Comparative host protein interactions with HTLV-1 p30 and HTLV-2 p28: insights into difference in pathobiology of human retroviruses
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Abstract

**Background:** Human T lymphotrophic virus type-1 (HTLV-1) and type 2 (HTLV-2) are closely related human retroviruses, but have unique disease associations. HTLV-1 is the causative agent of an aggressive T-cell leukemia known as adult T-cell leukemia (ATL), HTLV-1 associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP), and other inflammatory diseases. HTLV-2 infection has not been clearly associated with any disease condition. Although both viruses can transform T cells *in vitro*, the HTLV-1 provirus is mainly detected in CD4+ T cells whereas HTLV-2 is mainly detected in CD8+ T cells of infected individuals. HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 encode accessory proteins p30 and p28, respectively, which share partial amino acid homology and are required for viral persistence *in vivo*. The goal of this study was to identify host proteins interacting with p30 and p28 in order to understand their role in pathogenesis.

**Results:** Affinity-tag purification coupled with mass spectrometric (MS) analyses revealed 42 and 22 potential interacting cellular partners of p30 and p28, respectively. Of these, only three cellular proteins, protein arginine methyltransferase 5 (PRMT5), hnRNP K and 60 S ribosomal protein L8 were detected in both p30 and p28 fractions. To validate the proteomic results, four interacting proteins were selected for further analyses using immunoblot assays. In full agreement with the MS analysis two cellular proteins, protein arginine methyltransferase 5 (PRMT5), hnRNP K and 60 S ribosomal protein L8 were detected in both p30 and p28 fractions.

**Conclusion:** The comparisons of p30 and p28 host protein interaction proteome showed striking differences with some degree of overlap. PRMT5, one of the host proteins that interacted with both p30 and p28 differentially affected HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 viral gene expression suggesting that PRMT5 is involved at different stages of HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 biology. These findings suggest that distinct host protein interaction profiles of p30 and p28 could, in part, be responsible for differences in HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 pathobiology. This study provides new avenues of investigation into mechanisms of viral infection, tropism and persistence.
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Background

Human T lymphototropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) and type 2 (HTLV-2) are complex deltaretroviruses that are closely related with approximately 70% nucleotide sequence similarity [1]. HTLV-1 was the first retrovirus linked to human malignancy [2,3]. HTLV-1 infection causes adult T-cell leukemia (ATL) in approximately 1–5% of infected individuals [7]. In addition, HTLV-1 infection has also been associated with a neurodegenerative disease, HTLV-1 associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP) and other immune-mediated inflammatory diseases [8]. Despite being a closely related retrovirus, HTLV-2 unlike HTLV-1, has no clear disease association with the exception of a few cases of HAM/TSP-like neurodegenerative disease [9]. HTLV-2 shows selective tropism towards CD8+ T cells, both in vitro and in vivo [10-13]. Furthermore, although both viruses are capable of transforming T cells in vitro, it is intriguing that they exhibit sharply distinct target cell and transformation tropisms, and only HTLV-1 is associated with malignancy and chronic inflammatory conditions [14,15].

The genome organization of the HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 provirus is very similar with 5' and 3' LTRs. Both viruses encode regulatory and accessory proteins apart from the typical structural and enzymatic proteins Gag, Pol and Env. Two key regulatory gene products are Tax-1/Tax-2 and Rex-1/Rex-2 corresponding to HTLV-1/HTLV-2, respectively.

The Tax proteins encoded by both viruses are considered the primary oncoproteins [16,17] required for T cell transformation. However, Tax is not sufficient for the malignant process; additional proteins encoded by the virus are suggested to play a role as well [18]. For instance, HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 encode HZB and APH-2 proteins from the antisense strand of the proviral genome respectively [19,20], and growing evidence indicates a role for HZB in the transformation process [21].

HTLV-1 p30 and HTLV-2 p28 are accessory proteins involved in the regulation of viral replication and persistence and could therefore affect the pathogenic outcome [22-27]. Both proteins are encoded by the corresponding viruses from a doubly spliced mRNA from the ORFII of the pX region and are dispensable for in vitro viral infection and T cell transformation. Interestingly, both p30 and p28 are required for the establishment of viral persistence in the rabbit model, underlining the importance of these proteins in vivo [25,27,28]. In addition, p30 has been shown to be required for infection of dendritic cells and non-human primates [26]. Both p30 and p28 are nuclear/nucleolar localizing proteins [29,30]. The nucleolar retention of p30 is linked to RNA transcription and binding to the 60 S ribosomal subunit [31]. Both p30 and p28 are post-transcriptional negative regulators of viral gene transcription that act by retaining tax/rex mRNA in the nucleus [22,30]. However, evidence indicates that p30 also regulates viral gene expression at a transcriptional level by competing with Tax for binding to CBP/p300 [23]. In addition, p30 and Rex may be a part of the nuclear retention mechanism by forming a ribonucleoprotein complex with tax/rex mRNA [32].

Microarray studies and genome-wide screens have shown that p30 differentially modulates cellular gene expression [33,34]. Expression of p30 activates the G2/M cell cycle checkpoint to promote cell survival, and delays entry into S-phase [35,36]. Under genotoxic stress, p30 promotes cell survival by binding and modulating levels of ATM possibly through binding to REGγ [37]. The ability of p30 to bind to the Myc-Tip60 complex and to also promote non-homologous end joining DNA repair support its role in cellular transformation [38,39].

Comparative studies of host protein interactions with HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 proteins have been largely focused on Tax-1 and Tax-2 [18,40-42]. Studies comparing host protein interactions of HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 accessory proteins have not been performed. Herein, we compared the cellular interacting protein profiles of p30 and p28 to better understand their roles in viral infection, persistence and cellular transformation. To this end, we used affinity tag purification of p30 and p28 coupled to mass spectrometry to identify potential interacting cellular proteins. We have confirmed the interaction of p30 with REGγ and identified a new p30 binding partner, NEAF-interacting protein 30 (NIP30). These cellular proteins copurified with p30 and not p28. In contrast, heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H1 (hnRNP H1) interacted with p28 and not p30. Our data also reveal that arginine methyl transferase 5 (PRMT5) can interact with both p30 and p28. Knockdown studies of PRMT5 have indicated that this protein is important for effective gene expression of HTLV-2 and not HTLV-1. Our data provide new insights into the comparable host cell protein interactions used by these closely related human retroviruses.

Results

Host protein interaction profiles of HTLV-1 p30 and HTLV-2 p28

In order to sample the p30 and p28 cellular proteome, we employed S-tag affinity purification [43] of ectopically expressed HTLV-1 p30 and HTLV-2 p28 in 293T cells. An amino terminal S-tag and a HA and AU1 tag on the carboxy terminus were added to a CMV driven pTriEx4-Neo plasmid. S-tag affinity pull-down was performed on the lysates of cells, transfected with either empty vector (mock control) or p30 or p28, using S-beads. We analyzed p30- and p28-associated
# Table 1 HTLV-1 p30-interacting host proteins

| Name of the protein                                      | Molecular function                          | Unweighted spectrum count | % coverage |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Proteasome activator complex subunit                     | Cell cycle and protein degradation          | 21                         | 54         |
| Heat shock protein 90 beta                              | Protein folding                             | 9                          | 14         |
| Heat shock protein 90 alpha                              | Protein folding                             | 7                          | 8.2        |
| Methylosome subunit plClIn                               | Methylosome component                       | 5                          | 37         |
| Reticulocalbin-2                                         | Calcium binding                             | 5                          | 20         |
| Succinate dehydrogenase [ubiquinone] flavoprotein subunit| Electron transport                          | 5                          | 9.2        |
| Elongation factor Tu                                     | Translation                                 | 5                          | 17         |
| Clathrin heavy chain 1                                   | Vesicular transport                         | 5                          | 5.4        |
| Protein arginine N-methyltransferase 5                   | Methyltransferase activity                  | 4                          | 16         |
| Coflin-1                                                 | Cytoskeleton organization                   | 4                          | 27         |
| Malate dehydrogenase                                     | Citric acid cycle                           | 4                          | 14         |
| Protein phosphatase 1 G                                   | Phosphatase activity                        | 4                          | 11         |
| Importin-5                                               | Nuclear import                              | 3                          | 3          |
| Ubiquitin                                                | Post translation modification               | 3                          | 17.4       |
| 14–3–3 protein zeta/delta                                | Signaling pathways                          | 3                          | 17         |
| Complement component 1 Q subcomponent-binding protein    | Immune response                             | 3                          | 25         |
| L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain                          | Glycolysis                                  | 3                          | 13         |
| Methylosome protein 50                                   | Methylosome component                       | 2                          | 7.6        |
| NEFA-interacting nuclear protein NIP30                   | Unknown                                     | 2                          | 9.8        |
| Fatty acid synthase                                       | Fatty acid metabolism                       | 2                          | 0.68       |
| Transgelin-2                                              | Predicted muscle development                | 2                          | 15         |
| Alpha-1-antiproteinase                                    | Serine protease inhibitor                   | 2                          | 7.7        |
| DNAJ homolog subfamily A member 1                        | Chaperon activity                           | 2                          | 13         |
| Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 L3                       | Ubiquitination activity                     | 2                          | 24         |
| F-box only protein 22                                     | Ubiquitination activity                     | 2                          | 6.2        |
| Phosphoglycerate mutase 1                                 | Glycolysis                                  | 2                          | 13         |
| Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase                       | Protein folding                             | 2                          | 11         |
| 60 S ribosomal protein L3                                 | Component of ribosome                       | 2                          | 8.7        |
| 60 S ribosomal protein L8                                 | Component of ribosome                       | 2                          | 16         |
| Hydroxacyl-coenzyme A dehydrogenase                      | Fatty acid metabolism                       | 1                          | 9.6        |
| Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 1                     | Predicted nucleosome assembly               | 1                          | 7.4        |
| Arginyl-tRNA synthetase                                   | Arginine tRNA ligation                      | 1                          | 2          |
| Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase                             | Glycolysis                                  | 1                          | 2.7        |
| Apoptosis-inducing factor 1                              | Apoptosis                                   | 1                          | 1.8        |
| Mesencephalic astrocyte-derived neurotrophic factor       | Neuronal growth factor                      | 1                          | 6.1        |
| Inorganic pyrophosphatase 2                               | Inorganic phosphatase activity              | 1                          | 4.8        |
| Tetratricopeptide repeat protein 4                       | Predicted binding activity                  | 1                          | 2.6        |
| Myosin-9                                                  | Cytoskeletal organization                   | 1                          | 0.82       |
| Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K                | mRNA processing                             | 1                          | 2.6        |
| 14–3–3 protein epsilon                                   | Signaling pathways                          | 1                          | 11         |
| Profilin-1                                                | Cytoskeletal organization                   | 1                          | 10         |
| 60 S ribosomal protein L30                                | Component of ribosome                       | 1                          | 10         |

List of host proteins identified by shotgun proteomics that co-purified with p30 after eliminating non-specific and contaminating proteins. The molecular processes in which these proteins participate are indicated. The numbers of unweighted spectrum counts and the percentage of coverage of each protein are shown.
proteins using shotgun proteomics. The proteins that were unique to p30 and p28 purification fractions after subtracting the mock control proteins were considered as their potential interacting partners. The data were further refined by eliminating contaminants and highly abundant proteins, such as keratin, that were detected in controls. Duplicate experiments resulted in the identification of 42 and 22 potential interacting partners of p30 and p28, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). Of these, only three cellular proteins, PRMT5, hnRNP K and 60 S ribosomal protein L8 were detected in both p30 and p28 fractions. In order to validate the results of mass spectrometry-based proteomic experiments, we selected the following four cellular proteins for immunoblotting assays: two proteins REGγ and NEFA-interacting nuclear protein NIP30 (NIP30), which were exclusively found in p30 fractions (Table 1); heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H1 (hnRNP H1) that purified with p28 and not with p30; and protein arginine methylate transferase 5 (PRMT5), which was found in both p30 and p28 fractions (Tables 1 and 2). An additional negative control of amino terminal S-tag GFP (S-GFP) expressed from the same expression vector was also tested in the immunoblotting assays.

REGγ and NIP30 interact with p30 and not with p28
We previously identified the interaction of p30 and REGγ using proteomic and molecular biology techniques [37]. However, the interaction of p28 and REGγ was not investigated previously. The proteomic data reported here indicate that REGγ selectively interacts with p30 and not with p28 (Tables 1 and 2). Similarly, we detected NIP30 in p30 (Table 1) and not in p28 (Table 2) fractions. To further evaluate these observations, 293T cells were transfected with mock, S-GFP, S-p30-HA and S-p28-HA. The cell lysates were subjected to S-tag affinity purification and immunoblotted with anti-REGγ and NIP30 antibodies. As shown in the Figure 1A, co-purification of REGγ and NIP30 with p30 and not p28 indicates the specific interaction of these proteins with p30. The expression and S-tag enrichment of p28 and p30 was tested by immunoblotting with anti-HA antibodies, whereas the expression and S-tag enrichment of GFP was evaluated with anti-GFP antibodies (Figure 1A).

Table 2 HTLV-2 p28-interacting host proteins

| Name of the protein                                      | Molecular function                        | Unweighted spectrum count | % coverage |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H1               | mRNA processing                          | 8                         | 4.3        |
| Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K                | mRNA processing                          | 6                         | 5.2        |
| Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 6 catalytic subunit | Protein phosphatase                       | 5                         | 8.9        |
| Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 6 regulatory subunit 3 | Phosphatase regulator                   | 3                         | 5.1        |
| Protein arginine methyl transferase 5                    | Arginine methylation                      | 3                         | 6.4        |
| Poly (A) binding protein 1 or 4                          | Poly (A) RNA binding                     | 3                         | 12         |
| Inorganic pyrophosphatase                                | Inorganic diphosphatase activity         | 2                         | 9          |
| Peroxiredoxin-2                                          | Antioxidant                              | 2                         | 15         |
| 60 S ribosomal protein L29                                | Component of ribosome                    | 2                         | 9.3        |
| 60 S ribosomal protein L8                                 | Component of ribosome                    | 2                         | 5.2        |
| Nucleolin                                                | RNA,DNA and nucleotide binding           | 1                         | 1.4        |
| Glutathione S-transferase P                              | Glutathione transferase activity         | 1                         | 13         |
| Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 2A regulatory subunit B | Phosphatase regulator                   | 1                         | 3.3        |
| Nascent polypeptide-associated complex subunit alpha-2    | Protein transport                        | 1                         | 7          |
| Cyclic nucleotide gated channel 3                        | Predicted ion channel activity           | 1                         | 1.7        |
| Obg-like ATPase 1                                         | Predicted ATP hydrolysis                 | 1                         | 3.8        |
| Elongation factor Tu (Mitochondrial)                      | Translation elongation                   | 1                         | 2.9        |
| Triosephosphate isomerase                                 | Phosphatase isomerase                    | 1                         | 9.6        |
| Heparan sulfate proteoglycan 2                           | Predicted extracellular matrix binding    | 1                         | 0.34       |
| Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein F                 | RNA processing                           | 1                         | 4.1        |
| 40 S ribosomal protein 58                                 | Component of ribosome                    | 1                         | 5.4        |
| Chaperonin 10                                             | Protein folding                          | 1                         | 11         |

List of host proteins identified by shotgun proteomics that co-purified with p28 after eliminating non-specific and contaminating proteins. The molecular processes in which these proteins are involved are mentioned next to the proteins. The number of unweighted spectrum counts and percentage coverage of each protein are indicated.
The interaction of p30 with REGγ was further confirmed by immunoprecipitating REGγ from lysates of cells transfected with S-p30-HA and S-p28-HA and immunoblotted with anti-HA antibody. REGγ was able to co-immunoprecipitate p30 but not p28 (Figure 1B). These results are fully consistent with our proteomic data (Tables 1 and 2). Similarly, we confirmed the interaction of NIP30 with p30 by immunoprecipitating NIP30 from S-p30-HA and S-p28-HA transfected cell lysates. The results shown in Figure 1C indicate that NIP30 can selectively co-immunoprecipitate p30 but not p28. Immunoblotting of the cell lysate with anti-REGγ, anti-NIP30 and anti-HA antibodies confirmed the expression of endogenous REGγ and NIP30 along with transfected p30 and p28 (Figure 1B, C). To evaluate the functional significance of these interactions we knocked down REGγ and NIP30 using siRNA, then transfected HTLV-1 molecular proviral clone plasmid, and measured p24 production. We observed that reduced levels of REGγ and NIP30 had no effect on p24 levels (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

p28 exclusively interacts with hnRNP H1
To confirm the interaction of p28 with hnRNP H1, lysates from cells with transient expression of mock, S-GFP, S-p30-HA and S-p28-HA were S-tag affinity purified and immunoblotted with anti-hnRNP H1 antibody. The presence of hnRNP H1 only in the p28 purification and not in GFP or p30 purifications (Figure 2A)
indicates that hnRNP H1 specifically binds to p28. Immunoblotting with anti-GFP and anti-HA to evaluate the expression and affinity purification of transfected GFP, p30 and p28 is shown in Figure 1A. In order to further confirm the interaction between p28 and hnRNP H1, lysates from cells transfected with S-p30-HA and S-p28-HA were immunoprecipitated with anti-hnRNP H1 antibodies and probed with anti-HA antibodies. Selective co-immunoprecipitation of p28 and not p30 by hnRNP H1 (Figure 2B) indicates that only p28 interacts with hnRNP H1.

**p30 and p28 interact with PRMT5**

The mass spectrometry-based proteomic data indicate that both p30 and p28 interact with PRMT5. It should be noted that these experiments were conducted using S-tag affinity purification of lysates of 293T cells transfected with mock, S-GFP, S-p30-HA or S-p28-HA. While it has been reported [44] that PRMT5 can nonspecifically associate with Flag-beads, our data show that with the S-tag affinity pull-down approach PRMT5 was detected in p30 and p28 fractions but not with mock or GFP purification. In order to confirm this interaction, S-tag affinity purification was performed on cell lysates from empty, S-GFP, S-p30-HA or S-p28-HA transfected 293T cells and analyzed by Western blotting using PRMT5 antibody. The ability of both p30 and p28 to co-purify PRMT5 (Figure 3) indicates that PRMT5 interacts with both p30 and p28. Immunoblots (Figure 1A) probed with anti-GFP and anti-HA antibodies confirmed the expression and S-tag purification of GFP, p30 and p28. To further validate the interaction of PRMT5 with p30 and p28, Flag tagged PRMT5 (Flag-PRMT5) was cotransfected with p30 or p28. Immunoprecipitation of Flag-PRMT5 using anti-Flag antibodies was able to co-immunoprecipitate p30 (Figure 3B). However, Flag-immunoprecipitation of Flag-PRMT5 was not able to co-immunoprecipitate p28 (Figure 3B). It is possible that the structural features of p28 allow the detection of PRMT5 binding only by S-tag affinity purification and not by immunoprecipitation. Looking at p30 proteomics data, it is also possible that interaction of p30 with PRMT5 binding proteins such as methylsome subunit pICln and methylsome 50 facilitate co-immunoprecipitation of p30 with PRMT5. The expression of Flag-PRMT5, p30 and p28 was confirmed using anti-Flag and anti-HA antibodies (Figure 3B).

**PRMT5 is required for HTLV-2 gene expression**

To investigate a potential role of PRMT5 in HTLV biology, we downregulated the protein levels in 293T cells using the shRNA approach. Cells were then transfected with either HTLV-1 or HTLV-2 molecular clone plasmids, and viral gene expression was measured by immunoblotting for intracellular p24 and by ELISA for p19 production. The levels of PRMT5 had little effect on HTLV-1 gene expression in terms of intracellular p24 and p19 production as shown in Figure 4A. However, lower levels of PRMT5 resulted in a significant reduction in intracellular HTLV-2 p24 (Figure 4B). Consistent with this result HTLV-2 p19 measured by ELISA was also reduced 3 fold in PRMT5 knockdown cells (Figure 4B). These results indicate that PRMT5 plays a role in HTLV-2 gene expression, but has no measurable effect on HTLV-1 gene expression.

**Discussion**

HTLV-1 and -2 are closely related human retroviruses with HTLV-1 being pathogenic whereas a clear correlation between HTLV-2 and pathogenesis has not been established. HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 have different pathological outcomes that cannot be attributed to a single viral protein, but are likely due to the contribution of viral proteins and their interactions with the host cellular machinery. Both viruses encode accessory proteins that are required for viral persistence in vivo. We hypothesize that differences in viral infection, tropism and pathological outcome may result in part from how these accessory proteins interact with host proteins. Recently host-pathogen interactome study comparing HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 was reported to propose novel
hypothesis to explain differential pathobiology of these closely related viruses [45]. However, in this study yeast-two hybrid screening did not result in any p30 or p28 interacting proteins. In our study we conjugated over expression and mass spectrometry to compare the host protein interaction proteome of HTLV-1 p30 and HTLV-2 p28 accessory proteins. There is approximately 77% homology between the last and first 49 amino acids of p30 and p28, respectively, whereas the remaining amino acid sequences of p30 and p28 differ markedly. Therefore, it is logical to propose that these two viral proteins may exhibit some similarities and differences in their interactions with cellular proteins.

We adapted S-tag purification of p30 and p28 and combined it with mass spectrometry to identify potential host protein interactions. The approach yielded the list of proteins (Table 1 and 2), with the number of host proteins interacting with p30 being higher than p28. These findings are consistent with the observation that p30 is a multifunctional protein regulating transcription and post transcriptional gene expression, cell cycle/cell survival and DNA damage [35-39,46]. In contrast, p28 has only been implicated in post transcriptional gene regulation [30]. However, both proteins are important for high proviral loads and persistence in the rabbit model.

Detailed analysis of differences and similarities between p30 and p28 host protein-interacting profiles was performed in this study. For the analysis of host proteins that interact with p30, we combined the proteins identified in this study (Table 1) and previously identified proteins (Table 3). We then analyzed the molecular processes in which these interacting proteins are directly or indirectly involved. The graphical representation of molecular processes in which the host proteins interact with p30 (Figure 5A) and p28 (Figure 6A) suggests that p30 is involved in a wider variety of molecular processes than p28. The p30 pie graph (Figure 5A) indicates that 32% of p30 interacting proteins are involved in protein processes such as post translational modification (phosphorylation, ubiquitination and methylation), folding, and transport. Proteins involved in DNA damage repair and cell cycle constitute 14%, whereas proteins that are involved in energy metabolism contributed to 14% of the p30 interactome. Transcriptional proteins accounted for 4%, and only 2% of the proteins are involved in mRNA processing.

The distribution of p28 interacting processes from Table 3 resulted in a lower number of molecular processes. The major molecular processes (23%) are RNA processing, while 27% of the proteins are involved in regulation of protein phosphorylation, folding and transport. Translation contributes to about 10 and 18% of the p30 and p28 interactome, respectively. Binding of both p30 and p28 to phosphatases suggests that both proteins might be phosphorylated or modulate the phosphorylation status of their binding partners. The ability of p30 to interact with ubiquitin and with proteins having ubiquitin conjugation activity suggests that p30

| Name of the protein                              | Molecular function                      | Reference |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------|
| Histone acetyltransferase p30                     | Transcription factor                    | [23,47]   |
| 60 S ribosomal protein L18a                       | Ribosomal component                     | [31]      |
| Tat interacting protein 60 (TIP60)                | Transcription and DNA damage repair     | [38]      |
| Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM)               | DNA damage repair                       | [37]      |
| Nijmegen breakage syndrome protein 1 (NBS1)      | DNA damage repair                       | [39]      |
| RAD 50                                           | DNA damage repair                       | [39]      |
| Cyclin E                                         | Cell cycle                              | [36]      |
| Cyclin dependent kinase 2 (CDK2)                 | Cell cycle                              | [36]      |

List of host proteins that were previously identified. The molecular processes in which these proteins are involved are indicated. The references to the studies that identified these interactions are also indicated.
might be ubiquitinated. p30 is involved in DNA damage repair mechanisms, and regulation of phosphorylation and ubiquitination play a critical role in DNA damage repair. A large number of p30-interacting proteins are involved in energy metabolism like electron transport, glycolysis and fatty acid synthesis, and further investigation should be carried out to understand the functional significance of these interactions.

Furthermore, we evaluated indirect involvement of p30 and p28 interacting host proteins in various cellular processes using Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (Ingenuity Systems, www.ingenuity.com). The analysis of all proteins that interact with p30 from Table 1 and with previously known p30-interacting proteins (Table 3) yielded three networks with high scores. The first network (Figure 5B) suggests significant involvement of p30-

Figure 5 Analysis of p30 interacting host proteins. A) Functional distribution of HTLV-1 p30-interacting proteins summarized in the pie chart graph as percentages. B), C) and D) Ingenuity pathways analysis results in three network pathways with broad cellular functions with the involvement of p30-interacting proteins. The proteins that were identified in this study to interact with p30 are indicated in bold, and previously identified p30-interacting proteins are indicated in bold italics. The interaction between two proteins is indicated with a straight line; arrows indicate action upon in the direction of the arrow; and dashed lines indicate indirect interactions.
interacting proteins with DNA recombination, repair, cell cycle and cancer with pathways clustering around ATM, cyclin E, cyclin A and CDK2. It is consistent with various studies indicating the role of p30 in DNA damage repair, cell survival and cell cycle alteration. The second network (Figure 5C) revealed the influence of p30-interacting proteins on cancer, gastrointestinal disease and drug metabolism. The major convergence of the pathway was on ERK 1/2, Hsp 90 and 14–3–3 family proteins (YWHAZ). The last pathway (Figure 5D) is involved in neurological disease, developmental and hereditary disorders with central proteins being UBC, p38 MAPK and Akt, which are involved in various pathological conditions.

Figure 6 Analysis of p28 interacting host proteins. A) Functional distribution of HTLV-2 p28-interacting proteins summarized in the pie chart graph as percentages. B) and C) Ingenuity pathways analysis results in two network pathways with broad cellular functions with the involvement of p28-interacting proteins. The proteins that were identified in this study to interact with p28 are indicated in bold. The interaction between two proteins is indicated with a straight line; arrows indicate action upon in the direction of the arrow; and dashed lines indicate indirect interactions.
Similar analysis was performed using the list of p28-interacting proteins (Table 3) that resulted in two network pathways with high scores. The first pathway (Figure 6B) with histone proteins and hnRNP K at the center of the pathway suggested the involvement of p28-interacting proteins in RNA post-transcriptional modification, amino acid metabolism and post translational modifications. The second pathway (Figure 6C) suggested the involvement of p28-interacting protein in neurological disease, skeletal muscle and development disorders. The central molecules of the second pathway were UBC and TNF, which are important for protein regulation and immune responses, respectively. The analysis suggests that p30 influences various pathways involved in processes such as cell cycle regulation, cell signaling and cancer biology. Interestingly, these pathways are also modulated by Tax and/or HBZ oncoproteins during cellular transformation. On the other hand, p28 has limited involvement in the kinds of cellular process that facilitate transformation.

We previously reported that p30 interacts with REGγ [37], which binds and activates the 20 S proteasome [48]. While REGγ targets a number of cellular proteins to proteasome degradation [49-52], we have shown that the levels of p30 correlate with the levels of REGγ indicating that p30 is not targeted to degradation via its interaction with REGγ [37]. We propose that the cell survival effect of p30 under naive and genotoxic conditions is likely to be mediated through its interaction with REGγ to allow the viral infected cell to proliferate. Furthermore, our previous studies suggest that p30, ATM and REGγ are part of a multiprotein complex, and reduced levels of ATM under genotoxic stress might be due to REGγ binding to promote cell survival [37]. siRNA knockdown of REGγ had no effect on HTLV-1 viral gene expression (Additional file 1: Figure S1A) suggesting that interaction of p30 with REGγ could play a role at other stages of the virus life cycle such as viral spread. In contrast with p30, p28 does not interact with REGγ. This difference between p30 and p28 could have possible long term effects during latency and clonal expansion of infected cells leading to the fully transformed or malignant state.

Another cellular protein NIP30, which selectively interacted with p30 and not with p28, is predicted to bind the DNA binding/EF hand/Leucine zipper protein (NEFA), NEFA was initially thought to bind DNA; however, it has been shown to be a Golgi localized calcium-binding protein [53,54]. The existence of NIP30 has been confirmed at the protein level and was initially identified by cDNA screens. It is localized to the nucleus, which is also the cellular compartment of p30 [55]. The biological role of NIP30 is not yet clear; however, based on its NEFA interaction it might play a role in calcium homeostasis. As calcium plays a crucial role in the immune response, the binding of p30 to NIP30 might influence the immune response to facilitate viral infection or replication [56]. Similarly to REGγ, NIP30 siRNA knockdowns had no effect on HTLV-1 viral gene expression (Additional file 1: Figure S1B) suggesting that NIP30 might be involved in viral biology other than gene expression.

Although both p30 and p28 specifically bind tax/rex mRNA (potentially at exon 2/exon 3 splice junction) and retain it in the nucleus and thus regulate viral gene expression at the post transcriptional level, our findings in Figure 2 reveal that p28 and not p30 interacts with hnRNP H1, which is a part of spliceosome and involved in regulating splicing of mRNAs [57]. At the same time, the proteomics data show that both p30 and p28 bind to another host protein, hnRNP F, which is not only involved in mRNA processing but also has the ability to bind DNA [58,59]. Thus there is some degree of convergence and divergence in the mechanisms of action of p30 and p28. The processing of mRNA plays a critical role in viral infection and spread. The data suggest that p28 is more closely associated with mRNA processing compared to p30. However, both proteins interact and retain tax/rex mRNA in the nucleus as a part of the spliceosome to regulate viral gene expression. Further studies should provide insights into the mechanism of p30 and p28 function and avenues to develop novel therapeutic targets.

Both p30 and p28 interact with PRMT5, a type II PRMT enzyme that symmetrically dimethylates arginines [60]. The interaction of PRMT5 is of particular interest because it is up-regulated in B cell leukemia [61]. In the nucleus, PRMT5 is recruited to various transcription repressor complexes and also methylates histones H2A, H4R3 and H3R8 [62-65]. The methylation preference of PRMT5 is shifted to H4R3 upon binding to cooperator of PRMT5 (COPR5) [66]. A correlation between symmetrical methylation of H4R3 and H4R8 and transcription repression indicates the role of PRTM5 in transcription repression. PRMT5 also promotes cell survival via regulating p53 expression [67]. Upon DNA damage PRMT5 is known to methylate p53 through Strap co-factor to affect gene specificity of p53 [68]. In addition, PRMT5 functions in spliceosome formation and mRNA processing. PRMT5 is required for proper methylation of the mammalian cleavage factor 1 (mCF1), which is responsible for RNA 3′end processing [69]. PRMT5 is also required for Sm U snRNP methylation, which is important for in vivo for SMN complex formation to join proteins and RNA [70].

To investigate the possible role of PRMT5 interaction with p30 in transcriptional regulation, we performed PRMT5 knockdowns. HTLV-1 gene expression did not...
change significantly under low levels of PRMT5 (Figure 4A). The expression of p30 has been linked to increased cell survival and cell cycle deregulation. PRMT5 also has been linked to increased cell survival and to altering p53 function. Therefore, we posit that p30 and PRMT5 interaction might be relevant for cell survival to facilitate either viral spread or transformation. However, p28 interaction with PRMT5 might be relevant at transcriptional level by modulating the interaction of PRMT5 with transcription machinery. In addition p28 and PRMT5 interaction could also play a role at the post-transcriptional level in spliceosome formation, protein-mRNA recruitment or RNA processing. In both cases, PRMT5 would be affecting viral gene expression. This notion is consistent with our findings showing that downregulation of PRMT5 resulted in the reduced levels of HTLV-2 gene expression (Figure 4B). The interaction of p28 with PRMT5 might be regulating PRMT5 to modulate viral gene expression at either a transcriptional or post-transcriptional level. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that PRMT5 levels are up-regulated in B cell leukemia suggesting that PRMT5 might have a role to play in T cell transformation of HTLV-1 infected T cells. The study of the interaction of p30 and p28 with PRMT5 would provide a molecular model to understand the role of PRMT5 in cellular transformation.

Conclusion
In summary, we identified 42 and 22 potential cellular proteins interacting with HTLV-1 p30 and HTLV-2 p28, respectively. Of these, only three cellular proteins overlapped indicating markedly different interacting profiles for these two viral proteins. PRMT5 interacted with both p30 and p28, but had a differential effect on the gene expression of HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 indicating that PRMT5 might have different roles in HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 viral biology. The differences in protein and cellular processes with which p30 and p28 engage could be one reason for the difference in viral infection outcomes. Elucidating the role of PRMT5 in HTLV-1 viral biology would provide insights into how HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 are utilizing a host protein differently to potentially result in different pathological outcomes. Further investigation to understand the functional relevance of these interactions is warranted to better understand the HTLV life cycle and its role in T-cell transformation in order to develop new therapeutic drug targets.

Methods
Cell culture and plasmid transfection
HEK 293T cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 100 units/ml penicillin. Plasmids were transfected using SuperFect (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly 2x10^6 cells were plated in 100 mm dishes and after 24 hr, cells were transfected with 10 μg of pTriEx4-Neo (Mock), 10 μg of pTriEx-Neo-S-GFP (S-GFP), 10 μg of pTriEx4-Neo-S-p30-HA (S-p30-HA), 10 μg of pTriEx4-Neo-S-p28-AU1 or 4 μg of pTriEx4-Neo-S-p28-PAHA(S-p28-PAHA) from Novagen, Madison, WI. For Flag-PRMT5 immunoprecipitation 5 μg of pBabe (empty vector) or 5 μg of Flag-PRMT5 (generous gift from Dr. Robert Baiocchi’s laboratory, Ohio State University) was co-transfected with 5 μg of S-p30-PAHA or S-p28-PAHA. The cells were harvested and lysed in 1x passive lysis buffer after 24 hr (Promega, Madison, WI). 293T cells treated with siRNA or lentiviral particles expressing scrambled shRNA or PRMT5 shRNA were transfected with the HTLV-1 molecular clone, Ach (1.8 μg/well) and HTLV-2 molecular clone, Ph6neo (1.8 μg/well) of a six well plate using SuperFect (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Oligofectamine (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) was used to transfect 293T cells with 100 nM of control siRNA or smart pool siRNA against REGγ and NIP30 (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO). Stable knockdowns were produced by transducing 293T cells with lentiviral particles expressing scramble or PRMT5 shRNAs (generous gift from Dr. Robert Baiocchi, Ohio State University) and selected for puromycin resistance.

HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 gene expression
Molecular proviral clones of HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 were transfected 24 hrs after siRNA treatment and incubated for 24 hrs. Supernatant was collected for measure of p19 Gag by ELISA (Zeptometrix, Buffalo, NY) and cells were lysed and immunoblotted for p24 production.

S-tag affinity purification and immunoprecipitation
Cell lysates were prepared with 1X passive lysis buffer (Promega, Madison, WI) in the presence of protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN). S-tag purification was performed by rocking cell lysates with S-beads (Novagen, Madison, WI) overnight at 4°C. The S-beads were washed once with high salt (1 M NaCl) containing radioimmunoprecipitation buffer (150 mM NaCl, 0.01 M Sodium pyrophosphate, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM sodium fluoride, 50 mM Tris, 0.1% SDS, 12.8 mM deoxycholic acid, 10% glycerol and 1% Nonidet P-40 (pH 8.0)), three times with radioimmunoprecipitation buffer and once with PBS. The beads were suspended in ddH₂O and subjected to shotgun proteomics. For subsequent immunoblotting the proteins were eluted by boiling the beads in SDS loading buffer. Immunoprecipitation was performed by rocking cell lysates overnight with specific antibody at 4°C and then with Sepharose protein A beads for 2 hrs (GE Sweden). Sepharose protein A beads were washed twice with high
salt (1 M NaCl) containing radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer and twice with low salt (150 mM NaCl) radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer and once with PBS. For western blotting proteins were eluted by boiling the beads in SDS loading buffer.

**Shotgun proteomics**

Capillary-liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (Capillary-LC/MS/MS) for global protein identification was performed on a Thermo Finnigan LTQ Orbitrap mass spectrometer equipped with a microspray source (Michrom Bioresources Inc, Auburn, CA) operated in positive ion mode. Samples were separated on a capillary column (0.2X150 mm Magic C18AQ 3 μ 200A, Michrom Bioresources Inc, Auburn, CA) using an UltiMate™ 3000 HPLC system from LC-Packings A Dionex Co. (Sunnyvale, CA). The scan sequence of the mass spectrometer was based on the data dependent TopTen™ method. The resolution of a full scan was set at 30000 to achieve a high mass accuracy MS determination.

The RAW data files collected on the mass spectrometer were converted to mzXML and MGF files by use of MassMatrix data conversion tools (version 1.3, http://www.massmatrix.net/download). The resulting MGF files were searched using Mascot Daemon by Matrix Science version 2.2.2 (Boston, MA) and the database searched against the full SwissProt database version 57.5 (471472 sequences; 167326533 residues) or NCBI database version 20091013 (9873339 sequences; 336748278 residues). Considered modifications (variable) were methionine oxidation and the presence of carbamidomethyl cysteine. Three missed cleavages for the enzyme were permitted with a peptide tolerance of 1.2 Da, and the MS/MS ion tolerance was 0.8 Da. Mock transfected (empty pTriEx4-Neo) cell lysates treated similarly served as negative control. Search results were compiled and visualized using the Scaffold 3 software. Unweighted spectrum count and percent coverage provided semi-quantitative data analyses. Protein identifications were assigned using PeptideProphet. Proteins with 80% confidence were accepted with a minimum of one peptide displaying 95% threshold confidence level.

**Immunoblotting and antibodies**

To confirm the MS/MS data of selected p28- and p30-interacting proteins we performed immunoblotting analysis. Cell lysate-derived proteins and proteins from S-Tag purification or immunoprecipitation assays were resolved by 4–20% gradient SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes prior to immunoblotting using the following primary and secondary antibodies: mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibodies (1:1000) (Covance Research Products, Princeton, NJ); rabbit anti-hnRNPH1 monoclonal (1:1000), mouse anti-hnRNPH1 (1:1000), mouse anti-HTLV p24 (1:1000) (Abcam, Cambridge, MA); Rabbit anti-NIP30 monoclonal (1:1000), rabbit anti-REGγ (1:1000) (Proteintech Group, Chicago, IL); mouse-anti β-actin (1:2000) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO); rat anti-Flag (1:1000) horse anti-mouse (1:2000), and goat anti-rabbit antibodies (1:2000) anti-rat (1:2000) (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA).

**Additional file**

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Effects of REGγ and NIP30 knockdown on HTLV-1 gene expression. A) Two independent experiments (labeled 1 and 2) of control and REGγ siRNA treated 293T cells were transfected with Ach (HTLV-1 molecular clone). The knockdown of REGγ was confirmed by immunoblotting with anti-REGγ. The expression of HTLV p24 was monitored by immunoblotting. B) Negative siRNA and NIP30 siRNA treated 293 T cells were transfected with HTLV-1 molecular clone (Ach). The levels of NIP30 were tested by immunoblotting with anti-NIP30 antibodies. The expression of HTLV-1 p24 was monitored by anti-HTLV-1 p24 antibody. Equal loading of samples was confirmed by anti-actin antibodies.
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