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Abstract
Non-invasive tracking the on-shore occurrence of the atypically pigmented animals and determination of land residency duration of leucistic seals would help us find out more about the rotation of the migrating population. During seven austral summer seasons (2011–2018), by counting the animals every 10 days at the Cape Lions Rump shore, King George Island, South Shetlands, in the Antarctic Specially Protected Area No. 151 and the adjacent ice-free land (31.52 km²) we registered fourteen leucistic individuals per a total of 43,919 animals. Moreover, daily monitoring of local fauna resulted in further 33 leucistic animals (together 47, in all seasons). Whilst the results of 10-day censuses of the total population were similar inter-seasonally, a tendency for increased occurrence of leucistic individuals in successive seasons was revealed. Generally, the number of animals increased significantly as season progressed. Since leucistic individuals stayed on-shore for 1–2 days usually, it can be hypothesised that the observation of migrating Antarctic fur seals every 3 days does not involve the same individuals. Also, additional every 5-day censuses taken in one season in ASPA 151 resulted in a higher seasonal number of animals, which proves that more frequent counts help us estimate population abundance more efficiently. Thus, every 5-day counts are proposed as a feasible and justified method of population monitoring.
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Introduction
At the turn of the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries, due to intensive commercial sealing the population of Antarctic fur seal *Arctocephalus gazella* (Peters 1875) in South Georgia and South Shetlands was brought to the brink of extinction (Weddell 1825; Bonner 1968). The current number of Antarctic fur seal population was estimated at 4.5–6.2 million individuals (Hofmeyr 2016), with 97% of individuals observed in South Georgia (Hoffman et al. 2018). In the South Shetlands, only Livingston Island and Elephant Island are locations of established breeding colonies of Antarctic fur seals (Bengtson et al. 1990; Reid et al. 2006), whilst on King George Island non-breeding males haul out during the moult season (Sierakowski 1991; Salwicka and Rakus-Susczewski 2002; Korczak-Abshire et al. 2019). Although, Jabłoński et al. (1987) and Bengtson et al. (1990) reported a breeding site at Stigant Point, King George Island in seasons 1980/1981 and 1986/1987.

Nonetheless, the behaviour of Antarctic fur seals in the natural environment is not fully explored. When studying individual behaviour, it is important to know whether the subjects observed are the same or different animals. However, especially in the migratory periods, the individual non-invasive identification of morphologically similar animals living in colonies creates accuracy problems for the observers (Udevitz et al. 2005). Then, on successive days, observation may involve the same or different newly coming animals. Determination of the duration of land residency of
not typically pigmented animals would help us approximate the rotation of migrating Antarctic fur seals. This would be also important when deciding about the statistics used (single vs. repeatable measures) in all kinds of species-related studies. Since leucistic individuals with light skin and dark eyes ("honey-blond", creamy white or yellow, Fig. 1) have been noted in this species (e.g. Acevedo et al. 2009; Romero and Tirira 2017), their on-shore occurrence and duration of land residency could be used as a preliminary indicator of the migratory population rotation. Moreover, given scarce documentation of population dynamics in recent years, approximation of the number of animals in this region seems justified. Also, the preliminary assessment of the feasibility of more frequent counts could be helpful in more accurate population dynamics.

Thus, the aim of this study was threefold, i.e. to (1) estimate the Antarctic fur seals occurrence at Cape Lions Rump shore, King George Island, the South Shetlands in seven austral summer seasons (2011–2018) with special reference to leucistic animals, (2) determine land residency of leucistic seals as reference individuals in the preliminary assessment of population rotation and to (3) test whether more frequent counts of population are feasible and justified. We believe that our data will broaden the present knowledge about leucistic Antarctic fur seals’ temporal stay on King George Island.

**Materials and methods**

Since only a visual inspection was carried out, no experimentation was performed in accordance with European directive 2010/63/EU and Polish laws related to ethics of animal experimentation.

Data were collected between 5 November 2011 and 22 February 2018. The study area (Fig. 2a, b) was Cape Lions Rump (LR), a shore located at the mouth of King George Bay (62° 7’ 60” S, 58° 7’ 30” W), within the Antarctic Special Protected Area No. 151 (ASPA 151, 31.3 km²) and the adjacent ice-free area (0.22 km²), King George Island, South Shetland Islands. ASPA 151 is covered by the CCAMLR Ecosystem Monitoring Program of the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (Korczak-Abshire et al. 2013; Hinke et al. 2018; Gryz et al. 2018). Observations were carried along three different time scales. Each Antarctic summer season, between November and mid-March, all fauna species were monitored daily with routine monitoring (RDM) over fixed paths (Fig. 2b). However, only leucistic seals were counted. The total census of Antarctic fur seals was taken every 10 days along the same paths (10-day transects, 10DT), according to Sierakowski (1991). During the 2016/2017 season, in order to check whether more frequent counts were feasible and justified, every 5-day transects (5DT) were taken in ASPA 151 only.

Morphological characteristics of leucistic individuals, including i.e. an approximate size of an individual by nose-tail length, length of whiskers, darker/lighter colouring of the fur (spots, patches), scars, possible wounds were recorded...
to facilitate identification on subsequent survey efforts and
determine land residency periods. For documentation, the
animals were approached quietly, at a distance which did
not provoke their displacement. We assumed than an animal
remained in one location throughout the period (days) it was
observed ashore, regardless its possible short visits in the
water. The mean number of animals and standard deviations
for seasons and transects within the season were assessed.
To assess the intra- and inter-seasonal trends in migratory
residence (numbers of animals), linear regression per season
and successive transect (10DT) were performed. A \( \chi^2 \) test
was applied to compare the numbers of animals counted
with 5DT and 10DT methods in the 2016/2017 season. The
level of significance was set at \( \alpha = 0.05 \). SAS 9.4 Statistical
Package (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used for
statistical analyses. Due to the small number of leucistic
individuals, raw numbers of animals were presented. For
land residency of leucistic seals, simple descriptive statistics
(the median duration in days and its range) was shown.

Results

The population of Antarctic fur seals involved mainly adult
males, whilst females or under-yearlings were noticed spo-
radically. The animals hauled out on the LR shore usually
between December and March, and moulting was observed. The maximum numbers (summer peaks) of Antarctic fur seals recorded with 10DT in haul-outs were noted from February till mid-March (Table 1).

**Total abundance and the frequency of transects**

The total number of Antarctic fur seals assessed by 10DT was 43,919, with 6274.2 ± 3179.49 individuals per season and 430.6 ± 720.02 per transect. Assessed with the linear regression, the total number of seals was similar in every season (y = −1,694,818 + 844.2 × X\text{season}, \(r^2 = 0.3290; p = 0.1782, 25\%\text{CL} = −541, 75\%\text{CL} = 2230.2\), Fig. 3a. Within seasons, 14.6 ± 0.53 transects per season were made. The number of recorded seals increased with each successive transect (y = 486.3 + 117.6 × X\text{transect}, \(p < 0.0001, r^2 = 0.4733; 25\%\text{CL} = 93.1, 75\%\text{CL} = 142.5\), Fig. 3b.

In the 2016/2017 season, the 5DT provided more extensive results as per total abundance compared to the 10DT. For the whole population, the counts were significantly higher by 6811 animals with the 5DT (12,167 individuals) compared to the 10DT (5356 individuals, \(\chi^2 \text{test}, \chi^2_1 = 2645, p < 0.0001\)). Also, the date of the seasonal peak could be detected more accurately. The date of the highest abundance recorded with 5DT was 22 February 2017 (1897 individuals), whilst in the case of 10DT it was 17 February 2017 (1504 individuals, Fig. 4). Therefore, for the peaks an additional 393 animals were counted with the 5DT as compared to the 10DT (1897 and 1504 individuals, respectively), \(\chi^2 \text{test}, \chi^2_1 = 28, p < 0.0001\), Fig. 4.

**Leucistic individuals**

The total number of leucistic Antarctic fur seals was 14 and 47 as assessed with 10DT and RDM methods, respectively (Table 2). Contrary to the total population census which remained stable in the period under investigation, the number of leucistic individuals tended to increase in successive seasons (linear regression, \(y = −4958.82 + 2.46 × X\text{season}, r^2 = 0.5531, p = 0.0553; 25\%\text{CL} = −0.082, 75\%\text{CL} = 5.01\)). The median duration of land stay of leucistic seals on land was 1 day (median = 1, 25th percentile = 1; 75th percentile = 3, min = 1; max = 6) as assessed by RDM.

**Discussion**

This study is the first one to summarize the fluctuation of male Antarctic fur seals population at cape Lions Rump (King George Island, South Shetlands) during the moulting period and the first one concerning leucistic individuals in this location. Our study showed that from 2011 to 2018

---

**Table 1** Dates with maximum numbers (\(N_{\text{max}}\)) of Antarctic fur seal individuals (summer peaks) assessed by 10DT on the LR shore (total ASPA 151 and adjacent ice-free area)

| Season     | Date of the summer peak | \(N_{\text{max}}\) |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 2011/2012  | 10/03/2012               | 1700                |
| 2012/2013  | 20/02/2013               | 409                 |
| 2013/2014  | 18/02/2014               | 1475                |
| 2014/2015  | 08/03/2015               | 1327                |
| 2015/2016  | 27/02/2016               | 3487                |
| 2016/2017  | 17/02/2017               | 1762                |
| 2017/2018  | 09/02/2018               | 2356                |

---

**Fig. 3** Data assessed by 10DT method: **a** the total abundance of Antarctic fur seals in investigated seasons and **b** fit plot for the number of seals in the consecutive transect registered.
the on-shore occurrence of moulting males was relatively stable with the increase of abundance as the summer season progressed. Since leucistic individuals stayed on-shore for 1–2 days usually, it can be hypothesised that the observation of migrating Antarctic fur seals every 3 days does not involve the same individuals. With more frequent counts in the season 2017/2018, we were able to count more individuals in total, whilst daily inspections provided more records of leucistic individuals compared to earlier studies (e.g. Aquayo 1978; Cárdenas and Yáñez 1983; Sierakowski 1991; Romero and Tirira 2017).

From 1970 to 2006, the increase of annual peaks from 447 to 2920 individuals was observed at Cape Lions Rump (Angiel and Korczak-Abshire 2011), which appears to follow the general patterns of recovery after species extermination (e.g. Bengtson et al. 1990). Although the migrations of Antarctic predators and the selection of habitats are affected by the changes in the range and thickness of the sea ice cover (e.g. Costa and Crocker 1996), fluctuations in the Euphausiacea abundance (e.g. Salwicka and Rakusa-Suszczewski 2002; Forcada et al. 2005) or by the anthropogenic pollution and tourism (Bonner 1978; Salwicka and Stonehouse 2000; Engelhard et al. 2001; Van Polanen et al. 2008), it seems that current abundance of this species on LR shore is equilibrated. Also, the changes in climate parameters, observed in the Antarctic region since 1950 (e.g. Ducklow et al. 2007; Znoj et al. 2017) and El Niño—Southern Oscillation (ENSO) may have caused the long-term fluctuations in the number of pinnipeds (Testa et al. 1991). According to Salwicka and Rakusa-Suszczewski (2002), in the years of a strong ENSO event, which causes low food availability (krill biomass) at South Georgia (Forcada et al. 2005), the non-breeding Antarctic fur seal males are being out-competed by breeding females and they search for food in other areas. It is worth noting that in our study the highest peak of fur seal abundance (3487 individuals) on LR shore (27 February 2016) coincided with a strong ENSO event (NOAA 2019).

The increase in the number of moulting males as season progressed, observed in the present study, may be related to the termination of breeding season by Pygoscelis penguins (Trivelpiece et al. 2007; Sierakowski et al. 2017), which are feeding competitors for the fur seals. When the Pygoscelis penguins leave the LR, summer peaks of A. gazella abundance are mostly observed (from mid-February to mid-March). These seal individuals could origin from the local breeding populations from South Shetlands (Aquayo 1978; Acevedo et al. 2009). Also, since at the end of the fur seal breeding season the males migrate from South Georgia to

---

### Table 2

| Season      | Total $N_{10DT}$ | Leucistic individuals $N_{10DT}$ | Leucistic individuals $N_{RDM}$ |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2011/2012   | 5682             | 1                             | 2                             |
| 2012/2013   | 1266             | 0                             | 2                             |
| 2013/2014   | 5618             | 0                             | 0                             |
| 2014/2015   | 5089             | 0                             | 1                             |
| 2015/2016   | 11,764           | 5                             | 18                            |
| 2016/2017   | 6430             | 3                             | 11                            |
| 2017/2018   | 8070             | 5                             | 13                            |
| Total       | 43,919           | 14                            | 47                            |

---

**Fig. 4** The counts of Antarctic fur seals (only ASPA 151) with 5DT and 10DT methods between January and March in 2017. Letters A, B denote significantly ($P < 0.0001$) the different number of animals on peaks.
the South Orkney Islands (Boyd et al. 1998) not to compete with nursing females, their migration further south (e.g. to Deception Island) with the stop on King George Island is highly probable.

Our results confirm the presence of leucistic Antarctic fur seals in the South Shetlands region including King George Island (Aquayo 1978; Cárdenas and Yáñez 1983; Sierakowski 1991), Robert Island (Romero and Tirira 2017) and nearby Livingston Island (Acevedo et al. 2009). However, the temporal patterns of land residency at LR were unknown. Our observations show that during the austral summer migration, the Antarctic fur seals stop in a given location for a short time and then leave. Since for most cases the duration of land stay of leucistic individuals did not exceed 1 day, we assume that the seals observed daily are different individuals. Also, the records of leucistic individuals over the period under investigation enabled us to find the tendency for the increase in the number of leucistic animals in the successive years although the total population remained unchanged. First incidence of a leucistic individual was noted in 1933 for the population of South Georgia (Bonin 1968) and leucistic animals are relatively prevalent there (Hofmeyr et al. 2005), which might be a result of a strong historical bottleneck (Hoffman et al. 2011, 2018). Leucistic animals were also observed in the South Shetlands, Bouvetøya and Marion Island (Hofmeyr et al. 2005; Wege et al. 2015), Îles Kerguelen, Macquarie Island, Îles Crozet and Heard Island (Hoffman et al. 2018). Analysis of the polymorphism in the melanocortin 1 receptor gene, responsible for cream-coloured phenotype in fur seals, indicates a globalcline in the frequency of colour polymorphism and suggests a limited contribution of gene flow between South Georgia and other populations (Hoffman et al. 2018). However, Bonin et al. (2013) concluded that genetic differences (analyses of microsatellites and mitochondrial hypervariable region 1) between populations from South Georgia and the South Shetlands not necessarily preclude ongoing migration. Therefore, the question whether the tendency for increasing occurrence of leucistic fur seals on-shore LR may be explained by stronger migration patterns from South Georgia to the South Shetlands or by the increasing number of leucistic individuals in the global A. gazella population needs further studies.

Since leucistic individuals were usually not observed for more than 1–2 days, we speculate that their observations may be helpful in preliminary determination of daily rotations of population of Antarctic fur seals in a non-sedentary moulting season. Although the use of albinism as the natural marker for population rotation studies could be limited due to increased susceptibility to infections, reduced heat absorption ability, and other health problems affecting survival rate (see Hain and Leatherwood 1982), it seems that for short-studied time leucism it is an effective indicator. However, for more accurate determination of population rotation, studies including implementation of satellite transmitting location tags are certainly needed.

Thanks to the 5DT, we managed to capture more precisely the peak of the Antarctic fur seals number. This shows that more frequent transects are feasible in regular monitoring of Antarctic fur seals and prevent underestimation of data recorded with the standard every 10 days method. Therefore, more efficient census may reflect the size of the migrant population with more accuracy.

Acknowledgements The authors wish to thank Piotr Angiel who collected the GIS data and developed the basis for the map presented in Fig. 2, Hanna Szulczewska and Joseph C. Abshire for English proof-reading of this manuscript and all persons involved in Lions Rump CEMP site monitoring in 2011–2018. The data used in this paper were collected with the support of The Henryk Arctowski Polish Antarctic Station. The research was funded by the National Science Centre, 2017/01/X/NZ8/02078 MINIATURA Grant. The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to dr Greg Hofmeyr, dr Andrew Lowther and dr Javier Negrete for theirs constructive comments which helped to improve the original version of the article.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

Acevedo J, Torres D, Aguayo-Lobo A (2009) Rare piebald and partially leucistic Antarctic fur seals, Arctocephalus gazella, at Cape Shirreff, Livingston Island, Antarctica. Polar Biol 32:41–45
Angiel PJ, Korczak-Abshire M (2011) Recent climate change effect on penguins and pinnipeds, King George Island, Antarctica. Can Antarct Res Network Newsl 30:10–15
Aquayo A (1978) The present status of the Antarctic fur seal Arctocephalus gazella, at South Shetland Islands. Polar Rec 19:167–176
Bengtson JL, Ferm LM, Härkönen TJ, Stewart BS (1990) Abundance of Antarctic fur seal in the South Shetland Islands, Antarctica, during the 1986/87 Austral Summer. In: Kerry K, Hempel G (eds) Antarctic ecosystems. Ecological change and conservation. Springer, Heidelberg, pp 265–270
Bonin CA, Goebel ME, Forcada J, Burton RS, Hoffman JI (2013) Unexpected genetic differentiation between recently recolonized populations of a long-lived and highly vagile marine mammal. Ecol Evol 3:3701–3712. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.732
