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PROJECT OVERVIEW

The primary objective of this project is to produce comprehensive evidenced-based data report that elucidates dosing practices and associated outcomes for RimabotulinumtoxinB. This systematic literature review focuses on identifying clinical trials, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses.

LITERATURE SEARCH STRATEGY

A literature search strategy based on the concepts and disease area(s) of interest was prepared, with the goal of identifying all relevant published literature within the search period. The literature search strategy used a combination of Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms and key words.

DATA SOURCES

The following medical literature databases were used to identify relevant articles for inclusion in the systematic literature review:

- PubMed
- Cochrane Library
- Embase

Medical Literature Search Strategy

The following preliminary search strategy was conducted in PubMed on December, 2015. An additional search in the Cochrane Library and Embase was conducted as well. The search was intended to capture all RimabotulinumtoxinB clinical trials with the following conditions of interest:

- Cervical dystonia
- Torticollis
- Blepharospasm
- Limb dystonia
- Focal dystonia
- Generalized dystonia
- Segmental dystonia
- Oromandibular dystonia
- Jaw dystonia
- Bruxism
- Cranial dystonia
- Lingual dystonia
• Hemifacial spasm
• Facial myoclonus
• Facial synkinesis
• Spasmodic dysphonia
• Laryngeal spasm
• Spasticity
• Spastic paresis
• Myofascial spasm
• Cerebral palsy
• Writers’ cramp
• Hand dystonia
• Foot dystonia
• Musicians dystonia
• Task specific focal dystonia
• Musician’s cramp
• Embouchure dystonia
• Sialorrhea
• Drooling
• Hypersalivation
• Seventh nerve facial disorders

0 presents search terms by category with the respective results identified in PubMed.

Limits for the search included the following:

Published in English
Published from January 1, 1999 to December 31, 2015

Preliminary PubMed Search Strategy

| Search No. | Search Terms                                                                 | No. of Results |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Drug terms |                                                                              |                |
| #1         | "RimabotulinumtoxinB" OR "Rimabotulinumtoxin B" OR "rimabotulinum toxin B" OR "Myobloc" English, Publication date from 1999-2015 | 492            |
| Indication/health condition terms |                                                                                 |                |
| #2         | "Cervical Dystonia" Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 2065           |
| #3         | "Torticollis" Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015               | 1582           |
| #4         | "Blepharospasm"[Text Word] Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015  | 864            |
| #5         | "Limb dystonia"[Text Word] Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015  | 3838           |
| #6         | "Focal dystonia"[Text Word] Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015  | 638            |
| #7         | "Generalized dystonia"[Text Word] Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 232            |
| # | Search Term | Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | Count |
|---|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 8 | "Segmental dystonia" | 1999-2015 | 167 |
| 9 | "Oromandibular dystonia" | 1999-2015 | 122 |
| 10 | "Jaw dystonia" | 1999-2015 | 1591 |
| 11 | "Bruxism" | 1999-2015 | 291 |
| 12 | "Cranial Dystonia" | 1999-2015 | 124 |
| 13 | "Lingual Dystonia" | 1999-2015 | 836 |
| 14 | "Hemifacial Spasm" | 1999-2015 | 127 |
| 15 | "Facial myoclonus" | 1999-2015 | 227 |
| 16 | "Facial synkinesis" | 1999-2015 | 2318 |
| 17 | "Spasmodic dysphonia" | 1999-2015 | 433 |
| 18 | "Laryngeal spasm" | 1999-2015 | 6198 |
| 19 | "Spasticity" | 1999-2015 | 663 |
| 20 | "Spastic paresis" | 1999-2015 | 45 |
| 21 | "Myofascial spasm" | 1999-2015 | 12698 |
| 22 | "Cerebral palsy" | 1999-2015 | 3331 |
| 23 | "Writers’ cramp" | 1999-2015 | 710 |
| 24 | "Hand dystonia" | 1999-2015 | 23 |
| 25 | "Foot dystonia" | 1999-2015 | 141 |
| 26 | "Musicians dystonia" | 1999-2015 | 51 |
| 27 | "Task specific focal dystonia" | 1999-2015 | 22 |
| 28 | "Musician’s cramp" | 1999-2015 | 16 |
| 29 | "Embouchure dystonia" | 1999-2015 | 763 |
| #30 | "Sialhorrhea" [Text Word] Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 1064 |
| #31 | "Drooling" [Text Word] Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 929 |
| #32 | "Hypersalivation" [Text Word] Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 48 |
| #33 | "Seventh nerve facial disorders" [Text Word] Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 2065 |
| #34 | "Cervical dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Torticollis"[Text Word] OR Blepharospasm"[Text Word] OR "Limb dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Focal dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Generalized dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Segmental dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Oromandibular dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Jaw dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Bruxism"[Text Word] OR "Cranial dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Lingual dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Hemifacial spasm"[Text Word] OR "Facial myoclonus"[Text Word] OR "Facial synkinesis"[Text Word] OR "Spasmodic dysphonia"[Text Word] OR "Laryngeal spasm"[Text Word] OR Spasticity"[Text Word] OR "Spastic paresis"[Text Word] OR "Myofascial spasm"[Text Word] OR "Cerebral palsy"[Text Word] OR "Writers’ cramp "[Text Word] OR "Hand dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Foot dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Musicians dystonia" OR "Task specific focal dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Musician’s cramp"[Text Word] OR "Embouchure dystonia"[Text Word] OR "Sialhorrhea"[Text Word] OR "Drooling"[Text Word] OR "Hypersalivation"[Text Word] OR"[Text Word] "Seventh nerve facial disorders"[Text Word] Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 32,867 |
| #35 | #1 AND #34 AND Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 490 |
| #36 | #1 AND #2 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 99 |
| #37 | #1 AND #3 AND Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 34 |
| #38 | #1 AND #4 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 24 |
| #39 | #1 AND #5 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 33 |
| #40 | #1 AND #6 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 43 |
| #41 | #1 AND #7 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 1 |
| #42 | #1 AND #8 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 0 |
| #43 | #1 AND #9 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 2 |
| #44 | #1 AND #10 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015 | 0 |
| #   | Query                                                                 | Count |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| #45 | #1 AND #11 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 2     |
| #46 | #1 AND #12 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 0     |
| #47 | #1 AND #13 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 0     |
| #48 | #1 AND #14 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 13    |
| #49 | #1 AND #15 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 0     |
| #50 | #1 AND #16 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 0     |
| #51 | #1 AND #17 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 4     |
| #52 | #1 AND #18 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 2     |
| #53 | #1 AND #19 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 44    |
| #54 | #1 AND #20 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 3     |
| #55 | #1 AND #21 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 0     |
| #56 | #1 AND #22 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 15    |
| #57 | #1 AND #23 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 55    |
| #58 | #1 AND #24 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 7     |
| #59 | #1 AND #25 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 2     |
| #60 | #1 AND #26 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 1     |
| #61 | #1 AND #27 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 0     |
| #62 | #1 AND #28 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 0     |
| #63 | #1 AND #29 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 0     |
| #64 | #1 AND #30 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 40    |
| #65 | #1 AND #31 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 31    |
| #66 | #1 AND #32 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 36    |
| #67 | #1 AND #33 Limits: English, Publication Date from 1999-2015          | 0     |
0 presents summarized literature search results for all conditions. There were 285 unique records identified across conditions. Unique records were also identified for each condition (cervical dystonia, blepharospasm, hemifacial spasm, spasticity, writer’s cramp, sialorrhea and hypersalivation) are presented in 0 through Table 34.

### Summary of Literature Search Results for All Conditions

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 362     | 27             |
| Cochrane | 24      | 4              |
| Embase   | 104     | 3              |
| **Totals** | **490** | **34**         |

### Summary of Literature Search Results for Cervical dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 73      | 5              |
| Cochrane | 5       | 1              |
| Embase   | 21      | 1              |
| **Totals** | **99** | **7**          |

### Summary of Literature Search Results for Torticollis

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 19      | 0              |
| Cochrane | 4       | 0              |
| Embase   | 11      | 0              |
| **Totals** | **34** | **0**          |

N/A = Not available

### Summary of Literature Search Results for Blepharospasm

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 10      | 1              |
| Cochrane | 2       | 1              |
| Embase   | 12      | 1              |
| **Totals** | **24** | **3**          |
# Summary of Literature Search Results for Limb dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 29      | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 4       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **33** | **0**          |

# Table 7. Summary of Literature Search Results for Focal dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 31      | 0              |
| Cochrane | 5       | 0              |
| Embase   | 7       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **43** | **0**          |

# Table 8. Summary of Literature Search Results for Generalized dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 1       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **1**  | **0**          |

# Table 9. Summary of Literature Search Results for Segmental dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 0       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **0**  | **0**          |
Table 10. Summary of Literature Search Results for Oromandibular dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 0       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 2       | 0              |
| Totals   | 2       | 0              |

Table 11. Summary of Literature Search Results for Jaw dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 0       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| Totals   | 0       | 0              |

Table 12. Summary of Literature Search Results for Bruxism

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 2       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| Totals   | 2       | 0              |

Table 13. Summary of Literature Search Results for Cranial dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 0       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| Totals   | 0       | 0              |
Table 14. Summary of Literature Search Results for Lingual dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 0       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| Totals   | 0       | 0              |

Table 15. Summary of Literature Search Results for Hemifacial spasm

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 7       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 6       | 0              |
| Totals   | 13      | 0              |

Table 16. Summary of Literature Search Results for Facial myoclonus

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 0       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| Totals   | 0       | 0              |

Table 17. Summary of Literature Search Results for Facial synkinesis

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 0       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| Totals   | 0       | 0              |

Table 18. Summary of Literature Search Results for Spasmodic dysphonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 2       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 1       | 0              |
| Embase   | 1       | 0              |
Table 19. Summary of Literature Search Results for Laryngeal spasm

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 1       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 1       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **2** | **0**        |

Table 20. Summary of Literature Search Results for Spasticity

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 31      | 3              |
| Cochrane | 2       | 1              |
| Embase   | 11      | 1              |
| **Totals** | **44** | **5**        |

Table 21. Summary of Literature Search Results for Spastic paresis

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 3       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **3** | **0**        |

Table 22. Summary of Literature Search Results for Myofascial spasm

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 0       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **0** | **0**        |

Table 23. Summary of Literature Search Results for Cerebral palsy

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 13      | 0              |
| Cochrane | 1       | 0              |
| Embase   | 1       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **15** | **0**        |
Table 24. Summary of Literature Search Results for Writers’ cramp

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 54      | 5              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 1       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **51** | **5**          |

Table 25. Summary of Literature Search Results for Hand dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 3       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 4       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **7**  | **0**          |

Table 26. Summary of Literature Search Results for Foot dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 1       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 1       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **2**  | **0**          |

Table 27. Summary of Literature Search Results for Musicians dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 1       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| **Totals** | **0**  | **0**          |

Table 28. Summary of Literature Search Results for Task specific focal dystonia

| Database | Records | Unique Records |
|----------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 0       | 0              |
| Cochrane | 0       | 0              |
| Embase   | 0       | 0              |
| Table 29. Summary of Literature Search Results for Musician’s cramp |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Database** | **Records** | **Unique Records** |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| PubMed        | 0           | 0                 |
| Cochrane      | 0           | 0                 |
| Embase        | 0           | 0                 |
| **Totals**    | **0**       | **0**             |

| Table 30. Summary of Literature Search Results for Embouchure dystonia |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Database** | **Records** | **Unique Records** |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| PubMed        | 0           | 0                 |
| Cochrane      | 0           | 0                 |
| Embase        | 0           | 0                 |
| **Totals**    | **0**       | **0**             |

| Table 31. Summary of Literature Search Results for Sialhorrhea |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Database** | **Records** | **Unique Records** |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| PubMed        | 27          | 6                 |
| Cochrane      | 2           | 1                 |
| Embase        | 11          | 0                 |
| **Totals**    | **40**      | **7**             |

| Table 32. Summary of Literature Search Results for Drooling |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Database** | **Records** | **Unique Records** |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| PubMed        | 27          | 5                 |
| Cochrane      | 1           | 1                 |
| Embase        | 3           | 0                 |
| **Totals**    | **31**      | **6**             |

| Table 33. Summary of Literature Search Results for Hypersalivation |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Database** | **Records** | **Unique Records** |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| PubMed        | 27          | 6                 |
| Cochrane      | 0           | 0                 |
| Embase        | 8           | 0                 |
Table 34. Summary of Literature Search Results for Seventh nerve facial disorders

| Database   | Records | Unique Records |
|------------|---------|----------------|
| PubMed     | 0       | 0              |
| Cochrane   | 0       | 0              |
| Embase     | 0       | 0              |
| Totals     | 0       | 0              |

INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA

The inclusion and exclusion criteria are based on a strategy that will identify study types of interest within the population or disease condition of interest. The criteria listed in Table 35 and 36 will be applied to the 37 unique titles and abstracts identified by the medical literature search in Section 2.

Table 35. Level 1 Screening Criteria for Inclusion and Exclusion of Clinical Studies
| Criteria            | Included                                                                 | Excluded                                                                 |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Study design        | Randomized controlled clinical trials (phase 2 and phase 3)             | Preclinical                                                              |
|                     | Non–randomized controlled clinical trials (phase 2 and phase 3)         | Phase 1                                                                  |
|                     | Long-term follow-up studies (e.g., open-label follow-up of               | Prognostic/biomarker                                                     |
|                     | randomized controlled clinical trials)                                   | Genetic                                                                  |
|                     |                                                                          | Retrospective                                                            |
|                     |                                                                          | Registry                                                                 |
|                     |                                                                          | Case report                                                              |
|                     |                                                                          | Non-comparative studies                                                  |
|                     |                                                                          | Letters                                                                  |
|                     |                                                                          | Consensus reports                                                        |
|                     |                                                                          | Editorials                                                               |
|                     |                                                                          | Nonsystematic reviews                                                    |
|                     |                                                                          | Systematic reviews and meta-analyses will not be included in their own   |
|                     |                                                                          | right; they will be used for identification of additional primary       |
|                     |                                                                          | studies.                                                                 |
| Criteria | Included | Excluded |
|----------|----------|----------|
| Population | Patients with the following conditions: | |
| | Cervical dystonia | |
| | Torticollis | |
| | Blepharospasm | |
| | Limb dystonia | |
| | Focal dystonia | |
| | Generalized dystonia | |
| | Segmental dystonia | |
| | Oromandibular dystonia | |
| | Jaw dystonia | |
| | Bruxism | |
| | Cranial dystonia | |
| | Lingual dystonia | |
| | Hemifacial spasm | |
| | Facial myoclonus | |
| | Facial synkinesis | |
| | Spasmodic dysphonia | |
| | Laryngeal spasm | |
| | Spasticity | |
| | Spastic paresis | |
| | Myofascial spasm | |
| | Cerebral palsy | |
| | Writers’ cramp | |
| | HOR dystonia | |
| | Foot dystonia | |
| | Musicians dystonia | |
| | Task specific focal dystonia | |
| | Musician’s cramp | |
| | Embouchure dystonia | |
| | Sialhorrhea | |
| | Drooling | |
| | Hypersalivation | |
| | Seventh nerve facial disorders | |

| Interventions | RimabotulinumtoxinB | All studies that do not include the intervention RimabotulinumtoxinB, myobloc |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| Outcomes | Study methodology, patient, and treatment level data | Biochemical or immunologic endpoints |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|

*Systematic reviews and meta-analyses will not be included in their own right, but will be used for identification of primary studies.*
Table 36. Level 2 Screening Criteria for Inclusion and Exclusion of Clinical Studies

| Criteria       | Included                                                                 | Excluded                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Criteria       | Criteria in Table 35                                                     | Only biochemical or immunologic endpoints will be excluded. Nonrandomized controlled phase 2 or 3 clinical trials                           |
|                |                                                                          | Comparative long-term follow-up studies                                                                                                                                                 |
|                |                                                                          | Open-label follow-up of randomized controlled clinical trials                                                                                                                                 |
|                |                                                                          | Comparative prospective phase 4                                                                                                                                                       |
| Population     | Criteria in Table 35                                                     | Criteria in Table 35                                                                                                                                                                   |
|                |                                                                          | No further restriction                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Interventions  | Criteria in Table 35                                                     | Criteria in Table 35                                                                                                                                                                   |
|                |                                                                          | No further restriction                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Outcomes       | Primary and secondary endpoints for:                                    | No further restriction                                                                                                                                                                  |
|                |   o Safety (include all dosing-related parameters)                        |                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|                |   o Efficacy (Patient-reported and Economic [if available])               |                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|                | Tertiary endpoints                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                        |

For studies excluded at the level 2 selection process, the study citations will be tabulated and the reason for exclusion of individual studies will be recorded.

Included studies will be categorized as randomized controlled trials (RCTs), non-RCTs, comparative long-term follow-up clinical trials, and comparative prospective observational studies. Randomized controlled trials will be advanced to the formal data extraction and quality assessment process. If the number of RCTs identified is less than 25 studies, other study types (non-RCTs and observational studies) will be evaluated for formal data extraction and quality assessment.

**Study Selection Process**
At level 1 screening, titles and abstracts of the identified studies from the electronic databases and Internet searches will be scanned by two researchers independently to evaluate the potential study relevance using the criteria outlined in Table 35 and Table 36. If there is uncertainty regarding the relevance of the study, the two researchers will review and reconcile discrepancies to reach consensus. Bibliographic reference lists of systematic reviews identified during level 1 screening will be searched to identify any relevant studies that were not identified through the electronic database searches.

At level 2 screening, full texts of studies selected at level 1 will be reviewed, and the same inclusion and exclusion criteria will be applied.

The inclusion and exclusion processes will be thoroughly documented, including completion of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram (Moher et al., 2009) for studies included at the level 2 screening. For studies excluded at level 1, the reason for exclusion will be recorded and the number of studies excluded for each reason summarized. For studies excluded at level 2, the study citations will be tabulated and the reason for exclusion of individual studies will also be recorded.
DATA EXTRACTION AND REPORTING OF RESULTS

Data will be extracted for the outcomes of interest for all relevant time points for all RCTs. If fewer than 25 RCTs are identified, non-RCTs and other studies will be evaluated for data extraction.

The relevant data will be extracted into evidence tables in Microsoft Word. All data-extraction templates will be created and agreed upon by the project team before commencing data extraction.

Data will be extracted from full-text versions of studies where available (i.e., we will not use abstracts unless an abstract is the terminal source document). Quality-control procedures for
the data extraction will comprise verification of all extracted data with their original sources by a second researcher.

**Variable Headings for Evidence Tables**

Proposed column headings for each study may include the following:

- Study identifiers (author[s], date, study acronym, sponsors, quality assessment)
- Study design (type, location, brief details of study design, inclusion/exclusion criteria, patient age groups, sample size)
- Treatment (drug therapy, best supportive care, minimally invasive procedure, and/or placebo)
- Outcomes (efficacy, safety, other [patient-reported outcomes, economic])

**Evidence Table Templates**

The data extraction outline will include all draft evidence table templates.

**Reporting of Results**

In lieu of a formal final study report, the research team will prepare a final data extraction summary of tables.

The final data extraction outline will present table templates and elements for extraction.
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Appendix A: Critical Appraisal of Clinical Trial Studies

Cochrane Quality Assessment Template for Clinical Studies

| Domain                        | Support for Judgment (Study specific information entered here) | Author’s Judgment on ‘Risk of Bias’ |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Selection bias.**           |                                                               |                                   |
| Random sequence generation.   | Describe the method used to generate the allocation sequence in sufficient detail to allow an assessment of whether it should produce comparable groups. | Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate generation of a randomised sequence. |
| Allocation concealment.       | Describe the method used to conceal the allocation sequence in sufficient detail to determine whether intervention allocations could have been foreseen in advance of, or during, enrolment. | Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate concealment of allocations prior to assignment. |
| **Performance bias.**         |                                                               |                                   |
| Blinding of participants and personnel Assessments should be made for each main outcome (or class of outcomes). | Describe all measures used, if any, to blind study participants and personnel from knowledge of which intervention a participant received. Provide any information relating to whether the intended blinding was effective. | Performance bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by participants and personnel during the study. |
| **Detection bias.**           |                                                               |                                   |
| Blinding of outcome assessment Assessments should be made for each main outcome (or class of outcomes). | Describe all measures used, if any, to blind outcome assessors from knowledge of which intervention a participant received. Provide any information relating to whether the intended blinding was effective. | Detection bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by outcome assessors. |
| Attrition bias.               |                                                               |                                   |
| Domain | Support for Judgment | Author’s Judgment on ‘Risk of Bias’ |
|--------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Incomplete outcome data**<br>*Assessments should be made for each main outcome (or class of outcomes).* | Describe the completeness of outcome data for each main outcome, including attrition and exclusions from the analysis. State whether attrition and exclusions were reported, the numbers in each intervention group (compared with total randomized participants), reasons for attrition/exclusions where reported, and any re-inclusions in analyses performed by the review authors. | Attrition bias due to amount, nature or handling of incomplete outcome data. |
**Reporting bias.**

**Selective reporting.**
State how the possibility of selective outcome reporting was examined by the review authors, and what was found.

**Reporting bias due to selective outcome reporting.**

**Other bias**

**Other sources of bias.**
State any important concerns about bias not addressed in the other domains in the tool. If particular questions/entries were pre-specified in the review’s protocol, responses should be provided for each question/entry.

**Bias due to problems not**

*See Table A-2 for criteria to assess ‘Risk of bias’*

Adapted from Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011, Chapter 8.5.a. Available at http://www.mrc-bsu.cam.ac.uk/cochrane/handbook/. Accessed November 1, 2011.
# Cochrane Criteria for Judging Risk of Bias

## RANDOM SEQUENCE GENERATION

Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate generation of a randomised sequence.

| Criteria for a judgment of ‘Low risk’ of bias. | The investigators describe a random component in the sequence generation process such as: |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                               | • Referring to a random number table;                                              |
|                                               | • Using a computer random number generator;                                        |
|                                               | • Coin tossing;                                                                    |
|                                               | • Shuffling cards or envelopes;                                                     |
|                                               | • Throwing dice;                                                                   |
|                                               | • Drawing of lots;                                                                 |
|                                               | • Minimization*.                                                                  |

*Minimization may be implemented without a random element, and this is considered to be equivalent to being random.

| Criteria for the judgment of ‘High risk’ of bias. | The investigators describe a non-random component in the sequence generation process. Usually, the description would involve some systematic, non-random approach, for example: |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                 | • Sequence generated by odd or even date of birth;                                                                              |
|                                                 | • Sequence generated by some rule based on date (or day) of admission;                                                         |
|                                                 | • Sequence generated by some rule based on hospital or clinic record number.                                                      |

Other non-random approaches happen much less frequently than the systematic approaches mentioned above and tend to be obvious. They usually involve judgement or some method of non-random categorization of participants, for example:

- Allocation by judgement of the clinician;
- Allocation by preference of the participant;
- Allocation based on the results of a laboratory test or a series of tests;

Allocation by availability of the intervention.

| Criteria for the judgment of ‘Unclear risk’ of bias. | Insufficient information to permit judgement of ‘Low risk’ or ‘High risk’. This is usually the case if the method of concealment is not described or not described in sufficient detail to allow a definite judgement – for example if the use of assignment envelopes is described, but it remains unclear whether envelopes were sequentially numbered, opaque and sealed. |
# BLINDING OF PARTICIPANTS AND PERSONNEL

Performance bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by participants and personnel during the study.

| Criteria for a judgment of ‘Low risk’ of bias. | Any one of the following: |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                                 | • No blinding or incomplete blinding, but the review authors judge that the outcome is not likely to be influenced by lack of blinding; |

Blinding of participants and key study personnel ensured, and unlikely that the blinding could have been broken.

| Criteria for the judgment of ‘High risk’ of bias. | Any one of the following: |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                                  | • No blinding or incomplete blinding, and the outcome is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding; |

Blinding of key study participants and personnel attempted, but likely that the blinding could have been broken, and the outcome is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding.

| Criteria for the judgment of ‘Unclear risk’ of bias. | Any one of the following: |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                                     | • Insufficient information to permit judgment of ‘Low risk’ or ‘High risk’; |

The study did not address this outcome.
### INCOMPLETE OUTCOME DATA

Attrition bias due to amount, nature or handling of incomplete outcome data.

| Criteria for a judgment of ‘Low risk’ of bias. | Any one of the following: |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| • No missing outcome data; | |
| • Reasons for missing outcome data unlikely to be related to true outcome (for survival data, censoring unlikely to be introducing bias); | |
| • Missing outcome data balanced in numbers across intervention groups, with similar reasons for missing data across groups; | |
| • For dichotomous outcome data, the proportion of missing outcomes compared with observed event risk not enough to have a clinically relevant impact on the intervention effect estimate; | |
| • For continuous outcome data, plausible effect size (difference in means or standardized difference in means) among missing outcomes not enough to have a clinically relevant impact on observed effect size; | |
| Missing data have been imputed using appropriate methods. | |

| Criteria for the judgment of ‘High risk’ of bias. | Any one of the following: |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| • Reason for missing outcome data likely to be related to true outcome, with either imbalance in numbers or reasons for missing data across intervention groups; | |
| • For dichotomous outcome data, the proportion of missing outcomes compared with observed event risk enough to induce clinically relevant bias in intervention effect estimate; | |
| • For continuous outcome data, plausible effect size (difference in means or standardized difference in means) among missing outcomes enough to induce clinically relevant bias in observed effect size; | |
| • ‘As-treated’ analysis done with substantial departure of the intervention received from that assigned at randomization; | |
| Potentially inappropriate application of simple imputation. | |

| Criteria for the judgment of ‘Unclear risk’ of bias. | Any one of the following: |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| • Insufficient reporting of attrition/exclusions to permit judgment of ‘Low risk’ or ‘High risk’ (e.g. number randomized not stated, no reasons for missing data provided); | |
| The study did not address this outcome. | |

---

_A-1_
**SELECTIVE REPORTING**

Reporting bias due to selective outcome reporting.

| Criteria for a judgment of ‘Low risk’ of bias. | Any of the following: |
| --- | --- |
| The study protocol is available and all of the study’s pre-specified (primary and secondary) outcomes that are of interest in the review have been reported in the pre-specified way; | |
| The study protocol is not available but it is clear that the published reports include all expected outcomes, including those that were pre-specified (convincing text of this nature may be uncommon). |

| Criteria for the judgment of ‘High risk’ of bias. | Any one of the following: |
| --- | --- |
| Not all of the study’s pre-specified primary outcomes have been reported; | |
| One or more primary outcomes is reported using measurements, analysis methods or subsets of the data (e.g. subscales) that were not pre-specified; | |
| One or more reported primary outcomes were not pre-specified (unless clear justification for their reporting is provided, such as an unexpected adverse effect); | |
| One or more outcomes of interest in the review are reported incompletely so that they cannot be entered in a meta-analysis; | |
| The study report fails to include results for a key outcome that would be expected to have been reported for such a study. |

| Criteria for the judgment of ‘Unclear risk’ of bias. | Insufficient information to permit judgment of ‘Low risk’ or ‘High risk’. It is likely that the majority of studies will fall into this category. |

**OTHER BIAS**

Bias due to problems not covered elsewhere in the table.

| Criteria for a judgment of ‘Low risk’ of bias. | The study appears to be free of other sources of bias. |
| --- | --- |
| Criteria for the judgment of ‘High risk’ of bias. | There is at least one important risk of bias. For example, the study: |
| Had a potential source of bias related to the specific study design used; or | |
| Has been claimed to have been fraudulent; or |
| Had some other problem. |

| Criteria for the judgment of ‘Unclear risk’ of bias. | There may be a risk of bias, but there is either: |
| --- | --- |
| Insufficient information to assess whether an important risk of bias exists; or | |
| Insufficient rationale or evidence that an identified problem will introduce bias. |

Adapted from Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011, Chapter 8.5.d. Available at http://www.mrc-bsu.cam.ac.uk/cochrane/handbook/. Accessed November 1, 2011.