Effect of hesperidin addition to quail diets on fattening performance and quality parameters, microbial load, lipid peroxidation and fatty acid profile of meat
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ABSTRACT. This study examines the effect of different doses of hesperidin added to quail diets on growth performance of birds as well as on lipid peroxidation, some microbiological and physicochemical properties, and fatty acid profile of thigh tissue. In total 300 (male and female) Japanese quail (Coturnix coturnix japonica) were divided into three groups: control (C) group fed only a basal diet, HES1 and HES2 groups fed basal diet with the addition of 1 and 2 g/kg hesperidin, respectively. It was observed that hesperidin addition to quail diets had no effect on the growth performance parameters, such as live weight, feed consumption and feed conversion ratio, regardless of examined dose. It was determined that hesperidin dose did not affect meat water activity (P > 0.05) but influenced pH or colour parameters [brightness (L*), redness (a*), yellowness (b*)] of meat (P < 0.05). Furthermore, the antibacterial effect of hesperidin supplementation was observed as counts of total mesophilic bacteria, Enterobacteriaceae, Lactobacillus spp., Lactococcus spp., Micrococcus/Staphylococcus and total psychrophilic aerobic bacteria were limited and variable (P < 0.05). It was determined that hesperidin had a statistically significant effect on lipid peroxidation in meat on day 1 and 4 of storage. In addition, it was observed that the added hesperidin had a positive effect on n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA; such as α-linolenic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid) in terms of the lipid profile in thigh tissue (P < 0.05). So, it can be concluded that the hesperidin addition to quail diets exerted influence on microbiological properties and lipid peroxidation of meat, which can influence shelf life quality of quail meat; but also hesperidin addition had a health-promoting effect on the fatty acid profile of thigh meat increasing n-3 PUFA content.

Introduction

Poultry meat has many desirable nutritional properties due to its low-fat and high-unsaturated fatty acid contents (Simopoulos, 2000). However, a high unsaturation of fatty acid in tissue can lead to deterioration of the colour, smell and taste of meat due to oxidation processes (Engberg et al., 1996). Cells are susceptible to oxidation due to the properties of membrane phospholipids and their high content of unsaturated fatty acids, which leads to the formation of secondary products of oxidation...
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reactions, such as short-chain aldehydes, ketones or hydroperoxides. These compounds can adversely affect lipids, pigments, proteins, carbohydrates and vitamins, and consequently, result in the loss of important features, such as the meat flavour, colour, nutritional value and quality, which limits the shelf life of the product (Maraschiello et al., 1998; Sárraga and García-Regueiro, 1999). Flavonoids usually contain one or more aromatic hydroxyl groups that reduce free radicals and are responsible for antioxidant activity. They are commonly found in plant products as secondary metabolites, synthesized for defense against ultraviolet light, physical damage, stress, pathogens and infections (Robbins, 2003). Flavonoids, and especially the subgroup of flavanones containing hesperidin and naringin that are well known for their antioxidant properties, have multifactorial activities and are compounds that assure health to living organisms (Erlund, 2004).

Green tea, rosemary and grape pulp, which are rich in phenolic substances with antioxidant activity, have been added to poultry rations as raw materials and extracts (Smet et al., 2008; Kara et al., 2016a,b,c; 2021). In recent years, there have been more and more attempts to add by-products, especially from citrus fruits, to animal diets. Citrus pulp is obtained after removing the juice from the fruit and is therefore a mixture of citrus peels, their insides, and part of the peel. Citrus pulp and residues are widely used in animal feeding. They have a positive impact on expensive waste management programmes prevention. Fibres obtained from citrus fruit pulp have an additional advantage: they contain bioactive compounds (flavonoids) – functional components providing health benefits as mentioned above. Bioflavonoids such as hesperidin and naringenin are abundant as an inexpensive by-product of citrus cultivation.

Hesperidin is a naturally occurring polyphenolic compound widely distributed in the plant kingdom as a secondary metabolite. Hesperidin is a flavanone glycoside comprising an aglycone, hesperitin or methyl eriodictyol and an attached disaccharide, rutinose (Garg et al., 2001). Pure hesperidin occurs as long hair-like needles, yellow in colour. It is tasteless and odourless. A deficiency of this substance in human diet has been linked with abnormal capillary leakage as well as pain in the extremities causing aches, weakness and leg cramps (Garg et al., 2001).

There are many studies on adding flavonoids extracted from citrus fruits to poultry diets and its effect on live weight changes (Simitzis et al., 2011; Hajati et al., 2012; Goliomytis et al., 2014, 2015). The addition of Gingko biloba extract in varying doses has been also tested (Cao et al., 2012). It was found that hesperidin, which is added to the diet at varying rates, changes the pH of the meat, also of quail meat (Nasr et al., 2017). Also it was reported that addition of hesperidin to the diet reduces the malondialdehyde (MDA) – lipid peroxidation indicator – concentration in meat (examined hesperidin doses: 1.5–3 g/kg; Simitzis et al., 2011; Kamboh and Zhu, 2013). The possible positive effects of adding hesperidin to the diet in terms of meat microbial load have been determined until now only in in vitro studies (Karayildirim, 2017; Ambrosio et al., 2020).

Therefore the aim of the present study was to determine how different doses of hesperidin addition to the quails diets will affect fattening performance of birds, meat quality parameters (microbiological and physicochemical properties (colour) and fatty acid profile) as well as lipid oxidation in meat depending on the storage period.

Material and methods

Animals, experiment schedule and diets

This study was conducted with the permission of the Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Animal Experiments Local Ethics Board, dated 2019 and numbered 253.

In the study, 300 quails (Coturnix coturnix japonica) of mixed sex, aging 10–15 days were housed in cages (20 quails per cage) with dimensions of 20 × 45 × 100 cm in a closed area at the Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine for a one-week adaptation period and then 5 weeks of experimental period. Animals were distributed without causing a statistical difference between the control and trial groups in terms of average live weight values. In the experiment, the birds were divided into 3 groups of 100 birds each, and each group was divided into 5 repetition, 20 quails each. The groups were: control (C) fed only basal diet; HES1 group fed the basal diet + 1 g/kg of hesperidin; HES2 group fed the basal diet + 2 g/kg of hesperidin. Hesperidin (C_{22}H_{26}O_{15}, cas no: 520-26-13, purity grade 91%, Chem-Impex Int. Company, Wood Dale, IL, USA) was obtained from the market as purified from orange fruit. Doses were formulated according to Goliomytis et al. (2015). In the study, animals had ad libitum access to feed and water. All animals experienced a comfortable temperature (22–24 °C) and 23/1 h daylight/darkness per day. Animal diets were formulated according to the recommendations of the National Research Council (NRC, 1994) and the chemical analysis of diets was performed according to the AOAC Internationl (2000) (Table 1).
Quality characteristics of the meat

Twenty five-gram samples of the thigh meat taken from slaughtered birds was covered with stretch wrap on polyethylene plates and kept at 4 ± 1 °C for further analysis (11 days). The water activity (aₜ) pH value and colour parameters (L*, a*, b*) of the samples were determined on days 1, 4, 7 and 11. The water activity (aₜ) value was determined by an Aqualab 4TE device (METER Group, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA). A small amount of meat was placed in the container of the device and the aₜ value was obtained. The pH values of the samples were obtained according to the method reported by Gökalp et al. (2001). Accordingly, 10 g of homogenized samples was weighed in parallel and 100 ml of pure water was added. After homogenizing with an Ultra-Turrax device (T25, IKA Werk, Staufen, Germany) for 1 min, pH values were determined using a pH-meter (WTW Inolab, Weilheim, Germany). The sectional surface colour densities of the samples (L*, a*, b*) were determined using a Minolta colorimeter device (CR-200, Minolta Co, Osaka, Japan).

Lipid peroxidation analysis

In order to carry out the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) assay, in which malondialdehyde (MDA) present in the sample is measured, the homogeneous samples of meat (about 2 g) were homogenized with 12 ml of trichloroacetic acid (TCA) solution (7.5% TCA, 0.1% EDTA, 0.1% propyl gallate (dissolved in 3 ml of ethanol)) for 15–20 s in an Ultra-Turrax device (T25, IKA Werk, Staufen, Germany) and then filtered through Whatman 1 filter paper. Filtrate (3 ml) was transferred to the test tube, and 3 ml of thiobarbituric acid (TBA) (0.02 M) solution was added and then it was homogenized again. Next, the test tubes were kept in a water bath for 40 min at 100 °C and then cooled in cold water for 5 min. After centrifugation (5 min at 2000 g), the absorbance values of the obtained liquid phase were obtained with use of a spectrophotometer (AquaMate 7000 Vis Spectrophotometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 530 nm.

Microbial analysis

Microbiological analysis of the samples was performed according to the proposed method by Baumgart et al. (2015). Samples (25 g) of thigh meat were homogenized in 225 ml of sterilized Ringer solution. Then, the dilutions in Ringer solutions were performed and the following were prepared. The pouring method was used in the inoculations for all bacteria. The total number of mesophilic aerobic bacteria (TMAB) was determined.
Fatty acid analysis

The meat samples were homogenized with tissue grinder (Homogenizer HS-30E, witteg Labortechnik GmbH, Wertheim, Germany) using a pestle with polytetrafluoroethylene head (5553855 number, witteg Labortechnik GmbH, Wertheim, Germany). The grinded sample was mixed with 0.7 ml of potassium hydroxide (10 M) and 5.3 ml of methanol and then it was incubated at 55 °C for 45 min in an incubator (Nüve FN 120, Ankara, Turkey). The 0.58 ml of H₂SO₄ (10 M) was added to the mixture, vortexed and incubated at 55 °C for 45 min again. Then 3 ml of n-hexane was added to the mixture and the tubes were centrifuged at 1600 g for 5 min (Nüve, Ankara, Turkey) (Wang et al., 2015). After centrifugation, 1.5 ml of supernatant was put into polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)/ white silicone septa blue cap vials and then analyzed in a gas chromatography device (Thermo 1300, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with an automatic sampler (Thermo AI 1310, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). In the analysis, a column of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters (FAME) (TR-FAME, cat no: P/N 260M154P, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) (length: 60 m, I.D.: 0.25 mm, film: 0.25 μm, and maximum temperature of 250/260 °C) was used. The initial temperature of the column was 100 °C, where it was held for 3 min, and then it was rise to 240 °C at a rate of 4 °C/min, and held for 10 min. The device was run at split mode, constant flow, 1 ml/min flow, 20 ml/min of split and 1:20 of split ratio. The air was used at flow 350 ml/min and hydrogen – 35 ml/min. The temperature of flame ionization detector (FID) was 260 °C (Thermo AI 1310, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). FAME mix (37C) standard solution (CL.40.13093.0001) in dichloromethane (Chem-Lab, Zedelgem, Belgium) was used for the identification of peak. Helium was used as the carrier gas. Fatty acid identification was performed by comparing and calculating the standard fatty acid peaks in the samples according to retention time using the Xcalibur program (Kramer et al., 1997). Saturated fatty acids (SFA), unsaturated fatty acids (UFA), polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), mono-unsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), medium-chain fatty acids (MCFA) (fatty acids with chains containing from 6 to 12 atoms of C), long-chain fatty acids (LCFA) (fatty acids with chains containing from 14 to 20 atoms of C) and very long-chain fatty acids (VLCFA) (fatty acids with chains containing above 20 atoms of C) were detected.

Statistical analysis

The data obtained were evaluated using the SPSS 20.0 statistical package programme (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted in order to determine whether there was a statistical difference between all parameters and the relevant data, and a Bonferroni multiple comparison test was performed for binary comparisons between groups (P < 0.05).

Results

It was observed that within the growth performance parameters, the initial and final live weights, feed consumption, weight gain, feed conversion ratio, carcass weight and yield were statistically similar in all groups (P > 0.05) (Table 2).

The water activity of quail meat was found to be statistically similar in all groups regardless of storage period (P > 0.05). Also there was no difference between dietary groups in colour parameters (L*, a*, b*) on days 1, 7 and 11, and in pH on days 7 and 11 (P > 0.05). However, a statistical difference was observed between the dietary groups in terms of the L* (with the highest value in HES2 group), a* (with the lowest value in HES2 group) and b* (with the lowest value in HES1 group) values on day 4 and the pH parameter on days 1 and 4 (P < 0.05).
On day 1, the pH was the highest in HES1 group and the lowest in HES2 group, whereas on day 4 the pH value was the highest in HES1 group and groups HES2 and C did not differ (Table 3).

Lipid peroxidation in meat, measured as TBARS level, was decreased in HES1 and HES2 groups on days 1 and 4 \( (P < 0.05) \). No such differences were observed on days 7 and 11 \( (P > 0.05) \) (Figure 1).

In terms of bacterial load in meat, *Enterobactericea* and *Lactococcus* spp. counts were statistically similar in the experimental groups in all storage time points \( (P > 0.05) \). However, in terms of TMAB (on days 1 and 11), *Lactobacillus* spp. (on days 1, 7 and 11), *Micrococcus/Staphylococcus* (on day 4) and TPAB (on day 1 and 4), there was a statistically significant difference between the dietary groups \( (P < 0.05) \) (Table 4). TMAB was the highest in HES2 group on day 1 and in C group on day 11. *Lactobacillus* spp. was the most abundant in meat from HES2 group on day 1 and HES1 group on day 7. *Micrococcus/Staphylococcus* ratio value was the highest in HES2 group on day 4. Whereas TPAB were the most abundant in both hesperidin groups on day 1 and in HES2 group on day 4.

### Table 2. Effect of hesperidin addition in different doses to quail diets on growth performance parameters \( (n = 100; \text{mean} \pm \text{standard error}) \)

| Indices                        | Diet\(^1\)       | C                | HES1             | HES2             | P-value |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Initial body weight, g         | 45.39 ± 3.12     | 44.14 ± 0.63     | 42.95 ± 2.64     | 0.40             |
| Final body weight (day 35), g  | 223.32 ± 3.92    | 222.48 ± 7.10    | 225.25 ± 1.97    | 0.92             |
| Body weight gain, g            | 177.92 ± 2.83    | 178.34 ± 7.17    | 182.31 ± 1.33    | 0.76             |
| Total feed consumption, g      | 565.64 ± 2.96    | 559.86 ± 10.92   | 569.94 ± 3.27    | 0.59             |
| Average feed consumption, g    | 42.88 ± 1.89     | 40.78 ± 2.64     | 41.98 ± 0.79     | 0.58             |
| Feed conversion ratio, g/g     | 3.18 ± 0.47      | 3.15 ± 0.71      | 3.13 ± 0.20      | 0.74             |
| Carcass weight, g (n = 20)     | 157.18 ± 3.85    | 160.32 ± 4.23    | 158.21 ± 3.82    | 0.85             |
| Carcass yield, % (n = 20)      | 70.45 ± 1.23     | 74.00 ± 1.01     | 70.25 ± 0.60     | 0.31             |

\(^1\) Diets: C – basal diet, HES1 – basal diet with 1 g/kg hesperidin, HES2 – basal diet with 2 g/kg hesperidin

### Table 3. The effects of storage time and diet on some meat parameters of quails fed diets supplemented with different doses of hesperidin \( (n = 12) \)

| Indices                        | Diets\(^1\)       | Storage times, days | P-value |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|
|                                |                   | 1                  | 4      | 7      | 11     |
| pH                             | C                 | 6.15 ± 0.04        | 6.27 ± 0.03 | 6.36 ± 0.12 | 6.37 ± 0.03 | 0.12 |
| HES1                           | 6.26 ± 0.02       | 6.66 ± 0.06        | 6.29 ± 0.06 | 6.30 ± 0.06 | 0.001 |
| HES2                           | 6.02 ± 0.04       | 6.19 ± 0.05        | 6.12 ± 0.05 | 6.26 ± 0.01 | 0.01  |
| L*                             | C                 | 44.34 ± 1.43       | 43.35 ± 1.32 | 44.10 ± 0.61 | 45.73 ± 0.94 | 0.53 |
| HES1                           | 44.65 ± 0.84      | 39.24 ± 0.44       | 43.41 ± 2.62 | 42.39 ± 2.43 | 0.25  |
| HES2                           | 45.78 ± 1.41      | 51.17 ± 1.85       | 47.51 ± 3.28 | 45.93 ± 0.62 | 0.26  |
| a*                             | C                 | 10.87 ± 0.61       | 12.07 ± 0.98 | 11.58 ± 0.25 | 10.93 ± 0.76 | 0.59 |
| HES1                           | 9.37 ± 0.38       | 14.11 ± 0.70       | 10.77 ± 0.79 | 11.73 ± 0.88 | 0.004 |
| HES2                           | 10.57 ± 0.66      | 8.01 ± 0.69        | 9.56 ± 0.61 | 10.11 ± 1.11 | 0.17  |
| b*                             | C                 | 7.99 ± 0.31        | 9.12 ± 0.67 | 8.97 ± 0.92 | 7.54 ± 0.47 | 0.56 |
| HES1                           | 4.82 ± 0.70       | 6.02 ± 0.80        | 6.37 ± 0.45 | 6.27 ± 0.25 | 0.27  |
| HES2                           | 7.80 ± 0.66       | 9.80 ± 0.82        | 8.82 ± 0.83 | 5.63 ± 1.52 | 0.07  |
| a w                            | C                 | 0.99 ± 0.00        | 0.99 ± 0.00   | 0.99 ± 0.00   | 0.99 ± 0.00   | 0.84 |
| HES1                           | 0.99 ± 0.04       | 0.99 ± 0.00       | 0.99 ± 0.00   | 0.99 ± 0.00   | 0.83  |
| HES2                           | 0.99 ± 0.02       | 0.99 ± 0.00       | 0.99 ± 0.00   | 0.99 ± 0.00   | 0.40  |

\(^1\) Diets: C – basal diet, HES1 – basal diet with 1 g/kg hesperidin, HES2 – basal diet with 2 g/kg hesperidin; L* – brightness, a* – redness, b* – yellowness, a w – water activity; \(^a\) \(^b\) – means with different superscripts in the same column are significantly different at \( P < 0.05 \); \(^a\) \(^c\) – means with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different at \( P < 0.05 \)
A statistically significant difference was found in the fatty acid profile between the experimental groups ($P < 0.05$) (Table 5). The content of γ-linolenic acid (C18:3n6) was decreased in HES2 group whereas the content of α-linolenic acid (C18:3n3), eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5n3) docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6n3) and the sum of n-3 fatty acids were in this group the highest. Eicosanoic acid (C20:0) content was the highest in HES2 group but there was no difference between HES2 and HES1 groups; while lignoceric acid (C24:0) content was the greatest in C group. There was also a difference between dietary groups in terms of the sum of MCFA with the lowest value in HES1 group and the highest in C group, but with group HES2 not different either from group C or from group HES1.
Flavonoids are polyphenolic compounds that, when added to the diet, have many properties that improve the growth performance of farm animals and the quality of the resulting product. Previous studies examined the effects of flavonoids under temperature stress on growth performance in animals (Kamboh and Zhu, 2013). The present study examined the effects of the hesperidin flavonoid addition to quail diets on the birds' growth performance, physical and microbial quality of the carcass and the meat fatty acid profile under normal temperature conditions.

**Discussion**

Flavonoids are polyphenolic compounds that, when added to the diet, have many properties that improve the growth performance of farm animals and the quality of the resulting product. Previous studies examined the effects of flavonoids under temperature stress on growth performance in animals (Kamboh and Zhu, 2013). The present study examined the effects of the hesperidin flavonoid addition to quail diets on the birds' growth performance, physical and microbial quality of the carcass and the meat fatty acid profile under normal temperature conditions.

**Fattening performance.** Varying results have been obtained regarding feed consumption, live weight increase and feed conversion ratio after adding flavonoids to animal diets (Kamboh and Zhu, 2013; Goliomytis et al., 2014, 2015). The present study showed no effect of hesperidin on any growth performance or carcass parameter. Previous studies have reported that also citrus pulp (at a dose up to 60 g/kg feed) containing naringin (0.75–1.5 g/kg feed), hesperidin (0.75–3.0 g/kg feed), quercetin (0.5–1 g/kg feed) and flavanone did not affect growth performance when added to poultry rations (Simitzis et al., 2011; Hajati et al., 2012; Goliomytis et al., 2014, 2015). It was also observed that *Gingko biloba* leaves containing high proportions of quercetin glycosides (3.5 and 7 g/kg feed) (Cao et al., 2012) and quercetin and citrus (0.25–1 g/kg feed) containing rutin active

| Indices                      | Diets1 | C       | HES1   | HES2   | P-value |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| Capric acid (C10:0)          | 0.02 ± 0.001 | 0.02 ± 0.001 | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.17   |
| Lauric acid (C12:0)          | 0.12 ± 0.01  | 0.09 ± 0.001 | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 0.06   |
| Myristic acid (C14:0)        | 0.67 ± 0.05  | 0.62 ± 0.003 | 0.67 ± 0.06 | 0.68   |
| Myristoleic acid (C14:1)     | 0.18 ± 0.02  | 0.14 ± 0.01  | 0.22 ± 0.04 | 0.11   |
| Pentadecanoic acid (C15:0)   | 0.10 ± 0.01  | 0.09 ± 0.001 | 0.19 ± 0.10 | 0.34   |
| Palmitic acid (C16:0)        | 16.99 ± 0.43 | 18.84 ± 0.47 | 16.29 ± 1.89 | 0.29   |
| Palmitoleic acid (C16:1)     | 6.51 ± 0.43  | 6.92 ± 0.56  | 7.12 ± 1.14 | 0.85   |
| Heptadecanoic acid (C17:0)   | 0.20 ± 0.02  | 0.15 ± 0.01  | 0.18 ± 0.05 | 0.09   |
| Stearic acid (C18:0)         | 4.06 ± 0.23  | 5.30 ± 0.25  | 7.47 ± 2.47 | 0.26   |
| Oleic acid (C18:1n9)         | 34.76 ± 1.11 | 35.87 ± 0.80 | 34.20 ± 1.16 | 0.52   |
| Linoleic acid (C18:2n6)      | 31.52 ± 0.78 | 28.44 ± 0.84 | 26.69 ± 3.15 | 0.23   |
| α-linolenic acid (C18:3n3)   | 0.10 ± 0.02a | 0.17 ± 0.01ab | 1.18 ± 0.03a | 0.001  |
| γ-linolenic acid (C18:3n6)   | 1.45 ± 0.08a | 1.33 ± 0.05a | 0.91 ± 0.01a | 0.01   |
| Eicosanoic acid (C20:0)      | 0.05 ± 0.02a | 0.07 ± 0.01ab | 0.10 ± 0.01a | 0.04   |
| Eicosaenoic acid (C20:1)     | 0.01 ± 0.01a | 0.03 ± 0.01a | 0.03 ± 0.001a | 0.03   |
| Arachidonic acid (C20:4n6)   | 1.41 ± 0.29  | 1.22 ± 0.24  | 2.23 ± 0.35 | 0.06   |
| Eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5n3) | 0.06 ± 0.02a | 0.08 ± 0.02a | 0.16 ± 0.02a | 0.007  |
| Heneicosanoic acid (C21:0)   | 0.04 ± 0.01  | 0.05 ± 0.001 | 0.06 ± 0.01 | 0.25   |
| Beheric acid (C22:0)         | 0.39 ± 0.15  | 0.17 ± 0.04  | 0.20 ± 0.06 | 0.21   |
| Docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6n3) | 0.28 ± 0.06a | 0.40 ± 0.09a | 0.88 ± 0.15a | 0.001  |
| Lignoceric acid (C24:0)      | 0.78 ± 0.17a | 0.20 ± 0.03a | 0.09 ± 0.07a | 0.001  |
| ΣSFA                         | 23.12 ± 0.51 | 25.48 ± 0.66 | 26.04 ± 1.48 | 0.11   |
| ΣUFA                         | 76.89 ± 0.51 | 74.51 ± 0.66 | 73.89 ± 1.54 | 0.11   |
| ΣMUFA                        | 41.60 ± 1.13 | 43.03 ± 0.97 | 42.60 ± 1.47 | 0.69   |
| ΣPUFA                        | 35.29 ± 0.96 | 31.48 ± 0.87 | 31.29 ± 2.64 | 0.20   |
| Σn-3                         | 0.42 ± 0.06a | 0.66 ± 0.11a | 1.42 ± 0.11a | 0.001  |
| Σn-6                         | 34.47 ± 0.94 | 30.65 ± 0.85 | 29.38 ± 3.16 | 0.19   |
| Σn-9                         | 41.51 ± 1.14 | 42.97 ± 0.96 | 42.17 ± 1.26 | 0.66   |
| n-3/n-6                      | 0.01 ± 0.001 | 0.02 ± 0.001 | 0.11 ± 0.08 | 0.24   |
| MCFA                         | 0.16 ± 0.02a | 0.10 ± 0.01a | 0.15 ± 0.01a | 0.02   |
| LCFA                         | 98.31 ± 0.24 | 99.00 ± 0.08 | 97.46 ± 1.21 | 0.33   |
| VLCFA                        | 1.54 ± 0.23  | 0.89 ± 0.08  | 2.33 ± 1.13 | 0.33   |

1 Diets: C – basal diet, HES1 – basal diet with 1 g/kg hesperidin, HES2 – basal diet with 2 g/kg hesperidin; ΣSFA – total saturated fatty acids, ΣUFA – total unsaturated fatty acids, ΣMUFA – total monounsaturated fatty acids, ΣPUFA – total polyunsaturated fatty acids, Σn-3 – total omega 3 fatty acids, Σn-6 – total omega 6 fatty acids, Σn-9 – total omega 9 fatty acids, n-3/n-6 – ratio of omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids, MCFA – medium-chain fatty acids, LCFA – long-chain fatty acids, VLCFA – very long-chain fatty acids; a–c – means with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different at P < 0.05.
ingredients (Peña et al., 2008) had no effect on live weight gain, feed consumption or FCR in broilers. However, when hesperidin was added to the diet at much lower rates (0.02 g/kg feed), it increased the 42-day live weight of broilers and reduced the FCR value (Kamboh and Zhu, 2013). Moreover, Sohaib et al. (2015) reported that supplementation of quercetin along with α-tocopherol (0.1–0.3 g/kg feed) caused an increase in growth performance and a decrease in FCR in broilers. In addition, Ouyang et al. (2016) reported that adding alfalfa extracted flavonoids (15 mg/kg feed) to broilers diets had positive effects on daily live weight increase and FCR. The carcass yield for the C, HES1 and HES2 groups were 70.45, 74.0 and 70.23%, respectively. Although there was no significant difference between groups, it is noteworthy that the carcass yield was higher in the group with the low hesperidin dose (1 g/kg feed). The present study is consistent with the previous studies. Caron et al. (1990) reported that the carcass yield for 45-day-old quail was 67–70%. The carcass yield of Japanese quails is determined by the type, sex and slaughter age (Genchev et al., 2008). The higher carcass yield of Japanese quails is an indication of their superior productivity and ability to produce meat.

**pH and colour parameters.** When an animal is alive, the meat pH is around 7.3, while it drops down to about 7.0 after slaughter and blood extraction. Lactic acid level increases because of a decrease in oxygen level and anaerobic glycolysis in the muscles after slaughter and this leads to a decrease in the pH value of the meat. The pH value of meat drops to 5.6–6.2 one hour after slaughter (Savell et al., 2005). High meat acidity is considered as a sign of meat degradation caused by bacteria multiplication. Researchers have reported that pH value should decrease to 5.7–5.8 post rigor mortis (Savell et al., 2005; Jalsusińska and Wilczak, 2009). However, unlike other poultry species (chicken and duck), the pectoral muscle in quails is not entirely glycolytic but consists of dark muscle fibrils that prevent oxidation. This explains the high pH in quail species compared to broilers. Pectoral muscle is the type of muscle in which post-slaughter rigor mortis occurs more slowly in quails (Drbohlav and Drbohlavova, 1987; Riegel et al., 2003; Genchev et al., 2008).

In the present study, the pH values of the meat on storage days 1, 4, 7 and 11 are observed to be between 6.02–6.66. Looking at pH values, in general, it was observed that the pH values of meat samples from day 1 to day 11 did not change in HES1 group but increased in control and HES2 group. Similar to the present study, Nasr et al. (2017) found that meat pH values were between 6.11–6.28 in quails that consumed the same diet but were classified according to feather colour. Simitzis et al. (2011) observed that the pH values of the meat at the 24th hour of storage were between 6.01–6.04 for broilers fed with hesperidin-supplemented (1.5 or 3 g/kg feed) diets. Leusink et al. (2010) reported that the pH value of broiler breast meat did not change for broiler, fed diets with different doses of cranberry fruit extract (0, 40, 80 or 160 g/kg feed) and were 6.39, 6.37, 6.37 and 6.41, respectively. Genchev et al. (2008) observed that the pH value of quail meat was 6.17 at the 24th hour of storage and 6.47 on the 7th day. Kim et al. (2020) reported that the pH value for the broiler meat on days 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 was initially 5.95, while it was 6.34 on the last day. However, in some studies, lower pH values than in the present study were reported in meat samples. Goliomytis et al. (2015) reported a similar pH of 5.40 for the hesperidin, naringin and vitamin E supplemented and non-supplemented poultry groups at the 24th hour of storage. Peña et al. (2008) reported an average pH value of 5.40 at the 24th hour for the meat of poultry which consumed diets supplemented with flavonoids, such as ascorbic acid, rutin and quercetin. Simitzis et al. (2014) observed a pH value of 5.53 at the 24th hour for hares consuming hesperidin-supplemented diets (1 and 2 g/kg feed). In these previous studies, it was thought that there is a decrease in pH value due to the use of substances such as ascorbic acid as a supplement to flavonoids.

The colour of the meat is an organoleptic parameter that is judged by consumers when shopping (Fanatico et al., 2007). Brightness (L*), redness (a*) and yellowness (b*) values are the basic measured parameters of meat colour despite species (Uğurlu et al., 2017). In the present study, it was determined that the colour parameters in quail meat were affected by the hesperidin addition but only on day 7 of storage. At this time point, the higher dose of hesperidin increased brightness with a simultaneous decrease in redness; whereas yellowness was lower in the group fed with a lower dose of hesperidin. The average values of meat colour parameters in the present study were 44.8, 10.8 and 7.43, respectively for L*, a* and b*. Nasr et al. (2017) reported that meat colour values of L* (44.23–46.40), a* (9.20–9.31) and b* (12.10–12.46) were dependent on feather colours (white, yellow, black, brown) in quails fed the same diet. However, a review of the literature shows a large discrepancy in the results concerning the colour of poultry meat.
In comparison to the present study, Goliomytis et al. (2015) found a more pronounced effect of hesperidin at lower doses (0.75–1 g/kg) on the L*, a* and b* values for the breast meat of broilers. Simitzis et al. (2014) reported that hesperidin (1–2 g/kg) influenced to a greater extent L* and b* values and to a lesser extend a* value of breast meat of hares than in the present study. Similarly, Riegel et al. (2004) obtained L*, a* and b* values of 40.0, 10.9 and 2.5, respectively, for turkey pectoral muscle 20 min after slaughter. In terms of L*, a* and b* values in quail meat, Genchev et al. (2008) reported values of 40.81–45.67, 10.16–11.68 and 9.55–14.48 on days 1 and 7, respectively, which were rather similar to values obtained in the present study.

**Lipid peroxidation.** The most expected effect of flavonoids addition into diets is its influence on lipid oxidation in meat. In the literature, flavonoid supplementation is reported to reduce MDA concentration (lipid peroxidation indicator) depending on storage time (Simitzis et al., 2011; Goliomytis et al., 2014). In the present study, the concentrations of MDA in thigh tissue of quails were lower in hesperidin supplemented groups on days 1 and 4 of storage. Previous studies demonstrated a lower concentration of MDA in breast meat compared to thigh meat (Goliomytis et al. 2014; Sohaib et al. 2015); however in the present study only the thigh meat was examined and it can be only stated that as a result of prolonged storage time (up to 11 days) MDA concentration increased with hesperidin effect in the first days of storage. Similar to the present study, the previous studies have presented a decrease in the lipid peroxidation due to supplementation of flavonoids (or extracts/pulps containing them) such as quercetin (0.5–1 g/kg (Goliomytis et al., 2014) and 0.1–0.3 g/kg (Sohaib et al., 2015)), naringin (0.75–1.5 g/kg; Goliomytis et al. (2015)), hesperidin (1.5–3 g/kg; Simitzis et al. (2011)), genistein and hesperidin (0.005 and 0.02 g/kg, respectively; Kamboh and Zhu (2013)), isoflavones (0.01–0.08 g/kg; Jiang et al. (2007)), tea catechins (0.05–0.3 g/kg; Tang et al. (2001)), grape pulp (5–30 g/kg; Göhl et al. (2007); 15–60 g/kg; Brener et al. (2008)) or Ginkgo biloba leaves (3.5 and 7 g/kg; Cao et al. (2012)).

**Microbial load.** One of the factors affecting meat quality is the microbial load of meat. Some microorganisms found in meat disrupt the quality of the meat, shorten its shelf life and pose a risk to human health. In such a context, this study examined the relationship between total mesophilic aerobic bacteria (TPAB), Enterobacteriaceae, Lactobacillus spp., Lactococcus spp. and Micrococcus/Staphylococcus concentrations and storage time. Insausti et al. (2001) reported that 6–8 log CFU/g is an acceptable microbial limit for the total number of bacteria in meat. Karayıldırım (2017) reported that hesperidin, when administered in vitro, has antibacterial activity on Gram-positive (Lactobacillus) and Gram-negative (Enterobacteriaceae) bacteria. The present study showed an increase for all bacterial species on day 11 of storage but any bacterial species exceeded 8 log CFU/g. Previous studies reported results supporting the present study (Insausti, 2001; Nieto et al., 2012). Ambrosio et al. (2020) reported that citrus terpenes, when administered in vitro, had more antibacterial activity on Escherichia coli (Gram-negative) than on Lactobacillus rhamnosus (Gram-positive). In the present study, Enterobacteriaceae was stated as bacteria family with the lowest concentration at day 11. In the study supporting such a result, Nieto et al. (2012) were unable to detect Enterobacteriaceae bacteria, when they added thyme to lamb rations at varying rates. However, in terms of total bacteria, psychrophilic and lactic acid bacteria, the results of that experiment on day 11 were similar to the present study. Also, Kamboh et al. (2018) reported that storing meat from poultry fed diets with genistein and hesperidin addition in the refrigerator reduced microbial load of bacteria increasing degradation parameters of meat and increased the total amount of psychrophilic and lactic acid bacteria after 15 days of storage. In the present study, psychrophilic bacteria concentration increased upon storage time both in the control and experimental groups. Moreover, it was observed that hesperidin addition into quails diet increased psychrophilic bacteria concentration on days 1 and 4. However, especially the HES1 group (pH 6.30) among the hesperidin-supplemented groups was observed to be more balanced than the C group, despite having a higher pH than the C group (pH 6.40). In the same manner, as the previous studies, it is thought that a lower degradation occurs due to hesperidin supplementation compared to storage time.

**Fatty acid profile.** Saturated fatty acids (SFA), especially stearic, lignoceric, palmitic and myristic acids, are generally considered harmful to health due to their hypercholesterolemic properties (FAO/WHO, 2008). In the present study, hesperidin addition into quail diet did not influence the sum of SFA as well as contents of the most common SFA such as lauric (C12:0), myristic (C14:0), palmitic (C16:0) and stearic (C18:0) acids. However,
eicosanoic acid (C20:0) content was the highest in HES2 group but there was no difference between HES2 and HES1 groups; while lignoceric acid (C24:0) content was the greatest in the control group. The results of the present study match those of Genchev et al. (2008) and Simitzis et al. (2014) regarding the composition of fatty acids in meat. However, it does not match those of many previous studies. Quercetin supplementation in lamb caused a decrease in SFA ratio (Andrés et al., 2014), as well as fermented Ginkgo biloba leaves containing flavonoids addition into broilers feed (Cao et al., 2012). Kamboh and Zhu (2013) reported a decrease in SFA upon supplementation of bioflavonoids in the ration, and an increase in the polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) concentration. Besides PUFA content in meat was reported to be influenced by direct PUFA addition in the chicken diet (Cortinas et al., 2004). PUFA can be divided into n-3 (e.g., α-linolenic acid (C18:3), eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5), docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6)) and n-6 (e.g., linoleic acid (C18:2), γ-linolenic acid (C18:3) and arachidonic acid (C20:4)). In the human diet, n-3 and n-6 fatty acids have been reported to play an important role in the immune system due to being precursors to eicosanoids, prostaglandins, leukotrienes and thromboxanes (Grashorn, 2007); however, proportion n-6 to n-3 seems to be crucial as an excess of n-6 may exert a pro-inflammatory effect. In addition, it was reported that an increase in the concentration of n-3 fatty acid would lead to a decrease in the concentration of n-6 fatty acid, which is associated with a competition for the use of the same enzymes in the desaturation metabolism (Nürnberg et al., 2005). In the present study, the content of γ-linolenic acid (C18:3n6) was decreased in the group supplemented with a higher dose of hesperidin (HES2), whereas the content of α-linolenic acid (C18:3n3), eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5n3), docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6n3) and the sum of n-3 fatty acids were in this group the highest. Such results suggest that hesperidin addition increases n-3 fatty acids exerting a health-promoting effect. Similarly, Kamboh and Zhu (2013) reported dietary bioflavonoids genistein and hesperidin could positively improve the fatty acid and lipid metabolite profile (increase proportion of total PUFA and decrease the ratio of n-6 to n-3 fatty acids) of broiler breast meat in a dose-dependent fashion. On the other hand, Jenkins and Atwal (1995) stated that quercetin, morin and ferulic acid had marked effects on the fatty acid composition of tissue lipids in chickens, reducing oleic acid (C18:1) and C20:3n9 and increasing linoleic acid (C18:2), arachidonic acid (C20:4) and total n-6 fatty acids. In the present study, no effect of hesperidin on monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) such as oleic and palmitoleic acid concentrations was stated.

Conclusions

Hesperidin added to quail diets in varying doses (1 and 2 g/kg) had a limited effect on the growth performance parameters, such as live weight, feed consumption and feed conversion ratio. Nevertheless, it was determined that the hesperidin addition had an antibacterial effect on quail thigh meat and a positive impact on lipid peroxidation and fatty acid profile of meat. So, it can be concluded that the hesperidin addition to quail diets may both influence shelf life quality of quail meat and exert a health-promoting effect increasing n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids content, which can increase consumer interest in quail meat.
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