Simultaneous T2 and T2* mapping of multiple sclerosis lesions with radial RARE-EPI
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Purpose: The characteristic MRI features of multiple sclerosis (MS) lesions make it conceptually appealing to pursue parametric mapping techniques that support simultaneous generation of quantitative maps of 2 or more MR contrast mechanisms. We present a modular rapid acquisition with relaxation enhancement (RARE)-EPI hybrid that facilitates simultaneous T2 and T2* mapping (2in1-RARE-EPI).

Methods: In 2in1-RARE-EPI the first echoes in the echo train are acquired with a RARE module, later echoes are acquired with an EPI module. To define the fraction of echoes covered by the RARE and EPI module, an error analysis of T2 and T2* was conducted with Monte Carlo simulations. Radial k-space (under)sampling was implemented for acceleration (R = 2). The feasibility of 2in1-RARE-EPI for simultaneous T2 and T2* mapping was examined in a phantom study mimicking T2 and T2* relaxation times of the brain. For validation, 2in1-RARE-EPI was benchmarked versus multi spin-echo (MSE) and multi gradient-echo (MGRE) techniques. The clinical applicability of 2in1-RARE-EPI was demonstrated in healthy subjects and MS patients.

Results: There was a good agreement between T2/T2* values derived from 2in1-RARE-EPI and T2/T2* reference values obtained from MSE and MGRE in both phantoms and healthy subjects. In patients, MS lesions in T2 and T2* maps deduced from 2in1-RARE-EPI could be just as clearly delineated as in reference maps calculated from MSE/MGRE.

Conclusion: This work demonstrates the feasibility of radially (under)sampled 2in1-RARE-EPI for simultaneous T2 and T2* mapping in MS patients.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is the most common chronic inflammatory demyelinating disease of the central nervous system.\(^1\,^2\) The pathological hallmark of MS is the accumulation of demyelinating lesions in the grey and white matter of the brain.\(^3\,^4\) MRI is an indispensable tool for the diagnosis and monitoring of MS. It is used for tracking MS disease activity, for prognostic evaluation, and for monitoring the efficacy and safety of disease-modifying treatments.\(^5\,^6\) MRI findings have led to a better understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of the disease.\(^12\,^13\) Distinct lesion morphology and anatomic distribution obtained from modern MRI techniques allows differentiation between MS and other inflammatory diseases.\(^13\,^16\) MRI protocols tailored for MS diagnosis and disease progression monitoring incorporate multiple MRI contrasts including T₁, DWI, T₂, FLAIR, and T₂*.\(^17\) Harmonization initiatives have provided consensus reports for MRI in MS, moving toward standardization and dissemination of protocols that facilitate clinical translation and comparison of data across sites.\(^18\,^22\)

T₂*-weighted imaging is well established and frequently used for identification of white matter (WM) lesions, which have a hyperintense appearance.\(^5\,^23\) Although being an important diagnostic criterion for MS,\(^24\) the WM lesion load correlates only weakly with clinical disability because of its lack of specificity for lesion severity and the underlying pathophysiology.\(^5\,^23\,^25\) This observation has triggered explorations into parametric mapping of the transverse relaxation time T₂, T₂ prolongation was observed for normal appearing white matter,\(^26\,^29\) and could also be indicative of early MS.\(^30\) T₂ prolongations in diffusely abnormal white matter,\(^26\) as well as deep gray matter,\(^31\) were reported as an enhanced correlate for clinical disability, which is superior to the correlation with the focal WM lesion load. For T₂ mapping, multi spin-echo (MSE) techniques are most widely used. MSE techniques require long TRs to promote SNR and to mitigate T₁ relaxation effects. This results in long scan times, increases the propensity to bulk motion, and might induce slice misregistration in sequential protocols, which constrains the clinical applicability of T₂ mapping. To overcome the scan time requirements, T₂ mapping strategies that reduce TR\(^32\,^34\) or take advantage of undersampling or acceleration strategies\(^35\,^37\) have been implemented.

T₂*-weighted imaging has become increasingly important in MS. The central vein sign\(^5\,^13\,^16\,^38\) and rim-like paramagnetic phase changes\(^39\) in T₂*-weighted MRI are considered to be disease-specific imaging markers for MS lesions. T₂* is sensitive to iron deposition within lesion formations,\(^40\,^41\) which may relate to disease duration, activity, and severity.\(^42\,^44\) Furthermore, T₂ was reported to reflect brain tissue changes in patients with minor deficits and early MS, and therefore, may become a tool to assess the development of MS already at an early stage.\(^30\,^45\) T₂ mapping can differentiate new enhancing from non-enhancing MS lesions without gadolinium contrast agents, which helps avoid the risks of repeated administration of these agents.\(^46\,^48\) Multi gradient-recalled echo (MGRE)-based techniques are commonly used for T₂* mapping. These techniques use low flip angles for RF excitation that permit short TRs and facilitate clinically acceptable scan times.

Given the characteristic MRI features of MS lesions, it is conceptually appealing to pursue techniques that support simultaneous generation of quantitative maps of multiple MR contrasts.\(^32\,^49\,^60\) Dual contrast techniques offer substantial scan time reduction, eliminate the risk for slice misregistration, improve the immunity to bulk motion, and boost clinical use. The current study presents a modular rapid acquisition with relaxation enhancement (RARE)-EPI hybrid, which is a combined acquisition technique variant.\(^51\) It facilitates simultaneous T₂ and T₂* mapping in a single radially (under) sampled scan (2in1-RARE-EPI). To evaluate and define the fraction of echoes covered by the RARE module and the EPI module, an error analysis of T₂ and T₂* was conducted with Monte Carlo simulations. The feasibility of 2in1-RARE-EPI for simultaneous T₂ and T₂* mapping was examined in phantoms mimicking the T₂ and T₂* relaxation times of brain tissue. For validation of T₂ and T₂* mapping, 2in1-RARE-EPI was benchmarked against conventional MSE and MGRE techniques. As a precursor to broader clinical studies, the clinical applicability of 2in1-RARE-EPI was demonstrated in an in vivo feasibility study with healthy subjects and MS patients.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | RARE-EPI hybrid

The proposed 2in1-RARE-EPI is a combined acquisition technique variant based on a RARE-EPI hybrid.\(^62\,^63\) In RARE-EPI, the first echoes in the echo train are acquired with a RARE module. Later echoes are acquired with an EPI module. The combined acquisition technique factor \(\lambda = N_{\text{RARE}} \times N_{\text{RARE}} + N_{\text{EPI}}^{-1}\) indicates the fraction of RARE echoes in the echo train, where \(N_{\text{RARE}}\) and \(N_{\text{EPI}}\) denote the number of echoes acquired in the RARE and EPI modules, respectively. We implemented a radial k-space data sampling
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FIGURE 1  (A) Pulse sequence diagram of the radially sampled 2in1-RARE-EPI hybrid. The acquisition of the MR signal with the RARE module (highlighted in light blue) is followed by an acquisition with the EPI module (highlighted in light orange). The first echo in the RARE module is discarded from the acquisition. The EPI module consists of a train of gradient-recalled echoes with bi-polar acquisition, where small blip gradients (marked in red) promote the transition from 1 spoke to the next. (B) Schematic k-space trajectory depicting the illustrative case that 3 RARE and 4 EPI echoes ($\lambda \approx 0.43$) are acquired in the respective modules using 8 shots. The view angles of all RARE echoes (solid lines) and all EPI echoes (dashed lines) are equally distributed. To mitigate motion artefacts in the TE images, the acquisition of k-space segments is equally distributed over the full $\pi$ radians of k-space within cycles of 4 shots, as denoted by the grey numbers. Within each segment the RARE echoes and EPI echoes are acquired in sequential order, but in reversed directions, as denoted for example, for the second shot by the blue and orange numbers, respectively. The phantom (C) consisted of a 3D printed casing with integrated tube holders. (D) Schematic cross section of the phantom showing the tubes labelled with corresponding iron concentrations used in the phantom studies (iron concentration outside the tubes = 3.51 $\mu$g Fe/mL). The ROIs (size: 9 $\times$ 9 pixels) used for further analysis of the phantom study are depicted in (E). Twelve ROIs are located within the tubes (blue squares), although 1 ROI is located within the body of the phantom (red square). The ROIs (size: 7 $\times$ 7 pixels) used for the scatter and BA plot analysis of the in vivo study involving healthy subjects were placed within the left and right globus pallidus and thalamus (F) and frontal white matter (G). (H) Exemplary signal decay derived from a central voxel of an ROI located in the left globus pallidus. Data were obtained with MSE and 2in1-RARE-EPI. The signal decay is normalized to 1 with respect to the second TE.
scheme to facilitate acceleration via k-space undersampling. The pulse sequence diagram and k-space trajectory are depicted in Figure 1A,B. To allow flexible view ordering of the RARE echoes, magnetization is completely rephased before the refocusing RF pulses. In the RARE module, the first spin echo is discarded from the data acquisition. The RARE module is followed by an EPI module, consisting of a train of gradient-recalled echoes with small blip gradients promoting the transition from 1 spoke to the next. The view angles of all RARE and all EPI spokes are equally distributed over the whole π-radian in k-space. To reduce the propensity of the individual TE images to bulk motion, the acquisition of k-space segments is equally distributed over the whole π radians of k-space in cycles of 4 shots. This approach mitigates the grouping of motion-induced errors in k-space. Within k-space segments, the spokes were acquired sequentially, where the EPI spokes were collected in reversed order with respect to the RARE spokes. For T2 and T2* mapping, images reconstructed from the echoes acquired at the specific TEs were used.

2.2 | Monte Carlo simulations

Because the MR signal undergoes T2-decay in the RARE echo train, the SNR left for T2* mapping using the EPI echo train is governed by the duration of the RARE module and the T2 of the tissues under investigation. Therefore, it is reasonable to keep the duration of the RARE module short. Conversely, the sampling of the T2 decay over a broader time range is desirable to permit accurate estimation of long T2 values. Similar considerations apply for the T2* decay within the EPI echo train. Based on these considerations, Monte Carlo simulations were performed to determine the number of echoes, N_{RARE} and N_{EPI}, which minimize the error in the T2 and T2* estimation. Synthetic data were generated using a mono-exponential decay as a simple model of the MR signal:

\[
s_i = \begin{cases} 
M_0 \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{t_i}{T_2} \right), & 1 < i \leq N_{\text{RARE}} \\
 s \cdot N_{\text{RARE}} \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{t_i - N_{\text{RARE}} \cdot \frac{\text{ESP}_{\text{RARE}}}{T_2} - \frac{\text{ESP}_{\text{EPI}}}{T_2^*}}{T_2} \right), & N_{\text{RARE}} < i \leq \text{ETL} 
\end{cases}
\]  

(1)

Here, M_0 denotes the initial transverse magnetization, which was set to 1. The echo spacings used in the RARE and EPI module are denoted as ESP_{RARE} and ESP_{EPI}, whereas N_{RARE} and N_{EPI} denote the number of RARE and EPI echoes within the modules. The variable i represents the echo count of the echo train.

For white and gray matter, the literature reports the following T2 and T2* values obtained at 3.0 T: white matter: T2 = 70-90 ms\(^{30,65}\) and T2* = 50-60 ms\(^{30,65}\); gray matter: T2 = 100-110 ms\(^{66,67}\) and T2* = 50-70 ms.\(^{67,68}\) To cover this range, our simulations used T2 = 30-70 ms (10 ms increments) and T2* = 70-110 ms (20 ms increments).

In a loop of 30,000 repetitions, Rician noise was added to the synthetic data samples according to:

\[
s_i = \sqrt{s_i + \frac{s_i}{SNR} \cdot \epsilon}, \quad \epsilon \sim N(0, 1),
\]  

(2)

resulting in SNRs of 20 to 70. T2 and T2* were estimated for each repetition using a linear least-square-fit of ln(s_i(i)). The error in T2 and T2* was determined by the mean absolute difference between the estimated and actual T2 and T2* values.

2.3 | MR study

All images were acquired at 3.0 T (Siemens Magnetom SkyraFit, Erlangen, Germany) using a 32-channel head RF coil (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) for signal reception and the body RF coil for transmission. Before each scan session, volume-selective B0 shimming of the brain was applied. All imaging data were corrected for gradient delays\(^{69}\) using additional calibration data, which were acquired before each 2in1-RARE-EPI scan. In accordance with the method proposed by Block et al,\(^{69}\) calibration scans were performed for the phase encoding, and the read-out direction and an interpolation of the respective gradient delays was used for the correction of intermediate view angels. To make the correction more robust, the k-space shift was averaged over 4 calibration scans. In total, this resulted in 16 shots for the whole calibration scan (TA = 32 s).

Images were reconstructed using gridding with linear density compensation\(^{70-73}\) and a magnitude sum-of-squares channel combination. MSE and MGRE echo techniques (Siemens) were used as reference acquisition methods for T2 and T2* mapping, respectively. The imaging parameters used for the phantom and in vivo studies are summarized in Table 1.

2.4 | T2 and T2* mapping

For T2 and T2* mapping images were reconstructed from k-space data acquired at the same TE (TE images). A linear least-square-fit of the logarithmic signal magnitude of the TE images was used to calculate the T2 and T2* maps. The last TE image of the RARE module was included as the first TE image for T2* mapping. To determine the goodness-of-fit of the linear regression, R\(^2\) maps (coefficient of determination) were calculated according to Equation (3), where y_i and f_i denote the logarithmic signal intensity and the corresponding fitted value at echo time, i, respectively.

\[
R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}.
\]  

(3)
Because of stimulated echoes, there is a signal change between the first and second echo, as can be seen in the signal decay depicted in Figure 1H. To account for this, we used a dummy echo in the 2in1-RARE-EPI. This might still lead to misestimations of $T_2$, especially for short $T_2$ values. For the compensation of stimulated echoes, a fitting method based on extended phase graphs can be used, which incorporates the first echo of the $T_2$ decay.\textsuperscript{74}

### 2.5 Phantom study

To examine the feasibility of simultaneous $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ mapping with 2in1-RARE-EPI, a phantom study was performed. An in-house constructed phantom (Figure 1C-G) was used to mimic the $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ of brain tissue. The phantom consisted of an array of 12 plastic tubes (volume = 15 mL, diameter = 15 mm) filled with water doped with varying concentrations of the iron oxide nanoparticle-based contrast agent Resovist (Schering, Berlin, Germany), which modifies $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ of the solvent.\textsuperscript{75-78} The iron concentrations of the different solutions ranged between 2.3 to 12.3 µg Fe/mL (Figure 1F). Based on the relaxivity $r_2^* = 5.2 \pm 0.1$ mL µg$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ of the contrast agent reported in a previous study\textsuperscript{78} and an $R_{2,water} = 1.35$ s$^{-1}$, calculated from data provided in Dahnke and Schaeffer\textsuperscript{78}, this yields $T_2^*$ values in the range of 15 ms to 83 ms. According to the relaxivity $r_2 = 2.56 \pm 0.2$ mL µg$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ of the contrast agent\textsuperscript{76} and an $R_{2,water} = 0.32 \pm 0.06$ s$^{-1}$,\textsuperscript{76} this results in $T_2$ values ranging between 31 ms and 161 ms.

### 2.6 Ethics statement

For the in vivo feasibility study, approval by the local ethical committee (Charité-Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany, EA1/191/19) was obtained before the study. Informed written consent was obtained from each volunteer before the study.

### 2.7 In vivo study

To demonstrate the feasibility of simultaneous $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ mapping with 2in1-RARE-EPI, in vivo examinations were performed on healthy volunteers ($n = 3$, sex: female [1]/male [2], age: 31-46 years, body mass index [BMI]: 23.6-25.9 kg/m$^2$) and MS patients ($n = 4$, sex: female [3]/male [1], age: 30-39 years, BMI: 22.3-34.9 kg/m$^2$). For the healthy volunteers, 3 brain slices covering the lateral ventricles were acquired. This brain area was chosen because it contains prominent anatomic features and because MS lesions frequently occur in the periventricular white matter.
In the patient cohort, MS-specific lesions were selected to be imaged with the 2in1-RARE-EPI, based on a transversal 2D T2-weighted RARE scan. The lesions were identified from 2D T2-weighted RARE images. The anatomic positions of the MS lesions are illustrated on sagittal and transversal views of images from a T2-weighted 3D SPACE pulse sequence (Siemens) acquisition (TR = 2050 ms, TE = 712 ms, 1 mm isotropic resolution). For multi-slice MR acquisitions, an interleaved acquisition scheme was used for 2in1-RARE-EPI and MSE, and a sequential slice acquisition was used for MGRE.

2.8 | Scatter and Bland-Altman plot analysis

Agreement between $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ maps obtained with the 2in1-RARE-EPI method and the reference methods was evaluated using scatter plot and Bland-Altman (BA) plot analysis on values from selected regions of interest (ROIs). For the phantom study, 6 ROIs (size: $9 \times 9$ pixels) with varying iron concentration were placed within different tubes and 1 ROI within the main body of the phantom as outlined in Figure 1E by blue and red squares, respectively. For the analysis of the data obtained from healthy subjects, 6 ROIs (size: $7 \times 7$ pixels) were placed bilaterally in 3 brain regions: the globus pallidus, thalamus, and frontal (perivascular) white matter of the brain (Figure 1F,G). For the patient cohort, 8 ROIs (size: $7 \times 7$ pixels) covering selected MS lesions in 4 patients were used for the analyses. Before the scatter and BA plot analysis, $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ maps obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI and the reference methods were co-registered to correct for translational displacement because of bulk head motion. For this purpose, difference maps between the $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ reference maps and the $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ maps obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI were calculated for 8 translational shifts of the reference maps by 1 pixel relative to the maps obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI including diagonal shifts. The shift that provided clearly reduced values in the difference maps at sharp anatomic boundaries, determined by visual inspection, was applied to the reference maps before the scatter and BA analysis. Multiple linear regression was used to assess the agreement between the methods while also accounting for the effects of ROI and subject, and their interaction. In some cases, the differences of $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ values among the respective methods did not follow a Gaussian distribution, and therefore the median of the $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ differences, $M(\Delta T_2)$ and $M(\Delta T_2^\ast)$ and the interquartile range ($iQR$) were used to calculate the limits-of-agreement (LOAs) shown on the BA plots according to Equation (4)

\[
LOAs = M(\Delta T_2^{\ast\ast}) \pm 1.45 \cdot iQR. \quad (4)
\]

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Monte Carlo simulations

Monte Carlo simulations were performed to determine the number of echoes, $N_{RARE}$ and $N_{EPI}$, for which the errors in the $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ estimation are minimized. The map in Figure 2A shows the maximum error in $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ combinations and SNRs used in the simulations as a function of the number of RARE and EPI echoes. The plot shows that the maximum absolute error is substantially decreased for $N_{RARE} = 13-15$ and for $N_{EPI} = 18-20$. Based on this finding, an echo train length of 32 echoes was used for 2in1-RARE-EPI imaging of phantoms and human subjects comprising 14 RARE echoes followed by 18 EPI echoes. For this configuration of RARE and EPI echoes the plot of the absolute error in $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ over SNR is depicted in Figure 2B. These data show that the estimated absolute error in $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ is lower than 2 ms for SNRs larger than 20. In comparison to the maximum absolute error, the maximum relative error in $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ is minimized for a slightly lower number of RARE and EPI echoes (Figure 2C,D). For the imaging study, $N_{RARE} = 14$ and $N_{EPI} = 18$ was used because we considered the minimization of the absolute error to be of higher priority in cases where small variations of the relaxation time constants are relevant. The SNR estimates for the 2in1-RARE-EPI in the phantom study yielded an SNR within the 13 ROIs ranging from 160 to 260. The SNR estimates for the 2in1-RARE-EPI in a healthy subject yielded an SNR between 90 and 120 for the ROIs within the thalamus and globus pallidus and between 180 and 210 for ROIs within the frontal white matter. This is well beyond the SNR range used in the simulations. This shows that the SNR drop in the EPI module because of the $T_2$ decay is not consequential. In cases of very short $T_2$ values, the length of the RARE and EPI module can be adapted. Further simulations including a $T_2$ value of 50 ms yielded relative errors of $\sim 5\%$ for a $T_2^\ast$ of 20 ms. In this case, the ideal number of echoes changes to $N_{RARE} = 8$ and $N_{EPI} = 13$.

3.2 | Phantom study

$T_2$, $T_2^\ast$, and $R^2$ maps obtained from the phantom study are depicted in Figure 3 together with selected TE images. The depicted TE images highlight that the image quality is not impaired by the radial undersampling. The parametric maps demonstrate the decrease in $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ with increasing iron concentration. The tubes containing identical iron concentration (Figure 1G) revealed similar $T_2$ and $T_2^\ast$ values. The $T_2$ map obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI is in agreement with the
The corresponding $R^2$ maps show values close to 1, indicating a low fraction of unexplained variance in the logarithmic signal intensity, based on the $T_2$ values obtained with linear regression. The $T^*_2$ maps obtained with the proposed 2in1-RARE-EPI approach accord well with those deduced from the MGRE reference (Figure 3, bottom panel). The corresponding $R^2$ maps exhibit lower $R^2$ values compared to those obtained for the $T_2$ mapping.

Quantitative comparisons of the $T_2$ and $T^*_2$ values derived from 2in1-RARE-EPI acquisitions versus those obtained with reference methods (MSE and MGRE), and 2in1-RARE-EPI with $N_{\text{RARE}} = 1$ versus MGRE are shown in Figure 4. For this comparison, 13 ROIs (size: $9 \times 9$ pixels) were placed in the phantom as shown in Figure 1G. Figure 4A shows the mean of the $R_2 = 1/T_2$ and $R^*_2 = 1/T^*_2$ values within the ROIs as a function of the iron concentrations. As a comparison $R_2$ and $R^*_2$ values, calculated from relaxivities provided by previous studies,78 are depicted as black lines. For $R_2$, the measured values deviate from the calculated values. The mean $R_2$ values obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI match those obtained with MSE, especially for the lower iron concentrations. For both methods, the relaxivity agrees well with the values provided in the literature.76 For $R^*_2$, the measured values are in agreement with the calculated reference $R^*_2$ values.

The results of the scatter and BA plot analysis are shown in Figure 4B.C. For $T_2$, the multiple regression analysis shows a strong relationship between 2in1-RARE-EPI and MSE (accounting for the different iron concentrations.
within the ROIs): $F[13,553] = 9427$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.995$, $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$. The BA plot analysis yielded a median difference of $M(\Delta T_2) = 1.6$ ms between the $T_2$ values, and LOAs of 4.6 and $-1.4$ ms. The scatter plot used for benchmarking $T_2^*$ obtained from 2in1-RARE-EPI against MGRE also shows a good agreement between the methods, $F[13,553] = 2497$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.983$, $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$, which is confirmed by the BA plot with $M(\Delta T_2^*) = -4.8$ ms and LOAs of 1.5 ms and $-11.0$ ms. The comparison between the 2in1-RARE-EPI with $N_{RARE} = 1$ and MGRE yielded similar results, $F[13,553] = 2815$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.985$, $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$, although the BA plot showed a broader range of differences, with $M(\Delta T_2^*) = 3.7$ ms, and LOAs of 15.8 ms and $-8.5$. Overall, these results demonstrate the good correspondence between the $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ relaxation times obtained simultaneously with 2in1-RARE-EPI and those derived from sequential MSE and MGRE acquisitions.

### 3.3 In vivo study

Next, the applicability of 2in1-RARE-EPI was demonstrated in an in vivo feasibility study involving healthy subjects. Figure 5 shows $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps with the corresponding $R^2$ maps, and a selection of individual TE images of the brain of an exemplary healthy subject. The depicted TE images highlight that the image quality is not impaired by the radial undersampling.
agreed with the maps from MSE. The corresponding $R^2$ maps obtained for 2in1-RARE-EPI and MSE exhibit high values, indicating a low fraction of unexplained variance in the logarithmic signal intensity for both methods. The $T_2^*$ map obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI accords with the $T_2^*$ map deduced from MGRE. For both approaches, the $R^2$ values are lower than the corresponding $R^2$ values found for $T_2$ estimations. Note that the TE images acquired with the EPI module of 2in1-RARE-EPI feature a mixed $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ weighting. The TE images obtained with the 2in1-RARE-EPI with $N_{\text{RARE}} = 1$ show a similar $T_2^*$ weighting as the TE images obtained with the MGRE. Consistent with the phantom study, the $R^2$ values of the $T_2^*$ map of the 2in1-RARE-EPI ($N_{\text{RARE}} = 1$) are slightly higher compared to the corresponding values for the 2in1-RARE-EPI ($N_{\text{RARE}} = 14$).

Quantitative comparisons of $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ values between 2in1-RARE-EPI and the reference methods are shown in Figure 6 including data from all 3 healthy subjects. $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ values were calculated in 6 ROIs (size: $7 \times 7$ pixels), which were placed within regions where MS lesions typically
Quantitative comparisons of the $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ values obtained from the phantom studies presented in Figure 3. (A) Mean relaxation rates $R_2 = 1/T_2$ and $R_2^* = 1/T_2^*$ (taken from 13 ROIs, size: 9 × 9 pixel, placed within the phantom, see Figure 1), as a function of the iron concentration of the solutions corresponding to the ROIs. Error bars indicate the SD of the values within the ROIs. For comparison the calculated $R_2$ and $R_2^*$ values, based on the relaxivities of the contrast agent and the intercepts reported by previous studies ($r_2 = 2.6 ± 0.2$ mL/g−1 s−1, $R_2$water = $0.32 ± 0.06$ s−1, and $r_2^* = 5.2 ± 0.1$ mL/g−1 s−1, $R_2^*$water = $0.09$ s−1)96,78 are depicted as solid black lines. The margin of error is indicated by a grey shade. $R_2^*$water was calculated from data provided in Dahmke and Schaeffer.78 $R_2$ and $r_2$ were measured at 37°C,78 whereas our phantom study was conducted at room temperature. (B) Scatter plots comparing $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ values derived from 2in1-RARE-EPI acquisitions versus those obtained with reference methods (MSE and MGRE) and 2in1-RARE-EPI with $N_{RARE} = 1$ versus MGRE. Color of the data points is scaled with iron concentration, indicated at the bottom of the figure. Multiple linear regression shows a strong relationship between the values obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI and the reference methods, while accounting for the effect of different iron concentration: 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MSE: $F[13,553] = 9427$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.6942$, $P = 2.2 × 10^{-16}$; 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MGRE: $F[13,553] = 2497$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.983$, $P < 2.2 × 10^{-16}$; 2in1-RARE-EPI with $N_{RARE} = 1$ versus MGRE: $F[13,553] = 2815$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.4331$, $P < 2.12 × 10^{-107}$. These results were supported by the BA plots corresponding to the scatter plots shown in (B). The following values for the median of the $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ differences (solid black line) and the upper and lower limits-of-agreement ($LOAs = M(ΔT_2^*) ± 1.45 × iQR$, dashed black line) were obtained from the BA plot analysis: 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MSE: $M(ΔT_2) = 1.6$ ms, $LOAs = 4.6$ ms and $−1.4$ ms; 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MGRE: $M(ΔT_2^*) = −4.8$ ms, $LOAs = 1.5$ ms and $−11.0$ ms and 2in1-RARE-EPI with $N_{RARE} = 1$ versus MGRE: $M(ΔT_2^*) = 3.7$ ms, $LOAs = 15.8$ ms and $−8.5$ ms.

emerge: globus pallidus, thalamus, and frontal (perivascular) white matter (Figure 1H). Multiple regression analysis of the $T_2$ values shows a strong relationship between the proposed 2in1-RARE-EPI and the reference method MSE, accounting for effects of the different anatomic regions and different subjects, and their interactions: $F[17,864] = 118.6$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.6942$, $P = 3.75 × 10^{-212}$. The regression analysis for the $T_2^*$ comparison between 2in1-RARE-EPI and MGRE revealed a strong relationship: $F[17,864] = 40.59$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.4648$, $P = 2.50 × 10^{-309}$. The $T_2^*$ comparison between 2in1-RARE-EPI ($N_{RARE} = 1$) and MGRE yielded similar results: $F[17,864] = 40.59$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.4331$, $P = 7.46 × 10^{-98}$ (Figure 6A). These results were supported by the BA plots: 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MSE: $M(ΔT_2) = 0.3$ ms with $LOAs = 6.5$ ms and $−5.8$ ms; 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MGRE: $M(ΔT_2^*) = 3.1$ with $LOAs = 18.5$ ms and $−12.3$ ms; 2in1-RARE-EPI ($N_{RARE} = 1$) and MGRE $M(ΔT_2^*) = −2.1$ ms, $LOAs = 10.4$ ms and $−14.6$ ms (Figure 6B).

Following the feasibility study in healthy subjects, 2in1-RARE-EPI was applied in MS patients. Figure 7 depicts $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps of the brain of a 30-year-old female MS patient. The parametric maps show 3 periventricular MS lesions adjacent to the right lateral ventricle. The first 2 columns of Figure 7 highlight an MS lesion adjacent to the posterior horn of the right lateral ventricle. The lesion clearly appears hyperintense in the $T_2$ map. The $T_2$ map obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI is in good accordance with the $T_2$ map derived from MSE. The white matter lesion is also clearly delineated in the $T_2^*$ maps calculated from 2in1-RARE-EPI and MGRE data. The third and fourth columns of Figure 7 highlight 2 lesions adjacent to the anterior and posterior horns of the right lateral ventricle. Both lesions are clearly delineated in the $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI and with the reference methods MSE and MGRE.

$T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps obtained from a 54-year-old male MS patient are shown in Figure 8. Sagittal and transversal anatomic views in the first row of Figure 8 show 2 lesions, targeted for $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ mapping with 2in1-RARE-EPI and the reference methods (MSE and MGRE). The first 2 columns of Figure 8 show $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps highlighting a periventricular lesion adjacent to the right lateral ventricle. The lesion is clearly delineated in the $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps. The third and fourth columns show $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps highlighting a juxtacortical lesion located in the left posterior hemisphere. This lesion is also clearly delineated in the $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps. The maps derived from 2in1-RARE-EPI are consistent with those from MSE and MGRE imaging.

Quantitative comparisons of the $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ values obtained from the MS patients using the 2in1-RARE-EPI versus the reference methods are shown in Figure 9. $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ values were obtained from ROIs corresponding to the location of the lesions shown in the representative images in Figures 7 and 8 (size: 7 × 7 pixels). Data from 2 additional patients is included in the quantitative analysis, for a total of 8 ROIs corresponding to separate lesions. Multiple regression analysis of the $T_2$ values shows a strong relationship between the proposed 2in1-RARE-EPI and the reference method MSE, accounting for effects of the different patients, and their separate lesions (a separate regression line is shown for each lesion): $F[8,383] = 577.2$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.9218$, $P = 2.01 × 10^{-208}$. The regression analysis for the $T_2^*$ comparison between 2in1-RARE-EPI and MGRE revealed a strong relationship: $F[8,383] = 137$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7356$, $P = 2.12 × 10^{-107}$. These results were supported by the BA plots: 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MSE: $M(ΔT_2) = −0.3$ ms and $LOAs = 10.3$ ms and $−10.9$ ms; 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MGRE: $M(ΔT_2^*) = 8.6$ ms with $LOAs = 25.1$ ms and $−7.5$ ms; (Figure 9B).

4 | DISCUSSION

This work demonstrates the feasibility of radially sampled 2in1-RARE-EPI for simultaneous $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ mapping. Our
evaluation study in a phantom, equipped with solutions of different iron concentrations mimicking the $T_2$ and $T^*_2$ relaxation properties of brain tissue, showed good agreement between the $T_2$ and $T^*_2$ values obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI and the reference parametric maps calculated from MSE and MGRE acquisitions. At low iron concentrations, there was a tendency for 2in1-RARE-EPI to slightly underestimate the $T_2$, compared to MSE, whereas $T^*_2$ was rather overestimated compared to MGRE at low iron concentrations, but only for 2in1-RARE-EPI with $N_{RARE} = 1$. For the $T^*_2$ values obtained with MGRE, a larger spread was observed compared to the $T^*_2$ values obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI, which leads to a slight trend in the BA plots, depending on the ROI. This observation is mainly

**FIGURE 5**  $T_2$ and $T^*_2$ maps along with the $R^2$ maps (coefficient of determination) and selected TE images of the brain of a healthy female subject. The intensity of each TE image is normalized with respect to the minimum and maximum intensity within the brain tissue. The 2 rows at the top show the image data derived from the reference method MSE and those derived from 2in1-RARE-EPI. Good agreement between both $T_2$ maps was observed. Both $R^2$ maps exhibit $R^2$ values close to 1 throughout the brain. The 3 rows at the bottom show the image data derived from the reference method MGRE and those derived from 2in1-RARE-EPI and 2in1-RARE-EPI with $N_{RARE} = 1$. Good agreement was observed between the $T^*_2$ maps derived by the different methods. The $R^2$ values observed in the 3 $R^2$ maps, although lower than those derived from the $T_2$ mapping with MSE and 2in1-RARE-EPI, are in a reasonable range. The selected TE images highlight that the image quality is not impaired by the radial undersampling.
because of a lower SNR in the MGRE images, which varies with the iron concentration because of the change in $T_1$. The observed deviation of the measured $R_2$ values from the values calculated based on literature can be related to the fact that the relaxivity of the contrast agent and $R_{2,\text{water}}$ were measured at 37°C, whereas our phantom study was conducted at room temperature. For the solution with the highest iron concentration an overestimation of $T^*_2$ obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI was observed. This could be because of the rather long EPI module compared to the short $T^*_2$ values, leading to an increased noise level in later TE images, which is already increased because of the preceding $T_2$ decay. This finding has no adverse effect on the application of the 2in1-RARE-EPI for characterizing pathophysiological changes in brain tissue during MS, because such low $T_2$ and $T^*_2$ values are mainly not featured by the relevant tissues in the brain at 3.0 T.

The agreement of $T_2$ and $T^*_2$ values obtained with the 2in1-RARE-EPI with the values obtained from reference methods was corroborated in the feasibility study conducted in healthy subjects. The patient study also demonstrated that MS lesions can be just as clearly distinguished in the $T_2$ and $T^*_2$ maps obtained from 2in1-RARE-EPI acquisitions, when compared to reference maps derived from conventional $T_2$ and $T^*_2$ mapping using MSE/MGRE techniques.

In the current feasibility study, the evaluation of simultaneous $T_2$ and $T^*_2$ mapping with 2in1-RARE-EPI was restricted to MS lesions and tissues with intermediate to long...
Further studies are needed to evaluate the performance of the method for tissues exhibiting low $T_2$ values (e.g., as a result of increased iron deposition), which is a pathological feature of some neurodegenerative diseases, including MS. In such cases, the length of the RARE and EPI modules can be adapted accordingly to achieve optimal results. Our proof-of-principle study used mono-exponential fitting for $T_2$ mapping. This approach yields a weighted average of tissue $T_2$, which might not be sufficiently specific for all brain pathologies. More pathology-specific information could be obtained using multi-exponential fitting to differentiate multiple relaxation components or tissue compartments. This approach would benefit the quantification of microstructural tissue changes, demyelination processes, and myelin water content, which may predict MS conversion.

A caveat of our feasibility study is that it used a limited number of slices ($n = 3$) rather than whole brain coverage. To better adapt this approach to clinical requirements, the slice thickness (5 mm) could be reduced, which would decrease partial volume effects and yield a better coverage of lesions. Reducing the slice thickness would be feasible regarding the high SNR estimated for the 2in1-RARE-EPI with the parameters of the current study. The acquisition of more slices can be easily achieved without increasing the acquisition time because of the long TR used in 2in1-RARE-EPI.

The simultaneous acquisition of $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps with 2in1-RARE-EPI entails a trade-off between a long RARE module to decrease estimation errors for long $T_2$ values and a rather short RARE module to preserve SNR for the acquisition with the subsequent EPI module. Moreover, a short overall echo
train length is desirable for optimal slice coverage efficiency in multi-slice acquisitions. Taking these considerations into account, our Monte Carlo simulations identified the appropriate numbers of RARE and EPI echoes for the respective modules needed to minimize the relative and absolute error in $T_2$ and $T_2^*$. These results were then incorporated into the phantom and the in vivo studies of healthy volunteers and MS patients.

MRI examinations targeting MS typically involve a series of multiple imaging contrasts and MR-metrics, resulting in long examination times and a greater propensity to motion artifacts and slice mis-registration. Dual or multi-contrast techniques offer the potential for substantial scan time reduction. The undersampled 2in1-RARE-EPI hybrid approach used in the current study permits reduced acquisition time for $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ mapping compared to conventional sequential mapping methods. The EPI module in 2in1-RARE-EPI only slightly increases the echo train length within the long TR needed to account for $T_1$ effects. Unlike 2in1-RARE-EPI, MGRE uses a short TR promoted by a low flip angle. The short TR dictates that multiple slices are commonly acquired sequentially, which leads to a linear increase in the acquisition time with the number of slices. Consequently, increasing the number of slices to achieve whole-brain coverage emphasizes the scan time savings of 2in1-RARE-EPI compared to sequential $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ mapping using MSE and MGRE. Further acceleration is promoted by radial undersampling of k-space. In this way, the acquisition time for $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps of 3 slices was reduced to 76% using 2-fold undersampled 2in1-RARE-EPI. Assuming an imaging volume with 25

**FIGURE 8** $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps of the brain of an MS patient (male, age: 54 years) obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI and with the reference methods MSE and MGRE. The position of the lesions targeted for $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ mapping are presented in sagittal and transversal views acquired with a $T_2$-weighted 3D SPACE (top row). The cyan line in the sagittal view indicates the position of the transverse slice. The first 2 columns show a periventricular MS lesion (highlighted by white arrow) located adjacent to the right lateral ventricle. The third and fourth columns show $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps depicting a juxtacortical MS lesion in the left anterior hemisphere. Both lesions are clearly delineated in the $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps. The maps acquired with 2in1-RARE-EPI are consistent with those obtained with MSE and MGRE.
slices, the acquisition time could be reduced to 62% compared to the sequential acquisition with MSE/MGRE using Cartesian sampling.

Further acquisition time shortening can be enabled by multiband RF pulses for simultaneous multi-slice imaging. An unfortunate corollary of the RARE module is the number of refocusing pulses required, which might deteriorate the specific absorption rate economy of 2in1-RARE for simultaneous multi-slice imaging. Slice accelerated acquisition schemes using power-independent number of slices RF pulses is a promising approach to offset RF power deposition while improving imaging speed and spatial coverage.\textsuperscript{82-84}

The 2in1-RARE-EPI approach is compatible with the concept of hyperechoes\textsuperscript{85} and variable refocusing flip angles\textsuperscript{86} that can serve to further offset specific absorption rate for multiband applications.

Our study demonstrates that 2in1-RARE-EPI permits simultaneous $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ mapping within clinically acceptable

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Results of the scatter plots (A) and BA plot (B) analysis of $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ values obtained for the lesions from MS patients depicted in Figure 7 (circles) and 8 (squares), and lesions from 2 additional MS patients (triangles, diamonds). The analysis benchmarks 2in1-RARE-EPI against the sequential acquisition using the MSE and MGRE reference methods. Data points are colored according to the ROIs (size: 7 × 7 pixels) covering each separate lesion (8 lesions total). (A) Scatter plots comparing $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ derived from 2in1-RARE-EPI acquisitions versus those obtained with reference methods (MSE and MGRE). Multiple linear regression shows a strong relationship between the values obtained with 2in1-RARE-EPI and the reference methods, while accounting for the effect of different patient and different lesions (separate regression lines for each individual lesion are depicted): 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MSE: $F[8,383] = 577.2$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.9218$, $P = 2.01 \times 10^{-208}$; 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MGRE: $F[8,383] = 137$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7356$, $P = 2.12 \times 10^{-107}$ (B) BA plots corresponding to the scatter plots shown in (A). The following values for the median of the $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ differences (solid black line) and limits-of-agreement ($LOAs = M(\Delta T_2) \pm 1.45 \times iQR$, dashed black line) were obtained from the BA plot analysis: 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MSE: $M(\Delta T_2) = -0.3$ ms, $LOAs = 10.3$ ms and $-10.9$ ms; 2in1-RARE-EPI versus MGRE: $M(\Delta T_2^*) = 8.6$ ms, $LOAs = 25.1$ ms and $-7.5$ ms; (C) ROIs covering the lesions of patients 1 and 2}
\end{figure}
scan times. Streamlining acquisition times is crucial for incorporating these important MR metrics into broader clinical studies, and ultimately into routine practice. Therefore, 2in1-RARE-EPI may provide a valuable contribution for enhancing and developing future MRI-based diagnostics and monitoring of MS and related disorders. Potential improvements may cover various aspects ranging from emerging MR techniques to track MS disease activity,\textsuperscript{5,8,9,23,87} including detection of transient enlargement of the ventricles during relapsing-remitting MS\textsuperscript{88} and volumetric and microstructural measurements,\textsuperscript{89-92} to the enhancement of our understanding of the underlying fundamental pathophysiology of the disease.\textsuperscript{12}

For example, the assessment of changes in $T_2$ could provide a more robust correlate for clinical disability than the $T_2$ lesion count.\textsuperscript{26,31} It has also been suggested that a prolongation of $T_2$ in NAWM could be an indicator for early MS.\textsuperscript{30} Because of its sensitivity to iron deposition and its dependency on tissue myelination level, $T_2^*$ mapping could provide insights into disease activity.\textsuperscript{42-44} Furthermore, $T_2^*$ mapping might become a tool to assess MS already at an early stage of the disease.\textsuperscript{30,45} 2in1-RARE-EPI also promises to support the differential diagnosis of MS and other neurodegenerative diseases, including orphan diseases.\textsuperscript{14,15} This is beneficial in a clinical context, but also in preclinical studies involving experimental MS models in small rodents and nonhuman primates where multiple MR metrics are assessed.\textsuperscript{93-95} Moreover, simultaneous acquisition of multiple contrasts obviates the need for slice co-registration when combining multiple series of images. 2in1-RARE-EPI may also provide an endogenous contrast-based alternative for the assessment of the incidence of enhancing lesions or the lesion acuity in longitudinal MRI exams of MS patients, which commonly use exogenous intravenous gadolinium-based contrast agents for routine follow-up imaging.\textsuperscript{96-98}

The $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ maps derived from 2in1-RARE-EPI offer the capacity for the calculation of $R_2^*$ maps, which is conceptually appealing for probing the spatial distribution of magnetic susceptibility effects.\textsuperscript{99,100} This could be beneficial for the assessment of chronic active MS lesions that are characterized by a rim of iron-enriched activated microglia and macrophages, probing iron levels in the deep gray matter, and for identifying regions of demyelination and iron accumulation during the formation of MS lesions.\textsuperscript{101}

The potential of the proposed 2in1-RARE-EPI hybrid is not limited to MS lesions or even brain imaging. 2in1-RARE-EPI offers the capacity for simultaneous $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ mapping in applications dealing with bulk or physiological motion, such as cardiac imaging including myocardial $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ mapping,\textsuperscript{102-104} as well as multi-parametric MRI of the eye, kidney, abdomen, and liver.\textsuperscript{105-110} For these applications in moving organs, motion correction approaches such as 1D or 2D linear phase correction promise to ensure immunity to bulk motion.\textsuperscript{111,112} The use of radial k-space trajectories shown in the current study holds the promise to render additional navigator data unnecessary because the densely sampled k-space center can be deployed for phase correction of motion corrupted data. This approach promotes self-calibrated motion compensation techniques.

## 5 | CONCLUSION

To conclude, the proposed 2in1-RARE-EPI hybrid constitutes a tool to advance quantitative mapping of $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ particularly during brain pathology. The reduced scan time promotes patient comfort and is a fundamental precursor to boosting the implementation of quantitative mapping in clinical routine practice, and to conducting broader clinical studies on the potential of $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ as biomarkers in MS. This work demonstrates the feasibility of 2in1-RARE-EPI for $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ of MS patients, although the range of applications can be extended to several other brain pathologies as well as other target anatomy, disorders, and diseases. Recognizing the spin-physics of 2in1-RARE-EPI, this strategy can also be adapted to support simultaneous $T_2$, $T_2^*$ and temperature mapping.
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