Drinking water microbiome assembly induced by water stagnation
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Abstract
What happens to tap water when you are away from home? Day-to-day water stagnation in building plumbing can potentially result in water quality deterioration (e.g., lead release or pathogen proliferation), which is a major public health concern. However, little is known about the microbial ecosystem processes in plumbing systems, hindering the development of biological monitoring strategies. Here, we track tap water microbiome assembly in situ, showing that bacterial community composition changes rapidly from the city supply following ~6-day stagnation, along with an increase in cell count from 10³ cells/mL to upwards of 7.8 × 10⁵ cells/mL. Remarkably, bacterial community assembly was highly reproducible in this built environment system (median Spearman correlation between temporal replicates = 0.78). Using an island biogeography model, we show that neutral processes arising from the microbial communities in the city water supply (i.e., migration and demographic stochasticity) explained the island community composition in proximal pipes (Goodness-of-fit = 0.48), yet declined as water approached the faucet (Goodness-of-fit = 0.21). We developed a size-effect model to simulate this process, which indicated that pipe diameter drove these changes by mediating the kinetics of hypochlorite decay and cell detachment, affecting selection, migration, and demographic stochasticity. Our study challenges current water quality monitoring practice worldwide which ignore biological growth in plumbing, and suggests the island biogeography model as a useful framework to evaluate building water system quality.

INTRODUCTION

Potable water supply infrastructure harbors complex microbial ecosystems, affecting the lives of 3.6 billion people living in urbanized areas [1–3]. In developed countries, the water supply networks in homes, schools, and hospitals (collectively termed as premise plumbing), have been identified as a hotspot for waterborne pathogens [1, 4]. In the United States, three plumbing-associated opportunistic pathogens (i.e., Legionella pneumophila, Mycobacterium avium, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa) are linked to ~41,000 infections per year, mostly affecting elderly populations and immunocompromised individuals [5, 6]. However, although drinking water is closely monitored for its biological quality based on indicator microorganisms in public supplies, active surveillance of water after entering a building is not included in current regulations [7]. In addition, unlike traditional fecal contamination, plumbing-associated pathogens are freshwater microorganisms that naturally occur in the biofilms of water systems, not necessarily correlated with occurrences of indicator microorganisms in current water quality monitoring [5, 8, 9]. A potential link has been reported between bacterial community composition and occurrences of opportunistic pathogens [10], suggesting the microbiome could be a prospective indicator to aid water quality surveillance and management.

One crucial characteristic of the in-building water supply system is water stagnation. In a city-wide water supply network, water flow seldom fully stops due to the aggregated water demand in urban areas. In buildings, however,
water can frequently stay unused for extended periods of time due to individual’s water-use behaviors, thus allowing for lengthy incubation times for processes crucial to biological activity, such as the decay of disinfectants [11–14]. In addition, substantially smaller pipe diameters are used in buildings than in city infrastructure, which can result in over 10 times larger contact area per unit volume [1, 15, 16]. This further leads to an increased surface area between tap water and pipe-wall biofilms, and, hence, higher apparent reaction rates. Stagnation effects remain unaddressed in building designs. In fact, green-building design tends to focus on water conservation, which essentially extends water stagnation and accelerates water quality deterioration [16, 17]. Tracking stagnation effects on the bacterial communities in tap water can help to elucidate how tap water deviates from the city supply and to understand what magnitude of deviation is permissible. Outside of a small number of studies with community fingerprinting methods (i.e., T-RFLP or DGGE) that indicate community structure shifts [9, 18], detailed culture-independent field experiments focused on the effects of tap water stagnation on bacterial community composition have not been conducted.

Here, we present a field experiment of bacterial community assembly within-building drinking water systems. The experiment was conducted twice in three student dormitory buildings at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (UIUC) during unoccupied times over a summer break before and after week-long stagnation (Fig. 1a). To map the bacterial communities to the pipe network structure, we sampled the post-stagnation water by collecting consecutive segments of flowing tap water (Fig. 1b). The samples were characterized using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, flow cytometry, and water chemistry analysis. In addition, we combined migration and demographic stochasticity modeling [19, 20] and reaction kinetics simulation to gain a mechanistic understanding of bacterial community assembly during tap water stagnation.

### RESULTS

**Drastic change in bacterial cell count and community composition after tap water stagnates in buildings**

Tap water cell count significantly increased during stagnation, from less than $10^3$ cells/ml (below detection in flow cytometry) to up to $7.8 \times 10^5$ cells/ml. After stagnation, the bacterial community in tap water exhibited decreased richness and evenness compared to fresh tap water samples, as measured by an array of alpha diversity matrices (i.e., the observed number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) defined at 97% sequence similarity, Chao 1 index, Shannon index, etc.; Figure S1). Post-stagnation water community also had distinctive composition and structure from fresh tap water samples (Fig. 2). Beta-diversity measured by the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity showed that post-stagnation water communities formed separate groups from fresh city tap water samples.
water (Fig. 2a). Principle coordinate analysis of Bray–Curtis dissimilarity and other beta-diversity metrics, including the Jaccard index and UniFrac distance (weighted and unweighted), also showed grouping between fresh and post-stagnation water samples (Figure S2). Post-stagnation water grouped more drastically from fresh tap water samples when measured by beta-diversity measurements considering abundance (Bray–Curtis dissimilarity and weighted UniFrac distance) than the presence/absence of taxa (the Jaccard index and unweighted UniFrac distance), suggesting that community difference was largely driven by differentiated abundance in common taxa.

In terms of community composition, the fresh tap water samples harbored bacterial phyla *Proteobacteria*, *Cyanobacteria* (mostly classified to “Candidatus” Melainabacteria), *Bacteroidetes*, *Planctomycetes*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Firmicutes*; yet the post-stagnation tap water was dominated by *Proteobacteria* (Fig. 2b). A transition in community composition was also apparent at finer taxonomic resolutions (Figure S3). Consistent with our previous studies of the municipal water distribution system supplying these buildings [21], OTUs related to the *Proteobacteria* families including *Erythrobacteraceae*, *Sphingomonadaceae*, *Rhodocyclaceae*, and *Comamonadaceae* were abundant (Figure S4 and Table S1). These families are aerobic heterotrophs frequently observed in the drinking water ecosystem [22–24]. Several OTUs related to the *Methylocystis* genus were detected (e.g., OTU0005, whose relative abundance ranked 5th in fresh tap water and 4th in post-stagnation water samples); most representative isolates reported from this genus so far were obligate methanotrophs, with the exception of facultative methanotrophic isolates with clear preference of methane [25, 26]. The dissolved methane from the source water, a methanogenic Mahomet aquifer, might support their growth [27–29]. Notably, an OTU related to ‘Candidatus’ Melainabacteria was ranked the second abundant in fresh tap water (OTU00026), yet declined in rank in post-stagnation water samples. This recently-named ‘Candidatus’ phylum sibling to *Cyanobacteria* has been detected in subsurface aquifer, sediment, and the human gut microbiome. Genome reconstruction suggests this clade to be non-photosynthetic, anaerobic, motile, and obligately fermentative [30]. With increasing detection of *Candidatus* Melainabacteria in culture-independent 16S rRNA gene surveys of drinking water supply systems, such as Champaign, Illinois [29], Ohio River Basin [31], and New Orleans, Louisiana [32], further studies on their roles in the engineered water environment are needed.

Remarkably, community composition in the same faucet between temporal replicates was highly reproducible. We specifically tested the reproducibility of the spatial trajectory of abundant taxa (>1% in at least one sample) using a Spearman correlation, which resulted in a median of 0.78, and reached 0.9–1.0 for certain taxa. This consistency suggests that minimal technical variation occurred between replications, and the community assembly processes in building plumbing could be modeled and predicted.

Because tap water is in contact with inner surfaces of water pipes during stagnation, we hypothesized that the difference in pre- and post-stagnation communities resulted from seeding by biofilms formed in pipes. Due to the logistical constraints of sampling within-building pipe biofilms, we used previously published data of biofilms from household water meters in the same water supply (WM biofilms) as a proxy [29]. Comparing post-stagnation water communities to biofilms collected from household water meters, we found a high degree of similarity between post-
stagnation samples at the distal pipes (i.e., the first 100 mL water coming out of tap) and biofilm communities.

**Post stagnation, water community composition is consistent across buildings, yet varies by proximity to the city water supply**

Sampling across buildings, floors, and faucets revealed that bacterial communities in post-stagnation water communities vary by distance from the faucets (Fig. 2a). In a non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plot based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity, communities from the distal pipes (first 100 mL) grouped distinctively from proximal pipes (1100–2000 mL and 2100–3000 mL). Samples from the transitional sections (200–1000 mL) were scattered across these two groups (Fig. 2a). Other sample characteristics, including building ID, floor number, and faucet ID were not associated with any obvious patterns in the community composition data (Figure S5). Analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) [33, 34] confirms that sample types (i.e., fresh city water and distance from faucets) yielded the highest amount of variance explained among all factors ($R = 0.508; p = 0.01$). Building and floor were also significantly associated with community composition ($p = 0.0049$ for floor and $p = 0.03$ for buildings), but the amount of variance explained was lower compared to sample type ($R = 0.028$ for floor and $R = 0.039$ for building). Faucet ($p = 0.21$) and temporal replicates ($p = 0.182$) did not significantly affect the community structure. In addition, building-impacted tap water communities progressed towards higher similarity to biofilm communities as samples moved from proximal to distal structures (faucet).

**Pipe diameter and area-to-volume ratio gradients in the water supply networks**

We reasoned that correlation between community composition and distance to faucets resulted from the gradient in pipe diameters inherent to building pipe architecture. By engineering guidelines, building water supplies are designed in a tree-like structure, in which pipe diameter decreases as the pipes approach the water-use apparatus. In the buildings we surveyed, the pipe diameter ranged from 1.25 to 2 inches in source proximal vertical pipes (Branch Level I), 0.5 to 1 inch in the next horizontal pipes (Branch Level II), and were 0.375 inch in the final distal pipes (Branch Level III, Fig. 3a). This results in a sharp increase in surface-area-to-volume ratio as the pipe branch deepens (Fig. 3b), which could lead to drastically different dynamic profiles in the bulk volume for the same surface reactions.

**Modeling diameter effects on ecologically relevant physical processes**

We used first-order reaction models to simulate how pipe diameter affects the dynamics of cell count and disinfectant concentrations through microbial dispersal and disinfectant reduction. These two surface reactions have been reported to occur on biofilm-covered pipes in both full-scale water
distribution systems and laboratory experiments [35–38]. The growth/death of bacterial cells in the water column was modeled dynamically in tandem with the seeding from biofilms and inhibition from disinfectants. To simulate the diameter effect on physical and chemical processes, we simplified the scenario as a monoculture with uniform detachment rate, growth rate, and sensitivity to disinfectants. Reactions were mapped to the one of the buildings in our experiment to represent building pipe geometry. Disinfectant consumption in the water column was also simulated, but the extent was much smaller than the wall reactions. We ran the simulation over 7 days at varying pipe diameters, with the initial conditions set the same as the fresh city tap water. The results showed that both the disinfectant and cell count were mainly affected by the pipe diameter, and were minimally different in axial and radial directions (i.e., reaction-limited). Within one floor, the simulation showed a monotonic increase of disinfectant consumption rate (Fig. 3d) and cell count (Fig. 3e) from large-diameter (proximal) to narrow pipes (distal). Notably, cell counts in the distal pipes were shown to be 1000 times higher than the main vertical pipe by the end of a week-long stagnation. Conversely, disinfectant was consumed to a very-low concentration (<0.2 mg/L) in the 0.375-inch, final distal tap within 78 h, yet remained above the same concentration to 162 h in the 1-inch, main vertical pipe, suggesting a much shorter exposure to inhibitory levels of the disinfectant in the narrower pipes.

**Cell count peaked and disinfectant was depleted in the first 100 mL of water out of the taps**

This model is supported by cell count and disinfectant measurements in the experiment. Despite the low abundance of microbial cells in city tap water (<1000 cells/mL), the cell count in building water supplies increased to $10^3$–$10^6$ cells/mL after the week-long pause in water use. Cell counts correlated strongly to the plumbing structure (Fig. 4a) and decreased as samples transitioned from the distal (close to faucets) to the proximal structures (close to the city supplies), whereas disinfectant concentration showed the opposite trend and was completely depleted at the first 100 mL (Fig. 4b). This simple model was supported by statistical analysis. When the cell count data from each building was subjected to forward selection of explanatory variables including flow volume (representation of physical structure of pipes), floor, and building in a general linear model, flow volume was the first explanatory variable chosen among all tested variables ($p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ as opposed to $p > 0.001$ for other factors tested). Other variables were not of comparable significance (floor: $p = 0.0025$; building $p = 0.0031$). Similarly, flow volume was the predictor that explained the highest variation for free chlorine data (data not shown).

**Community assembly model shows a decreasing role of city water supply in post-stagnation water at distal pipes**

Ideally, we would like to fully explain the assembly of post-stagnation water communities, teasing apart migration from two possible sources, i.e., fresh city tap water and pipe biofilms, stochasticity of growth/death during stagnation time, and niche assembly in the different pipe environments. However, this is difficult to test in the field, because tracking biofilms in buildings actively in use would require destructive methods (e.g., demolition of buildings). Therefore, we asked a simpler question instead: can the post-stagnation water communities be assembled solely from migration and demographic stochasticity of city water supply? We built a mainland-island model between fresh and post-stagnation water samples, treating the mean OTU abundance of fresh tap water samples from all the different
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**Fig. 4** Observed cell count (a) and disinfectant concentrations (b) vary by proximity to faucets
buildings, floors, and faucets in the experiment as the city-wide mainland, and the post-stagnation tap water from each pipe locations as islands (Fig. 5a).

The result showed a higher model fit in proximal pipes (Fig. 5d, e \( R^2 = 0.41 \) and 0.48 for proximal-1 and proximal-2 samples, respectively) than in distal pipes (Fig. 5b, c \( R^2 = 0.21 \) and 0.19 for distal-1 and distal-2 samples, respectively). The model fit is consistent for OTUs defined at 97 and 99% sequence similarity (Fig. 5a), which further supports direct migration and demographic processes. This result suggested that city water supply contribute largely to the water community assembly in proximal pipes closer to the city, whereas other processes (i.e., selective growth and migration from biofilms) could drive community assembly in distal pipes. This agreed with our reaction and dispersal simulation (Fig. 3), which shows lower disinfectant levels in distal pipes throughout the stagnation time (Fig. 3d) and higher dispersal from biofilms in distal pipes (Fig. 3e). We also tested a biofilm mainland with water meter biofilm data as a proxy, which showed higher model fit in distal pipes than proximal pipes (Figure S6).

**DISCUSSION**

Our analysis of bacterial communities in building-impacted tap water revealed a spatially structured community within the plumbing system following stagnation. These spatially structured communities were highly reproducible. We hypothesized that the assembly of these communities was
mediated by the physical structure of pipes (i.e., pipe diameter). This hypothesis was first supported by a reaction-transport model, which showed that pipe diameter deterministically affected two ecologically relevant physical/chemical processes, namely the dispersal of bacteria from pipe surface biofilms and the decay of disinfectants. A neutral community assembly model was then applied to show that an island biogeography model accounting for migration and demographic stochasticity from the city water supply could explain the community composition at the proximal pipes. The variation explained by the city water supply declined as the physical proximity of the water samples approached the faucets (distal pipes), which coincided with a narrowing pipe diameter. Due to sampling difficulty, we were unable to obtain data and separate selection from the stochastic processes arising from another possible mainland, the pipe-wall biofilms, and had to compromise using water meter biofilm communities from a previous study as a proxy. Despite that, these results together connected a design parameter (i.e., diameter) of the physical structure of building water supplies to the microbial community therein, and showed that the microbiome in tap water could be modeled, predicted, and included in building design criteria.

Currently, to manage the public health risks associated with waterborne diseases, water treatment and monitoring rely on the detection of biological contaminants based on plate counting (e.g., heterotrophic plate count, coliforms, or *Escherichia coli*) [39, 40], which has limited power to reflect the biotic complexity of a building’s water supply system and any microbiological problems associated with it. The mainland-island model applied in this study to 16S rRNA gene sequence data showed that tap water microbial communities can be used to infer the level of deviation from the city supply due to in situ biofilm development.

The microbiome of building water supplies can be used as a probe for monitoring infrastructure health. While sampling pipes requires excavation, which is cost-prohibitive or unfeasible, water microbiomes are present in a flowing medium that is easily accessible. Guided by the mainland-island model, buildings in a city can be rigorously sampled and cataloged, a background level of building-induced deviation can be established, and anomalies can be detected. Microbial biosensors could be used to address long-standing issues in infrastructure management, like disentangling the extent of contamination risk posed by municipal water supplies or by private infrastructure [15]. With the aid of our model, this problem can be tackled by testing whether the level of deviation from city-water microbiome exceeds an expected range.

Our results showed that small-diameter pipes at the distal ends of building water supplies harbored the highest cell counts and deviated most from the city-water supply microbiome. This highlights small-diameter pipes as a site for biological regrowth. Small-diameter pipes are widely used in plumbing at the connections to residential water-use devices, such as shower hoses, kitchen hoses, dish washers, and ice makers, and medical devices such as dental water lines. However, this risk cannot be managed by simply increasing the size of pipes. Larger diameter pipes could actually lead to increased levels of water stagnation and consequent water quality deterioration [15, 41]. Instead, this invites innovative solutions. In the near term, hospitals and extended care facilities, where plumbing-associated infections are more common [42], may want to consider upgrading their endpoint disinfection to counteract hypochlorite decay and address within-pipe cell growth. Precise flushing of smaller-diameter pipes, rather than the whole building, could also be included in building management algorithms to prevent stagnation while minimizing water waste. In the long term, small-diameter pipes can be made with biofilm-inhibitive materials [43, 44]. While water conservation is a major green-building design focuses water stagnation and degradation of water quality should be taken into account as well [17]. Future conservation strategies could redirect water flow to non-potable use based on bacterial community-wide response to stagnation time and pipe diameter.

**METHODS**

**Study sites**

We conducted the experiment in three 4-storey buildings in Champaign, Illinois. The water supply in the area sourced from groundwater aquifers and treated with a conventional treatment process. The treatment processes, and the diversity of the suspended and biofilm communities in this system has been reported in our previous study [21]. The system carried stable free chlorine as residual disinfectants during the whole time of this study.

Three residence halls T, V, and S were chosen for their design and availability. All potable water in these buildings were supplied with water directly from the water main, without water tanks and additional storage structures involved. This is the most basic type of indoor water supply design and we chose it to eliminate interference from building architectural complexity. These buildings were managed by University Housing at UIUC. The T Hall and V Hall were built in 1955 and S Hall in 1963 as part of the on-campus residence at UIUC. In each building, we controlled access of the second, third and fourth floor during the experiment.
Water supply system design

We accessed the original blueprints and project manual for the studied buildings to acquire design data. Missing details due to common knowledge in the trade were supplemented by estimation from architects at University Housing. Level I branches were designed as 2” to 1” from Floor 1 to 4, connected to Level II branches of 3/4”–1/2”, and then Level III branches of 3/8”. The specific area in the pipe sections increased as the branch deepens, from 1.05–1.57 cm⁻¹ in Level I to 4.2 cm⁻¹ in Level III lines. The volume of water in each section can also be estimated based on branch diameter and length. Common to all the floors, the Level III branch (3/8”) could hold 21 mL water, and the Level II branch 1212 mL. The capacity of Level III branches differed by floor and ranged 1389 mL to 3125 mL from 4th floor to 2nd floor.

Sample collection

We treated the buildings as natural laboratories to study the effect of stagnation on the microbial communities in water. The samples were collected from cold water faucets at the handwashing basins in the dormitory bathrooms. Before the experiment, aerators as part of the faucet accessory structure were removed to eliminate possible interference on the community profiles [45]. Prior to the designed stagnation, tap water in the studied pipelines were replenished by running tap water for 30 min, and then the fresh city tap water (pre-stagnation) samples were collected on each floor to represent tap water without the influence of stagnation. After that, the sites were controlled for 5–6 days with controlled access. At the end of the stagnation period, the post-stagnation water samples were 80 mL for S1, 880 mL for S2, and 980 mL for S3 and S4 samples. Based on the flow cytometry data described in Results, this is estimated to retain 1.8 × 10⁷–5.1 × 10⁸ cells/ mL. Filters were removed from the filter unit with sterile scalps and preserved in sterile falcon tubes –80 °C prior to DNA extraction. DNA was extracted using the Schmidt’s protocol [46] and purified with Promega Wizard DNA cleanup system (Promega) and was stored at −80 °C. The protocol was selected based on a previous publication that evaluated the different protocols for DNA extraction of drinking water samples from distribution systems [47].

Illumina sequencing

Sequencing analysis was conducted with the Illumina dual-index strategy. The extracted DNA was amplified with the following bacterial specific forward 515 F and 806 R (Read1-TATGGTAATTTGTTGTCAGCMGCCGCGG-GTA; Read2-AGTCAGTCAGCGGTACHVGGGT WTCTAA; Linker -ATTAAWACCCBDGTAGT CCGCTGACTGACT) [48]. The amplified products were purified with Promega PCR clean-up systems. Sequencing was performed at the W.M. Keck Center, part of the Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

Data sharing

The sequencing data generated in this study have been deposited to NCBI SRA under PRJNA383368 (Biosamples: SAMN06763497-SAMN06763620).
Sequence processing

All sequences were curated with Mothur v1.33.3 [49]. The sequences from the dormitory experiment have been assembled into contigs assembled from Illumina pair-ended sequences targeting the V4 region (515 F/806 R). The water meter biofilm sequences were previously denoised sequences targeting V4–V5 region (515 F/907 R) and were trimmed (using pcr.seqs command in Mothur) to the same size as the dormitory samples. Following that, unique sequences were picked and aligned to SILVA Gold bacteria alignment [50]. Unique sequences were used for OTU picking at 97% similarity. Taxonomy classification was conducted using the SILVA online server. The sequences were subsampled to the lowest depth (1067 seqs/sample) to achieve an even sampling depth for diversity analysis.

Community differences between samples were calculated as Bray–Curtis dissimilarity. The similarity matrix was visualized by nMDS. A stress value below 0.2 was obtained, indicating a good 2D representation of the multidimensional matrix [51]. Multivariate tests were performed with distance-based methods analysis of similarities (ANOSIM), [33, 52]. These tests were performed in the PRIMER-6.0 package (PRIMER-E, Plymouth, UK) according to the authors’ manual [53]. Alpha Diversity analysis and additional beta-diversity analysis using various diversity matrices were conducted with R packages phyloseq (Version 1.23.1) [54] and vegan (Version 2.4–5) [55], and described in detail in Supplementary Materials.

Flow cytometry

The samples for flow cytometry were collected in gamma-irradiation sterilized falcon tubes (Fisher) and dechlorinated on site with filter-sterilized 1% sodium thiosulfate. The staining and flow cytometry measurement was conducted according to a published method for drinking water samples [56, 57]. The samples were transported to lab within 1 h of collection, stored in 4°C refrigerator temporarily, and measured within 8 h after collection. Bacterial cells were stained with 10 µL/mL SYBR Green (Invitrogen) and incubated in dark at room temperature for 20 min. Flow cytometry was conducted with a Partec CyFlow space flow cytometer equipped with a 50 mW solid-state laser (488 nm). The fluorescence signals were collected with a green fluorescence channel FL1 = 536 mm and was also set as the trigger for the signals. Electronic gating for cell signals was performed with the Flowmax software (Partec). Cell signals were separated from noise by forward fluorescence signals and side scattering [58, 59].

Water chemistry measurement

Water samples were tested for time-sensitive parameters on site, including temperature and free chlorine. The free chlorine is measured using the N, N-diethyl-p-phenylenediamine (DPD) chlorine test kit (Hach, CO), a method approved by EPA for water utilities to monitor chlorine disinfectants. Other water chemistry were measured at the laboratory after 0.22-µm filtration of the first liter. The measured parameters include inductively coupled plasma (ICP) metals, orthophosphate, anions (nitrate, nitrite, and sulfate), ammonia, non-volatile organic carbon (NVOC), and alkalinity. The chemical analyses were conducted at the Illinois State Water Survey in accordance with US EPA methods.

Numerical simulation of chlorine concentration and cell count

The dynamic change of chlorine concentration and cell count in pipes of one building during a period of seven days was simulated with COMSOL Multiphysics® software (Version 4.3a), using the transport of dilute species module. Details on the governing equations and parameters are provided in the Supplementary Materials. Briefly, because the process we studied was water stagnation without movement in a building distribution system, only diffusion was taken consideration into the mass transport (i.e., no convection). Disinfectant consumption was modeled as sodium hypochlorite reactions on pipe surfaces and bulk liquid [11, 60], and first-order reactions were assumed based on literature. Bulk reaction and wall reaction were modeled as \( R_{c} = -k_{bc} c \) and \( N_{0,c} = -k_{wc} c \), respectively, in which \( k_{b} \) is the bulk reaction constant, \( k_{w} \) is the wall reaction constants, and \( c \) represents sodium hypochlorite concentration.

Bacterial cell counts in the water phase were modeled as results from dispersal from biofilms, growth in bulk liquid, natural deaths, and chlorine inactivation [58–62]. The detachment of cells was modeled as a first-order process [60], and the detached cells were subjected to disinfectant inactivation that follows an exponential form [59]. Together the detachment–inactivation process is modeled as \( N_{0,X} = n \times \exp \left( \frac{a}{1+b/c} \right) \), in which \( n \) represents detachment rate, \( a \) and \( b \) are inactivation kinetics constants, and \( c \) represents sodium hypochlorite concentration.

A few simplifications were involved in the model. The cell count was modeled as a monoculture rather than mixed-species to reflect the physical process. The growth substrate was modeled as one carbon species, dissolved methane, based on our previous study that showed methanotrophy as the primary production in the local distribution system [29]. The substrate was modeled as a constant supply.
Modeling migration and demographic stochasticity

The Sloan version of neutral model was used to explore the role of neutral processes in the assembly of indoor plumbing water communities and the contribution of plumbing-associated biofilms and city-supplied water to the in-plumbing water communities in [63]. We assumed the mainland communities to be stable (i.e., no speciation), because the experiment time (~6 days) was relatively short for the level of taxonomic resolution we were observing, i.e., OTUs defined based on 97% similarity of 16S rRNA genes.

This implementation was inspired by previous implementations of UNTB on human lung microbiome [64] and zebrafish gut microbiome [65]. Briefly, the post-stagnation water communities from each volume fraction were treated was provided in Supplementary Materials.

The role of neutral processes (i.e., OTUs defined based on 97% similarity of 16S rRNA genes.) in shaping the beta diversity of water communities was assessed by comparing the residual sum of squares. The fitting was performed with a non-linear regression package, Minpack.lm, in R [65, 66]. Confidence intervals were calculated with the Hmisc package in R [67]. Link to the code was provided in Supplementary Materials.
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