DESINGULARIZING COMPACT LIE GROUP ACTIONS

KEN RICHARDSON

Abstract. This note surveys the well-known structure of \(G\)-manifolds and summarizes parts of two papers that have not yet appeared: [4], joint with J. Brüning and F. W. Kamber, and [8], joint with I. Prokhorenkov. In particular, from a given manifold on which a compact Lie group acts smoothly, we construct a sequence of manifolds on which the same Lie group acts, but with fewer levels of singular strata. Global analysis and geometric results on the simpler manifolds may be translated to results on the original manifold. Further, we show that by utilizing bundles over a \(G\)-manifold with singular strata, we may construct natural equivariant transverse Dirac-type operators that have properties similar to Dirac operators on closed manifolds.

1. Manifolds and compact Lie group actions

Suppose that a compact Lie group \(G\) acts smoothly on a smooth, connected, closed manifold \(M\). We assume that the action is effective, meaning that no \(g \in G\) fixes all of \(M\). (Otherwise, replace \(G\) with \(G/\{g \in G : gx = x \text{ for all } x \in M\} = \emptyset\).) Choose a Riemannian metric for which \(G\) acts by isometries; average the pullbacks of any fixed Riemannian metric over the group of diffeomorphisms to obtain such a metric.

Given such an action and \(x \in M\), the isotropy subgroup \(G_x \subset G\) is defined to be \(\{g \in G : gx = x\}\). The orbit \(O_x\) of a point \(x\) is defined to be \(\{gx : g \in G\}\). Note that \(G_{gx} = gG_x g^{-1}\), so the conjugacy class of the isotropy subgroup of a point is fixed along an orbit. The conjugacy class of the isotropy subgroups along an orbit is called the orbit type.

On any such \(G\)-manifold, there are a finite number of orbit types, and there is a partial order on the set of orbit types. Given subgroups \(H\) and \(K\) of \(G\) that occur as isotropy subgroups, we say that \([H] \leq [K]\) if \(H\) is conjugate to a subgroup of \(K\), and we say \([H] < [K]\) if \([H] \leq [K]\) and \([H] \neq [K]\). We may enumerate the conjugacy classes of isotropy subgroups as \([G_0], \ldots, [G_{r-1}]\) such that \([G_i] \leq [G_j]\) if and only if \(i \leq j\). It is well-known that the union \(M_0\) of the principal orbits (those with type \([G_0]\)) form an open dense subset \(M_0\) of the manifold \(M\), and the other orbits are called singular. As a consequence, every isotropy subgroup \(H\) satisfies \([G_0] \leq [H]\); \(M_0\) is called the principal stratum. Let \(M_j\) denote the set of points of \(M\) of orbit type \([G_j]\) for each \(j\); the set \(M_j\) is called the stratum corresponding to \([G_j]\). A stratum \(M_j\) is called a most singular stratum if there does not exist a stratum \(M_k\) such that \([G_j] < [G_k]\). It is known that each stratum is a \(G\)-invariant submanifold of \(M\), and in fact a most singular stratum is a closed (but not necessarily connected) submanifold. Also, for each \(j\), the submanifold \(M_{\geq j} := \bigcup_{[G_k] \geq [G_j]} M_k\) is a closed, \(G\)-invariant submanifold.

Consider the following simple examples.

Example 1.1. \(G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\) acts on \(M = S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) by

\[ (1, 0) (x, y, z) = (x, y, z), \quad (0, 1) (x, y, z) = (x, y, -z). \]
The action is isometric for the standard metric on the sphere. The isotropy subgroup of each point in \(M_0 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : z \neq 0, y \neq 0\}\) is \(G_0 = \{(0, 0)\}\), points of the set \(M_2 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : z = 0, y \neq 0\}\) have isotropy subgroup \(G_2 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}\), points in \(M_1 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : y = 0, z \neq 0\}\) have isotropy subgroup \(G_1 = \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}\), and points of \(M_3 = \{(1, 0, 0), (-1, 0, 0)\}\) have isotropy subgroup \(G_3 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\). Here, \(M_0\) is the principal stratum, and \(M_3\) is the only singular stratum. The set \(M_{\geq 1}\) is the circle \(\{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : y = 0\}\). Note that \([G_0] \subsetneq [G_1] \subsetneq [G_3]\) and \([G_0] \subsetneq [G_2] \subsetneq [G_3]\) but \([G_1]\) and \([G_2]\) are not comparable.

**Example 1.2.** \(G = S^1\) acts on \(M = S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) by

\[
e^\theta(x, y, z) = (x \cos(\theta) - y \sin(\theta), x \sin(\theta) + y \cos(\theta), z),\]

i.e. rotations around the \(z\)-axis. This action is isometric for the standard metric. The isotropy subgroup at each point of \(M_0 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : |z| < 1\}\) is \(G_0 = \{1\}\), and the isotropy subgroup for each point of \(M_1 = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : z = 1\text{ or } z = -1\}\) is \(G_1 = S^1\).

**Example 1.3.** \(G = S^1 \times S^1\) acts on \(M = S^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4\) by

\[
(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\alpha})(x, y, z, w) = (x \cos(\theta) - y \sin(\theta), x \sin(\theta) + y \cos(\theta), z \cos(\alpha) - w \sin(\alpha), z \sin(\alpha) + w \cos(\alpha)).
\]

The isotropy subgroup of each point of

\[M_0 = \{(x, y, z, w) \in S^3 : x^2 + y^2 > 0, z^2 + w^2 > 0\}\]

is \(G_0 = \{(1, 1)\}\), the isotropy subgroup for each point of \(M_1 = \{(x, y, z, w) \in S^3 : z = w = 0\}\) is \(G_1 = \{1\} \times S^1\), and the isotropy subgroup of \(M_2 = \{(x, y, z, w) \in S^3 : x = y = 0\}\) is \(G_2 = S^1 \times \{1\}\). Note that the diagonal action of \((e^{i\theta}, e^{i\alpha})\) with \(e^{i\theta} \in S^1\) gives the Hopf fibration. In this example, the torus action on \(S^3\) yields three strata, with \(M_0\) being the principal stratum and \(M_1\) and \(M_2\) each being a most singular stratum.

2. Desingularization construction

With notation as in the previous section, we will construct a new \(G\)-manifold \(N\) that has a single stratum (of type \([G_0]\)) and that is a branched cover of \(M\), branched over the singular strata. A distinguished fundamental domain of \(M_0\) in \(N\) is called the desingularization of \(M\) and is denoted \(\tilde{M}\). The significance of this construction is that it appears in the equivariant index theorem in \([4]\), and the analysis of transversally elliptic operators on \(M\) may be replaced by analysis on \(\tilde{M}\), which is much easier to understand.

A sequence of constructions is used to construct \(N\) and \(\tilde{M} \subset N\). If \(M_j\) is a most singular stratum, let \(T_\varepsilon(M_j)\) denote an open tubular neighborhood of \(M_j\) of radius \(\varepsilon > 0\). If \(\varepsilon\) is sufficiently small, then all orbits in \(T_\varepsilon(M_j)\) are of type \([G_k]\), where \([G_k] < [G_j]\). Let

\[N^1 = (M \setminus T_\varepsilon(M_j)) \cup_{\partial T_\varepsilon(M_j)} (M \setminus T_\varepsilon(M_j))\]

be the manifold constructed by gluing two copies of \((M \setminus T_\varepsilon(M_j))\) smoothly along the boundary. Since the \(T_\varepsilon(M_j)\) is saturated (a union of \(G\)-orbits), the \(G\)-action lifts to \(N^1\). Note that the strata of the \(G\)-action on \(N^1\) correspond to strata in \(M \setminus T_\varepsilon(M_j)\). If \(M_k \cap (M \setminus T_\varepsilon(M_j))\) is nontrivial, then the stratum corresponding to isotropy type \([G_k]\) on \(N^1\) is

\[N^1_k = (M_k \cap (M \setminus T_\varepsilon(M_j))) \cup_{\partial T_\varepsilon(M_j)} (M_k \cap (M \setminus T_\varepsilon(M_j))).\]
Thus, $N^1$ is a $G$-manifold with one fewer stratum than $M$, and $M \setminus M_j$ is diffeomorphic to one copy of $(M \setminus T_\xi(M_j))$, denoted $\tilde{M}^1$ in $N^1$. In fact, $N^1$ is a branched double cover of $M$, branched over $M_j$. If $N^1$ has one orbit type, then we set $N = N^1$ and $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}^1$. If $N^1$ has more than one orbit type, we repeat the process with the $G$-manifold $N^1$ to produce a new $G$-manifold $N^2$ with two fewer orbit types than $M$ and that is a 4-fold branched cover of $M$. Again, $\tilde{M}^2$ is a fundamental domain of $\tilde{M}^1 \setminus \{\text{a most singular stratum}\}$, which is a fundamental domain of $M$ with two strata removed. We continue until $N = N^{r-1}$ is a $G$-manifold with all orbits of type $[G_0]$ and is a $2^{r-1}$-fold branched cover of $M$, branched over $M \setminus M_0$. We set $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}^{r-1}$, which is a fundamental domain of $M_0$ in $N$.

As mentioned earlier, if $M$ is equipped with a $G$-equivariant, transversally elliptic differential operator on sections of an equivariant vector bundle over $M$, then this data may be pulled back to the desingularization $\tilde{M}$. Given the bundle and operator over $N^j$, simply form the invertible double of the operator on $N^{j+1}$, which is the double of the manifold with boundary $N^j \setminus T_\xi(\Sigma)$, where $\Sigma$ is a most singular stratum on $N^j$.

Further, one may independently desingularize $M_{\geq j}$, since this submanifold is itself a closed $G$-manifold. If $M_{\geq j}$ has more than one connected component, we may desingularize all components simultaneously. Note that the isotropy type of all points of $\tilde{M}_{\geq j}$ is $[G_j]$, and the $\tilde{M}_{\geq j}/G$ is a smooth (open) manifold.

The desingularizations $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{M}_{\geq j}$ are the regions of integration present in the following equivariant index formula in [4].

**Theorem 2.1.** (Equivariant Index Theorem, in [4])

$$\text{ind}^p(D) = \int_{\tilde{M}/G} A_0^p(x) \, |dx|$$

$$+ \sum_{j,a,b} C_{jab} \int_{\tilde{M}_{\geq j}/G} \left( -\eta \left( D^{S^+,\sigma_a}_j \right) + h \left( D^{S^+,\sigma_a}_j \right) \right) A_{j,\sigma_b}^p(x) \, |dx|.$$  

In this formula, $D$ is a $G$-equivariant, transversally elliptic operator which can be written in a tubular neighborhood of each $M_j$ as the product

$$D = \left\{ Z_j \left( \nabla^E_{\partial_r} + \frac{1}{r} D^S_j \right) \right\} \ast D^{M_{\geq j}},$$

where $r$ is the distance from $M_{\geq j}$, where $Z_j$ is a local bundle isomorphism, the map $D^S_j$ is a family of purely first order operators that differentiates in the unit normal bundle directions tangent to $S_\epsilon M_{\geq j}$, and $D^{M_{\geq j}}$ is a global transversally elliptic, $G$-equivariant, first order operator on the stratum $M_{\geq j}$. Many important examples of equivariant, transversally elliptic differential operators satisfy the condition above. In the formula, the forms $A_0^p$ and $A_{j,\sigma_b}^p$ are Atiyah-Singer integrands for differential operators on the corresponding desingularized strata. The number $\eta \left( D^{S^+,\sigma_a}_j \right)$ corresponds to a $G_j$-equivariant eta invariant, and $h \left( D^{S^+,\sigma_a}_j \right)$ is the dimension of the $\sigma_a$-part of the kernel of $D^{S^+_j}$, which is a $G_j$ representation space. These numbers are actually topological invariants, a little surprising if one is familiar with eta invariants of operators on closed manifolds; the invariance comes from the fact that the polar decomposition yields integral eigenvalues for $D^S_j$, and thus they are constant along connected components of $M_j$. The constants $C_{jab}$ depend on the representation theory — the
induced representation of $G_j$ on a $\rho$-representation space and on Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of tensor product representations.

3. Natural equivariant Dirac operators

Here another approach is used to treat difficult transverse analytic problems in a less singular setting, and the content of this section is in the paper [8]. Given a connected, complete $G$-manifold, the action of $g \in G$ on $M$ induces an action of $dg$ on $TM$, which in turn induces an action of $G$ on the principal $O(n)$-bundle $F_O \xrightarrow{\rho} M$ of orthonormal frames over $M$. It turns out that when $G$ is effective, the isotropy subgroups on $F_O$ are all trivial. In any case, the $G$ orbits on $F_O$ are diffeomorphic and are the fibers (leaves) of a Riemannian fiber bundle in a natural Sasakian metric on $F_O$. The quotient $F_O \xrightarrow{\pi} F_O/G$ is a Riemannian submersion of compact $O(n)$-manifolds. The metric on $F_O$ is bundle-like for the Riemannian foliation $(F_O, \mathcal{F})$ by $G$-orbits.

Let $E \to F_O$ be a Hermitian vector bundle that is equivariant with respect to the $G \times O(n)$ action. Let $\rho : G \to U(V_\rho)$ and $\sigma : O(n) \to U(W_\sigma)$ be irreducible unitary representations. We define the bundle $\mathcal{E}^\sigma \to M$ by

$$\mathcal{E}^\sigma = \Gamma (p^{-1}(x), E)^\sigma,$$

where the superscript $\sigma$ refers to the type $\sigma$ part of the $O(n)$-representation space $\Gamma (p^{-1}(x), E)$. The bundle $\mathcal{E}^\sigma$ is a Hermitian $G$-vector bundle of finite rank over $M$ that comes with a natural metric.

Similarly, we define the bundle $\mathcal{T}^\rho \to F_O/G$ by

$$\mathcal{T}^\rho = \Gamma (\pi^{-1}(y), E)^\rho,$$

and $\mathcal{T}^\rho \to F_O/G$ is a Hermitian $O(n)$-equivariant bundle of finite rank, with a natural metric.

**Theorem 3.1.** (in [8]) For any irreducible representation $\rho : G \to U(V_\rho)$ and irreducible representation $\sigma : O(n) \to U(W_\sigma)$, there is an explicit isomorphism $\Gamma (M, \mathcal{E}^\sigma)^\rho \to \Gamma (F_O/G, \mathcal{T}^\rho)^\sigma$ that extends to an $L^2$-isometry.

Next, let $E \to F_O$ be a Hermitian vector bundle of $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{F})$ modules that is equivariant with respect to the $G \times O(n)$ action. As explained in [5], [6], [8], [4], [7], there is natural construction of what is know as the basic Dirac operator in this situation. We have the transversal Dirac operator $D_{tr}$ defined by the composition

$$\Gamma (F_O, E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Gamma (F_O, T^*F_O \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \Gamma (F_O, N^*\mathcal{F} \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}^\sigma} \Gamma (F_O, E).$$

The basic Dirac operator

$$D_{N\mathcal{F}} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{tr} + D_{tr}^*) = D_{tr} - \frac{1}{2} c(H)$$

is a essentially self-adjoint $G \times O(n)$-equivariant operator, where $H$ is the mean curvature vector field of the $G$-orbits in $F_O$.

From $D_{N\mathcal{F}}$ we now construct equivariant differential operators on $M$ and $F_O/G$, denoted

$$D_{M}^\sigma : \Gamma (M, \mathcal{E}^\sigma) \to \Gamma (M, \mathcal{E}^\sigma)$$

and

$$D_{F_O/G}^\rho : \Gamma (F_O/G, \mathcal{T}^\rho) \to \Gamma (F_O/G, \mathcal{T}^\rho),$$
defined in the natural way. For an irreducible representation \( \alpha : G \to U(V_\alpha) \), let
\[
(D^\sigma_M)^\alpha : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{E}^\alpha) \to \Gamma(M, \mathcal{E}^\alpha)
\]
be the restriction of \( D^\sigma_M \) to sections of \( G \)-representation type \([\alpha]\). Similarly, for an irreducible representation \( \beta : G \to U(V_\beta) \), let
\[
(D^\rho_{FO/G})^\beta : \Gamma(FO/G, \mathcal{T}^\rho) \to \Gamma(FO/G, \mathcal{T}^\rho)
\]
be the restriction of \( D^\rho_{FO/G} \) to sections of \( O(n) \)-representation type \([\beta]\). The proposition below follows from Theorem 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2.** The operator \( D^\sigma_M \) is transversally elliptic and \( G \)-equivariant, and \( D^\rho_{FO/G} \) is elliptic and \( O(n) \)-equivariant, and the closures of these operators are self-adjoint. The operators \((D^\sigma_M)^\rho \) and \((D^\rho_{FO/G})^\alpha \) have identical discrete spectrum, and the corresponding eigenspaces are conjugate via Hilbert space isomorphisms.

Thus, questions about the transversally elliptic operator \( D^\sigma_M \) are reduced to questions about the elliptic operators \( D^\rho_{FO/G} \) for each irreducible \( \rho : G \to U(V_\rho) \). Further, it turns out that the operators \( D^\sigma_M \) play the same role for equivariant analysis as the standard Dirac operators do in the index theory and analysis of elliptic operators on closed manifolds.

### 4. Further Comments

The main use of these results is that in both cases, a quite difficult problem of analyzing a transversally elliptic operator (with potentially infinite dimensional eigenspaces) is reduced to an elliptic problem or set of elliptic problems, which are much more tractable. For example, the Atiyah-Segal Theorem ([1]) was the first version of an equivariant index theorem, and it appeared in 1968. However, the appropriate generalization to transversally elliptic operators appeared only in 1996 and was due to Berline and Vergne ([2], [3]). In a sense, Theorem 2.1 is a Fourier transform version of the Atiyah-Segal and Berline-Vergne results, giving a formula for the Fourier coefficients of the character instead of the value of the character at a particular \( g \in G \). Further, Theorem 2.1 gives a method of computing eta invariants of Dirac-type operators on quotients of spheres by compact group actions; this was known previously for finite group actions only.

The new “transversal Dirac operators” on \( G \)-manifolds constructed in Section 3 and in 8 should be explored further, and in particular future investigations should lead to generalizations of Dirac operator results to the transversally elliptic setting.
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