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ABSTRACT

Massive hematite ore (MHO) is a special high-grade iron ore, used as lump ore in the process of obtaining direct reduction iron (DRI). The influence of porosity on the reducibility of MHO from the Capitão do Mato Mine (Iron Quadrangle, Brazil) was investigated using optical and scanning electron microscopes on drill core and open pit samples.

Hematite is the main component of the samples and occurs as granular crystals (10 µm), microplates (1 µm) and euhedral martite (10 to 30 µm). Quartz, maghemite, kenomagnetite and goethite are minor components.

Primary micropores (Å to 1 µm) are associated with microplaty crystals that fill cavities between granular hematite. Secondary micropores (Å to 5 µm) related to euhedral martite crystals, are the most important.

The total porosity of weathered samples, measured using nitrogen adsorption and mercury injection, attains values up to 11%, whereas unweathered samples have a porosity less than 2.5%. Reducibility is strongly enhanced by porosity, but inhibited by structure (bedding).

Key words: BIF, porosity, massive hematite ore, direct reduction iron, reducibility.

INTRODUCTION

For many years, iron ores were classified only by their chemical composition. In addition to the Fe₂O₃ content, the quality of the ore was defined by its contents of P₂O₅, SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and loss on ignition (LOI). The steelmaking industry now requires additional data on physical properties (size-range, fines, mechanical strength), metallurgical properties (reducibility, swelling, clustering or sticking and thermal decrepitation) and geological characteristics (mineralogical composition and grain size of iron-oxide minerals, texture, structure, porosity and permeability).

Reducibility is defined as the measure of the rate at which a given iron ore or agglomerate will reduce under arbitrary and fixed temperature and gas composition (Feinman and MacRae 1999). The direct reduction (DR) process, used to obtain the direct reduced iron (DRI), is defined as any process in which metallic iron is produced by the reduction of iron ore below the melting temperature of any mate-
The worldwide DRI production has increased since 1970 and reached 37.1 million metric tons in 1997 (MIDREX 1999) which represent roughly 5% of the world steel production. The demand for DRI continues to increase due to its multiple application in the steel industry, including electric arc and basic oxygen furnaces (Kopfle 1999).

The main world iron resources occur as banded iron formation (BIF) deposited mainly between 2.5 and 1.8 Ga (Klein and Beukes 1992). Mineralogically, the term BIF is used to differentiate the oxide facies (James 1954) consisting dominantly of hematite, magnetite and chert from siderite and silicate facies.

The iron content of BIFs can increase after deposition either by replacement of gangue minerals by goethite (Morris 1980) or their dissolution by weathering under appropriate climatic conditions (Dorr 1964). The replacement of gangue minerals by hematite can be explained by hydrothermal alteration (Gruner 1937, Guild 1953, Barley et al. 1999, Powell et al. 1999), metassomatism during metamorphism (Dorr and Barbosa 1963, Dorr 1965), or supergene enrichment followed by burial metamorphism (Morris 1980, 1985). In either case, if the enrichment of iron in the primary deposit attains appropriate values, the BIF is an ore for the steel industry.

The ideal iron ore for use in the DRI process should be porous in order to obtain rapid and substantial reducibility (Poveromo and Swanson 1999). In the furnace, the lump ore is submitted directly to the injection of reducing gases, under high temperature (750°C), the rate of reduction depending on the interaction between the gases and the oxides. A clear evaluation of the extent and nature of the porosity in iron ore will be in monitoring and understanding its behavior in the DRI process.

Banded iron formations that underwent medium to high-grade metamorphic conditions have a low porosity. Low-grade metamorphism and low compaction rates may preserve a primary porosity. On the other hand, during metasomatism and hydrothermal alteration, the primary sedimentary textures may be partially or completely modified by replacement, resulting in a secondary porosity. Additionally, considering that BIFs were exposed to various climatic conditions throughout the geological time, they may have undergone congruent (Melfi et al. 1976) or incongruent (Ramanaidou et al. 1996) dissolution, resulting in textural changes and formation of secondary porosity.

The aim of this paper is to present results of the investigation of the microporosity of a particular BIF iron ore, known as ‘‘hematita compacta’’ (massive hematite) from the Capitão do Mato Mine, Iron Quadrangle, Minas Gerais State, Brazil (Figure 1). This ore is used as lump ore to obtain direct reduction iron (DRI) and contains high proportion of hematite and less than 2% of quartz.

Dutta et al. (1972) and Xavier (1994) compare massive ore with other iron-ore types (friable, schistose, brechia) and concluded that the high porosity of friable ores is the main factor determining its better reducibility, as also described by Leone et al. (1981). On the other hand, Poveromo and Swanson (1999) state that the low porosity of massive iron ore is due to the tight-packing of granular hematite. Muniz et al. (1992) and Xavier (1994) determined that reducibility of these ores depends on the crystal size and its distribution within the ore, as well as on the nature of bedding. Thus, ores homogeneously-textured, very fine-grained (< 10 µm) and lacking bedding are more easily reduced.

LOCATION AND GEOLOGY OF THE CAPITÃO DO MATO MINE

The Capitão do Mato Mine is located in the northwestern portion of the Iron Quadrangle, 15km South of Belo Horizonte, the capital of the Minas Gerais State (Figure 1). The climate of the region is tropical, with dry winters and wet summers, and annual mean rainfall of 1700 mm. The mine belongs to Minerações Brasileiras Reunidas S.A. – MBR, with production starting in 1997.

This region is one of the world’s classic geological sites, contains one of the most important
Fig. 1 – Location, geologic map (Marshak and Alkmim, 1989) and geologic section of the Capitão do Mato Mine (geology from Pomerene 1964), Iron Quadrangle, Minas Gerais State, Brazil.
Brazilian iron ore reserves (40%), being responsible for 70% of country’s annual production (Table I). In the worldwide market Brazil contributes with 32% of lump ore, of which the Iron Quadrangle is responsible for 29%.

**TABLE I**

Annual production of iron ore and lump ore from the Iron Quadrangle, Brazil and World. (*) DNPM (1999); (**) Poveromo and Swanson (1999).

|                | Iron Ore (*) | Lump Ore (**) |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Production 10^6 t |              |               |
| Iron Quadrangle | 145          | 2.45          |
| Brazil         | 195          | 2.64          |
| World          | 850          | 5.82          |

The Iron Quadrangle is located on the southern part of the São Francisco Craton (Almeida 1977). The regional geology was (Figure 1) initially described by Harder and Chamberlin (1915) and subsequently reviewed by Dorr (1969), Marshak and Alkmim (1989). Three geological units are recognized: (1) the Metamorphic Complexes, which consist of Archaean tonalite to granitic gneisses and migmatites; (2) the Rio das Velhas Supergroup, a greenstone belt rock sequence; and (3) the Minas Supergroup, which consists of Early Proterozoic, low-grade metamorphic metasedimentary formations, including the here studied banded iron formation, locally called itabirites.

After deposition, the Minas Supergroup underwent into large-scale synclines and anticlines extensional deformation (Endo and Nalini 1992, Chemale Jr. et al. 1994), and metamorphism under greenschist facies conditions. During the Brazilianian Cycle (750-450m.y.) its eastern part was affected by a deformation due to compression (Marshak and Alkmim 1989, Machado et al. 1992), under which metamorphic facies reached the amphibolite facies. The studied ore samples were collected at the western side of the Iron Quadrangle, where metamorphic facies is of the greenschist facies.

The high-grade hematite ore was defined as non-hydrated, ranges from hard and dense to powdery, and contains more than 66% Fe. According to the degree of packing of the hematite grains, the ore is classified into hard, friable (soft) and intermediate types. Soft hematite ore is commonly schistose in the eastern portions of the Iron Quadrangle, and contrast with the massive and granular ores from the west. The reserves of high-grade ore are in range between 100 and 400 million tons (Dorr 1965).

The Capitão do Mato Mine is located at the reverse flank of the Moeda syncline (Figure 1). Weathering of the BIF, which results mostly in the dissolution of quartz, reaches only about 20m deep in the massive hematite ore-body (canga), but elsewhere it can be followed to a depth of 200m (Figure 2a).

The genesis of the massive hematite ore has been subject of a long and deep controversy. The earliest interpretations (Harder 1914, Harder and Chamberlin 1915, Sanders 1933) favored a syngenetic model, followed by hydrothermal (Guild 1953) and synmetamorphic metasomatic models (Dorr and Barbosa 1963, Dorr 1965) models. New petrological evidence (Varajão et al. 1997), points towards a gradational contact between MHO and the host BIFs. Moreover, previous researches on structural data (Xavier 1994, Zavaglia 1996) described evidence of a sedimentary control based on the lens shape of the ore bodies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Thirteen samples from the weathering profile exposed in the open pit of the Capitão do Mato mine, and 72 deep samples from drill-cores were collected (Figure 2b), 19 of which were selected to measure porosity. Before further steps, polished sections were described by means of an optical microscope and SEM. Bulk chemical analyses data were obtained by ICP and reducibility Midrex Linder Test (MLT) at 750°C performed at the MBR laboratories, according ISO 11258.

Carbon-coated polished sections of ore were examined under a scanning electron microscopy (Philips 505) at the Centre for Microscopy and
Fig. 2 – (a) Local geologic section of the Capitão do Mato Mine, showing the massive hematite ore intercepted by boreholes and (b) the localization of the samples chosen for porosity measurements.

Microanalysis, University of Western Australia – CMM-UWA, using secondary and backscattered electrons at 15 kV and 30 kV and a working distance ranging from 9.5 to 12 mm. Bulk chemical data of the samples were obtained by means of a JEOL JSM 6400 EDS instrument at the CMM-UWA.

Bulk density (g/cm³) was determined using the kerosene method (Monnier et al. 1973). Porosity was determined by braking the samples with a hammer to obtain one 9.5 to 31.5 mm and another 1.4 to 3.35 mm size-classes. The first class was used in the DRI experiments and the determination of the porosity by mercury injection. The second was used for nitrogen desorption porosimetry, due to the equipment limitations.

The pore-size distribution was determined by combining mercury porosimetry and nitrogen desorption methods. The first involves the measurement of the pressure required to force mercury into the pores of a dry sample and its volume intruded...
at each pressure. Assuming cylindrical pores, the relationship between pore diameter ($D$, in nm) and applied pressure ($P$, in MPa) is given by the expression:

$$D = -410^3 \gamma \cos \theta / P$$

where $\gamma$ is the surface tension of mercury and $\theta$ its contact angle with the solid material. Mercury intrusion measurements were made with a 9310 Micromeritics porosimeter at the Institute Nationale de la Recherche Agronomique – INRA, Orléans, France, that operates up to a maximum pressure of 200 MPa (Fiès and Bruand 1998). Values for $\gamma$ and $\theta$ were 0.484 N m$^{-1}$ and 130$^\circ$, respectively, which yield pore volume $V$ distribution with equivalent diameters between 6.2 nm and 2.5 $\mu$m. Measurements were made in duplicate on about 15 g of material.

The nitrogen desorption method was applied to samples 1.4 to 3.35 mm in size. This method involves the determination of the desorption branch of the nitrogen isotherm, used to relate the amount of nitrogen lost in a desorption step to the average size of pores emptied in the step. A pore loses its liquid adsorbate, called the core of the pore, at a particular relative pressure $p/p_0$, related to the core radius ($r$) by the Kelvin equation (Sills et al. 1973):

$$\frac{RT}{V} \ln \frac{p}{p_0} = -\frac{2\lambda \cos \theta}{r},$$

where $R$ is the gas constant, $T$ is the absolute temperature, $\lambda$ is the surface tension, and $V$ is the molar volume of liquid nitrogen. After the evaporation of the core bulk liquid, a layer of adsorbed nitrogen remains on the wall of the pore. This layer becomes thinner by successively lowering the pressure. The total amount of gas desorbed in each step (core + wall layer) was measured by the BJH method, incorporated into a Gemini III 2375 V5.00 equipment, at the Soil Science and Plant Nutrition Department (UWA). The results yield pore equivalent diameters between 0.2 and 20 nm. Measurements were made on about 3.5 g of material.

### RESULTS

**Massive Hematite Ore**

Massive hematite is high-grade ore (Dorr 1965), hard, granular, dense and more or less bedded (Figure 3a, b). It has a very particular chemical composition, as at the Capitão do Mato Mine, with 68% of Fe and less than 3% of quartz and other gangue minerals (Table II). MHO breaks into irregular blocks commonly with conchoidal fractures (Figure 3a).

The mineralogical composition of MHO is very specific. Hematite is the main component and occurs as granular (10 $\mu$m), microplaty crystals (1 $\mu$m) and euhedral martite (10 to 30 $\mu$m) formed after magnetite. Quartz, maghemite, keno-magnetite (intermediate phase between magnetite and maghemite; Morris 1980, 1985) and goethite are minor components.

The alternation between hematite rich layers and martitized magnetite-hematite layers (mm to cm) is frequent (Figure 3b) and it defines the bedding ($S_0$), which coincides with a metamorphic foliation $S_1$ (Endo and Nalini 1992, Chemale Jr. et al. 1994, Alkmim and Marshak 1998). Quartz grains are locally present along the contact between these layers and may also be occasionally abundant in the hematite layers but were not observed in the martitized magnetite-hematite layers.

In general, the hematite crystals are subparallel to the bedding ($S_0$) and schistosity ($S_1$) (Figure 3c), but are discordant near and at the axial zone of folds, where the $S_1$ becomes oblique to $S_0$ (Figure 3d). The recrystallization of granular hematite into platy crystals (10 mm), locally formed mm to cm thick layers parallel to $S_1$ or $S_2$. Normally, microplaty hematite (1 mm) is euhedral, non-oriented and occurs between granular hematite.

Textural relationships, observed under microscope, show that magnetite occurs in two varieties. The most common is homogeneously equigranular (10 mm) and parallel to $S_0 \equiv S_1$. The less common is euhedral (10 to 30 $\mu$m) and parallel to $S_2$. As a rule, magnetite crystals are partially or totally martitized (Figures 3c and 3e). Partially martitized
crystals often contain a core of kenomagnetite (Figure 3f), rarely maghemite and locally goethite (Figure 3g).

At least two generations of quartz were identified. The first (5 to 10 µm) is parallel to $S_0 \equiv S_1$, and interpreted as recrystallized during metamorphism (Figure 3e). The second is remobilized into veins (µm to mm wide), where the quartz grains (5 to 30 µm) are associated with microplaty hematite ($< 1 \mu$m) and a haematitic-goethitic matrix.

Under high magnification, polished sections of the studied sampes reveal that non-oriented microplaty hematite and euhedral martite contain abundant micropores, which also occur in the weathered facies, but mainly in fractures.

The massive nature and the small size of crystals of the ore inhibited a good impregnation. Therefore, during polishing some crystals were pulled-out, leading to a false porosity (Figure 3c). However, SEM images of rock fragments provided a good tool for obtaining and understanding the nature of the micropores.

| Nature of Pores |
|-----------------|
| Scanning electron images of MHO samples show two classes of pore-size: micropores (from Å to 75 µm) and macropores ($> 75 \mu$m). The micropores are associated with primary microplaty hematite, secondary euhedral martite and goethite. The macropores occur in weathered samples, fractures and shear zones. Micropores were not the subject of this study. Micropores associated with euhedral martite vary in size from Å to several µm. Some are triangular, due the replacement of magnetite by hematite (Figure 3h). Euhedral martite also contains rounded and irregular pores (1 µm), which seem to be interconnected (Figures 4 a,b). The micropores associated with nonoriented hexagonal microplaty hematite occur within intragranular spaces between granular hematite. Their size range from Å to 1 µm (Figures 4 c,d) and they also seen to be interconnected. However, the intragranular spaces themselves are not interconnected. Many of the micropores are weathering features due to dissolution (Figure 4e) or associated with goethite (Figure 4f). They are interconnected, very irregular and range in size from Å to 75 µm. Combined data from electron and optical microscopy provided considerable insight into the nature of the porosity. However, due to lacking of contrast, the samples images could not be analyzed in appropriate software to evaluate the total porosity and pore size distribution. |

| Porosity Data |
|----------------|
| The total porosity ($N_2$ desorption + Hg injection) plotted against bulk density of MHO samples is shown in Figure 5a. Samples with higher density have lower total porosity. The fresh or slightly weathered samples have a porosity less than 2%, whereas in weathered samples can reach 11%. These values are similar to those obtained by Dutta et al. (1972) for Indian iron ores, in which the porosity ranges between 3.5% and 7.1%. A comparison between the values of pore volume obtained from nitrogen desorption ($D < An. Acad. Bras. Cienc., (2002) 74 (1)
Fig. 3 – (a) Sample of hematite ore massive; (b) reflected natural light micrograph of massive hematite ore, showing the alternation between martite-rich and martite/hematite-layers reflecting bedding ($S_0$); (c) reflected natural light micrograph of massive hematite ore, showing the alternation between martite-rich layers (mm to cm) and martite/hematite-rich layers; (d) reflected natural light micrograph showing the contact between a martite-rich and hematite layers, and the presence of some quartz crystals; (e) SEM micrograph showing the contact between a martite rich layer and a martite/hematite layer ($S_0$) and hematite crystals slightly and discordantly orientated ($S_1$); (f) SEM micrograph showing martitized magnetite with cores of kenomagnetite; (g) SEM micrograph, backscattered electrons image, showing of martitised magnetite with cores of goethite; (h) SEM micrograph showing triangular micropores in an euhedral martite crystal.

200Å) with the total porosity (Figure 5b), indicates that in slightly weathered samples (total porosity $< 2.5\%$) small pores account for to 80% of the total porosity. However, in more weathered samples, the volume of small pores is less significant, account for less than 20% of the total porosity.

**Porosity and Reducibility**

The reducibility of MHO as compared to total porosity (Figure 5c) clearly shows that the studied samples comprise two populations. One is narrowly grouped about a porosity $< 2.5\%$ and excellent and has an excellent reducibility (96 to 97%). The other population is widely scattered and its porosity correlates positively with reducibility, by which low porosity (2.5%) samples have a low reducibility (90%), and samples with higher porosity (10%) have an excellent reducibility (94 to 96%). The occurrence of these two populations suggests that porosity is not the only factor that control the reducibility.

**DISCUSSION**

The micropores associated with euhedral magnetite grains were interpreted as due to a congruent dissolution of the kenomagnetite cores leading to skeletal martite, and therefore, a microporosity (Varajão et al. 1996). However the presence of goethite in some cores of martitized magnetite suggests an intermediate step in the weathering of magnetite (Morris 1980, 1985). On the other hand, the transformation of magnetite into martite requires a volume reduction of about 8.4% (Davis et al 1968), resulting in the formation of microfractures at the magnetite/martite, paths for fluid penetration (Lagoeiro 1998). The martitization is here interpreted as due to weathering and, thus, the pores inside the martitized magnetite as a secondary feature.

The micropores that resulted from weathering, in spite of being well interconnected, are of minor importance, because they are limited to the boundaries of fractures. Thus, the massive character is the main feature of the MHO.

The micropores in association with microplaty hematite are not interconnected and must be considered as a metamorphic feature. They were formed under greenschist facies conditions to which the western part of the Iron Quadrangle underwent, and where the Capitão do Mato Mine is located. These pores, unlike those discussed above, should be interpreted as primary.

MHO reducibility values from the Capitão do Mato Mine are similar to those from the neighbor Mutuca and Tamanduá mines (Xavier 1994, Zavaglia 1996), respectively of 91.6% and 94.56% ($\sigma = 2.87\%$). The minimum acceptable value of MHO lump ore in DRI is 90% (Xavier 1994).

In previous researches, the comparison between porosity and reducibility was always made on friable ores, in which porosity can reach 30% (Dutta et al. 1972) even 35% (Varajão et al. 2000). The low porosity (0.3 to 11%) and the two porosity × reducibility populations of the MHO (Figure 5c) needs additional factors other than porosity to explain its high reducibility.

Textural variations, structure (bedding, schistosity) and porosity are geological factors that also

*An. Acad. Bras. Cienc.*, (2002) 74 (1)
Fig. 4 – (a and b) SEM micrographs showing rounded micropores associated with an euhedral martite crystal, (d and e) SEM micrographs showing micropores in non-orientated microplaty hematite; (f) SEM micrograph showing micropores in dissolution cavity, partially filled by secondary goethite; (g) SEM micrograph showing micropores associated with goethite.
Fig. 5 – (a) Comparison between porosity (%) and bulk density (g cm\(^{-3}\)) of MHO; (b) comparison between total porosity (%) and the pore volume obtained by nitrogen desorption (\(D < 200\text{Å}\)) (%); (c) comparison between porosity (%) and reducibility (%) of bedded and non-bedded MHO.

influence reducibility (Muniz et al. 1992, Xavier 1994). Considering the dominant small crystal size of the MHO from the Capitão do Mato Mine, an important additional variable is the presence or the absence of bedding. In fact, adding the “bedding factor” to compare porosity x reducibility (Figure 5c), indicates that the best reducibility values (96 to 97%) result mostly from non bedded samples, which correspond to the first population. On the other hand, samples presenting a clear and well defined bedding, mostly correspond to the second population and in which the reducibility depends on porosity. These results illustrate the importance of both porosity and structure (bedding) on the reducibility of MHO.

CONCLUSION

The study of the microporosity of MHO from the Capitão do Mato Mine reveals that unweathered materials has a low porosity (less than 2.5%), but in weathered samples it may reach 11%. Lithologically the MHO can exhibit more or less well defined bedding, due to the alternating martite and hematite-rich layers. The pores in MHO are mainly due to the presence of euhedral martite and to microplaty hematite. Additional pores are due to weathering.

Reducibility of MHO for DRI is highly dependent on porosity of the bedded samples. However, samples without bedding were only slightly porous, yet exhibited excellent reducibility.
These results are very useful for the exploitation of the Capitão do Mato Mine and other similar mines, allowing the prediction of ore behavior during DRI production and provide a basis for ore blending based on the knowledge of the physical and mineralogical properties of the ore.
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