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A B S T R A C T

Aging is a complex biological process that is inevitable for nearly all organisms. Aging is the strongest risk factor for development of multiple neurodegenerative disorders, cancer and cardiovascular disorders. Age-related disease conditions are mainly caused by the progressive degradation of the integrity of communication systems within and between organs. This is in part mediated by, i) decreased efficiency of receptor signaling systems and ii) an increasing inability to cope with stress leading to apoptosis and cellular senescence. Cellular senescence is a natural process during embryonic development, more recently it has been shown to be also involved in the development of aging disorders and is now considered one of the major hallmarks of aging. G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) comprise a superfamily of integral membrane receptors that are responsible for cell signaling events involved in nearly every physiological process. Recent advances in the molecular understanding of GPCR signaling complexity have expanded their therapeutic capacity tremendously. Emerging data now suggests the involvement of GPCRs and their associated proteins in the development of cellular senescence. With the proven efficacy of therapeutic GPCR targeting, it is reasonable to now consider GPCRs as potential platforms to control cellular senescence and the consequently, age-related disorders.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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1. Introduction

As cells and tissues grow old their replicative capacity diminishes with time. This intrinsic cellular process was first demonstrated by Hayflick and Moorhead [1] and was termed 'replicative senescence'. Subsequent research has demonstrated that cellular attrition and damage, primarily to replicating DNA and chromosomal telomeric caps, lead to the generation of non-replicative senescent cells and tissues [2]. Cellular senescence has subsequently been shown to be a potentially important contributor to pathological aging and age-related disease. Hence it is imperative, given the increasing global burden of age-related diseases [3–5], to enhance our current understanding of cellular senescent signaling mechanisms and how to interdict them. Current research into the molecular mechanisms of senescence has attempted to transfer in vivo findings to actual in vivo situations [6–8]. In living organisms cellular senescence is a now recognized as protective homeostatic response engendered to prevent the propagation of age-related cellular damage and neoplastic transformation. In times of cellular stress, associated with proliferative stress as well as DNA damage, senescence responses can be induced prematurely in actively dividing cells both in vivo and in vitro. Pro-senescent stimuli include the aberrant expression/function of oncoproteins and DNA damage caused by exposure to ionizing radiation and reactive oxygen species (ROS). Consequently, the integrity of the senescence program can have an active impact on cellular stress responses, cancer development and treatment outcomes. As senescence can contribute both to protective and disruptive cellular activities the therapeutic targeting of this program requires a highly nuanced approach to avoid indiscriminately targeting cell types (senescent or non-senescent) that may be acting in a protective manner. Despite these potential targeting issues effective therapeutic targeting of the pathological aging process still represents an exciting new concept in preventative medicine as pathological aging mechanisms likely underpin the etiology of almost every disease [9–11]. The generation of remedial strategies that can slow down aging-related cellular damage process may help prevent the development of multiple neurodegenerative, metabolic and DNA damage-related (e.g. cancer) diseases.

Since their discovery heptahelical G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) have become firmly established as perhaps the most effective therapeutic drug target in molecular biology and physiology [9]. Hence, almost 40% of the current drugs approved by the FDA target GPCRs [10] and there are currently over 300 new ongoing clinical trials targeting GPCRs. The majority of current GPCR-targeting drugs were developed with the concept that G protein-dependent signaling pathways were the sole source of information transduction emanating from GPCRs. Considerable research, into alternative non-G protein-dependent signaling modalities, in the past two decades however has suggested that new drugs can be developed to exploit these alternative GPCR signaling modalities [11–14]. The discovery and appreciation of alternative GPCR signaling pathways will aid in the creation of an expanded pharmacopeia that will refine and enhance the efficacy profile(s) of future GPCR-focused therapeutic agents. In this review, we intend to generate a more nuanced insight into how to GPCRs can be exploited to target the process of cell senescence to help treat age-related disorders.

2. Aging as a Multifactorial Biological Process

Aging is a systemic, hyper-complex process broadly characterized by the gradual functional decline in the body's ability over time to manage biological stress leading to an increased risk of cellular damage, disease and eventual mortality. Advancing age is perhaps the major risk factor for several of the most prevalent human pathologies, e.g. cancer [15,16], type 2 diabetes [17], dementia [18] and cardiovascular diseases [19,20]. It has become evident in recent years that highly complex physiological systems function as complex integrated networks rather than simplistic linear molecular signal transduction cascades [21,22]. Biological signaling networks associated with the aging process are, at the most basic level, likely to be composed of clusters of self-reinforcing protein-protein interactions (PPIs). However these PPIs do not all share the same degree of network connectivity, or 'betweenness' [23,24], and thus within the lattice there are trophic factors that may not exert individual biological functions, but orchestrate the functional coordination of others. Highly intricate and multi-level PPI networks are supported and integrated by these trophic factors (termed 'key-stones' or 'hubs') that can then effectively regulate multiple signaling cascades, complex transcriptional responses and cell-cell communication systems to control aging trajectories from the single cell to the whole somatic level [25]. Thus, the higher the degree of interconnectivity of a protein in these networks, the more biologically important – for a given task in a specific paradigm – it may be considered to be [26,27]. Our research, using a rational combination of primary biological data with cutting-edge natural language processing (NLP)-based informatics has been able to identify one such network controlling factor in the aging paradigm, i.e. the G protein-coupled receptor kinase interacting protein 2 (GIT2) [28–32]. Therefore rather than needing to consider the plethora of potential factors involved in aging as individual therapeutic intervention points, this network-based deconvolution of aging presents the possibility of regulating such network controllers as a feasible mechanism to attenuate age-related damage [33,34].

Although a fully comprehensive understanding of the biopathological etiologies of aging remains largely unknown, López-Otín et al. identified and categorized so-called ‘cellular and molecular hallmarks’ of aging [6]. For that, they considered nine tentative hallmarks that fulfilled different criteria. These nine features described in [6] are: genomic instability, telomere attrition, epigenetic alterations, deregulated nutrient sensing, loss of proteostasis, cellular senescence, mitochondrial dysfunction, stem cell exhaustion, and altered intercellular communication. However, McHugh et al. provided a more recent classification of such hallmarks in [35] by considering the causes of age-associated damage, the responses to this damage as well as the
consequences of these responses, which are thus, the culprits of aging. By doing so, they were able to conclude that senescence should be considered as one of the central hallmarks of aging. This hypothesis should be considered reasonable if we consider that the process of aging is nothing but the reduced capability to confront biological stress with years, mainly provoked by the degradation of receptor signaling, endocrine feedback and immune system functionality [39]. With regards to this final aspect of aging, senescent cells are normally destroyed by the immune system, allowing for the regeneration of tissues [36,37]. It is clear that in advanced age this anti-senescent process can become dysfunctional, resulting in the accumulation of such hazardous, senescent cells that can induce age-related disorders through the release of pro-inflammatory factors such as interleukin-6 [38–40]. Given this, targeting senescent cells has been now proposed as a potential approach to overcome aging, or more specifically, age-related diseases. In 2015 Zhu and colleagues identified and validated the use of senolytics, a novel class of drugs possessing the capacity to selectively kill senescent cells [41]. They were able to demonstrate in vivo that through the combination of desatinib and quercetin (agents targeting key nodes of pro-survival networks in senescent cells), typical aging symptoms such as cardiac dysfunction were improved in aged mice five days after a single dose administration. Furthermore, the healthspan of progeroid Ercc1ΔΔ mice was expanded after periodic treatment with these senolytics [41]. Since this discovery, several groups have been working on the identification of new senolytic therapies including synthetic small molecules and natural products that can exert anti-aging effects [42–44]. Recently Ovadya et al. [45] treated Prf1 knockout mice (Prf1, a protein required for the clearance of senescent cells) with the experimental agent ABT-737 that pushes senescent cells toward apoptosis. Thus, they were able to decrease the number of senescent cells in the treated mice and their inflammation was reduced. This specific research team expanded their work by employing a mouse model of Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS), a disease recognized as an exemplar of accelerated aging due to senescent cell formation accumulation linked to nuclear envelope anomalies. Treatment of these mice with ABT-737 alleviated this deleterious age-related phenotype and increased median survival age. In general, the identification of trophic levels of network control as well as the characteristic pathological aging hallmarks enables the theorization of aging in research and therefore facilitates the prioritization of intervention targets in the aging process. Promising candidates for targeting aging, or more specifically, the network controllers to influence the degree of presentation of the aging hallmarks, have recently been shown to include GPCRs [46,47].

3. Cellular Senescence in the Aging Paradigm

As previously described, cell senescence is one of the hallmarks of aging and could therefore contribute to age-related dysfunction and chronic sterile inflammation [48]. Cellular senescence was originally described as cell cycle arrest caused by a the limited proliferative capacity of normal human somatic cells, referred to as replicative senescence [1]. These cells are essentially arrested in either the G1 or G2/M phase of the cell cycle [49]. With increasing age and the progression of age-related pathology, the abundance of senescent cells increases with multiple tissues [50–54]. During that aging process, repair systems for intracellular and extracellular stress becomes substantially impaired [55]. Due to these aging-associated alterations, the immune system is less able to cope with stress and the clearance of senescent cells, resulting in the accumulation of these cells in aged tissues [56]. A multitude of mechanisms have already been proposed to explain cellular senescence under which telomere shortening occurs after extensive cell division events. Extensive recent research however has demonstrated that a similar phenotype of cellular senescence can be induced by intrinsic and/or extrinsic stress leading to cell cycle arrest, often referred to as stress-induced premature senescence (SIPS). SIPS can be induced by a wide variety of stressors, e.g. DNA damage, mitochondrial dysfunction and inflammation. In the presence of DNA damage, Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) is recruited to the sites of damage. This DNA association induces ATM auto-phosphorylation, ATM phosphorylation of subsequent additional targets as well as activation of p53. This activation causes the upregulation of the p53 transcriptional target (p21). This upregulation results in the inhibition of Rb in a CDK2 dependent manner, leading to cell cycle arrest in either the G1 or G2/M phase. p53 can be furthermore activated in a non-DNA damage dependent manner through loss of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN, stabilization of p19Arf, expression of the oncogenic gene Ras or overexpression of the S-phase transcription factor E2F3. Another mechanism of inducing senescence is through the activation of CDK2NA causing inhibition of the Rb family members in CDK4 or CDK6 dependent manner.

![Mechanisms of cellular senescence](image)

**Fig. 1.** Mechanisms of cellular senescence. Two different types of cellular senescence exist, namely replicative senescence and stress-induced premature senescence (SIPS). SIPS can be induced by a wide variety of stressors, e.g. DNA damage, mitochondrial dysfunction and inflammation. In the presence of DNA damage, Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) is recruited to the sites of damage. This DNA association induces ATM auto-phosphorylation, ATM phosphorylation of subsequent additional targets as well as activation of p53. This activation causes the upregulation of the p53 transcriptional target (p21). This upregulation results in the inhibition of Rb in a CDK2 dependent manner, leading to cell cycle arrest in either the G1 or G2/M phase. p53 can be furthermore activated in a non-DNA damage dependent manner through loss of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN, stabilization of p19Arf, expression of the oncogenic gene Ras or overexpression of the S-phase transcription factor E2F3. Another mechanism of inducing senescence is through the activation of CDK2NA causing inhibition of the Rb family members in CDK4 or CDK6 dependent manner.
by CDKN2A (also known as INK4A and ARF; Fig. 1) [63]. Demonstrating the potential for molecular complexity in senescence, these mechanisms can either act alone or in combination with each other [48]. Adding an additional layer of nuance to this pro-aging paradigm the speed of senescence progression is also variable, e.g. the progression from early to full senescence can take days to weeks [37].

Senescence-mediated cell cycle arrest is furthermore accompanied by phenotypic cellular changes, such as enlarged morphology, reorganization of chromatin, changes in gene expression, secretion of a broad variety of pro-inflammatory cytokines [59]. This latter secretory activity of the senescent cells is described as the senescence associated secretory phenotype, or SASP [64,65]. These proteins include, inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors, which can propagate the stress response and communicate in a deleterious manner with the neighboring cells. The creation of this SASP is largely initiated by nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) signaling and often maintained by interleukin IκBα [64,65]. These proteins include, inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors, which can propagate the stress response and communicate in a deleterious manner with the neighboring cells. The creation of this SASP is largely initiated by nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) signaling and often maintained by interleukin IκBα [64,65].

4. GPCR Signaling Complexity and Selective Efficacy Profiles

G protein-coupled receptors are hydrophobic proteins characterized by their 7 transmembrane (TM) α-helical regions and are thus referred to as heptahelical receptors. They comprise a superfamily of integral membrane receptors, with approximately 800 known human GPCRs [https://www.guidetopharmacology.org], that are responsible for cell signaling events controlling nearly every physiological process for rapid G-protein dependent signaling induced by ligand activation of the multiple loci of molecular intersection between GPCR signaling and cell senescence pathways, in doing so we will highlight potential therapeutic strategies for future GPCR-mediated control of this pro-aging paradigm.

5. Functional and Physical Intersections Between GPCR Systems and Senescence Signaling Pathways

Considering the previously described importance of GPCR-targeting ligands in providing crucial therapeutic outcomes, it is reasonable to consider this ubiquitous signaling system as potential regulators in controlling senescent pathways. In the following sections we shall describe the multiple loci of molecular intersection between GPCR signaling and cell senescence pathways, in doing so we will highlight potential therapeutic strategies for future GPCR-mediated control of this pro-aging paradigm.

5.1. Heptahelical GPCRs and Cell Senescence

5.1.1. Lysophosphatidic Acid Receptor

The Lysophosphatidic acid, also known as LPA, is one of the simplest glycerophospholipids consisting of a glycerol backbone that is commonly ester-linked to an acyl chain of varied length and saturation and connected to a phosphate head group [92]. LPA can be found in a wide range of organisms from prokaryotes to eukaryotes and even in all mammalian cells and tissues [93]. Named after their sequence of molecular discovery, six different LPA receptors (LPA1–6) have been reported to date [94]. These seven-transmembrane GPCRs bind different variants of LPA with varying affinity and couple to at least one of the four G-proteins (G12/13, Gq/11, Go12, Gi), activating specific heterotrimeric
G-protein pathways. These pathways include among others those initiated by some well-known mediators as Ras, Rho, Rac, Akt, MAPK and PKC. The resultant activation of these LPA signaling cascades influences major cellular processes as proliferation, differentiation, migration, adhesion, apoptosis and morphology of a range of cell types during development [95–97].

Three of these six receptors (LPA1–3, also known as vzg-1/Edg2, Edg4 and Edg7, respectively) are members of the endothelial cell differentiation gene (Edg) family [98]. The first one was successfully cloned in 1996 by Hecht et al. [99] and based on that finding the two subsequent receptors were identified by sequence similarity [100]. On the other hand, the last three receptors LPA4 (P2Y9/GPR23), LPA5 (GPR92/93) and LPA6 (P2V5) belong to the purinergic receptors family (P2Y) and are thus considered to be ‘non-Edg’ LPA receptors [101–103].

As we have discussed in the previous section, GPCR-signaling is now considered to be a multi-state, multi-site signaling paradigm. This is especially true for the LPA signaling system, i.e. LPA is not only present in the extracellular domain but also possesses signaling functions in the intracellular domain, leading to activation of both cell surface and intracellular domain signaling cascades [104]. LPA can thus perform the traditional activity of GPCR stimulation through plasma membrane LPA receptors but also via intracellular activity. For that, LPA is produced enzymatically from intracellular organelles such as mitochondria and the endoplasmic reticulum and can entrain distinct internal LPA receptor signaling cascades. For the latter, LPA plays an important role as
intermediate for the synthesis of other glycerolipids. One example is the degradation of LPA into monoacylglycerol (MAG) by lipid phosphate phosphatase enzymes which can exist intracellularly as well [105]. MAG can be then further phosphorylated by monoacylglycerol kinase and finally take part in another round of LPA signaling [106].

Across multiple studies, LPA has already been reported to be a potential cross-section point between GPCRs-signaling pathways and several age-related disorders [93]. One example of that has been the implication of LPA in cancer. As previously mentioned, some LPA signaling cascades result in cell proliferation, migration, survival or growth, which are characteristic cellular processes dysregulated by cancer as well as senescence [107–110]. LPA was first identified to induce in vitro tumor cell invasion in 1993 [111]. Further studies have shown that different aspects of tumor progression are enhanced primarily through LPA2 and LPA3 signaling, as the activation of ovarian cancer cells and their protection from apoptosis [112] or the increase in expression of metastasis and invasion mediators [93,113,114]. On the contrary, LPA1 has been reported to act as a negative regulator in ovarian cancer [115]. These findings suggest that targeting LPA signaling might be a crucial key for cancer treatment associated with senescent mechanisms, e.g. via p53, of cell cycle dysfunction [116,117].

Within the aged population, cardiovascular-related complications remain the leading cause of death [19], with atherosclerosis as the underlying pathophysiologic cause for the vast majority of these type of diseases [118]. Cardiovascular complications of aging are strongly influenced by both vascular and cardiac senescent processes [119–122]. LPA signaling has been observed to influence disease progression in different ways, such as accumulation within the thrombogenic core of atherosclerotic plaques [123] involvement in inflammatory cytokine release [124] as well as LDL uptake for plaque formation [92]. However, potential cardioprotective effects via LPA signaling have emerged as well [125,126]. This controversy suggests that the beneficial or harmful action of LPA is potentially dependent on several factors such as the expression profile of receptor subtypes and their connection to different signaling pathways in target cells, as previously proposed by Siess [124]. Other age-related disorders that have appeared to be influenced by LPA signaling are obesity [127], bone disorders [128], autoimmune disorders [92], infertility [129] and others. Therefore, it is not a surprise that several studies have proven that this phospholipid induces senescence as well, by using different approaches and testing different receptors [116,130]. Although the functional link between LPA receptors and cellular senescence may not be as strong as at the present time as with other GPCR systems detailed in subsequent sections, the potential of pharmacological interventions controlling LPA signaling to attenuate senescence-associated loss of function should be investigated further as already suggested by Kanehira and colleagues [141]. Thus effective molecular targeting of LPA receptor systems could result in the creation of a potential approach to therapeutically modulate the aging process, which possesses senescence as one of its key hallmarks [9].

5.1.2. Angiotensin II Receptor

The renin-angiotensin system (RAS) is a synchronized hormonal cascade in the control of cardiovascular, renal, and adrenal function that governs arterial pressure as well as body fluid and electrolyte balance [131]. The active peptide and thus, major effector of this RAS system has been proven to be the Angiotensin II (Ang II). This octapeptide is a potent but labile vasoconstrictor that raises blood pressure [132] and has therefore multiple functions in regulating cardiovascular dynamics. Recent research has demonstrated that GPCR interacting proteins can control the output of the Ang II ligand-receptor system. Ang II can functionally interact in a selective manner with two major cell surface GPCRs, the angiotensin type 1 (AT1R) and angiotensin type 2 receptors (AT2R). However, most of the well-characterized Ang II functions are triggered by stimulation of the AT1R [131]. As already reviewed in [133] and briefly described in [46], Ang II is closely associated to the senescence of vascular cells, which is not surprising at all, since vascular senescence plays a critical role in age-related cardiovascular diseases.

There is increasing evidence thanks to several studies, that Ang II promotes vascular senescence via AT1R-coupled signaling mechanisms [134–136]. On the one hand, Ang II binding to the AT1 receptor has been demonstrated to induce two types of senescence in human vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMC), namely replicative senescence after a 30 days Ang II stimulation compared to a dose-dependent increase in premature VSMC senescence after 24 h stimulation [137]. ATR1-dependent signaling not only appears to regulate senescence in humans, but also in rodents. Interestingly, AT1R blockers (ARB) inhibit Ang II-induced vascular cell senescence, therefore showing an anti-aging effect. In their review regarding the functional link between AT1R-coupled signaling and senescence [133], Min and co-workers highlighted all the recently discovered signaling molecules involved in coupling those, being some examples the activation of NAD(P)H oxidase that finally may activate many signaling molecules as tyrosine kinases, MAPKs and transcription factors, as well as Ras, a small GTP-binding oncoprotein, that is characterized by its ability to activate several effector proteins, including Raf-1, PI3K and Ras-GDS.

However, the role of AT2R activation in vascular senescence has been an enigma for a considerable period of time after its discovery. In recent years a significant body of information has been gathered about this receptor and among others, it has been shown to generate the opposite signaling output as previously described for AT1R, thus, functionally antagonizing AT1R-mediated vascular senescence [138]. In this study, Min et al. [144] showed that deleting the AT2R enhances vascular senescence through methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) 2 inhibition. It has also been demonstrated that non-G-protein interacting proteins can condition the Ang II GPCRs as well and thus, regulate this complex signaling system in order to achieve cellular senescence control. One factor that has been shown to attenuate the ability of the AT1 receptor to induce vascular senescence is the AT1R-interacting protein (ATRAP) [133]. On the other hand, the AT2R-interacting protein (ATIP) is a further example of a protein that prevents vascular senescence by binding to the AT2 receptor [139]. As can be inferred from these studies, targeting these GPCRs results in a tractable approach to regulate vascular senescence. Min and co-workers [133] have already suggested several targets for the treatment of vascular aging and age-related vascular diseases in their review. Furthermore, possible ways of therapeutic interventions of Ang II for targeting hypertension have been considered already [140,141] as well as neuropathic and inflammatory pain [142]. Even studies on targeting prostate cancer reveal that receptor blockers (ARBs) have the potential to inhibit the growth of various cancer cells and tumors through the AT1R [143]. Interestingly, a further intersection between GPCRs and senescence may be zinc-based signaling. There is evidence that Ang II-induced senescence can be promoted by downregulation of several zinc transporters [155]. Alterations in zinc homeostasis also have been shown to result in accelerated senescence in primary endothelial cells [156]. Furthermore Zhao and co-workers (2015) demonstrated that zinc, in addition to Ang II overload elevated ROS levels resulting in the potentiation of cell senescence. To test this proposal this research team investigated the role of Nox1 (NADPH oxidase 1) in this paradigm. Hence Zhao et al. [157] downregulated Nox1 using siRNA and were able to effectively prevent Ang II and zinc-induced senescence. In addition to these findings it has been also shown that Nox1 activity in platelets can be regulated by GPCR agonists [158] suggesting that this is another possible nexus with which to control zinc and Ang II-induced cellular senescence. Zinc signaling has been linked to thus been linked to GPCR activity in several studies, from
GPCR-based sensation of extracellular zinc levels [159] to disturbed GPCR signaling in the absence of zinc transporters [160]. Hence, mice lacking the zinc transporter Slc39a14/Zip14 possessed a disturbed GPCR signaling capacity in their growth plates, pituitary gland and liver which resulted in growth retardation and impaired glucogene-

sis [160]. These findings taken together suggest that zinc-mediated se-
nescence is strongly linked to GPCR signaling and thus presents a

novel aspect effective targeting of senolytic agents.

6. β-Arrestin Family GPCR Interacting Proteins

GPCR desensitization and intracellular trafficking is strongly controlled by the β-arrestin family of proteins. β-arrestins are a small family of cytosolic proteins compromising four members: arrestin 1 and arrestin 4 are visual arrests whereas β-arrestin 1 and β-arrestin 2 (also known as arrestin 2 and arrestin 3) are non-visual arrests [14]. Desensitization of the receptor by ligand stimulation is performed by binding of arrestin (β-arrestin 1 or 2), which sterically inhibits G-protein activation. The receptor is subsequently internalized through an arrestin-dependent clathrin-coated pit formation, followed by recycling to the plasma membrane, or in times of excessive stimulation, lysosomal degra-
dation [71]. However this is not the only function of β-arrestins, Luttrell et al. discovered in 1999 the involvement of β-arrestin in the formation of receptorosomes leading to the recruitment of c-Src to the plasma membrane [13]. Subsequently to this finding, a variety of signaling effectors have been demonstrated to bind β-
arrestins [80,144]. These factors include: E3 ubiquitin ligases, phosphodieserases and transcriptional activators. More recent research revealed that activation of the beta2-adrenergic receptor (βAR) recruited β-arrestins causing the activation of the E3 ubiquitin li-
gase MDM2 [46]. This activation promotes binding of MDM2 and p53 resulting in the degradation of p53 [145]. Given the involve-
ment of p53 in the activation of the cellular senescence pathway, it is interesting to note that β-arrestin signaling pathways can func-
tionally interact with cellular senescence programs [60]. Instead of activating the cellular senescence pathway, stimulation of β-arrestin signaling paradigms promotes apoptosis [146]. In this con-
text, further work has demonstrated that β-arrestin1-associated pathways may hold promise for the treatment of B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL LiCs) [147]. Liu et al. (2017) demon-
strated that molecular depletion of β-arrestin1 extended the population doubling time and the percentage of senile cells (signa-
tures of cellular senescence) of B-ALL LiCs. β-arrestin1 deletion also enhanced the expression of proteins (CBX1 – chromobox1, HIRA – Histone Cell Cycle Regulator) and genes (p53, p16) related to se-
nescence in leukemic Reh cells and B-ALL-LiCs-derived leukemic mice. Hence, loss of β-arrestin1 expression induced senescence of Reh cells through control of the hTERT-telomerase-telomere axis. Importantly, depletion of β-arrestin1 decreased the binding of Sp1 to hTERT promoter at the region of –28 to –36bp, β-arrestin1 cell-

lar depletion reduced the interaction of P300 (Histone acetyl-
transferase p300) with Sp1, reducing Sp1 binding to hTERT promoter, downregulate hTERT transcription, decreased telomerase activity, shortened telomere length with the eventual promotion of Reh cell senescence. β-arrestin1 is known to be a scaffold of GPCR signaling and was shown to translocate to the nucleus in response to GPCR activation facilitating histone acetylation and gene tran-
scription [148], thus demonstrating a potential mechanism by which GPCRs may control cellular senescence. While β-arrestin has long been considered as a signaling adaptor for GPCRs, recent research has demonstrated that GPCR-independent activation of β-Arrestin signaling is apparent as well. Hence β-Arrestin 2 is able to activate c-Jun N-terminal Kinase (JNK) without prior upstream GPCR ligand engagement [149,150]. In this paradigm the stimulation of JNK entrains the subsequent activation of FOXO4 leading to cellular senescence [151]. Taken together, consid-
ering the GPCR-dependent β-arrestin signaling and given the in-
volvement of senescence in age-related disorders, augmenting the capacity of GPCRs to signaling through β-arrestin might be an im-
portant mechanism by which to control cellular senescence in a va-

riety of physiological systems.

6.1. G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinases (GRKs) and Associated Proteins

GPCRs can respond to an unparalleled diversity of extracellular stimuli and are involved in almost every physiological process, includ-
ing cellular senescence [67]. For the majority of GPCR systems, follow-

ing receptor stimulation there is a reflexive interaction of GRKs with the activated state of the receptor. GRKs are a class of serine/threonine kinases that phosphorylate the receptor on the intracellular loops or the carboxyl-terminus. This phosphorylation can promote the associa-
tion with β-arrestin, which inactivates the receptor and facilitates in-
ternalization. This is canonical process has been classified as homologous desensitization of the receptor. Second messenger-
dependent kinases such as PKA or PKC are also able to desensitize the receptor, a distinct process termed heterologous desensitization [152].

GRKs are multifunctional proteins that in addition to their catalytic activity can furthermore function as a scaffolding protein for multiple signaling factors involved in cell signaling and sub-cellular vesicle traffic-

ficing independent of phosphorylation events [153]. It is therefore un-

surprising that these proteins may also be involved in cellular senescence as they can link GPCRs to multiple signaling systems. The GRK family proteins consists out of seven different types of GRK, i.e. GRK1 to 7, which share 60–70% sequence homology and are all involved in kinase activity [153]. This superfamily is furthermore orga-
nized in three different subfamilies: (i) the rhodopsin kinases comprising GRK1 and GRK7, (ii) the β-adrenergic receptor kinases comprising GRK2 and GRK3 and lastly (iii) the GRK4 subfamily comprising GRK4, GRK5 and GRK6 [153,154]. With the respect to the involve-
ment of GRKs in cellular senescence, it was first noted that both GRK2 and GRK5 are involved in cell cycle regulation [155,156]. GRK2, ubiqui-
tously expressed in mammalian tissues, was shown to be important in the progression of the cell cycle [155]. During normal cell cycle progress-

ion, GRK2 expression levels gradually decline during progression of the G2 cell cycle phase [155]. More recently it was shown that increased levels of GRK2 causes increased levels of p53 phosphorylation leading to cell cycle arrest and thus potentially inducing cellular senescence [157]. However, the precise role of GRK2 in cellular senescence has not yet been investigated. It is interestingly to note that GRK5 has also been shown to be involved in cell cycle progression [156]. In contrast to GRK2, it is shown that decreased levels of GRK5 causes cell cycle ar-
rest in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle [156]. In contrast, the upregu-
lation of GRK5 can lead to the inhibition of the cell senescence-controlling p53 protein leading to tumorigenesis. The regulation of GRK5 expres-
sion is therefore a promising in the treatment of multiple age-related diseases [158]. Given these findings, one inhibitor has been recently de-
scribed, amlexanox. Amlexanox binds the active site of GRK5 by mim-
icking the adenine of ATP, resulting in the inhibition of GRK5 leading to cell cycle arrest and potentially apoptosis. However complete cell cycle arrest and induction of senescence by GRK5 has not been established. In contrast to GRK5 and GRK2, is has been shown that over-
expression of GRK4 induces cellular senescence via a p16<sup>INK4A</sup> me-

chanism [159]. The specific regulatory pathway of cellular senescence in response to overexpression of GRK4 needs however to be further inves-
tigated. As previously mentioned, GRK and GRK-interacting proteins are involved in other signaling functions aside from receptor
desensitization. One such protein involved in cellular senescence is GIT2, an ADP-ribosylation factor GTPase-activating protein (Arf-GAP) and a G protein-coupled receptor interacting protein [29,160,161]. GIT2 was originally identified as a key player in aging through latent senescence indexing (LSI) in the hypothalamus of aging rats [29]. This involvement of GIT2 in the aging process was further demonstrated by the upregulation in both human and primate hypothalamic tissue [29] and in the presence of hydrogen peroxide [32]. Additionally, it was found that GIT2 was strongly involved in DNA damage repair, through recruitment of ATM to the sites of damage to start the DNA damage repair process [32]. In this age-controlling paradigm it was demonstrated that GIT2 possessed a near irreversible association with the senescence regulator p53 [121].

Investigation of GIT2 knockout (GIT2KO) mice revealed age-accelerated levels of H2AX-associated DNA damage in cortical tissues compared to age-matched controls [31]. Genomic downregulation of GIT2 has been furthermore associated with the creation of SASP-like phenotype [31], via the increase of expression of pro-senescent factors such as Cdkn2c and Ehmt2 [31,162–164]. It is therefore possible to suggest that GIT2 may be a novel GPCR-associated bridging factor between DNA damage [46] and cellular senescence. Given the involvement of DNA damage and cellular senescence in multiple age-related disorders, GIT2 might represent a potential target for the treatment of age-related disorders. However, GIT2 is a scaffolding protein and therefore not a classical drug target, such as receptors, kinases, phosphatases and ion channels [22]. As previously discussed, GPCRs can be used to control expression of multiple downstream signaling proteins [88]. Therefore, GPCRs could be used to target the expression profile of GIT2 and its associated signaling factors. In order to do so, it was imperative to find a GPCR that is able to control the expression of this scaffolding protein. GIT2KO samples were analyzed to find a consistently regulated GPCR across different tissues [31,33,34]. This showed a consistently down-regulated GPCR, the RXFP3 in both central nervous and peripheral endocrine tissues. This penetrant GPCR-signaling protein relationship suggests that this coherent system may represent a crucial future target for age-related disorders.

### 6.2. Regulator of G Protein Signaling (RGS) Proteins

Given our current appreciation of the intricate complexity of GPCR signaling, multiple layers of regulation and feedback are needed to contend with the multidimensional efficacy signaling profiles. Aside from the activity regulation mechanisms of GRKs and β-arrestins, there exists a third generic mechanism common to many GPCR, namely the RGS proteins [165]. All RGS proteins interact with the active GTP-bound Go subunits, which induces a conformational change leading to accelerated GTP hydrolysis [166]. Currently there are more than twenty mammalian RGS proteins known, which are further divided into eight different subfamilies based on their sequence homology [167,168].

Their pivotal roles in duration of GPCR activation, they might be important for regulation of cellular senescence. An interesting possibility arises from the observation that RGS10 is highly involved in aging and multiple age-related disorders [169]. RGS10 is one of the smallest RGS proteins, belonging to the D/R12 subfamily. RGS10 is highly expressed in the brain, thymus and lymph nodes [170]. RGS10 is a negative regulator of the transcription factor NF-κB leading to alterations in the immune system [170,171]. It has been shown that genomic diminution of RGS10 increases the immune responses [170]. Given the involvement of the immune system in the clearance of senescent cells, RGS10 represents an actionable therapeutic target for the treatment of age-related disorders.

### 6.3. Functional Intersection of the GPCR-Senescence System

In recent years, cellular senescence has become more important in the development of age-related disorders. Given that GPCRs could control protein expression to connect and coordinate multiple responses to multiple stressors, these systems might show a strong functional intersection with cellular senescence as already suggested previously. To illustrate directly this potential functional intersection between GPCRs and cellular senescence, we generated two protein lists in an unbiased manner (Fig. 3A). We employed BioGrid (https://thebiogrid.org/) to
extract interacting proteins of GPCR-associated proteins (Table S1) and then employed the Reactome pathway database (https://reactome.org/) to generate a comprehensive list of senescence-associated proteins (Table S2). In order to investigate the functional crossovers between these unbiased protein lists, we first scrutinized the amount of overlapping proteins using InteractiVenn (http://www.interactivenn.net/). This indicated an overlap of 26 proteins, shown in Fig. 3B. Next, we investigated how these overlapping protein identifications are distributed among the data linked to our GPCR-associated proteins (Fig. 3C: Table S2). This analysis revealed that currently, perhaps the most important loci of GPCR-Senescence interactions are associated with the β-arrestins. The next most strongly associated GPCR-Senescence interaction factor was GIT2, suggesting that this new non-G protein dependent signaling effector may hold considerable promise for future biased ligand therapy. To assess the functional intersections of these two systems – denoted by these 26 overlapping proteins - we performed Reactome 2019 pathway analysis of this data set using the Enrichr (http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr: Fig. 3D). In this analysis the most significantly enriched pathway was ‘Cellular Senescence’, followed by ‘Cellular Responses to Stress’ and ‘Oxidative Stress Induced Senescence’. To generate an unbiased and nuanced appreciation of the functional ramifications of this intersecting dataset, we applied a natural language processing-based analytical workflow to these 26 factors. Using the web-based natural language processing Textxrus! Application [172] the specific scientific words associated with these overlapping proteins are displayed in an agglomerative hierarchical wordcloud (Fig. 3E). These words were obtained from gene-word document matrices assembled using all PubMed PMC Abstracts, all OMIM entries and all entries in the Jackson Laboratories Mammalian Phenotypes database. This wordcloud shows two different subclouds, i.e. stress-activated signaling terms and ubiquitination related terms. Demonstrating the validity of our informative text analysis approach there is considerable evidence demonstrating a profound link between stress-activated protein kinase (SAPK) pathways, cellular senescence activity [173–175] and GPCR functionality [176–178]. During the development of cellular senescence, the degradation by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway of selective proteins is regularly engaged [179]. Hence, multiple researchers have shown that ubiquitination of key factors regulating cell senescence are critically linked to pathophysiological aging processes [180–182]. In addition to our classical pathway and natural language processing interrogations of the 26 common factors we further assessed their potential physical relationship to each other using PPI analysis using data extracted from the IMEx (The International Molecular Exchange Consortium) consortium (https://www.imexconsortium.org/) within the NetworkAnalyst platform (https://www.networkanalyst.ca/ [183]). A zero order generic PPI network was created using the 26 GPCR-Senescence interacting factors (Fig. 3F). This self-organized network centered upon ubiquitin C (UBC) and was strongly associated with TRAF6-associated SASP functionality (indicated by the multiple highlighted blue components of the network). It is interesting to note that TRAF6 (TNF receptor-associated factor 6) is a pro-senescence factor linked with SAPK signaling [184–186], receptor functionality [176,187–189] as well as β-arrestin [190,191] and GIT2 signaling [192]. Such findings mechanically suggest a tight functional synergy between the domains of cell senescence and GPCR activity as i) SAPK signaling can be entrained through GPCR systems and ii) both GIT2 and β-arrestin are functional GPCR-associated signaling adapters [14,146]. These signaling factors therefore represent a definite active bridge (and potential drug target(s)) between GPCR and cell senescence systems. Therefore, using diverse unbiased analyses of publicly available biomedical text corpora and curated databases, we have shown that these two systems might be functionally interconnected. A better understanding of this functional intersection may create a novel series of drug-based strategies to regulate cellular senescence in the aging process. Therapeutic targeting of the aging process represents an exciting new concept in preventative medicine as pathological aging mechanisms, including cellular senescence, may underpin the etiology of almost every disease [6,7]. The generation of remedial strategies that can slow down the damaged-related aging process might slow down or even prevent several age-related disorders.

7. GPCR-Based Intervention for Cell Senescence Control

Since their discovery in the early 1970s, GPCRs as a pharmacological intervention platform have demonstrated an unparalleled ability to functionally contribute to the current pharmacopeia. Hence, almost half of the currently employed drugs either target, directly or indirectly, GPCR signaling systems. Much of this drug development was performed using simplistic models of GPCR activity [68,193]. Subsequent advances in receptor theory [194–196] as well as the introduction of the receptorsome concept [70,197,198] have now transformed our understanding of this crucial therapeutic system. However, given this advance in knowledge there is a current lag in the deployment of these insights into therapeutic design [148,199,200]. It is therefore clear that a concerted effort is now required to enhance the capacity to exploit these flexible GPCR systems in the context of controlling the expression of proteins involved in cell senescence, e.g. cdkn2a, p16, p21, p53 and Psmat [201–203]. Given the broad spectrum of factors associated with the creation of a senescent phenotype it is likely that an enhanced appreciation of how GPCR signaling controls transcription and protein translation, via non-G protein signaling paradigms [11,12,204–206]. Given the functional distinctions between G protein and non-G protein signaling it is important to factor into this anti-senescence drug discovery program the concept that non-G protein-dependent signaling paradigms, e.g. β-arrestin or GIT2, may be highly context sensitive and thus may only be present in certain pathophysiological conditions such as metabolic stress or DNA damage. Thus, the identification of cellular signaling phenotypes that promote the switching of biases between G protein and non-G protein signaling paradigms will be critical for developing agents capable of controlling pro-senescent networks. It is interesting to note from this standpoint that two most prominent signaling factors in the realm of non-G protein signaling, i.e. β-arrestin [14,206] and GIT2 [22] can both be considered to be highly connected ‘keystone’ or ‘hub’ proteins [29]. Therefore, rather than considering cell signaling paradigms as loosely connected linear cascades, it is vital to redefine our working concepts of cell signaling to a more interactome-based paradigm [172,207,208]. Hence, it is vital for the rational development of future anti-senescent GPCR-agents that our appreciation of the context-dependence of β-arrestin- or GIT2-dependent receptorsome functionality be enhanced. Given the relative novelty of the concept of controlling cell senescence via GPCR systems there are already several excellent studies that are demonstrating an important spearhead in this venture, linked to receptor-mediated control of macular degeneration [209] GRK-associated cell senescence functional profiling [159].

In this review we have highlighted the potential of targeting senescence in order to overcome age-related diseases. For that, GPCRs have arisen as promising candidates, since they are capable of modulating the activity of proteins involved in signaling pathways that are directly or indirectly involved in cell senescence and consequently in aging. Therefore, these receptors have become crucial regulators of surely almost every process of cell maintenance and survival thereby evolving into excellent effectors for controlling those processes. By rationally exploiting their advantageous properties via novel drug design, we could enable the direct therapeutic treatment of senescent-related factors and thus avoid the development into more acute phases of certain age-related disorders or even prevent the appearance of such diseases. Given the well documented nature of GPCR-based signal transduction – coupled to this new functional intersection with pro-aging senescent mechanisms the rational generation of a combinatorial database linking
these two signaling domains would represent an important tool for future therapeutic discovery and development.
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