Unraveling the Sclerotinia Basal Stalk Rot Resistance Derived From Wild Helianthus argophyllus Using a High-Density Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Linkage Map
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Basal stalk rot (BSR), caused by the fungus Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, is a serious disease of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) in the humid temperate growing areas of the world. BSR resistance is quantitative and conditioned by multiple genes. Our objective was to dissect the BSR resistance introduced from the wild annual species Helianthus argophyllus using a quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping approach. An advanced backcross population (AB-QTL) with 134 lines derived from the cross of HA 89 with a H. argophyllus Torr. and Gray accession, PI 494573, was evaluated for BSR resistance in three field and one greenhouse growing seasons of 2017–2019. Highly significant genetic variations (p < 0.001) were observed for BSR disease incidence (DI) in all field screening tests and disease rating and area under the disease progress curve in the greenhouse. The AB-QTL population and its parental lines were genotyped using the genotyping-by-sequencing method. A genetic linkage map spanning 2,045.14 cM was constructed using 3,110 SNP markers mapped on 17 sunflower chromosomes. A total of 21 QTL associated with BSR resistance were detected on 11 chromosomes, each explaining a phenotypic variation ranging from 4.5 to 22.6%. Of the 21 QTL, eight were detected for BSR DI measured in the field, seven were detected for traits measured in the greenhouse, and six were detected from both field and greenhouse tests. Thirteen of the 21 QTL had favorable alleles from the H. argophyllus parent conferring increased BSR resistance.
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INTRODUCTION

Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) ranks third in worldwide production among the important vegetable oil-producing crops after soybean and rapeseed (USDA, 2020). Sunflower was grown on 26.7 million ha worldwide in 2018 producing about 52 million metric tons of sunflower seeds (FAOSTAT, 2020). The sunflower industry has a significant effect on the agricultural
S. sclerotiorum and BSR resistance suggests that sunflower studies were conducted by inoculating plants with each), confirming polygenic control of the trait. These mapping five QTL on LGs 1, 3, 8, 10, and 17. The estimated effects of QTL same population with the fungal isolate SSKH41 and identified and 17, while Amouzadeh et al. (2013) assessed 99 RILs of the BSR resistance localized on linkage groups (LGs) 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 14, and 17, orthologous and paralogous to the Arabidopsis thaliana COII gene, respectively, were strongly associated with Sclerotinia BSR resistance and explained 7.4% of phenotypic variation in this population (Talukder et al., 2014c). The HaCOII-1 gene was also reported to be associated with Sclerotinia HR resistance (Filippi et al., 2020).

Crop wild relatives (CWR) are a valuable source of novel genes lost during the domestication process. The genus Helianthus encompasses 53 species, including 14 annual and 39 perennial (Seiler et al., 2017). Annual Helianthus species are all diploid (2n = 2x = 34). Wild annual Helianthus argophyllus, commonly known as “silverleaf sunflower” is native to the coastal regions of Texas and some neighboring states of the United States. Genes for several economically important traits have been reported in H. argophyllus including resistance to downy mildew, Sclerotinia, and Phomopsis, as well as genes controlling cytoplasmic male sterility and fertility restoration (for review, see Qi et al., 2016a; Ma et al., 2017; Seiler et al., 2017). A recent pan-genomic analysis revealed that 4.7% of the gene content of the cultivated sunflower primary gene pool is derived from wild H. argophyllus (Hubner et al., 2019).

Block et al. (2008, 2009, 2010) identified H. argophyllus accessions highly resistant to Sclerotinia BSR in the USDA gene bank collection using an innovative greenhouse screening technique. Later, Qi et al. (2016b) transferred BSR resistance from a selected H. argophyllus accession into a cultivated sunflower background, and germplasm lines possessing elevated levels of BSR resistance have been released for use in sunflower BSR resistance breeding (Qi et al., 2018).

Despite reports of high levels of BSR resistance in H. argophyllus, CWR are also known to carry undesirable traits often linked with the gene of interest, thereby making its use extremely difficult. To overcome such problems in interspecific gene transfer, Tanksley and Nelson (1996) proposed an “advanced backcross QTL (AB-QTL)” method to identify and transfer the desired alleles from a wild donor into the cultivated background. In this method, QTL analysis is conducted in a backcross population and the frequency of deleterious donor alleles is reduced by negative selection during population development (Tanksley and Nelson, 1996).

Phenotypic backcross selection for introgression of desirable genes from wild relatives like H. argophyllus is labor- and cost-intensive and may also encounter linkage drag for other traits. This research aims to map QTL associated with Sclerotinia BSR resistance segregating in an AB-QTL mapping population developed from the cross of a highly BSR-resistant H. argophyllus accession with a cultivated sunflower line. This is, so far, the first report known to map BSR resistance derived from a
sunflower CWR. This research will enhance our understanding of the molecular basis of Sclerotinia BSR resistance existing in CWR and benefit sunflower molecular breeding to improve Sclerotinia BSR resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials

An AB-QTL mapping population comprised of 134 progeny lines (BC1F2 for genotyping and BC1F2-derived BC1F3 for phenotyping) was developed by crossing the cultivated sunflower line HA 89 with a wild annual H. argophyllus accession (PI 494573). The initial cross was made with the nuclear male sterile (NMS) HA 89 (PI 559477), while backcrossing was conducted with the normal, male-fertile HA 89 (PI 599773). HA 89 is an oilseed maintainer inbred line highly susceptible to BSR disease. NMS HA 89 was developed in 1990 by inducing male sterility in CWR and benefit sunflower molecular breeding to improve BSR resistance (Block et al., 2008, 2009, 2010).

Experimental Design and Phenotypic Evaluation

Field Evaluation

The AB-QTL population along with the recurrent parent HA 89 was screened for BSR resistance in a field nursery in Carrington, ND, United States, during three growing seasons from 2017 to 2019. The design of the field trials was a randomized complete block with three replications. Thirty seeds of each line were planted in a 6-m-long single-row plot with 75-cm spacing between rows. The commercial sunflower hybrids ‘Cargill 272’ and ‘Croplan 305’ were used as susceptible and resistant check, respectively. Five to six weeks after planting, at the V-6 growth stage (Schneiter and Miller, 1981) when plants are approximately 90 g of proso millet (Panicum miliaceum L.) infested with S. sclerotiorum mycelium (isolate, NEB-274) were placed in furrows 15–20 cm from the rows at a depth of 5 cm using a tractor-drawn granular chemical applicator (Gulya et al., 2008).

Greenhouse Evaluation

The AB-QTL population was evaluated in the greenhouse in three replications in the winter of 2019 as described by Underwood et al. (2020). Initially, 20 seeds of each of the progeny lines along with the seeds of the recurrent parent, HA 89, and a resistant check, RHA 801, were grown in 3-inch deep sheet pots (T.O. plastics, Clearwater, MN, United States) of 21.06-inch × 10.56-inch dimensions each containing eight rows of four wells. Sheet pots were filled with potting mix (Metro Mix 902, Sun Gro Horticulture, Agawam, MA, United States) with a single sunflower seed in each well and allowed to grow in the greenhouse at ~22°C temperature with 16 h photoperiod provided by supplemental lighting. After 5 weeks, 12 seedlings of each progeny line, parent, and check were inoculated with S. sclerotiorum (isolate NEB-274)-infested millet. Inoculations were performed by carefully removing the plants from the sheet pot wells without disturbing the root mass and placing a one-half teaspoon scoop (~0.76 g) of inoculum at the bottom of the well and returning the plant back into the well to sit on top of the inoculum. The inoculated plants were then randomly arranged in the greenhouse in 12 blocks and grown for another 4 weeks for data collection.

Data Collection and Statistical Analysis

In the field screening trials, disease incidence (DI) was determined at maturity in each row as the percentage of plants showing BSR lesions at the soil line. In the greenhouse screening trials, plant death due to BSR was monitored and recorded daily for 28 days post-inoculation (dpi), and days-to-death data were used to determine area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) and BSR disease rating (DR). Disease rating is measured as the average number of days required to show terminal wilting or whole-plant desiccation that ultimately results in the death of the inoculated plant. Plants that remained alive and showed normal growth at 28 dpi were assigned a maximum value of 29. AUDPC was calculated as:

\[
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (y_i + y_{i+1})}{2} (t_{i+1} - t_i)
\]

where \(y_i\) is the proportion of inoculated plants dead due to BSR at the \(i\)th observation, \(t\) is the time (dpi) at the \(i\)th observation, and \(n\) is the total number of observations (Shaner and Finney, 1977). The distribution of both field and greenhouse BSR data was first checked for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk normality test. Prior to performing the combined analysis for multiple years field data, homogeneity of variance was confirmed using Levene’s test. The data were then analyzed in SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, 2016) using a generalized linear mixed model (Proc GLIMMIX) to determine significant differences for DI among progeny lines. Genotype was considered as fixed effect, while year and replication were considered as random effects in the model. Broad-sense heritability (\(H^2\)) was estimated on an entry mean basis for BSR data measured in both field and greenhouse following Nyquist (1991):

\[
H^2 = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_e^2/l + \sigma_r^2/r} \quad (i)
\]

\[
H^2 = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_e^2/r} \quad (ii)
\]

The equation (i) is for BSR DI measured in the field, and equation (ii) is for AUDPC and DR measured in the greenhouse, where \(\sigma_g^2\) is the genotypic variance, \(\sigma_e^2\) is the genotype × environment variance, \(\sigma_r^2\) is the error variance, \(r\) is the number of replications, and \(l\) is the number of environments. Spearman’s rank correlation among field and greenhouse BSR data was carried out using statistical package R v3.4.3 (R Core Team, 2017).
DNA Extraction and Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Genotyping

The AB-QTL population along with the parents was genotyped using the genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) method. The seedlings were grown in the greenhouse in 36-well plastic sheet pots placed on trays filled with ProMix BX potting media (Premier Horticulture Inc., Quakertown, PA, United States). After 3–4 weeks of planting, leaf tissues were collected from young seedlings of each BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> individual and freeze-dried. A Qiagen DNeasy 96 plant kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, United States) was used to extract genomic DNA from ~50 mg of freeze-dried leaf tissue per line with a minor modification of the manufacturer’s protocol following Horne et al. (2004). The isolated DNA was quantified with a Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). GBS libraries were constructed based on the protocol of Elshire et al. (2011) with minor modifications. Briefly, 100 ng of each DNA was digested with ECOT22I and then ligated to a barcoded adapter unique to each sample and a common adapter. Equal volumes of the ligated products were pooled and purified with the QiAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, United States) for PCR amplification. For the PCR amplification, 50 ng of template DNA was mixed with NEB 2X Taq Master Mix and two primers (5 nmol each) in 200 µl of total volume and amplified on a thermocycler for 18 cycles with 10 s of denaturation at 98°C, followed by 30 s of annealing at 65°C, and finally 30 s extension at 72°C. The PCR product was then cleaned using a QiAquick PCR purification kit. The library was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States) to generate single-end, 100-bp reads at the Genomic Sequencing and Analysis Facility at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, Texas. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) discovery and genotype calling were performed using TASSEL-GBS v2 Pipeline (Glaubitz et al., 2014). Sequences were condensed into unique tags and mapped to the reference genome HanXRQr1.0 (Badouin et al., 2017) using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). A total of 63,058 SNP markers were obtained.

Linkage Mapping

The SNP markers were further filtered from the array of 63,058 SNP markers following the criteria: (i) all monomorphic SNP markers were removed, (ii) SNP markers having missing genotype for the recurrent parent were removed, (iii) SNP markers with more than 20% missing genotype were removed, and (iv) highly distorted SNP markers from the expected ratio of 1:2:1 (p > 0.05) were removed. A total of 3,500 filtered SNP markers were used to construct the linkage map of the AB-QTL population using JoinMap 4.1 software (Stam, 1993; Van Ooijen, 2006). In JoinMap, the SNP markers were first analyzed to identify co-segregating markers with identical genotype using the “similarity of loci” option of the software. Prior to linkage analysis, similar loci were excluded to reduce the burden of analysis, while the remaining proxy SNP markers were assigned to linkage groups applying the independence logarithm of the odds (LOD) parameter with LOD threshold values ranging from 3 to 10. In JoinMap, the regression mapping algorithm option was used to perform linkage analysis and marker order where recombination fractions were converted to map distances in centimorgans (cM) using the Kosambi mapping function (Kosambi, 1943). Seventeen LGs corresponding to the 17 sunflower chromosomes were constructed using the SNP markers. The excluded co-segregating similar loci markers were put back into the final map in their corresponding positions.

QTL Mapping

Initial QTL analysis was performed in WinQTL Cartographer v2.5 software by choosing the composite interval mapping (CIM) option (Zeng, 1994; Wang et al., 2012). QTL analysis of BSR field DI was analyzed using data from individual years as well as a combined analysis using genotype BLUP (best linear unbiased predictor) extracted across all 3 years. Non-normal data were transformed prior to QTL analysis using the Box–Cox transformation (Box and Cox, 1964) in R software v3.4.3 (R Core Team, 2017) of the MASS package (Venables and Ripley, 2002). In the CIM analysis, the QTL scan was performed across the sunflower genome by choosing model 6 option that accounted for both the forward and backward regression method. The scan option of the program was optimized for a window size of 10 cM with a walk speed of 1 cM and to select up to five control markers for the analysis. Significance LOD threshold values were determined independently using 1,000 times permutation tests (Churchill and Doerge, 1994). QTL analyses were also performed with other popularly used software including QGene v4.3 (Johannes and Nelson, 2008), PLABQTL v1.2 (Utz and Melchinger, 2006), and QTL IciMapping v4.1 (Meng et al., 2015), and the results were compared with those of WinQTL Cartographer. These software packages provide similar or different algorithms and/or options for cofactor selection. Significant QTL that were repeatedly detected in the same genomic region with at least two software packages were reported in the present study. A 95% confidence interval was used to estimate the QTL flanking region using 1-LOD of the most likely QTL peak position. MapChart v2.2 (Voorrips, 2002) was used to depict the linkage map along with the detected QTL. Naming of the BSR resistance QTL followed the convention proposed by Talukder et al. (2016), with a prefix Q followed by a three-letter descriptor of the phenotype, the LG number, and a serial number.

Sequence Retrieval of the Significant SNP Markers

A 400-bp nucleotide sequence flanking each significant BSR resistance QTL was retrieved from the XQRq1.0 sunflower reference genome assembly (Badouin et al., 2017). The detail of these significant SNP markers along with the retrieved sequences is presented in Supplementary Table 1.

https://www.heliagene.org/HanXRQ-SUNRISE
RESULTS

BSR Disease Screening in the Field

Varying levels of BSR DI were observed in the field screening trials of the AB-QTL population conducted in Carrington, ND, during the summer of 2017–2019 (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). The mean BSR DI for the AB-QTL population across 3 years was 30.3% and ranged from 0 to 68.7%. Overall, the distributions of the BSR DI within the population were continuous, a characteristic of the typical quantitative disease resistance (Figure 1). Although the distributions of BSR DI data were normal for 2018 and 2019 and for the 3-years’ mean DI, it was skewed toward lower DI values in the 2017 season (Figure 1). The BSR DI of the recurrent parent HA 89 for the 2017, 2018, and 2019 seasons and for the mean across the 3 years were 45.2, 43.7, 33.9, and 41.0%, respectively (Figure 1). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed highly significant ($p < 0.001$) genetic variations for BSR DI in all the 3 years. In the combined analysis, only the genotypes showed significant variation for the trait with no significant effect of the environment or the genotype × environment interaction (Table 1). The mean entry basis of the broad-sense heritability ($H^2$) estimates of the BSR DI in the field across the 3 years was 0.74. Spearman’s rank correlations ($\rho$) among BSR DI data across the 3 years of field screening were highly significant ($p < 0.001$), suggesting a high level of repeatability of the BSR screening across years in the field (Table 2).

BSR Disease Screening in the Greenhouse

ANOVA analysis revealed highly significant ($p < 0.001$) genetic variation for AUDPC and DR measured in the AB-QTL population in the greenhouse trials (Table 3). The distribution of AUDPC data across three replications was continuous but somewhat skewed ($p < 0.05$) toward higher values (Figure 1). The mean AUDPC across the population was 1,506, ranging from 750 to 1,978 with the recurrent parent HA 89 mean of 1,881. The distribution of DR data was normal with a mean DR score of 13.4 for the population. The DR scores ranged from 9.1 to 20.4 with recurrent parent HA 89 score of 9.7 (Figure 1). The broad-sense heritability ($H^2$) estimate for both AUDPC and DR was 0.73. Spearman’s rank correlations ($\rho$) between AUDPC and DR scores among the progeny lines of the AB-QTL population were highly significant ($\rho = 0.98, p < 0.001$) (Table 2).

Linkage Map Construction

Linkage analysis mapped a total of 3,110 SNP markers to 1,774 unique loci on 17 LGs corresponding to 17 sunflower chromosomes (Table 4). A detailed description of the HA 89/H. argophyllus AB-QTL population linkage map is shown in Supplementary Table 2. Approximately, 43% (1,336 SNPs) of the total mapped SNP markers co-segregated with other SNPs in the linkage groups. The largest number of mapped loci was on LG5 (256), followed by LG2 (197), LG7 (192), LG1 (173), and LG8 (162). All these LGs had over 100 loci mapped in the linkage analysis. The lowest number of loci was mapped in LG6 (35), which also had the most sparsely distributed loci (3.64 cm/locus) and markers (1.82 cm/marker). Among the mapped SNPs, a total of 524 (~17%) markers had a distorted segregation ratio. The highest number of distorted markers mapped to LG16 (49%), followed by LG3 (43%) and LG4 (42%). None of the markers mapped in LG5 were distorted from the expected segregation ratio. The total length of the linkage map covered 2,045.14 cm with an average of 1.15 cm$^{-1}$ locus and 0.66 cm$^{-1}$ marker across the sunflower genome (Table 4). The length of individual LGs ranged from 97.69 cm for LG8 to 168.23 cm for LG9. In the linkage map, most of the gaps between two adjacent loci were smaller, with 95.8% (1,683 of 1,757) of the gaps being less than 5 cm (Table 4 and Supplementary Table 2). There were 13 gaps > 10 cm in the linkage map with the largest being 19.85 cm on LG6.

Quantitative Trait Loci Analysis

A total of 21 QTL associated with BSR resistance were detected on 11 chromosomes, each explaining a proportion of the phenotypic variation ranging from 4.5 to 22.6% (Table 5 and Figure 2). Thirteen of these QTL had resistance alleles derived from the wild H. argophyllus, while the remaining eight QTL had positive alleles derived from the susceptible recurrent parent, HA 89. The highest number of QTL was detected on LGs 6, 7, and 16 with three QTL each, followed by LGs 2, 8, 9, and 17 with two QTL each. A total of eight QTL, Qbsr-6.2, Qbsr-7.1, Qbsr-8.2, Qbsr-9.3, Qbsr-11.2, Qbsr-14.1, Qbsr-16.3, and Qbsr-16.4, were detected for BSR DI measured in the field; seven QTL, Qbsr-2.2, Qbsr-7.1, Qbsr-7.2, Qbsr-8.1, Qbsr-9.3, and Qbsr-17.2, were detected for the traits measured in the greenhouse, while six QTL, Qbsr-2.1, Qbsr-7.2, Qbsr-8.2, Qbsr-10.2, Qbsr-16.2, and Qbsr-17.3, were detected from both field and greenhouse tests (Table 5). A detailed description of the QTL identification in individual screening tests and in combined analysis is presented in Supplementary Table 3. In the Qbsr-17.3 genomic region, a total of four individual environmental QTL were detected within a 2-cM span of LG17 (Supplementary Table 3). Three individual environment/combined analysis QTL were detected in the genomic regions of each of the following QTL: Qbsr-7.2, Qbsr-8.2, Qbsr-14.1, Qbsr-16.2, and Qbsr-16.4. In the Qbsr-6.2 and Qbsr-11.1 genomic regions, BSR DI QTL were detected only in the 2017 and 2018 field screening tests, respectively, but with high LOD values in multiple software packages. The remaining 13 QTL genomic regions had QTL detected in two analyses (Supplementary Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Sunflower was originally domesticated in the United States and its CWR have co-evolved with biotic and abiotic stresses in their habitat and, thus, potentially possess genes for desired traits (Seiler et al., 2017). Several sunflower CWR have been reported as potential sources of S. sclerotiorum resistance (Henn et al., 1997; Cerboncini et al., 2002; Rönick et al., 2004; Block et al., 2008, 2009, 2010; Jan et al., 2008; Seiler, 2010). However, none of these studies pursued detailed analysis of Sclerotinia...
FIGURE 1 | Frequency distribution of *Sclerotinia* basal stalk rot disease incidence (A–D), area under disease progress curve (E), and BSR disease rating (F) in an AB-QTL population evaluated in the field in Carrington, ND, United States during 2017 to 2019 (A–D) and in the greenhouse in 2019 (E,F). The green arrowheads indicate the value of the recurrent parent HA 89. The Shapiro–Wilk normality test statistic (w), the probability value (p), and the mean (µ) of the data for each environment are shown inside the respective plots.
Table 1: Combined analysis of variance for Sclerotinia basal stalk rot disease incidence scores among lines of the HA 89/H. argophyllus AB-QTL population tested in Carrington, ND, during 2017 to 2019.

| Component          | df | Variance estimate | Confidence limit (0.05) | F/Z value† | p value > F/Z |
|--------------------|----|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|
|                    |    |                   | Lower                  | Upper      |              |
| Genotype (Gen)     | 133| σ² = 156.71       | 13.98                  | 222.56     | 3.73         | <0.0001      |
| Environment (Env)  | 2  | σ² = 71.42        | 16.66                  | 10,635     | 0.84         | 0.2002       |
| Rep (Env)          | 6  | σ² = 36.12        | 13.90                  | 211.64     | 1.57         | 0.0587       |
| Gen x Env          | 264| σ² = 0.81         | 0.38                   | 1.81E165   | 0.10         | 0.4610       |
| Error              | 779| σ² = 500.38       | 453.52                 | 553.16     | 19.75        | <0.0001      |

†In the PROC MIXED model, genotypes were considered fixed and, therefore, subject to F-test (values in bold).

Table 2: Spearman rank correlations (ρ) between Sclerotinia basal stalk rot disease data among lines of the HA 89/H. argophyllus AB-QTL population tested in the field and in the greenhouse during 2017 to 2019.

| Environment | Carrington 2017 | Carrington 2018 | Carrington 2019 | AUDPC |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Field       |                 |                 |                 |       |
| Carrington 2017 |                |                 |                 |       |
| Carrington 2018 | 0.48***         |                 |                 |       |
| Carrington 2019 | 0.50***         | 0.46***         |                 |       |
| Greenhouse  |                 |                 |                 |       |
| AUDPC       | 0.11            | 0.09            | 0.18*           |       |
| Disease rating | 0.11            | 0.08            | 0.16            | 0.98*** |

*Significant at the 0.05 probability level. ***Significant at the 0.001 probability level.

Table 3: Analysis of variance for area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) and Sclerotinia basal stalk rot disease rating (DR) scores measured among lines of the HA 89/H. argophyllus AB-QTL population tested in the greenhouse.

| Trait | Component | df | Variance estimate | Confidence limit (0.05) | F/Z value† | p value > F/Z |
|-------|-----------|----|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|
|       |           |    |                   | Lower                  | Upper      |              |
| AUDPC | Genotype  | 133| σ² = 29,947       | 21,993                 | 43,178     | 3.71         | <0.0001      |
|       | Rep       | 2  | σ² = 82.50        | 7.50                   | 4.354E+26  | 0.25         | 0.4014       |
|       | Error     | 266| σ² = 33.127       | 28,146                 | 39,565     | 11.53        | <0.0001      |
| DR    | Genotype  | 133| σ² = 2.764        | 2.0272                 | 3.9923     | 3.69         | <0.0001      |
|       | Rep       | 2  | σ² = 0.007        | 0.0006                 | 1.932E+26  | 0.23         | 0.3994       |
|       | Error     | 266| σ² = 3.068        | 2.6209                 | 3.6612     | 11.50        | <0.0001      |

†In the PROC MIXED model, genotypes were considered fixed and, therefore, subject to F-test (values in bold).

resistance with respect to their genetic position in the sunflower genome nor released any germplasm lines to be utilized in practical breeding programs until recently. Introgression of BSR resistance has been achieved from H. argophyllus, H. petiolaris, and H. praeox into the cultivated sunflower background (Qi et al., 2016b; Talukder et al., 2019a), and highly BSR-resistant introgression lines have been released for further use in sunflower breeding programs (Qi et al., 2018; Talukder et al., 2019b). In order to dissect the genetic basis of BSR resistance introgressed from H. argophyllus, we used an AB-QTL mapping population combined with GBS genotyping to identify 21 QTL associated with BSR resistance on 11 sunflower chromosomes in the present study, each explaining a phenotypic variation ranging from 4.5 to 22.6%. To our knowledge, this is the first report on mapping genomic regions responsible for the BSR resistance to S. sclerotiorum from sunflower wild species, which would increase the level of genetic diversity present in sunflowers to manage this pathogen. SNP markers flanking the QTL identified will facilitate marker-assisted selection of these critical resistances in sunflower breeding.

Field screening of the AB-QTL population showed low (2017) to moderate (2018 and 2019) levels of BSR DI, indicating considerable variation in plant host responses from even small changes in environmental conditions (Figure 1). Despite variable DI ranges in 3 years, the frequency distribution was continuous in all three seasons, confirming a quantitatively inherited trait. A similar distribution was also observed for the two traits, AUDPC and DR, which were measured in the greenhouse (Figure 1). The high disease pressure in the greenhouse screening was evident from the poor performance of the susceptible parent HA 89. Due to the growth habit and idiotype, we did not place the wild parent alongside its progeny lines in the field or in the greenhouse trials for the BSR response to observe any transgressive segregation. In the ANOVA analysis of the field data, significant variation for BSR DI was observed only for the genotype with no significant environment or G × E
TABLE 4 | Summary of sunflower linkage map developed using SNP markers in an advanced backcross population derived from the cross of HA 89 and wild annual sunflower species, H. argophyllus.

| Linkage group | Map length (cM) | No. of loci | No. of markers | No. of distorted markers | cM/locus | cM/marker | No. of large gaps |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|
| LG1           | 129.070        | 173         | 313            | 64                       | 0.75     | 0.41      | 4                |
| LG2           | 121.964        | 197         | 342            | 59                       | 0.62     | 0.36      | 4                |
| LG3           | 149.527        | 74          | 136            | 58                       | 2.02     | 1.10      | 5                |
| LG4           | 117.342        | 79          | 143            | 60                       | 1.49     | 0.82      | 3                |
| LG5           | 111.069        | 256         | 463            | 0                        | 0.43     | 0.24      | 2                |
| LG6           | 127.512        | 35          | 69             | 8                        | 3.64     | 1.82      | 5                |
| LG7           | 114.887        | 192         | 334            | 75                       | 0.60     | 0.34      | 4                |
| LG8           | 97.693         | 162         | 290            | 1                        | 0.60     | 0.34      | 3                |
| LG9           | 168.226        | 88          | 165            | 36                       | 1.91     | 1.02      | 5                |
| LG10          | 104.968        | 97          | 180            | 21                       | 1.08     | 0.58      | 2                |
| LG11          | 99.588         | 64          | 110            | 17                       | 1.56     | 0.91      | 1                |
| LG12          | 105.481        | 81          | 100            | 9                        | 1.73     | 1.05      | 2                |
| LG13          | 102.488        | 56          | 80             | 11                       | 1.83     | 1.28      | 3                |
| LG14          | 115.521        | 60          | 118            | 15                       | 1.93     | 0.98      | 6                |
| LG15          | 130.686        | 72          | 100            | 27                       | 1.82     | 1.31      | 3                |
| LG16          | 147.530        | 58          | 101            | 49                       | 2.54     | 1.46      | 6                |
| LG17          | 101.589        | 50          | 66             | 14                       | 2.03     | 1.54      | 3                |
| Total         | 2,045.141      | 1,774       | 3,110          | 524                      | 1.15     | 0.66      | 61               |

TABLE 5 | Summary of Sclerotinia basal stalk rot resistance QTL identified in the HA 89/H. argophyllus AB-QTL population.

| QTL | Environment | Linkage group | Position (cM) | LOD range | Flanking markers | R² range | Resistance allele source |
|-----|-------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------|----------|------------------------|
| Qbsr-2.1 | Field, GH | 2 | 73.0 | 4.45-6.01 | C2_157528095 C2_174979149 | 10.5-14.3 | HA 89 |
| Qbsr-2.2 | GH | 2 | 87.0 | 5.86-4.39 | C2_141062679 C2_107610652 | 10.2-10.9 | HA 89 |
| Qbsr-5.1 | GH | 5 | 22.0 | 4.53-5.33 | C5_44061240 C5_55959009 | 14.4-15.0 | HA 89 |
| Qbsr-6.1 | Field | 6 | 16.0 | 7.14-12.49 | C6_6832430 C6_5701209 | 10.8-19.5 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-6.2 | Field | 6 | 24.2 | 7.78 | C6_78289542 C6_83474175 | 17.3 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-6.3 | Field | 6 | 42.0 | 6.47-10.75 | C6_5702968 C6_6001429 | 7.8-12.4 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-7.1 | GH | 7 | 31.9 | 5.10-5.80 | C7_56631029 C7_33687882 | 12.2-13.9 | HA 89 |
| Qbsr-7.2 | Field, GH | 7 | 43.0 | 4.81-9.55 | C7_62465916 C7_62041373 | 4.5-7.5 | HA 89 |
| Qbsr-7.3 | GH | 7 | 82.0 | 4.93-15.78 | C7_62500940 C7_86188878 | 6.1-7.1 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-8.1 | Field | 8 | 30.5 | 4.28-6.67 | C8_53328277 C8_66678828 | 16.7-19.7 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-8.2 | Field | 8 | 42.7 | 6.44-8.77 | C8_65495308 C8_71401840 | 6.7-14.3 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-9.2 | Field | 9 | 79.6 | 5.02-5.51 | C9_176724553 C9_176603426 | 13.5-18.3 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-9.3 | GH | 9 | 136.7 | 5.02-7.96 | C9_110189180 C9_179318742 | 14.8-17.3 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-10.2 | Field, GH | 10 | 68.0-69.4 | 5.58-6.33 | C10_180847742 C10_111029421 | 10.5-16.3 | HA 89 |
| Qbsr-11.2 | Field | 11 | 96.0 | 12.28 | C11_26402705 C11_39839617 | 11.3 | HA 89 |
| Qbsr-14.1 | Field | 14 | 73.9-74.0 | 3.34-6.83 | C14_38960647 C14_92847867 | 5.5-22.6 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-16.2 | Field, GH | 16 | 42.3-43.0 | 3.28-19.13 | C16_156300872 C16_146427171 | 10.7-19.1 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-16.3 | Field | 16 | 51.0-56.8 | 4.04-6.37 | C16_154054455 C16_146426864 | 13.4-16.9 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-16.4 | Field | 16 | 79.6 | 5.87-6.97 | C16_75842994 C16_63009695 | 13.0-19.5 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-17.2 | GH | 17 | 10.4-11.4 | 3.11-6.10 | C17_99950806 C17_68503520 | 17.0-17.3 | H. argophyllus |
| Qbsr-17.3 | Field | 17 | 36.0-38.0 | 3.35-13.94 | C17_171247454 C17_17047539 | 8.7-19.4 | HA 89 |

LOD, log of odds; R², phenotypic variation explained; GH, greenhouse.

interaction for the trait in the population (Table 1). This was further supported by a moderately high (0.74) broad-sense heritability (H²) estimate, a measure which estimates the portion of observed phenotype that is due to genetic factors. The greenhouse screening trial also showed a similar level for the heritability estimates (0.73) for the traits.
FIGURE 2 | Quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with Sclerotinia basal stalk rot (BSR) resistance identified in the HA 89/H. argophyllus advanced backcross population. The QTL with red fonts are from H. argophyllus and the QTL with black fonts are from HA 89. Fi, field; Gh, greenhouse.

The highly significant Spearman’s rank correlations (\(\rho\)) between BSR DI scores across years signify the linear relationship of genotypes in different environments as well as validate the repeatability of the field screening trials (Table 2). However, the relationship between the field and greenhouse screening trials was not significant except for AUDPC and DI of 2019, which was barely significant at \(p < 0.05\). Poor correlation between greenhouse and field disease evaluations was somewhat unexpected as greenhouse BSR evaluations have previously been observed to correlate strongly with field observations in a panel of cultivated sunflower lines (Underwood et al., 2020). Resistance introgressed from H. argophyllus may involve novel mechanisms that are not captured in the greenhouse evaluation. Alternatively, some of the apparent resistance derived from H. argophyllus may be a consequence of disease avoidance in field trials due to differences in plant growth habit or time to flowering and maturity. Nonetheless, in spite of the poor correlation between field and greenhouse disease observations, six BSR resistance QTL were detected in both the field and greenhouse trials.

The high-density genetic map was made with 3,110 SNP markers developed using GBS technology in the AB-QTL population. The high level of DNA polymorphism between the two genetically diverse parental lines makes it possible to map such a large number of SNP markers. This is, so far, the densest linkage map developed using a single biparental mapping population in sunflower. The current linkage map spans the longest genetic distance (2,045.14 cM) over any other SNP marker-based linkage maps developed earlier in sunflower, where the previous longest map reported was 1,505.33 cM (Talukder et al., 2014a, 2020). This implies that the present molecular map thus has the largest relative coverage of the sunflower genome, which is important for mapping QTL that confer the economically important traits of this species.

We performed QTL analyses of the field DI data individually for each year as well as a combined analysis of 3 years of phenotype data using extracted best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) values. The greenhouse test data were also analyzed independently. Altogether, we detected 21 QTL associated with BSR resistance in this population, which is by far the greatest number of BSR resistance QTL reported in a single sunflower mapping population. The majority of the QTL alleles (\(\sim 62\%\)) conferring BSR resistance were derived from the wild H. argophyllus parent, which was expected as the wild parent showed much higher BSR resistance than the cultivated sunflower lines (Qi et al., 2016b). Out of the 21 QTL, only six were common in both field and greenhouse environments, while the remaining 15 QTL were detected independently, eight in the field and seven in the greenhouse. This result seems generally consistent with the poor correlation between the field and the greenhouse data (Table 2).

Significant BSR resistance QTL were detected in 11 of the 17 sunflower LGs in this H. argophyllus AB-QTL population.
(Figure 2). Except for LGs 5 and 7, BSR resistance QTL were previously reported in the remaining nine LGs—2, 6, 8, 9, 10, 14, 16, and 17 (Davar et al., 2010; Amouzadeh et al., 2013). However, due to the lack of common markers, as well as a lack of sequence information and/or physical position of the QTL flanking markers in earlier studies, a detailed comparison of the QTL position could not be performed with the current study. Talukder et al. (2016) reported two large-effect QTL, Qbsr-10.1 and Qbsr-17.1, identified in multiple environments, one each on LGs 10 and 17 where we also detected QTL on these two LGs in the current study. However, a comprehensive sequence comparison of the flanking SNP markers suggests that the QTL positions of these two studies do not match to their positions on the sunflower physical map, suggesting novel QTL for the H. argophyllus AB-QTL population (data not shown). Nevertheless, the individual environment-specific QTL Qbsr-9.1 on LG9 reported by Talukder et al. (2016) coincides with the physical position of the Qbsr-9.3 QTL of the current study on the sunflower genome.

Although an earlier report showed a poor correlation between Sclerotinia HR and BSR (Talukder et al., 2014b), co-localization of BSR QTL was observed with significant SNP markers associated with HR disease resistance. For example, SNP117 on LG10, SNP125 on LG2, and SNP44 on LG6, significantly associated with Sclerotinia HR (Filippi et al., 2020), were co-localized with the Qbsr-10.1, Qbrs-2.2, and Qbrs-6.1 of the current study, respectively.

The resistance against the necrotrophic fungus S. sclerotiorum in sunflower involves many genes and biochemical pathways whose roles in plant resistance system have yet to be understood (Mengiste, 2012). Introgression of H. argophyllus alleles associated with BSR resistance potentially offers new allelic diversity for the cultivated sunflower gene pool and will likely serve as a useful tool for breeding sunflower lines with higher resistance against this devastating pathogen.
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