Natural Antimicrobials and Oral Microorganisms: A Systematic Review on Herbal Interventions for the Eradication of Multispecies Oral Biofilms
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Oral diseases such as caries and periodontitis are mainly caused by microbial biofilms. Antibiotic therapy has reached its limits with regard to antimicrobial resistance, and new therapeutic measures utilizing natural phytochemicals are currently a focus of research. Hence, this systematic review provides a critical presentation of the antimicrobial effects of various medicinal herbs against in vitro, ex vivo, and in situ formed multispecies oral biofilms. Searches were performed in three English databases (PubMed, EMBASE, CAMbase) and the electronic archives of five German journals from the times of their establishment until October 10th, 2014, with the search terms “(plant extracts OR herbal extracts OR plant OR herb) AND (oral biofilm OR dental biofilm OR dental plaque OR oral disease OR dental disease).” The pooled data were assessed according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (PRISMA). Initially, 1848 articles were identified, out of which 585 full-text articles were screened, 149 articles were reevaluated for eligibility and finally, 14 articles met all inclusion criteria. The data of 14 reports disclosed enhanced antiadhesive and antibiofilm activity by the plant extracts obtained from Vitis vinifera, Pinus spp., Coffea canephora, Camellia sinensis, Vaccinium macrocarpon, Galla chinensis, Caesalpinia ferrea Martius, Psidium cattleianum, representative Brazilian plants and manuka honey. Overall, a positive correlation was revealed between herb-based therapies and elimination rates of all types of multispecies oral biofilms. In that context, integrating or even replacing conventional dental therapy protocols with herbal-inspired treatments can allow effective antimicrobial control of oral biofilms and thus, dental diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

An important trend in microbiological dental research recently is the discovery of new methods to eradicate dental plaque biofilms. It is well-known that the transition of “healthy” oral biofilms into pathological ones is etiologically associated with dental diseases, e.g., caries, periodontitis, or periimplantitis (Madianos et al., 2005). Interestingly, an estimated 700 bacterial species, embedded in extracellular polysaccharide-rich matrix, have been found to dwell within multispecies oral biofilms (Figure 1) (Achtman and Wagner, 2008; Koo et al., 2013; Nikitkova et al., 2013). The “battle” against oral biofilms is a very challenging task, mainly due to their tendency to persist in spite of treatment. This tendency has been attributed to numerous cell-cell communication pathways such as quorum-sensing, horizontal gene transfer, and intrabiofilm metabolic transaction (Kolenbrander et al., 2010). Consequently, biofilm microorganisms can be up to 1000 times more resistant than planktonic bacteria to conventional antimicrobial therapies with antibacterial agents such as antibiotics or chlorhexidine (Welin-Neilands and Svensäter, 2007; Karygianni et al., 2014b).

Meanwhile, the ineffectiveness of antibiotics against several microorganisms e.g., methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) is a growing threat in the field of oral health (Smith et al., 2013). The recovery of genes associated with antibiotic resistance to erythromycin, tetracycline, and beta-lactamase from infected root canals also underlines the therapeutic barriers of antimicrobial treatment in dental infections (Rôças and Siqueira, 2013; Al-Ahmad et al., 2014). Finally, the presence of intra- or inter-individual discrepancies challenges the elimination of oral biofilm communities (Al-Ahmad et al., 2007).

In view of the growing ineffectiveness of conventional oral biofilm eradication, the implementation of novel treatments inspired by nature has lately gained increasing interest. The wise statement of Hippocrates “Nature itself is the best physician” has now been updated to include the beneficial influences from the plant kingdom against biofilm-related dental diseases. To date, numerous natural plant extracts have been screened for antimicrobial activity against planktonic and biofilm microorganisms (Groppo et al., 2008; Ramakrishna et al., 2011; Sofrata et al., 2011; Paddon et al., 2013). However, over 300,000...
herbs still need to be examined with regard to their potential for antimicrobial activity (Ngo et al., 2013). Despite the fact that numerous reports on the antimicrobial effectiveness of various medicinal herbs against planktonic microorganisms and monospecies oral biofilms have been published in the literature, a summary of information about their effects on multispecies oral biofilms is not available. Therefore, the aim of the present systematic review was to qualitatively summarize the antimicrobial activity of several medicinal herbs against *in vitro*, *ex vivo* and *in vivo* formed multispecies oral biofilms. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review of the application of various natural extracts against multispecies oral biofilms.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Search Strategy**

In order to find suitable articles, the following electronic databases were searched from the times of their establishment until 10th October, 2014: PubMed, EMBASE, CAMbase, and the electronic archives of the journals “Zeitschrift für klassische Homöopathie,” “Erfahrungsheilkunde,” “Zeitschrift für Phytotherapie,” “Allgemeine Homöopathische Zeitung,” and “Pharmazeutische Zeitung online.” The search terms for papers associated with herbal extracts and oral biofilms were divided in two groups: intervention (plant extracts OR herbal extracts OR plant OR herb) and condition (oral biofilm OR dental biofilm OR dental plaque OR oral disease OR dental disease). The groups of terms listed above were matched to give 15 different search term combinations. Furthermore, related reviews were considered as an additional source of literature reports. Subsequently, the resulting literature reports were formatted and imported into a mutual Endnote library for all of the searched databases. After the insertion of all relevant combinations in Endnote, all duplicates were automatically removed by the program.

**Inclusion Criteria**

Herbal interventions were characterized as plant-derived preparations (extracts or fractions) emanating from roots, bulbs, trunks, leaves, seeds, flowers and fruits that were applied against oral biofilms worldwide. In this review *in vitro*, *in situ*, and *ex vivo* studies which investigated the impact of the aforementioned preparations on *in vitro*, *ex vivo*, and *in situ* formed multispecies oral biofilms were included. Among the latter, only the ones which were comprised of at least two microbial species could be defined as multispecies and were studied in this review. Only microbial biofilms which consisting of representative oral microorganisms were reviewed. Studies published in both English and German were taken into consideration.

**Exclusion Criteria**

Purified compounds and essential oils originating from plants were excluded from this study. Reports omitted from this review included randomized controlled trials (RCTs), as well as all other types of clinical studies. Studies not relating to oral biofilms or referring to monospecies oral biofilms were filtered out of this review. Furthermore, reports on the influence of various plant extracts against planktonic microorganisms, even if they were representative of the oral cavity, were not taken into account. Studies combining herbal interventions and routine pharmacologic therapy, e.g., antibiotics allowed for a co-intervention, were not reviewed.

**Study Selection**

The primary literature research was performed by one author. Afterwards, the resulting titles and abstracts were reevaluated by two independent authors, who were responsible for discarding reports unrelated to the subject according to the established inclusion and exclusion criteria. After this second screening round, the remaining studies were downloaded as full-text articles and were subsequently assessed for eligibility. If full access to the papers was impossible, these studies were excluded at this time point. Finally, irrelevant full-text articles were removed during the last third screening phase against the aforementioned criteria and the studies included in qualitative synthesis were determined.

**Data Organization**

A standard document was used to organize the information gained from each study. In particular, comprehensive data about the authors, year of publication, type of study, type of herbal intervention, extract concentration, treatment duration, type of multispecies biofilm, types of oral microorganisms within the biofilm, duration of incubation until biofilm formation, number of tested samples, methodological aspects, major outcomes, and limitations were noted. Additional clarification using terms from the listed final data was obtained by the dental library of the University of Freiburg and all other authors of the review with expertise in relevant scientific fields. To ensure the credibility of the extracted lists, the selected full texts were controlled twice. In cases of incongruity, the data were adjusted to the content of the source reports. Due to the heterogeneity of the selected reports, they were further classified into (a) *in vitro*, (b) *ex vivo*, and (c) *in situ* studies.

**Data Quality Evaluation**

The collected data were evaluated according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (PRISMA; Liberati et al., 2009). The latter constitutes an updated version of the statement about the Quality of Reporting of Meta-analyses standards (QUOROM) developed by the QUOROM group. The PRISMA website (http://www.prisma-statement.org/statement.htm) contains the current form of the PRISMA statement. In particular, PRISMA includes an evidence-based set of practical tools, such as a detailed 27-point checklist and a flowchart which supports the classification of the search strategy, study selection, and data assessment process in four stages. The aim of this quality control tool is to aid in literature screening, data extraction, and management and thus, enhance critical evaluation of a wide spectrum of research projects, e.g., randomized clinical trials and other types of intervention reports. To eliminate incongruity the
aforementioned processes (data organization and quality) were finally surveyed by a second independent author.

RESULTS

Description of Selected Reports

**Figure 2** depicts an overview of the study selection procedure. Out of a total number of 1848 articles identified after searching in three different English databases and the electronic archives of five German journals, 585 full-text articles were screened upon removal of duplicates. Afterwards, a total of 436 articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded and 149 full-text articles were reassessed for eligibility. Finally, after this second screening process 135 articles were excluded according to the aforementioned inclusion criteria and 14 of the reports were considered suitable for this review (Yamanaka et al., 2007; Alviano et al., 2008; Furiga et al., 2008, 2014; Hannig et al., 2008, 2009; Xie et al., 2008; Sampaio et al., 2009; Antonio et al., 2011, 2012; Badet and Quero, 2011; Brighenti et al., 2012; Meckelburg et al., 2014; Muñoz-Gonzalez et al., 2014). All 14 selected reports were found in English language databases from the time of their establishment until 10th October, 2014. Further details on each study regarding methodological and outcome aspects are summarized in Tables 2–4. All selected studies were written in English and involved treatment of *in vitro*, *ex vivo* and *in situ* formed multispecies oral biofilms with different plant extracts. The extract treatments were applied with a duration time ranging from 1 min (Furiga et al., 2008, 2014; Hannig et al., 2008, 2009; Xie et al., 2008; Sampaio et al., 2009; Antonio et al., 2011; Brighenti et al., 2012; Meckelburg et al., 2014) to 64 h (Badet and Quero, 2011). In terms of the medicinal herbs, four studies reported the effects of *Vitis* products and by-products, red wine, and/or grape seed extracts on multispecies oral biofilms (Furiga et al., 2008, 2014; Hannig et al., 2009; Muñoz-Gonzalez et al., 2014), three studies highlighted the anti-biofilm properties of
Coffee canephora extracts (Antonio et al., 2011, 2012; Meckelburg et al., 2014) two studies focused on the antimicrobial traits of tea (black tea, green tea, cistus tea; Hannig et al., 2008, 2009) and one study reported the high antimicrobial efficacy of Chinese galls (Xie et al., 2008) Individual reports on the antibiofilm activity of cranberry juice concentrate (Yamanaka et al., 2007), manuka honey (Badet and Quero, 2011), Caesalpinia ferrea Martius fruit extracts (Sampaio et al., 2009), Psidium cattleianum leaf extract (Brighenti et al., 2012), and Brazilian plant extracts (Alviano et al., 2008) were also taken into consideration.

To enhance understanding of their great bactericidal potential in the dental field, the most characteristic medicinal herbs with well-established antimicrobial activity against oral microorganisms (planktonic, adherent) are listed below. Table 1 constitutes an overview of the most representative herbs which have already been studied and acknowledged worldwide for their antimicrobial behavior against oral microorganisms.

**Herbal Interventions on In vitro Formed Multispecies Oral Biofilms**

Table 2 summarizes the herbal treatments on *in vitro* formed multispecies oral biofilms. A total of seven studies described the effects of several natural extracts on artificial multispecies oral biofilms prepared in the laboratory *in vitro* (Yamanaka et al., 2007; Furiga et al., 2008, 2014; Xie et al., 2008; Sampaio et al., 2009; Badet and Quero, 2011; Muñoz-Gonzalez et al., 2014). The use of honey for treating microbial infections dates back to ancient times. Badet et al. revealed the beneficial effects of manuka honey extract (50–500 µg/ml), a monofloral honey produced in New Zealand

---

**TABLE 1** Overview of the representative plant extracts found to have favorable antimicrobial properties against oral bacteria.

| Name of plant extract       | Tested oral microorganisms                           | Literature report                        |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Azadirachta indica (Neem sticks) | Streptococcus spp.                                   | Wolinsky et al., 1996                   |
| Camellia sinensis (tea plant) | Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus sobrinus         | Hamilton-Miller, 2001; Yoshinaga et al., 2014 |
| Coffea arabica or canephora (coffee) | Streptococcus spp.                                   | Ferrazzano et al., 2009                  |
| Humulus lupulus (hop plant)   | Streptococcus spp.                                   | Shinoda et al., 2007                    |
| Vaccinium macrocarpon (cranberry) | Streptococcus spp., Porphyromonas gingivalis     | Yamanaka et al., 2004, 2007              |
| Punica granatum (pomegranate) | Streptococcus spp., Candida albicans, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Prevotella intermedia | de Oliveira et al., 2013; Howell and D’Souza, 2013 |
| Salvadoria persica (miswak)   | Porphyromonas gingivalis, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Haemophilus influenzae | Sofrata et al., 2007                    |
| Theobroma cacao (cacao tree)  | Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus sanguinis        | Percival et al., 2006                    |
| Vitis vinifera (grape vine)   | Actinomyces oris, Fusobacterium nucleatum, Streptococcus oralis | Muñoz-Gonzalez et al., 2014 |
| Myristica fragrans (nutmeg)   | Streptococcus spp., Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Fusobacterium nucleatum | Shafiei et al., 2012                    |
| Morus alba (white mulberry)   | Streptococcus mutans                                 | Islam et al., 2008                      |
| Eucalyptus globulus (eucalyptus leaves) | Porphyromonas gingivalis                 | Nagata et al., 2006                      |
| Curcuma xanthorrhiza (Javanese ginger) | Streptococcus mutans       | Kim et al., 2008                         |
| Arctium lappa (greater burdock) | Enterococcus faecalis, Candida albicans          | Pereira et al., 2005                     |
| Mikania laevigata (guaco)     | Streptococcus spp.                                   | Yatsuda et al., 2005                     |
| Inula viscosa (false yellowhead) | Porphyromonas gingivalis, Streptococcus sobrinus, Enterococcus faecalis | Karygianni et al., 2014a |
| Allium sativum (garlic)       | Streptococcus spp., Enterococcus faecalis, Prevotella intermedia, Fusobacterium nucleatum | Bakri and Douglas, 2005; Lee et al., 2011 |
| Hyptis divaricata (propolis)  | Streptococcus mutans, Lactobacillus spp.            | Dziedzic et al., 2013                    |
| Helichrysum italicum (carru plant) | Streptococcus mutans             | Nostro et al., 2004                      |
| Pistacia lentiscus (mastic gum) | Porphyromonas gingivalis, Prevotella intermedia, Fusobacterium nucleatum | Karygianni et al., 2014a |
| Aloe vera                    | Streptococcus mutans, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Bacteroides fragilis | Fani and Kohanteb, 2012 |
| Coriandrum sativum (coriander) | Candida albicans                                 | Furletti et al., 2011                    |
| Acacia nilotica (babul)       | mutans streptococci                               | Gupta and Gupta, 2015                    |
| Hibiscus sabdariffa (roseelle) | Streptococcus mutans                               | Afolabi et al., 2008                     |
| Mangifera indica (mango)      | Prevotella intermedia, Porphyromonas gingivalis    | Bairy et al., 2002                      |
| Citrus limonum (lemon)        | Candida albicans, Enterococcus faecalis           | Oliveira et al., 2014                    |
| Cinnamomum zeylanicum (ceylon cinnamon) | Actinomyces naeslundii, Prevotella nigrescens, Streptococcus mutans | Bardaj et al., 2015                     |
| Glycyrrhiza uralensis (Chinese liquorice) | Streptococcus mutans, Porphyromonas gingivalis | Villinski et al., 2014                   |
| Hypericum perforatum (St. John’s Wort) | Streptococcus sobrinus, Lactobacillus plantarum, Enterococcus faecalis | Süntr et al., 2015                     |
| Origanum vulgare (oregano)    | Streptococcus mutans, Candida albicans            | Miller et al., 2015                     |
TABLE 2 | Overview of the names and concentrations of the medicinal herbs, bacterial characteristics of oral biofilms, treatment duration, and major outcomes of the studies of herbal intervention on in vitro formed multispecies oral biofilms.

| Authors Year | Name of medicinal herb/concentration | Oral biofilm microorganisms | Treatment duration | Main results |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Badet and Quero, 2011 | Manuka honey extract/50–600 µg/ml | *Streptococcus mutans* ATCC 25175, *Streptococcus sobrinus* ATCC 33478, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* ATCC 7469, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* ATCC 33277, *Fusobacterium nucleatum* ATCC 10953 | Incubation for 64 h under aerobic conditions | - Manuka honey showed antiadhesive properties at a concentration of 200 µg/ml |
| Furiga et al., 2008 | Red grape marc extract (GME), red wine extract (RWE), pine bark extract (PBE)/50–2000 µg/ml | *Streptococcus mutans* ATCC 25175, *Streptococcus sobrinus* ATCC 33478, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* ATCC 7469, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* ATCC 33277, *Fusobacterium nucleatum* ATCC 10953 | Treatments of 1 min each, every 4 h over 16 h | - Potency against bacterial viability: chlorhexidine > RWE > PBE > GME |
| Furiga et al., 2014 | Grape seed extract (GSE), 2000 µg/ml, 3-pyridinemethanol hydrofluoride (10.2 mg/ml) | *Streptococcus mutans* ATCC 25175, *Streptococcus sobrinus* ATCC 33478, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* ATCC 7469, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* ATCC 33277, *Fusobacterium nucleatum* ATCC 10953, *Actinomyces viscosus* | Threefold exposure of 1 min, at 4-h intervals, twice a day over 64 h, between treatments biofilms were incubated | - The combination of GSE and fluoride demonstrated the highest antibiofilm effectiveness |
| Muñoz-Gonzalez et al., 2014 | Red wine, dealcoholized red wine, red wine extract (1.6 g/l) enriched either with grape seed extract (2.5 g/100 ml) or inactive dry yeast (IDY, 0.4 g/l) | *Actinomyces oris* OMZ 745, *Fusobacterium nucleatum* OMZ 598, *Streptococcus oralis* OMZ 607, *Streptococcus mutans* UA159, *Veillonella dispar* ATCC 17748 | Treatments of 2 min each, at 7-h intervals, twice a day over 7 days | - Red wine, dealcoholized red wine, and red wine extract spiked with grape seed extract decreased CFU values of *F. nucleatum* and *S. oralis* |
| Sampaio et al., 2009 | Caesalpinia ferrea Martius fruit extracts/25–100 µg/ml | *Candida albicans* ATCC 36232, *Streptococcus mutans* ATCC 25175, *Streptococcus salivarius* ATCC 7073, *Streptococcus oralis* ATCC 10567, *Lactobacillus casei* ATCC 7469 | Treatments of 1 min each, at 16 h and 30 min, and at 40 h and 30 min over 64 h and 30 min | - Complete inhibition of biofilm formation at $10^{-4}$ microbial dilution |
| Yamanaka et al., 2007 | Cranberry juice concentrate/250 or 500 µg/ml | *Porphyromonas gingivalis* Fusobacterium nucleatum | Incubation for 24 h under anaerobic conditions | - Cranberry extract hindered synergistic biofilm formation |
| Xie et al., 2008 | Galla chinensis extracts (GCE)/4 mg/ml | *Streptococcus sanguis* ATCC10556, *Streptococcus mutans* ATCC25175, *Actinomyces naeslundii* WVU 627, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* AC 413 | Eight treatments of 1 min each, every 12 h over 5 days | - Higher planktonic phase pH values |

*Note: CFU = Colony Forming Units.*
TABLE 3 | Overview of the names and concentrations of the medicinal herbs, bacterial characteristics of oral biofilms, treatment duration, and major outcomes of studies on ex vivo formed multispecies oral biofilms.

| Authors                  | Year | Name of medicinal herb/concentration | Oral biofilm microorganisms | Treatment duration | Main results                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Alviano et al., 2008     | 2008 | Aqueous extracts from Cocos nucifera (husk fiber, coco-cravo), Ziziphus joazeiro (inner bark, juazeiro), Caesalpinia pyramidalis (leaves, catatingueira), and alcoholic extract from Aristobichia cymbifera (stem, milhomen)/16 mg/ml | Unstimulated human whole mixed saliva | Treatment for 30 min, incubation under anaerobic conditions for 48 h | - The alcoholic extract of A. cymbifera (94.2%) and the aqueous extracts of C. pyramidalis (72.8%) and C. nucifera (64.1%) reduced the viable count of adherent bacteria |
|                          |      |                                      |                             |                   | - The activity of the free radical 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl-hydrate (DPPH) was mostly affected by the aqueous extracts from C. nucifera and C. pyramidalis |
| Antonio et al., 2011     | 2011 | Aqueous coffee extract from Coffea canephora/50 µg/ml | Unstimulated human whole mixed saliva | Treatments of 1 min each, every 24 h over 7 days | - No significant differences in pH values after treatment with the extract |
|                          |      |                                      |                             |                   | - C. canephora aqueous extract induced a 4-log decrease in CFU of S. mutans after 3 h treatment |
| Antonio et al., 2012     | 2012 | Unsweetened and sweetened aqueous coffee extracts from Coffea canephora/20 mg/ml | Unstimulated human whole mixed saliva | Treatment for 30 min, incubation under anaerobic conditions for 48 h | - Coffea canephora extract reduced CFU values in ex vivo oral biofilms by 15%, - Sucrose concentrations from 5% up to 20% inhibited growth of biofilm bacteria |
| Meckelburg et al., 2014  | 2014 | Aqueous coffee extract from Coffea canephora/50 µg/ml | Unstimulated human whole mixed saliva | Treatments of 1 min each, every 24 h over 7 days | - Treatment with coffee extract resulted in an increase in calcium concentration after 4 and 7 days |
|                          |      |                                      |                             |                   | - Treatment with coffee extract induced mineral loss from the tooth surfaces up to 30 µm in depth |

and Australia from the nectar of the Leptospernum scoparium (Myrtaceae) on biofilms consisting of Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus sobrinus, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Porphyromonas gingivalis, and Fusobacterium nucleatum after incubation for 64 h under aerobic conditions (Badet and Quero, 2011). Furiga et al. published two reports about the antibiofilm activities of various extracts obtained from Vitis vinifera (Vitaceae) against artificial oral biofilms mainly composed of S. mutans, S. sobrinus, L. rhamnosus, P. gingivalis, and F. nucleatum (Furiga et al., 2008, 2014). Apart from wine, which is the primary product derived from Vitis plants, research in recent years has focused on the by-products of wine production, such as stems, skins, and seeds, consolidating the observation that agro-industrial wastes are rich in healthy plant ingredients. Red and white grapes originate from the plant V. vinifera and are polyphenol-rich sources of hydroxybenzoic acids (ellagic-, gallic-, syringic-, and vanillic acid), hydroxycinnamic acids (ferulic-, p-coumaric-, and caffeic acid), flavonoids (catechin, epicatechin, kaempferol, myricetin), stilbenes (tyrosol), procyanidins, and anthocyanins (Furiga et al., 2008). Grapes possess antioxidant, antiinflammatory, antitumor, and antimicrobial properties (Bagchi et al., 2000). The latter include among others antiadhesive activities which can be primarily attributed to organic acids (van Loveren et al., 2012). In earlier reports on beneficial antibacterial effects of grapes and wines within the oral cavity, grapes showed high bactericidal activity against several oral microorganisms e.g., Actinomyces oris, F. nucleatum, and Streptococcus oralis (Furiga et al., 2008; Muñoz-Gonzalez et al., 2014).

In the first study of the group (Furiga et al., 2008), 1-min applications of red grape marc extract (GME) and red wine extract (RWE) in concentrations ranging from 50 to 2000 µg/ml at 4-h-intervals over 16 h resulted in enhanced antiadhesive effects of GME, while RWE showed the highest antibacterial effects. In the second study of the same group (Furiga et al., 2014), grape seed extract (GSE, 2000 µg/ml), either alone or enriched with 3-pyridinemethanol hydrofluoride, was incubated with biofilms containing the aforementioned microorganisms plus Actinomyces viscosus for 1 min, at 4-h intervals over 64 h. The combination of GSE and fluoride exhibited the most antibiofilm properties and decreased glucosyltransferase activity as well as insoluble glucan synthesis by 65.7%. Red wine (dealcoholized or not) and RWE (1.6 g/l) enriched either with grape seed extract (2.5 g/100 ml) or inactive dry yeast (IDY, 0.4 g/l) were also screened against in vitro formed multispecies biofilms (A. oris, F. nucleatum, Streptococcus oralis, S. mutans, Veillonella dispar) by another group (Furiga et al., 2014). The biofilms were treated for 2 min at 7-h intervals over a period of 7 days. As a result, the total bacterial count of F. nucleatum and S. oralis were decreased by treatment with red wine and RWE enriched with grape seed. Furiga et al. also studied the effect of a pine bark extract (PBE) against artificial oral biofilms (Furiga et al., 2008). The authors do not mention the pine species used, since PBEs could derive from various
species, such as *Pinus pinaster*, *Pinus maritima*, *Pinus radiata*, *Pinus massoniana*. Proanthocyanidins are among the most abundant constituents in these extracts. Antiadhesive effects and high antibacterial potency of PBE were observed with 1-min applications in concentrations ranging from 50 to 2000 µg/ml at 4 h-intervals over 16 h. Interestingly, in the presence of 100 µg/ml PBE glucosyltransferase synthesis decreased by 83.9%. Sampaio et al. (2009) reported complete inhibition of multispecies biofilm formation for *Candida albicans*, *S. mutans*, *Streptococcus salivarius*, *S. oralis*, and *Lactobacillus casei* after 1-min applications over 64 h and 30 min of *C. fereea* Martius fruit extracts (25–100 µg/ml). *C. fereea* (syn. *Libidibia fereea*) Mart. is a leguminous plant found in the north and northeastern semi-arid region of Brazil and is widely used in folk medicine. Tannins are thought to be the major compounds of its fruits extracts, which also contain alkaloids, anthraquinones, sugars, depsides, depsidones, flavonoids, saponins, sesquiterpene lactones, and triterpenes. *Vaccinium* (Ericaceae) is a cosmopolitan genus that contains on the order of 450 species. *Vaccinium macrocarpon* is a native North American fruit. *V. macrocarpon* is the source of cranberries. The cranberry is a berry rich in polyphenols including proanthocyanidins, anthocyanins, and flavonols (myricetin, quercetin, kaempferol; Duarte et al., 2006). In addition to its significant antioxidant and antitumor effects, cranberry seems to have high antimicrobial efficacy against several Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and fungi known to be involved in recurrent urinary tract infections (Bonifait and Grenier, 2010). Surprisingly, the cranberry is the fruit with the most distinct antiadhesive properties, a fact which highlights its unique ability to strongly inhibit biofilm formation (Yoo et al., 2011). This can be related to various mechanisms such as the decrease of bacterial membrane hydrophobicity (Yamanaka et al., 2004), reduced activity of the enzymes fructosyltransferase and glycosyltransferase (Steinberg et al., 2004) and decreased pH values (Duarte et al., 2006). With regard to oral microorganisms, cranberry was found to be highly effective against oral biofilm members of the genus *Streptococcus* and *P. gingivalis* in previous studies (Yamanaka et al., 2007; Yamanaka-Okada et al., 2008). Yamanaka et al. proved that cranberry extract in concentrations up to 500 µg/ml prevented synergistic biofilm formation by *P. gingivalis* and *F. nucleatum* after 24 h of incubation (Yamanaka et al., 2007).

Finally, the favorable antibiofilm properties of Chinese galls (*Galla Chinensis*) were demonstrated by Xie et al. (2008) on in vitro formed biofilms (*Streptococcus sanguis*, *S. mutans*, *Actinomyces naeslundii*, *L. rhamnosus*). Chinese gall is a common traditional Chinese medicine. It is produced through special aphids (Melaphis chinensis (Bell) Baker) parasitized on the leaves or stems of *Rhhus chinensis*, *R. potaninii*, or *R. punjabensis* (Anacardiaceae). Chinese gall has high medicinal value and wide industrial application because of its high levels of gallotannins and various volatile compounds or essential oils. The gallotannins from Chinese galls are quite complex mixtures mostly with degrees of polymerization of 4–11 galloyl units. Biofilm treatment (1 min) with 4 mg/ml *Galla chinensis* extracts (GCE) over 5 days induced increased planktonic phase pH levels and decreased colony forming unit (CFU) values of biofilm microorganisms.

**Herbal Interventions on Ex vivo Formed Multispecies Oral Biofilms**

Table 3 summarizes the herbal treatments on ex vivo formed multispecies oral biofilms. A total of four studies described the effects of five different natural extracts on multispecies oral biofilms generated ex vivo by unstimulated human saliva (Alviano et al., 2008; Antonio et al., 2011, 2012; Meckelburg et al., 2012).

### Table 3

| Authors and Year | Name of medicinal herb/concentration | Oral biofilm microorganisms | Treatment duration | Main results |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Karygianni et al. 2008 | Aqueous extract of *Psidium cattleanum* leaf extract/167 mg/ml | Supragingival dental plaque (palatal appliance) | Treatments of 1 min each, at 12-h intervals, twice a day over 14 days | - The extract inhibited growth of biofilm bacteria - No decrease in pH values after treatment with the extract - The extract reduced the total amount of extracellular polysaccharides |
| Hannig et al., 2008 | Cistus tea/20 mg/ml | Supragingival dental plaque (individual upper jaw splints) | Pellicle formation for 1 min and 15 min, then 10-min treatment, splints kept in the oral cavity for 20 min, 40 min, or 109 min | - Cistus tea reduced the number of detectable adherent bacteria - Cistus tea caused decrease in peroxidase activity after 40 min - Glucosyltransferase, amylase, and lysozyme activities remained unaffected |
| Hannig et al., 2009 | Red wine, purple grape juice, cistus tea, black tea, green tea/10 mg/ml | Supragingival dental plaque (individual upper jaw splints) | Pellicle formation for 1 min, then 10-min treatment, splints kept in the oral cavity for another 19 min or 109 min | - All polyphenolic beverages reduced the number of detectable adherent bacteria - Confocal laser scanning microscopic images showed no discrepancies in the organization of bacterial aggregates - Cistus tea, red wine, and grape juice caused a decrease of up to 66% in oral biofilm bacteria |

**TABLE 4** Overview on the names and concentrations of the medicinal herbs, bacterial characteristics of oral biofilms, treatment duration, and major outcomes of the studies on herbal interventions on the in situ formed multispecies oral biofilms.
et al., 2014). Alviano et al. screened four Brazilian plant extracts from *Cocos nucifera*, *Ziziphus joazeiro*, *Caesalpinia pyramidalis*, and *Aristolochia cymbifera*, that are used in folk medicine in the northeast of Brazil to treat oral diseases, against oral biofilms from unstimulated human whole mixed saliva (Alviano et al., 2008). After applying 16 mg/ml of each extract onto the biofilms for 30 min, *A. cymbifera*, *C. pyramidalis* and *C. nucifera* reduced the viable count of adherent microorganisms by 94.2, 72.8, and 64.1% after 48 h of incubation, respectively. The latter two extracts also interfered with the activity of the free radical 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazylhydroxide (DPPH). In addition, three further studies by two groups highlighted the antibiofilm activity of *C. canephora* against oral biofilms originating in saliva (Antonio et al., 2011, 2012; Meckelburg et al., 2014). The roasted beans of *Coffea arabica* and *C. canephora* are used for the production of coffee (Nuhu, 2014). Among its active compounds, the alkaloid caffeine (Ferrazzano et al., 2009), chlorogenic acid (Lou et al., 2011), the pyridine alkaloid trigonelline (Antonio et al., 2011), and several diterpenes (bietane, cembrane, guanacastepene A, cafestol) (Bisio et al., 2014) are responsible for the significant antiadhesive behavior of coffee. Coffee was shown to be effective against Gram-positive and Gram-negative microorganisms in an earlier study (Daglia et al., 1998). Within the oral cavity in particular, coffee exhibited antiadhesive activity against *S. mutans* and other oral species of the genus *Streptococcus* (Daglia et al., 2002; Ferrazzano et al., 2009). This could be explained by the ability of caffeine to inhibit quorum sensing, a crucial communication pathway among biofilm microorganisms (Norizan et al., 2013). The first report by Antonio et al. revealed stable pH levels and a 4-log decrease in CFU of *S. mutans* after 1-min incubation of 50 µg/ml aqueous coffee extract from *C. canephora* with oral biofilms originating from unstimulated human saliva over 7 days (Antonio et al., 2011). Interestingly, the results of the second report by the same group were confirmatory (Antonio et al., 2012). In particular, upon treatment for 30 min, 20 mg/ml and sweetened aqueous coffee extracts from *C. canephora* caused a decrease in total bacterial count of 15% in biofilm derived from saliva (Antonio et al., 2012). Similarly, Meckelburg et al. incubated *ex vivo* formed oral biofilms with 50 µg/ml aqueous coffee extract from *C. canephora* for 1 min over 7 days (Meckelburg et al., 2014). In this study, the calcium concentration of the treated biofilms was found to increase, probably due to bacterial lysis.

**Herbal Interventions on In situ Formed Multispecies Oral Biofilms**

Table 4 summarizes the herbal treatments on *in situ* formed multispecies oral biofilms. A total of five studies described the effects of 12 different natural extracts on multispecies oral biofilms obtained *in situ* by supragingival dental plaque. *P. cattleianum* commonly known as strawberry guava, Chinese guava, cattley guava, Jeju guava, cherry guava, purple guava, waiwai, guayaba, or araçá is an exotic tropical plant belonging to the Myrtaceae family and native to the Atlantic coast of Brazil. Its leaves are rich in vitamin C and phenolic compounds, including epicatechin and gallic acid as the main components. They also contain flavonoids, saponins, cardiac glycosides, anthraquinones, and tannins. More specifically, Brighenti et al. treated *in situ* oral biofilms with 167 mg/ml *P. cattleianum* leaf extract for 1 min at 12-h intervals over a period of 14 days and reported significantly reduced post-treatment amounts of extracellular polysaccharides and biofilm growth, while pH levels remained stable (Brighenti et al., 2012). Hannig et al. conducted two studies on the antibiofilm effects of various polyphenolic beverages (Hannig et al., 2008, 2009). In their first study, this group tested cistus tea (20 mg/ml) against *in situ* formed initial oral biofilms. Cistus tea is prepared from *Cistus incanus*, a Mediterranean species rich in polymeric polyphenols. A 10-min treatment was followed by further biofilm incubation in the oral cavity for 20, 40, or 109 min (Hannig et al., 2008). In addition to a decrease in peroxidase activity after 40 min, cistus tea reduced the CFU values of the adherent bacteria, whereas glucosyltransferase-, amylase-, and lysozyme activities were not influenced. In the subsequent study from the same group, a variety of polyphenolic beverages (red wine, purple grape juice, cistus tea, black tea, green tea) were screened (Hannig et al., 2009). In brief, 10 mg/ml of each of the aforementioned beverages were applied for 10 min onto *in situ* formed initial oral biofilms and the splint systems were further kept in the oral cavity for either 19 or 109 min. As a result, the total amount of adherent bacteria decreased significantly up to 66%, with cistus tea, red wine, and grape juice showing the highest bactericidal activity. The dried leaves of the plant *Camellia sinensis* produce four well-known varieties of tea, namely black, green, Oolong, and white tea. Polyphenols, especially the flavonoid catechin (30–40% of dry weight), and fluorides are the most active ingredients in tea (Goenka et al., 2013). Tea contains distinct antioxidant properties due to its ability to restrict free radical generation (Reygaert, 2014). Furthermore, tea inhibits the release of antiinflammatory cytokines such as interleukins (IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12), as well as the receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL), and acts as an anticancer agent by stimulating apoptotic processes in cancer cells (Chen et al., 2011). In terms of oral health, tea has significant antimicrobial effects. The latter can be attributed to the lysis of the bacterial cell membrane which leads to a reduction in the ability of bacteria to attach to host substrata and form persistent biofilms (Sharma et al., 2012). Other antimicrobial traits involve the interference of tea with the production of fatty acids and enzymes (Reygaert, 2014). For example, tea hinders bacterial energy production by blocking the enzyme ATP synthase (Chinnam et al., 2010). Indeed, numerous studies have revealed a significant bactericidal impact of tea extracts or pure compounds on oral microorganisms such as *Streptococcus* spp., *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Prevotella intermedia* and *Enterococcus faecalis* (Araghizadeh et al., 2013; Aman et al., 2014).

**DISCUSSION**

The present systematic review identified 14 reports on the effects of various medicinal herbs on *in vitro*, *ex vivo*, and *in situ* formed multispecies oral biofilms. The pooled data from
14 reports revealed the beneficial anti-biofilm behavior of the tested plant extracts (Yamanaka et al., 2007; Alviano et al., 2008; Furiga et al., 2008, 2014; Hannig et al., 2008, 2009; Xie et al., 2008; Sampaio et al., 2009; Antonio et al., 2011, 2012; Badet and Quero, 2011; Brighenti et al., 2012; Meckelburg et al., 2014; Muñoz-Gonzalez et al., 2014). Overall, it can be stated that there is a positive correlation between therapy protocols based on the use of medicinal herbs and the eradication rates of the treated oral biofilms. Independent of the origin of the multispecies oral biofilms (in vitro, ex vivo, or in situ), all herbs succeeded in reducing the total bacterial counts of the adherent microorganisms. Among the tested plant extracts with confirmed biofilm-killing properties, grape-, pinus-, and other oenological extracts (Furiga et al., 2008, 2014; Hannig et al., 2009; Muñoz-Gonzalez et al., 2014), coffee extracts from C. canephora (Antonio et al., 2011, 2012; Meckelburg et al., 2014), as well as polyphenolic beverages such as tea (black tea, green tea, cistus tea; Hannig et al., 2008, 2009) played a major role. Some fruits e.g., cranberry juice concentrate (Yamanaka et al., 2007), C. ferrea Martius fruit extracts (Sampaio et al., 2009), manuka honey (Badet and Quero, 2011) and other tree- or leaf extracts such as Chinese galls (Xie et al., 2008), P. cattleianum leaf extract (Brighenti et al., 2012), and Brazilian tree extracts (Alviano et al., 2008) also showed pronounced antibiofilm activity.

**REVIEW LIMITATIONS**

For a number of reasons the outcomes from the present review should be interpreted avoiding generalized assumptions. Due to methodological heterogeneity among the studies presented, recommendation of specific treatment protocols with the medicinal herbs studied is not possible. In particular, despite the fact that they are well-described in most reports, the extraction methods applied to the tested herbs, e.g., boiling, differed from one another. Another crucial methodological parameter for the antimicrobial behavior of the herbs studied is the solvent used for the extraction. Here, methanol was utilized as a solvent for the extraction in most reports, whereas aqueous extracts were utilized in some other cases (Alviano et al., 2008; Antonio et al., 2011, 2012; Brighenti et al., 2012; Meckelburg et al., 2014). Furthermore, the quantities used to test the extracts ranged between 50 µg/ml and 300 mg/ml, a fact which can directly affect their anti-biofilm effectiveness. The latter can also be influenced by the duration of treatment, which varied from 1 min (Furiga et al., 2008, 2014; Hannig et al., 2008, 2009; Xie et al., 2008; Sampaio et al., 2009; Antonio et al., 2011; Brighenti et al., 2012; Meckelburg et al., 2014) to 64 h (Badet and Quero, 2011). Obviously, a therapy with an extended treatment time can lead to an overestimation of the positive antimicrobial effects of the plant extract. In addition, the origin of the multispecies oral biofilms studied could be added to the limitations of this review. The different in vitro, ex vivo and in situ formed multispecies oral biofilms were characterized by a variety of bacterial compositions, which could therefore lead to variation in their response mechanisms toward the applied herbal remedies. The biofilm incubation time prior to and after the herbal treatment, as well as the incubation conditions used (aerobic/anaerobic), also presented discrepancies among the studies presented. Finally, language bias could be a further restriction of this study since only reports written in English were included and only English and German journals were initially taken into consideration.

**Impact of Herbs on Oral Biofilms**

In spite of the heterogeneous methodological aspects of the presented studies mentioned above, the general tendency of various natural plant extracts to possess pronounced anti-adhesive and anti-biofilm traits cannot be disputed. Indeed, this antimicrobial behavior was confirmed in the reports analyzed in this review. Since the answer to the general question: “Can herbal intervention eradicate multispecies oral biofilms?” is affirmative, the next question arises: “Exactly which herbal interventions can eradicate multispecies oral biofilms?”

Based on the pooled data in the present review, the extracts from V. vinifera seem to be the most promising on the candidate herb list. Interestingly, more than 80% of the polyphenol-rich wine grapes end up in the winemaking process (Thimothe et al., 2007). Thus, the following materials with anti-biofilm properties can be included in this group: red GME, RWE, grape seed extract and red wine. Previous in vitro studies also showed a high bactericidal activity for grape extracts against planktonic S. mutans, probably due to their interference with glycosyltransferase (GTF) activity, a fact that indirectly inhibits dental plaque accumulation (Thimothe et al., 2007). This assumption was confirmed for multispecies oral biofilms by Furiga et al. (2014), as they showed decreased GTF activity (43.9%) and insoluble glucan synthesis (65.7%) in the presence of grape seed extract. Proanthocyanidins and red pigments are considered to be anti-adhesive components able to modify host and bacterial receptors such as bacterial adhesins (Signoretto et al., 2012).

Furthermore, in the present review coffee was found to be another effective biofilm “killer.” This can be attributed to its enhanced anti-adhesive and anti-biofilm properties as also demonstrated in earlier in vitro studies on planktonic bacteria. In particular, low molecular mass substances [chlorogenic acid (Lou et al., 2011), trigonelline (Antonio et al., 2011)] and high molecular mass substances, e.g., melanoidin (Papetti et al., 2007), inhibited the adhesion of S. mutans to hydroxyapatite-containing tooth surfaces, as mentioned in the introduction. These compounds are not only capable of hindering the initiation of the biofilm formation process, but they can also interfere with substantial biofilm communication mechanisms such as quorum sensing (Norizan et al., 2013). However, when combined with additives like sugar, coffee tends to show cariogenic properties within the oral cavity (Anila Namboodiripad and Kori, 2009). Nevertheless, in one of the studies presented (Antonio et al., 2012) sweetened aqueous coffee extracts from C. canephora with sucrose concentrations from 5 up to 20% significantly reduced the total bacterial count of biofilm microorganisms.

Tea is currently one of the most popular healthy beverages worldwide. In this review, its beneficial anti-adhesive and anti-biofilm contribution against multispecies oral biofilms was highlighted. As mentioned in the introduction, tea has the
ability to either directly affect biofilm viability by destroying the bacterial membrane, or to indirectly affect it by interfering with microbial adhesion to various oral surfaces (Reygaert, 2014). Nevertheless, the consumption of tea with sweeteners should be avoided since the positive impact of tea is then minimized (Morabia and Costanza, 2010). Moreover, the biofilm inhibiting use of fruits, especially cranberries and C. ferrea Martius, manuka honey and other tree- or leaf extracts is promising. Several in vitro reports have also underlined their favorable impacts on planktonic bacteria (Babu et al., 2012; Eick et al., 2014).

A general response to the question “How do herbal interventions eradicate multispecies oral biofilms?” should include mention of the small-molecule antimicrobial agents (molecular weight, MW \(< 500\)) named phytoalexins. These active natural antibiotics consist of heterogeneous components like polyphenols, terpenoids, flavonoids, alkaloids, and glycos steroids. These constitute an herbal “defense reservoir” against pathogenic microorganisms (Hemaiswarya et al., 2008). Interestingly, phytoalexins are capable of acting synergistically in order to eradicate different pathogens. Other plant defense mechanisms against microbial invaders involve the expression of avirulence (Avr) genes, which results in the release of resistance (R) proteins and the local secretion of sugar polymers e.g., callose (Maor and Shirasu, 2005).

Table 5 summarizes the most representative active compounds of the extracts studied in the 14 reviewed reports with antimicrobial activity against oral multispecies biofilms.

**FUTURE PROSPECTS**

The following plants have been screened for their antimicrobial activity against planktonic and monospecies biofilm microorganisms and could be also be tested against multispecies biofilm microorganisms in the future.

**Theobroma cacao (Cacao)**

The widely used cacao comes from the plant *Theobroma cacao*. Depending on the degree of cocoa fermentation, the most important flavonoid compounds of cocoa are catechin and epigallocatechin (6–8% of dry weight) (Ferrazzano et al., 2009). In addition to its anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and anticarcinogenic benefits, cacao possesses significant anticariogenic properties (van Loveren et al., 2012). A possible mechanism for its anticariogenic action involves the inhibition of enzymatic activity of dextran sucrase, resulting in reduced production of sucrose-originated extracellular polysaccharides (Ferrazzano et al., 2009). This leads to decreased biofilm formation as shown for *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sanguis* in a previous study (Percival et al., 2006).

**Punica granatum (Pomegranate)**

The fruit pomegranate is obtained from the plant *Punica granatum*. Pomegranate demonstrates an astounding antioxidant activity, which can be ascribed to several of its compounds, namely tannins (punicalagins, punicalins), anthocyanins, gallic acid, and ellagic acid (Kote et al., 2011). Pomegranate interferes with biofilm formation by inhibiting antibiotic-resistant bacterial

| Name of medicinal herb | Compound Structure |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| Red wine extract (RWE) | Catechin          |
| | [structure image] |
| Grape seed extract (GSE) | Catechin          |
| | [structure image] |
| Red wine | Benzoic acids (e.g., protocatechual acid) |
| | [structure image] |
| | Phenols (e.g., phloroglucinol) |
| | [structure image] |
| | Cinnamic acids (e.g., p-coumaric acid) |
| | [structure image] |
| | Stilbene (e.g., resveratrol) |
| | [structure image] |
| | Procyanidin (e.g., procyanidin B1) |
| | [structure image] |

(Continued)
| Name of medicinal herb | Compound | Structure |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Flavonols (e.g., quercetin) | | ![Flavonols Structure](image1) |
| Anthocyanins (e.g., delphinidin-3-O-glucoside) | | ![Anthocyanins Structure](image2) |
| Red wine extract | Benzoic acids (e.g., gallic acid) | ![Benzoic acids Structure](image3) |
| Phenols (e.g., tyrosol) | | ![Phenols Structure](image4) |
| Cinnamic acids (e.g., coutaric acid) | | ![Cinnamic acids Structure](image5) |
| Stilbene (e.g., resveratrol) | | ![Stilbene Structure](image6) |
| Procyanidin (e.g., procyanidin B2) | | ![Procyanidin Structure](image7) |
| Flavonols (e.g., myricetin) | | ![Flavonols Structure](image8) |
strains and quorum sensing among biofilm microorganisms. Pomegranate was effective at eradicating oral microorganisms e.g., S. salivarius, S. sanguis, Streptococcus mitis, P. gingivalis, A. actinomycetemcomitans, and P. intermedia in previous studies (Menezes et al., 2006; de Oliveira et al., 2013).

**Allium sativum (Garlic)**

Garlic (*Allium sativum*) is well-known for its antiviral and antimicrobial activities (Shetty et al., 2013). These activities are associated with the alliinase-derived allicin, a bioactive compound found in garlic (Ankri and Mirelman, 1999). Interestingly microorganisms were shown to be up to 1000 times more prone to antibiotic resistance than to allicin resistance (Cutler and Wilson, 2004). Apart from being effective against MRSA, garlic has also demonstrated antibacterial action in
the dental field, namely against several planktonic Gram-
positive and Gram-negative bacteria (A. naeslundii, E. faecalis, P. intermedia, P. gingivalis, F. nucleatum), as well as against S. mutans biofilms (Bakri and Douglas, 2005; Lee et al., 2011).

**Curcuma xanthorrhiza** (**Javanese Ginger**)
Javanese ginger (*Curcuma xanthorrhiza*) contains xanthorrhizin, which shows antiinflammatory, anticancer, and antibacterial activity (Kim et al., 2008). The latter is mainly attributed to the lysis of the bacterial cell membrane by xanthorrhizol (Rukayadi and Hwang, 2006). Javanese ginger was shown to be effective not only against planktonic oral microorganisms, but in earlier studies was also shown to be effective against S. mutans biofilms (Rukayadi and Hwang, 2006; Kim et al., 2008).

**Pistacia lentiscus** (**Mastic Gum**)
Mastic gum (*Pistacia lentiscus*) is a resinous exudate with a wide-spectrum of antibacterial and antifungal activity (Sakagami et al., 2009). Mastic gum consists of several volatile compounds, namely α-pinene, β-caryophyllene, β-myrcene, β-pinene, and limonene (Paraschos et al., 2012). Triterpenic acids in particular are considered to be the most active ingredients of mastic gum, with high bactericidal effects, especially against *Helicobacter pylori* (Paraschos et al., 2007). Recently, the effectiveness of mastic gum against anaerobic oral pathogens such as *P. gingivalis*, *P. intermedia*, and *F. nucleatum* was reported (Karygianni et al., 2014a).

**REFERENCES**
Achtman, M., and Wagner, M. (2008). Microbial diversity and the genetic nature of microbial species. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6, 431–440. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1872
Afzalib, O. C., Ogunsoola, F. T., and Coker, A. O. (2008). Susceptibility of cariogenic *Streptococcus mutans* to extracts of Garcinia kola, Hibiscus sabdariffa, and Solanum americanam. West Afr. J. Med. 27, 230–233.
Al-Ahmad, A., Ameen, H., Pelz, K., Karygianni, L., Wittmer, A., Anderson, A. C., et al. (2014). Antibiotic resistance and capacity for biofilm formation of different bacteria isolated from endodontic infections associated with root-filled teeth. J. Endod. 40, 223–230. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2013.07.023
Al-Ahmad, A., Wunder, A., Aushill, T. M., Follo, M., Braun, G., Hellwig, E., et al. (2007). The in vivo dynamics of *Streptococcus spp.*, *Actinomyces naeslundii*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and Veillonella spp. in dental plaque biofilm as analysed by five-colour multiplex fluorescence in situ hybridization. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 56, 681–687. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.47094-0
Alviano, W. S., Alviano, D. S., Diniz, C. G., Antoniolli, A. R., Alviano, C. S., Farias, L. M., et al. (2008). In vitro antioxidant potential of medicinal plant extracts and their activities against oral bacteria based on Brazilian folk medicine. *Arch. Oral Biol.* 53, 545–552. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2007.12.001
Aman, S., Naim, A., Siddiqi, R., and Naz, S. (2014). Antimicrobial polyphenols from small tropical fruits, tea and spice oils. *Food Sci. Technol. Int.* 20, 241–251. doi: 10.1177/1082013213482476
Anila Namboodripad, P., and Kori, S. (2009). Can coffee prevent caries? *J. Conserv. Dent.* 12, 17–21. doi: 10.4103/0972-0707.53336
Ankri, S., and Mirelman, D. (1999). Antimicrobial properties of alllicin from garlic. *Microbes Infect.* 1, 125–129. doi: 10.1016/S1286-4579(99)80003-3
Antonio, A. G., Iorio, N. L., Farah, A., Netto dos Santos, K. R., and Maia, L. C. (2012). Effect of *Coffee canephora* aqueous extract on microbial counts in ex vivo oral biofilms: a case study. *Planta Med.* 78, 755–760. doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1298435
Antonio, A. G., Iorio, N. L., Pierro, V. S., Candreva, M. S., Farah, A., dos Santos, K. R., et al. (2011). Inhibitory properties of *Coffee canephora* extract against oral bacteria and its effect on demineralisation of deciduous teeth. *Arch. Oral Biol.* 56, 556–564. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2010.12.001
Araghibadeh, A., Kohanteb, J., and Fani, M. M. (2013). Inhibitory activity of green tea (*Camellia sinensis*) extract on some clinically isolated cariogenic and periodontopathic bacteria. *Med. Princ. Pract.* 22, 368–372. doi: 10.1159/000348299
Babu, J., Blair, C., Jacob, S., and Itzhak, O. (2012). Inhibition of Streptococcus gordonii metabolic activity in biofilm by cranberry juice high-molecular-weight component. *J. Biomed. Biotechnol.* 2012:590384. doi: 10.1155/2012/590384
Badet, C., and Quero, F. (2011). The in vivo effect of manuka honeys on growth and adherence of oral bacteria. *Anaerobe* 17, 19–22. doi: 10.1016/j.anabio.2010.12.007
Bagchi, D., Bagchi, M., Stohs, S. J., Das, D. K., Ray, S. D., Kuszynski, C. A., et al. (2000). Free radicals and grape seed proanthocyanidin extract: importance in human health and disease prevention. *Toxcollogy* 148, 187–197. doi: 10.1016/S0300-483X(00)00210-9
Bairiy, I., Reea, S., Siddharth, Rao, P. S., Bhat, M., and Shivananda, P. G. (2002). Evaluation of antibacterial activity of *Mangifera indica* on anaerobic dental microflora based on *in vivo* studies. *Indian J. Pathol. Microbiol.* 45, 307–310.
Bakri, I. M., and Douglas, C. W. (2005). Inhibitory effect of garlic extract on oral bacteria. *Arch. Oral Biol.* 50, 645–651. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2004.12.002

**CONCLUSIONS**
In conclusion, the outcomes of this review have disclosed the anti-adhesive and anti-biofilm effectiveness of selected natural plant extracts against multispecies oral biofilms. Overall, extracts from *V. vinifera*, *Pinus spp.*, *C. canephora*, *C. sinensis*, *V. macrocarpon*, *G. chinensis*, *C. fereea Martius*, *P. cattleianum*, representative Brazilian plants and manuka honey have proven effective against the tested in vitro, ex vivo, and in situ formed multispecies oral biofilms. The above-mentioned beneficial properties lead to increased interest in the introduction of natural phytochemicals into the therapeutic repertoire of dentistry. Side-effect free medicinal herbs could in this way supplement or even substitute for conventional antiinfectious agents in the battle against periodontitis and other biofilm-related diseases.
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