ICD lead extraction: Not a benign procedure. External chest compression: Not a benign manoeuvre

Federico Sertic, Paolo Bosco, Antonella Ferrara, Patrick Heck and Yasir Abu-Omar

Abstract
This is the case of a 45-year-old man who was electively admitted to our hospital for revision and extraction of his faulty implantable cardioverter–defibrillator lead and box. The procedure was complicated by cardiac tamponade requiring pericardiocentesis (unsuccessful) and cardiopulmonary resuscitation. The patient was then rushed to theatre for emergency sternotomy and institution of cardiopulmonary bypass. A tear in the superior vena cava was identified and repaired. Unfortunately, the patient suffered of a liver laceration, due to chest compression, which required emergency laparotomy. The aim of this report is to highlight the combination and management of two rare life-threatening complications that occurred in a single case.
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Introduction
External chest compression is an essential manoeuvre in cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Although it is lifesaving, it may result in unusual traumatic injury. Some of the latter are well-recognised, rather common and rarely incur a negative effect on patients’ outcome. These include injuries to lungs, heart, sternum, rib cage and chest wall. Others are rare, often only identified at autopsy and can result in significant mortality secondary to massive hemorrhage (injuries to liver, spleen and other intra-abdominal organs).1-4,6 This report describes the case of a patient admitted for a laser-assisted extraction and replacement of a mal-functioning implantable cardioverter–defibrillator (ICD) lead and box. This procedure led to cardiac tamponade and pulseless electrical activity. External chest compression caused a deep laceration of the right lobe of the liver, which resulted in a massive hemoperitoneum and severe hemodynamic instability requiring emergency surgery.

Case report
A fit 45-year-old man, with a background of Brugada Syndrome had an ICD inserted 10 years previously for secondary prevention. He was initially admitted for lead replacement and ICD box change. This procedure was attempted, however failed due to an occlusion of the brachiocephalic vein. Therefore, a laser-assisted lead extraction was undertaken. Immediately following the procedure, the patient showed sign of tamponade and a pericardiocentesis was carried out. This was unsuccessful and the patient became hemodynamically unstable. He was transferred immediately to the operating theatre, intubated, ventilated and proceeded for emergency sternotomy. On arrival to theatre, the patient developed pulseless electrical activity and external chest compressions were delivered for 30–60 s.

Following sternotomy, the pericardium was opened and copious amounts of blood and clots were removed with prompt restoration of spontaneous circulation.
A bleeding point was identified on the right ventricular acute margin, which was repaired using a single 3/0 pledgeted Prolene suture. A large volume of blood was also noted in the right pleura, with extensive infiltration of the extra-pericardial portion of the superior vena cava. Careful dissection revealed a 5–7 cm linear tear extending from the right subclavian vein to the pericardial reflection of the Superior Vena Cava (SVC; Figure 1). In order to repair this, the patient was connected to cardiopulmonary bypass and cooled to 25°C without circulatory arrest. A patch of bovine pericardium was used to reconstruct the SVC and the right subclavian vein (Figure 1). The patient was rewarmed and hemostasis secured.

However, the patient remained hemodynamically unstable and the hemoglobin was noted to be low. The abdomen was very distended and an ultrasound demonstrated free abdominal fluid. The possibility of having a CT scan was dismissed due to the unstable condition of the patient. He proceeded for an emergency laparotomy with 4 L of blood drained from the abdomen. A deep laceration in the posterior part of the right lobe of the liver was eventually identified. The bleeding was difficult to control requiring mobilization of the liver and packing. The bleeding was eventually controlled. After 48 hours, a re-exploration of the abdomen was carried out and the liver laceration was still bleeding on pack removal requiring specialist repair by the hepatic surgeons. The patient eventually made a good recovery and remains alive and well.

**Discussion**

This report describes a case of liver laceration following external chest compression. The need of cardiopulmonary resuscitation was the result of a complication that occurred during laser-assisted extraction and replacement of an ICD lead and box to repair injury to the right ventricle and superior vena cava.

Liver laceration is a rare and life-threatening complication of external chest compression during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. As demonstrated by Meron et al. in a retrospective analysis of a cardiac arrest registry within 14.5 years, major liver injury (rupture/laceration, haemorrhage/haematoma) was found in 15 of 2558 cardiac arrest victims (0.6%). The site of injury was mostly the left liver lobe in 11 of 15
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*(a) The 5–7 cm linear tear in the superior vena cava vein. (b) The patch of bovine pericardium used to reconstruct the superior vena cava.*
One of the reasons for the occurrence of this complication has been attributed to excessive pressure and improper positioning of hands during compression. However, it has been demonstrated that this kind of complication can occur even with correctly performed Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR). 

Furthermore, in comparison to thoracic trauma due to cardiopulmonary resuscitation which rarely negatively affect the patient’s outcome, a trauma to the liver can result in significant mortality due to massive hemorrhage.

This severe complication was the result of laser-assisted extraction and replacement of an ICD lead. An estimated 10,000–15,000 pacemaker and ICD leads are extracted annually worldwide using specialized tools and techniques. The Food and Drug Administration’s (FDA) Manufacturers and User Defined Experience (MAUDE) database reports between 1995 and 2008, 57 peri-operative deaths and 48 serious procedural injuries associated with device-assisted lead extraction. Forty-five of these were due to laser-assisted lead extraction. The MAUDE data reports that the majority of deaths and were caused by lacerations of the right atrium, superior vena cava or innominate vein. Of the 34 patients who underwent emergency thoracotomy or myocardial perforations, 17 (50%) died during or after surgery. A subsequent non-randomized European multicentre study of excimer laser-assisted pacemaker and ICD lead extractions in 292 patients reported a 5.1% complication rate, including 10 non-fatal vascular and cardiac perforations. Several studies have shown that patient’s age, sex and calcification around the lead represent risk factors for laser-assisted procedures. The experience of the physician performing the procedure is inversely related to the risk of complications. A recent survey of Heart Rhythm Society members found that just 18% of physicians perform more than 50 extractions a year, and 25% of extraction procedures are done without a surgeon or operating room on standby.

In conclusion, the aim of this report is to highlight two major matter of interest. On the one hand, we want to describe a rare and life-threatening complication that can result from external chest compression during cardiopulmonary resuscitation and that need to be kept in mind when assessing a patient after CPR with Return Of Spontaneous Circulation (ROSC). On the other hand, our aim is to highlight a complication that can occur during laser-assisted extraction and replacement of his ICD lead and box and the strong need of a cardiothoracic surgery team and an operating theatre on stand-by when performing this procedure.
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