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1.Introduction

Drilling fluid, or drilling mud, is an integral component of the drilling process. Generally, the mud is pumped from the surface to the bottom of the well, and it passes through the drilling string (drill pipe, drill collar) and the bit. Then, it returns back to the surface through the annular space between the drilling string and the borehole [1]. Primarily, drilling fluids are used for cooling and lubricating the drilling bit and the drilling string, suspending the rock cutting when the circulation is paused, carrying out the rock cutting from borehole to the surface, and maintaining the hydrostatic head pressure (Ph) greater than the pore pressure (Pf) to avoid any kind of unwanted formation fluids to flow to the well [2,3].

Generally, drilling mud is one of the most essential aspects to be considered during the well construction and completion stages. The proper selection of the drilling mud is one of the key factors for successing any drilling operation, which is typically based on its performance, cost, and environmental influence. On the other hand, improper drilling mud design may cause several drilling problems such as pipe sticking, mud loss, kick, bit balling, and borehole collapse [3].

Water-based drilling muds (WBMs) and oil-based drilling muds (OBMs) are two main types of drilling muds that are widely used during drilling operations. For instance, in the Kurdistan/Iraq fields, the WBMs are the most applied mud during drilling operations because it is cheap, eco-friendly, and easy to prepare in comparison with oil-based...
mud. However, the WBM is not a good candidate when we drill through troublesome (shale) formation and it should be changed to OBM since it has a better shale inhibition and more tolerability to high-temperature and high-pressure formation. Nevertheless, the application of oil-based mud is restricted by its cost, environmental constraints, and regulations [4,5].

Recently, nanotechnology has gained a wide range of interest in the oil and gas industry due to its immense applicability. Drilling fluids that contain at least one or more nanosized particles in their compositions are known as nano-drilling mud or smart mud.

Generally, the size of nanoparticles is ranging from 1 to 100 nm and they have a high specific surface area to volume ratio. The high surface area of NPs plays a significant role in minimizing the torque and drag forces. Since the NPs form a fine and thin lubricating layer around the pipe and wall interface, they also work as lubricants by reducing the friction between the drill string and wellbore. NPs also help to reduce the mud pump pressure. Furthermore, adding NPs to drilling muds can improve cutting transportation efficiency and thermal conductivity [6–8].

Additionally, the nanosized particles have better thermal and electrical characteristics compared to macro- and microsized particles. The physical and chemical characteristics of these particles also differ from the bulk material. These properties allow the drilling fluids to overcome the problems associated with the drilling operation. The small size of nanoparticles acts as a bridging agent; therefore, the small pores in the formation can efficiently seal and plug, which in turn prevent the loss of the fluid, especially in the shale formation [9].

Furthermore, the nanosized particles in the mud will enhance and stabilize the rheological properties of drilling mud, which eventually leads to improving the borehole cleaning and holding the drilling cutting. The nanobased drilling muds provide the solutions for a variety of borehole problems, including pipe sticking, loss of drilling fluid, formation damage, low standard of mud cakes, etc. [10].

However, poor dispersion of NPs under bottomhole conditions with a change in base fluid PH, salinity, and temperature can cause borehole instability and formation damage. Agglomeration is another problem as positively charged particles are exposed to acidic conditions and negatively charged particles are polluted with the base medium. Table 1 presents a summary of the main challenges that occurred during drilling operations and how the nanomud can solve them.

Several experimental studies were performed on the application of nanosized particles as an additive agent in drilling fluid formulations. According to William et al., adding small quantities of zinc oxide and copper oxide nanoparticles to the drilling mud enhanced the viscosity and thermal conductivity of the drilling mud [8]. In addition, research performed by Alvi et al. showed that ferric oxide nanoparticles improved filtration and filter cake properties and made the mud cake less porous [11]. In 2016, AL-Malki et al. found that the sepiolite nanosized particles would reduce the filtration volume and minimize the mud cake thickness under HPHT conditions [12].

A study by Geir Hareland investigated the effect of various concentrations of iron hydroxide (Fe(OH)₃) and calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) nanosized particles on the rheological properties of oil-based mud (OBM). In their experimental study, they found that calcium carbonate NPs provided higher gel strength and plastic viscosity (PV) compared to the OBM sample without nanoparticles. They also concluded that iron oxide reduced the yield point value, but there was no or minimal effect of iron oxide on the plastic viscosity and gel strength. In addition, both NPs reduced mud filtration loss and created a thick mud cake [13].

Jung investigated the impact of two different sizes (3 and 30 nm) of the Fe₂O₃ nanoparticle on the rheological and filtration characteristics of bentonite-based drilling mud (BBDF) at high-pressure and high-temperature (HPHT) environments. The study showed that the smaller size of NPs increased the plastic viscosity (PV) and yield point (YP) more than the bigger size [9].

The objective of this experimental study is to evaluate the performance of SiO₂ and ZnO water-based nanomud characteristics and compare them with conventional water-based mud. This evaluation was carried out through a series of laboratory tests.

2. Methodology

This study is based on laboratory experimental work, where six different types and weights of water-based drilling fluids (Spud mud, KCL-Polymer mud, and Salt KCL-Polymer mud) were formulated at actual temperature conditions. These muds have been used for drilling a well in the Kurdistan/Iraq oil field. The Well-A has faced several problems such as stuck pipe sticking and loss of drilling fluid, etc.

Table 2 shows the types of drilling muds used during the drilling operation.

### 2.1. Conventional Drilling Fluid Formulation

The six conventional water-based drilling muds were formulated following the concept of maintaining the same properties and components used during drilling well A.

The preparation procedure of conventional water-based mud is based on the Recommended Practice for Field testing WBDFs (API RP 13B), where 1 gram of solid material to 350 ml of liquid in the lab scale is equal to adding 1 lb to 1 barrel of liquid at the field scale. The properties of the used conventional drilling muds while drilling well #A are shown in Table 3.

The following sequences were used to prepare conventional WBM:

1. Using fresh water as a base fluid.
2. Adding Soda ash (Na₂CO₃) into the water for contamination treatment. The mixture was stirred by using Hamilton Beach mixer for about 2 minutes.
At the end of 2 minutes, caustic soda (NaOH) was added to increase the PH value of the mud.

Bentonite (sg.gr 2.3) was added to the solution and stirred for 5 minutes to increase the viscosity.

After that, the right amount of Barite (BaSO4) (sp.gr 4.2) was added to the solution to increase the drilling fluid density and the stirring was continued for 35 minutes. The amount of barite required to prepare the desired mud weight was determined by using the following equation:

$$ \text{barite required} = 1470 \times \left( \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1}{35 - \rho_2} \right), $$

where $\rho_1$ is the initial density in ppg and $\rho_2$ is the desired mud weight in ppg.

2.2. Formulation of Nano-WBM. To formulate the nanodrilling muds, the nanoparticles should disperse properly to avoid agglomeration and precipitation. For this purpose, an ultrasonic bath has been used. Then four various weight concentrations (0.25%, 0.5%, 0.75%, and 1 wt.%) of zinc oxide (ZnO) and silica dioxide (SiO2) nanomaterials are dispersed in distilled water added to conventional mud and mixed for 20 minutes. Then the mixture is exposed to an ultrasonic bath for 30 minutes at 40 kHz and 180 W to guarantee good dispersion of nanoparticles inside the drilling mud, improve colloidal stability, and prevent agglomeration and participation of solid particles. The soda ash and caustic soda were used to keep the pH above 9. The characteristics of ZnO and SiO2 nanoparticles materials are shown in Table 4.

2.3. Experimental Measurements. The following mud properties have been measured and calculated for both conventional (base) fluid and nanodrilling muds:

1. The mud weight (density) was measured by OFITE mud balance device.
2. The rheological properties (i.e., plastic viscosity (PV), yield point (YP), and gel strength (GS)) were determined based on API RP13B – 2003 by using 6-speeds electrical rotational viscometer (Model RC35D) after applying the following mathematical equations:

$$ PV = \varnothing_{600} - \varnothing_{300}. $$

(2)

$$ Y_p = \varnothing_{300} - PV. $$

(3)

3. API fluid loss measurement: the standard API filter press device was used under 100 psi pressure. The experimental procedures are shown in Figure 1. The used equipment is shown in Figure 2.

3. Results and Discussion

In this study, the rheological properties and API filtration of 6 different conventional drilling muds used during drilling well #A were examined with silica dioxide and zinc oxide nanoparticles at different ranges of concentrations (0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1 wt.%) and actual temperatures conditions.
Table 3: Drilling mud design and properties.

| Interval (m) | Well, #A |
|-------------|----------|
| 57–297      | WBM spud mud, KCl/polymer |
| 297–1002    | KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl polymer |
| 1002–2341   | Salt/KCl polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |
| 2341–3380   | Salt/KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |
| 3145–4020   | Salt/KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |
| 4020–4525   | Salt/KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |

| Interval (m) | Well, #A |
|-------------|----------|
| 57–297      | WBM spud mud, KCl/polymer |
| 297–1002    | KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl polymer |
| 1002–2341   | Salt/KCl polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |
| 2341–3380   | Salt/KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |
| 3145–4020   | Salt/KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |
| 4020–4525   | Salt/KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |

| Well, #A |
|----------|
| WBM spud mud, KCl/polymer |
| KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl polymer |
| Salt/KCl polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |
| Salt/KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |
| Salt/KCl/polymer, Salt/KCl/polymer |

Molecular formula | Purity (%) | APS (nm) | Specific surface area (m²/g) | Color | Density (gm/cm³) |
|-----------------|------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------|
| SiO₂            | 99.5       | 15–20    | 180–270                       | White | 2.4             |
| ZnO             | 99.0       | 10–30    | 88.89                         | White/pale yellow | 5.6         |

Table 4: Characterization of SiO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles.

| Molecular formula | Purity (%) | APS (nm) | Specific surface area (m²/g) | Color | Density (gm/cm³) |
|------------------|------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------|
| SiO₂             | 99.5       | 15–20    | 180–270                       | White | 2.4             |
| ZnO              | 99.0       | 10–30    | 88.89                         | White/pale yellow | 5.6         |

Figure 1: Experimental procedures.
3.1. Rheological Characteristics

3.1.1. Plastic Viscosity (PV). The results demonstrate a significant impact of SiO$_2$ and ZnO NPs on the plastic viscosity values for all mud systems where the PV values decrease with increasing nanosized particle concentrations from 0 to 1 wt.%. Figure 3(a) shows that as the concentration of SiO$_2$ NP was increased from 0 to 1 wt.% in the mud systems, the plastic viscosity values were reduced by 8%, 23%, for drilling mud 1 and 2. The reduction rate for mud systems 3, 4, 5, and 6 was 25%, 28%, 21%, and 15%, respectively. A similar trend was observed for ZnO NPs Figure 3(b); however, the rate of reduction was less compared to SiO$_2$ NP. For example, the PV values of mud samples 1 and 2 were reduced by 7.8% and 22.5%. For mud numbers 3, 4, 5, and 6, the reduction rates were 24%, 27.5%, 22%, and 11%, respectively.

These decreases in PV values are caused by the NPs distribution in the drilling mud, where they minimize the internal friction forces between particles, thereby minimizing the PV values. Reducing the PV value, minimize the pressure of the mud pump required for mud circulation, particularly when high density mud is required for drilling deep formation.

Nevertheless, it is significant to emphasize that reducing the PV values is beneficial to the drilling processes, where it promotes the penetration rate (ROP), diminishes or saves the energy required for drilling fluid circulation finally, and reduces the possibility of drilling fluid circulation loss to the formation fractures which resulted from the extreme (ECD) of the drilling fluid [5,14].

Figure 2: Laboratory apparatus. (a) Hamilton beach mixer. (b) Electric viscometer model RC35D. (c) API filter press. (d) OFITE mud balance.
3.1.2. Yield Point (YP). As demonstrated in Figures 4(a) and 4(b), the yield point (YP) values declined with increasing SiO$_2$ and ZnO NPs concentrations. The results show that drilling fluids with high mud weight have a higher reduction in the yield point values compared to the lower density of other drilling fluid systems. As the mud weight increases, the resistance of the fluid to initiate flow increases.

For example, the rate of YP reduction for mud#2 with 10 ppg density is about 11% for SiO$_2$ and 9.2 for ZnO, whereas mud#1 with 9 ppg mud weight showed the lowest reduction in YP values by 4.8% and 4.5% for SiO$_2$ and ZnO, respectively. The reason behind this reduction is that the SiO$_2$ and ZnO NPs increase the distance among the solid particles in the drilling muds [5].

3.1.3. Mud Filtration. The small size of NPs can physically plug the pore throats of the formation; therefore, they reduce the filtration volume loss to the formation. The results in Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show that SiO$_2$ and ZnO nanoparticles with different concentrations decrease the fluid loss over a period of 30 minutes.

It is observed that the filtration volume declined slightly with time by increasing SiO$_2$ and ZnO nanoparticle concentrations. Since the NPs have the ability to obstruct the pore space of formation, which prevents the drilling fluid from escaping to the formation. For instance, adding 1 wt.% of SiO$_2$ NP to Mud 3 diminished the filtration volume by 12%, while adding the same amount of ZnO NP reduced the filtration loss by 10%. Furthermore, Mud 2 showed the best fluid loss control where the mud filtration reduced by 38% for SiO$_2$ and 32% for ZnO. Furthermore, mud filtration was reduced by 30% for Mud 1 and 31% for Mud 4, respectively.

3.1.4. Gel Strength (GS) (10 Sec and 10 Min). Gel strength (SG) is the ability of drilling mud to enhance and sustain a gel structure whenever the drilling operation is paused. An appropriated fluid gel strength is usually required, as it would preserve the excessive circulation pressure to resume drilling activities. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) demonstrate a comparison between 10 seconds and 10 minutes gel strengths for each set of WBM samples before and after adding SiO$_2$ and ZnO NPs.

It is clear that there is a gradual reduction in the gel strength as the SiO$_2$ and ZnO concentrations increase as a result of the repulsive force happening between nanoparticles and the water-based mud that causes the expansion between the nanosized particle and the water molecule with the decrease in the mud gelation. We can say that the SiO$_2$ and ZnO act as dispersion agents.

3.1.5. Mud Weight (Density). The results shown in Figures 7(a) and 7(b) demonstrate that there is little or no impact of nanomaterials on mud weights. The addition of SiO$_2$ and ZnO NPs does not greatly increase the mud density. This gives an advantage in selecting NPs as a bridging agent and thus minimizes the rate of solid particles in the drilling muds, particularly when drilling a high angle hole or horizontal and deviated wells.
Figure 4: Yield point values of 6 different mud systems (a) with and without silica dioxide NPs and (b) with and without zinc oxide NPs at different concentration rates.

Figure 5: Effect of different concentrations of SiO$_2$ and ZnO NPs on mud filtration loss volume by (a) adding SiO$_2$ NPs and (b) adding ZnO NPs to the drilling muds.
Figure 6: Comparison of 10 min and 10 sec gel strengths of different WBM systems at different wt.% concentrations by (a) adding SiO$_2$ NPs and (b) adding ZnO NPs.
4. Conclusions

(1) This study presents the laboratory evaluation of adding different concentrations of SiO$_2$ and ZnO nanoparticles to six conventional water-based drilling muds. From the results obtained, the following conclusions are presented:

(2) NPs can be used to solve several issues related to drilling muds, such as minimizing the thickness of mud cake, reducing the filtration volumes, and adjusting the friction factor.

(3) The water-based nanomuds can be used as an alternative to oil-based muds since they have the ability to penetrate the pore space of the shale and act as a bridging material and then strengthen the wellbore.

(4) The results indicate that there is no or minimal impact of SiO$_2$ and ZnO NPs on mud weight.

(5) The SiO$_2$ and ZnO nanoparticles can effectively enhance the rheological characteristics of conventional water-based muds, leading to better borehole cleaning and drilling cutting suspension. These nanosized additives can replace the standard drilling additives, and they can act as mud thinners showing a decrease in viscosity.

(6) Both NPs provided a better fluid loss control agent in comparison with conventional drilling muds, where the presence of SiO$_2$ and ZnO NPs reduce the filtration volume by reducing the drilling fluid permeability.

Abbreviations

Nanoparticles
ZnO: Zinc oxide
SiO$_2$: Silica dioxide

WBM: Water-based mud
OBM: Oil-based mud
HPHT: High pressure high temperature
PV: Plastic viscosity
YP: Yield point
$\rho$: Density
Mw: Mud weight
GS: Gel strength
API: American Petroleum Institute
ROP: Rate of penetration.
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