A nitrogen-doped carbon-coated silicon carbide as a robust and highly efficient metal-free catalyst for sour gas desulfurization in the presence of aromatics as contaminants†
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As far as environmental chemistry is concerned, N-doped nanocarbons have been employed as efficient, selective and robust metal-free systems for the selective oxidation of H₂S to elemental sulfur in tail gas treatment units. Modified Claus units that include tail gas treatments can reach up to 99.9% conversion of H₂S into elemental sulfur, a technology that matches well with the more and more challenging environmental concerns. Contaminants in the feed stream include hydrocarbons whose incomplete upstream removal may pose several problems to the catalytic units of a modified Claus reactor. Indeed, when hydrocarbons meet catalysts, they may undergo degradation processes that typically cause catalyst poisoning and make necessary its frequent regeneration if not its complete substitution. This contribution describes a straightforwardly prepared mesoporous N-doped carbon coating for SiC extrudates, offering the excellent desulfurization performance of the corresponding composite (N-C/SiC) along with its remarkably high resistance towards deactivation/fouling in the presence of relatively high concentrations of toluene [1000 ppm ≤ [tol] ≤ 20 000 ppm] as aromatic contaminant. The study has unveiled the existence of a positive “solvent effect” played by toluene on the process selectivity when N-C/SiC is used as a catalyst while confirming at the same time the remarkably high H₂S conversion rates and resistance to deactivation of the metal-free system under harsh reaction conditions.

Introduction

Natural gas is in the third place among fossil fuels for energy applications and its use is steadily growing compared to other fossil energy sources such as petroleum and charcoal.1,2 However, natural gas contains sulfur compounds that constitute a source of concern because of their corrosive nature as well as their potential hazard to human health and the environment. In addition, sulfur species may deeply affect the performance and lifetime of catalysts in processes where natural gas is employed as a chemical reagent; their presence, even in traces, can inhibit or destroy catalysts used in gas processing. For these reasons, S compound removal from natural gas streams is of utmost importance before any gas manipulation/processing.3–5 The most widely used technology applied nowadays to this purpose is the Claus process,5 which allows recovering elemental sulfur from S-containing gases through a complex sequence of thermal and catalytic transformations, where H₂S conversion/removal typically reaches 96–98%, depending on the feed composition and the thermodynamic limitations of the process. In modified Claus units, a tail gas treatment is included at the end of the process (direct oxidation with no thermodynamic limitations) that makes possible an almost complete (up to 99.9%) hydrogen sulfide conversion into elemental sulfur, hence...
minimizing SO₂ emissions from the incinerator.⁶ The tail gases of the Claus process may contain 0.4–1.5 vol% H₂S and up to 40% water vapour.⁷ Besides facing highly environmental concerns, selective H₂S oxidation allows conversion of a harmful species into commercially valuable products. Indeed, sulfur is a highly interesting compound for industry with applications that span from the production of fertilizers for crops to base materials used in construction and in chemistry fields. About 75 Mt of elemental sulfur are globally produced per year from oil and gas processing units which contribute to a large economic area.⁸

Gaseous streams from traditional refineries also contain other contaminants such as methanol, heavy hydrocarbons, and aromatics [i.e. benzene, toluene and xylene (BTX)] as well as N-containing compounds.⁹ Some of these impurities are known to deeply (and detrimentally) impact on the life cycle of catalysts applied to sulfur recovery in dedicated reactor units. Among these, BTX (C ≥ 7 in particular) are responsible for the generation of carbonaceous or heavy carbon–sulfur compounds under desulfurization conditions.¹⁰ Their incomplete removal from upstream thermal gas treatment often translates into catalyst fouling phenomena that invariably affect the process performance or in worse cases can cause complete catalyst deactivation.

Reduction of BTX concentration in acid gas streams has been approached through various technological solutions whose practical exploitation invariably increases the process complexity as well as that of the reactor setup. Amine or solvent enrichment units (acid gas enrichment (AGE) units)¹¹ used to obtain higher hydrogen sulfide concentrations in the stream allow one to perform a sour gas thermal treatment [before it reaches the catalytic desulfurization unit (SRU)] at higher temperature.¹² In this way, an almost complete hydrocarbon combustion can be achieved. Nevertheless, besides the higher reactor scheme complexity, the introduction of liquids into the process translates into higher operational and energy costs for the periodical regeneration of the absorbers. Another method for removing BTX from acid gases is to install activated carbon beds upstream of the desulfurization unit (SRU) for absorbing BTX fractions.¹³ Although the long-term stability of carbon guard beds remains a matter of debate within the scientific community, they certainly require frequent regeneration treatments to remove absorbed products. This regeneration phase typically changes the feed conditions to the Claus unit or it can even determine the complete feed shutdown. In addition, the installation of washing equipment for the absorbers’ regeneration as well as the downstream treatment of BTX-contaminated wastewaters is required. Finally, BTX oxidation by SO₂ before they come in contact with the catalyst bed of a Claus reactor represents a possible solution to the problem, an approach that has been thoroughly investigated mainly on a theoretical ground.¹⁴–¹⁶

Therefore, robust and durable catalysts suitable for the selective oxidation of H₂S under variable acid concentrations and featuring excellent resistance to aromatic deactivation are highly desirable and remain a challenging subject of research.

In the past decades, carbon-based (nano)materials, i.e. nanotubes (CNTs) and nanofibers (CNFs) or thin-carbon film deposits (in the form of pure carbons or light-hetero-doped materials), have received increasing interest from the catalysis community as either versatile supports for various metal active phases or highly efficient and single-phase metal-free systems to be employed in a wide series of relevant catalytic transformations.¹⁷,¹⁸

In particular, N-doped nanocarbons are recognized as excellent metal-free catalytic materials for a wide series of key processes, often exhibiting improved performance and higher long-term stability compared to their traditional (and metal-based) counterparts. They have successfully been employed for the selective and highly efficient alkane dehydrogenation to alkenes within direct (DDH) or oxidative dehydrogenation (ODH) schemes¹⁹,²⁰ as catalytic materials for selective oxidation reactions,²¹–²⁴ as electrocatalysts for small molecule activation and reduction [ORR, HER, CO₂RR]²⁵–²⁸ or as promoters in other challenging catalytic transformations.²⁹,³⁰ Although the role of N-doping in metal-free catalysts depends on the material’s downstream application, it is generally accepted that the introduction of electron-rich elements in a pure carbon network redraws its chemico-physical (and morphological) properties and tunes the material’s ability to interact with external molecules/reagents. As far as environmental chemistry is concerned, N-doped nanocarbons have also been reported as highly efficient metal-free systems for the selective oxidation of H₂S from tail gases to elemental sulfur.²³,³¹–³⁹ N-doped C-nanomaterials as catalysts have a number of technical advantages compared to classical metal-based systems that go beyond their already excellent performance. They generally are single-phase systems that prevent classical leaching or sintering deactivation phenomena typically encountered in metal nanoparticle-based catalysts. As metal-free systems, they largely prevent catalyst fouling phenomena and represent a cheaper and sustainable tool for industrially relevant processes. In addition, their typical basic character largely prevents the occurrence of undesired cracking reactions often responsible for the rapid catalyst fouling. We have recently proposed a “greener” and highly versatile synthetic technology to the preparation of N-doped carbon-based composites starting from food-grade raw components.²¹,³²,⁴⁰ Besides offering an unconventional protocol to the preparation of highly N-doped carbon phases with controlled macro- and microscopic shapes, such a technology simplifies the doping procedure and reduces the formation of toxic by-products typically encountered under more traditional chemical-vapor-deposition (CVD)-based synthetic schemes.

In this report, silicon carbide (SiC) extrudates coated with a mesoporous N-doped-carbon phase (N-C/SiC) have been investigated as durable and highly efficient metal-free catalysts for H₂S oxidation from a sour gas containing toluene [selected as the probe molecule for aromatic (BTX)
impurities] at variable concentrations. We demonstrate how catalysts with basic surface properties are ideal candidates for the highly efficient and selective H2S desulfurization under severe reaction conditions (0.5 vol% ≤ [H2S] ≤ 2 vol%; 1000 ppm ≤ [tol] ≤ 20 000 ppm). 41

To accomplish our purposes, silicon carbide (SiC) has been selected as matrix for the N–C active phase due to its high mechanical resistance together with high thermal and chemical stability under aggressive acidic/oxidative or basic environments that make it an ideal choice as a catalyst support across many industrially relevant heterogeneous transformations. 33, 43, 44 Moreover, it is commercially available in different sizes and shapes (Fig. S1†) and possesses a high chemical inertness together with a medium thermal conductivity that may reduce or even prevent the generation of local temperature gradients (hot spots) within the catalyst bed while operating highly exothermic transformations. 45 In contrast with more common and micro-/mesoporous metal-oxide supports for catalysis (i.e. γ-Al2O3, SiO2), this ceramic is commercially provided as an open cell meso-/macroporous network. This property ensures an ideal diffusion of reagents and intermediates through the catalyst bed, avoids pressure drops in packed bed reactor and limits product residence time within the catalyst bed with a better subsequent process selectivity control. In addition, the treatment of spent SiC-based catalysts with aggressive and oxidative reagents can be used to remove the deposited active phases, thus recovering pristine supports for reuse.

At odds with more classical metal-based catalysts, the N–C/SiC composite has shown excellent desulfurization performance with remarkably high resistance towards catalyst deactivation/fouling even in the presence of 5000 ppm of toluene. In addition, a positive “solvent effect” played by N2 in the reagents’ gas stream has been observed in terms of process selectivity with an increased elemental sulfur rate of up to 30% compared to selectivity values recorded for the same metal-free catalyst operated under identical but toluene-free conditions. A complete screening of N–C/SiC performance in H2S desulfurization catalysis under variable conditions (0.5 vol% ≤ [H2S] ≤ 2 vol%; 1000 ppm ≤ [tol] ≤ 20 000 ppm; GHSVs from 1200 h−1 to 2400 h−1), including catalyst oxidative/regeneration treatments, has been systematically discussed. In addition, N–C/SiC performance has been compared with that of the benchmark Fe2O3/SiO2 catalyst46 for the sake of completeness.

Materials and methods

Materials and characterization methods

Silicon carbide (SiC) was purchased from Sicat SARL (www.sicatcatalyst.com) in the form of mesoporous pellets (2 × 1 mm, h = 0.75–0.95) with a specific surface area (SSA) of 25 ± 1 m2 g−1 measured by N2 physisorption (at 77 K). Extra-pure ammonium carbonate ([NH4]2CO3, MW: 96.09 g mol−1; lot: A0356079), d-glucose 100% [C6H12O6, MW: 180.16 g mol−1] and citric acid [C6H8O7 anhydrous, ≥99.5%, MW: 192.12 g mol−1] were provided by ACROS Organic™, MYPROTEIN™ and VWR Chemicals, respectively. Unless otherwise stated, all reagents and solvents were used as provided by commercial suppliers without any further purification/treatment. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out on an UHR-SEM Gaia 3 FIB/SEM (TESCAN). The electron beam used for SEM imaging was of 10 kV operating in high-vacuum mode using BSE and SE detectors. EDX semi-quantitative analyses were conducted on an EDS X-ray microanalysis system (EDAX, AMETEK, USA), TEAM™ EDS Basic Software Suite. Samples were deposited onto a double face graphite tape in order to avoid a charging effect during the analysis. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements were acquired on a JEOL 2100F instrument operating at 200 kV, equipped with a GATAN Tridiem imaging filter and an aberration corrected condenser. Electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) analyses were performed in the scanning mode (STEM) with a 30 mrad convergence angle and a 25 mrad collection angle. The spectral images were acquired in 20 × 33 pixels with an exposure time of 1 s for each pixel. The energy resolution was 1.7 eV. Elemental signals were extracted from the Si–L, C–K, N–K and O–K edges. Energy-filtered TEM (EFTEM) measurements were acquired using a three-window method with energy slits of 8, 30 and 20 eV and acquisition times of 10 s, 30 s and 40 s for Si, C and N, respectively. N2 adsorption–desorption measurements were carried out on a Micromeritics® sorptometer at liquid N2 temperature and relative pressures between 0.06 and 0.99 P/Po. Each sample was outgassed at 250 °C under ultra-high vacuum for 8 h prior to analysis in order to desorb moisture and adsorbed volatile species. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out in an ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) spectrometer equipped with a CLAM4 (MCD) hemispherical electron analyzer. The Al Kα line (1486.6 eV) of a dual anode X-ray source was used as incident radiation. Survey and high-resolution spectra were recorded in constant pass energy mode (100 and 20 eV, respectively). The CASA XPS program with a Gaussian–Lorentzian mix function and Shirley background subtraction was employed to deconvolute XPS spectra. Elemental analyses were performed on a ThermoFlashEA 1112 Series CHNS-O analyzer, and elemental average values were calculated over three independent runs. Powder diffraction (PXRD) measurement was carried out on a Bruker D-8 Advance diffractometer equipped with a Vantec detector (Cu Kα radiation) working at 40 kV and 40 mA. X-ray diffractograms were recorded in the 10–80° 2θ range at room temperature in air. Iron loading for Fe2O3 catalyst was fixed using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrophotometry (ICP-AES) after acidic mineralization of the Fe/SiO2 sample using an Optima 2000 Perkin Elmer inductively coupled plasma (ICP) Dual Vision instrument. Thermogravimetric analyses were performed in air (50 mL min−1) from 40 to 900 °C (heating rate: 10 °C min−1) on an EXSTAR Seiko 6200 analyser. Acid–base titration was accomplished using the following procedure:48, 50–53 10 mg of N–C/SiC were suspended in 7 mL of a standardized HCl
solution (3 \( \times \) 10\(^{-3} \) M, standardized with Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) as primary standard) and stirred at room temperature for 48 h. After that, three aliquots of the solution were titrated with a standardized NaOH solution (2.5 \( \times \) 10\(^{-3} \) M). The basic site loading was finally calculated as the average value over three independent titration runs.

**Synthesis of N–C/SiC catalysts**

Commercial SiC in the form of extrudates \((L, \text{length}) \approx 1 \text{ mm} \times (L, \text{length}) \approx 2 \text{ mm}\) was thoroughly washed with deionized water in order to remove powdery material fractions; then it was dried at 130 °C overnight. The N–C/SiC catalyst was then prepared by adapting and improving our previous procedures\(^{21,32,40}\) to obtain a highly nitrogen-doped carbon thin layer at the SiC outer surface. In a typical procedure, 1.5 g of \(\nu\)-glucose and 2.25 g of citric acid were added to 10 mL of ultrapure Milli-Q water at room temperature. Afterwards, 1.73 g of ammonium carbonate were added portion-wise to the stirred solution maintained at room temperature (a copious effervescence due to \(\text{CO}_2\) evolution was observed during this phase). The as-prepared solution was used as a pre-catalytic phase for the soaking/impregnation of 10 g of SiC extrudates.\(^{40}\) Wet solids underwent the following thermal treatments. SiC impregnated with 5 mL of the above-mentioned solution was maintained at 130 °C overnight. The N–C/SiC catalyst was then calcined at 400 °C for 1 h (heating rate 10 °C min\(^{-1}\)). During this phase a thin pre-catalytic coating of the SiC matrix, essentially made of \(\nu\)-glucose and basic ammonium citrate, is formed.\(^{21,32}\) The resulting solid was then calcined at 400 °C for 2 h (heating rate 2 °C min\(^{-1}\)) to obtain a first N-doped mesoporous C-phase coating. The as-prepared composite underwent a second soaking/impregnation treatment using the remaining (5 mL) pre-catalyst solution.

The wet solid was dried again at 40 °C before repeating the calcination steps in air at 130 (1 h) and 400 °C (2 h). The composite was then treated at 900 °C (heating rate: 10 °C min\(^{-1}\)) under an inert atmosphere (He) for 2 h (annealing) during which the mesoporous N-doped graphitized C-coating for the SiC matrix was formed.

\(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2\) (2.6 wt% Fe) was synthesized according to literature procedures\(^{46}\) and employed as a benchmark system for \(\text{H}_2\text{S}\) desulfurization of sour gases under conditions identical to those (hard) operated with our metal-free composite (see Fig. S2† for the XRD spectrum of the iron catalyst).\(^{54}\) \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2\) was prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of 10 g of SiO\(_2\) powder with an aqueous solution of iron nitrate [\(\text{Fe(NO}_3)_3\times\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{MW: 404.00 g mol}^{-1}\)] obtained by dissolving 2.23 g of the iron(III) salt in 10 mL of ultrapure Milli-Q water at room temperature. After the solid was dried at 130 °C overnight, it was calcined in air at 350 °C (heating rate of 5 °C min\(^{-1}\)) and maintained at the target temperature for 2 h before being used as such in catalysis. The final iron loading was measured by ICP-AES analysis and it was fixed at 2.6 wt%.

Selective \(\text{H}_2\text{S}\) oxidation to elemental sulfur

The \(\text{H}_2\text{S}\) oxidation process can be outlined according to the following main equations (eqn (1)–(3)). In the present study, \(\text{H}_2\text{S}\) selective oxidation to elemental sulfur (eqn (1)) was promoted by a N–C/SiC catalyst located within a glass tubular reactor working isothermally under atmospheric pressure. A representation of the desulfurization scheme is given in Fig. S3 of the ESI.\(^{55,56}\)

\[
\text{2H}_2\text{S} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{S} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H = -187 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{S} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_2 \quad \Delta H = -297 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{2H}_2\text{S} + 3\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{SO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H = -518 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{3}
\]

To this aim, 6 g of N–C/SiC (\(V_{\text{cat}}\) 7.5 cm\(^3\)) were loaded on a silica wool pad located in a tubular Pyrex reactor (\(\phi_{\text{in}}\) 16 mm) and housed in a vertical tubular electrical furnace. The furnace temperature was controlled using a K-type thermocouple and a Minicor regulator. The reactant gas mixture [\(\text{H}_2\text{S} (1 \text{ vol\%}), \text{O}_2 (2.5 \text{ vol\%}), \text{H}_2\text{O} (30 \text{ vol\%})\) in inert He as carrier (balance)] was passed downward through the catalyst bed, with gas flow rates monitored through Brooks 5850TR mass flow controllers. Steam (30 vol%) in the reactant feed was ensured by bubbling the inert carrier in a saturator containing hot water at 80 °C. **CAUTION!** \(\text{H}_2\text{S}\) is a colourless, flammable, highly toxic gas. It must be handled – including its solutions – rigorously under a fume hood and with all necessary precautions. The gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) was fixed at either 1200 or 2400 h\(^{-1}\) (corresponding to 150 and 300 mL min\(^{-1}\), respectively) and the \(\text{O}_2\)-to-\(\text{H}_2\text{S}\) molar ratio was kept constant at 2.5. The reaction rate (\(\lambda\)) was calculated as mmol \(\text{H}_2\text{S}_{\text{conv}} \text{ g}^{-1}\text{cat}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}\). The influence of toluene on the catalyst performance was investigated by feeding the reactant stream with toluene vapours at variable concentrations [from 0.1 vol% (1000 ppm) up to 2.0 vol% (20 000 ppm)]. Toluene was fed in the stream by passing a He flow through a saturator containing pure toluene constantly maintained at 40 °C. To this purpose, an independent line of He (Fig. S3†) was used to feed toluene in the reagent stream and its target concentration was adjusted by regulating the flow of the carrier. The amount of toluene passing through the catalyst was double-checked by measuring the real liquid volume of toluene vaporized per day of experiment. All catalytic runs were carried out in continuous mode. Hence, most of the formed elemental sulfur was vaporized (because of the high partial pressure of sulfur at the target reaction temperatures) and condensed alongside with steam at the reactor outlet in a trap maintained at room temperature. The analysis of the inlet and outlet gases was performed online using a Varian CP-3800 gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a Chrompack CP SilicaPLOT capillary column and a thermal catharometer detector (TCD) for the detection of \(\text{O}_2\), \(\text{H}_2\text{S}\), \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{SO}_2\). \(\text{H}_2\text{S}\) and \(\text{SO}_2\) concentrations were recalculated on the basis of the corrected flow after steam
condensation in a trap (Fig. S3†). N–C/SiC samples analysed by SEM (see Fig. 6) were cooled after catalysis at a furnace temperature close to 120 °C before switching off the reagent stream and being collected for analyses. This treatment was necessary to maximize the formation of sulfur deposits at the catalysts’ surfaces. All the connecting lines were wrapped with thermal tapes maintained at 140 °C in order to prevent any condensation phenomena.

Results and discussion

Catalyst characterization and properties

SiC dressing with a highly N-doped mesoporous carbon phase was straightforwardly performed through two successive cycles of soaking/impregnation of SiC (10 g) in an aqueous solution of d-glucose/citric acid/ammonium carbonate (see Materials and methods for details). The wet solid underwent successive calcination steps before being annealed at 900 °C for 2 h under inert atmosphere. The as-obtained N–C/SiC composite (Fig. 1A) was first analyzed in terms of specific surface area (SSA) and pore-size distribution by N2 physisorption analysis at the liquid N2 temperature (77 K). As Table S1† shows, the SSA of the N–C/SiC composite is more than doubled compared to that measured on the pristine SiC support. Both materials present classical type II isothermal profiles with N–C/SiC showing a distinctive H2 hysteresis loop in the 0.4–1.0 P/P0 range (almost absent on bare SiC – Fig. 1B and S3A†), typical of mesoporous
Fig. 3 (A) Comparative H₂S desulfurization runs on N–C/SiC using a sour gas ([H₂S] = 1 vol%) in the presence of toluene (5000 ppm, 0.5 vol% – blue symbols) or under toluene-free conditions (green symbols). Catalysis details: N–C/SiC = 6 g (V_{cat} \sim 7.5 \text{ cm}^3); O₂-to-H₂S ratio = 2.5, [H₂O] = 30 vol%, He (balance); reaction temperature = 210 °C, GHSV (STP) = 1200 h⁻¹. (B) Long-term desulfurization tests on N–C/SiC at variable H₂S concentrations ([H₂S] = 1 vol%, blue symbols; [H₂S] = 2 vol%, red symbols) in the presence of 5000 ppm (0.5 vol%) of toluene. (C) Long-term desulfurization test on N–C/SiC in the presence of 2 vol% of H₂S under variable toluene concentrations (5000 ppm, 0.5 vol% – red symbols; 10 000 ppm, 1 vol% green symbols). Unless otherwise stated the following reaction details are common to all experiments outlined in (B) and (C): N–C/SiC = 6 g; O₂-to-H₂S ratio = 2.5, [H₂O] = 30 vol%, He (balance); reaction temperature = 210 °C. (D) Influence of GHSV (1200 h⁻¹, blue symbols; 2400 h⁻¹, red symbols) on the desulfurization of a sour gas ([H₂S] = 1 vol% + 5000 ppm, 0.5 vol% of toluene). Other catalysis details: N–C/SiC = 6 g (V_{cat} \sim 7.5 \text{ cm}^3); O₂-to-H₂S ratio = 2.5, [H₂O] = 30 vol%, He (balance); reaction temperature = 210 °C.

Fig. 4 Desulfurization tests on N–C/SiC and Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ catalysts at comparison with H₂S mixtures (1 vol%) containing 5000 ppm (0.5 vol%) of toluene as aromatic contaminant. (A) and (B) refer to the same desulfurization experiments conducted at variable GHSVs (A: 1200 h⁻¹; B: 2400 h⁻¹). Unless otherwise stated the following reaction details are common to all experiments outlined in (A) and (B): N–C/SiC = 6 g, V_{cat} \sim 7.5 \text{ cm}^3; O₂-to-H₂S ratio = 2.5, [H₂O] = 30 vol%, He (balance); reaction temperature = 210 °C.
structures featuring complex pore networks of ill-defined shape.\textsuperscript{57} The pore-volume distribution curve recorded on N-C/SiC shows the presence of a prevalent component made up of small mesopores with a maximum pore diameter centered...
around 3 nm (Fig. S4A†). On the other hand, only larger mesopores (prevalently in the 20–40 nm range) are available on the pristine SiC support (Fig. S4A†). SSA and pore-size distribution measured on the benchmark Fe3O4/SiO2 (3 wt% Fe) did not show any major change with respect to the pristine SiO2 support (Fig. S4B and S3B†) except for a slight decrease of SSA likely due to a partial clogging of small micropores caused by iron nanoparticles.

As far as the basic properties of the N–C phase are concerned, the pH value of an aqueous N–C/SiC dispersion has revealed the higher basic surface character of the composite compared to that of bare SiC. Indeed, the pH of N–C/SiC lies close to pH 9.5 compared to pH 6.6 of a pristine SiC dispersion in water. To get additional insights on catalyst basic properties, a quantitative estimation of basic sites available at the catalyst surface was achieved by acid–base titration (see Materials and methods) and fixed in 0.7 mmol per g of N–C/SiC. Such a basic character of N–C/SiC was attributed to the presence of a relatively high density of surface-exposed N-sites, whose nature was carefully assessed through a high-resolution XPS N1s analysis on the composite (Fig. 1C; see also Fig. S5 for the high-resolution XPS C 1s core region and survey spectrum of the composite).

As shown in Fig. 1C, N1s peak deconvolution consists in three main components at 398.4 eV (N-pyridinic – blue line, 53.1%), 399.8 eV (N-pyridolic – green line, 13.1%) and 401.2 eV (N-quaternary – orange line, 26.8%) together with a minor shoulder at higher binding energies (403.0 eV – red line, 7.0%) ascribed to the presence of N-oxidized species.

The N wt% loading was determined by elemental analysis as the mean value obtained over three independent runs (Table S1) and it was fixed at 1.7%. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was run in air (50 mL min−1) and recorded TG/DTG profiles for N–C/SiC are outlined in Fig. 1D. The N–C/SiC annealed catalyst presents an overall weight loss (in the 150–750 °C temperature range) of 7.4%. Its TG profile shows two distinct weight losses with a maximum value at 530 and 698 °C, attributed to the stepwise combustion of “onion-veil-like” N–C phase coatings on the SiC surface. The presence of these two distinct decomposition phases is likely ascribed to a more intimate connection of the N–C phase (first coating layers) in close contact with the SiC matrix. According to the measured total weight loss in N–C/SiC (Fig. 1D) and the N wt% measured by EA (Table S1; entry 2), the N wt% content normalized to the weight of N–C coating was calculated as 23 N wt%.

The remarkably high N-content of the N–C phase follows its high basic surface character. Finally, high-resolution STEM/EELS analyses conducted on N–C/SiC (Fig. S5A–C†) account for the high N-content in the sample along with the fairly good homogeneous N-distribution all over the scanned area.

**Desulfurization runs using N–C/SiC under toluene-free conditions**

N–C/SiC (6 g, Vcat ~ 7.5 cm3) was first scrutinized as a catalyst for oxidative H2S desulfurization under toluene-free conditions. For the sake of completeness, plain SiC was also employed as a “blank catalyst” for the process without showing any appreciable activity throughout 5 hours on stream. In a first trial, 1 vol% H2S was flowed downward through the catalyst bed at the temperature of 210 °C under an O2-to-H2S ratio of 2.5 and a gas-hourly-space-velocity (GHSV) of 1200 h−1 (STP). As Fig. 2 shows, N–C/SiC exhibited complete desulfurization performance (>99.5% of H2S conversion, λ = 0.67 mmol H2Sconv gcat−1 h−1) with no appreciable catalyst deactivation after 60 h on stream and a sulfur selectivity lying slightly over 60%. No sulfur by-products were detected (GC analysis) at the reactor outlet except for SO2. H2S conversion and sulfur selectivity have been calculated according to the following equations:59

\[
\text{H2Sconv (%) = } \frac{[\text{H2S}_{\text{inlet}}] - [\text{H2S}_{\text{outlet}}]}{[\text{H2S}_{\text{inlet}}]} \times 100 \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{Sselect (%) = } \frac{[\text{H2S}_{\text{inlet}}] - [\text{H2S}_{\text{outlet}}] - [\text{SO2}_{\text{outlet}}]}{[\text{H2S}_{\text{inlet}}]} \times 100 \quad (5)
\]

It should be noted that the sulfur selectivity decreases appreciably during the first hours on stream before approaching steady-state conditions. Such a trend is essentially ascribed to the mesoporous nature of the N–C phase (featuring relatively small mesopores – Fig. 1B and S4A†) that hinders rapid sulfur desorption from the complex material pore network, hence fostering its undesired over-oxidation. After ca. 5 h on stream, the sulfur selectivity slightly increases and gradually reaches steady-state conditions after about 50 h. This period can be considered as the activation time for the catalyst stabilization.

It can be inferred that the basic surface character of the N–C phase in the composite largely contributes to the catalyst desulfurization performance. Chemically accessible basic surface sites are responsible for promoting a number of industrially relevant transformations in heterogeneous catalysis68,52,60–62 as well as fostering a specific adsorption of Lewis/Bronsted acid molecules (i.e. CO2, H2S) for their activation/conversion. As for H2S desulfurization, the catalyst basic surface properties are thought to create an ideal microenvironment for the generation of local H2S gradients, determining the concentration of HS– ions34 and hence fostering the acid gas oxidation process.63,64

Steam largely contributes to the success of the process by creating a thin water film on the N–C surface, hence facilitating the dissolution of H2S molecules and their ultimate diffusion into the material pores.34 In addition, defect-engineered carbons, featuring “activated” C sites neighboring nitrogen dopants, are known to facilitate O2 activation/dissociation paths.53,65–67 The combination of these processes within the N–C phase is thought to foster the reaction between HS– ions and adsorbed oxygen radicals, thus leading to the formation of elemental sulfur.34 Finally, the use of SiC as N–C support ensures an ideal heat management at the catalytic sites, particularly relevant when
desulfurization is operated under harsh conditions and temperature gradients can be locally formed. The generation of local “hot spots” is generally claimed to detrimentally affect the catalyst performance (especially in terms of sulfur selectivity) as well as its lifetime on stream. In addition, iron-based desulfurization catalysts can also suffer from the excessive formation of iron sulfides that deeply affect their ultimate performance in the process. In this regard, Sic is known to mitigate or even prevent local temperature gradient formation due to its inherent thermal conductivity that makes it an ideal choice as a catalyst support within a number of highly exothermic transformations.45,49,68–71

**Effect of toluene as acid gas contaminant on N–C/SiC desulfurization performance**

Toluene was selected as a model aromatic contaminant in sour gases72 and its effect on the N–C/SiC catalyst and its performance was thoroughly investigated under variable conditions. Fig. 3A–D outline the excellent performance of N–C/SiC under severe desulfurization conditions. They also account for the effects of the aromatic contaminant on the process activity and selectivity as well as on the catalyst stability on stream. In a first trial, a mixture of H2S (1 vol%, O2-to-H2S ratio = 2.5) and toluene (5000 ppm; 0.5 vol%) was passed downward through the catalyst bed (6 g, Vcat = 7.5 cm3) at 210 °C with a GHSV of 1200 h−1 (STP). Fig. 3A compares the N–C/SiC desulfurization performance for an acid gas stream in the presence (blue symbols) and in the absence (green symbols) of toluene as contaminant. Under these conditions, toluene gives rise only to negligible effects on H2S conversion (at least up to 65 h on stream) as shown by the superimposable conversion profiles of the two catalytic runs (green and blue solid symbols).

On the other hand, the addition of 0.5 vol% (5000 ppm) toluene to the acid stream holds largely beneficial effects on the process selectivity. Besides preventing any initial catalyst selectivity loss, at the steady-state conditions toluene ensures a selectivity degree towards elemental sulfur up to 28% higher than that recorded for the same catalyst operated under toluene-free conditions. This highly positive feature can be rationalized on the basis of the higher solubility of formed elemental sulfur in a reagent stream containing toluene.73 Indeed, the latter is thought to facilitate a faster sulfur removal from the material mesopores, hence preventing the occurrence of undesired over-oxidation paths (vide infra – characterization of spent catalysts).

It is noteworthy that a long-term catalytic desulfurization run (up to 250 h on stream – Fig. 3B, blue symbols) in the presence of 5000 ppm of toluene has shown a constantly high process selectivity (close to 90% over the entire process) and has unveiled the excellent coke resistance of N–C/SiC under severe and prolonged operating conditions. As Fig. 3B shows, catalyst deactivation starts at around 150 h with a H2S conversion decrease of nearly 1.5% (from >99.5% to 98%) that gradually drops down to 95% (λ = 0.64 mmol H2Sconv gcat−1 h−1) close to 250 h. As reported elsewhere for other processes where “catalyst coking” can deeply compromise its pristine performance,21,62 the high resistance of N–C/SiC to coke deposits is ascribed to the metal-free nature of the oxidation catalyst along with its basic surface character that largely prevent, if not inhibit, the occurrence of undesired BTX coking phenomena.

When the process is operated at higher H2S concentration [2 vol% H2S, 5000 ppm (0.5 vol%) of toluene; Fig. 3B – red symbols vs. blue ones], H2S conversion still maintains markedly high values, showing a moderate even though gradual catalyst deactivation (97% after 210 h, λ = 1.30 mmol H2Sconv gcat−1 h−1) and a sulfur selectivity constantly close to 84% (at the steady-state conditions). The moderate loss of sulfur selectivity (<2%) is likely ascribed to the catalyst surface temperature increase when the system operates at high H2S concentration.

When toluene concentration in the acid stream (H2S, 2 vol%) is doubled (from 5000 ppm to 10 000 ppm), catalyst deactivation starts faster and H2S conversion gradually decreases from 99% after 75 h (λ = 1.33 mmol H2Sconv gcat−1 h−1) to 86% (λ = 1.15 mmol H2Sconv gcat−1 h−1) at 240 h (Fig. 3C). It is noteworthy that sulfur selectivity is around 84% irrespective of the toluene concentration in the reagent stream (Fig. 3C – red empty symbols, 5000 ppm of toluene vs. green empty ones, 10 000 ppm of toluene). Such a result strengthens the high resistance of N–C/SiC towards deactivation under the adopted operating conditions (i.e. with higher toluene concentrations than those generally encountered in natural sour gases).74

The increase of GHSV (from 1200 to 2400 h−1 STP) on the N–C/SiC desulfurization performance for a mixture of H2S (1 vol%, O2-to-H2S ratio = 2.5) containing 0.5 vol% (5000 ppm) toluene is finally illustrated in Fig. 3D. The study highlights how the higher the GHSV, the faster is the catalyst deactivation rate. Such a trend matches with a non-specific site interaction of toluene molecules on the N–C phase so that increased toluene concentrations that reach the catalyst surface (for a unit of catalyst mass per h) remain adsorbed and progressively foul the catalyst active sites, hampering the regular (and specific) H2S and O2 uptake. As a matter of fact, the higher the toluene concentration in the stream [from 1000 ppm (0.1 vol%) to 5000 ppm (0.5 vol%) up to 10 000 ppm (1 vol%)], the greater its effect on the catalyst deactivation rate (Fig. S7†). At the same time, higher toluene concentrations confirm a process selectivity increase.

To better appreciate the N–C/SiC performance compared to classical desulfurization systems of the state of the art, the former and the benchmark Fe2O3/SiO2 catalyst were evaluated under identical conditions: H2S mixtures (1 vol%) containing 5000 ppm (0.5 vol%) of toluene; O2-to-H2S ratio = 2.5, H2O = 30 vol%, He (balance); 210 °C; GHSV 1200 h−1 (STP). For the sake of comparison, Fig. 4A illustrates the catalyst performance of both systems as a function of time on stream.
Despite the superimposable selectivity profiles (at the steady-state conditions) for the two catalytic systems, N–C/SiC shows its outperforming stability in run with a reduction of H2S conversion (from >99.5% to 98%) that starts appreciably only after 125 h on stream and slightly approaches 97% at 225 h. On the other hand, Fe2O3/SiO2 undergoes faster fouling of its active sites, so H2S conversion is already reduced by 30% after 100 h on stream.

Higher GHSVs (Fig. 4B) translate into an overall reduction of the process selectivity (see also Fig. 3D) together with a faster catalyst deactivation. Nevertheless, the deactivation takes place much faster on Fe2O3/SiO2 than on N–C/SiC. While H2S conversion on Fe2O3/SiO2 reduces by 40% in 25 h, it decreases by less than 10% after 75 h when the process is operated with N–C/SiC as catalyst. These outcomes highlight once again the high deactivation resistance of the N–C phase towards fouling phenomena that affect the catalyst performance in processes where formation of coke or sulfur deposits are likely to occur.

Toluene effects on N–C/SiC deactivation rate and catalyst regeneration

As discussed earlier, high GHSVs (Fig. 4B) and/or high toluene concentrations (Fig. S7†) in sour gases cause the rapid decrease of H2S conversion over time. On the other hand, when desulfurization is operated under severe reaction conditions, the addition of toluene to the stream partially prevents the occurrence of undesired over-oxidation paths, thus ensuring higher selectivity to the process. In a model experiment operated under severe reaction conditions, sour gas desulfurization was performed over 70 h with N–C/SiC as catalyst at 210 °C, using a mixture of H2S (1 vol%) and toluene (10 000 ppm, 1 vol%) at a GHSV of 2400 h⁻¹. As Fig. 5A shows, H2S conversion reduces by 23% (λ = 0.52 mmol H2Sconv gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹) within 70 h while the process selectivity constantly maintains high values (nearly 90%) over the entire process. When toluene is switched off from the stream, selectivity decreases and gradually stabilizes close to 74%. In contrast, H2S conversion steadily increases and pristine catalyst performance is almost entirely restored (H2S conversion = 98.5%; S selectivity = 74.4%; λ = 0.66 mmol H2Sconv gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹) within 80 h.

In terms of process selectivity, it can be inferred that switching off toluene from the sour gas stream reduces the “solvent effect” responsible for the faster removal of elemental sulfur from the mesoporous catalyst surface. Accordingly, increased contact times between sulfur and the N–C network unavoidably foster the generation of over-oxidation by-products. As far as H2S conversion is concerned, the gradual catalyst reactivation under toluene-free conditions accounts for the spontaneous removal of carbon–sulfur deposits (mainly formed in the presence of toluene as contaminant) already under (oxidant) desulfurization conditions. The results highlight the reversible nature of N–C/SiC deactivation caused by the presence of aromatics in the stream.

When oxidative conditions are forced into the system [oxidative regeneration, 2 h at 320 °C, He/O2 mixture (O2 = 5 vol%)], the catalyst performance is entirely recovered with H2S conversion and sulfur selectivity trends that largely overlap those recorded for the pristine catalyst (Fig. 5B).

As a proof of the beneficial “solvent effect” played by toluene on the desulfurization selectivity of N–C/SiC, two catalysts recovered from distinct desulfurization cycles (40 h on stream each) carried out in the presence or in the absence of toluene (5000 ppm, 0.5 vol%) were analysed in terms of content of sulfur residues and their morphology.75 Except for the presence of the aromatic in one of the two catalytic runs only, all other experimental conditions were kept identical [N–C/SiC = 6 g (Vcat 7.5 cm³); O2-to-H2S ratio = 2.5, [H2O] = 30 vol%, He (balance); reaction temperature = 190 °C, GHSV (STP) = 2400 h⁻¹].

Fig. 6 shows scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images acquired on both recovered spent catalysts (A, A'; B, B') along with the relative energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) microanalyses (a and b). At first glance, the two samples show markedly high differences in terms of relative amount of sulfur deposits and their ultimate morphology.

While spent N–C/SiC obtained from desulfurization under toluene-free conditions (Fig. 6A and A') shows the generation of large prismatic sulfur deposits, thin needles of elemental sulfur lie on the catalyst surface of the N–C/SiC sample recovered from catalysis carried out with 5000 ppm of the aromatic in the stream (Fig. 6B and B'). EDS microanalyses qualitatively show the high difference in terms of sulfur content between the two samples (Fig. 6a vs. b) whose quantitative estimation was given by elemental analyses (mean value calculated over three independent runs) and fixed at 5.83% and 0.37% for samples A and B, respectively.

Overall, it can be concluded that the addition of toluene to the reagents stream affects the generation of sulfur deposits and deeply modifies their morphology. Toluene promotes the rapid sulfur desorption from the catalyst surface, hence reducing its undesired accumulation at the outlet of the N–C mesoporous phase and limiting the occurrence of over-oxidation paths. Sample microanalyses and elemental analyses on the two spent catalysts are a clear proof of evidence for the above-mentioned “solvent effect” played by the aromatic in the process.

All data taken together prompted us to evaluate the beneficial effect of toluene on the process selectivity along with the high N–C/SiC deactivation resistance for low concentrations of the aromatic in the gas stream. To this purpose, 1 vol% H2S and 1000 ppm (0.1 vol%) of toluene were passed at 1200 h⁻¹ (GHSV) downward the catalyst heated at 210 °C under an O2-to-H2S ratio of 2.5. As Fig. 7 shows, H2S conversion on N–C/SiC is constantly over 99.5% (λ = 0.67 mmol H2Sconv gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹) throughout the whole long-term catalytic test (200 h), while process selectivity, after an initial
in spent catalysts recovered from desulfurization runs conducted in the presence or in the absence of toluene as contaminant. The presence of toluene in the gas stream fosters the removal of most of the sulfur residues, whose final morphology appears deeply modified: from large prismatic deposits (in the absence of toluene) to fluffy aggregates made of thin needles at the catalyst surface. As a result, the presence of low toluene concentrations (1000 ppm, 0.1 vol%) in a sour gas stream $([\text{H}_2\text{S}]=1\ \text{vol%})$ can ensure high sulfur selectivity to the process ($\sim85\%$ at the steady-state conditions) without affecting the catalyst conversion rate vs. time that lies constantly over 99% for long-term runs (up to 200 h). The clear-cut step forward in using a N-rich mesoporous and metal-free active phase for the efficient and selective $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ desulfurization reaction is proved by the comparison of N–C/SiC with a benchmark (metal-based) system of the state of the art ($\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2$). Overall, the developed technology offers more durable, greener and coke-resistant desulfurization catalysts for the $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ selective treatment in gas-tail streams containing aromatics as contaminants.
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