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Abstract: Objectives: The aims of this study were to evaluate adherence of patients and medical staff to warfarin guidelines and assess clinical outcome and predictors of treatment failure.

Methods: This cross-sectional survey involved out- and in-patient subjects receiving warfarin. Patient attentiveness, compliance, co-morbidities, complications, and international normalized ratio (INR) as well as adherence of medical staff to established warfarin treatment guidelines were recorded.

Results: One-hundred-sixty patients were recruited (mean ± SD age = 54 ± 1.3 years; 46% males; 77% overweight/obese). Indications for warfarin were atrial fibrillation (35%), deep vein thrombosis (28%), prosthetic heart valve (20%) and stroke or dilated cardiomyopathy (12%). “Warfarin booklets” were made available to 25% of the patients, and ~80% of the recipients reported inadequate understanding of its content. INR was strictly monitored in 23% of the patients; ~70% never received Information Leaflets; ~88% were unaware of warning labels; and ~58% were unaware that over-the-counter medications may affect warfarin. Therapeutic INR (2.9 ± 0.2; 76 days) was achieved in 73%; 20% had high INR (3.7 ± 0.1; 18.6 days) and 7% had low INR (1.6 ± 0.1; 16.7 days). Of the patients with high INR, 2.5% had major bleeding events. Of the patients with low INR, 5% had thromboembolic events. Poor compliance and co-morbidities were associated with adverse events (p<0.01).

Conclusions: Attentiveness and adherence to warfarin treatment and monitoring guidelines are suboptimal among patients and medical staff. Novel strategies are necessary to alert patients, pharmacists and physicians on the seriousness of warfarin treatment failure.
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INTRODUCTION

Warfarin is a commonly used anticoagulant, which reduces the occurrence of thromboembolic events (TEE) [1]. The drug, however, is among the top 10 agents linked to serious side effects [2]. It is labeled as a “medication that poses high risks to patients and requires safety controls” [3]. Consistently, bleeding and TEE complications linked to warfarin are relatively common emergency events [2].

Several consensus guidelines have been developed to enhance medical knowledge and competency prior to initiating warfarin treatment [4]. Numerous audits worldwide, however, revealed fundamental deficiencies in many aspects of warfarin therapy [5]. Furthermore, patients on long-term warfarin experience sharp fluctuations in international normalized ratio (INR) due to varying diets, co-medications, compliance and alcohol consumption. Thus, strict laboratory monitoring is essential [6].

The primary aim of this study was to prospectively monitor adherence of patients and medical staff (nurses, pharmacists and physicians) to the established warfarin therapy guidelines. The secondary aim was to assess the impact of current warfarin practice on patient outcome.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This prospective observational cross-sectional warfarin study was conducted in the out- and inpatient facilities of Al Ain Hospital (Al Ain, United Arab Emirates) from December 2009 to December 2010. The study was approved by Al Ain Medical District Human Research Ethics Committee. Informed consent was obtained for each patient.

PATIENTS

One-hundred-sixty patients on warfarin were recruited. Patients were randomly selected from the Cerner database (800 new patients on warfarin every year) at Al-Ain Hospital. The inclusion criteria were warfarin therapy for ≥3 months, intent-to-treat for ≥12 months, and ability to consent.
DATA COLLECTION

A 10-item “warfarin audit questionnaire” was developed and validated by the Drug Utilization and Evaluation Subcommittee (DUES) at Al Ain Hospital. The survey was further validated for content (reliability correlation coefficient = 0.76) by 5 physicians and 2 clinical pharmacists. It was then translated from English to Arabic and vice versa to avoid systematic bias and to ensure uniformity of approach. The Arabic version was used when a patient was unable to understand English.

The questionnaire was administered by trained pharmacists and nurses. The items included perception of warfarin, knowledge on warfarin clinical use, warfarin regimen, compliance, INR profile, indication for warfarin use, bleeding history, possession of the Yellow/Blue oral anticoagulation therapy booklet, comprehending the booklet content, need to show the booklet when collecting warfarin, concurrent diseases, co-medications (including over-the-counter drugs, prescriptions, vitamins, dietary supplements and traditional medicine), and dietary vitamin K intake. Patients were also asked about the advice on dose changes, INR results, provision of Patient Information Leaflet, warfarin label (e.g., stating “warfarin must be taken in accordance with their latest INR blood test”) and complications (e.g., bleeding and TEE).

ANALYSIS

Associations between warfarin dose, age, gender, body-mass-index, indications, co-morbid conditions and targeted INR were evaluated by Pearson correlation test and significance by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Linear regression analysis was used to model relationships of INR target with other variables. Variables from univariate regression analysis were entered into multiple stepwise regression analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows, version 18.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL). A significant difference (two-tailed) was defined as p<0.05.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

Patient age (mean ± SD) was 54 ± 1 year, 46% were males and 77% were overweight/obese (BMI >30 kg/m²). Warfarin was given for atrial fibrillation (35%), deep vein thrombosis (28%), prosthetic heart valve (20%), and stroke or dilated cardiomyopathy (12%), Table 1. None of the patients reported a use of aspirin, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, high-dose penicillin or moxolactam (all known to increase the risk of warfarin-associated bleeding) [7].

INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE

Warfarin booklets were made available to only 25% of the patients, and ~80% of the recipients reported inadequate understanding of its content. Similarly, ~80% were never asked to show their booklet when collecting warfarin. INR was strictly monitored in only 23% of the patients. Moreover, ~70% never received Patient Information

Leaflets; ~88% were unaware of warning labels indicating “warfarin must be taken in accordance with the latest INR result”; and ~58% were unaware that over-the-counter medications may affect warfarin therapy (Table 2).

INR

Sustained therapeutic INR (mean ± SD; 2.9 ± 0.2) was documented in 73% of the patients (duration = 76.1 ± 2 days). High INR (3.7 ± 0.09) was noted in 20% of the patient (duration = 18.6 ± 3 days) and low INR (1.6 ± 0.12) in 7% (duration = 16.7 ± 2 days). Patients received advices to change warfarin dosing by physicians (61%), nurses (23%) and pharmacists (16%).

Table 1. Patient Characteristics (n = 160)

| Parameters          | Frequency (%) | Mean INR | p      |
|---------------------|---------------|----------|--------|
| Age Group (Years)   |               |          |        |
| 17 to 39            | 35 (21.9)     | 2.7      | 0.001  |
| 40 to 59            | 68 (42.5)     | 2.7      |        |
| 60 to 79            | 47 (29.4)     | 2.6      |        |
| > 80                | 10 (6.2)      | 2.8      |        |
| Gender              |               |          | insign |
| Male                | 73 (45.6)     | 2.7      |        |
| Female              | 87 (54.4)     | 2.8      |        |
| Nationality         |               |          | insign |
| Arabs               | 63 (39.4)     | 2.5      |        |
| Emiratis            | 47 (29.4)     | 2.4      |        |
| Asians              | 35 (21.8)     | 2.6      |        |
| Others              | 15 (9.4)      | 2.5      |        |
| Marital status      |               |          | insign |
| Married             | 131 (81.9)    | 2.6      |        |
| Unmarried           | 29 (18.1)     | 2.7      |        |
| BMI (kg/m²)         |               |          | insign |
| ≤ 25                | 37 (23.1)     | 2.5      |        |
| > 25 to < 30        | 51 (31.9)     | 2.4      |        |
| > 30                | 72 (45.0)     | 2.6      |        |
| Indications         |               |          | 0.002  |
| Atrial fibrillation | 56 (35.0)     | 2.7      |        |
| Deep vein thrombosis| 45 (28.1)     | 2.6      |        |
| Prosthetic heart valve | 32 (20.0)     | 3.1      |        |
| Stroke and cardiomyopathy | 20 (12.5) | 2.4 | |
| Pulmonary embolism  | 7 (4.4)       | 2.8      |        |

INR = international normalized ratio; BMI = body mass index.
The warfarin therapy guidelines. These guidelines were established to assure patients continuously receiving appropriate warfarin dosing based on INR and other criteria, such as specific indications for warfarin and assessment of associated risk factors [8]. The guidelines emphasized that patients on warfarin should have detailed records of relevant clinical information and INR values in a booklet. Unfortunately, such data were missing for the majority of patients.

Only 25% of the patients had warfarin therapy booklets, and most of the recipients admitted inadequate understanding of its content. This finding suggests that medical staff who prescribed warfarin did not thoroughly explain necessary facts to patients. Consistently, most patients were never asked to show their booklets, had no discussion pertinent to the booklet content, and did not comprehend the importance of regular INR measurements. Over 50% of the patients were unaware that certain over-the-counter medications may adversely affect warfarin therapy.

Thus, the data clearly show healthcare providers do not strictly adhere to the recommended warfarin therapy guidelines. This observation could, in part, reflect dependency of many physicians on electronic records to check INR and adjust dosing with minimum patient contact.

Regarding therapeutic INR, the results show that 27% of the patients never attained acceptable target levels. Of note, sustained therapeutic INR was obtained in 76% of the days.

**Table 2. Interview Questionnaires**

| Question Statements                                                                 | Patient’s Response | N (%) | No (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------|--------|
| 1) Do you currently have a copy of the Yellow/ Blue Oral Anticoagulation Therapy (warfarin) Booklet? | Yes                | 40 (25.0) | 120 (75.0) |
|                                                                                   | No                 | 120 (75.0) | 40 (25.0)  |
| 2) If you have the booklet, do you fully understand its contents?                | Yes                | 31 (19.4)  | 129 (79.6) |
|                                                                                   | No                 | 129 (79.6) | 31 (19.4)  |
| 3) Were you asked to show your booklet when you collected your warfarin?         | Yes                | 33 (20.6)  | 127 (79.4) |
|                                                                                   | No                 | 127 (79.4) | 33 (20.6)  |
| 4) Are you having regular INR to ensure the dose is appropriate?                 | Yes                | 123 (76.9) | 37 (23.1)  |
|                                                                                   | No                 | 37 (23.1)  | 123 (76.9) |
| 5) Were you asked to show your booklet when you collected your warfarin?         | Yes                | 47 (29.4)  | 113 (70.6) |
|                                                                                   | No                 | 113 (70.6) | 47 (29.4)  |
| 6) When warfarin is issued, do you always receive a Patient Information Leaflet? | Yes                | 20 (12.5)  | 140 (87.5) |
|                                                                                   | No                 | 140 (87.5) | 20 (12.5)  |
| 7) When warfarin is issued, is there always a label stating: “it must be taken in accordance with your latest INR test”? | Yes                | 68 (42.5)  | 92 (57.5)  |
|                                                                                   | No                 | 92 (57.5)  | 68 (42.5)  |

The INR; international normalization ratio, level was explained to the patients.

**Table 3. Significant Predictors of “Achieving Sustained Therapeutic International Normalized Ratio (INR)” on Multivariate Analyses**

| Variable Entered (Step-wise) | Confidence Interval | Odds Ratio | p |
|------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---|
| Age                          | - 2.3 to - 0.34     | - 1.47     | 0.015 |
| Indication for warfarin      | - 4.5 to - 1.3      | - 2.46     | 0.018 |
| Warfarin dose                | - 4.3 to - 1.8      | - 3.12     | 0.021 |
| Co-morbid status             | - 2.1 to - 0.57     | - 1.67     | 0.042 |
| Compliance                   | - 3.9 to - 1.46     | - 2.63     | 0.037 |

**COMPLICATIONS**

Emergency visits or hospitalizations occurred in 22% of the patients, mainly for TEE (5%, INR =1.6 ± 0.12), major bleeding (2.5%, INR = 3.7 ± 0.09), minor bleeding (5.2%, INR = 3.3 ± 0.17) and unrelated causes (9.3%). Abnormal bleeding was reported frequently (all with high INR), including hematemesis (25.3%, INR = 3.8 ± 0.06), cuts associated with shaving (21.8%, INR = 3.4 ± 0.07), gum bleeding (19.9%, INR = 3.6 ± 0.04), wound bleeding (14.6%, INR = 3.7 ± 0.04), melena (11.3%, INR = 3. 4 ± 0.09) and other bleeding sites (7.1%, INR = 3.6 ± 0.09).

**PREDICTORS**

Multivariate analyses revealed younger age, lower warfarin dosing, less co-morbid conditions, and better compliance were independent predictors of achieving a sustained therapeutic INR (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The primary objective of this study was to assess the quality of care for patients receiving hospital-initiated (in- and out-patient) warfarin therapy. The secondary objective included assessing patient attentiveness to critical information on the use of warfarin. The study also examined whether our tertiary-care hospital effectively implemented the warfarin therapy guidelines. These guidelines were...
INR in the remaining days was either high or low, predisposing to bleeding or thrombosis, respectively. This finding is consistent with other reports showing difficulty in maintaining therapeutic INR >70% of the days [5]. Similar to another study, ~5% of the patients developed TEE [9]. The bleeding episodes, on the other hand, were much more frequent than found in other studies [10], which raised a major concern about the safety of warfarin.

CONCLUSIONS

Adherence to warfarin treatment and monitoring guidelines is crucial. Patients’ attentiveness was found to be limited. Novel programs, such as teaching films and group discussions can be used as vehicles to deliver useful information. Prescribing warfarin should be strictly coupled to adequate patient education.
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