In this work, a detailed description of the various steps involved in the fabrication of high-efficiency hydrogenated amorphous-silicon cells using plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition, and a novel shadow masking technique is presented. The influence of the different masking methods on the cell parameters was experimentally investigated. Particularly, the short-circuit current density (Jsc), the fill factor, the open circuit voltage (Voc), and the resistive losses indicated by the shunt (Rsh) and series (Rs) resistances were measured in order to assess the performance of the cells as a function of the masks used during the cell fabrication process. The results indicate that the use of a masking technique where the p-i-n structure was first deposited over the whole surface of a 20 cm² substrate, followed by the deposition, deposits the back contact through a metal mask, and by the ultrasonic soldering of indium to access the front contact is a good alternative to laser scribing in the laboratory scale. Indeed, a record efficiency of 8.8%, with a short-circuit current density (Jsc) of 15.6 mA/cm², an open-circuit voltage (Voc) of 0.8 V, and a fill factor of 66.07% and low resistive losses were obtained by this technique. Furthermore, a spectroscopic ellipsometry investigation of the uniformity of the film properties (thickness, band gap, and refractive index) on large-area substrates, which is crucial to mini-module fabrication on a single substrate and for heterojunction development, was performed using the optimal cell deposition recipes. It was found that the relative variations of the band gap, thickness, and refractive index n are less than 1% suggesting that the samples are uniform over the 20 cm² × 20 cm² substrate area used in this work.
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INTRODUCTION

Hydrogenated amorphous-silicon (a-Si:H) is a very promising material which has drawn significant interest in several research fields, including in single-junction and tandem solar cells as an absorber, in silicon heterojunction cells as a passivation layer (Sai et al., 2018), in radiation detectors (Davis et al., 2020), optoelectronic and photonic devices (Chong et al., 2020), and as a photo-electrodes in water splitting powered by solar energy (Stuckelberger et al., 2017).

Shadow-masking techniques can be considered as a low-cost pattern generation and thin-film device fabrication technique (Park et al., 2006) compared to laser scribing. Indeed, hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) spot-size converters were fabricated using this simple technique (Lipka et al., 2008). However, a-Si:H solar cells fabricated by a classical masking method such as the hot-wire chemical vapor deposition technique showed an efficiency of only 6% (Nelson et al., 2001), which is much lower than the record of 10.3% obtained by solar cells deposited by PECVD (Lambertz et al., 2015). This record efficiency was obtained using the laser scribing technique, and the cell parameters were $V_{oc}$ of 0.89 V, $J_{sc}$ of 17.20 mA/cm$^2$, and a FF of 67.0% (Lambertz et al., 2015). Further improvement of the performance of solar cells obtained by the masking technique is therefore still possible.

On the other hand, the thickness and opto-electronic property uniformities play a decisive role in large-area thin-film devices. Indeed, the uniform deposition of the layers on the surface is absolutely required to develop efficient large-area thin-film modules (Hoetzel et al., 2016). In this regard, an efficiency of 6.4% was attained for 20 cm$^2$ × 20 cm$^2$ cells, with a fill factor (FF) of 0.59, a short-circuit current ($I_{sc}$) of 14.15 mA/cm$^2$, and an open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) of 0.79 V (Ledermann et al., 2001). The proven uniformity of the various layers in this case was 2.5% (Ledermann et al., 2001), suggesting that further improvement is also possible in this case. Furthermore, a-Si:H plays a crucial role in heterojunction silicon solar cells as it is used as a passivation layer of the crystalline Si wafer and carrier selective (p and n-type layers). Recently, a record efficiency of 23.5% was reported in this family of cells (Dréon et al., 2020). In this case, also, thin-film uniformity over a large-area crystalline Si wafer is necessary.

In this work, we have used the cluster facility of GEP (Dréon et al., 2020; Green Energy Park Platform,) to fabricate a-Si:H thin films on large-area substrates using plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) at 13.56 MHz plasma excitation frequency on 20 cm$^2$ × 20 cm$^2$ glass substrates (Asahi-VU) coated with a fluorine-doped (SnO$_2$:F) film (Belhiti Alaoui et al., 2020). Solar cells with a 1 cm$^2$ × 1 cm$^2$ active area were defined by using different shadow masking methods instead of laser scribing, to investigate the influence of this method on the electrical characteristics of the obtained cells. The optimized deposition recipes of each layer were then used to deposit films on 20 cm$^2$ × 20 cm$^2$ borosilicate substrates to study sample uniformity for a potential up-scaling.

MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT

Deposition Technique

The deposition of intrinsic i-type a-Si:H, n-type a-Si:H, and p-type a-SiC:H was performed in separate plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) chambers for each layer at 13.56 MHz plasma excitation frequency. The Al back-contact, on the other hand, was deposited by rf-sputtering in another chamber. All of the four chambers were connected in one multi-chamber cassette cluster tool facility to ensure a chain deposition without vacuum breaking at the Green Energy Park (GEP) (Green Energy Park Platform.; Alaoui et al., 2015). The deposition parameters of the various layers are shown in Table 1. These parameters were obtained through an optimization process where the optical parameters of the films (optical band gap, refractive index, thickness) were measured by spectroscopic ellipsometry.

Characterization Technique

Solar cell I-V curve measurements for the 1 cm$^2$ × 1 cm$^2$ cell fabricated by the masking technique described below were performed using a “QuickSun 130CA” solar simulator with an AM1.5 (1,000 W/m$^2$) spectrum.

The sample uniformity on large-area substrates was examined through the measurements of the band gap (Eg), thickness, and refractive index on different regions of the sample. These parameters were obtained by spectroscopic ellipsometry measurements performed using a Horiba SMART SE ellipsometer. The optimized deposition methods were used for this purpose for each layer.

METHOD

Following the optimization process of the various layers, the methods detailed in Table 1 were for the fabrication of p-i-n solar cells. This was performed by the sequential deposition of the 10 nm p-type a-SiC:H on a textured SnO$_2$:F (FTO) – coated Asahi Glass substrate with a sheet resistance of 10 Ω/square. This is followed by a 200 nm i-type a-Si:H absorber layer, and a 19 nm

| TABLE 1 | Optimized recipes for the deposition of the a-Si:H layers of p-i-n cells. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Layer | P | I | N | Metal |
| Power (W) | 15 | 16 | 30 | 3,000 |
| Pressure (mTorr) | 1,000 | 1,000 | 500 | OPEN |
| Space (mm) | 25 | 25 | 25 | – |
| Set temp (°C) | 180 | 10 | 180 | – |
| Rotate speed (rpm) | – | – | – | – |
| SiH$_4$ (sccm) | 16 | 18 | – | 30 |
| H$_2$ (sccm) | 280 | 25 | 120 | – |
| (PH$_3$)(1% in H$_2$) (sccm) | – | – | 10 | – |
| (B$_2$H$_6$) (5% in H$_2$) (sccm) | 3 | – | – | – |
| CH$_4$ (sccm) | 33 | – | – | – |
| Ar (sccm) | – | – | – | 24 |
| Time (s) | 75 | 2,000 | 75 | 360 |
| Thickness (nm) | 12.5 | 262.3 | 19.0 | 600.0 |
n-type a-Si:H layer. Solar cells with an active area of 1 cm$^2 \times 1$ cm$^2$ were delimited by a combination of a Kapton tape mask (referred to as TM) and a metal mask (MM). In some cells, and as shown in Figure 1, the metal mask was used after the deposition of a complete p-i-n structure to deposit the Al back-contact (thickness 600 nm). In this case, access to the front SnO$_2$:F contact was performed by ultrasonic soldering (U-SS) of indium through the various layers of the cell (Figures 1D and 2). The various steps involved in the fabrication of the best cells are schematically described in Figures 1 and 2.

Subsequently, the optimized deposition parameters for i-type a-Si:H, n-type a-Si:H, and p-type a-SiC:H, respectively were used to deposit these layers on large-area 20 cm$^2 \times 20$ cm$^2$ borosilicate glass substrates in order to study the uniformity of the resulting films. The optimization of the deposition parameters was performed through a combination of ellipsometry measurements (optical parameters) combined with the performance of the fabricated p-i-n cells.

RESULTS

Solar Cells Characteristics

One of the objectives of the present work was to develop, compare, and test an effective shadow masking method that may be a good alternative to laser scribing on lab-scale research for the development of high quality solar cells using PECVD and PVD deposition techniques. To quantify the quality of fabricated films and the effect of the masking technique, p-i-n solar cells were fabricated. The cell performance parameters were then used as indicators of the quality of the various films and their interfaces. The objective was then to maximize single-junction p-i-n cell efficiency.

The parameters of the best solar cells obtained so far are presented in Table 2 for different masking techniques. The lowest efficiency in this series of cells is 4.8% obtained for cell 1 fabricated by using a metal mask throughout the deposition process of the p-i-n cell, i.e., the deposition of the p, i, and n-type a-Si:H layers, and the deposition of the Al back-contact.
n-layers and the metal back-contact. The highest efficiency in this series of cells was 8.8%, which was obtained by using the second masking technique where the p-i-n structure was first deposited on the entire substrate, and then a metal mask was used to deposit the Al back contact. The Raman and impedance spectroscopy, the SEM image, and the optical parameters of this best cell were previously studied and reported in other work (Belrhiti Alaoui et al., 2020). Ultrasonic soldering of indium through the various layers was then used to access the front FTO contact. In this case, edge effects were significantly reduced. This second masking technique seemed to improve the efficiency significantly, as it can be observed by comparing the performance parameters of cell 1 and cells 4 to 6. The highest efficiency obtained in our laboratory using this simple masking technique is 8.86%, which was obtained by using the second masking technique. The series resistance was obtained from the inverse of the slope of the I-V curve under open circuit conditions, while the shunt resistance was found from the inverse of the slope of the I-V curve under the short circuit conditions.

A quick comparison of the characteristics of the cells shows that indium soldering reduces the series resistance (compare cell 1 and cell 6) while the masking technique affects the shunt resistance because of the edge effects (cells 1 and 6). The shunt resistance is much higher when the metal mask is used in the last step instead of using it from the beginning to delimit the cells during the deposition process. The cell that exhibits the highest efficiency is characterized by the highest short-circuit current and the lowest resistive losses and high FF.

Other factors such as the layer thickness of n and p were also investigated. The efficiency does not change significantly when the p-layer thickness is varied between 10 and 15 nm. Similarly, the cell efficiency changed slightly when the n-layer thickness was varied between 18 and 23 nm. On the other hand, when the thickness of the i-layer was increased from 196.7 nm (cell 5) to 262.3 nm (cell 6), the efficiency and J_sc increased because of an effective absorption of light. The optimal thickness of the i-layer is usually located between 260 and 300 nm. Furthermore, the addition of a 1–2 nm a-Si: C:H buffer layer between the p- and i-layers slightly affected the cell parameters. A detailed investigation of these effects is however out of the scope of the present work.

Sample Uniformity on Large-Area Substrates
In order to study the uniformity of the films on 20 cm^2 × 20 cm^2 glass substrates, the thickness, band-gap, and refractive index of the various a-Si:H layers were determined using spectroscopic ellipsometry on five regions: the center and the four corners of the rectangular glass substrates as shown in Figure 4. The uniformity is crucial for both large-area cells and for the development of mini-modules on a single substrate.

The results of these tests are summarized in Table 3 which shows that the obtained optical parameters (Eg and n) are characteristic of the various layers and are in good agreement with the values reported in the literature. In addition, the parameters that quantify the sample uniformity, the mean value, the maximum deviation, which is the largest difference between the mean and the individual values, in addition to the standard deviation are shown in this table for the three types of a-Si:H.

### DISCUSSION

In this work single-junction p-i-n a-Si:H cells were prepared and characterized for the first time using shadow masks. The combination between metal mask and ultrasonic soldering gave a good alternative in the absence of the laser scribing technique for lab-scale preparation of a-Si:H cells deposited by PECVD. As it can be seen from Table 2, the highest efficiency obtained in our laboratory using this simple masking technique is 8.86%, which is the highest efficiency obtained so far using this technique, with a J_sc of 15.6 mA/cm^2, a V_oc of 0.86V, an FF of 66.07%, and the lowest
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resistive losses. This efficiency is slightly lower than the world record in this type of cells (Lambertz et al., 2015). However, it should be noted that this record efficiency was obtained by limiting the cell by laser scribing, which effectively eliminates edge effects, instead of shadow masking which was used in this work.

Our results suggest that further improvements are possible, as Jsc can be improved. The lower efficiency may be attributed either to the parasitic absorption within the p-layer or to the slightly lower active layer thickness in our cells. Increasing the band gap of the p-layer will result in a higher built-in potential and allows more light to reach the absorber layer (i-layer). In addition, the p-layer should be thin enough to maximize its transparency but thick enough to generate an electric field in the intrinsic layer, which is crucial for the separation of the photo-generated carriers.

Increasing the i-layer thickness may increase Jsc and efficiency, as more photons will be absorbed. However, better stability of the cell usually requires the use of thinner intrinsic a-Si:H layers to increase the electric field strength across this active layer (Ramanujam and Verma, 2012). Similarly, the hydrogen contents of the film, a function of the dilution ratio and other process parameters, improves the quality of the i-layer, through the reduction of defect density, and leads to an increase in Jsc and Voc. Moreover, Jsc can also be enhanced by texturing the back contact as the scattering of the long wavelength arriving at this level will increase (Ramanujam and Verma, 2012; Meillaud et al., 2015; Stuckelberger et al., 2017; Fortes et al., 2018). All these effects will be further systematically investigated. In addition, the thin (1–4 nm) buffer layer between the p-layer and the intrinsic layer will also be optimized in order to accommodate the band offset between the two layers and to prevent the back diffusion of the photo-generated electrons into the p-type layer. Consequently, the effect of the following parameters on the performance of a single-junction a-Si:H solar cell will be further investigated: 1) the p-layer thickness and band gap, which affects the amount of light that enters the active layer. 2) The hydrogen dilution ratio, which affects the structure, the defect density, and the thickness of the active a-Si:H layer, and consequently the short-circuit current and the open-circuit voltage of the cell. 3) The TCO carrier collection layer, and 4) the Al back-reflector (BR) contact which will be replaced by Ag.

The uniformity of the film properties across the 20 cm² × 20 cm² substrate is excellent as demonstrated by ellipsometry measurements. As shown in Table 3, the relative variations of the three critical parameters (e.g., thickness, and refractive index n) are less than 1%, suggesting that the samples are very uniform over the 20 cm² × 20 cm² area. The results suggest that the developed recipes for i-type a-Si:H, n-type a-Si:H, and p-type a-SiC:H present a good potential for high-efficiency large-area a-Si:H solar cells. It should be noted that the uniformity of the layers involved in the p-i-n or in other cell configurations is crucial for both large-area cells and for the development of mini-modules on a single substrate (Ledermann et al., 2001; Hoetzel et al., 2016; Kim and Lee, 2018).

The next steps would be to engage in the fabrication of heterojunctions and multiple-junction tandem cells using the same shadow masking technique. In a further development, we will investigate the potential of the shadow mask technique for the large-scale deposition of p-i-n a-Si:H on 20 cm² × 20 cm²
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**TABLE 3** | Variation of the optical parameters and thickness of the i, p, and n a-Si:H layers deposited by PECVD across the surface of the 20 cm² × 20 cm² substrate.

| Film            | Parameter     | center | Corner 1 | Corner 2 | Corner 3 | Corner 4 | Mean value | Max. deviation | Std. Deviation |
|-----------------|---------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| i-layer         | Thickness     | 202.4  | 195.6    | 199.5    | 201.1    | 199.1    | 199.5      | 2.8           | 2.6           |
|                 | Band gap (eV) | 1.73   | 1.73     | 1.73     | 1.73     | 1.73     | 1.73       | 0.00          | 0.00          |
|                 | Refractive index | 4.20 | 4.19     | 4.19     | 4.19     | 4.20     | 4.19       | 0.00          | 0.00          |
| p-type layer    | Thickness     | 99.2   | 97.9     | 98.8     | 97.7     | 98.0     | 98.3       | 0.9           | 0.6           |
|                 | Band gap (eV) | 1.69   | 1.70     | 1.69     | 1.70     | 1.71     | 1.70       | 0.01          | 0.01          |
|                 | Refractive index | 3.41 | 3.40     | 3.40     | 3.40     | 3.40     | 3.40       | 0.01          | 0.01          |
| n-type layer    | Thickness     | 150.9  | 145.4    | 154.0    | 151.4    | 145.7    | 149.5      | 4.5           | 3.8           |
|                 | Band gap (eV) | 1.59   | 1.59     | 1.59     | 1.59     | 1.59     | 1.59       | 0.00          | 0.00          |
|                 | Refractive index | 4.12 | 4.13     | 4.11     | 4.11     | 4.13     | 4.12       | 0.01          | 0.01          |
substrates, which will take advantage of the good sample uniformity to make a high efficiency 20 cm² x 20 cm² mini module.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we investigated the use of simple and low-cost masking techniques to make single-junction a-Si:H solar cells deposited by PECVD. It was found that the use of a metal mask in the last step of the cell fabrication process to deposit the Al back contact resulted in the highest efficiency of 8.8% compared with the other masking techniques. On the other hand, the uniformity of the different layers deposited on large-area substrates was found to be excellent as suggested by the relative variations of the critical film parameters (band gap, thickness, and refractive index) which did not exceed 1% over the 20 cm² x 20 cm² substrate.
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