The Concept of \(\alpha\)-Synuclein Strains and How Different Conformations May Explain Distinct Neurodegenerative Disorders
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In the past few years, an increasing amount of studies primarily based on experimental models have investigated the existence of distinct \(\alpha\)-synuclein strains and their different pathological effects. This novel concept could shed light on the heterogeneous nature of \(\alpha\)-synucleinopathies, a group of disorders that includes Parkinson’s disease, dementia with Lewy bodies and multiple system atrophy, which share as their key-molecular hallmark the abnormal aggregation of \(\alpha\)-synuclein, a process that seems pivotal in disease pathogenesis according to experimental observations. However, the etiology of \(\alpha\)-synucleinopathies and the initial events leading to the formation of \(\alpha\)-synuclein aggregates remains elusive. Hence, the hypothesis that structurally distinct fibrillary assemblies of \(\alpha\)-synuclein could have a causative role in the different disease phenotypes and explain, at least to some extent, their specific neurodegenerative, disease progression, and clinical presentation patterns is very appealing. Moreover, the presence of different \(\alpha\)-synuclein strains might represent a potential biomarker for the diagnosis of these neurodegenerative disorders. In this regard, the recent use of super resolution techniques and protein aggregation assays has offered the possibility, on the one hand, to elucidate the conformation of \(\alpha\)-synuclein pathogenic strains and, on the other hand, to cyclically amplify to detectable levels low amounts of \(\alpha\)-synuclein strains in blood, cerebrospinal fluid and peripheral tissue from patients. Thus, the inclusion of these techniques could facilitate the differentiation between \(\alpha\)-synucleinopathies, even at early stages, which is crucial for successful therapeutic intervention. This mini-review summarizes the current knowledge on \(\alpha\)-synuclein strains and discusses its possible applications and potential benefits.
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INTRODUCTION

Alpha-synuclein (\(\alpha\)-syn) is an abundant neuronal protein that is primarily found in the presynaptic nerve terminals (1). Although the exact functions of \(\alpha\)-syn remain under discussion, different studies have proposed its involvement in the regulation of neurotransmitter release, synaptic function and plasticity, and several cellular processes such as mitochondrial function, gene regulation and its participation in SNARE complex assembly (2). However, under pathological
conditions α-syn accumulates and forms insoluble aggregates, which constitute the main feature of a group of neurodegenerative disorders referred to as α-synucleinopathies (2). Thus, the presence of these proteinaceous, α-syn-rich inclusions in neurons or glial cells has been linked to the different disease patterns of Parkinson’s disease (PD), dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), multiple system atrophy (MSA).

In PD and DLB α-syn inclusions, denominated Lewy bodies (LBs), are predominantly present in neurons and neurites (3). Both are age-related disorders influenced by genetic and environmental factors (4, 5). In PD, the most common disease out of all α-synucleinopathies, the occurrence of LBs is associated with the loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra, resulting in the prevalent motor symptoms including bradykinesia, resting tremor, muscle rigidity and postural instability (6). Besides the accumulation of α-syn, further hallmarks of PD include lysosomal and proteasomal impairment (7, 8), mitochondrial dysfunction (9), increased iron levels (10) and neuroinflammation (11). As for DLB, although it is also characterized by the presence of Lewy bodies, it differentiates from PD by the predominance of dementia within the first year of Parkinsonism (12). Moreover, while the neuronal loss in PD is mostly affecting the brainstem and the limbic regions, in DLB it predominates in the neocortex with most prevalent symptoms including fluctuating cognition, recurrent visual hallucinations and spontaneous extrapyramidal motor features (13, 14).

In contrast to DLB and PD, MSA possesses fundamental differences in its pathological and clinical presentation. The most pivotal being the predominant presence of α-syn inclusions in the cytoplasm of oligodendrocytes termed glial cytoplasmic inclusions (GCI) (15) and selective neurodegeneration in multiple brain areas including substantia nigra, striatum, cerebellum, pontine nuclei, and spinal cord, resulting in parkinsonism, cerebellar ataxia and autonomic failure (16). Other important neuropathological features of MSA are neuroinflammation (17, 18), with microglial activation (19), astrogliosis (20) and T-cell infiltration (21). In contrast to the other disorders, MSA usually presents a rapid progression leading to death few years after symptoms onset (22, 23). In addition, despite the existence of some multiplex families, MSA is currently considered a sporadic disease, with no clear signs of genetic involvement in its etiology (24).

Together with the classical α-synucleinopathies (PD, DLB and MSA), different studies have recently included pure autonomic failure (PAF) and isolated rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder (iRBD) in the group of α-synucleinopathies (25, 26). PAF is a neurodegenerative disorder affecting the autonomic nervous system characterized by orthostatic hypotension, fixed puls rate, anhidrosis, erectile dysfunction and constipation without motor features (27). Similar to the other α-synucleinopathies, one of the main pathological features of PAF is the presence of α-syn inclusions, mostly found in autonomic ganglia and nerves of the peripheral nervous system that is associated with the loss of sympathetic ganglia and postganglionic fibers (27). However, autonomic abnormalities including orthostatic hypotension are also common in classical α-synucleinopathies. In this regard, there are documented cases of patients with PAF phenotype progressing into MSA, DLB and PD, suggesting that it could constitute a prodromal stage of the latter (28–30). In addition, iRBD constitutes a common prodromal syndrome in classical α-synucleinopathies that is associated with the loss of neurons in brainstem areas involved in the regulation of sleep (31–33). Thus, with more than 80% of patients progressing into PD, DLB or MSA (34, 35), iRBD is currently considered an early stage α-synucleinopathy (26).

Interestingly, although α-synucleinopathies all share the presence of misfolded α-syn deposition, they present a wide spectrum of clinical phenotypes with multiple overlapping features. In this regard, data from experimental models and clinical studies have suggested that the abnormal accumulation of α-syn in different cell types and brain areas might be explained by the existence of distinct α-syn strains that could also lead to the different neurodegenerative and disease duration patterns observed in these disorders. This mini-review discusses the current knowledge about the concept of α-syn strains and how different conformations may explain distinct neurodegenerative disorders.

**α-syn: Structure and Aggregation Mechanism**

α-syn is composed of 140 amino acids and comprises three distinct domains: the acidic C-terminal region, the highly hydrophobic central portion also referred to as the “non-amyloid component” (NAC), and the N-terminal repeat region (1). The structure of native brain α-syn is still under discussion. It was long considered an intrinsically disordered protein believed to exist in cells as an unstructured monomer (36, 37) until new studies also suggested an α-helical structure of the protein forming tetramers (38, 39). Therefore, a remarkable conformational plasticity is attributed to α-syn, suggesting that native α-syn exists in equilibrium between different conformational states within the cell (1).

Due to its hydrophobic composition, the central NAC region allows the oligomerization of α-syn by undergoing a conformational change to β-sheet structure (40–42). Pathological α-syn then self-assembles into protofibrils which can further form compact amyloid fibrils enriched in β-sheet structure (43). Although there also appear to be long-range interactions between C-terminus and NAC region, and between C- and N-terminus, they are thought to prevent aggregation of native α-syn under physiological conditions (44).

The exact mechanism leading to the aggregation of α-syn under pathological conditions is still unclear. Preclinical studies pointed out that several factors such as oxidative stress, metal ions, proteolysis, post-translational modifications or the
presence of fatty acids, among others, can induce or modulate α-syn aggregation and be able to influence the equilibrium between the monomeric and oligomeric state in vivo (45). Furthermore, missense and copy number mutations in the SNCA gene encoding α-syn which are found in rare familial forms of α-synucleinopathies are also linked to the conformation and aggregation characteristics of α-syn. Interestingly, while some mutations increase the aggregation propensity of α-syn, others have the opposite result (46). However, the majority of α-synucleinopathy cases occur sporadically without the involvement of mutations. Therefore, the mechanism underlying α-syn aggregation in α-synucleinopathies remains elusive and seems to be the result of a multifactorial process that might include different intracellular, epigenetic and environmental factors (2).

**α-syn Spreading and Neurodegeneration: Lessons From Experimental Models**

As mentioned before, in homeostatic conditions α-syn is mainly located in the presynaptic terminals. Thus, the source of α-syn in LBs of PD and DLB patients are presumably the neurons themselves. In contrast, in MSA the origin of α-syn in oligodendroglial inclusions is still under discussion (15). In this regard, two possible sources of α-syn have been hypothesized: i) neurons, which are thought to transmit α-syn through a yet unknown mechanism, ii) and oligodendrocytes themselves. The latter constitute also a matter of debate since some studies claim there is no α-syn expression in oligodendrocytes (47, 48), while others revealed even an increased expression in MSA oligodendrocytes compared to healthy controls (49).

Regarding the possible neuronal origin of pathological α-syn in MSA, there is supporting experimental data that demonstrate the ability of α-syn to be transferred from neuron to neuron and from neuron to oligodendrocytes (50, 51). Clinically, the first evidence supporting the transmission of α-syn in humans was the presence of LBs in grafted neurons in host brains of PD patients (52, 53). This event also raised the idea that pathogenic α-syn might follow the prion replication paradigm, in terms of propagation and infection mechanism, by recruiting endogenous wildtype monomeric α-syn to form amyloid fibrils (45).

Different experimental models have been generated over the years to study the pathogenesis of α-synucleinopathies and the potential of α-syn to spread throughout the central nervous system (CNS). In this regard, cellular models are not limited to the investigation of the underlying mechanisms of α-syn toxicity and aggregation but have also been used to study the prion-like transmission of α-syn. In particular, in vitro α-syn overexpression in cell lines and primary cultures has allowed researchers to study α-syn aggregation and its intercellular trafficking in different cellular environments that reflect the cellular milieu in which LBs or GCIs are formed (54). Consequently, pathological α-syn has been associated with the impairment of several cellular mechanisms such as mitochondrial function, lysosomal and proteasomal activity, axonal transport and Ca2+ homeostasis (2). Although cellular models are useful to study basic pathological mechanisms they fail to portrait them within the framework of a complex organism.

The use of in vivo models has also contributed to clarify the pathological consequences of α-syn accumulation and its role in the neurodegenerative processes underlying α-synucleinopathies. In this regard, genetically modified animals overexpressing wild-type (wt) or mutant forms of human α-syn have been extensively used in the last two decades (55–57). Thus, by specifically overexpressing α-syn in different cell types within the CNS or particular brain regions, several research groups were able to mimic different α-synucleinopathies (55–57). The first attempt to model α-synucleinopathies was made by overexpressing human α-syn under the non-specific PDGFβ gene promoter resulting in amorphous aggregates rather than α-syn fibrils as in LBs (57). Expression of mutant forms of human α-syn more adequately reflected the ability of α-syn to induce pathological changes including age-related progressive neurodegeneration with α-synuclein aggregation, astrocytosis, spinal motoneuron loss and paralysis of limbs (57). Several attempts were made to specifically overexpress α-syn in the dopaminergic neurons, one of the main cell types affected by neurodegeneration in PD (57). Unfortunately, this approach was inefficient in modeling the disease adequately. Nevertheless, specific α-syn overexpression under oligodendroglial promoters partially recapitulated in animal models the inclusion morphology, neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, oxidative stress, motor impairment and non-motor symptoms observed in MSA patients (56). Despite all these observations, and although transgenic models provide an important insight into the effect of α-syn pathology in vivo, they still hold a major drawback in modeling disease initiation. By one hand, these animals are based on the artificial overexpression of α-syn, thus masking the original events that might trigger α-syn pathology in patients. On the other hand the overexpression of α-syn leads to α-syn protein levels exceeding the ones associated with idiopathic α-synucleinopathies (58).

The third approach to model α-synucleinopathies involves the inoculation of recombinant α-syn preformed fibrils (PFFs). This method has been especially important in α-syn propagation studies and relies on the property of α-syn to seed and recruit endogenous α-syn, leading to its aggregation and to the spreading of the pathology both in vitro and in vivo (59, 60). Intracerebral injections of PFFs into the substantia nigra of mice provoked α-syn pathology in its projection areas such as striatum and amygdala and in the stria terminalis receiving amygdala projections (61). Similarly, in striatum-inoculated mice α-syn spreading was induced in interconnected brain regions resulting in progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra leading to reduced dopamine levels and motor deficits (62). Moreover, PFFs were also injected into the olfactory bulb to investigate early olfactory dysfunction observed in PD patients, which resulted in the spreading of the pathology into widespread areas including substantia nigra but with no signs of motor dysfunction (63). Besides intracerebral injections, recent studies have also shown that α-syn pathology can spread into the CNS after peripheral inoculation and that different injection sites might elicit distinct propagation patterns (64–68).
inoculation of PFFs in the gastrointestinal tract of rodents induced α-syn pathology that spread from the gut to the brain through the vagus nerve, inducing PD-like motor and non-motor symptoms (66, 67), while the injection of PFFs in autonomic ganglia resulted in a model of PAF (68).

In addition to the injection site, different types of PFFs or “strains” are able to induce distinguishable features. In 2013, by using different buffer conditions, Bousset and colleagues generated two structurally distinct α-syn conformers, denominated “ribbons” and “fibrils” that possessed different levels of toxicity, seeding and propagation properties, with (69). Subsequent in vivo experiments further confirmed the capability of both conformers to amplify in a strain-specific manner, presenting differential seeding capacities and inducing strain-specific pathologies and neurotoxic phenotypes (70). Thus, fibrils showed higher toxicity, which was associated with higher oxidative stress, synaptic impairment, neurodegeneration and protease K resistance (69, 70). Interestingly, despite a less toxic potential, ribbons induced the formation of more inclusions containing phosphorylated α-syn than fibrils and were also able to generate α-syn positive inclusions within oligodendrocytes, one of the main pathological hallmarks of MSA (70). Additional studies have also demonstrated the ability of recombinant α-syn to generate different strains under different salt conditions, which showed different disease incubation periods, region-specific α-syn deposition patterns and unique clinical signs of neurological illness when injected in transgenic mice (71). In consideration of these findings, it has been hypothesized that different α-syn strains might underlie disease heterogeneity in α-synucleinopathies (Figure 1).

Patient-Derived α-syn Strains

In recent years, increasing evidence supports the prion-like behavior of proteins accumulating in different neurodegenerative disorders, including α-syn. Prion-like features were first attributed to α-syn due to its ability to induce the aggregation of native α-syn into fibrillary structures forming pathogenic inclusions (45) and, although no evidences of infectivity across individuals has been found in α-synucleinopathies, several studies have shown the existence of different strains or conformations (2) (Table 1).

Masuda-Suzukake and colleagues were the first ones to prove that the nigral injection of insoluble α-syn from human DLB brains in wt mice elicits α-syn pathology in various brain areas resembling mostly Lewy neurite-like structures (61). Notably, only 50% of the inoculated mice developed α-syn deposits and the ones who did, lacked neurological deficits (61). Moreover, DLB-derived α-syn showed lower propagation efficiency than PFFs, which could be explained by a lower concentration of α-syn in the brain extracts or reduced pathogenicity of DLB homogenates (61). Following Masuda-Suzukake’s study, in the same year, Watts and colleagues tried a similar approach to investigate the transmission of MSA-derived α-syn (72). In their studies, they injected brain homogenates from MSA patients in hemizygous transgenic TgM83 mice, which overexpress human α-syn carrying the A53T mutation, inducing a rapidly progressive α-synucleinopathy in all animals that was accompanied by the emergence of neurological signs, such as ataxia and circling behavior (72). However, MSA-inoculated mice did not show α-syn deposition in oligodendrocytes, one of the major hallmarks of MSA (72). Only one year after the studies of Masuda-Suzukake and Prusiner, Recasens and colleagues injected LB-enriched fractions from PD brains in the substantia nigra and the striatum of wt mice and monkeys, observing in both the pathological conversion of endogenous α-syn associated with progressive PD-like neurodegeneration (73). Later on, transmission analyses of PD and MSA brain homogenates revealed that PD brain homogenates, in contrast to those of MSA patients, failed to produce signs of neurological dysfunction in inoculated hemizygous TgM83 mice, suggesting that MSA-derived α-syn possesses higher infectivity and pathogenic potential (74). Moreover, MSA-derived α-syn also demonstrated to efficiently spread from the injection site to other brain regions including the contralateral hemisphere (75) and, in contrast to DLB extracts, induced a greater burden of α-syn pathology compared to the PFFs in TgM83 mice (76). However, the latter study showed that MSA extracts did not induce α-syn pathology in transgenic expressing wild type human α-syn nor in non-transgenic mice (76). More recent studies comparing MSA with DLB or PD-derived α-syn revealed, in addition to morphological and biochemical differences, the ability of these strains to induce distinct pathological forms of α-syn, propagation patterns and seeding activities (77, 78) (Table 1).

Given all these findings highlighting the different characteristics of α-syn in a disease-specific context, structural analyses lacked to further demonstrate the existence of distinct disease-specific strains. In this regard, recent studies have finally made possible to partially disclose the structure of the different disease-derived α-syn fibrils through super resolution techniques (79). Using fluorescent probes, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and electron paramagnetic resonance Strohäcker and colleagues first found that brain-derived α-syn strains were structurally different to in vitro α-syn conformers, however, they did not observe structural differences between PD and MSA-derived α-syn (80). Eventually, cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) of α-syn derived from PD and MSA patients revealed that MSA strains present a higher portion of β-sheet structures and a greater number of twists, being more toxic and more resistant to protease degradation compared to PD strains (81). Similarly, Schweighauser and colleagues recently demonstrated that α-synuclein filaments from MSA brains also differ from those of individuals with DLB (82). Further studies corroborated structural differences between PD, DLB and MSA α-syn strains and demonstrated the ability of MSA-derived fibrils to induce a more significant motor impairment, neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation and α-syn seeding and spreading, reflecting the more aggressive nature of this disease compared to other synucleinopathies (83). Nevertheless, the existence of distinct and sometimes contradictory observations among the studies conducted till date must be acknowledge and could be explained by several limitations, including the use of different experimental models, techniques, source of human α-syn (brain region, α-syn load, etc.) or the small number of cases included (Table 1).
What causes the generation of different strains and the accompanied properties remains poorly understood. Considering that α-syn inclusions form in different cell types, it has been proposed that distinct α-syn strains are generated by different intracellular milieus (84). In this regard, experimental data indicated that α-syn strains formed in the cellular environment of oligodendrocytes obtain a more compact structure and achieve higher potency in seeding aggregation (84).
However, patient-derived MSA strains fail to induce sufficient α-syn accumulation in oligodendrocytes, even though α-syn assemblies were observed to be taken up by oligodendrocytes in vitro (51, 76, 85). Therefore, MSA could constitute a primary oligodendroglialopathy (86) in which multiple factors, including aging (87), epigenetic and environmental factors (88), might lead to oligodendroglial impairment and thus to α-syn accumulation. Supporting this hypothesis, a recent study showed that the oligodendroglial protein p25α stimulates folding of an α-syn strain capable of templating neuronal α-syn in vivo with enhanced neurodegenerative potential, leading to a more aggressive disease course (89). Hence, the formation of GCIs in MSA might not be only bound to the presence of pathological α-syn but also to a permissive environment, possibly provided by oligodendrocytes themselves (79, 90). Further studies will be necessary to elucidate the sequence of events triggering the different α-synucleinopathies and to reveal the molecular and cellular mechanism underlying the generation of their distinct α-syn strains.

Clinical Relevance and Future Perspectives

As discussed before, differences in age of onset, clinical symptoms, α-syn inclusion morphology and neuropathological distribution of α-synucleinopathies might originate from distinct α-syn strains (Figure 1). At present, the fact that these disorders share many clinical features and overlapping symptoms makes hard to differentiate them, leading to misdiagnosis and definitive diagnosis only possible post mortem (91). Hence, α-syn strains might represent a promising biomarker to facilitate the diagnosis.

Protein Misfolding Cyclic Amplification (PMCA) and Quaking-Induced Conversion (QuIC) are amplification techniques originally used to multiply prions that utilizes the ability of α-syn to self-propagate (92). Thus, small amounts of proteins can be increased to detectable concentrations by undergoing incubation cycles with excess of monomeric α-syn (92), which is especially useful based on the fact that protein misfolding and aggregation might occur decades before disease onset (93). In this regard, α-syn aggregates can be found not only in the brain but also in smaller amounts in peripheral tissues such as skin, olfactory mucosa, salivary glands, and gastrointestinal tract and in body fluids including cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and blood (94). The presence of extracellular α-syn in CSF seems to derive from the CNS rather than from peripheral blood (95) and might be originated, among other mechanisms, through the release of exosomes by neurons, astrocytes, microglia, and oligodendrocytes (96). These small vesicles of endosomal origin can carry a plethora of proteins into the CSF or the periphery, including small amounts of disease-specific and amyloidogenic proteins such as pathogenic α-syn (96, 97). Thus, recent studies have demonstrated the ability of PMCA and QuIC to detect α-syn aggregates with high sensitivity and specificity in the CSF of α-synucleinopathy patients, successfully differentiating PD, DLB and MSA strains by measuring the kinetics of aggregation in real-time through the binding of the fluorescent signal thioflavin T, which specifically binds amyloid fibrils (81, 83, 98–100). These differential amplification patterns are believed to rely on the unique structural and biochemical properties of the different strains, which are maintained after amplification (81). Interestingly, a couple of recent studies demonstrated the existence of significantly different amplification kinetics of CSF-derived α-syn from MSA patients compared to PD and DLB, that is already present in early clinically diagnosed MSA patients (99, 100). Hence, these findings support the use of amplification methods to differentiate MSA from PD and DLB even at early stages. Unfortunately, PD and DLB are harder to distinguish by this technique having very similar patterns (99, 100). However, experimental data indicate that these two latter strains differ as demonstrated by transmission electron microscopy and protein kinase digestion (89). Similar to biochemical, functional and structural studies, the use of different amplification protocols and source of human α-syn might be an important limiting factor of current research. Therefore, the use of standardized methods in future analyses should be considered.

TABLE 1 | Evidences supporting the existence of distinct strains in classical α-synucleinopathies and limitations of the current studies.

| Current knowledge                                                                 | Limitations of current studies                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Toxicity in vitro/in vivo                                                         | • Use of different in vivo and in vitro models between studies.                                 |
| PD, DLB and MSA α-syn strains are able to induce neurological deficits or neurodegeneration in vitro or in vivo. However, MSA-derived fibrils seem to have a stronger toxic potential (61, 72–74, 83). | • Use of different genetic backgrounds (overexpression of WT or mutant forms of α-syn VS non-transgenic models). |
| Aggregation potential                                                             | • Use of different sources of human α-syn (brain regions, age, sex, α-syn content).           |
| PD, DLB and MSA α-syn strains are able to induce aggregation of endogenous α-syn in vitro and in vivo with MSA-derived α-syn showing higher aggregation potential (74–78, 83). | • Use of non-standardized protocols among studies or different experimental techniques.        |
| Biochemical properties                                                            | • Small number of cases included.                                                              |
| MSA, PD and DLB strains present different proteinase K resistance and sarkosyl solubility patterns with MSA fibrils showing the highest resistance to protein degradation and DLB – the lowest (77, 78, 81, 83). | • Limited number of studies including all α-synucleinopathies.                                 |
| Structural differences                                                            |                                                                                                |
| MSA and PD fibrils exhibit twisted appearance, with MSA fibrils showing higher twist frequency than PD fibrils. DLB fibrils are predominantly thinner with no twists (77, 81–83). |                                                                                                |
| Amplification kinetics                                                            |                                                                                                |
| MSA strains exhibit different amplification kinetics compared to PD and DLB strains in PMCA and RT-QuIC studies (99–101). |                                                                                                |
Recent studies have also tried to decipher whether α-syn strains from PAF or iRBD patients differ from the ones of PD, DLB and MSA. In this regard, Singer and colleagues demonstrated the presence of α-syn in the CSF of most PAF cases included in the study, showing a similar amplification kinetic to PD and DLB cases, and significantly different from those with MSA (101). In addition, they observed that all PAF patients that later progressed toward MSA were the only ones showing amplification kinetics previously described in MSA patients (101). Thus, the use of amplification assays might constitute a potential diagnostic tool for MSA even at early-stages. As for iRBD, Iranzo and colleagues recently confirmed the presence of α-syn in the CSF from most iRBD cases (90%) after PMCA analysis (102). However, they did not detect different amplification kinetics between iRBD cases that converted to PD or DLB and those who did not convert to any other α-synucleinopathy (102). Another recent study by Stefani and colleagues demonstrated that amplification analysis enables the molecular detection of α-syn in the olfactory mucosa of patients with iRBD and PD, although with less sensitivity compared to CSF-based studies (103). Similarly to CSF, no differences in amplification kinetics were observed between iRBD and PD olfactory biopsies (103). Further studies including MSA cases should be conducted to clarify whether the use of this technique could be helpful to isolate potential MSA cases from other iRBD cases at early-stages. Moreover, it remains to be determined whether PAF and iRBD are associated with the presence of specific and distinct α-syn strains, or if they simply constitute early phases of the classical α-synucleinopathies (Figure 1).

It has to be mentioned that, till date, most amplification studies have been based on the use of CSF, which represents an invasive approach that might be difficult to introduce in preventive screenings. Therefore, it would be favorable to substitute CSF by a more accessible biological fluid, such as blood. In this regard, α-syn oligomers have been detected in the plasma of PD patients, but it remains to be determined if their presence precedes neuronal loss (104). A recent study by Dutta and colleagues confirmed the presence of α-syn in the blood of patients by exosome immunoprecipitation using neuronal and oligodendroglial markers, observing significantly higher α-syn concentrations in MSA exosomes compared to PD and healthy controls (105). The use of skin biopsies containing autonomic nerve terminals has also been suggested as a potential alternative source to investigate the seeding capability of α-syn, with comparable sensitivity and specificity to CSF (106). However, in these latter studies, samples were either obtained post-mortem or from clinically diagnosed patients, therefore future amplification studies should evaluate α-syn seeding capability in peripheral tissues and biological fluids at early stages. Thus, although the presence of α-syn pathological species often occurs years before symptoms become evident, it has yet to be established at which time point they are detectable and distinguishable from control cases and where (107).

In summary, the complete characterization of the presence of pathological α-syn throughout disease progression in biological fluids, skin or peripheral biopsies combined with the use of amplification assays, super resolution techniques and biochemical analyses, constitute a promising strategy to differentiate α-synucleinopathies. This might allow clinicians to diagnose the different α-synucleinopathies even at early stages, facilitating the recruitment of proper patient cohorts for future clinical trials. In this regard, molecules inhibiting the seeding and spreading of α-syn pathological species represent novel and potential therapeutic strategies for disease modification (2).

CONCLUSION

α-synucleinopathies constitute an important group of neurodegenerative disorders, some of them leading to death a few years after symptom-onset, with no treatment available. Although they share different clinical and pathological features, it is unclear what triggers these disorders and which mechanisms are responsible for their different neuropathological patterns. Recent findings indicate the existence of distinct α-syn strains with different structural and kinetic properties that could explain the distinctive pathological features, disease durations and severity of α-synucleinopathies. Despite these observations, how the unique structural and biological characteristics of α-syn strains might underlie disease heterogeneity must be further elucidated. Nevertheless, the existence of different α-syn strains and their identification represents a novel and promising diagnostic tool to differentiate these disorders, even at early stages, and constitute a potential prognostic biomarker for the development of early disease interventions.
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