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Obstetric and non-obstetric surgery during pregnancy: A 20-year Danish population-based prevalence study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Population-based studies on use of non-obstetric and obstetric surgical procedures during pregnancy are sparse. Therefore, our objective was to estimate the prevalence of surgery during pregnancy, including potential time trends, overall and by trimester and describe the characteristics of pregnant women undergoing surgery.

Design This study is a large nationwide cohort study.

Setting From administrative and medical databases, we obtained information about all pregnancies ending in a live birth, a stillbirth or an abortion (spontaneous and induced) in Denmark during 1996–2015. Procedures (excluding caesarean sections) conducted during pregnancy were categorised as a non-obstetric or obstetric surgery and further divided into laparoscopic or non-laparoscopic procedures.

Main outcome measure Main outcome measure is prevalence of surgery during pregnancy.

Results We included 1 667 176 pregnancies of which 108 502 (6.4%) received 117 424 surgical procedures. The prevalence of non-obstetric surgery was almost stable (1.5% in 1996–1999 to 1.6% in 2012–2015), whereas non-obstetric abdominal or gynaecological laparoscopic procedures increased from 0.5% to 0.8%. For appendectomies, the proportion of laparoscopic surgery increased from 4.2% to 79.2% during the study period. In 49 pregnancies, surgery for internal herniation was conducted in 2012–2015 versus none in 1996–1999. The prevalence of obstetric surgery, excluding invasive diagnostic tests, increased from 0.2% to 0.8%. High multiplicity, smoking, increasing age, body mass index (BMI) and parity were factors associated with a high prevalence of surgery during pregnancy.

Conclusions The increase in the prevalence of laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy may reflect a decreased restraint concerning conductance of these surgical procedures during pregnancy. The increasing proportion of laparoscopic procedures complies with clinical recommendations, and the prevalence of surgery during pregnancy varied by multiplicity, smoking status, parity, age and BMI.

INTRODUCTION

Surgery during pregnancy is of clinical concern. Non-obstetric surgery occurs in approximately 1%–2% of all pregnancies1 and obstetric surgery in more than 20%, with caesarean section being the most frequent procedure.2

Most studies concerning fetal and maternal outcome of surgery during pregnancy are small single-centre studies.3 4 The few large national cohort studies tended to focus on specific clinical conditions, for example, appendicitis.5 6 A US study of 1969 pregnant women included a broad range of non-obstetric surgeries during pregnancy7 and concluded that major maternal complications and maternal mortality following surgery during pregnancy were rare. A cohort study including almost 6.5 mio pregnancies identified, using the UK Hospital Episode Statistics database found a higher risk of adverse birth outcomes but concluded that the attributable risk was generally low.8 The same elevated risk of adverse delivery outcomes was found in a case–control study of 462 non-obstetric surgeries during pregnancy.9 In 2011, the Society of American Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Surgeons approved a clinical guideline recommending laparoscopic procedures during any trimester of pregnancy10 and in 2017, the safety of surgery during pregnancy in all trimesters was acknowledged by the Committee on Obstetric Practice and the American Society of Anesthesiologists.11 The increasing knowledge about the safety of surgery during pregnancy could possibly implicate a higher prevalence of surgical procedures during pregnancy.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► High-quality nationwide data.
► Information on pregnancies that end in abortion.
► Main groups of surgical procedures is used, minimizing the risk of misclassification.
► Some abortions will be missing in the registries.
► Reporting of invasive diagnostic tests is not complete in the early study period.
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our knowledge, no studies have examined time trends in prevalence and distribution in relation to trimester of surgery during pregnancy excluding caesarean sections. With this study, we wanted to provide such an overview on the development in the field of surgery during pregnancy in Denmark in the last 20 years.

We examined the prevalence and type of non-obstetric and obstetric surgical procedures performed during pregnancy and characterised pregnant women undergoing surgery. Specifically, we wanted to examine (1) the time trend in surgical procedures conducted during pregnancy focusing on abdominal procedures (diagnostic procedures, surgery for internal herniation and appendectomies), gynaecological procedures (stereilisations and ovarian cyst surgery) and obstetric procedures other than caesarean section (cerclages, invasive diagnostic tests and other surgeries); (2) any change in conduction of laparoscopic versus non-laparoscopic gynaecological and abdominal surgery during time and (3) characteristics of surgically treated women.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We conducted this nationwide prevalence study in Denmark within a population of 5.7 million inhabitants. The Danish population has unrestricted access to a tax-supported healthcare system allowing all Danish citizens to have equal access to hospital and specialist treatment. All treatments in public as well as private hospitals are registered.

Every Danish citizen is assigned a personal identification number at birth or immigration (the Danish Civil Registration System [CPR] number). This unique identification number contains information on birth date and sex and allows individual-level electronic linkage between population-based registries. In this study, we linked data from the Danish Civil Registration System, the Danish National Patient Registry (DNPR) and the Danish Medical Birth Registry.

We identified all Danish female citizens aged 15–54 years who gave birth (live birth or stillbirth) or had an induced or spontaneous abortion during 1996–2015. Women giving birth were identified through the Danish Medical Birth Registry, while induced and spontaneous abortions were retrieved from the DNPR. Both single and multiple births were counted as one pregnancy. Each woman could contribute with an unlimited number of previous stillbirths, parity, age, maternal status, smoking status, body mass index (BMI) (since 2003) and citizenship.

Since 1977, it has been mandatory for Danish hospitals to report data on all non-psychiatric hospital admissions to the DNPR. Since 1995, emergency room contacts and contacts to hospital specialist clinics has been registered as well. Until 1994, diagnoses were registered according to the Danish version of International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 8th revision, but since then, the Danish version of ICD 10th revision has been used. All patients undergoing surgery are assigned a surgical code in the DNPR. The surgical procedures are registered immediately after surgery by the surgeon responsible for the procedure and coded according to a Danish version of the Nordic Medico-Statistical Committee Classification of Surgical Procedures. We included all surgical procedures except caesarean sections and procedures related to abortions (see the online supplementary appendix S1 for the specific codes) in pregnant women in the years 1996–2015. One pregnancy could account for more than one surgical procedure if there were more than one admission. We only included the procedure recorded as the primary in each admission. We categorised the type of surgery into non-obstetric surgery including abdominal surgery (subcategories diagnostic procedures, surgery for internal herniation and appendectomies), gynaecological surgery (subcategories sterilisations or ovarian cyst surgery), orthopaedic surgery, other non-obstetric surgery, obstetric surgery including cerclage (abdominal or vaginal, with vaginal cerclage subdivided by gestational age at time of cerclage) and invasive diagnostic tests. When relevant, procedures were subdivided into open or laparoscopic.

From the Danish Medical Birth Registry, we extracted information on demographics (maternal age at birth, parity, multiplicity, BMI and smoking status) for all pregnancies ≥22 weeks of gestation. Information on maternal and gestational age in women who had a miscarriage or termination of pregnancy before 22 weeks of gestation was retrieved from the DNPR. Maternal age was categorised in years as <20, 20–29, 30–39 or ≥40. Parity was divided into nulliparous or parous, multiplicity in singleton or multiple pregnancies, BMI in the categories 18.5–24.9, 25–29.9 and ≥30. Smoking status was reported as being smoker or non-smoker.

Surgery during pregnancy was defined as a registration of surgery in the period from beginning of the last menstrual period to date of delivery or abortion. Date of beginning of the last menstrual period was calculated as date of delivery minus gestational age in days at delivery. We determined trimester of surgery according to length of pregnancy at the date of surgery, calculated as date of surgery minus date of beginning of last menstrual period. First trimester was defined as surgery on day 0–83 of gestation, second trimester as surgery on day 84–195 of gestation and third trimester as surgery on day 196–315 of gestation.

For all pregnant women, we tabulated demographics. The prevalence of each of the above mentioned variables was calculated for subgroups of surgical procedures (table 1). The study period was separated in 4-year groups according to date of surgery (1996–1999, 2000–2003,
Table 1  Demographic and pregnancy characteristics of pregnant women in Denmark 1996–2015

|                                | Pregnancies with surgical procedures, n(%)=108502 (100) | Pregnancies with non-obstetric abdominal surgery, n(%)=6445 (100) | Pregnancies with gynaecological surgery, n(%)=11176 (100) | Pregnancies with orthopaedic surgery, n(%)=3390 (100) | Pregnancies with obstetric surgery, n(%)=85469 (100) | Pregnancies without surgical procedures, n(%)=1578674 (100) | All pregnancies, n(%)=1687176 (100) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Maternal age, years            |                                                        |                                                               |                                                         |                                                      |                                                     |                                                     |                                   |
| <20                            | 1181 (1.1)                                             | 187 (2.9)                                                     | 169 (1.5)                                               | 159 (4.7)                                            | 150 (4.6)                                          | 524 (0.6)                                         | 65889 (4.2)                       | 67070 (4.0)                       |
| 20–29                          | 27846 (25.7)                                           | 2997 (46.5)                                                  | 3788 (33.9)                                             | 1602 (47.3)                                          | 1438 (43.8)                                        | 18334 (21.5)                                    | 715160 (45.3)                     | 743006 (44.0)                     |
| 30–39                          | 67679 (62.4)                                           | 3050 (47.3)                                                  | 6441 (57.6)                                             | 1506 (44.4)                                          | 1525 (46.5)                                        | 55951 (65.5)                                    | 742665 (47.0)                     | 810344 (48.0)                     |
| ≥40                            | 11796 (10.9)                                           | 211 (3.3)                                                     | 778 (7.0)                                               | 123 (3.6)                                            | 170 (5.2)                                          | 10663 (12.5)                                    | 54960 (3.5)                       | 66756 (4.0)                       |
| Parity                         |                                                        |                                                               |                                                         |                                                      |                                                     |                                                     |                                   |                                   |
| Nulliparous                    | 31715 (29.2)                                           | 1981 (30.7)                                                  | 1617 (14.5)                                             | 1151 (34.0)                                          | 1070 (32.6)                                        | 26300 (30.8)                                    | 479915 (30.4)                     | 511630 (30.3)                     |
| Parous                         | 59726 (55.0)                                           | 2499 (38.8)                                                  | 1963 (17.6)                                             | 1410 (41.6)                                          | 1430 (43.6)                                        | 53191 (62.2)                                    | 612418 (38.8)                     | 672144 (39.8)                     |
| Missing parity                 | 17061 (15.7)                                           | 1965 (30.5)                                                  | 7596 (68.0)                                             | 829 (24.5)                                           | 783 (23.9)                                         | 5981 (7.0)                                       | 486341 (30.8)                     | 503402 (28.9)                     |
| Multiplicity                   |                                                        |                                                               |                                                         |                                                      |                                                     |                                                     |                                   |                                   |
| Singleton                      | 105881 (97.6)                                          | 6334 (98.3)                                                  | 10953 (98.0)                                            | 3345 (98.7)                                          | 3203 (97.6)                                        | 83255 (97.4)                                    | 1557145 (98.6)                    | 1663026 (98.6)                    |
| Multiple                       | 2621 (2.4)                                             | 111 (1.7)                                                     | 223 (2.0)                                               | 45 (1.3)                                             | 80 (2.4)                                           | 2217 (2.6)                                       | 21529 (1.4)                       | 24150 (1.4)                       |
| BMI kg/m²                      |                                                        |                                                               |                                                         |                                                      |                                                     |                                                     |                                   |                                   |
| <18.5                          | 2636 (2.4)                                             | 174 (2.7)                                                     | 247 (2.2)                                               | 68 (2.0)                                             | 105 (3.2)                                          | 2085 (2.4)                                       | 28575 (1.8)                       | 31211 (1.8)                       |
| 18.5–24.9                      | 41632 (38.4)                                           | 2240 (34.8)                                                  | 3299 (29.5)                                             | 1218 (38.1)                                          | 1284 (39.1)                                        | 34021 (39.8)                                    | 387028 (24.5)                     | 428660 (25.4)                     |
| 25–29.9                        | 15177 (14.0)                                           | 1047 (16.2)                                                  | 1324 (11.8)                                             | 525 (15.5)                                           | 459 (14.0)                                         | 12012 (14.1)                                    | 129559 (8.2)                      | 144736 (8.6)                      |
| ≥30                            | 9161 (8.4)                                             | 800 (12.4)                                                    | 827 (7.4)                                               | 421 (12.4)                                           | 276 (8.4)                                          | 6974 (8.2)                                       | 75546 (4.8)                       | 84707 (5.0)                       |
| BMI missing                    | 39896 (36.8)                                           | 2184 (33.9)                                                  | 5479 (49.0)                                             | 1158 (34.2)                                          | 1159 (35.3)                                        | 30380 (35.5)                                    | 957966 (60.7)                     | 997862 (59.1)                     |
| Smoking status                 |                                                        |                                                               |                                                         |                                                      |                                                     |                                                     |                                   |                                   |
| Non-smokers                    | 69667 (64.2)                                           | 3127 (48.5)                                                  | 2590 (23.2)                                             | 1700 (50.1)                                          | 1711 (52.1)                                        | 61375 (71.8)                                    | 817135 (51.8)                     | 886802 (52.6)                     |
| Smokers                        | 15812 (14.6)                                           | 1015 (15.7)                                                  | 722 (6.5)                                               | 639 (18.8)                                           | 552 (16.8)                                         | 13138 (15.4)                                    | 180153 (11.4)                     | 195965 (11.6)                     |
| Missing information on smoking status | 23023 (21.2)                                           | 2303 (35.7)                                                  | 7864 (70.4)                                             | 1051 (31.0)                                          | 1020 (31.1)                                        | 10959 (12.8)                                    | 581386 (36.8)                     | 604409 (35.8)                     |

BMI, body mass index.
2004–2007, 2008–2011, 2012–2015). The aforementioned types of obstetric and non-obstetric surgeries performed in pregnant women were described according to year groups, and the proportion of surgical procedures in each group compared with the total number of pregnancies was calculated. The frequency of non-obstetric surgery was analysed in terms of trimester and type of surgery. Furthermore, the prevalence of laparoscopic and non-laparoscopic abdominal and gynaecological surgery was examined for each year group and according to trimesters as well. Data were analyzed using the statistical software package STATA V.13 (Stata).

Ethical approval
This study was approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency (record number 2015-57-0002, Aarhus University record number 2016-051-00001-522). Ethical approval is not required for non-interventional registry-based studies in Denmark.

Patient and public involvement statement
Our study is a non-interventional registry-based study, and no patient or public were involved.

RESULTS
Our cohort consisted of 1 687 176 pregnancies registered in Denmark during 1996–2015 (see flow chart in figure 1). Of these, 1 183 774 (70.2%) ended in a live birth or stillbirth ≥20 weeks gestational age and 503 402 pregnancies (29.8%) in induced or spontaneous abortions. For the entire cohort, the median maternal age at time of birth or abortion was 30 years, 30.3% were nulliparous and 1 663 026 (98.6%) had singleton pregnancies (table 1). The total number of pregnancies decreased from 364 489 in 1996–1999 to 262 900 in 2012–2015 (table 2).

Within the cohort, 108 502 (6.4%) had at least one surgical procedure excluding caesarean sections. The prevalence increased from 4.9% in 1996–1999 to 6.5% in 2012–2015. The number of procedures (7.0%) was slightly higher than the number of pregnancies with procedures, reflecting that some women underwent several procedures in the same pregnancy (table 2).

Surgically treated women had higher median age (34 years) and slightly fewer had singleton pregnancies (97.6%), 14.6% were smokers, and 8.4% had a BMI ≥30. During the study period, the prevalence of abdominal surgery during pregnancy increased from 0.3% to 0.5% (table 2). The prevalence in second and third trimester increased correspondingly (figure 2). Surgery for internal herniation was not conducted in the early study period but occurred in 49 cases between 2012 and 2015. The use of laparoscopic procedures increased in the end of the study period (figure 3), especially in the second trimester (figure 4).
During the study period, the proportion of appendectomies conducted laparoscopically increased in all trimesters (4.2% to 79.2%) but most in the first and second trimester (figure 5). The prevalence of abdominal surgery did not vary by age, parity, multiplicity, and maternal smoking status (table 1), while women with a high BMI had increased prevalence of abdominal surgery during pregnancy.

Through the study period, the overall prevalence of gynaecological surgery during pregnancy varied between 0.5% and 0.8% (table 2). Conduction of sterilisations and ovarian cyst surgery remained stable. Gynaecological surgery occurred predominantly in the first trimester (figure 2).

The prevalence of gynaecological surgery during pregnancy was 0.5% in women <20 years and 1.2% for women >40. In multiple pregnancies, it was 0.9% versus 0.7% in singleton pregnancies. The prevalence of gynaecological surgery increased slightly with increasing BMI. While the prevalence of non-laparoscopic surgery decreased in first trimester over time, it remained stable in the second and third trimester.

### Table 2  Number and prevalence of pregnancies with different types of surgical procedures in Denmark 1996–2015

| Type of surgery | 1996–1999 (%) | 2000–2003 (%) | 2004–2007 (%) | 2008–2011 (%) | 2012–2015 (%) | Total (%) |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| Number of pregnancies (%) | 364,489 (100) | 362,149 (100) | 359,155 (100) | 338,483 (100) | 262,900 (100) | 1,687,176 (100) |
| Surgical procedures during pregnancy (%) | 19,303 (5.3) | 30,270 (8.4) | 24,351 (6.8) | 25,009 (7.4) | 18,491 (7.0) | 117,424 (7.0) |
| Number of pregnancies with surgical procedures (%) | 17,866 (4.9) | 27,896 (7.7) | 22,436 (6.2) | 23,148 (6.8) | 17,156 (6.5) | 108,502 (6.4) |
| Abdominal surgery (%) | 1269 (0.3) | 1512 (0.4) | 1303 (0.4) | 1359 (0.4) | 1330 (0.5) | 6773 (0.4) |
| Diagnostic procedures | 585 (0.2) | 575 (0.2) | 436 (0.1) | 515 (0.2) | 483 (0.2) | 2594 (0.2) |
| Internal herniation | 0 (0.0) | <5 (0.0) | <5 (0.0) | 9 (0.0) | 49 (0.0) | 60 (0.0) |
| Appendectomy | 285 (0.1) | 368 (0.1) | 290 (0.1) | 304 (0.1) | 265 (0.1) | 1512 (0.1) |
| Open | 273 (0.1) | 341 (0.1) | 227 (0.1) | 123 (0.0) | 55 (0.0) | 1019 (0.1) |
| Laparoscopic | 12 (0.0) | 27 (0.0) | 63 (0.0) | 181 (0.1) | 210 (0.1) | 493 (0.0) |
| Gynaecological surgery (%) | 2526 (0.7) | 2934 (0.8) | 2277 (0.6) | 1861 (0.5) | 1708 (0.6) | 11,306 (0.7) |
| Sterilisations | 446 (0.1) | 411 (0.1) | 276 (0.1) | 207 (0.1) | 207 (0.1) | 1485 (0.1) |
| Ovarian cyst surgery | 33 (0.0) | 70 (0.0) | 91 (0.0) | 114 (0.0) | 89 (0.0) | 397 (0.0) |
| Open | 14 (0.0) | 36 (0.0) | 35 (0.0) | 38 (0.0) | 15 (0.0) | 138 (0.0) |
| Laparoscopic | 19 (0.0) | 34 (0.0) | 56 (0.0) | 76 (0.0) | 74 (0.0) | 259 (0.0) |
| Orthopaedic surgery (%) | 756 (0.2) | 786 (0.2) | 797 (0.2) | 751 (0.2) | 565 (0.2) | 3655 (0.2) |
| Other non-obstetric surgery (%) | 866 (0.2) | 871 (0.2) | 763 (0.2) | 783 (0.2) | 666 (0.3) | 3949 (0.2) |
| Cerclage (%) | 327 (0.1) | 389 (0.1) | 429 (0.1) | 543 (0.2) | 307 (0.1) | 1995 (0.1) |
| Abdominal | <5 (0.0) | <5 (0.0) | 5 (0.0) | 17 (0.0) | 15 (0.0) | 43 (0.0) |
| Open | 0 (0.0) | <5 (0.0) | 5 (0.0) | 9 (0.0) | 6 (0.0) | 21 (0.0) |
| Laparoscopic | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 7 (0.0) | 9 (0.0) | 16 (0.0) |
| Vaginal | 324 (0.1) | 386 (0.1) | 424 (0.1) | 526 (0.2) | 292 (0.1) | 1952 (0.1) |
| <16 weeks of gestation | 216 (0.1) | 245 (0.1) | 200 (0.1) | 234 (0.1) | 144 (0.1) | 1039 (0.1) |
| ≥16 weeks of gestation | 108 (0.0) | 141 (0.0) | 224 (0.1) | 292 (0.1) | 148 (0.1) | 913 (0.1) |
| Invasive diagnostic tests (%) | 13,171 (3.6) | 21,549 (6.0) | 14,980 (4.2) | 14,254 (4.2) | 12,220 (4.6) | 76,174 (4.5) |
| Other obstetric surgery (%) | 388 (0.1) | 2229 (0.6) | 3802 (1.1) | 5458 (1.6) | 1695 (0.6) | 13,572 (0.8) |
For orthopaedic surgery, the overall prevalence was 0.2%, and we observed a stable prevalence throughout the study period (table 2). The prevalence in each trimester was stable as well (figure 2), but the observed prevalence of orthopaedic surgery was highest among women with high BMI (table 1).

Other non-obstetric surgery had an overall prevalence of 0.3% in 2012–2015 (table 2). The prevalence in first, second and third trimester was stable throughout the period as well (figure 2). There was no major difference in prevalence of other non-obstetric surgery in subgroups of pregnant women with different characteristics (table 1).

With a prevalence of 5.4%, obstetric surgery was more common during pregnancy than non-obstetric surgery. The prevalence of specific obstetric procedures is listed in table 2. Women aged <20 had a 0.8% prevalence of obstetric procedures versus 16% for women aged ≥40. Also for parity >1, multiplicity and high BMI, a higher prevalence of obstetric surgery was observed (table 1). The increased prevalence in multiple pregnancies could partly be explained by the conduction of fetal reductions and laser treatments of the placenta (data not shown). The prevalence of obstetric surgery was 6.9% in non-smokers and 6.7% in smokers (table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Among Danish pregnant women, the proportion who undergo non-obstetric surgery has been almost stable over a 20-year period. An increase was observed for non-obstetric laparoscopic procedures. The prevalence of surgery during pregnancy differed by maternal characteristics, with the highest observed prevalence among women with high age, high BMI, high parity, smokers and multiple pregnancies.

The increasing use of laparoscopic procedures after 2011 probably reflects the recommendations from the Society of American Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Surgeons published in 2011. These guidelines concluded that the recent data at hand confirmed the safety of laparoscopy during all trimesters for many surgical conditions. We found, however, that while the use of laparoscopy increased in second trimester, the use remained stable in third trimester. Accordingly, the use of open surgery did...
not decrease in the third trimester. We may only speculate of the reasons for this lack of decrease in the third trimester open surgery. Though it is considered safe to conduct laparoscopic surgery in the third trimester, it may not be possible to perform laparoscopy due to technical difficulties leaving open surgery as the only applicable treatment.\textsuperscript{16} The increase in abdominal surgery during our study period was mainly driven by an increase in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Since cholecystitis can be treated with watchful waiting,\textsuperscript{19} it is possible that the 2011 recommendations lead to declining use of watchful waiting and accordingly increased use of surgery. The increasing need for assisted reproduction associated with obesity and increased maternal age is well established\textsuperscript{20–22}; hence, our finding that women with high BMI and advanced maternal age were most likely to undergo surgery during pregnancy complements previous findings regarding assisted reproduction technology,\textsuperscript{20 23} showing that the use of assisted reproduction technology increases the risk of pregnancy complications treated surgically.\textsuperscript{24 25}

As opposed to these increases, the number of invasive tests decreased after 2004, a shift which Vestergaard \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{26} explained by a change in the criteria for offering prenatal diagnostics.\textsuperscript{27}

**Strengths and limitations**

A strength of this study is our access to high-quality nationwide registries\textsuperscript{15 28 29} concerning pregnant women in Denmark 1996–2015, covering the whole nation equally which minimised potential selection bias. Numerous validation studies concerning the validity of single procedure codes in the DNPR have been conducted, most of them showing positive predictive values between 90\% and 100\%.\textsuperscript{30 31} Furthermore, we had access to information on pregnancies resulting in elective or spontaneous abortions which gave us the opportunity to evaluate surgery performed in early pregnancy as well.

Some limitations should, however, be considered when interpreting the results. Due to unrecognised pregnancy, some women with very early spontaneous abortions would not have been in contact with the hospital system and therefore not included in our study population.

The registration of invasive diagnostic tests in the DNPR increased between 1996–1999 and 2000–2003,\textsuperscript{26} and the prevalence of invasive diagnostic tests before 2003 is thus an underestimate.

Coding practice of surgical procedures may have changed during our 20-year study period. However, since we categorised the procedures as main groups, we do not expect such changes to substantially influence our estimates. In conclusion, we found an increasing prevalence of laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy in the 20-year study period, corresponding to the publication of clinical recommendations. The main part of procedures were conducted in first trimester of pregnancy and the prevalence of surgery during pregnancy increased with multiplicity, smoking, parity, increasing maternal age and increasing BMI.
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