The role of optical coherence tomography in Alzheimer’s disease
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Abstract
Background: Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common cause of dementia and its incidence is increasing worldwide along with population aging. Previous clinical and histologic studies suggest that the neurodegenerative process, which affects the brain, may also affect the retina of AD patients.

Main body: Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a non-invasive technology that acquires cross-sectional images of retinal structures allowing neural fundus integrity assessment. Several previous studies demonstrated that both peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer and macular thickness measurements assessed by OCT were able to detect neuronal loss in AD. Moreover, recent advances in OCT technology, have allowed substantial enhancement in ultrastructural evaluation of the macula, enabling the assessment not only of full-thickness retinal measurements but also of inner retinal layers, which seems to be a promising approach, mainly regarding the assessment of retinal ganglion cell layer impairment in AD patients. Furthermore, retinal neuronal loss seems to correlate with cognitive impairment in AD, reinforcing the promising role of OCT in the clinical evaluation of these patients.

Conclusion: The purpose of this article is to review the main findings on OCT in AD patients, to discuss the role of this important diagnostic tool in these patients and how OCT technology may be useful in understanding morphological retinal changes in AD.
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changes such as loss of retinal ganglion cell (RGC) and their axons were demonstrated, both in animal models and in *post mortem* studies of human AD eyes [8–11]. Toxic aminoacids, such as fibrillar tau and Aβ aggregates were accumulated within the retina and its microvasculature, and signs of neuroinflammation were present in the retina [12–16]. Therefore, according to several clinical and histologic studies there is strong evidence of anterior visual pathway impairment in AD patients, with predominant involvement of RGC and their fibers [10, 11, 17–19].

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a non-invasive technology, which acquires cross-sectional images of retinal structures allowing neural fundus integrity assessment. Over the last years, OCT became the most widely used technology to detect and quantify structural axonal damage in many optic nerve and neurological diseases. Axonal loss is usually quantified by measuring OCT peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) that allows an indirect estimation of RGC layer impairment. Furthermore, neuronal loss can be directly accessed by estimating macular thickness measurements, since 30–35 % of the retina thickness in macular area is composed by the RGCs and their fibers, as previously demonstrated in eyes with glaucoma, papilledema, compressive or demyelinating optic neuropathies [20–22]. If we take into account that the retina is considered a peripheral extension of the brain and both share similar embryological origin, it is easy to understand why OCT has become a widespread diagnostic tool in many neurological diseases.

Therefore, the purpose of this article is to address the main findings on OCT in AD patients, to discuss the role of this important diagnostic tool in these patients and how OCT technology could be useful to understand morphological retinal changes in AD.

**Peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in Alzheimer’s disease patients**

The degeneration of the optic nerve and consequent loss of ganglion cells and their axons was first demonstrated histologically in patients with AD around 30 years ago [10]. Other studies confirmed these findings, revealing a predominant loss of the largest RGCs (M-cells) [11]. These *post-mortem* studies are clear evidence of anterior visual pathway impairment in AD patients. Hedges et al. [23] evaluated fundus photographs from 26 AD patients and found a high incidence of RNFL abnormalities.

With the advent of OCT, over the last two decades, it became possible to provide a directly clinical quantitative assessment of retinal axonal loss. Several previous studies have evaluated the peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements assessed by OCT and all of them were able to demonstrate that most of RNFL parameters were reduced in patients with AD [19, 24–34]. The reduction of RNFL thickness was significantly greater than that which is observed in the age-matched controls and thus cannot be exclusively ascribed to aging. In accordance with these studies, the reduction of RNFL thickness occurred in each of the four retinal quadrants, suggest that axonal loss in patients with AD seems to be the result of a diffuse degeneration process of RGCs. Global reduction of peripapillary RNFL average thickness measurements in AD patients was demonstrated by several independent groups [24, 28, 30, 31, 34]. Most of them observed a significant reduction of RNFL thickness in all quadrants [19, 24, 31, 35, 36], with a predominance in the superior [26–30] and inferior quadrants [24, 27, 30]. Different groups showed the axonal loss with RNFL reduction in AD patients, despite different commercially available OCT devices used [37, 38].

Thomson et al. [39] conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature to determine the diagnostic utility of OCT of the RNFL thickness measurements in various dementias, but focused predominantly in patients with AD and mild cognitive impairment (MCI). They included studies published until September 2014. They identified a total of 17 studies including 702 AD eyes and 790 control eyes. There was a significant reduction in the overall, inferior and temporal RNFL thickness measurements in AD patients compared with controls, regardless of whether time domain (TD) or spectral domain (SD) OCT was used. The nasal and temporal quadrants are only found to be significantly thinner in few studies. These authors concluded that studies analyzed in the systematic review suggest that the significant thinning of the RNFL does occur in AD, and that OCT can be successfully used to detect these changes.

In our review, we identified a total of 23 studies including 1330 AD eyes, 326 MCI eyes and 1082 control eyes. In nine studies TD-OCT was used and in the other fourteen, the patients were evaluated by SD-OCT. Seven studies included MCI [25, 28, 34, 35, 40–42] patients and others nine evaluated macular parameters [24–26, 31, 35, 40, 43–45]. Table 1 summarizes the demographic data and RNFL thickness measurements in Alzheimer’s disease, MCI and controls by OCT.

**Macular thickness measurements in Alzheimer’s disease patients**

Since the RGCs layer and their axons contribute with approximately one-third of the total retinal thickness in macular area, macular thickness measurements assessed by OCT can be used to investigate neuronal loss in AD patients. This approach in AD evaluation is promising,
first because RGC’s and their fibers are located in macular area, which in accordance with previous histopathological studies, are preferably affected in AD [8, 9, 17], and secondly, because of the significant improvements occurred in the latest OCT’s equipments, evolving from time-domain (TD) to spectral fourier domain technology [52]. These improvements on OCT technology provide three-dimensional high-quality images with greater resolution, with an axial resolution up to five times higher and imaging speeds approximately 60 times greater than earlier TD OCT [53, 54]. This high-density raster retinal tissue scanning allow to detect and segment the retinal structures in each raster OCT image and use these data to construct a detailed macular map, with separate analysis of different retinal layers [52].

**Full thickness macular measurements**

Iseri et al. [26] were the first group to assess both macular thickness and volume by TD-OCT of 28 eyes of 14 patients with AD. The retinal thickness in all macular quadrants of AD patients was lower than in control subjects and reached statistical significance in the nasal, temporal and inferior quadrants, as well to the mean total macular volume. Moschos et al. [33] also evaluated the macular parameters using TD-OCT in AD patients. They demonstrated a preferential involvement of the central macular area.

In SD-OCT era, Polo et al. [45] evaluated macular area by SD-OCT of 75 AD patients and 75 age-matched healthy subjects. Retinal thinning was observed in AD eyes in all areas except from the fovea. In other study, Gao et al. [25] evaluated 25 patients with AD and found a significant reduction of macular volume in these patients. Salobrar-Garcia et al. [50] also demonstrated a preferential reduction of macular parameters in mild-AD patients. In this study, the peripapillary RNFL thickness was thinner, but did not reach a significant difference compared with age-matched controls, suggesting a preferential involvement of the macula in early stages of the disease.

In a recently published study [24], we have evaluated 45 eyes of 24 patients with AD using SD-OCT. Our results showed that the macula full-thickness measurements were significantly reduced in all segments, except in the inferior outer segment. The inner segments, around the fovea, were the most affected (Figs. 1, 2). This was an interesting finding and possibly indicates a pattern of neuronal loss in patients with AD. In agreement with our findings, Blank et al., in a histologically analysis, observed a total decrease of one quarter of neurons in GCL at the level of the fovea and parafoveal area of the retina in AD patients [9].

**Inner retinal layers in Alzheimer’s disease patients**

In the last decade, the spectral OCT technology evolved significantly allowing for creating high-resolution cross-sectional images. The acquisition speed in SD-OCT reduced the time to acquire three-dimensional images with higher resolution, enhancing the ultrastructural macula analysis, enabling the assessment not only of full macular thickness measurements but also of inner retinal layers. These layers are of particular interest in many ocular and neurological diseases and recent studies based on OCT measurements have demonstrated that the presence of RGC loss may be an early indicator of neural loss in many conditions, such as glaucoma, papilledema, compressive optic neuropathy, multiple sclerosis and optic neuromyelitis [55–58]. This approach may be promising in AD evaluation, especially because the RGC impairment shares similarities with neuronal loss in the brains of these patients. In fact, the reduction of full macular thickness, as shown in previous studies, is possibly related to the preferential involvement of the ganglion cell layer (GCL) [25, 26, 33, 45].

In 2013, Marziani et al. [31] using SD-OCT, demonstrated a reduction of RNFL+GCL thickness in the nine ETDRS subfields map in AD patients compared with age-matched controls. With the same SD-OCT, another group [43] demonstrated that the average, superior and inferior GCL thickness of the AD patients were significantly thinner than those of the controls. Cheung et al. [59] showed a significantly reduced macular ganglion cell-inner plexiform layer thicknesses in all macular sectors in AD patients compared with cognitively normal controls. In our study [24], the inner macular layers thickness measurements were automatically registered in a square pattern (6 × 6 mm). In each B-scan, the boundaries between the anatomical inner retinal layers in macular area were automatically delimited by built-in software (Fig. 3). The parameters registered in this study were: the average of macular retinal nerve fiber layer (mRNFL) thickness, the average of GCL plus the inner plexiform layer (IPL) thickness, referred as GCL+ and the average of RNFL plus GCL+ thickness, referred as GCL++ (Fig. 3). A pseudo-color thickness map of these layers is shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Our results demonstrate that the GCL+ and GCL++ thickness were significantly lower in eyes of AD patients. The mRNFL thickness measurements in AD eyes were lower than in control eyes, but did not reach statistical significance. Our results demonstrated that inner retinal layers impairment reflect the neuronal degeneration of the retina in AD patients, predominantly affecting the central macular area.

So, as previously demonstrated, the inner retinal layers seem to be preferentially affected in AD. However,
Table 1  Demographic data and retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measurements in Alzheimer’s disease, mild cognitive impairment and controls by OCT

| Study                        | OCT type | Diagnosis, number of subjects (eyes) | Mean age ± SD (years) | Mean MMSE ± SD | Mean peripapillary RNFL SD (µm) | Notes                                                                 |
|------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parisi et al. [19]           | TD       | AD, 17 (17)                        | 70.4 ± 6.1           | 16.4 ± 2.4     | 59.5 ± 16.8**                   | The mean peripapillary RNFL thickness correlated with PERG             |
|                              |          | Controls, 14 (14)                 |                      |                |                                 |                                                                      |
| Iseri et al. [26]            | TD       | AD, 14 (28)                       | 70.1 ± 9.7           | 18.5 ± 6.3     | 87.5 ± 23.8***                  | The peripapillary and macular RNFL thickness of AD patients were thinner than in control subjects. Total macular volume and MMSE scores were significantly correlated |
|                              |          | Controls, 14 (14)                 |                      |                |                                 |                                                                      |
| Berisha et al. [46]          | TD       | AD, 9 (9)                         | 65.1 ± 9.8           | 113.2 ± 6.7    |                                 | Narrow veins and decreased retinal blood flow in these veins          |
|                              |          | Controls, 14 (14)                 |                      |                |                                 |                                                                      |
| Paquet et al. [34]           | TD       | AD, 26 (52)                       | 78.5 ± 4.9           | 83.4 ± 7.2**   |                                 | Early involvement of the RNFL in patients with MCI                    |
|                              |          | MILD AD, 14 (28)                  | 78.5 ± 4.9           | 22.6           |                                 |                                                                      |
|                              |          | SEVERE AD, 12 (24)                |                      | 16.6           |                                 |                                                                      |
|                              |          | MCI, 23 (46)                      | 78.7 ± 5.1           | 28.8           | 893 ± 27.5**                    | The RNFL thickness reductions of predominantly in the superior and inferior quadrants |
|                              |          | Controls, 15 (30)                 | 75.5 ± 5.1           | 102.2 ± 1.8    |                                 |                                                                      |
| Lu et al. [30]               | TD       | AD, 22 (44)                       | 73.0 ± 8.0           | 90.0 ± 18.0*   |                                 |                                                                      |
|                              |          | Controls, 22 (44)                 |                      |                |                                 |                                                                      |
| Kesler et al. [27]           | TD       | AD, 30 (52)                       | 73.7 ± 9.9           | 84.7 ± 10.6*   |                                 | No correlation between RNFL thickness measurements and MMSE in AD patients |
|                              |          | MCI, 24 (40)                      | 71.0 ± 10.0          | 85.8 ± 10.0*   |                                 |                                                                      |
|                              |          | Controls, 24 (38)                 | 70.9 ± 9.2           | 94.3 ± 11.3    |                                 |                                                                      |
| Moschos et al. [33]          | TD       | AD, 30 (60)                       | 71.8 ± 8.6           |                |                                 | There is a functional abnormality of the outer retina in central macular area in mild stages of AD |
|                              |          | Controls, 30 (60)                 |                      |                |                                 |                                                                      |
| Moreno-Ramos et al. [32]     | SD       | AD, 10 (20)                       | 73.0 ± 6.5           | 94.5 ± 2.2*    |                                 | The RNFL thickness correlated significantly with both the MMSE and the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale scores in AD patients |
|                              |          | Controls, 30 (60)                 |                      |                |                                 |                                                                      |
| Marziani et al. [31]         | SD       | AD, 21 (21)                       | 79.3 ± 5.7           | 108.0 ± 2.2    |                                 | Macular RNFL and RNFL + GCL thickness measurements are reduced in AD patients compared with healthy subjects |
|                              |          | Controls, 10 (20)                 | 70.0 ± 2.0           |                |                                 |                                                                      |
| Study                  | OCT type | Diagnosis, number of subjects (eyes) | Mean age ± SD (years) | Mean MMSE ± SD | Mean peripapillary RNFL SD (µm) | Notes                                                                 |
|-----------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kirbas et al. [28]    | SD       | Controls, 21 (21)                    | 77.0 ± 4.2            |                |                               | No correlation between OCT parameters and MMSE                        |
|                       |          | AD, 40 (80)                          | 69.3 ± 4.9            | 21.4           | 65.0 ± 6.2*                   |                                                                       |
|                       |          | Controls, 40 (80)                     | 68.9 ± 5.1            |                |                               |                                                                       |
| Larrosa et al. [47]   | SD       | Controls, 61 (61)                     | 74.9                  |                |                               |                                                                       |
|                       |          | AD, 151 (151)                        | 75.3                  | 18.3           | 97.5 ± 14.1                   | Used two different OCT (cirrus and spectralis)                        |
| Ascaso et al. [35]    | TD       | Controls, 18 (36)                     | 72.1 ± 8.7 (AD + aMCI) | 19.3 (AD + aMCI) | 64.7 ± 15.2                   | The increased thickness and macular volume in aMCI                   |
|                       |          | aMCI, 21 (42)                         | 72.9                  |                | 86.7 ± 7.18***                |                                                                       |
| Polo et al. [45]      | SD       | Controls, 75 (75)                     | 74.1                  | 16.0           | 97.4 ± 11.2 (cirrus); 98.1 ± 10.7 (spectralis) | SD-OCT protocols were able to detect RNFL and macular atrophy in AD patients |
| Kromer et al. [29]    | SD       | Controls, 22 (42)                     | 75.9 ± 6.1            | 22.6 ± 5.5     | 104.3 ± 17.5                  | AD patients with mild to moderate stages of showed a significant reduction of RNFL thickness in the nasal superior sector |
| Bambo et al. [48]     | SD       | Controls, 56 (56)                     | 64.0 ± 8.2            |                | 101.8 ± 10.7                  | Presence of optic disc pallor correlate with axonal loss and perfusion alterations in AD |
| Bayhan et al. [43]    | SD       | Controls, 31 (31)                     | 76.4 ± 8.4            |                | 100.9 ± 11.7                  | A significant correlation with the macular GCC parameters and MMSE scores in AD patients |
| Liu et al. [41]       | TD       | Controls, 67 (134)                    | 74.9 ± 7.6            |                |                               | The RNFL thickness in the superior quadrant and total mean values are gradually and significantly decreased from MCI to severe AD |
|                       |          | Mild AD, 24                           | 71.3 ± 4.9            |                | 91.6 ± 10.1*                  |                                                                       |
|                       |          | Moderate AD, 24                       | 70.8 ± 6              |                | 91.7 ± 12.4*                  |                                                                       |
|                       |          | Severe AD, 19                         | 72.1 ± 4.6            |                | 87.1 ± 17.1***                |                                                                       |
|                       |          | MCI, 26 (52)                          | 70.2 ± 6.5            |                | 95.4 ± 17.1                   |                                                                       |
|                       |          | Controls, 39 (78)                     | 69.7 ± 7.8            |                | 100.1 ± 15                    |                                                                       |
| Study                          | OCT type | Diagnosis, number of subjects (eyes) | Mean age ± SD (years) | Mean MMSE ± SD | Mean peripapillary RNFL SD (µm) | Notes                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gao et al. [25]               | SD       | AD, 25 (50)                         | 74.7 ± 1.3           | 19.2 ± 0.6    | 86 ± 1.9**                    | Reduced macular volume in AD and MCI patients, no correlation between MMSE and OCT parameters |
|                               |          | aMCI, 25 (50)                       | 73.4 ± 1.5           | 25.8 ± 0.35   | 92.4 ± 1.9*                   |                                                                      |
|                               |          | Controls, 21 (42)                   | 72.1 ± 1             |               | 98.6 ± 1.7                   |                                                                      |
| Oktem et al. [49]             | SD       | AD, 35 (70)                         | 74.1 ± 6.9           | 18.0          | 806 ± 96***                   | RNFL thickness measurements can be useful for early diagnosis and evaluation of disease progression |
|                               |          | MCI, 35 (70)                        | 74.1 ± 6.3           | 28.0          | 82.5 ± 73                    |                                                                      |
|                               |          | Controls, 35 (70)                   | 70.2 ± 8.0           | 29.0          | 91.5 ± 7.1                   |                                                                      |
| Salobrar-Garcia et al. [50]   | SD       | AD, 23 (23)                         | 79.3 ± 4.6           | 23.3 ± 3.1    |                              | Increase in peripapillary thickness in mild-AD patients              |
|                               |          | Controls, 28 (28)                   | 72.3 ± 5.1           |               |                              |                                                                      |
| Cunha et al. [24]             | SD       | AD, 24 (45)                         | 74.8 ± 6.2           | 17.0 ± 5.2    | 93.7 ± 13.4                  | Neuronal loss, especially for macular parameters, correlated well with cognitive impairment in AD |
|                               |          | Controls, 24 (48)                   | 72.3 ± 7.3           |               | 103 ± 9.2                    |                                                                      |
| García-Martin et al. [51]     | SD       | AD, 150 (150)                       | 75.33                | 18.35 ± 3.33  | 95.7 ± 15.22                 | Performed segmentation of all retinal layers. Inner retinal layers reduction may predict greater disease severity |
|                               |          | Controls, 75 (75)                   | 74.79                |               | 99.23 ± 16.48                |                                                                      |
| Choi et al. [40]              | SD       | AD, 42 (42)                         | 76.8 ± 8.7           | 14.5 ± 5.5    |                              | Performed segmentation of all retinal layers                          |
|                               |          | MCI, 26 (26)                        | 74.7 ± 7.8           | 23.1 ± 4.6    | 86.6 ± 10.2                  |                                                                      |
|                               |          | Controls, 66 (66)                   | 73.8 ± 7.5           |               |                              |                                                                      |

AD: Alzheimer’s disease, MCI: mild cognitive impairment, aMCI: amnestic mild cognitive impairment, RNFL: retinal nerve fiber layer, OCT: optical coherence tomography, SD: standard deviation, TD: time-domain, SD (OCT type column): spectral domain, MMSE: mini mental state examination, PERG: pattern-reversal electroretinogram, GCL: ganglion cell layer, GCC: ganglion cell complex

* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001 when compared to controls
Demonstrate a significant correlation between OCT shown opposite results. Iseri et al. [26] were the first to demonstrate a significant correlation between OCT parameters and MMSE scores [25, 27, 45], others have shown opposite results. While some authors failed to demonstrate a significant relation between OCT and MMSE score, but the results of these patients are somewhat conflicting. While some authors failed to demonstrate a significant correlation between OCT parameters and MMSE scores [25, 27, 45], others have shown opposite results. Iseri et al. [26] were the first to demonstrate a significant correlation between OCT parameters and MMSE scores in AD patients. However, the correlation was significant only for the total macular volume, and not for the peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements. On the other hand, Ascaso et al. [35] showed a significant association between RNFL thickness in superior and inferior quadrants, and MMSE score. Oktem et al. [42] also demonstrated a significant correlation between MMSE scores and the RNFL parameters. Recently, our group confirmed a significant correlation between MMSE scores and several SD-OCT parameters [24]. For peripapillary RNFL parameters, a significant correlation was found for the average, superior and inferior thickness. For the macular thickness, all parameters (except the superior and inferior outer segments) showed significant correlation with MMSE scores. The most significant correlations were those of the four (superior, inferior, nasal and temporal) inner macular segments and GCL++, reflecting the most affected parameters in our patients. In accordance to our results, Bayhan et al. [43] also demonstrated a significant correlation between MMSE scores and macular GCL thickness. The correlation between cognitive impairment and OCT parameters seems to be stronger for both full macular thickness and inner retinal layers. This is in agreement with the post mortem histological study in eyes of AD patients which demonstrated a predominantly RGC loss at the level of the fovea and parafoveal retina [9]. Therefore, macular thickness assessment using OCT, especially with the advances in high-resolution SD-OCT equipments, seems to be a promising diagnostic tool in AD patients. However, further studies, including earlier stages of the disease and monitoring OCT parameters changes along the course of the disease, are required.

Correlation between RNFL and macular thickness measurements with the cognitive impairment in Alzheimer’s disease patients

Some of the crucial approaches for diagnosis of AD are based on neuropsychological tests such as the mini-mental state examination (MMSE) scores, which are widely used to evaluate the cognitive impairments in dementia patients [2]. So, since the measurement of the degree of severity of cognitive impairment can be given by MMSE score, it seems reasonable that the correlation between this psychological test and OCT parameters could be useful information for clinical evaluation and monitoring of these patients.

In fact, some previous studies have evaluated the correlation between OCT and MMSE score, but the results are somewhat conflicting. While some authors failed to demonstrate a significant correlation between the OCT parameters and MMSE scores [25, 27, 45], others have shown opposite results. Iseri et al. [26] were the first to demonstrate a significant correlation between OCT parameters and MMSE scores in AD patients. However, the correlation was significant only for the total macular volume, and not for the peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements. On the other hand, Ascaso et al. [35] showed a significant association between RNFL thickness in superior and inferior quadrants, and MMSE score. Oktem et al. [42] also demonstrated a significant correlation between MMSE scores and the RNFL parameters. Recently, our group confirmed a significant correlation between MMSE scores and several SD-OCT parameters [24]. For peripapillary RNFL parameters, a significant correlation was found for the average, superior and inferior thickness. For the macular thickness, all parameters (except the superior and inferior outer segments) showed significant correlation with MMSE scores. The most significant correlations were those of the four (superior, inferior, nasal and temporal) inner macular segments and GCL++, reflecting the most affected parameters in our patients. In accordance to our results, Bayhan et al. [43] also demonstrated a significant correlation between MMSE scores and macular GCL thickness. The correlation between cognitive impairment and OCT parameters seems to be stronger for both full macular thickness and inner retinal layers. This is in agreement with the post mortem histological study in eyes of AD patients which demonstrated a predominantly RGC loss at the level of the fovea and parafoveal retina [9]. Therefore, macular thickness assessment using OCT, especially with the advances in high-resolution SD-OCT equipments, seems to be a promising diagnostic tool in AD patients. However, further studies, including earlier stages of the disease and monitoring OCT parameters changes along the course of the disease, are required.

Optical coherence tomography parameters in mild cognitive impairment

The MCI is a clinical condition in which the individual presents with memory loss, larger than expected for their age, but not enough to impair their daily activities [60]. The MCI can be considered as an intermediate stage between normal aging and dementia [61]. The amnestic MCI (aMCI), a subtype with a predominant memory impairment, can be considered by many authors as an early stage of AD, mainly because the rate of progression to Alzheimer in aMCI is 10–15 % per year, while in normal individuals, the conversion rate is only 1–2 % per year [61, 62]. Since MCI may represent an earlier stage of dementia, before the onset of the AD, it is important that these patients undergo careful medical evaluation over the years. Monitoring the clinical status and using ancillary objective tests that could document possible progression to AD are also required. In this scenario, the RNFL thickness and macular measurements by OCT may turn

![Fig. 1](image-url) Examples of Topcon 3D OCT-2000 generated full-thickness macular measurements in the right (OD) and left (OS) eye of a patient with Alzheimer’s disease. Measurements in different sectors are indicated with numbers and represented in colors that correspond to the normal distribution. Sectors in green indicate values within normal range; in yellow less than the 5th, in red less than the 1st compared with an age-matched reference population. Note that several macular thickness parameters are below normal range, particularly in inner segments.

Information about the integrity of the other retinal layers is crucial. Recent advances in the SD-OCT technology, particularly with improvements in segmentation software analysis, may allow the measurement of all retinal layers. In a recently published study, Garcia-Martin et al. [51], using an automatic segmentation prototype, showed that not only inner retinal layers were reduced in AD patients, but the outer nuclear layers were also impaired. Studies are needed in order to verify the clinical significance of this finding in AD patients and if it’s an indicative of a diffuse degenerative process affecting all retinal layers or as result of retrograde transinaptic degeneration.
out to represent a non-invasive in vivo biological marker in both MCI and AD patients. Paquet et al. [34] demonstrated that the mean peripapillary RNFL were reduced in both MCI and AD patients, but no significant differences were found between MCI and AD parameters. Similar results were also demonstrated by Oktem et al. [42]. On the other hand, another group found that the total RNFL thickness of MCI patients was significantly different of both AD (thinner) and controls (thicker). The peripapillary RNFL loss was more pronounced in inferior quadrant [27]. Ascaso et al. [35] evaluated peripapillary RNFL thickness and both macular thickness and volume in MCI patients by OCT, and also confirmed a reduction of mean RNFL thickness measurements and in all
except from the nasal quadrant when compared to controls. Moreover, these authors found an apparently conflicting data, an increase in macular thickness parameters of MCI patients. They attributed this finding to possible inflammation and/or gliosis that would occur in the early stages of AD.

In a recently published study using SD-OCT, Gao et al. [25] found that the average thickness of the RNFL was reduced in MCI patients compared to AD patients (at inferior quadrant and segments of 5 and 6 o’clock). Compared to controls, MCI patients showed a significant reduction in RNFL thickness measurements only in the temporal quadrant and segments of 8, 9 and 10 o’clock. They also found significant reduction of the macular volume in MCI patients. Other authors showed that the RNFL thickness measurements were reduced in the superior quadrant and the total mean values are gradually and significantly decreased in patients ranging from MCI to severe AD, when compared to the controls [41]. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Thomson et al. [39] identified five studies including 214 MCI eyes and 421 control eyes, demonstrating a significant reduction

---

**Fig. 3** Example of inner macular thickness measurements by SD-OCT of AD patient. On the left (a, c, e) represents the scanned area (7 × 7 mm). Each 10 × 10 grid was color coded with no color (within the normal limit), yellow (outside the 95 % normal limit), or red (outside the 99 % normal limit). The total analyzed area corresponding to 6 × 6 mm. On the right vertical OCT scans through the fovea. The white lines correspond to the boundaries of the inner retinal layers identified during the segmentation process. b Macular RNFL (mRNFL) thickness measurement, through the internal limiting membrane (ILM) to inner boundary of ganglion cell layer (GCL). d GCL plus inner plexiform layer (IPL), through the inner boundary of GCL to outer boundary of IPL (GCL+). f mRNFL plus GCL plus IPL, through the ILM to outer boundary of IPL (GCL++)
in the overall mean RNFL thickness and in all four quadrants (superior, nasal, temporal and inferior) in patients with MCI.

Therefore, as previously demonstrated, peripapillary RNFL and macular thickness parameters in MCI patients were significantly reduced when compared to normal controls. These measurements assessed by OCT demonstrate that axonal loss in MCI is situated in an intermediate stage between AD patients and healthy controls, suggesting the involvement of the retina in early phases even before the onset of dementia.

Conclusions

In summary, in this article we discussed several clinical applications of OCT in patients with AD. The peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements were reduced in all quadrants, suggesting that a diffuse axonal degeneration occurs in AD patients. This finding is reinforced by the macular thickness reduction, especially by the loss of inner retinal layers, which reflects a preferential retinal GCL impairment in patients with AD. Therefore, OCT parameters can be used to distinguish AD patients from normal aging. Both peripapillary and macular thickness measurements obtained by OCT can be used to detect early neuronal loss as demonstrated in MCI patients, suggesting that OCT could be a promising diagnostic tool in demential diseases. Future studies showing that OCT can be useful in identify the converting patients from MCI to AD are required. Moreover, neuronal loss seems to correlate well with cognitive impairment in AD, especially for macular parameters. This indicates the promising role of OCT in the clinical evaluation of these patients. Therefore, OCT is a non-invasive test, which we believe will serve as a biomarker in AD patients that could be routinely used to evaluate and follow these patients, allowing a more comprehensive approach in this disease.
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