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Intra-specific variation in genome size in maize: cytological and phenotypic correlates
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Abstract. Genome size variation accompanies the diversification and evolution of many plant species. Relationships between DNA amount and phenotypic and cytological characteristics form the basis of most hypotheses that ascribe a biological role to genome size. The goal of the present research was to investigate the intra-specific variation in the DNA content in maize populations from Northeastern Argentina and further explore the relationship between genome size and the phenotypic traits seed weight and length of the vegetative cycle. Moreover, cytological parameters such as the percentage of heterochromatin as well as the number, position and sequence composition of knobs were analysed and their relationships with 2C DNA values were explored. The populations analysed presented significant differences in 2C DNA amount, from 4.62 to 6.29 pg, representing 36.15 % of the inter-populational variation. Moreover, intra-populational genome size variation was found, varying from 1.08 to 1.63-fold. The variation in the percentage of knob heterochromatin as well as in the number, chromosome position and sequence composition of the knobs was detected among and within the populations. Although a positive relationship between genome size and the percentage of heterochromatin was observed, a significant correlation was not found. This confirms that other non-coding repetitive DNA sequences are contributing to the genome size variation. A positive relationship between DNA amount and the seed weight has been reported in a large number of species, this relationship was not found in the populations studied here. The length of the vegetative cycle showed a positive correlation with the percentage of heterochromatin. This result allowed attributing an adaptive effect to heterochromatin since the length of this cycle would be optimized via selection for an appropriate percentage of heterochromatin.
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Introduction

It is well known that genome size varies among species and their diversification accompanies the evolution of many groups of plants (Bennett and Leitch 2005; Leitch et al. 2005; Gregory et al. 2007; Leitch and Leitch 2013; Poggio et al. 2014). The increase of genome size arises predominantly through polyploidy and amplification of non-coding repetitive DNA, heterochromatin and...
retrotransposons. These mechanisms are counterbalanced by mechanisms of decrease in genome size, genome downsizing, and have been shown to involve recombination-based processes (Soltis et al. 2003; Wicker et al. 2003; Bennetzen et al. 2005; Grover and Wendel 2010). Several studies demonstrated that there exists intra-specific and even intra-populational genome size variations (revisited in Greilhuber and Leitch 2013). Zea is an interesting model because it shows both inter- and intra-specific variations in DNA amount (Poggio et al. 1998; Rosato et al. 1998; SanMiguel and Bennetzen 1998; Bennett and Leitch 2005; Meagher and Vassiliadis 2005; Diez et al. 2013). It was proposed that this variation is principally due to differences in the heterochromatin amount, mainly located in chromosome blocks, named knobs, as well as the presence of B-chromosomes (Laurie and Bennett 1985; Rayburn et al. 1985; Tito et al. 1991; Poggio et al. 1998; Rosato et al. 1998; González and Poggio 2011). The variation in the DNA content has also been attributed to differences in the interspersed DNA amount, such as the retrotransposon families, which in maize make up over 70 % of the nuclear genome (SanMiguel and Bennetzen 1998; Meyers et al. 2001). In Zea, genome size is highly related to the inter- and intra-specific variations in the number and size of heterochromatic knobs (revisited in Poggio et al. 1998). These knobs occur in all Zea species with 2n = 20 and have been observed in 34 distinct cytological locations, varying in size and number across maize races and their wild relatives (Kato 1976; McClintock et al. 1981; Tito et al. 1991; Rosato et al. 1998; González and Poggio 2011; González et al. 2013). They are composed primarily of two tandem-repeated sequences, the 180-bp and TR-1, varying between a few thousand to millions of repeats (Peacock et al. 1981; Ananiev et al. 1998). 4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining and fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) allowed us to reveal the chromosome location and the sequence composition of the knobs (Albert et al. 2010; González and Poggio 2011; Mondin et al. 2014).

Several studies reported positive relationships between the DNA amount and phenotypic characteristics such as seed mass and plant flowering time (Bennett 1987; Grime and Mowforth 1982; Ohri and Pistrick 2001; Knight et al. 2005; Beaulieu et al. 2007; Greilhuber et al. 2007; Greilhuber and Leitch 2013). These correlations form the basis of most hypotheses that ascribe a biological role to genome size. It was Bennett who coined the term ‘nucleotype’ to describe ‘that condition of the nucleus that affects the phenotype independently of the informational content of the DNA’ (Bennett 1971, 1972). The correlation between genome size and ecological variables in maize and its wild relatives has been examined (Laurie and Bennett 1985; Rayburn et al. 1985; Rayburn and Auger 1990a, b; Diez et al. 2013). A negative correlation between genome size and altitude/latitude has been reported, suggesting that genome size could be related to the rapid growth and early flowering in the shorter growing seasons, typical of cool regions (Laurie and Bennett 1985; Rayburn 1990; Rayburn and Auger 1990a, b; Rayburn et al. 1994; Rosato et al. 1998; Poggio et al. 1998; Bennett and Leitch 2005).

In northern Argentina, more than 60 morphological native maize races have been described, and up to 15 of these can be found in indigenous settings from the subtropical forests of the Misiones Province (Melchioire et al. 2006; Camara Hernandez et al. 2011). In this restricted geographic area, Guarani’s indigenous communities cultivate maize landraces with little or no input from commercial germplasm (Bracco et al. 2012). It is interesting to point out that these Guarani’s landraces show remarkable phenotypic differences and high genetic diversity (Melchioire et al. 2006; Camara Hernandez et al. 2011; Bracco et al. 2012).

In the present work in maize Guarani’s landraces, the variation in 2C values was measured by flow cytometry and knob heterochromatin was evaluated using DAPI banding and FISH. The goal of the present research was to investigate intra-specific variation in the DNA content and further explore the relationship between genome size and cytological parameters such as the percentage of heterochromatin, number, position and sequence composition of knobs, as well as the relationships with phenotypic traits such as seed weight and the length of the vegetative cycle. The inter-populational genome size variations and their correlation with cytogenetic and phenotypic traits could shed light on the biological importance of such variation and their potential adaptive significance.

Methods

Plant materials

Twenty populations of maize all belonging to Guarani’s maize landraces described from Northeastern Argentina (NEA) were collected from original indigenous populations in Misiones Province, Argentina (Table 1). The specimens were deposited at the seed bank of the Vavilov Laboratory (Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires).

Methods

Seeds were germinated at 28 °C, for 2–3 days, in Petri dishes containing wet filter paper. Primary root tips, 0.5–1 cm in length, were pre-treated with 8-hydroxyquinoline (0.02 M) for 5 h at room temperature. Then they were fixed in 3 : 1 (ethanol : acetic acid) and stored at 4 °C until use. Seedlings were grown until adult leaves are produced for genome size measurement.
DNA content measurement

The 2C DNA content was measured in three to five individuals of each corn cob and two to five corn cobs per population, with three replicates per individual. The cell nucleus were stained with propidium iodide (PI). *Pisum sativum* cv. citrad (9.09 pg), used as internal standard, was kindly provided by Dr J. Doležel from the Institute of Experimental Botany, Sokolovska, Czech Republic (Doležel et al. 2007). For each individual, 100 mg of fresh leaf samples were co-chopped with 50 mg of *P. sativum* leaves in a Petri dish with 0.5 mL of buffer Otto I (citric acid 0.1 M and 0.5 % v/v of Tween 20), using a stainless-steel razor blade. The sample was filtered through a nylon mesh (45 μm pore size) and then 0.5 mL of buffer Otto II (0.4 M Na2HPO4 · 12H2O) supplemented with PI (50 μg mL−1 final concentration) and RNase (50 μg mL−1 final concentration) were added. The samples were incubated in the dark for 40 min. Flow cytometry was performed at Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA-Catetal) with a CyFlow Ploidy Analyzer Cytometer (Partec). We adjusted the gain to 400 and the sample speed to 0.4 μL s−1. The samples were run until 5000 nuclei were scored. The DNA content was estimated from gated fluorescence histograms of the PI area (Fig. 1). Data analysis was performed using the software Flowing 2.5.0 (www.flowingsoftware.com). Genome size (2C DNA, in picograms) was determined comparing the peak of the sample to the peak of the standard according to Doležel et al. (2007). All samples with a coefficient of variance ≤ 5 were included in the present study. Conversion from picograms to megabase pairs was done according to Doležel et al. (2003).

Chromosomal preparations

In 12 populations, mitotic metaphase preparations were performed. Fixed root tips were treated with an enzymatic solution (2 % cellulose Onozuka R10 and 20 % Pectinase) for 1 h at 37 °C. Slides with well-spread metaphases were selected by contrast-phase microscopy. After freezing to remove the coverslips, the slides were air-dried and stored at 4 °C until use.

| Maize landraces (local names) | VAV | Collection sites | Altitude (m a.s.l.) |
|-------------------------------|-----|------------------|--------------------|
| Rosado (Avati Yu)             | 6565 | Colonia Jeji, Depto. Guaraní. | 329 |
| Variegado (Avati Tové)        | 6557 | Paraje Paraíso, Depto. San Pedro. | 525 |
| overo (Avati Pará)            | 6559 | Paraje Paraíso, Depto. San Pedro. | 525 |
| Tupí Amarillo (Avati Tupí)    | 6563 | Aldea Pindó Poty, Depto. Guaraní. | 329 |
| Tupí blanco (Avati Tupí)      | 6592 | Pozo Azul, Depto. San Pedro. | 211 |
| Tupí blanco (Avati Tupí)      | 6851 | Aldea Koqy Mitaú Rupá, Ruiz de Montoya, Depto. Libertador General San Martín. | 240 |
| Poróro Chico (Avati Poróro)   | 6575 | Aldea MBY'A Guarani, Guayyra Pory, Paraje Paraíso, Depto. San Pedro. | 525 |
| Pipoca Colorado               | 6607 | Aldea Alercrín, Depto. Eldorado. | 211 |
| Pipoca Colorado               | 6567 | Aldea Pozo Azul, Depto. Eldorado. | 211 |
| Colorado (Avatí Pytá i)       | 6837 | Aldea Perutí, El alcázar, Depto. Libertador General San Martín. | 591 |
| Colorado (Avatí Pytá i)       | 6573 | Comunidad Miri, Aldea MBYA Guarani, Depto. Candelaria (Municipio de Sta. Ana). | 98 |
| Azul (Avatí Ov)               | 6564 | Colonia Jeji, Depto. Guaraní. | 329 |
| Pipoca Amarillo               | 6568 | Aldea Pozo Azul, Depto. Eldorado. | 211 |
| AmarilloAngosto (Avati Mitá i) | 6565 | Aldea MBYA Guarani, Guayyra Pory, Paraje Paraíso, Depto. Eldorado. | 535 |
| Amarillo Ancho (Avati Yu)     | 6569 | Aldea MBYA Guarani, Guayyra Pory, Paraje Paraíso, Depto. Eldorado. | 211 |
| Blanco Angosto (Avati Pará i) | 6574 | Comunidad Miri, Aldea MBYA Depto. Guarani. | 98 |
| Blanco Ancho (Avati Moráti)   | 6560 | Aldea Chiripa Guarani, Pindó Poty, Depto. Guarani. | 329 |
| Pororó Grande (Avati Pororó)  | 6827 | Aldea Guayyra Pory, Paraje Paraíso, Depto. San Pedro. | 535 |
| Pororó Grande (Avati Pororó)  | 6826 | Aldea Guayyra Pory, Paraje Paraíso, Depto. San Pedro. | 535 |
| Pororó Grande (Avati Pororó)  | 6562 | Aldea Chiripa Guarani, Pindó Poty, Depto. Guarani. | 329 |
4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole staining

This technique was carried out according to Summer (1990). Slides were washed in McIlvaine buffer (citric acid–NaHPO4 buffer, pH 7), and then stained with 1 μg mL⁻¹ DAPI. Slides were incubated in a moist box at 20 °C, in the dark, for 25 min. After staining, the preparations were briefly washed with distilled water, McIlvaine buffer and then distilled water again. Slides were mounted in McIlvaine buffer and sealed with rubber solution.

DNA probes

For FISH analysis, the maize knob sequences, 180-bp and TR-1(350 bp), were used as probes. Sequences were obtained from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), and were isolated and amplified from total genomic DNA of maize by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods. The primers were designed using the Primer3 program (version 0.6) provided by the Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research & Howard Hughes Medical Institute, USA (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/primer3_code.html). Theses probes were biotin and digoxigenin-labelled, by PCR, using fluorescently conjugated nucleotide 16-biotin-dUTP (Sigma) and 11-digoxigenin-dUTP (Roche).

Fluorescence in situ hybridization

The technique was carried out according to Cuadrado and Jouve (1995), with minor modifications (Poggio et al. 1999). Slide preparations were incubated in 100 μg mL⁻¹ of RNase in 2 × saline sodium citrate (2 × SSC) for 1 h at 37 °C in a humidified chamber and washed three times in 2 × SSC for 5 min each at room temperature. The slides were post-fixed in freshly prepared 4 % (w/v) paraformaldehyde in distilled water for 10 min and then washed in 2 × SSC for 15 min at room temperature. Then, the preparations were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series and air-dried. The hybridization mixture consisted of 50 %

![Figure 1. Fluorescence histograms of individuals of six maize populations. Histograms of (A and B) VAV6557, (C and D) VAV6568, (E and F) VAV6556, (G and H) VAV6569, (I and J) VAV6574 and (K and L) VAV6592. 2C indicates G0/G1 peaks from maize samples and Std indicates G0/G1 peaks from the standard P. sativum.](image-url)
was added to 30 µL of hybridization mixture for each slide. The hybridization mixture was denatured for 15 min at 75 °C, loaded onto the slides and covered with a plastic coverslip. The slides were placed on a thermocycler at 75 °C for 7 min, 45 °C for 10 min and 38 °C for 10 min. Then the slides were incubated overnight at 37 °C. Following hybridization, coverslips were carefully floated off by placing the slides in 2 × SSC at 42 °C for 3 min each and then given an astringent wash in 20 % formamide in 0.1 × SSC at 42 °C for 10 min. The slides were washed in 0.1 × SSC at 42 °C for 5 min, 2 × SSC at 42 °C for 5 min, and then transferred to buffer (4 × SSC, 0.2 % (w/v) Tween 20) at 42 °C for 5 min and at room temperature for 1 h in the same buffer. The slides were incubated in the detection buffer containing a solution of 2.5 % bovine serum albumin and the corresponding detection antibody [Cy3 conjugate or antidigoxigenin-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)] for 1 h at 37 °C and washed three times in 4 × SSC/Tween buffer for 10 min each at room temperature. Slides were counterstained with 1 µg mL⁻¹ of DAPI in 4 × SSC/Tween buffer for 40 min at room temperature and then mounted in antifade solution (Vector Lab). Slides were examined with a Carl Zeiss Axiophot epifluorescence microscope with appropriate Carl Zeiss filters coupled with a Leica DC 250 digital camera and with an image analyser Leica IM 1000. The location of hybridization signals and DAPI-positive zones were based on the observation of 10 complete metaphases for each analysed individual.

Karyotypic parameters
The percentage of knob heterochromatin was calculated in 12 populations as the percentage of total chromosome length, using the freeware program MicroMeasure3.3 (http://www.colostate.edu/Depts/Biology/MicroMeasure). Measurements were based on at least 10 cells from each individual and at least 12 individuals of each population. For the elaboration of a representative ideogram of chromosome knob positions, the identification and localization of the knobs were performed in nine populations.

Phenotypic parameters
The seed mass was calculated as the average weight of 10 kernels of each corncob of each population. The seeds were weighed in a digital scale, performing three replicates per corncob.

The length of the vegetative cycle was estimated by Ing Pedro Melchiorre as in Melchiorre et al. (2006).

Statistical analysis
The analysis of variation of 2C values among populations was performed by analysis of variance with full nesting using generalized linear mixed models. The variance was modulated with VarExp. Multiple comparisons test was performed by Fisher’s least significant difference test (Fisher 1932).

2C DNA intra-populational variation was analysed. The intervals of confidence (ICs) for corncobs and individuals were obtained. The intra-populational variability was considered significant when 0 was not included in the IC. The data of percentage of heterochromatin and the number of knobs were correlated. The means of the percentage of knob heterochromatin and 2C DNA amount were correlated. The means of vegetative cycle and seed mass were correlated with the mean of genome size. The means of percentage of knob heterochromatin and vegetative cycle were also correlated. All correlations were performed using the Spearman coefficient. These statistical analyses were considered significant at P-values <0.05, and were performed using the program Infostat, FCA, National University of Córdoba (Di Rienzo et al. 2012). For the nested and unbalanced design, the R package (R Development Core Team 2012) was used.

Results
In the 20 populations of the Guarani’s maize studied, the DNA amount (2C value) varied from 4.62 to 6.29 pg, representing 36.15 % of inter-populational variation. Significant differences in the 2C DNA content (P = <0.0001; F_{19, 437} = 8.29) were detected among populations (Table 2). The minimum and maximum values of 2C DNA content (pg, fold) from each population are given in Table 2. A significant intra-populational variability was detected among corncobs (IC: 0.12–0.34) and individuals from the same corncobs (IC: 0.26–0.36).

Data of vegetative cycle (from sowing to anthesis of tassel flowers) were taken from Melchiorre et al. (2006). Data of seed mass (means weight of kernels) were estimated. Several data of seed mass were kindly donated by Ing. Agr. P. Melchiorre. The studied populations presented variations in their vegetative cycle (64–89 days) and seed mass (1.04–2.67 g) (Table 2).

4’,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole staining performed on mitotic metaphases showed variations in knob number (10–22), percentage of heterochromatin (5.30–16.10 %) and size and position of knobs (Fig. 2, Table 2). The population with the highest number of knobs was VAV6563 (14–22 knobs) on 11 different chromosome positions (Fig. 2, Table 3). Figure 2A and B shows a metaphase from VAV6565 with 10 conspicuous knobs and 1 little knob on the short arm of chromosome 1. Metaphases
of VAV6575 and VAV6563 presented 16 conspicuous knobs with positive hybridization signal for both probes (Fig. 2D and G). Heterozygosis for the presence of knobs was detected (Fig. 2B and C). The studied populations presented knobs in 17 different chromosome positions (Table 3) that were schematized in a representative idiogram (Fig. 3).

In FISH experiments, 180-bp and TR-1 knob sequences were probed on mitotic metaphases and both probes hybridized in very close juxtaposition in almost all knobs (Fig. 2, Table 3). Some individuals of VAV6607, VAV6575 and VAV6569 showed several knobs with hybridization signals with only TR-1 or 180-bp probes (Fig. 2D and H, Table 3).

A significant positive relationship between the number of knobs and the percentage of heterochromatin was found ($P < 0.0001$; Spearman coefficient = 0.88; df = 55). In Fig. 4 the percentage of heterochromatin and the 2C DNA amount were plotted; a significant correlation between both parameters was not found ($P = 0.2380$; Spearman coefficient = 0.35; df = 11).

The length of the vegetative cycle showed a significant correlation with the percentage of heterochromatin ($P = 0.0498$; Spearman coefficient = 0.63 df = 7) (Fig. 5). The 2C DNA content did not show a significant correlation neither with the length of the vegetative cycle ($P = 0.6409$; Spearman coefficient = 0.13; df = 11) or with the seed mass ($P = 0.4190$; Spearman coefficient = 0.19; df = 18).

### Discussion

In this work, genome size variation (2C value) and cytological parameters (percentage of heterochromatin, number, positions and sequence composition of knobs) were studied in 20 populations of Guarani’s maize landraces from NEA. Moreover, the relationship between these parameters and the vegetative cycle and seed mass were discussed. These relationships leave room for interpretations about the causes of genome size variation.

### Table 2. Mean (+ SD) 2C genome size (in pg) of each maize population, 1C value (in Mbp), range of genome size, percentage of knob heterochromatin, vegetative cycle and seed mass. Populations with different letters have significantly different means of genome size ($P < 0.05$).

| Maize landraces/vouchers | 2C DNA (pg) mean (+ SD) | 1C value (Mbp) | Minimum and maximum 2C DNA content (pg)/folds | Percentage of knob heterochromatin | Vegetative cycle (days)* | Seed mass (g) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Tupi Amarillo/VAV6563    | 6.29 ± 0.59 A           | 3076           | 4.63–7.56 pg/1.63-fold                       | 10.33–16.10 %                     | 82                      | 2.59*        |
| Tupi Blanco/VAV6851      | 6.07 ± 0.21 AB          | 2968           | 5.79–6.35 pg/1.10-fold                       | –                                 | –                       | 2.38         |
| Pororó Grande/VAV6826    | 6.04 ± 0.12 AB          | 2953           | 5.89–6.27 pg/1.06-fold                       | –                                 | –                       | 1.48         |
| Tupi Blanco/VAV6592      | 6.03 ± 0.50 AB          | 2949           | 4.88–6.65 pg/1.32-fold                       | 12.15–14.77 %                     | –                       | 2.51         |
| Pororó Grande/VAV6827    | 5.98 ± 0.09 AB          | 2924           | 5.85–6.11 pg/1.04-fold                       | –                                 | –                       | 1.43         |
| Azul/VAV6564             | 5.84 ± 0.43 BC          | 2856           | 5.08–6.98 pg/1.37-fold                       | 5.57–7.02 %                       | 75                      | 2.31*        |
| Pororó Grande/VAV6562    | 5.79 ± 0.09 BCD         | 2831           | 5.68–6.01 pg/1.06-fold                       | –                                 | 83                      | 1.41*        |
| Ovideo/VAV6559           | 5.79 ± 0.65 BCD         | 2831           | 4.52–7.37 pg/1.63-fold                       | 5.98–8.41 %                       | 76                      | 2.67*        |
| Pipoca Colorado/VAV6567  | 5.74 ± 0.32 BCD         | 2807           | 5.02–6.38 pg/1.27-fold                       | 7.97–13.46 %                      | 89                      | 1.60*        |
| Pipoca Colorado/VAV6607  | 5.69 ± 0.24 BCD         | 2782           | 5.21–6.08 pg/1.17-fold                       | 9.72–11.42 %                      | –                       | 1.04         |
| Colorado/VAV6573         | 5.68 ± 0.18 BCD         | 2777           | 5.23–5.97 pg/1.14-fold                       | 7.27–9.76 %                       | 64                      | 1.85*        |
| Blasco Ancho/VAV6660     | 5.64 ± 0.22 BCD         | 2758           | 5.01–6.10 pg/1.21-fold                       | –                                 | 77                      | 2.38*        |
| Rosado/VAV6565           | 5.58 ± 0.50 BCD         | 2729           | 4.58–6.19 pg/1.35-fold                       | 7.10–8.24 %                       | 76                      | 1.96*        |
| Blasco Angosto/VAV6574   | 5.47 ± 0.13 BCD         | 2675           | 5.29–5.75 pg/1.08-fold                       | –                                 | 75                      | 2.19*        |
| Colorado/VAV6837         | 5.41 ± 0.32 DEF         | 2645           | 4.93–5.86 pg/1.19-fold                       | 6.76–9.07 %                       | –                       | 2.52         |
| Amarillo Ancho/VAV6569   | 5.37 ± 0.21 EF          | 2626           | 5.01–5.80 pg/1.16-fold                       | 6.00–9.19 %                       | 80                      | 2.38*        |
| Pororó Chico/VAV6575     | 5.36 ± 0.24 EF          | 2621           | 4.67–5.72 pg/1.22-fold                       | 10.88–14.44 %                     | 85                      | 1.08         |
| Amarillo Angosto/VAV6566 | 5.25 ± 0.16 F           | 2567           | 4.91–5.62 pg/1.14-fold                       | –                                 | 66                      | 2.17         |
| Pipoca Amarillo/VAV6568  | 4.83 ± 0.72 G           | 2362           | 3.96–5.92 pg/1.50-fold                       | 85                                | 85                      | 1.18         |
| Variegado/VAV5577        | 4.62 ± 0.66 G           | 2259           | 3.81–5.81 pg/1.52-fold                       | 5.30–8.63 %                       | 74                      | 2.37         |
The 2C value varied from 4.62 to 6.29 pg, representing 36.15 % of inter-populational variation (1.98-fold). A similar variation was found in populations with a wide range in altitude of cultivation by Rosato et al. (1998) in northwestern Argentinean landraces and by Díez et al. (2013) in Mexican landraces. The variation in genome size was also reported in populations from the USA and Mexico (Laurie and Bennett 1985; Rayburn et al. 1985; Porter and Rayburn 1990; Rayburn and Auger 1990a, b). In the present study, significant intra-populational genome size variations were also found, being 1.63-fold and 1.08-fold, the maximum and the minimum, variation detected among populations. In a recent review of Greilhuber and Leitch (2013), the existence of intra-specific genome size variation was discussed. These authors pointed out that flow cytometry is the appropriate method for detecting intra-specific genome size variation. Doležel et al. (1998) found a linear significant relationship between the data measured by flow cytometry and that acquired by Feulgen densitometry.

Figure 2. Fluorescent in situ hybridization on maize mitotic metaphases probed with 180-bp and TR-1. Rosado, VAV6565 (A and B); Overo, VAV6559 (C); Pipoca Colorado, VAV6607 (D); Poró Chico, VAV6575 (E); Azul, VAV6564 (F); Tupi Amarillo, VAV6563 (G); Amarillo Angosto, VAV6569 (H); Variegado, VAV6557 (I). (A) DAPI staining. (B–I) Double exposure DAPI staining-FISH. Fluorescent in situ hybridization probes detected with Cy3 (red) and probes detected with anti-digoxigenin-FITC (green). (B and C) 180-bp (red). (D) TR-1 (green) and 180-bp (red). (E) TR-1 (green). (F) 180-bp (red). (G) 180-bp (red). (H) TR-1 (red) and 180-bp probe (green). (I) 180-bp (red). Green arrows indicate knobs composed only by TR-1 or 180-bp. White arrows show the satellites on the short arm of chromosome 6. Yellow arrows indicate heterozygosis for presence of knobs. Scale bars = 10 μm.
Previous studies reported that, in *Zea*, the differences in the DNA content were related with variations in the number and size of knobs/heterochromatic bands (Laurie and Bennett 1985; Rayburn et al. 1985; Tito et al. 1991, Poggio et al. 1998). In the present work, DAPI staining and FISH allowed us to detect inter- and intra-populational variations in the number, size and sequence composition of the knobs. The inter-populational variation in the number of knobs (10–22) and the percentage of heterochromatin (5.3–16.1 %) were found, and a significant relationship between these parameters was also detected. The population V AV6563 with the maximum number of knobs and the greater percentage of heterochromatin possesses the highest genome size (2C = 6.29 pg). Besides, the population V AV6557 with a low number of knobs and low percentage of heterochromatin possesses the lowest genome size (2C = 4.62 pg). However, two populations (V AV6559 and V AV6564), with a low number of knobs and low percentage of heterochromatin, presented intermediate 2C values (5.7–5.9 pg).

While genome size increases in populations with a high percentage of heterochromatin, a significant correlation between these parameters was not found. This indicates that satellite DNA, which conforms the heterochromatin and contributes to the genome size of maize, is not the only source of variation. The amplifications or deletions of dispersed sequences of genome, such as retroelements and other repetitive sequences, which in maize makes up over 70 % of the nuclear genome, are also relevant (SanMiguel and Bennetzen 1998; Meyers et al. 2001).

The intra-populational variation in the number of knobs and the percentage of heterochromatin was also detected. Major intra-populational variation was observed in V AV6563 (14–22 knobs; 10.33–16.10 % of heterochromatin). This variation between individuals of the same population could be explained by the heterozygosity for the presence/absence observed in each knob chromosome position, although the variation in other repetitive DNA sequences could not be discarded.

Another source of intra-specific variation was the chromosome position and sequence composition of the knobs. 4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole staining allowed us to detect that the knobs are located not at random, in 17 different chromosomal positions, which varied among the populations. Moreover, the FISH experiments demonstrated that while, in most of the analysed populations, the knobs comprise 180-bp and TR-1 sequences in very close juxtaposition, several populations presented knobs with only one knob sequence. Therefore, the knob positions and their sequence composition are useful tools for the cytological characterization of the landraces here studied.

### Table 3. Ranges of numbers and chromosome positions of knobs. S, short arm; L, long arm; -sat, satellite; *detected in few individuals of each populations. † Few individuals showed hybridization signals with only TR-1 sequence. ‡ Few individuals showed hybridization signals with only 180-bp sequence.

| Maize landraces/vouchers | Range of numbers of knobs | Knob chromosome positions |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Rosado/V AV6565          | 11–13                     | 1S, 2S, 3L, 4S, 4L, 4L, 6L, 6-sat, 7L, 8L, 10L* |
| Variegado/V AV6557       | 11–13                     | 1S, 3L, 4L, 6L, 6-sat, 7L, 8L |
| Ovoro/V AV6559           | 10–13                     | 1S, 2L, 3L, 4L, 6-sat, 7L, 8L |
| Tupi Amarillo/V AV6563   | 14–22                     | 1S, 2L, 3L, 4L, 4L, 6S, 6L, 6-sat, 7L, 7S, 8L, 9L |
| Pororo Chico/V AV6575    | 15–17                     | 1S, 2L, 3L, 4L, 5L, 6L, 6-sat, 7L, 7S, 9S, 10L* |
| Pipoca Colorado/V AV6607 | 15–19                     | 1S, 2L, 3L, 4L, 4L, 4L, 5S, 6L, 6-sat, 7L, 9S, 10L* |
| Amarillo Ancho/V AV6569  | 10–12                     | 1S, 2L, 3L, 4S, 4S, 4L, 5L, 5S, 6L, 6-sat, 7L, 7L, 8L, 9L |
| Azul/V AV6564            | 10–13                     | 1S, 2L, 3L, 4L, 4L, 4L, 5L, 6L, 6-sat, 7L, 7L, 8L |
| Colorado/V AV6837        | 11–13                     | 1S, 2L, 3L, 4L, 6L, 6-sat, 7L, 8L |

# Figure 3.

Representative idiogram of knob chromosome positions in maize. The hatched blocks indicate the knob chromosome positions that were always present. The black blocks depict the knob positions with inter- and intra-populational variations.
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Many works focused on the relationships between genome size and phenotype at whole plant levels (Bennett and Leitch 2005; Greilhuber and Leitch 2013). Genome size and vegetative cycle or flowering time were related in several groups of plants (revisited in Greilhuber and Leitch 2013). In the populations analysed here, a significant correlation between the DNA content and vegetative cycle was not found. Interestingly, the increase in the length of the vegetative cycle was positively related with the increase in the percentage of heterochromatin. These results could be explained if the knob heterochromatin is the last component to complete DNA replication as it was postulated (Pryor et al. 1980; Greilhuber and Leitch 2013). These authors consider that the increased packaging of heterochromatin leads to a longer DNA synthesis phase and hence longer cell
cycle time. Several authors determined that, in maize, the percentage of heterochromatin is positively correlated with the vegetative period and considered that the decrease in heterochromatic knobs could be an adaptation to a shorter growing season and the result of the artificial selection by man (Rayburn et al. 1985; Price 1988; Tito et al. 1991). The populations analysed in this work grow in a small and restricted eco-geographical area, without significant differences in altitude, climatic or biological conditions, but they are isolated by temporal reproductive barriers due to differences in their flowering time. This isolation would be maintained by the artificial selection by man, because the farmers select against corncobs with an intermediate morphology among landraces. In fact, when the artificial selection against hybrids between two varieties of maize was performed, the level of spontaneous inter-varietal hybridization decreases and the reproductive isolation was enhanced, mainly due to greater differences between varieties in their flowering time (Grant 1981).

Since the vegetative cycle time would be optimized via selection for an appropriate percentage of heterochromatin, the positive correlations found between them allows to propose an adaptive effect to heterochromatin. Another phenotypic characteristic that has been related to genome size is the seed mass (Bennett 1987; Bennett and Leitch 2005; Knight et al. 2005; Beaulieu et al. 2007). Positive relationships between the DNA amount and seed weight have been reported in a large number of species (Bennett 1972, 1987; Caceres et al. 1998; Knight and Ackerly 2002; Bennett and Leitch 2005). In the landraces of maize here studied, a relationship between the DNA content and seed weight was not detected, because numerous other factors could influence the seed weight independently of genome size. In fact, Knight et al. (2005) point out that not in all cases this relationship could be linear.

Multivariate analysis including morphological, phenological and reproductive traits (Melchiorre et al. 2006) and nuclear microsatellite characterization (Bracco et al. 2009, 2012) were performed in the NEA landraces here studied. Both studies allowed us to distinguish two groups of landraces, the popcorns and the flourys. In the present work, popcorn populations showed higher percentage of heterochromatin, whereas the floury populations had the lowest. Therefore, the percentage of heterochromatin could be another discriminating character between popcorn and floury landraces.

Conclusions

The maize Guarani’s landraces from NEA have inter-population and even intra-population variations in their genome size, as well in the percentage of heterochromatin, the number, and position of chromosomes and sequence compositions of the knobs. The variation found in 2C values could be due to the heterozygosity for the presence/absence observed in each knob chromosome position and percentage of heterochromatin variation, however the variation in other repetitive DNA sequences could not be discarded.

The positive correlations between the length of the vegetative cycle and percentage of heterochromatin, found in the present work, allowed attributing an adaptive effect to heterochromatin since vegetative cycle time would be optimized via selection for an appropriate percentage of heterochromatin.
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