Regulation of phytoplankton carbon to chlorophyll ratio by light, nutrients and temperature in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean: a basin-scale model
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Abstract. The complex effects of light, nutrients and temperature lead to a variable carbon to chlorophyll (C:Chl) ratio in phytoplankton cells. Using field data collected in the Equatorial Pacific, we derived a new dynamic model with a non-steady C:Chl ratio as a function of irradiance, nitrate, iron, and temperature. The dynamic model is implemented into a basin-scale ocean circulation-biogeochemistry model and tested in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean. The model reproduces well the general features of phytoplankton dynamics in this region. For instance, the simulated deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) is much deeper in the western warm pool (∼100 m) than in the Eastern Equatorial Pacific (∼50 m). The model also shows the ability to reproduce chlorophyll, including not only the zonal, meridional and vertical variations, but also the interannual variability. This modeling study demonstrates that combination of nitrate and iron regulates the spatial and temporal variations in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific. Sensitivity simulations suggest that nitrate is mainly responsible for the high C:Chl ratio in the western warm pool while iron is responsible for the frontal features in the C:Chl ratio between the warm pool and the upwelling region. In addition, iron plays a dominant role in regulating the spatial and temporal variations of the C:Chl ratio in the Central and Eastern Equatorial Pacific. While temperature has a relatively small effect on the C:Chl ratio, light is primarily responsible for the vertical decrease of phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the euphotic zone.

1 Introduction

There are no direct in situ measurements of phytoplankton carbon biomass. Usually, it is estimated from the cell biovolume through microscopic measurement and flow cytometry (e.g., Ishizaka et al., 1997; Chavez et al., 1996; Brown et al., 2003). This approach is time-consuming, thus cannot be employed routinely in field studies. Alternatively, phytoplankton biomass is often inferred from chlorophyll-“a” (named Chl, hereafter), a pigment that is common to all planktonic autotrophs and can be easily measured. As a result, there has been extensive and growing database of in situ measurements and remotely sensed Chl. Over the past decade, remotely sensed Chl concentrations have been used for the estimations of the global oceanic primary productivity (e.g., Behrenfeld et al., 2005; Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997; Carr et al., 2006; Westberry et al., 2008). The extensive Chl database also provides a major reality check on the performance of biogeochemical/ecosystem models (Faure et al., 2006; Armstrong, 2006). However, these approaches rely on realistic conversion between the phytoplankton carbon biomass and Chl concentration.

The relationship between the phytoplankton carbon biomass and Chl concentration is non-linear because of the complex influences by light, nutrients and temperature in the euphotic zone (Armstrong, 2006; Behrenfeld et al., 2002, 2005; Geider et al., 1996, 1997, 1998; Brown et al., 2003; Le Bouteiller et al., 2003). It is well known that the phytoplankton carbon to Chl (C:Chl) ratio decreases from high light to low light under nutrient-replete conditions, a phenomenon called “photoacclimation”. The first dynamic model for
photoacclimation under nutrient-replete and constant temperature conditions was developed in 1996 (Geider et al., 1996). There have been attempts since to include phytoplanktonic acclimation to nutrients and temperature in the dynamic model development (e.g., Geider et al., 1997, 1998; Behrenfeld et al., 2002; Armstrong, 2006; Pahlow, 2005; Smith and Yamanaka, 2007). Most of these approaches are based on laboratory experiments under controlled conditions. The combined effects of light, nutrients and temperature on the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the real ocean are not well known.

Phytoplankton dynamic models have been implemented into simple one dimensional biological models (Taylor et al., 1997; Fennel and Boss, 2003; Lefèvre et al., 2003; Faugeras et al., 2004; Fujii et al., 2007) and three dimensional regional to global ocean biogeochemical models (Moore et al., 2002; Aumont et al., 2003; Faure et al., 2006). While some of the modeling studies have attempted to simulate a variable C:Chl ratio, there have been limited studies assessing model performance in simulating the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio or Chl (e.g., Fujii et al., 2007; Faure et al., 2006; Lefèvre et al., 2003; Doney et al., 2009). Limited studies show considerable mismatches between model outputs and observations, including the seasonal to interannual variations of Chl, phytoplankton carbon biomass, and/or C:Chl ratio (e.g., Lefèvre et al., 2003; Doney et al., 2009). Moreover, little is known about the relative roles of light, temperature and nutrients regulating the large scale variability in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio. These environmental conditions are most likely to change in association with anticipated future climate change and ocean stratification, suggesting implications for the marine ecosystem dynamics and the carbon cycle. Clearly, studies are needed for both improvement/validation of dynamic models and understanding of mechanism underlying the variability of the C:Chl ratio. As Armstrong (2006) pointed out: “the ability to predict phytoplankton growth rates under light and nutrient limitations is fundamental to modeling the ocean carbon cycle, equally fundamental is the ability to predict chlorophyll:carbon ratios, since satellite-based chlorophyll estimates are one of the few data sets to which model output can be compared”.

Here, we report an approach of using field data to derive and parameterize the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio as a function of light, temperature, dissolved iron and nitrate, and employing a basin scale 3-dimensional physical-biogeochemical model to test the dynamic model for the Equatorial Pacific. The coupled model has demonstrable capability for simulating spatial and temporal variations in physical fields (Murtugudde et al., 1996), ecosystem dynamics, and biogeochemical fields (Wang et al., 2005, 2006a, 2008). Our approach includes three major parts. First, we use the in situ data collected along 180° during October–November 1996 to derive a relationship between the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio and regulating factors (i.e., light, nutrients and temperature). We then validate the model by using the zonal and meridional distributions of in situ Chl in the upper water column collected from different periods and different locations, and by using the ten years of satellite derived surface Chl. Finally, we carry out a sensitivity study to assess the relative roles of light, nutrients and temperature in regulating the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio for different parts of the Equatorial Pacific Ocean.

2 Methodology

2.1 Model description

The ocean general circulation model (OGCM) is a reduced-gravity, primitive-equation, sigma-coordinate model that is coupled to an advective atmospheric mixed layer model (Gent and Cane, 1989; Murtugudde et al., 1996). The model has 20 vertical layers with variable thicknesses. The uppermost layer, the mixed layer, is determined by surface turbulent kinetic energy generation, dynamic instability mixing, and convective mixing to remove static instabilities (Chen et al., 1994). The mixed layer ranges from 10 to 50 m along the Equator, and the remaining layers in the euphotic zone (0–120 m) are approximately 10 m in thickness. The model is set up for the Pacific domain between 30° S–30° N with zonal resolution of 1°, and variable meridional resolutions of 0.3–0.6° between 15° S–15° N (1/3° at latitudes <10°), increasing to 2° at the northern and southern boundaries. In the “sponge layer” (10° band) near the boundaries, temperature, salinity, and nitrate are gradually relaxed back towards climatology from the WOA98 atlas (http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/data_woa.html). The model is forced by climatological monthly means of solar radiation and cloudiness, and 6-day means of surface wind stress from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996). Initial conditions are taken from a climatological run, which has been spun up for 30 years with initial conditions from the WOA98 atlas. We perform an interannual run starting from 1987, and use model outputs from the period of 1994–2007.

The biogeochemical model, modified from an early version (Wang et al., 2006b; Christian et al., 2002), consists of three nutrients (nitrate, ammonium, dissolved iron) and seven biological pools. The biological components include large and small sizes of phytoplankton (P_S and P_L), zooplankton (Z_S and Z_L) and detritus (D_S and D_L), respectively, and dissolved organic nitrogen. All biological components are carried in terms of their nitrogen equivalence, except for dissolved iron which is modeled explicitly, using a single Fe:N ratio for all biological compartments except the large phytoplankton. Model structure, equations and biological parameters are given in Wang et al. (2008). The incorporation of iron into the ecosystem model is of vital importance in better predicting the ecosystem response, especially in the Equatorial Pacific, because iron has been identified as an important element controlling phytoplankton
growth in this region (Martin et al., 1994; Coale et al., 1996). We apply a constant Redfield carbon to nitrogen (C:N) ratio (6.625) to compute the phytoplankton carbon biomass. Then we compute chlorophyll concentration using a diagnostic conversion, i.e., the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio. Our approach of applying a constant C:N ratio may have implications for chlorophyll estimation. However, the uncertainties or potential errors would be small because of a relatively small range of C:N ratio in phytoplankton uptake (Raimbault et al., 1999).

2.2 Derivation and parameterization of the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio

During the Etude du Broutage en Zone Equatoriale (EBENE) cruise, phytoplankton biomass and chlorophyll concentration were determined from 8° S to 8° N, along 180° during the period of 21 October to 20 November 1996 (Brown et al., 2003). The EBENE data show that the bulk C:Chl ratio in the community varied greatly near the sea surface (79–155 g:g), but was relatively constant (~40 g:g) near the bottom of the euphotic zone. In addition, Le Bouteiller et al. (2003) reports a linear decrease in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio with depth in the euphotic zone. As shown in Fig. 1, we can predict the C:Chl ratio (η) as a function of depth (Z):

$$\eta = \eta_0 - (\eta_0 - \eta_{\text{MIN}}) \frac{Z}{Z_E},$$

where $$\eta_0$$ is the C:Chl ratio at the surface, $$\eta_{\text{MIN}}$$ the minimum C:Chl ratio at the bottom of the euphotic zone ($$Z_E$$). At a given depth Z ($$Z > 0$$), light condition can be predicted as:

$$I(z) = I_0 \exp^{-k_A Z},$$

where $$I_0$$ is the photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) at the surface. The term $$k_A$$, a light attenuation coefficient, is computed as:

$$k_A = k_W + k_C C + k_D (S_D + D_L),$$

where $$k_W$$, $$k_C$$, $$k_D$$ are the attenuation coefficients for water, chlorophyll and detritus, respectively. There is evidence of uniform distribution in particulate organic nitrogen in the euphotic zone of the Equatorial Pacific (Wang et al., 2008), suggesting probably uniform distribution of detritus. Observation also shows relatively uniform distribution of chlorophyll concentration in the upper 100 m at 0° and 3° S along 180° during the EBENE cruise (see Fig. 6 in Le Bouteiller et al., 2003). Hence, we assume that $$k_A$$ is constant in the euphotic zone.

By replacing the variable Z by the variable I, we can rewrite Eq. (1) as:

$$\eta = \eta_0 - (\eta_0 - \eta_{\text{MIN}}) \frac{\ln I_0 - \ln I}{\ln I_0 - \ln I_{Z_E}},$$

where $$I_{Z_E}$$, the PAR value at the euphotic depth, is 1% of the surface PAR (i.e., $$I_{Z_E} = 0.01I_0$$). Thus, we can simplify Eq. (4) as:

$$\eta = \eta_{\text{MIN}} - \frac{(\eta_0 - \eta_0) \ln I_0 - \ln I}{4.605}.$$

While an early study reported an empirical relationship between phytoplankton Chl:C ratio and growth rate (Cloern et al., 1995), Le Bouteiller et al. (2003) shows that the surface C:Chl ratio linearly decreases with increasing the growth rate ($$\mu_0^*$$) under non-light limitation conditions:

$$\eta_0 = \eta_{\text{MAX}} - k_P \mu_0^*,$$

where $$\eta_{\text{MAX}}$$ is the maximum C:Chl ratio, and $$k_P$$ the slope of C:Chl ratio vs. growth rate. There has been other observational evidence that the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio decreases with increased growth rate under non-light limitation conditions (Geider et al., 1997, 1998; Armstrong, 2006; Behrenfeld et al., 2005). The non-light limited growth rate, $$\mu_0^*$$, can be computed as a function of temperature ($$T$$) and the minimum (min) nutrient availability of nitrate (N) and iron (Fe):

$$\mu_0^* = \mu_0 e^{k_T T} \min \left( \frac{K_N + NO_3^{-}}{K_Fe + Fe}, \frac{Fe}{Fe} \right),$$

where $$\mu_0$$ the maximum growth rate at 0°C, and $$k_T$$ the temperature dependence coefficient for phytoplankton growth. $$K_N$$ and $$K_Fe$$ are the half saturation constants for nitrogen and iron limitations on phytoplankton growth, respectively.

There are two phytoplankton categories (large and small) in the model. The small phytoplankton, primarily picophytoplankton (i.e. <2 µm), accounts for >70% of the phytoplankton community determined by total Chl under normal conditions, with larger percentages in the oligotrophic western warm pool than in the mesotrophic High-Nutrient-Low-Chlorophyll (HNLC) region of the Equatorial Pacific (Blanchot et al., 2001; Mackey et al., 2002; Le Bouteiller et al., 2003; Le Borgne et al., 2002b). The C:Chl ratio is higher in small phytoplankton cells than in the large ones (Le Bouteiller et al., 2003). Accordingly, we use different physiological parameters for each phytoplankton category (Table 1).

2.3 Satellite and in situ data

Table 2 presents details of the in situ and satellite data sets used for model evaluation in this study. The data sets include zonal and meridional distributions of in situ Chl in the upper 120 m water column collected from different periods. Particularly, we use in situ Chl from the FLUPAC and Zonal Flux studies (Le Borgne et al., 2002a) because of the similar spatial coverage (i.e., 165° E–155° W) but for two...
Table 1. Biological parameters used for small and large phytoplankton.

| Parameter                                | Symbol | Unit       | Small  | Large  |
|------------------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| Maximum growth rate at 0°C               | $\mu_{SO}$ | $d^{-1}$   | 0.58   | 1.16   |
| Temp. dependent coefficient for $\mu$    | $k_T$  | °C$^{-1}$  | 0.06   | 0.06   |
| Half saturation constant for N limitation| $K_N$  | nmol m$^{-3}$ | 0.2    | 0.6    |
| Half saturation constant for iron limitation| $K_{Fe}$ | nmol m$^{-3}$ | 14     | 150    |
| Minimum C:Chl ratio                      | $\eta_{MIN}$ | g:g      | 30     | 15     |
| Maximum C:Chl ratio                      | $\eta_{MAX}$ | g:g      | 200    | 120    |
| Photoacclimation coefficient             | $k_P$  | (g:g)d     | 95     | 70     |
| Light attenuation constant for water     | $k_W$  | m$^{-1}$   |        | 0.028  |
| Light attenuation constant for chlorophyll| $k_C$  | m$^{-1}$(mg chl m$^{-3}$)$^{-1}$ | 0.058  |        |
| Light attenuation constant for detritus  | $k_D$  | m$^{-1}$(mmol N m$^{-3}$)$^{-1}$ | 0.008  |        |

Table 2. Description of the evaluation data sets used for model skill assessments.

| Data sets     | Variable | Long. Lat. | Period    | Reference                  |
|---------------|----------|------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| FLUPAC        | Chl      | 166° E–150° W, 0° | Oct 1994 | Le Borgne et al. (2002a)   |
| Zonal Flux    | Chl      | 165° E–150° W, 0° | May 1996 | Le Borgne et al. (2002a)   |
| EBENE         | C:Chl    | 180° 8° N–8° S | Oct 1996 | Brown et al. (2003)        |
| Box Project   | Chl      | 140° W, 125° W, 8° N–8° S | Sep 2005 | This study                 |
|               | Chl      | 140° W, 125° W, 8° N–8° S | Aug 2006 | This study                 |
|               | Chl      | 140° W, 125° W, 8° N–8° S | May 2007 | This study                 |
| SeaWiFS       | Chl      | 150° E–90° W, 15° N–15° S | 1998–2007 | McClain et al. (2002)      |

Fig. 1. Measured vertical distribution of community C:Chl ratio at 0° and 3° S along 180° during October–November 1996. Data are from the Table 6 in Le Bouteiller et al. (2003).

As part of the Equatorial Box Project (http://web.science.oregonstate.edu/ocean.productivity/box.php), water samples were collected during the Tropical Atmosphere Ocean (TAO) cruises along 140° W and 125° W during 2005–2007. Surface particulate organic carbon (POC) concentrations were measured by collecting water samples at 3 m using the flow-through seawater system. Chl concentrations were determined using a Turner fluorometer and by HPLC. Technical details can be found in Behrenfeld and Boss (2006). The in situ Chl and POC data can be accessed at the project website. We use these data for further model validations, focusing on meridional variations in the Eastern Equatorial Pacific.

There is a continuous record of high-quality ocean color data from the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) going back to September 1997. The satellite derived Chl provides spatial distributions and temporal variations, which are useful for validation of the modeled surface Chl at large scales.

2.4 Skill metrics for model-data comparisons and model sensitivity studies

We apply a standard suite of skill metrics, including bias, correlation coefficient, and normalized standard deviation (NSD). The metrics for model skills are applied to different spatial and temporal domains, according to the availability of observations. For the zonal-vertical and meridional-vertical
distributions, both the observations and model outputs are interpolated and/or extrapolated to fixed depths of 10, 20, 30, 50, 75, 100 and 125 m. For the surface Chl, the SeaWiFS data and model outputs are interpolated into 1°×1° and monthly averages for the period of 1998–2007. A perfect model without error would give a value of 1 for both correlation coefficient and the normalized standard deviation. We use Taylor diagram (Taylor, 2001) to display assessments of model skills and model sensitivity studies.

3 Model results

3.1 Along 180°

The EBENE cruise was executed at the end of the 1995–1996 cold phase of the ENSO cycle (see introduction by Le Borgne and Landry, 2003). The upwelling zone extended west of the dateline, resulting in relatively high nutrient concentrations in the euphotic zone along 180° (Eldin and Rodier, 2003). Observed Chl concentration reached 0.2 mg m⁻³ near the surface between 5°S and 5°N, and ~0.3 mg m⁻³ at the DCM depth (Brown et al., 2003). Figure 2 shows that modeled surface Chl concentration is greater than 0.2 mg m⁻³ east of the dateline on the Equator, reflecting biological response to strong upwelling during the cold phase of the ENSO. Modeled DCM depth is much shallower on the Equator (30–80 m) than off the Equator (~100 m), which is similar to the observations. The model reproduces highest phytoplankton biomass near the surface with a sharp decline over depth. Modeled C:Chl ratio shows a similar range near the surface (80–140 g:g), and a similar value near the bottom of the euphotic zone (~40 g:g) to the observations (Fig. 2f).

There are some differences in the meridional distribution of the C:Chl ratio between the model and observation, mainly near the surface. The model predicts the lowest C:Chl ratio near the Equator (i.e., 1°N–3°S) whereas the observations show relatively higher C:Chl ratio. This disagreement may partly reflect the difference in ecosystem structure between the simple model and the complicated real ocean as community structure plays a large role in regulating the bulk C:Chl variability (Wang et al., 2009). The observed community C:Chl ratio is similar (~100 g:g) at 0° and 3°S whereas the observed C:Chl ratio in small cells (<3 μm) is lower at 0° (<150 g:g) than at 3°S (>200 g:g) (Fig. 1). These suggest that there is a considerable proportion of large cells near the Equator, which has low C:Chl ratios, and the model probably underestimates the large phytoplankton biomass in this region.

While a sophisticated ecosystem model would be able to simulate small scale feature, other factors need to be considered for model-data comparisons. Field data may have fine spatial and temporal resolutions that are probably not resolved by the model. In particular, field measurements often represent some events occurring at much shorter time scales which can range from less than one hour to several days whereas our model is limited by the shortest frequency of six days (i.e., wind forcing), and model simulations mostly represent mean conditions at much longer time scales (e.g., weeks to months). For example, the EBENE 5-day time series (4–9 November 1996) reveal significant daily variability in the Chl field. The DCM depth varies from 40 m to 80 m and the Chl concentration ranges from 0.29 mg m⁻³ to 0.38 mg m⁻³ at the DCM (see Fig. 3a in Neveux et al., 2003). As reported by Eldin and Rodier (2003), most of the observed anomalies reflected La Niña conditions, except for north of the Equator, which was more affected by higher-frequency variability, i.e., the tropical instability wave. In addition, the EBENE cruise captured many frontal features that might not be coherent with the model.

3.2 Along 140° W and 125° W

Figure 3 shows model-data comparisons along 140° W and 125° W for the period of September 2005. The modeled Chl shows a similar range to the in situ Chl in the upper euphotic zone along 140° W. The in situ Chl concentrations (0.2–0.5 mg m⁻³) are slightly higher than the modeled Chl (0.1–0.4 mg m⁻³) in the upper euphotic zone along 125° W. There is a considerable difference in the surface Chl concentrations among the in situ, modeled and the SeaWiFS data. The SeaWiFS Chl concentrations are generally lower with a weaker meridional variation than the in situ surface Chl. The modeled surface Chl shows better agreements with the in situ surface Chl than with the SeaWiFS Chl. This is an indication that further algorithm development is needed to improve the estimation of Chl from the remotely-sensed ocean color.

Figure 4 presents the comparisons between in situ surface POC and modeled POC in the mixed layer. Modeled POC is converted from particulate organic nitrogen (see details in Wang et al., 2008), using a fixed carbon to nitrogen ratio of 6.625. The uncertainties associated with this approach would be small because the C:N ratio in suspended material is relatively constant (Raimbault et al., 1999). Overall, the model reproduces the meridional distribution of surface POC, reasonably well, showing moderate increase (by less than a factor of two) from off the Equator to the Equator. Both the in situ data and model simulation reveal relatively higher surface POC concentrations to the north than to the south during May 2007.

3.3 Modeled surface Chl vs. the SeaWiFS derived Chl

There are two distinct regions in the Equatorial Pacific: the upwelling region in the Central and Eastern Pacific and the warm pool to the west (Picaut et al., 2001; Le Borgne et al., 2002a). These two regions are clearly separated by a front, whose longitudinal location is highly correlated to the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI): 173.2–10.75 SOI (Le Borgne et al., 2002a). The SOI values are the standardized
Fig. 2. Modeled zonal (left panel, $1^\circ$ N–$1^\circ$ S) and meridional (along $180^\circ$) distributions of (a) and (b) chlorophyll, (c) and (d) phytoplankton biomass, and (e) and (f) C:Chlorophyll ratio during October–November 1996. Contours in (f) are in situ measurements from Brown et al. (2003).

Data of Tahiti minus Darwin sea-level pressures from the Climate Prediction Centre (http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/indices/soi). A negative SOI indicates a warm phase of the ENSO, which is associated with an eastward shift of the front. This front can also be called the HNLC front because the upwelling region often has HNLC conditions (Wang et al., 2006b).

In this section, we compare modeled Chl with the SeaWiFS data for the period of 1997–2007, emphasizing large scale features, i.e., spatial and temporal variability. Figure 5 displays comparisons in the climatology and standard deviation (s.d.) of surface Chl. The model reproduces the observed surface Chl fields, including the spatial pattern and magnitude. Simulated Chl concentrations in the surface water range from $<0.1$ mg m$^{-3}$ in the western warm pool to $>0.2$ mg m$^{-3}$ in the eastern upwelling region, which is consistent with the SeaWiFS derived Chl. The standard deviation from the model reveals similar spatial variability and magnitude as the SeaWiFS, showing the highest values ($\sim 0.1$ mg m$^{-3}$) on the Equator in the eastern upwelling region.

Figure 6 shows that the model simulation captures many observed features in the SeaWiFS Chl over the period of 1997–2007, particularly the seasonal to interannual variability for the HNLC front. The model reproduces the extremely low Chl in the central Equatorial Pacific during the warm phases of 1997/8, 2002 and 2006 ENSO events. While there are large ENSO impacts on surface Chl in the Western and Central Equatorial Pacific, the ENSO impact on the surface Chl is relatively small in the eastern equatorial Pacific region (Fig. 6c). For instance, both model and SeaWiFS Chl show weak interannual variations except for the 1997/8 ENSO event. The averaged in situ Chl concentration is slightly lower during September 2006 ($\sim 0.15$ mg m$^{-3}$) than during September 2005 ($\sim 0.25$ mg m$^{-3}$) and May 2007 ($\sim 0.18$ mg m$^{-3}$).

The model underestimates Chl concentration during the cold phase of 1998 ENSO, which could be related to the overall weak amplitude of the NCEP winds (Hackert et al., 2001). There is also a mis-match in the Eastern Equatorial Pacific between the model and the SeaWiFS Chl. On the one hand, the SeaWiFS dataset underestimates the surface Chl concentration for the upwelling region (Fig. 6c). On the other hand,
the model may not be able to reproduce the spatial and temporal variability at small scales due to model deficiency in physical field (e.g., seasonality in the Eastern Equatorial Pacific, Wang et al., 2006a), in association with the standard cold-bias in most state of the art ocean models (see Murtugudde et al., 2002).

3.4 Overall model skill assessment

The Equatorial Pacific Ocean is characterized for its large spatial and temporal variations in physical and biogeochemical properties, which must be considered for model validations. In this study, we use several data sets to provide overall assessments for the dynamic phytoplankton model. The data sets include zonal and meridional distributions of water column in situ Chl concentration, spanning from 1994 to 2007. The in situ and satellite Chl data sets are compared with the model Chl from the hindcast simulation. Figure 7 illustrates that the correlation coefficient ($r$) ranges from 0.3 to 0.7, and NSD from ~1 to 1.5. Although the model simulation does not capture some features in the C:Chl ratio (Fig. 2f), the model-data comparison of C:Chl ratio yields a correlation coefficient of 0.41 and a NSD of 1.2. Overall, the model shows better skills in simulating zonal Chl distribution ($r$ > 0.7) than in simulating meridional Chl distribution ($r$ = 0.3–0.65). The model hindcast simulation exhibits promising skills in producing large scale spatial and temporal variability of surface Chl, with the correlation coefficient greater than 0.7 and the NSD close to 1.

4 Discussion

4.1 Variable C:Chl ratio vs. constant C:Chl ratio

We have formulated a variable phytoplankton C:Chl ratio to estimate the spatial and temporal distributions of Chl in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean. While the basin scale model is able to predict Chl concentrations, it would be clearly instructive to conduct a comparison, i.e., variable C:Chl ratio vs. constant C:Chl ratio. Many regional and global biogeochemical models often use a constant C:Chl ratio to com-
compute Chl from simulated phytoplankton biomass (e.g., Wetzel et al., 2006). Here, we compare Chl fields from the standard simulation (i.e., with a variable C:Chl ratio) with those generated from phytoplankton biomass with (1) a constant C:Chl ratio of 80 g:g and (2) a vertically decreasing C:Chl ratio from 100 g:g at the surface to 50 g:g at 100 m. Figure 8 demonstrates that there are considerable differences in the distribution of Chl fields. The standard simulation shows a deeper DCM (∼100 m) in the western warm pool and a shallower one (∼50 m) in the upwelling region. Modeled surface Chl concentration is less than 0.1 mg m$^{-2}$ in the warm pool and >0.25 mg m$^{-3}$ in the HNLC area. Chl concentration at the DCM displays a small range (0.2–0.3 mg m$^{-3}$) in both the western warm pool and the upwelling region. These features in the modeled Chl fields are consistent with the observations in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean (Le Borgne et al., 2002a). With a constant C:Chl ratio, the generated Chl field shows no DCM in the upwelling region and a weak DCM in the warm pool, and an overestimate of surface Chl concentrations, particularly to the west. Applying a decreasing C:Chl ratio over depth seems be able to generate a DCM, indicating the role of photoacclimation on DCM formation. However, this approach underestimates the spatial variability, particularly the west-east contrast.

### Table 3. Model experiments with different combinations of variables in Eq. (7).

| Experiment | SST (°C) | Nitrate (mmol m$^{-3}$) | Iron (nmol m$^{-3}$) |
|------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Standard   | Variable| Variable                | Variable            |
| SSTM       | 26.9    | Variable                | Variable            |
| FeM        | Variable| Variable                | 18.9                |
| NO$_3$M    | Variable| 4                       | Variable            |
| FeNO$_3$M  | Variable| 4                       | 18.9                |

4.2 Relative role of nutrients and temperature

We have developed a dynamic phytoplankton model that predicts a variable C:Chl ratio as a function of temperature, nitrate, iron and light. Our model simulates large spatial and temporal variations in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio for the Equatorial Pacific (Wang et al., 2009). However, little is known about the relative role of nutrients and temperature on the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio. To address this issue, we carry out a sensitivity study consisting of a standard simulation and four sensitivity experiments (Table 3). For the standard simulation, we keep SST, iron and nitrate concentrations variable in Eq. (7). For the sensitivity simulations, we apply one or two constants that are the averaged values of SST, surface iron or nitrate concentrations calculated from the standard simulation for the year 1994. We then compare simulated C:Chl ratio with that from the standard simulation for the period of September–October 1994. We choose this period because it has in situ Chl data for model validation, and the year 1994 is close to an average year with a slightly negative SOI.

SST is high year around in the Equatorial Pacific, varying from 22°C in the eastern upwelling region to ∼30°C in the warm pool. Replacing the variable SST in Eq. (7) with a constant value results in little change in model simulations of the zonal and meridional variations of the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio (Figs. 9a, 10a and b), indicating that temperature has little effect on the C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific. Applying a constant iron concentration causes moderate changes in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio (Figs. 9b, 10c and d). The model considerably underestimates the C:Chl ratio near the front (i.e., 180°–160°W) between the warm pool and the HNLC waters. Eliminating nitrate effect results in large changes in the magnitude and meridional variability of the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific. Applying a constant iron concentration causes moderate changes in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio (Figs. 9b, 10c and d). The model considerably underestimates the C:Chl ratio near the front (i.e., 180°–160°W) between the warm pool and the HNLC waters. Eliminating nitrate effect results in large changes in the magnitude and meridional variability of the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific. Applying a constant iron concentration causes moderate changes in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio (Figs. 9b, 10c and d). The model considerably underestimates the C:Chl ratio near the front (i.e., 180°–160°W) between the warm pool and the HNLC waters. Eliminating nitrate effect results in large changes in the magnitude and meridional variability of the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific. Applying a constant iron concentration causes moderate changes in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio (Figs. 9b, 10c and d). The model considerably underestimates the C:Chl ratio near the front (i.e., 180°–160°W) between the warm pool and the HNLC waters. Eliminating nitrate effect results in large changes in the magnitude and meridional variability of the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific. Applying a constant iron concentration causes moderate changes in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio (Figs. 9b, 10c and d). The model considerably underestimates the C:Chl ratio near the front (i.e., 180°–160°W) between the warm pool and the HNLC waters. Eliminating nitrate effect results in large changes in the magnitude and meridional variability of the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific. Applying a constant iron concentration causes moderate changes in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio (Figs. 9b, 10c and d). The model considerably underestimates the C:Chl ratio near the front (i.e., 180°–160°W) between the warm pool and the HNLC waters. Eliminating nitrate effect results in large changes in the magnitude and meridional variability of the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific. Applying a constant iron concentration causes moderate changes in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio (Figs. 9b, 10c and d).
Fig. 5. Climatology (1997–2007) of surface chlorophyll from (a) model and (c) SeaWiFS, and (b) and (d) the standard deviations (s.d.) computed for monthly data.

Fig. 6. Averaged surface chlorophyll concentration for time-longitude contours from (a) model and (b) SeaWiFS over 5° N–5° S, and (c) time series for the box of 8° N–8° S, 140° W–125° W from the model (green line), SeaWiFS (red line) and in situ measurement (symbol). The black lines in (a) and (b) denote the HNLC front, and blue line in (c) the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI).
region, have been characterized in the Equatorial Pacific (Le Borgne et al., 2002b). Apparently, eliminating both nitrate and iron effects produces the largest changes in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific (Figs. 9d and 10g).

Statistical analyses are applied to the model outputs to quantify the effects of the variability in the SST, iron and nitrate on the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio. Three regions are examined: the warm pool (160°–180° E, 5° N–5° S), the frontal region (180°–160° W, 5° N–5° S), and the central Equatorial Pacific (160°–140° W, 5° N–5° S). Three criteria are used to assess the sensitivity of the C:Chl ratio (referring as X).

The first one is the bias which is the difference of the averages (X) between an experiment (s) and the standard simulation (c). The second is the correlation (r) between the two simulations. The last is the NSD:

$$ \text{NSD} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(X_s - \bar{X})^2}{n} \right)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(X_c - \bar{X})^2}{n} \right)} \right)$$

where n is the number of the values for each experiment. A best match between an experiment and the standard simulation, i.e. indications of closest to zero for the bias, and closest to one for both the r and the NSD, suggests that the tested variable has the smallest influence out of all the variables on the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio. Conversely, bigger the mismatch, larger the effect the tested variable has.

Table 4 and Fig. 11 present the averaged C:Chl ratio and statistics for the three regions. Apparently, the SSTM experiment reveals the best match in the warm pool and the frontal region, and the NO3M in the HNLC region. In addition, the SSTM produce zero bias, high correlation (r=0.993–0.994) and almost perfect NSD (1.06–1.08) in the HNLC region. These analyses indicate that temperature has little effect on the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in the entire Equatorial Pacific, and nitrate in the HNLC region. For the warm pool, the FeM simulates much smaller bias and higher correlation (r>0.96) than the NO3M, suggesting that nitrate plays a larger role in determining the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio than iron. However, the NSD is close to one in the NO3M, which indicates that nitrate has little effect on the spatial variability though it regulates the magnitude of the C:Chl ratio in the warm pool. Interestingly, removing both nitrate and iron effects (i.e., the FeNO3M) produces much larger bias, lower correlation and smaller NSD than only eliminating nitrate (i.e., the NO3M), reflecting complex interaction of nitrate and iron limitations west of the dateline (Behrenfeld et al., 2006).

Figure 11 shows that both the correlation and NSD are slightly closer to one in the FeM than in the NO3M in the frontal region, suggesting that nitrate may play a bigger role in regulation of the spatial variability of C:Chl ratio. However, the bias is larger in the FeM (>20%) than in the NO3M (<10%) (Table 4), indicating relatively larger effect of iron on the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio than nitrate in this region. These analyses demonstrate that the Taylor diagrams of correlation and NSD provide a good assessment of model...
Fig. 8. Chl concentrations from (a) and (b) standard simulation with a variable C:Chl ratio, and generated from phytoplankton carbon biomass using (c) and (d) a constant C:Chl ratio of 80 g:g, and (e) and (f) a decreasing C:Chl ratio over depth from 100 g:g at the surface to 50 g:g at 100 m.

Fig. 9. Difference in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio between the standard simulation and (a) SSTM, (b) FeM, (c) NO3M, and (d) FeNO3M over 5° N–5° S, depth versus longitude.
Fig. 10. Difference in the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio between the standard simulation and (a) and (b) SSTM, (c) and (d) FeM, (e) and (f) NO$_3$M, and (g) and (h) FeNO$_3$M along 165$^\circ$ E (left panel) and 125$^\circ$ W (right panel).

Fig. 11. Taylor diagram for model sensitivity studies. Diagrams are shown for the boxes of 160$^\circ$–180$^\circ$ E, 5$^\circ$ N–5$^\circ$ S (blue color), 180$^\circ$–160$^\circ$ W, 5$^\circ$ N–5$^\circ$ S (red color) and 160$^\circ$–140$^\circ$ W, 5$^\circ$ N–5$^\circ$ S (green color).

5 Summary and conclusions

We have carried out a basin-scale modeling study of phytoplankton dynamics, and explored the regulation of light, iron, nitrate and temperature with a non-steady C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific. While there are limited data of the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio in this region, there is a considerable amount of in situ Chl data for the upper water column with reasonably good spatial and temporal coverage in addition to the surface Chl derived from the SeaWiFS imagery. Our model faithfully reproduces the general features of phytoplankton dynamics in this region, including not only the zonal, meridional and vertical variations, but also the interannual variability of Chl concentrations. The large spatio-temporal contrasts in physical and biogeochemical fields in the Equatorial Pacific make this region an ideal test bed for exploring the regulation of light, nutrients and temperature on the phytoplankton C:Chl ratio. We have demonstrated the applicability of the new C:Chl dynamic model by revealing that nitrate and iron together regulate the zonal and meridional variations in the C:Chl ratio, thus, Chl dynam-
ics in the Equatorial Pacific. Nitrate is responsible for the high C:Chl ratio in the western warm pool while iron determines the displacement of the HNLC front. Light is primarily responsible for the vertical decrease of phytoplankton C:Chl ratio. Temperature has a relatively small effect on the C:Chl ratio in the Equatorial Pacific.
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