Sexual, physical, and overall adverse effects in patients treated with 5α-reductase inhibitors: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Jun-Jie Zhang1,2, Xiao Shi3, Ting Wu4, Meng-Da Zhang1,2, Jin Tang1, Guang-Ming Yin1, Zhi Long1, Le-Ye He1, Lin Qi2, Long Wang1

Postfinasteride syndrome (PFS) is a term coined to characterize a constellation of reported undesirable sexual, physical, and neuropsychiatric side effects. In the present study, we conducted the meta-analysis to demonstrate whether the use of 5α-reductase inhibitors (5ARIs) increases the risk of PFS-like adverse effects. A search of studies published until May 10, 2020, was performed using PubMed, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library. We included randomized controlled trials with at least one comparison between male patients receiving 5ARIs versus placebo for the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) or androgenetic alopecia (AGA), and identified 34 studies from 28 articles that met our eligibility criteria. In the random-effects model, the overall use of 5ARIs exhibited a 1.87-fold risk of PFS-like adverse effects during the trial (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.64–2.14). Regarding specific types of adverse effects, the use of 5ARIs had a 1.89-fold risk of sexual adverse effects (95% CI: 1.74–2.05) and was associated with an increased risk of physical adverse effects (relative risk [RR]: 1.31, 95% CI: 0.80–2.15), albeit without statistical significance. This meta-analysis helped to better define the adverse effects caused by 5ARIs. We concluded that the overall use of 5ARIs significantly increased the risk of PFS-like adverse effects in men with AGA or BPH during treatment. Enhanced awareness of and education on the PFS-like adverse effects are necessary for clinicians.
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INTRODUCTION

Postfinasteride syndrome (PFS) is an ill-defined and controversial syndrome associated with a constellation of sexual, physical, and neuropsychiatric symptoms that develop during or after 5α-reductase inhibitor (5ARI) exposure and persist after discontinuation.1 Sexual symptoms include loss of libido, erectile dysfunction (ED), ejaculatory disorders, sexual anhedonia, decreased semen volume and force, reduction in penis size and scrotal shrinkage, and numbness. Physical symptoms include skin rash, gynecomastia, fatigue, muscle weakness and elevated creatine kinase levels, lipoatrophy, hearing defects and tinnitus, and metabolic anomalies. Neuropsychiatric symptoms associated with PFS include memory impairment, slow cognition, depression, suicidal ideation, anxiety, emotional flatness and anhedonia, insomnia, and obstructive sleep apnea.1–4

The hallmark of PFS is persistent adverse events after discontinuing 5ARIs. However, researchers rarely focus on this special point in clinical trials. By searching the PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases, information regarding PFS mostly originate from case reports, observational studies, and uncontrolled surveys, making a systematic review and meta-analysis difficult.1

Given the abovementioned reasons, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to focus on the PFS-like (sexual, physical, and neuropsychiatric) adverse effects during treatment. To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to pool current evidence from placebo-controlled randomized clinical trials (RCTs) to evaluate PFS-like adverse effects. This study may provide new insights and inspiration for future research on PFS and help us better define the adverse effects of 5ARIs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted on the basis of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) reporting guidelines.5 This review was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO, ID: CRD42020191731).

Study aim

The purpose of this systemic review and meta-analysis was defined using Patient–Intervention–Comparison–Outcome (PICO) statements. The aim was to examine male patients with androgenetic alopecia (AGA) or benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH; P) to determine whether treatment with oral 5ARIs (I)
compared with placebo (C) increases the risk of PFS-like adverse effects (O).

Search strategy
A comprehensive search of studies published before May 10, 2020, was performed in the following electronic databases: PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library. The combined search terms (“finasteride” OR “dutasteride”) AND “placebo” AND “adverse effects” were used. The reference list of retrieved publications was manually screened to identify any additional sources.

Study selection
Studies were selected using the inclusion and exclusion criteria defined prior to initiation of the literature search. Two main reviewers (JJZ and XS) independently evaluated the titles and abstracts of the retrieved studies, and discrepancies were discussed with the research group. The included trials were RCTs that investigated the use of oral 5ARIs in male patients with BPH or AGA and that reported the incidence of any sexual, physical, and neuropsychiatric adverse effects during the trial. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) trials that investigated the use of topical 5ARIs; (2) studies that included subjects with diseases other than BPH or AGA, such as prostate cancer; (3) studies including female studies; (4) studies that did not report the incidence of adverse effects; or (5) open-label extension studies. If the abstract did not provide sufficient information for study inclusion or exclusion, a full-text review was performed to determine eligibility. When at least 2 studies were reported by the same institution and/or investigators in an overlapping or continuing period, only the study that was reported first was included. Moreover, for crossover trials, only data from the first period were included to avoid potential confounding events that may have occurred during the crossover of the study with the same subjects.

Data extraction and quality assessment
Two main reviewers (JJZ and TW) compiled the data in a predefined spreadsheet and performed data extraction and quality assessment of the included studies. In the event of disagreement, a final decision was reached through consensus discussion. The data extracted from each study included year, setting, design, trial duration, study population, specific 5ARI and dose, and data on the number, mean age, and age range of subjects. If data measurements among different studies were not the same, data were converted (e.g., from month to week). The main outcome for ascertainment was the incidence of PFS-like adverse effects of 5ARIs or placebo during the trial. If available, data on individual risk of sexual, physical, and neuropsychiatric adverse effects were also collected. The Cochrane Collaboration risk of bias assessment tool was utilized for quality assessment to evaluate the potential risk of bias of the included RCTs.5

Data synthesis and outcome
Meta-analyses were performed to calculate the overall relative risk (RR) of PFS-like adverse effects of 5ARIs. The individual RRs of sexual, physical, and neuropsychiatric adverse effects were also calculated using the eligible studies. The meta-analyzed RRs were calculated by combining the datasets using an inverse variance method. Heterogeneity among the included studies was calculated using the $\chi^2$ test for heterogeneity (with $P < 0.1$ indicating significant heterogeneity) and the $I^2$ statistic for inconsistency. A random-effects model was used for data synthesis given the heterogeneous study population, study setting, and trial duration of the included studies. The results were expressed using a forest plot. If an adequate number of related studies were available to assess the same outcome, publication bias was assessed using Egger’s test.7 Sensitivity analysis was undertaken to demonstrate the overall influence of an individual study where $P > 0.50$. Statistical analysis was performed using RevMan 5.2 (the Cochrane Collaboration) and STATA 16.0 (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA). All $P$ values reported were two-sided with $P < 0.05$ considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Study selection, characteristics, and quality assessment
The PRISMA flow diagram of study selection is shown in Figure 1. Of 621 retrieved articles, 28 were included in the study. The characteristics of the 34 studies from 28 articles are summarized in Table 1. All studies involved RCTs reporting the incidence of any sexual, physical, and neuropsychiatric adverse effects during the trial in both the 5ARIs and placebo groups. Of these articles, 9 investigated 5 mg day$^{-1}$ finasteride;6–11 7 investigated 1 mg day$^{-1}$ finasteride;12–15 6 investigated 0.5 mg day$^{-1}$ dutasteride;16–19 4 investigated both 5 mg day$^{-1}$ finasteride and 1 mg day$^{-1}$ finasteride;20–24 6 investigated both 5 mg day$^{-1}$ finasteride and 0.5 mg day$^{-1}$ dutasteride,25–27 and 1 investigated both 1 mg day$^{-1}$ dutasteride and 0.5 mg day$^{-1}$ dutasteride.28 The mean age of the 5ARI and placebo groups was comparable in each study. These studies had a moderate risk of bias assessment (Supplementary Figure 1) and were included in the data synthesis. A total of 25696 subjects treated with 5ARIs or placebo were included in the analysis.

Data extraction and synthesis
The number of PFS-like adverse effects in groups treated with 5ARIs or placebo is summarized in Table 2. All studies provided the individual number of sexual adverse effects. Ten studies from 8 articles provided the exact number (not zero) of physical adverse effects.10,11,14,15,25,26,31,35 Only 1 study provided the exact number (not zero) of neuropsychiatric adverse effects.10 Thirty studies were included in our pooled data, and 4 were excluded due to the lack of exact total data.10,12,14,17 Of these subjects, 1804 of 11886 treated with 5ARIs and 800 of 9524 treated with placebo experienced at least one PFS-like adverse effect. Regarding the dose/5ARI subgroup, 1275 of 7473 subjects treated with 5 mg day$^{-1}$ finasteride and 545 of 5127 subjects treated with placebo experienced at least one PFS-like adverse effect.
least one PFS-like adverse effect. In total, 134 of 1650 subjects treated with 1 mg day\(^{-1}\) finasteride and 60 of 1650 subjects treated with placebo experienced at least one PFS-like adverse effect. In total, 395 of 2763 subjects treated with 0.5 mg day\(^{-1}\) dutasteride and 195 of 2747 subjects treated with placebo experienced at least one PFS-like adverse effect (Figure 2). For the disease subgroup, 1677 of 10 164 subjects treated with 5ARIs and 718 of 7786 subjects treated with placebo in the BPH subgroup had at least one PFS-like adverse effect; 127 of 1722 subjects treated with 5ARIs and 82 of 1738 subjects treated with placebo in the AGA subgroup had at least one PFS-like adverse effect (Figure 3).

### Overall use of 5ARIs

In the random-effects model, the overall use of 5ARIs had a 1.87-fold risk of PFS-like adverse effects during the trial (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.74–2.05) and was associated with an increased risk of physical adverse effects (RR: 1.31, 95% CI: 0.80–2.15), albeit without statistical significance (Supplementary Figure 2 and 3). Only 1 study reported the exact number of neuropsychiatric adverse effects.\(^{31}\) Thus, we did not calculate the RR.

#### Different 5ARI subgroups

**5 mg day\(^{-1}\) finasteride**

In the subgroup analysis of 11 studies, 5 mg day\(^{-1}\) finasteride had a 1.85-fold risk of PFS-like adverse effects (95% CI: 1.49–2.29) compared with placebo.\(^{9,11,13,15,16,30–32}\) Heterogeneity among the included studies was moderate (\(I^2 = 53\%\); Figure 2). The risk of publication bias was low based on Egger’s test results (\(P = 0.518\)). To explore the sources of heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding studies sequentially. The results showed that after omitting the study by Marberger,\(^{11}\) the \(F\) value decreased from 53% to 20%, and the RR increased to 2.01 (95% CI: 1.67–2.42). These findings suggested the main source of the heterogeneity. Regarding specific types of adverse effects, the use of 5ARIs exhibited a 1.89-fold risk of sexual adverse effects (95% CI: 1.74–2.05) and was associated with an increased risk of physical adverse effects (RR: 1.31, 95% CI: 0.80–2.15), albeit without statistical significance (Supplementary Figure 2 and 3). Only 1 study reported the exact number of neuropsychiatric adverse effects.\(^{31}\) Thus, we did not calculate the RR.
effects, there was a 1.93-fold risk of sexual adverse effects (95% CI: 1.70–2.18), and the incidence of physical adverse effects (RR: 1.06, 95% CI: 0.62–1.82) also tended to be greater than that for placebo, albeit without statistical significance (Supplementary Figures 2 and 3).

**1 mg day⁻¹ finasteride**

In the subgroup analysis of 11 studies, 1 mg day⁻¹ finasteride had a 2.08-fold risk of PFS-like adverse effects (95% CI: 1.54–2.82) compared with placebo.¹⁸⁻²³,³⁰⁻³³,³⁵ Heterogeneity among the included studies was minimal (F = 0; Figure 2). The risk of publication bias was low based on the Egger’s test results (P = 0.568). Regarding specific types of adverse effects, a 1.85-fold risk of sexual adverse effects (95% CI: 1.50–2.28) was observed. However, the incidence of physical adverse effects (RR: 0.97, 95% CI: 0.25–3.73) tended to be lower than that for placebo, albeit without statistical significance (Supplementary Figure 2 and 3).

**0.5 mg day⁻¹ dutasteride**

In the subgroup analysis of 8 studies, 0.5 mg day⁻¹ dutasteride was significantly associated with an increased risk of PFS-like adverse effects (RR: 2.01, 95% CI: 1.71–2.37; Figure 2).²⁴⁻²⁹,³⁴,³⁵ Heterogeneity among the included studies was minimal (F = 0). The risk of publication bias was low based on the Egger’s test results (P = 0.506). Regarding specific types of adverse effects, 0.5 mg day⁻¹ dutasteride tended to increase the sexual adverse effects (RR: 1.85, 95% CI: 1.50–2.28). The incidence of physical adverse effects (RR: 3.10, 95% CI: 1.80–5.35) also tended to be significantly higher than that for placebo (P < 0.001), as shown in Supplementary Figure 2 and 3.

**Different disease subgroups**

**BPH group**

In the subgroup analysis of 16 studies from 14 articles, patients treated with 5ARIs had a 2.05-fold risk of PFS-like adverse effects (95% CI: 1.71–2.46) compared with placebo.⁶⁻¹⁰,¹⁸⁻²⁰,²²⁻²⁵,²⁸⁻³⁰,³¹,³³ Heterogeneity among the included studies was moderate (F = 58%); Figure 3). The risk of publication bias was significant based on Egger’s test results (P = 0.034). To explore the sources of heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding studies sequentially. The results showed that after omitting the study by Marberger,¹¹ the F value decreased...
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from 58% to 20%, and the RR increased to 2.12 (95% CI: 1.85–2.43). These findings suggested the main source of the heterogeneity. Regarding specific types of adverse effects, a 2.02-fold risk of sexual adverse effects (95% CI: 1.80–2.26) was observed, and the incidence of physical adverse effects (RR: 1.26, 95% CI: 0.75–2.13) also tended to be greater than that for placebo, albeit without statistical significance (Supplementary Figure 4 and 5).

**AGA group**
In the subgroup analysis of 17 studies from 14 articles, patients treated with 5ARIs had a 1.49-fold risk of PFS-like adverse effects (95% CI: 1.13–1.97) compared with placebo.\[^{17–24,27,30,32,34,35}\] Heterogeneity among the included studies was minimal (I² = 0%; Figure 3). The risk of publication bias was low based on the Egger’s test results (P = 0.210). Regarding specific types of adverse effects, a 1.50-fold risk of sexual adverse effects (95% CI: 1.17–1.91) was observed. The incidence of physical adverse effects (RR: 2.99, 95% CI: 0.31–28.63) tended to be greater than that for placebo, albeit without statistical significance (Supplementary Figure 4 and 5).

**DISCUSSION**
In this meta-analysis, available data regarding the neuropsychiatric adverse effects were limited. The low prevalence and incidence of neuropsychiatric adverse effects are inconsistent with the literature data. In a cohort study, 19 of 23 patients developed a mood disturbance (moderate to severe depression) during treatment; depression significantly impaired social relations and increased anxiety.\[^{33}\] Several other studies also reported that neuropsychiatric adverse effects were significantly more prevalent in finasteride users compared with nonusers.\[^{36,37}\] Potential biases, including sample size, targeted population, cultural and psychological differences between genders, and lack of rigorous prospective investigations, may account for this difference. Additionally, compared with the other two adverse effects, the neuropsychiatric adverse effects may be more complicated and hidden, and the investigators typically conducting such RCTs may not necessarily be well trained to spot mental symptoms. Thus, further studies are needed to confirm the risk of neuropsychiatric adverse effects with the use of 5ARIs.

Regarding sexual adverse effects, surprisingly, we found a higher RR in patients treated with 1 mg day⁻¹ finasteride compared with patients treated with 5 mg day⁻¹ finasteride, albeit without statistical significance. This finding may be partly due to the nondose-dependent effect of finasteride on dihydrotestosterone (DHT) pharmacokinetics.\[^{38}\] All daily finasteride doses between 0.4 mg and 100 mg lead to steady-state DHT plasma levels between 0.1 ng ml⁻¹ and 0.15 ng ml⁻¹.\[^{38}\]
Adverse effects in patients treated with 5ARIs

JJ Zhang et al

Additionally, the discontinuation of finasteride treatment results in a normalization of DHT levels within 2 weeks, irrespective of the finasteride dose. In this regard, more underlying mechanisms are worth of further exploration.

In our study, patients treated with 5ARIs in the BPH subgroup were associated with a 2.02-fold risk of sexual adverse effects. However, the risk of sexual adverse effects was only 1.5-fold for men with AGA. This finding is consistent with previously reported results.

Many systematic reviews and meta-analyses concerning the adverse effects of 5ARIs have been conducted and are reported in the literature. A notable study by Lee et al. investigated the risk of adverse sexual effects caused by 5ARIs in male patients with AGA. The use of 5ARIs carried a 1.57-fold risk of sexual dysfunction (95% CI: 1.19–2.08). In the subgroup analysis, the RR was 1.66 (95% CI: 1.20–2.22) for 1 mg day$^{-1}$ finasteride and 1.37 (95% CI: 1.08–1.74) for 0.5 mg day$^{-1}$ dutasteride, respectively.

In our study, there was a 1.89-fold risk of sexual adverse effects (95% CI: 1.74–2.05) in patients treated with SARI. This difference may be attributed to different inclusion criteria between the two studies. In addition to patients with AGA, we also included BPH patients. Patients with BPH more easily develop sexual dysfunction.

In the case of PFS, there are strong selection biases and the nocebo factors. A significant placebo/nocebo effect has been documented among patients informed about possible side effects, which may explain the high prevalence of reported sexual dysfunction and psychiatric side effects in subjects participating in internet groups and blogs. Mondaini et al. also reported that the nocebo effect was relatively significant when patients were informed about possible sexual dysfunction caused by the therapy. Sexual side effects increased from 29.3% to 43.6% when information was given at the beginning of the treatment. Thus, this finding may inspire us to balance the information bias in the design of future studies and inform the participants about the potential adverse effects in both groups before the start of the experiment.

Several potential solutions have been proposed to protect patients from the immediate and persistent adverse effects of finasteride. For BPH patients, administration of finasteride concomitantly with a phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor may provide an improvement in sexual function with no reduction of effectiveness in prostate treatment. In addition, tamsulosin and surgery may be an alternative treatment options for BPH patients. For AGA patients, the use of topical finasteride (0.25% solution) seems to be less harmful than an oral formulation with a similar effectiveness. Other auxiliary treatments, such as topical minoxidil, platelet-rich plasma, low-level laser therapy, and surgery, may also represent good treatment choices.

To date, there are no predictive factors for the risk of developing PFS. Nevertheless, it would seem appropriate to evaluate the history of preexisting mental health issues and the possibility of potential...
sexual dysfunction. Additionally, a stricter selection of patients is needed before the initiation of 5ARI treatment, such as patients with repeated inquiries on safety issues of the drug or holding on to their belief system tenaciously despite any rational argumentation against it. Administration of 5ARIs may more easily put these patients at an increased risk of developing sexual or emotional disorders.

The greatest limitation of our study is that we focused on sexual, physical, and overall adverse effects rather than real PFS. The persistent effect is the hallmark of PFS, and this phenomenon is particularly prominent in the subset of young AGA patients. Both AGA (<40 years old) and BPH (>40 years old) patients were included in the present study. Data obtained in different situations might cause some confusion and bias. Although we employed subgroup analysis to reduce this deviation, it is not sufficient. In the future, we may solve this problem by improving the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data on PFS. Additionally, to examine the persistence of symptoms, placebo-controlled RCTs using validated questionnaires and long-term follow-up after the discontinuation of 5ARIs are needed.

CONCLUSION

Our study demonstrated that 5ARIs were associated with a significantly increased risk of sexual, physical, and overall adverse effects than placebo for men with AGA or BPH during treatment. Clinicians should assess the risk of preexisting mental health issues and the possibility of potential sexual dysfunction before 5ARI treatment. Alternative treatments may be used to protect patients from the immediate and persistent adverse effects of 5ARIs.
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Supplementary Figure 1: Quality assessment and risk of bias summary.

Supplementary Figure 2: Individual risk of sexual adverse effects with the use of 5α-reductase inhibitors (dose subgroup).
| Study or Subgroup                        | Events | Control | Risk Ratio |                  |                  |
|----------------------------------------|--------|---------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                        | Events | Total   | Total Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | M-H, Random, 95% CI |
| 2.2.1 Finasteride 5mg/d Subgroup       |        |         |             |                  |                  |
| Gomney et al 1992                      | 5      | 297     | 4           | 300             | 8.4%            | 1.26 [0.34, 4.66] |
| Kaplan et al 2016                      | 9      | 768     | 12          | 737             | 12.5%           | 0.72 [0.31, 1.70] |
| Lepor et al 1996                       | 23     | 310     | 21          | 305             | 15.8%           | 1.08 [0.61, 1.91] |
| Marberger et al 1998                   | 28     | 1577    | 45          | 1591            | 17.0%           | 0.63 [0.39, 1.00] |
| Mcconnell et al 1998                   | 50     | 1524    | 23          | 1516            | 16.8%           | 2.16 [1.33, 3.53] |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                      | 4476   | 4449    |             |                 |                 | 1.06 [0.62, 1.82] |
| Total events                           | 115    | 105     |             |                 |                 |                 |
| Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.25; Chi^2 = 13.87, df = 4 (P = 0.009); P = 71% |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 0.21 (P = 0.83) |
| 2.2.2 Finasteride 1mg/d Subgroup       |        |         |             |                  |                  |
| Gomney et al b1992                     | 3      | 298     | 4           | 300             | 7.1%            | 0.76 [0.17, 3.34] |
| Gubelin et al 2014                     | 1      | 179     | 0           | 181             | 2.1%            | 3.03 [0.12, 73.97] |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                      | 477    | 481     |             |                 |                 | 6.97 [0.25, 3.73] |
| Total events                           | 4      | 4       |             |                 |                 |                 |
| Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.00; Chi^2 = 0.60, df = 1 (P = 0.44); P = 0% |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 0.05 (P = 0.96) |
| 2.2.3 Dutasteride 0.5mg/d Subgroup     |        |         |             |                  |                  |
| Gubelin et al 2014                      | 1      | 184     | 0           | 181             | 2.1%            | 2.95 [0.12, 71.98] |
| Na et al 2012                           | 1      | 126     | 0           | 127             | 2.1%            | 3.02 [0.12, 73.53] |
| Roehlhorn et al 2002                    | 50     | 2167    | 16          | 2158            | 16.0%           | 3.11 [1.78, 5.45] |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                      | 2477   | 2466    |             |                 |                 | 3.10 [1.80, 5.35] |
| Total events                           | 52     | 16      |             |                 |                 |                 |
| Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.00; Chi^2 = 0.00, df = 2 (P = 1.00); P = 0% |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 4.09 (P < 0.0001) |
| Total (95% CI)                          | 7430   | 7396    |             |                 |                 | 1.31 [0.80, 2.15] |
| Total events                           | 171    | 125     |             |                 |                 |                 |
| Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.32; Chi^2 = 26.47, df = 9 (P = 0.002); P = 60% |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 1.08 (P = 0.28) |
| Test for subgroup differences: Chi^2 = 8.29; df = 2 (P = 0.02); P = 75.9% |

**Supplementary Figure 3:** Individual risk of physical adverse effects with the use of 5α-reductase inhibitors (dose subgroup).
Supplementary Figure 4: Individual risk of sexual adverse effects with the use of 5α-reductase inhibitors (disease subgroup).

Supplementary Figure 5: Individual risk of physical adverse effects with the use of 5α-reductase inhibitors (disease subgroup).