DNAJB6 is a peptide-binding chaperone which can suppress amyloid fibrillation of polyglutamine peptides at substoichiometric molar ratios
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Abstract Expanded polyglutamine (polyQ) stretches lead to protein aggregation and severe neurodegenerative diseases. A highly efficient suppressor of polyQ aggregation was identified, the DNAJB6, when molecular chaperones from the HSPH, HSPA, and DNAJ families were screened for huntingtin exon 1 aggregation in cells (Hageman et al. in Mol Cell 37(3):355–369, 2010). Furthermore, also aggregation of polyQ peptides expressed in cells was recently found to be efficiently suppressed by co-expression of DNAJB6 (Gillis et al. in J Biol Chem 288:17225–17237, 2013). These suppression effects can be due to an indirect effect of DNAJB6 on other cellular components or to a direct interaction between DNAJB6 and polyQ peptides that may depend on other cellular components. Here, we have purified the DNAJB6 protein to investigate the suppression mechanism. The purified DNAJB6 protein formed large heterogeneous oligomers, in contrast to the more canonical family member DNAJB1 which is dimeric. Purified DNAJB6 protein, at substoichiometric molar ratios, efficiently suppressed fibrillation of polyQ peptides with 45°Q in a thioflavin T fibrillation. No suppression was obtained with DNAJB1, but with the closest homologue to DNAJB6, DNAJB8. The suppression effect was independent of HSPA1 and ATP. These data, based on purified proteins and controlled fibrillation in vitro, strongly suggest that the fibrillation suppression is due to a direct protein–protein interaction between the polyQ peptides and DNAJB6 and that the DNAJB6 has unique fibrillation suppression properties lacking in DNAJB1. Together, the data obtained in cells and in vitro support the view that DNAJB6 is a peptide-binding chaperone that can interact with polyQ peptides that are incompletely degraded by and released from the proteasome.

Keywords Aggregation · Amyloid · DNAJ · Molecular chaperones · Polyglutamine

Introduction

Among the various molecular chaperone families, the DNAJ family is the largest and most heterogeneous group, named after their common nominator, the J domain, which is responsible for the interactions with the ATP-dependent Hsp70 (HSPA) refolding machinery. Canonically, the DNAJ proteins bind to substrate proteins, transport, and present them to Hsp70 for refolding. The J domain also stimulates the ATPase activity of Hsp70. The DNAJ family members are thought to be responsible for substrate specificity (Kampinga and Craig 2010). Molecular chaperones in the protein quality control system assist folding and degradation of substrate proteins (Tyedmers et al. 2010) and suppress aggregation and cytotoxicity induced by heat shock or other proteotoxic insults (Kampinga 1993; Morimoto 2008).
Neurodegenerative polyglutamine diseases are caused by a CAG triplet expansion in the affected gene, which leads to an aggregation-prone prolonged polyglutamine (polyQ) stretch in the encoded protein (Gatchel and Zoghbi 2005). Stretches with polyQ occur in many human proteins, e.g., in transcription factors. This suggests that such polyQ stretches have an evolutionary early and important cellular function (Ramazzotti et al. 2012; Schaefer et al. 2012). The normal form of the human huntingtin (Htt) protein contains a polyQ stretch of 11 to 34 glutamines in exon 1 (HttEx1). An expansion of the number of glutamines to above 35 leads to Huntington’s disease (HD), a severe disease hallmarkmed by protein fibrillation and neuronal inclusion body formation, linked to a progressive neurodegenerative process with uncontrolled movements, personality changes, and cognitive impairment. The length of the polyQ stretch is statistically related to the age of onset of HD: the longer, the earlier the onset of symptoms (Novak and Tabrizi 2010). Fibrillation propensity is also dependent on polyQ length as shown in several cell and animal models as well as in vitro data with purified proteins (de Almeida et al. 2002; Kar et al. 2011). All these suggest that aggregation initiates disease, although the nature of the toxic entities (fibrils or precursor oligomeric forms) that cause neurodegeneration is subject to debate.

Various molecular chaperones have been studied for their involvement in polyQ diseases (Gestwicki and Garza 2012). Within the DNAJ protein family, the HSJ1a (DNAJB2a) (Labbadia et al. 2012) and DNAJB1 (Lotz et al. 2010) have been reported to suppress polyQ aggregation in cooperation with the Hsp70 machinery. However, in a cell-based screen of various molecular chaperones from the HSPH, HSPA, and DNAJ families, the most effective to suppress polyQ aggregation are two closely related DNAJ homologues, DNAJB6 and DNAJB8 (Hageman et al. 2010). Suppression had previously been observed for DNAJB6 in a human cell line (Chuang et al. 2002) and for the Drosophila ortholog Mrj-1 (Fayazi et al. 2006). Interestingly, the suppression of polyQ aggregation by DNAJB6 (Hageman et al. 2010) was found to be independent of the Hsp70 machinery. In fact, the N-terminal J domain, required for Hsp70 interactions, was largely dispensable for this effect. The mechanism of polyQ fibrillation and the influence of the polyQ context within proteins are not fully understood at the molecular level (Bieschke et al. 2012; Wetzel 2012). Aggregation in nine different polyQ diseases (HD, x-linked spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy, dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy and six forms of spinocerebellar ataxia) is tightly associated with polyQ stretches in nine functionally and structurally unrelated proteins (Zoghbi and Orr 2000). Furthermore, polyQ stretches fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP) affect, for example, motility in Caenorhabditis elegans models (Morley et al. 2002), and polyQ stretches alone cause toxicity in Drosophila (Marsh et al. 2000). Thus, it appears that it is the polyQ stretches that drive aggregation.

Several reports suggest that aggregation initiation is associated with processing of polyQ-containing proteins by proteases, since the full-length polyQ-containing proteins are less prone to aggregation (Harris et al. 2010; Ratovitski et al. 2009). The context around the polyQ stretch does seem, however, important, probably, both for its processing into such smaller fragments (Wellington et al. 1998; Berke et al. 2004; Jung et al. 2009; Graham et al. 2006) as well as for the (co)aggregation proneness of the generated fragments (Deyah and Bertolotti 2006). The proteasome is unable to cleave expanded polyQ stretches (Holmberg et al. 2004; Venkatraman et al. 2004). Proteasomal processing of polyQ-containing proteins or fragments generated thereof may therefore yield highly aggregation-prone polyQ peptides (Raspe et al. 2009), not readily digested by peptidases (Menzies et al. 2010). These polyQ peptides could thus be a major initiator of aggregation and disease, by seeding the aggregation of polyQ-containing proteins. Recently, cellular polyQ aggregation, initiated by overexpression of peptides with expanded polyQ stretches, was found to be efficiently suppressed by co-expression of DNAJB6 and DNAJB8 (Gillis et al. 2013). Such suppression could be due to either an indirect effect on other cellular components or to a direct interaction between DNAJB6/DNAJB8 and polyQ peptides.

In order to obtain further evidence for a direct interaction between DNAJB6 and polyQ and to gain insight into the suppression mechanism, we decided to purify the proteins, focusing on the functional characterization of DNAJB6 since it is ubiquitously expressed, including in the brain, whereas DNAJB8 is only expressed in the testis (Hageman et al. 2010). We decided to evaluate the suppression by thioflavin T binding, which is among the most widely used tools in the study of amyloid fibrils (Biancalana and Koide 2010; Wu et al. 2010), using purified polyQ peptides as well as purified polyQ constructs within a HttEx1 context. We found that the purified DNAJB6 protein formed large heterogeneous oligomers, consistent with the oligomeric state found in human cell lines, and that DNAJB6 efficiently suppressed the fibrillation of polyQ peptides under conditions where DNAJB1 and the Hsp70 machinery had no effect.

Materials and methods

Recombinant expression and purification of DNAJB6/8

The human DNAJB6b and DNAJB8, cloned and sequenced as previously described (Hageman et al. 2010), were subcloned into pET/TOPO-151 vector (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) containing a hexa His-tag, a V5-tag, and a tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease cleavage site. The plasmids were
transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain ER2566 and grown in LB medium with 50 mg/l ampicillin. Overnight cultures of 5 ml were used to inoculate 500 ml cultures in 2.5-l baffled flasks in a shaker at 37 °C. Isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside was added at OD$_{600}$ = 1, and cells were collected 3–4 h later by centrifugation at 6,000×g for 5 min and frozen at −20 °C. Cell pellets were dissolved in buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)), with DNAse (Sigma-Aldrich, Stockholm, Sweden) and protease inhibitor (Complete EDTA free; Roche Diagnostics Scandinavia AB, Stockholm, Sweden) added just prior to cell lysis, which was performed by passing twice through a French press, followed by centrifugation at 20,000×g. The supernatant was discarded, the pellet was washed in the same buffer including 0.75 % Tween-20, centrifugation was repeated, and pellet was finally resuspended in the same buffer without Tween-20, but with 8 M urea, which was, thereafter, aliquoted and stored at −20 °C. For affinity chromatography, the sample was thawed and diluted tenfold in the same buffer with no urea, and imidazole was added to a final concentration of 20 mM. The sample was loaded on the HisTrap column (GE Healthcare Lifesciences, Uppsala, Sweden), washed with buffer containing 20 mM imidazole, and eluted with buffer containing 350 mM imidazole. The His-tag was cleaved with TEV protease (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) at a protein concentration of 1 mg/ml and 1 unit protease per 3 μg protein at 20 °C overnight. After cleavage, the sample was diluted with imidazole-free buffer and concentrated using the Centriprep centrifugal filter devices (Millipore AB Sweden, Solna, Sweden) and applied a second time to the HisTrap column. The flow-through was collected and contained the His-tag-free proteins, whereas the cleaved off His-tag, any remaining uncleaved His-tagged protein, and the TEV protease, which contains a non-cleavable His-tag, were retained on the column. Protein concentration was determined using the Bradford assay (Bradford 1976; Stoscheck 1990) with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard. Non-denaturing (native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)) and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-PAGE was performed using precast 3–12 % NativePAGE Bis-Tris Gels and precast 4–12 % NuPAGE® SDS-PAGE Bis-Tris Gels (Life Technologies Europe BV, Stockholm, Sweden), stained with colloidal Coomassie Brilliant Blue (Lawrence and Besir 2009) and scanned with the Image Scanner III using the software Labscan/IQTL (GE Healthcare Lifesciences, Uppsala, Sweden).

Dynamic light scattering

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurement was used to assess particle size using the Zetasizer Nano S (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) amenable for measurements on particles between 0.3 nm to 10 μm.

Size exclusion chromatography

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was performed by gel filtration using the HiPrep Sephacryl S-400 16/60 column (GE Healthcare Lifesciences, Uppsala, Sweden). The column was equilibrated with buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl) and operated at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min at 4 °C. Fractions of 0.5 ml were collected and protein elution followed by detection of the absorbance at 280 nm.

Expression and purification of maltose-binding protein-fused HttEx1 and polyQ peptide

Recombinant C-terminally hexa His-tagged maltose-binding protein (MBP)-TEV-HttEx1Qn and N-terminally hexa His-tagged MBP-TEV-Qn of varying lengths (n = 15 and 45) were expressed in *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) (Stratagene, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and purified in three steps. The proteins were first eluted from the 10-ml bed volume Talon metal affinity resin column (Clontech, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France), equilibrated in 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5; 100 mM KCl; 20 mM imidazole; 10 % glycerol; and 1 mM DTT by developing an imidazole gradient (20–500 mM). The His-tagged MBP-TEV-HttEx1Qn and the MBP-TEV-Qn of varying lengths were eluted from this column in 200 mM imidazole. The fractions containing His-tagged MBP-TEV-HttEx1Qn were pooled and loaded on the UnoQ6 column (BioRad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France) equilibrated in 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5; 20 mM KCl; and 1 mM DTT by developing an imidazole gradient (20–500 mM). The His-tagged MBP-TEV-HttEx1Qn eluted at 200 mM KCl, and their concentrations were determined from their absorbance at 280 nm using an extinction coefficient of 67840 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$.

Removal of MBP from HttEx1

MBP-TEV protease, produced using the plasmid pRK1043 (Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA), was added to the eluted protein at a 1:5 w/w ratio and 100 % cleavage, as assessed using SDS-PAGE, and was achieved upon incubating the mixture for 1 h at 37 °C. The mixture was then loaded on the 1-ml bed volume Talon column, the column was washed with 20 bed volumes of 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, and 100 mM KCl, and HttEx1Qn was eluted in 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5; 100 mM KCl; and 200 mM imidazole. The protein was immediately desalted using PD-10 desalting columns (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) equilibrated in 50 mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.5, and 150 mM NaCl;
aliquoted; flash frozen in liquid nitrogen; and stored at
−80 °C until use. The protein concentrations in the final
eluate were systematically comparable to that following
cleavage as assessed by SDS-PAGE analysis.

Removal of MBP from polyQ peptide

The fractions containing hexa His-tagged MBP-TEV-Qn
(1.5 mg/ml) were incubated with His-tagged TEV (0.3 mg/
ml) (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) for 20 min at room tempera-
ture. The mixture was then diluted tenfold and loaded on the
5-ml bed volume HiTrap Q FF column (GE Healthcare,
Uppsala, Sweden). The column was washed with ten bed
volumes of 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, and Qn peptides was
eluted in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, and 300 mM NaCl. His-
tagged MBP and TEV were trapped in-line on the 10-ml
Talon column. The Qn peptides were immediately aliquoted,
flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C until use.

Electron microscopy

The DNAJB6 protein was applied to glow discharged carbo-
coated grids and stained with 1 % uranyl acetate for negative stain
electron microscopy (EM). Images were recorded, using a JEOL
JEM2100F microscope at 200 kV and a magnification of 40,000
times, equipped with the Tietz 4k×4k CCD camera (Tietz Video
and Image Processing Systems GmbH, Gauting, Germany).
HttEx1Qn and Qn assemblies were observed by TEM in the
JEOL 1400 transmission electron microscope following adsorp-
tion onto carbon-coated 200-mesh grids and negative staining
with 1 % uranyl acetate. The images were recorded with the
Gatan Orius CCD camera (Gatan, München, Germany).

Fibrillation assay based on thioflavin T fluorescence increase

The constructs of purified His-tagged MBP-TEV-HttEx1Qn
and MBP-TEV-Qn of varying lengths were used to monitor
fibril formation by continuous measurement of thioflavin T
(ThT) fluorescence increase (Linse et al. 2007). The final
volume was 100 μl per well in a 96-well, low-binding, half-
area microplate (Corning 3881; Corning Incorporated Life
Sciences, Acton, MA) kept on ice, while constructs from
30 μM stock solutions were mixed to a final concentration of
15 μM (or as else stated) with filtered and degassed buffer
(Tris–tricine, pH 7.4 and 150 mM KCl); the final concentration
of ThT was 10 μM, and the final concentration of DNAJB6,
DNAJB8, and DNAJB1 (obtained from Sigma-Aldrich,
Stockholm, Sweden) was varied as stated in figure legends.
All molar ratios between proteins are calculated on a
monomer-to-monomer basis, although the same oligomeric
DNAJB6 protein was used in all assays. HSPA1 (Sigma-
Aldrich, Stockholm, Sweden) was added in a molar ratio to
DNAJB of 2:1, together with 2 mM ATP and 5 mM MgCl2.

Results

DNAJB6 is an oligomeric protein

The DNAJB6 was recombinantly expressed in E. coli and
purified by affinity chromatography (Fig. S1, Table S1). The
His-tag was removed by cleavage with TEV protease, and
when occasionally, the cleavage was incomplete; not only
His-tagged DNAJB6 but also untagged DNAJB6 was retained on the column. This suggested that the purified DNAJB6 protein is not in a monomeric form, in consistence with the findings that in cells, DNAJB6 (Sarparanta et al. 2011) as DNAJB8 (Hageman et al. 2010) forms large oligomers. The oligomeric state of DNAJB6 was further analyzed by DLS (Fig. 1a), SEC (Fig. 1b), native PAGE (Fig. 1c), and negative stain EM (Fig. 1d), altogether revealing a polydisperse population of particles, with an average radius size of 12.7 nm (Table 1). The size distribution of the oligomers was similar for DLS measurements at temperatures from 10 to 40 °C and at concentrations ranging from 0.03 to 3 mg/ml (data not shown). Attempts to fractionate the heterogeneous DNAJB6 oligomers by SEC resulted in subpopulations of different size, as seen with native PAGE (Fig. 1c) and DLS (Table 1), which, however, still were heterogeneous. So, unlike what is known for most other DNAJB proteins that, generally, are thought to form dimers (Kampinga and Craig 2010; Cheetham and Caplan 1998; Li et al. 2009), DNAJB6 forms large and polydisperse oligomers.

Assessment of PolyQ fibrillation

The high propensity of polyQ to form β-sheet-rich fibrils is documented by different experimental techniques, including CD, EM, and X-ray diffraction (Papaleo and Invernizzi 2011). That fibrils bind the fluorescent dye ThT (Krebs et al. 2005) is underlying one of the most widely used tools in the study of amyloid fibrils (Biancalana and Koide 2010; Wu et al. 2010). We evaluated the effect of DNAJB6 on fibrillation, with polyQ as a peptide (Qn) and in the context of HttEx1 (HttEx1Qn), with Q = 15 or 45. The sequences were GAMKSF(Q)nF and GAMATLKEKMKAFLSEGKSF(Q)nPPPPPPLPPQPPLPQAQLLPQGPPPPPPP PPPPQPAPAEPPLHRPGSLHHHHHH (with residues in HttEx1 underlined), resulting in molecular mass 6.5 and

| SEC fraction | Radius size (nm) | SD | MW (kDa) | SD |
|--------------|------------------|----|----------|----|
| Unfractionated | 12.7             | 4.7 | 1,280    | 478 |
| 3            | 13.2             | 3.3 | 1,400    | 352 |
| 6            | 10.8             | 2.8 | 880      | 231 |
| 9            | 10.4             | 2.5 | 810      | 196 |

Values are presented from DLS measurements of DNAJB6 oligomers, without (unfractionated) and with (fractionated: 3, 6, and 9) prior fractionation by SEC (Fig. 1b). Same fractions were also analyzed by native PAGE (Fig. 1c).
14 kDa, respectively, for \( n = 45 \). Since the constructs are highly prone to aggregation, they were expressed and purified as fusions with MBP (Fig. S2). Fibrillation was initiated by addition of TEV protease, which starts the release of the fibrillation-prone polyQ monomers from MBP, and was monitored by continuous measurement of ThT fluorescence. For both Q45 (Fig. 2a) and HttEx1Q45 (Fig. 2b), fibrillation occurred in a concentration-dependent manner, as previously reported (Wetzel 2012). To yield information on the dominating fibrillation pathway (Cohen et al. 2012), half times of fibrillation (\( t_{0.5} \) values, Table 2) were extracted from the curves in a and b and log \( t_{0.5} \) plotted against the log concentration of Q45 peptide and HttEx1Q45, respectively (c). Samples of polyQ peptide (d, e) and HttEx1 (f, g) with Q15 (d, f) or Q45 (e, g) were visualized with TEM to confirm fibril formation. Scale bars correspond to 200 nm.

**Table 2** Concentration-dependent PolyQ fibrillation

| Concentration (\( \mu M \)) | \( t_{0.5} \) (h) | SD | \( t_{0.5} \) (h) | SD |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----|-----------------|----|
| 6.4                         | 16.0            | 0.2| 8.9             | 0.3|
| 8                           | 11.6            | 0.2| 6.3             | 0.0|
| 10                          | 9.2             | 0.1| 4.4             | 0.1|
| 12.8                        | 7.2             | 0.3| 3.3             | 0.1|
| 16                          | 5.3             | 0.0| 2.6             | 0.1|
| 20                          | 4.1             | 0.1| 2.2             | 0.0|

Fibrillation was measured as ThT fluorescence increase at various concentrations of polyQ. The half time (\( t_{0.5} \)) for fibrillation was extracted from the curves in Fig. 2a (Q45) and Fig. 2b (HttEx1Q45), respectively, and plotted in Fig. 2c.

Suppression of polyQ fibrillation by DNAJB6

Having established a robust fibrillation assay for Q45 and HttEx1Q45, we examined whether DNAJB6 can suppress polyQ fibrillation. The half times of fibrillation for both Q45 and HttEx1Q45 were significantly reduced by DNAJB6 (Fig. 2c). These findings suggest that DNAJB6 plays a role in the suppression of polyQ fibrillation.

**Fig. 2** Concentration-dependent polyQ fibrillation. Fibrillation was measured as the ThT fluorescence increase, and triplicate samples are shown for Q45 peptide (a) and for HttEx1Q45 (b), at protein concentrations 6.4, 8, 10, 12.8, 16, and 20 \( \mu M \), color coded with increasingly darker blue indicating increasing concentration. The difference in absolute fluorescence intensity between Q45 and HttEx1Q45 is arbitrary and gives no quantitative comparison of their extent of fibrillation. Half times of fibrillation (\( t_{0.5} \) values, Table 2) were extracted from the curves in a and b and log \( t_{0.5} \) plotted against the log concentration of Q45 peptide and HttEx1Q45, respectively (c). Samples of polyQ peptide (d, e) and HttEx1 (f, g) with Q15 (d, f) or Q45 (e, g) were visualized with TEM to confirm fibril formation. Scale bars correspond to 200 nm.
we next assessed the effect of DNAJB6 on fibrillation. The lag phase preceding fibrillation increased with increasing concentrations of DNAJB6 (Fig. 3). For Q45 (Fig. 3a), fibrillation suppression occurred at a molar ratio polyQ to DNAJB6 as low as 1:0.01. For HttEx1Q45, the fibrillation suppression was less efficient (Fig. 3b). Suppression can be estimated as the increase in the $t_{0.5}$ values for fibrillation and was increased by DNAJB6 approximately tenfold for Q45 at a 1:0.1 M ratio, but only by a factor of 3 for HttEx1Q45 at a similar molar ratio. At lower molar ratio (1:0.01), the $t_{0.5}$ of fibrillation was increased by a factor of 2 for Q45, while no significant effects were seen for HttEx1Q45.

**Fig. 3** Suppression of fibrillation of Q45 and HttEx1Q45 by DNAJB6. Fibrillation was measured in triplicates as the relative ThT fluorescence increase, at 15 μM Q45 (a) or HttEx1Q45 (b) with DNAJB6 added in varying polyQ to DNAJB6 molar ratios: 1:0.1 (red), 1:0.01 (dark pink), 1:0.001 (pink), no added DNAJB6 (black), and BSA added in ratio 1:0.1 (yellow green). Note the difference in time scale between Q45 and HttEx1Q45. Molar ratios were calculated as monomer to monomer, although DNAJB6 is oligomeric.

Suppression of polyQ fibrillation by DNAJB6 in presence of preformed fibrils To investigate the mechanism of fibrillation suppression further, we performed experiments under seeded conditions to mimic later stages where some nuclei have been formed already, and elongation of fibrils and secondary nucleation processes may occur (Cohen et al. 2012). Fibrillation suppression by added DNAJB6 was evaluated without seeding (primary nucleation dominates) and by seeding with preformed fibrils at two concentrations (5 %, secondary nucleation may dominate, and 20 %, the elongation of fibrils dominates), for Q45 (Fig. 4a) and HttEx1Q45 (Fig. 4b). The suppression was largest under non-seeded conditions.

**Fig. 4** Suppression of fibrillation of Q45 and HttEx1Q45 by DNAJB6 in the presence of preformed fibrils. Fibrillation was measured as the relative ThT fluorescence increase at 10 μM Q45 (a) or HttEx1Q45 (b) under different seeding conditions: no seed (black) without and with DNAJB6 (red), seeding with 5 % preformed fibrils (dark blue) without and with DNAJB6 (purple), seeding with 20 % preformed fibrils (light blue) without and with DNAJB6 (pink), with preformed fibrils taken after 20 h of incubation according to Fig. 3. The molar ratio between chaperone and polyQ was 1:0.01 for Q45 and 1:0.1 for HttEx1. Molar ratios were calculated as monomer to monomer, although DNAJB6 is oligomeric.
conditions, but not insignificant under seeded conditions. Thus, there is clearly an effect of DNAJB6 not only on the primary nucleation but also on later stages of fibrillation.

Suppression of the formation of SDS-insoluble aggregates by DNAJB6

As a complement to the evaluation of polyQ aggregation as the formation of fibrils by ThT fluorescence measurements, we also followed the polyQ aggregation by continuous withdrawal of samples for SDS-PAGE and assessment of the distribution of protein between bands representing the monomeric forms of Q45 and HttEx1Q45, respectively (Fig. 5a, c) and bands representing SDS-insoluble aggregates at the top of the gel (Fig. 5b, d). Quantification of the band intensities after imaging showed disappearance of monomeric forms (Fig. 5e, f) and concomitant appearance of SDS-insoluble aggregates (Fig. 5g, h), in approximately 24 h for Q45 and 1 h for HttEx1Q45. Thus, for Q45, the formation of ThT-binding fibrils (Fig. 3a) preceded the formation of SDS-insoluble aggregates (Fig. 5c), whereas for HttEx1Q45, the formation of ThT-binding fibrils (Fig. 3b) and aggregates

![Image](image_url)
(Fig. 5d) occurred rather simultaneously and more rapidly than for Q45. This is consistent with previous observations of peptides relative to the exon 1 of huntingtin, where the N-terminal 17 amino acids cause an initial aggregation prior to rearrangement and fibril formation (Papaleo and Invernizzi 2011). Equimolar amounts of DNAJB6 fully prevented aggregation of Q45 during 84 h (Fig. 5a, c), and delayed it fourfold for HttEx1Q45 (Fig. 5b, d). With substoichiometric amounts of DNAJB6 (1:0.1), the monomeric form of Q45 and HttEx1Q45 disappeared, and the same amount of SDS-insoluble aggregates appeared in case of HttEx1Q45, but not for Q45 (Fig. 5d, compared to c). Hence, even substoichiometric amounts of DNAJB6 interact with Q45 monomers and counteract the appearance of insoluble aggregates.

**Fig. 6** Specificity in suppression of fibrillation by DNAJB6. Fibrillation was measured as the relative ThT fluorescence increase, and incubation was made at 15 μM Q45 (a) and HttEx1Q45 (b) with no chaperone (black), DNAJB6 (red), DNAJB8 (green), and DNAJB1 (blue). The monomeric molar ratio between chaperone and polyQ was 1:0.1. Molar ratios were calculated as monomer to monomer, although DNAJB6 is oligomeric.

**Fig. 7** Suppression of fibrillation by DNAJB6 with and without HSPA1. Fibrillation was measured as the relative ThT fluorescence increase, and incubation was made of 15 μM Q45 (a, b) and HttEx1Q45 (c, d) with no chaperone in the absence (black) or presence (gray) of HSPA1, with DNAJB6 in the absence (red) or presence (pink) of HSPA1, and with DNAJB1 in the absence (dark blue) or presence (light blue) of HSPA1. The monomeric molar ratio between chaperone and polyQ was 1:0.01. Molar ratios were calculated as monomer to monomer, although DNAJB6 is oligomeric.
Fibrillation suppression by DNAJB6 compared to other DNAJB proteins

To evaluate how specific the polyQ fibrillation suppression by DNAJB6 is compared to other DNAJB proteins, we added DNAJB6, its close homologue DNAJB8, and the more canonical family member, the structurally characterized homologue DNAJB1. DNAJB6 suppressed fibrillation of Q45 entirely, DNAJB8 delayed fibrillation by a factor of 4, and DNAJB1 delayed fibrillation only slightly, at a molar ratio 1:0.1 (Fig. 6a). At a molar ratio 1:0.01 where DNAJB1 had no effect at all, DNAJB6 still delayed fibrillation with nearly a factor 3 (data not shown). For HttExQ45, the fibrillation was delayed 2.5-fold with DNAJB6 and, to a small extent, by DNAJB1 and DNAJB8 (Fig. 6b). The suppression of polyQ fibrillation by DNAJB6 was not further enhanced in presence of HSPA1 and ATP (Fig. 7), confirming the finding in cellular systems that suppression of polyQ aggregation by DNAJB6 is HSPA1/ATP independent (Hageman et al. 2010; Gillis et al. 2013). Thus, DNAJB6 has unique fibrillation suppression properties, which are lacking in DNAJB1 and, probably, are located in the C-terminal and putatively substrate-binding domain which is not very conserved among the many different DNAJB proteins. The sequence similarity between DNAJB6 and DNAJB1 is largest in the N-terminal J domain (59 % sequence identity) and in the C-terminal domain that has been structurally characterized for DNAJB1, showing two β-sandwich folds each with 2+3 antiparallel β-strands and one α-helix and α-helical dimerization domain (Suzuki et al. 2010; Hu et al. 2008), and the sequence identity is too low (17 %) for homology modeling. However, secondary structure predictions and sequence alignment (Fig. S3), with the structure determined for DNAJB1 correlating well with the prediction for DNAJB1, suggest that DNAJB6 and DNAJB8 have one β-sandwich fold in the C-terminal domain (Fig. 8).

Discussion

Here, we have shown that the purified DNAJB6 protein forms heterogeneous oligomers that cannot be separated into more homogeneous fractions (Fig. 1, Table 1). This resembles the polydisperse and dynamic oligomerization of some small heat shock proteins for example αB-crystallin (Baldwin et al. 2011). DNAJB6 efficiently suppressed fibrillation of Q45 and, less efficiently, suppressed fibrillation of HttEx1Q45 (Figs. 3, 4, and 5). Furthermore, DNAJB6 was undoubtedly more efficient in suppressing fibrillation than DNAJB1 and independent on the presence of HSPA1 and ATP (Figs. 6 and 8). These data obtained with purified proteins provide evidence in support of the effects previously observed in cells, when overexpression of DNAJB6 was combined with expression of GFP-labeled huntingtin exon 1 (Hageman et al. 2010) and polyQ peptides (Gillis et al. 2013).

DNAJB6 was identified, together with its closest homologue DNAJB8, as unique polyQ aggregation suppressors among the human HSPA, HSPH, and DNAJ family members (Hageman et al. 2010). The suppression was largely independent of the expression of Hsp70 members. The absence of a comparable polyQ aggregation inhibitory effect of DNAJB1 that shares a homologous J domain but has little sequence resemblance with DNAJB6 in its C-terminus (only 17 %) supports the crucial role for the C-terminal domain of DNAJB6 and DNAJB8 in the polyQ aggregation suppression. The DNAJB1 C-terminus lacks a serine-rich motif (SSF-SST) and two lysines that were found to be important for the anti-aggregation function (Hageman et al. 2010) (Fig. 8).

DNAJB6 was more efficient in suppressing fibrillation of polyQ peptides than HttEx1 with polyQ stretches of identical...
length, as assessed by ThT fluorescence (Fig. 3) and the formation of SDS-insoluble aggregates (Fig. 5). There may be several reasons for this. The fibrillation-promoting properties of the polyQ-flanking regions within HttEx1 might counteract DNAJB6-mediated inhibition, or these regions might disfavor the interaction between DNAJB6 and polyQ. The polyQ context, specifically the 17 N-terminal amino acids in HttEx1, significantly increases polyQ fibrillation propensity (Wetzel 2012); (Papaleo and Invernizzi 2011), which could make it more difficult to counteract fibrillation. The inhibition of polyQ aggregation by DNAJB6 anyway appears to be largely context independent with the polyQ stretch as the only common denominator, since DNAJB6 was also very efficient at suppressing aggregation of other polyQ-containing proteins, like ataxin-3 and the androgen receptor (Hageman et al. 2010).

So, how can our current findings of highly efficient suppression of polyQ peptide aggregation by DNAJB6 be related to its anti-aggregation and toxicity-preventing effects in cellular (Hageman et al. 2010; Chuang et al. 2002) and animal models (Hageman et al. 2010; Fayazi et al. 2006) of polyQ diseases? One obvious possibility relates to the findings that proteins containing expanded polyQ stretches are not fully degraded by the proteasome (Venkatraman et al. 2004). As a consequence, polyQ peptides that have a high propensity to aggregate into fibrillar assemblies (Wetzel 2012) are released from the proteasome during the degradation of huntingtin (Holmberg et al. 2004; Venkatraman et al. 2004) and other polyQ proteins. That free polyQ peptides are not readily detected in cells can be due to their immediate capture by DNAJB6-like chaperones and subsequent degradation by peptidases or autophagy (Menzies et al. 2010). If such processing fails, polyQ peptides would, however, become enriched and immediately seed aggregation into both main and side chain hydrogen-bonded fibrillar structures (Berrymyer et al. 2009; Streets et al. 2013). Other proteins containing Q stretches or protease-generated fragments of the polyQ proteins next will co-aggregate with such peptides and contribute to the toxicity by either direct aggregated toxicity or (secondary) loss of function of these sequestered proteins. The rate and propensity of this will likely depend on the context around the polyQ stretches in these fragments (Dehay and Bertolotti 2006). If released in the cellular environment upon cell death, aggregates can be transmitted to neighboring cells and act as seeds promoting the aggregation of endogenous polyQ-containing proteins (Ren et al. 2001), reminiscent of the spreading seen for the prion PrP (Brundin et al. 2010). To mimic proteasomal release of polyQ peptides in living cells, overexpression of genetically engineered constructs of polyQ peptides was therefore performed, showing that the polyQ peptides induced the formation of aggregates in which polyQ-containing proteins and other heat shock proteins (HSPs) were detected, supporting the view that polyQ peptides can act as seeds for aggregation (Raspe et al. 2009).

Moreover, using the same cell system, it was recently shown that co-expression of these genetically engineered constructs of polyQ peptides with DNAJB6 suppressed aggregation (Gillis et al. 2013). Interestingly, in the few aggregates that did form, DNAJB6 and DNAJB8 were found in the core of the aggregates, whereas other chaperones (HSPA1) only associated with the periphery of the aggregates. This is indicating that DNAJB6 interacts early and directly with polyQ peptides as shown in this study, and the other HSPs interact later and more indirectly, presumably not until after the aggregates have formed.

To summarize, the data presented in this study show that DNAJB6 can directly bind to and highly efficiently suppress polyQ peptide fibrillation initiated by soluble, pure polyQ peptides. This is in line with recent cellular findings that DNAJB6 is a very strong protector against protein toxicity associated with polyQ aggregation diseases. The view of DNAJB6 as a peptide-binding chaperone that can sweep up polyQ peptides that are incompletely degraded by and released from the proteasome and suppress fibrillation initiation makes DNAJB6 an interesting target for therapy against such protein aggregation diseases.
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