THE USE OF DEXMEDETOMIDINE IN PUNCTURE TECHNIQUES FOR DEGENERATIVE DISEASES OF THE LUMBAR SPINE

ABSTRACT

Objective: To analyze the results of the use of dexmedetomidine (D) in the treatment of patients with degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine using puncture techniques. Methods: The study included 77 patients who underwent surgical puncture for degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine with the use of alpha-2-adrenomimetic D: percutaneous laser denervation of the facet joints (n = 46) and posterolateral transforaminal endoscopic discectomy (n = 31). We assessed: the level of sedation using the Ramsay Sedation Scale (RSS) and the Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale (RASS); intraoperative dynamics of the cardiovascular and respiratory system parameters; the level of pain syndrome according to VAS. Results: A high intraoperative level of sedation was determined, with RSS -2,-3 and Ramsay III, IV; when transferring a patient to a department (90 minutes) this parameter was RASS 0 and Ramsay II. There were no significant changes in central hemodynamics and respiratory depression. The minimum level of pain was determined immediately after surgery, at 30 and 60 minutes after surgery, and before transfer to the department (90 minutes): 6 (4;9); 10 (8;12); 12 (9;13); 16 (13;19) respectively. The absence of the need for additional analgesics on the first postoperative day was verified. Conclusion: The use of D significantly reduces the level of pain, while maintaining the necessary verbal contact with the patient, and provides the necessary neurovegetative protection without respiratory depression or lowered hemodynamic parameters during the perioperative period. Level of evidence II; Prognostic Studies - Investigating the Effect of a Patient Characteristic on Disease Outcome. Case series, retrospective study.

Keywords: Lumbar Spine; Laser Denervation of the Facet Joint; Posterolateral Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy; Alpha-2-adrenergic; Dexmedetomidine; Fast-Track Surgery; Hemodynamic Stabilization.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Analisar os resultados do uso de dexmedetomidina (D) no tratamento de pacientes com doenças degenerativas da coluna lombar com técnicas de punção. Métodos: O estudo incluiu 77 pacientes submetidos à punção cirúrgica em doenças degenerativas da coluna lombar com o uso de um agonista alfa-2 adrenérgico: denervação percutânea das articulações facetárias com laser (n = 46) e discectomia endoscópica transforaminal posterolateral (n = 31). Foram avaliados o nível de sedação usando a Escala de Sedação de Ramsay (RSS) e a Escala de Sedação e Agitação de Richmond (RASS); a dinâmica intraoperatoria dos parâmetros dos sistemas cardiovascular e respiratório; o nível de síndrome de dor de acordo com a EVA. Resultados: Determinou-se um nível alto intraoperatorio de sedação pela RASS (-2, -3) e pela Ramsay (III, IV). Ao transferir um paciente para outro setor (depois de 90 minutos), esse parâmetro era 0 em RASS e II em Ramsay. Não houve alterações significativas na hemodinâmica central e na depressão respiratória. O nível mínimo de dor foi determinado imediatamente após a cirurgia, 30 e 60 minutos depois da cirurgia e antes da transferência para o outro setor (90 minutos depois): 6 (4;9); 10 (8;12); 12 (9;13); 16 (13;19), respectivamente. A ausência da necessidade de analgesia adicional no primeiro dia pós-operatório foi verificada. Conclusão: O uso de D significativamente reduziu o nível de dor mantendo a comunicação verbal necessária com o paciente e fornece a proteção neurovegetativa necessária sem depressão respiratória e os parâmetros hemodinâmicos reduzidos durante o período perioperatorio. Nível de evidência II; Estudos prognósticos – Investigação do efeito de característica de um paciente sobre o desfecho da doença. Série de casos, Estudo retrospectivo.

Descritores: Coluna Vertebral Lombar; Articulação Facetária de Desnazamento a Laser; Discectomia Endoscópica Transforaminal Posterolateral; Alfa-2-adrenomiméticos; Dexmedetomidina; Cirurgia Acelerada; Estabilização Hemodinâmica.

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Analizar los resultados del uso de dexmedetomidina (D) en el tratamiento de pacientes con enfermedades degenerativas de la columna lumbar con técnicas de punción. Métodos: El estudio incluyó a 77 pacientes con enfermedades degenerativas de la columna lumbar que se sometieron a punción quirúrgica mediante el uso de un agonista adrenérgico alfa-2: denervación percutánea de las articulaciones facetarias con láser (n = 46) y discectomía endoscópica transforaminal posterolateral (n = 31). Se evaluó: el nivel de sedación usando la Escala de Sedación de Ramsay (RSS) y la Escala de Sedación y Agitación de Richmond (RASS); la dinámica intraoperatoria de los parámetros de los sistemas cardiovascular e respiratorio; el nivel de síndrome de dolor según la VAS. Resultados: Se determinó un alto nivel intraoperatorio de sedación con RSS -2, -3 y Ramsay III, IV; al transferir a un paciente a un departamento (90 minutos) este parámetro era 0 en la RSS y II en la Ramsay. No hubo alteraciones significativas en la hemodinámica central y la depresión respiratoria. El nivel mínimo de dolor se determinó inmediatamente después de la cirugía, 30 y 60 minutos después de la cirugía y antes del traslado a otro departamento (90 minutos): 6 (4;9); 10 (8;12); 12 (9;13); 16 (13;19), respectivamente. La ausencia de la necesidad de analgesia adicional en el primer día postoperatorio se verificó. Conclusión: El uso de D significativamente redujo el nivel de dolor manteniendo la comunicación verbal necesaria con el paciente y proporciona la protección neurovegetativa necesaria sin depresión respiratoria y los parámetros hemodinámicos reducidos durante el período postoperatorio. Nivel de evidencia II; Estudios pronósticos – Investigación del efecto de característica de un paciente sobre el desenlace de la enfermedad. Serie de casos, Estudio retrospectivo.

Descritores: Coluna Vertebral Lombar; Articulação Facetária de Desnazamento a Laser; Discectomia Endoscópica Transforaminal Posterolateral; Alfa-2-adrenomiméticos; Dexmedetomidina; Cirurgia Acelerada; Estabilização Hemodinâmica.
INTRODUCTION

Puncture methods for the surgical treatment of degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine are less traumatic and less painful methods of surgical correction when compared with traditional methods, enabling earlier return to activity and patient rehabilitation. Spine surgeons do not properly take into account the degree of anxiety and the level of pain experienced by patients when performing minimally invasive surgical interventions. The implementation of a safe perioperative period and the choice of drugs for anesthesia are aimed at the optimal combination of effective sedation with preservation of consciousness and controlled anesthesia. There is currently a search for the optimum combination of drugs capable of providing these effects when performing minimally invasive spinal procedures without respiratory depression and intubation in the forced position. Nevertheless, when adverse intraoperative consequences occur, surgical procedures should be discontinued, the patient turned over, and the safety of the respiratory system ensured. Also, when conducting surgical puncture treatment, it is important to maintain verbal contact with the patient in order to exclude iatrogenic lesions of neural structures. As a result, insufficient sedation and analgesia are likely to occur, increasing anxiety and pain and the risk of cardiovascular complications.

Dexmedetomidine (D), an imidazoline derivative, is a highly selective agonist of alpha2-adrenoreceptors and has sedative, analgesic, anxiolytic, and sympatholytic properties. The use of D provides a safe depth of mental sedation with no risk of respiratory depression. Most of the drugs used as anesthesia in puncture techniques have several significant drawbacks: cardio- and respiratory depression, a short sedation effect, and the need for additional anesthetics. To reduce the above-mentioned risks and optimize intraoperative levels of sedation of RASS – 2, – 3 and Ramsay – III, IV to be achieved.

The intraoperative changes in the main indices of central hemodynamics are presented in Figures 1 and 2. During the dynamic assessment of the cardiovascular and respiratory parameters, there were no episodes of bradycardia (less than 10% of the initial heart rate), arterial hypotension (less than 10 mm Hg from the initial blood pressure) or decrease in SpO2 values (less than 98%) registered.

In terms of pain severity, there was a significant decrease in preoperative pain levels (p = 0.002) according to the VAS. The minimum pain levels immediately after surgery, at 30 and 60 minutes, and before transfer to the department were 6 (4.9); 10 (8.12); 12 (9.13); 16 (13.19), respectively (Figure 3).

Studying the need for anesthesia, it was found that after the intraoperative infusion of D on the first postoperative day, additional use of analgesics was not required in the vast majority of cases (n = 64, 83.1%).

![Image](image_url)

**Table 1. Characteristics of the patients under study.**

| Criterion                          | Study group, n = 77 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Age, yrs, Me                      | 39 (34; 53)         |
| Sex                               |                     |
| Male, n, %                        | 54 (70.1)           |
| Female, n, %                      | 23 (29.9)           |
| Body mass index, kg/m², Me        | 26.3 [23.7, 27.1]    |
| Risk of anesthesia according to ASA, Me | 2 (2.3)            |

**RESULTS**

General information about the patients studied is presented in Table 1: the patients were mostly male, adults (35-60 years), with high nutritional status (body mass index > 25 kg/m2).

All patients were operated by the same surgical team. The median duration of surgery was 56 (43; 69) min. The use of D enabled intraoperative levels of sedation of RASS – 2, – 3 and Ramsay – III, IV to be achieved.
The use of D achieved a fairly high speed of recovery of psychomotor functions - at the moment of transfer to the department (after 90 minutes) the level of sedation corresponded to 0 on the RASS and II on the Ramsay. In such cases, the need for an extended stay in the intensive care unit is not fixed. No cases in our study required extended stays in the intensive care unit.

**DISCUSSION**

In the past two decades, puncture techniques such as denervation of the facet joints and posterolateral transforaminal endoscopic discectomy have been widely used to reduce painful vertebrogenic syndrome. Many spinal surgeons indicate the possibility of using local anesthesia in minimally invasive spinal interventions. But in some cases, a low individual pain threshold and psychosomatic anxiety do not allow for adequate perioperative anesthesia, requiring use of general anesthesia. In these conditions, the absence of verbal contact with the patient creates a risk of iatrogenic damage to the vascular-neural formations in the presence of anomalies of the latter, as well as due to a change in the normal anatomy in degenerative diseases of the supporting elements of the spinal column.

When performing minimally invasive surgery, not only are sedation and analgesia required, but also maintenance of normal hemodynamic parameters (blood pressure and heart rate). Currently, propofol, benzodiazepines, and opioids are actively used as sedatives. Negative effects of their use include significant disorientation, respiratory depression and changes in hemodynamics.

In general, this study confirmed the effects of D that have been described by different groups of authors who have analyzed the course of anesthesia during various invasive interventions.

Unlike most drugs that act through the GABAergic system and cause dose-dependent respiratory depression, D has a sedative effect by means of the adrenergic pathway, and does not change the patterns of external respiration.

In therapeutic doses, D provokes hemodynamically insignificant sympatholytic effects: it reduces the functional activity of the sinus node, has moderate vasodilation, which helps reduce the intraoperative stress reaction, and prevents the development of arterial hypertension, which is especially important in the presence of concomitant cardiovascular pathology.

D favorably affects the central nervous system: sedation is achieved without changing the bioelectric activity of the brain under conditions identical to natural sleep; vasodilation is accompanied by an improvement in overall cerebral blood flow, without altering the intracranial pressure. The antinociceptive mechanism of D is mainly associated with correction of the vegetative pain reaction. Adequate anesthesia is a necessary condition for the safety of invasive manipulations, and insufficient anesthesia during surgery can be measured by the need for postoperative analgesia. In this work, we noted a significant decrease in the severity of postoperative pain, as well as a decrease in the need for postoperative administration of analgesics.

The study confirms the properties of D aimed at stabilizing intraoperative hemodynamics, while minimizing cardio- and respiratory depression.

In spinal surgery, experience in use of D is minimal, and is based on studies that emphasize the importance of early awakening of patients for assessment of neurological status. In addition, after multilevel fusion, faster functional recovery and decreased blood cytokines and cortisol levels were observed in the group of patients using D, compared with the control group. Thus, taking into account the insufficient amount of information on the use of D for vertebrogenic surgical interventions in the specialized literature, this work is considered relevant.

**CONCLUSION**

The study showed high efficiency and safety of intraoperative sedation with dexmedetomidine, enabling a significant reduction in pain while maintaining the necessary verbal contact with the patient.

The use of dexmedetomidine provides sufficient analgesia and neurovegetative protection without lowered respiratory or hemodynamic levels during the perioperative period.

Further research is required for comparative analysis of various multimodal anesthesia regimens for the provision of anesthesia in patients with vertebrogenic profile.
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