Esophageal manometry in systemic sclerosis: findings and association with clinical manifestations

INTRODUCTION
Among collagen disorders, systemic sclerosis (SS) is a devastating disease with a profound impact on life expectancy and a probability of death 3.5 times greater than the general population. Mortality varies according to the type of cutaneous involvement, diffuse or limited (more prevalent), and is more unfavorable in the diffuse form. The main cause of mortality in SS is cardiopulmonary involvement. The most frequent pulmonary manifestation is interstitial lung disease (ILD), present between 57-86% of these individuals. Gastroesophageal reflux is one of the mechanisms involved in ILD.

SUMMARY
INTRODUCTION: Systemic sclerosis (SSC) is an autoimmune disorder that affects several organs of unknown etiology, characterized by vascular damage and fibrosis of the skin and organs. Among the organs involved are the esophagus and the lung.
OBJECTIVES: To relate the profile of changes in esophageal electromanometry (EM), the profile of skin involvement, interstitial pneumopathy (ILD), and esophageal symptoms in SSC patients.
METHODS: This is an observational, cross-sectional study carried out at the SSC outpatient clinic of the Hospital de Clinicas of the Federal University of Uberlândia. After approval by the Ethics Committee and signed the terms of consent, 50 patients were initially enrolled, from 04/12/2014 to 06/25/2015. They were submitted to the usual investigations according to the clinical picture. The statistical analysis was descriptive in percentage, means, and standard deviation. The Chi-square test was used to evaluate the relationship between EM, high-resolution tomography, and esophageal symptoms.
RESULTS: 91.9% of the patients had some manometric alterations. 37.8% had involvement of the esophageal body and lower esophageal sphincter. 37.8% had ILD. 24.3% presented the diffuse form of SSC. No association was found between manometric changes and clinical manifestations (cutaneous, pulmonary, and gastrointestinal symptoms).
CONCLUSION: The present study confirms that esophageal motility alterations detected by EM are frequent in SSC patients, but may not be related to cutaneous extension involvement, the presence of ILD, or the gastrointestinal complaints of patients.
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The involvement of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is present in approximately 80% of individuals with SS. The segment of the GIT more frequently involved is the esophagus, affected in 50-90% of patients with SS. Due to the precocity of esophageal involvement even without classic symptoms, its presence should be investigated since its consequences, such as bronchoaspiration, predispose pulmonary fibrosis, and Barrett’s esophagus. In addition, the symptoms of esophageal involvement (SEI), such as dysphagia, heartburn, and acid reflux of gastric contents (SEI), Raynaud’s phenomenon (RP), presence of anti-centromere antibody, and presence of the antibody anti-topoisomerase 1, serology for Chagas Disease (two methods: hemagglutination and ELISA), echocardiogram, treatments with nifedipine, cyclophosphamide and bosen-tan, concomitance of diabetes and hypothyroidism.

In the analysis of the echocardiograms, pulmonary hypertension was considered when recorded in the examiner report and with measures of pulmonary artery pressure greater than 35 mmHg. In the retrospective analysis, RP or thickening of the fingers noticed by the patient was considered as the first symptom of SS.

All 58 individuals with a SS diagnosis who attended the Rheumatology Outpatient Clinic of HC-UFU were invited to participate in the study; 50 agreed and signed the Informed Consent Form (ICF).

Esophageal Manometry

All EMs were performed by the same gastroenterologist (Matoso, AGB). EM was performed, after a 6h fasting, with an electromanometer with a water perfusion catheter with four radial channels and four channels separated 5 cm apart (Alacer Bio-São Paulo). The normality criteria for the esophagus manometry findings were the following: Pressure of the upper sphincter of the esophagus (USE): 30-180 mmHg; lower esophageal sphincter (LES): 10-34 mmHg; Number of peristaltic waves: 8-10 (greater than 80%). LES hypotonia was defined when the pressure was lower than 10mmHg, and aperistalsis, as the absence of peristaltic function in the lower esophageal body (EB). Hypocontractility was characterized as more than 30% of waves not conducted and/or a mean amplitude in the distal esophagus of less than 30 mmHg.

High-resolution Computed Tomography (HRCT)

Tomographic findings consistent with pulmonary impairment related to SS were considered the description of glass opacities, honeycombing of the parenchyma, or fibrosis in the lung bases in HRCT, and confirmed by the physician. Any one of these descriptions given by the radiologist was considered and related to SS. The HRCT was carried out in
several different services with reports from different radiologists.

**Statistical analysis**

We performed a descriptive statistical analysis in percentages, means, and standard deviations to describe the sample. To assess the relationship between EM, HRCT, and esophageal symptoms (SEI), we used the Chi-square test. All analyses were performed using the SPSS software version 20.0. We considered a p-value < 0.05 to be significant.

**RESULTS**

**General data of the population**

Of the 50 patients included initially, only 37 underwent HRCT and EM and had their data analyzed in the present study. Of these, 30 (81.1%) are coming from Uberlandia. The mean age at the time of inclusion in the study was 50.22 years, with a minimum of 25 and a maximum of 70 years. The average time between the first manifestations of the disease and attending the specialized service was 5.2 years (SD of 8.48 years). Two individuals did not present the onset of the disease with RP. Other demographic data and clinical characterization are described in Table 1.

**Esophageal Manometry**

Of the 37 EM patients studied, three had completely normal test results; therefore, 34 (91.9%) had some abnormality. There were 14 (37.8%) individuals with concomitant abnormalities in the EB and the LES. Fifteen (40.6%) patients presented exclusive involvement of the EB. Four patients had exclusive involvement of the LES: two had hypotonia, and two presented the LES hypertonia. In the series, we found two individuals with LES hypertonia concurrent with waves not conducted by the EB. One patient presented the upper sphincter with a tendency to low amplitude. In Figure 1, we can see a normal EM and, in figure 2, one often found among SS patients.

**High-resolution Computed Tomography (HRCT)**

In the present study, 14 (37.8%) patients presented ILD, of these seven were anti-topoisomerase1 positive. In the group of ILD patients, seven (50%) presented diffuse cutaneous SS and the other seven (50%) the limited cutaneous presentation. In addition to ILD, there were seven patients with other findings, such as calcified nodules, atelectasis, blisters, and results compatible with pulmonary emphysema. Dilation of the EB was described in four cases.

---

**FIGURE 1** - Normal conventional esophageal electromanometry. Peristaltic contractions in the esophageal body (green arrows), normotonic lower esophageal sphincter with adequate relaxation during swallowing (red arrows).
FIGURE 2. ESOPHAGEAL MANOMETRY IN A PATIENT WITH SYSTEMIC SCLEROSIS. HYPOCONTRACTILITY OF THE ESOPHAGEAL BODY WITH ABSENCE OF WAVES OF CONTRACTION (GREEN ARROWS), HYPTOTONIA OF THE LOWER ESOPHAGEAL SPHINCTER WITH NORMAL RELAXATION DURING SWALLOWING (RED ARROWS).

The relationship between cutaneous involvement and the manometry findings

The distribution of the type of cutaneous involvement and the manometry findings were analyzed, and no significant difference was found in the frequency of abnormal EM in both groups, not even when the abnormalities of the EB or the LES (p=0.999) analyzed in isolation.

The relationship between pulmonary involvement and the manometry findings

No statistically significant correlation was found between manometry abnormalities exclusive to the EB or in association with LES, and ILD (p=0.736) were found.

The relationships of esophageal manifestations (ES), distribution by type of cutaneous involvement and EM and HRCT findings

Only 06 (16.21%) individuals did not present SEI. The relationship between abnormalities in the SEI and ES showed no statistical correlation; there were 34 patients with EM abnormalities and 31 with SEI (p=0.70). The presence of SEI also did not correlate with the exclusive involvement of the EB, which totaled 15 (40.5%) cases, among which 13 (35.1%) had SEI (p=0.087). There was also no statistically relevant correlation in the association of patients with SEI and individuals with hypotonia of the LES concomitant to the absence of waves in the EB; there were 12 (32.4%) symptomatic cases with that relationship, in comparison to the other 19 (51.3%) symptomatic patients with EM without the involvement of the LES (p=0.152).

There was no statistically significant correlation between the presentation of cutaneous involvement and SEI; there were 23 (62.1%) individuals with limited cutaneous SS and symptomatic and 8 (21.6%) cases of diffuse cutaneous SS also symptomatic (p=0.154). The ones with SEI also did not correlate with the presence of ILD; there were 12 (32.4%) patients with symptoms and ILD, in comparison with 19 (51.3%) individuals with symptoms and without ILD (p=0.999).

Among the patients who presented abnormalities in the EM, 15 (40.5%) were taking a calcium channel blocker, and 19 (51.3%) were not. Therefore, there was no relationship between the manometry findings and the use of calcium channel blockers (p=0.678).

DISCUSSION

The higher incidence of SS in females and greater prevalence of the limited cutaneous presentation are universal[18]. In this study, 92% of women had SS. The

| Variables and epidemiological data | Results (data are in numbers (%), except where indicated otherwise) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Age at the onset of the disease (years), mean (± SD) Female | 52.21 (12.06) 34 (92) |
| Form of cutaneous presentation Limited | 28 (75.7) |
| Diffuse | 9 (24.3) |
| Esophageal symptoms (dysphagia, pyrosis, and/or regurgitation) | 31 (83.8) |
| Abnormal esophageal manometries | 34 (91.9) |
| Interstitial lung disease | 14 (37.8) |
| Pulmonary hypertension on echocardiogram | 5 (16.6)* |
| Comorbidities Diabetes mellitus | 2 (5.4) |
| Hypothyroidism | 5 (13.5) |
| Anti-centromere antibody | 10 (27) |
| Anti-Topoisoeromerase I | 9 (24.3) |
| Medication Use of cyclophosphamide | 12 (32.4) |
| Use of bosentan | 8 (21.6) |
| Use of calcium channel blocker | 15 (40.5) |

*Seven patients did not undergo the examination, sample of 30 patients with Echo-cardiogram
age of onset of the disease varies depending on the region of the world evaluated\textsuperscript{18}. In this sample, the population showed a later onset, at 52.21 years, than that found in studies in Brasil (50.5 years\textsuperscript{19,20}) and Latin America, which has studies showing disease onset with an average age of 35.8 years\textsuperscript{21}. The high prevalence of abnormal manometry findings in the present study is in agreement with the literature\textsuperscript{3,4}. The classic dysmotilities of SS in EM are hypomotility with waves not conducted on the esophageal body concomitant with hypotonia of the lower esophageal sphincter, which occurred in 35.15% of patients in this series. However, 37.8% of the patients had only involvement of the esophageal body, without the involvement of the EIR. And 10.8% had LES abnormalities without the involvement of the esophageal body. The higher prevalence of the involvement of the esophageal body in relation to the abnormalities of the EIR, in isolation, were already known\textsuperscript{8,22}. There are reports that SS starts in the esophagus body\textsuperscript{23}. It is believed that arteriole changes of the vasa nervorum would lead successively to the three stages of esophageal involvement: neuropathy, myopathy, and fibrosis, which may explain the higher frequency of impairment of the EB musculature than that of the LES, corroborated by the findings of the present study\textsuperscript{22}. But there is no way to tell how much time of disease progression is required to present the concomitant involvement of the esophageal body and of the LES, or if all individuals evolve in the same way. It is important to emphasize that the patients of the group studied had a mean time of 5.2 years since the first symptom until the diagnosis of SS. Both diabetes mellitus\textsuperscript{23} and hypothyroidism with myxedema\textsuperscript{22} can cause esophageal abnormalities detectable by EM. It is not possible to determine in this study, due to the small number of cases with overlapping, if indeed these entities have contributed to precipitate, worsen, or not the abnormalities in the EM. In the same way, the role of calcium channel blockers on esophageal motility is controversial. That influence is controversial, but this study corroborates the findings of a previous one\textsuperscript{23}, which also found no association between the use of calcium channel blockers and the manometry findings of esophageal dysmotility or hypotonia of the LES. In the series analyzed, there was one case of involvement of the upper sphincter of the esophagus, an unusual fact in SS\textsuperscript{24}, which was associated with the concomitant presence of inflammatory myopathy since it is formed by striated skeletal muscles\textsuperscript{24}. In this group, there were two EM with achalasia, not usual among the classic findings of SS\textsuperscript{22}. There is probably more than one etiopathogenic mechanism that causes damage in the esophagus in SS. The immune-mediated condition of SS is among the causes of esophageal structural damage\textsuperscript{31}. Chagas disease was excluded in these cases\textsuperscript{25}. No statistically significant relationship was found between esophageal body abnormalities and of the LES alone with limited and/or diffuse cutaneous subgroup. There is also no relationship between the involvement of the body and the LES with the diffuse or limited cutaneous presentation of SS. This fact is in agreement with the study developed by Calderaro at al\textsuperscript{22}, whose patients and geographical location are similar to those of this study. Unlike what was suggested by Leroy, in 1988\textsuperscript{3}, who correlated the limited cutaneous presentation with esophageal abnormalities. There is a concern regarding the consequences of gastric content aspiration on the pulmonary parenchyma, leading to ILD. Pulmonary damage is present in 57-86% of patients with SS\textsuperscript{2}. Studies have suggested an association of severe esophageal disease in patients with the presence of anti-topoisomerase\textsuperscript{10}. This, in turn, would be associated with a greater prevalence of ILD\textsuperscript{10}. In this study, we recorded 37.8% of cases of ILD and 24.3% of cases of anti-topoisomerase 1. The high prevalence of esophageal abnormalities did not correlate with a higher frequency of abnormalities on computed tomography scans in this study. Even when analyzed separately, abnormalities exclusive to the esophageal body, or in association with LES abnormalities, showed no statistically significant association with ILD. That is perhaps because the frequency of ILD in our population was lower than that described in the literature, which might have influenced in the absence of this association. In patients analyzed, the presence of SEI was not statistically correlated with none of the manometry abnormalities analyzed. The absence of correlation between the presence of esophageal abnormalities in EM and SEI is well described in the literature since ES is less frequent than EM abnormalities. Perhaps this can be explained by the slow progression of the disease, or by the lack of more sensitive instruments for the clinical investigation of the patient. This study was conducted at a referral center in the countryside of Brasil and presented as a limitation a small number of individuals with SS. Thus, the data may not be extrapolated, despite corroborating
Brazilian studies conducted previously. There is also a limitation regarding the technique of EM, which was performed with conventional equipment (not high resolution), but that is the equipment available in most national services. However, this study stands out for demonstrating that there is no correlation of clinical data such as cutaneous involvement and/or SEI that denote the profile of EM abnormalities. In addition, segmental abnormalities in the esophagus in EM do not correlate with ILD. These facts require special attention from the medical team because these patients may have relevant esophageal and lung structural changes without necessarily presenting a classic clinical pattern of the disease. There is no need to wait for diffuse cutaneous SS to investigate ILD, nor is it necessary for the esophagus body to be affected concomitantly with the LES for ILD screening to be conducted. It is also not necessary to wait for SEI to investigate the organ.

**CONCLUSION**

In the population with SS evaluated in this study, esophageal abnormalities in EM were frequent. However, no distribution pattern was found regarding segmental alterations, isolated or concomitant, of the esophagus with the type of cutaneous involvement. It was also not possible to establish a relationship of a specific manometry pattern and the presence of ILD. The existence of clinical gastrointestinal symptoms did not correlate with the diffuse or limited cutaneous pattern, or with manometry alterations of body and LES, isolated or associated, even when ILD was present. This study is relevant because it indicates the need for special attention in the care of patients with SS since they need to be screened for esophageal complications without SEI. It is also necessary to assess the existence of ILD, regardless of the type of cutaneous involvement and the intensity of the esophageal involvement.
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