A Comparative Study on the Effect of Kinesio taping with Rigid taping in Computer Professionals with Chronic Non-specific Low Back Pain
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ABSTRACT

Background: Abnormal posture leading to back pain is a major work-related health problem, especially in software and IT-enabled services.

Objective: It is intended to find out the effect of Kinesio taping compared with rigid taping in alleviating pain and disability for work-related chronic low back pain in computer professionals.

Method: Study was conducted on 26 computer professionals with chronic low back pain, divided into two groups randomly and intervened with Kinesio taping (KT) in one group and rigid taping (RT) in another group. The outcome of pain and disability was measured using the McGill pain questionnaire and the Oswestry disability index. Both groups were compared for the mean difference and effect size on pain and disability by using ANOVA and Cohen’s.

Results: statistical analysis showed that KT or RT useful in reducing symptoms but there was a significant difference of P ≤0.05 between the Kinesio tape group and the rigid tape group in terms of pain and disability.

Conclusion: Kinesio taping was more effective than rigid taping in reducing pain and disability for computer users with chronic low back pain.
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INTRODUCTION

Work-related pain is quite common for computer users as working for more than 40 hours a week may lead to musculoskeletal pain due to abnormal body posture and the tendency to develop injuries to muscles, joints, ligaments, and tendons. Computer work has created a new type of occupational health problems such as work-related musculoskeletal disorder. Abnormal posture leading to back pain is a major work-related health problem; especially in the software services industries.1 Non-specific low back pain was defined as "not related to identifiable known specific pathology (e.g. infection, inflammatory process, tumour, osteoporosis, fracture or radicular syndrome)."2 Chronic pain in the low back due to non-pathological origin is considered to be a complex multidimensional bio-psycho-social pain disorder, where precise aetiology remains undefined.3 Low back pain (LOW BACK PAIN) is a significant clinical, social, and financial problem with prevalence ranging from 8% to 56% in the USA and it is measured that 28% of people suffering from pain in low back sometime during their lives, 14% with episodes lasting at least two weeks, 8% throughout during employment in any given year.4 Among all sort of Musculoskeletal disorders the lower back pain is commonly seen in Information Technology employees. Low back pain is that the second commonest explanation for disability in adults who lost workdays in America, which was estimated to lose 149 million days of labour per annum which was further estimated
to cost $200 billion annually to scale back the productivity.\textsuperscript{5}

The presence of computer within the workplace results in a group of weird characteristics of the workstation which require the workers to remain during a static posture for long periods and its most often cited risk factors resulting in musculoskeletal disorders. The presence of imbalance and abnormal strain on the musculoskeletal structure caused by deviation. Further, more computer usage is linked to Low back pain. Specifically, sitting for quite long hours combined with awkward postures or frequently working during a forward bent position has been found to extend the likelihood of Low Back Pain.\textsuperscript{6} Studies also indicated that specific tasks performed while sitting in an ergonomically unfit chair for extended periods were also related to low back pain.\textsuperscript{7} A slouched posture may be a quite abnormal sitting posture with the flexed lumbar spine that occurs during day-to-day sitting activities. As a result of this prolonged flexed posture, the neutral position is lost and therefore the spine is potentially exposed to injury.

The risk of developing musculoskeletal injury for computer users significantly rises if their body mass index increases so employees with obesity are prone to develop low back pain due to postural abnormality.\textsuperscript{8} The McKenzie exercises are one among the foremost frequently used sort of physiotherapy for back pain. It is based totally on the identification of a directional preference for spinal movement and may form the idea for the prescription of exercises. Improvement in symptoms is subsequently assessed in terms of ‘centralization’ a phenomenon that has been quite well documented. A recent systematic review of six trials concluded that there’s some evidence for the short term effectiveness of McKenzie approach for subacute and chronic back pain patients.\textsuperscript{9} Spinal stabilization exercises (including Pilates) for the management of back pain is gaining popularity, this exercise might help to improve postural control and correct imbalances of activity between more deeply placed stability muscles and more superficially placed mobilizing counterparts. Although intuitively a useful approach for non-specific low back pain patients, spinal stabilization exercises to date are supported by a number of pieces of evidence.

Variety of physical modalities used for back pain such as transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation), heat/cold, traction, laser, ultrasound, short wave diathermy, interferential therapy, corsets and collars. There’s limited evidence to suggest that electrotherapy (laser therapy, therapeutic ultrasound and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation) isn’t effective for reducing neck and back pain. However, the overall conclusions from systematic reviews are that there is insufficient evidence from good-quality studies to either support or refute the clinical use of physical modalities for patients with back or neck pain. The placebo effects of passive modalities may be a robust effect where both the therapist and the patient have faith within the treatment. However, dependency on physical modalities could encourage passivity, inactivity and disability behaviour.

In India, the occupational health personnel are working on this group of modern occupational diseases, which are slowly taking their roots among the Information Technology professionals. If these problems are ignored, can cause serious injuries and forcing one to change their job.\textsuperscript{10} According to Borenstein, low back pain patients require regular physical activity to enable them to receive the most beneficial effect.\textsuperscript{11} Acute back pain seems to respond well to simple treatment measures, although there is a lot of variation in the benefit for individual patients. Various treatment methods being considered for nonspecific low back pain but the effectiveness of each method vary due to external and internal factors. Even though some scientific study has proven Kinesio or rigid tape application were useful but need to obtain concrete evidence which one will be more effective in managing low back pain. This study intended to explore the effectiveness of Kinesio taping compared with rigid taping for work-related lower back pain patients along with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and exercise as common protocol. Thus, the objective of this study is to find out the effect of the Kinesio taping technique, along with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and Exercise in reducing pain and disability on work-related low back pain for computer professionals.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Subjects**

Employees who were working in medium and large scale information technology company were selected as the subject for the study who have more than 3 years of experience in a sitting job with computers for not less than 8 hours per day for 5 days a week. Subjects with a history of chronic low back pain not less than 3 months\textsuperscript{12,13} were screened for low back pain, 26 subjects who fulfilled inclusion criteria were recruited for this study and subjects with a history of disc prolapse, spondylolisthesis, SI Joint syndrome, recent surgical intervention, structural scoliosis and radiculopathy were excluded from the study. The subjects were blinded about the possible intervention effectiveness of Kinesio tape or rigid tape. Informed consent was taken from all subjects who participated in the study and allowed to withdraw at any time during the period of intervention on their own. 26 subjects were divided randomly into 2 groups as Group “A” (Kinesio Tape Group) and Group “B” (Rigid Tape group) consist of 13 subjects each. Ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional ethical committee with reference number EC/04-2019/PT/PhD-2 for this study.
Procedures:

General clinical examination and musculoskeletal assessment were performed pain and disability measured by the McGill pain questionnaire and Oswestry disability index respectively as baseline data before the intervention. Both groups underwent treatment for 2 weeks with 3 sessions per week, after the intervention post-test measurement was taken. Group A(KT) were given Kinesio tape long with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and back exercise program, similarly Group B(RT) was treated by rigid tape along with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and back exercise program. The exercise program includes strengthening abdominal muscles and core extensor muscles along with flexibility exercise. End of every session subjects was applied with Kinesio tape or Rigid tape in the lumbosacral region and asked to report every alternative day to the Department and retain the tape for 48 hours. Subjects were advised to do all the core stability and flexibility exercise at home. 

Kinesio Tape Procedure:

KT tape is cotton elastic hypo allergic tape used for the intervention of Group A subjects. All subjects underwent allergic test before starting treatment whereas KT tape patch was applied in the anterior surface of the elbow and observed after 48 hours for any allergic reaction if anyone found allergic to KT tape were excluded from this study. The taping area was cleaned, free of hair and the lumbar spine was flexed to measure the required length of tape. Three I-Tapes were used whereas two vertical and one horizontal tape applied in the lumbosacral region. The first 4 cm to 5 cm of tape was removed from its backing, the base of the tape was applied to the origin of erector spinae to its insertion while the sacrum in the neutral position then the patient was asked to do a maximum flexion of the spine and the paper backing of the tape was removed, except final 4 cm to 5 cm the tape was applied on one side in the direction of the cranium, with a slight stretch of tape and at the end without stretch. The same procedure was then applied to the contralateral side. The third strip was applied horizontally where maximum pain was observed such as erector spinae or interspinous or quadratus lumborum muscle with more than 50% stretch. The tape was rubbed by hand several times to warm the adhesive film to attach with the skin surface the tape was retained for 48 hours before changing to the next session. 

Rigid tape procedure:

Hypo allergic under tape 50-mm strapping tape used over taping area before the rigid tape was applied. Subjects were in a lying prone or standing position whereas the Patient must be able to achieve a relaxed and pain-free extended lumbar posture (lordosis) while the tape was being applied and the tape was retained for 48 hours before changing to the next session. The spine is neutral to a slightly extended position with lumbar curvatures maintained, anchor strips were applied to the top and bottom of the area to be taped. An X was formed across the lumbar region from the top anchor to the bottom anchor, with the centre of the X overlying the L2–3 region. The top and bottom of the X were then re-anchored. Movements were assessed for pain-free range of motion in flexion and side flexion.

Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) Procedure:

Transcutaneous electrical nervous stimulator stimulates peripheral nerves via skin surface electrodes at well-tolerated intensities which deliver the low-frequency current through superficial electrodes placed on the skin around the affected area which induce a tingling sensation and disrupt the pain signal in the surrounding area. The dual-channel transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation unit was used, out of which one channel is placed Para spinally at the level of origin of the sciatic nerve and the other two electrodes are placed at the inferior angle of the scapula. The machine Aupuncture-like transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation used, at low frequency (5-10Hz) for 30 minutes.

Exercise Protocol:

Exercise program mainly concerned with strengthening core muscles such as the pelvic floor muscles, transverse abdominals, multifidus, internal and external oblique, rectus abdominis, erector spinae (sacrospinalis) especially the longissimus thoracic, and quadratus Lumborum. The exercise was taught and performed five sessions per week for about two weeks supine abdominal draw, with double Knee to Chest, supine twist, prone bridging on Elbows, side bridging on the elbow, quadruped opposite arm/leg raise, Supine Butt Lift with Arms at Side, above given exercise performed 10 to 20 repetition per session.

Outcome measure:

The McGill Pain Questionnaire consist of a three-part pain assessment tool that measures several dimensions of the patient’s pain experience. The first part consists of an anatomic drawing of the human form on which the patient marks where his or her pain is found. The second part of the MPQ permits the patient to record the intensity of his or her current pain experience. The third part of the MPQ would be a pain verbal descriptor inventory consisting of 78 descriptive adjectives. Subjects were informed to choose appropriate words matching his or her pain perception and circle it as every dimension was individually scored and summated for the total score. The score ranges from 0 to 78 as 0 is no pain and 78 maximum pain. The Oswestry Disability index Questionnaire (Fairbank, Couper, Davies & O’Brien, 1980) was developed in the late 1970s and has been widely used.
Ten sections cover Pain, self-care, Lifting, Walking, Sitting, Standing, Sleeping, Sex Life, Social Life, and Travelling. All section had six statements describing the level of disability from 0 to 5 with the maximum score of 50 and the same was converted to a percentage score.  

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Baseline and post-intervention data were analysed by statistical software SPSS 18.0 for comparison of the mean difference between and within groups by ANOVA. The effect size of the intervention was calculated using Cohen’s d formula (Mean difference/pooled standard deviation).

**RESULTS**

26 subjects were assigned into 2 groups with 13 subjects each, Group A underwent Kinesio tape intervention long with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and exercise had 8 male participants and 5 female participants with a mean age of 31.8 and BMI of 24.5. Group B underwent rigid tape technique long with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and exercise had 7 male and 6 female with a mean age of 30.3 and Body Mass Index of 24.1. Table 1 shows the total number of subjects with gender in both groups with percentage. Table 2 shows the age and BMI of all subjects with standard deviation.

In Group A the mean value of baseline data for McGill pain score was 36.5 and post-test measure was 12.7 when both data were compared for mean difference there was a high statistical significance $P = 0.001$ was found, similarly the disability score Oswestry Disability Index mean of baseline value was 52 and the post-test measure was 17 when both data were compared for the differences the $P=0.001$ and it showed pain and disability considerably reduced after intervention of Kinesio taping. In Group B treated by rigid taping had a mean value of 41.5 and the post-test measure was 20.8 for pain score similarly the Oswestry Disability Index score of pre-test were 60 and post-test was 22.7 when both data were compared for differences it showed statistical significance in both parameters $P=0.001$ for pain and disability that indicates rigid tape group was helpful managing pain and disability. Table 3 Describes meaning comparison of pain and disability within and between the Kinesio taping group and Rigid taping group.

When both groups were compared for statistical difference in pain and disability Kinesio tape group was better than the rigid tape group in reducing pain and disability as the level of significance between the group for McGill pain score $P = 0.008$ and Oswestry Disability Index score $P = 0.05$ it indicates Group A Kinesio taping along with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and exercise was effective in work-related low back pain for computer professionals. Graph 1 shows the mean of pre and post measurements of Disability for the KT and RT group. Graph 2 shows the mean of pre and post measurements of pain for the KT and RT group.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study included subjects not less than 3 months of chronic LBA. Kinesio taping along with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and exercise was effective in work-related low back pain for computer professionals. The statistical difference in the improvement of pain and disability was better in Kinesio tape than in the rigid tape group. Lower back pain was disabling and debilitating disorders for professionals who are working with desktop or laptop for more than 8 hours unless there was the attention given to prevent curate and rehabilitate, it’s going to affect the employees in terms of productivity lead to loss of Compensation for the employers. Low back pain is more in industrialized Western countries that is the second commonest problems.

The latest pieces of evidence of low back pain are of mechanical origin and initially occurs between 25 and 55 years aged. There are lot of interventions found to be effective for the management of work-related pain in computer professionals but the specific and superior techniques which yield better result are under investigation for a longer duration. In this present study, the attempt was made to identify whether the conventional method of back pain treatment such as exercise program and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation along with Kinesio tape was effective. The afferent neural structure is stimulated through transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation induces analgesia and additionally decreases the inflammation induces dorsal horn sensitisation (Sabino 2008), neurotransmitters like gamma-aminobutyric acid and glycine which are also involved in inhibition of nociceptive traffic, and modulation of the activity of the cells by supporting structure(glial cells) in the medulla spinalis, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation may produce all above effects to control pain. The combination of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and core stability exercise program along with the application of Kinesio tape or rigid tape was effective for chronic low back pain who are in a sedentary job. Kinesio tape supports injured muscles and joints and helps to relieve pain by lifting the skin by improved blood and lymph flow. A research study suggested that the Kinesio taping with a regular home exercise program for strengthening and flexibility reduces pain and disability. This present study found out rigid taping technique used for 2 weeks in lower back region Reduced pain and disability effectively, Rigid tape help to support lower lumbar region and stabilise Paraspinal mus-
cles in contrast Kinesio tape helps to facilitate the back muscles and also reducing inflammation and promoting healing by improving blood circulation and lymphatic drainage.24,25 Kinesio tape believed to reduce paravertebral muscle fatigue so it may be assumed to reduce musculoskeletal injury in computer professionals and also, facilitatory application provide adequate anticipatory postural control in back muscles to maintain correct posture during working hours.26

**CONCLUSION**

Both the kinesio tape group and rigid tape group were helpful to reduce pain and disability for subjects with work-related chronic low back pain in Computer professionals but the Kinesio tape group was better than the rigid tape group when compared for effectiveness.
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### Table 1: Characteristics of participants

| Subject characteristics | Subject distribution | N | Percentage |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---|------------|
| Gender (Total)          |                      |   |            |
| Male                    |                      | 11| 42.3       |
| Female                  |                      | 15| 57.7       |
| Kinesio taping group    |                      |   |            |
| F                       |                      | 5 | 38.5       |
| M                       |                      | 8 | 61.5       |
| Rigid taping group      |                      |   |            |
| F                       |                      | 6 | 46.2       |
| M                       |                      | 7 | 53.8       |

### Table 2: Mean comparison of outcome measures Between and within groups

| Outcome measures | Kinesio Tape group | Rigid Tape group | F value (Significance) |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|
|                  | Mean               | SD               | Mean                   | SD         |         |
| Oswestry Disability Index |              |                  |                        |            |
| ODIPRE           | 52.00              | 14.42            | 60.15                  | 11.84     | 3.880 (0.050) |
| ODIPOST          | 17.23              | 7.98             | 22.76                  | 5.50      |            |
| F value (significance) | 263.47 (0.001) |                      |                        |            |
| McGill Pain Questionnaire |                |                  |                        |            |
| MPQPRE           | 36.53              | 7.91             | 41.53                  | 9.27      | 8.490 (0.008) |
| MPQPOST          | 12.69              | 3.01             | 20.84                  | 5.56      |            |
| F value (significance) | 229.82 (0.001) |                      |                        |            |

### Table 3: Age and BMI of subjects

| Description | Mean | SD |
|-------------|------|----|
| Age         | 31.07| 4.57|
| BMI         | 24.34| 2.46|

Graph 1: Mean difference of Oswestry disability index between groups.

Graph 2: Mean difference of McGill Pain score between groups.