Rare diffuse idiopathic pulmonary neuroendocrine cell hyperplasia: one case report and literature review
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Abstract: Rare diffuse idiopathic pulmonary neuroendocrine cell hyperplasia (DIPNECH) was first diagnosed in the early 1950s, but was not fully recognized and named until 1992. Literatures reported that DIPNECH usual was multiple and diffuse bilateral nodules, rare patients demonstrate the single nodule or ground glass nodule (GGN). We diagnosed one patient because of intermittent dry cough at least one year, Chest computed tomography (CT) found a purity GGN (pGGN) in the anterior segment, right upper lung lobe, and bronchoscopy didn’t have any tumor in the bronchus in 2014. Continue follow-up 4 years, the nodule enlarged and became a mixed GGN (mGGN) in 2018 on chest CT. A diagnostics video-assisted thoracotomy with wedge resection in right upper lung lobe was performed. The pathology revealed that it was filled with neuroendocrine differentiation cells without penetration terminal bronchiole submucosal layer, immunohistochemical (IHC) staining were positive for CD-56, Cg-A, TTF, Syn and ki-67 (about 5%), leading to diagnosis of DIPNECH. A regular review of the chest CT showed no signs of tumor recurrence postoperative more than 1 year every 6 months, and we will continue follow-up. In conclusion, DIPNECH keeps stable evolution over several years, which is misdiagnosed and underdiagnosed usually. Patient who showed GGN on chest CT and had cough, dyspnea, wheezing, less frequently hemoptysis and so on, we should think of DIPNECH. Diagnosis depended on pathology and IHC staining, regular follow-up will lead to patient a long-term survival postoperative.
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Introduction

DIPNECH as a rare lung disease and a premalignant lesion, characterized by a marked increase in neuroendocrine cells, it was reported by Aguayo above all (1). WHO histological classification revised in 2015, DIPNECH was added to be a precursor of tumorlets, which was less than 0.5 cm in diameter, and carcinoid tumors, which well-differentiated neuroendocrine cells proliferation had broken through epithelial basement membrane, and its diameter above 0.5 cm for carcinoid tumors (2,3).

Because of bronchiolar fibrosis and chronic inflammation, DIPNECH demonstrates obstructive ventilatory pattern on lung function tests, most patients are usually misdiagnosed for asthma or bronchitis in the first. The treatments included in inhaled corticosteroids, systemic corticosteroids, bronchodilators, lung surgery and even lung
transplantation (4). We manage a 72-year-old, non-smoking woman who presented with right anterior segment lung nodule, was diagnosed DIPNECH by pathology and IHC staining. We present the following article in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (5,6) (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-1783).

**Case presentation**

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee(s) and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). Written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

A 72-year-old female visited our outpatient with intermittent dry cough at least one year and didn’t associate with fever, expectoration, chest tightness and pain. She was a nonsmoker or passive smoker and had hypertension and diabetes several years. Chest CT showed a pGGN in the anterior segment, right upper lung lobe in 2014, the nodule maximum diameter is about 1.0 cm, the derivation purified protein skin test and T-SPOT was negative, blood neuron-specific anolase (NSE) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) level were normal, and bronchoscopy didn’t have any tumor in the bronchus. Physical examination is normal and doesn’t touch any enlarged lymph nodes on neck or supraclavicular, her lung function is normal.

Primary diagnosed pneumonia and after antibiotics treatment, dry cough recurred intermittent attack for 4 years. However, regular follow-up chest CT demonstrated that nodule didn’t change every year. In 2018, nodule enlarged and became an mGGN on chest CT, maximum diameter is approximately 1.5 cm, and the mGGN is diagnosed the primary lung carcinoma (Figure 1).

The NSE and CEA level, lung function was still normal. A diagnostics video-assisted thoracotomy with wedge resection in right upper lung lobe was performed. Pathological processing of specimen 1.5 cm × 1.0 cm nodule revealed that it was filled with neuroendocrine differentiation cells and preformed IHC staining, the tumor was strongly positive for CD-56, Cg-A, TTF, Syn and ki-67 (about 5%), leading to diagnosis of DIPNECH (Figure 2).

**Follow-up**

The patient wasn’t performed any adjuvant treatments postoperative, and was managed by observation only and had been followed up more than 1 year. A regular review of the chest CT every 6 months showed no signs of tumor recurrence, dry cough and other complaints hadn’t occurred, the blood NSE and CEA level, lung function was still almost normal.

A timeline showed the whole medical procedure of this case (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

DIPNECH is a rare and relatively new pulmonary condition, that is fully recognized in 1992, is confined to the respiratory epithelium layer without penetration of the basement membrane. The collection of cells which is smaller than 0.5 cm is called tumorlets, and the nodule

![Figure 1](image-url) In 2014 chest CT clearly demonstrated a pGGN in the anterior segment, right upper lung lobe (A); in 2018, the nodule had enlarged and became an mGGN (B). The red arrows mark the lesion located in anterior segment, right upper lung lobe (A: pGGN; B: mGGN). pGGN, purity ground glass nodule; mGGN, mixed ground glass nodule.
greater than 0.5 cm is generally considered to be carcinoid tumor (7).

It is misdiagnosed for asthma or chronic bronchitis usually. Most patients are nonsmoker female between the ages of fifty and seventy with a dry cough and/or dyspnea, the clinical symptoms lowly worsens or keeps stable evolution over several years (8,9). This patient is 68 years old at the first visit and regular follow-ups 4 years preoperative, dry cough symptom gradually worsens.

DIPNECH may be no clinical symptoms and is
discovered accidentally, the physical examination even doesn’t exist any positive signs. Pulmonary lesions are checked in radiological imaging. High-resolution CT and bronchoscopy are commended for diagnosing in the clinic. As the disease progresses, the pulmonary function test is diversity, that can be normal, obstructive, restrictive, or mixed obstructive restrictive dysfunction. This case, the lesion is local and lung function test is normal all the time. Neuroendocrine cells can release amines and peptides, which affect the respiratory tract, it is usually seen cough, dyspnea, and wheezing, even to asthma symptoms in patients (10).

DIPNECH usually manifests as bilateral and diffuse airway condition, air-trapping and pulmonary lesions in radiological imaging, only rare patient imaging demonstrate the single nodule or GGN, which isn’t differentiated from primary lung carcinoma (11,12). Multiple and diffuse pulmonary lesions usually are misdiagnosed as metastatic cancer. DIPNECH is typically rounded, noncalcified, and well-defined, which was differentiated from metastatic carcinoma.

DIPNECH is considered a precursor lesion for carcinoid tumors, and lesions follow a developmental course from DIPNECH to tumorlets and carcinoid. Diagnosis of DIPNECH need biopsy and an adequate tissue, pathological criteria present five or more NECs in tissue, either singly or in clusters (13). Firm the diagnosis of DIPNECH relied on pathology and IHC staining, endocrine markers Syn, CA-g and CD56 are all positive and CD10 is stained consistently in neuroendocrine cells. Otherwise bombesin, BCL-2, retinoblastoma protein, p27, and calcitonin staining is variable in diagnosis (8). In this case, IHC staining CD56, CA-g, TTF and Syn are positive and ki-67 is only about 5%, which is same to the literature reported.

The natural history of DIPNECH appears to be favorable for most patients experience stable and very slow progressive disease, and only a small number of patients lead to the severe diffuse small airway obstruction even to the respiratory failure, so conservative management is often used. Rare incidence, there are many controversies in DIPNECH treatment process. A “wait and watch” policy showed that the disease remained stable over many years (14,15). Long-term surveillance is generally accepted to monitor for progression to this tumor. But if there is no clear diagnosis, radical surgical resection play a role in the local lesion, including in wedge resection, segmentectomy, lobectomy, a whole lung resection, and even to lung transplantation has been reported in patients with bilateral and diffuse nodules (10). The patient has followed up four years preoperative, the nodule gradually becomes large and entity. Postoperative the patient doesn’t perform any adjuvant treatment and has followed up more than 1 year every 6 months, there isn’t dry cough or any new complaints and no signs of tumor recurrence.

Some studies have showed that somatostatin analogs can alleviate the chronic cough, glucocorticoids are used the diffuse and advanced lesions (14). DIPNECH develops or combines associated carcinoid tumor, chemotherapy can prolong survival time and reduce recurrence for patients. However, lung transplantation has been performed in diffuse small airway obstruction or obliterative bronchiolitis.

However, there are several deficiencies in the whole course of treatment. First, we only report one case. Second, patient postoperative follow-up time is relatively short, and a long-term follow-up is very necessary to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy.

Conclusions

DIPNECH is a poorly understand and rare pulmonary disease, characterized by neuroendocrine cells hyperplasia in small airways or lung lobes. It usually affects non-smoking female between the ages of fifty and seventy, the most common symptom is dry cough. It is checked in radiological imaging and need for differential diagnosis of asthma and bronchitis. Surgery play a role in the local lesion and firm the diagnosis depends on pathological and IHC staining. On account of very slow progression, a “wait and watch” policy perform the preoperative or postoperative for the most patients.
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