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Activation of Cannabinoid Receptor 2 Enhances Osteogenic Differentiation of Bone Marrow Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells
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Bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells (BM-MSCs) are considered as the most promising cells source for bone engineering. Cannabinoid (CB) receptors play important roles in bone mass turnover. The aim of this study is to test if activation of CB2 receptor by chemical agonist could enhance the osteogenic differentiation and mineralization in bone BM-MSCs. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity staining and real time PCR were performed to test the osteogenic differentiation. Alizarin red staining was carried out to examine the mineralization. Small interference RNA (siRNA) was used to study the role of CB2 receptor in osteogenic differentiation. Results showed activation of CB2 receptor increased ALP activity, promoted expression of osteogenic genes, and enhanced deposition of calcium in extracellular matrix. Knockdown of CB2 receptor by siRNA inhibited ALP activity and mineralization. Results of immunofluorescent staining showed that phosphorylation of p38 MAP kinase is reduced by knocking down of CB2 receptor. Finally, bone marrow samples demonstrated that expression of CB2 receptor is much lower in osteoporotic patients than in healthy donors. Taken together, data from this study suggested that activation of CB2 receptor plays important role in osteogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs. Lack of CB2 receptor may be related to osteoporosis.

1. Introduction

Bone tissue engineering provides alternative methods for bone defect treatment besides traditional solutions used in clinics, including autologous and allogeneic bone graft, vascularized grafts of the fibula and iliac crest, and other bone transplantation techniques [1]. Bone tissue engineering constructs may potentially show better mechanical features than bone grafts [2]. It may be very helpful in regenerative orthopedic surgeries that showed high incidences of failure secondary to large bone defects [3]. Successful bone tissue engineering products require four components: a morphogenetic signal molecule, stem cells that can deposit bony matrix upon receiving to the signal, suitable scaffolds that deliver both signal and cells to defect sites, and a well vascularized host microenvironment [4, 5]. Ideal signal molecules should be nontoxic, nonimmunogenic, and efficient in promoting the differentiation of stem cells towards osteoblasts [6].

There are two cannabinoid receptors both of which are G protein coupled receptors. Cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB1 receptor) is mainly expressed in central nervous system [7], while CB2 receptor is predominantly present in peripheral tissue like immune system [8], liver cirrhosis [9], and atherosclerotic plaques [10]. The gene encoding CB1 receptor or CB2 receptor is usually abbreviated as CNR1 or CNR2. Traditionally, it was believed that CB1 receptor mediates the cannabinoid psychotropic, analgesic, and orectic effects, and CB2 receptor plays a role in the regulation of liver fibrosis and atherosclerosis. In more recent studies, it was shown that CB2 receptor deficient mice had dramatic bone loss and cortical expansion [11]. It was also indicated that a CB2 receptor specific agonist HU-308 enhances endocortical osteoblast
number and activity and restrains trabecular osteoclastogenesis. These results demonstrate that the activation of CB$_2$ signaling is essential for the maintenance of normal bone mass. Manipulating CB$_2$ signaling may offer a molecular tool for the increasing osteogenic differentiation of stem cells.

In this study, we hypothesized that activation of CB$_2$ receptor by chemical agonist could enhance the osteogenic differentiation and mineralization of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BM-MSCs). Alkaline phosphatase activity staining and real-time PCR were performed to test the osteogenic differentiation. Alizarin red staining was carried out to examine the mineralization of BM-MSCs. Small interference RNA was used to study the role of CB$_2$ receptor in osteogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Biopsies, Cell Culture, and Expansion. The use of human material in this study has been approved by a Local Medical Ethical Committee of China Medical University. Bone marrow biopsies were obtained from patients who underwent bone marrow examinations in the First Affiliated Hospital, China Medical University, by bone marrow aspiration. Healthy donors were defined as individuals without osteoporosis. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) were derived from bone marrow of healthy donors as described previously [12]. Briefly, total bone marrow was plated at a density of 50,000 cells/cm$^2$ in culture flasks in MSC proliferation medium (α-MEM, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine, 0.2 mM ascorbic acid, 100 U/mL penicillin, 10 μg/mL streptomycin, and 1 ng/mL bFGF), plus 1% heparin. Medium was refreshed every 3–4 days until confluence. Four donors of healthy and osteoporotic patients were used in this study. All reagents used for cell culture were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, unless specified.

2.2. Osteogenic Differentiation and CB$_2$ Receptor Agonist Treatment. Osteogenic differentiation was induced by culturing MSCs in osteogenic medium (OS) containing DMEM plus 10% FBS, 0.1 mM dexamethasone, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, 0.01 μM 1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D$_3$, and 50 μM ascorbic acid in α-MEM [13]. For treatment of CB$_2$ receptor agonist, UR-144 (10 μM) was added to culture medium together with OS medium from day 0 of osteogenic induction.

2.3. Alizarin Red Staining. After 3-week induction and treatment of CB$_2$ receptor agonist UR-144 (10 nM), MSCs were fixed with 10% formalin. Then, mineralized nodules were stained with alizarin red S. After rinsing in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), cells were incubated with 40 μM of alizarin red S (pH 4.2) for 10 min on room temperature. Cells were rinsed 5 times with water followed by 15 min washing with PBS to reduce nonspecific staining of alizarin red S. The stained nodules were observed through phase contrast microscope.

2.4. Alkaline Phosphatase Activity Staining. Cytochemical analysis with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP) and nitro blue tetrazolium chloride (NBT) was used for the staining of alkaline phosphatase. MSCs were first fixed in 10% formalin. Then cells were incubated with 300–400 μL BCIP/NBT premixed solution (Sigma Aldrich, St. Luis, MO) for 8–10 min at room temperature. Cells were rinsed with water, dried, and examined with phase contrast microscopy.

2.5. Image Quantification with ImageJ. ImageJ software was used for quantification of positively stained area. Briefly, we manually set a threshold to avoid artifacts. Then colored images were transformed into binary images. Area of positive staining was divided by total area to make percentage of positively stained area. An average was made from three technical replicates for each donor. Values represent the mean ± standard deviation of 4 donors.

2.6. RNA Isolation and Quantitative PCR. Samples of total RNA from chondrocytes seeded in cell culture plates or from freshly aspirated bone marrow were isolated with the QiAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). One microgram of total RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The cDNA samples were amplified with a Pfu PCR kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China), and the specific primers were displayed in Table 1. All PCR products were resolved on a 2% agarose gel.

Real-time PCR was performed on cDNA samples by using the iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). PCR reactions were carried out on MyiQ2 Two-Color Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) under the following conditions: cDNA was preheated for 15 min at 95°C, denatured for 5 min at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles, consisting of 15 s at 95°C, 15 s at 60°C, and 30 s at 72°C. For each reaction a melting curve was generated to test primer dimer formation and nonspecific priming. The primers for real-time PCR are listed in Table 1. Calculation of relative expression was performed with Bio-Rad iQ5 optical system software (version 2.0) using the double delta Ct method [14]. GAPDH was used for normalization.

2.7. Knockdown of CNR2 by Small Interference RNA (siRNA) in MSCs. Small interference RNA constructs which specifically knock down the expression of CNR2 were designed, synthesized, and cloned into a lentiviral vector (pLVshRNA-eGFP) also expressing GFP (Inovogen, Beijing, China). Details of lentiviral vector are available on the website of Inovogen (http://www.inovogen.com/lentivirus/lentivirus-vector/pLVshRNA-EGFP/). Lentiviral supernatants containing siRNA constructs were packaged by the same company (Inovogen, Beijing, China) as outsorce service, using triple transfection of HEK293T cells. MSCs were infected by adding viral supernatants to the culture medium. Stably infected cells were sorted by FACS based on expression of GFP (green fluorescent protein) on day 7 after infection and expanded for several passages before osteogenic differentiation.
### Table 1: Sequences for primers.

| Gene name                        | NCBI gene ID | Sequence (5' → 3')                        | Length of amplicon |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Cannabinoid receptor 1 (CNR1)    | 1268         | Forward: GTGTCCCACCGCAGAGATACG            | 130                |
|                                  |              | Reverse: GGGGCTGCTGAACTGATG               |                    |
| Cannabinoid receptor 2 (CNR2)    | 1269         | Forward: AGCCCTCATACCTGTTCATG             | 154                |
|                                  |              | Reverse: GTGAAAGGTCTAGTCCGCTTG            |                    |
| Runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2) | 860         | Forward: TGTTACTGTCGATGGCGGT            | 101                |
|                                  |              | Reverse: TCTCAGATGTGAACTTGGTA            |                    |
| Osterix (OSX)                    |              | Forward: CCTCTGCGGACTCAACAAC             | 128                |
|                                  |              | Reverse: AGCCCATATACGGTCTGGA            |                    |
| Integrin-binding sialoprotein (IBSP) | 3381        | Forward: CACTGGAGCCAATGCAGAAGA           | 106                |
|                                  |              | Reserve: TGGTGGGGTTGTAGGTTCAAA           |                    |
| Osteocalcin (OCN)                | 632          | Forward: CACTCCTCGGCTTGGACAT             | 112                |
|                                  |              | Reserve: CTCCTCGTGGACACAGAAG             |                    |
| Secreted phosphoprotein 1 (SPP1) | 6696         | Forward: GAAGTTTCGAGACCTGAGCT            | 91                 |
|                                  |              | Reserve: GATGCACCATCAAACCTTCG            |                    |
| WNT5A                            | 7474         | Forward: ATTCTTGTGGTGCAGTTGTA            | 159                |
|                                  |              | Reverse: CGCCTTCCGGCTGTACTGC             |                    |
| Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) | 2597        | Forward: CTGGGCTACACTGAGCACC             | 101                |
|                                  |              | Reserve: AAATGGGTCTGGAGGGCAATG           |                    |

2.8. Immunofluorescent Staining. MSCs with or without viral transduction were plated on glass cover slips in six-well plates 24 hours before staining. Cells were washed briefly with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, and then permeabilized and blocked in 1% Triton-X 100 and 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 15 min at room temperature. Slips were subsequently incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit polyclonal antibodies against CB2 receptor (ab3561, AbCam, Cambridge, MA) or phospho-p38 MAPK (phospho T180, ab178867, AbCam, Cambridge, MA). Sequentially, slides were incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa 594 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) or conjugated with FITC (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). After rinsing with PBS, cells were examined and imaged with DMi 6000 B fluorescent microscope (Leica, Bensheim, Germany).

2.9. Immunohistochemical Staining. Bone marrow tissues obtained from bone marrow examination were fixed in 10% formalin and then embedded in paraffin with routine histological procedures. 5µm sections were cut for immunocytochemical staining. Sections were incubated with 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30 min to inhibit endogenous peroxidase activities. Washed with PBS, they were then blocked in 1% bovine serum albumin and 1.5% normal goat serum at room temperature for 30 min. Slides were subsequently incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit polyclonal antibodies against CNR2 (AbCam, Cambridge, MA). Sequentially, slides were incubated with secondary biotinylated antibodies and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin to detect the primary antibodies. The peroxidase reaction was developed using 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride as chromogens. After rinsing in distilled water, slides were dehydrated in ethanol solutions, cleared in xylene, and mounted with cover slips for microscopic examination.

2.10. Statistical Analysis. All statistical analysis was made by using Student’s t-test for paired samples. P values of <0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Cannabinoid Receptor 2 but Not Cannabinoid Receptor 1 Is Expressed in Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells. It is believed that CB1 receptor is mainly expressed in central nervous system [15], while CB2 receptor is mainly expressed on T cells of the immune system, on macrophages and B cells, and in hematopoietic cells [16]. To test the expressions of CB1 and CB2 receptors in BM-MSCs, RT-PCR was performed with primers of the genes of two receptors. Figure 1(a) shows that only CNR2 (the gene encoding CB2 receptor) is expressed in BM-MSCs. Results of immunofluorescent staining confirmed that only CB2 receptor is found in BM-MSCs (Figure 1(b)). CNR1 is not expressed in BM-MSCs at mRNA or protein levels.

3.2. Activation of Cannabinoid Receptor 2 Enhances Osteogenic Differentiation of Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells. To study the role of cannabinoid signaling in mineralization, specific agonist for CB2 receptor UR-144 is used to activate CB2 receptor on BM-MSCs during osteogenic differentiation. Alizarin red staining was performed to examine the mineralized nodules formed by BM-MSC cultured in osteogenic medium after 3 weeks. BM-MSCs cultured in osteogenic medium plus 10 nM of UR-144 show stronger staining than
Figure 1: Expression of cannabinoid receptors 1 and 2 in BM-MSCs. (a) RT-PCR analysis of cannabinoid receptors (CNR) 1 and 2 genes in BM-MSCs. Expression of cannabinoid receptor 2 was confirmed in BM-MSCs. GAPDH was used as internal control. PCR products were resolved on 2% agarose gel. (b) Immunofluorescent staining was performed to detect expression of CNR1 and CNR2 in BM-MSC at protein level. Bar = 100 μm.

Figure 2: Osteogenic differentiation of BM-MSC was enhanced by agonist of cannabinoid receptor 2. (a) Alizarin red staining of BM-MSC after 3-week culture. Bar = 100 μm. (b) Alkaline phosphatase staining (ALP) after 2-week culture. Bar = 100 μm. (c) Quantification of positively stained area for alizarin red staining and ALP staining reveals that OS + UR-144 group has more mineralization and more ALP activity than OS group. (d) Real-time PCR was performed to analyze osteogenic genes in BM-MSC after 3-week culture (N = 4). GAPDH was amplified for normalization. OS = osteogenic medium. * represents significant difference when comparing OS group with control group. † represents significant difference when comparing OS + UR-144 with OS group.
3.3. Inhibition of Cannabinoid Receptor 2 by siRNA Reduces Osteogenic Differentiation of Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells. To test if inhibition of CB$_2$ receptor would influence the mineralization of BM-MSCs, small interference RNA (siRNA) technology was used to knock down the expression of CB$_2$ receptor in BM-MSCs. Constructs of siRNA were introduced into BM-MSCs by lentivirus. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) was applied as labels of positive transduction. After FACS sorting, all cells were positive for GFP (Figure 3(a)). Meanwhile, immunofluorescent staining and RT-PCR were performed to test the efficiency of knockdown. As shown in Figures 3(a) and 3(b), CB$_2$ receptor is present in BM-MSCs infected with mock sequence but is absent in BM-MSCs infected with siRNA sequence. BM-MSCs infected with either mock or siRNA sequence were cultured in osteogenic medium for mineralization assay. Alizarin red S staining performed at week 3 indicated that knockdown of CNR2 gene significantly reduced the accumulation of calcium in extracellular matrix (Figure 3(c)). Alkaline phosphatase staining at week 2 also showed that absence of CB$_2$ receptor inhibited the activity of alkaline phosphatase in BM-MSCs.
3.4. Effects of Cannabinoid Receptor 2 Signaling on Mineralization Are Mediated through p38 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase. Since activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (p38 MAPK) had been shown to stimulate osteogenic differentiation, we hypothesized that effects of CB$_2$ receptor signaling on mineralization could be mediated through p38 MAPK. Figure 4(a) shows phosphorylation of p38 MAPK in BMSCs infected with virus containing mock sequence or siRNA sequence against CNR2. Quantification of immunofluorescent (IF) images confirmed the impression that phosphorylation of p38 MAPK BM-MSCs infected with siRNA sequences is much less than that in BM-MSCs infected with mock sequences ($P < 0.001$). Percentage of positively stained cells drops from about 80% (in mock) to roughly 20% (in siRNA).

3.5. Cannabinoid Receptor 2 Is Less Expressed in Bone Marrow of Osteoporotic Patients Than in Bone Marrow of Healthy Donor. Finally, the expression of CB$_2$ receptor was tested in human bone marrow tissue. Results of immunohistochemistry indicated that CB$_2$ receptor is abundant in the bone marrow tissue of healthy donor. Meanwhile, barely any positive cells can be found in bone marrow of osteoporotic patients (Figure 5(a)). Total RNA of bone marrow tissue from both healthy and osteoporotic donors were isolated for real-time PCR analysis. CNR2 expression in osteoporotic bone marrow is only 10% of that in healthy bone marrow.

4. Discussion

In this study, activation of CB$_2$ receptor was shown to enhance the osteogenic differentiation and mineralization of BM-MSCs. Cells treated with CB$_2$ receptor agonist presented higher alkaline phosphatase activity staining, more expression of osteogenic genes, and more deposition of calcium in extracellular matrix. Knockdown of CB$_2$ receptor by small interference RNA in BM-MSCs severely reduced the osteogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs. Our data also indicated that activation of CB$_2$ receptor was very likely acting through phosphorylation of p38 MAPK.

MSCs are considered as multipotent stem cells that can be isolated from many adult tissues, including bone marrow, dermis, muscles, ligament and placenta, and fat tissue [17]. MSCs may be expanded in vitro for many passages while keeping their potential of differentiating into multilineages of tissues [18]. Bone marrow MSCs are derived from the nonhaematopoietic portion of the bone marrow [19]. It is believed that MSCs are an attractive cell source for bone tissue engineering [20]. In this study, BM-MSCs are shown to express CB$_2$ receptor and be responsive to its agonist. CB$_2$ receptor agonist was shown to be useful in promoting the performance of BM-MSCs in bone tissue engineering. For example, as small molecules, UR-144 can be easily integrated into scaffolds or loaded on nanoparticle as controlled released drugs.
The endogenous cannabinoids can bind to both CB1 and CB2 receptors. Both receptors contain seven-transmembrane domain. The two receptors are coupled to a subclass of G proteins that inhibit guanine nucleotide-binding and adenylyl cyclase activity [21]. Even though they have 44% identity in amino acids sequences, CB1 and CB2 are functionally different. One example is the selective regulation of ion channels by only CB1 receptors [22]. Regarding bone mass regulation and bone turnover, CB1 and CB2 receptors also play distinctive roles. Inactivation of CB1 receptor could promote bone mass and prevent osteoporotic-like bone loss induced by ovariectomy [23]. On the other hand, CB2 receptor could regulate osteoclast formation and contributes to ovariectomy-induced bone loss. Our results support that activation of CB2 receptors increases bone formation by inducing BM-MSCs differentiation.

Interestingly, knockdown of CB2 receptor reduced the ALP activity and calcium accumulation. This result implies that the CB2 might play an essential role in the differentiation steps of BM-MSCs towards osteoblasts. This also suggested that MSCs might produce endogenous cannabinoid to allow themselves to differentiate into osteogenic lineage. The autocrine effects of cannabinoid of MSCs would need more investigation in the future.

Taken together, our data demonstrated a new mechanism in which osteogenic differentiation and mineralization can be enhanced by activating CB2 receptor. Our results also suggested that lack of CB2 receptor is associated with osteoporosis. Increasing CB2 signaling may be useful in both prompting bone tissue engineering products and treating osteoporotic patients.
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