Molecular signatures between citrus and Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus
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Abstract

Citrus Huanglongbing (HLB), also known as citrus greening, is one of the most devastating citrus diseases worldwide. Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus (CLas) is the most prevalent strain associated with HLB, which is yet to be cultured in vitro. None of the commercial citrus cultivars are resistant to HLB. The pathosystem of Ca. Liberibacter is complex and remains a mystery. In this review, we focus on the recent progress in genomic research on the pathogen, the interaction of host and CLas, and the influence of CLas infection on the transcripts, proteins, and metabolism of the host. We have also focused on the identification of candidate genes for CLas pathogenicity or the improvements of HLB tolerance in citrus. In the end, we propose potentially promising areas for mechanistic studies of CLas pathogenicity, defense regulators, and genetic improvement for HLB tolerance/resistance in the future.

Introduction

Citrus is one of the most important fruit crops cultivated in at least 114 countries around the world [1]. Citrus is usually prone to suffer from various diseases due to the lack of diversity caused by asexual reproduction and propagation [2]. Huanglongbing (HLB) is the most devastating citrus disease worldwide. Most commercial citrus cultivars are susceptible to HLB [3] with varying degrees of symptoms [4–6]. HLB has been reported in most citrus producing areas, such as Africa (e.g., Ethiopia and Reunion Island), the Americas (e.g., United States, Mexico, Brazil, and Cuba), Oceania [7], and Asia (e.g., China, India, and Pakistan) [8], except for the Mediterranean region and Australia [7]. HLB causes billion-dollar annual losses to the citrus industry [3,9–12]. In China, HLB was first discovered in Chaoshan, Guangdong Province [8]. In the USA, HLB was first reported in Florida in 2005 [13]. By 2018, most mature citrus trees have been infected with the HLB pathogen in Florida, causing approximately 75% reduction in citrus production compared with that in 2005 [14]. In Brazil, approximately 1 million citrus trees were removed within several months since HLB was first reported in São Paulo in 2004 [15]. China, Brazil, the US, and many other citrus-growing countries have...
invested large amounts of research funding and organized cooperative research among scientists in the fields of citrus, pathology, and entomology to prevent and control this epidemic disease. The combined efforts from global scientists and other stakeholders have made people aware of the severity of the disease. The scientific community is exploring wild germplasms or citrus relative species to improve the HLB resistance and tolerance of citrus cultivars.

Structural and functional genomics studies advance our understanding of the HLB pathosystem. Here, we reviewed the recent studies of CLas pathogen and the host plant. We have briefly described the genomes of Ca. Liberibacter and explained how the bacterium induces pathogenicity, multiplication, and influence on host genetic activities. We also deliberated the host genetic, transcript, and proteomic changes in response to the bacterium. Moreover, we have proposed some potential steps to minimize the CLas pathogenicity and the promising areas for host genetic improvements and future opportunities to improve citrus tolerance for HLB disease.

1. Symptoms and disease cycle of HLB

HLB is mainly associated with CLas, Candidatus Liberibacter africanus (CLaf), and Candidatus Liberibacter americanus (CLam) [3], among which CLas is the most predominant pathogen in most citrus-producing regions and has certain degrees of tolerance to heat, while CLaf and CLam are heat sensitive [16]. CLaf is mainly distributed in Africa, and CLam was only reported in Brazil [17]. The insect, psyllid (Diaphorina citri), is the most influential vector to transmit the disease (Fig 1).

Due to the long latency period of HLB, the Ca. Liberibacter–infected citrus trees do not show visible symptoms at the initial stage of infection. Moreover, the latency period is usually several months long [3,11,18–21] but varies depending on citrus variety, tree age, health status, and the environmental factors [9], which gives rise to asymptomatic infections to cause the widespread of the disease. Citrus plants infected by Ca. Liberibacter usually display symptoms of stunted growth, root decay, thinner canopy, yellow shoot, blotchy mottle leaves, upright and
small leaves, early flowering, and an overall tree decline [3,22–26]. Infected fruits are often small and lopsided with uneven coloration [12]. Some mandarin varieties produce “red nose fruit” or “red shoulder fruit” due to the orange-red color in the fruit shoulder while cyan and dull color in other parts of the fruit. The disease cycle of HLB is represented in Fig 1.

2. Transient culture of Ca. Liberibacter

Ca. Liberibacter associated with HLB have not been cultured in artificial media [3,11]. The uncultivable characteristic of the pathogen in vitro heavily hampers mechanistic studies of pathogenicity. Hence, tremendous efforts have been made in the culture of Ca. Liberibacter. CLas and actinobacteria (Propionibacterium acnes) was reported to coculture in artificial media [29]. In vitro, CLas and P. acnes can survive multiple passages together. However, CLas is unable to grow when cultured independently [29]. This suggests that P. acnes might facilitate CLas growth. CLas can live for several weeks in vitro with the addition of commercial grapefruit juice to the medium; and it is noteworthy that some microbes (including CLas and other bacteria) can be found in grapefruit seeds [30]. In addition, CLas was reported to be able to grow for several months inside the biofilms formed by other bacteria [30]. CLas from Hamlin sweet orange extract was cultured and maintained over 2 years along with microbiota inside a membrane biofilm reactor supplemented with specific nutrients composition [31]. The 2 species CLas and CLam were reported to grow on Liber A medium [32]. Recently, the CLas strain Ishi-1 with phages [33] was cocultured with phloem-associated microbiota in vitro. The growth of Ishi-1 was determined based on the identification of CLas population and increase of CLas DNA amounts; however, there has been no direct evidence to support the phenomenon of Ishi-1 growth [34]. CLso and CLas were able to be maintained in vivo in hairy root explants for 28 and 120 days, respectively [35]. Similarly, the leaf discs with supplemented glucose show an increase in CLas titer [36]. Thus, these studies suggested that other endogenous microbes may facilitate CLas growth and colonization in citrus.

Liberibacter crescens (Lcr), a Liberibacter species newly identified from defoliated Babaco mountain papaya (L. crescens strain BT-1), can be cultured, but its pathogenic activity has not been reported so far [37]. A comparison of the Lcr genome with that of other Liberibacter species showed that Lcr possesses more genes encoding thiamine and essential amino acids [37], which might explain why Lcr is culturable whereas other Liberibacter species are not. Genomic comparisons between CLas and Lcr revealed important information about the missed genes in CLas genome that prohibit the growth of CLas in artificial media, which may not be easily solved by adjustments of the compositions of media [38]. All pathogenic and unculturable Liberibacter species have no functional glyoxalase pathway, but this pathway is present in Lcr, which prevents both prokaryotes and eukaryotes from proteome glycation and methylglyoxal-induced carbonyl stress [39]. When infecting either plants or psyllids, due to the lack of the gloA gene in the genome, CLas can circumvent a toxic buildup of cellular methylglyoxal pool by preventing sugar uptake and glycolysis [39]. Therefore, addition of specific methylglyoxal-binding compounds to the culture medium [40] or transferring gloA gene from Lcr to CLas has been suggested to be a possible way to culture CLas in axenic media [38]. In addition, homologous genes of LpxXL and AcpXL, encoding a very long chain fatty acid (VLCFA)-modified lipid A, are present in Lcr (WP_015273388.1 and WP_015273393.1) but absent in all pathogenic and unculturable Liberibacter species [37]. Mutation of the Lcr lpxL gene was lethal [41], suggesting that the VLCFA-modified lipid A is essential for the axenic growth of pathogenic Liberibacter species.

The mutualistic relationship between CLas and other bacteria suggests that CLas may obtain essential nutrients and/or some active substances from other bacteria for its own
growth [38]. The differences in genome between CLas and Lcr likely determine why Lcr is culturable in artificial media while CLas is not. It remains to be determined whether it is possible to make CLas culturable or to make Lcr pathogenic to investigate the HLB pathosystem.

3. Genomes of Candidatus Liberibacter species

Ca. Liberibacter is a phloem-colonizing gram-negative bacterium [3,16] belonging to the Rhizobiaceae family of α-Proteobacteria [16]. The genetic diversity analysis of CLas on genes, such as the β-operon gene loci, omp gene [42,43], and 16S rRNA [44,45] indicated the rapid speciation occurred for Liberibacter species. Genome sequencing has been accomplished for 6 Liberibacter species, including CLas, CLaf, CLam, Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum (CLso), Lcr, and Ca. Liberibacter europaeus (CLeu). These genomes range from 1.15 to 1.52 Mb in size with low GC contents from 31.1% to 36.6%. The phylogenetic analysis of 36 Liberibacter species and 8 Rhizobiales species suggested that they first evolved from a common ancestor into nonpathogenic Lcr, followed by the evolution to pathogenic Ca. Liberibacter [46]. The genome sequence of CLas suggests that it is an early-branching member of the Rhizobiaceae family [47]. A comparative genomic analysis has revealed that the regulatory network of CLas is rather simple, with only 11 transcription factors in the entire transcriptome [47]. Some genomic features of Ca. Liberibacter species are summarized in Table 1 and S1 Table.

CLso comprises 6 haplotypes, including haplotype A-E [48,49] and U [50]. Haplotype A and B are capable of causing diseases in solanaceous plants such as the Zebra chip disease of potato, and haplotype C, D, and E are associated with diseases of apiaceous plants [51]. The CLso haplotype U was found in the psyllid Trioza urticae and its host plant Urtica dioica, and called “U” after Urtica [50]. Ca. L. brunswickensis (CLbr) was first identified in the Australian eggplant psyllid (Acizsia solanicola), and its genomic sequence remains not publicly available.

Table 1. The genomic features of sequenced Ca. Liberibacter species.

| Species | Strain | BioProject | Area | Level | Size (Mb) | GC (%) | Gene | Pseudo-gene | Reference |
|---------|--------|------------|------|-------|-----------|--------|------|-------------|-----------|
| CLas    | A4     | PRNA239529 | Guangdong, China | Complete | 1.23025 | 36.4 | 1125 | 28 | [53]       |
|         | gppsy  | PRNA158395 | Guangxi, China | Complete | 1.26824 | 36.6 | 1159 | 28 | [54]       |
|         | psy62  | PRNA29835  | Florida, USA | Complete | 1.22733 | 36.5 | 1120 | 37 | [47]       |
|         | IXGC   | PRNA376787 | California, USA | Complete | 1.22516 | 36.4 | 1113 | 24 | [55]       |
|         | Ishi-1 | PRJD1752   | Ishigaki (Island), Japan | Complete | 1.19085 | 36.3 | 1076 | 23 | [56]       |
|         | AHC1   | PRNA470611 | California, USA | Complete | 1.23375 | 36.6 | 1107 | 39 | [57]       |
|         | JRPAMB | PRNA544530 | Florida, USA | Complete | 1.23717 | 36.4 | 1113 | 21 | [58]       |
|         | CoFLP  | PRNA638026 | La Guajira, Colombia | Complete | 1.23164 | 36.5 | 1104 | 27 | [59]       |
|         | TaiYZ2 | PRNA552755 | Thailand | Complete | 1.23062 | 36.4 | 1104 | 23 | [46]       |
| CLso-ZC | CLso-ZO1 | PRNA39273 | Texas, USA | Complete | 1.25828 | 35.2 | 1145 | 68 | [60]       |
|         | LsoNZ1 | PRNA245348 | Northland, New Zealand | Complete | 1.31242 | 35.3 | 1203 | 53 | [61]       |
|         | FIN141 | PRNA312061 | south-west Finland | Contig | 1.24512 | 35.2 | 1132 | 59 | [50]       |
|         | HenneA | PRNA259360 | Texas, USA | Contig | 1.21136 | 34.9 | 1102 | 158 | [61]      |
|         | RSTM   | PRNA298929 | California, USA | Contig | 1.28679 | 35.1 | 1181 | 63 | [62]       |
|         | FIN111 | PRNA312579 | south-west Finland | Contig | 1.2024 | 34.9 | 1091 | 45 | [51]       |
|         | ISR100 | PRNA427973 | Israel | Contig | 1.30369 | 35 | 1210 | 65 | [63]       |
|         | R1     | PRNA251993 | California, USA | Contig | 1.20425 | 35.3 | 1111 | 112 | [64]      |
| CLam    | PW.SP  | PRNA185961 | São Paulo State, Brazil | Contig | 1.1952 | 31.1 | 1007 | 26 | [65]       |
|         | São Paulo | PRNA1811147 | São Paulo State, Brazil | Complete | 1.17607 | 31.1 | 1028 | 26 | [66]       |
| CLaf    | PTSAPS | PRNA185151 | South Africa | Complete | 1.19 | 34.5 | 981 | 54 | [67]       |
| CLeu    | ASNZ1  | PRNA243548 | Canterbury, New Zealand | Contig | 1.33 | 33.5 | 1179 | 35 | [68]       |
| Lcr     | BT-0   | PRNA269727 | Puerto Rico | Complete | 1.52212 | 35.4 | 1376 | 17 | [37]       |
|         | BT-1   | PRNA171392 | Puerto Rico | Complete | 1.50466 | 35.4 | 1359 | 65 | [37]       |

The genomes of CLbr and CLso haplotype U are not available when this review is written.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010071.t001
The publication of more genome sequences of Liberibacter species will promote more robust analyses. These genome sequences will enable the genomic comparison among various Liberibacter species, which can facilitate a better understanding of the lifestyle of Ca. Liberibacter species and the interactions between CLas and citrus plants.

4. Genes affecting CLas growth and pathogenicity

The understanding of pathogenicity of HLB bacteria has advanced much with the availability of Ca. Liberibacter genomes and rapid development of comparative genomics and functional genomics. We summarized advances in nutritional metabolism, prophage, and secretion systems below.

4.1. Metabolic genes

Metabolic model reconstruction analysis of 6 CLas strains indicated that most of the common essential genes are involved in purine and pyrimidine metabolism, pantothenate and CoA biosynthesis, fatty acid metabolism, and gluconeogenesis [69]. CLas has the ability to metabolize sugars such as glucose, fructose, and xylulose but not mannose, galactose, rhamnose, or cellulose [47]. The concentrations of fructose and glucose are very low in the phloem sap [70,71]; therefore, consumption of fructose by CLas during infection may initiate a shift in the host metabolite distribution [47]. Metabolomic studies have suggested broad changes in sugar concentrations in CLas-infected tissues including depletions of glucose and fructose in the leaves and symptomatic fruits of multiple citrus varieties (at 8 months post inoculation) [72–74]. However, in some of these studies, sugar levels changed significantly at different time points during infection [72,73]. Dissecting changes directly caused by CLas sugar consumption versus effects of the overall disruption of host biology would require further study. CLas disrupts the host cellular metabolic functions by importing multiple metabolites from host for its growth and development that leads to severe disease symptoms.

The reduced genome size of CLas indicates that the pathogen heavily depends on the host nutrition [10]. The presence of large number of transporter proteins in CLas might play a critical role in providing CLas with necessary nutrients and cause a metabolic imbalance in citrus [10]. CLas contains 14 ABC transporter-related proteins that help the bacterium import metabolites (amino acid and phosphates) and enzyme cofactors (choline, thiamine, iron, manganese, and zinc) from host [75] and resist organic solvent, lipid-like drugs and heavy metal; maintain the composition of the outer membrane; and secrete virulence factors [10]. The phosphatidylcholine (PC) (synthesized by Phospholipid N-methyltransferase and phosphatidylcholine) is associated with the fluidity, permeability, and potential of bacterial membranes [76], and the proteins for the 2 pathways of PC biosynthesis can be found in Lcr (WP_015272535.1 and WP_015272978.1, respectively), while CLas lacks the ability to synthesize PC. However, CLas encodes a predicted ABC transporter system for choline (CLIBASIA_01125) and a phosphatidylcholine synthase (CLIBASIA_02325) [75], suggesting that it is capable of utilizing extracellular choline.

4.2. Secretion-related genes

CLas lacks type III and type IV secretion systems and some related enzymes involved in extracellular living [47]. The genes related to cell motility such as those encoding flagellin and type IV pili account for as much as 4.5% of the CLas genome [47]. All the genes associated with type I secretion system required for both toxin effector secretion and multidrug efflux are present in the CLas genome [47]. The Sec pathway is involved in the translocation of proteins from the cytoplasm into the periplasmic space [77,78]. Interestingly, a complete Sec pathway and
type I secretion system are encoded by CLas [47]. The type I secretion pathways have 2 primary functions. The first one is defensive, protecting the bacterium against toxic environmental chemicals, involving multidrug efflux, antibiotics produced by other bacteria, and guarding against the phytoalexins produced by hosts [47]. Multidrug efflux has been demonstrated as an important mechanism for bacterial survival in members of the following genera Xanthomonas, Erwinia, Bradyrhizobium, Agrobacterium, and Rhizobium [79]. The second one is offensive, allowing the secretion of a number of offensive effectors and degradative enzymes, some of which are involved in plant or animal pathogenicity and others are antibiotics [47]. Offensive effectors and enzymes known to be secreted via the type I system include a relatively large number of protein toxins, including RTX hemolysins and bacteriocins and a limited number of hydrolases (esterases, proteases, glucanases, phosphatases, and nucleases) [80,81]. The type I secretion systems in gram-negative bacteria are typically composed of 3 protein components, TolC, which traverses both the outer membrane and periplasm, and 2 others, membrane fusion protein, MFP, and ATP-binding cassette, ABC, which are localized in the inner membrane [81,82]. Generally, the phytopathogenic bacteria possess multiple copies of TolC; however, CLas genome possesses only 1 copy of TolC [47]. Similarly, the Xylella genome also possesses 1 copy of TolC [79]. Knockout of TolC gene makes Xylella totally nonpathogenic and highly sensitive to phytoalexins [79], raising the possibility of a gene-engineered or chemical approach to target the single TolC gene of CLas.

### 4.3. Prophage

Three complete and 1 remnant (Type 4) prophages have been found in CLas genomes, including Type 1 (SC1), Type 2 (SC2) [83,84], Type 3 (P-JXGC-3) [55], and Type 4 [46]. SC1 encodes a putative holin and endolysin [83] that is localized outside the predicted prophage region, showing the potential for lytic and lysogenic change. SC2 encodes putative adhesin and peroxidase genes, which may be involved in lysogenic conversion [84]. The variants of SC1 and SC2, named P-PA19-1 and P-PA19-2, respectively, were found in CLas strain PA19 from Pakistan [85]. The results of expression (SC1_gp100, SC1_gp025, SC1_gp110, and SC1_gp095) and transformation with the fusion of the holin promoter region and a uidA reporter in Lcr have suggested that the activation of CLas prophage may reduce the host plant range and culturability of CLas [83]. The lytic burst of CLas in living phloem cells might trigger the death of the phloem cells [10], which seems to explain the phenomenon that no CLas is observed in infected citrus leaf midribs during the advanced stages of HLB [4]. A study has revealed that small Wolbachia protein may play a role as the repressor of CLas prophages, but the lytic cycle was still found in citrus psyllid [86]. Type 3 prophage of CLas has been identified to be incapable of reproduction via lytic cycle [55]. Type 3 prophage of CLas has 50% unique genes compared with SC1 and SC2 prophages and carries a restriction–modification system, which was speculated to play a role against Type 1 prophage/phage invasion [55]. Type 4 prophages have been found in CLas, CLam, CLaf, and CLeu, and they differ in Las isolates with the presence or absence of other phages [33,46]. CLam genome has 2 prophages, SP1 and SP2, whereas CLaf genome has only one [46,66]. CLeu and CLso both harbor 2 prophages [46]. In addition, 2 homologous genes lasA1 and lasA2 (previously named as hyv1 and hyv2) were discovered in CLas Psy62 genome, which might trigger high levels of genetic variability in plant immune response [87]. The prophage region in CLas genome psy62 encodes a putative protein (123 amino acids) named as CLasP235, and overexpression of CLasP235 in Carrizo (Citrus sinensis × Poncirus trifoliata) was reported to result in HLB-like symptoms and chlorosis. In addition, grapefruit and lemon chlorotic leaves infected by CLas also showed high expression of the CLasP235 gene [88].
5. The interaction between CLas and host plant

5.1. PTI and ETI

Pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) are found in or associated with disease-causing microorganisms, which mainly include bacterial DNA, lipoteichoic acids in the cell wall, and lipopolysaccharides [89–91]. The plant innate immunity system is core for the interaction between microbes and plant hosts [90]. This system constitutes at least 2 layers. PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) is one of the first layer of the immunity that can recognize PAMPs and activate defense signaling or gene expression, which reinforces the physical barriers against the pathogen attack, such as callose reinforcing the cell wall at sites of infection, and production of reactive oxygen species [90–92]. The second layer is effector-triggered immunity (ETI). ETI commonly deploys disease resistance (R) proteins for effective counteraction against effectors [90]. PTI is mediated by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that recognize PAMPs, whereas ETI is mediated by resistance (R) proteins that recognize pathogen effectors [90,91]. Additionally, in citrus HLB disease, the role of ETI and PTI immunity systems is not well defined. The flagellin-encoding gene flaA (CLIBASIA_02090) [93], which was identified as a PAMP in CLas, may play a crucial role in triggering host plant resistance to the infection of CLas [89]. Type IV pili can induce inflammatory responses in animal hosts and cell death in nonhost plant upon infection by pathogenic bacteria, respectively [94,95]. Ca. Liberibacter spp. also encode the complete set of genes required for Tad type IV pili synthesis and assembly [96]. The in planta expression of type IV pili and their interaction with host plants need further investigation. Few PAMPs in CLas have been reported, and the mechanism of CLas pathogenesis remains elusive.

5.2. Virulence factors of CLas

Investigation of the effectors and finding or identification of some binding proteins in citrus may provide an alternative and more sustainable way to block the invasion of CLas. Pathogen recognition by the plant immune system leads to defense responses that are often accompanied by a form of regulated cell death known as the hypersensitive response (HR) [97]. HR can be uncoupled from local defense responses at the site of infection, and its role in immunity may activate systemic responses in distal parts of the organism [97]. A large-scale screen of the virulence factors of CLas using Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) and Nicotiana benthamiana reveals that CLIBASIA_05150 and CLIBASIA_04065C (C-terminal of CLIBASIA_04065) could trigger cell death, and symptoms of stunting are observed in the plants expressing CLIBASIA_00470 and CLIBASIA_04025 [98]. Callose deposition is an important plant multifaceted defense mechanism (controlled by distinct signaling pathways) that acts to reinforce plant cell wall at the site of pathogen attack [99]. The mature protein of CLIBASIA_00460 (m460) is localized in multiple cellular compartments including nucleus at 25˚C, but nuclear accumulation of m460 is dramatically decreased at 32˚C [100]. NLS-m460, containing the SV40 nuclear localization sequence (NLS) at the N-terminus to promote nuclear import of m460, triggers chlorosis and necrosis in the local leaves and severe necrosis in the systemic leaves in N. benthamiana [100].

The overexpression of CLIBASIA_03875 [101] and CLIBASIA_04405 [102] mature protein via a Potato virus X (PVX)-based expression vector in N. benthamiana suppressed programmed cell death (PCD) and H₂O₂ accumulation triggered by the proapoptotic mouse protein BAX and the Phytophthora infestans elicin INF1 and contributed to the symptoms of dwarfing, leaf deformation, and mosaics. Simultaneously, CLIBASIA_03875 was the first PCD suppressor identified from CLas [101]. Approximately 27 nonclassically secreted proteins (ncSecPs) were identified from CLas genome, using the SecretomeP program coupled with an
Escherichia coli alkaline phosphatase assay [103]. Among which, 10 of these were dramatically more highly expressed in citrus than in psyllid and particularly suppressed HR-based cell death and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) accumulation in \textit{N. benthamiana} [103].

5.3. Interaction of \textit{CLas} and citrus

\textit{CLas}5315mp (mature protein), which is encoded by \textit{CLIBASIA\_05315}, is localized in the chloroplast and induces cell death in \textit{N. benthamiana} and callose deposition in plant cells [104]. \textit{CLas}Δ5315 with the removal of the chloroplast transit peptide from the \textit{CLas}5315mp induces excessive starch accumulation in \textit{N. benthamiana} [105]. Additionally, \textit{CLIBASIA\_05315} might be the most promising gene that can be used as a marker for early detection of HLB, as it is expressed in asymptomatic tissues [106]. In a separate study, the effector Sec-delivered effector 1 (SDE1) encoded by \textit{CLIBASIA\_05315} directly interacts with papain-like cysteine proteases (PLCPs) and inhibits protease activity [107]. Meanwhile, SDE1 interacts with DDX3 and down-regulates the expression of DDX3 in HLB-affected yellowing and mottled leaves of citrus, causing HLB typical chlorosis symptoms [108], which, however, was not observed by Clark and colleagues [107]. Severe yellowing and senescence signatures were observed in the mature leaves of SDE1-expressing \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} lines [109]. Moreover, SDE1-expressing Duncan grapefruit exhibited hypersusceptibility to \textit{CLas} [109]. The expression of PR genes (\textit{PR1}, \textit{PR3}, and \textit{PR5}) and PTI marker genes (\textit{FRK1}, \textit{GST1}, and \textit{WRKY22}) was significantly down-regulated after \textit{XccA\_w} inoculation in the SDE15-transgenic compared to that in the nontransgenic Duncan grapefruit [110]. SDE15 interacts with citrus protein CsACD2 (accelerated cell death 2), which encodes a chlorophyll catabolite reductase that represses PCD in plants [111]. The virulence factor SDE15 might be a broad-spectrum suppressor of plant immunity, which suppresses the HR induced by \textit{Xanthomonas citri} subsp. citri (\textit{Xcc}) in the transgenic Duncan grapefruit of SDE15. SDE15 also suppresses the HR triggered by the \textit{AvrBsT} (\textit{Xanthomonas vesicatoria} effector protein) in \textit{N. benthamiana} [110].

6. Host responses to \textit{CLas} infection

Different responses are associated with different citrus germplasms when infected by \textit{CLas}, including pathogen titers, the severity degree of symptoms, and the time of symptom appears [6]. Generally, citrus germplasm is considered as HLB tolerant when the pathogen is detectable but with low titers and the plant exhibits no or slight symptoms, which do not affect normal development. Susceptible species usually have high pathogen titers and typical disease symptoms [5,6]. The rapid advancement of genomic approaches facilitated the understanding of how \textit{Ca. Liberibacter} infection affects transcriptome [112–120], proteome [121,122], and metabolome [123–125] in host plant.

6.1. Transcriptional change

Plants species possess a different set of genes that respond to a variety of abiotic and biotic stresses and provide local or systemic defense responses against pathogens [126]. These defense genes usually belong to transcriptional factors, pathogenesis-related (PR), protease inhibitors (PIs) gene families, and some are related to secondary metabolites to produce antimicrobial compounds [127–129]. The salicylic acid (SA) biosynthesis and induction of different defense responses (\textit{WRKY}, \textit{PR}, and \textit{PI}) vary from species to species, and it also depends on the type and intensity of pathogen [130,131]. Interestingly, several transcriptomic studies on HLB-infected citrus revealed that citrus species express multiple genes that belong to \textit{WRKY} (\textit{WRKY33}, \textit{WRKY40}, \textit{WRKY41}, \textit{WRKY46}, and \textit{WRKY70}), \textit{PR}, and secondary metabolic categories in response to \textit{CLas} invasion [132–134]. Induced expression of \textit{PR1} gene is a marker for
SA-mediated defense signaling pathway [135]. Additionally, an increased level of jasmonic acid and SA was observed after CLas infection [136]. Analysis of biotic response-associated DEGs from asymptomatic and symptomatic stages of the relatively tolerant Mexican lime (*Citrus aurantifolia*) suggests the role of secondary metabolism, cell wall, signaling, transcription factors, and redox reactions in HLB tolerance [137]. The wide-range gene expression analyses of *C. sinensis*, *Citrus sunki*, *P. trifoliata*, and contrasting hybrids representing susceptible, tolerant, and resistant varieties against HLB suggest that the down-regulation of gibberellin synthesis, induction of cell wall strengthening, and enhanced expression of WRKY transcription factors are associated with tolerance against CLas infection [138,139]. The changes in gene expression related to photosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, glucose transportation, and starch synthesis/degradation are presumed to lead to starch accumulation after CLas infection [140–142]. In addition, those genes associated with cell defense and cell wall were also differentially expressed after CLas infection [23,120,143]. Some regulators on transcriptional or post-transcriptional levels were also targeted. WRKY40, NAC domain, and MYB15 may play important roles in regulating carbohydrate metabolism and defense response in citrus–CLas interactions [132]. miR399 is induced by the infection of CLas [132], which responds to phosphorus starvation in other plant species [144]. The phosphorus content of CLas-infected citrus was 35% lower than that of the healthy control. Application of phosphorus oxyanion solutions to HLB-infected citrus was reported to alleviate the symptom severity and improve fruit production [144], indicating that HLB may result in phosphorus deficiency. Some HLB-responsive miRNAs, such as *csi-miR167*, are associated with potassium (K) transport. In addition, K-deficient citrus plants are more prone to CLas invasion than those plants with abundant K supply [132,144].

The developmental stages of the leaves of host plants are crucial for the CLas pathosystem [21,145–148]. Young leaves at advanced developmental stages display enhanced constitutive expression of immunity-related genes, which may provide additional tolerance to bacterial infections [149]. Host responses against CLas infections vary in different tissues of same plants. The virulence, stress response, and antimicrobial secondary metabolites-associated genes show enhanced expression in midrib tissues from the leaves compared to the fruit piths in HLB-positive *Citrus reticulata* Blanco “Shatangju” [150]. Similarly, the temporal host response may vary in citrus against CLas infestation. The signaling, defense, transcription factors, hormone, and photosynthesis pathways are differentially expressed even at day 1, and DEG bursts occur for genes related to secondary metabolites, defense, photosynthesis, and glycolytic and ATP biosynthetic pathways at 5-day post-ACP-mediated CLas inoculation [151].

### 6.2. Protein change

A wide range of alterations were observed at the proteomic level such as suppression of heat shock proteins and metabolism- and photosynthesis-related proteins, which may facilitate CLas invasion. Down-regulation of some key proteins such as photosynthesis- and metabolism-related proteins and photosystem II reaction PSB28 protein [152] may cause chlorosis and host environments favorable for HLB progression. Eventually, pathways involved in the photosystem I and II light reactions are suppressed throughout the CLas infection process [113]. Symptomatic fruit of Valencia sweet orange exhibit less accumulation of proteins involved in amino acid biosynthesis, glycolysis, and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle [153]. Phloem proteome analysis of Washington navel orange, an HLB-susceptible sweet orange variety, shows decreased expression of proteins of plant metabolism and translation but enhanced expression of defense-related proteins, including proteases, PIs, and peroxidases [154]. Several proteins involved in photosynthesis are less accumulated and are proposed to be responsible...
for the reduction of Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn, Mn, and Cu contents in infected grapefruit leaves [155–157], which may explain the nutrient deficiency of HLB-infected trees. In contrast, the proteins involved in cell wall modification are more accumulated in HLB tolerant citrus species [151,152], such as expansin β-3.1, pectinesterase, CESA8, and expansin8 [152]. Mexican lime expressing β-defensin 2 and/or lysozyme showed lower bacterial titers and less severe HLB-like symptoms as well as increased photosynthesis compared with the control trees [158]. In the young leaves of citrus, CSLG2, UGE5, expansin4, RGP2, and glycoside hydrolase family 28 proteins are more accumulated by CLas. However, in tolerant citrus germplasms, thylakoid luminal 20 kDa protein, chlorophyll binding, oxygen-evolving complex-related, and 2 ferredoxin-related proteins are suppressed [152]. The proteins associated with the detoxification of oxidative stress (nitrilases and glutathione S-transferases) and cell wall and PR proteins are activated, demonstrating their potential to boost HLB tolerance in citrus [121,122].

6.3. Metabolite changes

A large number of primary and secondary metabolites are involved in maintaining the normal functions and immune response of plants [159]. CLas unbalance the primary metabolism of HLB susceptible varieties, and the host fails to activate its secondary defense system, whereas in HLB-tolerant varieties, the primary metabolism is balanced, which can coordinate with other defense pathways to respond to CLas invasion [160]. Generally, the tolerant varieties have high levels of flavonoids (such as flavonols and flavones) with strong antibacterial properties and amino acid precursors to defensive phenolic compounds (phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine), whereas susceptible varieties lack these compounds [124]. Probably, high concentrations of flavonoids [161,162] and volatile compounds in the tolerant varieties may contribute to their tolerance against Ca. Liberibacter [124]. Curiously, some fatty acids associated with defense were strongly depleted in infected sweet orange leaves [163]. Whether these fatty acids are depleted due to host defense responses or are offensively destroyed by CLas is unclear, as does the role of these fatty acids in HLB progression. Modification of the metabolic pathways for higher contents of antibacterial metabolites will be an alternative strategy to enhance HLB tolerance of the susceptible citrus germplasm.

7. Improvements for HLB tolerance

Different approaches have been investigated with the aim to improve citrus tolerance against HLB. A synthetic, high-throughput screening system is performed to identify compounds that inhibit activity of CLas transcription activators LdtR, RpoH, and VisNR [164]. Among 120,000 compounds screened from this system, 5 compounds are validated to have inhibitory effects on one or several of the CLas transcriptional activators [164]. A study also showed that naturally occurring flavonoids had inhibitory effects on YbeY activity in CLas [165]. The in silico and experimental analysis of genes overexpressed during CLas infection reveals that 8 enzymes including DTMP kinase, inorganic diphosphatase, coproporphyrinogen oxidase, protoporphyrinogen oxidase, phosphoglycerate mutase, dihydroorotic acid, ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase (UDP) (glutaredoxin), and glutaredoxin reductase’s inhibition could reduce CLas pathogenicity, thus providing potential genetic targets in the CLas strains [69].

Given the importance of Sec translocon and its substrates, inhibition of the Sec secretion system by antimicrobial agents with suitable targets such as SecA can suppress the progression of HLB [166]. A recent study identified a stable antimicrobial peptide (SAMP) from Micrococcus that can inhibit CLas infection, which effectively reduced disease symptoms in HLB-positive trees but also induced innate immunity [167]. Appropriate application of antimicrobial
agents against CLas may be an alternative approach to boost HLB tolerance by activating the expression of some proteins involved in radical ion detoxification [151].

Genetic and genomic studies focused on HLB tolerance species also provided candidate genes for disease resistance improvement. *P. trifoliata* and its hybrids, US-942 (*C. reticulata* “Sunki” × *P. trifoliata*) [169] and US-897 (*C. reticulata* × *P. trifoliata*) [169,170], are identified as tolerance germplasm [6]. QTL analysis conducted on HLB-tolerant *Poncirus*, and its intergeneric F1 population with sweet orange resulted in 3 repeatable QTL clusters (linkage groups LG-t6, LG-t8, and LG-t9). Most of detected QTLs could explain 18% to 30% of phenotypic variance [171]. The comparative analysis of small RNA profiles and target gene expression between an HLB-tolerant citrus hybrid (*P. trifoliata* × *C. reticulata*) and a susceptible citrus variety identified a panel of candidate defense regulators for plant immune responses against HLB, such as the positive regulator BRCA1-associated protein and the negative regulator vascular-associated death protein [172]. CLas infection and *D. citri* infestation noticeably increase endogenous melatonin levels in citrus leaves and up-regulate the expression of its biosynthetic genes (*CsTDC*, *CsT5H*, *CsSNAT*, *CsASMT*, and *CsCOMT*) [173]. Importantly, melatonin supplementation enhances the endogenous contents of the stress-associated phytohormones (salicylates, auxins, *trans*-jasmonic acid, and abscisic acid) and the transcript levels of their biosynthetic genes and diminishes the CLas titer in the infected leaves, which suggests that melatonin might play an antibacterial role against CLas [173]. SA may play a role in citrus defense against CLas, as it is commonly found to be increased in infected tissue [112,113], and artificially increasing SA levels can increase tolerance to HLB [114,115]. Perhaps because of this important defensive role, CLas encodes a salicylic hydrolase (SahA) presumably to break down host SA [10,116]. Based on the summarization of this review, the responses of molecular pathways of HLB-tolerant and HLB-susceptible citrus species to CLas infection are proposed in Fig 2.

Genome editing such as CRISPR-Cas technologies can be used for genetic manipulation, which provides an unprecedented opportunity to improve HLB tolerance [7]. Additionally, multiple genes can be edited by means of multiplex CRISPR with one single insertion [125]. Therefore, editing and improvement of defense regulators, genes encoding antibacterial compounds, or genes essential for the interaction between CLas and host species may constitute good strategies to enhance HLB tolerance [124]. For example, the citrus PLCPs genes could be used for such purpose. PLCPs, which encode immune-related cysteine proteases, were reported to be targeted and inhibited by CLas. Hence, it is necessary to characterize citrus PLCPs gene function in citrus. Moreover, identification of HLB-susceptible genes may also be useful. To date, 9 genomes of citrus have been published and are publicly available (http://citrus.hzau.edu.cn/; https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/), which include varieties with different degrees of tolerance to HLB disease. Besides, public availability of HLB-tolerant and HLB-sensitive citrus germplasm will facilitate the mining of the susceptible genes to be edited with the latest genome engineering tools.

8. Future prospects

Currently, HLB management strategy involves psyllid control, removal of HLB-diseased trees, and replantation with HLB-free trees for citrus-producing regions with low HLB incidence (Region-wide comprehensive implementation of rouging infected trees, tree replacement, and insecticide applications successfully controls citrus HLB). The strategy has positive consequences in controlling of HLB spreading; however, breeding of HLB-resistant or highly tolerant cultivars is a fundamental way to solve this devastating disease. Researchers from all over the world have made tremendous efforts to study the HLB pathosystem, and great progress
has been made in the exploration of HLB-tolerant genetic resources, the pathogenic mechanism of CLas, and the behavior of psyllid. In the next 5 to 10 years, gene mining, especially for the pathogenic effectors and host interaction genes, will become a hot spot of research, and further understanding of the resistant/tolerant and susceptible mechanism against HLB will facilitate the development of genetic techniques to improve HLB resistance. Breakthroughs may be expected to be made from the following aspects.

First, the pathogenicity of CLas, CLam, and CLaf needs to be further dissected; the effector and their target proteins should be clearly pinpointed. Genome sequencing, comparison, and functional analysis will gain new knowledge on the pathogenicity and in vitro culture. Synthetic biology including different chimeric genomes will be innovative approaches to speed up the advance in this area.

Second, genes involved in the early interaction between Ca. Liberibacter and host should be clarified. Most of the current molecular data are from weeks or even months after infection. There has been rather limited evidence from the immediate effect (e.g., hours after infection or within 1 day) on citrus plants caused by CLas infection. Some progress has been made in early detection of the damage caused by HLB [106,174–177]. Identification of the early damage caused by HLB infection is pivotal for the elucidation of its pathogenic mechanism.
Third, the population of Ca. Liberibacter may comprise benign strains with potential biological controlling effects against virulent strains. Thus, a global and continuous monitoring of the Ca. Liberibacter population and their virulence will be of significant data in this area.

Fourth, it is promising to enhance tolerance in commercial citrus by using HLB-tolerant wild citrus by precising selection with molecular breeding. Citrus relatives (Murraya paniculata and Atalantia buxifolia) and wild citrus (Citrus latipes or Poncirus trifoliata) are highly tolerant to HLB. Multiomics methods can be utilized to analyze the molecular basis of HLB tolerance and then identify the key candidate genes for HLB tolerance.

Last, metabolic improvement and optimization may be an alternative way to increase HLB tolerance. Significant changes were observed in metabolic pathways after the infection of HLB bacteria. The key metabolites or regulators can be screened with metabolomics, and their antibacterial effects should be experimentally confirmed.
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