Association of Lower Spiritual Well-Being, Social Support, Self-Esteem, Subjective Well-Being, Optimism and Hope Scores With Mild Cognitive Impairment and Mild Dementia
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Introduction: Positive psychology (PP) constructs contribute significantly to a better quality of life for people with various diseases. There are still few studies that have evaluated the evolution of these aspects during the progression of dementia.

Objective: To compare the scores for self-esteem, life satisfaction, affect, spirituality, hope, optimism and perceived support network between elderly people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), mild dementia and moderate dementia and control group.

Methods: Cross-sectional study. The sample consisted of 66 healthy controls, 15 elderly people with MCI, 25 with mild dementia and 22 with moderate dementia matched by age, gender, and schooling. The instruments used were: Spirituality Self Rating Scale (SSRS), Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale, Medical Outcomes Study's Social Support Scale, Life Satisfaction Scale (LSS), Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS), Revised Life Orientation Test (LOT-R), and Adult Dispositional Hope Scale (ADHS).

Results: The scores for spiritual well-being, social support, self-esteem, life satisfaction, positive affect, optimism, negative affect, and hope differed significantly between the groups ($p < 0.05$). The individuals with MCI and mild dementia had lower spiritual well-being, social support, self-esteem, life satisfaction, positive affect, optimism and hope scores, and higher negative affect scores compared with the controls. The scores for PP constructs did not differ between the group of people with moderate dementia and the control group.

Conclusion: Dementia was found to impact several PP constructs in the early stages of the disease. For individuals with greater cognitive impairment, anosognosia appears to suppress the disease's impact on these constructs.

Keywords: aged, dementia, cognitive decline, well-being, positive psychology, optimism, hope, social support
INTRODUCTION

The maintenance of the well-being of elderly people with cognitive impairment is relevant to promote the independence and autonomy of these individuals. One of the demands on health professionals is to prevent or even delay the brain degeneration process, thus contributing to productive aging through the promotion of social engagement and significant interpersonal relationships (Agli et al., 2015; Casemiro et al., 2016). Elderly people exhibit different levels of cognitive impairment. A clinical picture of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) in one or more cognitive domains was recently described in which there was no significant impact on daily living activities. This diagnosis has been incorporated into important classification systems such as APA (American Psychiatric Association, 2014) and National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer’s Association (NIA–AA) (Hyman et al., 2012), which have called it Mild Neurocognitive Disorder and MCI, respectively. This diagnosis is important in that it signals a higher likelihood of progression to dementia (Roberts et al., 2014). On the other hand, dementia is a syndrome characterized by acquired cognitive and behavioral symptoms and a decline from previous functioning and performance levels, which interferes with one’s ability to work and engage in usual activities (Hyman et al., 2012). The progressive loss of independence and functionality gives rise to the hypothesis that dementia has a major psychological impact to the subject (Ogawa et al., 2017).

Current studies on emotions have pointed out that individuals with dementia exhibit a lack of recognition, assessment, and even the ability to feel negative emotions (Balconi et al., 2015; Oliver et al., 2015; Bora et al., 2016) and preserve the ability to recognize positive emotions (Goodking et al., 2015; St Jacques et al., 2015). These altered perceptions may be related to the loss of their cognitive ability to understand their disease, known as anosognosia, which impairs their perceptions throughout the course of the dementia, but is associated with an improvement in their quality of life (Conde-Sala et al., 2013, 2014). In order to understand the individual attributes that improve one’s quality of life, Positive psychology (PP) has been consolidated as a field of study interested in promoting well-being and developing individual strengths. PP proposes a change of focus from pathology and the remediation of suffering to positive individual potentials and characteristics (Seligmann and Lopes, 2011).

Positive psychology is the study of the conditions and processes that contribute to the flourishing and optimal functioning of people, groups, and institutions (Gable and Haidt, 2005). The following are the main PP constructs investigated in older people (Snyder and Lopez, 2009): Spirituality: a personal dimension of understanding questions about life, meaning and one’s relationship to the sacred or transcendent (Goncalves and Pillon, 2009); Social Support: resources made available by a group of people with whom an individual maintains contact and that correspond to certain functions, such as emotional, material, and affective support (Griep et al., 2005); Self-esteem: a visual aspect of self-conception that consists of a set of thoughts and feelings about oneself (Hutz and Zanon, 2011); Optimism: a stable personality trait that gives rise to positive expectations about future events (Scheier et al., 1994); Well-being: a three-part structure in which the first part refers to a cognitive judgment about the degree of satisfaction a person feels about his/her life and the latter two relate to affective components that specify how often a person experiences positive or negative affects (Diener et al., 2004); and Hope: thinking directed to objectives and composed of pathways and agency (Snyder and Lopez, 2009).

Regarding the PP construct approach in dementia, a systematic review that included 11 articles on religion and spirituality in patients with dementia concluded that intervening in these constructs appears to delay cognitive decline and helps them to cope with their disease (Agli et al., 2015). This finding was corroborated by a study by Wu and Koo (2016). These authors conducted a clinical trial with 103 patients with dementia and showed that spiritual interventions improved their hope, life satisfaction, and spiritual well-being. It has been suggested that the social support and sense of belonging that individuals share by being part of the same religious group are the factors that mediate the positive effect of spirituality on patients with dementia (Brewer et al., 2015). Furthermore, Kuiper et al. (2015) reviewed 19 longitudinal cohort studies investigating the association between social relationship and dementia. They concluded that individuals with poor social interaction had a faster decline into dementia. The effect of poor social interaction was similar to that of other well-established risk factors such as limited schooling, physical inactivity, and depression. This result was in line with the work done by Khondoker et al. (2017) in a cohort study that followed 10,055 participants over 10 years to investigate the relationship between dementia and social relationships. The authors concluded that dementia severity showed a significant and negative relationship with the number of bonds the subjects maintained as well as the quality of the social relationships they established. In addition, a systematic review evaluating the effectiveness of social support group interventions for individuals with dementia and MCI suggested that support groups can be psychologically beneficial to people with dementia by lessening their depression and increasing their quality of life (Leung et al., 2015).

Self-esteem in subjects with dementia has also been related to a better quality of life. Higher self-esteem scores predicted a better quality of life in a sample of 95 individuals with dementia and this association was partially mediated by depression and anxiety (Clare et al., 2013). Similar results were observed by Young et al. (2017), who evaluated 57 patient-caregiver dyads in a cross-sectional study and found that self-esteem predicted a higher quality of life for the individuals with dementia.

In addition to self-esteem, other positive variables investigated in patients with dementia are life satisfaction and daily experienced affectation. Life satisfaction predicted dementia in a sample of 1,751 elderly people without cognitive impairment at baseline who were followed for 5 years (adjusted OR = 0.70, CI = 0.51–0.96; Peitsch et al., 2016). This dementia risk is also related to negative affects according to a study conducted by Korthauer et al. (2017). The authors assessed affects in 2,137 elderly women without depressive symptoms who were followed for 11 years and concluded that negative affects were associated with greater cognitive decline, even when adjusting for the
covariates of age, education, lifestyle, sociodemographic factors, global cognition, cardiovascular risk, and hormone therapy.

The incidence of cognitive impairment was also associated with optimism in a study by Gawronski et al. (2016). These authors evaluated 4,624 elderly people over a period of 4 years and concluded that high optimism was a protective factor for incident cognitive impairment, playing an important role in maintaining cognitive functioning. Likewise, higher levels of hopelessness in midlife were associated with cognitive impairment in a 21-year follow-up with a sample of 200 subjects (Hakanson et al., 2015). Similarly, a systematic review concluded that optimism and hope were beneficial to the treatment of individuals with chronic diseases (Schiavon et al., 2017).

The studies cited above demonstrate that there is great interest in the field of PP in older individuals with cognitive impairment, as they stress the role of many PP constructs as risk factors for this condition and/or the beneficial effects of interventions focusing on these PP constructs on some outcomes, such as quality of life in dementia. Nevertheless, few studies have investigated PP constructs at different levels of cognitive impairment. One investigation described a significant reduction in self-esteem as dementia progressed (Diesfeldt, 2007). Thus, the progression of PP aspects during the course of the disease is still unknown (Wolverson et al., 2009). In view of this, the present study aimed to compare self-esteem, life satisfaction, affect, spirituality, hope, optimism, and perceived support network scores among healthy elderly individuals and subjects with MCI and mild and moderate dementia.

Considering the literature described above, this study’s first hypothesis was that there is a decreasing gradient in all PP constructs among healthy subjects and individuals with MCI and dementia—i.e., better cognitive performance is associated with higher scores for PP constructs. On the other hand, we predicted that individuals with MCI would present lower scores for PP constructs than those with dementia due the latter group’s greater anosognosia—i.e., that the dementia group’s greater cognitive impairment would reduce the individual’s ability to perceive his/her daily limitations, thus affecting the PP constructs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This is a cross-sectional study. “Cases” were considered those individuals who had some type of cognitive change and “controls” were considered those who were healthy and undergoing a normal aging process. The sample characteristics and inclusion criteria are described below.

**Participants**

The sample size was previously calculated in the WinPepi program, version 11.43, based on the studies of Hernandez et al. (2009) and Moreno et al. (2010) resulting in a minimum number of at least 60 demented individuals and 62 healthy controls. The final sample was composed of 128 individuals with a minimum age of 60.

The clinical group (individuals with MCI and dementia) consisted of 62 outpatients who were being treated at a dementia clinic located in a southern Brazilian capital city. Their ages ranged from 60 to 89 (Mean = 72.52; Standard Deviation = 7.92). Dementia diagnoses were made by dementia experts according to the NIA-AA (Hyman et al., 2012), and Brazilian Academy of Neurology criteria (Frota et al., 2011; McKahan et al., 2011). Dementia severity was assessed by the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) (Morris, 1993; Chaves et al., 2007). Patients who scored 1 on CDR had mild dementia and who scored 2 presented moderate dementia. Individuals who had a CDR score of 3 (severe dementia) were excluded from the study, as the severity of their impairment would make it impossible for them to understand the questions posed by the instruments that evaluated PP aspects. MCI diagnosis was made by a comprehensive clinical evaluation, which included a clinical history and neuropsychological and functional assessments. Those patients who showed impairment in any cognitive domain and no functional impairment fulfilled the MCI criteria. A CDR score of 0.5 was also used to corroborate a MCI diagnosis. Patients with a current clinical diagnosis of major depression were also excluded. Doctors with clinical experience in evaluating depression and dementia carried out all of the assessments.

The control group consisted of 66 individuals aged 60–88 (Mean = 72.95; Standard Deviation = 7.63) who were selected from a group of elderly people who engaged in physical activities in an active aging group in a capital city in southern Brazil. The controls were chosen after clinical group was selected so that their age, gender, schooling, and social class would be similar to those of the individuals in the clinical group, aiming to match the groups. Depression and cognitive impairment were exclusion criteria for this group. The 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) (Sheikh and Yesavage, 1986; Paradela et al., 2005) and the mini mental state examination (MMSE) (Folstein et al., 1975; Bertolucci et al., 1994) were applied to screen for these conditions. Subjects who tested positive for these conditions were not included. All of the subjects were able to perform their day-to-day activities independently.

**Positive Psychology Instruments**

The researchers were trained beforehand to apply the PP scales. The following instruments were used to evaluate the PP constructs.

- The Brazilian Portuguese Adaptation of the Spirituality Self Rating Scale (SSRS) (Galanter et al., 2007; Gonçalves and Pillon, 2009). This is a Likert scale composed of six items that assess an individual’s spirituality—i.e., the importance of his/her spiritual dimension and how he/she applies it in his/her life. It is based on three factors: peace, meaning, and faith, and presented adequate internal consistency, with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.83 (Gonçalves and Pillon, 2009).
- The Brazilian Portuguese Adaptation of the Social Outcomes Study Scale (MOS) (Sherbourne and Stewart, 1991; Griep et al., 2005). This instrument covers five social support dimensions: material, affective, positive social interaction, emotional, and information. It’s a Likert-type scale and presented a Cronbach’s alpha equal to or greater than 0.8 (Griep et al., 2005).
Social Class Assessment

Social class was established according to the Economic Classification of the Brazilian Economic Classification Criteria developed by Associação Brasileira de Empresas de Pesquisa [ABEP] (2016), taking into account the population's purchasing power. This instrument's final score results in the categories A, B, C, D, and E. Category A includes individuals with an average income of R$ 20,888.00 (Brazilian reals); Category B includes individuals with average incomes between R$ 4,852.00 and R$ 9,254.00; Category C includes individuals with average incomes between R$ 1,625.00 and R$ 2,705.00; and categories D and E include individuals with an average income of R$ 768.00. These amounts are expressed in Brazilian currency, as set forth in the National Household Sample Survey of 2014.

All of the instruments were used in their validated versions for application in Brazil and have good validity and reliability indicators.

Procedures

Data were initially collected from the individuals in the clinical group. Based on their characteristics (gender, age, schooling, and social class) they were paired with members of the control group when its participants were selected, aiming to match the groups. The elderly people who met the inclusion criteria were invited to participate in the study through an approach carried out in different places, according to the group to be studied. After the informed consent form was explained and signed in a silent and private room, the subjects were evaluated. The PP questionnaires were applied in random order to avoid response bias.

Ethical Issues

This study was carried out in accordance with the ethical recommendations for clinical research laid out in Resolution 466/12, with written informed consent from all subjects. It was previously approved by the UFCSPA Research Ethics Committee (Protocol No. 1,046,803). All subjects provided informed written consent in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration.

Statistical Analysis

The data were stored in an SPSS 22.0 database and analyzed using this statistical program. Variable distribution was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk normality test and variance homogeneity was evaluated with the Levene test. The Student's t-test was used to compare age between the case and control groups; the Chi-square association test was used to compare the other demographic data between the groups. Since the normality and homogeneity tests did not present statistically significant values, parametric tests were applied. To compare the scales between the groups, we applied the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) complemented by Tukey's test. The significance level was set at 5% ($p < 0.05$).

RESULTS

In total, 128 subjects aged 60–89 (Mean = 72.74; Standard Deviation = 7.50) were included in the study. Table 1 shows the comparison of the demographic data, presence of cognitive complaints and antidepressant use between the healthy individuals (controls) and those with MCI, mild dementia and moderate dementia (cases). The demographic data (age, schooling, and social class) did not differ between the groups. The MCI and dementia groups presented cognitive complaints. Such complaints became increasingly frequent the higher the level of cognitive impairment and dementia severity. Although major depression was one of the exclusion criteria, some individuals reported that they currently used antidepressants for minor anxiety or depression symptoms. Such use was significantly higher in the control group.
TABLE 1 | Comparison of demographic data and complaints of cognitive difficulties between the group of healthy individuals (controls) and the group of people mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and mild/moderate dementia (cases).  

|               | Controls  
|---------------|-----------|------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
|               | N = 66    | MCI+  
|               | N = 15    | Mild dementia  
|               | N = 25    | Moderate dementia  
|               | N = 22    | P           |
| Age*          |           |            |              |                |                |               |                |               |
| 72.96 (7.63)  | 73.27 (8.78) | 70.36 (7.39) | 74.45 (7.67) | 0.316          |
| Gender**      |           |            |              |                |                |               |                |               |
| F             | 54 (81.8) | 11 (73.3) | 17 (68.0) | 16 (72.7) | 0.512 |
| M             | 12 (18.1) | 4 (26.6)  | 8 (32.0)  | 6 (27.2)  |
| Schooling**   |           |            |              |                |                |               |                |               |
| None          | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)      | 2 (8)      | 2 (9.1)    | 0.199 |
| Primary school education | 53 (80.3) | 13 (86.7) | 16 (64) | 17 (77.3) |
| High school education | 7 (10.6)  | 2 (13.3)  | 5 (20)    | 3 (13.6)  |
| College and/or graduate school | (9.1) | 0 (0)     | 2 (8)     | 0 (0)      |
| Social Class**|           |            |              |                |                |               |                |               |
| A             | 4 (6.1)   | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)      | 0 (0)       | 0.522 |
| B             | 20 (30.3) | 3 (20)    | 5 (20)    | 5 (22.7)   |
| C             | 39 (59.1) | 12 (80)   | 19 (76)   | 17 (77.3)  |
| D             | 3 (4.5)   | 0 (0)     | 1 (4)     | 0 (0)      |
| Complaint of cognitive difficulty** | Yes | 24 (36.3) | 9 (60.0) | 20 (80.0) | 20 (90.9) | <0.001 |
|               | No        | 42 (63.6) | 6 (40.0)  | 5 (20.0)   | 2 (9.0)   |
| Antidepressant use** | Yes | 7 (10.6)  | 3 (20.0)  | 3 (12.0)  | 2 (9.0)   | 0.748 |
|               | No        | 59 (89.3) | 12 (80.0) | 22 (88.0) | 20 (90.9) |

MCI+, Mild Cognitive Impairment. ANOVA – results presented as mean and standard deviation. Chi-square – results presented as n (%).

Table 2 presents a comparison of the scores on the spiritual well-being, social support, self-esteem, life satisfaction, positive affect, negative affect, optimism and hope scales among the healthy elderly people and those with MCI and mild and moderate dementia. There was a significant difference in all of the PP constructs between the groups.

The multiple comparisons using Tukey’s test showed significantly lower scores for the majority of the PP constructs in individuals with MCI and mild dementia than in the healthy elderly individuals and those with moderate dementia. There was no difference in the total spiritual well-being score between the MCI and mild dementia groups, while individuals with MCI presented significantly lower scores for this construct than the healthy subjects and the individuals with moderate dementia. Multiple comparisons using Tukey’s test also showed that the total social support scores were similar between the MCI and mild dementia groups and that both groups presented lower scores for this construct than the moderate dementia group and the healthy control group. Individuals with MCI showed lower scores in all of this construct’s subgroups than those observed in the healthy group and the moderate dementia group, while the mild dementia group showed lower scores than the moderate dementia group only for the affective, information and positive social interaction sub-scales of social support. Scores for the self-esteem, life satisfaction, positive affect, and hope constructs did not differ between the MCI and mild dementia groups. These constructs were also similar between the moderate dementia group and the healthy individuals. On the other hand, the scores for these constructs were significantly lower in the MCI and mild dementia groups compared with the moderate dementia group and the healthy control group. The healthy control group showed the highest optimism scores among all the groups. The optimism scores did not differ between the mild dementia group and the MCI group and both presented lower levels of optimism than the healthy control group and the moderate dementia group. Negative affect scores were significantly higher in the MCI and mild dementia groups than in the healthy control group, while negative affect levels did not differ between these groups.

DISCUSSION

The present study evaluated self-perception in several PP constructs in elderly individuals with MCI and different degrees of dementia compared with that in healthy individuals. The study showed two main results: (a) Groups with MCI and mild stages of dementia had worse scores for all constructs, and (b) The scores of patients with moderate-stage dementia did not differ from those of the control group. Therefore, our first hypothesis that better cognitive performance would be associated with higher scores in the PP constructs was refuted. The second hypothesis that cognitive impairment would be associated with better scores in all of the PP constructs was validated.

It is worth discussing some points about the first result (worse scores in the early stages of dementia). It is known that the neuropsychological impacts of dementia include the deterioration of life quality, perception, mood, and behaviors that are associated with neurodegenerative diseases. These changes are mainly perceived at an early stage of the disease and are associated with a poor prognosis of progressive cognitive loss (Ismail et al., 2017). This may explain the first result described. The results of the present study are in partial agreement with those demonstrated by Diesfeldt (2007). This author verified a significant reduction in self-esteem with the progression of dementia. Our results were similar for milder degrees. However, the present study’s assessment found no difference between
TABLE 2 | Comparison of mean and standard deviation in spiritual well-being, social support, self-esteem, life satisfaction, positive affect and negative affect, optimism and hope scores among healthy individuals with mild cognitive impairment and mild/moderate dementia.

|                           | Control Group | Clinical Group |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|
|                           | N = 66        | N = 15         | N = 25         | N = 22         | P     | F(dfB,dfW) |
| Spiritual well-being      | 39.06 (5.62)a | 28.00 (6.92)a  | 31.28 (6.42)a  | 35.50 (7.89)b,c | <0.001 | 17.46(3,124) |
| Peace                     | 12.89 (2.12)a | 8.6 (2.74)a    | 9.72 (2.33)a   | 11.41 (2.55)b  | <0.001 | 20.70(3,124) |
| Meaning                   | 13.18 (1.92)c | 8.73 (2.63)a   | 10.60 (2.02)b  | 11.95 (2.69)c | <0.001 | 21.16(3,124) |
| Faith                     | 13.13 (2.25)b | 10.67 (3.88)a  | 10.96 (3.90)   | 12.27 (3.16)b,c | <0.001 | 4.85(3,124) |
| Social support            | 94.74 (11.98)b | 73.46 (27.02)a | 74.39 (30.12)a | 91.18 (18.68)b | <0.001 | 9.35(3,124) |
| Material                  | 95.30 (14.43)b | 82.66 (22.58)a | 88.20 (17.13)b | 94.09 (15.93)b | <0.001 | 3.09(3,124) |
| Affective                 | 96.63 (10.92)b | 80 (27.25)a    | 82.12 (22.67)a | 92.40 (19.00)b,c | <0.001 | 6.43(3,124) |
| Emotional                 | 94.16 (14.89)b | 74.33 (28.77)a | 78.8 (26.17)b,c | 91.59 (19.11)b | <0.001 | 7.19(3,124) |
| Information               | 93.78 (14.54)b | 68.33 (30.09)a | 73.2 (28.60)a  | 90.45 (21.03)b | <0.001 | 9.86(3,124) |
| Positive social interaction | 95.22 (13.34)b | 63.05 (38.62)a | 67.43 (33.81)a  | 90.00 (20.23)b | <0.001 | 13.51(3,124) |
| Self-esteem               | 38.10 (2.68)b | 28.53 (7.92)a  | 30.96 (6.99)a  | 35.95 (4.70)b | <0.001 | 23.32(3,124) |
| Life Satisfaction         | 30.93 (3.73)b | 25.53 (6.68)a  | 23.24 (5.81)a  | 29.27 (3.79)b | <0.001 | 18.96(3,124) |
| Positive Affect           | 37.65 (4.88)b | 28.27 (9.78)a  | 27.00 (7.63)a  | 33.41 (7.95)b | <0.001 | 19.11(3,124) |
| Negative Affect           | 12.62 (4.60)a | 25.27 (7.94)b  | 22.24 (7.71)b  | 14.41 (5.47)a | <0.001 | 29.30(3,124) |
| Optimism                  | 24.10 (4.58)b | 13.87 (6.78)a  | 14.60 (3.96)a  | 20.32 (4.01)b | <0.001 | 36.22(3,124) |
| Hope                      | 36.93 (3.54)b | 28.40 (7.97)a  | 28.32 (6.01)a  | 34.00 (5.10)b | <0.001 | 24.34(3,124) |

a,b,c Different letters indicate significant differences (Tukey test at 5% significance); dfB, degrees of freedom between groups; dfW, degrees of freedom within groups.

The reduction of the constructs studied in the earlier stages of dementia can also be understood as resulting from the impacts that the disease generates, posing itself as a threat to existence, the meaning of life and social context, since believing that the rest of one's life may be unpleasant overwhelms the individual, threatening his/her identity and lowering his/her self-esteem (Cheston et al., 2015). Regarding the wide range of emotions that elderly people with dementia can experience, positive and negative affects are present in varying degrees of intensity in day-to-day life, and it is the relationship between the frequencies with which they are experienced that leads to a greater or lesser feeling of well-being (Diener et al., 2004). In the literature, negative emotions are associated with subsequent dementia. However, since the disease has a long preclinical stage, is difficult to determine whether dementia causes such negative feelings or results from them (Hakanson et al., 2015). What a demented person feels and how he/she feels about the disease is something that has not yet been studied and needs more attention (Schiavon et al., 2017). It is important to point out that even patients with MCI (i.e., whose cognitive impairments do not cause disability) have scores equal to those with mild dementia (worse than the controls' scores). This could be attributed to their expectation of having an unfavorable progression toward an irreversible and incapacitating disease (Ogawa et al., 2017).

To deal with these disabilities, the literature suggests that dementia interventions in the various positive psychology constructs studied may help the elderly to cope with their disease. Recent studies have investigated the benefits of interventions in positive psychology for individuals with dementia (Coin et al., 2010; Agli et al., 2015; Hakanson et al., 2015; Kuiper et al., 2015; Wu and Koo, 2016; Kondoker et al., 2017). These studies suggest that spirituality in individuals with dementia tends to alleviate or stabilize cognitive disorders (Coin et al., 2010; Agli et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017) and assists in the development of coping strategies to accept dementia, maintain their relationships, sustain hope, and find meaning in their lives (Jolley et al., 2010; Dalby et al., 2012; MacKinlay, 2012; Agli et al., 2015). Self-esteem interventions are indicated to reduce depressive symptoms and improve life quality (Lee and Park, 2007). Social support and positive relationships can play an important role in maintaining health and preventing dementia (Kondoker et al., 2017), as well as reducing depression (Logsdon et al., 2010; Leung et al., 2015). However, studies are still needed to assess self-esteem, life satisfaction, affect, spirituality, hope, optimism, and support networks in dementia and what effect the disease's progression has on these aspects.

Our investigation also found that the PP constructs did not differ between the patients with moderate dementia and the healthy controls—i.e., as their dementia severity worsened, the elderly patients' responses became more positive. This finding is similar to that of a study by MidoriKawa et al. (2016) in which family members reported that individuals with mild and moderate dementia displayed more positive behaviors and emotions in their day-to-day lives. Considering the present study's results, it is hypothesized that this is due to the patient's perception. Among the constructs evaluated, only optimism continued to show a significant difference between the control group and the group with moderate dementia. A systematic review by Schiavon et al. (2017) emphasized the need for more studies on optimism and hope in people with chronic...
processing and recognition of emotions, which also impairs an individual's perspective on his/her emotional responses (Poveda et al., 2017). In the literature, anosognosia is associated with the perception of better life quality in advanced stages of dementia (Conde-Sala et al., 2013) and may affect not only cognitive deficits and day-to-day functioning, but also affective symptoms (“affective anosognosia”) described in previous studies (Conde-Sala et al., 2013; Munro et al., 2016). The present study's findings lead us to believe that anosognosia has a strong influence on an individual's perception in advanced degrees of dementia, as well as on PP construct scores, highlighting the need for further research in this field in order to better understand the relationships between dementia and emotions.

The scarcity of research on PP and the associations between its constructs and dementia is still evident in current literature (Machado et al., 2017). Considering the results found in the present study, the importance of performing specific evaluations to understand what happens in the various PP constructs during the course of dementia is reinforced.

Study Strengths

One of the present study's strengths is that it investigates several PP domains at different stages of dementia, including the earliest stages, such as MCI. It is original in that it provides a view of the differences that exist in PP constructs in terms of the degrees of dementia impairment and performs a broad analysis of the findings, aiming to improve the psychosocial conditions of elderly people.

Study Limitations

An important limitation of the study is that there is limited literature available in the field of PP, mainly due to the novelty of studies on PP in dementia. Important gaps still exist (Reppold et al., 2015; Freitas et al., 2016). This problem, especially when related to demented individuals and the different stages of dementia, occurs due to the difficulty of evaluating individuals with advanced dementia with existing instruments, since the responses obtained are usually non-specific, non-objective, and strongly influenced by the fluctuations in attention and difficulty in retaining information caused by cognitive impairment (Kaufmann and Engel, 2016).

For future studies, a longitudinal design is suggested with dementia patients in the assessment of the progression of losses in PP, since this may help in understanding the progression of the constructs studied. The impressions of caregivers would be an interesting topic for future studies. Potential causality, selection and measuring biases, and confounding effects are related to the study's design.

CONCLUSION

Mild cognitive impairment and mild dementia result in lower scores for the constructs of self-esteem, spirituality, social support, affect, life satisfaction, optimism, and hope. However, as dementia severity increases, the construct scores more closely resemble those of individuals in the control group, leading to the
hypothesis that factors such as anosognosia, a reduction in the suppression of positive emotions and a reduction in emotional self-perception and understanding of the disease gain influence with the progression of dementia.
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