Mediators of systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease (SSc-ILD): systematic review and meta-analyses
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ABSTRACT
Systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease (SSc-ILD) is rare, poorly understood, with heterogeneous characteristics resulting in difficult diagnosis. We aimed to systematically review evidence of soluble markers in peripheral blood or bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) as biomarkers in SSc-ILD.

Method Five databases were screened for observational or interventional, peer-reviewed studies in adults published between January 2000 and September 2021 that assessed levels of biomarkers in peripheral blood or BALF of SSc-ILD patients compared with healthy controls. Qualitative assessment was performed using Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklists. Standardised mean difference (SMD) in biomarkers were combined in random-effects meta-analyses where multiple independent studies reported quantitative data.

Results 768 published studies were identified; 38 articles were included in the qualitative synthesis. Thirteen studies were included in the meta-analyses representing three biomarkers: KL6, SP-D and IL-8. Greater IL-8 levels were associated with SSc-ILD in both peripheral blood and BALF, overall SMD 0.88 (95% CI 1.50 to 2.17; I²=8%) and 1.66 (95% CI 1.17 to 2.14; I²=76%), respectively.

Conclusion We provide robust evidence that KL-6, SP-D and IL-8 have the potential to serve as reliable biomarkers in blood/BALF for supporting the diagnosis of SSc-ILD. However, while several other biomarkers have been proposed, the evidence of their independent value in diagnosis and prognosis is currently lacking and needs further investigation.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42021282452.

INTRODUCTION
Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a rare rheumatic disease with heterogeneous characteristics, which pose challenges for accurate diagnosis and prognosis. Scleroderma (skin thickening) is a common symptom of SSc, often preceded by Raynaud’s phenomenon. Patients can develop organ involvement, such as interstitial lung disease (ILD) that may lead to progressive lung fibrosis. SSc-associated interstitial lung disease (SSc-ILD) and its attributed decline in lung function is a primary cause of death in patients with SSc.1 Furthermore, diagnosis of pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis (PPFE) is not uncommon in SSc-ILD and is associated with worse prognosis.2 There are currently very limited treatments specific for SSc-ILD.3 Most patients are either monitored without intervention or receive immunosuppressive treatment. Antifibrotics can slow down lung function decline in progressive lung fibrosis and have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for SSc-ILD in the USA4 and recently by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence in the UK.5 The humanised interleukin-6 (IL-6) receptor antagonist tocilizumab has recently been approved by the FDA after showing to reduce progressive loss of lung function for patients with SSc-ILD compared with placebo (focusSSce trial).6 Nevertheless, timely diagnosis of ILD in SSc...
Interstitial lung disease

continues to be a challenge. The current diagnostic gold standard is high-resolution CT (HRCT) scans, with the most prevalent histopathological/radiographical pattern being non-specific interstitial pneumonia, although monitoring ILD development exposes individuals with SSc to frequent irradiation. Lung biopsies have been used to investigate the lung architecture of those with SSc-ILD, however, this invasive procedure would not be indicated for regular use. For many years, diagnosis was based on pulmonary function tests (PFTs); however, over 60% of patients diagnosed with SSc-ILD may have normal PFT ranges. To support early ILD management, there is a need for new methods of early diagnosis, which may include the detection of soluble mediators.

Numerous soluble systemic mediators (whole blood, serum and/or plasma) have been identified in patients with SSc-ILD compared with healthy groups or other disease states. Further characterisation of systemic mediators may enable a more straightforward method of diagnosis, in addition to providing an accessible and quantitative method of monitoring disease. An alternative source of soluble mediators may be detected within bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF). As a more invasive procedure, repeated performance of BAL techniques for the measurement of soluble markers to monitor organ-specific disease severity and progression may not be a viable resource but may complement decision making where ILD needs further confirmation and characterisation.

However, consideration of both blood and BALF markers in isolation and combination could provide insights for the identification of new pharmaceutical targets for patients with SSc-ILD and improvement of preclinical models, yet at present there are no accepted disease-defining biomarkers. We conducted a systematic review to identify soluble markers in blood and BALF as potential candidates for a screening panel for SSc-ILD, and to explore possible pathways for intervention.

METHODS

Search methods

Extensive searches were performed on Embase, Web of Science, Medline ALL, Scopus and PubMed for papers published from 1 January 2000 to 30 September 2021. Searches were not restricted by language or study design. Review articles were excluded through EndNote V.10 search. Searches were last updated in October 2021. Further information can be found in online supplemental file 1. The prespecified systematic review protocol was made available through a prospective public register (PROSPERO, CRD42021282452). Throughout the review, PRISMA guidelines were followed.

Selection criteria

Published, peer-reviewed papers were considered which were prospective or retrospective, case–control or cohort studies of any size. Articles for this review were selected based on their relevance to the analyses of soluble mediators and biomarkers in SSc-ILD. Eligible studies contained samples collected from any population of adults diagnosed with SSc-ILD, evidenced by clinical features, chest HRCT and/or lung biopsy, and were included regardless of immunosuppressive treatment. Included studies investigated soluble mediators evaluated in blood (serum/plasma) and BALF in subjects diagnosed with SSc-ILD compared with healthy controls (figure 1).

Data collection

Data were manually extracted from retrieved full-text versions of included studies, and data were confirmed by a second

Figure 1 PRISMA flow diagram. SSc-ILD, systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease.
author. Only data regarding soluble mediators were retrieved; miRNA expression data, cellular information and exhaled nitric oxide data were not included. All data were available from the reviewed articles. Baseline data were retrieved from longitudinal studies. Where statistical analyses of the data were only presented as scatter plots, data description (mean/median, SD/IQR/range) was estimated from the image. Study information including biomarker levels, units, sample sizes and treatment, were extracted and reported, and data were presented as given in the published articles.

When descriptive data were missing for patients or controls (BALF: IL-12, IL-8, CxCL5 and S100A8/A9; peripheral blood: selectins, calpain and HMGB-1, CX3CL1 (fractalkine), gremlin-1, KL-6, osteopontin (OPN), SP-D and IL-8), the authors were contacted. Where we did not receive a response from the authors, data were estimated from presented graphs using https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer/.

The overall quality of the included evidence and risk of bias (RoB) was assessed by two independent assessors (KNP and BCS) using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) Checklist for Cohort Studies. Papers were assessed using ten predefined questions with regards to domains of ‘Study Design and Methodology’, ‘Measurements and Results’ and ‘External Validity’ including study purpose, patient population, methods and data analysis (online supplemental file 2). Individual questions were scored: 1 = appropriate, 0 = uncertain, −1 = inappropriate or incomplete. Scores for the individual questions were summarised in an overall weighted RoB score ranging from −30 (very high RoB) to 30 (very low RoB). The judgements on overall quality were discussed and confirmed by KNP and BCS.

Data analyses
Statistical analysis
Where a specific marker was represented by more than two independent studies, standardised mean difference (SMD) was used to obtain overall effect sizes in a random effects model. Where medians and IQRs were transformed into estimated means and standard deviations. Q test assessed heterogeneity between studies and was presented via the inconsistency index (I²) as a percentage. The proportion of total variability due to between-study heterogeneity was classified as low (<25%), moderate (25%–50%), large (50%–75%) or very large (>75%). Where heterogeneity was considered large, sensitivity analyses were performed to remove outliers. Where possible, subgroup analyses were performed according to sample source and methodology of quantitation. All analyses were performed with Review Manager software (RevMan, V.5.4, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020).

Pathway analysis
To explore pathways and biological processes involved in SSC-ILD that could be identified through this review, genes encoding biomarkers included in the meta-analysis and those present in BALF, and peripheral blood were compiled in g:Profiler24 using Ensembl IDs of identified markers and over-representation of information from known biological pathways (gene ontology enrichment analysis for biological processes, Go:BP) and protein–protein networks (string analysis) were described. Correction for multiple testing was performed using Bonferroni.25 Ensembl IDs used can be found in online supplemental file 3.

RESULTS
A total of 2281 citations were retrieved, of which 1971 unique abstracts were identified through searching of the five databases, and by manual searching of review articles and additional reading. Screening of these abstracts led to the inclusion of 768 publications for full-text review, out of which 730 publications were ineligible. A total of 38 publications were considered eligible for inclusion in the review, with thirteen included in the meta-analysis (presented in the PRISMA flow diagram19 (figure 1). Evidence was mostly based on observational studies (n=36; 95%); two studies were intervention studies.

Further extracted study information can be found in online supplemental file 4. 24% of studies excluded patients receiving any form of immunosuppressive treatment or stated that only treatment naïve patients were included. 32% of studies explicitly excluded patients with relevant comorbidities, for example, autoimmune, overlapping syndromes such as sarcoidosis and other lung diseases, and 42% of studies looked at mediator level correlation with clinical progression to assess prognostic biomarker potential (online supplemental file 1).

Quality of the evidence and RoB assessment
The RoB for each study was evaluated using a 10-point checklist that focused on key elements of internal and external validity (online supplemental file 2). Most studies reported reproducible and comparable methods of patient recruitment, SSC-ILD diagnosis, and as well as means of measuring and analysing mediator levels. In many cases, the external validity was difficult to assess due to a lack of comparable studies that could either support or challenge reported results. The overall RoB was low among the 38 studies included in the qualitative analysis (figure 2).

All studies (38/38; 100%) were assessed as having a low RoB in the domain of ‘study design and methodology’. A total of six studies (15.8%) were assessed as having a moderate RoB in the domain ‘measurements and results’, while the remaining 32 (84.2%) had a low RoB. The majority of studies (36/38; 94.7%) were assessed as having a low RoB in domain of ‘external validity’, while 1 had a moderate risk (2.6%) and one a had high risk (2.6%). The overall weighted RoB was low in 37/38 studies (97.4%), and moderate in 1/38 studies (2.6%) (figure 3).

Systemic and pulmonary markers in SSC-ILD
The identified markers were divided into two categories: We identified forty-three secreted proteins in peripheral blood (online supplemental file 5) and fifteen mediators in BALF (online supplemental file 6).

In blood, 5 out of the 43 markers (11.6%) were reported to be significantly lower in patients with SSC-ILD compared with healthy controls (Von Willebrand factor-cleaving protease (Adams-TS), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide (LL-37, CAMP), adhesion molecule L-selectin). All other mediators (online supplemental file 5) were significantly increased in peripheral blood of patients with SS-c-ILD. Within peripheral blood markers, Krebs von den Lungen (KL)-6, surfactant protein (SP)-D and interleukin (IL)-8 were most represented across the eligible studies, with eight studies providing data on KL-6 concentrations, 6 studies on SP-D and 3 studies on IL-8 blood levels. Only two studies each were identified on blood CCL18 and IL-6 levels in SSC-ILD (online supplemental file 5).

In BALF, all identified markers were increased in concentration compared with healthy controls (online supplemental file 6). Significantly increased levels of IL-8 in BALF were found in...
four studies, but all other BALF markers were represented in single studies. For BALF IL-10 and IL-12, measurable concentrations were identified only in patients with SSc-ILD. In healthy controls both cytokines were below the limit of detection.

Six markers were found to be increased in both blood and BALF samples: CCL2, IL-8, IL-10, human epididymis protein (HE4), alpha-defensin (HNP1) and MMP-9. TNF-alpha was also significantly modified in both sample types. However, while it was increased in SSc-ILD BALF, systemic levels (peripheral blood) were reported to be reduced in SSc-ILD.

Meta-analyses of identified markers
Identified markers represented in more than two eligible, independent studies were included in the meta-analyses. For KL-6, eight publications, which reported plasma/serum levels in a total

---

**Figure 2** 2 Risk of bias assessment summary of studies included in the qualitative analyses. Studies were scored (0, 1 or 2) on these study domains: 1. Study Design and Methodology (5 questions, weighing × 2, maximum 20); 2. Measurements and results (3 questions, weighing × 3.3, maximum 20) and external validity (2 questions, weighing × 5, maximum 20). The individual study domain assessments scored 0–20. Overall scoring 41–60=green, 21–40=orange, 0–20=red. SSc-ILD, systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease.
Interstitial lung disease of 331 SSc-ILD patients and 189 healthy controls were included in the meta-analysis (figure 3A). The SMD of KL-6 levels in SSc-ILD compared with healthy controls was 1.66 (95% CI 1.17 to 2.14). Variability attributed to between-study heterogeneity of KL-6 effect sizes was very large ($I^2$: 74%). Sensitivity analysis suggested that one study mainly contributed to this heterogeneity. Exclusion of the 13 patients and 23 healthy controls measured by d’Alessandro et al reduced heterogeneity to low ($I^2$: 0%) while not affecting the direction of estimate (SMD changed from 1.59 (95% CI 1.12 to 2.05) to 1.25 (95% CI 1.04 to 1.47), online supplemental file 5B). The sensitivity owing to different methodologies reported to quantify the analytes KL-6, Sp-D and IL-8 in peripheral blood (online supplemental file 5C), subgroup analyses were performed according to the different methods and suggested similar effect estimates (online supplemental file 5B and 5D).

Serum Sp-D was reported in six studies, measuring levels within plasma/serum of 154 patients with SSc-ILD and 198 healthy controls. The SMD in SSc-ILD patients compared with healthy controls was 1.91 (95% CI 1.41 to 2.41), with high heterogeneity observed ($I^2$:66%) (figure 3B). Exclusion of the 23 patients and 30 healthy controls measured by Yanaba et al reduced heterogeneity to low ($I^2$: 0%) while not affecting the direction of estimate (SMD changed from 1.91 (95% CI 1.41 to 2.41) to 1.47 (95% CI 1.38 to 2.10), online supplemental file 5E).

IL-8 levels were reported in plasma/serum and BALF. We identified three suitable studies reporting plasma/serum levels of IL-8 in a total of 87 SSc-ILD patients and 124 healthy controls. In addition, another two independent studies of IL-8 in BALF, representing a total of 55 SSc-ILD patients and 13 healthy controls, were identified and included. Overall, the SMD for

---

**Figure 3** 3 Meta-analyses and forest plots of soluble mediators from patients with SSc-ILD compared with healthy controls: (A) KL-6, (B) Sp-D and (C) IL-8. SSc-ILD, systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease.
IL-8 in SSc-ILD compared with healthy controls was 0.88 (95% CI 0.61 to 1.11) with low heterogeneity ($I^2=1\%$). SMD was similar between serum/plasma (0.87; 95% CI 0.43 to 1.30) and BALF estimates (0.75; 95% CI 0.16 to 1.34) in subgroup analysis (figure 3C). To determine IL-8 in BALF, Schmidt et al\(^{11,29}\) used a multiplex method, while no information was given by Meloni et al\(^{29}\) and to 0.67 (95% CI 0.21 to 1.13) with the exclusion of the study by Schmidt et al\(^{29}\) and Meloni et al\(^{11}\) respectively (online supplemental file 6C).

The GO analyses for the enrichment of biological processes (GO:BP, table 1) and protein–protein association network analysis (string analysis, online supplemental file 7) of soluble markers from the meta-analysis and those found to be present in both peripheral blood and BALF identified through this review are involved in pathways strongly related to cytokine and chemokine signalling, emphasising the strong associations with a dysregulated immune response (particularly the innate response) in SSc-ILD (figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Overall, the identified SSc-ILD mediators present in peripheral blood or BALF of patients with SSc-ILD can be grouped into alveolar epithelial proteins, mediators of cytokine and chemokine signalling and ECM remodelling mediators. Meta-analyses were performed to explore the effect of selected mediators on SSc-ILD where multiple studies with quantitative data were identified.

Our systematically synthesised data on soluble markers strongly indicated a significant increase in the overall mean difference of KL-6, SP-D and IL-8 in SSc-ILD, providing robust evidence from independent studies for the utility of these biomarkers in diagnosis, particularly in peripheral blood. Intriguingly, to our knowledge, this review for the first time reports secreted mediators that were differentially expressed in SSc-ILD in studies from both peripheral blood and BALF (CCL2, IL-8, IL-10, HE4, HNP1, CCL2, IL-8, KL-6, SP-D, TNFa).

KL-6 is a mucin-like glycoprotein derived from type 2 alveolar epithelial cell surface\(^{48}\) that regulates expression of collagen and myofibroblast differentiation.\(^{48}\) KL-6 concentration is found to correlate with FVC,\(^{34,35}\) diffusing capacity of lung for carbon monoxide, as well as the extent of lung fibrosis\(^{36,48}\) and disease progression,\(^{37}\) suggesting KL-6 as a potential prognostic biomarker for SSc-ILD. Furthermore, possible cut-off values to discriminate between SSc-ILD and healthy individuals, SSc without ILD and those with SSc who are at greater or lower risk of progression of SSc-ILD have been suggested.\(^{36,38-44}\) Moreover, in patients with SSc-ILD who also showed evidence of PPFE, KL-6 levels may be a useful biomarker of disease progression.\(^{31}\) We estimated a greater level of KL-6 in SSc-ILD compared with healthy controls in meta-analyses of eight studies.

Circulating concentrations of SP-D (and SP-A), secreted by alveolar type 2 cells, are seen to reflect the extent of damage to alveolar-capillary barriers.\(^{41}\) However, SP-A and SP-D in peripheral blood are not specific to SSc-ILD.\(^{42}\) Surfactant proteins and surfactant lipids also have anti-inflammatory effects and surfactant lipids (phosphatidyl choline (PC) and phosphatidyl glycerol (PG)) may protect against fibrogenesis by inducing fibroblast...
Cytokines and chemokines contribute to the dysregulation of tissue repair in SSc-ILD through their interactions with fibroblasts and immune cells; however, the mechanisms underlying the recruitment and activation of fibroblasts in SSc-ILD remain incompletely understood. On an inflammatory stimulus, peripheral blood cells such as macrophages, the airway epithelium and endothelium release IL-8, a well-described chemokine attracting neutrophils to the site of injury. IL-8 is found in both blood and BALF, though concentrations were far higher in BALF. SSc-ILD BALF typically shows an increased number of granulocytes, especially neutrophils. Recently, a higher neutrophil count in patients SSc-ILD has been found to be associated with a worse disease course and higher long-term mortality, suggesting that SSc-ILD lung fibroblasts may be exposed to a different inflammatory milieu than other fibroblasts, which is an important parameter for in vitro models of fibroblasts reflecting SSc-ILD. There is a need for further investigations into the external validity of these mediators in order to support their utility as biomarkers in SSc-ILD management.

Combined biomarker panels, such as the secreted proteins measured in the peripheral blood as evidenced in this meta-analysis, could be useful for diagnostic management of SSc-ILD and effective prognostic practices; however, their combined value requires further research. A similar approach was recently proposed for progressively fibrosing ILD. Due to practical difficulties of repeated BALF, clinically and for patients, markers identified from both sources may have potential as diagnostic, prognostic or severity indicators, particularly when used together with markers for lung involvement and lung function. Such a mediator panel could improve our understanding of the in vivo inflammatory milieu which contributes to the development of fibrotic myofibroblasts in patients with SSc-ILD and could inform more accurate in vitro models for culture and subsequent investigation of the complex interaction of fibrotic myofibroblasts, pulmonary epithelial and immune cells in SSc-ILD. Repeated BAL procedures are not an ideal technique to monitor disease progression due to their invasive nature; however, they may support decision making where ILD needs further confirmation or characterisation. Distinctions and similarities in mediators between lung (BALF) and peripheral blood may help to evaluate organ-specific changes and in research settings.

Our protein-network and GO pathway analyses highlighted the involvement of TNFα, CCL2, IL-8 (CXCL8), IL10 and MMP9 in an inflammatory network while HNP1 (DEFA1) and HE4 (WFDC2) are not identified as part of such network. KL-6 (MUC1) and SP-D (SFTPD) also do not appear to be directly involved in the inflammatory network but are known indicators of severe alveolar barrier disruption when proteins 'leak' out of the airway epithelium during inflammation. Presenting soluble markers and their accompanying pathways suggested that the predominant peripheral blood and BALF mediators studied in SSc-ILD are mainly associated with a dysregulated immune response. Taken together, the identified secreted mediators are involved in various proinflammatory and profibrotic immune responses known to play a significant role in the pathophysiology of SSc-ILD, such as epithelial and fibroblast activation and ECM remodelling, as well as innate and adaptive immune activation.

Many of the identified soluble markers were only represented by a single study indicating a further need for extensive investigations into mediators in fibrotic lung diseases, which would support validation and inclusion in the quantitative synthesis. We found a lack of universal terminology surrounding SSc-ILD. To prevent loss of information, all studies were included in this review regardless of whether patients received immunosuppressants, had undergone treatment or if information about lifestyle was available. The scope of this review was limited to soluble mediators and did not include cells, microRNAs or exhaled nitric oxide. Further, there was a lack of consistency in standardisation of lavage fluid procedures, with the number and volume of aliquots, and the percentage volume recovery varying aptosis and decreasing collagen accumulation. SP-D and SP-A showed significant differences between SSc patients who were IDL positive or negative, and SP-D has been regarded to have more clinical potential. We estimated a greater level of SP-D in SSc-ILD across four studies compared with healthy controls, with low heterogeneity.

...
between studies.24–26 Saline solution used for the bronchoalveolar lavage procedure dilutes the retrieved epithelial lining fluid, but the exact dilution factor and appropriate adjustment of concentrations were not possible and remain a challenge, particularly in evidence synthesis.24–26 Finally, different methodologies were used to determine KL-6 and IL-8 in the reported studies, which may limit comparability across studies, however, we used the SMD to minimise the impact on interpretation of effect size while also confirming minimal difference in subgroup estimates.

CONCLUSIONS

This systematic review of soluble mediators in blood and BALF from patients with SSc-ILD identified a large number of mediators differently expressed compared with healthy controls illustrating the complexity of the interconnected signalling pathways that play a role in the pathogenesis of SSc-ILD. Further investigations present an opportunity for a greater understanding of the fibrotic mechanisms and potential therapeutic interventions in SSc-ILD. However, the value of individual biomarkers, as well as panel combinations, particularly for clinical assessment of SSc-ILD development and disease progression requires additional investigation and validation in large, longitudinal studies with well-characterised SSc-ILD cohorts.
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