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Challenges in tin perovskite solar cells

Tin perovskites could be the next rising star of the photovoltaics world and represent an environmentally friendly alternative to lead perovskites. However, they show 10% less efficiency than lead perovskites in practice. This unexpected low efficiency is attributed to unstable solvents and materials, bad energy bands alignment, Sn(II) oxidation, and fast crystallization dynamics. Addressing these four challenges would unlock the inherent potential of tin perovskites. This would be a significant step towards new efficient, safe and affordable photovoltaic technology.

As featured in:

See Antonio Abate, Meng Li et al., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2021, 23, 23413.
Challenges in tin perovskite solar cells

Mahmoud Aldamasy,\textsuperscript{a,b} Zafar Iqbal,\textsuperscript{a} Guixiang Li,\textsuperscript{a} Jorge Pascual,\textsuperscript{a} Fahad Alharthi,\textsuperscript{c} Antonio Abate\textsuperscript{a}\textsuperscript{c} and Meng Li\textsuperscript{a}d

Perovskite solar cells are the rising star of third-generation photovoltaic technology. With a power conversion efficiency of 25.5%, the record efficiency is close to the theoretical maximum efficiency of a single-junction solar cell. However, lead toxicity threatens commercialization efforts and market accessibility. In this context, Sn-based perovskites are a safe alternative. Nevertheless, the efficiency of Sn-based devices falls far behind the efficiency of Pb-based counterparts. This concise review sheds light on the challenges that the field faces toward making Sn-based perovskites the perovskite photovoltaic benchmark. We identified four key challenges: materials and solvents, film formation, Sn(II) oxidation, and energy band alignment. We illustrate every single challenge and highlight the most successful attempts to overcome them. Finally, we provide our opinion on the most promising trends of this field in the future.

1. Motivation

Exploring the photoactive properties of halide perovskites started twenty years ago as a scientific curiosity, and it resulted in a novel type of photovoltaics and optoelectronics.\textsuperscript{1} Perovskite solar cells (PSCs) grabbed the attention from the photovoltaic community due to their ease of fabrication, optimum optoelectronic properties, low cost, material abundance, and increasing power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) that quickly increased from 3.8% in 2009 to 25.5% in 2021.\textsuperscript{2} These advantageous characteristics encouraged an unprecedented number of researchers to work on developing PSCs. With the inherited knowledge and techniques from previously developed technologies, especially silicon and organic photovoltaics, notable progress has been made in the last ten years to overcome the hurdles that exist in industrialization and commercialization of PSCs.\textsuperscript{3} It is the first time an emerging technology has quickly overtaken older technologies like dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSC) and compete with other commercially available...
technologies such as crystalline silicon solar cells, cadmium telluride (CdTe), and copper indium gallium selenide (CIGS) in terms of power conversion efficiency (PCE).4–6 However, long-term stability7,8 and lead toxicity9,10 still hinder PSCs from accessing the market, at least on the short term.11

The toxicological nature of lead increases fears of environmental implications that could hinder PSCs' investments and market adoption. This perspective made the replacement or reduction of lead in PSCs a core activity of several research groups. Nevertheless, the current record efficiencies rely on lead as the metallic component inside the perovskite crystal.12

According to the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the maximum allowed lead concentrations are $0.15 \text{ mg/m}^3$ and $0.15 \text{ mg/L}$ in air and water, respectively. The directives adopted by the European Union against the use of lead limit its concentration in electronic devices to less than 0.1% of the weight of each homogeneous material inside the device. However, current state-of-the-art lead-based perovskite materials exceed this threshold by at least tenfold taking into consideration the high water solubility of lead compounds used in PSCs.13 As a backup strategy, the photovoltaic community started looking for a lead-free perovskite technology14–16 in order to lower or even eliminate lead from PSCs completely.11

Applying the perovskite dimensionality rules, we realize that a very limited number of metal ions could form a high-performing and environmentally friendly perovskite structure,17,18 such as Bi/Sb-based halides, a semi-perovskite structure, double perovskites, and Sn/Ge-based perovskites.18 Sn attracted the most attention among these candidates as it fulfills the charge balance, coordination, and ionic size prerequisites.19 Tin perovskites carry many environmental advantages over lead perovskites. Tin could be more toxic to living organisms than lead when leaked to the environment. However, it is less bioavailable due to the lower water solubility of oxygenated Sn$^{4+}$ compounds.20,21
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Tin perovskites show exciting electrical and optoelectronic properties enabling them to excel lead perovskites in terms of photovoltaic performance. The outer electronic configuration of tin is very similar to that of lead (ns²np⁴), and the atomic size of both elements is comparable (1.49 Å for Pb(n) and 1.35 Å for Sn(n)). However, the atomic number of lead is higher than that of tin, thanks to the relativistic effect.²² Compared to lead perovskites, tin perovskites show a very sharp absorption edge with a direct bandgap ranging from 1.35 to 1.4 eV, close to the Shockley–Queisser limit with an estimated theoretical efficiency of above 30% (e.g., 33.7% at 1.34 eV). Tin perovskites also show higher charge mobilities and a higher external photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY).²³,²⁴ These properties would enable Sn-based perovskites to overcome their Pb analogs in terms of efficiency while already showing very promising applications for quantum dots, sensors, detectors, and transistors.²⁵,²⁶

Currently, tin perovskites still lie in the back behind Pb-based counterparts in terms of efficiency due to several causes. First, Sn(n) oxidation to Sn(IV), which causes self-p-doping and devastates the performance of the devices. Second, fast crystallization dynamics, Sn-based perovskites crystallize very fast compared to Pb-based perovskites, which results in nonuniform crystallization, smaller perovskite domains, pinholes, and a considerable amount of defects. Third, energy band alignment, most of the charge transport layers are designed primarily for Pb-based perovskites, but when used blindly for Sn-based perovskites, we find energy band mismatch, which is very harmful to the overall performance of Sn-perovskite devices (Fig. 1).²²

**Chronological overview**

The first successful attempt to synthesise Sn-based perovskites dates back to 1974 by Scaife and co-workers, where they synthesized and characterized all-inorganic CsSnX₃, where X₃ is Cl₃, BrCl₂, Br₂, BrI₂, BrI₃, and I₃.²⁷ Five years later, Parry and co-workers prepared and studied the optoelectronic properties of the same all-inorganic Sn-based perovskite materials.²⁸,²⁹ However, hybrid Sn perovskites were never reported until 1988 when Yamada and co-workers prepared and investigated the phase transition properties of MASnBr₃ (MA = CH₃NH₃⁺).³⁰–³² From the mid-90s till 2001, Mitzi and co-workers studied the dimensionality and structural engineering of different structures of layered perovskites.³³ In addition, they reported more detailed studies on the thermal, optical, magnetic, and electrical properties of layered Sn-based perovskites.³⁴,³⁵ It was also reported that the conductivity of such layered perovskites varies with the thickness or the number of perovskite layers; in other words, its electric behavior changes from semiconducting to metallic by increasing the number of perovskite layers.³⁵,³⁶ Furthermore, they exploited the optoelectronic properties, low cost, and low-temperature processability of Sn-based perovskites by demonstrating them as a semiconducting channel in thin-film field-effect transistors; this was the first reported electronic application of a hybrid lead-free tin-based halide perovskite material.³⁷,³⁸

Sn-Based perovskite materials started to attract attention from the photovoltaic community, especially after 2009 when Chung and co-workers reported the first solar cell based on MAPbI₃ and MAPbBr₃ perovskite photoactive materials.¹ The first report about Sn perovskites was published in 2012 by Chung and co-workers, where they employed CsSnI₃ doped with SnF₂ as a hole transporting material (HTM) in a DSSC using dye N719 as the solar absorber, and they achieved a PCE of 10.2% (8.51% with a mask).³⁹ Later on, in the same year, Shum and co-workers employed CsSnI₃ with a bandgap of 1.3 eV as an independent light absorber in a Schottky solar cell and achieved a PCE of 0.9%.⁴⁰ In 2013, the PV community started to reinvestigate and exploit the optoelectronic properties of Sn-based perovskites as a potential candidate for solar light harvesting.⁴¹–⁴⁴ The first promising efficiencies were reported in 2014 when Snaith and co-workers reported a PCE of 6.4% based on MASnI₃ as a light absorber.¹⁵ Four days later, Hao and co-workers reported an efficiency of around 5.7% utilizing MASnI₃ and MASnI₃–ₓBrₓ as photoactive absorber materials.¹⁶ These initial results gave the solar cell community
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Fig. 1 Efficiency progress of Sn- and Pb-based PSCs over time.
a sense of trust in Sn-based materials as potential nontoxic contenders for the rocketing Pb-based perovskites.45–47

In early 2016, Lee and co-workers reported FASnI3-based PSCs (FA = NH₂CH₂NH₃⁺) utilizing SnF₂-pyrazine complexes to guarantee a uniform dispersion of SnF₂ to avoid perovskite phase separation; an efficiency of 4.8% was achieved, and the encapsulated cells maintained 98% of its initial efficiency for 100 days.48,49 Later on, Liao and co-workers achieved a PCE of 6.22% based on inverted planar FASnI3 utilizing the solvent engineering method.50 In 2017, the PSC community witnessed remarkable achievements in terms of both efficiency and stability of Sn-based PSCs, most of which were based on inspired techniques from Pb-based PSCs such as cation mixing,51 incorporating low dimensional perovskites,52 and utilizing hollow53,54 and mixed 2D–3D perovskites.55 Such practices led to a jump in the PCE from 6% in 2016 to around 9%,55 and significantly enhanced stability.53 Zhao and co-workers adopted the cation mixing strategy to improve cell efficiency; by optimizing the ratio of the FA⁺ and MA⁺ cation mixture, a PCE of 8.12% was obtained along with an improved perovskite film morphology.51 Shao and co-workers mixed 92% of highly crystalline 3D FASnI3 with 8% of 2D Sn perovskites in a p–i–n planar device; this increased the PCE to 9% with negligible hysteresis, low shunt losses, and very low trap-assisted recombination.55 In early 2018, Ran and co-workers reported a 2D–3D heterojunction Sn-based PSC using phenylethylammoniumiodide (PEAI) as a 2D and FASnI3 as a 3D perovskite material and a PCE of 6.98% was obtained.56 At the same time, Liu and co-workers improved the morphology of perovskite layers by using hot antisolvent and annealing under a low partial pressure of DMSO.57 Both groups reported a PCE of around 7%. In 2019, PCEs of Sn-based PSCs continued to surge, approaching the 10% threshold through two different approaches. Jokar and co-workers reported the first approach by using bulk organic molecules such as ethylenediaminonium diiodide (EDA2I) and butylammonium iodide (BAI) to improve film morphology and improve crystallinity in addition to surface passivation, and they achieved a PCE of 8.9%.58 Later on, the same group used guanidinium cation GA⁺ as a nonpolar organic cation mixed with an FA⁺ cation in the ratio of 20:80 respectively in addition to 1% ethylenediaminonium diiodide (EDA2I) as an additive to suppress Sn(i) oxidation to Sn(0) and passive surface defects; 9.6% was achieved after storage in a glovebox environment for 2000 h; this gradual increase in efficiency was attributed to the slow surface passivation and crystal relaxation.59 The second approach was reported by Wang and co-workers. They grew parallel-orientated 2D PEA₂SnI₄ on the surface of 3D FASnI₃, using pseudohalogen NH₄SCN as a structure regulator. This hierarchical 2D-quasi-2D–3D Sn-based perovskite structure delivered a PCE of 9.41% with improved air stability and high reproducibility.60 In 2020, Nishimura and co-workers achieved a PCE of 13.24% by partially substituting FA⁺ with ethylammonium (EA⁺), which enhanced the film morphology and charge extraction.61 Recently, two high efficiencies were reported, 13.4%62 using phenylhydrazine with FA as mixed cations and a mixture of halogenes Cl⁻ and Br⁻ as mixed anions by Wang and co-workers; however, the record efficiency in tin perovskites is 14.63% using one-step synthesis of SnI₃-solvent adducts.63 In terms of stability, Liu and co-workers reported FASnI₃ layers prepared via templated crystal growth with a PCE of 11.22% and decent stability of over 1000 h of light soaking while retaining 95% of the initial efficiency.64 These improvements and efficiencies approaching 15% were possible through the big effort of the community. And, despite all the strategies implemented, Sn-based PSCs must still face important challenges if they are to reach the expected performance. The photovoltaic parameters of the best performing tin-based perovskite devices in recent years is summarized in Table 1.

In this review, we discuss the bottlenecks that hinder Sn-based PSCs from achieving efficiencies comparable to those of Pb-based PSCs.65 First, we discuss the effect of solvents and materials on the performance and stability of the devices and the recent efforts to find new solvent systems for FASnI₃. Second, we discuss the film formation and crystallization dynamics in Sn-based perovskites and talk about the most effective tries to make oriented and highly crystalline films. In particular, we discuss the use of 2D/3D mixed perovskites to make highly efficient Sn-based PSCs. After that, we discuss the oxidation of Sn(i) to Sn(0) and the most successful attempts to quench it. Finally, we discuss the energy band alignment and its effect on charge extraction and overall device efficiency.  

| Table 1 | Summary of the evolution of tin-based perovskite solar cells |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Tin perovskite | Year | $V_{oc}$ [V] | $J_{sc}$ [mA cm⁻²] | FF | PCE [%] |
| MASnI₃ | 2014 | 0.88 | 16.8 | 42 | 6.4 |
| FASnI₃ | 2016 | 0.46 | 22 | 61 | 6.2 |
| FASnI₃ | 2017 | 0.53 | 24.1 | 71 | 9.0 |
| FASnI₃ | 2018 | 0.58 | 21.3 | 72 | 8.9 |
| FASnI₃ | 2018 | 0.61 | 22 | 70 | 9.4 |
| FASnI₃ | 2019 | 0.62 | 21.2 | 73 | 9.6 |
| FASnI₃ | 2020 | 0.84 | 20.3 | 78 | 13.2 |
| FASnI₃Br | 2020 | 0.81 | 23 | 72 | 13.4 |
| FASnI₃ | 2021 | 0.91 | 20.6 | 77 | 14.6 |

2. Precursors & solvents

To find the main reason behind the low efficiency in Sn-based halide perovskites,66 we have to take a step backward and follow up the processing steps from the beginning. We should start with the materials we use to prepare the precursor solutions. For example, commercially available reagents may contain a small but effective amount of Sn(IV) (≤ 0.1%), which is enough to convert an AsnX₃ film into a p-type material, with devastating effects on the photovoltaic performance of devices.67 Therefore, analyzing the purity of raw materials is necessary to guarantee that Sn(0) is not present as an initial component, minimizing the incorporation of oxidised species and alleviating self-p-doping. Furthermore, it is also vital for perovskite film formation, as we will explain in a later section.68
Several researchers placed great importance on material purification, and different approaches were reported. Ozaki and co-workers followed a chemical purification strategy in which they formed a tin halide-solvent complex \([\text{SnX}_2\text{S}]_n\) where \(S\) is a solvent (\(S = \text{DMF and DMSO}\)).\(^{69,70}\) In the beginning, they characterized \(\text{SnI}_2\) using \(^{119}\text{Sn}\) magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and Karl Fischer titration. They found that \(\text{SnI}_2\) contains around 10% of \(\text{SnI}_4\) and about 10 000 ppm of water, although the bottle was labeled 99.99% purity. The \(^{119}\text{Sn}\)-NMR spectra in Fig. 2a show a peak at \(-1742\) ppm, which is attributed to the \(\text{SnI}_4\). TGA confirmed the same results as 10.1% weight loss was observed at \(\sim 150^\circ\text{C}\), which corresponds to the sublimation of \(\text{SnI}_4\) (Fig. 2b), while \(\text{SnI}_2\) sublimates at 330 °C. Next, Ozaki and co-workers utilized the difference in the sublimation temperature between \(\text{SnI}_2\) and \(\text{SnI}_4\) to purify \(\text{SnI}_2\) using the vacuum sublimation technique where \(\text{SnI}_4\) sublimates and then condenses as an orange powder at \(\sim 150^\circ\text{C}\).\(^{69}\) \(^{119}\text{Sn}\)-NMR and TGA analyses of the purified \(\text{SnI}_2\) using the vacuum sublimation method are shown in Fig. 2c and d.

They recrystallized \(\text{SnI}_2\) in DMF and DMSO to remove any \(\text{SnO}_2\) residuals from the vacuum sublimation step for further purity. \(\text{SnI}_2\) from the first step was dissolved in DMF and DMSO, and then toluene or dichloromethane was poured gently into the solution, which converted into needle crystals of \([\text{SnI}_2\text{(DMF)}]\), \([\text{SnI}_2\text{(DMSO)}]\) and \([\text{SnI}_2\text{(DMF)}]\) as determined by single-crystal XRD (Fig. 2e and f). The purity of these crystals was confirmed using elemental analysis and \(^{119}\text{Sn}\) MAS NMR spectroscopy and found to be stable under an inert atmosphere and could be used conveniently as a pure starting reagent for tin perovskites. The authors merged these purifying techniques with other film formation methods and additives to achieve a PCE of \(\sim 12\%\) for FASnI$_3$.

Solvents have a very strong influence on perovskite film crystallization and, hence, on the device performance.\(^{71,72}\) Nevertheless, in Sn-based PSCs, solvents have a much more profound influence due to the sensitivity of Sn(II) towards oxidation.\(^{73}\) In parallel, Sargent’s group and our group independently found that DMSO was undergoing a redox reaction...
with Sn(II), oxidizing it to Sn(IV).\textsuperscript{74,75} Solid-state \textsuperscript{119}Sn-NMR analysis of the received SnI\textsubscript{2} did not show any Sn(IV) peaks, implying no content of it or a concentration lower than the measured detection limit of 1.5 wt\%. By exposing the same sample to air, the Sn(IV) signal appeared and increased with exposure to air. After that, fresh precursor solutions of FASnI\textsubscript{3} were prepared and characterized for the presence of Sn(IV).

Fortunately, there was no Sn(IV) at room temp, but after heating the solutions at 100 °C for 30 minutes to simulate the conditions of the film formation, the color of the DMSO-based FASnI\textsubscript{3} changed to dark red, and Sn(IV) peaks were detected in the \textsuperscript{119}Sn-NMR spectrum.\textsuperscript{75} To further understand the oxidation mechanism of Sn(II) to Sn(IV), \textsuperscript{13}C-NMR and \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR analyses were performed for the organic species in precursor solutions (DMSO, FAI, and MAI). \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR showed a peak at \(~1.9\) ppm for both MA\textsuperscript{+} and FA\textsuperscript{+} containing solutions, and this peak matches with the expected peak of dimethylsulphide (DMS) which confirms the same finding reported earlier by Saidaminov and co-workers. The same peak was found in the \textsuperscript{13}C-NMR spectrum, which confirms the formation of DMS as a product of the Sn(II) oxidation reaction (Fig. 3b). Previous results confirm the occurrence of a redox reaction between DMSO and Sn(II) which is promoted in the presence of an acidic environment\textsuperscript{76,77} (Fig. 3c).

Considering the negative impact of DMSO, so far the universal solvent for tin perovskites, on material stability, our group decided to replace it by new solvent combinations.\textsuperscript{78} We targeted solvents with the ability to form a perovskite solution with a concentration over 1 M and be stable after thermal soaking for at least 12 hours at 100 °C. For this, we screened most of the possible functional groups with particular attention to dipolar aprotic solvents which could dissolve ionic molecules such as FAI and SnI\textsubscript{2} using their strong dipoles. Some solvents were excluded, such as strong acids, solvents with big aromatic structures commonly used as antisolvents, solvents with too low boiling point such as methylamine, and solvents with a high melting point. Out of 2000 suggested molecules, we tested 76 solvents for their solubility, stability, and ability to form a perovskite solution. Experimentally, we identified 12 solvents that could form a stable perovskite solution with six different functional groups with the amide group being the mostly presented (Fig. 4a). To ensure that these new precursors could form perovskite materials, the easiest way was to drop-cast the precursor on a glass substrate, and then anneal at 150 °C for 20 minutes with a thermal ramp of 12 °C min\(^{-1}\). It was impressive that 15 out of 17 solvents (including DMSO and DMF) formed a black phase. XRD characterization was performed for the drop-casted films of the new solvents, and the resultant peak positions are in agreement with the standard positions of crystalline FASnI\textsubscript{3} films. However, some samples have low crystallinity, and some other samples have different phases; such optimizations can be dealt with in the film formation stage.

The ability of the new solvents to form a working perovskite device was also tested. A mixture of two solvents, namely \(N,N\)-diethylformamide (DEF) and \(N,N'\)-dimethylpropyleneurea (DMPU), in a 6:1 ratio achieved a PCE of 6.2% for FASnI\textsubscript{3} without using any additives. Meanwhile, additive-free DMSO samples achieved a PCE of only 3.84% (Fig. 4b and c). These results prove that finding a new stable solvent for processing Sn-based perovskites could be an entrance towards achieving highly efficient and durable tin-based PSCs.

3. Film formation

The formation of high-quality perovskite films is a vital prerequisite to push the performance of PSCs forward.\textsuperscript{79} The crystalline quality and morphology of perovskite films are related directly to the overall performance of the device. To make high-quality perovskite films, we have to understand and manipulate the mechanism of crystal formation that starts from the precursor solution and ends at the end of the annealing step.\textsuperscript{80} The perovskite crystallization process depends on several factors such as the substrate nature, temperature, spin coating parameters, solvent, and antisolvent interaction.\textsuperscript{81} Film formation dynamics and crystallization kinetics in Sn-based perovskites are different from those of lead-based perovskites.\textsuperscript{82}
Although many reports focused on studying the crystallization dynamics and kinetics of Pb-based perovskites through in situ techniques, very few reports dealt with the same point in Sn-based perovskites. Dong and co-workers studied the crystallization mechanism of tin perovskites using several techniques, offering essential insights that revealed the mechanism of structural revolution, material transformation, and crystallization dynamics in mixed 2D/3D Sn-based perovskites. They found that crystallization tends to start at the precursor/air interface, but in 3D Sn-based perovskites, the crystallization rate is comparable in bulk and at the precursor/air interface. Moreover, the addition of 2D molecules hinders the crystallization process in bulk, which allows uniform and highly oriented crystals with a similar structure to the 3D perovskites. The crystallization dynamics, in general, were found to be very fast compared to the lead-based counterpart.

Liu and co-workers reported a PCE of 11.22% based on highly crystalline FASnI₃ films with preferential orientation along the (001) plane. They reported a solvent pretreatment method using a mixture of n-propylammoniumiodide (PAI) and DMSO (1 : 100 v/v). DMSO acts as a solvent to provide the liquid interface for nucleation. At the same time, PAI aggregates around the newborn nuclei of FASnI₃ and forms a template that regulates the crystal growth and orients it along the (100) plane. PAI was used in a minimal amount compared to DMSO (1 : 100 v/v) as it is not considered as an additive to the precursor solution or co-solvent because it is not forming 2D perovskite that covers the surface of 3D perovskites. The mechanism of action of PAI is shown in Fig. 5a. SEM images in Fig. 5b indicate a big difference in the grain sizes between FASnI₃ with and without PAI. The bigger the grain and the domains, the less the grain boundaries and the less the defects. In templated growth FASnI₃ (TG-FASnI₃), the defect density was lowered from 2.89 × 10¹⁶ cm⁻³ to 5.41 × 10¹⁵ cm⁻³. The XRD patterns in Fig. 5c show an increasing intensity of the 100 plane peak with an increase in the
The concentration of PAI from 1 to 2 mg mL\(^{-1}\), while it decreases again after increasing the concentration to 5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), which indicates that the optimum concentration of PAI is around 2 mg mL\(^{-1}\). The effect of such a small quantity of PAI on the crystallization and the photovoltaic performance of FASnI\(_3\) was tremendous as shown in Fig. 5d–g. TG-FASnI\(_3\) shows an increase in PL intensity which confirms the low defect density and the longer carrier diffusion length than regular control FASnI\(_3\). The prepared devices based on TG-FASnI\(_3\) showed much better operational stability compared to the control devices. Fig. 5g shows the results of maximum power point tracking (MPPT) of both devices encapsulated and stored in an N\(_2\) filled glove box. 95% of the initial efficiency was maintained after 1000 h of operation, while the control devices lost around 60% of their initial efficiency after 500 h.

Finally, one of the bottlenecks that tin-based perovskites face is the fast crystallization leading to low film quality compared to that of lead-based perovskites, which leads to a high defect density in the range of \(10^{13}-10^{15}\), while in lead-based perovskites, it is \(10^{13}-10^{14}\) cm\(^{-3}\). This high defect density is one of the main reasons behind the low efficiency of tin-based perovskites. \(^87\)
4. Sn(II) oxidation

Oxidation of Sn(II) is one of the bottlenecks to achieve good efficiency in Sn-based perovskites solar cells. Sn(II) is more vulnerable to oxidation which is electronically and chemically favorable.\(^{88}\) It has been revealed through theoretical calculations that tin oxidation is favorable on the pristine surface and unfavorable in the bulk. Resultantly, bulk Sn(n) changes into Sn(II), which releases two holes to the valence band and by p-doping the perovskite.\(^{68}\) This has been experimentally proved that tin vacancies lead to p-doping which limits the diffusion length.\(^{15,89}\)

Although Sn and Pb are present in the same group of the periodic table, it has been found that the Sn 5s\(^2\) orbital has higher energy as compared to the 6s\(^2\) orbital of Pb which increases its contribution to the valence band edge. The electrons of 6s\(^2\) in Pb show an inert pair effect due to lanthanide contraction but 5s\(^2\) lacks this phenomenon which ultimately makes Sn electrons loosely bound and easy to lose.\(^{90}\)

To stop the oxidation of tin and to improve the stability of the perovskite films and devices, different SnX\(_2\) species have been employed in excess to stop p-doping. For the first time, Kumar and co-workers showed that the introduction of SnF\(_2\) in inorganic tin halide CsSnI\(_3\) reduces the Sn vacancies.\(^{91}\) Later on, they have also reported that by the introduction of SnF\(_2\) in FASnI\(_3\) films, the oxidation of Sn(II) has been effectively reduced, and there is an increase in the J\(_{sc}\) values of the device.\(^{92}\) SnF\(_2\) has also been introduced in CsSnBr\(_3\) films, and it has been found that it suppresses the oxidation of Sn(n).\(^{93}\)

In the most recent work on Sn halide perovskite-based devices, SnF\(_2\) has been used as an important dopant as it suppresses the oxidation of Sn(n), improves film morphology, and also improves J\(_{sc}\).\(^{94}\) Other SnX\(_2\) species like SnCl\(_2\), SnBr\(_2\), and SnI\(_2\) improve the film quality, device performances\(^{95}\) energy alignments\(^{91}\) and also surface passivation.\(^{96}\)

Hydrazine and its derivatives were used by many researchers as a reducing material in Sn-based perovskites. Hydrazine in the vapor phase has been used in MASN\(_3\) and CsSnI\(_3\) film formation and for device fabrication.\(^{97}\) The ratio of Sn(n) to Sn(II) has been reduced by using hydrazine vapors. It has been found that hydrazine molecules release electrons which suppress the oxidation of Sn(n).\(^{98}\) By introducing phenylhydrazine hydrochloride salt (PHCl) in the FASnI\(_3\) film, Wang and co-workers reported 11.4% efficiency. It has been noticed that incorporating the molecules in the crystal lattice improves the device performance.\(^{99}\)

Similarly, by adding 8 mol% trihydrazine dihydriodide (TTHD) to FASnI\(_3\) solution, the oxidation of Sn(n) was suppressed, and the champion device efficiency was reported to be 8.50%. Another derivate of hydrazine 4-fluorobenzohydrazide (FBH) was introduced in FASnI\(_3\), and it significantly enhanced the efficiency as compared to that of the control device. The champion device efficiency was reported to be 9.03% by using FBH molecules.\(^{100}\) Recently, Wang and co-workers achieved a record efficiency of 13.4% (12.4% certified) through the introduction of synergistic effects between PH cations and halide anions.\(^{62}\)

T. Wang and co-workers introduced gallic acid (GA) as an antioxidant along with SnCl\(_2\) for FASnI\(_3\) films. By exploring the underlying chemistry of GA and the tin precursor, they reported 9.03% efficiency.\(^{101}\) Kayesh and co-workers used 5-ammonium valeric acid (5-AVAI) to enhance the oxidation stability of FASnI\(_3\) perovskite films. 5-AVAI not only suppresses the Sn(n) oxidation but also enhances the crystallinity by making a hydrogen bond with SnI\(_4\). The devices show improved stability with 7% efficiency.\(^{102}\)

The primary precursor used for tin halide perovskites is SnI\(_4\). It is oxidized by air. To make it purer, Sn metal powder is used. Low purity has a high level of doping which ultimately decreases the efficiency. Huang and co-workers used tin powder to purify tin sources from Sn(n) moieties, which has increased the device performance parameters.\(^{103}\) Recently, Nakamura and co-workers have reported a different technique to make Sn(n) free devices. They have produced Sn metal nanoparticles from SnF\(_2\) over SnBr\(_3\) and SnCl\(_2\) by using 1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-1,4-dihydroprazine (TM-DHP) molecules. These Sn metal nanoparticles can reduce SnI\(_4\) to SnI\(_2\) to make it a Sn(n) free system. By using this technique, they have reported more than 11.2% certified efficiency.\(^{104}\)

5. Device structure and energy alignment

In device operation, when light is shone on the device, due to the low bandgap, the electrons from the valence band of the perovskite halide materials shift to the conduction band and leave the hole vacancies in the valence band. These generated electrons and holes diffuse in the ETM and HTM and are finally collected at the cathode and anode. These ETM and HTM materials should be efficient enough to transport the electrons and holes across the boundary. This phenomenon is only possible when the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO) of the hole transport material and electron transport layer are well aligned with the conduction and the valence band of the perovskite material. For better performance and stability of the devices, hole transport materials and electron transport layers are chosen accordingly.\(^{105}\)

Conventional HTMs in Sn-based perovskites were designed originally for Pb-based perovskites and then tried for Sn-based devices after showing a good performance. However, the positions of energy bands in Sn-based perovskites are slightly different from those of Pb-based perovskites. This leads to mismatching in the alignment of the energy bands of the absorber material with charge transfer layers on both sides of the Sn-based absorber film.\(^{106}\) For instance, the conventional HTM used in inverted devices poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS) has a work function of about −5.0 eV (higher than FASnI\(_3\)) and 2,2',7,7'-tetrakis[N,N-di(3-methoxyphenylamine)]-9,9-spirobifluorene (spiro-OMeTAD) has an even more higher HOMO level that is −5.22 eV. Due to these
effect on the perovskite layer107 and in addition to that, they enhance its hole mobility. These dopants have a harmful effect on the perovskite material. Later, it was found that spiro-OMeTAD, which is used as the hole transport material in regular structure devices, needs dopants like lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Li-TFSI) and 4-tert-butylpyridine (tBP), which enhance its hole mobility. These dopants have a harmful effect on the perovskite layer107 and in addition to that, they increase the rate of charge recombination by forming bonds between TiO2 and Sn (Fig. 6).108

After these attempts, the first inverted device reported 3.30% efficiency, which was fabricated by using CsSnI3 as the perovskite material, NiO2 as the hole transport layer, and PCBM and Al as ETMs. By using PEDOT:PSS as the HTL, Liao and co-workers reported 6.22% efficiency.50 Later on, Liu and co-workers tuned the energy levels of PEDOT:PSS by using polyethylene glycol (PEG). Fullerene molecules are used as ETMs in inverted devices. To know which derivative has the best energy alignment with Sn perovskites, C60, PCBM, and ICBA were used as ETMs for FASnI3 perovskites. Among all, ICBA with work functions of -3.73 and -5.73 has perfect energy alignment with the perovskite and Sn (Fig. 6).108

6. Conclusion and outlook

Sn-based PSCs carry enough optoelectronic properties to achieve the maximum theoretical efficiency of a single junction solar cell according to the QS limit. We believe that it will also be a key component in the multi-junction solar cell industry. So far, an efficiency of 14.6% has been achieved, which is 10% less than where it should be compared to those of Pb-based PSCs. However, to achieve such ambitious goals, Sn-based PSCs must overcome four bottlenecks, namely: (1) pure starting chemicals and non-oxidising solvents, (2) better film formation dynamics, (3) Sn(n) oxidation suppression and (4) better energy band alignment. To overcome these limitations, we need to focus on finding new, stable, and effective solvents for Sn-based PSCs. We also need to understand the Sn chemistry inside the precursor, and through film formation steps, customize new contact layers that have better matching with the energy bands of Sn-based compositions, and stabilizing Sn(n) through all the device making steps. Then we could provide a high

---

**Fig. 6** (a) Band profiles of each ETL material and perovskite material obtained experimentally from the ionization potential, the electron affinity, and the work function. The dotted lines indicate the Fermi energy, and the vacuum level (EVac) is plotted as zero. (b) Conduction band offset (CBO) at the ETL/perovskite layer interface when using each of the oxides. The CBO (ECBO) is defined as the energy difference between the electron affinity of the ETL material and that of the perovskite material.109 Reproduced with permission Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society.
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