Assessing *in situ* rates of anaerobic hydrocarbon bioremediation
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**Summary**

Identifying metabolites associated with anaerobic hydrocarbon biodegradation is a reliable way to garner evidence for the intrinsic bioremediation of problem contaminants. While such metabolites have been detected at numerous sites, the *in situ* rates of anaerobic hydrocarbon decay remain largely unknown. Yet, realistic rate information is critical for predicting how long individual contaminants will persist and remain environmental threats. Here, single-well push–pull tests were conducted at two fuel-contaminated aquifers to determine the *in situ* biotransformation rates of a suite of hydrocarbons added as deuterated surrogates, including toluene-\(d_8\), \(o\)-xylene-\(d_{10}\), \(m\)-xylene-\(d_{10}\), ethylbenzene-\(d_8\) (or \(d_{10}\)), 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene-\(d_{15}\), 1, 3, 5-trimethylbenzene-\(d_{15}\), methylcyclohexane-\(d_4\), and \(n\)-hexane-\(d_4\). The formation of deuterated fumarate addition and downstream metabolites was quantified and found to be somewhat variable among wells in each aquifer, but generally within an order of magnitude. Deuterated metabolites formed in one aquifer at rates that ranged from 3 to 50 \(\mu\)g l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), while the comparable rates at another aquifer were slower and ranged from 0.03 to 15 \(\mu\)g l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\). An important observation was that the deuterated hydrocarbon surrogates were metabolized *in situ* within hours or days at both sites, in contrast to many laboratory findings suggesting that long lag periods of weeks to months before the onset of anaerobic biodegradation are typical. It seems clear that highly reduced conditions are not detrimental to the intrinsic bioremediation of fuel-contaminated aquifers.

**Introduction**

Intrinsic bioremediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated environments is now more accepted as a cost-effective site management strategy (NRC, 1993; Beller, 2000; Stenuit et al., 2008). This is due to the increased understanding of the relevant physical, chemical and biological processes that contribute to the attenuation of contaminants and to the development of effective tools to assess and monitor *in situ* mitigation efforts (Griebler et al., 2004; Beller et al., 2008). It is well known that aquifers contaminated with fuel rapidly become anaerobic, so the natural attenuation of the component hydrocarbons must rely on the metabolic capabilities of the indigenous anaerobic microorganisms (e.g. Foght, 2008). Research in the last 20 years indicates that a variety of petroleum hydrocarbons, including monoaromatic, polycyclic aromatic (PAH), aliphatic, and aliphatic hydrocarbons can be biodegraded by anaerobic microorganisms via a growing list of novel enzymatic mechanisms (e.g. Widdel et al., 2006; Heider, 2007; Foght, 2008). Such investigations have yielded useful approaches for obtaining convincing evidence for the anaerobic *in situ* biodegradation of hydrocarbons, including the detection of unambiguous signature microbial metabolites (Beller et al., 1995; Beller, 2000; Gieg and Suflita, 2002).

An important mechanism used by anaerobes to activate hydrocarbons involves the addition of the parent substrate across the double bond of fumarate (Fig. 1). Fumarate is a central metabolic C\(_2\) dicarboxylic acid that is added to a hydrocarbon via a glycol radical enzyme (e.g. Widdel et al., 2006). This process was initially demonstrated with toluene-degrading denitrifying bacteria and resulted in the transient formation of benzyllsuccinic acid (Fig. 1A; Biegert et al., 1996; Beller and Spormann, 1997). Subsequent work demonstrated that other alkylbenzenes (e.g. Fig. 1B and C), aliphatic and aliphatic alkanes (e.g. Fig. 1E and F), and PAHs may be degraded via fumarate addition reactions to form specific succinate metabolites.
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Deuterated hydrocarbons used in this study and their corresponding fumarate addition and downstream metabolites assayed in push–pull tests by LC-MS-MS and/or GC-MS to elucidate rates of in situ hydrocarbon biotransformation. 1Analogous pathway for the \(\alpha\)-xylene-\(d_8\) isomer. 2Ethylbenzene-\(d_{10}\) was used in the Ft. Lupton tests, whereas ethylbenzene-\(d_5\) was used in the Hickam tests. 3Analogous pathway for the 1, 2, 4-TMB-\(d_{12}\) isomer.
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observed attenuation is actually due to biodegradation (Krooss et al., 1999; Annweiler et al., 2000; Kropp et al., 2000; Elshahed et al., 2001; Rabus et al., 2001; Kneiemeyer et al., 2003; Rios-Hernandez et al., 2003; Wilkes et al., 2003). Beller and colleagues (1995) initially proposed that the fumarate addition metabolites be used as signature indicators of in situ anaerobic hydrocarbon decay and they detected the respective products from toluene, o-xylene and m-xylene in a contaminated aquifer. Subsequent testing showed that the substrate-specific fumarate addition metabolites from these and other alkylbenzenes, alkanes and PAHs could be detected in situ at a variety of geologically and geographically diverse fuel-contaminated sites (Beller, 2000; Gieg and Suffita, 2002; Ohlenbusch et al., 2002; Martus and Puttmann, 2003; Griebler et al., 2004; Ledin et al., 2005; McKelvie et al., 2005; Young and Phelps, 2005; Safinowski et al., 2006; Beller et al., 2008). Downstream metabolites from the fumarate addition intermediates (such as benzoate from toluene or toluate from xylenes) can also be detected in some aquifers (e.g. Cozzarelli et al., 1995; Gieg et al., 1999; Griebler et al., 2004). Despite their widespread detection, the in situ rates of anaerobic hydrocarbon metabolite formation are poorly understood. Lag periods of weeks to months prior to the onset of anaerobic hydrocarbon metabolism are commonly associated with laboratory experiments and suggest that field rates may be correspondingly slow (Edwards and Grbic-Galic, 1994; Gieg et al., 1999). Rate information is crucial for accurate predictions of how long contaminants will remain environmental threats when relying on intrinsic bioremediation as a site management strategy.

One technique that can be used to quantitatively evaluate in situ microbial activities in aquifers is the single-well push–pull test (Istok et al., 1997). In this procedure, reactants of interest and a conservative tracer are mixed with water and injected, or ‘pushed’ into an aquifer using an existing well. Samples are withdrawn or ‘pulled’ from the same well and analyte concentrations are interpreted relative to the conservative tracer. Breakthrough curves for reactants, products and the tracer measured during the extraction phase are then used to calculate reaction rates for a given biotransformation process (Istok et al., 1997). Push–pull tests have been used most frequently to evaluate in situ respiration processes (Trudell et al., 1986; Istok et al., 1997; Haggerty et al., 1998; Kleikemper et al., 2002; Harris et al., 2006), reductive dechlorination (Hagerman et al., 2001; 2004; Kim et al., 2006; 2008; Azizian et al., 2007) and microbial processes at heavy metal-contaminated sites (Senko et al., 2002; Istok et al., 2004; North et al., 2004). Only a handful of studies have evaluated the loss of injected hydrocarbons in situ using push–pull tests (Thierin et al., 1993; Reinhard et al., 1997; 2005), but it is often unclear how much of the observed attenuation is actually due to biodegradation versus non-biological processes. To address this uncertainty, Reusser and colleagues (2002) used stable isotope labelled (deuterated) hydrocarbons in push–pull tests to evaluate their in situ biotransformation to the corresponding deuterated fumarate addition metabolites. The use of isotopically-heavy surrogates offers the advantage of detecting in situ hydrocarbon biotransformation reactions against a high background contaminant concentration and the labelled metabolites can unequivocally be attributed to biological processes. Indeed, Reusser and colleagues (2002) were able to measure the formation of the expected deuterated fumarate addition metabolites from toluene-d8 and o-xylene-d10, with formation rates ranging from 1 to 7 nM day−1 (approximately 0.2–1.6 μg l−1 day−1). Since 1 mol of the parent hydrocarbon is converted to 1 mol of the corresponding fumarate addition metabolite, rates of formation conservatively reflect the rates of parent substrate decay.

We sought to assess whether these rates were generalizing to other fuel-contaminated aquifers by examining these same hydrocarbons (toluene-d8 and o-xylene-d10) along with an expanded suite of other hydrocarbons of varying water solubilities. In addition to measuring fumarate addition metabolite formation (Fig. 1), we assayed for additional downstream metabolites (e.g. toluate from xylenes, Fig. 1B) that might also be used to bolster evidence for the intrinsic rate of in situ hydrocarbon bioremediation. Push–pull tests were conducted at: (i) a well-studied gas condensate-contaminated aquifer located near Ft. Lupton, CO, USA (referred to as the Ft. Lupton site) where intrinsic bioremediation was previously documented (Gieg et al., 1999) and (ii) at a jet fuel-contaminated aquifer underlying Hickam Air Force Base, HI, USA (referred to as the Hickam site) where anaerobic hydrocarbon decay was suspected based on geochemical evidence (Parsons, 2005). Details about these sites are outlined in the Experimental procedures. We found that most of the deuterated test hydrocarbons were transiently converted to the expected isotopically-labelled fumarate addition and downstream metabolites within days at both sites and rate information could be reliably determined.

Results

Laboratory incubations and the formation of deuterated metabolites

Sediments from the gas condensate-contaminated Ft. Lupton site were incubated with a suite of deuterated hydrocarbons under sulfate-reducing conditions in the laboratory in order to determine whether deuterated fumarate addition metabolites would form (Fig. 1). Our goal was to biologically generate deuterated hydrocarbon metabolites that could serve as authentic standards for
analytical comparisons with deuterated metabolites formed in field push–pull tests. We found that anaerobic microorganisms from the Ft. Lupton site could biodegrade the fully deuterated surrogates of toluene, o-xylene, m-xylene, 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene (1, 2, 4-TMB), 1, 3, 5-trimethylbenzene (1, 3, 5-TMB) and methylcyclohexane under sulfate-reducing conditions in laboratory incubations (data not shown). Evidence for biodegradation included concomitant parent substrate loss and sulfate consumption in stoichiometrically expected amounts, confirming previous observations (Elshahed et al., 2001). In the same incubations, we identified several deuterated metabolites indicative of the anaerobic biotransformation of the deuterated parent hydrocarbons (Fig. 1) using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). We detected the formation of benzylsuccinate (BSA)-d₈ from toluene-d₈ and m-methylBSA-d₁₀ from m-xylene-d₁₀ based on comparisons with the GC-MS characteristics of unlabelled authentic standards wherein the mass ion and other fragment ions were shifted up by 8 or 10 mass units respectively (Fig. 2A–D). Benzoate-d₅ (from toluene-d₈) and m-toluate-d₇ (from m-xylene-d₁₀) were also detected (not shown). In the 1, 3, 5-TMB-d₁₂-amended incubations, we identified the predicted fumarate addition metabolite 3, 5-dimethylBSA-d₁₂ as well as the downstream metabolite 3, 5-dimethylbenzoate-d₁₀ (Fig. 1D) by GC-MS. The MS profiles of unlabelled synthesized 3, 5-dimethylBSA and culture-generated 3, 5-dimethylBSA-d₁₂ are compared in Fig. 3A and B, showing a shift upwards of 12 units in the resulting mass fragment ion for the d₁₂-labelled metabolite. Figure 3C further shows the MS of the downstream metabolite 3, 5-dimethylbenzoate-d₁₀ detected in the 1, 3, 5-TMB-d₁₂-degrading enrichment. From o-xylene-d₁₀- and 1, 2, 4-TMB-d₁₂-amended incubations, we could only detect the corresponding deuterated methyl- and dimethylbenzoates respectively (not shown). In the methylcyclohexane-d₁₄-amended incubations, the corresponding deuterated fumarate addition metabolite was tentatively identified (Fig. S1) by comparison with the mass spectral features of deuterated hexyl- and octylsuccinic acid (Gieg and Suflita, 2002). These culture-generated compounds were used as authentic standards to compare with deuterated metabolites formed during the field push–pull tests. Surprisingly, ethylbenzene-d₁₀ was not metabolized in the laboratory incubations, although we previously observed its biodegradation and conver-
sion to the corresponding fumarate addition product using inoculum from the same aquifer (Gieg et al., 1999; Elshahed et al., 2001).

Unlabelled metabolites in the field

Prior to conducting push–pull tests, multiple groundwater samples were assayed for the presence of putative anaerobic hydrocarbon metabolites by GC-MS (Gieg and Suflita, 2002). At the Ft. Lupton site, the detection of fumarate addition metabolites associated with alkylbenzenes (m-xylene, p-xylene, ethylbenzene) and alkanes confirmed previous findings (Elshahed et al., 2001; Gieg and Suflita, 2002) and indicated that anaerobic hydrocarbon biodegradation was an ongoing process. Further, a fumarate addition metabolite was detected in several wells that matched the GC-MS characteristics of an authentic standard of 3, 5-dimethylBSA (Fig. 3A), indicating the in situ anaerobic hydrocarbon biodegradation was also occurring at the Hickam site. Additional metabolites, such as benzoate, toluate isomers and 3, 5-dimethylbenzoate (Fig. 1), were also found in a number of wells at concentrations of up to ~7 μg l⁻¹. In addition, a variety of C₅ to C₉ alkylsuccinates were identified in groundwater samples from this location, including (1-methylpentyl)succinate (from anaerobic n-hexane degradation, Rabus et al., 2001; Gieg and Suflita 2002) and a cyclic C₇-succinate, a putative metabolite of methylcyclohexane (Gieg and Suflita, 2002).

Field push–pull tests

Push–pull tests to assess the anaerobic biotransformation rates of toluene-ｄ₈, ethylbenzene-ｄ₁₀, o-xylene-ｄ₁₀, m-xylene-ｄ₁₀, 1, 2, 4-TMB-ｄ₁₂ and 1, 3, 5-TMB-ｄ₁₂ were conducted at seven locations within the contaminant plume at the Ft. Lupton site (numbered Tests 1–7). In four of these tests, the bromide tracer concentrations fell below detection within 3 days of the injection phase. As bromide serves to indicate the residence time of the injectate in the well and is used to account for dilution effects of all measured analytes, its detection is necessary in order to calculate in situ rates of hydrocarbon transformation. Bromide concentrations were measurable in the

Fig. 3. Mass spectral profiles of (A) synthesized standard of 3, 5-dimethylBSA, (B) fumarate addition metabolite produced during the anaerobic degradation of 1, 3, 5-TMB-ｄ₁₂ in laboratory incubations, (C) 3, 5-dimethylbenzoate-ｄ₉ produced during the anaerobic degradation of 1, 3, 5-TMB-ｄ₁₂ in laboratory enrichments and (D) metabolite detected during push–pull studies with deuterated hydrocarbons conducted at the Hickam site. Mass spectra and structures shown are of the trimethylsilylated derivatives analysed by GC-MS.
remaining tests (Tests 2, 5 and 7), so metabolite formation rate data could be obtained (Table 1). Deuterated fumarate addition intermediates and expected downstream metabolites (Fig. 1, Table 1) were detected for all of the injected hydrocarbons, except for those from 1, 2, 4-TMB-\textsubscript{d10}, by comparison of liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS) profiles of the pulled water samples with the biologically generated standards from the laboratory incubations (described above; spectral characteristics for LC-MS-MS analysis are shown in Table S1). Such detection provided strong evidence for their anaerobic biodegradation under prevailing conditions. Figure 4 shows representative extraction-phase breakthrough curves for the deuterated BSA (A) as well as for deuterated downstream metabolites (B) that were transiently formed during one test at the Ft. Lupton site (Test 5). The formation rates for each metabolite (Table 1) were determined based on the concentrations measured at initial time points (as shown in Fig. 4A). At the Ft. Lupton site, the rates of formation of the deuterated fumarate addition products and deuterated downstream metabolites were quite consistent, ranging from 3 to 50 \( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1} \) and 8 to 39 \( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1} \) respectively (Table 1). Notable exceptions to these ranges were observed in Test 2, where the rate of formation of o-toluate-\textsubscript{d5} was near 160 \( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1} \) and in Test 5, where ethylBSA-\textsubscript{d10} formed at 366 \( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1} \) (Table 1). The metabolites ethylBSA-\textsubscript{d10} and o-methylBSA-\textsubscript{d10} were poorly resolved using the LC-MS-MS method, so this inordinately high rate of metabolite formation may reflect a portion of the combined concentrations of both compounds. The fumarate addition metabolite of 1, 3, 5-TMB-\textsubscript{d12}, 3, 5-dimethylBSA-\textsubscript{d12}, was also detected in some Test 2 samples by LC-MS-MS at concentrations reaching 65 \( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1} \), but was not measurable at enough time points to obtain accurate rate information. For the same reason, the rate of formation of the downstream metabolite 3, 5-dimethylbenzoic acid-\textsubscript{d5}, although clearly detected by GC-MS (Fig. 3D), could not be determined.

The bromide concentration rapidly dropped to below detection limit within the first day of the extraction phase in two of the nine wells examined at the Hickam site. However, hydrocarbon transformation rate data could be obtained from the remaining seven wells. The rates of deuterated metabolite formation at the Hickam site are shown in Table 1. The fumarate addition metabolites from toluene-\textsubscript{d6}, \textit{o}-xylene-\textsubscript{d10} and ethylbenzene-\textsubscript{d6} formed at rates ranging from 0.11 to 16 \( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1} \), with the exception of ethylBSA-\textsubscript{d6} that was formed at a rate of 100 \( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1} \) in Test 9 (Table 1). The fumarate addition metabolite associated with \textit{m}-xylene-\textsubscript{d9} metabolism was formed at comparatively low rates (only up to 1.7 \( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1} \)). The expected downstream metabolites benzoate-\textsubscript{d6}, o-toluate-\textsubscript{d5} and \textit{m}-toluate-\textsubscript{d5} were also formed at lower rates relative to the fumarate addition reactions and ranged from 0.06 (the lower detection limit) to 2.5 \( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1} \). Examples of the transient formation of deuterated metabolites in Test 9 at the Hickam site are shown in Fig. 4C and D. Overall, the rates of formation of metabolites at the Hickam site were lower than those measured at the Ft. Lupton site (Table 1). The \textit{in situ} biotransformation of 1, 3, 5-TMB-\textsubscript{d12} was observed in one test only (Test 4), wherein 3,5-dimethylBSA-\textsubscript{d12} was formed at a rate of 0.1 \( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1} \). Throughout the duration of the test, the concentration of this metabolite continued to increase (not shown). Its downstream

---

**Table 1.** Production rates (\( \text{\mu g\ L}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1} \)) of deuterated metabolites in successful push–pull tests carried out at the Ft. Lupton and Hickam sites.

| Site      | Test# | BSA-\textsubscript{d6} | o-Me BSA-\textsubscript{d6} | m-Me BSA-\textsubscript{d6} | EthylBSA-\textsubscript{d6} \quad 3,5-diMe BSA-\textsubscript{d12} | 3,5-diMe \textit{toluate-}\textsubscript{d5} | o-toluate-\textsubscript{d5} | \textit{m}-toluate-\textsubscript{d5} | \textit{bzt-}\textsubscript{d5} |
|-----------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Ft. Lupton| Test 2| 19.1                    | 7.2                           | 9.2                           | 9.1                                                             | det                                      | 159.4\textsuperscript{a}       | 28.3                              | 22.8                           |
|           | Test 5| 50.2                    | nd                            | 28.9                          | 365.6\textsuperscript{b}                                         | nd                                       | 29.2                           | 39.4                              | 31.2                           |
|           | Test 7| nd                      | nd                            | 2.9                           | nd                                                              | nd                                       | 7.6                            | 14.6                              | nd                            |
| Rate summary Ft. Lupton |       | 3–50                    | 1–2.5                         | 0.68                          | nd                                                              | 0.30                                    | 0.15                           | nd                                |
| Hickam    | Test 2| 0.74                    | 0.054                         | 0.026                         | 0.13                                                            | nd                                       | 0.68                           | nd                                | 0.19                           |
|           | Test 3| 0.11                    | 0.051                         | nd                            | nd                                                              | nd                                       | 0.27                           | nd                                | nd                            |
|           | Test 4| 0.94                    | 9.5                           | 0.58                           | 1.30                                                            | 0.1                                      | 0.99                           | 2.52                              | 0.064                          |
|           | Test 5| 3.68                    | 15.9                          | 0.46                           | 12.76                                                           | nd                                       | 0.56                           | 1.41                              | nd                            |
|           | Test 7| 0.38                    | 0.68                          | 0.11                           | 0.64                                                            | nd                                       | 1.94                           | 1.52                              | nd                            |
|           | Test 9| 0.3     | 0.14                          | nd                            | 0.81                                                            | nd                                       | 0.30                           | 0.21                              | nd                            |
|           | Test 3| 15.4                    | 0.62                          | 1.73                           | 100.4\textsuperscript{c}                                         | 1.09                                    | 0.10                           | 0.15                              | nd                            |
| Rate summary Hickam |       | 0.03–15                  | 0.1–2.5                       | 0.30                           | 0.21                                                            | nd                                       | 0.30                           | 0.21                              | nd                            |

\textsuperscript{a} For the Ft. Lupton experiment, ethylbenzene-\textsubscript{d5} was used, so the resulting fumarate addition metabolite detected was \textit{d5}-labelled; for the Hickam experiment, ethylbenzene-\textsubscript{d6} was used, so the fumarate addition metabolite detected was \textit{d6}-labelled.

\textsuperscript{b} Unusually high rates relative to those measured in other tests.

\textsuperscript{c} Rates were determined based on regression of initial time points.

\textit{bzt}, benzoate; \textit{det}, metabolite detected; \textit{nd}, metabolite not detected.
metabolite, 3, 5-dimethylbenzoate-\textit{d}$_9$ was also detected in some samples by GC-MS (Fig. 3D).

At the Hickam site, we tried to extend our ability to measure field biodegradation rates of low-water-solubility hydrocarbons by using \textit{n}-hexane-\textit{d}$_{14}$ and methylcyclohexane-\textit{d}$_{14}$ as model aliphatic and alicyclic alkanes respectively. As noted above, the anaerobic biodegradation of these compounds was implicated by the identification of the corresponding unlabelled fumarate addition metabolites in several of the wells prior to our field procedures. Within the time frame of our experiments (up to 21 days), we were unable to detect the expected deuterated fumarate addition metabolites. However, when we analysed the water samples used in the field injection procedure to confirm the substrate concentration actually added to the wells, we were unable to detect \textit{n}-hexane-\textit{d}$_{14}$ and we found only 3\% (10 \textmu g l$^{-1}$) rather than the expected 300 \textmu g l$^{-1}$ of intended amount of methylcyclohexane-\textit{d}$_{14}$. Clearly, these substrates were not delivered as intended and therefore, it is not surprising that the corresponding deuterated metabolites were also not found.

**Discussion**

The rates of \textit{in situ} anaerobic biotransformation of a variety of important alkylbenzenes associated with fuel mixtures spilled into aquifers were determined at two geographically and geologically distinct sites. In a previous proof-of-concept study, Reusser and colleagues (2002) showed that deuterated hydrocarbons could be used as surrogates in push–pull tests to observe the formation of corresponding deuterated fumarate addition metabolites. In their study, the biotransformation of two hydrocarbons was assessed in a total of four wells and the corresponding fumarate addition metabolites formed at rates of 1–7 nM day$^{-1}$ (approximately 0.2–1.6 \textmu g l$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$). Here, we expanded the number of hydrocarbons (to seven) and wells (to sixteen), and downstream metabolites were also assayed in an effort to obtain additional field anaerobic hydrocarbon biodegradation rates, examine the subsequent stages of decomposition and extend the field procedures to more hydrophobic substrates. Several of the fumarate addition metabolites we detected in the push–
Field rates of anaerobic hydrocarbon biodegradation

Pull tests had previously been detected in hydrocarbon-contaminated groundwater (such as BSA from toluene, methylBSA from xylene and ethylBSA from ethylbenzene; Beller et al., 1995; Gieg and Sulfita 2002). Here, we additionally found that 1, 3, 5-TMB can be anaerobically biotransformed to 3, 5-dimethylbenzylsuccinic acid and subsequently to 3, 5-dimethylbenzoic acid, as observed in both laboratory incubations and in groundwater samples. Further, we found that methylcyclohexane is converted to its fumarate addition product, methylcyclohexylsuccinic acid (tentatively identified in laboratory studies only). These observations extend the range of hydrocarbons known to be anaerobically biodegraded via a fumarate addition reaction.

The rates of metabolite formation in successful tests conducted at the Ft. Lupton site ranged from 3 to 50 μg l⁻¹ day⁻¹, whereas the comparable rates garnered from the Hickam site were lower overall, ranging from 0.03 to 15 μg l⁻¹ day⁻¹. The rates of metabolite formation of 0.2–1.5 μg l⁻¹ day⁻¹ as determined by Reusser and colleagues (2002) in two other hydrocarbon-contaminated aquifers are within the ranges measured here. We found that the monoaromatic acid metabolites were generally formed at rates similar to alkylbenzylsuccinic acids within each site, thus additional downstream metabolites (Fig. 1) are also useful indicators of in situ biodegradation activity. As can be seen in Fig. 4, the majority of anaerobic metabolites assayed for were detectable within 2–3 days following injection. This observation demonstrated that the resident anaerobic microbial populations do not require long lag periods before the onset of metabolism and that anaerobic and highly reducing environmental conditions do not limit in situ metabolism.

The rates of in situ metabolite formation ranged from being reproducible to varying by two orders of magnitude among various wells within the same site (Table 1). For example, at the Ft. Lupton site, rates of BSA-d₆ formation from toluene-d₆ were within the same order of magnitude, ranging from 19 to 50 μg l⁻¹ day⁻¹, and the rates of downstream metabolite formation were very similar overall. In contrast, rates of BSA-d₆ formation in the Hickam site as calculated from seven tests spanned across two orders of magnitude, from 0.1 to 15 μg l⁻¹ day⁻¹ (Table 1). This difference in rates is presumably due to the heterogeneous nature of the subsurface environment where requisite microorganisms, electron acceptor availability, nutrient levels, hydrocarbon concentrations and surrounding hydrogeological phenomena can be highly variable. Such heterogeneity may also explain some usually high rates of deuterated metabolite formation that we measured in some of the tests from both sites (Table 1).

Push–pull test methodology and resulting rate calculations are based on several assumptions, which include: (i) that injected solutions are well mixed with the surrounding groundwater, (ii) that the tracer used has the same transport properties as the analytes of interest and (iii) that there is some degree of spatial and temporal uniformity of in situ reactions (Haggerty et al., 1998; Burbery et al., 2004). In a study investigating rates of sulfate reduction in a fast-flowing aquifer, Burbery and colleagues (2004) reported on several limits of push–pull tests as measures of in situ microbial activity, including the lack of suitability for sites with high groundwater velocities. We also found this to be the case, as in four of the seven push–pull tests at the Ft. Lupton site, the bromide tracer was undetectable within 2 days following the injection presumably due to rapid groundwater migration along preferential flow paths. Without the tracer, we could not normalize the resulting information and obtain suitable rate measurements. The site heterogeneity offers a reasonable explanation for our findings, as we observed good tracer retention and rates could be determined from tests done in other wells located only about 5 m away from the unsuccessful tests.

Analysis of groundwater samples from the Hickam site prior to the push–pull tests showed that various alkanes and cyclic alkanes were undergoing anaerobic biodegradation to their respective alkylsuccinates. However, our current practice depends on the delivery of the substrates to aquifer in an aqueous phase during the injection phase of the push–pull tests. Our hydrocarbon measurements of samples taken during the injection phase showed that little or none of the n-hexane-d₄ or methylcyclohexane-d₄ was actually delivered in the intended manner. This is not a limitation of the push–pull test methodology per se, but shows that ways to ensure the delivery of less water-soluble but prevalent hydrocarbon contaminants warrant further investigation.

Despite some inherent limitations with push–pull tests, we were able determine in situ rates of anaerobic hydrocarbon biotransformation. In the field, the metabolites are certainly formed and consumed simultaneously. Thus, the net rate of metabolite formation likely reflects both processes and provides inherently conservative estimates of hydrocarbon metabolism. Based on this study and that of Reusser and colleagues (2002), we propose that the observed in situ rates of metabolite formation represent extremes (e.g. ranging from 0.1 to > 10 μg l⁻¹ day⁻¹) that could be useful for modeling purposes.

Experimental procedures

Site descriptions

Single-well push–pull tests were conducted at two hydrocarbon-contaminated sites. The first, located near Ft. Lupton, CO, USA (referred to as the Ft. Lupton site), consists of a shallow sandy aquifer overlying an active natural gas
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production field in an agricultural basin where gas condensate is co-produced. An underground storage tank containing gas condensate leaked in the 1970s, causing BTEx and other hydrocarbons (mainly in the C۵ to C۱۵ range) to spill into the underlying aquifer. The hydrocarbon contamination is confined around the water table level, approximately 1.4 m below surface to a 20 m x 15 m area. The mean hydraulic conductivity was calculated to be $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ m s$^{-1}$. A detailed description of this site and evidence that anaerobic intrinsic bioremediation of hydrocarbons is occurring here has been previously published (Gieg et al., 1999), including metabolic evidence for the in situ anaerobic biotransformation of alkylbenzenes and alkanes (Elshahed et al., 2001; Gieg and Suflita, 2002). Geochemical measurements taken over several years showed that sulfate reduction and/or methanogenesis are the predominant electron-accepting processes.

The second site comprises an approximately 65 acre portion of an aquifer underlying a residential and commercially developed portion of Hickam Air Force Base, Oahu, Hawaii, USA (the Hickam site). The subsurface of this area consists of about 0.9–3 m of sediment (sand, clay and silt) underlain by volcanic tuff till about 9 m below surface through which the groundwater flows mainly through fractures (Parsons, 2005). The water table ranges from about 2 to 6 m below surface and the formation is characterized by a mean hydraulic conductivity of $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ cm s$^{-1}$. Groundwater flows at variable rates in a westerly direction and ultimately discharges into the Pacific Ocean. The area served as a fuel storage and transportation facility from 1940 to 1974 and as a result of these activities, the underlying aquifer became contaminated (at an unknown time) with leded aviation gasoline and jet fuels. Most of the underground infrastructure relating to these activities was removed or closed in place, but remaining fuel components (including BTEx) continue to form a light non-aqueous phase layer that chronically contaminates surrounding groundwater to various extents. Numerous remedial actions have been implemented at the site since the mid-1980s with varying degrees of success, although multi-phase extraction units installed around the site had been effective at removing over 10,000 gallons of fuel as of 2005 (Parsons, 2005).

The feasibility of natural attenuation was investigated as a remedial option for parts of the site based on groundwater measurements suggesting that in situ hydrocarbon biodegradation might be occurring (Parsons, 2005). Such observations included a stable hydrocarbon plume, decreasing BTEx concentrations, and changes in electron acceptors and end product formation (i.e. sulfide and methane) (Parsons, 2005). One subsection of the underlying aquifer was selected by the operators and contractors of the Hickam Air Force Base site for our studies, encompassing an area of about 90 m x 110 m. As of June 2005, groundwater concentrations of toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene in the area ranged from less than 1 µg l$^{-1}$ up to 12, 290 and 32 µg l$^{-1}$ respectively (Parsons, 2005).

### Push–pull test procedures

The push–pull experimental methods used were similar for both sites and generally followed a previously published protocol (Reusser et al., 2002). Briefly, the injection solutions consisted of approximately 250 l of water amended with bromide (final concentration of 100 mg l$^{-1}$) as a non-reactive, non-sorbing tracer (Istok et al., 1997; Reusser et al., 2002) and isotopically heavy (deuterated) hydrocarbons (0.2–2.5 mg l$^{-1}$, depending on water solubility). Test solutions were made anaerobic by flushing with argon for 1 h and injected into groundwater wells at a target rate of 1 ml min$^{-1}$ (~250 min for the injection process). Oxygen levels of the test solutions were monitored throughout the injection phase to ensure anaerobicity using a commercially available test kit. At least five samples were collected at intervals during the injection phase to determine the concentration of each analyte added to a particular well. For both the ‘push’ and ‘pull’ phases of each experiment, samples were taken for hydrocarbon, metabolite and anion analyses. Samples for deuterated hydrocarbon analysis were collected in acid-preserved 40 ml VOA vials with no headspace. Samples for anion determinations were collected in 7 ml glass vials, and samples for metabolite analysis were collected in acid-preserved 250 ml glass bottles with Teflon-lined lids. All samples were kept cold immediately following sampling, shipped to the laboratory on ice and kept refrigerated prior to analyses.

For the Ft. Lupton site, the push–pull tests were carried out in May 2004. Groundwater from an uncontaminated portion of the aquifer (containing ~200 mg l$^{-1}$ or ~2 mM sulfate) was used to prepare the injection solution. Seven groundwater wells in the contaminated portion of the aquifer were used for push–pull tests (designated Tests 1–7). The suite of hydrocarbons in the field tests included toluene-$d_8$, ethylbenzene-$d_6$, $o$-xylene-$d_6$, $m$-xylene-$d_6$ (amended at a final target concentration of 2.5 mg l$^{-1}$), 1, 2, 4-TMB-$d_{12}$ and 1, 3, 5-TMB-$d_{12}$ (1 mg l$^{-1}$ final target concentration). Samples were removed daily for 18 days following the injection phase.

For the Hickam site, push–pull tests were carried out in May 2006. Tap water was used as the injection fluid. The suite of hydrocarbons (and their final target concentrations) included toluene-$d_8$, ethylbenzene-$d_6$ (ring-labelled), $o$-xylene-$d_{14}$, $m$-xylene-$d_{14}$ (all added to approximate a 2 mg l$^{-1}$ final concentration), 1, 3, 5-TMB-$d_{14}$ (1 mg l$^{-1}$), $n$-hexane-$d_{14}$ (0.2 mg l$^{-1}$) and methylcyclohexane-$d_{14}$ (0.3 mg l$^{-1}$). The latter two compounds, representative of alkanes and cyclic alkanes, respectively, were included to determine whether such low-water-solubility hydrocarbons (14–18 mg l$^{-1}$) could also be used in push–pull biotransformation assays. Nine wells were used for push–pull tests to determine the d-hydrocarbon biotransformation rates at this site (designated Tests 1–9). Samples were removed daily for 15–21 days following the injection of the test solution.

### Laboratory incubations

Contaminated sediments collected from the Ft. Lupton site were used to establish laboratory biodegradation assays in order to generate deuterium-labelled metabolites for use as authentic analytical standards. The microbial populations at this site were previously shown to biotransform toluene, ethylbenzene and all three xylene isomers to the respective
fumarate addition metabolites under sulfate-reducing conditions (Elshahed et al., 2001). Incubations were prepared in an anaerobic glove bag (containing 5% H₂ in N₂) by mixing 25 g sediments with 40 ml of cysteine sulfide-reduced groundwater sampled from an uncontaminated, upgradient well at the site (containing ~2 mM sulfate). The headspaces of incubations were exchanged with 20% CO₂ in N₂ prior to well at the site (containing 25 g sediments with 40 ml of cysteine sulfide-reduced dimethylbenzoate and benzoate were commercially available. Deuterated authentic standards were biologically generated from deuterated hydrocarbons using laboratory enrichments (as described above) and were used to determine LC-MS-MS retention times and mass spectral information. In some cases, GC-MS analysis was used to confirm or enhance LC-MS-MS metabolite results from field samples. Hydrocarbon concentrations in the laboratory incubations were measured by headspace analysis on a GC with flame ionization detection as previously described (Gieg et al., 1999).

Acknowledgements

We thank Paul Schneider at Kerr-McGee and Marty and Lisa Snella for permission to access and conduct push–pull tests at the Ft. Lupton site as well as Mark Petersen and Todd Lanning of the United States Air Force, 15th Airlift Wing for access and permission to conduct the tests at the Hickam site. We also appreciate the efforts of Chris Morgan, Bill Hughes and Dave Johnson of Weston Solutions for field assistance at the Hickam site, and Victoria Parisi (University of Oklahoma) and Ralph Reed (Oregon State University) for some technical assistance. This research was supported by a National Science Foundation Environmental Engineering Directorate Grant (BES-0332156).

References

Alumbaugh, R.E., Gieg, L.M., and Field, J.A. (2004) Determination of alkylbenzene metabolites in groundwater by solid-phase extraction and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A 1042: 89–97.

Annweiler, E., Materna, A., Safinowski, M., Kappler, A., Richnow, H.H., Michaelis, W., and Meckenstock, R.U. (2000) Anaerobic degradation of 2-methylnapthalene by a sulfate-reducing enrichment culture. Appl Environ Microbiol 66: 5329–5333.

Azizian, M.F.; Istok, J.D.; and Sempri, L. (2007) Evaluation of the in-situ aerobic cometabolism of chlorinated ethenes by toluene-utilizing microorganisms using push-pull tests. J Contam Hydrol 90: 105–124.

Beller, H.R. (2000) Metabolic indicators for detecting in situ anaerobic alkylbenzene degradation. Biodegradation 11: 125–139.

Beller, H.R., and Spormann, A. (1997) Anaerobic activation of toluene and o-xylene by addition to fumarate in denitrifying strain T. J Bacteriol 179: 670–676.

Beller, H.R., Ding, W.-H., and Reinhard, M. (1995) Byproducts of anaerobic alkylbenzene metabolism useful as indicators of in situ bioremediation. Environ Sci Technol 29: 2864–2870.

Beller, H.R., Kane, S.R., Legler, T.C., McKelvie, J.R., Sherwood Lollar, B., Pearson, F., et al. (2008) Comparative assessments of benzene, toluene, and xylene natural attenuation by quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis of a catabolic gene, signature metabolites, and compound-specific isotope analysis. Environ Sci Technol 42: 6065–6072.

Bickford, W.T., Fisher, G.S., Dollear, F.G., and Swift, C.E. (1948) Autoxidation of fats. I. Preparation and oxidation of alkylbenzene-maleic anhydride adducts. J Amer Oil Chem Soc July: 251–254.
Bieger, T., Fuchs, G., and Heider, J. (1996) Evidence that anaerobic oxidation of toluene in the denitrifying bacterium *Thauera aromatica* is initiated by formation of benzylsulfinate from toluene and fumarate. *Eur J Biochem* **238**: 661–668.

Burberry, L., Cassiani, G., Andreotti, G., Ricchiuto, T., and Semple, K.T. (2004) Single-well reactive tracer test and stable isotope analysis for determination of microbial activity in a fast hydrocarbon-contaminated aquifer. *Environ Poll** 129**: 321–330.

Cozzarelli, I.M., Herman, J.S., and Baedecker, M.J. (1995) Fate of microbial metabolites of hydrocarbons in a coastal plain aquifer: the role of electron acceptors. *Environ Sci Technol** 29**: 458–469.

Edwards, E.A., and Grbic'-Galic', D. (1994) Anaerobic degradation of toluene and o-xylene by a methanogenic consortium. *Appl Environ Microbiol** 60**: 313–322.

Elshahed, M.E., Gieg, L.M., McInerney, M.J., and Suflita, J.M. (2001) Signature metabolites attesting to the *in situ* attenuation of alkylbenzenes in anaerobic environments. *Environ Sci Technol** 35**: 682–689.

Foght, J. (2008) Anaerobic biodegradation of aromatic hydrocarbons: pathways and prospects. *J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol** 15**: 93–120.

Gieg, L.M., and Suflita, J.M. (2002) Detection of anaerobic metabolites of saturated and aromatic hydrocarbons in petroleum-contaminated aquifers. *Environ Sci Technol** 36**: 3775–3742.

Gieg, L.M., Kolhatkar, R.V., McInerney, M.J., Tanner, R.S., Harris, S.H., Sublette, K.L., and Suflita, J.M. (1999) Evidence for intrinsic bioremediation in a gas condensate-contaminated aquifer. *Environ Sci Technol** 33**: 2550–2560.

Griebler, C., Safinowski, M., Vieth, A., Richnow, H.H., and Meckenstock, R.U. (2004) Combined stable carbon isotope analysis and specific metabolites determination for assessing *in situ* degradation of aromatic hydrocarbons in a tar oil-contaminated aquifer. *Environ Sci Technol** 38**: 617–631.

Hageman, K.J., Istok, J.D., Field, J.A., Buscheck, T.E., and Semprini, L. (2001) *In situ* anaerobic transformation of trichlorofluoroethene in trichloroethene-contaminated groundwater. *Environ Sci Technol** 35**: 1729–1735.

Hageman, K.J., Field, J.A., Istok, J.D., and Semprini, L. (2004) Quantifying the effects of fumarate on *in situ* reductive dechlorination rates. *J Contam Hydro** 75**: 281–296.

Haggerty, R., Schroth, M.H., and Istok, J.D. (1998) Simplified method of *‘push-pull’* test data analysis for determining *in situ* reaction rate coefficients. *Groundwater** 26**: 314.

Harris, S.H., Istok, J.D., and Suflita, J.M. (2006) Changes in organic matter biodegradability influencing sulfate reduction in an aquifer contaminated by landfill leachate. *Microb Ecol** 51**: 535–542.

Heider, J. (2007) Adding handles to unhandy substrates: anaerobic hydrocarbon activation mechanisms. *Curr Opin Chem Biol** 11**: 188–194.

Istok, J.D., Humphrey, M.D., Schroth, M.H., Hyman, M.R., and O'Reilly, K.T. (1997) Single-well, *‘push-pull’* test for *in situ* determination of microbial activities. *Groundwater** 35**: 619.

Istok, J.D.; Senko, J.M., Krumholz, L.R.; Watson, D.; Bogle, M.A.; Peacock, A., *et al.* (2004) *In situ* bioreduction of technetium and uranium in a nitrate-contaminated aquifer. *Environ Sci Technol** 38**: 468–475.

Kim, Y., Istok, J.D., and Semprini, L. (2006) Push-pull tests evaluating in *situ* aerobic cometabolism of ethylene, propylene, and cis,1,2-dichloroethylene. *J Contam Hydrol** 82**: 165–181.

Kim, Y., Istok, J.D., and Semprini, L. (2008) Single-well, gas sparging test for evaluating the *in situ* aerobic cometabolism of cis,1,2-dichloroethene and trichloroethene. *Chemosphere** 71**: 1654–1664.

Kleikemper, J., Schroth, M.H., Sigler, W.V., Schmucki, M., Bernasconi, S.M., and Zeyer, J. (2002) Activity and diversity of sulfate-reducing bacteria in a petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated aquifer. *Appl Environ Microbiol** 68**: 1516–1523.

Kniemeyer, O., Fischer, T., Wilkes, H., Glöckner, F.O., and Widdel, F. (2003) Anaerobic degradation of ethylbenzene by a new type of marine sulphate-reducing bacterium. *Appl Environ Microbiol** 69**: 760–768.

Krieger, C.J., Beller, H.R., Reinhard, M., and Spormann, A.M. (1999) Initial reactions in anaerobic oxidation of *m*-xylene by the denitrifying bacterium *Azoarcus* sp. strain T. *J Bacteriol** 181**: 6403–6410.

Kropp, K.G., Davidova, I.A., and Suflita, J.M. (2000) Anaerobic oxidation of *n*-dodecane by an addition reaction in a sulfate-reducing bacterial enrichment culture. *Appl Environ Microbiol** 66**: 5393–5398.

Ledin, A., Reitzel, L.A., and Bjerg, P.L. (2005) Quantitative determination of toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene degradation products in contaminated groundwater by solid-phase extraction and in-vial determination. *Int J Environ Anal Chem** 85**: 1075–1087.

McKelvie, J.R., Lindstrom, J.E., Beller, H.R., Richmond, S.A., Lollar, B.S. (2005) Analysis of anaerobic BTEX biodegradation in a subarctic aquifer using isotopes and benzylsulfonates. *J Contam Hydro** 81**: 167–186.

Marts, P., and Püttrman, W. (2003) Formation of alkylated aromatic acids in groundwater by anaerobic degradation of alkylbenzenes. *Sci Total Environ** 307**: 19–33.

National Research Council (NRC). (1993) *In Situ Bioremediation: When Does it Work?* Washington, DC, NY, USA: National Academy Press.

North, N.N., Dollhopf, S.L., Petrie, L., Istok, J.D., Balkwill, D.L., and Kostka, J.E. (2004) Change in bacterial community structure during *in situ* biostimulation of subsurface sediment co-contaminated with uranium and nitrate. *Appl Environ Microbiol** 70**: 4911–4920.

Ohlenbusch, G., Zweiner, C., Meckenstock, R., and Frimmel, F.H. (2002) Identification and quantification of polar naphthalene derivatives in contaminated groundwater of a former gas plant site by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A** 967**: 201–207.

Parsons. (2005) Monitoring and effectiveness assessment of an interim removal action at spill site 01 (SS01), Hickam AFB, Oahu, HI. Final Monitored Natural Attenuation Assessment Report prepared for US Air Force 15th Airlift Wing Environmental Restoration Program.

Rabus, R., Wilkes, H., Behrends, A., Armstroff, A., Fischer, T., Pierik, A.J., and Widdel, F. (2001) Anaerobic initial reaction of *n*-alkanes in a denitrifying bacterium: evidence for
(1-methylpentyl)succinate as initial product and for involvement of an organic radical in n-hexane metabolism. *J Bacteriol* **183**: 1707–1715.

Reinhard, M., Shang, S., Kitanidis, P.K., Orwin, E., Hopkins, D.G., and Lebron, C.A. (1997) *In situ* BTEX biotransformation under enhanced nitrate- and sulfate-reducing conditions. *Environ Sci Technol* **31**: 28–36.

Reinhard, M., Hopkins, G.D., Steinle-Darling, E., and Lebron, C.A. (2005) *In situ* biotransformation of BTEX compounds under methanogenic conditions. *Ground Wat Monit Remedi* **25**: 50–59.

Reusser, D.E., Istok, J.D., Beller, H.R., and Field, J.A. (2002) *In situ* transformation of deuterated toluene and xylene to benzylsuccinic acid analogues in BTEX-contaminated aquifers. *Environ Sci Technol* **36**: 4127–4134.

Rios-Hernandez, L.A., Gieg, L.M., and Suflita, J.M. (2003) Biodegradation of an alicyclic hydrocarbon by a sulfate-reducing enrichment from a gas condensate-contaminated aquifer. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 434–443.

Safinowski, M., Griebler, C., and Meckenstock, R.U. (2006) Anaerobic cometabolic transformation of polycyclic and heterocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: evidence from laboratory and field studies. *Environ Sci Technol* **40**: 4165–4173.

Senko, J.M., Istok, J.D., Suflita, J.M., and Krumholz, L.R. (2002) *In-situ* evidence for uranium immobilization and remobilization. *Environ Sci Technol* **36**: 1491–1496.

Stenuit, B., Eyers, L., Schuler, L., Agathos, S.N., and George, I. (2008) Emerging high throughput approaches to analyze bioremediation of sites contaminated with hazardous and/or recalcitrant wastes. *Biotechnol Adv* **26**: 561–575.

Thierrin, J., Davis, G.B., Barber, C., Patterson, B.M., Pribac, F., Power, T.R., and Lambert, M. (1993) Natural degradation rates of BTEX compounds and naphthalene in a sulfate reducing groundwater environment. *Hydrol Sci* **38**: 309–322.

Trudell, M.R., Gillham, R.W., and Cherry, J.A. (1986) An *in situ* study of the occurrence and rate of denitrification in a shallow unconfined sand aquifer. *J Hydrol* **83**: 251–268.

Widdel, F., Boetius, A., and Rabus, R. (2006) Anaerobic biodegradation of hydrocarbons including methane. In *The Prokaryotes, Vol. 2, Ecophysiology and Biochemistry*. Dworkin, M., Falkow, S., Rosenberg, E., Schleifer, K.-H., and Stackebrandt, E. (eds). New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, pp. 1028–1049.

Wilkes, H., Kühner, S., Bolm, C., Fischer, T., Classen, A., Widdel, F., and Rabus, R. (2003) Formation of *n*-alkane- and cycloalkane-derived organic acids during anaerobic growth of a denitrifying bacterium with crude oil. *Org Geochem* **34**: 1313–1323.

Young, L.Y., and Phelps, C.D. (2005) Metabolic biomarkers for monitoring *in situ* anaerobic hydrocarbon degradation. *Environ Health Perspect* **113**: 62–67.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

**Fig. S1.** Mass spectral profile of a putative TMS-derivatized deuterated fumarate addition metabolite of methylcyclohexane-d14 detected in laboratory incubations.

**Table S1.** LC-MS-MS properties used for the analysis of anaerobic hydrocarbon metabolites. Quantification was based on calibrations prepared with unlabelled authentic standards (greyed entries in table). Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.