Outgassing from Open and Closed Magma Foams
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During magma ascent, bubbles nucleate, grow, coalesce, and form a variably permeable porous network. The reorganization, failing and sealing of bubble walls may contribute to the opening and closing of the volcanic system. In this contribution we cause obsidian to nucleate and grow bubbles to high gas volume fraction at atmospheric pressure by heating samples to 950°C for different times and we image the growth through a furnace. Following the experiment, we imaged the internal pore structure of selected samples in 3D and then dissected for analysis of textures and dissolved water content remnant in the glass. We demonstrate that in these high viscosity systems, during foaming and subsequent foam-mutation, bubbles near a free surface resorb via diffusion to produce an impermeable skin of melt around a foam. The skin thickens non-linearly through time. The water concentrations at the outer and inner skin margins reflect the solubility of water in the melt at the partial pressure of water in atmospheric and water-rich bubble conditions, respectively. In this regime, mass transfer of water out of the system is diffusion limited and the sample shrinks slowly. In a second set of experiments in which we polished off the skin of the foamed samples and placed them back in the furnace to allow open system outgassing, we observe rapid sample contraction and collapse of the connected pore network under surface tension as the system efficiently outgasses. In this regime, mass transfer of water is permeability limited. We conclude that diffusion-driven skin formation can efficiently seal connectivity in foams. When rupture of melt film around gas bubbles (i.e., skin removal) occurs, then rapid outgassing and consequent foam collapse modulate gas pressurization in the vesiculated magma. The mechanisms described here are relevant to the evolution of pore network heterogeneity in permeable magmas.
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INTRODUCTION

During ascent and eruption, magma vesiculates due to changes in pressure and temperature (e.g., Zhang, 1999). This vesiculation is initiated by the oversaturation of volatile components that exsolve through the nucleation and growth of bubbles, which may produce a magmatic foam (Sparks, 1978). The development of porosity is central to the volcanic eruptions as it controls the buoyancy of magma (Gonnermann and Manga, 2007), its rheology (Llewellin et al., 2002) and the pore pressure (Martel et al., 2000) that influence eruptive behavior. During vesiculation, bubbles
may coalesce and create a variably permeable network that regulates outgassing (Lindoo et al., 2016), which if efficient may result in foam collapse. If outgassing (i.e., the removal of volatiles from the system) is prevented by a low permeability network or barrier (Yoshimura and Nakamura, 2008), pressure may build up and the strain rate at the bubble walls may exceed the inverse of the relaxation time of the melt phase, in which case magma fragments, potentially triggering an explosive eruption (Dingwell, 1996). Collapse of the bubble network is driven by stress (Ashwell et al., 2015), and/or shear (Okumura et al., 2008, 2009) and surface tension (Kennedy et al., 2016). This densification may lead to the formation of a less permeable magmatic conduit fill that can plug the volcanic system leading to an overpressurization (Michaut et al., 2009; Ashwell et al., 2015).

Numerical models and laboratory measurements have contributed to our understanding of porosity evolution in magma (Navon and Lyakhovsky, 1998; Blower, 2001). Recent developments in 3D imaging of the foaming process in situ as well as computational simulation of fluid flow through those pores have constrained the development of permeable networks in magma (e.g., Baker et al., 2012). However, theoretical models of bubble growth are difficult to reconcile with observations of natural erupted products (e.g., Rust and Cashman, 2011). Geochemical (volatile) and textural heterogeneities of eruptive products highlight the complexity of outgassing behavior in silicic volcanic conduits (e.g., Castro et al., 2012, 2014). And yet, our current understanding fails to capture the complex physical and chemical interplay taking place during magma degassing and outgassing. Local changes in pore network geometry and the development of short-lengthscale heterogeneity can preclude or localize outgassing; processes ubiquitous in natural volcanic interiors (e.g., Stasiuk et al., 1996; Kendrick et al., 2013; Farquharson et al., 2016). Fragmentation can open pathways for outgassing that might subsequently heal (Tuffen et al., 2003; Cabrera et al., 2010). Previous work has focussed on the growth of bubbles in homogeneous foams and the resultant connectivity (Pistone et al., 2015; Lindoo et al., 2016), or on the disruption of foams during shearing (Okumura et al., 2009).

Here, we experimentally test the evolution of foams during either diffusive (closed foam) or capillary-forced (open foam) outgassing, and discuss the development of degassing and outgassing processes in closed and open systems. Vesiculation in natural obsidian samples is achieved through temperature increase causing oversaturation of volatiles in the silicate melt. These experiments allow us to explore the impact of pore network heterogeneity on the outgassing processes in volcanic settings.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We used homogeneous obsidian from Ben Lomond dome in New Zealand. These samples were selected as they contain 0.12–0.16 wt.% (total) water homogeneously distributed across the sample cores (von Aulock, 2013). This concentration exceeds the solubility of water in calc-alkaline rhyolite at atmospheric pressure (0.1 MPa) and 950°C Liu et al. (2005). The samples are crystal-poor (<1 vol.% in minor flow bands) and contain no significant macroscopic or microscopic porosity (see Supplementary Figure S1). The location, chemistry and rheological properties of similar samples is described by Stevenson et al. (1993, 2001) as BL-6 (gray obsidian). These analyses match our observations. Only specimen without macroscopically visible heterogeneities, such as spherulites or other crystals were selected for the experiments. A scanning electron microscopy image of a representative sample of the starting material can be found in the Supplementary Material.

We heated 7 cylindrical cores (9.7 mm diameter and 10 mm length) to 950°C at 10°C min⁻¹ and kept them at isothermal dwells of 5–120 h. The samples (BL-5–120) were then removed from the furnace and quenched in air to room temperature and cut radially for textural and geochemical analyses.

To measure the thickness of the outer layer of glass that developed at the sample edge (see results), we filled the pores exposed on both halves of the dissected foams with white acrylic paint before polishing the dissected surface to improve the contrast between the pores (white) and glass (black) for imaging. The skin area was delimited manually and, for consistency, we neglected any areas containing bubbles. The thickness of these skins was then measured based on Euclidean distances using the Bonej package in ImageJ (Doube et al., 2010). Here we report the mean skin thicknesses of each sample.

The water content of the original (BL) and one foamed sample (BL-FTIR) was measured on the Micro-infrared Analysis beamline of the Australian Synchrotron both on an online Bruker with MCT detector and an offline Focal plane array detector at a pixel/slot size of 5 x 5 µm and pixel distance of 3 µm. These data were complemented by conventional FTIR measurements at the University of Liverpool at spot sizes of ~100 x 100 µm. Samples were first cut and doubly polished to thick sections of ~100–500 µm and their absorption at the OH⁻ vibrational band at 3,760 cm⁻¹ analyzed. The data were analyzing using a constant density of 2,216 kg m⁻³ (measured by helium pycnometry) an absorption coefficient of 88 (Ihinger et al., 1994) and data were interpreted following von Aulock et al. (2014).

A second set of experiments with two additional sample cores (BL-CT-A and BL-CT-B) was conducted for 24 h at 950°C. After the 24 h the outer edge of one of two foamed samples (BL-CT-B) was polished off, exposing the porous core to allow open system outgassing. The pair of samples was placed again in the furnace for >24 h to monitor the evolution of the foam. While in the furnace, photographs were captured at 1 image per minute through a window. The internal structure of these samples was then reconstructed in 3D using micro X-ray computed tomography (XCT).

The evolution of sample volume was estimated by image analysis. We converted images to greyscales and adjusted contrast and brightness in Adobe Lightroom; we set manual thresholds in ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012) and manually refined boundaries in Adobe Photoshop CS6™. Assuming that the sample could be approximated as cylindrical, we use the time-dependent silhouette area $A(t)$ relative to the initial area $A_i$ to compute the time-dependent ratio of the sample volume $V(t)$ to the initial known volume $V_i$ by the relationship $V(t)/V_i = (A(t)/A_i)^{3/2}$ (Wadsworth et al., 2016). A table of samples and the applied analysis can be found in the Supplementary Material (Table S1).
FIGURE 1 | Geometry evolution of foamed experimental samples. (A) Left: Foaming with coincident skin formation. Right: Foaming followed by surface-tension driven collapse after removal of the skin. (B) Tracking the time dependent volume of the samples in (A): both samples are foaming freely until the skin is removed of one of them (sample BL-CT-B, red squares); error bars refer to a minimum estimated error of 3%. (C) Cross sections of the skin development.

RESULTS

During the experiments, samples expand rapidly and then deflate slowly while a bubble-free outer layer of liquid thickens. In experiments where this outer layer of liquid is removed, the sample deflates more rapidly, and the final volume approaches the pre-experimental volume (Figure 1). XCT reveals that the volume increase is due to vesiculation (Figure 2). The samples expand by 300–400% in the first 6–12 h. Beyond 12 h, the foam volume slowly reduces at an apparently constant rate of $\sim 1\% \, h^{-1}$. In experiments exceeding 5 h, a discernible bubble-free skin develops around the bubbly core. The skin thickens non-linearly through time (Figure 1).

We mapped the water concentration distribution across the thickening skin preserved as a glassy rind on quenching. The analysis shows a very low total water content (0.04 wt.%) along the outer margin of the skin and up to $\sim 0.1$ wt.% at the inner margin (Figure 3). The latter value also represents the average water concentration of bubble walls analyzed inside the foam.

The internal structure of the foamed samples was reconstructed in 3D using XCT. The foamed interior is surrounded by a dense, vesicle-free “skin” glass. This skin shows a dimpled surface and no pores or micro-fractures that would connect the inner bubble network to the outside. The lack of connectivity between the inside and the outside of the sample is supported by photomicrographs and by He-pycnometry. The 3D reconstructions show that most vesicles are near-spherical with the exception of the vesicles adjacent to the dense skin, which are oblate and elongate along inner margin of the skin.

The fully foamed samples BL-CT-A and BL-CT-B were removed from the furnace after 24 h at 950°C. One of these samples was polished slightly to remove the outermost skin of glass on the surface of the sample; the other sample was left untouched. When reheating the samples to 950°C the polished sample collapses quickly (within 8–10 h) toward the original dense glass volume (Figures 1, 3). The pore size of these samples was measured using XCT images and the ImageJ particle analyser tool (Schneider et al., 2012) final mean radius of $60 \pm 10 \, \mu m$ (with skin using Feret radii) and $25 \, \mu m$ (without skin using volume equivalent diameter).

DISCUSSION

The foaming experiments detailed here demonstrate the strong physical control of a water pressure contrast across a surface on the development, stability and water content of magmatic foams. Due to vesiculation the samples rapidly increase in volume (Figure 1). In the sample interior and after the nucleation phase, bubble growth proceeds according to bubble growth laws (Proussevitch et al., 1993; Navon et al., 1998; Blower et al., 2001). Although the initial material is a natural sample that contains some crystals and heterogeneities, no significant impact of the texture on the foaming behavior could be observed. However, after foaming $>12$ h, heterogeneous expansion can be observed (see Figure 1C) that was caused by heterogeneous heating in the furnace. We estimate the temperature to vary within $\pm 3–5^\circ C$.
FIGURE 2 | Total water concentration (determined by both synchrotron-source or conventional FTIR) in the skin. (A) Spot locations from a vesicle at the inner skin margin toward the outer skin margin at the sample edge of BL-24 at a minimum thickness of only 60 µm (axis labels in µm). (B) The water concentration profile from (A). (C) The average water concentration over 2–5 measurement points at the inner skin margin during skin formation (error bars indicate standard derivation).

over the diameter of the sample, particularly in experiments that were observed through a window.

The onset of nucleation occurs after a significant delay of up to 5 h which could be caused by a combination of low initial oversaturation (of only <0.12 wt.% water above the solubility at the experimental temperature) and lack of nucleation sites, such as microlites. The presence of a surface through which a water pressure difference exists, favors diffusion and the development of an outer skin. This has been observed in other samples (Ryan et al., 2015) and is also referred to as bubble-free margin (Yoshimura and Nakamura, 2008). Once the maximum volume is reached when the water in bubble-bubble films has equilibrated, exsolution ceases but bubble coalescence and redistribution can continue internally. In our samples, bubble-bubble contacts have flattened as they have continued to grow after the skin has thickened, degassed and increased in viscosity (Figure 2). The constant water concentration at the interior of the skin through time as well as the apparent mechanical barrier to bubble growth evidences the attainment of a diffusion-controlled, closed-system foam structure. The water concentration from the sample edge records a profile that is increasing toward the sample interior and through the developing skin (Figure 2). This implies that there was a diffusion gradient from the bubble growth region in the sample core toward the sample exterior and we infer that mass transfer of water by diffusion has occurred out of the sample. This is consistent with the different partial pressure of water in air (0.04 wt.% if experiments started at 30°C and 100% relative humidity). The ongoing process of water diffusion results in bubbles proximal to the sample edge shrinking as water is removed through the melt skin out of the sample. As mass is diffusively removed from the bubbles near to the internal skin margin, they resorb (McIntosh et al., 2014) and surface tension stresses reduce the bubble volume. Therefore, bubbles adjacent to sample edge are progressively consumed by this process and promote the development and thickening of the skin (Figure 1; Yoshimura and Nakamura, 2008).

Consistent with Yoshimura and Nakamura (2008), we show that diffusion controls skin formation and thickening. This is evidenced by the linear scaling between skin thickness and the square root of time (Figure 3). This additionally suggests that the skin thickness evolves with the diffusion length $L$ where $L \approx \sqrt{Dt}$ and $D$ is a mean of the diffusivity of water in the skin and $t$ is the time. At the experimental conditions and the water concentration range 0.05–0.1 wt. %, $D$ is in the range $2.48 \times 10^{-13}$ to $4.946 \times 10^{-13}$ m$^2$s$^{-1}$ (Zhang and Ni, 2010). This relatively tight range justifies use of a mean diffusivity $D$ in our assessment of the diffusion length, while a full analysis would take account of the spatial distribution of diffusivity. In Figure 3 we additionally show that $L(t)$ is proportional to the skin thickness development where we use Zhang and Ni (2010) to compute $D$ for the range of water contents and take a mean of these values for use in $L$.

The diffusion-controlled collapse of a closed system foam is in stark contrast to the deformation (deformation refers to a change in the relative location of particles within the sample body) associated with an openly outgassing foam (Otsuki et al.,
Do not hallucinate.

Kennedy et al. (2016) have established the central role of repeated skin removal and pore space expansion in the collapse of open-system magma foams. When the skin is removed from the sample exterior where the pore phase can outgas, this proceeds under surface tension driven viscous flow at the pore-network interfaces and can be cast as the evolution of the sample porosity \( \phi \) relative to the initial porosity \( \phi_i \) and the scaling appropriate for the time-evolution of this process is using the capillary time scale \( \tau = a_i \mu / \Gamma \) where \( a_i \) is the initial bubble radius, \( \mu \) is the melt viscosity and \( \Gamma \) is the surface tension (Taylor, 1934; Manga et al., 1998). The capillary time controls this process when surface tension is dominant over buoyancy forces, which is the case in our system. If the experimental time \( t \) is taken from the point of skin removal \( t_0 \) then the dimensionless time is \( \tilde{t} = (t - t_0)/\lambda \). and then Wadsworth et al. (2016) provide a useful analytical approximation of a surface-tension-driven densification process, given by \( \dot{\phi} = \exp(-3\tilde{t}/2) \). Here \( \dot{\phi} \) accounts for the final porosity \( \phi_f \) that is non-zero, which was shown to be appropriate for collapsing pumice at high temperature (Kennedy et al., 2016) and is \( \dot{\phi} = (\phi - \phi_f)/(\phi_i - \phi_f) \). This densification process will be operative until the permeability of the foam becomes low enough to impede outgassing as surface tension drives the bubble wall-motion, eventually leading to closure of the pore network completely. Using \( \mu = 1.58 \times 10^5 \) Pa s (for 950°C and 0.1 wt.% water using Hess and Dingwell, 1996), \( a_i = 50 \mu \) m, from X-ray tomography of the foam interior, and \( \Gamma = 0.3 \) N m\(^{-1}\) (Gardner and Ketcham, 2011) we show that the open-system foam collapse process is well captured by this approximate model (Figure 3).

Once densification by this process is complete, the system transitions again from being open to closed. Once re-closed, the system can respond to potential changes in temperature and pressure that would permit re-growth of bubbles again. The resultant impermeable system can produce a thickening skin as described previously as diffusion of water from bubbles will continue. In our experiments a repetition of this process does not happen as the pore phase is replaced by air once the system is opened which is insoluble in the melt. Therefore, we can truly isolate the deflation mechanism from the diffusion mechanism. The magnitude of the volume decrease associated with surface-tension driven sample contraction is distinctly greater than the diffusion-driven outgassing and skin-maturation process (Figure 1). This highlights the fundamental control of impermeable skins in preventing permeable outgassing and that rupture of such thin skins can cause rapid deflation if the foam is internally permeable.

The formation of impermeable boundaries, in otherwise highly vesicular volcanic rocks, can be commonly observed on scales ranging from breadfruit bomb rims \( \sim 10^{-2} \sim 10^{-3} \) m; Wright et al., 2007) to contact between lava domes and dykes \( \sim 10^{-3} \sim 10^{-0} \) m; (Schauroth et al., 2016). Self-sealing skin formation may additionally contribute to the development of impermeable structures inside a magmatic column. A key scenario in which rapid transitions occur between closed foam and open foam is fracturing in a conduit filled with bubbly magma (Gonnermann and Manga, 2003). However, the activity of water is likely to be different in the fracture and in the bubbles, which we suggest promotes skin formation at fracture margins. This could effectively localize permeability in the fracture networks and isolate them from the foamy regions adjacent, preventing further densification. At larger scales Castro et al. (2012, 2014) have established the central role of repeated open and closed diffusive mass transfer of water into fractures during the ascent of dense rhyolitic magma. Our model extends these observations to the more complex case where the fracturing occurs in magmatic foams, causing a shift from diffusion limited to permeability limited outgassing (and deflation), and back again to diffusion-limited outgassing as length scale modulated open system outgassing and deflation, followed by diffusion limited scale modulated outgassing and deformation causing bubbles to shrink in the vicinity of the fracture. Our model shows...
that in the case of magmatic foams, diffusion-limited processes may be momentarily interrupted by more efficient permeability-limited outgassing steps. This is consistent with Castro et al. (2014) who have shown that the geochemical signature recorded in the volcanic glass contains evidence that the magmatic column undergoes both open and closed batched degassing. The different length scales that control skin formation and permeable outgassing lead to heterogeneous foams in volcanic environments and we stress that this has to be taken into account when interpreting outgassing trends and deformation at active volcanoes.

CONCLUSIONS

The experimental and analytical constraints on degassing and outgassing in magmatic foams from this study contribute to our understanding of permeability changes in volcanic rocks. We heated obsidian samples above their glass transition temperature, causing foaming and diffusion limited outgassing to the atmosphere. This caused an impermeable skin of dehydrated glass to form around the foamed samples, effectively sealing it from outgassing by gas flow through a permeable network of pores. When this impermeable skin is removed, the sample deflates rapidly during foam collapse which in our experiments is controlled by surface tension. Our models accurately predict the timescales of both skin formation and foam collapse. The results of our study imply that diffusional outgassing can cause local heterogeneities in magmatic foams that can disrupt permeable gas loss. This presents a possibility for repeated changes between closed and open system outgassing within the dynamic environment of a magmatic foam in a volcano.
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Figure S1 | Backscattered electron image of a typical sample of Ben Lomond obsidian (BL-Pre) used in this study at 50, 100, 200, 400, and 800x magnification. All images were taken on a Philips XL 30 SEM at 20 kV.

Table S1 | List of analytical methods/experiments used on samples of this study.
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