Review Article
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Vitamin D functions are not limited to skeletal health benefits and may extend to preservation of insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity. This review summarizes the literature related to potential vitamin D influences on glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity. Cross-sectional data provide some evidence that circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) is inversely associated with insulin resistance, although direct measurements of insulin sensitivity are required for confirmation. Reported associations with insulin secretion, however, are contradictory. Available prospective studies support a protective influence of high 25(OH)D concentrations on type 2 diabetes mellitus risk. There is a general lack of consistency in vitamin D intervention outcomes on insulin secretion and sensitivity, likely due to differences in subject populations, length of interventions, and forms of vitamin D supplementation. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and vitamin D interactions with the insulin like growth factor system may further influence glucose homeostasis. The ambiguity of optimal vitamin D dosing regimens and optimal therapeutic concentrations of serum 25(OH)D limit available intervention studies. Future studies, including cross-sectional and prospective, should be performed in populations at high risk for both vitamin D deficiency and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Well-designed, placebo-controlled, randomized intervention studies are required to establish a true protective influence of vitamin D on glucose homeostasis.

1. Introduction

Over the last decade, numerous nonskeletal disease associations have been reported with vitamin D deficiency, including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) concentrations are considered an indicator of vitamin D status [1, 2]. Compared to healthy controls, subjects with T2DM have been observed to have significantly lower circulating 25(OH)D concentrations [3–5]. Perhaps not coincidentally, both vitamin D deficiency and T2DM share the same risk factors, including African-American, Asian, or Hispanic ethnicity, increased adiposity, age, and physical inactivity (which may translate to decreased time spent outdoors or reduced sun exposure) [6, 7]. Seasonal variations in glucose and insulin concentrations have been reported [8], which may correlate with seasonal variations in 25(OH)D concentrations [9]. Although not within the scope of this review, vitamin D has likewise been implicated in the development of type 1 diabetes mellitus due to its modulation of the immune system [10]. T2DM is considered a state of insulin resistance (beta cell compensation) and insulinopenia (beta cell decompensation) and is characterized by progressive deterioration in beta cell function and eventual loss of beta cell mass [11]. The mechanism by which vitamin D deficiency and T2DM are related is not well known.

We performed a computerized PubMed search of English language original and review articles through March 2009 using the terms “vitamin D”, “insulin”, “insulin sensitivity”, “insulin resistance”, “insulin secretion”, and other related terms. This review provides a comprehensive summary of the literature available relating vitamin D to insulin secretion and sensitivity, including the potential mechanisms mediating the vitamin D-glucose homeostasis relationship;
supportive or contradictory cross-sectional, prospective, and intervention studies; as well as potential interactions of vitamin D with the insulin like growth factor (IGF) system and influences of vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene polymorphisms.

2. Potential Mechanisms of Effect of Vitamin D on Glucose Homeostasis

Pittas et al. [9] have summarized the biological evidence implicating a potential influence of vitamin D on glucose homeostasis. The inferences for the manifold roles of vitamin D include the presence of specific vitamin D receptors (VDRs) on pancreatic β-cells [12], the expression of 1α-hydroxylase enzyme in pancreatic β-cells which catalyzes the conversion of 25(OH)D to 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25(OH)2D) [13], the presence of a vitamin D response element in the human insulin gene promoter [14], and the presence of VDR in skeletal muscle [15]. In addition, 1,25(OH)2D directly activates transcription of the human insulin receptor gene [16], activates peroxisome proliferator activator receptor-δ [17], stimulates the expression of insulin receptor, and enhances insulin-mediated glucose transport in vitro [18].

Animal and in vitro studies provide compelling evidence that vitamin D may play a functional role in the preservation of glucose tolerance through its effects on insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity. Vitamin D deficient rabbits and mice present with impaired insulin secretion, and supplementation with vitamin D corrects the defect [19–22]. Mice with mutations in the VDR have impaired insulin secretion and lower glucose tolerance than those with functional receptors [23]. In vitro, 1,25(OH)2D induces the biosynthesis of insulin in rat pancreatic islet cells [24], and in another study, inhibited free fatty acid-induced insulin resistance (i.e., improved glucose uptake) in cultured myocytes in a dose-dependent manner. The insulin sensitizing effects were mediated by a reduction in JNK activation [25].

Although the skeletal effects of vitamin D occur via an endocrine mechanism, there may be an autocrine/paracrine role of vitamin D in insulin target tissues. Pancreatic β-cells express the vitamin D receptor (VDR) as well as the pivotal enzyme 1α-hydroxylase [12, 13]. VDR is also expressed by both human skeletal muscle and adipose tissue [26, 27], which are the main determinants of peripheral insulin sensitivity. These tissues were shown to express the 1α-hydroxylase gene in male Wistar rats [28]. Notably, skeletal muscle expression of VDR declines with age [29], as does insulin sensitivity.

Vitamin D deficiency may influence its effects on insulin secretion and sensitivity via its effects on intracellular calcium [9]. Elevated intracellular calcium impairs postreceptor binding insulin action, such as the dephosphorylation of glycogen synthase and of insulin regulatable glucose transporter (GLUT-4) [30, 31]. Vitamin D deficiency results in elevated parathyroid hormone (PTH) [22], which in turn is known to elevate intracellular calcium [31]. Sustained elevations of intracellular calcium may inhibit insulin-target cells from sensing the brisk intracellular calcium fluxes necessary for insulin action, such as glucose transport [32]. Pancreatic β-cells also depend on an acute intracellular calcium increase for insulin secretion [33], which may also be attenuated with elevated cytosolic calcium [34]. Another possible mechanism is that elevated intracellular calcium enhances calmodulin binding to insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1), which interferes with insulin-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation and PI3-kinase activation [35–37]. Indeed, PTH has been shown to be inversely associated with insulin sensitivity [38, 39]. On the other hand, Kamycheva et al. [40] did not find significant differences in insulin or glucose metabolism in subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism versus controls. Nevertheless, dichotomization based on serum 25(OH)D concentrations appeared to determine differences in insulin sensitivity. It is arguable that the insulin resistance seen in vitamin D deficient subjects is not fully explained by these aforementioned molecular mechanisms alone.

3. Cross-Sectional Data

Reports on associations between insulin secretion and 25(OH)D have been inconsistent (Table 1(a)). The differences in this relationship are likely due to differences in subject populations and disparate methods to determine insulin secretion. Boucher et al. [41] reported a significant positive association between 25(OH)D and oral glucose tolerance test- (OGTT-) induced insulin secretion in East London Asians at risk for T2DM, although Orwoll et al. [42] reported no association between 25(OH)D and meal-induced insulin secretion in men with T2DM (mean glycosylated hemoglobin, HbA1c: 11.5 ± 3.5%). It is possible that vitamin D is unable to augment insulin secretion in uncontrolled T2DM subjects who have already exhausted their insulin secretory capacity. Analyses of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1989–1994 (NHANES III) disclosed that serum 25(OH)D was inversely associated with diabetes risk and measures of insulin resistance despite there is no association between 25(OH)D concentrations and the homeostasis model assessment of β-cell function (HOMA-β, an index of β-cell function derived from fasting insulin and glucose concentrations) [5]. Significant inverse associations have been reported between 25(OH)D and OGTT-induced insulin secretion in elderly Dutch men [4], hyperglycemic clamp-induced insulin response in glucose tolerant subjects of various ethnic backgrounds [43], and hyperglycemic clamp-induced insulin response in Norwegians with secondary hyperparathyroidism [40]. Although an inverse association between 25(OH)D and insulin secretion may seem contradictory to the hypothesis that vitamin D is necessary for β-cell synthesis of insulin, subjects with insulin resistance, but not T2DM, often experience compensatory hyperinsulinemia [44]. Thus, inverse statistical associations of vitamin D with insulin secretion may be mediated through vitamin D influences on insulin sensitivity, as shown by Chiu et al. [43].

In general, cross-sectional studies, including large-scale population studies such as NHANES III [5, 45], have shown...
A significant positive relationship between serum 25(OH)D and measures of insulin sensitivity [4, 5, 40, 43, 45–48] (Table 1(b)). This relationship has been corroborated in a variety of populations including pregnant and pediatric populations [49, 50].

A limitation to the available cross-sectional data is that, with the exception of few studies [40, 43, 51, 52], many studies investigating relationships between 25(OH)D and insulin secretion and sensitivity have used indirect proxy measures, such as fasting insulin, fasting or postchallange glucose, the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), HOMA-β, the quantitative insulin-sensitivity index (QUICKI), postchallenge insulin, or HbA1c [4, 5, 42, 46–49, 53], instead of the paragon investigation and the hyperglycemic clamp. The accuracy of proxy measures of insulin sensitivity may vary depending on obesity status or ethnicity [54]. In addition, the majority of studies investigating the association between 25(OH)D and insulin metabolism have used BMI, rather than a direct measure of adiposity as a covariate in analyses [4, 5, 40, 41, 43, 51, 53, 55]. The accuracy of BMI in reflecting adiposity has been questioned, and when studies have used both dual energy X-ray absorptiometry-(DXA-) derived total body fat and BMI in models to predict 25(OH)D, only total body fat emerged as an independent predictor [56–58]. Also, many studies have not accounted for confounders that may be mediating associations between 25(OH)D and insulin sensitivity, such as physical activity and calcium intake [9], each of which has been shown to be significantly associated with insulin sensitivity and may, thus, corrupt data analysis. Furthermore, inherent to the nature of the cross-sectional study design, studies using this design are limited in their ability to infer causation.

Insulin sensitivity may not be influenced by circulating 25(OH)D in some populations. Manco et al. [59] investigated the associations of 25(OH)D with insulin sensitivity (determined with a euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp) in morbidly obese Caucasian women. Serum 25(OH)D was not associated with insulin sensitivity in these subjects either before bariatric surgery or 5 and 10 years postsurgery. Suggesting that the often found low serum 25(OH)D concentrations before and after bariatric surgery do not negatively affect insulin sensitivity. Other anthropometric factors, such as the extreme adiposity prior to surgery and the improved metabolic and lipid profile postsurgery, likely had a greater impact than 25(OH)D on insulin sensitivity. NHANES III data did not show a significant relationship between 25(OH)D and HOMA-IR in African Americans despite there are significant results in Caucasian and Mexican Americans [5], and Alemzadeh et al. [50] reported a significant relationship between serum 25(OH)D and HbA1c in Caucasian but not in African Americans. Similarly, in a meta-analysis of the association between 25(OH)D and T2DM prevalence, Pittas et al. [9] found an OR of 0.36 (95% CI: 0.16–0.80) for the highest concentrations of 25(OH)D compared to the lowest, although this significant OR only appeared after African Americans were excluded from analyses. It is unclear whether disparate ethnicities have different optimal serum concentrations of 25(OH)D, and the relationships of serum 25(OH)D with glucose homeostasis should be examined in African Americans using direct measures of insulin sensitivity and secretion to confirm a nugatory effect of 25(OH)D.

4. Prospective Studies

Few studies have examined the predictive value of 25(OH)D on future risk of T2DM [60–62]. Forouhi et al. [61] found baseline 25(OH)D to be inversely associated with fasting glucose, fasting insulin, and HOMA-IR at the 10-year follow-up of the Medical Research Council Ely Prospective Study (European-origin adults), independent of baseline outcome values. Similarly, in the Mini-Finland Health Study, the relative risk for T2DM was 0.60 in subjects with the highest 25(OH)D quartiles (mean 70.9 nmol/L) compared to those in the lowest quartile (mean 22.4 nmol/L, \( P = .01 \)), after adjustment for age, sex, and month of blood draw [60]. This observation, however, was subsequently negated to nonsignificance (\( P = .05–.07 \)) after further adjustments for confounders such as BMI and leisure-time exercise. A pooled, nested case-control analysis of the Mini-Finland Health Study and the Finnish Mobile Clinic Health Examination Survey revealed an 82% reduced risk of T2DM incidence in men with the highest 25(OH)D quartiles (mean 69.11 nmol/L) versus those with the lowest quartiles (mean 22.3 nmol/L, \( P < .001 \)) after adjustment for BMI, physical activity, smoking, and education [62]. A statistically significant reduced risk was not shown in women. Intermediate markers of T2DM risk, such as insulin resistance, were not reported in the latter studies. The role of serum 25(OH)D in predicting the risk for T2DM and insulin resistance in non-Caucasian ethnic populations, such as those with African, Asian, and Indian-descent is worth investigating, as these populations are at high risk for T2DM and low 25(OH)D concentrations.

5. Intervention Studies

Table 2(a) summarizes results of available vitamin D intervention studies on insulin secretion. Gedik and Akalin [65] first reported impairment in insulin secretion in 4 relatively healthy subjects presenting with vitamin D deficiency, and their insulin secretion was normalized after 6 months of vitamin D supplementation. Other studies have reported significant improvement in insulin secretion after variable doses and lengths of vitamin D3 supplementation in subjects with or at risk for T2DM [41, 66], as did a study using alphacalcidiol as the intervention [67]. Antithetically, studies that have used 1,25(OH)2D to supplement have not shown significant improvement in insulin secretion [42, 68]. Insofar as the pancreatic \( \beta \)-cell is endowed with the ability to produce 1,25(OH)2D by an autocrine mechanism, supplementation with vitamin D3 or D2 to produce a rise in circulating 25(OH)D may be superior as 25(OH)D serves as the necessary substrate for extra-renal \( \alpha \)-hydroxylase [90, 91]. Of note, there was a tendency toward a better insulin secretory response in recently diagnosed (within 3 years) T2DM subjects supplemented with 1,25(OH)2D [42], supporting the
| Author, year (ref) | Subjects’ characteristics | Mean serum 25(OH)D | Method to determine insulin outcomes | Association of 25(OH)D with insulin outcome | Covariates | Major limitations |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| **Boucher 1995 [41]** | 44 glucose-intolerant East London Asians, mean age 44.9 years, mean BMI: 25.9 kg/m² | <27.5 nmol/L | OGTT | Positive association with postchallenge C-peptide and insulin | Age, sex, BMI | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin secretion |
| **Orwoll et al. 1994 [42]** | 35 adults with T2DM, mean age: 61 years, mean BMI: 29.8 kg/m² (ethnicity not reported) | 35 ± 7 nmol/L | Meal challenge | No association with fasting or postchallenge glucose, insulin, C-peptide, or glucagon | — | Indirect measure of insulin secretion; no adjustment for confounders |
| **Scragg et al. 2004 [5]** | 6228 NHANES III participants (Caucasian, African, and Mexican American), ages ≥ 20 years | 79.6 ± 36.8 nmol/L (CA) 49.1 ± 37.5 nmol/L (AA) 66.0 ± 41.5 nmol/L (MA) | Fasting glucose and insulin (HOMA-β) | No association with HOMA-β | Age, sex, BMI, physical activity, season, HOMA-IR | No measure of dietary intake; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin secretion |
| **Baynes et al. 1997 [4]** | 142 Dutch men, mean age: 75.7 years | 42 ± 29.1 nmol/L | OGTT | Inverse association with postchallenge glucose and insulin | Season, physical activity, BMI, skinfolds, smoking, alcohol, and fish, fat, and oil consumption | No adjustment for calcium consumption; indirect measure of adiposity |
| **Chiu 2004 et al. [43]** | 126 glucose-tolerant Asian, African, Caucasian, and Mexican American, mean age 26 ± 6 years, mean BMI: 24.7 kg/m² | 46.9 nmol/L (Asian American), 47.3 nmol/L (AA), 69.4 nmol/L (CA), 50.2 nmol/L (MA) | Hyperglycemic clamp | Inverse association with first- and second-phase insulin response (not significant after adjustment for insulin sensitivity index) | Age, sex, ethnicity, BMI, WHR, blood pressure, season, insulin sensitivity index | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measure of adiposity |
| Author, year (ref) | Subjects’ characteristics | Mean serum 25(OH)D | Method to determine insulin outcomes | Association of 25(OH)D with insulin outcome | Covariates | Major limitations |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| Kamycheva et al. 2007 [40] | 15 Norwegian subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism, mean age: 62.9 years, mean BMI: 27.1 kg/m²; 15 sex-, age-, and BMI-matched controls | 58.4 nmol/L (patients) 61.9 nmol/L (controls) | Hyperglycemic clamp | Inverse association with 2nd phase insulin secretion | Sex, age, BMI | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measure of adiposity |
| Scragg et al. 2004 [5] | 6228 NHANES III participants (Caucasian, African, and Mexican American), ages ≥ 20 years | 79.6 ± 36.8 nmol/L (CA) 49.1 ± 37.5 nmol/L (AA) 66.0 ± 41.5 mol/L (MA) | Fasting glucose and insulin (HOMA-IR) | Inverse association with HOMA-IR in Caucasian ($P = .058$) and Mexican Americans ($P = .002$) | Age, sex, BMI, physical activity, season | No measure of dietary intake; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity |
| Chonchol and Scragg 2007 [45] | 14697 NHANES III participants (Caucasian, African, and Mexican American), ages ≥ 20 years | 78 ± 58.4 nmol/L (men) 71.6 ± 61.5 nmol/L (women) | Fasting glucose and insulin (HOMA-IR) | Inverse association with fasting insulin and HOMA-IR | Age, sex, ethnicity, BMI | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity |
| Lu et al. 2009 [48] | 3262 Chinese adults (Nutrition and Health of Aging Population in China study), ages 50–70 years | 40.4 nmol/L | Fasting insulin and glucose (HOMA-IR) | Inverse association with fasting glucose, HbA1c, fasting insulin, and HOMA-IR. | Age, sex, residence, season, education, physical activity, smoking, alcohol, family history, CRP, IL-6, BMI | No measure of dietary intake; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity |
| Gannagé-Yared et al. 2009 [47] | 381 Lebanese university students; ages 18–30 years; mean BMI: 23.9 ± 4.1 kg/m² | 77.4 ± 31.2 nmol/L | Fasting insulin and glucose (HOMA-IR) | Inverse association with fasting glucose, fasting insulin, and HOMA-IR. Only glucose held after adjustment for all confounders. | Sex, BMI, exercise | No measure of dietary intake; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity |
| Author, year (ref) | Subjects’ characteristics | Mean serum 25(OH)D | Method to determine insulin outcomes | Association of 25(OH)D with insulin outcome | Covariates | Major limitations |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Clifton-Bligh et al. 2008 [49] | 307 pregnant women of various ethnicities, mean age: 32.6 years | 53.8 ± 23.9 nmol/L | Fasting insulin and glucose (HOMA-IR) | Inverse association with fasting insulin, glucose, and HOMA-IR but not after adjustment for confounders | Age, BMI, ethnicity | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity |
| Alemzadeh et al. 2008 [49] | 127 children (Caucasian, Mexican, and African American), mean age: 13 years, BMI > 95th percentile for age 243 adults of various ethnicities (New Zealand), mean age: 47.6 years, mean BMI: 35.4 kg/ m² | 59.9 ± 23.2 nmol/L | Fasting insulin and glucose (QUICKI), HbA1c | Inverse association with HbA1c, but not after adjustment for confounders | Fat mass (BIA), age, sex, ethnicity, season | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity |
| McGill et al. 2008 [46] |  | 62.2 ± 22.7 nmol/L | Fasting glucose and HbA1c | Inverse association with HbA1c and glucose (negated after removal of 3 outliers) | Sex, age, ethnicity, season, BMI | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity |
| Ford et al. 2005 [53] | 8421 NHANES III participants, ages ≥ 20 years, multiple ethnicities | 74 nmol/L (range: 8.7–227.9 nmol/L) | Fasting glucose | Inverse association with fasting glucose | Age, sex, ethnicity, education, smoking, cotinine, cholesterol, abdominal obesity, triglyceridemia, low HDL, high blood pressure | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity |
| Need et al. 2005 [63] | 753 postmenopausal Caucasian (Australian) women, mean age: 63 years, mean BMI: 26.5 kg/ m² | 62 ± 24.3 nmol/L | Fasting glucose | Inverse association with fasting glucose | Age, BMI | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity |
| Hyppönen et al. 2008 [64] | 6810 British Caucasian adults, age: 45 years, BMI variable | 53.8 nmol/L (men) 51.5 nmol/L (women) | HbA1c | Inverse association with HbA1c | Sex, month, IGF-1, physical activity, smoking, alcohol, social class, abdominal obesity, BMI | No measure of dietary intake; indirect measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity |
| Author, year (ref)       | Subjects' characteristics                                                                 | Mean serum 25(OH)D | Method to determine insulin outcomes | Association of 25(OH)D with insulin outcome | Covariates                                      | Major limitations                                                      |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Baynes et al. 1997 [4]  | 142 Dutch men, mean age: 75.7 years                                                       | 42 ± 29.1 nmol/L   | OGTT                                 | Inverse association with fasting insulin  | —                                              | —                                                                      |
|                         | 126 glucose-tolerant Asian, African, Caucasian, and Mexican American, mean age 26 ± 6 years, mean BMI: 24.7 kg/m² |                    |                                      |                                           |                                                | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measure of adiposity |
| Chiu et al. 2004 [43]   | 126 glucose-tolerant Asian, African, Caucasian, and Mexican American, mean age 26 ± 6 years, mean BMI: 24.7 kg/m² | 46.9 nmol/L (Asian American), 47.3 nmol/L (AA), 69.4 nmol/L (CA), 50.2 nmol/L (MA) | OGTT Hyperglycemic clamp | Inverse association with postchallenge glucose; Positive association with insulin sensitivity index | Age, sex, ethnicity, BMI, WHR, blood pressure, season |                                                |
| Kamycheva et al. 2007 [40] | 15 Norwegian subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism, mean age: 62.9 years, mean BMI: 27.1 kg/m² and 15 sex-, age-, and BMI-matched controls | 58.4 ± 15.3 nmol/L (patients) 61.9 ± 18.5 nmol/L (controls) | Hyperglycemic clamp | Positive association with insulin sensitivity index among all subjects | Sex, age, BMI | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measure of adiposity |
| Lind et al. 1995 [51]   | 34 Caucasian men, mean age: 63 years                                                       | 90 ± 19 nmol/L     | Euglycemic clamp                     | Positive association with insulin sensitivity, inverse association with fasting insulin | Age, BMI, WHR, serum creatinine | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; indirect measure of adiposity |
| Lind et al. 1989 [52]   | 10 Danish men with impaired glucose tolerance, ages 60–63 years                            | 107 ± 62 nmol/L    | Euglycemic clamp                     | Positive association with insulin sensitivity | Serum calcium, phosphate, magnesium, and other minerals | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity; no adjustment for adiposity |
| Manco et al. 2005 [59]  | 116 Caucasian, morbidly obese (mean BMI: 48.8 kg/m²) women before and after bariatric surgery, ages 20–35 years | Pre-surgery: 39.2 ± 22.3 nmol/L, 5 years postsurgery: 27.4 ± 16.4 nmol/L, 10 years postsurgery: 25.1 ± 13.9 nmol/L | Euglycemic clamp | No association with insulin sensitivity before or after bariatric surgery | Triglycerides, fat mass, PTH, serum calcium, phosphorus, HDL, LDL, total cholesterol | No measure of dietary intake or physical activity |
Table 2: Effects of vitamin D intervention on insulin secretion and sensitivity/resistance. To convert nmol/L to ng/mL, divide by 2.496. 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D; OGTT: oral glucose tolerance test; IVGTT: intravenous glucose tolerance test; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; 1, 25(OH)2D3: 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 or calcitriol; HOMA-β: homeostasis model assessment of β-cell function; NFG: normal fasting glucose; IFG: impaired fasting glucose; HOMA-IR: homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin.

| Author, year (ref) | Subject characteristics | Baseline 25(OH)D | Determinant of insulin/glucose metabolism | Route, dose, and form of vitamin D administration | Length of intervention | Follow-up 25(OH)D | Outcome | Major limitations |
|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|
| Gedik et al. 1986 [65] | 4 vitamin D deficient women (Turkey), mean age: 32.7 years, mean BMI: 22.8 kg/m² | — | OGTT | Oral, 2000 IU/d cholecalciferol | 6 months | — | Increased insulin area and insulinogenic index | Not randomized, placebo-controlled; 25(OH)D not assessed; small sample size |
| Boucher et al. 1995 [41] | 22 glucose-intolerant East London Asians, mean age 44.9 years, mean BMI: 25.9 kg/m² | 9.0 ± 4.5 nmol/L | OGTT | Intravenous, 100,000 IU cholecalciferol | Single dose, follow-up 8–12 weeks later | 33.7 ± 18.5 nmol/L | Increase in postchallenge insulin and C-peptide | Not randomized, placebo-controlled |
| Borissova 2003 [66] | 10 Bulgarian women with T2DM, mean age: 53.8 years, mean BMI: 30.9 kg/m² | 35.3 ± 15.1 nmol/L | IVGTT | Oral, 1332 IU cholecalciferol/d | 1 month | 63.3 ± 31 nmol/L | Increased first-phase insulin secretion | Not randomized, placebo-controlled; small sample size |
| Inomata et al. 1986 [67] | 14 Japanese T2DM subjects, mean age 54.3 years | — | OGTT | 2 μg/d alphacalcidiol versus placebo | 3 weeks | — | Improved insulin secretion (area under the curve) and reduced free fatty acid concentrations | 25(OH)D not reported; small sample size |
| Zofkova and Stolba 1990 [68] | 13 vitamin D-sufficient adults, mean age: 33.4 years (ethnicity not reported) | — | IVGTT | Oral, 3 μg/d 1, 25(OH)2D3 | 4 days | — | No change in insulin secretion | Not randomized, placebo-controlled; 25(OH)D not reported; small sample size |
| Author, year (ref) | Subject characteristics | Baseline 25(OH)D | Determinant of insulin/glucose metabolism | Route, dose, and form of vitamin D administration | Length of intervention | Follow-up 25(OH)D | Outcome | Major limitations |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|
| Orwoll et al. 1994 [42] | 35 adults with T2DM, mean age: 61 years, mean BMI: 29.8 kg/m² (ethnicity not reported) | 35 ± 7 nmol/L | Meal challenge 1 μg/d 1,25(OH)₂D versus placebo | 4 days | — | No change, but tendency towards better insulin secretion in recently diagnosed subjects (within 3 years) | Postintervention 25(OH)D not assessed |
| Jorde and Figenschau 2009 [69] | 32 Norwegian adults with insulin and metformin-controlled T2DM, ages 21–75, mean BMI: 32.8 kg/m² (treatment), 31.3 kg/m² (placebo) | 60 ± 14 nmol/L (treatment) 58.5 ± 21 nmol/L (placebo) | Fasting insulin and glucose (HOMA-β) and c-peptide | 40,000 IU cholecalciferol/wk versus placebo | 6 months | 118.3 nmol/L (treatment) 57.2 nmol/L (placebo) | No change in insulin secretion | Sample size insufficient based on power calculations; indirect measure of insulin secretion |
| Nagpal et al. 2009 [70] | 100 Asian Indian, centrally-obese males, age ≥ 35 years, BMI: 26.7 kg/m² (treatment), 26 kg/m² (placebo) | 36.5 ± 14.6 nmol/L (treatment) 30 ± 12.5 nmol/L (placebo) | Fasting insulin and glucose (HOMA-β) | Oral, 3 doses of 120,000 IU cholecalciferol fortnightly versus placebo | 6 weeks | 71.6 nmol/L (treatment) 30.6 nmol/L (placebo) | No change in insulin secretion | Indirect measure of insulin secretion |
| De Boer et al. 2008 [71] | 795–866 postmenopausal women of various ethnicities, ages 50–79 years | 43.7 nmol/L (median) | Fasting insulin and glucose (HOMA-IR) | Oral, 400 IU cholecalciferol + 1000 mg calcium versus placebo | 6 years | — | No change in fasting glucose, insulin, or HOMA-IR, no change in diabetes risk | Postintervention 25(OH)D not reported; indirect measure of insulin sensitivity |
| Nilas and Christiansen 1984 [72] | 151 Danish postmenopausal women, ages 45–54 years | — | Blood glucose | Oral, 2000 IU/d cholecalciferol + 500 mg/d calcium versus 0.25 μg/d alphacalcidol + 500 mg/d calcium versus placebo | 2 years | — | No change in blood glucose | 25(OH)D not reported; indirect measure of insulin sensitivity |

**Table 2: Continued.**
| Author, year (ref) | Subject characteristics | Baseline 25(OH)D | Determinant of insulin/glucose metabolism | Route, dose, and form of vitamin D administration | Length of intervention | Follow-up 25(OH)D | Outcome | Major limitations |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Jorde and Figenschau 2009 [69] | 32 Norwegian adults with insulin and metformin-controlled T2DM, ages 21–75, mean BMI: 32.8 kg/m² (treatment), 31.3 kg/m² (placebo) | 60 ± 14 nmol/L (treatment) 58.5 ± 21 nmol/L (placebo) | Fasting insulin and glucose (HOMA-IR) | 40,000 IU cholecalciferol/wk versus placebo | 6 months | 118.3 nmol/L (treatment) 57.2 nmol/L (placebo) | No change in fasting glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, or HbA1c | Sample size insufficient based on power calculations; indirect measure of insulin sensitivity |
| Borissova et al. 2003 [66] | 10 Bulgarian women with T2DM, mean age: 53.8 years, mean BMI: 30.9 kg/m² | 35.3 ± 15.1 nmol/L | Fasting insulin and glucose (HOMA-IR) | Oral, 1332 IU cholecalciferol/d | 1 month | 63.3 ± 31.0 nmol/L | Nonsignificant decrease in HOMA-IR | Not randomized, placebo-controlled; indirect measure of insulin sensitivity |
| Pittas et al. 2007 [73] | 314 Caucasian American adults, mean age: 71.2 years, mean BMI: 26.7 kg/m² | Treatment: 81.4 ± 3.7 nmol/L (NFG), 71.2 ± 5.2 nmol/L (IFG); Placebo: 70.6 ± 2.8 nmol/L (NFG), 81.2 ± 4.7 (IFG) | Fasting insulin and glucose (HOMA-IR) | Oral, 700 IU cholecalciferol + 500 mg calcium versus placebo | 3 years | Treatment: 111 nmol/L (NFG), 102.4 nmol/L (IFG); Placebo: 69.7 nmol/L (NFG), 73.4 nmol/L (IFG) | Improved HOMA-IR in subjects with IFG | Indirect measure of insulin sensitivity |
| Nagpal et al. 2009 [70] | 100 Asian Indian, centrally-obese males, age ≥35 years, BMI: 26.7 kg/m² (treatment), 26 kg/m² (placebo) | 36.5 ± 14.6 nmol/L (treatment) 30 ± 12.5 nmol/L (placebo) | OGGT | Oral, 3 doses of 120,000 IU cholecalciferol fortnightly versus placebo | 6 weeks | 71.6 nmol/L (treatment) 30.6 nmol/L (placebo) | Increased insulin sensitivity (3-hour oral glucose insulin sensitivity index); no change in indices derived from fasting glucose and insulin values | Indirect measure of insulin sensitivity |
| Author, year (ref) | Subject characteristics | Baseline 25(OH)D | Determinant of insulin/glucose metabolism | Route, dose, and form of vitamin D administration | Length of intervention | Follow-up 25(OH)D | Outcome | Major limitations |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|
| Tai et al. 2008 [74] | 33 primarily Caucasian adults, mean age 55 years, mean BMI: 24.1 kg/m² | 39.9 ± 8.6 nmol/L | OGTT, fasting insulin and glucose | Oral, 2 doses of 100,000 IU cholecalciferol | 1 month (Follow-up 2 weeks after 2nd dose) | 90.3 ± 4.3 nmol/L | No change in fasting glucose, postchallenge insulin, Avignon's insulin sensitivity, QUICKI, or HOMA-IR | Not randomized, placebo-controlled; indirect measures of insulin sensitivity |
| Nyomba et al. 1986 [75] | 10 Belgian subjects with epilepsy (mean age: 56 years), and 15 elderly subjects (mean age: 78 years) | 17 ± 9.5 nmol/L; 19 ± 19.4 nmol/L | OGTT | Oral, 25(OH)D loading dose of 200 μg + 10 μg/d | 2 weeks | 36 ± 6 nmol/L | Decrease in fasting insulin and postchallenge insulin only in subjects with epilepsy | Not randomized, placebo-controlled; small sample size; indirect measure of insulin sensitivity |
| Lind et al. 1989 [52] | 14 normal-weight, Danish men with impaired glucose tolerance, ages 60–63 years | — | IVGTT | Oral, 2 μg/d alphacalcidiol | 18 months | 78 ± 43 nmol/L | No change in insulin sensitivity | Not randomized, placebo-controlled; baseline 25(OH)D not assessed; small sample size |
| Ljunghall et al. 1987 [76] | 65 vitamin D sufficient, Caucasian men with impaired glucose tolerance, ages 61–65 years, mean BMI: 27.5 kg/m² (treatment), 28.2 kg/m² (placebo) | 92.4 ± 23.5 nmol/L (treatment); 97.3 ± 72.4 nmol/L (placebo) | IVGTT | Oral, 0.75 μg/d alphacalcidiol versus placebo | 3 months | 104.8 ± 20.7 nmol/L (treatment); 134.8 ± 119.8 nmol/L (placebo) | No change in insulin sensitivity | — |
| Fliser et al. 1997 [77] | 18 healthy German males, mean age: 26 years, mean BMI: 22.4 kg/m² | — | Euglycemic clamp | Oral, 1.5 μg 1,25(OH)D/d versus placebo | 7 days | — | No change in insulin sensitivity | 25(OH)D not reported; small sample size |
Table 3: Associations of VDR polymorphisms with insulin secretion, insulin resistance, and T2DM. VDR: vitamin D receptor; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; OGTT: oral glucose tolerance test; HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR: homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; AUC: area under the curve; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio; HOMA-β: homeostasis model assessment of β-cell function; LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; OR: odds ratio; HbA1c: glycosylated hemoglobin.

| Author, year (ref) | Subject Characteristics | VDR gene investigated | Measurement of insulin metabolism | Main outcome | Notes |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| **(a) Insulin Secretion** |
| Speer et al. [78] 2001 | 49 Caucasians with T2DM, 29 subjects with obesity but no T2DM, 138 healthy controls | BsmI | Fasting and postprandial C-peptide | Higher postprandial C-peptide in BB genotype among T2DM and obese subjects | Highest postprandial C-peptide in subjects with BB and estrogen receptor polymorphism |
| Hitman et al. 1998 [79] | 171 Bangladeshi Asians | TaqI, BsmI, ApaI | OGTT | Higher insulin secretion index in AA genotype | No genotype differences in 32, 33 split proinsulin |
| Ogunkolade et al. 2002 [80] | 143 healthy Bangladeshi Asians | TaqI, BsmI, FokI, ApaI | OGTT | TaqI genotype independently predicted insulin secretion index; tt genotypes had greatest insulin secretion index | — |
| **(b) Insulin resistance** |
| Filus et al. 2008 [81] | 176 Polish men | FokI, BsmI | Fasting insulin and glucose | FF/Ff genotype associated with greater fasting insulin than ff | BB genotype associated with greater BMI and waist circumference; FF genotype associated with lower HDL-C |
| Chiu et al. 2001 [82] | 49 glucose-tolerant Caucasian Americans | FokI | Fasting insulin and glucose | ff/Ff genotypes had higher fasting insulin and HOMA-IR than FF; FokI genotype independently predicted HOMA-IR | ff/Ff genotypes had higher postchallenge insulin AUC; higher WHR in ff/Ff genotypes; HOMA-β did not differ between genotypes |
| Oh and Barret-Connor 2002 [83] | 1545 Caucasian Americans | TaqI, BsmI, ApaI | Fasting insulin and glucose | Fasting glucose higher in aa genotype (ApaI); HOMA-IR higher in BB genotype (BsmI) | Trend towards higher aa frequency in subjects with T2DM versus those without (P = .058) |
| Ortlepp et al. 2003 [84] | 1539 healthy, male German soldiers | BsmI | Fasting glucose | Fasting glucose independently associated with BsmI VDR (BB genotype) only in subjects with low physical activity | — |
| Author, year (ref) | Subject Characteristics | VDR gene investigated | Measurement of insulin metabolism | Main outcome | Notes |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Tworowska-Bardińska et al. 2008 [85] | 350 healthy, Polish postmenopausal women | BsmI | Fasting insulin and glucose | No difference in fasting insulin, glucose, or fasting insulin resistance index between genotypes | Greater LDL-C in BB genotype among those with central obesity |
| Ortlepp et al. 2003 [84] | 293 German adults at risk for coronary artery disease | BsmI | — | Higher T2DM prevalence in BB versus bb genotype; OR of 3.64 (CI: 1.53–8.55) | Higher coronary artery disease prevalence in BB genotype |
| Dilmec et al. 2009 [86] | 72 Turkish adults with T2DM versus 169 healthy controls | TaqI, ApaI | — | No statistical difference in genotype frequencies among cases versus controls | No difference in fasting plasma glucose or HbA1c among subjects with VDR polymorphisms versus those without |
| Malecki et al. 2003 [87] | 308 Polish adults with T2DM versus 240 controls | TaqI, BsmI, FokI, ApaI | — | No statistical difference in genotype frequencies among cases versus controls | TT (TaqI) and bb (BsmI) genotypes associated with BMI among subjects with early onset T2DM |
| Ye 2001 et al. [88] | 309 Caucasians (French) with T2DM versus 143 controls | TaqI, BsmI, TruI, ApaI | — | No statistical difference in genotype frequencies among cases versus controls | — |
| Boullu-Sanchis et al. 1999 [89] | 89 migrant Indians of Guadeloupe with T2DM versus 100 controls | TaqI, ApaI | — | No statistical difference in genotype frequencies among cases versus controls | — |
previous notion that vitamin D supplementation may not be useful once β-cells are exhausted. Studies using HOMA-β, an indirect index of β-cell function derived from fasting values of glucose and insulin, as the insulin secretory outcome, have likewise not shown significant changes in insulin secretion [69, 70]. The majority of available studies, regardless of a positive or negative outcome, are limited by their lack of a randomized, placebo-controlled design [41, 65, 66, 68], and/or nonreporting of serum 25(OH)D to ensure attainment of sufficient vitamin D concentrations [42, 65, 67, 68].

With regards to insulin sensitivity, studies in subjects with chronic renal disease have shown intravenous vitamin D administration to improve insulin sensitivity [92, 93]. Human studies in relatively healthy populations without renal disease have been inconsistent, particularly those using indirect indices of insulin sensitivity (Table 2(b)). Studies using fasting values of glucose and insulin (e.g., HOMA-IR), which primarily reflect hepatic insulin sensitivity, have generally not shown significant improvements in insulin sensitivity after vitamin D intervention [66, 69, 71, 72]. Posthoc analyses, however, from a randomized placebo-controlled trial revealed improved HOMA-IR after 3 years of 700 IU vitamin D3 plus 500 mg calcium citrate daily supplementation in Caucasian subjects with impaired fasting glucose, but not normal fasting glucose [73]. This study could not discriminate the relative influences of vitamin D over calcium. Vitamin D supplementation is more likely to influence discrimination of vitamin D over calcium in Caucasian subjects with impaired fasting glucose, but not normal fasting glucose [73]. This study could not discriminate the relative influences of vitamin D over calcium. Vitamin D supplementation is more likely to influence peripheral insulin sensitivity, as shown by Nagpal et al. [70], whereby 3 doses of 120000 IU vitamin D3 fortnightly versus placebo showed significant improvements in a 3-hour OGTT-derived insulin sensitivity index, but not indices derived from fasting values, in Asian-Indian men. Additional studies that have used OGTT-derived indices are limited by relatively small sample sizes, lack of randomized placebo-controlled design, and short-term supplementation [74, 75].

The few studies investigating vitamin D effects on directly measured insulin sensitivity using the euglycemic clamp technique or intravenous glucose tolerance testing (IVGTT) have not shown improvements in insulin sensitivity with supplementation [52, 76, 77] (Table 2(b)). The null effects in 2 studies [52, 76] may, at least in part, be explained by the vitamin D sufficiency of the subject populations. As suggested by Jorde and Figenschau [69], supplementation of vitamin D-sufficient populations may not incur additional glucose regulating effects. In addition, all studies used the active form of vitamin D (1,25(OH)2D) or an analog of this hormone to supplement, and the increase in 25(OH)D was minimal in one study [76] and unable to be evaluated in the others [52, 77]. It is plausible that results would have differed in vitamin D deficient subjects supplemented with cholecalciferol or ergocalciferol to produce a rise in serum 25(OH)D.

There is no universally accepted definition for the optimal serum concentration of 25(OH)D, thus complicating vitamin D supplementation trials. For prevention of rickets or osteomalacia, a serum 25(OH)D concentration of 25 nmol/L (10 ng/mL) is considered sufficient; yet to prevent osteoporosis and maximize calcium absorption a concentration of 75 nmol/L (30 ng/mL) is suggested [1]. Vitamin D deficiency is defined as serum 25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L (<20 ng/mL) [94]. As summarized by Pepper et al. [94], there is also no universally accepted standard regimen for the correction of vitamin D deficiency. It is also unknown what length of intervention or duration of follow-up once vitamin D is replete is required to appreciate the effects of vitamin D on insulin sensitivity and secretion. Further confounding conclusions are that the reported vitamin D intervention studies are heterogeneous in their research design and length, form, and dosage of vitamin D supplementation. Many also lack the demonstration of achieving a therapeutic level of vitamin D. Additionally, the majority of intervention trials have been performed in Caucasian subjects. There is a need for more intervention studies in subjects of non-Caucasian descent.

6. Vitamin D Receptor Polymorphisms

Polymorphisms in the VDR gene, namely, TaqI, BsmI, Apal, and FokI, have been identified and may influence insulin secretion and sensitivity, although relatively few studies have been conducted and, results have varied (Table 3). Among a cohort of Bangladeshi Asians, the Apal VDR gene polymorphism was associated with insulin secretion index [79]; however reanalysis of this cohort revealed TaqI to be the independent predictor of the insulin secretion index [80]. In contrast, the BsmI VDR gene polymorphism was associated with postprandial C-peptide concentrations among Caucasian Hungarians [78]. The Apal and BsmI VDR gene polymorphisms were also shown to be associated with fasting glucose and HOMA-IR, respectively, among a large cohort of Caucasian Americans [83], and BsmI was associated with fasting glucose in a large cohort of Germans [84]. The linkage disequilibrium that exists between the TaqI, BsmI and Apal polymorphisms may partly explain the varying results [80, 88]. The FokI VDR polymorphism was also associated with indices of insulin resistance among Polish men [81] and among Caucasian Americans [82], although the studies contradicted each other regarding the specific genotype associated with insulin resistance (FF versus ff).

Despite the support for a VDR gene polymorphism influencing insulin secretion and resistance, case-control studies, in general, have not found statistical differences in VDR gene polymorphism frequencies among subjects with T2DM versus controls [86–89]. Ortlepp et al. [84] did, however, report a higher prevalence of T2DM among German subjects with the BB genotype of the BsmI VDR gene polymorphism compared to those with the bb genotype. The association between VDR gene polymorphisms and insulin secretion and sensitivity should be confirmed using direct methods, such as IVGTT or clamp studies. In addition, these associations should be investigated in African Americans and other non-Caucasian ethnicities.

7. Vitamin D and the Insulin-Like Growth Factor (IGF) System

There is some evidence to suggest that vitamin D status may interact with the IGF system to influence glucose
homeostasis [61, 64]. Analysis of the 1958 British Birth Cohort revealed a lower risk for metabolic syndrome in subjects with the highest tertiles of both serum 25(OH)D and IGF-1 concentrations, although there was no statistical interaction between 25(OH)D and IGF-1 in any of the individual components of the metabolic syndrome and HbA1c [64]. In a prospective study, Forouhi et al. [61] found an inverse relationship between baseline 25(OH)D and 10-year follow-up fasting and 2-hour glucose only in subjects with baseline IGF binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1) concentrations below the median. An interaction between vitamin D and the IGF axis to influence glucose homeostasis seems conceivable as each has been shown to directly enhance the other [95, 96].

8. Summary and Conclusion

There is mechanistic support that vitamin D may influence both insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity and subsequently T2DM incidence. In general, cross-sectional and prospective studies support the role of vitamin D in the prevention of T2DM. Despite the inherent limitations of cross-sectional and prospective study designs, these types of study designs are useful for preliminary research to suggest which specific populations may respond to vitamin D interventions. Future cross-sectional and prospective studies should focus on non-Caucasian ethnicities with a high risk of both T2DM and vitamin D deficiency. Cross-sectional and prospective studies should account for potential confounders of the vitamin D-T2DM relationship, including age, ethnicity, obesity, physical activity, and diet, as well as use direct measures of adiposity, insulin sensitivity, and insulin secretion. Results of vitamin D intervention studies are equivocal; yet many studies are flawed by lack of randomized, placebo-controlled design, use of indirect measures of adiposity, insulin sensitivity, and insulin secretion. Future cross-sectional and prospective studies should be designed to account for potential confounders and use direct measures of insulin secretion and sensitivity.

Based on a review of literature, a true, direct link between vitamin D and risk for T2DM has not yet been conclusively established, although several unknowns remain. It is not known what the optimal concentration of vitamin D for glucose homeostasis should be and what duration of follow-up is necessary to appreciate the effects of vitamin D on insulin secretion and sensitivity. It is also unclear if a serum 25(OH)D threshold exists for which vitamin D does not incur additional benefits for glucose homeostasis, if vitamin D influences are ethnic-specific, or if there are different optimal thresholds for different ethnic groups. Large, well-controlled, randomized studies are required to clarify these important unknowns and define the relationship between vitamin D status and glucose homeostasis.
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