Linear amplification and quantum cloning for non-Gaussian continuous variables
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\textbf{Abstract.} We investigate phase-insensitive linear amplification at the quantum limit for single- and two-mode states and show that there exists a broad class of non-Gaussian states whose nonclassicality survives even at an arbitrarily large gain. We identify the corresponding observable nonclassical effects and find that they include, remarkably, two-mode entanglement. The implications of our results for quantum cloning outside the Gaussian regime are also addressed.
1. Introduction

When one amplifies a quantum state, a certain amount of noise is introduced as a fundamental requirement with profound implications for quantum communication and measurement; noise disallows the generation of perfect quantum clones [1], which enables secure quantum communication, and it requires that quantum measurement—which necessarily involves amplification—be accompanied by decoherence, disallowing the mapping of a microscopic pure state to a macroscopic pure state.

Following the work of Caves [2], which established quantum-noise limits for both phase-sensitive and -insensitive linear amplification, numerous studies have investigated how phase-insensitive linear amplification (PILA) washes out nonclassical effects. Hong et al [3], in particular, showed that sub-Poissonian statistics and squeezing disappear below the quantum cloning limit (gain $G = 2$), and it has been argued that nonclassical effects disappear completely at sufficiently large gain [4]. The latter argument relies, however, on nonoptimal amplification (e.g. by a bath of two-state atoms$^5$); in quantum-limited amplification, states can remain nonclassical at arbitrarily large gain: for example, this is known for the Fock states [5] and the Schrödinger-cat states [6]. It is also known that amplitude-squared squeezing [7] and certain higher-order photon statistics [8] can persist slightly above $G = 2$. More generally, the question of which nonclassical states or nonclassical effects persist beyond the cloning limit has not yet been systematically studied. Moreover, the study of PILA has been confined mostly to single-mode states, and there are a number of crucial issues to be addressed outside the single-mode regime; in particular, the question of whether entanglement, or nonclassical correlation, can survive is of broad interest to quantum information science [9].

In addition to these considerations of PILA per se, linear amplification is generally the basis of quantum cloning schemes, particularly for continuous variables (CVs). A quantum cloner based on PILA and a beam splitter has been identified as the optimal Gaussian cloner for coherent states [10], and the scheme can be extended to other CV states as a general tool for quantum cloning. Little is known, however, about the behavior of non-Gaussian states under

\[ \dot{\rho} = \gamma N_2 (2a^\dagger \rho a - a a^\dagger \rho - \rho a a^\dagger) + \gamma N_1 (2a a^\dagger \rho - a a^\dagger a - a^\dagger a \rho - \rho a a^\dagger), \]

with $N_1$ and $N_2$ being the numbers of unexcited and excited atoms, respectively. The quantum-limited amplifier considered here is characterized by $N_1 = 0$ and gain $G = e^{2\gamma N_2 t}$.

5 The PILA master equation reads as $\dot{\rho} = \gamma N_2 (2a^\dagger \rho a - a a^\dagger \rho - \rho a a^\dagger) + \gamma N_1 (2a a^\dagger \rho - a a^\dagger a - a^\dagger a \rho - \rho a a^\dagger)$, with $N_1$ and $N_2$ being the numbers of unexcited and excited atoms, respectively. The quantum-limited amplifier considered here is characterized by $N_1 = 0$ and gain $G = e^{2\gamma N_2 t}$. 
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linear amplification. It is thus important to carry the study of PILA systematically beyond the treatment of the Gaussian regime found in earlier works [10, 11].

In this paper we investigate both single- and two-mode states under the nonunitary (phase-insensitive) process of PILA; our treatment naturally extends to multi-mode states. As the unitary (phase-sensitive) amplifier is able to create nonclassicality, e.g. squeezing, it is ruled out in this work. We connect the critical gain at which all nonclassical effects disappear to the nonclassical depth defined by Lee [12], and identify the effects that remain observable at large gain. We show that there exists a broad class of single- and two-mode non-Gaussian states whose nonclassicality, remarkably including two-mode entanglement, survives even at arbitrarily large gain, in sharp contrast to the behavior known for Gaussian states [11]. This might also be contrasted with a recent work by Allegra et al [13] that presents evidence in support of the maximum robustness of Gaussian entangled states against noisy thermal environments. Furthermore, we show that the PILA-based quantum cloner can make more than two clones in a nonclassical state with better fidelity than the classical scheme if the input has a nonclassical depth larger than 1/2. Other aspects of PILA-based quantum cloning are also briefly addressed.

2. Phase-insensitive linear amplification (PILA)

We begin with some background regarding quantum-limited PILA. Consider a mode with creation and annihilation operators $\hat{a}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}$, which after amplification at gain $G$ yields

$$\hat{a}_G = \sqrt{G}\hat{a} + \sqrt{G-1}\hat{v}_G^\dagger.$$  

(1)

In equation (1), $\hat{v}$ is a vacuum mode introduced to account for the fundamental noise in the amplification; $\hat{a}_G$ thereby becomes a legitimate field operator, with the commutator $[\hat{a}_G, \hat{a}_G^\dagger] = 1$. After taking the trace over the mode $v$, the action of the amplifier can be described by a completely positive map, with the Kraus decomposition

$$\rho_{\text{out}} = A[\rho_{\text{in}}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{A}_n \rho_{\text{in}} \hat{A}_n^\dagger,$$  

(2)

where

$$\hat{A}_n = (G-1)^{n/2} \exp[-\ln(G)\hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger/2](\hat{a}^\dagger)^n/\sqrt{n!}.$$  

(3)

In practice, quantum-limited PILA can be achieved by injecting the signal mode $a$ into a nondegenerate parametric amplifier (NDPA) whose idler is in the vacuum state. Remarkably, as in [14, 15], it can also be realized using only linear optics by employing homodyne detection followed by feed-forward. In this paper the NDPA framework is mostly employed. Thus, the two-mode input to the amplifier is written as $\rho_{av} = \rho_{ai} \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0|$, where $\rho_{ai} = \int d^2\alpha P_{ai}(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|$ is the signal-mode input to be amplified, with $P_{ai}(\alpha)$ being its $P$-function. The amplified output is given by $\rho_G = \text{tr}_v(\hat{U}_{PA} \rho_{av} \hat{U}_{PA}^\dagger)$, where $\hat{U}_{PA} \equiv \exp[\kappa t (a^\dagger \hat{v}^\dagger - a\hat{v})]$ executes amplification with gain $G \equiv \cosh^2(\kappa t)$. After some calculation, the $P$-function of the amplified output is found to be

$$P_G(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi(G-1)} \int d^2\beta P_{ai}(\beta) \exp\left(-\frac{|\beta - \alpha/\sqrt{G}|^2}{1-1/G}\right),$$  

(4)

which amounts to a Gaussian convolution of the input plus rescaling of the phase space by $\sqrt{G}$.
Equation (4) establishes two important points about PILA.

1. In general, a single-mode state can be represented by an s-parameterized phase-space distribution, \( W_s(\alpha) \), with the parameter \( s \) in the range \(-1 \leq s \leq 1\), e.g. the Glauber–Sudarshan \( P \)-function \((s = 1)\), the Wigner function \((s = 0)\), or the \( Q \)-function \((s = -1)\). This distribution is known to be well-behaved, with no singularity, for \( s \leq 0 \).

In addition, two different distributions are related to each other by a convolution [16]:

\[
W_{s'}(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi (s - s')} \int d^2 \beta W_s(\beta) \exp \left( -\frac{2}{(s - s')} |\alpha - \beta|^2 \right),
\]

where \( s' < s \). Therefore we see, comparing equations (4) and (5), that the \( P \)-function always exists in a well-behaved form after amplification with \( G \geq 2 \).

2. Equation (4) may be connected to the nonclassical depth defined by Lee [12]. The Glauber-P function becomes positive when smoothed by a Gaussian of sufficient width,

\[
P'(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi \tau} \int d^2 \beta P(\beta) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\tau} |\beta - \alpha|^2 \right).
\]

The minimum \( \tau \in [0, 1] \) required for a positive \( P \)-function is taken as a nonclassicality measure. Thus, by comparing equations (4) and (6), we see that a state of nonclassical depth \( \tau \) remains nonclassical under PILA up to the critical gain \( G_c = 1/(1 - \tau) \). This shows that Gaussian (squeezed) states, which have nonclassical depth in the range \( \tau \leq 1/2 \), cannot survive the cloning limit, i.e. for them \( G_c \leq 2 \).

In contrast to Gaussian states, ‘maximally’ nonclassical states \((\tau = 1)\) remain nonclassical at any gain, i.e. \( G_c = 1/(1 - \tau) \rightarrow \infty \). It is therefore interesting to identify those states having \( \tau = 1 \). There exists a sufficient, though unnecessary, criterion to detect maximally nonclassical states [17]: if \( \rho \) is orthogonal to a certain coherent state—i.e. if \( \langle \alpha | \rho | \alpha \rangle = 0 \) for some \( \alpha \)—the state is maximally nonclassical. For instance, Lütkenhaus and Barnett [17] showed that all pure single-mode states, apart from Gaussian states, satisfy this condition. Thus we conclude that all pure non-Gaussian states remain nonclassical under PILA at any gain \( G \). Furthermore, any state (pure or mixed) can be transformed so that it becomes maximally nonclassical, and thus its nonclassicality persists to arbitrarily large gain; this is achieved by the photon-addition scheme, \( \rho' \sim \hat{a}^\dagger \rho \hat{a} \) [18]; \( \rho' \) necessarily satisfies \( \langle 0 | \rho' | 0 \rangle = 0 \).

2.1. Observable nonclassical effects

We have shown that there is a broad class of single-mode nonclassical states whose nonclassicality survives even at arbitrarily large finite gain. Let us now ask which nonclassical effects can be experimentally observed at high gain. If \( \rho \) is classical, its \( P \)-function is positive definite, so that we may construct, for any operator \( \hat{f} = f(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}) \), the positive quantity

\[
\langle : \hat{f}^\dagger \hat{f} : \rangle = \int d^2 \alpha | f(\alpha^*, \alpha)|^2 P(\alpha) > 0,
\]

where \( : \) denotes normal ordering of the operators \( \hat{a}^\dagger \) and \( \hat{a} \). A violation of this inequality is an indicator of nonclassicality. We aim, then, to construct an operator \( \hat{T} = \hat{f}^\dagger \hat{f} \) that violates the inequality (7) for a state \( \rho_G \) amplified at gain \( G \).

Since the \( P \)-function is well behaved for \( G \geq 2 \), whenever \( \rho_G \) is nonclassical at higher gain there must exist a finite region of phase space (at least one), centered on a point \( \alpha_0 \),
within which $P_G(\alpha)$ is negative. One can then readily construct a Gaussian function $T(\alpha) = \exp(-|\alpha - \alpha_0|^2/\sigma)$, with variance $\sigma$, such that $\int d^2\alpha P_G(\alpha)T(\alpha) < 0$. In operator form the negativity is expressed as

$$\langle \hat{T}_v \rangle = \langle (((\sigma - 1)/\sigma)\hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha_0\hat{a})\rangle < 0,$$

where we use the normal-ordering relation $\exp(\theta \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}) = \exp[(e^{\theta} - 1)\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}]$ for a field operator $\hat{b}$ [16]. It serves as an observable nonclassical effect that persists to large gain. For simplicity, we may set $\alpha_0 = 0$ (equivalently, displace the state by $-\alpha_0$), in which case the defined nonclassical effect is a property of the photon-number distribution, $P(n)$, and is expressed by $\langle ((\sigma - 1)/\sigma)\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}[((\sigma - 1)/\sigma)^n P(n) < 0$. It is testable in a photon-counting experiment.

As an example, the one-photon Fock state, after amplification under PILA at gain $G$, has the $P$-function

$$P(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi^2(G - 1)^2} \left( \frac{|\alpha|^2}{1 - 1/G} - 1 \right) \exp[-|\alpha|^2/(G - 1)],$$

which is negative for $|\alpha|^2 < 1/(1 - 1/G)$. Its nonclassicality is observed as $\langle ((\sigma - 1)/\sigma)\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle < 0$, for any $\sigma < 1$ and any $G$. In particular, by choosing $\sigma = 1/2$ this becomes a condition on the photon-number parity: $\langle (-1)^n \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle < 0$.

Alternatively, one can construct a closely related nonclassical effect, more accessible in practice, that is insensitive to detector efficiency. We adopt a test operator in phase-insensitive form, $\hat{f} = \sum_j c_j \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j^\dagger$. A classical state must then satisfy equation (7) for arbitrary complex coefficients $c_j$, which yields the requirement $\det[M^{(n)}] \geq 0$, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ [8, 19], where $M^{(n)}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix whose elements,

$$M^{(n)}_{ij} = \langle \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j^{(n)} \rangle,$$

can be measured in photon-coincidence detection; $\det[M^{(n)}] < 0$, for any $n$, indicates nonclassicality: for example, with $n = 2$, $\det[M^{(2)}] < 0$ indicates sub-Poissonian photon counts.

Photodetection with efficiency $\eta < 1$ can be modeled by ideal detection after the signal mode, $\hat{a}$, has been mixed with a vacuum mode, $\hat{v}$, at a beam splitter of transmissivity $\sqrt{\eta}$. The detected mode, $\hat{a}_d$, is expressed by $\hat{a}_d = \sqrt{\eta} \hat{a} + \sqrt{1 - \eta} \hat{v}$, which gives the matrix elements $M^{(n)}_{ij,\text{det}} = \eta^{n-j} \langle \hat{a}_d^\dagger \hat{a}_j^{(n)} \rangle$. The determinant of this matrix turns out to depend on detection efficiency through an overall scale factor only—it is proportional to $\eta^{n^2-n}$—so the proposed nonclassical condition is formally (though not in the magnitude of the violation relative to experimental noises) insensitive to $\eta$. One can show that the condition $\det[M^{(n)}] < 0$ holds up to a critical gain $G^{(n)}_c$, which can take a value far beyond $G = 2$. We plot $G^{(n)}_c$ for an input one-photon Fock state in figure 1; the critical gain increases monotonically with $n$, which shows that higher-order nonclassicality survives a larger gain.

3. PILA-based quantum cloning

We now turn our attention to the cloning of CV states using PILA. A quantum cloner based on PILA followed by beam splitting is identified as the optimal Gaussian cloner for coherent states [10, 20] and has been experimentally implemented [15]. It may not be possible to

---

6 On the other hand, it was shown that a non-Gaussian cloner can outperform the optimal Gaussian cloner for coherent states.
Figure 1. Critical gain $G^{(n)}_c$ at which the nonclassicality condition $\text{Det}[M^{(n)}] < 0$ ceases to be valid for the one-photon Fock state under PILA.

construct a universal optimal cloner for the entire set of CV states, including all non-Gaussian states. Nevertheless, it does seem meaningful to take the PILA-based cloner as a universal machine for a broader set than the Gaussian states alone. Suppose that one amplifies a given input at gain $G$ and injects the amplified output into a series of $G-1$ beam splitters, each with transmissivity $t_i = 1/\sqrt{G+1-i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, G-1$. In this configuration, all the $G$ output clones are reduced to an identical local state having the same mean amplitude as the input, $\langle \hat{a}_{\text{clone}} \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_{\text{in}} \rangle$. In such symmetric cloning, one readily sees that the $P$-function of each clone is directly obtained from that of the amplified state—phase-space scaling by $1/\sqrt{G}$ due to beam splitting—as $P_{\text{clone}}(\alpha) \sim P_G(\sqrt{G} \alpha)$. That is, using equation (4),

$$P_{\text{clone}}(\alpha) = \frac{G}{\pi (G-1)} \int d^2 \beta P_{\text{in}}(\beta) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{1-1/G} |\beta-\alpha|^2 \right), \quad (11)$$

which, in view of equation (5), is just the $s$-parameterized distribution of the input with $s = 2/G - 1$.

1. In a classical measure-then-prepare strategy, where position and momentum are simultaneously measured to estimate an unknown state, the $P$-function at the output equals the $Q$-function at the input [21]. One can thus see that the PILA-based quantum cloner is always superior, in terms of fidelity, to the classical scheme, regardless of the set of input states and number, $G$, of clones. The fidelity, $F$, between a pair of states, $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$, is given by $F = \text{Tr}[\rho_1 \rho_2] = \pi^{-1} \int d^2 \lambda C_1(\lambda) C_2(-\lambda)$, where $C_i(\lambda) \equiv \text{Tr}[D_i(\lambda) \rho_i]$ is the state characteristic function, with $D_i(\alpha) \equiv \exp(\alpha \hat{a}_i^\dagger - \alpha^* \hat{a}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, the displacement operator [16]. When $C_2(\lambda)$ is the same as the $s$-parameterized characteristic function of $\rho_1$—i.e. $C_2(\lambda) = C_1(\lambda) \exp(s|\lambda|^2/2)$—the fidelity is reduced to $F = \pi^{-1} \int d^2 \lambda |C_1(\lambda)|^2 \exp(s|\lambda|^2/2)$, which monotonically increases with $s$. Therefore, the fidelity between each clone and the input state decreases with increasing number, $G$, of clones, owing to the fact that $s = 2/G - 1$; it is, however, higher than that of the classical scheme with $s = -1$.

2. If the set of unknown input states has nonclassical depth $\tau$, all clones remain nonclassical up to the critical clone number $G_c = 1/(1-\tau)$ (compare equations (6) and (11)).

This classical scheme is known as the optimal strategy, particularly for the coherent-state and the squeezed state inputs.
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cannot, for instance, have two clones of a squeezed state remain squeezed, since $\tau \leq 1/2$ does not give $G_c > 2$. On the other hand, arbitrarily many clones of a state from the set of all pure non-Gaussian states can be created as nonclassical states since $\tau = 1 \Rightarrow G_c = \infty$.

4. The two-mode case

We now extend our treatment to the two-mode case. In particular, we want to see how a nonclassical correlation, e.g. two-mode entanglement, evolves as each mode is amplified. An extension of equation (4) gives the output two-mode $P$-function

$$P_G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \int d^2\beta_1 d^2\beta_2 P_{in}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \prod_{i=1}^{2} Z_i(\alpha_i, \beta_i),$$

(12)

where $Z_i = [\pi(G_i - 1)]^{-1} \exp\left(-(1 - 1/G_i)^{-1} |\beta_i - \alpha_i/\sqrt{G_i}|^2\right), i = 1, 2$. One readily sees, from equations (5) and (12), that all Gaussian two-mode states, e.g. squeezed states, become classical for $G \geq 2$ as their original $s$-parameterized distributions are positive definite for $s \leq 0$ [11]. Thus, if entanglement is to survive above the cloning gain, $G = 2$, its survival must be sought outside the Gaussian regime.

For clarity, we consider a particular but broad class of entangled states; namely, those obtained by combining a single-mode nonclassical state, $\rho_{in}$, and a vacuum state at a beam splitter of transmissivity $t = \cos \theta$ [22]. This class serves as a useful tool for studying many interesting entangled states: if $|\Psi_{in}\rangle$ is the Fock state $|N\rangle$, for example, one obtains a bimodal two-mode entangled state [23], the simplest being the single-photon state $\cos \theta|1, 0\rangle + \sin \theta|0, 1\rangle$; if $|\Psi_{in}\rangle$ is the Schrödinger-cat state $|\alpha\rangle - | - \alpha\rangle$, one obtains the entangled coherent states $|\alpha \cos \theta, \alpha \sin \theta\rangle - | - \alpha \cos \theta, - \alpha \sin \theta\rangle$.

Let the input to the beam splitter be represented by the $P$-function $P(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = P_{in}(\alpha_1)\delta(2)(\alpha_2)$. Then, from the beam splitter transformation, $\hat{a}'_1 = \hat{a}_1 \cos \theta + \hat{a}_2 \sin \theta, \hat{a}'_2 = -\hat{a}_1 \sin \theta + \hat{a}_2 \cos \theta$, and equation (12), the amplified two-mode state has the $P$-function

$$P_G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = N_{12} \int d^2\beta P_{in}(\beta) \exp\left(-G_{12} |\beta - \alpha_{12}/\sqrt{G_{12}}|^2\right),$$

(13)

where

$$N_{12} = \exp\left[|\alpha_{12}|^2/G_{12} - |\alpha_1|^2/(G_1 - 1) - |\alpha_2|^2/(G_2 - 1)\right],$$

$$G_{12} = \lambda_1^{-2} \cos^2 \theta + \lambda_2^{-2} \sin^2 \theta,$$

$$\alpha_{12} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \sqrt{G_1}} \alpha_1 \cos \theta + \frac{1}{\lambda_2 \sqrt{G_2}} \alpha_2 \sin \theta,$$

with $\lambda_i = \sqrt{1 - 1/G_i}, i = 1, 2$. On the other hand, the local state of mode 1 has the $P$-function

$$P_G(\alpha_1) = \frac{1}{\pi(G_1 - 1)} \int d^2\beta P_{in}(\beta) \exp\left(-\frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\lambda_1^2} |\beta - \alpha_1/\sqrt{G_1} \cos \theta|^2\right),$$

(14)

with $\cos \theta \rightarrow \sin \theta$ in the similar expression for mode 2. Equations (13) and (14) show how single- and two-mode nonclassicality decohere under PILA. Let us consider, in particular, symmetric PILA, i.e. $G_1 = G_2 = G$. Then, if the input to the beam splitter, the $P$-function
$P_{in}(\alpha_i)$, has nonclassical depth $\tau$, one sees from equations (6) and (13) that the global two-mode state remains nonclassical below the critical gain $G_c = 1/(1 - \tau)$. On the other hand, from equations (6) and (14), the local states remain nonclassical up to $G_{\rho_{in}} = 1/(1 - \tau \cos^2 \theta)$ and $G_{c_2} = 1/(1 - \tau \sin^2 \theta)$, respectively. The local critical values are smaller than the global critical value, $G_{c,1,2} < G_c$; thus, for the range of gain satisfying $G_{c,1,2} \leq G < G_c$, the nonclassicality of the amplified state exists in the form of correlation exclusively.

To take an example, when the entangled state $|\alpha, \alpha\rangle - |-\alpha, -\alpha\rangle$ or $|1, 0\rangle + |0, 1\rangle$ is amplified ($\tau = 1$), this analysis setting $\theta = \pi/4$ shows that the nonclassical correlation survives at arbitrarily large gain, with $G_c = \infty$, whereas all local nonclassicality disappears above the cloning limit, $G = 2$. Since the amplified fields possess a well-behaved $P$-function at high gain, the method of [24] might be extended to the two-mode case to directly measure the $P$-function, thus demonstrating persistent nonclassical correlation for experimentally accessible non-Gaussian states.

4.1. Persistent entanglement

We must ask whether the surviving nonclassical correlation is indeed attributable to entanglement, since Marek et al [25] found that separable states also can exhibit nonclassical correlation. It can be shown that the non-Gaussian states considered here are negative under partial transposition, a direct proof that they are entangled [26]. Let us illustrate persistent entanglement for the class of states generated by combining, at a 50:50 beam splitter, a maximally nonclassical single-mode state satisfying the condition $\langle \alpha | \rho_{in} | \alpha \rangle = 0$ [17] and a vacuum state. We prove that all such two-mode states remain entangled for any gain $G$. We may, without loss of generality, set $\alpha = 0$; local displacement before the beam splitter is equivalent to local displacement after amplification, which does not change the entanglement. Thus, the input-state condition can be taken as $\langle 0 | \rho_{in} | 0 \rangle = 0$, i.e. vanishing vacuum-state probability. We denote by $N$ the lowest nonzero occupation number of $\rho_{in}$.

Note now that the actions of the beam splitter and PILA commute in symmetric amplification, as one readily verifies in the Heisenberg picture. Furthermore, the condition $\langle j | \rho_{in} | j \rangle = 0, j < N$, also holds for the amplified state, $\rho_{in,G}$, which can be confirmed from equation (2). On the other hand, after amplification at gain $G$ a vacuum state becomes a thermal state, $|0\rangle \langle 0| \equiv G^{-1} \sum_n (1 - 1/G)^n |n\rangle \langle n|$. Therefore, for the two-mode amplified state, $\rho_{E,G} = U_{BS} \cdot \rho_{in,G} \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0| \cdot U_{BS}^\dagger$, we obtain

$$
\langle 0, j | \rho_{E,G} | j, 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{j!} \langle 0, 0 | \hat{a}_2^j \rho_{E,G} \hat{a}_1^j | 0, 0 \rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2^j j!} \langle 0, 0 | (-\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2)^j \cdot \rho_{in,G} \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0| \cdot (\hat{a}_1^\dagger + \hat{a}_2^\dagger)^j | 0, 0 \rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{(-1)^j}{2^j G} \langle j | \rho_{in,G} | j \rangle \quad (j \leq N).
$$

Equation (15) gives a zero diagonal element $\langle 0, 0 | \rho_{E,G} | 0, 0 \rangle = 0$ and a nonzero off-diagonal element $\langle 0, N | \rho_{E,G} | N, 0 \rangle \neq 0$. The entanglement of $\rho_{E,G}$ is then proved by negativity under partial transposition in the subspace spanned by $|0, 0\rangle$ and $|N, N\rangle$; the determinant of $\rho_{E,G}$ under partial transposition is $\langle 0, 0 | \rho_{E,G} | 0, 0 \rangle \langle N, N | \rho_{E,G} | N, N \rangle - \langle 0, N | \rho_{E,G} | N, 0 \rangle^2 < 0$.

To further verify this persistent entanglement, known methods based on partial transposition can be used [27]; for example, for amplification of the state $|1, 0\rangle + |0, 1\rangle$, a
witness operator $W = |e_\pm\rangle \langle e_\pm|^T$, with $|e_\pm\rangle = |0,0\rangle - (\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2 - \lambda^2})|1,1\rangle$ and $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - 1/G}$, is constructed to show that $\text{Tr}[W \rho_{E,G}] < 0$.

4.2. Cloning of quantum correlation

Finally, we briefly discuss the case where PILA followed by beam splitting is applied as in section 3 to each of two modes, to achieve quantum cloning of nonclassical correlation or entanglement. Our analysis shows that if the PILA-based quantum cloner makes multiple copies of a two-mode entangled state, any pair of clones possesses a nonclassical correlation up to the critical clone number $G_c = 1/(1 - \tau)$; the beam splitting following PILA simply rescales the $P$-function, leaving its negativity unchanged. On the other hand, negativity under partial transposition disappears at the two-clone limit ($G = 2$) for symmetric cloning, due to vacuum noise at the beam splitter. A subtle contrast between nonclassical correlation and entanglement therefore emerges in the symmetric quantum cloning of two-mode non-Gaussian entangled states [25].

If, however, only one party makes clones ($G_2 = 0$), it turns out that entanglement survives between any clone of mode 1 and the original copy of mode 2 regardless of $G_1$ for both Gaussian and non-Gaussian pure inputs [28]. This can be explained in a general framework of one-sided quantum channels for CVs and more details will be investigated elsewhere.

5. Concluding remarks

We have shown that certain nonclassical effects persist far beyond the cloning limit (at gain $G = 2$) in quantum-limited PILA. We identified a broad class of single- and two-mode non-Gaussian states that survive arbitrarily large gain and associated observable effects that are testable by photon counting. Remarkably, these persistent nonclassical effects include quantum entanglement for two-mode non-Gaussian fields. This result is to be contrasted with that of [13], which provided some evidence for the maximal robustness of Gaussian entanglement at fixed energy in the presence of thermal noise. Although it was further conjectured that Gaussian entangled states would generally be maximally robust under noisy Markovian interactions, our work provides a counter-argument; Gaussian entanglement disappears above $G = 2$, whereas non-Gaussian entanglement persists beyond $G = 2$ under the Markovian evolution of PILA (see footnote 5). Our results also show that a quantum cloner based on PILA can produce many nonclassical clones with better fidelity than the classical measure-then-prepare scheme for a set of non-Gaussian states. Demonstration of these results seems feasible in view of recent experimental progress [14, 15, 24].
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References

[1] Wooters W K and Zurek W H 1982 Nature 299 802
[2] Caves C M 1982 Phys. Rev. D 26 1817
[3] Hong C K, Friberg S and Mandel L 1985 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 2 494
[4] Mandel L and Wolf E 1995 Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
[5] Mollow B R and Glauber R J 1967 Phys. Rev. 160 1076
[6] Huang H, Zhu S-Y and Zubairy M S 1996 Phys. Rev. A 53 1027
    Spagnolo N et al 2009 Phys. Rev. A 80 032318
[7] Hillery M and Yu D 1992 Phys. Rev. A 45 1860
[8] Agarwal G S and Tara K 1993 Phys. Rev. A 47 3160
[9] Yu T and Eberly J H 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 140404
[10] Cerf N J, Ipe A and Rottenberg X 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 1754
    Cerf N J and Iblisdir S 2000 Phys. Rev. A 62 040301
    Braunstein S et al 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 4938
    Fiurasek J 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 4942
[11] Agarwal G S and Chaturvedi S 2009 arXiv:0906.2743
[12] Lee C T 1991 Phys. Rev. A 44 R2775
[13] Allegra M, Giorda P and Paris M G A 2010 arXiv:1003.3331
[14] Josse V et al 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 163602
[15] Andersen U L, Josse V and Leuchs G 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 240503
[16] Barnett S M and Radmore P M 2008 Methods in Theoretical Quantum Optics (Oxford: Clarendon)
[17] Lütkenhaus N and Barnett S M 1995 Phys. Rev. A 51 3340
[18] Zavatta A, Viciani S and Bellini M 2004 Science 306 660
    Agarwal G S and Tara K 1993 Phys. Rev. A 43 492
[19] Agarwal G S and Tara K 1992 Phys. Rev. A 46 485
[20] Cerf N J et al 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 070501
[21] Hammerer K et al 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 150503
    Owari M et al 2008 New J. Phys. 10 113014
[22] Asboth J K, Calsamiglia J and Ritsch H 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 173602
    Kim M S et al 2002 Phys. Rev. A 65 032323
[23] Stoler D et al 1985 Opt. Acta 32 345
    Dattoli G et al 1987 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 4 185
    Nha H and Kim J 2006 Phys. Rev. A 74 012317
[24] Kiesel T et al 2008 Phys. Rev. A 78 021804
    Kiesel T and Vogel W 2010 Phys. Rev. A 82 032107
[25] Marek P, Kim M S and Lee J 2009 Phys. Rev. A 79 052315
[26] Peres A 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 1413
[27] Gühne O and Lütkenhaus N 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 170502
    Nha H and Zubairy M S 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 130402
    Shchukin E and Vogel W 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 230502
[28] Pooser R C et al 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 010501

New Journal of Physics 12 (2010) 103010 (http://www.njp.org/)