Tracking global invasion pathways of the spongy moth (Lepidoptera: Erebidae) to the United States using stable isotopes as endogenous biomarkers
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Abstract
The spread of invasive insect species causes enormous ecological damage and economic losses worldwide. A reliable method that tracks back an invaded insect’s origin would be of great use to entomologists, phytopathologists, and pest managers. The spongy moth (Lymantria dispar, Linnaeus 1758) is a persistent invasive pest in the Northeastern United States and periodically causes major defoliations in temperate forests. We analyzed field-captured (Europe, Asia, United States) and laboratory-reared L. dispar specimens for their natal isotopic hydrogen and nitrogen signatures imprinted in their biological tissues (\(\delta^2\)H and \(\delta^{15}\)N) and compared these values to the long-term mean \(\delta^2\)H of regional precipitation (Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation) and \(\delta^{15}\)N of regional plants at the capture site. We established the percentage of hydrogen–deuterium exchange for L. dispers tissue (\(P_{ex}= 8.2\%\)) using the comparative equilibration method and two-source mixing models, which allowed the extraction of the moth’s natal \(\delta^2\)H value. We confirmed that the natal \(\delta^2\)H and \(\delta^{15}\)N values of our specimens are related to the environmental signatures at their geographic origins. With our regression models, we were able to isolate potentially invasive individuals and give estimations of their geographic origin. To enable the application of these methods on eggs, we established an egg-to-adult fraction factor for L. dispers (\(\Delta_{\text{egg-adult}} = 16.3 \pm 4.3\%\)). Our models suggested that around 25% of the field-captured spongy moths worldwide were not native in the investigated capture sites. East Asia was the most frequently identified location of probable origin. Furthermore, our data suggested that eggs found on cargo ships in the United States harbors in Alaska, California, and Louisiana most probably originated from Asian L. dispers in East Russia. These findings show that stable isotope biomarkers give a unique insight into invasive insect species pathways, and thus, can be an effective tool to monitor the spread of insect pest epidemics.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The global economic and ecological burden posed by invasive insect species is high, with costs rising to $70 billion dollars per year (Bradshaw et al., 2016). The ability to identify the natal origin of a pest insect captured on non-native territory would significantly support the implementation of pest management strategies. Therefore, the determination of invasive insects’ natal origins has been a topic of investigation for many years, but has largely focused on genetic analysis (Picq et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2015, 2020). While genetic analyses can yield insight into a population’s geographic origin, it does not provide the nuanced data often required for effective management of a newly arrived invasive species, such as whether the individual was born on site (domestic/local) or transported in (exotic). On the other hand, stable isotope analysis (SIA), particularly of hydrogen, nitrogen, sulfur, and oxygen, is a useful tool for tracking an individual’s recent whereabouts (Bowen & West, 2008; Hobson, 1999).

The European spongy moth *Lymantria dispar dispar* (Lepidoptera: Erebidae, Linnaeus 1758) (subsequently referred to European *L. dispar* and formerly known as the gypsy moth) is native to all of temperate Eurasia and northern Africa, and was brought to the United States between 1868 and 1869 near Boston, Massachusetts, from where it escaped and spread shortly after (Fernald & Forbush, 1896). In the United States, there are 400 different tree species European *L. dispar* larvae feed on (US Department of Agriculture et al., 1981). Additionally, the moth faces no major natural enemies, competitors, or diseases in North America that would control populations like their native habitat (Liebhold et al., 1995). Consequently, the moth has been able to gradually expand its distribution. The area infested by European *L. dispar* is confined to the northeastern United States and the eastern maritime provinces of Canada, with an advancing front slowly moving in a southwesterly direction (Epanchin-Niell & Liebhold, 2015). The population periodically builds to outbreak levels that can result in serious economic, environmental, and public nuisance problems (Liebhold et al., 2000; Thorpe et al., 2006). Since 1924, tens of millions of hectares have been defoliated in the United States forests by the European *L. dispar* (Sharov et al., 2002), leaving regional economies with costly pest mitigation and prevention measures (Bigsby et al., 2014; Epanchin-Niell & Liebhold, 2015; Jardine & Sanchirico, 2018).

The natural expansion of European *L. dispar* in North America advances relatively slowly with 13 miles/year, which primarily is a consequence of the female’s flight incapability (Reineke & Zebitz, 1998). This is an advantage for pest management, as egg masses can be found very close to the pupation sites (Fernald & Forbush, 1896). Human activities near the pupation sites, however, have significantly accelerated the spread throughout the country, either through moths placing eggs on vehicles, cargo, and gear or through displacement of infested natural resources like wood (Bigsby et al., 2011; Continental Dialogue, 2019). In addition to that, the intensifying container shipping from East Asia, including eastern China, Japan, the Republic of Korea, and eastern Russia, has opened a substantial entry pathway to North America for the Asian spongy moth *Lymnaia dispar asiatica* (which include *L. dispar asiatica* [Vnukovskij] and *L. dispar japonica* [Motschulsky]) (subsequently referred to as Asian *L. dispar*) (Gray, 2017; Paini et al., 2018). One major difference between the Asian and European *L. dispar* is that females of the former subspecies are capable of strong and directed flight. The brightly lit shipping ports have been shown to attract Asian *L. dispar* females that can lay eggs on vessel superstructure and shipping containers (Gray, 2017; Wallner et al., 1995). If the Asian *L. dispar* were to establish in North America, they can hybridize with European *L. dispar* and produce fertile offspring with flight or gliding capable females, which might accelerate population expansion of the pest, as some researchers believe (Gray, 2017; Keena et al., 2007; Robinet & Liebhold, 2009). The Asian *L. dispar* is also adapted to colder climates and higher altitudes and therefore has an even broader host range than European *L. dispar*, with 500 host species, including several coniferous trees (Trotter et al., 2020). The Asian *L. dispar* is not yet established in the United States, but adult Asian *L. dispar* males of unknown natal origin were captured in several US states in the past years, which gave reasons for concern for natural biospheres and called for action to intensified pest management and prevention measures (US Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 2019).

There are *L. dispar* surveillance and eradication programs, both inside and outside of the currently infested regions of North America (Continental Dialogue, 2019; Epanchin-Niell & Liebhold, 2015; Liebhold et al., 2021; Sharov et al., 2002; Sharov & Liebhold, 1998). Internationally, there are strict codes of practices for the shipping industry that give legal grounds to accept only guaranteed infestation-free ships and cargo into North American ports (Canadian Food Inspection Agency & United States Department of Agriculture, 2022; IMO/ILO/UNECE, 2014; USDA Forest Service, 2014). Despite these practices, European and Asian *L. dispar* detections are made in the United States (Bigsby et al., 2011; Paini et al., 2018). To effectively slow the spread, limit the establishment of *L. dispar* across North America and to locally eradicate new outbreaks, it will be important to determine whether newly detected moths or eggs are from a locally established population or are recent arrivals from imported cargo (Gray, 2017). In some instances, genetic analysis of a specimen may be capable of determining a lineage’s geographic descent (Wu, 2016; Wu et al., 2015, 2020). However, stable isotope analysis (SIA) can help control and eradication programs by adding important information on the geographic origin and introduction pathways of an individual, the insect’s diet, and alternative host species, which can help clarify whether a detection is newly introduced or has been locally established (Hungate et al., 2016; International Atomic Energy Agency, 2009).
The stable isotope signatures of an individual reflect the natural, geographically dependent, variations of isotopic signals in precipitation, soil, and vegetation of the individual’s origin (Cameron, 2005). If the location of the individual changes rapidly (in relation to the endogenous turnover rate of its tissue), it will retain its origin’s isotopic profile while already living in the new destination (International Atomic Energy Agency, 2009). Since chitin structures like insect exoskeletons have a slow turnover rate, isotopic signals of the chitin tissue, such as the deuterium–hydrogen ratio ($\delta^2$H) and the $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N ratio ($\delta^{15}$N), are suitable biomarkers to track an insect’s recent migration (Hungate et al., 2016). Tables 1 and 2 show the mean annual $\delta^2$H values in precipitation and mean annual $\delta^{15}$N values in foliage depending on the geographic regions (Bowen & West, 2008; Terzer et al., 2013).

The L. dispers is exceptionally suitable for SIA to track the natal origins, as it only feeds in the larval stage (Drooz, 1985). The 40 days feeding period of larvae starts between May and July after hatching. In the short postmetamorphosis adulthood (6–10 days), moths do not possess a digestive system. They solely mate, lay the overwintering egg masses from which larvae hatch the following spring, and die (Drooz, 1985; US Department of Agriculture et al., 1981). A L. dispers’s isotopic composition therefore is a direct derivative of the vegetation’s isotopic composition it fed on as a larva (Hungate et al., 2016), and it does not significantly change during the adult stage. As the eggs are formed inside the females’ adult body, they reflect the mother’s isotopic values (International Atomic Energy Agency, 2009; Montgomery, 1982) until they hatch and start feeding the next year.

A small proportion of an insect’s hydrogen isotope value, however, is only loosely bound in the tissue and can be exchanged with hydrogen in the water of the ambient air (Hungate et al., 2016; Qi & Coplen, 2011). Thus, the isotopic value of tissue changes slightly (also postmortem) within a certain equilibration period, depending on the surrounding air conditions. This phenomenon is called hydrogen–deuterium exchange, and its magnitude is determined by the species-specific percentage of exchangeable hydrogen (Hungate et al., 2016; International Atomic Energy Agency, 2009; Wassenaar & Hobson, 2003). The relevance for origin studies and the exact percentage for L. dispers have never been studied before.

A moth’s origin is not transparent because: first, land-based vehicles are mostly not inspected for life stages. Second, commercial maritime vessels, though rigorously inspected at North American ports, have multiple stop routes, which are not assessable for most cases. Eggs may remain undiscovered for a longer period and genetic analysis rather addresses the heritage of a strain than an individual’s recent whereabouts. This study explores if SIA measurements of L. dispers can be a reliable method to identify the natal origins of individual life stages for any given scenario. Specifically, we asked the following questions: (1) Are the $\delta^2$H and $\delta^{15}$N values of spongy moths reliable markers to distinguish recent intruders from established/

| $\delta^2$H zone | Upper boundary (%) | Lower boundary (%) | Corresponding regions (corresponds to climate) |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| H1               | 100.0              | 0.1                | Sahara, Saudi Arabian Desert                  |
| H2               | 16.1               | 0.1                | Sahara, Saudi Arabia, East Africa, Central Australia |
| H3               | 0.1                | -15.9              | Central and South Africa, Australia, Pakistan, Gulf of California, Florida, Cuba, Northwestern Brazil |
| H4               | -15.9              | -31.9              | Continental South America, Southern US, India, West Africa, Australian Coasts |
| H5               | -31.9              | -47.9              | East-Central US, West Europe, Central Asia, Southeast Asia, Southeast China, New Zealand, Southeast Brazil |
| H6               | -47.9              | -63.9              | Central Europe, Northern US, Japan, Central America |
| H7               | -63.9              | -79.9              | North Europe, Northeast US, South Russia, Southern Argentina |
| H8               | -79.9              | -95.9              | Alaska, Northwest US, Southern Canada, Central Russia, Lapland, Himalaya |
| H9               | -95.9              | -119.1             | Central Canada, Northern Russia, Himalaya, Andes |
| H10              | -119.1             | -127.9             | Northern Canada, Greenland, East Siberia, Andes Summit |
| H11              | -127.9             | -143.9             | Northern Canada, Greenland, East Siberia, Andes Summit |
| H12              | -143.9             | -159.9             | Northern Canada, Greenland, East Siberia, Andes Summit |
| H13              | -159.9             | -300.0             | North coast Greenland |

TABLE 1 Geographic zones depending on average annual $\delta^2$H in precipitation (colors and values adapted from Terzer et al., 2013 world map for easy comparison)
native populations on a global scale? (2) How accurately can we determine a moth's origin based on their δ²H and δ¹⁵N values? (3) What is the exact percentage of exchangeable hydrogen (Pₑₓ) for spongy moth tissue? (4) Are the same methods applicable to eggs?

Our study aims to help develop stable isotope tools for pest pathway analysis and control programs in general, as the principles used in this study can be applied to all chitin tissues of insects (Bowen et al., 2005; Bowen & West, 2008; Hobson et al., 2004; Hungate et al., 2016; International Atomic Energy Agency, 2009; Mekki et al., 2016).

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

To assess a reproducible method to determine the natal provenance of possibly exotic Asian L. dispar or European L. dispar samples (as done before for other insect pests by Hungate et al., 2016), we measured field-captured and laboratory-reared moths and eggs for their deuterium and nitrogen isotopic signatures.

2.1 | Sampling and measurements

Lymantria dispar eggs of uncertain origin were sampled from ships berthing in the United States ports in Alaska, California, Louisiana, and Orlando between 2013 and 2016 (n = 8-10 eggs per location).

Adult life stages were collected from England, France, Germany, Slovakia, Spain, China, Japan, Korea, Russia, and the United States (n = 10-12 per location) for reference. These males were caught with sticky delta traps (Scentry Biologicals, Inc.) containing L. dispar sex pheromone Disparlure (Scentry Biologicals, Inc.) between 1992 and 2016. All samples were dried at 60°C and stored at −20°C before shipping. Table 3 shows all the samples analyzed in this study with local features of the capture sites.

The USDA-APHIS-PPQ-Otis laboratory insectary reared two spongy moth control groups (“LDAM”: Lymantria dispar asiatica, a Mongolian strain and “NJSS”: European L. dispar, a New Jersey strain) from February to March 2017 (26.8 ± 1°C, relative humidity: 75 ± 5%, photoperiod: 12 h). The larvae were fed an artificial diet consisting of 12% wheat germ and 82% tap water supplied by surface water bodies (Cape Cod Commission, 2017) using standard protocols described by Bell et al. (1981) and Miller et al. (1996). During LDAM’s rearing, two different packages of wheat germ were used to prepare the diet, which introduced isotopic variability to the results. (H. Nadel, USDA APHIS PPQ S&T Otis Laboratory May 2019 Pers. Comm.). The control groups served as reference for: (1) the naturally occurring isotopic variance (standard deviation) among identically raised moths, (2) the isotopic difference between moths from different genetic strains raised under the same conditions, (3) the introduction of isotopic variance from the diet, and (4) the offset between isotopic values of adult moths and their eggs.

### Table 2

| δ¹⁵N zone | Upper boundary (‰) | Lower boundary (‰) | Corresponding regions (corresponds to vegetation) |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| N1        | 4.7                 | 3.9                 | Sahara, Saudi Arabian desert, Pakistan           |
| N2        | 3.9                 | 3.3                 | West India, East Africa, Sub-Saharan             |
| N3        | 3.3                 | 2.8                 | East India, Australia, Gulf of California, East Brazil |
| N4        | 2.8                 | 2.2                 | South Africa, Mexico, Thailand, Caspian Sea, Cuba, Continental South America |
| N5        | 2.2                 | 1.7                 | Central Africa, Central Brazil, Chile, West and South US, South Europe |
| N6        | 1.7                 | 1.1                 | Central Europe, Central Brazil, Chile, West and South US, South Europe |
| N7        | 1.1                 | 0.5                 | Central Europe, Central-East US, East China, Kazakhstan |
| N8        | 0.5                 | 0.0                 | Southern Russia, East Europe                     |
| N9        | 0.0                 | −0.5                | Northern US, Scandinavia, East Russia            |
| N10       | −0.5                | −1.1                | Mongolia, Japan, Northern China                  |
| N11       | −1.1                | −1.6                | Alps, Himalaya                                   |
| N12       | −1.6                | −2.1                | Alaska, Western Norway                            |
| N13       | −2.1                | −2.4                | Northern Russia, Northern Canada, Andes         |
| N14       | −2.4                | −2.7                | Southern Canadian Archipelago, East Siberia      |
| N15       | −2.7                | −3.1                | Southern Canadian Archipelago, East Siberia      |
| N16       | −3.1                | −3.6                | Northern Greenland, Northern Canadian Archipelago |
| N17       | −3.6                | −4.2                | Northern Greenland, Northern Canadian Archipelago |
| N18       | −3.2                | −4.8                | Northern Greenland, Northern Canadian Archipelago |

Adult life stages were collected from England, France, Germany, Slovakia, Spain, China, Japan, Korea, Russia, and the United States (n = 10-12 per location) for reference. These males were caught with sticky delta traps (Scentry Biologicals, Inc.) containing L. dispar sex pheromone Disparlure (Scentry Biologicals, Inc.) between 1992 and 2016. All samples were dried at 60°C and stored at −20°C before shipping. Table 3 shows all the samples analyzed in this study with local features of the capture sites.

The USDA-APHIS-PPQ-Otis laboratory insectary reared two spongy moth control groups (“LDAM”: Lymantria dispar asiatica, a Mongolian strain and “NJSS”: European L. dispar, a New Jersey strain) from February to March 2017 (26.8 ± 1°C, relative humidity: 75 ± 5%, photoperiod: 12 h). The larvae were fed an artificial diet consisting of 12% wheat germ and 82% tap water supplied by surface water bodies (Cape Cod Commission, 2017) using standard protocols described by Bell et al. (1981) and Miller et al. (1996). During LDAM’s rearing, two different packages of wheat germ were used to prepare the diet, which introduced isotopic variability to the results. (H. Nadel, USDA APHIS PPQ S&T Otis Laboratory May 2019 Pers. Comm.). The control groups served as reference for: (1) the naturally occurring isotopic variance (standard deviation) among identically raised moths, (2) the isotopic difference between moths from different genetic strains raised under the same conditions, (3) the introduction of isotopic variance from the diet, and (4) the offset between isotopic values of adult moths and their eggs.
TABLE 3  Metadata for the capture sites of the field-collected spongy moth samples analyzed in this study

| Country       | Collection site                      | Latitude (°N) | Longitude (°E) | Height above sea level (m) | Local relief | Mean annual temperature (°C) | Annual precipitation (mm) | Local urbanization | Domestic L. dispar colonies known | Local precipitation δ²H (‰) | Local plant δ¹⁵N (‰) | Life stage | Year of collection | Samples measured (δ¹⁵N/δ²H) |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| France        | Porto-Vecchio, Corsica               | 41.55         | 5.44           | 120                        | Mount.       | 14.80                         | 646                      | Urban             | Y                               | −19.20                  | 1.3                      | Adult      | 1993             | 4/3                         |
| Germany       | Ramstein                             | 49.30         | 7.30           | 245                        | Hilly        | 8.00                          | 640                      | Semiurban         | Y                               | −30.50                  | 0.5                      | Adult      | 1994             | 4/2                         |
| Slovakia      | Barska Slavonica                     | 48.00         | 19.00          | 520                        | Hilly        | 9.17                          | 557                      | Semiurban         | Y                               | −37.20                  | 0.3                      | Adult      | 2012             | 4/1                         |
| Spain         | Alcala de los Gazules                | 36.00         | −5.00          | 170                        | Flat         | 18.21                         | 570                      | Urban             | Y                               | −14.50                  | 1.7                      | Adult      | 1994             | 5/2                         |
| Spain         | El Castano                           | 40.00         | −4.00          | 500                        | Hilly        | 18.21                         | 570                      | Rural             | −17.00                          | 2.2                     | Adult       | 2012             | 0/2                         |
| UK            | London                               | 51.50         | 0.12           | 5                           | Flat         | 11.00                         | 517                      | Urban             | Y                               | −28.00                  | 0.7                      | Adult      | 1995             | 4/2                         |
| China         | Beijing, Daxing County              | 40.00         | 116.00         | 40                          | Hilly        | 12.10                         | 578                      | Urban             | Y                               | −34.67                  | 0.7                      | Adult      | 1993             | 4/1                         |
| China         | Luan, Anhui                          | 31.70         | 116.00         | 75                          | Flat         | 16.13                         | 975                      | Urban             | Y                               | −53.67                  | 0.0                      | Adult      | 1995             | 4/2                         |
| China         | Tengzhou, Shandong                   | 35.07         | 117.15         | 63                          | Flat         | 12.00                         | 750                      | Semiurban         | Y                               | −28.00                  | 1.1                      | Adult      | 2008             | 0/3                         |
| China         | Suji, Heilongjiang                   | 46.00         | 126.00         | 200                         | Flat         | 3.96                          | 521                      | Semiurban         | Y                               | −57.67                  | −0.6                     | Adult      | 2008             | 4/3                         |
| China         | Chengchaishan, Jilin                 | 43.00         | 128.00         | 2400                        | Mount.       | 4.75                          | 109                      | Rural             | Y                               | −71.00                  | −0.8                     | Adult      | 2011             | 4/1                         |
| Japan         | Honshu                               | 35.81         | 139.62         | 70                          | Hilly        | 14.00                         | 2000                     | Semiurban         | Y                               | −48.75                  | −1.1                     | Adult      | 2017             | 0/6                         |
| Japan         | Koshunai, Hokkiado                   | 43.20         | 141.5          | 25                          | Hilly        | 9.00                          | 1108                     | Rural             | Y                               | −38.00                  | −1.5                     | Adult      | 1992             | 4/3                         |
| Russia        | Vladivostok Port                     | 43.00         | 132.00         | 10                          | Hilly        | 4.97                          | 799                      | Urban             | Y                               | −39.75                  | −0.7                     | Adult      | 1995             | 4/3                         |
| South Korea   | Seoul, Dongdae-ri                    | 37.70         | 126.70         | 30                          | Hilly        | 12.71                         | 1345                     | Urban             | Y                               | −47.75                  | −0.4                     | Adult      | 1992             | 4/3                         |
| South Korea   | Seoul                                | 35.81         | 139.62         | 70                          | Hilly        | 14.00                         | 2000                     | Semiurban         | Y                               | −48.75                  | Adult       | 0/3                      |
| US            | St. Louis County, Missouri           | 47.51         | −92.00         | 450                         | Flat         | 4.33                          | 786                      | Rural             | Y                               | −56.80                  | Adult       | 2016             | 1/1                         |
| US            | Carlton County, Minnesota            | 46.00         | −92.00         | 325                         | Flat         | 4.33                          | 786                      | Rural             | Y                               | −46.33                  | −0.6                     | Adult      | 2016             | 4/1                         |
| US            | Cook County, Minnesota               | 48.00         | −92.00         | 400                         | Flat         | 4.33                          | 786                      | Rural             | Y                               | −51.60                  | −0.6                     | Adult      | 2016             | 4/1                         |
| US            | Lake County, Minnesota               | 47.70         | −94.40         | 500                         | Flat         | 4.33                          | 786                      | Rural             | Y                               | −47.00                  | Adult       | 2016             | 2/0                         |
| US            | Brown, Wisconsin                     | 44.50         | −88.00         | 180                         | Flat         | 6.79                          | 750                      | Semiurban         | Y                               | −26.50                  | −0.4                     | Adult      | 2016             | 4/1                         |
| US            | Cittenden, Vermont                   | 42.00         | −73.00         | 305                         | Hilly        | 6.88                          | 1027                     | Semiurban         | Y                               | −25.25                  | −0.6                     | Eggs       | 2016             | 4/2                         |
| US            | *Juneau, Alaska (Ship)               | 58.00         | −134.00        | 10                          | Mount.       | 4.75                          | 2341                     | Semiurban         | N                               | −112.00                 | −4.0                     | Eggs       | 2014             | 3/2                         |
| US            | *Long Beach, California (Ship)       | 33.40         | −118.20        | 136                         | Flat         | 17.20                         | 379                      | Urban             | N                               | −16.25                  | 1.0                      | Eggs       | 2014             | 2/1                         |
| US            | *New Orleans, Louisiana (Ship)       | 30.00         | −90.00         | 0                            | Flat         | 21.46                         | 1333                     | Urban             | N                               | −21.80                  | 2.0                      | Eggs       | 2015             | 2/1                         |
| US            | *Portland, Oregon (Ship)             | 45.50         | −122.60        | 55                           | Hilly        | 12.46                         | 2600                     | Urban             | Y                               | −43.25                  | −2.0                     | Eggs       | 2013             | 2/2                         |
| OTIS Lab      | Ctrl LDAM                            | 41.65         | −70.90         | 30                           | Flat         | 10.71                         | 1112                     | Urban             | −                               | (−47.00)                | − Adult      | 2017             | 10/2                        |
| OTIS Lab      | Ctrl LDAM                            | 41.65         | −70.90         | 30                           | Flat         | 10.71                         | 1112                     | Urban             | −                               | (−47.00)                | − Eggs      | 2017             | 6/0                         |
| OTIS Lab      | Ctrl NJSS                            | 41.65         | −70.90         | 30                           | Flat         | 10.71                         | 1112                     | Urban             | −                               | (−47.00)                | − Adult      | 2017             | 4/3                         |

Note: For the control groups (*ctrl", Mongolian Asian Lymatia dispar "LDAM" and New Jersey strain of European L. dispar "NJSS", last three lines), the value for “local precipitation δ²H” is the local tap water value that was used for the artificial diet, written inside parentheses.
We analyzed samples together with reference materials (RMs) for their isotopic hydrogen ($\delta^2H$) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) ratio at the University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences isotope laboratory (Tulln, Austria) between 2017 and 2019. For deuterium analysis, quadruplet samples of $0.2 \pm 0.025\text{mg}$ each of the moths’ tarsus (lowest part of the leg, dominantly consisting of chitin) were weighed into silver capsules for solids ($3.3 \times 5\text{mm}$, IVA Analysentechnik). Eggs were sampled as a whole. A full complement of in-house water reference materials (measured against VSMOW and VSLAP) and the international standards IAEA CH7 PEF1 ($\delta^2H = -100.3\%o$), USGS 43 Indian hair ($\delta^2H = -44.4\%o$), IVA Casein 139443 ($\delta^2H = -113.0\%o$), and IVA NBS22 ($\delta^2H = -116.9\%o$) were used. The $\delta^2H$ measurements were conducted with a TC/EA system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), a ConFlo III open split interface (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and a DeltaPLUS XP isotope-ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The $H^+_3$ contribution (“H3-factor”) was determined beforehand every measurement.

For the $\delta^{15}N$ analysis, $2 \pm 0.3$ mg of moth tissue were sampled into tin capsules for solids ($5 \times 8\text{mm}$, IVA Analysentechnik). Due to a lack of samples, multiple specimens were combined into one sample for the $\delta^{15}N$ analysis. This introduced additional variance to the $\delta^{15}N$ results. We used IVA Urea ($\delta^{15}N = -0.36\%o$), IAEA 600 Caffeine ($\delta^{15}N = 0.9\%o$), and IVA Casein 165389 ($\delta^{15}N = 5.9\%o$) as reference materials. The $\delta^{15}N$ was analyzed with an EA (Flash 2000) and IRMS Delta V system (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Samples and RMs were dried in a desiccator at room temperature for at least 48h prior to measurements. Results were conventionally reported as ratios ($^{2}H/^{1}H$ or $^{15}N/^{14}N$) in delta notation ($\delta^{2}H$ or $\delta^{15}N$) in per mil ($\%o$) deviation from the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water VSMOW for $\delta^2H$ (Equation 1) and from technical air for $\delta^{15}N$ (International Atomic Energy Agency, 2009) in the form $
\delta^{2}H_2 = \left( \frac{^{2}H/^{1}H_{sample}}{^{2}H/^{1}H_{VSMOW}} - 1 \right) \times 1000$ (Equation 1).

We applied the same methods for the control (Ctrl) group studies. Quadruplet samples of Ctrl-European L. dispar and Ctrl-Asian L. dispar were prepared and measured together for $\delta^{2}H$ and $\delta^{15}N$. A second set of Ctrl-Asian together with Ctrl-Asian eggs were prepared and measured afterward, by another person, to define the egg-to-adult offset.

We used the reference materials’ expected versus measured linear regression to obtain a calibration equation. We corrected the insect values for the measurement uncertainty using the equation $x = \frac{y}{k} - d$ (Equation 2), where $y$ is the measured sample value, $k$ is the slope, and $d$ the intercept of the calibration curve.

### 2.2 | Egg-to-adult conversion

Eggs generally showed a high negative offset from adult moths (up to $30\%o$). We established an egg-to-adult fraction factor ($16.3 \pm 4.3\%o$) that allows to approximate the mother’s isotopic value. We added the offset to eggs’ measured values after the correction of the measurement inaccuracy (see above) and analyzed them like adult moth samples from thereon.

### 2.3 | Hydrogen–deuterium comparative equilibration experiment

In order to obtain the fixed (nonexchangeable) value $\delta^{2}H_{nata}$, we determined the percentage of exchangeable hydrogen ($P_{ex} = 1 - P_{nex}$) in comparative equilibration experiments (Hungate et al., 2016; Wassenaar & Hobson, 2003) and removed the exchangeable part ($\delta^{2}H_{nex}$) from the moth value. Two groups of five $0.2 \pm 0.025\text{mg}$ samples from Wisconsin moths’ tarsus were separately equilibrated with two different microatmospheres for 1 week ($\delta^{2}H_{Group1} = + 4.4\%o$ and $\delta^{2}H_{Group2} = - 157\%o$) until the equilibrium $\delta^{2}H_{sample} = P_{ex} \times \delta^{2}H_{Amb} + P_{nex} \times \delta^{2}H_{nata}$ (Equation 3) was stable. Then the samples were dried in a vacuum oven at $60°C$ for 4 days to remove moisture and transferred to measurement immediately thereafter (Qi & Coplen, 2011). Analyses were not exposed to ambient air in the laboratory for longer than 2 h before measurements. With the two distinct equilibrated groups, we calculated the $P_{ex} = \frac{\delta^{2}H_{Group1} - \delta^{2}H_{Group2}}{\delta^{2}H_{Group1} + \delta^{2}H_{Group2}}$ (Equation 4). With knowledge of the $P_{ex}$ and the identity $P_{nex} = 1 - P_{ex}$ we calculated the natal signature $\delta^{2}H_{nata} = \frac{\delta^{2}H_{sample} - P_{nex} \times \delta^{2}H_{Amb}}{1 - P_{ex}}$ (Equation 5) (International Atomic Energy Agency, 2009).

### 2.4 | Expected insect values

We approximated the expected chitin $\delta^{2}H$ values by inserting the capture sites’ OIPC values into the regression by Hungate et al. (2016) for Japanese beetles (Popillia japonica). For the expected $\delta^{15}N$, we used a model for the annual average $\delta^{15}N$ composition of plants worldwide (Bowen & West, 2008).

### 2.5 | Outliers

Values with an offset greater than $20\%o$ ($\delta^{2}H$) or $2\%o$ ($\delta^{15}N$) between the individual natal moth value and the expected insect value (see above) were considered outliers. In addition, we considered values $\delta^{15}N > 5\%o$ as outliers, according to the natural boundary in Bowen and West (2008). Outliers were automatically submitted to origin backtracking.

### 2.6 | Regression analysis

Based on trap location details and satellite images, we estimated the geographical coordinates of the moths’ capture sites. These data were not recorded upon capture, but needed for the capture site’s isotopic signal estimates. We retrieved the monthly averages of $\delta^{2}H$ in precipitation at the moth’s capture sites during the assumed feeding period from the Online Isotopes in Precipitation Calculator (OIPC) (Bowen, 2018; Bowen et al., 2005; IAEA and WMO, 2015; Welker, 2000). We corrected this expected $\delta^{2}H$ insect values for climate change if moths were caught before 2000 (Liu et al., 2018). An
evaluation for land-use change in the region would have been useful but was out of scope for this study.

Subsequently, we performed a correlation analysis between all individuals that had passed the outlier tests or were confirmed domestic (specimens from Minnesota, US) and the expected environmental signature at their collection site. Isolated values with a disproportionately big negative impact on the correlation were removed manually (seven samples for $\delta^{2}H$ and four samples for $\delta^{15}N$).

All moth values were regressed into their corresponding $\delta^{2}H$-plant and $\delta^{15}N$-plant values by the obtained regression model. The goodness of fit was evaluated for the residuals between the regressed and the environmental values ($\Delta_{\text{model-precipitation}}$ $\delta^{2}H$ and $\Delta_{\text{model-plant}}$ $\delta^{15}N$) in a two-dimensional plot.

### 2.7 | Origin analyses

To detect "likely exotic" colonies (as opposed to "likely domestic"), we performed a heteroscedastic two-tailed Student’s $t$ test at a 0.05 significance level (0.01 level for $\delta^{2}H$ of field-collected adult moths due to high sensitivity) between the moths’ isotopic values and the expected insect values. Outliers and values that failed the $t$ test were matched with their corresponding $\delta^{2}H$ or $\delta^{15}N$ geographical zone (see Tables 1 and 2).

Additionally, USDA-intern genetic analysis of some samples (methods not shown here) (Bogdanowicz et al., 1993; Garner & Slavicek, 1996; Wu et al., 2020) gave external validation of some of the model-generated predictions of origins.

### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Natural isotopic variation and egg-to-adult conversion

An Asian $L.\ dispar$ and a European $L.\ dispar$ strain were reared at the USDA OTIS Laboratory’s insectary and measured for their $\delta^{2}H$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values (Table 4). The average isotopic variation for moths raised under identical conditions (average distance between minimum and maximum) was 11.9% for $\delta^{2}H$ and 1.3% for $\delta^{15}N$. The average egg-to-adult offset for spongy moths was $\Delta_{\text{egg}}$ $\delta^{2}H$ = 16.30 $\pm$ 4.33% (not assessed for $\delta^{15}N$).

The offset between the Ctrl-European and Ctrl-Asian-1 medians was 15.9% for $\delta^{2}H$ and 1.88% for $\delta^{15}N$, thus almost as low as the average natural variation for $L.\ dispar$. The maximum distance between Ctrl-European and a Ctrl-Asian was as high as 24.6% for $\delta^{2}H$ and 3.5% for $\delta^{15}N$.

#### 3.2 | Hydrogen–deuterium exchange correction

The percentage of exchangeable hydrogen ($P_{\text{ex}}$) for spongy moths was 8.2% (Table 5). All obtained $P_{\text{ex}}$ values were in between the plausibility thresholds of $6\% < P_{\text{ex}} < 14\%$ (Qi & Coplen, 2011). The maximum observable isotopic shift of a $L.\ dispar$ due to $P_{\text{ex}}$ correction was $\Delta \delta^{2}H = \pm 1.67\%$. The reference material Casein 139443 was the most comparable RM to spongy moth tissue in terms of $P_{\text{ex}}$.

#### 3.3 | Outliers

The field-collected moths’ isotope values mostly clustered with the same isotopic variation as observed in the control studies (not presented here). We detected $\delta^{2}H$ outliers in Corsica (France, $n = 1$), Banska Stiavnica (Slovakia, $n = 1$), Vladivostok (Russia, $n = 1$), Luan, Anhui (China, $n = 2$), and Chittenden, Vermont (US, $n = 2$). Additionally, all moths tested from Brown County, Wisconsin (US, $n = 4$) were far outside the expected range for both isotopes.

Moths’ $\delta^{15}N$ in Beijing (China, $n = 1$), Tengzhou (China, $n = 1$), Suihua Heilongjiang (China, $n = 3$), and Vladivostok port (Russia, $n = 3$) exceeded the natural limits (Bowen & West, 2008) and could suggest anthropogenic (e.g., agricultural) nitrogen input in these areas. Additionally, the striking $\delta^{2}H$ outlier from Corsica (France, $n = 1$) also exceeded the natural $\delta^{15}N$ limits.

In Carlton County (Minnesota, US), London (U.K.), and Koshunai (Japan), the moths’ $\delta^{2}H$ separated into two pairs that could be from neighboring populations (not presented here). Only one pair from Minnesota was removed as outliers.

### Table 4 Measurement results for the natal $\delta^{2}H$ and $\delta^{15}N$ of Asian and European Lymantria dispar control (Ctrl) strains (adults/eggs) reared under identical conditions in the USDA insectary

| Sample          | Expected insect $\delta^{2}H$ (%) | $\delta^{2}H$ | $\delta^{15}N$ |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
|                 | Mean (%) | SD (%) | Min/max (%) | Mean (%) | SD (%) | Min/max (%) |
| Ctrl-European   | −119.43  | −87.14 | 5.60         | −95.23/−83.25 | 5.84 | 0.43 | 5.58/6.33 |
| Ctrl-Asian-1    | −119.43  | −101.59 | 5.67         | −107.81/−96.65 | 3.74 | 1.25 | 2.85/4.62 |
| Ctrl-Asian-2    | −119.43  | −134.68 | 4.74         | −141.95/−128.96 | -   | -   | -          |
| *Ctrl-Asian-2   | −119.43  | −146.08 | 4.68         | −137.52/−125.96 | -   | -   | -          |

Note: Adults of measurement group Ctrl-Asian-2 and eggs of measurement group *Ctrl-Asian-2 might have not been completely dry.

*a* Derived from the average local tap water value used to prepare the artificial diet.

*b* Prepared and measured together.

*c* Prepared and measured together afterward by a different person.
3.4 | Locally characteristic moth values

We obtained the natal δ²H and δ¹⁵N values by removing the percentage of exchangeable hydrogen. Generally, East Asian moths showed more δ²H-negative, but more δ¹⁵N-positive values than European moths (Figure 1). However, there was a variated overlap zone between European and Asian moths. The eggs found in US harbors resembled European values in their δ¹⁵N, but were much more δ²H negative, as already reported through the egg-to-adult offset.

Most moth colonies were satisfactorily close to their expected values, with 85% of δ²H values being categorized as "likely domestic" (Figure 2). The δ¹⁵N values overlapped with the expected ones only in 52% of locations, which was expected due to the uncertainties discussed in Methods. The control groups did not overlap with the expected range, which was acceptable since the moths were not fed local vegetation.

With the applied egg-to-adult offset for δ²H, the eggs from the control group overlapped identically with the expected value (Figure 2). Accordingly, this suggests that the eggs found in Juneau (Alaska) and Portland (Oregon) may have originated from a local reproducing population or from a region characterized by a similar climate and topography. For the eggs found in New Orleans (Louisiana) and Long Beach (California), the isotope data strongly suggested a geographically different origin. The δ¹⁵N measurements did not agree on the eggs from New Orleans (LA).

3.5 | Worldwide moth-origin regression

The worldwide regression analyses yielded a moderate association between δ²H_moth and δ²H_pretcipitation (R² = .548), as well as δ¹⁵N_moth and δ¹⁵N_plant (R² = .530) (Figure 3), after some moths were manually removed (see Methods).

The natal environment’s isotopic values plotted against the moth’s values could be divided into a European and Asian section with a narrow intermixture zone between −30‰ and −40‰ for δ²H and 0‰ and 1‰ for δ¹⁵N (Figure 3). Most US sites resembled the East Asian precipitation signatures, except for Wisconsin.

We calculated the offset between the regressed moth values (by means of the regressions in Figure 3) and the environmental signatures Δmodeled-precipitation δ²H and Δmodeled-plant δ¹⁵N. The combined goodness of fit was moderately good (Figure 4). Most residuals were distributed in the ranges between −30‰ < δ²H_natal < 30‰ and −5‰ < δ¹⁵N < 5‰. We assumed no systematic error in the model, since the residuals showed a random distribution.

3.6 | Origin analysis

Values that were earlier categorized as outliers, manually sorted out from the regression analysis, or that were classified as "likely exotic" by the Student’s t test were regressed into their environmental δ²H_pretcipitation and δ¹⁵N_plant values and matched to the corresponding geographical zones (Table 6 and Figure 5).

The hydrogen and nitrogen analyses did not agree on outliers in most cases. Some samples from the United States (Chittenden and Brown) did not match the local expected values and were considered "likely exotic" by the model, though they were collected from local populations there. Their matching geographic zones (Tables 1 and 2), however, fit to their collection sites in the end. The eggs found on a ship in Alaska seemed to fit the local expected values perfectly at first, however, the δ²H t test classified the regressed values as "likely exotic" later. The combination of genetic analysis (Wu et al., 2020) and isotopic results suggested northeastern Russia as a possible origin. The eggs found on ships in Long Beach (CA) and New Orleans (LA) may originate from southern Russia as well. The eggs from a ship...
berthing in Portland (OR) were classified as “likely domestic” by both isotopes. Since there is no known established population in Oregon, and the genetic analysis identified an Asian L. dispar descent, this could suggest that we lack isotopic data from an Asian location that has very similar features to Oregon. Alternatively, there might be an establishing Asian L. dispar colony in Oregon, even though this hypothesis is very unlikely given diligent L. dispers survey work performed in this state. Finally, the outlier found in Corsica seemed to match East Asian environmental signatures like Japan’s. This sample had not been genetically analyzed.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we analyzed field-collected spongy moths for their natal δ2H and δ15N imprinted in their biological tissues and compared these values to the long-term mean δ2H of precipitation and δ15N of plants at the moths’/eggs’ capture sites to determine if individuals were domestic or exotic.

Our work suggests the isotopic values of L. dispar can be used as biomarkers of individual intruders that come from a topographically, climatic or vegetational distinct region, but are not precise enough in determining the exact origin without accompanying data like previous ports of call or genetic analysis, as demonstrated by Holder et al. (2015), where the potential ports of origin were known. For example, moths from northern United States and northeastern Asia may not be distinguishable solely by their hydrogen and nitrogen isotope ratios, as long as there are no major topographical differences between the natal origin and invasion point (e.g., mountains or a much cooler climate) (Holder et al., 2020). Moths from Minnesota, for example, matched local US as well as East Asian signatures. Isotopic analysis of hydrogen and nitrogen alone cannot guarantee that a moth/egg that resembled the expected value is in fact native at the investigated site, but it may be originating from any other place in the world that has very similar climatic, topographical, and vegetation features. Here, heavier isotope ratios, such as sulfur or strontium, may be useful in determining the true origin of the specimens, yielding information on the natal distance from the sea and underlying geology, respectively, however with increasing complexity and cost (Holder et al., 2014; Schmidt et al., 2005). This highlights that isotope studies are better at answering questions such as “Is the moth from here?” rather than open questions like “Where is the moth from?”

Generally, the δ2H values were significantly more sensitive, and more reliable, than δ15N for determining the origin of L. dispar (Montgomery, 1982), also because better research tools are available (Bowen, 2018; IAEA and WMO, 2015). In some of the collection sites (Carlton [Minnesota], London [UK], and Koshunai [Japan]), the measured L. dispar δ2H values split into two distinctive groupings, both of which were still near enough the expected values to be classified as “likely local.” This phenomenon could be caused by neighboring populations, one of which could be an “uphill” and the other a “downhill” population. An altitude difference of 400m can already make up a 5‰ difference in δ2H values (Streifel et al., 2017).
primarily takes up precipitation water and another group feeding on vegetation that takes underground water provided by a near-by surface water body would likely be detectable in L. dispar isotopic values (Montgomery, 1982). This not only demonstrates the high sensitivity of δ²H values, but also shows that any deviation from the expected value has to be assessed individually with attention to the vegetation's water sources.

Multi-isotope comparison of regressed moth/egg values with local environmental values has the potential to yielding more clear-cut estimates of origins, but needs more precise isotopic regression models as input on one hand, and more reference locations on the other. We concede that our model's accuracy could be improved by implementing a correction for the different heights above sea level, a parameter that should be recorded during moth collection. The discrepancy that genetic analyses classified all of the eggs to be Asian L. dispar, but the eggs’ δ¹⁵N values resemble European L. dispar suggests that an eggs-to-moth conversion would likely be needed for δ¹⁵N values as well. A lack of samples did not allow us to conduct further studies in this direction. Neither were we able to verify our models with other plant or soil isotopic composition models, because all open-access models were based on the same OIPC values and did not allow for independent verification. Furthermore, it must be taken into account that extreme climate events (droughts, unseasonal precipitation, flooding, etc.) or anthropogenic input (pollutants, fertilizers, etc.) might skew the actual expected value for a certain time and place (Jordan et al., 2019). The OIPC cannot address such eventualities as it is based on year on year average data (Bowen, 2018). Regional plant samples taken at the same time as the spongy moths could help clarify this skewness. To test and further develop the model, new independent samples should be tested and a catalog of local characteristic δ²H–δ¹⁵N compositions (and possibly other isotopes) of risk areas (distributing as well as receiving L. dispar) should be implemented, but this preliminary study demonstrates the utility of the method in a pest management context.

Another way for improved determination of the origin of L. dispar would be a combined analysis of stable isotopes and genetic markers. For the egg masses of unknown origin found on ships in the United States harbors (Juneau, AL; Long Beach, CA; New Orleans, LA; and Portland, OR), the genetic analysis concluded an Asian L. dispar origin. The eggs found in Juneau had the most negative δ²H of all samples measured, which points, together with the genetic analysis, toward an origin from an admixture zone that encompasses northeastern China (east of the Changbai Mountains), South Korea, and the Russian Far East (Wu et al., 2020). The highly depleted signature indicates that the species is capable of thriving in extremely snowy climates (Limbu et al., 2017), suggesting that hatchlings of this egg mass may have survived Alaska’s climate.

In conclusion, our results were able to detect oversea origins of L. dispar egg masses that entered into the United States on ships and cargo and also demonstrated shorter range movement of L. dispar from ships in European ports. We identified Northeastern Asia as the most frequent location of probable origin for L. dispar egg masses collected from ships in ports at Juneau (AK), Long
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Beach (CA), New Orleans (LA), and Chittenden (VT). The responsibility of European international traffic hubs, however, should not be neglected either, as we found a strong indication of an exotic specimen from East Asia caught in Corsica in 1992, which poses a risk of being a suitable breeding place and distribution source for flight-capable Asian–European *L. dispar* hybrids. This implies

| Sample (adults) | Regressed δ²H | δ²H zone | Regressed δ¹⁵N | δ¹⁵N zone | Genetic analysis |
|----------------|---------------|----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|
| CH: Beijing    | –             | –        | −6.072         | 18        | Asian *L. dispar* |
| CH: Suihua     | –             | –        | −4.786         | 18        | Asian *L. dispar* |
| CH: Suihua     | –             | –        | −5.319         | 18        | –               |
| CH: Tengzhou   | –16.7         | 4        | –              | –         | Asian *L. dispar* |
| CH: Luan       | −17.4         | 4        | –              | –         | –               |
| CH: Changbaishan| −40.0         | 5        | –              | –         | Asian *L. dispar* |
| CH: Changbaishan| −39.2         | 5        | –              | –         | –               |
| CH: Changbaishan| −35.2         | 5        | –              | –         | –               |
| CH: Changbaishan| −43.0         | 5        | –              | –         | –               |
| KA: Seoul      | −32.8         | 5        | –              | –         | Asian *L. dispar* |
| KA: Seoul      | −29.9         | 4        | –              | –         | –               |
| KA: Seoul      | −28.0         | 4        | –              | –         | –               |
| KA: Seoul      | −36.3         | 5        | –              | –         | –               |
| RU: Vladivostok| −52.5         | 6        | −5.189         | 18        | Asian *L. dispar* |
| RU: Vladivostok| −4.685        | 18       | –              | –         | –               |
| RU: Vladivostok| −4.794        | 18       | –              | –         | –               |
| FR: Corsica    | −53.7         | 6        | −4.169         | 17        | –               |
| FR: Corsica    | −3.74         | 12       | −1.820         | 12        | –               |
| SVK: Banska Stiavnica| −54.3 | 6 | – | – | European *L. dispar* |
| US: MN, Carlton| −29.7         | 4        | –              | –         | European *L. dispar* |
| US: MN, Carlton| −28.0         | 4        | –              | –         | European *L. dispar* |
| US: VT, Chittenden| −59.6        | 6        | −0.753         | 10        | European *L. dispar* |
| US: VT, Chittenden| −0.449        | 9        | –              | –         | –               |
| US: VT, Chittenden| −63.0         | 6        | –              | –         | –               |
| US: WI, Brown  | −63.5         | 6        | –              | –         | –               |
| US: WI, Brown  | −70.3         | 7        | –              | –         | –               |
| US: WI, Brown  | −61.4         | 6        | –              | –         | –               |
| US: WI, Brown  | −57.4         | 6        | –              | –         | –               |

| Sample (eggs) |
|---------------|
| US: AK, Juneau| −82.7         | 8 | – | – | Asian *L. dispar* |
| US: AK, Juneau| −80.7         | 8 | – | – | Asian *L. dispar* |
| US: CA, Long Beach| −74.1 | 7 | – | – | Asian *L. dispar* |
| US: CA, Long Beach| −69.5 | 7 | – | – | Asian *L. dispar* |
| US: LA, New Orleans| −69.7 | 7 | – | – | Asian *L. dispar* |
| US: LA, New Orleans| −68.7 | 7 | – | – | Asian *L. dispar* |
| US: OR, Portland| −57.4 | 6 | – | – | Asian *L. dispar* |

Note: Genetic analysis had been carried out independently from this study (Wu et al., 2020).
that international trade and transport play a significant role in the disseminating of *L. dispar* to the United States, and underlines the importance of current surveillance and tracking the invasion pathways for *L. dispar*. Finally, we suggest that the methods presented in this article could be applied to any insect species with a chitin-dominant body part of low turnover rate, and similar methods for avian feather and mammal hair have been applied in previous animal migration studies (Bowen et al., 2005; Bowen & West, 2008; Foley et al., 2014; Hobson, 1999; Holder et al., 2014, 2020; Holder et al., 2015; Hungate et al., 2016; International Atomic Energy Agency, 2009; Mekki et al., 2016).

Based on the presented results, we recommend that insect trapping and surveillance, as well as control, mitigation, and inspection regimes at embarkation ports are of utmost importance to prevent uncontrollable, costly invasion. For using SIA in pest management, those factors should be considered: (1) Collection of the exact geographic coordinates of the insect capture sites, including height above sea level and where the sample was collected from (ship, cargo, plant, or other feature in the natural environment), and collection of regional vegetation samples from possible host species on the same day to track the regional δ¹⁵Nₐₚ₉ₙ background. (2) Correction of hydrogen isotopic measurement results for hydrogen–deuterium exchange with the insect species-specific percentage exchangeable hydrogen (Equation 4). (3) Conversion of eggs with an insect species-specific egg-to-adult offset to backtrack the eggs’ mother’s isotopic signature. (4) Checking of the vegetation samples for significant skewness and applying offset to affected isotopic measurements, if necessary. (5) Regression of the insect values into their corresponding regional environmental signatures (precipitation, plants, etc.) by means of an insect-specific regression model and comparing them to the actual environmental signatures. A combination of at least two independent isotopes should be used. (6) For a reasonable prediction of origins, native habitats, possible import pathways (e.g., through trading routes), and if accessible, genetic analyses should be taken into account.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Nadine-Cyra Freistetter: Data curation (lead); formal analysis (lead); investigation (lead); methodology (lead); visualization (lead); writing – original draft (lead); writing – review and editing (lead). Yunke Wu: Data curation (supporting); resources (supporting); validation (lead); writing – review and editing (equal). Gregory S. Simmons: Conceptualization (supporting); supervision (supporting); writing – review and editing (equal). David Christian Finger: Supervision (supporting); validation (supporting); writing – review and editing (equal).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank the Farm Bill project. The authors also thank Prof. Andrea Watzinger and Dr. Katharina Schott for their invaluable guidance and assistance in the isotope laboratory, as well as Dr. Hanna Nadel and the USDA insectary for rearing the moth control groups. Many thanks also go to Dr. Barbara Gepp, Johanna Wachter, and the OeAD for making the cooperation with the Reykjavik University possible. The main author also wishes to express her special thanks to Prof. Tommi Ekholm and Dr. Lukas Kohl for manuscript writing and data analysis advice.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The moth and eggs metadata, measurement results and data analysis can be found under the DOI https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.15dv41p0w.

**ORCID**

Nadine-Cyra Freistetter https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6467-4624

Yunke Wu https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6375-6580

David C. Finger https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0678-8946

Rebecca Hood-Nowotny https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4398-3233
REFERENCES

Bell, R. A., Owens, C. D., Shapiro, M., & Tardif, J. G. R. (1981). Development of mass rearing technology. In C. C. Doane & M. L. McManus (Eds.), United States Department of Agriculture Technical Bulletin 1584: The gypsy moth: Research toward integrated pest management (pp. 599–633). US Department of Agriculture Forest Service.

Biggsby, K. M., Ambrose, M. J., Tobin, P. C., & Sills, E. O. (2014). The cost of gypsy moth sex in the city. Urban Forestry and Urban Greening, 13(3), 459–468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2014.05.003

Biggsby, K. M., Tobin, P. C., & Sills, E. O. (2011). Anthropogenic drivers of gypsy moth spread. Biological Invasions, 13(9), 2077–2090. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-0227-6

Bogdanowicz, S. M., Wallner, W. E., Bell, J., Odell, T. M., & Harrison, R. G. (1993). Asian gypsy moths (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae) in North America: Evidence from molecular data. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 86(6), 710–715. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/86.6.710

Bowen, G. (2018). The online isotopes in precipitation calculator (OIPC), version 3.1, online. Retrieved from www.waterisotopes.org

Bowen, G. J., Wassenaar, L. I., & Hobson, K. A. (2005). Global application of stable hydrogen and oxygen isotopes to wildlife forensics. Oecologia, 143, 337–348. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-004-1813-y

Bowen, G. J., & West, J. B. (2008). Isotope landscapes for terrestrial migration research. Terrestrial Ecology, 2, 79–105. https://doi.org/10.1007/S1936-7961(07)00004-8

Bradshaw, C. J. A., Leroy, B., Bellard, C., Roiz, D., Albert, C., Fournier, A., Barbet-Massin, M., Salles, J. M., Simard, F., & Courchamp, F. (2016). Massive yet grossly underestimated global costs of invasive insects. Nature Communications, 7, 12986. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12986

Cameron, E. M. (2005). Stable Isotope Geochemistry by Jochen Hoefs, fifth revised and updated edition, Springer, Berlin, 2004, 244 pp. US $79.95. ISBN 3-540-40227-6.

Canadian Food Inspection Agency & United States Department of Agriculture. (2022). Joint Asian Gypsy Moth Bulletin. U.S Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. Retrieved from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/plant_health/plant_pest_info/gypsy_moth/downloads/Joint-AGM-bulletin-USDAFCIA.pdf

Cape Cod Commission (2017). Cape Cod’s Sole Source Aquifer, Online. Retrieved from www.capecodcommission.org/index.php?id=169

Continental Dialogue (2019). Don’t Move Firewood, Online. Retrieved from https://www.dontmovefirewood.org/how-to-help/

Drooz, A. T. (Ed.). (1985). The gypsy moth. In Insects of eastern forests (2nd ed., pp. 229–233). United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service Miscellaneous Publication 1426. https://archive.org/details/insectsofear1426unit/page/n9

Epanchin-Niell, R. S., & Liebholt, A. M. (2015). Benefits of invasion prevention: Effect of time lags, spread rates, and damage persistence. Ecological Economics, 116, 146–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2015.04.014

Fernald, C. H., & Forbush, E. H. (1896). The gypsy moth. Portiethria dispar (Linn.). A report of the work of destroying the insect in the commonwealth of Massachusetts, together with an account of its history and habits both in Massachusetts and Europe. Wright & Potter Printing Co. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.42094

Finger, D., Hugentobler, A., Huss, M., Voincescu, A., Wernli, H., Fischer, D., Weber, E., Jeurin, P. Y., Kauzlarc, M., Wirz, A., Vennemann, T., Hüser, F., Schädler, B., & Weingartner, R. (2013). Identification of glacial meltwater runoff in a karstic environment and its implication for present and future water availability. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17(8), 3261–3277. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-3261-2013

Foley, C. J., Bowen, G. J., Napela, T. F., Sepúlveda, M. S., & Höök, T. O. (2014). Stable isotope patterns of benthic organisms from the Great Lakes region indicate variable dietary overlap of Diporeia spp. and dreisseniid mussels. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 71(12), 1784–1795. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2013-0620

Garner, K. J., & Slavicek, J. M. (1996). Identification and characterization of a RAPD-PCR marker for distinguishing Asian and North American gypsy moths. Insect Molecular Biology, 5(2), 81–91. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.1996.tb00043.x

Gray, D. R. (2017). Risk analysis of the invasion pathway of the Asian gypsy moth: A known forest invader. Biological Invasions, 19(11), 3259–3272. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-017-1425-1

Hobson, K. A. (1999). Tracking origins and migration of wildlife using stable isotopes: A review. Oecologia, 120, 314–326. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-004-1671-7

Holder, P. W., Armstrong, K., van Hale, R., Millet, M. A., Frew, R., Clough, T. J., & Baker, J. A. (2014). Isotopes and trace elements as natal origin markers of Helicoverpa armigera – An experimental model for biosecurity pests. PLoS One, 9(3), e92384. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0092384

Holder, P. W., Frew, R., & Van Hale, R. (2015). The geographic origin of an intercepted biosecurity pest beetle assigned using hydrogen stable isotopes. Journal of Economic Entomology, 108(2), 834–837. https://doi.org/10.1093/JEE/TOU097

Holder, P. W., van Hale, R. J., Frew, R., George, S., & Armstrong, K. F. (2020). Natal origin of the invasive biosecurity pest, brown marmorated stink bug (Halyomorpha halys: Pentatomidae), determined by elemental stable isotope-ratio mass spectrometry. Pest Management Science, 76(4), 1456–1463. https://doi.org/10.1002/PS.5659

Hunagte, B. A., Kearns, D. N., Ogle, K., Caron, M., Marks, J. C., & Rogg, H. W. (2016). Hydrogen isotopes as a sentinel of biological invasion by the Japanese beetle, Popillia japonica (Newman). PLoS One, 11(3), e0149599. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149599

IAEA and WMO (2015). Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation - The GNIP Database. Retrieved from nucleus.iaea.org/wiser

International Atomic Energy Agency. (2009). Manual for the use of stable isotopes in entomology, biomaterials. Non-serial Publications, IAEA.

International Maritime Organization, International Labour Organization and United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (IMO/IL0/UNECE) (2014). Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units (CTU Code), Annex6. MSC.1/Circ.1497, UNECE, IMO and IMOSecretariats. https://www.wcmdn.imo.org/localresources/en/OurWork/Safety/Document/1497.pdf

Jardine, S. L., & Sanchirico, J. N. (2018). Estimating the cost of invasive species control. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 87, 242–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JEEM.2017.07.004

Jordan, T. E., Herrera, L. C., Godfrey, L. V., Culocci, S. J., Gamboa, P. C., Urrutia, M. J., Gonzalez, L. G., & Paul, J. F. (2019). Isotopic characteristics and paleoclimate implications of the extreme precipitation event of March 2015 in Northern Chile. Andean Geology, 46, 1–31. https://doi.org/10.5027/andgeov46n1-3087

Keena, M. A., Grinberg, P. S., & Wallner, W. E. (2007). Inheritance of female flight in Lymnaea dispar (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae). Environmental Entomology, 36(2), 484–494. https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225x(2007)36[484:ioff]2.0.co;2

Liebholt, A., Elkinton, J., Williams, D., & Muzika, R.-M. (2000). What causes outbreaks of the gypsy moth in North America? Population Ecology, 42(3), 257–266. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00012004

Liebholt, A. M., Gottschalk, K. W., Muzika, R.-M., Montgomery, M. E., Young, R., O’Day, K., & Kelley, B. (1995). Suitability of North American tree species to gypsy moth: A summary of field and laboratory tests. (p. 34). Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-211. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. https://
Sharov, A. M., Leonard, D., Marra, J. L., & Pfister, S. E. (2021). Area-wide management of invading gypsy moth (Lymantria dispar) populations in the USA. In J. Hendrichs, R. Pereira, & M. J. B. Vreysen (Eds.), Area-wide integrated pest management: Development and field application (pp. 551–560). CRC Press.

Limbu, S., Keena, M., Chen, F., Cook, G., Nadel, H., & Hoover, K. (2017). Effects of temperature on development of lymantria dispar asiatica and lymantria dispar Japonica (lepidoptera: Erebidae), Environmental Entomology, 46(4), 1012–1023. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvx111

Liu, Z., Yu, X., Jia, G., & Wang, D. (2018). Oxygen and hydrogen isotopes of precipitation in a rocky mountainous area of Beijing to distinguish and estimate spring recharge. Water (Switzerland), 10, 705. https://doi.org/10.3390/w10060705

Mekki, I., Camin, F., Merti, S., Hajji, H., Mahouachi, M., Piasentier, E., & Atti, N. (2016). Differentiating the geographical origin of Tunisian indigenous lamb using stable isotope ratio and fatty acid content. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 53, 40–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2016.09.002

Miller, E., Stewart, F., & Bomberg, J. (1996). New method of processing diet for mass rearing pink bollworm, Pectinophora gossypiella Saunders (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). Journal of Agricultural and Urban Entomology, 13, 129–137.

Montgomery, M. E. (1982). Life-cycle nitrogen budget for the gypsy moth, Lymantria dispar, reared on artificial diet. Journal of Insect Physiology, 28(5), 437–442. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(82)90071-3

Paini, D. R., Mwebaze, P., Kuhnert, P. M., & Kriticos, D. J. (2018). Global establishment threat from a major forest pest via international shipping: Lymantria dispar. Scientific Reports, 8, 13723. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31871-y

Picq, S., Keena, M., Havill, N., Stewart, D., Pouliot, E., Boyle, B., Levesque, R. C., Hamelin, R. C., & Cusson, M. (2018). Assessing the potential of genotyping-by-sequencing-derived single nucleotide polymorphisms to identify the geographic origins of intercepted gypsy moth (Lymantria dispar) specimens: A proof-of-concept study. Evolutionary Applications, 11(3), 325–339. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12559

Qi, H., & Coplen, T. B. (2011). Investigation of preparation techniques for δ2H analysis of keratin materials and a proposed analytical protocol. Rapid Communications In Mass Spectrometry: RCM, 25(15), 2209–2222. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.5095

Reineke, A., & Zeblit, C. P. W. (1998). Flight ability of gypsy moth females (Lymantria dispar L.) (Lep., Lymantriidae): A behavioural feature characterizing moths from Asia? Journal of Applied Entomology, 122(1–5), 307–310. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.1998.tb01502.x

Robinet, C., & Liebhold, A. M. (2009). Dispersal polymorphism in an invasive forest pest affects its ability to establish. Ecological applications: a publication of the Ecological Society of America, 19(7), 1935–1943. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1971.1

Schmidt, O., Quitter, J. M., Bahar, B., Moloney, A. P., Scringeour, C. M., Begley, I. S., & Monahan, F. J. (2005). Inferring the origin and dietary history of beef from C, N and S stable isotope ratio analysis. Food Chemistry, 91, 545–549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.08.036

Sharov, A. A., Leonard, D., Liebhold, A. M., Roberts, E. A., & Dickerson, W. (2002). “Slow the spread”: A National Program to Contain the Gypsy Moth. Journal of Forestry, 100(5), 30–36. https://doi.org/10.1093/jof/100.5.30

Sharov, A. A., & Liebhold, A. M. (1998). Model of slowing the spread of gypsy moth (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae) with a barrier zone. Ecological Applications, 8, 1170–1179. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(1998)008[1170:MOSOTG]2.0.CO;2

Streifel, M. A., Tobin, P. C., Hunt, L., Nadel, H., Molongoski, J. J., & Aukema, B. H. (2017). Landscape-level patterns of elevated fs1 Asian allele frequencies in populations of gypsy moth (Lepidoptera: Erebidae) at a northern U.S. boundary. Environmental Entomology, 46(2), 403–412. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvx041

Terzer, S., Wassenaar, L. I., Araguás-Araguás, L. J., & Aggarwal, P. K. (2013). Global isolopes for δ18O and δ2H in precipitation: Improved prediction using regionalized climatic regression models. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17(11), 4713–4728. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-4713-2013

Trotter, R. T., Limbu, S., Hoover, K., Nadel, H., & Keena, M. A. (2020). Comparing Asian gypsy moth [Lymantria dispar asiatica (Lepidoptera: Erebidae) and L. dispar japonica] trap data from East Asian ports with lab parameterized phenology models: New tools and questions. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 113, 125–138. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/saz037

Thorp, K., Reardon, R., Onufrieva, K., Leonard, D., & Mastro, V. (2006). A review of the use of mating disruption to manage gypsy moth, Lymantria dispar (L.). FHTET-2006-13, USDA.

US Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (2019). Asian Gypsy Moth. Online. Retrieved from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/resources/pests-diseases/hungry-pests/the-threat/asiayan-moth/asiayan-gypsy-moth

US Department of Agriculture, Doane, C. C., & McManus, M. L. (1981). The gypsy moth: research toward integrated Pest management. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service. Science and Education Agency, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. US Department of Agriculture Forest Service (2014). National Gypsy Moth Management Program – reducing damage and slowing the spread. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, Science and Education Agency, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. https://www.fs.usda.gov/naspf/sites/default/files/featured-projects/2013_national_gypsymothmanagementprogram_140127_0.pdf

Wallner, W. E., Humble, L. M., Levin, R. E., Baranchikov, Y. N., & Cardé, R. T. (1995). Response of adult Lymantrid moths to illumination devices in the Russian Far East. Journal of Economic Entomology, 88, 337–342. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/88.2.337

Wassenaar, L. I., & Hobson, K. A. (2003). Comparative equilibration and online technique for determination of non-exchangeable hydrogen of keratins for use in animal migration studies. Isotopes in Environmental and Health Studies, 39(3), 211–217. https://doi.org/10.1080/1025601031000096781

Welker, J. M. (2000). Isotopic (δ18O) characteristics of weekly precipitation collected across the USA: An initial analysis with application to water source studies. Hydrological Processes, 14, 1449–1464. https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1085(20000615)14:8<1449::AID-HYD538>3.0.CO;2-Y

Wu, Y. (2016). Identification of the geographic origin of invasive Asian gypsy moth (Lymantria dispar asiatica and L. d. japonica) using ddRAD sequencing. https://doi.org/10.1603/ice.2016.108877

Wu, Y., Bogdanowicz, S. M., Andres, J. A., Vieira, K. A., Wang, B., Cossé, A., & Pfister, S. E. (2020). Tracking invasions of a destructive defoliator, the gypsy moth (Erebidae: Lymantria dispar): Population structure, origin of intercepted specimens, and Asian introgression into North America. Evolutionary Applications, 13(8), 2056–2070. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12962

Wu, Y., Molongoski, J. J., Winograd, D. F., Bogdanowicz, S. M., Louyakis, A. S., Lance, D. R., Mastro, V. C., & Harrison, R. G. (2015). Genetic structure, admixture and invasion success in a Holarctic defoliator, the gypsy moth (Lymantria dispar, Lepidoptera: Erebidae). Molecular Ecology, 24(6), 1275–1291. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13103

How to cite this article: Freistetter, N-C, Simmons, G. S., Wu, Y., Finger, D. C., & Hood-Nowotny, R. (2022). Tracking global invasion pathways of the spongy moth (Lepidoptera: Erebidae) to the United States using stable isotopes as endogenous biomarkers. Ecology and Evolution, 12, e9092. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.9092