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In previous work the chemotaxis toward simple organic chemicals was assessed. We utilize the knowledge gained from these chemotactic assays to route *Physarum polycephalum* “signals” at a series of junctions. By applying chemical inputs at a simple T-junction we were able to reproducibly control the path taken by the plasmodium of *P. Polycephalum*. Where the chemoattractant farnesene was used at one input a routed signal could be reproducibly generated i.e., *P. Polycephalum* moves toward the source of chemoattractant. Where the chemoattractant was applied at both inputs the signal was reproducibly split i.e., at the junction the plasmodium splits and moves toward both sources of chemoattractant. If a chemorepellent was used then the signal was reproducibly suppressed i.e., *P. Polycephalum* did not reach either output and was confined to the input channel. This was regardless of whether a chemoattractant was used in combination with the chemorepellent showing a hierarchy of inhibition over attraction. If no chemical input was used in the simple circuit then a random signal was generated, whereby *P. Polycephalum* would move toward one output at the junction, but the direction was randomly selected.

We extended this study to a more complex series of T-junctions to explore further the potential of routing *P. Polycephalum*. Although many of the “circuits” were completed effectively, any errors from the implementation of the simple T-junction were magnified. There were also issues with cascading effects through multiple junctions. This work highlights the potential for exploiting chemotaxis to achieve complex and reliable routing of *P. Polycephalum* signals. This may be useful in implementing computing algorithms, design of autonomous robots and directed material synthesis.

In additional experiments we showed that the application of chemoattractant compounds at specific locations on a homogeneous substrate could be used to reliably control the spatial configuration of *P. Polycephalum*. This may have applications in implementing geometric calculations and in robot navigation tasks such as mapping chemical plumes.

**Introduction**

*Physarum polycephalum* is a true acellular slime mold that belongs to the species of order *Physarales*, subclass *Myxogastromycetidae*, class *Myxomycetes*, division *Myxostelida*. The life cycle of *P. polycephalum* possesses a plasmodial phase where it exists as a single cell with a large number of diploid nuclei. The plasmodium is yellow colored and moves like a giant amoeba, deploying a network of protoplasmic tubes while searching for food, which typically consist of bacteria, spores and micro-particles.\(^1\) Any fragment of a plasmodium restores the integrity of the surrounding membrane and resumes the contractile and locomotive activities, therefore, fragments of standard size and shape can be used in chemotactic assays.\(^2,3\)

Cytoplasm is streamed rhythmically back and forth through a network of tubular elements, circulating nutrients and chemical signals and forming pseudopods that allow the organism to navigate around and respond to its environment. The plasmodium propagates according to the position of nutrients but also in response to external gradients in light level and humidity. *P. polycephalum* will also propagate according to gradients in certain chemical species, either chemoattractants or chemorepellents. The *P. polycephalum* plasmodium is a model system for studying non-muscular motility, and its chemotactic behavior has been well documented.\(^4,5\) In particular, substances causing negative taxis (chemorepellents) were shown to increase the period of contractility and to decrease the area of spreading when present uniformly within the substrate.\(^6,7\)

Experimental studies confirmed that the following substances acted as chemoattractants for the plasmodium, glucose, galactose, maltose and mannose,\(^8,9\) peptones,\(^8,10\) the amino acids phenylalanine, leucine, serine, asparagine, glycine, alanine, aspartate, glutamate; and threonine,\(^11,12\) phosphates, pyrophosphates, ATP and c AMP and thorium nitrate.\(^14\) A plasmodium is allegedly indifferent to fructose and ribose.\(^8,9\) Whereas, the following compounds have been found to act as chemorepellent molecules, sucrose and inorganic salts such as the chloride salts...
of (K, Na, NH₄, Ca, Mg, La)¹⁴,¹⁵ and tryptophan.¹³ Therefore, it is clear that the nutritional value of the substance is not paramount in determining either chemoattractant or chemorepellent properties.¹² Although recently there has been renewed interest in the question of nutritional value and chemotaxis.¹⁰ For some substances, the effect on the plasmodium can be determined by the proximity of the organism to the source (or the concentration of the source), meaning that some substances can act as both chemoattractant and chemorepellent molecules. An example is the sugars galactose and mannose, which are reported to act as chemoattractants⁸,⁹ and chemorepellents that inhibit motion.¹⁷

Recently it was found¹⁸ that the plasmodium is strongly attracted to herbal medicines. Laboratory experiments were undertaken on the plasmodium’s binary choice between samples of dried herbs/roots: Valeriana officinalis, Humulus lupulus, Passiflora incarnate, Lactuca virosa, Gentiana lutea and Verbena officinalis. A hierarchy of chemo-attractive force was calculated from the binary interactions and it was found that Valeriana officinalis was the strongest chemo-attractant for P. polycephalum of the substances tested. However, it is unclear which component is causing the chemo-attractive effect, although actinidine a component of valerian root is known to have a component is causing the chemo-attractive effect, although actinidine a component of valerian root is known to have a

Furthermore, that this change is dependent on a chemoattractive, chemorepellent or neutral effect.

Recently, the plasmodial phase of P. polycephalum has been used extensively as a biological computing substrate. It has been used to solve a wide range of computationally hard problems such as maze-solving, the traveling salesman problem, calculation of optimal graphs, construction of logical gates and arithmetic circuits, sub-division of spatial configurations of data points and robot control.²¹-²⁸

Routing of signals plays a critical role in the design of modern electronic circuits and computational chips.³¹,³² Indeed the routing of signals is a major barrier to making smaller, faster and energy efficient chips and/or the integration of chips into computing architectures. It is important to be able to precisely manipulate data signals within a computing chip in order to preserve data integrity. Directivity and timing are important factors in signal routing. It is also important to be able to split, fuse, bend and filter data signals. It is beneficial to have on-chip integrated methods for routing signals. There is also an increasing trend to adopt biologically inspired methodologies to tackle problems of routing within conventional and unconventional computing approaches.³³-³⁶

In previous work the routing of P. polycephalum was studied using a flow of electrical current.³⁷ Also in recent related work the routing of plant roots through a Y junction was investigated using the volatile chemicals diethyl ether, ethephon and methyl jasmonate.³⁸

This paper details experiments which aim to use the acquired knowledge concerning the chemotactic effect of simple organic chemicals to control the movement of P. polycephalum through a series of junctions. This routing of signals could be useful in designing computing circuits modulated by chemicals and other external stimuli. It may also be useful in designing motion control circuits for robots particularly where taxis toward a target analyte is desired.

Results and Discussion

Simple T-shaped junction

It was found that by using a combination of chemoattractant (activator A, farnesene) and chemorepellent (inhibitor I, cis-3-hexenyl acetate) chemicals in combination with a zero input which was the addition of no chemical (N, neutral) that it was possible to reproducibly control the routing of P. polycephalum signals through a simple T-shaped junction (see Figure 1). Figure 2 shows selected results from the experiment. It shows that an inhibitor chemical can be used to suppress the P. polycephalum signal so that no output is obtained in either output channels of the T-junction. This effect was observed for all the following possible inputs (AI, IA, II, IN and NI) with the output being (00). As shown in Figure 2, the level of suppression is different, depending on the other input in combination with the inhibitor. Thus in the top left hand image the P. polycephalum signal is confined to the input channel but has advanced a significant distance from the inoculation site. This is due to the combination of activator with inhibitor. Compare this to
Figure 2. Examples of routing of *P. polycephalum* through a T shaped junction using simple VOCs. Top left shows the case where farnesene (activator (A)) is added to the left hand output of the junction, whereas cis-3-hexenyl acetate (inhibitor (I)) is added to the right hand output. The result is that *P. polycephalum* although alive is confined to the input channel—thus the result is signal suppression. The top right image shows the case where no chemical (neutral (N)) is added to the left hand output of the junction, whereas cis-3-hexenyl acetate is added to the right hand output. The result is again signal suppression, albeit stronger. The central left image shows the case where no chemical is added to the left hand output and farnesene is added to the right hand side. The result is directed signal transfer to the farnesene output. The central right image shows the opposite case where the farnesene input and no chemical input have been reversed. Again the result is directed signal transfer to the farnesene output. The bottom left image shows the case where farnesene has been added to both inputs. The result is signal splitting with the plasmodium directed toward both outputs of the junction. The bottom right image shows the case where no chemical has been added to both inputs. The result is a random signal propagating toward either output.
the combination of inhibitor with no chemical input were the signal is confined to the locality of the inoculation site. Where inhibitor was placed at both inputs the signal did not propagate from the inoculation site. These observations could be exploited further in the design of more complex junctions/circuits. For example the *P. polycephalum* signal is only confined to the input channel where the activator is used in combination with the inhibitor due to the length of the channel. Different sized circuits would therefore, have different functionality which could be tuned further by the use of additional VOCs with stronger inhibitory or attractive properties. This simple experiment does highlight the hierarchy that exists between chemicals with a known activation (positive chemotaxis) or inhibition (negative chemotaxis) of *P. polycephalum*. Initially when this work was started a relatively strong inhibitor nonanal was selected for use, but his just resulted in complete suppression of the signal regardless of the combination of inputs. Whereas, the relatively weak inhibitor cis-3-hexenyl acetate implemented signal suppression but allowed propagation from the inoculation site, thus differentiating the various inputs and highlighting the subtle balance between inhibitory and activation effects which could be exploited further in future work. It does highlight that even a relatively weak inhibitor as assessed previously by chemotactic assays can still counteract the effects of a strong activator.

The central left hand image of Figure 2 shows the case where no chemical is used as the input (left hand side) and the activator is used as the other input (right hand side). The result is directed signal transfer of *P. polycephalum* toward the activator. The central right hand image shows the opposite case where the activator is on the left of the image and no chemical is on the right. The result is again directed signal propagation toward the activator.

The bottom left hand image in Figure 2 shows the case where the activator is present at both inputs. The result is the propagation of *P. polycephalum* up the input channel, where it splits and moves toward both sources of activator in the output channels. Thus signal splitting is implemented.

The bottom right hand image shows the case where there are no chemical inputs. The result is that a signal propagates up the input channel and then in a random direction toward one output channel.

Table 1 summarizes the various inputs and outputs from the simple T-shaped junction, when using *P. polycephalum* as a constant input in the vertical channel and various combinations of chemicals (or absence of chemical input) in the horizontal channels. If the points of chemical input are treated as the outputs for the *P. polycephalum* “signal,” then a number of different signal routing operations are implemented. This includes signal suppression, directed signal transfer, random signal generation and signal splitting. Table 1 also includes a measure of the consistency/reproducibility of these various operations. Thus signal suppression is reproducibly implemented with *P. polycephalum* failing to reach the output channels in all repeats. The random signal generation is also reproducibly implemented, meaning that when there is no chemical input to the circuit, a single *P. polycephalum* signal is always present at either the right hand or left hand channel. It should be noted that there is no preference for the right hand or left hand channel in the experiments we have undertaken/observed. The success of the circuit in implementing directed signal transfer was measured to be 90%, with 1 in 10 signals either split, failing to propagate to the output or propagating in the wrong direction. The success of the circuit in implementing signal splitting was lower, with 80% of experiments giving the desired result. This just highlights the difficulty of obtaining reproducible results when trying to implement unconventional computing circuits/gates, especially when using a biological entity and diffusive properties of chemicals in combination. However, this error rate compares favorably with other unconventional approaches such as the construction of gates and circuits in the experimental BZ reaction.39-41 It obviously doesn’t approach the levels that would be required for conventional electronics circuits, although many components in these industries are selected after manufacture based on certain device characteristics/tolerances. Is there any technique to improve the reproducibility of the formed circuits? It is possible that the input strength varies due to the ill-defined amount of culture added to the input channel. Thus methods of standardising the viability and mass of *P. polycephalum* inoculum may impact positively on the results in this and other approaches to forming circuits. It seems like suppression based circuits are easier to implement than activation based. Therefore, design of more complex circuits with higher operational success may be possible by careful assessment of the amount of suppression obtained when blending weak inhibitors with activators and other weak inhibitors etc. Thus it was
observed in this work that suppression was reproducible, but a further assessment of whether limited suppression was reproducible and to what level of precision would need to be undertaken. If it were then circuits with various outputs based on the specific level of suppression could be designed.

**Compound T-Shaped Junction**

A compound T junction was used for these experiments (see Figure 3). Figure 4 shows selected results from the attempts to implement a more complex routing circuit with a constant *P. polycephalum* input and potentially six chemical inputs. This work showed that it was possible to route a signal via a specific pathway to a predestined output point. For example in Figure 4. We can see in the top right hand image that the signal is routed through the central junction toward the output on the top right of the image only. In this case the circuit has 3 activator inputs (2 in the central region and 1 at the top left hand side where the final signal exits from) and 3 neutral inputs (no chemical). Thus the signal is routed through 3 outputs all of which were sources of the activator chemical farnesene. Thus the routing circuit is implemented correctly according to the inputs, at the first T-junction we obtain signal splitting at the 2nd T-junction we obtain directed signal transfer. Interestingly in this compound circuit after the first junction the presence of no chemical input at the T-junction on the left hand side does not generate a random signal. This is presumably because there is already activator within the circuit so the termination points of the circuit (at least in the short/medium term) are sources of activator at the various inputs. In the original T-shaped junction experiments, the random signal generation arose from a circuit completely free of activator and inhibitor – thus the signal was not suppressed, or directed.

The image on the top left hand side of Figure 4 shows the same circuit implemented in another repeat experiment but giving an incomplete output. In this case the *P. polycephalum* correctly implements the central junction (which has two sources of activation) implementing signal splitting, but leaves the confines of the channel and moves toward the activator source external to the channels of the circuit. This was the main error observed for the implementation of this compound junction. This highlights the problem of attempting to confine *P. polycephalum* to this artificial circuit scheme. This is especially true where the channel widths and distance between sources of activator are reduced, meaning that the circuit definition is functionally reduced. This is presumably because Physarum polycephalum wants to minimise the area covered by its tubular network while maximising nutrient intake i.e., joining sources of nutrients and/or chemical activators. Where channels are wider there is some incentive to travel on the nutrient substrate to the source of activation, despite this meaning a less direct route. However, it seems apparent that the *P. polycephalum* is able to calculate the relative benefits of moving across a nutrient absent environment to increase speed/reduce distance to source of activation. Alternatively the *P. polycephalum* has no feedback mechanism relating to the environment and is simply moving toward the activator based on the localized air and liquid diffusive gradients, which impact directly on its motor control system. However, if this was the case we might expect the larger but simpler functions to have the same failure modes. Another common error was the lack of signal splitting at the second T-junction. Therefore, signal splitting was reasonably reproducibly initiated at the central T-junction (within quoted error in Table 1) but not at the peripheral junctions. Therefore, although a certain type of behavior was consistently observed at a simple junction it did not seem to follow that exactly the same behavior would be reproduced if these simple elements were combined into a more complex circuit. This was true when trying to cascade simple circuit designs in precipitating chemical reactions. Additional work needs to be undertaken in order to understand the subtleties of these interactions. Indeed with better understanding the chance of implementing highly complex circuits based on *P. polycephalum* and its response to chemicals in the environment seem high. Why do we conclude this? There seems to be a definitive level of suppression, with various combinations of activators/inhibitors/neural substances (absence of chemical). There seems to be a hierarchial response at a series of junctions, i.e., the presence of activator within the circuit seems to negate some effects at the additional junctions such as random signal generation and signal splitting. Understanding these mechanisms more clearly could aid in the design of complex signal routing architectures, or alternatively the fine control of the spatial configuration of the plasmodium using chemical sources.

**Control of Spatial Arrangement of Physarum Cultures**

Figure 5 shows the results from experiments where *P. polycephalum* is inoculated at a central point within a petri dish and up to four sources of activator (farnesene) are placed at the four points of the compass (N,S,E,W) surrounding the inoculation site. Alternatively, the activator is replaced at 1 or more sites with no chemical i.e., filter paper alone. Therefore, there are numerous different combinations of spatial inputs. Figure 5 shows that where there are 4 activator inputs the *P. polycephalum* spans and occupies all four sites for an extended time period (middle right hand image). Unlike the T-junction experiments where...
Figure 4. Selected results from the implementation of a compound T-shaped junction with 6 possible sites of chemical inputs. The top left hand side image shows the case where farnesene (Activator (A)) is present at both central inputs and also in the input at the top left hand side. This shows that Physarum polycephalum appears to take a “cross country” more direct route toward the correct output configuration. The top right hand side image shows a circuit with the same inputs which is correctly implemented via the channels. The central left hand side image shows a circuit where farnesene is present at both central inputs, but no chemical input (Neutral (N)) is present at the other inputs. The result is that the Physarum signal remains confined in the central region for an extended period of time > 24 h. The central right hand side image shows a circuit where farnesene is present at only the right hand side central input and also the top right hand side input. The signal is successfully transferred through the circuit. The bottom left hand side image shows the case where farnesene is only present at the central right hand side input, whereas the bottom right hand side image shows the same circuit with farnesene at the central left hand side input. In both cases it shows that there is directed transfer through the first part of the circuit then segregation in the central portion for an extended time period.
the culture is stopped from minimising its spatial dimensions via the imposition of channels, in this homogenous media, the *P. polycephalum* approximates a minimal spanning tree of the 4 sites. Also shown are various combinations of two and three inputs showing that *P. polycephalum* preferentially occupies and spans these sites but largely ignores the sites where activator
chemical is absent. There is obviously some time dependence to
this effect and the *P. polycephalum* would eventually explore the
whole environment once the sources of activator are depleted.
The top left hand image shows the case where activator is
placed in two locations (S and E). The top right image shows
the case where activator is placed in two locations (N and S).
The middle left image shows the case where activator is placed
in three locations (N and E and W). The bottom right hand
image shows the case where activator is placed in 3 locations
(S and E and W). There is noticeable segregation of the cul-
ture to different localized areas of the dish corresponding to
the sources of activator. In many cases the initial exploration
phase of the *P. polycephalum* is apparent, growing out uniformly
from the inoculation site prior to selecting the final direction
of movement. Therefore, an abandoned tubular network can be
observed on the scans in addition to the live culture. It is appar-
ent even from this abandoned network that the *P. polycephalum*
culture is completely absent over long time periods (> 24 h)
from parts of the dish without activator. The case of 1 activator
input is not shown, but in this case *P. polycephalum* becomes
localized around the source of the chemoattractant chemical.
In the case of no inputs the *P. polycephalum* travels to a random site
on the periphery of the dish and then usually proceeds to visit
each site in turn. Thus there is no localization over an extended
time period as observed when activator is present. Therefore, it
each site in turn. Thus there is no localization over an extended
period of time. If no sources were present then
remain localized in the vicinity of the activator for long periods
of activator (in various locations). If just one source was pres-
its spatial configuration to span four, three and two sources of
activator (in combination with no chemical resulted in sig-
output at either of the possible output sites). The application
of inhibitors with any combination of activator or the absence
of chemical resulted in signal suppression (i.e., no output from
the current circuit). However, there were levels of suppression
dependent on the inputs which may be exploited to design more
complex circuits in future work. The application of no chemi-
ical input resulted in a random signal generation (i.e., a single
output at either of the possible output sites). The application
of activator in combination with no chemical resulted in sig-
nal routing toward the source of the activator. The application
of activator at both inputs resulted in signal splitting and two
outputs (i.e., the *P. Polycephalum* moved toward both sources
of activator).

The idea was extended in a limited subset of experiments
using a compound T-junction which while maintaining one *P.
polycephalum* input had six possible chemical inputs. This work
showed that a *P. polycephalum* signal could be routed reproduc-
ibly through a complex junction using chemical inputs. This
was particularly the case for 1 or 2 inputs of activator at the
central T-junction and 1 activator input at one of the second-
ary T-junctions. Although even in this case the smaller size of
the compound junction meant that the plasmodium was not
always confined to the channels but found a more “biologically
favourable route.” The circuit did not reproducibly implement
random signal generation or signal splitting at the secondary
T-junctions. This shows that a series of operations from a sim-
ple junction cannot necessarily be cascaded to multiple junc-
tions, particularly where activator chemicals are already present
within the circuit. However, it does highlight that with a bet-
ter understanding of these effects and size dependent factors it
should be possible to predictably and reliably route signals of
*P. polycephalum*. This would be useful for designing computing
circuits using various activators and inhibitors as inputs.

In additional work it was shown that the spatial configura-
tion of *P. polycephalum* could be reliably altered according to
the position of an activator chemical in its environment. In our
experiments we tested all possible combinations of activator vs.
no chemical. It was found that *P. polycephalum* would adjust
its spatial configuration to span four, three and two sources of
the activator (in various locations). If just one source was pres-
ent then *P. polycephalum* would move toward the source and
remain localized in the vicinity of the activator for long periods
of time. If no sources were present then *P. polycephalum* would
adopt a more conventional foraging search approach where it
visited all sources in turn, starting with a random but predomi-
nantly single location on the outer edge of the dish. This work
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which had been shown previously to have a chemotactic effect on *P. polycephalum* were added to the filter paper. These chemicals included α-farnesene (Sigma Aldrich UK) which was shown to have a relatively strong positive chemotactic response, cis-3-hexenyl acetate (Sigma Aldrich UK) which was shown to have a weak negative chemotactic effect. Alternatively the filter paper was left without any chemical. All possible binary combinations of the three reagents were implemented. The analysis was repeated 10 times to assess the reproducibility of the observed effects.

### Compound T-shaped junction

The analysis described above was extended to include a more complex junction with a series of decision points. This junction consisted of the original T-shaped junction, but at the terminus of each horizontal junction there was a replicate T-shaped junction (see Figure 3). Therefore, rather than two chemical inputs there were now six possible inputs. To accommodate this complex junction within a single petri dish it was necessary to reduce the width of the channels to 0.8 cm, and the length of the channels to 1.6 cm. The experiment was undertaken using the method described above re the inoculation and incubation of *P. polycephalum* prior to addition of the chemicals. In this analysis an inhibitor was not used and therefore, all possible combinations of farnesene and the input of no chemical were explored. Again the analysis was repeated 10 times.

### Control of spatial arrangement of Physarum cultures

This experiment did not use junctions cut from agar, but simply used a single petri dish containing a thin layer of agar. In this case *Physarum* was inoculated on an oat flake in the center of the petri dish and left to incubate for 2 h. Four 1cm² filter paper squares were placed at the the NSWE compass points within the petri dish. The addition of farnesene or no chemical to these squares using all possible combinations allowed for investigation.

### Analysis of results

In all experiments the petri dishes were sealed using parafilm and kept in the dark. They were checked at six hourly intervals for evidence of any effect. Usually between 24–48 h, the behavior was established and recorded. All dishes were scanned in batches of six using a flatbed scanner (HP Scanjet 5590) interfaced to a PC.
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