Non-Native R1 Substitution in the S4 Domain Uniquely Alters Kv4.3 Channel Gating
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Abstract

The S4 transmembrane domain in Shaker (Kv1) voltage-sensitive potassium channels has four basic residues (R1–R4) that are responsible for carrying the majority of gating charge. In Kv4 channels, however, R1 is replaced by a neutral valine at position 287. Among other differences, Kv4 channels display prominent closed state inactivation, a mechanism which is minimal in Shaker. To determine if the absence of R1 is responsible for important variation in gating characteristics between the two channel types, we introduced the V287R mutant into Kv4.3 and analyzed its effects on several voltage sensitive gating transitions. We found that the mutant increased the voltage sensitivity of steady-state activation and altered the kinetics of activation and deactivation processes. Although the kinetics of macroscopic inactivation were minimally affected, the characteristics of closed-state inactivation and recovery from open and closed inactivated states were significantly altered. The absence of R1 can only partially account for differences in the effective voltage sensitivity of gating between Shaker and Kv4.3. These results suggest that the S4 domain serves an important functional role in Kv4 channel activation and deactivation processes, and also those of closed-state inactivation and recovery.
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Introduction

The S4 transmembrane domain has been shown to play an important role in the voltage sensitivity of Kv1 (Shaker-like) potassium channels [1–3]. The first four arginine residues (R1–R4) in S4 bestow voltage sensitivity and are responsible for carrying the majority of gating charge [1–5]. This mechanism has been assumed to underlie voltage-sensitive gating in Kv4 (Shal-type) channels as well, which generate rapidly activating and inactivating K+ current phenotypes designated “Ia” in neurons and “Ito,fast” in cardiac myocytes [6–8]. However, only recently has experimental evidence been obtained on the roles of positively-charged residues in S4 with respect to regulating Kv4 channel gating transitions [9,10].

These previous studies, which eliminated individual S4 positive charges in Kv4.3 by mutation to uncharged alanine (R→A, [9]) or glutamine (R→Q, [10]), found that activation and deactivation characteristics were altered in a manner consistent with S4 serving a primary functional role of the voltage sensor domain, VSD. However, these mutants (which perturbed both electrostatic and structural properties of the native VSD) significantly altered closed state inactivation (CSI) and recovery from both open and closed inactivated states, effects that cannot be accounted for by the conventional Shaker model [11–13]. Specifically, Kv1 channels lack significant CSI [13,14; however, see 15], while the process is prominent in Kv4 channels [6–10,16].

Although the mechanistic details of Kv4 channel inactivation gating are poorly understood, it is accepted that conventional Shaker N- and P/C-type mechanisms are not operative [6,8,16]. Also, with regard to activation, it has been noted that the steepness of the steady-state activation curve (“a4”) is ~2–3 times less than that of Kv1 channels [8–10,17]. While several factors likely contribute to these unique voltage sensitivities [1–5,12,18–20], an immediately obvious difference between the two channel types exists in the number of putative gating charges in S4: Kv1.4 has four (R1–R4), while Kv4.3 has three (R2–R4 using the previous nomenclature), with R1 replaced by neutral and hydrophobic valine at position 287.

With prior studies providing evidence that S4 arginine residues at positions 290, 293, and 296 confer voltage sensitivity to multiple gating transitions in Kv4.3 [9,10], we hypothesized that the absence of R1 may account, to a degree, for noted differences in gating and regulatory characteristics between Shaker and Kv4 channels [6–8,16]. To test this, we mutated the native residue at position 287 to arginine (V287R), a perturbation that introduced R1-like positive charge as well as expanded associated side chain volume by roughly 36 cm³/mole and increased local hydrophilic character [21,22].

Here we demonstrate that V287R increased the steepness of the steady state activation curve and slowed activation while accelerating...
deactivation kinetics. The mutant also significantly altered the characteristics of CSI and recovery from inactivation. Our results suggest that the absence of RI only partially accounts for noted differences in voltage dependent gating characteristics between Shaker and Kv4.3; additional structural differences between the wild type and V287R mutant channels are likely involved. We also show that the mutant significantly accelerates recovery kinetics from both open-inactivated and closed-inactivated states, findings that further suggest that recovery is coupled to deactivation [8–10,16,23]. Non-inactivated closed states are stabilized in the mutant channel, consistent with S4 importantly regulating not only activation and deactivation processes, but also those of CSI and recovery. Our results support the proposal that CSI possesses inherent voltage dependence or is coupled to activation in a manner significantly different from that existing in Kv1 channels.

Methods

Mutagenesis

Kv4.3 was cloned from ferret heart (long form, GenBank AF454388; as described previously [10] and maintained in the pBluescript KS(+) vector. Site directed mutagenesis was performed using the Quick Change II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Strategene, La Jolla, CA, USA) and primers designed to valine using the Quick Change II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Strategene, La Jolla, CA, USA) and primers designed to valine

In vitro Transcription and Oocyte Preparation

Kv4.3 wild type and mutant clone plasmids were linearized with the restriction endonuclease XhoI (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). cRNA was synthesized by the mMessage mMachne T7 Ultra Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA). cRNA quantity and quality was evaluated by spectroscopy and agarose gel electrophoresis.

All animal protocols were conducted according to the NIH-approved guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, University at Buffalo, SUNY. Oocytes were obtained from mature female *Xenopus laevis* euthanized by soaking in 6.0 g L$^{-1}$ ethyl-3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate salt and defolliculated as previously described [10]. Twelve to 24 hours after isolation, oocytes were injected with 4–9 ng cRNA (Nanoject Nanoinject II; Drummond Scientific, Broomall, PA, USA). Injected oocytes were incubated for 2–4 days at 18°C.

Electrophysiology

Two-microelectrode voltage clamp (TEVC) recordings (Gene-Clamp 500B, Axon Instruments, Union City, CA, USA) were performed on injected oocytes as described previously [10]. Previous WT Kv4.3 data [9,10,16,25] used for comparison were acquired previously [9,10,16,25], unless otherwise indicated. For reference, all measurements associated with WT Kv4.3 were acquired previously [9,10,16,25], unless otherwise indicated. In associated figures, fits to this previous data are illustrated as smooth curves lacking data points.

Activation and Deactivation Characteristics

Mean peak transient I–V relationships for WT and V287R are illustrated in Figure 1.A. We have previously observed an apparent activation threshold of $\sim -40$ mV for WT channels. In contrast, V287R resulted in a depolarizing shift, with an apparent activation threshold of $\sim -15$ mV.

To quantify this effect, we employed a saturating tail current protocol to directly estimate the steady-state activation relationship $“a^{IV}”$, fit as a standard Boltzmann relationship ($1 + e^{-z}$) raised to the fourth power. Consistent with the depolarized I–V relationship, V287R produced a depolarizing shift in the mean half activation potential of $\Delta V_{1/2} = +37$ mV (Figure 1.B). There was also an increase in the steepness of the $“a^{IV}”$ curve (WT: $k = 14.50$ mV, V287R: $k = 11.62$ mV). These parameters gave the following estimates for a single $\alpha$ subunit: i) WT: $q_{act} = 1.76$ e0; V287R: $q_{act} = 2.19$ e0, an increase of 25%; and ii) a change in the voltage-independent free energy of activation of $\Delta G^0_{act} = 2.69$ RT. V287R thus increased apparent effective $q_{act}$ while stabilizing non-inactivated closed states.

Consistent with the depolarizing shift in $“a^{IV}”$, V287R slowed the kinetics of activation over the range of potentials hyperpolarized to 40 mV (Figure 1.C). Incorporation of RI also reduced the voltage-dependence of the $t_{act} – V_m$ curve (as determined from an

Potential Limitations

Addition of a single charged residue to S4 and associated increases in $“a^{IV}”$ and $“i^{IV}”$ slope factors, while suggestive, do not prove that the residue contributes to voltage sensitivity. Such a conclusion requires verification by appropriate gating current measurements and demonstration of alterations in single subunit gating charge [4,5,18]. We acknowledge the limitations of the two-state model, as previously discussed in detail [10, and references cited therein]. Further, there are several differences in uncharged amino acid residues between the S4 segments of Shaker and Kv4.3 (see Introduction), any of which may potentially contribute to the unique voltage sensitivities between the two channel types [24].
exponential fit to mean data points). A kinetic estimate of $q_{act} = 0.82 \pm 0.01$ was obtained, a reduction of ~50% from the WT value of 1.56 ± 0.05.

Deactivation kinetics (single exponential fits) were determined over a range of hyperpolarized potentials where mean activation curves indicated minimal measurable open state activity (WT: $V_{1/2} = -120$ mV, $k = 6.20$ mV, $V_{287R}: k = 7.26$ mV), resulting in a 15% reduction in apparent effective $q_{act}$ (WT: $q_{act} = 4.10 \pm 0.01$, $V_{287R}: q_{act} = 3.50 \pm 0.01$). Nonetheless, similar to WT, there was no significant overlap in the $V_{287R}$ $t_{act}$ and $t_{deact}$ relationships (Figure 2,B), indicating that a prominent closed state inactivation (CSI) mechanism was still present in the mutant channel.

The depolarizing shift in the half inactivation potential ($\Delta V_{1/2} = 8.60$ mV) indicated that $V_{287R}$ stabilized non-inactivated closed states. Within our analytical framework (see Methods), this effect was attributed to perturbation of structural properties at the R1 site, resulting in a change in the voltage-independent free energy of CSI of $\Delta G_{CSI} = 2.60$ RT. It was noted that the depolarizing shift produced by $V_{287R}$ as compared to WT was less for $i''$ than for $a''$ (Figure 2,B).

Inactivation Characteristics: Kinetics of Development

The effects of $V_{287R}$ on the mean one second isochronal inactivation relationship “i” are illustrated in Figure 2.A (fit as a single Boltzmann relationship). In contrast to the effects on “a”, $V_{287R}$ decreased the voltage sensitivity of “i” (WT: $k = 6.20$ mV, $V_{287R}: k = 7.26$ mV), resulting in a 15% reduction in apparent effective $q_{act}$ (WT: $q_{act} = 4.10 \pm 0.01$, $V_{287R}: q_{act} = 3.50 \pm 0.01$). Nonetheless, similar to WT, there was no significant overlap in the $V_{287R}$ “a” and “i” relationships (Figure 2,B), indicating that a prominent closed state inactivation (CSI) mechanism was still present in the mutant channel.

The depolarizing shift in the half inactivation potential ($\Delta V_{1/2} = 8.60$ mV) indicated that $V_{287R}$ stabilized non-inactivated closed states. Within our analytical framework (see Methods), this effect was attributed to perturbation of structural properties at the R1 site, resulting in a change in the voltage-independent free energy of CSI of $\Delta G_{CSI} = 2.60$ RT. It was noted that the depolarizing shift produced by $V_{287R}$ as compared to WT was less for “i” than for “a” (Figure 2.B).

Consistent with previous studies on WT channels [9,10,16,25], the macroscopic inactivation kinetics of $V_{287R}$ (Figure 2.C) during a one second depolarizing pulse (applied from 0 to +50 mV) could be well described as a double exponential process (a single exponential time constant could only be obtained reliably hyperpolarized to ~10 mV). The mean effects of $V_{287R}$ were subtle, with a slowing of $t_{fast}$ evident in the hyperpolarized range of potentials, an acceleration of $t_{fast}$ at more depolarized potentials, and a modest slowing of $t_{slow}$ across all potentials analyzed (Figure 2.C). The mean relative amplitude of the initial component of fast inactivation ($A_{fast}$) was 0.85 ± 0.01, a value similar to WT.
(A_{fast} = 0.80\pm0.02). Apparent voltage sensitivity of the $\tau_{fast} - V_m$ curve was decreased in the mutant channel (WT: $q_{inact,fast} = 2.36 \text{ e}_0$, V287R: $q_{inact,fast} = 2.08 \text{ e}_0$), while for the $\tau_{slow} - V_m$ curve it was increased (WT: $q_{inact,slow} = 0.64 \text{ e}_0$, V287R: $q_{inact,slow} = 0.75 \text{ e}_0$). Despite differences in these values, V287R produced no obvious alteration in macroscopic inactivation kinetics at potentials where the channel was nearly or fully activated.

In contrast to the minimal effects on the kinetics of macroscopic inactivation (Figure 2.C), it was predicted that V287R would alter the kinetics of development of CSI over a range of hyperpolarized potentials where the "i" curves of both WT and V287R were variable (Figure 2.A). To test this prediction, comparative $\tau_{csi} - V_m$ relationships (25 to -20 mV) were determined (Figure 2.D).

The kinetics of the development of CSI displayed an exponential dependence upon potential. Depolarized to -40 mV, V287R slowed the development of CSI and reduced its apparent voltage dependence (WT: $q_{csi} = 3.01 \text{ e}_0$, V287R: $q_{csi} = 2.49 \text{ e}_0$).

**Inactivation Characteristics: Kinetics of Recovery**

V287R significantly altered the kinetics of recovery from inactivation. Using a double pulse protocol (see [25]) mean recovery kinetics at HP = -100 mV (single exponential fits) were significantly faster for V287R than for WT (WT: $\tau_{rec} = 185 \text{ ms}$, V287R: $\tau_{rec} = 30.10 \text{ ms}$, Figure 3.A). This acceleration could not be attributed to a simple shift in the isochronal inactivation curve, as $\alpha = 1.0$ at HP = -100 mV for both channel constructs.

At the holding potentials analyzed (-100, -85, -70 mV) the kinetics of recovery from macroscopic inactivation were always faster for V287R than for WT (Figure 3.B). The voltage dependence of the mean mutant macroscopic recovery time constant, $\tau_{rec}$, gave an estimated effective charge of $q_{rec} = 1.16 \text{ e}_0$ while previous results yielded a mean WT value of $q_{rec} = 2.28 \text{ e}_0$ [9,10].

We have observed that recovery from closed-state inactivation (HP = -100 mV, developed during a two second P1 pulse to +50 mV) for the WT channel is a sigmoidal process that can be empirically fit as an "$a^n$" exponential formulation [9]. To expand upon these observations, we applied the same protocol at HP = -85 and -70 mV to determine the voltage dependence of the time constants. Recovery from CSI for the WT channel was again sigmoidal at each HP and empirically fit as an "$a^n$" formulation (Figure 3.C, upper panel). In contrast, recovery from CSI for V287R could be well fit as a conventional exponential process (Figure 3.C, lower panel). For both channels, as HP was depolarized, CSI recovery kinetics were slowed (Figure 3.D). Nonetheless, V287R displayed significantly faster kinetics than WT at each HP, and increased the apparent voltage dependence of the $\tau_{rec,csi}$ curve (WT: $q_{rec} = 0.27 \text{ e}_0$, V287R: $q_{rec} = 1.29 \text{ e}_0$).

**Discussion**

In this study we found that incorporation of a single arginine residue at position 287 in Kv4.3 increased the steepness of the
steady-state activation relationship and its associated effective $q_{\text{act}}$ value. These findings complement previous work indicating that elimination of S4 native charge at positions 290 and 293 reduced the voltage sensitivity of "a" [9,10]. However, despite an increase in the steepness of the steady-state activation curve as compared to WT, the mean slope factor for V287R was still greater (less voltage sensitive) than that reported for Kv1 channels. Therefore, the absence of $R_1$ charge alone can only partially account for noted differences in activation characteristics between Kv1 and Kv4.3 channels. Effects resulting from perturbation of structural characteristics must also be considered. For example, in addition to conferring supplementary positive charge to S4, the V287R mutation expanded associated side chain volume by 36 cm$^3$/mole and introduced local hydrophilic character. Overall effects on activation characteristics were thus likely due to alteration of both electrostatic and structural properties [10,20], a finding in agreement with prior studies on Kv1 channels [26].

The effects of V287R on closed state inactivation (CSI) characteristics were not predictable from the Shaker model, as minimal CSI is displayed by Kv1 channels [13,14], and apparent voltage dependence of inactivation arises from coupling to activation [19]. While depolarizing shifts in both "a" and "i" produced by V287R are consistent with partial coupling of inactivation to activation, we have demonstrated previously that charge neutralization of specific arginine residues in S4 can produce non-parallel, and even opposite, shifts in "a" and "i" [9,10]. This suggests that CSI can be uncoupled from activation. In Shaker channels, Papazian et al. [26] originally demonstrated that the S4 mutants that altered the voltage-dependence of activation also altered inactivation to similar extents, and the relationship between the $V_{1/2}$ values of activation and inactivation was linear with a slope close to 1.0. For comparison, a plot of all presently available Kv4.3 S4 mutant data is illustrated in Figure 4. A linear relationship centered on WT and with a slope of 1.0 could not adequately describe our results, with all $V_{1/2}$ shifts less than those predicted by Shaker. In addition, V287R increased the voltage sensitivity of "a" while reducing it in "i".

Previously, we have analyzed the effects of S4 R→A mutant channels and observed that R290A, R293A, and R296A produced variable and non-parallel effects on "a" and "i", and each significantly slowed macroscopic recovery and deactivation processes [9]. In comparison, we report here that V287R depolarized "a" and "i" (again in a non-parallel fashion), and significantly accelerated macroscopic recovery and deactivation
kinetics. Taken together, these studies suggest that S4 positively charged residues are importantly involved in regulating several unique gating transitions in Kv4.3, in particular CSI and recovery.

R302A (corresponding to Shaker R5 and localized to the intracellular half of the S4 domain) was also analyzed in that study, and found to produce effects similar to V287R. Specifically, both mutants depolarized the steady-state activation relationship. Although R302A “\(v\)” could not be measured directly (explanation provided in [9]), its mean peak I–V relationship was similar to V287R, with an activation threshold near –15 mV. The kinetics of deactivation and macroscopic recovery were also accelerated in both mutants. However, although V287R and R302A both depolarized the steady state inactivation relationship and reduced voltage sensitivity of inactivation (V287R: \(k = 7.26\) mV, \(q_{csi} = 3.50\) e\(_0\); R302A: \(k = 7.50\) mV, \(q_{csi} = 3.40\) e\(_0\)), the depolarizing shift in “\(v\)” was much greater for R302A (21.4 mV) than for V287R (8.6 mV). This suggests that addition of a putative gating charge at position 287 and its elimination at position 302, while yielding superficially similar effects, do so by distinctly different mechanisms. Nonetheless, it is interesting to note that opposing mutations at opposite ends of the S4 domain can result in a similar gating phenotype.

In contrast to Kv1 N-type inactivation [13,14,19], we propose that Kv4.3 CSI possesses inherent voltage dependence. Partial N-terminal deletion does not alter CSI characteristics in Kv4.2 (Δ2-40, [27]) or Kv4.3 (Δ2-39, unpublished observations). Therefore, if apparent voltage sensitivity of Kv4.3 CSI does arise from partial activation of non-conducting closed states (early gating transitions that precede the final closed-open state), a Kv1-like N-terminal inactivation domain cannot be a primary inactivation mechanism.

The closed state structure of any voltage-sensitive potassium channel has yet to be solved. As a result, all existing closed state models are speculative and based on Kv channels that display minimal CSI [28–30]. This is important to note considering that Kv1 channel gating current measurements propose that the register of S4 may be significantly different between open and open inactivated states [31]. Our data indicate that a similar scenario likely exists in Kv4.3 non-inactivated closed versus inactivated closed states. Campos et al. [20] have proposed that in the closed state, Shaker R1 is positioned in the outer half of the membrane, oriented toward S1–S3 and in close proximity to I241 in S1 and I287 in S2. These residues, which in Kv4.3 correspond to I198 in S1 and I236 in S2, may form a hydrophobic septum separating the extracellular and intracellular crevices of the gating pore [1,32,33]. Alternatively, in a study of chimeraic Kv1.2–Kv2.1 channels, Long et al. [29] have proposed that phenylalanine 233 in S2, positioned three residues “down” from corresponding Shaker I287, forms the septum. Kv4.3 has a comparable phenylalanine residue at position 237 in S2.

During voltage dependent gating transitions, the hydrophobic septum is believed to focus the transmembrane electric field to a narrow region of S4 [34–36]. In the closed-state of Kv1, the field is believed to reside across R1 [3,5]. Applying the model of Campos et al. [20] to Kv4.3 suggests that in the non-inactivated closed state the electric field would be focused over a region of S4 lacking positive charge. Our results indicate that insertion of non-native R1 increases the voltage sensitivity of steady-state activation. We therefore propose that the outer crevice in WT Kv4.3 channels extends further into the transmembrane domain than it does in Shaker [10], thus allowing the field to be focused across R290 (R2 in Kv1) in the closed state. This proposal is consistent with the model of Long et al. [29]. Alternatively, the hydrophobic septum may be thicker in Kv4.3 than in Kv1. A thicker septum would unfocus the transmembrane field while still allowing it to influence R290, resulting in reduced voltage sensitivity. In both models [28,29], insertion of R1 may alter the field sensed by other positively-charged residues in S4. Gating current studies in Kv1 channels have demonstrated that specific S4 mutants can produce non-additive effects, demonstrating that such mutants can alter the voltage field sensed by other gating charges [37,38].

The majority of the Shaker S4 mutants analyzed by Papazian et al. [26] failed to alter the kinetics of recovery. In contrast, all Kv4.3 R→A/Q mutants significantly altered recovery kinetics. Those that were found to stabilize closed inactivated states slowed the process, while those that stabilized non-inactivated closed states accelerated it [9,10]. By accelerating recovery (by nearly an order of magnitude), we propose that V287R stabilizes non-inactivated closed states. These findings are comparable to those resulting from coexpression of Kv4.3 with KChIP2 isoforms [16,25,39]. Although V287R and KChIPs likely do not accelerate the process by the same mechanism, they do share a common element in that both also accelerate the kinetics of deactivation. These parallel effects further support the proposal that recovery and deactivation processes are coupled [8–10,16,25]. Although there is present controversy with respect to Kv4 channel gating models [7,8,17,23,40], Wang et al. [23] have suggested that the failure of all such models to predict the voltage dependence of recovery arises from their inability to account for the energetic coupling between deactivation and recovery. Our results support this proposal.

In conclusion, the results presented here indicate that the difference in the voltage dependence of activation between Kv1 and Kv4 channels cannot be fully accounted for by the absence of R1 in Kv4.3. Likely, additional structural characteristics unique to the S4 transmembrane domain of each channel are involved. Additionally, demonstration that V287R significantly alters both macroscopic recovery kinetics and closed-state inactivation characteristics provides further evidence that the S4 domain not only mediates voltage sensitivity of Kv4.3 activation and deactivation processes, but also those of closed state inactivation and recovery.
**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: MRS DLC. Performed the experiments: MRS DLC. Analyzed the data: MRS DLC. Wrote the paper: MRS DLC.

**References**

1. Tombola F, Pathak MM, Isacoff EY (2006) How does voltage open an ion channel? Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 22: 23–52.
2. Chanda B, Bezanilla F (2008) A common pathway for charge transport through voltage-sensing domains. Neuron 57: 345–351.
3. Bezanilla F (2008) How membrane proteins sense voltage. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9: 323–332.
4. Bezanilla F (2000) The voltage-sensor in voltage-dependent ion channels. Physiol Rev 80: 555–592.
5. Bezanilla F (2005) Voltage-gated ion channels. IEEE Trans Nanobiosci 1: 34–48.
6. Birnbaum SG, Varga AW, Yuan L-Y, Andersen AE, Sweat JD, et al. (2004) Structure and function of Kv4-family transient potassium channels. Physiol Rev 84: 803–833.
7. Jerg HH, Pfaffinger PJ, Covarrubias M (2004) Molecular physiology and modulation of somatodendritic A-type potassium channels. Mol Cell Neurosci 27: 343–369.
8. Patel SP, Campbell DL (2005) Transient outward potassium current, “Ito”, phenotypes in the mammalian left ventricle: underlying molecular, cellular and biophysical mechanisms. J Physiol 569: 7–39.
9. Skerritt MR, Campbell DL (2007). Role of S4 positively charged residues in the regulation of Kv4.3 inactivation and recovery. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 295: 906–914.
10. Skerritt MR, Campbell DL (2008) Contribution of Eletrostatic and Structural Properties of Kv4.3 S4 Arginine Residues to the Regulation of Channel Gating. Biochim Biophys Acta (In Press).
11. Rasmusson RL, Morales MJ, Wang S, Liu S, Campbell DL, et al. (1998) Inactivation of voltage-gated cardiac K+ channels. Circ Res 84: 803–833.
12. Yellen G (1998) The moving parts of voltage-gated ion channels. Quart Rev Biophys 31: 239–295.
13. Aldrich RW (2001) Fifty years of inactivation. Nature 411: 643–644.
14. Zhou M, Morais-Cabral JH, Mann S, MacKinnon R (2001) Potassium channel receptor site for the inactivation gate and quaternary amine inhibitors. Nature 411: 657–661.
15. Clayton TW, Kehl SJ, Fedida D (2000) Closed-state inactivation induced in Kv channel by extracellular acidification. Channels 2: 139–142.
16. Anand CC, Brust RD, Skerritt MR, Campbell DL (2007) Regulation of Kv4.3 channel state inactivation and recovery by extracellular potassium and intracellular KChIP2b. Channels 1: 305–314.
17. Wang S, Bondarenko V, Qu Y, Morales MJ, Rasmusson RL, et al. (2004) Activation properties of Kv4.3 channels: time, voltage and [K+], dependence. J Physiol 557: 705–712.
18. Sigworth FJ (1994) Voltage gating of ion channels. Quart Rev Biophys 27: 1–40.
19. Hille B (2006) Gating: Voltage sensing and inactivation. In: Ion Channels of Excitable Membranes, 3rd Edition. Sunderland, MA; pp 603-634.
20. Jackson MB (2006) Global transitions in proteins. In: Molecular and Cellular Biophysics. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. pp 1–24.
21. Zam尼亚n AA (1984) Amino acid, peptide, and protein volume in solution. Ann Rev Biophys Bioeng 15: 143–165.