AN APPROACH FOR MINIMAL SURFACE FAMILY PASSING A CURVE

SEDAT KAHYAOĞLU AND EMIN KASAP

Abstract. We investigate minimal surfaces passing a given curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Using the Frenet frame of a given curve and isothermal parameter, we derive the necessary and sufficient condition for minimal surface. Also we derive the parametric representation of two minimal surface families passing a circle and a helix as examples.

1. Introduction

A surface is called minimal if its mean curvature vanishes everywhere [1]. Minimal surfaces are among the most important objects studied in differential geometry. The theory of minimal surfaces in Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{R}^3$ has its roots in the calculus of variations developed by Euler and Lagrange in the 18-th century and in later investigations by Enneper, Scherk, Schwarz, Riemann and Weierstrass in the 19-th century. During the years, many great mathematicians have contributed to this theory.

Early, two problems were posed from the Belgian physicist Plateau and from the Swedish mathematician Björling in the 19-th century for minimal surfaces passing a curve. Plateau set the problem as 'find a minimal surface $M$ having a curve $C$ as boundary'. This problem was a natural outgrowth of his physical experiments on soap films. Plateau’s problem was first solved by Douglas and Rado. Björling considered is to find the minimal surface passing through a given non-closed analytic curve $C$, with given tangent planes along $C$. The problem was inquired and solved by Björling. A solution of this problem always exists and is unique. This solution of Björling’s problem always applicable one to find the minimal surface whenever one of its geodesic lines or one of its asymptotic lines or one of its lines of curvature is known. One can obtain and detailed description of minimal surfaces, Plateau’s and Björling’s problems from [2] and [3].

Recently, parametric representation of a surface family passing a given geodesic curve was studied in [3] which using the Frenet frame of a given curve. In like manner, Li et al. [5] and Bayram et al. [6] studied the necessary and sufficient condition for line of curvature and asymptotic curve, respectively. Li et al. [7] studied the approximation minimal surface with geodesics by using Dirichlet function.

In this paper, without limitation for curve such that it becomes geodesic lines or asymptotic lines or lines of curvature on minimal surface, we investigate the parametric representation of minimal surface family passing a given curve. We
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utilize the Frenet frame of given curve and isothermal parameter on minimal surface. We derive the necessary and sufficient condition for a surface which satisfying both passing a given curve and becomes minimal.

In section two, we give definitions of isothermal parameter of a surface and Frenet frame of a curve. In section three, we derive the necessary and sufficient condition for minimal surface. In section four and five, we present parametric representations of minimal surface families passing a given circle and a helix, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

We have following tools for minimal surfaces and curves.

**Definition 1.** \[1\] Let \( x : x(s, t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) be a regular surface where \( s \in I \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( t \in J \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \). A parametrization \( x : x(s, t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) is called isothermal if

\[
<x_s, x_s> = <x_t, x_t> \quad \text{and} \quad <x_s, x_t> = 0.
\]

We utilize isothermal parameters for parameterization of minimal surfaces. This requirement is not restriction because of the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** \[4\] Isothermal parameters exist on any minimal surface in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

The mean curvature of a surface can be calculated by

\[
H = \frac{E g - 2 F f + G e}{EG - F^2}
\]

where

\[
E = <x_s, x_s>, F = <x_s, x_t>, G = <x_t, x_t>
\]

\[
e = <n, x_{ss}>, f = <n, x_{st}>, g = <n, x_{tt}>
\]

and \( n \) is unit normal of the surface defined by

\[
n(s, t) = \frac{x_s(s, t) \times x_t(s, t)}{\|x_s(s, t) \times x_t(s, t)\|}
\]

If we apply isothermal conditions on (2.2) then we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** \[1\] Let \( x \) is isothermal. Then \( x \) is minimal if and only if its coordinate functions are harmonic i.e.

\[
x_{ss} + x_{tt} = 0.
\]

Let \( r : r(s) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) be an arclength parametrized curve that is \( \|r'(s)\| = 1 \). We assume that \( r''(s) \neq 0 \) for some \( s \in I \), otherwise the curve \( r \) corresponds to a straight line. The Frenet frame of a regular curve \( r \) is defined \( \{T(s), N(s), B(s)\} \) where \( T(s) = r'(s), N(s) = \frac{T'(s)}{\|T'(s)\|}, B(s) = T(s) \times N(s) \), are called unit tangent, unit normal and binormal of \( r \), respectively. The derivatives of the Frenet frame are given by

\[
T'(s) = \kappa(s) N(s)
\]

\[
N'(s) = -\kappa(s) T(s) + \tau(s) B(s)
\]

\[
B'(s) = -\tau(s) N(s)
\]

where \( \kappa(s) \) and \( \tau(s) \) are the curvature and torsion of the curve \( r \), respectively.
By utilizing the Frenet frame, Wang et al. [3], construct a surface pencil that possesses \( r \) as a common geodesic. They gave parametric representation of surface as

\[
x(s, t) = r(s) + u(s, t)T(s) + v(s, t)N(s) + w(s, t)B(s)
\]

where \( u(s, t), v(s, t), w(s, t) \) are smooth functions with

\[
u(s, t_0) = v(s, t_0) = w(s, t_0) = 0.
\]

The unit normal of the surface is

\[
n(s, t) = \frac{(\phi_1(s, t)T(s) + \phi_2(s, t)N(s) + \phi_3(s, t)B(s))}{\sqrt{\phi_1(s, t)^2 + \phi_2(s, t)^2 + \phi_3(s, t)^2}}
\]

where

\[
\phi_1(s, t) = w_t(v_s + \kappa u - \tau w) - v_t(w_s + \kappa v),
\phi_2(s, t) = u_t(w_s + \tau v) - w_t(1 + u_s - \kappa v),
\phi_3(s, t) = v_t(1 + u_s - \kappa v) - u_t(v_s + \kappa u - \tau w).
\]

They derived the following identities for the necessary and sufficient condition for the curve \( r \) as a geodesic on the surface \( x \).

\[
u(s, t_0) = v(s, t_0) = w(s, t_0) = 0.
\]

(2.8)

\[
\phi_1(s, t_0) = \phi_3(s, t_0) = 0
\]

(2.9)

\[
\phi_2(s, t_0) \neq 0.
\]

In [6], Bayram et al. derived the necessary and sufficient condition for the curve \( r \) as an asymptotic line on the surface \( x \) as

\[
\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial s}(s, t_0) - \kappa(s)\phi_2(s, t_0) = 0
\]

(2.10)

We express minimal surfaces with this parametric representation.

### 3. Minimal Surface Family

In this section, we derive necessary and sufficient condition for minimal surface family passing the curve \( r : r(s) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \).

If we calculate the partial derivatives of \( x : x(s, t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) then we have,

\[
x_t = u_tT + v_tN + w_tB
\]

\[
x_{tt} = u_{tt}T + v_{tt}N + w_{tt}B
\]

\[
x_s = (1 + u_s - \kappa v)T + (v_s + \kappa u - \tau w)N + (w_s + \tau v)B
\]

\[
x_{ss} = ((1 + u_s - \kappa v)x_s - \kappa(v_s + \kappa u - \tau w))T
\]

\[
+((v_s + \kappa u - \tau w)s + \kappa(1 + u_s - \kappa v) - \tau(w_s + \tau v))N
\]

\[
+((w_s + \tau v)s + \tau(v_s + \kappa u - \tau w))B.
\]

From 2.1 and 2.3 we have following corollaries.
Corollary 2. If the surface $x$ is isothermal then

$$
(1 + u_s - \kappa v_s)^2 + (v_s + \kappa u - \tau w)^2 + (w_s + \tau v)^2 = u_t^2 + v_t^2 + w_t^2
$$

$$
(1 + u_s - \kappa v_s)u_t + (v_s + \kappa u - \tau w)v_t + (w_s + \tau v)w_t = 0.
$$

Corollary 3. If the surface $x$ is harmonic then

$$
(1 + u_s - \kappa v_s)s - \kappa(v_s + \kappa u - \tau w) + u_{tt} = 0
$$

$$
(v_s + \kappa u - \tau w)s + \kappa(1 + u_s - \kappa v_s) - \tau(w_s + \tau v) + v_{tt} = 0.
$$

$$
(w_s + \tau v)s + \tau(v_s + \kappa u - \tau w) + w_{tt} = 0.
$$

Proof. If the condition 2.7 is satisfied then $x(s,t_0) = r(s)$. Let the surface $x(s,t)$ be minimal surface. From Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 there exist isothermal parameters and the surface $x$ is harmonic. Thus, there exist the smooth functions $u(s,t), v(s,t), w(s,t)$ satisfying the conditions 3.1-3.5. On the other hand, if there exist the smooth functions $u(s,t), v(s,t), w(s,t)$ satisfying the conditions 3.1-3.5 then the surface $x(s,t)$ is isothermal and harmonic. Thus the surface $x(s,t)$ is minimal. 

Theorem 1. Let $r : r(s) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an arclength parameterized curve. The surface $x(s)$ is minimal surface passing the curve $r$ if and only if there exist the smooth functions $u(s,t), v(s,t), w(s,t)$ satisfying,

$$
u(s,t_0) = v(s,t_0) = w(s,t_0) = 0
$$

$$
(1 + u_s - \kappa v_s)^2 + (v_s + \kappa u - \tau w)^2 + (w_s + \tau v)^2 = u_t^2 + v_t^2 + w_t^2
$$

$$
(1 + u_s - \kappa v_s)u_t + (v_s + \kappa u - \tau w)v_t + (w_s + \tau v)w_t = 0
$$

$$
(1 + u_s - \kappa v_s)s - \kappa(v_s + \kappa u - \tau w) + u_{tt} = 0
$$

$$
(v_s + \kappa u - \tau w)s + \kappa(1 + u_s - \kappa v_s) - \tau(w_s + \tau v) + v_{tt} = 0.
$$

$$
(w_s + \tau v)s + \tau(v_s + \kappa u - \tau w) + w_{tt} = 0.
$$

Proof. If the condition 2.7 is satisfied then $x(s,t_0) = r(s)$. Let the surface $x(s,t)$ be minimal surface. From Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 there exist isothermal parameters and the surface $x$ is harmonic. Thus, there exist the smooth functions $u(s,t), v(s,t), w(s,t)$ satisfying the conditions 3.1-3.5. On the other hand, if there exist the smooth functions $u(s,t), v(s,t), w(s,t)$ satisfying the conditions 3.1-3.5 then the surface $x(s,t)$ is isothermal and harmonic. Thus the surface $x(s,t)$ is minimal.

4. Minimal surface family passing a circle

In this section, we investigate a minimal surface family passing a circle. Let the curve $r : r(s) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a circle,

$$
r(s) = (4 \cos \frac{s}{4}, 4 \sin \frac{s}{4}, 0).
$$

The curvature and torsion of the circle $r$ are become

$$
\kappa = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \tau = 0.
$$
If we choose \( u(s, t) = u(t) \), \( v(s, t) = v(t) \), \( w(s, t) = w(t) \) and \( t_0 = 0 \) then from 3.1-3.5 we have

\[
1 - \frac{v^4}{4} + \frac{1}{16} u^2 = u_t^2 + v_t^2 + w_t^2.
\]

\[
(1 - \frac{v}{4}) u_t + \frac{1}{4} u v_t = 0.
\]

\[
u_{tt} - \frac{1}{16} u = 0.
\]

\[
v_t - \frac{1}{16} v + \frac{1}{4} = 0.
\]

\[
w_{tt} = 0.
\]

From 4.5

\[w(t) = c t.\]

From 4.3 and 4.1

\[u(t) = c_2(e^t - e^{-\frac{1}{4} t})\]

\[v(t) = c_3 e^\frac{t}{4} + c_4 e^{-\frac{1}{4} t} + 4.\]

From 4.1 and 4.2

\[u(t) = 0\]

\[v(t) = 2(-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - c^2}) e^\frac{t}{4} + 2(-1 \mp \sqrt{1 - c^2}) e^{-\frac{1}{4} t} + 4\]

\[w(t) = c t\]

where \( c \in [-1, 1]\).

The Frenet frame of the circle \( r \) is

\[T(s) = (-\sin \frac{s}{4}, \cos \frac{s}{4}, 0)\]

\[N(s) = (-\cos \frac{s}{4}, -\sin \frac{s}{4}, 0)\]

\[B(s) = (0, 0, 1)\]

Consequently, we derive the minimal surface family passing the circle \( r \) as

\[x(s, t; |c|) = (2((1 \mp \sqrt{1 - c^2}) e^\frac{t}{4} + (1 \pm \sqrt{1 - c^2}) e^{-\frac{1}{4} t})(\cos \frac{s}{4}, \sin \frac{s}{4}), c t).\]

**Remark 1.** Its obvious that each members of this minimal surface family is a surface of revolution. We know that only surface of revolution whic is minimal is a piece of catenoid or a plane. Thus each member of this family is a piece of catenoid or a plane.

**Corollary 4.** For \( c = \pm 1 \), the circle \( r \) is a geodesic on the surface

\[x(s, t; 1) = (4 \cosh \frac{t}{4} \cos \frac{s}{4}, 4 \cosh \frac{t}{4} \sin \frac{s}{4}, \pm t)\]

**Proof.** For \( c = \pm 1, 2.8 \) and 2.9 are satisfied. Thus, the circle \( r \) is a geodesic on the surface \( x(s, t; 1) \). \(\square\)

We show that some members of minimal surface family in Figure 1-4 for \( c = 1, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5} \) and \( 0 \leq s \leq 8 \pi, -5 \leq t \leq 5 \).
5. MINIMAL SURFACE FAMILY PASSING A HELIX

In this section, we investigate a minimal surface family passing a helix. Let the curve $\alpha : \alpha(s) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a helix,

$$\alpha(s) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cos s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sin s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} s \right).$$
The curvature and torsion of the circle $r$ are become

$$\kappa(s) = \tau(s) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$$
If we choose \( u(s,t) = u(t) \), \( v(s,t) = v(t) \), \( w(s,t) = w(t) \) and \( t_0 = 0 \) then from (3.1-3.5) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} v)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (u - w)^2 + \frac{1}{2} v^2 &= u_t^2 + u_t^2 + w_t^2 \\
(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} v) u_t + \frac{1}{2} (u - w) v_t + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} v w_t &= 0 \\
 u_{tt} - \frac{1}{2} (u - w) &= 0 \\
v_{tt} - v + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} &= 0 \\
w_{tt} + \frac{1}{2} (u - w) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

From (5.3) and (5.5)
\[
 u_{tt} + w_{tt} = 0.
\]
Thus,
\[
 u(t) = c_2 (e^t - e^{-t}) + \frac{1}{2} c_1 t \\
 w(t) = -c_2 (e^t - e^{-t}) + \frac{1}{2} c_1 t
\]

From (5.4)
\[
 v(t) = c_3 e^t + c_4 e^{-t} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}
\]

where \( c_2 + c_3 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \).

From (5.1) and (5.2)
\[
 u(t) = \frac{1}{2} \cos c(-t + \sinh t) \\
 v(t) = \sin c \sinh t - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (\cosh t - 1) \\
 w(t) = -\frac{1}{4} \cos c(t + \sinh t).
\]

The Frenet frame of the helix \( \alpha \) is
\[
 T(s) = (-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sin s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cos s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}), \\
 N(s) = (-\cos s, -\sin s, 0), \\
 B(s) = (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sin s, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cos s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}).
\]

Consequently, we derive minimal surface family passing the helix \( \alpha \) as
\[
 y(s, t; c) = (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cosh t \cos s - \sinh t(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cos c \sin s - \sin c \cos s), \\
 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cosh t \sin s + \sinh t(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cos c \cos s - \sin c \sin s), \\
 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} t \cos c + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} s)
\]
where \( c \in \mathbb{R} \).
Corollary 5. For \( c = 0 \), the helix \( \alpha \) is a geodesic on the surface

\[
y(s, t; 0) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cosh t \cos s - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sinh t \sin s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cosh t \sin s + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sinh t \cos s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} t + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} s \right).
\]

Corollary 6. For \( c = \frac{\pi}{2} \), the helix \( \alpha \) is an asymptotic line on the surface

\[
y(s, t; \frac{\pi}{2}) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cosh t \cos s + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sinh t \cos s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cosh t \sin s - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sinh t \sin s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} s \right).
\]

Proof. For \( c = \frac{\pi}{2}, \ref{2.10} \) is satisfied. Thus, the helix \( \alpha \) is a asymptotic line on the surface \( y(s, t; \frac{\pi}{2}) \).

We show that some members of minimal surface family in Figure 5 - 8 for \( c = 0, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2} \) and \( 0 \leq s \leq 2\pi, -2 \leq t \leq 2 \).

Figure 5. The helix \( \alpha \) and minimal surface \( y(s, t; 0) \).
Figure 6. The helix $\alpha$ and minimal surface $y(s, t; \frac{\pi}{4})$.

Figure 7. The helix $\alpha$ and minimal surface $y(s, t; \frac{\pi}{2})$. 
6. Conclusion

We derive necessary and sufficient condition for minimal surface passing a given curve. Also, we present parametric representation of minimal surface families passing a circle and a helix.
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