Toward a realistic description of multilayer black phosphorus: From \( GW \) approximation to large-scale tight-binding simulations
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We provide a tight-binding model parametrization for black phosphorus (BP) with an arbitrary number of layers. The model is derived from partially self-consistent \( GW_0 \) approach, where the screened Coulomb interaction \( W_0 \) is calculated within the random phase approximation on the basis of density functional theory. We thoroughly validate the model by performing a series of benchmark calculations, and determine the limits of its applicability. The application of the model to the calculations of electronic and optical properties of multilayer BP demonstrates good quantitative agreement with \textit{ab initio} results in a wide energy range. We also show that the proposed model can be easily extended for the case of external fields, yielding the results consistent with those obtained from first principles. The model is expected to be suitable for a variety of realistic problems related to the electronic properties of multilayer BP including different kinds of disorder, external fields, and many-body effects.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A few-layer black phosphorus (BP) is a novel two-dimensional (2D) semiconductor with a number of remarkable properties such as strong anisotropy and pronounced thickness dependence of its electronic characteristics, which, along with high current on-off ratios and high carrier mobilities, make this material a promising candidate for diverse electronic and optical applications [1–7]. Apart from the practical aspect, there is a growing fundamental interest in BP ranging from attempts to provide insight into the origin of its band properties [8] to more exotic and speculative aspects including superconductivity [9] and topologically nontrivial phases [10].

From the theoretical perspective, one can distinguish between the two main approaches for studying electronic properties in material science. The first one is parameter-free first-principles calculations, commonly based on density functional theory (DFT) and its many-body extensions (e.g., \( GW \) approximation). Although such methods generally provide accurate results with respect to the ground state properties, their applicability to large systems is very limited due to high computational cost and poor scalability. At the same time, realistic modeling in many cases requires large-scale simulations in order to, for example, describe finite-size effects, the presence of interfaces, or different kinds of disorder. In this respect, tight-binding (TB) Hamiltonians act as an alternative approach to the electronic structure problem, providing a way to perform simulations with millions of atoms involved. Apart from being computationally more tractable, TB models also serve as a playground for exploring rich many-body physics.

Unlike graphene [11], whose electronic properties in the low-energy limit are determined by a simple TB Hamiltonian, involving only one nonequivalent parameter (intersite hopping integral, \( t \)), a reliable theoretical description of a single-layer BP (known as phosphorene) is considerably more challenging. A number of low-energy electronic properties of pristine phosphorene can be efficiently described in terms of the \((2 \times 2) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}\) Hamiltonian [8,12–17] with parameters determined to reproduce first-principles calculations. Being determined in reciprocal space and designed to describe the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) edges only, the \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) Hamiltonians are not well suited for studying real-space problems. Moreover, such models basically rely upon the effective mass approximation, whose applicability is not well justified for BP even in the low-energy range due to the presence of flat bands. Last but not least, although the extension of the \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) model appears straightforward to the multilayer case [13,14,17], it becomes dependent on thickness-dependent parameters, which are \textit{a priori} not known.

Early attempts to provide a real-space model to the electronic structure of BP were based on molecular orbital theory [18], whose simplified nature and complex orbital character of BP prevent a quantitatively accurate description [19]. Recently, two of us have proposed a more rigorous real-space model for single- and double-layer BP, which was constructed by downfolding the full \( G_0W_0 \) Hamiltonian to the minimal (one interaction site per phosphorus atom) low-energy effective Hamiltonian [20]. The latter involves two main parameters of unlike signs corresponding to two nearest-neighbor hopping integrals, and a number of less-relevant long-range parameters needed to accurately reproduce the quasiparticle VB and CB edges of monolayer and bilayer BP. The model has been successfully applied in a number of studies including those related to phosphorene nanoribbons [21,22], electric [21,23] and magnetic fields [16,24], different kinds of disorder [24], and realistic modeling of field-effect electronic devices [25]. However, the applicability of that model is limited to single- and bilayer BP, whereas thicker (experimentally available) samples cannot be considered.

In this paper, we report on a revision of the above mentioned model [20]. Particularly, we focus on its modification to describe BP samples with arbitrary thickness, ranging from monolayer to bulk. We also improve the quantitative validity of the model, which allows us to achieve consistency with experimental results in the bulk limit. The proposed model is derived on the basis of accurate first-principles calculations within the partially self-consistent \( GW_0 \) approximation and systematically validated by performing a series of benchmark tests. The model is suitable for studying large-scale problems.
and applicable in a wide energy range. As a study case, we examine the energy gap dependence on the number of layers and also consider the influence of a perpendicular electric field onto the electronic structure of BP. Particularly, we study the role of BP thickness in the transition from a normal to topological insulator driven by external electric field recently predicted for a few-layer BP [10].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we start with an overview of previous first-principles studies of the electronic structure of BP (Sec. II A), provide calculation details (Sec. II B), and present the results of the GW₀ calculations, accompanied by the analysis of the quasiparticle band structure of a few-layer and bulk BP (Sec. II C). In Sec. III, we propose the TB model, describe the parametrization procedure (Sec. III A), and perform a series of calculations in order to assess its performance (Secs. III B and III C). In Sec. IV, we extend the model by adding an electric field and apply it to multilayer BP. In Sec. V, we briefly summarize our results.

II. ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF A FEW-LAYER BP FROM FIRST PRINCIPLES

A. Overview of previous studies

After a few-layer BP became available experimentally, a considerable number of theoretical studies of its band structure have been reported. The calculations showed that commonly used DFT in conjunction with local and semilocal exchange-correlation approximations does not describe semiconducting properties of bulk BP correctly. Contrary to experimental observations, yielding a narrow gap of 0.31–0.35 eV [26–28] for bulk BP, the local density approximation or generalized gradient approximation (GGA) predict significantly smaller or even zero values, depending on a particular computational scheme and lattice parameters [20,29–34]. The utilization of hybrid functionals [such as Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE) [35,36]], incorporating a nonlocal contribution to the exact exchange, has been shown to partially solve the band gap problem of bulk BP [29–31,33,34]. However, the performance of such methods depends strongly on a number of empirical parameters determining, for example, the screening range and fraction of the exact exchange contribution, which are generally system specific and cannot be systematically determined. This ambiguity results in a broad variation of band gaps in a few-layer and bulk BP (see Table I for an overview).

More consistent results with respect to the band properties can be obtained using the GW₀ approximation [37], which has been applied to BP in Refs. [20,32,34,38]. The authors of Ref. [32] adopt a non-self-consistent G₀W₀ scheme, where the screened Coulomb interaction W₀ is calculated within the general plasmon pole model [39] and report a band gap of 0.3 eV for bulk BP, which is within the range of available experimental data. However, the use of a more reliable random phase approximation (RPA) [40] within the G₀W₀ scheme yields a smaller value of 0.1 eV [20]. More accurate band gap values are supposed to be obtained within the RPA in terms of a partially self-consistent GW₀ scheme. Such calculations have been recently performed in Ref. [34], where the evaluation of W₀ was based on hybrid functionals and resulted in significantly higher band gap values for bulk BP (0.58 eV) compared to the experimental ones. Therefore, the hybrid functionals do not seem to be an optimal starting point for GW calculations of BP. Physically, this can be attributed to excessively contracted wave functions, which suppress the screening of the Coulomb repulsion and eventually leads to the band gap overestimation. The closest results to experiment are obtained by means of the GW₀ approach with W₀ calculated on top of the GGA wave functions within the RPA (denoted as GW₀@GGA thereafter) [38], which yields the gaps of 0.43 and 1.94 eV for bulk and monolayer BP, respectively. The latter value is also consistent with recent scanning tunneling spectroscopy measurements of the gap in the spectrum of surface states of cleaved BP (2.05 eV) [38].

B. Calculation details

Here, we first apply the GW₀@GGA scheme to calculate the quasiparticle electronic band structures for n-layer

|     | GW₀@GGA | TB Model | GW₀@HSE | G₀W₀@GGA | HSE | GGA | Expt. |
|-----|---------|----------|---------|----------|-----|-----|------|
| n = 1 | 1.85 | 1.84 | 1.94 | 2.41 | 1.60 | 2.00 | 1.00–1.91 | 0.80–0.91 | 2.05
| n = 2 | 1.16 | 1.15 | ~1.65 | 1.66 | 1.01 | ~1.30 | 1.01–1.23 | 0.45–0.60 | —
| n = 3 | 0.84 | 0.85 | ~1.35 | 1.20 | 0.68 | ~1.05 | 0.73–0.98 | 0.20–0.40 | —
| n = ∞ | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.58 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.18–0.39 | 0.00–0.15 | 0.31–0.35

aThis work.
bReference [38].
cReference [34].
dReference [20].
eReference [32].
fReferences [29–31,33,34].
gReferences [12,20,29–34].
hThis value corresponds to a gap in the spectrum of surface states of bulk BP (Ref. [38]).
iReferences [26–28].
($n = 1 − 3$) and bulk BP, which provide reference data for the subsequent TB model parameterization. The calculations were performed within the projected augmented wave formalism [41] as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [42,43]. The Green’s functions ($G$) were first calculated by using the Kohn-Sham eigenvalues and eigenstates and then iterated four times, which proved to be sufficient to achieve numerical convergence [44]. The screened Coulomb interaction ($W_0$) is calculated on the basis of the frequency-dependent dielectric function [40] as $\epsilon_0 = 1 - v \chi_0$, where $v$ is the bare Coulomb interaction and $\chi_0$ is the independent particle polarizability. The latter is evaluated by using the DFT-GGA [45] eigenvalues and eigenstates in the spectral representation. To this end, a numerical integration along the frequency axis containing 70 grid points is performed. In the calculation of the quasiparticle energies, both diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the self-energy matrix $\Sigma = iGW$ were included. The total energy in the DFT part was converged to within $10^{-8}$ eV. In all calculations, we use an energy cutoff of 250 eV for the plane-wave expansion of the wave functions. The number of unoccupied states in $GW$ calculations were set to 90 per atom. In most cases, a $\mathbf{k}$-point mesh of $(10 \times 12 \times 1)$ and $(10 \times 12 \times 4)$ was used for the Brillouin zone sampling of a few-layer and bulk BP, respectively. To examine the fine structure of the electronic spectrum of monolayer BP, a denser mesh was considered. To obtain smooth band structures, densities of states, and optical conductivities, we use an interpolation procedure by making use of the maximally localized Wannier functions [46–48], which are constructed by projecting the $GW_0$ Hamiltonian onto the entire manifold of the $3s$ and $3p$ states of phosphorus. For all the structures, we adopt experimental crystal structures of bulk BP [49] and introduce a vacuum layer of $\sim 15 \, \text{Å}$ in order to minimize spurious effects due to the periodic boundary conditions in slab calculations. The chosen set of parameters ensures that the quasiparticle gaps are accurate to within a few hundredths of eV. Although some variations in structural parameters have been reported between monolayer and bulk BP [30,34], we intentionally do not consider such effects in our work due to the following reasons: (i) to minimize the complexity of the TB model for multilayer BP associated with atomic degrees of freedom, and (ii) to avoid ambiguity in the determination of structural parameters for a few-layer BP at the DFT level arising from the variety of different exchange-correlation functionals.

C. Quasiparticle electronic properties from partially self-consistent $GW_0$ approximation

In Fig. 1, we show the densities of states (DOS) calculated for a few-layer ($n = 1–3$) and bulk BP within the $GW_0$@GGA scheme. One can see that the calculated value of a band gap of bulk BP is 0.35 eV, which is within the bounds of experimental variability (0.31–0.35 eV [26–28]). Such an agreement justifies the computational approach employed and allows us to expect accurate results for a few-layer BP. Qualitatively, the $GW_0$ results for a few-layer BP shown in Fig. 1 are similar to those reported previously [20,30,32–34]. In cases of a few-layer BP, DOS exhibits a steplike behavior,
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FIG. 1. (Color online) Orbitally resolved densities of states (DOS) calculated for a few-layer ($n = 1–3$) and bulk ($n = \infty$) BP by projecting the $GW_0$ Hamiltonian onto the atom-centered Wannier orbitals, corresponding to $s$ and $p_i$ ($i = x, y, z$) symmetries. The total DOS is shown in the inset within the energy range of $-8$ to $8$ eV relative to the band gap center indicated by the vertical dashed line.
BP is governed by the magnitudes of the matrix elements of the transition from a direct to an indirect band gap in monolayer due to the appearance of the van Hove singularity close to the VB at the \( \Gamma_1 \) point. The deviation of the VB maximum from the \( \Gamma_1 \) point by means of the DFT-GGA and \( GW_0 \) approaches, points correspond to the original calculations at a \( (24 \times 32 \times 1) \) \( k \)-point mesh, whereas lines represent Wannier-interpolated bands.

In the case of monolayer BP, the fine structure of the electronic states near the edge of the VB requires special attention. As has been noticed in previous DFT studies [12], the VB maximum is slightly shifted from the \( \Gamma_1 \) point in the \( \Gamma-Y \) direction, which apparently results in an indirect gap in monolayer BP. The deviation of the VB maximum from the zone center might result in nontrivial physical properties of BP such as superconducting and ferromagnetic instabilities [50] to the appearance of the van Hove singularity close to the VB edge. At the level of the \( k \cdot p \) perturbation theory, a transition from a direct to an indirect band gap in monolayer BP is governed by the magnitudes of the matrix elements of the momentum operator, corresponding to transitions between the VB and CB [8]. At the same time, well-known inaccuracies of DFT with respect to the VB and CB positions cannot support the prediction of an indirect gap in monolayer BP. Therefore, it appears appropriate to examine the fine structure of the monolayer VB at the more accurate \( GW_0 \) level. To this end, we perform a comparison between the electronic structures of monolayer BP calculated within the DFT-GGA and \( GW_0 \) approaches by using a dense \( (24 \times 32 \times 1) \) \( k \)-point mesh. The results are shown in Fig. 2. We do reproduce the previously reported shift of the VB maximum from the \( \Gamma_1 \) point as well as the van Hove singularity in DOS calculated at the DFT-GGA level. However, the \( GW_0 \) results show no indications of such a behavior and support for a direct band gap in monolayer BP.

III. TIGHT-BINDING MODEL FOR MULTILAYER BP AND ITS VALIDATION

A. Parametrization procedure

The effective TB model considered in this work is given by the effective four-band Hamiltonian, describing one electron per lattice site,

\[
H = \sum_{i \neq j} t_{ij}^1 c_i^\dagger c_j + \sum_{i \neq j} t_{ij}^2 c_i^\dagger c_j,
\]

where \( i \) and \( j \) run over the lattice sites, \( t_{ij}^1 \) (\( t_{ij}^2 \)) is the intralayer (interlayer) hopping parameter between the \( i \) and \( j \) sites, and \( c_i^\dagger \) (\( c_i \)) is the creation (annihilation) operator of electrons at site \( i \) (\( j \)). We note that in contrast to the model used in our previous works [20,24], the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (1) does not contain on-site terms, meaning that electrons at all sites have equivalent energies even for multilayer BP.

To parametrize the model given by Eq. (1), we use a procedure similar to Ref. [20], which is as follows. We first map the entire manifold of valence and conduction states of phosphorus monolayer onto the subspace of effective \( p_z \)-like orbitals (four orbitals per unit cell) being relevant for the low-energy part of the VB and CB. To this end, we first use the original Bloch states \( |\tilde{\psi}_{nk}\rangle \) obtained from the \( GW_0 \) calculations and construct a new subspace of Bloch-like states \( |\tilde{\psi}_{nk}\rangle \),

\[
|\tilde{\psi}_{nk}\rangle = \sum_{m=1}^P U_{mn}^k |\psi_{mk}\rangle,
\]

where \( P \) is the total number of states included into the \( GW_0 \) calculations and \( U_{mn}^k \) is a rectangular matrix obtained by projecting the \( |p_z\rangle \) states onto the Bloch states \( |\psi_{nk}\rangle \) and using the disentanglement procedure proposed in Ref. [51]. Having obtained \( |\tilde{\psi}_{nk}\rangle \), we construct an effective \( (4 \times 4) \) Hamiltonian in reciprocal space \( \tilde{H}_{mn}(k) \), which is achieved by performing a unitary transformation of the original \( GW_0 \) Hamiltonian \( H^k_{mn} \) in the Bloch subspace. The resulting reciprocal-space Hamiltonian

\[
\tilde{H}_{mn}^k = \langle \tilde{\psi}_{mk} | H^k | \tilde{\psi}_{nk}\rangle
\]

is then transformed into the real space, \( H^R_{mn} = \sum_k \epsilon_{R}^{k} \tilde{H}_{mn}^k \). The resulting real-space Hamiltonian \( H^R_{mn} \) is determined in the basis of Wannier functions \( |u_{nk}^R\rangle = \sum_k e^{-ik} |\tilde{\psi}_{nk}\rangle \), corresponding to the \( p_z \)-like orbitals.

Despite low dimensionality of \( H^R_{mn} \), its matrix elements (hopping parameters) decay slowly with distance, resulting in a large number of small parameters. In order to make the resulting model more tractable, we ignore the parameters beyond the cutoff radius of \( \sim 5.5 \) Å, which are typically smaller than 0.01 eV. To restore the quality of the truncated Hamiltonian, we reoptimize the remaining parameters in such a way that they provide an accurate description of the band structure in the low-energy region. To this end, we minimize the following least squares functional, \( F(t_i) = \sum_{e_{mn}^G} (\epsilon_{mn}^G - \epsilon_{mn}^TB)^2 + \epsilon_{mn}^G(t_i)^2 \), where \( t_i \) are hopping parameters and \( \epsilon_{mn}^G \) (\( \epsilon_{mn}^{TB} \)) is an eigenvalue of the corresponding \( GW_0 \) (TB model) Hamiltonian \( H^k_{mn}(t_i) \). After parametrizing the TB Hamiltonian for bilayer, we adopt a similar strategy. In this case, we introduce interlayer hopping parameters, while the intralayer parameters remain fixed. Also, we take into account the splitting of the valence and conduction bands upon the optimization of the
given now by \( E^{(2)}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma) \approx 2|t_1^{-}\| + \left( t_1^{-}\| + t_1^{\perp}\| \right)^2 - 4|t_1^{\perp}| - 2|t_1^{-}\| \). In order to quantitatively reproduce the quasiparticle spectrum of BP including accurate \( k \) dependence of the VB and CB as well as their splitting in the multilayer case, a larger number of hopping parameters is required.

In Fig. 4, we show the band structures calculated within the derived TB model in comparison with the full bands obtained from \( GW_0 \) calculations. One can see that the TB model accurately describes the results of \( GW_0 \) calculations in the low-energy region not only for monolayer and bilayer BP, but also for trilayer and bulk structures. Since the band properties of trilayer and bulk BP have not been used as a reference during the model parametrization, it is natural to expect the applicability of the presented model to BP with an arbitrary number of layers.

To explicitly demonstrate that the obtained TB Hamiltonian is represented in a physically meaningful orbital subspace corresponding to the \( p_z \)-like states, we consider the case of monolayer BP, for which we project the full \( GW_0 \) band structure onto the \( p_z \) states [see Fig. 5(a)] and compare it with the model bands [Fig. 5(b)]. From the projected \( GW_0 \) bands shown in Fig. 5(a) one can clearly recognize four distinct bands having predominantly \( p_z \) symmetry, whose contribution is shown by color. By comparing those with Fig. 5(b) it becomes evident that the model provides an effective representation of the \( p_z \)-like states. As can be inferred from Fig. 5(a) and will be shown below, the states of the other symmetries do not contribute to direct interband transitions within an energy range of up to several eV, which basically determines the limits of the applicability of the presented TB model.

Having obtained a TB model applicable for multilayer BP, it is instructive to analyze the band gap dependence on the number of layers. In Fig. 6, we show the corresponding dependence calculated within the TB model, which can be accurately fitted by the expression \( E^{(n)}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma) = A \exp(-nB)/n^C + D \) with parameters \( A, B, C, \) and \( D \) given in the inset of Fig. 6. One can see that along with a power law decay, being important at small \( n \), there is a pronounced exponential decay, becoming dominant at large \( n \). Our result is thus different from the previously proposed power law expected from a simple quantum confinement picture [32].

### C. Optical properties

To further validate our model, we calculate the frequency-dependent optical conductivity \( \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) \) calculated for the undoped case by means of the \( GW_0 \) approach and TB model for \( n = 1-3 \) layer and bulk BP. Within the \( GW_0 \), we evaluate \( \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) \) through the Brillouin zone integration using the following form of the Kubo-Greenwood formula in the independent-particle approximation [52]

\[
\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = \frac{i\hbar}{N_k \Omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{mn} \text{Im} \langle n_{\mathbf{k}} | j_{\alpha} | m_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \langle m_{\mathbf{k}} | j_{\beta} | n_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \varepsilon_{nk} - \varepsilon_{mk} - \varepsilon_{nk} - \varepsilon_{mk} - (\hbar \omega + i\eta),
\]

where \( \Omega \) is the unit cell area, \( N_k \) is the number of \( \mathbf{k} \) points used for the Brillouin zone sampling, \( |mk\rangle \) is the Wannier-interpolated Bloch state [46], corresponding to the \( m \)th eigenvalue \( \varepsilon_{nk} \) of the \( GW_0 \) Hamiltonian \( H_k^{GW_0} \), \( f_{nk} = \exp(\beta\varepsilon_{nk} + 1)^{-1} \) is the Fermi-Dirac occupation factor involv-
FIG. 4. (Color online) Electronic band structures calculated for a few-layer \((n = 1–3)\) and bulk BP by using the \(GW_0\) approximation (light lines) and within the TB model given by Eq. (1) with parameters listed in Table II (dark lines). Zero energy corresponds to the center of the gap. High-symmetry points of the Brillouin zone are shown in the insets.

BP in real space. To this end, we use the tight-binding propagation method [24,53], in which \(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)\) is calculated conceptually similar to Eq. (4) but by considering explicit evolution of the current operator in time [e.g., see Eq. (30) of Ref. [53]] instead of diagonalization of large matrices. The sample size was taken to contain \(\sim 10^7\) atoms in each case considered with periodic boundary conditions applied in lateral \((xy)\) directions. In both methods, we restrict ourselves to the diagonal components of \(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)\) only. We stress that \(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)\) is calculated within a single-particle approximation, meaning that the excitonic effects are neglected. Such effects are proven to be relevant for a reliable description of the optical spectra of BP.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Band structure and density of states (DOS) calculated for monolayer BP by using (a) \(GW_0\) approach and (b) TB model of this work. In (a), the DOS are projected onto the \(p_z\) states, whereas in the band structure their contribution is shown by color. High-symmetry points of the Brillouin zone are shown in the insets.

FIG. 6. (Color online) Layer dependence of a band gap in BP calculated by using the \(GW_0\) approximation, TB model presented in this work and by an empirical expression \(E_g(n) = A \exp(-Bn)/nC + D\) with parameters \(A\), \(B\), \(C\), and \(D\) fitted to the TB model.
monolayer and a few-layer BP, but they become insignificant in the bulk limit [32].

The results of our calculations are shown in Fig. 7. In line with previous studies [13,24], we observe strong anisotropy between the conductivities in different directions and well-pronounced peaks along the armchair direction of a few-layer BP, associated with the discrete character of the band structure close to the VB and CB edges. As can be seen from Fig. 7, the optical conductivities obtained with the use of the TB model are in very good agreement with the results of \( GW_0 \) calculations in a wide frequency range up to 2.0 eV. The agreement in the range of 2.0–2.5 eV can be considered as satisfactory but it is becoming worse for structures with a large number of layers. At larger frequencies (\( \omega > 2.5 \) eV), the TB model still shows reasonable agreement with the \( GW_0 \) results for a few-layer BP, but becomes apparently inapplicable to many-layer systems (including bulk), which is due to the decreased gap, allowing for transitions between the states not included in the construction of the TB model. Despite being relatively close to the band gap, those states do not contribute to the optical conductivity at the lower frequencies since the expression for \( \sigma_{xx}(\omega) \) [Eq. (4)] involves only direct transitions between the VB and CB. We note that for transport and optical properties involving indirect transitions between the VB and CB (e.g., in scattering processes) a reliable frequency range for the TB model will be more limited and determined entirely by the consistency between the quasiparticle and model bands shown in Fig. 4.

IV. EFFECT OF ELECTRIC FIELD ON THE BAND STRUCTURE OF MULTILAYER BP

We now consider an extension of our model to the case of an electric field \( E_z \) perpendicular to the surface. For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to bilayer BP, for which the extended Hamiltonian reads

\[
H = H_0^{(2)} + \varepsilon E_z z,
\]

where the first term in the right-hand side corresponds to the unperturbed Hamiltonian for bilayer given by Eq. (1) and the second term plays the role of a layer-dependent on-site potential. We note that in what follows, we consider an unscreened electric field only, that is, we neglect explicit treatment of polarization and local-field effects. In other words, \( E_z \) can be regarded as a local electric field assumed to be constant inside the sample. \( E_z \) can be related to real external electric field by

\[
\sigma_{xx}(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{2 \pi} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + \varepsilon_0} \right)^2 \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{2}{\varepsilon + 1/\varepsilon + 2/\varepsilon_0} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\varepsilon + 1/\varepsilon + 2/\varepsilon_0} \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon + 1/\varepsilon + 2/\varepsilon_0} \sigma_{xx}(\omega) \sigma_{xx}(\omega).
\]

This expression can be written in terms of the quasiparticle spectrum in the form

\[
\sigma_{xx}(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{2 \pi} \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{1}{\varepsilon + 1/\varepsilon + 2/\varepsilon_0} \sigma_{xx}(\omega).
\]

FIG. 7. (Color online) Optical conductivities for a few-layer \( n = 1–3 \) and bulk BP calculated along the armchair \( \sigma_{xx} \) and zigzag \( \sigma_{yy} \) directions using the Kubo formula [Eq. (4)] on the basis of the \( GW_0 \) approach and TB model presented in this work. \( \sigma_{xx(yy)} \) are given per layer in terms of the universal optical conductivity of graphene \( \sigma_0 = e^2/4h \). Within the TB model, bulk BP is approximated by 2D BP with a large number of layers \( n = 100 \). In all cases, we set the temperature to 300 K.

FIG. 8. (Color online) Band structures of bilayer BP calculated in the vicinity of the \( \Gamma \) point for different magnitudes of the electric field \( E_z = E_z^0 + \Delta E_z \), where \( E_z^0 = 341 \text{ mV/Å} \) is a critical field at which the band gap closes, and \( \Delta E_z \) takes the values of \( 0, 1, 2 \) mV/Å. Top (bottom) panels correspond to the bands calculated along the \( X-\Gamma-X \) \( (Y-\Gamma-Y) \) directions. Valence and conduction bands are indicated by blue and orange, respectively. Zero energy corresponds to the center of the gap at the \( \Gamma \) point.
FIG. 9. (Color online) Critical bias potential $\Delta V^c(n)$ required to close the band gap in $n$-layer BP plotted as a function of the number of layers. The bias potential is applied within the TB model of this work [see Eq. (5)] between the top and bottom planes of the corresponding BP samples neglecting the screening effects. Points correspond to the TB calculations, whereas lines correspond to the fitting via $\Delta V^c(n) = A/n^C + D$.

$E^\text{ext}_z$ upon taking into account thickness-dependent transverse dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_z(d)$ and finite-size effects. In a first approximation, one can take $E^\text{ext}_z = \varepsilon_z E_z$, where $\varepsilon_z$ is the transverse dielectric permittivity of bulk BP ($\varepsilon_z \sim 8.3$ [54]).

In Fig. 8, we show the low-energy part of the band structure calculated for three representative electric fields. In the presence of an electric field, the electronic bands shift due to the difference of the interlayer potential which is a manifestation of the Stark effect. From Fig. 8 one can see that the VB and CB shift in different directions toward the band gap center. This causes a decrease of the band gap with increasing field, which reaches zero at $E_z = 341$ mV/Å. At higher field the band inversion is observed, as can be seen from Fig. 8(f). Our results obtained using the TB model are thus consistent with previous DFT calculations for a few-layer BP and phosphorene nanoribbons [10, 23, 55–57].

It is interesting to note the existence of a Dirac-like linear dispersion along the armchair direction ($X$-$\Gamma$-$X$) at the critical electric field, $E^c_z$ [Fig. 8(c)], which appears around the $\Gamma$ point. A qualitatively different situation is observed in the zigzag direction ($Y$-$\Gamma$-$Y$), where the dispersion turns out to be quadratic [Fig. 8(d)]. At higher fields ($E_z > E^c_z$) the Dirac point disappears in the armchair direction, whereas two band crossings appear along the zigzag direction as a result of the band inversion.

Finally, we calculate the evolution of the critical bias potential, $\Delta V^c = e E^c_z d$ with the number of BP layers $n$, which is applied between the top and bottom planes of an $n$-layer sample separated by the distance $d$. In Fig. 9, the corresponding dependence is shown. Since $\Delta V^c$ is related to the original band gap at zero field, it is natural to expect that the same form of the functional dependence as in Fig. 6 (i.e., a power law with exponential cutoff) can be used to parametrize $\Delta V^c(n)$. We find, however, that in the present case the prefactor $B$ in the argument of the exponential is significantly smaller ($B < 0.01$). This allows us to fit the critical bias potential as $\Delta V^c(n) = A/n^C + D$, where $A, C, D$ are fitting parameters given in the inset of Fig. 9. We conclude, therefore, that $\Delta V^c(n)$ (Fig. 9) exhibit a significantly weaker dependence on the number of BP layers than the band gap, $E^{(n)}_g$ (Fig. 6).

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed an effective TB model for multilayer BP with arbitrary thickness, which is parametrized on the basis of partially self-consistent $GW_0$ approximation. The model shows good performance with respect to static band properties as well as transport characteristics of multilayer BP compared to the $GW_0$ results. In contrast to previously proposed $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonians for BP, our model (i) directly applicable in real space; (ii) goes beyond the effective mass approximation; and (iii) accurately reproduces low-energy electronic properties of multilayer BP without the need for additional scaling parameters. On the other hand, the proposed model is substantially less computationally demanding than any first-principles calculations, which makes calculations with millions of atoms possible. This allows us to expect its suitability for use in investigations of a wide range of phenomena, particularly in large-scale simulations of realistic BP (e.g., with disorder or in the presence of external fields) and as a starting point for studying many-body effects in BP. As an example of the model extension, we considered the case of an electric field applied to multilayer BP, which allowed us to determine the thickness dependence of the critical bias potential required to reach the regime of the band inversion previously predicted by first-principles calculations. We also found that the critical bias potential decays significantly slowly with the number of BP layers than does the corresponding band gap.
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