Article

Tracing Pre-Mesozoic Tectonic Sutures in the Crystalline Basement of the Protocarpathians: Evidence from the Exotic Blocks from Subsilesian Nappe, Outer Western Carpathians, Poland

Jan Golonka 1,*, Aleksandra Gawęda 2, Anna Waśkowska 1, David Chew 3, Krzysztof Szopa 2 and Foteini Drakou 3

1 Geophysics and Environmental Protection, Faculty of Geology, AGH University of Science and Technology, al. A. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Krakow, Poland; waskowsk@agh.edu.pl
2 Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Silesia in Katowice, Będzińska 60, 41-200 Sosnowiec, Poland; aleksandra.gaweda@us.edu.pl (A.G.); krzysztof.szopa@us.edu.pl (K.S.)
3 Department of Geology, School of Natural Sciences, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland; chewd@tcd.ie (D.C.); drakouf@tcd.ie (F.D.)

* Correspondence: jgolonka@agh.edu.pl; Tel.: +48-50-501-72-83

Abstract: Pre-Mesozoic exotic crystalline blocks within the Outer Carpathian flysch have potential to unravel the nature of their eroded basement source(s) and to reconstruct the Paleozoic–Precambrian history of the Protocarpathians. Strongly tectonized Campanian–Maastrichtian grey marls in the Subsilesian Nappe of the Outer Western Carpathians in Poland contain a variety of different lithology types, including granitoids and andesites. Petrological investigations coupled with zircon and apatite U-Pb dating were performed on crystalline (subvolcanic) exotic blocks from a locality in the Subsilesian Nappe. U-Pb zircon dating yields magmatic crystallization ages of c. 293 Ma for the microgranitoid and c. 310 Ma for the andesite block, with inherited zircon cores yielding Archean, Paleoproterozoic, Mesoproterozoic and Cadomian ages. Whole rock trace element and Nd isotope data imply that the melt source was composed of a significant Neoproterozoic crustal component in both the microgranite and andesite. The Late Carboniferous–Permian magmatic activity likely continues outside the Carpathian Belt and can be linked to a Late Paleozoic transtensional zone, which is a continuation of the Lubliniecki–Kraków Zone that extends under the Carpathians to Moesia. This Late Paleozoic transtensional zone was probably reactivated during the Late Cretaceous under a tranpressional regime within the Żegocina tectonic zone, which caused the uplift of the Subsilesian Ridge and intensive erosion.
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1. Introduction

The presence of Pre-Mesozoic basement within the Alpine orogens was first identified c. 200 years ago [1]. In most of the Alpine orogens of Europe, such as the Alps and Carpathians, Pre-Mesozoic basement is present as exotic blocks inside the sedimentary or metasedimentary successions or as uplifted crystalline massifs, termed “core mountains” [2,3]. Investigating the Pre-Alpine basement and constraining the timing of its main tectonic events remain active problems in European geology. The Carpathian Mountains are an orogen of Alpine (Cretaceous–Neogene) age, but their structure reflects a prolonged Neoproterozoic and Phanerozoic history. While the crystalline massifs in the Central Carpathians are relatively well-studied ([3] and references therein), the presence of pre-Alpine components in the Outer Carpathians are less understood. Pre-Alpine basement is present in the form of exotic blocks and interpreted as remnants of the Protocarpathian crystalline basement, which is inferred to underlay the Mesozoic and Cenozoic basins of the Carpathian orogenic
system [4,5]. During the syn-orogenic (Cretaceous–Neogene) stage of the evolution of the Carpathians, several ridges were formed inside the Tethys marginal basins [6]. These ridges were cored by Pre-Mesozoic crystalline basement and were uplifted during Alpine closure of the Tethys Ocean. Subsequent erosion of the uplifted ridges resulted in the transportation of large amounts of basement material (often as olistostromes) into the Carpathian basins [7]. These crystalline blocks likely represent remnants of the Protocarpathian basement and afford the opportunity to reconstruct the Neoproterozoic to Paleozoic–Precambrian history of the Protocarpathians. This paper investigates and interprets crystalline plutonic and volcanic exotic blocks, found at a locality in the Subsilesian Nappe of the Outer Western Carpathians in Poland (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Location of the study area on (a) a simplified geological map of the Carpathian chain within Europe, (b) the general geological structure of Carpathians in Poland (map constructed using information included in [3–5,8] and (c) on a detailed geological map of the Żegocina Zone (constructed using information included in [9]).
2. Geological Setting and Sampling

The Carpathian Mountains in the Czech Republic, Poland, Slovakia, Ukraine and Romania are geographically divided into the Western, Eastern and Southern Carpathians (Figure 1a). The Western Carpathians in Poland are traditionally subdivided into the Central and Outer Carpathians, separated by the Pieniny Klippen Belt ([3] and references therein; [10]). The Outer Western Carpathians are built of Jurassic–Neogene sedimentary rocks that were thrust over the European Platform (Figure 1a,b). This resulted in the formation of nappe sequences that detached from their basement [11,12] and comprise the Magura Nappe, Fore–Magura Group of nappes, Silesian Nappe, Subsilesian Nappe and Skole (Skiba) Nappe (Figure 1b). These sedimentary sequences often contain crystalline exotic blocks. In the Subsilesian and Silesian nappes, most of the exotic blocks are of Neoproterozoic age [5,13,14], while the basement of Magura Nappe, as deduced from exotic blocks, is primarily late Variscan with Neoproterozoic remnants [15]. The analysed exotic blocks are shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Photographs of the exotic blocks from Pluskawka Stream, with their microstructures: (a) Pl 2 microgranitoid block, in situ within the marl (exposure in Pluskawka Stream); (b) microstructure of the granite, composed of quartz (Qtz), K-feldspar (Kfs), sericitized plagioclase, muscovite and biotite; (c) andesitic (And) exotic block (Jan Golonka during sampling for scale), in situ within the marly matrix; (d) photomicrograph showing the contact between dark (And-C) and light (And-J) parts of the andesitic block, with mudstone xenoliths (MDS); (e) insert showing the remnants of pyroxene (Px) and albitized plagioclase (Pl).](image)

The study area is located within the Subsilesian Nappe. Originally occupying a structural position between the Silesian and the Skole nappes, the Subsilesian Nappe exposures south of Kraków are limited to small tectonic windows forming belts within the Silesian Nappe and along the northern boundary of the Magura Nappe (Figure 1c). The sampled area is located in a belt stretching over a distance of several kilometers along the boundary of the Magura Nappe and is known as the Żegocina Zone sensu Skoczylas–Ciszewskia [16]. In the Żegocina Zone, a series of E–W trending structures are associated with the exposure of the Subsilesian nappe [9,16], and the zone is interpreted to represent a tectonic mélange. The Subsilesian Nappe is composed mainly of Upper Cretaceous and Lower Paleogene carbonate deposits, which were deposited in the Subsilesian Sedimentary Zone, an uplifted high that separates the Silesian and Skole basins [4,17,18]. The central part of this high is inferred to have been composed of crystalline basement rocks and
is termed the Subsilesian Ridge. This paleogeographic entity, which is now destroyed, supplied crystalline basement blocks to the slope and basinal areas. The crystalline blocks occur within marly slope deposits. These strongly tectonized Campanian–Maastrichtian grey marls contain different types of lithology, including limestones, gneisses, granitoids and andesites [19]. Two samples of c. 10 kg each were extracted from large rounded blocks of grey andesite (3 m in diameter) and a pale, fine-grained granitoid (4 m in diameter), in the Pluskawka Stream valley near the villages of Nowe Rybie and Kamionna in the Beskid Wyspowy Range (Figure 1c, N 49°47’15,8”, E 20°21’03,4”).

3. Analytical Techniques

3.1. Microscopy

Petrographic analyses of thin sections were undertaken at the Institute of Earth Sciences in the University of Silesia using an Olympus BX-51 microscope to constrain textural and microstructural relationships and to determine the presence of zircon.

3.2. Electron Probe Micro-Analyses (EPMA)

Microprobe analyses of the main rock-forming and accessory minerals were carried out at the Inter-Institutional Laboratory of Microanalyses of Minerals and Synthetic Substances, Warsaw, using a CAMECA SX-100 electron microprobe. The analytical conditions employed an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a beam current of 20 nA, counting times of 4 s for peak and background and a beam diameter of 1–5 µm. Reference materials, analytical lines, diffracting crystals, mean detection limits (in wt%) and uncertainties were as follows: rutile—Ti (Kα, PET, 0.03, 0.05), diopside—Mg (Kα, TAP, 0.02, 0.11), Si—(Kα, TAP, 0.02, 0.21), Ca—(Kα, PET, 0.03, 0.16), orthoclase—Al (Kα, TAP, 0.02, 0.08), and K (Kα, PET, 0.03, 0.02), albite—Na (Kα, TAP, 0.01, 0.08), hematite—Fe (Kα, LIF, 0.09, 0.47), rhodonite—Mn (Kα, LIF, 0.03, 0.10), phlogopite—F (Kα, TAP, 0.04, 0.32), tugtupite—Cl (Kα, PET, 0.02, 0.04), Cr2O3—Cr (Kα, PET, 0.04, 0.01), ZirconED2—Zr (Lα, PET, 0.01, 0.01), Nb2O5—MAC—Nb (Lα, PET, 0.09, 0.01), V2O5—V (Kα, LIF, 0.02, 0.01), YPO4—Y (Lα, TAP, 0.05, 0.05), CeP5O14—Ce (Lα, LPET, 0.09, 0.02), NdGaO3—Nd (Lβ, LIF, 0.31, 0.24), ThO2—Th (Mα, LPET, 0.09, 0.09), UO2—U (Mα, LPET, 0.16, 0.13).

3.3. Whole-Rock Chemical and Isotope Analyses

Whole-rock analyses were undertaken by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) for major and large ion lithophile trace elements (LILE), and by fusion and ICP-MS for high field strength elements (HFSE) and rare earth elements (REE) at Bureau Veritas Minerals (Canada). Preparation involved lithium borate fusion and dilute digestions for XRF and lithium borate decomposition or aqua regia digestion for ICP-MS. LOI was determined at 1000 °C. Uncertainties for most of the major elements are 0.01%, except for SiO2, which is 0.1%. REE were normalized to C1 chondrite [20].

The Sm-Nd analytical work was performed at the Laboratory of Geochronology, Department of Lithospheric Research, University of Vienna. Results are based on ion chromatography TIMS procedures. Sample digestion for Nd–Sr analysis was performed in Savillex® beakers using an ultrapure 4:1 mixture of HF and HNO3 for 10 days at 110 °C on a hot plate. For whole rock powders, a minimum dissolution time of 3 weeks was applied to ensure maximum leaching of the REEs from refractory material such as zircon. After evaporating the acids, repeated treatment of the residue using HNO3 and 6.0 N HCl resulted in clear solutions for all samples. The REE fraction was extracted using AG®50W-X8 (200–400 mesh, Bio-Rad) resin and 6.0 N HCl resulted in clear solutions for all samples. The REE fraction was extracted using AG®50W-X8 (200–400 mesh, Bio-Rad) resin and 4.0 N HCl. Nd was separated from the REE fraction using Teflon-coated HdeHf, and 0.22 N HCl as the elution media. Maximum total procedural blanks were 50 pg for Nd and were taken as negligible. Nd was run as metal on an Re double filament, using a ThermoFinnigan®Triton MC TIMS. A 143Nd/144Nd ratio of 0.511841 ± 0.000005 (n = 5) was determined for the La Jolla (Nd) international standard, during the period of investigation. Within-run mass fractionation for Nd isotope
compositions was corrected to $^{146}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = 0.7219$. Uncertainties on the Nd isotope ratio are quoted as 2σ.

3.4. Mineral Separation and Imaging

Zircon crystals were separated using standard techniques (crushing, hydro-fracturing, washing, Wilfley shaking table, Frantz magnetic separator and hand picking). Mineral separation was carried out at the Institute of Geological Sciences at the Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland. The crystals were cast in 25 mm diameter epoxy resin mounts, ground and polished to half-thickness to expose the grain interiors. Internal mineral textures were then characterized by back-scattered electron (BSE) and cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging, using a FET Philips 30 scanning electron microscope with a 15 kV accelerating voltage and a beam current of 1 nA at the Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Silesia, Sosnowiec, Poland.

3.5. LA-ICP-MS U-Pb Dating

LA-ICPMS U-Pb age zircon and apatite data were acquired using a Photon Machines Analyte Excite 193 nm ArF excimer laser-ablation system with a HelEx 2-volume ablation cell coupled to an Agilent 7900 ICPMS at the Department of Geology, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. The instruments were tuned using NIST612 standard glass to yield Th/U ratios of unity and low oxide production rates (ThO+/Th+ typically <0.15%). A quantity of 0.4 l min$^{-1}$ He carrier gas was fed into the laser cell, and the aerosol was subsequently mixed with 0.6 l min$^{-1}$ Ar make-up gas and 11 mL min$^{-1}$ N$_2$. For zircon, data reduction of the raw U-Pb isotope data was performed through the “VizualAge” data reduction scheme [21] in the freeware IOLITE package [22]. For apatite, the “VizualAge_UcomPbine” data reduction scheme [23], which can account for variable common Pb in the apatite standards, was employed. Sample-standard bracketing was applied after the correction of downhole fractionation to account for long-term drift in isotopic or elemental ratios by normalizing all ratios to those of the U-Pb reference standards. Final age calculations were made using the Isoplot add-in for Excel [24].

3.5.1. U-Pb Zircon Dating

For zircon dating, a repetition rate of 11 Hz and a circular spot of 24 µm were employed. Eleven isotopes ($^{49}$Ti, $^{91}$Zr, $^{175}$Lu, $^{204}$Pb, $^{206}$Pb, $^{207}$Pb, $^{208}$Pb, $^{232}$Th, $^{235}$U and $^{238}$U) were acquired during each analysis, which comprised 27 s of ablation and 12 s washout, the latter portions of which were used for the baseline measurement. In addition, 91500 zircon ($^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U TIMS age of 1065.4 ± 0.6 Ma; [25]) was used as the primary U-Pb calibration standard. The secondary standards GZ-7 zircon ($^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U TIMS age of 530.26 Ma ± 0.05 Ma; [26]), Plešovice zircon ($^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U TIMS age of 337.13 ± 0.37 Ma; [27]) and WRS 1348 zircon ($^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U TIMS age of 526.26 ± 0.70; [28]) yielded LA-ICPMS ages of 532.0 ± 2.2 Ma, 337 ± 1.6 Ma and 528.4 ± 3.2 Ma respectively.

3.5.2. U-Pb Apatite Dating

Apatite crystals were prepared as separates on polished epoxy mounts. A total of 29 isotopes ($^{31}$P, $^{35}$Cl, $^{43}$Ca, $^{51}$V, $^{55}$Mn, $^{88}$Sr, $^{89}$Y, $^{90}$Zr, $^{139}$La, $^{140}$Ce, $^{141}$Pr, $^{146}$Nd, $^{147}$Sm, $^{153}$Eu, $^{157}$Gd, $^{159}$Tb, $^{163}$Dy, $^{165}$Ho, $^{166}$Er, $^{169}$Yb, $^{175}$Lu, $^{202}$Hg, $^{204}$Pb, $^{206}$Pb, $^{207}$Pb, $^{208}$Pb, $^{232}$Th and $^{238}$U) were acquired using a 60 µm laser spot, an 11 Hz laser repetition rate and a fluence of 2.5 J/cm$^2$. A ~1 cm sized crystal of Madagascar apatite that has yielded a weighted average ID-TIMS concordia age of 473.5 ± 0.7 Ma was used as the primary U-Pb calibration standard. The secondary standards McClure Mountain syenite apatite (the rock from which the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar hornblende standard MMhb is derived) and Durango apatite were used as secondary standards. McClure Mountain syenite has moderate but reasonably consistent U and Th contents (~23 ppm and 71 ppm, [29]), and its thermal history, crystallization age (weighted mean $^{207}$Pb/$^{235}$U age of 523.51 ± 2.09 Ma) and initial Pb isotopic composition ($^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb = 17.54 ± 0.24; $^{207}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb = 15.47 ± 0.04) are
known from high-precision ID-TIMS analyses [30]. NIST 612 standard glass was used as the apatite trace-element reference material, and a crushed aliquot of Durango apatite that has been characterized by solution quadrupole-ICP-MS analyses [31], was used as the apatite trace-element secondary standard.

Common Pb in the apatite standards was corrected using a $^{207}\text{Pb}$-based correction in VizualAge_UcomPbine and includes the propagation of the uncertainties in the age of the reference materials. Over the course of the analyses, McClure Mountain apatite ($^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{U}$ TIMS age of 523.51 ± 2.09 Ma; [30]) and Durango apatite (31.44 ± 0.18 Ma; [32]) yielded weighted average $^{207}\text{Pb}$-corrected ages of 517.5 ± 3.5 Ma (MSWD = 2.3) and 31.82 ± 0.41 Ma (MSWD = 1.9), respectively. The McClure Mountain apatite age was anchored using a $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ value of 0.88198 derived from an apatite ID-TIMS total U-Pb isochron [30]. All apatite REE contents were normalized to C1 chondrite [20].

4. Results
4.1. Petrography, Mineral Chemistry and Whole-Rock Chemistry of Exotic Blocks
4.1.1. Microgranite

Approximately 10 kg of microgranite (sample Pl-2) was extracted from a 4 m diameter block of fine-grained equigranular granitoid from Pluskawka Stream. Sample PL-2 is composed of quartz, zoned plagioclase (An$_{24-12}$), K-feldspar (Figure 2b), magmatic muscovite (Ti = 0.9–0.14 a.p.f.u; Table 1) and biotite (#mg = 0.19–0.21; Ti ~0.38 a.p.f.u.; Table 1), which is locally chloritized. Accessory minerals are ilmenite, REE-rich epidote (Table 2) and zircon. The equilibrium temperature of ternary feldspar is in the range of 392 °C to 455 °C (according to the Fuhrman and Lindsley model, [33] was (Table 3), assuming 200 MPa pressure.

Table 1. Representative microprobe analyses of biotite and muscovite with their crystal-chemical formulas recalculated for 22 O$^{2-}$.

| Compound | LoD | PL 2–Biotite (Bt) | PL 2–Muscovite (Ms) |
|----------|-----|------------------|---------------------|
| SiO$_2$ (wt.%) | 0.04 | 34.45 | 34.24 | 34.72 | 33.67 | 46.29 | 46.90 | 46.40 | 46.56 |
| TiO$_2$ | 0.06 | 3.26 | 3.17 | 3.26 | 3.22 | 1.35 | 1.28 | 0.91 | 0.97 |
| Al$_2$O$_3$ | 0.03 | 18.09 | 18.16 | 18.44 | 18.09 | 33.06 | 33.88 | 35.38 | 35.30 |
| Cr$_2$O$_3$ | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.07 | b.d.l. |
| FeO | 0.08 | 25.98 | 25.74 | 25.08 | 26.38 | 2.12 | 2.15 | 1.47 | 1.92 |
| MgO | 0.02 | 3.85 | 3.97 | 3.90 | 3.66 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.46 | 0.50 |
| MnO | 0.02 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 0.71 | b.d.l. | 0.07 | 0.06 | b.d.l. |
| Na$_2$O | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.61 | 0.46 |
| K$_2$O | 0.05 | 9.28 | 9.56 | 9.26 | 9.39 | 10.55 | 10.28 | 10.30 | 10.58 |
| Total | 95.64 | 95.63 | 95.52 | 95.22 | 94.49 | 95.50 | 95.66 | 96.29 |
| Si (apfu) | 5.423 | 5.395 | 5.437 | 5.351 | 5.247 | 6.238 | 6.152 | 6.152 |
| Al$^{IV}$ | 2.577 | 2.605 | 2.563 | 2.649 | 1.753 | 1.762 | 1.848 | 1.848 |
| Al$^{III}$ | 0.778 | 0.768 | 0.841 | 0.739 | 3.505 | 3.548 | 3.681 | 3.684 |
| Ti | 5.423 | 5.395 | 5.437 | 5.351 | 0.137 | 0.128 | 0.090 | 0.096 |
| Cr | 0.002 | 0.022 | 0.037 | 0.004 | 0.013 | 0.004 | 0.007 | - |
| Fe | 3.42 | 3.392 | 3.285 | 3.507 | 0.240 | 0.239 | 0.163 | 0.212 |
| Mg | 0.904 | 0.933 | 0.911 | 0.867 | 0.135 | 0.138 | 0.091 | 0.098 |
| Mn | 0.083 | 0.075 | 0.067 | 0.096 | - | 0.008 | 0.007 | - |
| Na | 0.009 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.022 | 0.086 | 0.073 | 0.157 | 0.119 |
| K | 1.863 | 1.921 | 1.85 | 1.904 | 1.817 | 1.745 | 1.742 | 1.783 |
| #mg | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.32 |

#mg = Mg/(Fe + Mg + Mn); b.d.l.—below detection limit.
Table 2. Selected microchemical analyses and crystal chemical formulae of epidote and ilmenite from Pluskawka (Pl 2) microgranite.

| Compound | LoD | Ep-1 | Ep-2 | Ep-3 | Ilm-1 | Ilm-2 |
|----------|-----|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| Nb₂O₅ (wt.%) | 0.10 | - | - | - | 0.36 | 0.25 |
| SiO₂ | 0.02 | 32.15 | 33.84 | 33.27 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| TiO₂ | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 50.99 | 50.82 |
| ThO₂ | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.17 | - | - |
| UO₂ | 0.01 | b.d.l. | 0.01 | 0.03 | - | - |
| Al₂O₃ | 0.03 | 19.74 | 20.11 | 20.13 | b.d.l. | b.d.l. |
| Fe₂O₃ | 0.10 | 11.76 | 10.91 | 11.19 | 11.69 | 10.67 |
| V₂O₅ | 0.05 | - | - | - | 0.07 | 0.15 |
| Y₂O₃ | 0.06 | 0.77 | 1.43 | 1.25 | - | - |
| La₂O₃ | 0.04 | 4.46 | 2.99 | 3.25 | - | - |
| Ce₂O₃ | 0.06 | 9.54 | 7.24 | 8.05 | - | - |
| Pr₂O₃ | 0.28 | 0.77 | 0.99 | 0.73 | - | - |
| Nd₂O₃ | 0.40 | 3.30 | 3.54 | 3.46 | - | - |
| Sm₂O₃ | 0.20 | 0.55 | 0.85 | 0.46 | - | - |
| Gd₂O₃ | 0.18 | 1.39 | 1.56 | 1.61 | - | - |
| FeO | 0.10 | - | - | - | 26.01 | 27.13 |
| MnO | 0.10 | 1.28 | 1.67 | 1.62 | 9.87 | 10.04 |
| ZnO | 0.02 | - | - | - | 0.06 | 0.10 |
| MgO | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.06 | - | - |
| CaO | 0.04 | 11.49 | 10.91 | 11.77 | - | - |
| Na₂O | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.04 | - | - |
| H₂O Calc | - | 1.65 | 1.69 | 1.65 | - | - |

| Crystalchemical formula based on 25 O²⁻ | 6 O²⁻ |
|------------------------------------------|-------|
| Nb (apfu) | - | 0.009 | 0.006 |
| Si | 5.828 | 6.016 | 5.851 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| Ti | 0.021 | 0.075 | 0.017 | 2.000 | 1.909 |
| Th | 0.010 | 0.013 | 0.007 | - | - |
| U | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | - | - |
| Al | 4.217 | 4.213 | 4.301 | - | - |
| Fe³⁺ | 1.604 | 1.459 | 1.527 | 0.311 | 0.289 |
| V | - | - | - | 0.002 | 0.006 |
| Y | 0.074 | 0.135 | 0.121 | - | - |
| La | 0.298 | 0.196 | 0.217 | - | - |
| Ce | 0.633 | 0.471 | 0.534 | - | - |
| Pr | 0.051 | 0.064 | 0.048 | - | - |
| Nd | 0.215 | 0.225 | 0.224 | - | - |
| Sm | 0.034 | 0.052 | 0.054 | - | - |
| Gd | 0.084 | 0.092 | 0.970 | - | - |
| Fe²⁺ | - | - | - | 1.246 | 1.252 |
| Mn | 0.197 | 0.251 | 0.248 | 0.436 | 0.442 |
| Zn | - | - | - | 0.002 | 0.004 |
| Mg | 0.021 | 0.020 | 0.017 | - | - |
| Ca | 2.233 | 2.077 | 2.287 | - | - |
| Na | 0.015 | 0.054 | 0.014 | - | - |
| ΣREE | 1.315 | 1.100 | 2.047 | - | - |

This granitoid is weakly peraluminous (ASI = 1.065; Table 4), plotting on the high-K-calc-alkaline trend and within the volcanic arc granite field (Figure 3a,b).
Table 3. Modal composition and temperature estimate obtained using the Fuhrman and Lindsley [33] ternary feldspars geothermometry for feldspars from the exotic block from Pluskawka microgranite (Pl 2).

| Feldspar Type/Composition | Ab   | Or   | An   |
|---------------------------|------|------|------|
| K-feldspar and plagioclase|      |      |      |
| plagioclase original/adjusted | 0.822/0.808 | 0.008/0.010 | 0.163/0.182 |
| alkali feldspar original/adjusted | 0.031/0.100 | 0.904/0.899 | 0.004/0.001 |
| Concordant temperature [°C] | 412  | 412  | 412  |
| Average temperature [°C]   |      |      |      |
| plagioclase original/adjusted | 0.828/0.806 | 0.011/0.020 | 0.153/0.175 |
| alkali feldspar original/adjusted | 0.076/0.116 | 0.894/0.883 | 0.002/0.001 |
| Concordant temperature [°C] | 426  | 470  | 470  |
| Average temperature [°C]   |      |      |      |

Table 4. Chemical composition and selected petrological indices of the whole-rock samples of crystalline exotic blocks from the Pluskawka Stream.

| Sample No. | LoD | PI 2 | And-J | And-C |
|------------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| SiO₂ (wt.%) |      |      |       |       |
| TiO₂       | 0.01 | 76.8 | 64.91 | 58.11 |
| Al₂O₃      | 0.01 | 12.7 | 12.22 | 17.75 |
| Fe₂O₃TOT   | 0.04 | 1.02 | 3.50  | 5.82  |
| MnO        | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06  | 0.07  |
| MgO        | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.39  | 0.49  |
| CaO        | 0.01 | 1.04 | 5.75  | 3.18  |
| Na₂O       | 0.01 | 3.19 | 2.93  | 4.13  |
| K₂O        | 0.01 | 4.49 | 3.45  | 5.38  |
| P₂O₅       | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.25  | 0.35  |
| LOI        | -    | 0.25 | 5.90  | 3.80  |
| Total      | 99.77| 99.90| 99.81 |

| Sr  | 0.5 | 72.5 | 218.00 | 275.60 |
| Ba  | 1.0 | 593.00 | 714.00 | 1000.00 |
| Rb  | 0.1 | 169.7 | 40.20 | 59.90 |
| Th  | 0.2 | 17.10 | 12.00 | 16.60 |
| U   | 0.1 | 5.10  | 3.50  | 2.60  |
| Ga  | 0.5 | 13.40 | 12.30 | 17.00 |
| Ni  | 0.1 | 1.10  | 20.00 | 32.00 |
| Cr  | 5.0 | -     | 62.00 | 90.00 |
| Zr  | 0.1 | 96.00 | 138.10 | 196.30 |
| Hf  | 0.1 | 3.30  | 3.30  | 4.90  |
| Y   | 0.1 | 20.30 | 10.20 | 13.90 |
| Nb  | 0.1 | 7.80  | 11.90 | 16.30 |
| Ta  | 0.1 | 0.90  | 0.80  | 1.30  |
| La  | 0.1 | 35.10 | 52.80 | 75.30 |
| Ce  | 0.1 | 67.20 | 89.50 | 123.80 |
| Pr  | 0.02 | 7.10 | 9.99 | 14.00 |
| Nd  | 0.30 | 26.50 | 35.30 | 49.00 |
The granite yields a relatively low Zr saturation temperature (758 °C), high Rb/Sr ratio (2.34) and low Th/U ratio (3.35; Table 3). Chondrite (C1)-normalized REE patterns are characterized by a distinct negative Eu anomaly (Eu/Eu* = 0.31) and weak REE fractionation (CeN/YbN = 7.54; Table 4; Figure 4a). On a primitive mantle-normalized multi-element plot, enrichment in large ion lithophile elements (LILE) and negative Ti and P anomalies are noted (Figure 4b).

4.1.2. Andesite

Two samples (And-J and And-C), weighting approximately 10 kg each, were extracted from a 1.5 m in diameter block of andesite. Two different rock types were recognized in the samples—a light-grey variety with porphyritic texture and a dark-reddish, equigranular, fine-grained facies containing several small fragments of mudstone (1–3 cm in size). The mineral composition of both rock types is similar and consists of albite-plagioclase, chloritized biotite, spinel and sporadic remnants of pyroxene (Figure 2b) [38].
Figure 4. (a) Chondrite (C1)-normalized REE patterns of the microgranitoid (Pl 2) and andesite (And) from Pluskawka, with apatite from PL 2 (grey area); (b) primitive mantle normalized multi-element patterns of the analysed PL 2 and And exotic blocks from Pluskawka stream. Normalization values after McDonough and Sun [20].

On a Zr/TiO$_2$ vs Nb/Y diagram [35], both samples plot within the trachyandesite field (Figure 3c). On a multi-element plot, Nb and Ta negative anomalies are noted (Figure 4b). Chondrite (C1)-normalized REE patterns show moderate fractionation (Ce$_N$/Yb$_N$ = 26.8–29.15) and very flat Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu* = 0.88–0.90; Table 4; Figure 4a). Due to the secondary alteration, the relatively mobile LILE were not used for geochemical discrimination purposes.

The cathodoluminescence images of zircons and apatites are shown in Figure 5.
4.1.2. Andesite

Two samples (And-J and And-C), weighting approximately 10 kg each, were extracted from a 1.5 m in diameter block of andesite. Two different rock types were recognized in the samples—a light-grey variety with porphyritic texture and a dark-reddish, equigranular, fine-grained facies containing several small fragments of mudstone (1–3 cm in size). The mineral composition of both rock types is similar and consists of albitized plagioclase, chloritized biotite, spinel and sporadic remnants of pyroxene (Figure 2b) [38].

On a Zr/TiO$_2$ vs Nb/Y diagram [35], both samples plot within the trachyandesite field (Figure 3c). On a multi-element plot, Nb and Ta negative anomalies are noted (Figure 4b). Chondrite (C1)-normalized RE E patterns show moderate fractionation (CeN/YbN = 26.8–29.15) and very flat Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu* = 0.88–0.90; Table 4; Figure 4a). Due to the secondary alteration, the relatively mobile LILE were not used for geochemical discrimination purposes.

The cathodoluminescence images of zircons and apatites are shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 5](image-url) Cathodoluminescence (CL) images of zircon crystals from the microgranitoid (a)—Pl 2, apatite from microgranitoid (b)—Pl 2 and andesite (c)—And from Pluskawka. Analytical spots and analyses numbers (see Table 5) are marked as circles.

Table 5. LA-MC-ICP-MS U–Pb zircon data from Pluskawka microgranite and andesite.

| Sample No. | Pb$^{207}$/$U^{235}$ | $\sigma$ | Pb$^{208}$/$U^{238}$ | $\sigma$ | Rho | $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U Age [Ma] | $\sigma$ | $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U Age [Ma] | $\sigma$ | U [ppm] | Th [ppm] | Th/U |
|------------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|-----|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| Microgranitoid |                       |        | Magmatic zircon crystals—Variscan |        |     |                               |        |                               |        |        |        |     |
| PL2_1      | 0.335                | 0.026  | 0.0449               | 0.0019 | 0.024733 | 293                     | 10    | 283.4                       | 7.1    | 219    | 384    | 1.75 |
| PL2_2      | 0.341                | 0.026  | 0.0472               | 0.0019 | 0.19609   | 297                     | 10    | 297.4                       | 6.3    | 202    | 262    | 1.42 |
| PL2_4      | 0.323                | 0.028  | 0.046                | 0.0019 | 0.14079   | 282                     | 14    | 289.7                       | 6.5    | 124.2  | 213    | 1.71 |
| PL2_6      | 0.338                | 0.034  | 0.0472               | 0.002  | 0.11162   | 301                     | 19    | 297.3                       | 7.3    | 69.1   | 84.1   | 1.22 |
| PL2_10     | 0.343                | 0.03   | 0.0461               | 0.0019 | 0.096092  | 299                     | 15    | 290.5                       | 6.5    | 322    | 691    | 2.15 |
| PL2_13     | 0.329                | 0.029  | 0.0468               | 0.0019 | 0.011323  | 290                     | 15    | 294.6                       | 6.5    | 151.2  | 374    | 2.47 |
| PL2_19     | 0.367                | 0.035  | 0.0474               | 0.002  | 0.23971   | 318                     | 19    | 298.7                       | 6.7    | 176.3  | 260.9  | 1.48 |
4.2. Zircon and Apatite Characteristics and U-Pb Dating

4.2.1. Microgranitoid

Zircon crystals from the fine-grained granite sample from Pluskawka Stream (Pl 2) are colorless, short-prismatic, with aspect ratios of 1:1 to 2:1. Cathodoluminescence imaging reveals the presence of magmatic oscillatory zoning, locally overgrowing inherited cores showing very weak luminescence. We undertook 22 spot analyses on 19 zircon grains (Figures 5a and 6a). Seven magmatically zoned grains yielded a concordia age of 293.2 ± 4.1 Ma (MSWD = 0.31; Figure 6b,c). Five subconcordant Neoproterozoic $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages ranging from 587 Ma to 659 Ma were detected in both inherited cores and single crystals; older

Table 5. Cont.

| Sample No. | Pb$^{207}$/U$^{235}$ | 2σ | Pb$^{206}$/U$^{238}$ | 2σ | Rho | $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ Age [Ma] | 2σ | $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ Age [Ma] | 2σ | U [ppm] | Th [ppm] | Th/U |
|------------|----------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|-----|-----------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|--------|--------|-----|
| PL2_3      | 0.94                 | 0.068 | 0.1074               | 0.0042 | 0.1809 | 673                         | 15  | 659                         | 11  | 227    | 157    | 0.69 |
| PL2_16     | 0.804                | 0.067 | 0.097                | 0.004 | 0.22032 | 597                         | 24  | 596                         | 13  | 64.8   | 40.4   | 0.62 |
| PL2_18     | 0.854                | 0.071 | 0.0989               | 0.0047 | 0.25929 | 632                         | 21  | 608                         | 19  | 284.9  | 129.2  | 0.45 |
| PL2_20*    | 0.932                | 0.079 | 0.0953               | 0.0045 | 0.82171 | 673                         | 27  | 587                         | 18  | 301.2  | 302.6  | 1.00 |
| PL2_22*    | 0.903                | 0.068 | 0.0935               | 0.0042 | 0.47181 | 662                         | 22  | 576                         | 16  | 423    | 150.8  | 0.36 |
| PL2_7      | 6.376                | 0.42  | 0.3646               | 0.013 | 0.51946 | 2028                        | 10  | 2003                        | 21  | 427    | 410    | 0.97 |
| PL2_8      | 5.628                | 0.38  | 0.3339               | 0.013 | 0.6759 | 1912                        | 13  | 1856                        | 27  | 392    | 318    | 0.81 |
| PL2_9      | 6.403                | 0.43  | 0.3641               | 0.014 | 0.59429 | 2031                        | 12  | 2001                        | 22  | 563    | 665    | 1.18 |
| PL2_11*    | 8.68                 | 0.68  | 0.358                | 0.017 | 0.86632 | 2299                        | 39  | 1973                        | 54  | 117    | 157    | 1.34 |
| PL2_14*    | 5.93                 | 0.44  | 0.2566               | 0.011 | 0.83782 | 1961                        | 30  | 1471                        | 33  | 229    | 39.6   | 0.17 |
| PL2_17     | 12.36                | 0.83  | 0.491                | 0.019 | 0.63744 | 2634                        | 14  | 2574                        | 31  | 658    | 376    | 0.57 |
| PL2_21     | 2.155                | 0.17  | 0.1932               | 0.011 | 0.64341 | 1165                        | 28  | 1138                        | 45  | 469    | 119.4  | 0.25 |
| PL2_7      | 6.376                | 0.42  | 0.3646               | 0.013 | 0.51946 | 2028                        | 10  | 2003                        | 21  | 427    | 410    | 0.97 |
| PL2_8      | 5.628                | 0.38  | 0.3339               | 0.013 | 0.6759 | 1912                        | 13  | 1856                        | 27  | 392    | 318    | 0.81 |
| PL2_9      | 6.403                | 0.43  | 0.3641               | 0.014 | 0.59429 | 2031                        | 12  | 2001                        | 22  | 563    | 665    | 1.18 |
| PL2_11*    | 8.68                 | 0.68  | 0.358                | 0.017 | 0.86632 | 2299                        | 39  | 1973                        | 54  | 117    | 157    | 1.34 |
| PL2_14*    | 5.93                 | 0.44  | 0.2566               | 0.011 | 0.83782 | 1961                        | 30  | 1471                        | 33  | 229    | 39.6   | 0.17 |
| PL2_17     | 12.36                | 0.83  | 0.491                | 0.019 | 0.63744 | 2634                        | 14  | 2574                        | 31  | 658    | 376    | 0.57 |
| PL2_21     | 2.155                | 0.17  | 0.1932               | 0.011 | 0.64341 | 1165                        | 28  | 1138                        | 45  | 469    | 119.4  | 0.25 |

Concordia age = 293.2 ± 4.1 Ma; MSWD = 0.31

Inherited zircon crystals—Neoproterozoic

Inherited zircon crystals—Archean

Inherited zircon crystals—Archean

Concordia age = 310 ± 4.9 Ma; MSWD = 0.22

Inherited cores and crystals—Neoproterozoic

Concordia age = 618.4 ± 8.3 Ma; MSWD = 0.18

Inherited cores and crystals—Archean

Concordia age = 716.9 ± 7.3 Ma; MSWD = 0.66

Inherited zircon crystals—Archean
$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages of 1138 Ma, 1471 Ma, 1856 Ma, 1973 Ma, 2001 Ma, 2003 Ma, 2574 Ma were also found in both inherited cores and single crystals (Table 5; Figure 6a).

Apatite crystals are clear, translucent and idiomorphic hexagonal prisms, with aspect ratios ranging from 1.5:1 to 2.5:1 and long axes ranging from 100 to 250 µm (Figure 5b). We undertook 54 spot analyses on 42 apatite crystals. They are chemically zoned and rich in Mn (381–1317 ppm), Sr (656–3449 ppm), zoned with respect to REE, with $\sum_{\text{REE}}$ ranging from 3192 ppm to 13246 ppm (at rims and in cores, respectively) (Table S1). Their chondrite (C1)-normalized REE patterns show LREE enrichment ($\text{Ce/Yb} = 12.7–99.9$) and mostly negative Eu anomalies ($\text{Eu/Eu}^* = 0.21–0.87$, with one exception at 1.43; Table S1; Figure 4a).

Our 54 analyses from 42 grains yielded an unanchored Tera–Wasserburg lower intercept age of 290.2 ± 5.6 Ma with a $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ initial ratio of 0.839 ± 0.0050 (MSWD = 1.16; Figure 6d; Table S2). The apatite grains plotted close to the intersection of the mafic I-type, alkaline and ultramafic fields on a Sr/Y vs $\sum_{\text{REE}}$ biplot [39], with the majority of the grains plotting just inside the alkaline field.

### 4.2.2. Andesite

Nine zircon crystals from the andesite facies lacking visible mudstone clasts (And) were analyzed. The zircons are subhedral to anhedral, short-prismatic, with aspect ratios ranging from 1:1 to 2:1. We carried out 17 spot analyses (Table 5). Six analyses from magmatically zoned crystals (Figure 5c) showing moderate luminescence yielded a concordia age of 618.4 ± 8.3 Ma (Figure 6e,f). One zircon crystal with a homogeneous internal structure and weak luminescence yielded an age of 560.2 ± 13.7 Ma. One crystal exhibited homogeneous weak luminescence, typical of metamorphic zircon crystals; 3 analyses located within this grain yielded concordant age of 716.9 ± 7.3 Ma. Cathodoluminescence imaging reveals the presence of two inherited cores, mostly with weak luminescence, and
these yield concordant ages of 2958 and 2907 Ma, as well as discordant ages (Figure 6e, Tables S1 and S2). Two CL-bright, magmatically zoned rims which are 20 to 40 µm thick (Figure 5) yielded an age of 310 ± 4.9 Ma (Table 5).

5. Discussion

5.1. Petrogenetic Interpretation

The microgranite and andesite from Pluskawka share a volcanic arc affinity and positive Th and negative P and Ti anomalies on a primitive-mantle-normalized multi-element diagram (Figures 3b and 4b). These characteristics imply a crustal origin for the parent magma for both rock types. This is supported by the negative $\varepsilon$Nd$^{293}$ value (−5.5, Table 6) and $T_{DM}$ age of 1.45 Ga (Table 6) for the microgranite. This Nd isotope systematics are typical of granitoid rocks of the European Variscan belt and are interpreted to represent the Archean/Neoproterozoic basement that melted during Variscan orogenesis [40,41]. The mixed Paleoproterozoic /Neoproterozoic protolith is inferred from the U-Pb ages of inherited zircon crystals from the microgranite (PL 2) and andesite (AND), which both show Neoproterozoic and Paleoproterozoic (>2000 Ma) age ranges (Table 5). However, it may be unwise to assume that these samples represent Variscan magmatic rocks of volcanic arc affinity, as the geochemical characteristics could also have been inherited from the protolith ([42] and references therein). The very similar zircon and apatite ages (Figure 6) in microgranite sample Pl-2 (293.2 ± 4.1 Ma and 290.2 ± 5.6 Ma) imply very rapid cooling from crystallization temperatures to temperatures below the apatite closure temperature window (c. 450 °C) and thus support a hypabyssal origin.

5.2. Implications for Paleozoic Paleogeology

The analyzed rocks represent Late Carboniferous–Permian bimodal volcanic–plutonic activity (Figure 3a,b). The samples show lithological, geochemical and age similarities to the magmatic rocks from the Kraków–Lubliniec tectonic zone [36,41,43] as well as to the Carboniferous–Permian intraplate volcanic rocks that are widespread in the Romanian Moesia [43]. As the exotic blocks represent the basement that was eroded during the uplift of the Subsilesian Ridge, we assume that these magmatic rocks continued in the Protocarpathians to both the north-west and south-east (Figure 7).

The lineament connecting Tenczynek, Pluskawka and Moesia is related to the south-eastern extension of the Kraków–Lubliniec tectonic lineament as well as to the boundary between the Brunovistulia and Malopolska blocks [41–45]. According to Mazur et al. [46], this zone formed during the latest Carboniferous–Permian transtensional tectonic activity, contemporaneous with the Liplas–Tarnawa pull-apart basin located south of Kraków [47]. This lineament cuts across the Variscan structures within the Neoproterozoic basement of central Pangea (Figure 7). The Protocarpathian region is characterized by the Neoproterozoic basement overlain by Upper Paleozoic sedimentary sequences, similar to the Brunovistulia and Malopolska massifs [5,48,49]. As was previously mentioned, the other analyses of the Subsilesian exotics indicate the prevailing Neoproterozoic (Cadomian) age of the substratum in this area [5,13,14].

Table 6. Whole-rock Rb-Sr and Sm-Nd isotopic composition of the Pl 2 microgranite. $T_{DM}$ calculated according to Liew and Hofmann [50] procedure.

| Scheme 147. | Sm [ppm] | Nd [ppm] | $^{147}$Sm/$^{144}$Nd | $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd | ± | $\varepsilon$Nd$^{316}$ | $T_{DM}$ |
|------------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|---|----------------|---------|
| Pl 2       | 4.48    | 26.5    | 0.102196        | 0.512175        | 0.000003 | 5.50             | 1.45    |

The Neoproterozoic rocks of the Protocarpathian basement were the source for the Variscan magmas formation, which subsequently was emplaced within this Late Variscan transpressional zone. The occurrence of the Late Paleozoic magmas is probably limited to this transpressional zone. The Neoproterozoic Outer Protocarpathian basement adjacent to the Laurussian margin was covered by the Paleozoic sedimentary rocks, including
Carboniferous coal-bearing strata (Figure 7) [50–55]. The Central Pangean Mountain Belt [54] was located west of the Outer Protocarpathian area (Figure 7). It included the Central Carpathian collisional zone.

Figure 7. Paleogeography of the circum-Protocarpathian region during the latest Carboniferous–Permian. Abbreviations: CK = Central Carpathians; EA = Eastern Alps; Ti = Tisza.
5.3. Implications for Cretaceous–Paleogene Paleogeology

Epicontinental sedimentation continued in the Outer Protocarpathian region during Permian to Jurassic times [53]. The Protosilesian back-arc basin, containing syn-rift, post-rift and synorogenic deep-water sedimentary sequences was initiated during Late Jurassic times [3,18,54]. There is no evidence indicating the oceanic crust within this back-arc basin. The northward movement of the Central Carpathian plate, part of the larger ALCAPA (ALpine-CArpathian-PAnnonian) plate, caused progressive inversion and emergence of ridges during the Late Cretaceous. These ridges separated a series of newly formed basins [3,18,56]. The Subsilesian Ridge (Figure 8) separated the Silesian and Skole basins, which were later inverted to form the Silesian and Skole Nappes.

Figure 8. Paleogeography of the circum-Protocarpathian region during the Late Cretaceous–Paleocene. Legend as in Figure 7. Abbreviations: CK = Central Carpathians; EA = Eastern Alps; FM = Fore-Magura Basin; Mg = Magura Basin; Mr = Marmarosh Massif; PKB = Pieniny Klippen Belt; Sk = Skole-Tarcău Basin; SR = Silesian Ridge; Ss = Subsilesian Ridge and sedimentary area; Ti = Tisza.

Figure 8. Paleogeography of the circum-Protocarpathian region during the Late Cretaceous–Paleocene. Legend as in Figure 7. Abbreviations: CK = Central Carpathians; EA = Eastern Alps; FM = Fore-Magura Basin; Mg = Magura Basin; Mr = Marmarosh Massif; PKB = Pieniny Klippen Belt; Sk = Skole-Tarcău Basin; SR = Silesian Ridge; Ss = Subsilesian Ridge and sedimentary area; Ti = Tisza.
The Subsilesian Ridge, the source of the exotic clasts, was surrounded by a slope region characterized by mixed pelagic and turbiditic sedimentation that corresponds to the Subsilesian Sedimentary Zone. The large size of these blocks (more than 1 m in diameter, locally up to some tens of meters or even more than 100 m in diameter—see [14])—indicates short transport distances and intense tectonic activity during the deposition of the host Campanian–Maastrichtian grey marls [57] on the slope of the Subsilesian Ridge. This tectonic activity may have triggered earthquakes (see e.g., [58]) and produced mélangé complexes.

This transpressional tectonic zone (Zegocina Zone) is regarded as a segment of the Kraków–Moesia fault zone in the basement and indicates rejuvenation of an older Paleozoic tectonic lineament. The position of the Zegocina Zone 100 km south of Kraków (see also [51]) and its link to the Kraków–Moesia lineament allow for a more precise palinspastic reconstruction of the Late Cretaceous–Paleocene Outer Carpathian basins (Figure 8). The suggested orientation of the Subsilesian Ridge (Figure 8) is consistent with the geometry of the Skole Basin that is quite narrow south of Kraków yet wide in eastern Poland, Ukraine and Romania [12,18,52,56]. According to Kováč et al. [59], two units related to the Subsilesian Nappe existed during Paleogene times: the Subsilesian Basin and the Subsilesian Ridge. The orientation of their ridge is similar to our paleogeography (Figure 8). According to Golonka et al. [18], the Subsilesian Ridge and slope constituted the Subsilesian Sedimentary Area. The Pluskawka exotics were deposited within the marly facies in the Subsilesian Sedimentary Area/Subsilesian Basin located next to the European Platform with Neoproterozoic basement. This area was situated around 100 km south of Kraków. It moved and now is about 30 km south of Kraków.

6. Conclusions

1. Exotic blocks of Late Carboniferous–Permian magmatic rocks are found in Campanian–Maastrichtian grey marls of the Subsilesian Nappe. This magmatic activity is also found outside the Carpathian Belt and can be linked to a Late Paleozoic transtensional zone, which is a continuation of the Lubliniec–Kraków Zone that extends under the Carpathians to Moesia.

2. This Late Paleozoic transtensional zone was probably reactivated during the Late Cretaceous under a transpressional regime within the Zegocina tectonic zone, which caused the uplift of the Subsilesian Ridge and intensive erosion.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/min11060571/s1, Table S1: Representative LA-ICP-MS U-Pb apatite data from the Pluskawka stream, Poland; Table S2: Trace elements content in apatite from Pluskawka microgranite (PL 2).
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