Abstract

As classical methods for semen analyses (i.e. motility, concentration, morphology, etc.) remains unsatisfactory in determining fertility outcomes in livestock animals, new methods based on proteomic markers have been recently introduced to analyze sperm quality in breeding centers. This review focuses on a sperm macromolecule, called proAKAP4 that have been successfully introduced as a pertinent indicator of sperm quality and fertility in mammals. Albeit absence or weak expression of AKAP4 have been described over the years as being related to sperm dysfunctions with motility impairments, proAKAP4 was under investigated up to recently due to the lack of reliable tools. Sandwich ELISA kits known as 4MID® kits have recently reached the artificial insemination markets and brought new highlights about the proAKAP4 master role in reproduction. Structurally, proAKAP4 must be processed to release the mature AKAP4, that is essential to coordinate major transduction signals regulating sperm motility and fertility. ProAKAP4 amount as quantified in ejaculated spermatozoa reflect the ability of spermatozoa to keep active and functional up to the site of fertilization. Any modification of proAKAP4 expression during spermatogenesis or modification of its metabolism will have consequence on sperm motility, sperm capacitation and fertility. Consolidated data from field studies have shown correlation between proAKAP4 expression with fertility and prolificity. We will review here literature data on proAKAP4 involvement in spermatozoa functions and results in animal fertility. The translation of fundamental discoveries around proAKAP4 sperm protein marker to a functional tool such as 4MID® kits will be beneficial in breeding industries and veterinarian practices.
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Introduction

From years now, investigations have been made to determine the fertilizing ability of ejaculated spermatozoa. No need to say that improving the classical methods to evaluate semen and predict fertility can result in significant economic savings for breeding industries and local breeders. Indeed, although artificial insemination is a very successful biotechnology, the breeding industry and breeders are facing major challenges associated with both male and female infertility. Progress advances issued from the fundamental researches around the male gamete have recently introduce biotechnology tools into livestock production. With proteins as actors of mobility and fertilization, being all produced before ejaculation of the spermatozoa, research studies were conducted to identify protein markers of sperm quality, fertility and/or prolificity. As proAKAP4 is a key protein in sperm physiology, the 4MID® technology (SPQI, Lille, France) that quantifies proAKAP4 within spermatozoa have found field applications in animal breeding.

In this review, we will first discuss the sperm quality methods used in research institute and in breeding centers before focusing on proAKAP4, the sperm protein marker through the newly 4MID® approach designed for sperm quality and fertility assessment.

Assessment of Sperm Quality: Still a Struggle

Main methods to assess sperm quality and their limits

Sperm quality are classically based on the so-called sperm parameters (Table 1). The main sperm parameters include sperm concentration, morphology, and motility before and after thawing and they are routinely assessed manually using a light microscope.
and a spectrophotometer. Since manual analysis is markedly slow and prone to within and between technician errors due to subjectivity, computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA) have become the most popular method over years to produce sperm doses or frozen straws for artificial insemination [1-3]. This morphological way of exploring semen quality may appear subjective from a naïve observer, where sample sizes are small (100 to 1000 spermatozoa counted and observed) from one ejaculate that compatibilized billions. Flow cytometry has been suggested to evaluate semen quality as they permit to study wider sperm populations. Flow cytometry as computer assisted sperm analyses allows parallel functional analysis such as sperm chromatin structure assay, measurements of oxidation levels and integrity of membranes including acrosomal, mitochondrial status and viable [4].

Table 1: Comparison of sperm quality assessment methods.

| Sperm Assement Method | Number of cell analyzed | Sperm Parameter | Test Duration | Number of samples |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| Macroscopic Analysis  | 1 ejaculate             | Color, Volume, Viscosity, Morphology | Less than 5min | 1 sample at the time |
| Spectrophotometry     | 10 to 100 billions      | Concentration   | Less than 5min | 1 sample at the time |
| CASA                  | 100 to 1000 cells       | Motility, Concentration, Morphology, Vitality | 10min. | 1 sample at the time |
| Flow Cytometry        | 10 000 à 20 000 cells   | Concentration, Morphology, Subpopulation, Debris | 20min Up to hours With dye staining | 1 sample at the time |
| Microscopy            | 10 to 100 cells         | Massale motility, Subpopulations, Morphology | Less than 5min Up to hours with dye/antibodies staining | 1 sample at the time |
| 4MID® Kit             | 100 000 cells           | ProAKAP4 is correlated with: Motility, Concentrations, Morphology (sperm abnormalities) Fertility, Prolificity | Less than h | 87 samples simultaneously |

However, this method is expensive, time-consuming and difficult to implement in the routine of semen production centers. In vitro tests have been developed to evaluate sperm functions, such as analyses of sperm morphology, the cervical mucus penetration assay and sperm-zona pellucida interaction test [2]. Metabolic assessment of sperm features or the analysis of reactive oxygen species that have been proved to negatively impact sperm survival and fertility through their actions on lipid membrane structures, bringing great hope at the fundamental point of view [5]. These methods are interesting to understand, and open new pathways implicated in sperm physiology. Even if they are so-called fertility assays, they assess the defaults that affect the functionality of spermatozoa (such capacitation, acrosome reaction, sperm-oocyte interaction) but they do not yet predict fertility [2,6]. Up to day, they are mainly restrained to experimental approaches of sperm quality, and not adapted yet to the daily-routine sperm processing industries.

Although semen with high concentrations and high percentages of total and progressive motile spermatozoa are selected, they remain unsatisfactory to predict the fertility rate [1-2,7]. Objective criteria and their specific impacts on fertility are needed for a better understanding of the causes of poor-quality semen. Then, there still exists significant fertility differences among livestock animals, and low/hypofertile males are unknowingly used to inseminate a multitude of females until the reproductive status of the male can be established. Furthermore, in intensive breeding sectors, the identification of animals with the best semen quality is imperative to reduce the costs of raising animals. Both breeders and breeding companies are aware of these problems around sperm quality assessment and, without losing their genetic objectives, are constantly seeking out new tools and technologies to predict male fertility more accurately in order to offer high fertility sperm. Investigations of proteomic markers within the spermatozoa should clearly help to design functional tests that can reliably predict the highest fertility animals or their semen prior to breeding.

**Quantifying a Protein Actor as a Functional Test of Sperm Quality and Fertility**

Sperm macromolecules are primary indicators of sperm physiology and by understanding the intricate cell biology of male gamete, should help to predict sperm quality, functionality and fertility. Sperm proteins play important roles in support of motility which includes providing energy, structure, signaling, binding to the oocyte, acrosome reaction and nuclear functions [2,7]. From years now, investigators have struggled to identify semen quality parameters that will predict the fertility outcomes. Sperm triosephosphate isomerase and seminal plasma glutathione peroxidase were shown to be present in higher amounts in low-quality semen and were negatively associated with sperm motility in boars [8]. Sperm from high fertility bulls exhibited higher levels of proteins Enolase 1, Apoptosis-stimulating of p53 protein 2, and Phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxide, whereas sperm from
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low fertility bulls had elevated levels of voltage dependent anion channel 2 (VDAC2) and ubiquinol-cytochrome-c reductase complex core protein 2 (UQRC2) [9]. More recently, high concentrations of seminal plasma PSP-I and cathepsin B in boar seminal plasma were shown to be associated with reduced total and progressive sperm motility [10].

The identification of these protein markers makes recently possible the development of new biotechnological and proteomics tools and methods for evaluating ejaculate’s quality with fertility potential. Indeed, proAKAP4 is one of fertility associated protein marker that have been identified more than 30 years from now and have been today developed as a robust tool as we will described below. With the 4MID® kits, that quantify the proAKAP4 is fresh as in frozen semen, breeders have today a valuable tool to evaluate semen quality and predict fertility in bull and swine [11,12]. This newly approach to evaluate semen quality and fertility is based on a protein marker detected through a sandwich ELISA kit format that analyze up to 87 semen simultaneously (Table 1). With high specific antibodies specific of the sperm marker, this functional test show now no interferences described with seminal proteins, bloods or other chemical elements in extenders or cryopreservation. Besides of being simple and cost effective, the 4MID® kits and the information provided by such a test is a reliable and easy to interpret, providing a follow up of sperm quality inside and between breeding centers, and during the animal career. The 4MID® kits represent today a good example of how biotechnology tools can get into routine as innovative and pertinent tools for breeders.

**Prokap4 Concentrations as an Indicator of Sperm Quality and Fertility**

**What is proAKAP4?**

ProAKAP4 is the precursor of the AKAP4 protein with an extra sequence of the protein called the prodomain resulting from the full gene translation [13-15]. The proAKAP4 (Akap4) gene is located at Xp 11.22 locus of the short arm of the human X chromosome [16]. The proAKAP4 is a highly conserved molecule in between species from reptiles such as lizard and crocodile through all mammals and sharing more than 70% of homology [17-19]. The AKAP4 is largely known as the sperm specific member of the large family of the A-kinase anchor family of protein [15,20]. AKAP family members are all sharing the property to bind to the regulatory subunit of protein kinase A (PKA) and to confine the active holoenzyme to discrete cellular localizations [15,20-22]. Both the precursor and active protein are found in spermatozoa and the precursor proAKAP4 will exist then in spermatozoa as a storage form of the AKAP4 active molecule (Figure 1).

As ejaculated spermatozoa are transcriptionally inactive, the proAKAP4 is fully synthetized in testis and before ejaculation. Interestingly the Akap4 gene is only expressed in the postmeiotic phase of spermatogenesis at the round tide stage [13-23]. In mice, AKAP4 is detected in the cytoplasm and flagellum from step 14 condensing spermatids (stages II–III) [13]. Both the precursor and the mature form of AKAP4 are strictly localized to the principal piece of the flagellum and not in other spermatozoa compartments [23]. Moreover, proAKAP4 and AKAP4 are tightly anchored to the fibrous sheath and are present in the longitudinal columns and ribs of the sperm tail [14]. Both forms of AKAP4 account for nearly half the protein in mouse sperm fibrous sheaths [24]. This specific and restricted localization is necessary to AKAP4 functions. The proAKAP4 precursor has to be processed to release the AKAP4 functional protein that in turn is integrated in the fibrous sheath of the principal piece of the flagellum together with other components of the fibrous sheath such as the fibrous sheath interacting protein 1 and 2. The mature AKAP4 recruits then protein kinase A holoenzyme (PKA) to the fibrous sheath and facilitates local phosphorylation of substrates to regulate flagellar function and spermatozoa motility [20,22,25].

Today more than 160 references citing AKAP4 in reproductive field can be found in database such as PubMed. In the literature, AKAP4 is also referred as AKAP82, PRKA (Protein Kinase a Anchoring Protein 4), CT99 (Cancer/Testis Antigen 99), H1, p82, and also FSC1 (Fibrous Sheath Component 1) when AKAP4 was initially identified. Altogether, they highlight the master roles of proAKAP4 and AKAP4 in spermatozoa flagellar structure and functions.

**ProAKAP4 and spermatogenesis quality**

The spermatogenesis is a series of events during which spermatogonial stem cells undergo divisions to form haploid round spermatids and then transformation into elongated mature sperm having a head and tail up to the mature spermatozoa that are ejaculated. ProAKAP4 is expressed only from the spermatid levels and not found at earliest stages [13,26]. The full stock of proAKAP4 in each individual spermatozoon is made before ejaculation, as spermatozoa are transcriptionally inactive. In contrast, AKAP4 amount will be modulated according the initial stock of proAKAP4 and its
ProAKAP4 and Motility

The sperm motility plays a central and major role in male fertility. Sperm motility is driven by the flagellum of spermatozoa which motion produces the motility though male and female genital tracts. Sperm motility can be split into two different types of motility, progressive motility and hypermotility which are distinguished by two mode of flagella beating. With progressive motility symmetrical waves are propagating along the flagellum leading to a linear propulsion [30]. Hypermotility as the result of hyperactivation is associated with asymmetrical waves down the flagellum with higher amplitude and associated with circular trajectories [30]. These types of motions are achieved via proteins that are energy related enzymes, both structural, and signaling actors. In the literature, there are several important sperm proteins necessary for sperm motility that have been identified. For instance, the Sperm Outer dense fiber protein 2 (ODF2) has been shown to play critical roles in sperm motility in the mouse [31]. In the complex molecular machinery that regulates sperm motility, AKAP4 has been shown to be an essential structural protein of the flagellum that regulate cyclic AMP, calcium-dependent PKA and PKC signaling [22,25]. There are many reports of AKAP4 being essential to coordinate the signal transduction of PKA to motor proteins such as dyneins and thus promote the sliding of microtubule doublets of the axoneme [22,26]. Any modification of proAKAP4 expression or metabolism will have consequence on sperm motility and then on fertility. Around 10% of more than 400 knockout mouse models showing male fertility have spermatozoa with impaired motility and/or sperm flagellum structural abnormalities [7]. Absence or weak expression of proAKAP4 and AKAP4 has been shown to be associated with a weak sperm motility and fibrous sheath dysplasia [32-34]. In AKAP4 knockout mice, spermatozoa are immotile and infertile [32]. In a cohort of 245 men consulting for men infertility, proAKAP4/AKAP4 were shown to be correlated with sperm motility [35,36]. More recently, proAKAP4 concentrations have been shown to correlate with total and progressive motility in post-thawed semen of stallion [37]. The amounts of proAKAP4 as quantified with the 4MID® kits may reflect the number of spermatozoa within ejaculate doses that keep motile up to the oocyte and fertilize.

ProAKAP4 and Capacitation

Capacitation is a cascade of molecular events leading to the acrosome reaction and hypermotility which occur in the sperm during ascending of the female reproductive tract [38]. The hyperactivation observed during capacitation included an upsurge of intracellular calcium and abundant tyrosine phosphorylation of flagellar proteins which are also involved in complex signaling cascade of events between cAMP/PKA and tyrosine kinase/phosphatase [39,40]. Both proAKAP4 and AKAP4 are flagellar substrates of phosphorylation by serine/threonine- and tyrosine-kinases in a capacitation-dependent manner in the spermatozoa [41-43]. Recently AKAP4 was identified in capacitated crocodile spermatozoa thus showing that this phenomenon of physiological capacitation is also occurring in spermatozoa of old Australian Salt Crocodiles [19] and this mechanism is then well-preserved in all animal species. Indeed, both proAKAP4 and AKAP4 are largely described to become heavily tyrosine and serine phosphorylated during capacitation and then appears as valuable marker for the capacitation status of spermatozoa in all mammals including bull [41-47].

ProAKAP4, Fertility and Prolificity

ProAKAP4 expression has been associated with high phenotype fertility and appears today as a pertinent and innovative approach to assess fertility. Proteomics is clearly powerful approach to determine changes of protein expression or protein post-translational modifications between different conditions such as sperm parameters or sperm from animals with different status of fertility. Sperm from high fertility bulls were shown to have a higher expression of proAKAP4 than bulls with low fertile sperms [48]. ProAKAP4 expression was lowered in men with non-fertilizing sperm although sperm parameters were normal [49]. Processing of proAKAP4 allow AKAP4 to bind to other molecules such as AKAP3 and enolase 1, that are both reported to as fertility associated proteins. For instance, Enolase 1 (ENO1) have also been identified in sperm from high fertility bulls [9,50]. ProAKAP4 and AKAP4 expression has been associated with a better fertility of sperm in mammals [47-49,51,52]. ProAKAP4 as a sperm protein marker associated with high fertility potential should find applications for breeding activities especially if not linked to sophisticated and expensive techniques to be set up in lab quality control. Clearly, the fertilizing ability of ejaculated spermatozoa that can nowadays be evaluate though the 4MID® kit, that quantify expressing levels of proAKAP4.

Furthermore, recent data have shown that high proAKAP4 sperm concentration is an indicator of prolificity. Prolificity is matter of concern in boar breeding centers. Once more proteomics is a valuable method to address the question of whether the litter size resulting from artificial insemination could be underpinned by qualitative or quantitative modifications of the sperm proteome. Several protein markers related to prolificity have been identified after induction of capacitation in vitro [53]. However, this type of research approach is not fitting to the semen production breeding centers. In contrast, the methodology under 4MID® kits, mean-
Protein concentrations were obtained with these doses with high proAKAP4 concentrations (Table 2). ProAKAP4 concentrations are then pertinent to predict fertility and litter size. Consequently, the use of the 4MID® kit (Table 3) could improve rentability in artificial insemination centers.

Table 2: proAKAP4 and fertility in boars.

| Sows | Total Births | Pig 4MID® ProAKAP4 (ng / 10 million spermatozoa) | Fertility Index |
|------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 71   | 882          | 7.8% < 42                                     | 1137           |
| 68   | 1011         | 8.8% > 45                                     | 1311           |

Table 3: ProAKAP4 and prolificity in boars.

| Pig 4MID® ProAKAP4 (ng / 10 millions spermatozoa) | Prolificity |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------|
| < 42                                            | 12.57 ± 1.19 |
| > 45                                            | 14.62 ± 1.02 |
| ± 2.05 piglets                                  |            |

Concluding Remarks

Investigations of molecular actors through proteomic methods have help lately to underline mechanisms of male fertility and are generating new methods to improve semen quality and predict fertility. The proAKAP4 precursor is a key protein that is playing crucial roles in sperm physiology. The fertilization ability of ejaculated spermatozoa that can nowadays be evaluated through the 4MID® kits, that quantify expression levels of proAKAP4 in ejaculate and/or doses. Measuring proAKAP4 level of expressions should generate pertinent information to guide the prognosis of male fertility and prolificity in breeding activities. The 4MID® kits represent a good example of how biotechnology and proteomics tools can get into routine as an innovative tool for breeders. Such examples could lead to the development of other innovative markers to improve sperm quality assessment in competitive breeding industries, animal reproduction clinics and veterinarian practices and being a breakthrough in the field of artificial insemination.
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