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**Abstract.** Abdominal surgeries carry considerable risk of gastrointestinal and intra-abdominal hemorrhage, which could possibly cause patient death. Photoacoustic imaging is one solution to overcome this challenge by providing visualization of major blood vessels during surgery. We investigate the feasibility of **in vivo** blood vessel visualization for photoacoustic-guided liver and pancreas surgeries. **In vivo** photoacoustic imaging of major blood vessels in these two abdominal organs was successfully achieved after a laparotomy was performed on two swine. Three-dimensional photoacoustic imaging with a robot-controlled ultrasound (US) probe and color Doppler imaging were used to confirm vessel locations. Blood vessels in the **in vivo** liver were visualized with energies of 20 to 40 mJ, resulting in 10 to 15 dB vessel contrast. Similarly, an energy of 36 mJ was sufficient to visualize vessels in the pancreas with up to 17.3 dB contrast. We observed that photoacoustic signals were more focused when the light source encountered a major vessel in the liver. This observation can be used to distinguish major blood vessels in the image plane from the more diffuse signals associated with smaller blood vessels in the surrounding tissue. A postsurgery histopathological analysis was performed on resected pancreatic and liver tissues to explore possible laser-related damage. Results are generally promising for photoacoustic-guided abdominal surgery when the US probe is fixed and the light source is used to interrogate the surgical workspace. These findings are additionally applicable to other procedures that may benefit from photoacoustic-guided interventional imaging of the liver and pancreas (e.g., biopsy and guidance of radiofrequency ablation lesions in the liver). © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.24.12.121905]
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1 Introduction

Several techniques and tools exist to prevent excessive bleeding during pancreatic and liver surgeries, such as lowering central venous pressure and various clamping methods. In addition, devices such as the harmonic scalpel and the cavitron ultrasonic surgical aspirator control bleeding by emitting heat through vibrating at an ultrasonic frequency to coagulate the tissue and oscillating to cause explosion of cells with high water content, respectively. Despite these modern surgical advances, there is still a high risk of gastrointestinal and intra-abdominal hemorrhage and possibly death. Postoperative morbidity occurs in 23% to 46% of patients who hemorrhage during liver resections, and death occurs in 4% to 5% of these patients. Similarly, hemorrhaging occurs in 1% to 8% of all pancreatic resections and 2% to 18% of pancreaticoduodenectomies, in addition to causing 11% to 38% of postoperative morbidity for pancreatic resections and pancreaticoduodenectomies. Thus, there is a clinical need to distinguish major blood vessels during surgery to reduce the risk of arterial and venous injuries.

Preventive measures can be taken to reduce hemorrhaging by visualizing major blood vessels to mitigate the risk of accidentally cutting these blood vessels while operating. Ultrasound (US)-based imaging methods are among the most promising techniques for real-time visualization during surgery, due to the low cost, portability, and real-time, multiplanar information that is typically available with US-based techniques. For example, color Doppler imaging is one option to determine the location of blood vessels during surgery, as this US-based imaging mode provides information about the presence of blood flow. However, Doppler imaging is limited to providing a map of flow throughout the US plane, with conventional US imaging required to determine where this flow is located in relation to surgical tools that appear in the US plane. Relying solely on conventional US imaging to visualize surgical tools is problematic because the metallic surgical tools tend to cause reverberation artifacts that make the tools difficult to locate. In addition, the presence of acoustic clutter confounds surgical tool localization with conventional US imaging, particularly in obese patients. Photoacoustic images are robust to these particular long-standing challenges with US imaging, as demonstrated in our previous publication. Therefore, photoacoustic imaging has the potential to produce superior information about blood vessels.
vessel locations relative to surgical tool tip locations, when compared to Doppler imaging combined with US imaging.

Photoacoustic imaging is implemented by irradiating various targets using a laser, which produces acoustic pressure that is recorded by an US probe.\textsuperscript{12-14} Photoacoustic imaging can be paired with traditional US imaging to improve specificity, sensitivity, and visualization of tissue boundaries, which may allow a clinician to better distinguish between a blood vessel and soft tissue.\textsuperscript{13,15,16} For example, this technique has successfully distinguished blood vessels in mouse brains.\textsuperscript{37} In addition, the high optical absorption of metal\textsuperscript{18} enables both a tool tip and a nearby major blood vessel to be simultaneously visualized in the photoacoustic image plane.\textsuperscript{49} One additional benefit of photoacoustic imaging is that it does not use harmful ionizing radiation.

The possibility of using real-time photoacoustic images to guide surgeries is a relatively new area of investigation. As photoacoustic imaging has excellent capabilities to visualize blood vessels, one recent concept of photoacoustic-guided surgery is centered on visualizing major blood vessels during surgery to avoid significant blood loss and accidental injury to these major vessels, which would be a significant step toward reducing the risk of patient death during surgery. The feasibility of this concept was previously demonstrated for potential guidance in neurosurgeries,\textsuperscript{20} hysterectomies,\textsuperscript{21,22} spinal fusion surgeries,\textsuperscript{23} and fetal surgeries.\textsuperscript{24} To achieve this new paradigm for surgical guidance, specialized light delivery systems can surround existing surgical tools to optically excite the surgical field, and the US probe may be placed externally to receive the resulting photoacoustic images, as previously demonstrated for a neurosurgical drill\textsuperscript{19} and a scissor tool for minimally invasive surgery.\textsuperscript{21} This concept of interventional photoacoustic imaging may also be integrated with robots for minimally invasive surgery, such as the da Vinci teleoperated robot,\textsuperscript{21,22,25-28} or to enable photoacoustic-based visual servoing.\textsuperscript{11,29}

The potential for real-time photoacoustic liver imaging was previously investigated using phantoms,\textsuperscript{30-32} with promising applications to photoacoustic-guided liver surgery. One major challenge is that visualizing blood vessels in the liver is particularly difficult because the absorption spectrum of the liver tissue is similar to that of blood, considering that the liver contains many small and large vessels.\textsuperscript{30,33} Despite this known challenge, Bell et al.\textsuperscript{34} achieved photoacoustic signals at depths of 3 cm with 8 mJ of energy emitted from an interstitial light source inserted in an \textit{ex vivo} liver tissue containing retained blood. To achieve these images, light from a 1064-nm Nd:YAG laser was delivered through a 1-mm core diameter optical fiber surrounded by a light diffusing sheath.\textsuperscript{34} Similarly, Mitcham et al.\textsuperscript{31} achieved photoacoustic signals as deep as 7 cm with an interstitial light source inserted in the \textit{ex vivo} liver tissue. No visible tissue damage was caused by the 8-mJ Nd:YAG laser energy that was applied with a 1-mm core diameter fiber.\textsuperscript{31}

The lack of tissue damage in the \textit{ex vivo} liver tissue is an important observation, considering that the fluence values were as high as 30 mJ/cm\textsuperscript{2}, which exceeds the laser safety limit of 22 mJ/cm\textsuperscript{2} for skin at a wavelength of 720 nm. However, skin has higher optical scattering than liver\textsuperscript{31} and pancreatic tissues, as reported in Table 1. Thus, these and other internal abdominal tissues can potentially withstand greater values of energy and fluence than those currently defined with the laser safety limits for skin. When compared to the two previous studies,\textsuperscript{31,34} Hill et al.\textsuperscript{30} applied the greatest laser energies (up to 50 mJ) to obtain photoacoustic images of \textit{ex vivo} porcine liver tissue, where the different lobes were visualized at depths exceeding 2 cm with a 1064-nm Nd:YAG laser and a 910-µm diameter fiber.

To the authors’ knowledge, no previous studies investigated the feasibility of interventional photoacoustic liver imaging \textit{in vivo}; thus, expectations regarding the performance of this imaging method during liver and other abdominal surgeries remain to be determined. The pig abdominal vasculature, particularly vessels surrounding the pancreas and liver, is similar to that of humans, including similarities in overall size, diameter, wall thickness, lumen area, cross-sectional area, vascular density, structure, and nomenclature.\textsuperscript{45-49} One exception is that pigs only have a single inferior pancreaticoduodenal artery, whereas humans have an anterior and posterior inferior pancreaticoduodenal artery.\textsuperscript{49} In addition, the pig and human liver and pancreatic tissues have similar structure, as well as similar optical and thermal properties to those of human tissues, as detailed in Table 1. Thus, the pig is a suitable animal model to study the feasibility of \textit{in vivo} photoacoustic vessel visualization for human liver and pancreas surgeries.

This paper expands our associated conference paper\textsuperscript{50} to explore \textit{in vivo} photoacoustic imaging of major pancreatic and hepatic vessels in a pig animal model. Section 2 describes our surgical procedure as well as \textit{ex vivo} experiments that were performed to confirm the results seen during the \textit{in vivo} procedure. Section 3 presents the results of our study including photoacoustic images from the \textit{in vivo} pancreas and both \textit{in vivo}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Wavelength (nm) & Tissue & Absorption (mm\textsuperscript{-1}) & Scattering (mm\textsuperscript{-1}) & Anisotropy factor, g & Thermal conductivity\textsuperscript{a} (Wm\textsuperscript{-1}K\textsuperscript{-1}) \\
\hline
750 & Skin (human)\textsuperscript{35,36} & 0.5 & 100 & — & 0.24 \\
& Liver (pig)\textsuperscript{37-39} & 0.1 & 7 & 0.9 to 1 & 0.53 \\
& Liver (human)\textsuperscript{38-41} & <0.1 & −10 & — & 0.53 \\
1060 & Liver (pig)\textsuperscript{37,39,42} & 1 & −5 & 0.9 to 1 & 0.53 \\
& Liver (human)\textsuperscript{37-41,43} & <0.1 to 0.6 & 5 & 0.9 to 1 & 0.53 \\
1064 & Pancreas (pig)\textsuperscript{44} & 0.02 to 0.03 & 0.025 & — & 0.54 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Comparison of optical properties and thermal conductivity at 37°C in human and pig tissues.}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{a}Thermal conductivity does not depend on wavelength.
and ex vivo liver tissue. In addition, we present the pathology associated with using a laser to image the in vivo liver and pancreatic tissue. Section 4 discusses the strengths and challenges of a photoacoustic approach to surgical guidance in the abdomen, as well as a plan for future studies to further investigate these challenges. Finally, we conclude our paper in Sec. 5.

2 Methods

2.1 Experimental Setup

All procedures were approved by the Johns Hopkins University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and all experiments were conducted at the Johns Hopkins Minimally Invasive Surgical Training and Innovation Center. Two Sus domesticus crossbred domestic pigs (5 and 6 months, 65 and 90 lbs., both female) were obtained for this study. Animals were treated humanely. Pigs were anesthetized and subsequently intubated to provide gas anesthesia throughout the surgery. Respiration was controlled with a ventilator that supplied medical air. A midline incision exposed the abdominal organs. Blood pressure and heart rate were monitored throughout the surgery and imaging procedures.

The photoacoustic imaging system, which was placed next to the operating table, as shown in Fig. 1, consisted of an Alpinion ECUBE 12R US system (Seoul, Korea) synchronized with a Phocus Mobile laser (OPOTEK, Carlsbad, California). The US system was connected to a 128-element Alpinion L3-8 linear array transducer, which has a center frequency of 5 MHz, emitted an US transmit focus of 3 cm, and contained an active receive aperture limited to 64 elements. The laser was connected to a 5-mm-diameter fiber bundle. Although we also connected the laser to a 1-mm-diameter optical fiber and attempted to image blood vessels in the pancreas with this fiber, we were unsuccessful. Thus, all images in this paper show our success with the 5-mm-diameter fiber bundle.

A fixed laser wavelength of 750 nm was chosen to visualize blood vessels throughout the experiments. The wavelength was fixed, given our primary goal of visualizing (rather than characterizing) major blood vessels during surgery and our reasoning that one wavelength is sufficient to inform the surgeon of the presence or absence of a blood vessel during surgery. The US transducer was either held by a Sawyer robot (Rethink Robotics, Boston, Massachusetts) or by hand. In addition to acquiring photoacoustic images, the US system was also used to acquire coregistered US images and color Doppler images to confirm vessel locations.

2.2 Surgical Procedure

A laparotomy was performed to gain access to the liver and pancreas, and photoacoustic imaging was used to identify abdominal blood vessels in and around the pancreas and liver. To mimic a surgical scenario where the light source would surround a surgical tool and the US probe would be relatively stationary, while the surgical tool is operated, the fiber bundle was held at a variable angle relative to the transducer. Given this motivation and the existing literature describing that the maximum photoacoustic signal is achieved when the light axis intersects both the photoacoustic imaging target and the imaging plane, we prioritized flexibility of the fiber bundle axis relative to the orientation of the imaging plane. Building on knowledge obtained from controlled measurements of light delivery placement relative to the imaging plane and a target of interest, photoacoustic images were optimized by manipulating the light source to maximize the amplitude and appearance of photoacoustic signals, similar to the free-hand optimization procedure that a surgeon would perform with a specialized light delivery system attached to the surgical tool.

When imaging the pancreas, the superior mesenteric vein (SMV), right gastroepiploic vein (RGEV), and right gastroepiploic artery (RGEA) were visualized. These vessels are annotated in the schematic diagram of Fig. 2(a). The section of pancreatic tissue exposed to the laser was resected. In addition, pancreatic tissue that was not exposed to laser light was resected as a control specimen. The energies used to image the pancreas with the 1- and 5-mm-diameter light sources are shown in Fig. 3(a) (top). The hepatic veins were visualized when imaging the liver. The range of energies used to image the pancreas with the 5-mm-diameter fiber bundle is shown in Fig. 3(b) (top). Energies of 20 to 40 mJ in 5-mJ increments were used to excite.
the blood vessels to determine the minimum required energy needed to visualize the liver vasculature. A three-dimensional (3-D) reconstruction of the hepatic vein was created with the robot-held US probe translating in 1-mm increments across the liver surface, pausing at each increment to acquire end-inspiration photoacoustic or color Doppler images. The liver tissue exposed to the laser was resected as well as a control from a portion of the same liver lobe that was not in direct contact with the 5-mm-diameter fiber bundle. A control from the liver was additionally resected prior to any imaging. The resected pancreas and liver tissues underwent histopathological analysis with hematoxylin and eosin staining by coauthor S.B., a board-certified veterinary pathologist.

### 2.3 Energy Measurements and Fluence Calculations

Energy was manually adjusted throughout the procedure. The input energy into the optical fiber or fiber bundle was recorded with a power meter internal to the laser system. These input energies were calibrated to output energies (recorded with a second external power meter) using the average of both pre- and post-experiment input-to-output energy measurements. The recorded energy as a function of time to image the pancreas and the liver prior to tissue resection is shown at the top of Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. Fluence, \( \Phi \), was calculated from these recorded energies, \( E \), and the area of the incident light, which is defined as

\[
\Phi = \frac{E}{\pi r^2},
\]

where \( r \) represents the radius of the 5-mm-diameter fiber bundle or the 1-mm-diameter fiber bundle, assuming that each light source was in direct contact with the tissue. The calculated fluence used for imaging the \textit{in vivo} pancreas and liver is shown at the bottom of Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively, as a function of time. Note that these calculations represent a conservative estimate of fluence, as the area of incident light could be larger than the diameter used for the calculations, due to light scattering on the tissue surface (as observed in recorded photographs) and possibly due to divergence of the light if the laser was not in direct contact with the tissue as intended.

### 2.4 Ex Vivo Experiment

An \textit{ex vivo} experiment was performed to investigate the \textit{in vivo} observations of potential out-of-plane vessels. The \textit{ex vivo} phantom consisted of bovine liver tissue that surrounded tubes filled with human blood. The three parallel blood vessels were set up to mimic the RGEV, SMV, and RGEA. A perpendicular blood vessel was used to mimic the out-of-plane vessel, as illustrated.

![Fig. 2 Vessels imaged in the (a) pancreas and (b) liver. The hepatic veins are inside of the liver but are displayed overlaid on the liver to allow for visualization of the vessel locations.](image)

![Fig. 3 Energy versus time graphs and fluence (\( \Phi \)) versus time graphs for imaging the (a) pancreas and (b) liver. The 1- and 5-mm-diameter fiber bundles were used to image the pancreas during the time points indicated. The 5-mm-diameter fiber bundle was used to image the liver. The methods implemented to measure energy and calculate fluence are detailed in Sec. 2.3.](image)
in Fig. 4. Energies of 5.5 to 70.1 mJ were used to visualize blood in this ex vivo experiment.

2.5 Image Display Parameters and Data Analysis

Photoacoustic images were created using delay-and-sum (DAS) beamforming and short-lag spatial coherence (SLSC) beamforming. SLSC images were generated with the short-lag value equal to one (or 2% of the receive aperture) and the axial correlation kernel equal to one wavelength. These two SLSC image parameters are defined as $M$ and $k$, respectively, in previous publications. Images were normalized to the brightest pixel, log-compressed, and a minimum threshold value was selected to optimize signal display.

Contrast was calculated using beamformed data prior to normalization and log compression, according to the following equation:

$$
\text{Contrast} = 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\mu_{\text{vessel}}}{\mu_{\text{background}}} \right),
$$

(2)

where $\mu_{\text{vessel}}$ and $\mu_{\text{background}}$ are the mean signals within a region of interest (ROI) inside of a blood vessel and in the background, respectively. The ROI was created by outlining the borders of the signal inside of the blood vessel and creating an ROI of the same size and at the same depth in the background. ROIs were 4 mm in the lateral direction and 2 mm in the axial direction.

3 Results

3.1 In Vivo Pancreas Imaging

Figure 5 shows one result from the in vivo pancreas study. The US image with photoacoustic overlay is shown in Fig. 5(a). These images were created with DAS beamforming. The same photoacoustic channel data were beamformed using the SLSC approach, as shown in Fig. 5(b). This SLSC image is overlaid on the US image in Fig. 5(c). A Doppler image confirming the location of blood vessels in the pancreas is shown in Fig. 5(d).

Throughout the respiratory cycle, the pancreas moved in a relatively predictable motion due to the mechanical ventilator that was used to maintain respiration. However, the transducer and fiber source were fixed (i.e., they were not moving with the respiratory cycle). Thus, any observed signal motion over time indicates movement due to respiration. Contrast was measured to assess this type of motion. Figure 5(e) shows the measured contrast of the three signals in Fig. 5(b) as a function of time for image frames acquired during multiple respiratory cycles.

We observed three distinct coherent signals in the in vivo photoacoustic images of the pancreas [labeled as 1, 2, and 3 in Fig. 5(b)]. Each signal consistently appeared and disappeared with respiration, as shown in Fig. 5(e). The source of these signals could be blood vessels (either in the imaging plane or outside of the imaging plane), reflection artifacts, or signals from the location of the light source due to the higher fluence where the light source is incident on the tissue, as seen in previous experiments with interstitial light sources. The color Doppler image in Fig. 5(d) shows that there is blood flow in the RGEV, RGEA, and SMV, which indicates that signal #2 could be associated with blood, although photoacoustic signals are not present in the entire RGEV.

The reason for the partial display of the signal is unknown, but one possible explanation could be locally higher absorption due to the location of a valve in the vessel. Due to this uncertainty, it could be argued that signal #2 did not originate from the blood in the RGEV and instead could be an artifact, particularly if signals #1 and #2 in the in vivo pancreas image of Fig. 5(a)
were not distinct from each other. However, because signals #1 and #2 are distinct in the DAS image and have distinctly different contrast measurements with changes in respiration, we hypothesize that signal #2 originates from the blood.

The use of coherence-based SLSC beamforming supports our hypothesis that these signals originate from two independent coherent sources, because they appear as two distinct signals in the SLSC images. If there was a single source causing these signals, these signals would instead be merged together as one coherent source in the SLSC image. The SLSC image also confirms that coherent signals from the blood inside of the entire RGEV were not obtained.

While signal #1 could be associated with the location of the light source, this is unlikely because the appearance of this signal changed with the respiratory cycle, while the US probe and fiber bundle were held stationary, as demonstrated in Fig. 5(e). If this signal were solely caused by the stationary light source primarily interacting with tissue, then its contrast would be more constant with changes in respiration. Another possible explanation is that this signal could be a reflection artifact. However, reflection artifacts typically appear deeper than true signals. Thus, we hypothesize that signal #1 is most likely an out-of-plane blood vessel. Similarly, due to signal #3 changing with respiration, as shown in Fig. 5(e), we hypothesize that this signal also originates from an out-of-plane blood vessel. These out-of-plane vessels can be resolved with 3-D imaging, as demonstrated in Sec. 3.4.

3.2 Out-of-Plane Vessel Confirmation

The *ex vivo* experiment described in Sec. 2.4 was performed to test our hypotheses about the source of the signals in the *in vivo* pancreas data. Although the fiber bundle was stationary while the tissue moved with respiration during the *in vivo* pancreas experiment, by inverting this process and keeping the *ex vivo* tissue stationary while moving the fiber bundle, we recreated our *in vivo* observations of photoacoustic signals appearing and disappearing from the image plane in this more controlled *ex vivo* experimental environment.

Figures 6(a)–6(c) show that the photoacoustic signal from the *ex vivo* out-of-plane vessel mimicked *in vivo* photoacoustic signal #3 in Fig. 5(b). Figure 6(d) shows contrast as a function of time for this *ex vivo* experiment, and this result supports the hypothesis that signals #1 and #3 are associated with out-of-plane blood vessels. In particular, the cyclic temporal appearance of the measured photoacoustic signal contrast in Fig. 6(d) is similar to that of the *in vivo* signals #1 and #3 in Fig. 5(e).

**Fig. 6** (a) DAS beamformed photoacoustic image overlaid on US image of *ex vivo* blood vessels. (b) Corresponding SLSC beamformed photoacoustic image created with the same channel data. (c) SLSC beamformed photoacoustic image overlaid on US image. These photoacoustic images were acquired with an energy of 30.5 mJ. (d) Contrast of the out-of-plane vessel signal, measured in the DAS photoacoustic image as the light source location was moved to mimic one respiratory cycle.

**Fig. 7** (a) DAS beamformed photoacoustic image of signals in *in vivo* liver overlaid on coregistered US image. (b) Corresponding SLSC beamformed photoacoustic image created with the same channel data. (c) SLSC beamformed photoacoustic image overlaid on US image. The photoacoustic images were acquired with 40.5 mJ energy. (d) Color Doppler confirmation of blood flow in the hepatic vein.
3.3 In Vivo Liver Imaging

Figure 7(a) shows the US image of an in vivo hepatic vein and a coregistered photoacoustic image created with DAS beamforming overlaid on this US image. The photoacoustic SLSC image created with the same channel data is shown in Fig. 7(b). This SLSC image is overlaid on the US image in Fig. 7(c). The color Doppler image confirming the location of blood vessels in the liver is shown in Fig. 7(d). Similar to the pancreas image, the blood signals in this photoacoustic image of the liver do not encompass the entire vessel location.

Figure 8 shows an US image of the hepatic vein, which was confirmed with Doppler imaging. The diameter of the vein in Fig. 8 is ∼3 mm, which is smaller than the diameter of the vessels shown in Figs. 5 and 7. When imaging these smaller-diameter vessels, we observed a change in photoacoustic signal appearance based on the location of the light source. In particular, when light from the fiber bundle was directed at this vein, we saw a significantly more focused photoacoustic signal. In contrast, when the light source was purposely directed away from this vein, the signal was more diffuse. This change in signal appearance is also evident in Video 1, and it is likely due to laser excitation of the blood inside of the hepatic vein (producing more focused signals) or laser excitation of the surrounding tissue (producing more diffuse signals).

Although the appearance of focused versus diffuse signals were highly repeatable in real time, it is understandable that the more diffuse signals associated with the tissue can be mistaken for photoacoustic signal artifacts and can also confuse the reading of the still images. Therefore, one option to mitigate this confusion is to reduce the dynamic range of the photoacoustic images, as shown in Fig. 8 (bottom). This reduction is sufficient because the diffuse signals have consistently lower amplitude than the focused signals. However, the distinction between the two types of signals is more obvious with the higher dynamic range shown in Fig. 8 (top).

Figure 9(a) shows additional examples of the focused photoacoustic signal from the hepatic vein acquired at multiple energy levels. Each image is displayed with a dynamic range that was chosen to optimize signal visibility. The focused signal was not present at energies of 20 and 25 mJ, even with the higher dynamic range values. Beyond 25 mJ, the focused nature of the signals in the vessels was more apparent, particularly with reduced dynamic range values.

Figure 9(b) summarizes contrast measurements of the focused signals shown in Fig. 9(a) and diffuse signals acquired with the same energy levels. Although the diffuse signals at each energy level are not shown, they look similar to the examples shown in Fig. 8 and in Video 1. The contrast measurements are independent of the displayed dynamic range, so they can be relied upon as objective measurements of signal visibility.
At each energy level, the focused signal has consistently higher contrast when compared to the diffuse signals, which further supports the dynamic range reduction in Figs. 8 and 9(a), in order to emphasize the appearance of focused signals and limit the appearance of diffuse signals. The focused and diffuse appearance of the photoacoustic signals observed in the liver is likely caused by the blood in the liver, particularly when considering that the prominent diffuse appearance was not observed in the pancreas, which generally has lower optical absorption and scattering than the liver (see Table 1).

3.4 Three-Dimensional Vessel Imaging

Figure 10 shows multiple display formats for the 3-D vessel image data that we acquired with robotic assistance (as described in Sec. 2.2). The left column of Fig. 10 displays results associated with the photoacoustic signal, while the right column displays results associated with the color Doppler signal, which was used for confirmation of blood flow. Figure 10(a) shows the 3-D stack of images used for forming the volumetric data. Biplanar views of intersecting elevational and lateral slices are shown in Fig. 10(b), with the chosen slices outlined in green in Fig. 10(a).

An isosurface of the segmented vessels based on the photoacoustic and Doppler volumetric image data is displayed in Fig. 10(c). There are size and shape differences between these two segmented vessels that occur because the photoacoustic signal is only present near the location of the 3-mm-diameter light source, which is unable to simultaneously illuminate all regions containing the blood signals. This capability to confidently limit the field of view (FOV) to a specific ROI at the surgical site is one of the main advantages of using photoacoustic imaging to guide surgeries. The presence of a major blood vessel near the surgical ROI can be highlighted, rather than displaying multiple regions with blood flow through the use of Doppler imaging. Although limiting the FOV may be possible with Doppler imaging, doing so would require coordination of identifying tool tips and possible adjustment of knobs on the US scanner to manually limit the FOV.

Figure 10(d) shows the two segmented vessels of Fig. 10(c) overlaid in the same spatial coordinate system. In addition to the smaller FOV with photoacoustic images (and hence the smaller vessel volume), differences between the two segmented vessels also exist because of the differences in the image acquisition procedure. Photoacoustic images were acquired as the robot stepped forward across the liver surface, while Doppler images were acquired as the robot retraced its steps in the opposite direction. Considering these two different motion directions, it is highly possible that the tissue deformed differently during the acquisition of each volume, which would cause the vessels to be shifted relative to each other.

3.5 Histopathology

Figure 11 shows the histology results for the liver and pancreatic tissues after applying the multiple energies over the time duration shown in Fig. 1. No pathology was observed in the pre-experiment control in either the pancreas or the liver. There was no necrosis observed in the pancreas after being exposed to the laser. However, Fig. 11(a) shows an example of the mild degeneration in the post-experiment control and lasered pancreatic tissue. This mild cell degeneration observed in the pancreas after imaging was likely caused by the pressure of the US probe and other tissue handling. In the liver, necrosis was observed in both the lasered tissue and the post-experiment control tissue, as demonstrated in Fig. 11(b). There was also hemorrhaging and inflammation present in the lasered section of liver tissue. We interpret these findings within the scope of our overall study design in Sec. 4.
Fig. 10 The 3-D representations of the hepatic vein created from DAS photoacoustic and color Doppler images. (a) Volume stack of photoacoustic and Doppler images acquired with a robot translating in 1-mm increments. (b) Biplanar cross sections through the volume stack. (c) 3-D reconstructions of the hepatic vein segmented from photoacoustic and Doppler images. (d) Segmented vessels from photoacoustic and Doppler images combined to show similarities between the two segmented vessel structures.

Fig. 11 Histopathology results of (left) pre-experiment control, (middle) post-experiment control, and (right) post-experiment lasered tissue for the (a) pancreas and (b) liver at 200× magnification.
4 Discussion

We successfully visualized in vivo blood vessels surrounding the pancreas and within the liver. This success has two immediate implications for photoacoustic-guided abdominal surgeries. First, the visualization of major blood vessels during surgery can possibly help surgeons to navigate around these vessels during tissue resection procedures. Second, this approach can be used to assist with estimating and targeting areas where coagulation of the major blood vessels is necessary in order to reduce significant bleeding and blood loss during surgery. The proposed technique can enable these benefits by adjusting the location of the light source to determine the location of a major hepatic blood vessel based on its appearance as a focused signal, rather than a diffuse signal (which tends to indicate the presence of predominantly liver tissue). This diffuse signal in liver tissue is likely caused by the presence of multiple small blood vessels within liver tissue that are either located in the image plane or located off-axis from the image plane. This interpretation is particularly convincing when considering that the diffuse signals observed in vivo were not present during the ex vivo liver experiments. One example of the benefits of this photoacoustic imaging technique over standard color Doppler imaging methods is presented and described in Sec. 3.4.

Although this study was performed to investigate feasibility in the context of abdominal surgeries, there are a range of additional interventional procedures that might benefit from these findings, such as photoacoustic imaging of radiofrequency ablation lesions. For example, when detecting these lesions in the liver using photoacoustic imaging, the surrounding tissue is expected to appear as diffuse signals, while the blood contained in major vessels will likely appear as more focused signals.

The distinction between focused and diffuse signals does not seem to translate to vessels that appear as circular in the US images with diameters as large as 1 cm. Instead of visualizing all blood content in the photoacoustic images of these larger vessels, only the center of these vessels are apparent, as shown in the pancreas and liver images of Figs. 5 and 7, respectively. Visualizing only the center of the vessels is not ideal when attempting to identify the location of vessel boundaries. This appearance of the vessel center seems unique to the in vivo imaging cases and is not observed in the ex vivo results (e.g., Fig. 6), where only the boundaries of the vessels are apparent. Therefore, these in vivo results for the larger vessels possibly reveal some underlying physical property of these vessels that is not present in the smaller vessels. This limited view of the contents within the vessel can also be caused by the limited size of the light source (i.e., 5-mm diameter) relative to the 1-cm diameter of these vessels (although intuition suggests that light scattering and diffusion should help to spread the light more evenly throughout the vessel).

While results are generally promising, we identified two additional limitations that require extensive consideration prior to and/or during future clinical implementation of the proposed technique. The first challenge is particularly familiar to photoacoustic imaging—i.e., the presence of artifacts. We investigated the various signal sources with ex vivo experiments and coherence-based SLSC beamforming, with results supporting our hypotheses that the unexpected signals appearing in vivo (e.g., Fig. 5) were likely caused by blood vessels outside of the imaging plane. This is an important finding for future in vivo vessel imaging experiments, particularly when the light source is stationary relative to a nonstationary organ that is subjected to respiratory motion. To overcome this limitation, the 3-D structure of potential out-of-plane vessels can be confirmed with volumetric imaging, as illustrated in Fig. 10.

The second limitation is that the fluence levels needed to visualize the major blood vessels in the liver exceed the safety limit for skin (i.e., 25.2 mJ/cm² when using a 750-nm wavelength laser). Specifically, an energy of 30 mJ was required to visualize the hepatic vein with 15.5 dB of contrast, as shown in Fig. 8, and this energy translates to a fluence of 153 mJ/cm², based on the calculations described in Sec. 2.3. However, these fluence calculations are conservative (as noted in Sec. 2.3). In addition, tissues from other organs, such as the liver, may have a higher safety threshold, considering the significantly different optical and thermal properties noted in Table 1.

The histopathological analysis conducted on resected tissues after implementing photoacoustic imaging revealed that there was no significant laser-related damage to the pancreatic tissue (defined as cell necrosis). On the other hand, cell necrosis was observed in the liver tissues after laser application. We attribute the differences in laser-related damage to these two organs to the longer laser exposure times for the liver experiment (see Fig. 3) and to the higher optical scattering in the liver (see Table 1). Long-term studies are needed to determine if cell degeneration in pancreatic tissue and cell necrosis in liver tissue will be chronic issues for patients that might otherwise benefit from the proposed photoacoustic imaging procedure. Another point to consider is that the liver tissue is highly regenerative and these regenerative properties can possibly enable the application of >30 mJ (153 mJ/cm²) of optical energy without significant long-term, laser-related damage. This level of energy can also be applied with a significantly lower fluence if the area of illumination is increased, which may be safer for the liver tissue.

Using Eq. (1), we calculated that a surface area of 1.19 cm² (which corresponds to a diameter of 1.23 cm, assuming a circular illumination profile) is needed in order to utilize 30 mJ of energy within the 25.2 mJ/cm² fluence safety limits that are currently defined for skin. Therefore, it seems feasible to design a light delivery system that delivers this area profile either on the tissue surface (as performed during our experiments) or when inserted inside the liver (particularly in cases such as biopsies, radiofrequency ablations, or other procedures that require tool insertion within tissue). Characterization of the specific safety limits for liver tissue would also be helpful, and this will be the focus of our future work.

Future work will also explore the illumination of the pancreas and liver tissue with a light source that would enable differentiation of diffuse from focused signals without requiring motion of the light source. This can be accomplished by increasing the area of the illumination. In addition to decreasing the incident fluence needed to deliver a suitable energy to visualize the abdominal vasculature, as described above, this larger light source will also provide a slightly wider FOV for surgeons to visualize a larger portion of the vasculature relative to the location of a surgical tool.

5 Conclusion

This work is the first to demonstrate in vivo blood vessel visualization with possible applications to a range of photoacoustic-guided pancreatic and liver surgeries. We observed a change in the photoacoustic signal appearance when the light source encountered a major vessel in the liver. These findings are promising for surgical guidance when the probe is fixed and the light
source is used to interrogate the surgical workspace. While the applied energies revealed no laser-related damage (i.e., cell necrosis) to pancreatic tissues, additional work is required to determine tissue-specific energy safety limits for liver tissue to further assess the feasibility of photoacoustic-guided liver surgery. This is particularly true when considering that a minimum of 30 mJ of laser energy was required to confidently visualize the focused photoacoustic signals that are characteristic of major vessels in the in vivo liver.
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