The success rate and associate factors of vaginal birth after cesarean section at Tu Du Hospital in Vietnam

Abstract

Short Background: The cesarean section rate is 47% at Tu Du hospital, evaluating the level of likelihood that trial of labor after cesarean section (TOLAC) and factors associated with successful VBAC would be need to be analyzed.

Objective: To identify the success rate of vaginal birth after cesarean section (VBAC) and factors associated with vaginal delivery in who previously had a cesarean section at Tu Du hospital in Vietnam.

Methods: A prospective observational study was conducted on women who were ≥28 weeks pregnant and previously had a cesarean section. Data was collected from November 2013 to March 2014 from participants that were admitted to the delivery department at Tu Du hospital.

Results: 399 pregnant women who had one previous cesarean section were enrolled in the study. The success rate of VBAC was 54.14% [95%CI: 49.11-59.10]. The variables that affected the success rate of VBAC were: Bishop Score on admission ≥7 (OR* =4.00) compared to Bishop Score on admission <5, labor induction (OR* =0.18) compared to spontaneous labor, amniotic fluid stained with meconium (OR* =0.19). The incidence of uterine rupture was 1%. There was no significant difference in blood loss between vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery cases.

Conclusion: The success rate of VBAC was 54.14%. The uterine rupture and postpartum hemorrhage rate were comparable to other studies on VBAC. If there were no contraindications and participants had favorable factors, women with previous cesarean section were given the opportunity to attempt vaginal birth.
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Introduction

Cesarean section rate has been steadily increasing in most countries. Between 1970 and 2007,1 the cesarean delivery rate in United State increased from 5% to 31%. Consequently, the proportion of pregnant women with previous cesarean delivery increased. Managing high risk pregnancy continues to be a challenge to all Obstetricians.

The most appropriate mode of subsequent delivery of women with prior cesarean birth continues to be a subject of intense research and debate in contemporary obstetric practice. This controversy remains a major public health issue because the two options for delivery: planned elective repeat cesarean or planned vaginal birth in a subsequent pregnancy for these cohort of women with a previous cesarean birth are associated with both significant maternal and perinatal benefits and risks. Vaginal birth after cesarean delivery (VBAC) has long been proposed as a viable measure to reduce overall cesarean delivery rates in both developed and developing countries. It has been found to be safe with careful patient selection and good management of labour with success rates ranging between 60% and 80%.2

At Tu Du hospital, the cesarean section rate is 47%. The rate of placenta previa, placenta increta and ectopic pregnancy at cesarean scar also increased dramatically. Therefore, we are always encouraged trial of labor after cesarean section if no contraindication exist. Evaluating the level of likelihood that trial of labor after cesarean section (TOLAC) and factors associated with successful VBAC would be need to be analyzed. Therefore, we performed the study to determine the success rate of vaginal birth after cesarean section and the factors associated with the success rates.

Study objectives

a. To identify the success rate of vaginal birth rate of pregnant women who previously had a cesarean section from November 2013 to March 2014 at Tu Du hospital.

b. To examine the factors that are associated with a successful vaginal delivery after a cesarean section from November 2013 to March 2014at Tu Du hospital.

Methods

Study population

Pregnant women who had one previous cesarean section who were admitted to delivery department and indicated for trial of labor at TuDu hospital from November 2013 through March 2014.
Inclusion criteria:
- Low transverse previous incision in uterus
- Gestational age ≥28 weeks
- Singleton pregnancy
- Cephalic presentation
- Obtaining agreement for enrolling study

Exclusion criteria:
- Stillbirth
- Congenital malformations
- Previous multiple cesarean section (≥2 times)

Study design:
- Prospective observation study
- Study time: November 2013 to March 2014
- Study place: Delivery department at Tu Du hospital

Sample size:
\[ N = \frac{Z^2 \cdot \alpha/2 \cdot P \cdot (1 - P)}{d^2} \]
- P: Variation from 40% to 80% from the previous studies.\(^1\) Set P=0.5 for maximizing sampling power; \(\alpha=0.05; d=0.05 \Rightarrow \text{Sample size}: 386\]
- Sampling method: Enroll all participants that qualified during study period.

Study procedure:
- Step 1: Screening pregnant women who previously had cesarean section admitted to delivery department and indicated for vaginal birth by senior doctors.
- Step 2: Enrolling women who qualified and obtaining their consent forms.
- Step 3: Interviewing, observing, and filling out questionnaires.
- Steps 4: Following up with participants as an observer.
- Steps 5: Recording results and data post-delivery and on the fourth day of post-operation.

Data analysis: We calculated crude and adjusted odds ratios (with 95 percent confidence intervals) as measures of the association between VBAC use and relative variables. Logistic regression was used to control for other factors simultaneously.

Ethical considerations
- This was observational study only. Moreover, the institutional review boards of UMP and the participating hospitals approved the study (166/ĐHYD–HD 2013 and 397/QĐ – BVTĐ 2013); written informed consent was obtained from all enrolled women.

Results
- From November 2013 to March 2014, there were 17,083 women who were more than 28 weeks pregnant admitted to delivery department at Tu Du hospital. Among them, 2,634 women had previously had cesarean section (15.4%). After screening, 399 women were indicated for trial of labor after cesarean section (TOLAC). All of them qualified and also finished the study.

Characteristics of study subjects (Table 1)

In our study, there were 7 cases that had remaining indications of previous cesarean section: pelvic contraction. All seven cases were preterm births. Among them, 5 cases were indicated for TOLAC. One case indicated for cesarean section due to cephalopelvic disproportion because of pelvic contraction. There were no X-Ray pelvimetry, IUDR, or SGA. Their labor progressed to active stage so we proceeded with TOLAC. Another case that indicated for cesarean was a midpelvic contraction on X-Ray pelvimetry. She was indicated for a repeat cesarean section. However, the labor progress quickly to second stage. Both of them had successful vaginal delivery without complication.

Table 1 Subject’s characteristics

| Characteristics                        | Number of cases (N=399) | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Maternal age                           |                         |                |
| ≤25                                    | 33                      | 8.27           |
| 26–35                                  | 290                     | 72.68          |
| >35                                    | 76                      | 19.05          |
| BMI score                              |                         |                |
| Normal                                 | 257                     | 64.41          |
| Low weight                             | 55                      | 13.78          |
| Over weight                            | 87                      | 21.8           |
| Parity                                 |                         |                |
| One time                               | 327                     | 81.95          |
| 2 times or more                        | 72                      | 18.05          |
| Vaginal birth before C section         |                         |                |
| No                                     | 358                     | 89.72          |
| Yes                                    | 41                      | 10.28          |
| History of VBAC                        |                         |                |
| No                                     | 375                     | 93.98          |
| Yes                                    | 24                      | 6.02           |
| Interconnectional period               |                         |                |
| ≤ 24 months                            | 22                      | 5.51           |
| >24 months                             | 377                     | 94.49          |
| Gestational age                        |                         |                |
| 28 weeks–36 weeks & 6 days             | 50                      | 12.53          |
| 37 weeks–40 weeks                      | 304                     | 76.19          |
| >40 weeks                              | 45                      | 11.28          |
| Onset of labor                         |                         |                |
| Spontaneous                            | 302                     | 75.69          |

Citation: Minh TV, Phuoc HN. The success rate and associate factors of vaginal birth after cesarean section at Tu Du Hospital in Vietnam. Int J Preg & Chi Birth. 2018;4(3):125–129. DOI: 10.15406/ipcb.2018.04.00096
The success rate and associate factors of vaginal birth after cesarean section at Tu Du Hospital in Vietnam

Table 2 Characteristic of outcome trial of labor after cesarean section

| Characteristic                                    | Number of cases (N=399) | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Mode of delivery                                  |                         |            |
| Vaginal delivery                                  | 216                     | 54.14      |
| Cesarean delivery                                 | 183                     | 45.86      |
| Mode of vaginal delivery                          |                         |            |
| Spontaneous delivery                              | 164                     | 75.93      |
| Instrument delivery                               | 52                      | 24.07      |
| Current indication of cesarean delivery           |                         |            |
| Failed progress of labor                          | 92                      | 50.27      |
| Cephalopelvic disproportion                       | 10                      | 5.46       |
| Fetal distress                                    | 22                      | 12.02      |
| Hypertonic uterine dysfunction                    | 17                      | 9.29       |
| Scar tenderness                                   | 9                       | 4.92       |
| Cesarean scar – membrane rupture                  | 31                      | 16.94      |
| Cesarean scar – presence of meconium              | 1                       | 0.55       |
| Uterine rupture                                   | 1                       | 0.55       |
| Time of current cesarean delivery                 |                         |            |
| Latent first stage                                 | 78                      | 42.62      |
| Active first stage                                 | 97                      | 53.01      |
| Second stage                                      | 8                       | 4.37       |

There were 216 cases of vaginal delivery and 183 cases of cesarean delivery. Thus, the success rate of vaginal delivery after cesarean section was 54.14% [95%CI: 49.11-59.10].

The association between mode of delivery and factors (Table 5)

Table 5 The association between mode of delivery and factors

| Characteristics                        | Vaginal delivery (N=216) | Cesarean delivery (N=183) | OR*  | 95%CI     | P*    |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------|-----------|-------|
| VBAC                                   |                          |                           |      |           |       |
| No                                     | 196(52.27)               | 179(47.73)                | Ref  |           |       |
| Yes                                    | 20(83.33)                | 4(45.86)                  | 2.63 | 0.68-10.35 | 0.158 |
| Onset of labor                         |                          |                           |      |           |       |
| Spontaneous induction of labor         | 194(64.24)               | 108(35.76)                | Ref  |           |       |
| Induction of labor                     | 22(22.68)                | 75(72.32)                 | 0.18 | 0.10-0.35 | 0     |
| BISHOP score on admission              |                          |                           |      |           |       |
| <5                                     | 33(34.02)                | 64(65.98)                 | Ref  |           |       |
| 5–6                                    | 101(54.30)               | 85(45.70)                 | 2.37 | 1.33-4.24  | 0.003 |
| ≥7                                     | 74(68.52)                | 34(31.48)                 | 4    | 2.04-7.83  | 0     |
| Status of amniotic fluid              |                          |                           |      |           |       |
| Normal                                 | 211(55.67)               | 168(44.33)                | Ref  |           |       |
| Meconium                               | 5(25)                    | 15(75.00)                 | 0.19 | 0.06-0.62  | 0.006 |

Characteristics of labor (Table 3) (Table 4)

Table 3 Characteristics of labor in vaginal birth group

| Characteristics | Number of cases | Mean (Minute) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| First stage     |                 |               |
| Latent stage    | 128             | 413.64±262.03 |
| Active stage    | 208             | 145.29±140.80 |
| Second stage    |                 |               |
| Second stage    | 216             | 14.73±10.70   |

Mean latent stage duration in vaginal delivery was 413.64±262.03 minutes (6.69 hrs). It was similar to multiparas Friedman curve. Mean active stage duration was 2.4 hours which was similar to multiparas Friedman curve either.

Table 4 Characteristic of labor in repeat C-section cases

| Characteristics | Number of cases | Mean (Minute) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| First stage     |                 |               |
| Latent stage    | 68              | 447.84±214.18 |
| Active stage    | 8               | 129.38±86.79  |

Among repeat C-section group, 68 cases progressed to latent labor with a mean duration of 447.84±214.18 minutes (7.46 hrs). It was much longer compared to multiparas Friedman curve. Mean active stage duration was 2.15 which was similar to multiparas Friedman curve.

Second stage of labor was measured from cervical complete dilatation until the delivery. The median duration was approximately 50 minutes for nulliparous and about 20 minutes for multiparas. In our study, all women had a mean second stage duration of 14.73±10.70 minutes. Due to hospital policies, we were asked to apply assistant delivery for previous C section cases. This accounted for the shortened delivery time compared to normal vaginal delivery.

Citation: Minh TV, Phuoc HN. The success rate and associate factors of vaginal birth after cesarean section at Tu Du Hospital in Vietnam. Int J Pregn & Chi Birth. 2018;4(3):125–129. DOI: 10.15406/ipcb.2018.04.00096
A univariate analysis was done for all variables to find out the association with mode of delivery. We completed a univariate analysis for 21 pairs of variables. Then, we collected the variables which had a P value <0.25 and completed a multivariate analysis. Sample size 399 had enough sample power for multivariate analysis of 11 pairs of variables. There were 3 variables which had a statistically significant association with mode of delivery on pregnant women who previously had a cesarean section.

**The complication during trial of labor after cesarean section**

*Table 6 Uterine rupture*

| Uterine rupture | Number of cases (N=399) | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| No              | 395                     | 99%            |
| Yes             | 4                       | 1%             |

*Table 7 Postpartum hemorrhage*

| Characteristic | Vaginal delivery (N=216) | Cesarean delivery (N=183) | OR* | 95%CI | P* |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----|-------|----|
| Postpartum hemorrhage |                        |                           |     |       |    |
| No             | 194(89.81)               | 181(98.91)                | Ref |       |    |
| Yes            | 22(83.33)                | 2(1.09)                   | 10.26 | 2.37-44.16 | 0.002 |

*Table 8 Blood loss after birth*

| Characteristic | Mean | Mean diff | 95%CI | P* |
|----------------|------|-----------|-------|----|
| Cesarean delivery | 237.43 |           |       |    |
| Vaginal delivery   | 261.11 | 23.68     | 231.94-268.56 | 0.184 |

**Other complications**

There was no maternal and neonatal mortality in the study. The number of Low Apgar score was not statistically significant between vaginal and cesarean delivery.

There was a case with fourth-degree lacerated that should carry out repair-episiotomy at operation room. There was three cases lacerated uterus during cesarean delivery. There was no urinary tract and gastrointestinal injury.

There was one case of hematoma at abdominal wall after operation. We had to repeat operation. The duration of hospital stay for the case had lasted 10 days.

There was an emergency hysterectomy after vaginal birth. Right after spontaneous delivery, the patient was diagnosed with uterine rupture. While repairing uterine rupture, the patient suddenly went into cardiac arrest. A secondary uterine atony was indicated for emergency hysterectomy.

**Discussion**

In the study, 24.31% cases had induction of labor by transcervical catheter. TuDu hospital had allowed this method only to induce labor with previous cesarean scar. While other studies such as Puja Puri 2011 used Cerviprime cream to induce labor,1 and Dadhwal 2003 study used Oxytocin to induce labor.1 There were 8 cases admitted to the hospital late when cervical were dilated more than 8cm.

In Tu Du hospital, no obstetric analgesia were given fearing it would mask the scar tenderness. None of the cases used oxytocin for augmentation of labor because of the hospital policies. This characteristic was similar to Malede Birara2 study 2013.3

The success rate of vaginal delivery after cesarean section was 54.14% [95%CI: 49.11-59.10]. This result was similar to Malede Birara2 study with success rate of 49.5% and Puja Puri2011 study with success rate of 56.1%. However, the study of Doshi Haresh U4 and the study of Vidyadhar B Bangal5 showed a higher success rate of 85%. This difference could have resulted from the sampling size in our study which was 4 times that of Vidyadhar’s study (399 compared to 100). In addition, Vidyadhar study allowed using oxytocin for augmentation of labor which increased the opportunity for vaginal delivery in prolonged labor cases due to lack of uterine contraction. Oxytocin might reduce cesarean delivery rate because of failed progress of labor. Moreover, 70% of research subjects in Vidyadhar study had VBAC (compared 10% in our study).

Regarding the mode of vaginal delivery, 24.1% cases had assistant deliveries (forceps or vacuums). The assistant vaginal delivery rate in our study was much higher than the general assistant vaginal delivery rate of TuDu hospital (5%). This difference could have resulted from TuDu’s policy that indicated for assistant vaginal delivery very early in second stage of the labor of pregnancies with previous C section. However, assistant vaginal deliveries rate in our study was similar (or lower) to Doshi Haresh U 25.99%,2 Malede Birara6 35.5%, and Puja Puri 437.4%.4

Among the repeat C-section group, 50.27% cases failed progress of labor. This rate in our study was lower than in Malede Birara’s study (2013) 66%.5 The main indication of repeat C-section in Vidyadhar’s study was fetal distress (46.67%)6 and failed progress of labor was only 13.33%. It was explained that Vidyadhar used Oxytocin to adjust uterine contraction during labor, so the rate of fetal distress increased.

The success vaginal delivery rate in cases with Bishop Score 5 to 6 on admission was 2.37 times higher as against less than 5 score (95%CI: 1.33-4.24). The success vaginal delivery rate in cases with Bishop score >7 on admission was 4 times higher as compared to participants with less than 5 score (95%CI: 2.04-7.83). This was as same as Puja Puri’s study.4

Spontaneous onset of labor was found to be important factor in predicting success of VBAC. Compared to the induction labor group, spontaneous labor group had a successful vaginal delivery rate 5.5 times higher (95%CI: 0.10-0.35). Presence of meconium declined chances of successful VBAC 81% (95%CI: 0.06-0.62). Malede Birara had reported the same result in 2013.3

Vidyadhar,2 Malede Birara4 and Doshi Haresh6 analyze factors associated with successful VBAC had reported successful vaginal
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delivery after cesarean section was a strong factor determining success. Our study data did not conclude this association. Our study resulted in only 24 women that had a successful VBAC (6%).

Uterine rupture rate in our study was 4% (4/399). This was much higher than rupture rate of unscarred uterus as 1/20000.3,4 However, as compare to other studies on VBAC, the uterine rupture rate in our study was very similar or lower. Vidyadhar’s study4 was 2%; Puja Puri was 1.95%.4 The use of oxytocin during labor causes a higher uterine rupture rate.

Postpartum hemorrhage rate in vaginal delivery group was significantly higher than cesarean delivery group. This definition estimates the blood loss in excess of 500mL following a vaginal birth or a loss of greater than 1000mL following cesarean delivery. However, table 8 showed no statistically significant difference in blood loss between vaginal delivery cases and cesarean delivery cases (P>0.05).

**Conclusion**

Study data showed that trial of labor after cesarean section has a high successful rate and safety with careful evaluation and monitoring.

In our study, repeat cesarean section due to failed progress of labor and early membrane rupture had high proportion. We will research more about the efficiency and safety of using Oxytocin to adjust proper uterine contraction on pregnant women who previously had cesarean section.
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