I. INTRODUCTION

The study of topological phases of matter and the structure of their energy bands have been very active fields of research in the last decade. Major results are, among others, the theoretical classification of such phases in a variety of cases and the experimental characterization of solid-state materials with topological properties \cite{1,2,3}. A remarkable feature of such research is the strong interplay between theoretical and experimental advances, with the possibility of singling out materials having the desired properties and then proceeding to the corresponding experimental implementation.

An example of systems widely studied both in 2D and 3D is given by models with conical intersections in the energy spectrum, giving rise to a variety of interesting features and phenomena, such as the chiral anomaly \cite{4}, effective Lorentz violation \cite{5}, emergent space-time supersymmetry \cite{6}, and fractionalization \cite{7}. Additionally, these systems show a relative robustness against transitions to superfluidity and eventual emergence of non-BCS superfluid states \cite{8}, peculiar magneto-optical conductivity \cite{9} and the density response in the presence of an external magnetic field exhibiting a non-analytic, non-classical correction to the electronic compressibility and the plasmon frequency \cite{10}.

Similar phenomena characterize gapless systems displaying topological features, a prominent example being provided by topological semimetals. Such gapless states have band structures which mimic the main properties of the critical points of topological insulators and superconductors. This is the case of the so-called Weyl semimetals \cite{11,12} in three space dimensions (3D): gapless systems characterized by non-trivial boundary phenomena protected by topological properties of their bands. These systems present zero-energy bulk modes with linear dispersion, called Weyl nodes, which are protected against generic local perturbations of the Hamiltonian.

The Weyl nodes have a topological origin, since they constitute monopoles of the Berry curvature of the band structure. This guarantees their stability against perturbations and gives rise to protected gapless surface modes, called Fermi arcs, that connect the projections of the Weyl nodes on the surface Brillouin zone \cite{13}.

Beside the usual Weyl semimetals, characterized by a linear dispersion of the Weyl nodes, the introduction of extra rotational symmetries in the system can lead to the formation of more exotic states. In this case, the band-touching points can be characterized by quadratic or cubic dispersion relations along two momentum directions, and constitute monopoles of higher charges for the Berry connection \cite{14}.

In the case of double charge, the resulting band-touching points are called double-Weyl nodes. These particular topological objects can appear, for example, in the spectrum of strontium silicide with strong spin-orbit coupling, as was very recently pointed out \cite{15}. Such materials combine the anisotropy in their spectrum with the linear behavior of the density of states as a function of the energy, and this gives rise to peculiar anisotropic transport properties \cite{16} and to a non-trivial anisotropic screening of Coulomb interactions \cite{17,18}. Other properties, such as their behavior in the presence of disorder, have also been considered \cite{19}. From this point of view is therefore desirable to consider the properties of double-Weyl nodes in models with tunable parameters.

On the experimental level, Weyl semimetals have been
recently obtained in several solid-state compounds, and their properties are presently an active focus of condensed matter physics. The main strategy for their realization has been to engineer particular materials characterized by the breaking of spatial inversion symmetry. In this way, Weyl semimetals have been realized in compounds which include tantalum arsenide \[22–24\] and niobium arsenide \[25\]. Further experiments allowed to observe the appearance of gapless Fermi arcs on the surface of compounds such as semimetal bismuth trisodium \[26\] and tantalum arsenide \[21\] through angle-resolved photoemission measurements. Beside these solid-state setups, an alternative experimental realization of Weyl points, similarly based on the breaking of space-inversion symmetry, was obtained in photonic crystals \[27\]. We notice that so far no physical realization of Weyl semimetals has been implemented by breaking time-reversal symmetry, but several theoretical proposals have been presented in this direction. They include the use of magnetic impurities \[14\], complex next-nearest-neighbour hoppings in cubic lattices \[25\], or particular patterns of staggered magnetic fields \[29–31\].

A different strategy to achieve a Weyl semimetal is to use, in a tight-binding model of fermions on a cubic lattice, a magnetic field such that the flux per plaquette is \(\pi\) \[32–35\]. Both in 3D \[32–33\] and in 2D \[34\] the single particle spectrum has conical intersections. This model has a natural counterpart in ultracold systems \[35\]: it can be implemented with ultracold fermions in a 3D optical lattice with a synthetic magnetic field generating the \(\pi\) fluxes. In this context, various experimental techniques are available to simulate magnetic fluxes \[36\]. Indeed, in two dimensional systems, lattices with \(\pi\)-fluxes have already been experimentally realized \[37–38\], whereas the corresponding implementation in 3D is still lacking. However, a recent concrete proposal to realize a \(\pi\)-flux per plaquette in 3D lattices of ultracold atoms shows that the required space-dependent phases can be obtained through laser-assisted tunneling in setups which could be implemented with current technology \[39\]. Notice for comparison that large magnetic fluxes are instead in general difficult to achieve in solid-state realizations.

Beyond the tunability of the experimental parameters, another important advantage of considering ultracold atom systems is that in these setups one can also study generalizations of the Abelian \(\pi\)-flux model that involve artificial non-Abelian gauge potentials \[40\], including the effects of a spin-momentum coupling independent on the position. In previous works, theoretical investigations have shown that synthetic non-Abelian gauge potentials are an efficient way of implementing exotic quantum Hall systems \[41–50\] or topological phases \[51–54\] in two-dimensional lattices, and that laser assisted tunneling may provide useful tools for the simulation of particles like massless Dirac fermions \[45\], Wilson fermions \[50\] or Weyl fermions \[57\].

In this paper, we explore the effects of a non-Abelian \(SU(2)\) gauge potential on the topological properties of Weyl semimetals. In particular we examine how the non-Abelian coupling modifies the Weyl fermion physics of cubic lattices in the presence of a \(\pi\)-flux in each plaquette \[45–49\]. The gauge potential mimics the effect of a spin-orbit coupling involving only two momentum components. Similar to a Rashba or Dresselhaus spin-orbit coupling, it has an anisotropic nature: the motion on the \(\hat{z}\) direction is decoupled from the spin.

Our result is that this anisotropic coupling of spin and momentum leads to the formation of a novel topological semimetal featuring double Weyl points. The present study provides a rigorous analytical basis to the recent numerical predictions by Huang \textit{et al.} \[17\] about the formation of quadratic Weyl fermions. In Ref. \[17\] it was shown that the spin-orbit coupling in an inversion-breaking compound, strontium silicide, leads to the creation of these new topological objects. Here, we obtain an analogous result by adding a spin-orbit coupling, thus a specific \(SU(2)\) gauge potential, to the Weyl semimetal induced by \(\pi\) magnetic fluxes in a cubic lattice. Notably, this topological phase of matter is achieved without breaking the spatial inversion symmetry or the time-reversal symmetry of the physical system, a fact allowed by the gauge freedom of the applied gauge potential \[55\].

The models that we analyze are well-suited to describe the behavior of ultracold fermionic gases loaded in optical lattices and subject to artificial non-Abelian gauge potentials (see, for example, \[59–60\]) which act on the inner components of the atoms. In turn, these cold atom systems can be realized experimentally following several techniques, ranging from laser-assisted tunneling to lattice modulations \[30–32\].

The proposed scheme may provide a useful tool for the study of the properties of systems with double-Weyl points: it constitutes both a simple theoretical playground which captures their main features and is the starting point for their experimental realization. Therefore it provides a platform to study the possible modifications of the striking phenomena associated with Weyl nodes to the case of systems exhibiting double-Weyl points, as for instance the cited chiral anomaly, transport effects, or the emergence of non-BCS superfluid states.

Our work is organized as follows. In Section \[1\] we briefly review the main properties of a 3D cubic lattice model with (Abelian) \(\pi\)-fluxes acting on a single-component Fermi gas: this model is known to host Weyl points \[32–34\]. In Section \[II\] we add a uniform non-Abelian gauge potential, mimicking the effect of spin-orbit coupling, and we describe the spectrum and symmetries of the system. The latter shows two inequivalent double-Weyl points, discussed in Subsection \[II A\] as allowed by the \(C_4\) rotational symmetry of the model. After discussing the properties of the Weyl points, in the Subsections \[II B\] and \[II C\] we focus on the numerical and analytical study of surface modes (Fermi arcs) in the presence of hard-wall trapping potentials. In Subsection \[II D\] we investigate the effect of a non-Abelian potential with broken rotational symmetry. In Subsec-
II. CUBIC LATTICE WITH $\pi$ FLUXES

A well-known strategy to achieve Weyl points in a cubic lattice model is to introduce a gauge potential corresponding to a $\pi$-flux in each square plaquette. In this Section we review the main properties of tight-binding Hamiltonian for fermions subjected to such a magnetic field. This model has been studied extensively, see for example \textsuperscript{52,33,55,43,58}. We consider a single species of (polarized) fermions, while two-component fermionic mixtures will be considered in the next Section.

Consider the Abelian vector potential in the Hasegawa gauge \textsuperscript{53}:

$$\vec{A}_{AB} = \pi (z - y, y - z, 0),$$  

(1)

where the lattice spacing is set to 1 for simplicity. The resulting magnetic field is $\vec{B} = \pi (1, 1, 1)$, so that each lattice plaquette is pierced by a flux $\pi$. The tight-binding Hamiltonian of this system reads

$$H = -\omega \sum_{\vec{r}, j} c_{\vec{r}+\hat{j}}^\dagger e^{i\theta_j(\vec{r})} c_{\vec{r}} + \text{H.c.},$$  

(2)

where $c_{\vec{r}}^\dagger$ and $c_{\vec{r}}$ are fermionic creation and annihilation operators at lattice site $\vec{r}$, $\omega$ is the hopping amplitude, $\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}$ are the unit vectors of the lattice, and

$$\theta_j(\vec{r}) = \int_{\vec{r}'}^{\vec{r}+\hat{j}} \vec{A}_{AB}(\vec{r}') \cdot d\vec{r}'.$$  

(3)

Using Eq. (1) in Eq. (3) one gets $\theta_x = \pi (z - y)$, $\theta_y = \pi (y - z) + \pi/2$ and $\theta_z = 0$. To describe this system in the translationally invariant case, it is useful to adopt the Hasegawa approach \textsuperscript{53} by using a basis defined in momentum space: we divide the Hamiltonian in $2 \times 2$ sub-blocks by considering pairs of states $\{ k_x, k_y, k_z \}$ and $\{ k_x, k_y + \pi, k_z - \pi \}$. Corresponding to equivalent points in the Brillouin zone. The spectrum of Eq. (4),

$$\frac{E_k(\vec{k})}{2\omega} = \pm \sqrt{\cos^2 k_x + \cos^2 k_y + \cos^2 k_z}$$  

(5)

shows Weyl points at \( \frac{\pi}{2} (\pm1, \pm1, 1) \). Notice that these Weyl points are stable also when an anisotropy is introduced in the hopping amplitudes: $H(\vec{\tilde{k}}) = -2\omega_x \tau_x \cos k_x + 2\omega_y \tau_y \cos k_y - 2\omega_z \tau_z \cos k_z$. The system remains a semimetal also when local attractive interactions are added, up to a critical value of the interaction strength, due to the vanishing density of states \textsuperscript{56}, as discussed in a variety of $2D$ and $3D$ lattices, including the $3D$ $\pi$-flux model \textsuperscript{55}.

We observe that the Weyl nodes can be obtained by breaking either time-reversal or spatial inversion symmetry, considered in their canonical (translational invariant) form. However, it has been shown that in systems with local gauge symmetries the breakdown of the canonical time-reversal ($T$) or spatial inversion ($P$) symmetry does not imply in general a breaking of the corresponding physical symmetries \textsuperscript{53}. In this case it is possible to engineer Weyl semimetals whose dynamics is invariant under both the physical symmetries. In the following we are going to denote by $P$ and $T$ the physical symmetries. We refer to \textsuperscript{53} for a discussion of the difference between canonical and physical time- and space-reversal symmetry for the Abelian $\pi$-flux potential.

III. THE ANISOTROPIC $SU(2)$ MODEL

A rich phenomenology is obtained by the introduction of a non-Abelian term in the gauge potential. To this purpose, we consider a two-component mixture of fermions on a cubic lattice subject to the vector potential

$$\vec{A} = \vec{A}_{AB} + \vec{A}_{\text{NAB}} \equiv \pi (z - y, y - z, 0) \sigma_0 + q(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, 0),$$  

(6)

where $\sigma_i$ are Pauli matrices characterizing the two components of the inner degree of freedom of the atoms. The Abelian term $\vec{A}_{AB}$ is the gauge potential considered in the previous Section, given by Eq. (1), which now contains the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix $\sigma_0$. The parameter $q$ determines the intensity of the non-Abelian term $\vec{A}_{\text{NAB}}$. \textsuperscript{53} which is gauge equivalent to both a $2D$ Rashba and a Dresselhaus spin-orbit coupling.

The experimental realization of $3D$ optical lattices characterized by appropriate magnetic fluxes may be obtained through suitable laser-assisted tunneling in all the three directions (see, for example, Ref. \textsuperscript{43}). Engineering the $SU(2)$ potential, however, seems to be a more challenging task. There exists a proposal to create such potentials in the absence of a lattice \textsuperscript{69}. Several theoretical works have focused instead on the realization of $SU(2)$ potentials based on laser-assisted tunneling amplitudes among different inner species \textsuperscript{55,67} (see also the
review [60] and references therein) or shaking techniques
[68]. Only very recently a first experimental realization of a
two-dimensional SU(2) gauge potential has been im-
plemented in a Rubidium gas through an optical Raman
lattice [69].

In Eq. (4), the Pauli matrices \( \sigma_i \) refer to the
(pseudo)spin 1/2 degree of freedom, which may repre-
sent different hyperfine levels in ultracold atomic setups
in optical lattices (see [36, 60] for reviews about artificial
gauge potentials in ultracold atomic systems). However,
this pseudospin could also be interpreted as associated
to a further sublattice degree of freedom if we consider a
3D structure composed by layers of honeycomb lattices,
such as the ones realized experimentally using shaking
techniques. In this case the SU(2) non-Abelian poten-
tial can be obtained as the effect of next-nearest-neighbor
hopping with particular phases.

The potential in Eq. (4) produces a system obeying
the same discrete symmetries as in the Abelian case. The
system Hamiltonian can be written in terms of general-
zized tunneling phases as

\[
H = -\omega \sum_{\vec{r}, j} c^\dagger_{\vec{r}+j,s} U_{s,s'}(\vec{r}) c_{\vec{r},s'} + \text{h.c.}, \tag{7}
\]

here \( s, s' \) label the spin states and the operators \( U^j \in
SU(2) \) characterize the hopping in the \( j \)-direction:

\[
U^x = e^{i\sigma_z z y} \tag{8}
\]

\[
U^y = i e^{i\sigma_y y z} \tag{9}
\]

\[
U^z = 1. \tag{10}
\]

The canonical time-reversal symmetry \( \mathcal{T} \) corre-
responds to a transformation \( \vec{A} \to -\vec{A} \), which maps \( U^j \to U^{j\dagger} \). The initial and final Hamiltonians are however related by a
SU(2) gauge transformation \( U^g \in SU(2) \), such that the equation

\[
\mathcal{T} H \mathcal{T}^\dagger = U^g H U^g \tag{11}
\]

defines the physical time-reversal transformation. The spatial inversion symmetry is instead given by the real-space transformation:

\[
x \to -x, \quad y \to -y, \quad z \to -z, \tag{12}
\]

and it corresponds to a unitary transformation \( H(-\vec{r}) = \sigma_z H(\vec{r}) \sigma_z \). Therefore \( \mathcal{P} = \sigma_z \mathcal{P} = \sigma_z \mathcal{P} \), \( \mathcal{P} \) being the physical
space inversion symmetry.

Using the same Brillouin zone as in the Abelian model,
the momentum space form of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (7)
reads

\[
\frac{H(\vec{k})}{2\omega} = -\cos q \cos k_x \tau_x + \cos q \cos k_y \tau_y - \cos k_z \tau_z +
+ \sin q \sin k_x \tau_x \otimes \sigma_x - \sin q \sin k_y \tau_y \otimes \sigma_y. \tag{12}
\]

This Hamiltonian can be put in a more symmetric form
by a momentum shift \( k_x \to k_x + \pi \), equivalent to a gauge
transformation. In this way a Dresselhaus spin-orbit cou-
pling in its standard form is also obtained. Moreover, it
becomes explicit that the model in Eq. (12) displays a
C_4 symmetry corresponding to rotations of angles \( \pi/2 \)
around the \( \hat{z} \) axis (including a rotation of both \( \hat{x} \) and \( \hat{y} \)).

Diagonalizing Eq. (12) we obtain the following eigen-
values:

\[
\frac{E_{\pm}(\vec{k})}{\sqrt{2} \omega} = \sqrt{3 + \cos 2q (\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y) + \cos 2k_z \pm \sqrt{b(\vec{k})}} \tag{13}
\]

with

\[
b(\vec{k}) = \sin^2 q \left( 4 - 2 \cos^2 q (\cos 4k_x + \cos 4k_y) -
- 4 \sin^2 q (\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y - \cos 2k_x \cos 2k_y) \right). \tag{14}
\]

For \( q \to 0 \) the spectrum (5) is retrieved.

The spectrum is composed of four sub-bands, two hav-
ing positive and two negative energy, linked by a particle-
hole symmetry \( \mathcal{C} = \tau_x \otimes \sigma_y K \), with \( K \) complex conjugation,
such that Eq. (12) transforms as:

\[
H(\vec{k}) = -\mathcal{C} H^\dagger(-\vec{k}) \mathcal{C}^{-1}. \tag{15}
\]

Furthermore, as we showed before, the unitary space in-
version symmetry is implemented by the operator \( \mathcal{P} = \sigma_z \)
and it implies that the spectrum is symmetric under
\( k \to -k \).

The two-dimensional limit of the model in Eq. (7) can
be addressed considering different hopping \( \omega_j \) in the
different directions and then simply sending to zero the hop-
ping amplitude \( \omega_j \) along \( \hat{z} \), \( \omega_j \to 0 \), in all the resulting expressions for the Hamiltonian and their energies. Keeping
\( \omega_x = \omega_y \equiv \omega \) we do not break the \( C_4 \) rotatio-
nal symmetry and we find that the anisotropic model of Eq. (12)
with \( \omega_j \to 0 \) is equivalent, via the unitary global trans-
formation \( U = (\tau_x + \tau_y)/\sqrt{2} \) to the model studied in [52]
in the case of Abelian flux \( \pi \). This mapping corresponds to the transformation from the Hasegawa to the Landau
gauge in real space: \( \vec{A} \to \vec{A} - \vec{A} \) \( (z - y) x + (y^2 - z^2) \).

In this 2D limit a canonical time-reversal symmetry is
defined as \( \mathcal{T} = \tau_x \sigma_y K \). The system is then in the topo-
logically non-trivial symmetry class DIII [1, 4, 5, 70] but
it is a gapless system, corresponding to a thermal metal [71]
or semimetal phase.

### A. Double-Weyl points

In the presence of the non-Abelian term \( \vec{A}_{NAB} \) the two
central bands touch at zero energy in the points \( k_0^{(\pm, \pm)} = \frac{\pi}{2}(\pm 1, \pm 1, 1) \) of the Brillouin zone. Unlike the Abelian
case, the energy dispersion around these Weyl nodes is
quadratic in the \( k_x - k_y \) plane, whereas it remains linear in
the \( k_z \) direction. Therefore such nodes are double-
Weyl points.

They can be shown to be the fusion of two simple
Weyl points into a double monopole of the Berry flux
with charge \( Q = \pm 2 \) (see Appendix A) and they appear
due to the $C_3$ symmetry of the Hamiltonian (12). If this rotational symmetry is broken by a small perturbation, the double-Weyl points split into two single Weyl points. We refer to Ref. [16] for a detailed study of the multi-Weyl points stabilized by point group symmetries and to Ref. [17] for a numerical analysis of a compound of strontium silicide, where double-Weyl points appear due to a weak spin-orbit coupling, similar to the non-Abelian gauge potentials we consider. A different approach to obtain multi-Weyl points has been very recently experimentally implemented in photonic crystals with time-reversal and $C_3$ rotational symmetry [72].

The zero-energy points appear only when the $\tau_z$ term in Eq. (12) vanishes, thus for $k_z = \pi/2$. To show this, we can decompose the Hamiltonian in Eq. (12) into two anti-commuting parts: $H = H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) + H_z(k_z)$ with $H_z = -2\omega \tau_z \cos k_z$, such that $\{H_{xy}, H_z\} = 0$. This implies that the term $H_z$ couples pairs of eigenstates of $H_{xy}$ with opposite energies $\pm \epsilon_{xy}$. Therefore, in order to obtain eigenstates of the full Hamiltonian at zero energy, we must consider, on one side, pairs of eigenstates of $H_{xy}$ with $k_{xy} = 0$ and, on the other, a vanishing operator $H_z$. Otherwise, a gap would open between the two states in each pair (see more details in Ref. [73]).

To examine the system in the proximity of the double-Weyl points it is useful to apply a perturbative approach and expand the Hamiltonian in series of the momenta around these nodes. In this way we define an effective $2 \times 2$ Hamiltonian which approximates the behavior of the two intermediate energy bands in a neighborhood of the band-touching points.

For simplicity, we focus on the point $\vec{k} = (\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi/2)$. In the basis $|\tau_z \sigma_z\rangle$, the states $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ have vanishing energy at the double-Weyl point, whereas the states $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ have an energy $\pm 2\omega \sin q$. Once we expand all the terms in the momenta to second order, we may consider the Hamiltonian as a perturbation of the trivial Hamiltonian $H = 0$ for the two states. In the basis of the two intermediate bands, this effective Hamiltonian reads:

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\omega}{\sin q} \left( k_x^2 - k_y^2 \right) \tau_x - 2\omega \cos^2 \frac{q}{2} k_x k_y \tau_y + 2\omega k_z \tau_z,$$

which $k_i = k_i - \pi/2$.

It can be shown that $H_{\text{eff}}$ defines, in proximity of the point $\vec{k} = (\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi/2)$, a magnetic monopole of charge 2 for the Berry flux (see Appendix A). Therefore, similarly to the conventional Weyl semimetals [18], it is expected to give rise to unconventional protected zero-energy surface states.

Furthermore, the effective Hamiltonian in Eq. (16) is expressed in the basis of the two intermediate bands, but it is also related to the spin degrees of freedom $\sigma$ and it links the expectation value of the spin to the momentum $\vec{k}$ around the double-Weyl points. Similarly to what happens in 1D [74] and 2D [55] setups with the simultaneous presence of magnetic fluxes and non-Abelian gauge potentials, the expectation value $\langle \sigma(\vec{k}) \rangle$ is an observable that, in principle, can be estimated in spin-resolved time-of-flight measurements with suitable $\pi/2$ pulses. This opens the possibility of a direct measurement of the charge of the double-Weyl points through an approximated evaluation of the spin winding numbers associated to surfaces that enclose the band touching points in momentum space.

B. Zero-energy Fermi arcs and breaking of the gauge symmetry

For both the Abelian and non-Abelian gauge-invariant models, the effect of a surface orthogonal to the $\hat{z}$ direction is trivial: no gapless surface mode can appear for a surface at $z = 0$, as can be shown by an explicit calculation in the presence of a hard-wall potential. In contrast, surfaces orthogonal to either $\hat{x}$ or $\hat{y}$ (the two cases are rotationally equivalent) present two localized surface modes which emerge from the projection of the double-Weyl points on the surface Brillouin zone. However, these states interact in pairs due to the overlap of double-Weyl points with opposite chirality, and no protected zero-energy Fermi arcs appear (see Fig. 1).

The overlap of double Weyl points in the $k_x$ and $k_y$ directions is caused by two symmetries of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (12), which can be expressed as translations by $\pi$ in momentum space. We get

$$H(k_x, k_y, k_z) = -\tau_y H(k_x + \pi, k_y, k_z) \tau_x,$$

$$H(k_x, k_y, k_z) = -\tau_y H(k_x, k_y + \pi, k_z) \tau_y.$$
These transformations relate Weyl points with opposite chirality through a translation along \( \hat{k}_x \) or \( \hat{k}_y \). Thus, for each double Weyl point, there exists a symmetric point which shares either the same \( k_x \) or \( k_y \) coordinate. Further reflection symmetries characterize the Hamiltonian \([12]\) and entail the same effect (see Appendix \([3]\) for more details).

Therefore, the system described by the potential \([6]\) presents zero-energy Fermi arcs only on surfaces that are not orthogonal to the main lattice directions. In Fig. \([2]\) we show the band structure of the Hamiltonian \([7]\) on an infinite slab oriented along the \( \hat{x} + \hat{y} \) diagonal direction, with hard-wall boundaries at \( x - y = 0 \) and 120\( a \). In this case only Weyl points with the same chirality overlap, leading to protected zero-energy Fermi arcs connecting their projections on the surface Brillouin zone and localized on the boundaries of the system.

In order to obtain protected zero-energy Fermi arcs also on surfaces defined along the main lattice directions for either \( x = 0 \) or \( y = 0 \), it is necessary to break the previous symmetries in Eqs. \([17]\) and \([18]\). Since they are independent on \( \sigma \), these symmetries are left untouched when varying the \( SU(2) \) component of the gauge potential in Eq. \([6]\).

The situation becomes different if we instead consider the introduction of an additional term which breaks the \( SU(2) \) gauge invariance and, therefore, the physical time-reversal symmetry. The system is then no longer a \( PT \) invariant Weyl semimetal, but a usual Weyl semimetal with broken \( T \). An example is given by the perturbation \( H_{xx} \equiv h_x \sigma_x \otimes \tau_z \), corresponding to a staggered Zeeman term

\[ H_{xx} = h_x \sigma_x \cos \left[ \pi (y - z) \right], \tag{19} \]

which may be realized by considering a weak optical su-

perlattice of spacing \( 2a \) tuned close to appropriate anti-magic wave-lengths \([67]\). These are special values of the wave-lengths such that the polarizability of two states of the considered atoms are opposite. Therefore, by encoding the eigenstates of \( \sigma_x \) into these species, one may obtain a suitable Zeeman term in the form \([19]\). A precise determination of the realization of this staggering term, however, strictly depends on the setup adopted for the implementation of the non-Abelian gauge potential. We refer to Refs. \([51, 53, 67, 68]\) for discussions on the effective engineering of these Hamiltonian terms.

The perturbation Eq. \([19]\) is invariant under the spatial inversion in Eq. \([11]\), but breaks instead the physical time-reversal symmetry \( U_y T \). The term \( H_{xx} \) commutes with \( H_{xy} \) at the double Weyl points and anti-commutes with \( H_z \), thus avoiding the opening of an energy gap at the band-touching points. Additionally, \( H_{xx} \) breaks both the translational symmetries along \( k_z \) of the kind \([17]\), as well as the \( k_z \)-reflection symmetries listed in Appendix \([3]\). Therefore it leads to the formation of Fermi arcs on surfaces orthogonal to the \( z \) direction, as seen in Fig. \([3]\).

Finally, we notice that \( H_{xx} \) breaks both the canonical particle-hole symmetry \( C \) and the \( C_4 \) rotational symmetry around the \( z \) axis. Therefore all the double Weyl points split up into pairs of Weyl points as shown in Fig. \([4]\).

C. Fermi arcs wavefunction

In the presence of the staggered Zeeman term \([19]\) and of a boundary on the system orthogonal to either \( \hat{x} \) or \( \hat{y} \), the existence of the Fermi arcs can be shown analytically. For this purpose we start from the Hamiltonian:

\[ H_{xy} + H_{xx} = -\cos q \cos k_x \tau_y \otimes \sigma_0 + \cos q \cos k_y \tau_y \otimes \sigma_0 + (\sin q \sin k_x + h_x) \tau_x \otimes \sigma_x - \sin q \sin k_y \tau_y \otimes \sigma_y, \tag{20} \]

in which we fixed \( \omega = 1/2 \) for the sake of simplicity and \( k_z = \pi/2 \) since it is a necessary requirement to obtain a

FIG. 2. Bandstructure in an infinite slab geometry with hard-wall boundary conditions at \( x - y = 0 \) and \( x - y = 120a \), using the same parameters and the same conventions as Fig. \([1]\). Double-Weyl points of the same chirality overlap in the surface Brillouin zone, and are connected by zero-energy Fermi arcs.

FIG. 3. Same as Fig. \([1]\) but with the addition of the staggered Zeeman field \( H_{xx} \) given by Eq. \([19]\), with \( h_x = 1 \).
vanishing energy, due to the condition $H_z = 0$.

First, we show that there are Weyl points located at $k_x = -\pi/2$ which have no counterpart at $k_x = \pi/2$. For $k_x = -\pi/2$ the Hamiltonian takes the block off-diagonal form:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A(k_y) \\ A^\dagger(k_y) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$A(k_y) = \begin{pmatrix} -i \cos q \cos k_y & h + \sin q (\sin k_y - 1) \\ h - \sin q (\sin k_y + 1) & -i \cos q \cos k_y \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(21)

Its gap closes for $\det A = 0$. From

$$\det A(k_y) = -\cos^2 q + \sin^2 k_y - (h - \sin q)^2 = 0$$

(22)

we get:

$$\sin k_y = \pm \sqrt{h^2 - 2h \sin q + 1}.$$  

(23)

If $h < 2 \sin q$ (we consider $0 < q < \pi$) four Weyl points appear for $k_x = -\pi/2$. It is easy to verify that such Weyl points have no counterpart for $k_x = \pi/2$ (see Fig. 1), and therefore do not overlap with other band-touching points once projected on a surface Brillouin zone along $k_x$.

To find a simple approximate expression for the Fermi arc wavefunctions appearing on the surface at $x = 0$, we adopt the approach discussed in Refs. [23, 25], starting from the Hamiltonian [20]. We expand it to first order in $k_x$ in a neighborhood of the Weyl points at $k_x = -\pi/2$ and then substitute $k_x = -i\partial_x$, since translational invariance along $\hat{x}$ is broken. We obtain the following equation for the zero-energy states $H\psi(x, k_y, k_z = \pi/2) = 0$:

$$\partial_x \psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos k_y \tau_z + i \frac{h - \sin q}{\cos q} \sigma_x + \\ + \tan q \sin k_y \tau_z \sigma_y \end{pmatrix} \psi(x)$$

(25)

leading to the coupled set of differential equations

$$\partial_x \psi^\dagger = i \frac{h - \sin q - \tau_z \sin q \sin k_y}{\cos q} \psi \uparrow$$

$$\partial_x \psi_\downarrow = i \frac{h - \sin q + \tau_z \sin q \sin k_y}{\cos q} \psi \downarrow - \tau_z \cos k_y \psi^\dagger.$$  

(26)

(27)

From the last equation we obtain

$$\psi \downarrow = -i \frac{\cos q (\partial_x + \tau_z \cos k_y)}{h - \sin q + \tau_z \sin q \sin k_y} \psi \uparrow.$$  

(28)

Substituting into (26) one has

$$(\partial_x + \tau_z \cos k_y)^2 \psi \downarrow = -\frac{(h - \sin q)^2 + \sin^2 q \sin^2 k_y}{\cos^2 q} \psi \downarrow.$$  

(29)

Considering a solution of the kind $\psi \downarrow(x) \propto e^{i\alpha x}$ leads to

$$\alpha = -\tau_z \cos k_y \pm i \frac{\sin^2 q \sin^2 k_y - (h - \sin q)^2}{\cos^2 q}.$$  

(30)

Therefore we obtain that, for $h < \sin q$ and hard-wall boundary conditions at $x = 0$, there are two Fermi arcs of the form

$$\psi \downarrow(x) \propto \sin \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\sin^2 q \sin^2 k_y - (h - \sin q)^2}{\cos^2 q}} \right] e^{-\tau_z \cos k_y x}.$$  

(31)

The Fermi arc wavefunctions are zero-energy eigenstates for the Hamiltonian $H_{xy}$. By considering a value $k_z \neq \pi/2$, however, they acquire a dispersion given by $H_z = -2\omega \tau_z \cos k_z$.

The approximate solution of Eq. (31) relies on the first order expansion of the Hamiltonian in $k_z$ and, for example, it is not in exact agreement with Eq. (24), which defines the correct extension of the Fermi arcs in $k_z$. Furthermore we emphasize that the Fermi arcs appear for $h < 2 \sin q$, as deduced from Eq. (24) and not for $h < \sin q$ as predicted by [20]. However, the approximate solution Eq. (31) allows to verify analytically the existence of the zero-energy Fermi arcs and gives a good description of these surface states when $q \ll \pi/2$ and $h < 0$. In this case both the exponential decay and the oscillatory term properly match the numerical results (see Fig. 3). By increasing $q$ the oscillatory term is only qualitatively captured, and its decay length does not match the numerical solution.

D. Effect of the breaking of rotational symmetry on double-Weyl points and Fermi arcs

We saw above that double-Weyl points occur for arbitrary intensity of the non-Abelian gauge field $\vec{A}_{NAB}$ in [19]. However, a central ingredient for the emergence
of double-Weyl points is $C_4$ rotational symmetry around the $\hat{z}$ axis which implies that the coefficient in front of $\sigma_x$ and $\sigma_y$ in (31) must be the same for the two components.

In real experiments, however, this assumption can be violated. For this reason it is important to examine the effect of a weak perturbation breaking the rotational symmetry. Such anisotropy can be modeled by the new vector potential:

$$\vec{A} = \pi (z - y, y - z, 0) \sigma_0 + (q_x \sigma_x, q_y \sigma_y, 0),$$

(32)

where we consider in general $q_x \neq q_y$.

Similarly to the previous situation defined by (31), a closed expression for the four energy bands resulting from (32) can be obtained, but the final formula for the energies is rather complicated and not particularly enlightening. Therefore, we will not give it here, limiting instead the discussion to its main features.

The main result is that, as expected, for small anisotropies $q_x \approx q_y$, each double-Weyl point splits into two inequivalent single Weyl points with linear dispersion, separated along the direction corresponding to the largest between $|q_x|$ and $|q_y|$. A typical situation is shown in Fig. 5.

In this case, the Fermi arcs of the double-Weyl points in a diagonal slab split into two Fermi arcs, partially overlapping and related to the single-Weyl points. Since the two Weyl points are generated by the same double-Weyl node, and therefore have the same charge, there cannot be any detrimental interactions between these Fermi arcs, and the latter are pinned to zero energy.

For small perturbations given by $\delta q = |q_x - q_y|$, as the ones coming from imperfections in real experiments, we find that the distance between the Weyl points is linear in $\delta q$. This implies that in realistic setups such a perturbation could be controlled to be negligible with respect to the adopted detection techniques. The same considerations apply to the Fermi arcs.

We observe that a similar phenomenology would be generated by the introduction of different hopping amplitudes in the $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ directions. In the case $\omega_x \neq \omega_y$ the rotational symmetry is indeed trivially broken.

Concerning the experimental observations of single and double-Weyl points in ultracold atom settings, the main tools available with current ultracold atom technology rely on the possibility of detecting the shape of the energy bands and the Berry curvature as a function of the momenta.

Regarding the band mapping, several techniques, including Bragg spectroscopy, have already been successfully applied in 1D and 2D systems (a general technique is applied, for example, in [76]). Another powerful tool is the study of Landau-Zener processes which enable to detect the gap between two bands along different paths in momentum space, based on the non-adiabatic transitions between the two bands [77]. These measurements have been adopted to detect 2D Dirac cones in optical lattices with a tunable honeycomb geometry [78], and to study the phase diagram of the topological Haldane model [79]. It is interesting to notice that in the previous experiments such techniques have been applied to band-touching points with a linear dispersion, corresponding to a standard Landau-Zener process as the ones expected for standard Weyl points [80]. The study of double-Weyl points through this technique would instead require a generalization of the Landau-Zener description for a quadratic dispersion, in a way similar to the analysis of the merging transition of Dirac cones in two dimensions [81].

The measurement of the Berry curvature is another useful tool which can be adopted for the detection of double-Weyl points. Berry curvatures have successfully been measured in several 2D systems: these observations include interferometric experiments [80] and measurements of the population in different energy bands [81], also in the case of non-Abelian Berry connections in
two-band systems \[S2\]. Once extended in a 3D setup, this would allow a direct measurement of the Berry monopole for both single and multi-Weyl points.

E. Zero-energy states in the presence of a harmonic trap

The experimental setups of ultracold atomic gases loaded in optical lattices is usually based on either harmonic trapping potentials \[S3\] or the implementation of a hard-wall confinement for the atoms obtained through optical box traps \[S4\] or light-intensity masks \[S5, S6\]. It is therefore interesting to examine the behaviour of the zero-energy modes in the presence of both these potentials and to evaluate how they are related to the double-Weyl points.

By trapping the atoms in this way, the sharp box-shaped potentials allow us to define precise surfaces for the system, whereas the role of a weak harmonic trapping can be assimilated to a space-dependent chemical potential in a local density approximation regime.

Hence, in order to investigate the effect of the trapping, we assume the previous diagonal slab geometry with the addition of a shallow 1D harmonic potential which depends only on the distance from the surface of the slab.

The system is thus infinite and translational invariant along the \(\hat{z}\) and \(\hat{x} + \hat{y}\) directions, but has a finite thickness along the \(\hat{x} - \hat{y}\) direction. This allows us to compare the zero-energy surface states arising with and without the harmonic trap. The single-particle Hamiltonian is

\[
H_{\text{trap}} = H + m \Omega^2 (x - y)^2 / 2 - \mu,
\]

where \(H\) is given by Eq. \([7]\). In the central part of the trap the harmonic potential is negligible and, for values of the chemical potential such that \(0 < \mu < 2\omega\), the system is in a metallic phase with the two lowest bands fully occupied and the third band only partially filled. In a local approximation, the Fermi surface with zero energy corresponds to a set of closed lines around the double-Weyl points.

By increasing the distance \(|x - y|\) from the center, the harmonic potential effectively reduces the local chemical potential. Therefore, the Fermi surface shrinks at first towards the double-Weyl points, until a distance such that \(m \Omega^2 (x - y)^2 = 2\mu\) where only the double-Weyl points remain as zero-energy states. Moving further towards the surfaces, the system is again in a metallic phase, now with the second band partially filled. Here the zero-energy modes define larger and larger contours around the band-touching points. Finally, on the hard-wall boundary at \(|x - y| = L\), zero-energy surface modes appear. Their energy can be roughly estimated by:

\[
H_{\text{surface}} \approx -2\omega \tau_z \cos k_z - \mu + m\Omega^2 L^2 / 2.
\]

This dispersion relation implies that the Fermi arcs are shifted by \(\pm \delta k_z\) from their previous momentum \(k_z = \pi / 2\) in order to compensate for the trapping energy. This approximation however fails when properly considering the penetration of the surface modes in the bulk.

In Fig. \([7]\) we plot the states around zero energy on the surface Brillouin zone for the diagonal slab geometry. We used a value of the trapping \(\Omega\) such that the local chemical potential ranges from \(\mu\) to \(-\mu\) with \(\mu < \omega\). Our numerical results show that the gapless surface states interpolate, with curved shapes, between the zero-energy Fermi surfaces surrounding the projections of the double-Weyl points, as emerging from previous discussions \[13, 75\].

This is the expected result as long as the trapping is weak enough in such a way that on the boundary of the system the local chemical potential still falls within the second band. At variance, if the trapping increases further such that \(\mu \geq \omega\), all the surface Brillouin zone will be covered by bulk zero-energy states, and the boundary of the system will be locally found in a gapped phase corresponding to an insulator, where only the first band is filled. Even larger values of \(\Omega\) can then lead to the appearance of a new metallic phase corresponding to a partial filling of the first band close to the boundary.

The zero-energy Fermi surfaces, appearing directly as states in the Brillouin zone, can be experimentally probed through a band mapping based on Bragg spectroscopy \[76\], analogously to the bulk states (see the end of Subsection \[3.4\]).
IV. OUTLOOK

A flourishing research activity about Weyl semimetals is developing in the last few years with the aim of proposing and experimentally realizing these systems and classifying the various types of Weyl nodes (see, e.g., the very recent work [87]). In this paper we analyzed the effects of a non-Abelian gauge potential on a system of two-species fermions moving in a cubic lattice with nearest neighbor hopping only. We considered in particular the case of an $U(2)$ potential describing both a $\pi$ magnetic flux in each plaquette and a spin-orbit coupling. When the non-Abelian component of the potential preserves a $C_4$ rotation symmetry along the $\hat{z}$ axis, the system is characterized by double-Weyl-points. These are zero-energy band-touching points with a quadratic dispersion along two directions, and correspond to double monopoles for the Berry connection. Our findings provide a useful and simple analytical model for their realization and confirm the numerical results showing that the introduction of a suitable spin-orbit coupling leads to the formation of double-Weyl points. We observe that in the non-interacting limit the double-Weyl points we are considering are distinctly different from a generic quadratic band-touching [88] due to the anisotropy of the dispersion relation, which enables the formation of a monopole of the Berry curvature thanks to the linear dispersion in the $\hat{z}$ direction.

The double-Weyl points lead to the formation of peculiar surface states. In a slab geometry oriented in a diagonal direction in the $xy$ plane, pairs of zero-energy Fermi arcs originate from each of these zero-energy bulk states. When the boundary is instead orthogonal to one of the main directions, $\hat{x}$ or $\hat{y}$, the surface modes interact and acquire a non-trivial dispersion. In this case, in order to obtain Fermi arcs, the breakdown of the specific symmetries is required. This can be achieved, for instance, by an additional staggered Zeeman term. However, the latter also breaks the translational and $C_4$ rotational symmetries, splitting the double-Weyl points in pairs of single-Weyl ones.

In the same way, any anisotropy breaking the $C_4$ rotational symmetry leads to a splitting of the double-Weyl points. However, we expect that the amount of anisotropy occurring in real experiments is small, and does not lead to sensible difference from the behaviour characteristic of these points.

We finally analyzed the effects of the harmonic trapping, showing that it leads to the formation of topological metals with non-trivial Fermi surfaces, while preserving the zero-energy surface modes.

As an interesting avenue for future research, it would be interesting to study the effect of disorder both in the Abelian fluxes and in the intensity of the non-Abelian term to determine how robust are double-Weyl points with respect to perturbations and imperfections, similar to Ref. [21]. In the purely Abelian limit, disorder in fluxes was considered in [58] and on the basis of these results we expect that the disorder in the translational invariant non-Abelian term may not play a crucial role and it does not add significant modifications to the effects of the disorder of the $\pi$-fluxes. In the non-interacting case it would be important to consider more general non-Abelian terms $\tilde{A}_{\text{NAB}}$ to classify the multiple Weyl points one may obtain. It would be also interesting to consider the effect of long-range couplings, as the ones studied in [59] and associated to non-trivial topologies of the Fermi surface.

In the interacting case a first direction of future investigation would be to add local interaction, as in the case of alkali atom, and determine the differences with the superfluid states in the presence of quadratic band touching. Another promising future work would be to consider long-range interactions, possibly in the presence of short-range interactions (as it was recently considered in [89] for 3D fermions close to a quadratic band touching point).
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Appendix A: Double-Weyl points as Berry monopoles

The effective Hamiltonian [16] describes the two internal bands in a neighborhood of one of the double-Weyl points and it allows to evaluate an approximated behavior of their associated Fermi arcs. The double-Weyl points are monopoles with charge two of the Berry flux and it allows to evaluate an approximated value of the Berry connection $A_j$.

We obtain:

\begin{align}
F_x &= \frac{\tilde{k}_x \left(\tilde{k}_x^2 + \tilde{k}_y^2\right)}{\sqrt{2} \left(\tilde{k}_x^2 - \tilde{k}_y^2 \tilde{k}_y^2 + \tilde{k}_x^2 + 2\tilde{k}_y^2\right)^{3/2}} \quad (A1) \\
F_y &= \frac{\tilde{k}_y \left(\tilde{k}_x^2 + \tilde{k}_y^2\right)}{\sqrt{2} \left(\tilde{k}_x^2 - \tilde{k}_y^2 \tilde{k}_y^2 + \tilde{k}_x^2 + 2\tilde{k}_y^2\right)^{3/2}} \quad (A2) \\
F_z &= -\frac{\sqrt{2} \tilde{k}_z \left(\tilde{k}_x^2 + \tilde{k}_y^2\right)}{\left(\tilde{k}_x^2 - \tilde{k}_y^2 \tilde{k}_y^2 + \tilde{k}_x^2 + 2\tilde{k}_y^2\right)^{3/2}}. \quad (A3)
\end{align}
By integrating the flux of $F$ for a closed surface around the Weyl point in $\vec{k} = (\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi/2)$ one obtains a flux $4\pi$, corresponding to a double charge.

Appendix B: Overlap of the double-Weyl points and symmetries

The overlap of double-Weyl points on the surface Brillouin zone along the canonical directions of the lattice is caused by the symmetries of the Hamiltonian \[^{12}\], including the momentum translations in \[^{12}\] and \[^{12}\]. Here we focus on the plane where the Weyl points lie, $k_z = \pi/2$, and list the symmetries of $H_{xy}$ that must be broken to avoid such overlaps.

First, there are anti-commuting reflection symmetries which map either $k_x \rightarrow -k_x$ or $k_y \rightarrow -k_y$:

$$H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) = -\tau_z \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \tau_z \sigma_y,$$  \hspace{1cm}  \text{(B1)}

$$H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) = -\tau_z \sigma_x H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \tau_z \sigma_x.$$  \hspace{1cm}  \text{(B2)}

Then we must consider commuting reflection symmetries corresponding to the same reflections:

$$H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) = \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \sigma_y,$$  \hspace{1cm}  \text{(B3)}

$$H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) = \sigma_z H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \sigma_z.$$  \hspace{1cm}  \text{(B4)}

Finally we must take into account commuting translational symmetries of the kind:

$$H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) = \tau_y H_{xy}(k_x + \pi, k_y) \tau_y,$$  \hspace{1cm}  \text{(B5)}

$$H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) = \tau_x H_{xy}(k_x, k_y + \pi) \tau_x.$$  \hspace{1cm}  \text{(B6)}

The effect of these sets of symmetries is that, given a Weyl point in a particular position, there will be two symmetric zero-energy points, with opposite monopole charge, which share respectively the same $k_x$ and $k_y$ component.

Therefore, analogously to the symmetries \[^{17}\] \[^{18}\], also the previous relations imply that, if we consider a boundary orthogonal to either the $\hat{x}$ or the $\hat{y}$ direction, two Weyl points with opposite Berry monopole will overlap in the surface Brillouin zone and the related Fermi arcs will acquire a non-zero energy.

In order to avoid this, we have to introduce an additional term in the Hamiltonian, in such a way to break the symmetries \[^{17}\] \[^{18}\] in the $k_x$ direction, or to break \[^{18}\] \[^{18}\] \[^{18}\] \[^{18}\] \[^{18}\] in order to avoid the overlaps in the $k_y$ direction. As discussed in the main text, such an additional term necessarily breaks the $SU(2)$ gauge invariance and anti-commutes with $H_z$. Thus a simple Zeeman splitting is not sufficient. The term $H_{xx}$ in Eq. \[^{19}\] violates all the symmetries in the set \[^{17}\] \[^{18}\] \[^{18}\] \[^{18}\] \[^{18}\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_y H_{xy}(k_x + \pi, k_y) \tau_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_x H_{xy}(k_x, k_y + \pi) \tau_x.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \tau_z \sigma_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_x H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \tau_z \sigma_x.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \sigma_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \sigma_z H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \sigma_z.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_y H_{xy}(k_x + \pi, k_y) \tau_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_x H_{xy}(k_x, k_y + \pi) \tau_x.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \tau_z \sigma_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_x H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \tau_z \sigma_x.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \sigma_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \sigma_z H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \sigma_z.
\end{align*}
\]

Finally we must take into account commuting translational symmetries of the kind:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_y H_{xy}(k_x + \pi, k_y) \tau_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_x H_{xy}(k_x, k_y + \pi) \tau_x.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \tau_z \sigma_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_x H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \tau_z \sigma_x.
\end{align*}
\]

Finally we must take into account commuting translational symmetries of the kind:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_y H_{xy}(k_x + \pi, k_y) \tau_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_x H_{xy}(k_x, k_y + \pi) \tau_x.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \tau_z \sigma_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_x H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \tau_z \sigma_x.
\end{align*}
\]

Finally we must take into account commuting translational symmetries of the kind:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_y H_{xy}(k_x + \pi, k_y) \tau_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_x H_{xy}(k_x, k_y + \pi) \tau_x.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \tau_z \sigma_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_x H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \tau_z \sigma_x.
\end{align*}
\]

Finally we must take into account commuting translational symmetries of the kind:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_y H_{xy}(k_x + \pi, k_y) \tau_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_x H_{xy}(k_x, k_y + \pi) \tau_x.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \tau_z \sigma_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_x H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \tau_z \sigma_x.
\end{align*}
\]

Finally we must take into account commuting translational symmetries of the kind:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_y H_{xy}(k_x + \pi, k_y) \tau_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= \tau_x H_{xy}(k_x, k_y + \pi) \tau_x.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_y H_{xy}(-k_x, k_y) \tau_z \sigma_y, \\
H_{xy}(k_x, k_y) &= -\tau_z \sigma_x H_{xy}(k_x, -k_y) \tau_z \sigma_x.
\end{align*}
\]
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