Health-related quality of life assessment among patients with inflammatory bowel diseases after surgery – review
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Abstract

Aim of the work was to review systematically the published literature addressing whether quality of life (QoL) and health-related QoL (HRQoL) are influenced by surgery among patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Electronic databases and published articles were searched to identify relevant studies published in the years 1990–2015. Then, a multistep selection was undertaken to identify articles that met specific selection criteria, such as specific key-words (IBD, HRQoL, ulcerative colitis (UC), Crohn’s disease (CD), and surgery), and the population was assessed (studies concerning patients < 18 years old were excluded). The review included 27 studies that were evaluated in the context of the influence of surgery on QoL and HRQoL. Concluding, with the increase in the incidence of IBD, monitoring of QoL is an important indicator of the health effects at each stage of the surgical treatment.

Introduction

Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) are inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), the cause of which are immunological disorders, leading to gastrointestinal injury. Both UC and CD are often related in terms of clinical symptoms with periods of remission and of active intestinal inflammation (abdominal pain, diarrhoea, bloody diarrhoea, or pus and mucus per rectum) that may require hospitalisation [1, 2]. Treatment of IBD consists of anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive drugs including biological therapy with tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) inhibitors for inducing and maintaining remission [3, 4].

Generally, IBD is more common in industrialised rather than in agricultural countries, with the highest incidence rates reported in the United Kingdom [5], Scandinavia [6], and North America [7, 8]. In Europe alone more than three million people are estimated to be affected by IBD. Eastern Europe has recently reported rising incidence rates, so their mean IBD occurrence is comparable to that of Western Europe [9]. Data on the epidemiology of IBD in Eastern Europe, including disease course and treatment choices, are limited by the small number of studies available [10]. There is a continuing trend toward increasing incidence and prevalence of IBD across Asia (particularly in East Asia). While this is occurring among developing nations, it is also being seen in Japan, a socio-economically advanced country [11]. Moreover, the incidence of UC has been increasing in Western countries since the Second World War.

The incidence of CD is less than 1 per 100,000 in Asia and South America, 1–3 per 100,000 in southern Europe and South Africa, 16 per 100,000 in New Zealand and Australia, 14 per 100,000 in Canada, and 7 per 100,000 in the USA (based on data only from Olmsted County, Minnesota).

In developed countries, UC emerged first and then CD followed. In the past 20 years, CD has generally
Inflammatory bowel diseases have a chronic course and cannot be completely cured by pharmacological methods. Even after many years of remission, the disease recurs after some time, resulting in the need to start treatment from the beginning. Therefore, patients with IBD should be under the constant care of a specialist. In addition to pharmacological and dietary treatment, the patient requires the constant care of a clinical psychologist. Quite often, patients will require surgery. The objectives of surgical treatment, which originally consisted of mere reduction of fatality and incidence, have evolved over the years to focus on improvement in the quality of life (QoL) [12]. Quality of life is a broad evaluation of human function concerning a variety of domains, and according to the internationally accepted definition, it is an individual’s perception of their position in life, in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live, in accordance with goals, expectations, standards, and concerns [13]. Outcomes of QoL assessment are important for the development of therapeutic programmes for patients with non-specific IBD requiring surgical treatment. On the other hand, another approach to this issue seems to be even more suitable. It concerns the use of assessment of health-related quality of life (HRQoL), which is a part of QoL. According to the definition of the World Health Organisation (WHO), HRQoL depends on main areas such as physical, mental, and social functioning, as well as the patients’ subjective evaluation of their QoL, so it incorporates both objective and subjective aspects. This concept is the most frequently used for studies as well as for evaluation of treatment outcomes [14] and is not as extensive as the QoL evaluation.

To assess the quality of life in patients with IBD, especially for UC patients, disease-specific health-related quality of life questionnaires are the most commonly used. Among them is the Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire (IBDQ-36) [15], which covers the assessment for general QoL and in individual domains: bowel symptoms – amount of stools, presence of thin stools and blood in stool, stomach aches, pain of systolic intestines, swelling of the stomach, transiting of gasses, feeling an urge to pass a stool, dirtying underwear, nausea, and stomach complaint; systemic symptoms – tiring out and tiring, level of energy, bad physical and mental state, sleeping disorders, and weight loss; emotional function – impatience, anxiety connected with necessity of surgical treatment, anxiety connected with the impossibility of finding a toilet, depression, relaxation, confusion because of intestinal problems, anger, slight annoyance (or crying), oversensitivity, depression, lack of understanding, and satisfaction from one’s life; social function – absence from work or school, the need to cancel sports matches, the impossibility to practise sport and to spend the free time in an enjoyable way, avoiding sports matches and places where there is no close access to a toilet, and limited sexual activity. Lower scores indicate lower QoL.

The next questionnaire is the Cleveland Global Quality of Life Instrument (CGQLI), which was developed by Fazio et al. [16] to study QoL among UC patients after proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis (IPAA). This scale assessment HRQoL contains three domains: current QoL, quality of health, and energy level. Overall score is calculated from these three domains. The Gastrointestinal QoL Index (GIQLI) also measures HRQoL and consists of 36 questions focusing on gastrointestinal disorders. It consists of five dimensions: physical function, emotional status, social function, gastrointestinal symptoms, and distress from medical treatment [17].

On the other hand, the Colorectal Functional Outcomes Questionnaire (COREFO) has been used to study QoL in patients with UC. It consists of 27 questions combined in five multi-item scales – faecal incontinence, social impact, stool frequency, stool related aspects, and the need for anti-diarrhoea medication. The scoring is from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating more bowel-function problems [18]. The Faecal Incontinence Quality of Life Scale (FIQLS) by Rockwood et al. [19] was used as a “symptom-specific score” to assess the effect of faecal incontinence on QoL in UC patients after IPAA. This scale consists of 29 questions in four domains: lifestyle, coping/behaviour, depression/self-perception, and embarrassment. The Short Form 36 scale (SF-36) has been used to study generic QoL [20]. This scale consists of 36 questions evaluating QoL in eight domains: physical functioning, social functioning, role limitations due to physical and emotional problems, mental health, energy and vitality, body pain, and general health perception.

The increasing number of studies focusing on QoL and HRQoL in patients with IBD combined with the increasing number of performed operations prompted us to investigate the existing literature to find a reliable influence of surgery on QoL and HRQoL in patients suffering from UC or CD.

This is an overview of the available literature on QoL and HRQoL in patients with IBD, with particular attention paid to the effects of surgical treatment. We analysed the effects of various surgical therapies on QoL and HRQoL in patients suffering from IBD.
other hand, it should be underlined that the instruments (scales, questionnaires) that were used by various researchers in their studies might be individually inadequate. Thus, to have a deeper insight into this issue and to find a real correlation between surgical therapies, QoL, and HRQoL, we integrated available data meeting our input criteria to provide an accurate evaluation of the QoL and HRQoL in patients with IBD after surgery.

Methods
To identify potential articles to be included in this review, the MEDLINE, CINHAL, and Pub Med bases were searched for all abstracted articles from the years 1990 to 2015. The following subjects were taken into account: quality of life (MESH), health-related quality of life (MESH), inflammatory bowel disease (MESH), ulcerative colitis (MESH), Crohn’s disease (MESH), and surgery (MESH). We also studied the reference list of the relevant articles for titles that included the words: quality of life and surgery.

The search was limited to English-language, adult population, and full-text publications. We excluded articles that presented studies related to the validation of the questionnaire and articles concerning patients with unclear IBD diagnosis or lack of surgical treatment.

Results
Articles selected during the initial search: 30,0884. Articles selected based on title and abstract: 4317. Articles selected on the basis of the full text: 138. Articles that meet specified criteria: 28.

The obtained results are summarised in the Table I. This table contains information about the purpose of research, the study group, the used scales, the results obtained, and the conclusions. To facilitate the understanding of the presented results, general information about the individual scales is shown below the tables, i.e. number of questions, and the number studied domains (Table I).

Discussion
Research in the last 25 years has focused on the following aspects:
1. Impact assessment of surgical treatment on quality of life in patients with UC or CD [21–48].
2. Comparisons of the quality of life of patients with regard to the different methods of surgery, pharmacology, and disease activity [21, 24, 26, 30, 32–34, 37–39, 41, 43, 46].
3. Assessment of quality of life before and after surgery and the assessment of the observed changes in quality of life including the time elapsed since surgery [25, 32, 39–41].
4. Determination of the factors affecting the quality of life in the post-operative period [42, 46–48].
5. Assessment of the quality life of patients with UC or CD, including the choice of treatment [23, 28, 45, 46].

Improvement of HRQoL is the main determinant in decision-making for surgery in patients with UC and CD. Research has shown that surgical interventions positively affect HRQoL in patients with IBD, and analysis of the majority of studies shows that patients with CD have a poorer HRQoL than patients with UC. Analysed results investigated the short- and long-term effects of surgical therapy for UC and surgical resection for CD on HRQoL. Most of the research concerns the assessment of quality of life before surgery and in the early (and some later) period after surgery [22, 25, 32, 33, 40]. The HRQoL was lower shortly after surgery than later among UC patients, but for CD patients it appears to improve the quality of life in the immediate postoperative period, but after a longer lapse of time it is comparable to the quality of life before the operation; it follows the natural course of the disease [22].

Surgical resection leads to improved long-term HRQoL, with the exception of patients with chronic disease process and active disease, especially for patients with CD. These factors should be considered before surgery is indicated in CD [25]. Surgical advances, such as the introduction of minimally invasive surgeries, intestinal-sparing strictureplasties, and the adaptation of different approaches to anaesthesia in patients undergoing CD surgeries. These techniques hold the promise of improving HRQoL. Results after strictureplasty are comparable to those after resection in terms of complications, recurrence, and quality of life in the treatment of small bowel strictures in CD [22, 23, 27].

Surgical treatment of patients with CD the alleviation of the symptoms. It is therefore needed to assess the effect of surgical treatment on the quality of life of these patients. Studies have shown a low QoL and highlight a significant influence of intestinal ailments as the main determinant of the perception of the QoL of patients with IBD [32, 46].

In the study by Casellas, a comparison of HRQoL between surgical and pharmacological treatment patients with CD patients showed that HRQoL is impaired in active CD and improves during remission regardless of whether it had been achieved medically or surgically [26].

The analysed studies were conducted using specific questionnaires (disease-specific scales) and general (global scales) for the assessment of quality of life. Most studies used disease-specific scales: the Gastro-
### Table I. Health-Related Quality of Life in UC and CD after surgery

| Study [reference no.] | Aim of the study | Characteristics of the study group | HRQoL scale | Results/conclusions |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Sagar et al. (1993) [21] | Comparison of the QoL between patients with UC after restorative proctocolectomy and patients with UC on long-term medical treatment | 103 patients after restorative proctocolectomy; 95 patients with UC on medical treatment | Öresland scale[^12] HADS[^9] | HRQoL after IPAA was no worse than that of patients with UC on medical treatment |
| Yazdanpanah et al. (1997) [22] | Evaluate prospectively the impact of surgery on HRQoL in patients operated on for CD. HRQoL was assessed immediately preoperatively and 3 months postoperatively | CD patients (14 women, 12 men; median age 28.5 years) who have undergone an elective ileocolonic resection (n = 26) | SF-36[^17] RFIPC[^16] | HRQoL was improved postoperatively compared with the immediate preoperative status in almost all scales. Patients’ concerns and worries decreased after surgery. However, there was no decreased in the problems associated with: having an ileostomy bag, having surgery, energy level, uncertainty of the disease, and pain or suffering |
| Thirlby et al. (1998) [23] | Measure the effect of surgical resection on quality of life in patients with CD and UC. CD patients underwent resection with or without strictureplasty for intractable disease; 3 UC patients underwent ileo pouch-anal anastomoses with ileoanal reservoir (preoperatively and after 3 months postoperatively) | CD patients (n = 36) and UC patients (n = 27) | HSQ[^22] | Preoperative measures of HRQoL of the patients were low. Postoperatively, after 3 months, HRQoL measures improved significantly (p < 0.05) in CD and UC (scores equal to the general population in most scales) |
| Cohen et al. (1999) [24] | This is the first study of QoL comparing such patients with UC treated with CSA with those treated surgically with colectomy | 18 CSA-treated patients and 46 surgical patients | IBDQ[^11] VAS[^21] Öresland Scale[^12] | Patients with severe steroid-refractory UC treated with CSA had similar HRQoL compared with colectomy patients |
| Tillinger et al. (1999) [25] | Examination of short- vs. long-term effects of surgical resection for CD on HRQoL | CD patients 1 week before surgery included in the study and 3, 6, and 24 months after surgical resection (n = 16) | CDAI[^4] TTO[^20] RFIPC[^16] | HRQoL was significantly improved in all patients 3 and 6 months post surgical resection. 12 patients had also significantly improved HRQoL after 24 months (except for the 4 patients with chronic active disease). CDAI decreased significantly after operation, and 10 patients remained in remission for 24 months. Two patients had postoperative relapses |
| Casellas et al. (2000) [26] | Assessment of whether surgical treatment of CD patients modifies HRQoL, and compared with inactive CD patients, active CD patients, or healthy controls | First group: 29 CD patients in remission with a previous bowel resection. Second group: 42 clinically active CD patients. Third group: 48 patients with medically-induced remission. Control group: 63 healthy individuals | EuroQol[^6] IBDQ[^11] PGWBI[^14] | HRQoL is impaired in active CD, and improves during remission regardless of whether it had been achieved medically or surgically |
| Broering et al. (2001) [27] | Assessment of the results of strictureplasty and resection in terms of QoL, surgical recurrence, and postoperative complications | 67 CD patients of the small bowel were analysed retrospectively. Patients were treated either by strictureplasty (group A) or resection (group B) | IBDQ[^21] | Results after strictureplasty were comparable to those after resection (complications, recurrence, and QoL in the treatment of small bowel strictures in CD). In the long term it can be an advantage for strictureplasty because it prevents the complications of resectional therapy |
| Study [reference no.] | Aim of the study | Characteristics of the study group | HRQoL scale | Results/conclusions |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Thirlby et al. (2003) [28] | Assessment of the results of the long term in patients after surgery for UC patients and for CD patients (preoperatively and after 3, 6, and 12 months) | CD (n = 56) and UC patients (n = 83), CD patients underwent resections with or without postoperative stromoplasties; 5 patients with UC underwent ileal pouch-anal anastomoses. The average age of CD patients was 41 years (range: 21–77 years), 24 men and 32 women. The average age of UC patients was 44 years (range: 15–75 years), with 59 men and 24 women | HSQ⁴⁰ | HRQoL is poor in patients with IBD referred for possible operation, (with particularly low scores in the scales of general health – health perception, role limitations due to physical health, social functioning, and energy level. Surgical resection resulted in significant improvement in HRQoL (postoperative scores are virtually equal to the scores for the general population). Aggressive surgical intervention in many patients with IBD supports the prospective study of HRQoL by surgeons treating patients with chronic diseases |
| Andersson et al. (2003) [29] | Comparison of HRQoL and psychosocial conditions among CD patients and the general population | First group: patients with Crohn’s proctocolitis, n = 127; median age 44 years (range: 18–78), 44.1% men. The median duration of disease: 16 (8–25) years. Control group: n = 266; median age: 45 years (range: 19–88 years); 50.7% men | CDAl⁴⁰ PGWB⁴⁰ VAS⁴⁰ | HRQoL in these patients according to the SF-36 seems to be more independent of present symptoms than on the type of previous surgery or the need for immunosuppressive medications – aggressive disease as well as previous colonic surgery lacked predictive value. When comparing subgroups of patients the symptom-index was the strongest predictor of HRQoL after correction for possible confounders such as age, gender, duration of disease, concomitant small bowel involvement, presence of a stoma, previous colonic surgery, and aggressive disease (above 150 score CDAI) |
| Camilleri-Brennan et al. (2003) [30] | Determination whether in patients suffering from UC a restorative resection with ileo pouch-anal formation offers lower morbidity and better quality of life than a permanent ileostomy | 19 patients of the pouch and 19 patients of the ileostomy. The median times since surgery were similar: 41 vs. 43 months | BIQ¹⁰ IBDQ¹¹ SF-36¹⁷ | There were no significant differences between the scores of IBDQ and SF-36 of the ileo pouch-anal patients and those of the ileostomy patients. Perception of body image was better in the ileo pouch-anal patients than in the ileostomy patients |
| Carmon et al. (2003) [31] | Evaluation of functional outcome and QoL in patients undergoing IPAA, assessment of the correlation between functional outcome and QoL, and identification of factors influencing functional outcome and QoL in these patients | 99 patients – Israeli population (35: M, 64: F); median follow-up time was 4.25 years (range: 3 months to 11 years) with UC. Surgery was performed in one stage in 21 (27.3%) patients, two stages in 52 (67.5%) patients, and three stages in 4 (5.2%) patients. The median interval between the first operation and closure of ileostomy was 3 months (range: 0–17 months) | SF-36¹⁷ | The results were compared with published norms for the general Israeli population. There was no significant difference between both of the groups. Scores slightly but significantly lower than those of the general population were recorded in the following scales: vitality, social functioning, and role-emotional. Patients with UC after IPAA experienced excellent QoL and acceptable functional outcome |
| Scarpa et al. (2004) [32] | Evaluation of the long-term HRQoL among UC patients and its modifications after 5-year follow-up. Identification of the risk factors for a worse outcome | Patients submitted to RPC (n = 36; mean age: 40 ±11 years, M: 27). Ulcerative colitis (UC) patients (n = 36; mean age: 41 ±14 years, M: 20). Healthy subjects (n = 36; mean age: 41 ±14 years, M: 20) | IBDQ¹⁰ | RPC patients, after long-term follow-up, had an HRQoL similar to that of the remission/mild UC patients. Recently operated patients improved their QoL mainly because of improved emotional function. Patients who had been operated on for a longer time maintained their HRQoL. HRQoL was influenced by drugs, stool frequency, pouchitis, postoperative pelvic complications, and age at diagnosis |
## Table I. Cont.

| Study [reference no.] | Aim of the study | Characteristics of the study group | HRQoL scale | Results/conclusions |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Thaler et al. (2005) [33] | Assessment of the QoL in patients after laparoscopic and open surgery: ileocaecal resection with primary anastomosis for CD patients | 37 patients with a mean age of 48.8 ±18.4 years (n = 23 females and 14 males) were evaluated at a mean follow-up of 42.6 ±25.8 months (minimum of 8 months). N = 21 (57%) patients underwent laparoscopic resection and n = 16 (43%) open surgery | GIQLI<sup>16</sup> SF-36<sup>17</sup> | QoL is significantly reduced in patients with CD at long-term follow-up after both laparoscopic and open surgery. Recurrence is the only factor adversely affecting QoL of CD patients in remission irrespective of the operative technique applied. |
| Nessar et al. (2006) [34] | Evaluation of long-term outcomes for patients undergoing Kock continent ileostomy, finding factors associated with adverse outcomes and comparison of changes in QoL after removal of the reservoir | Patients with continent ileostomy (CI) (n = 181) vs. patients who underwent removal of the continent ileostomy and conversion to an end ileostomy (EI) with Kock reservoir (n = 35); median patient follow-up 11 years (range: 1–27) | CGQL<sup>2</sup> | QoL for patients with CI was higher on all scales in comparison with patients who had the Kock reservoir. Complications were common among CI patients. |
| Scarpa et al. (2007) [35] | Evaluation of long-term health-related quality of life (HRQoL) outcome and its clinical predictors in CD patients who have had ileocolonic resection | 97 CD patients, with a mean follow-up of 47.1 months (95% CI: 40.7–53.5 months) after ileocolonic resection, were interviewed by telephone. Control group: 69 healthy | CGQL<sup>2</sup> CDAI<sup>4</sup> PIBDQL<sup>11</sup> | CD patients who have undergone ileocolonic resection having an apparently normal quality of life with a good energy level, their long-term HRQoL is still affected by a significantly impaired quality of health. The PIBDQL questionnaire showed significant impairment of bowel and systemic symptom domains with important consequences for social functions and emotional. |
| Luiz et al. (2009) [36] | Assessment of the quality of life of UC patients who have undergone proctocolectomy with ileal J-pouch-anal anastomosis over 10 years ago, ulcerative colitis patients who underwent proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis over ten years before being included into the study | Study group: n = 36, M: 38.9%, the average and median ages were 45 and 44 years, respectively, while ages ranged from 28 to 64 years old | IBDQ<sup>15</sup> | Most of the studied patients presented a high score in the QoL questionnaire. The question pleased and thankful for patients’ personal life received the highest score in the questionnaire. The possibility of sphincter preservation should always be considered because patients remain clinically stable and had a good QoL even after a long period post-operation. |
| Wade et al. (2009) [37] | Determination of whether there are any differences in QoL between patients who had the J pouch and those who had the W pouch | 50 patients received a J-pouch and 19 a W-pouch | RFIPC<sup>16</sup> | The J-pouch configuration is technically less demanding. It results in similar QoL when compared to the W pouch. The J pouch should be the preferred choice. |
| da Luz Moreira et al. (2010) [38] | Determination of the fate of the rectum, functional results, and QoL after IRA in UC | 22 patients suffering from UC with IRA vs. 66 IPA, median follow-up was 9 years (range: 1–36 years) | CGQL<sup>2</sup> | Patients with IRA had fewer bowel movements, less night-time seepage, and increased urgency in comparison with patients with IPAA. QoL was similar in both of the studied groups’ methods; IRA is inferior to IPAA because of dietary and work restrictions. |
| Study [reference no.] | Aim of the study | HRQoL scale | Results/conclusions |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| **Table I. Cont.**   |                 |             |                     |
| Samashekar et al. (2010) [39] | Assessment of the functional outcome and QoL after sphincter-saving operations for UC among Indian patients | CGQL<sup>1</sup> | The mean preoperative CGQL was significantly lower in IPAA patients in comparison with the permanent ileostomy patients. After the procedure it improved, but the magnitude of change was greater among patients undergoing IPAA |
| Rakke et al. (2011) [40] | Evaluation of the early and long-term surgical and functional results of IPAA in patients with intractable UC | SF-36<sup>17</sup> | The functional results in the early follow-up (1993) were remarkably similar to the results 9 years later (2002), with no significant differences in any of the questions. These findings indicate that the results after an "adaption period" will remain unchanged |
| Heikens et al. (2012) [41] | Evaluation of the QoL and health status after IPAA in UC patients and comparison of the QoL between reference data from a healthy population | RAND-36<sup>15</sup> WHOQOL-100<sup>22</sup> | QoL increased after IPAA and reached levels comparable with those of healthy reference population in the majority of domains and dimensions. QoL was restored first after IPAA, followed by health status |
| de Tilio et al. (2013) [42] | Evaluation of QoL in patients with IPAA for UC after at least 1 year postoperatively | IBDQ<sup>11</sup> | In all domains assessed, patients with IPAA for UC had QoL classified as regular. Ileostomy and lack of professional activity negatively influenced QoL. There was a tendency to lower scores in elderly patients |
| Heikens et al. (2013) [43] | Evaluation of two surgical methods among UC patients: restorative surgery after (procto) colectomy with ileo-neorectal anastomosis (INRA) and restorative proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis (RPC) | RAND-36<sup>15</sup> WHOQOL-100<sup>22</sup> | Comparison of INRA and RPC on an intention to treat basis was not considered to be realistic because of the high intra-operative conversion rate and the failures in the INRA group (n = 21) |
| Salehimarzijaran et al. (2013) [44] | Evaluation of the QoL in UC patients who underwent IPAA over 5 years ago | IBDQ<sup>11</sup> | Long-term QoL was good in the study group. In the social area, the questions concerning the working ability and sexual activity received the lowest and the highest scores, respectively. Moreover, physical disposition received the lowest scores, contrary to the satisfaction of personal life with the highest scores |
| Study [reference no.] | Aim of the study | HRQoL scale | Results/conclusions |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Burisch et al. (2014) [45] | Assessment of the impact of treatment choices on HRQoL among patients UC and CD, across Eastern and Western Europe | SF-12[18] SIBDQ[19] | Surgical and pharmacological treatment improved HRQoL during the first year of disease. Most of the IBD patients in Eastern and Western Europe had a high perception of HRQoL according disease-specific scale. Biological treatment improved HRQoL in CD patients; UC patients in need of surgery or biological therapy had lower perceptions of HRQoL than other patients |
| Meijs et al. (2014) [46] | Comparison of the HRQoL and disability in UC patients after restorative proctocolectomy with ileo pouch-anal anastomosis and in remission with anti-TNF agents – surgery treatment group (RPC with ICA): – 29 patients (median age: 42 years, 48% female); the pouch had to be functional for ≥ 1 year, without postoperative complications; – medically (anti-TNF agents) induced clinical remission group: 29 patients (mean age 45 years, 65% female), treated for ≥ 1 year | EORTC[3] COREFO[3] SF-36[17] WPAI-UC[21] | HRQoL and disability outcomes did not differ among UC patients who were in remission following treatment with anti-TNF agents or after proctocolectomy with ileo pouch-anal anastomosis, except for stool frequency and anti-diarrhoea medication use, which were significantly higher in the surgery treatment group |
| Koerd et al. (2014) [47] | Comparison of the QoL among patients after IPAA and healthy controls and identification of the specific factors that may have detrimental effects on QoL in IPAA patients | CGQL[7] GIQLI[8] FQLS[8] IBDQ[11] SF-36[17] | Overall HRQoL after IPAA was good. However, high stool frequency, faecal incontinence and pouchitis were associated with impaired QoL |
| Kozhowska et al. (2014) [46] | 35 patients with UC were treated surgically 3 months after surgery | IBDQ[11] SF-36[17] | The objective IBDQ scale showed better quality of life in UC patients treated surgically than the subjective SF-36 scale |

*BIQ (Body Image questionnaire (BIQ)): 19 items: general body satisfaction, assessment of body image satisfaction and dissatisfaction by measuring the degree of discrepancy self-esteem and idealised physical characteristics, and taking into account the valence or physical significance of each of the ideals to the person; *CGQL (Cleveland Global Quality of Life Instrument): 47 questions, 4 dimensions: medical symptoms, functional performance, social, life.
*COREFO (Colorrectal Functional Outcomes Questionnaire): 27 questions, 5 dimension: faecal incontinence, social impact, stool frequency, stool related aspects and need for anti-diarrhoea medical use.
*CDAI (Crohn’s Disease Activity Index), eight factors: number of liquid or soft stools, abdominal pain, general wellbeing, presence of complications, taking lamotril or opiates for diarrhoea, presence of an abdominal mass, haematocrit, percentage deviation from standard weight.
*EORTC (European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer): 6 items: sexual functioning, sexual enjoyment, male sexual general wellbeing, presence of complications, taking lomotil or opiates for diarrhoea, presence of an abdominal mass, haematocrit, percentage deviation from standard weight.
*EuroQol: 5 items: mobility, personal care, daily activities, pain, anxiety/depression, VAS indicating health status.
*CGQL (Cleveland Global Quality of Life Instrument): 47 questions, 4 dimensions: medical symptoms, functional performance, social, life.
*GIQLI (Gastrointestinal QoL Index): 36 questions 5 dimensions: physical function, emotional status, social function, gastrointestinal symptoms and distress by medical treatment.
*HADS (Hospital Anxiety and Depression): 14 questions: 2 dimensions: anxiety, depression.
*IPAA and healthy controls and identification of the specific factors that may have detrimental effects on QoL in IPAA patients.
*IBDQ (Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire): 36 questions, 5 dimensions: bowel, systemic, social, emotional.
*IBDQ scale showed better quality of life in UC patients treated surgically than the subjective SF-36 scale.
*SF-36 (Short Form 36): 36 questions, 8 dimension: physical functioning, social functioning, role limitations due to physical and emotional problems, mental health, energy and vitality, body pain and general health perception.
*SF-12 (Short Form 12): 12 questions, 8 dimension: physical functioning, bodily pain, role limitations due to physical health problems, role limitations due to personal or emotional problems, general mental health, social functioning, energy/fatigue, general health perception.
*SFIPC (Ratings Form of Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patient Concerns): 25 questions, 4 dimensions: disease, body, interpersonal, sexual.
*SF-36 (Short Form 36): 36 questions, 8 dimension: physical functioning, social functioning, role limitations due to physical and emotional problems, mental health, energy and vitality, body pain and general health perception.
*Short IBDQ (Short Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire): 12 questions, 4 dimension: bowel, systemic, sexual, emotional.
*SF-12 (Short Form 12): 12 questions, 8 dimension: physical functioning, bodily pain, role limitations due to physical health problems, role limitations due to personal or emotional problems, general mental health, social functioning, energy/fatigue, general health perception.
*SFIPPC (Ratings Form of Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patient Concerns): 25 questions, 4 dimensions: disease, body, interpersonal, sexual.
*SF-36 (Short Form 36): 36 questions, 8 dimension: physical functioning, social functioning, role limitations due to physical and emotional problems, mental health, energy and vitality, body pain and general health perception.
*Short IBDQ (Short Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire): 12 questions, 4 dimension: bowel, systemic, sexual, emotional.
*SF-12 (Short Form 12): 12 questions, 8 dimension: physical functioning, bodily pain, role limitations due to physical health problems, role limitations due to personal or emotional problems, general mental health, social functioning, energy/fatigue, general health perception.
*SF-36 (Short Form 36): 36 questions, 8 dimension: physical functioning, social functioning, role limitations due to physical and emotional problems, mental health, energy and vitality, body pain and general health perception.
intestinal QoL Index, the Inflammatory Bowel Disease Short Questionnaire, and the Faecal Incontinence Quality of Life Scale. In turn, among the global scales the SF-36, WHOQOL-100, and RAND-36 were used. In the clinical course of IBD mood disorders are common, and therefore in some studies levels of depression and anxiety were examined. Moreover, in the case of CD, researched disease activity was determined on the basis of the Crohn’s Disease Activity Index (CDAI). The most common surgical treatment choice, particularly in patients with UC, was TP-IPAA total proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis (with different techniques of creating an ileal pouch – J, W, S) [49].

In should be underlined that in the case of UC the type of surgical procedure is dependent on the patient’s clinical status. In case of fulminant UC with signs of massive colonic bleeding, perforation, toxic megacolon, or in the case of heavily malnourished patients receiving long-term immunosuppressive and steroid treatment, the most reasonable choice is total colectomy with end ileostomy. During this procedure a long rectal stump is usually left in order to be recognised easily during the next operation. Total colectomy without forming anastomosis is reserved only for severe, life-threatening cases of UC, and it is just the first stage of surgical treatment. After the patient’s full recovery, which usually takes about one year, the next procedure involves the creation of an ileal pouch. During the next step the rectal stump is removed, and the ileal pouch (J-pouch is preferred) is formed and anastomosed with a rectal cuff using a mechanical circular stapler (CEEA 28 or 31). Since the anastomosis is located low in the pelvis (to avoid clinical manifestation of anastomotic leak sometimes) it is necessary to form a loop ileostomy for 3 months. The last step of surgical treatment is reconnection of the alimentary tract, which does not involve a laparotomy but just a small parastomy incision. In most cases of UC the procedure can be performed in two stages: total proctocolectomy with ileo pouch-anal anastomosis and temporary decompressing loop ileostomy and closure of the ileostomy after 3–6 months, depending on the patient’s condition [50, 51]. A one-stage surgical treatment, reserved for UC patients who are in a good condition, consists of ileal pouch-anal anastomosis without temporary decompressing ileostomy [47, 52]. Studies have shown that after IPAA the overall HRQoL was good. Additionally, QoL after IPAA and reached, increased levels comparable with a healthy population served as a reference group in the majority of domains [41, 46]. However, high stool frequency, faecal incontinence, and pouchitis were associated with impaired quality of life [41, 46].

patients with UC patients on medical treatment, HRQoL was at a similar level [21, 46]. The study focused on comparing the quality of life between patients who had the J pouch and those who had the in the pouch, taking into account the different methods of surgical treatment [37]. Based on the obtained results demonstrated similar QoL compared W-pouch with the J-pouch. However, the J-pouch should be the preferred method of choice. There were no significant differences between HRQoL of the ileo pouch-anal patients and those of the ileostomy patients. Perception of body image was better in the ileo pouch-anal patients than among the ileostomy patients [30]. Both total proctocolectomy with ileostomy and IPAA appear to be equivalent in terms of overall health-related quality of life. Most patients were satisfied with their choice regardless of procedure. Most of the improvement in quality of life after surgery was related to the control of disease-related symptoms [53].

In CD, due to tissues being affected in multiple locations, indications for reconstructive proctocolectomy such as those performed for UC are limited. The procedures need to be as conservative as possible because the disease is chronic and incurable. In most cases, the aim of the surgery is to resect only the damaged parts of the intestine (avoiding unnecessary removal of a margin of normal tissue), to drain intra-abdominal abscesses, and to eliminate fistulas. The most frequent indications for surgical treatment of CD patients include intestinal obstruction due to inflammatory tumour or stricture, perforation with signs of acute peritonitis, intestinal fistulas, acute lower GI tract haemorrhage, and perianal fistulas. Typically the inflammatory process is localised in the ileocecal region, and right hemicolec- tomy is the procedure of choice. Consequently, colonoscopy with examination of the terminal ileum is the most sensitive diagnostic tool. In cases of inflammatory strictures or fistulas localised in the small intestine Magnetic Resonance Enterography (MREG) is considered a gold standard. In the case of inflammatory strictures of the small intestine, Heineke-Mikulicz, Finney, Michelassi strictureplasty, or a bypass surgery can be performed, but most surgeons would prefer resection in order not to leave the source of active inflammation in the peritoneal cavity. Perianal manifestation may be the
first symptom of CD. Perianal fistulas in CD patients are complex and impossible to be cured surgically without adequate systemic treatment of underlying disease, including also biologic therapy. If the anal sphincter is damaged, it may be recommended that abdomino-perineal resection be performed with a definitive colostomy. Formation of an intestinal fistula is also indicated in cases of intestine perforation with peritonitis and surgical treatment of leaking of previous anastomosis [4, 23, 28].

There are fewer studies on the quality of life of patients with CD than UC patients treated surgically, due to other indices for surgery. Casellas’s studies indicate that impaired HRQoL is in active CD, and it improves during remission regardless of whether it had been medically or surgically achieved [26]. On the other hand, Burisch et al. [45] emphasises that pharmacological and surgical treatment improved HRQoL during the first year of the disease.

In conclusion, with the increase in the incidence of IBD monitoring of QoL is an important indicator of the health effects at each stage of the surgical treatment.
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