Diffusion Tensor Imaging of the Subcortical Auditory Tract in Subjects with Congenital Cochlear Nerve Deficiency

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Cochlear nerve deficiency (CND), including aplasia and hypoplasia, is a rare cause of congenital hearing loss. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the neuronal integrity of the subcortical auditory pathway in subjects with CND by diffusion tensor MR imaging (DTI).

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Twelve subjects with unilateral congenital CND were included in this prospective study. Twelve normal-hearing subjects served as controls. DTIs were acquired from these subjects on a 3T MR imaging scanner. Several indices including axial diffusivity ($\lambda_1$), radial diffusivity ($\lambda_2$), mean diffusivity (MD), and fractional anisotropy (FA) along the auditory pathway were extracted. Two regions of interest were selected bilaterally for evaluation: the lateral lemniscus (LL) and inferior colliculus (IC). The mean values of $\Lambda_1$, $\Lambda_2$, MD, and FA at both regions of interest were compared between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides of subjects with CND with those in the control group.

RESULTS: Significant decrease of FA and increase of MD on both the ipsilateral and contralateral sides of patients with CND as compared with normal subjects in both LL and IC were found. The decrease of FA was due to the increase of $\Lambda_2$, whereas $\Lambda_1$ remained unchanged.

CONCLUSIONS: The maintained axial diffusion implied that the orientation of neural fibers along the subcortical auditory pathway in subjects with unilateral CND is intact. However, the reduction in FA and increase in $\Lambda_2$ on both the ipsilateral and contralateral sides might be attributed to axonal loss and/or demyelination of the subcortical auditory tract in these subjects.

Anatomic cochlear nerve deficiency (CND), including aplasia and hypoplasia, is a rare cause of congenital hearing loss. It was estimated that CND accounts for approximately 1% of all newly diagnosed cases of congenital sensorineural hearing loss in the United States. It has also been demonstrated that CND can occur in children with a wide range of internal auditory canal and labyrinthine morphologies. The development of the MR imaging—based subcentimeter cross-sectional technique has improved the diagnostic rate of CND. Clinically, CND is considered to be an absolute contraindication for cochlear implantation.

The pathogenesis of CND remains unknown, and the etiology of unilateral occurrence still remains unexplained. Nelson and Hinojosa reported the histopathologic findings of temporal bones in 2 cases with absent cochlear nerves but with a normal structure of the organ of Corti. Another study found that 70% of the ears with absent cochlear nerves demonstrated cochlear microphonics, which implies that hair cell functions can persist despite the absence of the cochlear nerve. Another study also documented that normal hair cell development can occur in the absence of innervation. Conversely, the development of spiral ganglion cells and the innervation of the inner ears are dependent on the neurotrophic factors secreted by hair cells. Although all these studies focused on the developmental relationship between the auditory nerve and hair cells of the inner ear, there has been no study investigating the neural integrity of the subcortical auditory projections central to the cochlear nerve in subjects with CND. The present study tried to address this issue by using the diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) technique.

DTI has become one of the most popular MR imaging techniques in brain research. It enables visualization and characterization of white matter fasciculi in 2 or 3 dimensions. In general, DTI measures the translational displacement of water molecules, which was found to be much faster along the white matter fibers than perpendicular to them. DTI provides a framework for acquisition, analysis, and quantification of the diffusion properties of white matter and has been used in detecting the subtle changes in the structure of tissue associated with brain development, degeneration, and injury.

In DTI, the diffusivities parallel and perpendicular to the fiber (also named axial diffusivity [$\lambda_1$] and radial diffusivity [$\lambda_2$]) can be extracted from the eigenvalues of the tensor. Other commonly used indices include mean diffusivity (MD) and fractional anisotropy (FA). MD is a reflection of the amplitude of diffusion. FA represents the degree of anisotropy of water molecules. DTI has been used to study the auditory tract in the brain stem and in subjects with sensorineural hearing loss.

In this study, we investigated the integrity of the subcortical auditory pathways of subjects with congenital CND by using DTI in an effort to examine the changes in the functional integrity of the white matter. The purpose was to detect any possible subtle changes and pathology of the nerve fibers along subcortical auditory pathways.
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Materials and Methods

Subjects

Twelve subjects with unilateral congenital CND participated in the study. There were 6 males and 6 females (range, 8–29 years of age; mean, 13 years of age). The diagnosis was based on the findings of the internal auditory canal on their 3D MR imaging, which was performed with a 3D constructive interference in steady state (CISS) sequence on the 1.5T MR imaging scanner (Vision; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) by using the following parameters: TR of 12 ms, TE of 6 ms, flip angle of 70°, slab thickness of 33.6 mm, 66 partitions, 448/512 matrix, FOV of 170 mm, and effective section thickness of 0.5 mm. The nerves in the internal auditory canal were assessed with axial CISS images as well as coronal and sagittal CISS images reformed by multiplanar reconstruction. A sample image of aplasia and hypoplasia is shown in Fig 1. All 12 subjects have profound sensorineural hearing loss (pure tone thresholds, >90 dB hearing loss [HL]) on the affected side and normal hearing levels (pure tone thresholds, <25 dB HL) on the normal side. Twelve sex- and age-matched subjects with normal hearing served as controls. The basic clinical data of all subjects are listed in Table 1. This study was approved by the institutional review board of our university, and all patients who participated in the study signed the informed consent.

DTI Protocol

All studies were performed on a 3T MR imaging scanner (Trio, A TIM System; Siemens). DTI data were acquired by using a single-shot spin-echo echo-planar imaging sequence with the following parameters: TR/TE of 3000/82 ms; FOV of 192 mm; and a matrix size of 128 × 128, which leads to an in-plane resolution of 1.5 mm. Twenty-five contiguous axial sections of 2-mm thickness were obtained with a b-value of 1000 s/mm². A non-diffusion-weighted image was acquired. The diffusion-weighting gradients were applied along 12 non-collinear directions. Twenty averages were measured within the total acquisition time of 13 minutes 17 seconds.

The diffusion-tensor data were processed and analyzed by using the software DTIStudio (Version 2.40, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md) and Matlab 7.0 (MathWorks, Natick, Mass). Considering the size of the region of interest, we used no distortion or motion correction in this study. The following indices were calculated from the tensor: 1) \( \lambda_1 \), 2) \( \lambda_2 \), 3) MD, and 4) FA, which are defined in the following equations:

1) \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_1 \)
2) \( \lambda_2 = (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)/2 \)
3) \( MD = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)/3 \)

where \( \lambda_{1,2,3} \) are the 3 eigenvalues of the diffusion tensor.

Region-of-Interest Analysis

Two regions of interest along the subcortical auditory pathway were drawn bilaterally for evaluation: the lateral lemniscus (LL) and inferior colliculus (IC), which are above the trapezoid body where the auditory nerve fibers from the cochlear nucleus cross over. MR imaging can only provide the expected course of the central auditory pathway; it cannot pinpoint the exact localization of the auditory fibers at various anatomic sites along the auditory pathway. Nevertheless, the

Table 1: Basic clinical data of all subjects with unilateral cochlear nerve deficiency (aplasia and hypoplasia)

| Case | Age (yr) | Sex | Cochlear Nerve Deficiency | Hearing Threshold (PTA) dB HL |
|------|---------|-----|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1    | 12      | M   | Aplasia                   | 100                        |
| 2    | 10      | F   | Aplasia                   | >100                       |
| 3    | 8       | F   | Aplasia                   | >100                       |
| 4    | 9       | F   | Aplasia                   | >110                       |
| 5    | 14      | F   | Hypoplasia                | 100                        |
| 6    | 8       | M   | Hypoplasia                | >110                       |
| 7    | 12      | M   | Normal                    | 5                          |
| 8    | 25      | M   | Aplasia                   | 100                        |
| 9    | 9       | M   | Normal                    | 10                         |
| 10   | 11      | M   | Aplasia                   | 10                         |
| 11   | 29      | M   | Aplasia                   | 15                         |
| 12   | 9       | F   | Hypoplasia                | 90                         |

Note: —PTA indicates pure tone average; HL, hearing level.
color-encoded FA map can display the orientations of the nerve fibers with different colors. Because the auditory nerve fibers in the LL and IC are predominantly vertically oriented, they are highlighted as blue structures in the corresponding areas of the color-encoded FA maps, facilitating correct selection of regions of interest. Region-of-interest selection was guided by the color-encoded FA map. Uniform rectangular regions of interest, each containing 4 pixels of 3 × 3 × 2 mm² (area, 9 mm²), were drawn for all subjects. The receiver operating characteristic analysis of such size was found to be best fit within the blue areas on the color-encoded FA map at the LL and IC, corresponding to the vertically oriented auditory fibers, so that the contamination from other fibers could be minimized. The vertical direction along the auditory fibers in the LL and IC was considered axial, whereas other the 2 orthogonal directions perpendicular to fiber bundles were considered radial. The reason for selecting these 2 regions of interest is that the LL and IC are the most conspicuous anatomic landmarks of the subcortical auditory tract and can be reliably identified in both patients and healthy participants.

We placed the region of interest for the LL at the level of internal acoustic canal. For region-of-interest placement of the IC, we chose the level above the superior cerebellar peduncle near the inferior margin of the IC where the fiber tracts are most vertically oriented, facilitating standardized region-of-interest selection on the color-encoded FA map. The mean and standard errors of all indices were computed from each region of interest for all subjects. Because most auditory nerve fibers cross the midline to the contralateral side above the level of the trapezoid body, the indices from the contralateral and ipsilateral sides were compared with those from the healthy group by using the Student t test.

Results
A sample DTI for 1 participant in the group with bilateral CND is shown in Figs 2 (LL) and 3 (IC). The areas of regions of interest and the nerve bundles connecting them are highlighted in particular. These 2 figures also contain the corresponding T1- and T2-weighted MR images at the same sectional level to facilitate the identification of anatomic landmarks. The 4 diffusion indices at the LL and IC for the contralateral and ipsilateral sides of subjects with CND and the control group are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

In the control group, a paired t test was performed first to compare the 4 indices of the left and right sides. The result showed that there is no significant side difference. Therefore, we used the averaged value of the 2 sides to compare with the ipsilateral and contralateral sides of subjects with CND and the control group are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

In the control group, a paired t test was performed first to compare the 4 indices of the left and right sides. The result showed that there is no significant side difference. Therefore, we used the averaged value of the 2 sides to compare with the ipsilateral and contralateral sides of subjects with CND and the control group are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.
creased significantly for the ipsilateral side while the normality of the inner ear or auditory pathway, Lin et al19 with sensorineural hearing loss who had no morphologic ab-

Table 3: Summary of the diffusion indices (mean and SEM) at the LL and IC for the unilateral CND group *

|       | LL                   |       | IC                   |       |
|-------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|
|       | Contralateral Mean   | Control Mean |       | Contralateral Mean   | Control Mean |       |
|       | (SEM)                | (SEM)  | Value                | (SEM) | (SEM) | Value                |
| LA_L | 1.12 (0.22)          | 1.22 (0.19) | .2817               | 1.50 (0.37) | 1.37 (0.11) | .2558               |
| LA_L | 0.58 (0.16)          | 0.34 (0.05) | .0003†               | 0.45 (0.13) | 0.26 (0.06) | .0004†               |
| MD   | 0.76 (0.18)          | 0.62 (0.06) | .0263†               | 0.80 (0.20) | 0.64 (0.09) | .0231†               |
| FA   | 0.43 (0.07)          | 0.67 (0.08) | .0011                | 0.88 (0.06) | 0.79 (0.04) | .0001†               |

Table 3: Summary of the diffusion indices (mean and SEM) at the LL and IC for the unilateral CND group *

|       | LL                   |       | IC                   |       |
|-------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|
|       | Ipsilateral Mean     | Control Mean |       | Ipsilateral Mean     | Control Mean |       |
|       | (SEM)                | (SEM)  | Value                | (SEM) | (SEM) | Value                |
| LA_L | 1.18 (0.26)          | 1.22 (0.19) | .6907               | 1.58 (0.38) | 1.37 (0.11) | .1236               |
| LA_L | 0.59 (0.17)          | 0.34 (0.05) | .0002†               | 0.43 (0.15) | 0.26 (0.06) | .0026†               |
| MD   | 0.79 (0.19)          | 0.62 (0.06) | .0151†               | 0.81 (0.21) | 0.64 (0.09) | .0228†               |
| FA   | 0.44 (0.06)          | 0.67 (0.08) | .0001†               | 0.70 (0.09) | 0.79 (0.04) | .0081†               |

Note: — LL indicates lateral lemniscus; IC, inferior colliculus; CND, cochlear nerve deficiency; SEM, standard error of the mean; LA_L, axial diffusivity; LA_L, radial diffusivity; MD, mean diffusivity; FA, fractional anisotropy. * The contralateral side of the patients was compared with that in the control group. † Statistically significant.

From the developmental perspective, Gurung and Fritzsch25 studied the time line of the development of projections between subcortical auditory nuclei (cochlear nucleus, LL, IC, and medial geniculate body) in mice by using carbo-

dacyanine dye tracing. The results suggested that these projections are tonographically specific from the beginning and that only fine tuning might occur in neonates before or after the onset of hearing, implying that the development of auditory pathway connections is independent of any acoustic stimula-

tion.24 The grossly normal formation of these connections is apparently specified by genes at the onset. However, there is also evidence demonstrating that the central pathway undergoes developmental changes that occur, at least partially, in parallel with the peripheral development. Moreover, sound deprivation in the perinatal period may have negative effects on the normal development of the central auditory sys-

tem.25,26 Our results seem to support the latter theory, that in subjects with CND, the subcortical auditory pathway central to the cochlear nerve is not normal in terms of neuronal dif-

Discussion

DTI measures the displacement of water molecules on the microscale and provides information about white matter fibers that pass within a pixel. Changes in MR imaging signals may be attributed to a number of physiologic factors including edema, demyelination, gliosis, and inflammation. By examin-

ing the different diffusivities and combining different diffusion indices, we can identify the pathologic process.10 The under-

lying mechanism for the changes in FA and MD related to various pathologies is still under debate. A comprehensive un-

derstanding of the changes in the microenvironment of the tissue can only be accomplished with a thorough investigation of each diffusion ingredient.21,22 In the series of 37 individuals with sensorineural hearing loss who had no morphologic ab-

normality of the inner ear or auditory pathway, Lin et al19 found that DTI showed a high LA_L, which consequently led to a decreased FA in the LL and the IC, suggesting myelin sheath degeneration in the brain stem. The present study examined the underlying integrity of the neurons of ipsilateral and contralateral subcortical pathways in subjects with unilateral CND. The results, similar to those of Lin et al, suggested that the orientation of neural fibers along the subcortical auditory pathway in subjects with unilateral CND may be preserved. The reduction in FA and the increase in LA_L on both the ipsi-

lateral and contralateral sides might be attributed to axonal loss and/or demyelination of the subcortical auditory tract in these subjects.

IC, whereas the MD is significantly increased for the contralateral side of the CND group. The LA_L increased significantly for the contralateral side, whereas the LA_L remained unchanged. Table 3 shows the comparison of the ipsilateral side of the CND group with that in the control group. Similar results were obtained for the contralateral side: significantly reduced FA and increased MD at both the LL and IC with the LA_L increased significantly for the ipsilateral side while the LA_L remained unchanged.

In a previous article, our group demonstrated increased LA_L and decreased FA of the contralateral side of the subcortical tract in subjects of long-term deafness caused by cochlear lesions.20 Compared with subjects with that result, patients with CND have decreased FA and increased LA_L on both ipsilateral and contralateral sides of subcortical tract. The finding of ab-

normal diffusivity bilaterally is interesting. It is clear that IC and LL are the sites of convergence of input from the multiple lower auditory nuclei, including the superior olivary complex and the cochlear nucleus. Some previous studies have shown that unilateral damage of peripheral hearing organs can result in disruption of projections and decreased neuronal activities of the bilateral LL and IC.27-29 Why the ipsilateral side of the CND with abnormal diffusivity of the auditory tract still has normal hearing is not clear. One possible explanation is that
the 20%–30% of the nerve bundles that come from the same (ipsilateral) side have damage severe enough to cause a significant change in these diffusion indices, whereas the remaining normal 70%–80% fibers from the contralateral healthy side can function normally and hence maintain normal hearing.

There are some limitations of the present study. First, only 1 rater was responsible for the region-of-interest designation. Use of at least 2 raters would be helpful for the reliability of region-of-interest assessments. Another limitation of this study is that only 2 regions of interest were selected for evaluation. The compromise in image resolution and signal-intensity–to-noise ratio, compared with the tiny complex structures along the auditory pathway, led to potential partial volume effects and difficulty in delineating the regions of interest from other important brain stem nuclei, such as the cochlear nucleus and medial geniculate body. The third limitation was the small number of cases, for 2 reasons: First, this kind of case is uncommon. Second, to obtain reliable data, we considered only subjects older than 8 years of age suitable for the DTI procedures. Finally, actual tractography between the LL and IC was not attempted in the current study. It will be attempted and the results should provide more information on this issue in the future.

Conclusions

Our DTI study demonstrated that the longitudinal diffusion and the orientation coherence were maintained but the neuronal integrity was affected along the contralateral and ipsilateral subcortical auditory projections central to the cochlear nerve in subjects with unilateral CND. The reduction in FA and increase in λ₁, on the both the ipsilateral and contralateral sides might be attributed to axonal loss and/or demyelination as a consequence of maldevelopment or late-onset auditory deprivation in these subjects.
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