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Simple Summary: v-Ki-ras2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene (KRAS) is the most common driver in NSCLC, and targeting oncogenic KRAS is a major challenge in the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). While several covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors have emerged as a novel anti-KRAS therapy, the development of combined therapies involving the targeting of oncogenic KRAS plus other targeted drugs is still required given the vast heterogeneity of KRAS-mutated tumors. In this review, we summarize the biological and immunological characteristics of oncogenic KRAS-driven NSCLC and the preclinical and clinical evidence for mutant KRAS-targeted therapies. We also discuss the mechanisms of resistance to KRAS G12C inhibitors and possible therapeutic strategies to overcome this drug resistance.

Abstract: Recent advances in molecular biology and the resultant identification of driver oncogenes have achieved major progress in precision medicine for non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). v-Ki-ras2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene (KRAS) is the most common driver in NSCLC, and targeting KRAS is considerably important. The recent discovery of covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors offers hope for improving the prognosis of NSCLC patients, but the development of combination therapies corresponding to tumor characteristics is still required given the vast heterogeneity of KRAS-mutated NSCLC. In this review, we summarize the current understanding of KRAS mutations regarding the involvement of malignant transformation and describe the preclinical and clinical evidence for targeting KRAS-mutated NSCLC. We also discuss the mechanisms of resistance to KRAS G12C inhibitors and possible combination treatment strategies to overcome this drug resistance.
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1. Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. Lung cancer is classified into two major histological subtypes: small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), with the latter accounting for approximately 85% of all lung cancers. NSCLC consists mainly of lung adenocarcinomas (LUADs) and squamous cell lung carcinomas. The prognosis of lung cancer has been poor because the majority of lung cancer patients are initially diagnosed at an advanced stage. While recent developments in molecularly targeted drugs and immune checkpoint inhibitors have prolonged the survival of patients with advanced NSCLC [1,2], v-Ki-ras2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene (KRAS), which is one of the most common oncogenes in NSCLC, had long been an “undruggable target” despite extensive efforts. RAS proteins are small guanosine triphosphatase (GTP)-binding proteins. The RAS family consists of three members, HRAS, NRAS and KRAS. KRAS knockout in mice is lethal, but HRAS or NRAS knockout mice...
are viable and develop normally [3,4], and KRAS can be replaced by HRAS during mouse embryogenesis [5]. Upon activation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), RAS is activated by SHP2 in cooperation with the adaptor protein GRB2 and SOS, which is a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) that catalyzes the transition of the guanosine diphosphate (GDP)-bound inactive form of RAS to the GTP-bound active form [6,7]. When KRAS is mutated, RAS is locked into the GTP-bound active form, which in turn constitutively activates downstream signaling pathways, such as the RAF-MEK-ERK and PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathways, conferring malignant phenotypes [8,9]. Recently, several covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors, including AMG510 (sotorasib) and MRTX849 (adagrasib), have been developed for KRAS G12C-mutant tumors [10–12]. Of note, the CodeBreaK100 clinical trial showed a beneficial effect of sotorasib in patients with advanced NSCLC harboring KRAS G12C mutations [13], and the FDA approved sotorasib for KRAS G12C-positive NSCLC patients who had received at least one prior systemic therapy in May 2021. On the other hand, KRAS G12C inhibitors do not provide as durable responses as tyrosine kinase inhibitors against EGFR or ALK; the median progression-free survival times of NSCLC patients treated with sotorasib and the EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (EGFR-TKI) osimertinib were 6.8 months [13] and 18.9 months [14], respectively. Furthermore, several secondary KRAS mutations and other molecular abnormalities causing resistance to covalent KRAS G12C-specific inhibitors have been reported [15–17]. The precise resistance mechanisms and therapeutic strategies for KRAS G12C inhibitor-resistant tumors are under investigation [18]. In this review, we summarize the current understanding of oncogenic KRAS mutations in terms of the biological and immunological characteristics that impact the malignant phenotypes of NSCLC, and describe the preclinical and clinical evidence for targeting mutant KRAS. We also discuss the mechanisms of resistance to KRAS G12C inhibitors, and possible therapeutic strategies to overcome this drug resistance.

2. Genetic Alterations of KRAS in Human Cancers

Mutations in members of the RAS gene family are commonly found in various human cancers; however, the mutation frequencies of RAS isoforms differ among human cancers. KRAS is the predominantly mutated member (85%), followed by NRAS (11%) and HRAS (4%) [19]. KRAS mutations are commonly detected in pancreatic cancer, colorectal cancer and NSCLC [20,21]. KRAS mutations mainly occur at codons 12, 13 and 61, and the G12C mutation is the most common (41%) in NSCLC, whereas the KRAS G12D and G12V mutations are the major subtypes in colorectal and pancreatic cancers (Figure 1) [22]. Thus, the profiles of KRAS mutation subtypes differ according to the type of human cancer.

Figure 1. Frequencies of KRAS mutation subtypes in NSCLC, colorectal cancer and pancreatic cancer. The pie charts were made based on data from the AACR Project GENIE: Powering Precision Medicine through an International Consortium (GENIE Cohort v10.0-public; Ref. [22]).

KRAS copy number alterations have been reported in NSCLC [23]. A mutant allelespecific imbalance (MASI) in KRAS frequently occurred, and MACI with KRAS copy number gain was related to increased RAS GTPase activity [24]. KRAS MASI has been associated with an unfavorable prognosis in LUAD [24–26]. In a preclinical study, the mutant KRAS copy number gain resulted in metabolic rewiring and increased malignancy [27].
3. Multiple Faces of KRAS-Mutated NSCLC

The biological and clinical significance of KRAS mutations varies depending on the mutation subtype in NSCLC. For instance, G12C and G12D have higher affinities for binding to RALGDS and PI3K, respectively [29]. A recent study assessing the molecular profiles of NSCLC specimens revealed that tumors harboring KRAS G12C mutations exhibited a higher programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) tumor proportion score and tumor mutational burden than those with KRAS non-G12C mutations [30]. From a clinical point of view, NSCLC patients harboring KRAS G12C or G12V mutations have a worse prognosis than those without these mutation subtypes [29]. In another study, surgically resected LUAD patients with KRAS G12C mutations had significantly shorter survival times than those with KRAS non-G12C mutations [31]. Therefore, targeting KRAS G12C seems to be an attractive therapeutic approach to improve the prognosis of NSCLC patients. In contrast, no significant difference in the overall survival of patients with metastatic NSCLC was found among different subtypes of KRAS mutations [32,33]. These inconsistent observations are likely due to the intratumoral heterogeneity of KRAS-mutated tumors [34,35], in addition to biological and immunological differences in KRAS mutation subtypes [29,36].

Omics data analyses have attempted to classify KRAS-mutated NSCLCs into subgroups with distinct biological and immunological characteristics to predict therapeutic vulnerabilities. Skoulidis et al. conducted an integrative analysis of genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic data, and defined three subtypes of KRAS-mutated LUADs based on co-occurring genetic events: the KC subgroup was defined by CDKN2A/B inactivation and reduced TTF-1 expression, the KL subgroup was defined by LKB1 alterations, and the KP subgroup was defined by TP53 alterations [37]. KC tumors exhibited gastrointestinal-like differentiation with upregulated biomarkers for mucinous differentiation, such as CK20 and MUC5B, and reduced mTOR signaling. KL tumors had high rates of mutations in the KEAP1 gene encoding Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1, and expressed lower levels of immune markers, such as lower PD-L1 levels, whereas KP tumors showed higher levels of somatic mutations, inflammatory markers, and immune checkpoint effector molecules, including PD-L1 and MUC5B, and reduced mTOR signaling. KL tumors had high rates of mutations in the KEAPI gene encoding Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1, and expressed lower levels of immune markers, such as lower PD-L1 levels, whereas KP tumors showed higher levels of somatic mutations, inflammatory markers, and immune checkpoint effector molecules, including PD-L1 and programmed death 1 (PD-1), accompanied by increased T cell infiltration [37]. As described above, the KEAPI-inactivating mutation is one of the more frequently co-occurring genetic alterations in KRAS-mutant/LKB1-mutant LUADs [37,38]. KEAPI is a negative regulator of the transcriptional factor NRF2 [39], and KEAPI inactivation aberrantly activates the NRF2 pathway, conferring a more aggressive tumor phenotype [40–42]. The inactivating mutations in KEAPI and LKB1, as well as the activation of the YAP1 and/or RSK-mTOR pathway, contribute to mutant KRAS independency in tumor cells, potentially causing therapeutic resistance to KRAS inhibitors [43]. Thus, coexisting genetic events may be associated with therapeutic vulnerabilities in KRAS-mutated NSCLC.

4. Oncogenic KRAS Regulates the Tumor Microenvironment (TME)

There is growing evidence that oncogenic KRAS is involved in tumor immune evasion by regulating the TME [44]. KRAS-mutated NSCLC tumors have inflammatory characteristics; oncogenic KRAS induces several inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including IL-6, IL-8, CXCL1 and CCL5, which influence the TME [45]. For instance, oncogenic KRAS mediates the secretion of IL-6, which drives protumor M2-type macrophage polarization along with the recruitment of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) in lung tumors [46]. Oncogenic KRAS also upregulates the expression of IL-8, a neutrophil chemoattractant, through MEK-ERK pathway activation in NSCLC cells [47]. Elevated serum IL-8 levels were found to be associated with an unfavorable prognosis in NSCLC patients treated with the PD-1 antibody nivolumab, and increased IL-8 expression in tumors was negatively correlated with T cell markers and IFNγ-dependent gene signatures in the
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TME [48]. Another neutrophil chemoattractant, CXCL1, is also upregulated by KRAS mutations, and is involved in the development of lung cancer [49–51]. Moreover, KRAS-mutated NSCLC cells produce CCL5 [52], which plays an important role in antitumor immunity by promoting the recruitment of T cells and dendritic cells to the TME [53].

Several studies have demonstrated that KRAS-mutated NSCLC tumors exhibit PD-L1 overexpression [54], which is induced by oncogenic RAS-related pathway activation [55–58]. A previous study showed that B7-H3, T-cell immunoglobulin mucin family member 3, and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase-1 were highly expressed in tumor stroma-associated inflammatory cells in LUADs harboring KRAS mutations [59]. In addition to immune checkpoint molecules, regulatory T cells (Tregs) play a pivotal role in tumor immune evasion [60]. Mutant KRAS induces Tregs via secretion of IL-10 and transforming growth factor-β from tumor cells [61]. We previously identified NT5E, encoding CD73, as a gene upregulated by KRAS mutations in NSCLC cells [62]. Similarly, Le et al. found CD73 overexpression in EGFR-mutated NSCLC tumors [63]. They further demonstrated that the proportion of Tregs was decreased by coculturing these cells with conditioned medium from EGFR-mutated NSCLC cells with CD73 knockdown, and that an anti-CD73 antibody suppressed tumor growth in immunocompetent mice [63]. These observations suggest that KRAS-mutated NSCLC tumors have the ability to evade immune responses by regulating inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, immune checkpoint molecules and Tregs. Thus, targeting mutant KRAS may be an optional approach to abolish tumor immune evasion, and combination treatments including KRAS G12C inhibitors and immune checkpoint inhibitors could be effective therapeutic strategies.

Genetic alterations of TP53, LKB1 or KEAP1 co-occurring with KRAS mutations affect the TME. In a preclinical study, the ectopic expression of LKB1 induced a strong increase in PD-L1 expression in human NSCLC cells harboring KRAS mutations, whereas LKB1 knockdown decreased PD-L1 in mouse lung fibroblasts transformed with active KRAS and HPV16 E6E7 [64]. LKB1 mutations were negatively associated with PD-L1 expression in KRAS-mutated NSCLC tumors, whereas gene expression signatures associated with the infiltration of effector T cells and dendritic cells were reduced in LUAD tumors with LKB1 mutations and NRF2 activation [42]. Meanwhile, KRAS/TP53-comutated LUAD tumors manifested increased expressions of PD-1 and PD-L1, a high accumulation of CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and high mutational loads, and NSCLC patients with co-occurring KRAS/TP53 mutations showed a favorable clinical benefit with anti-PD-1 therapy [65].

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a key player in tumor-induced immunosuppression in the TME [66–68]. VEGF prevents dendritic cell development [69] and enhances the coexpression of inhibitory receptors involved in CD8+ T cell exhaustion in tumors, including PD-1 and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) [70]. VEGF also induces the accumulation of Tregs and MDSCs in tumors [69,71]. The relationship between VEGF and KRAS has been identified in previous studies, which showed that VEGF was upregulated by oncogenic KRAS [72,73] and that VEGF promoter activity was upregulated by activation of the PI3K-AKT signaling pathway [74]. Of note, a recent clinical study demonstrated that the addition of the anti-VEGF antibody bevacizumab to a regimen including the anti-PD-L1 antibody atezolizumab plus carboplatin and paclitaxel (ABC) produced longer progression-free survival and a greater overall survival benefit than atezolizumab plus carboplatin and paclitaxel (ACP), or bevacizumab plus carboplatin and paclitaxel (BCP), in patients with metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC with KRAS mutations [75]. Moreover, a greater survival benefit was achieved with ABC compared with ACP or BCP in patients with tumors carrying KRAS/LKB1/KEAP1 comutations. These observations suggest the therapeutic significance of anti-VEGF therapies in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors in patients with NSCLC harboring KRAS mutations.

5. Covalent KRAS G12C Inhibitors for KRAS-Mutated NSCLC

The recent discovery of covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors has changed “undruggable” oncogenic KRAS into a “druggable” target. In the KRAS G12C inactive (GDP-bound) form,
the mutant cysteine residues adjacent to the switch II pocket are involved in the effector interaction [76]. The binding of covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors, such as sotorasib and adagrasib, to the switch pocket changes the nucleotide preference to favor GDP, thus impairing oncogenic KRAS-mediated signal transduction and leading to tumor regression in preclinical models [10,11]. The phase 1 portion of the CodeBreak100 clinical trial for patients with pretreated advanced solid tumors carrying KRAS G12C mutations demonstrated the encouraging safety and anticancer activity of sotorasib monotherapy [77]. Subsequently, the phase 2 portion of the CodeBreak100 trial evaluated the efficacy and safety of sotorasib, administered orally at 960 mg once daily, in KRAS G12C-mutant NSCLC patients who had received standard therapies [13]. Of the 126 enrolled patients, the majority had a history of smoking (92.9%) and a history of treatment with both platinum-based chemotherapy and inhibitors of PD-1 or PD-L1 (81.0%). The objective response rate and disease control rate were 37.1% and 80.6%, respectively. The median duration of response, median progression-free survival time and median overall survival time were 11.1 months, 6.8 months and 12.5 months, respectively. Treatment-related adverse events were observed in 88 patients (69.8%), including grade 3 events in 25 patients (19.8%) and grade 4 events in one patient (0.8%). Based on the above clinical efficacy and safety data, sotorasib was approved by the FDA as the first mutant KRAS-targeted drug for KRAS G12C-mutated advanced or metastatic NSCLC patients who have received at least one prior systemic therapy. Considering that the standard first-line therapy for patients with advanced NSCLC is an anti-PD-1/L1 antibody in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy [78], it is plausible that KRAS G12C-mutated NSCLC patients generally receive sotorasib in the second-line setting after chemotherapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors. It is noteworthy that a subgroup of patients treated with prior anti-PD-1/L1 therapy but not platinum-based chemotherapy had a high objective response rate (69.2%) and a median overall survival time of 17.7 months [79]. Similarly, the KRYSRAL-1 phase 1/2 trial evaluating adagrasib in patients with advanced or metastatic solid tumors, including KRAS G12C-mutant NSCLC patients who were previously treated with chemotherapy and an anti-PD-1/L1 antibody, showed that 45% of the patients (23/51) achieved a partial response (PR) and 26 patients had stable disease (SD) [80]. In this trial, the levels of transcripts of immune molecules, such as CD4 and CD8, were increased after treatment with adagrasib in patients with NSCLC harboring KRAS G12C and LKB1 coalterations. These results suggest that NSCLC patients are sensitized to immune checkpoint inhibitors by the KRAS G12C inhibitors through tumor immune microenvironment reconditioning, as shown in preclinical models [10,81].

6. Resistance Mechanisms for Anti-KRAS-G12C Therapies

While targeted therapies induce a dramatic tumor response early in treatment, most tumors ultimately become resistant to targeted drugs, due to the intratumoral heterogeneity that results in increasing levels of preexisting resistant clones and tumor evolution favoring gain of resistance [82,83]. For KRAS-mutated NSCLC, it was shown that co-occurring KRAS mutations, including the pairs G12D/G12V, G12D/G12C, G12V/G12C and G12D/G12S, can be found in the same NSCLC tumors [35]. A next-generation sequencing analysis of 1078 patients with NSCLC carrying KRAS mutations uncovered that 53.5% of the patients had additional mutations, including MET amplifications (15.4%), EGRB2 amplifications (13.8%), PIK3CA mutations (3.2%), EGFR mutations (1.2%) and BRAF mutations (1.3%) [33]. In another study evaluating concomitant genetic alterations in KRAS, EGFR, ALK, ROS1 and BRAF in 3774 samples from NSCLC patients, the frequencies of single KRAS mutations and concomitant KRAS mutations were 8.0% and 1.1%, respectively, indicating that approximately 12% of KRAS-mutated NSCLC tumors had other driver mutations [84]. Such genetic alterations could cause resistance to anti-KRAS therapies in KRAS-mutated tumors. Recently, several studies have revealed that secondary KRAS mutations and activated bypass pathways potentially cause resistance to covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors in NSCLC [18]. KRAS mutations (G12D, G12V, G12W, R68S, H95D and Y96C), high-level amplifications of the KRAS G12C allele and alterations in RAS-related pathways (MET amplification, BRAF V600E
mutation, MAPK2K1/MEK1 E102_I103 deletion and PI3KCA H1047R mutation) were found in tissue biopsy samples and/or circulating tumor DNA obtained from KRAS G12C-mutant NSCLC patients who had acquired resistance to adagrasib [16]. In this study, R68S, H95D and Y96C within the switch II site, where sotorasib and adagrasib bind, were found to confer resistance to KRAS G12C mutants in in vitro experiments using Ba/F3 cells expressing KRAS G12C mutants; G12C/R68S, G12C/H95D and G12C/Y96C double mutants mediated resistance to adagrasib, while only G12C/H95D retained sensitivity to sotorasib. Similarly, Tanaka et al. found 10 distinct mutations (KRAS—G12V, G12F, G13D and Y96D; NRAS—Q61L, Q61R, and Q61K; BRAF—V600E; MAPK2K1/MEK1—K57N, Q56P, and E102_I103del) that affect RAS-MAPK signaling in cell-free DNA obtained from a patient who had developed progressive disease after adagrasib monotherapy [17]. In that study, G12C/Y96D transduction into cells induced resistance to KRAS G12C inhibitors (sotorasib, adagrasib and ARS-1620) in cell viability assays using the Ba/F3, Mia PaCa-2 and H358 cell lines; however, these resistant cells were found to be sensitive to the KRAS G12C inhibitor RM-018, which forms a binary complex with cyclophilin A. A N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea mutagenesis screen using Ba/F3 cells transduced with KRAS G12C mutants revealed several secondary KRAS mutations causing resistance to sotorasib and adagrasib [15]. Both Y96D and Y96S mutants were resistant to both sotorasib and adagrasib, whereas the sensitivities of some of the KRAS mutants, such as G13D, R68M and A59T, differed depending on the inhibitor. The study also demonstrated that combined treatment with the SOS1 inhibitor BI-3406 plus the MEK inhibitor trametinib effectively reduced the viability of KRAS G12C-mutant NSCLC cells, in which the Y96D or Y96S mutant was exogenously introduced. Therefore, in addition to the genetic alterations that reactivate RAS pathways, KRAS Y96C/Y96D/Y96S mutations that hamper the interaction with covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors are likely critical for acquired resistance. The resistance mechanisms described above are summarized in Figure 2. Alternatively, exploratory analyses of the CodeBreak100 trial showed that a subset of patients with KEAP1 mutations were less responsive to sotorasib, irrespective of their LKB1 mutation status: the response rates for LKB1-mutant/ KEAP1-mutant and wild-type LKB1/KEAP1-mutant patients were 23% (3/13) and 14% (1/7), respectively [13]. Further biomarker studies with a larger number of patients will elucidate the predictive value of KEAP1 mutations.

Figure 2. Schematic of Ras signaling pathways and the mechanisms of resistance to covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors in NSCLC. Amplification of the KRAS G12C allele, mutations in KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, MEK1 and PI3KCA, and MET amplification (marked in red) have been observed in patients with acquired resistance to sotorasib or adagrasib [16,17]. T symbol in red: therapeutic targets combined with KRAS G12 inhibitors in clinical trials (Table 1). RTK: receptor tyrosine kinase; Mut: mutation; Amp: amplification.
An epithelial-to-mesenchymal cell transition (EMT) program contributes to the therapeutic resistance to various types of anticancer drugs, including EGFR-TKIs [85–88]. Previous studies have shown that mutant KRAS induces EMT in pancreatic and ovarian cancers [89,90]. Activation of the kinase AXL induces EGFR-TKI resistance associated with the mesenchymal phenotype [87,88], and the dual blockade of MEK and AXL overcomes EMT-mediated drug resistance and synergistically suppresses tumor growth in KRAS-mutated lung cancer cells [91]. Additionally, KRAS G12C-carrying tumors were shown to express higher levels of genes relevant to EMT [31]. A recent preclinical study demonstrated EMT-induced resistance to sotorasib via IGFR-dependent, KRAS G12C-independent activation of the PI3K-AKT pathway in KRAS-mutated NSCLC cells, and a combination treatment with sotorasib plus the SHP2 inhibitor SHP099 and the PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 effectively impaired the growth of KRAS G12C-mutant tumors [92].

**Table 1. Ongoing clinical trials assessing combination therapies including covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors.**

| Drug                | Sponsor | NCT Number  | Trial Name | Phase | Disease or Condition | Combination Therapy * |
|---------------------|---------|-------------|------------|-------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT03600883 | CodeBreak 100 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | PD-1 or PD-L1 antibodies |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | AMG404 (PD-1 antibody) |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | Trametinib (MEK inhibitor) |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | RMC-4630 (SHP2 inhibitor) |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | Afitinib (EGFR-TKI) |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | Pembrolizumab (PD-1 antibody) |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | Chemotherapy (CBDCA, PEM, DTX) |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | Atezolizumab (PD-L1 antibody) |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | Everolimus (mTOR inhibitor) |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | Palbociclib (CDK4/6 inhibitor) |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | MVASI® (VEGF antibody) |
| AMG510 (sotorasib)  | Amgen   | NCT04185883 | CodeBreak 101 | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | TNO135 (SHP2 inhibitor) |
| MRTX849 (adagrasib) | Mirati  | NCT03785249 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | Pembrolizumab (PD-1 antibody) |
| MRTX849 (adagrasib) | Mirati  | NCT03785249 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | Afitinib (EGFR-TKI) |
| MRTX849 (adagrasib) | Mirati  | NCT03785249 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | Pembrolizumab (PD-1 antibody) |
| MRTX849 (adagrasib) | Mirati  | NCT03785249 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1/2  | Advanced solid tumors | BI1701963 (SOS1 inhibitor) |
| GDC-6036           | Roche/Genentech | NCT04449874 | KRYSTAL 14 | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | Atezolizumab (PD-L1 antibody) |
| GDC-6036           | Roche/Genentech | NCT04449874 | KRYSTAL 14 | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | Bevacizumab (VEGF antibody) |
| GDC-6036           | Roche/Genentech | NCT04449874 | KRYSTAL 14 | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | Erlotinib (EGFR-TKI) |
| GDC-6036           | Roche/Genentech | NCT04449874 | KRYSTAL 14 | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | GDC-1971 (SHP2 inhibitor) |
| D-1553             | InventisBio | NCT04588035 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | Standard treatment |
| D-1553             | InventisBio | NCT04588035 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | TNO135 (SHP2 inhibitor) |
| D-1553             | InventisBio | NCT04588035 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | Spartalizumab (PD-1 antibody) |
| D-1553             | InventisBio | NCT04588035 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | Abemaciclib (CDK4/6 inhibitor) |
| D-1553             | InventisBio | NCT04588035 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | Erlotinib (EGFR-TKI) |
| D-1553             | InventisBio | NCT04588035 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | Sunitinib (PD-1 antibody) |
| D-1553             | InventisBio | NCT04588035 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | Temsirolimus (ERK inhibitor) |
| D-1553             | InventisBio | NCT04588035 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | LY3295688 (Aurora kinase inhibitor) |
| D-1553             | InventisBio | NCT04588035 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | Cetuximab (EGFR antibody) |
| JB1823911           | Boehringer | NCT04973163 | KRYSTAL 1   | 1   | Advanced solid tumors | BI1701963 (SOS1 inhibitor) |

All clinical trials target KRAS G12C-mutated tumors. * Recruiting clinical trials of combination therapies for NSCLC are presented when identifiable. Information was obtained from the website: https://clinicaltrials.gov on 22 November 2021. EGFR-TKI: EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitor; CBDCA: carboplatin; PEM: pemetrexed; DTX: docetaxel.

7. Combined Therapies Involving Targeting of Oncogenic KRAS plus Other Targeted Drugs for KRAS-Mutated NSCLC

Oncogenic RAS upregulates downstream pathways and negatively regulates RTK signaling, whereas attenuating oncogenic RAS results in compensatory activation of RTK and wild-type RAS signaling pathways [93]. Thus, mutant RAS and wild-type RAS appear
to complement each other to activate RTK-RAS signaling pathways to sustain tumor growth and survival, and the multitargeting of RAS signaling pathways could be an effective therapeutic approach. In our previous work, KRAS mutant-specific knockdown using short hairpin RNA vectors targeting KRAS G12C or KRAS G12V significantly but incompletely suppressed tumor growth in four NSCLC cell lines, all of which retained the wild-type KRAS allele [62]. In that study, mutant KRAS knockdown inactivated the MEK-ERK pathway in a common manner, but resulted in variable changes in RAS-related signaling (e.g., changes in phospho-EGFR levels and phospho-Akt levels), and sensitized KRAS-mutated NSCLC cells to p38 and EGFR inhibitors to varying degrees. We also reported that dual p38 and MEK inhibition effectively impaired the tumor growth of KRAS-mutated NSCLC cells [94]. Other researchers reported similar results, showing that the dual inhibition of KRAS and p38 inhibited tumor growth in KRAS-mutated colorectal cancer cells [95] and KRAS-mutated LUAD cells [96]. These findings suggest that blocking p38 or EGFR enhances the growth-inhibitory effect of KRAS G12C inhibitors on NSCLC tumors. Furthermore, the combined inhibition of MEK and PI3K was shown to effectively inhibit the growth of KRAS-mutated NSCLC tumors in experimental models [97–99]. In another study by Kitani et al., effective combination strategies differed between epithelial-like and mesenchymal-like KRAS-mutant NSCLC cells; a combination therapy with the MEK inhibitor trametinib plus the EGFR-TKI afatinib was effective for epithelial-like tumors, while the dual blockade of MEK and FGFR effectively suppressed mesenchymal tumor growth [100]. A recent study demonstrated that combined treatment with the KRAS G12C inhibitor ARS-1620 plus the pan-PI3K inhibitor GDC0941 consistently suppressed tumor growth in ARS-1620-resistant KRAS-mutated tumors, whereas the growth-inhibitory effects of ARS-1620 plus afatinib or cetuximab varied among KRAS-mutated cell lines [101]. Together, these observations indicate that the therapeutic efficacy of covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors varies depending on the biological properties of the treated KRAS-mutated tumors.

Accumulating evidence has provided promising results regarding inhibitors of the nonreceptor protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP2, encoded by PTPN11, in cancer [102]. SHP2 is ubiquitously expressed in all human tissues and is required for the RTK-mediated activation of RAS signaling pathways [6]. SHP2 also serves as an immunomodulator that regulates the PD-1 cascade in immune evasion [102]. In mutant KRAS-driven murine models of NSCLC, genetic deletion of PTPN11 suppressed tumor development, while the dual inhibition of SHP2 and MEK resulted in sustained tumor growth control in murine- and human patient-derived organoids and xenograft models of NSCLC [103]. Furthermore, combined treatment with the SHP2 inhibitor TNO155 plus a covalent KRAS G12C inhibitor achieved the effective and sustained inhibition of cell growth and MEK-ERK pathway activation in KRAS-mutated NSCLC cells [104]. Considering that SHP2 is associated with most RTKs [105], combination therapy with SHP2 inhibitors plus KRAS G12C inhibitors could be an attractive therapeutic strategy for refractory or resistant NSCLC harboring KRAS mutations. The clinical efficacies of combinations of KRAS G12C inhibitors plus SHP2 inhibitors are currently under investigation in clinical trials. Altogether, it is likely that combined therapy, including the targeting of oncogenic KRAS, will be required for effective treatment given the vast intratumoral heterogeneity of KRAS-mutated tumors and the involvement of KRAS in numerous effector pathways that mediate tumorigenesis [106]. The ongoing clinical trials assessing combined treatments with covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors plus other anticancer drugs will elucidate effective therapeutic strategies for KRAS-mutated NSCLC patients (Table 1).

8. Conclusions

The discovery of covalent KRAS G12 inhibitors has produced dramatic advances in the treatment of KRAS-mutated NSCLC. Currently, many clinical trials are ongoing to evaluate the efficiencies of KRAS G12 inhibitor monotherapy and its combination with various types of anticancer drugs. Considering the vast heterogeneity of tumors driven by
mutant KRAS, it is plausible that covalent KRAS G12C inhibitors will be therapeutically effective when combined with other anticancer drugs. Thus, the battle against oncogenic KRAS, moving toward improvements in the prognosis of NSCLC patients, has just begun.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, N.S.; funding acquisition, N.S.; investment, N.S.; project administration, N.S.; supervision, N.S.; writing—original draft preparation, N.S.; visualization, N.S. and Y.M.; validation, Y.M., N.K. and R.S.; writing—review and editing, N.S., Y.M., N.K. and R.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (20K08533).

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to acknowledge the American Association for Cancer Research and financial and material support in the development of the AACR Project GENIE registry, as well as members of the consortium for their commitment to data sharing. Interpretations are the responsibility of study authors. The authors also thank Toshitaka Maeno of the Department of Respiratory Medicine, Gunma University Graduate School of Medicine, and Takeshi Hisada of the Gunma University Graduate School of Health Sciences for critical advice. The authors apologize to other investigators for the omission of any references.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Herbst, R.S.; Garon, E.B.; Kim, D.W.; Cho, B.C.; Gervais, R.; Perez-Gracia, J.L.; Han, J.Y.; Majem, M.; Forster, M.D.; Monnet, I.; et al. Five Year Survival Update From KEYNOTE-010: Pembrolizumab Versus Docetaxel for Previously Treated, Programmed Death-Ligand 1-Positive Advanced NSCLC. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2021, 16, 1718–1732. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Okamoto, I.; Morita, S.; Tashiro, N.; Imamura, F.; Inoue, A.; Seto, T.; Yamamoto, N.; Ohe, Y.; Nakagawa, K.; Fukuoka, M. Real world treatment and outcomes in EGFR mutation-positive non-small cell lung cancer: Long-term follow-up of a large patient cohort. *Lung Cancer* 2018, 117, 14–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Esteban, L.M.; Vicario-Abejon, C.; Fernandez-Salgueiro, P.; Fernandez-Medarde, A.; Swaminathan, N.; Yienger, K.; Lopez, E.; Malumbres, M.; McKay, R.; Ward, J.M.; et al. Targeted genomic disruption of H-ras and N-ras, individually or in combination, reveals the dispensability of both loci for mouse growth and development. * Mol. Cell Biol.* 2001, 21, 1444–1452. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Koera, K.; Nakamura, K.; Nakao, K.; Miyoshi, J.; Toyoshima, K.; Hatta, T.; Otani, H.; Aiba, A.; Katsuki, M. K-ras is essential for the development of the mouse embryo. *Onco gene* 1997, 15, 1151–1159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Potenza, N.; Vecchione, C.; Notte, A.; De Rienzo, A.; Rosica, A.; Bauer, L.; Affuso, A.; De Felice, M.; Russo, T.; Poulet, R.; et al. Replacement of K-Ras with H-Ras supports normal embryonic development despite inducing cardiovascular pathology in adult mice. *EMBO Rep.* 2005, 6, 432–437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Dance, M.; Montagner, A.; Salles, J.P.; Yart, A.; Raynal, P. The molecular functions of Shp2 in the Ras/Mitogen-activated protein kinase (ERK1/2) pathway. *Cell Signal.* 2008, 20, 453–459. [CrossRef]

7. Ran, H.; Tsutsumi, R.; Araki, T.; Neel, B.G. Sticking It to Cancer with Molecular Glue for SHP2. *Cancer Cell* 2016, 30, 194–196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Downward, J. Targeting RAS signalling pathways in cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2003, 3, 11–22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

9. Suda, K.; Tomizawa, K.; Mitsudomi, T. Biological and clinical significance of KRAS mutations in lung cancer: An oncogenic driver that contrasts with EGFR mutation. *Cancer Discov.* 2010, 14–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Herbst, R.S.; Garon, E.B.; Kim, D.W.; Cho, B.C.; Gervais, R.; Perez-Gracia, J.L.; Han, J.Y.; Majem, M.; Forster, M.D.; Monnet, I.; et al. Five Year Survival Update From KEYNOTE-010: Pembrolizumab Versus Docetaxel for Previously Treated, Programmed Death-Ligand 1-Positive Advanced NSCLC. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2021, 16, 1718–1732. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Hallin, J.; Engstrom, L.D.; Hargis, L.; Calinisan, A.; Aranda, R.; Briere, D.M.; Sudhakar, N.; Bowcut, V.; Baer, B.R.; Ballard, J.A.; et al. The KRAS(G12C) Inhibitor MRTX849 Provides Insight toward Therapeutic Susceptibility of KRAS-Mutant Cancers in Mouse Models and Patients. *Cancer Discov.* 2020, 10, 54–71. [CrossRef]

12. Skoulidis, F.; Li, B.T.; Dy, G.K.; Price, T.J.; Falchook, G.S.; Wolf, J.; Italieno, A.; Schulner, M.; Borghaei, H.; Barlesi, F.; et al. Sotorasib for Lung Cancers with KRAS p.G12C Mutation. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2021, 384, 2371–2381. [CrossRef]

13. Nogami, N.; Kurata, T.; et al. Osimertinib in Untreated EGFR-Mutated Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 384, 378, 113–125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Soria, J.C.; Ohe, Y.; Vansteenkiste, J.; Reungwetwattana, T.; Chewaskulyong, B.; Lee, K.H.; Dechaphunkul, A.; Imamura, F.; Nogami, N.; Kurata, T.; et al. Five Year Survival Update From KEYNOTE-010: Pembrolizumab Versus Docetaxel for Previously Treated, Programmed Death-Ligand 1-Positive Advanced NSCLC. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2021, 16, 1321–1332. [CrossRef]
16. Awad, M.M.; Liu, S.; Rybkin, I.I.; Arbour, K.C.; Dilly, J.; Zhu, V.W.; Johnson, M.L.; Heist, R.S.; Patil, T.; Riely, G.J.; et al. Acquired Resistance to KRAS(G12C) Inhibition in Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2021, 384, 2382–2393. [CrossRef]

17. Tanaka, N.; Lin, J.J.; Li, C.; Ryan, M.B.; Zhang, J.; Kiedrowski, L.A.; Michel, A.G.; Syed, M.U.; Fella, K.A.; Sakhi, M.; et al. Clinical Acquired Resistance to KRAS(G12C) Inhibition through a Novel KRAS Switch-II Pocket Mutation and Polyclonal Alterations Converging on RAS-MAPK Reactivation. *Cancer Discov.* 2021, 11, 1913–1922. [CrossRef]

18. Addeo, A.; Banna, G.L.; Friedlaender, A. KRAS G12C Mutations in NSCLC: From Target to Resistance. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 2541. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Hobbs, G.A.; Der, C.J.; Rossman, K.L. RAS isoforms and mutations in cancer at a glance. *J. Cell Sci.* 2016, 129, 1287–1292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Prior, I.A.; Lewis, P.D.; Mattos, C. A comprehensive survey of Ras mutations in cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2012, 72, 2457–2467. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

21. Uprety, D.; Adjei, A.A. KRAS: From undruggable to a druggable Cancer Target. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2020, 89, 102070. [CrossRef]

22. AACR Project GENIE Consortium. AACR Project GENIE: Powering Precision Medicine through an International Consortium. *Cancer Discov.* 2017, 7, 818–831. [CrossRef]

23. Yu, C.C.; Qiu, W.; Juang, C.S.; Mansukhani, M.M.; Halmos, B.; Su, G.H. Mutant allele specific imbalance in oncogenes with copy number alterations: Occurrence, mechanisms, and potential clinical implications. *Cancer Lett.* 2017, 384, 86–93. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Soh, J.; Okumura, N.; Lockwood, W.W.; Yamamoto, H.; Shigematsu, H.; Zhang, W.; Chari, R.; Shames, D.S.; Tang, X.; MacAulay, C.; et al. Oncogene mutations, copy number gains and mutant allele specific imbalance (MASI) frequently occur together in tumor cells. *PLoS ONE* 2009, 4, e7464. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Chiosea, S.I.; Sherr, C.K.; Jelic, T.; Dacic, S. KRAS mutant allele-specific imbalance in lung adenocarcinoma. *Mod. Pathol.* 2011, 24, 1571–1577. [CrossRef]

26. Villaruz, L.C.; Socinski, M.A.; Cunningham, D.E.; Chiosea, S.I.; Burns, T.F.; Siegfried, J.M.; Dacic, S. The prognostic and predictive value of KRAS oncogene substitutions in lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer* 2013, 119, 2268–2274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Kerr, E.M.; Gaude, E.; Turrell, F.K.; Frezza, C.; Martins, C.P. Mutant Kras copy number defines metabolic reprogramming and therapeutic susceptibilities. *Nature* 2016, 531, 110–113. [CrossRef]

28. Nakatani, K.; Yamaoka, T.; Obha, M.; Fujita, K.I.; Arata, S.; Kusumoto, S.; Taki-Takemoto, I.; Kamei, D.; Iwai, S.; Tsurutani, J.; et al. KRAS and EGFR Amplifications Mediate Resistance to Rociletinib and Osimertinib in Acquired Aftatinib-Resistant NSCLC. *Mod. Pathol.* 2020, 33, 1287–1292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Ihle, N.T.; Byers, L.A.; Kim, E.S.; Saintigny, P.; Lee, J.J.; Blumenschein, G.R.; Tsao, A.; Liu, S.; Larsen, J.E.; Wang, J.; et al. Effect of KRAS oncogene substitutions on protein behavior: Implications for signaling and clinical outcome. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 2012, 104, 228–239. [CrossRef]

30. Judd, J.; Abdel Karim, N.; Khan, H.; Naqash, A.R.; Baca, Y.; Xiu, J.; VanderWalde, A.M.; Mamdani, H.; Raez, L.E.; Nagasaka, M.; et al. Characterization of KRAS Mutation Subtypes in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2021. Epub ahead of print. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Nadal, E.; Chen, G.; Prensner, J.R.; Shiratsuchi, H.; Sam, C.; Zhao, L.; Kalemkerian, G.P.; Brenner, D.; Lin, J.; Reddy, R.M.; et al. KRAS-G12C mutation is associated with poor outcome in surgically resected lung adenocarcinoma. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2014, 9, 1513–1522. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Yu, H.A.; Sima, C.S.; Shen, R.; Kass, S.; Gainor, J.; Shaw, A.; Hames, M.; Iams, W.; Aston, J.; Lovly, C.M.; et al. Prognostic impact of KRAS mutation subtypes in 677 patients with metastatic lung adenocarcinomas. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2015, 10, 431–437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Scheffler, M.; Ihle, M.A.; Hein, R.; Merkelbach-Bruse, S.; Scheel, A.H.; Siemanowski, J.; Bragelmann, J.; Kron, A.; Abdopour, N.; Ueckeroth, F.; et al. K-ras Mutation Subtypes in NSCLC and Associated Co-occurring Mutations in Other Oncogenic Pathways. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2019, 14, 606–616. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Chung, W.J.; Daemen, A.; Cheng, J.H.; Long, J.E.; Cooper, J.E.; Wang, B.E.; Tran, C.; Singh, M.; Gnad, F.; Modrusan, Z.; et al. KRAS mutant genetically engineered mouse models of human cancers are genomically heterogeneous. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2017, 114, E10947–E10955. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Kordiak, J.; Szemraj, J.; Grabska-Kobylecka, I.; Bialasiewicz, P.; Braun, M.; Kordek, R.; Nowak, D. Intratumor heterogeneity and tissue distribution of KRAS mutation in non-small cell lung cancer: Implications for detection of mutated KRAS oncogene in exhaled breath condensate. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* 2019, 145, 241–251. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Hunter, J.C.; Manandhar, A.; Carrasco, M.A.; Gurbani, D.; Gondi, S.; Westover, K.D. Biochemical and Structural Analysis of Common Cancer-Associated KRAS Mutations. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 2015, 13, 1325–1335. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Skoulidis, F.; Byers, L.A.; Diao, L.; Papadimitrikopoulou, V.A.; Tong, P.; Izzo, J.; Behrens, C.; Kadara, H.; Parra, E.R.; Canales, J.R.; et al. Co-occurring genomic alterations define major subsets of KRAS-mutant lung adenocarcinoma with distinct biology, immune profiles, and therapeutic vulnerabilities. *Cancer Discov.* 2015, 5, 860–877. [CrossRef]

38. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. *Nature* 2014, 511, 543–550. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Kitamura, H.; Motohashi, H. NRF2 addiction in cancer cells. *Cancer Sci.* 2018, 109, 900–911. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
40. Best, S.A.; Ding, S.; Kersbergen, A.; Dong, X.; Song, J.Y.; Xie, Y.; Reljic, B.; Li, K.; Vince, J.E.; Rathie, V.; et al. Distinct initiating events underpin the immune and metabolic heterogeneity of KRAS-mutant lung adenocarcinoma. Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 4190. [CrossRef]

41. Romero, R.; Sayin, V.I.; Davidson, S.M.; Bauer, M.R.; Singh, S.X.; LeBoeuf, S.E.; Karakousi, T.R.; Ellis, D.C.; Bhutkar, A.; Sanchez-Rivera, F.J.; et al. Keap1 loss promotes Kras-driven lung cancer and results in dependence on glutaminolysis. Nat. Med. 2017, 23, 1362–1368. [CrossRef]

42. Singh, A.; Daemen, A.; Nickles, D.; Jeon, S.M.; Foreman, O.; Sudini, K.; Gnad, F.; Lajoie, S.; Gour, N.; Mitzner, W.; et al. NRF2 Activation Promotes Aggressive Lung Cancer and Associates with Poor Clinical Outcomes. Clin. Cancer Res. 2021, 27, 877–888. [CrossRef]

43. Adachi, Y.; Kimura, R.; Hirade, K.; Ebi, H. Escaping KRAS: Gaining Autonomy and Resistance to KRAS Inhibition in KRAS Mutant Cancers. Cancers 2021, 13, 5081. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Miura, Y; Sunaga, N. Role of Immunotherapy for Oncogene-Driven Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Cancers 2018, 10, 245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Hamarsheh, S.; Gross, O.; Brummer, T.; Zeiser, R. Immune modulatory effects of oncogenic KRAS in cancer. Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 5439. [CrossRef]

46. Caetano, M.S.; Zhang, H.; Cumpian, A.M.; Gong, L.; Unver, N.; Ostrin, E.J.; Daliri, S.; Chang, S.H.; Ochoa, C.E.; Hanash, S.; et al. IL6 Blockade Reprograms the Lung Tumor Microenvironment to Limit the Development and Progression of K-ras-Mutant Lung Cancer. Cancer Res. 2016, 76, 3189–3199. [CrossRef]

47. Sunaga, N.; Imai, H.; Shimizu, K.; Shames, D.S.; Kakegawa, S.; Girard, L.; Sato, M.; Kaira, K.; Ishizuka, T.; Gazdar, A.F.; et al. Oncogenic KRAS-induced interleukin-8 overexpression promotes cell growth and migration and contributes to aggressive phenotypes of non-small cell lung cancer. Int. J. Cancer. 2012, 130, 1733–1744. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Schalper, K.A.; Carleton, M.; Zhou, M.; Chen, T.; Fang, Y.; Huang, S.P.; Walsh, A.M.; Baxi, V.; Pandya, D.; Baradet, T.; et al. Elevated serum interleukin-8 is associated with enhanced intratumor neutrophils and reduced clinical benefit of immune-checkpoint inhibitors. Nat. Med. 2020, 26, 688–692. [CrossRef]

49. Zhong, L.; Roybal, J.; Chaerkady, R.; Zhang, W.; Choi, K.; Alvarez, C.A.; Tran, H.; Creighton, C.J.; Yan, S.; Strieter, R.M.; et al. Identification of secreted proteins that mediate cell-cell interactions in an in vitro model of the lung cancer microenvironment. Cancer Res. 2008, 68, 7237–7245. [CrossRef]

50. Marazioti, A.; Lilis, I.; Vreka, M.; Apostolopoulou, H.; Kalogeropoulou, A.; Giopanou, I.; Giotopoulou, G.A.; Krontira, A.C.; Iliopoulou, M.; Kanellakis, N.I.; et al. Myeloid-derived interleukin-1beta drives oncogenic KRAS-NF-kappaBeta addiction in malignant pleural effusion. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 672. [CrossRef]

51. Liclican, E.L.; Walser, T.C.; Hazra, S.; Krysan, K.; Park, S.J.; Pagano, P.C.; Gardner, B.K.; Larsen, J.E.; Minna, J.D.; Dubinett, S.M. Loss of miR125a expression in a model of K-ras-dependent pulmonary premalignancy. Cancer Prev. Res. 2014, 7, 845–855. [CrossRef]

52. Zhu, Z.; Aref, A.R.; Cohoon, T.J.; Barbie, T.U.; Imamura, Y.; Yang, S.; Moody, S.E.; Shen, R.R.; Schinzel, A.C.; Thai, T.C.; et al. Inhibition of KRAS-driven tumorigenicity by interruption of an autocrine cytokine circuit. Cancer Discov. 2014, 4, 452–465. [PubMed]

53. Aldinucci, D.; Borghese, C.; Casagrande, N. The CCL5/CCR5 Axis in Cancer Progression. Cancers 2020, 12, 1765. [CrossRef]

54. Lan, B.; Ma, C.; Zhang, C.; Chai, S.; Wang, P.; Ding, L.; Wang, K. Association between PD-L1 expression and driver gene status in non-small-cell lung cancer: A meta-analysis. Oncotarget 2018, 9, 7684–7699. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Miura, Y; Sunaga, N.; Kyoichi, K.; Tsukagoshi, Y.; Osaki, T.; Sakurai, R.; Hisada, T.; Girard, L.; Minna, J.D. Oncogenic KRAS mutations induce PD-L1 overexpression through MAPK pathway activation in non-small cell lung cancer cells. Cancer Res. 2016, 76, 672–683. [CrossRef]

56. Sumimoto, H.; Takano, A.; Teramoto, K.; Daigo, Y. RAS-Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Signal Is Required for Enhanced PD-L1 Expression in Human Lung Cancers. PloS ONE 2016, 11, e0166626. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Coelho, M.A.; de Carne Trecesson, S.; Rana, S.; Zecchin, D.; Moore, C.; Molina-Arcas, M.; East, P.; Spencer-Dene, B.; Nye, E.; Barnoun, K.; et al. Oncogenic RAS Signaling Promotes Tumor Immunoresistance by Stabilizing PD-L1 mRNA. Immunity 2017, 47, 1083–1099.e6. [CrossRef]

58. Lastwika, K.J.; Wilson, W.; 3rd; Li, Q.K.; Norris, J.; Xu, H.; Ghazarian, S.R.; Kitagawa, H.; Kawabata, S.; Taube, J.M.; Yeo, S.; et al. Control of PD-L1 Expression by Oncogenic Activation of the AKT-mTOR Pathway in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Cancer Res. 2016, 76, 227–238. [CrossRef]

59. Parra, E.R.; Villalobos, P.; Zhang, J.; Behrens, C.; Mino, B.; Swisher, S.; Sepesi, B.; Weissfert, A.; Kalhor, N.; Heymach, J.V.; et al. Immunohistochemical and Image Analysis-Based Study Shows that Several Immune Checkpoints are Co-expressed in Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinoma Tumors. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2018, 13, 779–791. [CrossRef]

60. Li, C.; Jiang, P.; Wei, S.; Xu, X.; Wang, J. Regulatory T cells in tumor microenvironment: New mechanisms, potential therapeutic strategies and future prospects. Mol. Cancer. 2020, 19, 116. [CrossRef]

61. Zdanov, S.; Mandapathil, M.; Abu Eid, R.; Adamson-Fadeyi, S.; Wilson, W.; Qian, J.; Carnie, A.; Tarasova, N.; Mkrtichyan, M.; Berzofsky, J.A.; et al. Mutant KRAS Conversion of Conventional T Cells into Regulatory T Cells. Cancer Immunol. Res. 2016, 4, 354–365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
62. Sunaga, N.; Shames, D.S.; Girard, L.; Peyton, M.; Larsen, J.E.; Imai, H.; Soh, J.; Sato, M.; Yanagitani, N.; Kair, K.; et al. Knockdown of oncogenic KRAS in non-small cell lung cancers suppresses tumor growth and sensitzes tumor cells to targeted therapy. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2011, 10, 336–346. [CrossRef]

63. Le, X.; Negaro, M.V.; Reuben, A.; Federico, L.; Diao, L.; McGrail, D.; Nilsson, M.; Robichaux, J.; Munoz, I.G.; Patel, S.; et al. Characterization of the Immune Landscape of EGFR-Mutant NSCLC Identifies CD73/Adenosine Pathway as a Potential Therapeutic Target. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2021, 16, 583–600. [CrossRef]

64. Shen, X.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, G.; Zhou, H.L.; Fan, J.; Zhang, L.; Li, Y.L.; Wang, Y.; Liang, J.; Xu, Z.X. Upregulation of programmed death ligand 1 by liver kinase B1 and its implication in programmed death 1 blockade therapy in non-small cell lung cancer. *Life Sci.* 2020, 256, 117923. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Dong, Z.Y.; Zhong, W.Z.; Zhang, X.C.; Su, J.; Xie, Z.; Liu, S.Y.; Tu, H.Y.; Chen, H.J.; Sun, Y.L.; Zhou, Q.; et al. Potential Predictive Value of TP53 and KRAS Mutation Status for Response to PD-1 Blockade Immunotherapy in Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2017, 23, 3012–3024. [CrossRef]

66. Albin, A.; Bruno, A.; Noonan, D.M.; Mortara, L. Contribution to Tumor Angiogenesis from Innate Immune Cells within the Tumor Microenvironment: Implications for Immunotherapy. *Front. Immunol.* 2018, 9, 527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Mortara, L.; Benest, A.V.; Bates, D.O.; Noonan, D.M. Can the co-dependence of the immune system and angiogenesis facilitate pharmacological targeting of tumours? *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 2017, 35, 66–74. [CrossRef]

68. Ott, P.A.; Hodi, F.S.; Buchbinder, E.I. Inhibition of Immune Checkpoints and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor as Combination Therapy for Metastatic Melanoma: An Overview of Rationale, Preclinical Evidence, and Initial Clinical Data. *Front. Oncol.* 2015, 5, 202. [CrossRef]

69. Gabrilovich, D.; Ishida, T.; Oyama, T.; Ran, S.; Kravtsov, V.; Nadaf, S.; Carbone, D.P. Vascular endothelial growth factor inhibits the development of dendritic cells and dramatically affects the differentiation of multiple hematopoietic lineages in vivo. *Blood* 1998, 92, 4150–4166. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

70. Voron, T.; Colussi, O.; Marcheteau, E.; Pernot, S.; Nizard, M.; Pointet, A.L.; Latreche, S.; Bergaya, S.; Benhamouda, N.; Tanchot, C.; et al. VEGF-A modulates expression of inhibitory checkpoints on CD8+ T cells in tumors. *J. Exp. Med.* 2015, 212, 139–148. [CrossRef]

71. Terme, M.; Pernot, S.; Marcheteau, E.; Sandoval, F.; Benhamouda, N.; Colussi, O.; Dubreuil, O.; Carpentier, A.F.; Tartour, E.; Taieb, J. VEGFA-VEGFR pathway blockade inhibits tumor-induced regulatory T-cell proliferation in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2013, 73, 539–549. [CrossRef]

72. Konishi, T.; Huang, C.L.; Adachi, M.; Taki, T.; Inufusa, H.; Kodama, K.; Kohno, N.; Miyake, M. The K-ras gene regulates vascular endothelial growth factor gene expression in non-small cell lung cancers. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2000, 16, 501–511. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Rak, J.; Mitsuhashi, Y.; Bayko, L.; Felmus, J.; Shirasawa, S.; Sasazuki, T.; Kerbel, R.S. Mutant ras oncogenes upregulate VEGF/VPF expression: Implications for induction and inhibition of tumor angiogenesis. *Cancer Res.* 1995, 55, 4575–4580. [CrossRef]

74. Pore, N.; Jiang, Z.; Gupta, A.; Cerniglia, G.; Kao, G.D.; Maity, A. EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors decrease VEGF expression by both hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1-independent and HIF-1-dependent mechanisms. *Cancer Res.* 2006, 66, 3197–3204. [CrossRef]

75. West, H.; Cappuzzo, F.; Reck, M.; Mok, T.; Jotte, R.M.; Nishio, M.; Kim, E.; Morris, S.; Shankar, G.; Zou, W.; et al. IMpower150: A post hoc analysis of efficacy outcomes in patients with KRAS, STK11 and KEAP1 mutations. *Ann. Oncol.* 2020, 31 (Suppl. 4), S817–S818. [CrossRef]

76. Ostrem, J.M.; Peters, U.; Sos, M.L.; Wells, J.A.; Shokat, K.M. K-Ras(G12C) inhibitors allosterically control GTP affinity and effector interactions. *Nature 2013*, 503, 548–551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Hong, D.S.; Fakih, M.G.; Strickler, J.H.; Desai, J.; Durm, G.A.; Shapiro, G.I.; Falchook, G.S.; Price, T.J.; Sacher, A.; Denlinger, C.S.; et al. KRAS(G12C) Inhibition with Sotorasib in Advanced Solid Tumors. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 383, 1207–1217. [CrossRef]

78. Stock-Martineau, S.; Magner, K.; Jao, K.; Wheatley-Price, P. Challenges of Immunotherapy in Stage IV Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *JCO Oncol. Pract.* 2021, 17, 465–471. [CrossRef]

79. Skoulidou, F.; Li, B.T.; Govindan, R.; Dy, G.K.; Shapiro, G.; Baum, J.; Schuler, M.H.; Addeo, A.; Kato, T.; Besse, B.; et al. Overall survival and exploratory subgroup analyses from the phase 2 CodeBreak 100 trial evaluating sotorasib in pretreated KRAS G12C mutated non-small cell lung cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2021, 39, 9003. [CrossRef]

80. Riely, G.J.; Ou, S.H-I.; Rybkin, I.; Spira, A.; Papadopoulos, K.; Sabari, J.K.; Johnson, M.; Heist, R.S.; Bazhenova, L.; Barve, M.; et al. KRYS1-L: Activity and preliminary pharmacodynamic (PD) analysis of adagrasib (MRTX849) in patients (Pts) with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring KRAS G12C mutation. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2021, 16, S751–S752. [CrossRef]

81. Briere, D.M.; Li, S.; Calinisan, A.; Sudhakar, N.; Aranda, R.; Hargis, L.; Peng, D.H.; Deng, J.; Engstrom, L.D.; Hallin, J.; et al. The KRAS(G12C) Inhibitor MRTX849 Reconditions the Tumor Immune Microenvironment and Sensitizes Tumors to Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2021, 20, 975–985. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

82. Marusyk, A.; Janiszewska, M.; Polyak, K. Intratumor Heterogeneity: The Rosetta Stone of Therapy Resistance. *Cancer Cell.* 2020, 37, 471–484. [CrossRef]

83. Turajlic, S.; Sottoriva, A.; Graham, T.; Swanton, C. Resolving genetic heterogeneity in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2019, 20, 404–416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
84. Zhuang, X.; Zhao, C.; Li, J.; Su, C.; Chen, X.; Ren, S.; Li, X.; Zhou, C. Clinical features and therapeutic options in non-small cell lung cancer patients with concomitant mutations of EGFR, ALK, ROS1, KRAS or BRAF. Cancer Med. 2019, 8, 2858–2866. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

85. Shibue, T.; Weinberg, R.A. EMT, CSCs, and drug resistance: The mechanistic link and clinical implications. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2017, 14, 611–629. [CrossRef]

86. Sequist, L.V.; Waltman, B.A.; Dias-Santagata, D.; Digumarthy, S.; Turke, A.B.; Fidias, P.; Bergethon, K.; Shaw, A.T.; Gettinger, S.; Cosper, A.K.; et al. Genotypic and histological evolution of lung cancers acquiring resistance to EGFR inhibitors. Sci. Transl. Med. 2011, 3, 75ra26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

87. Byers, L.A.; Diao, L.; Wang, J.; Saintigny, P.; Girard, L.; Peyton, M.; Shen, L.; Fan, Y.; Giri, U.; Tumula, P.K.; et al. An epithelial-mesenchymal transition gene signature predicts resistance to EGFR and PI3K inhibitors and identifies Axl as a therapeutic target for overcoming EGFR inhibitor resistance. Clin. Cancer Res. 2013, 19, 279–290. [CrossRef]

88. Zhang, Z.; Lee, J.C.; Lin, L.; Olivas, V.; Au, V.; LaFramboise, T.; Abdel-Rahman, M.; Wang, X.; Levine, A.D.; Rho, J.K.; et al. Activation of the AXL kinase causes resistance to EGFR-targeted therapy in lung cancer. Nat. Genet. 2012, 44, 852–860. [CrossRef]

89. Xu, W.; Wang, Z.; Qian, K.; Li, H.; Kong, D.; Li, Y.; Tang, Y. Mutated K-ras activates CDK8 to stimulate the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in pancreatic cancer in part via the Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Cancer Lett. 2015, 356, 613–627. [CrossRef]

90. Zhang, L.; Ma, T.; Brozick, J.; Babalola, K.; Budiu, R.; Tseng, G.; Vlad, A.M. Effects of Kras activation and Pten deletion alone or in combination on MUC1 biology and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in ovarian cancer. Oncogene 2016, 35, 5010–5020. [CrossRef]

91. Konen, J.M.; Rodriguez, B.L.; Padhye, A.; Ochieng, J.K.; Gibson, L.; Diao, L.; Fowlkes, N.W.; Fradette, J.J.; Peng, D.H.; Cardnell, R.J.; et al. Dual Inhibition of MEK and AXL Targets Tumor Cell Heterogeneity and Prevents Resistant Outgrowth Mediated by the Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition in NSCLC. Cancer Res. 2021, 81, 1398–1412. [CrossRef]

92. Adachi, Y.; Ito, K.; Hayashi, Y.; Kimura, R.; Tan, T.Z.; Yamaguchi, R.; Ebi, H. Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition is a Cause of Both Intrinsic and Acquired Resistance to KRAS G12C Inhibitor in KRAS G12C-Mutant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 2020, 26, 5962–5973. [CrossRef]

93. Young, A.; Lou, D.; McCormick, F. Oncogenic and wild-type Ras play divergent roles in the regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling. Cancer Res. 2013, 3, 112–123. [CrossRef]

94. Sunaga, N.; Miura, Y.; Tsukagoshi, Y.; Kasahara, N.; Masuda, T.; Sakurai, R.; Kaira, K.; Hisada, K. Dual inhibition of MEK and p38 impairs tumor growth in KRAS-mutated non-small cell lung cancer. Oncol. Lett. 2019, 17, 3569–3575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

95. Kamran, S.; Seyedrezaazadeh, E.; Shenehandibi, D.; Asadi, M.; Zafari, V.; Shekari, N.; Namvar, L.; Zarredar, H.; Ebi, H. Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition is a Cause of Both Intrinsic and Acquired Resistance to KRAS G12C Inhibitor in KRAS G12C-Mutant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 2020, 26, 5962–5973. [CrossRef]

96. Zhang, Z.; Lee, J.C.; Lin, L.; Olivas, V.; Au, V.; LaFramboise, T.; Abdel-Rahman, M.; Wang, X.; Levine, A.D.; Rho, J.K.; et al. Activation of the AXL kinase causes resistance to EGFR-targeted therapy in lung cancer. Nat. Genet. 2012, 44, 852–860. [CrossRef]

97. Yao, W.; Yue, P.; Zhang, G.; Owonikoko, T.K.; Khuri, F.R.; Sun, S.Y. Enhancing therapeutic efficacy of the MEK inhibitor, MEK162, for overcoming EGFR inhibitor resistance. Cancer Discov. 2021, 11, 1060–1077. [CrossRef]

98. Roy, S.; Sircar, K.; Bandyopadhyay, T.; Pisano, E.; Zografos, G.; Cabeza-Nieto, S.; Konstantin, S.; et al. KRAS G12C NSCLC Models Are Sensitive to Direct Targeting of KRAS in Combination with PI3K Inhibition. Clin. Cancer Res. 2021, 27, 796–807. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

99. Cosper, A.K.; et al. Genotypic and histological evolution of lung cancers acquiring resistance to EGFR inhibitors. Cancer Discov. 2013, 3, 112–123. [CrossRef]

100. Byers, L.A.; Diao, L.; Wang, J.; Saintigny, P.; Girard, L.; Peyton, M.; Shen, L.; Fan, Y.; Giri, U.; Tumula, P.K.; et al. An epithelial-mesenchymal transition gene signature predicts resistance to EGFR and PI3K inhibitors and identifies Axl as a therapeutic target for overcoming EGFR inhibitor resistance. Clin. Cancer Res. 2013, 19, 279–290. [CrossRef]

101. Konen, J.M.; Rodriguez, B.L.; Padhye, A.; Ochieng, J.K.; Gibson, L.; Diao, L.; Fowlkes, N.W.; Fradette, J.J.; Peng, D.H.; Cardnell, R.J.; et al. Dual Inhibition of MEK and AXL Targets Tumor Cell Heterogeneity and Prevents Resistant Outgrowth Mediated by the Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition in NSCLC. Cancer Res. 2021, 81, 1398–1412. [CrossRef]

102. Xu, W.; Wang, Z.; Qian, K.; Li, H.; Kong, D.; Li, Y.; Tang, Y. Mutated K-ras activates CDK8 to stimulate the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in pancreatic cancer in part via the Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Cancer Lett. 2015, 356, 613–627. [CrossRef]

103. Konen, J.M.; Rodriguez, B.L.; Padhye, A.; Ochieng, J.K.; Gibson, L.; Diao, L.; Fowlkes, N.W.; Fradette, J.J.; Peng, D.H.; Cardnell, R.J.; et al. Dual Inhibition of MEK and AXL Targets Tumor Cell Heterogeneity and Prevents Resistant Outgrowth Mediated by the Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition in NSCLC. Cancer Res. 2021, 81, 1398–1412. [CrossRef]

104. Sunaga, N.; Miura, Y.; Tsukagoshi, Y.; Kasahara, N.; Masuda, T.; Sakurai, R.; Kaira, K.; Hisada, K. Dual inhibition of MEK and p38 impairs tumor growth in KRAS-mutated non-small cell lung cancer. Oncol. Lett. 2019, 17, 3569–3575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Zhuang, X.; Zhao, C.; Li, J.; Su, C.; Chen, X.; Ren, S.; Li, X.; Zhou, C. Clinical features and therapeutic options in non-small cell lung cancer patients with concomitant mutations of EGFR, ALK, ROS1, KRAS or BRAF. Cancer Med. 2019, 8, 2858–2866. [CrossRef] [PubMed]