Generation of Hybrid Optical Trap Array by Holographic Optical Tweezers
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Enabled by multiple optical traps, holographic optical tweezers can manipulate multiple particles in parallel flexibly. Spatial light modulators are widely used in holographic optical tweezers, in which Gaussian point (GP) trap arrays or special mode optical trap arrays including optical vortex (OV) arrays, perfect vortex (PV) arrays, and Airy beam arrays, etc., can be generated by addressing various phase holograms. However, the optical traps in these arrays are almost all of the same type. Here, we propose a new method for generating a hybrid optical trap array (HOTA), where optical traps such as GPs, OVs, PVS, and Airy beams in the focal plane are combined arbitrarily. Also, the axial position and peak intensity of each them can be adjusted independently. The energy efficiency of this method is theoretically studied, while different micro-manipulations on multiple particles have been realized with the support of HOTA experimentally. The proposed method expands holographic optical tweezers’ capabilities and provides a new possibility of multi-functional optical micro-manipulation.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneering works of Ashkin [1, 2], optical tweezers have become a non-invasive technology for manipulating micro/nano particles. They have been widely used in physics, biology, medicine, and other fields [3–10]. Spatial light modulators (SLMs) have promoted the development of optical tweezers into a universal micro-manipulation tool [11], called holographic optical tweezers [12, 13], which surpass the original configuration of using a single laser spot to trap particles. SLMs use computer-generated-holograms (CGHs) to flexibly shape the wavefront of the laser beam, generating Gaussian point (GP) trap arrays or arrays of special optical modes, such as optical vortex (OV), perfect vortex (PV), and Airy beam. These are essential for a range of applications, including beam shaping, multi-beam laser processing, and optical micro-manipulation [14–17].

Due to the excellent flexibility of holographic beam shaping, complexly optical patterns can be tailored in favor of specific applications, such as high-resolution optical imaging, optical lithography, metamaterial manufacturing, and optical trapping and manipulation [14–21]. In holographic optical tweezers, the most commonly used light field distribution is the optical trap array. GP trap arrays can be realized by the Gerchberg-Saxton (GS) algorithm, weighted Gerchberg-Saxton (GSW) algorithm, and other improved iterative Fourier transform methods [22, 23]. Some unique methods were used to generate multifocal arrays, such as using fractional Talbot effect [24], two-dimensional (2D)
pure-phase modulation gratings [25], and multizone phase plates [26], etc. OV arrays containing multiple vortices have been extensively studied [27–29]. Harshith et al. [30] used a dielectric microlens-array (MLA) and a plano-convex lens to generate OV arrays. OV arrays were also produced by interference methods [31–33]. In addition, the arrangement of multiple OVs can be a rectilinear, a square array [34], or along an arbitrary curve [35]. PV arrays were generated by various approaches, including the use of two-dimensional continuous phase gratings [36], and curved fork gratings [37]. Deng et al. [38] reported a three-dimensional (3D) PV array with high quality and uniform intensity by a special designed hybrid phase plate. Multiple Airy beams can also form arrays [39]. Jin et al. [40] defined a square array composed of four Airy beams, and each Airy beam can carry a different vortex. Qian et al. [41] developed a circular array consisting of multiple Airy beams. Moreover, hybrid arrays composed of GPs and OVs were reported [42, 43]. PV arrays composed of different topological charges were also generated by unique methods such as multi-zone plates and holographic gratings [44, 45]. However, it seems that little work has been reported on hybrid arrays composed of arbitrary combination of GPs, OVs, PVs, and Airy beams.

In this paper, we propose using the phase-only liquid crystal SLM to generate a hybrid optical trap array (HOTA), in which arbitrary combinations of optical traps such as GPs, OVs, PVs, and Airy beams appear in the focal plane simultaneously. We propose a new method to calculate the required CGH in the objective lens’s back focal plane. By using the improved GSW algorithm to iterate all the holograms corresponding to the targeted traps, a single hologram that can produce the desired HOTA is obtained. The experimental measurement results are almost consistent with the intensity distributions obtained by the theoretical simulation. We studied the improvement of energy efficiency with the number of iterations, the movement of the axial position of the optical trap, and the change of peak intensity. We experimentally prove that our method can be applied to holographic optical tweezers, and may significantly expand their manipulation capabilities and provide a new possibility of multi-functional optical micro-manipulation.

**METHODS**

**Principle of Generating HOTA**

The technique of using a computer-generated-hologram (CGH) or computer-designed diffractive optical element (DOE) to modulate the wavefront of the incident light field and then generating the target light field through Fourier transform is called holographic beam shaping [42, 46]. The principle is shown in Figure 1. The phase-only liquid crystal SLM acts as a DOE and displays a hologram to modulate the incident light field. The SLM is located on the back focal plane (Hologram plane) of the Fourier lens with a focal length of f. A combination of different optical traps, such as GPs, OVs, PVs, and Airy beams, etc., is generated near the front focal plane (Fourier plane). The OV carrying the orbital angular momentum (OAM) has a hollow intensity distribution, and the radius of its bright ring depends on the topological charge \( l \). In contrast, the ring radius of the PV is independent of the topological charge. For the convenience of description, we use \( OV_l \) and \( PV_l \) to denote the OV and PV with a topological charge of \( l \), respectively. The Airy beam has the characteristic of freely accelerating along a curved trajectory.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)  
**Figure 1** | Schematic diagram of holographic beam shaping.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)  
**Figure 2** | Holograms and intensity patterns of the Gaussian point (GP), optical vortex (\( OV_{+15} \)), perfect vortex (\( PV_0 \)), and Airy beam.
intensity patterns on the Fourier plane of the GP, OV,+(15, PV), and Airy beam are shown in Figure 2.

Assume the incident optical field is a uniform plane wave with a unit amplitude of 1. After modulated by the SLM, the field’s complex amplitude at the jth pixel on the Hologram plane is $\psi_j = \exp(i\Phi_j)$, where $\Phi_j$ is the phase of the jth pixel. By the Fresnel diffraction theory, the complex amplitude $v_m$ of the mth optical trap is the sum of the contribution from all pixels of the SLM [47, 48]:

$$v_m = \frac{e^{j2\pi(2zf+\alpha_m)}}{i} \frac{d^2}{\lambda f} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{j\Delta_m j\Phi_j},$$  

with

$$\Delta_m^j = \frac{\pi z_m}{\lambda f^2} (x_j^2 + y_j^2) + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda f} (x_j x_m + y_j y_m).$$  

Here, $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the incident light, $d$ is the size of a single pixel of SLM, and $N$ is the total number of pixels; $f$ represents the focal length of the Fourier lens, $(x_j, y_j)$, are the coordinates of the jth pixel on the Hologram plane, and $(x_m, y_m, z_m)$ are the coordinates of the mth optical trap. Since we deal with generic traps, not only point traps, an additional phase $\psi_m$ corresponding to the mth optical trap is added to the phase part in Eq. 1.

**Algorithm for HOTA**

Our primary objective is to seek the phase $\Phi_j$. Using the GSW algorithm [48], the phase $\Phi_j$ is found to be:

$$\Phi_j = \arg\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} e^{j\Delta_m} w_m \alpha_m V_m \right]$$  

where

$$V_m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{j\Phi_j - \Delta_m - \psi_m}.$$  

Here $w_m$ is the weighting factor, and $M$ is the total number of optical traps. Unlike the standard GSW, we have introduced a new parameter $\alpha_m$ in Eq. 3 to adjust the energy of the optical trap.

The improved GSW algorithm, depicted in Figure 3, starts with the given $\psi_m^0$, $\alpha_m$, and $K$. $\psi_m^0$ is the phase of generating the target trap $m$, and the four phase patterns of $\psi_m^0$ are shown in the first column of Figure 2. $\alpha_m$ is a constant with respect to the optical trap $m$. $K$ is the maximum number of iterations, which is generally set to 30 in our simulation. Before entering the iteration loop, $w_m$, $\Phi_j$, and $V_m$ need to be initialized. The initial values of $w_m$ and $\Phi_j$ are $w_m^{(0)} = 1$ and $\Phi_j^{(0)} = 2\pi \cdot \text{rand } (0,1)$, respectively. $w_m^{(0)}$ is an arbitrary guessed phase. The function of rand (0,1) means to generate a uniform random number between 0 and 1. The initial value of $V_m$ is:

$$V_m^{(0)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{j\Phi_j - \Delta_m - \psi_m}.$$  

Then the program enters iterative loops. In the kth iteration, $w_m^{(k)}$, $\Phi_j^{(k)}$, and $V_m^{(k)}$ are:

$$w_m^{(k)} = \frac{\langle |V_m^{(k-1)}|/\alpha_m \rangle}{|V_m^{(k-1)}|/\alpha_m},$$

$$\Phi_j^{(k)} = \arg\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} e^{j\Delta_m} w_m^{(k)} \alpha_m V_m^{(k-1)} \right],$$

$$V_m^{(k)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{j\Phi_j^{(k)} - \Delta_m - \psi_m}.$$  

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_m$ denotes the average value with respect to the index $m$. This completes one iteration. Subsequent iterations lead to continuous updates of $\Phi_j$. After K iterations, the loops end. When the program reaches this step, $\Phi_j^{(K)}$ is a relatively ideal estimation, which can produce the HOTA we need.

**Experimental Setup**

Figure 4 is an illustration of the holographic optical tweezers system used in our experiment. A linearly polarized laser beam ($\lambda =$
1064 nm) is expanded and collimated by a telescope composed of lenses L1 and L2. After passing through a half-wave plate (HWP) and a polarizing beam splitter (PBS), the input beam is polarized horizontally. The HWP adjusts the power of the laser. To miniaturize the experimental setup, a specially designed 96° prism is used to reflect the beam to the phase-only liquid crystal SLM (Pluto-HED6010-NIR-049-C, Holoeye Photonics AG Inc., Germany, 1,920 × 1,080 pixels, pixel pitch: 8.0 μm) while only the central area of 1,080 × 1,080 pixels (i.e., N = 1,080 × 1,080) is used. Lenses L3 and L4 form a 4f system, which relays the SLM plane to the back focal plane of the objective lens (Nikon Plan Apo IR, Japan, ×60, NA = 1.27, water immersion). The QWP is used to convert the linearly polarized beam into the circularly polarized one. After the objective lens focuses the beam, the required HOTA is observed in a region near the front focal plane. To map the intensity distribution of the generated field, a mirror M5, placed near the front focal plane, is employed to reflect the light to pass through in sequence objective lens, filter F and tube lens L5 and finally onto CMOS camera (Point Gray GS3-U3-41C6M-C, FLIR System Inc., United States, 2048 × 2,048 pixels, pixel pitch: 5.5 μm). When micro-manipulation experiments operate, the mirror M5 is replaced by a sample. The sample chamber consisting of double-sided tape, a cover-slip, and a slide, contains an aqueous solution of 2 μm in diameter polystyrene (PS) microspheres. Currently, there are already some softwares that can be used for optical trapping [49–51]. They can generate a variety of holograms and call the SLM to address holograms. In our experiment, we use MATLAB program to implement our proposed algorithm. After obtaining the hologram, the software provided by the SLM manufacturer is used to address the hologram. Simultaneously, the software also provides a method to correct the aberration, which is an essential factor that affects the quality of HOTA. The aberration of our system is mainly astigmatism caused by the uneven reflective surface of the SLM. In the commercial SLM software, we corrected that to improve the quality and manipulation performance of the HOTA by using the preset Zernike polynomials.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Generation and Characterization of HOTA

We first generate an array composed of four types of optical traps: GPs, OVs, PVs, and Airy beams, as shown in Figure 5. Figure 5A shows ϕj obtained after 30 iterations. In Figure 5B, columns 1 to 4 present four GPs, four OVs with a topological charge of ℓ = +15, four PVs with a topological charge of ℓ = 0, and four Airy beams, respectively. Figure 5C shows the xz-plane (y = 7.5 μm) intensity distribution of the field in Figure 5B. Figures 5D,E are the intensity distributions in the yz-plane, corresponding to x = −7.5 μm and x = 7.5 μm in Figure 5B, respectively. Although both OV+15 and PV0 are of a ring shape in the xy-plane, they are quite different in the yz-plane. Due to the difficulty of detecting the intensity distribution in the axial plane, only the xy-plane intensity distribution is presented, as shown in Figure 5F, from which we see a good agreement between the experiment and the simulation results.

The Dependence of the Energy Efficiency on the Number of Iterations

We next investigate the energy efficiency of the proposed HOTA and define that as [48].

$$e = \sum_{m=1}^{M} |V_m|^2. \quad (9)$$
It measures the ratio of the energy diffracted to all $M$ optical traps to the incident energy. Higher energy efficiency means more energy is used and less energy is diffracted into ghost traps. The traditional GSW algorithm can improve the energy efficiency of multiple optical traps through iterations. Here, the improved GSW also helps to increase the light energy utilization. Figure 6 shows that as the number of iterations increases, the light energy is gradually concentrated into the designed optical traps. The energy efficiency is gradually increased from ~0.55 at the beginning of the iteration to ~0.907 after 30 iterations. In this example, the average time for each iteration is ~0.67 s on our computer (Intel Core i5 CPU 760@2.80GHz). After 16 iterations, energy efficiency no longer increases, indicating that the algorithm has converged.

**Individual Adjustment of the Axial Position**

In Eq. 2, the coordinates of the optical trap $m$ are $x_m$, $y_m$, and $z_m$, which can be changed to adjust three-dimensional (3D) positions of...
optical traps. \(x_m\) and \(y_m\) can adjust positions of optical traps in the \(xy\)-plane. They change the lateral distance between optical traps. In order to show that the proposed method can control the three-dimensional position of optical traps, we change \(z_m\) to adjust the axial position of optical traps. In Figure 7, the optical traps in the red, green, and blue dashed areas are located at \(z = -15\ \mu m\), \(z = 0\), and \(z = 15\ \mu m\), respectively. The simulation results demonstrate that different holograms can be used to make optical traps move along the optical axis. Combined with the movement in the lateral plane, the generated HOTA can realize the control of the 3D position.

**Individual Adjustment of Peak Intensity**

The ability to regulate the energy ratio between multiple optical traps allows researchers to customize force magnitude flexibly. Xu et al. [52] used a complementary random phase encoding technique to separately regulate each trap energy of multiple traps. However, all optical traps are Gaussian point traps, which are different from the HOTA we need as it contains various types of optical traps. If the GSW algorithm in [48] is used directly (that is, all types of beams are weighted equally), the energy allocated to GPs, OV’s, PV’s, and Airy beams will be equal. However, in the optical trapping and manipulation experiments, GPs and Airy traps demand much lower working energy than the OV’s and PV’s. Therefore, it is necessary to make the energy of different types of beams unequal. In order to achieve this goal, we introduce a new parameter \(\alpha_m\) to the original GSW algorithm to adjust the energy ratio among the optical traps. The values of \(\alpha_m\) are given based on empirical estimation: the energy obtained by an optical trap increases with increasing the value of the corresponding \(\alpha_m\). In Figure 8, when we change the ratio among \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3,\) and \(\alpha_4\), the peak intensity of the corresponding optical trap changes. In Figure 8A, \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3,\) and \(\alpha_4\) are 2.6, 2.6, 1, and 1, respectively. We keep \(\alpha_1\) and \(\alpha_3\) unchanged and reduce \(\alpha_2\) to 1.3, and \(\alpha_4\) to 0.5. The corresponding peak intensities decrease, as shown in Figure 8B. By the comparison among Figure 8A, B, and C, we can find that an optical trap with a larger \(\alpha_m\) will have more energy. Therefore, if we change the relative value of the \(\alpha_m\), we can indeed control the energy obtained by the optical trap \(m\).

**Micro-Particles Trapping and Manipulation With HOTA**

Figures 9B,C show the simulation and experimental results for a trap array: four vortices (OV\(_{15}\), PV\(_{10}\), OV\(_{-15}\), and PV\(_{-10}\)) and four GPs. From Figures 9D–I, the difference between the direction of rotation among different vortices can be seen: OV\(_{15}\) and PV\(_{10}\) trap PS microspheres and rotate them counterclockwise, while
OV_{-15} and PV_{-10} give rise to an opposite rotational sense. Each of
the four GPs traps a PS microsphere, and the zero-order diffracted focal
spot (center) also traps a PS microsphere. But each GP can also
trap more than one PS microsphere (see the Supplementary
Material Video S1). In Figures 9D–I, the optical trap in the
upper left corner (OV_{+15}) drives the PS microsphere to rotate
counterclockwise, and it takes about 0.42 s to complete one full
cycle. The optical trap in the lower right corner (PV_{+10}) drives the
PS microsphere to rotate counterclockwise and takes about 0.46 s
per cycle. Our experimental results on trapping and manipulating
micro-particles demonstrate that HOTA can provide multiple types
of manipulation simultaneously.

**CONCLUSION**

We have proposed a method for generating a hybrid optical trap
array. Addressing a hologram on the phase-only SLM can
generate various types of optical traps near the focal plane of
the objective lens. Our simulation results reveal that the energy
efficiency can be improved by increasing the number of iterations
and stabilized after a dozen iterations. The optical traps’ axial
positions can be independently controlled, and multiple optical
traps are located on different planes. The peak intensity of the
optical traps can be adjusted by the parameter \( \alpha_m \). Experimental
and simulation results of intensity distributions are in good
agreement. Our method has two main differences compared
with the previous method: one is that the energy ratio among
different optical traps can be adjusted to meet the need for optical
trapping and manipulation. The second is that it can generate
hybrid optical trap arrays formed by arbitrary combinations of
GPs, OVs, PVs, and Airy beams, etc. Furthermore, we
demonstrate that the generated HOTA can trap and rotate
2 \( \mu \)m PS microspheres in expected manners. These
experimental results strongly support the theoretical
simulation and prove that the proposed method can
simultaneously generate different types of optical traps, such
as GPs, OVs, PVs, and Airy beams, etc., with good energy
efficiency, adjustable axial positions, and controllable peak intensities of the optical traps. The proposed method has potential applications in the fields of beam shaping, optical trapping, and optical processing.
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