Pre-oxidation induced \textit{in situ} interface strengthening in biodegradable Zn/nano-SiC composites prepared by selective laser melting
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\textbf{HIGHLIGHTS}

- Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites were prepared via pre-oxidation and selective laser melting.
- \textit{In situ} reaction improved the interface bonding between nano-SiC and the Zn matrix.
- The improved interfacial bonding enhanced the mechanical properties of the biocomposite.
- The biocomposite exhibited favorable biocompatibility on cell proliferation and adhesion.

\textbf{GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT}

Pre-oxidation

\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Introduction:} Nano-SiC has attracted great attention as ceramic reinforcement in metal matrix composites, but the weak interface bonding between them remains a bottleneck for efficient strengthening.

\textbf{Objective:} In this study, pre-oxidation treatments and selective laser melting (SLM) were employed to prepare Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites with strengthened interface bonding \textit{via in situ} reaction.

\textbf{Methods:} Nano-SiC and Zn powders were pre-oxidized respectively, and then used to prepare Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites via SLM. The powder microstructure, and the interface characteristics and mechanical properties of the biocomposites were investigated.

\textbf{Results:} The results indicated that the pre-oxidation treatments generated a uniform oxide layer on the surface of both nano-SiC and Zn particles and the thickness of the oxide layer increased with pre-oxidation temperature. During the SLM process, the oxide layers not only improved the metal-ceramic wettability by reducing interface energy, but also induced \textit{in situ} reaction to form chemical bonding between the Zn matrix and nano-SiC, thereby improving the interface bonding. Consequently, the Zn biocomposite reinforced by nano-SiC with a pre-oxidation temperature of 1000 °C (ZS1000 biocomposite) exhibited more transgranular fracture and significantly enhanced compressive yield strength of 171.5 MPa, which was 31.6\% higher than that of the Zn biocomposite reinforced by nano-SiC without
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pre-oxidation. Moreover, the ZS1000 biocomposite presented slightly accelerated degradation which might be ascribed to the facilitated electron transfer by the interface product (Zn₂SiO₄). In addition, the ZS1000 biocomposite also showed appropriate biocompatibility for MG-63 cell adhesion, growth, and proliferation.

**Conclusion:** This study shows the potential practical applicability for the preparation of Zn-based biocomposites with strong interface bonding and mechanical properties for orthopedic applications.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

### Introduction

Zn, as a promising biodegradable metal, has received significant attention for implant applications due to its appropriate degradability and osteogenesis properties [1–3], but is limited by the inadequate mechanical strength [4]. Metal matrix composites (MMCs) have been proposed as a feasible approach to optimize the properties of metals. Among various reinforcements, SiC possesses outstanding mechanical performance and is also known as a kind of bioceramics with good biocompatibility and positive effect on osteoblasts [5–7]. Thus, it can be a potential candidate to improve the mechanical properties of Zn. Unfortunately, due to the great differences in physicochemical properties, SiC and metal matrix usually present poor wettability and weak interface bonding, which play a crucial role in the final mechanical performance of MMCs [8]. Therefore, strong interfacial bonding remains a major challenge in SiC reinforced Zn-based MMCs.

Until now, many methods have been explored to improve the interface bonding in SiC reinforced MMCs, including surface metallization [9–11], matrix alloying [12,13], etc. Park et al. [14] introduced a Ni coating on SiC to ameliorate the interfacial characteristics in SiC reinforced Al-Zn alloys. The result showed that the Ni coating decreased the interfacial energy between SiC and the metal matrix, and led to a 51.2% enhancement of the interface bonding strength in the alloys. Hashim et al. [15] reported that alloying elements (such as Mg, Zr, etc.) could improve the wettability between SiC and metal matrix by reducing the surface tension of molten metal, which was in favor of the interface bonding in SiC reinforced MMCs. However, Panthglin et al. [13] and Gul et al. [16] revealed that the alloying of Mg in Al-SiC MMCs also easily led to the formation of pores and the reduced fluidity of Al matrix due to the low melting point constituents, which deteriorated the mechanical properties of the MMCs. These methods are difficult to control the influence of metal additions on the resulting properties of MMCs, or even cause bio-safety issues. It is necessary to find a practical method to enhance the interfacial bonding of Zn-based MMCs for biodegradable implant applications.

**In situ** interfacial reaction was also an effective approach to improve the interface bonding in MMCs [17–19]. Wang et al. [20] synthesized GO/ZnO to reinforce Mg–Zn alloy and found that ZnO could react with the metal matrix and improve the interface wetting, and the formation of a MgO interface layer enhanced the interface bonding between the reinforcement and metal matrix. Li et al. [21] introduced **in situ** reaction in Cu-Al₂O₃ MMCs through a CuO coating, and a continuous CuAlO₂ interface layer was formed between the Al₂O₃ reinforcement and Cu matrix, which significantly enhanced the interface bonding and further the bending strength of the MMCs. Therefore, **in situ** interface reaction might be a promising method to improve the interface bonding in Zn-SiC MMCs. Nevertheless, previous studies have shown that interface reaction can hardly occur between SiC and Zn [22], which limits the feasibility of **in situ** interface strengthening in Zn-SiC MMCs. Consequently, there have been few reports on the improvement of interface bonding of Zn-SiC MMCs, especially in the field of bone implant applications.

Recently, **in situ** reaction was reported between ZnO and SiO₂ by the diffusion of Zn²⁺ and O²⁻ into the SiO₂ shell [23]. As we know, the main advantages of **in situ** reaction include not only improved wetting between metal melt and the reinforcing phase during the preparing process, but also the formation of chemical bonding at the interface of metal matrix and the reinforcing phase. Moreover, SiO₂ has been proven to promote the interfacial wetting between SiC and metals [24,25]. The novelty of this study lies in the introduction of **in situ** interface reaction in Zn-SiC MMCs by a combined process of pre-oxidation and selective laser melting (SLM). And the main objectives of this study were to improve the interfacial wetting and induce **in situ** reaction, thereby enhancing the interface bonding and further the mechanical properties of Zn-SiC MMCs. Concretely, nano-SiC and Zn powders were treated by pre-oxidation to form surface oxide layers, respectively, and then used to prepare Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites via SLM. During the SLM process, the oxide layers were expected to improve the interfacial wettability and induce **in situ** reaction between nano-SiC and Zn. The effects of pre-oxidation temperature on the powder microstructure, and the resulting interface characteristics and mechanical properties of the biocomposites were investigated in detail. Additionally, the degradability and biocompatibility of the biocomposites were also studied to evaluate their practicability for implant applications.

### Experiments

**Pre-oxidation treatments**

Commercial Zn (≥99.9 wt% purity, ~60 μm) and nano-SiC particles (≥99.9 wt% purity, ~50 nm) were purchased from Shanghai Naiou Nanotechnology Co. Ltd. (China). The nano-SiC particles were first pre-oxidized in a tube furnace (GS1200-100, Yagelong Co. Ltd., China) at 900 °C, 1000 °C, and 1100 °C, respectively, with a holding time of 2 h (Fig. 1). And the Zn particles were also pre-oxidized in the tube furnace at 200 °C for 2 h. Subsequently, the nano-SiC particles with and without pre-oxidation treatments were ultrasonic dispersed respectively, and the pre-oxidized Zn particles were separately dispersed under magnetic stirring. The above nano-SiC solutions were then added into the Zn solution with continuous magnetic stirring to obtain mixture solutions containing nano-SiC with or without pre-oxidation treatments. Next, the mixture solutions were wet milled in absolute ethyl alcohol at 100 r/min for 2 h. Finally, the mixture solutions were filtered, and then dried to acquire the mixed powders with or without pre-oxidation treatments (weight ratio of Zn/nano-SiC was 2:98) for preparing biocomposites.

**SLM preparation**

A self-assembled SLM system, mainly consisting of a fiber laser, a scanning galvanometer, a computer-control system and gas cycle...
equipment, was employed to fabricate Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites. In this study, a series of preliminary experiments were conducted to prepare Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites and a set of optimum processing parameters was obtained with laser power 85 W, scanning rate 150 mm/s, spot diameter 50 μm, scanning spacing 80 μm and layer thickness 0.1 mm. For brevity, the biocomposites containing nano-SiC without and with pre-oxidation treatments at 900 °C, 1000 °C and 1100 °C were designated as ZS, ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites, respectively.

**Microstructure**

X-ray diffractometer (XRD, D8 Advance, Bruker AXS, Germany) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB 250Xi, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) tests were employed to identify the phase composition and analyze the chemical bonds on the surface of nano-SiC particles, respectively. For particle morphology and oxide layer analysis, the nano-SiC particles with and without pre-oxidation treatments were separately ultrasonic dispersed, and then dripped on copper foils and observed via transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Tecnai G2 F20, FEI, USA). The sizes of the nano-SiC particles were collected by image software from the TEM micrographs for statistical analysis. Moreover, a fast fourier transform (FFT) was conducted on the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) micrographs by using digital micrograph software to evaluate the thickness of the oxide layers.

The average sizes of the Zn particles with and without pre-oxidation treatments were measured by a Marvin laser particle size meter (Mastersizer 3000, Malvern Instruments Co. Ltd., UK). The Zn particles and Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites were inlaid in hot inlay, polished, and then characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Phenom ProX, Phenom-World BV, Netherlands) equipped with energy dispense spectroscopy (EDS, INCA, Oxford Instruments, UK). The grain sizes of the biocomposites were also collected through image software and represented by mean values ± standard deviations. The phase compositions of the
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**Fig. 1.** A flow diagram for the preparation of pre-oxidized Zn/nano-SiC mixed powder and Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites. (Zn_{oxidized} and SiC_{oxidized} represent the pre-oxidized Zn and nano-SiC particles, respectively.)
biocomposites were determined by the above-mentioned XRD from 0° to 90° at 2°/min. And the fracture surfaces of the biocomposites were observed by SEM to evaluate the fracture modes.

**Mechanical performance**

The compressive strength of the Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites was measured using a universal testing machine (ZLC-50M, Jinan Zhongluchang Instruments Co. Ltd., China) at a loading rate of 0.2 mm/min under room temperature. All compression test samples were prepared as cylinder (Φ 3 × 6 mm) according to ASTM E9-89 standard [26]. At least three samples were measured for every group to obtain the corresponding stress and strain values. The microhardness of the biocomposites was examined via a Vickers hardness testing device (HXD-1000TM/LCD, Shanghai Taiming Optical Instrument Co. Ltd., China) at a loading force of 2.94 N for 15 s. At least 15 measurements were taken equidistantly on each sample for statistical analysis.

**Electrochemical characteristics**

The electrochemical measurements were conducted in simulated body fluid (SBF, 8.036 g/L NaCl, 0.354 g/L NaHCO3, 0.311 g/L MgCl2·6H2O, 0.292 g/L CaCl2, 0.231 g/L K2HPO4·3H2O and 0.225 g/L KCl, pH 7.4 [27]) solutions at an electrochemical workstation (Autolab M204, Metrohm, Switzerland). A three-electrode system was adopted for electrochemical tests with a saturated calomel electrode as the reference electrode, a platinum counter electrode as the counter electrode, and the biocomposites as the working-electrode. Firstly, the samples were dipped into SBF for 3600 s until the open circuit potential (OCP) reached a steady state. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis was carried out over frequencies ranging from 10^2 Hz to 10^6 Hz with 10 mV perturbation. Next, potentiodynamic polarization measurements were conducted from 0.05 V to 1.7 V (vs. OCP) at a speed of 1 mV/s. Finally, the corrosion potential (Ecorr) and corrosion current density (icorr) were obtained by using linear extrapolation on the basis of the Tafel method, and the relevant corrosion rates were computed based on ASTM-G102-89 standard [28].

**Degradation behavior**

*In vitro* degradation behavior of the biocomposites was evaluated by immersion method. All samples were polished and cleaned by ultrasonic. Subsequently, each sample was soaked in an airtight container with SBF solution based on ASTM G31-72 standard (sur-
face area of sample: solution volume = 1 cm²: 25 ml) [29]. After 7 weeks of immersion, these samples were removed from the SBF, cleaned with distilled water, dried in vacuum and weighted. Then the corrosion rates (mm/year) were calculated by weight loss according to ASTM-G31-72 standard [29].

Afterwards, the sample surface was cleaned with acid solution containing 0.2 g/ml CrO3 to remove the degradation products. The surface morphologies of the samples before and after product removal were observed through SEM. Besides, pH values in SBF solutions were measured by a pH device (PHS-3C, Shanghai Lei Ci Device Works, China).

**Biocompatibility**

The biocompatibility assessment of the biocomposites was conducted by fluorescence staining, CCK-8 assay, and cell attachment using MG-63 cells. Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) with 10 vol% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin was prepared as medium. MG-63 cells were cultivated in aforesaid medium in a humidified incubator (37 °C, 5% CO₂). The samples were cleaned 3 times with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution, and then sterilized with ultraviolet light. Subsequently, the samples were respectively soaked in aforesaid medium (surface area of sample : medium volume = 1.25 cm²: mL) for 24 h. The 50% and 100% extracts were collected and then put into 4 °C refrigerator for reserve.

For fluorescence staining, the MG-63 cells were cultivated in 24-well culture plates (5 × 10⁴ cells/mL) with the 100% extracts for 3 days. Subsequently, the cells were digested and seeded upon glass slide, and then stained with Calcein-AM and Ethidium homodimer at 25 °C for 30 min. The stained cells were observed by a fluorescence microscope (BX60, Olympus, Japan). For CCK-8 assay, the MG-63 cells were cultured in 50% and 100% extracts for 1 day and 3 days, respectively. Afterwards, the cells were digested and seeded in 96-well plates with a density of 1 × 10⁴ cell/mL. The cells, after incubation for 6 h, were cultivated with 10 µL CCK-8 for 2 h. Finally, the absorbance was measured at 450 nm by a plate reader (Paradigm Detection Platform, Beckman Coulter, USA). The cells incubated in the aforesaid medium without the extracts served as control.

In terms of cell attachment, the samples of ZS and ZS1000 biocomposites were sterilized by ultraviolet light for 2 h before placing in a 24-well plate. MG-63 cells were inoculated on the samples’ surface with 4 ml DMEM and a concentration of 1 × 10⁵ cell/mL. After 3 days, the samples were removed from the culture medium and washed with PBS. Next, the adherent MG-63 cells were fixed by 4% glutaraldehyde, dehydrated with ethanol and dried at 37 °C. Finally, the adherent MG-63 cells were observed with SEM.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted by SPSS13 software, and the results were represented by mean values ± standard deviations. Single factor variance analysis (ANOVA) was used for multi-group comparison with statistical significance of P < 0.05 (*)
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**Fig. 3.** HRTEM micrographs of (a) nano-SiC particles and pre-oxidized nano-SiC particles at (b) 900 °C, (c) 1000 °C, and (d) 1100 °C. (e) XRD results of nano-SiC particles and pre-oxidized nano-SiC particles. (f) XPS spectrum of Si 2p and (g) weight gain of the pre-oxidized nano-SiC particles (P < 0.05 (*))
Results and discussion

Pre-oxidized powders

The bright-field TEM micrographs of nano-SiC and pre-oxidized nano-SiC particles at 900 °C, 1000 °C, and 1100 °C were shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the nano-SiC particles presented regular spherical shape and distinct edges with uniform particle size distribution (Fig. 2a1). After pre-oxidation treatments, the edges of nano-SiC particles became blurred, and the particle size gradually increased with the pre-oxidation temperature (Fig. 2b1-d1). The electron diffraction ring was relatively clear in the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of nano-SiC particles, corresponding to the crystallization morphology (Fig. 2a2). In comparison, the SAED patterns of pre-oxidized nano-SiC particles (Fig. 2b2-d2) gradually became blurred as the diffraction spots faded away. Furthermore, the particle sizes of the nano-SiC particles with or without pre-oxidation treatments were measured in the bright-field TEM micrographs and calculated to obtain the statistical averages. Compared with the nano-SiC particles without pre-oxidation treatment (49.7 ± 2.4 nm) (Fig. 2a3), the average particle sizes of pre-oxidized nano-SiC particles at 900 °C, 1000 °C, and 1100 °C were 57.1 ± 2.2 nm, 62.9 ± 2.5 nm and 72.2 ± 3.1 nm, respectively (Fig. 2b3-d3).

The HRTEM micrographs of the particle edges were presented in Fig. 3a-d to further reveal the structural evolution of the particles after pre-oxidation treatments at different temperatures. It was clear that the nano-SiC particle showed lattice fringes with a spacing of 0.25 nm in accord with the (1 1 1) plane. After pre-oxidation, a continuous layer was uniformly formed on the surface of nano-SiC particles and the layer thickness increased with the pre-oxidation temperature. Moreover, the layer presented an amorphous structure as indicated by the fuzzy flocculent morphology. To reveal the composition of the newly formed layer, XRD analysis was carried out on the nano-SiC particles with and without pre-oxidation treatments (Fig. 3e). As can be seen, the patterns of pre-oxidized nano-SiC particles showed a new broadened diffraction peak at 20–30°, in accord with the standard peak of amorphous SiO₂, compared with the pattern of nano-SiC particles. XPS analysis of the Si 2p spectrum (Fig. 3f) also presented two peaks at 102.2 eV and 100 eV, respectively corresponding to Si–O bonding and Si–C bonding. Therefore, based on the XRD and XPS results, the formed layer on the surface of nano-SiC particles could be determined as amorphous SiO₂ layer. During the pre-oxidation treatments in air, reactions might occur on the surface of nano-SiC particles as follows [30,31]:

\[
\text{SiC} + 2\text{O}_2 = \text{SiO}_2 + \text{CO}_2
\]

\[
2\text{SiC} + 3\text{O}_2 = 2\text{SiO}_2 + 2\text{CO}
\]

According to previous studies [32], the thickness of oxide layer could be calculated based on the weight gain of pre-oxidized nano-SiC particles. In the present study, the weight gain of the pre-oxidized nano-SiC particles at different temperatures were...
recorded as shown in Fig. 3g. The calculated thickness of the oxide layer (SiO$_2$) on the surface of nano-SiC particles pre-oxidized at 900°C, 1000°C, and 1100°C were approximately 3.5 nm, 7 nm and 10 nm, respectively. It was almost in line with the statistic thickness obtained from the TEM micrographs in Fig. 2a3-d3, further indicating the formation of SiO$_2$ layer on the surface of nano-SiC particles after pre-oxidation treatments.

The SEM micrographs of a representative pre-oxidized Zn particle were shown in Fig. 4a. It was clearly observed that the pre-oxidized Zn particle exhibited good sphericity and smooth edges. To evaluate the element composition, EDS line scanning and mapping were conducted on the boundary (Fig. 4b) and profile (Fig. 4a) of the pre-oxidized Zn particle, respectively. For the EDS line scanning (Fig. 4c), the increase of O element and decrease of Zn element across the particle edge illustrated the formation of surface oxide on the pre-oxidized Zn particle. As marked in the Fig. 4d-f, there existed a homogeneous overlapping region (~1 μm thickness) between the EDS mappings of O element and Zn element. Moreover, the average particle size increased from 59.5 ± 1.0 μm of the original Zn particles to 61 ± 0.8 μm of the pre-oxidized Zn particles (Fig. 4g and h). These results further indicated the formation of a continuous oxide layer on the surface of pre-oxidized Zn particles.

Microstructure of biocomposites

The microstructure of the biocomposites was observed by means of SEM and EDS, as illustrated in Fig. 5. Compared with ZS biocomposite, more uniform grain size distribution can be obtained in ZS900, ZS1000, and ZS1100 biocomposites (Fig. 5a-d). It is worth noting that some dark areas were visible at the grain boundaries of ZS 1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites, as displayed in Fig. 5c and d.

For better observation, high magnification SEM micrographs (Fig. 5e and f) and EDS line scanning (Fig. 5g and h) were further conducted at these dark areas. It is clear that the EDS scanning along line A in ZS1000 biocomposite and line B in ZS1100 biocomposite mainly included Zn, O and Si elements. In these dark areas, with the gradually reduced content of Zn element the content of Si element increased. And the content of O element maintained a consistent high level across the whole dark areas of lines A and B. Specifically, there was a co-existing area for Zn, Si and O elements on the line A and line B, revealing that the chemical composition of these dark areas might be in line with $\chi(ZnO)\gamma(SiO_2)$. Moreover, it was notable that the co-existing length of Zn, Si and O elements in ZS1100 biocomposite (Fig. 5g) was longer than that of ZS1000 biocomposite (Fig. 5h), which may be associated with the thickness of interface products. The grain size distribution of the ZS, ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites was presented in Fig. 5i-l, where the average grain size of ZS, ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 were 17.3 ± 2.5 μm, 14.7 ± 2.1 μm, 15.6 ± 2.7 μm and 13.8 ± 3.1 μm, respectively. No significant difference has been found in the grain size distribution of the biocomposites reinforced by nano-SiC particles with and without pre-oxidation treatments. The composition of the biocomposites prepared via SLM was further verified by XRD patterns (Fig. 6a). It can be seen that the obvious characteristic peaks of Zn as the major phase in ZS, ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites. And no other phases were detected in ZS biocomposite. In contrast, the characteristic peaks of ZnO, SiO$_2$, and Z$_2$SiO$_4$ could be seen in 2θ range of 20°~35° for ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites (Fig. 6b), illustrating that the formation of interface products via in situ reaction during the SLM process. The in situ reaction occurred in the interface between the pre-oxidized nano-SiC and Zn matrix might be as follows [33]:

$$2ZnO + SiO_2 = Zn_2SiO_4$$ (3)
Specifically, the XRD pattern of ZS900 biocomposite showed no characteristic peaks of Zn$_2$SiO$_4$, probably because too little SiO$_2$ was formed when nano-SiC particles were pre-oxidized at 900 °C and consequently the amount of interface products was beyond the detection limit of XRD technique. It was visible that obvious characteristic peaks of Zn$_2$SiO$_4$ appeared in the ZS1000 biocomposite, while both the characteristic peaks of SiO$_2$ and Zn$_2$SiO$_4$ were presented in the ZS1100 biocomposite. The observation of powder microstructure showed that oxide layers were uniformly formed on the surface of nano-SiC particles and the layer thickness increased with the pre-oxidation temperature. And a much thicker SiO$_2$ layer formed on the surface of nano-SiC particles when pre-oxidized at 1100 °C. As a consequence, the amount of SiO$_2$ was too much to completely react with the ZnO on Zn particles, and the excess SiO$_2$ remained in the interface after *in situ* reaction between the pre-oxidized nano-SiC and Zn particles.

**Mechanical properties**

The mechanical properties of the biocomposites were measured by compression tests and microhardness tests. Representative compressive stress–strain curves for the ZS, ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites were shown in Fig. 6c. The compressive yield strengths increased to 137.6 ± 3.4 MPa for ZS900 biocomposite and 171.5 ± 5.1 MPa for ZS1000 biocomposite, followed by a slight decrease to 163.2 ± 3.3 MPa for ZS1100 biocomposite, compared with that of ZS biocomposite (130.3 ± 3.1 MPa) (Fig. 6d). It should be noticed that the compressive yield strength of the ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites could better fit the mechanical properties of human cortical bone (170–190 MPa) than ZS biocomposite, especially in bone implant applications. Meanwhile, the ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites also exhibited higher ultimate compressive strength and microhardness than those of ZS biocomposite, as shown in Fig. 6e and f.

It is well known that the interface characteristics between the reinforcement and matrix play a crucial role in composites, especially for mechanical performance [34–37]. Many researches have demonstrated that by *in situ* interface reaction, a strong interface bonding could form between the matrix and reinforcement, which can improve the mechanical performance [34,38]. In the present study, no significant differences were found in grain sizes among the Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites with the incorporation of un-oxidized and pre-oxidized nano-SiC particles. Therefore, the improvement of mechanical properties in the ZS1000 biocompos-
ites could mainly be ascribed to the strengthening interface bonding between nano-SiC and Zn matrix by \textit{in situ} interface reaction. Concretely, the interface product, formed by \textit{in situ} reaction between the pre-oxidized nano-SiC and Zn matrix, served as an interface “bridge” to effectively transfer load from the Zn matrix to nano-SiC reinforcement, thereby improving the mechanical properties of the Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites. Moreover, it was notable that the compressive strength of ZS1100 decreased compared with ZS1000, which might be related to the excess remains of SiO2 or the overmuch formation of Zn2SiO4 in the interface.

The representative fracture surface morphology of the ZS, ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites were displayed in Fig. 7.Apparently, the main fracture mode of all Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites was cleavage fracture, as characterized by cleavage cracks and steps (Fig. 7a1-d1) \cite{39}. In general, cleavage fracture was viewed as brittle fracture. However, it can also be observed that some fine dimples, resulting from intergranular fracture, presented on partial fracture surface of the ZS and ZS900 biocomposites in the HSEM micrograph (Fig. 7a2 and b2). This revealed that the fracture mode of the Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites was a combination of both brittle and ductile fractures. In comparison with ZS and ZS900, a transformation tendency from intergranular fracture to transgranular fracture can be observed on the partial fracture surface of ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites (Fig. 7c2 and d2). It has been reported that transgranular fracture is closely related to grain boundary strength and easily occurs as the grain boundary strength increases \cite{40,41}. Thus, the transformation tendency from intergranular fracture to transgranular fracture in the present study might be related to the strong interface bonding formed by \textit{in situ} reaction during SLM process, which makes it difficult for the Zn grains to be drawn out from the grain boundary.

\section*{Degradation properties}

The electrochemical characteristics of the ZS, ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites were investigated by short-term polarization measurement. The potentiodynamic polarization curves were plotted in Fig. 8a, and the corresponding $E_{corr}$, $I_{corr}$ and corrosion rates were calculated and listed in Table 1.

The corrosion rate of the biocomposites increased from 0.150 ± 0.038 mm/year of ZS biocomposite to 0.189 ± 0.041 mm/year of ZS1000 biocomposite, but no obvious differences in $E_{corr}$ were observed among the Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites. The Nyquist plots in Fig. 8b presented a characteristically two semicircle-like curves corresponding to two time constants, which illustrated two capacitive loops for the EIS responses of the Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites. The high frequency capacitive loop was related to the oxide product film on the surface of biocomposites, while the low frequency capacitive loop was connected with the electron transfer and double layer effects in the interface of the biocomposites and electrolyte. The diameters of the semicircle-like curves of ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites were smaller than that of ZS biocomposite, indicating a decreased corrosion resistance.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Electrochemical parameters calculated from polarization curves.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Composition & $I_{corr}$ ($\mu A/cm^2$) & $E_{corr}$ (V) & Corrosion rate (mm/year) \\
\hline
ZS & 7.902 ± 0.344 & −1.102 ± 0.012 & 0.150 ± 0.038 \\
ZS900 & 8.342 ± 0.531 & −1.214 ± 0.023 & 0.168 ± 0.085 \\
ZS1000 & 9.710 ± 0.465 & −1.279 ± 0.031 & 0.189 ± 0.041 \\
ZS1100 & 6.394 ± 0.572 & −1.173 ± 0.017 & 0.143 ± 0.027 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image7.png}
\caption{The fracture surface morphology of the (a1) ZS, (b1) ZS900, (c1) ZS1000 and (d1) ZS1100 biocomposites, and the corresponding (a2-d2) HSEM micrograph.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image8.png}
\caption{(a) Polarization curves and (b) Nyquist plots of the SLM-processed ZS, ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites.}
\end{figure}
Immersion tests were used to evaluate the long-term degradation behavior of the Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites. After 7 weeks’ immersion, the corrosion surface morphology of the ZS, ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites exhibited gradually increased corrosion precipitates with the increasing pre-oxidation temperature of nano-SiC particles, as illustrated in Fig. 9a. After removing the corrosion precipitates, the grain boundaries of Zn matrix were clearly visible, revealing a degradation process dominated by intercrystalline corrosion. In comparison, the surface of ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites showed more etch pits than ZS biocomposite (Fig. 9b), implying an intensified corrosion process.

Furthermore, the corrosion rates of the ZS, ZS900, ZS1000 and ZS1100 biocomposites were evaluated based on the weight loss after immersion for 7 weeks (Fig. 9c). Compared with ZS biocomposite (0.17 ± 0.02 mm/year), the corrosion rate increased to 0.18 ± 0.01 mm/year for ZS900 biocomposite and 0.20 ± 0.02 mm/year for ZS1000 biocomposite, respectively. The variation of pH values in SBF solution during the immersion was displayed in Fig. 9d. It was clear that the pH values increased rapidly at the initial stage of degradation and reached relatively stable state at the later period.

When immersed in SBF solution, Zn as an anode gradually released Zn²⁺ through oxygen reduction, resulting in a rapid increase in pH value at the initial stage of degradation due to the generation of OH⁻. Subsequently, some corrosion products such as zinc phosphate gradually formed, making the pH alkaline and relatively steady at later period [42]. The degradation rates variations of Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites might involve interactions between the interface product, oxide layer and Zn matrix. On the one hand, the interface product Zn₂SiO₄ has high discharge capacity, which has been widely applied in the anode of lithium-ion batteries and electroluminescence materials [43]. Hence, the Zn₂SiO₄ distributed at the Zn/nano-SiC interface might be able to facilitate the electron transfer among Zn grains, thereby accelerating the degradation of the biocomposites. As a consequence, the degradation rate of ZS1000 biocomposite was higher than those of ZS and ZS900 biocomposites. On the other hand, it should be noticed that the corrosion rate of ZS1100 biocomposite (0.15 ± 0.01 mm/year) decreased compared with other biocomposites. Previous studies have reported that SiO₂ could promote the formation of calcium phosphate layer through hydrolysis reaction, and this protection layer could also hinder the penetration of corrosive solution [44]. Thus, this phenomenon might be related to the hydrolysis of excessive SiO₂, which led to more calcium phosphate precipitates on the sample surface and thereby slowed down the corrosion process.

**Biocompatibility**

Cytocompatibility of the ZS and ZS1000 biocomposites was evaluated by fluorescence staining, CCK-8 assay and cell attachment. The fluorescence morphologies of MG-63 cells after 3 days’ cultivation in control group and the extracts of the ZS and ZS1000 biocomposites were illustrated as Fig. 10a, in which the live cells were stained green. It can be seen that both the ZS and ZS1000 biocomposites exhibited higher cell densities than that of control group, indicating a positive effect on cell proliferation, but no obvious differences can be observed between the ZS and
ZS1000 biocomposites. The representative cell adhesion morphology of MG-63 cells cultured on ZS and ZS1000 biocomposites for 3 days were displayed in Fig. 10b, where more cells were found attached to the surface of ZS1000 biocomposite compared with ZS biocomposite. Moreover, the cell viability was investigated by CCK-8 analysis after 1 day and 3 days' incubation in the 50% and 100% extracts (Fig. 10c), which maintained a value of more than 90%, illustrating good biocompatibility of the biocomposites based on ISO 19003-5 [45]. Besides, the results of CCK-8 analysis showed that cell viabilities of the ZS biocomposite had no significant differences compared with the ZS1000 biocomposite.

It has been reported that the cell proliferation is relevant to the ionic surroundings of the growth medium [46–48]. Therefore, the positive cell response might be ascribed to the release of Zn²⁺ and Si⁴⁺ from the biocomposites which could promote cell proliferation and differentiation [49–51]. Furthermore, both ZnO and SiO₂ have been widely studied as biomaterials owing to their good biocompatibility and attractive biological properties [52,53]. Additionally, it has been proven that the surface of SiO₂ possesses well hydrophilic groups, which is able to promote the adsorption of cell related proteins and thereby facilitates cell adhesion [54]. As a result, the in situ interface strengthened ZS1000 biocomposites prepared via SLS presented favorable biocompatibility to MG-63 cells, indicating potential applications for bone repair.

**Conclusion**

In this study, in situ interface reaction was innovatively introduced in Zn-SiC MMCs by a combined process of pre-oxidation and SLM, aiming to obtain Zn/nano-SiC biocomposites with strengthened interface bonding. A uniform oxide layer formed on the surface of nano-SiC (SiO₂) and Zn (ZnO) particles by pre-oxidation treatments, respectively. And the layer thickness increased with the pre-oxidation temperature. During the SLM process, in situ interface reaction was induced between the oxide layers of Zn (ZnO) and nano-SiC (SiO₂), resulting in the formation of interface product Zn₂SiO₄ in the biocomposite. Owing to the improved interfacial bonding, the compressive yield strength and ultimate compressive strength of ZS1000 biocomposite were improved by 31.6% and 13.5%, respectively, compared with ZS biocomposite. However, the excess SiO₂ or interface products pre-oxidized at 1100 °C weakened the interface strengthening effect. Compared with ZS biocomposite, the degradation rate of ZS1000 biocomposite slightly increased while that of ZS1100 biocomposite decreased. Meanwhile, cell culture tests proved well biocompatibility of the biocomposite in respect of cell activity, proliferation and adhesion. These findings indicated the practical applicability of this study in preparing Zn-based biocomposites with strong interface bonding for biodegradable implant fields.
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