Relative Children’s Lipid Accumulation Product Is a Novel Indicator for Metabolic Syndrome
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Background: The children’s lipid accumulation product (CLAP) is associated with MS in Chinese children and adolescents. The aim of this study was to develop a more effective indicator, the relative children’s lipid accumulation product (RCLAP) was evaluated for correlation with MS and the density of lipid accumulation.

Methods: A stratified cluster sampling method was used to recruit 683 students aged 8–15 years in this study. The presence of MS was defined according to the NCEP-ATP III criteria. The participants’ guardians signed informed consent before the medical examination. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Bengbu Medical College [(2015) No.003] and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results: The overall prevalence of MS was 4.8% (male 6.6%, female 2.8%). After adjusting for sedentary activity time, relative children’s lipid accumulation product per height (RCLAP-H) and relative children’s lipid accumulation product per sitting height (RCLAP-SH) significantly increased the risk of MS in girls [OR (95% CI): 96.13 (11.11–831.97) and 96.13 (11.11–831.97), respectively]. After adjusting for ages and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity time, RCLAP-H, and RCLAP-SH significantly increased the risk of MS in boys [OR (95% CI): 171.75 (33.60–878.00) and 133.18 (27.65–641.39), respectively]. The AUCs of RCLAP-H and RCLAP-SH for predicting MS were 0.950, 0.948 in girls, and 0.952, 0.952 in boys, which were higher than BMI, WHtR, Tg/HDL-C, CLAP, and CLAP combining height, sitting height.

Conclusions: The RCLAP-H and RCLAP-SH were more effective indicators for predicting MS than BMI, WHtR, Tg/HDL-C, and CLAP in children and adolescents.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, there has been a striking increase in the number of people with metabolic syndrome (MS) (1). In 2009, the overall age-standardized estimated prevalence of MS was 21.3% based on the criteria of the revised National Cholesterol Education Program—Third Adult Treatment Panel (NCEP-ATPIII) (2). A meta-analysis showed that MS increased the risk of type
2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (3). Concomitantly with the increasing prevalence of childhood obesity, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome is rising among children and adolescents (4). According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), NCEP-ATPIII, and Chinese Children Metabolic Syndrome Righteousness and Prevention Advice (CHN2012) criteria, the prevalence of MS among Chinese children was 1.8, 2.6, and 2.0%, respectively. In addition, the MS prevalence in children who were overweight and obese was 4.7 and 17.3% based on IDF criteria in 2004–2014, respectively (5). Childhood MS is associated with hypertension, hyperlipidemia, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes, which can also lead to cardiometabolic diseases during adulthood. The definition of MS includes the presence of three or more components: central obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, high fasting glucose, low high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and hypertension (6). Many factors may induce MS, including unhealthy eating habits and lack of exercise (7, 8), the etiology and pathogenesis of MS are very complex (9, 10). Thus, more effective indicators to predict MS are very important in children and adolescents.

Some research has reported that body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), abdominal skinfold thickness (AST), Waist-to-height ratio (WHR), triglycerides (TG), triglycerides-to-HDL-C ratio (TG/HDL-C), and wrist circumference (WrC) were effectively related with MS (11–17). However, the above indicator is limited to distinguishing adipose tissue from lean mass and showing circulating lipid accumulation. The lipid excess coincides with expansion of visceral adipocytes and elevated blood concentrations of certain lipids, which is referred to as lipid overaccumulation, which could lead to ectopic deposition of lipids in non-adipose tissues, insulin resistance, and other metabolic dysfunctions (18–20). Kahn et al. (18) proposed a new marker, the lipid accumulation product (LAP), which reflects the total lipid accumulation in the body to predict MS in adults. The LAP is a product of waist circumference (WC) and fasting triglycerides (TG) concentration. Studies have shown LAP is a powerful marker for predicting MS and is better than BMI, WC, and WHR in adults. However, LAP may not directly reflect lipid accumulation in children and adolescents. Zhang et al. (21) developed a novel indicator, the children’s lipid accumulation product (CLAP), associated with MS in Chinese children and adolescents. The CLAP is a product of WC, AST, and TG concentration [CLAP = WC (cm) × AST (mm) × TG (mmol/L) / 100]. They reported that CLAP was an effective indicator associated with MS and was better than BMI and WHR. Wang et al. (22) showed that CLAP was significantly associated with hypertension in children and adolescents, and can more effectively predict childhood hypertension than WC, WHR, BMI, AST, and TG. Yuan et al. (23) showed that the CLAP was significantly associated with impaired fasting glucose (IFG) in Chinese boys, and it performed better than WC, WHR, AST, and TG. From the formula of CLAP, we know that AST refers to the accumulation of skin fat at a point on the abdomen. Multiplying by WC shows the accumulation of whole abdominal fat and then multiplying by TG, to a certain extent, shows the accumulation of body lipid. However, CLAP could not reflect the density of lipid accumulation in body.

It is well known that there are multifarious types of obesity, and different obesity types have different characteristic locations of fat accumulates. Exogenous and endogenous obesity are two types of Childhood obesity (24). The relative children’s lipid accumulation product (RCLAP) that was the CLAP at per unit body height, sitting height, and weight, may reflect the density of lipid accumulation among children and adolescents. However, it has been unclear whether the RCLAP were more effective indicators related to MS than CLAP, BMI, and WHR. The purpose of this study was to develop more effective RCLAP indicators for predicting MS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Subjects
In this study, a total of 683 students aged 8–15 years were selected from two 9-year schools via the stratified cluster sampling methods, including 317 girls (46.4%) aged at (10.98 ± 1.83 years) and 366 boys (53.6%) aged at (10.77 ± 1.80 years).

Measurement
The medical staff who received standardized training measured the participants’ body weight, height, sitting height (SH), Diastolic blood pressure (DBP), Systolic blood pressure (SBP), WC, and AST (21). Venous blood samples (3 ml) were taken by nurses with standardized training after at least 8 h of overnight fasting by the children and adolescents. The enzyme-linked immunoassay method was used to detect HDL-C. Enzymatic methods were used to detected TG and FBG levels.

Definition of Metabolic Syndrome (MS)
In this study, MS was diagnosed according to the amended NCEP-ATP III criteria (25). High fasting blood glucose (FBG) ≥ 110 mg/dl; abdominal obesity: WC ≥ 90th age- and sex-specific percentile for Chinese children (26); high blood pressure: SBP and/or DBP ≥ 90th percentile for gender and age (27); low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) ≤ 40 mg/dl; high triglycerides(TG) ≥ 110 mg/dl; when three or more of the five components were present then a diagnosis of MS was made.

Calculation of the Derivative Variables
Children’s lipid accumulation product (CLAP) = WC (cm) × AST (mm) × TG (mmol/L) / 100; BMI = weight (kg)/height$^2$ (m$^2$); WHR = waist circumference (cm)/height (cm); Relative children’s lipid accumulation product per height (RCLAP-H) = WC (cm) × AST (mm) × TG (mmol/L)/height (cm); Relative children’s lipid accumulation product per sitting height (RCLAP-SH) = WC (cm) × AST (mm) × TG (mmol/L)/sitting height (cm); Relative children’s lipid accumulation product per weight (RCLAP-W) = WC (cm) × AST (mm) × TG (mmol/L)/weight (kg).

Surveys of Behavioral Indexes
We investigated dietary behaviors and physical activities. The healthy dietary behaviors (including breakfast, milk, fruits, nuts,
TABLE 1 | The comparison of anthropometric characteristics, dietary behaviors, physical activities, CLAP, and RCLAP among children with Non-MS and MS.

| Variables | Girls (n = 317) | Non-MS (97.2%) | MS (2.8%) | t  /  c 2  | P | Boys (n = 366) | Non-MS (93.4%) | MS (6.6%) | t  /  c 2  | P |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|------------|------------|---|----------------|----------------|------------|------------|---|
| SH        |                | -0.01 ± 0.99   | 0.32 ± 1.04 | -0.98      | 0.329 |                | -0.03 ± 0.97   | 0.40 ± 1.17 | -2.05      | 0.041 |
| SSSH      |                | -0.01 ± 0.98   | 0.41 ± 1.19 | -1.27      | 0.204 |                | -0.04 ± 0.96   | 0.58 ± 1.24 | -2.99      | 0.003 |
| SW        |                | -0.02 ± 0.99   | 0.83 ± 0.67 | -2.59      | 0.010 |                | -0.10 ± 0.91   | 1.45 ± 0.91  | -8.07      | <0.0001 |
| SSWC      |                | -0.05 ± 0.95   | 1.74 ± 0.73 | -5.61      | <0.001 |                | -0.11 ± 0.91   | 1.58 ± 0.65  | -8.90      | <0.0001 |
| SAST      |                | -0.03 ± 0.98   | 0.96 ± 0.72 | -3.00      | 0.003 |                | -0.10 ± 0.92   | 1.48 ± 0.72  | -10.22     | <0.0001 |
| SBMI      |                | -0.02 ± 0.99   | 0.76 ± 0.52 | -2.36      | 0.019 |                | -0.11 ± 0.91   | 1.56 ± 0.69  | -11.26     | <0.0001 |
| SWHR      |                | -0.05 ± 0.95   | 1.79 ± 0.79 | -5.77      | <0.001 |                | -0.11 ± 0.92   | 1.55 ± 0.86  | -11.62     | <0.0001 |
| SlnCLAP   |                | -0.04 ± 0.97   | 1.43 ± 0.22 | -15.42     | <0.001 |                | -0.11 ± 0.92   | 1.60 ± 0.51  | -14.91     | <0.0001 |
| SRCLAP-H  |                | -0.05 ± 0.94   | 1.85 ± 0.67 | -6.01      | <0.001 |                | -0.15 ± 0.78   | 2.20 ± 1.13  | -10.03     | <0.0001 |
| SRCLAP-SH |                | -0.05 ± 0.94   | 1.85 ± 0.66 | -5.98      | <0.001 |                | -0.15 ± 0.79   | 2.19 ± 1.11  | -10.14     | <0.0001 |
| SRCLAP-W  |                | -0.05 ± 0.96   | 1.57 ± 0.80 | -5.01      | <0.001 |                | -0.14 ± 0.80   | 2.02 ± 1.19  | -8.76      | <0.0001 |
| SSBP      |                | -0.04 ± 0.97   | 1.32 ± 0.87 | -4.18      | <0.001 |                | -0.08 ± 0.96   | 1.09 ± 0.84  | -5.84      | <0.0001 |
| SDBP      |                | -0.04 ± 0.96   | 1.34 ± 1.02 | -4.22      | <0.001 |                | -0.06 ± 0.97   | 0.86 ± 0.91  | -4.46      | <0.0001 |
| HDL-C     |                | 1.51 ± 0.29    | 3.32 ± 0.07 | -2.07      | 0.041 |                | 1.56 ± 0.30    | 1.20 ± 0.24  | 5.81       | <0.0001 |
| TG        |                | 0.95 ± 0.28    | 1.39 ± 0.27 | -3.47      | <0.001 |                | 0.83 ± 0.34    | 1.50 ± 0.39  | -9.24      | <0.0001 |
| STg/HDL-C |                | -0.05 ± 0.93   | 1.86 ± 1.15 | -6.02      | <0.001 |                | -0.12 ± 0.86   | 1.71 ± 1.12  | -9.83      | <0.0001 |
| FBG       |                | 5.09 ± 0.45    | 5.26 ± 0.34 | -1.08      | 0.283 |                | 5.18 ± 0.42    | 5.30 ± 0.44  | -1.36      | 0.176  |
| Ages (years) |            | 0.47 ± 0.91    | 0.491       |            |            |                | 7.06 ± 0.08    | 0.008      |            |            |
| 8-        |                | 221 (96.1)     | 9 (3.9)     |            |            |                | 212 (90.0)     | 15 (11.0)  |            |            |
| 12-15     |                | 121 (98.4)     | 2 (1.6)     |            |            |                | 121 (90.0)     | 15 (11.0)  |            |            |
| Healthy dietary behaviors | | 0.13 ± 0.723 | 0.03 ± 0.97 |
| <P 15     |                | 241 (96.8)     | 8 (3.2)     |            |            |                | 247 (93.2)     | 18 (6.8)   | 0.08 ± 0.769 |            |
| ≥P 15     |                | 67 (98.5)      | 1 (1.5)     |            |            |                | 95 (94.1)      | 6 (5.9)    | 1.33 ± 0.250 |            |
| Risk dietary behaviors | | 0.00 ± 1.00 | 0.03 ± 0.97 |
| <P 15     |                | 256 (97.3)     | 7 (2.7)     |            |            |                | 238 (94.4)     | 14 (5.6)   | 0.03 ± 0.357 |            |
| ≥P 15     |                | 52 (96.3)      | 2 (3.7)     |            |            |                | 104 (91.2)     | 10 (8.8)   | 0.02 ± 0.769 |            |
| Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity time | | 0.61 ± 0.434 | 0.04 ± 0.97 |
| <60 min   |                | 182 (96.3)     | 7 (3.7)     |            |            |                | 166 (90.7)     | 17 (9.3)   | 0.04 ± 0.371 |            |
| ≥60 min   |                | 126 (98.4)     | 2 (1.6)     |            |            |                | 176 (96.2)     | 7 (3.8)    | 0.03 ± 0.371 |            |
| Sedentary activity time | | 3.65 ± 0.056 | 0.38 ± 0.97 |
| <120 min  |                | 124 (94.7)     | 7 (5.3)     |            |            |                | 179 (94.2)     | 11 (5.8)   | 0.38 ± 0.537 |            |
| ≥120 min  |                | 184 (98.9)     | 2 (1.1)     |            |            |                | 163 (92.6)     | 13 (7.4)   | 0.38 ± 0.537 |            |

SH, standardized height; SSSH, standardized sitting height; SW, standardized weight; SSWC, standardized waist circumference; SAST, standardized abdominal skinfold thickness; SBMI, standardized body mass index; SWHR, standardized waist/height ratio; SlnCLAP, standardized logarithmic children’s lipid accumulation product; SRCLAP-H, standardized relative children’s lipid accumulation product per height; SRCLAP-SH, standardized relative children’s lipid accumulation product per sitting height; SRCLAP-W, standardized relative children’s lipid accumulation product per weight; SSBP, standardized systolic blood pressure; SDBP, standardized diastolic blood pressure; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triacylglycerol; STg/HDL-C, standardized triglycerides-to-HDL-C ratio; FBG, fasting blood glucose.
sitting height, weight, WC, AST, BMI, WHtR, CLAP, RCLAP-H, RCLAP-SH, RCLAP-W, SBP, DBP, Tg/HDL-C, and TG compared with those without MS ($P < 0.05$). In contrast, girls and boys with MS had lower values of HDL-C than those without MS, respectively ($P < 0.05$). The prevalence of MS among boys aged 12–15 years was significantly higher than that aged 8–11 years ($P < 0.05$). The proportion of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity time ($\geq 60$ min) among boys with MS was significantly lower than those without MS ($P < 0.05$).

The Factors Associated With MS
The results of chi-square test showed that WHtR, CLAP, RCLAP-H, RCLAP-SH, and RCLAP-W were significantly associated with MS among boys and girls (Table 2). In girls, after adjusting for sedentary activity time factor, SWHtR $\geq 1$, SBMI $\geq 1$, STg/HDL-C $\geq 1$, SRCLAP-H $\geq 1$, SRCLAP-SH $\geq 1$, and SRCLAP-W $\geq 1$ significantly increased the risk of MS compared with SWHtR $< 1$, SBMI $< 1$, STg/HDL-C $< 1$, SRCLAP-H $< 1$, SRCLAP-SH $< 1$, and SRCLAP-W $< 1$ (OR were 37.43, 68.33, 171.75, 133.18, 50.13, respectively). In boys, after adjusting for ages and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity time factors, SWHtR $\geq 1$, SBMI $\geq 1$, STg/HDL-C $\geq 1$, SlnCLAP $\geq 1$, SRCLAP-H $\geq 1$, SRCLAP-SH $\geq 1$, and SRCLAP-W $\geq 1$ significantly increased the risk of MS compared with SWHtR $< 1$, SBMI $< 1$, STg/HDL-C $< 1$, SlnCLAP $< 1$, SRCLAP-H $< 1$, SRCLAP-SH $< 1$, and SRCLAP-W $< 1$ (OR were 37.43, 68.33, 171.75, 133.18, 50.13, respectively) (Table 3).

The Power for Predicting MS
As shown in Table 4 and Figure 1, the AUCs of SBMI, SWHtR, STg/HDL-C, SlnCLAP, SRCLAP-H, SRCLAP-SH, and SRCLAP-W, SlnCLAP and SH, SlnCLAP and SSH, SlnCLAP and SW for predicting MS among girls were 0.828, 0.925, 0.929, 0.946, 0.950, 0.948, 0.920, 0.947, 0.947, 0.949. The AUCs of above indicators for predicting MS among boys were 0.916, 0.916, 0.931, 0.946, 0.952, 0.929, 0.946, 0.946, 0.949, respectively.

DISCUSSION
Metabolic syndrome (MS) has become a major public health issue worldwide (30). This study showed that the overall prevalence of MS was 4.8% (males 6.6%, girls 2.8%) in children and adolescents aged 8–15 years. The similar prevalence of MS was reported among Indian studies aged 12–17 years (4.2%) (31) and US adolescents (4.5%) (32). Rodriguezmorán et al. (33) reported that there was higher prevalence of MS among Mexico adolescents (6.5%) and Esmaillzadeh et al. (34) reported that there was 10.1% of Iranian adolescents with MS. The present study showed that prevalence of MS among girls (2.8%) was lower than that in boys (6.6%), which was consistent with the results of most previous studies (25, 35, 36). The reasons might be because the boys had lower levels of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and higher levels of risk dietary behaviors, so they have a higher prevalence of overweight or obesity among boys than girls. However, other studies had also reported there was no significant difference in the prevalence of MS between sex (9). The current study showed that the prevalence of MS among boys aged 12–15 years was significantly higher than that aged 8–11 years, which was in line with the result of a study by Gooty et al. (37). This may be associated with an increased exposure of risk factors for MS as boys age (38).
that the ability to regulate glucose was progressively lost with age (39). Moreover, in the present study we found that moderate-to-vigorous physical activity time of less than 60 min was a risk factor for MS in boys, which was consistent with previous studies (40, 41). Styne et al. (42) also showed that at least 20 min of vigorous short bursts of physical activity a day, for 3 to 5 days per week can improve metabolic measures in children and adolescents. Physical activity is helpful in improving the lipid profile by increasing HDL concentration and decreasing both LDL and triglycerides concentrations (43).

The additional findings of this cross-sectional study were that the children with MS demonstrated higher BMI, WHtR, Tg/HDL-C, and CLAP levels compared to children without MS, which was in line with previous studies (11, 13, 14, 21). However, these indexes were limited to showing the accumulation of lipids. BMI cannot show an indication of body fat distribution, and it is not only related to fat mass but also related to fat-free mass (44), WHtR was limited to showing the accumulation of lipids in blood circulation, Tg/HDL-C was only showing the accumulation of lipids in blood circulation. CLAP is a better marker to predicting excess body fat carries an increased risk for obesity-associated conditions, and RCLAP on MS using logistic regression models.

| Variables | β (S.E.) | Wald | P | OR (95% CI) |
|-----------|---------|------|---|------------|
| Girls | | | | |
| SWHtR  | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 2.76 (0.82) | 11.24 | <0.001 | 15.79 (3.15–79.21) |
| SBMI | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 1.32 (0.74) | 3.14 | <0.076 | 3.73 (0.87–15.95) |
| STg/HDL-C | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 3.50 (0.84) | 17.35 | <0.001 | 32.97 (6.37–170.80) |
| SlnCLAP | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 19.74 (2,358.36) | 0.00 | 0.993 | – |
| SCLAP-H | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 4.57 (1.10) | 17.19 | <0.001 | 96.13 (11.11–831.97) |
| SCLAP-SH | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 4.57 (1.10) | 17.19 | <0.001 | 96.13 (11.11–831.97) |
| SCLAP-W | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 2.91 (0.75) | 15.18 | <0.001 | 18.28 (4.24–78.87) |
| Boys | | | | |
| SWHtR  | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 3.62 (0.60) | 37.09 | <0.001 | 37.43 (11.67–120.10) |
| SBMI | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 4.22 (0.67) | 40.20 | <0.001 | 68.33 (18.51–252.20) |
| STg/HDL-C | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 3.25 (0.51) | 40.91 | <0.001 | 25.70 (9.50–59.50) |
| SlnCLAP | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 4.66 (0.60) | 32.80 | <0.001 | 105.86 (21.99–509.68) |
| SCLAP-H | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 5.15 (0.83) | 38.21 | <0.001 | 171.75 (33.60–878.00) |
| SCLAP-SH | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 4.89 (0.80) | 37.20 | <0.001 | 133.18 (27.65–641.39) |
| SCLAP-W | <1 | 0 | | 1 |
| ≥1 | 3.92 (0.60) | 42.63 | <0.001 | 50.13 (15.48–162.37) |

| Variables | AUC | S.E. | P | 95% CI of AUC |
|-----------|-----|------|---|---------------|
| Girls | | | | |
| SBMI | 0.828 | 0.032 | 0.001 | 0.782–0.868 |
| SWHtR | 0.925 | 0.023 | <0.001 | 0.890–0.951 |
| SlnCLAP | 0.948 | 0.014 | <0.001 | 0.916–0.968 |
| SRCLAP-H | 0.950 | 0.014 | <0.001 | 0.920–0.971 |
| SRCLAP-SH | 0.948 | 0.014 | <0.001 | 0.918–0.970 |
| SRCLAP-W | 0.920 | 0.027 | <0.001 | 0.884–0.947 |
| STg/HDL-C | 0.929 | 0.025 | <0.001 | 0.895–0.955 |
| SinCLAP combining SH | 0.947 | 0.014 | <0.001 | 0.916–0.969 |
| SinCLAP combining SSH | 0.947 | 0.014 | <0.001 | 0.916–0.969 |
| SinCLAP combining SW | 0.949 | 0.013 | <0.001 | 0.916–0.969 |
| Boys | | | | |
| SBMI | 0.916 | 0.020 | <0.001 | 0.883–0.942 |
| SWHtR | 0.916 | 0.019 | <0.001 | 0.883–0.942 |
| SinCLAP | 0.946 | 0.020 | <0.001 | 0.917–0.967 |
| SRCLAP-H | 0.952 | 0.020 | <0.001 | 0.925–0.972 |
| SRCLAP-SH | 0.952 | 0.020 | <0.001 | 0.925–0.971 |
| SRCLAP-W | 0.929 | 0.027 | <0.001 | 0.898–0.953 |
| STg/HDL-C | 0.931 | 0.017 | <0.001 | 0.900–0.955 |
| SinCLAP combining SH | 0.947 | 0.020 | <0.001 | 0.917–0.967 |
| SinCLAP combining SSH | 0.948 | 0.020 | <0.001 | 0.916–0.967 |
| SinCLAP combining SW | 0.949 | 0.019 | <0.001 | 0.922–0.969 |

RCLAP, a Novel Indicator for Metabolic Syndrome

Variables: BMI, Tg/HDL-C, and CLAP levels compared to children without MS, which was in line with previous studies (11, 13, 14, 21). However, these indexes were limited to showing the accumulation of lipids. BMI cannot show an indication of body fat distribution, and it is not only related to fat mass but also related to fat-free mass (44), WHtR was limited to showing the accumulation of lipids in blood circulation, Tg/HDL-C was only showing the accumulation of lipids in blood circulation. CLAP is a better marker to predicting MS than BMI and WHtR in Chinese children and adolescents; however, it only reflects the state of lipid accumulation, not the density of lipid accumulation. Now our results suggested RCLAP-H and RCLAP-SH were significantly associated with MS [in girls, the OR values (95% CI) were 96.13 (11.11–831.97) and 96.13 (11.11–831.97), respectively; in boys, the OR value (95% CI) were 171.75 (33.60–878.00) and 133.18 (27.65–641.39), respectively] and the abilities of RCLAP-H and RCLAP-SH for predicting MS were all higher than those of BMI, WHtR, Tg/HDL-C, -CLAP, and CLAP combining height, sitting height. RCLAP-H reflected the lipid accumulation at per unit height which could reflect different metabolic risks based on children’s height; for example, the children with the same CLAP have a greater risk of MS with shorter heights. RCLAP-SH reflected the lipid accumulation at per upper half of body. There have been studies that showed that an upper body or centralized deposition of excess body fat carries an increased risk for obesity-associated.
metabolic complications (45, 46). In our study population, the effect of SRCLAP-W was not obvious, which may be that WC and AST reflect weight to some extent, so the effect of CLAP divided by weight will be weakened. However, the effect of CLAP combining weight for predicting MS was higher than that of SRCLAP-W, which may be that CLAP combining weight reflects the superposition effect of WC and AST.

Excess lipid material will increasingly be deposited in non-adipose tissues (e.g., liver, kidneys, skeletal muscle, heart, blood vessels, and pancreas) where it may adversely modify cellular metabolism and accelerate apoptosis (47, 48). Commonly adopted predictive indicators of abdominal obesity include WC and related indexes such as the waist-to-height and waist-to-hip ratios (49, 50). Ectopic lipid deposition is difficult to quantify directly in children and adolescents, but an increased RCLAP value may indicate that various tissues or organs have become more vulnerable to injury from lipid overaccumulation. The metabolically obese normal-weight (MONW) (51) individuals who have normal body weight but with obesity, are characterized by the presence of a cluster of cardiovascular risk factors. Janssen (52) proposed that those that also fulfill the criteria for the MS should be classified as MONW. Du et al. (53) showed that LAP and visceral adiposity index (VAI) are effective markers for identifying the Chinese adults with MONW phenotype. We speculate that RCLAP-H or RCLAP-SH may be applicable to identifying MONW in children and adolescents.

The present study has several strengths. The RCLAP at per unit body height, sitting height can reflect the density of lipid accumulation in body, the study demonstrated that the RCLAP-H and RCLAP-SH were more effective indicators for predicting MS than BMI, WHtR, Tg/HDL-C, and CLAP in children and adolescents. However, this study also has some limitations. Firstly, it was a cross-sectional study and the causality between RCLAP and MS cannot be inferred. Secondly, we only studied Chinese children and adolescents, thus the generalizability to other ethnic groups is limited. Finally, the sample size in our study is limited, so we cannot provide a representative cut-off value in different ages and gender for the time being. Therefore, the results need to be confirmed by other studies.

The RCLAP was associated with MS and reflect the density of lipid accumulation among children and adolescents. It is an accurate and simple method for predicting the risk of MS in children and adolescents. Furthermore, we reported that the relative children’s lipid accumulation product per height (RCLAP-H) and relative children’s lipid accumulation product per sitting height (RCLAP-SH) may be more predictive power for MS than BMI, WHtR, Tg/HDL-C, and CLAP.
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