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On a Novel Numerical Scheme for Riesz Fractional Partial Differential Equations

Junjiang Lai and Hongyu Liu

Abstract: In this paper, we consider numerical solutions for Riesz space fractional partial differential equations with a second order time derivative. We propose a Galerkin finite element scheme for both the temporal and spatial discretizations. For the proposed numerical scheme, we derive sharp stability estimates as well as optimal a priori error estimates. Extensive numerical experiments are conducted to verify the promising features of the newly proposed method.
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1. Introduction

The Riesz fractional partial differential equations (RPDEs) arise in various applications of practical importance and their numerical solutions have received considerable attentions in the literature in recent years; see [1–7] and references cited therein. In this article, we aim to develop a novel numerical scheme to solve linear space RPDEs of second order in time.

There are several numerical methods developed for solving RPDEs. For the space RPDE problems of first order in time, we would like to mention the works [3,5,7–10], where the authors developed various numerical schemes that combine finite-difference and finite-element methods. For the linear space RPDE problems of second order in time, we propose a space-time bilinear finite element scheme for the numerical approximation.

In the temporal direction, unlike these finite difference schemes in [7,10,11], we develop a $C^0$-continuous Galerkin linear finite element method to discretize the time derivatives (cf. [4,12–15]). Since the approximate solution function is a continuous piecewise linear polynomial in the whole temporal interval, the regularity assumptions on the exact solution in the error analysis can be relaxed [4,16,17] (see Remark 1 in what follows). In the spatial direction, we apply the linear finite element method to discretize the space fractional order derivative. We establish sharp stability estimates for the proposed numerical method (see Lemma 1). By introducing an unusual interpolation operator $\tilde{I}$ satisfied by (14) and (15) (cf. [13,18]), we further derive optimal a-priori error estimates respectively in the $L^2$ norm and the energy norm (see Theorem 1).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the problem setup and construct the numerical scheme for our subsequent study. In Section 3, we establish the stability estimate of the proposed numerical method and derive some related theoretical results. Applying the technique of dual argument [14,17], we derive the optimal error estimates in Section 4. The numerical examples demonstrating the promising features of the approximate method are presented in Section 5. The paper is concluded in Section 6 with some relevant discussions.
2. Problem Setup and the Numerical Scheme

Consider the linear space RPDE problem as follows:

\[
\begin{cases}
\ddot{u}(x, t) + K_{\gamma} u(x, t) = f(x, t), & t \in I = (0, T), x \in \mathcal{I} = (0, 1), \\
u(0, t) = 0, u(1, t) = 0, & t \in I, \\
u(0, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \ddot{u}(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \mathcal{I},
\end{cases}
\]

(1)

where \( K_{\gamma} u(x, t) = -q_{\gamma} \frac{\partial^{2\gamma} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2\gamma}}, 1/2 < \gamma \leq 1, \) \( q_{\gamma} > 0, \) the Riesz fractional derivative \([19,20]\) is given as

\[
\frac{\partial^{2\gamma} w(x)}{\partial |x|^{2\gamma}} = -\frac{1}{2 \cos(\gamma \pi)} \left( D^{2\gamma}_L w(x) + D^{2\gamma}_R w(x) \right)
\]

with

\[
D^{\beta}_L w(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(l-\beta)} \frac{d^l}{dx^l} \int_0^x \frac{w(s)}{(x-s)^{l-\beta-1}} ds,
\]

\[
D^{\beta}_R w(x) = \frac{(-1)^l}{\Gamma(l-\beta)} \frac{d^l}{dx^l} \int_x^1 \frac{w(s)}{(s-x)^{l-\beta-1}} ds,
\]

for \( l-1 \leq \beta < l \) with \( l \) a positive integer.

Assume that the force \( f \in L^2(\mathcal{I} \times I), \) and the initial displacement and velocity \( u_0, u_1 \in H^1_0(\mathcal{I}). \) We also set \( \dot{\gamma} = (\dot{\gamma}_i) = \partial(\gamma)/\partial t \) and \( \ddot{\gamma} = (\ddot{\gamma}_i) = \partial^2(\gamma)/\partial t^2. \)

In what follows we shall establish a novel scheme to compute the numerical solution of problem (1), for this scheme the linear finite elements are used both in spatial and temporal directions. For positive integers \( N, L, \) let \( 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = T \) and \( 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_L = 1 \) be partitions of \( I \) and \( \mathcal{I}, \) respectively. Denote the temporal subintervals \( I_n = (t_n, t_{n+1}) \) with step-size \( k_n = t_{n+1} - t_n, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \) set \( k = \max\{k_n; 0 \leq n \leq N - 1\}. \) Similarly, we introduce the spatial subintervals \( \mathcal{I}_i = (x_i, x_{i+1}) \) with step-size \( h_i = x_{i+1} - x_i, 0 \leq i \leq L - 1, \) and \( h = \max\{h_i; 0 \leq i \leq L - 1\}. \) In addition, define

\[
\mathcal{S}_h^0 = \{ w \in C(\mathcal{I}); w|_{\mathcal{I}_i} \in P_1(\mathcal{I}_i), 0 \leq i \leq L - 1, w(0) = w(1) = 0 \},
\]

where \( P_1(e) = \{ w; w \) is a polynomial of degree \( \leq 1 \) on set \( e \}, \) and then we obtain the following bilinear finite element space,

\[
\mathcal{S}_{hk} = \{ w(t) : I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_h^0; w \in C(I; \mathcal{S}_h^0), w|_{I_n} = a_n + b_n t, a_n, b_n \in \mathcal{S}_h^0, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \}.
\]

In the sequel, we let \( \mathcal{S}_{hk}^n \) be the restriction of \( \mathcal{S}_{hk} \) to \( I_n. \)

To solve problem (1) numerically, the bilinear finite element method can now be formulated: determine \( U \in \mathcal{S}_{hk} \) satisfying

\[
a(U, w) = F(w) \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{S}_{hk}
\]

(2)
with
\[ a(U, w) = \int_0^T \left( ((\dot{U}, \dot{w}) + B_r(U, \dot{w})) dt + (U^0, w^0) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\|U\|_n, \dot{w}_n^0), \right. \]
\[ \mathfrak{g}(w) = \int_0^T (f, \dot{w}) dt + (U^0, \dot{w}^0), \]
\[ (w, \chi) = \int_{\Omega} w \chi \, dx, \]
\[ B_r(w, \chi) = \frac{q_T}{2 \cos(\gamma T)} (\{D_w^2, D_w^2 \chi\} + \{D_w^2, D_w^2 \chi\}), \]
\[ w^0 = w(t_n) = w(t_n), \quad \dot{w}_n^0 = \lim_{s \to 0^+} w(t_n, t_n), \quad \{w\}_n = \dot{w}_n^0 - \dot{w}_{n-1}, \]
\[ U^0 = \phi_0 = R_k u_0, \quad \dot{U}^0 = \phi_1 = R_k u_1, \]
where the elliptic projection operator [5,17] \( R_k : H^1_0(\Omega) \to S_h^0 \) is given by
\[ B_r(R_k w, \chi) = B_r(w, \chi) \quad \forall \chi \in S_h^0, \quad w \in H^1_0(\Omega). \quad (3) \]

The test function \( \dot{w} \) in (2) may be discontinuous at point \( t_n \). Therefore if we set \( \dot{w} \) to vanish outside \( I_n, (2) \) becomes:
\[ \begin{cases} \int_{I_n} ((\dot{U}, \dot{w}) + B_r(U, \dot{w})) dt + (\|U\|_n, \dot{w}_n^0) = \int_{I_n} (f, \dot{w}) dt \quad \forall w \in S_h^0, \\ U^0 = \phi_0, \quad \dot{U}^0 = \phi_1 \end{cases} \quad (4) \]
with \( 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \), we deduce easily
\[ U(t) = U^n + (t - t_n) U_{n+1}, \quad t \in I_n. \quad (5) \]

Substituting Equation (5) into the first equation of (4) and taking \( \dot{w} \) to be \( \psi \) on \( I_n \) with \( \psi \in S_h^0 \), leads the explicit representation of discrete scheme (2): find \( \{U^n\}_{n=0}^N \in S_h^0 \) and \( \{\dot{U}^n\}_{n=0}^N \in S_h^0 \) such that
\[ \begin{cases} (U^{n+1}, \psi) + k^2 B_r(U^{n+1}, \psi) \\ = (U^n, \psi) - k^2 B_r(U^n, \psi) + \int_{I_n} (f, \psi) dt \quad \forall \psi \in S_h^0, \\ U(t) = U^n + (t - t_n) U_{n+1}, \quad t \in I_n, \\ U^0 = \phi_0, \quad \dot{U}^0 = \phi_1 \end{cases} \quad (6) \]
with \( 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \). Therefore, we solve the Equation (6) to implement the scheme (2) in practical calculation. Once \( U^n \) and \( \dot{U}^n \) are available, we deduce \( U^{n+1} \) by solving the first equation of (6), then derive the function \( U \) on \( 3 \times I_n \) by applying the second equation of (6), and hence \( U^{n+1} \). In this way, we finally obtain the finite element solution \( U \) in given domain.

3. Stability for the Numerical Method and Some Theoretical Results

From now on, we use the usual symbols for Sobolev spaces [21]. For real \( r > 0 \), we also write \( \| \cdot \|_{H^r(\Omega)} \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \) as \( \| \cdot \|_r \) and \( \| \cdot \|_0 \) respectively. Let \( \chi : [0, T] \to S \) be a Lebesgue measurable function with Banach space \( S \) endowed norm \( \| \cdot \|_S \). Denote [22]
\[ L^p(I; S) = \left\{ \chi : I \to S ; \|\chi\|_{L^p(I; S)} < \infty \right\}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty \]
with \( \|\chi\|_{L^p(I; S)} = \left( \int_I \|\chi\|^p \right)^{1/p} \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), also the norms can be naturally extended to \( p = \infty \). For simplicity, let \( \|\chi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \) represent \( \|\chi\|_{L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega))} = \text{ess sup}_{t \in I} \|\chi\|_0 \). We also write \( \leq C \cdots \) as \( \leq \cdots \), where \( C \) represents a positive constant independent of
the functions and parameters involved, which are not necessarily the same at different occurrences.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( U \in S_{hk} \) be the solution of \((2)\) with \( f = 0 \), we have the stability results

\[
\| \dot{U}^N \|_0^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \| [U]^n \|_0^2 + B_\gamma (U^N, U^N) = B_\gamma (\phi_0, \phi_0) + \| \phi_1 \|_0^2, \\
\| \dot{U} \|_{\infty, 0} \lesssim \| \phi_0 \|_\gamma + \| \phi_1 \|_0.
\]  

**Proof.** Setting \( w = U \) in \((4)\) and noting the equality \( 2B_\gamma (U, \dot{U}) = dR_\gamma (U, \dot{U}) / dt \), we can conclude \((7)\) by summing in \( n \).

Applying the following coercivity and boundedness of \( B_\gamma (\cdot, \cdot) \) [2,23],

\[
\| w \|_2^2 \lesssim B_\gamma (w, w) \quad \forall w \in H_0^1 (\Omega), \\
| B_\gamma (w, \chi) | \lesssim \| w \|_\gamma \cdot \| \chi \|_\gamma \quad \forall w, \chi \in H_0^1 (\Omega),
\]

and using \((7)\) we deduce that

\[
\| \dot{U}^N \|_0^2 + \| U^N \|_0^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \| [U]^n \|_0^2 \lesssim \| \phi_0 \|_\gamma^2 + \| \phi_1 \|_0^2.
\]

Using the above inequality and noting that \( U \) is a piecewise constant in the whole temporal interval \( I \), we obtain

\[
\| U \|_{\infty, 0} \lesssim \| \phi_0 \|_\gamma + \| \phi_1 \|_0.
\]

The proof is complete. \( \square \)

Applying Lemma 1, we can easily know that the numerical method \((2)\) for problem \((1)\) is uniquely solvable.

In the following, we introduce the dual problem of \((1)\) with \( f = 0 \), which satisfies

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{Y} (x, t) - \partial_\gamma \partial_{x|\gamma} Y (x, t) = 0, & t \in I, \ x \in \mathcal{I}, \\
Y (0, t) = 0, \ Y (1, t) = 0, & t \in I, \\
Y (x, t_N) = y_0 (x), \ \dot{Y} (x, t_N) = y_1 (x), & x \in \mathcal{I}.
\end{cases}
\]

Then we rewrite \((9)\) in the same expression as for \((1)\) by applying the change of temporal variables \( \tilde{t} = T - t \), and solve this problem numerically by using the method \((2)\). Hence, using change of temporal variables \( t = T - \tilde{t} \) second time, we establish the approximate method for the problem \((9)\): find \( \Theta \in S_{hk} \) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
\begin{aligned}
\int_{I_N} \left( (\tilde{w}, \Theta) + B_\gamma (\tilde{w}, \Theta) \right) dt + (\tilde{w}^{n+1}, [\Theta]_{n+1}) = 0 & \forall \ w \in S_{hk}^n, \ 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \\
\Theta^N = \phi_0, & \Theta_+^N = \phi_1,
\end{aligned}
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \phi_0, \ phi_1 \in S_{hk}^0 \) are any two functions. By summing \((10)\) with respect to \( n \), we obtain that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_n} \left( (w, \Theta) + B_\gamma (w, \Theta) \right) dt + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (w^{n+1}, [\Theta]_{n+1}) = 0 \quad \forall \ w \in S_{hk}.
\]

Moreover, using Lemma 1 we can estimate

\[
\| \Theta \|_{\infty, 0} \lesssim \| \phi_0 \|_\gamma + \| \phi_1 \|_0
\]

with the function \( \Theta \in S_{hk} \) given by \((10)\).

For the elliptic projection operator \( R_h \) given by \((3)\), we need some fundamental results described as follows.
Lemma 2 ([2]). For \( \chi \in H^0_0(\mathcal{O}) \cap H^q(\mathcal{O})(\gamma \leq q \leq 2) \), there holds the estimate
\[
\| \chi - R_h \chi \|_\gamma \lesssim h^{q-\gamma} \| \chi \|_q.
\]

Assumption 1 ([2]). For \( w \) solving the following static problem of (1) with \( f \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) \),
\[
\begin{cases}
K_\gamma w = f, & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\
w = 0, & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{O},
\end{cases}
\]
we have
\[
\|w\|_{2,\gamma} \lesssim \|f\|_0.
\]

Lemma 3 ([2]). For \( \chi \in H^0_0(\mathcal{O}) \cap H^q(\mathcal{O})(\gamma \leq q \leq 2) \), under Assumption 1, the following estimates hold,
\[
\begin{align*}
\| \chi - R_h \chi \|_0 & \lesssim h^q \| \chi \|_q, \quad \gamma \neq 3/4, \\
\| \chi - R_h \chi \|_0 & \lesssim h^{q-\epsilon} \| \chi \|_q, \quad \gamma = 3/4, \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1/2.
\end{align*}
\]

4. Convergence Analysis for the Numerical Method

In this section, in order to obtain error bounds for the numerical scheme (2), we suppose the exact solution \( u \) of problem (1) satisfies
\[
u \in L^\infty(I; H^{2\gamma}(\mathcal{O}) \cap H^0_0(\mathcal{O})), \quad \bar{u} \in L^1(I; H^{2\gamma}(\mathcal{O})),
\]
which implies functions \( u \) and \( K_\gamma u \) are \( C^1 \)-continuous in the temporal direction [22], which implies that \( u \) and \( L_\gamma u \) are \( C^1 \)-continuous in the time direction [22].

Introduce the interpolation \( \tilde{u} = \bar{u} \in \mathcal{P}_1(\bar{t}) \) of \( u \), where \( \mathcal{P}_1(\bar{t}) \) is the set of continuous functions that are first order polynomials on each interval \( I_n \), i.e.,
\[
\mathcal{P}_1(\bar{t}) = \{ v \in C(\bar{t}); v \text{ is linear on } I_n, \ 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \},
\]
interpolation \( \tilde{u} \) satisfies
\[
\tilde{u}(0) = u_0, \quad (14)
\]
and
\[
\tilde{u}_n = \tilde{u}(t_n), \quad (15)
\]
with \( 0 \leq m \leq N \). Using the interpolation definition (14) and (15), for \( 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \), we easily conclude that
\[
\bar{u}(t) = \bar{u}(t_0) + (t - t_0)\bar{u}(t_{n+1}), \quad t \in I_n,
\]
and then
\[
\bar{u}(t) = u(0) + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} k_m \bar{u}(t_{m+1}) + (t - t_n)\bar{u}(t_{n+1}), \quad t \in I_n.
\]
Recalling Taylor’s formula with the integral form of remainder [24], we have
\[
u(t_n) - u(t_{n+1}) + k_n \bar{u}(t_{n+1}) = \int_{I_n} (s - t_n)\bar{u}(s) \, ds =: R_n, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 1.
\]
Define
\[
\varepsilon_m = \tilde{u}(t_m) - u(t_m), \quad 0 \leq m \leq N,
\]
from Equations (16)–(18) we find
\[
\varepsilon_{n+1} - \varepsilon_n = R_n, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 1,
\]
and therefore
\[ \varepsilon_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} R_i. \]

Hence,
\[ |\varepsilon_{n+1}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left| \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i) \dot{u}(s) \, ds \right| \leq k \int_{0}^{t_{n+1}} |\dot{u}(s)| \, ds, \]
i.e.,
\[ |\ddot{u}(t_{n+1}) - u(t_{n+1})| \leq k \int_{0}^{t_{n+1}} |\dot{u}(s)| \, ds, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 1. \] (19)

For \( t \in I_n, \; 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \) observing that [24]
\[ u(t) = u(t_n) + (t - t_n) \dot{u}(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t} (t - s) \ddot{u}(s) \, ds, \]
we conclude from (16) that
\[
\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\ddot{u}(t_n) - u(t_n)| \, dt = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\ddot{u}(t_n) - u(t_n) - (t - t_n)(\ddot{u}(t_n) - \ddot{u}(t_{n+1})) - \int_{t_n}^{t} (t - s) \ddot{u}(s) \, ds| \, dt.
\] (20)

With the help of (19), we can estimate
\[
\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\ddot{u}(t_n) - u(t_n)| \, dt \leq kk_n \int_{0}^{t_{n+1}} |\dot{u}(s)| \, ds.
\]
It is clear that
\[
\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |(t - t_n)(\ddot{u}(t_n) - \ddot{u}(t_{n+1}))| \, dt = |\ddot{u}(t_n) - \ddot{u}(t_{n+1})| \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (t - t_n) \, dt
\leq \frac{1}{2} k_n^2 |\ddot{u}(t_n) - \ddot{u}(t_{n+1})|
\leq \frac{1}{2} k_n^2 \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\dot{u}(s)| \, ds,
\]
and
\[
\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left| \int_{t_n}^{t} (t - s) \ddot{u}(s) \, ds \right| \, dt \leq \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left| \int_{t_n}^{t} (t - s) \ddot{u}(s) \, ds \right| \, dt
\leq \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (t_{n+1} - s)^2 \right] |\ddot{u}(s)| \, ds
\leq \frac{1}{2} k_n^2 \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\ddot{u}(s)| \, ds,
\]
combining the last three estimates with (20) we finally obtain
\[
\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\ddot{u}(t) - u(t)| \, dt \leq kk_n \int_{0}^{t_{n+1}} |\dot{u}(s)| \, ds + k_n^2 \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\ddot{u}(s)| \, ds
\leq kk_n \int_{0}^{t_{n+1}} |\ddot{u}(t)| \, dt, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 1. \] (21)
For \( w \in S^n_{\tilde{h}k} \), by multiplying (1) by \( \tilde{w} \) and by integrating on \( I_n \), we obtain the equality \([7,20]\)

\[
\int_{I_n} \left((\tilde{w}, \tilde{w}) + B_\gamma(u, \tilde{w})\right) \, dt = \int_{I_n} \left(f_\gamma, \tilde{w}\right) \, dt \quad \forall \, w \in S^n_{\tilde{h}k}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 1.
\]

Denote \( \epsilon = U - u \), since \( u \) is \( C^1 \)-continuous in the time direction, from (2) we conclude that

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\int_{I_n} \left((\tilde{\epsilon}, \tilde{w}) + B_\gamma(\epsilon, \tilde{w})\right) \, dt + \int_{I_n} \left(\|\tilde{w}\|_n, \tilde{w}_n\right) = 0 \\
\epsilon^0 = \phi_0 - u_0, \quad \tilde{\epsilon}^0 = \tilde{\phi}_1 - u_1
\end{array} \right. \quad \forall \, w \in S^n_{\tilde{h}k},
\]  

(22)

with \( 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \). We write

\[
\epsilon = U - u = \tilde{\zeta} - \eta
\]

with \( \tilde{\zeta} := U - \tilde{T}R_ku \) and \( \eta := u - \tilde{T}R_ku \).

We introduce the following essential identity, which is vital to error analysis for approximate method (2).

**Lemma 4.** Let \( u \) solve (1) and \( \Theta \) solve (10). Let \( \tilde{T} \) denote the identity operator. Under the regularity assumptions (13) we have

\[
(\tilde{\zeta}_n^N, \Theta^N_\gamma) + B_\gamma(\zeta^N_\gamma, \Theta^N_\gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_n} ((u - R_ku)_n, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt + \sum_{u=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_n} ((\tilde{T} - \tilde{T})Ku, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt. \quad (23)
\]

**Proof.** We replace \( w \) with \( \Theta \) in equation (22) and derive

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_n} B_\gamma(\zeta, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} (\zeta, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt + (\|\zeta\|_n, \tilde{\Theta}_n^r) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_n} B_\gamma(\eta, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} (\eta, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt + (\|\eta\|_n, \tilde{\Theta}_n^r) \right). \quad (24)
\]

Now we shall simplify equality (24). From the decomposition \( \eta = u - R_ku + (\tilde{T} - \tilde{T})R_ku \) and (3) we find that \([4,7]\)

\[
\int_{I_n} B_\gamma(\eta, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt = \int_{I_n} B_\gamma((\tilde{T} - \tilde{T})R_ku, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt
\]

\[
= \int_{I_n} B_\gamma((\tilde{T} - \tilde{T})u, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt
\]

\[
= \int_{I_n} ((\tilde{T} - \tilde{T})Ku, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt. \quad (25)
\]

Since \( \tilde{\Theta} = \tilde{\Theta}_n^{r+1} - \tilde{\Theta}_n^r \) is constant on \( I_n \), from (14) and (15) we see that

\[
\int_{I_n} (\eta, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt + (\|\eta\|_n, \tilde{\Theta}_n^r) = (\eta^{r+1}, \tilde{\Theta}_n^{r+1}) - (\eta^r, \tilde{\Theta}_n^r)
\]

\[
= ((u - R_ku)_n^{r+1}, \tilde{\Theta}_n^{r+1}) - ((u - R_ku)_n^r, \tilde{\Theta}_n^r)
\]

\[
= \int_{I_n} ((u - R_ku)_n, \tilde{\Theta}) \, dt. \quad (26)
\]
Therefore, with the aid of (25) and (26) we can rewrite the right side term of equality (24) as

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_n} B_{\gamma}(\eta, \Theta) \, dt + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} (\eta, \Theta) \, dt + (\|^\eta\|_n, \Theta') \right) 
= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} ((u - R_h u)_{tt}, \Theta) \, dt + \int_{I_n} ((I - \tilde{K}) K, \Theta) \, dt \right).
\]

(27)

Applying integration by parts and observing the equality

\[
\int_{I_n} ((\xi, \Theta) + B_{\gamma}(\xi, \Theta)) \, dt + (\|^\xi\|_{n+1}, \Theta') = (\xi_{n+1}, \Theta_{n+1}) - (\xi_n, \Theta_n') + B_{\gamma}(\xi_{n+1}, \Theta_{n+1}) 
- B_{\gamma}(\xi_n, \Theta_n) - \int_{I_n} B_{\gamma}(\xi, \Theta) \, dt.
\]

Summing in \( n \) and noting that

\[
\xi^0 = U^0 - R_h u(0) = 0, \quad \xi^0 = U^0 - R_h u_1 = 0,
\]

we conclude that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_n} B_{\gamma}(\xi, \Theta) \, dt + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} (\xi, \Theta) \, dt + (\|^\xi\|_n, \Theta') \right) 
= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( (\xi_{n+1}, \Theta_{n+1}) - (\xi_n, \Theta_n') \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_n} B_{\gamma}(\xi, \Theta) \, dt 
+ B_{\gamma}(\xi^N, \Theta^N) - B_{\gamma}(\xi^0, \Theta^0) 
= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( (\xi_{n+1}, \Theta_{n+1}) - (\xi_n, \Theta_n') \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_n} B_{\gamma}(\xi, \Theta) \, dt 
+ B_{\gamma}(\xi^N, \Theta^N) 
= - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} B_{\gamma}(\xi, \Theta) \, dt + (\xi_{n+1}, \Theta_{n+1}) \right) 
+ (\xi^N, \Theta^N) + B_{\gamma}(\xi^N, \Theta^N).
\]

From (11) we have that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} B_{\gamma}(\xi, \Theta) \, dt + (\xi_{n+1}, [\Theta]_{n+1}) \right) = 0.
\]

Applying the last two equalities, we express the left side term of equality (24) in the form

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_n} B_{\gamma}(\xi, \Theta) \, dt + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} (\xi, \Theta) \, dt + (\|^\xi\|_n, \Theta') \right) = (\xi^N, \Theta^N) + B_{\gamma}(\xi^N, \Theta^N).
\]

(28)

Hence, we obtain the identity (23) by using (24), (27), and (28). □
Theorem 1. Let $u$ and $U$ be the solutions of (1) and (2), respectively. Under Assumption 1, and assume that $u$ satisfies the regularity conditions that

$$u \in L^\infty(I; H^1(3) \cap H^{2\gamma}(3) \cap H^2_0(3)), \quad \bar{u} \in L^1(I; H^1(3) \cap H^{2\gamma}(3)),$$

$\gamma \leq q \leq 2$. Then, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, we have the error estimates

$$\|u(t_n) - U^n\|_T \lesssim h^{d-\gamma} \|u\|_{L^\infty(I; H^1(3))} + h^\mu \|\bar{u}\|_{L^1(I; H^1(3))} + h^{2\gamma-2\gamma} \|\bar{u}\|_{L^1(I; H^{2\gamma}(3))} + k \|\bar{u}\|_{L^1(I; H^{2\gamma}(3))},$$

$$\|\bar{u}(t_n) - \bar{U}^n\|_0 \lesssim h^\mu \|\bar{u}\|_{L^\infty(I; H^1(3))} + h^\mu \|\bar{u}\|_{L^1(I; H^{2\gamma}(3))} + k \|\bar{u}\|_{L^1(I; H^{2\gamma}(3))},$$

(29)

(30)

where

$$\mu = \begin{cases} q, & \gamma \neq 3/4, \\ q - \epsilon, & \gamma = 3/4, \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|u(t_n) - U^n\|_T \lesssim k \|\bar{u}\|_{L^1(I; H^1(3) \cap H^{2\gamma}(3))} + h^{d-\gamma} \|u\|_{W^{2,1}(I; H^1(3))},$$

$$\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|\bar{u}(t_n) - \bar{U}^n\|_0 \lesssim k \|\bar{u}\|_{L^1(I; H^{2\gamma}(3))} + h^{d} \|u\|_{W^{2,1}(I; H^1(3))}.$$  

Proof. We only give the proof where $n = N$; the other cases can be treated analogously. In (10) we set

$$\Theta^N = \varphi_0 = \zeta^N, \quad \tilde{\Theta}^N = \varphi_1 = 0,$$

applying (8), Lemma 4, (12), Lemma 3 and the interpolation error estimate (21), we deduce that

$$\|\xi^N\|_T^2 \lesssim B_{\gamma}(\xi^N, \zeta^N)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} ((u - R\bar{u})_H, \Theta) \, dt + \int_{I_n} ((I - \tilde{T})K_{\gamma}u, \Theta) \, dt \right)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} \|(I - R\bar{u})\|_0 \, dt \cdot \|\Theta\|_{L^\infty(0, T)} + \int_{I_n} \|(I - \tilde{T})K_{\gamma}u\|_0 \, dt \cdot \|\Theta\|_{L^\infty(0, T)} \right)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{I_n} \|(I - R\bar{u})\|_0 \, dt \cdot \|\xi^N\|_T + \int_{I_n} \|(I - \tilde{T})K_{\gamma}u\|_0 \, dt \cdot \|\xi^N\|_T ||\right)$$

$$\lessim (h^\mu \int_0^T \|\bar{u}\|_q \, dt + k \int_0^T \|\bar{u}\|_{2\gamma} \, dt) \cdot \|\xi^N\|_{\gamma} \cdot$$

consequently,

$$\|\xi^N\|_T \lesssim h^\mu \int_0^T \|\bar{u}\|_q \, dt + k \int_0^T \|\bar{u}\|_{2\gamma} \, dt.$$  

(31)

Using Lemma 2, the triangle inequality and (19), we can estimate

$$\|n^N\|_{T} = \|u(t_N) - \tilde{T}R_h u(t_N)\|_{T} \lesssim \|u(t_N) - \tilde{T}u(t_N)\|_{T} + \|(I - R_h)\tilde{T} u(t_N)\|_{T},$$

$$\|u(t_N) - \tilde{T}u(t_N)\|_{T} \lesssim k \|\bar{u}\|_{L^1(I; H^1(3))},$$
Thus, the desired result (29) follows from (31) and (32) with the aid of the triangle inequality.

5. Numerical Tests

In order to assess the numerical scheme (2), we display some numerical experiments. Solve the Equation (1), equipped with $u_0(x) = x^2(1 - x)^2$, $u_1(x) = -u_0(x)$, $q_\gamma = 1$, and $f(x, t) = e^{-t}x^2(1 - x)^2 + e^{-t}(\cos(\gamma \pi)\Gamma(5 - 2\gamma))^{-1}(12(x^{4-2\gamma} + (1 - x)^{4-2\gamma})$

$$= (3 - 2\gamma)(4 - 2\gamma)(x^{2-2\gamma} + (1 - x)^{2-2\gamma}) - 6(4 - 2\gamma)(x^{3-2\gamma} + (1 - x)^{3-2\gamma})$$

it is easily verified that $u(x, t) = e^{-t}x^2(1 - x)^2$ is the exact solution of this problem [4].

Here, we only take $T = 1$ in our computation. It should be emphasized that since the constants in our estimates do not depend on time, the method (2) is still valid for a larger increase in $T$.

For $\chi \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, since the norms $\|\chi\|_\gamma$ and $\|\chi\|^*_\gamma := (\|\chi\|^2 + \|D_\gamma\chi, D_\gamma^2\chi\|)^{1/2}$ are equivalent [2,5], by Theorem 1 we see that the predicted convergence orders for $\dot{e}_0 := \|\dot{u}(t) - U(t)\|_0$, $\dot{e}_0^M := \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \|\dot{u}(t_i) - U(t)\|_0$ are $O(h^2 + k)$, and the predicted convergence orders for $\dot{e}_\gamma := \|\dot{u}(t) - U(t)\|_\gamma$, $\dot{e}_\gamma^M := \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \|\dot{u}(t_i) - U(t)\|_\gamma$ are $O(h^{2-\gamma} + k)$.

For simplicity, we use the numerical scheme (6) to compute this problem with a uniform partition $(h_i = h, 0 \leq i \leq L - 1, h_0 = k, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1)$. Nevertheless, it is emphasised that the method is also effective for the variable step-size implementation.

Tables 1–4 show the error and numerical convergence order in the spatial direction with $k = 1.25 \times 10^{-6}$ for different $\gamma$ values.
Table 1. $\varepsilon_0$ and numerical convergence order in the spatial direction ($k = 1.25 \times 10^{-6}$).

| $h$  | $\gamma = 0.65$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.75$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.95$ | Order |
|------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 1/4  | $1.3881 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     | $1.3796 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     | $1.4203 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     |
| 1/8  | $3.8143 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.86  | $3.7117 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.89  | $4.1827 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.76  |
| 1/16 | $9.3676 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.03  | $9.3304 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.99  | $1.0920 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.94  |
| 1/32 | $2.2272 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.07  | $2.2916 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.03  | $2.6180 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.06  |
| 1/64 | $5.3710 \times 10^{-6}$ | 2.05  | $5.6699 \times 10^{-6}$ | 2.02  | $6.3325 \times 10^{-6}$ | 2.05  |
| 1/128| $1.3740 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.97  | $1.4689 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.95  | $1.5211 \times 10^{-6}$ | 2.06  |

Table 2. $\varepsilon_0^M$ and numerical convergence order in the spatial direction ($k = 1.25 \times 10^{-6}$).

| $h$  | $\gamma = 0.65$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.75$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.95$ | Order |
|------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 1/4  | $3.7363 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     | $3.7611 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     | $4.0312 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     |
| 1/8  | $9.4659 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.98  | $9.7741 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.94  | $1.0887 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.89  |
| 1/16 | $2.1899 \times 10^{-4}$ | 2.11  | $2.3402 \times 10^{-4}$ | 2.06  | $2.6899 \times 10^{-4}$ | 2.02  |
| 1/32 | $4.9662 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.14  | $5.4949 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.09  | $6.5558 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.04  |
| 1/64 | $1.1308 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.14  | $1.2864 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.09  | $1.5993 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.04  |
| 1/128| $2.6163 \times 10^{-6}$ | 2.11  | $3.0285 \times 10^{-6}$ | 2.09  | $3.9158 \times 10^{-6}$ | 2.03  |

Table 3. $\varepsilon_\gamma$ and numerical convergence order in the spatial direction ($k = 1.25 \times 10^{-6}$).

| $h$  | $\gamma = 0.65$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.75$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.95$ | Order |
|------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 1/4  | $5.0989 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     | $8.3037 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     | $1.7716 \times 10^{-2}$ | —     |
| 1/8  | $2.0236 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.33  | $3.6424 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.19  | $9.3555 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.92  |
| 1/16 | $7.6546 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.40  | $1.5061 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.27  | $4.5876 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.03  |
| 1/32 | $2.9152 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.39  | $6.2189 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.28  | $2.2180 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.05  |
| 1/64 | $1.1232 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.38  | $2.5844 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.27  | $1.0700 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.05  |
| 1/128| $4.3629 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.36  | $1.0795 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.26  | $5.1631 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.05  |

Table 4. $\varepsilon_\gamma^M$ and numerical convergence order in the spatial direction ($k = 1.25 \times 10^{-6}$).

| $h$  | $\gamma = 0.65$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.75$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.95$ | Order |
|------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 1/4  | $1.3868 \times 10^{-2}$ | —     | $2.2565 \times 10^{-2}$ | —     | $4.8146 \times 10^{-2}$ | —     |
| 1/8  | $5.5026 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.33  | $9.8990 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.19  | $2.5430 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.92  |
| 1/16 | $2.0811 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.40  | $4.0934 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.27  | $1.2470 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.03  |
| 1/32 | $7.9248 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.39  | $1.6904 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.28  | $6.0291 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.05  |
| 1/64 | $3.0532 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.38  | $7.0248 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.27  | $2.9087 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.05  |
| 1/128| $1.1860 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.36  | $2.9343 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.26  | $1.4035 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.05  |

Tables 5 and 6 show the error $\varepsilon_0$, $\varepsilon_0^M$ and numerical convergence order in the temporal direction with $h = 1/300$ for different $\gamma$ values. Then we compute the error $\varepsilon_\gamma$, $\varepsilon_\gamma^M$ and numerical convergence order in the temporal direction. To decrease the computational cost (avoid computing with a very small step-size $h$), we choose $h \approx k^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}$ ($h$ fixed, $k = 1/\text{Fix}(h^{\gamma-2})$, where $\text{Fix}$ is the Floor function, i.e., $\text{Fix}(x) := \max\{z \in \mathbb{Z} : z \leq x\}$). Tables 7–9 show these numerical results with different $\gamma$ values. It is clearly seen that these computational results verify the expected results in Theorem 1.
| $k$ | $\gamma = 0.65$ | $\gamma = 0.75$ | $\gamma = 0.95$ |
|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|     | $\varepsilon_0$ | Order         | $\varepsilon_0$ | Order         | $\varepsilon_0$ | Order         |
| 1/8 | $2.0429 \times 10^{-3}$ | —             | $2.3312 \times 10^{-3}$ | —             | $2.6366 \times 10^{-3}$ | —             |
| 1/16| $1.0627 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.94          | $1.2281 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.92          | $1.4312 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.88          |
| 1/32| $5.4190 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.97          | $6.3047 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.96          | $7.4870 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.93          |
| 1/64| $2.7368 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.99          | $3.1952 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.98          | $3.8336 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.97          |
| 1/128| $1.3759 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.99         | $1.6093 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.99          | $1.9404 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.98          |
| 1/256| $6.9042 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.99          | $8.0839 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.99          | $9.7631 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.99          |

| $k$ | $\gamma = 0.65$ | $\gamma = 0.75$ | $\gamma = 0.95$ |
|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|     | $\varepsilon_0^M$ | Order         | $\varepsilon_0^M$ | Order         | $\varepsilon_0^M$ | Order         |
| 1/8 | $2.0429 \times 10^{-3}$ | —             | $2.3312 \times 10^{-3}$ | —             | $2.6995 \times 10^{-3}$ | —             |
| 1/16| $1.0627 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.94          | $1.2281 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.92          | $1.4592 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.88          |
| 1/32| $5.4190 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.97          | $6.3047 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.96          | $7.6097 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.94          |
| 1/64| $2.7368 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.99          | $3.1952 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.98          | $3.8879 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.97          |
| 1/128| $1.3759 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.99          | $1.6093 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.99          | $1.9652 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.98          |
| 1/256| $6.9042 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.99          | $8.0839 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.99          | $9.8809 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.99          |

| $h$ | $k$ | $\gamma = 0.65$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.75$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.95$ | Order |
|-----|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1/40| 1/145| $2.2114 \times 10^{-4}$ | —     | $5.7832 \times 10^{-4}$ | —     | —     | —     |
| 1/50| 1/196| $1.6286 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.02  | $4.2617 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.01  | —     | —     |
| 1/80| 1/470| $8.5708 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.01  | $2.2443 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.01  | —     | —     |

| $h$ | $k$ | $\gamma = 0.75$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.95$ | Order |
|-----|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1/40| 1/100| $4.7272 \times 10^{-4}$ | —     | $1.2606 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     |
| 1/50| 1/132| $3.5648 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.02  | $9.5251 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.01  |
| 1/80| 1/239| $1.9703 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.00  | $5.2793 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.99  |
| 1/100| 1/316| $1.4879 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.01  | $3.9899 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.00  |
| 1/160| 1/569| $8.2450 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.00  | $2.2132 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.00  |
| 1/200| 1/752| $6.2320 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.00  | $1.6733 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.00  |

| $h$ | $k$ | $\gamma = 0.95$ | Order | $\gamma = 0.75$ | Order |
|-----|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1/40| 1/48| $1.7567 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     | $4.6701 \times 10^{-3}$ | —     |
| 1/50| 1/60| $1.3893 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.05  | $3.7087 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.03  |
| 1/80| 1/99| $8.4753 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.99  | $2.2772 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.97  |
| 1/100| 1/125| $6.7030 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.01  | $1.8048 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.00  |
| 1/160| 1/206| $4.0900 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.99  | $1.1047 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.98  |
| 1/200| 1/260| $3.2351 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.01  | $8.7467 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.00  |
6. Conclusions

In this paper we proposed a novel numerical scheme to solve the linear space RPDE problems of second order in time. We applied the linear finite element method to numerically discretize in both the spatial and temporal directions. The stability and the optimal a priori error estimates of the newly proposed scheme are proven. Extensive numerical experiments verified the theoretical results and demonstrated the promising features of the proposed methods. In future study, we shall apply the numerical method developed for solving several practically important inverse problems associated with fractional PDEs [25,26]; see also [27–32] for the related backgrounds of those inverse problems.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.L.; methodology, J.L. and H.L.; formal analysis, J.L. and H.L.; writing—original draft preparation, J.L.; writing—review and editing, J.L. and H.L.; supervision, H.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Hong Kong RGC general research funds (Project No. 12301420, 12302919 and 12301218), and the France-Hong Kong ANR/RGC Joint Research Grant, A-HKBU203/19.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Chaves, A.S. A fractional diffusion equation to describe Lévy flights. Phys. Lett. A 1998, 239, 13–16. [CrossRef]

2. Ervin, V.J.; Roop, J.P. Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation. Numer. Meth. Part Differ. Equ. 2006, 22, 558–576. [CrossRef]

3. Feng, L.B.; Zhuang, P.; Liu, F.; Turner, I.; Gu, Y.T. Finite element method for space-time fractional diffusion equation. Numer. Algorithms 2016, 72, 749–767. [CrossRef]

4. Lai, J.; Liu, F.; Anh, V.; Liu, Q. A space-time finite element method for solving linear Riesz space fractional partial differential equations. Numer. Algorithms 2021, 88, 499–520. [CrossRef]

5. Liu, Y.; Yan, Y.; Khan, M. Discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method for solving linear space fractional partial differential equations. Appl. Numer. Math. 2017, 115, 200–213. [CrossRef]

6. Zaslavsky, G. Chaos, fractional kinetics, and anomalous transport. Phys. Rep. 2002, 371, 461–580. [CrossRef]

7. Zhang, H.; Liu, F.; Anh, V. Galerkin finite element approximation of symmetric space-fractional partial differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 2010, 217, 2534–2545. [CrossRef]

8. Bu, W.; Liu, X.; Tang, Y.; Yang, J. Finite element multigrid method for multi-term time fractional advection diffusion equations. Int. J. Model. Simul. Sci. Comput. 2015, 6, 1540001. [CrossRef]

9. Lin, Z.; Wang, D. A finite element formulation preserving symmetric and banded diffusion stiffness matrix characteristics for fractional differential equations. Comput. Mech. 2018, 62, 185–211. [CrossRef]

10. Sousa, E. A second order explicit finite difference method for the fractional advection diffusion equation. Comput. Math. Appl. 2012, 64, 3141–3152. [CrossRef]

11. Bu, W.; Tang, Y.; Yang, J. Galerkin finite element method for two-dimensional Riesz space fractional diffusion equations. J. Comput. Phys. 2014, 276, 26–38. [CrossRef]

12. French, D.; Peterson, T.E. A continuous space-time finite element method for the wave equation. Math. Comput. 1996, 65, 491–506. [CrossRef]

13. Lai, J.; Huang, J. An adaptive linear time stepping algorithm for second-order linear evolution problems. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Mod. 2015, 12, 230–253.

14. Lai, J.; Huang, J.; Chen, C. Vibration analysis of plane elasticity problems by the C0-continuous time stepping finite element method. Appl. Numer. Math. 2009, 59, 905–919. [CrossRef]

15. Lai, J.; Huang, J.; Shi, Z. Vibration analysis for elastic multi-beam structures by the C0-continuous time-stepping finite element method. Int. J. Numer. Meth. Biomed. Eng. 2010, 26, 205–233. [CrossRef]

16. Baker, G.A. Error estimates for finite element methods for second order hyperbolic equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 1976, 13, 564–576. [CrossRef]

17. Thomée, V. Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems, 2nd ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2006.

18. Estep, D.; French, D. Global error control for the continuous Galerkin finite element method for ordinary differential equations. ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 1994, 28, 815–852. [CrossRef]
19. Podlubny, I. *Fractional Differential Equations*; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1999.
20. Samko, S.G.; Kilbas, A.A.; Marichev, O.I. *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*; Gordon and Breach: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1993; Volume 1.
21. Adams, R.A.; Fournier, J. *Sobolev Spaces*, 2nd ed.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 2003.
22. Lions, J.L.; Magenes, E.M. *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1972; Volumes I and II.
23. Bu, W.; Tang, Y.; Wu, Y.; Yang, J. Finite difference/finite element method for two-dimensional space and time fractional Bloch-Torrey equations. *J. Comput. Phys.* 2015, 293, 264–279. [CrossRef]
24. Stroud, A.H. *Numerical Quadrature and Solution of Ordinary Differential Equations*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1974.
25. Cao, X.; Lin, Y.H.; Liu, H. Simultaneously recovering potentials and embedded obstacles for anisotropic fractional Schrödinger operators. *Inverse Probl. Imaging* 2019, 19, 197–210. [CrossRef]
26. Cao, X.; Liu, H. Determining a fractional Helmholtz equation with unknown source and scattering potential. *Comm. Math. Sci.* 2017, 17, 1861–1876. [CrossRef]
27. Cao, X.; Diao, H.; Liu, H.; Zou, J. On nodal and generalized singular structures of Laplacian eigenfunctions and applications to inverse scattering problems. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 2020, 143, 116–161. [CrossRef]
28. Li, J.; Liu, H.; Wang, Y. Recovering an electromagnetic obstacle by a few phaseless backscattering measurements. *Inverse Probl.* 2017, 33, 035011. [CrossRef]
29. Liu, H. On local and global structures of transmission eigenfunctions and beyond. *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* 2020, doi:10.1515/jiip-2020-0099. [CrossRef]
30. Zhang, D.; Guo, Y.; Li, J.; Liu, H. Retrieval of acoustic sources from multi-frequency phaseless data. *Inverse Probl.* 2018, 34, 094001. [CrossRef]
31. Chow, Y.T.; Deng, Y.; He, Y.; Liu, H.; Wang, X. Surface-localized transmission eigenstates, super-resolution imaging, and pseudo surface plasmon modes. *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.* 2021, 14, 946–975. [CrossRef]
32. Blåsten, E.; Liu, H. Scattering by curvatures, radiationless sources, transmission eigenfunctions, and inverse scattering problems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 2021, 53, 3801–3837. [CrossRef]