Development of a Cerebrovascular Magnetic Resonance Imaging Biomarker for Cognitive Aging
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Objective: Recent availability of amyloid and tau positron emission tomography (PET) has provided us with a unique opportunity to measure the association of systemic vascular health with brain health after accounting for the impact of Alzheimer disease (AD) pathologies. We wanted to quantify early cerebrovascular health–related magnetic resonance imaging brain measures (structure, perfusion, microstructural integrity) and evaluate their utility as a biomarker for cerebrovascular health.

Methods: We used 2 independent samples (discovery, n = 390; validation, n = 1,035) of individuals, aged ≥60 years, along the cognitive continuum with imaging from the population-based sample of Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. We ascertained vascular health by summing up recently existing cardiovascular and metabolic conditions (CMC) from health care records (hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cardiac arrhythmias, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, diabetes mellitus, and stroke). Using multiple regression models, we quantified associations between CMC and brain health after accounting for age, sex, education/occupation, and AD burden (from amyloid and tau PET).

Results: Systemic vascular health was associated with medial temporal lobe thinning, widespread cerebral hypoperfusion, and loss of microstructural integrity in several white matter tracts including the corpus callosum and fornix. Further investigations suggested that microstructural integrity of the genu of the corpus callosum was suitable for assessing prodromal cerebrovascular health, had similar distributions in the discovery and independent validation datasets, and predicted cognitive performance above and beyond amyloid deposition.

Interpretation: Systemic vascular health has significant impact on brain structure and function. Quantifying prodromal cerebrovascular health–related brain measures that are independent of AD pathology–related changes has great utility for cognitive aging.

Vascular health significantly declines with age, increasing the risk of cognitive impairment and dementia.1 Declining systemic vascular health can cause significant cerebrovascular injury, appearing as brain lesions on neuroimaging (infarctions, microbleeds, and white matter hyperintensities [WMH]).2 There is extensive literature establishing an association between worsening systemic vascular health and poor brain function and structure.3–6 Recent work has also shown that these changes precede the appearance of overt brain lesions.7,8 The inability to quantify the effect of systemic vascular health on early brain structural and functional changes in a meaningful manner precludes the application of early intervention strategies to mitigate cognitive declines.
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way has hampered our understanding of the role of cerebrovascular disease in the elderly both as a standalone etiology and as a coexisting pathology along with other dementias, specifically Alzheimer disease (AD). We hypothesized that quantifying the impact of systemic vascular health on brain health after accounting for AD-related changes will allow us to develop measures specific and sensitive to prodromal cerebrovascular health. Therefore, the goal of this work was to develop and evaluate magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based biomarkers for cerebrovascular health. We considered 3 primary MRI-based measures of brain health: T1-weighted structural MRI (sMRI) as a surrogate of brain morphology/structure, arterial spin labeling (ASL) MRI as a surrogate of brain perfusion, and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) as a surrogate of microstructural integrity.

Furthermore, we hypothesized that an ideal MRI-based marker for cerebrovascular health would satisfy the following criteria: (1) regional independence—given that AD pathologies (amyloid and tau) and cerebrovascular measures are the two most common known processes that alter aging brain health, the biomarker would ideally capture brain changes that manifest in regions not affected by AD; (2) low measurement variability—although each imaging modality has been designed to quantify a specific physiological measure (eg, structure or perfusion), it is accompanied by measurement variability due to the noise and variability in the acquisition as well as biological variability; a robust measure ideally would have low measurement variability (especially related to the acquisition) to enable greater reproducibility across independent datasets and differing acquisitions; (3) sensitivity—the MRI-based measure would be sensitive to early vascular health–related brain changes, that is, it would be indicative of prodromal disease even in the absence of infarctions and AD pathologies. Due to the increasing evidence that brain changes are seen prior to visible cerebrovascular injury on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR; ie, WMH and macroscopic infarctions\textsuperscript{7–9}, we focused on MRI measures that captured brain health and did not include FLAIR findings.

Subjects and Methods

**Overall Experimental Design**

The overall approach for quantification and evaluation of cerebrovascular health measures consisted of 3 steps:

1. In a discovery dataset, we first investigated the effect of systemic vascular health as measured by recently existing cardiovascular and metabolic conditions (CMC) on brain structure, perfusion, and diffusion after adjusting for the effects of AD pathologies (using amyloid and tau positron emission tomography [PET]), age, sex, and education/occupation composite score. Here we assumed that there is minimal to no mechanistic interaction between AD and cerebrovascular measures early in the disease process.\textsuperscript{10,11}  

2. Next, we chose an MRI modality and specific regions that satisfied all 3 criteria mentioned above (regional independence, variability, and sensitivity) as a cerebrovascular health biomarker in the discovery dataset.

3. In an independent validation dataset, we finally evaluated the utility of the cerebrovascular health biomarker in providing independent information about cognition in addition to amyloidosis.

**Selection of Participants**

All participants were enrolled in the Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA), a population-based study of Olmsted County, Minnesota residents. The Olmsted County population was enumerated using the Rochester Epidemiology Project (REP) medical records linkage system.\textsuperscript{12,13} This allowed us to ascertain vascular risk factors from detailed health care records instead of relying on self-report. The complete details of the MCSA study design and clinical diagnostic criteria were discussed by Petersen et al.\textsuperscript{14} and Roberts et al.\textsuperscript{15} Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents were obtained; the study was approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board, and informed consent was obtained from all participants or their surrogates.

**Discovery Dataset.** We included all 390 elderly individuals (aged ≥ 60 years) with vascular health indicators (discussed below) and concurrent imaging (Pittsburgh compound B [PiB] PET, tau PET, and all 3 MRI imaging sequences—sMRI, ASL, and DTI). At the time of the scans, 352 were cognitively unimpaired, 29 had mild cognitive impairment, 6 were diagnosed with a neurodegenerative disorder (3 Alzheimer clinical syndrome with dementia, 2 with mixed dementia, and 1 with vascular dementia), and 3 had a missing clinical diagnosis due to incomplete data.

**Validation Dataset.** We used all 1,035 unique (not included in the discovery dataset) elderly individuals (aged ≥ 60 years) with vascular health indicators and concurrent imaging (PiB PET and DTI scans), who were not part of the discovery dataset because they did not have tau PET and ASL imaging. At the time of the scans, 869 were cognitively unimpaired, 144 had mild cognitive impairment, 16 were diagnosed with a neurodegenerative disorder (12 Alzheimer clinical syndrome with dementia, 1 mixed dementia, 1 vascular dementia, 1 parkinsonism, and 1 progressive supranuclear palsy), and 6 had a missing clinical diagnosis due to incomplete data.
**Demographics**

Sex and years of education were obtained at the clinical visit. Age at the time of the MRI scan was used as a covariate. Education and occupation composite score was calculated as a combination of education and the major occupation in each participant’s life.16

**Indicator of Vascular Health**

The REP diagnostic indices were searched in a 5-year capture frame before the MCSA visit17,18 to identify International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision (ICD-9) codes and 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes associated with health care visits. Both ICD-9 (through September 2015) and ICD-10 (after October 2015) codes were pooled together by the REP under 7 cardiovascular and metabolic conditions proposed by the US Department of Health and Human Services in 2010 as indicators of vascular health—hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cardiac arrhythmias, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, diabetes mellitus, and stroke—to compute a composite score (referred to as CMC), which represents the summation of the presence or absence of each of these conditions. The specific codes pooled together were published previously.20

**AD Imaging Biomarkers—Amyloid and Tau Assessment from PET scans**

The acquisition, processing, and summary measure details for amyloid PET and tau PET scans acquired on the MCSA study participants are discussed in Jack et al.21 For amyloid PET, the global amyloid load was computed for each subject by calculating median uptake in the prefrontal, orbitofrontal, parietal, temporal, anterior cingulate, and posterior cingulate/prefrontal regions of interest (ROIs) divided by the median uptake in the cerebellar crus gray matter ROI. For tau PET, a composite ROI was computed using median tau PET uptake in the entorhinal, amygdala, parahippocampal, fusiform, inferior temporal, and middle temporal ROIs divided by the median tau PET uptake from the cerebellar crus gray matter ROI.

**MRI and Assessment**

All MRI images were acquired on 3T GE MRI (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI).

*sMRI.* Cortical thickness measurements were made using a previously published method22 on standard structural magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo scans. We then computed median thickness of bilateral ROIs in an in-house–modified version of the Automated Anatomical Labeling atlas.

**ASL.** Whole head perfusion MRI was acquired with pseudocontinuous 3-dimensional fast spin echo ASL23 with spiral readouts consisting of 512 points and 8 arms, bandwidth = 62.5kHz, repetition time = 5 seconds, echo time = 16.4 milliseconds, with 3 signal averages. We positioned the ASL volume at the bottom of the cerebellum with slice thickness of 3mm and in plane resolution of 3 × 3mm; the time from labeling pulse to excitation (postlabeling delay) was 2,025 milliseconds. For quantification of the cerebral blood flow (CBF), a proton density (PD) reference image was also acquired. CBF maps were generated by pairwise subtraction of label and control images followed by conversion to an absolute CBF map on the basis of a 2-compartment perfusion model from Alsop and Detre.24 The PD reference image was used to register the CBF map to the T1-weighted scan, and pons scaled perfusion was computed in the same regions as sMRI.

**DTI.** The DTI acquisition protocol was a 2.7mm isotropic resolution spin echo sequence with 5 b = 0 volumes followed by 41 b = 1,000s/mm² diffusion-weighted volumes with directions evenly spread over the whole sphere. The k-space data were reconstructed with zero padding. Preprocessing included Gibbs ringing correction,25 skull stripping, denoising,26 and debiasing.27 Head motion and eddy current distortion was corrected with FSL Software and echo-planar imaging (EPI) distortion correction using BrainSuite.28 Diffusion tensors were then fit using non-linear minimization, after which fractional anisotropy (FA) and mean diffusivity (MD) were computed. ANTs software29 was used to non-linearly register an in-house–modified version of the Johns Hopkins University Eve white matter (WM) atlas30 to each subject’s FA image to compute regional median FA and MD. Voxel with MD > 2 × 10⁻³ or < 7 × 10⁻⁵mm²/s were excluded as mostly cerebrospinal fluid or air, respectively. ROIs with < 7 diffusion voxels in subject space were excluded as being too small to be reliably registered.

**Ascertainment of WMH and Infarcts on FLAIR MRI.** Brain infarctions were assessed by trained image analysts and confirmed by a clinician blinded to all clinical information. WMH were ascertained as previously described, and the masks were edited by trained image analysts.10

**Cognitive Performance**

The MCSA neuropsychological battery consists of 9 tests covering 4 cognitive domains, as previously described.14,15 In this work, we used a global cognitive z score that was estimated from the z transformation of the average of the 4 domain z scores (executive function, language, memory, and visuospatial performance) as a cognitive outcome variable.

**Statistical Methods**

**Step 1: Association of Systemic Vascular Health with Brain Health.** To compare regression coefficients across modalities, we computed global mean and standard deviation across all ROIs and all subjects for each modality and
standardized the raw region level values for each modality. For each modality (sMRI, ASL, and DTI measures), we fit separate multiple regression models for each ROI with the following predictors: age, sex, education/occupation composite score, AD biomarkers (global amyloid load and composite tau uptake), and CMC.

**Step 2: Development of a Cerebrovascular Health Biomarker.** To assess variability (criterion 2), we computed the coefficients of variation for unstandardized sMRI, ASL, and DTI measures in each ROI, across all subjects in the discovery dataset as well as a set of young individuals (30–49 years old). We used the coefficient of variation averaged across ROIs as a measure of variability of each modality. To assess sensitivity (criterion 3), we repeated step 1, that is, the regression models in a subset of individuals without brain infarcts (cortical or subcortical; n = 300), who were amyloid negative (n = 216), and in a subset of individuals who were amyloid and tau negative (n = 162). Based on regional patterns of association with CMC, these variability results, and the strength of associations of clusters with CMC, we selected one best overall MRI measure (structure, perfusion, FA, or MD) for the development of the signature. For the selected MRI measure, we performed hierarchical clustering based on squared Pearson correlations (using the varclus function in the R Hmisc package) to combine highly correlated regions, reduce the number of input features, and aid in the identification of a signature for cerebrovascular health. We verified the clustering using squared Spearman correlations, and found that the methods produced similar results.

**Step 3: Evaluation of the Cerebrovascular Biomarker.** We estimated the cerebrovascular biomarker in the validation dataset and assessed the reproducibility of the association of cognition with the biomarker in the discovery and validation datasets both by itself and in conjunction with amyloidosis. We fit regression models with global cognition as an outcome variable in both discovery and validation datasets with age, sex, education/occupation, and cycle number (ie, the number of times the cognitive battery has been administered to each specific subject to adjust for practice effects) as predictors. We tested for interactions of age with amyloid and genu FA, and interactions of amyloid with genu FA, in the discovery data. Interactions of age with amyloid (coefficient = −0.022, 95% confidence interval [CI] = −0.051 to 0.007, p = 0.37) and age with genu FA (coefficient = 0.105, 95% CI = −0.146 to 0.356, p = 0.415) were not significant in the discovery data. The interaction of amyloid with genu FA was significant in the discovery data (coefficient = 7.706, 95% CI = 3.341–12.070, p = 0.001). This interaction, however, did not replicate in the validation data (coefficient = −1.802, 95% CI = −5.110 to 1.506, p = 0.286). We thus went to the model without interactions, where we saw similar results in both datasets. Although future studies or analyses in other populations might detect interactions among age, amyloid, and genu FA, in these datasets we do not have sufficient consistent evidence to include them. Three different models were fit with either amyloid, cerebrovascular biomarker, or both as additional predictors of interest. To aid with interpretation, neither amyloid nor the cerebrovascular biomarker was standardized in these final models. We also described the marginal and joint

| Characteristic                                      | Discovery, n = 390 | Validation, n = 1,035 | Difference (95% CI)   | p   |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| Age, yrs                                            | 75.5 (8.5)         | 75.6 (8.6)            | −0.12 (−1.12, 0.88)   | 0.81|
| Males, n (%)                                        | 215 (55%)          | 552 (53%)             | 1.8% (−4.0%, 7.6%)    | 0.54|
| *APOE*4 carrier, n (%)                              | 110 (28%)          | 296 (29%)             | −0.4% (−5.7%, 4.9%)   | 0.89|
| Education, yr                                       | 14.6 (2.6)         | 14.7 (2.8)            | −0.06 (−0.38, 0.26)   | 0.72|
| Job score                                           | 3.4 (1.4)          | 3.3 (1.5)             | 0.06 (−0.11, 0.23)    | 0.51|
| Education–occupation composite                      | 12.5 (2.5)         | 12.5 (2.7)            | 0.002 (−0.31, 0.31)   | 0.99|
| Cardiometabolic condition, CMC                      | 2.2 (1.5)          | 2.3 (1.6)             | −0.11 (−0.29, 0.07)   | 0.24|
| Amyloid deposition, PiB SUVR                         | 1.41 (0.17)        | 1.58 (0.41)           | −0.17 (−0.21, −0.13)  | <0.001|
| Global cognition z domain                           | 0.12 (1.07)        | −0.14 (1.18)          | 0.27 (0.13, 0.41)     | <0.001|

CI = confidence interval; CMC = cardiovascular and metabolic conditions; PiB = Pittsburgh compound B; SD = standard deviation; SUVR = standardized uptake value ratio.
Results

The characteristics of the discovery dataset and validation datasets are shown in Table 1.

Step 1: Association of Systemic Vascular Health with Brain Health

Within each modality (sMRI, ASL, DTI), the regression coefficients and their associated 95% CIs showing the association between CMC and brain health after accounting for age, sex, AD biomarkers, and education/occupation composite score are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 1 shows the association of CMC with regional cortical thickness in the left panel and regional perfusion in the right panel. Poor vascular health (ie, greater CMC) was associated with decreased medial temporal lobe (entorhinal cortex and hippocampus) and middle temporal pole thicknesses ($p < 0.05$). We also found increased superior parietal lobe thickness associated with vascular risk but with a smaller effect compared to the effect on medial temporal lobe decrease ($p < 0.05$). Greater CMC was associated with decreased perfusion throughout the brain, except for most of the occipital lobe ($p < 0.05$). Figure 2 shows the CMC association with regional FA in the left panel and with regional MD in the right panel. Greater CMC was associated with decreased FA and increased MD in the corpus callosum and fornix tracts. Additionally, there were significant negative associations between CMC and FA in the cingulum tracts, postcentral WM, and anterior limb of the internal capsule, and positive associations between CMC and MD in the inferior occipital WM and supramarginal WM. Figure 3 summarizes the regional distribution of these associations for each modality.

Step 2: Development of a Cerebrovascular Health Biomarker

Based on Step 1, we found that sMRI did not clearly satisfy the regional independence criterion as stated above, because the most significant sMRI regions that exhibited distributions of genu FA and amyloid in both the discovery and validation datasets using bagplots.
associations with CMC were in the medial temporal lobe, which is also a key AD-related region. In the 69 young cognitively normal individuals (30–49 years; who have lower biological variability in signal), we found that the mean coefficient of variation (CV) of cortical thickness was 0.110, CV of perfusion measure was 0.130, CV of FA measure was 0.062, and CV of MD measure was 0.034. When we assessed variability of the signal in the

FIGURE 2: The association of vascular health with fractional anisotropy (FA; left panel) and mean diffusivity (MD; right panel) measured using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) after accounting for age, sex, education/occupation, global amyloid, and composite tau burden. Regions with $p < 0.05$ are shown in green and $p < 0.001$ are shown in red. Cap. = capsule; CMC = cardiovascular and metabolic conditions; Fasc. = fasciculus; WM = white matter.

FIGURE 3: Images showing the association of vascular health with (A) structure, (B) perfusion, (C) fractional anisotropy, and (D) mean diffusivity measured using diffusion tensor imaging after accounting for age, sex, education/occupation, global amyloid, and composite tau burden (significant at $p < 0.05$).
discovery set of subjects, who have greater biological variability than younger individuals due to aging and pathology, we found that the mean CVs of the cortical thickness measure, perfusion measure, FA measure, and MD measure were 0.138, 0.198, 0.088, and 0.070, respectively. Measurement variability is a combination of the noise and variability in the acquisition and biological variability, which can be separated using test–retest data that were not available in our case. Based on the CVs in both data- sets, we found evidence that regional ASL measures had high variability or low signal to noise ratio compared to DTI measures. To assess sensitivity, we repeated Step 1 analyses in a subset of individuals without infarcts and again in a subset without significant amyloid deposition, based on PiB PET standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) < 1.42 (amyloid negative individuals).21 In these sensitivity analyses, the effect sizes were similar to the main analyses, but several of the regions were nonsignificant due to the smaller sample sizes in the sensitivity analyses compared to the main analyses. Several regions for ASL and sMRI were now nonsignificant but remained significant for DTI FA and DTI MD, supporting the greater sensitivity of DTI measures to prodromal cerebrovascular health. In addition, we also performed sensitivity analyses in amyloid- and tau-negative (based on tau PET SUVR < 1.23)21 individuals (n = 162). As expected given the substantial drop in sample size and power, CIs were wider and we did not see as many significant regions. However, the magnitudes of the CMC associations were generally similar. DTI FA had the greatest sensitivity (criterion 3) as well as low variability (criterion 2) compared to other MRI measures. In addition, it also satisfied the regional independence criterion (criterion 1), because in amyloid-negative individuals most effects remained significant except for the lesser extent of effects on the cingulum tracts. Therefore, we focused on the development of a DTI FA biomarker in this step.

To reduce the number of input features and to combine highly correlated regions, we performed hierarchical clustering of the FA measure, which yielded several clusters. This analysis brought forward an important observation that FA values in different brain regions were highly correlated with each other, and there was no clear clustering of regions based on the variance of FA. Therefore, based on the strong association of FA in the genu of the corpus callosum in the overall cohort, in those without brain infarcts, and in those who were amyloid negative, we chose genu FA as a cerebrovascular biomarker. Genu was also the only ROI where FA survived Bonferroni correction at p < 0.05 in the overall cohort (see Fig 2) and in the sensitivity analyses without brain infarcts. In a sensitivity analysis, we found that genu FA significantly correlated with global WMH (Pearson correlation = 0.629). Furthermore, when we build 2 separate models with FA and WMH as outcomes, we found that both CMC and WMH were required to explain the variability in genu FA as an outcome, but only genu FA was sufficient to explain the variability in WMH as an outcome (results shown in Table 2). We would need longitudinal data to demonstrate, but this is consistent with our idea that DTI-based biomarkers capture early or prodromal cerebrovascular

| Variable                  | Regression Coefficient (SE) | p      |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------|
| FA as an outcome          |                            |        |
| Intercept                 | −0.83 (0.10)               | <0.0001|
| WMH                       | 23.80 (2.60)               | <0.0001|
| CMC                       | 0.36 (0.13)                | 0.007  |
| WMH*CMC                   | −7.97 (3.05)               | 0.009  |
| WMH as an outcome         |                            |        |
| Intercept                 | 0.03 (0.002)               | <0.0001|
| FA score                  | 0.023 (0.003)              | <0.0001|
| CMC                       | 0.004 (0.003)              | 0.216  |
| FA score*CMC              | −0.003 (0.003)             | 0.447  |

CMC = cardiovascular and metabolic conditions; FA = fractional anisotropy; WMH = white matter hyperintensities.

The magnitudes of the CMC associations were generally similar. DTI FA had the greatest sensitivity (criterion 3) as well as low variability (criterion 2) compared to other MRI measures. In addition, it also satisfied the regional independence criterion (criterion 1), because in amyloid-negative individuals most effects remained significant except for the lesser extent of effects on the cingulum tracts. Therefore, we focused on the development of a DTI FA biomarker in this step.

To reduce the number of input features and to combine highly correlated regions, we performed hierarchical clustering of the FA measure, which yielded several clusters. This analysis brought forward an important observation that FA values in different brain regions were highly correlated with each other, and there was no clear clustering of regions based on the variance of FA. Therefore, based on the strong association of FA in the genu of the corpus callosum in the overall cohort, in those without brain infarcts, and in those who were amyloid negative, we chose genu FA as a cerebrovascular biomarker. Genu was also the only ROI where FA survived Bonferroni correction at p < 0.05 in the overall cohort (see Fig 2) and in the sensitivity analyses without brain infarcts. In a sensitivity analysis, we found that genu FA significantly correlated with global WMH (Pearson correlation = 0.629). Furthermore, when we build 2 separate models with FA and WMH as outcomes, we found that both CMC and WMH were required to explain the variability in genu FA as an outcome, but only genu FA was sufficient to explain the variability in WMH as an outcome (results shown in Table 2). We would need longitudinal data to demonstrate, but this is consistent with our idea that DTI-based biomarkers capture early or prodromal cerebrovascular

TABLE 2. Models with Genu FA as an Outcome versus WMH as an Outcome

| Variable                  | Regression Coefficient (SE) | p      |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------|
| FA as an outcome          |                            |        |
| Intercept                 | −0.83 (0.10)               | <0.0001|
| WMH                       | 23.80 (2.60)               | <0.0001|
| CMC                       | 0.36 (0.13)                | 0.007  |
| WMH*CMC                   | −7.97 (3.05)               | 0.009  |
| WMH as an outcome         |                            |        |
| Intercept                 | 0.03 (0.002)               | <0.0001|
| FA score                  | 0.023 (0.003)              | <0.0001|
| CMC                       | 0.004 (0.003)              | 0.216  |
| FA score*CMC              | −0.003 (0.003)             | 0.447  |

CMC = cardiovascular and metabolic conditions; FA = fractional anisotropy; WMH = white matter hyperintensities.

The magnitudes of the CMC associations were generally similar. DTI FA had the greatest sensitivity (criterion 3) as well as low variability (criterion 2) compared to other MRI measures. In addition, it also satisfied the regional independence criterion (criterion 1), because in amyloid-negative individuals most effects remained significant except for the lesser extent of effects on the cingulum tracts. Therefore, we focused on the development of a DTI FA biomarker in this step.

To reduce the number of input features and to combine highly correlated regions, we performed hierarchical clustering of the FA measure, which yielded several clusters. This analysis brought forward an important observation that FA values in different brain regions were highly correlated with each other, and there was no clear clustering of regions based on the variance of FA. Therefore, based on the strong association of FA in the genu of the corpus callosum in the overall cohort, in those without brain infarcts, and in those who were amyloid negative, we chose genu FA as a cerebrovascular biomarker. Genu was also the only ROI where FA survived Bonferroni correction at p < 0.05 in the overall cohort (see Fig 2) and in the sensitivity analyses without brain infarcts. In a sensitivity analysis, we found that genu FA significantly correlated with global WMH (Pearson correlation = 0.629). Furthermore, when we build 2 separate models with FA and WMH as outcomes, we found that both CMC and WMH were required to explain the variability in genu FA as an outcome, but only genu FA was sufficient to explain the variability in WMH as an outcome (results shown in Table 2). We would need longitudinal data to demonstrate, but this is consistent with our idea that DTI-based biomarkers capture early or prodromal cerebrovascular

FIGURE 4: Bagplots (2-dimensional boxplots) showing the joint distribution of fractional anisotropy (FA) in the genu of the corpus callosum and amyloid. The central asterisk marks the 2-dimensional median. Fifty percent of the data lie in the dark blue polygon (bag). The bag is expanded by a factor of 3 to form the light blue polygon. Points outside this light blue polygon are considered outliers. FA and amyloid, in both the discovery and validation datasets, had similar variability, with ranges covering about 6 standard deviations.
Step 3: Evaluation of the Cerebrovascular Biomarker

In the validation dataset, CMC was a significant predictor of genu FA (estimate = $-0.003$, standard error = 0.001, $p = 0.004$) after adjusting for age and sex. Figure 4 shows the 2-dimensional boxplots or bagplots for genu FA and amyloid in both the datasets. The marginal and joint distributions of genu FA and amyloid appeared similar in both the discovery and validation datasets. Table 3 shows the regression results with amyloid alone, genu FA alone, or both in a model along with age, sex, education/occupation composite score, and cycle number. In all models, older age and being male were associated with lower cognitive performance. Higher cycle number and higher education/occupation composite score were associated with higher cognitive performance. In models with amyloid and genu FA alone (D1, D2, V1, V2), amyloid and genu FA each predicted cognitive performance. With both in the model (D3 and V3), the model fits based on $R^2$ were considerably higher, and both amyloid and genu FA significantly predicted cognitive performance. As an illustration of the utility of the

| TABLE 3. Regression Models Evaluating the Utility of the Cerebrovascular Biomarker in Predicting Cognitive Performance |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Discovery Data** | **Validation Data** | **Regression Coefficient (SE)** | **p** | **Regression Coefficient (SE)** | **p** |
| **Model/$R^2$/Adjusted $R^2$** | **Variable** | | | **Variable** | | |
| Models with amyloid alone | | | | | | |
| D1/0.324/0.313 | Intercept | 3.37 (0.58) | <0.0001 | V1/0.367/0.363 | Intercept | 3.62 (0.40) | <0.0001 |
| | Age | $-0.06$ (0.01) | <0.0001 | | Age | $-0.06$ (0.01) | <0.0001 |
| | Sex, male | $-0.28$ (0.09) | <0.0001 | | Sex, male | $-0.31$ (0.06) | <0.0001 |
| | Educ/Occ | 0.13 (0.02) | <0.0001 | | Educ/Occ | 0.14 (0.01) | <0.0001 |
| | cycle number | 0.12 (0.03) | <0.0001 | | Cycle number | 0.09 (0.02) | <0.0001 |
| | PiB | $-0.62$ (0.12) | <0.0001 | | PiB | $-0.52$ (0.09) | <0.0001 |
| Models with genu FA alone | | | | | | |
| D2/0.300/0.289 | Intercept | $-0.25$ (1.06) | 0.8132 | V2/0.350/0.345 | Intercept | 1.69 (0.67) | 0.0116 |
| | Age | $-0.05$ (0.01) | <0.0001 | | Age | $-0.07$ (0.01) | <0.0001 |
| | Sex, male | $-0.34$ (0.10) | 0.0006 | | Sex, male | $-0.31$ (0.07) | <0.0001 |
| | Educ/Occ | 0.12 (0.02) | <0.0001 | | Educ/Occ | 0.14 (0.01) | <0.0001 |
| | cycle number | 0.11 (0.03) | 0.0001 | | Cycle number | 0.10 (0.02) | <0.0001 |
| | Genu FA | 4.51 (1.17) | 0.0001 | | Genu FA | 2.41 (0.71) | 0.0008 |
| Models with both amyloid and genu FA | | | | | | |
| D3/0.356/0.343 | Intercept | 0.08 (1.02) | 0.9396 | V3/0.377/0.372 | Intercept | 1.78 (0.65) | 0.0067 |
| | Age | $-0.05$ (0.01) | <0.0001 | | Age | $-0.06$ (0.01) | <0.0001 |
| | Sex, male | $-0.37$ (0.10) | 0.0001 | | Sex, male | $-0.34$ (0.06) | <0.0001 |
| | Educ/Occ | 0.13 (0.02) | <0.0001 | | Educ/Occ | 0.14 (0.12) | <0.0001 |
| | cycle number | 0.12 (0.03) | <0.0001 | | Cycle number | 0.10 (0.02) | <0.0001 |
| | PiB | $-0.61$ (0.12) | <0.0001 | | PiB | $-0.53$ (0.09) | <0.0001 |
| | Genu FA | 4.39 (1.13) | 0.0001 | | Genu FA | 2.48 (0.70) | 0.0004 |

Educ = education; FA = fractional anisotropy; Occ = occupation; PiB = Pittsburgh compound B; SE = standard error.
cerebrovascular biomarker, a contour plot showing predicted cognition in model V3 with amyloid and genu FA and a plot of predicted cognition by age are shown in Figure 5.

Discussion

The major conclusions of this study were: (1) systemic vascular health has a significant impact on brain health; systemic vascular health measured as a composite of recent cardiovascular and metabolic chronic conditions was associated with medial temporal lobe thinning, widespread cerebral hypoperfusion, and poor microstructural integrity in several WM tracts, including the corpus callosum and fornix; (2) DTI-based regional FA measures had lower variability and were sensitive to early changes even in the absence of brain infarcts and amyloid positivity; therefore, we chose genu FA as a cerebrovascular biomarker in the discovery dataset; and (3) using an independent validation dataset, we found that genu FA provided significant information about cognitive performance in addition to the information provided by amyloid deposition.

Systemic Vascular Health and sMRI

We found that the association of systemic vascular health with sMRI measures was greatest primarily in the medial temporal lobes. Medial temporal regions have increased vulnerability to aging independent of the impact of AD pathologies.31 Because the accumulation of chronic conditions (in this study, worsening vascular health) has been equated to accelerated aging, these results are as expected. There is evidence supporting the association between increased vascular risk and atrophy in regions vulnerable to AD.7,32–34 Given that the associations of systemic vascular health and AD with sMRI are observed in the same regions, sMRI does not qualify as an independent prodromal biomarker for cerebrovascular health. In addition, the association of vascular health with medial temporal atrophy was significantly reduced in the sensitivity analyses, suggesting that it may be a less sensitive marker of early cerebrovascular disease. Across all 3 analyses (see Fig 1 and sensitivity analyses), vascular health was associated with increased superior parietal lobe thickness. This result of greater parietal lobe thickness, although surprising, has also been observed in other studies35,36 and is suggested to be a compensatory response to early pathological changes in the brain, which needs further evaluation using longitudinal imaging studies.

Systemic Vascular Health and Cerebral Perfusion

Vascular health, specifically hypertension, causes both functional and morphological alterations to the cerebral blood vessels; therefore, it was not surprising to find that widespread cerebral hypoperfusion was associated with worsened vascular health. There is recent evidence that temporal lobe ASL changes may be a good indicator of vascular health.37 In this study, we found a stronger association of vascular health with ASL in parietal lobes after accounting for the effects of AD. Although there were significant associations between vascular health and ASL changes, we found that the variability of the regional ASL measures was at least twice that of the sMRI and DTI measures, owing to the low signal to noise ratio of the acquisition methodology.38 ASL signal relies on blood flow and the time it takes for the labeled spins to travel from the labeling plane to the imaged voxel in the tissue. In populations with vascular pathology, prolonged arterial transit time to tissue can cause artifacts, that is, increased signal in vascular regions, and spuriously reduced perfusion in tissue,39 which additionally adds variability to using ASL as a cerebrovascular biomarker.

Association of Systemic Vascular Health with Microstructural Integrity and Genu FA as a Cerebrovascular Health Biomarker

Vascular health has been shown to significantly impact microstructural organization of the WM tracts. Several studies have shown the impact of independent vascular risk factors on DTI changes including hypertension,40–42 diabetes,43,44 hyperlipidemia,45 and obesity.46,47 Among these studies, the most consistent finding has been the impact on corpus callosum, which has also been confirmed in longitudinal studies.48 Although there have been consistent corpus callosum/vascular risk findings in the literature, the anterior part (genu) of the corpus callosum may be most susceptible to vascular disease effects due to its small diameter or thin fibers compared to the posterior part (splenium) of the corpus callosum, where the fibers are larger in diameter. In addition, frontal lobes appear to be more susceptible to cerebrovascular disease, have greater burden of WM lesions, and are also a convergence point for several brain connections,6,32,49 supporting the use of genu FA as the cerebrovascular biomarker.

DTI has been shown to be a promising biomarker for small vessel disease50,51 and has been tested for its utility in multicenter trials.52 Whereas MD is a marker of overall water diffusion and may be less specific, FA in tracts provides information about the directionality of the diffusion and may be more sensitive to small vessel disease.52 It is also a dimensionless, intrinsic quantity that does not need reference scaling or correction for head size. In this work, we showed that DTI has practical utility as a cerebrovascular biomarker because it has low measurement variability and provided similar genu FA values in 2 independent samples.

Another important reason for the use of DTI as a cerebrovascular biomarker is its sensitivity to early vascular
disease–specific prodromal changes. In all of our regression analyses (overall cohort, individuals without infarcts, individuals without amyloidosis), genu FA was significantly associated with CMC. In addition, genu FA as an outcome captured the variability due to the presence of visible cerebrovascular injury (WMH) and a vascular health indicator (CMC).

Although there is considerable heterogeneity in cognitive aging, the 2 most commonly found neuropathologies are AD and cerebrovascular disease.53 Using genu FA as a measure of cerebrovascular health and global amyloid as a marker of amyloidosis (see Table 3), we found that they both independently predicted cognitive performance (D1, V1, D2, V2) and together had improved model prediction (D3, V3), supporting the utility of DTI as a cerebrovascular biomarker of cognitive aging. Figure 5 directly illustrates how our proposed biomarker can be used in clinical and research studies as a biomarker. Based on an individual’s cerebrovascular biomarker in conjunction with their amyloid burden, we would be able to deduce the underlying etiology of cognitive impairment in impaired individuals and cerebrovascular health in those with preclinical disease.

We have found that partial volume correction can amplify noise and partial volume correction would imply incorporating information from sMRI into DTI and ASL. We wanted to evaluate each modality separately and therefore did not partial volume correct. We also acknowledge that our measurement of genu FA is not purely microstructural integrity and can be potentially influenced by volume changes. However we use the median voxel values of the region of interest to mitigate edge effects.

**Strengths and Limitations**

There are several strengths and weaknesses of this study. The key strength of this study was modeling the impact of vascular health on the brain after accounting for AD biomarkers. The information on systemic vascular health conditions in each individual based on their electronic health records through REP was extremely useful as a modeling variable in this work and avoided the need for self-reports, which are much less accurate. A unique aspect of the study was the head-to-head comparison between the 3 main MRI-based brain health measures. Although we evaluated different properties of the brain, the 3 criteria we used as guidelines allowed us to evaluate and compare these properties for clinical utility in cross-sectional studies. The use of 2 independent discovery and validation sets further validated the generalizability of study findings. The simplistic approach we took with the use of global measures (CMC and global cognition) can be considered a weakness, but this allowed us to develop a cerebrovascular biomarker with broader utility. Further work is warranted to evaluate the performance of the proposed biomarker for longitudinal measurements, in different samples as well as among midlife participants. We also did not have data to use other diffusion MRI metrics that utilize multishell acquisitions and may provide information more sensitive to small vessel disease. This will be part of our future investigations.
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