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Rationale: There are several methods to measure cardiomyocyte and muscle contraction, but these require customized hardware, expensive apparatus, and advanced informatics or can only be used in single experimental models. Consequently, data and techniques have been difficult to reproduce across models and laboratories, analysis is time consuming, and only specialist researchers can quantify data.

Objective: Here, we describe and validate an automated, open-source software tool (MUSCLEMOTION) adaptable for use with standard laboratory and clinical imaging equipment that enables quantitative analysis of normal cardiac contraction, disease phenotypes, and pharmacological responses.

Methods and Results: MUSCLEMOTION allowed rapid and easy measurement of movement from high-speed movies in (1) 1-dimensional in vitro models, such as isolated adult and human pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes; (2) 2-dimensional in vitro models, such as beating cardiomyocyte monolayers or small clusters of human pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes; (3) 3-dimensional multicellular in vitro or in vivo contractile tissues, such as cardiac “organoids,” engineered heart tissues, and zebrafish and human hearts. MUSCLEMOTION was effective under different recording conditions (bright-field microscopy with simultaneous patch-clamp recording, phase contrast microscopy, and traction force microscopy). Outcomes were virtually identical to the current gold standards for contraction measurement, such as optical flow, post deflection, edge-detection systems, or manual analyses. Finally, we used the algorithm to quantify contraction in in vitro and in vivo arrhythmia models and to measure pharmacological responses.

Conclusions: Using a single open-source method for processing video recordings, we obtained reliable pharmacological data and measures of cardiac disease phenotype in experimental cell, animal, and human models. (Circ Res. 2018;122:e5-e16. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.312067.)
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**Novelty and Significance**

**What Is Known?**
- Quantification of cardiac contraction in vitro and in vivo is essential to characterize effects of drugs and disease on cardiac myocyte and heart function.
- Most current software tools to measure and quantify contraction require tailored hardware, expensive software licences, arbitrary analysis thresholds, or advanced informatics skills not available in many laboratories.
- Validation of data and reproducibility across different laboratories, platforms, or cell configurations is limited because complex data analysis methodologies are not directly comparable.

**What New Information Does This Article Contribute?**
- MUSCLEMOTION is an open-source, simple software tool created and validated with current gold standards for measurement across different laboratories to analyze contraction of cardiac myocytes and hearts from high-speed movies.
- MUSCLEMOTION can measure and quantify contraction from multiple recording conditions, cell configurations, and genotypes in vitro and in vivo. Absolute force values or displacement values can be obtained on calibration.
- MUSCLEMOTION code is freely available and can be easily implemented by scientists and clinicians in basic and applied research.

Contractile function of the heart is often impaired in cardiac disease and in response to drugs, where there may be alterations in the force, duration, and amplitude of contraction. In this study, cardiac research scientists and clinicians pooled expertise to create and validate a new software tool, called MUSCLEMOTION, which serves as a flexible platform for quantification of cardiac contraction in many commonly used recording conditions or cell configurations; importantly, MUSCLEMOTION is open source and shared here to promote data reproducibility, data comparison, and offer others in the field a reliable and robust starting point to which tailored applications may be developed. MUSCLEMOTION can be used effectively to validate the functional consequences of disease on cell contraction, to quantify and compare relative or absolute parameters in different culture conditions, drug responses, or even to characterize in vivo disease models and patient cardiac echography profiles.

**Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms**

| 3D | 3-dimensional |
| Cas9 | clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat–associated |
| EHT | engineered heart tissue |
| hiPSC | human induced pluripotent stem cell |
| hiPSC-CM | human induced pluripotent stem cell–derived cardiomyocyte |
| hPSC | human pluripotent stem cell |
| hPSC-CM | human pluripotent stem cell–derived cardiomyocyte |
| MEA | multielectrode array |
| MHC | myosin heavy chain |
| MYH7 | myosin heavy chain, isoform 7 |

The salient feature of cardiomyocytes is their ability to undergo cyclic contraction and relaxation—a feature critical for cardiac function. In many research laboratories and clinical settings it is, therefore, essential that cardiac contraction can be quantified at multiple levels, from single cells to multicellular or intact cardiac tissues. Measurement of contractility is relevant for analysis of disease phenotypes, cardiac safety pharmacology, and longitudinal measures of cardiac function over time, both in vitro and in vivo. In addition, human genotype–phenotype correlations, investigation of cardiac disease mechanisms, and the assessment of cardiotoxicity are increasingly performed on human-induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) derived from patients.1–3 Many of these studies are performed in nonspecialist laboratories, so that it is important that analysis methods are simplified such that they can be used anywhere with access to just standard imaging equipment. Here, we describe a single method with high versatility that can be applied to most imaging outputs of cardiac contraction likely to be encountered in the laboratory or clinic.
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Electric and calcium signals are usually quantified in vitro using established technologies, such as patch-clamp electrophysiology, multielectrode arrays (MEAs), cation-sensitive dyes, or cation-sensitive genetic reporters.4 Although experimental details differ among laboratories, the values for these parameters are, with some approximations, comparable across laboratories, cardiomyocyte source, and cell culture configuration (eg, single cells, multicellular 2-dimensional cardiomyocyte monolayers, and 3-dimensional [3D] cultures).5,6 However, there is no comparable method for measuring cardiac contraction across multiple platforms, despite this being a crucial functional parameter affected by many diseases or drugs.7 We have developed a method to address this that is built on existing algorithms and is fully automated but, most importantly, can be used on videos, image stacks, or image sequences loaded in the open-source image-processing program ImageJ.8 Moreover, it is an open-source, dynamic platform that can be expanded, improved, and integrated for customized applications. The method, called MUSCLEMOTION, determines dynamic changes in pixel intensity between image frames and expresses the output as a relative measure of movement during muscle contraction and relaxation. We applied the concept to a range of biomedical and pharmacologically relevant experimental models that included single human pluripotent stem cell–derived cardiomyocytes (hPSC-CMs), patterned or 2-dimensional cultures of hPSC-CMs, cardiac organoids, engineered heart tissues (EHTs), and isolated adult rabbit cardiomyocytes. Results were validated by comparing outputs of the tool with those from 3 established methods for measuring contraction: optical flow, post deflection, and fractional shortening of sarcomere length. These methods have been tailored to (or only work on) specific cell configurations. Traction force microscopy, fractional shortening of sarcomere length, and microposts are predominantly suitable for single
Figure 1. Algorithm construction and validation. A, Principle of pixel intensity difference by subtraction of $img_{ref}$ of $img_i$, and measurement of the nonzero area after image subtraction. B, Principle of using pixel intensity difference as a measure of displacement and as a measure of displacement velocity. C, Schematic overview of MUSCLEMOTION. Green blocks indicate basic steps of the algorithm. Dark green blocks indicate important user input choices. Plots within light green blocks indicate results. Optional steps are shown in blue blocks, with graphical representation of the analyzed parameters indicated by red lines. Three result files are generated containing the raw data: contraction.txt, speed-of-contraction.txt, and overview-results.txt. Furthermore, 3 images showing (Continued)
Cardiomyocyte edge or perimeter detection is suitable for adult cardiomyocytes but challenging for immature hPSC-CMs because of poorly defined plasma membrane borders and concentric contraction, whereas large post deflection is suitable for EHTs or small cardiac bundles but less so for single cells. Our MUSCLEMOTION software by contrast can be used for all of these applications without significant adaptations. Furthermore, it can be used for multiparameter recording conditions and experimental settings using transmitted light microscopy, fluorescent membrane labeling, fluorescent beads embedded in soft substrates, or patch-clamp video recordings. Drug responses to positive and negative inotropic agents were evaluated across 4 different laboratories in multiple cell configurations using MUSCLEMOTION with reliable predictions of drug effects from all laboratories. Furthermore, MUSCLEMOTION was also applicable to optical recordings of zebrafish hearts in vivo, where it represented a significant time saving in analysis and in human echocardiograms. This versatile tool thus provides a rapid and straightforward way to detect disease phenotypes and pharmacological responses in vitro and in vivo.

### Methods

Extended methods are in the Online Data Supplement. The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

### Code Availability

MUSCLEMOTION source code has been written in the ImageJ Macro Language and is included in the Online Dataset and is available for use and further development.

### Model Cell

The in silico cardiomyocyte-like model (Figure 1D, 1F, and 1G) was created using Blender v2.77.

### Optical Flow Analysis

Optical flow analysis was implemented in LabVIEW as described by Hayakawa et al.

### Generation of hiPSC-Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Isogenic Triplet Using CRISPR/Cas9

Dual guide RNA/Cas9 (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat-associated) 9-Nickase strategy was designed to introduce the MYH7 (myosin heavy chain, isoform 7)-C9123T SNP (single-nucleotide polymorphism; encoding the R453C-myosin heavy chain modification) in ReBL-PAT hiPSC line, as described previously.

### hPSC Culture and Differentiation

hPSCs from multiple independent cell lines (Online Table I) were differentiated to cardiomyocytes as described previously or with the Pluricyte Cardiomyocyte Differentiation Kit (Plurionics B.V.) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Experiments were performed at 18 to 30 days after initiation of differentiation, depending on the cell source and configuration. Pluricytes were kindly provided by Pluriomics B.V.

### Patch-Clamp Recordings on hPSC-CMs

Electrophysiological recordings of isolated hPSC-CMs were performed as described previously.

### MEA Recordings of hPSC-CMs

Field potentials from MEAs were recorded and analyzed as published previously.

### Movement of Embedded Beads

Gelatin-patterned polyacrylamide gels containing fluorescent beads were generated and analyzed as described previously.

### Monolayers of hPSC-CMs

Twenty-five thousand to 40 thousand cells were plated per Matrigel-coated glass ø10 mm coverslip.

### Cardiac Organoids

Cardiac organoids composed of hPSC-CMs and hPSC-derived endothelial cells were generated as described previously.

### Adult Cardiomyocytes

Cardiomyocytes were isolated from New Zealand white male rabbits as described previously.

### Membrane Labeling

hPSC-CMs were plated on Matrigel-coated glass-bottom 24-well plates and labeled with CellMask Deep Red according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

### Engineered Heart Tissues

EHTs were generated and analyzed as described previously.

### Zebrafish Hearts

Zebrafish hearts were recorded, treated, and analyzed as described previously.

### Echocardiograms

Anonymized ultrasounds of 5 adult patients were selected from the echocardiography database of the Leiden University Medical Center.

### Statistics

One-way ANOVA for paired or unpaired measurements was applied to test the differences in means on normalized drug effects. P values obtained from 2-tailed pairwise comparisons were corrected for multiple testing using Bonferroni method. Statistical analyses were performed with R v3.3.3. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant and indicated with an asterisk (*). N values represent biological repeats.

### Results

The principle underlying the algorithm of MUSCLEMOTION is the assessment of contraction using an intuitive approach quantifying absolute changes in pixel intensity between a reference frame and the frame of interest, which can be described as

\[ |img_i - img_{ref}| = img_{result} \]

where \( img_i \) is the frame of interest, \( img_{ref} \) is the reference frame, and \( img_{result} \) is the resulting image. For every pixel in the frame, each reference pixel is subtracted from the corresponding pixel of interest, and the difference is presented in absolute numbers. Unchanged pixels result in low (black) values, whereas pixels that are highly changed result in highushare at 18 to 30 days after initiation of differentiation, depending on the cell source and configuration. Pluricytes were kindly provided by Pluriomics B.V.
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**Figure 1 Continued.** relevant traces and a log file are generated and saved (not shown in schematic). D, Schematic of the contractile pattern of the artificial cell and relative parameters corresponding to amplitude of contraction (A), time-to-peak (t1), and relaxation time (t2). E, Correlation between input (y axis) and output (x axis) parameters used to validate MUSCLEMOTION with 2 artificial cells. F and G, Frame representing the 2 artificial cells built for MUSCLEMOTION validation and their relative output parameters. SNR indicates signal-to-noise ratio. ROI indicates region of interest.
black) values (Figure 1A). Next, the mean pixel intensity of the resulting image is measured. This is a quantitative measure of how much the pixels have moved compared with the reference frame: more white pixels indicate more changing pixels and, thus, more displacement. When a series of images is analyzed relative to the same reference image, the output describes the accumulated displacement over time (measure of displacement; Figure 1B).

However, if a series of images is analyzed with a reference frame that depends on the frame of interest (e.g., im_{ref} = im_{n-1}), this results in a measure of the relative displacement per interframe interval. We defined this parameter as contraction velocity (measure of velocity; Figure 1B).

Because velocity is the first derivative of displacement in time, the first derivative of the measure of displacement should resemble the measure of velocity derived from image calculations. To test the linearity of the method, 3 movies of moving blocks were analyzed. The block moved back and forth at 2 different speeds in each direction (where \( v_2 = 2 \cdot v_1 \)): (1) along the x-axis, (2) along the y-axis, and (3) along both axes (Online Movie I). As expected, the measure of displacement and velocity showed a linear correlation (Online Figure I). This does not hold when the position of the block in im_{n} does not overlap the position of the block in im_{ref}, with a consequent saturation in the measure of displacement (i.e., max pixel white value; Online Figure II). Therefore, comparison of the differentially derived velocities should approximately overlap in the absence of pixel saturation. This was used as a qualitative parameter to determine whether the algorithm outputs were reliable.

**Figure 2.** Correlation of results with gold standards. A, Bright-field image of isolated human pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes (hPSC-CMs; Ai), with maximum projection step visually enhanced with a fire Look Up Table (Aii); contraction (Aiii) and velocity (Aiv) profiles of each individual beat have been generated by MUSCLEMOTION and temporally aligned; linear regression analysis between MUSCLEMOTION results (x-axis) and optical flow results (y-axis; Av). B, Phase contrast image of hPSC-CM monolayers (Bi), with maximum projection step visually enhanced with a fire Look Up Table (Bii); contraction (Biii) and velocity (Biv) profiles of each individual beat have been generated by MUSCLEMOTION and temporally aligned; linear regression analysis between MUSCLEMOTION results (x-axis) and those obtained with optical flow results (y-axis; Bv). C, Phase contrast image of cardiac organoids (Ci), with maximum projection step visually enhanced with a fire Look Up Table (Cii); contraction (Ciii) and velocity (Civ) profiles of each individual beat have been generated by MUSCLEMOTION and temporally aligned; linear regression analysis between MUSCLEMOTION results (x-axis) and those obtained with optical flow results (y-axis; Cv). D, Live view of an engineered heart tissue (EHT) during contraction analysis. Scale bar, 1 mm. Di, with maximum projection step visually enhanced with a fire Look Up Table (Dii); contraction (Diii) and velocity (Div) profiles of each individual beat have been generated by MUSCLEMOTION and temporally aligned; linear regression analysis between MUSCLEMOTION results (x-axis) and those obtained with post deflection (y-axis; Dv). E, Bright-field image of adult rabbit cardiomyocytes (Ei), with maximum projection step visually enhanced with a fire Look Up Table (Eii); contraction (Eiii) and velocity (Ev) profiles of each individual beat have been generated by MUSCLEMOTION and temporally aligned; linear regression analysis between MUSCLEMOTION results (x-axis) and those obtained from sarcomere fractional shortening calculation with Fast Fourier Transform (y-axis; Ev). For details on cell sources and cell lines, please refer to Online Table I.
Algorithm Implementation

MUSCLEMOTION was then modified to handle typical experimental recordings by (1) improving the signal-to-noise ratio, (2) automating reference frame selection, and (3) programming built-in checks to validate the generated output data (Figure 1C). The signal-to-noise ratio was increased by isolating the pixels of interest in a 3-step process: (1) maximum projection of pixel intensity in the complete contraction stack, (2) creation of a binary image of this maximum projection with a threshold level equal to the mean grey value plus SD, and (3) multiplication of the pixel values in this image by the original contraction and speed of the contraction image stack (Online Figure III). This process allowed the algorithm to work on a region of interest with movement above the noise level only.

Next, a method was developed to identify the correct imgref from the speed of contraction image stack by comparing values obtained from the frame-to-frame calculation with their direct neighboring values, while also checking for the lowest absolute value (Online Figure IV).

The reliability of MUSCLEMOTION for structures with complex movements was validated using a custom-made contracting 3D “synthetic cardiomyocyte” model (Figure 1D, 1F, and 1G) that was adapted to produce contractions with known amplitude and duration. Linearity was preserved during the analysis of the contraction and velocity; other output parameters of the analysis matched the input parameters (Figure 1E). A second 3D model (Figure 1G), with a repetitive pattern aimed to create out-of-bounds problems, was also generated. As expected, contraction amplitude information here was not linear (Figure 1E), although contraction velocity and temporal parameters did remain linear (Figure 1E and 1G). To mitigate this problem, we implemented an option for a 10σ Gaussian blur filter that can be applied on demand to biological samples that presented highly repetitive patterns (eg, sarcomeres in adult cardiomyocytes).

Algorithm Application to Multiple Cell Configurations and Correlation With Existing Gold Standards

This set of experiments aimed to investigate the versatility of MUSCLEMOTION and examine how its performance compared with standard measures used in each system: (1) optical flow for isolated hPSC-CMs, monolayers, and organoids; (2) post deflection for EHT; and (3) sarcomere length fractional shortening for adult cardiomyocytes. Remarkably, standard methods currently used measure only contraction or contraction velocity. Linearity was preserved in all cases during the analyses, demonstrating the reliability of the results (Online Figure V).

First, single hPSC-CMs (Figure 2A; Online Movie II) exhibited concentric contraction (Figure 2Aii), and contraction velocity amplitudes correlated well with the amplitudes by guest on May 1, 2018http://circres.ahajournals.org/Downloaded from
Figure 4. Pharmacological challenge with positive and negative inotropic compounds. A, Average dose–response curves (black traces) and single measurements for several parameters obtained in isolated, spontaneously beating, aligned human pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes (hPSC-CMs) treated with isoprenaline (left, red) and nifedipine (right, green). B, Average dose–response curves (black traces) and single measurements for several parameters obtained from monolayers of hPSC-CMs treated with isoprenaline (left, red) and nifedipine (right, green). C, Average dose–response curves (black traces) and single measurements for several parameters obtained in cardiac organoids treated with isoprenaline (left, red) and nifedipine (right, green). D, Average dose–response (Continued)
obtained by optical flow analysis ($R^2$=0.916; Figure 2Av). In contrast to single cells, the area of contraction for hPSC-CM monolayers was distributed heterogeneously throughout the whole field (Figure 2Bii; Online Movie III). Optical flow analysis was compared with our measure of velocity (Figure 2Biv); this showed a good linear correlation ($R^2$=0.803; Figure 2Bv). Complex (mixed and multicellular) 3D configurations were also investigated by analyzing hPSC-derived cardiac organoids (Online Movie IV) and EHTs (Online Movie V). Cardiac organoids showed moderate levels of contraction throughout the tissue (Figure 2Cii), whereas the EHTs showed high deflection throughout the bundle (Figure 2Dii). The contraction velocity of the organoids correlated well with the output of optical flow analysis ($R^2$=0.747; Figure 2Cv). Similarly, contraction amplitudes in EHTs showed high linear correlation ($R^2$=0.879) with the absolute force values derived from measurement of pole deflection (Figure 2Dv). Finally, single adult rabbit ventricular cardiomyocytes were analyzed (Figure 2E; Online Movie VI). Large movement was evident around the long edges of the cardiomyocyte (Figure 2Eii). These cells were analyzed with a 10σ Gaussian blur filter, which also minimized (unwanted) effects of transverse movements on contraction patterns. Linearity was preserved (Online Figure V), despite the repetitive pattern of the sarcomeres, and this resulted in accurate measures of both contraction (Figure 2Eiii) and speed of contraction (Figure 2Eiv). The contraction amplitude of the adult cardiomyocytes stimulated at 1 Hz correlated well with the output of sarcomeric shortening using fast Fourier transform analysis ($R^2$=0.871; Figure 2Ev). Thus, the MUSCLEMOTION algorithm yielded data in these initial studies comparable with methods of analysis tailored for the individual platforms.

**Application of MUSCLEMOTION to Multiple Imaging and Recording Platforms**

To examine whether MUSCLEMOTION could potentially be used in applications that measure other aspects of cardiomyocyte functionality in parallel, we first determined the electrophysiological properties of hPSC-CMs using patch clamp while recording their contractile properties through video imaging. This allowed simultaneous quantitative measurement of action potentials and contraction (Figure 3A), for in-depth investigation of their interdependence. We observed a typical profile of action potential followed by its delayed contraction.

To measure contractile force in combination with contractile velocity in single cardiomyocytes, we integrated fluorescent beads into polyacrylamide substrates patterned with gelatin (Figure 3B), where the displacement of the beads is a measure of cardiomyocyte contractile force (Online Movie VII). Additionally, field potentials and contraction profiles of hPSC-CMs were analyzed from simultaneous electric and video recordings of monolayers plated on MEAs (Figure 3C; Online Movie VIII).

Similarly, effective quantification of contraction profiles was obtained for fluorescently labeled hPSC-CM monolayer cultures (Figure 3D; Online Movie IX), allowing MUSCLEMOTION to be integrated on high-speed fluorescent microscope systems for automated data analysis.

**Application of MUSCLEMOTION to Drug Responses in Different Cell Models in Different Laboratories**

Having shown that MUSCLEMOTION was fit-for-purpose in analyzing contraction over a variety of platforms, we next sought to demonstrate its ability to detect the effects of positive and negative inotropes. This is essential for ensuring the scalability of the tool over multiple platforms, particularly in the context of hiPSC-CMs where regulatory authorities and pharmaceutical companies are interested in using these cells as human heart models for drug discovery, target validation, or safety pharmacology. For isoprenaline and nifedipine, the main parameters of interest are contraction amplitude (isoprenaline and nifedipine), relaxation time (isoprenaline), and contraction duration (nifedipine).

The relaxation time of spontaneously beating isolated hPSC-CMs on gelatin-patterned polyacrylamide substrates treated with isoprenaline significantly decreased as expected at doses >1 nmol/L. Similar to what has been reported, contraction amplitude decreased at doses >1 nmol/L. Nifedipine treatment decreased both contraction amplitude and duration starting from 3 nmol/L, respectively (Figure 4A). In paced (1.5 Hz) hPSC-CM monolayers, no significant effects were measured after addition of isoprenaline on either relaxation time or contraction amplitude. Nifedipine caused a
progressive decrease in contraction duration and amplitude in a concentration-dependent manner starting at 100 nmol/L (Figure 4B). Similarly, cardiac organoids paced at 1.5 Hz showed no significant effects on both relaxation time and contraction amplitude with isoprenaline, whereas both parameters decreased after nifedipine, starting from 100 and 300 nmol/L, respectively (Figure 4C). EHTs paced at 1.5x baseline frequency and analyzed with MUSCLEMOTION showed a positive inotropic effect starting from 1 nmol/L isoprenaline and a negative inotropic effect starting at 30 nmol/L nifedipine as reported previously.15 (Figure 4D).

Paced (1 Hz) adult rabbit cardiomyocytes exhibited no significant increase in relaxation time and contraction amplitude at any isoprenaline concentration. At concentrations >3 nmol/L, adult cardiomyocytes exhibited after-contractions and triggered activity during diastole, which hampered their ability to be paced at a fixed frequency. No significant effects were observed on contraction duration with nifedipine, whereas as contraction amplitude significantly decreased in a dose-dependent manner starting from 100 nmol/L (Figure 4E). Data generated by post deflection and sarcomere fractional shortening are available for comparison purposes in Online Figure VI.

Analysis of Disease Phenotypes In Vivo
Contractions of hiPSC-CMs carrying mutations associated with long-QT syndrome type 1 and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy were characterized in distinct cell configurations: monolayers plated on MEAs and EHTs, respectively. As demonstrated previously, long-QT syndrome type 1 phenotype was captured as a prolongation of the QT interval of the field potential.22 As expected, contraction duration measured with MUSCLEMOTION was also prolonged (Figure 5A and 5B). EHTs were fabricated from an isogenic triplet carrying the MYH7\textsuperscript{R453C} mutation either in homozygosity or heterozygosity and showed a gene dosage effect on the contractility recapitulating disease severity.

Analysis of Disease Phenotypes In Vivo
To extend analysis to hearts in vivo, we took advantage of the transparency of zebrafish, which allows recording of contracting cardiac tissue in vivo (Figure 6A; Online Movie X). It was previously shown that mutations in GNB5 are associated with a multisystem syndrome in human, with severe bradycardia at rest. Zebrafish with loss-of-function mutations in gnb5a and gnb5b were generated. Consistent with the syndrome manifestation in patients, zebrafish \textit{gnb5a\textsuperscript{-}/gnb5a\textsuperscript{-}} and \textit{gnb5b\textsuperscript{-}/gnb5b\textsuperscript{-}} mutant embryos showed severe bradycardia in response to parasympathetic activation.23 Irregularities in heart rate were visually evident and were clearly distinguishable from the wild-type counterpart after analysis with MUSCLEMOTION (Figure 6B). Quantification of the heart rate of these zebrafish with \textit{gnb5a\textsuperscript{-}/gnb5a\textsuperscript{-}} and \textit{gnb5b\textsuperscript{-}/gnb5b\textsuperscript{-}} double mutant embryos showed severe bradycardia in response to parasympathetic activation.23 Irregularities in heart rate were visually evident and were clearly distinguishable from the wild-type counterpart after analysis with MUSCLEMOTION (Figure 6B). Quantification of the heart rate of these zebrafish with MUSCLEMOTION highly correlated (\textit{R}=0.98) with the results of the published manual analyses(22) (Figure 6C). There was, however, a striking time saving for operators in performing the analysis using the algorithm (5–10× faster than manual analysis; 150 recordings were analyzed in 5 hours versus 4 days) without compromising the accuracy of the outcome. Qualitative analysis of contraction patterns allowed rapid discrimination between arrhythmic versus nonarrhythmic responses to carbachol treatment (Figure 6D).

Finally, we examined human echocardiograms from 5 healthy and cardiomyopathic individuals (Figure 6D; Online Movie XI). To assess ventricular function, videos were cropped to exclude movement contributions of the atria and valves. MUSCLEMOTION enabled rapid quantification of temporal parameters from standard ultrasound echography (Figure 6E), such as time-to-peak, relaxation time, R-R interval, and the contraction duration (Figure 6F).
Discussion

A reliable and easy-to-use method to quantify cardiac muscle contraction would be of significant benefit to many basic and clinical science laboratories to characterize cardiac disease phenotypes, understand underlying disease mechanisms, and predict cardiotoxic effects of drugs.\textsuperscript{15,26} Quantification of frame-to-frame differences in pixel intensity has been used in recent reports with success\textsuperscript{10}; however, the full spectrum of applications for which these algorithms are relevant, how their output data correlate with gold standards in each system, and software performance, specifications, license, and software availability, have remained unclear.

Here, we developed and tested a user-friendly, inexpensive, open-source software platform that serves this purpose in a variety of biological systems of heart tissue. Its integration into current research practices would benefit data sharing, reproducibility, comparison, and translation in many clinically relevant contexts.\textsuperscript{28}

The linearity and reliability of MUSCLEMOTION were validated using a 3D reconstructed artificial cardiomyocyte, which gave the expected linear correlations between known inputs and the outputs (Figure 1D through 1F). When random repetitive patterns were applied, amplitude outputs differed from inputs, suggesting a potential limitation to measuring contraction amplitudes in highly repetitive biological samples (such as when sarcomere patterns are well organized), whereas temporal parameters remained valid (Figure 1D, 1E, and 1G). However, conditions such as these would be unlikely in standard biological samples, where camera noise significantly reduces the possibility of saturating pixel movement. We partially attenuated this problem by applying, on user demand, a \(\sigma\)-Gaussian blur filter, which significantly increased the accuracy of MUSCLEMOTION with highly repetitive structures. Also, to increase reliability, we built in additional controls to detect any mismatches and errors. MUSCLEMOTION can automatically identify and select the reference frame and increase the signal-to-noise ratio—features which were particularly relevant in reducing user bias and interaction while improving user experience. MUSCLEMOTION is valid in a wide range of illumination conditions without changing temporal parameters; however, exposure time was linearly correlated with contraction amplitude (Online Figure VII). Furthermore, a series of conditions in which there is no contraction has been used as a negative control (Online Figure VIII). Batch mode analyses and data storage in custom folders were also incorporated to support overnight automated analyses. For accurate quantification of amplitude, time-to-peak, and relaxation time, an appropriate sampling rate should be chosen. For applications

![Figure 6. In vivo disease phenotypes. A, Representative examples of wild-type (top) and gnb5a/gnb5b mutant (bottom) zebrafish and relative enhancement of moving pixels. B, Representative qualitative analyses of normal (top) and arrhythmic (bottom) contraction profiles from wild-type and gnb5a/gnb5b mutant zebrafish treated with carbachol. C, Correlation of results obtained from manual (x axis) vs automatic (y axis) detection of beating frequency (top); distribution of normal (green) and arrhythmic (red) contraction patterns in baseline condition (B) and after treatment with carbachol (C) in wild-type and gnb5a/gnb5b mutant zebrafish (bottom). D, Representative echocardiograms of healthy (top) and cardiomyopathic (bottom) human individuals. Ventricles have been manually cropped, and the enhancement of moving pixels is overlaid. E, Representative qualitative analyses of normal (top) and poor (bottom) ventricular functions. F, Quantitative data collected from echocardiogram in 5 individuals. Each color represents 1 individual.](http://circres.ahajournals.org/Downloaded from)
similar to those described here, we recommend recording rates >70 frames per second to sample correctly the fast upstroke of the time-to-peak typical of cardiac tissue. This recording rate is easily achievable even using smartphone slow-motion video options (>120/240 frames per second), obviating the need for dedicated cameras and recording equipment if necessary.

We demonstrated excellent linear correlations between our software tool and multiple other standard methods independent of substrate, cell configuration, and technology platform and showed that MUSCLEMOTION is able to capture contraction in a wide range of in vivo and in vitro applications (Figures 2 and 3). Specifically, we identified several advantages compared with optical flow algorithms in terms of speed and the absence of arbitrary binning factors or thresholds, which, when modified, profoundly affect the results. One limitation compared with optical flow or EHT standard algorithm is that the tool lacks qualitative vector orientation, making it more difficult to assess contraction direction. Particularly important was the correlation with force data calculated from the displacement of flexible posts by EHTs. This indicates that when the mechanical properties of substrates are known, MUSCLEMOTION allows absolute quantification of contractile force. Technical limitations of the EHT recording system allowed us to analyze only movies with JPEG (Joint Photographic Experts Group) compression; this resulted in loss of pixel information that might have negatively influenced the correlation shown. For better and more accurate results on contraction quantification, nonlossy/uncompressed video formats should be used for recordings because individual pixel information is lost on compression and, therefore, not available for analysis by MUSCLEMOTION.

We proposed and validated practical application in pharmacological challenges using multiple biological preparations recorded in different laboratories; this means that immediate use in multiple independent high-throughput drug-screening pipelines is possible without further software development being required, as recently applied for a drug-screening protocol on cardiac organoids from hPSCs.18 Intuitively, the possibility of having inter assay comparisons will also be of particular relevance where comparisons of contraction data across multiple platforms are required by regulatory agencies or consortia (eg, CiPA [Comprehensive In Vitro Proarrhythmia Assay] and CSAHi [Consortium for Safety Assessment Using Human iPSC Cells]).3,5,6,25,30 Moreover, this might offer a quantitative approach to investigate how genetic or acquired diseases of the heart (eg, cardiomyopathies and long-QT syndrome)29,31 heart failure resulting from anticanter treatments,9,31,32 or maturation strategies,20,34,35 affect cardiac contraction. The possibility of linking in vitro with in vivo assays, with low-cost technologies applicable with existing hardware, certainly represents an advantage as demonstrated by automatic quantification of zebrafish heartbeats and human echocardiograms (Figure 6). Overall, these results clearly demonstrated that contraction profiles could be derived and quantified in a wide variety of commonly used experimental and clinical settings. MUSCLEMOTION might represent a starting point for a swift screening method to provide clinically relevant insights into regions of limited contractility in the hearts of patients. We encourage further development of this open-source platform to fit specific needs; future areas of application could include skeletal or smooth muscle in the same range of formats described here.

MUSCLEMOTION allows the use of a single, transparent method of analysis of cardiac contraction in many modalities for rapid and reliable identification of disease phenotypes, potential cardiotoxic effects in drug-screening pipelines, and translational comparison of contractile behavior.

Limitations

Saturation of pixel movements may affect contraction amplitudes. However, as demonstrated with the artificial cardiomyocyte, contraction velocity and all temporal parameters remained valid. We also minimized the impact of highly repetitive structures on the output of MUSCLEMOTION by applying a Gaussian filter, which also helped in reducing the impact of transverse movements on contraction profiles. High-frequency contraction might complicate baseline detection, especially if the duration of the contracted state is similar to that of the relaxed (eg, approaching sinusoidal). We have implemented a fast mode option that captures reliable baseline values even at high contraction rates. MUSCLEMOTION does not measure absolute values of cell shortening or force of contraction. However, as demonstrated by correlations with these physical quantities (Figure 2D and 2E), specific setups can be calibrated to obtain such readout.

Adult cardiomyocytes contract dominantly along the longitudinal axis. However, hPSC-CMs are highly variable in shape, often showing concentric contractions, so that effects of transverse movement are usually intrinsic to the experimental model and they should be considered in the global contraction analysis. Indirect transverse movements originating from uncontrolled experimental conditions or moving objects other than those of interest (eg, vibrations, sample shift, floating debris, and air bubbles) should be avoided because they might lead to overestimation of the cardiomyocyte contraction.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Versatile open software to quantify cardiomyocyte and cardiac muscle contraction in vitro and in vivo
Sala L.##, van Meer B.J.## et al.

Supplementary Methods

Model Cell
To establish and test the algorithm of MUSCLEMOTION we first created an in silico CM-like shape (Fig. 1d,f,g) using Blender v2.77 (Stichting Blender Foundation). This three-dimensional squared sphere had an aspect ratio of 1:1:0.26 (XYZ) when contracted and 2:1:0.26 (XYZ) when relaxed. A diffuse “shader” with a foggy, patterned texture was then applied to the object to simulate extremely repetitive phase contrast image features. Temporal input parameters were then imposed: 100 ms for time-to-peak ($t_1$) and 350 ms for relaxation time ($t_2$). A beating frequency of 1 Hz and sampling rate of 100 frames per second were simulated. Modifications of cell shortening (25% and 50% of baseline) and time-to-peak (50% and 200% of baseline) were generated to validate the algorithm linearity.

Patch Clamp Recordings on hPSC-CMs
Electrophysiological recordings of isolated hPSC-CMs were performed using the perforated patch clamp technique as previously described. Briefly, cells were paced at 1 Hz through the glass capillary and were perfused with warm (37 °C) Tyrode’s solution containing (mM): 154 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 1.8 CaCl$_2$, 1 MgCl$_2$, 5 HEPES-NaOH, 5.5 D-Glucose; pH was adjusted to 7.35 with NaOH. Pipette solution contained (mM): 125 K-Gluconate, 20 KCl, 10 NaCl, 10 HEPES; pH was adjusted to 7.2 with KOH. Amphotericin-B was dissolved in DMSO right before each experiment and added to the pipette solution to reach a final concentration of 0.22 mM.

Movement of embedded beads
Fabrication of gelatin-patterned polyacrylamide gels containing fluorescent beads and contraction force measurements were performed as described previously, with an increased recording frame rate to 56 frames per second. For nifedipine experiments, cells were paced through an external stimulator to ensure a constant and triggered beating rate. Experiments with isoprenaline were performed in spontaneously beating aligned hPSC-CMs to investigated the pharmacological effect on beat rate. hPSC-CMs on patterned polyacrylamide gels were measured in Pluricyte® medium (Pluriomics b.v.). Drug treatment was performed with additive doses which were compared to baseline.

Monolayers of hPSC-CMs
Pluricytes® (Pluriomics b.v.) were cultured following the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were plated at a density of 25k-40k cells/coverslip on Matrigel-coated glass ø10 mm coverslips for 7 days before measurements of contraction. Monolayers were paced by an external field stimulator (bipolar square pulse of ~15V for 5 ms) and superfused with a warm (37 °C) Tyrode’s solution (described above). Drug treatment was performed with additive doses which were compared to baseline.

Cardiac Organoids
Cardiac organoids composed of hPSC-CMs and hPSC-derived endothelial cells were generated as previously described. Cardiac organoids were paced through an external field stimulator (bipolar square pulse of ~15V for 5 ms) and superfused with a warm (37 °C) Tyrode’s solution (described above). Drug treatment was performed with additive doses which were normalized to baseline.

Adult cardiomyocytes
CMs were isolated from New Zealand White male rabbits as previously described. Animal experiments complied with the ARRIVE guideline for animal studies. The procedures used complied with current regulations and were approved by the Research Committee of the University of Glasgow. In total, 3 animals were used for these experiments. Sarcomere shortening was estimated using an ImageJ macro that calculates, for each image in a stack, the spatial frequency of the pixel intensity
profile along a fixed, elongated rectangular region of interest placed inside the cell boundary and on a part of the cell showing clear banding. At each time point, mean sarcomere length corresponded to the location of the peak frequency value of the power spectrum obtained by taking the Fourier Transform of the intensity profile. Drug treatment was performed with single dose additions which were normalized to their pre-treatment baseline.

Membrane labelling
hPSC-CMs were plated on Matrigel-coated glass-bottom 24-well plates in either BPEL or Differentiation Medium C (Pluriomics b.v.) at a density between 20k-70k cells per well. Live cells were labelled with Cell Mask Deep Red (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and imaged with a Nikon Ti-U Eclipse with a CamRecord CR600x2 monochromatic camera (Optronis GmbH) at 333 Hz after amplification of the image with an intensifier tube (Photonis b.v.).

Engineered Heart Tissues
EHTs were generated and analyzed as previously described. In brief, hiPSC were differentiated into CMs with a growth factor based protocol in suspension culture using spinner flasks. Following dissociation with collagenase, fibrin-based EHTs were generated with 1x10^6 cells per 100 µL EHT construct. Contraction analysis based on a video-optical figure recognition algorithm (100 frames per second) was performed under electrical stimulation in serum-free Tyrode’s solution in a humidified gas (40% O_2, 7% CO_2) and temperature (37 °C) controlled incubation chamber. Drug treatment was performed with additive doses which were compared to baseline.

Zebrafish hearts
All experiments were conducted in accordance to the ARRIVE guidelines and approved by the local ethics committee of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen (KNAW). Wild-type, sibling and gnb5a/gnb5b double mutant embryos (5 days post fertilization) were embedded in 0.3% agarose (Ultra Pure agarose, Invitrogen) prepared in E3 medium containing 16 mg/ml tricaine and mounted on glass bottom dishes. Recordings were performed at 28 °C using an inverted light microscope with climate chamber. Recordings were performed at 150 frames per second for 10-30 seconds using a C9300-221 high-speed CCD camera (Hamamatsu Photonics K.K). Basal heart rates were recorded first. Subsequently 400 µM carbachol (CCh; Sigma-Aldrich) was added and incubated for 30 min before heart rates were measured a second time.

Echocardiograms
Anonymized ultrasounds of 5 adult patients who had undergone transthoracic echocardiography between 2012 and 2017 on clinical indication, were selected from the echocardiography database of the Leiden University Medical Center. Transthoracic echocardiography was performed using a GE Vivid7 or E9 (GE-Vingmed, Horten, Norway) ultrasound machine with standard views from the parasternal, subcostal, suprasternal and apical window. For MUSCLEMOTION analysis, 4 chamber views were used. The images were converted to avi-format, preferably containing multiple beats. For this analysis of clinically acquired data, the Institutional Review Board waived the need for patient written informed consent.
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Online Figures

Online Figure I
Linearity of the contraction tool.

a) Profiles of displacement (top) and velocity (bottom) when the block is moving along the x-axis;
b) Profiles of displacement (top) and velocity (bottom) when the block is moving along the y-axis;
c) Profiles of displacement (top) and velocity (bottom) when the block is moving along both axes. In all figures, the linearity between displacement and velocity is clearly preserved.
Online Figure II
Out-of-bounds limitation of the contraction tool.
Demonstration of the out-of-bounds limitation occurring when the differences of moving areas in $img_i$ an $img_{i-1}$ relative to $img_{ref}$ reached the maximum level. This is clearly visible in the clipping of the contraction plot. However, the velocity plot is still valid, since it calculates the difference between $img_i$ and $img_{i-1}$. 
Online Figure III
Automatic region of interest selection using maximum projection.
First the difference from \( img_i \) to \( img_{ref} \) is calculated. Next, the projection of the maximum intensity of the resulting image stack is generated. This projection is subjected to a threshold (standard set to the mean intensity of the projection image plus one standard deviation) and made binary. Next, this mask is used to analyze only the moving (contracting) areas.
Online Figure IV

Automatic reference frame detection.

a) Example of measured velocity profile.

b) Velocity\textsubscript{n} values are plotted against velocity\textsubscript{n+1} values. In order to select the point that has both low velocity and neighbour with similar values (two characteristics of a flat diastolic period, where the derivative is ideally zero) a number of points (in this example 50, blue) are selected that have the smallest distance to the origin.

c) Magnification of a region in b). For each of the selected points, a number of points (in this example 20, red) that are closest to the unity line (dotted red) is selected. Finally, of those points, one point (green) is selected as the smallest combination of values and distance from unity line.
Online Figure V

Examples of linearity checks for various cardiac models.
The measured speed by frame-to-frame analysis (black) and calculated speed from the frame to reference frame analysis (red) of a) isolated adult rabbit cardiomyocyte contraction, b) fluorescent bead displacement by hPSC-CM on gelatin patterned polyacrylamide, c) engineered heart tissue contraction, d) contraction of fluorescently labelled monolayer, e) hPSC-CM monolayer contraction, f) hPSC-CM organoid contraction, g) single hPSC-CM contraction during patch clamp, h) zebrafish heart in vivo.
Online Figure VI
Results from EHT and Adult gold standards

a) Representative average contraction profile obtained by pole deflection analysis of a single EHT during the recording period. 
b) Average dose-response curves (black traces) and single measurements for several parameters obtained in EHTs treated with isoprenaline (top, red) and nifedipine (bottom, green) by pole deflection analysis.
c) Representative contraction profile obtained by sarcomere fractional shortening analysis of an adult rabbit CM. 
d) Average dose-response curves (black traces) and single measurements for sarcomere fractional shortening obtained in adult CMs treated with isoprenaline (top, red) and nifedipine (bottom, green).

P-values baseline versus dose. Panel b i) 1 nM: 1; 3 nM: 1; 10 nM: 1; 30 nM: 1; 100 nM: 1. Panel b ii) 1 nM: 0.01517; 3 nM: 0.00016; 10 nM: 2.8·10⁻⁵; 30 nM: 8.8·10⁻⁷; 100 nM: 2.9·10⁻⁶. (N=6; 6; 6; 6; 6). Panel b iii) 3 nM: 1; 10 nM: 1; 30 nM: 1; 100 nM: 3.8·10⁻⁷. Panel b iv) 3 nM: 0.2095; 10 nM: 0.0002; 30 nM: 5.2·10⁻¹⁰; 100 nM: 1.2·10⁻¹⁴. (N=6; 6; 6; 6; 6). Panel d i) 1 nM: 1; 3 nM: 0.018. Panel d ii) 10 nM: 1; 30 nM: 1; 100 nM: 0.00013; 300 nM: 0.00676.
Online Figure VII
MUSCLEMOTION behaviour with different camera exposures.
The output parameters of MUSCLEMOTION are shown for the same monolayer sample recordings with different exposure times. The contraction amplitude output increases linearly with increased exposure time while all temporal output parameters are independent of the lighting conditions within a reasonable range (i.e. the image should not be dark and it should not be saturated completely).
Online Figure VIII
Negative controls of MUSCLEMOTION.
The response of MUSCLEMOTION to a) a video of a single cell hPSC-CM that does not contract; b) a video of a contracting single cell hPSC-CM with baseline (i.e. diastolic period without contraction) indicated; c) a movie with extremely low exposure (i.e. black frames) and d) extremely high exposure (i.e. white frames).
Online Movie Legends

Online Movie I: test bench of block displacements.
Online Movie II: single human stem cell derived cardiomyocyte during a patch clamp experiment.
Online Movie III: human stem cell derived cardiomyocyte monolayer culture.
Online Movie IV: human stem cell derived cardiac organoid.
Online Movie V: Engineered heart tissue from human stem cell derived cardiomyocytes.
Online Movie VI: isolated adult rabbit cardiomyocyte.
Online Movie VII: Fluorescent bead displacement by a single hPSC-CM aligned on a gelatin patterned polyacrylamide substrate.
Online Movie VIII: hPSC-CMs monolayer culture plated on a MultiElectrode Array.
Online Movie IX: Fluorescently labelled hPSC-CMs monolayer.
Online Movie X: Optical recording of a zebrafish heart (wildtype) in vivo.
Online Movie XI: Human echocardiogram from healthy individual.
Online Table I
Overview of hPSC lines used in this study.

| Cell culture configuration                  | hPSC cell line used                                      |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Single cells with patch clamp               | LUMC0059iCTRL Clone 01                                  |
| Single cells aligned                        | NIH CRM-5 (NCRM-5)                                      |
| Monolayers brightfield                      | WT2<sup>a</sup>, Pluricytes<sup>b</sup>                |
| Monolayers membrane labelling               | NIH CRM-1 (NCRM-1)                                      |
| Monolayers on MEA                          | WT2<sup>a</sup> and LQT1<sup>R594Qa</sup>             |
| Organoids                                   | NKX2-5<sup>c</sup>(eGFP/wh)                            |
| EHTs                                        | C25<sup>c</sup> and MYH7<sup>R453Ca</sup> isogenic triplet |

<sup>a</sup> Zhang et al., 2014.  <sup>b</sup> from Elliott et al., 2011.  <sup>c</sup> Mannhardt et al., 2016.
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1 Before you begin

MUSCLEMOTION is a powerful and versatile ImageJ macro to measure in vitro or in vivo muscle contraction or motion. Originally designed for quantifying 2D and 3D stem cell derived cardiomyocytes contraction, it proved applicable to many different cell types, systems and experimental setups. Developed in a collaboration between the Leiden University Medical Center and the University of Glasgow by a combination of biologists and engineers, it aims to provide a simple software tool to quantify contraction without the need for specific knowledge of software or expensive equipment. Furthermore, by making MUSCLEMOTION open source, we encourage reproducible and open analysis protocols and tools for open science.
2 Algorithm explanation

This chapter describes the working of MUSCLEMOTION in detail. It can be used to better understand and interpret the output data, select the correct parameters for analysis or as a guide to developers that would like to improve the algorithm, in general or for a specific purposes. Please refer to Sala L. et al., *bioRxiv* (2017), for further information on the algorithm principle and applicability.

Basic principle

The principle underlying the algorithm is the assessment of contraction using an intuitive approach to quantify absolute changes in pixel intensity between a reference frame and the frame of interest, which can be described as

\[ |img_i - img_{ref}| = img_{result} \]  

where \( img_i \) is the frame of interest, \( img_{ref} \) is the reference frame and \( img_{result} \) is the resulting image. For every pixel in the frame, each reference pixel is subtracted from the corresponding pixel of interest and the difference is presented in absolute numbers. Unchanged pixels result in low (black) values, while pixels that are highly changed result in high (white) values (see Figure 1). Next, the mean pixel intensity of the complete resulting image is measured. This a quantitative measure of how much the pixel has moved compared to the reference frame: more white pixels indicate more changing pixels and, thus, more movement. When a series of images is analysed relative to the same reference image, the output describes the accumulated change of displacement over time (see Figure 1). However, if a series of images is analysed with a reference frame that depends on the frame of interest (e.g. \( img_{ref} = img_{i-1} \)), this results in a measure of the relative difference between pixel intensities within a certain period of time, hence the contraction velocity (see Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Basic principle underlying MUSCLEMOTION.](image)

An important parameter to understand is the *speedWindow*, which defines the number of frames that are used in the contraction velocity (\( img_{ref} = img_{i-speedWindow} \) and
is set at 2 by default; other values can be used depending on the noise, the frame rate and the contraction duration of the sample that is being analysed. By increasing the speedWindow, the noise in the speed of contraction can be reduced but by making the speedWindow too large the output might become unreliable because of the high degree of filtering. Whether or not this is the case can be checked by inspection of the output file Comparison calculated (red) and measured (black) speed.jpg (see Figure 10).

3 Requirements

Hardware

MUSCLEMOTION is an image processing tool and heavily relies on RAM usage. However, it is designed to work with file sizes larger than the RAM and is therefore not likely to be limited by the amount of RAM, albeit computational time will be significantly affected. Similar considerations hold for the CPU. A high-speed recording camera, capable of at least 60-75 frames per second, is required for the movie acquisition. A smartphone camera with slow-motion feature (120/240 frames per second) is good as soon as it is steadily mounted on the microscope. Several types of adaptors can be found online.

Software

MUSCLEMOTION runs on any operating system on which ImageJ is operational. MUSCLEMOTION has been developed and tested on ImageJ 1.51n, but is expected to work with every ImageJ version. Please refer to the Installation section for more information on how to download and install ImageJ. While MUSCLEMOTION is running, other use of the computer should be avoided.

Input files

MUSCLEMOTION reads four types of files: uncompressed AVI, TIF stacks and PNG or TIF image sequences (ordered alphabetically). Other video formats can be converted with open source video conversion tool like FFmpeg. To ensure correct measurement of the contraction the input files should obey to the Nyquist-Shannon criterium: the recording speed (sample speed) should be at least twice as high as the fastest parameter in the signal. In addition, the sampling speed should not be extreme, as frame to frame differences could then become smaller than the noise. This can be corrected by choosing a higher speedWindow (frame-to-frame distance, see Algorithm explanation and advanced options).

4 Limitations

A small number of limitations should be taken in consideration before using MUSCLEMOTION. Since the algorithm is based on changes in pixel intensity, variations of the lighting conditions during measurements should be avoided. Temporal parameters will
remain constant, but the contraction amplitude is changed linearly with an increase in light, as shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Effect of lighting conditions on output parameters.

If the periodicity of the contraction of interest is very symmetric (i.e. the duration of the contraction is similar in length to the baseline) the correct reference frame might not be detectable by the algorithm. It is therefore advised to check the output carefully, especially at higher contraction frequencies. If a mistaken reference frame has been selected, use the built-in manual reference frame selection tool (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Example of contraction profile that might confound MUSCLEMOTION’s automatic reference frame detection.
5 Installation

Installation ImageJ

ImageJ or Fiji — which is ImageJ with many useful plugins included — (recommended) can be downloaded from ImageJ NIH website or Fiji website, respectively. Download and installation instructions can be found on the aforementioned websites.

Installing MUSCLEMOTION macro

The macro can be installed from ImageJ/Fiji by using the Plugins>Macros>Install command and selecting the MUSCLEMOTION.ijm file. An icon with ”MM” will now appear on the ImageJ/Fiji toolbar (see Figure 4).

![Figure 4: ImageJ/Fiji toolbar button for MUSCLEMOTION.](image)

Increase the memory for ImageJ/Fiji

Since most image calculations require quite a bit of RAM, it is advised to increase the memory available for ImageJ/Fiji. To do so, select Edit>Options>Memory & Threads... and increase the maximum memory. Please take into account the maximal available RAM on the computer.

![Figure 5: Memory increase.](image)

Making a shortcut

To make sure ImageJ/Fiji shows the MUSCLEMOTION button after startup without the need to install it every time, copy MUSCLEMOTION.ijm to [imageJ/Fiji installation folder]/macros and rename it to ”StartupMacros.ijm” (ImageJ) or ”Startup-Macros.fiji.ijm” (Fiji). The button should now appear each time ImageJ/Fiji is started. To run the macro simply click the MM button on the ImageJ/Fiji toolbar.
6 Running MUSCLEMOTION

This simple demo file allows the user to check whether everything is working properly and get to know the basic options of the algorithm. It is advised to run the demo before loading other recordings.

The demo files can be found in the folder demo. A demo file called demo_stack.tif is included and a subfolder called demo_results which contains the correct output files which should be compared after running MUSCLEMOTION with the default settings.

Wizard

Once MUSCLEMOTION has been started, a wizard window will guide the user through the customisation of the analysis settings. Default settings have been previously optimised for stem cell derived cardiomyocytes. If selected, advanced options will be shown in subsequent windows and will allow to customise parameters for multiple purposes.

A. Batch Analyses  MUSCLEMOTION can perform analyses in batch throughout multiple directories (max. 2 directories deeper than the starting directory). If "Yes" is selected, batch analyses will be performed on all the files contained in the chosen directory (including subdirectories).

B. TIFF Images Sequence  Some cameras only allows the recording of multiple individual images instead of a combined movie/stack. Select "Yes" if the recording spans over multiple individual image files.

C. Gaussian Blur  Some biological samples, as adult cardiomyocytes, have highly repetitive structures that can profoundly influence the linearity of MUSCLEMOTION. The application of a Gaussian blur cancels out the effects of repetitive patterns on the output precision. Select "Yes" if you want to apply a Gaussian blur ($\sigma = 10$) to the movie. The effects of the added Gaussian blur will be visible in the image stack during the analyses.
D. Frame Rate  Here you have to insert the frame rate at which the recordings have been taken. This is important since the time scale of the output relies on this input parameter. Please note that batch analyses must be performed on movies recorded with identical frame rates.

| D. What is the frame rate of your recording(s)? |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| 100 frames/second                             |

E. Speed Window  The Speed Window defines the frameshift in calculating the measure of contraction speed ("running difference"). The higher the value, the smoother the curve, but it will also introduce a shift in time and amplitude. It is very useful with high frame rate recordings. We recommend a Speed Window of 2-5 frames every 100 fps to have a powerful but not intrusive filter in your outputs.

| E. What speedWindow would you like to use? |
|-------------------------------------------|
| 2 frames                                  |

F. Noise Reduction  Noise reduction is done by selecting the areas contributing most to the contraction transient. By default, this setting is active from the beginning of the recording to the end of the recording. If you prefer to avoid noise reduction, select "No". If you prefer to customise the interval (in frames) over which the noise reduction will take place, seek for the advanced options.

| F. Do you want to decrease noise in your output? |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| Yes, but keep it simple  Yes & show me advanced options |
| No                                |

Please refer to the UserManual for more information. Computational time will increase.

G. Automatic Reference Frame Detection  MUSCLEMOTION can automatically detect the reference frame, and this option is active by default. If you do not want an
automatic reference frame selection, press "No" to manually pick the reference frame in the movie with the built-in slider. The advanced options allow a customisation of the reference frame selection interval and thresholds. Specifically, the number of low points for automatic reference frame detection refers to the number of baseline values (frames) among which a certain number of point close to the unity line will be identified (respectively the blue and red dots in Figure S4 in the Paper).

Figure 6: Advanced options of the Reference Frame.

H. Transient Analysis MUSCLEMOTION allows the automatic quantification of contraction profiles. Advanced options include the possibility to modify both the temporal and amplitude thresholds for the automatic identification of contractile peaks. Further options allows to select several contraction percentages as output as well as the fine-tuning of baseline and noise values. If the beating frequency of the sample is high (diastolic phase || systolic phase), please select "Yes" in the last option; this will automatically select the minimum baseline value before each peak.

Proceed with the analysis by FIRST selecting the directory in which the
7 Outputs

Once the analysis process has started, MUSCLEMOTION creates a directory in the selected folder and fills it with all the output files. A Log window allows to track all the steps in the analysis process and will be saved automatically at the end of the analysis.

![Figure 8](image)

(a) Original movie, (b) reference frame, (c) binary contracting areas.

Figure 8: Original movie, reference frame and binary contracting areas.
Output Files

For each movie analysed, MUSCLEMOTION will generate 7 files, described in details below.

- Comparison calculated (red) and measured (black) speed.jpg
- Contraction.jpg
- Contraction.txt
- Log_file.txt
- Overview-results.txt
- Speed of contraction.jpg
- Speed-of-contraction.txt

Comparison calculated (red) and measured (black) speed.jpg: This image overlays the calculated speed (red) and the measured speed (black) to have a rough linearity check on the output. A good overlap between the two traces indicates that MUSCLEMOTION worked within boundaries and, thus, the reliability of the results is granted. In case these two traces were misaligned, please update the settings by adding Gaussian blur or verify that the minimum requirements for frame rate and camera settings are fulfilled.

Contraction.jpg: This graph contains a low-resolution representation of the contraction profile. Vertical red lines indicate the temporal position of the identified contraction peak, while horizontal/oblique lines graphically connect the percentages of the transient previously chosen in the wizard procedure. Blue circles in diastole indicate the points identified and used as baseline to quantify the contraction parameters. In case the high-frequency transient detection option has been previously activated in the wizard, a blue short segment marks the selected baseline value.
**Contraction.txt:** This file contains the time course profile of the contraction. This values can be imported in other software for the generation of high-resolution graphs.

**Log file.txt:** This is the log file that contains a summary of the valuable information useful to identify and reproduce the analysis. These include time stamps and duration, a printout of the values of the used settings, the position in frames of the reference frame and of the peaks.

**Overview-results.txt:** This file is structured as a table with headers and contains the quantification of the parameters extracted from contraction profiles.

**Speed of contraction.jpg:** This graph contains a low-resolution representation of the speed of contraction profile.
**Speed-of-contraction.txt:** This file contains the time course profile of the speed of contraction. These values can be imported in other software for the generation of high-resolution graphs.

### 8 Troubleshooting

**Incorrect reference frame**  Sometimes MUSCLEMOTION fails to automatically detect the reference frame. If this happens, please adjust the parameters for the automatic selection in the advanced mode or manually select the reference frame.

### 9 How to cite

If you use MUSCLEMOTION in your publication, please cite it as: "Sala L. & van Meer B.J. et al., MUSCLEMOTION: A Versatile Open Software Tool to Quantify Cardiomyocyte and Cardiac Muscle Contraction In Vitro and In Vivo, *Circulation Research*, 2017, doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.312067".

### 10 Contact

If you have a specific bug report for MUSCLEMOTION or would like to actively participate in developing please email the authors:

  Berend J van Meer, MSc
  Luca Sala, PhD