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Abstract

A Neuro-fuzzy control method for an Unmanned Vehicle (UV) simulation is described. The objective is guiding an autonomous vehicle to a desired destination along a desired path in an environment characterized by a terrain and a set of distinct objects, such as obstacles like donkey traffic lights and cars circulating in the trajectory. The autonomous navigate ability and road following precision are mainly influenced by its control strategy and real-time control performance. Fuzzy Logic Controller can very well describe the desired system behavior with simple “if-then” relations owing the designer to derive “if-then” rules manually by trial and error. On the other hand, Neural Networks perform function approximation of a system but cannot interpret the solution obtained neither check if its solution is plausible. The two approaches are complementary. Combining them, Neural Networks will allow learning capability while Fuzzy-Logic will bring knowledge representation (Neuro-Fuzzy). In this paper, an artificial neural network fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) controller is described and implemented to navigate the autonomous vehicle. Results show several improvements in the control system adjusted by neuro-fuzzy techniques in comparison to the previous methods like Artificial Neural Network (ANN).
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Introduction

Traditional control of non-linear dynamical systems has been done by using Classical Linear Control Theory and assuming simple linear mathematical models for the systems. However, it is now well known that non-linear dynamical systems can exhibit complex behavior (and as a consequence are difficult to control) and the most appropriate mathematical models for them are the non-linear ones. Since the complexity of mathematical models for real dynamical systems is very high it becomes necessary to use more advanced control techniques. This is precisely the fact that motivated researchers in the area of Artificial Intelligence (AI) to apply techniques that mimic human experts in the domain of dynamical systems control. More recently, techniques like neural networks and fuzzy logic have been applied with some success to the control of non-linear dynamical systems for several domains of application.

In literature, there can be found many different approaches related to the autonomous control of Unmanned vehicles UVs; some of the techniques proposed include fuzzy control (Banks & Hayward, 2001; Doitsidis, Valavanis, & Tsurveloudis, 2004; Verbruggen, Zimmerman, & Babuska, 1999), adaptive control (Andrievsky & Fradkov, 2002; Åström & Wittenmark, 1989; Schumacher & Kumar, 2000), neural networks (Sundararajan, Li, & Sratchandran, 2001), genetic algorithms (Cordon, Gamide, Herrera, & Magdelen, 2004) and Lyapunov theory (Ren & Beard, 2003).

However, there have been some limitations and problems with these approaches when applied to real systems. For this reason, we proposed in this paper the application of a hybrid approach for the problem of control, combining neural and fuzzy technologies called Adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system (ANFIS).

The ANFIS model was trained with the back propagation gradient descent method. We used the data described in (Andrzejak et al. 2001), which is publicly available. After learning of ANFIS in several iterations there is an error between the calculated position and the position of the reference which is minimal.

The correct training rates and convergence rates of the proposed ANFIS model were examined and then
Figure 1 Equivalent ANFIS architecture (adaptive nodes shown with square and fixed nodes with a circle).

Figure 2 Reference path.
The performance of the ANFIS model was reported in the results obtained.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 starts with a basic introduction of ANFIS and then explains the design of the controllers used for the autonomous control of the UAV. The inputs and the outputs of each controller are described and the membership functions used are given. The hybrid learning algorithm adopted in this work is described in Section 3 and a representative simulation study and its results are presented in Section 4. In the final sections of the paper some concluding remarks and suggestions for future work are made.

Overview of the ANFIS

Adaptive Neural Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) is fuzzy Sugeno model put in the framework to facilitate learning and adaptation procedure. Such network makes fuzzy logic more systematic and less relying on expert knowledge. The objective of ANFIS is to adjust the parameters of a fuzzy system by applying a learning procedure using input–output training data.

A combination technique of least square algorithm and back propagation are used for training fuzzy inference system (Jang & Sun, 1997).

Basic architecture of ANFIS that has two inputs x and y and one output f is shown in Figure 1. Assume the rule base contains two Takagi-Sugeno if-then rules as follows:

**Rule 1:** If $x$ is $A_1$ and $y$ is $B_{1}$, then $f_1 = p_1 x + q_1 y + r_1$

**Rule 2:** If $x$ is $A_2$ and $y$ is $B_{2}$, then $f_2 = p_2 x + q_2 y + r_2$

ANFIS has five layers as shown in Figure 1, square nodes are named adaptive nodes, to demonstrate that the parameters in these nodes are adjustable, while circle nodes, named fixed nodes, are to demonstrate that the Parameters are fixed. Then the node function in each layer is described below:

---

**Table 1 ANFIS situations**

| Situation                  | Antecedent | Consequent               |
|----------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| **Case 1: donkey**         |            |                          |
| Distance donkey “D”        | $D = 10$ m | Decrease the speed       |
|                           | $D > 10$ m | /                        |
| **Case 2: existence of a vehicle on the road** | $D_{-V} = 3$ m | Change of position and Exceeding |
|                           | $D_{-V} > 3$ m | /                         |
| **Case 3: traffic lights** |            |                          |
| Color traffic lights “C”   | $C = Green$| Move                     |
|                           | $C = Red$  | Stop                     |

---
Layer 1: in the first layer, all the nodes are adaptive nodes. The outputs of layer 1 are the fuzzy membership grade of the inputs, every node $i$ in this layer is an adjustable node with node function:

$$O_{1,i} = \mu_{A_i}(x) \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$O_{1} = \mu_{B_i}(x) \quad i = 1, 2$$

Where $\mu_{A_i}(x), \mu_{B_i}(x)$ can adopt any fuzzy membership function. For example, if the bell shaped membership function is employed, $\mu_{A_i}(x)$ is given by:

$$\mu_{A_i}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x-c_i}{a_i} b_i\right)^2}$$

Where $a_i, b_i$ and $c_i$ are the parameters of the membership function, governing the bell shaped functions accordingly.
Layer 2: in the second layer, the nodes are fixed nodes. They indicate that they perform as a simple multiplier.
The outputs of this layer can be represented as:
\[ O^2_i = w_i = \mu_A(x)\mu_B(y) \quad i = 1, 2 \] (4)
Which are the so-called firing strengths of the rules.

Layer 3: in the third layer, the nodes are also fixed nodes. They indicating that they play a normalization role to the firing strengths from the previous layer.
The outputs of this layer can be represented as:
\[ O^3_i = \tilde{w}_i = \frac{w_i}{w_1 + w_2} \quad i = 1, 2 \] (5)
Which are the so-called normalized firing strengths?

Layer 4: in the fourth layer, the nodes are adaptive nodes. The output of each node in this layer is simply the product of the normalized firing strength and a first order polynomial (for a first order Sugeno model). Thus, the outputs of this layer are given by:
\[ O^4_i = \tilde{w}_i f_i = \tilde{w}_i (p_i x + q_i y + r_i) \quad i = 1, 2 \] (6)

Layer 5: in the fifth layer, there is only one single fixed node. This node performs the summation of all incoming signals. Hence, the overall output of the model is given by:
\[ O^5 = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \tilde{w}_i f_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \tilde{w}_i f_i}{w_1 + w_2} \] (7)
It can be observed that there are two adaptive layers in this ANFIS architecture, namely the first layer and the fourth layer. In the first layer, there are three modifiable parameters \( \{a_i, b_i, c_i\} \), which are related to the input membership functions. These parameters are the so-called premise parameters. In the fourth layer, there are also three modifiable parameters \( \{p_i, q_i, r_i\} \), pertaining to the first order polynomial. These parameters are so-called consequent parameters (Jang, 1993).
System design
The efficacy of the ANFIS controllers is evaluated by demanding the UV to execute some steering maneuvers autonomously.

There are some basic maneuvers described in the road literature. One of them is steep turns. Steep turns aim to see the domination of the pilot over the control surfaces of the plane in basic training. There are several types of steep turn maneuvers. The one more that is used here is the case of precession of others cars on the road that have minimum speeds to that of UV which starts precession operation by making horns from 3 meters taking the second position of the road, as shown in Figures 2 and 3.

Road reference also contains bumps and traffic lights, it should here be noted that while the UV is in front of a bumps must slow up, and if there is a traffic lights, the nose of the UV is placed at line of traffic lights if it is red, if not it will finish without stopping and with the same speed.

The rules of the neuro-fuzzy inference subsystem are formulated so that all possible potential situations that may occur to the vehicle on the route are taken into consideration.

Table 1 presents the different situations and fuzzy rules, they are translated.

The neuro-fuzzy architecture
The input layer passes the data to the second layer, which calculates the fuzzy membership degrees to which the input values belong to predefined fuzzy membership functions. The third layer contains fuzzy rule nodes representing prototypes of input–output data as an association of hyper-spheres from the fuzzy input and fuzzy output spaces. Each rule node is defined by 2 vectors of connection weights, which are adjusted through the hybrid learning technique. The fourth layer calculates the degrees to which output membership functions are matched by the input data, and the fifth layer does defuzzification and calculates exact values for the output variables.

As it is illustrated in Figure 4, at the beginning of the simulation, we inject the fuzzy knowledge base (Takagi Sugeno coefficient) on the network controller, we give result in an entry (the initial positions of the reference route \((X, Y)\), the velocity \(V\), back to donkey \(D\), \(F\) red light, vehicle \(O\) which shows the state of overshoot) and three outputs (new positions \((X, Y)\), and the velocity \(V\)). According to the calculations of the network (fuzzification, inference, defuzzification) the control action is applied to the input of the process that lets you know the state of the system to calculate the error which has the input of the network controller.

The different rules used on the system are summarized as follow:

Rule 1: IF Position \(X\) is \(X'\) and \(X-\varepsilon <X < X+\varepsilon\) THEN the Position is \(X\)
Rule 2: IF Position \(Y\) is \(Y'\) and \(Y-\varepsilon <Y < Y+\varepsilon\) and THEN the Position is \(Y\)
Where \(\varepsilon\) defined the membership interval of the output calculated relative to the desired output and it is empirically determined by the user.
Rule 3: IF Position is \(X, Y\) and Obstacle is Null THEN the Position is \(X, Y\)

Table 2 ANFIS-ANN results

| Method | Error  | Success |
|--------|--------|---------|
| ANFIS  | 0.79%  | 99.21%  |
| ANN    | 1.95%  | 98.05%  |

Figure 8 UV speed variations at obstacles.

Figure 9 ANN Architecture.
The above sections.

by the proposed ANFIS including obstacles described in
these layers, during the training process.

Fuzzification and Defuzzification Layers can be fine
tuned by adjusting the parameters of the neurons in
it is insignificant compared to others. Also, the shape
the rule can be safely removed from the rule base, since
changes in the initial fuzzy rules and membership func-
tion. As we can see from Figure 7 the path obtained from
simulation setup is more close to the reference path
which validates the proposed method.

The function of the Speed Controller subsystem is to
achieve the desired speed that is to say the increase and
decrease in speed on the road and especially in front of
obstacles, so the accelerator control. Figure 8 shows the
variation of the UV speed in function of obstacles come
across the road.

From the above figure, it can be seen that the UV can
indeed avoid obstacles and reach the targets. To verify
the feasibility of proposed method Table 2 shows a com-
parison between neural network and ANFIS controller.

Comparison of the anfis results with other techniques
To show the performance of the results obtained by our
approach, an artificial neural networks ANN have been
selected for comparison because of its high capacity of
prediction and control in non linear dynamical systems.

Figure 9 shows the ANN used to control the UV.

From Table 2 it is seen the precision of the results of both
methods are more or less the same. Therefore, it can be
concluded that the ANFIS controller have a good potential
effect fast response to obstacles and reduce errors.

Conclusion
ANFIS architecture has demonstrated a good perfor-
ance in modeling the trajectory of an Unmanned Ve-
cicle. The best feature of ANFIS is that it pre-processes
all the data into several membership functions before
mapping the data into an adaptive neuro structure. This
pre processing feature allows ANFIS to converge faster
and better. In order to be able to have a basis for com-
parison, an ANN type controller is also designed.

Through experimental tests and comparisons with
existing algorithms, it is found that the proposed system
is a powerful tool for controlling non linear dynamical
systems.
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