Microwave-Assisted vs. Conventional Hydrothermal Synthesis of MoS\(_2\) Nanosheets: Application towards Hydrogen Evolution Reaction
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Abstract: Molybdenum sulfide (MoS\(_2\)) has emerged as a promising catalyst for hydrogen evolution applications. The synthesis method mainly employed is a conventional hydrothermal method. This method requires a longer time compared to other methods such as microwave synthesis methods. There is a lack of comparison of the two synthesis methods in terms of crystal morphology and its electrochemical activities. In this work, MoS\(_2\) nanosheets are synthesized using both hydrothermal (HT-MoS\(_2\)) and advanced microwave methods (MW-MoS\(_2\)), their crystal morphology, and catalytical efficiency towards hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) were compared. MoS\(_2\) nanosheet is obtained using microwave-assisted synthesis in a very short time (30 min) compared to the 24 h hydrothermal synthesis method. Both methods produce thin and aggregated nanosheets. However, the nanosheets synthesized by the microwave method have a less crumpled structure and smoother edges compared to the hydrothermal method. The as-prepared nanosheets are tested and used as a catalyst for hydrogen evolution results in nearly similar electrocatalytic performance. Experimental results showed that: HT-MoS\(_2\) displays a current density of 10 mA/cm\(^2\) at overpotential (−280 mV) compared to MW-MoS\(_2\) which requires −320 mV to produce a similar current density, suggesting that the HT-MoS\(_2\) more active towards hydrogen evolutions reaction.
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1. Introduction

Two-dimensional (2D) transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), such as MoS\(_2\), MoSe, WS\(_2\), have attracted much attention owing to their various applications. As a low-cost and layer-type transition metal dichalcogenide, MoS\(_2\) is considered to be a promising electrocatalyst candidate for use in the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) \([1,2]\) supercapacitor \([3]\), and in battery research areas \([4,5]\). Crystals of MoS\(_2\) are composed of vertically stacked, weakly interacting layers held together by Van der Waals interactions, where a Mo atom is sandwiched between two layers of S atoms (shown in Figure 1a,b). 2D MoS\(_2\) can be obtained as monolayers, multilayers, as a form of nanosheets, nanoflowers, and nanoribbons \([6,7]\). Based on Structure, four poly-types of MoS\(_2\) structures have been identified: 1T-MoS\(_2\), 1H-MoS\(_2\), 2H-MoS\(_2\), and 3R-MoS\(_2\) \([8,9]\).

2H-MoS\(_2\) is one of the most stable among all poly-types, and has trigonal prismatic coordination around the molybdenum atom with two S-Mo-S units per elemental cell \([10]\). The origin of the high
catalytic activity of MoS$_2$ was found to be due to the presence of under coordinated sulfur atoms at the edge that possess a metallic character allowing for more effective absorption of H$^+$ for conversion into molecular hydrogen. Moreover, the importance of MoS$_2$ as a catalyst is not only based on its relatively high activity but also on its extremely excellent stability and long-term durability when in contact with various electrolytes. However, the bulk MoS$_2$ catalyst is not very efficient towards HER due to the limited number of active sites at the exposed edges of MoS$_2$ layers [10]. Recent research reports revealed that nanostructuring is effective to improve this kind of issue since it enhances the concentration of catalytically active edges. MoS$_2$ exhibits relatively higher catalytic performance for HER due to its optimum hydrogen adsorbing ability and high capability of accepting electrons through weak van der Waals interactions [11]. In addition, the HER activity of MoS$_2$ can be further improved by doping and heterostructure formation which results in improved catalytical activity. For instance, Yi Shi et al. [12] reported doping MoS$_2$ by Zn, Co, Fe, Ni, and Cu. The result shows doping with Zn improved the electrochemical activity and a positive shift in the onset potential with a turnover of 15.44 s$^{-1}$ at 300 mV overpotential was achieved. Liqian Wua et al. [13] synthesized the Mn-MoS$_2$/rGO hybrid catalyst which exhibits excellent HER activity with a small overpotential of 110 mV with a small Tafel slope (76 mV·dec$^{-1}$). Moreover, in terms of Tafel slope, MoS$_2$-based catalysts possess lower values [11,12,14,15] compared to metal oxides [16,17]. However, the hydrogen evolution performance of MoS$_2$ is still not satisfactory when compared to the platinum-based catalyst. Furthermore, the synthesis method mainly affects the catalytical properties due to the high tendency of oxidation of the metal surfaces.

MoS$_2$ can be synthesized by many different techniques, such as Micromechanical Exfoliation [18], Liquid Phase Exfoliation [19] (called top-down synthesis methods), Physical Vapor Deposition [20],

![Figure 1](image_url)
chemical vapor deposition [21], atomic layer deposition [22], and solution-based synthesis (called bottom-up approach). Hydrothermal and microwave synthesis methods have been used for synthesizing MoS$_2$ nanosheets and nanoparticles at a relatively higher yield. Hydrothermal synthesis is mostly used due to the availability of the instruments used for synthesis but lacks uniform heating. For the microwave synthesis method, the precursors can be heated quickly, thus providing a more uniform temperature gradient compared to the conventional oven-based hydrothermal methods. In addition, it is known that the reactions vessels (Teflon) are microwave transparent which can provide constant heating across the reaction vessels. Furthermore, the instantaneous and rapid heating, high-temperature homogeneity, and selective heating is an advantage of Microwave over conventional methods [23]. Even if the acceleration of the reaction time by MW irradiation remains controversial, some results appear to show that the MW strategy does help to save time [23]. The reactions are mainly based on the ability of their precursors, including the solvents, to efficiently absorb the MW energy.

One of the fundamental questions in the synthesis of MoS$_2$ nanoparticle is its fast, easy, and scalable synthesis methods. In this work, we used microwave irradiation and conventional hydrothermal methods for the synthesis of MoS$_2$ nanosheets. In several reports [24–27], conventional heating inside an oven which takes roughly 24 h is used to synthesis MoS$_2$ nanosheets. We compared the crystal structure and morphology as well as the catalytical efficiency of both samples towards HER.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials Synthesis

HT-MoS$_2$ is synthesized by a typical hydrothermal method, similar to our previously reported method [14]. 200 mg of ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate and 300 mg of L-cysteine were dissolved in 80 mL of distilled water and stirred by a magnetic stirrer for 20 min as shown in Figure 1c,d. The obtained yellow solutions in the Teflon line vessels were transferred into a flexiWAVE advanced microwave reactor and maintained at 180$^\circ$C for 30 min. The heating ramp is displayed in Figure 1e. After the reaction mixtures cooled down to room temperature naturally, vacuum filtration was performed and MoS$_2$ powders were collected. After that, the filter papers were dried in a vacuum oven at 75$^\circ$C for 30 min. In the end, the powders were annealed at 500$^\circ$C in a tube furnace under argon gas flow for 2 h. For HT-MoS$_2$ the Teflon line autoclave was heated inside an oven at 180$^\circ$C for 24 h. Similar methods were used to extract the powder with similar annealing temperatures.

2.2. Materials Characterization

The morphology of the as-synthesized catalyst was characterized by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-SEM) (Jeol Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). High-Resolution Transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM) characterization was performed on an FEI Tecnai G20 equipped with EDAX Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and Fischione STEM-HAADF detector (FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA). XRD was carried on the PANalytical Empyrean X-ray diffraction diffractometer (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK) with Cu K$\alpha$ source. Raman spectra were conducted with a Senterra Raman spectrometer (Bruker, Hamburg, Germany) equipped with a 532 nm laser for excitation in the ambient environment.

The electrochemical performances are measured in a three-electrode configuration using ModuLab XM ECS potentiostat (Solartron Instrument) (AMETEK Scientific Instrument, Essex, UK). Platinum (Pt) sheets, catalyst deposited on glassy carbon electrodes (GC), and Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl), used as a counter, working and reference electrodes, respectively, under 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ electrolytes with a scan rate of 5 mV/s. The potential of the reference electrode was converted to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) by the formula $E_{(RHE)} = E_{(Ag/AgCl)} + 0.059 \times \text{pH} + 0.1976$ with 0.47 pH. For preparing the catalyst ink, 10 mg of each powder is dispersed in 40 µL Nafion and 200 µL isopropanol solution by sonication for 30 min. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) (AMETEK Scientific Instrument, Essex, UK)
was conducted over the frequency spectrum range from 100 kHz to 50 MHz at Ac amplitude of 5 mV. The polarization curves were manually IR compensated.

3. Results

3.1. Morphology Characterization

Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns of the as-prepared catalyst. As shown, HT-MoS₂ presents diffraction peaks at 2θ = 14.2°, 33.3°, 39.6°, 58.9°, and 69.3° that can be indexed as the (002), (100), (103), (110), and (201) peaks of pure hexagonal (P63/mmc space group) MoS₂ phase (JCPD card no. 96-101-1287), in agreement with similar works [14,28]. Similarly, the XRD pattern MW-MoS₂ result in identical diffraction peaks related to the hexagonal MoS₂ structure with identical intensities, which are quite broad, indicating a relatively poor crystallinity. The peak broadening is observed for both samples, suggesting the crystalline size is very small. The intensity difference between the reference pattern in the JCPD card and the as-prepared sample for (100) and (103) XRD peaks are due to the difference in texture (crystallite size variation) and the difference in the size of the scattering domains. There are no other peaks of impurities or different phases observed in the XRD patterns, demonstrating the crystal structure is pure MoS₂ nanosheets. Besides, both catalysts’ XRD patterns display a broad peak (002) which agrees with an interlayer spacing of 0.62 nm (obtained from TEM characterizations results).

![Figure 2. Powder XRD patterns of HT-MoS₂ and MW-MoS₂.](image)

The Raman spectra (Figure 3) of both samples displayed two characteristics peaking at 375 cm⁻¹ and 401.5 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the vibrational modes of the E₁²g and A₁g, suggesting that the crystal structure is 2H MoS₂. The intralayer bonding and the lattice vibrations of Molybdenum and Sulfur atoms are affected by the weak Van der Waals interactions between the planes. The two prominent Raman active modes are visible and intense. The in-plane relative motion between the two sulfur atoms and Mo is responsible for the E₁²g vibrational mode. Whereas, the out of plane vibrations of the two sulfur atoms are responsible for the A₁g mode of vibrations [29]. The peak separation between E₁²g and A₁g vibration modes determines the number of layers in the 2D MoS₂ [30]. In Bulk MoS₂, a blue shift
in the frequency of $E_{12g}$ peak and a redshift $A_{1g}$ frequency observed with increasing layer numbers due to the interlayer Van der Waals force that suppresses the MoS$_2$ atom vibrations [30]. In this work also around 26 cm$^{-1}$ peak width separation is observed for both samples, which are different from 18 cm$^{-1}$ (for single-layer MoS$_2$), suggesting the bulk nature of the as-prepared sample, consistent with previous reports [30]. Both the XRD and the Raman characterization of the as-prepared sample result in a similar crystal structure, suggesting the two methods can provide similar MoS$_2$ nanosheets.

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3.** Raman spectra of HT-MoS$_2$ (a) and MW-MoS$_2$ (b) conducted with a laser excitation of 532 nm.

The crystal morphology of the as-prepared materials is further examined by FE-SEM (Figure 4a,b). For both samples, the flower-like structure of MoS$_2$ is observed and it consists of crumpled and aggregated MoS$_2$ nanosheets. The Hydrothermal method results in very short range ordered wrinkle nanosheets compared to microwave methods. As depicted in Figure 4a,b, the MW-MoS$_2$ are smoother and interconnected nanosheets, suggesting that for the HT-MoS$_2$, the longer hydrothermal synthesis methods produce pours and very small crumpled MoS$_2$ nanosheets. Interestingly, the surface is rough which might possess many defective sites and could improve the catalytical properties of MoS$_2$.

The energy dispersive spectra (EDS) and corresponding elemental mapping are conducted to analyze the catalyst atomic composition. The quantitative surface analysis from EDS shows the presence of all the expected elements as shown in Figure S1g ESI. The uniform distribution of molybdenum, Sulfur, and oxygen are described in the EDS mapping shown in Figure 4c,d for both HT-MoS$_2$ and MW-MoS$_2$. According to high-resolution EDS elemental mapping, the samples displayed a uniform and homogeneous distribution of all elements across the nanosheets.
To further investigate the morphology and atomic structure of the as-prepared sample, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) is utilized. As displayed in Figure 5, both HT MoS$_2$ and MW-MoS$_2$ show a layered structure with wrinkled edges. The aggregates are formed by 2D nanosheets wrapped into particle-like structures (Figure 4a,d), with many wrinkles and folding observed for both hydrothermal and microwave synthesis methods (Figure 5b,e, and Figure S1 ESI). Microwave synthesis is resulting in a less crumpled structure with respect to the hydrothermal one, with smoother edges and “softer” curves. The average thickness is about six layers of MoS$_2$ for both samples, but the standard deviation decreases from 3.6 to 2.2 layers by employing the microwave-based method compared to the classic hydrothermal setup (measured by counting the (0, 0, 2) fringes). This suggests a more homogeneous thickness distribution that may be responsible for the slightly different morphology.

High-resolution (HR-TEM) micrographs (Figure 5c,f) of the wrinkled nanosheets display highly crystalline lattice, with the typical interplanar spacing associated with 2H-MoS$_2$. The wrinkles and folded edges are characterized by the 0.62 nm spacing, as expected for multi-layer crystalline Molybdenite [31]. The MoS$_2$ nanosheets are very thin as shown in TEM images, and each MoS$_2$ nanosheet is well stacked with an interlayer distance of 0.62 nm, corresponding to the (002) plane of MoS$_2$. It is also clearly seen that the MoS$_2$ nanosheets consist of randomly oriented layers with a larger interlayer distance of approximately 0.62 nm for both samples as shown in Figure 5b,e, and Figure S1 ESI. Additionally, the hexagonal pattern of MoS$_2$ can be recognized in the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the HR-TEM micrographs as shown in the red color (Figure S1d ESI).

Figure 4. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) image of HT-MoS$_2$ (a) and MW-MoS$_2$ (b). Energy Dispersive Spectra (EDS) mapping of Mo, S, and O for MW-MoS$_2$ (c) and MW-MoS$_2$ (d) indicating the homogeneous distribution of each element.
within the potential range from (0 to $-10$ mA/cm$^2$) for both samples. Accordingly, HT-MoS$_2$ needs $-280$ mV to produce a current density of $-10$ mA/cm$^2$, however, MW-MoS$_2$ needs $-320$ mV to reach a similar current density, suggesting that the HT-MoS$_2$ is more active within the faradic region. Moreover, the little improvement in the HER performance of the HT-MoS$_2$ catalyst was further evidenced by its lower Tafel slopes (165 mV/dec) compared to MW-MoS$_2$ (201 mV/dec) which were obtained by fitting the Tafel equation (Figure 6b). The decrease in the Tafel slope in the HT-MoS$_2$ sample is attributed to the improved electrical conductivity of the catalyst, which is caused by the presence of enriched active surface site densities.

Figure 5. High-Resolution Transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM) micrographs of HT-MoS$_2$ (a–c), MW-MoS$_2$ (d–f) with increasing magnification. In the inset, fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the HR-TEM micrograph displaying the typical hexagonal pattern of MoS$_2$ Zone Axis [0, 0, 2].

3.2. Electrochemical Characterization

To compare and discuss the electrocatalytic performances of HT-MoS$_2$ and MW-MoS$_2$ towards HER activity, the as-prepared catalysts were both tested in Ar-saturated 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte solution as described in the experimental sections. The catalytic HER performance of the prepared MoS$_2$ is shown in Figure 6. As can be seen, both samples show negligible currents at the low potential region. However, when the potential is increased, the current increased indicating the starting of HER electrocatalytic activity. It can be seen from Figure 6a the bare GC does not have any faradic process happening within a similar potential region while both HT-MoS$_2$ and MW-MoS$_2$ show enhancement in the current density due to the active nature of MoS$_2$. In sharp contrast, GC exhibited the complete absence of HER electrocatalytic activity due to the low current density and large onset potential. However, both HT-MoS$_2$ and MW-MoS$_2$ result in a similar onset potential.

Compared with MW-MoS$_2$, the HT-MoS$_2$ resulted in more activity and offered an increase in current densities after the onset potential. However, nearly similar non-faradic processes happening within the potential range from (0 to $-190$ mV vs. RHE). We compared the overpotential needed to reach a current density of $-10$ mA/cm$^2$ for both samples. Accordingly, HT-MoS$_2$ needs $-280$ mV to produce a current density of $-10$ mA/cm$^2$, however, MW-MoS$_2$ needs $-320$ mV to reach a similar current density, suggesting that the HT-MoS$_2$ is more active within the faradic region. Moreover, the little improvement in the HER performance of the HT-MoS$_2$ catalyst was further evidenced by its lower Tafel slopes (165 mV/dec) compared to MW-MoS$_2$ (201 mV/dec) which were obtained by fitting the Tafel equation (Figure 6b). The decrease in the Tafel slope in the HT-MoS$_2$ sample is attributed to the improved electrical conductivity of the catalyst, which is caused by the presence of enriched active surface site densities.
which makes it difficult to precisely identify the reactions steps based on the Tafel slope.

To understand the surface activity of the catalyst, the electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) is estimated by measuring the double-layer capacitance \( (C_{dl}) \) using cyclic voltammograms recorded from 0.12–0.23 V (vs. RHE). Figure 6c,d shows the CV with different scan rates for HT-MoS\(_2\) and MW-MoS\(_2\). The halves of the anodic and cathodic current density (capacitive current) at a constant potential (0.18 V) are plotted against the scan rate to obtain the double layer capacitance as shown in Figure 7a. The electrochemically active surface area can be approximated by the slope of the linear fitting of the capacitive current versus scan rate (Figure 6a), which is 14.11 mF/cm\(^2\) and 12.9 mF/cm\(^2\) for MW-MoS\(_2\) and HT-MoS\(_2\), respectively. The \( C_{dl} \) value obtained confirms that MW-MoS\(_2\) shows a little improved ECSA which is also observed from the polarization curve (Figure 6a) exhibiting an improved current in the non-faradic region. Both samples result in a favorable surface with abundant and fully accessible active sites.

The Lower Tafel slope suggests a rapid increase in HER kinetics leading an improved HER catalytic performance [32]. Higher values of Tafel slope (also in this work) are probably due to the coexistence of the parallel steps, such as the absorption and desorption of intermediates steps [33], which makes it difficult to precisely identify the reactions steps based on the Tafel slope.

To understand the surface activity of the catalyst, the electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) is estimated by measuring the double-layer capacitance \( (C_{dl}) \) using cyclic voltammograms recorded from 0.12–0.23 V (vs. RHE). Figure 6c,d shows the CV with different scan rates for HT-MoS\(_2\) and MW-MoS\(_2\). The halves of the anodic and cathodic current density (capacitive current) at a constant potential (0.18 V) are plotted against the scan rate to obtain the double layer capacitance as shown in Figure 7a. The electrochemically active surface area can be approximated by the slope of the linear fitting of the capacitive current versus scan rate (Figure 6a), which is 14.11 mF/cm\(^2\) and 12.9 mF/cm\(^2\) for MW-MoS\(_2\) and HT-MoS\(_2\), respectively. The \( C_{dl} \) value obtained confirms that MW-MoS\(_2\) shows a little improved ECSA which is also observed from the polarization curve (Figure 6a) exhibiting an improved current in the non-faradic region. Both samples result in a favorable surface with abundant and fully accessible active sites.

Figure 7b shows the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) for MW-MoS\(_2\) and HT-MoS\(_2\). The fitting was performed using the Nyquist circuit shown in Figure 7b inset and this gives back all the necessary information including charge transfer resistance (\( R_{ct} \)), constant phase (CPE), and solution resistance (\( R_s \)) associated with HER kinetics. The fitting results are given in Table 1. The EIS measurement was conducted at a DC potential of \(-0.4 \) V vs. RHE. The lower charge transfer resistance is associated with the higher HER catalytic performance.
From the crystal morphology analysis (XRD and Raman), both methods result in similar crystal structural characteristics. However, the SEM images revealed that microwave methods are a more crumpled structure with short-range order. However, based on their HER activities the hydrothermal methods provide better catalytical performances. Hydrothermal methods usually result in defect rich surfaces, especially for MoS$_2$ and related metal sulfides, with rich sulfur vacancies [14,34,35]. The presence of intrinsic sulfur vacancies in the hydrothermally synthesized sample is attributed to the higher active sites and better conductivity of the HT-MoS$_2$ catalyst which can provide an additional electrocatalytic platform for improved hydrogen evolution performance. Sulfur vacancy sites can also introduce a favorable hydrogen binding energy, leading to the highest per-site turnover frequency. In the catalysis field, particularly for HER, it is advantageous to have a defect rich MoS$_2$. However, for other applications, such as electrical and mechanical applications, high-quality and defect-free MoS$_2$ nanosheet becomes a difficult problem. The uniform heating rate and shorter synthesis time for Microwave methods can be ideal options for having defect-free or less defect rich MoS$_2$ compared to hydrothermal methods.

The values obtained for HT-MoS$_2$ (354.4 ohms) confirm the enhanced electrochemical properties compared to the MW-MoS$_2$ (401 ohms). The very small difference in the charge transfer resistance values is attributed to the higher active sites and better conductivity of the HT-MoS$_2$ catalyst which is also observed in the polarization curve Figure 6a.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** The linear slope for obtaining double-layer capacitance $C_{dl}$ (a), potentiostat impedances (b), the inset is an equivalent circuit used for fitting potentiostat impedances result.

| Catalyst     | $Rs$ (Ohms) | $Rct$ (Ohms) | CPE $q_1$ | CPE $\alpha$ |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| HT-MoS$_2$   | 4           | 353.4        | 0.0007     | 0.820         |
| MW-MoS$_2$   | 4.6         | 401          | 0.0006     | 0.802         |

**4. Discussion**

Based on the result obtained above, microwave-assisted synthesis methods have an advantage over hydrothermal methods because of their uniform heating, minimum energy consumptions, higher yield, and shorter synthesis time. The nanosheets obtained by microwave methods show thin and uniform morphology confirmed by the SEM images. The nanosheets obtained by hydrothermal methods are a more crumpled structure with short-range order. However, based on their HER activities the hydrothermal methods provide better catalytical performances. Hydrothermal methods usually result in defect rich surfaces, especially for MoS$_2$ and related metal sulfides, with rich sulfur vacancies [14,34,35]. The presence of intrinsic sulfur vacancies in the hydrothermally synthesized sample can provide an additional electrocatalytic platform for improved hydrogen evolution performance. Sulfur vacancy sites can also introduce a favorable hydrogen binding energy, leading to the highest per-site turnover frequency. In the catalysis field, particularly for HER, it is advantageous to have a defect rich MoS$_2$. However, for other applications, such as electrical and mechanical applications, high-quality and defect-free MoS$_2$ nanosheet becomes a difficult problem. The uniform heating rate and shorter synthesis time for Microwave methods can be ideal options for having defect-free or less defect rich MoS$_2$ compared to hydrothermal methods.

**5. Conclusions**

MoS$_2$ is successfully synthesized using two methods (conventional Hydrothermal and Microwave method). The as-prepared catalyst is compared in terms of crystal morphology and catalytical performance towards HER. From the crystal morphology analysis (XRD and Raman), both methods result in similar crystal structural characteristics. However, the SEM images revealed that
the hydrothermal method results in very short range ordered wrinkle nanosheets compared to microwave methods.

Comparing the catalytical performance of the catalyst obtained using the two methods towards HER kinetics, it results in a very small difference in catalyst performance, which suggests that the short-range ordered wrinkle nanosheets (for HT-MoS\(_2\)) favor more active sites during the catalysis. In particular, the HT-MoS\(_2\) needs \(-280\) mV to produce a current density of \(10\) mA/cm\(^2\), whereas \(-320\) mV potential is needed to reach a similar current density for MW-MoS\(_2\), suggesting that the HT-MoS\(_2\) is more active within the faradic region. Moreover, the HT-MoS\(_2\) catalyst also possesses lower Tafel slopes (165 mV/dec) compared to MW-MoS\(_2\) (201 mV/dec). The EIS conducted at a dc potential of \(-0.4\) V vs. RHE results in lower charge transfer resistance for HT-MoS\(_2\) (354.4 ohms) compared to the MW-MoS\(_2\) (401 ohms).

In general, we believe that this comparison can provide important information on the two synthesis methods of MoS\(_2\). Even if there is a small difference in catalytical performance, the very short time needed for synthesizing MoS\(_2\) using a microwave is very easy and safe as well as less energy-consuming which results in a similar crystal structure compared to the hydrothermal methods.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4352/10/11/1040/s1, Figure S1: HR-TEM micrographs of HT-MoS\(_2\) (a–d), MW-MoS\(_2\) (e,f), EDX spectrum confirming the presence of all elements (g).
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