1. Introduction

The putative tori surrounding the accretion disks of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) play a fundamental role in the unification scheme of AGNs. Infrared long-baseline interferometry allows us to study the inner dust distribution in AGNs with unprecedented spatial resolution over a wide infrared wavelength range.

2. Observations and data reduction

We observed NGC 3783 with the VLTI/AMBER instrument in the K-band and compared our observations with models.

Results. From the K-band observations, we derive a ring-fit torus radius of 0.74 ± 0.23 mas or 0.16 ± 0.05 pc. We compare this size with infrared interferometric observations of other AGNs and UV/optical-infrared reverberation measurements. For the interpretation of our observations, we simultaneously model our near- and mid-infrared visibilities and the SED with a temperature/density-gradient model including an additional inner hot 1400 K ring component.
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ABSTRACT

Context. The putative tori surrounding the accretion disks of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) play a fundamental role in the unification scheme of AGNs. Infrared long-baseline interferometry allows us to study the inner dust distribution in AGNs with unprecedented spatial resolution over a wide infrared wavelength range.

Aims. Near- and mid-infrared interferometry is used to investigate the milli-arcsecond-scale dust distribution in the type 1.5 Seyfert nucleus of NGC 3783.

Methods. We observed NGC 3783 with the VLTI/AMBER instrument in the K-band and compared our observations with models.

Results. From the K-band observations, we derive a ring-fit torus radius of 0.74 ± 0.23 mas or 0.16 ± 0.05 pc. We compare this size with infrared interferometric observations of other AGNs and UV/optical-infrared reverberation measurements. For the interpretation of our observations, we simultaneously model our near- and mid-infrared visibilities and the SED with a temperature/density-gradient model including an additional inner hot 1400 K ring component.
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Table 1. Observation log of our AMBER LR observations of NGC 3783 and its calibrator CD-37 7391. The data in the first 11 lines were observed in the two-telescope mode and the data in the last 4 lines in the three-telescope mode. The table lists the names, times of observations, projected baseline lengths, position angles PA, detector integration times DIT, seeing, number of interferograms, and derived target visibilities (errors ±0.09).

| Name          | date      | Time of observation (UTC) | Telescopes/ progn. baseline lengths (m) | PA (°) | DIT (ms) | Seeing (arcsec) | Number of frames | Target visibility |
|---------------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| NGC 3783     | 09/04/14  | 01:19 - 01:31             | UT2-3/46.6                             | 28.8   | 800      | 0.93            | 11x70            | 0.89             |
| CD-37 7391   | 09/04/14  | 01:45 - 01:55             | UT2-3                                 | 44.8   | 800      | 0.84            | 10x70            | 0.96             |
| NGC 3783     | 09/04/14  | 03:16 - 03:29             | UT2-3/45.2                             | 46.3   | 400      | 0.79            | 10x70            | 0.93             |
| CD-37 7391   | 09/04/14  | 04:31 - 04:34             | UT2-3                                 | 120.9  | 800      | 0.68            | 10x70            | 0.97             |
| NGC 3783     | 09/04/14  | 03:52 - 04:03             | UT3-4/62.0                             | 800    | 1.45     | 5x70            |                  |                  |
| CD-37 7391   | 09/04/14  | 02:11 - 02:16             | UT3-4                                 | 400    | 0.99     | 5x120           |                  |                  |
| NGC 3783     | 09/04/14  | 03:44 - 03:49             | UT2-4/87.1                             | 90.0   | 800      | 0.72            | 5x70             | 0.86             |
| NGC 3783     | 09/04/14  | 04:12 - 04:20             | UT2-4/84.6                             | 94.7   | 800      | 0.81            | 8x70             | 0.85             |
| CD-37 7391   | 09/04/14  | 04:41 - 04:45             | UT2-4                                 | 800    | 0.87     | 3x120           |                  |                  |
| NGC 3783     | 11/05/18  | 02:39 - 02:44             | UT1-2-4/51.2/113.8/80.3                | 38.8   | 400      | 0.63            | 7x120            |                  |
| NGC 3783     | 11/05/18  | 02:50 - 02:55             | UT1-2-4/50.6/111.2/78.7                | 39.8   | 400      | 0.68            | 7x120            |                  |
| CD-37 7391   | 11/05/18  | 02:00 - 02:06             | UT1-2-4                               | 400    | 0.75     | 7x120           |                  |                  |
| CD-37 7391   | 11/05/18  | 02:09 - 02:17             | UT1-2-4                               | 400    | 0.63     | 7x120           |                  |                  |

Fig. 1. Top: Examples of LR K-band AMBER interferograms of NGC 3783 (~2.0–2.4 μm from bottom to top), which illustrate the noise problem. From left to right, the first and second interferogram are recorded with UT2–UT3 (46.6 m projected baseline) with DIT = 800 ms and 400 ms, respectively, the third one with UT3–UT4 (62.5 m, 800 ms), and the last one with UT2–UT4 (89.4 m, 800 ms). Middle: Calibrated visibilities of NGC 3783 and geometric ring-model fit (red). We derive a ring-fit torus radius of 0.74 ± 0.23 mas or 0.16 ± 0.05 pc. Bottom: Closure phases plotted versus wavelength.

3. Geometric model fits

To interpret our K-band visibilities (Fig. 1 middle), we first fitted a geometric thin-ring model (i.e., ring width = outer radius minus inner radius = 0) to the visibilities and derived a ring-fit radius of ~0.67 mas. This is only a very rough estimate of the torus size since the observed visibilities may not only depend on the torus but also on the underlying galaxy within the 60 mas field-of-view (FOV) of AMBER and on the accretion disk (AD), which is thought to remain unresolved (Kishimoto et al. 2007, 2009a,b). Therefore, we have to estimate the flux contributions from the host galaxy and the AD point source and take these contributions into account when fitting the visibilities.

From the K-band image of NGC 3783 in the 2MASS catalog, we estimated the flux contribution of the host galaxy within the 60 mas AMBER FOV to 0.5 ± 0.2 %. To obtain the NIR flux from the torus and the AD, we used two-dimensional fits to separate the point-like core component in the 2MASS J-, H-, and K-band images from the underlying host galaxy (see Table 2), following the same procedure as described by Kishimoto et al. (2009a). Using the derived NIR core fluxes, we can estimate the flux contribution of the AD component in the K band. We assume here that the core component flux originates from the hot dust and from the AD. Therefore, we fitted a power-law spectrum for the AD and a blackbody for the dust emission, as described in Kishimoto et al. (2009a). We also applied a small correction for Galactic reddening with $E_{B-V} = 0.119$. By assigning an uncertainty of the NIR AD spectral index of 0.3, we also obtained the uncertainty of the K-band AD flux contribution. The AD flux fraction in the K-band was estimated to be as small as 21 ± 7 %, which is similar to the values of several other AGNs reported by Kishimoto et al. (2007, 2009a). If we now take into account these estimated flux contributions of ~21% from the unresolved AD and of ~0.5% from the host galaxy, we can derive the visibilities of the torus itself and can fit the radius of the torus (i.e., this radius is the only fit parameter; the AD contributes just a constant of 0.21 to the total visibilities). We derive a torus radius $R_{torus}$ of 0.74 ± 0.23 mas or 0.16 ± 0.05 pc (thin-ring fit; see Fig. 1 middle, red curve).
Table 2. NGC 3783 torus radius $R_{\text{torus}}$, 2MASS fluxes of the nuclear core, and flux contributions of the host galaxy and the AD.

| J flux | K flux | J host | R_{\text{torus}} | R_{\text{host}} | R_{\text{AD}} | fraction$^a$ | fraction$^b$ | AD flux (mJy) | AD flux (mJy) | AD flux (mJy) |
|-------|--------|-------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| (mJy) | (mJy)  | (mag.) | (pc)            | (pc)           | (pc)         | (mJy)         | (mJy)         | (mJy)         | (mJy)         | (mJy)         |
| 18.8  | 34.2   | 0      | 0.005±0.002     | 0.21±0.07      | 0.74±0.23    | 0.16±0.05     | 0.071±0.025   |

$^a$K-band flux contribution in the AMBER FOV. $^b$AD flux contribution to the point-like core in the 2MASS K-band image. *Reverberation radius $R_{\text{K}}$ (Glass 1992).

Fig. 2. K-band torus radii of NGC 3783 (red dot) and eight other AGNs (blue; from Kishimoto et al. 2011a) versus their UV luminosities. The black symbols and the dotted line are the reverberation radii $R_{\text{K}}$ (Glass 1992; Suganuma et al. 2006) and their fit curve, respectively.

4. Interpretation and discussion

4.1. NIR interferometric and reverberation radii

Figure 2 compares the derived ring-fit radius $R_{\text{torus}} \sim 0.16$ pc of NGC 3783 (red) with eight interferometric K-band radii (blue) reported by Kishimoto et al. (2009a, 2011a). These radii are plotted against the UV luminosity $L_{\text{V}}$, defined as a scaled V-band luminosity of $6 v f(V)$, with the V flux extrapolated from the flux at 1.2 µm (Kishimoto et al. 2007). We can compare these torus radii with reverberation radii $R_{\text{K}}$ (black) derived from the light traveling distances corresponding to the time lag between the K-band and the UV/optical (Suganuma et al. 2006). They are known to be proportional to $\sim L_{\text{V}}^{1/2}$ and are likely probing the dust sublimation radius. The dotted line is the fit curve of the reverberation radii (different luminosity values are obtained for the same object because of variability and uncertainties of the luminosity derivation). The reverberation radius of NGC 3783 is $\sim 0.071$ pc, which is smaller than the interferometric torus radius $R_{\text{torus}} \sim 0.16$ pc (Sect. 3). Figure 2 shows that several interferometric torus radii are larger than the reverberation radii. Our interpretation is that the interferometric torus radii are averages over the radial dust distribution that emits the K-band light, whereas the reverberation radii probably trace the dust closer to the inner dust torus boundary radius (Kishimoto et al. 2009a). Furthermore, we note that $R_{\text{torus}} \sim 0.16$ pc is a fit radius calculated with a thin-ring model (i.e., ring width = outer radius minus inner radius = 0). If a dust distribution with a certain thin-ring fit radius is ring-like and has a ring width larger than zero, then the inner ring radius would be smaller than the thin-ring fit radius. We have not fitted a ring model with a larger ring width, since the ring width cannot be constrained with the available visibilities.

Fig. 3. Temperature/density-gradient model including an additional inner hot 1400 K ring component. Top: SED observations (black and gray symbols), model SEDs (black solid line: model including the 1400 K ring component; black dotted line: model without the 1400 K component), and correlated fluxes (yellow, green, and blue; see Paper I for more details). The different colors (see top color bar) correspond to different spatial wavelengths measured in units of the dust sublimation radius $R_{\text{in}}$. Bottom: New NIR visibilities (purple symbols) and our MIR visibilities from Paper I (the symbols with colors from green to red correspond to 8.5 to 13 µm; see color-coding bar; note that the spatial frequency is given in units of cycles per $R_{\text{in}}$). The solid and dashed lines are the visibilities of the temperature/density-gradient model including an additional inner hot 1400 K ring. The red, green, and purple lines are the model visibilities at 13, 8.5, and 2.2 µm, respectively (solid lines: model curves for the PA along the equatorial axis; dashed line: along the polar direction; see Paper I). The dotted lines are the visibilities and SED of the same temperature/density-gradient model, but without an inner hot 1400 K ring component.
4.2. Simultaneous modeling of the NIR AMBER visibilities, the MIR MIDI visibilities, and the SED

Mid-infrared (MIR) MIDI interferometry of NGC 3783 was reported by Beckert et al. (2008), Kishimoto et al. (2009b), and Kishimoto et al. (2011a) (= Paper I). For the interpretation of these observations, we used a temperature/density-gradient model including an additional hot inner ring component with a temperature of 1400 K (Paper I). This simple model assumes that the face-on surface brightness distribution of the torus is dominated by the IR radiation from dust clouds directly illuminated and heated by the AD. These dust clouds are probably located near the torus surface since clouds deep inside the torus are not directly illuminated.

The surface brightness distribution of this temperature/density-gradient model depends on two distributions, namely a radial temperature and a radial surface density distribution (see Eq. 8 in Paper I). The maximum dust temperature \( T_{\text{max}}(r) \) at distance \( r \) is assumed to be proportional to \( (r/R_\text{in})^\beta \), where \( r \) is the radial distance, \( \beta \) is the power-law index, and \( R_\text{in} \) is the dust sublimation radius empirically given by the NIR reverberation radius \( R_\text{rs} \) (Glass 1992), i.e., we define \( R_\text{in} = R_\text{rs} \) (Paper I, Eq. 1).

Furthermore, the surface brightness distribution depends on the surface density function \( f_\text{s}(r) = f_0(r/R_\text{in})^\alpha \) of the heated dust clouds near the surface (power law with index \( \alpha \)). The emissivity factor \( f_0 \) is equal to \( f_\text{s}(r) \) at \( r = \) the sublimation radius \( R_\text{in} \). IR observations are only sensitive to the dust clouds near the surface, which have the temperature \( T_{\text{max}}(r) \), and not to the cold dust inside the torus. \( f_\text{s}(r) \) can be regarded as a surface filling factor multiplied by the emissivity (Paper I). If the emissivity of optically thick illuminated clouds does not depend sensitively on the radial distance from the illuminating source or the observing wavelength (e.g., see Fig. 3 of Hönig & Kishimoto 2010), the factor \( f_\text{s}(r) \) is roughly proportional to the radial surface density distribution of the heated dust.

Interestingly, the application of this temperature/density-gradient model to several AGNs in Paper I and to the NGC 3783 observations reported in this paper (see Fig. 5) has shown that an additional inner hot model component is required with a temperature of 1400 K and a radius of one or a few dust sublimation radii in order to explain all observations. This hot component might play a similar role as the innermost dusty structure (Paper I) in NGC 3783.

This new modeling including \( K \)-band visibilities (Fig. 3) is more detailed than that in Paper I. Some of the parameters are similar as in Paper I: a temperature power-law index \( \beta = -0.37 \), density index \( \alpha = 0.07 \), and emissivity factor \( f_0 = 0.12 \) for the power-law component of the elliptical model with an inner temperature of 700 K (Paper I, Table 8). However, in our new modeling, the emissivity factor of the inner 1400 K ring is 0.038±0.016 and the radius of the hot 1400 K ring is 2.29±0.47\( R_\text{rs} \) or \(-0.16 \) pc (with the above \( R_\text{rs} = 0.071 \) pc), which is no longer fixed to \( R_\text{rs} \) as in Paper I. This 1400 K ring radius of \(-0.29 \)\( R_\text{rs} \), which is relatively large compared to the reverberation radius \( R_\text{rs} \), is a representative thin-ring radius and not the inner radius of an extended ring (see discussion in Sect. 4.1). This large radius probably indicates a relatively shallow, extended innermost dusty structure (Paper I) in NGC 3783.

5. Conclusion

We have derived a torus radius of \((0.74 ± 0.23) \text{ mas or } (0.16 ± 0.05) \text{ pc} \) (thin-ring fit). To derive this NGC 3783 torus radius, we took into account an estimated relative flux contribution of 0.5% from the underlying host galaxy in the 60 mas AMBER FOV and 21% from the unresolved accretion disk. This torus radius is approximately 2.3 times larger than the \( K \)-band reverberation radius \( R_\text{rs} \) \( (0.071 \) pc (see discussion in Sect. 4.1). For the interpretation of the observations, we employed a temperature/density-gradient model including a hot inner 1400 K ring. We simultaneously fitted our new NIR AMBER visibilities, the MIR MIDI visibilities from Paper I, and the SED to constrain physical parameters of the dust distribution. For the power-law component of the model, we derived a temperature power-law index \( \beta = -0.37 \) and a surface density index \( \alpha = 0.07 \). For the required 1400 K ring component, a radius of \(-2.3 \) reverberation radii or \(-0.16 \) pc was found, whereas in the modeling in Paper I, the 1400 K ring radius was assumed to be one reverberation radius. This 1400 K ring radius of \(-0.16 \) pc, which is relatively large compared to the reverberation radius, is a representative thin-ring radius and not the inner radius of an extended ring. This radius probably indicates a relatively shallow, extended inner dusty structure. Our study of NGC 3783 and the results in Paper I show that the simultaneous modeling of both NIR and MIR interferometric observations is a powerful tool for future detailed studies of AGN tori.
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