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Abstract

In this study, a traditional longline set used in the lower Sakarya River was investigated. Technical characteristics and usage of the longline set were determined. Approximately 25-30% of the fishermen in the lower Sakarya River use this method. Fishermen use the longline set with 30-40 baited hooks by positioning them between the two banks of the river (30-60 m). Fishermen target relatively big individuals, especially, Silurus glanis. However, Esox lucius and some Cyprinidae species are also caught. The average daily catch is between 5-10 kg and captured S. glanis individuals are between 1-20 kg. To ensure sustainability, catch per unit efforts of this traditional method, and spawning stock biomass should be monitored.
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Introduction

Fishing gears have been diversified according to technical characteristics of fishing gear, fishing ground, and targeted species (Hoşsucu 2005). Fishing lines are one of the most used fishing methods. This fishing method, which dates back to the ancient times (Kaykaç et al. 2003), is widely used in both commercial (Griffiths 2000) and amateur fishing today (Iwano and Öztürk 2012). The main reason for the widespread usage of this fishing gear that it can be used in all kinds of aquatic environments (Sitar et al. 2017; Pham et al. 2014), the cost is low (Bose et al. 2017) and construction and usage is simple. There are different types of fishing line as handlines and hand-operated pole-and-lines, mechanized lines, and pole-and-lines, set longlines, drifting longlines, longlines, vertical lines, trolling lines, hooks, and lines (CWP 2013).

Longline sets have a mainline and branchlines that are attached on mainline at certain intervals. Longline sets are known as demersal or pelagic fishing gears (FAO 2001). Longlines are used in the sea as well as in freshwater. In seas, different pelagic and demersal species are caught with longline sets like tuna (Francis et al. 2001), swordfish (Erdem and Akyol 2005), some Sparidae, Serranidae and Scorpaenidae species (Ulaş and Düzbastılar 2001), cod or flatfish (Hovgard and Lassen 2000). Bottom longlines are also used in freshwaters (von Brandt 1984). As an example, giant freshwater whipray...
(Urogymnus polylepis) which has minor commercial importance (Froese and Pauly 2019), is caught occasionally by longlines in riverine and estuarine areas (White et al. 2006). On the other hand, eel-longlines are used in German freshwater fisheries (EIFAC 1970). However, other fishing methods, especially gill net fishery, are more common in freshwaters.

Fyke nets, trammel nets, and gill nets are used in the lower Sakarya River. Alburnus sp., Barbus barbus, Capoeta sp., Carrassius carrassius, Cyprinus carpio, Esox lucius, Lepomis gibbosus, Squalius cephalus, Mugil sp., Pseudorasbora parva, Rhodeus amarus, Scardinius erythrophthalmus, Tinca tinca, Chondrostoma nasus, Abramis brama, Blicca björkna, Carrassius gibelio, Perca fluviatilis, Rutilus rutilus, Silurus glanis and Vimba vimba species are captured (Ateş et al. 2018; Aydın 2012). There is no longline literature in the lower Sakarya River. In this study, it was aimed to determine the characteristics of traditional fishing gear and fishing method used in lower Sakarya River, Turkey.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted between June 2017 and May 2018 in the 159.5 km section (Mekece in the south of Pamukova and Karasu Yenimahalle, where it flows into the Black Sea) of Sakarya River within the borders of Sakarya province (Figure 1). The study was conducted in two stages: face-to-face interviews with fishermen and fishing observations.

In the first stage, interviews were done with 17 fishermen. In these interviews, information was gathered about the technical characteristics of the fishing tackle (mainline and branchline number, length, material, hook type, and number, etc.), the baits used (species, size, live, fresh, etc.), fishing season, fishing area, caught species, targeted species, and the fishing problems.

In the second stage, it participated in three operations carried out by fishermen in the lower Sakarya River on different dates. In these operations, direct observations were made on bait supply methods, type, size, and usage of baits (live, fresh), the release time of fishing gear to the water, how the fishing operation is done, damages occurring in the fishing gear and catch composition.

Technical characteristics of the longline set were drawn according to the mode of FAO Catalogue of Small-scale Fishing Gear (Nédélec 1975).

Results

Differences between other methods

Even if the traditional longline set looks like trotline, it differs from trotline with weight usage. Various weights are used for sinker in trotline but any weight is not used in the traditional method. Therefore, the traditional method especially targets the surface fishes (i.e. no contact or connection with the bottom). Another similar example for longline, a method has been used for fish capture called “angling in the air”, in China (von Brandt 1984). In this method, baited hooks stand above the water. Fish jump out of the water and then captured. This fish behavior is the main reason for the construction of this fishing gear. However, in our method, baits are near the water surface.

Using method

Firstly, the longline is set to between two opposing riverbanks (ranges of two sides vary between 30-60 meters) and stretched (Figure 2) then hooks are baited.

Figure 1. Study area

Figure 2. The setting of longline between river banks
The longline set is released to water around sunset and is collected around sunrise. Due to catching large fishes, the longline set is always controlled every 1.5-2 hours till morning by the fisherman to prevent break-offs.

**Technical characteristics**

The Mainline is a 4-5 mm diameter multifilament PP (polypropylene) material. Branchlines are 60-80 cm long (=30-40 number of branch lines) and are consisted of 2 mm monofilament PA (polyamide) or steel material. Branchlines have 1.5-2 meters range between each other. No swivel is used. Hooks are made of steel and hook sizes are changed between 2/0-6/0 no (Figure 3).

**Baiting of hooks**

Baits are collected by fishermen from the surroundings. Hooks are baited with live frog, live fish, mole cricket, leeches, or fresh baits like chicken and turkey livers and meats after setting. Hooks are baited in different ways (Figure 4).

**Catch survey**

Approximately, 300 fishermen exist on the lower Sakarya River region and about 25-30% of them use this longline method. The most captured species are *S. glanis* (European catfish) and followed by *E. lucius* (Northern pike). Some Cyprinidae species are also caught, rarely. However, the targeted species is *S. glanis*. According to fishermen, the most productive months are between August and December. Approximately, 25-30% of fishermen use this method in the mentioned fishing season. Captured *S. glanis* individuals are between 1-20 kg (≈60-180 cm). On the other hand, total daily catch is between 5-10 kg.

**Environmental problems**

Trashes are one of the main problem of the lower Sakarya River fisheries. Trashes, especially plastic bags and logs, which swim on the surface of the river, damage to longline sets (break-offs of branchlines or main line). This situation affects catch efficiency and causes time and economic loss.

**Figure 3.** Technical characteristics of longline

**Figure 4.** Different methods of baiting hook with various baits; A; Live frog, B; Leech, C; Live fish, D; Mole cricket, E; Liver of chicken or turkey
Discussion

In Turkish fishery, longline sets are used widely in the small-scale fishery and there are some regulations in the meaning of sustainable fishery. Some rules are existing on commercial and amateur longline freshwater fishery like “using of living fish in pike-perch (Sander lucioperca L., 1758) and perch (P. fluviatilis L., 1758) fishery is forbidden” and “longline is forbidden in the amateur fishery” (GDFA 2016a, 2016b).

S. glanis and E. lucius are known as commercial species (Froese and Pauly 2019). The European catfish, S. glanis, has rapid growth and large bodyweight (Brzuska and Adamek 1999). Males and females become mature at 78.82 cm (3 years) and 87.05 cm (4 years), respectively (Alp et al. 2004). In fishery regulation, the minimum landing size of S. glanis is 90 cm (GDFA 2016a). Furthermore, there are season closures on this species. On the other hand, E. lucius becomes mature at 19.7 cm in total length (Balık et al., 2006) and has a 40 cm minimum landing size (GDFA 2016a). Season closures and fishing gear prohibition regulations also exist for this species. Minimum landing size regulations may support its stocks in the meaning of stock recruitment. Moreover, in this method, capturing of large individuals indicates that this gear is selective.

In different parts of the world, some researchers, Vejrik et al. (2017a) and Vejrik et al. (2017b) mentioned that they captured S. glanis and E. lucius individuals by longlines (with buoy and sinkers and no connection with landside). Also, Boulêtreau et al. (2016) did fishing trials on behavior of this species with longline but they did not give clear information about using of this fishing gear.

![Figure 5. Allowed hook sizes: a, b, c and d ranges must not be lower than 7.2 mm (GDFA 2016a)](http://www.fao.org/fishery/bt987e.pdf)

In the meaning of technical regulation of the longline, according to fishery rules, fishermen mustn’t use the hooks that have a gap below 7.2 mm (Figure 5). This rule could be an effective regulation to conserve smaller length classes.

Fishery regulations seem sufficient. Small individuals are not captured and the breeding chance of the species seems sufficient. Spawning stocks should be monitored not to make an overfishing pressure on targeted species’ and fishermen should be educated in the direction of stock sustainability.
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