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ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to determine the different morphotypes of *Fusarium oxysporum* present in the root of *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* and evaluate the effect of alcoholic extracts of *Psidium guajava* on their *in vitro* inhibition. Strains of *Fusarium oxysporum* were collected in eight localities where *X. sagittifolium* is grown. *Fusarium* strains isolated from roots of *X. sagittifolium* harvested in each locality were grown on PDA medium. The antifungal test was evaluated using ethanol extracts from *P. guajava* leaves at 30 and 60%. The virulence test of each strain on young
plants of *X. sagittifolium* aged three months were realized. Eight strain of *Fusarium oxysporum* were successfully isolated. After maximum growth, five morphological types were observed (pionnotal, sclerotic, clowny, cottony and ras senescent). The cottony strain was abundant and present in all the locality. Histological analysis of the different strains obtained revealed the presence of septate or siphoned hyphae and three types of conidia (microconidia, macroconidia and sporangiospores or chlamidospores). The inhibition tests were very high with 60% of ethanol extract of *P. guajava*, and 83.33% of inhibitory effect were observed after eight days of growth, in the strains collected in *X. sagittifolium* roots, in L3 (Loum) and L4 (Bangoua) localities. After infection of *X. sagittifolium* plants with each strain of *F. oxysporum* isolated, symptoms observed were yellowing and wilting of leaves. However, plants inoculated with the L3 (Loum) strain showed both yellowing and wilting of leaves. The application of ethanol extracts from *P. guajava* leaves reduced the severity of the disease in the inoculated plants after 14 days. These results obtained showed that *F. oxysporum* is not only saprophytic fungi, it’s also able to induce yellowing and wilting of leaves in *X. sagittifolium*.
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1. INTRODUCTION

*Fusarium oxysporum* (Nectriaceae) is an ascomycete telluric, ubiquitous and plant parasitic fungi that collectively infect different hosts plant. The presence of this ascomycete in the soil leads to significant production losses in many crops such as *Musa acuminata* [1], *Gossypium hirsutum* [2], *Cucumis melo* [3], *Lycopersicon esculentum* [4], *Solanum tuberosum* [5,6]. This soil-borne pathogen also has a great influence on the quality of plant seeds [7]. The visible symptoms due to the presence of *F. oxysporum* include yellowing of the leaves, wilting of tissue and vascular lesions thus causing death of the plant [8]. [9] Showed that *F. oxysporum* are responsible of damping-off in *Pinus massoniana* plants.

This endophytic plant fungus can remain as dormant mycelium or chlamydospores without causing disease [10], virulent or non-virulent depending on the host species they infect [11,12]. This may be why [13], noted the presence of *Fusarium solani* and *Rhizoctonia solani* during root rot of *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* L. Schott caused by *Pythium myriotylum*. Hence, it would be good to know if, *Fusarium* species, acts as a saprophyte that benefits from the aggressiveness of *Pythium myriotylum* towards s the roots of *X. sagittifolium* plants, or remains in a state of latency due to the action of *P. myriotylum*. Could *Fusarium oxysporum* be an aggressive pathogen for *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* plants? Or does it remain only a profiteer during root rot during *X. sagittifolium* – *P. myriotylum*.

In plants species where *Fusarium* are absolute pathogens, some authors have shown that their action could be inhibited by medicinal plant extracts. Plant extracts are good efficient against the phytopathogenic fungi, due to the synergistic antimicrobial, antifungal, antibacterial activity of their various phytochemical constituents [14,4,15,16]. It is noted that aqueous extracts of *Curcuma longa* can be used against root rot caused by *Fusarium solani* in *Helianthus annuus* L. [16] and against *Fusarium oxysporum* [17]. The antifungal activity of ethanol and acetone extract of leaves of *Piper betel*, *Lowsonia inermis*, *Psidium guajava*, *Carica papaya*, *Moringa oleifera*, *Mimosa pudica*, *Catharanthus roseus*, *Adhatoda vasica* and *Andrographis paniculata* have been effective against *Fusarium oxysporum* (the causal agent of *Fusarium* wilt in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) [4]. Despite the type of solvent used, the antimicrobial activities of plants against a number of plant pathogens are linked to their richness in bioactive compounds. These compounds not only have an impact on the growth of the mycelium of the fungi, but they also influence the production and sporulation of spores [18]. Many studies show the remarkable presence of bioactive compound in medicinal plants [19,4,16,17].

As a traditional medicinal plant, *Psidium guajava* L. (Myrtaceae), has received much attention for producing many complex compounds. The therapeutic properties of *P. Guajava* include insecticidal [20], antimicrobial [21,22], antifungal [23], antiviral [24] and antioxidant properties [25]. These antioxidant and antifungal activities of leaf extract of *P. Guajava* against *Fusarium* sp,
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*Alterneria* sp. and *Colletotrichum* sp have been shown by [26] and [27] studies. More, aqueous and ethanol leaf extract of *Psidium guajava* L. have shown their efficacy against *in vitro* inhibition of *Pythium myriotylum* Drechsli, main causative agent of root rot disease in *X. sagittifolium*.

So to understand if, *Fusarium oxysporum*, can be pathogenic or saprophytic organism to *X. sagittifolium* roots, this study aims to determine the different morphotypes present in the roots of *X. sagittifolium*, to evaluate the effect of ethanol extracts of *Psidium guajava* leaves on the *in vitro* inhibition of the fungal strains, this during the interaction *F. oxysporum*- *X. sagittifolium*.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Harvesting, Isolation, Cultivation and Purification of Fusarium oxysporum Strains of the Xanthosoma sagittifolium Roots

The harvest roots of *X. sagittifolium* used to isolate *F. oxysporum* strains was carried out between October and November (at the end of the rainy season and the beginning of the dry season), in *X. sagittifolium* plants with yellow leaves and infected roots (dark in color and having a mole end). This harvest of the *X. sagittifolium* plant roots were carried out in polyculture farms of *X. sagittifolium* and other food crops in eight localities (L). *L*1 (Banda), *L*2 (Loum) *L*3 (Bangoua), *L*4 (Bangaoua), *L*5 (Abong-Mbang), *L*6 (Bansoa), *L*7 (Santa) and *L*8 (Ekona). In each harvest locality, three replications were carried out and the collected samples were mixed. Roots of *X. sagittifolium* collected were properly washed with running tap water, air-dried for a few minutes, cut into small pieces (1 cm), soaked in sterilized water, with 1% sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) for 3 min and then rinsed with distilled water as described by [28]. All of the small pieces of roots were transferred onto water agar (WA) medium in order to first observe the appearance of colonies [29] then sub-cultured on potato dextrose agar medium mixed with 200 mg/L of Streptomycin to prevent bacterial proliferation [30], until pure culture were obtained.
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REPLEX) at 170°C for 24 hours for acclimation. After three months, for each strain of \textit{F. oxysporum} isolated, 25 seedlings of \textit{X. sagittifolium} were used. The inoculum was directly leached to the root zone through the soil. Each plant was inoculated with 5ml of the suspension containing 2x10^7 cfu/ml concentration of each strain of \textit{F. oxysporum} according to the protocol of [21]. The plants were observed regularly for the appearance and development of disease symptoms. The severity of symptoms was scored on a scale ranging from 1 through 5: 1-No obvious symptoms; 2-Symptoms on 0-24% of leaves; 3-Symptoms on 25% - 50% of leaves; 4-Symptoms on 51% - 74% of leaves and 5-Symptoms on 75% -100% of leaves [39] after 14 days.

2.5 Evaluation of the Effect of \textit{P. guajava} Ethanol Leaf Extracts on \textit{Xanthosoma sagittifolium} Infected by \textit{Fusarium oxysporum}

\textit{X. sagittifolium} plants used were divided into two groups: control plants, (treated only with the ethanol solution) and the plants previously inoculated with each strain of \textit{F. oxysporum} isolated (treated with ethanol leaf extracts of \textit{P. guajava}). In each treatment 25 \textit{X. sagittifolium} plants aged three months were used. The \textit{P. guajava} leaves were cut in small pieces; washed in distilled water; dried at 40°C for 48 hours in an oven (Barnstead/Lab-Line; USA; Model No. 121). The dried leaves were ground using a mortar and pestle into fine powder, 60g of \textit{P. guajava} leaf powder were soaked in 100ml of 95% ethanol in a sterilized bottle and kept overnight at room temperature for 48h. The ethanol fraction was separated through sterilized Whatman filter paper (No.1). The supernatant collected constituted the ethanol extract of \textit{P. guajava}. The extracts obtained were applied on aerial parts and the rhizosphere of each plant, using a hand sprayer until wetness of plants according to Yasser et al., (2017). Plants were treated twice time at day 7 and day 14 (for two weeks) and disease severity score evaluated at day 14 using method of [39].

2.6 Statistical analysis

Results were expressed in the form of Means ± SD. All the statistical analysis was done using Microsoft excel. Duncan Multiple Range Test at 5% significance was used for the comparative analyses of the results with the help of SPSS 20.0.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

3.1.1 Morphological analysis of the different strains of \textit{Fusarium oxysporum} isolated from \textit{Xanthosoma sagittifolium}

Morphological analysis of the eight strains of \textit{Fusarium oxysporum} collected in each locality shows that the growth is not the same for all strains after 8 days of in vitro culture (Fig. 1). Strains collected in L1 (Soa), L2 (Banda), L3 (Loum), L4 (Bansoa) and L7 (Santa), grew faster than those collected in L4 (Bangoua), L5 (Abong-Mbang) and L8 (Ekona). the cottony or woolly form was present in all the harvesting locations (Table 1). Similarly, a variation in the staining of the strains is visible and the most dominant is the white color (strains collected in L4, L5, L6, and L8) followed by the beige color compared to the pink and purplish pink (Table 2). The pure culture showed that the cottony strain of hyphae is the most abundant in \textit{F. oxysporum} in all harvest areas.

3.1.2 Structure of conidia of \textit{F. oxysporum} strains

Microscopic observation of conidia of each strain of \textit{Fusarium oxysporum} shows that zoospores differ from one strain to another (Fig. 2). Strains of L3, L4, L6, and L7 only have microconidia (nonseptate and monoseptate). Those of L1, L2, L5, and L8 showed two types of conidia, microconidia (nonseptate and monoseptate) and macroconidia (two-septate, three-septate, and four-septate). The results also show that microconidia are most abundant in all cultivated \textit{F. oxysporum} strains. Chlamydospores are also present in strains collected in L1, L2, and L5.

3.1.3 Effect of \textit{P. guajava} ethanol leaf extracts on in vitro inhibition of radial growth of \textit{F. oxysporum}

Inhibition tests of \textit{F. oxysporum} with \textit{P. guajava} ethanol leaf extract was significant at 30 and 60% compared to the control (Table 3). This inhibition of growth is higher at 60%. The results show that radial growth of control is 9.0 cm, in strains obtained in L2 (9.0 ± 0.00 cm), L3 (9.0 ± 0.05 cm), L4 (9.0 ± 0.02 cm), L5 (9.0 ± 0.05 cm), L6 (9.0 ± 0.04 cm), L7 (9.0 ± 0.01 cm) and L8 (9.0 ± 0.00 cm) after 8 days of growth (Table 3). However, at 30%, at 30%, growth inhibition of strains of \textit{F. oxysporum} is variable.
3.1.4 Virulence test of each strain of Fusarium oxysporum harvested and inoculated to Xanthosoma sagittifolium plants obtained in vitro

The test of virulence of different strains of F. oxysporum showed that plants inoculated with strains isolated from X. sagittifolium roots harvested from L₁, L₂, L₅ and L₆ presented an overall yellowing of the leaves (Fig. 3). X. sagittifolium plants inoculated with strains from L₇ and L₈ presented wilting of the leaves of the basal part of the plant (Fig. 4A and B). However, 14 days after, plants inoculated with the F. oxysporum strains isolated from X. sagittifolium harvested from L₃ showed both yellowing and wilting of leaves (Fig. 5). All inoculated plants had several dry roots in the rhizosphere. The severity of the disease evaluated varies significantly at 5% with all the strains of F. oxysporum isolated (Fig. 7A). It is maximum 64.00±0.86% with the F. oxysporum strain isolated at L₅. The severity score was zero in the control (Fig. 7A and B). The lowest severity percentage was recorded with the F. oxysporum strain isolated at L₆. This severity is identical in strains of L₄ (56.00 ± 1.00%), L₆ (56.00 ± 0.86%) and L₈ (56.00 ± 0.50%). After treatment with ethanol extract of P. guajava in twice day 7 and day 14, there is a resumption of growth (Fig. 6). The leaves were greener and wider and the petioles more vigorous. The reduction in severity was noted in plants treated with the solution of ethanol leaf extracts from P. guajava (Fig. 7B). This reduction is 67.85% in the presence of the strain of F. oxysporum isolated at L₆. It is greater than 50% in plants of X. sagittifolium inoculated with L₃ (64.06%), L₄ (51.78%) L₇ (54.16%) and L₈ (56.25%), then treated with ethanol leaf extract of P. guajava.

Higher growth was obtained after eight days in F. oxysporum strains collected in L₃ (6.5 ± 0.03 cm) and the highest record of inhibition was with strain collected in L₂ (73.36%) after 2 days. The results also show that the percentage of inhibition decreased over time. At 60%, the inhibition of radial growth is very high for all the strains. The low growth values were observed in F. oxysporum isolated from X. sagittifolium of L₄ varying between day 2 and day 8, from 1.2 ± 0.04 to 1.5 ± 0.01 cm. This radial growth is constant in the strain harvested in L₃ (1.5 cm) (Table 2). In the strain harvested at L₅, the inhibition of growth does not vary in the presence of 30 and 60% of ethanol leaves extract concentrations. This inhibition is 4.0 ± 0.02 and 4.0 ± 0.05 cm respectively (Table 3). Inhibition of rate of growth was more with 60% ethanol leaf extracts of P. guajava. Thus, high inhibition (83.33%) was observed with strains isolated from X. sagittifolium of L₃ and L₄, after 8 days of growth. This percentage of inhibition is lower at 30% (Table 4).

Fig. 1. Aspect of growth of Fusarium oxysporum strains in Petri dishes. F. oxysporum strain harvested in different localities: L₁ (Soa), L₂ (Banda), L₃ (Loum) and L₄ (Bangoua), L₅ (Abong-Mbang), L₆ (Bansoa), L₇ (Santa) and L₈ (Ekona)
Fig. 2. Structures of the conidia of the different strains of *Fusarium oxysporum* according to the harvest locality observed under an optical microscope (Brand) at 400X. Microconidia (a), macroconidia (b) and chlamydospores (single) (c). *F. oxysporum* strain harvested in different localities: L₁ (Soa), L₂ (Banda), L₃ (Loum) and L₄ (Bangoua); L₅ (Abong-Mbang), L₆ (Bansoa), L₇ (Santa) and L₈ (Ekona)
### Table 1. Abundance of strains in roots following localities

| Harvest locality | L1 | L2 | L3 | L4 | L5 | L6 | L7 | L8 |
|------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Fluffy           | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| Woolly or cottony| +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| Sclerotal        | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| Senescent Ras    | -  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| Woolly or cottony| +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| Pionnotal        | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| Senescent Ras    | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | +  | -  |
| Woolly or cottony| +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |

*F. oxysporum strain isolated from X. sagittifolium roots in different localities: L1 (Soa), L2 (Banda), L3 (Loum) and L4 (Bangoua), L5 (Abong-Mbang), L6 (Bansoa), L7 (Santa) and L8 (Ekona). Absent (-) and present (+)*

### Table 2. The colony characters and sporulation of different strains of *F. oxysporum* collected in roots following localities

| Strains             | Texture | Color    | Density | Aerial mycelium | Growth habit | Form   | Sporulation |
|---------------------|---------|----------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--------|-------------|
| L1                  | Fluffy  | White    | Low     | Regular         | Moderate     | Radial | Abundant    |
| L2                  | Woolly  | White    | Regular | Abundant        | Abundant     | Radial | Profuse     |
| L3                  | Sclerotal| Purplish pink | Regular | Abundant        | Abundant     | Radial | Moderate    |
| L4                  | Senescent Ras | Pinkish | Abundant | Abundant        | Moderate     | Radial | Moderate    |
| L5                  | Woolly  | White    | Abundant | Abundant        | Slow         | Radial | Abundant    |
| L6                  | Pionnotal| Beige    | Regular | Regular         | Abundant     | Radial | Poor        |
| L7                  | Senescent Ras | Beige    | Regular | Abundant        | Moderate     | Radial | Moderate    |
| L8                  | Woolly  | White    | Abundant | Abundant        | Moderate     | Radial | Moderate    |

*F. oxysporum strain harvested in different localities: L1 (Soa), L2 (Banda), L3 (Loum) and L4 (Bangoua), L5 (Abong-Mbang), L6 (Bansoa), L7 (Santa) and L8 (Ekona)*
Table 3. Average radial growth of the different strains of *Fusarium oxysporum* in petri dish according to concentrations *Psidium guajava* applied

| Concentration % | Inhibition time (Days) | Average radial growth of the different strains harvested according to localities |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                 |                        | L₁ | L₂ | L₃ | L₄ | L₅ | L₆ | L₇ | L₈ |
| 0               | 0                      | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> |
|                 | 2                      | 6.0±0.02<sup>b</sup> | 6.3±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 6.0±0.06<sup>b</sup> | 5.5±0.05<sup>b</sup> | 5.9±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 6.5±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 4.9±0.11<sup>b</sup> | 6.5±0.08<sup>b</sup> |
| 4               | 7.8±0.07<sup>c</sup> | 7.8±0.14<sup>c</sup> | 7.8±0.10<sup>c</sup> | 7.8±0.07<sup>c</sup> | 7.5±0.02<sup>c</sup> | 7.9±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 7.6±0.13<sup>c</sup> | 7.5±0.10<sup>c</sup> |
| 6               | 8.7±0.01<sup>d</sup> | 8.8±0.15<sup>d</sup> | 8.6±0.05<sup>d</sup> | 8.9±0.06<sup>d</sup> | 8.7±0.00<sup>d</sup> | 8.8±0.04<sup>d</sup> | 8.5±0.09<sup>d</sup> | 8.6±0.11<sup>d</sup> |
| 8               | 8.9±0.01<sup>d</sup> | 9.0±0.00<sup>d</sup> | 9.0±0.00<sup>d</sup> | 9.0±0.00<sup>d</sup> | 9.0±0.00<sup>d</sup> | 9.0±0.04<sup>d</sup> | 9.0±0.01<sup>d</sup> | 9.0±0.00<sup>d</sup> |
| 30              | 0                      | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> |
|                 | 2                      | 1.7±0.06<sup>b</sup> | 1.3±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 5.5±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 2.2±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 1.6±0.10<sup>b</sup> | 2.2±0.03<sup>b</sup> | 1.7±0.06<sup>b</sup> | 1.9±0.01<sup>b</sup> |
|                 | 4                      | 2.0±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 1.8±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 5.5±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 3.4±0.00<sup>c</sup> | 2.6±0.09<sup>c</sup> | 2.7±0.12<sup>c</sup> | 2.3±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 3.0±0.05<sup>c</sup> |
|                 | 6                      | 3.2±0.01<sup>d</sup> | 2.6±0.09<sup>c</sup> | 5.7±0.02<sup>d</sup> | 3.9±0.11<sup>cd</sup> | 3.3±0.06<sup>d</sup> | 3.0±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 3.4±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 3.2±0.05<sup>c</sup> |
|                 | 8                      | 4.0±0.01<sup>e</sup> | 3.3±0.08<sup>d</sup> | 6.5±0.03<sup>c</sup> | 4.4±0.10<sup>d</sup> | 4.0±0.02<sup>e</sup> | 3.3±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 3.4±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 4.0±0.05<sup>d</sup> |
| 60              | 0                      | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> |
|                 | 2                      | 2.1±0.03<sup>b</sup> | 2.2±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 1.5±0.08<sup>b</sup> | 1.6±0.04<sup>b</sup> | 2.3±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 1.5±0.04<sup>b</sup> | 0.0±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 1.5±0.01 |
|                 | 4                      | 2.5±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 3.3±0.00<sup>c</sup> | 1.5±0.10<sup>b</sup> | 1.5±0.00<sup>c</sup> | 3.2±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 1.7±0.05<sup>b</sup> | 2.2±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 2.5±0.02 |
|                 | 6                      | 2.5±0.08<sup>c</sup> | 3.3±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 1.5±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 1.5±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 3.7±0.03<sup>d</sup> | 2.0±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 2.3±0.09<sup>b</sup> | 2.5±0.02 |
|                 | 8                      | 2.7±0.01<sup>e</sup> | 3.3±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 1.5±0.11<sup>b</sup> | 1.5±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 4.0±0.05<sup>d</sup> | 2.0±0.06<sup>c</sup> | 2.3±0.10<sup>b</sup> | 2.5±0.04 |

Data sharing the same letter in the same column were not significantly different at 5% level (Duncan’s multiple range tests).

*F. oxysporum* strain harvested in different localities: L₁ (Soa), L₂ (Banda), L₃ (Loum) and L₄ (Bangoua), L₅ (Abong-Mbang), L₆ (Bansoa), L₇ (Santa) and L₈ (Ekona)
Table 4. Evaluation of the average percentage of inhibition in the different strains of *Fusarium oxysporum* according to the concentrations of *Psidium guajava* applied

| Concentration (%) | Inhibition time (days) | Percentage inhibition (%) |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|                   |                        | L₁  | L₂  | L₃  | L₄  | L₅  | L₆  | L₇  | L₈  |
| 30                | 0                      | 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00|
|                   | 2                      | 71.66| 79.36| 68.33| 60.00| 72.88| 66.15| 65.30| 70.76|
|                   | 4                      | 74.35| 76.92| 69.41| 56.41| 65.33| 65.82| 69.73| 64.00|
|                   | 6                      | 63.21| 70.45| 53.72| 56.17| 62.06| 65.90| 60.00| 62.79|
|                   | 8                      | 55.05| 63.33| 27.77| 51.11| 55.55| 63.33| 62.22| 55.55|
| 60                | 0                      | 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00|
|                   | 2                      | 65.00| 65.07| 75.00| 78.18| 61.01| 76.92| 69.00| 76.92|
|                   | 4                      | 67.79| 57.69| 80.76| 83.31| 57.33| 78.84| 71.05| 66.66|
|                   | 6                      | 71.26| 62.50| 82.55| 83.14| 57.47| 77.27| 72.94| 70.93|
|                   | 8                      | 69.66| 63.33| 83.33| 83.33| 55.55| 77.77| 74.44| 72.22|

*F. oxysporum* strain harvested in different localities: L₁ (Soa), L₂ (Banda), L₃ (Loum) and L₄ (Bangoua), L₅ (Abong-Mbang), L₆ (Bansoa), L₇ (Santa) and L₈ (Ekona)
Fig. 3. Aspect of *X. sagittifolium* leaves at Day 14 after inoculation. *F. oxysporum* strain responsible of the yellowing of leaves according to the different harvesting locations: L₁ (Soa), L₂ (Banda), and L₄ (Bangoua), L₅ (Abong-Mbang) and L₆ (Bansoa). Leaves almost or completely yellow (a) and leaf showing yellowing (b)
Fig. 4. Plantlets with wilting of the leaf due to the action of *Fusarium oxysporum* after 14 days of inoculation. *F. oxysporum* strain responsible of the wilting of leaves according to the different harvesting locations: L7 (Santa) and L8 (Ekona). Withered leaves (a and b)

Fig. 5. Plantlets of *X. sagittifolium* with both yellowing (a) and wilting (b) leaves after 14 days of inoculation with *F. oxysporum* strain harvested in L3 (Loum)
Fig. 6. Aspect of leaves of inoculated plants of *X. sagittifolium* with *F. oxysporum* strains after treatment with ethanol leaves extracts of *P. guajava* at day 14. Locations: L₁ (Soa), L₂ (Banda), L₃ (Loum) and L₄ (Bangoua), L₅ (Abong-Mbang), L₆ (Bansoa), L₇ (Santa) and L₈ (Ekona)
Fig. 7. Disease severity in *X. sagittifolium*. Day 14 after inoculation (A) and day 14 after treatment of plants with ethanol leaf extract from *P. guajava* (B). Locations: L₁ (Soa), L₂ (Banda), L₃ (Loum) and L₄ (Bangoua), L₅ (Abong-Mbang), L₆ (Bansoa), L₇ (Santa) and L₈ (Ekona).
3.2 Discussion

The objective of this work was to determine the different morphotypes of Fusarium sp. present in the roots of Xanthosoma sagittifolium L. Schott. The results highlight the presence of Fusarium oxysporum, based on the identification keys of Fusarium Interactive Key (Agr & Agri-Food Canada) and Simplified Fungi Identification Key (2001). The morphological analyzes of the different strains show that the cottony appearance of the hyphae is the most abundant in F. oxysporum. This suggests that cottony form of F. oxysporum may be the most abundant in the soil. Similar results were obtained by [40], who observed an abundance of the cottony form of F. oxysporum in Trigonella foenum-graecum L. (Fenugreek). The histological analysis of the conidial structure of the different strains isolated, showed macroconidia, microconidia and chlamydospores after eight days of growth. Strains isolated from X. sagittifolium harvested from L1 and L5 are rich in macroconidia and chlamydospores, while those of L2, L4, L6, L7 and L8 are rich in microconidia.

Growth inhibition tests with the ethanol extracts of Psidium guajava showed significantly reduced growth in F. oxysporum in all isolated strains, being at the initial phase with 30% and decrease with time. This suggests that the amount of secondary metabolites released into petri dish and responsible for inhibiting the fungus decreases over time. Therefore, they are volatile compounds. Higher concentration of the ethanol extract cause low growth. This growth reduction is very high under 60% of ethanol extract of P. guajava leaves. This inhibition of growth could probably be attributed to the richness of the secondary metabolites of the leaves which have been released and which would have antifungal properties vis-à-vis F. oxysporum. [38] and [41], attribute this antifungal property of the leaves P. guajava to their richness in flavonoids, phenol, tannins and alkaloids. Moreover, [42] evidence shows that during the mechanism of antimicrobial substances to inhibit the growth of microbes, phenolic are able to change permeability of the cytoplasmic membrane which causes the leakage of nutrients from within the cell. In addition, the highest inhibition percentages of 83.33%, obtained in strains harvested from L5 and L4 localities after 8 days. This suggests that both strains are the most sensitive to the concentration of 60% ethanol leaf extract used. This concentration of 60% of ethanol leaf extract of P. guajava used would stop these strains of F. oxysporum to obtain in the PDA medium nutrients necessary for their growth.

The virulence tests carried out showed that most F. oxysporum strains used cause dry roots and leaf yellowing in X. sagittifolium. Strains of L3 caused both wilting and yellowing of the leaves. Similarly, L7 and L8 cause wilting of the leaves. This suggested that F. oxysporum is a pathogen of X. sagittifolium plants and their aggressiveness observed depends on the strain used and maybe the age of the plant. At three months of age, X. sagittifolium plants used are in the active growth stage, this would explain the susceptibility observed towards F. oxysporum strain collected. After spraying the plant with ethanol extract from P. guajava leaves, growth was accelerated, leaves were greener and wider and the petioles more were vigorous. This suggests that the ethanol leaves extract of P. guajava stimulates growth while inhibiting the action of different strains of F. oxysporum. The greener leaves observed implies stimulation of the photosynthesis mechanism. Similarly, [43] and [44] showed that, foliar application of aqueous garlic bulb extract accelerated plant growth through the stimulation of photosynthetic pigments and soluble sugar content in Schefflera arboricola Plants.

4. CONCLUSION

Most of the Fusarium oxysporum strains isolated cause leaf yellowing and dry root in Xanthosoma sagittifolium. It appears that leaf extracts of P. guajava inhibit the mycelial growth of the various strains isolated. The higher inhibition was recorded under 60% of ethanol extract of P. guajava leaves. In addition, the use of P. guajava extracts not only appears to inhibit the pathogen but can facilitate the recovery of growth in X. sagittifolium.
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