Evaluation of Settlement and Bearing Capacity of Embankment on Soft Soil With Reinforced Geogrids
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Abstract—The growth of population around the world requires establishment of many projects. For that, different techniques were established to improve the soft soil lands. One of the most widely used techniques for improving soft soil is the stone columns. In this paper, the work is conducted depending on the laboratory test for the soft soil reinforced with ordinary stone columns beneath embankment model. Analysis was done using Plaxis 3D finite element program to evaluate the settlement and bearing capacity and improving the soft soil beneath the embankment. The analysis was done for two cases with the condition of length to diameter of stone columns L/d = 8. The first case was using one and two horizontal geogrid layers in the embankment at 0.5h and 0.67h compared to the case of without using of the horizontal geogrids layers, for different numbers of ordinary stone columns OSCs (seven, eight and nine). The second case used the same number of geogrid layers and OSCs with different applied loads (10, 15 and 20) kN. The results obtained from the first case reduced the settlement for the seven 5% and 9% and 11% for eight and nine, OSCs respectively, compared to the case of without used of geogrid layers for the same numbers of OSCs. For the second case, the reduction of settlement which leads to increase in bearing capacity influenced in the use of two horizontal geogrid layers for the load of 20 kN by 29%, 16% and 18% for seven, eight and nine OSCs, respectively.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most widely used techniques for improving soft soil is the stone columns "Fig. 1". Wide world areas are occupied by soft clay deposits. The growth of population around the world requires needing to establishment of many projects. Infrastructure projects such as roads and railways embankments, large storage tanks, factories, etc. require implementation wide areas, even if the areas contain soft soil. For that, different techniques were established to improve the soft soil lands such as: treating by dewatering, changing the upper layers of soft soil, using mini piles, used additives, used stone columns with or without reinforcement, etc. Stone columns are the most improvement technique used, to reduce the settlement and increase the bearing capacity of soft soil [1] [2] and [3].

The first time had been used stone columns techniques were in France at 1830. The stone columns are installed in different shapes such as square, triangular or hexagonal [5]. Since that time till now many developments had been done to use the stone columns technique efficiently. Many developments had been done by different researchers (laboratory and filed works) to improve this technique since that time till now. Geotechnical designers preferred numerical analysis due to the availability of finite element codes and increasing in computer power. For that, a lot of numerical analysis was done, such as:

[6] Used encased stone columns (geosynthetics) to improving soft soil. The researchers examined the effect of geosynthetics encased columns diameters and different length during loading. The study showed that increased diameter of sand column will increased the performance of them.

[1] studied the contribution of geotextile encased sand columns (GESC) to global and vertical stability. The tests were done for three diameters: 10, 15, and 30 cm for both ordinary and geotextile sand columns.

[7] Studied the influence of sand columns encased by geosynthetics to improve strength property of black cotton soil. Sand columns were found useful to reduce settlement and load capacity of clay. Also they studied the influence of encased geosynthetics for different length and diameter of
sand columns. The results showed that an increased in
diameter and length of group of sand columns will give better
results. [8] Research was focused on improving the property of
soft soil by using stone columns. By studying the effect of
stone columns lengths and diameters on soft soil settlement
and bearing capacity. The methodology used was the response
surface. Using PLAXIS 2D for numerical modeling results
showed that increase the stone columns diameters caused
increased in load capacity. Also, when length columns
increased will decrease in the settlement. For that, the
optimum stone column design was change with diameter and
length factors separately for improvement.

[9] Focused on the comparing between the effect of using
stone columns with and without geogrids. The study had been
done experimentally and numerical analysis using PLAXIS
3D. The results from both test and numerically showed that
using stone columns with geogrids are the best solution to
reduce settlement. Also, increase load bearing capacity
comparing with the stone columns without geogrids by 60%
and 20% respectively.

Moreover, [10] was working to show that the
effectiveness of a simplified design approach against a
labouratory test and field study. The method was sufficiently
accurate in predicated the stone columns drained settlement.
The researcher was using a simplified approach comparing
with the field study and the laboratory test.

The aim of this research is to evaluate the settlement and
bearing capacity for soft soil with the ordinary stone columns
(OSCs) under reinforced embankment without and with one
and two horizontal layers of geogrids. The evaluation is done
by using numerical analysis (PLAXIS 3D) to find the effect of
reinforcement geogrid layers in the embankment on the
properties for soft soil beneath it. The physical and chemical
properties of the crushed stones, natural soil, sub-base and
geogrids used in the analysis were depended on the
experimental work done by [5][11][12] and [13].

II. METHODS

The work was done by using finite element programme,
depending on experimental data, as following:

A. PLAXIS 3D program

Plaxis 3D is a numerical program depend on a
powerful tool is finite element, which is used to conducted
analysis for stability, deformation and ground water flow
for a different geotechnical implementation types. In
another words it is used for complex engineering analysis
problems. The program used for calculating static and
dynamic models. For that, the program needs advanced
constitutive models for the simulation of time-dependent,
anisotropic and non-linear elastoplastic behaviour of soils
and rocks. Also, this program enables to quickly generate
of a model mesh and geometry [14] and [15].

The program was used to simulate the experimental work
and complex geotechnical problems, find the effect of
settlement or slop stability [16]. Moreover, the program
allows assigning soil properties to each layer of the soil
geometry. For accuracy, the program improves the mesh
around points of great changes. In addition, the other
boundary conditions such as flow of pore water, vertical
and horizontal movements are used. For perfect drain, the
program let zero lines of excess pore pressure to be added
to the design [17]. The program adopted several material
models such as Mohr coulomb, Linear isotropic elasticity,
Hardening-soil, etc. Mohr Coulomb model (MC) is elastic
perfectly plastic to implement the model must define the
fallowing parameters: shear strength c, internal friction
angle φ, Poisson’s ratio v, Modulus of elasticity Es, and
Angle of Dilatancy ψ. It is suitable to get early
deforations assessment [16].

B. Experimental Work

A model for soft soil under embankment with stone
columns was conducted in the laboratory by [5]. The soft
clay soil was conducted by [5] as foundation with
dimensions 1500 mm in x-direction, 800 mm in y-direction
and 560 mm in z-direction and with 10 kPa undrained
shear strength. While, a sub-base granular material of 200
mm in y-direction with 1:1.5 side slope conducted as an
embankment over the soft clay soil. Ordinary stone
columns (OSCs) were used to reinforced the soft soil with
diameter of 70 mm and with length-to-diameter ratio (L/d)
= 8. The space between columns was equal to (2.5d)
diameters. A footing with dimensions (250*500) mm for
applied load was placed on the embankment surface [5]
[11][12] and [13].

Table I shows the physical properties for soft soil,
granular sub-base (which is usually used as fill material for
construction of embankment) and crushed stone which is
used to implement stone columns. Also, the mechanical
properties of the geogrid material used in the
reinforcement of embankment were provided by [5] and
[13]. Yield strength value used was 0.47 kN/m and the
value of the tensile modulus used was 79 kN/m as
illustrated in Table II.

| Parameter | Soft soil | Sub-base | Crushed stone | Unit |
|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|------|
| Total unit weight | 18.85 | 24.22 | - | kN/m³ |
| Dry unit weight | 13.24 | 21.84 | 15.7 | kN/m³ |
| Internal friction angle | 0 | 40 | 41.5 | Degrees |
| Undrained shear strength | 10 | 0 | 0 | kPa |
| Poisson’s ratio | 0.45 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - |
| Elastic modulus | 2000 | 100 | 100 000 | kPa |
| Coefficient of permeability | 0.0212 | 20 | - | m/d |

Table II. Mechanical properties of the geogrid [13].

| Parameter | Geogrid | Unit |
|-----------|---------|------|
| Elastic modulus | 400 000 | kPa |
| Rib thickness | 1.7 | mm |
| Tensile strength | 0.44 | MPa |
| Elongation | 1.4 | % |

C. The model

The parameters illustrated in Tables I and II respectively
are used to build the constitutive the model in the PLAXIS
3D program. Mohr Coulomb constitutive model (MC) was chosen for the analysis of the stress-strain behavior for the reinforce soil with stone column and the embankment above it. Half of the embankment was modeled due to symmetry, and for saving the time of computation as shown in Fig. 2 and 3. The dimensions of the soft soil model adopted by [5] are (1500*800*560) mm in X, Y and Z directions, respectively. Ordinary stone columns were modeled with length equal to 8 \( (L/d) \). While, embankment dimensions are 300 mm in X direction, 600 mm in Y direction and 200 mm in Z direction. Analysis was done for different numbers of stone columns: seven, eight and nine. Also the horizontal geogrid layers were taken in the embankment in two cases.

In the first case the geogrid layer was inserted at 0.5 heights (h) of the embankment, where h is the height of embankment. While, in the second case, two layers of geogrid were used at 0.67 h of the embankment. The program analyses are conducted for any soil problem in five steps. First step is definition of soil stratigraphy with material data sets; second step is definition of elements of structural. Generation of the mesh for the model is done automatically in the third step (Fig. 4). In the fourth step, the water level is located. The last step is performing calculations.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis was done using PLAXIS 3D for the embankment model established on soft soil reinforced with ordinary stone columns (OSCs).

#### A. Settlement

Analysis was done for models with different numbers of OSCs with and without using of horizontal geogrid layers in the embankment. In the first case, the analysis was done for seven, eight and nine OSCs without using of geogrid layers in the embankment. While, used of one horizontal layer of geogrid at a 0.5 h (height) of the embankment as a second case. The third case included embedment of two horizontal geogrid layers at 0.67h for seven, eight and nine OSCs, respectively. The finite element results are illustrated in Fig. 5 for the comparison between the three cases mentioned above.
Fig. 5 shows the settlement or vertical displacement plotted against number of horizontal geogrid layers for the soft soil beneath the embankment model embedded at 0.5h in one case and 0.67h for the other case. The displacement is calculated at nodes 535, 322 and 2373, respectively shown in Figure 4. Also, the analysis was done for the case without horizontal geogrids layers for the seven, eight and nine OSCs. The curves illustrate reducing in the settlement in the rate of 5% for the two cases compared to the case of without geogrid layers for seven OSCs. While, when using eight OSCs for the two cases, the settlement reduced 9% compared without geogrid layers and 11% for the nine OSCs. The use of the horizontal geogrid layers improved the soft soil under the embankment. This means that the use of the horizontal geogrid layers at (0.5h and 0.67h) is an effective way for improving the soft soil. The values of settlement as shown in Fig. 5 show that the soft soil improved for the eight and nine OSCs with two layers of geogrid more than for the seven OSCs with two layers of geogrid.

B. Bearing Capacity

In this research, the laboratory works and the numerical analysis done by [5] and [13] were analysed for the model of soft soil treated with OSCs beneath embankment using PLAXIS 3D. The plotted area is illustrated in Fig. 6. This is between the load increments (10, 15 and 20) kN and the settlement for the treated soft soil with seven OSCs beneath the embankment without and with one and two horizontal geogrids.

The results show that settlement is reduced but not with great value, only in the case of using two horizontal geogrid layers. For that, the ultimate bearing capacity is considered via the failure load according to a settlement that represents 10% of footing width. Using of the two horizontal geogrid layers with the seven OSCs is more effective than the other two cases for the same number of OSCs.

Fig. 7 illustrates the relation between the settlement versus load increments (10, 15 and 20) kN. The results are for the eight OSCs without and with one and two horizontal geogrid layers. The use of the horizontal geogrid layers improved the soft soil by obtaining a major reduction in the settlement, which leads to a major increase in the bearing capacity.

While, Fig. 8 illustrates the results using the same criterion for the load and the geogrid layers for soil treated with nine OSCs. The two horizontal geogrid layers cause major reduction in settlement, which affected the major increase in bearing capacity, especially in the case when using of two geogrid layers as shown in Fig. 8. The analysis was done by the program shows that the use of two horizontal geogrid layers with nine OSCs is more effective in improving the soft soil bearing capacity.

Results obtained from the finite element analysis show the influence of the using of two horizontal geogrid layers for the
seven, eight and nine OSCs are effective in reducing the settlement with the load applied of 20 kN. The comparison is shown in Table III.

| No. of stone columns | One geogrid layer | Two geogrid layers |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 7                    | 6%                | 29%               |
| 8                    | 10%               | 16%               |
| 9                    | 5%                | 18%               |

The results in Table 3 show that the use of two geogrid layers is effective especially for the seven OSCs.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The work of this paper was implemented depending on the experimental model for the embankment over reinforced soft soil with ordinary stone columns; the work was done by [5]. The important points get from this research are:

- The use of the horizontal geogrid layers in the embankment reduced the settlement in the rate of 6% for the seven OSCs and 9%, 11% for the eight and nine OSCs, respectively.
- The value of settlement was reduced for all cases with load increments and did not exceed the failure criterion of 10% of footing width, which means that the ultimate bearing capacity was increased.
- The more using of horizontal geogrids layers with OSCs will improve the soft soil. The reduction in settlement for the two geogrid layers for the seven, eight and nine OSCs for the load value of 20 kN is 29%, 16% and 18%, respectively.
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