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Abstract

We show that the deformed Virasoro algebra specializes in a certain limit to Lepowsky-Wilson’s $Z$-algebra. This leads to a free field realization of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{sl}_2$ which respects the principal gradation. We discuss some features of this bosonization including the screening current and vertex operators.
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1 Introduction

In 1978, Lepowsky and Wilson introduced the idea of free field representation in the theory of affine Lie algebras. In the first paper [1], a representation of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ was found for the special case of level one. Soon later this construction was extended to more general cases by introducing an associative algebra called the $Z$-algebra [2], and a connection between the Rogers-Ramanujan identities and the affine Lie algebras was uncovered. This study, however, was restricted to the case of the level $k$ being a non-negative integer, because their idea of representing the $Z$-algebra was to use $k$-copies of Heisenberg algebras.

We now know that the Wakimoto construction [3] affords a way of realizing representations of an arbitrary level $k$. The Wakimoto representation plays an important role, both as a powerful computational tool in mathematical physics, as well as for theoretical studies in pure representation theory. There is, however, one basic difference between the Wakimoto and Lepowsky-Wilson’s original constructions. While the former is based on the homogeneous Heisenberg subalgebra, the latter is based on the principal Heisenberg subalgebra. Though strange as it may seem, we have not seen in the literature a construction which respects the principal gradation and works for an arbitrary level.

In this note we revisit this problem. We observe here a simple but peculiar fact that the $Z$-algebra arises as a certain specialization of the deformed Virasoro algebra [4, 5]. The representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ mentioned above is obtained as an immediate consequence of this observation. We also touch upon the screening currents, vertex operators and a connection to the elliptic Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equation studied by Etingof [6].

2 Free field realization of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$

2.1 $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ in the principal picture

In order to fix the notation, let us recall the realization of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ in the principal picture. Let $e, f, h$ be the standard generators of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ with $[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$, $[e, f] = h$. The invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ is chosen as $(e, f) = 1$, $(h, h) = 2$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbb{C}h$, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbb{C}e \oplus \mathbb{C}f$. The affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ is realized as a vector space

$$\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^2, t^{-2}] \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t^2, t^{-2}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}\rho,$$

endowed with the Lie bracket

$$[a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n] = [a, b] \otimes t^{m+n} + \frac{1}{2}(a, b)mc \delta_{m+n,0},$$

$c :$ central, $[\rho, a \otimes t^m] = ma \otimes t^m,$

where $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. We set

$$\beta_n = (e + f) \otimes t^n \quad (n \text{ odd}), \quad x_n = \begin{cases} h \otimes t^n & (n \text{ even}), \\ (-e + f) \otimes t^n & (n \text{ odd}). \end{cases}$$
We denote by \( \delta \) bosonic fields a free field realization of the relations (1)-(3). For that purpose let us introduce three kinds of bosonic fields, for example, \( \phi \) generated on the Fock vacuum \( |0\rangle \). The fields \( \phi \) are odd, \( \phi(-\zeta) = -\phi(\zeta) \), while the derivative of \( \phi(\zeta) \) is even, \( (D\phi)(-\zeta) = (D\phi)(\zeta) \). We set the commutation relations for their Fourier modes as

\[
[\phi_{1,m}, \phi_{1,n}] = 4(k + 2)m\zeta^{m+n,0}, \quad [\phi_{1,0}, Q] = 4(k + 2),
\]

\[
[\phi_{0,m}, \phi_{0,n}] = 4k\zeta^{m+n,0},
\]

\[
[\phi_{2,m}, \phi_{2,n}] = -4k\zeta^{m+n,0},
\]

all other commutators being 0. For \( j \in \mathbb{C} \), we denote by \( \mathcal{F}_{j,k} \) the Fock space for three bosons generated on the Fock vacuum \( |j,k\rangle \),

\[
\phi_{i,n}|j,k\rangle = 0 \quad (n > 0, i = 0, 1, 2), \quad \phi_{1,0}|j,k\rangle = 2j|j,k\rangle, \quad e^{2Q}|j,k\rangle = |j + 1,k\rangle.
\]

We denote by \( d : \mathcal{F}_{j,k} \to \mathcal{F}_{j,k} \) the grading operator

\[
[d, \phi_{i,n}] = -n\phi_{i,n}, \quad [d, Q] = \phi_{1,0}, \quad d|j,k\rangle = \frac{2j^2 + k}{4(k + 2)}|j,k\rangle.
\]

We adopt the conventional ‘normal ordering rule’ and the ‘normal ordering symbol’ \( : \cdots : \) for our bosonic fields. For example,

\[
:e^{\phi_{1}} := e^{-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\phi_{1,n}}{2n} \zeta^{2n}} e^{-\frac{Q}{2(k + 2)}}
\]

We can now state the main result of this note.
Proposition 2.1 Let $j, k$ be complex numbers with $k \neq 0, -2$. Then the following gives a level $k$ representation of $\hat{sl}_2$ on the Fock space $F_{j,k}$:

\[ \beta(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}D\phi_0(\zeta), \]

\[ x(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} : (D\phi_1(\zeta) + D\phi_2(\zeta)) e^{\phi(\zeta)} : e^{\phi(\zeta) - \phi_0(\zeta) - \phi(\zeta - \zeta)}, \]

\[ c = k, \quad \rho = -d. \]

This representation is highest weight in the sense that

\[ \beta_n|j, k\rangle = 0, \quad x_n|j, k\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0, \]

\[ x_0|j, k\rangle = j|j, k\rangle. \]

The highest weight is $\frac{j}{k} (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_0) + j(\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_0)$ where $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1$ are the fundamental weights of $\hat{sl}_2$. The character of this representation (counted according to the principal gradation)

\[ \text{tr}_{F_{j,k}}(q^{-\rho}) = \frac{2^{\nu+k}}{q^{\delta_0}(q^2)^{\infty}} \frac{1}{(q^2)^{\infty}}, \]

is the same as that of the Verma module of $\hat{sl}_2$. Here $(z;p)_\infty = \prod_{n=0}^\infty (1 - p^n z)$. In particular, the above representation is irreducible for generic values of $j, k$.

2.2 Connection with the deformed Virasoro algebra

Because of the commutation relation (1), the current $\beta(\zeta)$ can tautologically be identified with the bosonic field $(1/2)D\phi_0(\zeta)$. As Lepowsky and Wilson have shown, the other current $x(\zeta)$ can be realized as

\[ x(\zeta) = z(\zeta) : e^{\phi(\zeta) - \phi_0(\zeta) - \phi(\zeta - \zeta)} :, \]

provided $z(\zeta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z_n \zeta^{-n}$ commutes with $\phi_0(\zeta)$ and satisfies the relation of the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra

\[ \left( \frac{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2}{\zeta_1 + \zeta_2} \right)^{2/k} z(\zeta_1) z(\zeta_2) = \left( \frac{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1}{\zeta_2 + \zeta_1} \right)^{2/k} z(\zeta_2) z(\zeta_1) + k(D\delta) \left( -\frac{\zeta_2}{\zeta_1} \right). \]

As we explain below, this algebra is related with the deformed Virasoro algebra (DVA).

The DVA is an associative algebra generated by $T_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) (see [5]). In terms of $T(\zeta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T_n \zeta^{-n}$ the defining relations read

\[ f(\zeta_2/\zeta_1)T(\zeta_1)T(\zeta_2) - T(\zeta_2)T(\zeta_1)f(\zeta_1/\zeta_2) = -\frac{(1 - q)(1 - t^{-1})}{1 - p} \left[ \delta\left( \frac{p \zeta_2}{\zeta_1} \right) - \delta\left( \frac{p^{-1} \zeta_2}{\zeta_1} \right) \right], \]

where $q$ and $t$ are parameters, $p = q/t$, and

\[ f(\zeta) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \frac{(1 - q^n)(1 - t^{-n})}{1 + p^n} \zeta^n \right\}. \]
Now set
\[ q = e^h, \quad t = -q^{\frac{k+2}{2}} \]
and consider the limit \( h \to 0 \). Suppose that the expansion
\[ T(\zeta) = 0 + hT^{(1)}(\zeta) + h^2T^{(2)}(\zeta) + \cdots \]
takes place. Under this assumption we find that, at the second order in \( h \), the relation \( T(\zeta) \) reduces to the \( \mathcal{Z} \)-algebra relation \( (8) \) with the identification \( \sqrt{-T^{(1)}(\zeta)} = z(\zeta) \). We have verified the expansion \( (10) \) using the known bosonization for DVA \( [5] \). This leads to the formula
\[ z(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} : (D\phi_1(\zeta) + D\phi_2(\zeta)) e^{\phi_1(\zeta)} :. \]
The formula \( (5) \) for \( x(\zeta) \) follows from this and \( (7) \). In fact this bosonization formula for the \( \mathcal{Z} \)-algebra was first obtained by a guesswork based on the one for the ordinary Wakimoto realization which respects the homogeneous gradation \( [6] \). The relationship between the \( \mathcal{Z} \)-algebra and the deformed Virasoro algebra was noticed only afterwards.

Thus, somewhat unexpectedly, one can view the DVA with the parameters \( q \) and \( t = -q^{\frac{k+2}{2}} \) as a quantum deformation of Lepowsky-Wilson’s \( \mathcal{Z} \)-algebra at level \( k \).

The DVA admits two kinds of “screening operators” \( S_{\pm}(\xi) \) commuting with \( T(\zeta) \) up to a total difference. In the next section we shall show that one of them in the limit, \( S(\xi) \), becomes the screening operator for the present bosonization of \( \hat{sl}_2 \). The limit of the other one \( \eta(\xi) \), after a modification by zero-mode, plays a role of the operator “B” which appears in the construction of the elliptic KZ equation \( [6] \).

\textbf{Remark.} It seems likely that a similar construction persists in the case of the deformed \( W_N \) algebra \( [8, 9] \). Let \( \omega \) be a primitive \( N \)-th root of unity, and set \( t = \omega q^{\frac{k+2}{N}} \). Suppose that in the limit \( q \to 1 \) we have the expansion of the \( W \)-currents
\[ W_i(\zeta) = 0 + hW_i^{(1)}(\zeta) + h^2W_i^{(2)}(\zeta) + \cdots \]
We have checked for \( N = 3 \) (and partially for all \( N \)) that the \( W_i^{(1)}(i = 1, \cdots, N-1) \) then satisfy the relations of the \( \mathcal{Z} \)-algebra for \( \hat{sl}_N \). However, for \( N \geq 3 \) we have not been able to show \( (11) \), which seems to hold not in the free field realization but only at the level of correlation functions.

\section{Vertex operators and KZ equations}

\subsection{Screening current \( S(\xi) \)}

Our representation of \( \hat{sl}_2 \) on the Fock space \( \mathcal{F}_{j,k} \) may become reducible for some specific values of the parameters \( j, k \). In the usual Wakimoto construction the object which controls this phenomenon is the screening current. Let us consider its analog. Define \( S(\zeta) : \mathcal{F}_{j,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{j-2,k} \) by
\[ S(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \zeta^{\frac{k}{k+2}} : D\phi_2(\zeta) e^{-\frac{\phi_1(\zeta)}{k+2}} :. \]
It enjoys the expected properties

$$[\beta(\zeta), S(\xi)] = 0,$$
$$[x(\zeta), S(\xi)] = \frac{k + 2}{2} D_\xi \left( -\delta \left( \frac{\xi}{\zeta} \right) : e^{-\frac{\phi_1(\xi)}{k + 2}} - \frac{\phi_2(\xi)}{k + 2} + \frac{\phi_0(\xi)}{k} : \right).$$

These equations imply that the screening charge

$$Q^m = \oint \cdots \oint \frac{d\xi_1}{\xi_1} \cdots \frac{d\xi_m}{\xi_m} S(\xi_1) \cdots S(\xi_m) : F_{j,k} \rightarrow F_{j-2m,k},$$

commutes with the action of $\beta_n, x_n$, if closed contours for all the $\xi_i$'s exist.

### 3.2 Vertex Operators

Let us consider the vertex operator (VO) associated with the two-dimensional representation. Set $V = \mathbb{C}u_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}u_-$, and let $V'(\zeta) = V \otimes \mathbb{C}[\zeta, \zeta^{-1}]$ be the $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$-module given by

$$\beta_n(u_\pm \otimes \zeta^m) = \mp u_\pm \otimes \zeta^{m+n}, \quad x_n(u_\pm \otimes \zeta^m) = \begin{cases} -u_\mp \otimes \zeta^{m+n} & (n \text{ even}), \\ \mp u_\mp \otimes \zeta^{m+n} & (n \text{ odd}). \end{cases}$$

We define $\Phi(\zeta) : F_{j,k} \rightarrow F_{j+1,k} \otimes V'(\zeta)$ by

$$\Phi(\zeta)v = \Phi_+(\zeta)v \otimes u_+ + \Phi_-(\zeta)v \otimes u_-,$$
$$\Phi_\pm(\zeta) = \zeta^{\frac{1}{2(\pm 1)}} : e^{\frac{\phi_1(\zeta)}{k} + \frac{\phi_2(\zeta)}{k} + \frac{\phi_0(\zeta)}{2k}} :.$$

Then we have the intertwining property

$$(x \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes x)\Phi(\zeta) = \Phi(\zeta)x \quad (\forall x \in \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2).$$

**Remark.** $V'(\zeta)$ contains a proper submodule $W = \text{span}\{u_+ \otimes \zeta^m - u_- \otimes (-\zeta)^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Its quotient $V(\zeta) = V'(\zeta)/W$ is isomorphic to the irreducible evaluation module of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ associated with $V$. The above VO naturally gives rise to the intertwiner $F_{j,k} \rightarrow F_{j+1,k} \otimes V(\zeta)$.

### 3.3 Elliptic Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation

As usual, the highest-to-highest matrix elements of VO's satisfy the KZ equation. Let us consider the elliptic KZ equation studied by Etingof [6].

Let $M_{j,k}$ denote the Verma module over $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ with highest weight $\frac{j}{2}(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_0) + j(\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_0)$ and highest weight vector $v_{j,k}$. Denote by $\Psi(\zeta) : M_{j,k} \rightarrow M_{j',k} \otimes V(\zeta)$ the intertwining operator. Let $B : M_{j,k} \rightarrow M_{-j,k}$ denote the linear isomorphism characterized by

$$Bv_{j,k} = v_{-j,k},$$
$$B\beta(\zeta) = \beta(\zeta)B,$$
$$Bx(\zeta) = -x(\zeta)B.$$
It was shown in [3] that the trace function

$$F(\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_n) = \text{tr}_{M_{j,k}} (\Psi(\zeta_1) \cdots \Psi(\zeta_n) B q^{-\rho})$$

satisfies the following elliptic KZ equation

$$(k + 2)D_{\zeta_i} F(\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_n) = \sum_{j \neq i} r^{ij}(\zeta_i/\zeta_j) F(\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_n),$$

where $r(z)$ denotes the elliptic classical $r$ matrix

$$r(z) = \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^l z}{(q^l z)^2 - 1}\right) (e + f) \otimes (e + f) - \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-q)^l z}{(q^l z)^2 - 1}\right) (e - f) \otimes (e - f)$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^l \frac{(q^l z)^2 + 1}{(q^l z)^2 - 1}\right) \frac{1}{2} h \otimes h,$$

and $r^{ij}(z)$ signifies $r(z)$ acting nontrivially on the $(i,j)$-th components.

Let us look for a bosonic realization of the operator $B$. In the usual Wakimoto construction, we have the ‘$\xi$-$\eta$ system’ after bosonizing the ‘$\beta$-$\gamma$ ghost system’. An analog of $\eta$ in the present case is

$$\eta(\zeta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_n \zeta^{-n} = \zeta^{\frac{k+2}{2}} e^{\frac{\Phi(1)}{2} + \frac{(k+2)\Phi(2)}{2k} + \frac{\Phi(k)}{k}},$$

which satisfies

$$[\beta(\zeta), \eta(\xi)] = 0,$$

$$[x(\zeta), \eta(\xi)]_+ = 2\xi \partial_\xi \left(\zeta^{\frac{k+2}{2}} e^{\frac{\Phi(1)}{2} + \frac{(k+2)\Phi(2)}{2k} + \frac{\Phi(k)}{k}}\right),$$

where $[A, B]_+ = AB + BA$. From the above, we find that the zero-th Fourier mode $\eta_0 : \mathcal{F}_{j,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{j+k+2,k}$ satisfies

$$\eta_0 \beta(\zeta) = \beta(\zeta) \eta_0, \quad \eta_0 x(\zeta) = -x(\zeta) \eta_0.$$

Therefore, if $j + k + 2 = -j + 2m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the combination of $\eta_0$ and the screening operator $Q^m$ implements $B$.

In particular, when $m = 0$ and $2j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the screening operators do not appear and the traces can be expressed without using integrals. For example, when $(j,k) = (1/2, -3)$ and $(j,k) = (1, -4)$ we find

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}_{1/2,-3}} (\Phi_{\pm}(\zeta_1) \eta_0 q^{-\rho}) = q^{\frac{5}{2}} (q^2; q^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}},$$

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}_{1,-4}} (\Phi_{\epsilon_1}(\zeta_1) \Phi_{\epsilon_2}(\zeta_2) \eta_0 q^{-\rho})$$

$$= q^{\frac{1}{4}} (q^2; q^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} \zeta^{1/4} t \Theta_{q^2}(\zeta^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Theta_{q^2}(-\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 q \zeta)$$

$$= q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sqrt{-1} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 q^2 \left( \frac{\ln(-\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 q \zeta)}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \right) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{4}},$$
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where \( \zeta = \zeta_2/\zeta_1 \), \( q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau) \), and \( \wp(z|\omega,\omega') \) denotes the Weierstrass elliptic function with fundamental periods \( \omega,\omega' \). These formulas have been discussed in [6] (there are minor errors in Section 5, equation (5.2) of [6]).
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