Abstract. Currently, surgical techniques, such as internal limiting membrane peeling, are used widely for macular holes, macular puckers, epiretinal membranes, diabetic macular edema, retinal detachment, retinal vein occlusions, vitreomacular traction, optic pit maculopathy, and Terson syndrome. This study aimed to highlight any differences regarding visual acuity and ocular tomography coherence changes after staining the internal limiting membrane with dilutions of Brilliant Blue G vs. lutein/zeaxanthin-based dyes. This study involved 30 eyes of 30 patients who had undergone posterior pole vitrectomy for idiopathic stage 4 macular hole. The study lot was divided in two subgroups, 15 eyes colored with Brilliant Blue and the other 15 eyes colored with lutein and zeaxanthin dyes. The association between visual prognosis, ocular tomography coherence changes and intraocular pressure was analyzed. The surgical treatment with required endoillumination levels and a 2-min period of dye using the Alcon Constellation Vision System had no negative impact on cell viability and improved visual acuity by 30%. Staining makes it easier to remove, to be quick and precise while performing macular surgeries. In has been observed that lutein and zeaxanthin dyes offer an intraoperative protective screen that protects photoreceptors more than Brilliant Blue while performing pars plana vitrectomy. Both study groups had good results in time. Surgical visualization is an evolving technology.

Introduction

Macular hole was first described by Knapp in 1869 and later by Noyes (1872) in patients with ocular trauma (1,2). A macular hole may occur as a result of the development of macular cystoid edema due to inflammation and retinal vascular disease (diabetic retinopathy, vascular occlusions, hypertensive retinopathy, myopia, macular pucker, retinal detachment, and less frequent phototrauma) (3).

The internal limiting membrane (ILM), the basement membrane of the Müller cells, is composed of collagen fibers, glycosaminoglycans, laminin, and fibronectin and it serves as the connection between the vitreous body and the retinal nerve fiber layer. It is said to be almost 1.5 µm in the peripheral foveal area, where it is the thickest (4). The ILM helps the cellular proliferation of myofibroblasts, fibrocytes, and retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cells (5). The role of the ILM is fundamental in the development, structure, and function of the retina, although it can represent a pathologic component, especially in macular holes (6).

Nowadays, surgical techniques such as ILM peeling are widely used for macular hole, macular puckers, epiretinal membranes, diabetic macular edema, retinal detachment, retinal vein occlusions, vitreomacular traction, optic pit maculopathy, and Terson syndrome (7). In a pilot study performed in 1989, Kelly and Wendel performed vitrectomy and posterior cortical removal to ease traction on the macula, shedding light on ILM peeling as a possible therapy for the treatment of full-thickness macular holes. Before this occurred, idiopathic
macular holes were considered to be untreatable (8). Not much longer after the pilot study, in the 1990s, for the treatment of hemorrhagic macular cysts due to Terson syndrome, Morris et al performed ILM peeling (9).

Intraocular surgery uses non-therapeutic agents as intraocular dyes for one or two steps when needed. The use of dyes for these steps is optional, not mandatory, for surgical success. These substances are efficient, and may even have a beneficial effect on the vitreoretinal surgery. Staining these tissues using vital dyes simplifies the surgical procedure. The first to perform intravitreal injection of a dye on animals was Lobeck in 1932 (10). Sodium fluorescein was the first dye used on humans, in order to identify the transparent vitreous during pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) by Abrams and colleagues (11).

Intraocular dyes primarily used for chromovitrectomy include triamcinolone acetonide (TA) for vitreous identification, indocyanine green (ICG), Brilliant Blue for the identification of the ILM and Trypan Blue (TrB) for epiretinal membrane (ERM) identification (12). TA is a synthetic insoluble corticosteroid. In ocular surgery, TA functions like a dye to stain the vitreous, mainly because of crystal deposition (13,14).

Due to the iodide component and affinity for RPE, ICG introduced by Kadonoso et al is toxic and induces chemical trauma (15).

TrB colors the cell membrane of dead tissues and has a strong affinity for the ERM. Brilliant blue G (BBG) (16) is intense when staining ILM, is easy to remove, and is unique among currently popular dyes. Recently, a good safety profile has also been described for the use of lutein and zeaxanthin-based dyes during ocular surgery.

There are two known techniques for staining the vitreous cavity with vital dyes. The first one is the ‘dry technique’, when the eye is full of air after removing the liquid. The second one is the ‘wet method’, when injecting the dye with the eye full of liquid, taking into account the fact that the dye concentration should be lower because it is diluted in the vitreous cavity fluid (17).

Due to the multifactorial etiology of macular holes and in order to fulfill the inclusion and exclusion criteria, all subjects enrolled in this study were tested for associated ocular and general diseases. All patients enrolled in this study underwent ophthalmological evaluation that included: Visual acuity, intraocular pressure, anterior pole and posterior pole examination, in order to identify the transparent vitreous during pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) by Abrams and colleagues (11).

Assessment. We reviewed and collected data in regards to: IOP (after 1 month), the Watzke-Allen test, visual acuity (VA) and optical coherence tomography (OCT) parameters, six months after the vitrectomy surgery with standard ILM peeling using BBG and L/Z dyes. The VA measurements were performed using the Snellen method. OCT changes were judged by a single retinal specialist. There are 2 types of macular hole closure based on OCT: Type 1 (closed without retinal neurosensory foveal defect) and type 2 (closed with foveal neurosensory retinal defect). The magnitude of the postoperative visual improvement of type 1 closure was greater than that of type 2 closure. Allen test was used in the diagnosis of a macular hole in the retina. Over the macula, a thin line of slit lamp light is projected and the patient is asked to report on its appearance. A line appearing broken may indicate a macular hole. A line appearing deformed suggests epiretinal membrane. A line that seems to be thin indicates macular edema or incipient macular hole (stage I, II).

Statistical analysis. For the data systematization, we used the Excel program of the Microsoft Office 365 suite. Graphical representations and statistical analysis of the data were performed using the ‘R’ program, 3.0.1 version.

Results

The distribution of the patients by age group and sex are shown (Figs. 1 and 2). We observed that the most represented age group was from 65 to 70 years. Gender impact is to be considered, as females are more likely to develop a MH.

Average VA before surgery for the BBG group was 0.18 and for the L/Z group was 0.15. After surgery, the mean VA for the BBG group was 0.45 and for the L/Z group was 0.42 (Figs. 3 and 4).

For the entire study lot, the mean VA after surgery increased by 30%. The VA improvement has a positive impact on the patient quality of life. By comparing the two groups, VA improvement was relatively similar.
This improvement takes into account numerous factors that involve conditions related to the surgery and other associated conditions, such as preoperative lens opacification, degree of post-op opacification, MH etiology, and patient compliance.

Table I. Patient inclusion and exclusion criteria.

| Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Exclusion criteria                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Patients enrolled at the Department of Ophthalmology, ‘Dr. Carol Davila’ Central | Significant epiretinal membrane                                                   |
| Military University Emergency Hospital, Bucharest                                | Macular pathology (neovascular age-related macular degeneration, foveal involving  |
| Large full-thickness idiopathic MH (>400 microns)                                | geographic atrophy)                                                                |
| Minimum follow-up period of 6 months                                             | Retinal pathology (retinal laser, retinal vascular occlusion, diabetic retinopathy) |
| VA >0.1                                                                          | Optic nerve pathology (congenital anomalies, tumors, glaucoma)                      |
|                                                                                  | Previous intraocular injection                                                      |

MH, macular hole; VA, visual acuity.

Figure 1. Average age (years) of the entire study group.
Figure 2. Sex distribution of the entire study group.
Figure 3. Improvement in visual acuity (VA) 6 months after surgery.
Figure 4. Evolution of visual acuity (VA) according to the dye used.
The average IOP before surgery was 16 mmHg; after surgery (1 month) it was 18.5 mmHg. This asymmetry comes from the fact that TA was used to highlight the vitreous gel. TA persists in the eyes for about 9-12 months. Increases in IOP being recorded mainly in the first days-4 weeks. Later, due to the dilution of TA, IOP increases are less frequent.

Patients did not follow glaucomatous treatment before and after surgery as long as this pathology fell into the exclusion criteria (Fig. 5).

We evaluated the MH closure rate and we noted that it was more than 85% type 1 in both studied groups, without statistical differences between them (Fig. 6).

In our case series, we observed that VA improvement occurred in the first month after pars plana vitrectomy.

The typical manifestation that consisted of metamorphopsia, central scotoma was remedied postoperatively. The Watzke-Allen test was negative in a high percentage after surgery (Fig. 7).
BB, lutein and zeaxanthin dyes color the target tissue offering safety issue and prospects.

Discussion

In 2012, 102 eyes were analyzed after lutein dye solution alone or combined with Brilliant blue (BB) or TrB was injected directly over the different intraocular structures and improved the ability to initiate peeling in cadaveric eyes, with no clinical or histologic signs of toxicity (26). In addition, in 2012, 60 cadaveric eyes were stained along with lutein and zeaxanthin dye or in combination with different Brilliant Blue concentrations and efficiently stained vitreous and internal limiting membrane, with no dye solutions in the eyes after the membrane removal (27).

Badaro et al reported that a combination of soluble lutein/zeaxanthin (LZ) 1% and Brilliant blue (BB) 0.025%, facilitated surgical steps and showed no signs of toxicity at 1 month of follow-up in 18 eyes treated surgically for a macular hole (MH) or epiretinal membranes (ERM) (28).

Casaroli-Marano et al demonstrated that L/Z-based dye solutions, either alone or in association with BB or TrB did not significantly alter mitochondrial activity in the cell lines tested; in addition, no structural alterations were observed in the neurosensory retina, retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), or choriocapillaris-choroidal complex (29).

In 2014, Maia et al evaluated 12 eyes that underwent surgery using lutein-based dye. They histologically examined the peeled membranes and claimed successful intraoperative identification (30).

A crystalline lutein-based dye called Vitreodyne™ proved to be superior to the existing alternative dyes after staining 18 patients with a diagnosis of ERM and MHs (31).

In the present study, we used BB dye for the first group and L/Z dyes for the second group, and our results confirmed that both dyes are useful intraocular tools in order to obtain good surgical results.

The study had its limitations, because of the reduced number of study eyes and because of the lack of electrophysiology studies. In conclusion, optical coherence tomography (OCT), the gold standard diagnostic tool for retinal diseases, is extremely useful for preoperative evaluation of MHs and postoperative surgical results. MH size is typically predictive of postoperative outcomes.

Identifying the internal limiting membrane (ILM) is a challenging step in surgery, since the ILM is a barely visible membrane; identifying and removal of the ILM is difficult even for experienced retinal surgeons. Staining can reduce surgical trauma to the retina during ILM removal, thus ILM staining with vital dyes is essential for increased visibility of the ILM. Coating materials only cover the membrane surface and do not stain the ILM.

Our results indicate that from a clinical, intraoperative point of view, the retinal details can be observed only when the whole vitreous mass has been removed. Adding intraocular dyes increases ILM visualization, offering the surgeon a better and safer surgical approach. In our study, we used two different dyes, but no major differences were noted between the two groups. In all cases, ILM was identified and removed. We may consider that the protective screen formed by intraocular dyes protects the retinal cells from the phototoxic effect.

The association between the inverted ILM flap technique and intraocular dyes offers good results regarding VA and the MH closure rate.

To sum up, our study confirmed that both the BBG and L/Z groups of eyes exhibited in our retrospective study, were equivalent in mean age affected with full-thickness MHs, exhibited improved VA at 6-month after surgery confirming the fact that intraocular dyes facilitate the surgical steps and have no toxic effect on the retinal cells.
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