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Assessing the surface water resources management for agricultural activities in the Soc Trang Province, Vietnamese Mekong Delta, Vietnam
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Climate change has been affecting to livelihoods of communities in the coastal plain of the Vietnamese Mekong Delta (VMD). Surface water management in the coastal plain was considered to be limited, especially in enforcement. Therefore, the research aimed to assess the stakeholder involvement, identify the conflict in using the surface water and mechanism to solve and avoid the conflict. The directive interview farmers and governmental staffs were implemented to collect the necessary data. The descriptive statistic was applied in order to analyze the collecting data through farmer survey. The questionnaire was built based on the governance assessment framework “Ten-building Block”. The results showed that the governmental group (including the people committee and the Provincial department) was the highest participatory in the management process both in decision-making level and interested level. The surface water users, especially the farmers participated with the average rate because of their low capacity in making the decision for a new policy or a new agricultural model. Besides, different groups of stakeholders as the non-governmental organization and governmental organization (the local Youth Union, Famer’s and Women’s Association) played an important role in raising the water user’s awareness. The remaining group, including non-agricultural company or traders, nearly were not concerned about the surface water changes. In addition, there were two main types of conflicts identified: between the farmers in the same kind (1) and different kind (2) of cultivation. As the consequence of the natural salinity and the impacts of output water from shrimp pond to the rice cultivation zone, the conflict was about the inefficient water regulation and distribution for each water user. These conflicts were mostly solved through self-negotiating and self-engaging between the farmers. In fact, there was no rule or principle to solve and prevent the potential water use conflict. Thus, this could be a great challenge for the surface water management in the agricultural zone as Soc Trang in the context of increasing the extreme climatic phenomenon.
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1. Introduction

The Vietnamese Mekong Delta (VMD) is located at the downstream end of the Mekong River basin which is considered to be the biggest fertile rice bowl of Vietnam and play a crucial role in the national food supply (Tuan, 2010). The intensive farming system has come at an increase of pressure and risk on surface water resources (Soc Trang Provincial Department of Natural Resources and Environment, 2010). Water problems mainly involve in even water distribution to rice farming areas located upstream and in conflicts related to farming practices among the various agricultural production models applied in downstream and coastal areas (Nhan et al., 2007).

Soc Trang is an agricultural and coastal province in the VMD where rice cultivation is a major economic activity (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, 2016). In this area, the hydrological regime is relatively complex due to the dominance of the semidiurnal tidal cycle in the East Sea and the upstream flow (Anh et al., 2010; Dat et al., 2012; An et al., 2014; Trung et al., 2015a). In recent years, climate change has been detrimental to the surface water resources; salinity intrusion, fresh water scarcity and tidal effects are major issues and tend to become more and more severe (Wassmann et al., 2004; Binh et al., 2012; Smajgl et al., 2015); also, those influence the provincial farming system negatively (Trí et al., 2008; Hoàng et al., 2014). According to studies conducted by Quỳnh et al. (2015) and Trung et al. (2015), the water resources management in Soc Trang was limited in the coherence of applying management tools. In addition, conflicts in water use among farmer households caused by inadequate water management practices of the local people have been identified, which leads to water pollution and pathogen spread (Khánh et al., 2015). This study, therefore, was conducted to evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of surface water resources management in terms of: (1) stakeholder participation; (2) conflicts in surface water use and management; and (3) conflict prevention and resolution for surface water use.

2. Methodology

2.1 Secondary data collection

Secondary data (Table 1) includes summary reports on agricultural production, surface water management collected from Soc Trang Provincial People’s Committee (PC), Soc Trang Provincial Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (DARD), Soc Trang Provincial Department of Natural Resources and Environment (DONRE), and District DARD.

| No. | Collected data                                                                 | Year       | Source                          |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| 1   | Geographic location, natural conditions, socio-economic conditions of Soc Trang province | 2016       | Soc Trang Provincial PC          |
| 2   | Policies, regulations applied for surface water management                     | 2013-2016  | Soc Trang Provincial DARD        |
| 3   | Annual report of surface water resources                                        | 2013-2016  | Soc Trang Provincial DONRE       |

2.2 Primary data collection

Direct interviews are utilized to gain information of the local residents about their participation and perspective in the surface water management process and possible difficulties/conflicts in surface water extraction for agricultural activities in the districts of Nga Nam, Long Phu, My Xuyen and Vinh Chau (Figure 1). Besides, the surface water management staffs are also interviewed by Key Informant Panel (KIP) approach to get data about general surface water management, role and responsibility of local government and other stakeholders in the management process.

Figure 1. Location of Soc Trang province and study areas

A closed questionnaire was conducted to interview local residents and KIP interviews with the management staffs are done based on an open questionnaire that both based
on the Ten building blocks water resources management assessment framework for sustainable water governance (van Rijswick et al., 2014). The contents of the framework are shown in Figure 2.

The criteria in Block 3: stakeholders’ involvement; Block 5: responsibility, authority, means; and Block 10: conflict prevention and resolution of the framework were used as the research question for each objective and the basis for building the questionnaire. These blocks were chosen depending on the research’s objectives. In other words, they are the baseline to deploy, analyze and carry out the objectives. Criteria for target areas and amount of survey are shown in Table 2.

| Table 2. Criteria for collecting primary data |
|---------------------------------------------|
| No   | Content                                           | Selection criteria                      | Number of interviewees |
| 1    | Location of interviewee                          | Located in different agro-ecological zones |                          |
| 2    | Farmer: irrigation approaches                    | Specialized in rice                     | 120                      |
|      |                                                   | Specialized in aquaculture              |                          |
|      |                                                   | Intercropping (rice crops, rice-shrimps, etc.) |                          |
|      |                                                   | Vegetable crops                         |                          |
| 3    | Type of agricultural cultivation                | Self-sufficient cultivation (self-watering) |                          |
|      |                                                   | Agricultural cooperatives association    |                          |
| 4    | Governmental staffs                              | Soc Trang Provincial DARD                | 20                       |
|      |                                                   | 4 District DARDs                        |                          |
|      |                                                   | 4 District People Committees            |                          |

2.3 Data analysis

Data obtained from farmers and staffs interview were processed descriptive by Microsoft Excel and presented in tabular and graphical forms to demonstrate the effectiveness of surface water management and conflict analysis. In addition, results from Key Informant Panel (KIP), including local managers, are processed and described in the form of protocol. Venn diagram is a drawing tool being able to illustrate the qualitative relationship between different components and is applied to analyze surface water management, the relationships and interactions among stakeholders as well as the mechanisms for dealing with and preventing conflict.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Surface water resources management in the Soc Trang province

Surface water and groundwater are the two major sources supplying for local residents’ demands in the Soc Trang province. While surface water is mainly used for agricultural cultivation, groundwater plays a great role in domestic consumption and water supply processes. By focusing on water relating to agricultural activities in the province, the study is going to evaluate the following aspects of surface water management.

3.1.1 Stakeholder’s participation

Relevant stakeholders involved in surface water resources management are relatively diversified in the subject. Depending on the KIP interview result, participants are divided into five groups (Figure 3): local authorities, government administrative agencies, facilitators, users and scientific groups. Local authorities are mainly responsible for making decisions on regulations and policies. The administrative agencies are in charge of policy implementation, of which DARD (provincial and district level) are the major parties for implementing and advising the policy development of surface water resources management in agricultural production. NGOs and GOs (Farmers Unions and Women’s Unions) play an important role in connecting different community groups in the society with the administrative agencies as well as in guideline an-
nouncement. The water user groups take charge of carrying out the issued policies and collaborating with the local government in monitoring infrastructure, and directly controlling water resources changes or risks. Also, the enterprises in this group have the role of supporting the investment of farmers such as fertilizers, pesticides, seeds and investing in the establishment of example fields, etc. under the local monitoring. The water supply company, however, don’t participate in the management process since their operation relating to the groundwater resources. Thus, the current trend of water management does not only focus on the socialization of management roles, but also commercialization of investing in water resources infrastructure. Water commercialization is beneficial so as to broaden the stakeholder involvement based on the increase in their interactions. Finally, the scientific groups, including international and national scientists, contribute their information and scientific research results to regional actual applications.

Figure 3. Stakeholders involved in surface water management

By defining the stakeholders’ roles, the local authorities (People’s Committees in different levels), the surface water management units in agriculture (Provincial and District DARD), farmers and organizations are considered as parties which affect and involve directly the management of surface water resources. The remaining groups are mainly responsible for linking and coordinating other departments and divisions; providing information to support environmental protection management and campaign (scientists and environmental organizations), and law enforcement on the surface water resources although they do not directly exploit the resources (non-agricultural groups) (Figure 4). This result obtained based on the KIP interview with local staffs.

In addition, the involvement of the stakeholders is markedly different (Figure 5). The level of participation of the stakeholders is based on two criteria: the level of interest and decision making. PCs at different levels and DARDs are classified as high-participation groups, for the PCs are decision makers and really interested in changes in surface water resources; DARD is the main party responsible for advising and implementing the governance of surface water resources use.

Figure 4. Interactions among the stakeholders in surface water resources management

The stakeholders who interact directly with surface water resources, comprising cooperatives using water resources for farming and farmers, are very interested in the change of surface water resources. Also, scientists studying water used in agriculture, different GOs (including Youth Union, Farmers’ & Women Association) and NGOs have a high level of interest in surface water resources. Scientists are the main party conducting research and providing important information for policy makers in order to have a basis for governance execution. NGOs and different GOs participate in the management procedure through dissemination activities and enhancement of the efficiency of surface water resources use. Other government administrative agencies and non-agricultural groups are involved to a very low extent. These parties are not in charge of or directly relevant to surface water resources leading to the low level of interest and participation.

Figure 5. Level of participation of the stakeholders in surface water resources management

3.1.2 Surface water management effectiveness

Most interviewed farmers have never been involved in discussions or idea-exchanged with local authorities on farming systems and natural resources management. For the group of local people involved in discussions with the management units, the discussion topics are mainly on water management in the cultivation process. However, the interaction between the local people and authorities is still low due to the low number of people involved in
the management process. Discussions with the local authorities mainly stem from the preparation of the administrative units, by directly visiting the households to invite them and call for participation. The local people are completely passive with this interactive activity.

The policy is an important tool for executing management activities. Generally, the policy is the basis for the administrative agencies to plan their management and for the users to exercise their legal responsibilities. In practice, however, the number of people accessing management tools is quite limited, potentially leading to water resources risks and a detriment to agricultural producers.

Hence, there are still many shortcomings in the application and interaction among the stakeholders (Figure 6). According to the community, despite many changes and improvements, the effectiveness of management is evaluated at a fair level, due to the fact that information discrimination, interaction and exchange which have been conducted are considered poor. The procedures for those activities were cumbersome and conducted through many stages or levels; difficulties and shortcomings encountered by local people have been recorded, but sometimes not satisfactorily resolved. Discrimination between the social sectors still exists, which leads to the level reduction of public trust in administrative agencies.

![Figure 6. Community evaluation of the management effectiveness](image)

### 3.2 Water use conflicts and resolutions

| Causes | Consequences |
|--------|--------------|
| Less water from upstream | Loss of sustainability and regularity from the amount of water supplied |
| Saline water intrusion coming deeply into the fields | Decrease of agricultural productivity |
| Crop calendar is not simultaneous | Loss of crops and natural characteristics of agricultural ecosystems |

Agricultural farming systems are facing many difficulties. The greatest difficulty for coastal provinces, as Soc Trang Province, is the increase of salinity intrusion, particularly during the dry season, resulting in a combination of drought and water scarcity (Smajgl et al., 2015). Moreover, according to the management staffs, the impact from different farming methods in the vicinity also increases local salinity level in some localities in the province. More specifically, the staffs and farmers interviewed in Nga Nam town considered that water from shrimp ponds in the adjacent areas between Soc Trang and Bac Lieu provinces (a province bordering south of Soc Trang) discharges into and increase the salinity of canals and channels in their area. Uncertainty about the salinity impact time and duration has made the production of the localities completely passive. In addition, management mechanisms have not yet responded to and addressed the current difficulties in agricultural production. Supportive policies for farmers and surface water users are still limited.

Difficulties encountered during production phase lead to contradictions on water use. The current contradictions from water users are mainly about distributing water evenly for different agricultural areas, ensuring sufficient water for production, guaranteeing water pumping among the users is fair and feasible. Water conflicts derive from various causes and have undesirable consequences for cultivating systems (Table 3). Causes of conflicts include both nature changes and human impacts. Conflicts lead to significant impacts such as productivity reduction or crop failure, changes in physicochemical characteristics of water resources as well as imbalances in the capacity of water supply to users in the same area. These conflicts, additionally, have another big effect of splitting up the cultivating groups and causing other social disagreements.

### 3.2.1 Water use conflicts

Agricultural farming systems are facing many difficulties. The greatest difficulty for coastal provinces, as Soc Trang Province, is the increase of salinity intrusion, particularly during the dry season, resulting in a combination of drought and water scarcity (Smajgl et al., 2015). Moreover, according to the management staffs, the impact from different farming methods in the vicinity also increases local salinity level in some localities in the province. More specifically, the staffs and farmers interviewed in Nga Nam town considered that water from shrimp ponds in the adjacent areas between Soc Trang and Bac Lieu provinces (a province bordering south of Soc Trang) discharges into and increase the salinity of canals and channels in their area. Uncertainty about the salinity impact time and duration has made the production of the localities completely passive. In addition, management mechanisms have not yet responded to and addressed the current difficulties in agricultural production. Supportive policies for farmers and surface water users are still limited.

Difficulties encountered during production phase lead to contradictions on water use. The current contradictions from water users are mainly about distributing water evenly for different agricultural areas, ensuring sufficient water for production, guaranteeing water pumping among the users is fair and feasible. Water conflicts derive from various causes and have undesirable consequences for cultivating systems (Table 3). Causes of conflicts include both nature changes and human impacts. Conflicts lead to significant impacts such as productivity reduction or crop failure, changes in physicochemical characteristics of water resources as well as imbalances in the capacity of water supply to users in the same area. These conflicts, additionally, have another big effect of splitting up the cultivating groups and causing other social disagreements.

### 3.2 Water use conflicts and resolutions

| Conflicts | Causes | Consequences |
|-----------|--------|--------------|
| Water balance for different types of farming | Less water from upstream | Loss of sustainability and regularity from the amount of water supplied |
| | Saline water intrusion coming deeply into the fields | Decrease of agricultural productivity |
| | Crop calendar is not simultaneous | Loss of crops and natural characteristics of agricultural ecosystems |
| Water pumping and regulating | Spontaneous production and dissimilar farming models | Damage and increase risk for farming models |
| | Unplanned and inconsistent water regulation among the users | Change water characteristics or pollute water |
| | Natural conditions | |
| Water distribution to the same farming types | Expand the cultivation area of water users | Reduce productivity |
| | Simultaneous and convergent water exploration | Imbalance in water capacity that can be used per unit of water use |
3.2.2 Conflict prevention and resolution

The interviewee’s result showed that conflict relating to surface water use is primarily addressed through negotiation and agreement among water users. Furthermore, local authorities are in charge of a bridge to mitigate or eliminate conflicts. Therefore, the initiative and willingness of the agricultural cultivators is an important requirement in resolving conflicts and disagreements over water use. In addition, KIP results showed that government regulations or legal interventions are also used to resolve conflicts, but only in the case where conflicts are very serious or could result in serious consequences for cultivation.

The role of local managers, however, has not been clearly demonstrated through the resolution and prevention of conflicts among water users. Figure 7 presents assessments of agricultural cultivator groups on the role of administrative agencies in addressing and preventing water use conflicts. The results show a similarity with the perception that research has put forth. The level of interest, inspection of cultivation process and timely resolution of the conflicts are evaluated at a relatively moderate level. According to farmers, local authorities and administrative agencies need to pay more attention to farming practices in order to prevent the risk of conflicts in water use; particularly in the context of agricultural restructuring and the complex changes of natural conditions.

Conflicts in water use are mainly from unreasonable water regulation, increased salinity intrusion and droughts which lead to water scarcity. Conflict solving mechanisms are currently limited and water risk prevention is not satisfactorily concerned. The self-solving measure is the main approach for local residents to resolve the conflict and local government shows weak function in charge of settling and preventing potential water extraction conflicts. Thus, this could be a potential cause leading to severe consequences for surface water and agricultural farming systems in the context of extreme climatic conditions.
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