Thickness of epicardial and pericoronary adipose tissue measured using 128-slice MSCT as predictors for risk of significant coronary artery diseases
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Abstract
Aim Determination the relationship between the epicardial adipose tissue thickness (EATT) and pericoronary adipose tissue thickness (PATT) and the risk of significant coronary artery diseases (CAD) using the coronary artery calcium score (CACS).

Materials and methods The study group consisted of 80 patients. The risk of significant CAD was estimated based on CACS. Adipose tissue thickness was measured based on multiplanar reformation (MPR), left ventricle short axis and mid-chamber level. EATT in the middle of the length of the right ventricular free wall, PATT around the left anterior descending (LAD), around the left circumflex (LCX) and around the right coronary artery in the posterior interventricular sulcus (RCA).

Results The median (IQR) values of CACS and EATT were 12.00 (97.90) and 8.65 (3.90) mm. It was found that in the subgroup CACS = 0 statistically significantly lower than in the subgroup CACS > 0 were mean values EATT and PATT RCA. Based on the regression analysis, it was demonstrated that higher CACS is associated with higher EATT, independent of older age and higher BMI. On the basis the ROC curve analysis, the highest prediction sensitivity of 98.4% was demonstrated for EATT ≥ 16.7 mm as a predictor of high risk of significant CAD and the highest specificity of 61.5% for the criterion EATT ≤ 8.7 mm as a predictor of practically no risk of significant CAD.

Conclusion There is a positive relationship between the risk of a significant CAD estimated based on the coronary artery calcium score and the epicardial adipose tissue thickness.
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Introduction
Coronary artery disease (CAD) and its complications comprise one of the main death reasons in general population [1]. Coronary heart disease, when considered separately, accounts for about 20% of all deaths, annually [2].

The fundamental diagnostic method of significant coronary artery stenosis is coronary angiography. Currently, the relevance of coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) as the method of diagnosing of significant coronary artery disease is growing. CCTA is a highly sensitive technique for detecting coronary artery calcium and is being used with increasing frequency for the screening of asymptomatic people to assess those at high risk for developing coronary heart disease (CHD) and cardiac events, as well as for the diagnosis of obstructive CAD in symptomatic patients [3]. The use of CCTA has the greatest potential for further determination of risk, particularly in elderly asymptomatic patients and others at intermediate risk [3]. In comparison to coronary angiography, cardiac CT has the advantage, that it is a cross-sectional technique allowing the evaluation not only of the vessel lumen but also of the vessel wall and the adjacent
tissue. Thus, a more precise evaluation of coronary plaque might be obtained [4].

Coronary artery stenosis’ significance assessment can be difficult in some clinical conditions. High CACS may limit the accuracy of assessment of artery lumen [5]. Moreover, despite proper preparation, artefacts and noisy scans can occur. They are usually caused by respiratory motion, obesity, pacemakers, rapid cardiac motion (> 60 bpm) and arrhythmias [6]. Because of that, looking for additional predictors of significant coronary artery stenosis is indicated.

The calcium score index of coronary arteries (CASC) is a mathematically estimated, quantitative unit-less parameter characterizing the quantity of calcium within atherosclerotic plates in aortic walls. CASC does not directly characterize the existence of constructions in coronal arteries or their degree but enables non-invasive determination of the risk of coronary disease [7, 8].

Epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) exhibits morphological similarities with pericardial adipose tissue; however, it has different embryological origin and vascularization [9, 10]. EAT is a metabolically active organ and a major source of anti-inflammatory and proinflammatory adipokines, which have significant impact on cardiac function and morphology. Moreover, it can regulate vascular tone, by releasing various molecules [9, 10]. The relationship between the increase of EAT and cardiovascular disease is now considered a common discussion subject, being especially associated with coronary syndromes and weakening of atherosclerotic plaque [9]. According to that the thickness of the epicardial fat tissue comprises a helpful scientific parameter, which can be assessed by means of different diagnostic imaging examinations.

EAT can be visualized in echocardiography, which is a safe, easily reproducible, non-invasive and cost-effective method. For this procedure, the parasternal long and short axis views in 2D are used to achieve an accurate measurement of fat thickness in front of the free right ventricle wall [11]. In this method, difference between the epicardial and pericardial fat or between the epicardial fat and pericardial effusion can make difficulties; moreover, it does not enable the measurement of the whole volume of epicardial fat [10]. Other methods are multislice computed tomography (MSCT) and magnetic resonance (MR). Using MSCT can be measured EATT volume. In addition, information about calcification of the coronary arteries can be obtained and coronary stenosis can be assessed. The main disadvantages of MSCT are exposure to ionizing radiation and high cost [9, 10]. The gold standard for assessment of EAT volume is magnetic resonance [12]. It has better spatial resolution and enables better tissue differentiation; moreover, it lacks radiation exposure. However, it is expensive and time-consuming [9, 10].

The CACS assessment requires the use of a dedicated post-processing application. According to the authors, it is interesting to look for markers that would have a similar predictive power in the assessment of cardiovascular risk as CACS and at the same time could be easily assessed without the need to have any additional software.

The purpose of the study was to determine the relation between the epicardial adipose tissue thickness and pericoronary adipose tissue thickness measured using a 128-slice MSCT and the risk of significant CAD estimated based on the coronary artery calcium score.

**Materials and methods**

The study group consisted of 80 patients of cardiological outpatient clinics referred, based on cardiovascular risk and diagnostics to date, to 128-slice CCTA. The research was conducted in the first half of 2018. The study was not available to patients after emergency CAD treatment, that is patients after PCI and/or CABG. Primary anthropometric parameters and overview of indications for CCTA in the study group are listed in Table 1.

At the next stage, in the study group of patients, subgroups were isolated by criteria of age, gender and BMI. Based on the age criterion, two subgroups were isolated in the investigated group: people < 60 years (n = 44) and people ≥ 60 years (n = 36). Based on gender, another two subgroups were isolated: women (n = 43) and men (n = 37). The BMI criterion was used to isolate two more subgroups: patients with normal body mass (n = 42) and overweight/obese people (n = 38).

The research methodology included basis anthropometric measurements and CCTA. The study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee. The written informed consent was obtained from all persons taking part in the study.

The CCTA was performed using the standard protocol and dual-source 128-slice CT scanner SOMATOM Definition Dual-Source CT (Siemens Healthcare, Germany). The test protocol included the following stages: topogram, phase without applying intravenous contrast agent intended to estimate the CACS, bolus tracking, administering nitroglycerin, phase with intravenous contrast agent intended for proper assessment of the heart and coronary arteries. At the phase without intravenous contrast agent, the following technical parameters of the test: acquisition using sequential technique, cranio-caudal acquisition direction from the level of trachea bifurcation to the level of diaphragm, collimation of 3.0 mm layer, exposure kilovoltage 120 units, Care Dose function (Siemens Medical Solutions, Germany), variable mAs values. Bolus tracking was performed with intravenous administration of 12 ml of contrast agent with infusion rate of 5.0 ml/s. Saturation dynamics of the proximal section of ascending aorta was assessed. Nitroglycerin was administered sublingually to achieve vasodilation of the coronary arteries, in the form of spray, in the dose of 800 g. In the phase with administration of intravenous contrast agent, the following technical parameters of the examination were applied: acquisition using spiral
technique, craniocaudal acquisition direction from level 3–4 scans above the upper level of coronary arteries to the diaphragm level, collimation of layers 0.6 mm, exposure kilovoltage 120 units, variable mAs values, intravenous administration of 80–90 ml of contrast agent with infusion rate of 5.0 ml/s. Iodine, non-ionic contrast agent — iomeprol (Iomeron 400, Bracco UK Ltd, Great Britain) — was used, administered intravenously using automatic syringe through the veins of the cubital fossa.

In all the patients, based on images acquired in the CCTA, the risk of occurrence of significant CAD and the epicardial adipose tissue thickness (EATT) and the pericoronary adipose tissue thickness (PATT) were assessed.

The CACS assessment was made using an application for post-processing of computed tomography images syngo.CT CaScoring (Siemens Healthcare, Germany). The software automatically classified any lesion with size $\geq 1$ mm$^2$ and density $\geq 130$ Hounsfield units (HU) as calcification. At the following stage, lesions classified by the application as calcified were classified as lesions within individual coronary arteries: left main (LM), left anterior descending (LAD), left circumflex (LCX) and right coronary artery (RCA). Based on the aforesaid classification, the application using the Agatston algorithm calculated the calcium score for individual coronary arteries (LM CS, LAD CS, LCX CS and RCA CS) and total CACS. The adopted final calcium score was from time to time the average of assessments made independently by two radiologists with several years’ experience in the assessment of computed tomography examinations.

The acquired CASC values were used in each patient to determine the estimated risk of significant coronary artery disease. When defining the risk of significant CAD, the following criteria were used: CACS = 0, practically no risk of significant CAD; CACS 1–10, minimal risk of significant CAD; CACS 11–100, mild risk of significant CAD; CACS 101–400, moderate risk of significant CAD; and CACS $\geq 400$, high risk of significant CAD.

Assessment of the PATT and EATT was made using an application for post-processing of computed tomography images syngo.CT Cardiac Function (Siemens Healthcare, Germany). The adipose tissue thickness was measured on the basis of multiplane reconstruction (MPR) along the short axis of the left ventricle, at the level of mid-ventricular slices—the EATT at the middle length of the free right ventricle wall, the pericoronary adipose tissue thickness around the left anterior descending (PATT LAD), around left

| Table 1 Basic clinical characteristics of the patients | X   | SD | Me | IQR |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|----|-----|
| Age (years)                                           | 57.47 | 11.56 | 59.00 | 21.00 |
| Height (m)                                            | 1.63 | 0.07 | 1.64 | 0.12 |
| Body mass (kg)                                        | 73.15 | 10.57 | 75.00 | 16.00 |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$)                                        | 26.34 | 3.14 | 27.28 | 4.98 |
| Gender                                                |       |     |     |     |
| Men                                                    | 53.7 | 43  |
| Women                                                  | 46.3 | 37  |
| Age                                                    |       |     |     |     |
| <60 years                                              | 55.0 | 44  |
| $\geq$ 60 years                                        | 45.0 | 36  |
| Body mass                                              |       |     |     |     |
| Normal                                                 | 52.5 | 42  |
| Overweight/obesity                                     | 47.5 | 38  |
| Indication to CCTA                                     |       |     |     |     |
| Chronic CAD suspicion                                  | 76.2 | 61  |
| Chest pain                                             | 27.5 | 22  |
| Low intermediate CAD risk                              | 23.7 | 19  |
| Numerous CAD risk factors                              | 21.2 | 17  |
| Inconclusive exercise test                             | 20.0 | 16  |
| Non-diagnostic exercise test                           | 7.5  | 6   |
| Regional wall motion abnormalities of left ventricular  | 3.7  | 3   |
| Sudden cardiac death in the family history             | 1.2  | 1   |

BMI body mass index, CAD coronary artery diseases, CCTA coronary computed tomography angiography, IQR interquartile range, Me median, n number, SD standard deviation, X mean
circumflex (PATT LCX) and around the right coronary artery in the posterior interventricular sulcus (PATT RCA). Every time, the final EATT and PATT values were deemed the average from independent assessments made by two radiologists with several years’ experience in the assessment of computed tomography examinations. Assessment of the EATT and PATT chronologically was an activity prior to the CACS assessment. The radiologist assessing the EATT and PATT at the time of measurement had no knowledge of CACS in the given patient. An example of EATT and PATT measurement is shown in Fig. 1.

Statistical analysis was performed using software Dell Statistica 13 (Dell Inc., USA). For quantitative variables mean, median, interquartile range and standard deviation were calculated. Lilliefors and Shapiro–Wilk tests were used to verify normal distribution of the variable’s quantitative independent variables with normal distribution were further analysed using a t test for independent variables. Variables with distribution other than normal were analysed using the Mann–Whitney U test for independent quantitative variables. Results for the quantitative variables were expressed as percentage. Independent quantitative variables were further analysed using the chi-square test for the highest reliability. To determine the relationship between the studied variables, correlation and regression analysis was performed. Due to the lack of a normal distribution of the analysed variables, non-parametric Spearman correlation coefficients were determined. Moreover, the accuracy was tested, with proposed cut-off points for the tests estimated based on the ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curves. The adopted statistical significance level was $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

In the investigated group of patients, the median (IQR) value of CACS was 12.00 (97.90). Based on the CACS, the risk of significant CAD was assessed as practically non-existent (CACS = 0) for 40.0% of the subjects. The median (IQR) values of EATT, PATT LAD, PATT LCX and PATT RCA were 8.65 (3.90) mm, 7.30 (3.60) mm, 2.50 (1.50) mm and 5.85 (2.57) mm. Full assessment results for CACS, EATT and PATT in the subject group of patients are provided in Table 2.

Comparing subgroups of patients with different risk of significant CAD, it was demonstrated that the patients with practically no risk of significant CAD are characterized by significantly statistically higher EATT than the patients with minimal risk of significant CAD, patients with mild risk of significant CAD and patients with high risk of significant CAD. Moreover, the patients with high risk of significant CAD are characterized by significantly statistically higher EATT than the patients with mild risk of significant CAD and patients with moderate risk of significant CAD. Full results of the CACS, EATT and PATT assessment in the subject patients’ groups with different risk of significant CAD are presented in Table 3.

Taking into account the predictive value of lack of calcifications in the coronary arteries (CASC = 0), the following step was to compare groups of patients with practically no risk of significant CAD (CACS = 0, $n = 32$) with a group of patients with higher-than-non-existent risk of significant CAD (CACS > 0, $n = 48$). In the entire subject group, it was found that patients with CACS = 0 are characterized by statistically significantly lower average values of EATT and PATT RCA.
than patients with CACS > 0. Analysing analogous results in subgroups separated based on the criterion of age, gender and BMI, it was demonstrated that significantly lower EATT in the group of patients with CACS = 0 compared with the group of patients with CACS > 0 applies to men and women, patients with normal and abnormal body mass index and patients ≥ 60 years. No such difference was demonstrated in the group of patients < 60 years. A significantly lower PATT LAD in the group of patients with CACS = 0 compared with the group of patients with CACS > 0 was observed only in patients ≥ 60 years, men and the overweight/obese. In patients < 60 years, we demonstrated a significantly lower PATT RCA LAD in the group of patients with CACS = 0 than in the group of patients with CACS > 0. Complete results of the CACS, EATT and PATT assessments in the investigated patient groups with different risk of significant CAD are shown in Table 4.

The correlation analysis revealed statistically significant positive linear relationships between CACS and EATT ($r = 0.30$), LM CS as well as EATT and PATT RCA ($r = 0.25$ and $r = 0.28$, respectively), LAD CS and EATT ($r = 0.32$), LCX CS and PATT LCX ($r = 0.26$) as well as RCA CS and EATT ($r = 0.24$). Full results of the correlation analysis between the parameters of coronary arteries calcium score and the epicardial and pericoronary adipose tissue thickness are presented in Table 5. Relations with the highest correlation coefficients are presented in Fig. 2.

A multivariate regression analysis was performed to assess more accurately the relationship between CACS and EATT. The dependent variable was EATT, and the potential independent variables were CACS, age, gender (dichotomous variable: 1, male; 0, female) and body mass index. The following statistically significant regression model was obtained: EATT $= -1.155 + 0.013$ CACS + 0.055 age + 0.171 BMI ± 2.414. Based on the obtained model, it was demonstrated that higher CACS is associated with higher EATT, independently of age, gender and BMI. The results of the regression analysis performed are presented in Table 6.

ROC analysis indicated the optimum EATT values, which constitute prediction factors for specific CASC values, coming to CACS = 0 to ≤ 8.7 mm, CACS > 0 to ≥ 8.8 mm, CACS > 10 to ≥ 9.8 mm, CACS > 100 to ≥ 10.2 mm and for CACS > 400 to ≥ 16.7 mm, respectively. The highest prediction sensitivity of 98.4% was demonstrated for EATT ≥ 16.7 mm as a predictor of high risk of significant CAD and the highest specificity of 61.5% for the criterion EATT ≤ 8.7 mm as a predictor of practically non-identified risk of significant CAD. In general, the highest prediction accuracy of 70.8% was obtained for the criterion EATT ≤ 8.7 mm as a predictor of practically non-identified risk of significant CAD. The ROC curve for prediction of practically non-identified risk of significant CAD among the investigated patients is shown in Fig. 3; measures of conducted connection analysis are in Table 7. In a comparative analysis, the frequency of practically non-identified risk of significant CAD was significantly statistically higher in the group of patients with EATT ≤ 8.7 mm compared with the group of patients with EATT ≥ 8.7 mm (60.5% vs. 17.6%, $p < 0.05$).

**Discussion**

Based on the conducted research, one should conclude that in the group of patients qualified for the examination, there is a noticeable positive relationship between the risk of a significant CAD estimated on the basis of the coronary artery calcium score and the epicardial adipose tissue thickness. The aforesaid relationship is typical of the entire population of people; otherwise, no effect on the demonstrated relationship of gender or body weight of the subject was recorded. At the same time, a significance of age was found for the analysed relationship between the coronary artery calcium score and the epicardial adipose tissue thickness. This relationship was demonstrated in the elderly population (≥ 60 years of age), whereas the analysed relationship did not occur in the younger population (< 60 years of age).
Table 3  Coronary artery calcium score, epicardial adipose tissue thickness and pericoronary adipose tissue thickness in the study groups distinguished based on the criterion of significant CAD risk

| Significant CAD risk | Practically non-existent (A, n = 32) | Minimal (B, n = 8) | Mild (C, n = 20) | Moderate (D, n = 11) | High (E, n = 9) | p < 0.05 |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------|
| CACS                 | 0.00 ± 0.00/0.00 (0.00)              | 3.78 ± 3.30/2.40 (5.45) | 40.65 ± 23.15/33.45 (22.90) | 251.12 ± 102.53/254.70 (199.00) | 972.36 ± 573.85/772.80 (690.40) | A, B, C vs. D |
| LM CS                | 0.00 ± 0.00/0.00 (0.00)              | 0.15 ± 0.42/0.00 (0.00) | 0.72 ± 2.38/0.00 (0.00) | 12.43 ± 26.12/0.00 (13.90) | 41.82 ± 50.27/30.70 (69.80) | A, B, C, D vs. E |
| LAD CS               | 0.00 ± 0.00/0.00 (0.00)              | 3.58 ± 3.50/2.40 (6.25) | 28.88 ± 22.99/23.00 (20.70) | 180.86 ± 80.99/150.80 (121.30) | 413.00 ± 134.74/391.10 (131.50) | A, B, C, D vs. E |
| LCX CS               | 0.00 ± 0.00/0.00 (0.00)              | 0.05 ± 0.14/0.00 (0.00) | 5.02 ± 9.21/0.00 (5.20) | 36.84 ± 37.66/18.10 (75.70) | 150.63 ± 233.29/32.40 (71.10) | A, B, C, D vs. E |
| RCA CS               | 0.00 ± 0.00/0.00 (0.00)              | 0.00 ± 0.00/0.00 (0.00) | 6.67 ± 13.83/0.00 (9.50) | 18.91 ± 26.13/8.20 (25.90) | 354.72 ± 315.35/257.50 (497.10) | A, B, C, D vs. E |
| EATT (mm)            | 7.61 ± 2.21/7.70 (2.30)              | 9.80 ± 4.01/10.80 (8.40) | 9.49 ± 3.17/9.55 (4.30) | 8.79 ± 2.12/8.95 (3.60) | 11.83 ± 2.61/11.20 (2.20) | A, B, C, E, C, D vs. E |
| PATT LAD (mm)        | 7.19 ± 3.15/6.40 (4.30)              | 8.74 ± 2.77/8.30 (5.80) | 7.53 ± 3.00/7.50 (3.70) | 8.29 ± 2.07/8.10 (3.20) | 7.64 ± 2.10/7.10 (3.00) | – |
| PATT LCX (mm)        | 3.02 ± 0.83/2.90 (1.20)              | 2.12 ± 0.75/1.95 (1.10) | 2.57 ± 1.25/2.20 (1.60) | 2.77 ± 1.75/2.30 (0.50) | 2.57 ± 1.10/2.40 (1.70) | – |
| PATT RCA (mm)        | 5.41 ± 1.78/5.10 (2.30)              | 7.27 ± 4.81/6.30 (5.30) | 6.89 ± 1.94/5.55 (2.30) | 6.63 ± 2.49/6.55 (4.10) | 7.83 ± 3.20/7.10 (3.95) | – |

Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation/median (interquartile range).

CACS coronary artery calcium score, CAD coronary artery diseases, EATT epicardial adipose tissue thickness, LAD CS left anterior descendens calcium score, LCX CS left circumflex calcium score, LM CS left main calcium score, PATT LAD pericoronary adipose tissue thickness around left anterior descendens, PATT LCX pericoronary adipose tissue thickness around left circumflex, PATT RCA pericoronary adipose tissue thickness around right coronary artery, RCA CS right coronary artery calcium score.
The conducted study fails to unambiguously settle the issue of relationship between the risk of a significant CAD estimated based on the coronary artery calcium score and the epicardial adipose tissue thickness. Certain positive relationships between the coronary artery calcium score and the epicardial adipose tissue thickness were demonstrated, although not in all the comparisons and analyses, as well as variable depending on the coronary artery branch at the level of which the epicardial adipose tissue thickness was measured.
Table 5  Correlation analysis results in the study group

|                  | EATT (mm) | PATT LAD (mm) | PATT LCX (mm) | PATT RCA (mm) |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                  | r         | p             | r             | p             | r             | p             |
| CACS             | 0.30      | < 0.05        | 0.06          | ns            | 0.04          | ns            | 0.21          | ns            |
| LM CS            | 0.25      | < 0.05        | 0.01          | ns            | -0.04         | ns            | 0.28          | < 0.05        |
| LAD CS           | 0.32      | < 0.05        | 0.05          | ns            | -0.10         | ns            | 0.19          | ns            |
| LCX CS           | 0.20      | ns            | 0.07          | ns            | 0.26          | < 0.05        | 0.21          | ns            |
| RCA CS           | 0.24      | < 0.05        | 0.06          | ns            | 0.01          | ns            | 0.14          | ns            |

*CACS* coronary artery calcium score, *EATT* epicardial adipose tissue thickness, *LAD CS* left anterior descendens calcium score, *LCX CS* left circumflex calcium score, *LM CS* left main calcium score, *ns* non-statistically significant, *PATT LAD* pericoronary adipose tissue thickness around left anterior descendens, *PATT LCX* pericoronary adipose tissue thickness around left circumflex, *PATT RCA* pericoronary adipose tissue thickness around right coronary artery, *RCA CS* right coronary artery calcium score

**Fig. 2** Linear relationships with the highest correlation coefficients. **a** LAD CS and EATT ($r = 0.32$, $p < 0.05$). **b** CACS and EATT ($r = 0.30$, $p < 0.05$)
Based on the results of the study, the epicardial adipose tissue thickness measured using 128-slice computed tomography can be considered a good prediction factor for the risk of significant CAD. An epicardial adipose tissue thickness ≤ 8.7 mm may be a good prediction factor for practically no risk of significant CAD, whereas EATT ≥ 16.7 mm—a good prediction factor for high risk of significant CAD.

Our study is another voice in the discussion on relationship between the coronary disease and the epicardial adipose tissue thickness measured with different methods.

Among the studies performed to date, concerning the relationship between CAD and the epicardial adipose tissue thickness, the most numerous are those where the adipose tissue thickness was measured using echocardiography. In the largest analysis available, the CAESAR study performed on a group of 2299 people, it was proven that measurement of the epicardial adipose tissue thickness is useful as an independent echocardiographic parameter, enabling detection of early and non-advanced coronary disease, estimation of its risk (just like in our study) based on the coronary artery calcium score [13].

Results which confirmed the relationship between coronary disease and epicardial adipose tissue thickness were also achieved in the studies of Cabrera-Rego et al. and Ghaderi et al. [14, 15]. In the studies of Cabrera-Rego et al. performed on a group of 300 asymptomatic Hispanic patients, it was demonstrated that the EATT measured with echocardiography rose along with the increase in CACS, whereas this relationship was only observed in the female group [14]. Ghaderi et al. demonstrated a relation between coronary disease assessed angiographically with echocardiographically measured epicardial adipose tissue thickness. A study performed on a group of 100 patients demonstrated that patients with significantly narrowed coronary arteries were characterized by significantly greater thickness of the epicardial adipose tissue, compared with the patients without significant stenosis in the coronary arteries [15]. Moreover, this study documented a relation between coronary disease risk factors and the EATT, as well as between the number of atherosclerotic coronary arteries and the EATT [15].

Yet, there are also opposing studies. After analysing data regarding 356 asymptomatic patients, Nelson et al. suggested that there is no relation between echocardiographically measured EATT and the coronary artery calcium score [16]. It should be noted that echocardiography does not enable differentiation between the epicardial and pericoronary adipose tissue, which should be deemed a limitation of this testing method.

In turn, the literature does not offer many studies seeking a relation between the epicardial or pericoronary adipose tissue and the cardiovascular risk or severity of coronary disease, using the multislice CT scans. Methodically interesting data regarding pericardial fat were provided by the study of Wang et al. [17]. These studies demonstrated that the distribution of adipose tissue around the heart is not even; this tissue was the thickest in the left atrioventricular sulcus. Based on the aforesaid study, it should be deemed necessary, in studies concerning the significance of pericardial adipose tissue, to measure the epicardial and pericoronary adipose tissue in several different anatomical locations. The result of the studies to date concerning the relation between tomographically assessed epicardial adipose tissue and coronary disease should be deemed coincident with the results of our study. In a study conducted by Goeller et al. shown, similarly to our study, that patients with CACS > 0 had significantly more epicardial adipose tissue than patients with CASC = 0 [18]. Unlike our study, the quantity of the epicardial adipose tissue was specified by semi-automatic measurement of its volume, using a software for post-processing of tomography images, instead

### Table 6 Results of multivariate regression analysis in the study group

| Model for: EATT (mm) | Intercept | CACS | Age (years) | BMI (kg/m²) |
|----------------------|-----------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Regression coefficient | −1.155    | 0.013| 0.055       | 0.171       |
| SEM of Rc            | 0.478     | 0.003| 0.025       | 0.063       |
| p value              | 0.042     | 0.028| 0.022       | 0.014       |
| p                    | < 0.01    |      |             |             |
| SEM of model         | 2.414     |      |             |             |

BMI: body mass index, CACS: coronary artery calcium score, EATT: epicardial adipose tissue thickness, Rc: regression coefficient, SEM: standard error of mean

![ROC graph](https://example.com/roc.png)

**Fig. 3** ROC prediction curve for practically non-identified risk of significant CAD using epicardial adipose tissue thickness
of measuring its thickness. Also, studies by Demircelik et al. indicated a relation between the EATT and the coronary disease [19]. Yet, this study assessed the advancement of coronary disease based on an analysis of the coronary arteries’ narrowing degree in a CCTA study, while the current study focused on assessing the risk of coronary disease based on an analysis of the coronary artery calcium score. Moreover, the study by Demircelik et al., as the sole authors available, demonstrated a relation between the pericoronary adipose tissue and the advancement of CAD [19]. This relationship was not demonstrated in the current study.

In the literature to date, the matter of relationship between epicardial or pericoronary adipose tissue assessed using the magnetic resonance method and the coronary disease was only addressed occasionally. In a study by Kim et al., conducted on a group of 100 Korean patients with type 2 diabetes, without symptoms of clinically apparent coronary diseases, it was demonstrated that the EATT significantly statistically correlates with the degree of narrowing of coronary arteries. At the same time, however, no relationship between EATT and myocardial ischemic changes has been demonstrated [20].

To sum up, the meaning of the EATT thickness measurement in stratification of a significant CAD risk was, to date, demonstrated irrespective of the applied imaging method, obtaining results fundamentally coincident with the results obtained in our study. Yet, one should bear in mind the publications which negate such relationship, standing in contradiction to the results of our study. Each of the potential imaging methods is encumbered with certain limitations. In echocardiographic assessment of the epicardial adipose tissue, the problem is to determine the borders of the adipose tissue, the measurement’s dependence on the researcher’s skills and the quality of the equipment used for the examination. Moreover, it is not possible to distinguish between the epicardial and the pericoronary adipose tissue. In computer-assisted tomography, the issue is exposing the patients to ionizing radiation and iodine contrast agents. The primary flaw of magnetic resonance is the price of the test and availability of the equipment.

As a side note, it is worth to mention that usefulness of the EATT thickness measurement is also considered as a parameter of the atherosclerotic process intensification in other peripheral arteries. Recently, a relation between the EATT and the advancement of the atherosclerotic process in the carotid arteries was suggested. A relationship was proven between the EATT and the carotid intima media thickness (cIMT) [21].

The basis of pathomechanism explaining the relationship between atherosclerosis and the epicardial and pericoronary adipose tissue should be deemed the fact that the pericoronary fat has the properties of visceral adipose tissue, as well as paracrine and endocrine capacities. Pericoronary fat is considered a component of the visceral fat, which makes it obviously related to the metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk factors [22, 23]. Epicardial fat is hormonally active, it may produce and secrete at least several biologically active particles, such as adiponectin, resisting and proinflammatory cytokines, including interleukin IL-6, IL-1β and tumour necrosis factor [14, 24]. Metabolic activity of the epicardial fat may play a role in disturbing the vascular homeostasis; cause dysfunction of the vascular endothelium, acceleration of vascular wall inflammation and lesions in the intima media; and, consequently, contribute to formation of atherosclerotic plaque using the signaling mechanism “inside out” [25–27].

### Table 7 The sensitivity and specificity of EATT as a predictor of CACS

| EATT (mm) | CACS = 0 (practically no risk of significant CAD) | CACS > 0 (≥ minimal risk of significant CAD) | CACS > 10 (≥ mild risk of significant CAD) | CACS > 100 (≥ moderate risk of significant CAD) | CACS > 400 (high risk of significant CAD) |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Sensitivity | 0.824                                           | 0.793                                       | 0.778                                       | 0.722                                           | 0.984                                      |
| Specificity | 0.615                                           | 0.601                                       | 0.556                                       | 0.611                                           | 0.125                                      |
| Accuracy | 0.708                                           | 0.706                                       | 0.667                                       | 0.694                                           | 0.689                                      |
| Positive predictive values | 0.651                                           | 0.605                                       | 0.636                                       | 0.848                                           | 0.900                                      |
| Negative predictive values | 0.793                                           | 0.824                                       | 0.714                                       | 0.423                                           | 0.500                                      |
| Likelihood ratios positive | 2.086                                           | 2.274                                       | 1.750                                       | 1.857                                           | 1.125                                      |
| Likelihood ratios negative | 0.292                                           | 0.318                                       | 0.400                                       | 0.455                                           | 0.125                                      |

CACS coronary artery calcium score, CAD coronary artery diseases, EATT epicardial adipose tissue thickness
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