I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the physics of Josephson phenomena enjoyed a number of important developments. Introduction of \( \pi \) and 0-\( \pi \) junctions,\(^1\) various ways to have a different from \( \pi \) phase shift,\(^2,3\) effect of vortices in the junction vicinity,\(^4–6\) to name a few. Striking improvements in managing Josephson phenomena came after injection of currents into one of the thin-film banks was introduced that allowed for continuous control of the phase difference on the junction\(^7–9\) and, in particular, to imitate the 0-\( \pi \) behavior. This development necessitates evaluation of the injected supercurrent distribution in one of the junction banks since this determines the distribution of the superconducting phase.

II. STREAM FUNCTION

Consider a half-infinite thin-film strip of a width \( W \ll \Lambda = 2\lambda^2/d \) where \( \lambda \) is the London penetration depth of the film material and \( d \) is the film thickness. Choose \( x \) along the strip, \( 0 < x < \infty \), and \( y \) across so that \( 0 < y < W \), Fig. 1b. Let the injection points be at \((x_1, y_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2)\) at the film edge.

The London equation integrated over the film thickness reads:

\[
\frac{h_z}{c} + 2\pi \Lambda \text{curl}\ g = 0.
\]

Here, \( g \) is the sheet current density and \( h \) is the self-field of the current \( g \). The Biot-Savart integral for \( h_z \) in terms of \( g \) shows that \( h_z \) is of the order \( g/c \), whereas the second term on the left-hand side of Eq. (1) is of the order \( g\Lambda/cW \gg g/c \). Hence, in narrow strips with \( W \ll \Lambda \), the self-field can be disregarded. Introducing the scalar stream function \( S \) via \( g = \text{curl}[S(x, y)\hat{z}] \), we obtain instead of Eq. (1):

\[
\nabla^2 S = 0.
\]

Physically, this simplification comes about since in narrow films the major contribution to the system energy is the kinetic energy of supercurrents, while their magnetic energy can be disregarded.

The boundary condition of zero current component normal to edges, e.g., \( g_y = -\partial_x S = 0 \) at the edge \( y = 0 \), translates to \( S = \text{constant} \) along the edges. This constant, however, is not necessarily the same everywhere.
in particular, it should experience a finite jump at injection points. Consider a point contact at the edge as illustrated at Fig. 1, take its position as the origin of polar coordinates, and integrate the \( r \) component of the current \( g \) along the small half-circle centered at the injection point. The total injected current is:
\[
I = \int_0^\pi g_r \, r \, d\phi = \int_0^\pi \frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi} \, d\phi = S(\pi) - S(0) .
\]  
Hence, on two sides of the injection point the stream function experiences a jump equal to the total injected current. Clearly, at the current sink S-jump has the opposite sign. Thus, we can choose \( S = 0 \) everywhere at the edges of the half-infinite strip, except the segment between the injection and sink points, where \( S = I \).

To solve the Laplace equation (2) we first employ the conformal mapping of the half-strip to a half-plane:
\[
u = -i \cosh \pi(x + iy) .
\]

It is seen that the half-plane \( u > 0 \) is transformed to the half-strip of a width 1 (hereafter we use \( W \) as a unit length). Explicitly, this transformation reads:
\[
u = \sinh \pi x \sin \pi y , \quad v = -\cosh \pi x \cos \pi y .
\]

Hence, we have to solve the Laplace equation on a half-plane \( u > 0 \) subject to boundary conditions \( S = I \) at the edge \( u = 0 \) in the interval \( v_1 < v < v_2 \) with
\[
v_1 = -\cosh \pi x_1 \cos \pi y_1 , \quad v_2 = -\cosh \pi x_2 \cos \pi y_2 ,
\]  
and \( S = 0 \) otherwise.

To proceed, we first write the “step-function” \( S(0, v) \) at the edge \( u = 0 \) as a Fourier integral:
\[
S(0, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} S(0, k) e^{ikv} ,
\]
\[
S(0, k) = I \int_{v_1}^{v_2} dv \, e^{-ikv} = \frac{I}{ik} (e^{-ikv_1} - e^{-ikv_2}) .
\]

Since \( S(0, v) \) is a linear superposition of plane waves \( e^{ikv} \), we first consider the solution of the Laplace equation (\( \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 \)) \( s(u, v) = 0 \) subject to the boundary condition \( s(0, v) = e^{ikv} \). Separating variables we obtain
\[
s(u, v) = e^{ikv} e^{-|k|u} .
\]

Hence, the solution for the actual boundary condition is:
\[
S(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} S(0, k) e^{ikv-|k|u} .
\]

A. Injectors at the edge \( x = 0 \)

Let the injector and the sink be at \((0, y_1)\) and \((0, y_2)\). We obtain:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{S(x, y)}{I/\pi} &= \tan^{-1} \frac{\cosh \pi x_1 - \cosh \pi x \cos \pi y}{\sinh \pi x \sin \pi y} \\
&- \tan^{-1} \frac{\cosh \pi y_2 - \cosh \pi x \cos \pi y}{\sinh \pi x \sin \pi y} .
\end{align*}
\]  

The lines of the current \( g \) are given by \( g \times dx = 0 \) or by \( \partial_x S \, dx + \partial_y S \, dy = dS = 0 \), in other words, by contours of \( S = \text{const} \). An example is shown in Fig. 2.

![FIG. 2. The current distribution for the injection points (0, 0.3) and (0, 0.7).](image)

B. The injector at \( y = 0 \) and the sink at \( x = 0 \)

This situation corresponds to positions \((x_1, 0)\) and \((0, y_1)\):
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{S(x, y)}{I/\pi} &= \tan^{-1} \frac{\cosh \pi x_1 - \cosh \pi x \cos \pi y}{\sinh \pi x \sin \pi y} \\
&- \tan^{-1} \frac{\cosh \pi y_2 - \cosh \pi x \cos \pi y}{\sinh \pi x \sin \pi y} .
\end{align*}
\]

An example is shown in Fig. 3.

It is worth noting that the same method can be employed for currents injected to thin-film samples of any polygonal shape. According to the Schwartz-Christoffel theorem any polygon can be mapped onto a half-plane. The general solution (10) on the \((u, v)\) plane will hold. Therefore, knowing the function which realizes the needed transformation, one obtains \( S(x, y) \). The only physical precondition for this method to work is the requirement of a small sample size on the scale of Pearl length \( 2\lambda^2/d \), that allows one to reduce the problem to the Laplace equation for the stream function \( S \). The method can be applied for more than two injection points or to extended injections, which require though different boundary conditions imposed on \( S \).
III. PHASE

We now note that the sheet current is expressed either in terms of the gauge invariant phase \( \varphi \) or via the stream function \( S \):

\[
g = \frac{c\phi_0}{4\pi^2\Lambda} \nabla \varphi = \text{curl} \, S z
\]

This relation written in components shows that \( S(r) \) and \( (c\phi_0/4\pi^2\Lambda)\varphi(r) \) are the real and imaginary parts of an analytic function.

It is easy to construct the phase on the \((u,v)\) plane since

\[
-i \ln(u + iv) = \tan^{-1}(v/u) - i \ln \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}.
\]

Hence, the phase corresponding to the stream function of Eq. (10) obeys:

\[
\frac{c\phi_0}{4\pi^2\Lambda} \varphi = -\frac{I}{\pi} \ln \frac{u^2 + (v - v_1)^2}{u^2 + (v - v_2)^2}, \quad (13)
\]

or

\[
\varphi = -\frac{I}{I_0} \ln \frac{u^2 + (v - v_1)^2}{u^2 + (v - v_2)^2}, \quad I_0 = \frac{c\phi_0}{4\pi\Lambda}. \quad (14)
\]

The characteristic current \( I_0 \) depends on the Pearl length. Thus, the phase is proportional to the reduced injected current \( j = I/I_0 \) and a factor \( \varphi/j \) depending on the film geometry and injection positions. Substituting here \( u(x,y) \) and \( v(x,y) \) one obtains the phase as a function of \((x,y)\).

Examples of the phase near the edge \( x = 0 \) are shown in Fig. 4. Clearly, one can make the phase “jump” steeper by putting injection contacts closer. It is worth noting that the phase change due to injected currents can be used, e.g., to imitate properties of 0-\( \pi \) junctions, or in fact to have any phase shift by choosing properly the injected current.

IV. JOSEPHSON CRITICAL CURRENT

The total Josephson current through a rectangular patch (the shaded region in Fig. 1a) with the size \( \Delta x \) along the \( x \) axis is

\[
\frac{J(I/I_0)}{J_{c0}} = \int_0^{\Delta x} dx \int_0^1 dy \sin[\varphi(x,y,I/I_0) + \varphi_0], \quad (15)
\]

where \( J_{c0} \) is the critical Josephson current density (which in the following is set equal to 1) and \( \varphi_0 \) is an overall phase imposed by the transport current through the junction. To find the critical current, we maximize this relative to \( \varphi_0 \) to obtain \( J_c = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2} \) where

\[
A = \int_0^{\Delta x} dx \int_0^1 dy \sin[\varphi(x,y,j)],
\]

\[
B = \int_0^{\Delta x} dx \int_0^1 dy \cos[\varphi(x,y,j)]. \quad (16)
\]

A. Symmetric injection

Consider \( J_c(j) \) for the rectangular junction of the width \( \Delta x = 0.1 \text{ W} \), similar to the experimental set up. The injection contacts are at the edge \( x = 0 \) and \( y_1 = 0.3 \) and \( y_2 = 0.7 \), i.e., they are symmetric relative to the strip middle \( y = 1/2 \). The current distribution for this case is given in Fig. 2. \( J_c(j) \) evaluated with the help of Eq. (16) is shown in Fig. 5. We note that \( J_c(0) \) is proportional to the junction width \( \Delta x \), since the Josephson critical current density is constant in the absence of injected currents.

Fig. 6 shows \( J_c(j) \) in the same junction with contacts at \( y_1 = 0.48 \) and \( y_2 = 0.52 \) so that they are separated by \( \Delta y = 0.04 \), ten times closer than in the previous example. Comparing these plots we see that the first zero of \( J_c \) at \( j \approx 0.5 \) in the first graph whereas it is at \( j \approx 5 \) in the second. We then conclude that zeros roughly scale as the...
The inverse of the contact separation, $1/\Delta y$. We also observe that maxima of $J_c$ seem to be independent of contacts separation. It is shown below that these properties of $J_c(j)$ can be traced back to general expressions (15) and (16) for narrow junctions, $\Delta x \ll 1$, and small contacts separations $\Delta y \ll 1$.

To this end, we note that being a solution of the Laplace equation for a half-infinite strip, the phase $\varphi(x, y)$ changes considerably on distances of the order $W = 1$ from the edge $x = 0$ where the contacts are placed. Hence, for narrow junctions with $\Delta x \ll 1$, one can set in the first approximation:

$$\varphi(x, y) \approx \varphi(0, y) = -j \ln \frac{(v-v_1)^2}{(v-v_2)^2} \approx -j \ln \frac{(\cos \pi y - \cos \pi y_1)^2}{(\cos \pi y - \cos \pi y_2)^2}.$$  

(17)

Here, $y_{2,1} = (1 \pm \Delta y)/2$, and for $\Delta y \ll 1$ one can expand the last expression in powers of $\Delta y$:

$$\varphi(0, y) = \frac{2\pi j \Delta y}{\cos \pi y} + O(\Delta y)^3.$$  

(18)

Since $\cos \pi y$ is odd relative to the strip middle, and so is $\sin \varphi(0, y)$, we have $A = 0$, and $J_c = |B|$, see Eq. (16):

$$J_c = \left| \int_0^{\Delta x} \int_0^1 dx \, dy \cos[\varphi(x, y)] \right| = \Delta x \int_0^1 dy \cos \frac{2\pi j \Delta y}{\cos \pi y}.$$  

(19)

Clearly, when no current is injected, $J_c(0) = \Delta x$ as is seen in Figs. 5 and 6. The integral over $y$ can be done:

$$\frac{J_c}{\Delta x} = |1 - \eta \, p_{F_q} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\eta^2}{4} \right\}|.$$  

(20)

where $p_{F_q}$ is the generalized hypergeometric function and $\eta = 2\pi j \Delta y$. This function is expressed in terms of Bessel and Struve functions so that it oscillates when $\eta$ changes:

$$J_0(\eta) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ J_0(\eta) H_1(\eta) - J_1(\eta) H_0(\eta) \right].$$  

(21)

It is worth noting that $J_c$ of Eqs. (19) and (20) depends on the injected current $j$ and the contacts separation $\Delta y$ only via the product $\eta = 2\pi j \Delta y$. In other words, the curves $J_c(j, \Delta y)$ for different contact separations $\Delta y$ can be rescaled to a universal curve $J_c(\eta)$. In particular, the zeros of $J_c(j)$ and the positions of its maxima should scale as $1/\Delta y$. Unlike their positions, the absolute value of its $n$-th maximum is independent of the separation $\Delta y$.

The two first roots of $J_c(\eta)$ found numerically are 1.11 and 4.06. For $\Delta y = 0.4$, we then obtain the two first zeros of $J_c(j)$: $j_1 = \eta_1/2 \pi \Delta y \approx 0.44$ and $j_2 \approx 1.61$. For $\Delta y = 0.04$, the two first zeros are at 4.41 and 16.1. These are close to what we have at Figs. 5 and 6 although here we study an approximate solution for $\Delta x \ll 1$ whereas the figures are results of “exact” numerical integration.

Let us now consider the magnetic field $H$ applied parallel to the junction plane $(x, y)$. In general, the critical current should depend on both $H$ and $j$, $J_c = J_c(j, H)$. In the absence of injected currents, $J_c(0, H)$ has a standard shape with maximum at $H = 0$. The presence of zeros of $J_c(j)$ for symmetric injection in zero field, has an important consequence. If the injected current $j_n$ is such that $J_c(j_n) = 0$, application of the magnetic field will result in the pattern $J_c(j_n, H)$ such that $J_c(j_n, 0) = 0$, i.e., the curve $J_c(j_n, H)$ will have zero at $H = 0$ instead of the standard maximum. This situation is similar to the famous case of “$0-\pi$” junction,\(^1\) however, here the injected currents cause a necessary phase shift. Precisely this situation has been seen in experiment\(^7,8\) with symmetric injectors.

### B. Asymmetric injection

If the injection contacts are arranged asymmetrically as, e.g., at Fig. 3, the minima of $J_c(j)$ do not reach zeros as shown in Fig. 7. Without discussing a variety of
asymmetric injections, we note that $J_c(j)$ of all of them have the property that their minima do not reach zeros.

FIG. 7. $J_c(j)$ corresponding to the current distribution of Fig. 3 for the injection points (0.2, 0) and (0, 0.7).

V. DISCUSSION

In summary, we have shown that the current distribution in thin film samples small on the scale of the Pearl length $\Lambda = 2\lambda^2/d$ can be found by solving the Laplace equation for the stream function under boundary conditions specified for injection sources at arbitrary points at sample edges. When this film constitutes one of the Josephson junction banks, the contribution of the phase associated with injected currents to the junction phase difference is proportional to the injected current $j = 4\pi \Lambda I/c\phi_0$. Hence, the thinner the film (or the larger the Pearl $\Lambda$) the smaller injected currents are needed for the same effect upon the junction properties. The critical Josephson current $J_c(j)$, for certain (symmetric) infection geometries, has zeros, the position of which scales as the inverse distance between the injection points. If $J_n$ is one of these zeros, application of a field $H$ parallel to the junction plane results in a pattern $J_c(H, j_n)$ with zero at $H = 0$ instead of a standard maximum, the property seen in experiments.7,8
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