Agreement between patients and surgeons on assessments of the cosmetic outcomes of Mohs micrographic surgery: Results of a single-center blinded prospective study

To the Editor: Patient satisfaction with wound reconstruction following Mohs micrographic surgery (MMS) is crucial.1-5 Whether patients and their surgeons assess wound cosmetic outcomes similarly is still unknown. We aimed to examine whether patients and their Mohs surgeons agree with respect to their assessments of the cosmetic outcomes of MMS.

Following ethical approval, all patients who underwent MMS at Skåne University Hospital between 2010 and 2018 were assessed 6 months after MMS. No postsurgical scar interventions were performed prior to this. Almost all the MMS procedures were facial. At the 6-month assessment, the patients scored their cosmetic outcomes by marking a visual analog scale, ranging from the worst to the best cosmetic outcomes. The surgeons who performed the MMS scored the cosmetic outcomes similarly. The patients and surgeons were blinded to each other, but the patient scores were not collected anonymously. A single investigator registered all the scores by measuring marking distances in millimeter. Prior to data analysis, the authors agreed on the following arbitrary outcome categories: scores of 0-39 mm were categorized as “poor,” 40-79 mm as “acceptable,” and 80-100 mm as “excellent.” Inter-rater reliability was calculated using the Kappa-Fleiss coefficient. Differences in mean scores were calculated using an independent t test. Statistical significance was set at \( P < .05 \). The strength of the association between the mean scores and MMS variables was determined using an Eta coefficient test.

Three hundred forty-four patients were included in the study (Table I). Patient scores were registered for 313 (91%) patients, and scores for the Mohs surgeons were registered for 310 (90%) patients. Agreement between the surgeon and patient scores was illustrated using a Bland-Altman scatter plot (Fig 1). Most patients and surgeons scored the cosmetic outcomes as excellent. The inter-rater reliability of

Table I. Illustrating patient demographics and scores registered by patients and Mohs surgeons

| Patients | Total n = 344 | Women n = 223 | Men n = 121 |
|----------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Age (y), mean ± SD (range) | 66.9 ± 12.7 (24-94) | 66.19 ± 13 (24-94) | 68.1 ± 11.9 (34-90) |
| Mean defect area (mm²) ± SD | 599.6 ± 647.9 | | |
| Mean n of Mohs stage ± SD | 2.1 ± 0.8 | | |
| Cosmetic scores | | | |
| Poor, n (%) | 30 (8.7) | 17 (4.9) | |
| Acceptable, n (%) | 109 (31.7) | 142 (41.3) | |
| Excellent, n (%) | 174 (50.6) | 151 (43.9) | |
| Mean score ± SD | 77.9 ± 22.7 | 74.9 ± 18.9 | |
| Reconstruction method, mean ± SD: | | | |
| Primary closure | 83.8 ± 18.4 | 81.3 ± 15.3 | |
| FTSG | 76.9 ± 23.9 | 69.6 ± 19.8 | |
| Advancement flap | 74.9 ± 27.9 | 78.3 ± 21 | |
| Rotational flap | 75 ± 23.9 | 81.3 ± 17.6 | |
| Transposition flap | 78.1 ± 22.1 | 77.5 ± 16.2 | |
| Secondary intention | 75.7 ± 20.7 | 74.8 ± 18.5 | |
| Combination flap | 82.6 ± 16.6 | 73.4 ± 17 | |

FTSG, Full-thickness skin grafting; VAS, visual analog scale.
A fair agreement between the patients and Mohs surgeons (Kappa-Fleiss coefficient: 0.24) was observed. There were no differences in the agreement levels based on age or anatomic localization. The Mohs surgeons had a lower mean score than the patients, but this difference was statistically not significant (P = 0.07). The number of patients who scored their outcomes better than the Mohs surgeons was significantly higher than the number of patients who scored their outcomes worse than the surgeons (P = 0.04). Finally, there were no significant associations between the scores and sex, the number of stages of MMS, defect area, or reconstruction method.

In summary, our study showed a fair inter-rater agreement when the patients’ and Mohs surgeons’ evaluations of the cosmetic outcomes of MMS were compared, which we believe is of value in managing reliable expectations after surgery. Another comforting finding was that most patients were satisfied with their results, and it was more common for a patient to rate his/her score better than a surgeon who performed MMS instead of the opposite. However, the Mohs surgeons, in general, tended to score cosmetic scores lower than the patients, but further studies are warranted to examine that in detail.

The study limitations included nonanonymous patient scores, a single-center study design, and the categorization of visual analog scale scores that have not been validated in previous studies.
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