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Summary

Background Oxidized cellulose is a well known and widely used surgical hemostat. It is available in many forms, but manufactured using either a nonregenerated or regenerated process.

Objective This study compares the fiber structure, pH in solution, bactericidal effectiveness, and hemostatic effectiveness of an oxidized nonregenerated cellulose (ONRC; Traumastem®) and an oxidized regenerated cellulose (ORC; Surgicel® Original).

Methods In vitro, fiber structures were compared using scanning electron microscopy, pH of phosphate buffer solution (PBS) and human plasma were measured after each cellulose was submerged, and bactericidal effect was measured by plating each cellulose with four bacteria. In vivo, time to hemostasis and hemostatic success were compared using a general surgery nonheparinized porcine liver abrasion model and a peripheral vascular surgery heparinized leporine femoral vessel bleeding model.

Results Ultrastructure of ONRC fiber is frayed, while ORC is smooth. ORC pH is statistically more acidic than ONRC in PBS, but equal in plasma. No difference in bactericidal effectiveness was observed. In vivo, ONRC provided superior time to hemostasis relative to ORC (211.2 vs 384.6 s, N=60/group) in the general surgery model; and superior hemostatic success relative to ORC at 30 (60 vs. 15%; OR: 13.5; 95% CI: 3.72–49.1, N=40/group), 60 (85 vs. 37.5%; OR: 12.3; 95% CI: 3.66–41.6), and 90 s (97.5 vs 70.0%; OR: 21.1, 95% CI: 2.28–195.9) in the peripheral vascular model.

Conclusion ONRC provides superior hemostasis and equivalent bactericidal effectiveness relative to ORC, which is likely due to its fiber structure than acidity.
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Introduction

Intraoperative bleeding prolongs surgical procedures and, if untreated, can lead to secondary complications. A number of adjunctive hemostatic agents can be used to achieve hemostasis [1], of which topical thrombin, porcine collagen, and oxidized cellulose were originally used. Oxidized cellulose was first used in 1945 [2], and since then it is widely used in all surgical specialties and available in many different forms. Oxidized cellulose is well known and accepted because of its ease of use, favorable biocompatibility, and bactericidal properties.

Oxidized cellulose is a sterile, ready-to-use hemostatic gauze. Cellulose is a homopolysaccharide of glucopyranose polymerized through β-glucosidic bonds [3]. Cellulose can be either regenerated to form organized fibers or remain nonregenerated with unorganized fibers prior to oxidation. When cellulose fibers are treated with dinitrogen tetroxide, hydroxyl groups are oxidized into carboxylic acid groups yielding a polyuronic acid [4]. While polyuronic acid is the main component of oxidized cellulose, nonoxidized hydroxyl groups remain as a fibrous component.
The two components of oxidized cellulose undergo disparate in vivo degradation processes. The polyuronic acid is depolymerized by β-elimination facilitated by glycosidases within 18 h after implantation, and the fibrous component is phagocytized and then hydrolyzed by local macrophage [3, 5, 6]. The oxidation process makes cellulose susceptible to glycosidases, but also gives oxidized cellulose its hemostatic and bactericidal properties.

The low pH of the carboxylic acid groups generates a primary local hemostyptic action and secondary platelet activation to form a temporary platelet plug [4]. The low pH of carboxylic acid groups also generates conditions under which most bacteria cannot survive [7]. The bactericidal properties increase as greater concentrations of dinitrogen tetroxide are used to oxidize cellulose [8]. The bactericidal properties of oxidized cellulose are also dependent on the knit structure and thickness, where thinner loose-knit patterns are less effective than thicker tight-knit patterns against antibiotic-resistant microorganisms [9]. Since both the hemostatic and bactericidal effects are affiliated with the low pH generated by carboxylic acid, our hypothesis is that the hemostatic and bactericidal properties—of oxidized cellulose are also affected by the fiber structure and thickness.

Therefore, the objective of this study is to compare the fiber, pH in solution, bactericidal effectiveness, and hemostatic effectiveness of an oxidized nonregenerated cellulose (Traumastem®, Bioster a.s., Czech Republic) and an oxidized regenerated cellulose (Surgicel® Original, Ethicon, Inc., New Jersey, USA). The hypothesis of the study is that the oxidized nonregenerated cellulose (Traumastem®) will have a greater surface area as seen on scanning electron microscopy, an equivalent pH in solution, a greater bactericidal effectiveness, and a greater in vivo effectiveness based on a nonheparinized porcine hepatic abrasion model and a heparinized leporine femoral vein model.

Materials and methods

Oxidized cellulose agents

Traumastem is nonregenerated oxidized cellulose, which is also known as Celstat in North America. Surgicel Original is regenerated oxidized cellulose, which is also known as Tabotamp in Europe.

In vitro comparisons

Ultrastructure Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to compare the ultrastructure of the oxidized cellulose products. A strip of each material was cut and mounted onto aluminum stubs with carbon tape. Samples were then photographed and coated with palladium gold with a sputter coater (EMITECH SC7620, Quorum Technologies, Ltd., West Sussex, UK). The coated material was examined using a Jeol JSM-6510 Scanning Electron Microscope (Jeol USA, Inc., Peabody, Massachusetts, USA) and representative images were taken from both materials in the same magnification.

pH in solution The pH of phosphate buffer solution (PBS; Invitrogen, Grand Island, New York, USA) and pooled human plasma (Baxter AG, Vienna, Austria) was measured 12, 30, and 60 min after a 2×2 cm piece of oxidized cellulose was submerged in solution. An additional 90 min time point was added for plasma. A total of nine pH measurements were taken at each time point.

Bactericidal activity Pieces of oxidized cellulose and sterile gauze were cut to weigh 150±2 mg and individually placed into a sterile Falcon test tube (BD, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA). A 166 μL sample of Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538, 4.7×10^7 CFU), Streptococcus pyogenes (ATCC 19615, 4.5×10^7), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 9027, 6.1×10^7 CFU), or Enterococcus faecium (ATCC 6057, 5.7×10^6 CFU) and 3 ml of TSB-Bouillon broth were added to each tube and maintained at 37°C. Two samples from each tube were taken at 0, 1, 6, and 24 h after being added to the tube. The samples were then plated for 24 h at 37°C after which Colony Forming Units (CFUs) were counted.

In vivo comparisons

Two in vivo models were used to compare the hemostatic effectiveness of the oxidized cellulose products, one mimicking general surgery and the other peripheral vascular surgery. The nonheparinized porcine hepatic square model was used to compare time to hemostasis and hemostatic success 10 min after application [10]. A heparinized leporine femoral vein bleeding model was used to compare hemostatic success at 30, 60, and 90 s after application. All animal activities were performed according to the United States Animal Welfare Act and The Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals in an AAALAC accredited institution.

The nonheparinized porcine hepatic square model was performed by incising a pair of 1×1 cm squares into the hepatic capsule, then avulsing the capsule 2–3 mm deep to create a diffuse mixed bleed of venous, venule, and arteriole strength. The square lesions were then treated with a single ply of oxidized cellulose cut to 2×2 cm squares. The oxidized cellulose products were applied in pairs randomized to one of the two paired lesions. A total of 60 lesions per group were performed across five female pigs weighing 30–35 kg. A single surgeon, who created and treated the lesions, was blinded to treatment and the randomization scheme. The surgeon blotted both lesions, then applied the hemostats with 30 s of even digital pressure, after which they remained untouched and observed for 10 min. The time to hemostasis was recorded in seconds and hemostatic success was determined at 10 min. The minimum time to hemostasis that could be recorded was 30 s and the maximum...
was 600 s. Hemostatic success was defined as no bleeding or minimal ooze. Representative lesions treated with oxidized cellulose were collected for immunohistochemistry and stained brown using a primary mouse monoclonal antibody against human fibrinogen and fibrin (American Diagnostica Inc., Stamford, CT, USA) and a primary mouse monoclonal antibody against human Selectin P antigen (also known as CD62P) on platelets (Lifespan BioSciences, Seattle, WA, USA). Each primary antibody was labeled with a secondary antibody, Mouse-on-Farma HRP-Polymer (BioCare Medical, Concord, CA, USA).

The heparinized leporine femoral vein model was performed by exposing the femoral veins bilaterally and passing a 5 mm length of 5-0 polyglactin 910 on an RB-1 taper needle in a proximal-to-distal direction through each vein. The proximal and distal bleeds of each vein were then treated with a single ply of oxidized cellulose cut to 1 x 1 cm. The oxidized cellulose products were applied in pairs randomized to one of the two paired lesions. A total of 40 lesions per group were performed across 20 female New Zealand white rabbits weighing 3.0–3.5 kg. Two surgeons created and treated the lesions. The histostats were applied as described above and observed for 90 s. An initial bleed rate was measured from each lesion prior to treatment using preweighed gauze for 10 s. The bleed rate of “g/10 s” was converted to “ml/min” using 1 g equaling 1 ml [11]. Hemostatic success was defined as no bleeding and assessed at 30, 60, and 90 s after treatment. The more strict definition of hemostatic success and the use of heparin served to reflect the cardiovascular surgical condition and increase the sensitivity of the animal model. Once hemostasis was achieved the second series of identical lesions were performed distal of the first series. All rabbits were heparinized (300 IU/kg, I.V.) approximately 30 min prior to the first lesion series.

**Statistical analysis**

**In vitro pH comparison**

The pH difference between nonregenerated and regenerated oxidized cellulose groups submerged in PBS at 12, 30, 60 min and plasma at 12, 30, 60 and 90 min were estimated (SAS procedure MIXED). The mean difference and its 95% confidence interval were computed and statistically significant defined as \( p < 0.05 \).

**Nonheparinized porcine hepatic square model** This general surgery model was designed to detect the geometric mean ratio of “regenerated/nonregenerated” equaling 1.25 based on log time to hemostasis. The standard deviation of log time to hemostasis was conservatively assumed to be 0.35, where 53 lesions per group were required with a two-sided \( \alpha \) of 0.05 and power of 0.9. A total of five animals were used to create a total of 120 lesions (\( N = 60 \) lesions per group) to assure statistically meaningful results. The analysis of covariance (SAS procedure MIXED) on log transformed seconds to hemostasis data was performed. Independent variables included the treatment groups. Covariates included pig, liver lobe (left lateral, medial, or right lateral), and baseline bleeding rate (pretreatment). The geometric mean ratio of “regenerated/nonregenerated” and its 95% confidence interval were computed. If any of the covariate effects, except the baseline bleeding score, were not significant (\( p > 0.05 \)), the analysis was based on the reduced model of those covariates that were statistically significant (\( p < 0.05 \)).

**Heparinized leporine femoral vein bleeding model** This peripheral vascular model was designed to detect a difference in rates of 80% versus 40% with \( \alpha = 0.05 \) and power =0.90% based on a standard test of equal proportions per time point. Forty lesions per group were required with a two-sided \( \alpha \) of 0.05 and power of 0.9. A total of 20 rabbits, each having four lesions each, were used to create a total of 80 lesions (\( N = 40 \) per group). A logistic regression model (SAS procedure GENMOD) was performed based on the success odds ratios of each treatment. Independent variables included the treatment groups. Covariates included the hind limb (right or left), location (proximal or distal), and initial bleeding. If any of the covariate effects, except the baseline bleeding score, were not significant (\( p > 0.05 \)), the analysis was based on the reduced model of those covariates that were statistically significant (\( p < 0.05 \)).

**Results**

**In vitro comparisons**

The gross appearance of the oxidized cellulose products did not remarkably differ; however, the ultrastructural appearance did (Fig. 1). Nonregenerated oxidized cellulose had frayed fibers, while regenerated oxidized cellulose had condensed fibers. The knit tightness was visually equal.

The pH of PBS with nonregenerated oxidized cellulose relative to regenerated oxidized cellulose was significantly higher at all time points (Table 1). Whereas, the pH of human pooled plasma with nonregenerated oxidized cellulose was not statistically different at all time points but 12 min relative to regenerated oxidized cellulose (Table 1).

The bactericidal effect of the oxidized cellulose products was identical except against *E. faecium* at 1 h (Fig. 2). The bactericidal effects, however, did not differ after 6 h against *E. faecium*. As expected, the negative control gauze had no bactericidal effect over time.

**In vivo comparisons**

Nonregenerated cellulose (Traumastem®) provided superior shorter median time to hemostasis than regenerated cellulose (Surgicel® Original) in the nonheparinized porcine hepatic square model (211.2 vs 384.6 s, \( N = 60 \) per
group; Fig. 3). The statistical significance is based on the geometric mean ratio of “regenerated/nonregenerated” equaling 1.857 (95% CI: 1.669–2.065), which is far greater than 1.0 representing superiority of nonregenerated cellulose. The hemostatic success at 10 min after application was greater in the nonregenerated cellulose treatment group relative to the regenerated cellulose treatment group (100 vs 96.6%, N=60 per group). The amount of bleeding was consistently visually greater from the regenerated cellulose treated lesions than the nonregenerated cellulose treated lesions (Fig. 4). The excess blood loss of the regenerated cellulose treated lesions is observed by the increased fibrin formation and platelet activation histologically (Fig. 5). Both oxidized celluloses have a characteristic ghost appearance histologically because each is dissolved during histological processing [12].

Similarly, nonregenerated cellulose (Traumastem®) provided superior hemostasis in the heparinized leporine femoral bleeding model relative to regenerated cellulose (Surgicel® Original; Fig. 6). The success of nonregenerated cellulose was superior to regenerated cellulose based on Odds Ratio of Success (OR, “nonregenerated/regenerated”) at 30 s (60 vs. 15%; OR: 13.5; 95% CI: 3.72–49.1, N=40 per group), 60 s (85 vs. 37.5%; OR: 12.3; 95% CI: 3.66–41.6), and 90 s (97.5 vs 70.0%; OR: 21.1, 95% CI: 2.28–195.9). These 95% confidence limits of OR at 30, 60, and 90 s are far greater than 1.0 representing superiority of nonregenerated cellulose. The initial bleeding prior to treatment was similar in both groups (3.44±1.8 vs 3.60±1.8 ml/min, N=40 per group).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to compare the in vitro and in vivo properties of nonregenerated and regen-

---

**Table 1** Mean pH of solution at various time points after submersion of Traumastem®, a nonregenerated oxidized cellulose, and Surgicel® Original, a regenerated oxidized cellulose

| Solution | Time point (minutes) | Mean pH of solution | Mean difference | 95% confidence limit | P value
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------|
|          |                     | Traumastem          | Surgicel        | Lower               | Upper  |
| PBS      | 12                  | 1.92±0.05           | 1.82±0.03       | 0.10                | 0.08   | 0.12 | <0.0001 |
|          | 30                  | 1.92±0.05           | 1.81±0.03       | 0.11                | 0.09   | 0.13 | <0.0001 |
|          | 60                  | 1.91±0.05           | 1.80±0.02       | 0.10                | 0.08   | 0.12 | <0.0001 |
| Plasma   | 12                  | 3.72±0.22           | 3.48±0.10       | 0.24                | 0.14   | 0.33 | 0.0002  |
|          | 30                  | 3.50±0.17           | 3.41±0.09       | 0.09                | –0.00  | 0.18 | 0.0611  |
|          | 60                  | 3.39±0.15           | 3.37±0.08       | 0.02                | –0.07  | 0.11 | 0.6058  |
|          | 90                  | 3.35±0.13           | 3.37±0.06       | –0.02               | –0.08  | 0.05 | 0.5694  |

*N=9 per product per time point, mean±standard deviation
Comparison of regenerated and non-regenerated oxidized cellulose hemostatic agents

The in vitro comparisons demonstrated different fiber structures, different pH while in PBS, and similar pH while in human plasma, but did not detect a bactericidal difference. The in vivo hemostasis models demonstrated that nonregenerated oxidized cellulose provided superior hemostasis. It was unexpected that bactericidal differences would not be detected while hemostatic differences would be detected, because both effects are a result of the materials’ pH. Since the pH of each is similar in human plasma, the difference in hemostatic performance is likely a result of the frayed fibers of the nonregenerated oxidized cellulose, which are seen in the SEM images. The frayed fibers of nonregenerated oxidized cellulose create a greater surface area than that of the regenerated oxidized cellulose.
The in vivo hemostatic performance of nonregenerated oxidized cellulose was superior to regenerated oxidized cellulose in both the non-heparinized porcine hepatic square model and heparinized leporine femoral vein bleeding model. The greatest performance differences were seen in time to hemostasis rather than 10 min after application in the general surgery model, where median time to hemostasis of nonregenerated oxidized cellulose was half of regenerated oxidized cellulose. Similarly, in the peripheral vascular model, nonregenerated oxidized cellulose had two times greater hemostatic success than regenerated oxidized cellulose 30 and 60 s after application. Though regenerated cellulose improved at 90 s after application, nonregenerated oxidized cellulose was still superior to regenerated oxidized cellulose with a difference of 17.5%. While clinical data comparing these two agents are not available, the superior performance of nonregenerated cellulose in these models is expected to be clinically meaningful.

The preclinical models were designed to have high clinical predictability to the clinical setting. The general surgery model was selected because of the high acceptance and use of swine as a hemostatic model [10, 13]. The vascular surgery model was designed such that coagulation differences between rabbits and humans were minimized by heparinization. Heparin, 300 IU/kg, was administered 30 min prior to creating the vascular lesions to target an activated clotting time 1.8–2.0x baseline as is required in humans for peripheral vascular surgery [14]. Therefore, the preclinical models are expected to be clinically predictive for effectiveness. In regard to safety, no intraoperative side effects or signs of toxicity were observed during these studies. The resorption time of regenerated oxidized cellulose depends on several factors and is not fully known, as reported by the manufacturer; while, the resorption time of nonregenerated oxidized cellulose is known to be 8 days in animal studies.

The ability to improve the hemostatic performance of either nonregenerated or regenerated oxidized cellulose is limited without neutralizing the carboxylic acid groups. The low pH of oxidized cellulose immediately denatures plasma proteins (e.g., thrombin, fibrinogen, tissue factor, etc.). Co-administration of oxidized cellulose and thrombin, fibrinogen, or alike, renders these plasma proteins ineffective. The low pH of oxidized cellulose is necessary for its hemostyptic and bactericidal properties.
Unlike the hemostatic properties, the bactericidal properties of oxidized cellulose can be enhanced by modification with silver and zinc ions [15].

The bactericidal effect of nonregenerated and regenerated oxidized cellulose was identical against all bacteria tested except E. faecium. The difference between the celluloses was only observed 1 h after plating. The reason for the difference is not known, but the difference was resolved at 6 h after plating. Spangler et al. reported the bactericidial effectiveness of regenerated oxidized cellulose against P. aeruginosa and S. aureus [9]. In their report regenerated oxidized cellulose had a similar effectiveness against P. aeruginosa, but less effectiveness against S. aureus relative to our report. The 5 log unit difference between the studies of regenerated oxidized cellulose against S. aureus was seen 6 h after being plated and was resolved by 24 h after being plated. Sprangler used 165±5 mg of regenerated oxidized cellulose, whereas we used 150±2 mg.

Interestingly, the pH of nonregenerated and regenerated oxidized cellulose differed in PBS, but not in human plasma. The more acidic pH of regenerated oxidized cellulose is revealed in the less buffered solution of PBS. PBS is an anorganic buffer, which lacks proteins and organic compounds present in plasma. As a result, the pH of PBS drops quickly as the solution reaches equilibrium. The pH of plasma too equilibrates, but does so slowly as the carbonate, organic compounds, and anorganic buffers are overcome. The greater acidity of regenerated oxidized cellulose has the potential of inducing greater and undesirable tissue damage in nonbuffered clinical conditions (e.g., acidosis secondary to blood loss or inadequate tissue perfusion). Though the acidity of regenerated oxidized cellulose is greater than nonregenerated oxidized cellulose, no differences in bactericidal effect were observed. This may suggest that a threshold pH exists, where under no further bactericidal effect is observed.

This study is the first to find that nonregenerated oxidized cellulose has a greater surface area, equivalent bactericidal effect, and superior hemostatic properties in vivo under nonheparinized and heparinized conditions relative to regenerated oxidized cellulose. These findings, further, support the hypothesis that fiber structure and thickness effect hemostatic properties of oxidized cellulose based hemostatic agents. While oxidized cellulose is used in nearly all surgical specialties for its ease of use, biocompatibility and bactericidal properties, the non-regenerated oxidized cellulose is likely to become more desirable tissue damage in nonbuffered clinical conditions.
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