Brief inhalation of sevoflurane can reduce glial scar formation after hypoxic-ischemic brain injury in neonatal rats
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Abstract

Previous studies have demonstrated that sevoflurane postconditioning can provide neuroprotection after hypoxic-ischemic injury and improve learning and memory function in developing rodent brains. The classical Rice-Vannucci model was used to induce hypoxic-ischemic injury, and newborn (postnatal day 7) rats were treated with 2.4% sevoflurane for 30 minutes after hypoxic-ischemic injury. Our results showed that sevoflurane postconditioning significantly improved the learning and memory function of rats, decreased astrogliosis and glial scar formation, increased numbers of dendritic spines, and protected the histomorphology of the hippocampus. Mechanistically, sevoflurane postconditioning decreased expression of von Hippel-Lindau of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α and increased expression of DJ-1. Injection of 1.52 μg of the hypoxia-inducible factor-1α inhibitor YC-1 (Lificiguat) into the left lateral ventricle 30 minutes before hypoxic-ischemic injury reversed the neuroprotection induced by sevoflurane. This finding suggests that sevoflurane can effectively alleviate astrogliosis in the hippocampus and reduce learning and memory impairments caused by glial scar formation after hypoxic-ischemic injury. The underlying mechanism may be related to upregulated DJ-1 expression, reduced ubiquitination of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α, and stabilized hypoxia-inducible factor-1α expression. This study was approved by the Laboratory Animal Care Committee of China Medical University, China (approval No. 2016PS337K) on November 9, 2016.
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Introduction

Neonatal hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) is a common complication in the neonatal period caused by many factors, such as neonatal asphyxia, intrauterine distress, and hyaline membrane disease (Douglas-Escobar and Weiss, 2015; Barkhuizen et al., 2017). HIE is the leading cause of infant fatalities and the primary source of neurological sequelae (Edwards et al., 2010; Descloux et al., 2015). Ninety percent of HIE survivors have long-term neurological dysfunction, such as learning, cognitive, and motor dysfunctions, and epilepsy (Doi et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2019). Current treatment of HIE mainly focuses on symptomatic treatment, including mechanical ventilation, correction of hypotension, supplementation of glucose, and limiting of fluid intake, as appropriate. However, an effective treatment capable of reversing or reducing long-term brain damage is required (Stankowski and Gupta, 2011; Davidson et al., 2015).

As a pivotal part of learning and recognition, the hippocampus, including the dentate gyrus (DG), CA1, and CA3 regions, participates in transforming and integrating peripheral information into nerve centers (Morris et al., 2012; Jung et al., 2020). The hippocampus is hypersensitive to hypoxic-ischemic (HI) damage, which may provoke apoptosis of hippocampal neurons, stimulate excessive reactive gliosis, and lead to glial scar formation (Hopkins and Haaland, 2004; Wang et al., 2012). The proliferation of astrocytes is crucial for sealing the...
site of infarction, remodeling the hippocampus structure, and temporally controlling local immune responses during the acute phase (Rolls et al., 2009). However, hypertrophy and glial scar formation contribute to aberrant neurogenesis, obstruct dendritic spine growth, and hamper synapse shape, further impairing learning and recognition functions (Yiu and He, 2006; Wanner et al., 2008; Burda and Sofroniew, 2014; Pekny et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2017). Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans, such as neurocan, are pathologic markers of astroglisis and glial scarring whose expression can be upregulated by highly activated astrocytes (Pekny and Nilsson, 2005; Choudhury and Ding, 2016). Thus, inhibition of astroglisis and glial scarring may be a therapeutic target for HIE and its long-term neurological sequelae.

The application of sevoflurane has been demonstrated to mitigate HI injury in rodents. Studies investigating the significance of sevoflurane postconditioning (SPC) to alleviate HI damage by upregulating hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) in adult rodents and neonatal rats have identified several potential mechanisms (Wang et al., 2019b; Yang et al., 2019; Du et al., 2020; Liu and Gong, 2020). As a key physiological sensor of hypoxia, HIF-1α is a transcription factor with hundreds of downstream molecules involved in ischemic tolerance mechanisms, such as vascular endothelial growth factor and erythropoietin (Na et al., 2015). Hypoxic postconditioning has been verified to reduce astrocyte and microglial activation after HI in neonatal rat brain (Teo et al., 2015). Therefore, we hypothesized that HIF-1α may contribute to attenuating the formation of glial scars and astroglisis.

HIF-1α can constitutively exist in neurons, whereby it quickly increases in expression and accumulates under hypoxic conditions. However, when oxygen returns to normal, HIF-1α expression cannot be sustained at a high enough level to continuously exert a neuroprotective effect because of oxygen-dependent degradation by prolyl-hydroxylase proteins and subsequent ubiquitylation by von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) proteins (Berra et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2018). DJ-1 (encoded by Park7) is reportedly a neuroprotective protein, especially under oxidative conditions (Aleyasin et al., 2007, 2010).

VHL protein physically interacts with DJ-1, as determined by an unbiased mass spectrometry screen and confirmed in a Parkinson’s disease (PD) model. In addition, Parsanejad et al. (2014) showed that DJ-1 inhibits VHL-dependent ubiquitylation and stabilizes HIF-1α expression. Thus, we hypothesized that sevoflurane stabilizes HIF-1α protein in the hippocampus by upregulating DJ-1 to inhibit HIF-1α ubiquitylation, thereby improving long-term learning and memory function. To investigate the role of HIF-1α in the relationship between sevoflurane and astroglisis, we used YC-1 (Lificiguat), a selective antagonist of HIF-1α, to completely inhibit HIF-1α expression at the post-transcriptional level. In this study, we investigated the role of HIF-1α in neuroprotection of SPC-treated HIE neonatal rats.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Animal research was performed at the synaptic stage of rat development, which commences on postnatal day 7 (P7), corresponding to the growth period of human infants from late pregnancy to 3 years after birth (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003). Seven-day-old Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 12-16 g were selected from 20 pregnant rats (purchased from the Laboratory of Shengjing Hospital, China Medical University, China; male/female ratio, 1:1). All rats were able to access water and food freely, and maintained at standard humidity and temperature in the lab, with 12-hour light/dark (8 a.m./8 p.m.) cycles. All animal experiments were performed according to the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD, USA) Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The Laboratory Animal Care Committee of China Medical University formally approved the described experiments (Shenyang, China; approval No. 2016PS337K) on November 9, 2016.

From 25 pregnant rats, 249 P7 rats were chosen and randomly divided into groups based on a random number table (Wang et al., 2019a). Our final analysis included a total of 201 newborn rats; the mortality rate after HI treatment was 19%. These 201 newborn rats were randomly divided into four groups: sham (n = 54), HI (n = 54), HI + sevoflurane (HIS) (n = 54), and HIS + YC-1 (n = 39) groups. In each group, 24 rats were used for western blot assay, 5 were used for immunohistochemistry and Golgi staining, and 10 were used for behavioral testing. The remaining 45 rats in sham, HI, and HIS groups were used for reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

Experimental design and exposure to anesthetic

The employed HIE model was previously described (Zhao et al., 2007; Grandvuillemin et al., 2017). On the basis of the distance between the anus and reproductive organs, sex identification of postnatal rats was completed. To establish the HIE model, the head of each P7 rat was put into a transparent plastic pipe, which was sealed with cotton before sevoflurane was released (Wang et al., 2019a; Xue et al., 2019). The left common carotid artery of each rat was permanently ligated, and between the two ligations, the artery was cut; each surgery was finished within 5 minutes. Subsequently, the rats awoke unaffected by anesthesia and were put back into their mothers’ cage for 2 hours.

For administration of SPC, rats were put into a transparent chamber (Lingzhi Company, Hangzhou, China) connected to a sevoflurane vaporizer; one duct assisted in ventilation, while the other conveyed the gas sample out of the chamber to the monitor. The chamber was ventilated with 30% O2 and 70% N2 for 2 hours for the sham group, while 8% O2 and 92% N2 was administered for 2 hours to the HI group. SPC was set promptly after HI: 2.4% sevoflurane (1 minimum alveolar concentration) was inhaled by rats in the chamber with an atmosphere of 30% O2 and 70% N2, and air flow rate of 2 L/min for 30 minutes. The heating pool stabilized the temperature inside the chamber at 37°C.

Drug administration

Exactly 30 minutes before HI, 1.52 μg of HIF-1α inhibitor (YC-1; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was injected into the left lateral ventricle (Paxinos and Franklin, 2013) using a 5-μL Hamilton syringe (Yingweida Technology, Beijing, China). As previously described, YC-1 was dissolved in artificial cerebral spinal fluid at a concentration of 0.304 μg/μL (Shen et al., 2012; Na et al., 2015).

Western blot analysis

P7 rats were anesthetized by 2% sevoflurane, and hippocampal tissues were extracted from pups at 12, 24, and 48 hours, and 28 days after surgery. After extraction, tissues were immediately placed on ice. Total supernatant proteins were isolated by adding radio-immunoprecipitation assay agent (Beyotime, Haimen, China). Protein concentrations were determined with a One BCA Kit (Beyotime). Next, specimens were separated on 12.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. After blocking with 5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 4°C, the membrane was incubated with the appropriate primary antibodies, including anti-glyceraldehyde
3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; 1:2000; Cat# 51745; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-DI-1 (1:1000; Cat# ab18257; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-VHL (1:5000; polyclonal; Cat# ab77262; Abcam), anti-HIF-1α (1:900; polyclonal; Cat# ab2185; Abcam), anti-neurocan (1:200; monoclonal; Cat# sc-33663; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), anti-postsynaptic density protein 95 (PSD95; 1:1000; monoclonal; Cat. No 34095; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-growth associated protein 43 (GAP43; 1:2000; polyclonal; Cat# 16971-1-AP; Proteintech Biotechnology, Chicago, IL, USA), and anti-GFAP (1:5000, polyclonal, Cat# ab53554; Abcam). Afterwards, blots were incubated with anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000; Cat# ZB-2301; Zhongshanjiangqiao, Beijing, China) or anti-mouse IgG (1:5000; Cat# ZB-2301; Zhongshanjiangqiao) for 2 hours at room temperature. Protein blots were visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagents (Super Signal West Pico; Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). Protein bands were quantified with ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health). For analysis of western blots, data were normalized to GAPDH.

Immunochemistry

Twenty-eight days after surgery, rats were administered anesthetic using the method described above, perfused with 4% formalin, and their brains were removed for immunofluorescence staining. Brains were immersed into 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 48 hours, subsequently dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, and finally embedded in paraffin. Next, paraffin-embedded tissues were cut into 2.5-μm-thick sections with a vibratome, and the resulting sections were stored at room temperature. Each brain was sectioned continuously, and three discontinuous brain sections were selected for NeuN (neuron marker), GFAP (astrocyte marker), and neurocan (glial scar marker) staining. For double labeling, tissue sections were incubated with two mixed primary antibodies, including goat anti-GFAP (1:250; polyclonal; Cat# ab53554; Abcam), rabbit anti-NeuN (1:100; Cat# 12943; Cell Signaling Technology), and/or mouse anti-neurocan (1:200; monoclonal; Cat# sc-33663; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight in a humidified chamber. Subsequently, sections were washed with 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with the appropriate secondary antibodies, including anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor-Fluor (1:200; Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA), anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor-Fluor (1:200; Life Technologies), and anti-goat IgG conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (1:200; Life Technologies). Counterstaining of cell nuclei was implemented with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Beyotime). For double labeling, two mixed primary antibodies, including goat anti-GFAP (astrocyte marker), and neurocan (glial scar marker) staining. For double labeling, tissue sections were incubated with two mixed primary antibodies, including goat anti-GFAP (1:250; polyclonal; Cat# ab53554; Abcam), rabbit anti-NeuN (1:100; Cat# 12943; Cell Signaling Technology), and/or mouse anti-neurocan (1:200; monoclonal; Cat# sc-33663; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight in a humidified chamber. Subsequently, sections were washed with 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with the appropriate secondary antibodies, including anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor-Fluor (1:200; Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA), anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor-Fluor (1:200; Life Technologies), and anti-goat IgG conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (1:200; Life Technologies). Counterstaining of cell nuclei was implemented with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Beyotime). For double labeling, two mixed primary antibodies, including goat anti-GFAP (astrocyte marker), and neurocan (glial scar marker) staining.

Real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from the hippocampal tissues of pups at 12, 24, and 48 hours after surgery using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Complementary DNA was synthesized using an advanced Superscript II RT-PCR kit (Invitrogen). Fluorescent SYBR Green I dye (SYBR Green PCR Master Mix, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and 1 μL of reverse transcribed complementary DNA were used to detect DNA synthesis by real-time PCR with HIF-1α-specific primers. In the rotor gene real-time DNA amplification system (Corbett Research, Sydney, Australia), PCR was performed with the following cycles: denaturation at 95°C for 15 minutes; 40 cycles of 95°C (20 seconds), annealing at 58°C for 25 seconds, and pull-down at 72°C for 35 seconds. Monitoring of the fluorescent product was carried out for an extended time at 72°C. Rotor gene analysis software (Corbett Research) was used to standardize the housekeeping gene GAPDH and quantify relative gene expression. All primer sequences are shown in Table 1.

Nissl staining

Rat brains were prepared into slices 28 days after surgery as described above for immunohistochemistry. Each brain was sectioned consecutively, and three similar sections of the brain were selected for Nissl staining. Utilizing a Nikon C1 digital microscope camera (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), typical microphotographs of hippocampal CA1, CA3 and DG areas were captured. Numbers of cells was counted in each of the three sections was performed in a blinded manner using ImageJ software.

Behavioral tests

Morris water maze testing was used to evaluated the spatial learning and memory abilities of P28–P33 rats (Wang et al., 2019a). Testing consisted of two phases including the training section and spatial searching test. For 5 consecutive days, four training sections were implemented daily commencing at 8:00 am. For platform-detection testing, 10 rats from each group were individually placed into the water at various locations, stochastically, facing the wall of the pool. If a rat successfully detected the platform within 90 seconds, it was forced to stay on the platform for 20 seconds and the time taken to detect the platform was recorded as the escape latency. Each round of testing was 90 seconds, and the escape latency was measured as the time from the rat being placed in the water to successful boarding of the platform. If the rat failed to find the platform within 90 seconds, the recording was stopped and learning and memory guidance was performed (the experimenter used a long rod to guide the rat to the platform, and allowed them stay on the platform for 20 seconds to learn). During the test, the escape latency of rats who failed to enter the stage was recorded as 90 seconds. In the spatial probe test implemented on the sixth day of testing, the platform was removed and the rat was placed in the opposite quadrant and permitted to swim for 90 seconds. The speed of swimming, escape latency, and number of times that they crossed the place where the platform was previously located were recorded by the video tracking system (Shanghai Mobile Datum Ltd., Shanghai, China).

Table 1 Primers for real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

| Gene       | Primer sequence (5′–3′) | Accession No. |
|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| HIF-1α     | Forward: CCG GGG GAG GAC GAT GAA CA Revers: TGA ATG TGG CCC GTG CAG TGA AG | NM_024359.1 |
| GAPDH      | Forward: AAT GCA TCC TGC ACC ACC AA Reverse: GAT GGC ATG GAC TGT GGT CA | NM_130405 |

GAPDH: Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HIF-1α: hypoxia-inducible factor-1α.
**Suspension test**
The suspension test was performed on P28–P32 rats to evaluate their motor function, starting at 3 p.m. every day. A horizontal plastic rope with a 0.5-cm diameter was placed at a 45-cm distance from the ground, and rats were guided to hold the plastic rope with both upper limbs, at which point the time until the rat fell was measured as the suspension latency. The test ended if: (i) the rat tumbled; (ii) the suspension latency was more than 60 seconds; or (iii) the rope was caught by posterior limbs.

**Open field test**
Open field testing was conducted on P28 rats using outdoor equipment composed of a Plexiglas box (100 cm × 100 cm; Borj Sanat, Tehran, Iran) surrounded by a 45-cm high wall, with a floor split into 16 squares. The central area was the 50 cm × 50 cm area in the center of the arena. Each rat was individually placed in the center of the arena and permitted to explore without limitations for 10 minutes. A video tracking system (Borj Sanat) was utilized to record and analyze the total itinerary (as an indicator of exercise activity) and time spent in the central area (as an indicator of anxiety behavior) (Zhai et al., 2019).

**Golgi staining**
After the completion of behavioral testing (i.e. 26 days after surgery), five rats were randomly selected from each group. Golgi staining was performed on 150-μm-thick frozen brain sections using an FD Rapid Golgi Stain Kit (FD NeuroTechnologies, Columbia, MD, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. ImageJ software was utilized to analyze the spine density (spine number per 10 μm) of each neuron. Spines were counted on two or three secondary dendrite segments.

**Statistical analysis**
SPSS 17.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyze the data, which are shown as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the assumption of normality among all continuous variables. In addition, one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s post hoc multiple comparison tests was approached in a string of experiments. If the data failed to meet the normality assumption, the Kruskal-Wallis H test or Mann-Whitney U test was completed separately. Escape latency was analyzed on the basis of two-way analysis of variance for iterative test was completed separately. Escape latency was analyzed. Because the sizes of spines greatly varied (e.g., branched dendrites, thin or mushroomed), we only determined that the density of dendritic spines was significantly increased in the HIS group compared with the HI group ($P < 0.05$), while the density of dendritic spines was reduced in the HIS + YC-1 group compared with the HIS group ($P < 0.01$; Figure 2B).

**SPC ameliorates PSD95 and GAP43 expression in the hippocampus**
We evaluated expression of synapse-associated proteins GAP43 and PSD95 to determine whether they were inhibited by glial scars. HI significantly reduced PSD95 ($P < 0.01$) and GAP43 ($P < 0.05$) protein expression compared with the sham group (Figure 2C–E). Compared with the HIS group, expression levels of PSD95 and GAP43 were notably decreased after YC-1 injection.

**Formation of astrogliosis and glial scars obviously damages hippocampal architecture after HI**
Next, we tried to verify whether sevoflurane improved learning and memory function by protecting the hippocampal architecture and attenuating astrogliosis. Neonatal HIE induced neuronal apoptosis in the hippocampus, as well as reactive astrogliosis in the infarct region that subsequently develops into a glial scar (Rollis et al., 2009). In the HI group, the CA1 and CA3 pyramidal layers, and DG region showed obvious decreases in neurons ($P < 0.01$, $P < 0.001$; Figures 3E and 4C), as well as increased numbers of astrocytes with distinct morphological changes ($P < 0.001$; Figures 3C and 4B). We evaluated expression of GFAP and NeuN in the three areas of the left hippocampus using immunohistochemistry and western blotting analysis. Our results showed that compared with the sham group, astrocytes were activated in the HI group, inserted into the CA1 (Figure 4A) and CA3 (Figure 3A) pyramidal layer, and surrounded neurons in the DG (Figure 3B). Excessive astrogliosis resulted in further extension of glial processes towards normal neuronal structures, forming an aberrant wall-like astrocyte net (Figures 3A, B and 4A). Moreover, GFAP immunoreactivity (Figures 3C, D and 4B, E) and protein levels were obviously increased ($P < 0.01$; Figure 4E), while NeuN immunoreactivity was decreased ($P < 0.01$ or $P < 0.001$; Figures 3E, F and 4C).

To further verify glial scar formation, we evaluated neurocan protein expression levels and immunoreactivity in the hippocampus (Figures 5A, B and 6A). Results of double-labeling immunofluorescence revealed notable differences in the fluorescence intensity of neurocan/GFAP immunostaining between HI and sham groups ($P < 0.001$, $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$; Figures 5G, H and 6D), consistent with western blot results ($P < 0.01$; Figure 6F).

**SPC attenuates astrogliosis and glial scar formation in the hippocampus**
Next, our study investigated whether SPC attenuated glial scar formation. Compared with the HI group, immunoreactivity of GFAP (Figures 5C, D and 6C) and neurocan (Figures 5E, F and 6B) in the HIS group was markedly downregulated in the hippocampus ($P < 0.01$ or $P < 0.001$). In contrast, GFAP and neurocan expression in the HIS + YC-1 group was notably increased compared with the HIS group, and was not different from HIS + YC-1 and HI groups ($P > 0.05$; Figure 6E and F). In addition, NeuN immunopositivity was upregulated and structures appeared more orderly in the HIS group compared with the HI group, which was reversed by YC-1 (Figures 3E, F and 4C).

**SPC upregulates HIF-1α expression in the hippocampus**
In a previous study, we found that sevoflurane post-
conditioning attenuated astrogliosis and glial scar formation in the hippocampus, and improved learning and memory impairment after HI. HIF-1α was confirmed as a key factor in this neuroprotective mechanism (Yang et al., 2019). To further investigate the specific regulation induced by sevoflurane, we examined HIF-1α protein expression in the hippocampus 12, 24, and 48 hours after SPC. Our results revealed dynamic changes in HIF-1α expression: 12 hours after treatment, increased HIF-1α expression was observed in hippocampus 12, 24, and 48 hours after SPC. Our results revealed dynamic changes in HIF-1α expression: 12 hours after treatment, increased HIF-1α expression was observed in hippocampus 12, 24, and 48 hours after SPC.
Figure 4  |  Effect of sevoflurane on astrocytes and neurons in the hippocampal CA1 of newborn rats with hypoxic-ischemic injury.

(A) Morphological changes in astrocytes and neurons in the hippocampal CA1 area 28 days after injury. Astrocytes in the HIS+YC-1 group were more regular than those observed in HI and HIS+YC-1 groups, while neurons were denser. GFAP: green (fluorescein isothiocyanate); neuron: NeuN cells, red [Alexa Fluor-594]; DAPI: blue. Scale bars: 50 μm. (B, C) Immunopositivity of GFAP (B) and NeuN (C) in the hippocampal CA1 area. (D) Bands of GFAP detected by western blot assay. (E) Relative expression of GFAP. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 5 in each group). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001, vs. sham group; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01, ###P < 0.001, vs. HI group; ^^^P < 0.001, ^^^^^P < 0.0001, vs. HIS group (one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s post hoc test). DAPI: 4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole; GFAP: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HI: hypoxic-ischemic; HIS: hypoxic-ischemic + sevoflurane; YC-1: Lifeciguat, a hypoxia-inducible factor-1α inhibitor.

Figure 5  |  Effect of sevoflurane on glial scar formation in the hippocampal CA3 and DG areas of newborn rats with hypoxic-ischemic injury.

(A, B) Neurocan and GFAP in hippocampal CA3 (A) and DG (B) areas at 28 days after injury. The glial scar in CA3 and DG areas in HI and HIS+YC-1 groups were more obvious than those observed in the HIS group. Neurocan: red [Alexa Fluor-594]; GFAP: green (fluorescein isothiocyanate); DAPI: blue. Scale bars: 50 μm. (C, D) Immunopositivity of GFAP in hippocampal CA3 (C) and DG (D) areas. (E, F) Immunopositivity of neurocan in hippocampal DG (E) and CA3 (F) areas. (G, H) Mander’s overlap coefficient demonstrated co-localization between neurocan and GFAP in hippocampal CA3 (G) and DG (H) areas. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 5 in each group). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 vs. sham group; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01 vs. HI group; ^^^P < 0.001 vs. HIS group (one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s post hoc test). DAPI: 4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole; DG: dentate gyrus; GFAP: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HI: hypoxic-ischemic; HIS: hypoxic-ischemic + sevoflurane; YC-1: Lifeciguat, a hypoxia-inducible factor-1α inhibitor.

Figure 6  |  Effect of sevoflurane on glial scar formation in the hippocampal CA1 area of newborn rats with hypoxic-ischemic injury.

(A) Neurocan and GFAP in the hippocampal CA1 area at 28 days after injury. Glial scars in the CA1 area in HI and HIS+YC-1 groups were more obvious than those observed in the HIS group. Neurocan: red [Alexa Fluor-594]; GFAP: green (fluorescein isothiocyanate); DAPI: blue. Scale bars: 50 μm. (B, C) Immunopositivity of neurocan (B) and GFAP (C) in the hippocampal CA1 area. (D) Mander’s overlap coefficient demonstrated co-localization between neurocan and GFAP. (E) Bands of neurocan detected by western blot assay. (F) Relative expression of neurocan. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 5 in each group). ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001, vs. sham group; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01, ###P < 0.001, vs. HI group; ^^P < 0.01, ^^^P < 0.001, ^^^^^P < 0.0001, vs. HIS group (one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s post hoc test). DAPI: 4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole; GAPDH: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HI: hypoxic-ischemic; HIS: hypoxic-ischemic + sevoflurane; YC-1: Lifeciguat, a hypoxia-inducible factor-1α inhibitor.
but there were virtually no differences between HI and HIS groups (Figure 7A and B). Twenty-four hours after SPC, HIF-1α expression was decreased in the HI group compared with the HIS group (P < 0.001), but still increased compared with the sham group (P < 0.001; Figure 7C). Forty-eight hours after SPC, HIF-1α expression in the HI group returned to a very low level and was not different from the sham group; however, HIF-1α expression was still sustained at a very high level in the HIS group (P < 0.01; Figure 7D).

Next, we examined expression of HIF-1α mRNA (relative to GAPDH) in the hippocampus 12, 24, and 48 hours after SPC by real-time PCR analysis. The results revealed that HIF-1α mRNA expression peaked 24 hours after HI (P < 0.001; Figure 7E), and there were no obvious differences between HI and HIS groups at the three time points examined. Thus, the dynamic regulation of HIF-1α induced by sevoflurane probably involved post-translational regulation, which is in line with our hypothesis.

To further investigate our hypothesis that SPC reduces astroglisis after HI injury in neonatal rats by upregulating DJ-1 to inhibit HIF-1α ubiquitylation, we examined expression of VHL and DJ-1 proteins (Figure 8A). VHL protein physically interacts with DJ-1 (Parasnejad et al., 2014), as analyzed in an unbiased mass spectrometry screen and confirmed in a PD model. Our findings indicated that DJ-1 could inhibit VHL-dependent ubiquitylation and stabilize HIF-1α expression. Moreover, our results showed that VHL expression decreased when DJ-1 expression was increased in the HIS group compared with the HI group (P < 0.05; Figure 8B and C).

HIF-1α inhibitor YC-1 attenuates improvement of learning and memory induced by sevoflurane

Neonatal HIE leads to many negative long-term effects; one of the most severe dysfunctions is the impairment of learning and memory. Abundant evidence has demonstrated that SPC can protect against HI damage and improve learning and memory function (Wang et al., 2019a; Xue et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019). We injected YC-1, an inhibitor of HIF-1α, to verify whether the protective mechanism of sevoflurane is related to HIF-1α. The Morris water maze was used to evaluate learning and recognition on days 29–34 after surgery (Figure 9A). There were manifest differences in escape latency between HI and HIS groups (P < 0.0001). YC-1 treatment notably increased escape latency after sevoflurane exposure (P < 0.01; Figure 9B). In the spatial probe test, the HIS group performed better than HI (P < 0.01) and HIS + YC-1 (P < 0.05; Figure 9C). However, the YC-1 injection group did not show marked improvement compared with the HI group. Apart from this, no motor impairment was observed according to swimming speed or suspension test results (P > 0.05; Figure 9D and E). Open field test results revealed no differences in time spent in the center or total distance traveled between groups (P > 0.05; Figure 9F–H), indicating there was no locomotor activity or anxiety behavior divergence among the four groups.

Discussion

The classical Rice–Vannucci model was used in this study to evaluate the effects of SPC on HIE in P7 rats (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003; Grandvillain et al., 2017). Our results showed that: (1) SPC improved long-term learning and memory in neonatal HIE by attenuating excessive hippocampal astroglisis and glial scarring; (2) sevoflurane may induce the observed neuroprotection by stabilizing HIF-1α expression through inhibition of its ubiquitylation; and (3) inhibition of HIF-1α ubiquitylation by sevoflurane may be induced via upregulation of DJ-1, which has been demonstrated to be neuroprotective in PD (Parsanejad et al., 2014) and is regulated by SPC. Glial scars affect neuronal alignment and synapse generation in the developing brain. Herein, we found that sevoflurane upregulates the brain protective protein DJ-1, reduces the level of HIF-1α ubiquitination, improves glial scarring, and affects long-term learning and memory functions to exert brain protection.

Most live-born babies surviving HIE suffer severe neurological dysfunction, such as cerebral palsy, epilepsy, and especially long-term learning and memory impairment (Williams et al., 2010; Descloux et al., 2015). However, current treatment of HIE mainly focuses on symptomatic treatment (Yuan, 2009). Only a few treatments, such as whole-body moderate hypothermia, can provide neuroprotection and improve long-term outcomes. Application of the inhaled anesthetic sevoflurane after neonatal HI provides neuroprotection that can reverse the damage caused by HI, as verified in animal studies (Lai et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2017). The influence of anesthetics on the developmental nervous system has also been a hot topic of research for many years (Archel et al., 2017). The effects of inhaled anesthetics on the brain are concentration-dependent. Low-concentration and short-term treatments have protective effects on the injured brain, while high-concentration and long-term treatments can damage brain tissue. However, the mechanism underlying protection from brain damage has not yet to be clarified. Therefore, we explored the potential mechanisms of sevoflurane on improvement of learning and memory function.

Astrocytes are key central nervous system components of the injury response, including neonatal asphyxia (Zhao and Rempe, 2010). In the acute phase following HI damage, these glial cells become activated and begin to proliferate. Proliferation of glial cells is crucial for sealing the site of injury, remodeling the hippocampal tissue structure, and temporally and spatially controlling the local immune response; however, this response becomes harmful in the chronic phase (Pecky and Nilsson, 2005; Pekny et al., 2014). Indeed, this excessive astrocyte hypertrophy impairs hippocampal anatomical structures. More specifically, our immunofluorescence results showed that astrocytes around the CA1 and CA3 pyramidal layer, as well as in the DG region (especially the border between the granule cell layer and hilum), severely destroyed the normal tissue structure. The processes of glial scaffolds grew into the regular neuron array. Morphological results illustrated that these excessive GFAP-expressing radial glia reoriented their processes into a dense mesh, which formed a wall-like structure that obstructed synapse development and directly impaired spatial-dependent learning and memory (Wanner et al., 2008).

The Morris water maze test, a classic behavior test used to detect hippocampus-related learning and memory (Wu et al., 2018), was combined in this study with immunofluorescence staining results to provide new evidence that sevoflurane could improve learning and memory by reducing excessive astroglisis and glial scarring. Not only did the immunofluorescence intensity of neurocan and GFAP in hypertrophic astrocytes obviously decrease after SPC, but protein expression levels also decreased. Meanwhile, Nissl staining revealed a denser, more regular hippocampal architecture, hyperchromatic cytoplasm, very little neuronal loss, and marked cellular atrophy after SPC. Golgi staining also provided evidence that SPC could elevate the number of dendritic spines. Another novel finding of this study is that SPC upregulated expression of synaptic markers PSD95 and GAP43 in the hippocampus compared with the HI group. This phenomenon indicates that apart from attenuating glial scars, sevoflurane may facilitate hippocampal synapse formation, which directly improves learning and memory functions (Zhang et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2017).
SPC attenuated glial scarring and excessive astrocyte proliferation in neonatal HIE during development. Dendrite spine numbers can be elevated after SPC, thus providing evidence that sevoflurane can induce synapse formation after HI (Roberts et al., 2010). The application of YC-1, an HIF-1α inhibitor, reversed the therapeutic effect induced by SPC, indicating that the protection of synapse formation is highly related to HIF-1α.

As a crucial transcription factor, HIF-1α has various target genes that perform distinct functions, such as processes involved in cell survival, glucose transport and metabolism, and angiogenesis, after ischemia (Ostrowski and Zhang, 2020). Additionally, HIF-1α expression is tightly regulated by various mechanisms. Previous studies demonstrated that HIF-1α cannot consistently exist in brain tissue at a high, effective level because of the oxygen-dependent ubiquitylation degradation pathway (Hirayama and Koizumi, 2017). Therefore, finding a way to maintain HIF expression levels can extend its protective effects after HI.

Recently, a new treatment modality has been proposed, in which mild hypoxic postconditioning is applied after a period of HI injury to alleviate brain damage (Zhan et al., 2012). Hypoxic postconditioning has been verified to reduce glial activation, including both astrocytes and microglia. Moreover, decreases in inflammatory markers have been observed after hypoxic postconditioning (Teo et al., 2015). The potential mechanism underlying this protection was demonstrated to be closely related to the maintenance of HIF-1α expression (Zhu et al., 2014).

At present, post-conditioning of hypoxia is nearly impossible to apply in clinical practice as a conventional detrimental treatment, and has many potential hazards. Additionally, individual differences in hypoxia tolerance make it difficult to standardize the dose control of hypoxic post-conditioning treatment (Zhan et al., 2012). For neonatal HIE, inaccurate post-conditioning of hypoxia treatment is extremely likely to cause secondary injury. In contrast, sevoflurane is safer, milder, and more controllable in clinical practice, and as demonstrated in our research, can also upregulate HIF-1α expression.

Similar to its neuroprotective role in PD, DJ-1 seems to play an essential role in SPC in our research. The best-defined substrate of VHL, a widely known E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, is HIF-1α (Zhang et al., 2018). DJ-1 has been verified to interact with VHL and can effectively decrease VHL expression, which induces HIF-1α stabilization (Parsanejad et al., 2014). We hypothesized that sevoflurane protects the brain against hypoxic damage by upregulating DJ-1 and inhibiting the ubiquitylation activity of VHL. Our novel findings obtained from real-time PCR and western blot analysis support this hypothesis. First, the difference in HIF-1α between SPC and HI groups did not result from transcriptional alterations. Second, VHL expression decreased while DJ-1 expression increased in the SPC group. Third, stabilization of HIF-1α protected synapse formation and learning and memory function, and reversed astrogliosis and glial scarring. Thus, sevoflurane most likely regulates HIF-1α through inhibition of ubiquitylation by VHL via a DJ-1 interaction.

A previous study showed that HIF-1α plays essential roles in regulation of neural stem progenitor cells and promoting responses to hypoxia (Cunningham et al., 2012). HIF-1α is an intrinsic regulator of neural stem progenitor cell multipotency and developmental outcomes upon differentiation. Further investigation showed that HIF-1α promoted the differentiation of neural stem cells into neurons and inhibited their differentiation into glial cells through regulation of Notch and Wnt signaling pathways in the subventricular zone (Lie et al., 2005; Morris et al., 2007; Kuwabara et al., 2009; Mazumdar et al., 2010). In neonatal rat hippocampus, HIF-1α may also provide neuroprotection by modulating neural progenitor cell differentiation outcomes to attenuate excessive astrogliosis. However, the specific mechanism has yet to be clarified and requires further research.

The current study had several limitations. First, dynamic changes in astrocyte activation were not observed or recorded. Second, the definitive mechanism by which HIF-1α reduces astrogliosis was not investigated. Third, although astrocyte and microglia activation have been observed to occur simultaneously, our study focused on astrocytes instead of microglia activation or the subsequent inflammatory reaction, which would be extremely valuable to investigate. Second, the behavior test performance of female rats was slightly better than that of male rats, and the increase of glial cells of female rats was also slightly less than that of male rats. However, behavior test results of the two sexes showed no statistical differences after sevoflurane post-conditioning. The specific mechanism underlying sex-specific effects on development after HI will be investigated in our future research. In addition, genetic methods such as knockout of the gene encoding DJ-1 would be beneficial in demonstrating whether the neuroprotective effects of SPC treatment result from inhibition of HIF-1α ubiquitylation.

Regardless, our findings indicate that sevoflurane alleviates astrogliosis and glial scar formation in the rat hippocampus, and reduces learning and memory impairment after HI injury by stabilizing HIF-1α expression. This mechanism may be related to sevoflurane-induced upregulation of the neuroprotective protein DJ-1, thus reducing VHL, the ubiquitin ligase of HIF-1α. These findings provide new targets for the treatment of neonatal ischemic hypoxic encephalopathy.
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Figure 7 | Effect of sevoflurane on HIF-1α protein and mRNA expression in the hippocampus of newborn rats with hypoxic-ischemic injury.
(A) Bands of HIF-1α detected by western blot assay. (B-D) Relative expression of HIF-1α at 12 (B), 24 (C), and 48 hours (D) after surgery. (E) HIF-1α mRNA levels were measured by real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 6 in each group). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001, vs. sham group; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01, ###P < 0.001, vs. HI group; ^P < 0.0001, vs. HIS group (one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s post hoc test). GAPDH: Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HIF-1α: hypoxia-inducible factor-1α; HIS: hypoxic-ischemic + sevoflurane; HI: hypoxic-ischemic; YC-1: Lifeciguat, a hypoxia-inducible factor-1α inhibitor.

Figure 8 | Effect of sevoflurane on DJ-1 and VHL expression in the hippocampus of newborn rats with hypoxic-ischemic injury after SPC for 12, 24, and 48 hours.
(A) Bands of DJ-1 and VHL detected by western blot assay. (B, C) Relative expression of VHL (B) and DJ-1 (C). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 6 in each group). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, vs. sham group; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01, ###P < 0.001, vs. HI group (one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s post hoc test). DJ-1: A neuroprotective protein; GAPDH: Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HIF-1α: hypoxia-inducible factor-1α; HIS: hypoxic-ischemic + sevoflurane; HI: hypoxic-ischemic; VHL: von Hippel-Lindau, E3 ubiquitin ligase complex of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α; YC-1: Lifeciguat, a hypoxia-inducible factor-1α inhibitor.

Figure 9 | Effect of sevoflurane on the behavioral function of newborn rats with hypoxic-ischemic injury.
(A) Representative motion track (red lines) of Morris water maze. Green circles indicate the target platform. 1–4: Quadrant 1–4. (B) Escape latency in Morris water maze test. (C) Platform crossing time in Morris water maze test. (D) Swimming speed in Morris water maze test. (E) Suspension latency in suspension test. (F) Representative motion track of the open field test. (G) Total distance traveled in the open field test. (H) Time spent in the central area of the open field test. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 10). **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001, vs. sham group; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01, ###P < 0.001, vs. HI group; *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001, vs. HIS group (two-way analysis of variance). HI: Hypoxic-ischemic; YC-1: Lifeciguat, a hypoxia-inducible factor-1α inhibitor.
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