Axonal mechanisms mediating GABA-A receptor inhibition of striatal dopamine release

Paul F. Kramer¹, Emily L. Twedell¹, Jung Hoon Shin², Renshu Zhang¹ and Zayd M. Khaliq⁷

¹Cellular Neurophysiology Unit, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health.
²Laboratory on Neurobiology of Compulsive Behaviors, National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health.

Address for Correspondence:

Zayd M. Khaliq
Cellular Neurophysiology Unit
National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, MD, 20892

Phone: 1-301-451-7221
Email: Zayd.khaliq@nih.gov
Abstract

Axons of midbrain dopaminergic neurons innervate the striatum where they contribute to movement and reinforcement learning. Past work has shown that striatal GABA tonically inhibits dopamine release, but whether GABA-A receptors directly modulate transmission or act indirectly through circuit elements is unresolved. Here, we use whole-cell and perforated-patch recordings to test for GABA-A receptors on the main dopaminergic neuron axons and branching processes within striatum. Application of GABA depolarized axons, but also decreased the amplitude of axonal spikes, limited propagation and reduced striatal dopamine release. The mechanism of inhibition involved sodium channel inactivation and shunting. Lastly, we show that the positive allosteric modulator diazepam enhanced GABA-A currents on dopaminergic neuron axons and directly inhibited release, but also likely acts by reducing excitatory drive from cholinergic interneurons. Thus, we reveal the mechanisms of GABA-A receptor modulation of dopamine release and provide new insight into the actions of benzodiazepines within the striatum.
Introduction

Axons of midbrain dopaminergic neurons are highly complex structures that transmit reward, associative-learning, and motor control signals to terminal boutons via action potentials that trigger the release of dopamine (Aransay et al., 2015; Matsuda et al., 2009; Sulzer et al., 2016). In addition to spike transmission, dopamine neuron axons within the striatum integrate local information. For example, striatal cholinergic interneurons modulate dopamine release through activation of nicotinic receptors on dopamine neuron axons (Rice and Cragg, 2004; Zhang and Sulzer, 2004) and synchronous activation of cholinergic interneurons can directly trigger dopamine release (Cachope et al., 2012; Threlfell et al., 2012). Similarly, other receptors have been shown to modulate dopamine release such as dopamine D2 (Ford, 2014), GABA-B (Pitman et al., 2014), metabotropic glutamate (Zhang and Sulzer, 2003) and muscarinic receptors (Shin et al., 2015). These data show that direct modulation of the axon presents a powerful means of controlling striatal dopaminergic signaling in a manner that is independent of somatic processing, suggesting a degree of functional segregation between these two cellular compartments (Cachope and Cheer, 2014; Hamid et al., 2016; Mohebi et al., 2019). Understanding the mechanisms that govern local control of dopamine release within the striatum will require better mechanistic knowledge of how presynaptic receptors shape axonal excitability.

GABA has long been known to modulate striatal dopamine release (Giorguieff et al., 1978; Reimann et al., 1982; Starr, 1978) but the specific contribution of GABA-A receptors (GABA-ARs) to this process is unclear. Fast-scanning cyclic voltammetry (FSCV) studies found that antagonists of GABA-ARs reduce dopamine release through an indirect mechanism involving H₂O₂ produced downstream of AMPA receptors, suggesting that GABA-ARs enhance dopamine release (Avshalumov et al., 2003; Sidlo et al., 2008). By contrast, in vivo microdialysis studies have found that striatal infusions of GABA-AR antagonists lead to an increase in dopamine release, suggesting that striatal GABA-ARs inhibit dopamine release (Gruen et al., 1992; Smolders et al., 1995). Consistent with this finding, an FSCV study showed that GABA-AR activation leads to inhibition of dopamine release, but argued that the effect was indirect through GABA-B receptors located on dopamine neuron axons (Brodnik et al., 2018). A more recent
study showed that GABA-AR activation inhibits dopamine release in the absence of nicotinic receptor activation which led to the proposal that GABA-A receptors may be present on the terminals of dopaminergic neurons (Lopes et al., 2019). However, definitive evidence for this proposal is lacking.

Benzodiazepines are positive allosteric modulators of GABA-ARs that are increasingly prescribed in the United States (Bachhuber et al., 2016). These drugs have demonstrated misuse liability that in rare cases leads to a substance use disorder (Blanco et al., 2018). The mechanism of benzodiazepine reward is thought mainly to involve disinhibition of somatic firing (Tan et al., 2010). Similar to many drugs of abuse, systemically-applied benzodiazepines result in acute glutamate receptor plasticity in dopamine neurons (Heikkinen et al., 2009; Kauer and Malenka, 2007) and increase the frequency of individual dopamine release events in the striatum (Schelp et al., 2018). Unlike other drugs of abuse, however, benzodiazepines have been shown to decrease the amplitude of striatal dopamine release (Gruen et al., 1992; Schelp et al., 2018). These opposing effects suggest that benzodiazepines can differentially influence activity in the soma and release from axon terminals. In the present study, we use direct axonal recordings from main axons and branching processes within the striatum, calcium imaging, FSCV, and fluorescent sensor imaging of dopamine release to disentangle these conflicting results and to mechanistically understand the influence of axonal GABA-A receptors on the excitability of, and transmitter release from, dopamine neuron axons.

Methods

Experimental Model and Subject Details

All animal handling and procedures were approved by the animal care and use committee (ACUC) for the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) at the National Institutes of Health. Mice of both sexes were used throughout the study. Mice that underwent viral injections were injected at postnatal day 18 or older and were used for ex vivo electrophysiology and imaging 3-12 weeks after injection. The following strains were used: DAT-Cre (SJL-Slc6a3(tm1.1(cre)Bkmn/J, The Jackson Laboratory Cat#006660); Ai95-RCL-GCaMP6f-D (Cg-Gt(Rosa)26Sor(tm95.1(CAG-
method details

viral injections

All stereotaxic injections were conducted on a Stoelting QSI (Cat#53311). Mice were maintained under anesthesia for the duration of the injection and allowed to recover from anesthesia on a warmed pad. The AAV9-CAG-FLEX-TdTomato (Penn Vector Core), AAV-Syn-FLEX-jGCaMP7f (Dana et al., 2019), and AAV9-hSyn-dLight1.2 (Patriarchi et al., 2018) viruses (0.5-1 µl) were injected bilaterally into either the medial dorsal striatum (X: ± 1.7 Y: +0.8 Z: -3.3) or the SNc (X: ± 1.9 Y: -0.5 Z: -3.9) via a Hamilton syringe. At the end of the injection, the needle was raised at a rate of 0.1 to 0.2 mm per minute for 10 minutes before the needle was removed.

slicing and electrophysiology

Brain slice experiments were performed on male and female adult mice of at least 6 weeks in age. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, decapitated, and brains rapidly extracted. Horizontal sections (electrophysiology, dLight, calcium imaging) or coronal sections (voltammetry) were cut at 330-400 µm thickness on a vibratome while immersed in warmed, modified, slicing ACSF containing (in mM) 198 glycerol, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 20 HEPES, 25 NaHCO3,10 glucose, 10 MgCl2, 0.5 CaCl2, 5 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, and 2 thiourea. Cut sections were promptly removed from the slicing chamber and incubated for 30-60 minutes in heated (34°C) chamber with holding solution containing (in mM) 92 NaCl, 30 NaHCO3, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 2.5 KCl, 35 glucose, 20 HEPES, 2 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2, 5 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, and 2 thiourea. Slices were then stored at room temperature and used 30 min to 6 hours later. Following incubation, slices were moved to a heated (33–35°C) recording chamber that was continuously perfused with recording ACSF (in mM): 125 NaCl, 25 NaHCO3, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 3.5 KCl, 10 glucose, 1 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2. Whole-cell recordings were made using borosilicate
pipettes (5-10 MΩ, axon; 2-3 MΩ, soma) filled with internal solution containing (in mM)
122 KMeSO₃, 9 NaCl, 1.8 MgCl₂, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 14 phosphocreatine, 9
HEPES, 0.45 EGTA, 0.09 CaCl₂, adjusted to a pH value of 7.35 with KOH. For high
chloride experiments, KMeSO₃ was substituted with KCl.

Perforated-patch recordings were made using borosilicate pipettes (5-10 MΩ)
filled with internal solution containing (in mM) 135 KCl, 10 NaCl, 2 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES,
0.5 EGTA, 0.1 CaCl₂, adjusted to a pH value of 7.43 with KOH, 278 mOsm. Pipette tips
were back-filled first with ~1 µL of internal lacking gramicidin followed by internal
containing either 4 - 8 (perforated-patch on the main axon) or 80 - 100 µg/mL
(perforated-patch in the striatum) gramicidin. Patch integrity was monitored by the
addition of Alexa-488 to the gramicidin-containing internal. Experiments were discarded
upon visual evidence of membrane rupture (Alexa-488 entering the axon).

To enable post-hoc reconstruction, pipette solutions in some experiments
included 0.1-0.3% w/v neurobiotin (Vector Labs), and 0.01 mM AlexaFluor 594
hydrazide or AlexaFluor 488 hydrazide. Current clamp recordings were manually bridge
balanced. Liquid junction potential for KMeSO₃ based internal solutions was -8 mV and
was corrected offline.

Electrical stimulation was evoked with tungsten bipolar electrodes (150 µm tip
separation, MicroProbes). For experiments where the site of electrical stimulation is
distal to the site of imaging or recording, electrodes were placed at the caudal end of
horizontal brain slices, or at the medial end of coronal slices. Stimulations were evoked
using an Isoflex (A.M.P.I.), amplitudes ranging from 0.1 to 75 V.

Pressure ejection was performed using a borosilicate micropipette pulled on a
horizontal puller (pipette size ~2-4 MΩ). The pharmacological agent being tested, either
GABA or muscimol, were added to a modified external solution containing (in mM): 125
NaCl, 25 NaHCO₃, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 3.5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.01 either Alexa 488 (for
experiments in DAT-Cre x Ai9 animals) or Alexa 594 (for experiments in TH-GFP
animals). This puffing solution was then spin filtered, loaded into the glass pipette, and
lowered to within 30-50 µm of the axon using a micro-manipulator. The puffing solution
was then applied onto the axon with a short pressure ejection (80 - 300 ms in duration) using a PV 820 Pneumatic PicoPump (WPI).

Fast-scan cyclic voltammetry (FSCV)

For all voltammetry experiments the methods are as follow. Cylindrical carbon-fiber electrodes (CFEs) were prepared with T650 fibers (6 μm diameter, ~150 μm of exposed fiber) inserted into a glass pipette and filled with KCl (3 M). Before use, the CFEs were conditioned with 8 ms long triangular voltage ramp (-0.4 to +1.2 and back to -0.4 V versus Ag/AgCl reference at 4 V/s) delivered every 15 ms. CFEs showing current above 1.8 µA or below 1.0 µA in response to the voltage ramp around 0.6 V were discarded. A triangular voltage ramp was passed through the fiber from -400 mV to 1200 mV, and returned to -400 mV. The ramp was run at a rate of 400 V/s, every 100 ms. Dopamine transients were evoked by a 2 ms 470 nm LED (ThorLabs) pulse every 60 seconds. Selective channel rhodopsin stimulation of dopamine neuron axons was achieved by injecting cre-dependent CoChR channel rhodopsin into the SNc DAT-cre transgenic animals. Peak dopamine currents were calculated from voltammograms created in Igor Pro (Wavemetrics).

For voltammetry experiments performed by J.H.S., the methods are as follows. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and sacrificed by decapitation. Brains were sliced in sagittal orientation at 240 μm thickness with a vibratome (VT-1200S Leica) in an ice-cold cutting solution containing (in mM) 225 sucrose, 13.9 NaCl, 26.2 NaHCO₃, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 1.25 glucose, 2.5 KCl, 0.1 CaCl₂, 4.9 MgCl₂, and 3 kynurenic acid. Slices were incubated for 20 min at 33 °C in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM) 124 NaCl, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 MgCl₂, 2.5 CaCl₂, 20 glucose, 26.2 NaHCO₃, 0.4 ascorbic acid, and maintained at room temperature prior recordings. Slices were placed in a submerged chamber perfused at 2 ml/min with ACSF at 32 °C using an inline heater (Harvard Apparatus). DA transients were evoked by brief light pulse (0.6-0.8 ms) through an optical fiber (200 μm/0.22 NA) connected to a 470 nm LED (2 mW; ThorLabs) delivered every 2 min. Data were collected with a modified electrochemical headstage (CB-7B/EC retrofit with 5 MΩ resistor) using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices) after being low-pass filtered at 10 kHz and digitized at 100 kHz using custom-written software.
in Igor Pro running mafPC (courtesy of M.A. Xu-Friedman) software. Custom-written analysis software in Igor Pro was used for analysis. Decay time constants were obtained with a single exponential fit of the derivative of the falling phase of DA transient curve.

**Fluorescent imaging**

Calcium mas measured in dopamine neuron axons of the medial dorsal striatum using the GCaMP6f mouse bred with the DAT-Cre mouse, or with viral injection of CRE-dependent jGCaMP7f into the SNc of DAT-cre mice. These data were combined in the results. All calcium imaging experiments were performed in the presence of atropine, sulpiride, hexamethonium chloride, and CGP55845. A white light LED (Thorlabs; SOLIS-3C) was used in combination with a GFP filter set. A photodiode (New Focus) was mounted on the top port of the Olympus BX-51WI microscope.

**Immunohistochemistry, clearing, confocal imaging, and neural reconstructions**

After electrophysiology or imaging, slices were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate buffer (PB, 0.1M, pH 7.6). Slices were subsequently stored in PB until immunostaining and cleared using a modified CUBIC protocol, chosen because it does not quench endogenous fluorescence (Susaki et al., 2015). For the immunostaining/CUBIC clearing, all steps were performed at room temperature on shaker plate. Slices were placed in CUBIC reagent 1 for 1-2 days, washed in PB 3 x 1 hour each, placed in blocking solution (0.5% fish gelatin (Sigma) in PB) for 3 hours. Slices were directly placed in streptavidin-Cy5 conjugate at a concentration of 1:1000 in PB for 2-3 days. Slices were washed 3 times for 2 hours each and were then placed in CUBIC reagent 2 overnight. Slices were mounted on slides in reagent 2 in frame-seal incubation chambers (Bio-Rad SLF0601) and coverslipped (#2 glass). Slices were imaged through 20×, 0.8 nA and 5×, 0.3 nA objectives on an LSM 800 confocal microscope (Zeiss), and taken as tiled z-stacks using Zen Blue software in the NINDS light imaging facility.

Main axons were reconstructed and measured using Simple Neurite Tracer in FIJI (Longair et al., 2011). Of axons with a positively identified soma, the majority were
found in the substantia nigra pars compacta, with some found in the ventral tegmental area. Striatal axons were reconstructed using Neurolucida (MBF bioscience).

Drugs

All salts and all drugs not otherwise stated were from Sigma-Aldrich. Fluo5F and Alexa594 (Life Technologies), gabazine, d-AP5, hexamethonium chloride, oxotremorine M, GABA, and muscimol, were dissolved in deionized water. Sulpiride, quinpirole, picrotoxin, CGP55845 (Tocris), NBQX, and diazepam were dissolved in DMSO.
Atropine was dissolved in DMSO and then diluted 1:10 in deionized water.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Analysis was conducted in Igor Pro and Prism 8 (GraphPad). Data in text is reported as mean (\(\bar{x}\)) ± SEM for parametric or median (\(\tilde{x}\)) for non-parametric data. Error bars on graphs are indicated as ± SEM. Box plots show medians, 25 and 75% (boxes) percentiles, and 10 and 90% (whiskers) percentiles. For parametric data, t-tests were used for two-group comparison, and ANOVA tests were used for more than two group comparisons, followed by a Bonferonni or Šidák post-hoc test for analysis of multiple comparisons. For non-parametric data sets, Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare two groups while the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare more than two groups. For linear regression analysis, the Straight Line analysis function was used in Prism, and an extra sum-of-squares F test was performed to determine significant differences in slope between data sets on the same plot, and to determine whether a line or exponential decay model fits the data better. For exponential fits, the One Phase Decay analysis function in Prism was used to fit a standard curve.

Results

Characteristics of firing in DA neuron axons – main axon and striatal terminal axons

Dopamine neurons of substantia nigra pars compacta form thin, unmyelinated axons that project to the dorsal striatum through the medial fiber bundle (MFB). To examine action potential firing in the main unbranching axon from adult mice, we used a horizontal brain slice which preserved the connection between the cell bodies of SNC
dopaminergic neurons and their MFB projecting axons. Dopaminergic neuron axons
within the MFB were identified using the fluorescent marker proteins GFP or td-Tomato
from TH-GFP mice or DAT-CRE x Ai9, respectively. Using these optimized brain slices
in combination with marker mice enabled us to record propagating action potentials
from the main axon at distances of greater than 2 millimeters from the soma (Figure
1A).

To examine the characteristics of axonal action potentials, we made whole-cell
recordings from the cut ends of axons (blebs) located on the surface of the slice (Hu
and Shu, 2012; Hu et al., 2009; Shu et al., 2007). We found that many axons exhibited
spontaneous firing activity with median spontaneous rates that were nearly identical to
somatic pacemaker rates (Figure 1B; axon: \( \bar{x} = 3.3 \) Hz, \( n = 41 \); soma: \( \bar{x} = 2.75 \) Hz, \( n = 10 \);
Mann-Whitney U test, \( U = 161, p = 0.298 \)), consistent with the slow, rhythmic firing
associated with dopaminergic neurons (Grace and Bunney, 1984). Axonal action
potentials had narrower half-widths (Figures 1C and D; axon: \( \bar{x} = 0.89 \) ms \( n = 27 \), soma:
\( \bar{x} = 1.24 \) ms \( n = 10 \); Mann-Whitney \( U = 59, p = 0.008 \) two-tailed) and a more hyperpolarized
threshold relative to somatic spikes (Figure 1E; axon: \( \bar{x} = -56 \) mV, \( n = 26 \); soma: \( \bar{x} = -41.7 \)
mV \( n = 10 \); \( U = 9, p < 0.0001 \)).

In the axon, the voltage trajectory between action potentials was shallow in slope
(avg. \( \text{dV/dt} \) at middle 50% of the interspike interval, axon: \( \bar{x} = 9.24 \) mV/s, \( n = 27 \)), reaching
a minimum at the spike trough with little depolarization before reaching threshold. By
contrast, the somatic interspike voltage exhibited a significantly greater slope on
average (Figure 1F; avg. \( \text{dV/dt} \), soma: \( \bar{x} = 49.9 \) mV/s \( n = 10 \); \( U = 22, p < 0.0001 \)), similar to
previously reported values (Khaliq and Bean, 2008). A plot of the slope of the interspike
voltage against the axonal recording distance followed a roughly exponential
relationship with the interspike slope, such that it becomes more shallow with increasing
recording distance (Figure 1G; single exponential fit, length constant, \( \lambda = 211 \) \( \mu \)m, \( n = 27 \);
\( \text{R}^2 = 0.70 \); data were fit with a single exponential significantly better than with a line:
\( F(1,24) = 22.1, p < 0.0001 \)). Axonal recordings at distances greater than two length
constants from the soma (\( > 422 \) \( \mu \)m) exhibited little sub-threshold depolarization
between action potentials (\( \bar{x} = 7.3 \) mV/s, \( n = 13 \)). In sum, action potentials recorded in the
Figure 1: Whole-cell and perforated-patch recordings from dopamine neuron axons

A. Main axon recorded in whole-cell mode with a connected soma (filled with neurobiotin, imaged with streptavidin-Cy5, slice cleared with CUBIC, red); GFP driven by the TH promoter (green). B. Trace of spontaneous action potentials recorded from a dopaminergic axon (left). Firing rate from somatic (n=10) and axonal recordings (n=41; p=0.298) (right). C. Overlay of an axonal and somatic spike (left). Phase plot for a somatic and axonal action potential (right). D. Half-peak width from somatic (n=10) and axonal (n=27) APs (**p=0.008). E. AP threshold between soma (n=10) and axon (n=26) (****p<0.0001). F. Example traces of interspike voltage from obtained from axonal (blue) and somatic (gray) recordings. G. Slope of interspike voltage plotted against recording distance between axonal recording site (blue) and soma (gray). H. Post-hoc reconstruction of a patched striatal axon. I. Trace of subthreshold depolarization (left) and axonal AP (right) evoked by 250 pA and 275 pA current injection. J. Input resistance values for main axon (n=28) and striatal axons (n=74) APs (****p<0.0001). K. Comparison of the mean interspike voltage between soma (n=10) main axon (n=21) and striatal axon, which was measured as the average resting membrane potential (n=74) (*p=0.032; ****p=0.0007; ns p=0.87).

Figure Supplement 1: Animated rotating movie of a striatal filled axon
Reconstructed in Neurolucida
main axons of dopaminergic neurons are narrow, with voltage thresholds that are negative relative to somatic spikes.

Within the dorsal striatum, the axons of dopaminergic neurons branch extensively and decrease in diameter (Matsuda et al., 2009) which raises the question of how the properties of striatal terminal axons compare to those of the main axon. Using perforated-patch recordings to record from axon blebs, we found that terminal axons have a higher input resistance (Figure 1J; $\bar{x} = 1.83 \text{ G}\Omega$, $n=74$) than the main axon ($\bar{x} = 599 \text{ M}\Omega$, $n=28$, $U=254$, $p<0.0001$ two-tailed). The interspike membrane potential in the striatal dopamine neuron axon was hyperpolarized relative to the main axon, but both axonal compartments were more hyperpolarized relative to the average interspike voltage in the soma (Figure 1K; terminal axon: $\bar{x} = -71 \text{ mV}$, main axon: $\bar{x} = -68.9 \text{ mV}$, soma=$-62.2 \text{ mV}$; Kruskal-Wallis H test $\chi^2(2) = 13.9$, $p=0.001$; terminal vs. main $p=0.87$; terminal vs. soma, $p=0.0007$; soma vs. main, $p=0.032$). Together, these results show that the main and terminal axons of dopamine neurons are high input resistance compartments in which action potentials are evoked from relatively hyperpolarized interspike voltages.

**Identification of GABA-A receptor-mediated currents on dopaminergic neuron axons**

Past work has shown that GABA-A receptors modulate dopamine release but evidence that GABA-A receptors are located on dopaminergic neuron axons has been indirect. To test for a GABA-A receptor-mediated conductance in the axon, a second pipette was placed 30-60 µm from the axonal recording site on the main axon and GABA (300 µM-1 mM) was locally applied by a brief (80-300 ms) pressure ejection (Figure 2A, B). GABA puff resulted in depolarization of the axonal membrane potential by an average of $4.86 \pm 0.66 \text{ mV}$ ($n=9$), which was completely blocked by the GABA-A antagonist picrotoxin (Figure 2C; 100 µM; $t(8)=6.1$, $p=0.0003$). To verify the direct nature of these currents, we tested the effect of increasing the concentration of intracellular chloride on the GABA-mediated depolarization. We found that filling axons with an internal solution containing high chloride resulted in GABA-mediated depolarizations that were 2.76-fold larger in amplitude (Figure 2D; low $\text{Cl}^-$=$4.74 \pm 0.66 \text{ mV}$, high $\text{Cl}^-$=$13.1$
Figure 2: GABA-A receptors located on the axons of dopaminergic neuron are depolarizing

A. Schematic of experimental setup  
B. Example traces of pressure ejection of GABA onto the axon in control (black) and after application of picrotoxin (red)  
C. Peak of amplitude of GABA-evoked depolarization in control and after picrotoxin (n=9; ***p=0.0003)  
D. Peak amplitude of GABA-evoked depolarization recorded with low (n=5) or high (n=10) chloride internal solutions (***p=0.0008)  
E. Example traces overlaid from three different current injections. Timing of muscimol puff application indicated by arrow  
F. Peak of the muscimol-evoked depolarization plotted against the average interspike voltage. Fitted line was used to determine the reversal potential  
G. Paired values indicating mean interspike voltage (V_{interspike}) and muscimol reversal potential (E_{musc}) in individual axons (n=15; ****p<0.0001).
± 2.44 mV; t(13)=4.34, p=0.0008). These results provide direct evidence for the presence of functional GABA-A receptors on the axons dopaminergic neurons.

Axonal GABA-A receptors are depolarizing

The physiological function of GABA-A receptors is closely tied to its reversal potential, which has been shown to vary in axons across cell types from depolarizing (Pugh and Jahr, 2011; Ruiz et al., 2010; Szabadics et al., 2006) to hyperpolarizing (Rinetti-Vargas et al., 2017; Xia et al., 2014). Therefore, we determined the GABA-A reversal potential in the main dopaminergic neuron axons using perforated-patch recordings in which the intracellular chloride concentration is preserved. While holding the axon at different membrane voltages with constant current, we applied single puffs of the GABA-A selective agonist muscimol and then measured the amplitude of the resulting muscimol-evoked membrane depolarization (Figure 2E-G). Our analysis showed that the average GABA-A reversal potential in dopamine neuron axons was -56.3 ± 2.38 mV (n=15). Importantly, we found in all recorded axons that the reversal potential of axonal GABA-A current was always depolarized relative to the average interspike voltage of the axon (Figure 2E-G; V\text{interspike}=-68 ± 1.75 mV, p<0.0001).

Stronger effect of GABA-A inhibition on APs that undergo propagation

Based on our finding that GABA-A receptors are depolarizing, we next hypothesized that activation of axonal GABA-receptors should enhance dopamine release. Therefore, we tested the effect of axonal GABA-A receptors on dopamine release using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry to measure extracellular dopamine in dorsal striatum slices. Dopamine release was evoked selectively from dopaminergic fibers using expression of the channelrhodopsin variant, CoChR. Surprisingly, we found that muscimol suppressed dopamine release from axons within the dorsal striatum. Brief puff application of muscimol (10 µM, 1-3 s) resulted in an inhibition of dopamine release by an average of 19.1 ± 4.2% (Figure 3A-C; control, \bar{x}=94.7%; muscimol, \bar{x}=75.7%; F(1, 6)=20.7, p=0.004; n=7 slices).

Our data show an inhibitory effect of axonal GABA-A conductance on dopamine release that differs from the excitatory actions of GABA-A receptors observed in most
Figure 3: GABA-A receptor mediated inhibition of axonal calcium signals and striatal dopamine release

A-C. Voltammetry experiments using opsin-evoked dopamine release (DAT-Cre injected with CoChR in SNc). A. Example voltammetry signal of dopamine in control (black) and during muscimol puff (green). *Inset:* example current-voltage plot of FSCV signal. B. Time course of opsin-evoked dopamine release in an example experiment. C. Summary data showing the main effect of muscimol puff on dopamine release measured with voltammetry. Closed symbols indicate individual experiments and open symbols indicate averaged data (p=0.004; n=7) D-F. Stimulation-evoked calcium signals in dopamine neuron axons. D. Experimental setup diagram. E. Stimulation-evoked GCaMP6f signals in control (black) and in response to muscimol bath application (green). F. Time course comparing the effect of bath applied muscimol for GCaMP6f signals imaged near to (average 100 µm, n=5) and far from (average 690 µm, n=5; *p=0.046) the stimulation site. G-I. Imaging dopamine release in the dorsal medial striatum using dLight 1.2. G. Experimental setup diagram. H. Example traces of bulk dopamine release following electrical stimulation in control (black) and during muscimol bath application (green). I. Group data showing the time course of muscimol application on dopamine release peak amplitude measured far from the site of stimulation (average 1054 µm, n=9; p=0.0008). All experiments in D-I were done in the presence of hexamethonium chloride (200 µM).
central axons. As one possible explanation, dopamine neuron axons in the dorsal striatum are distinguished by their highly branched structure (Matsuda et al., 2009) which under some circumstances may present a challenge for the reliability of spike propagation. We therefore set out to determine the influence of GABA-A receptors on signals that have propagated through the extreme architecture of the dopaminergic neuron terminals. To test this idea, a stimulating electrode was placed at the caudal end of the striatum, and a burst of stimulations were elicited with a bipolar electrode (Figure 3D-F). Rises in axonal calcium were recorded either near the site of stimulation ($\bar{x} \sim 100 \mu$m) or far from the site of stimulation ($\bar{x} \sim 690 \mu$m). Calcium increases recorded far from the site of stimulation were significantly more inhibited by activating GABA-A receptors than those recorded nearby (Figure 3F, near $\bar{x}=94.8\%$, far $\bar{x}=36.7\%$ of baseline; Šidák’s post-hoc test $t(6)=3.3; p=0.046; n=5$ slices for each condition).

Finally, to test the effect of GABA-A receptor activation on dopamine release for propagating action potentials, a stimulating electrode was placed at the caudal end of the striatum, and a burst of stimulations were elicited with a bipolar electrode (Figure 3G-I). Using dLight 1.2, dopamine release was measured distal to the site of stimulation ($\bar{x} \sim 1054 \mu$m). Bath perfusion of muscimol (10 µM) depressed dopamine release by an average of 38.2 ± 6.6% (Figure 3I; baseline $\bar{x}=100\%$, muscimol $\bar{x}=61.8\%$; RM 1-way ANOVA $F(2, 26)=16.5$; Bonferroni’s post-hoc $t(8)=5.8$, $p=0.0008$). Together, these results suggest that GABA-A receptor activation inhibits dopamine release, and acts more strongly on signals that propagate long distances through the axonal arbor.

**Axonal GABA-A receptors inhibit through a combination of Na$_v$ channel inactivation and shunting**

To better understand how axonal GABA-A receptors inhibit dopamine release, we tested the effect of GABA-A receptor activation on axonal action potential waveforms. As shown in Figure 4A, the most prominent effect of GABA-A receptor activation was a shortening of the action potential peak. Although the effect of GABA on spike height varied between axons, we found that the peak was shortened on average by 7.74 ± 1.83 mV (avg. peak amplitude; control, $\bar{x}=12.5 \pm 4.72$ mV; GABA, $\bar{x}=4.77 \pm 5.22$ mV; 2-way ANOVA Bonferroni’s post-hoc $t(12)=5.75; n=7$, $p=0.0002$). This effect
was blocked completely by picrotoxin (peak reduction in picrotoxin; control peak, $\bar{x}=-1.86 \pm 5.34$ mV, GABA peak $\bar{x}=-3.88 \pm 5.02$ mV; 2-way ANOVA Bonferroni’s post-hoc $t(12)=1.49; n=7, p=0.32$). We took advantage of the variability between axons in their responses to GABA in order to assess the relationship between the GABA-A mediated depolarization and spike height. Plotting data from 14 axon recordings, we found that the reduction in spike height correlates linearly with the GABA-mediated depolarization with a slope of $-1.50$ mV/mV (95% CI: -2.05 to -0.94; $R^2=0.74, n=14$; Figure 4C, fit to green symbols). Therefore, larger GABA-induced subthreshold depolarizations result in shorter axonal action potentials.

The GABA-A mediated reduction of spike amplitude likely involves two main processes: inactivation of axonal sodium channels due to GABA-induced depolarization (Debanne, 2015) and shunting inhibition (Xia et al., 2014; Cattaert and El Manira, 1999). To dissect the contribution from these two processes, we compared the GABA puff experiments in Figure 4A to separate experiments where depolarization was evoked instead by direct current injection (Figure 4B). We reasoned that the effects of current injection-evoked depolarization on spike height should be dominated by sodium channel inactivation whereas shunting inhibition should be minimal under these conditions.

Plotting the spike height against current injection-evoked depolarization in Figure 4C (brown symbols), we found that direct current injections were significantly less effective at reducing spike peak amplitudes as compared to GABA mediated depolarization as revealed by shallower slope of best-fit lines (Figure 4C; GABA-A activation: $-1.50$ mV/mV, 95% CI: -2.05 to -0.94; direct depolarization: $-0.77$ mV/mV, 95% CI: -0.96 to -0.59; $F(1,25)=4.39, n=29, p=0.047$). We next analyzed the rate of the rise of the action potential (dV/dt) as it reflects the maximal spike-evoked sodium current. By contrast, we found little difference in the effect of GABA-evoked and direct current injection-evoked depolarization on the rate of rise of axonal action potentials (dV/dt). Plots in Figure 4D show that both manipulations slowed the rate of rise of action potentials and shared similar dependences on subthreshold depolarization (slope of linear fits; GABA-A activation: $-3.78 \%$/mV 95% CI: -5.46 to -2.10, direct depolarization: $-3.20 \%$/mV, 95% CI: -4.57 to -1.83; $F (1,17) =0.35, n=21, p=0.56$).
Figure 4: Axonal GABA-A receptors inhibit excitability through a combination of sodium channel inactivation and shunting

A. Example axonal recording showing the effect of a brief GABA pressure ejection. Control (grey) and GABA (green) traces magnified. A, right: Control action potential in black and GABA-affected action potential in green. B. Similar experiment to A., except demonstrating the effect of current injection on spike properties as opposed to GABA application. Purple line on AP upstroke denotes area of measurement for rate of rise, arrows denoting measurement of change in AP peak and change in membrane potential. C. Effect of the amount of baseline depolarization on the decrease in peak AP amplitude, compared between GABA (green; n=14) and current injection (tan; n=15) (*p=0.047) D. Effect of the amount of baseline depolarization on the normalized decrease in rate of AP upstroke, compared between GABA (green; n=11) and current injection (tan; n=10) (ns p=0.564). E. A plot showing the relationship between decrease in rate of AP rise and decrease in AP peak, for injection of current (brown; n=8) and a brief pressure ejection of GABA (green; n=11). F. Example axonal recording showing spontaneously firing action potentials before the application of TTX (top, black) and after TTX bath perfusion, just before the action potentials cease (bottom, red). inset: Example phase plots for axonal action potentials before (black) and after (red) TTX perfusion. Right: Averaged data showing the effect of TTX on action potential peak amplitude (graphs were aligned to the beginning of TTX effect, n=5). The decrease in peak amplitude is plotted in G. G. Data from five individual axons showing the effect of TTX wash-in on the change in rate of action potential rise, and the change in the peak of the action potential. Each dot in data from an individual action potential, normalized to just before the perfusion of TTX. In red is the average effect. H. Slope and R² values from E and G compared.
These data suggested the peak amplitude of the action potential was susceptible to both depolarizations and shunting inhibition, while the rate of rise was only affected by depolarizations. In order to combine these two effects and distinguish between shunting inhibition and depolarization-mediated inactivation of sodium channels, the change in rate of rise was graphed against the change in peak spike amplitude. From this relationship the added effect of shunting inhibition is clear in the significantly steeper relationship for GABA-A receptor activation (Figure 4E slope of linear fits; GABA-A activation: 0.44 mV/%, 95% CI: -0.35 to 0.52, direct depolarization: 0.18 mV/mV, 95% CI: 0.15 to 0.22; F (1,17) =39.9, n=19, p<0.0001).

To experimentally test the effect of sodium channel inhibition on axonal action potentials, TTX was bath perfused while recording axonal action potentials (Figure 4F). As the effect of TTX developed, the amplitude of the peak of the action potential was progressively reduced, and the rate of rise was progressively slowed (Figure 4F-H). We compared the relationship of the reduction in the peak and the slowing of the rate of rise across groups and found that the average of the TTX condition was similar to the direct depolarization, indicating this effect was mainly through inactivation of sodium channels. However, GABA-A receptor activation had a significantly steeper relationship, revealing the additional contribution of shunting inhibition (Figure 4H).

These data show that GABA-A receptors act mechanistically through both depolarization of the axonal membrane as well as a change in the input resistance that leads to shunting inhibition. These two effects combine to slow and shorten propagating dopaminergic action potentials.

Benzodiazepines enhance tonic GABA activity on dopamine neuron axons

Benzodiazepines constitute a class of allosteric modulators that act on GABA-A receptors to enhance GABA-mediated currents. Much is known about the somatic mechanisms regulating the effects of benzodiazepines in dopamine neurons (Reynolds et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2011); but less is known about direct axonal effects of these drugs. Studies examining the effect of benzodiazepines on dopamine release showed these effects are likely mediated through GABA-B receptors, and indirectly involved GABA-A receptors on non-dopaminergic neurons (Brodnik et al.,
We therefore sought to determine the contribution of GABA-A receptors on
dopamine neuron axons to the effects of diazepam.

We first tested the effect to inhibiting both GABA-A and GABA-B receptors in the
striatum in the absence of any exogenously applied agonist. We found that co-
application of GABA-A (GABAzine) and GABA-B (CGP-55845) antagonists significantly
enhanced dopamine release to 115% of baseline (Figure 5A, B; t(9)=2.99, p=0.015,
n=10). This finding is consistent with previous studies reporting a GABA tone in the
striatum (Ade et al., 2008; Gruen et al., 1992; Lopes et al., 2019).

We next wanted to know if diazepam acted in concert with this GABA tone to
inhibit dopamine release independently of GABA-B receptors. In order to isolate the
diazepam effect on dopaminergic axons, we recorded in a cocktail of synaptic blockers
targeting dopamine D2, GABA-B, muscarinic, and nicotinic receptors. While recording in
these antagonists, we found diazepam significantly decreased dopamine release
(Figure 5C; diazepam: 80.6 ± 5.9% of baseline; RM 1-way ANOVA Bonferroni’s test
t(5)=3.28, n=6 slices; p=0.044). Interestingly, it has been shown that dopamine release
in the striatum is filtered, and can even be directly elicited, by excitatory drive from
cholinergic interneurons (Cachope and Cheer, 2014; Rice and Cragg, 2004; Threlfell et
al., 2012; Zhang and Sulzer, 2004). We were therefore curious to test how diazepam
affected dopamine release with cholinergic input intact. Recording dopamine release in
a cocktail of synaptic blockers targeting dopamine D2, GABA-B, and muscarinic, but not
nicotinic receptors, we found that diazepam robustly decreased dopamine release
(Figure 5D; diazepam: 50.7 ± 11.3% of baseline; RM 1-way ANOVA Bonferroni’s post-
hoc t(4)=4.37; p=0.024, n=5 slices). The diazepam-mediated inhibition was significantly
greater compared to when cholinergic input was blocked (two-tailed t-test; t(9)=2.47,
p=0.035).

To understand the mechanism behind this inhibition in the dopamine neuron
axons we performed direct recordings from the axon. First, we sought to investigate
whether these axonal GABA-A receptors are directly modulated by diazepam. For this
experiment we puffed on muscimol in a voltage-clamp recording of the main axon, and
then bath perfused diazepam (10 µM). Diazepam dramatically increased the amplitude
of the muscimol-evoked current (Figure 5E,G). We also tested the effect of diazepam on
Figure 5: Diazepam inhibits striatal dopamine release through direct effects on axonal GABA-A receptors

A. GABA-A and GABA-B antagonists significantly increased the voltammetric detection of optically-evoked peak dopamine release. *Inset: example CV plot for dopamine release. B. *Left: Example dopamine transient in control (black) and in GZ/CGP (red). *Right: Plot of the average increase in peak optically-evoked dopamine release (each dot is one slice; n=10, p = 0.015). C. Example traces of imaged dopamine release from control (black) and diazepam bath perfusion (green) conditions. *Right: Group effect of diazepam on peak dopamine release (n=6; *p=0.044). D. Example traces of imaged dopamine release from control (black) and diazepam bath perfusion (green) conditions. *Right: time course showing the effect of diazepam bath application on peak dopamine release (n=5). E. Example step depolarization (left) and muscimol pressure ejection (right) recorded in the main axon in control (black) and diazepam bath application (green). Step depolarization and muscimol puff were performed within the same cell. F. Time course showing the effect of diazepam bath application on the normalized input resistance of the main axon in the medial forebrain bundle (n=5). G. Time course showing the effect of diazepam bath application on the normalized muscimol-evoked peak current (n=6). H. *Left: example current injections to test axonal input resistance in control (black) diazepam (green) and GABA-A antagonists picrotoxin and GABAzine (red) conditions. *Right: time course of diazepam bath perfusion followed by GABA-A antagonist bath perfusion on the normalized axonal input resistance.
the input resistance by giving a small voltage step (Figure 5E). We found that, in the
main axon, there was no effect of diazepam perfusion on the axonal input resistance
(Figure 5F). This set of experiments show that diazepam directly targets axonal GABA-
A receptors on dopamine neurons, but in the medial fiber bundle GABA-A agonists must
be exogenously applied to observe the effects of the drug.

Given the effect of diazepam on dopamine release reported above, we
hypothesized that diazepam might be acting in concert with the striatal GABA tone to
modulate dopamine neuron axons. When we recorded from striatal dopamine neuron
axons and bath perfused diazepam, we found that diazepam decreased the input
resistance of the axon in the striatum by an average of 22.7 ± 6.2% (Figure 5H), without
any additional application of a GABA agonist. These results indicate that diazepam acts
on the GABA tone to decrease the input resistance of dopamine neuron axons, thereby
potentiating shunting inhibition. These results show that diazepam directly targets
dopamine neuron axonal GABA-A receptors and works in concert with the striatal GABA
tone to modulate the input resistance of dopamine neuron axons. This mechanism
leads to an increased shunting inhibition and depresses stimulated dopamine release.

Discussion

Here we examine the influence of GABA-A receptors on the excitability of
dopaminergic neuron axons and on the release of dopamine onto targeted cells in the
dorsal striatum. To test this, we performed whole-cell and perforated-patch recordings
from the main axon located within the medial forebrain bundle as well as in the branched, signaling axon located in the striatum. Using this approach, we provide direct
evidence that GABA-A receptors are present on the axons of midbrain dopaminergic
neurons. We show that these receptors modulate propagation of action potentials in the
axon through a combination of sodium channel inactivation and shunting inhibition.
Finally, we demonstrate that diazepam (Valium), a commonly prescribed broad-
spectrum benzodiazepine, enhances axonal GABA-A receptors which results in
shunting and subsequent inhibition of dopamine release. Together, these experiments
reveal the mechanisms of GABA-A receptor modulation of dopamine release and
provide new insight into the role of axonal GABA-A receptors in the actions of benzodiazepines in the striatum.

**Action potential firing in midbrain dopaminergic neuron axons**

The shape of the axonal action potential and the pre-spike membrane potential are critical determinants of neurotransmitter release (Augustine, 1990; Awatramani et al., 2005; Geiger and Jonas, 2000; Rowan et al., 2016; Sabatini and Regehr, 1997). Our data show that these features of axonal action potentials differ substantially from those that have been classically associated with somatic firing in dopaminergic neurons (Grace and Bunney, 1983; Ungless and Grace, 2012). For example, action potentials in the axons of dopamine neurons are narrow with an average halfwidth of 0.89 ms, in agreement with studies that have reported brief presynaptic action potentials in other neuronal cell types (Alle and Geiger, 2006; Geiger and Jonas, 2000; Hallermann et al., 2012; Kole et al., 2007). We also find that action potentials are initiated from spike thresholds that are 14.3 mV more hyperpolarized than somatic spikes. Furthermore, the average non-spike voltage recorded in both the main axon and striatal axon is 6.7 mV and 8.8 mV more negative than values reported for the soma, which also fits with data from cortical layer 5 pyramidal neurons (Hu and Bean, 2018).

The hyperpolarized axonal interspike potential has possible functional implications on the control of dopamine release. First, the hyperpolarized axonal interspike voltage would likely maximize the availability of low-threshold channels such as L- and T-type calcium channels in axons, both of which are known to couple to dopamine release in the dorsal striatum (Brimblecombe et al., 2015). Second, activation of somatodendritic dopamine D2-receptors typically results in membrane hyperpolarization which then raises the question of how these receptors control axonal excitability and transmitter release. In the soma, D2-receptors have been shown to inhibit firing through activation of G-protein activated inwardly rectifying (GIRK2) potassium channels (Beckstead et al., 2004) and inhibition of the sodium leak channel NALCN (Philippart and Khaliq, 2018). In axons however, D2-receptors are thought to activate Kv1 channels (Martel et al., 2011). The hyperpolarized membrane potential of the axon suggests that further hyperpolarization by Kv1 may be limited by the potassium reversal potential and may
not be the main mechanism of dopamine inhibition. Consistent with the proposal by Martel and colleagues (2011), shunting and/or changes in spike shape are likely to underlie the D2-dependent inhibition of dopamine release.

In somatic recordings of pacemaking, dopaminergic neurons exhibit a gradual depolarization of the interspike voltage thought to be critical for the generation of spontaneous activity (Kang and Kitai, 1993; Khaliq and Bean, 2008). By contrast, our data from distal recordings show that the slope of the interspike axonal membrane potential was shallow. The shallower interspike depolarization in the axon suggests that pacemaking in dopaminergic neurons results largely from excitability of the soma and dendrites. Furthermore, hyperpolarized axonal threshold potential suggests that our recording site in the axon is distal to the site of action potential initiation, which is the axon initial segment (Hausser et al., 1995; Shu et al., 2007). Therefore, these observations argue against the axon as a third site of oscillation generation after the soma and dendrites (Pissadaki and Bolam, 2013). It is important to note that although the mixture of conductances present in axons do not favor spontaneous activity, it is still possible that the conductances that drive somatic depolarization such as NALCN and HCN may also be present in axons. In fact, a recent study found a positive correlation between the length of the axon initial segment and the spontaneous firing rate, suggesting that the axon initial segment speeds firing (Lopez-Jury et al., 2018; Meza et al., 2018). However, a different study found that the geometry of the axon initial segment negligibly affects the firing rate (Moubarak et al., 2019). Aside from the axon initial segment geometry, future work should focus on determining the axonal conductances that enable and control firing rate and spike transmission.

Axonal GABA-A receptors on dopaminergic neuron axons are depolarizing

The published literature has shown that large differences exist in the reversal potential of axonal chloride-based conductances when comparing between neuronal cell types. For example, a careful study of the GABA reversal potential in axon initial segment of cortical layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons demonstrated that \( E_{\text{GABA}} \) shifts from depolarizing to hyperpolarizing with age, eventually settling at negative values near the somatic resting potential in adult mice (~ -87 mV, Rinetti-Vargas et al. (2017)). Similarly,
hyperpolarized GABA reversal potential values were reported from proximal axons of layer 5 pyramidal neurons from rats (Xia et al., 2014). By contrast, axonal GABA-A receptors on the mossy fiber bouton (Ruiz et al., 2010), cultured Purkinje neuron terminals (Zorrilla de San Martin et al., 2017) as well as axonal glycine receptors on the calyx of Held (Price and Trussell, 2006) have reported axonal chloride-based conductances that are depolarizing relative to resting membrane potential.

In this study, we demonstrate that the average reversal potential of GABA-mediated currents in dopamine neuron axons, when considered relative to the average axonal interspike membrane potential of -68 mV, is also depolarized at -56 mV. Our recordings were performed in adult mice (ages 6-17 weeks, median of 15.5 weeks) suggesting that the depolarized reversal potential that we obtained represents the value in mature axons. Interestingly, the reversal potential for somatodendritic GABA currents in dopaminergic neurons is also depolarized at -63 mV due to relatively low expression of the K-Cl cotransporter KCC2 (Gulacsi et al., 2003), which is similar to the average interspike membrane potential of dopamine neurons during pacemaking. Therefore, activation of somatodendritic GABA-A receptors reduces spiking primarily through shunting with relatively little change in the membrane potential.

**Mechanism of axonal GABA-A receptor mediated inhibition of striatal dopamine release**

Despite the depolarized GABA reversal potential in distal axons, our findings show that activation of axonal GABA-A receptors results in inhibition of dopamine release. Although this is consistent with work from spinal cord (Curtis and Lodge (1982); Eccles et al. (1961); for a review see, Trigo et al. (2008)), these results stand in contrast to previous studies that have found axonal GABA-A receptors enhance synaptic transmission in cerebellar parallel fibers (Pugh and Jahr, 2011), hippocampal mossy fibers (Ruiz et al., 2010), terminals of cerebellar Purkinje neurons (Zorrilla de San Martin et al., 2017) and in layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons of the cortex (Szabadics et al., 2006). What features distinguish dopaminergic neuron axons, and contribute to the inhibitory effect of GABA-A receptors on transmitter release? The answer to this question is currently unknown. However, one possibility is that dopaminergic neurons differ
dramatically from these other cell types in axonal architecture. For example, parallel fibers and mossy fibers are unbranching. On the other hand, dopamine neuron axons are among the most branching processes in the brain, forming new bifurcations an average of 31 µm, and possessing an average total length of 467,000 µm (Matsuda et al., 2009), from which we can estimate roughly 15,000 total branches per cell. This unusually high frequency of branching may lead to stronger attenuation of propagating spikes. Consistent with this, we found that activation of GABA-A receptors had only subtle effects on axonal calcium signals at proximal imaging sites while axonal calcium signals at distal imaging sites were dramatically reduced. Therefore, we propose that GABA-A activation reduces the height of axonal action potentials which, in combination with the extensive axonal branching, may have an overall effect of limiting spike propagation and inhibiting dopamine release. More generally, the density of voltage-gated sodium channels and other channels that support active propagation are challenged by axonal GABA-A receptors, which may have a stronger effect in the thin, highly branching distal axon.

Past studies have proposed that presynaptic GABA-A receptors exert their effects through either shunting inhibition or sodium channel inactivation (Trigo et al., 2008). Because of the lack of experimental access to the axonal compartment, however, direct tests of this hypothesis have previously been limited to large terminal structures. In the rat posterior pituitary nerve terminals, GABA was shown to produce large depolarizations that led to strong inactivation of sodium channels, while shunting was thought to play little role in inhibition of secretion from terminals (Zhang and Jackson, 1993). Here, we demonstrate in the thin, unmyelinated axons of dopaminergic neurons that shunting and depolarization-mediated inactivation of sodium channels contribute nearly equally to GABA-A receptor mediated alteration of action potential shape and the subsequent inhibition of striatal dopamine release. Under conditions of tonic GABA-A receptor activation, these two inhibitory mechanisms will be especially prominent, particularly in an electrically tight compartment like the axon where tiny fluctuations of GABA-A activity can cause large changes in membrane voltage and input resistance. Furthermore, we found that these two mechanisms of inhibition differentially affect action potential waveforms. While depolarization-mediated sodium channel inactivation
both reduces spike height and slows the rate of action potential rise, shunting inhibition
only affects spike height.

Effect of benzodiazepines on axons

Benzodiazepines can have rewarding effects that, in some cases, can lead to habit formation (Blanco et al., 2018; Tan et al., 2011). The rewarding actions of benzodiazepines are thought to involve potentiation of GABA-A receptors located on inhibitory GABAergic neuron within the VTA which then results in disinhibition of VTA dopaminergic neurons (Tan et al., 2010). As is the case with other drugs of abuse that disinhibit dopamine neurons (e.g. opioids), benzodiazepines would be expected then to increase the somatic firing rate and subsequent dopamine release in the striatum. Instead, studies of awake behaving rats show that systemic diazepam administration increases the frequency of dopamine release events but decreases the amplitude of these release events (Schelp et al., 2018). The apparent disparity in these results can be reconciled by our observation that axonal GABA-A receptors on dopaminergic neuron axons are enhanced by diazepam. This enhancement of GABA-A receptors leads to a decrease in dopamine release through a combination of shunting inhibition and depolarization-mediated sodium channel inactivation. Therefore, we propose that the effects of drugs that pharmacologically target GABA-A receptors such as ethanol, barbiturates, and other sedatives should be reexamined considering their potential effects on axonal GABA-A receptors.

Previous reports examining the effect of striatal GABA tone on dopamine release have shown a main effect through GABA-B receptors (Brodnik et al., 2018; Lopes et al., 2019), with GABA-A receptors on dopamine axons lacking a clear function (Lopes et al., 2019). Our study shows that the GABA tone acts also through presynaptic GABA-A receptors located on dopamine axons. Furthermore, drugs that potentiate GABA-A receptors like diazepam will act on this tone to inhibit dopamine release. Yet, it is important to also consider that tonic GABA activity within the striatum may not only affect dopamine neuron axons. Indeed, we observed that dopamine release was more inhibited by diazepam with nicotinic receptors available rather than inhibited. This
finding hints at an additional circuit mechanism of action for diazepam, and perhaps for GABA-A receptors more generally, within the striatum. As GABA-A receptors have been found on other axons throughout the central nervous system, it is plausible to hypothesize that other neurons within the striatum also express axonal GABA-A receptors. Thus, there could be an additive effect of potentiating GABA-A receptor mediated inhibition when nicotinic receptors are available by diazepam acting through both cholinergic and dopaminergic axons.

In sum, this report shows direct evidence for GABA-A receptors on dopamine neuron axons. These receptors act mechanistically in the axon through a combination of increased shunting inhibition and sodium channel inactivation. Functionally, this results in reduced action potential propagation through the axonal arbor and decreased dopamine release, especially distal to the site of action potential initiation. Finally, benzodiazepines act directly on axonal GABA-A receptors to enhance the effects of GABA tone in the striatum, making the axons leakier and potentially weakening signal integration.
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