Multiscale-omic assessment of EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas identifies the mTOR pathway as a potential therapeutic target
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Introducțion

The Ewing gene (EWS RNA Binding Protein 1; EWSR1) is commonly identified in soft-tissue sarcomas (STS) as an oncogenic fusion gene associated with a number of partner genes. EWSR1 fusions have been identified in a number of STS subtypes, including Ewing sarcomas, desmoplastastic small round cell tumors, and mesenchymal chondrosarcomas. Fusion partners for EWSR1 have most commonly been identified as belonging to the ETS family of genes, notably FLI1 and ERG; however, a more recently described fusion partner for the EWSR1 gene, Nuclear Factor of Activated T cells cytoplasmic 2 (NFATc2, NFAT1, or NFATp), is estimated to constitute 4–6% of EWSR1 fusions. Historically, EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas have been classified as a member of the Ewing family of sarcomas; however, growing evidence suggests that EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas should be considered separate from standard Ewing sarcomas.

NFATc2 is part of a family of transcription factors responsible for T-cell differentiation and cytokine activation. Genomic variants in NFATc2 have been described in the pathogenesis of solid and hematologic malignancies. Dysregulation of NFATc2 is thought to be involved in multiple biologic mechanisms including induction of tumor invasion, repression of tumor suppressor genes, and tumor-induced T-cell anergy. Little data exists to guide the clinical care of EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas due to their rarity. Currently, only 12 case reports (Supplementary Table 1), corresponding to six unique patients, describe clinical outcomes following systemic chemotherapy in EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas. The cases reported were mostly seen in males with an age ranging from 12 to 58 years at diagnosis and were commonly seen in the extremities. Of the patients who received traditional cytotoxic therapy for Ewing sarcoma, few derived meaningful benefit from initial treatment.

Molecularly, EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas are distinct from other EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas as measured by gene expression and methylation profiles. Further assessment of the molecular differences between EWSR1-NFATc2 and other EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas may shed light on the disparity of response to chemotherapeutics while providing insight into new treatment methods for these patients. Here we present the largest cohort of genomically profiled EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas and assess secondary alterations associated with the fusion relative to other EWSR1 fusion sarcomas. Additionally, we evaluate altered pathways using transcriptomic data and highlight the importance of the mTOR pathway in EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas. Finally, we present a case of a long-term responder to everolimus-based therapy.

Results

EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcoma subject demographics

Fourteen subjects with EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcoma were identified in the Foundation Medicine FoundationCore (FMI) research database from a total of 1024 EWSR1 fusions, resulting in an overall prevalence of 1.4% among EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas. A majority of the patients were male (9, 63.6%) with a median age of 40.9 (range, 20–70) at time of diagnosis. Reported
histology for these samples was most commonly identified as soft tissue Ewing sarcoma (4, 28.6%) and soft tissue sarcoma not otherwise specified (3, 21.4%). No other histology was identified in more than one sample. Full demographic data is available in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Demographics of EWSR1-NFATc2 samples.

| EWSR1-NFATc2 samples n = 14 |
|-----------------------------|
| Sex                        |
| Female                     | 5 (35.7%) |
| Male                       | 9 (64.3%) |
| Age at sequencing (mean [SD]) | 40.1 [14.8] years |
| MSI status                  |
| Not performed               | 5 |
| Stable                      | 9 |
| Tumor mutation burden (TMB, mean [SD]) | 1.5 [1.7] mutations/megabase |
| Known secondary pathogenic variants |
| 0                           | 3 |
| 1                           | 4 |
| 2                           | 4 |
| ≥3                          | 3 |
| Histology                   |
| Soft tissue ewing sarcoma   | 4 (28.6%) |
| Soft tissue sarcoma (nos)   | 3 (21.4%) |
| Bone chondrosarcoma         | 1 (7.1%) |
| Bone osteosarcoma           | 1 (7.1%) |
| Soft tissue chondrosarcoma  | 1 (7.1%) |
| Soft tissue hemangioma      | 1 (7.1%) |
| Soft tissue malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (mpNST) | 1 (7.1%) |
| Soft tissue myoepithelial carcinoma | 1 (7.1%) |
| Soft tissue round cell tumor | 1 (7.1%) |
| Gene names are shown in italics. |

Only three genes, **TOP1**, mTOR, and **TP53**, exhibited pathogenic variants in more than one sample.

We then compared the secondary genomic landscape of EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas to other classical Ewing and Ewing-family variant samples from the FMI database. Partner genes to EWSR1 were included if there were a minimum of 10 samples with the given fusion available for analysis. In addition to the 14 EWSR1-NFATc2 positive sarcomas, 1010 other EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas were identified in the FMI database including 447 EWSR1-FLI1; 159 EWSR1-ATF1; 151 EWSR1-WT1; 88 EWSR1-NR4A3; 49 EWSR1-ERG; 46 EWSR1-CREB3L1; 29 EWSR1-CREB1; 15 EWSR1-CREM; 15 EWSR1-PATZ1; and 11 EWSR1-CREB3L1 positive sarcomas.

**The secondary genomic landscape identifies EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas as distinct from classical Ewing sarcoma**

EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas exhibited a relatively stable genome. The EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion was identified as the only pathogenic genomic variant in three patients (27.3%). Of note, one subject exhibited both an EWSR1-NFATc2 and EWSR1-NFATc1 fusion simultaneously. Pathogenic genomic variants were identified in 27 unique genes (Fig. 1; Supplementary Table 2).
sarcoma\textsuperscript{26}. As an independent assessment of the biologic activity of EWSR1-NFATc2 positive sarcomas in comparison to other Ewing and Ewing family sarcomas, we interrogated two gene expression datasets consisting of 7 EWSR1-NFATc2 positive sarcomas, 14 CIC-DUX4 positive sarcomas, and 117 EWSR1-ETS positive sarcomas downloaded from the GEO database. In these samples NFATc2 was significantly overexpressed in EWSR1-NFATc2 positive sarcomas (mean ± SD: EWSR1-NFATc2 11.3 ± 0.6; CIC-DUX4 5.7 ± 0.9; EWSR1-ETS 5.9 ± 1.1; EWSR1-NFATc2 vs. EWSR1-ETS p < 0.0001; CIC-DUX4 p < 0.0001; CIC-DUX4 vs. EWSR1-ETS p = 0.34; Supplementary Fig. 2A). CD99, a traditional cell surface marker associated with Ewing sarcoma, was equally expressed in EWSR1-NFATc2 compared to EWSR1-ETS positive sarcomas, but lowly expressed in CIC-DUX4 positive sarcomas (mean ± SD: EWSR1-NFATc2 12.3 ± 0.6; CIC-DUX4 11.2 ± 1.0; EWSR1-ETS 12.3 ± 0.4; EWSR1-NFATc2 vs. EWSR1-ETS p = 0.81; EWSR1-NFATc2 vs. CIC-DUX4 p = 0.02; CIC-DUX4 vs. EWSR1-ETS p < 0.0001; Supplementary Fig. 2B). Pathway analysis identified a significant activation of the mTOR pathway in EWSR1-NFATc2 positive sarcomas compared to either EWSR1-ETS or CIC-DUX4 positive sarcomas (EWSR1-NFATc2 vs. EWSR1-ETS: z-score = 1.6, p = 0.002; EWSR1-NFATc2 vs. CIC-DUX4: z-score = 1, p < 0.001; Supplementary Fig. 2C, D). No discernible difference in mTOR pathway activation was identified between EWSR1-ETS and CIC-DUX4 positive sarcomas (EWSR1-ETS vs. CIC-DUX4: z-score = −0.2, p = 0.01).

### In vitro database analysis supports biologic connection between NFATc2 and the mTOR pathway

Data from the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer database and the Broad Institute Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE), including DNA variants, mRNA expression, and drug sensitivity, were collected for 935 cancer cell lines. To reduce the effect of activating/silencing gene mutations on mTOR transcriptomic analysis, cell lines with cancer driving genomic alterations in PTEN, mTOR, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, PI3KCA, TSC1, or TSC2 were excluded. Cell lines were divided into NFATc2-Low and NFATc2-High categories by median NFATc2 expression. Differential expression was followed by pathway analysis. NFATc2-High cell lines exhibited activation of the mTOR pathway (z-score = 4.8, p < 0.0001). Assessment of genes contributing to mTOR activation of the mTOR pathway in NFATc2-High cell lines identified significant differential expression in 9 of 93 genes included in the IPA mTOR pathway (Genellog2 fold change NFATc2-High/NFATc2-Low, false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-value): PRKCD [2.1, 7.4 × 10\textsuperscript{-10}]; PIK3CD [1.6, 5.4 × 10\textsuperscript{-6}]; RAC2 [1.3, 8.4 × 10\textsuperscript{-6}]; PIK3CG [2.0, 3.8 × 10\textsuperscript{-6}]; PDL4 [2.9, 5.1 × 10\textsuperscript{-6}]; RHOD [1.4, 2.8 × 10\textsuperscript{-4}]; PRKCO [1.2, 9.1 × 10\textsuperscript{-6}]; RND2 [1.2, 1.2 × 10\textsuperscript{-5}]; RHOJ [1.3, 1.5 × 10\textsuperscript{-5}]; Fig. 3A). NFATc2-High cell lines were more sensitive to the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin (LN IC\textsubscript{50} μM Mean ± SD: NFATc2-Low −1.8 ± 2.0, NFATc2-High −2.5 ± 1.7, p = 0.009; Fig. 3B).

### Pan-cancer analysis identifies NFATc2 mRNA expression as a potential mTOR pathway driver

To further assess the potential biologic correlation between NFATc2 mRNA expression and the mTOR pathway, data from 33 non-overlapping TCGA datasets were selected for analysis. NFATc2 genomic amplification was poorly correlated with mRNA expression across TCGA datasets (Supplementary Fig. 3a–c). To assess the effect of NFATc2 mRNA expression on cancer biology, NFATc2-High and NFATc2-Low expressing samples were selected for differential expression and pathway analysis from each dataset (Supplementary Table 3).

In 12 (36.4%) datasets, RICTOR was the top dysregulated upstream regulator as identified by IPA, demonstrating significant predicted signaling activation in all 12 cancer types. In total, 24 of the 33 TCGA datasets (72.7%) exhibited statistically significant activation of RICTOR signaling in NFATc2-High cancers (Fig. 4a). The magnitude of the difference in NFATc2 expression between NFATc2-High and NFATc2-Low samples in each dataset was highly correlated with the estimated activation of RICTOR (Pearson coefficient = 0.61, p = 0.0002; Fig. 4b). In the Breast Invasive Carcinoma (BRCA) and Lung Adenocarcinoma (LUAD) datasets, selecting multiple percentile cutoffs (Supplementary Table 4) demonstrated the connection between the magnitude of the difference in NFATc2 expression between NFATc2-High and NFATc2-Low samples in each dataset was highly correlated with the estimated activation of RICTOR (BRCA: Pearson coefficient = 0.91, p = 0.01; LUAD: Pearson coefficient = 0.91, p = 0.01; Fig. 4c). Additionally, 25 (75.5%) datasets exhibited significant upregulation of mTOR pathway (Fig. 4d). RICTOR was a major driver of mTOR pathway activation, with 21 (63.6%) datasets demonstrating both significantly

---

**Table 2.** Detected breakpoints in EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion.

| Case # | EWSR1 (5’ Breakpoint) | NFATc2 (3’ Breakpoint) |
|--------|------------------------|------------------------|
|        | Chromosome | Breakpoint | Chromosome | Breakpoint |
|        | Base | Exon | Base | Exon |
| 1      | 22 | 29,687,407 | Between Exon 8 and Exon 9 | 20 | 50,138,336 | Between Exon 2 and Exon 3 |
| 2      | 22 | 29,678,464 | Exon 6 | 20 | 50,133,377 | Exon 3 |
| 3      | 22 | 29,684,740 | Exon 8 | 20 | 50,133,396 | Exon 3 |
| 4      | 22 | 29,684,715 | Exon 8 | 20 | 50,133,388 | Exon 3 |
| 5      | 22 | 29,687,841 | Between Exon 9 and Exon 10 | 20 | 50,136,578 | Between Exon 2 and Exon 3 |
| 6      | 22 | 29,688,045 | Between Exon 9 and Exon 10 | 20 | 50,138,056 | Between Exon 2 and Exon 3 |
| 7      | 22 | 29,687,630 | Between Exon 9 and Exon 10 | 20 | 50,134,569 | Between Exon 2 and Exon 3 |
| 8      | 22 | 29,685,425 | Between Exon 8 and Exon 9 | 20 | 50,134,374 | Between Exon 2 and Exon 3 |
| 9      | 22 | 29,684,680 | Exon 8 | 20 | 50,133,375 | Exon 3 |
| 10     | 22 | 29,687,615 | Between Exon 9 and Exon 10 | 20 | 50,136,745 | Between Exon 2 and Exon 3 |
| 11     | 22 | 29,678,508 | Exon 6 | 20 | 50,133,388 | Exon 3 |
| 12     | 22 | 29,685,701 | Between Exon 8 and Exon 9 | 20 | 50,135,097 | Between Exon 2 and Exon 3 |
| 13     | 22 | 29,684,680 | Exon 8 | 20 | 50,133,379 | Exon 3 |
| 14     | 22 | 29,684,695 | Exon 8 | 20 | 50,133,380 | Exon 3 |

Gene names are noted in italics.
activated mTOR and RICTOR (<0.0001). Taken together, NFATc2 mRNA expression appears to have a biologic connection with the mTOR pathway across cancer types.

To assess for an association between NFATc2 expression and clinical features across the TCGA datasets, high or low NFATc2 mRNA expression was selected for each dataset in the top and bottom quartile of NFATc2 expression (Supplementary Table 5). NFATc2-High tumors were statistically associated with poor overall survival in five datasets (bladder urothelial carcinoma [BLCA], liver hepatocellular carcinoma [LIHC], brain lower grade glioma [LGG], lung squamous cell carcinoma [LUSC], and uveal melanoma [UVM]; Fig. 4e, f) and poor progression-free survival (PFS) in three datasets (BLCA, LGG, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma [CESC]; Fig. 4g, h). A notable exception was higher NFATc2 expression associated with improved PFS in the skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM) dataset. In the combined TCGA dataset as well as in both datasets demonstrating poor progression-free and overall survival in NFATc2-High expressers, BLCA and LGG, NFATc2-High tumors were more likely to be considered high-grade (BLCA: 98.0% vs. 88.2%, p = 0.002; LGG: 64.3% vs. 45.7%, p = 0.003; All TCGA: 21.9% vs. 20.7%, p = 0.05; Fig. 4i). No difference was seen between NFATc2-High and NFATc2-Low expressers in terms of disease stage or metastatic status.

Case report: mTOR inhibition-based therapy in an EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcoma
A 58-year-old man presented to the emergency room with hematochezia with subsequent anemia. Further workup revealed constriction of the transverse colon and invasion of the stomach by a 10-cm hypermetabolic mass. FDG-PET imaging showed regional hyper-metabolic nodules, which were of concern for advanced disease. The patient was diagnosed with a high-grade small round-cell tumor positive for CD99, CK AE1/3, vimentin, and Sox-9 on immunohistochemistry. Molecular analysis by fluorescent in situ hybridization revealed an EWSR1 fusion. Comprehensive genomic profiling (CGP) identified an EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion and

Fig. 1 Genomic landscape of EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas. Heatmap of the presence or absence of known pathogenic genomic variants in 14 subjects with EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcoma identified in the FMI research database. The EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion was identified as the only pathogenic genomic variant in three subjects (27.3%), while one subject exhibited both an EWSR1-NFATc2 and EWSR1-NFATc1 fusion simultaneously. Secondary pathogenic genomic variants were identified in TOP1, TP53, BORC, AKT3, ATR, BCL2L1, CD22, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, EPHA3, ERBB4, FANCE, and mTOR. Only three genes, mTOR, TOP1, and TP53, exhibited pathogenic variants in more than one subject. SNV single nucleotide variant, CNV copy number variant.
revealed a single pathogenic variant in *FANCE* (Supplementary Table 6). Full treatment course for this patient is available in Fig. 5. Briefly, initial therapy included surgical excision of the mass with residual disease necessitating adjuvant therapy of four cycles of alternating vincristine, dactinomycin, and cyclophosphamide, followed by ifosfamide and etoposide (VDC/IE). Adjuvant therapy provided stabilization of disease with some reduction of standard uptake values (SUV) by PET imaging but persistent low area of SUV as well as the presence of a hypermetabolic lesion concerning for progressive disease lead to initiation of pazopanib (400 mg once
Fig. 2 Genomic landscape of EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas identifies unique properties of EWSR1-NFATc2 positive sarcomas. Genomic data from the FoundationCore research database identified 1024 EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas, including 447 EWSR1-FLI1; 159 EWSR1-ATF1; 151 EWSR1-WT; 88 EWSR1-NRA43; 49 EWSR1-ERG; 46 EWSR1-CREBL1; 29 EWSR1-CREB1; 15 EWSR1-PATZ1; 11 EWSR1-CREML1; and 14 EWSR1-NFATc2 positive sarcomas. a and b Assessment of partner genes in EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas found no preference for specific chromosomes. Fusion partners with EWSR1 are represented in a circle plot with connecting line width representing fusions identified within the dataset. Fusion partners were most prevalent on chromosome 11, driven by FLI1 (a). All partner genes identified were transcription factors; most of which were identified as members of the ETS family or involved in cAMP-dependent PKA signaling (b). c EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas whose partner genes were not members of the ETS family were seen in significantly older patients with the exception of EWSR1-WT1 positive sarcomas (age at diagnosis [mean ± SD, p-value comparison to EWSR1-ETS by one-way ANOVA]: EWSR1-ETS 25.8 ± 15.8, p = NA; EWSR1-WT1 26.9 ± 12.2, p = 0.9; EWSR1-PATZ1 37.1 ± 20.1, p = 0.03; EWSR1-NFATc2 40.1 ± 15.4, p = 0.006; EWSR1-AMP-dependent PKA signaling Family 42.5 ± 18.3, p < 0.0001; EWSR1-NRA43 58.7 ± 10.9, p < 0.0001). d and e Principle component analysis identified similarity between secondary genomic landscapes of EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas. EWSR1-NFATc2 positive sarcomas represent a genomically distinct subset of EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas (d). With the exception of EWSR1-NFATc2 and EWSR1-PATZ1 positive sarcomas, most fusion subtypes clustered together. e Dendrogram representing hierarchical clustering of EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas by fusion partner gene. f Prevalence of pathogenic variants in the mTOR pathway, defined by KEGG by fusion partner gene. g Comparing the frequency of known pathogenic genomic variants in the mTOR pathway, defined by KEGG, in EWSR1-NFATc2, EWSR1-FLI1, and EWSR1-ERG fusion positive samples, identified an enrichment of mTOR variants in EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive samples (EWSR1-NFATc2 21.4%, EWSR1-FLI1 5.8%, EWSR1-ERG 8.2%; p = 0.05). n.s. not significant; *p ≤ 0.05.

Fig. 3 In vitro data identifies relationship between NFATc2 expression and the mTOR pathway. Data from the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer database, including DNA variants, mRNA expression, and drug sensitivity, were collected for 1002 cell lines. To reduce the effect of DNA level variants on the mTOR pathway, cell lines identified to have known cancer driver genomic variants in PTEN, mTOR, TSC1, or TSC2 were excluded from our analysis. Cell lines were divided into NFATc2-Low and NFATc2-High categories by median NFATc2 expression. Differential expression was followed by pathway analysis. NFATc2-High lines exhibited activation of the mTOR pathway (z-score = 5.0, p < 0.0001). a Heatmap of mTOR pathway genes from pathway analysis identified to be differentially expressed between NFATc2-Low and NFATc2-High cell lines. b NFATc2-High lines were more sensitive to the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin (mean ± SD: NFATc2-Low −1.8 ± 2.0, NFATc2-High −2.5 ± 1.7, p = 0.009). Plot represent the center line as the mean, error bars as ±SD. ns not significant; *p ≤ 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

daily). Due to cumulative toxicities from adjuvant therapy and baseline cardiac dysfunction, traditional cytotoxic chemotherapy was not appropriate for further treatment. Four months later, PET scans revealed progression of disease with multiple new abdominal nodules. Combination treatment with pazopanib and irinotecan was initiated for 2 months but was not tolerated by the patient. Combination treatment with pazopanib and everolimus (5 mg once daily) was initiated based on data suggesting mTOR activation post-progression on VEGF-inhibiting therapy.26–39 Pazopanib and everolimus caused a significant decrease in SUV in the target lesions by PET, but was matched with mixed response as measured by CT with an initial decrease in target lesion growth rate, before a steady increase in growth (Supplementary Fig. 4a, b). Treatment was complicated by multiple small bowel obstructions due to sarcomatosis resulting in prolonged breaks in therapy. Disease was considered stabilized as measured by PET scan on this combination for a total of 26 months before therapy was discontinued due to insurance issues. Also due to patient’s insurance, further PET scans to measure disease response were denied. Pembrolizumab (2 mg/kg) in combination with pazopanib was initiated with significant reduction in target lesions (Supplementary Fig. 4c) but were also noted to have development of additional lesions throughout the retroperitoneum and lung.

Stabilization of overall disease for 10 months was followed by further disease progression, resulting in the need for a gastric tube. Salvage immunotherapy with nivolumab (1 mg/kg) for 21 months without an increase in size of any lesion or the development of any additional lesions before passing away from causes unrelated to his active disease. In total, the patient received 47 months of everolimus-based therapy.

DISCUSSION

The Ewing family of tumors has previously been considered to include a number of cancers classified by similarity in recurrent oncogenic driver fusions.40–44. Previous studies have served to demonstrate the molecular distinction between BCOR-CCNB3, CIC-DUX4, EWSR1-PATZ1, and EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas and other traditional Ewing tumors.26–28,30,42,43. To date, this molecular distinction has not been assessed on the genomic level. Here, data from 1024 EWSR1 fusion positive sarcomas demonstrates the uniqueness of EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion cancers from other
cancers in the Ewing family. In comparison to EWSR1-FLI1 and EWSR1-ERG, the secondary genomic landscape of EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas is significantly different and appears to be driven by genes related to the mTOR pathway. Reanalysis of data from two independent datasets including CIC-DUX4 and EWSR1-NFATc2 (GSE6074027), and EWSR1-ETS (GSE3462044) positive tumors identified activation of the mTOR pathway in EWSR1-NFATc2, but not CIC-DUX4 or EWSR1-ETS positive tumors. The original report comparing CIC-DUX4 and EWSR1-NFATc2 positive tumors identified key molecular markers differentiating the two
Fig. 4  TCGA Pan-cancer analysis suggests correlation between NFATc2 mRNA expression and mTOR pathway activation. Genomic data from 33 non-overlapping TCGA datasets, including 10,124 total tumor samples, were downloaded from firebrowse.org. To assess for the effect of extreme NFATc2 expression, high and low NFATc2 expressing samples were selected for each dataset (NFATc2-High and NFATc2-Low; Supplementary Table 3). Once the percentile cutoffs were identified for each dataset, differential expression and pathway analysis (IPA) was conducted. a Twenty-four (72.7%) datasets exhibited a statistically significant activation of RICTOR in NFATc2-High samples. b When considering each dataset, the magnitude of the difference in NFATc2 expression between NFATc2-High and NFATc2-Low samples was highly correlated with the estimated activation of RICTOR (Pearson coefficient = 0.61, p = 0.0002). Black-filled circles represent datasets where mTOR was significantly activated in NFATc2-High samples. c For the largest TCGA dataset, BRCA, and the dataset with the most activated RICTOR signal, LUAD, high and low NFATc2 expressing samples were selected at multiple percentile cutoffs (Supplementary Table 4). For both BRCA and LUAD datasets, the magnitude of the difference in NFATc2 expression between NFATc2-High and NFATc2-Low samples was highly correlated with the estimated activation of RICTOR (BRCA: Pearson coefficient = 0.91, p = 0.01; LUAD: Pearson coefficient = 0.91, p = 0.01). d Twenty-five (75.5%) datasets exhibited significant upregulation of mTOR pathway in NFATc2-High samples. e For clinical analysis of samples with high or low NFATc2, mRNA expression was selected for each dataset in the top and bottom quartile of NFATc2 expression (Supplementary Table 5). f Forest plot of association between NFATc2-High or NFATc2-Low expressers and overall survival for 30 datasets with valid outcomes data. NFATc2-High expression was associated with poor survival as measured by both Log Rank and Cox Proportional Hazard models in five cancer types. g Forest plot of association between NFATc2-High or NFATc2-Low expressers and progression-free survival for 30 datasets with valid outcomes data. NFATc2-High expression was associated with poor progression-free survival as measured by both Log Rank and Cox Proportional Hazard models in three cancer types. h Representative Kaplan–Meier survival curves for progression-free survival of BLCA, LIHC, LGG, LUSC, and UVM cancer types. i In the combined TCGA dataset as well as in both datasets demonstrating poor progression-free and overall survival in NFATc2-High expressers, NFATc2-High tumors were more likely to be considered High-grade. No difference was seen between NFATc2-High and NFATc2-Low expressers in terms of disease stage or metastatic status. ns not significant; *p ≤ 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001. ACC adrenocortical carcinoma, BLCA bladder urothelial carcinoma, BRCA breast invasive carcinoma, CESC cervical squamous cell carcinoma, CHOL cholangiocarcinoma, COAD colorectal adenocarcinoma, DLBC diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, ESCA esophageal adenocarcinoma, GBM glioblastoma multiforme, HNSC head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, KICH kidney chromophobe, KIRC kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, KIRP kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, LAML acute myeloid leukemia, LGG brain lower grade glioma, LIHC liver hepatocellular carcinoma, LUAD lung adenocarcinoma, LUSC lung squamous cell carcinoma, MESO mesothelioma, OV ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, PAAD pancreatic adenocarcinoma, PCPG pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma, PRAD prostate adenocarcinoma, READ colorectal adenocarcinoma, SARC sarcoma, SKCM skin cutaneous melanoma, STAD stomach adenocarcinoma, TGCT testicular germ cell tumors, THCA thyroid carcinoma, THYM thymoma, UCEC uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, UCS uterine carcinosarcoma, UVM uveal melanoma.

Fig. 5  Treatment timeline of a 58-year-old man diagnosed with an EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcoma. Treatment history for a 58-year-old man diagnosed with an EWSR1-NFATc2 gene fusion positive sarcoma. Genomic characterization also revealed a single pathogenic variant in FANCE. Briefly, following surgical excision, this patient received little benefit from adjuvant therapy of alternating vincristine, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide (VDC), followed by ifosfamide and etoposide (IE). Pazopanib alone and in combination with irinotecan provided little clinical benefit. Combination treatment with pazopanib and everolimus stabilized disease for 26 months before progression. Pembrolizumab in combination with pazopanib then stabilized disease for ten months before further disease progression, while further therapy with ipilimumab plus nivolumab provided disease stabilization for four months. The patient was then re-challenged with pazopanib and everolimus and has continued on this therapy for 21 months without progression before passing away due to causes unrelated to his active disease. At the molecular level, the NFATc2 protein has been shown to directly associate with and regulate the mTOR pathway through PTEN and the TORC1/2 complex. Furthermore, RAPTOR, itself a regulator of mTOR activity, has been demonstrated to have a protein level interaction with NFATc2. This provides a potential link between T-cell activation and proliferation in normal tissue; however, the link between these two pathways has not been well studied in the case on NFATc2 fusions. Given the suggested activation of mTOR in EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas, and the conservation of the primary transactivation and regulatory domains of NFATc2 in EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion, we proceeded to assess the role of NFATc2 overexpression on mTOR in a tumor agnostic fashion. Pan-cancer analyses utilizing large in vitro and clinical datasets suggest a strong correlation between NFATc2 expression and mTOR pathway activation. Clinical data also suggest that NFATc2 expression may correlate with tumor grade, consistent with previous reports noting increased tumor grade in mTOR-activated tumors. Furthermore, we report a clinical case of a patient with an EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcoma whose tumor was stabilized by mTOR combination therapy. This durable benefit is significant given the lack or reported efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents in advanced or metastatic disease of this type. This finding is limited due to the extent of the spread of the disease; with extensive sarcomatosis disrupting traditional measures of progression by RECIST criteria. Disease was primarily tracked by PET as the patient's chronic renal insufficiency did not allow for CT with contrast. Accurately assessing the extent of disease was difficult and exact measurements were not always possible. Furthermore, after nearly 4 years post-diagnosis, the patient's insurance denied further coverage of PET scans. While it is difficult to ascertain clinical stability due to indolent disease versus effective therapy, progression of disease off therapy suggests the combination of everolimus and pazopanib had an effect on this patient's tumor. While this finding is not suggestive of exquisite stabilization of EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas to mTOR combination therapy, when linked with the other presented evidence a case...
could be made for mTOR as a potential therapeutic target in this disease.

This report represents preliminary evidence to support potential directions for targeted therapeutic study in EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas where formal evaluation of mTOR-directed therapies have not been performed. This study is limited by the inherent nature of retrospective studies. Small datasets of this rare fusion sarcoma further reduce the external validity of the finding of this study. No current cell lines exist to validate these findings in vitro. Further research is necessary to provide conclusive recommendations for the clinical treatment of patients battling this rare sarcoma.

In this study, the largest multi-omic assessment of EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas to date, our data reinforces previous findings that EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas are molecularly distinct from standard Ewing sarcomas. Genomic and transcriptomic level data pinpoint key dysregulation in the mTOR pathway that may be therapeutically viable. Prospective clinical evaluation will be required to validate these findings. Taken together, these findings support the potential clinical application of precision medicine in the Ewing family of tumors.

METHODS

Comprehensive genomic profiling data

CGP data from EWSR1 fusion positive subjects, whose tumors were assayed in the course of clinical care using FMI hybrid-capture-based next-generation sequencing platform, was provided as previously described.\(^{15,24}\) Approval for the retrospective collection of genomic data from FMI, including a waiver of informed consent and a HIPAA waiver of authorization, was obtained from the Western Institutional Review Board (protocol no. 20152817).

EWSR1 fusions and individual partner genes were identified by RNA sequencing. From this database, microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutation burden (TMB), and pathogenicity of genomic variants were determined utilizing FMI’s analysis pipeline. Variants referred to as known or likely pathogenic (pathogenic variants), and variants of unknown significance (VUS) were included in this analysis. Full mRNA sequences for the EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion were provided for analysis of fusion sites as well as conserved regions of the NFATc2 gene. Analysis of genomic similarity between EWSR1 fusions samples was conducted by finding the frequency of gene alterations by fusion partner gene.

Gene expression in EWSR1-NFATc2 and EWSR1-ETS positive tumors

Data from two independent datasets assessing baseline gene expression in CIC-DUX4 and EWSR1-NFATc2 (GSE60740\(^{27}\); \(n = 14\) and \(n = 7\)) and EWSR1-ETS (GSE34620\(^{28}\); \(n = 117\)) positive tumors were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo, downloaded April 10, 2019). CIC-DUX4 fusion positive tumors where once thought to be part of the Ewing family of tumors; however, these tumors have been demonstrated to be unique entities. The CIC-DUX4 fusion positive samples for GSE60740 were included as controls in the comparison between EWSR1-NFATc2 and EWSR1-ETS positive tumors. Both studies used the Affymetrix U133A microarray. Datasets were combined before being processed in R using robust multichip average (RMA) normalization. Differential expression between each combination of the three fusion sets (CIC-DUX4 vs. EWSR1-NFATc2; EWSR1-NFATc2 vs. EWSR1-ETS; CIC-DUX4 vs. EWSR1-ETS) was followed by pathway analysis.

Genomics of drug sensitivity in cancer database

Data, including DNA variants, mRNA expression, and drug sensitivity, was collected from the genomics of drug sensitivity\(^{9,16}\) in cancer (http://cancerrxgene.org). Cell lines identified to have known cancer driver genomic variants in PSEN1, mTOR, TSC1, or TSC2 were excluded from our analysis to reduce known covariates to mTOR pathway activity. Cell lines were divided into NFATc2-Low and NFATc2-High categories by median NFATc2 expression. Differential expression was followed by pathway analysis.

The cancer genome atlas

DNA copy number, mRNA expression, and clinical data from 33 non-overlapping TCGA datasets were obtained from firebrowse.org (downloaded October 28, 2019)\(^{57,58}\). To assess for the effect of extreme NFATc2 expression, high and low NFATc2 expressing samples were selected by identifying the fewest number of samples (highest and lowest) necessary to identify a statistically significant difference between high and low samples, (adjusted \(p\) value < 0.05) with no less than ten samples in each of the NFATc2-High and NFATc2-Low cohorts. Once the percentile cutoffs were identified for each dataset, differential expression and pathway analysis were conducted.

Statistical methods

All data was analyzed in R\(^{2,4,3}\) or Graphpad Prism\(^{4,3,0}\). Two sided Student’s t-test, one-way ANOVA, and Chi-squared tests were used as appropriate. Continuous data are presented as mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated. To test the correlation between rapamycin sensitivity in NFATc2-High and NFATc2-Low cell lines from the genomics of drug sensitivity in cancer, we used an unpaired t test with Welch’s correction both for its appropriateness to the data as well as its conservative results. Survival analysis was tested using both log-rank and Cox proportional hazard methods. Survival graphs were created using the Kaplan–Meier estimator. Principle component analysis (PCA) was conducted for gene variant data using the prevalence of known pathogenic variants for each EWSR1 fusion partner as variable values using the FactoMineR\(^{2,2}\) package in R\(^{49}\). Differential expression was conducted in R with the limma\(^{5,0,6}\) package\(^{60}\). Pathway analysis was conducted using ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA; Qiagen https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuitypathway-analysis) using genes identified by differential expression to have a log; fold change of >1 or < -1 and an unadjusted \(p\) value of <0.05 for each individual set.\(^{61}\) IPA core analysis was executed using expression log ratio. IPA results were filtered to only include results for the mTOR pathway; therefore, unadjusted \(p\) values were used to identify statistical significance. Ingenuity upstream regulator analysis in IPA was then used to identify the probable cascade of upstream transcriptional regulators that can explain the observed gene expression changes. Regulators for each dataset was sorted by \(p\)-value and activation z-score. Unless otherwise stated, \(p\)-values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant. FDR was used as appropriate.

Case report

A patient with a confirmed EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcoma provided written consent for the disclosure of this case report. Data was collected by chart review by two independent authors to ensure accuracy of data collection. A specialized sarcoma radiologist reviewed all available CT and CT/PET scans to quantify disease and assisted in the interpretation of the data. Genomic sequencing was conducted by FMI from a clinically obtained tumor biopsy. All data regarding this case report was generated during routine clinical care. No data was generated explicitly for the purpose of publication. This case report was approved by the Ohio State University IRB (IRB approval: 20140181).

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data generated and analyzed during this study are described in the following data record: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14270366\(^{9}\). This data record also contains a data file showing the genomic profiling of pathogenic variants in EWSR1-NFATc2 fusion positive sarcomas\(^{15}\). The gene expression data used in this study are openly available from the Gene Expression Omnibus repository\(^{19,24}\). The drug sensitivity data are openly accessible from the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer Database (https://www.genome.gov/). The TCGA data are openly accessible from firebrowse.org (http://gdc.broadinstitute.org/runs/stddata__2016_01_28/data), and the copy number data are accessible from cBioPortal (https://www.cbioportal.org/). Clinical and genomic case report data cannot be openly shared in order to protect patient confidentiality, but can be made available on request from James L. Chen (James.Chen@osumc.edu).
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