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Abstract

Arthrogryposes – multiple joint contractures – are a clinically and etiologically heterogeneous class of diseases, where accurate diagnosis, recognition of the underlying pathology and classification are of key importance for the prognosis as well as for selection of appropriate management. This treatment remains challenging and optimally in arthrogrypotic patients should be carried out by a team of specialists familiar with all aspects of arthrogryposis pathology and treatment modalities: rehabilitation, orthotics and surgery. In this comprehensive review article, based on literature and clinical experience, the authors present an update on current knowledge on etiology, classifications and treatment options for skeletal deformations possible in arthrogryposis.
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Introduction

Arthrogryposis (arthrogryposis multiplex congenita – AMC) is not a separate disease entity, but is rather a descriptive diagnosis used to denote more than 300 individual diseases with varying etiologies. Their common feature is the presence of congenital, usually non-progressive joint contractures involving at least two different body areas. This class of diseases includes the so-called classic arthrogryposis – amyoplasia, with its unique clinical features such as symmetrical, severe contractures, usually involving both the upper and lower limbs [1].

Most contractures in arthrogryposis require treatment; this potentially involves multiple surgical corrections of the knees and hips, correction of spinal deformities, elbow and wrist contractures, foot deformities, and of dislocations commonly accompanying the contractures; of these, the hip and knee are the most commonly affected joints [2–4]. The treatment of a child, and subsequently an adult, with arthrogryposis is a challenge – not only due to the nature of the disease and the resulting surgical technical difficulties, but also due to the required logistics of the complex multi-disciplinary treatment; this involves, among others, pediatricians, physiotherapists, geneticists, orthopedic surgeons, and orthotic specialists – all of whom need thorough knowledge and experience in the treatment of arthrogryposis patients [5–9].

As the population of patients with arthrogryposis – due to their expected survival matching that of the general population – grows to be...
a relatively numerous group, a number of treating specialists will see AMC patients – whether newborn, pediatric or adult – who will require at least counseling and potentially treatment. The objective of this review is to summarize contemporary concepts covering the topic of arthrogryposis, especially its etiology, diagnosis and treatment, for all professionals who are likely to see arthrogryposis patients, notably orthopedic surgeons, rehabilitation physicians, pediatricians, physiotherapists and nursing specialists.

Definitions and incidence

Arthrogryposis derives its name from Greek language ("arthron" – joint, "gryposis" – curvature); the name describes multiple configurations of congenital limb contractures, usually non-progressive and often gradually improving with appropriate management [1]. Historically, arthrogryposis was for the first time described as "congenital myodystrophy" in 1841 by Otto, and subsequently termed "multiple congenital contractures" by Schantz in 1897, and "arthrogryposis" by Rosenkrantz [10–12]. The name "arthrogryposis multiplex congenita" used to date was coined by Stern in 1923 in a report on multiple symmetrical joint contractures in 3 patients [13]. Scheldon in 1932 described clinical features of congenital multiple contractures in a child and used for the first time the name "amyoplasia congenita" [14].

"Congenital contracture" denotes a limitation of the passive and active range of motion in a given joint or joints with coexistent structural and/or functional abnormalities of the surrounding soft tissues – the joint capsule and periarticular ligaments. It is estimated that congenital joint contractures with varying degree of severity and involving at least one joint affect 1/100 to 1/200 live births; these may range from e.g. idiopathic talipes equinovarus, contractures of digits (camptodactyly, clinodactyly) or hip joint in developmental dysplasia to multiple joint contracture syndromes such as amyoplasia or Pena-Shokeir syndrome – a lethal form of classic AMC, DA, or other diseases [20, 21].

Etiology

The pathological mechanism of congenital joint contractures usually involves the absence of active fetal movements (akinesia), normally appearing in the eighth week of fetal life – fetal akinesia lasting over 3 weeks may be sufficient to result in absence of normal stretching of muscles and tendons acting on the affected joints, and cause reduced compliance of the joint capsule and periarticular ligaments, consequently leading to fibrosis and contractures of the affected joints determined by the passive position of the limb (Figure 1) [22]. The earlier the restriction of active fetal motion occurs, the greater the arthrogryposis severity; it is also considered that fibrosis of periarticular structures – both the ligaments and the articular capsule – may be responsible for the tendency of the affected joints to return to their original fetal position despite the used treatment, i.e. to recurrence of deformity [1, 23, 24]. This is confirmed by experimental studies including chicken fetuses; their results indicate that the absence of active motion in embryonic joints caused by e.g. administration of curare, or infection with Coxsackie or Newcastle viruses, results in joint stiffness resembling arthrogryposis [25, 26]. The effects of
curare on rat embryos include multiple joint contractures, pulmonary hypoplasia, short umbilical cord, hypoplasia of the jaw, and polyhydramnios. These abnormalities have been termed the fetal akinesia syndrome, and a similar syndrome in humans is known as the Pena-Shokeir syndrome [23, 27]. The direct etiological factor causing akinesia in humans remains unknown, but a number of abnormalities can be discerned that can result in disruption of active movement and consequently fetal akinesia. These abnormalities may concern the fetus and include functional and/or structural pathologies, leading to hypomobility, such as:

- neurogenic factors (motor center diseases; disorders of the peripheral nerves or neuromuscular junction),
- myogenic factors (muscular dystrophies, mitochondrial diseases),
- diseases of the adjacent tissues and/or articular tissues (diastrophic dysplasia).

Alternatively, the abnormalities may concern the fetal environment:

- maternal diseases (myasthenia gravis, SM, diabetes),
- mechanical factors (anatomic abnormalities of the uterus; multiple pregnancy; oligohydramnios, amniotic bands),
- vascular and nutritional disorders.

**Neurogenic factors**

These are the most common cause of delayed and/or reduced fetal motor capabilities in arthrogryposis patients (70–80%) [1, 16] and may include central nervous system disorders such as epilepsy, neuronal migration abnormalities, pyramidal disorders, and olivo-ponto-cerebellar disorders [28–31]. Diseases of the alpha motor neurons of the anterior spinal horns are a frequent cause of arthrogryposis, e.g. in X-linked spinal muscular atrophy or in Werdnig-Hoffmann disease [29, 30, 32, 33]. Banker in autopsy and microscope studies carried out on fetuses with congenital joint contractures described a number of pathologies in the alpha motor neurons of the anterior horns; ranging from complete absence of these cells, through their decreased number and abnormal development, to degenerative changes accompanied by corresponding degenerative changes in the spinal nerves. Skeletal muscles in neurogenic types of arthrogryposis are present, but their mass is significantly reduced; in the myogenic types the number and size of muscle fibers are decreased as they are replaced by fibrous and fatty tissues. A neurogenic origin of joint contractures was observed in 93% of the studied subjects, whereas a myogenic cause was observed in 7% [34, 35]. Peripheral neuropathies resulting in the development of joint contractures can also be caused by abnormal myelination or abnormal Schwann cell growth [36, 37].

Abnormal neural tube development, e.g. in meningomyelocele or in sacral agenesis, may result in secondary limitation of active fetal movements and congenital multiple joint contractures; their severity is dependent on the level of injury.
(malformation) of the neural tube [1]. Abnormalities of the fetal cholinergic receptor resulting from mutation of the CHRNG gene (MIM100730) are known to result in abnormal development of the neuromuscular junction and consequently in development of clinical features of arthrogryposis, e.g. in Escobar syndrome (multiple pterygium syndrome) [38, 39].

Myogenic factors

Primary myogenic multiple joint contractures (myogenic type arthrogryposis) are rare and may be structural or functional [34]. Myogenic amyoplasia can be caused by a defect of myogenesis-regulating genes, resulting in normal development of the connective tissue muscle matrix, developing from lateral mesoderm with simultaneous abnormal development of myocytes, originating from mesodermal somites; these are replaced by adipocytes [40]. Congenital muscular dysstrophies, congenital myopathies (such as the autosomal dominant "central core disease", nemaline myopathy, intranuclear rod myopathy) are a genetically and clinically heterogeneous group of diseases, characterized by abnormal structure and function of myocytes, with clinical features of arthrogryposis. These diseases are caused by mutations of genes encoding skeletal muscle proteins, ryanodine receptors, or mutations of the gene encoding nuclear lamins A and C (laminopathies) [40–49].

Clinical features of arthrogryposis can also be observed in mutations of genes responsible for the troponin and actinin complex synthesis (troponin I, α-actinin 3) or mitochondrial cytopathy [41–43].

Disorders of periarticular structures

An example of connective tissue abnormality resulting in joint contractures is a group of diseases called osteochondrodysplasias; clinical symptoms of arthrogryposis are observed in many of these: diastrophic dysplasia or metatropic dysplasia, Kniest syndrome, campomelic dysplasia, osteogenesis imperfecta, Jansen’s metaphyseal dysplasia, Saul-Wilson syndrome, spondyloepiphyseal dysplasia, and others [1].

Diastrophic dysplasia is characterized by dwarfism, short limbs, multiple joint contractures, talipes equinovarus, and progressive kyphoscoliosis. The primary defect is the deficiency of sulfur enzyme in the connective tissue, mediated by a gene located in chromosome 5q [50]. Tendons, despite normal structure, may have abnormal insertions and thus cause limited active fetal motion and consequently symptomatic arthrogryposis. This mechanism has also been observed in certain forms of distal arthrogryposis [17]. Collagen disorders resulting in replacement of muscle tissue by connective tissue and thickening of joint capsules have been observed e.g. in Larsen’s syndrome, multiple pterygium syndrome, congenital arachnodactyly, and Beals syndrome [16, 24, 51, 52].

Another example of arthrogryposis in connective tissue diseases is restrictive dermopathy – a usually lethal disease where a fibroblast abnormality results in loss of skin elasticity; the hard skin prevents normal fetal movements and causes joint contractures [53].

Maternal diseases

Congenital contractures may develop in children born to mothers with myasthenia gravis; in this disease, maternal antibodies against fetal acetylcholine receptors migrate through the placenta and damage the receptors, affecting the fetal muscle function and producing symptomatic arthrogryposis [54–56]. Elevated risk of arthrogryposis has been reported in mothers with multiple sclerosis (MS), diabetes, and myotonic dystrophy [57, 58]. Fetal contractures can also occur in maternal diseases such as toxoplasmosis, rubella, varicella, Coxsackie viruses, and enteroviruses; toxins and drugs (alcohol, d-tubocurarine, meth carbamol, misoprostol, phenytoin, and cocaine); pyrexia or overheating (hot baths, hot spa), and serious abdominal trauma [1, 59–61].

Intrauterine environment abnormalities

These include any disorders resulting in mechanical limitation of the free active movements of fetal limbs. The causes include multiple pregnancy, oligohydramnios, uterine abnormalities (bicornuate uterus, uterine septum), solid tumors, and uterine fibrosis [62]. Fetal blood supply is another potential cause of reduced fetal active movements. Reduced blood supply to the developing neural and muscular structures may cause their dysfunction, akinesia, and symptomatic arthrogryposis after birth. Such cases may be observed in placental abruption, induced pregnancy termination, and in the "steal syndrome" in monozygotic twin pregnancy [62, 63].

Genetics of arthrogryposis

Arthrogryposis is a group of clinical symptoms that can be observed in many different genetic syndromes; these may result from sporadic single-gene mutations (e.g. autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive and X-linked recessive inheritance patterns), chromosomal disorders (e.g. trisomy 18) such as deletion, translocation, or duplication, and mitochondrial disorders. Arthrogryposis in chromosomal aberrations often coexists with psychomotor retardation [1]. Some patients are mosaics: chromosomal aberrations can be de-
ected in fibroblasts and are absent in blood cells \([1, 64, 65]\). A phenotypically identical presentation of arthrogryposis can sometimes be caused by mutations of different genes \([66]\).

The following inheritance forms of arthrogryposis are known:
- Autosomal dominant, e.g. in distal arthrogryposis type I, with 50% inheritance risk;
- Autosomal recessive, e.g. in multiple pterygium syndrome (Escobar syndrome), with 25% inheritance risk;
- X-linked recessive, where all daughters of a male carrier are carriers. Fifty percent of male children of these daughters can express arthrogryposis phenotype, whereas 50% of their female children will be carriers;
- Sporadic, with very low inheritance risk;
- Mitochondrial inheritance.

The development of molecular diagnostics has resulted in new possibilities of identification and mapping of genes responsible for arthrogryposis symptoms, i.e. chromosome 5q – diastrophic dysplasia; chromosome 9q – distal arthrogryposis, nail-patella syndrome; chromosome 11q – Kniest dysplasia, spondyloepiphyseal dysplasia; chromosome 15q – Marfan syndrome \([1]\).

**Clinical features**

**Amyoplasia, classic arthrogryposis**

As the name denotes (“a” – absence, “myo” – muscle, “plasia” – development; non-development of muscles) this is a sporadic multiple contractures syndrome, usually with symmetrical involvement of multiple joints in lower and/or upper limbs, presenting with a characteristic position of limbs in the neonate (Figure 1). The central nervous system function is normal; the muscle tissue is often replaced with fatty and fibrous tissues. This type of congenital contractures is most commonly seen in orthopedic clinical practice: it has an incidence of 1/10,000 live births, i.e. it constitutes approximately 30% of all congenital contractures \([1, 67–69]\). Patients with amyoplasia have normal or above-normal intelligence, and their expected 20-year survival is 94%; it is considered that they can survive until middle and advanced age without dysfunction of other organs caused by the primary disease. However, without appropriate treatment, their potential for independent ambulation and activities of daily living is reduced \([68]\). Appropriate comprehensive rehabilitation and surgical treatment results in regaining ambulatory function at the age of 5 years in 85% of patients \([2]\). However, aside from the severity of contractures and the used treatment, this function is affected by the power of the pelvic girdle and the quadriceps muscles as well as upper limb function \([70]\).

The quality of life is primarily determined by upper limb function and the ability to perform personal care activities \([6]\). Sells et al. demonstrated that 75% of patients are able to feed themselves independently but only approximately 10% are able to independently dress, 35% to wash, and 25% to take a bath \([2]\).

Classic arthrogryposis is characterized by symmetric involvement of all limbs in 60–92% of patients. Lower limb involvement alone is observed in 7–24% of patients, whereas upper limb involvement alone is observed in 1–13% of patients (Figure 2) \([2, 16, 71]\).

The clinical picture observed in most patients with the classic four-limb involvement is as follows:
- The shoulder – adducted and internally rotated. Deltoid muscle function is deficient.
- The elbow – most patients present with extension contracture of the elbows with deficient brachialis and biceps brachii function, resulting in absent or significantly deficient elbow flexion. Flexion contracture of the elbow is less commonly observed. The elbow joint is cylindrical in appearance and devoid of any skin creases (Figure 1).
- The wrist – most patients present with characteristic palmar flexion contracture with ulnar deviation and pronation of the hand. Patients with myogenic arthrogryposis may present with extension contracture of the wrist.
- The hand – finger contractures may vary in classic arthrogryposis, but the most common feature is increasing distally flexion contractures

**Figure 2.** A clinical example of arthrogrypotic contractures involving upper limbs alone
of interphalangeal joints. Metacarpophalangeal joints may present with relative extension contractures. The thumb is usually adducted. Finger contractures are usually stiff and most patients have significant deficiency of active finger movements; however, children with AMC often have unexpected abilities to perform daily functions – even with rudimentary active finger motion. In syndromic arthrogryposis “clenched fist” with “thumb in palm” deformities may be observed (Figure 3).

- The hip – contractures are common; these are mostly flexion, abduction, and external rotation contractures of varying degrees of severity. Unilateral or bilateral hip dislocation is observed in approximately 1/3 of patients.
- The knee – the most common deformity is flexion contracture of varying severity; an extension contracture is less commonly observed and may be accompanied by knee dislocation (Figures 1, 4). Flexion contracture is usually associated with weak quadriceps and a “dimple” over the patella (Figure 5).
- The ankle joint and foot – deformities of these body regions are observed in nearly all AMC patients, with severe talipes equinovarus being the most common; less frequently vertical talus might be observed. All these deformities are characterized by usually extreme severity, difficulties in treatment and high tendency to relapse (Figure 1) [67, 68].
- The spine – abnormal curvatures are observed in approximately 28% to 67% of patients; most commonly these are simple long thoracolumbar curves without concomitant vertebral malformations; however, the curves often rapidly progress (Figure 6) [7, 72, 73].

Distal arthrogryposis

This is a group of genetic disorders; they differ from the sporadic classic arthrogryposis in that their inheritance is autosomal dominant. They are characterized by contractures limited mainly to the distal portions of the limbs, i.e. to wrists,

![Figure 3. Clinical examples of hand contractures in arthrogryposis](image)

![Figure 4 A, B. A clinical example of arthrogryposis with flexion contractures of the elbows, “clenched fist” deformities of the hands, knee dislocations, and bilateral congenital vertical talus](image)

![Figure 5. Skin ‘dimple’ overlying the knee joint](image)
Hands, ankles, and joints of the foot. Contractures of other joints are low-degree or are absent altogether [17, 21]. According to Bamshad et al., ten types of distal arthrogryposis had been described by 2009 [17, 75].

The diagnosis of distal arthrogryposis requires that two out of the described diagnostic criteria for upper and lower extremities are met. In the upper limb, these are: camptodactyly or pseudocamptodactyly (limitation of passive PIP extension with concomitant hyperextension of the wrist), hypoplastic or absent flexion creases on the fingers, and ulnar deviation of the wrist. The criteria for the lower limb are: talipes equinovarus; congenital flat foot (congenital vertical talus), pes calcaneovalgus, and metatarsus adductus. In familial multiple congenital contractures, the presence of only one of the above criteria is sufficient for the diagnosis of distal arthrogryposis.

A classification and main characteristics of individual types of DA are presented in Table I.

Other arthrogryposes

Pterygium syndromes

These are a separate class of genetically mediated congenital contractures, characterized by the presence of pterygia: these are skin webs located in the area of a joint and causing limitation of its range of motion. Skin webs may also be found in lateral portions of the neck, and be accompanied by cleft palate or lip, syndactyly or atypical finger

| Type | Description |
|------|-------------|
| I    | Characteristic clinical features are camptodactyly and talipes equinovarus with possible concomitant shoulder and hip contractures. The DA1 variant is determined by a gene located on chromosome 9 [1, 76] |
| II   | The phenotype was first described in 1938 as the Freeman-Sheldon syndrome [77], where contractures of fingers and toes are accompanied by kyphosis, scoliosis, and malformations of the facial skeleton with characteristic facial appearance: narrow mouth, wide cheeks, an H-shaped chin dimple, small wide-based nose, high palate, and small tongue. Growth retardation, inguinal hernia, and cryptorchidism have also been reported [1]. Another name of this syndrome is “whistling face” syndrome. The Freeman-Sheldon syndrome is currently classified as DA2A, as a separate DA2B subtype, known as Sheldon-Hall syndrome has been described; this syndrome combines clinical features of DA1 (hand and foot contractures) and some features of DA2 (prominent nasolabial folds, slanted down-facing eyes, and narrow mouth) and is currently considered to be probably the most common type of distal arthrogryposis [21, 78] |
| III  | Also known as Gordon’s syndrome, this rare syndrome is characterized by low stature and palatoschisis |
| IV   | Rare. Contractures with severe scoliosis |
| V    | Contractures with ocular signs and symptoms such as limited eye motion, ptosis, strabismus, and the absence of typical hand flexion creases [21, 79, 80]. Chest wall muscle abnormalities have also been observed, potentially causing restricted respiratory movements and, consequently, pulmonary hypertension [81] |
| VI   | Similar to DA3, DA4; very rare, characterized by sensorineural auditory abnormalities |
| VII  | Difficulties in mouth opening (trismus) and pseudocamptodactyly: wrists position in palmar flexion with MCP joints in extension. Sometimes accompanied by low stature and knee flexion contractures [1, 21] |
| VIII | Autosomal dominant multiple pterygium syndrome |
| IX   | Beals syndrome, i.e. congenital arachnodactyly with contractures of small joints of the fingers. Patients with this type of arthrogryposis are tall and slender, phenotypically resembling Marfan syndrome but without cardiovascular abnormalities [82, 83] |
| X    | Congenital plantar flexion contractures of the foot |
prints. Many variations have been described with varying inheritance patterns of clinical features including autosomal dominant or recessive, e.g. lethal Bartsocas-Papas syndrome [84].

**Escobar’s syndrome (multiple pterygium syndrome)**

Neck webs are evident at birth but are not always severe. Clinically the Escobar syndrome is characterized by facial dysmorphism, neck (bucco-ternal) webs, and hand contractures. With age, the neck webs may increase in size; the neck mobility is limited due to concomitant congenital vertebral malformations. The lumbar lordosis increases with age as well; in adolescence, lumbar lordosis and popliteal and cubital webs increase in size. The inheritance pattern is autosomal recessive, sometimes autosomal dominant; the syndrome may be associated with mental retardation. The lethal multiple pterygium syndrome is autosomal recessive; features include severe contractures, hypertelorism, cervical pterygia, narrow chest, and hypoplastic lungs.

**Multiple pterygium syndrome with malignant hyperthermia**

The autosomal recessive multiple pterygium syndrome is characterized by palatoschisis, scoliosis, and malignant hyperthermia during general anesthesia. Sometimes the pterygium syndrome is limited to e.g. elbows (the autosomal dominant anteceubital pterygium syndrome).

**Larsen syndrome**

A genetically mediated, autosomal dominant syndrome with an incidence of 1/100,000 live births, caused by a mutation of the gene encoding filamin B (FLNB), a component of the actin complex in the cell protein cytoskeleton. The clinical features of Larsen syndrome may include multiple contractures, most commonly in the form of talipes equinovarus. The dominant features are hypermobility and congenital dislocations of multiple joints: hips, knees, and elbows. Cervical spine instability and kyphosis may be present, leading to potentially life-threatening cervical cord injuries; other features include: laryngomalacia and/or subglottic stenosis, low body stature, hypertelorism, central facial hypoplasia, and accessory metacarpal and metatarsal bones. Mental development is usually normal [85–89].

**Bruck syndrome**

 Extremely rare, autosomal recessive form of arthrogryposis, with combined clinical features of osteogenesis imperfecta and congenital contractures; this disease was historically described by Alfred Bruck in 1897; a modern description has been presented by Viljoen et al. [90].

**Treatment: general rules**

The principal treatment goal in arthrogryposis is optimization of quality of life: this includes communication capabilities, unassisted activities of daily living, social participation capacity, independent ambulation, and consequently independent living [67, 68]. In order to achieve these goals, management must be initiated as early as possible, and optimally in the neonate and infant; this should be directed at improvement of motion in any affected joints, improvement of active motion by strengthening any functional muscles, as the limb function in arthrogryposis depends on the capability to move the limb actively, and finally correction of fixed deformities that affect activities of daily living [91]. This comprehensive approach is based on a triad of treatment tools: firstly, rehabilitation including physiotherapy, manipulation of contractures, and later social and occupational rehabilitation; secondly, individually tailored orthotic management, whether for maintenance or correction of joint mobility, and for prevention of recurrent deformities (Figure 7); thirdly, a broad spectrum of surgical techniques for correction of musculoskeletal deformities, typically found in congenital contractures [5, 6, 8, 92–94]. In a study on the quality of life in adults with arthrogryposis, Fassier et al. stressed upper limb function as the most important determinant of independent living; this especially applies to gripping, reaching to the head and face (feeding, hair care, etc), reaching the perineal area for hygiene, and dressing [6]. However, the parents of a child with arthrogryposis often place the greatest importance on independent ambulation and concentrate their attention on this ability in the treatment program [95]. It is therefore extremely important that the treatment plan and its objectives – both immediate and long-term – be communicated to both the patient and the parents; such education should be then repeated and reinforced at every consecutive treatment stage. The importance of the parents’ (caregivers’) role must be stressed; they should receive education about the rehabilitation protocol and should daily engage in exercises with their child [96]. Owing to the above reasons, the treatment program of the arthrogrypotic child should be individually tailored for each patient as holistic and realistic, and optimally should involve a therapeutic team including a pediatrician, an orthopedic surgeon specializing in surgical corrections in upper and lower limbs as well as spine, a geneticist, a physiotherapist,
Surgical management of upper limb contractures

The upper limb should be considered a functional unit. The ultimate goal of treatment is restoration of personal care of the patient and the use of communication devices (e.g. telephone, computer, pen) or assistive devices used for ambulation (crutches, walker, wheelchair, car) [68]. Similarly as in the lower limbs, initiation of conservative treatment of upper limb contractures in the neonatal and early childhood period is of paramount importance.

The shoulder joint rarely requires surgical treatment; a subcapital derotation osteotomy of the humerus can be beneficial, usually in severe internal rotation contractures [97].

The correction of passive range of motion in the elbow involves exercises, manipulations and surgical procedures: in extension contractures, posterior capsule and ligament releases of the elbow joint with triceps V-Y plasty are indicated (Figure 8) [98, 99]. In the absence of active elbow flexion, with maintained passive motion, restoration of active flexion may be considered by means of muscle transfers, thus replacing the action of a less functional muscle with a more useful one – most commonly, the triceps tendon (as a whole or the long head only) is transferred onto the biceps tendon or its remnant; other options include the latissimus dorsi, pectoralis major, and sternocleidomastoid transfers [100, 101]. There are reports of successful pedicled gracilis transfer in 2 arthro-

Figure 7. Examples of orthotic management for upper and lower extremities’ deformations in children with AMC: A – wrist-hand orthosis (WHO) correcting palmar flexion contracture; B – elbow and wrist orthosis increasing elbow flexion; C – knee-ankle-foot orthosis (KAFO); D – KAFO used for walking improving knee active extension
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Surgical management of the spine

Spinal deformities develop in 30–62% of arthrogryposis patients; therefore careful monitoring of the spine should be undertaken from the beginning of treatment [104–106]. In moderate deformities, rehabilitation measures are used; the use of corrective braces usually has limited efficacy in arthrogryposis children, but some authors recommend it in curvatures of up to 30° of Cobb’s angle [6, 95, 105, 106]. Early onset of deformity, pelvic obliquity, lumbar hyperlordosis and paralytic type of the curve are predictors of rapid progression and constitute indications for surgical corrections [95, 105, 106]. Satisfactory surgical correction in AMC children is more difficult than in idiopathic scoliosis, and is burdened with a higher rate of complications such as pseudarthrosis or progression of angular deformity with posterior spinal instrumentation in place (the crankshaft phenomenon) [6, 95, 104].

Surgical management of the lower limb

In AMC children, lower limb contractures are frequently multifocal and severe. They usually require constant rehabilitation and orthotic management as well as multiple surgical procedures involving the hips, knees and feet to restore mobility and functional ambulation.

The hip

Contractures of the hip are present in nearly 90% of AMC children; these are usually flexion contractures [6, 107]. In the case of moderate contracture severity (up to 30°) the treatment may be limited to manipulations of contracted hip flexors and orthotic management [3]. Flexion contractures over 30–45° usually require surgical correction as they impair mobilization and ambulation and result in increased compensatory hyperlordosis of the lumbar spine [70]. Surgical management involves releases (transection) of contracted soft tissues (including the rectus femoris and sartorius muscles, the iliopsoas muscle, and the hip joint capsule), or, in the older child, proximal femoral extension osteotomy [108]. Moderate abduction and external rotation hip contractures usually do not require surgical treatment as they actually improve stability during ambulation, whereas severe cases may require in corrective osteotomies [93]. Hip dislocations are observed in 30% to 43% of AMC patients [95, 109, 110]. In these so-called teratogenic dislocations, the use of abduction orthotic devices, traction and closed reduction are unsuccessful and carry a risk of aseptic necrosis and/or femoral head deformation [3, 95, 109–111]. Unilateral hip dislocation in an AMC child is an indication for open reduction at 6–12 months of life, supplemented in the older child by proximal femoral directional osteotomy and acetabular reconstruction [3, 112]. The treatment of bilateral hip dislocations in arthrogryposis is a subject of controversy: the proponents of leaving both hips dislocated stress that the patients continue to have satisfactory ambulation and a painless range of motion despite the dislocation, whereas the potential surgical complications, especially stiffness or limited hip motion, aseptic necrosis of the femoral head, or recurrent dislocations, can significantly reduce the patient’s quality of life [3]. However, currently many authors definitely claim that in AMC patients with potential prognosis for independent ambulation, surgical correction of
bilateral hip dislocation can result in improved functional mobility; therefore despite the usually post-surgical reduced range of hip motion, only selected patients with arthrogryposis and bilateral hip dislocation should be managed without open reduction [109, 111–114].

The knee

Knee contractures are observed in up to 85% of AMC patients and include flexion and extension contractures, whereas the former are more frequent and carry a worse prognosis for independent ambulation [115, 116]. Flexion knee contractures of up to 20° carry no significant impact on the ambulation capacity [70]. The treatment of more severe knee contractures, as an element of comprehensive treatment of the AMC patient, should be carried out simultaneously with treatment of other joints of the lower limb. In the neonate and infant, conservative treatment involves manipulations of the contracted joints with subsequent casting; this approach is more effective in correction of extension contractures [115–117]. Most flexion contractures require surgical correction and often multiple procedures. In the growth period, the most effective corrective method is knee flexor tenotomy with posterior capsulotomy and posterior cruciate ligament transection [115, 116]. The classic method of correction of flexion contractures is supracondylar extension osteotomy; however, this procedure, when carried out in the active growth period, often results in recurrence of flexion contracture, at a mean rate of one degree per month [118]. Alternative methods of correction of knee contractures include temporary anterior epiphysiodesis of the distal femoral growth cartilage [119, 120] or the use of circular external fixators with gradual soft tissue correction – the Ilizarov method [121, 122]. Van Bosse et al. advocate combining posterior soft tissue releases of the knee (usually carried out with two separate incisions – lateral and medial) with gradual correction procedure on the Ilizarov apparatus, applied in one surgical session [122].

Manually non-correctible extension contractures are an indication for surgical management in the form of selective rectus snip (e.g. percutaneous) with subsequent extension osteotomy and casting [123, 124]. In older children, extension contracture can be an indication for extensor apparatus V-Y plasty with anterolateral and anteromedial capsulotomy. Another option is shortening femoral shaft osteotomy resulting in relative elongation of the knee extensor apparatus [123–125].

Postoperative use of orthotic devices is beneficial by assisting mobilization and ambulation; moreover – thanks to the helicoid hinge knee brace – they allow gradual improvement of the correction obtained during surgery.

The foot

Pes equinovarus is the most common deformity of the musculoskeletal system in the patient with multiple congenital contractures: it is observed in approximately 70% of symptomatic arthrogryposis patients and in 98–100% of AMC patients [126–129]. Approximately 2–12% of patients present with the so-called congenital flatfoot (congenital vertical talus) [130]. Isolated equinus deformity, congenital metatarsus adductus, pes equinovalgus, or pes calcaneovalgus deformities are occasionally seen (approx. 1% of patients) [126–129].

The objective of treatment of the feet in arthrogryposis is conversion of the deformed foot into a painless “platform”, capable of supporting the body weight on the whole foot surface area (referred to as “plantigrade foot”), allowing mobilization and independent ambulation; the foot shape should accommodate both orthotic devices and standard footwear [131]. Similarly as in the case of other contractures, the treatment of foot deformities should be started as early as possible in the form of manual manipulations with subsequent casting. Traditionally this treatment is aimed at preparation of the skin and neurovascular structures of the foot for the eventual surgical correction [92, 129, 132]. It should be stressed that conservative treatment of arthrogrypotic foot deformities is more difficult and requires longer time than in phenotypically identical idiopathic deformities; if not performed gently it can result, due to non-compliance of tissues, in iatrogenic fractures [126, 133]. Classically, after reaching the maximum conservative correction (plateau), surgical interventions were undertaken; these include capsuloligamentotomy of the ankle, subtalar, and talonavicular joints, usually combined with resection (not lengthening) of the contracted tendons: the Achilles, the flexor hallucis longus, and flexor digitorum longus; peroneal tendons; occasionally the flexor digitorum brevis, and the plantar aponeurosis [134, 135].

In some severe or neglected cases of foot deformities, notably in older children, surgical excision of the talus (talectomy, astraquatectomy) is undertaken; this procedure corrects the foot deformity by relative “lengthening” of soft tissues and formation of a tibiocalcaneal joint, usually with a small range of motion – but capable of supporting the foot for weight bearing [135–140].

There are recent reports on successful use of the Ponseti method in the management of equinovarus deformity in arthrogryposis [132, 141–144].
Independent from the primary correction method (soft tissue release vs. primary astralectomy) the recurrence rate of foot deformities after primary surgical treatment of the equinovarus foot in arthrogryposis may approach 75–100% and patients usually require 3–4 revision procedures per foot [126, 129]. The revision procedures vary and depend on the type of deformity and patient age; options include repeat soft tissue releases, astralectomy, naviculectomy (total resection of the navicular bone), combined bone/joint resection procedures; corrections using ring external fixation devices such as the Ilizarov or Taylor Spatial Frame, and supramalleolar osteotomies [126–129, 138, 145–151].
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