Survey of Red Fluorescence Proteins as Markers for Secretory Granule Exocytosis
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Abstract

Fluorescent proteins (FPs) have proven to be valuable tools for high-resolution imaging studies of vesicle transport processes, including exo- and endocytosis. Since the pH of the vesicle lumen changes between acidic and neutral during these events, pH-sensitive FPs with near neutral pKa, such as pHluorin, are particularly useful. FPs with pKa>6 are readily available in the green spectrum, while red-emitting pH-sensitive FPs are rare and often not well characterized as reporters of exo- or endocytosis. Here we tested a panel of ten orange/red and two green FPs in fusions with neuropeptide Y (NPY) for use as secreted vesicle marker and reporter of dense core granule exocytosis and release. We report relative brightness, bleaching rate, targeting accuracy, sensitivity to vesicle pH, and their performance in detecting exocytosis in live cells. Tandem dimer (td)-mOrange2 was identified as well-targeted, bright, slowly bleaching and pH-sensitive FP that performed similar to EGFP. Single exocytosis events were readily observed, which allowed measurements of fusion pore lifetime and the dynamics of the exocytosis protein syntaxin at the release site during membrane fusion and cargo release.

Introduction

Fluorescent proteins (FP) are extensively used as genetically encoded fluorescent tags [1,2] to address a multitude of biological questions. Their popularity is primarily due to the ease of labeling proteins of interest in living cells and organisms. Systematic development of novel and improved FPs has led to a palette covering the entire visible spectrum, but every FP comes with its own advantages and disadvantages. Newly developed FPs are usually benchmarked for brightness, photochemistry, photostability, cytotoxicity, pH- and ion sensitivity, as well as subcellular targeting accuracy with a fairly standardized panel of subcellular localization sequences [3,4,5]. However, the latter does not include specialized organelles such as synaptic vesicles or dense core secretory granules, organelles that are involved in regulated exocytosis and release of neurotransmitters and hormones.

Regulated exocytosis involves Ca^{2+} and SNARE-dependent fusion of secretory vesicles with the plasma membrane to empty its cargo [6,7], and FP-tagged markers are increasingly being used to study exocytosis in neuronal synapses [8] and even release of individual vesicles.
[7,9] by live cell microscopy. Since opening of the initial fusion pore during exocytosis leads to neutralization of the acidic pH of the vesicle interior, pH-sensitive FP’s such as EGFP or pHluorin have been particularly useful in this respect. Depending on the pKa of the FP, the fluorescence of the intact acidic vesicle is dim but visible (EGFP, Venus), or absent (pHluorin). pHluorin has a neutral pKa [8,10] and is widely used for studying synapse function [11,12,13,14,15,16,17], exocytosis and fusion pore behavior [18,19,20,21,22], endocytosis [23,24], receptor trafficking [25,26], and endosome function [27]. It is essentially dark in the intact acidic vesicle lumen and reaches >70% of its maximal brightness at neutral pH [8,28], which is useful to reduce background fluorescence and therefore increase the signal to noise ratio of the measurements [8,10]. EGFP and Venus have slightly more acidic pKa’s which lead to 2–3 fold fluorescence increases when acidic organelles are neutralized [9,19,29,30,31]. Vesi-
cles labeled with these proteins remain visible even in the intact acidified state. If soluble vesicle cargo is labeled with such a FP, exocytosis leads to a rapid increase (flash) in vesicular fluores-
cence in the moment of fusion, followed by loss of the fluorescence as the cargo is released [9,30,32,33,34,35]. If instead a vesicle membrane protein is labeled, recycling and endocytosis processes can be studied [30,34,36,37].

For most other applications, it is desirable that FPs are insensitive to pH changes in the physiological range, and the development of novel FPs has consequentially focused on those with pKa < 5. This has led to a paucity of pH-sensitive red FPs, as required for the study of exo-
and endocytosis. Despite this, red FP’s such as mCherry [38] have been used for imaging secre-
tory granule release in dual color applications. These essentially pH insensitive FPs (pKa<5) only report cargo release and cannot be used to study pore behavior during endocytic retrieval. Anecdotal reports also indicate that these FPs do not target as precisely as GFP derived mark-
ers, and that they may not report exocytosis as reliably as their green counterparts. More recently, several novel red FP’s have become available, some of which are pH-sensitive (pKa>6). These include mApple [5], mNectarine [39], mRuby2 [40], mOrange2 [5] and td-
mOrange2 [41], td-tomato [38], pHred [42], pHTomato [43], pHuji and TYG-Cherry [28]. Their usefulness for the study of dense core granule exocytosis has not been explored systematically, although some have occasionally been used to image exo- or endocytosis [37,41,44]. Here we systematically survey available red FPs as tags for the study of dense core granule exocytosis.

Methods

Cells

Ins1-cells was used as a model for testing dense core granule exocytosis; we used the clone 832/13 [45]. Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen) containing 10 mM glucose and supple-
mented with 10% fetal bovine serum, streptomycin (100 μg/ml), penicillin (100 μg/ml), Na-
pyruvate (1 mM), and 2-mercaptoethanol (50 μM). The cells were plated on polylysine-coated coverslips, transfected using Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen) and imaged 24–34 hours later in Figs 1 and 2. In Figs 3D, 4B and 4C a set of experiments the specified cells were imaged after 48 hours. All other experiments in Figs 3, 4, 5 and 6 were performed 24–34 hours after transfection.

Solutions

Cells were imaged in a solution containing (in mM) 138 NaCl, 5.6 KCl, 1.2 MgCl₂, 2.6 CaCl₂, 3 D-glucose, 5 HEPES (pH 7.4 with NaOH). To dissipate granule pH gradients, 10 mM NH₄⁺Cl was added to this solution. For exocytosis experiments, glucose was increased to 10 mM and the solution supplemented with 2 μM forskolin and 200 μM diazoxide, a K⁺-ATP-channel
opener that prevents glucose-dependent depolarization. Exocytosis was then evoked with high K⁺ solution (75 mM KCl equimolarly replacing NaCl). Test solutions (high K⁺, NH₄⁺) were applied by computer-timed local pressure ejection through a glass pipette similar to those used for patch clamp. Exocytosis experiments were carried out at 32°C, all others at 22–25°C.

Constructs

The constructs used were neuropeptide Y (NPY)-mEGFP [46], NPY-mCherry [38,47], and Syntaxin 1A-EGFP [30] (all obtained from W Almers), EGFP-granuphilin [48] (obtained from Addgene) and EGFP-Rab7 [49] (obtained from J Neefjes). NPY-pHluorin, NPY-mRuby2, NPY-mApple, NPY-mOrange2 and NPY-mTurquoise2 were generated by replacing mCherry at AgeI and NotI sites in NPY-mCherry with Age1/Not1 fragments of superecliptic pHluorin [8], mRuby2 [39], mApple [5], mOrange2 [5], or mTurquoise2 [50]. NPY-mNectarine and NPY-tdTomato were generated by replacing mCherry in NPY-mCherry with PCR fragments of mNectarine [39] (primers atccacgcgtcgcaactatggtgagcaag and ttactgtacagctcgtccatgc, AgeI and NotI sites) or tdTomato [38] (primers atacggtcgccacatggtgagcaag and tctacttgacagctcgtccatgc, AgeI and BsrG1 sites). NPY-td-mOrange2 and NPY-pHtomato were generated by inverse fusion PCR cloning [51] to replace EGFP in NPY-EGFP with td-mOrange2 [41] or pHtomato [43] (primers tcgcggccctgtatagcgagatca, reverse primer phos-tcctcggggtacatccgct and agac-tacggcgcggag or gtgagcaagggcagg). NPY-pHuji was obtained by mutating K163Y using forward primer cggcgccctgtatagcgagatca, reverse primer phos-tcctcggggtacatccgct and NPY-mApple as template. NPY-TYG-Cherry was obtained by mutating M66T using forward primer cctcgagctctggtggcggtc, reverse primer phos-cctgagctctggtggcggtc and NPY-mCherry as template. The same amount of DNA (0.5 μg/coverslip) was used for all fluorescent granule markers.
Fig 2. Photostability of NPY-RFPs. A Examples images and bleaching timecourse of NPY-FP transfected granules ($\Delta F/\Delta F_0$). Imaging conditions were identical for all cells, except for excitation wavelength. Red lines are exponential fits used to derive the bleaching rate $t$. B Bleaching rates as calculated in A (n of granules is shown on bars; 8 to 19 cells each). Scale Bar = 1 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127801.g002
Cells were imaged using a custom-built lens-type total internal reflection (TIRF) microscope based on an AxioObserver Z1 with a 100x/1.45 objective (Carl Zeiss). Excitation was from two DPSS lasers at 491 and 561 nm (Cobolt, Stockholm, Sweden) passed through a cleanup filter (zet405/488/561/640x, Chroma) and controlled with an acousto-optical tunable filter (AA-Opto, France). Excitation and emission light were separated using a beamsplitter (ZT405/488/561/640rpc, Chroma). The emission light was chromatically separated onto separate areas.

Fig 3. Most NPY-RFPs target well to secretory granules. A Example images of cells coexpressing EGFP-granuphilin and NPY-RFPs as indicated. B Quantification of granuphilin-positive granules in A that also express NPY-RFP (n of granules is shown on bars; 37 to 43 cells). C Quantification of NPY-RFP-positive granules in A that also carry granuphilin (grey, >300 granules from >20 cells each) or rab7 (green, >200 granules from 13 cells each). D Granule density observed with NPY-FPs or EGFP-granuphilin as indicated, 24 h (black) or 48 h (red) after transfection. (18–46 cells each).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127801.g003

Microscopy

Cells were imaged using a custom-built lens-type total internal reflection (TIRF) microscope based on an AxioObserver Z1 with a 100x/1.45 objective (Carl Zeiss). Excitation was from two DPSS lasers at 491 and 561 nm (Cobolt, Stockholm, Sweden) passed through a cleanup filter (zet405/488/561/640x, Chroma) and controlled with an acousto-optical tunable filter (AA-Opto, France). Excitation and emission light were separated using a beamsplitter (ZT405/488/561/640rpc, Chroma). The emission light was chromatically separated onto separate areas.
of an EMCCD camera (Roper QuantEM 512SC) using an image splitter (Optical Insights) with a cutoff at 565 nm (565dcxr, Chroma) and emission filters (ET525/50m and 600/50m, Chroma). Scaling was 160 nm per pixel. In Fig 1 cells were imaged at 100 ms per frame in stream mode with 491 (0.5 mW) for green FPs, 561 (0.2 mW) for mCherry, mOrange2, td-mOrange2, mApple, 561 (0.1 mW) for tdTomato, 561 (0.5mW) for pHtomato, pHuji and TYG-Cherry, 561 (1 mW) for mNectarine and mRuby2. In Fig 2 camera exposure was 200 ms per frame, with excitation 561 nm (10 mW) for red FPs and 491 nm (10 mW) for green FPs present throughout. The two color channels (Figs 3 and 4) were acquired sequentially, first with cells exposed to 491 nm (1 mW) for 1 s (50x20 ms average), immediately followed by 561 nm (0.5 mW) for 100 ms. In Fig 5 excitation was 100 ms per frame in stream mode at 561 nm (0.2 mW; 0.5 mW for NPY-pHuji) for red FPs and 491 nm (0.5 mW) for green FPs. In Fig 6 exposure was 100 ms per frame in stream mode with excitation simultaneously at 491nm (1 mW) and 561 nm (0.2 mW) or 405 nm (1 mW) and 561 nm (0.2 mW). Alignment of the two color channels was corrected as previously described [30].

Image analysis

In Fig 1B–1D, the fluorescence emitted by the cell (F) was calculated as the pixel value in the footprint of the cell, corrected for out-of-cell background (bg). F is then normalized (FNH4+ / F0) to the first frame of the movie (F0). All other measurements are specific for granules: Granules that were well separated from other granules or the edge of the cell were identified and an
Fig 5. Visualizing exocytosis and release with NPY-RFPs. Exocytosis was evoked in cells expressing NPY-FPs by local application of elevated K⁺. A Examples of single granules undergoing exocytosis during stimulation. B Fluorescence timecourse of single exocytosing granules (ΔF). Note transient increase (flash) in many of the examples (46–96 granules, 8–11 cells). In case of pHuji the elevated K⁺-solution had pH 8.2; similar results were obtained at pH 7.4 (not shown). C Time-aligned averages of single granule exocytosis events as in A-B. D Fraction of flash events observed with different NPY-FPs. E Cumulative count of exocytosis events normalized to footprint area; timing of K⁺ stimulation as indicated. Traces are color coded for NPY-FPs as in D and F. F Total number of exocytosis events in E, normalized to footprint area. Scale Bar = 1 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127801.g005
algorithm implemented as MetaMorph journal then read the average pixel fluorescence in 1) a central circle (c) of 3 pxl (0.5 μm) diameter, 2) a surrounding annulus (a) with an outer diameter of 5 pxl (0.8 μm) and 3) an area not containing any cell as background (bg). Since the granule site is far smaller than the resolution of the microscope, the circle will contain all of the fluorescence originating from it. To obtain the specific granule fluorescence \( \Delta F \), the annulus value (a) was therefore subtracted from that of the circle (c) \( \Delta F = c-a \). In some cases, \( \Delta F \) was normalized to the first frame of the movie \( \Delta F_0 \) or the value obtained with NPY-mEGFP \( \Delta F_{mEGFP} \). Syntaxin was quantified similarly at granule sites \( \Delta F_{syx} \) and normalized with the

**Fig 6.** NPY-td-mOrange2 marks pore opening and release in double labeling experiments. A Example images of cells co-expressing NPY-td-mOrange with NPY-mTurquoise2 or syntaxin1A-EGFP. B Single exocytosis events in the double transfected cells. Note simultaneous release of NPY-td-mOrange2 with NPY-mTurquoise2 but not syntaxin-EGFP. C-D Time courses of single exocytosis events as in B. Red lines are non-linear fits as described in the text and methods. E Time delay between rising or falling phase of NPY-td-mOrange2 and onset of NPY-mTurquoise2 (NPY; 37 granules in 8 cells) or syntaxin-EGFP (syx; 48 granules in 10 cells) loss. Positive values indicate that the loss was initiated later than the reference event (rising/falling) in the NPY-td-mOrange2 channel. F Time-aligned averages of exocytosis events as in B. All traces were aligned to the falling phase of the NPY-td-mOrange2 signal. Scale Bar = 1 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127801.g006
off-granule syntaxin fluorescence \((S = a - bg)\) [47]. \(S\) represents the local unbound concentration of syntaxin-EGFP. Positive \(\Delta F/S\) values indicate binding of syntaxin-EGFP to the granule site, negative values indicate exclusion. Note that \(\Delta F\) is given as per-pixel average for the entire 0.5 \(\mu m^2\) circle, and \(\Delta F/S\) values are therefore seemingly small. Assuming a cluster size of 50 nm, \(\Delta F/S = 0.1\) corresponds to at least 20-fold enrichment in the cluster beneath a granule.

Exocytosis events were found by eye as events with an obvious change in the fluorescence from the pre-exocytosis baseline and eventual rapid loss of the signal. This definition applied to both types of event, with or without preceding flash. The times of exocytosis and release were then obtained by non-linear fitting with a discontinuous function. The assumptions were (1) constant fluorescence before fusion, (2) inverted exponential decay just after fusion, and (3) exponential decay during content release (see Fig 6B and 6C). Fitting was carried out in Origin (OriginLab Corp, Northampton, MA, USA).

\[
c(t) = \begin{cases} 
A_1 + s_1 \times (x - x_1) & \text{for } t < t_1 \\
A_2 - (A_2 - A_1) e^{-t_1/t_1} & \text{for } t_1 < t \leq t_2 \\
A_3 + \left( \frac{A_2 - (A_2 - A_1) e^{-t_2/t_1}}{A_1} \right) e^{-t_2/t_2} & \text{for } t > t_2,
\end{cases}
\]

where \(t\) is time; \(c\) is average fluorescence in a 0.48 \(\mu m\) wide circle at the granule site; \(A_1, A_2\) and \(A_3\) are the fluorescence values at the plateaus; \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) are the decay constants for the fluorescence increase after fusion and content release; \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) are the times of fusion and release, respectively, and \(s_1\) is the pre-exocytosis slope.

Co-localization was estimated by an observer, as follows. A journal in MetaMorph presented an observer (unaware of the image context) with square cutouts of the green channel (11 \(\mu m^2\)) that were centered on the position of each previously identified granule. The user then made a yes/no choice based on whether the center of the nearest perceived cluster was within one pixel of the center of the square, guided by an overlaid circle. Granule or non-granular structure (NGS) density was calculated using a script that used the built-in 'find maxima' function in ImageJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij) for spot detection.

Statistics
Experiments were repeated with at least 3 independent preparations. Data are presented as mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated. One-way ANOVA was used for testing statistical significance in Fig 1. All the other data was tested for statistical significance using Students t-test for two-tailed, paired or unpaired samples, as appropriate. Significant difference is indicated by asterisks (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).

Results
Brightness and bleaching of red fluorescent granule markers
We targeted a panel of ten red FPs or EGFP/pHluorin to insulin granules with the goal of comparing their brightness and bleaching rates. Fusions of the FPs with neuropeptide Y (NPY) were transfected in Ins1 cells. In all cases the footprint of the cells showed fluorescent puncta representing individual granules when imaged using TIRF microscopy (Fig 1A). Neutralization of the granule pH with NH4+ caused a rapid increase in granule fluorescence in case of EGFP but had no effect with mCherry (Fig 1A and 1C). In presence of ammonia, the granule brightness varied several-fold between red FPs with td-mOrange2 and td-tomato being brightest and
mRuby2 and pHtomato being dimmest. Only the tandem dimers resulted in brighter granules (1.5-fold) than mEGFP or pHluorin (Fig 1C). The fluorescence increase observed with NH₄⁺ was by far the strongest with pHluorin (~9-fold). The increase with mEGFP was >2-fold, td-mOrange2, mNectarine, pHtomato and pHuji about 1.5-fold, and mOrange2, NPY-mApple and TYG-Cherry about 1.3-fold. For mCherry, mRuby2 and tdTomato the changes were negligible, indicating pH-independent fluorescence in the physiological range (Fig 1D). Hence only td-mOrange2, mOrange2, mNectarine, mApple, pHtomato, pHuji and TYG-Cherry are sufficiently pH-sensitive to monitor the intravesicular pH change during granule neutralization. As expected from pHuji’s high pKa (7.6), it showed larger changes at extracellular pH 8.2 than at neutral pH (7.4) (Fig 1D). Transfection of granule-targeted pHred did not result in detectable fluorescence (not shown).

Fluorescence bleaching rates were measured in the absence of NH₄⁺ during continuous exposure to excitation light and quantified by fitting with single exponential functions (Fig 2A and 2B). Bleaching was slowest for mEGFP (τ = 15 s) and pHluorin (τ = 14.7 s), followed by TYG-Cherry, pHuji, mCherry, td-mOrange2 and tdTomato (τ = 6–13.4 s). Worst were mNectarine, mRuby2, mApple and pHtomato (τ = 2.7–5 s). Considering that td-mOrange2 and tdTomato were twice as bright as mEGFP, reducing the excitation power would have resulted in brightness and bleaching behavior similar to mEGFP for both RFPs. Since the bleaching rate in the acidic environment in the granule might be different from that at neutral pH we repeated the measurements in the presence of ammonia (Fig 2A and 2B, NH₄⁺). With the exception of NPY-TYG-Cherry, the bleaching rate was unaffected by the luminal pH.

**Most NPY-RFPs are reliable markers of dense core granules**

Targeting accuracy of reporter proteins is important for many applications. We used the granuphilin tagged with EGFP (EGFP-granuphilin) as fiduciary granule label to quantify correct targeting of NPY-FPs. Since granuphilin is a soluble protein that selectively binds to granule membranes and is involved in granule tethering and docking [52], it labels the large majority of mature granules in insulin secreting cells. Indeed, the density of EGFP-granuphilin labeled granules was similar to previous estimates of granule density [53]. The density of labeled granules was close to that with granuphilin for most of the FPs tested, with the exception of mNectarine, pHuji, and TYG-Cherry where it was reduced by about a third relative to granuphilin (Fig 3B). About 80% of granuphilin-positive granules were labelled with NPY-mCherry, -td-mOrange2 or mApple and 50–60% were labelled with NPY-pHuji or TYG-Cherry (Fig 3A and 3C). Conversely, about 90% of NPY-mCherry, -td-mOrange2 and -mApple labeled puncta, and about 40–50% of NPY-pHuji or TYG-Cherry labeled puncta were granuphilin-positive (Fig 3A and 3D).

RFPs have been reported to be somewhat more cytotoxic than EGFP [54] and expression of some of the NPY-RFPs led to larger non-granule structures (NGS) that are unlikely to be granules (Fig 4A). We quantified mistargeting by co-expression with the endosomal marker EGFP-P-Rab7 [55,56] (Figs 3D and 4A–4C). With mCherry, td-mOrange2 and mApple fewer than 10% of all NPY-FP labeled structures co-localized with the endosome marker (Fig 3D). However, about 30% of NPY-pHuji and TYG-Cherry labeled structures co-localized with rab7 (Fig 3D). The density of NGS varied among the different RFPs used, and was lowest with td-mOrange2, slightly higher with mCherry and mApple, and strongly increased with NPY-pHuji and TYG-Cherry (Fig 4A and 4B). The data suggest that tandem-dimer RFPs may be better tolerated than the monomeric FPs (Fig 4A and 4B). The reason why pHuji and TYG-Cherry target worse is not understood. It should be pointed out that most rab7-positive structures were large and most NPY-labeled NGS co-localized with rab7 (Fig 4A–4C), indicating their
endosomal nature. Only about 2% of the small (diffraction limited) NPY-RFP positive structures carried EGFP-rab7. Small NPY-FP labeled structures therefore most likely represent secretory granules.

Performance of NPY-RFPs for detection of single exocytosis events

Next we tested the performance of RFPs in reporting single granule exocytosis events. Exocytosis was evoked by local application of K^+, which leads to depolarization and Ca^{2+}-influx. After onset of the stimulation, exocytosis events were readily observed as sudden loss of granule fluorescence due to release of the label into the extracellular buffer. Cells expressing NPY-mEGFP and NPY-mCherry served as pH-sensitive and-insensitive references. With mEGFP, but not mCherry, this loss of fluorescence was often preceded by a temporary increase in fluorescence (flash), which we interpret as the opening of the fusion pore and concomitant change in luminal pH [9] (Fig 5A–5C). With NPY-mEGFP both flash and non-flash events were observed, presumably because pore opening and release can occur simultaneously. With both td-mOrange2 and mApple both types of events were observed. Flash events were somewhat more frequent with td-mOrange2 than with mEGFP, possibly reflecting the differences in their sensitivity to pH changes. The all-events average (Fig 5C) shows a notable transient increase with td-mOrange2, similar to what is observed with mEGFP. In contrast, this was hardly noticeable with mApple (Fig 5A and 5D), likely due to the fact that flash events were rare (Fig 5D). As expected from their pH’s, pHluorin and pHuji resulted in flash events where the granules were not visible before exocytosis (Fig 5B). However, with pHuji about half of the events lacked the flash (upper example in Fig 5B, pHuji), consistent with the relatively small changes observed with ammonia (Fig 1). The total number of exocytotic events was similar for all FPs tested (Fig 5E and 5F). Large NGS [cf. Fig 4] never underwent exocytosis.

NPY-td-mOrange2 reports exocytosis and release in dual color applications

Red granule markers are compatible with the large number of existing green FP-labeled proteins for dual color experiments. To demonstrate the usefulness of this we co-transfected NPY-td-mOrange2 together with NPY-mTurquoise2 (Fig 6A); both labels co-localized well. mTurquoise2 has a pKₐ of 3.1 and should not respond to physiological pH changes [50]. Indeed, the mTurquoise2 signal did not change during the rising phase of the td-mOrange2 signal, but both proteins were lost simultaneously during K^+-evoked exocytosis (Fig 6B and 6C). To formally test what phase of the td-mOrange2 flash coincided with loss of mTurquoise2, a tri-part discontinuous function was fit to the individual traces (Fig 6C, red lines). From this we obtained the times of rising and falling phases (arrows) and calculated delays relative to these reference events. The analysis confirms that NPY-mTurquoise2 is lost simultaneous with the falling phase of the NPY-td-mOrange2 flash (Fig 6E). The results corroborate that the rising phase is due to luminal pH change rather than granule movement in the z-axis, and the falling phase due to content release.

We next analyzed cells co-expressing NPY-td-mOrange2 and syntaxin1a-EGFP. Syntaxin is a plasma membrane SNARE protein that lies at the core of the exocytotic machinery [47,57,58]. It is well-established that syntaxin forms small clusters beneath docked secretory granules, which are 50–100 nm in diameter and contain ~70 syntaxin molecules [47,53,59,60]. We confirmed that syntaxin clusters were readily observed and co-localized with docked granules (Fig 6A). During exocytosis of single granules, the associated syntaxin clusters rapidly dissolved (Fig 6A and 6B), as observed earlier [47,53]. To test whether syntaxin loss coincides with pore opening or content release, we used again non-linear fitting. The delay between content release and onset of syntaxin loss was -0.76±0.13 s, compared with only 0.11±0.16 s.
between pore opening and syntaxin loss (Fig 6D and 6E). Finally, all traces were temporally aligned to either the falling phase of NPY-td-mOrange2 (release) and averaged (Fig 6F). This analysis again shows that the syntaxin signal begins to decay before content release from the granule, while NPY-mTurquoise2 remains trapped until NPY-td-mOrange2 is released. Thus, statistical analysis (Fig 6D) and alignment of the traces (Fig 6B and 6E) indicate that loss of syntaxin coincides with the rising phase of td-mOrange2, suggesting that syntaxin dispersal is initiated already during pore formation. Experiments like this illustrate the utility pH-sensitive red FP’s for imaging the behavior of the exocytosis machinery.

Discussion
In our survey of red FP’s utility to tag secretory granule cargo, we identified td-mOrange2 [41] as bright, photostable label that is efficiently targeted as fusion protein with NPY (see Table 1). As marker for secretory granule exocytosis, NPY-td-mOrange2 is comparable to the widely used NPY-mEGFP and other EGFP based fusion proteins and reports both fusion pore opening and content release due to its pH sensitivity. td-mOrange2 facilitates dual labeling experiments, in which exocytosis is studied together with other labeled proteins [30,32,33,34,41,53,61], sensors such as Ca2+-sensitive dyes (e.g. fluo-4), or knockdown approaches using fluorescently labeled siRNA, where it is often desirable or more convenient to use the red channel for a well-characterized organelle marker, and the green channel for other purposes. However, as suggested by its pKa, mOrange2 is much less sensitive to physiological pH changes than pHluorin.

In vitro, mOrange2 and tdTomato are among the brightest and slowest bleaching red FP’s [5]. Since this may not reflect the behavior in live cells, we compared brightness and photostability for red FP’s that were targeted to secretory granules. In intact granules, the td-tomato fusion was brightest, followed by td-mOrange2. However, given the 1.7-fold fluorescence increase upon neutralization suggests that NPY-td-mOrange2 is the brightest available red granule marker. Td-tomato and td-mOrange2 were somewhat more photostable than other tested red FP’s, which together with their improved brightness should enable long term imaging of vesicle behavior. Td-mOrange2 was originally created by duplexing mOrange2 [5] to facilitate detection of synaptic exo-and endocytosis [41]. For labeling small synaptic vesicles, the main advantage of the tandem dimer over monomeric mOrange2 is increased brightness,

Table 1. Features of NPY-FPs.

| Construct            | pKa  | Brightness (relative to EGFP) | Fluorescence increase with NH₄⁺ | Bleaching rate (s⁻¹) | Bleaching in presence of NH₄⁺ (s⁻¹) | Granule density (µm⁻²) | Non-granular structure density (µm⁻²) |
|----------------------|------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| NPY-mEGFP            | 6    | 1.0±0.1                      | 2.1±0.2                         | 15±0.1               | 14.1±0.5                            | 0.57±0.1               | n.d.                                 |
| NPY-pHluorin         | 7.6  | 0.9±0.1                      | 8.8±0.1                         | n.d.                 | 14.7±0.4                            | n.d.                   | n.d.                                 |
| NPY-mCherry          | 4.5  | 0.8±0.1                      | 1.0±0.1                         | 6.1±0.3              | 6.1±0.8                             | 0.59±0.1               | 0.02±0.002                           |
| NPY-mOrange2         | 6.5  | 1.2±0.1                      | 1.3±0.1                         | 5.8±0.3              | 6.1±0.8                             | 0.59±0.1               | 0.02±0.004                           |
| NPY-td-mOrange2      | 6.5  | 1.7±0.1                      | 1.6±0.1                         | 7.4±0.3              | 8.0±0.4                             | 0.55±0.1               | 0.01±0.002                           |
| NPY-mApple           | 6.5  | 1.1±0.1                      | 1.3±0.1                         | 3.9±0.2              | 4.1±0.2                             | 0.55±0.1               | 0.01±0.002                           |
| NPY-mNectarine       | 6.9  | 0.6±0.1                      | 1.7±0.1                         | 3.2±0.2              | 3.0±0.1                             | 0.46±0.1               | n.d.                                 |
| NPY-mRuby2           | 5.3  | 0.4±0.1                      | 1.0±0.1                         | 2.6±0.2              | n.d.                                | 0.53±0.1               | n.d.                                 |
| NPY-tdTomato         | 4.7  | 1.7±0.1                      | 1.1±0.1                         | 8.0±0.3              | n.d.                                | 0.64±0.1               | n.d.                                 |
| NPY-pHtomo           | 7.8  | 1.4±0.1                      | 1.4±0.1                         | 4.8±0.2              | 4.7±0.1                             | 0.56±0.1               | n.d.                                 |
| NPY-pHuji            | 7.7  | 0.7±0.1                      | 1.5±0.1                         | 6.7±0.3              | 6.9±0.1                             | 0.42±0.1               | 0.06±0.01                           |
| NPY-TYG-Cherry       | 7.8  | 0.6±0.1                      | 1.9±0.1                         | 9.7±0.2              | 13.4±0.4                            | 0.36±0.1               | 0.10±0.01                           |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127801.t001
presumably due higher fluorophore density on the vesicles. We confirm here that granules labeled with td-mOrange2 are brighter than those labeled with the mOrange2 monomer, without changes in the release kinetics during exocytosis.

Most FPs tested here labeled at least 85% of the granuphilin positive granules, with the notable exceptions of pHuji and TYG-Cherry. Since granuphilin is soluble in the cytosol and bound to granules by weak interactions [62,63,64,65], it presumably labels all granules regardless of their history. NPY fusion constructs therefore lead to rapid, near quantitative labeling, which is important for many applications where knowledge about the absolute number of granules is required. However, mistargeting differed between the FP’s and the tandem dimer RFP fusions were generally better in this regard than the monomeric fusions, as judged by the lower density of large, rab7 labeled structures. Targeting accuracy of the tandem dimer to granules was comparable to that of EGFP, as was the fraction and density of labeled granules. We speculate that mistargeting results from protein aggregation at high luminal concentration, which is more prominent for the monomeric FPs than tandem dimers. Alternatively, lysosomal degradation of the fusion proteins might be more efficient for tandem dimers than monomeric versions, which leads to less accumulation within such structures. Steric effects as previously observed with dimers [5] do not appear to be a problem within secretory granules.

Green/Yellow FP’s with pKa > 6 such as EGFP (pKa = 6) and pHluorin (pKa = 7.6) detect the luminal pH change during exocytosis and are therefore powerful tools to study membrane fusion and fission [9,10,24,30,34,66]. In (neuro)endocrine cells, dense core granules are large and sparse enough to be imaged individually and allow pH-sensitive FP’s to be used to detect the opening of the exocytotic fusion pore and to measure its life time [9,19,35,61]. Since EGFP retains some of its fluorescence in intact granules, it is a preferred granule marker as it allows observation and tracking of the intact organelles before exocytosis. In neurons, where synaptic vesicles are usually too small and too densely packed for individual observation, average signals from entire synapses are recorded. For this purpose, background suppression is important to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. PHluorin, which is non-fluorescent at the pH of intact synaptic vesicles, is therefore the protein of choice to detect neuronal exocytosis. However, red FP’s were originally developed to lack the often undesirable pH dependence [5,38,67], although some pH dependent variants have appeared (mOrange, mApple) [5]. Only recently, several novel pH-sensitive red FP’s have been specifically developed for imaging of endo- and exocytosis, including pHred [42], pHTomato [43] and pHuji [28]. Td-mOrange2 retains some of its fluorescence in intact granules. In contrast, pHuji is nearly non-fluorescent while in the lumen of some acidic vesicles [28], and is therefore expected to be an important complement to td-mOrange2. It is not clear why a significant fraction of the pHuji-labeled granules were bright before exocytosis (Fig 5B), while this was not observed with pHluorin. It is possible that these granules failed to acidify. Of the other pH dependent RFPs, mApple and mNectarine (reported pKa = 6.5 and 6.9, respectively; [5]) responded as expected to neutralizing luminal pH with NH₄⁺, but were both dim and bleached rapidly. For reasons not understood, mApple did not detect the opening of the fusion pore during exocytosis.

In summary, we identify td-mOrange2 [41] as useful label for dense core granules; it is bright, well-targeted and spectrally separated from many blue and green FPs. It is likely suitable also for the study of many other membrane fusion or fission reactions, such as endocytosis and constitutive exocytosis.
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