ON THE SINGULAR SOLUTION OF SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION FOR THE HYDROGEN ATOM

Purpose. The authors of known for us textbooks on quantum mechanics pay attention only to the first regular solution of Schrödinger equation for the hydrogen atom. To exclude the second linearly independent solution from the general solution, different textbooks give various arguments such as invalid boundary condition in the coordinate origin, the appearance of Dirac delta function or divergence of the kinetic energy in the origin.

Methods. Using the power series method, we obtained an exact analytic expression for the second independent solution of Schrödinger equation for the hydrogen atom.

Results. The solution consists of a sum of two parts, one of which increases indefinitely over long distances, while the other is limited and contains a logarithmic term. This feature is peculiar to all values of the orbital angular momentums.

Conclusions. On the example of the hydrogen atom, we demonstrated the mathematically correct algorithm of construction of the independent solutions for the power series method. In particular, this algorithm is important in the case of quantum systems with coupled channels which are described by two or more coupled Schrödinger equations.
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Introduction

The problem for the hydrogen atom, as one of the few that allows an exact analytical solution, is considered for methodological reasons in most textbooks on quantum mechanics. One of the two independent solutions of the Schrödinger equation is square integrable and satisfies the boundary conditions at the coordinate origin \((r = 0)\) and at infinity \((r \to \infty)\). For states with orbital angular momentum \(l \geq 1\), the second singular solution gives the divergence of the normalization integral at the point \(r = 0\).

However, for the angular momentum \(l = 0\), the singularity of the second solution is expressed weakly and does not lead to the divergence of the integral at the origin, but it is rejected by guiding various arguments in various textbooks. These arguments can be classified into three groups. The first group of textbooks \([1-4]\) indicates the unsatisfactory boundary conditions of the second solution at the origin. In another group of textbooks \([5-7]\), it is indicated that this solution does not satisfy the Schrödinger equation at the origin of coordinates \(r = 0\) due to the appearance of the Dirac function \(\delta(r)\). In the practical textbook \([8]\), there is argued that in the singular state of \(l = 0\) the mean value of the kinetic energy takes the infinite, therefore this solution is unacceptable.

We tried to deal with this variety of arguments also because if the singular solution for the orbital moment \(l = 0\) is possible to normalize, then it represents a state with limited energy of the system but an infinite average kinetic energy \((+\infty)\) and infinite potential energy \((-\infty)\), that is, the sum of two infinite quantities is finite

\[
E = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle = \langle E_K \rangle + \langle E_P \rangle = (+\infty) + (-\infty).
\] (1)

To demonstrate our investigation about
In the Schrödinger equation, the radial Schrödinger equation takes the form (we will omit index \( l \))

\[
H \Psi (\vec{r}) = E \Psi (\vec{r})
\]  

(2)

with the Coulomb potential for the hydrogen atom

\[
H = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2\mu} - \frac{e^2}{r},
\]  

(3)

where \( \mu \) is a reduced mass of the atom, one separates the variables in the spherical coordinate system

\[
\Psi (\vec{r}) = \Psi (r, \theta, \phi) = R_l(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{u_l(r)}{r} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi),
\]  

(4)

where \( Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \) is spherical harmonics. For radial function \( u_l(r) \), we obtain the equation

\[
u'' + \left( -k^2 - \frac{l(l + 1)}{r^2} + \frac{2A}{r} \right) \cdot u_l(r) = 0,
\]  

(5)

where \( l \) is the orbital angular momentum, and parameters \( k \) and \( A \) have the same dimension and are given by expressions

\[
k^2 = \frac{2\mu|E|}{\hbar^2}, \quad A = \frac{e^2\mu}{\hbar^2},
\]  

(6)

The normalization of the radial function \( u_l(r) \) looks as

\[
\int_0^{\infty} u_l^2(r) \cdot dr = 1.
\]  

(7)

At large distance \( (r \rightarrow \infty) \) equation (3) takes the form (we will omit index \( l \))

\[
u'' - k^2 \cdot u(r) = 0,
\]  

(8)

and has two independent solutions \( e^{-kr} \) and \( e^{+kr} \). Since the normalization condition is fulfilled for the asymptotic \( (r \rightarrow \infty) \) solution \( e^{-kr} \), the radial function of equation (3) is sought in the form

\[
u(r) = f(r) \cdot e^{-kr},
\]  

(9)

which leads to an equation for the unknown function \( f(r) \)

\[
f'' - 2k f' - \frac{l(l + 1)}{r^2} f + \frac{2A}{r} f = 0.
\]  

(10)

We shall now look for solution of equation (10) by the power series method

\[
f(r) = r^s \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j r^j, \quad a_0 \neq 0,
\]  

(11)

where \( s \) and \( a_j \) are unknown parameters that are determined from the substitution of function (11) into equation (10) with subsequent zeroing of coefficients for each power of variable \( r \). The coefficient at the lowest power gives the equation for determining the parameter \( s \)

\[
a_0(s^2 - s - l^2 - l) = 0.
\]  

(12)

This equation has two solutions \( s_1 = l + 1 \) and \( s_2 = -l \). Since the roots of the indicial equation (12) differ by an integer, according to [9,10] two independent solutions of the differential equation are defined in the way

\[
f_1(r) = r^{l+1} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j r^j,
\]  

(13)

\[
f_2(r) = r^{-l} \cdot \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} b_q r^q + g \cdot f_1(r) \cdot ln(r),
\]  

(14)

where unknown coefficients \( a_j, b_q \) and \( g \) are successively determined by substituting the formulas (13) and (14) into equation (10) and equating to zero the coefficients for powers of the variable \( r \).
The regular solution

Substituting formula (13) into equation (10) we obtain the following chain of equations for coefficients $a_j$

$$a_0(k \cdot l + k - A) - a_1(l + 1) = 0,$$
$$a_1(k \cdot l + 2k - A) - a_2(2l + 3) = 0, \ldots$$

(15)

In the general case, starting with coefficient $a_1$, the following are sequentially

$$a_j = \frac{2 \cdot (k \cdot (l + j) - A)}{(2l + j + 1) \cdot j} \cdot a_{j-1},$$

$$j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

(16)

The only coefficient $a_0$ remains indefinite, but it serves as a common factor and defines only the normalization of the function (13). The ratio of the coefficients of the series (13) with the growth of the index $j$ gives the value

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{a_j}{a_{j-1}} = \frac{2k}{j},$$

(17)

which corresponds to the ratio of coefficients of the Taylor series for the function $e^{2kr}$. That is, taking into account formula (9), the radial function $u(r)$ will behave like $e^{kr}$. However, when the coefficients of the series (13) vanish, starting with $a_1$, we break an infinite series and obtain a polynomial as the first independent solution. The zero value of the coefficient $a_1$ can be achieved by a special choice of the parameter $k$ (eigenvalue of the energy (3))

$$k = \frac{A}{l + J}, \quad J = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

(18)

The given algorithm allows finding the eigenvalues of energy and the regular radial eigenfunction

$$u_1(r) = f_1(r) \cdot e^{-kr},$$

(19)

where the coefficient $a_0$ is determined by the condition of normalization (7). In this case, the function $f_1(r)$ is a polynomial with powers of the variable from $r^{l+1}$ up to $r^{J+1}$.

The singular solution

The second independent solution of equation (10) is given by formula (14), which includes the first solution (13). We note that for orbital momentum $l \geq 1$ the solution (14) is singular at zero, which does not allow normalizing the radial function. Therefore, we consider the case $l = 0$, when the solution is regular at zero. To simplify the calculations, we take into account the ground state ($l = 0, J = 1, k = A$) for which the first solution has the form $f_1(r) = a_0 \cdot r$. Then the formula for the second independent solution (14) will take the form

$$f_2(r) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} b_q r^q + g \cdot r \cdot ln(r)$$

(20)

We substitute formula (20) into equation (10) and consistently vanish coefficients for every degree of variable $r$ (logarithmic members are reduced autonomously). To determine unknown coefficients we obtain a chain of equations

$$2Ab_0 + g = 0, \quad 2b_2 - 2Ag = 0,$$
$$-2Ab_2 + 6b_3 = 0, \quad -4Ab_3 + 12b_4 = 0,$$
$$-6Ab_4 + 20b_5 = 0, \ldots$$

(21)

From these equations, one can sequentially find $g, b_2, b_3, b_4, \ldots$ Coefficient $b_1$ remains uncertain. This reflects the fact that the sum of two independent solutions

$$f(r) = \alpha f_1(r) + \beta f_2(r)$$

(22)

is also a solution to the equation (10). For simplicity, the coefficient $b_1$ can be set to zero. The coefficient $b_0$ also remains as an indefinite common factor of the function $f_2(r)$. The chain of equations (21) is not interrupted, and the relation of neighboring coefficients with the growth of the index $q$ has the same form as formula (17). Accordingly, an infinite series in (20) behaves asymptotically as $e^{2kr}$. So the second independent solution of the radial equation (5) will have a term that behaves like $e^{kr}$ as $r \to \infty$. So, the two independent solutions of equation (5) for the ground state are
\[ u_1(r) = a_0 \cdot r \cdot e^{-kr}, \] (23)

\[ u_2(r) = (\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} b_q r^q) \cdot e^{-kr} + g \cdot r \cdot \ln(r) \cdot e^{-kr}. \] (24)

The first solution \((23)\) is normalized (regular), and the second solution \((24)\) is not normalized (singular) since the power series behaves like \(e^{2kr}\) at large distances.

**Discussion and conclusion**

We found the exact formula \((14)\) of the second independent solution of the Schrödinger equation for the hydrogen atom, which contains a logarithmic term and satisfies the equation at the origin of the coordinate \(r = 0\). For the orbital angular momentum \(l = 0\), the second independent solution is finite at the origin but exponentially increases at long distances. The exponential behavior of the second independent radial solution at large distances is inherent for every value of the angular momentum \(l\). That is, one independent solution of the radial Schrödinger equation for hydrogen-like atoms has a regular behavior and is normalized on the interval \([0, \infty)\), and the second independent solution is not normalized and exponentially increases at large values of variable \(r\).

The exponential rise of the second independent solution of \((3)\) can be proved by based on general considerations. Namely, at large distances, the Schrödinger equation has two independent solutions \(\tilde{u}_1(r) \sim e^{-kr}\) and \(\tilde{u}_2(r) \sim e^{kr}\), which don’t depend on orbital angular momentum \(l\). At the origin of the coordinates, independent solutions are \(u_1(r) \sim r^{l+1}\) and \(u_2(r) \sim r^{-l}\). The solution \(u_1(r)\) converges to the solution \(\tilde{u}_1(r)\) as \(r \to \infty\), but the independent solution \(u_2(r)\) must converge either to the solution \(\tilde{u}_2(r)\) or to linear sum \([\alpha \cdot \tilde{u}_1(r) + \beta \cdot \tilde{u}_2(r)]\) (here \(\beta \neq 0\)) as \(r \to \infty\). That is the second solution exponentially rise at the infinite.

One can note that the Schrödinger equation for the scattering problem of an electron on a proton differs from equation \((3)\) only by a sign of the parameter \(k^2 (\pm k^2\) instead of \(-k^2\)). For such equation, two independent solutions are well known - the regular \(F_l(k, r)\) and irregular (logarithmic) \(G_l(k, r)\) Coulomb wave functions \([11]\).

We want to emphasize that for the hydrogen atom with Coulomb potential and for deuteron wave function \([12]\), the logarithmic term in \((14)\) ensures the correct behavior of the solution at the origin. However, for other potentials, it can appear that the coefficient \(g\) in equation \((14)\) is zero. Such situations are realized for mixed states of two quarks systems where mixing of orbital \([13]\) or spin momentums \([14, 15]\) can occur.
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СИНГУЛЯРНОЕ РЕШЕНИЕ УРАВНЕНИЯ ШРЕДИНГЕРА ДЛЯ АТОМА ВОДОРОДА

Методом разложения в степенной ряд, получено точное аналитическое выражение для второго независимого решения уравнения Шредингера для атома водорода. Решение состоит из суммы двух частей, одна из которых неограниченно возрастает на больших расстояниях, а вторая ограничена на бесконечности, хотя и содержит логарифмический множитель. Такая структура решения характерна для всех величин орбитального момента. В известных нам учебным пособиям по квантовой механике приводится только выражение для первого регулярного решения. Для исключения второго линейно независимого решения в разных учебниках приводятся различные аргументы.
Ключове слова: атом водорода, регулярное решение, сингулярное решение, обыкновенное дифференциальное уравнение, характеристическое уравнение.
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СИНГУЛЯРНИЙ РОЗВ’ЯЗОК РІВНЯННЯ ШРЕДІНГЕРА ДЛЯ АТОМА ВОДНЮ

Методом розкладу в степеневий ряд, отримано точний аналітичний вираз для другого незалежного розв’язку рівняння Шредінгера для атома водню. Розв’язок складається з двох доданків, один з яких необмежено зростає на великих відстанях, а другий на нескінченності прямує до нуля, хоч і містить логарифмічний множник. Така структура розв’язку характерна для всіх величин орбітального моменту. У відомих нам підручниках по квантовій механіці наводиться тільки вираз для першого регулярного розв’язку. Для усунення другого лінійно незалежного розв’язку в різних підручниках наводяться різні аргументи.

Ключові слова: атом водню, регулярний розв’язок, сингулярний розв’язок, звичайне диференціальне рівняння, характеристичне рівняння.
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