Regulatory Diversification of INDEHISCENT in the Capsella Genus Directs Variation in Fruit Morphology
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- Fruit-shape defect observed in crind mutant is rescued by exogenous auxin application
- Auxin dynamics are perturbed in crind mutant
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In Brief

Dong et al. demonstrate that diversification of INDEHISCENT expression in the Capsella genus has contributed to morphological changes of the heart-shaped fruit compared to the cylindrical Arabidopsis fruit and that INDEHISCENT mediates its effect via localized activation of auxin-biosynthesis genes.
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SUMMARY

Evolution of gene-regulatory sequences is considered the primary driver of morphological variation [1–3]. In animals, the diversity of body plans between distantly related phyla is due to the differential expression patterns of conserved “toolkit” genes [4]. In plants, variation in expression domains similarly underlie most of the reported diversity of organ shape both in natural evolution and in the domestication of crops [5–9]. The heart-shaped fruit from members of the Capsella genus is a morphological novelty that has evolved after Capsella diverged from Arabidopsis ~8 mya [10]. Comparative studies of fruit growth in Capsella and Arabidopsis revealed that the difference in shape is caused by local control of anisotropic growth [11]. Here, we show that sequence variation in regulatory domains of the fruit-tissue identity gene, INDEHISCENT (IND), is responsible for expansion of its expression domain in the heart-shaped fruits from Capsella rubella. We demonstrate that expression of this CrIND gene in the apical part of the valves in Capsella contributes to the heart-shaped appearance. While studies on morphological diversity have revealed the importance of cis-regulatory sequence evolution, few examples exist where the downstream effects of such variation have been characterized in detail. We describe here how CrIND exerts its function on Capsella fruit shape by binding sequence elements of auxin biosynthesis genes to activate their expression and ensure auxin accumulation into highly localized maxima in the fruit valves. Thus, our data provide a direct link between changes in expression pattern and altered hormone homeostasis in the evolution of morphological novelty.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The INDEHISCENT Gene Controls Fruit Shape in Capsella rubella

The Brassicaceae family is characterized by a remarkable diversity in fruit shape between different genera [12]. Even so, the overall tissue composition of Brassicaceae fruits is highly conserved with valves enclosing the seeds, a replum in the center of the fruit, and valve margins that form the border between the valves and the replum [13]. The overall fruit-shape diversity is primarily due to variation in valve morphology. For example, fruits from the Capsella genus develop valves that are extended at the apical end, giving them a heart-shaped appearance [11, 12] (Figure 1A and 1B). This shape is unique to fruits from Capsella species; fruits from the closest relative, Camelina, are spherical, while Arabidopsis produce cylindrically shaped fruits [10, 14] (Figure 1A). Comparative studies between the development of fruits from Capsella and other Brassicaceae therefore provides an excellent system to study the molecular mechanisms underlying morphological changes [15].

In a previous study, we demonstrated that the master regulator of valve development, FRUITFULL (FUL), has conserved functions in both Arabidopsis and Capsella based on highly similar ful loss-of-function phenotypes [11]. In a continued effort to test for diversity of function between known key regulators of fruit development in the Brassicaceae family, we created a knockout line of the Capsella rubella IND gene (CrIND) using CRISPR/Cas9, leading to a 107-bp deletion within the coding region (Figures 1B and S1A). This mutant allele was named crind<sup>gef</sup>, where “ge” stands for “genome editing” according to guidelines recently published for Marchantia gene nomenclature [16]. In agreement with the function of IND in both Arabidopsis and Brassica valve-margin formation [17, 18], the crind<sup>-gef</sup> mutant fruits do not form valve margins and are as a consequence completely indehiscent (Figures S1B and S1C). Additionally, mature crind<sup>-gef</sup> fruits exhibit a reduction in the development of the shoulders (measured as a shoulder index, Figure 1K) compared to wild-type, indicating that CrIND has a role in Capsella fruit-shape formation (Figure 1A, 1B, and 1L). In Arabidopsis, the IND gene (AtIND) is specifically expressed in the valve
Figure 1. Effect of CrIND and Manipulation of Auxin Levels on Capsella Fruit Shape

(A) A simplified phylogeny of Capsella and its close relatives according to [10]. The heart-shaped fruit from Capsella (red) shares a common ancestor of Camelina (magenta), which develops spherical siliques. The black branches in the phylogeny represent the species with cylindrical fruit.

(B and C) Fruit morphology of CrWT (B) and crind-1ge (C) at developmental stage 17.

(D–F) Expression pattern of CrIND during fruit development with pCrIND:GUS line. (E) and (F) show enlarged pictures of the regions outlined with red box in (D) with valve expression (E) and valve margin expression (F), respectively.

(G and H) Fruit morphology of crind-1ge after mock (G) or IAA (H) treatment at stage 17.

(I and J) Fruit morphology of pCrIND:iaaM (I) and pCrIND:iaaL (J) at stage 17.

(K) Schematic drawing to illustrate the shoulder index calculation.
margins after fertilization of the ovary [17, 19]. We tested whether the role of CrIND in valve-shape formation could be due to a change in expression pattern compared to AtIND in Arabidopsis or could be a result of differential growth caused by loss of valve-margin tissue. In support of the former, we detected expression of CrIND in Capsella valves by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) (Figure S1D). To examine more specifically the CrIND expression pattern in the Capsella valves, we constructed a pCrIND:GUS reporter and found GUS signal in the apical parts in addition to the signal in the valve margin (Figures 1D–1F and S1E–S1H). These data suggest that CrIND affects fruit-shape formation cell autonomously due to an expansion of its expression domain in the developing shoulders.

The function of IND in both valve-margin specification and earlier during gynoecium development has been closely associated with auxin dynamics [19, 20]. Therefore, we investigated whether a link to auxin could also be established for CrIND in fruit-shape formation. We found that application of exogenous auxin (indole-3-acetic acid or IAA) to the apex of crind-1ge mutant fruit rescued the growth defect observed in the valves (Figure 1G, 1H, and 1I). Moreover, expression of a bacterial auxin biosynthesis gene, iaaM [21], under the CrIND promoter in a wild-type background led to shoulders that were extended further than in wild-type (Figure 1I and 1M). In contrast, deleting free IAA in the same domain by expressing the iaaL gene [22] under control of the CrIND promoter significantly reduces the shoulder index of the heart-shaped fruits (Figures 1J and 1M).

**CrIND Is Required to Maintain Auxin Homeostasis in Capsella Fruit Valves**

The auxin-signaling reporter pDR5:revGFP has previously been used to map the dynamics of auxin during Arabidopsis gynoecium development [20, 23]. It has been demonstrated that auxin is required to mediate a symmetry transition in the apical style through its tightly controlled accumulation in specific auxin maxima [20]. Here, we used two modified DR5 reporters, pDR5v2:GFP and pDR5v2:GUS [24] transformed into C. rubella. The pDR5v2:GFP reporter mimicked the pattern in the style observed in Arabidopsis during the early developmental stages (Figure S2A–H) [20]. In contrast to Arabidopsis, DR5 signal was also observed in the vascular tissue of the Capsella valves at developmental stage 10 (stages defined in [13, 25]) (Figure S2H). At later stages, when the Capsella gynoecium develops from an oblate spheroid (flat disc) into an emerging heart shape [11] (Figures 2A–2D), the DR5v2 reporter is clearly observed in the apical part of the valves with very specific maxima in the shoulders (Figures 2F–2I). Interestingly, this expression pattern is reduced in stage-14 fruits of crind-1ge, when the defect in shoulder development has emerged (Figures 2E and 2J). The reduction of auxin signaling in the crind-1ge fruit shoulders correlated with qRT-PCR data showing that expression of three different auxin-responsive genes is significantly reduced in fruit shoulders from crind-1ge compared to wild-type (Figures 2K–2M). In contrast, expression of the house-keeping gene, CrACTIN7, was not significantly different (Figure 2N). In line with this observation, direct measurements of both the predominant natural auxin, indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), and its precursor, indole-3-pyruvate (IPA), show a significant reduction in the shoulders of crind-1ge mutant (Figures 2O and 2P). Together, these results show that CrIND mediates its function on Capsella fruit shape by local control of auxin dynamics in the shoulders of the valves.

**CrIND Directly Regulates Auxin Biosynthesis Genes to Control Capsella Fruit Shape**

The rescue of the crind-1ge valve-shape phenotype by application of auxin (Figures 1G, 1H, and 1I) combined with the reduced auxin levels measured in the crind-1ge mutant (Figures 2O and 2P) suggest that CrIND is involved in controlling auxin biosynthesis. We first tested if inhibition of auxin biosynthesis affects the development of wild-type fruits. Therefore, we applied inhibitors of the two steps leading to the synthesis of IAA from tryptophan. The first step leading to IPA is catalyzed by enzymes belonging to the TAA1/TAR family [26] and can be inhibited by L-Kynurenine [27], whereas the second step from IPA to IAA is mediated by members of the YUCCA family [28], which are inhibited by Yucasin [29]. Treatment with L-Kynurenine and Yucasin led to fruits with strongly reduced shoulder indices (Figures 3A–3C and 3N). We next carried out a comparative expression analysis of members of the TAA1/TAR and YUCCA gene families in Capsella to screen for genes that are more highly expressed in the shoulders relative to the base (Figure S3A). For the three members of the TAA1/TAR family, only TAA1 showed this pattern (Figures S3B–S3D), and a pCrTAA1:GUS reporter line exhibited very specific expression in the developing shoulders (Figures 3F–3J), suggesting highly localized auxin biosynthesis. The YUCCA family consists of 11 genes that can be divided into five clades based on their sequence identity (Figure S3E). We carried out qRT-PCR for representatives of each clade—namely, CrYUC2, CrYUC4, CrYUC7, CrYUC9, and CrYUC10. Of these, expression of CrYUC2 and CrYUC9 was significantly higher in the shoulders compared to the base of the fruit (Figures S3F–S3J). GUS-reporter lines were developed for CrYUC2 and CrYUC9; however, a signal could only be detected for the pCrYUC9:GUS reporter. Similar to CrTAA1, pCrYUC9:GUS exhibited specific expression in the shoulders, compatible with a role in mediating auxin biosynthesis at these sites (Figures 3J–3M). Therefore, expression of both CrTAA1 and CrYUC9 overlap with expression of the DR5v2 reporter line (Figures 2G–2I). In agreement with the recognized importance for local auxin biosynthesis throughout plant development [30], these data suggest a specific role for the TAA1/YUCA auxin-biosynthesis pathway in generating a highly specific auxin maximum at the valve apices. To test if CrTAA1 and CrYUC9 are required for fruit-shape formation in Capsella, we generated knockout lines.
using CRISPR/Cas9, leading to a 104-bp deletion in Exon II of CrTAA1 (crtaa1-1ge) and a 1-bp deletion in Exon I of CrYUC9 (cryuc9-1ge) (Figure S3K). Both mutations resulted in reduced valve growth (Figures 3D, 3E, and 3N) similar to the treatments with the auxin-biosynthesis inhibitors. However, the cryuc9-1ge mutant fruits were less severely affected in shoulder growth compared to crtaa1-1ge, which may be due to residual activity of CrYUC2 in the cryuc9-1ge background.

To test whether CrIND regulates CrTAA1 and CrYUC9, we performed qRT-PCR using RNA extracted from wild-type and crind-1ge mutant fruits and found significantly reduced levels of both CrTAA1 and CrYUC9 mRNA in the mutant (Figures 3O and 3P). FUL in Arabidopsis (AtFUL) is a repressor of AtIND, excluding AtIND expression from the valves and restricting it to the valve margins. As a consequence, the AtIND expression level is elevated in atful mutant fruits [17, 31]. Similarly, in fruits from the Capsella crful-1 mutant [11], CrIND expression was up-regulated (Figure S3L). In agreement with CrIND positively regulating the expression of CrTAA1 and CrYUC9, we found that these genes were upregulated in crful-1, while this effect was abolished in the crful-1 crind-1ge double mutant (Figures S3M and S3N).

The crful-1 mutant fruits have a severe growth defect similar to that reported for heegeri, which is a natural variant of the tetraploid C. bursa-pastoris [11, 32]. This clearly shows that other factors than CrIND are involved in determining the heart shape. As in
Figure 3. CrIND-Induced Expression of Auxin Biosynthesis Gene
(A–C) Whole-mount images showing morphologies of CrWT fruits 8 DPA of mock-treated (A), treated with L-Kynurenine (B) and Yucasin (C). (D and E) Whole-mount images of crtaa1-1ge and cryuc9-1ge.
Arabidopsis, loss of IND leads to a significant rescue of the growth defects of the cruf1-1 mutant. However, this is not accompanied by the development of shoulders, which supports that CrIND is required for the local induction of CrTAA1 and CrYUC9 expression (Figure S3O).

In previous studies, we have found that IND directly regulates genes that affect auxin dynamics such as the protein kinase genes PINOID (PID) and WAG2 by binding to a variant “E-box” (CACGCG) in the regulatory regions [19, 33]. An analysis of the promoter regions of CrTAA1 and CrYUC9 identified potential CrIND recognition sites (CACGAG for CrTAA1 and CGCGTC for CrYUC9). Using crind-150 plants complemented with pCrIND:CrIND::GFP (Figure 4G), we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) on fruit tissue and showed that CrIND directly interacts with promoter regions of both CrTAA1 and CrYUC9 (Figure 3Q). The specific binding of CrIND protein to the identified elements was further tested by yeast one-hybrid (Figure S3P). Taken together, these results suggest that rather than initiating shoulder formation per se, CrIND promotes growth after shoulder initiation by inducing localized expression of auxin biosynthesis genes. We hypothesize that establishment of localized auxin maxima at the shoulder tips provides polarity and thus stimulates anisotropic growth in their direction. This is similar to the effects of auxin maxima observed in other developmental contexts such as lateral root growth and gynoecium development [20, 23].

Regulatory Divergence in the IND Genes of Capsella and Arabidopsis Contributes to the Morphological Differences in Fruit Shape

The heart-shaped fruit is unique to the Capsella genus in the Brassicaceae family and evolved after Camelina and Capsella diverged ~7 mya [10] (Figure 1A). In both animals and plants, morphological novelties most often arise from mutations in regulatory sequences that alter gene expression patterns rather than in protein-encoding regions [1–4, 34, 35]. The expanded expression of CrIND in the fruit valves of Capsella strongly suggests that the CrIND promoter has diverged from other Brassicaceae IND sequences. Previously, we found that the valve margin-specific expression of AtIND was governed by sequence contained in a 406-bp promoter element [18]. We extracted ~2.1 kb regulatory sequences of nine Brassicaceae IND genes, including four from the Capsella genus. A phylogenetic shadowing analysis was carried out using the mVISTA software [36] to assess regional conservation across species. In this analysis, pairwise comparison of the CrIND promoter sequence against IND sequences from the other eight species revealed a highly conserved region, which includes the region required for valve-margin expression (Figure S4A). Interestingly, this analysis also revealed large regions of the promoter where the Capsella sequences are highly conserved but diverge from other species. Conceivably, these Capsella-specific regions contain elements that have allowed for the expanded IND expression in Capsella (Figure S4A).

While the expanded expression of CrIND in the valves compared to IND in Arabidopsis could be due to changes in the regulatory sequence of the CrIND gene itself, it is also possible that CrIND expression in the valve apices is caused by differential expression of an upstream regulator. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we first transformed the atind-2 mutant from Arabidopsis with a pCrIND:CrIND::GFP construct. Resulting transgenic lines were fully dehiscent, demonstrating that the pCrIND:CrIND::GFP gene complemented the indehiscence phenotype of the atind-2 mutant similarly to the effect of the pAtIND:AtIND::YFP construct (Figures 4A–4D and S4B–S4E). However, while the atind-2 pAtIND:AtIND::YFP fruits had a wild-type shape, fruits from atind-2 pCrIND:CrIND::GFP plants have abnormal apices where valve growth expands above the style (Figures 4C and 4D). Conversely, the pAtIND:AtIND::YFP construct only restored the dehiscence defect of the crind-150 mutant, but not the shape change (Figures 4E–4H and S4F–S4I). This is supported by the expression pattern of the pAtIND:AtIND::YFP, which was undetectable in the valves but observed in valve margins (Figure S4J). In contrast, the pCrIND:CrIND::GFP construct complemented both defects (Figures 4G and S4H). These results show that expansion of CrIND expression into the valves in Capsella is due to changes in cis-regulatory sequences in the CrIND gene itself and that this has contributed to the change in fruit shape between these two genera. It is interesting to speculate that the modified expression pattern of CrIND may have led to novel genetic interactions such as described for KNOX genes in leaf development [9], thereby facilitating the recognition of the auxin biosynthesis target genes in the valves.

Concluding Remarks

In animals, changes in cis-regulatory elements of otherwise conserved “toolkit” genes is the primary driver of morphological evolution [2, 3, 37]. A similar pattern is emerging in plants, where modifications of regulatory sequences have been revealed as the major determinant of developmental variation both during domestication and natural evolution [5–7]. Even so, examples have also been reported where changes in protein-coding sequence are either fully or partly responsible for the evolution of morphological diversity [38, 39]. The work described here directly links changes in expression domain of
a fruit-tissue-identity gene to effects on hormone homeostasis resulting in a morphological novelty. Given the stunning variation in fruit shape among members of the Brassicaceae family, it is possible that direct effects of gene-expression diversity on hormone dynamics is a common driver in the evolution of fruit-shape diversity.
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## STAR METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Anti-GFP monoclonal antibody | Roche  | 11814460001 |
| **Bacterial Strains** |        |            |
| DH5-alpha competent E. coli | New England Biolabs | C29871 |
| *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain LBA4404 | N/A | N/A |
| **Biological Samples** |        |            |
| Capsella rubella (22.5) | This paper | N/A |
| Arabidopsis thaliana (Col-0) | This paper | N/A |
| atind-2 | [17] | N/A |
| atind-2 pAtIND:AtIND:YFP | [40] | N/A |
| crind-1<sup>pp</sup> | This paper | N/A |
| crful-1 | [11] | N/A |
| crful-1 crind-1<sup>pp</sup> | This paper | N/A |
| crtaa1-1<sup>pp</sup> | This paper | N/A |
| cryuc9-1<sup>pp</sup> | This paper | N/A |
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** |        |            |
| Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase | New England Biolabs | M0530L |
| Dnal | QIAGEN | 79254 |
| In-Fusion Cloning Recombinase | Clontech | 638909 |
| Proteinase K | Invitrogen | 59895 |
| L-Kynurenine | Sigma-Aldrich | K8625 |
| Yucasin | Carbosynth | FC1222381801 |
| Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) | Sigma-Aldrich | I5148 |
| Chlorohydrate | Sigma-Aldrich | 15307 |
| DMSO | Sigma-Aldrich | D8418 |
| Formaldehyde | Sigma-Aldrich | F8775 |
| K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> | Sigma-Aldrich | P8131 |
| K<sub>4</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> | Sigma-Aldrich | P9387 |
| Triton X-100 | Sigma-Aldrich | T8787 |
| Cysteamine | Sigma-Aldrich | M9768 |
| X-gluc | MELFORD | MB1121 |
| **Oligonucleotides** |        |            |
| A list of oligonucleotides is given in Methods S1 | N/A |
| **Other** |        |            |
| QiAprep Spin MiniPrep Kit | QIAGEN | 27104 |
| DNeasy Plant Mini Kit | QIAGEN | 69104 |
| QiAquick PCR Purification Kit | QIAGEN | 28104 |
| RNeasy Plant Mini Kit | QIAGEN | 74104 |
| Pierce Protein G Magnetic Beads | ThermoFisher | 19958500 |
| SuperScript<sup>TM</sup> IV First-Strand Synthesis System | ThermoFisher | 18091050 |
| SYBR Green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix | Sigma-Aldrich | S4438 |
| Oasis HLB 1 cc Vac Cartridge | Waters | WAT094225 |
| **Recombinant DNA** |        |            |
| pDR5v2:GUS | This Paper | N/A |
| pDR5v2:GFP | This Paper | N/A |

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Lars Østergaard (lars.ostergaard@jic.ac.uk).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

*Capsella rubella* Cr22.5 and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Col-0) were used in all experiments of this study. For *Capsella rubella*, the seeds were germinated on MS medium containing 10 mM Gibberellin at 22°C. 10-day-old seedlings were then transplanted into a controlled environment room at 22°C, 16 hr light/8 hr dark conditions. For *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the seeds were germinated on MS medium and 7 days old seedlings were then transplanted to soil and grown in the glasshouse at 22°C, 16 hr light/8 hr dark conditions.

METHOD DETAILS

Plasmids construction and plant transformation

For the construction of the promoter:GUS reporter plasmids of *CrIND*, *CrTAA1* and *CrYUC9*, ~2.0kb promoter was isolated by PCR on genomic DNA and inserted upstream of the GUS gene of pCambia1301 vectors. For construction of the *pDR5v2:GFP/GUS* plasmid, a 207-bp promoter fragment containing eight repeats of the auxin response element and 47-bp CaMV 35S minimal promoter [24] was inserted upstream of the GFP and GUS reporter genes of pCambia1301 and pCambia1302 vectors, respectively. For construction of the *pCrIND:CrIND:GFP* plasmid, a ~2.6kb genomic fragment of *CrIND* containing the ~2.0kb promoter and full length coding sequence of *CrIND* was isolated and fused in-frame with the GFP coding sequence of pCambia1302 vector. For construction of the *pCrIND:iaaL/iaaM* plasmids, ~2.0kb *CrIND* promoter was isolated and fused with the full length of the *iaaL/iaaM* coding sequence and then inserted into the pCambia1301 vector. For construction of the RNA-guided genome editing plasmids, DNA sequences encoding gRNAs adjacent to the PAM sequences (NGG) were designed to target two specific sites in the exons of *CrIND*, *CrTAA1* and *CrYUC9*. The gRNAs were synthesized as oligonucleotides with Golden-gate cloning adapters and were then inserted downstream of U6 promoters. The resulting gRNA plasmids were then recombined with *pRPS5a:Cas9z:E9t* and Fast-Red selection marker using golden-gate cloning methods to produce the binary vectors. All vectors were verified by sequencing and introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain LBA4404 by electroporation. Primers used in the construction of the vectors are listed in Methods S1.

Transformation of *Arabidopsis* and *Capsella* followed the floral dipping method with minor modifications in *Capsella*. Specifically, the *Agrobacterium* was cultured to 2.0 (OD600) and resuspended with 5% sucrose solution plus 0.02% Silwet. *Capsella* seedlings with 10cm long inflorescences were subjected to the first round of dipping, after which, the plants were kept in the dark for 36 hours at 22°C. The floral dipping process was repeated twice in five-day intervals. For each construct, at least 10 independent transformants were obtained for further analysis.

Phenotyping and Microscopy

The mature fruits of each genotype were collected and recorded photographically with Nikon D610 camera with a 105mm prime lens. To quantify the shoulder phenotype, three parameters were measured: W (denotes the half width of the fruits), L1 (denotes the length of the fruit from the fruit shoulder tips to the fruit base) and L2 (denotes the length of the fruit from the style base to the fruit base). The angle of the shoulders was calculated with the anti-trigonometric function θ = Arctan((L1-L2)/W).

For Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), the young inflorescences and mature fruits of each genotype were fixed in FAA and infiltrated under vacuum. Gynoecia from distinct developmental stages were dissected with a needle in 70% ethanol under a light microscope.
microscope. The materials were critically-point dried in CO₂ and spotter-coated with gold. The samples were subsequently examined using a Zeiss Supra 55VP field Scanning Electron Microscope with an acceleration voltage of 3.0 kV.

Confocal microscopy was performed on a Leica SPS laser scanning microscope equipped with an Argon Krypton laser (Leica Microsystems). The 488-nm excitation line of an argon ion laser was used to excite YFP, the 514-nm excitation line of an argon ion laser was used to excite GFP. GFP/YFP emission spectra were collected between 497 and 551 nm. For the top views of the gynoecium, the samples were dissected and placed vertically on a slide, we used the X25/0.95 water dipping objective lens to visualize the GFP signal of the specimens. Images were processed in ImageJ software.

**Chemical Treatment and Auxin Metabolite Quantification**

The auxin biosynthesis inhibitors L-Kynurenine and Yucasin were dissolved in DMSO, the Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) was dissolved in ethanol. For L-Kynurenine and Yucasin treatment, 100 µM working solutions were prepared with water and silwet (0.02%) and dipped onto the 10-cm inflorescences. For IAA application, 100 µM working solutions were prepared with water and silwet (0.02%) and applied specifically to the apical part of fruits from WT or crind-1ge Capsella plants using a needle. The control plants were mock-treated with the same concentration of either Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or ethanol used to dissolve the chemicals.

To quantify auxin metabolite levels in the fruit shoulders, WT and crind-1ge fruits were dissected under a light microscope. Extraction, purification and the LC-MS/MS analysis of endogenous IAA and specific IAA metabolites was carried out according to the method described previously [42]. Briefly, around 20 mg of frozen material per sample was homogenized and extracted in 1 mL of 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 1% sodium diethyldithiocarbamate and a mixture of 13C6 or deuterium labeled internal standards. After centrifugation (14,000 RPM, 15 min, 4°C), the supernatant was divided in two aliquots, the first was derivatized by cysteamine (0.25 M, pH 8, 1h, room temperature, Sigma-Aldrich), the second one was immediately further processed as following. The pH of sample was adjusted to 2.5 by 1 M HCl and the sample was applied on a preconditioned solid-phase extraction column ( Oasis HLB, 30 mg, 1 cc, Waters Inc., USA). After sample application, the column was rinsed with 2 mL 5% methanol. Compounds of interest were then eluted with 2 mL 80% methanol. The derivatised fraction was purified alike. Mass spectrometry quantification was performed by LC-MS/MS, using a 1290 Infinity Binary LC System coupled to a 6490 Triple Quad LC/MS System with Jet Stream and Dual Ion Funnel technologies (Agilent Technologies, USA).

**RNA extraction and expression analysis**

The fruit shoulders and basal fruit were sampled from stage-13 fruits of CrWT and crind-1ge, respectively. For the ctrl-1, ctrl-1 crind-1ge mutants, the whole stage-13 fruits were collected. Total RNA was isolated from the samples using the RNaseasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN). Next, 1 ug of total RNA was reverse transcription into cDNA with the SuperScript IV First-Strand Synthesis System (ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

For real-time qPCR, gene specific primers were designed, and verified by PCR and sequencing. The efficiency of the primers (95% to 105%) was determined by creating a standard curve. The SYBR Green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to perform real-time qPCR with ROX as a reference dye on a BioRad CFX96 Q-PCR System (BioRad). The CT value of each gene was determined by normalizing the fluorescence threshold. The relative expression level of the target gene was determined using the CT method, and CrUBQ10 was used as an internal control. Statistical analysis was done in Microsoft Excel.

For GUS histochemical assay, fruit samples were fixed in acetone for 20 min at –80°C, washed twice for 5 min in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, and processed in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 1mM K3Fe(CN)6, 1 mM K4Fe(CN)6 at room temperature for 30 min. The staining was incubated at 37°C in the X-Gluc solution for 6-8h. The X-Gluc solution contains 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM K3Fe(CN)6, 3 mM K4Fe(CN)6, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1 mg/mL of β-glucoronidase substrate X-gluc (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl glucuronide, MELFORD) dissolved in DMSO. After staining, the reaction buffer was replaced with 70% ethanol until chlorophyll was completely washed out from the samples. Fruits were dissected, mounted in Chlorohydrate (Sigma) solution and analyzed using a Zeiss Axio Imager light microscope.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation and Yeast one-hybrid analysis**

Stage-16 fruits from pCrIND:CrIND::GFP and WT plants were collected and fixed with 1% formaldehyde and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Approximately 3.0 g of tissue was ground in liquid nitrogen and chromatin fragments were prepared after sonication. After sonication, a 1/20 sample was taken out as DNA Input. The remaining samples underwent immunoprecipitation. GFP tagged protein together with the associated DNAs were immunoprecipitated by using Pierce Protein G Magnetic Beads (ThermoFisher) coated with monoclonal anti-GFP antibody (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Beads were washed two times with the immunoprecipitation buffer followed by two washes with TE buffer. Reverse crosslinking was done by boiling the beads at 65°C for 12 hours in presence of 10% SDS followed by Proteinase K treatment at 50°C for 1 hour. DNA was ethanol precipitated following phenol/chloroform extraction. qPCR was performed using SYBR Green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma-Aldrich) on a BioRad CFX96 Q-PCR System (BioRad).

To perform yeast-one-hybrid (Y1H) analysis, the full length CrIND coding sequence was amplified and inserted into the pDEST22 vector (used as the effector plasmid). Synthetic fragments were produced (Sigma) containing wild-type and mutant versions of the putative CrIND binding sites from CrTAA1, CrYUC9 and CrPID promoter repeated four times separated by 8-bp spacers. The sequences were then amplified by PCR and inserted into the pHISLEU vector (used as the reporter plasmid). The constructs were co-transformed into the yeast strain, AH109, by using the LiAc method following the instructions for the yeast transformation.
The yeasts transformants were selected on synthetic defined SD/–Trp/–Leu (–WL) agar medium plates and cultured at 28°C. Twelve individual transformants were randomly selected and mixed by three in four Eppendorf tubes, dropped on SD/–Trp/–Leu/–His (–WLH) agar plates and grow at 28°C for 2-3 days to test the interactions. Different concentrations of 3-aminotriazole (3-AT) was applied on the plate to prevent the unspecific interactions.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistics were calculated in Microsoft Excel. All measured data are presented as means ± SD specified along with sample sizes (n) in the methods and in figure legends. Comparisons between groups for the analysis of qRT-PCR was performed with Microsoft Excel Student’s t test, and significance levels are marked as: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01.