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ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out to verify the variation of short fiber content (SFC) and its importance. The instruments and/ or methods used to measure short fiber include High Volume Instrument (HVI), Fibrograph 630 and Suter-Webb array. Materials used in this study included the extra-long and long staple varieties with wide range of lint grades. The three measures of short fiber especially, Suter-Webb-SFC exhibited high variations for lint grades and low variations for cotton varieties. Suter-Webb short fiber shows higher values than the HVI and Fibrograph short fiber. Results indicate that most of the fiber and yarn quality properties are strongly correlated with each of the three measures of short fiber content. Comparisons between the three measures indicate that they correlate well with each other. Highly significant regression model was developed to predict short fiber content from maturity percentage, fiber length, length uniformity and fiber strength. The closer normalized short fiber content (NSF) is to 1 (or 100%), the higher the agreement between SFC and predicted short fiber content (PSF).

INTRODUCTION

One of the biggest concerns relative to the global marketing of cotton is the perception by international spinners that it contains excessive amounts of short fibers ( i. e., fiber < 1/2 in (12.7 mm)). The presence of excess amounts of short fibers can cause significant problems for the spinner. These problems include excess waste, loss of yarn strength, increased end–down, and more yarn defects.

Behery (1993) described how short fibers behave during textile processing: during cotton spinning, the fiber strands are thinned or drafted by passing between pairs of drafting rolls that are spaced at distances that allow most fibers to pass through without bridging the gap between the rollers, which would result in breaking of the fibers. Short fibers are allowed to float between the drafting rollers where they can bunch up or thin out causing thick and/or thin imperfections in the yarn with accompanying diminish of strength.

Over the years, several researchers have studied problems arising in cotton spinning resulting from the presence of short fibers. Backe (1986) reported that short fiber showed a statistically significant influence on end–down. There was also a significant increase in Uster CV% and classmate long thin places. Likewise, yarn strength, appearance index, and thick and thin places were all affected by an increase in short fibers at the 95% confidence level.

Bargeron (1986) studied the effects of short fibers on spinning performance by adding varying percentages of comber noils to raw cottons. Yarn strength diminish by more than 10%, appearance grade decreased by more than 30% and irregularity %CV increased by more than 20%.

Chanselme et al (1997) reported on the use of AFIS fiber data to study the effect of fiber properties (including short fibers) on yarn quality. Short fiber content correlated significantly with hairiness, yarn evenness, thin places, thick places and nep count. Similar results were obtained by Hequet (1999) in another study utilized also advanced fiber information system (AFIS) fiber data.

In regard to measure short fiber content, several methods can be used, and all of them essentially require some type of measurement of the distribution of total fiber length. The most fundamental, direct and tedious measure is the Suter–Webb array method in which a comb–sorting tech-
nique is used to segregate the fibers into length groups, each of which are weighed on an analyti- 
cal balance (ASTM D: 1440, 2002). The AFIS in-
strument is also a direct measurement of fiber 
lengths, where the length of each fiber can be 
measured by a high speed electro/optic system as 
the instrument utilizes a mechanical opener to in-
ject individual fibers into a rapid air stream.

There is some legitimate concern that the AFIS 
mechanical opener can cause some breakage, 
which would bias its length measurement (Cui et 
al 1997).

In the late 1980s, researchers began consider-
ing the possibilities of utilizing data from HVI (high 
volume instrument) to predict short fiber content as 
determined by the other more tedious methods.

Ramey and Beaton (1989) obtained their data 
from the crop quality survey of the 1984 and 1985 
U.S. cotton crop using the Suter–Webb array 
method, the Peyer Almeter, the digital fibrograph, 
and the MCL (Motion control incorporated) HVI. 
The results showed negative simple correlations in 
the order of -0.7 < r < -0.6 between short fiber con-
tent and the uniformity index. Additionally, correla-
tions of approximately r = -0.95 were obtained 
when they employed a series of staple length 
standards.

Zeidman et al (1991) developed regression 
models for both SFN (short fiber by number) and 
SFW (short fiber by weight) using quality survey 
data from 1985, 1986 and 1987. They used modi-
fied Suter-Webb array distributions to determine 
short fiber content in terms of the HVI length pa-
rameters, UHM (upper-half mean), mean length and 
uniformity index. Multiple R² values for FSN and 
FSW were 0.391 and 0.483, respectively.

In the late 1990s, Zellwager Uster (now Uster 
Technologies) modified its HVI program to allow for 
calculation of the short fiber content based upon 
an algorithm that calculates a short fiber index 
(SFI) from the conventional HVI measures of 
length, strength and micronaire value.

Subsequently, the USDA-AMS Cotton Program 
developed an improved short fiber prediction equa-
tion based upon HVI length and uniformity index 
(Knowlton, 2001). Because the HVI length/ 
strength sample consists of a combed fiber beard-
ed held at one end by a clamp with finite depth, 
most of the short fiber is hidden within the jaws of 
the clamp. For this reason, Thibodeaux et al 
(2008) pointed out that HVI-SFI was developed 
from regression-type studies using either Suter-
Webb or AFIS as reference methods.

To evaluate the differences between the vari-
ous measures of short fiber content Cui et al 
(2003) reported a study including Suter-Webb, HVI 
and AFIS. Studies of the interrelationships be-
tween Suter-Webb, AFIS and HVI short fiber yield-
ed correlation coefficients between 0.6 and 0.7; 
Some of the conclusions reached in that study 
were that real differences existed between the 
three methods and that a significant contributor to 
these differences was the general non-uniformity 
of the samples

In this study we seek to validate and possibly 
 improve upon the previously studies. Our empha-
sis is to study the relationships among the various 
measurements of short fiber and also their rela-
tionships to other fiber properties and end product.

Finally, given that in general, the Suter-Webb array 
method is not available to those concerned with 
short fiber content. It is of interest to derive predic-
tive models for Suter-Webb short fiber content that 
would use data obtained from HVI or another in-
strument.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used in this study are the six 
commercial varieties of Egyptian cottons which 
were grown in 2009 crop season. Three of these 
varieties belong to the extra- long staple category, 
i.e. Giza 92, Giza 88 and Giza 70, while the other 
three ones belong to the long staple class, i.e. 
Giza 86, Giza 80 and Giza 90. Four lint grades 
namely; Good/ Fully Good (G/FG), Good (G), Fully 
Good Fair/Good (FGF/G) and Fully Good Fair 
(FGF) of each variety were supplied from different 
regions.

From each lint grade, sub samples (6 repli-
cates) each was drown to determine the raw fiber 
characteristics and yarn quality properties. High 
volume instrument (HVI- 900) according to ASTM 
(D: 4605-86) was used to determine fiber prop-
ties, i.e. maturity percentage (MT), Upper Half 
Mean (UHM), uniformity index (UI), fiber strength 
(FS), Elongation (E), micronaire value (MIC), and 
short fiber index (HVI-SFI). In separate runs, used 
the fibrograph 630 according to ASTM (D: 1447-
67) to measure fiber length parameters including 
short fiber index (F-SFI) whereas, utilized the 
Suter-Webb array to measure short fiber content 
(SW-SFC) according to ASTM (D: 1444-005).

Yarn strength (YS) quoted is the product of lea 
strength in pound x count using the Good Brand 
Lea Tester according to ASTM (D: 1568-68).
Yarn appearance grade (YG) was determined as specified in the ASTM (D:2255-64). For a feasible statistics procedure, yarn appearance grades were converted into a numerical index as shown below:

| Grade   | Index |
|---------|-------|
| A& above | 130   |
| B+      | 120   |
| B       | 110   |
| C+      | 100   |
| C       | 90    |
| D+      | 80    |
| below D | 70    |

All tests were conducted at the laboratories of the Cotton Research Institute (CRI), Agriculture Research center (ARC), Giza, Egypt.

Data obtained were computed using Minitab™ 15 software (Minitab, Inc., State College, PA) for the analysis of variance. Pearson correlation coefficients among all possible traits and models of regression to evaluate the contribution to short fiber content.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of this study will be dealt below under four categories; The first section discusses the importance of short fiber content. Variation in short fiber measurements, in the second section. The third, comparing the three methods of short fiber assessment. Finally, predictive models for short fiber content.

1- The importance of short fiber content

Here we are concerned with the relationship of short fiber content to other fiber properties and corresponding yarn properties.

The descriptive statistics of fiber properties are shown in Table (1). The data obtained from HVI showed wide ranges. Note that for fiber length (UHM), length uniformity index (UI) and micronaire value (MIC) each property's median and mean values are approximately the same indicating symmetric distributions of these values. This is not so in the case of fiber strength (FS), fiber elongation (E%) and maturity percentage (MT) where the differences between the median and mean would indicate a skewed distribution, where FS and MT exhibits negative skewness and E% is positively skewed.

| Grade   | Index |
|---------|-------|
| A& above | 130   |
| B+      | 120   |
| B       | 110   |
| C+      | 100   |
| C       | 90    |
| D+      | 80    |
| below D | 70    |

The descriptive statistics of yarn strength (YS) and yarn appearance grade (YG) are given in Table (2). The two characteristics ranged widely, on the other hand, the differences between the median and mean of their values would indicate a negatively skewed distributions.
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The relationships of individual short fiber measurements to fiber characteristics discussed in this study are examined in the correlation matrix in Table (3). The three measurements of short fibers are significant and highly significant to each of the fiber variables.

These correlations are approximately close in values, SW-SFC would ranked first, then HVI-SFI with slight weaker, and F-SFI later. It could be seen that the lower and opposite in sign correlations were those of fiber elongation (E%) to the three measurements of short fiber.
The relationships of short fiber measurements to yarn strength (YS) and yarn appearance grade (YG) are examined in correlation matrix in Table (4). These correlations of the three measures of short fiber to YS and YG are negative and highly significant, whereas, correlations to YG are higher than YS. This is due to the high association of short fibers with the yarn variables that affecting yarn appearance grade, i.e. thin and thick places and nep count. Generally, the strong pairwise correlations between the three measures of short fiber to the conjunction fiber properties and corresponding yarn properties suggest that short fiber content is an important determinant of spinning performance.

Table 4. Correlation coefficients of the three measures of short fiber with yarn quality properties

|       | YS     | YG     |
|-------|--------|--------|
| SW-SFC| -0.674 | -0.891 |
| HVI-SFI| -0.586 | -0.877 |
| F-SFI | -0.552 | -0.819 |

2- Variation in short fiber content

Specifications of the 144 observations pertaining to the six varieties (G.92, G.88, G.70, G.86, G.80 and G.90) and four lint grades (G/FG, G, FGF/G and FGF) for various characters of relevance to the study are shown in Table (5). Results depict wide differences among lint cotton grades for the three measures of short fibers. Also it could be seen that the increase in the value of short fibers is associated with a decrease in lint grades.

This association could be clearly seen in Figure (1). Also, illustrates the high variation in SW-SFC than HVI-SFI and F-SFI.

Figure (2), reveals the poor differences for each measure of short fibers among extra-long cotton varieties. Whereas, long staple varieties exhibits high differences.

Either extra- long staple varieties namely G.92, G.88 and G.70 or long staple varieties (G.86, G.80 and G.90) are very much close with no apparent significance in each of short fiber measures. This for exception SW-SFC values of long staple varieties where the differences among the three varieties are high. Within the same cotton variety, high variation of short fiber content for the three measures could be clearly seen, especially of SW-SFC. The same nominal grade for different varieties has different values of short fibers. Note that, values of SW-SFC for long staple varieties and lint grades are higher than extra-long staple varieties. Generally, SW-SFC exhibits values of short fibers higher than HVI-SFI and F-SFI.

3- Comparing the three measures of short fibers

Descriptive statistics for SW-SFC, HVI-SFI and F-SFI are shown in Table (6). Comparing the differences between mean and median values reveal that HVI short fibers are symmetrically distributed, whereas, SW-SFC and F-SFI are positively skewed. Suter-Webb short fibers exhibit wideness range more than HVI-SFI and F-SFI.

The correlation coefficients (r-values) among the three measures are shown in Table (7). The association between the three measures is quite high. The strongest correlation is that of HVI-SFI to F-SFI (r=0.937). HVI short fiber exhibit high correlation coefficient to SW-SFC (r=0.934), slight low correlation obvious for SW-SFC to F-SFI (r=0.884). These results are in general agreement with those obtained by Cui et al (2003) and Knowlton (2001).
Table 5. Average values\% of the three measures of short fiber SW-SFC, HVI-SFI and F-SFI

| SF-Measure | Extra-long staple varieties | Long staple varieties | Grand mean |
|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
|            | G92 | G88 | G70 | mean | G86 | G80 | G90 | mean |          |
| SW-SFC FG  | 2.32 | 4.48 | 3.90 | 3.57 | 4.82 | 8.98 | 8.24 | 7.35 | 5.46 |
| G  | 7.38 | 8.46 | 6.82 | 7.55 | 11.08 | 12.38 | 10.20 | 11.22 | 9.39 |
| FGF  | 14.52 | 14.76 | 14.94 | 14.74 | 16.28 | 17.02 | 15.76 | 16.35 | 15.55 |
| GF  | 20.86 | 21.42 | 21.86 | 21.38 | 21.26 | 24.94 | 23.04 | 23.08 | 22.23 |
| Variety mean | 11.27 | 12.28 | 11.88 | 11.81 | 13.36 | 15.83 | 14.31 | 14.50 | 13.16 |
| HVI-SFI FG  | 5.94 | 5.98 | 5.74 | 5.89 | 5.90 | 6.26 | 6.38 | 6.18 | 6.03 |
| G  | 6.66 | 6.60 | 6.16 | 6.47 | 7.10 | 7.04 | 6.64 | 6.93 | 6.70 |
| FGF  | 7.50 | 7.58 | 7.00 | 7.36 | 8.26 | 7.66 | 7.50 | 7.81 | 7.58 |
| GF  | 8.52 | 8.96 | 8.24 | 8.57 | 9.42 | 8.96 | 8.58 | 8.99 | 8.78 |
| Variety mean | 7.16 | 7.28 | 6.79 | 7.07 | 7.67 | 7.48 | 7.28 | 7.48 | 7.27 |
| F-SFI FG  | 5.86 | 5.90 | 5.56 | 5.77 | 5.80 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 6.18 | 5.98 |
| G  | 7.12 | 6.82 | 6.24 | 6.73 | 7.26 | 7.82 | 6.90 | 7.33 | 7.03 |
| FGF  | 7.80 | 8.34 | 7.82 | 6.73 | 10.22 | 9.30 | 7.78 | 9.10 | 7.91 |
| GF  | 9.68 | 10.18 | 9.88 | 9.91 | 13.04 | 11.74 | 9.12 | 11.30 | 10.61 |
| Variety mean | 7.62 | 7.81 | 7.38 | 7.29 | 9.08 | 8.85 | 7.51 | 8.48 | 7.88 |

Figure 1. Relationship between short fibers and lint cotton grades
Figure 2. Relationship between short fibers and cotton varieties

Table 6. Descriptive statistics for the three measures of short fibers

|       | SW-SFC | HVI-SFI | F-SFI |
|-------|--------|---------|-------|
| Mean  | 13.16  | 7.27    | 8.04  |
| Median| 12.95  | 7.15    | 7.60  |
| Min   | 2.20   | 5.60    | 5.50  |
| Max   | 26.10  | 10.0    | 14.80 |
| SD    | 6.68   | 1.11    | 2.00  |

Table 7. Correlation coefficients (r-values) among the three measures of short fiber (SW-SFC, HVI-SFI AND F-SFI)

|       | SW-SFC | HVI-SFI |
|-------|--------|---------|
| F-SFI | 0.884  | 0.934   |
| HVI-SFI | 0.934 |         |

These correlations are illustrated in matrix plot shown in Figure (3). They confirm the close relationship between the determinations of short fiber accomplished with HVI and Fibrograph compared with Suter-Webb array method. Therefore, either HVI-SFI or F-SFI (or others) would be considered a quite good indicator of short fiber content but not real. Generally, in this study we concentrate on HVI data to predict the short fiber content.

4- Predictive model of SW-SFC

The Suter-Webb array is traditionally considered the most accurate measure and accepted as the standard for short fiber content. However this method is extremely tedious, time consuming, requiring highly skilled technicians, and is not widely used.

On the other hand, the basic HVI properties are usually known for any cotton, and confirmed their high association with short fiber content. And finally, because the HVI-SFI is not included as a standard output from the bale results.

Permanent suggestion is how standard classing data can be used to predict short fiber content. The stepwise forward regression with candidate predictors is shown in Table (8).

The highest contribution to variation in short fiber content exhibits of MT ($R^2=69.8$). Non significant contribution comes from UHM, and low significance come from UI% and FS.
Short fiber content in Egyptian cotton

A good model for SFC is one with four predictors MT, UHM, UI% and FS. Thus, the final regression equation with \( R^2 = 0.813 \% \) is:

\[
SFC = 195.12 - 125.8(MT) + 2.07(UHM) - 1.29(UI) - 0.691(FS).
\]

The multiple correlation coefficient approximately the unity (\( R=0.902 \)), this indicates that the model contains the appropriate entities.

The normalization of short fiber content (NSF) can be derived as a proportion of SFC to the predicted short fiber content (NSF=SFC/PSF). NSF indicates the degree of agreement between SFC and PSF. NSF has the potential for indicating a cotton sample has a normal amount of short fiber content or not. The closer NSF is to 1 (or 100%) the higher the agreement. From grand means of all variables included in the regression equation, PSF=12.70, so NSF=1.04. This value indicates that the predicted short fiber content is in agreement with the measured short fiber content.

### Table 8. Contribution to variation in short fiber content

|   | R   | \( R^2 \%) | Increase \( R^2 \) | F-value |
|---|-----|----------|-----------------|--------|
| MT | 0.835 | 69.8     | 69.8            | 240.9**|
| UHM | 0.838 | 70.3     | 0.50            | 4.08   |
| UI  | 0.862 | 74.1     | 3.80            | 6.32*  |
| ST  | 0.902 | 81.3     | 7.2             | 11.82* |
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