Types of bacteria isolated from Yemeni Currencies in Sana'a City and potential risk factors
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Abstract

The main objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of bacterial contaminants on Yemeni paper currency notes and coins and some potential risk factors. This study was carried out on 140 paper currency and coins obtained from bus drivers, supermarket cashers and Qat sellers shops in Sana’a city, Yemen.

Swabs were taken from 140 paper currencies and coins and were cultured on Blood and MacConkey agars. Gram stain, biochemical and serological tests were done to identify isolated bacteria.

The percentage of different types of bacteria isolated from the currencies were as follow; Staphylococci spp (22.2%), Alcaligenes spp (11.2%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa and, Gram positive bacilli (10.0%), Escherishia coli (9.3%), Seratia marcescans (6.8%), Streptococci spp (5.3%), Enterobacter aerogene (3.0%), Klebsilla pneumoniae (3.0%), Enterobacter cloaceae (2.3%), Yersinia enterocolitica (2.3%), Citrobacter spp (2.3%), Gram positive diplococci (1.5%), Shigella spp (1.5%), and same percentage (0.8%) of Gram negative cocci, Vibrio cholerae, Actinomysis spp, Proteus vulgaris, Proteus mirabilis.

The highest percentage of Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria was found on currencies taken from supermarket cashers (31.4%) and (30.4), respectively. Whereas, lowest percentage were found in currencies taken from bus driver and Qat seller shops.

Yemeni paper currency and coins are contaminated with pathogenic bacteria. Currency contamination is of importance issue to public health as it can provide a vehicle for easy transmission of pathogens between handlers. Therefore, public education on proper handling and great care should be taken during handling of money to avoid cross contamination.
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1. Introduction

Money is the most widely used and sought after service all around the world. Since its introduction in China circa 1000 AD; the transfer of paper currency has been the model of economic exchange [1]. Paper notes of currency and coins which are handled by a large number of people increase the possibility of acting as environmental vehicle for the transmission of many types of pathogenic microorganisms [2-4].
Several studies have shown that paper currency serves as an ideal breeding ground for microorganisms for several reasons. The paper currencies offer a large surface area for organisms and organic debris to collect. Also, banknotes weave their way through the population for many years before they come to rest [5].

Physical transfer of material from hands, surfaces, and the environment can contaminate currency [6, 7]. Individuals from almost every socio-economic background routinely hold and transfer paper currency. Any object that can spread communicable diseases throughout a diverse population should be considered a risk to public health. Therefore, currency has an important role in the transmission of pathogenic microorganisms and presents a moderate risk to public health [6, 8].

Research during the last 20 years indicates that pathogens on currency notes could represent a potential cause of food borne illness. Many food outlets rely heavily on the exchange of paper currency for their products. If the same person is handling both money and food products (especially ready-to-eat products), the risk of cross-contamination increases [8]. The microorganisms most commonly isolated on money included members of the family Enterobacteriacea, Bacillus spp, Staphylococcus spp, Micrococcus spp, Corynebacterium spp, Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Vibrio cholerae [6].

This study aimed at isolating and identifying the bacterial contamination of Yemeni currency and identify the possible risk factors associated with Yemeni currency contamination in Sana’a city-Yemen.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Sample collection

Cotton Swabs were moisten with sterile normal saline one hour before sample collection by pouring 1.5 ml of 0.9% normal saline into swab’s container then the sample collected by swab the both sides of currency coins and notes in a circular manner. Then these cotton swabs returned to its container and transported vertically to the laboratory within two hours of collection [9, 10].

2.2. Bacterial isolation and identification

Each cotton swab was inoculated on a blood agar (Oxoid) and MacConky agar (Oxoid) that incubated aerobically for 48 h/ 37℃. On the second day, the colonies were identified by colony characteristics, Gram staining, and according to the result of Gram stain the biochemical test were used. Plates with mixed colonies were further subcultured in order to obtain pure culture. The biochemical tests are catalase, coagulase, motility, indole, urea, Kligler iron agar, citrate utilization and oxidase as per standard microbiological techniques; to guide us to the genus of isolated bacteria [9, 10]. On the third day, the results of biochemical test were checked. A confirmatory serology test Vibrio cholerae O1 or O139 was performed for all suspected Vibrio cholerae results then finally the report was written for each sample.

2.3. Data analysis

Data analysis was done using SPSS program version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Frequencies and percentages were used to present categorical variables. Chi-square test was used for verifying existence of associations. P values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results and discussion

Out of 140 swabs from Yemeni currencies (paper and coins) collected from different areas in Sana’a city; culture results of bacteria isolated from these currencies were (53.4%) of both Gram positive and Gram negative, bacteria that isolated from the same currency’s paper or coins while only (3.0%) was with no bacterial growth (Figure 1). The different types of bacteria isolated from Yemeni currencies were as following: (22.2%) of Staphylococci species, (11.2%) of Alcaligenes species and (10.0%) of Pseudomonas aeruginosa while the lowest percentage (0.8%) were Vibrio cholera, Actinomysis species and Proteus species (Table 1). In our study, the most predominant bacteria found on currency were Staphylococci species, Alcaligenes species, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Gram positive bacilli species. This results were similar to a study was done in Nigeria which mentioned that Staphylococcus aureus was (22.5%) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa was (6.25%) [11]. One such study of US currency isolated 93 types of bacteria belonging to the species Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Enterobacter, Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Diptheroids, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Escherichia vulneri [7]. While a study was done in Yemen in Taiz city by Hanash et al., [12] mentioned that E. coli (50.28 %) followed by Staphylococcus aureus (14.04 %) were isolated from currencies. The most characteristics of bacteria that were isolated in this study are aerobic, present mostly in soil and water, also normal flora of skin, nose and
enteric tract, which may found in hands in high percentage and they are able to resistant and survive in the external environmental condition for long time which could enhance an easy transfer these bacteria in inanimate objects like currency notes [13].

Among pathogenic organism *Staphylococci* species (22.2%) were the most frequent organism isolated in our study. The Coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* is feebly pathogenic or nonpathogenic organism present on the skin, in the hair and in abscesses after suturing of operation wounds as well as in the air, water, and dust. *Staphylococci aureus* is a commensal and opportunistic pathogen that can cause wide spectrum of infections, from superficial skin infections to severe, and potentially fatal, invasive disease [14]. *S. aureus* is an important pathogen due to combination of toxin-mediated virulence, invasiveness, and antibiotic resistance. This organism has emerged as a major pathogen for both nosocomial and community-acquired infections. *S. aureus* is a desiccation tolerant organism with the ability to survive in potentially dry and stressful environments, such as the human nose and on skin and inanimate surfaces such as clothing and surfaces [15]. *S. aureus* can remain viable on hands and environmental surfaces for extended durations after initial contact [16].

Classification of bacteria isolated from Yemeni currencies according to the types of currency as shown in table 2. The highest percentage was Gram negative bacteria (50%) that were found on paper currency while 16% was Gram positive and 20% were both Gram positive and Gram negative. Whereas 37% of currency's coins were carrying no bacterial growth, however, P value was statistically non-significant (P= 0.6) (Table 2). In the current study, the percentage of bacteria isolated from paper currency was higher than those isolated from coins. A similar results were mentioned in the Indian study [17]. The explanation was due to that the currency notes made of cotton and nylon fibers that enhance the attachment of bacteria and provide organic matters for bacterial growth whereas the currency coins contain copper atoms that may help in bacterial growth inhibition [18].

Types of bacteria isolated from Yemeni currencies according to the place of currency collection in Sana’a city (Table 3). The larger percentage of Gram negative bacteria was found on currencies taken from supermarket cashers (31.4%) while the smaller percentage (22%) was found on currencies taken from Qats sellers shops. Gram positive bacteria were isolated in a large percentage from currencies that were taken from supermarket cashers (30.4%) compared to currencies that were taken from bus driver and Qats seller shops. However, there was no big difference in cultures that isolated both Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria from currencies that were taken from each place (P=0.9) (Table 3). The explanation of these results that bus drivers keep the money on dry surface and exposed to potent bactericidal sunlight for many type of bacteria such as *streptococci*, *tubercle bacillus*, *cholerae*, *staphylococcus* [19,20]. Moreover, Qats sellers deal with pesticides that used for Qat agriculture; these pesticides have a role in bacterial killing [21]. A study in India also reported that bacteria were mostly isolated from market places [17].

Classification of bacteria isolated from Yemeni currencies according to the site of keeping currency as shown in table 4, only 2.8% of Gram positive bacteria were found from currencies were kept in wallet compared to 97.2% of Gram positive that isolated from currencies that has been kept in sites other than wallets such as pockets (Table 4). In our study, we noted that the currencies that were not kept in wallets had more types of bacteria than currencies that kept in the wallets. This finding supports the theory properly dealing with currency lead to decrease level of bacterial contamination. Also Investigator suggest that dirty currency could host harmful microorganisms since communicable diseases can spread through contact with fomites [22, 23].

![Figure 1 Culture results of bacteria isolated from Yemeni currencies.](image)
Table 1 Percentage of different types of bacteria isolated from Yemeni currencies

| Types of bacteria isolated | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| *Staphylococci* spp        | 22.2           |
| *Alcaligenes* spp          | 11.2           |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*   | 10.0           |
| Gram positive bacilli      | 10.0           |
| *Escherichia coli*         | 9.3            |
| *Seratia marcescans*       | 6.8            |
| *Streptococci* spp         | 5.3            |
| Gram negative bacilli      | 5.3            |
| *Enterobacter aerogene*    | 3.0            |
| *Klebsilla pneumoniae*     | 3.0            |
| *Enterobacter cloacae*     | 2.3            |
| *Yersinia enterocolitica*  | 2.3            |
| *Citrobacter* spp          | 2.3            |
| Gram positive diplococci   | 1.5            |
| *Shigella* spp             | 1.5            |
| Gram negative cocci and rod| 0.8            |
| *Vibrio cholerae* 01 / 0139| 0.8            |
| *Actinomysis* spp          | 0.8            |
| *Proteus volgaris*         | 0.8            |
| *Proteus mirabilis*        | 0.8            |
| Total                      | 100            |

Table 2 Classification of bacteria isolated from Yemeni currencies according to the types of currency

| Type of currency | Type of bacteria |χ² | P |
|------------------|------------------|---|---|
| Paper            | Gram positive    | 16%| 50%| 20%| 14%| 100%| 1.9| 0.6 |
| coins            | Gram positive    | 27.2%| 14.9%| 20.9%| 37%| 100%| 1.9| 0.6 |

*Both = culture yielded both types; Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria; P (probability value) ≤ 0.05 is considered significant
χ² (chi-square) ≥ 3.48 is considered significant

Table 3 Classification of bacteria isolated from Yemeni currencies according to the place of currency collection

| Place of currency collection | Type of bacteria |χ² | P |
|------------------------------|------------------|---|---|
| Supermarket cashers          | Gram positive    | 30.4%| 31.4%| 22.2%| 16%| 100%| 1.8| 0.9 |
| Qat sellers                  | Gram positive    | 18.9%| 22%| 24.1%| 35%| 100%| 1.8| 0.9 |
| Bus drivers                  | Gram positive    | 20%| 24%| 25%| 31%| 100%| 1.8| 0.9 |

*Both = culture yielded both types; Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria; P (probability value) ≤ 0.05 is considered significant
χ² (chi-square) ≥ 3.48 is considered significant
Table 4 Classification of bacteria isolated from Yemeni currencies according to the site of keeping currency

| Sites for keeping currency | Type of bacteria | \( \chi^2 \) | \( P \) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----|
|                           | Gram positive   | Gram negative | Both* | No bacterial growth | Total |
| Wallets                   | 2.8%            | 0.0%       | 0.0%  | 97.2%               | 100%  |
| **Other**                 | 97.2%           | 0.0%       | 0.0%  | 2.8%                | 100%  |

*Both* = culture yielded both types; Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria; **others** = Pockets, shoes, socks and under the carpets.

4. Conclusion

This study found out that Yemeni currencies in Sana’a city are contaminated with many type of pathogenic bacteria. The currency could serve as a vehicle for transmission of drug resistant pathogenic or potential organisms. Also contamination could be due to improper currency usage and handling. Therefore, hygienic measures such as thorough hand washing with soap after using currency notes should be observed and the practice of keeping money in places other than wallets and using saliva during currency counting should be discouraged. Money cards and electronic money are the best solutions to overcome the problem of bacteria transmission through money. However, there are countries where these services are still not fully available.
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Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to students from Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana’a University, Sana’a-Yemen who participated in sample collection and laboratory investigations. Their names as follow: Hebh Abd-alwahab Atroosh, Siham Ali Mohammed Al-suraby, Shaima Adel AL-ahmer, Houria Mohammed Hebah, Abdulnasser Ahmed Al-gafary, Yaseen Sharaf Al-gmali and Ali Mohseen Al- hothify

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

[1] Bartlett JM, Stirling D. “A Short History of the Polymerase Chain Reaction”. PCR Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology. 2003; 226: 3-6.
[2] Abrams BL, Waterman NG. Dirty money. JAMA. 1972; 219: 1202-1203.
[3] Feglo P, Nkansah M. Bacterial load on Ghanaian currency notes. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2010; 4: 2375-2380.
[4] Alwakeel SS, Nasser LA. Bacterial and Fungal Contamination of Saudi Arabian Paper Currency and Cell Phones. Asian Journal of Biological Sciences. 2011; 4: 556-562.
[5] Ayandele AA, Adeniyi SA. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance pattern of microorganisms isolated from Naira notes in Ogbomoso North, Nigeria. Journal of Research in Biology. 2011; 1: 587-593.
[6] Lamichhane J, Adhikary S, Guatam P, Maharjan R. Risk of Handling Paper Currency in Circulation Chances of Potential Bacterial Transmittance. Nepal Journal of Science and Technology. 2009; 10: 161-166.
[7] Ahmed S, Parveen S, Nasreen T, Feroza B. Evaluation of the Microbial Contamination of Bangladesh Paper Currency Notes (Taka) In Circulation. Advances In Biological Research. 2010; 4: 266-271.
[8] Todd EC, Greig JD, Bartleson CA, Michaels B.S. Outbreaks where food workers have been implicated in the spread of foodborne disease. J. Food Prot. 2008; 71: 2339-2373.
[9] Johnson TR, Case CL. Laboratory Experiments in Microbiology. 8th edition. San Francisco: Pearson Education. 2007.

[10] John L. An introduction to bacterial identification; 2012. [cited 2019 October 10]. Available from http://www.jlindquist.net/generalmicro/102bactid.html.

[11] Alemi A. Microbial contamination of currency notes and coins in circulation. Biomedicine and Biotechnology. 2014; 2: 3-10.

[12] Hanash S, Al-baker SM, Al-harazi T, Alkadasi M. Prevalence of Pathogenic Bacteria from Contaminated Yemeni Currency Notes in Taiz City. Asian J. Res. Pharm. Sci. 2015; 5: 8-11.

[13] Goktay P, Oktay G. Bacteriological examination of paper money. Mikrobiyol.Bull. 1992; 26: 344-348.

[14] Lowy FD. Medical progress: Staphylococcus aureus infections, The New England Journal of Medicine. 1998; 339: 520-532.

[15] Chaibenjawong P, Foster SJ. Desiccation tolerance in Staphylococcus aureus, Archives of Microbiology. 2011; 193: 125-135.

[16] Kusumaningrum HD, Van Putten, MM, Rombouts FM, Beumer RR. Effects of antibacterial dishwashing liquid on foodborne pathogens and competitive microorganisms in kitchen sponges. Journal of Food Protection. 2002; 65: 61–65.

[17] Singh S, Singh M, Tiwari M. Indian currency uncovered with microbes retrieved from expected and unexpected transaction points International. Journal of Medicine and Public. 2015; 5: 242-246.

[18] Angelakis E, Azhar, EI, Bibi F. Paper money and coins as potential vectors of transmissible disease. Future Microbiology. 2014; 9: 1-4.

[19] Downes A. Researches on the effect of light upon bacteria and other organisms. World Health Publication. 1980; 126-30.

[20] Miley G. Ultraviolet blood irradiation therapy (Knott technic) in acute pyogenic infections. New York J Med. 1942; 493-507.

[21] Douillard J. Pesticides Kill Beneficial Mouth Bacteria. [cited 2019 March 2]. Available from https://lifespa.com/pesticides-kill-beneficial-mouth-bacteria/.

[22] Enemuor SC, Victor PI, Oguntibeju OO. Microbial contamination of currency counting machines and counting room environment in selected commercial banks. Scientific Research and Essays. 2012; 14: 1508-11.

[23] Shahram SS, Khajehali E, Zareei, M. Evaluation of The Bacterial Contamination of The Iranian Currency Notes. Iranian Journal of Health and Environment. 2009; 1: 81-8.

[24] Merriam-Webster. The best definition of Qat. [cited 2021 February 17]. Available from https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/qat