A Role for Dictyostelium RacE in Cortical Tension and Cleavage Furrow Progression
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Abstract. The small GTPase racE is essential for cytokinesis in Dictyostelium. We found that this requirement is restricted to cells grown in suspension. When attached to a substrate, racE null cells form an actomyosin contractile ring and complete cytokinesis normally. Nonetheless, racE null cells fail completely in cytokinesis when in suspension. To understand this conditional requirement for racE, we developed a method to observe cytokinesis in suspension. Using this approach, we found that racE null cells attempt cytokinesis in suspension by forming a contractile ring and cleavage furrow. However, the cells form multiple blebs and fail in cytokinesis by regression of the cleavage furrow. We believe this phenotype is caused by the extremely low level of cortical tension found in racE null cells compared to wild-type cells. The reduced cortical tension of racE null cells is not caused by a decrease in their content of F-actin. Instead, mitotic racE null cells contain abnormal F-actin aggregates. These results suggest that racE is essential for the organization of the cortical cytoskeleton to maintain proper cortical integrity. This function of racE is independent of attachment to a substrate, but can be bypassed by other signaling pathways induced by adhesion to a substrate.

The rho family of small GTPases participates in a broad range of cellular processes including cytoskeletal organization, endocytosis, and regulation of cell growth (Tapon and Hall, 1997). Individual rho proteins can modulate the organization of distinct actin structures in response to different stimuli. In particular, rhoA organizes actin into stress fibers, whereas rac1 controls the formation of lamellipodia and cdc42 controls filopodia formation (Hall, 1994). Rho proteins are also thought to have an important role in cytokinesis. Inhibition of rhoA activity leads to a failure in cytokinesis in sand dollar eggs, and in Xenopus and Drosophila embryos (Kishi et al., 1993; Mabuchi et al., 1993; Drechsel et al., 1996). Similarly, inhibition of cdc42 activity in Xenopus embryos and mammalian cells results in a cytokinesis defect (Drechsel et al., 1996; Dutartre et al., 1996). However, the role of these proteins is not unique to cytokinesis, as inhibition of their function affects a variety of cellular processes. In contrast, a genetic screen designed to isolate cytokinesis mutants in Dictyostelium identified a novel rho protein, called racE, which plays a specific role during cytokinesis. Dictyostelium racE null mutants have a strong defect in cytokinesis: they fail to divide in suspension and become large and multinucleate (Larochelle et al., 1996). Importantly, many other motile processes remain unaffected in these mutant cells.

Further genetic experiments in Dictyostelium have also highlighted the role of rho proteins in cytokinesis. Mutations in two related Dictyostelium proteins, gapA and Dgap1, also cause a failure in cytokinesis (Faix and Dittrich, 1996; Adachi et al., 1997; Lee et al., 1997). These two proteins are interesting because they are related to the mammalian protein IQGAP-1, which is thought to be an effector of rac and cdc42 (Kuroda et al., 1996; McCallum et al., 1996). It is not yet known whether these proteins act through the same pathway as racE or that of another rho protein.

Whereas members of the rho family of small GTPases clearly play vital roles in cytokinesis, the precise stages they regulate are not known. Cytokinesis occurs in multiple steps that require exquisite regulation. First, the plane of division must be determined at the equatorial cortical region of the cell. Next, the actomyosin contractile ring must be assembled and induced to contract to effect the ingression of the cleavage furrow. Finally, the juxtaposed membranes must be resolved to produce two independent cells. Which of these stages is affected when rho function is disturbed? The microinjection studies in Xenopus and Drosophila embryos suggest that rhoA and cdc42 function is required either for the assembly of the contractile ring...
or for the ingestion of the cleavage furrow (Drechsel et al., 1996). In contrast, the *Dictyostelium* GAP1 mutants can form a contractile ring and cleavage furrow, but frequently fail to separate the two daughter cells (Adachi et al., 1997). Thus, different rho proteins may have distinct functions at specific stages of cytokinesis. The challenge is now to sort out those individual functions and to identify their mechanisms of action.

Recent localization studies of racE demonstrated that this protein is distributed at the cortex of the cell throughout all stages of the cell cycle (Larochelle et al., 1997). Furthermore, a constitutively active mutant of racE is completely functional during cytokinesis. These results demonstrated that the activation of racE by GTP is essential for proper cytokinesis, and that racE exerts its function at the cortex of the cell. However, the stage of cytokinesis that is perturbed by the loss of racE remains to be determined.

To answer this question and to delineate the role of racE in cell division, we monitored the progress of cytokinesis in cells lacking racE. To do so, we developed a novel method to study live cells in suspension. We found that racE null cells initially form a contractile ring that constricts forming a cleavage furrow. However, ingestion of the cleavage furrow never completes, and cytokinesis fails. Instead, as the cells attempt to divide, they form large blebs and actin aggregates. Furthermore, we found that the loss of racE causes a dramatic reduction in the level of cortical tension in *Dictyostelium* cells. These results suggest that racE plays a critical role in the organization of the cortical cytoskeleton, which is required for cortical tension and for contraction of the cleavage furrow during cytokinesis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell Culture Conditions for the Enrichment of Mitotic Cells**

Previous work has shown that washing *Dictyostelium* cells from a saturated culture into fresh medium produces a semisynchronous culture of the substrate by pipetting, and pelleted in a Beckman GS-6R centrifuge. The resultant layer may serve as a substrate for cell attachment (Giaever and Keese, 1983); this protein plays a critical role in the organization of the cortical cytoskeleton. It has been reported that the cells attempt to divide, they form large blebs and actin aggregates. Furthermore, we found that the loss of racE causes a dramatic reduction in the level of cortical tension and contraction of the cleavage furrow during cytokinesis.

**Video Light Microscopy of Attached and Suspended Cells**

Cells were viewed in a custom microscopy chamber kindly loaned from D. Kiehart (Duke University, Durham, NC). A complete diagram of this chamber and a detailed description of the microscope setup was described recently (Kiehart et al., 1994). Briefly, the chamber consists of a stainless steel ring fixture with a glass coverslip placed over the top for use on an upright microscope. A clear Teflon sheet that allows gas exchange is fixed to the bottom of the chamber with a metal ring.

For the study of adherent cells, the chamber was filled with fresh HL5, and coverslips containing attached cells were placed face down over the top. For the study of cells in suspension, cells were prevented from settling by the addition of 0.03% low gelling temperature agarose to the HL5 medium. A 0.1% stock solution of low gelling temperature agarose (sea plaque; FMC Bioproducts, Rockland, ME) in HL5 medium was made fresh each time. The stock solution was chilled to 19°C and diluted 1:3 with the cells in suspension. The mixture was immediately loaded into the viewing chamber and covered with a clean coverslip.

The chamber was viewed on an upright Zeiss (Oberkochen, Germany) axioplan microscope. A 610-nm red filter was placed at the field aperture to reduce light toxicity. A 40× phase dry objective with 0.6 NA was used in conjunction with a 2× oil objective setting to maintain the maximum range of focus throughout the chamber. Some examples of attached cells were viewed with a 100× 1.4 NA oil objective with a 1.6× oil objective setting. Cells were recorded in real time to videotape using a CCD camera (Dage-MTI, Inc., Michigan City, IN) and a Sony S-VHS VCR (model SVO-9500MD; Park Ridge, NJ).

**Fluorescence Microscopy**

For the study of cells on a substrate, cells were prepared and attached to coverslips as described above and placed on paraffilm inside a humid chamber. At the desired time points, the HL5 media was removed by blotting and replaced by 0.2 ml of fix solution (3.7% formaldehyde in PBS) for 15–30 min. The fix solution was removed by blotting and replaced by 0.2 ml of 0.05% Triton X-100 for 2 min to permeabilize the cells. The detergent solution was blotted and the coverslips were immediately washed three times for 5 min in PBS. To visualize nuclei, the coverslips were then stained with 0.1 μg/ml 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), 50 mM ammonium chloride in PBS for 15 min, followed by three 5-min washes in 1. Abbreviations used in this paper: DAPI, 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; DIC, differential interference contrast; GFP, green fluorescent protein; MHC, myosin II heavy chain.
For the measurement of F-actin levels in suspension, cells were washed from confluent 100-mm Petri dishes. Volumes were adjusted so that both wild-type and mutant concentrations were \( \sim 1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/ml} \). One flask of each cell type was shaken for 4 h at 220 rpm, and 0.5-ml samples were taken in triplicate. An equal volume of \( 2 \times \text{fix/stain} \) was added to the cells in Eppendorf tubes, and the suspension was mixed on a Nutator (Clay Adams, Parsippany, NJ) in the dark for 1 h. The cells were pelleted in an Eppendorf centrifuge at 14,000 rpm for 1 min, and the supernatant was reserved for protein quantification. The cells were resuspended in 0.1% saponin wash and mixed in the dark for 1 h. The cells were pelleted and the supernatant was discarded. The cells were resuspended in 1 ml methanol and mixed in the dark for 4 h. As with the attached cells, the particulate debris was spun down and discarded. The supernatant was added to 2 ml methanol and stored at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) until measured in the fluorometer.

To normalize the relative amount of F-actin, the fluorescence intensity values were divided by the protein concentration, obtained for each cell sample.

**Results**

**RacE Null Cells Form a Successful Cleavage Furrow on a Substrate**

We have shown previously that *Dictyostelium* racE is essential for cytokinesis (Larochelle et al., 1996). When in suspension, racE null mutant cells fail to divide and become large and multinucleate. However, when attached to a substrate, racE null cells grow at normal rates and are small and uninucleate. To gain insight into the function of racE in *Dictyostelium*, we used first video light microscopy to determine how racE null cells divide while attached to a substrate.

Similarly to wild-type cells (Fig. 1A), racE null cells formed a cleavage furrow that divided the cells 3–5 min after furrow formation (Fig. 1B). After division was completed, wild-type cells rapidly crawled away from one another by the extension of large pseudopods (Fig. 1A, white arrowheads). In contrast, racE null cells did not form these distinct cell processes and, instead, moved using a single broad lamellipodium at the leading edge (Fig. 1B, bracket).

Multiple filopodia were also prominent at the front of these cells (Fig. 1B, black arrowheads). Thus, whereas racE null cells are able to form a normal cleavage furrow on a substrate, the motile behavior of dividing cells is clearly affected by the loss of racE function.

Because *Dictyostelium* cells can use traction forces to produce cleavage furrows on a substrate independently of an actomyosin contractile ring (Neujahr et al., 1997), we investigated the distribution of myosin II in dividing racE null cells. To this end we introduced into wild-type and racE null cells an expression vector for the production of a fusion protein between the GFP and the myosin II heavy chain (MHC; Moores et al., 1996). This fusion protein has been shown to function normally in *Dictyostelium* cells, and localizes to the contractile ring to the same extent as myosin II (Moores et al., 1996). We fixed the expressing cells and stained them with DAPI to stage phases of mitosis by the morphology of the cell’s nuclei (Kitanishi-Yumura and Fukui, 1987). Both wild-type and racE mutant cells concentrated GFP–myosin II into the furrowing region of dividing cells (Fig. 2). These results revealed that racE null cells attached to a substrate can form a contractile ring at the appropriate place and time to successfully divide by cytokinesis. Why then do these cells fail to divide in suspension cultures?
RacE Null Cells Initiate Furrowing but Then Fail to Divide in Suspension

To address how cytokinesis fails in racE null cells grown in suspension, we developed a method to observe cells that are not attached to a substrate (see Materials and Methods). We used this method to determine the behavior of wild-type and racE null cells undergoing cell division. Wild-type cells divided in suspension similarly to cells attached to a substrate. A cleavage furrow formed at the equatorial plane and the cells separated within 5 min of furrow formation (Fig. 3A). Because the daughter cells were not able to attach to a substrate, they did not crawl away from each other. Instead, they tumbled around one another and extended large pseudopods (Fig. 3A, white arrowheads).

Interestingly, racE null cells also initiated the formation of a cleavage furrow in suspension. However, the furrow never completed constriction and the cells eventually rounded up and failed to divide (Fig. 3B). In 6 of 21 cells observed, the cleavage furrow began constriction, halted, and then slowly reverted to form a nonpolarized spherical cell. In the other 15 cases, however, the cells displayed violent shape changes. Some cells extended large blebs (Fig. 3B, arrowheads), localized frequently near the polar regions of the dumbbell-shaped cell. In other cells, the extension of blebs was accompanied by strong cortical contractions in different regions of the cell. Ultimately, the fate of division was uniform: the cleavage furrow became disrupted and the cell reverted to a spherical shape.

The behavior of racE null cells is very different in attached or suspended conditions. We have not observed blebbing in attached racE null cells at any time during cytokinesis (data not shown). Also, we have observed blebbing behavior in only a small percentage of attached interphase racE null cells. These results suggest that attachment of a substrate can organize the cortical cytoskeleton of racE null cells for normal function. This hypothesis was supported by one remarkable observation. Fortuitously, we observed a racE null cell that initiated cell division in suspension while slowly settling onto the substrate. The cell formed a cleavage furrow (Fig. 4, white arrowhead) but failed in cytokinesis, as furrowing regressed before the cell attached to the substrate (Fig. 4, black arrowheads). Once attached, however, new furrowing was initiated and successfully completed division within 3 min (Fig. 4, white arrow). Thus, attachment to a substrate appeared to be sufficient to allow racE null cells to complete cytokinesis.

Our observations suggested that racE null cells cannot constrict their cleavage furrows in suspension to the same extent as wild-type cells. To explore this phenotype in more detail, we determined the equatorial diameter of cells in suspension as a function of the distance between the two daughter nuclei (which is a measure of the stage of mitosis of a cell). We fixed wild-type and racE null cells in suspension and stained them with DAPI to easily observe their nuclei. We found that wild-type cells had a tight inverse relationship between their equatorial diameter and internuclear distance (R value = 0.74; Fig. 5A). As wild-type cells progressed through mitosis, their cleavage furrows constricted. In contrast, racE null cells displayed only a loose relationship between their equatorial diameter and

Figure 1. Dictyostelium wild-type and racE null cells complete cytokinesis normally when attached to a substrate. Dictyostelium wild-type (strain DH1) and racE null (strain 24EH6) cells were allowed to attach to coverslips and were visualized by video light microscopy. (A) Wild-type cells form a cleavage furrow that divides the cells 3–5 min after furrow formation. As the daughter cells separate, they form large pseudopods (white arrowheads) to migrate away from each other. (B) RacE null cells also form a cleavage furrow that successfully divides the cell. However, the daughter cells do not form large pseudopods. Instead, they have multiple filopodia (white arrowheads) and a broad leading edge (bracket). The time between each frame is 10 s. QuickTime movies of the images in this manuscript can be accessed at http://note.cellbio.duke.edu/Faculty/~DeLozanne/Gerald1998a.html
internuclear distance (R value = 0.31; Fig. 5B). RacE null cells progressed through mitosis, but full constriction of their cleavage furrows did not follow.

**RacE Null Cells in Suspension Localize Myosin II to the Presumptive Cleavage Furrow, but Fail to Constrict Late in Cytokinesis**

Because the racE null cells formed a cleavage furrow in suspension conditions, it seemed likely that they were able to assemble a contractile ring at the appropriate location. To test this possibility, we determined the localization of GFP-myosin II in wild-type and racE null cells fixed in suspension. RacE null cells fixed in suspension frequently displayed small aggregates of GFP-myosin II, but we believe these are not caused by the loss of racE; we found similar aggregates in wild-type cells as has been reported previously (Yumura and Uyeda, 1997). Contrary to what has been reported recently (Neujahr et al., 1997), we found that wild-type cells displayed a clearly defined equatorial localization of GFP-myosin II. Early in anaphase, GFP-myosin II formed a broad equatorial ring that constricted into a narrow ring in telophase (Fig. 6, A–C). Similarly, racE null cells also formed a broad equatorial ring of GFP-myosin II early in anaphase. However, we never observed constricted rings in telophase (Fig. 6, D–F). These results confirmed that racE null cells in suspension localized GFP-myosin II to contractile rings, yet failed to constrict through late cytokinesis.

**RacE Is Required for Cortical Tension and Actin Organization**

The blebbing behavior of dividing racE null cells in suspension suggested that the cortex of these cells may be particularly weak. To explore this possibility, we measured the cortical tension of suspended wild-type and racE null cells using the micropipet aspiration method (Evans and Yeung, 1989). Remarkably, whereas wild-type cells displayed about 1.55 dyne/cm, the cortical tension of racE null cells was only 0.28 dyne/cm (Fig. 7). This drop in cortical tension was specifically due to the loss of racE; ectopic expression of constitutively active racE in the racE null cells increased the cortical tension to wild-type levels (Fig. 7). In contrast, expression of constitutively inactive racE did not restore the cortical tension of racE null cells (Fig. 7). Therefore the presence of activated racE at the cortex of *Dictyostelium* cells is essential for normal cortical tension.

One potential role for racE may be to stimulate the polymerization of F-actin at the cortex. However, when we measured the total content of F-actin in racE null cells, we found that it was very similar to that of wild-type cells (Fig.
Interestingly, both wild-type and racE null cells have a decreased level of F-actin in suspension compared to attached conditions (Fig. 8). Similarly, F-actin levels also decrease when mammalian cells are placed in suspension (Cunningham, 1995).

Because racE does not seem to play a role in actin polymerization, we explored the possibility that racE is involved in the organization of cortical actin. We determined the distribution of F-actin in wild-type and racE null cells in suspension conditions. Wild-type and racE null cells were fixed in suspension and stained with DAPI and rhodamine phalloidin. Under these conditions, rhodamine phalloidin staining was observed throughout the cortex of the cells and was most concentrated in actin-rich structures, such as crowns and filopodia. Interestingly, we found that F-actin underwent a dramatic redistribution during mitosis. In interphase cells, F-actin was highly concentrated in large crowns and filopodia (Fig. 9, A and B, and I–J). On the other hand, mitotic cells lacked crowns and had a large number of filopodia on their entire surface (Fig. 9, C–H, K–P). This redistribution was similar in wild-type and racE null cells.

In contrast to what has been observed in attached cells by the agar overlay method (Kitanishi-Yumura and Fukui, 1989), F-actin did not seem to be more enriched in the cleavage furrow region of dividing wild-type cells in suspension (Fig. 9, C–H). This might suggest that the extensive attachment of cells under agar enhances the concentration of F-actin in the contractile ring to a higher level than is necessary for cytokinesis in suspension (Gerisch et al., 1993). Similar to wild-type cells, racE null cells fixed in suspension also contained F-actin in their cortices. Interestingly, ~40% (n = 42) of mitotic racE null cells also displayed abnormal aggregates of cortical F-actin (Fig. 9 M and Fig. 10, A–I). These aggregates were often localized immediately beneath the plasma membrane and could sometimes be observed by DIC microscopy (data not shown). The disruption of the cortical cytoskeleton of racE null cells is especially prevalent in cells in suspension conditions. We have not detected abnormal actin aggregates in racE null cells when attached to a substrate or in wild-type cells under any condition (data not shown).

Discussion

Our phenotypic characterization of racE null cells shows that racE is not involved in the spatial or temporal control
of contractile ring formation. RacE null cells can localize myosin II to the cleavage furrow at the correct placement and timing of the cell cycle. Furthermore, we have shown here that the requirement for racE in cytokinesis is specific to cells grown in suspension. When allowed to attach to a substrate, a racE null cell forms a competent contractile ring, rich in actin and myosin II, which constricts and cleaves the cell into two. Therefore, unlike myosin II mutants (Fukui et al., 1990; Neujahr et al., 1997; Zang et al., 1997), which do not form contractile rings and divide by traction-mediated processes, racE null cells divide on a substrate by normal cytokinesis.

Despite their normal cytokinesis when attached, racE null cells are absolutely unable to divide in suspension conditions. This led us to develop methods to directly observe cells undergoing mitosis in suspension. Using these methods we found that, when racE null cells attempt to divide in suspension, they still localize myosin II to the equatorial region and form a contractile ring. RacE null cells begin furrow ingression, but then are unable to complete constriction of the furrow. Ultimately, cytokinesis fails.

The most striking aspect of the cytokinesis failure in racE null cells is that they often suffer violent blebbing. Blebbing occurs only after the cells have initiated furrow ingression. The cells are usually able to recover from the initial blebs while furrow ingression proceeds. However, the furrow is eventually disrupted by a bleb or it stops advancing and regresses. It should be remarked that blebbing is not always associated with the failure in cytokinesis of racE null cells. Several cells have been observed that do not display any obvious blebs, yet their furrows also initiate ingestion, stop, and regress. This phenotype is reminiscent of the behavior of HeLa tissue culture cells when treated with dihydrocytochalasin B (Martineau et al., 1995). At concentrations below those required to completely disrupt the actin cytoskeleton, cytochalasin-treated cells can form cleavage furrows that ingress almost to completion. However, like racE null cells, these treated HeLa cells also form blebs and their furrows revert. This similar phenotype suggests that, like cytochalasin-treated cells, racE null cells contain a disrupted actin cortex that causes bleb formation and regression of the cleavage furrow.
In many instances bleb formation indicates a disruption of cortical integrity caused by stress. Blebs are known in diverse cell types during anoxia, ATP depletion, and apoptosis (Cunningham, 1995; Schindl et al., 1995; Laster and Mackenzie, 1996; Chen et al., 1997). However, blebs are not always the symptoms of physical or chemical stress, but can be legitimate protrusive structures in many cell types. Blebs are often observed during lobopodia and pseudopodia formation (Keller and Bebie, 1996). Interestingly, blebs can also appear normally in dividing cells as internal pressures change (Erickson and Trinkaus, 1976; Laster and Mackenzie, 1996; Burton and Taylor, 1997).

In general, bleb formation can occur at any point where the internal hydrostatic pressure exceeds the tension of the actin-associated cortical gel. This can happen in a variety of ways: a rise in internal pressure, a decrease in F-actin cross-linking, a decrease in F-actin content, a lower affinity between the cortex and the plasma membrane (Cunningham, 1995), or any combination of the above.

Direct evidence for a disrupted actin-cortex defect in racE null cells was revealed by the cortical tension measurements in suspension. Because these measurements were made on interphase cells, we can now assert that this defect is not restricted to cytokinesis. We showed that a constitutively active racE construct, which restores growth in suspension, also restores cortical tension. Accordingly, a constitutively inactive racE construct, does not restore either phenotype. The reduced cortical tension and the inability to complete cytokinesis in suspension both rely on the activity of racE. Thus, it is possible that racE null cells fail to divide in suspension because their cortical tensions are not strong enough to withstand the intracellular pressure produced during cytokinesis. Another possible scenario is that the cortical defect, which is responsible for reduced cortical tension, also acts directly on the furrow. For example, if racE helps to tightly bind the cortex to the membrane, then we could expect both the appearance of blebbing at the poles and "slippage" of the contractile ring in the furrow.

A potential role for racE, based on the role of other members of the rho family of GTPases, is to induce polymerization of F-actin at the cortex of the cell. However, we have shown that racE null cells have levels of F-actin similar to those of wild-type cells. An alternative possibility is that racE contributes to the organization of the cortical cytoskeleton. More specific evidence for problems with cortical organization comes from the actin aggregates, which we found in racE null cells in suspension. These aggregates often extended from the membrane into the cell interior, and sometimes were visible by DIC microscopy (data not shown). Actin aggregates are not observed in cells in adherent culture nor in mononucleate racE null cells in suspension (Larochelle et al., 1996), but only appear in mitotic or multinucleate cells in suspension (Fig. 10). Notably, actin aggregates are not seen in myosin II null multinucleate cells fixed in suspension (data not shown). Thus, the actin aggregates may result specifically from the failure in cytokinesis of racE null cells. Analysis of these structures at the EM level, and observations in live cells using GFP probes will help elucidate the precise nature of the cytokinesis defect in racE null cells.

We showed previously that racE is found throughout the cortex of the cell (Larochelle et al., 1996), a distribution consistent with a general role in organizing the cortical actin cytoskeleton. In this light, it is remarkable that racE null cells can still carry out many other cortical functions. For example, racE null cells can contract their cortex in response to azide treatment and cap membrane receptors when challenged with concanavalin A (Larochelle et al., 1996). These cells can also phagocytose and pinocytose at normal levels even in suspension conditions. Furthermore, as we have shown here, racE null cells form normal membrane ruffles, crowns, and filopodia either attached or in suspension conditions. Therefore, the cortical defect of racE null cells affects specifically the integrity of the cortex and the function of the contractile ring.

The question still remains, however, as to how adherent racE null cells complete cytokinesis. Why is the defect of
these cells suppressed when they are adhered to a substrate? We have never observed a racE null cell that completes cleavage in suspension, yet, a cell that failed to divide in suspension divided almost immediately once it attached and spread on a substrate (Fig. 4). It appears that all that racE null cells require for successful cytokinesis is the physical state of attachment. Motility undoubtedly provides some assistance for these cells, as they begin to crawl before cytokinesis has completed. However, this is unlikely to be their only advantage on a substrate, as blebbing and actin aggregation are also suppressed in dividing racE null cells when adhered to a substrate.

The screen used to identify racE mutants, although designed to find strains with phenotypes similar to myosin II null cells, was also a screen for mutants exhibiting differences between adherent and nonadherent conditions. It is becoming apparent that differences between these two conditions can turn out to be quite profound states in themselves. Perhaps the most striking example of this is the myosin II null cell line itself, which has been recently shown to form furrows on a substrate by a process termed attachment-assisted mitotic cleavage (Neujahr et al., 1997). As another example, two different myosin I double mutants exhibit conditional pinocytosis defects when grown in suspension, but are indistinguishable from wild-type when grown on a substrate (Novak et al., 1995). The different behavior of attached and suspended cells might result from either the stabilization of the cortical cytoskeleton by attachment to the substrate, from the activation of an attachment-mediated signaling pathway that controls the organization of the cytoskeleton, or from the increased F-actin content of attached cells.

In a very simplistic view, an attached cell is most easily distinguished from a suspended cell by its cytoskeletal organization (Fig. 11). An adherent cell has a top and a bottom, with dramatically different cytoskeletal structures (Novak et al., 1995; Fishkind et al., 1996). The bottom of the cell usually has an abundantly organized cytoskeletal base. Comparatively, the top of the cell has less cytoskeletal material, and is sparsely organized except for surface protrusions. In this scheme, a cell in suspension can be viewed as having its entire surface sparsely organized as “top,” with no stabilizing base.

It is conceivable that the simple adhesion of a cell to a substrate may enhance the stability of its cortex. In fact, it has been postulated that cells may have a mechanical feedback system that allows them to respond to different environments of resistance with appropriate force and organization of the cortical cytoskeleton (Fishkind et al., 1996). A similar argument has been used to explain the concentration of cytoskeletal components in the cortex of Dictyostelium cells covered by agar overlay (Gerisch et al., 1993). In this view, the weak cortex of racE null cells may be sta-
bilized enough by substrate adhesion to allow them to complete cytokinesis and avoid blebbing.

We have shown that *Dictyostelium* cells have less overall F-actin in suspension compared to adherent conditions. Similar results have been obtained with mammalian cells (Cunningham, 1995). Thus, an attachment-mediated signaling pathway promotes the polymerization of actin in both systems. Therefore, any mutation that causes a destabilization of the cortex (as in racE null cells) may be expressed differently in attached or suspended conditions. When the cells are adhered to a substrate, the increased level of F-actin may be sufficient to stabilize the cortex and suppress any phenotype (Fig. 11 A). In suspended conditions, the reduced level of F-actin may enhance the defect in cortical organization and result in an observable phenotype (Fig. 11 B).

To delineate the exact role of racE, it will be necessary to determine the defect in cortical organization in racE null cells. It will also be important to identify the molecular partners that interact with racE in vivo and that help exert its function.
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