Development of a Polymerase Chain Reaction-Temporal Temperature Gradient Gel Electrophoresis Assay for Identification of *Salmonella enterica* Subspecies *enterica* Using a Hypothetical Non-specific Endonucleas *S. enterica* Gene Sequence
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Abstract

**Background:** *Salmonella* is one of the major agents of food-borne diseases that cause severe illness in humans. The conventional detection methods of these bacteria are time-consuming with low sensitivity and specificity, which limit their applications. Therefore, developing more rapid and accurate methods is urgently required in food safety programs.

**Objectives:** In this study for the first time, polymerase chain reaction-Temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TTGE) was optimized for the identification of *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *enterica* serovars in processed food samples using a single-copy sequence.

**Methods:** DNA was isolated from pure cultures of *Salmonella* and non-*Salmonella* strains. The single copy target sequence was selected and amplified by employing the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with specific primers, designed in this study, and their specificity and sensitivity were determined. The TTGE parameters, especially the temperature gradient and the time of reaction, were optimized and then this method was applied to investigate spiked food samples.

**Results:** The PCR detection sensitivity was recorded as $12 \times 10^3$ CFU/mL in artificially-contaminated food samples. The best resolution was observed at a temperature gradient from 62.5 to 67.5°C, with a ramp rate of 1°C/hour and electrophoresis for 5 hours at a constant voltage of 130 V. The TTGE patterns obtained from the artificially-contaminated samples were similar with the respected standard bacteria strains. The optimized TTGE protocol resulted in the separation of the same length PCR products into different band positions on the polyacrylamide gel.

**Conclusions:** By optimization of PCR-TTGE and determination of distinct band pattern for standard bacteria, this method can be used as a fast screening test to investigate the presence of *S. enterica*, subspecies *enterica*, directly in food samples.
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1. Background

*Salmonella* is one of the most common pathogens of food-borne disease with an estimated 93.8 million human infections and 155,000 deaths annually worldwide (1). The genus *Salmonella* is a Gram-negative, rod-shaped, facultative anaerobe belonging to the *Enterobacteriaceae* family. This genus is divided to two species, *S. enterica* and *S. bongori*. *Salmonella enterica* includes over 2500 serovars (2). Consumption of contaminated food, especially animal origins, causes most *Salmonella* infections. In order to ensure food safety, it is necessary to control *Salmonella* presence in all key stages of food production process (3). Traditional methods for detection of *Salmonella* require pre-enrichment, selective enrichment as well as isolation on selective agar, which can then be characterized by performing additional biochemical and/or serological tests. Standard culture methods are excessively time consuming (7 to 5 days) and labor intensive (4). Another problem is the lack of knowledge of the actual condition for bacterial growth in their natural resources.

Currently, investigations of *Salmonella* typing are performed by serotyping, according to the Kauffmann-White scheme (5). Serotyping has limitations in its use, antiseraum production, quality test variation, and high cost. In addition, serological tests are usually undertaken at refer-
ence laboratories and have been rarely performed in routine food or clinical laboratories. Consequently, nucleic acid-based approaches for *Salmonella* spp. detection have been popularized based on DNA or RNA polymorphism. These methods offer advantages of sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility over other methods, rapidly identifying *Salmonella* without obtaining pure cultures (6). The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a major technique of the assays.

Polymerase chain reaction-temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TTGE) is a PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis that allows separation of DNA fragments of the same length, yet, with different sequences in a temporal gradient of temperature, simultaneously (7). Most of the studies, done so far, for identification of *Salmonella* by PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) are based on 16S and 23S ribosomal DNA sequences (8, 9). The nature of multiple copies of these sequences has limitations such as determination of the banding profile (9). The nature of multiple copies of these sequences has limitations such as determination of the banding profile among these bacteria. Using this method, different bacteria strains in food samples were detectable with high accuracy.

### 2. Objectives

In the present study, the ability of a specific single-copy sequence and TTGE technique to distinguish different bacteria belonging to *S. enterica* subspecies *enterica* in food (chicken sausage) was assayed.

### 3. Methods

#### 3.1. Bacterial strains and Culture Condition

The *Salmonella* and the non-*Salmonella* bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. They were cultured on non-selective Tryptic soy broth (TSB) under aerobic conditions at 37°C for 24 hours.

#### 3.2. Rapid DNA Extraction and PCR Assay Design

Extraction of DNA was performed from pure cultures by the boiling method as follows (10): Aliquots of 1 mL of bacterial suspensions were centrifuged at 15000 g for 3 minutes. The pellet was resuspended in 500 µL of sterile deionized distilled water and vortex agitated. The suspensions were heated at 100°C for 10 minutes, immediately cooled on ice for 10 minutes and followed by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 3 minutes. The supernatant, containing DNA, was kept for use in the PCR reaction. In addition, the DNA was extracted from food samples (chicken sausage purchased from the market) and inoculated with standard *Salmonella* strains by the BIONEER DNA extraction kit, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (BIONEER Corporation, Korea).

The 254-bp fragment was amplified using the newly designed primers salF and salR. For PCR-TTGE a 40-bp GC rich fragment, the ‘GC clamp, was added for the 5’ end of the reverse primer salR. The primers were designed by the AlleleID software (version 6). Sequences of primers are shown in Table 2. The final volume of the reaction mixture was 20 µL containing 1 µL of each primer (10 PM each), 0.4 µL of dNTPs (10 mM), 0.5 µL of MgCl₂ (50 mM), 2 µL of 10X reaction buffer, 0.2 µL of Taq DNA polymerase (50 u/µL), and 2 µL of template DNA. The amplification was conducted in a thermocycler (Corbett) and the program was as follows: 94°C for 5 minutes, 35 cycles of 20 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 62°C, and 30 seconds at 72°C, followed by 7 minutes at 72°C. The PCR products were examined by electrophoresis on a 1.2% agarose gel, followed by staining with ethidium bromide and viewing under Ultraviolet light (UV) before TTGE migration.

### Table 1. Salmonella and non-Salmonella Strains Used in This Study

| Salmonella Strains                          | Non-Salmonella Bacteria Strains                        |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| *Salmonella typhimurium* (ATCC: 14028)     | *Escherichia coli* (ATCC: 25922)                       |
| *Salmonella typhi* (PTCC: 1609)            | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC: 700603)                |
| *Salmonella paratyphi B* (NCTC: 8390)      | *Proteus mirabilis* (clinical isolate)                 |
| *Salmonella dublin* (animal isolate)       | *Citrobacter freundii* (clinical isolate)              |

*The primers salF and salR were designed by the AlleleID software (version 6).*

### Table 2. Primers Used for the Amplification of the Hypothetical Non-Specific Endonuclease Gene in the Salmonella Genus

| Primer | Prime Sequence         |
|--------|------------------------|
| salF   | 5’-CGCTTCTCATCGGCAACC-3’ |
| salR   | 5’-GGCCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCCCGCCC
The PCR sensitivity was determined using an enriched overnight culture of *S. typhimurium*. A serial of 10-fold dilutions was prepared in sterile distilled water to obtain suspensions containing 100 to 10^8 Colony Forming Units (CFU) of *S. typhimurium* per milliliter. The bacterial concentrations were estimated by absorbance readings and comparison with the McFarland table. A 25-g of sliced sterile food sample (autoclaved chicken sausage sample) was transferred into 225 mL of buffered peptone water (BPW) and homogenized for 5 minutes with a homogenizer instrument (Heidolph homogenizer, DIAK 900) and inoculated with 1 mL of an overnight culture of *S. typhimurium* (12 × 10^8 CFU/mL) (11). A 1-mL aliquot was removed and transformed into a tube containing a 9 mL of sterile BPW. This action was continued to obtain a serial of 10-fold dilutions. A negative control of uninoculated sterile food sample was also included.

### 3.4. Temporal Temperature Gradient Gel Electrophoresis Analysis

After PCR, the amplicons were subsequently applied to TTGE analysis. The TGGE was performed on DCode universal mutation detection system (Bio-Rad, USA) by running on 8% acrylamide gel (160 × 160 × 1 mm) containing 6 M urea in 1X TAE buffer. The TGGE conditions such as temperature range, voltage, and time were optimized. Prior to the experiment, the initial and final temperatures were determined theoretically using the WinMelt™ software (Bio-Rad, USA). Finally, the electrophoresis was conducted with a constant voltage of 130 V, a temperature gradient from 62.5 to 67.5 and ramping rate of 1°C/h for 5 hours. A TTGE diversity ladder was generated by mixing the PCR products of the standard bacteria strains. After electrophoresis, DNA band patterns related to the standard strains and spiked food samples were observed with silver staining.

### 4. Results

Using the newly designed primers specific to *S. enterica* subspecies *enterica*, the PCR produced a sharp band of the expected 254 bp with all the examined *Salmonella* strains under optimal condition (Figure 1).

In order to examine the specificity of the newly-designed primers among the several genera of the family Enterobacteriaceae, some non-*Salmonella* strains were tested by the PCR assay. After optimizing the PCR conditions and reagent concentrations, at an annealing temperature of 62°C and 1.25 mM MgCl2, PCR analysis of non-*Salmonella* strains showed no detectable bands (Figure 2).

To determine the limits of detection (LOD) and sensitivity of the PCR assay, the standard strain of *S. typhimurium* was serially diluted in sterile distilled water. The dilutions were used directly for DNA extraction by the boiling method. In addition, serial dilutions of the spiked chicken sausage were used for DNA extraction by the BIONEER DNA extraction kit, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The detection limits were established by examination on

---

**Figure 1.** Polymerase Chain Reaction Products of the Target Sequence Amplified With Primers salF and salR in the Salmonella Standard Strains

Each lane represents: 1) 100 bp DNA ladder, 2) negative control, 3) *S. typhimurium*, 4) *S. typhi*, 5) *S. paratyphi B*, 6) *S. dublin*, and 7) schematic ladder.

**Figure 2.** Polymerase Chain Reaction Products of the Target Sequence Amplified With Primers salF and salR in the Salmonella and non-Salmonella Strains

Each lane represents: 1) 100 bp DNA ladder, 2) negative control, 3) *S. typhimurium*, 4) *E. Coli*, 5) *Proteus mirabilis*, 6) *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and 7) *Citrobacter freundii*. 

---
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the lowest dilution of the extracted DNA. The LOD of the PCR assay was found to be $12 \times 10^5 \text{ CFU/mL}$ for the standard strain and $12 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU/mL}$ for the spiked food sample. Also, a faint band was observed at $10^3 \text{ CFU/mL}$ (Figures 3 and 4).

After designing the specific primers and ensuring their performance in the PCR assay, the products of the standard strains and the spiked food samples, were subjected to the TTGE analysis. The sequence difference in target sequences of the examined bacteria was 2 to 8 nucleotides. It was shown that DNA from all *Salmonella* strains, tested in this research, migrated to different distances and successfully differentiated under optimized conditions. The TGGE analysis of DNA from spiked food samples resulted in unique band positions and migrated the equivalent distances with the *Salmonella* standard strains, suggesting the high reproducibility and accuracy in the identification of *Salmonella* strains in food matrices (Figure 5). The molecular ladder is also detectable in Figure 5.

5. Discussion

*Salmonella* is one of the major agents of food-borne diseases, which causes severe illness in humans. It is estimated that the infections due to *Salmonella* cause 1.4 million cases annually and result in economic losses between 500 million to 2.3 billion dollars in the US (12, 13). Poultry products can be the main source of human diseases caused by the pathogenic bacteria of *Salmonella* (14). Polymerase chain reaction has been reported widely for detection of *Salmonella* spp. and various pathogens. Meanwhile, the application of this method in the area of food testing compared to the clinical laboratories is limited (15).

In the recent years, culture-independent methods of PCR-DGGE and PCR-TTGE are used in evaluation and dynamic displaying of the microbial population of fermented foods such as silica cheese and Italian fermented
several studies, including multiplex PCR and real-time multiplex PCR, have been conducted to identify some serotypes of S. enterica, simultaneously (10, 20, 21). Multiplex tests identify only a limited number of species in each reaction. Despite the advantage of real-time PCR by providing both qualitative and quantitative results without the need for electrophoresis, the common limitation of multiplex real-time PCR in routine food tests, is its high cost in terms of both devices and materials. Also, the numbers of species, which can simultaneously be detected by this method, are limited because of the low number of fluorophore varieties.

An advantage of the TTGE method is that it can evaluate microbial population in a single test, simultaneously, using one pair of primers. This could reduce the cost and the time of the test. This study indicated the very high sensitivity of the test in detecting the nucleotide differences among sequences, suggesting the entire process as a good method for rapid screening of food-born Salmonella species; Roudiere et al. in 2009 also isolated 53 different bacterial species from feces samples of infants with optimized TTGE conditions (22). This technique is also efficient for the detection of microorganisms, which are only 1% of the population, and there is no need to prepare the denaturing gradient of the chemicals, compared to DGGE. This makes it an easy and user-friendly method (23).

In general, TTGE has the advantages of simultaneous isolation of several serotypes in one experiment, without the use of several primers for amplification of different target sequences. However, TTGE has limitations such as DNA extraction and amplification reaction. Also, only small fragments, up to 500 basepairs, can be analyzed with this method. This limits the amount of sequence information for phylogenetic studies. Furthermore, achieving a good resolution for the analysis of mixed bacterial populations is a problem (24).

The optimized and more specific results of the PCR-TTGE obtained in this study, proved this method as an appropriate method to detect bacteria belonging to species S. enterica, subspecies enterica in the inoculated food samples. However, for development of an efficient method for direct detection of bacteria in natural food samples and/or environmental or hospital samples, more research is required.
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