, B, C, and E to I), or around the periphery of the host cell’s nucleus (“nonsymbiosomal localization”).

---

**Fig. 3.** Confocal Airyscan immunolocalization of *Acropora yongei* Rhesus protein (ayRhp1) in the oral epidermis, desmocytes, and calcifying cells. (A1–3) ayRhp1 on the apical membrane of columnar cells in the oral epidermis. (B1, B3) Desmocyte with intense ayRhp1 signal in its apical region. (C1–3) Calcifying cells displaying ayRhp1 signal on membranes and in the cytosol. Corresponding areas between panels are marked with arrowheads. ([A1] to [C1]), ([A2] to [C2]), and ([A3] to [C3]) show ayRhp1, Na+/K+-ATPase (NKA), and 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) signals, ayRhp1 signal alone, or NKA signal alone, respectively. Nuclei (DAPI) are shown in blue, ayRhp1 in green, and the NKA in purple. Scale bars, 5 µm.
localization”; Fig. 4B and fig. S5, D and J to N). The percentage of cells displaying ayRhp1 symbiosomal localization was significantly higher during the day, with a maximum of 61.3 ± 4.4% cells displaying this pattern at 1300 hours in contrast to only 26.0 ± 2.0% of cells at 2300 hours (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4C). These results indicate that ayRhp1 is preferentially present in the symbiosome membrane during the day. To our knowledge, this is the first report of diel changes in proteomic makeup of the cnidarian symbiosome membrane and furthers the notion that this interface that separates symbiotic partners can be dynamically modified by the host cell to control the physiology of the alga.

A putative host-controlled nitrogen concentrating mechanism

The pKa for Tamm combined with the pH difference between the host cell’s cytosol and the symbiosome dictates >2000 higher pH3 in the former. Although this establishes a steep partial pressure gradient favoring NH3 diffusion into the symbiosome, diffusion across lipidic membranes is generally limited [reviewed in (16)]. The presence of ayRhp1 in the symbiosome membrane is poised to overcome this limitation, thus enhancing NH3 delivery to the algal symbionts in an analogous manner to nodulin-intrinsic proteins in plant-Rhizobium symbioses (17, 18). Once inside the highly acidic symbiosome, NH3 will be immediately converted into NH4+, which cannot move across the plasma membrane, or through ayRhp1. This mechanism is known as “NH4+ acid trapping” and is well documented in diverse excretory epithelia from humans (20), teleost fishes (39), cephalopod and bivalve mollusks (38, 50), and crustaceans [reviewed in (26); (20, 38, 39, 50)]. Moreover, the uptake of NH4+ by the alga will ensure the continuous conversion of NH3 into NH4+ in the symbiosome, which in turn will maintain NH3 diffusion from the host cytosol. By analogy to the CCM that facilitates symbiont photosynthesis (4), NH3 transport by ayRhp1 coupled to acid trapping of NH4+ in the symbiosome can be considered a host-controlled nitrogen concentrating mechanism (NCM). The high degree of conservation among cnidarian Rh channels (fig. S1) and the presence of an acidic symbiosome in anemones and corals from both the complex and robust clades (4) suggest that Rh-mediated NCMs are widespread in cnidarians. However, species- and environment-specific differences in the NCM contribution to Tamm transport may exist and must be explored.

In addition, CO2-facilitated diffusion by ayRhp1 has implications for the host-controlled symbiosomal CCM (4). In this model, H+ transport by VHA generates an acidic symbiosome that drives the DIC equilibrium toward CO2 accumulation in the symbiosome space, which then diffuses into the alga where it is fixed by algal Rubisco. The presence of ayRhp1 in the symbiosome membrane may initially seem counterproductive for the CCM, as it provides a pathway for CO2 to leak back into the host cell cytoplasm. Continued carbon fixation by the algae, however, ensures a more favorable gradient for CO2 diffusion into the algae compared with the host cell. The CO2 that backflows into the coral host cell would be hydrated by cytosolic carbonic anhydrases (CA) into H+ and HCO3− (51), which can then be used as substrates for VHA and HCO3− transporters in the symbiosome membrane. Furthermore, the fluid in the coelenteron and mitochondria in the gastrodermal cells (4) are additional sources of CO2 that can fuel symbiosome acidification (Fig. 5). This mechanism is akin to the human kidney collecting duct, where VHA, CAs, HCO3− transporters, and Rh channels interact with each other for the purposes of HCO3− reabsorption and Tamm excretion (52).
The increased proportion of cells displaying symbiosomal ayRhp1 localization during the day matches established patterns of increased Tamm delivery from host to symbiont (53) and symbiont nitrogen assimilation (9) during light conditions. The diurnal nitrogen supply is primarily used not to advance growth but to sustain a high turnover of photosystem proteins and pigments damaged by ultraviolet radiation and electron transfer, which is essential for continued and efficient photosynthesis (9, 54, 55). This situation highlights the need for unique regulatory mechanisms in photosymbiotic associations compared with symbioses with nonphotosymbiotic microbes, such as those in plant roots. Conversely, the removal of ayRhp1 from the symbiosome membrane at night would serve to restrict nitrogen supply to symbiotic algae, thus limiting the synthesis of nonphotosynthetic proteins that would be essential to sustain their growth and reproduction (53). This mechanism gains additional significance when we consider that the coral symbiosome is highly acidic in both light and dark conditions (4), and this implies a continued steep inwardly directed pH gradient. Moreover, alga-containing gastrodermal cells are in contact with the gastrovascular cavity or coelenteron. This compartment contains Tamm at concentrations that can be several hundred-fold higher compared with seawater (56) and experiences steep diel pH fluctuations that can reach pH values as high as 9 during the day and as low as 6.75 at night (56, 57). The presence of ayRhp1 channels in the host plasma membrane at night would facilitate the removal of NH$_3$ from the host cell into the coelenteron, where it would be trapped as NH$_4^+$, further restricting nitrogen supply to the algae at night.

The regulation of nitrogen delivery via changes in ayRhp1 subcellular localization is not mutually exclusive with regulation via the GS/GDH/GOGAT pathway (11, 58, 59), and they complement each other. The involvement of this pathway is largely based on changes in gene expression or enzyme activity upon transitioning from symbiotic to aposymbiotic stages (22) or during long-term environmental disturbances (60, 61). But despite diel mRNA expression patterns (62), the abundance of most metabolic enzymes in coral cells, including that of GS, does not seem to change on a diel basis (63). However, this does not preclude diel regulation of enzyme activity by post-translational modifications or substrate availability that could act synergistically with ayRhp1 to control nitrogen to symbiotic algae. Moreover, ayRhp1 subcellular localization was not identical in all cells at any time period, indicating finer regulation based on position on the coral colony, symbiotic stage, or some other unidentified factors.

**Perspectives and limitations**

The ayRhp1- and VHA-dependent NCM identified here together with diel changes in ayRhp1 subcellular distribution provide a potential mechanism whereby coral host cells can supply nitrogen to their algal symbionts while still maintaining them in a nitrogen-limited state to control their growth under oligotrophic conditions. Alterations in nitrogen delivery to coral symbiotic algae have been linked to eutrophication and other environmental stressors that result in disruption of the symbiosis at the colony level, commonly known as coral bleaching (64–69). For example, heat stress may promote coral amino acid catabolism as a means to meet increased metabolic demand in a warmed environment, which has been suggested to trigger a feedback loop that releases symbionts from nitrogen limitation, uncouples the symbiotic relationship, and leads to bleaching (70). In addition, future studies must take into account that ayRhp1 is present in multiple cell types throughout coral tissues, which cannot be discerned using transcriptomics, proteomics, or metabolomics assays on bulk coral colony samples. Specifically, changes in ayRhp1 abundance could be driven by ayRhp1 in cells in the epidermis, gastrodermis, calicodermis, or combinations, and each of these conditions would reflect a unique coral response, in some cases with opposite implications for coral health. With this in mind, techniques that allow
the investigation of coral biology at the cellular and molecular levels such as nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry ("nano-SIMS") (11, 70) and confocal microscopy (71–73) are essential complements to "-omics" techniques. In particular, confocal Airyscan microscopy will allow studying physiological processes in calcifying cells and at the symbiosome membrane in unprecedented detail. Further work is required to determine whether the observed ayRhp1 symbiosomal localization is widespread among scleractinian corals. In addition, future studies should examine wild corals to ascertain the importance of this putative Rh channel–dependent NCM in the field. Future studies could also explore the role of other environmental nitrogen sources (i.e., urea and NO3−) on host-symbiont metabolism. These are time- and materials-intensive tasks but are necessary to fully contextualize the coral NCM at the ecophysiological level.

**METHODS**

**Organisms**

_A. yongei_ colonies were maintained in flow-through seawater at 26°C with a 10/14-hour light/dark cycle with sunrise at 0800 and sunset at 1800. These coral colonies predominantly contain _Cladocium_ species (formerly _Symbiodinium_ clade C). See the Supplementary Materials and Methods for additional information on coral husbandry.

**Cloning of ayRhp1**

Following the methods of (15), total RNA was collected by flash-freezing a 2-cm _A. yongei_ nubbins in liquid nitrogen and crushing with a mortar and pestle into a fine powder. Powdered tissue was resuspended in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and total RNA was extracted following the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA was cleaned and concentrated using an RNaseasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and Oligo(dT) primers according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The resulting cDNA was used as template for all RT-PCRs. The full-length ayRhp1 sequence was obtained and can be found on GenBank (MH025799).

Protein sequences for the phylogenetic analysis were sourced from (74) and GenBank via BLASTn search. Accession numbers of all Rh sequences used in this analysis can be found in the supporting information file (Table S1).

**Plasmid preparation and cDNA synthesis for *Xenopus laevis* expression of ayRhp1**

The open reading frame of ayRhp1 was amplified from pCR2.1 TOPO-ayRhp1 vector (see cloning of ayRhp1) using Q5 high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) and the restriction site–containing primers (forward primer, 5′-ATAC-CGGGGATCTTCTACTGACCTCCTACG-3′; reverse primer: 5′-GGCAAGCTTTCATACCTATCCGACG-3′), subcloned by sticky-end ligation with T4 ligase (New England Biolabs) into Xma I and Hind III restriction sites of a pGEM-HE vector containing _Xenopus_ beta globin 5′- and 3′-UTR sequences flanking the cloning site. Proper insertion was verified by restriction digest using Eco RI, Bam HI, and Sph I and visualized by gel electrophoresis to confirm ayRhp1 fragments produced were of the expected size. The pGEM-HE vector containing the ayRhp1 insert was linearized using Sph I; the restriction enzyme was heat inactivated at 65°C and linear plasmid column purified (GeneJet PCR Purification Kit; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The in vitro transcription of ayRhp1 capped mRNA (cRNA) was performed with HiScribe T7 ARCA mRNA kit (New England Biolabs) on SpI linearized pGEM-HE-ayRhp1 vector followed by column purification (RNasey MiniElute Cleanup Kit; Qiagen). The cRNA was quantified spectrophotometrically (NanoDrop, ND-1000; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and its integrity was assessed on a denaturing MOPS agarose gel.

**Oocyte microinjection**

Stage VI–V oocytes were collected from mature female _X. laevis_ (75). Briefly, the frogs were euthanized via decapitation, and the ovary was dissected and placed in Ca2+-free oocyte ringer (OR2) solution (82.5 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM Na2HPO4, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.5) containing collagenase type VI (1 mg ml−1; Thermo Fisher Scientific). After incubation under gentle agitation for 90 min at room temperature, collagenase activity was terminated by rinsing the oocytes three times in OR2 containing 1 mM CaCl2. Oocytes were then manually sorted, rinsed, and allowed to recover in OR2 sterilized using vacuum bottle-top filters (EMD Millipore Steritop) overnight at 16°C (Fisherbrand Mini Refrigerated Incubator). Oocytes were injected with 18.4 ng of ayRhp1 cRNA (36.8 nl with 0.5 ng nl−1) (ayRhp1) or equivalent volume of nuclease-free water (control) using a Nanoject II or III auto-nanoliter injector (Drummond Scientific, Broomall, PA, USA). Experiments were conducted 3 days postinjection; during this time, the oocytes were stored in OR2 supplemented with 2.5 mM sodium pyruvate, penicillin-streptomycin (1 mg ml−1), and gentamicin (50 μg ml−1). Oocytes that died during experiments were discounted from analyses. All procedures followed the Guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care and were approved by the University of Minnesota Animal Research Ethics Board.

**Oocyte Tamm uptake rates**

Groups of control (water-injected) or ayRhp1 (ayRhp1 cRNA-injected) oocytes (24 oocytes = 1 replicate; _n_ = 6 to 8) were placed in 15-ml tubes and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature in OR2 solutions containing (a) 0 mM NH4Cl, pH 7.5; (b) 1 mM NH4Cl, pH 6.5; (c) 1 mM NH4Cl, pH 7.5; (d) 1 mM NH4Cl, pH 8.5; or (e) 10 mM NH4Cl, pH 7.5. Osmolarity was maintained by substituting NaCl with NH4Cl, and pH was adjusted by adding NaOH or HCl. Following incubation, oocytes were washed in ice-cold OR2 to remove excess NH4Cl and placed in groups of three oocytes in 27 μl of 6% perchloric acid to deproteinize samples (76). After pH neutralization with 3 M KOH, samples were diluted 1:10–1:40 with MilliQ water, and Tamm was measured using a hypochlorite-salicylate-nitroprusside–based assay (77). Tamm uptake rate was calculated according to the formula

\[
\text{Tamm uptake rate} = \frac{[\text{Tamm}]_{t=0h} - [\text{Tamm}]_{t=1h}}{1 \mu l \times 60 \text{ min}}
\]

where [Tamm]_{t=1h} is the Tamm measured in oocytes after incubation in OR2 (b) to (e) for 1 hour, [Tamm]_{t=0h} is Tamm measured in oocytes in OR2 (a) before the start of the incubations, 1 μl is the average oocyte volume, and 60 min was used to calculate rates on a per minute basis. The average Tamm uptake rate of control oocytes was subtracted from that of ayRhp1 oocytes before statistical analysis (fig. S3). Tamm uptake kinetics were maintained using a nonlinear regression to fit the Michaelis-Menten equation.

**Oocyte CO2 release rates**

Hypercapnic OR2 was generated by aeration with 5% CO2 until pH reached equilibrium. Groups of control or ayRhp1 oocytes
(25 oocytes = 1 replicate; n = 8) were incubated in 40 ml of hypercapnic OR2 for 1.5 hours at 16°C. Oocytes were transferred along with hypercapnic OR2 into 2-ml septum capped gas tight vials. Hypercapnic OR2 was sequentially removed from each vial, replaced with normocapnic OR2, and sealed while submerged in OR2 to prevent air bubbles. Rapid transfer from hypercapnic to normocapnic OR2 was used to generate an oocyte-to-OR2 pCO2 gradient. One minute after sealing the chambers, three replicate 5-μl samples were taken with a gas tight Hamilton syringe from the vial by piercing the septum on the cap and injected into a custom-built total CO2 analyzer using a Licor 850 (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) for CO2 detection as previously described (36). Standards of 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5 mmol L⁻¹ NaHCO3 were used to calibrate the CO2 analyzer and produced an R² of 0.99. CO2 release rate was calculated according to the formula:

\[
\text{CO}_2 \text{ release rate} = \frac{\text{CO}_2 \text{ release}_{t=1\text{ min}} \times 0.4644}{25 \text{ oocytes} \times 1 \text{ min} \times 0.1960} \times 0.002 \text{ L}
\]

where \(\text{CO}_2 \text{ release}_{t=1\text{ min}}\) is the CO2 measured in the sample after 1 min of incubation in normocapnic OR2, 0.04644 and 0.1960 are corrections calculated from the standard curve, 0.002 L is the chamber volume, 25 oocytes are the number of oocytes per chamber, and 1 min is the CO2 flux period. The integrity of oocytes in all vials was confirmed under a microscope at the end of the sampling period.

**Antibodies**

Custom-made, affinity-purified anti-ayRhp1 rabbit polyclonal antibodies were developed (GenScript USA Inc., Piscataway, NJ, USA) against the peptide CHNKDAHGSKEGSN, which is present in a putative Rhp1 protein predicted from the *Acropora digitifera* genome (XP_015769291.1) (78). This epitope has just one amino acid difference on the ayRhp1 (CHNKDAHGS) protein expression and antibody validation

Using methods adapted from (4), *A. yongei* tissue was removed from the skeleton using an airbrush loaded with homogenization buffer. Briefly, homogenate was sonicated on ice and centrifuged to pellet down debris; the supernatant was kept on ice. Sample protein concentrations were determined using a Bradford Protein Assay (Bio-Rad) with a bovine serum albumin standard curve. Proteins were loaded onto an SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) gel. Following electrophoresis, proteins were transferred from the gel onto a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane using a Mini Trans-Blot Cell (Bio-Rad) overnight. The membrane was blocked with 5% powdered fat-free milk in TBS-T for 1 hour on a shaker at room temperature before overnight incubation on a shaker (4°C) with anti-ayRhp1 primary antibody (0.216 μg ml⁻¹), primary antibody with excess peptide on a molar base (“preabsorption control”), or preimmune serum (0.216 μg ml⁻¹) diluted in blocking buffer. Membranes were washed with 4 × 15 min TBS-T washes and a final 15-min TBS wash before band development with an ECL Prime Western blot Detection Kit (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) and imaged using a Chemidoc Imaging system (Bio-Rad) (fig. S4D). See the Supplementary Materials and Methods for additional information.

**Immunofluorescence**

Some *A. yongei* nubbins were fixed and decalcified, and others were brushed with a toothbrush to isolate cells prior to fixing following previously described methods (4, 15, 49, 71, 73) (see the Supplementary Materials and Methods for details). Tissue sections and isolated cells were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature in blocking buffer [4 ml of phosphate-buffered saline with Triton X-100 (PBS-TX), 80 μl of normal goat serum, and 0.8 μl of keyhole limpet hemocyanin solution], followed by overnight incubation (4°C) with anti-ayRhp1 antibodies (2.16 μg ml⁻¹), anti-ayRhp1 antibodies preabsorbed with excess peptide (8.64 μg ml⁻¹), or preimmune serum (2.16 μg ml⁻¹) alone or in combination with the anti-NKA antibody (2.00 μg ml⁻¹) (all in blocking buffer) (fig. S4, A to C).

Slides were washed in PBS-TX to remove unbound primary antibodies (3 × 5 min). Secondary antibodies (goat anti–rabbit–Alexa Fluor 555, goat anti–rabbit–Alexa Fluor 488, and/or goat anti–mouse–Alexa Fluor568, 4 μg/ml in blocking buffer; Invitrogen) were then added for 1 hour at room temperature following 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) DNA stain (1 μg/ml in blocking buffer; Invitrogen) for 5 min at room temperature and washed again in PBS-TX to remove unbound secondary antibodies and DAPI (3 × 5 min).

Epifluorescence microscopy was performed on a Zeiss AxiosObserver Z1 (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) connected to a metal halide lamp. ayRhp1 and DAPI signals in tissue sections (fig. 2 and fig. S4, A to C) were visualized using HE Cy3 [excitation (ex): 550 nm, emission (em): 570 nm] and DAPI (ex: 359 nm, em: 461 nm) filters, respectively. ayRhp1 and DAPI signals in isolated cells (fig. S5) were visualized using HE DsRed (ex: 538 to 562 nm, em: 570 to 640 nm) and FURA (ex: 335 to 345 and 375 to 385 nm, em: 505 to 530 nm) filters, respectively.

Confocal Airyscan microscopy was performed on a Zeiss AxioObserver Z1 connected to a laser scanner equipped with 405-, 488-, 561-, and 640-nm laser lines (Zeiss LSM 800 with Airyscan, Carl Zeiss AG). This device uses a 32-channel photomultiplier detector and linear deconvolution to obtain 140-nm lateral (X-Y) and 400-nm axial (Z) resolution. ayRhp1, NKA, and DAPI signals in tissue sections (Figs. 4, A and B, and 3) were visualized using goat anti–rabbit–Alexa Fluor 488 and goat anti–mouse–Alexa Fluor568 secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) and DAPI stain (Invitrogen), respectively (Alexa Fluor 488—ex: 517 nm, em: 497 to 574 nm; Alexa Fluor 568—ex: 577 nm, em: 560 to 643 nm; DAPI—ex: 465 nm, em: 400 to 484 nm). Three-dimensional reconstructions of z-stacks were generated using Imaris 9.0 (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland). To facilitate visualization by color-blind readers, NKA, ayRhp1, and DAPI signals are presented using the false colors violet, green, and blue, respectively, in all figures.

**Assessment of ayRhp1 subcellular localization over day-night cycles**

Cell isolations were prepared from coral nubbins randomly selected from three separate tanks. Nubbins were sampled 30 min before and after sunrise and sunset (0730, 0830, 1730, and 1830 hours) as
Supplementary materials
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Data S1
**SI Methods**

**Organisms**

_A. yongei_ nubbins were originally sourced from the Birch Aquarium at Scripps and reared in a heated flow-through seawater aquaria at Scripps Institution of Oceanography for at least 1 year prior to the experiments. These coral colonies predominantly contain _Cladocopium sp_ (formerly _Symbiodinium_ clade C). Average [PO$_4^{3-}$], [NO$_3^{-}$], [NO$_2^{-}$] and [NH$_4^+$] were 0.35 ± 0.02 μM, 0.29 ± 0.11 μM, 0 μM and 0.54 ± 0.1 μM, respectively (Southern California Coastal Ocean Observing System; [https://sccoos.org/harmful-algal-bloom/](https://sccoos.org/harmful-algal-bloom/)). Temperature was kept at 26°C, light/dark cycle was 10/14h with sunrise at 08:00 and sunset at 18:00 (LED Fixture lights, Orbit Marine, model 4103-B). Light intensity in the aquaria was measured to be 120 μE (MSC15 Spectral Light Meter, Gigahertz-Optik, Amesbury, MA, USA).

**Cloning of ayRhp1**

Following the methods of (15), total RNA was collected by flash-freezing a 2cm _A. yongei_ nubbin in liquid nitrogen and crushing with a mortar and pestle into a fine powder. Powdered tissue was resuspended in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and total RNA was extracted following the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA was cleaned and concentrated using an RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and Oligo(dT) primers according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The resulting cDNA was used as template for all RT-PCR reactions. The full length ayRhp1 sequence was obtained following two rounds of RT-PCR using Phusion High Fidelity taq polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswitch, MA, USA) and NucleoSpin gel purification (Macherey-Nagel, Duren, Germany). The first round of RT-PCR used primers designed against untranslated regions of a predicted _Acropora digitifera_ Rh mRNA (XP_015769291.1) (FWD primer 5’-CCACAATTCGTC-3’, REV primer 5’-GTCCGAGACATCTTGCATACC-3’). In the second ‘nested’ round of RT-PCR, primers included oligonucleotide overhangs for In-Fusion Cloning (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) into a pCR2.1-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) digested with EcoR 1 and EcoR V restriction enzymes. All cloned RT-PCR products were sequenced by Retrogen, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA). The full ayRhp1 sequence can be found on Genbank (MH025799).

Protein sequences for the phylogenetic analysis were sourced from (74) and Genbank via BLASTn search. Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (79) and a maximum likelihood tree with 500 bootstraps was inferred by RAxML using a PROTGAMMA model of rate heterogeneity and a GTR substitution model (80). Accession numbers of all Rh sequences used in this analysis can be found in the supporting information file (Table S1). Prediction of transmembrane helices was performed using TMHMM 2.0 ([http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM-2.0/](http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM-2.0/)) as per (81, 82).

**ayRhp1 Protein Expression and Antibody Validation in _A. yongei_**

Using methods adapted from (4), _A. yongei_ tissue was removed from the skeleton using an airbrush loaded with homogenization buffer. Homogenate was sonicated on ice for 4 x 10-sec bursts with 1 min rest in-between. The sonicated homogenate was then centrifuged (500 x g, 15 min, 4°C) to pellet down debris; the supernatant was kept on ice but not frozen. Sample
protein concentrations were determined using a Bradford Protein Assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). 4x Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad) and 10% β-mercaptoethanol were added to samples before heating at 90°C for 3 min. 22.5 μg protein and 4 μl of Precision Plus Dual Color Protein Standards (Bio-Rad) were loaded into a 10% polyacrylamide SDS-PAGE gel in a Mini-Trans Blot Cell (Bio-Rad) with running buffer (25 mM Tris, 190 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS). Electrophoresis was run for 100 min at 100 V (4°C).

Following electrophoresis, the gel was washed in distilled water for 5 min and equilibrated in Towbin buffer (25 mM Tris pH 8.3, 192 mM glycine, 20% (v/v) methanol) for 15 min. Proteins were transferred from the gel onto a PVDF membrane using a Mini Tans-Blot Cell (Bio-Rad) overnight in Towbin buffer (0.09 A, 4°C). PVDF membranes were washed in Tris-buffered Saline + 0.1% Tween detergent (TBS-T) for 15 min on a shaker at room temperature to remove excess transfer buffer prior to blocking. Membranes were then blocked with 5% powdered fat-free milk in TBS-T for 1 h on a shaker at room temperature.

Following blocking, membranes were incubated overnight on a shaker (4°C) with anti-ayRhp1 primary antibody (0.216 μg/ml), primary antibody with 400x excess peptide on a molar base (‘pre-absorption control’), or pre-immune serum (0.216 μg/ml) diluted in blocking buffer. Membranes were then washed with 4 x 15-min TBS-T washes prior to incubation with secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit-HRP diluted 1:10,000, Bio-Rad) for 1 h on a shaker at room temperature. Membranes were again washed with 4 x 15-min TBS-T washes and a final 15-min TBS wash prior to band development with an ECL Prime Western Blot Detection Kit (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) and imaged using a Chemidoc Imaging system (Bio-Rad).

**Confirmation of ayRhp1 Protein Expression in Xenopus Oocytes**

Using methods adapted from (83), ayRhp1-expressing and control Xenopus oocytes were added to ice-cold homogenization buffer (250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 30 mM TRIS in milliQ-water) spiked with protease inhibitor cocktail (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA, USA) at a ratio of 1 oocyte:50 μL. Oocytes were homogenized on ice by gentle pipetting and left for 20 mins at 4°C to solubilize membrane proteins. Homogenates were centrifuged (10,000 x g, 2 min, 4°C) to pellet yolk and debris; the lipid layers on top of the supernatants were removed and discarded. The supernatants were transferred to new tubes on ice and saved as crude homogenates. Sample protein concentrations were determined using a Bradford Protein Assay (Bio-Rad). 2X Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad) and 10% β-mercaptoethanol was added to samples. Half of these homogenates were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and returned to -80°C. The remaining crude homogenate was heated to 70°C for 15 min and 16.0 μg total protein was subjected to Western blotting as described in the Methods. The PDVF membranes were incubated overnight on a shaker (4°C) with anti-ayRhp1 antibodies (0.216 μg/ml), primary antibody with 400x excess peptide on a molar base (‘pre-absorption control’), or anti-Tubulin mouse monoclonal antibody (4.7 ng/mL, 12G10 anti-alpha-tubulin, developed by J. Frankel and E.M. Nelsen, and obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the NICHD of the NIH and maintained at The University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City, IA 52242). PDVF membranes were processed as described in the Methods, using goat anti-rabbit-HRP or goat anti-mouse-HRP diluted 1:5,000 (Bio-Rad) as the secondary antibodies. The anti-ayRhp1 antibodies labeled a ~180 kDa band in ayRhp1-expressing oocytes which was
absent in control oocytes and in the pre-absorption control. This band corresponds to ayRhp1 trimers. (Fig. S6A).

The following day, the refrozen ayRhp1-expressing and control oocyte homogenates were thawed to promote dissociation of ayRhp1 trimers, mixed with 2x Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad) and β-mercaptoethanol, heated to 70°C for 15 min, and subjected to Western blotting and imaging as described above. The anti-ayRhp1 antibodies labeled ~120 and ~60 kDa bands that are absent in the control oocytes and in the pre-absorption control. These bands correspond to ayRhp1 dimers and monomers, respectively. (Fig. S6B-C). In addition to confirming ayRhp1 expression in Xenopus oocytes, these results conform the specificity of the anti-ayRhp1 antibodies against ayRhp1.

**Immunofluorescence**

Following (84), A. yongei nubbins were fixed for immunohistochemistry by immersion in S22 buffer (450 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 58 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM CaCl₂, 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.80) supplemented with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight on a rocking platform at 4°C. Nubbins were then transferred to calcium-free S22 buffer supplemented with 0.5 M EDTA to decalciﬁy the skeleton and with 0.5% paraformaldehyde to preserve tissue ﬁxation; this decalciﬁcation buffer was changed daily for 2 weeks. Once decalciﬁed, nubbins were dehydrated (50% ethanol for 5 h, 70% ethanol overnight, 95% ethanol for 20 min, 100% ethanol 3 x 20 min, SafeClear for 3 x 20 min), embedded in parafﬁn wax (3 x 30 min) and allowed to solidify for 48 h before microtome sectioning onto glass slides. A. yongei tissue sections were deparafﬁnized and serially rehydrated in SafeClear for 3 x 10 min, 100% ethanol for 10 min, 95% ethanol for 10 min, 70% ethanol for 10 min, and PBS with 0.2% (v/v) Triton-X-100 (PBS-TX) for 10 min.

Using methods adapted from (84, 49), isolated A. yongei cells were prepared by submerging a nubbin in 0.2 μm ﬁltered seawater and brushed with a toothbrush for 1 min. Cells were collected via centrifugation (3,000 x g, 4 minutes, 4°C) and ﬁxed by resuspension in S22 buffer with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min on ice. Fixative was removed via centrifugation (3,000 x g, 4 minutes, 4°C) and cells were resuspended in ~500µL of S22 buffer. Cells were then pipetted onto glass microscope slide and allowed to air dry at 4°C for no longer than 1 h before proceeding to immunolabeling.

Tissue sections and isolated cells were blocked for 1 h at room temperature in blocking buffer (4 ml PBS-TX, 80 μl normal goat serum, 0.8 μl keyhole limpet hemocyanin solution), followed by overnight incubation (4°C) with anti-ayRhp1 antibodies (2.16 μg/ml), anti-ayRhp1 antibodies pre-absorbed with excess peptide (8.64 μg/ml) or pre-immune serum (2.16 μg/ml) (all in blocking buffer) (Fig. S4D). Slides were washed in PBS-TX to remove unbound anti-ayRhp1 antibodies (3 x 5 min). Secondary antibodies (goat anti-rabbit-Alexa Fluor555, 4 μg/ml in blocking buffer; Invitrogen) were then added for 1 h at room temperature followed by DAPI DNA Stain (1 μg/ml; Invitrogen) for 5 min at room temperature. Slides were again washed PBS-TX to remove unbound secondary antibody (3 x 5 min) and samples were imaged using a ﬂuorescence microscope (Zeiss AxioObserver, Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany).
Supplementary Figure 1: Maximum likelihood tree of the Acropora yongei Rhesus protein (ayRhp1) in relation to invertebrate and vertebrate Rh proteins. Rh family subgroups are denoted by color: Rh30 (yellow), Rhag (blue), Rhbg (orange), Rhcg (green), Rhp1 (light and dark purple), and Rhp2 (grey) as per (2). Cnidarian Rh proteins are highlighted within the Rhp1 subgroup (light purple). Amino acid sequences were aligned using MUSCLE and a maximum likelihood tree was generated using RAxML (500 bootstraps, PROTGAMMA model of rate heterogeneity, GTR substitution model). Sequences and the outgroup (Nitrosoma europaea Rh) are sourced from (2) with additional sequences identified by NCBI BLAST search. Sequence accession numbers are provided in Supplementary Table 1.
Supplementary Figure 2: Alignment of Acropora yongei Rhesus protein (ayRhp1) with Homo sapiens RhCG (NP_001307970.1). Conserved (blue) and mismatched (orange) hydrophobic channel-lining residues, conserved (green) and mismatched (magenta) cytosolic shunt residues, N-glycan site (black filled triangles), CO₂ binding pocket (black outlined triangles) as discussed in (35), Phe-gate (red filled triangles), twin-Histidines (*), and the highly-conserved aspartate residues ($) as discussed in (20, 34) are marked. Gaps in sequences are denoted by black dots. The 12 transmembrane helices (TM), as predicted by TMHMM 2.0 (5, 6), for RhCG (20) and ayRhp1 are labeled with spirals.
**Supplementary Figure 3**: Effect of [NH₃] on total ammonium (Tamm) uptake rate in *Xenopus* oocytes expressing *Acropora yongei* Rhesus protein (ayRhp1). Data shows mean ± S.E.M. of 6-14 oocytes; * denote significant differences (unpaired t-test; **p<0.01; ****p<0.001).
Supplementary Figure 4: Immunohistochemistry and Western Blot validation of the custom anti-Acropora yongei Rhesus protein (ayRhp1) antibody. Tissue sections were incubated with...
anti-ayRhp1 primary antibody, primary antibody with excess peptide, or pre-immune serum at an equal concentration to primary antibody alone. Anti-ayRhp1 antibody signal dissipates with peptide incubation and is absent in pre-immune serum incubations. (A1-C1) Desmocytes in tissue sections; desmocytes are the most brightly-labeled cell type in A. yongei tissues. (A2-C2) corresponding brightfield differential interference contrast images. Nuclei are shown in blue, ayRhp1 in red. White arrowheads mark corresponding locations in epifluorescence and brightfield images. Scale bars = 10 µm. (D) Western Blot validation of the custom ayRhp1 antibody. Membranes were labeled with anti-ayRhp1 primary antibody, primary antibody with excess peptide, or pre-immune serum at an equal concentration to primary antibody alone.
Supplementary Figure 5: Epifluorescence immunolocalization of *Acropora yongei* Rhesus protein (ayRhp1) in isolated alga-hosting gastrodermal cells. (A1) Algal symbiont isolated from its host cell. (B1) A coral host cell with ayRhp1 on the symbiosome membrane. (C1) A coral host cell containing two algal symbionts with ayRhp1 on both symbiosome membranes. (D1) A coral host cell with ayRhp1 on the exterior and sides of the host nucleus. (E-N) Further representative epifluorescence images of ayRhp1 in isolated alga-hosting gastrodermal cells. (B1, D1, E-I1) Cells displaying ayRhp1 in the symbiosome membrane; notice the separation of host and algal nuclei by ayRhp1. (D1, F1-N1) Cells displaying non-symbiosomal ayRhp1; notice ayRhp1 signal exterior to the host nucleus and absent between the host and algal nuclei. (A2-N2) Corresponding images without brightfield differential interference contrast. Blue arrowheads mark nuclei of host cells; violet arrowheads mark ayRhp1 symbiosome localization. Nuclei are shown in blue and ayRhp1 in green. Scale bars = 5 µm.
Supplementary Figure 6: Western Blot validation of ayRhp1 expression in *Xenopus* oocytes. (A) Western Blot of once-thawed ayRhp1-expressing (Rh+) and control (C) oocytes, which were homogenized and heated at 70°C for 15 min before loading into the gels. PVDF membranes were incubated with anti-ayRhp1 antibodies, anti-ayRhp1 antibodies pre-incubated with excess peptide (pre-absorption control), or anti-Tubulin antibody (12G10 anti-alpha-tubulin, developed by J. Frankel and E.M. Nelsen, and obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the NICHD of the NIH and maintained at The University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City, IA 52242). The anti-ayRhp1 antibodies labeled a ~180 kDa band in Rh+ oocytes which was absent in C oocytes (marked with three green asterisks) and in the pre-absorption control. This band corresponds to ayRhp1 trimers. The antibodies additionally labeled a ~29 kDa band in both Rh+ and C oocytes (marked with a black asterisk) which was not present in the pre-absorption control; this band represents off-target recognition of *Xenopus* protein(s). The anti-tubulin antibody labeled a ~50 kDa protein in both treatments demonstrating equivalent protein concentration in Rh+ and C samples. (B, C) Western Blots of rethawed Rh+ (B) and C (C) oocyte homogenates which were heated at 70°C for 15 min before loading into the gels. The anti-ayRhp1 antibodies labeled a ~120 kDa band (marked with two red asterisks) and a ~60 kDa band (marked with one red asterisk) that are absent in the C oocytes and in the pre-absorption control. These bands correspond to ayRhp1 dimers and monomers, respectively. The ~29 kDa band is still present in the rethawed homogenates.
**Supplementary Table 1:** NCBI accession numbers for sequences used in phylogenetic analysis (Fig. S1).

| ID  | Accession Number | Organism                          |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1   | AY377923.1       | Nitrosomonas europaea             |
| 2   | MH025799         | Acropora yongei                   |
| 3   | XP_015769291.1   | Acropora digitifera               |
| 4   | XP_022795556.1   | Stylophora pistillata             |
| 5   | XP_020600999.1   | Orbicella faveolata               |
| 6   | KXJ18310.1       | Exaiptasia pallida                |
| 7   | XP_019645061.1   | Branchiostoma belcheri            |
| 8   | XP_006824549.1   | Saccoglossus kowalevskii          |
| 9   | XP_013381459.1   | Lingula anatine                   |
| 10  | KZS19566.1       | Daphnia magna                     |
| 11  | AFK10779.1       | Callorhinchus milii               |
| 12  | XP_022107421.1   | Acanthaster planci                |
| 13  | AJF44128.1       | Squalus acantias                  |
| 14  | XP_002167946.3   | Hydra vulgaris                    |
| 15  | EKC21768.1       | Crassostrea gigas                 |
| 16  | AHY27545.2       | Portunus trituberculatus          |
| 17  | NP_571622.1      | Danio rerio                       |
| 18  | NP_001027816.1   | Takifugu rubripes                 |
| 19  | NP_001027817.1   | Takifugu rubripes                 |
| 20  | XP_001695464.1   | Chlamydomonas reinhardtii         |
| 21  | XP_639042.1      | Dictyostelium discoideum          |
| 22  | CAA73029.1       | Geodia cydonium                   |
| 23  | AAF97864.1       | Caenorhabditis elegans            |
| 24  | XP_002636925.1   | Caenorhabditis briggsae           |
| 25  | NP_001027959.1   | Ciona intestinalis                |
| 26  | AAK50057.2       | Carcinus maenas                   |
| 27  | AEA41159.1       | Metacarcinus magister             |
| 28  | ETN62951.1       | Anopheles darlingi                |
| 29  | AAV40852.1       | Drosophila pseudoobscura          |
| 30  | NP_001261434.1   | Drosophila melanogaster           |
| 31  | AAY41910.1       | Ciona savignyi                    |
| 32  | NP_001019990.1   | Danio rerio                       |
| 33  | NP_001117044.1   | Salmo salar                       |
| 34  | NP_001027935.1   | Takifugu rubripes                 |
| 35  | AAY41905.1       | Tetraodon nigroviridis            |
| 36  | NP_001084416.1   | Xenopus laevis                    |
| 37  | NP_989798.1      | Gallus gallus                     |
| 38  | AAC25123.1       | Mus musculus                      |
| 39  | AAP87367.1       | Oncorhynchus mykiss               |
|   | Accession   | Species                  |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|
| 40| NP_071950.1 | Rattus norvegicus        |
| 41| NP_777137.1 | Bos taurus               |
| 42| AAF22442.1  | Callithrix jacchus       |
| 43| NP_001041501.1 | Canis lupus familiaris   |
| 44| AAF22501.1  | Sapajus apella          |
| 45| NP_001028136.3 | Macaca mulatta         |
| 46| NP_999543.1 | Sus scrofa               |
| 47| NP_001266526.1 | Gorilla gorilla       |
| 48| XP_003891396.1 | Papio anubis          |
| 49| AAC94962.1  | Pongo pygmaeus         |
| 50| Q28813.2    | Pan troglodytes         |
| 51| P18577.2    | Homo sapiens            |
| 52| NP_001027987.1 | Macaca mulatta         |
| 53| NP_001032956.1 | Takifugu rubripes     |
| 54| NP_998010.1 | Danio rerio             |
| 55| AAV28818.1  | Ambystoma tigrinum tigrinum |
| 56| XP_018121493.1 | Xenopus laevis      |
| 57| NP_989795.1 | Gallus gallus          |
| 58| NP_776596.1 | Bos taurus              |
| 59| NP_001104238.1 | Canis lupus familiaris |
| 60| AHY04440.1  | Homo sapiens            |
| 61| XP_017354151.1 | Cebus capucinus imitator |
| 62| NP_001266499.1 | Gorilla gorilla     |
| 63| AAG00305.1  | Pongo pygmaeus          |
| 64| NP_956365.2 | Danio rerio             |
| 65| NP_001027818.1 | Takifugu rubripes     |
| 66| NP_001075605.1 | Oryctolagus cuniculus |
| 67| NP_001098561.1 | Oryzias latipes     |
| 68| Q3BBX8.1    | Tetraodon nigroviridis  |
| 69| NP_001011175.1 | Xenopus tropicalis   |
| 70| Q69D48.1    | Xenopus laevis           |
| 71| AAN34362.1  | Gallus gallus           |
| 72| AAF19371.1  | Mus musculus            |
| 73| NP_898877.1 | Rattus norvegicus       |
| 74| NP_001003017.2 | Canis lupus familiaris |
| 75| NP_777148.1 | Bos taurus              |
| 76| NP_999161.1 | Sus scrofa              |
| 77| NP_001117995.1 | Oncorhynchus mykiss   |
| 78| AAM90586.1  | Danio rerio             |
| 79| NP_001027934.1 | Takifugu rubripes     |
| 80| Q3BBX7.1    | Tetraodon nigroviridis  |
| 81| NP_001116374.1 | Oryzias latipes     |
| 82| XP_012814245.1 | Xenopus tropicalis   |
|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 83 | Q5U4V1.1 | Xenopus laevis |
| 84 | NP_001004370.1 | Gallus gallus |
| 85 | AAF19373.1 | Mus musculus |
| 86 | NP_898876.1 | Rattus norvegicus |
| 87 | NP_776597.1 | Bos taurus |
| 88 | NP_001041487.1 | Canis lupus familiaris |
| 89 | XP_002825848.1 | Pongo abelii |
| 90 | XP_016782540.1 | Pan troglodytes |
| 91 | NP_057405.1 | Homo sapiens |
| 92 | BAB39709.1 | Dictyostelium discoideum |
| 93 | X77608.1 | Saccharomyces cerevisiae |
| 94 | AAU81656.1 | Takifugu rubripes |
| 95 | NP_001009033.1 | Pan troglodytes |
| 96 | AF193807.1 | Homo sapiens |
| 97 | AJO26542.1 | Erpobdella obscura |

**SI Files**

**Data S1**
Cell count data used for determining diel ayRhp1 localization in coral host cells.
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| Trial | Time | # cells with symbiosomal ayRhp1 signal |
|-------|------|----------------------------------------|
| 1     | 1330 | 35                                     |
| 1     | 1730 | 27                                     |
| 1     | 1830 | 22                                     |
| 1     | 2300 | 12                                     |
| 1     | 730  | 24                                     |
| 1     | 830  | 31                                     |
| 2     | 1330 | 29                                     |
| 2     | 1730 | 18                                     |
| 2     | 1830 | 21                                     |
| 2     | 2300 | 15                                     |
| 2     | 730  | 16                                     |
| 2     | 830  | 25                                     |
| 3     | 1330 | 28                                     |
| 3     | 1730 | 22                                     |
| 3     | 1830 | 12                                     |
| 3     | 2300 | 12                                     |
| 3     | 730  | 29                                     |
| 3     | 830  | 28                                     |
# cells with non-symbiosomal ayRhp1 signal

|      |
|------|
| 15   |
| 23   |
| 28   |
| 38   |
| 26   |
| 19   |
| 21   |
| 32   |
| 29   |
| 35   |
| 34   |
| 25   |
| 22   |
| 28   |
| 38   |
| 38   |
| 21   |
| 22   |