Chemically resistant and thermally stable quantum dots prepared by shell encapsulation with cross-linkable block copolymer ligands
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Abstract
Endowing quantum dots (QDs) with robustness and durability have been one of the most important issues in this field, since the major limitations of QDs in practical applications are their thermal and oxidative instabilities. In this work, we propose a facile and effective passivation method to enhance the photochemical stability of QDs using polymeric double shell structures from thiol-terminated poly(methyl methacrylate-b-glycidyl methacrylate) (P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH) block copolymer ligands. To generate a densely cross-linked network, the cross-linking reaction of GMA epoxides in the PGMA block was conducted using a Lewis acid catalyst under an ambient environment to avoid affecting the photophysical properties of the pristine QDs. This provides QDs encapsulated with robust double layers consisting of highly transparent PMMA outer-shell and oxidation-protective cross-linked inner shell. Consequently, the resulting QDs exhibited exceptional tolerance to heat and oxidants when dispersed in organic solvents or QD-nanocomposite films, as demonstrated under various harsh conditions with respect to temperature and oxidant species. The present approach not only provides simple yet effective chemical means to enhance the thermochemical stability of QDs, but also offers a promising platform for the hybridization of QDs with polymeric materials for developing robust light-emitting or light-harvesting devices.

Introduction
A myriad of academic research and industrial applications related to semiconductor nanocrystals, also referred to as quantum dots (QDs), has been conducted to take advantage of their outstanding color purity and unique size-dependent color-tunability due to the “quantum confinement effect”1–3. In addition to the above characteristics of QDs, their superb photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) and wide tunability over the entire visible range allow QDs to be potential key materials for displays4–9, bioimaging10,11, photodetectors12,13, and photovoltaic cells14. Despite these advantages, QDs are extremely vulnerable to external stimuli such as heat15–17, oxidation18–20, and moisture21, as it is well known that these factors create charge trap sites on the surface of QDs. For this reason, industrial and practical applications of QDs involving baking or drying processes that cause irreversible thermal and oxidation damage to QDs have been limited.

However, the most effective way to overcome the instabilities of QDs against external harsh environments is the passivation of emissive QDs with chemically robust, thick inorganic shells9,22–24. However, such core/shell heterostructuring encounters inherent limitations; building a thick shell beyond the critical thickness results in the creation of misfit defects near the core/shell interface that bring about a decrease in the PLQY of QDs25,26. The growth of thin oxide inorganic materials, such as...
SiO$_2$, or Al$_2$O$_3$, was also devised to protect QDs from oxidation, but the growth of these shell materials is often accompanied by unexpected oxidation of QDs that yields irreversible degradation of QDs.

Stabilizing QDs with ligands that strongly bind onto the QD surface has attracted tremendous attention as an alternative chemical means to endow QDs with photochemical or thermal stability. Despite the decent progress made with thiolated ligands, the thermal dissociation of the ligands due to the relatively weak binding energy between ligands and inorganic surfaces has limited the use of ligand protective layers in application fields where QDs are exposed to heat. Recently, the cross-linked network formation of ligands was used to structurally inhibit the detachment of ligands from the surface of metal nanoparticles. It has been directly applicable to QDs because it involves rather harsh chemical reaction conditions for cross-linking of ligands that could deteriorate the photophysical properties of QDs.

Here, we demonstrate a novel means for imparting QDs with a high thermochemical stability by successfully introducing a cross-linked block copolymer as a network ligand to QDs. Our method is based on the formation of a physical barrier on QDs, which effectively suppresses the generation of surface defects. To do this, thiol-terminated block copolymer ligands with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and poly(glycidyl methacrylate) (PGMA), P (MMA-b-GMA)-SH, were carefully designed to passivate QDs. The resulting QDs are covered by a PMMA outer shell, providing high miscibility in typical optical PMMA film, and a PGMA inner shell, having epoxy groups that accomplish the cross-linking reaction via a cationic ring-opening polymerization under ambient conditions without damaging the QDs. Since no external energies (UV or heat) are applied during the cross-linking reaction, no negative effect on the photophysical properties of QDs was observed after encapsulation of the QDs. The resulting QDs are encapsulated with cross-linked polymeric protective layers that effectively passivate the QD surfaces. This passivation not only reduces the probability of surface oxidation but also prevents QD-thiol bonds from dissociating under harsh environmental conditions such as high temperature (either in solution or nanocomposite film states). Furthermore, we demonstrated white light-emitting diodes (WLEDs) by stacking red and green QD-PMMA nanocomposite films on blue-emitting LED chips with an easy processability and cost-effective method.

**Experimental section**

**Chemicals**

Toluene, tetrahydrofuran (THF), methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK), methanol, n-hexane, methyl methacrylate (MMA), glycidyl methacrylate (GMA), 2-cyano-2-propyl benzodithioate (CPBD), 2,2’-azobisbutyronitrile (AIBN), hexylamine, and tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The PMMA homopolymer for QD-nanocomposite films ($M_n = 60,000$ g/mol, PDI = 1.11) was purchased from Polymer Source.

Indium acetate ($\text{In(acet)}_3$, 99.995%, metal basis), palmitic acid (PA, 99%), 1-octadecene (ODE, 90%, technical grade), zinc acetate ($\text{Zn(acet)}_2$, 99.995%, metal basis), oleic acid (OA, 99%), tri-n-octylphosphine (TOP, 99%), Se (99.99%, powder), S (99.998%, powder), and trioctylamine (TOA, 99%) were purchased from UniAm. Cadmium oxide (CdO, ≥ 99.99%, metal basis), 1-dodecanethiol (DDT, ≥ 98%), zinc chloride ($\text{ZnCl}_2$, ≥ 99.995% anhydrous), and myristic acid (MA, ≥ 99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphine ((TMS)$_3$P, 99%) was purchased from SK chemicals. All anhydrous organic solvents were purchased from Daejung (Korea). All chemicals were used as received without further purification.

**Preparation of precursors**

We prepared stock precursor solutions (0.5 M cadmium olate [Cd(OA)$_2$] in 1-octadecene (ODE); 0.5 M zinc olate [Zn(OA)$_2$] in ODE; 0.5 M indium palmitate [In(PA)$_3$]; 2 M selenium in tri-n-octylphosphine (TOPSe), and 2 M sulfur in TOP (TOPS)), and each was stored under an Ar atmosphere before use.

**Synthesis of CdSe/ZnCdS core/shell QDs**

A detailed synthetic procedure was reported previously. All QD syntheses were performed via the Schlenk line technique. CdO (128 mg, 1 mmol), MA (685 mg, 3 mmol), and ODE (15 mL) were mixed in a 3-neck flask and heated to 240°C under inert conditions to form the Cd(MA)$_2$ complex. After the reactants turned transparent, TOPSe stock solution (5 mmol, 0.25 mL) was quickly injected into the reaction flask to form a CdSe core (radius = 2 nm) (yield = 56%). After 3 min at 270°C, 3 mL of Zn(OA)$_2$ stock solution (1.5 mmol) and DDT (202 mg, 1 mmol) were sequentially injected to form a 0.5 nm thick Cd$_{0.6}$Zn$_{0.4}$S inner shell. The reaction proceeded for 30 min, and Cd(OA)$_2$ (1 mmol, 2 mL), Zn(OA)$_2$ (2 mmol, 4 mL), and TOPS (3 mmol, 1.5 mL) were added separately into the flask within 1 min for the formation of a 3 nm thick Cd$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$S outer shell. This reaction proceeded for 10 min. The final products were purified several times by the precipitation/redispersion method and dispersed in toluene for further use (yield = 59%).

**Synthesis of InP/ZnSeS core/shell QDs**

In(PA)$_3$ (0.5 mmol, 1 mL) and ODE (10 mL) were loaded in a 3-neck flask and heated to 110°C under vacuum. After backfilling with Ar, the reaction flask was heated to
A mixed solution of (TMS)₃P (62 mg, 0.25 mmol) and TOP (2.5 mmol, 1.25 mL) was loaded in a 3-neck flask and heated to 110 °C under vacuum. After backfilling with Ar, 0.1 mL of InP QD solution was slowly added into the reaction flask to form nuclei. The reaction temperature was maintained for 20 min at elevated temperature and cooled to complete the reaction. The resultant core QDs were purified via the precipitation (acetone)/redispersion (toluene) method. Final InP QDs were dispersed in toluene (100 mg/mL) and kept in a refrigerator for further reaction (yield = 45%).

For ZnSe and ZnS shell growth, Zn(OA)₂ (0.75 mmol, 1.5 mL) and ODE (10 mL) were loaded in a 3-neck flask and heated to 110 °C under vacuum. After backfilling with Ar, 0.1 mL of InP QD solution was slowly added into the reaction flask and heated to 300 °C. At elevated temperatures, the desired amount of TOPSe precursor was injected into the reaction flask for the growth of the ZnSe shell. After 30 min of reaction, the desired amounts of Zn (OA)₂ and TOPS were injected separately into the reaction flask to grow the ZnSe shell, and the reaction preceded for 1 h at 310 °C. The resultant QDs were purified twice by the precipitation/redispersion method and dispersed in toluene (yield = 52%).

**Synthesis of P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH by RAFT polymerization**

Thiol-terminated cross-linkable polymeric ligands were synthesized via reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization. First, MMA (5 g, 49.94 mmol), CPBD (0.096 g, 0.43 mmol), and AIBN (0.071 g, 0.043 mmol) were mixed in a Schlenk flask and degassed by argon purging. The polymerization was carried out at 75 °C for 24 h, and the reaction mixture was poured into cold methanol, yielding pink-colored powder of PMMA-RAFT macroinitiator. The molecular weight (Mₙ) and polydispersity index (PDI) were 9,300 g/mol and 1.23, respectively. Second, to add PGMA block, PMMA-RAFT macroinitiator (3 g, 0.32 mmol) was mixed with GMA (1.6 g, 11.26 mmol) and AIBN (0.0053 g, 0.032 mmol) and polymerized at 70 °C for 6 h. Then, the reaction mixture was poured into cold methanol, yielding pink-colored powder of PMMA-RAFT macroinitiator. The molecular weight (Mₙ) and polydispersity index (PDI) were 9,300 g/mol and 1.23, respectively. Finally, a terminal anchoring thiol group (SH) was prepared by nucleophilic substitution of the RAFT end group with hexylamine (four equivalents in anhydrous THF). The mixture was stirred overnight until the solution color turned pink to pale yellow, precipitated in cold methanol and dried in vacuo to produce P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH as a white powder.

**Ligand exchange**

Substitution of pristine OA ligands to polymeric ligands was performed in a single-phase reaction. Ten milligrams of QDs dissolved in 2 mL toluene was mixed with 40 mg of P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH dissolved in 3 mL of toluene. The ligand exchange reaction was carried out for 48 h and terminated by precipitating the resulting polymer-grafted QDs (P(MMA-b-GMA)-QDs) with excess cold n-hexane. Afterward, sediments were redispersed with toluene, and precipitation-redispersion cycles were repeated three times to remove bound polymeric or OA ligands (1 mg/mL in MIBK).

**Encapsulation procedure by cross-linking of PGMA blocks**

For the formation of a cross-linked layer surrounding QDs via cationic ring-opening polymerization of the PGMA blocks, purified P(MMA-b-GMA)-QDs were dissolved in MIBK, and then, tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane was added (four equivalent to the total calculated amount of epoxy groups in PGMA) to initiate polymerization of epoxy groups on the PGMA blocks. The reaction flask was kept for 24 h to complete the cross-linking reaction. The resultant products were then precipitated with excess n-hexane and redispersed in toluene (5 mg/mL).

**Preparation of QD-PMMA nanocomposite thin films**

Glass substrates were cleaned sequentially with acetone, methanol, and isopropyl alcohol by sonication for 15 min before use. The composite solution was prepared by dissolving QDs and PMMA homopolymer (Mₙ = 60,000 g/mol) in toluene (0.5 wt% and 1 wt%, respectively). Then, thin films were fabricated by spin casting at 4000 rpm. Free-standing films for top-view TEM were prepared by floating on the surface of a buffered oxide etch (BOE) solution and transferred to TEM grids. Thin cross-sectional sample (ca. 100 nm thick) was obtained by focused ion beam (FIB) milling.

**Fabrication of white QD-LED**

To a 1 g solution of 15 wt% PMMA homopolymer (Mₙ = 60,000 g/mol) dissolved in chloroform, red CdSe/ZnCdS (5 mg) and green InP/ZnSeS (20 mg) P(MMA-b-GMA)-QDs were added and sonicated until the solution became clear. The viscous composite solution was poured into a circular aluminum dish (2 cm diameter, 0.7 mm depth) and dried overnight. Then, the thick QD-PMMA film was detached carefully without any damage and deposited onto a blue InGaN LED chip.

**Characterization**

Characterization of the polymeric ligand was performed by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). Waters GPC with THF as the eluent was used, and calculation of Mₙ was performed by calibration with linear PS standards. To monitor the ligand exchange, the hydrodynamic diameters of the QDs were measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using BI-200SM (Brookhaven Instruments Corporation) with a
616 nm laser. Samples were diluted with toluene at room temperature. For optical characterization, absorption and emission spectra were obtained with a V-670 UV–visible/NIR spectrometer (Jasco Corporation) and Fluoromax-4 spectrometer (Horiba Scientific). The PL QYs of the solutions were calculated by comparing the PL QY of CdS/CdSe/CdS spherical quantum wells (SQWs, radius 5.1 nm, absolute PLQY = 90%) solution, and all measurements were carried out at the same optical densities (OD = 0.1) dispersed in the same solvent at an excitation wavelength of 450 nm. PLQYs were calculated by comparing the integrated area of the emission spectrum from 500 nm to 800 nm (Fig. S1). The absolute PLQY of the SQW standard in solution was measured with a QE-2100 (Otsuka Photal Electronics) at the excitation wavelength (450 nm). The absolute PLQY measurement systems were equipped with an integrating hemisphere, a low stray light (450 nm). The absolute PLQY repeatedly at various optical densities (OD = 0.1) dispersed in the same solvent at a quantum efficiency of 90% solution, and all measurements were carried out at the same optical densities (OD = 0.1) dispersed in the same solvent at an excitation wavelength of 450 nm. PLQYs were calculated by comparing the integrated area of the emission spectrum from 500 nm to 800 nm (Fig. S1). The absolute PLQY of the SQW standard in solution was measured with a QE-2100 (Otsuka Photal Electronics) at the excitation wavelength (450 nm). The absolute PLQY measurement systems were equipped with an integrating hemisphere, a low stray light spectrometer, and fluorescent re-excitation elimination. It should also be noted that these SQW nanocrystals exhibit extremely high stability, comparable to that of organic dyes such as rhodamine 6 G or fluorescein, as previously demonstrated by thermal stability tests. To confirm the reliability of the SQW standard, we measured the absolute PLQY repeatedly at various optical densities (Fig. S2). The morphologies of QDs in the PMMA matrix were examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) operating at 200 kV (Tecnai 20 electron microscope). Time-resolved PL experiments were carried out by using a time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) method. The samples in solutions or films were excited by a 520 nm pulse (LDH-P-C-520, Picoquant). The time-resolved PL signals emitted from the samples were spectrally resolved by a monochromator and finally detected by a photomultiplier tube (PMT). The instrumental function of our time-resolved PL experimental setup is approximately 0.2 ns. A series of EL data, including EL spectra, color rendering index (CRI), color temperature, and the Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage (CIE) color coordinates, was obtained by a diode array rapid analyzer system equipped with an integrating sphere (PSI Co. Ltd).

Results and discussion

As illustrated in Scheme 1, well-defined P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH ligands with Mn values of 9.3 kg/mol (PMMA) and 2.8 kg/mol (PGMA) were synthesized via RAFT polymerization (Supporting Information, Fig. S3). The PMMA brush was carefully chosen owing to its good optical transparency along with its high solubility in most organic solvents that allows the practical use of corresponding nanocomposites in a range of optical films fabricated by solution processing. The PGMA block covering the periphery of the QD was designed to improve the thermal stability via the formation of cross-linked networks, given that this polymeric network structure can effectively block the formation of surface defects. The resulting P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH polymeric ligands were then mixed with the pristine QD dispersion to undergo ligand exchange. As monitored by dynamic light scattering (DLS), the hydrodynamic diameters of the CdSe/ZnCdS (or InP/ZnSeS) QDs increase significantly from 13.5 (7.8) nm to 27.1 (21.0) nm after the ligand exchange process, indicating that the long polymeric ligands effectively replace short native oleic acid (OA) ligands in QDs (Table S1). Since the thiol end group in the ligand is tethered on the surface of the QDs, the resulting QDs are encapsulated with two distinctive layers consisting of a PGMA inner shell and PMMA outer brush (Scheme 1b).

To obtain an effective cross-linked network around QDs, at least two prerequisites need to be satisfied: high areal density (i.e., enough surface coverage) of polymer ligands on the QD surface and mild cross-linking reaction conditions to avoid unwanted side reactions on the QD surface that could affect the photophysical properties of QDs. Thermal gravitational analysis reveals that the areal chain density of polymer ligands after the ligand exchange process is 1.30 and 1.18 chains/nm² for CdSe and InP-based QDs, respectively (Supporting Information, Fig. S4), which is sufficient for subsequent cross-linking of the PGMA block (Supporting Information, Fig. S5). In general, the structural regime associated with the molecular picture of polymer chains grafted onto spherical particles can be described in terms of grafting density (ρ) and degree of polymerization (N)⁴⁴,⁴⁵. At a low grafting density of ρ < ρc (= Rg⁻²), polymers are isolated, forming mushroom-like chain conformations on the grafting surface, and such a grafting density regime is often referred to as the "mushroom regime". Only when ρ > ρc do chains begin to overlap with each other and behave as brushes by which particles are effectively shielded from surface exposure. In our QD system, the grafting density (ρ) is ~1 chain/nm², and the unperturbed radius of gyration (Rg) for PMMA with an equivalent molecular weight to 12.1k P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH and that with an equivalent molecular weight to 2.8k PGMA-SH is estimated as 2.8 nm and 1.3 nm, respectively (Rg,PMMA = 0.025Mw⁰.⁶⁶⁴⁶). Provided that the conformational behavior of P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH and PGMA-SH are nearly the same as that of neat PMMA and that the perturbed radius of gyration (by any kind of perturbations, e.g., effective excluded volume including good solvent effect in low and moderate grafting densities, many body interactions in the high grafting density regime) are larger than the unperturbed dimensions, we infer that the grafting density in our QD system (ρ = 1 chain/nm²) is not in the mushroom regime (ρ < ρc) either for the entire P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH chain (ρc ≅ 0.13 chains/nm²) or for the grafting PGMA-SH block (ρc ≅ 0.6 chains/nm²). This suggests that there may be a sufficient number of interchain GMA
contacts that well cover the QD surface. We speculate that the high grafting density achieved even by the “grafting-to” approach in our QD system is due to the large curvature effect where the steric repulsions between grafting chains decrease more as the curvature of the particle increases. Such high grafting densities were also achieved in previous grafting-to approaches for nanoparticles with high curvatures ($r = 1.2 - 1.7$ nm$^{-2}$ for particle diameters $D = 2.5 - 10$ nm)$^{47-50}$. To avoid undesirable physical or chemical side effects on the QD surface, we employed the cationic ring-opening polymerization of epoxides with a Lewis acid catalyst, tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane, under ambient conditions for cross-linking and corresponding encapsulation of the QDs$^{51}$. As seen in Fig. 1a, b, the optical spectra of the CdSe/ZnCdS and InP/ZnSeS QDs barely change after both ligand exchange and shell cross-linking by cationic ring-opening polymerization, implying that the photophysical properties of the QDs remain intact throughout the entire QD encapsulation process. A slight decrease (5%) in the PLQY during the ligand exchange step is attributed to the formation of hole trap sites on the QD surface during the ligand exchange process (Although the surface coverage on the QD surface would become lower after the ligand exchange process, only the marginal decrease in the PL QY is observed, suggesting that the adsorption of bulky polymeric ligands onto the QD surface does not leave unpassivated surface sites. From the $^1$H-NMR spectrum of polymer grafted QDs, it was found that 3 wt% of oleic acids, which corresponds to 57 mol%, remain even after the ligand exchange process. In this case, the chain density of residual oleic acids corresponds to 1.84 and 1.53 chains/nm$^2$ for CdSe and InP-based QDs, respectively. This indicates that the trap states/unpassivated surface sites are effectively passivated by both oleic acids and PMMA-b-PGMA-SH ligands$^{52}$. It should be highlighted that the PLQYs of QDs are highly dependent on the PGMA cross-linking method (Fig. 1c). In contrast to the mild reaction conditions for the cross-linking of PGMA using a Lewis acid catalyst (indicated with a blue arrow), the traditional cross-linking method (UV irradiation with the aid of a photoacid generator$^{43}$) brings about a significant drop in the PLQYs of QDs via UV-induced PL quenching (indicated with a black arrow) regardless of the QD type.

To examine the thermal stabilities of QDs, QDs capped with OA, PMMA homopolymer ($M_n = 12,000$ g/mol), and cross-linked P(MMA-$b$-GMA) (denoted as P(MMA-$b$-GMA) \rightleftharpoons \text{Cross-linkable unit}}
XGMA) were dispersed in toluene and annealed at 100 °C for various times (Fig. 2). In contrast to OA- and PMMA-
QDs, which show a gradual PLQY decrease, P(MMA-b-XGMA)-QDs maintain their PLQY even at elevated tem-
peratures, clearly reflecting that the cross-linked inner
shell dramatically improves the thermal stability of QDs.
Although the precise mechanism of PL quenching of QDs
in solution is not fully understood, we could attribute such
PL quenching to the combination of ligand dissociation
and surface oxidation. Under high temperature in the
presence of oxygen, sulfur atoms (S^{2-}) on the surface are
liable to be oxidized into forms of SO_{x}^{-} and leave behind
uncompensated zinc atoms on the surface that serve as
electron charge trap sites. The increase in the surface trap
densities escalates the nonradiative recombination chan-
nels, thereby leading to the reduction of PLQY in QDs.
Ligand dissociation also presumably contributes to PL
quenching following a similar mechanism, given that
bonding between cation atoms on the QD surface (Zn, Cd)
and thiol (S^{−}) is unstable at elevated temperature. In our
system, the cross-linked shell structure can effectively
suppress the dissociation process of thiol-terminated ligands. Furthermore, the densely cross-linked network shell acts as an insulating layer between the QD surface and outer environments and hence hinders chemical oxi-
dation of surface atoms. From these two synergetic effects, encapsulated QDs maintain a PLQY over 85% of their
initial values even after 3 h of annealing at elevated

![Fig. 1 Optical characteristics of CdSe/ZnCdS and InP/ZnSeS QDs during ligand exchange and cross-linking reactions.](image)

**Fig. 1** Optical characteristics of CdSe/ZnCdS and InP/ZnSeS QDs during ligand exchange and cross-linking reactions. Normalized UV-vis absorbance (dashed lines) and photoluminescence (PL) spectra (bold lines) of **a** CdSe/ZnCdS QDs and **b** InP/ZnSeS QDs (pristine QDs capped with OA (black, bottom), QDs tethered with P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH (blue, middle), and PGMA cross-linked P(MMA-b-GMA)-QDs (top, red)). UV-vis and PL spectra are vertically shifted for visual clarity (insets correspond to TEM images of respective QDs. The scale bars are 20 nm). **c** PLQY traces of CdSe/ ZnCdS QDs (black squares) and InP/ZnSeS QDs (red circles) tethered with the same P(MMA-b-GMA)-SH ligands but cross-linked with different methods. The PLQYs of QDs decrease under the UV cross-linking process (noted by black and red lines), whereas the PLQYs of QDs remain unchanged during cross-linking via cationic ring-opening polymerization of epoxides.

![Fig. 2 PLQY of QDs as a function of thermal exposure time (100 °C, in toluene).](image)

**Fig. 2** PLQY of QDs as a function of thermal exposure time (100 °C, in toluene). **a** CdSe/ZnCdS QDs and **b** InP/ZnSeS QDs capped with OA (black squares), PMMA (blue triangles), and shell-cross-linked P(MMA-b-GMA) (red circles). Error bars were obtained after at least three runs of stability tests.

![Image](image)
(i.e., hydrogen peroxide, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)). As seen in Fig. 3a, P(MMA-\( b \)-XGMA)-QDs exhibit greater robustness over OA- or PMMA-capped QDs (InP/ZnSeS QDs) under exposure to air. We attribute the decrease in the PL of the OA- and PMMA-capped QDs to reactive oxygen species in the atmosphere that undergo oxidation\(^{54,55} \). Although a densely cross-linked polymeric network around QDs cannot perfectly block small gaseous species such as \( \text{O}_2 \), we can assume that encapsulation can reduce the probability of oxidation to some extent by screening vulnerable QD surfaces and emissive cores. In addition, since CdSe/ZnCdS QDs possess a thicker shell (3.5 nm) than InP/ZnSeS QDs (1.9 nm), their stabilities against oxidation were investigated under harsher conditions by direct exposure to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). It should be noted that the oxidation stability test was conducted in tetrahydrofuran solution, as aqueous \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) solution is immiscible with toluene. Hence, we consider the difference in solvent refractive indices to calculate PLQYS (i.e., \( n_{\text{toluene}}\times n_{\text{tetrahydrofuran}}^{-1/2} \) was multiplied by the original data, where \( n \) is the refractive index of the solvent). The addition of 40 mM \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) into the QD dispersion promptly yields a dramatic decrease in the PLQY of OA-QDs and PMMA-QDs. The QDs eventually aggregate and precipitate after \( \approx 1 \) h of etching. Moreover, their PL peak redshifted, as shown in Fig. 3c. In contrast, the encapsulated CdSe/ZnCdS QDs did not exhibit any notable aggregation or redshift of the PL peak even after etching with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), owing to the protective cross-linked shell on the QD surface. To monitor the effect of the protective shell against oxidation, we investigated the surface of the CdSe/ZnCdS QDs by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) after 2 h of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) etching. The S 2p peak in Fig. 3d shows the coexistence of the peaks from the shell of QDs (160.7 eV) and from the bound thiol (161.8 eV). The sulfate peak (168.6 eV) as a result of sulfur oxidation observed in the OA-capped QDs (top) was absent in the case of shell cross-linked CdSe/ZnCdS QDs (bottom), clearly indicating that the protective shell also effectively retards oxidation processes\(^{56} \).

Homogeneous distribution of QDs in the matrix is a critical and basic requirement for solution-based device-fabrication processes, since massive aggregation of QDs causes poor reproducibility of the product, such as high haze and position-dependent optical quality. In addition, a close interparticle distance due to the aggregation of QDs promotes exciton transfer among QDs, which escalates the probability for photoexcited excitons to undergo nonradiative recombination processes\(^{57,58} \). To demonstrate the dispersion quality of the QDs in PMMA, composite films with OA- and P(MMA-\( b \)-XGMA)-QDs were prepared and characterized by TEM. As shown in Fig. 4a, OA-QDs are...
massively aggregated within the spin-cast PMMA film, mainly due to the immiscibility of PMMA and the aliphatic ligand. In contrast, the encapsulated QDs with the outer PMMA brush layer are well dispersed within the PMMA matrix without any aggregation (Fig. 4b, d). From the cross-sectional TEM images, it can be clearly seen that OA-QDs are segregated into the top surface and the bottom interface of films, whereas P(MMA-b-XGMA)-QDs are well distributed throughout the film thickness (Fig. 4e–h). Furthermore, the surface morphologies of QD-nanocomposite films were investigated by atomic force microscopy (AFM), as shown in Fig. 4i–l. We first examined the height profiles of OA-QD nanocomposite films (Fig. 4i, k), where apparent structural instabilities were clearly observed. The roughness on the PMMA film is mainly due to the aggregation of immiscible OA-QDs, possessing lower surface energy ($\gamma_{\text{OA-air}} = 32.1 \text{ mJ/m}^2$) than PMMA ($\gamma_{\text{PMMA-air}} = 41.1 \text{ mJ/m}^2$)\textsuperscript{59,60}, into the air/PMMA interface. However, smooth and homogeneous height profiles were obtained in the P(MMA-b-XGMA)-QD nanocomposite films (Fig. 4j, l), suggesting that these QDs are well distributed throughout the film thickness without surface segregation/aggregation, consistent with top-view and cross-sectional TEM images.

To evaluate the thermal stability of shell cross-linked QDs in the nanocomposite films, we characterized the photophysical properties of QDs in films with time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) measurements (Fig. 5). A fast decay component appears for OA-QDs after thermal annealing at 100 °C (Fig. 5a, c), representing the rise of fast nonradiative recombination processes under heat exposure. By contrast, the PL decay curves of P(MMA-b-XGMA)-QDs barely change upon thermal annealing regardless of QD type (Fig. 5b, d), strongly suggesting that the photophysical properties of QD-nanocomposite films remain intact by cross-linked polymer shells. It is also worth noting that the rise of nonradiative recombination becomes more pronounced for InP/ZnSeS QDs (80% PLQY loss during thermal annealing ($A/A_0 = 0.2$), Fig. 5c), whose shell thickness is thinner than that of CdSe/ZnCdS QDs (40% PLQY loss during thermal annealing ($A/A_0 = 0.6$), Fig. 5a). The disparity observed in the stability test of QDs in turn suggests that the thick inorganic shell also helps to enhance the stability of QDs. Apparently, the most stable QD-
Fig. 5 Time-resolved PL spectra of QD-PMMA nanocomposite films as a function of thermal exposure time (100 °C). PL decay dynamics of CdSe/ZnCdS QDs capped with a OA ligands and b P(MMA-b-XGMA)-SH ligands and InP/ZnSeS QDs capped with c OA ligands and d P(MMA-b-XGMA)-SH ligands at various annealing times of the QD-nanocomposite films (insets represent the area under the time-resolved PL curves of annealed films (A) divided by that of pristine films (A₀) as a function of annealing time).

Fig. 6 EL Characteristics of downconverting white QD-LED. Photograph of a red CdSe/ZnCdS and green InP/ZnSeS QDs with OA and P(MMA-b-XGMA)-SH ligands and b transmittance of respective thick PMMA films in the visible range. c CIE color coordinates and d EL spectra (inset: operating EL image) of the QD-based white downconversion device.
nanocomposite film was obtained when exploiting the advantages of both the thicker inorganic shell and the passivation of QDs with cross-linked polymer ligands (Fig. 5b).

Finally, a QD-based white LED was demonstrated using a blue InGaN LED chip (with a 450 nm emission peak) and red and green QDs. We fabricated red and green plates by mixing PMMA and QDs and molded them into thin aluminum dishes. When films were prepared by pristine OA-QDs, the composite solution was highly opaque, and films also manifested relatively high transmittance in the visible range (Fig. 6a, b). A series of EL data showed true white emission by downconverting the blue emission of the LED chip into red and green QD emission. The color coordinate was (0.350, 0.349) within the CIE chromaticity diagram, and the color temperature and CRI were 4833 K and 72.4, respectively (Fig. 6c). The EL peak contribution ratio of RGB color was maintained in the current range of 20–300 mA, indicating the fabrication of a highly stable QD-based optical device (Fig. 6d).

Conclusions

Enhancing the stability of semiconductor QDs has been highly challenging, and many of the developed methods have intrinsic limitations or difficulties for application to final products such as optical devices. In this work, we introduced a cross-linkable polymeric ligand into QDs to form a well-defined protective shell on the QD surface. The cross-linking reaction was carried out under mild ambient conditions so that the original PLQY of QDs was not affected. Consequently, it was demonstrated that the overall stability of the shell-cross-linked QDs is drastically improved and is sufficient to tolerate harsh external environments such as heat and chemical oxidation. This is a very simple yet efficient strategy to enhance the stability of QDs, which does not require any sophisticated manipulation of the intrinsic structure or geometry of QDs. Therefore, we anticipate that the present approach will pave the way for practicable use of QDs in a variety of light-emitting applications, particularly in high power light-emitting sources.
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