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1. Introduction

Despite their relatively low frequency (0.2–0.8%) in the general PBMC population, plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) represent an important component of the innate immune system (Liu, 2005; Fitzgerald-Bocarsly et al., 2008). Within a few hours after encountering a pathogen, individual cells can release type 1 IFN in the range of 3–10 pg—a quantity that is 100–1000-fold more than the amount produced by any other type of blood cell (Siegal et al., 1999). This prodigious response is partly due to their paucity in blood, we utilized novel fluorescent-activated cell sorting procedures to isolate them from PBMC. The resultant subset was greater than 98% homogeneous in regards to the selected phenotype and contained the preponderance of individuals secreting IFN-α after exposure to a known stimulant, transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV). In addition to being a potent source of IFN-α, other properties of these porcine CD4^hiCD172^lo cells including their morphological transition from a plasma cell-like shape during quiescence to one resembling a dendritic cell (DC) after activation by TGEV and their relatively strong constitutive expression of interferon regulatory factor-7 (IRF-7) conformed to the expectations of genuine pDCs. While a substantial IFN-α response was also elicited from the porcine pDCs by pseudorabies virus (PrV), swine influenza virus (SIV), and TLR7 and 9 agonists, there was an agent-dependent induction of varying amounts of IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, IFN-γ, and TNF-α. Notably, porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) failed to provoke the pDCs to secrete any of the measured cytokines except IL-2. Moreover, whereas pDCs exposed to TGEV or the TLR9 agonist rapidly increased IRF-7 production and morphed into DCs with enhanced CD80/86 expression, similar alterations were not observed during incubation with PRRSV. This atypical response of pDCs to PRRSV may contribute to its pathogenesis, which unlike that associated with PrV, SIV or TGEV includes persistent infection and limited development of protective immunity.
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regulatory factor (IRF)-7. The modified IRF-7 then translocates to the nucleus where it is involved in autocrine regulation of gene expression and transcription of the IFN genes (Kawai et al., 2004; Honda et al., 2005). Although other cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-6 are also produced by stimulated pDCs (Liu, 2005), their rapid secretion of copious amounts of type I IFNs such as IFN-α (Cella et al., 1999; Siegal et al., 1999) helps establish an antiviral state (Cervantes-Barragan et al., 2007). Moreover, activated pDCs influence the development of adaptive immunity by inducing the differentiation of B cells (Jego et al., 2003; Poock et al., 2004) and effector T cells and also by promoting the generation of regulatory T cells (Cella et al., 2000; McKenna et al., 2005; Ito et al., 2007).

Phenotypically, human pDCs have been characterized as being CD4+ but lacking lineage markers common to B (CD19, B cell receptor), myeloid (CD11b, CD11c, CD13, CD14, CD33), NK (CD16, CD56), and T (CD3, γδ T cell receptor) cells (Perussia et al., 1985; Cella et al., 1999; Liu, 2005). Quiescent pDCs constitutively express IRF-7 and the immediate availability of this factor is essential for their ability to promptly react to the presence of viruses (Coccia et al., 2004; Dai et al., 2004). Upon activation, pDCs undergo a morphological transition from their hallmark plasmacytoid shape into a form associated with mature dendritic cells (DCs) (Grouard et al., 1997; Soumelis and Liu, 2006). Moreover, their expression of MHC class II proteins as well as the co-stimulatory molecules, CD80 and CD86, is increased (Perussia et al., 1985; Svensson et al., 1996; Cella et al., 2000; Bauer et al., 2001).

Originally, the term pDC was reserved for plasmacytoid T cells/monocytes that represented a unique subset of DC precursors and exhibited a plasmacytoid morphology. At that time, this designated population had not yet been compared to blood cells that produced large amounts of IFN-α after exposure to a virus and were called interferon-producing cells or natural interferon-producing cells (NIPCs) (Liu, 2005; Fitzgerald-Bocarsly et al., 2008). Later, when it was demonstrated that human pDCs and NIPCs were identical (Cella et al., 1999; Siegal et al., 1999), pDCs became the preferred designation. However, in the case of swine, the equivalence of these two populations has not been formally established.

Porcine NIPCs were initially described as non-adherent, non-T, non-B cells that expressed CD4 and MHC class II surface markers and secreted IFN-α after incubation with the porcine coronavirus, transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) (Charley and Lavenant, 1990). Their low frequency in the blood (maximum of 40–110 cells per 105 PBMC) was shown to vary and be influenced by the pig’s age, genetics, and physiological status (Nowacki et al., 1993). Moreover, they were found to migrate into the lymphoid tissue of virus-infected animals (Riffault et al., 1997, 2001). TGEV-responsive NIPCs were later defined as being in the CD1+ CD4+CD14−CD172a− subset of PBMC based on magnetic separation or flow cytometric analyses of mAb-treated blood mononuclear cells (Summerfield et al., 2003). A similar phenotype was also noted for those PBMC activated by certain oligodeoxynucleotides (ODN) containing unmethylated CpG motifs to produce IFN-α (Domeika et al., 2004; Guzylack-Piriou et al., 2004). In addition to TGEV and some ODNs, porcine NIPCs can be stimulated by classic swine fever virus (Balmelli et al., 2005), foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) complexed with FMDV-specific Igs (Guzylack-Piriou et al., 2006), pseudorabies virus (PrV) (Domeika et al., 2004), and swine influenza virus (SIV) (Summerfield and McCullough, 2009).

Porcine NIPCs were originally enriched from PBMC by first removing plastic-adherent cells (mainly monocytes) and then collecting the low-density fraction of the remaining population after centrifugation in a metrizamide gradient (Nowacki and Charley, 1993). This protocol was later improved by using anti-CD3, anti-CD8 and anti-CD45RA mAbs for depletion of B, NK and T cells prior to separation of CD14+ cells from the CD14−NIPCs with a MACS system (Summerfield et al., 2003) or by just utilizing mAbs recognizing CD172a and either CD4 or CD14 in conjunction with magnetic sorting (Guzylack-Piriou et al., 2004). However, greater consistency, ease of preparation, and a decreased potential for procedural-generated artifacts should be obtainable by fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS) purification of NIPCs. In this regard, as described here, we have successfully used this approach, first with a traditional device in combination with prior depletion of B and T cells, and later by just two rounds of sorting with a newer, more sophisticated instrument. In either case, the selected CD4−CD172a− subset could be purified to approximately 98% homogeneity with retention of the ability to express IFN-α after exposure to TGEV. They were defined as being pDCs based on their plasmacytoid morphology, greatest constitutive expression of IRF-7 among phenotypically distinct PBMC subsets, and unique responsiveness to virus stimulation characterized by profuse secretion of IFN-α and physical conversion into a DC appearance with an accompanying enhanced synthesis of CD80/86. Moreover, depending on the type of virus or TLR agonist used, a combination of IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, IFN-γ, and TNF-α were released by the cells. In contrast, the purified pDCs appeared to be nearly unresponsive to the arterivirus, porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV), in that virus-exposed cells did not mature into DCs, increase IRF-7 expression or synthesize IFN-α but did secrete IL-2.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Preparation of porcine PBMC

Fresh heparinized venous blood was obtained from cross-bred Yorkshire × Landrace pigs housed at the University of Illinois Veterinary Medicine Research Farm. Samples were diluted with HBSS (Mediatec, Herndon, VA, USA) and subjected to density centrifugation through Ficoll-Hypaque 1077 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) gradients. The separated PBMC were washed twice with HBSS and then suspended in RPMI complete medium: RPMI 1640 medium with l-glutamine (Mediatech) supplemented with 5% FBS (GIBCO®, Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin, 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1 × nonessential amino acids (Mediatech) 100 U/ml gentamicin and 250 mM 2-ME (Sigma).
2.2. Isolation of porcine CD4hiCD172lo cells

Purification of the CD4hiCD172lo subset of the porcine PBMC population was accomplished by either of two methods. In the first procedure, PBMC were incubated with anti-porcine CD2 (MSA4), CD3 (3Bb-23E6) and CD21 (BBb11C9) mAbs (Haverson et al., 2001) in PBS supplemented with 1.0% BSA (PBS–BSA) for 30 min at 4 °C, washed twice with PBS–BSA, and then incubated with PBS–BSA containing goat anti-mouse IgG microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA, USA) for 30 min at 4 °C. After one wash with PBS–BSA, the PBMC were passed through a MACS column (Miltenyi Biotec). Unbound, lineage-negative (CD2–CD3–CD21–) cells were incubated at 4 °C in PBS–BSA containing anti-swine CD4 mAb 74–12–4 (Haverson et al., 2001) conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 and biotinylated, anti-swine CD172 (Southern Biotech), CyChrome (eBiosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) or Alexa Fluor 647 avidin (SA) conjugated to PE (Southern Biotech), CyChrome (eBiosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) or Alexa Fluor 647 (Molecular Probes Inc., Invitrogen) for 30 min at 4 °C, and again washed twice in PBS–BSA buffer. Then, the CD4hiCD172lo subset was electrostatically separated from the remaining PBMC (primarily CD4–CD172hi cells) by using a MoFlo Sorter (Dako Cytomation, Ft. Collins, CO, USA).

Alternatively, porcine CD4hiCD172lo cells were isolated directly from non-fractionated PBMC populations after this heterogeneous group was stained only for the presence of CD4 and CD172 markers as described above. In this case, a novel, high-speed cell sorting device, Reflection™ (iCyt, Valencia, CA) (Kamstrup et al., 2001) was conducted at 4 °C in PBS–BSA containing anti-swine CD4 mAb 74–12–4 (Haverson et al., 2001) conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 and biotinylated, anti-swine CD172 (Southern Biotech), CyChrome (eBiosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) or Alexa Fluor 647 (Molecular Probes Inc., Invitrogen) for 30 min at 4 °C, and again washed twice in PBS–BSA buffer. Then, the CD4hiCD172lo subset was electrostatically separated from the remaining PBMC (primarily CD4–CD172hi cells) by using a MoFlo Sorter (Dako Cytomation, Ft. Collins, CO, USA).

2.3. Viruses and TLR agonists

Wild-type PRRSV strain 46448, obtained from NVSC, was expanded and titrated in MARC 145 cells (Meier et al., 2003) while the Becker strain of PrV was propagated in PK-15 cells. A H1N1 strain of SIV was provided by the Virology Section of the University of Illinois Veterinary Medicine Diagnostic Laboratory. TGEV (strain Purdue 115) was grown in swine testes cells. All viruses except PRRSV were used at doses that provoked maximum production of IFN-α by PBMC (PrV, TGEV, multiplicity of infection = 0.05; SIV, 50 hemagglutinating units). Due to the inability of PRRSV to elicit this type of response, exposure of PBMC or pDCs to PRRSV was performed at a multiplicity of infection of 0.1.

When required, incubation of the cells with either TLR7 agonist, imiquimod (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA) or a TLR9 agonist, a CpG-containing ODN type A (ODN D19; Qiagen, Valencia, CA) (Kamstrup et al., 2001), was conducted at final concentrations of 1 and 2 μg/ml, respectively.

2.4. Quantitation of IFN-α secretion by intact and fractionated porcine PBMC populations

Representatives of non-fractionated, porcine PBMC or its lineage positive (CD2+, CD3+, CD21+), CD4hiCD172lo, or monocyte (CD4–CD172hi) subsets derived during the depletion/sorting procedure were cultured at 2 × 10^5 cells/ml in RPMI complete medium in the presence/absence of TGEV for 16 h at 37 °C. The supernatant media were then separately assayed for the presence of IFN-α by using an ELISA. Briefly, individual wells of a Nunc Immulon II 96-well plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Rockford, IL, USA) that had been coated for 16 h at 4 °C with 50 μl of 5 μg/ml anti-pig IFN-α mAb F17 (PBL InterferonSource, Piscataway, NJ, USA) in 0.1 M carbonate buffer (pH 9.6) were washed 3 times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS–T), and incubated with 200 μl milk blocking solution (BioFix, Owings Mills, MD, USA) for 1 h at 25 °C. After three washes with PBS–T, 50 μl culture supernatants and rIFN-α standards (PBL InterferonSource) diluted in RPMI complete medium were added to duplicate wells and placed for 1.5 h at 25 °C. After washing 5 times with PBS–T, each well was incubated with 50 μl of PBS–T containing 0.3 μg/ml biotin-labeled, anti-pig IFN-α mAb K9 (PBL InterferonSource) and 0.5% milk blocking solution at 25 °C for 1.5 h. After 5 washes with PBS–T, each well was incubated with 50 μl PBS–T containing 20 ng/ml SA conjugated to HRP (Biosource Inc., Invitrogen) for 20 min at 25 °C and then again washed 5 times with PBS–T. Color development was initiated at 25 °C with the addition of 100 μl TMB substrate (KPL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) per well and terminated with 100 μl 1 M phosphoric acid. Optical densities were determined at 450 nm with a SPECTRAMAX Plus plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Results were averaged and the amounts of IFN-α were determined by comparison to a standard curve generated from the values obtained with the known quantities of IFN-α.

2.5. Detection of IFN-α secreting cells in intact and fractionated porcine PBMC populations

Individual, IFN-α secreting cells (SC) present in a non-fractionated, porcine PBMC population or its lineage positive (CD2+, CD3+, CD21+), CD4hiCD172lo, or monocyte (CD4–CD172hi) subsets were detected by means of an ELISpot assay. For this purpose, 2 × 10^5 cells previously incubated in RPMI complete medium in the presence/absence of TGEV for 8 h at 37 °C were added to wells of an Immulon II 96-well plate that had already been coated with anti-pig IFN-α mAb F17 as described above for the IFN-α ELISA procedure and washed afterwards 3 times with sterile PBS. After 8 h at 37 °C, the cells were removed from the wells during three washes with PBS–T and then each well was sequentially incubated with PBS–T containing biotin-labeled, anti-pig IFN-α mAb K9 and PBS–T containing SA conjugated to HRP as described above for the IFN-α ELISA protocol. Finally, after being washed 5 times with PBS–T, each well was incubated with 100 μl TMB membrane substrate (KPL) for 30 min at 37 °C. The resultant blue spots on the well bottoms were enumerated and the values for duplicate samples averaged to provide frequencies of IFN-α SC per group.

2.6. Phenotypic analysis of porcine CD4hiCD172lo cells by three-color immunofluorescence flow cytometry

Staining of porcine PBMC consisted of various incubations in Flow PBS (PBS, 1.0% BSA, 0.01% sodium azide)
containing the indicated mAbs or other components for 30 min at 4 °C with each step being terminated by one wash with non-supplemented Flow PBS. Initially, the PBMC were separately exposed to one of the following mAbs that recognize swine CD1a (76-7-4), CD2 (MSA4), CD3 (3Bb-238E6), CD8α (76-2-11), CD11a (BL1H8), CD11b (TMG 6-5), CD11c (SHC-L3), CD14 (BiG 10/14), CD16 (G7), CD18 (MUC76a), CD21 (BBb11C9), CD29 (UCP1D2), CD44 (BAG40A), CD45RA (Mil 13), CD45RC (3a56), CD56 (MEM-188), CD163 (2A10/11), or MHC II DR (2E9/13) (Alvarez et al., 2000; Haverson et al., 2001) or just left untreated. Afterwards, the cells were sequentially incubated in Flow PBS containing: isotype-specific, goat anti-mouse IgG, mouse IgG, mouse IgG, or non-specific polyclonal IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) in PB buffer for 20 min at 25 °C. The remaining cells were incubated for 30 min at 4 °C in Flow PBS containing anti-CD80/86, biotinylated hCTLA-4muIg (Ancell, Bayport, MN), washed twice in Flow PBS, and permeabilized in PB buffer (PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 2% FBS) for 15 min at 25 °C. The cells were then incubated with PB containing rabbit anti-human IRF-7 polyclonal IgG or non-specific polyclonal IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) in PB buffer for 30 min at 25 °C, washed twice with PB buffer, and incubated for 30 min at 25 °C in PB buffer containing polyclonal goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 (Molecular Probes®). After another round of washing, the PBMC were again fixed in PBS containing 1% paraformaldehyde and their IRF-7 content analyzed by using a LSR II flow cytometer. Data analyses and preparation of graphical representations were done with FlowJo software.

3 × 10^5 enrichment-sorted porcine pDCs (see Fig. 5A, enrichment sort panel) were exposed to ODN D19, PRRSV, TGEV or just RPMI complete medium for 3 or 5 h. Afterwards, each sample was fixed, stained, and analyzed for the presence of intracellular IRF-7 as described above for similarly-treated PBMC. Fixed cells were also incubated with non-specific polyclonal IgG in lieu of anti-IRF-7 Ab for use as background controls.

2.9. Quantitation of cytokine secretion by purified porcine pDCs

Medium used to culture purified porcine pDCs at 2 × 10^6 cells/ml that had been left untreated or exposed to PrV, PRRSV, SIV, TGEV, imiquimod, or ODN D19 for 24 h was assayed for the presence of IFN-α by using the specific ELISA described above. For detection of IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12, IFN-γ, and TNF-α, the Searchlight chemiluminescent multiplex assay was employed (Pierce Biotechnology, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.10. Detection of CD80/86 expression by purified porcine pDCs

4 × 10^5 enrichment-sorted porcine pDCs (see Fig. 5A, enrichment sort panel) were immediately processed as described below or first exposed to ODN D19, PRRSV, TGEV or just RPMI complete medium for 24 h. Afterwards, each sample was washed twice with Flow PBS and divided so that approximately one-fourth of each one could be cytospun onto glass slides and stained with Diff-Quick®. The remaining cells were incubated for 30 min at 4 °C in Flow PBS containing anti-CD80/86, biotinylated hCTLA-4muIg (Ancell, Bayport, MN), washed twice in Flow PBS, and incubated for 30 min at 25 °C in Flow PBS containing SA conjugated to CyChrome. After being washed twice more with Flow PBS, the cells were analyzed with an EPICS XL flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Fullerton, CA, USA). FlowJo software was used to prepare the graphical representations and for data analysis.

2.11. Statistic analyses

An unpaired t-test was performed to determine if significant differences existed between the quantities of each cytokine secreted by untreated pDCs as compared to ones incubated with a virus or TLR agonist.

3. Results

3.1. Purification of porcine CD4hiCD172lo cells

Previous characterizations of the predominant IFN-α producing members of the porcine PBMC population indicated that they expressed CD4 (Charley and Lavenant, 1990) and low levels of CD172, a member of the signal regulatory protein family (Summerfield et al., 2003). Likewise, in preliminary studies we had also associated the combination of these two cell-surface markers with the IFN-α responsiveness of some lymphocytes to viruses and accordingly intended to exploit this phenotype for developing a purification scheme. In this regard, when mAb-treated PBMC were subjected to two-color immuno-fluoro-
escent analysis (Fig. 1A, PBMC panel), a low frequency (approximately 0.5%), CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} subset was not only revealed but also appeared to be sufficiently, spatially distinct so as to be amendable to isolation by using a FACS procedure. However, at this time due to the limited efficiency of the available instrument, an initial step whereby cells expressing B and T cell lineage markers were depleted from freshly isolated PBMC samples was instituted. This procedure routinely enabled an approximately four-fold enrichment of CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} cells (Fig. 1A, Lineage depleted panel) with the remainder being mostly CD172\textsuperscript{+} cells, which are monocytes. Afterwards, a single electronic sorting regularly resulted in the selection of a relatively homogeneous grouping (approximately 98% purity) of CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} cells (Fig. 1A, purity-sorted panel). Overall, a nearly 200-fold enrichment of this minor PBMC component was achieved by using this process.

In agreement with other studies using either TGEV (Summerfield et al., 2003) or CpG-ODN (Domeika et al., 2004; Guzylack-Piriou et al., 2004) as stimulants, the preponderance of IFN-\(\alpha\) production by activated porcine PBMC was found to be localized to the CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} subset (approximately 137 ng/10\(^5\) cells; Fig. 1B). In fact, only a very limited quantity of IFN-\(\alpha\) was secreted by the fractions comprised primarily of CD172\textsuperscript{+} monocytes (<0.4 ng/10\(^5\) cells) or cells of B or T lineage (3.2 ng/10\(^5\) cells). Similarly, the combined number of TGEV-responsive cells in these two groups was approximately 80-fold lower than the frequency observed for the CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} subset. Thus, this joint depletion/sorting method for selection of CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} cells correlated with the purification of biologically active NIPCs.

3.2. Surface phenotyping of porcine CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} cells

Human and mouse pDC/NIPCs do not express lineage-specific markers for B cells, T cells, NK cells or monocytes (Perussia et al., 1985; Liu, 2005). To determine if the CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} subset of porcine PBMC acted in a similar manner and to thoroughly evaluate their surface phenotype, three-color immunofluorescence flow cytometric analyses of these cells stained for CD4, CD172, and a third variable protein were conducted (Fig. 2). Examination of the resultant histograms revealed a unimodal distribution...
Expression of surface markers by the CD4hiCD172lo subset of porcine PBMC. A portion of a porcine PBMC sample was stained for only CD4 and CD172 and used to prepare the top panel histogram in which the location of the CD4hiCD172lo subset is circled. Electronic gating on this demarcated region in similar histograms generated with other PBMC aliquots that were stained specifically for the indicated surface markers with the respective anti-CD mAb (clear areas) or non-specifically with a corresponding, anti-Ig isotype-matched mAb (shaded areas) prior to treatment with anti-CD4 and CD172 mAbs provided the resultant fluorescence distribution data shown in the lower graphs. Bars define the limits of fluorescent intensity representing a positive signal. Representative histograms (n = 3) are shown.
of CD1a, CD11a, CD18, CD29, and CD44 among members of the CD4hiCD172lo subset and a fairly constant per cell amount of these proteins as evidenced by a low (<30) RCV in regards to the fluorescence intensity of the stained cells. A more variable level of expression of the CD16 was noted as reflected by larger variation in the fluorescence intensity (RCV = 48). Interestingly, while CD1 and CD16 were clearly present in this sampled population, their previous status was classified as being inconsistent (+/-) (Summerfield and McCullough, 2009). Overall, the relative level of expression of MHC class II molecules on individual CD4hiCD172lo cells was the most variable (RCV = 70). This phenomenon has been attributed to the CD4hiCD172lo cells being in different stages of maturation (Summerfield et al., 2003; Guzylack-Piriou et al., 2004). As previously reported (Nowacki and Charley, 1993; Summerfield et al., 2003; Domeika et al., 2004; Guzylack-Piriou et al., 2004), the myeloid cell marker CD14, the NK and T cell markers, CD2, CD3, CD8a, the B cell marker CD21 as well as the CD45 isoform CD45RA were not detected (data not shown). In addition, the myeloid cell markers CD11c, previously reported as being present (Summerfield et al., 2003), and CD11b, the CD45 isoform CD45RC, the NK cell adhesion molecule CD56, and the scavenger molecule CD163 seemed to be absent (Fig. 2). Thus, with the exception of CD16 that is found on the surface of macrophages, monocytes, NK cells, and neutrophils, the porcine CD4hiCD172lo subset does not express lymphoid or myeloid lineage cell markers.

3.3. Morphological characterization of porcine CD4hiCD172lo cells

Human pDCs exhibit a distinct plasma cell-like morphology with an eccentric, kidney-shaped nucleus surrounded by a basophilic cytoplasm with a perinuclear pale Golgi zone (Siegal et al., 1999; Liu, 2005). To determine if CD4hiCD172lo cells displayed similar characteristics, freshly sorted representatives were treated with a histological stain and examined by using light microscopy. As seen in Fig. 3A, the dye-stained cells had a rounded to oval shape with an off-centered, reniform nucleus and a basophilic cytoplasm containing a prominent pale area that is adjacent to the nucleus and represents a negative image of the Golgi apparatus. Further examination of a CD4 hiCD172lo cell with a transmission electron microscope revealed heterochromatin localized in the periphery of its nucleus and an extensive system of rough endoplasmic reticulum, a juxtanuclear Golgi apparatus and several mitochondria in its cytoplasm (Fig. 3C).

3.4. Constitutive expression of IRF-7 by porcine CD4hiCD172lo cells

The pDC subset of human PBMC has been shown to constitutively express more IRF-7 than other leukocyte subpopulations such as monocytes and T cells (Izaguirre et al., 2003; Dai et al., 2004). This abundance is considered to be essential for promoting the rapid production of IFN-α by pDCs in response to interaction with a virus (Coccia et al., 2004; Dai et al., 2004). Consequently, porcine CD4hiCD172lo cells should be the prominent producer of IRF-7 among the pig’s blood cells if they are pDCs. Indeed, a comparison of the IRF-7 distribution among various, gated porcine PBMC fractions previously stained for this transcription factor indicated that IRF-7 expression was greatest in the CD4hiCD172lo subset (Fig. 4A and B). Moreover, this subset was more homogeneous with respect to per cell amounts of IRF-7 as compared to that of the other examined groups, comprised of CD4 hiCD172 - cells, monocytes (CD4 hiCD172 lo) or CD4+ lymphocytes (CD4 4CD172 -). In this regard, while the RCV in relation to the individual fluorescence intensity of their stained members of these three groups were 45, 38, and 44, respectively, a lower value of 23 was determined for the
CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} subset. Therefore, based on their established status as the primary IFN-\(\alpha\) producer, external and internal physical appearances as well as strong constitutive expression of IRF-7, the CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} cells can be considered to be the porcine counterpart of human pDCs.

3.5. IFN-\(\alpha\) and pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion by purified, porcine pDCs exposed to swine viruses and TLR7 and TLR9 agonists

Due to the advent of an innovative high-speed cell sorter, the original CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} cell purification procedure was modified to eliminate the depletion step altogether and instead perform two rounds of positive phenotypic selection starting with an intact PBMC sample. With this improvement, a single, enrichment sort resulted in an increased frequency of porcine pDCs of nearly 200-fold, from approximately 0.5% to 49% (Fig. 5A). Moreover, the subsequent purity sort rendered a population that was >98% homogeneous and comparable to that achieved with the first protocol. Furthermore, a similar degree of cell viability (≥95%) was retained with either method (data not shown).

As with the CD4\textsuperscript{hi}CD172\textsuperscript{lo} cells isolated by using the former, more laborious exercise of combined depletion and sorting, the porcine pDCs prepared by the simplified, double-sorting method retained biological activity. They readily secreted IFN-\(\alpha\) after exposure to TGEV and also to two other known virus stimulants, PrV (Domeika et al., 2004), and SIV (Summerfield and McCullough, 2009) (Fig. 5B). They also reacted to the TLR7 agonist, imiquimod (Hemmi et al., 2002), and to the TLR9 ligand, ODN D19 (Kamstrup et al., 2001). In contrast, detectable IFN-\(\alpha\) production by the pDCs was not noted in the presence of PRRSV, even when the amount of this virus was increased 100-fold (data not shown). Moreover, there was also a lack of responsiveness by non-fractionated PBMC to the North American, Type II PRRSV strain used in this study (data not shown) as previously reported for a genetically distinct, European, Type I PRRSV isolate (Albina et al., 1998a).

Remarkably, the secretion of other cytokines by the pDCs appeared to depend on the type of activating agent (Fig. 6). Of the six assayed proteins, IL-6 and TNF-\(\alpha\) were the only two to be detected in significant amounts after exposure of the cells to any of the IFN-\(\alpha\) inducing entities. Although IL-2 production was not elicited by imiquimod, all of the other agents, including PRRSV, were able to stimulate a low but detectable production of this cytokine. In contrast, both PrV and PRRSV failed to induce detectable IL-8 expression, while the other stimulants elicited a trace amount of this cytokine. Only the two TLR agonists provoked the secretion of a low (<200 pg/ml) amount of IL-12, as previously observed for porcine pDCs responding to similar types of ligands (Vincent et al., 2007), as well as a modest release of IFN-\(\gamma\). IL-1, IL-4 and IL-10 were not detected in the medium of any of the treated pDCs (data not shown).
3.6. Differential effect of swine viruses and a TLR9 agonist on IRF-7 expression by purified porcine pDCs

After a 6 or 9 h incubation period of human pDCs with external stimuli such as herpes simplex virus or IFN-α, the extent of IRF-7 expression was found to be greater than the already strong constitutive level (Izaguirre et al., 2003). To determine if a similar phenomenon occurred in activated porcine pDCs, the relative amounts of IRF-7 in DCs at 3 and 5 h post-exposure to ODN D19, PRRSV, and TGEV were compared to that in mock-treated cells. As seen in the histograms derived from the analysis of pDCs stained for the presence of intracellular IRF-7, an increase in fluorescent intensity was apparent at either both measurements or just at the earlier time for the TGEV- and ODN D19-treated cells, respectively (Fig. 7A). These visible changes were found to be statistically significant \( \left( p \leq 0.05 \right) \) when the MFIs of these samples were compared to that of the respective, non-stimulated, temporal control (Fig. 7B). In contrast to the demonstrated positive impact of TGEV and ODN D19 on porcine pDC IRF-7 production, no alteration of this protein’s quantity was noted in cells incubated with PRRSV.

3.7. Differential effect of swine viruses and a TLR9 agonist on the maturation of purified porcine pDCs

Activated human pDCs undergo a maturation process characterized by their morphological conversion into a DC appearance (Grouard et al., 1997; Siegal et al., 1999; Soumelis and Liu, 2006) and increased expression of co-stimulatory molecules such as CD80/86 (Svensson et al., 1996; Cella et al., 2000; Bauer et al., 2001). When porcine pDCs stimulated for 24 h were examined for these changes, the majority of the ODN D19- and TGEV-treated cells were found to be of a more irregular shape and to have developed dendritic processes (Fig. 8A and C). In contrast, a morphological alteration of the pDCs left untreated was not noted while only a minor percentage of those incubated with PRRSV had transitioned. Similarly, the frequency of CD80/86 cells was greater in the ODN D19-activated (approximately 2-fold) and TGEV-activated (approximately 5-fold) samples as compared to the mock-treated example (Fig. 8B and D). In this case, detectable expression of these two surface proteins by individual pDCs was reduced when PRRSV was present and the resultant value was somewhat intermediate between
the approximately 13% and 1% determined for cultured (Fig. 8D) and freshly purified cells (data not shown), respectively. It should be noted that up-regulation of CD80/86 expression in pDCs just maintained in medium is not unique to porcine cells as their human counterparts act in a similar manner (Ghanekar et al., 1996).

4. Discussion

We have ascertained that porcine CD4hiCD172lo leukocytes exhibited characteristics typical of pDCs in other mammalian species. For instance, our analysis of electronically gated pig CD4hiCD172lo cells revealed that, with the exception of CD16, their cell-surface phenotype was similar to that of human and murine pDCs (Liu, 2005) in that no B cell, monocyte, NK cell, or T cell lineage-specific markers were detected. Moreover, in comparison to three other gated PBMC subsets, members of this group were found to constitutively produce the greatest amounts of IRF-7 with the least degree of individual variation as previously observed for human (Izaguirre et al., 2003; Dai et al., 2004) and macaque (Chung et al., 2005) pDCs.
Finally, by focusing on the FACS-purified porcine CD4hiC-D172lo cells, we were able to demonstrate associated properties that were reminiscent of those ascribed to human pDCs (Grouard et al., 1997; Siegal et al., 1999; Cella et al., 2000; Liu, 2005; Fitzgerald-Bocarsly et al., 2008). These included: their prodigious ability to secrete IFN-α, their plasmacytoid appearance when quiescent, and their morphological conversion to DC-like shapes after exposure to viruses or TLR agonists with an accompanying transient or extended increase in IRF-7 and CD80/86 expression, respectively. Thus, based on these traits, we consider porcine CD4hiCD172lo cells to represent pDCs.

The detection of the αL integrin CD11a, the β1 integrin CD18, and the β2 integrin CD29 on the surface of porcine pDCs was not surprising since these types of molecules are involved in the recruitment of murine pDCs from blood into non-stimulated and inflamed lymph nodes via high endothelial venules (Yoneyama et al., 2004; Diacovo et al., 2005; Matsutani et al., 2007). In the pig, CD18 can interact with pDC-expressed CD11a to produce lymphocyte function-associated antigen (LFA)-1. The resultant heterodimer can bind to the intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 expressed by activated endothelium at inflammatory sites (Dustin and Springer, 1988). Likewise, CD29 can form heterodimers, in this case with CD49d, to create the very late activation antigen (VLA)-4 that associates with CD44, also made by porcine pDCs, to enable leukocyte extravasation (Nandi et al., 2004) and trafficking of activated T cells to inflammatory regions (Steeber et al., 2005). In this regard, migration of TGEV-stimulated, pig NIPCs to lymphoid tissue (Riffault et al., 1997, 2001; Pascale et al., 2008) and of non-activated cells to afferent lymph (Pascale et al., 2008) have been described.

As previously observed for human and macaque pDCs and porcine NIPCs, not every porcine pDC examined here produced IFN-α when cultured with a stimulant in vitro. For example, after exposure of human PBMC to the imidazoquinoline, resquimod, or to herpes simplex virus (HSV), only 31–36% (Gibson et al., 2002) or 25–60% (Feldman et al., 2001; Megjugorac et al., 2004), respectively, of the “gated” pDC cell subset contained detectable amounts of intracellular IFN-α. Although a wider variation in the frequencies of human and macaque pDCs responding to HSV was later reported, the maximum...
percentage did not exceed 80% or 82% for the two respective species (Chung et al., 2005). Similarly, 10–25% of porcine NIPCs (CD4+CD172lo PBMC subset) were identified as reacting to TGEV (Summerfield et al., 2003). Likewise, in the present study where an ELISPOT analysis was used in lieu of the previous multicolor immunofluorescence flow cytometry-based ones, 7–10% of the sorted porcine pDCs were found to have secreted IFN-α in response to TGEV stimulation. This lower frequency of responsive cells was probably not due to the isolation procedure negatively impacting functionality since concurrent exposure of the purified pDCs to TGEV and ODN D19 resulted in a cumulative increase in the number of IFN-α synthesizing cells (data not shown). Moreover, the majority (>70%) could still morph into DC after exposure to either TGEV or the TLR-9 agonist. Rather, this disparity may reflect differences in the spectrum of pDC function due to their relative proportions in the various stages of maturation (Duramad et al., 2003), the greater sensitivity of cytokine flow cytometry versus ELISPOT in detecting IFN-α production at the single cell level (Karlsson et al., 2003), and/or variance in the frequency of a pig’s peripheral blood pDCs due to the animal’s age and genetic factors (Nowacki et al., 1993).

When studying the biological and physical responses of PBMC subsets, relatively pure populations must be prepared so that features attributed to them do not reflect contributions by or interactions with other cell types. However, the traditional, magnetic bead-based procedures for isolation of porcine NIPCs may provide samples of insufficient homogeneity. In this regard, a FACS step was required to remove otherwise contaminating, myeloid dendritic cells (mDCs) from the human pDC subset before resolving their questionable, functional plasticity in regards to IFN-γ and IL-12 production (Ito et al., 2006). Thus, our employment of novel sorting protocols for purifying members of the CD4hiCD172lo subset has circumvented a potentially similar problem regarding the characterization of activated and resting porcine pDCs.

With the exception of PRRSV, all other tested agents readily stimulated the purified porcine pDCs to produce IFN-α and TNF-α, two cytokines that promote the maturation of pDCs into DCs, and are typically made by human and murine pDCs (Liu, 2005; Fitzgerald-Bocarsly et al., 2008). Consequently, as observed, the majority of the ODN D19- and TGEV-treated cells underwent differentiation as indicated by morphological changes and the increased presence of cell-surface CD80/86 while the PRRSV-exposed cells remained relatively inert. Likewise, as with human pDCs, only the activated pDCs also secreted IL-6 which in conjunction with type I IFNs induces B cells to differentiate into Ab-secreting plasma cells (Jego et al., 2003; Poeck et al., 2004). Interestingly, the porcine pDCs universally responded to all of the viruses, including PRRSV, and both TLR agonists with secretion of IL-2. Although T cells primarily synthesize this cytokine, stimulated human pDCs can act in a similar manner and in doing so induce B, NK, and T cells to proliferate (Feau et al., 2005; Naranjo-Gomez et al., 2007). In contrast, synthesis of other cytokines appeared to be dependent on the type of eliciting agent. For instance, IL-8, a chemokine also produced by activated human pDCs and involved in the attraction of neutrophils to sites of inflammation (Penna et al., 2002; Bendriss-Vermare et al., 2005), was preferentially made by cells exposed to TGEV. Low quantities of IL-12 and IFN-γ were only secreted after induction by the TLR-7 and 9 ligands. IL-12 is also generated by human pDCs but only in very low amounts (Liu, 2005; Ito et al., 2006). Murine pDCs produce greater amounts of this cytokine (Asselin-Paturel et al., 2001) and an early developmental stage of these cells, the Ly6C-Ly49Q-subtype, secretes moderate amounts of IFN-γ (Vremec et al., 2007). Therefore, the observed cytokine response profiles of highly purified populations of porcine pDCs are consistent with those reported for other mammalian pDCs.

The inability of pDCs to produce IFN-α in response to PRRSV exposure could have ramifications that influence this virus’s pathogenesis in the pig and extend to the current practice of immunizing with live virus. In this regard, it should be noted that both commercial vaccines and some swine farm-endemic viruses used for “controlled exposure” of naïve pigs have also been found to be incapable of eliciting IFN-α secretion by porcine pDCs (unpublished results). We have postulated (Meier et al., 2004; Royaee et al., 2004) that the absence of this innate immune response to infection or vaccination with PRRSV could be at least partly responsible for the belated production of specific virus-neutralizing antibodies (Lopez and Osorio, 2004) and the delayed onset and protracted development of a cell-mediated immune response of pigs against this virus (Meier et al., 2003). Accordingly, while type I cytokines are needed to incite the generation of virus-specific IFN-γ secreting T cells (Cella et al., 2000; Fitzgerald-Bocarsly et al., 2008), the quantity of this cytokine in the blood of PRRSV-infected pigs was conspicuously lower than that reported for animals receiving PrV or TGEV (Artursson et al., 1995; Albina et al., 1998b). Moreover, at the primary site of PRRSV replication, the lungs, IFN-α was either undetected or at a reduced amount in PRRSV-infected animals as compared to ones inoculated with porcine respiratory coronavirus, SIV, or TGEV (Albina et al., 1998b; VanReeth et al., 1999). Though at this location macrophages are the virus’s primary target and as such would be considered to be a source of type I IFNs due to intracellular triggering by double-stranded, virus replicative intermediates, such cells exposed to PRRSV in vitro secreted undetectable or minor quantities of IFN-α (Albina et al., 1998a; Lee et al., 2004).

Although this is the first demonstration of the non-stimulatory nature of PRRSV towards porcine pDCs, this property is not unique to this pathogen. Other viruses have previously been shown to achieve the same outcome by not only suppressing the IFN-α response to themselves but also to other known stimulants. For instance, porcine circovirus type 2 can reduce porcine NIPC activity via interaction with its DNA genome (Vincent et al., 2007). A similar type of inhibition is directed to human pDCs by the V protein of measles virus (Pfaller and Conzelmann, 2008) and presumably the NS proteins of clinical isolates of respiratory syncytial virus (Schlender et al., 2005). Although a European strain of PRRSV did not exhibit such a repressive nature towards TGEV-activated NIPCs (Albina et al., 2005).
et al., 1998a), we have observed suppression of TGEV- and TLR9 agonist-induced production of IFN-α by purified porcine pDCs in the presence of either attenuated or virulent, North American PRRSV isolates (unpublished results). Therefore, like these other pathogens, PRRSV may render an afflicted host more susceptible to secondary infections due to blockage of the innate immune response provided by pDCs.

In closing, our data provide formal functional, morphological, and phenotypic evidence that the CD40-CD172α cell population found in swine represent the equivalent of pDCs in other species. Since the porcine pDCs were found to be nearly non-responsive to PRRSV but not to three other viruses or two TLR agonists, future studies will focus on understanding the mechanism(s) whereby PRRSV avoids inducing the typical activation of pDCs.
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