Modeling Cabbage Production in Malang East Java with GSTAR Approach
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ABSTRACT

Based on the Directorate Report General’s Horticulture, the contribution of vegetable horticulture agriculture tends to increase, where the GDP of vegetable horticulture has increased by 9.86%. In 2016, cabbage is a vegetable horticultural commodity that has the highest production amount in Indonesia, and the poor district is one of the major producers of commodities cabbage in eastern Java. Generalized Space-Time Autoregressive (GSTAR) is a multivariate time series model that considers site aspects with heterogeneous location characteristics. The purpose of this study was to model cabbage production in Malang Regency using the GSTAR model. Selection criteria for the best model to use the value of the root mean square error (RMSE) and the value of $R^2$. The results showed that the GSTAR model (1,2) is the best model for modeling cabbage production and has good forecasting accuracy to predict cabbage production in Malang Regency.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Time series analysis is an analysis that considers the effect of time used to predict future observations. In general, there are two time-series data modeling, namely univariate and multivariate [1]. The univariate time series model that is often used is the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model. ARIMA model only involves one time series variable. While the multivariate time series model is a model that involves more than one time series variable, for example, the Vector Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (VARIMA) model.

The ARIMA model does not contain a location element in its forecasting model, so another model that contains time is needed, and the location of the space-time model is a model that combines elements of time and location linkages in time and location data series. The space-time model is a model that combines elements of time and location linkages in time and location data series. The space-time model developed by [2]. Pfeifer and Deutsch adopted the steps developed by [3] for ARIMA modeling, which includes identification, estimation, and diagnostic checks into STARIMA (Space-Time Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) modeling.

The model space-time developed by [4] has a weakness in the flexibility of parameters that explain the relationship between locations and different times in a time series data and location. This weakness is corrected by [5] through a model is known as the GSTAR (Generalized Space-Time Autoregressive) model.

This research was conducted with the aim of applying the GSTAR model to cabbage production data in the Malang Regency. In this study, it is hoped that an appropriate model can be obtained, so that it can be used to obtain accurate forecast values, and can explain the relationship between cabbage production in one location and cabbage production in other locations.
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1. Stationarity Test Stationarity
Testing in this study used the unit root test (Dickey-Fuller). The hypothesis used in testing whether there is a unit root problem is:

- \( H_0: \phi_1 = 1 \) (non-stationary data)
- \( H_1: \phi_1 < 1 \) (stationary data)

The test statistic used is the t-test statistic. However, under \( H_0 \), the t-test statistic does not have at distribution, but instead distributes \( \tau \):

\[
\tau = \frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{SE(\hat{\phi}_1)} \sim \tau_n
\]

where,

\[ SE(\hat{\phi}_1) = \frac{s_1}{\sqrt{n}} \] is the standard error \( \hat{\phi}_1 \) (2)

2.2. Space-Time
Model The space-time model (space-time) is a model that can combine elements of time and location dependence on data multivariate time series. The concept of multivariate time series data is that there is more than one time series variable, in this case, there are several research locations that are used as research variables. This model is a modeling of a number of observations \( Z_i(t) \) contained at each N location in space \( (i = 1, 2, ..., N) \) against \( t \) time period.

2.3. Inverse matric distance
weighting serves to describe the spatial relationship between regions. The location weight used in this study is the inverse location weight of the distance. The weight of the inverse distance location is obtained from calculations based on the actual distance between locations. This weight gives a smaller coefficient of weight for long distances, and vice versa. The calculation of this weight is:

\[
W_{ij} = \frac{1/d_{ij}}{\sum_s 1/d_{ij}}
\]

where \( d_{ij} \) is the distance between location \( i \) and location \( j \).

2.4. GSTAR
GSTAR or Generalized pace-Time Autoregressive model is a space-time model that aims to increase the flexibility of STAR parameters. The GSTAR model is a more specific form of the VAR (Vector Autoregressive) model. The most basic difference is in spatial dependent and matrix weights. \[6\] stated that the GSTAR model is more realistic because there are more models with different model parameters for different locations. This model was introduced [5].

Determination of the order of the GSTAR model is the same as the VAR model, namely using the MPACF (Matrix Partial Autocorrelation Function) [1]. The partial autocorrelation matrix on lag \( k \) is denoted by \( P(k) \) is defined as follows

\[
P(k) = [D_p(k)]^{-1}V_u(k)[D_u(k)]^{-1}
\]

where,

- \( D_p(k) \) : The diagonal matrix of size \( m \times m \) with the to-i diagonal element is the root of the i-to diagonal element of the var \( (v_u) \).
- \( D_u(k) \) : diagonal matrix of size \( m \times m \) with the i-th diagonal element is the root of the i-th diagonal element of the var matrix \( (u_{k-1,t+1}) \)
- \( V_u(k) \) : matrix the covariance matrix of \( v_{s-1,t} \) and \( u_{s-1,t+1} \) which measures m x m.

\[
v_{k-1,t} = Z_t - \beta_{k-1,1}Z_t + \cdots - \beta_{k-1,k-2}Z_t + \beta_{k-1,k-1}Z_t + k - 1
\]

\[
u_{k-1,t+k} = Z_t + k - \alpha_k - 1, Z_{t+k} - k + 1 - \cdots - \alpha_k - 1, Z_t + k + 1
\]

The autoregressive order is determined from a significant matrix element to the lag to-p [1]. The GSTAR model with autoregressive order \( p \) and spatial order \( \lambda \), or denoted by GSTAR \( (p, \lambda) \) can be written in the following equation:

\[
Z(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{P} \Phi_{k0}Z(t-k) + \sum_{h=1}^{\lambda} \Phi_{kh}W(g)Z(t-k) + \varepsilon(t)
\]

with

- \( \lambda \) : spatial order on the parameter autoregressive
- \( Z(t) \) : random vector of size \( (m \times 1) \) at time \( t \).
- \( \Phi_{k0} \) : diag \( (\Phi_{k01}, \ldots, \Phi_{k0m}) \) that is, the diagonal matrix of autoregressive parameters at time lag \( k \) and spatial lag 0
- \( \Phi_{kg} \) : diag \( (\Phi_{k0g}, \ldots, \Phi_{k0mg}) \) that is, the diagonal matrix of autoregressive parameters at lag time \( -k \) and spatial lag \( g \).
- \( W(g) \) : size weighted matrix \( (m \times m) \) on spatial lag \( g \), where \( w(g) = 0 \) and \( \sum_{l \neq i} w(g) = 1 \).
- \( \varepsilon(t) \) : the size error vector \( (m \times 1) \) is white noise and normally multivariate distribution.
- \( m \) : the number of locations used.

In the GSTAR model, the model parameter is a matrix with diagonal elements which states the autoregressive parameter and the changing space-time parameter for each location. GSTAR has limitations, namely that it can only be used for stationary and non-seasonal space-time data. This condition tends not to be fulfilled on data that is not stationary and contains seasonal patterns.
2.5. Estimation of Parameters

[7] used the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method to estimate the parameters of the GSTAR model. The OLS method is a method used to estimate the parameters of a model by minimizing the number of squares of errors, namely minimizing \( \sum_{t=1}^{T} e_t^2 \). The estimation method of least squares is \( \hat{\theta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y \) (6)

For each location \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) have partial linear model such as equation (5). This means that the estimation of the least-squares \( \hat{\theta}_l \) for each location can be calculated separately.

2.6. Goodness Model Criteria

Criteria for selecting the best model to use the value of the root mean square error (RMSE) and the value of \( R^2 \). RMSE has a function to obtain the amount of difference that appears between the actual value and the predicted value. RMSE value is obtained from the following formula

\[
RMSE = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (Z_t - \hat{Z}_t)^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

(7)

\( R^2 \) stating how much the diversity of the dependent variable can be explained by the independent variable. Value \( R^2 \) obtained from the following formula

\[
R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (Z_t - \hat{Z}_t)^2}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (Z_t - \bar{Z})^2}
\]

(8)

3. RESEARCH METHODS

This study uses secondary data obtained from the Department of Agriculture and Horticulture Malang. This study used variables, namely cabbage production (quintal). This study models cabbage production in Malang Regency with the GSTAR-OLS model using the inverse matric distance. In addition, the simulation also applies location weighting, namely the normalization of statistical inference results in partial cross-correlation at the appropriate time lag to determine the optimal location weight in the GSTAR model.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Description of Cabbage Production Data

Data used in this study were cabbage production in three locations in Malang Regency during the period 2013 - 2017. In Table 1, the descriptive statistics of the data are presented below.

| Location | Mean  | Min   | Max   | Std Dev |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Poncokusumo | 65.28 | 203.33 | 390.00 | 95.10 |
| Wajak     | 65.41 | 170.00 | 220.00 | 95.92 |
| Tumpang   | 63.94 | 130.00 | 250.00 | 87.80 |

Plot production data cabbage in Malang Regency period Month January 2013 until December 2017 is presented in Figure 1 below:

Figure 1 shows that the data is not stationary, so the data is processed differencing. Data already done process differencing is denoted as \( Z_t(t) = \ln Y_t(t) \) and it is said to be stationary if the data structure from time to time has fixed or constant data fluctuations and does not change.

4.2. Stationarity Test

After the data was transformed, it was tested using Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF). The test results are presented in Table 2 below:

| Location | P-value | Information |
|----------|---------|-------------|
| Poncokusumo | 0.095 | Accept |
| Wajak     | 0.020 | Reject |
| Tumpang   | 0.078 | Accept |

Because the \( p-value \) in Poncokusumo and Tumpang Districts in Table 2 is greater than \( \alpha = 0.05 \) then is accepted, in other words, the cabbage
production data in Poncokusumo and Tumpang Districts are not stationary yet, so it needs to be stationary.

4.3. Location Weight GSTAR (1,2)
The results of the weighted matrix calculation with the inverse distance weight are as follows:

\[
W_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.000000 & 0.457335 & 0.542665 & 0.520193 \\ 0.000000 & 0.479807 & 0.562642 & 0.437358 & 0.000000 \end{bmatrix}
\]

4.4. Estimation Results of GSTAR Model Parameters (1,2) Three Locations

Determine the parameters of the GSTAR model (1,2) using Equation (5), where the parameter values are calculated using SAS software. The results of parameter estimation for cabbage production data in the three research locations obtained the parameter estimation values for the period January 2013 to December 2017 are as follows:

Table 3. Estimation Results of Cabbage Production Data Parameters in Three Locations of Malang Regency

| No | Location | Variabel | DF | Parameter Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Pr > | t  |
|----|----------|----------|----|--------------------|----------------|---------|------|----|
| 1  | Poncokusumo | Z1 1 | 1 | 0.7733 | 0.1656 | 5.39 | <0.001|
|    |           | Z1 2 | 1 | 0.0881 | 0.1037 | 0.84 | 0.3971|
|    |           | Z1 3 | 1 | 1.6479 | 0.0108 | 16.12 | 0.0099|
|    |           | Z1 4 | 1 | 4.1076 | 0.0404 | 101.19 | 0.0004|
| 2  | Wajak    | Z2 1 | 1 | 0.3881 | 0.0096 | 3.97 | 0.0018|
|    |           | Z2 2 | 1 | 0.0803 | 0.0119 | 1.87 | 0.0642|
|    |           | Z2 3 | 1 | 0.0491 | 0.0139 | 1.89 | 0.0999|
| 3  | Tumpang  | Z3 1 | 1 | 0.2749 | 0.1239 | 2.20 | 0.0310|
|    |           | Z3 2 | 1 | 0.8466 | 0.1111 | 7.74 | 0.0003|
|    |           | Z3 3 | 1 | 0.0420 | 0.0468 | 0.92 | 0.3530|
|    |           | Z3 4 | 1 | 0.0091 | 0.0439 | 0.19 | 0.3305|

Based on Table 3 can be seen that for the District Poncokusumo variables that significantly affect the production of cabbage is the result of cabbage production in the previous period with a p-value of 0.0001. for Wajak Regency that had a significant effect on cabbage production at time to-1 was the cabbage production yield in the previous two periods (t-2). For Tumpang District, what affects cabbage production at time to-1 is the yield of cabbage in the previous period (t-2) and the two previous periods (t-2).

Based on Table 3, the GSTAR equation of cabbage production data from three locations is:

\[
\tilde{Z}_1(t) = 0.7733Z_1(t-1) + 0.7536V_2(t-1) + 0.8943V_3(t-1) - 0.0492V_2(t-2) - 0.0584V_3(t-2)
\]

Based on Equation, the GSTAR (1,2) model can be written for each location as follows:

- Poncokusumo
  \[
  \tilde{Z}_1(t) = 0.7733Z_1(t-1) + 0.7536V_2(t-1) + 0.8943V_3(t-1) - 0.0492V_2(t-2) - 0.0584V_3(t-2)
  \]

- Wajak
  \[
  \tilde{Z}_2(t) = 0.1881Z_2(t-1) + 0.0245V_1(t-1) + 0.0226V_3(t-1) + 0.0241V_1(t-1) + 0.0188V_2(t-1)
  \]

- Tumpang
  \[
  \tilde{Z}_3(t) = 0.520193Z_3(t-1) + 0.0015V_1(t-2) + 0.0014V_2(t-2) + 0.0051V_1(t-2) + 0.0040V_2(t-2)
  \]
From this equation, it can be seen that the cabbage production data at time t correlates with the cabbage production data at the previous time and is influenced by the cabbage production at other places. In other words, Poncokusumo, Wajak and Tumpang cabbage production data influence each other.

4.5. Goodness model

Goodness GSTA Rused in this study using RMSE and $R^2$ are appropriate [6] and [7] whose results are presented in Table 4.

| No | Location   | RMSE   | $R^2$  |
|----|------------|--------|--------|
| 1  | Poncokusumo| 2.5261 | 0.9035 |
| 2  | Wajak      | 0.3675 | 0.6682 |
| 3  | Tumpang    | 1.0182 | 0.3408 |

The accuracy of the measurement error estimation method is indicated by the presence of a small RMSE. Models that have a smaller RMSE are said to be more accurate than models that have a larger RMSE. Based on the value of RMSE and $R^2$ above it can be concluded that the level of accuracy of the model for Wajak It can be seen from the smallest RMSE value of 0.3675 and $R^2$ most large, namely 90.35%.

5. CONCLUSION

The GSTAR model (1,2) is the best model that can be used to predict cabbage production data in three locations, namely Poncokusumo, Wajak, and Tumpang, from the evaluation results of the GSTAR model (1,2) it shows that the $R^2$ value for each district is very good, especially for Poncokusumo District of 90.35%, while for the Tumpang District the resulting model is not good, this can be caused by the influence of other factors outside of the research variables so that it affects the accuracy of the resulting model.
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