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Abstract

Background: Body mass index (BMI)-associated loci are used to explore the effects of obesity using Mendelian randomization (MR), but the contribution of individual tissues to risks remains unknown. We aimed to identify tissue-grouped pathways of BMI-associated loci and relate these to cardiometabolic disease using MR analyses.

Methods: Using Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) data, we performed overrepresentation tests to identify tissue-grouped gene sets based on mRNA-expression profiles from 634 previously published BMI-associated loci. We conducted two-sample MR with inverse-variance-weighted methods, to examine associations between tissue-grouped BMI-associated genetic instruments and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and coronary artery disease (CAD), with use of summary-level data from published genome-wide association studies (T2DM: 74 124 cases, 824 006 controls; CAD: 60 801 cases, 123 504 controls). Additionally, we performed MR analyses on T2DM and CAD using randomly sampled sets of 100 or 200 BMI-associated genetic variants.

Results: We identified 17 partly overlapping tissue-grouped gene sets, of which 12 were brain areas, where BMI-associated genes were differentially expressed. In tissue-grouped MR analyses, all gene sets were similarly associated with increased risks of T2DM and CAD. MR analyses with randomly sampled genetic variants on T2DM and CAD resulted in a distribution of effect estimates similar to tissue-grouped gene sets.

Conclusions: Overrepresentation tests revealed differential expression of BMI-associated genes in 17 different tissues. However, with our biology-based approach...
using tissue-grouped MR analyses, we did not identify different risks of T2DM or CAD for the BMI-associated gene sets, which was reflected by similar effect estimates obtained by randomly sampled gene sets.
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**Key Messages**
- Body mass index (BMI)-associated genes are differentially expressed in the brain, digestive system, spleen and kidney cortex.
- The tissue-grouped gene sets did not show different effects on risks of type 2 diabetes mellitus and coronary artery disease.
- BMI-associated tissue-grouped gene sets are all associated with a higher waist circumference and more total body fat.

---

**Introduction**

The prevalence of obesity and obesity-related diseases is increasing worldwide. \(^1\) Previous studies identified many genetic variants associated with an increased risk of developing obesity and a high body mass index (BMI). \(^2,4\)

A Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS) by Yengo et al. (2018) identified 656 independent genetic variants associated with BMI, which collectively explained up to 6% of the total variation in BMI. \(^4\) High BMI is a well-known risk factor for cardiometabolic disease, such as type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease, \(^5,8\) which has been confirmed in Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses, in which genetic variants associated with an exposure are used as instrumental variables. \(^9\)

Characterization of genetic variants that are associated with BMI, and the genes corresponding to these single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), can provide insight into the biological causes of a high BMI. In an earlier MR study on the association between BMI and anxiety, \(^10\) SNPs were categorized based on three mechanistic domains through which they were likely to influence BMI: appetite, adipogenesis and cardiopulmonary function, derived from an earlier GWAS on BMI. \(^3\) As an alternative and complementary approach to gene categorization based on mechanistic domains, tissue-grouped gene sets of BMI-associated genes can be identified by analyzing the differential expression of BMI-associated genes in tissues: genes whose expression is significantly up- or downregulated in a given tissue compared with other tissues. \(^11\)

Enrichment of BMI-associated genes has been observed in tissues of the central nervous system, notably in the hypothalamus, pituitary gland, hippocampus and limbic system, \(^2\) which are brain areas involved in appetite regulation, cognition and emotion, and thereby collectively highlighted the potential biological processes involved in the regulation of body composition. Additionally, a recent study combined genes for BMI, waist–hip ratio (WHR) and WHR adjusted for BMI (WHRadjBMI), which are all proxies of body composition, to identify enrichment of these genes on a tissue level. \(^12\) In this study, differential expression of these body-composition-related genes was observed in the central nervous system, adipocyte-related tissues and the digestive and urogenital systems. \(^12\) Additionally, BMI-associated genes that show differential expression in specific tissues, thereby reflecting potential tissue-grouped causes of a high BMI, may have distinct effects on disease risk as well. \(^13–16\) A more detailed investigation of these biological causes will allow a comprehensive overview of potential targets for interventions.

For this reason, we aimed to group BMI-associated genetic instruments based on tissue-expression profiles. With these newly identified combinations of BMI-associated genetic instruments, we aimed to conduct two-sample MR analyses on cardiometabolic diseases and measures of abdominal adiposity, in order to unravel the underlying pathways of tissue-grouped causes of a high BMI leading to cardiometabolic-disease risk.

**Methods**

**Selection of genetic variants and gene annotation for BMI**

We selected SNPs for all genetic loci independently associated with BMI, which were identified in the GWAS meta-analysis by Yengo et al. \(^4\)

For the meta-analysis, results of the GWAS on BMI in the UK Biobank were combined with publicly available summary statistics of an earlier GWAS on BMI in the
Genetic Investigation of ANthropometric Traits (GIANT) consortium, comprising around 700,000 individuals of mainly European ancestry in total. After meta-analysis, 656 independent SNPs associated with BMI were identified in their main genome-wide significance association (p-value < 5 × 10^{-8}) (see Supplementary Table 1, available as Supplementary data at IJE online).

The 656 SNPs were annotated and mapped to genes based on several lines of evidence using the SNP2GENE function within the Functional Mapping and Annotation (FUMA) v1.3.5e web application (date of accession: 12 November 2019). First, we uploaded a file with the GWAS summary statistics, including the chromosome and position, reference SNP (rs) ID, p-value, effect allele, non-effect allele, beta and standard deviation for each SNP, and the sample size of the GWAS.

We did not predefine lead SNPs of a genomic region, but instead used the following default parameters: minimum p-value of lead SNPs < 5 × 10^{-8}, maximum p-value cut-off < 0.05, r^2 threshold to define independent significant SNPs ≥ 0.6, second r^2 threshold to define lead SNPs ≥ 0.1, reference panel population 1000 G Phase3 EUR, minimum Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) ≥ 0 and maximum distance between linkage disequilibrium (LD) blocks to merge into a locus < 250 kb. We included variants in the reference 1000 G reference panel (non-GWAS tagged SNPs in LD with an independent significant SNP). Gene annotation was based on positional mapping obtained from Annotate Variation (ANNOVAR) with a maximum distance to genes of 10 kilobases. For the gene types, we used Ensembl v92 and selected protein-coding gene types. A set of prioritized genes is derived from the combined mapping strategies, based on the provided GWAS summary statistics and filter settings. The output from FUMA comprised genome-wide plots, a summary of the results and result tables, from which we selected the table with independently significant lead SNPs and the table with mapped genes, and merged these to obtain a list with lead SNPs and their mapped genes. Due to the use of a filter to identify independent SNPs, 22 lead SNPs were omitted, resulting in 634 mapped genes of interest that were used to identify the tissue-grouped gene sets. All exact parameters used for gene annotation and mapping can be found in the Supplementary Methods, available as Supplementary data at IJE online.

### Tissue-grouped gene sets

Normalized gene expressions (reads per kilo base per million, RPKM) of 54 non-diseased tissue types were available in GTEx version 8, of which we excluded five tissue types because no eQTL analysis was available (bladder, cervix-ectocervix and -endocervix, fallopian tube and kidney medulla). Tissue types included different brain areas, adipose tissue depots, skin, blood, spleen, kidney cortex and tissues involved in digestion (e.g. oesophagus, stomach, small intestine, pancreas and colon) or reproduction (e.g. ovaries, uterus, vagina and testis). In the GENE2FUNC in FUMA, the average of the normalized expression [zero mean of log2(RPKM + 1)] per tissue per gene was shown as expression value, to allow comparison of expression across tissue types. By this means, we identified differentially expressed gene sets (genes of which the expression is significantly up- or downregulated in a given tissue compared with other tissues) for each of the 54 tissue types available in GTEx v8. Two-sided Student’s t-tests with Bonferroni correction were performed per gene per tissue against all other tissues to identify differentially expressed genes in a given tissue. In GENE2FUNC in FUMA, genes are tested against those differentially expressed gene sets by hypergeometric tests to evaluate whether the prioritized genes (genes of interest) are overrepresented in differentially expressed gene sets in the 54 specific tissue types. We entered the 634 BMI-associated genes (our mapped genes of interest based on the independent lead SNPs) in the GENE2FUNC function and selected ‘All’ background genes, Ensembl version v92 and the GTEx v8 54 tissue type (date of accession: 12 November 2019). We selected the tissues that showed significant differential gene expression in GTEx v8 after adjusting for multiple testing using a false-discovery-rate threshold of 5%. Using the summary data retrieved from FUMA, we identified the specific genes that were differentially expressed in each tissue to establish the gene sets used in the tissue-grouped MR analyses. All tissue-grouped gene sets were considered strong instruments for BMI based on their F-statistics. All of the exact parameters used to generate the tissue-grouped gene sets can be found in the Supplementary Methods, available as Supplementary data at IJE online.

### Outcome data on type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease

As study outcomes for the MR analyses, we used publicly available meta-analysis summary statistics of GWAS on type 2 diabetes mellitus and coronary artery disease by the DIAbetes Genetics Replication and Meta-analysis (DIAGRAM) and the Coronary Artery Disease Genome wide Replication and Meta-analysis plus the Coronary Artery Disease Genetics (CARDIoGRAMplusC4D) consortia, respectively. These datasets, based on the largest number of participants to date, contain the per-allele beta-estimates of all investigated SNPs on the outcomes, accompanying standard errors and the effect alleles. The report of the DIAGRAM consortium was a meta-analysis of 32 different cohort studies of European ancestry
plasma glucose of 11.1 mmol/L, treatment with glucose-lowering agents, diagnosis by a general practitioner or medical specialist, or self-report.

Within the CARDIoGRAM4D consortium, GWAS data of 48 studies were meta-analysed, with 60 801 cases and 123 504 controls. CAD was defined by a history of myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, chronic stable angina or >50% coronary stenosis.

Outcome data on anthropometric traits
The underlying mechanism of the different effects of the tissue-grouped gene sets on disease risk could be via the effect of the tissue-grouped gene sets on body-fat distribution measured by waist circumference or total body fat. By this means, we aimed to give insight into the underlying mechanisms of tissue-grouped causes of a high BMI and their effect on disease risk. We used publicly available meta-analysis summary statistics of anthropometric traits conducted by the GIANT consortium and by the Neale Lab. The data of the GIANT consortium were reported as weighted mean estimate of a genetically determined increase of 1 standard deviation (SD) of BMI (kg/m²) on the odds ratio (OR) of type 2 diabetes mellitus and CAD, or on waist circumference (SD, in centimetres), and on total body fat (SD, percentage). In the present study, an increase of 1 SD of BMI (kg/m²) corresponded to an increase in BMI of 4.8 kg/m².

MR analyses could suffer from bias due to pleiotropic effects, when a genetic variant also affects other phenotypes and thereby influences the outcome via alternative pathways other than through the exposure. Therefore, as sensitivity analyses, we performed MR-Egger regression and weighted median-estimator (WME) analyses. MR-Egger accounts for potential pleiotropy and tests for the presence of directional pleiotropy, whereas WME estimates a weighted median effect instead of a weighted mean effect. Similarity of the IVW and MR-Egger and WME effect estimates indicates that the results of the MR analysis are robust. In addition to MR-Egger and WME, we used the recently described Weighted Mode to detect causal effects—a method that is consistent when the largest number of similar individual causal-effect estimates comes from valid instruments, even if the majority of instruments are invalid.

For MR analyses, we removed the genetic instrument mapped to TCF7L2 (rs7903146) from the gene sets (and thereby all MR analyses) given its previously described complex and pleiotropic effect. Discrepancies between the total numbers of genetic variants in the tissue-grouped gene sets and the numbers of genetic variants in the tissue-grouped gene sets that were used in the MR analyses are due to the removal of palindromic genetic instruments with intermediate allele frequencies.

The combined effects of the genetic variants in the overall and sensitivity analyses were estimated using the R-based package ‘TwoSampleMR’. The R script for the MR analyses can be found in the Supplementary Methods, available as Supplementary data at IJE online.

MR analyses using random samples of genetic variants
To gain insight into whether GTEx-based subsets of genetic instruments are the result of chance, we additionally determined the effect estimates for CAD and T2DM by randomly selecting genetic variants. We randomly sampled 1000 gene sets, consisting of 100 or 200 genetic variants from the 633 BMI-associated genetic variants, and performed MR analyses (IVW) on CAD and T2DM with these random gene sets. The R script for the MR analyses using random samples of genetic variants can be found in the Supplementary Methods, available as Supplementary data at IJE online.
Results

Identification of tissue-grouped expression gene sets

Figure 1 shows the analysis procedure we followed to obtain the tissue-grouped BMI-associated gene sets from 656 BMI-associated SNPs. Based on the analysis with GTEx data, we identified 17 tissues in which genes associated with BMI were differentially expressed (Figure 2A), of which 12 were derived from brain areas. Other tissues were derived from the arteries, digestive system, spleen or kidney cortex. Differentially expressed genes in each tissue were identified to establish the gene sets (see Figure 2A). There was substantial overlap in the genes that were differentially expressed in the brain tissues, but none had a completely overlapping expression profile. Gene symbols and their expression in the different tissue-grouped gene sets are provided in Supplementary Table 1, available as Supplementary data at IJE online. Clustering of the BMI-associated genes in the tissue-grouped gene sets is shown in Figure 2B. It should be noted that a substantial number of genetic instruments was not differentially expressed in any of the identified tissues.

Tissue-grouped MR analyses

Type 2 diabetes mellitus and CAD

We performed MR with the tissue-grouped gene sets on T2DM and CAD (Table 1). Considering all BMI genetic instruments, an increase in BMI was associated with an increased risk of both T2DM [per SD BMI OR 2.71, 95% confidence interval (CI) 2.49; 2.94] and CAD (per SD BMI, OR 1.48, 1.37; 1.59).

In the tissue-grouped MR analyses, all BMI-associated tissue-grouped gene sets were associated with an increased risk of T2DM (Table 1), with ORs ranging from 2.42 (2.04; 2.89) for the brain caudate basal ganglia to 2.94 (2.49; 3.45) for the brain cingulate cortex (Figure 2B).

Figure 1. The analysis procedure. (i) We selected 656 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) independently associated with BMI.4 (ii) Using the SNP2GENE function in the FUMA web application, gene annotation was performed for the selected SNPs based on positional mapping obtained from Annotate Variation (ANNOVAR).19 In this step, 22 SNPs were omitted based on a $r^2$ threshold of $>0.6$. (iii) The 634 BMI-associated genes were grouped into 17 tissue-grouped gene sets using the GENE2FUNC function in Functional Mapping and Annotation (FUMA). These gene sets were based on differential gene expression in different tissues with the use of GTEx version 8. (iv) The 17 tissue-grouped gene sets were used as exposures in Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses, with the outcomes type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), coronary artery disease (CAD), waist circumference and total body fat. The genetic instrument rs7903146 was removed from the gene sets (and thereby all MR analyses) given its pleiotropic effect.
3.47) for the brain cerebellar hemisphere. For CAD, the ORs were ranging from 1.32 (1.02; 1.70) for the spleen to 1.58 (1.40; 1.78) for the brain putamen basal ganglia. The sensitivity analyses (MR-Egger, WME and Weighted Mode) provided similar effects of the tissue-grouped gene sets on T2DM and CAD, although the effect estimates were slightly larger compared with the IVW results (see Supplementary Table 2, available as Supplementary data at IJE online).

Waist circumference and total body fat
We examined the associations of the tissue-grouped gene sets with the anthropometric traits waist circumference and total body fat as outcomes to explore potential underlying mechanisms of cardiometabolic disease (see Table 2). Considering all BMI genetic instruments, an increase of 1 SD of BMI was associated with an increase in waist circumference of 0.83 cm (95% CI 0.80; 0.85) and total body fat of 0.61% (95% CI 0.60; 0.63). All BMI-associated tissue-grouped gene sets had a positive effect on waist circumference and total body fat. Overall, the alternative MR methods MR-Egger, WME and Weighted Mode analyses indicated a positive effect of the tissue-grouped gene sets on waist circumference and total body fat (see Supplementary Table 3, available as Supplementary data at IJE online).

MR analyses using random samples of genetic variants
The distribution of ORs obtained by random sampling of 100 or 200 genetic variants (from the 633 BMI-associated genetic variants) was similar to the results of the tissue-grouped MR (IVW method), as presented in the histograms in Figure 3. For T2DM, the ORs ranged from 1.9 to 3.8 for the sampled gene sets of 100 variants and from 1.7 to 3.4 for the sampled gene sets of 200 variants, with the most frequent OR around 2.7, which was similar to the
Figure 2. Continued.
Table 1. Association between the BMI-overall and BMI-associated tissue-grouped gene sets and risk of type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease using (tissue-grouped) inverse-variance-weighted analyses

|                                      | Type 2 diabetes | Coronary artery disease |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
|                                      | n, Beta (SE)     | n, Odds ratio (95% CI)  |
| BMI—all genetic variants             | 616, 1.00 (0.04)| 613, 0.39 (0.04)        |
| Artery tibial                        | 86, 0.93 (0.13) | 86, 0.43 (0.10)         |
| Brain amygdala                       | 231, 0.90 (0.08)| 230, 0.41 (0.07)        |
| Brain anterior cingulate cortex      | 232, 0.93 (0.08)| 231, 0.43 (0.06)        |
| Brain caudate basal ganglia          | 222, 0.89 (0.09)| 221, 0.40 (0.07)        |
| Brain cerebellar hemisphere          | 160, 1.08 (0.09)| 159, 0.34 (0.08)        |
| Brain cerebellum                     | 214, 1.00 (0.08)| 213, 0.37 (0.07)        |
| Brain cortex                         | 213, 0.95 (0.08)| 212, 0.43 (0.06)        |
| Brain frontal cortex                 | 207, 0.97 (0.08)| 206, 0.43 (0.06)        |
| Brain hippocampus                    | 237, 0.96 (0.08)| 237, 0.44 (0.06)        |
| Brain hypothalamus                   | 214, 0.97 (0.08)| 213, 0.40 (0.06)        |
| Brain nucleus accumbens basal ganglia| 224, 0.99 (0.08)| 223, 0.40 (0.06)        |
| Brain putamen basal ganglia          | 237, 0.92 (0.08)| 237, 0.46 (0.06)        |
| Brain substantia nigra               | 226, 0.95 (0.08)| 225, 0.44 (0.06)        |
| Oesophagus mucosa                    | 99, 1.00 (0.13) | 99, 0.33 (0.09)         |
| Kidney cortex                        | 191, 1.00 (0.09)| 190, 0.36 (0.07)        |
| Spleen                               | 63, 1.00 (0.12) | 63, 0.28 (0.13)         |
| Stomach                              | 81, 0.94 (0.12) | 81, 0.41 (0.09)         |

Betas and odds ratios are obtained using Mendelian randomization analyses. The odds ratio can be interpreted per increase of 1 standard deviation of BMI (kg/m²), which is equivalent to an increase of 4.8 kg/m². n_{genes} indicates the number of genes in each gene set.

BMI, body mass index; SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval.

Table 2. Association between the BMI-overall and BMI-associated tissue-grouped gene sets and waist circumference and total body fat using (tissue-grouped) inverse-variance-weighted analyses

|                                      | Waist circumference (cm) | Total body fat (%) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
|                                      | n, Beta (95% CI)         | n, Beta (95% CI)  |
| BMI—all genetic variants             | 610, 0.83 (0.80; 0.85)   | 615, 0.61 (0.60; 0.63) |
| Artery tibial                        | 84, 0.84 (0.78; 0.90)    | 86, 0.61 (0.57; 0.65) |
| Brain amygdala                       | 229, 0.84 (0.80; 0.88)   | 231, 0.64 (0.61; 0.67) |
| Brain anterior cingulate cortex      | 230, 0.85 (0.82; 0.89)   | 232, 0.65 (0.63; 0.68) |
| Brain caudate basal ganglia          | 219, 0.86 (0.82; 0.90)   | 221, 0.64 (0.61; 0.67) |
| Brain cerebellar hemisphere          | 156, 0.85 (0.81; 0.90)   | 160, 0.61 (0.58; 0.64) |
| Brain cerebellum                     | 210, 0.85 (0.81; 0.89)   | 214, 0.64 (0.61; 0.67) |
| Brain cortex                         | 212, 0.86 (0.82; 0.90)   | 213, 0.65 (0.62; 0.68) |
| Brain frontal cortex                 | 205, 0.85 (0.82; 0.89)   | 206, 0.66 (0.63; 0.69) |
| Brain hippocampus                    | 236, 0.84 (0.80; 0.88)   | 237, 0.64 (0.61; 0.67) |
| Brain hypothalamus                   | 212, 0.84 (0.81; 0.88)   | 214, 0.64 (0.61; 0.66) |
| Brain nucleus accumbens basal ganglia| 222, 0.86 (0.82; 0.90)   | 224, 0.65 (0.62; 0.68) |
| Brain putamen basal ganglia          | 236, 0.83 (0.79; 0.86)   | 237, 0.65 (0.62; 0.67) |
| Brain substantia nigra               | 224, 0.84 (0.80; 0.87)   | 226, 0.63 (0.60; 0.66) |
| Oesophagus mucosa                    | 97, 0.84 (0.78; 0.89)    | 99, 0.61 (0.56; 0.65)  |
| Kidney cortex                        | 188, 0.82 (0.78; 0.86)   | 191, 0.63 (0.60; 0.66) |
| Spleen                               | 62, 0.85 (0.78; 0.91)    | 63, 0.59 (0.54; 0.64)  |
| Stomach                              | 80, 0.82 (0.75; 0.88)    | 81, 0.61 (0.56; 0.66)  |

Betas are obtained using Mendelian randomization analyses. The betas can be interpreted per increase of 1 standard deviation of BMI (kg/m²). n_{genes} indicates the number of genes in each gene set.

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval.
estimates that were observed in the tissue-grouped MR analyses. Similar to the tissue-grouped results, the ORs of the randomly sampled gene sets for the outcome CAD were smaller than for T2DM, ranging from 1.2 to 2.1, with the most frequent OR around 1.4.

**Discussion**

The aims of the present study were to identify tissue-grouped pathways of BMI-associated loci and their relation to cardiometabolic disease and anthropometric measures using MR analyses. The results of our study imply that genetic variants
associated with BMI show enrichment in different tissues. In earlier studies, enrichment of BMI-associated genes was observed in the central nervous system, in accordance with the results of the present study. Expression of genetic variants that were associated with a decreased WHR was enriched in the digestive and urogenital systems. We observed enrichment of BMI-grouped genes in the oesophagus mucosa, stomach, spleen and kidney cortex.

Instead of performing MR using all genetic instruments for a given exposure, we examined the contribution of associated tissues to the risk of cardiometabolic disease, in order to unravel the role of specific tissues in the genetic risk of cardiometabolic disease due to overweight or obesity. Using MR, the associations between BMI and T2DM and CAD have been well established. Although the use of tissue-grouped gene sets of genetic instruments would mean a reduction in statistical power to identify effects of BMI, we were still able to identify effect estimates similar to the overall MR analysis comprising all genetic instruments, which was also reflected in the F-statistics of the tissue-grouped gene sets. Therefore, the increased availability of large GWAS datasets allows examination of the individual contributions of grouped genetic variants in more detail.

The results of the present study imply that genetic variants associated with BMI have similar effects on type 2 diabetes and CAD risk, regardless of the tissue in which the genes show differential expression, although there was some variation in the observed effect estimates. All BMI-associated gene sets were shown to have an effect on waist circumference and total body fat, which are both known to be associated with increased risk of T2DM and CAD. These results are supported by findings of additional analyses, in which we randomly selected 100 or 200 genetic instruments from the 633 BMI-associated genetic variants. After we repeatedly performed Mendelian randomization analyses on T2DM and CAD with randomly sampled BMI-associated gene sets, the distribution of the effect estimates was similar to the results of the tissue-grouped MR analyses. This suggests that the effect estimates of the BMI-associated gene sets based on tissue-expression profiles were similar to the BMI-associated gene sets obtained by random sampling of genetic instruments.

The identification of groups of genetic variants that have differential effects on an outcome measure, representing different mechanisms of disease, can be approached from various perspectives. In the present study, we explored the added value of clustering genetic instruments based on a biological perspective. Importantly, in previous research, a statistical approach has been proposed. The authors were able to group high-density lipoprotein cholesterol variants according to their effect on the risk of coronary heart disease and thus to identify groups of genetic variants showing different effects on disease. We therefore hypothesize that grouping the BMI-associated genetic variants by a statistical approach might identify groups of BMI-associated genetic variants that have different effects on disease risk.

A potential limitation of our study is the methodology of the clustering of the genetic instruments in the tissue-grouped gene sets. Currently, the GTEx analyses are performed using a standard overrepresentation test that does not take into account the effect size of the genetic instrument on the exposure. Genetic variants with large effect sizes therefore have the same weight in the clustering as genetic variants with much smaller effect sizes. As the GWAS by Yengo et al. identified many genetic variants for BMI with small effect sizes, this likely will have affected the clustering. Additionally, tissue-grouped gene sets identified by the enrichment analyses by GTEx are influenced by the number of tissue samples available for the different tissues. Subsequently, this has implications for the results of the MR analyses.

Another limitation of our study is the overlap between the genes within the tissue-grouped gene sets, which made it more difficult to mutually compare the tissue-grouped gene sets. Due to the overlap, the gene sets might be less specific to distinguish between the different tissues, although they are specific for investigating the relation with cardiometabolic disease.

To conclude, this study explored whether we can add insight in causal disease biology when analysing tissue-grouped gene sets and by examining the effects of these gene sets on different outcome measures using MR analyses. In the context of BMI-associated genetic variants, our novel approach does not provide additional insight into the role of specific tissues in the genetic risk for specific cardiometabolic disease due to overweight or obesity.
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