Comparison of high resolution ultrasonography with clinical findings in patients with ankle pain
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Abstract

Aim: The aim of this study was to investigate the diagnostic accuracy of high resolution ultrasonography for the assessment of painful ankle joint as compared with the clinical findings. Material and Methods: A prospective study was conducted on 136 patients having history of ankle pain and referred to the Department of Radiodiagnosis and Imaging for ultrasonography. Statistical analysis: Comparison of ultrasonography findings and clinical findings was done using McNemar Test. Results: 136 patients with 218 pathologies of the ankle joint were analyzed. Of these, 178 pathologies were clinically suspected, but 206 were diagnosed with ultrasonography. This difference was statistically significant ($p$ value = 0.000). Conclusion: Ultrasonography is an excellent tool for evaluating patients with ankle pain, especially in cases of lateral ligament pathologies, tendinous pathologies, joint effusion, and miscellaneous pathologies. It can be used as the primary imaging investigation because it allows a rapid, dynamic, and cost-effective examination of the ankle joint. However, ultrasonography has limitations when using it to evaluate a suspected posterior talofibular ligament injury, marrow abnormalities, and deep seated pathologies, for which MRI should be incorporated for a diagnosis.

Introduction

The ankle joint is the articulation between the dome of the talus and the distal tibia and fibula\(^1\). The most common clinical presentation of patients with ankle pathology is pain and swelling, followed by pain alone\(^2\). The differential diagnosis includes trauma, inflammation, infection, and degeneration. Detailed history and careful clinical assessment are very important. Restriction in certain movements may serve as an indirect clue to the anatomical structure involved\(^3\).

Various clinical tests can be used to evaluate the integrity of ligaments and tendons\(^3\) (Tab. 1). Different diagnoses unfortunately tend to have overlapping clinical signs and symptoms. For this reason, referring clinicians tend to rely on imaging\(^3\).

Plain radiographs are the first-line tool in imaging technology, but visualizing soft tissue pathologies is not very clear and may require ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging\(^4\).

Ultrasonography (US) has many benefits for evaluating ankle pain, especially the superficial structures including tendons, ligaments, and nerves. Stress US helps to differentiate partial from complete ligament tears\(^3,5\).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has emerged as a good modality for the diagnosis of musculoskeletal diseases of the ankle and foot, especially the deeper structures. However, it is relatively more time-consuming and less cost-effective, compared with US\(^6,7\).

Normal tendo-ligamentous anatomy

The normal tendo-ligamentous anatomy of the ankle joint is described in Tab. 2.
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| Name of the test          | Technique                                                                 | Interpretation                                                                 | Ligament/tendon evaluated                  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Anterior drawer test     | An examiner uses one hand to hold the patient’s heel and the opposite hand to stabilize the anterior portion of the distal tibia and fibula. The examiner then uses the hand on the heel to pull the foot anteriorly. | The test is abnormal when translation on the affected side is 3–5 mm greater than that on the normal side. | Anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL)        |
| The inversion stress test| The examiner uses one hand to cup the heel of the affected foot and the opposite hand to stabilize the anterior portion of the distal tibia and fibula. The ATFL is evaluated by maximally plantarflexing the ankle and then inverting the rear foot; laxity and pain should occur. The calcaneofibular ligament is evaluated by maximally dorsiflexing the foot and then inverting the rear foot. | The test is abnormal when inversion on the affected side is 10–15 degrees greater than that of the opposite side. | Lateral ligaments complex                   |
| The Thompson test         | If the foot does not plantarflex, rupture of the Achilles tendon must be considered. | Jeśli stopa nie jest w zgięciu podeszwowym, należy podejrzewać zerwanie ścięgna Achillesa. | Complete rupture of the Achilles tendon    |

Tab. 1. Various clinical tests with techniques to assess the integrity of ligaments and tendons of the ankle joint

![US image of a 27-year-old male patient with a history of road side accident 3 days back shows thickened anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) in the neutral position (arrow in A). Stress maneuver shows thickened ATFL (green arrow in B) with non-visualization of fibers near its fibular end (red arrow); suggestive of grade III tear. Surrounding free fluid is also seen, appearing as anechoic area (marked with an asterisk).](image-url)
Normal US appearance of tendons and ligaments

Tendons show fascicular pattern as echogenic lines on long axis images and dot pattern on short axis images. Ligaments show similar appearance on ultrasonography, but are less echogenic than tendons. Ligaments and tendons both exhibit anisotropy on ultrasound, which may simulate pathology.\(^8\)

Appearance of common ankle pathologies on US

**Ligament pathology**

Ultrasound of an acute ligament sprain may show thickening of the ligament with diffuse hypoechoegenicity and surrounding fluid. A tear may appear as a hypoechoic or anechoic area interrupting the ligament fibers, and ex-
tends across the ligament in a full-thickness complete tear (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). As the ligament heals, the fluid disappears but the ligament may remain thickened and laxity may be demonstrated on dynamic imaging(6,9).

**Tendon pathologies**

**Tendinosis**

Ultrasound of tendinosis typically shows loss of the normal fibrillar structure with increased spacing of the hyperechoic fibrillar lines. The tendon appears thick and hypoechoic. Calcific tendinosis presents as a thickened tendon with diffuse or scattered echogenic foci showing distal acoustic shadowing (Fig. 3).

**Tenosynovitis**

Acute tenosynovitis appears as anechoic fluid in the tendon sheath and can occur with or without tendinitis (Fig. 4).

**Tendon tears**

Full-thickness tears are diagnosed as a gap in a tendon that is filled with hematoma in the acute setting and with scar or granulation tissue in chronic cases. Retraction of the proximal and distal ends can be visualized in a complete tear. Partial tears appear as an incomplete disruption of the fibrillar echotexture of the tendon (Fig. 5, Fig. 6).

**Joint effusion**

A small amount of fluid may accumulate at the talar neck, without any significant effusion in the anterior joint recess. However mild to moderate joint fluid may displace the hyperechoic anterior fat pad in the anterior joint recess. (Fig. 7).

**Material and methods**

Included in the study were 136 patients with a history of ankle pain. All were subjected to detailed clinical history and local examination.

**Patient inclusion criteria**

Patients of all age groups and of either sex who presented with ankle pain were included in the study.

**Patient exclusion criteria**

Patients with known or diagnosed fracture/ degenerative changes on plain radiographs of the ankle were excluded.

---

**Fig. 4.** US image of a 33-year-old female patient with a history of lateral ankle pain for the last 16 days shows thickened and hypoechoic tendon sheath around the peroneus longus and brevis tendons (red and yellow arrows in A). Another female patient aged 48 years presented with a history of medial ankle pain. US shows tendon sheath thickening of the tibialis posterior tendon (green arrow in B and yellow arrow in C) with increased vascularity on color Doppler imaging (B), suggestive of tenosynovitis. Asterisk presents free fluid (B, D). Point tenderness was present in both cases.

**Fig. 5.** A 34-year-old male patient presented with a history of sudden acute pain in the posterior aspect of the lower leg while running, 17 days back. US image in the sagittal plane shows thickened and hypoechoic Achilles tendon (arrow in A). A tiny echopoor area is observed in the axial plane (arrow in B), consistent with type 1 tear.

---

| Pathology                  | Males | Females | Total |
|----------------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Achilles tendon tear       | 38    | 10      | 48    |
| Ligament injury            | 38    | 16      | 54    |
| Tenosynovitis              | 12    | 28      | 40    |
| Achilles tendon calcification | 8     | 6       | 14    |
| Joint effusion             | 18    | 22      | 40    |
| Miscellaneous pathologies  | 14    | 8       | 22    |

**Tab. 3.** Sex-wise incidence of ankle pathologies diagnosed in the study
| Type of pathology (number) | Positive on us (%) | Positive on clinical tests (%) | P value |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Achilles tendon tear (48) | 48 (100.0)         | 44 (91.7)                     | 0.125   |
| • Type I (18)             | 18                 | 4                             |         |
| • Type II (14)            | 14                 | 14                            |         |
| • Type III (10)           | 10                 | 10                            |         |
| • Type IV (6)             | 6                  | 6                             |         |
| Ligament injury (54)      | 44 (81.5)          | 46 (85.2)                     | 0.815   |
| • ATFL injury (36)        |                    |                               |         |
| GRADE II                  | 20                 | 14                            |         |
| GRADE III                 | 16                 | 14                            |         |
| • CFL injury (10)         | 0                  |                               |         |
| 1. Grade II (8)           | 6                  | 8                             |         |
| 2. Grade III (2)          | 2                  | 2                             |         |
| • PTFL injury (8)         | 0                  | 8                             |         |
| Tenosynovitis (40)        | 38 (95.0)          | 38 (95.0)                     | 1.00    |
| • Tibialis posterior (16) | 14                 | 16                            |         |
| • Peroneus longus (12)    | 12                 | 12                            |         |
| • Peroneus brevis (10)    | 10                 | 10                            |         |
| • Extensor hallucis longus (2) | 2              | 0                             |         |
| Achilles tendon calcification (14) | 14 (100.0) | 8 (57.1)                     | 0.031   |
| Joint effusion (40)       | 40 (100.0)         | 26 (65.0)                     | 0.000   |
| Miscellaneous pathologies (22) | 22 (100.0)     | 16 (72.7.0)                   | 0.031   |
| • Hemangioma (8)          | 8                  | 6                             |         |
| • Foreign body abscess (6) | 6                  | 4                             |         |
| • Granulomatous lesion (4) | 4                  | 2                             |         |
| • Soft tissue mass (4)    | 4                  | 4                             |         |
| Total (218)               | 206 (94.0)         | 178 (82.0)                    | 0.000   |

Tab. 4. Comparison of ultrasonography and clinical findings in ankle pathologies in the study
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Statistical analysis

Data collected were analyzed using SPSS software version 22 and the valid conclusions were drawn. McNemar test was used to compare the ultrasonography and clinical findings in ankle pathologies. p<0.05 and p<0.01 were considered to be significant and highly significant, respectively.

Sonography technique

Ultrasonography was performed using the Voluson E8 Expert BT09 scanner (Wipro GE Healthcare, Bengaluru, India) with SP10-16-D wide-band linear transducer with the frequency of 7–18 MHz. Ultrasonography of the ankle was performed, using the European Society of Musculoskeletal Radiology (ESSR) guidelines.

Results

All the patients were evaluated for age, sex distribution, and clinical presentation. Two hundred and eighteen pathologies were diagnosed. Of these, 178 pathologies were clinically suspected, but 206 were diagnosed with ultrasonography.

Most of the patients were in the age group of 16–30 years (42.64%) with the range from 15–70 years. With regard to pathology, tenosynovitis and joint effusion were more common in female patients than in male patients, and there was a male preponderance for Achilles tendon and ligamentous pathologies (Tab. 3). Right ankle involvement was more common than the left side in both sexes. Approximately 55% of patients presented with an acute history, followed by chronic symptoms in 29%, and subacute symptoms in the remaining patients. With regard to clinical features, all patients presented with pain, followed by swelling (55% of patients) and movement restriction (34% of patients). Point tenderness could be elicited in 70% of patients, with trauma being the cause of symptoms in 48% of patients.

Cases of Achilles tendon tear were clinically suspected in all patients with a US diagnosis of a full-thickness tear. However, out of 18 patients for whom US revealed a partial-thickness tear, only 14 were clinically suspected. For cases of Achilles tendon calcification, joint effusion, and miscellaneous pathologies of the ankle joint, US diagnosed more pathologies as compared with the clinical examination (Tab. 4).

Thirty-eight patients with clinical suspicion of tenosynovitis were diagnosed with US. However, it was not possible to diagnose tenosynovitis on US in 2 patients who were clinically suspected.

Thirty-eight patients were clinically suspected of having a lateral ligament injury. US detected injury in all these patients, and also diagnosed 6 more patients for whom a clinical suspicion was absent. In cases of anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) injury, 4 patients were clinically diagnosed with grade II injury. However, by conducting the ultrasound anterior drawer test, the injury was upgraded to grade III. Eight patients with positive posterior drawer test results were sent for US. However, posterior talofibular ligament (PTFL) pathology could not be found in these patients.

Fig. 6. A 19-year-old male patient presented with a history of injury with glass in the lower leg posteriorly 3 days back. US image showing thickened, hypoechoic and retracted Achilles tendon (elbow arrow in A). Anechoic fluid is seen in gap (asterisk in A); suggestive of type 2 tear. Another 28-year-old male patient presented with a history of injury with some sharp object in the lower leg posteriorly 7 days back. US image showing thickened, hypoechoic and retracted Achilles tendon with echogenic Kager’s fat pad seen herniating into the gap (arrow in B, C); suggestive of type 3 tear.

Fig. 7. US images in 2 different patients show anechoic fluid collections in the anterior recess of the tibiotalar joint (yellow arrows in A and B). The echogenic fat pad is displaced anteriorly (elbow arrow in B).
Discussion

In our study, 64.9% of patients were in the young age group. Similar results were reported in studies by Rubin et al. and Pugia et al. in which most patients were in the young age group, with the mean age varying from 30 to 40 years\(^\text{[10,11]}\).

Male patients outnumbered female patients in our study. A male predominance was similarly reported in studies by Pugia et al.\(^\text{[11]}\).

No time interval was specified for the inclusion of the participants in our study. Most (62.8%) patients underwent a radiological workup within 3 months of the onset of symptoms (40.0% within 1 month and 22.8% within 1–3 months), whereas 37.2% of patients presented with chronic ankle pain (>3 months). All patients in our study presented with ankle pain. The most common associated symptom was ankle swelling, which was observed in 65.8% of the patients. This finding is in close agreement with the study conducted by Carretta et al. in which 56.7% of their patients underwent imaging because of acute pain and swelling, and 43.3% due to chronic symptoms\(^\text{[12]}\).

In our study, the patients with tendon abnormalities as the cause of ankle pain outnumbered the patients with other abnormalities, and similar incidence was described in a study by Artul et al.\(^\text{[13]}\).

In our study, we found that Achilles tendon tear was the most common tendon injury, and similar findings were described by Ibrahim et al.\(^\text{[14]}\).

In our study, clinical tests were positive in approximately 92% of the cases with Achilles tendon tear. Kauwe et al. also stated that physical examination has high sensitivity and specificity for Achilles tendon tear\(^\text{[15]}\).

Ultrasoundography was also able to detect 2 additional patients with Achilles tendon tear for whom clinical suspicion was absent. Moreover, differentiating a full-thickness tear from a partial-thickness tear was possible with ultrasound. Kayser et al. in his study was also able to use ultrasonography for differentiating full-thickness tears from partial-thickness tears or tendinosis of the Achilles tendon with 92% accuracy\(^\text{[16]}\).

Of 12 patients with partial-thickness tear of the Achilles tendon, US was possible to detect 4 of these patients by taking advantage of the dynamic nature of US. In these patients, dorsiflexion of the foot demonstrated a partial-thickness tear, which was initially mistaken for tendinosis of the Achilles tendon clinically. Kayser et al. demonstrated a partial break in the tendon continuity by using forced dynamic ultrasound assessment\(^\text{[16]}\).

Ultrasonography in our study was also able to characterize the type of Achilles tendon tear according to the clinical classification, which is a guide for deriving a graded and differentiated therapy from a broad spectrum of treatments\(^\text{[17]}\).

In our study, 14 patients had calcification in the Achilles tendon, based on US findings. Taki et al. also suggested that US could perform well in depicting calcifications\(^\text{[18]}\).

Tenosynovitis was more common in female individuals than in male individuals in our study. These findings were similar to those of El-Liethy and Kamal\(^\text{[19]}\). In 2 patients who were not suspected of having tenosynovitis it was possible to detect it on US. Similar results were shown by Pereira et al. They found high concordance between the clinical and US findings in almost all patients with ankle tenosynovitis\(^\text{[20]}\). In addition, color Doppler demonstrated increased vascularity in patients that had been diagnosed with tenosynovitis on US, which was stated by Park et al.\(^\text{[21]}\).

El-Liethy and Kamaland Grassi et al. also concluded that, compared with MRI, US has 100% sensitivity for tendon pathologies and no further investigation is required\(^\text{[19,22]}\).

In our study, ATFL injuries outnumbered other ligamentous injuries. Rosenberg et al. also mentioned that ATFL is

---

**Fig. 8.** A. US image in the longitudinal plane demonstrating an echogenic subcutaneous structure (yellow arrow) surrounded by a hypoechoic collection (elbow arrow). B, C. Color Doppler images in the longitudinal and transverse planes show increased local vascularity; suggestive of foreign body with surrounding granulomatous tissue. Follow-up revealed a wooden foreign body.
the most commonly used ligament in the ankle because it is the weakest ankle ligament\(^{(23)}\). Ultrasonography also made it possible to diagnose additional patients with an ATFL injury in whom clinical suspicion was absent. Croy et al. indicated that clinical examination has low reliability and diagnostic accuracy in determining ligament injuries of the ankle, especially partial-thickness tears\(^{(24)}\).

In our study, differentiating between grades of injury was also possible with US, with similar results shown by Cheng et al. and Radwan et al.\(^{(25,26)}\).

In our study, the ATFL injury grade was changed from II to III in 4 patients by performing the US anterior drawer test. Sconfienza et al. stated that dynamic maneuvers may be used to increase the diagnostic accuracy of detecting tears and to differentiate a partial tear from a complete tear\(^{(27)}\).

In our study, US was not helpful in detecting the clinically suspected PTFL pathology in any patient. Park et al. have mentioned that PTFL is difficult to visualize on US\(^{(21)}\).

There was no patient with syndesmotic injury or medial ligament injury in our study. This finding may be attributed to the small sample size and also to the fact that these are far less common than lateral ligament injuries\(^{(28)}\).

Chiang et al. demonstrated that the use of ultrasound is limited in detecting bony pathologies\(^{(29)}\). For these cases, MRI remains the gold standard\(^{(3)}\).

In our study, US was able to detect tendo-ligamentous pathologies, as well as miscellaneous pathologies, such as hemangiomas, foreign body abscesses, granulomatous lesions, and soft tissue masses (Fig. 8).

**Conclusion**

Ultrasonography is an excellent tool for evaluating patients with ankle pain, especially in cases of lateral ligament pathologies, tendinous pathologies, joint effusion, and miscellaneous pathologies. It can be used as the primary imaging investigation because it allows a rapid, dynamic, and cost-effective examination of the ankle joint. However, ultrasonography has limitations when using it to evaluate a suspected PTFL injury, narrow abnormalities, and deep seated pathologies, for which MRI should be incorporated for a diagnosis.
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