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INTRODUCTION

The solubility and the bioavailability of a drug affect the therapeutic efficacy of the drug when released from its dosage form. Solubility is one of the major parameters to attain the desired plasma drug concentration. The poor solubility of the drug may result in a limited absorption further leading to the decrease in bioavailability [1]. Dissolution is the rate-limiting step for drug absorption of poorly water-soluble drugs. The need of bioavailability enhancement so as to increase the therapeutic efficacy of the drug has led to investigate the various approaches to enhance the bioavailability. The physical approaches include solubility enhancement by particle size reduction or by delivery of drugs in a suitable carrier, while the chemical approaches include partial chemical modification by the formation of salts, ester prodrugs and ionic complexes with poorly water-soluble drugs. The alteration of the solid-state by several particle size reduction techniques viz. nanosizing by milling, co-grinding, precipitation, nanosuspension technology, supercritical fluid techniques, complexation of drugs with cyclodextrins, inert polymers, and nanomaterials like dendrimers and carbon nanotubes can improve the dissolution rate.

Various physical and physiological factors affect the release of a drug from its delivery system. Altering these factors help to develop well distinguished and reproducible forms of drug delivery systems. The goal in designing a dosage form into a controlled or sustained drug delivery system is to promote the administration of low doses, enhancement of bioavailability by retaining the dosage form at the site of absorption, uniform drug release, reduction in the fluctuations of plasma drug concentration and enhancement of drug efficacy and safety [2]. The controlled release formulations might be designed as single or multiple-unit drug delivery systems. Multiple unit drug delivery systems tend to be more advantageous than single units as they disperse uniformly along the GIT, help the gastric retention of the dosage form, thereby promoting the drug availability at the site of absorption or a longer period of time and also reduce the inter and intra-subject variability thereby improving the bioavailability of the drug.

Clopidogrel is an anti-platelet drug indicated to reduce the risk of myocardial infarction for patients with non-ST elevated acute coronary syndrome (ACS), belonging to class II drugs of BCS classification with water solubility 0.0118 mg/ml. Dipyridamole, a non-nitrate coronary vasodilator that also inhibits platelet aggregation used to prevent thrombosis in patients with valvular or vascular disorders. It belongs to BCS classification class II drugs having low water solubility and high permeation. It has a water solubility of 0.922 mg/ml. In the work carried by Jain HK et al. [3], it was reported that the precision and accuracy of the method met the criteria laid down in guidance for industry, Bioanalytical method validation, USFDA. From the results of this work, it can be concluded that the developed method is simple, accurate, rapid and precise and hence can be used for analysis of clopidogrel bisulfate in the plasma. It was reported by Vanshiv SD et al. [4] that the pharmacokinetic study comparing pure dipyridamole and dipyridamole microspheres indicated the decrease in Cmax and increase in Tmax inferring to the increase in bioavailability. The sustained release of drug from the microballoons was attained and the bioavailability of the dipyridamole microballoons was enhanced by 30% than the pure drug in this work. N. J. Joseph et al. [5] reported the in vivo studies of pure drug and drug-loaded hollow microspheres of piroxicam. The pharmacokinetic analysis elucidated that the bioavailability of piroxicam loaded microballoons was enhanced by 1.4 times than the pure drug.

Floating microballoons have the advantage of floating immediately without lag time upon administration. Clopidogrel and dipyridamole are having favorable absorption from the stomach owing to their physicochemical properties and hence are suitable for developing into floating microballoons. In the current research work, the in vivo pharmacokinetic studies were carried out for these two anti-platelet drugs. Clopidogrel bisulfate and dipyridamole formulated as floating microballoons. The pre-optimized formulations in both the anti-platelet floating microballoons were taken for conducting the in vivo pharmacokinetic studies. The pharmacokinetic data obtained in the in vivo studies were analyzed using PK Solver software.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials
Clopidogrel and dipyridamole were obtained as gift samples from Hetero Drugs Pvt. Ltd. All other chemicals were of analytical grade and used were purchased from Merck Specialties Pvt. Ltd.

Methods
Linearity and range
a) Clopidogrel bisulphate
Calibration curve in plasma using HPLC was developed in order to estimate Clopidogrel bisulphate in plasma samples during in vivo studies by referring to the method reported by Muhammad. K. Javed et al. [6] with necessary modifications. Stock solutions of Clopidogrel bisulphate and the internal standard Diclofenac sodium were prepared in methanol and diluted with the mobile phase. Various concentrations of clopidogrel in the range of 1–100 ng/ml were prepared in the mobile phase, Methanol and Phosphoric acid (25 mmol) at a ratio of 80:20, so as to contain the internal standard also at a concentration of 100 ng/ml. These samples were spiked with plasma and extraction was done by liquid-liquid extraction method using acetonitrile. 100 µl of the filtrate was injected into the column (Poroshell 120 EC-C8 18: 4.6x100 mm) which was maintained at 35 °C. The flow rate of the mobile phase was maintained at 1.1 ml/min. The analyte was estimated using UV detector at a wavelength of 220 nm.

b) Dipyridamole
Calibration curve in plasma using HPLC was developed in order to estimate dipyridamole in plasma samples during in vivo studies by referring to the method reported by Ting Qin et al. [7] with necessary modifications. The mobile phase used was Methanol and Ammonium acetate (5 mmol) at 80:20 ratio. Stock solutions of dipyridamole and the internal standard diazepam were prepared in methanol and diluted with the mobile phase. Various concentrations of dipyridamole in the range of 0.02–4 µg/ml were prepared in the mobile phase so as to contain the internal standard also at a concentration of 4 µg/ml. These samples were spiked with plasma and extraction was done by liquid-liquid extraction method using acetonitrile. 100 µl of the filtrate was injected into the column (Poroshell 120 EC-C8 18: 4.6x100 mm), which was maintained at 35 °C. The flow rate of the mobile phase was maintained at 0.25 ml/min. The analyte was estimated using UV detector at a wavelength of 283 nm.

Accuracy
The accuracy of an analytical procedure expresses the closeness of agreement between the value which is accepted either as a true conventional value or an accepted reference value and the value found. Accuracy was determined by replicate analysis of samples with a known amount of analyte using three determinations per concentration. The %RSD is calculated from the mean of the response (peak area) and the standard deviation [8, 9].

Precision
The precision of an analytical procedure expresses the closeness of agreement (degree of scatter) between a series of measurements obtained from multiple sampling of the same homogenous sample. The precision of an analytical procedure is usually expressed as the variance, standard deviation or coefficient of variation of a series of measurements. The precision was determined by injecting the sample prepared for ten times and the standard deviation was calculated [8, 9]. The %RSD was calculated from the standard deviation and the mean of the response.

Limit of detection and limit of quantification
The term Limit of detection (LOD) refers to the lowest concentration at which the instrument is able to detect but not quantify and the noise to signal ratio for LOD should be 1:3. Limit of quantification (LOQ) refers to the lowest concentration at which the instrument is able to detect and quantify and its noise to signal ratio should be 1:10. The slope, intercept and correlation coefficient and the residual standard deviation from the linearity curve were calculated and recorded the results for a limit of detection and quantification [10] formula mentioned.

\[ \text{LOD} = \frac{3.3 \times \text{(Standard deviation of the response)}}{\text{slope}} \]

\[ \text{LOQ} = \frac{10 \times \text{(Standard deviation of the response)}}{\text{slope}} \]

Preparation of floating microspheres
In a mixture of methanol and diethyl ether, the polymer was dissolved and then in that mixture, the drug was dissolved by subjecting it to mixing for 2 min on a vortex mixer to attain the organic phase [11, 12]. Span 80 was added to liquid paraffin to attain the oily phase. On the mechanical stirrer, the oil phase was subjected to stirring and set at different rpm. Under constant stirring, the organic phase was added dropwise to the oil phase. The solvents were evaporated completely, the stirring was continued for about 4-5 h to yield microspheres. To remove the liquid paraffin traces, the microspheres thus obtained were washed with petroleum ether and dried.

The various formulation compositions varied were the polymer concentration in the total weight of the microspheres, the concentration of methanol in internal phase, the volume of the internal phase, concentration of the surfactant and the speed of rotation in rpm respectively. Upon performing the characterization studies for all the formulated microspheres, the formulation with 75%w/w polymer, 40%w/v methanol, 7.5%v/v volume of internal phase, 0.25%w/v surfactant and 400rpm was taken as the optimized formulation in both clopidogrel microspheres and dipyridamole microspheres.

In vivo pharmacokinetic studies
Adult Wistar male albino rats (275±25 g) were used to perform the oral bioavailability study. The IAEC approval number of the current work is 1529/PO/Re/S/11/CPSEA/CHIPS/IAEC8/PRO-05/2020-21, and the animals for the study were procured from the Mahaveer Enterprises, Hyderabad. Under standard laboratory conditions, the animals were kept on a 12h light/dark cycles at 25 °C temperature and 50% relative humidity. The animals were housed in polypropylene cages with free access to a standard laboratory diet and water intake was ad libitum. In the rats after fasting overnight (12h) the experiments were performed.

The animals were divided into five groups, with Group 1 being the control placebo group, Group 2 and Group 3 being the reference group administered with Clopidogrel bisulphate and dipyridamole drug suspension, respectively. Group 4 and Group 5 were the test groups administered with the optimized clopidogrel bisulphate microspheres and dipyridamole microspheres respectively. By cautiously placing a stomach tube into the esophagus of witting rats, the selected formulations were given orally by gavage. 1.07 mg/kg human dose was converted into rate dose by considering the human and rat Km values [13] and the dose of rate 5.66 mg/kg was administered to each rat. The sample volumes were adjusted based on the drug present in the formulation and the pure drug suspension. Upon administration, the samples of blood (300 µl) were withdrawn from the retro-orbital of rate at different time periods at 0 (pre-dose), 0.5, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 18 and 24h, which were transferred into heparinized containers. The fluid loss due to blood sampling may alter the biopharmaceutics of the drug. In the current work, less than the maximum recommended (3.5 ml) was extracted for 24h which was about 3000 µl of total blood and therefore, the fluid loss might not affect the pharmacokinetic parameters calculated. For the isolation of plasma, the samples were subjected to centrifugation at 800 rpm at 4 °C for 20 min. The analyte was extracted with acetonitrile after the plasma samples were spiked with an internal standard solution. For the quantification, 100 µl of each extract was injected into HPLC.

For the estimation of the pharmacokinetic parameters of clopidogrel and dipyridamole microspheres, the obtained plasma drug concentration versus time data was subjected to non-compartmental analysis using PK Solver software. The obtained results of Cmax, AUC (Area under the curve) and mean residence time (MRT) were statistically analyzed (using data analysis tool in MS Excel) with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to check whether the increase in bioavailability was significant or not.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 1: a) Chromatogram of clopidogrel in rat plasma using HPLC b) Chromatogram of dipyridamole in rat plasma using HPLC

Fig. 2: a) Calibration curve of clopidogrel in rat plasma using HPLC b) Calibration curve of dipyridamole in rat plasma using HPLC. The results were expressed as mean±SD for n=3

Table 1: Linearity test results of estimation of clopidogrel in rat plasma using HPLC

| S. No. | Parameter                        | Result observed                  |
|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1      | Concentration range (ng/ml)      | 1–100 ng/ml                      |
| 2      | Retention time (min.)            | 2.045                            |
| 3      | Diclofenac sodium                | 5.121                            |
| 4      | Clopidogrel                      | y = 197.34x+117.26               |
| 5      | Regression equation              | 197.34                           |
| 6      | Slope                            | 117.26                           |
| 7      | Intercept                        | 0.9993                           |
| 8      | Correlation coefficient          |                                  |

Table 2: Linearity test results of estimation of dipyridamole in rat plasma using HPLC

| S. No. | Parameter                        | Result observed                  |
|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1      | Concentration range (µg/ml)      | 0.02-4 µg/ml                     |
| 2      | Retention time (min.)            | 1.408                            |
| 3      | Diazepam                         | 2.163                            |
| 4      | Dipyridamole                     | y= 46214x+3467.7                 |
| 5      | Regression equation              | 46214                            |
| 6      | Slope                            | 3467.7                           |
| 7      | Intercept                        | 0.9987                           |
| 8      | Correlation coefficient          |                                  |

Table 3: Accuracy and precision results of clopidogrel and dipyridamole

| Test          | Clopidogrel | Dipyridamole |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| Accuracy      | % Mean recovery | 100.02 | 99.79 |
|               | % RSD        | 0.467 | 0.733 |
| Precision     | %RSD         | 0.459 | 0.716 |

*RSD-Relative standard deviation
respectively as shown in fig. 2. It can be inferred from this result that observed with correlation coefficient of 0.9993 and 0.9987 the linearity was established [14] and could be successfully used for AUMC: Area under the first momentum curve; MRT: Mean residence time; ke: Elimination rate constant; t1/2: Elimination half-life; ANOVA: Analysis of variance.

The quantification of clopidogrel and dipyridamole in rat plasma were obtained as shown in fig. 1b. A peak at 1.408 min of diazepam and another peak at 2.163 min is of dipyridamole is of clopidogrel were obtained as shown in fig. 1a. A peak at 1.408 min of diclofenac and another peak at 5.121 min that a peak at 2.045 min of diclofenac and another peak at 5.121 min was effectively extracted with acetonitrile by liquid-liquid extraction. At a concentration range of 1 -100 ng/ml for clopidogrel and 0.02-4 µg/ml for dipyridamole biological samples. The LOD and LOQ for clopidogrel were found to be 0.2 ng/ml and 0.6 ng/ml respectively; whereas these values for dipyridamole were found to be 5.3 ng/ml and 16.1 ng/ml, respectively. These values suggested that this method could quantify any amount of the drugs above their LOD values (0.6 ng/ml for clopidogrel and 16.1 ng/ml for dipyridamole) in biological samples taken from the pharmacokinetic studies.

It was inferred from the chromatograms of the drug in rat plasma that a peak at 2.045 min of diclofenac and another peak at 5.121 min is of clopidogrel were obtained as shown in fig. 1a. A peak at 1.408 min of diazepam and another peak at 2.163 min is of dipyridamole were obtained as shown in fig. 1b.

The quantification of clopidogrel and dipyridamole in rat plasma during in vivo studies was carried by developing calibration curve using HPLC; considering diclofenac sodium and diazepam as the internal standards respectively, the drug and internal standard from plasma was effectively extracted with acetonitrile by liquid-liquid extraction. At a concentration range of 1-100 ng/ml for clopidogrel (table 1) and 0.02-4 ng/ml for dipyridamole (table 2) linearity was observed with correlation coefficient of 0.9993 and 0.9967 respectively as shown in fig. 2. It can be inferred from this result that the linearity was established [14] and could be successfully used for the estimation of drug in the concentration range of 1-100 ng/ml for clopidogrel and 0.02-4 µg/ml dipyridamole biological samples.

The accuracy of the method was found to be satisfactory as the mean recovery and %RSD were found to be 100.019% and 0.4675% for clopidogrel and 99.791% and 0.733% for dipyridamole respectively as shown in table 3 and the recovery method is found to be significant as the mean recovery was within the range of 98% to 102% and the % RSD was not more than +2.0% in both clopidogrel and dipyridamole. These results indicated that the developed method was accurate, as evidenced by Sahai M et al. [15] and Patil PM et al. [16].

The results of the precision determination studies show that upon repetition of the prepared sample injection for ten times, the %RSD calculated from the mean and the standard deviation was found to be 0.459% for clopidogrel and 0.716% for dipyridamole as shown in table 3. The low values of %RSD indicate that the precision of the method is high as the %RSD values were not more than +2.0% in both clopidogrel and dipyridamole. These results indicated that the developed method was accurate, as evidenced by Sahai M et al. [15] and Patil PM et al. [16].

The LOD and LOQ for clopidogrel were found to be 0.2 ng/ml and 0.6 ng/ml respectively; whereas these values for dipyridamole were found to be 5.3 ng/ml and 16.1 ng/ml, respectively. These values suggested that this method could quantify any amount of the drugs above their LOD values (0.6 ng/ml for clopidogrel and 16.1 ng/ml for dipyridamole) in biological samples taken from the pharmacokinetic studies.
For the pure drug solutions, the optimized formulation of clopidogrel bisulfate and the optimized formulation of dipyridamole, the in vivo pharmacokinetic studies were performed in Wistar male rats for which the results were shown in fig. 3. The pharmacokinetic parameters of clopidogrel were shown in table 4 and dipyridamole in table 5, which were obtained using PK Solver software to analyze the plasma concentration time data by non-compartmental model.

In both the clopidogrel and dipyridamole microballoons, the increased Tmax (p<0.05) and decreased Cmax (p<0.05) than the pure drug solution inferred to the slow and extended absorption of drug due to its sustained release from the formulated microballoons. The AUC was found to be increased (p<0.05) by 33.3% and 154.5% for clopidogrel and dipyridamole microballoons respectively when compared to their pure drug which might be attributed to the sustained release of drug from the microballoons in the stomach. These results were evidenced by the results published by P K et al [18]. The pharmacokinetic studies also elucidated the increase in the mean residence time of the drug as shown in the table 4 and 5, when formulated as microballoons when compared to the pure drug. This could be attributed to the prolonged residence of the microballoons in the stomach. The study results inferred that the effective sustain release from the developed microballoons and their absorption with increased bioavailability was achieved because of floating in the stomach [19, 20].

CONCLUSION

In the current study, the optimized formulations of clopidogrel microballoons and dipyridamole floating microballoons were subjected to in vivo pharmacokinetic studies and the results elucidated the enhanced bioavailability of the drugs upon formulated as floating microballoons when compared to their pure drug solutions. The pharmacokinetic studies also confirm the increase in the mean residence time of the drugs when formulated as microballoons. The results obtained in this research work suggested that the floating microballoons act as an alternative to conventional oral dosage form also other sustained release dosage forms in enhancing bioavailability of the drug thereby improving the therapeutic efficacy of the drug.
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