Influence of C/O Ratio on Hot Jupiter Atmospheric Chemistry

Benjamin Fleury ©, Murthy S. Gudipati ©, Bryana L. Henderson ©, and Mark Swain
Science Division, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA; benjamin.fleury@jpl.nasa.gov
Received 2019 December 6; revised 2020 February 19; accepted 2020 July 10; published 2020 August 25

Abstract
We conducted laboratory experiments to study the chemistry in hot Jupiter atmospheres with a C/O ratio of 0.35. We compared our results with the ones obtained previously for atmospheres with a C/O ratio of 1 to investigate the influence of the C/O ratio on the chemistry and formation of photochemical organic aerosol. We found that the C/O ratio and the gas mixture compositions strongly influence the pathways responsible for the formation of CO2. Thermochemical reactions are primarily responsible for the formation of CO2 in low C/O ratio atmospheres, while photochemistry is the dominant process in high C/O ratio atmospheres even if the final CO2 concentration is the same in both cases. Our results show that low C/O atmospheres at the thermochemical equilibrium contain a higher water abundance, while high C/O atmospheres are significantly depleted in water. However, in low C/O atmospheres, the water abundance is not affected by UV photolysis, while our previous work demonstrated that a significant amount of water can be produced in high C/O ratio atmospheres. This contrast in water production suggests that photochemistry should be considered when interpreting exoplanet transit spectra. Finally, we did not observe the formation of a detectable amount of nonvolatile photochemical aerosols in low C/O atmospheres, in contrast to our previous study. We infer that for a C/O ratio < 1, water likely inhibits organic growth and aerosol formation, suggesting that photochemical organic aerosols are likely to be observed in planets presenting a carbon enrichment compared to their host stars.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Exoplanet atmospheres (487); Exoplanet atmospheric composition (201); Planetary atmospheres (1244); Laboratory astrophysics (2004)

1. Introduction
The atmospheric composition of hot Jupiter exoplanets, Jupiter-sized planets that orbit close to their host stars, have been increasingly studied in the past years with transmission spectroscopy and theoretical modeling. Giant planets are expected to have atmospheres dominated by molecular hydrogen (H2) and helium (He), presumably directly confirmed by the extensive H (Vidal-Madjar et al. 2003; Ehrenreich et al. 2015) and He (Allart et al. 2018; Nortmann et al. 2018; Spake et al. 2018) escape observed for some of these planets. Other chemical species present at lower abundances include carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and water (H2O) (Tinetti et al. 2007; Swain et al. 2009a, 2009b; de Kok et al. 2013).

Recent observations of transit spectra of hot Jupiter atmospheres show limited spectral modulation due to H2O that has been largely interpreted as the indicator of the presence of aerosols (Barstow et al. 2016; Iyer et al. 2016; Sing et al. 2016; Pinhas et al. 2019). Whether these aerosols are condensate clouds of photochemical organic aerosols or other refractory materials remains unknown. Although thermochemical equilibrium models predict the formation of condensate clouds with various composition in these hot atmospheres (Lecavelier Des Etangs et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2015; Parmentier et al. 2016), recent laboratory works highlighted that photochemistry could strongly affect the composition of exoplanet atmospheres and lead to the formation of aerosols in a variety of conditions, including the ones encountered in hot Jupiters (Hörst et al. 2018; Fleury et al. 2019; He et al. 2019, 2018a, 2018b). These photochemical aerosols could represent another source of opacity to explain some of the observed transit spectra of hot Jupiter atmospheres, e.g., of HD 189733 b (Lavvas & Koskinen 2017). On the other hand, the bulk elemental ratio can also drastically affect the molecular composition of these atmospheres. In the external layers (region with pressure <1 bar) of atmospheres with temperatures higher than 1000 K, carbon preferentially bonds with oxygen to form CO, and the excess of oxygen bonds with hydrogen to form H2O when the C/O ratio is <1. At a higher CO ratio ≥1, CO remains an abundant species but the water mixing ratio decreases (Lodders & Fegley 2002; Moses et al. 2013; Venot et al. 2015; Heng & Lyons 2016; Tsai et al. 2017; Goyal et al. 2018; Drummond et al. 2019). For this reason, another explanation for the low spectral modulation due to water observed in some hot Jupiter atmospheres is that these atmospheres have low H2O abundances presumably reflecting high C/O ratios (Madhusudhan et al. 2011; Madhusudhan 2012). However, the existence of such “carbon-rich” exoplanets continues to be debated. The first analysis of the hot Jupiter WASP-12b observations suggested a C/O ratio > 1 (Madhusudhan et al. 2011), but another study found a C/O ratio < 1 using another approach (Kreidberg et al. 2015), leaving the question of the C/O ratio in WASP-12b’s atmosphere open. In addition, a recent survey suggests that the carbon enrichment of hot Jupiter atmospheres compared to their host stars may be common, but uncertainties on C/O measurements in exoplanet atmospheres are large and prevent a firm conclusion from being reached (Brewer et al. 2017). The C/O ratio varies across exoplanets’ host star populations (Delgado Mena et al. 2010; Brewer & Fischer 2016; Brewer et al. 2017), and this variation is likely to be reflected in the composition of exoplanet atmospheres, assuming that they are formed with the same materials as their stars. Moreover, various processes in the protoplanetary disks and the planet formation process can affect the exoplanet compositions and have a significant impact on the final C/O ratio (Öberg et al. 2011; Mordasini et al. 2016; Espinoza et al. 2017; Madhusudhan et al. 2017). For these reasons, it is necessary to consider the effects of the C/O ratio on the atmospheric chemistry and the formation of aerosols. Numerous studies have been performed using chemical...
models (Madhusudhan 2012; Moses et al. 2013; Venot et al. 2015; Tsai et al. 2017; Heng & Lyons 2016; Goyal et al. 2018; Drummond et al. 2019), but corresponding laboratory experiments are still largely nonexistent. Laboratory investigations can provide essential insight into the effects of the C/O ratio on the atmospheric photochemistry and the formation of aerosols. In a previous work, we performed the first laboratory experiments dedicated to the study of the chemistry in hot Jupiter atmospheres (Fleury et al. 2019). This work focused on the chemistry in atmospheres with $T > 1000$ K and a C/O ratio of 1 (representing C enhancement compared to the solar value of 0.54), because chemical models predict that the abundances of hydrocarbon and nitrile species increase by several orders of magnitude in these atmospheres compared to atmospheres with a low C/O ratio (Venot et al. 2015). Therefore, they can be considered as better candidates for the formation of complex organic molecules with longer carbon chains. This first study revealed that photochemical aerosols could be produced at temperatures as high as 1500 K and that water could be efficiently formed through photochemical channels. In the present work, we performed new experiments to study the chemistry in hot Jupiter atmospheres at similar temperatures (1173–1473 K) but with lower C/O ratios. We used a gas mixture of $\text{H}_2$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and CO that represents the simplest plausible atmosphere for a hot Jupiter with a C/O ratio < 1. This new study, compared with our previous work, allows us to assess the evolution of the chemistry in hot Jupiter atmospheres as a function of the C/O ratio and atmospheric composition.

## 2. Experimental Setup and Analytical Protocols

### 2.1. Cell for Atmospheric and Aerosol Photochemistry Simulations of Exoplanets (CAAPSE)

We used the CAAPSE experimental setup, which is described in detail in Fleury et al. (2019) for the studies presented here. Briefly, the cell consists of an alumina tube that is closed at each extremity with an MgF$_2$ window mounted on a stainless-steel flange. The cell is installed inside a customized STT-1600C (SentrOtech) oven, which can heat the alumina gas cell up to 1773 K and temperature can be controlled precisely to within a degree or two at the highest temperatures. Before each experiment, the cell was pumped and degassed by heating to and holding at 1473 K for 24 hr. When cooled back to room temperature, the background pressure reached is typically at $3 \times 10^{-8}$ mbar.

Atmospheric compositions calculated for hot Jupiters using thermochemical equilibrium models constitutes a good starting point for the composition of the gas mixtures used in our experiments (Fleury et al. 2019). For this study, we used a gas mixture made of $\text{D}_2$ (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, 99.99%), $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ (Alfa Aesar, 99.95%), and $^{13}\text{CO}$ (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, 99.5%) with mixing ratios by volume of 99.26%, 0.48%, and 0.26%, corresponding to a mixture with a C/O ratio of 0.35. These are the three most abundant species predicted by thermochemical models for hot Jupiter atmospheres (excluding He, which is inert chemically) with a C/O ratio of 0.5, and $T > 1000$ K (Moses et al. 2013; Venot et al. 2015; Drummond et al. 2019). Carbon monoxide ($^{13}\text{CO}$), dihydrogen ($\text{D}_2$), and water ($\text{D}_2\text{O}$) were isotopically labeled to identify any contamination due to ambient atmospheric species. The gases were premixed in a 2 L glass bulb. Then, the cell was filled with 15 mbar of the gas mixture at the room temperature and heated at 5 K min$^{-1}$ from room temperature (295 K) to various target temperatures: 1173, 1273, 1373, and 1473 K. The temperature of the tube was monitored at its center with three type-B thermocouples with equal spacing of 4.5 cm. After heating the cell to a desired temperature, the gas mixture was kept at that temperature for 21 hr until the gas phase reached a quasi-thermal-equilibrium composition. Evolution of the gas mixture composition was monitored during and after the heating using transmission Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. Subsequently, the gaseous mixture was continuously irradiated for 24 hr with vacuum ultraviolet (VUV; $\lambda < 200$ nm) photons generated by a microwave discharge lamp with a continuous 1.2 mbar flow of $\text{H}_2$ (Airgas, 99.9999%) powered with a microwave generator (OPTHOS) set to 70 W. This results in an intense emission at 121.6 nm (Ly$_\alpha$) and weaker emission in the 140–170 nm range (Ligterink et al. 2015). This reproduces the flux of UV photons received by hot Jupiters from their host stars with a predominance of Ly$_\alpha$ in the VUV (France et al. 2013; Miguel et al. 2015). Although only $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ can be directly photodissociated at these wavelengths, we demonstrated in our previous work that CO chemistry could be activated by photoexcitation (Fleury et al. 2019). The gases were kept at the desired temperature and irradiated for a similar amount of time to deconvolve the effects of thermochemistry from those of photochemistry. The evolution of the gas-phase composition was monitored using mass spectrometry during and after the irradiation. However, it was not possible to monitor the evolution of the gas phase during the irradiation using IR spectroscopy because the CAAPSE setup has only two optical windows, which allow either VUV irradiation or FTIR spectroscopy at any time, not simultaneously.

The pressure in the cell was monitored at different steps of the experiments using a CDG-500 capacitance gauge (Agilent). The pressures measured for each studied temperature are summarized in Table 1. The heating of the gases involves an increase of the pressure, reflecting the thermal expansion of the table.

| $T$ (K) | Initial Conditions (295 K) | At the Thermal Equilibrium | After UV Irradiation |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
|       | Time (hr) | $P$ (mbar) | Time (hr) | $P$ (mbar) | Time (hr) | $P$ (mbar) |
| 1173  | 0         | 15         | 24        | 28         | 48        | 28         |
| 1273  | 0         | 15         | 24        | 29         | 48        | ...        |
| 1373  | 0         | 15         | 24        | 31         | 48        | 29         |
| 1473  | 0         | 81         | 6         | 170        | 207       | 94         |
| 1073  | 0         | 83         | 5         | 140        | 206       | 140        |

Note. Time listed is total time since filling the cell at room temperature with the $\text{D}_2$-$\text{D}_2\text{O}$-$^{13}\text{CO}$ gas mixture.

| $T$ (K) | Initial Conditions (295 K) | At the Thermal Equilibrium | After UV Irradiation |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
|       | Time (hr) | $P$ (mbar) | Time (hr) | $P$ (mbar) | Time (hr) | $P$ (mbar) |
| 1173  | 0         | 15         | 24        | 28         | 48        | 28         |
| 1273  | 0         | 15         | 24        | 29         | 48        | ...        |
| 1373  | 0         | 15         | 24        | 31         | 48        | 29         |
| 1473  | 0         | 81         | 6         | 170        | 207       | 94         |
| 1073  | 0         | 83         | 5         | 140        | 206       | 140        |

Note. Time listed is total time since filling the cell at room temperature with the $\text{D}_2$-$\text{D}_2\text{O}$-$^{13}\text{CO}$ gas mixture.
gas. Evolution of the pressure in the cell during the irradiation is discussed further in Section 3.2.

2.2. Infrared Spectroscopy Analysis of the Gas-phase Composition

Evolution of the gas mixture composition was monitored with a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iG50 FTIR spectrometer. A collimated FTIR beam (a few millimeters in diameter) passed through our high temperature cell and was collected with a LN2-cooled MCT-A detector. IR spectra were recorded in the 1500–6000 cm\(^{-1}\) range with a resolution of 0.25 cm\(^{-1}\) after a co-addition of 700 scans. The optical path length inside the cell is 48 ± 1 cm.

The concentration of the gaseous species detected with IR spectroscopy in this study is quantified using the Beer–Lambert law. The concentration of the absorbing molecules [C] (molecules cm\(^{-3}\)) is defined by Equation (1):

\[
[C] = \frac{\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} A d\lambda}{l \times \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \sigma d\lambda},
\]

where \(\sigma\) is the absorption cross section (cm\(^2\) molecule\(^{-1}\)) of the molecule at a given wavelength and the temperature \(T_{\text{max}}\), \(l\) is the path length of the beam through the cell, and \(A\) is the absorbance at a given wavelength. \(A\) and \(\sigma\) are integrated over the absorption bands. As discussed in Fleury et al. (2019), for our calculation we assume that most of the gas is at the maximum temperature \(T_{\text{max}}\). The cross sections used were calculated for the temperature \(T_{\text{max}}\) using the HITEMP and ExoMol databases (Rothman et al. 2010; Tennyson et al. 2016).

2.3. Mass Spectrometry Analysis of the Gas-phase Composition

The gas mixture composition was analyzed in situ with a Stanford Research System RGA200 quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS) equipped with an electron multiplier, covering 1–200 m/z mass range with a resolution of 100 at m/z 100 (m/Δm). Gases were transferred to the QMS by opening a high-vacuum leak valve that separates the reaction gas cell from the pumping system to which the QMS was attached. Pressure was kept at ~5 × 10\(^{-7}\) mbar during all the measurements to enable comparison among the spectra. QMS ionization was achieved through electron impact at 70 eV.

2.4. Solid-phase Collection and Infrared Analysis of Thin Films

Similar to our previous studies (Fleury et al. 2019), the aerosol samples were produced during two longer experiments, at a higher pressure of 81 mbar and at 1073 and 1473 K, respectively. After heating the cell to a desired temperature, the gas mixture was kept at that temperature for 2 hr and subsequently irradiated for 201 hr. The samples were collected on two sapphire substrates (25 mm diameter and 1 mm thick) placed inside the CAAPSE. After the irradiation, the temperature was ramped down to room temperature and the volatiles were pumped off. Subsequently, the cell was opened to ambient air and the samples were transferred to the FTIR for analysis. Transmission infrared spectra of the samples were measured with a Thermo Scientific Nicolet 6700 FTIR Spectrometer. The infrared signal was collected by a deuterium triglycerine sulfate detector in the 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) (sapphire window absorption limit) to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) range with a resolution of 1 cm\(^{-1}\) after the co-addition of 300 scans.

3. Results

3.1. Thermochemistry of D\(_2/D\(_2\)O/D\(_3\)CO Gas Mixtures

As a control, we investigated the effect of the heating of the cell without UV irradiation on the gas mixture composition. Figure 1 presents the IR spectra of the gas mixture at ambient temperature (∼295 K) and after 21 hr of heating once the different set oven temperatures (1173, 1273, 1373, and 1473 K) were reached.

The absorption bands of 12CO at 2095 cm\(^{-1}\), D\(_2\)O centered at 2780 cm\(^{-1}\), and 12CO\(_2\) at 2349 cm\(^{-1}\) are visible on the spectrum recorded at room temperature (lowest trace of Figure 1). The absorption bands of 12CO\(_2\) can be attributed to the signature of the residual air present on the optical pathway outside of the cell. For all studied temperatures, after 21 hr of heating we observed a new absorption band at 2282 cm\(^{-1}\) attributed to the formation of 13CO\(_2\) as well as an increase of the D\(_2\)O absorbance correlated with the increase of the temperature, highlighting an efficient formation of water in these conditions. Although the thermochemistry in our experiments is not yet very well understood, thermochemical reactions are likely responsible for the production of these two species (13CO\(_2\) and D\(_2\)O). In addition, a small increase of 12CO\(_2\) absorbance as well as the detection of the new bands around 2146 cm\(^{-1}\) attributed to 12CO, have been observed. The origin of these contaminations is not yet fully understood but several scenarios (such as outgassing of the alumina tube with 12C-containing organic residue) were discussed in our previous work. In a control experiment, we observed that gas released from the tube was dominated by H\(_2\)O, 12CO, and 12CO\(_2\) (Fleury et al. 2019). It is therefore likely that natural isotope molecules observed in our experiment such as 12CO is due to outgassing from the tube during the experiments. Those species were found to have a negligible impact on the simulated chemistry because they were the same species as the ones used in the initial gas mixtures but with different isotopes (Fleury et al. 2019), and a similar analysis can be used for the present work.

Additionally, we analyzed the gas-phase composition using mass spectrometry. Figure 2 (top) presents the mass spectra of the gas phase for m/z 1–50 at 295 K and at the different studied temperatures. Spectra are displayed only up to m/z 50 as no other peaks were observed at higher masses. To facilitate the visualization of the smallest mass peaks, Figure 2 (bottom) presents the same mass spectra from m/z 15–50. Spectra are normalized to the deuterium peak (m/z 4) to allow quantitative comparison of the different spectra. We have chosen to use the deuterium peak because its concentration did not change significantly during the heating of the gases. A list of the mass peaks observed in the spectra are shown in Figure 2, and their assignments are given in Table 2.

In general, the results obtained with mass spectrometry are in good agreement with the ones obtained with IR spectroscopy. We observed an increase of the intensity at m/z 45 attributed to 13CO\(_2^+\). Moreover, we observed an increase of the intensity at m/z 20, 19, and 18 attributed to D\(_2\)O\(^+\), HDO\(^+\), and OD\(^+\) (fragment of D\(_2\)O), respectively, although a contribution of H\(_2\)O\(^+\) at m/z 18 cannot be totally ruled out with this data set. In addition, we observed an increase of the peaks at m/z 2 and 3, which can be attributed to H\(_2^+\) and HD\(^+\). The increase of H\(_2\)
can be explained by a release of adsorbed H$_2$ molecules during the heating of the gases, while the HD can be explained by isotope exchanges between the released or adsorbed H$_2$ and D$_2$.

Finally, we quantified the changes in the mixing ratios of $^{13}$CO, $^{13}$CO$_2$, and D$_2$O at ambient temperature at the beginning of the experiments versus after 21 hr of heating at the different studied temperatures using the method described in Section 2.2.

These ratios are summarized in Table 3. For all the studied temperatures, the $^{13}$CO$_2$ mixing ratio was between 240 and 360 ppmv. Despite the significant formation of CO$_2$, the mass spectrometry results show that CO remained the major carbonaceous species for all the experiments. However, the relative abundance of CO could not be quantified at high temperature from the IR spectra because the $^{13}$CO absorption band overlapped with the one attributed to $^{12}$CO.

Despite the similarities in $^{13}$CO$_2$ mixing ratios, the concentration of D$_2$O was shown to increase regularly with temperature from the initial 0.48% at 295 K to 3% at 1473 K. These results differ quantitatively from the ones obtained in our previous study with a gas mixture made of H$_2$ and $^{13}$CO only (Fleury et al. 2019). In the previous study, in the absence of water, the amount of carbon dioxide produced by thermochemistry was 2–10 times lower for all studied temperatures, and increased to a maximum at 1273 K before decreasing at higher temperatures. In our current study, the amount of $^{13}$CO$_2$ produced is similar for all studied temperatures. More interestingly, no production of water was observed in our previous study (or lower than the natural variation of the water content on the optical pathways outside of the cell) under thermal equilibrium only, while the amount of water produced in this study varies from 0.7% at 1173 K to 3.3% at 1473 K. In summary, more $^{13}$CO is thermochemically converted to $^{13}$CO$_2$ and D$_2$O when water is initially added to the gas mixture to reduce the C/O ratio to 0.35. Finally, we do not observe the formation of methane in any of these experiments, while we observed the formation of $\sim$40–80 ppmv of CH$_4$ in our previous experiments with a gas mixture with a C/O ratio of 1 (Fleury et al. 2019). Although thermochemistry in our experiment is not fully understood, the inhibition of CH$_4$ production appears to be correlated to the increase of CO$_2$ production. Indeed, we observed in this study an increase of the CO$_2$ production (Table 3) for all temperatures compared to Fleury et al. (2019). It is likely that the formation of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ from the initial CO in our experiments results from two competitive chemical pathways. CO$_2$ is the main product for both C/O ratios studied (i.e., 0.35 and 1), but our results show that in gas mixtures with lower C/O ratios, the formation of CO$_2$ is enhanced while the formation of CH$_4$ is inhibited.

### 3.2. UV Irradiation of Gas Mixtures at Thermal Equilibrium

After 21 hr of heating, the same gas mixtures were irradiated with UV photons (Ly$_\alpha$) to simulate photochemistry in hot Jupiter atmospheres. Figure 3 presents the IR spectra of the gas mixtures after 24 hr of irradiation for the different set oven temperatures: 1173, 1273, 1373, and 1473 K. The spectra show the absorption bands of carbon monoxide ($^{12}$CO, and $^{13}$CO), carbon dioxide ($^{12}$CO$_2$ and $^{13}$CO$_2$), and D$_2$O. The mixing ratios of $^{13}$CO$_2$ and D$_2$O were calculated for the four temperatures,
and these values are summarized in Table 3. Since the 12CO and 13CO absorption bands overlapped, we could not perform a quantification of 13CO.

For all studied temperatures, the mixing ratio of 13CO2 increased after irradiation compared to heating only: by a factor of 3 at 1173 and 1273 K, and by a factor of 2 at 1373 and 1473 K. In Fleury et al. (2019), we explained the enhancement of CO2 after irradiation by the photoexcitation of CO by Lyα photons followed by the reactions of the excited molecules with ground-state CO. Similar reactions could explain the increase of the CO2 concentration. On the contrary, the mixing ratio of D2O did not vary significantly after irradiation, although water should be significantly dissociated by UV photons emitted by the hydrogen lamp. It implies that a competitive mechanism is responsible for efficiently recycling water, leading to a good stability of water vapor in these conditions. In previous works, we have proposed that water could be efficiently formed photochemically through the reaction of photochemically produced O (1D) radicals with D2 to form OD and finally D2O (Fleury et al. 2015, 2019). Here, water photodissociation by Lyα photons would result in the formation of OD and D radicals. OD can react directly with excess of dihydrogen in the gas phase leading to the reformation of a water molecule. These results are in good agreement with different chemical models that have also predicted an efficient recycling of water in hot Jupiter atmospheres despite an efficient destruction by UV photons through the same mechanisms (Line et al. 2011, 2010; Moses et al. 2011).

To complete the analysis of the gas-phase composition, we monitored its evolution upon UV irradiation using mass spectrometry. Figure 4 presents the mass spectra of the gas phase for m/z 1–50 at the different studied temperatures after 24 hr of irradiation. In addition, Figure 5 presents the evolution of the mass peak intensities after 24 hr of irradiation compared to the mass peak intensities after 21 hr of heating (Iirradiation – Iheating) for the different set oven temperatures. Figure 5 presents mass spectra only from m/z 10–50 to facilitate the visualization of the smallest mass peaks.

The intensity of most mass peaks, including H2, HD, 13CO2 and D2O, increase as a function of the temperature relative to D2 in contrast with quantifications made from IR spectroscopy data. Because these spectra are normalized to m/z 4 (D2), it can be explained by a significant decrease of the D2 amount in the gas mixtures during the irradiation leading to the increase of the relative intensity for all the other mass peaks. This is presumably confirmed by the decrease of the intensity of the...
After heating 1173 L values are summarized in Table 1. While at 1173 K, the before and after irradiation at the studied temperatures. These hypothesis is also supported by the measures of pressure made although an absolute quanti

peak at m/z 4 after irradiation in the non-normalized spectra, although an absolute quantification is not possible. This hypothesis is also supported by the measures of pressure made before and after irradiation at the studied temperatures. These values are summarized in Table 1. While at 1173 K, the pressure did not change during the irradiation; at high temperature, we observed a decrease of the pressure of 2 mbar at 1373 K and 3 mbar at 1473 K. This decrease of the pressure associated with the decrease of the D₂ mixing ratio points toward the formation of more complex molecules resulting in the decrease of the total number of molecules in the gas phase and of the pressure. The only deuterated molecule observed is D₂O. However, its mixing ratio was constant during the irradiation and cannot be the product of the photochemistry. If another gaseous product was produced during the irradiation, it was not detected using IR spectroscopy or mass spectrometry. Another explanation could be the formation of a solid product. In our previous study with a gas mixture made of H₂ and CO, we identified the photochemical formation of an organic solid polymer made of C, O, and H, at 1473 K (Fleury et al. 2019).
Figure 4. Mass spectra from $m/z$ 1–50 of the gas mixture after 24 hr of UV irradiation at different set oven temperatures: 1173, 1273, 1373, and 1473 K. Mass spectra are normalized to the D$_2$ peak intensity at $m/z$ 4.

Figure 5. Evolution of the mass peak intensities after 24 hr of irradiation compared to the mass peak intensities after 21 hr of heating ($I_{\text{irradiation}} - I_{\text{heating}}$) for the different set oven temperatures: 1173, 1273, 1373, and 1473 K, from $m/z$ 10–50.
3.3. Photochemical Formation of Refractory Solid Organics

For the photochemical experiments made at 1373 and 1473 K presented above, we observed a decrease of the pressure at the end of the irradiation, likely due to the formation of more complex molecules. Although a detectable amount of solid-phase material was not observed in these results, a similar trend in the pressure observed in our previous work (Fleury et al. 2019) was associated with the formation of solid organic aerosols. To investigate the possibility of organic aerosol formation, we repeated the 1473 K experiment at a higher pressure of 81 mbar (measured at 295 K) and for a longer irradiation of 207 hr to have a larger number of molecules to convert into a solid phase.

After the heating of the gas phase to 1473 K, the pressure in the cell was 170 mbar (Table 1) and we observed a decrease of the pressure after irradiation to 94 mbar, highlighting the conversion of a part of the initial gas mixture into more complex molecules. However, no solid deposits were visible on the sapphire substrates after the experiments and the analysis of the substrates with transmission infrared spectroscopy did not reveal the presence of any absorption bands attributable to a solid phase. In our previous study, solid organic products were observed as thin films deposited on the substrates, while here no products were observed. The formation mechanism of these thin films is not yet fully known but they presumably grow from reactive gas species present in the cell via the formation of nanometer-size monomers, which finally aggregate. Then the particles grow by deposition of species present in the gaseous phase and deposit as thin films. Our results suggest that in low C/O gas mixtures, the critical number density of monomers is not reached to start the growth of larger particles because of the low reactivity of CO and CO$_2$ or that the amount of aerosol produced is significantly decreased, below the limit of detection of our infrared spectrometer.

However, during the cooling of the gas mixtures after the experiments, we observed the deposition of residue on the flanges and the MgF$_2$ windows. The formation of this solid residue is observed only on the coolest part of the cell during the cooling of the gases and not on the sapphire windows disposed in the center of the cell where the temperature is higher. Figure 6 presents the IR absorption spectrum of the gas mixture after 201 hr of irradiation at 1473 K and the subsequent cool down to room temperature. In addition to the absorption bands of 12CO, 13CO, 12CO$_2$, 13CO$_2$, and D$_2$O, in the gas phase, we observed two broad absorption bands centered at ∼2400 and 3300 cm$^{-1}$. These two bands can be attributed to the solid residue deposited on the MgF$_2$ windows during the cooling of the gases. We assign these bands to the hydroxyl -OD and -OH groups of organic molecules, indicating that this solid residue should be low-molecular weight oxidized organics that are solids at room temperature but volatile at the higher temperature (1473 K) used in our experiments to simulate hot Jupiter atmospheres. The position and shapes of these bands differ from the ones observed in our previous experiments (Fleury et al. 2019), indicating different formation processes. It is likely that the formation of these volatile organic molecules, more complex than the initial D$_2$, 13CO, and D$_2$O molecules, is responsible for the decrease of the pressure in the chamber observed after the irradiation of the gas mixture.
Although this detection is important for the understanding of the chemical processes in our experiments, this solid formation cannot be directly connected to any process occurring in hot Jupiter atmosphere, because of the lower temperature at which the solid formation has been observed.

To explore further the question of the photochemical formation of aerosols in low C/O ratio atmospheres, we repeated this experiment at a lower temperature of 1073 K. At this temperature we did not observe any decrease of the pressure after irradiation, and we did not observe the formation of any solid deposit in the experiments. These results show that at lower temperature, the conversion of simple gas molecules to more complex species is drastically less efficient than at higher temperature. At higher temperature, reactivity may be favored by faster kinetics or an increase of the photolysis rates due to the increase of the absorption cross section of molecules with the temperature (Venot et al. 2018).

4. Implications for Hot Jupiter Atmospheres

Our experimental results demonstrate that the C/O ratio and the molecular compositions of hot Jupiter atmospheres strongly affect their thermochemistry and photochemistry. On the one hand, our previous experiment with a H2:CO atmosphere (C/O = 1) thermochemistry led to the formation of 16-180 ppm, of carbon dioxide as a function of the temperature, while in the present study with a H2:CO:H2O atmosphere (C/O = 0.35) we observed the production of 240-360 ppm, highlighting that the thermochemical production of CO2 is less efficient and more temperature dependent in high C/O ratio hot atmospheres. On the other hand, our studies also show that photochemistry can enhance the CO2 production by a factor of 10 in high C/O atmospheres, while in low C/O atmospheres, we observe only an enhancement by a factor of 2–3. In addition, despite a shorter time of irradiation (18 hr versus 24 hr in the present work) the mixing ratios of CO2 were similar after irradiation for both studies for all studied temperatures. These results indicate that the C/O ratio and the gas mixture composition strongly influence the pathways responsible for the formation of CO2 in hot Jupiter atmospheres. Thermochemical reactions are primarily responsible for the formation of CO2 in low C/O ratio atmospheres, while photochemistry is the major process responsible for the formation of CO2 in high C/O ratio atmospheres. However, in both cases, the relative amount of CO2 produced at high temperature and under UV irradiation is similar at a given temperature, highlighting that the carbon dioxide concentration may not differ significantly between hot Jupiter atmospheres with a low or a high C/O ratio, although we will need to explore a wider range of compositions to firmly conclude on the impact of the C/O ratio on the CO2 mixing ratio.

Second, our studies point out that water chemistry can significantly differ as a function of the C/O ratio. In the H2:CO gas mixture (C/O = 1), thermochemical production of water was found to be inefficient, while photochemistry was shown to efficiently promote the formation of water with a production of water increasing with the temperature (Fleury et al. 2019). On the contrary, the D2:D2O:13CO gas mixture (C/O = 0.35) thermochemistry led to efficient water production with mixing ratios ranging from 0.7% at 1173 K to 3.3% at 1473 K. Photochemistry driven by the VUV radiation does not modify further the water mixing ratio for the low C/O (present study), while in H2:13CO (C/O = 1) experiments photochemical production of water increases with the temperature. Chemical models predict that water abundances vary with the C/O ratio: atmospheres with a low C/O ratio being water rich and atmospheres with a high C/O ratio being water poor (Moses et al. 2013; Venot et al. 2015; Heng & Lyons 2016; Tsai et al. 2017; Goyal et al. 2018; Drummond et al. 2019). Though our results are in good agreement with these theoretical studies when considering hot Jupiter atmospheres at the thermal equilibrium, our results suggest that disequilibrium chemistry such as the VUV photochemistry could drastically affect the water mixing ratio in atmospheres with a high C/O ratio and at high temperatures. In these cases, the use of the H2O mixing ratio to estimate the planetary C/O ratio could lead to biased results. In addition, our results demonstrate that UV photolysis does not affect the abundance of water in low C/O ratio atmospheres because H2O is efficiently recycled in agreement with model calculations (Line et al. 2011, 2010; Moses et al. 2011). Then, a low water abundance derived may not be the result of disequilibrium chemistry, but would rather reflect a high C/O ratio in hot Jupiters with lower temperatures or would reflect the presence of additional opacities such as those from aerosols.

Finally, our experimental results show that the C/O ratio and the molecular composition of hot Jupiter atmospheres can drastically affect the formation of photochemical organic aerosol. In H2:CO gas mixture, we observed the formation of a solid organic product after irradiation at 1473 K (Fleury et al. 2019), while no solid-phase production is observed at 1473 K after the irradiation of D2:D2O:13CO, demonstrating that the organic growth and the aerosol production are inhibited in low C/O ratio atmospheres with a high water mixing ratio. Our experimental results suggest that the presence of photochemical organic aerosols may be possible only in hot Jupiter atmospheres with a high C/O ratio, although other aerosols may be present in atmospheres with a low C/O ratio such as clouds or sulfur aerosols. Although the process of formation of aerosols in hot Jupiter atmospheres is poorly understood, the limitation of the organic growth in low C/O atmospheres could be explained by the formation of shorter hydrocarbon chains, because of the reactions of hydrocarbons with H2O or radicals such as OH and O reduce the probability of high-molecular-weight hydrocarbons. Therefore, if transit spectra of hot Jupiter atmospheres present the spectral signature of photochemical organic aerosols, it could be interpreted as an indicator that these atmospheres have a high C/O ratio. If we combine these experimental findings with the observational determination that most host stars have lower C/O ratios than the Sun (i.e., C/O ratio < 0.54) (Brewer et al. 2017), then it implies that we should find photochemical organic aerosols only in planets presenting a carbon enrichment compared to their host stars (with high C/O ratios).

5. Conclusion

We conducted a new experiment to study the chemistry and formation of aerosols in hot Jupiters with a C/O ratio < 1. We irradiated D2:13CO:D2O gas mixtures at various temperatures from 1200–1500 K with Ly-α (121.6 nm) photons to reproduce photochemistry in these hot atmospheres, and we monitored the evolution of the gas-phase composition using infrared spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. Finally, we compared these results with the ones obtained previously experimentally in Fleury et al. (2019) for hot Jupiter atmospheres with a higher
C/O ratio of 1, to assess the role of the C/O ratio on hot Jupiter atmospheric compositions.

First, we demonstrated that thermochemistry led to a significant formation of carbon dioxide and water at each studied temperature. After 21 hr of heating, similar mixing ratios of a few hundred ppmv, were quantified for CO2 for all studied temperatures. On the contrary, the water mixing ratio was found to increase with the temperature up to 3.3% at 1473 K.

Second, we subsequently irradiated these equilibrium gas mixtures with UV photons. Photochemistry was found to promote a limited increase of the CO2 mixing ratio by a factor of 2–3. Comparison with our previous study demonstrated that the C/O ratio and the gas mixture composition strongly influence the pathways responsible for the formation of CO2 in hot Jupiter atmospheres. Our study shows that thermochemical reactions are primarily responsible for the formation of CO2 in low C/O ratio atmospheres, while photochemistry is the major process responsible for the formation of CO2 in high C/O ratio atmospheres. However, in both cases, the relative amount of CO2 produced at high temperatures and under UV irradiation is similar at a given temperature.

In addition, the H2O mixing ratio was found to not change significantly under UV irradiation. This result highlights that water is very stable in hot Jupiter atmospheres despite its efficient dissociation by UV photons. Indeed, water recycling is very efficient in dihydrogen-dominated atmospheres because OH radicals produced by H2O photodissociation can react with H2 to reform H2O.

Finally, the formation of a detectable amount of nonvolatile solid organic thin films was not observed after irradiation of the gas mixtures at 1473 and 1073 K with Lyα photons. This result demonstrates that the C/O ratio and the initial gas composition significantly affects the efficiency of the aerosol formation. For atmospheres with a C/O ratio < 1, water and its dissociation products (OH and O) likely inhibit the growth of organic molecules and the formation of aerosols, suggesting that photochemical organic aerosols are likely to be observed in planets presenting a carbon enrichment compared to their host stars.
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