INTRODUCTION

Primary hyperparathyroidism (pHPT), which accounts for 90% of hypercalcemia seen in the outpatient setting, results from a single hyperfunctioning adenoma in approximately 85% of patients.\textsuperscript{1,2} pHPT can only be cured by surgical removal of all hyperfunctional tissue. Although the majority of patients have only one abnormal parathyroid gland, patients with pHPT historically underwent a bilateral neck exploration (BNE) with identification of all four parathyroid glands. This approach was required to identify which of the parathyroid glands was clinically abnormal and to ensure that additional abnormal glands were not overlooked. BNE with four-gland dissection, however, required a large cosmetically less favorable incision, exposed both recurrent laryngeal nerves (RLNs) to potential iatrogenic injury, and subjected the patient to the risk of permanent hypoparathyroidism.\textsuperscript{3,4}

More recently, parathyroid surgery has evolved to include minimally invasive approaches that focus the exploration on a single gland or side of the neck. These operations, which can be performed through small incisions on an outpatient basis with a very low complication profile, have been facilitated by a number of technological developments.\textsuperscript{5} The two advancements that have been most critical to the implementation and success of minimally invasive parathyroid surgery are preoperative imaging techniques, such as $^{99m}$Tc-sestamibi (sestamibi) and high-resolution ultrasound (US), and the emergence of rapid intraoperative parathyroid hormone (ioPTH) assays. Preoperative localization studies allow the surgeon to focus the operation on a specific gland or side of the neck, while the ioPTH assay provides biochemical assurance that all hyperfunctional tissue has been removed, obviating the need to examine the other glands.\textsuperscript{6,9}

Multiple studies have shown that image-guided, ioPTH-assisted minimally invasive parathyroidectomy (MIP) results in cure rates equivalent to that of conventional BNE with four-gland dissection.\textsuperscript{10,12} Despite this, a parathyroid surgical group based, which had previously been...
staunch proponents of minimally invasive parathyroid surgery (calling “bilateral neck exploration for all parathyroid patients an operation for the history books”), changed their approach in 2012 and now are dogmatic advocates for universal BNE with four-gland dissection.\textsuperscript{13,14} This change was based on the unacceptably high long-term failure rate of 6% associated with their unusual MIP protocol, which does not incorporate the use of an intraoperative PTH assay and therefore predictably fails to identify multiglandular disease. Although the more typical MIP technique has yielded excellent short-term cure rates, several studies have cited the need for more extended follow-up to confirm the durability of the results.\textsuperscript{15,16} We therefore analyzed 10 years of MIP data to assess the long-term outcomes of this procedure as compared to published long-term cure rates of BNE with four-gland dissection.\textsuperscript{2,8,12,17}

METHODS

A prospectively maintained database of all patients who had a parathyroidectomy by a single surgeon at our tertiary endocrine surgery practice was interrogated, and every patient with sporadic pHPT who underwent initial parathyroid surgery between 2003 and 2013 was identified. Patients were included in the study cohort if they had at least one preoperative localization study (US or sestamibi) and if they were scheduled for minimally invasive parathyroid surgery, defined as a unilateral or focused single gland exploration. Patients diagnosed with renal hyperparathyroidism, parathyroid carcinoma, lithium-induced HPT multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN), and those undergoing re-operative parathyroidectomy or concurrent thyroid surgery were excluded from analysis.

All patients included in the study cohort underwent a parathyroidectomy with anticipation of utilizing a focused approach (MIP). A baseline ioPTH level was obtained using an 8-minute rapid assay (FutureDiagnostics, Wijchen, the Netherlands) after anesthesia was induced but before the skin incision was made, and the abnormal gland predicted by preoperative imaging was then removed. ioPTH values were analyzed, with levels acquired at 5, 10, and occasionally 15 minutes after gland excision. If the ioPTH value decreased by >50% of the baseline level and fell to within the normal range (≤85 pg/mL) the operation was usually concluded. If these criteria were not met, the patient was suspected to have additional hyperfunctional parathyroid tissue, and a bilateral exploration was performed through the same incision. In circumstances where the ioPTH kinetics were equivocal, additional levels were often obtained prior to pursuing additional exploration as some patients manifest a slow PTH degradation profile. Patients undergoing either MIP or bilateral neck exploration were discharged on the day of surgery and without a drain.

Patients with normal calcium values at the time of their postoperative follow-up visit approximately one month after surgery were considered cured. Persistent hyperparathyroidism was defined as hypercalcemia observed at any point within six months of surgery, while recurrent hyperparathyroidism was defined as hypercalcemia occurring more than 6 months after surgery and after achieving postoperative eucalcemia. The cure rate in MIP patients was compared to the published cure rates for patients undergoing planned BNE with four-gland dissection. Postoperative complications including temporary and permanent recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) injury and transient and permanent hypoparathyroidism were evaluated. Hypoparathyroidism was defined as a low parathyroid hormone level and a corresponding serum calcium level ≤8.5 mg/dL with a requirement for calcium replacement with or without calcitriol. Hypoparathyroidism was classified as temporary if it resolved within 12 months after surgery or permanent if it persisted beyond this timeframe.

All statistical calculations were performed using JMP Pro 11 (SAS Institutes, Inc.). Nominal data were analyzed using frequency counts and percentages. Continuous data that were approximately normally distributed were evaluated using means and standard deviations (SDs), while those that were markedly skewed were evaluated using medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs). P-values of < .05 were considered statistically significant, and the 95% confidence intervals (CI) and corresponding standard errors are also reported where appropriate. This study was approved by the Augusta University Institutional Review Board (Pro00000155).

### RESULTS

During the study period 561 patients underwent parathyroid surgery. Of these, 337 had initial surgery for pHPT and 282 met inclusion criteria. Due to the tertiary referral nature of our practice, follow-up data were available for 212 (75.2%) of these patients who therefore comprised the study population.

The majority of patients undergoing MIP were female (167, 78.8%) and Caucasian (144, 67.9%) (Table I). The mean age was 58.7 ± 14.0 years. The mean follow-up time was 34.4 ± 25.8 months (range 1–120 months), with 182 (85.8%) patients having postoperative follow-up for at least 12 months (Table II). The mean preoperative calcium was 11.0 ± 0.7 mg/dL, and the mean postoperative calcium was 9.6 ± 0.4 mg/dL ($P < .001$ (Table I).

Sestamibi, which was performed in 209 (98.6%) patients, was localizing in 181 (86.6%). Ultrasound was

| TABLE I.  
| Patient Demographics. |
|----------------------|
| **Age (mean ± SD, years)** | 58.7 ± 14.0 (range 22–91 years) |
| **Female gender (n, %)** | 167, 78.8 |
| **Race** | |
| **Caucasian (n, %)** | 144, 67.9 |
| **African American (n, %)** | 62, 29.2 |
| **Other (n, %)** | 6, 2.8 |
| **Preoperative calcium (mean ± SD, mg/dL)** | 11.0 ± 0.7 |
| **Postoperative calcium (mean ± SD, mg/dL)** | 9.6 ± 0.4 |
| **Multi-gland disease (n, %)** | 27, 12.7 |

SD = standard deviation.
performed in 200 (94.3%) patients and was localizing in 174 (87.0%). Both studies were performed in 197 (93.0%) patients and were co-localizing in 148 (75.1%) patients. Single-gland disease was confirmed in 171 (80.7%) patients with at least one localizing study and in 127 (85.8%) of the 148 patients with co-localizing studies. Multi-gland disease was identified in 27 (12.7%) patients, including 21 (14.1%) of the patients with co-localizing imaging studies. Preoperative imaging accurately identified multi-gland disease in 8 (29.6%) of the 27 cases where more than one gland was abnormal. The cure rate after MIP was 98.6% (209 of 212 patients) (Table II). Of the 127 patients with co-localizing studies who had single-gland surgery, 125 (98.4%) were cured. All 21 patients with co-localizing studies and multi-gland disease were cured. Importantly, although sestamibi and US did not co-localize in 49 (24.7%) of 198 patients who had both studies performed, 32 (65.3%) of these patients were still able to be cured with unilateral surgery. The rate of postoperative hypocalcemia at the time of follow-up was 0 of 212 patients (0%). No patients (0 of 212) had temporary or permanent recurrent laryngeal nerve injury. During the follow-up period, four patients (1.9%) developed recurrent disease. The cure rates for a MIP that incorporated both preoperative imaging and intraoperative PTH assessment were as good as or better than the mean published rates for planned BNE with four-gland dissection (Table III; Fig. 1).14,18–27

The ioPTH was an important confirmatory adjunct in the successful operations (209 of 212). Additionally, in the three patients with persistent disease in which no adenoma was found the ioPTH assay accurately confirmed intraoperatively that the exploration was not successful.

**DISCUSSION**

Bilateral neck exploration was required in the early surgical management of pHPT because there was no other method to determine if more than one gland was abnormal without physically exposing and visually inspecting all four parathyroid glands. However, this approach relied on a clinical assessment of the parathyroid glands, rather than biochemical analysis, and was associated with a high risk of complications such as hypocalcemia and RLN injury.4

Advancements in preoperative imaging modalities have made targeted MIP possible, while the development of the rapid ioPTH assay has allowed surgeons to biochemically confirm a cure intraoperatively before terminating the procedure. In a recent literature review, MIP was shown to be a cost-effective alternative to conventional parathyroid surgery performed as a BNE with four-gland dissection.28 MIP is associated with a reduced hospital stay, increased patient satisfaction, and improved postoperative cosmesis due to a smaller incision.17,28 There is substantially less scar tissue to contend with if further central compartment surgery is later required.17 Most importantly, MIP obviates the need to explore all four parathyroid glands and expose both

| TABLE II. Minimally Invasive Parathyroidectomy Outcomes. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Minimally Invasive Parathyroidectomy Outcomes               |
| Mean follow-up length (n ± SD, months)                      | 34.4 ± 25.8 (range 1–120 months) |
| Cure rate (n, %)                                            | 209, 98.6                       |
| Persistent hyperparathyroidism (n, %)                       | 3, 1.4                          |
| Recurrent hyperparathyroidism (n, %)                        | 4, 1.9                          |

SD = standard deviation.

| TABLE III. Comparison of Cure Rates in Literature for Minimally Invasive Parathyroidectomy (MIP) and Bilateral Neck Exploration with Four-Gland Dissection (BNE). |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parathyroidectomy Cure Rates by Operative Approach                            |
| Author                         | Year | Journal                  | Patients (n) | Surgery | Mean Follow-Up (months) | Cure rate (%) |
| Joliat et al.18                | 2015  | Langenbecks Arch Surg    | 118          | MIP     | 39.6                    | 95            |
| Reilly et al.19                | 2014  | ANZ J Surg               | 189          | MIP     | 6                       | 97.4          |
| Lee et al.20                   | 2014  | Ann Surg Onc            | 567          | MIP     | 13                      | 96.6          |
| Karakas et al.21               | 2014  | World J Surg            | 265          | MIP     | 33.4                    | 98.9          |
| Leder et al.22                 | 2013  | Ann Surg                | 104          | MIP     | 27                      | 100           |
| Venkat et al.23                | 2012  | World J Surg            | 200          | MIP     | 37                      | 97            |
| Norman et al.14                | 2012  | Am Coll Surg            | 15000        | MIP     | 120                     | 94            |
| Udelmsan et al.24              | 2011  | Ann Surg                | 1037         | MIP     | 37                      | 99.4          |
| Bumpous et al.25               | 2009  | Laryngoscope            | 240          | MIP     | 121                     | 97            |
| Hughes et al.26                | 2013  | Surg                    | 156          | BNE     | 25                      | 97            |
| Beyer et al.27                 | 2007  | Am J Surg               | 49           | BNE     | 11.9                    | 95.9          |
| Karakas et al.21               | 2014  | World J Surg            | 1035         | BNE     | 33.4                    | 98.3          |
| Udelmsan et al.24              | 2011  | Ann Surg                | 613          | BNE     | 37                      | 97.1          |

SD = standard deviation.

1 Inclusion criteria for this study required a postoperative calcium level be obtained more than 12 months postoperatively, but no mean follow-up duration data was provided.
RLNs, thereby eliminating the possibility of iatrogenic hypoparathyroidism and airway obstruction from bilateral RLN injury. Due to these advantages, MIP has become a favored surgical technique among most high-volume parathyroid surgeons for the treatment of pHPT. Despite the widespread adoption of MIP, a parathyroid surgical group that initially was a staunch proponent for MIP recently began to dogmatically advocate for universal four-gland surgery because they identified a high long-term failure rate with their unusual MIP approach. \(^\text{14}\) Patients are therefore often puzzled by widespread marketing and internet advertising that promotes bilateral neck surgery in all patients. There are several factors that would explain why the long-term success rates (94\%) that were reported were so much lower than those achieved by other experienced parathyroid surgeons. The principal concern of that group has been completing the operation as fast as possible (as is evident in their marketing materials on parathyroid.com, in their letters to referring physicians, and in their publications). They have therefore specifically espoused the use of intraoperative PTH monitoring (which adds a minimum of 13–18 minutes to a successful single-gland operation). \(^\text{14,29}\) Instead they had embraced a poorly studied and irreproducible ratio of radioactivity of a putative parathyroid adenoma compared with randomly chosen background tissues in the neck of patients who underwent pre-operative injection with Tc-99m sestamibi. The study justifying this radioguided technique contained no controls and no systematic algorithm by which non-parathyroid tissue specimens were excised and tested for radioactivity. \(^\text{30}\) Perhaps most troubling was the complete absence of double adenomas in their reported experience of 345 patients. Others have attempted to replicate their findings without success. \(^\text{32}\) A naïve belief that the ratio associated with one single gland could rule out the presence of additional abnormal glands led the surgeons to prematurely abort the operation in nearly 1000 of their patients who were harboring additional hyperfunctional parathyroid tissue, which would have been readily apparent had they been willing to utilize intraoperative PTH testing. So fervent was their conviction of the robustness of this since disproven method that they announced in 2002 that “bilateral neck exploration for all parathyroid patients is an operation for the history books”. \(^\text{13}\)

Furthermore, they reported that the high rate of single-gland disease mandates that “this technique is unnecessarily extensive for 90\% or more of patients”. \(^\text{13}\) Remarkably, when confronted with the reality that their unusual and flawed technique was resulting in hundreds of failed operations (6\% failure rate), rather than acknowledging that failing to use the ioPTH results in missed double adenomas that would otherwise be identified, they instead chose to systematically subject all of their patients to the higher risks necessarily associated with BNE and four-gland dissection. \(^\text{14}\) In keeping with the fixation on doing the operation quickly, they explained their decision was based on the ability to complete a bilateral exploration without adding more than an additional 6 minutes of operative time. \(^\text{14}\)

As the results of our study show, minimally invasive surgery guided by preoperative imaging and paired with intraoperative PTH confirmation that all hyperfunctional tissue has been removed results in a cure rate equivalent to BNE with four-gland dissection (Fig. 1).

An important take-home message from our data, however, is that even in a group of highly selected, imaging-positive patients, double adenomas (and to a lesser extent, four-gland hyperplasia) were common (12.7\%). This high prevalence of double adenomas, coupled with their poor understanding of the nature of this condition, doomed the approach to failure. Our data is completely consistent with the findings from large systematic reviews and meta-analyses evaluating MIP and bilateral neck exploration (BNE) including one completed by Ospina and colleagues in 2016. In their meta-analysis of 88 published studies, cure rates were reported to be 97\% for MIP and 98\% for BNE with four-gland dissection. \(^\text{12}\) Of note, hypocalcemia occurred in 14\% of BNE cases versus 2.3\% in MIP cases, and there was also a statistically significant lower risk of laryngeal nerve injury with MIP compared to BNE (0.3\% vs. 0.9\%). Consequently, the so-called minimally invasive radioguided parathyroidectomy involving bilateral neck exploration and four-gland dissection (MIRP) is a bad operation for most patients because of the necessarily increased risk of laryngeal nerve injury and hypocalcemia. Conversely, radioguided surgery with focused exploration and without the use of ioPTH is similarly not advisable, as was concluded many years ago by Chen and his colleagues. \(^\text{32}\)

Therefore, we recommend that patients with sporadic pHPT and at least one preoperative localizing study undergo a MIP with intraoperative assessment of PTH levels by an experienced parathyroid surgeon who is familiar with the use and the nuances of the rapid ioPTH assay and is prepared to extend the operation to a bilateral exploration in up to 15\% of patients as needed based on the PTH findings. Despite the benefits of MIP over conventional bilateral neck exploration, four-gland surgery should still be employed in patients whose conditions are associated with multi-gland disease, such as multiple endocrine neoplasia, renal hyperparathyroidism, or lithium-induced hyperparathyroidism, and in most patients with non-localizing imaging studies.

The current study suffers from the usual limitations of a retrospective analysis including the potential for selection bias, evolution of the preferred imaging
CONCLUSION

Patients with sporadic pHPT and at least one localizing imaging study can undergo MIP with ioPTH assessment and expect a durable cure rate of nearly 99%. This rate is as good as or better than the published cure rates for bilateral neck exploration with four-gland dissection. This approach eliminates the need to expose both recurrent laryngeal nerves and all four parathyroid glands to the so-called MIRP. The benefits of using intraoperative radioactive guidance, the so-called MIRP, have not been able to be reproduced, and it is not clear if there is any value to its use. We therefore conclude that most patients with pHPT can benefit from the advantages of minimally invasive parathyroidectomy and do not require a planned bilateral neck exploration and four-gland dissection.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Yeh MW, Ituarte PHG, Zhou HC, et al. Incidence and prevalence of primary hyperparathyroidism in a racially mixed population. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013;98(3):1122–1129.
2. Augustine MM, Bravo PE, Zeiger MA. Surgical treatment of primary hyperparathyroidism. Endocr Pract 2011;17(Suppl 1):75–82.
3. Flynn MB, Quayyum M, Goldstein RL, Bumpous JM. Surgical and calcium outcomes in patients with primary hyperparathyroidism. J Am Coll Surg 2011;213(5):706–714.
4. Opoku-Boateng A, Bolton JS, Corsetti R, Brown RE, Oxner C, Fuhrman GM. Use of a sestamibi-only approach to routine minimally invasive parathyroidectomy. World J Surg 2007;31(8):1752–1759.
5. Fraker DL, Harsono H, Lewis R. Minimally invasive parathyroidectomy: benefits and requirements of localization, diagnosis, and intraoperative PTH monitoring. J Endourol 2003;17(11):855–860.
6. Han H, Franz A, Starling JR, Mack E. Intraoperative parathyroid hormone testing improves cure rates in patients undergoing minimally invasive parathyroidectomy. Surgery 2007;142(1):106–112.
7. Schneider DF, Mammen S, Sippel RS, Chen H. Is minimally invasive parathyroidectomy associated with greater recurrence compared to bilateral exploration?. Analysis of more than 1,000 cases. Surgery 2012;152(6):1008–1015.