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ABSTRACT

Erosion related to glacial activity produces enormous amounts of sediment. However, sediment mobilization in glacial systems is extremely complex. Sediment is derived from headwalls, slopes along the margins of glaciers, and basal erosion; however, the rates and relative contributions of each are unknown. To test and quantify conceptual models for sediment generation and transport in a simple valley glacier system, we collected samples for 10Be analysis from the Kahiltna Glacier, which flows off Denali, the tallest mountain in North America. We collected angular quartz clasts on bedrock ledges from a high mountainside above the equilibrium line altitude (ELA), amalgamated clast samples from medial moraines, and sand samples from the river below the glacier. We also collected sand from nine other rivers along the south flank of the Alaska Range. In the upper catchment of the Kahiltna drainage system, toppling, rockfall, and slab collapse are significant erosional processes. Erosion rates of hundreds of millimeters per thousand years were calculated from 10Be concentrations. The 10Be concentrations in amalgamated samples from medial moraines showed concentrations much lower than those measured from the high mountainside, a result of the incorporation of thick, effectively unexposed, blocks into the moraine, as well as the incorporation of material from lower-elevation nearby slopes above the moraines. The 10Be sediment samples from downstream of the Kahiltna Glacier terminus showed decreasing concentrations with increasing distance from the moraine, indicating the incorporation of material that was less exposed to cosmic rays, most likely from the glacier base as well as from slopes downstream of the glacier. Taken together, 10Be concentrations in various samples from the Kahiltna drainage system indicated erosion rates of hundreds of millimeters per thousand years, which is typical of tectonically active terrains. We also measured 10Be concentrations from river sediment samples collected from across the south flank of the Alaska Range. Calculation of basinwide weighted erosion rates that incorporated hypsometric curves produced unrealistically high erosion rates, which indicates that the major source of sediment was not exposed to cosmic rays and was primarily derived from the base of glaciers. Moreover, the apparently high erosion rates suggest that parts of each drainage system are not in erosional steady state with respect to cosmogenic isotope accumulation.

INTRODUCTION

Geomorphologists have long recognized the important role of glaciers in shaping some of the most spectacular landscapes on Earth (e.g., Esmark, 1824), including deep fjords, rugged mountains, and broad valleys that drain vast polar ice sheets. Glaciers flowing down alpine cirques and into deep valleys create a distinctive landscape by their vigorous erosion. They produce prodigious quantities of sediment ranging from house-size boulders to fine silt. Such valley glaciers derive their sediment loads from weathering and mass wasting on adjacent slopes and from the abrasion of rock beneath them (e.g., Church and Ryder, 1972; Boulton, 1996; Alley et al., 2003; MacGregor et al., 2009). One problem of glacial geomorphology is that many of the processes related to glacial erosion work beneath ice and are exceptionally difficult to observe or infer.

The specific processes of sediment mobilization in glacial systems are complex. Conceptual models describing the source for glacial sediment, the ways in which glaciers mobilize underlying sediments, and the rapidity with which they do so have been described in several studies (e.g., Bloom, 1998, figure 16-2, p. 356; Ward and Anderson, 2011, Fig. 1). For example, Bloom (1998) stated that most of the sediment transported by valley glaciers was derived from slopes of the upper glacial valley. He also described the route taken by the sediment. In that model, sediment was delivered to the glacier surface in the upper reaches of the glacier, and then gradually buried by the addition of new snow, which became ice as it was buried and transported down valley. In the lower part of the glacier, where melting and ablation are dominant processes, sediment was gradually exposed to form medial and lateral moraines (Fig. 1).

Ward and Anderson (2011), based on Anderson (2000) and Bozhinskii et al. (1986), described in more detail the route taken by glacial sediment. According to their description, sediment shed from cliffs along the sides of valley glaciers was progressively buried in the accumulation zone of the glacier and became entrained in the ice. It was transported down the glacier, embedded in the ice near the margin of the glacier, until the glacier converged with another. The converging edges merged into a band of debris in the center of the combined...
glacier. This continued to move down the glacier until it crossed the equilibrium line altitude (ELA) and began to be exhumed in the ablation zone.

Upon exhumation, debris accumulates at the surface, to form the medial moraine. Once this layer of debris becomes more than a few centimeters thick, it insulates the ice beneath it from ablation (Bozhinskiy et al., 1986; Lundstrom et al., 1993). At that point, the debris-free ice nearby ablates faster than the debris-covered ice, and topography develops on top of the glacier’s surface. This creates a lateral slope between debris-covered and debris-free areas, and debris migrates down this topographic slope. The exhumation rate of debris from within the ice comes into balance with the rate of removal of debris downslope, and the moraine attains a consistent thickness of debris.

During englacial transport of the debris, it is effectively entombed in ice and does not mix much from the way it fell on the surface. Certainly, some mixing may occur (Hambreky et al., 1999), but it is unlikely to be mixed with sediment other than the other debris surrounding it. Along the margins of a glacier, new debris is constantly added to the ice surface as the englacial debris from farther up the glacier translates below it, but these sources do not mix because debris that fell on the glacier farther upstream takes a deeper path through the glacier than does debris that falls farther downstream (Ward and Anderson, 2011). Based on the processes described above, Ward and Anderson (2011) argued that the concentration of cosmogenic $^{10}$Be measured in any medial moraine material represents the rate at which the cliffs at the upper part of the glacier wear back.

In order to test the conceptual models for sediment generation and transport, in a large and relatively simple valley glacier system, we collected samples for detailed $^{10}$Be analysis from the Kahiltna Glacier, which flows off Denali (6190 m), the tallest mountain in North America. Here, we focused on the post–Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) erosion and sediment delivery of the Alaska Range drainage systems. Our sampling strategy was formulated such that it would enable us to determine the source for sediment as well as follow its route within the glacial system. Therefore, we collected clast samples in a vertical transect from a steep granitic mountainside near the head of the glacier, clasts from several medial moraines down the glacier, and river sand immediately downstream of the glacier’s terminus. Using the concentration of in situ $^{10}$Be as a tracer, these samples enabled us to refine our understanding of the sources and routing of glacial sediment. We then extrapolated our interpretations to the south side of the Alaska Range based on results obtained from samples collected from the outlets of other rivers that drain the south side of the Alaska Range.

**Geographical and Geological Setting**

The Alaska Range defines a broad arc across southern Alaska (Fig. 2) that is closely associated with a Cretaceous collision zone as well as the Denali fault (Hickman et al., 1977; Nokleberg et al., 1985; Ridgway et al., 2002; Haeussler et al., 2017a). Presently, three regions of high relief are, from west to east, the Tordrillo Mountains (3374 m), the central Alaska Range (6190 m), and the eastern Alaska Range (4216 m; Fig. 2). Rocks of the Alaska Range consist primarily of metasediments and lesser metavolcanics intruded by granitic rocks that range from Cretaceous to Paleocene–Eocene in age (e.g., Wilson et al., 2015). Uplift and topographic growth of the modern Alaska Range began at ca. 30 Ma and has been linked to the flat-slab subduction and collision of the Yakutat microplate into the southern Alaska margin (Haeussler, 2008; Haeussler et al., 2008; Benowitz et al., 2011; Finzel et al., 2011; Burkett et al., 2016). There is evidence for focused exhumation of the Tordrillo Mountains region and the Denali region, inside the bend of the Denali fault, in mid-Miocene to Pliocene time (Fitzgerald et al., 1995; Haeussler et al., 2008; Lease et al., 2016). Thus, although tectonics continue to influence the topography of the Alaska Range, the mountain range had taken form before the beginning of Pliocene time.

The climate of the Alaska Range varies on the Köppen climate classification system from tundra at the lower elevations to subarctic at the highest elevations of our samples, with local ice-cap climate only near the summits of Denali and Mount Foraker (Peel et al., 2007). Storms that impact the Alaska Range originate along the Aleutians and track to the northeast (Whiteman, 2000). Given counterclockwise atmospheric flow around low-pressure systems, the dominant wind direction is from the south, which results in a very strong rain shadow effect across the mountains. The extent of LGM glaciers was asymmetrical: Those on the south side of the range extended more than 100 km to the ocean; in contrast, glaciers on the north side of the range only extended a few tens of kilometers (Kaufman et al., 2011). Neogene glaciation in Alaska likely began around the beginning of Pliocene time, based on the oldest preserved glacial deposits along the southern Alaska margin (Eyles et al., 1991).

Figure 1. Conceptual description of sediment transport within a glacier, modified from Bloom (1998) and Ward and Anderson (2011). All descriptions are two-dimensional and follow a longitudinal cross section down the glacier. The major difference between previous descriptions and the one presented here is expressed by the blue thick arrows. While previous descriptions suggested that the majority of sediment is derived from the upper headwalls of the glacial system, our description indicates that the major role of sediment input is from slopes immediately above the glacier along the entire length of the glacier. This enables the incorporation of sediment with low $^{10}$Be concentrations, as measured in the medial moraines. ELA—equilibrium line altitude.
Thus, glaciation in the Alaska Range likely began around the same time. Lease (2018) used (U-Th)/He thermochronology data from both zircons and apatites to show that the rate of exhumation of the western Alaska Range increased around 4.2 Ma, which was related to landscape adjustment caused by efficient erosion associated with widespread glaciation. Prior to 4.2 Ma, erosion was slow at \( \leq 0.3 \text{ km m.y.}^{-1} \). Erosion quickened to 1.0–1.6 km m.y.\(^{-1}\) in the period between 4.2 and 2.9 Ma, and then slowed to 0.4–0.7 km m.y.\(^{-1}\) since 2.9 Ma.

The bedrock along and beneath most of the Kahiltna Glacier is Cretaceous slate and graywacke turbidites, with detrital zircons as young as ca. 85 Ma (Fig. 3; Hampton et al., 2010). The turbidites have roughly similar proportions of quartz and feldspar and lesser lithic fragments (Eastham, 2002). These rocks were intruded by the McKinley series of granitic plutons around 58 Ma (Reed and Nelson, 1980; Hung, 2008). The summit of Denali and all of the taller peaks farther down the glacier consist of this granite. A large thrust fault lies along the west side of the Kahiltna Glacier in its upper reaches (Reed and Nelson, 1980; Haessler, 2008). On the west side of the fault, there is a mixture of older Paleozoic metavolcanic and metasedimentary rocks and minor Devonian limestone intruded by 38 Ma granodiorite of the Foraker pluton (Reed and Lanphere, 1974). The Mount Foraker massif consists mostly of this granodiorite. None of these rock types, except the Foraker pluton, would be expected to contribute much quartz to the drainage system.

Our sampling scheme for the catchments was based on ease and expense of access. We focused only on catchments on the south side of the Alaska Range, as we did not want to attempt to complicate our results with variations in erosion rate due to rain shadow effects. The size of the sampled catchments varied from 649 to 4469 km\(^2\), with an average size of 1658 km\(^2\), except for the Susitna River catchment, which is much larger at 16,303 km\(^2\) (Table 1).

**METHODS**

Thirty-one samples were collected for cosmogenic \(^{10}\)Be analysis from southward-flowing drainage systems that discharge from the Alaska Range (Figs. 2 and 3; Table 1). Sample types were selected such that the cosmogenic isotope concentrations measured in them could be used as indicators for sediment source and route. These samples included the following:

1. Seven samples of mixed sand and clasts were collected from bedrock ledges on the southwestern flank of the Kahiltna Peaks, a granitic
mountain along the east side of the Kahiltna Glacier (Figs. 3 and 4). Each sample was collected from a single ledge. Ledges ranged in elevation between 2407 and 2855 m above sea level (masl). Each sample consisted of about a liter of sand, gravel, and >100 angular and fresh clasts (up to 3 cm). The $^{10}$Be concentration measured in these samples enabled us to evaluate the amount of sediment that is derived from the higher parts of the drainage system and incorporated into the glacial system.

(2) Seven samples of amalgamated clasts were collected from medial moraines on the Kahiltna Glacier west of Avalanche Spire (Figs. 3, 5, and 6). Five of these samples were collected from elevations ranging between 1377 and 1390 masl, the northernmost locations where we could safely sample the medial moraine material. Although we are not able to precisely trace each medial moraine to the source confluence, the westernmost sample (14PH018 consisting of granite) likely was sourced from the west side of Mount Hunter. The next moraine eastward (sample 14PH017) was likely sourced from the peak between Mount Hunter and Avalanche Spire. This sample consisted dominantly of granite and minor slate and graywacke with quartz veins. Samples 14PH019, 14PH020, and 14PH021 were all sourced from the basins on the north and east side of Avalanche Spire. The easternmost sampled moraine (14PH021) could be clearly traced to the confluenct of glaciers at the northwestern extent of Avalanche Spire. Two additional medial moraine samples were collected at elevations of 551 and 559 masl, ~14 km north of the glacier terminus. It was not possible to trace these medial moraines northward to their origin.
source. All samples consisted of ~100 clasts, 2–5 cm across, composing a total volume of ~3 L. At each site, samples were collected by walking along 100–200 m length and 20–40 m width of the medial moraine. Where clasts consisted of flysch, only samples having quartz veins were collected. The 10Be concentration measured in these samples enabled us to evaluate how much sediment in the medial moraines was derived from the higher parts of the drainage system relative to sediment eroded from nearby slopes at lower elevations.

(3) Five sediment samples were collected from the Kahiltna River downstream from the glacier terminus (Figs. 3 and 7). Each sample consisted of ~1 L of coarse sand collected across sand bars in the braid plain. The 10Be concentration measured in these samples enabled us to evaluate how much sediment in the river was derived from the medial moraines relative to sediment from the base of the glacier and from the nearby slopes downstream from the glacier terminus.

(4) Twelve sediment samples were collected from eight other rivers (in addition to the Kahiltna River) that drain the south side of the Alaska Range (Figs. 2 and 7). Each sample consisted of ~1 L of coarse sand collected across sand bars in the braid plain. Samples were collected several kilometers downstream from where the rivers exit the range. Two of the 12 samples were collected from the Nenana River. Three samples were collected from the Susitna River system. Two of them were located close to

TABLE 1. GEOGRAPHICAL AND LITHOLOGICAL DATA FOR ALASKA RANGE SAMPLES

| Sample name | Lat (ºN) | Long (ºW) | Sample elevation* (masl) | Max elevation in drainage (masl) | Geomorphic location | Geographic location | Drainage area (km²) | Distance from glacier terminus (km) | Sample composition |
|-------------|----------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 14PH001     | 63.016   | 151.146   | 2855                     | Mountainside                   | Kahiltna Peaks     | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH002     | 63.015   | 151.146   | 2797                     | Mountainside                   | Kahiltna Peaks     | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH003     | 63.015   | 151.147   | 2734                     | Mountainside                   | Kahiltna Peaks     | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH004     | 63.016   | 151.145   | 2678                     | Mountainside                   | Kahiltna Peaks     | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH005     | 63.016   | 151.151   | 2594                     | Mountainside                   | Kahiltna Peaks     | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH006     | 63.016   | 151.153   | 2486                     | Mountainside                   | Kahiltna Peaks     | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH007     | 63.017   | 151.156   | 2407                     | Mountainside                   | Kahiltna Peaks     | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH017     | 62.820   | 151.257   | 1390                     | Moraine                        | Kahiltna Glacier   | Granite and flysch|                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH018     | 62.824   | 151.260   | 1394                     | Moraine                        | Kahiltna Glacier   | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH019     | 62.821   | 151.255   | 1392                     | Moraine                        | Kahiltna Glacier   | Granite and flysch|                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH020     | 62.812   | 151.249   | 1369                     | Moraine                        | Kahiltna Glacier   | Flysch and granite|                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH021     | 62.812   | 151.247   | 1377                     | Moraine                        | Kahiltna Glacier   | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH023     | 62.608   | 151.282   | 551                      | Moraine                        | Kahiltna Glacier   | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH024     | 62.608   | 151.281   | 559                      | Moraine                        | Kahiltna Glacier   | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH025     | 62.447   | 151.166   | 212                      | River                           | Kahiltna River     | Granite           |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH026     | 62.420   | 151.212   | 213                      | River                           | Kahiltna River     | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH027     | 62.417   | 151.161   | 213                      | River                           | Kahiltna River     | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 14PH028     | 62.385   | 151.178   | 217                      | River                           | Kahiltna River     | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 11PH010     | 62.363   | 151.180   | 214                      | River                           | Kahiltna River     | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 11PH011     | 62.874   | 149.955   | 307                      | River                           | Fountain River      | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 11PH012     | 62.628   | 150.406   | 200                      | River                           | River               | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 11PH013     | 62.361   | 151.910   | 76                       | River                           | East Fork Yentna River | Sand     |                    |                                    |                   |
| 11PH015     | 62.378   | 152.020   | 119                      | River                           | West Fork Yentna River | Sand     |                    |                                    |                   |
| 11PH018     | 61.883   | 151.513   | 77                       | River                           | Skwentna River      | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 16PH001     | 63.375   | 148.378   | 692                      | River                           | Nenana River        | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 16PH002     | 63.386   | 148.473   | 672                      | River                           | Nenana River        | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 11PH009     | 63.405   | 150.125   | 104                      | River                           | Susitna River       | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 16PH003     | 63.105   | 147.524   | 773                      | River                           | Susitna River       | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 16PH004     | 63.122   | 146.531   | 891                      | River                           | Susitna River       | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 16PH005     | 63.348   | 145.733   | 757                      | River                           | Delta River         | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |
| 16PH006     | 63.302   | 145.307   | 441                      | River                           | Gakona River        | Sand              |                    |                                    |                   |

*Sample elevation is also the lowest point in each investigated drainage system (masl—meters above sea level).
the range front, and one was significantly downstream and encompassed
a much larger area, which includes the Talkeetna Mountains to the south.
Samples were prepared for $^{10}$Be analysis at the Cosmogenic Laboratory
of The Hebrew University of Jerusalem following standard procedures (Kohl
and Nishiizumi, 1992; Bierman and Caffee, 2001). Sediment samples were
sieved; clast samples were first crushed and then sieved. The 250–850 mm
fraction in all samples was analyzed for cosmogenic nuclide concentration
measurements. All samples were analyzed for their $^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be ratios at the
accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) facility (ASTER) at Centre de Recherche
et d’Enseignement de Géosciences de l’Environnement (CEREGE), Aix-en-
Provence, France.

In the following discussion, we mainly consider the measured concentra-
tions as tracers that indicate sediment source and sediment mixing. However,
to provide broad estimates of cliff and slope erosion rates, we also consider
the measured concentrations as representing steady-state erosion rates fol-
lowing Lal (1991) and assuming $t \gg 1/(\lambda + \mu \varepsilon)$:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\mu \left( \frac{P}{N} - \lambda \right)}$$

where $P$ is the production rate (atoms g$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$), $N$ is the measured concentration
(atomic g$^{-1}$), $\mu$ is the absorption coefficient (cm$^{-1}$), which is equal to $p/A$, with $p$
being the target’s density (g cm⁻³) and Λ being the attenuation length (g cm⁻²), ε is the erosion rate, and λ is the decay constant of the measured nuclide. A sea-level, high-latitude spallation production rate of 4.01 atoms g⁻¹ SiO₂ yr⁻¹ (Borchers et al., 2016) was used for all calculations. Production rates were scaled for latitude and altitude following Stone (2000). Muon production values and attenuation lengths were from Granger and Muzikar (2001) and Granger and Smith (2000). A neutron attenuation length of 165 g cm⁻² and ¹⁰Be decay constant of 4.99 × 10⁻⁷ yr⁻¹  were used for all calculations (Balco et al., 2008). We applied a rock density of 2.65 g cm⁻³. Topographic shielding corrections were applied only to the seven samples collected from the Kaitlna Peaks along the upper part of the Kaitlna Glacier (see discussion below). We assumed steady-state erosion for all samples. However, we recognize that this may not have been achieved in the high and steep granitic cliffs at the headwaters of the glacial systems. However, for sediment samples, this assumption may be reasonable (see discussion below). Nevertheless, we stress that our erosion rate calculations provide only a time-averaged order of magnitude estimate of erosion in this environment.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Modes of Erosion and the Assumption of Steady State

We used the measured ¹⁰Be concentrations mainly as indicators for sediment source and mixing, and as indicators for the routing of the sediment. The use of measured concentrations of cosmogenic isotopes as tracers has been successful in many environments and yielded valuable information (e.g., Clapp et al., 2001; Nichols et al., 2002, 2005; Matmon et al., 2006; Reusser and Bierman, 2010; Fruchter et al., 2011; Nelson et al., 2014; Fame et al., 2018, 2019). However, we also interpreted them in terms of average erosion rates in order
to get a general feel of the magnitude of erosion in the region. Because glacial erosion perturbs cosmogenic nuclide depth profiles to various degrees, which may lead to erroneous calculated erosion rates (e.g., Wittmann et al., 2007; Schaller et al., 2002; Roller et al., 2013; Glotzbach et al., 2014), it is important to evaluate how close isotopic concentrations are to steady state.

When considering cosmogenic isotope concentrations in terms of erosion rates, it is assumed that $^{10}$Be concentrations represent constant, long-term (generally $>10^3$ yr) erosion. Generally, this assumption is valid if: (1) a rock is eroded by a process that removes infinite layers continuously, and (2) a total thickness of at least 2 attenuation depths of fast neutrons has been eroded. This depth is dependent on the density of the matter being eroded. Most of the country rock being eroded within the drainage systems we sampled is composed of granite and metasedimentary rocks, all of which have a density of 2.6–2.7 g cm$^{-3}$ (Haeussler et al., 2017b). At such densities, the typical depth of attenuation would be ~60 cm (attenuation depth = $\Lambda/\rho$). At 2 attenuation depths (~120 cm), production decreases to $1/e^2$ of the surface production rate, and the majority of cosmogenic nuclides are within that depth. In other words, once a 120 cm thickness of rock has been removed by relatively constant erosion, $^{10}$Be concentrations at the surface approach steady state (e.g., Kirchner et al., 2001; Glotzbach et al., 2014).

We considered three geomorphic settings when discussing steady state:

1. The headwall cliffs of the glacial cirque: Erosion of the resistant granitic cliffs near the headwater of the glacier on the Kahiltna Peaks occurs both by grain-by-grain erosion (as evidenced by the samples we collected on the bedrock ledges) and by toppling, rockfall, and slab collapse (Fig. 8). The concentration of $^{10}$Be in sediment from this setting will depend on the thickness of the slabs and the frequency of slab detachment. Here, slabs that topple can be several meters in thickness, periodically exposing fresh rock faces that are not in isotopic steady state. Therefore, the erosion rates calculated from $^{10}$Be concentrations measured in samples from the high granitic cliffs must be considered cautiously (Reinhardt et al., 2007).

2. Slopes along the length of the glacier: For erosion rates between 100 and 600 mm k.y.$^{-1}$, typical of high and tectonically active mountain ranges (e.g., Scherler et al., 2014; Matmon et al., 2009, and references therein), 2–12 k.y. are required to remove 120 cm. This time span is shorter than the time since major post-LGM deglaciation of the region. The threshold slope for the friable metasedimentary rocks that compose most of the slopes along the length of the glacier is ~20° (Ward et al., 2012), and erosion occurs through physical weathering of small grains and clast and shallow landslides. As long as these processes are dominant, $^{10}$Be concentrations will reflect the actual long-term average erosion rate (Reinhardt et al., 2007). Therefore, at high erosion rates typical of tectonically active mountain ranges, such as the Alaska Range, we assume that at least 120 cm of rock have been eroded since deglaciation, and that, with respect to $^{10}$Be, concentrations approach steady state. The approach to steady state, as opposed to the actual achievement of steady state, results in overestimated erosion rates and requires a correction. Glotzbach et al. (2014) modeled this correction and showed...
Figure 7. Photographs of sampling sites below the toe of the Kahiltna Glacier by Peter Haeussler (U.S. Geological Survey). (A) Oblique aerial photograph looking northwesterly toward the toe of the Kahiltna Glacier. The prominent medial moraines can be seen, as well as the region of stagnating and receding ice on the left side of the photograph. For scale, the distance between the light colored terminal moraine and the prominent stream in the lower left is about 1.7 km. (B) Looking downstream of the toe of the Kahiltna Glacier and at the headwaters of the Kahiltna River. (C) View of part of the headwaters of the Kahiltna River showing two of the sampling localities (approximately located). For scale, the distance between sample locations 14PH025 and 14PH027 is 3.4 km. (D) View toward the Kahiltna Glacier from sampling site 14PH025 on the Kahiltna River braid plain. Shovel for scale. (E) Satellite map showing sampling localities. Background image is from ESRI World Imagery compilation (https://services.arcgisonline.com/ArcGIS/rest/services/World_Imagery/MapServer/0).
that for deglaciation that occurred ca. 15–10 ka and for postglaciation erosion rates that range between 100 and 600 mm k.y.⁻¹, corrected erosion rates are still in the hundreds of millimeters per thousand years.

(3) Outwash rivers: Here, sediment from the entire glacial basin is mixed. This includes sediment from the headwalls of cirques, sediment from slopes along the glacier, and sediment eroded from the base of the glacier, which has not been exposed to cosmic radiation. Therefore, the assumption of steady state is invalid, and the calculation of basinwide erosion rates is meaningless, regardless of whether the sample elevation or a basinwide weighted average production rate is applied for this calculation. Nevertheless, as weathering and mass wasting along the Alaska Range are likely stochastic and variable and may be influenced by specific mass-wasting events and change rapidly both in time and space, we argue that the difference in calculated erosion rates between the various drainage systems is insignificant, regardless of whether these rates were calculated considering the basin-wide weighted production rate or the sampling elevation production rate. The important outcome is that all rates, regardless of mathematical manipulations, are in the 10⁻² mm k.y.⁻¹ magnitude and indicate rapid erosion.

Figure 8. Sequence of photographs showing collapse of part of a rock buttress and incorporation of this material into a glacier in less than a 7 yr period. The location of this mountainside is indicated by the arrow on Figure 3, and the total height of the failure is ~300 m as shown in parts (B) and (C). Photographs are by Peter Haeussler (U.S. Geological Survey). (A) Photograph prior to buttress collapse from 18 June 2006. (B) Photograph taken during active buttress collapse on 26 June 2010. For the week we (Haeussler) were camped in this valley, there was the constant sound of falling rocks coming from this buttress. Dotted white line shows edge of failure. (C) Photograph after buttress collapse was complete (taken on 3 June 2013). Dotted white line shows edge of failure. Note this region is larger than that in 2010. No sounds of rockfall were heard coming from this area for the week that we (Haeussler) were camped there in 2013.

Erosion near the Head of Kahiltna Glacier

The $^{10}$Be concentrations in sediment samples collected from the mountainside ledges of the Kahiltna Peaks ($n=7$) ranged between $41.1 \times 10^3 \pm 4.0 \times 10^3$ and $140.4 \times 10^3 \pm 7.5 \times 10^3$ atoms g⁻¹ quartz, with an average of $78.8 \times 10^3 \pm 2.1 \times 10^3$ atoms g⁻¹ quartz (Figs. 8 and 9; Table 2). They were distinctively higher than those measured in moraine and river sediment samples. Despite the presence of the adjacent and large valley glacier, we observed no glacially striated surfaces anywhere on the mountainside. Thus, although it is a glacially sculpted landscape, in detail, all surfaces have been further eroded. The granitic mountains at the head of the Kahiltna Glacier erode in two major modes: (1) continuous surface erosion and (2) toppling, rockfall, and slab collapse. Continuous surface erosion produces sediment composed of sand and gravel (as found and collected on the rock ledges). As these particles are derived from the exposed surface of the granitic cliffs, they contain the maximum possible concentration of cosmogenic nuclides and represent the
continuous erosion of the exposed granitic surfaces. Toppling, rockfall, and slab collapse produce massive piles of sediment composed of particles ranging in size from sand to boulders. These particles are derived both from the surface of the cliffs as well as from depths of up to tens of meters (depending on the thickness of the collapsing slab). Therefore, the accumulation of cosmogenic nuclides in these particles ranges between the maximum possible to essentially zero. Sediments from both processes mix later in the medial moraine.

Each of the seven samples collected from the 500-m-tall side of the granitic Kahiltna Peaks near the head of Kahiltna Glacier represents a mix of grains that were detached from the exposed surface of the rock above the sample elevation. The pattern of \(^{10}\)Be concentrations versus elevation (Fig. 9) indicates that the sediment on each given ledge was derived predominantly from the cliff faces immediately above the respective ledge; if the sediment had been transported downhill from ledge to ledge, mixing of sediment from different elevations would have occurred, and the lower ledges would have been covered by highly dosed sediments.

The interpretation of the seven samples collected from the granitic Kahiltna Peaks in terms of erosion rates requires the determination of the site-specific production rate of \(^{10}\)Be. However, accurately determining the site-specific production of cosmogenic nuclides is difficult because of the amount of topographic shielding. We therefore provide three paths for erosion rate calculations (Table 3): (1) assuming no horizon shielding (i.e., shielding scaling factor = 1), (2) assuming an infinite vertical cliff above each sample (i.e., shielding scaling factor = 0.5), and (3) assuming a linear decrease in shielding from bottom to top (i.e., shielding factor changes linearly from 0.5 at the bottom to 1 at the top). In all cases, we considered the elevation of the sample for production rate calculation. The first case (shielding scaling factor = 1) provided erosion rates ranging between 178 and 481 mm k.y.\(^{-1}\). The second case provided erosion rates ranging between 89 and 240 mm k.y.\(^{-1}\). The third case provided erosion rates ranging between 160 and 326 mm k.y.\(^{-1}\). All calculations showed extremely high erosion rates, on the order of \(10^3\) mm k.y.\(^{-1}\), typical for tectonically active regions of high mountainous relief (e.g., Matmon et al., 2009, and references therein; Matmon and Zilberman, 2017).

The concentration versus elevation profile showed a general increase in concentration with elevation (Fig. 10). The general increase in concentrations could be partially the result of the increase of cosmogenic nuclide production.
rate with elevation. However, this is not the only factor. This is revealed by the fact that erosion rates also increase with elevation. Many studies deal with the morphologic development of glacial cirques and their relation to range topography (e.g., MacGregor, 2004; Brook et al., 2006; Sanders et al., 2010, 2012; Mitchell and Humphries, 2014; Scherler, 2014); the details of headwall retreat and variations in erosion up the headwall still warrant investigation.

Medial Moraine Material (Upper Glacier)

A 20 yr average of the modern ELA on the Kahiltna Glacier is 2104 masl, and the speed of the glacier near this location has been 195 m yr⁻¹ (Burrows and Adema, 2011). However, medial moraines appear much more distinctly at elevations below ~1800 masl. Because our sampling sites were positioned close to the initial appearance of the moraines at the surface of the glacier, it is expected that the moraine sediment would be derived from the cliffs and slopes in the accumulating zone of the system. The five samples collected from medial and lateral moraines at elevations that ranged between 1369 and 1394 masl yielded 10Be concentrations that ranged between 16.4 × 10³ ± 1.2 × 10³ and 47.5 × 10³ ± 5.0 × 10³ atoms g⁻¹ quartz with an average of 26.8 × 10³ ± 2.6 × 10³ atoms g⁻¹ quartz (Table 2). These concentrations are similar to those measured by Ward and Anderson (2011) on the medial moraines of glaciers in the nearby Kichatna Mountains.

The 10Be concentration in the samples collected from medial moraines in the upper part of the glacier are distinctively and significantly lower than those measured from the mountainside samples (Table 2). This means that sediment produced from high elevations, with high 10Be concentrations, is not a significant component of the sedimentary volume in the medial moraines.

| Sample name | Geomorphic location | Geographic location | Quartz (g) | Be carrier (mg) | ¹⁰Be/²⁷Be (×10⁻¹⁵) | ¹⁰Be (10³ atoms g⁻¹) |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 14PH001     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 12.221     | 0.18           | 142.61 ± 7.06      | 140.38 ± 5.0          |
| 14PH002     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 12.362     | 0.266          | 63.39 ± 3.42       | 91.16 ± 5.24          |
| 14PH003     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 10.984     | 0.2562         | 69.25 ± 4.29       | 107.95 ± 7.02         |
| 14PH004     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 11.735     | 0.2646         | 44.91 ± 3.15       | 67.67 ± 4.93          |
| 14PH005     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 14.144     | 0.2548         | 37.04 ± 2.68       | 44.59 ± 3.35          |
| 14PH006     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 10.561     | 0.252          | 25.77 ± 2.47       | 41.10 ± 4.02          |
| 14PH007     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 10.756     | 0.191          | 49.46 ± 3.95       | 58.70 ± 4.83          |
| 14PH017     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 8.909      | 0.2548         | 24.86 ± 2.58       | 47.52 ± 5.03          |
| 14PH018     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 12.49      | 0.172          | 28.68 ± 2.92       | 24.55 ± 2.73          |
| 14PH019     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 9.313      | 0.252          | 9.38 ± 2.10        | 16.96 ± 3.82          |
| 14PH020     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 12.812     | 0.212          | 25.68 ± 9.75       | 28.40 ± 10.79         |
| 14PH021     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 23.632     | 0.2618         | 22.20 ± 1.59       | 16.44 ± 1.22          |
| 14PH023     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 11.013     | 0.17           | 25.48 ± 3.48       | 26.29 ± 3.62          |
| 14PH024     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 11.267     | 0.2576         | 20.99 ± 1.56       | 32.08 ± 2.47          |
| 14PH025     | River               | Kahiltna River      | 12.705     | 0.252          | 18.47 ± 1.60       | 24.48 ± 2.17          |
| 14PH026     | River               | Kahiltna River      | 10.509     | 0.266          | 16.41 ± 1.54       | 27.75 ± 2.67          |
| 14PH027     | River               | Kahiltna River      | 11.526     | 0.2772         | 12.57 ± 1.26       | 20.20 ± 2.06          |
| 14PH028     | River               | Kahiltna River      | 14.179     | 0.2576         | 14.67 ± 1.54       | 18.06 ± 1.90          |
| 11PH013     | River               | Kahiltna River      | 18.782     | 0.212          | 18.50 ± 3.20       | 13.96 ± 2.43          |
| 11PH011     | River               | Fountain River      | 13.381     | 0.216          | 11.79 ± 2.00       | 12.74 ± 2.18          |
| 11PH012     | River               | Ruth River           | 12.605     | 0.214          | 18.09 ± 2.23       | 20.48 ± 2.56          |
| 11PH014     | River               | East Fork Yentna River | 18.44   | 0.212          | 10.33 ± 2.51       | 7.94 ± 1.93           |
| 11PH015     | River               | West Fork Yentna River | 15.818 | 0.215          | 15.42 ± 2.06       | 14.00 ± 1.89          |
| 11PH018     | River               | Skwentna River      | 8.214      | 0.218          | 4.68 ± 1.63        | 8.29 ± 2.89           |
| 16PH001     | River               | Nenana River        | 9.573      | 0.266          | 22.35 ± 1.83       | 41.51 ± 3.50          |
| 16PH002     | River               | Nenana River        | 13.094     | 0.2646         | 6.09 ± 1.16        | 8.23 ± 1.58           |
| 11PH009     | River               | Susitna River       | 12.925     | 0.215          | 6.41 ± 1.73        | 7.12 ± 1.93           |
| 16PH003     | River               | Susitna River       | 9.259      | 0.2604         | 15.29 ± 1.95       | 28.74 ± 3.71          |
| 16PH004     | River               | Susitna River       | 13.212     | 0.2688         | 8.06 ± 1.68        | 10.96 ± 2.30          |
| 16PH005     | River               | Delta River         | 10.434     | 0.2562         | 6.21 ± 1.13        | 10.19 ± 1.87          |
| 16PH006     | River               | Gakona River        | 11.156     | 0.252          | 1.29 ± 0.92        | 1.95 ± 1.39           |
Other sources, with much lower $^{10}\text{Be}$ concentrations, must supply the majority of the sediment to the medial moraine and dilute the concentration of sediment from the mountainsides. As the incorporation of subglacial sediment into medial moraines is implausible, since it is expected to appear only near the glacier’s terminus, we consider two other possible sources of sediment:

1. Sediment produced by the mix of material from headwall slab collapse, rockfall, and toppling: The $^{10}\text{Be}$ concentration in such mixed sediment would be controlled by the rate of continuous slope erosion, the thickness of the collapsing slab, and the production rate of cosmogenic nuclides, which would depend on latitude and elevation and the thickness of snow throughout the year. We made an unusual observation of sidewall collapse and integration of sediment into the glacier near the head of the nearby Coffee Glacier (Fig. 8). We saw that the base of a small buttress, ~200 m tall, ~100 m wide, and ~30 m thick, was removed

### TABLE 3. CALCULATED EROSION RATES FOR ALASKA RANGE SAMPLES

| Sample name | Geomorphic location | Geographic location | Geomorphic location | Geologic location | Scaling factor* | $^{10}\text{Be}$ erosion rate* (mm k.y.$^{-1}$) | Scaling factor† | $^{10}\text{Be}$ erosion rate† (mm k.y.$^{-1}$) | Scaling factor§ | $^{10}\text{Be}$ erosion rate§ (mm k.y.$^{-1}$) |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 14PH001     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 9.77                | 178.3 ± 23.9      | 4.90          | 89.0 ± 11.9                                 | 9.77          | 178.3 ± 23.9                                 |
| 14PH002     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 9.44                | 265.3 ± 35.9      | 4.73          | 132.5 ± 18.0                                 | 8.75          | 254.9 ± 33.3                                 |
| 14PH003     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 9.03                | 214.1 ± 29.7      | 4.52          | 106.9 ± 14.9                                 | 7.73          | 193.3 ± 25.5                                 |
| 14PH004     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 8.74                | 330.9 ± 47.2      | 4.38          | 165.3 ± 23.6                                 | 6.71          | 254.0 ± 36.2                                 |
| 14PH005     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 8.24                | 473.7 ± 68.1      | 4.13          | 236.7 ± 34.0                                 | 5.69          | 326.9 ± 47.0                                 |
| 14PH006     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 7.71                | 481.0 ± 75.4      | 3.86          | 240.3 ± 37.7                                 | 4.67          | 291.1 ± 45.7                                 |
| 14PH007     | Mountainside        | Kahiltna Peaks      | 7.30                | 318.5 ± 47.0      | 3.65          | 159.1 ± 23.5                                 | 3.65          | 159.1 ± 23.5                                 |
| 14PH017     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 3.47                | 187.0 ± 30.3      |              |                                            |               |                                            |
| 14PH018     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 3.48                | 363.4 ± 60.2      |              |                                            |               |                                            |
| 14PH019     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 3.47                | 524.5 ± 134.5     |              |                                            |               |                                            |
| 14PH020     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 3.42                | 308.0 ± 123.1     |              |                                            |               |                                            |
| 14PH021     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 3.44                | 537.0 ± 77.0      |              |                                            |               |                                            |
| 14PH023     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 1.72                | 167.0 ± 30.9      |              |                                            |               |                                            |
| 14PH024     | Moraine             | Kahiltna Glacier    | 1.73                | 137.9 ± 20.0      |              |                                            |               |                                            |
| 14PH025     | River               | Kahiltna River      | 1.24                | 129.9 ± 19.7      | 4.9           | 510.5 ± 77.2                                 |               |                                            |
| 14PH026     | River               | Kahiltna River      | 1.24                | 114.6 ± 17.9      | 4.9           | 450.3 ± 70.2                                 |               |                                            |
| 14PH027     | River               | Kahiltna River      | 1.24                | 157.5 ± 25.2      | 4.9           | 619.0 ± 98.8                                 |               |                                            |
| 14PH028     | River               | Kahiltna River      | 1.26                | 178.0 ± 28.8      | 4.9           | 692.2 ± 119.9                                |               |                                            |
| 11PH013     | River               | Kahiltna River      | 1.25                | 228.9 ± 48.8      | 4.9           | 895.5 ± 190.9                                |               |                                            |
| 11PH011     | River               | Fountain River      | 1.37                | 274.8 ± 57.9      | 4.2           | 838.9 ± 176.7                                |               |                                            |
| 11PH012     | River               | Ruth River           | 1.23                | 153.8 ± 27.0      | 4.7           | 587.7 ± 102.9                                |               |                                            |
| 11PH014     | River               | East Fork Yentna River | 1.08            | 349.8 ± 95.4      | 3.4           | 1094.5 ± 298.2                               |               |                                            |
| 11PH015     | River               | West Fork Yentna River | 1.13            | 207.4 ± 37.9      | 2.8           | 504.0 ± 92.0                                 |               |                                            |
| 11PH018     | River               | Skwentna River      | 1.09                | 335.4 ± 124.1     | 2.9           | 897.2 ± 331.9                                |               |                                            |
| 16PH001     | River               | Nenana River        | 1.94                | 119.6 ± 17.8      | 2.6           | 160.5 ± 23.9                                 |               |                                            |
| 16PH002     | River               | Nenana River        | 1.91                | 594.2 ± 135.5     | 2.6           | 815.6 ± 185.9                                |               |                                            |
| 11PH009     | River               | Susitna River       | 1.11                | 401.7 ± 119.5     | 2.7           | 974.3 ± 287.9                                |               |                                            |
| 16PH003     | River               | Susitna River       | 2.08                | 185.4 ± 33.0      | 2.9           | 254.1 ± 45.3                                 |               |                                            |
| 16PH004     | River               | Susitna River       | 2.31                | 539.7 ± 131.1     | 3.1           | 717.3 ± 174.2                                |               |                                            |
| 16PH005     | River               | Delta River         | 2.06                | 517.5 ± 114.1     | 2.9           | 734.7 ± 161.9                                |               |                                            |
| 16PH006     | River               | Gakona River        | 1.55                | 2038.2 ± 1472.6   | 2.4           | 3171.5 ± 2291.4                              |               |                                            |

**Note:** All calculations used a sea-level high-latitude production rate by spallation of 4.01 atoms g$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Borchers et al., 2016).

*Scaling factor for mountainside samples was calculated assuming no shielding. Scaling factor for river samples was calculated considering sample elevation. Erosion rates were calculated considering the corresponding scaling factors.

†Scaling factor for mountainside samples was calculated assuming infinite vertical cliff. Scaling factor for river samples was calculated considering basin-weighted mean production. Erosion rates were calculated considering the corresponding scaling factors.

§Scaling factor for mountainside samples was calculated assuming no shielding at the topmost sample and linearly increasing the shielding to the lowermost sample, where an infinite vertical cliff was assumed.
and incorporated into the glacier in a time span of less than 7 yr. Rock fragments with high $^{10}$Be concentrations from the exposed surface of the buttress would have been diluted with shielded and less-dosed rock fragments from deeper in the slab after incorporation into the margin of the glacier. Using the rock density, we calculated that a depth profile with an integrated concentration of $^{10}$Be that ranges between 20,000 and 30,000 atoms g$^{-1}$ (similar to those measured in medial moraines both in this study and by Ward and Anderson [2011]) would develop in rock slabs ranging in thickness between 40 and 450 cm.

(2) Sediment derived from mountainside slopes at elevations adjacent to the medial moraines: These slopes are mostly underlain by soft low-grade metasedimentary rock and have lower-angle slopes. The generation of sediment from these slopes with a concentration of $-26,000$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz, which is the average $^{10}$Be concentration of the five samples collected from medial moraines at the upper part of the glacier, requires erosion rates of $-300$ mm k.y.$^{-1}$. These rates are rapid but reasonable for tectonically active mountainous regions with high relief (Matmon et al., 2009, and references therein), and especially with soft and friable erosion rates of $\sim300$ mm k.y.$^{-1}$. These rates are rapid but reasonable for tectonically active mountainous regions with high relief (Matmon et al., 2009, and references therein), and especially with soft and friable underlying rock. We note that these erosion rates were calculated with no topographic shielding or snow cover corrections. We applied an elevation of 1400 masl to calculate $^{10}$Be production rate on these slopes.

Of the five samples collected and measured from the upper medial moraines, sample 14PH021 has the shortest distance to its source (Fig. 5). It was collected from the easternmost medial moraine, which is fed directly by debris at the confluence of the glaciers 1 km to the north (Fig. 5). Therefore, the concentration measured in sample 14PH021 ($16.4 \times 10^3 \pm 1.2 \times 10^3$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz) represents the erosion of the lowest-elevation slopes in our sample suite. It yielded the lowest concentration of the five samples, with only one other medial moraine sample (14PH019) being similar to it. The rate of slope erosion required in order to supply sediment to the moraine with $^{10}$Be concentration of $-16,000$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz, similar to that measured in sample 14PH021, is $\sim540$ mm k.y.$^{-1}$. All the above calculated rates are only broad estimates because the actual production rate is not precisely known.

Overall, the majority of sediment supplied to the medial moraines immediately below the ELA is derived from several sources: (1) granitic peaks at the head of the Kahiltna Glacier and (2) the lower slopes around the ELA elevation. The granitic peaks erode by the infrequent collapse of slabs and continuous erosion of the granitic surfaces. These processes provide a mix of sediment with $^{10}$Be concentrations that range from nearly zero in fragments that were shielded prior to collapse to the maximum possible concentration that is controlled by the elevation of the mountainside. The highly dosed material produced on exposed faces of the high mountains by continuous erosion is not a dominant sediment supplier, however. The lower slopes, around the ELA elevation, erode at hundreds of millimeters per thousand years and supply material to lateral moraines that become medial moraines downslope. The relative contribution of these two sources is hard
to evaluate since the ratio of granitic clasts versus metasedimentary clasts ranged from pure granite to pure flysch across the sampled moraines. Ward et al. (2012) discussed the influence of rock type on glacial erosion. Their discussion is relevant to the main subject of our paper in the underlying idea that friable and fractured rock (such as the metasedimentary rocks in the southern Alaska Range) erodes fast, loses mass, and produces a lot of sediment, whereas resistant granitic rock erodes slowly, maintains steep cliffs and relief, and does not produce much sediment. They reached this conclusion through morphometric analyses of the glacial valley, latitudinal and longitudinal cross sections of the glacial valley, and analysis of the threshold slope of different rock types. It should be noted that rocks exposed in their study site are the exact same rock units as found along much of the Kahiltna Glacier. The difference in erodibility of the various rock types would support our interpretation that the majority of the sediment in the medial moraine is derived from the areas in the catchment that are underlain by metasedimentary rocks and not from the high granitic cliffs. We reach this same conclusion from a totally different data set through comparing the high $^10$Be concentrations measured in the granitic samples relative to the low concentrations measured in the medial moraine material.

### Medial Moraine Material (Lower Glacier)

Down glacier from the ELA, the sedimentary cover on top of the ice increases, and the supply of sediment from the slopes adjacent to the glacier is directly observed (Fig. 5). The two medial moraine samples (14PH023 and 14PH024) from the lower part of the glacier yielded $^10$Be concentrations of $26.3 \times 10^3 \pm 3.6 \times 10^3$ and $32.1 \times 10^3 \pm 2.5 \times 10^3$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz. The average concentration in the moraine samples from the lower part of the glacier (14PH023–14PH024; 29.2 $\times 10^3 \pm 2.2 \times 10^3$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz) is similar, within error, to the average concentration of the moraine samples from the upper part of the glacier (samples 14PH017–14PH021; $26.8 \times 10^3 \pm 2.6 \times 10^3$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz). The significance of this similarity is that down-glacier sediment transport is rapid enough such that significant accumulation of cosmogenic nuclides does not occur in the exposed medial moraine material. If we use the Kahiltna Glacier velocity from near the ELA of 195 m yr$^{-1}$ (Burrows and Adema, 2011), it would take ice 138 yr to transit the 27 km from the location of the highest elevation medial moraine samples to the location of the lower medial moraine samples. However, if additional material is added to medial moraines from the nearby slopes, it must be added with a dose of 20,000 and 30,000 atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz; otherwise, it would change the overall measured concentration. We calculated the range of erosion rates of the slopes adjacent to the course of the glacier that would yield sediment with $^10$Be concentrations of 20,000 and 30,000 atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz. We considered the elevation of the high medial moraine samples (~1400 masl) and the elevation of the glacier terminus (~250 masl). We, therefore, estimated the erosion rates of the low-elevation slopes above the lower part of the glacier to range between 300 and 140 mm k.y.$^{-1}$. As in the case of the upper part of the glacier, slopes surrounding the glacier erode at rates of hundreds of millimeters per thousand years.

### River Sediment (Downstream of the Glacier Terminus)

River sediment samples yielded the lowest $^10$Be concentrations among the three sample groups (Fig. 9; Table 2). The five sediment samples collected from the Kahiltna River lie between 5 and 11 km below the present glacier terminus (Figs. 3 and 7). The $^10$Be concentrations in Kahiltna River sediment samples ($n = 5$; 14PH025–14PH028) ranged between $14.0 \times 10^3 \pm 2.4 \times 10^3$ and $27.8 \times 10^3 \pm 2.7 \times 10^3$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz, with an average of $20.9 \times 10^3 \pm 1.0 \times 10^3$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz. There was a clear trend of decreasing concentration downstream (Fig. 10). The upper two samples, 14PH025 and 14PH026, were collected ~5 km downstream of the glacier terminus, yielded similar $^10$Be concentrations of $24.5 \times 10^3 \pm 2.2 \times 10^3$ and $27.8 \times 10^3 \pm 2.7 \times 10^3$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz respectively. These values are similar to those measured in the medial moraines and indicate that medial moraine material may be the main source for alluvial sediment. However, at a distance of ~10 km downstream, the $^10$Be concentrations in the alluvial sediments decreased gradually to $14.0 \times 10^3 \pm 2.4 \times 10^3$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz (sample 11PH013). This decrease requires the addition of low-dosed material. As discussed above, the possibilities for the source of such low-dosed material are the low-elevation slopes on both sides of the Kahiltna River, or material that was eroded from the base of the glacier. For material derived from slopes, we calculated the range of possible slope erosion rates by considering the elevation of the glacier terminus (~250 masl) and the elevation of the samples (~215 masl). If the low-dosed sediment were derived from these low-elevation slopes, a broad estimate of the erosion rates of the low-elevation slopes above the Kahiltna River would range between 230 and 115 mm k.y.$^{-1}$.

Material derived from beneath the glacier would have eroded underneath hundreds of meters of ice and would most likely contain insignificant concentrations of $^10$Be because of the near-zero cosmogenic nuclide production rate beneath the ice and the rapid erosion of the rock by the moving ice. This essentially unexposed sediment is the most likely source of sediment slowly mixed into the river bed load below the glacier terminus, which influences the overall measured concentration of $^10$Be of the sediment in the river.

The calculation of basinwide average erosion rates based on the $^10$Be concentrations measured in the Kahiltna River sediments, considering a weighted average basinwide production rate, yielded rates of ~1000 mm k.y.$^{-1}$ (Table 3). This rate obviously does not reflect the rates of erosion higher in the glaciated part of the basin, as discussed above. The reason for such a high calculated erosion rate is the combination of low $^10$Be measured concentrations and the fact the drainage basin heads at very high elevations with high cosmogenic nuclide production rates. The unrealistically high rates suggest: (1) there is a significant contribution of undosed sediment from the base of the glacier to the river sediment, and (2) $^10$Be concentration in outwash rivers in currently glaciated basins cannot be interpreted in terms of basinwide erosion rates.
The 10Be concentrations in all the other river sediment samples, excluding ± 3.5 × 10³ atoms g⁻¹ quartz, with an average of 14.3 × 10³ ± 0.7 × 10³ atoms g⁻¹ quartz. Omitting the outlier result from the Gakona River (sample 16PH006; 1.9 × 10⁴ ± 1.4 × 10³ atoms g⁻¹ quartz) decreases the range of ¹⁰Be concentrations in river sediment samples (excluding Gakona and Kahiltna Rivers) to between 7.1 × 10³ ± 1.9 × 10³ and 41.5 × 10³ ± 3.5 × 10³ atoms g⁻¹ quartz, with an average of 15.5 × 10³ ± 0.7 × 10³ atoms g⁻¹ quartz. These concentrations are slightly higher than the average ¹⁰Be concentration of the five Kahiltna River samples (20.9 × 10³ ± 1.0 × 10³ atoms g⁻¹ quartz), but they are similar, within error, to the lowermost sediment sample of the Kahiltna River (11PH013; 14.0 × 10³ ± 2.4 × 10³ atoms g⁻¹ quartz; Table 2).

As argued above, we infer that these concentrations provide only an order of magnitude estimate of erosion rate for each basin. When considering sample elevation, the ¹⁰Be concentrations in the sediment samples correspond to erosion rates that range between 115 ± 18 and 594 ± 298 mm k.y.⁻¹. These results suggest, as in the case of the Kahiltna River, that slopes lower than the ELA and surrounding the glaciers and streams of these drainage systems erode at rates of hundreds of millimeters per thousand years, typical for high mountainous relief. However, when considering a weighted-average basinwide production rate, the ¹⁰Be concentrations in the sediment samples (excluding the sample from Gakona River) correspond to erosion rates that range between 161 ± 24 and 1095 ± 298 mm k.y.⁻¹. As in the case of the Kahiltna River, we argue that the reason for such high calculated erosion rates is the combination of low ¹⁰Be concentrations and the high-elevation positions of the head of these basins, which impose high cosmogenic nuclide production rates. As in the case of the Kahiltna River, we infer that undosed sediment from the base of the glacier is a major contributor to the river sediment budget. We also suggest that ¹⁰Be concentration in outwash rivers in currently glaciated basins cannot be interpreted in terms of basinwide erosion rates because the entire basin is not in erosional steady state with respect to cosmogenic isotope accumulation. As weathering and mass wasting along the mountain slopes is likely stochastic and variable, we argue that the difference in calculated erosion rates between the various drainage systems is insignificant, because these high erosion rates are averaged over a very short time (low 10³ yr) and may be influenced by specific mass-wasting events and change rapidly both in time and space. We did not find any correlation between erosion rate and basin size. This is not surprising because the overall rate of erosion along the southern front of the Alaska Range is controlled by the rate of weathering of bedrock on the slopes and mass-wasting processes that operate randomly, such that they occur equally on all slopes regardless of drainage basin size.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Generally, in the high mountains of the Alaska Range, the ¹⁰Be concentrations in surface sediments along glaciers decrease downstream; this mainly reflects decreasing production rates with decreasing elevation. In the headwaters of the Kahiltna Glacier drainage system, the lack of preserved glacial strie on the mountainsides indicates that erosion works rapidly. Toppling,
rockfall, and slab collapse are significant erosional processes. We observed a clear relationship between 10Be concentrations in sediment resting on moun-
tainside ledges and altitude. Erosion rates of hundreds of millimeters per thousand years were calculated from these 10Be concentrations, supporting the field observations.

The 10Be concentrations measured in amalgamated samples from medial moraines have concentrations much lower than those measured in samples collected from the higher mountainsides. These lower 10Be concentrations are partially the result of the incorporation of large blocks into the moraine that are thick enough to be effectively undosed and/or closed at lower elevations. It’s unlikely any sediment in the medial moraines is derived from undosed sedi-
ment at the base of the glacier. Furtherfield, observations also indicate the incorporation of material from the nearby slopes immediately above the moraines. The sediment samples from 5 to 11 km downstream of the Kahiltna Glacier terminus have decreasing 10Be concentrations with increasing distance indicating the incorporation of additional undosed material, which is likely dominated by sediment derived from the glacier base as well as from the slopes downstream of the glacier. Taken together, 10Be concentrations in various sedimentary samples from the length of the Kahiltna drainage system indicate erosion rates of hundreds of millimeters per thousand years for the various geomorphic components of the system. These rates are typical of tectonically active, high-relief terrains. The 10Be concentration in samples collected from nine other rivers draining the south flank of the Alaska Range have similar concentrations to those from the upper Kahiltna River. Although our data help to broadly understand the patterns and rates of erosion of a tectonically active mountain range with large valley glaciers, we suggest that the 10Be concen-
tration in outwash rivers in currently glaciated basins cannot be interpreted in terms of basinwide erosion rates because the entire basin is not in erosional steady state with respect to cosmogenic isotope accumulation. This is because undosed sediment from the base of the glacier is a major contributor to the river sediment budget, and mass wasting along the mountain slopes is likely stochastic. We argue that the difference in calculated erosion rates between the various drainage systems is insignificant because these high ero-
sion rates are averaged over a very short time (low 10^3 yr) and may be influenced by specific mass-wasting events and change rapidly both in time and space.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Richard Lease and Kara Haeussler for field assistance on the Kahiltna Glacier, and Keith Labay for help in preparing the map figures. Also, thanks to go to Richard Lease and Louis Sass for reviewing a previous version of the manuscript. We thank Michelle Fame, an anonymous reviewer, Guest Associate Editor Jeff Benowitz, and Andrea Hampel for very constructive reviews. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. government.

REFERENCES CITED

Alley, R.B., Lawson, D.E., Larson, G.J., Evenson, E.B., and Baker, G.S., 2003, Stabilizing feedbacks in glacier-bed erosion: Nature, v. 424, p. 758–760, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01839.

Anderson, R.S., 2000, A model of ablation-dominated medial moraines and the generation of debris-mantled glacier snouts: Journal of Glaciology, v. 46, no. 154, p. 459–469, https://doi.org/10.1017/S002214300000589X.

Balco, G., Stone, J., Lifton, N., and Dunai, T.J., 2008, A complete and easily accessible means of calculating surface exposure ages or erosion rates from 10Be and 26Al measurements: Quaternary Geochronology, v. 3, p. 174–196, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2007.12.001.

Benowitz, J.A., Layer, P.W., Armstrong, P., Perry, S.E., Haeussler, P.J., Fitzgerald, P.G., and VanLan-
strom, S., 2011, Spatial variations in focused exhumation along a continental-scale strike-slip fault: The Denali fault of the eastern Alaska Range: Geosphere, v. 7, no. 2, p. 455–467, https://doi.org/10.1130/GES00589.1.

Bierman, P.R., and Caffee, M.W., 2001, Slow rates of rock surface erosion and sediment produc-
tion across the Namib Desert and escarpment, southern Africa: American Journal of Science, v. 301, p. 326–358, https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.301.5.326.

Bloom, A.L., 1998, Geomorphology (3rd ed.): Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, Prentice Hall, 482 p.

Bordat, S., Marrocco, S., Balco, G., Caffee, M., Goehring, B., Lifton, N., Nishizumi, K., Phillips, E., Schaef er, J., and Stone, J., 2016, Geological calibration of spallation production rates in the CRONUS Earth project: Quaternary Geochronology, v. 31, p. 188–198, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2015.01.009.

Boulton, G.S., 1996, Theory of glacial erosion, transport and deposition as a consequence of subglacial sediment deformation: Journal of Glaciology, v. 42, p. 43–62, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022143000030525.

Bozhinskiy, A., Krass, M., and Popovnin, V., 1986, Role of debris cover in the thermal physics of glaciers: Journal of Glaciology, v. 32, p. 255–266, https://doi.org/10.3189/002214387690019598.

Brook, M.S., Kirkbride, M.P., and Broek, B.W., 2006, Cirque development in a steadily uplifting range: rates of erosion and long-term morphometric change in alpine cirques in the Ben Ohu Range, New Zealand: Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, v. 31, p. 1167–1175, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1327.

Burket, C.A., Beris, S.P., and Benowitz, J.A., 2016, Along-fault migration of the Mount McKinley restraining bend of the Denali fault defined by late Quaternary fault patterns and seismicity, Denali National Park & Preserve, Alaska: Tectonophysics, v. 693, p. 498–506, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.05.009.

Burrows, R., and Adema, G., 2011, Annual Report on Vital Signs Monitoring of Glaciers in the Central Alaska Network, 2010: National Park Service, Natural Resources Program Center, National Resources Technical Report NPS/CAKN/NRTR 2011/423, 94 p.

Church, M., and Ryder, J.M., 1972, Paraglacial sedimentation: A consideration of fluvial processes conditioned by glaciation: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 83, no. 10, p. 3059–3072, https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1972)83[3059:PSACOF]2.0.CO;2.

Clapp, E.M., Bierman, P.R., Nichols, K.K., Pavich, M., and Caffee, M., 2001, Rates of sediment supply to arroyos from upland erosion determined using in situ produced cosmogenic 10Be isotopes: Geological Society of America Special Paper 361, p. 235–245, https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2001)0361 [235C:RESSA]2.0.CO;2.

Eastham, K.R., 2002, Sedimentological and Provenance Analysis of the Upper Jurassic–Upper Cretaceous Kahiltna Assemblage: Basin Development and Tectonics of the Alaska Range Suture Zone (Master’s thesis): West Lafayette, Indiana, Purdue University, 213 p.

Esmark, J., 1824, Bidrag til vor jordklodes historie: Magazin for Naturvidenskaberne, v. 2, no. 1, p. 28–49.

Eyles, C.H., Eyles, N., and Loge, M.B., 1991, The Yakataga Formation: A late Miocene to Pleis-
tocene record of temperate glacial marine sedimentation in the Gulf of Alaska, in Anderson, J.B., and Ashley, G.M., eds., Glacial Marine Sedimentation: Paleoclimatic Significance: Geo-
logical Society of America Special Paper 261, p. 159–180, https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1991)0261 [159:TYHAT]2.0.CO;2.

Fame, M.F., Owen, L.A., Spottila, J.A., Dortch, J., and Caffee, M.W., 2018, Tracking paraglacial sediment with cosmogenic 10Be using an example from the northwest Scottish Highlands: Quaternary Science Reviews, v. 182, p. 20–38, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.12.017.

Fame, M.F., Owen, L.A., Spottila, L.A., and Shuster, D.L., 2019, Consistent slow exhumation in a late Cenozoic glaciated landscape: The Presidential and Carter Ranges of the White Mountains in New Hampshire, USA: Geomorphology, v. 345, 108842, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.108842.

Finzel, E.S., Trop, J.M., Ridgway, K.D., and Enkelmann, E., 2011, Upper plate proxies for flat-
slab subduction processes in southern Alaska: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 303, p. 348–360, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.01.014.

Fitzgerald, P.G., Sorkhabi, R.B., Redfield, T.F., and Stump, E., 1995, Uplift and denudation of the central Alaska Range: A case study in the use of apatite fission track thermochronology to
