A backbone amide protecting group for overcoming difficult sequences and suppressing aspartimide formation
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A backbone amide bond protecting group, 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-nitrobenzyl (Hmnb), improved the synthesis of aggregation and aspartimide-prone peptides. Introduction of Hmnb is automated and carried out during peptide assembly by addition of 4-methoxy-5-nitrosalicylaldehyde to the peptidyl-resin and on-resin reduction to the secondary amine. Acylation of the hindered secondary amine is aided by the formation of an internal nitrophenol ester that undergoes a favourable O,N intramolecular acyl transfer. This activated ester participates in the coupling and generally gives complete reaction with standard coupling conditions. Hmnb is easily available in a single preparative step from commercially available material. Different methods for removing the amide protecting group were explored. The protecting group is labile to acidolysis, following reduction of the nitro group to the aniline. The two main uses of backbone protection of preventing aspartimide formation and of overcoming difficult sequences are demonstrated, first with the synthesis of a challenging aspartimide-prone test sequence and then with the classic difficult sequence ACP (65-74) and a 23-mer homopolymer of polyalanine. © 2016 The Authors. Journal of Peptide Science published by European Peptide Society and John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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Introduction

Backbone amide bond protection is the reversible alkylation of a peptide bond and the powerful solubilizing effect of this modification to peptides is well established. The improved synthesis of long, challenging peptides through the application of backbone protection has been the most convincing demonstration of its utility [1–3]. The concept and beneficial properties of this substitution were originally introduced through the dimethoxybenzyl (Dmb) group (Figure 1) that masked the amide–amide hydrogen bonding potential for a peptide chain and thereby caused dramatically increased solubility of peptides [4–6]. The same concept is now generally exploited to overcome the difficult sequences phenomena in solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) and to prevent aspartimide formation during Fmoc SPPS. This is achieved using a range of commercially available amide protecting groups, installed during the synthesis and removed during the final cleavage. Although adding another hydrophobic group to a peptide might be expected to decrease solubility, the major factor driving peptide insolubility is structurally based through formation of β–sheet architecture [7]. Therefore, the simple masking of intermittent backbone amides prevents the formation of interchain hydrogen bonds, inhibits interchain aggregation and favours an open-chain, disordered structure, with a concomitant improvement in solubility.

Attaching peptides to a solid phase is a general solution to improve their solubility. It solvates the protected peptides at concentrations they would not achieve unassisted in solution [8]. The solid phase is not, however, a universal solution; poor solubility can manifest on solid phase as the difficult sequence problem. Difficult
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sequences are defined as a reproducible, sequence-dependent collapse of the swollen resin volume accompanied by incomplete acylation and for Fmoc peptide synthesis, incomplete deprotection extending over several residues [9,10]. Although difficult sequences are also encountered with Boc synthesis they are addressed to a great extent by the in situ neutralization protocols developed by Kent and co-workers [11]. Difficult sequences can vary widely from mildly challenging to complete synthetic failure. In the extreme cases, such as alanine homooligopeptides, which exacerbate the phenomenon, the peptide becomes an insoluble aggregate attached to the resin incapable of further reaction [12]. The cause of difficult sequences is interchain aggregation from amide–amide hydrogen bonding. Introduction of the tertiary amides of sarcosine and proline into polyalanine test sequences before the onset of aggregation significantly delayed aggregation [13]. Inserting Dmb into the sequence also prevented aggregation. In addition, the protecting group could be removed in the cleavage step. However, this improvement was frustrated by another obstacle: the difficulty to acylate the sterically hindered secondary amine formed by the N-substitution. A solution to this problem was intimated in the salicylimine models of the Kemp group [14,15] and the salicylamide reactions of Brenner [16] that explored the efficient nature of intramolecular acyl transfer as a way to overcome hindered acylation. These concepts were subsequently incorporated into the design of the backbone protecting group, 2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzyl (Hmb) (Figure 1). The hydroxyl group of Hmb was first acylated through internally base catalyzed coupling followed by intramolecular acyl transfer to the secondary amine [17]. However, although complete acylation was now observed for a wide range of amino acid pairings that formed the Hmb-substituted amide bond, non-standard coupling conditions of symmetric anhydride and dichloromethane (DCM) solvent were required with coupling times ranging from 1 to 48 h for complete acyl transfer [18,19].

The use of backbone protection became widespread with the availability of commercial dimethyloxazolidine derivatives of serine and threonine (pseudoprolines) [20], Dmb-substituted dipeptide derivatives [2,3] and Hmb-substituted amino acid analogues (Figure 1). The former derivatives side-stepped the need to acylate a sterically hindered secondary amine on the solid phase through their introduction as dipeptide building blocks [20]. Virtually all the protected dipeptide combinations are now commercially available as pseudoprolines of Ser, Thr and protected pairings with DmbGly. The success of these reagents is due to their simple introduction using standard coupling conditions. However, they are restricted to substitution sites containing Ser, Thr and Gly (and in some cases Cys), and not all difficult sequences contain one of these residues at a convenient position before the onset of aggregation. In contrast, Hmb can theoretically be added at any position on the peptide backbone. Although complete acylation of Hmb substituted amino acids can be achieved, the use of Hmb-substituted dipeptide derivatives was also explored to develop a more readily usable reagent akin to pseudoprolines and Dmb dipeptides. However, on activation, the phenolic hydroxyl of Hmb-mono and dipeptide derivatives formed a poorly reactive 4,5-dihydro-8-methoxy-1,4-benzoxapin-2(3H)-one precluding their use as dipeptide reagents [21]. Ideally, a broadly applicable amide-bond protecting group should be easily introduced with simple reagents, be rapidly acylated under typical reaction conditions and be easily removed as required. Observations from Hmb-substituted amino acid and dipeptide studies suggested the possibility to improve the acyl-transfer properties through introduction of an electron-withdrawing group para to the 2-hydroxyl of Hmb, and this was explored with Hmsb and related compounds (Figure 2). The resulting internal ester formed during coupling was now more activated and exhibited accelerated acyl transfer permitting the use of standard couplings. However, the Hmsb protecting group was now largely stable to trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) acidolysis. Reductive standard conversion of sulfoxide to sulfide restored acid lability [21]. Many peptides have been prepared using Hmsb in an automated fashion [22,23].

Accelerated acyl transfer has also been demonstrated for backbone protection using a nitro group as the electron-withdrawing group. The nitro group is much more electron-withdrawing than sulfoxide and therefore should show improved self-acylation properties over Hmsb. It has been studied as 2-hydroxy-5-nitrobenzyl [24] (Figure 1), which was photolabile and 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-nitrobenzyl (Hmb) [21] (Figure 2), which was stable to photolysis and acid. We envisioned that the Hmnb group would become acid
labile if the nitro group was converted (after acyl transfer) to an aniline group by reduction on the solid phase. The Hmnb precursor, 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde, is available by a single, simple preparative step from 2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde.

Our initial efforts focused upon identification of a mild, peptide synthesis compatible, reductive method that would convert the aromatic nitro group to aniline and explore the acid lability of the group under different cleavage conditions. The use of a TFA/TMSBr/thioanisole mixture is attractive as it is a much stronger acid treatment than TFA alone but the mixture remains volatile and can be simply removed by sparging as for TFA [25].

Table 1. On-resin reduction of nitro group of Hmnb

| Entry | Nitro reduction method | % Nitro reduction |
|-------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | Na2S2O4, K2CO3, TBAHS, DCM-water (10 eq, r.t. 12 h) | 5% |
| 2     | CrCl2, DMF (2x2.5 eq, r.t. 6 h) | 100% |
| 3     | CrCl2, DMF (2.5 eq, r.t. 12 h) | 75% |
| 4     | CrCl2, DMF (2.5 eq, r.t. 6 h) | 60% |
| 5     | CrCl2, DMF (2.5 eq, r.t. 2 h) | 30% |
| 6     | CrCl2, DMF (2.5 eq, 40 °C, 2 h) | 65% |
| 7     | CrCl2, DMF (1.0 eq, 40 °C, 2 h) | 8% |
| 8     | CrCl2, DMF (2.5 eq, 70 °C, 2 h) | 100% |
| 9     | CrCl2, DMF (2.5 eq, 70 °C, 1 h) | 100% |

*aEstimated from analytical HPLC.

Table 2. Cleavage of backbone-modified peptide

| Peptide | Peptide cleavage | Ratio of 6a-7 |
|---------|------------------|--------------|
| 5a      | TFA/TES/H2O      | 1:0          |
|         | TFA/TMSBr/thioanisole/EDT | 0:1 |
| 5b      | TFA/TES/H2O      | 3:1          |
|         | TFA/TMSBr/thioanisole/EDT | 0:1 |

Scheme 1. Incorporating Hmnb into synthesis of aspartimide-prone sequence VKDGYL. (i) Imine formation; 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-2-nitrobenzaldehyde 1.1 equivalent to resin loading, followed by DMF wash; (ii) NaBH4/DMF; (iii) Fmoc-Asp(OtBu)-OH, DIC/HOBt 30 min, continue SPPS; (iv) Nitro reduction: CrCl2/DMF (2.5 eq, 70 °C, 2 h); (v) TFA/ TES/ H2O (95 : 2.5 : 2.5 v/v, rt. 1.5 h); (vi) TFA/ TMSBr/thioanisole/EDT (100 : 10 : 5 : 2.5 v/v, 1 h).

Materials and Methods

All reagents and solvents were purchased from Novabiochem® Merck-Millipore or Sigma-Aldrich. Fmoc-Gly/Ala/Ile/Leu/Val-OH, Fmoc-Asp(OtBu)-OH, Fmoc-Gln-OH, Fmoc-Asn(Trt)-OH, Fmoc-Lys (Boc)-OH, Fmoc-Tyr(OtBu)-OH and Boc-Val-OH were used. Peptides were prepared using DIC/HOBt (CEM Liberty Microwave Synthesizer) or HCTU/DIEA (CS Bio 336 automated synthesizer) activation for Fmoc/t-Bu chemistry. Following peptide cleavage, the TFA-cleavage cocktail was filtered; the filtrate sparged (N2) and peptides were precipitated with Et2O (Na-dried, 4 °C). Peptides were purified by semi-preparative HPLC on a RP-C18 column (22 × 250 mm, Vydac) using linear gradients of CH3CN in 0.1% TFA/H2O with a flow rate of 5 ml min−1. HPLC gradients were prepared using solvent A as 0.1% TFA aqueous solution and solvent B as 90% CH3CN in 0.1% TFA aqueous solution. Detection was performed at 214 nm. Peptides were characterized by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) on a BRUKER microflex using CHCA matrix (10 mg ml−1 in CH3CN/H2O/TFA, 50 : 50 : 0.1 v/v). The ion positive reflector mode was used.

2-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde

2-Hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde (24.2 g, 159 mmol) was dissolved in glacial acetic acid (48 ml) and heated on a water bath for 10 min. The solution was treated dropwise with concentrated HNO3 (8.6 ml, 191 mmol). When reaction ceased, the solution was cooled and a red precipitate formed. The solid was filtered, washed with cold acetic acid, and dried. Recrystallization from EtOH (charcoal) gave the desired product, 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde (11.24 g, 36%), as pale fluffy needles, mp 172–173 °C.1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ, 11.75 (1H, s, OH), 9.78 (1H, s, CHO), 8.30 (1H, s, 6-H), 6.56 (1H, s, 3-H), 4.01 (3H, s, OCH3).
The second eluted product was 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-3-nitrobenzaldehyde [1.35 g, 4% as light brown needles, mp 144–146 (EtOH)]. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 11.73 (1H, s, OH), 9.79 (1H, s, CHO), 7.65 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz, 6-H), 6.67 (1H, d, J = 8.7 Hz, 5-H), 3.98 (3H, s, OCH3).

H-Val-Lys-Asp-Gly-Tyr-Leu-NH2 (7)

The VKDGYL peptide was assembled on H-Rink amide ChemMatrix® resin (Sigma-Aldrich) (150 mg, resin loading 0.4–0.6 mmol/g) using DIC/HOBt activation (CEM Liberty® Single Channel Microwave Peptide Synthesizer). Fmoc deporation was performed by 20% piperidine in DMF in two stages with an initial 0.5 min followed by a longer 3 min treatment (7 ml, 40 W, 75 °C). Coupling reagents were as follows: Fmoc-Aa-OH/terminal Boc-Val-OH (0.2 M in DMF), DIC (0.8 M in DMSO) and HOBt (0.5 M in DMF). All coupling reactions were performed with fivefold excess Fmoc-Aa-OH/DIC/HOBt (1:0.8:2.0) and terminal Boc-Val-OH for 10 min, 25 °C, 7 H. Hmnb was installed onto Gly5: 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde was added to the peptide resin (10 min, 1 eq, 0.02 M, 25 W, 50 °C) to form the imine followed by DMF flow wash. The imine was reduced with NaBH4 in DMF (♂ 15 min, 5 eq, 0.1 M, r.t.) followed by DMF flow wash. Asp5 was added using standard coupling. Synthesis yielded 230 mg of dried peptide-resin. Test cleavage of the peptide-resin 4 was performed using TFA/TES/H2O (95 : 2.5 : 2.5 v/v, rt. 1.5 h). Analytical HPLC gave a major peak as the target peptide with the Hmnb backbone amide protecting group characterized by MALDI-TOF MS, m/z = 874.4 (first isotope), calc. m/z ([M + H]+) = 866.4 for [M + Na]+ ion. * peptide 7 without auxiliary protecting group characterized by MALDI-TOF MS, m/z = 693.0 (first isotope), calc. m/z ([M + Na]+) = 685.0 (first isotope). A portion of the peptide-resin (25 mg) was cleaved with TFA/TMSBr/thioanisole/EDT (100 : 10 : 5 : 2.5 v/v, 1 ml, 1.5 h). Analytical HPLC traces of the crude product exhibit a single peak as the target peptide with the Hmnb backbone protecting group characterized by MALDI-TOF MS, m/z = 693.0 (first isotope), calc. m/z ([M + Na]+) = 685.0. HPLC conditions: RP-C18, 5–35% CH3CN in 0.1% TFA over 30 min, 1 ml min−1. HPLC purification yielded 2.6 mg of peptide, 34.4% yield (purity > 98%).

Alternatively, the peptide-resin (50 mg) was acetylated using acetic anhydride (0.16 mmol, 16 μl, 10 eq) and DIEA (0.08 mmol, 15 μl, 5 eq) in 300 μl DMF for 30 min. The solution was drained and the peptide-resin treated with 20% piperidine/DMF, washed with DMF (3 × 5 ml), DCM (3 × 5 ml) and dried. Portions of the peptide-resin were cleaved using a mixture of TFA/H2O/TES (100 : 5 : 2.5 v/v, 1 ml, 1.5 h) or TFA/TMSBr/thioanisole/EDT (100 : 10 : 5 : 2.5 v/v, 1 ml, 1.5 h). A summary of different cleavage conditions attempted on the peptide with Ac-Ahmb or Ahmb is given in Table 2.

H-Val-Gln-Ala-Ala-Ile-Asp-Tyr-Ile-Asn-Gly-OH, ACP (65-74), peptide 14

The ACP (65-74) peptide was assembled on Fmoc-Gly-NovaSyn® TGT resin (Novabiochem®) (526 mg, resin loading 0.19 mmol/g) using HCTU/DIEA activation for Fmoc-t-Bu chemistry (CS Bio 336 automated synthesizer). Fmoc-deprotection was performed using 20% piperidine in DMF in two stages with an initial 3 min followed by 7-min treatment. All coupling reactions were performed with fivefold excess Fmoc-Aa-OH/HCTU/DIEA (1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1) and Hmnb was installed onto Ahmb by imine formation and reduction: 2-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde was added to the peptide-resin to form the imine (30 min, 1 eq, 0.01 M) followed by DMF flow wash. The imine 9 was reduced with NaBH4 in DMF (15 min, ×5 eq, 0.1 M) to amine 10 followed by DMF flow wash. Ahmb® was coupled directly to the Hmnb alkylated peptide-resin under standard coupling conditions followed by DCM flow wash, 1 h shaking in DCM, DMF flow wash, 30 min re-swelling in DMF. Gln56 was added as Fmoc-Gln-OH without trityl protection. On completion of the sequence the resin was washed with DCM and dried under vacuum yielding 565 mg of peptide-resin 11. Test cleavage of the Hmbn-ACP peptide was performed using TFA/TES/H2O (95 : 2.5 : 2.5 v/v, rt. 1.5 h). Analytical HPLC gave a single peak as Figure 3. Analytical HPLC and MALDI-TOF mass spectra of crude aspartimide-prone peptide VKDGYL: (A) With Hmnb after cleavage of 4 with TFA/TES/H2O (95 : 2.5 : 2.5 v/v, rt. 1.5 h), calc. m/z = 874.4 for [M + H]+ ion (distinctive NO2 ion pattern [26]) † non-aspartimide side-product, HPLC conditions: RP-C18, 5–35% CH3CN in 0.1% TFA over 30 min, 1 ml min−1. (B) Peptide 6a with Ahmb after on-resin nitro-reduction with CrCl2 and cleavage of 5a with TFA/TES/H2O (95 : 2.5 : 2.5 v/v, rt. 1.5 h), calc. m/z = 693.0 for [M + Na]+ ion. * peptide 7. (C) Peptide VKDGYL 7 after cleavage of 5a with TFA/TMSBr/thioanisole/EDT (100 : 10 : 5 : 2.5 v/v, 1.5 h), calc. m/z = 693.0 for [M + H]+ ion.
the target peptide with retention of Hmnb characterized by MALDI-TOF MS, m/z = 1266.6 (first isotope), calc. m/z([M + Na]+) = 1266.5.

The peptide-resin (250 mg) was swollen in DMF, filtered and mixed with a freshly prepared solution of chromium(II) chloride (CrCl2, 0.04 M, 3.1 ml, 2.5 eq) at 70 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was drained, and the peptide resin was thoroughly washed with DMF (3 x 10 ml), DCM (3 x 10 ml) and dried.

The peptide-resin (50 mg) was cleaved using a mixture of TFA/H2O / TES (100:5:2.5 v/v, 1 ml, 1.5 h). Analytical HPLC traces of the crude product showed a single peak as the target Ahmb-ACP 13 characterized by MALDI-TOF MS, m/z = 1236.7 (first isotope), calc. m/z([M + Na]+) = 1236.5. Alternatively, the peptide-resin (50 mg) was cleaved with TFA/TMSBr/thioanisole/EDT (100 : 10 : 5 : 2.5 v/v, 1 h). Analytical HPLC showed a single peak as the target ACP (65-74) peptide 14 characterized by MALDI-TOF MS, m/z = 1085.6 (first isotope), calc. m/z([M + Na]+) = 1086.5. HPLC purification yielded 3.2 mg of peptide, 21.3% yield (purity > 98%).

**Results and Discussion**

Aspartimide formation is the most serious side reaction of Fmoc SPPS, caused by repetitive piperidine treatments of Asp-containing peptides. Prolonged and repeated base treatment of Asp-Xaa sequences causes nucleophilic attack of the amide-backbone onto the β-carboxy of protected aspartic acid. The aspartimide can undergo nucleophilic ring opening caused by piperidine or water in the preceding texts (CS Bio 336 automated synthesizer). Backbone protection was inserted after Fmoc deprotection of Ala7, Ala13 and Ala19. Each backbone-protecting group was introduced in two steps. 2-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde dissolved in DMF was added to peptide-resin (1 eq, 0.01 M, 30 min) followed by DMF wash. Reduction with NaBH4 dissolved in DMF (filtered, PVDF 0.2 μm) (5 eq, 0.1 M, 15 min) was followed by thorough DMF wash. Synthesis yielded 190 mg of dried peptide-resin. A portion of the peptide-resin (50 mg) was cleaved using TFE/DCM (1 : 1 v/v, 1 ml, 1.5 h) and HPLC purification (30–50% B in 30 min) yielded 13 mg of peptide, (86% yield). The peptide was characterized by MALDI-TOF MS, m/z = 2247.9, calc. m/z([M + Na]+) = 2247.2.

**Synthesis of H-Ala22Val-OH**

The peptide was assembled on Fmoc-Val-NovaSyn® TGT resin (Novabiochem®) (170 mg, resin loading 0.19 mmol/g) using standard HCTU/DIEA activation for Fmoc/t-Bu chemistry as described.
during subsequent steps producing further by-products (L/D-L-aspartyl and D-aspartyl peptides) that are difficult or impossible to separate from the product [27]. Aspartimide formation is exacerbated by alternative bases such as DBU sometimes used for deprotection and by microwave synthesis. Backbone protection has been demonstrated to abolish aspartimide formation completely [28]. The main contemporary approach is protection of the Asp-Gly amide, by using commercially available derivatives such as the Fmoc-Asp(OtBu)-(Dmb)Gly-OH building block [2]. We have developed a generally applicable protocol based on Hmnb backbone protection to abrogate aspartimide formation (Scheme 1, Figure 3). The peptide was assembled on H-Rink amide ChemMatrix® resin using DIC/HOBt activation for microwave-assisted Fmoc/t-Bu chemistry. The protocol is fully automated, and only three cycles were added to the standard SPPS to achieve backbone modification at Asp-Gly; imine formation, imine reduction and post-synthesis nitro reduction. All reactions are simple, quantitative, performed in DMF and compatible with standard SPPS. Imine formation was achieved with the addition of a slight excess of 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde onto peptidyl-resin 1. Like most salicyldehydes, 2-hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde forms exceptionally stable imines [22,24,30]. Furthermore, the imine 2 was smoothly reduced to secondary amine using 5 equivalents of sodium borohydride. Imine formation is associated with a noticeable change of the resin colour to bright yellow, and imine reduction is accompanied by a loss of colour and evolution of hydrogen gas. All couplings were performed using standard SPPS reagents with single coupling of all amino acids to give the Hmnb substituted product 4.

We observed that Hmnb backbone protection is stable to acid, even anhydrous HF. Test cleavage of the peptide-resin 4 gave a crude product containing the Hmnb modified target peptide (Figure 3). A minor, later running side-product was also observed with identical mass. We considered that reduction of the nitro after completion of the peptide synthesis to aniline would change the electronic properties of the benzyl group and could increase the acid lability of the backbone protection.

Several methods have been reported for peptide compatible solid-phase reduction of nitroaromatic compounds including the use of sodium dithionite [31] and CrCl₂ [32]. The dithionite method requires the use of an aqueous solution, unsuitable for most resins. We tried different solvent mixtures with DMF, EtOH and dioxane at different ratios but no reduction was observed. We also tried using dithionite in a DCM/H₂O solvent system with a phase transfer catalyst (Table 1, entry 1). At best, this gave 5% reduction. The CrCl₂ reduction was simply performed by mixing the peptide resin with 2.5 equivalents of freshly prepared solution of CrCl₂ in DMF overnight, followed by a thorough DMF wash. Reduction (60–75%) was observed, and reaction was complete after repeat treatment of the resin with CrCl₂ (Table 1, entries 2–4). Hari and Miller used higher equivalents in their work [32]. After optimization of reaction conditions (entries 5–9), 1 h reaction at 70 °C gave complete conversion (entry 9). This method should also be compatible with peptide synthesis performed on polyethylene glycol or polystyrene resins.

Reduction of the nitro group of Hmnb opens the possibilities for further chemical modifications of the aniline. We wanted to explore the effect of aniline acetylation on the acid lability of the backbone protection during cleavage (Scheme 2). Peptide-resins with Ahmb and Ac-Ahmb (5a–b) were cleaved using two peptide cleavage methods: the standard TFA mixture and a TFA/TMSBr/thioanisole mixture (Table 2). The two protecting groups demonstrated different stability towards standard TFA mixture. For instance, TFA cleavage of 5a gave predominantly the target peptide with Ahmb (a small amount of completely

**Figure 4.** Analytical HPLC traces and MALDI-TOF mass spectra of crude ACP (65-74) peptide: (A) With retention of Hmnb after cleavage of 11 with TFA/TES/H₂O (95:2.5:2.5 v/v, rt. 1.5 h), calc. m/z = 1266.5 for [M + Na]⁺ ion. (B) Peptide 13 with Ahmb auxiliary on-resin nitro-reduction with CrCl₂ and cleavage with TFA/TES/H₂O (95:2.5:2.5 v/v, 0 °C, 1.5 h), calc. m/z = 1236.5 for [M + Na]⁺ ion. (C) ACP (65-74) peptide 14 after cleavage of 12 with TFA/TMSBr/thioanisole/EDT (100:10:5:2.5 v/v, 1.5 h), calc. m/z = 1086.5 for [M + Na]⁺ ion.
Polyalanine sequences are some of the most aggregation-prone sequences known [12,13]. With polyalanine (C-terminal Val) aggregation onset is early, starting after addition of the fifth residue. Hmnb was inserted at the fifth residue and at every sixth residue subsequently (Figure 5). The successful installation of three Hmnb groups by salicyldimine reductive amination cycles suggests that this reaction belongs to the exclusive set of solid phase applicable reactions. The handling and characterization of polyalanine are usually very difficult; however, with backbone protection a 23 mer of polyalanine has good solubility in standard HPLC solvents and can be easily characterized by MALDI-TOF MS.

Conclusion

We have developed a versatile backbone protection, Hmnb, which is easily available and can be introduced into a peptide synthesis in an automated fashion. Hmnb was installed on the peptidyl-resin by an imine formation/reduction cycle. Both imine formation and reduction go to completion and give satisfactory incorporation on the solid phase. The nitro group assisted the acylation of the newly formed secondary amine by activating the intramolecular acyl transfer from the hydroxyl group to the secondary amine. Removal of Hmnb backbone protection was accomplished by conversion of Hmnb to the aniline analogue Ahmb on resin. Although both Hmnb and to a lesser extent Ahmb were stable to standard TFA treatment, Ahmb could be completely removed by cleavage with a mixture of TFA and TMSBr/thioanisole.
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