High-sensitivity C-reactive protein is associated with clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential
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Key Points
• Subjects with CHIP have higher level of hs-CRP.

Clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) is predictive of hematological cancers and cardiovascular diseases, but the etiology of CHIP initiation and clonal expansion is unknown. Several lines of evidence suggest that proinflammatory cytokines may favor mutated hematopoietic stem cell expansion. To investigate the potential link between inflammation and CHIP, we performed targeted deep sequencing of 11 genes previously implicated in CHIP in 1887 subjects aged >70 years from the Montreal Heart Institute Biobank, of which 1359 had prior coronary artery disease (CAD), and 528 controls did not. We assessed association of CHIP with log transformed high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), a validated biomarker of inflammation. CHIP was identified in 427 of the 1887 subjects (22.6%). CHIP mutations were more frequently identified in DNMT3A (11.6%) and TET2 (6.1%), with a higher proportion of TET2 mutations occurring in controls than in patients with CAD (9.0% vs 4.9%, P < .001). CHIP carriers had 21% higher hs-CRP levels compared with their noncarrier counterparts (eβ = 1.21, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.08 to 1.36; P = .001). A similar effect was observed in the subgroup of patients with known CAD (eβ = 1.22, 95% CI: 1.06 to 1.41; P = .005). These findings confirm the association between inflammation and CHIP. This association may open investigational avenues aimed at documenting mechanisms linking inflammation to clonal progression and ultimately supports prevention interventions to attenuate CHIP’s impact on cardiovascular disease and cancer.

Introduction

Clonal hematopoiesis (CH) occurring in normally aging subjects, initially suggested by X-chromosome inactivation studies,1-3 is caused by acquired mutations in genes recurrently mutated in hematological cancers,4-7 and in nondriver candidates.6,8 CH prevalence increases significantly in patients aged >60 years old and confers an increased risk of progression to hematological cancers and cardiovascular diseases.5,6,8,9 The precise risk associated with the presence of CH in healthy individuals is uncertain; hence, the creation of a clinical entity named clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP).10

Little is known about the etiology of clone initiation and clonal expansion. Genetic predisposition is controversial. Zink et al showed an association between a small germline deletion in intron 3 of the telomerase reverse transcription gene (TERT)9 and CH. Studying a cohort of sib-ships, we identified a significant 2.7-fold increase in the familial risk for mutation in TET2 but not in DNMT3A.11 However,
a strong genetic contribution to CH was recently refuted when the concordance of CH was studied in monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs.\textsuperscript{12,13}

The association between CHIP and both cardiovascular\textsuperscript{5,6,9} and chronic pulmonary disease\textsuperscript{6,11} raised the possibility that age-associated chronic inflammation\textsuperscript{14} may be a key common denominator between these medical conditions.\textsuperscript{15} Studies in mice have supported the role of inflammation in clonal expansion of mutated hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs). Abegunde et al demonstrated that a proinflammatory environment supported by tumor necrosis factor-\textgreek{a} (TNF-\textgreek{a}) promotes the expansion of Tet2 mutant clones in mice,\textsuperscript{16} and we found that inflammation was a key driver of preleukemic myeloproliferation in Tet2-deficient mice (Tet2 \textsuperscript{2/2}).\textsuperscript{17} In humans, Jaiswal et al demonstrated higher interleukin-6 (IL-6) levels in patients with CHIP and cardiovascular disease in a subset of 12 individuals with TET2 mutations.\textsuperscript{9} A trend toward increased levels of IL-6 was also observed in patients with CHIP.\textsuperscript{18}

We report here a statistically significant correlation between high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), a validated and routinely available biomarker of inflammation,\textsuperscript{19} and CHIP.

**Methods**

**Study population**

We selected all subjects aged 70 years old or older (1940) from participants of the Montreal Heart Institute biobank, an ongoing prospective cohort including 23,000 individuals for the purpose of clinical and genetic research,\textsuperscript{20} that had hs-CRP level tested. Participants were recruited on a voluntary basis during any hospital visit, regardless of the presence or stage of heart disease. All subjects underwent a medical questionnaire by a research nurse, and their electronic chart was reviewed. DNA, plasma, and serum were collected at baseline.

For the purpose of the current study, patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) were defined as those with a prior history of myocardial infarction (MI), percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), or coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. Subjects also needed to be aged 70 years old or older. The protocol was approved by Montreal Heart Institute’s ethics committee and performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**hs-CRP**

hs-CRP concentration was measured by quantitative immunonephelometric analysis on a Dimension Vista 500 Intelligent Laboratory System (Siemens Healthineers).

**CHIP determination by next-generation sequencing**

The subject’s DNA (n = 1940) was sequenced at high coverage (95\% >500×) on an Ion Proton sequencer using a custom Ampliseq “CHIP” panel (Thermo Fisher Scientific) designed to target the top 11 genes reported in CHIP (ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, GNAS, GNB1, JAK2 [chr9:5073674-5073808], PPM1D, SF3B1 [exons 14 to 16], SRSF2, TET2, and TP53) with 202 amplicons covering 98.49 kb. The panel coverage, specificity, and sensitivity were validated (supplemental Figure 1). Mutations were considered present if the variant allele frequency (VAF) was ≥2\% as defined by Steensma et al.\textsuperscript{10} Base calling, alignment (hg19), and variant calling were performed in instrument by TorrentServer v5.8.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Subsequently, mutations were annotated and filtered using IonReporter v5.8 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and only exonic and splice site mutations with a minor allele frequency ≤0.001 were kept for further annotation. Frameshift, nonsense, in-frame deletions or insertions, splice sites, and predicted consequential missense mutations (based on ClinVar, FATHMM, or PolyPhen) were considered significant. In the absence of nonhematological tissue for germline status confirmation, mutations with a VAF of 50\% or 100\% (±4\%) were considered potentially germline and excluded (n = 9) except if they cooccurred with other somatic mutations (n = 9) (supplemental Table 1).

**Statistical analyses**

Bivariate associations were evaluated using the Fisher’s exact test and the Kruskal-Wallis test for categorical and continuously coded variables, respectively. hs-CRP was modeled as log (ln)hs-CRP due to its nonnormal distribution. The geometric mean was calculated by taking the antilog of the mean of the log-transformed hs-CRP data, as previously described.\textsuperscript{21} Normality of residuals from a generalized linear regression model adjusted for age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and previous history of CAD with ln(hs-CRP) was confirmed. Generalized linear regression models tested the associations of CHIP-associated mutations and levels of ln(hs-CRP) after adjusting for age, sex, BMI, and CAD status at baseline. Stratified analyses were performed according to CAD status. Back transformation of the regression \( \beta \) term for ln(CRP) was derived as \( e^\beta \), and the 95\% confidence interval (CI) was derived as \( e^{\beta \pm 1.96 \times \text{standard error}} \). The percent difference in hs-CRP between CHIP\textsuperscript{+} and CHIP\textsuperscript{−} was derived as \( e^{\beta} - 1 \times 100 \). Additional analyses were performed according to the CHIP VAF and categorized as VAF ≥ 0.10 vs no mutation. Analyses were performed using SAS v.9.4 and R version 3.5.1. In sensitivity analyses, we adjusted for additional confounding factors, such as diabetes mellitus at baseline, as well as statin, aspirin, and beta-blocker use at baseline. In subgroup analyses, we focused on those without a history of cancer at study entry.

**Results**

**Study population**

The population comprised 1940 subjects, of which 9 were excluded because of potential germline mutations and another 44 were excluded because they had cardiovascular disease without CAD at baseline. The remaining 1887 participants included 1359 patients with at least 1 previous account of MI, PCI, or CABG, and 528 patients without a previous history of CAD.

**CHIP-associated mutations**

We identified 427 CHIP carriers among the 1887 participants (22.6\%; Figure 1). Of these, 331 (17.5\%) had a single mutation, and 96 (5.1\%) had >1 mutation. The prevalence and the relative proportion between the 11 candidate genes were similar to previous reports.\textsuperscript{5-8,11} Mutations in DNMT3A, TET2, and ASXL1 accounted for the majority of mutations (82.9\%). The mean VAF was 13.3\%. The prevalence of CHIP carriers was slightly higher in the non-CAD than in the CAD cohort (25.3\% vs 21.5\%, \( P = .075 \)). The relative prevalence between the different genes was similar between the 2 cohorts, except for TET2, whose prevalence was higher in the non-CAD cohort (9.0\% vs 4.9\%; \( P < .001 \)). All mutations are described in supplemental Table 1.
Population characteristics according to CHIP carrier status

Subjects were segregated according to their CHIP carrier status (CHIP$^+$ or CHIP$^-$) in the 3 following groups: (1) all subjects, (2) subjects with CAD, and (3) subjects without CAD. Table 1 describes the baseline characteristics of the 3 groups. Univariable analyses showed that CHIP carriers were significantly older (mean: 75.0 vs 74.4 years old; $P = .022$), were less affected by dyslipidemia (85% vs 88%; $P = .41$), and had fewer previous PCI (30% vs 38%; $P = .006$) than CHIP noncarriers. hs-CRP was significantly higher in CHIP carriers compared with noncarriers (median: 1.60 vs 1.41 mg/L; $P = .006$) (Figure 2). Smoking, past or current, was not associated with CHIP.

Primary analysis (entire cohort)

Our primary analysis focused on all patients, regardless of CAD status, and consisted of performing a multivariable generalized linear regression analysis for prediction of hs-CRP (n = 1887). CHIP carriers of any gene mutations had significantly higher hs-CRP than non-CIP carriers in adjusted analyses ($e^{b} = 1.21$, 95% CI: 1.08 to 1.36; $P = .001$; Table 1). Focusing on mutations in individual genes, CHIP carriers of mutations in $DNMT3A$ had higher hs-CRP than those without mutations in that gene ($e^{b} = 1.17$, 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.36; $P = .04$). No other significant effect was observed for any of the remaining individual genes.

Secondary analyses (CAD and non-CAD subgroups)

Among the patients with CAD at baseline (n = 1359), CHIP carriers were older (mean: 75.1 vs 74.5 years), had fewer previous PCI (44% vs 52%), had more congestive heart failure (24% vs 18%), and had higher hs-CRP (median: 1.60 vs 1.39 mg/L) than non-CIP carriers (all $P < .05$; Table 1). In the non-CAD cohort (n = 528), CHIP carriers were also significantly older than noncarriers (74.9 vs 74.1 years) but had lower rates of current smoking (0.8% vs 4.6%), and hs-CRP levels were not significantly different between those 2 groups. In multivariable generalized linear regression analyses focusing on patients with a history of CAD at baseline, CHIP carriers of any mutations had higher hs-CRP than non-CIP carriers after adjusting for covariates ($e^{b} = 1.22$, 95% CI: 1.06 to 1.41; $P = .005$; Table 2). This association was not significant in the smaller subgroup of patients without a history of CAD at baseline ($e^{b} = 1.15$, 95% CI: 0.94 to 1.40; $P = .178$).

Sensitivity, subgroup, and additional analyses

When limiting analyses to individuals with VAF $\geq 10\%$, as compared with those without CHIP, carriers of any gene mutation also had higher ln(CRP) ($e^{b} = 1.23$, 95% CI: 1.05 to 1.45; $P = .038$) in adjusted analyses (data not shown). This was not different from the entire CHIP cohort ($e^{b} = 1.21$). However, we could not document a quantitative correlation between ln(CRP) and CHIP due to limited sample size in patients with VAF $\geq 10\%$ (n = 191). In sensitivity analyses, we adjusted for additional confounders.
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population, according to CHIP status (univariate analysis)

| CHIP | All patients | Without baseline CAD | With baseline CAD |
|------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|      | n (%)       | n (%)               | n (%)             |
| CHIP+ | 427 (22.6) | 1460 (77.4)         | 134 (25.3)        | 394 (74.7) |
| CHIP- | 160 (77.4) | 1066 (78.5)         | 293 (21.5)        | 1066 (78.5) |
| Age, mean (SD), y | 75.0 (3.4)* | 74.4 (3.3) | 74.9 (3.2)* | 74.1 (3.3) |
| Weight, mean (SD), kg | 77.5 (15.5) | 78.2 (14.4) | 77.7 (16.5) | 75.0 (14.9) |
| Height, mean (SD), m | 1.65 (0.09) | 1.66 (0.08) | 1.64 (0.10) | 1.63 (0.09) |
| BMI, mean (SD) | 28.197 (5.041) | 28.245 (4.423) | 28.390 (5.265) | 27.915 (4.446) |
| Male, n (%) | 292 (68.4) | 1044 (71.5) | 67 (50.0) | 183 (46.4) |
| Cardiovascular risk factors, n (%) |
| Dyslipidemia | 361 (84.5)* | 1287 (88.3) | 84 (62.7) | 267 (67.9) |
| Hypertension | 327 (76.8) | 1095 (75.3) | 82 (61.7) | 239 (60.7) |
| Diabetes | 120 (28.1) | 408 (28.0) | 26 (19.4) | 73 (18.5) |
| Ever smoker | 301 (70.7) | 988 (67.7) | 79 (59.4) | 218 (55.3) |
| Current smoker | 21 (4.9) | 71 (4.9) | 1 (0.8)* | 18 (4.6) |
| CVD history, n (%) |
| Coronary heart disease | 293 (68.6) | 1066 (73.0) | — | — |
| Previous MI | 193 (45.3) | 649 (44.5) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Previous PCI | 130 (30.4)* | 550 (37.7) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Previous CABG | 163 (38.2) | 601 (41.2) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Stroke | 42 (9.9) | 154 (10.6) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Angina | 250 (58.7) | 924 (63.5) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| PVD | 85 (19.9) | 306 (21.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Angiography | 279 (65.3) | 1018 (69.7) | 15 (11.2) | 52 (13.2) |
| CHF | 72 (16.9) | 195 (13.4) | 1 (0.7) | 2 (0.5) |
| Medication, n (%) |
| Aspirin | 310 (72.6) | 1123 (76.9) | 66 (49.3) | 193 (49.0) |
| Antiplatelet | 329 (77.0) | 1164 (79.7) | 67 (50.0) | 199 (50.5) |
| Statin | 360 (84.3) | 1276 (87.4) | 83 (61.9) | 259 (65.7) |
| ACE | 144 (33.7) | 538 (36.8) | 24 (17.9) | 77 (19.5) |
| ARB | 157 (36.8) | 496 (34.0) | 43 (32.1) | 130 (33.0) |
| Beta-blockers | 287 (67.2) | 970 (66.4) | 55 (41.0) | 143 (36.3) |
| Lipids, mean (SD), mmol/L |
| Total cholesterol | 3.89 (1.00) | 3.66 (0.98) | 4.44 (1.02) | 4.59 (1.11) |
| Triglycerides | 1.92 (1.03) | 1.99 (1.02) | 1.92 (1.08) | 2.04 (1.03) |
| HDL | 1.23 (0.37) | 1.22 (0.36) | 1.32 (0.36) | 1.34 (0.42) |
| LDL | 1.80 (0.84) | 1.75 (0.83) | 2.28 (0.89) | 2.36 (0.99) |
| hs-CRP mg/L, median (IQR), min-max |
| hs-CRP | 1.60 (2.96)* | 1.41 (2.29), 0.09-115.0 | 1.55 (2.99), 0.08-80.70 | 1.45 (2.23), 0.08-61.30 |
| Cancer history, n (%) |
| Any cancer | 89 (20.8) | 257 (17.6) | 26 (19.4) | 67 (17.0) |
| Hematological cancer | 6 (1.4) | 17 (1.1) | 3 (2.2)* | 0 (0.0) |
| Incident/recurrent cancer, n (%) |
| Any cancer | 34 (7.9) | 111 (7.6) | 11 (8.2) | 25 (6.3) |
| Hematological cancer | 7 (1.6) | 21 (1.4) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (0.5) |

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IQR, interquartile range; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SD: standard deviation.

*P < .05 per Fisher’s exact test or Kruskal-Wallis 2-sided significance test.
Because CHIP is associated with an increased risk of CAD, we expected a higher prevalence of CHIP in this subgroup. We speculate that selecting patients aged >70 years old may have systematically introduced a survival bias, where CHIP carriers with CAD may have been left out due to earlier death. It is also intriguing that the prevalence of TET2 mutations was significantly lower in the CAD cohort than in the non-CAD subgroup. It is possible that specific CHIP-associated genes have a different survival impact. Expanding the cohort to include younger patients may ultimately allow us to answer this question.

The primary objective of this study was to document an association between inflammation using hs-CRP and CHIP carrier status. CRP was discovered in 1930 in the serum of patients with pneumococcal pneumonia.23 It is a pentraxin produced by the liver and an acute phase reactant,24 and IL-6, IL-1, and TNF-α are the main inducers of CRP.25,26 CRP measurement is commonly used to evaluate tissue injury, infection, and inflammatory diseases.27 The development of high-sensitivity assays28 has been invaluable for the investigation of low-grade chronic inflammation in different human diseases.27 The value of hs-CRP as a predictor of cardiovascular events was demonstrated 20 years ago.29 For example, in a cohort of 28,263 normal women, hs-CRP measured only once at baseline was the strongest predictor of coronary heart disease death, nonfatal MI, stroke, or the need for coronary revascularization procedures among other biomarkers, including IL-6. We adopted a similar approach using baseline hs-CRP measurement in a cohort of patients with and without CAD.

The association between hs-CRP and CHIP was demonstrated in both univariable and multivariable analyses for the entire study population and for the cohort with CAD. This demonstration was made using an adequately large, single-center, cohort of older individuals, and a commonly available biomarker of inflammation. The differences in hs-CRP levels between CHIP and non-CHIP carriers within non-CAD cohorts were slightly lower, and the difference did not reach statistical significance. This might be related to the fact that our non-CAD cohort was smaller than the CAD counterpart (528 vs 1359) or that the influence of inflammation as measured by hs-CRP has a greater influence on CHIP development in CAD patients. Interestingly, 2 recent studies did not identify a clear relationship between hs-CRP and CHIP.26,30 Young et al documented mutations in TET2 or DNMT3A at very low frequencies in 95% of individuals aged 50 to 60 years, suggesting that these genes are almost ubiquitously mutated after the age of 50. However, only a fraction of mutated individuals will have clonal expansion of a magnitude corresponding to CHIP,10 and an associated increased risk of hematological cancers and cardiovascular disease. It is therefore of prime importance to identify the factors associated with clonal progression. Thus, we evaluated the hypothesis that inflammation is associated with clonal development of CHIP-related mutations in a single-center cohort of 1887 individuals aged >70, comprising individuals with (1359) and without (528) CAD at baseline. We showed that hs-CRP is significantly higher in subjects with CHIP than in those without CHIP, supporting the hypothesis that there is a link between inflammation and CHIP’s pathogenesis in aging individuals (Figure 3).

We selected patients aged >70 to maximize the prevalence of CHIP, which was indeed 22.6% in this cohort. Surprisingly, patients without CAD at baseline had slightly higher prevalence of CHIP than those with CAD at baseline (25.3% vs 21.5%; P < .075). Because CHIP is associated with an increased risk of CAD, we

Discussion

Young et al documented mutations in TET2 or DNMT3A at very low frequencies in 95% of individuals aged 50 to 60 years, suggesting that these genes are almost ubiquitously mutated after the age of 50.30 However, only a fraction of mutated individuals will have clonal expansion of a magnitude corresponding to CHIP,10 and an associated increased risk of hematological cancers and cardiovascular disease. It is therefore of prime importance to identify the factors associated with clonal progression. Thus, we evaluated the hypothesis that inflammation is associated with clonal development of CHIP-related mutations in a single-center cohort of 1887 individuals aged >70, comprising individuals with (1359) and without (528) CAD at baseline. We showed that hs-CRP is significantly higher in subjects with CHIP than in those without CHIP, supporting the hypothesis that there is a link between inflammation and CHIP’s pathogenesis in aging individuals (Figure 3).

We selected patients aged >70 to maximize the prevalence of CHIP, which was indeed 22.6% in this cohort. Surprisingly, patients without CAD at baseline had slightly higher prevalence of CHIP than those with CAD at baseline (25.3% vs 21.5%; P < .075). Because CHIP is associated with an increased risk of CAD, we
between the 2 entities. Does inflammation promote clonal expansion of CHIP or do CHIP clones drive inflammatory response? Zhang et al demonstrated that myeloid-derived cells with loss of TET2 maintain higher expression of IL-6, and that TET2 is required to resolve inflammation and specifically repressed IL-6. Fuster et al studied clonal expansion of tet2-mutant cells in atherosclerosis-prone mice and demonstrated that TET2-deficient macrophages exhibited an increase in NLRP inflammasome-mediated IL-1β secretion. Interestingly, Bick et al demonstrated that a relatively common coding mutation in the IL6-Receptor (pAsp358Ala), which significantly reduces signalization of IL-6, attenuated cardiovascular risk of CHIP carriers vs non-CHIP carriers, supporting a causal link between CHIP, inflammation, and CAD. Taken together, this indicates that CHIP’s mutation increases inflammation cytokines profile, at least at the cellular level. However, there are also several lines of evidence that suggest that increased inflammation promotes clonal expansion of mutated HSCs (reviewed in King et al). In a key experiment, Cai et al showed a rapid increase in the frequency and absolute number of Tet2-KO mature myeloid cells and HSCs in response to inflammatory stress and demonstrated an enhanced production of inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6 by these cells. Taken together, these studies suggest that extrinsic inflammation mediators may selectively support clonal expansion of mutated HSCs, which will eventually contribute to excessive cytokine release perpetuating a feedback loop. The difference of hs-CRP levels between CHIP carriers and non-CHIP carriers was relatively modest (± 20%). However, a small quantitative difference in inflammatory cytokines may have a lever...
CHIP is age dependent. Several factors may influence its development. These include genetic predisposition, genotoxic exposure, and immune attack (reviewed in Busque et al15). This study further confirms the potential role of an inflammatory state in CHIP development.

In conclusion, this study highlights the role of inflammation in CHIP. The etiology of CHIP is probably multifactorial, and several other factors need to be identified. Clinical trials should test whether anti-inflammatory therapy can reduce CHIP progression and related diseases.
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