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In this article, an effective method is given to solve nonlinear two-dimensional Volterra integral equations of the second kind, which is arising from torsion problem for a long bar that consists of the nonlinear viscoelastic material type with a fixed elliptical cross section. First, the existence of a unique solution of this problem is discussed, and then, we find the solution of a nonlinear two-dimensional Volterra integral equation (NT-DVIE) using block-by-block method (B-by-BM) and degenerate kernel method (DKM). Numerical examples are presented, and their results are compared with the analytical solution to demonstrate the validity and applicability of the method.

1. Introduction

The equations of the torsion problem were derived in detail with analytical solutions, by Muskhelishvili [1], Frank and Mises [2], Nowinski [3], and Sneddon and Berry [4]. The problem can be formulated as a boundary value problem of the Laplace equation. In [5], boundary element method was developed for the nonuniform torsion of simply or multiply connected cylindrical bars of arbitrary cross section, where the bar is subjected to an arbitrary distributed twisting moment while its edges are restrained by the most general linear torsional. In [6], nonlinear inelastic uniform torsion of bars by BEM was studied. Sapountzakis and Tsipiras in [7] used the boundary element method solution to the nonlinear inelastic uniform torsion problem of composite bars. El-Kalla and AL-Bugami in [8] discussed the nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integral equation and torsion problems. Shesh-tawy and Ghaleb in [9], discussed approximate solution to the problem of torsion by a boundary integral method. Assari, in [10], discussed the numerical solution of T-DFIE of the second kind on nonrectangular domains. Fat-tahzadeh, in [11], solved two-dimensional linear and nonlinear Fredholm integral equations of the first kind based on Haar wavelet. Authors, in [12], solved two-dimensional integral equation of the first kind by a multi-step method. Alturk, in [13], solved two-dimensional Fredholm integral equations of the first kind using regularization-homotopy method. In this work, effective numerical methods are proposed to obtain the solution of nonlinear two-dimensional Volterra integral equations of the second kind and study the values of absolute errors.

2. Basic Formulas

While one end of the bar, of length \( b \), is prevented from rotating, the other end is rotated about the \( z \)-axis. So that a section at distance \( z \) from the fixed end turns through angle \( \theta \), the variation of angle \( \theta \) with \( z \), \( z \in [0, b] \), is taken as

\[
\theta = 2\alpha(x, t). \tag{1}
\]

\( \alpha \) is a twist angle. The displacement \( u_{\theta} \) of a particle in a tangential direction is given by

\[
u_{\theta} = r\theta, \tag{2}
\]
where \( r \) is the radius of the particle. Then, we get
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_x &= -\left(\frac{y}{r}\right) u_0, \\
  u_y &= \left(\frac{x}{r}\right) u_0.
\end{align*}
\]
(3)

From (1), (2), and (3), we obtained
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_x &= -yz\alpha(x, t), \\
  u_y &= xz\alpha(x, t), \\
  u_z &= \alpha(x, t)\psi(x, y).
\end{align*}
\]
(4)

Hence, we get
\[
\begin{align*}
  e_x &= \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} = 0, \\
  e_y &= \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} = 0, \\
  e_z &= \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = 0, \\
  e_{xy} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} \right) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]
(5)

\[
\begin{align*}
  e_{zy} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial x} - y \right) \alpha(x, t), \\
  e_{zx} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial x} - y \right) \alpha(x, t).
\end{align*}
\]
(6)

\[
\begin{align*}
  \sigma_{xy} &= 0, \\
  \sigma_{zx} &= \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - y \right) \alpha(x, t), \\
  \sigma_{zy} &= \alpha(x, t)\psi(x, y).
\end{align*}
\]

The stress equilibrium equations are now examined:
\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{xy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{xz}}{\partial z} &= 0, \\
  \frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yz}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yx}}{\partial x} &= 0, \\
  \frac{\partial \sigma_z}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zy}}{\partial y} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]
(7)

\[
\begin{align*}
  \sigma_{xz} &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} Ga, \\
  \sigma_{zy} &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} Ga.
\end{align*}
\]
(8)

A comparison of (6) and (8) now gives
\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - y, \\
  \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + x.
\end{align*}
\]
(9)

The functions \( \theta \) and \( \psi \) must satisfy the relations
\[
\begin{align*}
  \nabla^2 \phi &= -2, \\
  \nabla^2 \psi &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
(10)

Stresses are derived from scalar \( \phi \) in (6) in such a way that rectangular axes with any orientation may be used.

Let the origin of coordinate axes \((n, s)\) be situated on the boundary of the section, direction \( n \) being normal to the boundary and directions being tangential to it. Local values of stresses are now given by
\[
\begin{align*}
  \sigma_{zn} &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} Ga, \\
  \sigma_{zn} &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} Ga.
\end{align*}
\]
(11)

The force \( p_x \) acting on a vertical strip of width \( \delta x \) is given by
\[
p_x = Ga\delta x \int_A \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} dy.
\]
(12)

It can be seen that the torque on the section is given by
\[
T = \iint_R (x\sigma_{zy} - y\sigma_{zx}) dxdy,
\]
(13)

where \( T \) is the moment of torque.

3. Solution in the Form of NTVIE

The deviator strain of nonlinear elastic material is as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
  E_{yz} &= e_{yz}, \\
  E_{xz} &= e_{xz}.
\end{align*}
\]
(14)

Using (5) in (14), we get
\[
\begin{align*}
  E_{yz} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + x \right) \alpha(x, t), \\
  E_{xz} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - y \right) \alpha(x, t).
\end{align*}
\]
(15)
The strain deviator tensor is defined as
\[ E_{ij} = e_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{kk} \delta_{ij}, \quad e_{kk} = e_{xx} + e_{yy} + e_{zz}, \quad i, j = x, y, z, \]
\[ S_{ij} = \frac{1}{3} \sigma_{kk} \delta_{ij}, \quad \sigma_{kk} = \sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{yy} + \sigma_{zz}, \quad i, j = x, y, z. \]

(16)

The second invariant of strain and stress tensor is as follows:
\[ E^2 = e_{ij} e_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{kk} \epsilon_{kk}. \]

(17)

Using (5) in (17), we get
\[ E^2 = 2 \left[ e_{yz}^2 + e_{xz}^2 \right]. \]

(18)

Using (17) again, we get
\[ E^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi(x, y)}{\partial y} + x \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \psi(x, y)}{\partial x} - y \right)^2 \right] \alpha^2(x, t). \]

(19)

Therefore, for the stress components, we find that \( \sigma_{xz}, \sigma_{yz} \) are the only nonvanishing components of stress; thus, we find
\[ \sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{zz} = \sigma_{xy} = 0. \]

(20)

Also,
\[ S_{ij} = 0, \]
\[ S_{zz} = \sigma_{xz}, \]
\[ S_{yz} = \sigma_{yz}. \]

(21)

In addition, the principal cubic theory is given by
\[ S_{ij} = 2GE_{ij} + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) \tau \alpha^3(y, t) dyd\tau + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) \tau \alpha^3(y, t) dyd\tau, \]

(22)

where \( G \) is the shear modulus of the material and \( j(t - \tau, x - y), k(t - \tau, x - y) \) are the kernel functions.

From (21) and (22),
\[ \sigma_{xx} = 2GE_{xx} + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) \tau \alpha^3(y, t) dyd\tau + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) \tau \alpha^3(y, t) dyd\tau, \]

(23)

\[ \sigma_{yy} = 2GE_{yy} + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) \tau \alpha^3(y, t) dyd\tau + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) \tau \alpha^3(y, t) dyd\tau. \]

(24)

Using (15) and (19) in (22), (23), and (24), we obtain
\[ \sigma_{xx} = G \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - y \right) a(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - y \right) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) a(y, t) dyd\tau + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - y \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + x \right)^2 \right] \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) a^3(y, t) dyd\tau. \]

(25)

Also,
\[ \sigma_{xy} = \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + x \right) \left[ Ga(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) a(y, t) dyd\tau \right] + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - y \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + x \right)^2 \right] \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) a^3(y, t) dyd\tau. \]

(26)

Using (25) and (26) in (34), we have
\[ T = \left( \int \int_R \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + x^2 + y^2 \right] dxdy \right) \]
\[ \cdot \left[ Ga(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) a(y, t) dyd\tau \right] + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - y \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + x \right)^2 \right] dxdy \]
\[ \cdot \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) a^3(y, t) dyd\tau \right). \]

(27)

Let
\[ A_1 = \int \int_R \left[ x \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + x^2 + y^2 \right] dxdy, \]

(28)

\[ A_1 = \int \int_R \left[ x \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + x^2 + y^2 \right] dxdy. \]

(29)

Then, equation (27) becomes
\[ T = A_1 \left( Ga(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) a(y, t) dyd\tau \right) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{4} A_2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t - \tau, x - y) a^3(y, t) dyd\tau. \]

(30)

Then, we get
\[ \psi(x, y) = xy \left( \frac{b^2 - a^2}{a^2 + b^2} \right), \]

(31)

where \( a \) and \( b \) are the semimajor axis of the ellipse.
By calculating \(\partial \psi / \partial x\) and \(\partial \psi / \partial y\) from equation (31) and introducing the result in (29), we find

\[
A_1 = \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} \int \int_R \left( a^2 y^2 + b^2 x^2 \right) dxdy = \frac{\pi a^3 b^3}{a^2 + b^2}.
\]

(32)

Also,

\[
A_2 = \frac{2}{a^2 + b^2} \int \int_R \left( b^2 x^2 + a^2 y^2 \right) \left[ \frac{4b^4 x^2}{(a^2 + b^2)^2} + \frac{4a^4 y^2}{(a^2 + b^2)^2} \right] dxdy.
\]

(33)

Then, we have

\[
A_2 = \frac{4\pi a^5 b^5}{3(a^2 + b^2)^2}.
\]

(34)

Here, \(A_1\) is the torsional rigidity and \(A_2\) is the polar moment of inertia of the cross section of the bar.

Write formula (27) in the form

\[
Ga(x,t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^x \int_0^x f(t - \tau, x - y) \alpha(y, \tau) dyd\tau + \frac{\lambda_1}{x_1} \int_0^T \int_0^x k(t - \tau, x - y) \alpha^5 dyd\tau = \frac{T}{A_1},
\]

\[
\lambda = \frac{2G\nu}{(1 - 2\nu)},
\]

\[
K = \frac{2G(1 + \nu)}{3(1 - 2\nu)}
\]

(35)

Here, \(v\) is the Poisson ratio, and then, we obtain

\[
\alpha(x, t) + \lambda_1 \int_0^T \int_0^x f(t - \tau, x - y) \alpha(y, \tau) dyd\tau + \lambda_2 \int_0^T \int_0^x k(t - \tau, x - y) \alpha^5 dyd\tau = f(x, t),
\]

(36)

where \(\lambda_1(\lambda/2G), \lambda_2(A_2K/4A_1G), \) and \(f(x, t) = (T/A_1G)\).

Formula (36) represents NTVIE of the second kind, \(f(|t - \tau|, |x - y|)\) is the kernel of linear term, and \(k(|t - \tau|, |x - y|)\) is the kernel nonlinear term.

If the bar is a linear and viscoelastic material, then we get

\[
\alpha(x, t) + \lambda_1 \int_0^T \int_0^x f(t - \tau, x - y) \alpha(y, \tau) dyd\tau = f(x, t).
\]

(37)

If the bar is a nonlinear and viscoelastic material, we get \(f(|t - \tau|, |x - y|) = 0\); then,

\[
\alpha(x, t) + \lambda_2 \int_0^T \int_0^x k(t - \tau, x - y) \alpha^5 dyd\tau = f(x, t).
\]

(38)

The general form of formula (36) is

\[
\mu \alpha(x, t) + \lambda_1 \int_0^T \int_0^x f(t - \tau, x - y) \alpha(y, \tau) dyd\tau + \lambda_2 \int_0^T \int_0^x k(t - \tau, x - y) \gamma(y, \tau, \alpha(y, \tau)) dyd\tau = f(x, t),
\]

(39)

where,

\[
\lambda_1 = \lambda/2\mu, \lambda_2 = A_1K/4A_1\mu, \text{and } f(x, t) = T/A_1\mu \cdot \gamma(y, \tau, \alpha(y, \tau)), f(x, t) \in L_2(0, b) \times C(0, T).
\]

(39)

4. The Existence of a Unique Solution of T-DVIE

To discuss the existence and uniqueness solution of equation (39), we write it in the integral operator form

\[
Q\alpha(x, t) = \frac{1}{\mu} f(x, t) + \frac{1}{\mu} Q\alpha(x, t) \quad (\mu \neq 0),
\]

(40)

where

\[
Q\alpha(x, t) = Q_1\alpha(x, t) + Q_2\alpha(x, t) \quad (\mu \neq 0),
\]

(41)

\[
Q_1\alpha(x, t) = \lambda_1 \int_0^T \int_0^x f(|t - \tau|, |x - y|) \alpha(y, \tau) dyd\tau,
\]

\[
Q_2\alpha(x, t) = \lambda_2 \int_0^T \int_0^x k(|t - \tau|, |x - y|) \gamma(y, \tau, \alpha(y, \tau)) dyd\tau
\]

(42)

In addition, we assume the following conditions:

(1) \(f(|t - \tau|, |x - y|) \text{ and } k(|t - \tau|, |x - y|) \in L_2(0, b) \times C(0, T)\) and satisfies \(|f(|t - \tau|, |x - y|) | \leq M_1\) and \(|k(|t - \tau|, |x - y|) | \leq M_2, M_1, M_2, \) constants, \(M > M_1, M > M_2 \forall t, \tau \in [0, T], x, y \in [0, b]\)

(2) \(f(x, t)\), with its partial derivatives with respect to \(x\) and \(t\), is continuous in \(L_2(0, b) \times C(0, T)\), and its norm is defined as

\[
\|f(x, t)\| = \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \left\{ \int_0^b \left\{ f^2(x, \tau) dx \right\}^{1/2} d\tau \right\} = G \quad (G \text{ is a constant})
\]

(43)

(3) The known continuous function \(\gamma(y, \tau, \alpha(y, \tau))\) satisfies, for the constants \(A > A_1, A > P, \) the following
Proof. Under the conditions (1),

\[ b - \| y(t, a(t)) \| - \| y(t, a_2 (t)) \| \leq M_1 T \| x(t) - a_2(t) \|, \]

\[ \| N(t,x) \|_{L^2([0,T])} = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \int_0^T N(t,x) \, dt \right\}^{1/2} = \rho < \infty \]  

(44)

(4) The unknown function \( a(x,t) \) satisfies the Lipschitz condition for the first argument of position and Hölder condition for the second argument of time, where

\[ \| a(x,t) \| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \int_0^T |a(x,t)| \, dt \right\}^{1/2} \]  

(45)

(5) The kernels satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to position and Hölder condition with respect to time, where

\[ J(\tau - r, |x - y|) - J(\tau - r, |x - y|) \leq L_1 |x - y| - |x_2 - y_2|, \]

\[ k(\tau - r, |x - y|) - k(\tau - r, |x - y|) \leq L_3 |x - y| - |x_2 - y_2|, \]

\[ J(\tau - r, |x - y|) - J(\tau - r, |x - y|) \leq L_2 |x - y| - |x_2 - y_2|, \]

\[ k(\tau - r, |x - y|) - k(\tau - r, |x - y|) \leq L_3 |x - y| - |x_2 - y_2|, \]  

(46)

In view of condition (3-a), the above inequality takes the form

\[ \| \tilde{Q}a(x,t) \| \leq \frac{G}{\mu} + \frac{|\lambda_1|}{|\mu|} M_1 T \| a(x,t) \| + \frac{|\lambda_2|}{|\mu|} M_2 AT \| a(x,t) \|, \]

\[ \| Q(a(x,t)) \| \leq \frac{G}{\mu} + \sigma \| a(x,t) \|, \]  

(49)

Inequality (49) shows that the operator \( Q \) maps the ball \( S_\rho \) into itself, where

\[ \rho = \frac{G}{\| \mu - |\lambda_1| M_1 T \| + |\lambda_2| M_2 AT \|}. \]  

(50)

Since \( \rho > 0 \) and \( G > 0 \), therefore we have \( \sigma < 1 \). Moreover, the inequality (49) involves the boundedness of the operator \( Q \) of equation (42), where

\[ \| Q(a(x,t)) \| \leq \sigma \| a(x,t) \|. \]  

(51)

In addition, the inequalities (49) and (51), define the boundedness of the operator \( Q \).

Lemma 3. Assume that the conditions (1) and (3-b) are verified, and then, \( Q \) is a contraction operator in the space \( L_2[0, b] \times C[0, T] \) and from equations (40) and (42), we find

\[ \| Q(a_1(x,t) - a_2(x,t)) \| \leq \frac{|\lambda_1|}{\| \mu \|} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^t \int_0^t J(\tau - r, |x - y|) |a_1(y, r) - a_2(y, r)| \, dy \, dr \right) \]

\[ + \frac{|\lambda_2|}{\| \mu \|} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^t \int_0^t k(\tau - r, |x - y|) |a_1(y, r) - a_2(y, r)| \, dy \, dr \right). \]  

(52)

With the aid of conditions (1) and (3-b), the above inequality becomes

\[ \| Q(a_1(x,t) - Qa_2(x,t)) \| \leq \frac{|\lambda_1|}{\| \mu \|} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^t N(\tau, y) |a_1(y, r) - a_2(y, r)| \, dy \, dr \right) \]

\[ + \frac{|\lambda_2|}{\| \mu \|} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^t N(\tau, y) |a_1(y, r) - a_2(y, r)| \, dy \, dr \right). \]  

(53)

Then, we get

\[ \| Q(a_1(x,t) - Qa_2(x,t)) \| \leq \sigma \| a_1(x,t) - a_2(x,t) \|. \]  

(54)

From inequality (54), we see that \( Q \) is continuous in the space \( L_2[0, b] \times C[0, T] \), and then, \( Q \) is a contraction operator under the condition \( \sigma < 1 \).
Table 1: The approximate values and the absolute relative error values using B-by-BM for linear case \((k = 1)\).

| \(N\) | \(t\) | \(x\) | \(v = 0.21\) | \(v = 0.27\) | \(v = 0.33\) |
|-------|------|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|       |      |      | \(\text{Approx}_{BM}\) | \(\text{Error}_{BM}\) | \(\text{Approx}_{BM}\) | \(\text{Error}_{BM}\) | \(\text{Approx}_{BM}\) | \(\text{Error}_{BM}\) |
| 0.2   | 0.2  | 1.3140E-07 | 2.90025E-08 | 1.4941E-07 | 4.7016E-08 | 1.8014E-07 | 7.7746E-08 |
| 0.6   | 0.2  | 2.2633E-04 | 2.39155E-06 | 2.2780E-04 | 3.8609E-06 | 2.3033E-04 | 6.3847E-06 |
| 1.0   | 0.2  | 8.0212E-03 | 2.12185E-05 | 8.0344E-03 | 3.4407E-05 | 8.0569E-03 | 5.6925E-06 |
| 0.6   | 0.6  | 5.1380E-06 | 2.37323E-06 | 6.6120E-06 | 3.8472E-06 | 9.1266E-06 | 6.3618E-06 |
| 1.0   | 0.6  | 5.1380E-06 | 2.37323E-06 | 6.6120E-06 | 3.8472E-06 | 9.1266E-06 | 6.3618E-06 |
| 10    | 0.6  | 6.2556E-03 | 2.10002E-04 | 6.3870E-03 | 3.4045E-04 | 6.6096E-03 | 5.6300E-04 |
| 1.0   | 0.6  | 6.2556E-03 | 2.10002E-04 | 6.3870E-03 | 3.4045E-04 | 6.6096E-03 | 5.6300E-04 |
| 0.2   | 0.2  | 2.7712E-06 | 6.40799E-09 | 2.7732E-06 | 8.4802E-09 | 2.7768E-06 | 1.2015E-03 |
| 1.0   | 0.2  | 2.7712E-06 | 6.40799E-09 | 2.7732E-06 | 8.4802E-09 | 2.7768E-06 | 1.2015E-03 |
| 10    | 0.6  | 6.0630E-03 | 1.64133E-05 | 6.0683E-03 | 2.1722E-05 | 6.0773E-03 | 3.0780E-05 |
| 1.0   | 0.6  | 6.0630E-03 | 1.64133E-05 | 6.0683E-03 | 2.1722E-05 | 6.0773E-03 | 3.0780E-05 |
| 0.2   | 0.6  | 2.8649E-02 | 6.55549E-04 | 2.8661E-02 | 8.6759E-04 | 2.8923E-02 | 1.2298E-03 |
| 1.0   | 0.6  | 2.8649E-02 | 6.55549E-04 | 2.8661E-02 | 8.6759E-04 | 2.8923E-02 | 1.2298E-03 |
| 1     | 1.0  | 1.0352E+00 | 3.5227E-02 | 1.0466E+00 | 4.6647E-02 | 1.0661E+00 | 6.1596E-02 |

Table 2: The approximate values and the absolute relative error values using B-by-BM for nonlinear case \((k = 1)\).

| \(N\) | \(t\) | \(x\) | \(v = 0.21\) | \(v = 0.27\) | \(v = 0.33\) |
|-------|------|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|       |      |      | \(\text{Approx}_{BM}\) | \(\text{Error}_{BM}\) | \(\text{Approx}_{BM}\) | \(\text{Error}_{BM}\) | \(\text{Approx}_{BM}\) | \(\text{Error}_{BM}\) |
| 0.2   | 0.2  | 1.0240E-07 | 2.8976E-12 | 1.0240E-07 | 3.8347E-12 | 1.0240E-07 | 5.4332E-12 |
| 0.6   | 0.2  | 2.2398E-04 | 3.9938E-08 | 2.2400E-04 | 5.2916E-08 | 2.2402E-04 | 7.4980E-08 |
| 1.0   | 0.2  | 8.0105E-03 | 1.0594E-05 | 8.0140E-03 | 1.4025E-05 | 8.0198E-03 | 1.9883E-05 |
| 0.2   | 0.6  | 2.7712E-06 | 6.40799E-09 | 2.7732E-06 | 8.4802E-09 | 2.7768E-06 | 1.2015E-03 |
| 1.0   | 0.6  | 2.7712E-06 | 6.40799E-09 | 2.7732E-06 | 8.4802E-09 | 2.7768E-06 | 1.2015E-03 |
| 10    | 0.6  | 6.0630E-03 | 1.64133E-05 | 6.0683E-03 | 2.1722E-05 | 6.0773E-03 | 3.0780E-05 |
| 1.0   | 0.6  | 6.0630E-03 | 1.64133E-05 | 6.0683E-03 | 2.1722E-05 | 6.0773E-03 | 3.0780E-05 |
| 0.2   | 0.6  | 2.8649E-02 | 6.55549E-04 | 2.8661E-02 | 8.6759E-04 | 2.8923E-02 | 1.2298E-03 |
| 1.0   | 0.6  | 2.8649E-02 | 6.55549E-04 | 2.8661E-02 | 8.6759E-04 | 2.8923E-02 | 1.2298E-03 |
| 0.2   | 1.0  | 1.0352E+00 | 3.5227E-02 | 1.0466E+00 | 4.6647E-02 | 1.0661E+00 | 6.1596E-02 |
| 20    | 0.6  | 6.0620E-03 | 1.5409E-05 | 6.0670E-03 | 2.0392E-05 | 6.0751E-03 | 2.8893E-05 |
| 1.0   | 0.6  | 6.0620E-03 | 1.5409E-05 | 6.0670E-03 | 2.0392E-05 | 6.0751E-03 | 2.8893E-05 |
| 0.2   | 1.0  | 1.0339E-05 | 2.3976E-07 | 1.7493E-06 | 3.0964E-07 | 1.3238E-05 | 4.3873E-07 |
| 1.0   | 1.0  | 1.0339E-05 | 2.3976E-07 | 1.7493E-06 | 3.0964E-07 | 1.3238E-05 | 4.3873E-07 |
| 1     | 1.0  | 1.0339E-05 | 2.3976E-07 | 1.7493E-06 | 3.0964E-07 | 1.3238E-05 | 4.3873E-07 |
| 1     | 1.0  | 1.0339E-05 | 2.3976E-07 | 1.7493E-06 | 3.0964E-07 | 1.3238E-05 | 4.3873E-07 |
Table 3: The approximate values and the absolute relative error values using DKM for linear case (k = 1).

| N  | t  | x    | $v = 0.21$ | $v = 0.27$ | $v = 0.33$ |
|----|----|------|------------|------------|------------|
|    |    |      | Approx$_{DKM}$ | Error$_{DKM}$ | Approx$_{DKM}$ | Error$_{DKM}$ | Approx$_{DKM}$ | Error$_{DKM}$ |
| 0.2| 0  | 1.3140E-07 | 2.9000E-08 | 1.4941E-07 | 4.7013E-08 | 1.8014E-07 | 7.7740E-08 |
| 0.6| 0  | 2.2628E-04 | 2.3390E-06 | 2.2774E-04 | 3.7930E-06 | 2.3022E-04 | 6.2750E-06 |
| 1.0| 0  | 8.0112E-03 | 1.1200E-05 | 8.0186E-03 | 1.8630E-05 | 8.0320E-03 | 3.2010E-05 |
| 0.2| 0  | 5.1383E-06 | 2.3735E-06 | 6.6117E-06 | 3.8469E-06 | 9.1258E-06 | 6.3610E-06 |
| 10 | 0.6| 6.2535E-03 | 2.0691E-04 | 6.3822E-03 | 3.5566E-04 | 6.6022E-03 | 5.5562E-04 |
|    | 1  | 2.1722E-01 | 1.2240E-03 | 2.1809E-01 | 2.0910E-03 | 2.1968E-01 | 3.6850E-03 |
|    | 0.2| 3.1480E-05 | 1.8680E-05 | 4.3082E-05 | 3.0282E-05 | 6.2873E-05 | 5.0073E-05 |
| 1  | 0.6| 2.9858E-02 | 1.8645E-03 | 3.1019E-02 | 3.0257E-03 | 3.3003E-02 | 5.0099E-03 |
|    | 1  | 1.0141E+00 | 1.4100E-02 | 1.0244E+00 | 2.4400E-02 | 1.0431E+00 | 4.3100E-02 |

Table 4: The approximate values and the absolute relative error values using DKM method for nonlinear case (k = 2).

| N  | t  | x    | $v = 0.21$ | $v = 0.27$ | $v = 0.33$ |
|----|----|------|------------|------------|------------|
|    |    |      | Approx$_{DKM}$ | Error$_{DKM}$ | Approx$_{DKM}$ | Error$_{DKM}$ | Approx$_{DKM}$ | Error$_{DKM}$ |
| 0.2| 0  | 1.0239E-07 | 3.0000E-12 | 1.0239E-07 | 4.0000E-12 | 1.0239E-07 | 5.0000E-12 |
| 0.6| 0  | 2.2383E-04 | 1.1700E-07 | 2.2380E-04 | 1.4700E-07 | 2.2376E-04 | 1.9200E-07 |
| 1.0| 0  | 7.9749E-03 | 2.5070E-05 | 7.9691E-03 | 3.0870E-05 | 7.6090E-03 | 9.3910E-04 |
| 0.2| 0  | 2.7707E-06 | 5.9800E-09 | 2.7727E-06 | 7.9400E-09 | 2.7761E-06 | 1.1310E-08 |
| 10 | 0.6| 6.0536E-03 | 7.0600E-06 | 6.0570E-03 | 1.0450E-05 | 6.0634E-03 | 1.6850E-05 |
|    | 1  | 2.1491E-01 | 1.0900E-03 | 2.1485E-01 | 1.1460E-03 | 2.1487E-01 | 1.1210E-03 |
|    | 0.2| 1.3039E-05 | 2.3090E-07 | 1.3105E-05 | 3.0580E-07 | 1.3233E-05 | 4.3390E-07 |
| 1  | 0.6| 2.8582E-02 | 5.8910E-04 | 2.8783E-02 | 7.8990E-04 | 2.9130E-02 | 1.1368E-03 |
|    | 1  | 1.0195E+00 | 1.9500E-02 | 1.0286E+00 | 2.8600E-02 | 1.0450E+00 | 4.5000E-02 |
5. Solution of NT-DVIE

We consider the bar in the nonlinear case; then, the integral equation (39) with continuous kernel reduced to

\[\mu a(x, t) = f(x, t) - \lambda \int_0^t k(|t - \tau|, |x - y|) \gamma(\tau, y, \alpha(y, \tau)) dy dt,\]

where \(\gamma(y, \tau, \alpha(y, \tau))\) and \(f(x, t) \in L_2[0, b] \times C[0, T]\) are continuous functions. \(\lambda\), which have many physical meaning, may be complex. The kernel \(k(|t - \tau|, |x - y|)\) is continuous.

5.1. The B-by-BM. In this section, we use the B-by-BM for solving the NT-DVIE of the second kind.

The interval \([0, b]\) is divided into steps of width \(h, x_j = jh, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n\), and \(h = (b - a)/n\). The approximate solution of \(a_i(x)\) will be defined at mesh points \(x_j\) and denoted by \(a_{ij}, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n\), such as \(a_{ij}\) is an approximation to \(a_i(x_j)\).

To solve the NT-DVIE,

\[U(t) = F(t) - \lambda \int_0^t G(t, \tau, x, y, U(y, \tau)) dy dt,\]

\[E_{\text{B-by-BM}} v = 0.21\]

\[E_{\text{DKM}} v = 0.21\]

\[E_{\text{DKM}} v = 0.27\]

\[E_{\text{DKM}} v = 0.33\]

5.2. The DM. In this section, we use the DM for solving the NT-DVIE of the second kind. The interval \([0, b]\) is divided into steps of width \(h, x_j = jh, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n\), and \(h = (b - a)/n\). The approximate solution of \(a_i(x)\) will be defined at mesh points \(x_j\) and denoted by \(a_{ij}, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n\), such as \(a_{ij}\) is an approximation to \(a_i(x_j)\).
Figure 3: The values of errors by B-by-BM and DKM at $T = 1$, $N = 10$, and $k = 1$ for $\upsilon = 0.21, 0.27, 0.33$.

Figure 4: The values of errors by B-by-BM and DKM at $T = 0.2$, $N = 20$, and $k = 1$ for $\upsilon = 0.21, 0.27, 0.33$. 
Figure 5: The values of errors by B-by-BM and DKM at $T = 0.6$, $N = 20$, and $k = 1$ for $\nu = 0.21, 0.27, 0.33$.

Figure 6: The values of errors by B-by-BM and DKM at $T = 1$, $N = 20$, and $k = 1$ for $\nu = 0.21, 0.27, 0.33$. 
Figure 7: The values of errors by B-by-BM and DKM at $T = 0.2$, $N = 10$, and $k = 2$ for $\nu = 0.21, 0.27, 0.33$.

Figure 8: The values of errors by B-by-BM and DKM at $T = 0.6$, $N = 10$, and $k = 2$ for $\nu = 0.21, 0.27, 0.33$. 
Figure 9: The values of errors by B-by-BM and DKM at $T = 1$, $N = 10$, and $k = 2$ for $\nu = 0.21, 0.27, 0.33$.

Figure 10: The values of errors by B-by-BM and DKM at $T = 0.2$, $N = 20$, and $k = 2$ for $\nu = 0.21, 0.27, 0.33$. 
Figure 11: The values of errors by B-by-BM and DKM at $T = 0.6$, $N = 20$, and $k = 2$ for $v = 0.21, 0.27, 0.33$.

Figure 12: The values of errors by B-by-BM and DKM at $T = 1$, $N = 20$, and $k = 2$ for $v = 0.21, 0.27, 0.33$. 
where
\[ U(t) = (\alpha_1(t), \ldots, \alpha_i(t))^T, \]
\[ U(t) = (\alpha_1(\tau), \ldots, \alpha_i(\tau)), \]
\[ F(t) = (f_1(t), \ldots, f_i(t))^T, \]
\[ G(t, \tau, x, y, U(y, \tau)) = G(t, \tau, x, y)\gamma(\tau, y, U(y, \tau)), \]
\[ G(t, \tau, U(\tau)) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1,1}(t, \tau, U(\tau)) & \ldots & g_{1,i}(t, \tau, U(\tau)) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{i,1}(t, \tau, U(\tau)) & \ldots & g_{i,i}(t, \tau, U(\tau)) \end{bmatrix}. \]

Then, we get
\[ \alpha_n + \lambda \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G(t, \tau, x, y, U(y, \tau))dyd\tau. \quad (57) \]

Rewrite equation (58) as follows:
\[ \alpha_n(t_k) = f_i(t_k) - \lambda \int_0^{t_p} \int_0^{t_p} g_{i,1}(t_k, \tau, x_k, y, U(y_1, \tau))dyd\tau 
- \lambda \int_0^{t_p} \int_0^{t_p} g_{i,2}(t_k, \tau, x_k, y, U(y_1, \tau))dyd\tau. \quad (59) \]

5.2. Modified Method of Two Blocks. For this method, we take \( p = 2 \); the integration over \( [a, t_{2m}] \) can be accomplished by Simpson’s rule, and the integral over \([t_{2m}, t_{2m+1}]\) can be accomplished by using a quadratic interpolation of the integrand at the point \( t_{2m}, t_{2m+1}, t_{2m+2} \); then, equation (58) becomes
\[ \alpha_{2m+1} = f_i(t_{2m+1}) - \lambda \int_a^{t_{2m+1}} \int_a^{t_{2m+1}} g_{i,3}(t_{2m+1}, \tau, x_{2m+1}, y, U(y, \tau))dyd\tau, \quad (62) \]

On the other hand, from (59), equations (61) and (62) can be written as
\[ \alpha_{2m+1} = f_i(t_{2m+1}) - \lambda \int_a^{t_{2m+1}} \int_a^{t_{2m+1}} g_{i,4}(t_{2m+1}, \tau, x_{2m+1}, y, U(y, \tau))dyd\tau, \quad (63) \]

Therefore, by equation (60), the approximate solution is computed by
\[ \alpha_{2m+1} = f_i(t_{2m+1}) - \lambda \int_a^{t_{2m+1}} \int_a^{t_{2m+1}} g_{i,5}(t_{2m+1}, \tau, x_{2m+1}, y, U(y, \tau))dyd\tau, \quad (64) \]

Here, \( p \) is some integer and \( m \) is \( \lfloor k/p \rfloor \), \( s = 1, 2, \ldots \). If the values \( \alpha_{0,0}, \alpha_{0,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{0,2m+1} \) are known, then the first integral is obtained by a quadrature rule using values of the integrand at \( \tau = t_{pm}, t_{pm+1}, \ldots, t_{p(m+1)} \); \( y = x_{pm}, x_{pm+1}, \ldots, x_{p(m+1)} \). Then,
\[ \alpha_i = f_i(x_i) - \lambda \left[ \frac{h}{3} \sum_{j=0}^{mp} w_{k,j} g_{i,j}(t_k, \tau_j, x_k, y_j, U_{j,1}, \ldots, U_{j,m}) \right] 
- \lambda \left[ \frac{h}{3} \sum_{j=mp}^{(m+1)p} w_{k,j} g_{i,j}(t_k, \tau_{m+p}, x_k, y_{m+p}, U_{1,m+p,1}, \ldots, U_{1,m+p,m}) \right]. \quad (60) \]

for \( n = mp + 1, mp + 2, \ldots; (m+1)p, m = 0, 1, \ldots, (N-1) \), where \( w_{k,j}, w_{k,j}^* \) depend on the quadrature rule used.

5.2. Modified Method of Two Blocks. For this method, we take \( p = 2 \); the integration over \([a, t_{2m}]\) can be accomplished by Simpson’s rule, and the integral over \([t_{2m}, t_{2m+1}]\) can be accomplished by using a quadratic interpolation of the integrand at the point \( t_{2m}, t_{2m+1}, t_{2m+2} \); then, equation (58) becomes
\[ \alpha_{2m+2} = f_i(t_{2m+2}) - \lambda \int_a^{t_{2m+2}} \int_a^{t_{2m+2}} g_{i,6}(t_{2m+2}, \tau, x_{2m+2}, y, U(y, \tau))dyd\tau, \quad (62) \]
\[ a_{i,2m+2} = f_i(t_{2m+2}) - \lambda \left[ \frac{h^{2m+2}}{3} \sum_{j=0}^{2m+2} w_j g_{ij}(t_{2m+2}, \tau, x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}) \left( a_{10}, \ldots, a_{20} \right) \right], \tag{67} \]

or

\[ a_{i,2m+2} = f_i(t_{2m+2}) - \lambda \left[ \frac{h}{3} \sum_{j=0}^{2m+2} g_{ij}(t_{2m+2}, \tau_0, x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}) (a_{10}, \ldots, a_{20}) \right. \\
+ 4 \sum_{j=0}^{2m+2} g_{ij}(t_{2m+2}, \tau, x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}) (a_{11}, \ldots, a_{21}) + \cdots + g_{ij}(t_{2m+2}, t_{2m+2}, x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}) (a_{12m+2}, \ldots, a_{22m+2}) \left], \tag{68} \]

where

\[ w_0 = w_{2m} = 1, \quad w_j = \frac{3}{2} (-1)^j, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2m - 1, \]

\[ w_j' = w_{2m+2} = 1, \quad w_j' = \frac{3}{2} (-1)^j, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2m + 1. \tag{69} \]

Finally, we construct 2l linear equations from (67) and (68) to find the unknown functions \(u_{i,2m+1}, u_{i,2m+2}.\)

5.3. The DKM. In this part, we replace the given kernel \(g(|t - \tau|,|x - y|)\) approximately by a degenerate kernel \(g_i(|t - \tau|,|x - y|)\), that is,

\[ g_i(|t - \tau|,|x - y|) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} B'_j(x) C_j(y) \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i(t) C_i(\tau), \tag{70} \]

such that

\[ \left( \int_0^\infty \| g(|t - \tau|,|x - y|) - g_i(|t - \tau|,|x - y|) \|^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad l \rightarrow \infty. \tag{71} \]

Hence, the solution of equation (55) associated with the kernel \(g_i(|t - \tau|,|x - y|)\) takes the form

\[ \alpha(x, t) = f(x, t) - \lambda \int_0^\infty k(|t - \tau|,|x - y|)(\alpha(y, \tau))^\mu \, dy \, dt, \quad (\mu = 1). \tag{77} \]

We consider equation (77) in the linear and nonlinear case, where \(k = 1\), we obtain the LTVIE, so in this case, we take \(\lambda = \lambda^*/2G\) and \(\lambda^* = 2G(1 - 2\mu)\) also when \(k \geq 2\).

We obtain the NTvie, and in this case, we take \(\lambda = A_1/K/4\) for 

\(A_1 = 2G(1 + \nu)/(1 - 2\nu)\) and we find \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) from equations (32) and (34); \(a = 4\) and \(b = 2\) are the major and the minor axes of the ellipse, respectively. In addition, we study three materials: plutonium, steel, and copper \(\nu = 0.33\), where \(\nu\) is the Poisson ratio \(0 \leq \nu \leq 1/2\).

Consider

\[ \alpha(x, t) = f(x, t) - \lambda \int_0^\infty (1 + \nu \gamma^2(\alpha(y, \tau))^\mu \, dy \, dt, \quad (\mu = 1). \tag{78} \]

where the exact solution \(\alpha(x, t) = x^4 t^3\); B-by-BM and DKM are used to obtain the approximate numerical solutions and corresponding errors for materials: plutonium, steel, and copper, respectively, \(N = 10\) and 20 and time \(t = 0.2\) and 0.6, 1, respectively. Table 1 shows the approximate and the absolute relative error values for linear case. Table 2 shows the approximate and the absolute relative error values for nonlinear case. The codes were written in Maple 10 program.

In Table 1 and 2, \(\text{Approx}_{\text{B-M}} \rightarrow \text{approximate solution of B-by-BM and } \text{Error}_{\text{B-M}} \rightarrow \text{the absolute error of B-by-BM.}\)

In Tables 3 and 4, \(\text{Approx}_{\text{DKM}} \rightarrow \text{approximate solution of DKM and } \text{Error}_{\text{DKM}} \rightarrow \text{the absolute error of DKM.}\)

7. The Conclusions

This paper deals with a new computational method for approximate solution of NT-DVIE of the second kind with continues kernels. For this purpose, B-by-BM and DKM
has been presented to solve the problem. These methods have proven to be effective in solving an equation NT-DVIE. Error analysis and some numerical examples are presented for different materials to illustrate the effectiveness and accuracy of the methods.

From the previous results in Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 1–12, we notice the following:

(1) When the values of \( \nu \) and \( \lambda \) are fixed in the linear and nonlinear case, then the error value increases with the time \( t = 0.2, 0.6, 1 \)

(2) In the linear and nonlinear case, when the values of time are fixed, the error value increases with the increase of \( \nu \) and \( \lambda \)

(3) When the values of \( \nu, \lambda, \) and time \( t \) are fixed, the error value decreases with \( N \) which is increasing, for the linear and nonlinear case and for each material (plutonium, steel, and copper)

(4) As \( x \) is increasing and \( t \) is fixed, the errors are also increasing for the linear and nonlinear case and for each material

(5) The approximate solutions calculated by B-by-BM and DKM are best methods for LT-DVIE and NT-DVIE

(6) In general, the maximum value of the errors by B-by-BM and DKM in the linear case is less than the maximum value of the errors in the nonlinear case, for all materials, and the minimum value of the errors in the linear case is larger than the minimum value of the errors in the nonlinear case

(7) The previous numerical experiments illustrate the accuracy of the proposed methods to solve the problem
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