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ABSTRACT
The aim of this study was to inventory plant biodiversity and to evaluate the carbon sequestration potential of the Misomuni forest massif. An inventory of all trees with diameter at breast height (dbh) ≥ 10 cm measured at 1.30 m height was performed. The aerial biomass (AGB) was used for estimating the stored CO₂ and its carbon equivalent. 88 plant species belonging to 71 genera and 32 families were inventoried. Fabaceae family displayed the highest number of species and genera. The highest basal area values were displayed by Scorodophloeus zenkeri (7.34 ± 2.45 m²/ha), Brachystegia laurentii (5.82 ± 1.94 m²/ha), Entandrophragma utile (5.28 ± 1.94 m²/ha), Pentadesma butyracea (4.53 ± 1.51 m²/ha). The highest values of stored carbon and their carbon equivalent were observed in Pentadesma butyracea (15.13 ± 5.00 and 50.55 ± 16.85 t/ha), Picralima nitida (7.02 ± 2.34 and 23.66 ± 7.88 t/ha), Strombosia tetandra (6.56 ± 2.18 and 22.10 ± 7.36 t/ha). The Misomuni forest massif is thus much floristically diversified and plays a significant role in the sequestration of CO₂. The total AGB of the inventoried trees is 183.78 ± 61.26 t/ha corresponding to stored carbon and carbon equivalent of 96.63 ± 32.21 t/ha and 289.92 ± 96.64 t/ha respectively. The protection of this ecosystem is highly needed for combating climatic changes at local, national and regional scales and for the conservation biodiversity habitat.

1. Introduction
The Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) is a reservoir (hotspot) of biodiversity in the world [11]. Preserving the DRC’s plant biodiversity and forest ecosystems is an imperative that can help mitigate climate change at local and regional scales reducing thus emissions from deforestation and other land-use changes, and enhancing carbon sinks.
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providing service such as microclimate regulation and supporting service like photosynthesis, soils formation and nutrient cycling [2]. The geographical coordinates of these patches are practically the same, although the Mbala Ding portion is located ± 3 km from two other patches and separated from them by an anthropogenic savanna. These coordinates are: 05°08’ south latitude, 18°58’ East longitude, and 140 to 458 m of elevation [3]. It will be necessary to evaluate the quantity of carbon stored by this phytocenosis and that released following the destruction of the trees which compose this forest massif from the manufacture of charcoal. This would allow in the near future evaluating the impact of the degradation of this plant formation on the climate change currently observed in this region of the country. Indeed, in recent years, there has been an increased demand for traditional bioenergy from the populations of the region concerned, because there is no or little electricity in many areas of our country [4]. To confirm this situation, it is necessary to travel along the National Highway 1 from Kinshasa to km 622 after the town of Kikwit to realize this. Many thousands of bags of embers are spread out along this main road beyond view. These products are the result of the destruction or indiscriminate deforestation of both forest and savannah ecosystems (shrubby savannahs, woodlands, etc.). The combustion of this traditional bioenergy releases tons and tons of CO₂, a greenhouse gas, into the atmosphere [5].

2. Material and Methods

The Misomuni forest massif, currently divided into several forest islands including Kisalangundu, Mbala Ding and Mambala, is located at least 30 km south of the town of Kikwit on the Batsamba and/or Makulu road (Figure 1).

It is located geographically between 5°08’ south latitude and 18°58’ west longitude and an altitude varying between 140 and 458 m. Floristic inventory and dendrometric measurements were conducted within these islands (one-hectare plot by island/site i.e. 3 ha in total). The inventory was based on rectangular plots measuring 100 m in length and 20 m in width (i.e. an area of 0.2 ha), joined together, and laid out along parallel inventory paths. For this purpose, a network of five plots was set up along the paths. Only trees with dbh measured at 1.30 m from the ground and ≥ 10 cm were considered. All specimens of inventoried trees and shrubs were identified according to APG versions II, III, and IV. The ecological spectra (biological type, diaspora type and foliar type)
were determined using the Raunkiaer classification as previously reported [6-10]. The Raunkiaer system was used to determine the types of leaf size [11-15]. The morphological classification of Dansereau & Lems and the ecomorphological classification of Molinier & Müller were used to determine the types of dissemination [16-18]. The phytogeographical distribution types defined in this study were established according to Lebrun as reported by several authors for tropical Africa region [17,19-22]. The determination of ecosociological groups was carried out according to the research of Lubini [23]. The calculations of (AGB), stored carbon (CSe), carbon equivalent (EqC) and basal area (BA or G) were carried out according to the following equations as previously reported [24-28]:

\[
AGB = \exp(-0.37 + 0.333 \times \ln(DBH) + 0.933 \times \ln(DBH)^2 - 0.122 \times \ln(DBH))
\]

\[
CSe = 0.47 \times AGB
\]

\[
EqC = 3.667 \times CSe
\]

\[
G = \frac{\pi}{45} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2
\]

Where DBH is the diameter at breast height; S is the surface of the plot; di is the diameter of the tree i, n is the total number of trees with (dbh) ≥ 10 cm measured at 1.30 m height; Ht is the total height; d is the specific density of the wood.

The Microsoft Excel 2007 and IBM SPSS statistics version 14.0 software packages were used for data analysis while the allometric the equations were used to evaluate the correlation between some parameters (AGB and density, AGB and dbh, AGB and G, etc.).

3. Results

88 different plant species have been listed and identified overall. These species are divided into 71 genera, and 32 families (Table 1). Fabaceae is the group with the highest number of species and genera. Indeed, this group contains 24 species in total, or 27.27% and 15 genera, or 21.43%. It is very distantly followed by Sapotaceae; Clusiaceae and Myristicaceae with respectively 6; 4 and 4 species each, which is to say 6.82; 4.54 and 4.54%. Eight families have 3 species each, i.e. 24 species in all. These are Annonaceae; Apocynaceae; Chrysobalanaceae; Malvaceae; Meliaceae; Moraceae; Strombosiaceae and Ulmaceae. As for the twelve remaining families, they are monospecific. The genera Celtis, Chrysophyllum and Distemonanthus each have three different plant species. They are followed by twelve others with 2 species each.

In terms of number of individuals, Scorodophtropha zenkeri Harms, Staudia kamerunensis Warb. and Anonidium mannii (Oliv.) Engl & Diels have a high number of plants, respectively 152 ± 50.67 trees/ha; 119 ± 39.67 trees/ha and 108 ± 36.00 trees/ha. Six species are weakly represented. These include Entandrophragma angolense (Welw.) C.DC. (1 tree/ha); Celtis tenuifolia Nutt. (1 tree/ha). The numerical number of trees varying between 10 and 28 was observed in 11 species, including Petersianthus macrocarpus (P.Beauv.) Liben (85 ± 28.33 trees/ha), Maranthes chrysophylla (Oliv.) Prance ex F.White (65 ± 21.66 trees/ha), Pterocarpus mildbraedii Harm (59 ± 19.66 trees/ha), Duboscia viridiflora (K.Schum.) Mildbr. (34 ± 11.33 trees/ha), etc.

Table 1. List of identified plant species and their ecological characteristics

| Botanical name                        | Family               | Phyto distribution | Biological type | Diaspora type | Foliar type |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| Albizia adiantifolia (Schumach) W.Wight | Fabaceae             | GC                 | MgPh            | Bal           | Mi         |
| Albizia gummifera Var. (Schum W.F.Wight.) | Fabaceae             | GC                 | MsPh            | Bal           | Me         |
| Amphimas ptercarpoide Harmas          | Fabaceae             | GC                 | MsPh            | Bal           | Me         |
| Anglylocallyx marginervatus(Baker) Baker.F | Fabaceae             | GC                 | MsPh            | Bal           | Me         |
| Anisophylica polyneura Engl.          | Anisophyliceae       | CG                 | MsPh            | Sar           | Me         |
| Anodium manii (Oliv.)Engl et Diels    | Annonaceae           | GC                 | MsPh            | Sar           | Ma         |
| Anthoceleta shwefinurthii Gilg.      | Gentianaceae         | GC                 | McPh            | Sar           | Ma         |
| Anthrocaryon micraster De Wild.       | Anacardiaceae        | GC                 | MsPh            | Sar           | Me         |
| Antrocaryon klaineanum Pierre         | Anacardiaceae        | GC                 | MsPh            | Sar           | Me         |
| Aubrecavillea kerstingii Brenan       | Fabaceae             | CG                 | MsPh            | Pte           | Me         |
| Brachystegia laurentii Louis ex.Hoyle | Fabaceae             | CG                 | MgPh            | Bal           | Me         |
| Brachystegia sp (De Wild.) Louis ex.Hoyle | Fabaceae             | CG                 | MgPh            | Bal           | Me         |
| Botanical name                          | Family       | Phyto distribution | Biological type | Diaspora type | Foliar type |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|
| Brenania brieyi Petit                  | Rubiaceae    | CG                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Canarium schweinfurthii Engl.          | Burseraceae  | CG                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Canthium arnoldianum (De Wild.et Th.Dur) Hepper | Rubiaceae | CG                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Celtis sp                             | Ulmaceae     | GC                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Celtis tenuifolia Nutt.                | Ulmaceae     | GC                | MsPh            | Sar           | Mi          |
| Celtis zenkeri Engl.                   | Ulmaceae     | GC                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Chrysophyllum africanum Pierre.        | Sapotaceae   | CG                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Chrysophyllum lactiflorum De Wild.     | Sapotaceae   | CG                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Coelocaryon preussii Warb.             | Myristicaceae| CG                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Cola lateritia K.Schum.                | Malvaceae    | CG                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Detarium macrocarpus Guill& perr.     | Fabaceae     | CG                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Diospyros crassiflora Hiern.           | Ebenaceae    | BGC               | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Distemonanthes benthamianes Baill.     | Fabaceae     | CG                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Distemonanthes macrophyllla Baill.     | Fabaceae     | GC                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Duboscia viridiflora Boca.             | Malvaceae    | CG                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Enanthia clorantha Oliv.               | Anonaceae    | GC                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Entandrophragma angolense (Deilt.) A Chev | Meliaceae   | GC                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Entandrophragma utile (Dawe et Sprague) | Meliaceae   | GC                | MgPh            | Pte           | Me          |
| Eriocoea macrocarpum                   | Sapindaceae  | GC                | MsPh            | Pte           | Me          |
| Erismadelphus exsul Mildbr.            | Vochysiaceae | GC                | MsPh            | Pte           | Me          |
| Erythroxylum mannii Oliv.              | Erythroxylaceae | CG              | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Ficus mucuso Welw. Ex.Ficalho          | Moraceae     | At                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Furtumia elastica (Preuss) Staf        | Apocynaceae  | GC                | MsPh            | Scl           | Me          |
| Gambeya beguei Aubrev. & peller        | Sapotaceae   | CG                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Gilbertiodendron dewevrei L.           | Fabaceae     | GC                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Guarea thompsonii (A. Chev) Pellegr.   | Meliaceae    | GC                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Homalium sp                            | Salicaceae   | GC                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Irvingia robusta Mildbr.               | Irvingiaceae | CG                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Lovoa trichiliodes Harms               | Meliaceae    | GC                | MsPh            | Scl           | Me          |
| Manilkara sp                           | Sapotaceae   | CG                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Maranthes chrysophylla (Oliv) Prance   | Chrysobalanaceae | CG            | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Maranthes glabra (Oliv) Prance         | Chrysobalanaceae | CG            | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Mildbraediodendrom excelsum Harms      | Fabaceae     | GC                | MsPh            | Bal           | Me          |
| Milicia excelsa (Welw.) Berg.           | Moraceae     | GC                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Musanga cecropoides Roxb. Br.          | Urticaceae   | GC                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Nesogordonia papaverifera (A. Chev.) Copar | Malvaceae   | GC                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Omphalocarpum elatu Miers              | Sapotaceae   | GC                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Oncoba welwitschii                      | Salicaceae   | GC                | MsPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Ongokea gore Pierre                     | Olacaceae    | GC                | MgPh            | Sar           | Me          |
| Pachyelasma mannii Sabine               | Fabaceae     | GC                | MgPh            | Bal           | Me          |
The highest basal area (BA) and above-ground biomass (AGB) values were obtained for the following plant species (Figure 2): *Scorodophloeus zenkeri* Harms (7.34 ± 2.45 m$^2$/ha), *Brachystegia laurentii* (De Wild.) Hoyle (5.82 ± 1.94 m$^2$/ha), *Entandrophragma butyrasea* Sabine (4.53 ± 1.51 m$^2$/ha) (for BA) and *Pentadesma butyracea* Sabine (31.44 ± 10.48 t/ha), *Picralima nitida* (Stapf) T.Durand & H.Durand (14.95 ± 4.98 t/ha), *Antrocaryon micraster* A.Chev. & Guillaumin (9.56 ± 3.19 t/ha), *Synsepalum msolo* (Engl.) T.D.Penn. (6.96 ± 2.32 t/ha), *Poga oleosa* Pierre (6.36 ± 2, 12 t/ha), *Entandrophragma utile* (Dawe & Sprague) Sprague (5.02 ± 1.67 t/ha), *Breynia brieyi* (De Wild.) E.M.A.Petit (4.71 ± 1.57 t/ha), *Aphanocalyx margininervatus* J.Leonard (4.61 ± 1.54 t/ha), etc. for AGB. The highest values of stored carbon and their carbon equivalent were observed in the following species: *Pentadesma butyracea* Sabine (15.13 ± 5.00 and 50.55 ± 16.85 t/ha), *Picralima nitida* (Stapf) T.Durand & H.Durand (7.02 ± 2.34 and 23.66 ± 2.45 t/ha).
7.88 t/ha), *Strombosiopsis tetandra* Engl. (6.56 ± 2.18 and 22.10 ± 7.36 t/ha), etc. The lowest values of these parameters were obtained in *Piptadeniastrum africanum* (Hook.f.) Brenan with 0.05 ± 0.01 and 0.18 ± 0.06 t/ha of sequestered carbon and its carbon equivalent (Figure 2). The average dbh of the species, measured at 1.30 m above the ground, is 28.82 m, and the highest dbh value was observed in *Poga oleosa* Pierre with 85.43 m. The lowest dbh value characterizes the species *Manilkara sp* with 14.17 m. The diametric structure of the sampled trees by class is shown in Figure 3.

It illustrates the density structure (number of stems/ha) according to the dbh classes. The range of dbh classes considered is 15.99. In total 4 classes of dbh were determined. These include: class 1 (10 - 25.99 cm), class 2 (26 - 41.99 cm), class 3 (42 - 57.99 cm) and ≥58 cm. Class 2 has the highest number of stems per hectare, 41 trees, followed by class 1 with 37 stems/ha. Class 4 is sparsely represented at 2 stems/ha.

According to ecological spectra and phytogeographic distribution, Mesophanerophytes represented 69.32% (Figure 4); Mesophylls (88.64%: Figure 5) and Sarcochorhes (68.18%: Figure 6) while Guinean-Congolese species were the most represented (60.23%: Figure 7). Table 2 and Figure 8 established the correlation between AGB and BA. From their analysis, it appears that the two compared parameters are positively correlated ($R^2 > 0.75$; p value < 0.05).

![Figure 2. Measurement of BA, Cse and EqC](https://example.com/figure2.png)

*Plant species*

![Figure 3. Diameter structure of trees listed by class](https://example.com/figure3.png)

(Legend: BA = Basal area; Cse = Sequestered carbon; EqC = Carbon equivalent)
**Figure 4.** Biological types  
(Legend: MsPh: Mesophanerophyte; MgPh: Megaphanerophyte; McPh: Microphanerophyte)

**Figure 5.** Types of leaf size  
(Legend: Mes: Mesophyll; Mac: Macrophyll; Lep: Leptophyll; Mic: Microphyll)

**Figure 6.** Types of diaspora  
(Legend: Sar: Sarcochores; Bal: Ballochores; Pte: Pterochores; Scl: Sclerochores)

**Figure 7.** Phytogeographic distribution types  
(Legend: GC: Guinean-Congolese species; CG: Central Guinean species; At: Afro-tropical species; FC: Central forester; BCG: Lower Guinean-Congolese species species)

**Table 2.** Relationship between AGB and basal area

| Equation   | Summary of models | Estimates of the parameters |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|            | R Square | F   | ddl1 | ddl2 | p-value | Constant | b1    | b2    | b3    |
| Linear     | 0.981     | 474,205 | 1    | 33   | 0,000     | 31,383   | 7,399  |
| Logarithmic| 0.829     | 39,211  | 1    | 37   | 0,001     | 430,276  | 191,018|
| Quadratic  | 0.858     | 424,527 | 2    | 26   | 0,002     | 20,920   | 3,600  | 0,046 |
| Cubic      | 0.798     | 435,374 | 2    | 46   | 0,002     | 20,910   | 2,410  | 0,057 | 0,001 |
4. Discussion

The results obtained in this study in terms of density are similar to those obtained by Kidikwadi et al. [28] in the Luki Biosphere Reserve (194 individuals/ha). The Misomuni forest has a large number of plant species density compared to that obtained by Ngo [29] in the INERA/Kiyaka reserve (density: 5 trees/ha) and those obtained by Kibe [30] in the Ngoso forest. The difference in the results of different studies could be justified by the fact that the present work considered trees with a dbh measured at 1.30 cm from the ground ≥ 10 cm which is also a syntaxons made up of small trees and undergrowth shrubs or by habitat fragmentation. The density of the studied stand is high; it is about 1651 ± 550 trees/ha. This value of 550 trees/ha is in accordance with those reported by Lejoly [31] in the Ngotto forest and by Masens [21,22] in the forest ecosystems of Kamaba (Kipuka) and Nzundu (Imbongo) in the same region; the forest massif studied is specifically poor and fairly homogeneous. Similar observations had already been made by Kidikwadi et al. [28] and Lubini et al. [32] who studied respectively the Prioria balsamifera and Hylodendron stand in the Luki reserve and the semi-evergreen rainforest with Celtis milbraedii and Gambeya lacourtiana in the Kikwit region (Zaire/DRC), and by Pierlot [33] in the Scorodophloeus zenkeri forest (Yangambi, inventory n° 25). In Zaire, Malalais [34] inventoried 1463 plants/ha in the dense dry forest; Devineau [35], in Côte d’Ivoire, listed 2884 plants/ha in the Celtis sp. forest (Lamto). The results obtained by these authors are highly superior to those observed in the Misomuni forest. This situation can be attributed to the very young age of this phytocenosis.

Among the most abundant trees, Scorodophloeus zenkeri has 50.67 individuals/ha, Staudtia kamerunensis, 39.67 individuals/ha; Anonidium mannii 36.00 individuals/ha, Peterianthus macrocarpus, 28.33 individuals/ha; Maranthes chrysophylla, 21.67 individuals/ha; Brachystegia laurentii, 21.33 individuals/ha; Prioria balsamifera, 20 individuals/ha; Pterocarpus mildbraedii, 19.67 individuals/ha and Tissmannia africana, 18.33 individuals/ha, etc. These results are compatible with those already observed in the same region by Kakiki [30], Masens [22], but superior to those obtained in Kiyaka Forest Reserve by Lula [37], Mungubushi [38]. It should also be noted that Gentry [39] obtained densities ranging from 167 to 1947 trees/ha for species with dbh ≥ 10 cm in neotropical forest ecosystems. Thus, our results are well within the ranges determined by Rollet [40] for Africa and America and those established by Gentry [39] for neotropical forests.

A value of 183.78 ± 61.26 t/ha of AGB was obtained in this forest massif; this value of AGB obtained is largely inferior in comparison with those observed by Sokpon [41] in the various forest stands of Benin. According to this author, the biomass values obtained in these stands vary from 378.8 to 391 t/ha. Synthesizing woody biomass values for moist and semi-deciduous forests, Bernhardt Versat et al. [42], report that woody biomass values range from 233 t/ha for secondary forests in Ghana to 475 t/ha for primary forests in Malaya. Edouard and Grubb [43]
studying dense rainforests in New Guinea obtained biomass values between 330 and 430 t/ha. The low values of AGB, as well as those of basal area (G), obtained in this phytocenosis are attributed to the state of degradation of this forest massif and the scarcity of large trees. Indeed, we numbered 7 emergent with dbh measured at 1.30 m at breast height and ≥ 40 cm against 81 trees and shrubs with dbh located between 10 and 39.9 cm. This would prove the immature state of this ecosystem and hence the low values obtained for the relevant parameters. The structure of the studied stand correlates with this assertion (i.e., the distribution of the listed and identified trees in dbh classes).

As shown in the figure for an uneven-aged stand, characterized by trees of all ages and sizes, the distribution of wood numbers by size categories takes the form of a curve with a decreasing trend \[42\]. Referring to the G, Malaisse \[33\] demonstrated that the G is a good tool for the classification of earth forma plant formations. He suggested that there is 30-40 m²/ha of G in the *Entandrophragma delevoi* dry forest in Zaire (DRC). In the Yafo forest, Bernhard-Reversat et al. \[42\], estimated 1 m³/ha of BA and 30 m²/ha for the Khade forest (Ghana). When considering the entire area prospected, i.e. 3 ha, the BA value obtained in this study is in the same order of magnitude as those of the authors mentioned above. It is however very low when it is reduced to one hectare. Indeed, the value of BA obtained is 55.18 ± 18.39 m²/ha. This is probably due to the rarity of large emergent in this plant community. Indeed, the plant species presenting elevated values of basal area (BA) are also those that produce important quantities of aerial biomass (AGB). Thus, more BA increase, more AGB are important (expressed as CO₂ sequestration), it shows that the production of the aerial biomass is linked to the density of the individuals. The protection and the conservation of such a forest massif permits to fight so much against the climatic changes on a local scale (regulation of microclimate) as well as at the regional level.

5. Conclusions and Suggestions

The Misomuni forest massif is much floristically diversified (88 plant species belonging to 71 genera and 32 families) and plays a significant role in the sequestration of CO₂. The total AGB of the inventoried trees is 183.78 ± 61.26 t/ha corresponding to stored carbon and carbon equivalent of 96.63 ± 32.21 t/ha and 289.92 ± 96.64 t/ha respectively. The protection of this ecosystem is highly needed for combating climatic changes at local scale and for the conservation biodiversity habitat.

It is therefore a necessity for the Congolese government to establish a partnership with universities and research institutes across the country in order to finance the related themes, and to extend such research within the forest ecosystems, or at least what is left of it in this country, as recommended by the REDD+ program. This would help our country to assert its rights to the payment of the environmental services related to the stock of carbon credit in these types of ecosystems.

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Alfre Clément Inkoto, Santos Kayumbu, Blaise Mbembo-Wa-Mbembo, Laurent Gbanzo and Peter Mpilembo for their collaboration and assistance.

References

[1] Ngbolua, K.N., Ngemale, G.M., Masengo, A.C., Motende, B.N., Ndolete, G.J-P., Djolu, D.R., Libwa, M.B. and Bongo, N.G. (2019), Evaluation of Artisanal Logging Sector in Democratic Republic of the Congo: A Case Study of Peri-urban Forest of Ghadolite City, Nord-Ubangi. Int. J. Plant Sci. Ecol., 5(2), 25-30.

[2] Sinsi, B. and Kampmann, D. (Eds) (2010), Atlas de la biodiversité de l’Afrique de l’Ouest. Tome I: Benin. Cotonou & Frankfurt/Main.

[3] Masens, D.-M.Y.B., Ngbolua, K.N., Briki, K.C. and Muhammad, R. (2021), Survey on the Production of Traditional Bioenergy in the Democratic Republic of the Congo: The Case Study of Kwilu Province. BloEx Journal 3(2), 84-90.

[4] Ngbolua, K.N., Ndanga, A.A., Gbataea, A., Djolu, R., Ndaba, M., Masengo, C., Likolo, J., Falanga, C., Yangba, S., Gbolo, B. and Mpiana P. (2018), Environmental Impact of Wood-Energy Consumption by Households in Democratic Republic of the Congo: A Case Study of Ghadolite City, Nord-Ubangi. International Journal of Energy and Sustainable Development 3(4), 64-71.

[5] Ngbolua, K.N., Falanga, M.C., Djolu, D.R., Masengo, A.C., Nzamonga, G.A., Bongo, N.G., Gbolo, B.Z., Mudogo, V. and Mpiana P.T. (2019), Socio-economic and Environmental Impacts of Clay Brick Manufacturing in Ghabo-Lite City (Nord Ubangi Province, DR Congo). Journal of Environment Protection and Sustainable Development 5(3), 126-131.

[6] Raunkier, C. (1934), The life forms of plants and statistical plant geography. Oxford Clarendon Press, p632.

[7] Schmitz, A. (1988), Revision of the described plant groups of Zaire, Rwanda and Burundi, 315p. Publ.
CIDAI, Mus. Roy. Afr. Centr. Tervuren.

[8] Trochain, J.L. (1980), Plant ecology of the intertropical non-desert zone. Univ. Paul Sabatier Toulouse, France, p468.

[9] Lubini, A.C. (1997), The vegetation of the Luki Biosphere Reserve in Mayombe (Zaire). Jard. Bot. Nat. Belg. Opera Botanica. Meise, p155.

[10] Habari, M. (2009), Floristic, phytogeographic and phytosociological study of the vegetation of Kinshasa in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. PhD Thesis, University of Kinshasa.

[11] Raunkiæra, C. (1934), The life forms of plants and statistical plant geography. Oxford: Clarendon Press, p632.

[12] Mullenders, W. (1954), The vegetation of Kaniama (between Lubishi-Lubilash). Publ. INEAC. Sér. Sc. p61.

[13] Malaisse, F. (1984), Contribution to the study of the dense dry forest ecosystem (Muhalu). 4. Structure of a Zembezi dry forest near Lubumbashi (Zaire). Bull. Soc. Roy. Bot. 117, 428-458.

[14] Masens, D.-M.Y.B. (1997), Phytosociological study of the Kikwit region (Bandundu, Zaire). PhD Thesis, U.L.B., Bruxelles, p382.

[15] Mandango, M.A. (1982), Flora and vegetation of the islands of the Zaire River in the Tshopo Sub-Region (Haut-Zaïre). PhD Thesis, Faculty of Science : University of Kisangani. Tomes 1 & 2, p425.

[16] Dansereau, P. and Lems, K. (1957), The grading of dispesal types in plant communities and their significance. Contrib. Inst. Bot. Montréal (71), 52.

[17] Evrard, C. (1968), Ecological research on the forest stand of hydromorphic soils in the central Congolese basin. Publ. INEAC., sér. Sc. p110.

[18] Mollinier, R. and Müller, P. (1938), The spread of plant species. Rev. Gén. Bot., L., p53-670, passim.

[19] Lebrun, J. (1947), Vegetation of the floodplain south of Lake Edouard. Exp. Parc Nat., Bruxelles, p153.

[20] Lubini, A.C. (2001), Phytogeographic analysis of the forest flora of the Kasai sector in Congo Kinshasa. In: Proceedings of the XVIth plenary meeting of the l’AETFAT, vol. 72 n°2, Bull. Jard. Bot. Nat. Belg., Bruxelles, p859-872.

[21] Masens, D.-M.Y.B. (2015), Contribution to the phytogeological study of the Kamaba forest (Kipuka, district du Kwilu, province de Bandundu, R. D. Congo) Congo Sci. (online ACASTI & CEDESURK Journal); 3: 31-32.

[22] Masens, D.-M.Y.B., Ngbolua, K.N., Tembeni, M.T. and Bongo, N.G. (2017), Phytosociological study of Nzundu massif forest of Imbongo city, Kwilu prov-

ince, Democratic Republic of the Congo. Tropical Plant Research 4 (3), 363-375.

[23] Lubini, Q.C. (1982), Messicultural and post-cultivation vegetation in the Kisangani and Tshopo sub-regions (Haut Zaïre). PhD Thesis, Faculty of Science: University of Kisangani, p489.

[24] Chave, J., Andalo, C., Brown, S., Cairns, M.A., Chambers, J.A., Eamus, D., Foilster, H., Froomard, F., Higuchi, N., Kira, T., Lescure, J.-P., Nelson, B.W., Ogawa, H., Puig, H., Riera, B. and Yamakura, T. (2005), Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests. Oecologia 145, 87-99.

[25] Ibrahima, A. and Fanta, A.C. (2008), Estimation of carbon stock in tree and shrub facies in the Sudano-Guinean savannahs of Ngaoundéré, Cameroun. Cameroun Journal of Experim. Biology (4)3, 1-11.

[26] Toung, D. (2010), Estimation of the quantity of carbon stored by a forest under reconstitution. Case of a young fallow in the classified forest of Mondah. MSc Thesis. National School of Water and Water of Cap-Estérias, Gabon.

[27] Lubini, A.C., Belesi, K., Kidikwadi, T. and Kisompa, R. (2014), Preliminary note on the measurement of above-ground biomass and carbon stock in a forest patch in Kinshasa. Congo Sciences 2(2), 114-1119.

[28] Kidikwadi, E.T., Lubini, A.C., Luyindula, N. and Belesi, H. (2015), Preliminary note on the ecology and biomass measurement of Prioria balsamifera in the INERA forest stations of Luki and Kiyaka in DR Congo. International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies 11(4), 914-927.

[29] Ngo, O. (2015), Contribution to the ecological study of the above ground biomass and carbon sequestration in the INERA/Kiyaka forest. BSc Thesis, ISP Kikwit: Democratic Republic of the Congo.

[30] Kibe, R. (2017). Contribution to the ecological and above ground biomass and carbon sequestration study in Ngoso village forest. BSc Thesis, ISP Kikwit: Democratic Republic of the Congo.

[31] Lejoly, J. (1996). Regional synthesis on the plant biodiversity of woody plants in the 6 sites of the ECOFAC Project in Central Africa, Groupement AGRECO-CTFT. Bruxelles, p81.

[32] Lubini, K.K. (1996). The semi-evergreen rainforest with Celtis mildbraedii and Gambeya lacourtiana in the Kikwit (Zaïre). Bull. Jard. Bot. Nat. Belg. 61, 305-334.

[33] Piérou, E.C. (1996). Species diversity and pattern diversity in study of ecological succession. J. Theor. Biol. 10, 370-383.
[34] Malaisse, F. (1984), Contribution to the study of the dry dense forest ecosystem (Muhulu). 4. Structure of a dense dry Zambezian Forest near Lubumbashi (Zaire). Bulletin of the Royal Botanical Society of Belgium 117, 428-458.

[35] Devineau, J.L. (1984). Structure and dynamics of some West African tropical forests (Ivory Coast), Stat. Ecol. Trop. Lamto, University of Abidjan, p294.

[36] Kakiki, K. (2015), Contribution to the ecological and aerial study and carbon sequestration in the INERA/Kiyaka forest. BSc Thesis, ISP Kikwit: Democratic Republic of the Congo.

[37] Lula, M. (2015), Contribution to the ecological and above ground biomass study and carbon sequestration in the INERA/Kiyaka forest. BSc Thesis, ISP Kikwit: Democratic Republic of the Congo.

[38] Mungubushi, N. (2015), Contribution to the ecological study of above ground biomass and carbon sequestration in INERA/Kiyaka forest. BSc Thesis, PSI/Kikwit.

[39] Gentry, A.H. (1982), Patterns of neotropic plant species diversity. In: Hecht M.K., Wallace B., Prance G.T. (eds) Evolutionary Biology. Springer, Boston, MA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-6968-8_1.

[40] Rollet, B. (1974), The architecture of dense lowland evergreen forests. C.T.F.T., Paris, p208.

[41] Sokpon, N. (1995), Ecological research on the dense semi-deciduous forest of Pobe in south-east Benin: Plant groups, structure, natural regeneration and litterfall. PhD Thesis, U.L.B., Bruxelles, p365.

[42] Bernhard-Reversat, C.H. and Lemée G. (1978), The evergreen forest of Lower Cote d’Ivoire. Problem of ecology, Terrestrial ecosystems. Masson. Paris, pp. 313-345.

[43] Edouard, P.J. and Grubb, P.J. (1977), Studies of mineral cycling in a montane rain forest in New Guinea I. The distribution of organic matter in the vegetation and soil. Journal of Ecology 65, 943-969.