Association between secondhand smoke exposure and hypertension in never smokers: a cross-sectional survey using data from Korean National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey V, 2010–2012
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure is associated with cardiovascular disease. This study aims to determine the association between SHS exposure estimated by questionnaire and hypertension in Korean never smokers.

Setting Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) V was conducted from 2010 to 2012.

Participants We selected the never smokers aged over 20 years who answered the question about the SHS exposure.

Primary and secondary measures SHS exposure in both the home and work place was estimated using a self-reporting questionnaire. We investigated the association between SHS exposure and hypertension by using multivariate analysis. And we evaluated the mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure values according to SHS exposure after adjusting for possible confounding factors. All analyses were stratified by women and men.

Results There were 10,532 (women 8,987 and men 1,545) never smokers. We divided the subjects into three groups according to the amount of SHS exposure: none—group I, <2 hour/day—group II and ≥2 hour/day—group III. Using multivariate analysis, hypertension was more commonly associated with group III than group I in women (adjusted OR 1.50, 95% CI 1.00 to 2.04, p=0.011). Adjusted mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure values according to SHS exposure after adjusting for possible confounding factors. All analyses were stratified by women and men.

Conclusion SHS exposure is significantly associated with hypertension in women never smokers.

INTRODUCTION

With a global prevalence of 26.4%,¹ hypertension is a large contributor to the burden of disease in adults. Well-known risk factor of hypertension is family history, but it is non-modifiable. Active cigarette smoking is another risk factor,² and it is totally preventable.³ Active smoking causes detrimental effects on smokers themselves, but secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure can also harm innocent bystanders. About 85% of SHS exposure results from sidestream smoke, which rises from the tip of a burning cigarette.⁴ Sidestream smoke is potentially more harmful because it is not filtered. In recent years, the public has paid more attention to the harmful effect of SHS exposure on cardiovascular diseases⁵ and supportive evidence is accumulating.⁶–⁸ Although the positive relationship between SHS exposure and hypertension has been reported, some studies did not confirm this relationship.⁹ In Korea, the adult smoking rate was 24.1% in 2013, and there was a big difference between sexes, 42.1% in men and 6.2% in women. In terms of the prevalence,
women are more vulnerable to SHS exposure. The aim of this study was to elucidate the association between SHS exposure and hypertension in Korean never smokers using national survey data.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population
The detailed data obtained from the fifth Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) V 2010–2012 are described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{10} KNHANES is an annual collection of data from the Korean Statistical Office census of 3800 households from 576 randomly selected survey areas with the number selected from each area proportional to its size. Trained interviewers administer questionnaires on various health-related information, and subjects self-report their alcohol and smoking habits. KNHANES uses a complex, multistage probability sample design. The sample represents the total non-institutionalised civilian population of Korea. These data are available on the internet (https://knhanes.cdc.go.kr). Adult never smokers >20 years old with secondhand smoking history available were included. Never smoker was defined when the total amount of smoking was <100 cigarettes during the lifetime. If histories of smoking or SHS exposure were not available, the cases were excluded. The institutional review board of Seoul National University Hospital waived the need for written informed consent from the participants (IRB no: H1509-011-699).

Definition of SHS exposure
Daily SHS exposure time was estimated both in the workplace and home. Total SHS exposure was calculated by the summation of both values. The total amount of SHS exposure was categorised into three groups according to exposure time; none (group I), <2 hour/day (group II) and ≥2 hour/day (group III).

Definition of hypertension
Hypertension was defined if one or more of the criteria below were met. (1) Diagnosed by physician, (2) using antihypertensive medications, (3) systolic blood pressure (BP) ≥140 mm Hg and (4) diastolic BP ≥90 mm Hg. The measurement of BP has been described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{11} In brief, after at least 5 min rest in a sitting position, BP was manually measured 3 times at 30 s intervals. Finally, the average of the second and third measurements were used.

Statistical analysis
Weighted analysis was used for the KNHANES V data. $\chi^2$ test was used for categorical variables and t-test was used for continuous variables in univariate analysis.\textsuperscript{12} Multivariable logistic regression with survey weight analysis was conducted to evaluate the association between SHS exposure and hypertension. In model 1, the only covariate was age, while in model 2, multiple covariates such as age, height, weight, waist circumference, serum triglyceride, fasting glucose, education, occupation, alcohol intake and marital status were included and adjusted for. In addition, we compared SBP and DBP means between groups, again adjusting for covariates. This analysis was limited to participants who were not taking antihypertensive medications. P value <0.05 was considered significant. We used the STATA software V.13.1 for statistical analysis.

Patient and public involvement
The KNHANES V data were released after anonymisation. The study population were not involved in the design of this study.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of participants
The KNHANES V data include 25 534 participants. Among them, we found 19 259 adults (age >20 years old). After excluding 1699 participants with unknown smoking history, 6791 ever smokers and 236 with unknown SHS exposure, a total of 10 532 (women 8987 and men 1545) never smokers were available for analysis (figure 1). Their mean age was 47.7±0.3 years in women and 39.9±0.5 years in men. The majority of the study population was light drinkers (<1/week), and socioeconomic status was evenly distributed by SHS exposure groups (table 1). The percentages of participants categorised into group I, II and III according to the degree of their SHS exposures were 68.1%, 24.2%, 7.7% in women and 53.3%, 39.4%, 7.2% in men. The participants in group I were older than those in the two other groups. The percentages of hypertension in group I, II and III were 29.3%, 19.3%, 25.9% in women and 25.2%, 22.0%, 30.6% in men. BP, education level, alcohol intake were significantly different between the three SHS exposure groups.

Hypertension is associated with SHS exposure measured by questionnaire in women never smokers
In women, hypertension was significantly associated with SHS exposure (group III) after adjustment for age (model 1, adjusted OR 1.49, 95% CI 1.14 to 1.93, p=0.003) and even after adjustment for age, height, weight, waist circumference, serum triglyceride, fasting glucose, education, occupation, alcohol intake and marital status (model 2, adjusted OR 1.50, 95% CI 1.10 to 2.04, p=0.011, table 2). But in men, no statistically significant differences were seen.

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure is increasing according to SHS exposure
The mean systolic and diastolic BP increased proportional to the increasing SHS exposure in women. On average, the differences between group III and group I were 2.3 mm Hg and 1.7 mm Hg in systolic and diastolic BP, respectively (p=0.030 and p=0.011) (figure 2). In men, we observed a tendency towards higher mean systolic and diastolic BP values in groups II and III compared with group I.
This study demonstrated the association between SHS exposure measured by self-reported questionnaire in women never smokers and hypertension, using a well-designed nationwide survey. Our findings strengthen the evidence of the harmful effect of chronic SHS exposure on hypertension. Additionally, we calculated the difference in mean systolic and diastolic BP between women group I and III by 2.3 and 1.7 mm Hg, respectively. Compared with this 3 mm Hg systolic BP change accomplished by weight reduction, the value of 2.3 mm Hg associated with SHS exposure is significant.

The association between hypertension and SHS exposure was observed only in women. We postulate three possibilities. First, men never smokers were younger than women who never smoked (39.9±0.5 vs 47.7±0.3). The influence of SHS exposure on BP could be limited in younger man. Second, SHS exposure could influence cardiovascular disease due to sex-dependent biologic effect. Third, there could be some limitations of statistical model 2 for men because of the many co-variables and the small numbers involved. The low statistical power due to small sample size could affect results. In our dataset, the proportion of men never smokers was only 19.4% of total men population aged over 20 years. Although we did not achieve statistically significant results in men, the changing pattern of systolic and diastolic BP was the same and it could support the biological effect between SHS exposure and BP.

Pharmacologically, nicotine stimulates the sympathetic nervous system in active smokers. Epinephrine and norepinephrine are released by nicotine stimulation, and these catecholamines increase myocardial contractility and promote vasoconstriction, which results in increases in BP. The association between smoking and hypertension has also been observed in a mouse model and in epidemiological studies. Moreover, the amount of smoking was positively correlated with hypertension and carotid artery atherosclerosis severity. The biological effect of SHS exposure on BP could be extrapolated from the effects of active smoking. Serum nicotine level was increased after SHS exposure in healthy non-smokers. In the context of active smoking exposure, the duration or amount of SHS exposure is also important in the evaluation of the chronic effect of passive smoking. Although the experimental studies of short-term SHS exposure did not demonstrate a change in BP, population-based studies have shown that the habitual SHS exposure is associated with hypertension.

Theoretically, SHS exposure can be determined by direct measurements of smoke components in the air, self-reported questionnaires, or by measurements of biomarkers from body fluid, such as serum, urine or saliva. The correlation between self-reported questionnaire and biochemical assessment has been generally accurate in prior meta-analysis. The association between hypertension and SHS exposure was demonstrated by using serum cotinine in the previous study and self-reported questionnaire in our study. Compared with biomarkers, self-reported questionnaires are inexpensive and provide information on long-term exposure.

To our knowledge, this study included the largest population used to examine SHS exposure based on a well-structured nationwide survey (KNHANES V), and a survey design analysis was applied to reduce the possibility of biased estimates. In addition, we included various potential confounding factors, including age, height, weight, waist circumference, serum triglyceride, fasting glucose, and...
education, occupation, alcohol intake and marital status in the statistical model to clarify the conclusion. However there were some limitations. First, our cross-sectional analysis could not conclude a causal relationship between SHS exposure and hypertension. For example, in some cases, hypertension may have been present before exposure to SHS. Second, information on the smoke concentration and the environment where SHS exposure occurred was

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics according to the degree of secondhand smoke exposure.

| Variables                      | Women                                      | Men                                      |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                                | Secondhand smoke exposure                  | Secondhand smoke exposure                |
|                                | None | <2 hour/day | ≥2 hour/day | P values | None | <2 hour/day | ≥2 hour/day | P values |
| % of total                     | 68.1 | 24.2        | 7.7         |          | 53.3 | 39.4        | 7.2         |          |
| Age (years)                    | 49.6±0.3 | 42.9±0.4    | 45.2±0.6    | <0.001* | 41.7±0.7 | 37.4±0.6    | 40.2±1.4    | <0.001* |
| Weight (kg)                    | 57.5±0.2 | 57.5±0.3    | 59.2±0.5    | <0.001* | 70.1±0.5 | 71.4±0.6    | 72.7±1.4    | 0.032*  |
| Height (cm)                    | 156.6±0.1 | 157.9±0.2   | 157.8±0.3   | <0.001* | 171.4±0.3 | 172.2±0.3   | 170.6±0.8   | 0.783   |
| Waist circumference (cm)       | 78.7±0.2 | 76.9±0.3    | 78.9±0.5    | 0.009*  | 82.9±0.4 | 83.0±0.5    | 84.8±1.1    | 0.231   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)        | 23.5±0.1 | 23.1±0.1    | 23.8±0.2    | 0.536   | 23.8±0.1 | 24.1±0.2    | 24.9±0.4    | 0.021*  |
| Blood pressure (mm Hg)         |                                               |                                          |
| Systole                        | 117.5±0.3 | 113.5±0.5   | 117.7±0.9   | <0.001* | 119.3±0.6 | 118.9±0.6   | 120.8±1.7   | 0.740   |
| Diastole                       | 74.0±0.2 | 73.6±0.3    | 75.7±0.5    | 0.082   | 77.9±0.4 | 79.9±0.5    | 79.9±1.1    | 0.005*  |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)      | 190.4±0.7 | 186.5±1.0   | 192.9±1.8   | 0.453   | 183.1±1.6 | 182.7±1.8   | 186.5±3.8   | 0.666   |
| High density lipoprotein (mg/dL)| 55.2±0.3 | 55.6±0.6    | 57.7±1.1    | 0.068   | 51.0±0.9 | 50.3±1.2    | 50.4±2.5    | 0.583   |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL)           | 115.0±1.8 | 104.7±1.9   | 112.2±4.4   | 0.016*  | 121.8±3.5 | 129.6±4.7   | 121.4±7.2   | 0.339   |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dL)        | 95.9±0.4 | 93.6±0.5    | 95.1±0.9    | 0.008*  | 96.6±0.9 | 95.7±0.8    | 96.8±1.8    | 0.658   |
| Hypertension                   | 29.3    | 19.3        | 25.9        | <0.001* | 25.2    | 22.0        | 30.6        | 0.197   |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 14.8    | 9.8         | 10.0        | <0.001* | 10.0    | 9.2         | 13.1        | 0.527   |
| Metabolic syndrome             | 25.6    | 17.0        | 18.8        | <0.001* | 23.2    | 20.2        | 12.9        | 0.325   |
| Alcohol intake                 |                                               |                                          |
| Never drinker                  | 21.7    | 11.2        | 18.5        | <0.001  | 11.3    | 7.3         | 7.4         | <0.001  |
| Former drinker                 | 18.0    | 13.9        | 16.5        |          | 13.5    | 6.1         | 5.9         |          |
| Light drinker (≤1/week)        | 53.9    | 66.8        | 57.3        |          | 56.7    | 66.5        | 53.8        |          |
| Moderate drinker (2–3/week)    | 5.1     | 7.1         | 6.2         |          | 13.9    | 16.5        | 22.4        |          |
| Heavy drinker (>4/week)        | 1.3     | 1.0         | 1.5         |          | 4.7     | 3.6         | 10.5        |          |
| Marital status                 | 88.8    | 76.9        | 84.1        | <0.001* | 58.0    | 59.0        | 59.0        | 0.955   |
| Educational level              |                                               |                                          |
| Below middle school            | 30.4    | 18.2        | 26.8        | <0.001* | 9.9     | 2.9         | 7.7         | <0.001* |
| Middle school                  | 9.8     | 9.9         | 10.2        |          | 6.2     | 6.4         | 9.0         |          |
| High school                    | 29.8    | 39.9        | 33.1        |          | 43.0    | 40.3        | 47.6        |          |
| Above high school              | 30.1    | 31.9        | 30.0        |          | 40.9    | 50.5        | 35.8        |          |
| Socioeconomic status           |                                               |                                          |
| 1Q                             | 25.3    | 25.3        | 27.3        | 0.144   | 30.2    | 21.8        | 30.5        | 0.116   |
| 2Q                             | 26.8    | 25.7        | 21.2        |          | 21.2    | 24.4        | 20.0        |          |
| 3Q                             | 25.3    | 25.9        | 23.7        |          | 25.6    | 25.5        | 26.8        |          |
| 4Q                             | 22.6    | 23.1        | 27.9        |          | 23.0    | 28.3        | 22.7        |          |
| Occupation                     |                                               |                                          |
| Manager or professional        | 11.0    | 15.6        | 11.8        | <0.001* | 21.8    | 28.6        | 12.5        | <0.001* |
| Office worker                  | 5.0     | 14.3        | 13.3        |          | 7.6     | 15.5        | 15.6        |          |
| Service                        | 9.0     | 22.3        | 42.4        |          | 7.1     | 15.0        | 13.8        |          |
| Farmer worker or fisherman     | 6.0     | 5.6         | 5.0         |          | 7.6     | 6.2         | 1.3         |          |
| Technician                     | 2.3     | 4.8         | 4.2         |          | 9.1     | 21.5        | 39.5        |          |
| Labour worker                  | 8.0     | 12.8        | 11.6        |          | 5.3     | 5.8         | 9.2         |          |
| Unemployed (outside house)     | 58.8    | 24.7        | 17.4        |          | 41.6    | 7.6         | 8.2         |          |

The data were presented as mean±SE in continuous variables and % in categorical variables.

**P<0.05.
not available. Third, we could not exclude hidden smokers. The proportion of cotinine-verified active smokers was previously noted to be larger than that of self-reported smokers. In our data set (KNHANES V), urine cotinine level was available in 19% of women never smokers. In that group, 2.7% women never smokers had a urine cotinine level >200ng/mL, the cut-off value defining an active smoker. Thus, the possibility of active smoker contamination does exist. Forth, there could be concerns that factors related to hypertension were not sufficiently investigated. For example, oestrogen increases the susceptibility to hypertension. Because oestrogen tends to rise in perimenopausal period, perimenopausal women hence are likely to become hypertensive. Although our survey did not measure the oestrone level, the analysis in which perimenopausal participants were excluded also shows the significant relationship between SHS exposure and hypertension (data not shown). A family history of hypertension could also contribute to the development of hypertension. We did not exclude participants who had a family history of hypertension. However, even when participants with a self-reported family history of hypertension were excluded, the significant relationship between SHS exposure and hypertension was also observed (data not shown).

Table 2  Association between secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure and hypertension.

| SHS exposure | Women | Model 1* | Model 2† | Men | Model 1* | Model 2† |
|--------------|-------|----------|----------|-----|----------|----------|
|              | Adjusted OR | 95% CI | P values | Adjusted OR | 95% CI | P values | Adjusted OR | 95% CI | P values | Adjusted OR | 95% CI | P values |
| None         | 1      | 1        | 1        | 1   | 1        | 1        |
| <2 hour/day  | 1.06   | 0.90 to 1.25 | 0.487 | 1.01 | 0.91 to 1.33 | 0.314 | 1.07 | 0.78 to 1.47 | 0.664 | 0.87 | 0.60 to 1.25 | 0.435 |
| ≥2 hour/day  | 1.49   | 1.14 to 1.93 | 0.003** | 1.50 | 1.10 to 2.04 | 0.011† | 1.52 | 0.89 to 2.61 | 0.128 | 0.93 | 0.52 to 1.68 | 0.818 |

*Adjusted for age.
†Adjusted for age, height, weight, waist circumference, serum triglyceride, fasting glucose, education, occupation, alcohol intake and marital status.
*P<0.05; **P<0.01.

Figure 2  Adjusted means of systolic and diastolic blood pressure according to secondhand smoke exposure in women (A and B) and men (C and D) population without antihypertensive treatment. The mean values are adjusted for age, height, weight, waist circumference, serum triglyceride, fasting glucose, education, occupation, alcohol intake and marital status.
In conclusion, on using self-reported questionnaires, we found that SHS exposure was significantly associated with hypertension in Korean women never smokers. Both systolic and diastolic blood pressures were significantly elevated in the SHS exposed population.
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