Self-sperm induce resistance to the detrimental effects of sexual encounters with males in hermaphroditic nematodes
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Abstract

Sexual interactions have a potent influence on health in several species, including mammals. Previous work in C. elegans identified strategies used by males to accelerate the demise of the opposite sex (hermaphrodites). But whether hermaphrodites evolved counter-strategies against males remains unknown. Here we discover that young C. elegans hermaphrodites are remarkably resistant to brief sexual encounters with males, whereas older hermaphrodites succumb prematurely. Surprisingly, it is not their youthfulness that protects young hermaphrodites, but the fact that they have self-sperm. The beneficial effect of self-sperm is mediated by a sperm-sensing pathway acting on the soma rather than by fertilization. Activation of this pathway in females triggers protection from the negative impact of males. Interestingly, the role of self-sperm in protecting against the detrimental effects of males evolved independently in hermaphroditic nematodes. Endogenous strategies to delay the negative effect of mating may represent a key evolutionary innovation to maximize reproductive success.

Introduction

Animals interact with each other in complex ways that can affect their health, including sexual, cooperative, and competitive interactions. Sexual interactions drastically impact an individual’s health and behavior. In Drosophila and C. elegans, sexual interactions are detrimental to health and shorten the lifespan of both sexes (Aprotein and Ruvinsky, 2016; Chapman et al., 1995; Fowler and Partridge, 1989; Gems and Riddle, 1996; Gendron et al., 2014; Harvanek et al., 2017; Maures et al., 2014; Partridge and Farquhar, 1981; Promislow, 2003; Promislow, 1992; Shi and Murphy, 2014; Shi et al., 2017; Ting et al., 2014; Van Voorhies, 1992; Woodruff et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2012). In mammals, mates induce neurological, developmental, and behavioral changes in the opposite sex and can negatively impact health (Gao et al., 2017; Garratt et al., 2016; Aloise King et al., 2013; Stowers and Kuo, 2015). For example, the presence of males can increase female body weight (Garratt et al., 2016) and accelerate puberty (Flanagan et al., 2011; Vandenbergh, 1969). Identification of specific strategies involved in responding to the effects of sexual encounters could help to improve our understanding of how the sexes interact with each other, how sexual pressures have shaped species over evolutionary time, and how these mechanisms could be harnessed to improve health.

C. elegans is particularly well suited to the study of the effect of sexual interactions on lifespan. Males shorten the lifespan of the C. elegans hermaphrodite through a phenomenon called male-induced demise (Gems and Riddle, 1996; Maures et al., 2014; Shi and Murphy, 2014). Previous studies showed that males shorten hermaphrodite lifespan by several means (e.g. sperm, seminal...
fluid, and pheromones), and identified some of the hermaphrodite genes that mediate male-induced demise (e.g. \textit{utx-1}, \textit{ins-11}, \textit{daf-16}) (Maures et al., 2014; Shi and Murphy, 2014). However, whether hermaphrodites evolved natural defense mechanisms to protect themselves after a sexual encounter with a male remained unknown.

Although mating is beneficial for the survival of a species it can also harm an individual animal. Previous studies have shown that mating with male worms can accelerate aging of hermaphrodite worms and cause premature death. However, it remained unclear whether hermaphrodite worms have evolved any mechanisms to protect themselves after mating with a male.

To address this question, Booth et al. used genetic techniques to study the lifespans of hermaphrodite worms. The experiments found that the hermaphrodites’ own sperm (known as self-sperm) regulated a sperm-sensing signaling pathway that protected them from the negative impact of mating with males. Hermaphrodites with self-sperm that mated with males lived for a similar length of time as hermaphrodites that did not mate. On the other hand, hermaphrodites that did not have self-sperm (because they were older or had a genetic mutation) had shorter lifespans after mating than worms that did not mate. Modulating the sperm-sensing signaling pathway in worms that lacked self-sperm was sufficient to protect them from the negative effects of mating with males.

Further experiments found that the hermaphrodites of another nematode worm called \textit{C. briggsae} – which evolved self-sperm independently of \textit{C. elegans} – also protected themselves from the negative effects of mating with males in a similar way. This suggests that other animals may also have evolved similar mechanisms to protect themselves from harm when mating.

A separate study by Shi et al. has found that the beneficial effects of self-sperm are mediated by a pathway linked to longevity that also exists in mammals. The results of both investigations combined suggest possible avenues for future research into the complex relationship between health, longevity, and reproduction.
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Results

Young hermaphrodites are protected from demise induced by a brief mating with males

Previous studies were done with long encounters between young \textit{C. elegans} hermaphrodites and males (Gems and Riddle, 1996; Maures et al., 2014; Shi and Murphy, 2014), which does not reflect the situation in nature where males are rare (Barrière and Félix, 2005). We thus asked if varying the length of sexual interactions, as well as the age of males and hermaphrodites, could reveal natural strategies that evolved to mitigate the negative impact of sexual encounters on health...
Figure 1. The length of sexual encounters and age of the sexual partners influences the detrimental effect of males on hermaphrodite lifespan. (A) Scheme describing the lengths of sexual interactions between C. elegans males and hermaphrodites and the ages of the sexual partners used in this study. Young was defined as the first day of adulthood (day 3 of life), and middle-aged as the 5th day of adulthood (day 7 of life). (B) Long and frequent sexual interactions with young males (blue dashed line) reduced hermaphrodite lifespan (p<0.0001 vs. no males). Long and frequent sexual interactions with middle-aged males (purple dashed line) also reduced hermaphrodite lifespan compared to hermaphrodites without males (p<0.0001), but middle-aged males shortened hermaphrodite lifespan less than young males (p=0.0003). (C-D) Hermaphrodites that interacted with males for 24 hr when young from day 3 to 4 of life (C, red dashed line) or middle-aged from day 6 to 7 of life (D, orange dashed line) lived shorter than hermaphrodites that never interact with males (p=0.029 and p<0.0001, respectively). Hermaphrodites that mated within a brief (2 hr) interaction with males when young on day 3 of life (C, lime green dashed line) did not have a shortened lifespan (n.s. vs. no males) but hermaphrodites that mated within a brief period (2 hr) when middle-aged on day 7 of life (D, green dashed line) did have a significantly shortened lifespan (p<0.0001 vs. no males). (E) To control for the mating efficiency differences between ages and genotypes (see Supplementary file 3), we measured the lifespans of only C. elegans individuals that had successfully mated by identifying and isolating hermaphrodites that have fluorescent male sperm (represented by the red tilde in the scheme) from Figure 1 continued on next page
those that are unmated and lack fluorescent male sperm using either hand picking or a large particle COPAS large particle biosorter. The presence of fluorescent male sperm is indicative of fertilization (Figure 1—figure supplement 1B, C), though this was not specifically measured for the lifespan assays. Hermaphrodites that received male sperm following two hours with males were compared to hermaphrodites that never interacted with males but that were hand-picked or run through the COPAS large particle biosorter. (F-G) Using a different method of isolating mated hermaphrodites, we also found that young hermaphrodites (F) were resistant to mating-induced demise if they received male sperm and seminal fluid during a brief, 2 hr interaction with males (p<0.0001 vs. no males). In panels C and D, hermaphrodites that received fluorescent male sperm were isolated by hand and the males were him-5(e1467) mutants. In panels F and G, males were him-8(e1489) mutants with a male-specific GFP reporter (Ppkd-2::GFP) and hermaphrodites with fluorescent male sperm were isolated with the COPAS large particle biosorter. For each condition, 67–114 animals were used to quantify lifespan. Lifespan data are plotted as Kaplan-Meier survival curves and p-values were determined using Mantel-Cox log ranking. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, n.s. = not significant. See also Supplementary file 2 for extended statistics and replicates.
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The following figure supplement is available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Effect of long-term exposure to young males and development of the sperm tracking method.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46418.003

(Figure 1A). As previously shown (Gems and Riddle, 1996; Maures et al., 2014; Shi and Murphy, 2014), long and frequent sexual interactions with young males shortened hermaphrodite lifespan (Figure 1B and Figure 1—figure supplement 1A). Middle-aged males were less able to induce premature demise of the opposite sex than young males (Figure 1B), probably because of their decreased ability to efficiently mate (Guo et al., 2012) (Supplementary file 3).

To better mimic the natural situation—where sexual interactions are infrequent due to the rarity of males—and isolate the mating-specific aspect of sexual interactions, we tested how a brief (two-hour) mating with young males impacts young and middle-aged hermaphrodites (Figure 1C,D). Because the chance of a sexual interaction is relatively low in the brief two-hour exposure and can differ depending on the age of the hermaphrodite (Supplementary file 3; Garcia et al., 2007; Leighton et al., 2014), we specifically measured the lifespans of hermaphrodites that mated using a fluorescent sperm tracking method to isolate hermaphrodites that received male sperm (Figure 1E and Figure 1—figure supplement 1B–F) (Stanfield and Villeneuve, 2006). Interestingly, while a brief encounter with males induced the premature death of middle-aged hermaphrodites, it did not affect the lifespan of young hermaphrodites (Figure 1C,D,F and G). Young hermaphrodites were remarkably resistant to the negative impact of males, even though they successfully mated (Figure 1C,F). We confirmed this observation using two different male strains and two methods of isolating mated hermaphrodites (Figure 1C,D,F and G). Thus, shortening the length of sexual interactions, which is more similar to the situation in nature, reveals that young hermaphrodites can defend themselves against the lifespan-shortening effect of mating with males.

The presence of self-sperm, not youthfulness, is necessary for the protection of hermaphrodites from the negative impact of mating with males

Young and middle-aged hermaphrodites differ not only by their ages, but also in their reproductive status. Young hermaphrodites are self-fertile, due to the presence of both oocytes and self-sperm. In contrast, middle-aged hermaphrodites are no longer self-fertile, because they have exhausted their self-sperm, though they can still reproduce if their oocytes are fertilized by males (Riddle et al., 1997). To disentangle age and reproductive status, we compared the lifespan of young hermaphrodites to that of young ‘feminized’ individuals (which have oocytes, but no self-sperm; Ellis and Schedl, 2007) after a brief encounter with males. Surprisingly, young feminized individuals ( fem-1[hc17] or fog-2[q71]; Doniach and Hodgkin, 1984; Schedl and Kimble, 1988) were sensitive to mating-induced death and exhibited premature death and deterioration (Figure 2A–D and Figure 2—figure supplement 1A,B). Although mating efficiency can vary between C. elegans mutants and individuals of different ages (Supplementary file 3; Garcia et al., 2007; Leighton et al., 2014; Morsci et al., 2011), we specifically measured the lifespan of only the hermaphrodites that mated (i.e. received male sperm) (Figure 1E). Thus, mating efficiency differences are unlikely to contribute to the sensitivity of feminized individuals to males. Consistent with the observation that the presence
Figure 2. The presence of self-sperm is necessary for the resistance of young hermaphrodites to a brief encounter with males. (A-B) Young, self-fertile, wild-type hermaphrodites with self-sperm (A, day 3 of life) that received male sperm after a brief interaction with males had a normal lifespan (n.s. vs. no males) whereas middle-aged, wild-type hermaphrodites that are self-sperm depleted (B, day 7 of life) had a shortened lifespan (p=0.0013 vs. no males). Images above the lifespan curves in panels A-D show the state of the germline with oocytes in pink and self-sperm in blue. (C-D) The lifespan of feminized C. elegans that lack self-sperm at all ages (fem-1[hc17]) was reduced if they received male sperm during a brief, 2 hr interaction with males either when young (C, day 3 of life, p<0.0001 vs. no males) or middle-aged (D, day 7 of life, p<0.0001 vs. no males). Images above the lifespan curves in panels A-D show the state of the germline with oocytes in pink and self-sperm in blue. (E-F) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the normalized read counts from the entire transcriptomes of hermaphrodite (E) and feminized (F) C. elegans that never interacted with males and that received male sperm during a two-hour interaction with males. (G-H) Heatmaps of the normalized read counts for the differentially expressed genes that comprise select GO terms that were enriched when comparing young hermaphrodite and young feminized (fem-1) individuals without male interaction. Figure 2 continued on next page
of self-sperm is necessary to live a normal lifespan even after a brief encounter with males, young individuals that lack self-sperm and oocytes (glp-1[e2144]; Priess et al., 1987) also lived shorter after a brief encounter with males (Figure 2—figure supplement 1C,D), even though glp-1 mutants are normally long-lived (Antebi, 2013; Arantes-Oliveira et al., 2002; Hansen et al., 2013; Keith and Ghazi, 2015; Wang et al., 2008). Together, these results indicate that a major factor in protecting an individual from the detrimental influence of males is not youthfulness, but the presence of self-sperm.

To further investigate the importance of self-sperm in the resistance of young hermaphrodites to the negative impact of mating with males, we performed RNA-seq on young or middle-aged hermaphrodites or feminized individuals that were never exposed to males (no males) or had a brief encounter with males (+male sperm) (Figure 2—figure supplement 2A). Principal Component Analysis (PCA) revealed that, as expected (Angeles-Albores et al., 2017), the transcriptomes of hermaphrodites and feminized individuals that were never exposed to males separated based on fertility status and, to some extent, age (Figure 2E,F and Figure 2—figure supplement 2B). Interestingly, the first principal component separated the transcriptomes of hermaphrodites that have a shortened lifespan (middle-aged upon mating) from those of hermaphrodites that live a normal lifespan (young upon mating, young and middle-aged without mating) (Figure 2E). Consistently, the first principal component also separated the transcriptomes of feminized individuals that have a shortened lifespan (young and middle-aged upon mating) from those of feminized individuals that live a normal lifespan (young and middle-aged without mating) (Figure 2F). This observation confirms the importance of self-sperm in the protection from males. GO terms linked with individuals that are resistant (e.g. young hermaphrodites) or sensitive to mating-induced death (e.g. young feminized) included translation, lipid metabolism, the innate immune response, and protein homeostasis (proteostasis) (Figure 2G–I, Figure 2—figure supplement 2C, and Figure 2—source data 1 and 2), suggesting these conserved homeostatic pathways could be responsible for the lifespan differences in the response to males. Indeed, many of these pathways are linked with longevity (Kaeberlein et al., 2015; Kenyon, 2010; López-Otín et al., 2013; Riera et al., 2016; Shore and Ruvkun, 2013). Collectively, these data indicate that the presence of self-sperm is necessary to protect the soma against deterioration due to brief encounters with males, perhaps by regulating homeostasis pathways.
The presence of self-sperm is sufficient to protect from the detrimental effects on lifespan of a brief mating with males

Self-sperm play a key role in germline quality assurance in *C. elegans* by triggering the clearance of carbonylated and aggregated proteins from the germline (Bohnert and Kenyon, 2017; Goudeau and Agulianiu, 2010). However, whether self-sperm could harness this potential to protect the soma is not known. To determine if the presence of self-sperm is sufficient to protect hermaphrodites, we examined the response of individuals with a masculinized germline (which have a female soma and self-sperm, but no oocytes), fem-3(q20) (Ahringer and Kimble, 1991; Barton et al., 1987; Ellis and Schedl, 2007). Masculinized individuals that only have self-sperm were protected from premature death induced by mating with males not only when young, but even at an older age when hermaphrodites normally become sensitive to an encounter with males (Figure 3A–D, and Figure 3—figure supplement 1A,B). These data suggest that the presence of self-sperm is sufficient to protect from the detrimental effects on lifespan of a brief mating with males.

We next asked whether self-sperm could act via their ability to self-fertilize hermaphrodites. Interestingly, hermaphrodite mutants that are defective in self-fertilization despite maintaining self-sperm (spe-9[hc88], Ellis and Stanfield, 2014b; Singson et al., 1998) were still protected from early death induced by mating with males when young (Figure 3E,F). In contrast, hermaphrodites that are defective in self-sperm maturation (due to loss of the SPE-44 transcription factor; Kasimatis et al., 2018; Kulkarni et al., 2012) were no longer protected from the lifespan shortening effects of mating with males when young (Figure 3G and Figure 3—figure supplement 1C,D). Thus, the presence of mature self-sperm, but not self-fertilization, is sufficient for the protection against mating-induced demise.

Self-sperm protect hermaphrodites by triggering a sperm-sensing pathway that normally affects the germline to protect the soma

We next explored the mechanisms by which self-sperm protect individuals. Self-sperm could protect individuals independently of fertilization, by acting via sperm-sensing pathways. In *C. elegans*, sperm proteins are known to be sensed by the somatic gonad and to signal to the germline and somatic gonad by repressing the homeodomain transcription factor CEH-18 and the Ephrin receptor VAB-1 (Figure 4A and Greenstein et al., 1994; Miller, 2001; Miller, 2003). Hence, the loss of CEH-18 and VAB-1 can mimic the presence of self-sperm (Miller, 2003). Deficiency in CEH-18 and VAB-1 was sufficient to protect middle-aged hermaphrodites that have depleted their self-sperm from the negative effects of mating with males (Figure 4D,E). Interestingly, deficiency in CEH-18 and VAB-1 in young feminized individuals made them resistant to brief encounter with males (Figure 4B,C), indicating that this conserved pathway could be sufficient to protect females against the negative impact of males.

To understand how this pathway might work to protect the soma, we examined the potential links between the transcription factor CEH-18 and the genes differentially expressed between hermaphrodites and feminized individuals that we identified by RNA-seq. ceh-18 mRNA levels were not significantly affected by the presence of self-sperm or mating with a male (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A). In contrast, several genes that interact genetically or physically with CEH-18, including the chromatin modifiers set-33 and jmjd-3.2, were differentially expressed between young hermaphrodites and feminized individuals that have successfully mated with males (Figure 4F and Figure 4—figure supplement 1B). These observations suggest that differences in chromatin state between young hermaphrodites and feminized individuals may contribute to the transcriptional effect of the CEH-18 sperm-sensing transcription factor in the resistance and sensitivity to mating-induced death. A subset of the genes regulated by the presence of self-sperm or mating with a male contained a CEH-18 binding site in their regulatory regions (Figure 4—source data 1), though the CEH-18 motif and binding peaks (Kudron et al., 2018; Narasimhan et al., 2015) were not significantly enriched in these genes (perhaps due to cell heterogeneity (Cao et al., 2017), see Material and methods). We asked if the subset of genes that are differentially expressed between young hermaphrodites and young feminized individuals and contain a CEH-18 binding site were enriched for specific biological features (Figure 4—source data 1 and 2). Interestingly, GO term enrichment of these genes revealed several terms linked with longevity, including ‘Determination of adult lifespan’...
Figure 3. The presence of self-sperm is sufficient for the resistance to mating-induced demise. (A-D) Hermaphrodites with a masculinized germline (fem-3[q20]) that have self-sperm when young (C, day 3 of life) and middle-aged (D, day 6 of life), were resistant to a brief, 2 hr interaction with males and lived a normal lifespan. As a control, wild-type hermaphrodites (A and B) were also tested and showed the expected resistance to a brief interaction with males for 2 hrs (+ male sperm) (25°C).
interaction with males when young and shortened lifespan following a brief interaction with males when middle-aged. (E–F) Young hermaphrodites that have fertilization-defective self-sperm (spe-9[hc88], panel E, day 3 of life) were protected from a brief, 2 hr interaction with males when young (n.s. vs. no males) but when self-sperm are depleted with age (F, day 7 of life), their lifespan was shortened if they received male sperm during brief interaction with males (p<0.0001 vs. no males). (G) Young hermaphrodites that have defective sperm due to the absence of the SPE-44 transcription factor (fxIs1[pie-1p::TIR1::mRuby]; spe-44[fx110[spe-44::degron]) grown on 1 mM auxin until adulthood), are not fully protected from a brief, 2 hr interaction with males (p=0.0001 vs. no males). Images above the lifespan curves show the state of the germline. Mated worms (dashed lines) were selected by hand-picking based on the presence of fluorescent male sperm in their uterus or spermatheca following a two-hour interaction with him-5[e1467] males. Lifespans were performed with 31–144 animals per condition. Masculinized (fem-3[q20]) versus WT and fertilization-defective self-sperm (spe-9[hc88]) experiments (A–F) were performed at the restrictive temperature, 25°C. The SPE-44 auxin-inducible degradation experiment (G) was performed at 20°C and controls for this experiment are found in Supplementary file 2 and Figure 3—figure supplement 1C–D. Lifespan data are plotted as Kaplan-Meier survival curves and p-values were determined using Mantel-Cox log ranking. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, n.s. = not significant. See also Supplementary file 2 for extended statistics and replicates.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46418.011

The following figure supplement is available for figure 3:

**Figure supplement 1.** The effect of males on hermaphrodites and masculinized individuals.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46418.011

(Figure 4G, Figure 4—figure supplement 1C), suggesting that the sperm-sensing transcription factor CEH-18 may directly regulate genes that affect longevity.

Together, these results show that self-sperm protect individuals, even older ones, from mating-induced death by repressing a sperm-sensing pathway in the somatic gonad, which could in turn protect hermaphrodites by altering chromatin and transcriptional networks.

**The ability of self-sperm to promote resistance to mating with males evolved independently twice in nematodes**

Is the ability of self-sperm to promote resistance to brief interactions with males unique to *C. elegans* or a common hermaphroditic strategy for resistance to the negative impact of mating with males to optimize their reproduction and health? The *C. elegans* ancestor was a gonochoric species with true females and obligatory males (*Kiontke et al.*, 2004). Hermaphroditism evolved at least three times independently in this lineage (in *C. elegans*, *C. briggsae*, and *C. tropicalis* (Ellis and Lin, 2014a; Guo et al., 2009; Hill et al., 2006; Kiontke et al., 2004; Kiontke et al., 2011; Nayak et al., 2005; Thomas et al., 2012) (Figure 5A). Other nematode species remained true females (e.g. *C. remanei* and *C. brenneri*) (Figure 5A).

Both hermaphroditic and true female nematodes are known to succumb prematurely following long interactions with males (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A, B and *Maures et al.*, 2014; *Palopoli et al.*, 2015; *Shi and Murphy*, 2014), but the effect of brief interactions has not been tested. We found that *C. remanei* and *C. brenneri* females lived normal lifespans following brief, two-hour interactions with males at all of the ages we tested (Figure 5B and Figure 5—figure supplement 1B), suggesting that the differential sensitivity of old versus young hermaphrodites may have evolved in hermaphroditic species. Indeed, we found that young *C. briggsae* hermaphrodites (which have self-sperm) resisted a brief exposure to males and lived a normal lifespan whereas middle-aged *C. briggsae* hermaphrodites (which have depleted their self-sperm) succumbed to brief encounter with males (Figure 5C and Figure 5—figure supplement 1C). Interestingly, feminized individuals in *C. briggsae* (due to a different mutation than *C. elegans*, the *she-1* feminizing mutation, which affects sex determination; *Guo et al.*, 2009), also became sensitive to a brief interaction with males when young and exhibited premature death (Figure 5D). As with *C. elegans*, we controlled for age- and genotype-dependent differences in *C. briggsae* mating efficiency (Supplementary file 3) using fluorescent male sperm tracking (Figure 1E).

Does the sperm-sensing pathway mediate self-sperm protection from mating-induced demise in *C. briggsae* as it does in *C. elegans* hermaphrodites? We first verified that knock-down of the orthologous sperm-sensing pathway in *C. briggsae* females (*mfs42*[Cel-sid-2]; *Cel-myo-2::DsRed]; *she-1*...
Figure 4. Self-sperm act via repression of a somatic sperm-sensing pathway to mediate resistance to mating-induced demise. (A) A model for the role of self-sperm in mating-induced demise resistance. The absence of self-sperm (right panel) activates the CEH-18 and VAB-1 sensing pathway in the somatic gonad. (B–C) Young, feminized (fog-2[q71]) worms (B, day 4 of life) were sensitive to a brief interaction with males when young (B, \( p<0.0001 \) vs. no males) but when the sperm-sensing pathway was blocked by loss of CEH-18 and VAB-1 (fog-2[q71]; ceh-18[mg57]) grown on vab-1 targeting RNAi bacteria), these worms (C) were resistant to a brief, 2 hr interaction with males and had a normal lifespan (n.s. vs. no males). (D–E) Middle-aged, self-sperm depleted hermaphrodites (D, day 7 of life) were sensitive to a brief mating with males (D, \( p<0.0001 \) vs. no males) but when the sperm-sensing pathway was blocked by loss of CEH-18 and VAB-1 (ceh-18[mg57]) grown on vab-1 targeting RNAi bacteria), these middle-aged worms (E) were resistant to a brief, 2 hr interaction with males and had a normal lifespan (E, n.s. vs. no males). For all experiments, worms that received male sperm (dashed lines) were selected by hand-picking based on the presence of fluorescent male sperm in their uterus or spermatheca following a two-hour interaction with him-5(e1467) males. Images above the lifespan curves in panels B–E show the state of the germline with oocytes in pink and the sperm depleted hermaphrodites (dark gray) and young feminized that never interacted with a male and contain a CEH-18 binding site as defined by CEH-18 ChIP-seq.

Figure 4 continued on next page
Indeed reduced the accumulation of unfertilized oocytes in the gonad arms and increased the number of unfertilized oocytes in the uterus (Figure 5—figure supplement 3) similar to C. elegans (Miller, 2003). Interestingly, knock-down of the C. briggsae sperm-sensing genes protected middle-aged hermaphrodites (which are self-sperm depleted) from the lifespan shortening effects of a brief interaction with males (Figure 5E,F).

Together, these results suggest that hermaphroditism, notably the presence of self-sperm and detection by the sperm-sensing pathway, may have co-evolved more than once with strategies to protect from the detrimental effect of sexual interactions with the opposite sex. This may be particularly important to allow hermaphrodites to maximize their reproductive success by fertilizing their eggs through self-fertilization and mating with another male later in life. As male sperm out-compete hermaphroditic self-sperm (LaMunyon and Samuel, 1999; Ward and Carrel, 1979), such a strategy would also allow for the hermaphroditic self-sperm to be used. Because the sperm-sensing pathway is conserved in species with true females and is sufficient to protect feminized individuals, this pathway could be leveraged to protect the soma in a conserved manner, even in other species.

Discussion

Here we show that a sensing mechanism between the germline and the soma mediates protection against the negative impact of sexual interactions. While previous work revealed the phenomenon of male-induced demise and identified specific mutations that could protect individuals from male-induced demise (Gems and Riddle, 1996; Maures et al., 2014; Shi and Murphy, 2014), it was unknown whether natural defenses exist to protect from the detrimental effects of males and what their mechanism of action could be. The previous studies investigated the effects of prolonged interactions between the sexes. While there is evidence of occasional ‘bursts’ of high rates of males in wild C. elegans populations (Barrière and Félix, 2005; Frézal and Félix, 2015; Sivasundar and Hey, 2005), males in the wild are thought to be rare. Thus, sexual interactions in nature are likely to be quite brief. Here, we have used very brief interactions between the sexes to uncover phenomena that better reflect the natural situation. We show for the first time that innate mechanisms that involve self-sperm can protect against the deleterious effects of mating with males.

The presence of self-sperm in hermaphrodites is also known to decrease attraction of and mating with males (Garcia et al., 2007; Leighton et al., 2014; Morsci et al., 2011; Shi and Murphy, 2014), and we did account for this in our study by selecting only mated individuals. Hence, self-sperm may be evolutionarily important to ensure, in several different ways, that hermaphrodites produce as many of their own self-progeny as possible before succumbing to mating-induced death. Whether the ability of males to shorten the lifespan of older hermaphrodites that lack self-sperm is advantageous in nature remains unknown. The number of animals that reach middle or older-age in the wild is probably low. However, the protective effect of self-sperm on the soma appears to have evolved independently in two distantly-related species, suggesting that it is important for nematode hermaphrodites. The role of self-sperm as a signal to regulate the response to the opposite sex may...
Figure 5. The importance of self-sperm in protecting young hermaphrodites against males independently evolved in a distantly-related nematode species. (A) The phylogeny of Caenorhabditis nematodes with the hermaphroditic lineages shown in red. (B) C. brenneri females lived a normal lifespan if they mated with a male during brief, two-hour interaction when young or middle-aged (n.s. vs. no males). For C. brenneri, we defined young as day 4 of life and middle-aged as day 10 of life. (C) C. briggsae hermaphrodites had a normal lifespan if they received male sperm during a brief interaction with males when they were young (day 3 of life, blue dashed line, n.s vs. no males) but had a shortened lifespan if they received male sperm when middle-aged (day 8 of life, green dashed line, p<0.0001 vs. no males). (D) Feminized C. briggsae (she-1[v35]) had a shortened lifespan if they received male sperm following a brief interaction with males when young (day 3 of life, p<0.0001 vs. no males). (E-F) Middle-aged, self-sperm depleted C. briggsae have a shortened lifespan following mating with a male (E, p<0.0001 vs. no males) but when the orthologs of the sperm-sensing pathway (Cbr-ceh-18 and Cbr-vab-1) was blocked by RNAi knock-down, these worms lived a normal lifespan (F, n.s. vs. no males). Experiments were performed using a transgenic C. briggsae strain that is capable of RNAi knock-down by dsRNA ingestion (mfIs42[Cel-sid-2; Cel-myo-2::DsRed]). (G) A scheme summarizing the resistance of sensitivity of different species, aged individuals, and mutants to mating-induced demise. Mated worms (dashed lines) were selected by hand-picking based on the presence of fluorescent male sperm in their uterus or spermatheca. C. briggsae males are him-8(v186) mutants (Wei et al., 2014). Lifespans were performed with 82–151 animals. Lifespan data are plotted as Kaplan-Meier survival curves and p-values were Figure 5 continued on next page
also have evolved in other animals, including self-fertilizing hermaphroditic species (e.g. snails, slugs, and the vertebrate mangrove killifish) (Jarne and Auld, 2006; Mackiewicz et al., 2006).

The ancestor of *C. elegans* and related nematodes did not have self-sperm-mediated protection from mating-induced death and our data indicate that self-sperm mediated protection was an evolutionary innovation in the *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* lineages. Whether the evolution of resistance to mating-induced death in *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* occurred as the result of linking ancestral protection mechanisms to self-sperm, or whether novel mechanisms evolved in these hermaphroditic lineages, is unknown. However, given that self-sperm mediated mating-induced death protection evolved more than once in *Caenorhabditis* nematodes, the most parsimonious model is that the hermaphroditic lineages linked ancestral protection mechanisms with self-sperm. Indeed, the signaling pathways that are engaged by self-sperm (an Ephrin receptor and homeodomain transcription factor) are conserved in nematodes, suggesting that these ancestral pathways were harnessed in unique ways in the hermaphroditic lineages to evolve self-sperm mediated resistance to mating-induced death. This raises the intriguing possibility that such protective mechanisms could also be ‘tuned up’ in female species by mimicking activation of the signaling pathways that are triggered by self-sperm. Interestingly, the Ephrin receptor that detects the presence of sperm is conserved in mammals, it is expressed in the somatic gonad (Figure 5—figure supplement 2B; Uhlen et al., 2015), and is linked with mammalian fertility (Barban et al., 2016; Buensuceso et al., 2016). Thus, some of the mechanisms that signal between germline and soma could be used more generally to protect against the negative impact of sexual interactions in other species.

Fertilization has a rejuvenating effect in the germline and resets the aging clock in each generation (Rando and Chang, 2012; Unal et al., 2011). Consistently, self-sperm can clear carbonylated and aggregated proteins, two aging hallmarks, in the germline of *C. elegans* (Bohnert and Kenyon, 2017; Goudeau and Aguilaniu, 2010). Resetting of aging features can be achieved to some degree in mammalian somatic cells by the process of reprogramming to induced pluripotent stem cells, which can mimic fertilization and has a ‘rejuvenating’ capacity (Rando and Chang, 2012). In mammals, triggering rejuvenating factors in somatic cells, in a manner that is uncoupled from de-differentiation, has been recently suggested to be a potential rejuvenation strategy (Ocampo et al., 2016). However, the potential role of sperm in protection, and possible rejuvenation, of the soma is not known. Given the effect of sperm and fertilization on resetting the aging clock in the germline, as well as the conservation of key elements of the sensing pathway, it is possible that the protective properties of self-sperm, or even sperm itself, are conserved in other species, including mammals. These findings could open new strategies for harnessing the potential of gametes and their sensing pathways to ‘reset’ some hallmarks of aging.

**Materials and methods**

**Worm strains and maintenance**

All *C. elegans*, *C. briggsae*, *C. remanei*, and *C. brenneri* WT and mutant strains used in this study are listed in *Supplementary file 1*. All strains were maintained on Nematode Growth Media (NGM) plates with 50 μg/mL streptomycin (Gibco) and a lawn of OP50-1 bacteria (a gift from M.-W. Tan) from stationary phase cultures. Nematodes were grown at 20°C, with the exception of temperature-
sensitive mutants (glp-1[e2144], fem-1[hc17], fem-3[q20], and spe-9[hc88]), which were maintained at 15˚C (permissive temperature). When temperature sensitive mutants were used for assays, they were grown at the restrictive temperature (25˚C). The genotype of strains was verified by genotyping PCR and Sanger sequencing and the strains were backcrossed three times into our laboratory’s N2 strain (in addition to the backcrossing that was performed when the mutants were initially isolated).

Because some *C. elegans* strains have been recently reported to inadvertently contain a long-lived allele of the fln-2 gene (personal communication, Y. Zhao, H. Wang, R.J. Poole and D. Gems [the Worm Breeder’s Gazette, 2018]), we verified the fln-2 genotype using genotyping by PCR followed by Sanger sequencing using the following primers (5'-GGTGAAGAATGAGAAACGC and 5'-ATGATGACGTTTTGCCCAACGG). The forward primer (listed first) was used for sequencing. We confirmed that the key strains used in this study—N2 (WT), BA17 (fem-1[hc17]), CB4108 (fog-2[q71]), CF1903 (glp-1[e2144]), JK816 (fem-3[q20]), DG1604 (fog-2[q71]; ceh-18[mg57]), and GR1034 (ceh-18[mg57])—did not contain this long-lived allele of fln-2 and were wild-type for this allele.

**Lifespan assays**

*C. elegans*, *C. brenneri*, *C. remanei*, and *C. briggsae* lifespan assays were performed in the same manner. Hermaphrodites or females for these assays were age-synchronized with a short (3–4 hr) egg-lay using young (day 3–5 of life), well-fed adult parents. For the female species (C. *brenneri* and C. *remanei*), age-synchronized, virgin females were identified and isolated from males by placing them on fresh plates at the L4 stage. All worms were grown on NGM plates with streptomycin (50 μg/mL) and seeded with OP50-1 bacteria unless RNAi knock-down was performed. In the case of RNAi knock-down, worms were cultured on NGM containing ampicillin (100 μg/mL, Sigma) and IPTG (0.4 mM, Invitrogen). During development, worms were fed HT115 bacteria (grown to stationary phase, RNAi expression induced for 2–4 hr with 0.4 mM IPTG, and the bacteria concentrated to 20x) carrying empty vector (EV). Upon adulthood (day 3 of life), HT115 bacteria (grown to stationary phase, RNAi expression induced for 2–4 hr with 0.4 mM IPTG, and the bacteria concentrated to 20x) carrying the appropriate RNAi clone from the Ahringer RNAi library (Kamath et al., 2003) (a gift from A. Fire) or RNAi clones created in this study (see ‘C. briggsae RNAi knock-down’). The inserts of the plasmids encoding the RNAi clones used in this study were sequenced to verify their identity.

For each assay, worms were scored as dead or alive and transferred to new plates daily during the reproductive period and then every other day. Worms were scored as dead if they did not respond to gentle, repeated prodding with a wire pick (90% Pt, 10% Ir) along different points of their body. Worms were scored as censored if they crawled off the media or died due to bagging (internal hatching) or vulval rupture. Data from these censored worms were included up until the point of censorship (see Supplementary file 2 for all data).

For conditions in which the effect of sexual interactions was assessed, we used one of three methods, as indicated. For the long-term exposure method (described in Maures et al., 2014; Shi and Murphy, 2014), young males (day 1 to 2 of adulthood) were added to the hermaphrodites at the onset of adulthood. For lifespan experiments in which the hermaphrodites were exposed to males for their entire adulthood (Maures et al., 2014), males were added in a 1:1 ratio with hermaphrodites and the number of males remained fixed, even as hermaphrodites began to die or censored. Male worms were replaced every other day at the time the hermaphrodites were transferred to new plates. Male stocks were set up every day for the entirety of the lifespan assay. For the lifespan experiments in which hermaphrodites were exposed to males for only one day (Shi and Murphy, 2014), young males were added in a 2:1 male:hermaphrodite ratio. Following 24 hr of exposure, hermaphrodites were moved to new plates and did not encounter a male again throughout their lifespan. For the newly established, short mating-induced demise lifespan experiments, the males and hermaphrodites were only allowed to interact for 2 hr at the age specified for each assay. Males were twice as abundant as hermaphrodites during the mating period. Following a mating period of two hours, mating was assessed by the presence of fluorescent male sperm (see below). These mated hermaphrodites did not interact with an adult male again and worms on plates in which male progeny reached adulthood and could have mated with the hermaphrodites were censored.

Synchronized individuals (hermaphrodites, feminized individuals etc.) were randomly assigned to the ‘no males’ or ‘+males’ conditions by picking them onto fresh plates in an alternating manner to avoid selection bias. Similarly, the males used for mating with individuals of different genotypes or ages were from the same sets of males and were allocated randomly in an alternating manner. For
each single biological replicate, approximately 35 individuals were placed on each of 2–4 plates (each plate represents a technical replicate). The number of individuals per plate and number of technical replicates were chosen based on field standards (Lucanic et al., 2017).

For feminized and sterile mutants, slight modifications were made to the methods. The sterile glp-1(e2144) mutant, fertilization defective spe-9(hc88) mutant, masculinized fem-3(q20) mutant, and WT control parents were used for an egg lay at the permissive temperature (15°C) and following the egg lay, the individuals used for the assay were kept at 25°C for the remainder of the assay. The feminized fem-1(hc17) mutants and the WT control parents were kept at 15°C for the egg lay and these eggs developed until day 3 of life (adulthood) at 25°C (the restrictive temperature). Then, both the fem-1(hc17) feminized worms and the WT worms were moved to 20°C for the remainder of the assay. The lower temperature did not impact the feminized phenotype. For the auxin-inducible degeneration of the transcription factor SPE-44, the worms were grown on NGM with 1 mM auxin (3-indoleacetic acid, Sigma-Aldrich) from egg lay to adult day one and were then cultured on NGM without auxin for the remainder of their lifespan. These individuals were fully self-sterile as expected (Kasimatis et al., 2018). Finally, the C. elegans fog-2(q71) and C. briggsae she-1(v35) feminized mutants were kept at 20°C for the entire assay. For these assays, virgin feminized individuals were isolated from males at the L4 stage and were either kept away from males for the entire lifespan or only interacted with males for 2 hr as described.

Lifespan data were plotted as Kaplan-Meier survival curves in Prism 7 and statistical analyses performed using the logrank (Mantel-Cox) test. The number of animals (n) used for each assay and the number of independent biological replicates (N) can be found in Supplementary file 2.

**Fluorescent sperm tracking to identify mated hermaphrodites and females for mating efficiency assays and for lifespan assays**

To identify and isolate hermaphrodites or females that have mated during a brief period of interacting with males, we modified a previously developed technique (Stanfield and Villeneuve, 2006). The day before the mating assay, adult day one males (for C. elegans, either him-5[e1467] or nls128[Ppkd-2::GFP]; him-8[e1469], for C. briggsae, Cbr-him-8[v186], and WT for C. brenneri and C. remanei) were fluorescently labeled by culturing them overnight on NGM plates seeded with 100 μL stationary phase OP50-1 and 5 ng/μL MitoTracker Red CMXRos (Thermo Fisher cat# M7512, resuspended in DMSO [Fisher] at 100x and kept at −20°C in aliquots) at a density of approximately 100 males per 6 cm plate. On the day of the mating assay, 40 fluorescently-labeled males and 20 unlabeled hermaphrodites were placed on 6 cm NGM plates seeded with OP50-1 bacteria. Animals were allowed to interact with each other and mate for 2 hr at their normal culturing conditions. Following this period, hermaphrodites that received male sperm as a result of mating were identified based on the presence of fluorescence (male sperm) in their uterus and/or spermatheca (Figure 1E and Figure 1—figure supplement 1B–D) using a fluorescent dissecting microscope or the COPAS large particle biosorter as indicated. In a small number of cases, a hermaphrodite consumed some of the MitoTracker Red CMXRos labeled bacteria resulting in red fluorescence in the gut or throughout the body. When this occurred, the individual was censored from the experiment because of the difficulty in determining if they received male sperm or not.

For mating efficiency assays, 5–7 mating plates (n) were typically used per experimental condition and one to two independent, biological replicates (N) were performed. The number of mated hermaphrodites was compared to the total number of hermaphrodites per plate (mating efficiency = # hermaphrodites with male sperm/total # hermaphrodites).

To better understand the dynamics of mating during a two-hour period (Figure 2—figure supplement 1E), we performed a separate assay using a slightly modified version of the mating efficiency method such that two male genotypes were used. Specifically, on each plate 20 young, unlabeled hermaphrodites or feminized individuals interacted with 20 unlabeled nls128[Ppkd-2::GFP]; him-8(e1469) males and 20 MitoTracker Red CMXRos labeled him-5[e1467] males for two hours. Following this 2 hr interaction, hermaphrodites that received male sperm from the fluorescently labeled him-5(e1467) males were isolated and placed individually on 3 cm NGM plates to lay eggs. To determine if any of these him-5(e1467) mated individuals also mated with a nls128[Ppkd-2::GFP]; him-8(e1469) male, we looked for male progeny that carried nls128[Ppkd-2::GFP] transgene. These individuals were thus mated at least twice and received male sperm from at least two males.
For lifespan assays, approximately eight mating plates were set up per condition. Hermaphrodites or females that received male sperm were moved to fresh plates without males at a density of approximately 35 individuals per plate and tracked for the remainder of their lifespan.

**RNA-seq**
To better understand the resistance of young hermaphrodites to mating-induced demise, we characterized the transcriptomes of the young, WT hermaphrodites that have a normal lifespan after a brief interaction with males (the self-sperm depleted fem-1(hc17) feminized individuals and the middle-aged, WT hermaphrodites).

WT and fem-1(hc17) mutant individuals were age-synchronized using a brief, 3–4 hr egg lay (see ‘Lifespan Assays’ above) on NGM plates seeded with OP50-1 bacteria and grown at 25°C during development and the young adult stage. On day 3 of life (egg lay is day 0), the worms were all moved to 20°C for the remainder of their lifespan. This set up resulted in fully penetrant feminized germline phenotypes for the fem-1(hc17) mutants and delayed the age-associated appearance of red autofluorescence in the gut that would have made accurate detection of MitoTracker Red CMXRos labeled male sperm in the uterus and spermatheca difficult. For each of the four biological replicates, egg lays were performed on two separate days such that there was a cohort of age-synchronized young (day 3 of life) and middle-aged (day 7 of life) hermaphrodites of both genotypes on the day of mating. Following the Materials and methods section ‘Fluorescent Sperm Tracking to Identify Mated Hermaphrodites for Mating Efficiency Assays and for Lifespan Assays’, we identified and isolated young and middle-aged WT and fem-1(hc17) individuals that received male sperm from fluorescently labeled him-5(e1467) males and transferred them to fresh plates. At the same time, unmated hermaphrodites that never encountered a male were also moved to fresh plates at the same density (approximately 30 worms per plate). After approximately 24 hr, 40 worms from each condition (individuals of each age and genotype that either received male sperm or never interacted with males) were picked onto an unseeded NGM plate. These worms were immediately washed twice with ice cold M9 buffer (22 mM KH₂PO₄, 42 mM Na₂PO₄, 86 mM NaCl, and 1 mM MgSO₄) and the worm pellets were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. The remaining worms were used to measure their lifespan (Figure 2A–D and Supplementary file 2) as described in the section ‘Lifespan Assays’.

RNA was extracted from the flash frozen worm pellets with 500 μL Trizol and 200 μL chloroform followed by 250 μL phenol and 200 μL chloroform extractions and finally, an isopropanol precipitation. Remaining DNA was degraded with DNaseI (Promega) and the RNA cleaned with a sodium acetate and ethanol precipitation. RNA quality was measured using Nanodrop spectrophotometry and the Agilent BioAnalyzer Total RNA Nano chip and kit. mRNA enriched cDNA was prepared using 10 ng of total RNA (quantified by Nanodrop spectrophotometry) and the Takara SMART-seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA kit, with 8 rounds of amplification. Paired-end libraries were made using the Nextera XT DNA library prep kit (Illumina) with 1 ng of cDNA (quantified using the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity reagents, Invitrogen) and barcoded using the Nextera XT Index Kit v2 (Illumina). Libraries were purified with 30 μL AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) as directed in the Nextera XT kit. Library quality and quantity were assessed using the Agilent Bioanalyser High Sensitivity DNA Assay. All samples and biological replicates (A–D) were pooled and sequenced on a single Illumina NextSeq run. Paired-end, 75 base pair sequencing was performed.

**RNA-seq analysis**
RNA-seq reads were aligned to the WBCel235 genome and gene read counts were calculated using STAR (version 2.5.4a). Low-coverage genes that had less than one read count per million mapped reads in less than three samples were filtered out. Data were normalized with a variance-stabilizing transformation (DESeq2 version 1.10.1) prior to Principal Component Analysis (PCA) in R (version 3.2.4 and Biobase version 2.30.0). PCA was carried out using the R method (prcomp). Differential expression was calculated using DESeq2 (version 1.10.1). The results from DESeq2 can be found in Figure 2—source data 1. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment was performed in R using the Fischer’s t-test and Benjamini-Hochberg corrected. Full GO enrichment results can be found in Figure 2—
source data 2. Heatmaps were generated in R using normalized read counts (variance-stabilizing transformation). Code is available online (https://github.com/brunetlab/Booth_et al_2019.git).

**CEH-18 network analysis**

The CEH-18 network was determined using the GeneMANIA webserver (Warde-Farley et al., 2010). The default settings were used with the exception of the types of interactions allowed (predicted interactions and protein domain similarity were deselected). Networks were re-drawn based on the GeneMANIA output using Adobe Illustrator to incorporate the DESeq2 output from the RNA-seq (Figure 2—source data 1). P-values for enrichment of differentially expressed genes in the network were calculated using the hypergeometric distribution.

**CEH-18 DNA binding motif and ChIP-seq peak analysis**

The PSWM file for the CEH-18 DNA binding motif (Narasimhan et al., 2015) was downloaded from http://cisbp.ccbr.utoronto.ca and a publicly available CEH-18 ChIP-seq dataset (Kudron et al., 2018) was downloaded from http://epic.gs.washington.edu/modERN/. The ChIP-seq peaks were then converted to FASTA format with bedtools ‘getfasta’ (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) to be made compatible with MEME. The MEME Suite AME (with default parameters ‘Average odds score’ and ‘Fisher’s exact test’ using shuffled input sequences as the control) was used with the CEH-18 motif to determine statistical enrichment of the CEH-18 motif within the CEH-18 binding peaks (McLeay and Bailey, 2010). This analysis revealed significant enrichment for the CEH-18 DNA binding motif in the CEH-18 ChIP-seq peaks (p=4.77×10^{-3}), though the enrichment was not strong. As a comparison, the same analysis was performed using the DAF-16 PSWM file (http://cisbp.ccbr.utoronto.ca; Weirauch et al., 2014) to test for enrichment within DAF-16 ChIP-seq peaks (http://data.modencode.org; Contrino et al., 2012). There was very high enrichment of the DAF-16 DNA binding motif within DAF-16 ChIP-seq peaks (p=1.44×10^{-359}).

We next tested for the enrichment of the CEH-18 DNA binding motif in the sequences surrounding the transcription start sites of the differentially expressed genes from the RNA-seq. The ChIPSeeker (Yu et al., 2015) function ‘getPromoters’ was used with parameters ‘TxDb = TxDb.Celegans. UCSC.ce11.refGene, upstream = 300, downstream = 300’ to assign promoters to genes from lists of differentially expressed genes generated from the RNA-seq data with a statistical significance threshold of FDR < 0.05. The resulting bed files were converted to FASTA format and inspected for motif enrichment as above using the MEME suite AME (McLeay and Bailey, 2010; Quinlan and Hall, 2010).

To identify differentially expressed genes that have a nearby CEH-18 binding site, the publicly available CEH-18 ChIP-seq peaks (Kudron et al., 2018) were first de-duplicated to remove any non-unique lines, and the bed file was converted to a gRanges object using readBed() from the ‘genoma- tion’ package (Akalin et al., 2015). The gRanges object was then annotated using annotatePeak() from the ‘ChIPSeeker’ package (Yu et al., 2015) to associate peaks with a proximal gene. The CEH-18 ChIP-seq peaks that were associated with genes differentially regulated in the RNA-seq datasets were then outputted based on the annotated gene associations (from ChIPSeeker; Yu et al., 2015). This output is Figure 4—source data 1. Using the hypergeometric distribution test, we found that the differentially expressed genes from our RNA-seq (comparing young hermaphrodites to young feminized individuals, mated and unmated) were not significantly enriched for the CEH-18 binding sites. We note that our RNA-seq was performed using whole worms and that the differentially expressed genes from these data are the result of transcripts from all the tissues, many of which may not express ceh-18. The lack of transcription factor binding enrichment, particularly in transcription factors acting in a cell- or tissue-specific manner, has been described in C. elegans (Cao et al., 2017; Narasimhan et al., 2015) and is thought to be largely due to cell heterogeneity. Cell- or tissue-specific methods including single-cell RNA-seq could better elucidate the CEH-18 regulatory network in the somatic gonad.

We then generated a list of differentially expressed genes between young hermaphrodites and young feminized individuals (unmated and that mated with males) that have a neighboring CEH-18 binding site by associating ChIP-seq binding peaks with nearest transcription start site using ChIPSeeker (Yu et al., 2015). These data are in Figure 4—source data 1. This subset of genes was then used for GO enrichment in R using the Fischer’s t-test and Benjamini-Hochberg correction. The
output for the GO enrichment is Figure 4—source data 2. As a negative control, we also performed this analysis with an unrelated transcription factor, MAB-5 and these data are presented in Figure 4—source data 1 and 2. All code for these analyses are available online (https://github.com/brunetlab/Booth_et al_2019.git).

**C. briggsae cross**

To create a feminized *C. briggsae* worm that is competent for RNAi knock-down by ingested dsRNA, we crossed the she-1(v35) feminized strain to a transgenic strain (mfIs42[Cel-sid-2; Cel-myo-2::DsRed]) that is susceptible to ingested dsRNA (Nuez and Félix, 2012). Worms that were homozygous for the she-1(v35) mutation were determined by PCR followed by Sanger sequencing using the following primers:

- 5’- CAATTGTACATGGACCAGATT – 3’
- 5’-GCTTGTCCGAAACCAATGAAC – 3’

The homozygous presence of the mfIs42[Cel-sid-2; Cel-myo-2::DsRed] integration was determined by observing transmission of the DsRed marker in all progeny for several generations.

**C. briggsae RNAi knock-down**

Portions of the highly conserved *Cbr-ceh-18* and *Cbr-vab-1* genes (Figure 5—figure supplement 2A) were amplified from *C. briggsae* (strain AF16) genomic DNA and the DsRed transgenic marker (from JU1018 genomic DNA) using Platinum HiFi Supermix (Invitrogen) and the following primers:

- *Cbr-ceh-18*: 5’-GGTCCTCGAGGTATTCACCAACGGCAACAAC-3’ and 5’-GCGTACTAGTGGTCTTCTTTCTTTCTTCTT-3’
- *Cbr-vab-1*: 5’-GGTCCTCGAGGTTGATGGATCCACCCAAGGCAAAC-3’ and 5’-GCGTACTAGTGGTCTTCTTTCTTCTT-3’
- *DsRed*: 5’-GGTCCTCGAGGAACGTCATCACCGAGTTCAT-3’ and 5’-GCGTACTAGTGGATCCACCCAAGGCAAAC-3’

The PCR products and the L4440 vector were digested with SpeI and XhoI (New England Biolabs). Ligation was performed with T4 ligase (New England Biolabs). The ligation products were first transformed into TOP10 chemically competent cells (Invitrogen). After verification of the plasmids by Sanger sequencing, the correct plasmids were transformed into chemically competent HT115 *E. coli*. HT115 bacteria containing these plasmids were used for RNAi knock-down by feeding in *C. briggsae* (mfIs42[Cel-sid-2; Cel-myo-2::DsRed]) (see ‘Lifespan Assays’ above).

**Microscopy**

Worms were prepared for imaging using 1 mM sodium azide and mounted on a 2% agarose pad. The images presented and quantified in Figure 5—figure supplement 3 were taken using a Zeiss Axioskop 2 Plus. All images for an experiment were taken using the same exposure length. DsRed fluorescence was quantified in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) using the mean gray value of the pharynx bulb.

**Protein alignment and conservation**

To measure the conservation of orthologs of the sperm-sensing proteins CEH-18 and VAB-1, the sequences of these proteins were downloaded from wormbase.org (WBcel235) and aligned using MUSCLE v3.8 (Edgar, 2004a; Edgar, 2004b). These protein alignments were input to the JalView visualization tool (Waterhouse et al., 2009) to generate Figure 5—figure supplement 2A. Protein domains (pfam) were determined by wormbase.org.

**Data and materials availability**

Data are available in the main text or supplementary materials. RNA-seq reads are available online at NCBI SRA (PRJNA508378) and all code used for RNA-seq analysis is available online (https://github.com/brunetlab/Booth_et al_2019.git; copy archived at https://github.com/elifesciences-publications/Booth_et al_2019; Booth, 2019).
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  DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46418.023
- Transparent reporting form
  DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46418.024

**Data availability**
Sequencing data have been deposited in NCBI SRA under accession code PRJNA508378.

The following dataset was generated:

| Author(s) | Year | Dataset title | Dataset URL | Database and Identifier |
|-----------|------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Booth LN, Maures TJ, Yeo RW, Brunet A | 2019 | Transcriptomic profiling of C. elegans hermaphrodites and feminized (fem-1) individuals following a 2 hour interaction with males or without a male interaction | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA508378 | NCBI Sequence Read Archive, PRJNA508378 |

**References**

Ahringer J, Kimble J. 1991. Control of the sperm-oocyte switch in Caenorhabditis elegans hermaphrodites by the fem-3 3’ untranslated region. *Nature* **349**:346–348. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/349346a0, PMID: 1702880

Akalin A, Franke V, Vlahovic K, Mason CE, Schübeler D. 2015. Genomation: a toolkit to summarize, annotate and visualize genomic intervals. *Bioinformatics* **31**:1127–1129. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu775, PMID: 25417204

Aloise King ED, Banks PB, Brooks RC. 2013. Sexual conflict in mammals: consequences for mating systems and life history. *Mammal Review* **43**:47–58. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2907.2011.00200.x

Angeles-Albores D, Leighton DHW, Tsou T, Khaw TH, Antoshechkin I, Sternberg PW. 2017. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* Female-Like State: Decoupling the Transcriptomic Effects of Aging and Sperm Status. G3: *Genes|Genomes|Genetics* **7**:2969–2977. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.300080

Antebi A. 2013. Regulation of longevity by the reproductive system. *Experimental Gerontology* **48**:596–602. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2012.09.009, PMID: 23063987

Aprison EZ, Ruvinisky I. 2016. Sexually antagonistic male signals manipulate germline and soma of *C. elegans* Hermaphrodites. *Current Biology* **26**:2827–2833. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.08.024, PMID: 27618262

Arantes-Oliveira N, Apfeld J, Dillin A, Kenyon C. 2002. Regulation of life-span by germ-line stem cells in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Science* **295**:502–505. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1065768, PMID: 11799246

Barban N, Jansen R, de Vlaming R, Vaez A, Mandemakers JJ, Tropf PC, Shen X, Wilson JF, Chasman DI, Nolte IM, Tragante V, van der Laan SW, Perry JR, Kong A, Ahluwalia TS, Albrecht E, Yerges-Armstrong L, Atzmon G,
Auro K, Ayers K, et al. 2016. Genome-wide analysis identifies 12 loci influencing human reproductive behavior. Nature Genetics 48:1462–1472. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3698, PMID: 27798627

Barrière A, Félix MA. 2005. High local genetic diversity and low outcrossing rate in Caenorhabditis elegans natural populations. Current Biology 15:1176–1184. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2005.06.022, PMID: 16005289

Barton MK, Schedl TB, Kimble J. 1987. Gain-of-function mutations of fem-3, a sex-determination gene in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 115:107–119. PMID: 3551707

Bohnert KA, Kenyon C. 2017. A lysosomal switch triggers proteostasis renewal in the immortal C. elegans germ lineage. Nature 551:629–633. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24620

Booth LN. 2019. Booth_etal_2019.e222bdb. Github. https://github.com/brunetlab/Booth_etal_2019

Buensuceso AV, Son AI, Zhou R, Paquet M, Withers BM, Deroo BJ. 2016. Ephrin-A5 Is Required for Optimal Fertility and a Complete Ovulatory Response to Gonadotropins in the Female Mouse. Endocrinology 157:942–955. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2015-1216, PMID: 26672804

Cao J, Packer JS, Ramani V, Cusanovich DA, Huynh C, Daza R, Qiu X, Lee C, Furlan SN, Steemers FJ, Adey A, Waterston RH, Trapnell C, Shendure J. 2017. Comprehensive single-cell transcriptional profiling of a multicellular organism. Science 357:661–667. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam8940, PMID: 28819398

Chapman T, Liddle LF, Kalb JM, Wulfer MF, Partridge L. 1995. Cost of mating in Drosophila melanogaster females is mediated by male accessory gland products. Nature 373:241–244. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/373241a0, PMID: 7816137

Centrone S, Smith RN, Butano D, Carr A, Hu F, Lyne R, Rutherford K, Kalderimis A, Sullivan J, Carbon S, Kephart ET, Lloyd P, Stinson EO, Washington NL, Perry MD, Ruzanov P, Zha Z, Lewis SE, Stein LD, Micklem G. 2012. modMine: flexible access to modENCODE data. Nucleic Acids Research 40:D1082–D1088. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr921, PMID: 22080565

Doniach T, Hodgkin J. 1984. A sex-determining gene, fem-1, required for both male and hermaphrodite development in Caenorhabditis elegans. Developmental Biology 106:223–235. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(84)90077-0

Edgar RC. 2004a. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics 5:113. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-5-113, PMID: 15318951

Edgar RC. 2004b. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Research 32:1792–1797. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340, PMID: 15034147

Ellis RE, Lin SY. 2014a. The evolutionary origins and consequences of self-fertility in Nematodes. F1000Prime Reports 6:62. DOI: https://doi.org/10.12703/P6-62, PMID: 25165561

Ellis R, Schedl T. 2007. Sex determination in the germ line. WormBook: The Online Review of C. elegans Biology:1–13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.22.2, PMID: 18050498

Ellis RE, Stanfield GM. 2014b. The regulation of spermatogenesis and sperm function in Nematodes. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 29:17–30. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2014.0045

Flanagan KA, Webb W, Stowers L. 2011. Analysis of male pheromones that accelerate female reproductive organ development. PLOS ONE e16660. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016660

Fowler K, Partridge L. 1989. A cost of mating in female fruitflies. Nature 338:760–761. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/338760a0

Frézal L, Félix M-A. 2015. C. elegans outside the petri dish. eLife 4:e05849. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.05849

Gao Y, Budlunger C, Durlacher E, Davison IG. 2017. Neural mechanisms of social learning in the female mouse. eLife 6:e25421. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.25421, PMID: 28621665

García LR, LeBoeuf B, Koo P. 2007. Diversity in mating behavior of hermaphrodite and male-female Caenorhabditis nematodes. Genetics 175:1761–1771. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.068304, PMID: 17277358

Garratt M, Kee AJ, Palme R, Brooks RC. 2016. Male presence can increase body mass and induce a Stress-Response in female mice independent of costs of offspring production. Scientific Reports 6:23538. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23538, PMID: 27004919

Gems D, Riddle DL. 1996. Longevity in Caenorhabditis elegans reduced by mating but not gamete production. Nature 379:723–725. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/379723a0, PMID: 8602217

Gendron CM, Kuo TH, Harvaneck ZM, Chung BY, Yew JY, Dierick HA, Pletcher SD. 2014. Drosophila life span and physiology are modulated by sexual perception and reward. Science 343:544–548. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1243339, PMID: 24292624

Goudreau J, Aguiliant H. 2010. Carboxylated proteins are eliminated during reproduction in C. elegans. Aging Cell 9:991–1003. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9726.2010.00625.x, PMID: 21040398

Greenstein D, Hird S, Plasterk RH, Andachi Y, Kohara Y, Wang B, Finney M, Ruvkun G. 1994. Targeted mutations in the Caenorhabditis elegans POU homeo box gene ceh-18 cause defects in oocyte cell cycle arrest, gonad migration, and epidermal differentiation. Genes & Development 8:1935–1948. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.8.16.1935, PMID: 795886

Guo Y, Lang S, Ellis RE. 2009. Independent recruitment of F box genes to regulate hermaphrodite development during nematode evolution. Current Biology 19:1853–1860. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.09.042, PMID: 19836240

Guo X, Navetta A, Gualberto DG, García LR. 2012. Behavioral decay in aging male C. elegans correlates with increased cell excitability. Neurobiology of Aging 33:1483.e5-23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2011.12.016, PMID: 22285759
Hansen M, Flatt T, Aguilaniu H. 2013. Reproduction, fat metabolism, and life span: what is the connection? Cell Metabolism 17:10–19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2012.12.003; PMID: 23312280

Harvanek ZM, Lyu Y, Gendron CM, Johnson JC, Kondo S, Promislow DL, Fletcher SD. 2017. Perceptive costs of reproduction drive ageing and physiology in male Drosophila. Nature Ecology & Evolution 1:152. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0152

Hill RC, de Carvalho CE, Schlegl J, Schlager B, Pilgrim D, Haag ES. 2006. Genetic flexibility in the convergent evolution of hermaphroditism in Caenorhabditis nematodes. Developmental Cell 10:531–538. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2006.02.002; PMID: 16580997

Janne P, Auld JR. 2006. Animals mix it up too: the distribution of self-fertilization among hermaphroditic animals. Evolution 60:1816–1824. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0012-7266.2006.tb00525.x

Kaeberlein M, Rabinovitch PS, Martin GM. 2015. Healthy aging: the ultimate preventative medicine. Science 350:1191–1193. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad3820; PMID: 26785476

Kamath RS, Fraser AG, Dong Y, Poulin G, Durbin R, Gotta M, Kanapin A, Le Bot N, Moreno S, Sohrmann M, Welchman DP, Zipperlen P, Ahringer J. 2003. Systematic functional analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using RNAi. Nature 421:231–237. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01278; PMID: 12529635

Kasimatis KR, Moederyk-Schauwecker MJ, Phillips PC. 2018. Auxin-Mediated Sterility Induction System for Longevity and Mating Studies in Caenorhabditis elegans. G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics 8:2655–2662. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200278

Keith SA, Ghazi A. 2015. Recent discoveries in the reproductive control of aging. Current Genetic Medicine Reports 3:26–34. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40142-014-0060-8

Kenyon CJ. 2010. The genetics of ageing. Nature 464:504–512. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08980; PMID: 20336132

Klontke K, Gavin NP, Raynes Y, Roehrig C, Piano F, Fitch DH. 2004. Caenorhabditis phylogeny predicts convergence of hermaphroditism and extensive intron loss. PNAS 101:9003–9008. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0403094101; PMID: 15184656

Klontke KC, Félix MA, Aillon M, Rockman MV, Braendle C, Pénigault JB, Fitch DH. 2011. A phylogeny and molecular barcodes for Caenorhabditis, with numerous new species from rotting fruits. BMC Evolutionary Biology 11:339. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-339; PMID: 22103856

Kudron MM, Victorsen A, Gevirtzman L, Hillier LW, Fisher WW, Vafeados D, Kirkey M, Hammonds AS, Gersch J, Ammoury H, Wall ML, Moran J, Steffen D, Szynekarek M, Seabook-Sturgis S, Jameel N, Kadama M, Patton J, Terrell R, Corson M, et al. 2018. The ModERN resource: genome-wide binding profiles for hundreds of Drosophila and Caenorhabditis elegans Transcription Factors. Genetics 208:937–949. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.300657; PMID: 29284660

Kulkarni M, Shakes DC, Guevel K, Smith HE. 2012. SPE-44 implements sperm cell fate. PLOS Genetics 8:e1002678. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002678; PMID: 22570621

LaMunyon CW, Samuel W. 1999. Evolution of sperm size in Nematodes: sperm competition favours larger sperm. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 266:263–267. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1999.0631

Leader DP, Krause SA, Pandit A, Davies SA, Dow JAT. 2018. FlyAtlas 2: a new version of the Drosophila Melanogaster expression atlas with RNA-Seq, miRNA-Seq and sex-specific data. Nucleic Acids Research 46:D809–D815. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx976; PMID: 29064979

Leighton DH, Choe A, Wu SY, Sternberg PW. 2014. Communication between oocytes and somatic cells regulates volatile pheromone production in Caenorhabditis elegans. PNAS 111:17905–17910. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1420439111; PMID: 25453110

López-Otín C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, Serrano M, Kroemer G. 2013. The hallmarks of aging. Cell 153:1194–1217. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.039; PMID: 23746838

Lucanic M, Plummer WT, Chen E, Harke J, Foulger AC, Onken B, Coleman-Hulbert AL, Dumas KJ, Guo S, Johnson E, Bhaumik D, Xue J, Crist AB, Presley MP, Harinath G, Sedore CA, Chamoli M, Pilgrim D, Haag ES. 2006. Systematic functional analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using RNAi. Nature 421:231–237. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01278; PMID: 12529635

Miller MA. 2001. A sperm cytoskeletal protein that signals oocyte meiotic maturation and ovulation. Science 291:2449–2452. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1057586

Miller MA. 2003. An eph receptor sperm-sensing control mechanism for oocyte meiotic maturation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics & Development 17:187–200. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1028303

Morsci NS, Haas LA, Barr MM. 2011. Sperm status regulates sexual attraction in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 189:1341–1346. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.133603; PMID: 21968192
et al. eLife 2019;8:e46418.

Booth et al. eLife 2019;8:e46418. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46418

Evolutionary Biology | Genetics and Genomics

Narasimhan K, Lambert SA, Yang AWH, Riddell J, Mnamnneh S, Zheng H, Albu M, Najafabadi HS, Reece-Hoyes JS, Fuxman Bass JJ, Walhout AJM, Weirauch MT, Hughes TR. 2015. Mapping and analysis of Caenorhabditis elegans transcription factor sequence specificities. eLife 4:e06967. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.06967

Nayak S, Goree J, Schedl T. 2005. fog-2 and the evolution of Self-Fertile hermaphroditism in Caenorhabditis. PLOS Biology 3:e6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0030006

Nuez I, Félix MA. 2012. Evolution of susceptibility to ingested double-stranded RNAs in Caenorhabditis nematodes. PLOSONE 7:e229811. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229811, PMID: 22253787

Ocampo A, Reddy P, Martinez-Redondo P, Platero-Luengo A, Hatanaka F, Hishida T, Li M, Lam D, Kurita M, Beyer E, Araoka T, Vazquez-Ferrer E, Donoso D, Roman JL, Xu J, Rodriguez Esteban C, Nuñez G, Nuñez Delicado E, Campistol JM, Guillon et al. 2016. In Vivo Amelioration of Age-Associated Hallmarks by Partial Reprogramming. Cell 167:1719–1733. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.052

Palopoli MF, Peden C, Woo C, Akia K, Ary M, Cruze L, Anderson JL, Phillips PC. 2015. Natural and experimental evolution of sexual conflict within Caenorhabditis nematodes. BMC Evolutionary Biology 15:93. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-015-0377-2, PMID: 25994934

Partridge L, Farquhar M. 1981. Sexual activity reduces lifespan of male fruitflies. Nature 294:580–582. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/294580a0

Pries JR, Schnabel H, Schnabel R. 1987. The glp-1 locus and cellular interactions in early C. elegans embryos. Cell 51:601–611. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(87)90129-2, PMID: 3677169

Promislow DEL. 1992. Costs of sexual selection in natural populations of mammals. Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences 247:203–210. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1992.0030

Promislow D. 2003. Mate choice, sexual conflict, and evolution of senescence. Behavior Genetics 33:191–201. PMID: 14574152

Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics 26:841–842. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bto333, PMID: 20110278

Rando TA, Chang HY. 2012. Aging, rejuvenation, and epigenetic reprogramming: resetting the aging clock. Cell 148:46–57. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.003, PMID: 22265401

Riddle DL, Blumenthal T, Meyer BJ, Pries JR. 1997. Introduction to C. Elegans. Cold Spring Harbor (NY).

Riera CE, Merkworth C, De Magalhaes Filho CD, Dillin A. 2016. Signaling networks determining life span. Annual Review of Biochemistry 85:35–64. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060815-014451, PMID: 27294438

Schedl T, Kimble J. 1988. fog-2, a germ-line-specific sex determination gene required for hermaphrodite spermatogenesis in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 119:43–61. PMID: 3396865

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods 9:676–682. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019, PMID: 22743772

Shi C, Runnels AM, Murphy CT. 2017. Mating and male phenomone kill Caenorhabditis males through distinct mechanisms. eLife 6:e23493. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.23493, PMID: 28290982

Shi C, Murphy CT. 2014. Mating induces shrinking and death in Caenorhabditis mothers. Science 343:536–540. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1242958, PMID: 24356112

Shore DE, Ruvkun G. 2013. A cytoprotective perspective on longevity regulation. Trends in Cell Biology 23:409–420. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2013.04.007, PMID: 23726168

Singson A, Mercer KB, L’Hernault SW. 1998. The C. elegans spe-9 gene encodes a sperm transmembrane protein that contains EGF-like repeats and is required for fertilization. Cell 93:71–79. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81147-2, PMID: 9546393

Sivasundar A, Hey J. 2005. Sampling from natural populations with RNAI reveals high outcrossing and population structure in Caenorhabditis elegans. Current Biology 15:1598–1602. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2005.08.034, PMID: 16139217

Stanfield GM, Vileneuve AM. 2006. Regulation of sperm activation by SWM-1 is required for reproductive success of C. elegans males. Current Biology 16:252–263. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2005.12.041, PMID: 16461278

Stowers L, Kuo TH. 2015. Mammalianpheromones: emerging properties and mechanisms of detection. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 34:103–109. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2015.02.005, PMID: 25747731

Thomas CG, Woodruff GC, Haag ES. 2012. Causes and consequences of the evolution of reproductive mode in Caenorhabditis nematodes. Trends in Genetics 28:213–220. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2012.02.007, PMID: 22480920

Ting JJ, Woodruff GC, Leung G, Shin NR, Cutter AD, Haag ES. 2014. Intense sperm-mediated sexual conflict promotes reproductive isolation in Caenorhabditis nematodes. PLOS Biology 12:e1001915. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001915, PMID: 25072732

Uhlen M, Oksvold P, Fagerberg L, Lundberg E, Jonasson K, Forsberg M, Zwahlen M, Kampf C, Wester K, Hober S, Wemerus H, Björling L, Ponten F. 2010. Towards a knowledge-based human protein atlas. Nature Biotechnology 28:1248–1250. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1210-1248, PMID: 21139605

Uhlén M, Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, Sivertsson Å, Kampf C, Sjöstedt E, Asplund A, Olsson I, Edlund K, Lundberg E, Navani S, Šigartova CA, Odeberg J, Djureinovic D, Taken J, Hober S, Alm T, et al. 2015. Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. Science 347:1260419. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260419, PMID: 25613900
Unal E, Kinde B, Amon A. 2011. Gametogenesis eliminates age-induced cellular damage and resets life span in yeast. Science 332:1554–1557. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1204349, PMID: 21700873

Van Voorhies WA. 1992. Production of sperm reduces nematode lifespan. Nature 360:456–458. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/360456a0, PMID: 1448167

Vandenbergh JG. 1969. Male odor accelerates female sexual maturation in mice. Endocrinology 84:658–660. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1210/endo-84-3-658, PMID: 5812988

Wang MC, O’Rourke EJ, Ruvkun G. 2008. Fat metabolism links germline stem cells and longevity in C. elegans. Science 322:957–960. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1162011, PMID: 18988854

Ward S, Carrel JS. 1979. Fertilization and sperm competition in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Developmental Biology 73:304–321. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(79)90069-1, PMID: 499670

Weirauch MT, Yang A, Albu M, Cote AG, Montenegro-Montero A, Drewe P, Najafabadi HS, Lambert SA, Mann I, Cook K, Zheng H, Goity A, van Bakel H, Lozano JC, Galli M, Lewsey MG, Huang E, Mukherjee T, Chen X, Reece-Hoyes JS, et al. 2014. Determination and inference of eukaryotic transcription factor sequence specificity. Cell 158:1431–1443. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.08.009, PMID: 25215497

Woodruff GC, Knauss CM, Maugel TK, Haag ES. 2014. Mating damages the cuticle of C. elegans hermaphrodites. PLOS ONE 9:e104456. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104456, PMID: 25105881

Wu D, Tedesco PM, Phillips PC, Johnson TE. 2012. Fertility/longevity trade-offs under limiting-male conditions in mating populations of Caenorhabditis elegans. Experimental Gerontology 47:759–763. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2012.06.010, PMID: 22771817

Yu G, Wang LG, He QY. 2015. ChIPseeker: an R/Bioconductor package for ChIP peak annotation, comparison and visualization. Bioinformatics 31:2382–2383. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv145, PMID: 25765347