Energy Consumption in Indian Non-Ferrous Industries: Need for Bench Marking of Data
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Abstract  Being an energy-intensive industry, the energy usage pattern in the non-ferrous metal industry merits close observation with the intention to bring down specific energy consumption for all metals. United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) has taken up extensive programmes for international benchmarking, based on data available from individual industries of various countries. Major producers of non-ferrous metals across the globe have initiated measures to reduce energy consumption per unit weight of product at important production steps and have gained substantial benefits. Adoption of superior technology has been shown to also mitigate emission of toxic gases. Extensive Reports and Reviews, particularly from the European Union countries and organizations such as International Aluminium Institute, have made available extensive verifiable data on the energy usage by the member countries. However, although the non-ferrous metal sector in India has been on expansion mode, the industry in general is reluctant to publish data on energy usage in various plants and measures to mitigate emissions. The actions of concerned government agencies in this direction are neither transparent nor available in public domain. Extensive and verifiable data for each step of production can identify Best Practice Technology (BPT) as a first step, based on which subsequently benchmarking standards for energy consumption can be arrived at.
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1. Introduction

There has been growing global concern on the rise in energy consumption in industrial sectors in particular, and the resulting adverse impact on environment. With current industrial growth average temperature of earth’s surface is expected to rise by 2°C by 2030 and the associated undesirable effects are unavoidable. This concern is reflected in a number of Energy Surveys based on statistical data collected from various industrial sectors conducted by individual countries. Special mention must be made on the survey conducted by United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO)[1] on global industrial Energy Efficiency in a number of specific manufacturing sectors, published in 2010. According to this Report, an energy usage of 127 Exa-joules (EJ=10¹⁸J) in 2007 can be used as a starting point to project the energy demand in the coming decades. Reports indicate that it is possible to save about 24 EJ in a year, provided adequate and in-depth information on energy usage in each sector is considered. Various surveys admit that adequate data is not available for specific industrial manufacturing sectors in many countries, including Indian non-ferrous metal extraction industries. Identifying the Best Practice Technology (BPT) at the beginning and its wide application can bring immediate benefits for each industry. Lack of adequate data base is a major obstacle for analysis of energy usage in a given industry and comparison between plants manufacturing similar products.

The specific energy consumption (Gcal per ton of crude steel) for leading steel making companies in India can be found in reports and literatures[2]. These figures clearly provide a clear picture about the trends in energy consumption and other related issues. Unfortunately similar data is not available for Indian industries producing Al, Cu, Zn, Pb etc. although a major portion of energy is consumed through them.

2. Critical Importance of Need for Energy Reduction

Extraction of Non-ferrous metals to obtain marketable products, because of the very nature of the mineral resources and thermodynamic stability of the compounds of the metals, involve energy intensive processes. On top of that, these industries are the sources of tremendous amount of direct pollution due to noxious gases due to the fact that many
non-ferrous metals such as copper, lead, zinc and nickel are obtained from sulphide minerals; and indirect pollution in terms of CO or CO
2 emitted. In addition, since unlike iron, the non-ferrous metals, except aluminium, are obtained from relatively lean ores, tremendous amount of energy consumption is involved in the mineral dressing circuit. Relatively less attention has been paid towards analyzing energy consumption pattern in individual steps such as in comminution and concentration circuits to produce concentrates from ores of non-ferrous metals. The EU sponsored Sector Report[3] on Non-ferrous metals industry, which gives break-up of GHG and CO
2 emission, starts the analysis from processing of concentrates. Emissions and energy usage pattern in mineral dressing to produce the concentrate, has not yet been taken into account.

Canadian Industrial Energy End-use Data and Analysis Centre (CIEEDAC)[4] has been performing remarkable task of collecting, collating and analyzing detailed industrial production data, including the usually neglected area of mining. According to CIEEDAC Report of 2011, comparison of data between 1990 to 2009 on energy usage and GHG emission shows that energy consumption had decreased by 10.6% with corresponding reduction of GHG emission by 9.5 % by proper energy survey and subsequent adaptation of the recommended measures. Such detailed data analysis, as reported by European paves the way for comparing energy usage data for plants with similar technology so as to identify the Best Practice Technology (BPT), which in turn, can be the basis of Benchmarking

3. Technology Upgradation, GHG Emission and Non-ferrous Metal Industries

Another fall out of increasing production of non-ferrous metals sector is the soaring carbon footprint. The Bureau of International Recycling (BIR), in its report in 2008[5,6] estimates a ‘carbon footprint’ of more than 1100 kilo tons of CO
2 for non-ferrous metals sector. Similar data, presented elsewhere[3] show a larger scatter, which is expected because of difference in metal values in the concentrates, the fuel used, especially the usage of electricity, the technology used etc. It is interesting to note that the Imperial Smelting process (ISP), although an efficient process of treating lead-zinc sulphide concentrates after agglomeration, involves a high degree of CO
2 emission because the smelting process involves carbothermic reduction. Table 1 shows specific direct CO
2 emissions for various non-ferrous metals at different levels of their production.

Table 1. Emission of CO
2 in Primary Extraction of a Few Non-ferrous Metals[6]

| Activity                                      | SDCE	*   |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Copper - Primary smelting to produce cathode | 1140      |
| Copper – secondary smelting from scrap       | 310       |
| Zinc – Roast-leach-electrowin (RLE) route with hydromet. treatment of leach residue | 43        |
| Zinc – RLE with pyromet. Treatment of leach residue | 1425      |
| Zinc - Imperial Smelting process             | 4325      |
| Lead – primary production                    | 1459      |
| Nickel – primary production                  | 1340      |
| Aluminium - i) Direct CO
2 from Hall cell | 1600      |
| ii) PFC (poly-fluorocarbons)                | 3380 CO
2 eqvt.  |
| iii) Pre-bake anode                         | 270       |

* Specific direct CO
2 emission, Kg/CO
2 per tonne of product

All the above values in the context of energy intensity and emission of polluting and GHG are dependent on the technology used and the resource of raw materials available. As a result, when the producers of non-ferrous metals adopt measures to improve productivity, specific energy consumption and specific emission of GHG show a downward trend. A case in point is the emission of Poly-fluorocarbons (PFC), such as CF
4 and C
2F
6 during production of aluminium, which has been a major cause of concern. The adverse effect of PFCs on Global Warming Potential (GWP) is tremendous. One kg of CF
4 has GWP of 6500 kg of CO
2 and one kg of C
2F
6 has GWP of 9200[7]. Emissions of PFC occur particularly when Anode Effect takes place due to reduced concentration of dissolved alumina in cryolite. These emissions can be significantly reduced by adopting measures to minimize anode effects, by using proper sensors, better alumina charging procedures and more use of computer-control of the cells. Data over the last two decades confirms this fact. In 1998, total PFC emission in aluminium smelting was 98 million tonne (Mt) CO
2 equivalent. This figure has been progressively lowered
to 58 Mt in 2000 and 39Mt in 2010[8]. Thus, even in the gloomy scenario of inevitable escalating GHG emission from industry, some mitigation steps have been proved to be fruitful. It must be mentioned that under the aegis of the concerned governments in USA and Europe, in China and Chile, there has been extensive and effective involvement of academic institutions, R & D organizations and the industry in the common efforts towards reducing energy usage.

4. The Scenario in India

4.1. The Prospect of Non-ferrous Industry

There has been a spurt in the non-ferrous metal industry in India after the sector was thrown open for private enterprises. The increase in production of the four primary non-ferrous metals is shown in the following Table 2:

| Metal     | ~1980       | 2009       | 2011       |
|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|
| Aluminium | 250,000     | 650,000    | 1630,000   |
| Copper    | 50,000      | 650,000    | 664,000    |
| Zinc      | 100,000     | 585,000    | 712,000    |
| Lead      | 35,000      | 61,000     | 71,000     |

Among the non-ferrous metals produced in India, the prospect of aluminium is particularly bright. While gibbsite aluminium ore resources in the world are depleting, vast deposits of gibbsite in India assume particular interest because of its ease of processing. Since gibbsite processing has specific advantage of low energy consumption in digestion, the alumina refineries enjoy sustainable comparative cost advantage. Per capita consumption of aluminium in India is only about 0.8 kg[10]. The Planning Commission of India has projected production of aluminium at 1.28 million tonnes by 2012 (@8% growth rate) and 1.5 million tonnes by 2017. The demand for corresponding years is projected at 1.35 million tonnes and 1.5 million tonnes, respectively[10]. With the advent of private enterprises in the open market in India, there has been a shift in production pattern – from exclusive primary producers, including public sector undertakings which owned mines in India, to energetic private players who import concentrates in the large scale for smelting and refining in India. If power situation becomes more conducive, the primary metal production in India would have a healthy export market. One constraint for growth of other non-ferrous metal industry is that except bauxite, India does not have high grade of ores for other non-ferrous metals.

By 2020, India would have an aluminium capacity of 17-20 lakh tpa. For a country endowed with nearly 10 per cent (2,525 million tonnes) of the world's bauxite reserves and having the lowest cost of production, this capacity would be technically justified. Thus, India's vision for 2020, besides being a global player in terms of size, should also include achieving international standards in terms of consumption. Today, 35 per cent of Indian aluminium is consumed by the power industry, compared with less than 10 per cent in USA and Japan. Areas like construction and packaging where global aluminium consumption thrives, has low relevance to India. Barely 6 per cent of the aluminium finds its way in the construction industry compared with 17 per cent in USA and 25 per cent in Japan. The Indian construction industry, which is headed for promising growth, can, therefore, be a major demand driver.

Copper industry has three players producing primary refined copper viz. Hindustan Copper Limited (HCL), Sterlite Industries (Vedanta Group) and Birla Copper (Hindalco Group). HCL is the only integrated primary producer having own indigenous mining activity. Birla Copper and Sterlite Industries are Custom Smelters and operate with imported concentrate. All these units produce refined copper cathode and copper CCR rod. Since the domestic production of copper cathode has increased substantially, import of copper cathode has reduced drastically. In fact, India now exports both copper cathode and copper CCR rod.

By 2020, refined copper production capacity in India is projected to rise to 16-18 lakh tpa, going by the growth in domestic demand. From 4 lakh tonnes in 2003, copper demand has been estimated to grow at average 8% annually, much higher than world demand growth (3%). Because India has to rely on imported copper concentrates to feed its smelters, international prices would hold the key not only to future expansion projects but also sustenance of existing capacity. In the coming years, a major shift is expected in the sourcing pattern, from conventional imports to acquisition of mining rights abroad. Viewing this, the AV Birla group has acquired mining rights in two copper mines in Australia. In 2008, Sterlite Industries Limited purchased the United States’ third largest copper producer, Asarco. This trend would need to persist if domestic capacity utilisation and further capacity expansion are to be ensured. In addition to growing domestic market, export would be a thrust area for Indian Copper Industry. This is applicable for both primary copper producers and downstream semis manufacturers.

After steel, aluminium and copper, zinc is the fourth most widely used metal globally. The Indian Zinc industry is now completely under the private sector and is in the midst of major expansion programme. There is an indication that zinc demand grew by 10% till 2010 and 7% thereafter. In the year 2020 India would require zinc capacity of 14 lakh tpa, in order to be self-reliant. As India was one of the first countries to gain the knowledge of extracting zinc from zinc ores and start the production of zinc metal, it should have been a top player in the world zinc market but this has not been the case. However, the scenario is changing fast and in 2010 India became 3rd largest producer of zinc. Indian zinc industry consists of two large players, namely Hindustan Zinc and Binani Zinc. While, Hindustan Zinc is an integrated producer which is having its own mines, Binani Zinc is a custom smelting producer. All the major zinc ore mines in India viz. Rampura Agucha, Rajpura Dariba and Zawar mines are located in the state of Rajasthan and all of these are under the control of Hindustan Zinc. In India, zinc consumption has
increased from 347,000 tonnes in 2004 to 516,000 tonnes in 2010, backed by demand from infrastructure and other end use sectors. The metal is important for galvanizing accounted for around 70% of the total consumption.

5. Steps Taken by the Industry to Reduce Energy Usages

5.1. Aluminium

Energy and alumina costs represent the largest components for the global aluminium industry. Energy costs represent around 30% per cent of the total smelting cost of aluminium and around one-third of total alumina production costs. Table 3 shows the cost of energy required for aluminium production in India. During 2002-06, energy costs have increased drastically due to increase in crude oil prices. In India, the energy cost represents 40% per cent of manufacturing cost for aluminium and 30 per cent for finished rolled products.

Table 3: The Cost of Energy (in Percentage) During Aluminum Production in India

| Cost                      | % energy used |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| Alumina Production        | 41            |
| Smelting                  | 28            |
| Processing Raw Materials  | 12            |
| Other                     | 19            |

Vedanta Group, the largest company with high stakes in non-ferrous metal production having aluminium, copper, zinc and lead plants in many locations in India, reports[11] specific energy use for aluminium smelting in Hall cell, from the lowest figure of 14950 kwh/tonne of aluminium from a modern plant, which is also the figure for NALCO- another modern plant to 17676 kwh/tonne from MALCO, an older plant with smaller cells of lower capacity. However, the international benchmark is 13000 kwh/tonne of aluminium and effort are going on to bring down the value even further.

5.2. Copper

Sterlite a major producer of copper, in its ‘Sustainability Report’ of 2010-2011[14] anticipated energy reduction steps, but details are not available.

5.3. Zinc

Zinc production unit of HZL Vedanta Resources at Vizag reported specific energy consumption of 4400 kwh per tonne of zinc in 2006, which is lower than the figure of the year 2003-04 which was 4471 kwh per tonne of zinc. HZL had reduced energy consumption by (a) improvements in design in the cell house, adopting steps to reduce impurity content of leach liquor, straightening the anode, using spacers between anodes (b) better control of leaching circuit (c) making the motors, pumps and compressed air system more efficient by using better equipment, plugging leakages etc.

An important initiative on Energy survey had been undertaken by Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research, Mumbai[10], focusing on specific metal industry sector. It is noted that the steel industry in India, in general, has displayed awareness for energy assessment in different units in the line of the international practice. However, such a survey is required for the non-ferrous metal sector for which organized energy analysis is not adequate. It is hoped that the concerned Ministry of the Government of India, through the Bureau of Energy Efficiency (BEE) would obtain detailed and authentic data from each plant for the purpose of comparison so that Best Practice Technology (BPT) can be identified as a first step.

6. Conclusions

The importance of energy survey as a basis of preparing a data base for determination of parameters of benchmarking cannot be over-emphasized. Reduction of overall energy consumption as well as “energy intensity” (the energy consumption per unit of output) is critical for India.

In view of the prospect of upward swing in production of metals in India in the near future, emission of gases which pose health hazard to the public would also increase inevitably. In view of this, there should be complete transparency in the data base for energy usage, gaseous emissions, and solid and liquid wastes.

The Government should ensure the active involvement of academic institutions and similar bodies in the national drive for energy consumption which has been proved to be effective in other countries, even though there may be initial reluctance of the industries.
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