Uplift and Expansion of the North Qilian Shan Recorded by Detrital Fission Tracks in the Jiudong Basin, NW China
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The North Qilian Shan, located in the northeastern front of the Tibetan Plateau, is an ideal region to study the expansion process of the plateau, which is not clearly revealed due to the lack of direct evidence and an accurate age control. In the Jiudong Basin (foreland basin of the North Qilian Shan), a continuous late Cenozoic sedimentary sequence and a reliable chronostratigraphic framework (post-7 Ma) provide us the material to study this process. In this study, we first analyzed the provenance changes of the sediment by detrital apatite fission track age distributions and apatite particle textures. The result shows that the first provenance change occurred at 4.6–3.6 Ma, when the sediment source changed from the southern to the northern parts of the North Qilian Shan, and it indicates that the North Qilian Shan Fault had propagated to its modern location. The second provenance change occurred at 3.0–2.4 Ma, at when the Yumu Shan and its south region began to provide sediments for the Jiudong Basin, and it indicates that the tectonic deformation in the North Qilian Shan had expanded to the North Yumu Shan Fault. Our finding suggests that two significant expansion events happened since the Pliocene for the North Qilian Shan.
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INTRODUCTION

The collision of the Indian and Eurasian plates caused the uplift and expansion of the Tibetan Plateau, which has a profound impact on the geomorphic evolution of Asia and the global climate change (e.g., Molnar et al., 1993; An et al., 2001; Pan et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2011). The northeastern margin (the Qilian Shan) of the Tibetan Plateau represents the most-front for the ongoing expansion (Tapponnier et al., 2001), and how this margin grows or expands is key to understanding the formation dynamics for the entire plateau (Burchfiel et al., 1989; Métivier et al., 1998; Yin and Harrison, 2000; Clark, 2012). Although the intense uplift of the Qilian Shan that began at 15–10 Ma is supported by multiple evidences (Zheng et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2020; Zhuang et al., 2018; Pang et al., 2019a; Pang et al., 2019b), the detailed expanding process is still in debate (e.g., Cheng et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2021). Especially for the northward expanding of the North Qilian Shan (e.g., Tapponnier et al., 1990; Zheng et al., 2013), several studies tried to answer this question. From apatite fission track (AFT) age distribution across the main range, Pang et al. (2019a) suggest a sequence of thrust faults prograde to the north since Late Miocene (~10 Ma). To the north of the main range, paleomagnetic studies on the exposed Cenozoic strata in the Jiuxi Basin suggest...
that the thrust system started to develop in the basin during 4.9–2.6 Ma (Fang et al., 2005) or at ~3.0 Ma (Chen et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2017). In the Jiudong Basin, Plio-Quaternary deformation of the Yumu Shan is also indicated by coarse-grained sediments at 3.0 Ma (Hu et al., 2019a), the faster cooling at ~4.0 Ma from AFT thermal modeling (Wang et al., 2018), the estimation of 4.6 to 2.0 Ma by dividing the structural relief with the current fault slip rate (Palumbo et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2019b), and the unconformity between 0.8 and 0.9 Ma (Liu et al., 2011). The frame of a northward sequence for the thrust fault across the North Qilian Shan has been found; however, the clear process is still not revealed. This fact is mostly due to a lack of direct evidence from the range, such as the thermochronology method, and the Plio-Quaternary uplift is insufficient to expose complete annealing rocks to the surface (George et al., 2001; Jolivet et al., 2001). It is also due to the great uncertainty in calculating uplift time by recent deformation rate and in estimating the age for the unconformity where the material for dating is missing due to erosion. Therefore, direct evidence and accurate age control are needed to reveal a continuous expansion process of the North Qilian Shan.

In the Jiudong Basin, previous research obtained a continuous late Cenozoic (post-7 Ma) sedimentary sequence from the MH drill core, and it provided a detailed lithostratigraphic and chronostratigraphic framework for the sediments (Hu et al., 2019a). This provides us an ideal material to find the direct evidence for the northward expansion of the range. In this study, detrital AFT ages (Gallagher et al., 1998; Garver et al., 1999; Bernet, 2001) and apatite particle textures are used for a provenance study, and then we use the change of the provenance to reveal the expansion process of the North Qilian Shan from late Miocene to the Pliocene.

**Geological Setting**

In the northern front of the Qilian Shan, the foreland basins extend southeast to northwest with a length of 1,000 km, and the basin is divided into several sub-basins, the Jiuan, the Minle, and the Wuwei basins (EGPGY0, 1989; Fang et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2016). The Jiuan basin can be separated by the Wensu Shan into two parts, the Jiudong Basin in the east and the Juxi Basin in the west. The Jiudong Basin has been a depressed area since the Neogene and is filled with >3,000-m-thick terrigenous clastic sediments (Li and Yang, 1998; Bovet et al., 2009). Along the southern edge of the basin close to the Qilian Shan, Cenozoic sedimentary rocks overlie Paleozoic meta-sedimentary rocks. Cenozoic sediments can be divided into the following stratigraphic formations (Fang et al., 2005): Eocene–Oligocene Huoshaoqou formation and Oligocene Baiyanghe formation, consisting of fluviolacustrine red beds with fine conglomerate to mudstone intercalated by playa gypsum beds; Miocene Shulehe formation, consisting of fluviolacustrine gray and brown fine conglomerate, sandstone, and siltstone; the Quaternary Yumen conglomerates, Jiuan conglomerates, and Gobi conglomerates from bottom to top. The Quaternary conglomerates are characterized by poor roundness in general. MH drill core (392°747.5°N, 9926°00.1°E, elevation: 1,387 m) is located at the eastern Jiudong Basin and downstream of the Maying River and the Bailang River. The drilling depth was 554 m, and the sediments are dominated by sand and silt, with occasional interbedded layers of medium-coarse sands, gravels, or clays. The sedimentary sequence of MH drill core could be divided into four stratigraphic units based on lithology, sedimentary texture, structure, and their vertical associations (Hu et al., 2019a). Unit 1 (554–465.3 m, 7.0–5.2 Ma) is dominated by clay silt, silt, and sandy silt, which are intercalated with thin and medium bedded sand layers. The facies assemblage suggests the depositional environment is a delta front or shallow lake. Unit 2 (465.3–395.6 m, 5.2–4.1 Ma) mainly consists of alternating layers of thin to medium bedded silt and sand. This unit is interpreted as a delta plain or littoral lake facies. Unit 3 (395.6–292.5 m, 4.1–3.0 Ma) consists of alternating layers of medium to thick bedded sand and silt, and this unit is interpreted as meandering river facies. The unit 4 (292.5–0 m, 3.0–0 Ma) is dominated by thick sand layers intercalated with several medium to thick bedded gravel layers and thin layers of clay and silt. It is inferred that this unit was deposited within a braided river environment (Hu et al., 2019a).

The thick late Cenozoic deposition in Jiuan basin is controlled by the uplift and erosion of the Qilian Shan (Figure 1A, B). The Qilian Shan had experienced a long and complex tectonic history, including early Paleozoic oceanic sutures and continental collision events during the late Paleozoic, Mesozoic, and Cenozoic intraplate orogeny events (Li, 2003; Yang et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2019). The Cenozoic deformation process of the Qilian Shan started to occur in the early Cenozoic (Yin et al., 2002), with rapid exhumation during the Oligocene (Wan et al., 2011; Zhuang et al., 2018) and the Miocene (Wang et al., 2017; Zhuang et al., 2018). Stratigraphy studies suggest that the Qilian Shan expanded southwards to the Qaidam basin during 12–7 Ma (Wang et al., 2017; Pang et al., 2019b). At the north part of the Qilian Shan, a growing number of low-temperature thermochronology evidence indicate that significant orogeny began in the Miocene (Zheng et al., 2010; Baotian et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2017; Pang et al., 2019a; Yu et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020). The conglomerate accumulation in the south Jiuan basin during 4.5–0.9 Ma or 3.6–0.9 Ma (Zhao et al., 2001; Fang et al., 2005) suggests that the North Qilian Shan had reached a high topographic relief in Pliocene. The basin-ward growth of the deformation system to the north of the main range is represented by the uplift of the Yumu Shan that began at 2.5–4 Ma (Palumbo et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2019a; Hu et al., 2019b) and the formation of the Laojunmiao Antcline that began at 3.66 Ma (Fang et al., 2005). The evidence probably indicated that the intense uplift of the Qilian Shan started during the Miocene and the mountains began to expand to the foreland basin from late Miocene to the Pliocene. However, due to the lack of direct evidence, the specific uplift and expansion process of the Qilian Shan are not clear.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, we adopted the external detector method (Gleadow and Lovering, 1977) to obtain the AFT age of the sample. The standard equation to calculate the age is

\[ t = \frac{1}{\lambda_d} \ln \left[ \frac{\lambda_d \phi \sigma I_{f} \rho_{i} + 1}{\lambda_f \rho_{i}} \right] \]

In Eq. 1, \( \lambda_d \) is the total decay constant of \(^{238}\)U \((\lambda_d = 1.55125 \times 10^{-10} \text{a}^{-1}, \text{Steiger and Jäge, 1977})\), \( \lambda_f \) is the spontaneous fission constant, \( \rho_{i} \) is the spontaneous fission track density, \( \rho_{f} \) is the induced fission track density, and \( I \) is the ratio of \(^{235}\)U and \(^{238}\)U under natural conditions, and has a value of \( 7.2527 \times 10^{-3} \) (Cowan and Adler, 1976). \( \phi \) is the thermal neutron flux; \( \sigma \) is the effective area irradiated by thermal neutrons, as a constant. Among the aforementioned parameters, only the values of \( \lambda_d \) and \( I \) are universally recognized, but the value of \( \lambda_f \) is still controversial at present. Besides, it is very difficult to determine the thermal neutron flux \( \phi \). Therefore, zeta (\( \zeta \)) parameter calibration was proposed to calculate the AFT age (Hurford and Green, 1981). This method could eliminate the
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**FIGURE 1**

(A) Topography of North Qilian Shan and the Hexi Corridor basin. Also shown is the distribution of major active faults, NQF—North Qilian Fault and NYF—North Yumu Shan Fault (Yuan et al., 2013), and major rivers. The numbers in the rectangles show AFT ages and the lithology unit where the sample was taken from: Pt—Proterozoic, Pz—Paleozoic, O—Ordovician, S—Silurian, D—Devonian, Mz—Mesozoic, T—Triassic, J—Jurassic, and N—Tertiary. (B) Simplified geologic map of the Jiudong Basin and the surrounding area (modified from the 1:500,000 geologic map of China).
error caused by the uncertainty of thermal neutron irradiation and spontaneous fission track decay constant $\lambda_f$.

In Eq. 1, let $\zeta = \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_d}$, then Eq. 1 can be transformed into equation

$$t = \frac{1}{\lambda_d} \ln \left( \frac{\lambda_d \zeta \rho_d^2}{\rho_i^2} + 1 \right) \tag{2}$$

Put the standard apatite sample with a certain age of $t_{STD}$ into the reactor together with a uranium glass detector, and thermal neutron irradiation produced induced fission track in the standard apatite and uranium glass detector. Calculate the spontaneous fission track density A and the induced fission track density B of the standard apatite sample and the fission track density of uranium glass detector. The value of $\zeta$ could be obtained by equation

$$\zeta = \frac{e^{\rho_d^2 t_{STD}} - 1}{\lambda_d(\rho_i^2/\rho_d^2)_{STD}} \tag{3}$$

Substituting the obtained zeta ($\zeta$) parameter into Eq. 2, we could calculate the AFT age of the geological sample.

In this study, we collected 10 samples at different depths from the MH core. These samples are taken from different sedimentary facies or at the position where the facies significantly change. By correlating to the magnetostratigraphic analysis (Hu et al., 2019a), the depositing age for each sample is determined and they range from 5.9 to 0.045 Ma. Each sample mainly consists of fine and medium sands and has a weight of 2–3 kg. All samples were collected from the sand layers. The bulk sample was washed and separated by magnetic and heavy liquid to purify heavy minerals, and then pure apatite crystals were manually picked. The selected apatite crystals were mounted on a slide with epoxy resin and polished to expose their internal surface. The polished mount was etched with HNO$_3$ for 20 s at 21°C to reveal the spontaneous fission track. All samples were dated by the external detector method (Hurford and Green, 1981); low-U mica external detectors covered the standard sample (Durango apatite with age of 31.4 ± 0.5 Ma), apatite mounts, and glass dosimeters (IRMM-540R), and then they were irradiated by the reactor at Oregon State University. After irradiation, mica detectors were etched in 40% HF at 20°C for 40 min to reveal the induced fission tracks. Tracks were measured using the Auto scan Professional Automated System in the Key Laboratory of Western China’s Environmental Systems (Ministry of Education), Lanzhou University. Spontaneous and induced fission track densities of all samples (including standard samples) and fission track densities of uranium glass detectors were calculated. The zeta ($\zeta$) parameter was calculated as $328.24 \pm 1.24$, and then we used it to obtain AFT ages of all samples.

Because the clastic deposits mostly come from multiple provenances, the AFT central age of detrital sample would be a mixed age, which does not have clear geological significance (Braun et al., 2006). For a bulk age data, the p ($\chi^2$) test (Galbraith and Green, 1990) is performed to determine whether there are unique or multiple age components. Samples fail the p ($\chi^2$) test, the p ($\chi^2$) probability less than 5%, indicating that the sample contains several age components. In such case, Density Plotter (Spencer et al., 2014), a program integrated mathematical fitting method, could be used to obtain the best-fitting age peaks of different components. The lag time, which is defined as the AFT fitting age peak minus the depositional age of sample (Garver et al., 1999), was introduced to measure the time from closure depth in the range to the deposit region of basin (Bernet, 2001). Generally, progressively shortened lag time indicates enhanced tectonic activity and accelerated exhumation rate of the source region, whereas constant lag time indicates that the exhumation rate of the source region is steady. Another special case is that a stable or decreasing lag time increases suddenly over a short period, and the depositional age corresponding to the overturn point could be the time when the sediment recycled (Garver et al., 1999; Zheng et al., 2017).

In general, unweathered euhedral apatite particle is a hexagonal column; the shape is column or regular hexagon under the microscope. For terrigenous clastic sediments, the roundness and surface textures of apatite particles will change due to abrasion, mechanical crushing, and chemical weathering (Liu, 1980; Zhu, 2008; Andò et al., 2012). The roundness of detrital apatite particles usually becomes better with the increase of transporting distance and time, and the content of the euhedral particles decreases. Aiming to identify source differences for the samples, we measured the number of euhedral apatite particles and the roundness of apatite particles of each sample under the microscope (Figure 2), according to the catalog for optical analysis of corrosion of heavy minerals (Andò et al., 2012) and the roundness scale for sedimentary particles (Powers, 1953). The number of total measured apatite particles were greater than 75 in most samples, except MH1(36), MH4(58), and MH7(51).

RESULTS

The AFT age results of 10 samples are shown in Table 1. The single grain AFT ages of all samples range from 35 to 324.5 Ma, and most of them were distributed in the range of 100–180 Ma. According to the age distribution, the samples can be divided into three sections (Figure 3D). The lower section includes two samples from 5.9 to 4.6 Ma, and AFT ages are mostly distributed in 60–160 Ma; the middle section includes three samples from 3.6 to 3.0 Ma, and AFT ages are mostly distributed in 100–180 Ma; and the upper section includes five samples from 2.4 to 0 Ma, and AFT ages have a wider distribution in 60–300 Ma. After 3.0 Ma, apatite grains with AFT age older than 200 Ma began to emerge. The apatite grain roundness (Figure 3E) and crystal morphology (Figure 3F) also show the change. From 5.9 to 4.6 Ma, apatite particles have moderate roundness (with certain number of very-rounded particles), and the euhedral apatite particle content is about 7%. During 3.6–3.0 Ma, apatite particles have worse roundness (with large amount of sub-angular particles and no very-round particles), and the content of euhedral apatite particles is the highest of 10–12%. From 2.4 Ma to present, the five samples have best roundness (rounded particles dominant and with very-round particles), and the content of euhedral apatite particles is the lowest at 3–5%.
P(χ²) test result shows that eight of 10 samples did not pass the χ² test (Figure 4), suggesting they have multiple age components and provenances. For the two samples passing the test, MH6 and MH8, we considered that they contain only one age component. Although the sample MH7 did not pass the P (χ²) test, its age distribution is relatively narrower and most age data are concentrated in the range similar to MH6 and MH8, and thus it can be grouped with MH6 and 8, which have a single provenance.

We decomposed the observed age distributions into four best-fitting age component peaks, P1 (52.6–70.3 Ma), P2 (103–121 Ma), P3 (129–144 Ma), and P4 (>158 Ma). After the decomposition, the different age component combination for these samples (Table 1) shows a similar pattern as previous separation of the three parts (Figure 3). The four age components also suggest that these apatite grains in the basin sediment came from four different sources.

Since the content of P3 and P4 component is relatively older, which may have undergone recycles in the geological process, it cannot reflect the recent uplifting process of the mountain. Therefore, we only consider the younger components to analyze the pattern of the lag time. As shown in Figure 5, the lag time of P1 and P2 showed a trend of decreasing during 5.9–2.4 Ma and a trend of increasing since 2.4 Ma. The depositional age corresponding to the inflection point is 2.4 Ma, suggesting that the previous sediments were recycled.

**DISCUSSION**

**The Provenance Changes of the Sediment**

The bottom of the MH core is 554 m, considered the geothermal gradient of Jiuquan basin that ranged 25–30°C/km (Ren et al., 2000), and the temperature of the sediment is far from the depth of annealing for AFT of 60–120°C (Wagner et al., 1989). Thus, we believe that all samples in this study did not suffer annealing after deposition, and their AFT ages reflect the cooling age for the provenance of the sediment.

Modern drainage pattern in the Jiudong Basin (Figure 1B) indicates that the modern river for transporting sediments into the MH core includes the Maying River, two smaller rivers to the east of the Maying River, and probably the Bailang River. According to previous studies in the basin sediment (Pan et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2019a), no sediment from the Heihe basin could have reached the MH core. Therefore, we consider the 10-apart MH samples are from different sources, and P1 and P2 could be grouped into two types of sediments. Since the two samples passing the test, MH6 and MH8, we considered that they contain only one age component. Although the sample MH7 did not pass the P (χ²) test, its age distribution is relatively narrower and most age data are concentrated in the range similar to MH6 and MH8, and thus it can be grouped with MH6 and 8, which have a single provenance.

We decomposed the observed age distributions into four best-fitting age component peaks, P1 (52.6–70.3 Ma), P2 (103–121 Ma), P3 (129–144 Ma), and P4 (>158 Ma). After the decomposition, the different age component combination for these samples (Table 1) shows a similar pattern as previous separation of the three parts (Figure 3). The four age components also suggest that these apatite grains in the basin sediment came from four different sources.

Since the content of P3 and P4 component is relatively older, which may have undergone recycles in the geological process, it cannot reflect the recent uplifting process of the mountain. Therefore, we only consider the younger components to analyze the pattern of the lag time. As shown in Figure 5, the lag time of P1 and P2 showed a trend of decreasing during 5.9–2.4 Ma and a trend of increasing since 2.4 Ma. The depositional age corresponding to the inflection point is 2.4 Ma, suggesting that the previous sediments were recycled.

**DISCUSSION**

**The Provenance Changes of the Sediment**

The bottom of the MH core is 554 m, considered the geothermal gradient of Jiuquan basin that ranged 25–30°C/km (Ren et al., 2000), and the temperature of the sediment is far from the depth of annealing for AFT of 60–120°C (Wagner et al., 1989). Thus, we believe that all samples in this study did not suffer annealing after deposition, and their AFT ages reflect the cooling age for the provenance of the sediment.

Modern drainage pattern in the Jiudong Basin (Figure 1B) indicates that the modern river for transporting sediments into the MH core includes the Maying River, two smaller rivers to the east of the Maying River, and probably the Bailang River. According to previous studies in the basin sediment (Pan et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2019a), no sediment from the Heihe basin could have reached the MH core. Therefore, we consider the 10-apart MH samples are from different sources, and P1 and P2 could be grouped into two types of sediments. Since the two samples passing the test, MH6 and MH8, we considered that they contain only one age component. Although the sample MH7 did not pass the P (χ²) test, its age distribution is relatively narrower and most age data are concentrated in the range similar to MH6 and MH8, and thus it can be grouped with MH6 and 8, which have a single provenance.

We decomposed the observed age distributions into four best-fitting age component peaks, P1 (52.6–70.3 Ma), P2 (103–121 Ma), P3 (129–144 Ma), and P4 (>158 Ma). After the decomposition, the different age component combination for these samples (Table 1) shows a similar pattern as previous separation of the three parts (Figure 3). The four age components also suggest that these apatite grains in the basin sediment came from four different sources.

Since the content of P3 and P4 component is relatively older, which may have undergone recycles in the geological process, it cannot reflect the recent uplifting process of the mountain. Therefore, we only consider the younger components to analyze the pattern of the lag time. As shown in Figure 5, the lag time of P1 and P2 showed a trend of decreasing during 5.9–2.4 Ma and a trend of increasing since 2.4 Ma. The depositional age corresponding to the inflection point is 2.4 Ma, suggesting that the previous sediments were recycled.
River was deposited in the MH core, so the source of the deposition can be constrained to the regions ranging from the Maying to the Bailang. During the recent period, 2.4–0 Ma, the AFT age distribution shows a wide range and multiple sources, which suggest it was not from a single river. For the samples in this stage, the roundness of apatite particles is very well and the content of euhedral apatite particles is very low (Figure 3), suggesting that the sediments had been transported over long distances or had experienced multiple transport-deposition processes. A distinguished pattern in this period is the appearance of apatite grains with ages older than 200 Ma. In the Qilian Shan range, samples containing AFT age older than 200 Ma were reported from Tertiary strata in the Jiuxi Basin (Zheng et al., 2017) and modern sediments of the upper reaches of Heihe River (Lin et al., 2019), where the main exposed strata are the Cretaceous sandstones (Figure 1B). Among the possible sources for the MH core, only the Bailang River is draining the Cretaceous and Tertiary sediments in the Yumu Shan and its south range. Besides, the lag time inflection point suggested that the recycled sediments have appeared in MH core since 2.4 Ma, and it is consistent with the time of provenance change and the time of sedimentary facies change. Thus, the evidence indicates

- **Figure 3**
  - (A) Comparison of the sediment accumulation rate (SAR).
  - (B) Sedimentary facies.
  - (C) Lithology: cl—clay; s—silt; vf—very fine sand; f—fine sand; m—medium sand; c—coarse sand; vc—very coarse sand; gr—granule; pb—pebble; cb—cobble (Hu et al., 2019a).
  - (D) The single grain age distribution.
  - (E) The content of euhedral apatite particles (euhedral apatite particles/all observed apatite particles).
  - (F) The roundness of apatite particles.
that the Yumu Shan range supplied sediments to the Jiudong Basin by the Bailang River during 2.4–0 Ma, and the North Qilian Shan also supplied sediments to the basin by the Maying River and the two smaller rivers.

During the period of 3.6–3.0 Ma, the single component pattern of AFT ages is greatly different with the upper and the lower section (Figure 3 and Figure 4), suggesting a single source region different from the previous or late period. The roundness of apatite particles is poorer and the content of euhedral apatite particles is less than that of the other two sections; it indicates that the sediments had not suffered intense weathering and abrasion during the process of erosion and transportation. These evidences suggest that apatite particles in the section were mostly from a simple source and transported by a relatively small drainage that originated in front of the North Qilian Shan. The simple bedrock of Silurian (Figure 1B) drained by the two smaller rivers is the most suitable source. To the west of the studied region, the AFT study across the range of the North Qilian Shan suggests that the Silurian rock in the north has older age than other exposed rocks to the south contributing to a later activation of the northern thrust (Pang et al., 2019a). Comparing with the Maying River draining a large area containing Proterozoic to Devonian rock, rivers only draining the Silurian rock would supply the sediments to the Jiudong Basin, probably the two smaller rivers and the Bailang River without the drainage area of the Yumu Shan range.

During the period of 5.9–4.6 Ma, AFT ages are distributed in a relatively younger range, and can be divided into two age components P1 and P2. These two components appear in all samples and with high content; it means that this provenance has continuously provided numerous sediments for the Jiudong Basin at least since 5.9 Ma. For the rocks to the south of the F1 fault, published AFT data of in situ bedrock (Jolivet et al., 2001; Guo et al., 2009; Wan et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013; Baotian et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020) are mainly distributed in the range of 20–120 Ma, which is in agreement with the age range of two samples from 5.9 to 4.6 Ma (Figure 3). The only possible river that brought these sediments is the Maying River, and thus we suggest that the provenance for the sediment during 5.9–4.6 Ma was the southern part of the North Qilian Shan, transported by a river similar to the modern Maying River.

**Northward Expansion of the North Qilian Shan**

Previous studies on basin sediments have found a coarsen-upward trend (Liu et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2019a), showing a northward propagation for the deposition system in recent 7 Ma, and our results infer a more clear dynamics for the propagation. The first change of the source for the sediment happened at 4.6–3.6 Ma, and this source change from the south to the north of the North Qilian Shan probably indicates the northward propagation of the thrust.
The activation of the NQF uplifted the Silurian rock and supplied the sediment to the Jiudong Basin. The second change of the source during 3.0–2.4 Ma indicates the uplift and erosion of the Yumu Shan range, and this situation was caused by the further northward propagation of the thrust system, from the NQF to the NYF. The first propagation of the Qilian range at 4.6–3.6 Ma corresponds to the large unconformity at 5.2–3.6 Ma in the depositing sequence at the western Yumu Shan (Liu et al., 2011), and also corresponds to the sedimentary phase change in basin center at ~4.1 Ma from lake/delta to rivers (Hu et al., 2019a). Combining published thermochronological data along the Qilian Shan and sedimentary records in the Hexi Corridor, we infer that the thrust fault propagated to the modern North Qilian Fault during 4.6–3.6 Ma and propagated to the North Yumu Shan Fault during 3.0–2.4 Ma.

**CONCLUSION**

Detrital AFT investigation of the MH drill core in the Jiudong Basin provides credible supplementary evidence to understand the clear expansion process of the North Qilian Shan. The single grain AFT age distribution, together with lag time of younger AFT fitting age peaks and the roundness and crystal surface texture of apatite particles, marked that two obvious provenance changes happened in the Jiudong Basin at 4.6–3.6 Ma and at 3.0–2.4 Ma. Combining published thermochronological data along the Qilian Shan and sedimentary records in the Hexi Corridor, we infer that the thrust fault propagated to the modern North Qilian Fault during 4.6–3.6 Ma and propagated to the North Yumu Shan Fault during 3.0–2.4 Ma.
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