Variability in Postoperative Immobilization and Rehabilitation Following Reconstructive Hip Surgery in Nonambulatory Children With Cerebral Palsy
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Background: Despite being a common procedure, there are no standard protocols for postoperative immobilization and rehabilitation following reconstructive hip surgery in children with cerebral palsy (CP). The purpose of this study was to investigate variability in postoperative management and physical therapy (PT) recommendations among orthopaedic surgeons treating hip displacement in children with CP.

Methods: An invitation to participate in an anonymous, online survey was sent to 44 pediatric orthopaedic surgeons. The case of a child undergoing bilateral femoral varus derotation osteotomies and adductor tenotomies was presented. Surgeons were asked to consider their typical practice and the case scenario when answering questions related to immobilization, weight-bearing, and rehabilitation. Recommendations with increasingly complex surgical interventions and different age or level of motor function were also assessed.

Results: Twenty-eight orthopaedic surgeons from 9 countries with a mean 21.3 years (range: 5 to 40 y) of experience completed the survey. Postoperative immobilization was recommended by 86% (24/28) of respondents with 7 different methods of immobilization identified. All but 1 (23/24) reported immobilizing full time. Most (20/23) reported immobilizing for 4 to 6 weeks. Return to weight-bearing varied from 0 to 6 weeks for partial weight-bearing and 0 to 12 weeks for full weight-bearing. PT in the first 1 to 2 weeks post-operatively was recommended by 29% (8/28) of surgeons. PT for range of motion, strengthening, and return to function was recommended by 96% (27/28) of surgeons, starting at a mean of 2.6 weeks postoperatively (range: 0 to 16 wk). Only 48% (13/27) reported all of their patients would receive PT for these goals in their practice setting. Inpatient rehabilitation was available for 75% (21/28) but most surgeons (17/21) reported this was accessed by 20% or fewer of their patients.

Conclusions: Postoperative immobilization and PT recommendations were highly variable among surgeons. This variability may influence surgical outcomes and complication rates and should be considered when evaluating procedures. Further study into the impact of post-operative immobilization and rehabilitation is warranted.
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R econstructive hip surgery for children with cerebral palsy (CP) is an effective treatment for progressive hip displacement.1 Surgery typically includes a proximal femoral varus derotation osteotomy (VDRO) to correct coxa valga and increased femoral anteversion, a pelvic osteotomy (PO) to correct acetabular dysplasia or a combination of both.2 The ideal age and dose of surgical intervention used to treat hip displacement remain unclear. It has been suggested that successful outcomes may be impacted by age at surgery, pre-operative migration percentage (MP), Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) level, and surgeon experience.3–5 The impact of postoperative care on surgical outcomes has been poorly evaluated.

Various methods of postoperative immobilization following reconstructive hip surgery have been described. Historically, the most common method reported in the literature has been a hip spica cast.1,4,6–8 The use of bilateral knee immobilizers with an abduction wedge, abduction brace, and abduction Petrie cast have also been described.3,9,10 A recent review of immobilization following reconstructive hip surgery
enrolled in the Cerebral Palsy Hip Outcomes Project (CHOP), an international multicenter prospective study, found that removable immobilization at the hip alone, which included pillows, abduction wedge, or hip abduction brace, was the most frequently used method of immobilization postreconstructive surgery. The length of immobilization also varies widely, often reported between 2 and 8 weeks. Alternatively, Miller et al have reported using no postoperative immobilization. The impact of different immobilization methods on outcomes is unknown.

Postoperative protocols related to physical therapy (PT) are poorly described in the literature. Some study authors may report children receive PT postoperatively but details, such as frequency and intensity, are rarely provided. In a systematic review of hip osteotomies in children with CP, Bouwhuis et al reported that coinventions, including PT, were comparable between outcome studies despite protocols being minimally described or not reported at all. We are unaware of any studies investigating the impact of postoperative PT on surgical outcomes or return to function.

The purpose of this study was to investigate variability in postoperative immobilization and PT recommendations among orthopaedic surgeons treating hip displacement in nonambulatory children with CP. Given the lack of literature on best practices in postoperative care following reconstructive hip surgery, we hypothesized that there would be significant variability. In addition, we aimed to learn about current practice models and which health care team members were involved in postoperative management.

METHODS

Purposive sampling was used to identify participants. An invitation to complete an anonymous online survey was sent to 44 pediatric orthopaedic surgeons with expertise in the treatment of children with CP. This included surgeons contributing to an existing prospective, multicenter database studying hip outcomes in patients with CP (CHOP, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01987882), and surgeons known to the study authors. Research ethics board approval was received. Participants were advised that, by completing the study, they were consenting to participation. Study data was collected and managed using the secure web application, Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) hosted at BC Children’s Hospital Research Institute.

Participants were asked questions related to their experience, practice setting, and caseload. To further understand the roles of health care team members, surgeons identified which team members were involved in different stages of postoperative care. Two main case scenarios with clinical findings and surgical intervention performed were described. Preoperative and postoperative images were provided. In case 1, a 5-year-old girl with spastic quadriplegia, GMFCS level IV, with preoperative MPs of 77% for the right hip and 73% for the left hip, underwent bilateral VDROs and bilateral adductor tenotomies (Fig. 1). Surgeons were asked to consider their typical practice and the case scenario while answering questions related to immobilization, weight-bearing, and rehabilitation. Subsequently, surgeons were asked how management would
TABLE 1. Surgeon Demographics, Practice Data, and Health Care Team Members

| Health Care Team Members | Years in practice [mean (range)] | Approximate patient visits per year [mean (range)] | Approximate percentage of practice devoted to children with cerebral palsy [mean (range)] |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                          | 21.3 (5-40)                      | 1350 (100-4500)                                   | 58 (20-100)                                                                      |

Country
- United States: 13 (46)
- Canada: 4 (14)
- Sweden: 3 (11)
- UK: 2 (7)
- Australia: 2 (7)
- Denmark: 1 (4)
- India: 1 (4)
- Israel: 1 (4)
- New Zealand: 1 (4)

Hospital setting
- Pediatric specialty hospital: 20 (71)
- Tertiary care hospital (adult and pediatric hospital): 7 (25)
- General hospital (adult and pediatric hospital): 1 (4)

Medical systems
- Publicly funded: 14 (50)
- Mixed public/private: 12 (43)
- Private: 2 (7)

Model of care
- Interdisciplinary: 19 (68)
- Transdisciplinary: 6 (21)
- Multidisciplinary: 3 (11)

Part of the CHOP (Cerebral Palsy Hip Outcomes Project) International Multicentre Study: 21 (75)

TABLE 2. Health Care Team Members Involved During Care

| Health Care Team Members | Acute Postoperative | Discharge Planning | Orthopaedic Follow-up |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Physical therapist       | 22 (79)             | 23 (82)            | 22 (79)               |
| Nurse practitioner       | 17 (61)             | 17 (61)            | 15 (54)               |
| Nurse                    | 15 (54)             | 17 (61)            | 15 (54)               |
| Occupational therapist   | 9 (32)              | 13 (46)            | 11 (39)               |
| Pediatricist             | 7 (25)              | 5 (18)             | 3 (11)                |
| Complex care physician   | 9 (32)              | 5 (18)             | 1 (4)                 |
| Physiatrist              | 4 (14)              | 2 (7)              | 7 (25)                |
| Anesthesiologist         | 18 (64)             | 2 (7)              | 0                     |
| Social worker            | 6 (21)              | 10 (36)            | 7 (25)                |
| Orthotist                | 7 (25)              | 5 (18)             | 17 (61)               |
| Respiriologist           | 5 (18)              | 2 (7)              | 1 (4)                 |
| Neurologist              | 2 (7)               | 1 (4)              | 3 (11)                |

differ if the scenario was altered such that only a unilateral VDRO was completed, the patient was 15 years old, or had different levels of mobility (GMFCS III or V).

A second case was presented and respondents were again asked to identify differences in management. In case 2, a 6-year-old boy at GMFCS level V, with preoperative MPs of 90% for the right hip and 58% for the left hip, had bilateral VDROS plus a PO (Fig. 2). Increasingly complex surgical scenarios (an open reduction and bilateral pelvic osteotomies) were then added to this scenario.

Descriptive statistics were used. Categorical variables were described with frequencies and percentages. Continuous variables were described with means, where appropriate.

RESULTS

The survey was completed by 28 orthopaedic surgeons from 9 countries, for a response rate of 28/44 (64%). Responses related to clinical experience and practice settings are shown in Table 1. Table 2 lists health care team members involved in aspects of postoperative care.

Case 1, 5 Years Old, GMFCS Level IV, Bilateral VDROs

Seven different methods of postoperative immobilization were identified (Table 3). All but 1 surgeon reported using the immobilization full time. The remaining surgeon reported using an abduction wedge in sitting and lying. Length of immobilization, restrictions on the range of motion (ROM), and recommendations for continued splinting/positioning at night or portions of the day are shown in Table 3. Four surgeons reported they would not immobilize postoperatively. One of these reported using postural management to ensure adequate positioning, while 2 reported using knee immobilizers if the knees were prone to rest in flexion.

Most surgeons recommended early mobilization to a wheelchair at postoperative day 0/1 (10/28; 36%), day 2/3 (14/28; 50%), or day 4/5 (2/28; 7%). Two surgeons reported mobilizing to a wheelchair at a much later time (day 30 and 6 wk). Upon discharge home, 79% (22/28) reported the child would be transported in their own car; 21% (6/28) reported the child would be transported via ambulance. When immobilization was removable, 58% (11/19) of surgeons allowed the immobilization to be removed for transport.

Recommendations for return to weight-bearing are shown in Table 3. PT recommendations and availability during the first 1 to 2 weeks following the procedure (before discharge from hospital) and for goals related to ROM, strengthening, and return to function are shown in Table 4. One surgeon who responded ‘sometimes’ recommending PT during the immediate postoperative period noted that PT services were limited so funding was better used after the acute period. Availability and recommendations for inpatient rehabilitation are shown in Table 4.

Six different scenarios were then presented with surgeons only identifying differences in how their management would change from the original case 1 scenario. These differences are summarized in Table 5 and described below.

Case 1, 5 Years Old, GMFCS Level IV, Unilateral VDRO Only

Twelve surgeons (42%) reported they never complete a unilateral VDRO. Only 1 surgeon reported a change in recommendations with a unilateral VDRO with time to full weight-bearing reduced from 4 to 6 to 2 weeks.
Ten surgeons (36%) reported a change in management had the child been 15 years old. Length of immobilization was increased by 2 surgeons. Two reduced immobilization from a hip spica for 4 to 6 weeks to no immobilization and 1 surgeon reported they would now allow the immobilization to come off for transport. Two surgeons increased the time

### TABLE 3. Type and Duration of Immobilization for Case 1 Reported by Surgeons

| Type of Immobilization | No. Surgeons [n (%)] | Full Time Use  | Full Time, off for Transport | Not Full Time  | Length of Immobilization (wk) | Continued Immobilization Recommended |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Bilateral long leg splints, Zimmer splints, and abduction wedge | 9 (32) | 5 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Abduction wedge only | 8 (29) | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| Hip spica cast | 2 (7) | 2 | NA | NA | 1 |
| Petrie or Broomstick cast | 2 (7) | 2 | NA | NA | 1 |
| Bilateral long leg splints, Zimmer splints only (no wedge) | 1 (4) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Bilateral short leg casts with derotation bar and knee immobilizers | 1 (4) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hip abduction orthosis and long leg casts | 1 (4) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| None | 4 (14) | NA | NA | NA | | |

**Range of motion restrictions**

| | Length of restriction (wk) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Hip flexion | Range: 30-90 degrees | 0-1 | 2-3 | 4-5 | 6-7 | 12 |
| Hip adduction | Range: 0-40 degrees abduction | 0-1 | 2-3 | 4-5 | 6-7 | 12 |

**Return to weight-bearing**

| | 0-1 | 2-3 | 4-5 | 6-7 | 8 | 12 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Partial | 7 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Full | 5 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 1 |

NA indicates not applicable.

### Case 1, 15 Years Old, GMFCS Level IV, Bilateral VDROs

Ten surgeons (36%) reported a change in management had the child been 15 years old. Length of immobilization was increased by 2 surgeons. Two reduced immobilization from a hip spica for 4 to 6 weeks to no immobilization and 1 surgeon reported they would now allow the immobilization to come off for transport. Two surgeons increased the time

### TABLE 4. Surgeon Reports of Postoperative PT and Inpatient Rehabilitation Recommendations for Case 1

**% Actually Receiving**

| | Recommend PT immediately post-surgery (before discharge) | Recommend PT for goals such as ROM, strength, and return to function | Access to inpatient rehabilitation | Location of PT within the first 6 mo postoperatively (select all that apply) |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Recommend | Yes 18 (64%) Sometimes 2 (9%) | 27 (96%) | 21 (75%) | Acute care facility: 4 Inpatient rehabilitation facility: 6 Outpatient rehabilitation facility: 16 Within their own home: 11 Private physical therapy clinic: 7 School: 11 |

**Time PT/Inpatient Rehabilitation Recommended to Start Postsurgery (wk)**

| | 0-1 wk | 2-3 wk | 4-5 wk | 6-7 wk |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Recommend PT immediately post-surgery (before discharge) | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | |
| Recommend PT for goals such as ROM, strength, and return to function | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 |

**Frequency/Length of Stay**

| | NA | Daily: 1 | Daily by parent: 4 | 2-3× per week: 14 | 1× per week: 5 | Rarely: 1 | Incomplete response: 4 | <2 wk: 1 | 2-4 wk: 9 | 5-6 wk: 3 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Access to inpatient rehabilitation | Upon discharge from acute care: 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Location of PT within the first 6 mo postoperatively (select all that apply) | Once immobilization discharged: 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Once weight-bearing as tolerated: 3 | | | | | | | | | |

NA indicates not applicable; PT, physical therapy; ROM, range of motion.
TABLE 5. Summary of Changes to Postoperative Recommendations Reported for Different Case Scenarios Compared With the Postoperative Care Recommended for Case 1

| Change to Case | Reported a Change | Increased Immobilization | Decreased Immobilization | Earlier Weight-bearing | Later Weight-bearing | Increased PT | Decreased PT | Increased Inpatient Rehabilitation |
|---------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Case 1, unilateral VDRO | 1 (4) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Case 1, older age (15 y) | 10 (36) | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Case 1, level GMFCS V | 5 (18) | 1 | | 1 |
| Case 1, level GMFCS III | 9 (32) | 1 | 2 | 1 | | 9 | | |
| Case 2 | 5 (18) | 4 | | | |
| Case 2 with open reduction | 10 (36) | 7 | | | |
| Case 2 with bilateral pelvic osteotomies | 3 (11) | 3 | | | |

GMFCS indicates Gross Motor Function Classification System; PT, physical therapy; VDRO, varus derotation osteotomy.

to partial or full weight-bearing, while 1 reduced this time. Four surgeons who previously did not recommend PT recommended it for an older child (3 before hospital discharge; 1 postdischarge). Two surgeons recommended PT at a greater frequency after discharge from the hospital, while 1 would decrease it. One surgeon reported 80% of children at this age, up from 0% at age 5 years, would have inpatient rehabilitation.

Case 1, 5 Years Old, Bilateral VDROs, GMFCS Level III or V

Had the child’s motor function been classified at level V, 18% (5/28) of surgeons reported their management would differ. One surgeon reported they would now use a hip spica. Another surgeon noted they would now recommend PT during the acute period “sometimes” rather than always and 2 surgeons would recommend a decrease in the frequency of posthospital discharge. One surgeon recommended PT start immediately postsurgery rather than at 2 weeks postoperatively.

With a child at GMFCS level III, 32% (9/28) reported a change in recommendations. Two surgeons would no longer use full-time immobilization, with 1 also changing from a broomstick cast to a splint. One of these surgeons noted available daytime would be used for standing, walking, or hydrotherapy. Another surgeon noted they would now use splinting after full-time immobilization for a period of 3 months. All 9 surgeons reported changes in PT recommendations. Surgeons who did not previously recommend PT during the acute period in the hospital now reported they would recommend it always (2 surgeons) or sometimes (1 surgeon). Four reported increasing the recommended frequency of PT posthospital discharge and 3 surgeons recommended starting this PT earlier. One surgeon would reduce the time to full weight-bearing to 2 weeks. Four surgeons noted the percentage of children at GMFCS level III in their practice attending inpatient rehabilitation would increase.

Case 2, 6 Years Old, GMFCS Level V, Bilateral VDROs, Unilateral Pelvic Osteotomy

With this scenario, 5 (18%) surgeons reported a change in recommendations. Four surgeons reported they would now use a hip spica cast. One surgeon reported they would delay return to partial and full weight-bearing from week 0 to 6. One surgeon would now recommend hip flexion be limited to 90 degrees (Fig. 2).

Case 2, 6 Years Old, GMFCS Level V, Bilateral VDROs, Unilateral Pelvic Osteotomy With Open Reduction

With the addition of an open reduction to this scenario, 6 surgeons reported they would utilize a hip spica cast or Broomstick cast instead of bilateral long leg splints (zimmer splints) and abduction wedge or abduction wedge alone. One surgeon reported an abduction brace would be utilized rather than no immobilization. Three surgeons, who reported initiating an early return to partial and full weight-bearing in postoperative weeks 0 or 1 in the original scenario, would delay return to full weight-bearing to 6 weeks; one of these surgeons still reported they would permit early partial weight-bearing at week 0. One surgeon noted in open text comments that open reductions were never done.

Case 2, 6 Years Old, GMFCS Level V, Bilateral VDROs, Bilateral Pelvic Osteotomies

Three surgeons reported they would use a hip spica cast if bilateral pelvic osteotomies were completed. One surgeon reported never performing bilateral pelvic osteotomies.

DISCUSSION

This survey demonstrates high variability in postoperative immobilization and therapy recommendations amongst an international group of surgeons following reconstructive hip surgery in children with CP. The impact of this variability on surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction was not investigated and remains unclear. While some variability in practice is expected, high variability can impact the quality of care, patient safety, and the
value of the care provided.16 Given the lack of consensus on optimal postoperative protocols, the care provided to children with CP following reconstructive hip surgery should be studied further and considered when evaluating surgical outcomes.

Together with improved fixation, the use of abduction pillows or knee immobilizers has become more common.3,11 It’s been suggested that hip spica casting be reserved for children with poor bone quality or those at risk of implant failure.3,7,17 Our findings are consistent with this as surgeons reported they would choose increased immobilization with increasing patient complexity, including surgery in children at GMFCS level V, bilateral surgery, and an open reduction. The use of a hip spica cast increased from 7% in case 1 to 21% in case 2 with the addition of a PO and/or an open reduction. Given the significant variability and lack of evidence on best practice for postoperative immobilization, patient and parent experience with no, or different, methods of immobilization should be evaluated. We are unaware of any literature investigating parent preference regarding different postoperative immobilization methods or factors such as postoperative pain control, ease of care, or return to function. Miller et al12 reported that 6 parents with children who had previously had casts preferred immediate mobilization following surgery, and that floor nurses found children who received no postoperative immobilization were more uncomfortable and more difficult to handle in the first 48 to 72 hours than when a cast was used. One surgeon commented in the survey responses that, “it is the surgeon’s responsibility to have a stable fixation for a VDRO and PO and to have no restrictions for the child and family.” This assumes no immobilization is the family preference but further evaluation of this is required. Study of patient-important outcomes, such as pain control, ease of care, and return to function, with different types of immobilization is warranted.

All surgeons described team-based models of care (Table 2). Approximately 80% of respondents reported PTs were involved in acute postoperative management, discharge planning, and orthopaedic follow-up visits. During acute postoperative management, anesthesiology involvement, presumably for pain control, was common. At orthopaedic follow-up visits, an orthotist and a nurse and/or nurse practitioner were also often involved. These results suggest that pain relief, immobilization, and therapy are important in postoperative care.

Almost all surgeons reported they recommended PT for goals related to ROM, strengthening, and return to function. However, there was a wide range in when PT was initiated (0 to 16 wk) and in frequency (rarely to daily). Of concern, less than half of respondents who recommended PT reported that all of their patients would receive PT for these goals. Similarly, there was high variability for return to weight-bearing with recommendations ranging between 0 and 6 weeks for partial weight-bearing and 0 to 12 weeks for full weight-bearing. The impact of time to weight-bearing on return to function is unknown. While inpatient rehabilitation was often available most surgeons reported this was accessed by 20% or fewer of their patients. Children with greater independent baseline mobility (GMFCS level III) were more likely to have an inpatient rehabilitation stay.

This study did not explore the type of therapy or intensity of intervention recommended or provided. These details are not available in the literature. Future studies should report postoperative therapy interventions to allow for comparison. Surgeons responding to this survey may not have been able to report what PT is provided to their patients and, therefore, the inclusion of physical therapists in future research in this area is advisable. In addition, the experiences of therapists, children, and families may provide valuable insights into the benefits and challenges associated with different postoperative protocols, including such factors as the timing of returning to weight-bearing. Determining optimal PT protocols utilizing patient-important outcomes is a key part of optimizing value in the care provided.16

As part of the CHOP, Kulkarni et al11 reported postoperative immobilization used in actual practice but that review compared a heterogenous patient population undergoing different reconstructive procedures with varying levels of complexity. The use of case scenarios in our survey allowed for direct comparison of responses and allowed surgeons to provide details related to their practice choices. This survey also collected additional information not collected in CHOP related to decision-making, access to rehabilitation, and postoperative PT. Furthermore, this study permitted the involvement of additional surgeons, almost all were from developed countries, and surgeons from low-income countries are not represented. The inclusion of these surgeons may further increase variability.

This study has limitations. The reason for the variability in postoperative care was not explored. Factors such as historical treatment practices, method of surgical fixation, surgeon preferences, access to funding, and available resources may influence how children are treated. We asked surgeons to recall their typical practice rather than prospectively collecting data on actual cases. This may lead to the generalization of reporting. Surgeons may customize their postoperative care to an individual child based on bone health, surgical fixation, and rate of healing. In addition, postoperative care may depend on environmental factors such as the distance required to travel or the home environment. Finally, this study focused mostly on the postoperative care of nonambulatory children with CP.

Miller et al
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CONCLUSIONS

The findings of this survey demonstrate that among experienced CP surgeons, there is wide variation in the method of postoperative immobilization and regimes of PT following reconstructive hip surgery for children with CP. To improve the quality and value of the care provided and maximize patient safety, evaluation of the impact of different postoperative protocols to optimize patient outcomes and satisfaction is required. This evidence could be generated in clinical trials or large-scale prospective multi-centered observational studies such as the CHOP.
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