INTRODUCTION

Obesity has become a worldwide epidemic. According to a report, more than 2.1 billion people have a body mass index ≥25.0 and ≥30.0, and more than half a billion of them with no distinction of age or sex worldwide (Jérôme et al., 2019). This increasing prevalence has become a global health concern and is causing a substantial socioeconomic burden. Obesity, characterized by fat accumulation,
chronic inflammation, or impaired satiety signaling, is linked to various chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and several forms of cancer (Fabbri et al., 2010; Kahan, 2008; Lavanya & Rana, 2019; Lee et al., 2013). Then, what are the factors resulting in obesity? Host-microbial interactions have been documented in studies of obesity-related diseases (Cani et al., 2012). In addition to genetic susceptibility, environmental impact, lack of physical activity and other factors, the greatest risk is the expansion of high-fat/high-sugar diets (Crinò et al., 2018; Stoner et al., 2016; Zhang & Yang, 2016). However, the gut microbiota is a key interface for energy acquisition of the host (Ikou et al., 2013; Mayu et al., 2015). Accumulating evidence has indicated that high-fat-diet-triggered obesity leads to alterations in the gut microbial composition, as well as reductions in microbial diversity and changes in specific bacterial taxa (Daniel et al., 2014; Turnbaugh et al., 2006, 2009; Zhu et al., 2018). These variations in the gut microbiota might result in gut microbial dysbiosis and play an important role in the pathogenesis of obesity. Thus, developing a new strategy to treat obesity surrounding manipulations of the gut microbiota and its metabolites has become a primary public health goal.

Diet may reshape the gut microbiota (Sharma & Tripathi, 2019; Yang & Yu, 2018). With this opinion established, more studies have focused on food-derived bioactive compounds to provide a new strategy for obesity intervention. Fish oil has long been utilized as a functional food to improve insulin sensitivity and has potent anti-inflammatory, hypolipidemic, cardiovascular protection, and body weight-reducing effects due to the presence of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 PUFAs), mainly eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, 20:5 n-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6 n-3; da Cunha de Sá et al., 2016; Flachs et al., 2009; Rokling-Andersen et al., 2009; Saraswathi et al., 2007; Serhan, 2014; Sundaram et al., 2015). However, most of the currently available studies investigating the effect of dietary n-3 PUFAs employed commercially available fish oil supplements, which are characterized by higher amounts of EPA than DHA, in a distinctive ratio of 18/12 (Fard et al., 2019; Turchini et al., 2009). Nonetheless, the molecular structures of DHA and EPA are different. DHA contains a longer carbon chain (22 vs. 20) and an additional double bond (6 vs. 5) per molecule compared with EPA, which might result in the different metabolic effects between the two molecules. Moreover, increasing evidence has shown that EPA and DHA exert heterogeneous effects on human health; dietary DHA or EPA have different metabolic fates in animal models; for example, DHA is preferentially retained over EPA, and EPA is β-oxidized more than DHA (Ghasemifard et al., 2015; Serhan, 2005). Mammalian brains were also shown to be invariably rich in DHA (Crawford et al., 2009). Thus, it is necessary to obtain a better understanding of the potential metabolic and health effects of DHA, uncoupled by a higher EPA content. Tuna, one of the most important sources of EPA and DHA, is characterized by higher DHA and less EPA in its oil (e.g., EPA:DHA = 4.2:19.8 [Castellano et al., 2011; 3:13 [Ninio et al., 2005]). In this study, not only general tuna oil (60 mg of EPA and 260 mg of DHA per gram of oil) but also its fractionated and concentrated product with a higher DHA content (60 mg of EPA and 340 mg of DHA per gram of oil) was employed to investigate the effect of high-DHA tuna oil on the alteration of the gut microbiota and obesity in high-fat diet mice. We aimed to provide evidence for the clinical therapeutic potential of the dietary administration of high-DHA fish oil preparations.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Preparation of high-DHA tuna oil

High-DHA tuna oil was prepared according to the method proposed in our previous report (Chen et al., 2019). The composition of fatty acids was detected by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS; Agilent 7890/M7-80EI system with a VOCOL column [60 m × 0.32 mm]). The initial temperature of the oven program was set at 60°C, increased to 260°C at a rate of 5°C/min, and then was maintained at 260°C for 40 min. The gas flow rate was 50 ml/min. The temperature of the injector was maintained at 260°C. The detected mass ranged from 30 to 425 m/z (Lu et al., 2017; Satil et al., 2003). The content ratios of EPA and DHA in the general tuna oils and its fractionated and concentrated product were 60 mg of EPA and 260 mg of DHA per gram of oil, 60 mg of EPA and 340 mg of DHA per gram of oil, respectively.

2.2 | Animals and experimental design

All experimental procedures and animal care were performed according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals prepared by the Ningbo University Laboratory Animal Center (affiliated with the Zhejiang Laboratory Animal Common Service Platform), and all of the animal protocols were approved by the Ningbo University Laboratory Animal Center under permit number SYXK (ZHE 2008-0110).

Thirty ICR mice (4–5-week-old males) with an average weight of 23.2 ± 1.1 g (purchased from Laboratory Animal Center of Zhejiang Province; SCXK [Zhejiang] 2014 ± 0001) experienced 1 week of acclimatization with a standard chow diet (MD 17121; Mediscience Co., Ltd) and were randomly divided into five groups (six mice per group). Next, each group was housed in two separate cages (three mice per cage) under controlled conditions (23 ± 1°C; 12:12 hr light/dark cycle; 60 ± 5% relative humidity) with free access to food and water for 6 weeks. The five groups were as follows: (a) control group (Control), normal chow feeding (protein with 20% kcal, carbohydrate with 70% kcal, fat with 10% kcal; purchased from Laboratory Animal Center of Ningbo University, Ningbo, China) and received 200 ml of saline per day by gavage; (b) high-fat diet group (HFD), high-fat diet feeding (protein with 20% kcal, carbohydrate with 35% kcal, fat with 45% kcal purchased from Laboratory Animal Center of Ningbo University); (c) positive-control drug group (Zocord), high-fat diet feeding and received 3 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ of Zocord by gavage; (d) high-DHA tuna oil group (HDTO), high-fat diet feeding...
and received 260 mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ of high-DHA tuna oil by gavage; (e) conventional tuna oil group (TO), high-fat diet feeding and received 260 mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ of conventional tuna oil by gavage.

The body weight of the mice was monitored every 5 days. At the end of 6 weeks, stool samples were collected, immediately immersed in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C for later microbiota analysis. After 12 hr of food deprivation, the fasting body weight was examined and all the mice were anesthetized with ether. Blood was collected from the orbital plexus, and the serum was further isolated by centrifugation at 1500 g at 4°C for 15 min and then stored at −80°C for subsequent biochemical testing. All the animals were sacrificed. Visceral tissues, including adipose (epididymal, subcutaneous, visceral, and interscapular) and liver tissues were dissected, weighed, instantly immersed in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at −80°C for further analysis.

2.3 | Biochemical analysis

Liver samples were prepared by homogenization and centrifugation. Total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C), and LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) levels in the serum samples and liver samples were measured using commercial enzymatic kits purchased from Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quantification of RNA samples was carried out using the Nanodrop 2000C system (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). All the samples were run in duplicate on a single plate, and relative quantification was detected using the 2$^{-ΔΔCt}$ method.

Liver index = [liver wet weight (g)/body weight (g)] × 100%

2.4 | Real-time qPCR for the expression of genes related to obesity

The livers were homogenized in liquid nitrogen. RNA extraction was performed according to the RNA extraction kit instructions. The RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies). qRT–PCR was performed using SYBR Green Master Mix and Quant Studio 6 Flex (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quantification of RNA samples was carried out using the Nanodrop 2000C system (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). All the samples were run in duplicate on a single plate, and relative quantification was detected using the 2$^{-ΔΔCt}$ method.

The qRT-PCR primers used in this study are presented in Table 1. β-Actin was used as an internal control.

2.5 | DNA extraction, 16S rRNA sequencing, and bioinformatic analysis

DNA from different samples was extracted using the E.Z.N.A. ®Stool DNA Kit (D4015; Omega, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and the quantification of genomic DNA was executed using the Thermo NanoDrop 2000C system. The V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using primers 338F (5′-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3′) and 806R (5′-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3′). The 5′ ends of the primers were tagged with specific barcodes per sample and universal sequencing primers. The cycling and reaction conditions were 98°C for 30 s followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 10 s, annealing at 54°C/52°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 45 s and then a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were confirmed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, and they were purified using AMPure XT beads (Beckman Coulter Genomics) and quantified by Qubit (Invitrogen).

Samples were sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform according to the manufacturer’s recommendations provided by LC-Bio. Quality filtering on the raw tags was performed under specific filtering conditions to obtain high-quality clean tags according to FastQC (V 0.10.1). Chimeric sequences were filtered using Verserach software (v2.3.4). Sequences with ≥97% similarity were assigned to the same operational taxonomic units (OTUs) by Verserach (v2.3.4). Representative sequences were chosen for each OTU, and taxonomic data were then assigned to each representative sequence using the RDP (Ribosomal Database Project) classifier. Alpha diversity and beta diversity analysis were performed using QIIME (Version 1.8.0). Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) scores derived from the LDA effect size (LEfSe, https://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy/root/tool_id=lefs_upload) was executed to identify the specific bacteria ($p < .05$ and LDA score of $>6.0$; Segata et al., 2011). The correlations between the relative abundance of the key species and related metabolic indices and genes were conducted by Spearman’s correlation in R software (version 3.6.2). The prediction of functional pathway variations in the gut microbiome at the OTU level was performed using the Tax4Fun R package (Aßhauer et al., 2015).

### Table 1 Primer sequences used in real-time PCR analysis

| Genes     | Forward primer (5′→3′) | Reverse primer (5′→3′) |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|
| β-Actin   | GAGAGGGAAATCGTGCAGGA   | CTTTCAGGGGAGAAGGATT    |
| ACC       | GACACACCTGCTGGTGGGA    | AGGTTGAGGCAAAGGACTT    |
| FAS       | CACAGCCCTGAGAACTTGT    | CGGTGGCTGTTGATCCAGT    |
| HMGCR     | CTGATCTCTTTCTTGGCTTTCA | AGGCCGGATGTTATCATTTCCAG |
| CPT-1     | AACCTTGGCTGCGGTAAGACTA | AGTTGGACATTCCTCTCCAG   |
| SREBP-2   | ACAAGTCTGCGGTTCTGAGG   | GATGCCCTTCAGGAGCTTTGT  |
| IL-6      | ACAAGTCCGCGAGGAGACT    | CAGGCTCTTGGGAGGTATCC   |
Different analyses were conducted in STAMP (Parks et al., 2014), and Welch’s t test was used for the comparison of two groups.

### 2.6 Statistical analysis

The data are expressed as the means ± SEM (standard error of the mean) and were analyzed using SPSS 23.0 statistics and OriginPro software. Differences between two groups were assessed using unpaired two-tailed Student’s t tests. Repeated measures one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post hoc test (SPSS) were used. For the data whose distribution did not conform to the Gaussian model of heterogeneity, nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis analysis was conducted. Differences were considered statistically significant at \( p < .05 \).

## 3 RESULTS

### 3.1 HDTO and TO improve the features of obesity in high-fat diet-fed mice

Four groups of mice were, respectively, provided with HFD and were administered 260 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) of HDTO or TO, as well as Zocord, to identify the impact of HDTO and TO on the development of obesity. The positive-control drug Zocord served as a treatment reference. As illustrated in Figure 1a, the mice that consumed a high-fat diet gained more weight than the other groups from the 10th day, reaching a twofold increase at the final feeding trial compared with the control. In the Zocord group, the body weight was significantly decreased, but the body fat was higher than that in the HFD group (Figure 1b). Notably, two types of tuna oil could attenuate the body gain and reduce fat build-up, and the suppressing effect was significantly better than that in Zocord treatment. However, the suppressing effect between HDTO and TO on the body weight and body fat rate showed no significant difference.

Compared with the control, 6-week high-fat diet feeding led to boosted levels of TG and LDL-C and decreased levels of HDL-C in the serum (Figure 2a–c). Similarly, the levels of TC and TG in the liver of the HFD groups were higher than those in the control group. Furthermore, HDTO and TO supplementation significantly decreased the serum TG and increased the serum HDL-C levels in the mice fed a high-fat diet.

Additionally, the level of serum LDL-C was decreased due to HDTO and TO supplementation, and \( p < .05 \) compared with the HFD groups. The effect of Zocord supplementation was similar to that of the tuna oil. Notably, HDTO and TO also reduced the liver weights of the HFD-fed mice to restore to the control level (Figure 2f). Regarding the concentrations of liver TG and TC, HDTO and TO supplementation led to decreased liver TG and TC levels (Figure 2d/e). Additionally, exceptions occurred for Zocord supplementation; the concentrations of liver TC were higher than those of mice fed a high-fat diet. These results indicate that HDTO and TO can improve blood and liver metabolic parameters in obese mice, and the effect of HDTO treatment is more obvious.

### 3.2 HDTO and TO ameliorate the expression of lipid metabolism-related genes and IL-6 expression in high-fat diet-fed mice

The expression of genes involved in hepatic lipogenesis and lipolysis can be altered by HFD consumption. To confirm the reversal effect of high-DHA tuna oil on these lipid metabolism-related genes, the messenger RNA (mRNA) levels of fatty acid synthase (FAS), acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), carnitine palmitoyl transferase-1 (CPT-1), 3-hydroxy-3-methyl glutaryl coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR), and sterol regulatory element-binding protein-2 (SREBP-2) in the liver were investigated. As shown in Figure 3, a high-fat diet led to the upregulation of ACC, FAS, HMGCR, and SREBP-2, as well as the downregulation of CPT-1, compared with the control group. In contrast to the HFD group, HDTO and TO supplementation significantly...
reduced the expression levels of ACC, FAS, HMGCR, and SREBP-2 ($p < .05$). However, the reversal effect on the level of CPT-1 did not occur. Furthermore, as reported in previous studies, HFD-fed obese mice produced higher hepatic levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines. In this study, interleukin-6 (IL-6) expression levels were notably elevated due to HFD induction compared with those in chow-fed mice. Next, the expression pattern of this cytokine was reduced by HDTO and TO supplementation. Additionally, the reversal effects of Zocord administration were also observed in all genes. Overall, HDTO more significantly reversed the change triggered by HFD in mice.

### 3.3 HDTO and TO supplementation alters the structure of the gut microbiota in high-fat diet-fed mice

To elucidate the effects of dietary high-DHA tuna oil on the gut microbiome in high-fat diet-induced obese mice, high-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA based on V3-V4 hypervariable regions was used to analyze the variations in the gut microbial structure. The gut microbiota of the mice fed HFD + HDTO and HFD + TO was profiled and compared with that of the control group, HFD group and Zocord group. After double-end splicing, quality control, and chimera filtering, 243,397 high-quality sequencing reads were obtained from 15 fecal samples, and then the sequencing reads were clustered into OTUs at a 97% similar level.

Alpha diversity was applied in analyzing the complexity and diversity of species for samples using four parameters: two richness estimators (Chao1 and ACE) and two diversity indices (Shannon and Simpson). As suggested in Figure 4, HDTO and TO supplementation could prevent HFD-induced reduction in microbial richness and diversity to some extent, especially HDTO treatment, but not to a statistically significant level ($p > .05$, Figure 4a–d). The effect of Zocord administration was positive on richness but negative on diversity compared with the HFD group.

The relationships among the gut microbiota communities of the groups were evaluated by unweighted Unifrac-based PCoA at the OTU level. The results showed that the gut microbiome in the mouse was changed by a dietary high-fat diet and distinctly diverged from the control groups. The gut microbiota of the HDTO, TO, and Zocord groups were all changed individually, and they clustered separately from the HFD group. Additionally, from the perspective of spatial distribution, HDTO and TO were closer to the control. This obvious clustering of the microbiota composition and varied distance in different groups
suggest that HDTO and TO supplementation might revert the HFD-induced gut microbiota composition to a normal status.

Specific changes in the gut microbiota related to HDTO and TO supplementation were further illuminated by the relative abundance of the bacterial profile at the phylum and genus levels. As indicated in Figure 5a, Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes were the dominant composition of gut microbiota in the mice, their total abundance reached approximately 90%. Notably, the relative abundance of Firmicutes in the HFD group was significantly increased compared with that in the control group \((p = .001)\). However, this status was reversed by tuna oil supplementation, and dietary HDTO exhibited a more pronounced effect \((p = .003\) vs. the HFD group). Additionally, with the significant reduced abundance of Firmicutes in the HDTO and TO groups, the relative abundance of Bacteroidetes significantly increased compared with that in the high-fat diet feeding group \((p = .01)\). Thus, HDTO and TO supplementation could fully hamper the HFD-triggered increases in the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes \((F/B)\) ratio \((Figure 5b, p < .05)\), and restore the abundance to a normal level.

At the genus level, the dominant bacteria in the HDTO, TO, and control groups were relatively similar, and dietary HFD resulted in variation of the microbiota profile that was markedly different from that in the control group \((Figure 5c)\). The relative abundances of the genera Anaerobacterium, Desulfovibrio, Lachnoclostridium, Millionella, Mucispirillum, Paraeggerthella, Ruminiclostridium, and Terrisporobacter were significantly upregulated in HFD compared with those in the control group, whereas the relative abundances of the genera Bifidobacterium, Muribaculum, Parabacteroides, Prevotellamassilia, and Turicibacter were markedly downregulated \((Figure 5d)\).

Nevertheless, most of the genera determined in the stool have similar levels of relative abundance in the HDTO and TO groups to those in the control group \((Figure 5c)\). HDTO supplementation significantly decreased the relative abundances of Millionella, Desulfovibrio, Paraeggerthella, and Terrisporobacter and restored them to similar levels as those in the control. However, TO supplementation significantly increased the relative abundances of Parabacteroides, Muribaculum, Bifidobacterium, and Olsenella, and notably decreased the relative abundances of Millionella, Lachnoclostridium, Paraeggerthella, Anaerobacterium, Ruminiclostridium, and Terrisporobacter. Additionally, Zocord administration reversed the increase in the abundances of Millionella, Lachnoclostridium, Desulfovibrio, Paraeggerthella, Anaerobacterium, and Terrisporobacter induced by dietary high-fat diet. A significant decrease in the genus Aisciella was also observed in the Zocord group.
Pivotal phylotypes of gut microbiota corresponding to HDTO and TO supplementation and their correlation with obesity-related metabolism parameters

The LDA effect size (LEfSe) method was employed to analyze the 16s rRNA sequencing data to discern the specific altered bacterial phenotypes and biomarkers of HFD and the dietary intervention groups (Figure 6). The results suggest that the specific bacterial taxa identified from the phylum to the genus level were different for each group. HDTO or TO contributed fewer different abundant features in mice fed a high-fat diet plus tuna oil than only high-fat diet-fed mice. The phylum *Firmicutes* was the most important biomarker of HFD mice, showing a similar tendency to the results of analyses above. Furthermore, seven taxa that could represent the characteristics of dietary high-fat diet all belonged to *Firmicutes*, including *Clostridia*, *Clostridiales*, *Lachnoclostridium*, *Absiella*, *Clostridium_indolis*, *Absiellatortuosum*, and *Lactobacillustaiwanensis*. The HFD group was also characterized by a high number of the class *Deltaproteobacteria*, order *Desulfovibrionales*, family *Desulfovibrionaceae*, *Desulfovibrio*, *Alistipes*, and species *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*, *Alistipes putredinis*, *Millionella massiliensis*. Regarding the HDTO group, the bacteria were enriched in order *Corynebacteriales*, family *Corynebacteriaceae*, genus *Corynebacterium*, and family *Oscillospiraceae*, genus *Oscillibacter*. Regarding the TO group, the bacteria were enriched in the family...
|                | Control | HFD    | Zocord | HDTO | TO    |
|----------------|---------|--------|--------|------|-------|
| **g. Melibacterium** |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Lactobacillus**    |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Prevotellamaesilia**|        |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Lachnoclostridium** |        |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Terrisporobacter**  |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Kineothrix**        |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Bifidobacterium**   |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Bacteroides**       |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Ruminoclostridium** |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Helicobacter**      |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Roseburia**         |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Turicibacter**      |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Klebsiella**        |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Faecalibaculum**    |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Vallaitaeae**       |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Aliispea**          |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Desulfovibrionales**|         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Parabacteroides**   |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Millionella**       |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Anaerobacterium**   |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Pseudoflavonifractor** |     |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Oscillibacter**     |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Abiella**           |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Citrobacter**       |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Paraprevotellales**  |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Flintbacter**       |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Acetobacter**       |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Acetabacter**       |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. unclassified Ruminococcaceae** |    |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Oitsera**           |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Mucispirillum**     |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Adlercreutzia**     |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Cultromica**        |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Enterococcus**      |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Turicibacter**      |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Breznakia**         |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Enterorhabdus**     |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Maintella**         |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Acutalbacter**      |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Phocea**            |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Petroelia**         |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Prevotella**        |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Erysipelothrix**    |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Ruminococcus**      |         |        |        |      |       |
| **g. Anaerococcus**      |         |        |        |      |       |
| **Others**               |         |        |        |      |       |
FIGURE 5 Changes in the gut microbiota related to HDTO and TO supplementation. (a) Relative abundance of the bacterial profile at the phylum level. (b) Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes (F/B) ratio. (c) Heatmap of the relative abundance of the bacterial profile at the genus level. (d) Mean proportions of the significantly different genera in mice between different groups (Welch’s t test was performed to assess the difference between two groups). The data are shown as the means ± SEM, *p < .05.

The biomarkers at the species level in HFD-fed mice were identified using LDA scores. Compared with the control group, the HFD group increased the relative abundances of 44 species in total, in which 32 species belonged to Firmicutes, four species belonged to Actinobacteria, five species belonged to Bacteroidetes, one species belonged to Deferribacteres, and two species belonged to Proteobacteria. HDTO and TO supplementation effectively reversed most of them toward the control level (Figure 7b). Furthermore, the potential correlations among these significantly changed taxa in the gut microbiome and obesity-associated metabolism parameters were determined using Spearman’s correlation analysis. As illustrated in Figure 7a, Clostridium_viride and Eubacterium_siraema, Anaerobacterium chartisolvans, Muricomes_intestini and Natranaerovagia_pectinivora were positively related to weight and liver level, respectively. Five species, including Terrisporobacter_petrolearius, Lachnolaela_glycerini, Hungateiclostridium_thermocellum, Enterorhabdus_caeimuris B7, and Enterorhabdus_mucosica, were also positively correlated with the expression levels of serum MDA, liver TG and LDL-C, and the CPT-1 gene. Falcaminonas_natans and Vallitales_ponyensis were positively related to liver weight and serum TG. Millionella_massiliensis, Alistipes_putredinis, and Eisenbergiella_massiliensis were positively correlated with the expression levels of serum LDL-C, and ACC, HMGR, SREBP-2, and IL-6 genes in the liver. The three species Lachnoclostridium_pacense, Lactobacillus_reuteri DSM 20016, and Culturobacteria_massiliensis were significantly related to HMGR gene expression in the liver. The eight species Lactococcus_garvaii subsp. bovis, Lactobacillus_animalis, Kineothrix_alyoides, Bacteroides_xylanolyticus, Adlercreutzia_muris, Absiella_tortuosum, Clostridium_indolis, and Clostridium_sacharolyticum showed a positive correction with liver LDL-C. Desulfovibrio_desulfuricans and Lactobacillus_faecis were positively associated with the FAS gene in the liver. Erysipelothrix_larvae and Lactobacillus_taiwanensis were positively and negatively associated with body weight, FAS, and serum HDL-C, respectively. Alistipes_senegalensis JC50 was positively related to six parameters—serum LDL-C and the ACC, FAS, HMGR, SREBP-2, and IL-6 genes in the liver. The parameters positively related to Breznakia_blatticola were LDL-C and the ACC, FAS, SREBP-2, and IL-6 genes in the liver. Monoglobus_pectinilyticus was positively related to serum TG and liver MDA. Ruminococcus_lactaris ATCC 29176 was positively correlated with body weight, serum TG and MDA, and liver TG and HDL-C and was negatively correlated with serum HDL-C. However, Mucispirillum_schaedleri was negatively correlated with liver HDL-C, and Escherichia_fergusonii ATCC 35469 was concurrently negatively correlated with serum MDA and TG, HDL-C and CPT-1 genes in the liver. The above correlation was significant at p < .05.

3.5 | HDTO and TO supplementation alters the metabolic pathways

Because dietary HDTO resulted in the variation of the gut microbiota structure in high-fat diet consumption mice, the functional profiles related to HDTO supplementation should be further predicted. This prediction was performed by Tax4Fun, and 46 metabolic pathways were predicted at level 2. HFD consumption significantly regulated 14 metabolic pathways (Figure 8a), a very impressive changing rate, in which the upregulated pathways included infectious diseases: bacterial, cell growth and death, cellular community-prokaryotes, signal transduction, cell motility, neurodegenerative diseases and immune system; and the downregulated pathways were replication and repair, nucleotide metabolism, translation, drug resistance: Antimicrobial, biosynthesis of other secondary metabolites, carbohydrate metabolism, and transcription. Compared with the HFD group (Figure 8b,c), HDTO supplementation regulated five functional pathways. Cell growth and death, signal transduction and cellular community-prokaryotes were effectively downregulated and restored to the abundance level of the control group. Interestingly, dietary HDTO upregulated the pathways of level 2 involved in amino acid metabolism and global and overview maps (metabolic pathways, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, microbial metabolism in diverse environments, biosynthesis of antibiotics, carbon metabolism, 2-oxocarboxylic acid metabolism, fatty acid metabolism, degradation of aromatic compounds, and biosynthesis of amino acids in level 3).

4 | DISCUSSION

Obesity triggers many diseases, such as heart disease, dyslipidemia, cancer, and 2 type diabetes. In recent years, accumulating studies in animals or humans showed that dietary supplementation with n-3 PUFAs is a potentially feasible nutritional strategy to prevent obesity. Thus, many studies to date have exhibited positive effects of supplementation with fish oil containing EPA and DHA on obesity. However, the fish oils used in these studies were commercially available fish oil with a higher EPA content (Molinar-Toribio et al., 2015; Parker et al., 2019). Additional evidence has indicated the role of the ratios of DHA and EPA in the prevention and treatment of chronic disease in rat models (Liu et al., 2018; Molinar-Toribio et al., 2015). Furthermore, a study (Cottin et al., 2011) explored the known differential effects of EPA and DHA in human subjects and concluded that there is an
evident potency of DHA to improve several cardiovascular risk factors. Thus, fish oils with a higher content of DHA than EPA might have different health benefits compared with the high-EPA fish oil traditionally used. In this study, to fill this knowledge gap and extend the work of the currently available literature, tuna oil with an EPA/DHA ratio of 6:26 and its fractionated and concentrated oil (EPA/DHA = 6:34) were employed to gain a better understanding of the potential effects on obesity mitigation and gut microbiota in HFD mice.

As presented in this study, HDTO and TO supplementation effectively attenuated the features of obesity in high-fat diet-fed mice. The weight gain, liver weight, and body fat rate of the mice were significantly reduced accompanied by HDTO and TO consumption. Deterioration induced by HFD in most of the levels of lipid metabolism parameters in the serum and liver—TC, TG, LDL-C, and HDL-C—could be suppressed and even restored to the control level. Hence, regarding the variation in these parameters, HDTO and TO could improve the obesity feature in obese mice, and the overall treatment effect of HDTO was better than that of TO.

These improvements could be further demonstrated by the amelioration of lipid metabolism-related gene expression in high-fat diet-fed mice. Often, obesity is associated with lipid metabolism disorders. The liver is the center of fat metabolism, and, together with the gallbladder, it can achieve fat digestion. However, in the case of metabolic disorders, the above process cannot be carried out smoothly. Transfats
that are not digested will be accumulated and produced toxins, which not only affect the function of liver detoxification but also causes obesity, hyperlipidemia, or fatty liver. What factors cause these troubles? Accumulated evidence has indicated that hepatic gene expression involved in lipid metabolism can be altered by HFD-induced obesity. ACC is a rate-limiting enzyme for fatty acid synthesis, whose increased gene expression can promote fat synthesis; FAS can provide one stereified fatty acid substrate for triacylglycerol to result in the enhancement of fatty acid synthesis and accumulation of TG; HMGCR catalyzes the diminution of HMG-CoA to CoA and mevalonate, which is the rate restrictive reaction in the de novo synthesis of cholesterol; SREBP-2 is the prominent isoform supporting cholesterol synthesis and uptake; CPT-1 is the rate-limiting enzyme of fatty acid β-oxidation. The results of this study revealed that HDTO and TO intervention could significantly reverse the changes in the hepatic mRNA expression levels of ACC, FAS, HMGCR, SREBP-2, and CPT-1. Therefore, HDTO and TO might prevent body weight gain, fat accumulation, and increase lipid levels by suppressing adipogenic gene expression. Furthermore, considering the predicted metabolic pathways changed by HDTO and TO supplementation, the pathway of global and overview maps involved in metabolic pathways, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, microbial metabolism in diverse environments, carbon metabolism, 2-oxocarboxylic acid metabolism, and fatty acid metabolism was only upregulated by HDTO supplementation. Thus, we might infer that the reversed effect of the expression level of the ACC, FAS, HMGCR, SREBP-2, and CPT-1 genes of HDTO supplementation was better than that in TO was due to the upregulation of this pathway. Generally, obesity is characterized by a low-grade of inflammation, and IL-6 concentrations are often mildly elevated in obesity. The elevated mRNA expression levels of the pro-inflammatory molecule IL-6 in tuna oil-treated groups indicated the anti-inflammatory effect of HDTO and TO.

Gut dysbiosis is a critical factor in the development of obesity and metabolic syndrome. The modulation of gut microbiota has become a promising pharmacological approach in the prevention of various chronic diseases. According to previous reports, an increased richness in the gut microbial diversity is negatively correlated with obesity and various disease states (Ji et al., 2019; Sánchez et al., 2017), and obesity triggers an increase in the relative abundance of Bacteroidetes and a decrease in the relative abundance of Firmicutes. Obesity can be marked by this increased proportion of F/B. However, growing evidence has indicated that the gut microbiota composition and structure can be reshaped by the interaction between dietary components and intestinal microorganisms (Wang et al., 2019). HDTO and TO supplementation increase the alpha diversity of gut microbiota in HFD mice; PCoA analyses revealed a significant separation of microbiota communities between the HFD group and the two groups treated with HDTO and TO. Additionally, HDTO supplementation resulted in a further reduction in the F/B ratio. Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes are two main communities that affect energy metabolism homeostasis (Xu et al., 2017). A lower F/B ratio often reflects less energy extraction from the diet, and the interventions that prevented obesity in animals and humans are potent (Sasaki et al., 2013; Turnbaugh et al., 2006). HDTO and TO treatment could all mitigate the outgrowth of harmful bacteria (Desulfovibrio, Paraclostridium, and Terrisporobacter) caused by HFD. At the same time, TO treatment could also effectively suppress the increase in Millionella, Lachnoclostridium, Anaerobacterium, and Ruminoclostridium, which were reported to be potentially related to diet-induced obesity. Furthermore, the genera Parabacteroides and

FIGURE 8 Predicted metabolic profile of the stool microbiome after HDTO and TO supplementation. The 16S rRNA data were further analyzed as indicated by Tax4Fun. Statistical significance difference between two groups based on Welch’s t test in STAMP. (a) HFD versus Control at level II. (b) HFD versus HDTO at level II. (c) HFD versus HDTO at level III. The data are expressed as the means ± SEM.
Several studies have also evaluated the relationship between mucosal homeostasis and inflammation (Van de Velde et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2016). At the species level, as a potential diagnostic biomarker of dysbiosis, the increased relative abundance of *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* was associated with metabolic disorders and related inflammation (Sun et al., 2015; Weglarz et al., 2003). The *Desulfovibrio* genus is known to include a sulfate-reducing bacterium that can metabolize sulfate to produce hydrogen sulfide. The latter in the intestinal tract inhibits the metabolic pathway of intestinal epithelial cells using butyric acid, damages the intestinal epithelial mucosa, and induces chronic inflammation (Pitcher & Cummings, 1996).

According to our study, the relative abundance of this species was also positively correlated with FAS gene expression. Adipose FAS mRNA expression is significantly associated with obesity, predominantly visceral fat accumulation, impaired insulin sensitivity, and circulating adipokines (Berndt et al., 2007). However, the increased prevalence of this species by a high-fat diet was significantly attenuated by HDTO and TO administration, indicating the potential effects of HDTO and TO in the prevention of obesity and related metabolic disease. The other biomarker, *Alistipes putredinis*, belongs to the genus *Alistipes* and is positively related to the gene expression of FAS, HMGCR, SREBP-2, and IL-6 in the liver and serum LDL-C. As reported previously, *Alistipes* is a harmful microorganism, and its abundance is positively correlated with some obesity-related parameters, such as weight and serum TG and IL-6 gene expression (Kang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2015). These results indicate that the anti-obesity regulation of HDTO and TO is not only associated with lipid absorption but also with inflammatory immunity. Compared with *Alistipes putredinis* and *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*, knowledge about *Millionella massiliensis* is very sparse.

Similar to regulating taxonomic compositions, HDTO supplementation also modulated the functional profiling of intestinal microbial communities. HDTO feeding to HFD-fed mice might alter the arginine and proline metabolism of intestinal microbiota. Previous studies have demonstrated the critical role of arginine and proline in regulating gut mucosal homeostasis and inflammation (Van de Velde et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2016). Several studies have also evaluated the relationship between obesity and arginine and proline metabolism. The serum levels of proline and arginine have also been found in obese and hyperlipidemic adults (Li et al., 2016; Newgard et al., 2009). The major metabolic amino acid signature with the upregulation of arginine and proline was shown to be associated with obesity, insulin resistance, and lipid concentrations. L-ornithine and hydroxyproline, two key components in the pathway of arginine and proline metabolism, might be the vehicle of obesity (Moran-Ramos et al., 2017).

**5 CONCLUSION**

In summary, high-DHA tuna oil significantly ameliorated obesity and metabolic dysfunctions in mice fed a high-fat diet, and these anti-obesity effects might be mediated by gut microbiota. HDTO and TO markedly decreased the number of obesity-promoting bacteria, *Desulfovibrio*, *Paraeaggethelia*, *Terrisporobacter*, *Millionella*, *Lachnoclostridium*, *Anaerobacterium* and *Ruminoclostridium*, and restored the increase in the F/B ratio and specific regulation of community structure. Particularly, *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*, *Alistipes putredinis*, and *Millionella massiliensis*, as potential diagnostic biomarkers of dysbiosis, were dramatically increased in relative abundances by HDTO treatment. Furthermore, according to the prediction, the functional pathway of HDTO supplementation-regulated obesity might be arginine and proline metabolism in intestinal microbiota. Overall, the regulatory effect of HDTO occurred before that of TO. Tuna oil with a higher DHA content might be a promising therapeutic option in mitigating obesity. However, its quantitative usage and possible anti-obesity mechanisms need to be further clarified. Further understanding of the mechanisms that underlie microbial resilience toward external perturbations will be a crucial requirement for microbiome-directed precision treatment.
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