Measurement of Novel, Drinking Water-Associated PFAS in Blood from Adults and Children in Wilmington, North Carolina
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BACKGROUND: From 1980 to 2017, a fluorochemical manufacturing facility discharged wastewater containing poorly understood per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) to the Cape Fear River, the primary drinking water source for Wilmington, North Carolina, residents. Those PFAS included several fluororoethers including HFO-DA also known as GenX. Little is known about the bioaccumulation potential of these fluororoethers.

OBJECTIVE: We determined levels of fluororoethers and legacy PFAS in serum samples from Wilmington residents.

METHODS: In November 2017 and May 2018, we enrolled 344 Wilmington residents ≥6 years of age into the GenX Exposure Study and collected blood samples. Repeated blood samples were collected from 44 participants 6 months after enrollment. We analyzed serum for 10 fluororoethers and 10 legacy PFAS using liquid chromatography–high-resolution mass spectrometry.

RESULTS: Participants’ ages ranged from 6 to 86 y, and they lived in the lower Cape Fear Region for 20 y on average (standard deviation: 16 y). Six fluororoethers were detected in serum; Nafion by-product 2 and PFO4DA were detected in >99% of participants. PFO3OA and NVHSOs were infrequently detected. Hydro-EVE was present in a subset of samples, but we could not quantify it. GenX was not detected above our analytical method reporting limit (2 ng/mL). In participants with repeated samples, the median decrease in fluororoether levels ranged from 34% for Nafion byproduct 2 to 65% for PFO4DA in 6 months due to wastewater discharge control. Four legacy PFAS (PFHxS, PFOA, PFOS, PFNA) were detected in most (≥97%) participants; these levels were higher than U.S. national levels for the 2015–2016 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. The sum concentration of fluororoethers contributed 23% to participants’ summed serum PFAS (median: 25.0 ng/mL).

CONCLUSION: Poorly understood fluororoethers released into the Cape Fear River by a fluorochemical manufacturing facility were detected in blood samples from Wilmington, North Carolina, residents. Health implications of exposure to these novel PFAS have not been well characterized. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP6837

Introduction

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are a broad class of synthetic chemicals used to manufacture fluoropolymers, stain repellents, paper coatings, and fire-fighting foams (Kissa 2001). In addition to the PFAS produced for commercial purposes, other PFAS can be formed as by-products or impurities of fluoroochemical production (Dinglasan et al. 2004; James and Franklin 1966; Liang et al. 1998; Moore et al. 1966). Many PFAS have high aqueous solubility and are persistent in the environment. As a result, PFAS are stable in water and can travel over long distances in freshwater and marine ecosystems (Banzhaf et al. 2017; Möller et al. 2010). PFAS releases into the environment can therefore impact drinking water sources both near and far from the source of contamination (Hu et al. 2016; Ingelido et al. 2018; Mak et al. 2009; Pan et al. 2018; Sharma et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2016).

PFAS are not substantially removed by most conventional drinking-water treatment processes, including coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, filtration, and disinfection (Rahman et al. 2014). Elevated concentrations of PFAS have been reported in the finished drinking water of community water systems that source water from areas with industrial facilities producing or using PFAS (Grab et al. 2019; Hu et al. 2016). Notably, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) releases from a fluoroochemical plant near Parkersburg, West Virginia, resulted in parts-per-billion levels of PFOA in drinking water sourced from contaminated wells; in the community, tap water consumption was a significant predictor of serum PFOA levels (Emmett et al. 2006; Hoffman et al. 2011). Human exposure to PFAS [PFOA and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) are the most studied to date] has been associated with thyroid disease, ulcerative colitis, elevated cholesterol levels, developmental delays, liver disease, kidney and testicular cancer, and immunosuppression (ATSDR 2018; DeWitt et al. 2009; Steenland et al. 2010; Sunderland et al. 2019).

In North Carolina, a 2,150-acre fluoroochemical manufacturing facility (i.e., Fayetteville Works) (Figure 1) discharged process wastewater to the Cape Fear River as early as 1980 (Wagner and Buckland 2017). Several poorly understood PFAS, including hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid (HFPO-DA or GenX), have been detected in water samples collected downstream of the facility’s effluent discharge point (Hopkins et al. 2018; McCord and Strynar 2019; McCord et al. 2018; Strynar et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2016; Dettmer et al. 2017; Wu et al. 2016).
et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2019). These PFAS are collectively referred to as fluoroethers because they have the traditional perfluoroalkyl carbon chains characteristic of legacy PFAS, such as PFOA, but the chains are interrupted by ether oxygen(s) (see Figure S1) (Strynar et al. 2015). The released fluoroethers, including GenX, were generated as by-products of fluoropolymer production at Fayetteville Works facility (Hopkins et al. 2018; McCord and Strynar 2019). Human exposure to by-products of fluorochemical manufacturing has not been studied to date.

Approximately 80 miles downriver of Fayetteville Works is the raw water intake for the Cape Fear Public Utility Authority (CFPUA), which provides drinking water to approximately 200,000 people in New Hanover County, home to Wilmington, North Carolina. Raw water concentrations of the fluoroethers were similar to treated water concentrations because the fluoroethers were not measurably removed by CFPUA’s water treatment processes, which included several advanced steps (i.e., raw and settled water ozonation, biofiltration, and ultraviolet light disinfection) (Hopkins et al. 2018). In early June 2017, the public became aware of the presence of GenX in their drinking water (Hagerty 2017). Community concern and subsequent action by the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NC DEQ) resulted in the fluorochemical manufacturer reducing its wastewater discharges to the Cape Fear River on 21 June 2017, and by September 2017, the facility stopped discharging process wastewater containing PFAS into the Cape Fear River (NC DEQ 2017). As a result, the GenX concentration in Wilmington’s drinking water source dropped from approximately 700 ng/L before discharge control to approximately 100 ng/L 1 week later (Hopkins et al. 2018; Sun et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2019).

We initiated The GenX Exposure Study in November 2017 to answer community members’ questions about their exposure to GenX and other PFAS. We included in our analysis fluoroethers that were by-products of fluorochemical manufacturing at Fayetteville Works as well as legacy PFAS historically used throughout the Cape Fear River Basin. We report here the initial findings for serum PFAS levels measured in a Wilmington, North Carolina, population.

Methods

Study Population

In November 2017 and May 2018, we recruited individuals from New Hanover County, North Carolina, to participate in the GenX Exposure Study. We partnered with Cape Fear River Watch, a local nongovernmental organization focusing on water quality in the region; the New Hanover County Health Department; the New Hanover County NAACP; and informal community partners to inform the public about the study. Press releases, news stories, public service announcements, recruitment flyers, social media platforms, and the study website (https://genxstudy.ncsu.edu/) were used to promote the study.

CFPUA distributes drinking water to the City of Wilmington and unincorporated areas of New Hanover County not served by privately owned systems. CFPUA operates three treatment plants with separate distribution systems: One plant sources water from the lower Cape Fear River, and the other two from various groundwater sources (CFPUA 2020b). Most (153,200 or 80%) of the 190,500 people served by CFPUA receive water from the lower Cape Fear River (NC Drinking Water Watch 2020). The Richardson and Monterey Heights groundwater treatment plants serve 37,250 people collectively.

Study participants were required to be current residents of New Hanover County, ≥6 years of age, and to have lived in a home served with CFPUA drinking water for at least 12 months prior to November 2017 (the start of enrollment). Up to four individuals per household were allowed to participate. We excluded pregnant women and people who were human immunodeficiency virus- or hepatitis C-positive. Individuals were recruited in both English and Spanish. The majority of our participants were recruited in November 2017, with a smaller, targeted recruitment in May 2018. In November, interested individuals contacted the
study office to be screened for eligibility. Eligible individuals were scheduled for a clinic visit at the New Hanover County Health Department during the weekend of 10–12 November 2017. We conducted a second recruitment of participants in May 2018, aimed at increasing participation of African Americans. We joined the annual health fair at the MLK Center in Wilmington, hosted by the New Hanover County NAACP. Recruitment, enrollment, and biological sample collection took place at the MLK Center on 5 May 2018. We also scheduled repeat blood and urine collection from a random sample of the November 2017 participants.

All study participants provided written informed consent to participate. All phases of the study were conducted in compliance with the North Carolina State University Institutional Review Board.

Data Collection

During clinic visits, we consented participants, administered a questionnaire, collected biological samples (blood and urine), and measured height and weight. Study staff administered a questionnaire to each participant at the clinic visit to collect information on demographics, drinking water habits, residential history, health history, and PFAS exposures other than drinking water. Children completed a shortened version of the adult questionnaire. Parents provided the residential history for their children.

Trained phlebotomists collected nonfasting blood samples from participants. For participants who were ≥11 years of age, four tubes of blood (two red-top tubes for serum, two ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes for whole blood or plasma) were collected. For children 6–10 years of age, two red-top tubes for serum were collected. Serum tubes were spun at 1,300 x g for 10 min in a Sorvall RT 600D centrifuge at room temperature. Serum was aliquoted into transfer tubes. One EDTA tube was processed for plasma; the remainder was saved as whole blood. Spot urine samples were provided by study participants during the clinic visit. Urine and blood samples were stored on dry ice to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, where they were stored at –80°C until analysis.

PFAS Analysis in Blood

Analytical standards. Native standards for GenX, perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA), perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA), perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHpA), perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxS), PFOS, and 6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate (6:2 FTS) were acquired as aqueous solutions (1,000 ng/mL standard concentration (SRM) 1957 was analyzed for calibration verification (acceptance criteria were ≤30% difference from consensus value). Mean concentrations of legacy PFAS (PFHpA, PFHxS, PFOA, PFOS, PFPeA, PFDA, and PFOA) in serum samples described above. Compounds were quantified using a relative response ratio of the native standard and isotopically labeled internal standard; the [M-H]− or [M-H-CO2]− ions were used. Integration of PFAS isomers was consistent with U.S. EPA Method 537.1 (U.S. EPA 2018); that is, for compounds with branched and linear isomers (PFOA, PFOS, PFPeA), peaks for the branched and linear isomers were integrated together to report total concentration.

Serum samples were run in batches of approximately 50 samples. Each batch contained in-house spiked newborn calf serum samples for continuing calibration checks. National Institutes of Standards and Technology (NIST) standard reference material (SRM) 1957 human serum was analyzed for calibration verification (acceptance criteria were ≤30% difference from consensus value). Mean concentrations of legacy PFAS (PFHpA, PFHxS, PFOA, PFOS, and PFNA) in SRM 1957 were within 10% difference of reference values determined by an interlaboratory analysis (see Table S2). We calculated the precision between replicate analyses by taking the difference divided by the average. Intrarun replicate analysis precision for duplicate analyses was less than
Table 1. Ten fluoroethers and 10 legacy PFAS measured for in serum samples in the GenX exposure study.

| Short name (Fluoroethers) | U.S. EPA registry name (Legacy PFAS) | CASN (hyperlinked to U.S. EPA CompTox Chemistry Dashboarda) | Monoisotopic mass, deprotonated | # of fluorinated carbons | Chain lengthi |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| HFPO-DA (GenX)            | Hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid | C₉H₂F₁₂O₂                                                    | 362.9760                       | 6                      | 8            |
| PMPA                      | Perfluoro-2-methoxypropionic acid   | C₈H₁₆F₇O₂                                                    | 328.9677                       | 5                      | 7            |
| PEPA                      | Perfluoro-2-ethoxypropionic acid   | C₈H₁₆F₇O₂                                                    | 328.9677                       | 5                      | 7            |
| PF2O2Hex                       | Perfluoro-3,5-dioxahexanoic acid   | C₈H₁₆F₈O₄                                                    | 364.9631                       | 6                      | 9            |
| PF3O3A                      | Perfluoro-3,5,7-trioxadecanoic acid | C₁₀H₂₀F₁₂O₆                                                  | 408.9724                       | 7                      | 10           |
| PF4DA                      | Perfluoro-3,5,7,9-butoxadecanoic acid | C₁₀H₂₀F₁₂O₆                                                  | 408.9724                       | 7                      | 10           |
| NFOS                      | 1,1,2,2-Tetrafluoro-2-methoxypropane | C₈H₁₆F₇O₂                                                    | 362.9677                       | 6                      | 8            |
| Nafion by-product 1        | Perfluoro-3,6-dioxa-4-methyl-7-octene-1-sulfonic acid | C₈H₁₆F₇O₈S                                                   | 398.9730                       | 5                      | 7            |
| Nafion by-product 2        | Perfluoro-2-[(perfluorooxy)-2-propynyl]oxy-ethanesulfonic acid | C₈H₁₆F₇O₈S                                                   | 398.9730                       | 5                      | 10           |

Note: CASN, Chemical Abstracts Services Number; EPA, Environmental Protection Agency; GenX, hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid.

30% for most PFAS (see Table S3). As expected, lower replicate precision was observed at lower concentrations.

The study sera were run in batches across eight analytical runs. Each analyte was assigned a batch-specific method reporting limit (MRL) defined as the first point of the standard curve for which the regression equation yielded a calculated value within 30% of the true value. For analytes with significant background signal in calf serum blanks, the MRL was designated as three times the maximum response in newborn calf serum blanks (i.e., in the 0-ng/mL standard), if higher than the MRL from the calibration curve. Higher instrument background levels for PFPeA, PF2O2Hex, and GenX were observed on some analytical runs and resulted in higher batch-specific MRLs for those PFAS (see Table S4). In addition, the mass spectrometer had a high background response for the mass corresponding to PFMOAA, making it difficult to distinguish PFMOOA standards. We prioritized the method development for PFAS with longer alkyl (ether) chain length (e.g., PFOSDoA), which we suspected were more likely to be detected in blood (Ng and Hungerbühler 2014). Thus, we moved forward without measuring samples for PFMOAA.

Statistical Methods

To calculate summary statistics, we used the first blood sample collected from each participant (i.e., the blood sample collected when the participant was enrolled; that is, the November 2017 sample for most participants and the May 2018 sample for new enrollees in May). We present results for PFAS detected in 60% or more of 344 serum samples. For samples analyzed in duplicate, average values were used in the analyses. Sample results below the MRL were assigned a value of the MRL divided by the square root of 2 (Calafat et al. 2007; Daly et al. 2018). However, when we summed the mass concentration of all detectable PFAS to determine total PFAS in serum, we added 0 to the total for PFAS that were below the MRL so that we did not bias the sum upward because of multiple nondetected chemicals. We assessed correlation of PFAS serum concentrations using Spearman correlation coefficients; values greater than or equal to 0.70 were considered highly correlated.

To compare differences between participants served with treated Cape Fear River water or another drinking water source,
we used a Wilcoxon rank sum test. Two study participants who were enrolled in the early stages of the recruitment effort and who shared the same residence did not meet the study eligibility criterion of residing in the CFPUA service area. Their residence, however, was in Wilmington, and their drinking water source was not the Cape Fear River. Therefore, we included these two participants as part of the group with drinking water not sourced from the Cape Fear River.

For participants who provided repeat samples, we calculated percentage change over time using serum PFAS concentrations in November 2017 and May 2018. Percentage change was calculated as

\[
\left( \frac{\text{Concentration}_{\text{November 2017}} - \text{Concentration}_{\text{May 2018}}}{\text{Concentration}_{\text{November 2017}}} \right) \times 100\% 
\]

We also used a Wilcoxon test for paired samples to evaluate differences in serum PFAS concentrations between November 2017 and May 2018. All statistical analyses were conducted in R (version 3.5.1; R Development Core Team). The significance level for all statistical analyses was \( p < 0.05 \).

**Comparison Data**

To determine whether fluoroethers were detectable in people living remote from the fluorochemical manufacturing site, we analyzed 20 stored serum samples collected in 2008–2009 from 30- to 44-y-old women participating in an unrelated research study, and living in the Raleigh, Durham, and Chapel Hill, North Carolina, area (Crawford et al. 2017) (Figure 1).

**Results**

**Study Population**

In November 2017 and May 2018, we enrolled 344 participants, including 289 adults and 55 children; 310 enrolled in November 2017 and 34 enrolled in May 2018. We collected repeat blood samples from 44 participants (Table 2, Figure 2). Participants ranged in age from 6 to 86 y, with a median age of 50 y. Most participants (97%) had drinking water sourced from the Cape Fear River. 72% of participants reported residing in the region for >10 y. In 75 of the 231 participating households (32%), at least 2 household members participated in the study. Most participants (97%) had drinking water sourced from CFPUA.

**Table 2.** Demographic characteristics of the 344 Wilmington, North Carolina, GenX exposure study participants.

| Characteristic                                      | November 2017 (n = 310) | November 2017 (resampled May 2018) (n = 44) | May 2018 (n = 34) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                                                     | [n (%)]                  | [n (%)]                                     | [n (%)]           |
| Adult/child                                         |                          |                                             |                   |
| Adult (≥18 y)                                       | 256 (82.6)               | 42 (95.5)                                   | 33 (97.1)         |
| Child                                               | 54 (17.4)                | 2 (4.6)                                     | 1 (2.94)          |
| Age group (y)                                       |                          |                                             |                   |
| 6–17                                                | 54 (17.5)                | 2 (4.6)                                     | 1 (3.1)           |
| 18–29                                               | 12 (3.9)                 | 1 (2.3)                                     | 2 (6.3)           |
| 30–39                                               | 37 (12.0)                | 4 (9.1)                                     | 2 (6.3)           |
| 40–49                                               | 57 (18.4)                | 10 (22.7)                                   | 2 (6.3)           |
| 50–59                                               | 51 (16.5)                | 9 (20.5)                                    | 4 (12.5)          |
| 60–69                                               | 62 (20.1)                | 9 (20.5)                                    | 13 (40.6)         |
| 70–86                                               | 36 (11.7)                | 9 (20.5)                                    | 8 (25.0)          |
| Gender                                              |                          |                                             |                   |
| Female                                              | 189 (61.0)               | 28 (63.6)                                   | 27 (79.4)         |
| Male                                                | 120 (38.7)               | 16 (36.4)                                   | 7 (20.6)          |
| Transgender                                         | 1 (0.3)                  | 0                                           | 0                 |
| Race/ethnicity                                      |                          |                                             |                   |
| Black, non-Hispanic                                 | 8 (2.6)                  | 0                                           | 27 (79.4)         |
| Hispanic, regardless of race                         | 33 (10.7)                | 3 (7.0)                                     | 0                 |
| White, non-Hispanic                                 | 261 (84.7)               | 40 (93.0)                                   | 4 (11.8)          |
| Other†                                               | 6 (2.0)                  | 0                                           | 3                 |
| Spanish speaker                                     | 17 (5.5)                 | 0                                           | 0                 |
| Residence in lower Cape Fear Region (y)†             |                          |                                             |                   |
| 1–9                                                 | 88 (28.5)                | 10 (22.7)                                   | 6 (18.8)          |
| 10–19                                               | 112 (36.3)               | 18 (40.9)                                   | 7 (21.9)          |
| 20–39                                               | 76 (24.6)                | 6 (13.6)                                    | 6 (18.8)          |
| 40–49                                               | 16 (5.2)                 | 5 (11.4)                                    | 3 (9.4)           |
| 50–73                                               | 17 (5.5)                 | 5 (11.4)                                    | 10 (31.3)         |
| Drinking water source†                               |                          |                                             |                   |
| CFPUA groundwater                                    | 5 (1.6)                  | 1 (2.3)                                     | 2 (5.9)           |
| CFPUA Cape Fear River                               | 301 (97.7)               | 42 (97.7)                                   | 32 (94.1)         |
| Not served by CFPUA                                  | 2 (0.7)                  | 0                                           | 0                 |
| Number of households                                 | 201                      | 35                                          | 30                |
| Participants per household                          |                          |                                             |                   |
| 1                                                    | 130 (64.7)               | 28 (80.0)                                   | 26 (86.7)         |
| 2                                                    | 46 (22.9)                | 6 (17.4)                                    | 4 (13.3)          |
| 3                                                    | 12 (6.0)                 | 0                                           | 0                 |
| 4                                                    | 13 (6.5)                 | 1 (2.9)                                     | 0                 |

Note: CFPUA, Cape Fear Public Utility Authority; GenX, hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid.

†Missing age for three participants.

*Missing race/ethnicity for two participants.

†Other includes mixed-race individuals Native American/Pacific Islander, black or African American and Native American/Pacific Islander and white and other, Native American/Pacific Islander and white. May 2018: Other includes: American Indian/Alaska Native and Black or African American, black or African American and Native American/Pacific Islander and white, black or African American and white.

†Missing years lived in lower Cape Fear River Region for 1 participant for the November 2017/May 2018 repeaters and 2 participants for the May 2018 new participants.

†CFPUA distributes drinking water to New Hanover County, home of the City of Wilmington. Missing water source for 2 participants for November 2017, 1 participant for May 2018 repeaters.
from the lower Cape Fear River, but 9 participants had another drinking water source.

**PFAS Analysis in Blood**

Our analytical method was developed to determine concentrations of 10 fluorooethers and 10 legacy PFAS (Table 1; see also Table S1) in the serum of all participants. The choice of which PFAS to include in our analytical method was informed by which PFAS had been reported in the lower Cape Fear River (Strynar et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2016) and for which PFAS analytical standards were available. We detected five fluorooethers (Nafion by-product 2, PFO4DA, PFO5DoA, PFO3OA, and NVHOS) and five legacy PFAS (PFHxS, PFHpA, PFOA, PFOS, and PFNA) in at least 15% of the first blood samples (collected from 310 participants in November 2017 and 34 in May 2018) (Table 3; see also Figure S1). Nafion by-product 2 and PFO4DA were detected in serum from almost all participants (99%). Concentrations of Nafion by-product 2 [median = 2.7 ng/mL, interquartile range (IQR) = 1.5, 4.6 ng/mL] were similar to concentrations of PFO4DA (median = 2.5 ng/mL, IQR = 0.9, 5.5 ng/mL). We detected PFO3OA and NVHOS infrequently, with PFO3OA detected in 28% and NVHOS in 15% of samples. GenX was not detected in sera from our cohort. We did not detect the fluorooethers in serum samples collected in 2008–2009 from 20 women living 80 miles north of Fayetteville Works, who were not served with drinking water sourced from the lower Cape Fear River (see Table S5). Due to a mass interference in calibration standards analyzed by LC-HRMS that impacted PFO5DoA quantitation, we are not reporting PFO5DoA results in calibration standards analyzed by LC-HRMS that impacted the lower Cape Fear River water had significantly lower serum levels of all detected fluorooethers and PFOA, but not PFOS, PFHxS, PFNA, or PFHpA, than the 333 participants with drinking water sourced from the lower Cape Fear River (Table 6).

We evaluated change over 6 months for serum PFAS levels using results from 44 participants (42 adults and 2 children) who provided samples in both November 2017 and May 2018. Levels of the two fluorooethers (Nafion by-product 2 and PFO4DA) decreased significantly in the 6 months between sampling (Figure 3; see also Table S7). For the fluorooethers, the median percentage decrease across the 44 participants was 34% [95% confidence interval (CI): 30, 38%] for Nafion by-product 2 and 65% (95% CI: 53, 76%). In contrast, the median percentage decrease for the four legacy PFAS detected in most participants (PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, and PFNA) ranged between 0% and 13%.

In addition to the PFAS we targeted, we identified another fluorinated chemical with similar accurate mass [M-H] and retention time as the fluorotelomer sulfonate 6:2 FTS. The MS/MS fragmentation pattern for the unknown chemical was consistent with a polyfluoralkyl ether carboxylic acid known as Hydro-EVE. Hydro-EVE is the carboxylate form of Nafion by-product 2 and was first identified in the Cape Fear River downstream of Fayetteville Works in 2017 (McCord and Strynar 2019). Targeted MS/MS on 10 serum samples randomly selected from our Wilmington cohort samples revealed diagnostic fragments of Hydro-EVE (i.e., 184.9840 Da corresponding to C11F17O−). In some samples, diagnostic fragments of 6:2 FTS (i.e., 80.9652 Da corresponding to HSO−) were also present, indicating the presence of co-eluting 6:2 FTS and Hydro-EVE in at least some of the serum samples. The overlap of precursor mass (5.15 ppm difference) and retention time prevented us from confidently resolving Hydro-EVE and 6:2 FTS using our current analytical method.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, we are reporting the first measurements of five fluorooethers (Nafion by-product 2, PFO4DA, PFO5DoA, PFO3OA, and NVHOS) in humans. A sixth fluorooether (Hydro-EVE) was detected in a subset of serum samples, but we could not determine concentrations because we lacked an analytical standard at the time of method development. We detected Nafion by-product 2 and PFO4DA in the sera of almost all participants, including children as young as 6 years of age. Our findings suggest a nearly universal presence of the fluorooethers at nanograms per milliliter (i.e., parts per billion) levels in Wilmington, North Carolina, residents 5 months after discharge control was implemented at Fayetteville Works facility. Moreover, the fluorooethers were important contributors to participants’ total PFAS.
serum levels. In nearly half of our cohort, the sum concentration of five fluoroethers contributed 25% or more to total PFAS serum levels. We are likely underestimating the fluoroether contribution to total PFAS given that we could not quantify Hydro-EVE and, thus, could not include it in the calculation.

We suspect that the primary route of exposure to GenX and other fluoroethers was through consumption of drinking water sourced from the lower Cape Fear River. All of the fluoroethers we detected in serum have been detected in water samples from the lower Cape Fear River at some point since 2012 (Hopkins et al. 2018; McCord and Strynar 2019; McCord et al. 2018; Strynar et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2019). There were significantly lower fluoroether levels in participants whose drinking water was not sourced from the Cape Fear River, and we did not detect the fluoroethers in a North Carolina reference population who lived approximately 80 miles north of Fayetteville Works and whose drinking water was not sourced from the Cape Fear River. Moreover, there was a decrease in serum fluoroether levels in the 6

Table 4. Summed mass concentrations of fluoroethers (NVHOS, PFO3OA, PFO4DA, Nafion by-product 2) and legacy PFAS (PFOS, PFOA, PFHxS, PFNA, and PFHpA) in serum from 344 Wilmington, North Carolina, residents (289 adults and 55 children).

| Category | 10th percentile | 25th percentile | Median | 75th percentile | 95th percentile |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|
| Σ fluoroethers | 1.5 (14.0) | 3.2 (19.5) | 5.9 (21.6) | 10.9 (26.7) | 19.7 (32.6) |
| Adults | 1.7 (18.9) | 2.8 (22.2) | 4.4 (25.9) | 7.4 (33.0) | 13.5 (38.5) |
| Children | 1.5 (14.6) | 3.0 (19.6) | 5.7 (22.8) | 10.2 (26.4) | 19.0 (31.6) |
| Σ legacy PFAS | 8.0 (74.8) | 12.2 (74.4) | 20.8 (76.2) | 29.8 (72.9) | 47.8 (79.1) |
| Adults | 6.8 (75.6) | 8.1 (64.3) | 11.3 (66.5) | 16.4 (73.2) | 24.0 (68.4) |
| Children | 7.6 (73.8) | 11.1 (72.5) | 18.8 (75.2) | 28.7 (74.2) | 47.1 (78.2) |
| Σ all PFAS | 10.7 | 16.4 | 27.3 | 40.9 | 60.4 |
| Adults | 9.0 | 12.6 | 17.0 | 22.4 | 35.1 |
| Children | 10.3 | 15.3 | 25.0 | 38.7 | 60.2 |

Note: Nafion by-product 2, perfluoro-2-[(perfluoro-3-(perfluoroethoxy)-2-propanyl][oxy]ethanesulfonic acid; NVHOS, 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoro-2-(1,2,2-tetrafluoroethoxy)ethanesulfonic acid; PFAS, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances; PFHpA, perfluorohexanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorohexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonic acid; PFO4DA, perfluoro-3,5,7,9-butaoxadecanoic acid; PFOSxDa, perfluoro-3,5,7,9,11-pentaoxadecanoic acid; perfluorooctane sulfonic acid; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonic acid; PFO4DA, perfluoro-3,5,7,9-butaoxadecanoic acid; PFOSxDa, perfluoro-3,5,7,9,11-pentaoxadecanoic acid.

*Percentage of total PFAS concentration (the sum of fluoroethers and legacy PFAS detected for in this study) is shown in parentheses.
months following wastewater discharge control to the river. That decrease in serum levels was likely related to the fact that fluoroethers concentrations in drinking water at the time of blood collection had dropped substantially compared with historical drinking water concentrations (Hopkins et al. 2018), and news of GenX contamination of drinking water may have prompted people to stop consuming tap water altogether. We expect that exposure to poorly understood fluoroethers was not limited to Wilmington residents. Other public water systems rely on the lower Cape Fear River as a source of drinking water, and we estimate approximately 280,000 residents in New Hanover, Brunswick, and Pender counties were impacted. Overall, our results highlight that additional research is needed to characterize human exposure to poorly understood PFAS; researchers have reported substantial amounts of unidentified organic fluoroethers in blood samples from populations in Germany and China (Miaz et al. 2020; Miyake et al. 2007; Yeung and Mabury 2016; Yeung 2008).

The motivation for our study was to answer community members’ questions about their exposure to GenX. Much of the public’s attention has focused on GenX despite the fact that other fluoroethers were present in Wilmington’s drinking water. We did not detect GenX in serum samples even though it was still detectable in drinking water [at ~50 ng/L (CFPUA 2020a)] in November 2017, when most participants provided their first blood sample. Participants had likely been exposed to much higher GenX concentrations in the 20 y (on average) that they had lived in the lower Cape Fear Region. Before discharge control was implemented at Fayetteville Works, GenX concentrations in CFPUA’s raw water were several hundred nanograms per liter. Sun et al. (2016) reported the average GenX concentration was 631 ng/L (range: 55–4,560 ng/L) in river samples collected in 2013. In 2014, the GenX concentration was 780 ng/L (Zhang et al. 2019). Similarly, in a study of 30 people whose private wells were contaminated with GenX at levels above the North Carolina provisional health goal of 140 ng/L (NC DHHS 2017) (and as high as 4,000 ng/L), the NC Department of Health and Human Services did not detect GenX in serum or urine (Pritchett et al. 2019).

No human data exist to estimate the serum half-life for GenX. For an estimate of potential GenX half-life, we considered PFHxA, PFOS, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances; PFHpA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorobehexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonic acid; PFO4DA, perfluoro-3,5,7,9-butaododecanoic acid.

Table 5. Spearman’s correlation coefficients greater than 0.70 between PFAS concentrations in 310 participants who provided blood samples in November 2017.

| PFAS                        | Correlated with | Correlation coefficient |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Nafion by-product 2         | PFOA            | 0.74                    |
| PFO4DA                     | PFHpA           | 0.75                    |
| PFHxA                      | PPOA            | 0.86                    |
|                            | PFOS            | 0.73                    |
|                            | PFNA            | 0.78                    |
| PFHpA                      | PFO4DA          | 0.75                    |
| PFOA                       | Nafion by-product 2 | 0.74          |
|                            | PFHxA           | 0.86                    |
|                            | PFOS            | 0.70                    |
|                            | PFNA            | 0.86                    |
| PFOS                       | PFHxA           | 0.73                    |
|                            | PFOA            | 0.70                    |
|                            | PFNA            | 0.84                    |
| PFNA                       | PFHxA           | 0.78                    |
|                            | PFOA            | 0.86                    |
|                            | PFOS            | 0.84                    |

Note: Correlation was limited to November due to changing serum concentrations between November 2017 and May 2018. Note: Nafion by-product 2, perfluoro-2-[(perfluoro-3-(perfluoroethoxy)-2-propoxy]oxy]ethanesulfonic acid; PFAS, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances; PFHpA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorobehexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonic acid; PFO4DA, perfluoro-3,5,7,9-butaododecanoic acid.

Table 6. Comparison of serum PFAS concentrations in participants from residences served with treated Cape Fear River water and from residences served by another drinking water source.

| PFAS                        | Median concentration [range (ng/mL)] | Wilcoxon test for difference (p-value) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                            | Served by Cape Fear River sourced drinking water (n = 333) | Served by another drinking water source (n = 9) |
| Fluoroethers                |                                      |                                        |
| Nafion by-product 2         | 2.8 (0.07–16.9)                      | 0.6 (0.07–2.2)                        | 0.0003 |
| PFO4DA                     | 2.5 (0.07–51.2)                      | 0.6 (0.07–6.0)                        | 0.0098 |
| Legacy PFAS                |                                      |                                        |
| PFOS                       | 8.6 (0.18–62.6)                      | 5.5 (0.4–18.1)                        | 0.09   |
| PFOA                       | 4.4 (0.07–20.2)                      | 2.2 (1.5–6.0)                         | 0.01   |
| PFHxA                      | 3.2 (0.2–15.2)                       | 1.9 (0.6–5.1)                         | 0.02   |
| PFNA                       | 1.2 (0.07–7.5)                       | 0.7 (0.3–2.1)                         | 0.10   |
| PFHpA                      | 0.3 (0.07–4.5)                       | 0.2 (0.07–0.9)                        | 0.47   |

Note: Nafion by-product 2, perfluoro-2-[(perfluoro-3-(perfluoroethoxy)-2-propoxy]oxy]ethanesulfonic acid; PFAS, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances; PFHpA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorobehexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonic acid; PFO4DA, perfluoro-3,5,7,9-butaododecanoic acid.
Once exposure has stopped, the ether oxygen was not detected. Overall, our data suggest that, participant sera, whereas GenX (also 7 atoms long when counting the carbon atoms, ether oxygen atoms, and sulfur atom (if a sulfur atom is present) has been associated with higher bioaccumulation potential (Ng and Hungerbühler 2014). In serum, the highest detection frequencies among the 10 fluoroethers we targeted were for Nation by-product 2 and PFO4DA; these chemicals are 9–12 atoms long when counting the carbon atoms, ether oxygen atoms, and sulfur atom (if a sulfonate group is present). Therefore, the detected fluoroethers can be considered long-chain compounds even though they do not fit the commonly accepted definition for long-chain PFAS (OECD 2018) and, consequently, their detection in serum was not unexpected. Chain length alone is not sufficient to explain bioaccumulation potential for all compounds. For example, PFHpA, which contains seven carbon atoms in its chain, was detected in 61% of participant sera, whereas GenX (also 7 atoms long when counting the ether oxygen) was not detected. Overall, our data suggest that once exposure has stopped, the fluoroethers are eliminated from the body faster than legacy PFAS with matching chain lengths, for which human serum half-lives are known. However, only 44 participants provided two biological measurements (6 months apart) for us to evaluate change over time. Another round of biological sample collection from our cohort and/or expanding the study cohort would be informative for half-life calculations.

The serum levels of four legacy PFAS (PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, and PFNA) in our study cohort exceeded the GMs for the U.S. population as defined by the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) results for survey years 2015–2016 (CDC 2019). The median serum concentration for PFOA in our cohort (4.3 ng/mL) exceeded the 95th percentile for the U.S. population (4.17 ng/mL) (Figure 4). We suspect that drinking water sourced from the lower Cape Fear River is the reason for the elevated PFOA serum concentrations in our cohort for the following reasons. First, the nine participants not served with lower Cape Fear River water had significantly lower PFOA serum levels (median of 2.2 ng/mL) than the 333 participants with Cape Fear River water (4.4 ng/L). The levels of legacy PFAS in women from the Raleigh, Durham, Chapel Hill area (our North Carolina reference population) were not elevated relative to U.S.-wide estimates for females based on NHANES 2007–2008, which is comparable to when those blood samples were collected (Kato et al. 2011). Second, serum PFOA in our cohort was highly correlated with serum levels of Nation by-product 2, to which our cohort was primarily exposed through consumption of drinking water.

An important question is whether the elevated PFOA in participant serum samples is because of their exposure to PFOA concentrations in the Cape Fear River currently or because of their exposure to much higher PFOA concentrations in the river historically. A few studies with water samples collected as early as 2006 have provided data on legacy PFAS concentrations in the Cape Fear River Basin. PFOA was detected in surface water samples collected throughout the Basin in 2006 (43.4 ng/L on average) (Nakayama et al. 2007). In 2013, PFOA was detected >10 ng/L in 9 of 34 water samples from the lower Cape Fear River, at the drinking water intake point for the CFPUA’s treatment plant (average ± SD; 14.8 ng/L ± 1.3 ng/L) (Sun et al. 2016). However, it was not detected in finished drinking water samples collected in 2013–2015 for the U.S. EPA’s Third Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR 3) likely due to a relatively high MRL of 20 ng/L PFOA (U.S. EPA 2017). Given that PFOA has been found throughout the Cape Fear River basin, PFOA sources to the river are likely from multiple contributors.

To assess the potential contribution of drinking water to serum PFOA levels, we used a pharmacokinetic model of serum PFOA (Bartell 2017). If we assume that consumption of drinking water is the predominant pathway for participants’ PFOA exposure (Vestergren and Cousins 2009), we would expect a serum level of 3.8 ng/mL after 20 y of exposure to drinking water containing 15 ng/L PFOA (20 y was, on average, the number of years lived in New Hanover County across our participants). The GM serum level of 4.1 ng/mL across our participants is close to this prediction. In addition, the 23 children in our study aged 6 through 11 y had elevated serum concentrations (GM: 3.2 ng/mL PFOA) relative to children (aged 6 through 11 y) in the United States (GM: 1.89 ng/mL) (Ye et al. 2018). The available data suggest that PFOA concentrations in Wilmington’s drinking water from 2006 to 2017 (Nakayama et al. 2007; Sun et al. 2016), which covers the time period our child participants would have lived in Wilmington, were below the U.S. EPA’s health advisory level of 70 ng/L for combined PFOA and PFOS. Altogether, our data suggest that ongoing exposure to PFOA concentrations currently in the lower Cape Fear River has contributed to the elevated serum PFOA levels, although we cannot rule out that exposure to higher PFOA levels in the river may have occurred at some point.

It is not known whether Wilmington residents’ exposure to PFAS has or will result in adverse health effects. Recent toxicology papers focusing on PFO4DA provide the first insights into the health effects of this chemical: Similar health outcomes were reported for PFO4DA as PFOA, namely, hepatotoxicity in mice (Guo et al. 2019; Sun et al. 2019). Another recent study, in zebrafish, reported developmental effects from PFO3OA, PFO4DA, and PFOSDoA (Wang et al. 2020). The available animal data suggest that GenX exposure induces similar health outcomes as PFOA, but at higher external doses (Gannon et al. 2016; Hoke et al. 2016; Rae et al. 2015; Rushing et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2017). In recent in vitro

![Figure 4. Box and whisker plot of legacy PFAS concentrations (ng/mL) in sera from 344 Wilmington, North Carolina residents and the U.S. population based on NHANES data from the 2015–2016 survey year (CDC 2015–2016). Concentrations of Linear PFOA and linear PFOS were used for the U.S. population. Boxes show median concentrations and 25th and 75th percentiles; 5th and 95th percentiles are indicated by the whiskers. In the analysis of Wilmington residents’ sera, the median MRL for PFHxS, PFOA, and PFNA was 0.1 ng/mL, and PFOS was 0.2 ng/mL. For NHANES, the MRL was 0.1 ng/mL for the PFAS. See Table S8 for corresponding numeric data. PFHpA data are not presented because PFHpA is seldom detected in NHANES participants. For NHANES 2013–2014, which is the most recent PFHpA data available, the median was less than the MRL of 0.1 ng/mL. Note: MRL, method reporting limit; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PFAS, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances; PFHpA, perfluorohexanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorohexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonic acid.](image-url)
studies, GenX exposure produced toxic effects on rat thyroid cells (Coperchini et al. 2020) and human liver carcinoma cells (Wen et al. 2020). Adverse health effects of exposure to multiple PFAS in mixtures have largely been understudied.

Our study has some limitations and some unique strengths. Study participants were volunteers and may not be representative of the general Wilmington population. Despite that our participants were volunteers, our study cohort was diverse with respect to age, gender, race, and number of years lived in the lower Cape Fear River Region and included children 6–17 years of age. Children are not consistently included in NHANES surveys and data on PFAS concentrations in children are limited (Ye et al. 2018). By recruiting volunteers, we assembled a cohort at a specific point in time and collected blood samples to assess PFAS levels within 5 months of the source of PFAS exposure changing. Recent research suggests other biological matrices (e.g., whole blood, urine) may be better for detection of PFAS with short biological half-lives (Calafat et al. 2019; Poothong et al. 2017), although the half-lives of the fluorooethers presented are unknown. We chose serum bio-monitoring as the first step to assess exposure to PFAS because serum is considered a gold standard for assessing human exposure to chemicals (Calafat et al. 2019). We collected one biological measurement for most participants; as such, we cannot use our study samples to assess historic exposure levels. Future PFAS analysis of urine samples provided by study participants may be informative in distinguishing between recent and historic exposures. The identification and analysis of archived serum samples collected before discharge control, which was implemented in June 2017, will provide more information regarding historical serum levels of fluorooethers, a class of chemically unique and poorly understood PFAS, in the lower Cape Fear River Region.

Conclusions

People with drinking water sourced from the lower Cape Fear River were exposed to fluorooethers in wastewater from a fluoroochemical manufacturing facility. We detected six fluorooethers in participant sera 5 months after fluoroochemical discharge to the Cape Fear River stopped. The median summed mass concentration of four fluorooethers (NVHOS, PFO3OA, PFO4DA, and Nafion by-product 2) in serum was 5.7 ng/mL (IQR = 3.0, 10.2 ng/mL), and these fluorooethers accounted for 23% of the overall summed mass concentration of PFAS in the sera. The median decrease in individual fluorooether levels was 28% or more in 6 months as a result of discharge control at Fayetteville Works. Further, our findings suggest that consumption of water sourced from the lower Cape Fear River resulted in elevated levels of some legacy PFAS in serum relative to U.S. national averages.
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