Abstract. We study the elasticity of the plane-filling Peano chain in terms of a spring network, modelling a flat and thin elastic strip, smoothly curved so as to follow the chain pattern.

This network sustains normal modes, named Peano modes; in the same way as acoustic waves in crystals are sustained by the matching of translations with rotations, these modes arise when discrete dilatations match with $\pi/4$ rotations. The pattern shares this eightfold symmetry with the octagonal quasicrystal, but is much looser and prone to disruption; its relaxation marks the saddle point separating the solid from the liquid. In terms of the equivalent quantum mechanical problem the above corresponds to the $D=2$ quantum delocalisation transition.

Some experimental observations, regarding $a$ priori uncorrelated systems such as colloids, bilayer water and chromosomal DNA come together, at least qualitatively, within the viewpoint proposed here. With reference to the $D=2$ colloids the model accounts for the bosonic peak found in their phononic spectrum and for the relaxation of the excitations. The latter typically evolve towards patterns of increasingly large size, as in the inverse energy cascade of $D=2$ turbulence. As for bilayer water, it undergoes a liquid to quasicrystal transition surprisingly similar to the phase transition found here. Finally, considering the chromosomal DNA, the model unveils the mixed nature of its compact conformations, lying at the boundary between a liquid and a solid, similar to colloids and quasicrystals.
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1. Introduction

In this work we study the harmonic spectrum and the time relaxation of the planar Peano–Hilbert curve $PH_2$. The curves $PH_D$ that fill $D$-dimensional spaces are used in ordering multidimensional data [1, 2], as well as in manufacturing miniature antennas [3]. In the domain of polymer physics the curve $PH_3$ has been proposed as a possible final state of a scale-invariant collapse [4]. Quite remarkably, given the high degree of ordering of $PH_3$, its pattern has been found to fit with the spatial organisation of the chromosomal DNA in humans over a rather large range of scales [5]. This resulted from detecting the physical contact frequencies between pairs of genomic loci, using chromosome conformation capture methods [6]. Regarding DNA dynamics, significant data emerged from experiments on bacterial (E.coli) chromosomes [7, 8]. It was found that DNA loci undergo anomalous diffusion with a rather sharp distribution in the exponents, where the dominant behaviour ($t^{\alpha}$) can be connected with a fractal dimension $D_f \leq 3$ [9]. A physical description of the chromosomal conformation could be of interest for cell biologists and should be based on quantitative information regarding the chain topology, the distribution of crosslinks and the nature of interchain interactions; in addition, this looked-for description should account for the complex multicomponent medium of the cell. Originally motivated by this phenomenology, the present paper is limited to analysing the harmonic properties of the planar curve $PH_2$. We consider a quasi one-dimensional elastic strip, modelled as a mechanical network running along $PH_2$, where deviations from the exact pattern carry a harmonic energy. The elastic
couplings are anisotropic since they vary according with the local geometry, so that the resulting mechanical network turns out to be rigid: apart from uniform translations it does not allow for zero-energy modes. In particular, rigid rotations or uniform dilations of any portion of the network have an energetic cost. The model does not account for the interaction between physically adjacent sites when they are distant along the chain: our aim is to describe waves propagating along meandering one-dimensional patterns, not necessarily with the nature of covalent bonds. This description could as well apply to electromagnetic waves, as it is known that the $D = 2$ elasticity has strong similarities with the $D = 2$ electromagnetism: the elastic deformations can be decomposed into irrotational and solenoidal components, where dilatation ($D$) and shear ($S$) have the roles of electric and magnetic field, respectively. The main difference between the two theories concerns the wave propagation properties, because dilatation pays a higher energy with respect to shear, and as a consequence in the bulk the longitudinal ($D$) field propagates with a higher velocity with respect to the transverse ($S$) field. The two distinct waves couple at the medium’s boundary, where they form the Rayleigh waves which are characterised by nontrivial boundary-dependent dispersion laws. This dependence is exploited to extract information during earthquakes, and in the case of electromagnetism it can be used both to extract and to manipulate information, as done, e.g., by scattering electromagnetic waves through photonic crystals [10] or by engineering e.m. wavefronts with metamaterials [11]. In the elastic model in question here the particular ordering enforced by the Peano pattern, which is built by inflating quadrupolar partitions of planar regions, produces non-dispersive Rayleigh waves, named Peano waves.

In order to make clear the physical interpretation of the network, we recall how contact interactions between rigid disks undergo harmonic modelling, a procedure going under the name of fluctuation method [12]. In that framework the notion of a contact (harmonic) network [13, 14] can be given the following precise meaning [15, 16]: ‘In a metastable state of a hard sphere system, two particles are considered to be in contact when they collide during some time interval $\Delta t$ much smaller than the relaxation time of the structure, where metastability is lost, and much larger than the (thermal) collision time’. If the Peano pattern is to be associated with a linear polymer, the model describes the polymer’s fluctuations within a steep effective potential. Note that the potential has its own time evolution, but on the larger scale of the structural relaxation. At shorter times the line of minima of the potential follows the topology assigned by the ordered sequence of bendings of the undeformed pattern. Within this polymeric interpretation, if one were to add to the picture the contact couplings between adjacent but nonsubsequent sites, one would end up with an elastic network describing a textured membrane. The phononic spectrum of such an enlarged model would then display in the density of states, in addition to the contributions described in this work, the behaviour $\rho(\lambda)_{D=2} \approx \lambda$, as expected from standard $D = 2$ Debye spectra. It is worth mentioning that some experiments on two-dimensional colloids did in fact display an anomalously high density of states at low frequencies [17, 18], superimposed to the $D = 2$ Debye behaviour. As a matter of fact we show here that the connection is not limited to the so-called bosonic peak of colloids, but involves their space-time behaviour as well. In analogy with quasicrystals, whose phononic spectra are marked by self-similarity [19–21], we exploit the recursive nature of the curve and consider the
sequence of its periodic approximants having the form of closed chains on the square lattice. At the lattice sites the harmonic operator acts on the two-dimensional space of planar deformations; it has a $(2 \times 2)$ block tridiagonal structure since it involves, at each site, the two nearest neighbours along the chain. For the sake of brevity we will call this operator disordered Laplacian, in spite of it being neither scalar nor disordered. In fact the operator is deterministic, as the sequence of the different vertices that assigns the chain pattern.

We have found that in the case of $\text{PH}_2$ the spectral problem for the disordered Laplacian translates into a finite-dimensional trace map, which can be treated by standard methods. The phononic spectrum of the closed curve shows a multiplicity of power-law singularities in the density of states, with exponents covering a whole interval (see figures 1 and 2). The physics implied by such an exotic spectrum emerges from the analysis of the relaxation process, described by the overdamped Langevin equation associated with the disordered Laplacian. The result is that every exponent identifies the scaling behaviour (with respect to length and time) of a specific class of fluctuations.

We then found that the anisotropy of the elastic coupling induces a phase separation (see figure 3); the two phases are, respectively, dominated by dilatation ($D$) and shear ($S$), and can be identified with solid and liquid. The critical point where the two phases coalesce corresponds to the isotropic limit; quite remarkably the interference of ($D$) and ($S$) generates not only anomalous fluctuations, but also phononic modes, the Peano modes. During the relaxation process the energy flows from localised to extended structures, as in the $D = 2$ turbulence [22] and as observed in the mentioned experiments on two-dimensional colloids [17, 18]. From the perspective of the quantum-mechanics determined by the disordered Laplacian, the isotropic limit corresponds to the critical point of the $D = 2$ quantum delocalisation transition. In other words the Peano chain identifies a two-dimensional extension of the $D = 1$ Aubry–Andre’ class [23]. A comprehensive exploration of the critical point requires an analysis of the trace map; here we limit ourselves to some preliminaries regarding its symmetry properties. As discussed in section 6 we find an eightfold symmetry, revealing that $\text{PH}_2$ is close to the octagonal quasicrystal, but with a definitely lower connectivity. Interestingly, a liquid to dodecagonal quasicrystal transition has been reported for bilayer water [24] in a similar regime. Some preliminaries regarding the properties of the Peano chain are reported in the appendix, where, more importantly, we derive a substitution rule for closed chains. In section 2 we introduce the network model and the disordered Laplacian; we then formulate the eigenvalue problem for this Laplacian in terms of a trace map. In section 3 we analyse the singularities of the spectral density of states by means of the so-called thermodynamic formalism of multifractals. Readers uninterested in the spectral properties can skip sections 2 and 3 and go to section 4, where we examine the fluctuations. The anomalous diffusion exponents and fractal dimensions are explicitly obtained for the planar case and estimated by means of a mean-field argument valid for chains of arbitrary dimension $D$. The exponents obtained with $D = 3$ appear to be in agreement with measures of anomalous diffusion performed on bacterial nucleoids [7, 8]. Section 5 is focused on the solid–liquid phase transition and on the properties of the relaxation process. In section 6 we discuss the symmetries of the system at the critical point and illustrate how they relate with the Peano modes.
2. Elastic network and trace map

We consider the vector field of deformations of the plane-filling Peano–Hilbert chain $\text{PH}_2$. An example of the patterns described by $\text{PH}_2$ is given in figure A1; as shown in the appendix, the closed chain can be constructed by means of an iterative procedure defined over the square lattice. One starts with the approximant of order $k = 1$, taken as the square path, and inflates the paths with suitable rules. The approximant of order $k$ is a closed chain that covers with no intersection all sites of the $(2^k \times 2^k)$ lattice. Upon assigning an orientation to the path the lattice sites can be labelled with the chain coordinate $n$, $(n = 1, 2, \ldots 4^k)$, and the vector field of deformations can be written as $\vec{x}(n) \equiv (x(n), y(n))$. This labelling is appropriate in our context since we assume that the excitations are transmitted only along the Peano pattern and that their elastic energy depends on its local geometry. The dynamics studied here involves the two-dimensional spaces of deformations at the sites $(n - 1, n, n + 1)$. The normal modes of the model solve the following equation:

$$\lambda \hat{\mathbf{I}} \vec{x}(n) = \hat{\Gamma}_n^{(\text{in})} \vec{x}(n - 1) + \hat{\Gamma}_n^{(\text{out})} \vec{x}(n + 1) + \hat{V}_{\text{ext}} \vec{x}(n),$$

(1)
Figure 2. Spectrum corresponding to the vector-valued eigenstates: plot of the distribution $S(\mu)$ obtained with inhomogeneity parameter $\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$ (green triangles). For comparison we plot the distribution associated with the corresponding single variable problem (blue circles). The interval $(\mu_{\text{min}}, \mu_{\text{max}})$ appears to be contracted in the two-dimensional problem. Thus the requirement that the eigenvalues are at the intersection of the two single-variable spectra $\lambda_i(k_1, k_1)$ and $\lambda_y(k_1, k_1)$ modifies the extremal regions $\mu \approx \mu_{\text{min}}, \mu \approx \mu_{\text{max}}$.

Figure 3. Plot of the function $\frac{dg}{d\tau}(\tau)$ obtained from the bands of the approximant of order $k = 10$. The inhomogeneity parameter is $\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$. The ‘internal energy’ $U$ of the system is given by $U = -\frac{dg}{d\tau}$. The asymptotic values of the function are, respectively, $\mu_{\text{min}}$, at large negative values of $\tau$, $(\tau \to -\infty)$, and $\mu_{\text{max}}$, $(\tau \to +\infty)$ at large positive values of $\tau$. At the critical (second order) point $\varepsilon \to 0$ the function $\frac{dg}{d\tau}(\tau)$ has a single inflexion at $\tau = 0$. The plot displayed here clearly shows three inflection points, indicating the onset of the phase separation: the ‘internal energy’ $U$ is going to develop two minima.
where \( \hat{I} \) is the identity and \( \hat{\Gamma}^{(\text{in})}, \hat{\Gamma}^{(\text{out})} \) are the \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices describing the contributions from the (incoming/ outgoing) links attached to the \( n \)th site. The general form of the \( \Gamma \)'s, when including an interaction that mixes the \( x \) and \( y \) components, is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{x,x} &= k_x, \quad \Gamma_{x,y} = \gamma \\
\Gamma_{y,x} &= \gamma, \quad \Gamma_{y,y} = k_y
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

The operator \( \hat{V} \) is the contribution from the vertex that is determined by requiring the invariance under uniform translations:

\[
\hat{V}_n + \hat{\Gamma}_n^{(\text{in})} + \hat{\Gamma}_n^{(\text{out})} = \hat{0},
\]

(3)

this condition thus guarantees that the eigenvalue \( \lambda = 0 \) is in the spectrum at its upper bound. The network is anisotropic because we assign an higher energy to the deviations when they are orthogonal to the local alignment of the pattern. If we label with a \( t \) (transverse) and with an \( l \) (longitudinal) the corresponding elastic constants we thus have \( k_t, k_l \), \( k_t > k_l \). In correspondence with a horizontal link the diagonal entries of the matrix \( \Gamma \) are \( k_x = k_l, k_y = k_t \); the off-diagonal entries \( \gamma \) can describe, in the polymeric interpretation, the elasticity of the chain bendings. One can notice that even in the absence of this contribution the model has no soft (zero energy) modes other than the uniform one. On the r.h.s. of the eigenvalue equation one has an operator with the appearance of a matrix-valued inhomogeneous Laplacian that acts on the two-dimensional vector field of deviations. For the sake of brevity let us name it disordered Laplacian \( \Delta_{\text{PH}} \), with the proviso that its inhomogeneity is actually deterministic since it is determined by the Peano pattern. When the off-diagonal contribution is disregarded (\( \gamma = 0 \)) the \( x \) and \( y \) components are decoupled, and one is left with two scalar disordered Laplacians \( \Delta_x \) and \( \Delta_y \):

\[
\Delta_{\text{PH}} \equiv \text{Diag}(\Delta_x(k_l, k_t), \Delta_y(k_t, k_t)).
\]

(4)

These operators are essentially identical because they turn one into the other under exchange of the couplings (\( k_t \leftrightarrow k_l \), \( k_l \rightarrow k_t \)):

\[
\Delta_y(k_t, k_l) = \Delta_x(k_l, k_t),
\]

(5)

and for this reason we will focus our analysis on \( \Delta_x \). Let us thus write the equation for the component \( x(n, t) \):

\[
(\lambda - V_n)x(n) = \Gamma_n^{(\text{in})}x(n - 1) + \Gamma_n^{(\text{out})}x(n + 1)
\]

(6)

\[
(\Gamma_n^{(\text{out})} = \Gamma_n^{(\text{in})})
\]

Here the coefficients \( \Gamma \) are c-numeric and have value \( k_l(k_l) \) at the horizontal (vertical) links; correspondingly the site (vertex) potential is given by \( V_n = -(\Gamma_n^{(\text{in})} + \Gamma_n^{(\text{out})}) \). By following a standard procedure, this equation can be written in the form of a single step map acting on a two-dimensional space. Once the transfer matrix \( T \equiv T(n) \) has been assigned to the \( n \)th vertex, the vector \( \tilde{z}(n) \equiv (x(n), x(n - 1))^\top \) is mapped by \( T \) into \( \tilde{z}(n + 1): \ 
\tilde{z}(n + 1) = T(n)\tilde{z}(n) \). The transfer matrix \( T \equiv T(n) \) has the form:
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In this way the eigenvalue problem translates into a boundedness condition for the transfer matrix $T_{\text{tot}}$ of the total chain $T_{\text{tot}} \equiv T(N_k) \cdot T(N_{k-1}) \cdot \ldots \cdot T(2) \cdot T(1)$. It is convenient to make use of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (i.e. determinant +1) matrices, because the boundedness condition for $T_{\text{tot}}$ can then be written as a single condition for the trace:

$$\frac{1}{2} |\text{Trace}(T_{\text{tot}})| \leq 1.$$  

In view of this we perform the following transformation:

$$T(n) \rightarrow \hat{T}(n) \equiv S^{-1}(n+1) \cdot T(n) \cdot S(n), \quad S(n) = \hat{1}/(\Gamma_n^{\text{out}})^{1/2}$$

In fact, the new matrices $\hat{T} \equiv \hat{T}_{\text{out,in}} = \hat{T}(\alpha, \beta)$ belong to $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and their entries are:

$$\hat{T}_{1,1} = \frac{\lambda}{\beta} + \alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad \hat{T}_{1,2} = -\alpha$$

$$\hat{T}_{2,1} = 1/\alpha, \quad \hat{T}_{2,2} = 0$$

The parameters $\alpha, \beta$ introduced above are functions of the hopping coefficients:

$$\alpha \equiv \alpha(n) = \left(\frac{\Gamma_n^{\text{in}}}{\Gamma_n^{\text{out}}}\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \equiv \beta(n) = \left(\Gamma_n^{\text{out}} \cdot \Gamma_n^{\text{in}}\right)^{1/2}.$$ 

The iteration formula obtained in the appendix (see equation (A.3)), which we are going to represent by means of transfer matrices, involves an operation $\mathcal{T}$ that describes the inversion of the ordering along the chain. When applied to a single step transfer matrix this inversion has the effect of exchanging $\Gamma^{\text{out}}$ with $\Gamma^{\text{in}}$ because obviously it must be $\mathcal{T}(\hat{T}_{\text{out,in}}) = \hat{T}_{\text{in,out}}$; in terms of the parameters $\alpha, \beta$ we have:

$$\mathcal{T}(\hat{T}(\alpha, \beta)) = \hat{T}(1/\alpha, \beta) = \sigma_1 \cdot \hat{T}^{-1}(\alpha, \beta) \cdot \sigma_1,$$  

where $\sigma_1$ is the Pauli matrix $[(\sigma_1)_{12} = (\sigma_1)_{21} = 1, (\sigma_1)_{11} = (\sigma_1)_{22} = 0]$. The action of $\mathcal{T}$ on multiple step transfer matrices $M \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is thus given by $\mathcal{T}(M) = \sigma_1 \cdot (M)^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1$. We label the transfer matrices of the vertices with the directions of their links as follows: $\hat{T}_{xy}, \hat{T}_{xx}, \hat{T}_{yx}, \hat{T}_{yy}$, where the left (right) label refers to the outgoing (ingoing) link. The inflation rule for $\hat{T}_{yx}$ and $\hat{T}_{yy}$ has the form:

$$\hat{T}'_{yx} = \hat{T}_{yy} \cdot \hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \mathcal{T}(\hat{T}_{xy} \cdot \hat{T}_{yx})$$

$$\hat{T}'_{yy} = \hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \hat{T}_{xx} \cdot \mathcal{T}(\hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \hat{T}_{xy}),$$

the ‘conjugate’ vertices $\hat{T}_{xy}$ and $\hat{T}_{xx}$ transform according to the analogue of this formula where the labels $x$ and $y$ are exchanged.

By using the representation of $\mathcal{T}$ we have:

$$\hat{T}'_{xy} = \hat{T}_{yy} \cdot \hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \hat{T}_{yx}^{-1} \cdot \hat{T}_{xy}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1$$

$$\hat{T}'_{xx} = \hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \hat{T}_{xx} \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \hat{T}_{yx}^{-1} \cdot \hat{T}_{xx}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1$$

$$\hat{T}'_{yx} = \hat{T}_{yy} \cdot \hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \hat{T}_{yy}^{-1} \cdot \hat{T}_{yx}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1$$

$$\hat{T}'_{yy} = \hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \hat{T}_{xx} \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \hat{T}_{yx}^{-1} \cdot \hat{T}_{xx}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1$$

$$\hat{T}'_{yy} = \hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \hat{T}_{xx} \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \hat{T}_{yx}^{-1} \cdot \hat{T}_{xx}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1$$

$$\hat{T}'_{yy} = \hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \hat{T}_{xx} \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \hat{T}_{yx}^{-1} \cdot \hat{T}_{xx}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1$$
\[
\hat{T}_{yy} = \hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \hat{T}_{xy} \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \hat{T}_{xy}^{-1} \cdot \hat{T}_{yx}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_1.
\]

From this equation we computed the band spectra on closed approximants of PH$_2$. Since the chain pattern is invariant with respect to space reflexions, it is sufficient to require that the solutions are bounded over a quarter of the chain. Rather than by diagonalising products of exponentially large numbers of matrices, we have studied the spectral problem in the space of the traces, as this approach allows for a much higher precision. The boundedness condition quoted above translates into:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \cdot |\text{Trace}(\hat{T}_{yx} \cdot \hat{T}_{xy})| \leq +1, \quad \frac{1}{2} \cdot |\text{Trace}(\hat{T}_{yz})| \leq +1.
\]  

(12)

The relevant property to be stressed here is that the space of the traces is finite-dimensional. This is a direct consequence of the Cayley–Hamilton (CH) relation

\[
M^2 - \text{Trace}(M) \cdot M + \text{det}(M) \cdot 1 = 0.
\]  

(13)

In fact it can be verified that (CH) allows the reduction of the order of positive powers of matrices $M^k$, ($k = 2, 3, \ldots$) and to turn negative powers $M^{-1}$ into positive ones. More importantly one can show that the traceless parts $\mathcal{M}$ of the matrices $M$

\[
\mathcal{M} \equiv M - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{Trace}(M) \cdot 1
\]  

satisfy Clifford anticommutation rules. This point can be easily ascertained by writing the $\mathcal{M}$s in the real representation

\[
M = m_0 \cdot \tau_0 + \tilde{m} \cdot \vec{\tau}, (\tau_0 = 1, \tau_i = i \cdot \sigma_2, \tau_2 = \sigma_3, \tau_3 = \sigma_1).
\]  

(15)

This maps the matrices into a four-dimensional space, their coefficients being

\[
m_0 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{Trace}(M), \quad m_i, (i = 1, 2, 3).
\]  

(16)

The algebra of the spin operators $\tau_i, (i = 1, 2, 3)$ allows one to compute, for any couple of matrices $A, B$, the anticommutator $[A, B]_\pm$. By writing the result in terms of the traceless parts $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ one finally gets:

\[
\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A} = (A; B) \cdot 1,
\]  

(17)

where $(A; B) \equiv \text{Trace}(A \cdot B) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{Trace}(A) \cdot \text{Trace}(B)$.

These rules allow the collection of equal factors within products, so that their powers can be reduced to order one. In this way we get monomials where the four types of vertices are raised at the most to power one. The occurrence of their $T$-inverted partners introduces in the algebra the matrix $\sigma_1$. We are thus led to operate in the larger space $SL^\pm(2, R)$. In the calculations we renamed the vertex matrices as follows:

\[
X(1) \equiv \hat{T}_{yx}, \quad X(\bar{1}) \equiv \hat{T}_{xy},
\]

\[
X(2) \equiv \hat{T}_{yz}, \quad X(\bar{2}) \equiv \hat{T}_{zx}.
\]  

(18)

The elements $X(1), X(\bar{1})$ correspond to the orthogonal vertices; products where these factors occur in alternating order are associated with ladders aligned with the diagonals.
of the square lattice, as shown in figure 4. In general the transfer matrix of a chain with
\( n \) vertices has the form
\[
X(\eta_n, \ldots, \eta_2, \eta_1) = X(\eta_n) \cdots X(\eta_2) \cdots X(\eta_1)
\]
where the labels \( \eta \) run over the four values 1, 1, 2, 2. We wrote the trace map in terms of the following
variables:
\[
x(\eta, \eta', \ldots) \equiv \text{Trace}(X(\eta, \eta', \ldots)), \\
y(\eta, \eta', \ldots) \equiv \text{Trace}(X(\eta, \eta', \ldots) \cdot \sigma_1).
\]  

3. Multifractal spectrum

We will now illustrate the results obtained with the trace map for the scalar disordered
Laplacian \( \Delta_x \). We considered a very small anisotropy: \( k_l = 1 - \epsilon, k_t = \frac{1}{k_t} \), \( (|\epsilon| \ll 1) \). When \( \epsilon > 0 \) the x-variable at the horizontal links undergoes a restoring force weaker
than at the vertical ones. In other words its longitudinal displacements are slightly
softer than the transversal ones.

One finds that after one iteration the initial band is split into four bands. This
branching process repeats itself at the successive steps so that the number of bands \( \mathcal{N}_k \)
scales as the size of the system \( \mathcal{N}_k \approx N^4 \).

We have found that upon increasing the anisotropy the bands start to overlap. The
results reported here do not cover this case, as we made sure that the behaviour \( \mathcal{N}_k \approx N^4 \)
was preserved up to \( k = 10 \) iterations.

The spectra of ‘disordered Laplacians’, when characterised by means of the density
of states (DOS) \( \rho(\lambda) \), can display a variety of scaling behaviours \( \rho(|\lambda|) \approx (|\lambda|)^{\alpha - 1} \). Here, instead of \( \alpha \), we use the exponent \( \mu \), which gives the scaling of the bandwidths \( \Delta \lambda \) with
respect to \( \mathcal{N} \): \( \Delta \lambda \approx \mathcal{N}^{-\mu} \). As illustrated in the caption of figure 1 \( \mu \) and \( \alpha \) are simply
related: \( \mu = \frac{1}{\alpha} \). In the literature on phononic spectra it is more usual to consider, rather
than \( \alpha \) or \( \mu \), the spectral dimension \( d_s \) [25], which gives the scaling of the density of
states with respect to the frequencies \( \rho(\omega) \approx (\omega)^{d_s - 1} \). Since \( \lambda = -\omega^2 \) the two exponents are
trivially related: \( \alpha = \frac{d_s}{2} \). Quite surprisingly we found that close to the isotropic limit
the spectrum has a whole set of power law singularities. In other words, the spectrum
is highly sensitive to disorder even with rather small values of \( \epsilon \). We did not system-
atically explore the isotropic limit \( \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \) but the whole set of singularities [26] found
here is qualitatively different from what would be expected with periodic chains. Here,
together with genuine normal modes, hereafter named Peano modes, corresponding to
\( \mu = 1 \), we find singularities with powers below and above \( \mu = 1 \). Working on discretised,
disordered extensions of Laplacians such as the one examined here, even within a small
spectral range \( \Delta \lambda \) a variety of singularities can be found, so that the numerical plots
of the DOS versus \( \lambda \) are generally hard to interpret. This difficulty can be surmounted
when the singularities are of the power-law type. In that case, by collecting together
the portions of the spectrum that share the scaling exponent one can obtain a smooth
distribution. This procedure goes under the name of thermodynamic formalism [27] for
multifractals.
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One defines a partition function $Z(\tau)$, where $\tau$ is the analogue of an inverse temperature; the sum is taken over the $N$ bands of a periodic approximant, and accounts for their individual widths $\lambda_l$, $l = 1, \ldots, N$:

$$Z(\tau) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{N} (\Delta \lambda_l)^{-\tau} \approx (N)^{q(\tau)}.$$  \hfill (20)

The exponent $q(\tau)$ is the analogue of a free energy: in fact it is the sum of an entropy and an energy contribution. To see this, let us consider the subset of bands $E(\mu)$ whose widths scale as $\Delta \lambda \approx N^{-\mu}$. If we make the dependence on $\mu$ explicit on the r.h.s. of the equation, we get:

$$q(\tau) = S(\mu) + \mu \cdot \tau, \quad \left( \mu \equiv \frac{dq}{d\tau} \right).$$  \hfill (21)

Figure 1 is the plot of the ‘entropy’ function $S(\mu)$, which weights the different $\mu$-scalings within the spectrum, so that its value corresponds to the fractal dimension of the subset $E(\mu)$. The extremal values $\mu_{\text{max, min}} \equiv \lim_{\tau \to \pm \infty} \frac{dq}{d\tau}$ of the support of $S(\mu)$ characterise the ‘vacuum’ (temperature $T \to 0_+$) and the ‘fully inverted state’ (temperature $T \to 0_-$) regimes. Note that from the formula relating $q(\tau)$ with $S(\mu)$ one identifies the ‘internal energy’ $U$ of the system:

$$U \equiv -\frac{dq}{d\tau}.$$  \hfill (22)

So far we have considered the scalar operator $\Delta_x$, acting on the single variable $x$: this problem describes a ‘polarised’ $D = 1$ diffusion, as if a blind walker experienced a sequence of varying mobilities encoding the path in its meandering along the plane. The results obtained for the full vector-valued problem $\Delta_{(\text{PH})}$ are shown in figure 2.
where they are also compared with the scalar case. The two distributions turn out to be different, in particular the range of exponents $\mu$ is larger in the scalar case. When the operator $\Delta_{(PH)}$ is diagonal in $x$ and $y$, its eigenstates can be obtained by combining the solutions of the single variable problems in $x$ and $y$:

$$\begin{align*}
\alpha_\lambda(n) &\equiv (x_\lambda(n), 0)^	op \\
\beta_\lambda(n) &\equiv (0, y_\lambda(n))^	op,
\end{align*}$$

(23)

where $\lambda_x$ and $\lambda_y$ are the eigenvalues of $\Delta_x \equiv \Delta_x(k_l, k_l)$ and $\Delta_y \equiv \Delta_y(k_l, k_l)$. In order to have an eigenstate of $\Delta_{(PH)}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$ it must be:

$$\lambda = \lambda_x(k_l, k_l) = \lambda_y(k_l, k_l).$$

(24)

In other words since the spectrum of $\Delta_{(PH)}$ is at the intersection of the two spectra it is not surprising to find a smaller range of exponents.

4. Langevin equation

We proceed now to examine the Gaussian relaxation process associated with the disordered Laplacian $\Delta_{(PH)}$. This allows one to understand the nature of the physical states. It turns out that the behaviour of the fluctuations is determined by the spectral exponents $\mu$. Our discussion relies on the literature dedicated to the dispersion relations of fractals [28] as applied e.g. to the generalised Gaussian structures [29]. We assume that the vector field of deviations $\bar{X}(t) \equiv (\bar{x}(1, t), \bar{x}(2, t), ..., \bar{x}(n, t), ..., \bar{x}(4^i, t))$ evolves according to the following Langevin equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bar{X}(t) = \mathcal{D} \cdot \Delta_{(PH)} \bar{X}(t) + \xi(t)$$

(25)

$$< \xi(t) \xi(t') >= 2 \cdot \mathcal{D} \cdot k_B T \cdot \delta_{ij} \cdot \delta(t - t') \cdot \delta_{n, n'} (i, j = x, y).$$

This process can be considered as a generalisation of the Rouse model, describing the relaxation of an homogeneous polymer. The critical dynamics of self-avoiding Rouse chains and membranes was studied by Wiese [30]. Here we are studying a purely linear system, but with a nontrivial dependence on the geometry of the underlying pattern. For the sake of simplicity let us omit from now on the vector indexes and put $\mathcal{D} = 1$ and $k_B T = 1$. It is well known that the process defined by a Langevin equation is fully described by the response function (also called dynamic susceptibility) and by the correlation function; these two functions are related through the fluctuation–dissipation theorem [31]. Before examining the case of the disordered Laplacian it is useful to remind some basics on Gaussian processes. We consider the relaxation of a field $\phi(x, t)$ in the presence of white noise $\xi(x, t)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi(x, t) = -[r - \nabla^2] \phi(x, t) + \xi(x, t).$$

(26)
In the energy–momentum representation the dynamic susceptibility is \( \chi(\lambda, Q) = (-i \cdot \lambda + r + Q^2)^{-1} \).

The correlation function is defined as follows \( C(x, t) \equiv \phi(x, t) \cdot \phi(0, 0) = \int \frac{dQ}{2\pi} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} C(\lambda, Q)e^{i(Q \cdot x - \lambda \cdot t)} \) and its energy–momentum form is:

\[
C(\lambda, Q) = 2 \cdot (\lambda^2 + (r + Q^2)^2)^{-1}.
\]

We now want to relate the diffusive dispersion in the scale-free regime \( r = 0 \) with the band-edge singularity in the spectrum of the Laplacian: more precisely we show that the \( Q^2 \) dispersion is the counterpart of the multiplicity of states at the edges of the spectrum. In order to make this clear we consider the discretised version of the Laplacian, where the counting of the states is elementary:

\[
\frac{L}{2}(\psi_{n+1} + \psi_{n-1} - 2 \cdot \psi_n) = E\psi_n,
\]

\[
(\psi(N) = \psi(0)) \tag{28}
\]

Consider now the map \( E \mapsto n \) associated with the set of eigenvalues \( E = E(n) = E(k_n) = t(\cos(k_n) - 1), (k_n = \frac{2\pi n}{N}) \): this map is invertible with the exclusion of the band edges \( E = 0 \) and \( E = -2 \ t \), where the DOS displays the \( D = 1 \) van Hove singularities

\[
d\rho(E') = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{t^2 - E'^2}} \cdot (E' \equiv E + t) \tag{29}
\]

The points \( n(E) \), when projected over the \( E \)-axis, produce in the interior of the band the scaling \( |dE| \propto |dn| \) and \( |dE| \propto |dn|^2 \) at the edges. Since one has \( |dn| \approx Q \), the latter scaling is the Laplacian’s dispersion \( Q^2 \).

We generalise this connection to the case of the disordered Laplacian: it is understood that our argument is merely heuristic because it refers only to the behaviour in the scale-free regime, that is to the region \( \lambda \approx 0 \) and \( Q \propto \frac{1}{N} \), \( N \) being the chain length. Recalling that within the spectral set \( E(\mu) \) the singularity is \( |\Delta \lambda| \approx N^{-\mu} \), we associate it with a dispersion relation of the form

\[
-\lambda(Q) \equiv \omega^2(Q) \approx |Q|^\mu \tag{30}
\]

This amounts to saying that the contribution arising from \( E(\mu) \) is described by an anomalous Gaussian process undergone by a field \( \phi_\mu(\lambda, Q) \) with dynamical susceptibility

\[
\chi_\mu(\lambda, Q) = (-i \cdot \lambda + |Q|^\mu)^{-1}. \tag{31}
\]

The correlation function

\[
C_\mu(\lambda, Q) = \frac{2}{(\lambda^2 + (|Q|^\mu)^2)} \tag{32}
\]
when integrated over $\lambda$ and $Q$, is represented as follows:

$$C_\mu(x, t) \equiv \langle \phi_\mu(x, t) \cdot \phi_\mu(0, 0) \rangle \propto \int \frac{dQ}{2\pi} \frac{1}{|Q|^\mu} \exp[iQ \cdot x - |Q|^\mu t].$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

The infrared behaviour associated with $E(\mu)$ is thus:

$$C_\mu(n, 0) \approx (n)^{\mu - 1}, \quad n \gg 1, \quad (n(L) \approx L^2), \quad C(0, t) \approx (t)^{1 - \frac{1}{\mu}}, \quad t \gg 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

Here we replaced the space variable $x$ with the chain’s parameter $n$ and $L$ indicates the linear size of the planar region covered by $n$ subsequent links.

In conclusion, having shown that the exponent $\mu$ determines both space and time behaviours in the infrared regime, we can classify the different types of fluctuations available to the system.

The region $\mu < 1$ describes fluctuations that decay in the length $n$ as a power law. The corresponding eigenfunctions presumably show an oscillating behaviour, where the amplitudes are progressively reduced with distance, as in destructive interference. In addition, when $\mu < 1$ the fluctuations are short-lived since they decay with time as a power law.

In the region $\mu > 1$ we find the complementary behaviour, where the fluctuations grow with $n$. They can be characterised in terms of the fractal dimension $D_f = \frac{2}{\mu - 1}$, or, in the polymeric interpretation, by means of the Flory exponent $\nu = \frac{1}{D_f} = (\mu - 1)/2$.

One can wonder how spatially growing functions could be acceptable eigenfunctions: the point is that in the presence of oscillations the boundedness condition can be recurrently fulfilled. In this case there is presumably some sort of constructive interference. The two regions $\mu < 1$ and $\mu > 1$ are complementary also with respect to time dependence: in fact when $\mu > 1$ the chain sites undergo subdiffusion with an exponent $\gamma_D = 1 - \frac{1}{\mu}$. This behaviour can also be characterised by the dynamic exponent $z = 2/\gamma_D$. The scenario found here leads to associate $\mu > 1$ and $\mu < 1$ with excitations respectively dominated by shear and by dilatation.

It is worth mentioning that the fluctuation–dissipation theorem applies to the anomalous Gaussian process described here. As a consequence the well-known deGennes relation $z = 2 + D_f$ following from that theorem is fulfilled for all exponents $\mu$. The case $\mu = 1$, which marks the separation of the two regions described above, corresponds to oscillations with stable amplitudes. The corresponding Peano modes will be discussed in connection with the symmetries of the system.

We conclude this section with a comment on the general case of chains PHD. Being always generated by deterministic inflation, it is reasonable to expect that their self-similarity should be mirrored into nontrivial spectral properties. The eigenvalue problem in $D$ dimensions, if approached along the lines presented in this paper, would involve transfer matrices of dimension $(2D \times 2D)$.

This can be difficult to deal with even in the case $D = 2$: we recall that it is possible to improve the numerical resolution by using traces instead of matrices, only when the components $x$ and $y$ are decoupled. One can try to overcome this complexity by relying on a mean-field approach, which in the present context amounts to disregarding the fluctuations of $\mu$. Taking into account that the chain is embedded in dimension $D$,
self-consistency suggests that the mean-field exponent $\mu(D)$ should be chosen in such a way as to make the fractal dimension $D_f$ coincide with the bulk’s dimension: $D_f = D$. In order to determine $\mu(D)$ let us consider a couple of points $(1, 2)$ placed at a distance $r$ in the bulk, and use the formula $D_f = \frac{2}{\mu - 1}$. In this way we find:

$$< (\bar{x}(1) - \bar{x}(2))^2 > \approx r^2 = \frac{2}{\mu_D} = \eta^{(D)} - 1, \quad \mu(D) = 1 + \frac{2}{D}. \quad (35)$$

Note that in the language of membranes the fluctuating field $\tilde{x}(n, t)$ undergoes the roughening transition at $\mu = 1$, hence the mean field sets the membrane in the rough phase [32].

In the planar case we obtain $\mu(2) = 2 \equiv D_f$. It turns out that fluctuations of exponent $\mu = 2$ would correspond to structures softer than the elastic network of PH$_2$ itself. In fact the maximal growth of the latter is determined by $\mu_{\text{max}}$ and we have found: $\mu_{\text{max}} < \mu(2) \equiv 2$, ($\gamma = 0$, $\epsilon \to 0$).

In $D = 3$ the mean field exponent is $\mu(3) = \frac{5}{3}$, which implies the anomalous diffusion $C(0, t) \approx t^{\frac{5}{2}}$. This behaviour was actually found to occur with high probability in experiments on bacterial chromosomes [7, 33] and is compatible with configurations close to the optimal packing $D_f = 3$ [9]. Let us finally notice that fluctuations with a fractal dimension higher than $D$ cannot be excluded. In fact, in some of the measurements quoted above [7] also rather small anomalous diffusion exponents $\gamma_D \left( \gamma_D < \frac{2}{5} \right)$ were obtained: they would imply $1 < \mu < \mu(3)$ and $D_f > 3$.

5. Inverse energy cascade and phase separation

In this section we describe the global properties of the system’s relaxation by relying on the results discussed above. We have found that the thermodynamic formalism of multifractals, which up to now has been used primarily for technical reasons, involves a physical interpretation of the ‘internal energy’ $U = -\frac{d\tau}{d\tau}(\tau)$ that is consistent with the scenario of relaxation. The region of negative $\tau$ describes the regime of negative temperatures (i.e. the inverted system) and in fact, as one can see from figure 3, there one finds the higher values of $U$. The limit $\tau \to +\infty$ corresponds to the ground state of the thermodynamics and there the ‘internal energy’ $U$ has its minimum.

An interesting point, revealing some connection of $U$ with the energies $\lambda$, is that the infrared region $\lambda \approx 0$ appears to be dominated by the largest scaling exponent $\mu_{\text{max}} > 1$: at least this is what emerged from our numerical checks. As discussed in the previous section the values $\mu > 1$ are associated with excitations of a large size, presumably dominated by shear. In the opposite case, i.e. whenever the dilatation dominates, one expects localised excitations and higher energies, associated with values $\mu < 1$.

If the ‘internal energy’ $U$ is monotonic with respect to the energy content of the fluctuations, then from the plot reported in figure 3 one gets a clear picture of the process of relaxation: when prepared on localised states, the system is led to evolve towards the shear-dominated regime $\mu > 1$. This behaviour has been in fact observed
in two-dimensional colloids [17, 18] and is intriguingly similar to the inverse energy cascade of two-dimensional turbulence [22].

It turns out that the analogy between this model and two-dimensional colloids is not limited to the space-time behaviour of the excitations, but also involves the spectral properties. In fact, if one were to add to the model the contact couplings between adjacent but nonsubsequent sites, the new network would describe a sort of textured membrane. The resulting phononic spectrum, in addition to the contributions arising from the Peano pattern, would display in the density of states $\rho(\lambda)$ a contribution $\rho(\lambda)_{D=2} \approx \lambda$, arising from planar phonons, as in the standard Debye spectra of two-dimensional systems. The quoted experiments on colloids did in fact display at low frequencies an anomalously high density of states, superimposed to the $D=2$ Debye behaviour.

So far we have discussed the spectral properties in the closeness of the isotropic limit, where the spectral bands are predominantly separated. The question then arises about how the scenario changes when the anisotropy is large enough to make the bands overlap. We have found that the anisotropy induces a phase transition [34]: the spectral measure $S(\mu)$ progressively splits into two separate distributions. Possibly the simplest example of a complete phase separation in a spectral measure is provided by the $D=1$ periodic chain. As we earlier recalled, in that case there are only two exponents: $\mu = 1$ and $\mu = 2$. Since their weights are $S(\mu = 1) = 1$ and $S(\mu = 2) = 0$, the periodic chain is located at the extremal point of a line of phase coexistence, at the extinction of the phase $\mu = 2$, where the system is fully converted into the $\mu = 1$ phase.

A phase coexistence was found [35] in the spectrum of the Quantum Ising Quasicrystal (QIQX). The QIQX model describes a chain of spins where two Ising couplings are aligned in quasiperiodic order [36]. When the two couplings are nearly equal ($J_0 \approx J_1$) the function $S(\mu)$ has support $\mu_{\min} < \mu < \mu_{\max}$ with $\mu_{\min} = 1$ and $\mu_{\max} = 2$. In other words, the spectrum is at the coalescence of the two above-mentioned phases of the periodic chain. As the two couplings are made progressively different ($J_0 \neq J_1$) the two phases separate.

In general a phase separation can be hardly detected by inspecting $S(\mu)$, because the numerics naturally converge to the convex envelope of the two distributions. In fact $S(\mu)$ is the Legendre transform of the object of relevance in this context, which is the ‘internal energy’ $U(U = -\frac{dU}{d\mu})$. By closely inspecting figure 3, where we display $\frac{dU}{d\mu}$ at the value $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ of the anisotropy parameter, one can notice three inflection points: $A \approx (-4, 1), B \approx (0, 1.1), C \approx (2, 1.3)$. At the (second order) critical point one expects a single inflexion point, here we are very close to that point. Upon increasing the parameter $\epsilon$ a local maximum is expected in the region delimited by $(A, B)$. This maximum identifies the emergence on the left side of the plot, i.e. in the inverted regime, of a phase whose dominant scaling evolves towards $\mu_{\min}$. On the right-hand side the scaling of the other phase evolves towards $\mu_{\max}$. It is not difficult to associate the former with the solid, where the high energy dilatation modes are dominant, and the latter, where the fluctuations grow both in $n$ and in $t$, with the shear-dominated liquid.
6. Critical crystal with eightfold symmetry

In the previous section we showed that the model undergoes a phase transition, and that its isotropic limit is found at the saddle separating two phases, respectively, of solid and liquid type. The Peano network can thus be considered a critical crystal, marking the point where phase coexistence turns into coalescence. This situation appears to be the $D = 2$ analog of the well studied critical regime at the $D = 1$ delocalisation transition [23, 37]. It is thus appropriate to recall some results regarding that case.

The $D = 1$ transition was described by Wilkinson within a semiclassical (WKB) approach based on the universal Hamiltonian [38]:

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot H \equiv \cos(p) + \alpha \cdot \cos(q)$$

Exactly at the critical point $\alpha = 1$ the Hamiltonian has a twofold symmetry, since it is self-dual in the exchange of the (adimensional) space and momentum variables. It identifies a square lattice in the $(q, p)$ plane, the lattice points being at the saddles where the separatrices meet. These separatrices are soliton trajectories of energy $H = 0$; apart from them, every other orbit is closed, so that when $\alpha = 1$ the particles are confined both in the $q$ and $p$ directions.

When the self-duality is broken, e.g. when $\alpha > 1$, aligned solitons merge into trajectories running parallel to the $p$-axis: in this region the particles are localised with respect to the $q$-direction. In correspondence with the complementary coupling ($\frac{1}{\alpha}$) one finds the dual trajectories, where the particles are instead extended in the $q$-direction. It resulted from Wilkinson’s analysis that the critical properties obtained with the universal Hamiltonian, which has a twofold symmetry, actually hold for an entire class of Hamiltonians, characterised by fourfold symmetry. The following is an example of these Hamiltonians:

$$\frac{1}{2}H \equiv \cos(q) + \cos(p) + \cos(2 \cdot q - p) + \cos(q + 2 \cdot p)$$

We suggest that this fourfold symmetry could originate from the operators representing the discrete translations in the $q$ and $p$ directions, together with their time-reversed counterparts. The products of these four elements are identified by the oriented paths on the lattice phase space. In order to see how the $D = 1$ case is related with our construction it is useful to examine the quantum Hamiltonians based on chains organised according to the two-letter $(A, B)$ Fibonacci substitution rule. The Fibonacci chain is often depicted as a ladder over a two-dimensional lattice, where the horizontal and vertical steps correspond to the letters $A$ and $B$. The steps are combined in such a way as to fit the ladder within a strip with slope $m = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$. The ladder shown in figure 4 is represented by products where the two operators $X(1)$ and $X(\bar{1})$, associated with the orthogonal vertices of our construction, are ordered in alternating fashion. In this elementary configuration the ladder is contained within a strip of slope $m = 1$. One can notice that since the operators $X(1)$ and $X(\bar{1})$ involve couples of steps $(A \; B)$ or $(B \; A)$, they are more properly associated with translations in the momenta along
the diagonal. The quantum mechanics on the ladder has the form of a constrained $D = 2$ Hamiltonian system, where translations in the momenta are reduced to a single dimension.

The fact that at the critical point the $D = 1$ quantum system is correctly described by the WKB approximation translates, in the language of trace maps, into the condition $\text{Trace}(A \cdot B \cdot A^{-1} \cdot B^{-1}) = 2$, meaning that the group commutator is the identity. This condition is connected to the localisation properties of the wave functions through the following trace relation:

$$\text{Trace}(A \cdot B \cdot A^{-1} \cdot B^{-1}) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2$$

\[ (38) \]

$$x \equiv \text{Trace}(A), \ y \equiv \text{Trace}(B), \ z \equiv \text{Trace}(A \cdot B).$$

On the r.h.s of the above one recognises the well-known constant of motion of the trace map \[19\] representing the surface $I(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz$. The topology of this surface changes when $I = 4$, i.e. when the group commutator is trivial, and correspondingly two separate types of map trajectories (localised–delocalised) meet.

We now return to the trace map of our case, leaving to future work \[39\] a detailed analysis. The map involves the monomials made out of the four vertices $(X(1), X(1), X(2), X(2))$. One has six order-two monomials, four order-three monomials and one order-four monomial. The degrees of freedom are doubled since the four basic vertices occur with their $T$-reversed copies. The map is thus defined over the traces $x = \text{Trace}(X)$ and $y = \text{Trace}(X \cdot \sigma_1)$ illustrated in section 2. The overall space has dimensions of $15 \times 2$ but this large number can be reduced by exploiting some symmetries. For instance the invariance under cyclic permutations generates a triangular symmetry for cubic monomials. Cubic monomials are further related through some trace identities \[39\].

On top of that, the occurrence of $4 \times 2$ basic vertices enforces an eightfold symmetry. This, we believe, appears as the extension of the $D = 1$ case that is characterised by a fourfold symmetry. In fact, in quantising a Hamiltonian system over a $(2 \times 2)$ phase space one needs $(4 \times 2)$ operators representing the discrete $(q_x, q_y)$, $(p_x, p_y)$ translations and their reversals. These operators act in this case on the vector of elastic displacements having values in a real two-dimensional vector space.

In order to understand how this geometry effects the configurations of the system, we project the lattice of momenta on the plane of configurations. In figure 5 we enumerate the discrete translations of the phase space and compare them with the algebra of the vertices. The motif displayed on the lower left is obtained by combining the vertices $X(1), X(\bar{1}), X(2), X(2)$ with their reversed counterparts. On the lower right we show the effect of projecting the $(p_x, p_y)$ lattice over $(q_x, q_y)$. Note that in the usual representation of the union of a q-lattice with its dual one assigns the nodes of the dual to the centres of the q-plaquettes. Under that convention the tiling of the plane would appear in the form of two equally oriented square lattices. The eightfold motif obtained here results instead from the convention of making the centres of the q and p plaquettes coincide and having them rotated at an angle $\pi/4$. 
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We find that this convention reproduces the geometry associated with the operators $(\bar{X})_{1,1,2,2}$. In fact, the two motifs displayed on the second line give rise to identical periodic tilings of the plane. It turns out that in recent experiments on bilayer water, precursors of crystallisation were observed to generate quadratic, hexagonal, pentagonal patterns and, more importantly, the dodecagonal quasicrystal [24]. On very general grounds, since quasicrystals are much softer than solids, it is fair to expect that in the liquid to solid transition various structures of intermediate stability should be explored by the system.

Given this eightfold symmetry the question is then: to what extent is the Peano network related to the octagonal quasicrystal? The effective coordination number of the network is certainly smaller than the average coordination number of the octagonal quasicrystal. Periodic approximants of the latter [40], obtained by projecting the 4D hypercube on the plane, have square-shaped elementary cells. Each cell has vertices with coordination numbers $z$ varying from three to eight $(z = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8)$. The free particle (laplacian) quantum motion defined over such tilings is characterised by anomalous diffusion, but with exponents much larger than those obtained here [41]. The reason for this can be easily understood, since in the octagonal quasicrystal there is a larger number of channels open to hopping.

We would like to stress that the octagonal symmetry arising in the Peano network does not originate in the Euclidean metrics, but in the Hamiltonian structure. If the
Peano modes at the D = 2 delocalisation transition

At the delocalisation transition the system is self-dual, thus at the critical point it must be $\alpha = \beta$:

$$ (p_x^2 + p_y^2) = (q_x^2 + q_y^2) = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \alpha} \cdot H $$

(40)

The above means that the allowed states are points of the (2 x 2)-dimensional lattice touched by the $S^3$ sphere of the energies and satisfying the self-duality condition.

This allows one to understand why the Peano chain can sustain normal modes, in spite of its intricate structure. As shown in figure 6 the octagon reproduces itself at discrete scales where the dilatations match with discrete rotations. The chain shares with the octagon this ‘polar crystal’ property and can thus support normal (Peano) modes, organised in a hierarchical fashion. The numerical results confirm this argument. In fact, by inspecting the multiplicity of solutions as a function of the energies $\lambda$ displayed in figure 7 one can find some relevant features enumerated below.

A) The distribution appears as the union of several curves $\mathcal{N}(l, \lambda), (l = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ with shapes similar to what one would obtain with periodic chains. The curves can be associated with approximants of increasing order $l$. In fact, they represent
solutions of growing multiplicity, as one can see from their intercepts with the vertical axis.

B) A second family of curves \( \sigma \) (in red) is apparently orthogonal to the \( N(l, \lambda) \). The \( \sigma \)'s can be identified by means of their intercept \( \lambda \) with the horizontal axis:

\[
\sigma \equiv \sigma(\lambda, s), \quad |\lambda| \rightarrow \sigma(\lambda, s = 0).
\]

As the arc parameter \( s \) grows the curve \( \sigma(\lambda, s) \) crosses approximants of increasing order \( l \); the multiplicity (degeneracy) of the eigenvalue \( \lambda \) increases accordingly.

The Peano waves can be depicted as Rayleigh waves whose packet is split into non-dispersive contributions corresponding to the different scales of approximants. This scale separation actually involves not only the normal modes \( \mu = 1 \), but also the anomalous modes \( \mu \neq 1 \): one can identify the \( l \)-curves down to the edge \( \lambda \approx 0 \).

In addition to the octagonal symmetry, lower order ones, as shown in in figure 8, can as well be of importance. The case of triangular symmetry was shortly illustrated above, in association with cubic monomials.
7. Conclusions

We have studied the elastic deformations of the plane-filling closed Peano chain, taken as an elastic network. The shear and dilatation modes are intrinsically coupled, because their waves are constrained to propagate along a thin planar strip undergoing the sequence of turns dictated by the deterministic pattern of the chain.

The situation is thus similar to the interferences at the surface of an elastic material, giving rise to the dispersive Rayleigh waves. The Peano chain has the property of being self-similar, with periodic approximants. In a situation of this type the Rayleigh waves can find resonance conditions necessary for coherent propagation. The corresponding normal modes, named Peano modes, occur when the rescaling associated with the inflation procedure matches the discrete rotational symmetry of the pattern.

We have studied the properties of the spectrum by means of the so-called thermodynamic formalism for multifractals. In addition to the Peano modes we have found solutions that can be qualitatively described as oscillating functions modulated by amplitudes which grow or decay: they are associated with Gaussian relaxation processes characterised by a scaling exponent $\mu \neq 1$.

These anomalous modes result from the frustrated coupling of shear and dilatation: when the resonance conditions are not exactly fulfilled, the waves can be amplified or damped, depending on how the interference operates. When $\mu < 1$ the equal time correlation function decays along the chain as $n^{\mu-1}$, hence these states are of localised type; correspondingly the chain sites undergo a damping process, with the power law $t^{1-\mu}$. The fluctuations characterised by $\mu > 1$ show a complementary behaviour: rather than damping they undergo anomalous diffusion and their equal time correlation functions grow in amplitude along the chain. They are predominantly found at low energies ($\lambda \approx 0$). Taking the above into account, the relaxation of the system can be described as a flow from localised high-energy structures towards extended low-energy ones.
where the latter survive in the long time regime of the process. This picture turns out to reproduce, at least qualitatively, the behaviour observed in two-dimensional colloids \cite{17, 18}. It may then be not coincidental that also the presence, observed in the same experiments, of a low-energy bosonic peak can be readily explained within our model. In our opinion the peak reveals a contribution to elastic energy arising from closed, large-sized one-dimensional patterns. Structures of this sort are not easily described within a membrane-like network model. The density of states should then account for these excitations, which add up to the standard $D = 2$ phononic part.

Possibly the main result obtained in this work is the proof of the existence of a critical point in the isotropic limit of the model, where two phases coalesce. These phases are respectively dominated by the $\mu < 1$ and $\mu > 1$ regimes: localised versus delocalized, or, in other words, solid versus liquid. It is thus appropriate to consider the Peano network as a critical crystal.

Since, as previously mentioned, the dilatation modes have higher energies and are more localised, the energy flows towards extended configurations and lower energies, as in the inverse energy cascade of two-dimensional turbulence. We have argued in addition that this critical point should be characterised by an eightfold symmetry: from this perspective the Peano chain is closely related to the octagonal quasicrystal, but has a much lower connectivity. Quite intriguingly, experiments on bilayer water revealed a transition from the liquid to the dodecagonal quasicrystal \cite{24}. The eightfold symmetry that emerges here is strictly related to our limiting the description to contributions from large-sized $D = 1$ structures; enlarging this picture could lead to results of a greater relevance for the phenomenology, but at the expense of losing the solvability.

From the perspective of the possible connections with the spatial ordering of chromosomes, the mean-field approach presented in section 4 gives an estimate of the anomalous diffusion exponent that fits quite well with the numbers observed in bacteria.

Let us finally comment on low-energy excitations of a different kind that should also be expected in Peano-shaped structures. The chain phonons treated in this work describe the small deviations with respect to a fully ordered pattern, but in addition one could consider isolated topological defects, giving rise to deviations from exact space-filling. The motion of such defects necessarily involves collective reorderings along the chain, i.e. phasons \cite{42}. We are led to associate with excitations of this kind the correlated motions observed in chromatin \cite{43}. At even higher energies, multiple defects should be accounted for, but this goes far beyond the perspective of our approach; nonetheless, we point out that the chain phonons should give a relevant contribution in the infrared regime, since they carry the smaller momenta available to the system, scaling as $L^{-D}$.

**Acknowledgments**

The Author is indebted to M C Lagomarsino for showing him that life may still be found at the boundaries of maximally connected networks, and wishes to thank F Prati and R Artuso for reading the paper.
Appendix

In this appendix we determine the symbolic substitution rule allowing one to generate approximants of the closed Peano–Hilbert chain $PH_2$. To the best of our knowledge the procedure illustrated here is original. It is organised in such a way as to preserve the correct matching of the four quarters making up a closed chain, as required in the spectral problem examined in the paper. The approximant of order $k$ of $PH_2$ is a path of length $N_k = 4^k$ that connects with no intersections all points of the $(2^k \times 2^k)$ square lattice. We display in figure A1 one of the mentioned quarters, corresponding to the approximant of order $k = 4$. Since the plane has the topology of $S^2$, a closed path cuts the plane in two polar regions. At first sight it is equivalent to assign a model over the square lattice or over a Peano approximant, but the two choices are topologically different. In fact the lattice is a torus while the Peano chain has the topology of a circle (see also the caption of figure A3).

Let us now enumerate all possible vertices in order to identify chain patterns with symbolic words. We label the available vertices with the numerals $n$ and $\bar{n}$, $(n = 1, 2, ..., 6)$, where $n$ and $\bar{n}$ are oppositely oriented as illustrated in figure A2. The approximant of order $k$ is thus written as a symbolic word $W^k$ made out of the $6 \times 2$ letters $l$ of the alphabet defined above:

$$W^{(k)} \equiv l(N_k)l(N_k - 1) ... l(3)l(2)l(1). \quad (A.1)$$

The alphabet used for the vertices is exceedingly extended, as every vertex is the image of a flat or orthogonal one under suitable transformations. These transformations are the discrete rotation $R$, the inversions $P_x$, $P_y$ with respect to the $x$ and $y$-axes and the path inversion $T$. They act as listed here: $T(m) = \bar{n}$, $T(m(n) ... m(1)) \equiv \bar{n}(1) ... \bar{n}(n)$; $P_x(\bar{4}) = 3$, $P_y(1) = \bar{4}$, $P_y(P_y(2)) = 4$; $4 = R(1)$, $1 = R(2)$. We thus single out as basis elements the vertices $m = 4$ and $m = 6$. Our aim is to write the substitution rule under the constraint of closed path. Quite remarkably, the constraint is sufficient to identify the rule. Let us consider, e.g. the choices available for inflating the vertex $m = 4$: as shown in figure A3 two choices are available and they would imply twofold branchings at each inflation step. This ambiguity is eliminated if one requires that the inflated path must be closed. Every approximant can thus occur in only two forms; they are displayed in the upper line of figure A3 for the first approximant. In our calculations we have chosen the option shown in the right-hand column of figure A3. With this choice the four quarters making up the chain are connected through the two external (left and right) vertical links and the two central horizontal links; the H-shaped pattern displayed on the right-hand side of figure A3 reproduces itself at all orders. Going back to the inflation rule, we write the convention as follows: $4 \to 4' \equiv 4 \to (643\bar{1})$ and $6 \to 6' \equiv (4\bar{2}\bar{3}1)$. Considering the symmetries of the pattern, the following identities must hold at every order:

$$1 = TP_y(4), \quad 3 = TR(4), \quad 2 = R^{-1}P_y(4), \quad \bar{1} = TR^{-1}(4), \quad 3 = TP_x(4). \quad (A.2)$$

We can thus write the inflation in terms of the two words $Q_4$ and $Q_6$:

$$Q_6^{(k)} = Q_4^{(k-1)}(R^{-1}P_yQ_4^{(k-1)})(TRQ_4^{(k-1)})(TP_yQ_4^{(k-1)}) \quad (A.3)$$
The word $Q^{(k)}_4$ determines the lower left quarter of the closed chain $W^{(k)}$. The latter is obtained by operating with the symmetries and has the form:

$$W^{(k)} = Q^{(k)}_4(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{P}_2Q^{(k)}_4)(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{P}_2\mathcal{P}_1Q^{(k)}_4)(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{P}_2Q^{(k)}_4).$$

**Figure A1.** Closed PH$_2$ chain, also known as Moore curve. The lower left quarter of the approximant of order $k = 4$ is displayed here. The arrows depict the ingoing and outgoing links connecting this quarter to its neighbours. The connection to the neighbour lying on the right-hand side is provided by an horizontal link placed at the uppermost available location. A complementary situation regards the connection with the upper neighbour: in this case the link is vertical and placed at the leftmost available location. The inflation procedure is defined in such a way as to guarantee that this structure is preserved at all orders.

**Figure A2.** The convention used for labelling the different types of vertices is here specified. As the iteration is based on a quadripartite structure, in order to assign labels to vertices one can start with the elementary square-shaped closed path. Since paths can as well go through lattice sites without bending, in addition to orthogonal vertices also the flat ones (horizontal and vertical) must be accounted for. With the numerals (1, 2, 3, 4) and (5, 6) we respectively classify the patterns of orthogonal and flat vertices in the clockwise path; the vertices corresponding to the anticlockwise path are labelled with ($\bar{1}$, $\bar{2}$, $\bar{3}$, $\bar{4}$) and ($\bar{5}$, $\bar{6}$).
Figure A3. In the lower line of this figure are displayed the two choices available when inflating the vertex $m = 4$; the parent (inflated) patterns are depicted in blue (red). With the left choice, the new vertices formed at the entrance and at the exit of the $m = 4$ motif are respectively flat horizontal (5) and orthogonal (3). The convention chosen in the paper is displayed on the right: a horizontal link enters the orthogonal vertex ($\tilde{1}$), and a vertical link exits the flat vertical vertex 6. In the upper part of the figure we display the two resulting closed patterns after one step of the inflation procedure; the initial configuration is the squared perimeter depicted in blue. The two complementary H-shaped portions of the plane enclosed by the red patterns, when glued together like the two patches of a tennis ball, form a compact surface $S^2$. Hence the approximants separate the compactified plane in two polar regions. The Peano chain is the maximally extended ‘equator’ of this partition.
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