Lipid accumulation product is a powerful tool to predict non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Chinese adults
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Abstract

Background: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), recognized as the liver manifestation of metabolic syndrome, is highly prevalent in the general population. Recent studies suggest that lipid accumulation product is significantly associated with metabolic abnormalities. The aim of this study was to assess the accuracy of lipid accumulation product (LAP) as an effective screening tool for diagnosing NAFLD in the general population.

Methods: A total of 40,459 subjects aged ≥18 years were enrolled in this cross-sectional study. LAP was calculated as [waist circumference (cm) – 65] × triglyceride concentration (mmol/L) in men and [waist circumference (cm) – 58] × triglyceride concentration (mmol/L) in women. Multiple logistic regression and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses were performed.

Results: According to multiple logistic regression analyses, LAP was significantly associated with a higher prevalence and severity of NAFLD in both men and women. When assessed using ROC curve analyses, LAP exhibited high diagnostic accuracy for identifying NAFLD, and the areas under the curves (AUC) in men and women were 0.843 (95% CI 0.837, 0.849) and 0.887 (95% CI 0.882, 0.892), respectively. After further analyzed in different age groups, the diagnostic accuracy of LAP was found to be significantly better in younger age groups (aged 18-34 for men; aged 18-34 and 35-44 years for women) for both sexes.

Conclusions: LAP is significantly associated with the presence and severity of NAFLD, and has a high diagnostic accuracy for identifying NAFLD in the general population. The diagnostic accuracy of LAP was especially high among younger age groups.
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Background

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), recognized as a major public health concern, is highly prevalent in the general population [1]. In China, NAFLD affects over a quarter of the general population, and its prevalence is rapidly increasing as a result of considerable lifestyle changes and the aging of the population [2, 3]. NAFLD can progress to serious complications such as cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma and death. Indeed, it was reported that NAFLD has become the leading cause of hepatocellular carcinoma [4] and the second most common cause of liver transplantation [5] in the United States. In addition to these hepatic complications, numerous epidemiological studies have also demonstrated that NAFLD patients have an increased risk of diabetes, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease [6–9].

Although NAFLD causes tremendous economic and clinical burden, the notion of conducting widespread screening for NAFLD in the general population remains controversial [10]. Because liver biopsy is an invasive technique that has been associated with some morbidity and very rare mortality risk, it is not a realistic screening test. Liver ultrasound is potentially more safe but it is still expensive and cumbersome as a screening test [10, 11]. Thus, it would be useful if a simple and inexpensive index...
is available for accurate diagnosis of individuals at risk of NAFLD in clinical settings.

Lipid accumulation product (LAP), which is calculated as a combination of waist circumference (WC) and fasting plasma triglyceride (TG) levels, has been proposed as an alternative measure of excessive lipid accumulation [12]. Recently, a growing number of studies have shown that LAP is a powerful marker for diabetes [13], metabolic syndrome [14, 15], and insulin resistance [16] in the general population and associated with risk of cardiovascular diseases [17–19]. Given the close associations between diabetes, metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, and hepatic steatosis [20], these findings inspired us to explore whether LAP could also be a powerful index for identifying NAFLD. In this study, we performed a cross-sectional study to test the accuracy of LAP as a marker for NAFLD in the general population.

Methods

Study population
The Health Management Center of the Third Xiangya Hospital is one of China’s largest examination centers, and it mainly services people from hundreds of institutions in Changsha. From January 2014 to December 2014, a cross-sectional study was conducted based on the population who participated in an annual physical examination in the center. As a result, 84,572 subjects aged ≥18 years agreed to be included and were enrolled in this cross-sectional study. The study protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Third Xiangya Hospital. All experiments in this study were performed according to the guidelines from the Helsinki Declaration and informed written consent was obtained from all subjects.

In the present study, we included 51,070 subjects in whom an abdominal ultrasonography examination was performed and for whom we obtained complete information regarding alcohol consumption. The following exclusion criteria were as applied: 1) excessive alcohol drinking, which was defined as an average weekly consumption of alcohol ≥140 g for men and ≥70 g for women (n = 6499) [21, 22]; 2) viral hepatitis, schistosomiasis liver disease or other chronic liver diseases (n = 1953); 3) a history of taking steatogenic medications, such as corticosteroids, methotrexate, etc. (n = 43); 3) no available data on TG or WC, or those who were pregnant (n = 1472); 5) a history of taking lipid-lowering medications (n = 644). After applying these exclusions, a total of 40,459 subjects were ultimately screened and deemed eligible for this study.

Data collection and measurements
All subjects were interviewed by trained interviewers using pretested questionnaires to collect information on age, sex, alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, physical activity, education level, and medical history. For alcohol consumption, information in three aspects was collected: type of alcoholic beverages, drinking frequency per week and the usual amount per time. Subjects with an average weekly consumption of alcohol ≥140 g for men and ≥70 g for women were considered as excessive alcohol consumption [21, 23]. Cigarette smoking was recorded as daily (at least one cigarette/day), occasional (less than one cigarette/day), former (having quit for at least 6 months), or never smoking. For the analyses, only two categories were considered: current smoking (daily and occasional smoking) and non-smoking (never and former smoking) [24]. Physical activity was evaluated based on responses to questions regarding the frequency of physical activity during leisure time and scored as inactive (0–2 times per week), moderate (3–5 times per week), active (≥6 times per week) [25].

Weight and height (with outdoor clothing and shoes removed) were measured in a standing position using calibrated weighing scales, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. WC was measured at the umbilical level using an un-stretched tape measure and without applying any pressure to the body surface. Blood pressure (BP) was measured using a corrected mercury sphygmomanometer in the right arm. Two readings were obtained for both SBP and DBP after a 10 min rest in a sitting position, with a 30 s interval. The mean of the two readings was considered the subject’s BP. If the two readings differed by >5 mmHg, BP was re-measured, and the subject’s BP was finally calculated as the average of the three readings.

Blood samples were collected from the antecubital vein in the morning after an overnight fast and then transferred into EDTA-containing vacuum tubes. Blood samples were then stored at -20 °C until analyzed. Concentrations of fasting blood glucose (FBG), TG, total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), serum uric acid and creatinine were determined by enzymatic colorimetric assay. Concentration of alanine transaminase (ALT) was measured by continuously ultraviolet monitoring method. Concentration of total bilirubin (TBIL) was measured by vanadate oxidase method. All blood samples were tested using an auto-analyzer (Hitachi 7600-110, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) at the central laboratory of the Third Xiangya Hospital. The intra-assay coefficients of variation for FBG, TG, TC, HDL-C, ALT, TBIL, serum uric acid and creatinine were 2.24, 4.38, 3.23, 1.73, 3.91, 2.01, 2.36, and 4.47%, respectively.

Assessment of lipid accumulation product
LAP was calculated using the formula [WC (cm) – 65] × TG concentration (mmol/L) for men, and [WC (cm) –
Assessment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
A diagnosis of NAFLD was based on the presence of hepatic steatosis on liver ultrasonography that was not the result of acute or chronic liver diseases and was not related to secondary hepatic fat accumulation, including excessive alcohol consumption and the use of statogenic medication [10, 27]. Liver ultrasonography was performed by experienced and trained radiologists who were blinded to the subjects’ clinical diagnosis and biochemical tests. The ultrasonographic criteria of hepatic steatosis included diffusely increased liver near-field ultrasound echo (‘bright liver’), liver echo greater than kidney; vascular blurring and the gradual attenuation of a far-field ultrasound echo. Subjects with at least two of the abnormal findings listed above were diagnosed with hepatic steatosis [21, 27]. The presence and severity of hepatic steatosis was recorded using a numbered scoring system (1 = absent; 2 = mild; 3 = moderate; and 4 = severe) [28].

Statistical analysis
Basic characteristics of the study subjects were summarized as numbers with percentages for categorical variables and as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables. Variables that displayed a skewed distribution (age, FBG, ALT, TBIL, TG and LAP) were log-transformed to normal before analysis. Comparisons of basic characteristics by NAFLD status (with/without) were assessed using Pearson’s χ² test for categorical variables and Student’s t-tests for continuous variables.

To obtain a deeper understanding of the relationship between LAP levels and the prevalence of NAFLD, we next divided the study population into 4 groups according to LAP quartiles (Q1: <10.53, Q2: 10.53 to <20.59, Q3: 20.59 to <38.48, Q4: ≥38.48). Comparisons of the prevalence of NAFLD between different groups were assessed using Pearson’s χ² test. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using logistic regression analysis to determine the risk of NAFLD in each LAP quartile and using the lowest quartile as the reference. The area under the curve (AUC) was assessed using receiver operating characteristics (ROC) to evaluate the strength of the association between LAP levels and NAFLD. The best possible cut-off point was defined as the highest Youden Index [(specificity + sensibility) – 1] [29]. Finally, differences between AUCs were tested using a nonparametric approach [30].

Association between lipid accumulation product and the presence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
To more effectively explore the relationship between LAP and the prevalence of NAFLD, we divided the subjects into four groups according to their LAP quartiles. As a result, the prevalence of NAFLD progressively increased in the higher LAP quartile groups in both men (2.4, 16.2, 43.4, and 76.1%, respectively) and women (0.7, 7.4, 29.1, and 63.2%, respectively).

Table 2 shows the multiple adjusted association between quartiles of LAP and NAFLD. In men, after adjusting for age, the OR for NAFLD in the comparison between the highest and lowest quartiles of LAP was 122.50 (95% CI 94.98, 157.99). After further adjusting for current smoker, physical activity, education, ALT, TBIL, SBP, DBP, FBG, uric acid, TC, and reduced TBIL, HDL-C levels than those observed in the subjects without NAFLD. Meanwhile, the patients with NAFLD were more likely to take antihypertensive and hypoglycemic drugs in both sexes. However, there was a significantly higher prevalence of current smoker in men but not in women. Additionally, the frequencies of physical activity and levels of creatinine were significantly different in women but not in men.

Results
Characteristics of the study subjects
During the study, a total of 40,459 Chinese participants (18,336 men and 22,123 women; age: 43.7 ± 14.1 years, range: 18-94 years) were included. Among these subjects, 8070 (44.0%) men and 4080 (18.4%) women were diagnosed with NAFLD. Table 1 depicts the clinical and biochemical characteristics of the subjects according to NAFLD status. For both sexes, patients with NAFLD were older and had higher LAP, BMI, WC, SBP, DBP, FBG, platelet count, ALT, uric acid, TG, TC, and reduced TBIL, HDL-C levels than those observed in the subjects without NAFLD. Meanwhile, the patients with NAFLD were more likely to take antihypertensive and hypoglycemic drugs in both sexes. However, there was a significantly higher prevalence of current smoker in men but not in women. Additionally, the frequencies of physical activity and levels of creatinine were significantly different in women but not in men.
Table 1 Characteristics of the study subjects with and without non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

|                  | Men                   |     | Women                  |     |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|
|                  | Non-NAFLD | NAFLD |   | Non-NAFLD | NAFLD |
| N                | 10,266 | 8070  |   | 18,043 | 4080  |
| LAPa             | 23.7 ± 22.0 | 62.4 ± 59.7 | <0.001 | 16.6 ± 15.5 | 49.4 ± 41.2 | <0.001 |
| Age (years)x     | 44.1 ± 15.8 | 46.8 ± 13.7 | <0.001 | 40.3 ± 12.4 | 51.8 ± 12.1 | <0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m²)      | 23.1 ± 2.6 | 26.7 ± 2.6 | <0.001 | 21.7 ± 2.4 | 25.9 ± 2.9 | <0.001 |
| WC (cm)          | 80.8 ± 7.2 | 90.5 ± 6.9 | <0.001 | 73.2 ± 7.0 | 84.8 ± 7.4 | <0.001 |
| Current smoker, %| 4292 (42.3) | 3599 (45.1) | <0.001 | 669 (3.8) | 152 (3.8) | 0.871 |
| Physical activity| >0.135 | >0.135 |   | >0.135 | >0.135 |   |
| Inactive, %      | 5947 (60.9) | 4587 (59.5) |   | 11,127 (67.2) | 1938 (53.5) |   |
| Moderate, %      | 2465 (25.2) | 2041 (26.5) |   | 3931 (23.7) | 1035 (28.6) |   |
| Active, %        | 1355 (13.9) | 1081 (14.0) |   | 1510 (9.1) | 647 (17.9) |   |
| Education        | >0.050 | >0.050 |   | >0.050 | >0.050 |   |
| Illiteracy/Primary, % | 139 (1.4) | 91 (1.2) |   | 282 (1.6) | 201 (5.2) |   |
| Middle school, % | 1660 (16.7) | 1400 (17.9) |   | 2977 (17.1) | 1345 (35.1) |   |
| College or higher, % | 8121 (81.9) | 6316 (80.9) |   | 14,119 (81.2) | 2287 (59.7) |   |
| Drinking, %      | 3613 (35.2) | 3038 (37.6) | 0.001 | 814 (4.5) | 129 (3.2) | <0.001 |
| SBP (mmHg)       | 123.9 ± 14.0 | 129.3 ± 14.5 | <0.001 | 114.6 ± 14.0 | 127.5 ± 17.5 | <0.001 |
| DBP (mmHg)       | 760 ± 9.5 | 812 ± 10.3 | <0.001 | 703 ± 9.3 | 770 ± 10.7 | <0.001 |
| FBG (mmol/L)a    | 5.2 ± 1.0 | 5.7 ± 1.6 | <0.001 | 5.0 ± 0.7 | 5.7 ± 1.6 | <0.001 |
| Platelet count (10^9/L) | 208.7 ± 50.5 | 215.9 ± 51.8 | <0.001 | 222.3 ± 53.2 | 232.0 ± 57.4 | <0.001 |
| ALT (U/L)x       | 26.0 ± 17.3 | 40.2 ± 26.0 | <0.001 | 17.5 ± 11.5 | 26.6 ± 18.6 | <0.001 |
| TBIL (umol/L)a   | 16.6 ± 5.6 | 15.8 ± 5.4 | <0.001 | 15.2 ± 4.7 | 14.2 ± 4.2 | <0.001 |
| Uric acid (umol/L) | 325.9 ± 74.6 | 368.4 ± 82.7 | <0.001 | 220.3 ± 59.0 | 274.1 ± 71.0 | <0.001 |
| TG (mmol/L)a     | 1.4 ± 0.9 | 2.4 ± 2.1 | <0.001 | 1.0 ± 0.6 | 1.8 ± 1.4 | <0.001 |
| TC (mmol/L)      | 4.9 ± 0.9 | 5.3 ± 1.0 | <0.001 | 4.9 ± 0.9 | 5.4 ± 1.0 | <0.001 |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)   | 1.5 ± 0.4 | 1.3 ± 0.3 | <0.001 | 1.9 ± 0.4 | 1.6 ± 0.3 | <0.001 |
| Creatinine (umol/L) | 783 ± 19.6 | 778 ± 15.6 | 0.082 | 543 ± 12.1 | 553 ± 11.0 | <0.001 |
| Use of antihypertensive, % | 807 (7.9) | 1153 (14.3) | <0.001 | 675 (3.7) | 742 (18.2) | <0.001 |
| Use of hypoglycemic, % | 253 (2.5) | 378 (4.7) | <0.001 | 134 (0.7) | 175 (4.3) | <0.001 |

Abbreviations: LAP lipid accumulation product, BMI body mass index, WC waist circumference, SBP systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure, FBG fasting blood glucose, ALT alanine transaminase, TBIL total bilirubin, TG triglyceride, TC total cholesterol, HDL-C high-density lipoprotein cholesterol;
avariables were log-transformed before analysis

Association between lipid accumulation product and the severity of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Table 3 shows the multiple adjusted association between LAP and the severity of NAFLD. In men, after adjusting for age, current smoker, physical activity, education, ALT, TBIL, SBP, DBP, FBG, uric acid, TC, HDL-C, creatinine, the use of antihypertensive and hypoglycemic, per SD increment of log LAP was significantly associated with increased likelihood (OR 4.34, 95% CI 3.96, 4.76; P < 0.001) of having mild NAFLD. The association of LAP with moderate and severe NAFLD were even more pronounced (OR 7.07, 95% CI 6.23, 8.02; P < 0.001 and OR 17.90, 95% CI 10.57, 30.30; P < 0.001). Tests of heterogeneity demonstrated that the effect of LAP on different grades of NAFLD was significantly different from each other (moderate vs mild, heterogeneity P < 0.001; severe vs mild, heterogeneity P < 0.001; severe vs moderate, heterogeneity P = 0.001). Similarly, the effect of LAP on different grades of NAFLD were consistent in women (Table 3).

Accuracy of lipid accumulation product for diagnosing non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Using the ROC curve analyses, we evaluated the accuracy of LAP for diagnosing NAFLD in both men and women. As shown in Fig. 1, LAP exhibited high diagnostic accuracy for identifying NAFLD, and the areas under the curves (AUC) in men and women were 0.843 (95% CI 0.837, 0.849) and 0.887 (95% CI 0.882, 0.892), respectively. The identified cut-off values for LAP in men...
and women were 30.5 (sensitivity: 77%, specificity: 75%) and 23.0 (sensitivity: 82%, specificity: 79%), respectively.

Multivariate binary logistic regression analyses (Table 2) showed that the proposed LAP cut-off values were significantly associated with NAFLD in both men and women. In the multivariate-adjusted model 3, the OR for LAP above the cut-off value compared with that below the cut-off value was 4.32 (95% CI 3.94, 4.73) in men and 4.84 (95% CI 4.29, 5.46) in women.

Assessment of the predictive accuracy of lipid accumulation product in different age groups

Table 4 shows the AUC for LAP as a predictor of NAFLD in different age groups. For both sexes, the diagnostic accuracy of LAP was significantly better in younger age groups (aged 18-34 for men; aged 18-34 and 35-44 years for women) than that in those aged ≥75 years. However, the difference in AUC for LAP between other age groups and those aged ≥75 years was not statistically significant in both men (P = 0.346, 0.511, 0.673, 0.103, respectively) and women (P = 0.090, 0.381, 0.963, respectively). Similar results were also found in normal weight groups (BMI ≤22.9 kg/m² for both men and women, Additional file 1).

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study, we found that LAP was significantly associated with a higher prevalence and severity of NAFLD in both men and women. When assessed using ROC curve analyses, LAP exhibited high diagnostic accuracy for identifying NAFLD. The diagnostic accuracy of LAP was especially high in younger age groups.

Table 2 Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease according to quartiles of lipid accumulation product, per SD increase and the cut-off

| Quartiles of LAP | Per SD increment | Cut-off |
|------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Q1               | Q2              | Q3     | Q4     |
| Men              |                 |        |        |
| N                | 2634            | 3741   | 5211   | 6750 |
| Model 1          | 1 (Ref)         | 7.42 (5.70, 9.65) | 29.02 (22.51, 37.43) | 122.50 (94.98, 157.99) | 7.50 (7.04, 8.00) | 10.21 (9.53, 10.93) |
| Model 2          | 1 (Ref)         | 5.71 (4.35, 7.49) | 19.66 (15.12, 25.56) | 67.02 (51.49, 87.24) | 6.10 (5.69, 6.54) | 7.18 (6.65, 7.75) |
| Model 3          | 1 (Ref)         | 4.66 (3.53, 6.16) | 13.41 (10.21, 17.62) | 36.17 (27.26, 48.00) | 5.28 (4.84, 5.75) | 4.28 (3.91, 4.69) |
| Model 1: adjusted for age;
Model 2: further adjusted for current smoker, physical activity, education, drinking, alanine transaminase, total bilirubin;
Model 3: further adjusted for systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, platelet count, uric acid, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, creatinine, use of antihypertensive and hypoglycemic
*: variables were log-transformed before analysis
Per SD increment: per SD increment of log lipid accumulation product
Cut-off: the OR (95% CI) for NAFLD in the comparison between above and below the cut-off value of LAP

Table 3 Association between LAP levels and the severity of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in subjects

| Grade     | N       | Per SD increment of LAP | P value | P value* | P value** |
|-----------|---------|-------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Men       |         |                         |         |          |           |
| None      | 10,266  | 1 (Ref)                 |         | —        | —         |
| Mild      | 4621    | 4.32 (3.94, 4.74)       | <0.001  | —        | —         |
| Moderate  | 3310    | 7.07 (6.23, 8.03)       | <0.001  | <0.001   | —         |
| Severe    | 139     | 19.61 (11.48, 33.51)    | <0.001  | <0.001   | <0.001    |
| Women     |         |                         |         |          |           |
| None      | 18,043  | 1 (Ref)                 |         | —        | —         |
| Mild      | 2497    | 4.90 (4.35, 5.52)       | <0.001  | —        | —         |
| Moderate  | 1530    | 7.72 (6.46, 9.23)       | <0.001  | —        | <0.001    |
| Severe    | 53      | 23.84 (19.81, 28.02)    | <0.001  | <0.001   | <0.015    |

Adjusted for age, current smoker, physical activity, education, drinking, alanine transaminase, total bilirubin, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, platelet count, uric acid, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, creatinine, use of antihypertensive and hypoglycemic
*: variables were log-transformed before analysis
*Test of heterogeneity for the effect of LAP levels on the severity of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: moderate vs mild, severe vs mild
**Test of heterogeneity for the effect of LAP levels on the severity of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: severe vs moderate
Recently, an increasing number of studies have focused on NAFLD as it is increasingly prevalent, with reported prevalence from 27 to 34% in North America [31–33]. In Europe, the prevalence of NAFLD varies by region with a reported low prevalence rate of 8% in Romania to a reportedly high prevalence of 45% in Greece [34–37]. The prevalence of NAFLD in Asia was also widely reported from 15 to 38% [38]. In our study, we found that the prevalence of NAFLD was 30.0%, with an obviously higher prevalence was shown in men. The LAP, which was introduced by Kahn [12], is a novel index of excessive lipid accumulation. Over the past decade, a growing body of research have found a significant correlation between LAP and cardiometabolic risk factors. According to a cross-sectional study that involved 8671 subjects, LAP was found to be a strong predictor of diabetes [13]. Taverna et al. [15] found that LAP had the highest diagnostic accuracy for metabolic syndrome, with an AUC of 0.91 and 0.90 in males and females. This result was similar to those reported by Xiang et al. [39] and Chan et al. [40]. Moreover, Xia et al. [16] also found that LAP was a powerful index for recognizing insulin resistance in non-diabetic individuals. These compelling findings raise the question whether LAP is a powerful maker for the identification of NAFLD.

In addition, both abdominal obesity and elevated TG levels play an important role in the pathogenesis of NAFLD. In abdominal obesity, visceral adipocytes produce several adipocytokines, such as adiponectin, resistin, and leptin, which increase insulin resistance [41]. Excessive adipocytes are also associated with a chronic inflammatory response, which is characterized by abnormal cytokine production and the activation of pro-inflammatory signaling pathways [42]. These pathophysiological changes might promote the development of NAFLD [42]. Moreover, NAFLD is characterized by the accumulation of TG and other fats in liver cells. The role of elevated TG levels in NAFLD has been supported by the reduction in hepatic steatosis after TG-lowering treatment with omega-3 fatty acids [43, 44]. Accordingly, it is plausible that the LAP, which is a combination of WC and fasting TG, is significantly associated with NAFLD. However, few studies have so far investigated

![Fig. 1 ROC analysis of lipid accumulation product for the prediction of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in men (a) and women (b). a The areas under the ROC curve for the lipid accumulation product as a predictor of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in men was 0.843 (95% CI 0.837, 0.849). b The areas under the ROC curve for the lipid accumulation product as a predictor of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in women was 0.887 (95% CI 0.882, 0.892).](image)

### Table 4 Areas under the ROC curves for lipid accumulation product as a predictor of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in subjects of different ages

| Age, years | Men | Women |
|------------|-----|-------|
|            | N   | AUC (95% CI) | P value | N   | AUC (95% CI) | P value |
| 18 ~ 34    | 5207 | 0.880 (0.871, 0.889) | <0.001 | 7436 | 0.919 (0.906, 0.931) | <0.001 |
| 35 ~ 44    | 4643 | 0.837 (0.825, 0.848) | <0.001 | 5634 | 0.863 (0.849, 0.877) | <0.001 |
| 45 ~ 54    | 3919 | 0.813 (0.800, 0.827) | <0.001 | 4987 | 0.829 (0.817, 0.841) | <0.001 |
| 55 ~ 64    | 2213 | 0.817 (0.799, 0.834) | <0.001 | 2667 | 0.809 (0.793, 0.825) | <0.001 |
| 65 ~ 74    | 1379 | 0.794 (0.771, 0.818) | <0.001 | 1037 | 0.789 (0.761, 0.816) | <0.001 |
| 75 ~       | 975  | 0.823 (0.797, 0.849) | <0.001 | 362  | 0.787 (0.741, 0.833) | <0.001 |

*Comparison of AUC, with those aged 75 years or older serving as the reference.*
the association between LAP and NAFLD, and the existing studies have been conducted primarily in subjects with chronic diseases, such as HIV infection [45], hepatitis B virus infection [46], and polycystic ovary syndrome [47], with inconsistent results. Whether LAP is an effective maker for NAFLD that could be widely applied in general Chinese population remains unknown. In this study, we demonstrate that LAP is significantly associated with the presence and severity of NAFLD, and has a high diagnostic accuracy for identifying NAFLD in a general Chinese population.

NAFLD is a chronic progressive disease, and its progression usually take years or even decades. Increasing the early detection and prevention of NAFLD, especially among young people, could effectively reduce the burden of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. This characteristic of NAFLD prompted us to investigate the accuracy of LAP in different age groups. Interestingly, we found that the diagnostic accuracy of LAP was significantly better in younger age groups, in which we found an AUC of approximately 0.9 in both young men and women (aged <35 years). Our results suggest that LAP is a powerful marker that could be used to screen NAFLD, especially in younger age groups. We consider that the close association between LAP and NAFLD was rarely affected by other chronic conditions or the ability of lipid metabolism in younger age groups. Further studies are required to validate these findings and explain the effect of age on the diagnostic accuracy of LAP.

There are some limitations to the present study. First, our study was mainly conducted in a Chinese Han population. Further studies are needed to determine whether our results are applicable to other ethnic groups. Second, the information on viral hepatitis testing was prone to lacking, which may affect the exclusion of subjects. Third, some biochemical variables were not available for calculating other indices such as FLI in our study. Further studies are needed to compare the discriminative ability for NAFLD between LAP and other indices. Fourth, the presence of hepatic steatosis was assessed using ultrasonography rather than liver biopsy pathology. However, ultrasonography is widely accepted as a measure in population-based studies because of its safety, economical advantage and reasonable accuracy [10, 48].

Conclusion
In conclusion, our study found that LAP is significantly associated with the presence and severity of NAFLD, and has a high diagnostic accuracy for identifying NAFLD in the general population. The diagnostic accuracy of LAP was especially high among younger age groups. People, especially young people, with high levels of LAP deserve particular attention for NAFLD.
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