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The product market competition has become a global challenge for business organizations in the challenging and competitive market environment in the influx of the COVID-19 outbreak. The influence of products competition on organizational performance in developed economies has gained scholars’ attention, and numerous studies explored its impacts on business profitability. The existing studies designate mixed findings between the linkage of CSR practices and Chinese business firms’ healthier performance in emerging economies; however, the current global crisis due to the coronavirus has made product market completion fierce, which ultimately affects business firms’ performance. This study focuses on this logical global challenge, investigates the rationale, and examines product-market completion impact on firms’ performance operating in the Chinese markets. The study collected data from the annual reports of Chinese business organizations with A-share listing and registered with the database of China Stock Markets and Accounting Research (CSMAR). The study employed a Generalized Method of Moment technique and investigated the connection between product market competition and Chinese firm performance. The empirical analysis of this study highlights the conclusion that market competition positively and significantly affected business firms’ performance. This study specified that product market competition play a dynamic and indispensable role in achieving healthier firm performance in the Chinese markets. This study provides valuable insights on practical implications and future research directions for the scholars to draw interesting results with new study models.
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INTRODUCTION

The ongoing global economic crisis posed by the COVID-19 infectious disease has developed shocks in both demand and supply sides, which has adversely affected the global economy (Abachi and Iorember, 2017). As a result, this financial crisis has created a demanding and challenging situation for business firms due to slumps in industry and consumer spending (Abbas et al., 2021). It has created disruptions in economic activities and supply chains, which ultimately affected firms’ performance. The coronavirus outbreak has deep integration with global economies. It has affected enterprises and corporations’ business activities globally (Su et al., 2021b). A study specified that the progress of Vietnam GDP was much slower and showed only 3.8% growth and further expected to remain around 3–4% compared to 6.5%, which is the lowest in the last 10 years. Similarly, this crisis has influenced the economies of all the countries and posed severe challenges and a turbulent industry environment for business firms. The occurrence of emergencies and global economic crises adversely influences society's particular aspects and business firms' strategic innovation (Yang et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2021; Zhang and Zhu, 2021). The global health crisis and public emergencies increase raw materials procurement costs, restrict employees from joining the workplace, create challenges for business entities to resume work schedules, and ultimately firms struggle to survive in the crisis (Gugler et al., 2020; Howell, 2020; Chebolu-Subramanian and Sundarraj, 2021).

Restriction during the pandemic caused production shutdowns, shortage of raw materials supply to produce final goods, delays in sales of products and services, disruptions in economic activities, and supply chains posed severe challenges to business firms in the emergence caused by COVID-19 pandemic (Rahman et al., 2021; Saifuzzaman et al., 2021). It has adversely affected business enterprises cash flows and caused a liquidity crisis (Gubareva, 2020; Farzami et al., 2021; Zaremba et al., 2021). The outbreak of epidemics and pandemics create global health challenges and economic crisis (Yoosefi Lebni et al., 2020, 2021) and negatively affect employees' emotions (Zhou et al., 2022), which results in harmful effect on innovative employees' behavior (Moradi et al., 2020; Azadi et al., 2021; Lebni et al., 2021; Su et al., 2021d). Employees negative emotions creates hurdle to improve firm innovation, which affect product competition (Zhang et al., 2017). Educating employees on how to cope with the pandemic can reduce their mental stress, which will improve employees’ productivity for firms (Maqsood et al., 2021; Rahman et al., 2021). The pandemic has posed psychological and physical violence, which has influenced on mental wellbeing. Social media technology typically plays a positive role in providing timely, precise and valuable health-related information to business firms and employees (Abachi and Iorember, 2017; Lebni et al., 2020; Nasifoglu Elidemir et al., 2020; Nejhadaddgar et al., 2020b; Ge et al., 2021). Business organizations providing social support to their employees create a peaceful working environment and encourage them to deliver maximum productivity to improve firm performance. While the coronavirus outbreak posed indelible damage to the business firms, the COVID-19 has also created opportunities and new pathways to grab the market share through innovation and competitive products. It leads researchers to conduct further detailed studies to enrich innovation theories’ implications to guide business firms to prepare business strategies to respond to the economic crisis and survive in the industry. Consequently, it is theoretical way and practical approach to explore the COVID-19 impacts on the innovation process, which helps achieve better firm performance.

Many organizations consider corporate competitive strategies to increase likely competitive advantages (Hitt et al., 1996). CSR activities improve firm performance (Hussain et al., 2017), increase business firms market share (Franko, 1989), and enhanced organizations performance (Giroud and Mueller, 2011). Because competitive strategies are used as an important tool to achieve firm objectives. Many scholars have paid attention since long and showed interest in corporate strategies, and focused on examining the link between firms products competition and firm performance (Raith, 2003; Pant and Pattanayak, 2010; Sheikh, 2018; Javeed et al., 2020). Existing studies revealed mixed findings on this relationship. For instances, Raith (2003), Pant and Pattanayak (2010), and Sheikh (2018) identified that products competition at marketplace positively improves business organizations performance. On the other side, another study reported that products competition is keen and it creates a competitive setting for businesses and corporations, which leads low pricing power and thus low profits (Javeed et al., 2020). The consequences of the impact differ greatly due to the different data, times periods, and different performance measurement. Consequently, academics recommend that for analyzing the real impact of products market competitiveness on better performance needs further investigations (Guney et al., 2011; Sheikh, 2018). It is due to the COVID-19 outbreak, which has developed profound adverse effects on the world economy (Crick and Crick, 2020). Therefore, based on these rational and logical claims and the existence of this gap, this study examined the role of companies’ products competition at market toward better firm performance.

This research is primarily engrossed in exploring firms’ product(s) competition and its influence on firm performance in the context of China. Using the GMM model, the study findings offer helpful/valuable insights and specified that Chinese firm products’ competitiveness at the marketplace developed a positive link to better performance. The study results designated that the chosen variable has positively influenced better performance, which confirmed that companies’ product competitiveness enhances firms’ profitability. In brief, this study has provided valuable and critical contributions to the literature related to companies’ products and services competitiveness. In the first phase, product competitiveness helps achieve better profits and enhanced performance. This present research model contributes to testing product competition in the marketplace and its influence on a firm’s profitability and healthier performance. In the second step, the study has employed the GMM model to investigate the chosen framework and test the endogeneity issues in this present research. The third phase promotes companies’ product competition in the Chinese business industry and other developing economies.
This study overviewed the role of business firms' innovativeness, resources in hands, business networks, and dynamic capabilities that affect to produce the best products to compete in the business competition, which ultimately enhances firm performance. These influential factors help firms to survive in the time of emergencies and global crises. In the third phase, the study describes the methodology section for the selected exploratory research. In the third step, this study has elaborated the compelling findings and factors that affect firm performance at all times, including the financial crisis. In the final section, the study concludes the discussion with suggestions and limitations.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Eggers (2020) conducted a study and examined 69 research articles that explored the business companies' behaviors in past emergencies/crackdowns, and the findings proposed possible helpful pathways to overcome economic crises (Eggers, 2020). The study identified that most former researchers emphasized managing economic indicators, such as profitability, debt ratio, action plan, affecting liquidity, creditworthiness, dynamic capabilities, products competition in the market, and financial safety amid an economic recession or downturn (Lisboa, 2017; Duarte et al., 2018; Brown and Lee, 2019). However, these earlier researches have not emphasized possible pathways to manage and overcome financial distress and crisis. These studies had primarily ignored and did not focus on exploring the relationship between business firms' coping strategies and healthier financial performance during emergencies. Past studies recognized that the assets of the business firms, corporations, and SMEs are critical elements that companies will remain in the business industry during economic crises and emergencies. Another study examined firms strategies in the emergencies and described that companies, which followed entrepreneurial orientation, had shown more incredible innovation, effectiveness, and risk-taking (Soininen et al., 2012). It was a practical action for the business firms to remain in the business industry in the middle and after a crisis. Some studies identified that the emergencies and economic crises positively influenced the business firms for their development and proactive movements, improving firms' corporate performance during emergency times.

This study contributes to firm performance literature. This study has provided valuable insight for the business owners, CEOs, decision-makers, and business managers on coping with the economic crisis and financial distress posed by the COVID-19 outbreak. The study explains that business organizations' behavior is critical in emergencies. Business firms' resources, entrepreneurial factors, dynamic capabilities, innovativeness, and coping strategies to combat the adverse effects play indispensable roles in surviving in a crisis. The governments can play an essential part in providing support for SMEs to keep them active to combat the COVID-19 impacts during the crisis. Thus, SMEs are the engine of the economy and create economic activities on a large scale. The combined global efforts are helpful to fight against the COVID-19 adverse effects on business organizations.

The business managers' point of view is critical, as their concern is to reduce the COVID-19 spillover effects when opting for coping strategies during the crisis. The existing financial global challenge posed by the pandemic (COVID-19) has created an economic crisis ranging from weak industrial output to severe market competition across the globe. The pandemic has also affected environmental sustainability adversely and disrupted economic activities worldwide (Goshit and Iorember, 2020; Iorember et al., 2020, 2021; Jelilov et al., 2020; Usman et al., 2020). Recent researches have shown that the response of monetary aggregated necessary to support industrial performance within the pandemic period is weak relative to the period before the pandemic (Abachi and Iorember, 2017; Iorember et al., 2019; Usman et al., 2019; Dabwor et al., 2020; Goshit et al., 2020).

Corporate firms' competitiveness is vital in determining the organizations corporate level strategies. Organizations incorporate these useful strategies to attain competitive benefits (Hitt et al., 1996; Mubeen et al., 2016). Organization's strategies help achieve market share expansions (Franko, 1989), higher profitability and better firm performance (Giroud and Mueller, 2011). Companies' product competitiveness in the industry help in attaining business goals (Giroud and Mueller, 2010; Tian and Twite, 2011; Ko et al., 2016). Business managers' focus on achieving better financial performance and it ties up to achieve better performance, which ultimately enhances firms' profitability. Earlier research identified that product competition is a helpful approach for firms' managers, motivating them to increase income for the stakeholders. It helps reduce the expense of agencies and leads to higher profitability for business firms (Ammann et al., 2013). Past research examined 670 UK-based business firms from 1972 to 1986 and recognized that products competitive nature having more competitor firms, or lower rents helped various firms profitability and better performance. The literature has evidenced and stated that competition boosts productivity and impact positively on firm performance (Nickell, 1996; Mnasri and Ellouze, 2015). The existing research literature has concluded that entrepreneurial resources are active agents in mitigating the COVID-19 crisis adverse effects on business firms (Scheidgen et al., 2021). However, the literature has designated the mixed results related to firms' competitive products and their influence on better profitability. Some research has shown that product competitiveness provides a positive impact, while some studies identify a negative relation to better firm performance. The findings of empirical studies indicated that product competition leads to achieving better performance and higher profits because firms' competitiveness resolves agency conflicts between stakeholders, owners, and managers, which results in better profitability of the firms (Raith, 2003; Ruiz-Porras and Lopez-Mateo, 2011; Mubeen et al., 2016).

Concerning the challenging financial crisis, business firms have modified their business model by shifting the cooperation (competition) between competing organizations. Some research from the emerging markets identified that product competition is helpful and creates a positive impact on business organizations' healthier performance. The present study's findings are consistent with the literature and proved that product competition enhances firms' profitability, better performance, and positively influencing
higher sales (Javeed et al., 2020; Mubeen et al., 2020). Based on the arguments above, the literature empirically recognized that product competition positively influences business firms' performance with higher profitability. The study proposes and hypothesizes the following statement.

**Hypothesis 1 (H1):** Product market competition, innovation and entrepreneurial orientation positively influence firm performance.

### METHODOLOGY/MATERIALS

#### Research on Panel Dataset

This study primarily analyzed the association between product competition and business firms’ performance. The study collected panel data (2016–2020) from the annual reports of Chinese business organizations with A-share listing and registered with China Stock Markets and Accounting Research (CSMAR) database. The study employed a Generalized Method of Moment technique and investigated the connection between Chinese firms’ product competition in the market and its influence on the firms’ performance. The GMM regression analysis requires at least 3 years of panel data from the companies with consecutive observations. This study has used the GMM model instead of the panel-data regression approach due to the following reasons. In the regression model, when there is a correlation between the error terms, the variables face endogeneity problems. Similarly, these problems may also result from automatic regression or missing variables, measurement errors, and autocorrelation errors (Singh et al., 2018). According to the econometrics rules, if there is just one endogenous variable in the research framework/model, then an appropriate technique is helpful to solve the endogenous problem (Javeed et al., 2020).

#### Variables Definition

**Business Firm’s Product Competition**

Product market competition is the key independent variable in this present proposed study model. Previous studies have indicated that the HHI technique (Herfindahl-Hirschman Index) is the best suitable measurement approach among the other available methods to assess market competition and product performance. Researchers usually employ the HHI-score method to evaluate and analyze market concentration. Scholars use HHI approach in determining market competitiveness. HHI method stems from the realism that helps measure simple market competition scenarios. It does not support measuring various markets volatility and complexities (Zou et al., 2015). HHI-score helps scholars to calculate and determine the size of the organizations concerning the business industry. The HHI-score is an indicator to specify market competition level among business firms. HHI is an economic concept, and scholars broadly use it in antitrust, competition law, and technology management (Liston-Heyes and Pilkington, 2004). The significant advantage of the HHI-score linkage to the business industry helps measure the concentration ratio, which allocates more weightage to large business firms. Other considerable benefits of HHI include its easy and straightforward measurement method of market concentration. It needs small data for the market competition calculation (Kvalseth, 2018). HHI-score calculates the market concentration of the top 50 business firms operating in a specific industry to determine whether that particular business industry is competitive or working close to a monopoly. It helps determine the concentration ratio of the number of business firms that produce or market a specific product or a series of products accompanied by the relative distribution channels of market share for each firm’s sales volume in the specific industry. According to economists, market concentration share is a critical determinant that indicates market completion viability and choice of consumers (Lee et al., 2020). Following the existing researches (Michaelides et al., 2019; Javeed et al., 2020) this present study has measured and evaluated market competition and applied the sum of squared based on firm’s market share operating in the industry considering firm’s total sales volume in the specific Chinese business industry (Mubeen et al., 2020).

**Firm Performance**

The present study has designed a framework/model based on the literature support and chosen firm performance as its dependent variable, whereas, firms’ product competition is the independent variable. The existing literature has not documented specific measurements in calculating the performance of business firms. However, the literature has shown that various methods are helpful to measure the organizational performance of the business entities, such as return on assets (ROA), return on investment (ROI), return on equity (ROE), Tobin Q and dividends payable (Javeed et al., 2020). However, in this paper, we use ROA and ROE measures as our performance measurements. Hutchinson and Gul (2004) and Javeed et al. (2020) reported that accounting-based measurement methods are most suitable for corporate governance research literature because these measurement methods can easily track the ability to manage the value of the company.

The proposed research framework shows this relationship. Scholars measure the profitability of business firms by using the return of equity mechanism. ROE helps calculate a firm’s viability in relation to its equity. Experts estimate the equity of a firm’s shareholders by taking all firm’s assets and then subtract all outstanding liabilities. ROE is also a determinant of return on assets minus the firm’s liabilities. Return on firm’s equity (ROE) shows how well is the profit in dollars from the investment of each dollar for the shareholders’ equity. ROE metric specifies that how good business firms utilize their equities to generate attractive profits for the shareholders (Altı and Sulaeman, 2012; Bathia et al., 2020). ROE helps measure how well business firms are performing in the same business industry. ROE is a measurement tool to know about the ability of management to generate income from a firm’s equity in hand. Experts consider a return of 15–10% as a good income for investors (Bunea et al., 2019; Hibbert et al., 2020).

The existing literature reported that accounting-based measures are the best practices, and using corporate governance empirical studies results are helpful (Bunea et al., 2019). In this practice, the management’s ability linked to the value of business
firms is easily to understand and trace with the measures of corporate governance practices (Mubeen et al., 2020). Consequently, this present study has incorporated ROE, stating return on firms’ equity as a performance measure by following the previous studies exploring corporate governance effects on selected firms’ performance (FP), which is the dependent variable here in this model. This study measured ROE as business organizations operating profits ratios to shareholder equity (Bhagat and Bolton, 2008; Javeed et al., 2020). Besides, scholars broadly employ ROE to evaluate and judge firms’ performance measures in corporate governance research studies because researchers primarily investigate ROE in corporate governance studies (Bathia et al., 2020; Andersen et al., 2021). According to the stakeholder viewpoint, ROE is the best way to test a firm’s business performance (Brown and Caylor, 2009).

Control Variables in the Proposed Model
This study has employed some control variables, which is helpful to receive suitable study results. The control variables include the organization’s capital structure, growth, firm size, and asset tangibility. Sales growth of the business organization (Growth) is the proxy related to firms growth opportunities, and the study calculated it by recording changes in firms sales revenue (King and Santor, 2008). Besides, the study measured firms’ assets tangibility determining it ratio of the plant equipment and firms overall revenue. The literature evidenced that corporate finance researches mostly take firm size as a vital study variable. This model also assessed the firm size and took it as a log of firms sales volume (Mahmood et al., 2019). This study calculated business organization’s capital structure, which determines debt-to-equity ratio, and delivered interesting and consistent findings with the previous research results (Mubeen et al., 2016, 2020; Mahmood et al., 2019).

Empirical Examination
This current study has gathered panel data based on the annual financial statement and equity details from Chinese firms, rated with A-share star and registered with China Stock Markets and Accounting Research (CSMAR) database to draw the results. The present study has taken A-rated shares business firms by following the previous studies (Zhao et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2020). This study employed random sampling techniques and selected the required data by following the previous literatures (Zhao et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2020). In the next stage, the study applied a Generalized Method of Moment approach and examined the link between product competition in the market and Chinese firms’ profitability and enhanced results. The empirical analysis of this study indicated that market competition positively affect business performance. This study specified that product competition play a dynamic and indispensable role in achieving healthier firm performance in the Chinese markets. The empirical analysis of the regression model generally reports endogeneity issues, which generates unreliable and biased results (Akram et al., 2017; Khan et al., 2017; Javeed et al., 2020). Concerning the rule of econometric, if the study model contains just one variable with endogenous problems, then the model needs to incorporate suitable passable methods to deal with the issues of endogeneity (Mubeen et al., 2020). The formation of the panel dataset places limitations on the applicability of the OLS method (Ordinary-Least Square). The OLS model leads to biased estimation in the results due to unobserved heterogeneity (Zou et al., 2015; Javeed et al., 2020). This study employed the GMM and tested model’s heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation to deal with endogeneity issues.

RESULTS AND FINDINGS
The Chosen Model’s Descriptive Statistics
This study has presented descriptive analysis as indicated in
Table 1 that demonstrates the chosen model of the study. The study model has incorporated the Chinese business firms’ performance as DV (dependent variable) and product competition as IV (independent variable). The literature exhibited that various scholars display descriptive statistics in the empirical results as descriptive analysis provides statistics summary and summarizes the features of the received panel dataset (Illowsky and Dean, 2017; Aman et al., 2019b; Aqeel et al., 2020). Table 1 exhibits the summary information of the descriptive analysis of the study model. The average value of ROE (equity value) of the model is 0.2471; the Std. value is 0.726, and the mean score of firm product competitiveness (HHI) is 0.851, along with a Std. score of 0.389, respectively. The capital structure has exhibited a mean score of 0.0715, along with the Std. score of 0.088. The mean score of the firm size is 9.42, Std. score 0.745 and assets tangibility average value remained 0.278 along with Std. value 0.165. The Chinese business firms’ growth exhibited an average value of 0.151, Std. value 0.111. See Table 1, which exhibits the summary information of descriptive analysis, demonstrating mean scores, Std. value, minimum and maximum scores.

Correlation Matrix
This present study model observed that most of the selected independent variables reported correlational relations below 20%. It designated that multicollinearity had not appeared to report any significant issues for analyzing the proposed study model in absolute terms. This study recognized that correlational linkage to most variables remained lower than almost 20% in absolute terms. The empirical analysis of the panel dataset in this model revealed that the chosen variables correlations remained

| Variables | Observations | Mean | Std. | Min | Max |
|-----------|--------------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Return on equity (ROE) | 1,668 | 0.2471 | 0.726 | -6.02 | 4.74 |
| Market competition | 1,668 | 0.615 | 0.389 | 0 | 1 |
| Capital structure | 1,668 | 0.0715 | 0.088 | 0 | 0.503 |
| Size | 1,668 | 9.42 | 0.745 | 0.00 | 11.82 |
| Asset tangibility | 1,668 | 0.278 | 0.165 | 0 | 0.835 |
| Growth | 1,668 | 0.151 | 0.111 | 0.0005 | 0.740 |

ROE, Return on firm’s equity; Std, Standard deviation.
### TABLE 2 | Correlation matrix of the study model.

| Factors          | ROE     | HHI       | Firm size | Liquidity | Capital structure | Asset tangibility |
|------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| ROE              | 1       |           |           |           |                   |                   |
| HHI              | –0.00801| 1         |           |           |                   |                   |
| SIZE             | 0.270***| –0.00708  | 1         |           |                   |                   |
| Growth           | 0.245***| 0.0185    | –0.0167   | 1         |                   |                   |
| Capital structure| –0.154***| –0.00652 | 0.196***  | –0.226*** | 1                 |                   |
| Asset tangibility| –0.236***| –0.00495 | 0.205***  | –0.379*** | 0.291***          | 1                 |

Significance levels @ (i) *p < 0.1, (ii) ***p < 0.05, (iii) ***p < 0.01, ROE explains “return on firm’s equity” and HHI describes Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Regression Analysis

This empirical study hypothesized that Chinese organizations’ entrepreneurial and innovation strategies and product competitiveness helps business firms to increase profitability and business growth. The research proposed the hypothesis that there exist the significant positive link between firm’s product competition in the market and better business firm’s performance. **Table 2** displays the empirical outcome of the model and reveals dependent (firm performance) and independent (product competition) variables results, and confirmed the positive relationship between the chosen variables. The findings exhibited that product competition positively influences firms’ performance and increases profitability. The HHI coefficient score remained 1.86 at a 1% significance level, along with ROE (return on firm’s equity). As indicated in **Table 3**, the study results confirmed the relationship of the hypothesized statement that product competition strengthens firm performance in a positive direction. The study findings of this model are in line with the past research results (Pant and Pattanayak, 2010; Ammann et al., 2013; Li et al., 2018). Another previous research identified similar results that firms’ product competitiveness helps increase sales and profitability and confirms that product competition positively influences business organizations’ better performance (Javeed et al., 2020). Besides, business organizations focusing on more incredible market completion approach improve their corporate financial performance as they build a good corporate stature in the industry and society (Porter and Van der Linde, 1995). The developing economies’ business firms have paid more attention to build their positive corporate image in the industry and tried to remain unique. The firm’s management understands that creating a good image will help increase higher sales and better profits. The study findings provide insights for decision-makers, entrepreneurs’ networks, business owners, managers, and policymakers to prepare suitable strategies to create higher sales to remain competitive in the business industry. Consequently, competition motivates business organizations from the developing economies to stay competitive by launching entrepreneurial and innovation strategies to offer innovative products to capitalize on the benefits as the first mover to gain maximum profits from the industry. The competitive business environment builds pressure on relevant business managers to achieve their targets with dedication and full of motivation to maximize the firm’s profitability (Raith, 2003; Li and Li, 2018; Javeed et al., 2020; Yung and Nguyen, 2020; Carter et al., 2021). The competitive business environment encourages managers to perform well, and fiercely competitive markets do not allow managers to utilize the firm’s resources for personal usage (Janszewski et al., 2002; Allee et al., 2021; Won Henny Jung and Subramanian, 2021). **Table 3** shows ROE here.

### DISCUSSION

This present study primarily emphasized reconnoitering the COVID-19 outbreak adversative business effects on firm performance. This study investigates the research question concerning the challenging environment in the industry due to the COVID-19 pandemic. This research explores how business firms achieve better business profitability and better performance in the competitive industry environment amid pandemic crisis. The present study examined firms’ innovativeness, available resources, competence, entrepreneurial networks, and dynamic capabilities, and social media use (Abbas et al., 2019; Crick and Crick, 2020), which influence producing good products to survive in the competitive business environment. Social media technology in the advent of the pandemic (COVID-19) has helped business organizations to seek the latest information about the crisis to take protective measures for the safety of their operations.

**Table 3** It exhibits product competition and its influence on Chinese firm performance.

| Variables         | ROE       |
|-------------------|-----------|
| HHI               | 1.865 *** (0.347) |
| Capital structure | –3.71*** (0.909) |
| Size              | 2.57 *** (0.354) |
| Asset tangibility | –2.80*** (0.615) |
| Growth            | –0.670*** (0.708) |
| Constant          | –24.37*** (3.55) |
| AR(1)             | –2.35     |
| AR(2)             | 0.29      |
| Sargan test       | 157.12    |
| Observation       | 1,888     |

Significance levels @ (i) *p < 0.1, (ii) ***p < 0.05, (iii) ***p < 0.01, ROE shows, “Return on firm’s equity.”
personnel (Abbas et al., 2021). The workforce and management's mental wellbeing is essential to achieve better firm performance. The competitive behavior of business firms keeps them vibrant and active that ultimately improves firm performance (Pantano et al., 2020). The COVID-19 economic crisis posed challenges as well as provided marketing innovation and digitalization opportunities to capitalize on business openings with competitive products to survive in the crisis (Seetharaman, 2020; Wang et al., 2020).

Business firms have adopted new pathways to survive in the crisis posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. Organizations strive for maintaining the sustainability through competitive activities. The competitive firms allow business activities and provide opportunities to meet with demands of the customers and business environment requirements, which have resulted in before the crisis and increased during this era of the COVID-19, such as additional services and digital solutions (Ilinoiva et al., 2021). The influential elements help firms in surviving in the competitive business during the economic crisis (He and Harris, 2020). The tourism firms practicing CSR activities during the COVID-19 pandemic have received good response from the customers (Aman et al., 2019a; Mamirkulovva et al., 2020). Firms contributing to social good and society remain in the competitive environment, which improves business performance.

Scholars have debated the business firms' behaviors during the economic crisis challenges and identified that firms' dynamic competencies, entrepreneurial resources are critical. The real estate firms have reported mixed results in the pandemic crisis as market value and rental prices revealed different results (Hussain et al., 2019, 2021). These factors determine probability and business growth in the turbulent and challenging time of emergency (Mubeen et al., 2020; Raza Abbası et al., 2021). These determining factors help survive business firms during odd times, and firms' entrepreneurial resources help them stay in the economic crisis. Business firms with rich resources, such as dynamic capabilities, entrepreneurial orientation with more proactive, innovative, and willing behaviors to face business risk, attain greater chances to survive through and after the economic crisis (Soininen et al., 2012; Abbası et al., 2021a). Past studies have designated that business firms' innovativeness, business networks, coping strategies implications and proactive moves positively influence business performance (Meutia et al., 2018; Dias et al., 2021). The demand for renewable energy has been increased to manage environmental issues (Abbası et al., 2021b).

The pandemic (COVID-19) appearance has posed unprecedented and extreme business cases to learn and examine business activities' adverse and spillover impacts on business firms worldwide (Akhtar et al., 2019a,b, 2020; Siddiqi et al., 2020; Dias et al., 2021). The pandemic has massively influenced tourism and hospitality business firms (Siddiqi et al., 2019; Akhtar et al., 2021a,b; Islam et al., 2021b). The advent of the pandemic COVID-19 has resulted a steep decline in business activities of hospitality business firms (Anser et al., 2020; Islam et al., 2021a). The literature has overlooked to explore the combined impact of the interaction between business organization's economic growth in the time of crisis and firms entrepreneurial resources and coping strategies selection in the context of social and financial crises. This study aims to identify this unique context, address the identified research gap. It explores interrelationships between firms' coping strategies in crisis, resources in hand, innovativeness, and entrepreneurial networks. Based on the discussed research gap, this study investigates the factors that influence firm performance during a time of crisis or emergency and how product market competition affects organizations' business performance.

An earlier study explored the adverse influences of the COVID-19 outbreak on business firms' innovativeness, and findings indicated that Chinese listed firms' innovation input has increased in the emergence of the pandemic. However, innovation input improvements do not mean the implementation of improvements in business organizations (Han and Qian, 2020). Therefore, this study objectively focuses on exploring the product competitiveness and firms' innovativeness effects to achieve healthier performance at all times in general and during the crises in particular (Anjum et al., 2017; IJERPH Editorial Office, 2021; Local Burden of Disease HIV Collaborators, 2021). This study sequence follows the organization as follows. The study overviewed the role of business firms' innovativeness, resources in hands, business networks, and dynamic capabilities that affect to produce the best products to compete in the business competition, which ultimately enhances firm performance. These influential factors help firms to survive in the time of emergencies and global crises. In the second phase, the study describes the methodology section for the selected exploratory research. In the third step, this study has elaborated the compelling findings and factors that affect firm performance at all times, including the financial crisis. In the final section, the study concludes the discussion with suggestions and limitations.

Many scholars have emphasize investigating direct relationships between product competition and its impact on firm performance. Some of them provides positive relationships (Ruiz-Porras and Lopez-Mateo, 2011; Van Reenen, 2011; Javeed et al., 2020), while others negative or U shape (Januszewski et al., 2002; Bloom et al., 2010; Ko et al., 2016). These studies did not clarify how product market competition can be associated with firm performance positively, negatively, or neutrally. The exiting literature documented many studies that suggested exploring the effects of products competition in the turbulent business environment and how product completion influences firms' growth (Guney et al., 2011; Sheik, 2018). Therefore, based on these rational reasons and the existence of this gap, this present model examined the role of market competition on Chinese business firms' performance. The study has employed the GMM model, and found that product competition in the market leads to better profitability and healthier performance of enterprises and confirmed the positive and significant linkage. The outcomes of this study shows that firms competition builds pressures on business managers to perform well assigned tasks with full devotion to realize profitability of the business firm (Raith, 2003). Based on the consequences of this research, this study provides suitable suggestions for the management, policymakers, stockholders, and owners of developed world industries, along with firms operating in developing economies (Li et al., 2022).
Since, vaccines is not available equally across the world, safety measures and employees’ health security is the most important factor (Su et al., 2020, 2021a,c; Aman et al., 2021). The emergence of the ongoing pandemic has raised concerns about health priorities for everyone, and social media use has increased to seek health-related information (Pourmasaei et al., 2019; Abbas, 2020; Fattahi et al., 2020; Nejhadadgar et al., 2020a; Sarfraz et al., 2021; Toqeer et al., 2021). It provides useful information to improve firm profits at all times, particularly in the emergence of the economic crisis. The study results are helpful for business firms for attracting stakeholders, and other investors to contribute in a competitive environment. This study recommends future research directions to examine different variables that impact firm performance during the crisis, for instance, the role of governance structure, financing configuration, enterprise pattern, business networks, entrepreneurial orientation, competence, innovation, and corporate governance. The prospective studies can investigate the linkage of these factors with product market competition to achieve better firm performance by choosing various firms sectors.

CONCLUSION

Scholars have paid attention to discover the direct linkage between product’s market competitiveness and business firm performance; however, the literature showed mixed results. Previous research has not described how product market competition negatively, neutrally, or positively affects organizational performance. Past literature specified that some studies had identified a positive links between product’s competition and improved firm performance. The present research model’s findings are consistent with this argument and designated product competition positive influences on firm performance. This present model recommends exploring the real influence of product competition through mediating and moderating variables on firm performance would produce interesting results. This study incorporated the GMM model technique and recognized that product’s competition positively influences firm performance, confirming the proposed hypothesis of this study. The present article provides a helpful contribution to the literature on business organizations’ performance. This study provides valuable insights for business owners, CEOs, decision-makers, and business managers on dealing with the economic crisis and financial disaster caused by the COVID-19 outbreak.

This study shows that the behavior of business organizations is critical in emergencies and economic crisis. Firms’ resources, entrepreneurial factors, dynamic abilities, innovation, and practical strategies help combat the negative impacts of the pandemic in crisis survival. Governments can play a crucial role in supporting SMEs to keep them active in combating the adverse influence of the pandemic (COVID-19) during the financial crisis. Enterprises’ play an indispensable role to boost business activities. Similarly, SMEs provide a major portion to GDP and they are the engine of the economy in creating large-scale economic activities. Joint global efforts help combat the negative COVID-19 effects on business organizations worldwide. The point of view of business managers is critical, as their interest is to reduce the indirect effects of COVID-19 when choosing crisis confrontation strategies. This present research primarily aimed to explore business firms’ product market competitive situation to attain better performance. Past literature remained unnoticed to examine the collective influence of interaction between firms’ performance and organizational, entrepreneurial orientation and coping strategies during the economic crisis. This research focused on addressing this literature gap. The study investigated interlinked associations between coping strategies, innovativeness, and entrepreneurial orientation in the arrival of the pandemic COVID-19 that caused disruptions and global economic crisis (Mubeen et al., 2021a,b; Aman et al., 2022; Mamirkulova et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2022). Based on the above debate, the study examined compelling factors that influence firm performance.

Limitations

This study inherits some limitations like any empirical research study. This study has analyzed the secondary data on annual reports of the A-share listed Chinese business organizations. The Chinese enterprises follow unique state controlled business environment, which is different from other regions across the world. China is the global export leader and the world’s second largest economy. Chinese firms operate under unique capital structure and state monitored corporate sector. Thus, results generalizability of this study does not apply other global economies. Conversely, the literature documented relatively just few studies that explored multiple aspects effects on firm performance. Alternatively, this study’s limitations are helpful, provide the potential for future research, and may help understand the link between competitive products and firm performance. Future research studies can investigate other factors, such as governance structure, corporate governance, and financing allocation through different research methodologies such as cross-sectional design or mixed methods. Future studies can explore more data sets or sectors to understand the relationship between competition in the product market competition and company performance.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RM edited the revised manuscript and contributed to the study design, analysis, literature, discussion, and conclusion. JA conceptualized the idea, contributed to the study design, completed the entire article, including introduction, literature, discussion, conclusion, and edited the original manuscript before submission. DW reviewed and approved the final edited version, and supervised this research. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.
FUNDING

This study was partially funded by Science Research Fund 2019 from Department of Education of Liaoning Province, China has funded this research (JQW201915402). This study was also partially funded by School of Media and Communication, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China.

REFERENCES

Abachi, P., and Iorember, P. T. (2017). Macroeconomic and household welfare impact of increase in minimum wage in Nigeria: A computable general equilibrium model. Am. J. Econ. 7, 249–258.

Abbas, J. (2020). The role of interventions to manage and reduce Covid-19 mortality rate of the COVID-19 patients worldwide. Found. Univ. J. Psychol. 4, 33–36.

Abbas, J., Anam, J., Nurunnabi, M., and Bano, S. (2019). The impact of social media on learning behavior for sustainable education: evidence of students from selected universities in Pakistan. Sustainability 11:1683. doi: 10.3390/su11061683

Abassi, K. R., Adedoyin, F. F., Abbas, J., and Hussain, K. (2021b). The impact of energy depletion and renewable energy on CO2 emissions in Thailand: fresh evidence from the novel dynamic ARDL simulation. Renew. Energy 180, 1439–1450. doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2020.08.078

Akhbar, N., Akhtar, M. N., Usman, M., Ali, M., and Siddiqui, U. I. (2020). COVID-19 restrictions and consumers’ psychological reactance toward offline shopping freedom restoration. Serv. Ind. J. 40, 891–913. doi: 10.1080/02642069.2020.1790535

Akhbar, N., Chen, X., Siddiqui, U. I., Zeng, G., and Islam, T. (2021a). Language constraints in hotel attributes and consumers’ offendedness associated with behavioral intentions. Asia Pac. J. Market. Logist. 33, 1–14. doi: 10.1108/apjml-05-2020-0375

Akhbar, N., Siddiqui, U. I., Ahmad, W., Usman, M., Chen, X., and Islam, T. (2021b). Effects of service encounter barriers on situational abnormality and consumers’ behavioral intentions at food and beverage restaurants. Asia Pac. J. Market. Logist. 33, 1513–1534. doi: 10.1108/APJML-03-2020-0192

Akhbar, N., Sun, J., Akhtar, M. N., and Chen, J. (2019a). How attitude ambivalence from conflicting online hotel reviews affects consumers’ behavioural responses: the moderating role of dialecticism. J. Hosp. Tour. Manag. 41, 28–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jhtm.2019.09.003

Akhbar, N., Sun, J., Chen, J. and Akhtar, M. N. (2019b). The role of attitude ambivalence in conflicting online hotel reviews. J. Hosp. Market. Manag. 29, 471–502. doi: 10.1080/19366623.2019.1650684

Akram, S., Nazam, M., Mubeen, R., and Ch, S. R. (2017). Factors influencing effectness of celebrity endorsement in advertising. Br. J. Market. Stud. 8, 1–16.

Allee, K. D., Do, C., and Sterin, M. (2021). Product market competition, disclosure framing, and casting in earnings conference calls. J. Account. Econ. 72:101405. doi: 10.1016/j.jacced.2021.101405

Akhbar, N., Altu, A., and Suleman, J. (2012). When do high stock returns trigger equity issues? J. Fin. Econ. 103, 61–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jfineco.2011.08.007

Aman, J., Abbas, J., Lea, U., and Shi, G. (2021). Religious affiliation, daily spirituals, and private religious factors promote marital commitment among married couples: does religiosity help people amid the COVID-19 Crisis? Front. Psychol. 12:657400. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.657400

Aman, J., Abbas, J., Mahmood, S., Nurunnabi, M., and Bano, S. (2019a). The influence of Islamic religiosity on the perceived socio-cultural impact of sustainable tourism development in Pakistan: a structural equation modeling approach. Sustainability 11:3039. doi: 10.3390/su11113039

Aman, J., Abbas, J., Nurunnabi, M., and Bano, S. (2019b). The relationship of religiosity and marital satisfaction: the role of religious commitment and practices on marital satisfaction among Pakistani respondents. Behav. Sci. (Basel) 9:30. doi: 10.3390/bs9030030

Aman, J., Abbas, J., and Shi, G. (2022). Community wellbeing under China-Pakistan economic corridor: role of social, economic, cultural, and educational factors in improving residents’ quality of life. Front. Psychol. 12:816592. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.816592

Anm Mann, M. O., Mesch, D., and Schmidt, M. M. (2013). Product market competition, corporate governance, and firm value: evidence from the EU area. Eur. Fin. Manag. 19, 452–469. doi: 10.1111/1468-036X.100605.x

Andersen, T. G., Todorov, V., and Ubukata, M. (2021). Tail risk and return predictability for the Japanese equity market. J. Econometrics 222 (1 Pt B), 344–363. doi: 10.1016/j.jeconom.2020.07.005

Anjum, M. N., Xiuchun, B., Abbas, J., Shuqiang, Z., and McMillan, D. (2017). Analyzing predictors of customer satisfaction and assessment of retail banking problems in Pakistan. Cogent. Bus. Manag. 4:1338842. doi: 10.1080/23311975.2017.1338842

Anser, M. K., Shaﬁque, S., Usman, M., Akhtar, N., and Ali, M. (2020). Spiritual leadership and organizational citizenship behavior for the environment: an intervening and interactional analysis. J. Environ. Plan. Manag. 64, 1496–1514. doi: 10.1080/09640568.2020.1832446

Aqeel, M., Abbas, J., Shuja, K. H., Rehna, T., Siddiqua, A., Yousaf, I., et al. (2020). The influence of illness perception, anxiety and depression disorders on students mental health during COVID-19 Outbreak in Pakistan: a web-based cross-sectional survey. Int. J. Hum. Rights. Healthc. 14, 1–14.

Azadi, N. A., Ziapour, A., Lebni, J. Y., Irandoust, S. F., Abbas, J., and Chaboksavar, F. (2021). The effect of education based on health belief model on promoting preventive behaviors of hypertensive disease in staff of the Iran University of Medical Sciences. Arch. Public Health 79, 69. doi: 10.1186/s13690-021-00594-9

Bathia, D., Bouras, C., Demirer, R., and Gupta, R. (2020). Cross-border capital flows and return dynamics in emerging stock markets: relative roles of equity and debt flows. J. Int. Money Finance 109:102258. doi: 10.1016/j.jimonfin.2020.102258

Bhagat, S., and Bolton, R. (2008). Corporate governance and firm performance. J. Corp. Finance 14, 257–273.

Bloom, N., Sadun, R., and Reenen, J. V. (2010). Does product market competition lead firms to decentralize? Am. Econ. Rev. 100, 434–438.

Brown, L. D., and Caylor, M. L. (2009). Corporate governance and firm operating performance. Rev. Quant. Finance Account. 32, 129–144.

Brown, R., and Lee, N. (2019). Strapped for cash? Funding for UK high growth SMEs since the global financial crisis. J. Bus. Res. 99, 37–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.02.001

Bunea, O.-I., Corbos, R.-A., and Popescu, R.-I. (2019). Influence of some financial indicators on return on equity ratio in the Romanian energy sector—a competitive approach using a DuPont-based analysis. Energy 189:116251. doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2019.116251

Carter, M. E., Choi, J., and Sedatole, K. L. (2021). The effect of supplier industry competition on pay-for-performance incentive intensity. J. Account. Econ. 71:101389. doi: 10.1016/j.jacced.2021.101389

Chebolu-Subramanian, V., and Sundarraj, R. P. (2011). Essential medicine prices, procurement process and supplier response: a normative study across Indian states. Soc. Sci. Med. 72:113926. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.113926

Crack, J. M., and Crack, D. (2020). Cooperative and COVID-19: collaborative business-to-business marketing strategies in a pandemic crisis. Ind. Market. Manag. 88, 206–213. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2020.05.016

Dabwor, D. T., Iorember, P. T., and Danjuma, S. Y. (2020). Stock market returns, globalization and economic growth in Nigeria: evidence from volatility and cointegrating analyses. J. Public Aff. 2020:2393. doi: 10.1002/pa.2393

Dias, ÁL., Manuel, E. C., Dutschke, G., Pereira, R., and Pereira, L. (2021). Economic crisis effects on SME dynamic capabilities. Int. J. Learn. Change 13, 63–80. doi: 10.1057/jilc.2021.116162
Liston-Heyes, C., and Pilkington, A. (2004). Inventive concentration in Local Burden of Disease HIV Collaborators (2021). Mapping subnational HIV
Mahmood, F., Han, D., Ali, N., Mubeen, R., and Shahzad, U. (2019). Moderating
Frontiers in Psychology | www.frontiersin.org
March 2022 | Volume 12 | Article 790923
42, 15–20. doi: 10.1177/0272684X20961001
Scheidgen, K., Aslan Gümüsay, A., ünzel-Jensen, F. G., Krlev, G., and Wolf, M.
Sarfraz, R., Aqeel, M., Lactao, J., Khan, S., and Abbas, J. (2021). Coping
Siddiqi, U. I., Sun, J., and Akhtar, N. (2019). The role of conflicting online reviews in consumers’ attitude ambivalence. Serv. Ind. J. 40, 1003–1030. doi: 10.1080/02642069.2019.1684905
Siddiqui, U. I., Sun, J., and Akhtar, N. (2020). Ulterior motives in peer and expert supplementary online reviews and consumers’ perceived deception. Asia Pac. J. Market. Logist. 33, 73–98. doi: 10.1080/apjml-06-2019-0399
Singh, S., Tabassum, N., Darwish, T. K., and Batsaksis, G. (2018). Corporate governance and Tobin’s Q as a measure of organizational performance. Br. J. Manag. 29, 171–190.
Soininen, J., Puumalainen, K., Sjögrén, H., and Syrjä, P. (2012). The impact of regular life and internet use on well-being in the World Heritage Sites.
Sarfaty, A., and Pauker, S. G. (1983). Decision analysis: a general framework for decision making under uncertainty. Ann. Intern. Med. 98, 352–356.
Sarfaty, A., and Pauker, S. G. (1990). Decision analysis: uncertain outcomes. Ann. Intern. Med. 112, 715–720.
Sarfaty, A., and Pauker, S. G. (1992). Decision analysis: decision trees. Ann. Intern. Med. 116, 378–384.
Sarfaty, A., and Pauker, S. G. (1998). Decision analysis: severity and probability functions. Ann. Intern. Med. 129, 133–139.
Sarfaty, A., and Pauker, S. G. (2000). Decision analysis: evaluating alternatives. Ann. Intern. Med. 133, 50–57.
Su, Z., McDonnell, D., Li, X., Bennett, B., Segalo, S., Abbas, J., et al. (2021c). COVID-19 vaccine donations—vaccine empathy or vaccine diplomacy? A narrative literature review. *Vaccines* 9, 1024. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9091024

Su, Z., McDonnell, D., Wen, J., Kozak, M., Abbas, J., Segalo, S., et al. (2021d). Mental health consequences of COVID-19 media coverage: the need for effective crisis communication practices. *Global. Health* 17, 4. doi: 10.1186/s12992-020-00654-4

Su, Z., Wen, J., Abbas, J., McDonnell, D., Cheshmehzangi, A., Li, X., et al. (2020). A race for a better understanding of COVID-19 vaccine non-adopters. *Brain Behav. Immun. Health* 9, 100159. doi: 10.1016/j.bbih.2020.100159

Tian, G. Y., and Twite, G. (2011). Corporate governance, external market discipline and firm productivity. *J. Corp. Finance* 17, 403–417.

Toqueer, S., Aqeel, M., Shuja, K. H., Bibi, A., and Abbas, J. (2021). Attachment styles, facebook addiction, dissociation and alexithymia in university students: a mediational model. *Nat. Nurt. J. Psychol.* 1, 28–37.

Usman, O. I, Olanipekun, O., Iorember, P. T., and Abu-Goodman, M. (2020). Modelling environmental degradation in South Africa: the effects of energy consumption, democracy, and globalization using innovation accounting tests. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 27, 8334–8349. doi: 10.1007/s11356-019-06687-6

Usman, O., Iorember, P. T., and Olanipekun, I. O. (2019). Revisiting the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis in India: the effects of energy consumption and democracy. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 26, 13390–13400. doi: 10.1007/s11356-019-04696-2

Van Reenen, J. (2011). Does competition raise productivity through improving management quality? *Int. J. Ind. Org.* 29, 306–316.

Wang, Y., Hong, A., Li, X., and Gao, J. (2020). Marketing innovations during a global crisis: a study of China firms’ response to COVID-19. *J. Bus. Res.* 116, 214–220. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.029

Won Henny Jung, H., and Subramanian, A. (2021). Search, product market competition and CEO pay. *J. Corp. Finance* 69:101981. doi: 10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2021.101981

Yang, Z., Chen, Y., and Zhang, P. (2020). Macroeconomic shock, financial risk transmission and governance response to major public emergencies. *Manag. World* 36, 13–35.

Yoosefi Lebni, J., Abbas, J., Moradi, F., Salahshoor, M. R., Chaboksavar, F., Iranroost, S. F., et al. (2021). How the COVID-19 pandemic affected economic, social, political, and cultural factors: a lesson from Iran. *Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry* 67, 298–300. doi: 10.1177/0020764020939984

Yung, K., and Nguyen, T. (2020). Managerial ability, product market competition, and firm behavior. *Int. Rev. Econ. Finance* 70, 102–116. doi: 10.1016/j.iref.2020.06.027

Zaremba, A., Aharon, D. Y., Demir, E., Kizys, R., and Zawadka, D. (2021). COVID-19, government policy responses, and stock market liquidity around the world: a note. *Res. Int. Bus. Finance* 56:101359. doi: 10.1016/j.ribaf.2020.101359

Zhang, F., and Zhu, L. (2021). Social media strategic capability, organizational unlearning, and disruptive innovation of SMEs: the moderating roles of TMT heterogeneity and environmental dynamism. *J. Bus. Res.* 133, 183–193. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.04.071

Zhang, W., Jex, S. M., Peng, Y., and Wang, D. (2017). Exploring the effects of job autonomy on engagement and creativity: the moderating role of performance pressure and learning goal orientation. *J. Bus. Psychol.* 32, 235–251. doi: 10.1007/s10869-016-9453-x

Zhao, J., Chen, X., and Hao, Y. (2018). Monetary policy, government control and capital investment: evidence from China. *China J. Account. Res.* 11, 233–254.

Zhou, Y., Draghici, A., Abbas, J., Mubeen, R., Boatca, M. E., and Salam, M. A. (2022). Social media efficacy in crisis management: effectiveness of non-pharmaceutical interventions to manage COVID-19 challenges. *Front. Psychiatry* 12:626134. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.626134

Zou, H. L., Zeng, S. X., Lin, H., and Xie, X. M. (2015). Top executives’ compensation, industrial competition, and corporate environmental performance. *Manag. Decis.* 53, 2036–2059. doi: 10.1108/md-08-2014-0515

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher’s Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

**Copyright © 2022 Liu, Qu, Wang, Abbas and Mubeen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.**