The use of kDNA minicircle subclass relative abundance to differentiate between *Leishmania* (*L.*)* infantum* and *Leishmania* (*L.*) *amazonensis*
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Leishmaniasis is a neglected disease caused by many *Leishmania* species, belonging to subgenera *Leishmania* (Leishmania) and *Leishmania* (Viannia). Several qPCR-based molecular diagnostic approaches have been reported for detection and quantification of *Leishmania* species. Many of these approaches use the kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) minicircles as the target sequence. These assays had potential cross-species amplification, due to sequence similarity between *Leishmania* species. Previous works demonstrated discrimination between *L.* (*Leishmania*) and *L.* (*Viannia*) by SYBR green-based qPCR assays designed on kDNA, followed by melting or high-resolution melt (HRM) analysis. Importantly, these approaches cannot fully distinguish *L.* (*L.*)* infantum* from *L.* (*L.*) *amazonensis*, which can coexist in the same geographical area.

**Methods:** DNA from 18 strains/isolates of *L.* (*L.*) *infantum*, *L.* (*L.*) *amazonensis*, *L.* (*V.*) *braziliensis*, *L.* (*V.*) *panamensis*, *L.* (*V.*) *guyanensis*, and 62 clinical samples from *L.* (*L.*) *infantum*-infected dogs were amplified by a previously developed qPCR (qPCR-ML) and subjected to HRM analysis; selected PCR products were sequenced using an ABI PRISM 310 Genetic Analyzer. Based on the obtained sequences, a new SYBR green-based qPCR (qPCR-ama) intended to amplify a minicircle subclass more abundant in *L.* (*L.*) *amazonensis* was designed.

**Results:** The qPCR-ML followed by HRM analysis did not allow discrimination between *L.* (*L.*) *amazonensis* and *L.* (*L.*) *infantum* in 53.4% of cases. Hence, the novel SYBR green-based qPCR (qPCR-ama) has been tested. This assay achieved a detection limit of 0.1 pg of parasite DNA in samples spiked with host DNA and did not show cross amplification with *Trypanosoma cruzi* or host DNA. Although the qPCR-ama also amplified *L.* (*L.*) *infantum* strains, the Cq values were dramatically increased compared to qPCR-ML. Therefore, the combined analysis of Cq values from qPCR-ML and qPCR-ama allowed to distinguish *L.* (*L.*) *infantum* and *L.* (*L.*) *amazonensis* in 100% of tested samples.

**Conclusions:** A new and affordable SYBR-green qPCR-based approach to distinguish between *L.* (*L.*) *infantum* and *L.* (*L.*) *amazonensis* was developed exploiting the major abundance of a minicircle sequence rather than targeting a hypothetical species-specific sequence. The fast and accurate discrimination between these species can be useful to provide adequate prognosis and treatment.
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Background

Leishmaniasis is a spectral neglected disease caused by many *Leishmania* species, primarily transmitted by phlebotomine sand flies [1]. Leishmaniasis is a public health problem in 98 countries, in both rural and urban areas. About 12 million people are currently affected by the disease; in particular, 0.2–0.4 million cases per year of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) and 0.7–1.2 million cases per year of cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) have been estimated [2]. *Leishmania* parasites are classified in three different subgenera: *Leishmania* (Leishmania), *Leishmania* (Viannia) and *Leishmania* (Sauroleishmania). Parasites in the subgenera *Leishmania* and *Viannia* infect mammals, whereas the *Sauroleishmania* infect reptiles [3]. Over 30 *Leishmania* species have been identified, including many that are non-pathogenic or of minor medical importance. The *Leishmania donovani* complex belongs to the subgenus *Viannia* (Leishmania, *Viannia*) and to the subgenus *Leishmania* (Leishmania) the etiological agent of VL and CL, and includes *L. (L.) infantum* and *L. (L.) donovani*. The species belonging to the subgenus *Leishmania* (Viannia) are etiological agents of CL and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis.

An accurate diagnostic method that allows the distinction between *Leishmania* species with overlapping geographical distributions is needed [4]. Since isoenzymatic characterization is laborious and time-expensive, various molecular approaches have been developed based on detection of different *Leishmania* target sequences [5, 6]. In particular, the conserved region of *Leishmania* kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) minicircles has been used as a specific target for real-time PCR assays, able to distinguish Old World [7, 8] and New World species [9]. The kDNA is situated at the base of the flagellum and contains thousands of minicircles and dozens of maxicircles concatenated in a giant network [10]. The high number of minicircles (10,000–26,000 copies/parasite) [11–13] make them an attractive target for *Leishmania* detection with high sensitivity [14]. However, the minicircle network is composed of different minicircle subclasses conserved in different *Leishmania* species [15, 16]. Therefore, most of the available PCR or qPCR assays designed for a single species can potentially amplify more than one *Leishmania* species [13, 14, 17].

Previous works demonstrated the possibility to discriminate between *L. (Leishmania)* and *L. (Viannia)* by SYBR green-based qPCR assays designed on kDNA conserved sequences, followed by melting or high-resolution melt (HRM) analysis [13, 18]. These approaches did not efficiently distinguish *L. (L.) infantum* from *L. (L.) amazonensis*, which can coexist in the same geographic area. These species infect both humans and dogs [19], and their differentiation is critical for correct treatment. In fact, both species can present with cutaneous manifestations, but *L. (L.) amazonensis* can also cause diffuse disease that does not respond well to current treatments [5]. Moreover, the use of immunosuppressant may alter the clinical course of Leishmaniasis (e.g. viscerotropic strains can result in cutaneous lesions, and dermatropic strains can result in visceral disease). These issues are particularly important in South America, where both species are endemic, and deforestation and uncontrolled urbanisation are responsible for the growing incidence of leishmaniasis [20].

The aim of this work is to investigate the kDNA sequence variability among different *Leishmania* species and to exploit these differences to develop a qPCR-based analysis that differentiates *L. (L.) infantum* from *L. (L.) amazonensis*.

Methods

*Leishmania* strains, clinical samples and DNA extraction

The DNA from promastigotes of *Leishmania* spp. isolates listed in Table 1 was chelex-purified. Conjunctival swabs (CS) or buffy coat (BC) samples from 21 dogs diagnosed with leishmaniasis were provided by the veterinary clinic “Santa Teresa” (Fano, Italy). The DNA from CS and BC samples was extracted as previously described [21]. The *L. amazonensis* and *L. (Viannia)* spp. clinical isolates were provided by Instituto de Patología Experimental (IPE), Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud - Universidad Nacional de Salta- Argentina and typed at the species level at the Institute of Biomedicine and Molecular Table 1

| Species         | Strain or isolate       | Zymodeme |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------|
| *L. (L.) infantum* | MHOM/BR/00/LTB0016     | na       |
| *L. (L.) infantum* | IFLA/BR/67/FH8         | MON-41   |
| *L. (L.) amazonensis* | Clinical isolate na   | na       |
| *L. (V.) panamensis* | Clinical isolate na   | na       |
| *L. (V.) guyanensis* | Clinical isolate na   | na       |
| *L. (V.) braziliensis* | Clinical isolate na    | na       |
| *L. (V.) braziliensis* | MHOM/BR/75/M2904      | na       |

Abbreviation: na not applicable
Immunology, CNR (Palermo, Italy) [13]. The *L. infantum* clinical isolates have been characterised by multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MLEE) at Istituto Superiore di Sanità (Rome, Italy).

The DNA extracted from isolates and strains was quantified using a Qubit fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA). Human and canine DNA from uninfected donors, purified using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, USA), as well as DNA from *Trypanosoma cruzi* were used as negative controls.

**qPCR assays**

An 111 bp KDNA region was amplified by a qPCR assay using primers MLF and MLR (referred as qPCR-ML from hereon) as previously described [13]. Briefly, PCR reactions were carried out in 25 μl volume with 1 μl template DNA (corresponding to 0.1–1 ng parasite DNA) and 24 μl SYBR green PCR master mix (Diateva srl, Fano, Italy) containing 1 U Taq Polymerase and 200 nM of each primer in a Rotor-Gene 6000 instrument (Corbett Life Science, Mortlake, Australia). The amplification conditions were: 94 °C for 10 min, 40 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 20 s and 72 °C for 20 s. At the end of each run, a melting curve analysis was performed from 78 to 92 °C, with a slope of 1 °C/s, and 5 s at each temperature. The reactions were performed in duplicate or triplicate.

To amplify *L. (L.) amazonensis* DNA minicircles, a new qPCR assay (referred as qPCR-ama from hereon) was designed using the forward primer LMi-amaF (5’-AAA ATG AGT GCA GAA ACC C-3’) with the reverse primer MLR. The LMi-amaF primer was designed specifically for this work exploiting two mismatches (G/A and T/C) among *L. (L.) infantum* and *L. (L.) amazonensis* sequences (Fig. 1). The LMi-amaF sequence was tested with BLAST against the non-redundant nucleotide database (organism limited to *Leishmania*). The position of all primers in the qPCR-ML amplicons is shown in Fig. 1. The qPCR-ama was performed at the same

---

**Fig. 1** CLUSTAL multiple alignments of qPCR-ML amplicon sequences performed by MUSCLE. The MLF and MLR primer sequences are underlined; the sequence of LMi-amaF primer is in bold. The EcoR I restriction site in *L. (L.) amazonensis* isolate is boxed. *Sequence of non-digested products after EcoR I restriction*
conditions described above. A standard curve for qPCR-ama was established using *L. (L.) amazonensis* MHOM/BR/00/LTB0016 DNA serial dilutions, ranging from 1.0 to $1 \times 10^{-4}$ ng. The standard curve concentration was expressed as ng/μl. To evaluate the potential interference of host DNA as background in the qPCR analysis, 30 ng of human DNA were spiked in the reaction tubes. The cycle threshold ($C_q$) values were determined using the quantitation analysis of the Rotor-Gene 6000 software, setting a threshold to 0.15.

**High-resolution melt (HRM) analysis**

The qPCR-ML amplicons, obtained by all stains/isolates listed in Table 1 and by 62 samples from 21 dogs affected by leishmaniasis, were analysed in duplicate by HRM protocol on a Rotor-Gene 6000 instrument as described previously [13] with slight modifications. Briefly, HRM was carried out over the range from 78 °C to 92 °C, rising at 0.1 °C/s and waiting for 2 s at each temperature. Each sample was run in duplicate or triplicate, and the gain was optimised before melting on all tubes. HRM curve analysis was performed with the derivative of the raw data, after smoothing, with the Rotor-Gene 6000 software. Only samples with $C_q$ values < 30 were considered for subsequent analysis [13, 22].

**PCR product sequencing**

The qPCR-ML products obtained from the canine clinical samples and the strains/isolates (Table 1, except for IFLA/BR/67/PH8 and MHOM/BR/75/M2904 strains), were purified using the MinElute PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and directly sequenced using both MLF and MLR primers. DNA sequencing was performed using the BigDye Terminator v. 1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit on ABI PRISM 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). Sequences were manually edited and aligned using default options in MUSCLE [23]. Phylogenetic and nucleotide composition analyses were conducted using MEGA version 6 [24].

**RFLP analysis**

The RFLP analysis was performed on qPCR-ML products with *EcoR*I restriction enzyme (Roche Life Sciences, Indianapolis, USA), following the manufacturer’s protocol. The *EcoR*I digestion was performed at 37 °C for 2 h in a 20 μl mixture containing 7 U of enzyme and 50–100 ng of PCR products. The digestion mixtures were analysed on a 3% high-resolution MetaPhor (Cambrex, East Rutherford, USA) agarose gel, and the selected products were excised, purified with MinElute PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and sequenced as described above.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad InStat version 3.06 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Differences among $T_m$ values were evaluated using an Unpaired t-test with Welch’s correction. Normality distribution was assessed by D’Agostino & Pearson omnibus normality test (alpha = 0.05).

**Results**

**Inter- and intra-specific genetic variability of kDNA minicircles**

To investigate the genetic variability in the kDNA minicircle sequences amplified by qPCR-ML assay, the PCR products from all strains/isolates reported in Table 1 (except *L. amazonensis* IFLA/BR/67/PH8 and *L. braziliensis* MHOM/BR/75/M2904) and 6 CS samples from 3 dogs with leishmaniasis were directly and bidirectionally sequenced. The electropherograms showed superimposed peaks in some region, suggesting a further kDNA sequence heterogeneity. Therefore, sequences were manually edited before alignment. Numerous polymorphic loci were found (Fig. 1). The *Leishmania* (*Viannia*) subgenus isolates had significantly lower GC content respect to *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) isolates (47.4% ± 0.2% and 51.3% ± 0.5%, respectively) (Mann–Whitney test, U = 3.00, $P = 0.015$), explaining melting differences found previously [13]. The sequences of *Leishmania* (*Viannia*), *L. (L.) amazonensis* isolates/strains and *L. (L.) infantum* strains/clinical samples, clustered separately (Fig. 2).

![Fig. 2](image-url)
the RFLP analysis of the *L. (L.*) amazonensis* isolate revealed a partial digestion of the PCR product (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The non-cleaved band was extracted from the gel and directly sequenced. The lack of an EcoRI restriction site, as well as many similarities with the *L. (L.*) infantum* sequences (Figs. 1 and 2), showed the presence of different minicircle classes amplified by the qPCR-ML assay.

**HRM analysis alone does not fully discriminate between *L. (L.*) infantum* and *L. (L.*) amazonensis***

Previously, we demonstrated that HRM analysis of qPCR-ML products can discriminate between the *Leishmania* subgenera *Leishmania* and *Viannia*, and can differentiate the *L. (L.*) infantum* MHOM/TN/80/IPT1 reference strain (two melting peaks) from *L. (L.*) amazonensis* (one melting peak) [13]. However, HRM analysis performed on several clinical specimens from dogs infected by *L. (L.*) infantum*, showed two peaks comparable to *L. (L.*) infantum* MHOM/TN/80/IPT1 or a profile with a single melting peak, suggesting a kDNA minicircle parasite variability [13]. To confirm and further extend these data, we performed HRM analysis on qPCR-ML products obtained by amplification of *L. (L.*) infantum* strains/isolates listed in Table 1 and 62 canine clinical samples from 21 dogs infected by *L. (L.*) infantum*. The results showed that 22% and 56% of the *L. (L.*) infantum* amplicons presented a double melting peak and a single melting peak, respectively. The remaining 22% of samples was undetermined due to lack of reproducibility between replicates.

| Abbreviations: T<sub>m</sub> high resolution melting temperature, SD standard deviation, SE standard error |
|---|

**Table 2 T<sub>m</sub> comparison of *L. (L.*) amazonensis* and *L. (L.*) infantum* amplicons showing a single HRM peak**

| Number of replicates | T<sub>m</sub> Mean ± SD (SE) | D’Agostino & Pearson omnibus normality test | Unpaired t-test with Welch’s correction |
|---|---|---|---|
| *L. (L.*) amazonensis* | 16 | 83.91 ± 0.20 (0.05) | 0.1456 | 0.93 | < 0.001 |
| *L. (L.*) infantum* | 114 | 84.44 ± 0.36 (0.03) | 1.28 | 0.53 | |

Fig. 3 T<sub>m</sub> values distribution of qPCR-ML amplicons of *L. (L.*) amazonensis* and *L. (L.*) infantum* with single melting peak. a Box-and-whisker plot is representing qPCR-ML amplicons T<sub>m</sub> distribution. The chart shows the distribution of data into quartiles, the smallest and the largest observation, and the mean (x). No outliers were identified. b Examples of overlapping melting curves obtained from *L. (L.*) amazonensis* MHOM/BR/00/LTB0016 (T<sub>m</sub> 84.10–84.15 °C) (upper panel) and *L. (L.*) infantum* MHOM/TN/86/ISS218 (T<sub>m</sub> 84.15–84.20 °C), clinical isolate 791 (T<sub>m</sub> 84.10–84.15 °C), clinical isolate 10816 (T<sub>m</sub> 83.93 °C) (lower panel). These melting curves, showed in duplicates, represent an example of lack of discrimination between *L. (L.*) infantum* and *L. (L.*) amazonensis*. The chart shows the distribution of data into quartiles, the smallest and the largest observation, and the mean (x). No outliers were identified.
samples having T_m values < 84.4 °C appeared in a range of uncertainty, as well as samples undetermined due to lack of concordance between replicates or due to late amplification (i.e. C_q > 30). Taken together, HRM analysis did not allow discrimination between L. (L.) 
amazonensis and L. (L.) infantum in 53.4% of cases. Therefore, an approach based on a second qPCR was needed.

qPCR assay for amplification of L. (L.) amazonensis
A qPCR assay which amplified an 88 bp sequence from L. (L.) amazonensis (qPCR-ama) was designed using a new forward primer (LMi-amaF) and the reverse primer MLR. The sequence of the LMi-amaF primer was first evaluated in silico using BLAST. We found 100% identity in 10 out of 16 (62.5%) sequences of L. (L.) amazonensis kDNA minicircle present in GenBank (Additional file 2: Figure S2). Notably, no sequence of L. (L.) infantum matched with the LMi-amaF primer. Moreover, only one sequence out of 101 (1%) of L. (L.) donovani, 3 out of 11 (27%) of L. (L.) Mexicana, and 7 out of 24 (29%) of L. (V.) braziliensis matched with LMi-amaF sequence, accounting for the largest presence of the minicircle subclass matching LMi-amaF primer in L. (L.) amazonensis.

The efficiency and detection limit of the qPCR-ama assay were evaluated using 10-fold serial dilutions of L. (L.) amazonensis MHOM/BR/00/LTB0016 DNA (from 1.0 to 1 × 10^{-4} ng) in 2 independent experiments in duplicate or triplicate. There was a linear correlation between the log of DNA concentration and C_q value (slope = -3.39, R^2 = 0.98) with a reaction efficiency of 97% (Fig. 4). To verify the interference of host DNA, the standard curve was also spiked with 30 ng of purified human DNA. The presence of host DNA delayed the C_q values in the standard curve, while the assay efficiency remained similar (Fig. 4). The detection limit was 0.1 pg of parasite DNA in the spiked samples, corresponding roughly to 1.3 parasites/PCR tube.

![qPCR-ama standard curves constructed with serial dilutions of L. (L.) amazonensis MHOM/BR/00/LTB0016 DNA. The standard curves were obtained with serial dilutions ranging from 1.0 to 0.0001 ng/tube, spiked (upper curve, y = -3.3720x + 24.4850; R^2 = 0.9915) or non-spiked (lower curve, y = -3.3933x + 17.9160; R^2 = 0.9803) with 30 ng human DNA. Each point derived from a duplicate of two independent experiments](image-url)
This value was determined by the genome size of *L. (L.) amazonensis* (29.6 Mb, 64.8 fg for the diploid genome) [25], adding 9.7 fg (15%) kDNA [26]. The calculated total DNA for a single parasite was 74.5 fg.

The specificity of the primers was first tested using two strains and one isolate of *L. (L.) amazonensis*, *Trypanosoma cruzi* and host DNA (human or canine). No amplification was observed when using *T. cruzi*, human or canine DNA, while all *L. (L.) amazonensis* strains were positive on qPCR (Fig. 5). \( C_q \) values were much lower compared to \( C_q \) obtained with qPCR-ML using the same template amount (Table 3). The qPCR-ama was then tested with strains of *L. (V.) guyanensis*, *L. (V.) panamensis*, *L. (V.) braziliensis* and *L. (L.) infantum*. The results showed that *L. (V.) guyanensis*, *L. (V.) panamensis*, *L. (V.) braziliensis* also amplified. However, these species could be distinguished from *Leishmania (L.)* species by qPCR-ML and HRM analysis. DNA from *L. (L.) infantum* isolates/strains did amplify, but \( C_q \) values were dramatically increased compared to qPCR-ML. If template DNA was diluted to have \( C_q > 25 \) in qPCR-ML, there was no detectable amplification from qPCR-ama (Table 3). As evidence of this, the canine clinical samples tested with qPCR-ML (with \( C_q > 25 \)) had negative results with qPCR-ama, confirming infection by *L. (L.) infantum* (Table 4). Combined evaluation of qPCR-ML and qPCR-ama \( C_q \) values provided discrimination between *L. (L.) infantum* and *L. (L.) amazonensis* in all tested samples.

### Table 3 qPCR-ML and qPCR-ama results

| Species                        | qPCR-ML \( (C_q \pm SD) \) | qPCR-ama \( (C_q \pm SD) \) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
|                               | Undiluted                   | diluted 1:10 | diluted 1:100 | diluted 1:1000 | diluted 1:10000 |
| *L. (L.) infantum* MHOM/TN/80/P11 | 17.26 ± 0.19               | negative    | negative      | negative       | negative       |
| *L. (L.) infantum* MHOM/FR/78/LEM75 | 15.30 ± 0.41               | nt           | 33.80 ± 0.33  | 37.92 ± 0.23   | negative       |
| *L. (L.) infantum* MHOM/ES/91/RCN1 | 15.80 ± 0.77               | 33.70 ± 0.33 | 38.01 ± 1.36  | negative       | negative       |
| *L. (L.) infantum* MHOM/DZ/82/UPAS9 | 16.43 ± 0.47               | 33.03 ± 0.61 | nt            | negative       | negative       |
| *L. (L.) infantum* MHOM/IT/93/55BD2 | 14.73 ± 0.42               | 33.89 ± 0.66 | nt            | negative       | negative       |
| *L. (L.) infantum* MHOM/IT/86/55218 | 15.42 ± 1.03               | 32.44 ± 1.18 | nt            | negative       | negative       |
| *L. (L.) infantum* Clinical isolate V921 | 17.09 ± 0.12               | 29.08 ± 0.13 | nt            | negative\(\ast\) | negative\(\ast\) |
| *L. (L.) infantum* Clinical isolate 31u | 14.30 ± 0.27               | 28.73 ± 0.78 | nt            | negative\(\ast\) | negative\(\ast\) |
| *L. (L.) infantum* Clinical isolate 49u | 15.71 ± 0.78               | 30.02 ± 0.01 | nt            | negative       | negative       |
| *L. (L.) infantum* Clinical isolate 791 | 14.88 ± 0.51               | 27.76 ± 0.07 | nt            | 38.81 ± 0.93   | negative       |
| *L. (L.) infantum* Clinical isolate 10816 | 13.53 ± 0.46               | 26.53 ± 0.11 | nt            | 36.67 ± 2.08   | negative       |
| *L. (L.) amazonensis* MHOM/BR/00/TL80016 | 23.79 ± 0.25               | 17.97 ± 0.40 | 21.08 ± 0.23  | 25.00 ± 0.66   | 27.97 ± 1.21   |
| *L. (L.) amazonensis* FLA/BR/67/PH8 | 34.42 ± 0.02               | 15.32 ± 0.01 | 19.33 ± 0.32  | nt             | nt             |
| *L. (L.) amazonensis* Clinical isolate | 31.66 ± 0.19               | 20.87 ± 0.24 | 24.12 ± 0.14  | nt             | nt             |
| *L. (V.) panamensis* Clinical isolate | 22.96 ± 0.28               | 35.57 ± 0.56 | nt            | negative       | negative       |
| *L. (V.) guyanensis* Clinical isolate | 29.71 ± 0.32               | 33.30 ± 0.17 | 36.78 ± 0.46  | negative       | negative       |
| *L. (V.) braziliensis* Clinical isolate | 28.15 ± 0.85               | 37.87 ± 0.86 | negative      | negative       | negative       |
| *L. (V.) braziliensis* MHOM/BR/75/M2904 | 26.07 ± 1.80               | 38.19 ± 0.04 | negative      | negative       | negative       |

**Abbreviation:** nt not tested

\( \ast\) One replicate negative

### Discussion

Previous work has shown that the *Leishmania (Leishmania)* and *Leishmania (Viannia)* subgenera could be distinguished by exploiting kDNA variability through HRM analysis of qPCR-ML amplicons [13]. Here, several qPCR-ML amplicons from different *Leishmania* species were sequenced, indicating the presence of different subclasses of minicircles amplified from the same species. Notably, the presence of over 100 minicircles classes encoding different gRNAs in a strain of *L. tarentolae* has been reported and quantified using NGS technology, indicating the heterogeneity of the mitochondrial genomes in this parasite [27]. The *EcoRI* partial digestion of the amplicon obtained from *L. (L.) amazonensis* isolate confirmed the presence of different sequences in the same PCR product. In fact, the sequence of the non-digested product evidenced a subclass of molecules without the *EcoRI* site, clustering with *L. (L.) infantum* sequences. The fact that the sequence of this product was not visible in the first sequence analysis can be explained by a relatively low presence of this minicircle subclass.

*Leishmania (L.) infantum* and *L. (L.) amazonensis* infect both humans and dogs, and they can coexist in the same geographical area; therefore, their differentiation is critical for correct treatment. Notably, Sanches et al. [28] showed a high percentage of *L. (L.) amazonensis* infection in naturally infected dogs in an endemic area, underlining the necessity to discriminate these two species not only in human but also in veterinary medicine.
Since HRM analysis resulted inconclusive for discrimination of *L. (L.) infantum* and *L. (L.) amazonensis* in about 53% of samples, a new SYBR-green qPCR assay (qPCR-ama) was designed to amplify a minicircle subclass preponderant in *L. (L.) amazonensis*, rather than targeting a hypothetical species-specific sequence. In fact, several PCR assays designed on minicircles also reported amplification of non-intended species [17, 29]. Moreover, Gomes et al. [30] showed that non-target organisms such as *T. cruzi* could be amplified, even if C_q values are > 30. Therefore, the performance of different qPCR assays in series or the standardisation of multiplex qPCR appeared to be the only way of properly identifying different *Leishmania* species [31]. Due to the difficulties in designing species-specific primers, we based our qPCR assay on the evaluation of relative abundance of minicircle subclasses. We observed that qPCR-ML amplified several *Leishmania* species including *L. (L.) amazonensis* but to a much less sensitivity (higher C_q). The opposite was found for qPCR-ama: in this case, *L. (L.) amazonensis* was amplified much more efficiently (lower C_q) compared to the other tested species, because primers were designed on a minicircle sequence that was more abundant in this species. Therefore, we have been focusing on C_q rather than presence or absence of an amplification curve. Hence, a minicircle subclass most represented in *L. (L.) amazonensis* was used as a target to differentiate *L. (L.) amazonensis* and *L. (L.) infantum*. The template DNA can be diluted to make undetectable the low-represented minicircle subclasses. The data in Table 3 show clearly this point: if DNA template from *L. (L.) infantum* was diluted to have C_q > 25 in qPCR-ML (considering 1:10 dilution equivalent to ~ 3.3 cycles increase), the qPCR-ama turned negative. On the contrary, under appropriate dilution conditions, the qPCR-ama will give positive amplification only for *L. (L.) amazonensis* species (Table 3). These conditions could also be met by clinical samples, in which pathogen DNA is low represented respect to host DNA and the C_q can be delayed (as evidenced in the qPCR-ama standard curves).

The results of *in silico* analysis accounted for the possibility to amplify more *L. (L.) amazonensis* strains other those tested in this work, despite the database does not give information about the relative abundance of the kDNA minicircle sequences into the different strains. For example, the kDNA minicircle sequence of strain IFLA/BR/67/PH8 (GenBank M21325) shows a mismatch in the LMi-amaF sequence (Additional file 2: Figure S2) but it was efficiently amplified in our assay (Table 3), probably due to the presence of another minicircle subclass matching the primer sequence.

Taken together, a new diagnostic approach for *Leishmania* species discrimination from clinical samples or isolates has been developed: first, a qPCR-ML followed by HRM analysis is performed. In the case of lack of amplification, or if HRM results are inconclusive, the qPCR-ama assay is performed, and the evaluation of C_q values for both assays will allow the discrimination of the two species.

| Canine conjunctival swab sample | qPCR-ML (C_q ± SD) | qPCR-ama (C_q ± SD) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 1                               | 29.15 ± 0.84      | negative            |
| 2                               | 31.93 ± 1.13      | negative            |
| 3                               | 31.49 ± 0.69      | negative            |
| 4                               | 34.06 ± 0.76      | negative            |
| 5                               | 33.61 ± 0.18      | negative            |
| 6                               | 27.32 ± 0.96      | negative            |

Table 4: qPCR-ML and qPCR-ama results in canine clinical samples

Fig. 6 A possible diagnostic approach based on qPCR and HRM analysis. The qPCR-ML followed by HRM analysis is performed. In the case of lack of amplification, or if HRM results are inconclusive, the qPCR-ama can also be performed. The evaluation of C_q values for both assays will allow discriminating between *L. (L.) infantum* and *L. (L.) amazonensis*.
species (Fig. 6). This approach is possible for any molecular diagnostic laboratory equipped with an HRM qPCR instrument since it is based on a SYBR green chemistry and requires only three primers. Moreover, since the cost per reaction is relatively low (less than 1 €) and the PCR conditions are the same, the two assays could be run simultaneously to speed up the diagnostic process.

Conclusions
The new approach to differentiate L. (L.) amazonensis and L. (L.) infantum infections in geographical regions in which these species co-exist could be a useful diagnosis tool. Due to qPCR sensitivity, this approach will allow species differentiation from isolated parasites and from DNA extracted from clinical samples. This study represents a proof of concept: more samples should be analysed, and accuracy studies should be performed in clinical environments to validate the real utility of this method. Moreover, more species could be tested to extend the feasibility of this approach to other species or complex.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Figure S1. RFLP analysis. Amplicons obtained with qPCR-ML were digested with EcoRI as described in methods. Digestion mixtures were analysed on a 3% high-resolution MetaPhor gel. Only the amplicon from L. (L.) amazonensis isolate was partially restricted in fragments of 64 and 48 bp. 1) L. (L.) amazonensis isolate; 2) L. (L.) amazonensis isolate; 3) L. (V.) guyanensis isolate; 4) L. (V.) panamensis isolate; 5) L. (V.) braziliensis isolate; 6) canine clinical sample A; m: marker 9. (PPTX 47 kb)

Additional file 2: Figure S2. CLUSTAL multiple alignments of 16 L. (L.) amazonensis kDNA minicircle sequences retrieved from Genbank (partial sequences). The alignment was performed by MUSCLE with default options. The boxes indicate the positions of primers LMi-amaF and LMR; the sequences perfectly matching the LMi-amaF primer are highlighted. (DOCX 16 kb)
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