Intra-Arterial Immunoselected CD34+ Stem Cells for Acute Ischemic Stroke
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ABSTRACT

Treatment with CD34+ hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells has been shown to improve functional recovery in nonhuman models of ischemic stroke via promotion of angiogenesis and neurogenesis. We aimed to determine the safety and feasibility of treatment with CD34+ cells delivered intra-arterially in patients with acute ischemic stroke. This was the first study in human subjects. We performed a prospective, nonrandomized, open-label, phase I study of autologous, immunoselected CD34+ stem/progenitor cell therapy in patients presenting within 7 days of onset with severe anterior circulation ischemic stroke (National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale [NIHSS] score 28). CD34+ cells were collected from the bone marrow of the subjects before being delivered by catheter angiography into the ipsilesional middle cerebral artery. Eighty-two patients with severe anterior circulation ischemic stroke were screened, of whom five proceeded to treatment. The common reasons for exclusion were age >80 years (n = 19); medical instability (n = 17), and significant carotid stenosis (n = 13). The procedure was well tolerated in all patients, and no significant treatment-related adverse events occurred. All patients showed improvements in clinical functional scores (Modified Rankin Score and NIHSS score) and reductions in lesion volume during a 6-month follow-up period. Autologous CD34+ selected stem/progenitor cell therapy delivered intra-arterially into the infarct territory can be achieved safely in patients with acute ischemic stroke. Future studies that address eligibility criteria, dosage, delivery site, and timing and that use surrogate imaging markers of outcome are desirable before larger scale clinical trials.

INTRODUCTION

A major unmet need exists for treatments that can reduce tissue injury progression and enhance functional recovery in patients with stroke. Stem cells offer the promise of a novel reparative strategy for acute brain injury. Recent nonhuman studies of stroke using stem cell therapy have demonstrated significant behavioral recovery and, in some cases, reductions in lesion volume [1–13]. A variety of stem cell types have been investigated in this context, including CD34+ stem/progenitor cells. CD34+ cells are a subset of bone marrow mononuclear cells (BMMNCs) and act as the main endogenous source of hematopoietic and endothelial cell precursors. Several lines of evidence have suggested that they might act as a potentially useful therapy for acute stroke. First, after a stroke, mobilization of CD34+ cells from the bone marrow into the periphery is increased [14], which shows a strong positive correlation with clinical recovery [15]. Second, two trials of CD34+ cells in rodent models of stroke have demonstrated improved functional recovery and a reduced infarct size [12, 13]. At the cellular level, CD34 cell transplantation resulted in increased perilesional angiogenesis and subsequent neurogenesis [13]. Finally, a recently published clinical trial of intra-arterial BMMNC treatment of acute stroke showed a trend toward a positive correlation between the number of CD34+ cells injected and functional outcome at 1 month [16]. Potentially, three main routes of central nervous system delivery are available: intravenous, intra-arterial, and direct surgical cranial implantation. Stereotactic surgical approaches—are likely to be the most efficient method of delivery—are also likely to be particularly challenging in the context of acute stroke, given the risks of general anesthesia (especially in medically unstable patients) and the potential for worsening cerebral inflammation and edema. Conversely, with intravenous delivery, the overwhelming majority of transplanted cells will be absorbed by nontarget organs—especially the lungs, spleen, and liver—before they can reach the brain [17–19]. Direct arterial delivery, therefore, represents an intermediate strategy that offers directed therapy with fewer of the risks of major surgery. To date, five phase I trials using unselected BMMNCs in stroke have been published [16, 20–23]. These have examined the effect of...
BMMNCs in acute and chronic stroke, using intravenous, intra-arterial, and intracerebral approaches. They have all shown safety and feasibility in the patients studied. BMMNCs contain a number of subpopulations of cells (including ∼1% CD34+ cells), and it is not known which fraction contributes to the recovery seen in preclinical studies. It might be that the CD34+ fraction, which contains populations of both hematopoietic stem cells and endothelial progenitor cells, is the active component. There is some evidence to support this. One animal study compared CD34+ cells and CD34-negative cells in a rodent model of cortical stroke [13]. Benefits were seen with the CD34+ treatment arm, which were not reproduced in the CD34-negative group. Additional data in favor of using CD34+ cells comes from a recently reported clinical trial of intra-arterially delivered BMMNCs in patients with acute severe ischemic stroke. They found no correlation between recovery and the numbers of BMMNCs injected but did see a trend toward better outcomes with higher numbers of CD34+ cells injected (those present within the BMMNC infusate) [16].

Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), used to mobilize CD34+ cells into the peripheral circulation, has been shown to improve outcomes in rodent models of stroke [24, 25]. The recently reported Stem cell Trial of recovery EnhanceMent after Stroke 2 (phase IIb) clinical trial showed that G-CSF given to patients 3–30 days after stroke onset was safe, with a trend toward a reduction in the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-determined ischemic volume in the treated group [26]. However, to date, no investigators have studied CD34+ selected cells via the intra-arterial delivery route within an early period after stroke. The aim of our study, therefore, was to establish the safety and feasibility of autologous CD34+ selected stem/progenitor cell therapy—delivered directly into the ipsilesional middle cerebral artery—in patients with acute (<7 days) ischemic stroke. Because this was an early-phase development study, for ethical reasons, we restricted our target population to those with clinically severe anterior circulation ischemic stroke.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Design**

We performed a prospective, nonrandomized, open-label, proof of concept study of autologous immunoselected CD34+ stem/progenitor cell therapy in patients with acute severe ischemic stroke. The study was approved by the ethical committee of Hammersmith Hospital, Imperial College Healthcare National Health Services Trust, London, United Kingdom, and the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency. It was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (unique identifier: NCT00535197; available at: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov).

**Subjects**

Patients were eligible for inclusion within 7 days of stroke onset if they had had a clinically definite severe ischemic stroke, conforming to the total anterior circulation stroke (TACS; Oxford Community Stroke Project classification) phenotype. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in Table 1.

Recruitment started December 2007. Owing to the low recruitment/screen ratio (as described in the Discussion), the criteria were expanded from August 2010 onward to include the partial anterior circulation stroke (PACS) subtype of ischemic stroke, including (a) imaging confirmation of an infarct in the territory of the middle cerebral artery, and (b) a significant neurologic deficit with an National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score of ≥8 at screening.

**Intervention**

Potential subjects were clinically evaluated by one of three of us (S.B., P.B., or J.C.) at Imperial College Healthcare National Health Services Trust (London, United Kingdom). The patients provided written informed consent (day 0, within 7 days of stroke onset). If the patient was dysphasic or obtunded, assent could be obtained from the next of kin. A bone marrow aspirate was collected on day 0, and the CD34+ cells were separated by immunoselection using standard procedures (see below). On day 1 or 2, depending on completion of the CD34+ stem/progenitor cell immunoselection process, the cells were reinfused into the patients directly via the intra-arterial route.

Production of a CD34+ stem/progenitor cell concentrate occurred in two stages. First, under the institutional Human Tissue Authority procurement license, the patients were screened serologically for the following infections before bone marrow acquisition (in line with standard stem cell transplantation protocols): HIV 1 and 2, hepatitis B and C, human T lymphotropic virus 1 and 2, and syphilis. Bone marrow aspiration was performed by an experienced hematologist under aseptic conditions, using lidocaine anesthesia only. A single-pull 10-ml aspirate (on guidance from our ethical review board) was collected directly into a syringe containing 1.4 ml acid citrate dextrose as anticoagulant.

The final cell product, defined as an “Investigational Medicinal Product” (IMP), required the second stage of production to be performed to Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) standards within a facility holding IMP manufacturing authorization. Under GMP manufacturing, this stage of the process was conducted under aseptic conditions. The aspirated bone marrow was first washed in phosphate-buffered saline, EDTA, and human albumin solution (HAS) to remove any cellular debris, followed by the addition of a set volume of immunoselection labeling type reagent composed of CD34+ antibody-coated magnetic beads (CliniMACS; Miltenyi Biotec Inc., San Diego, CA, https://www.miltenyibiotec.com). After mixing, the bag was aseptically connected to the ClinIMACS machine (Miltenyi Biotec Inc.). An automated process applied the cells to the separation column, performed a series of washes, and, finally, the purified CD34+ cells were obtained. These cells were resuspended in HAS and loaded into a syringe containing 1.4 ml acid citrate dextrose as anticoagulant.

The clinical outcome measures and neuroimaging studies in the form of MRI was performed at specified points: days 0, 1–2 (after infusion), 7, 14, 30, and 180. The use of computed tomography was acceptable if the patient was unable to tolerate MRI.
Data were collected on symptoms and adverse events. All patients received concurrent best medical treatment.

**Primary Endpoints**

The primary endpoint was to assess the safety and tolerability of the intervention. Safety was evaluated in terms of adverse events graded according to the Common Toxicity Criteria and laboratory test results. All adverse events (classified using the International Conference on Harmonisation/Good Clinical Practice criteria) were graded for their relationship to treatment and as expected and unexpected. They were reported to the stem cell group, the appropriate ethics committee, and competent authority, as required, and to an independent safety monitoring committee.

**Secondary Endpoints**

Clinical function was assessed using the Modified Rankin Score (mRS) and NIHSS. Data were analyzed using the paired t test, and statistical significance was taken at $p < .05$.

Neuroimaging outcomes comprised qualitative assessments of T2, fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), and gradient-echo or susceptibility-weighted MRI at each evaluation point by a consultant neuroradiologist and estimation of the lesion volume determined from T2-weighted MRI scans. The latter was performed by manual delineation of the original images in MRIcron (available at: http://www.mccauslandcenter.sc.edu/mricro/mricron/main.html) by an experienced stroke-neurologist (P.B.), who was unaware of the temporal order of the scans.

**RESULTS**

A total of 76 patients with the TACS and 6 with the PACS subtype were considered for the trial. Five patients ultimately were suitable and received the intervention (Fig. 1). The major reasons for ineligibility were age $>80$ years ($n = 19$), significant comorbidity or medical instability ($n = 17$), and ipsilateral internal carotid artery occlusion or significant stenosis ($n = 13$).

The clinical and imaging characteristics and CD34+ cell dosage delivered are documented in Table 2. The percentage of CD34+ cells in the infusate was in the range of 45.3%–67.2%. Cell viability was between 81.6% and 100%. The CD34+ cell dosage data were corrected for viability. All cells obtained by immunoselection were delivered.
Safety and Trial Conduct

All five patients tolerated the procedure well, with no complications. No recurrent strokes developed during the follow-up period, and no postprocedure neurologic deterioration was observed. No patient died. One patient developed renal dysfunction 2 weeks after the infusion and subsequently experienced an episode of pneumonia. These resolved and were deemed to be serious adverse events because they led to a prolongation of the hospital stay but were not related to the intervention. No protocol deviations occurred.

Clinical Outcomes

The serial NIHSS scores and mRSs are shown in Figures 2 and 3. All patients had improved by the day 180 review. The median NIHSS score was 9 (interquartile range [IQR] 8–14) at day 0 and 2 (IQR 1–3) at day 180. The median mRS was 4 (IQR 3–4) at day 0 and 2 (IQR 1–2) at day 180.

Significant improvement was seen in the mean NIHSS score, from 10.40 to 2.20 (95% confidence interval [CI] 3.69–12.71; \( p = .007 \)), and mean mRS, from 3.80 to 1.60 (95% CI 1.64–2.76; \( p = .0004 \)), from day 0 to day 180.

Radiological Outcomes

The lesion volumes estimated from T2-weighted MRI at baseline are listed in Table 2, and the relative changes in lesion volume during the 6-month follow-up period from CD34+ cell treatment are shown in Figure 4A. A blinded determination of lesion volume showed a reduction in the lesion volume relative to baseline in all patients at the final follow-up examination. A nonsignificant reduction was seen in the mean lesion volume from 134.24 to 96.49 cm\(^2\) (95% CI −5.46 to 80.97; \( p = .07 \)) from day 0 to day 180.
The mean percentage of change from baseline was 28% at 6 months of follow-up.

Qualitative assessment of the MRI studies (T2, FLAIR, and gradient-echo or susceptibility-weighted images) at each follow-up point showed the typical evolution of cerebral infarction with no new lesions (including edema, hemorrhage, arteriovenous malformation, or tumor). Baseline diffusion weighted MRI scans are shown in Figure 4B. An angiographic image of intra-arterial catheter delivery of cells to M1 segment (blue arrow) of left middle cerebral artery.

Figure 3. Modified Rankin Scores on admission and at days 0–180.

Figure 4. Findings from imaging studies. (A): Percentage of change in infarct volume from day 0 to 180 for patients 1–5. (B): Baseline diffusion weighted magnetic resonance images for patients 1–5 (shown left to right). (C): Angiographic image of intra-arterial catheter delivery of cells to M1 segment (blue arrow) of left middle cerebral artery.
cell delivery is shown in Figure 4C. Serial imaging of all 5 patients up to day 180 is shown in Figure 5.

**DISCUSSION**

Subsequent to evidence of preclinical therapeutic benefit of CD34+ stem/progenitor cells in acute stroke, we have demonstrated in a phase I clinical trial that autologous CD34+ stem/progenitor cells, delivered directly into the middle cerebral artery within the first week of stroke symptoms, is both possible and safe. All patients tolerated the treatment well, with no identifiable treatment-related serious adverse events during a 6-month follow-up period. We attempted to minimize the risks of treatment by performing both bone marrow aspiration (for cell acquisition) and subsequent cerebral angiography (for cell delivery), with the patient under local anesthesia, rather than general anesthesia. Furthermore, all patients showed improvements in clinical scores and reductions in lesion volume within 6 months. Although such patterns of recovery are well-recognized in the usual natural history of strokes, these findings are nevertheless reassuring for future trials of CD34+ cell therapy. In particular, we found no evidence of postintervention stroke (ischemic or hemorrhagic), vascular malformation, or tumor.

Preclinical studies of CD34+ cell transplantation have shown evidence of functional recovery in rodent models of ischemic stroke. Although our proof of concept study was not designed with a control group or powered to be able to detect efficacy, the assessment of outcome did show a trend toward functional improvement, with all 5 patients in our study showing improvements up to 6 months of follow-up in their NIHSS and mRSs. Previously, patients with TACS have been shown to have a poor prognosis, with 96% of such patients having died or become dependent (mRS ≥2) at 6 months of follow-up [27]. Of the 5 patients recruited, 4 had the TACS subtype, and of these, 3 were independent at the final follow-up visit (defined as an mRS of ≤2). Our results showing that 75% of the TACS patients (or 80% of the total cohort) were independent at the final follow-up visit are therefore encouraging.

We deliberately chose an early timescale to maximize the potential neuroreparative effects of CD34+ cells. Two preclinical studies, in particular, have demonstrated increased angiogenesis in perilesional tissue after CD34+ cell transplantation, with evidence of possible angiogenesis-mediated neurogenesis [12, 13]. The timing of transplantation in these 2 studies was at 48 hours after stroke and 7 days after stroke, respectively. Both studies showed evidence of functional improvement and reduced infarct volume. Most preclinical studies have shown very little evidence of engraftment of significant numbers of transplanted cells; therefore, it has been thought that the mechanism of recovery occurs via a trophic effect on the brain (e.g., secretion of growth factors), including recruitment of endogenous repair processes. We hypothesized that this reparative effect would be maximal early after the ischemic insult. On the basis of the cited preclinical studies, we chose an early timescale within 7 days of the event.

Because this was a phase I trial of a potentially hazardous intervention, for ethical reasons we limited our treatment population to

Figure 5. Serial brain imaging from baseline to day 180 in patients 1–5. (A): Serial MRI scans of patient 1 showing progressive maturation of a left middle cerebral artery (MCA) infarct. (B): Baseline CT and subsequent MRI scans of patient 2 showing progressive maturation of a right MCA infarct. (C): Serial MRI scans patient 3 showing progressive maturation of a right MCA infarct. (D): Serial MRI scans of patient 4 showing progressive maturation of a left MCA infarct. (E): Serial MRI scans of patient 5 showing progressive maturation of a left MCA infarct. Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; DW, diffusion weighted; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

*Figure continues on next page.*
Figure 5. Continued from previous page.
those most severely affected (TACS subtype). Furthermore, we were deliberately cautious in our specification of the inclusion criteria. Of the 76 TACS patients considered, only 4 were eligible. The slow recruitment to this trial was a surprise and seemed to be mainly related to the nature of the patients with the TACS subtype, in particular, medical instability and arterial anatomy. To increase the external validity and recruitment rate for this technique, we widened the inclusion criteria to include the PACS subtype (and an NIHSS score of $\geq 8$), resulting in 1 patient recruited of 6 screened. Age older than 80 years was another significant reason for exclusion from this trial. Future studies will need to consider extending this upper age limit, which has been done in other acute stroke trials, such as the third international stroke trial [28].

To date, a number of clinical trials examining human stem cells in ischemic stroke have been completed across the world. These have used a variety of different types of stem cells (mesenchymal, bone marrow mononuclear cells, neuronal immortalized cells), at different time points, and via different methods of delivery [16, 20–23, 29–32]. The ideal timing of transplantation remains unclear. Only three of the currently published clinical trials have considered stem cell delivery in the acute phase. All 3 studies investigated the effects of autologous BMMNCs: 1 at 24–72 hours after the event, given intravenously; another at 3–7 days after the event, given intra-arterially; and the third at 5–9 days after the event, given intra-arterially [16, 22, 23]. All three trials demonstrated safety and feasibility in their subjects.

The ideal dose of stem cells to deliver also remains unclear. In our study, the dosage was limited by the number of cells obtained via bone marrow aspiration with the patient under local anesthesia. Performing the procedure with the patient under general anesthesia would have allowed acquisition of greater volumes of marrow and hence immunoselection of more cells. However, the use of a general anesthetic would have been potentially problematic in such a severe subgroup of patients, so early after the stroke. Future trials will need to clarify issues regarding dosage and, ideally, would incorporate a dose-escalation design. Comparisons of different methods of delivery will also be important. Tracking of transplanted cells and elucidation of the mechanism of action are other critical issues that still need additional investigation [19].
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