CBX6 is negatively regulated by EZH2 and plays a potential tumor suppressor role in breast cancer
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Chromobox 6 (CBX6) is a subunit of Polycomb Repressive Complex 1 (PRC1) that mediates epigenetic gene repression and acts as an oncogene or tumor suppressor in a cancer type-dependent manner. The specific function of CBX6 in breast cancer is currently undefined. In this study, a comprehensive analysis of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) dataset led to the identification of CBX6 as a consistently downregulated gene in breast cancer. We provided evidence showing enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) negatively regulated CBX6 expression in a Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2)-dependent manner. Exogenous overexpression of CBX6 inhibited cell proliferation and colony formation, and induced cell cycle arrest along with suppression of migration and invasion of breast cancer cells in vitro. Microarray analyses revealed that CBX6 governs a complex gene expression program. Moreover, CBX6 induced significant downregulation of bone marrow stromal cell antigen-2 (BST2), a potential therapeutic target, via interactions with its promoter region. Our collective findings support a tumor suppressive role of CBX6 in breast cancer.

Polycomb group proteins (PcG) are important epigenetic regulators that function to maintain transcriptional repression. These proteins assemble into two major complexes in mammals, designated Polycomb Repressive Complex 1 (PRC1) and 2 (PRC2). The core components of the PRC2 complex include EZH1/2, SUZ12, EED, and RBAP46/48. Several other cofactors, such as JARID2, AEBP2 and Polycomb-like proteins (PCL1/PHF1, PCL2/MTF2, PCL3/PHF19) act as recruiters or modulators of PRC2 enzymatic activity
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transition (EMT) by repressing SNAIL expression\textsuperscript{22}. The results of our primary study suggest that CBX6 is downregulated in glioblastomas and its overexpression reduces cell proliferative capacity\textsuperscript{23}. However, frequent upregulation of CBX6 in HCC in association with promotion of cancer cell growth, both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}, and poor prognosis has also been reported\textsuperscript{24}. Therefore, the functions of individual CBX proteins in any cancer type should be investigated separately. While a number of CBX proteins, such as CBX2, 4, 7, and 8, have been shown to play vital roles in breast cancer progression\textsuperscript{25–28}, the specific function of CBX6 in breast cancer progression remains to be elucidated.

**Results**

**CBX6 is frequently downregulated in human breast cancer.** To determine the specific role of CBX6 in breast cancer, we comprehensively analyzed The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) dataset for aberrant expression of this gene (GSE62944). Significant downregulation of CBX6 was observed in breast cancer tissues compared with controls, as shown in Fig. 1A. Gene expression profiling experiments have facilitated the identification of several subtypes of breast cancer, including luminal A, luminal B, HER2-enriched, and basal-like. Examination of the TCGA dataset revealed that CBX6 is not differentially expressed in different subtypes of breast cancer (Supplementary Fig. S1A). CBX6 expression was further analyzed in breast cancer samples with different histological grades. Our data showed similar expression profiles of CBX6 at different stages (Supplementary Fig. S1B). To extend these observations, we tried to examine the expression of CBX6 by immunohistochemistry (IHC) in
normal breast and breast cancer tissues. The signals detected using the CBX6 antibody (Millipore 09-030) are mainly located in the cytoplasm and connective tissues (Supplementary Fig. S2A). We interpreted that the IHC signal generated from this antibody was nonspecific, because CBX6 is primarily a nuclear protein as revealed by the immunofluorescence analysis of GFP-CBX6 fusion in MCF-7 cells (Supplementary Fig. S2B). The antibody recognized CBX6 immunoprecipitated from cell lysates (Supplementary Fig. S2C), and a band at the correct molecular weight of CBX6 in total cell lysates, but showed cross-reactivity with nonspecific bands of higher molecular weight. Next, the expression of CBX6 was assessed by qRT-PCR and by Western blotting using the antibody (Millipore 09-030) in a human non-tumorigenic epithelial cell line, MCF-10A, and two human breast adenocarcinoma cell lines, MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231. Consistently, CBX6 was significantly downregulated in breast cancer cells, compared with non-tumorigenic epithelial cells (Fig. 1B). In view of these findings, the association between CBX6 levels and clinical progression of breast cancer was further explored. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of the TCGA breast cancer dataset showed the overall survival time did not significantly differ between patients with high CBX6 expression and low CBX6 expression when bifurcating gene expression at the median (HR = 0.94, P = 0.61) (Fig. 1C). However, survival analysis by the Kaplan-Meier plotter 29, which curated the survival and gene expression data (Affymetrix microarray platform) of 5,143 breast cancer patients deposited in GEO 29, showed patients with high CBX6 expression displayed significantly longer overall survival (OS) (HR = 0.78, P = 0.021) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) (HR = 0.73, P = 2.7e-08) than those with lower CBX6 expression 29 (Supplementary Fig. S3). The discrepancy between the two datasets suggests the correlation between CBX6 expression and breast cancer patient survival needs further study.

EZH2 negatively regulates CBX6 in breast cancer. The mechanisms underlying CBX6 downregulation in breast cancer are not known at present. To identify the specific regulatory factors of CBX6 expression, we data-mined the ChIP-sequencing (ChIP-Seq) datasets deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). Interestingly, EZH2 and H3K27me3 peaks were detected at the CBX6 promoter in human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC) (Supplementary Fig. S4A), and a negative correlation between EZH2 and CBX6 expression was observed in MCF-10A, MCF-7, and MDA-MB-231 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4B). EZH2, which is highly expressed in breast cancer, promotes tumor progression and is associated with poorer patient outcome 31. To further ascertain whether EZH2 is involved in regulation of CBX6, we performed overexpression and knockdown experiments in MCF-7 cells. CBX6 levels were markedly decreased upon EZH2 overexpression (Fig. 2A). Conversely, knockdown of EZH2 led to significant upregulation of CBX6 (Fig. 2B). Examination of the TCGA dataset further confirmed the negative correlation between EZH2 and CBX6 expression patterns in breast cancer (Spearman r = −0.209, P < 0.0001) (Fig. 2C).

Regulation of CBX6 expression by EZH2 is PRC2-dependent. The gene regulatory activity of EZH2 can either be PRC2-dependent or -independent. Accordingly, we investigated whether or not EZH2-mediated downregulation of CBX6 is influenced by PRC2. To this end, MCF-7 cells were treated with the EZH2 inhibitor, EPZ-6438. As shown in Fig. 3A, following EPZ-6438 treatment, the H3K27me3 level was dramatically decreased and that of CBX6 was significantly increased in MCF-7 cells. EED, a core component of PRC2, is indispensable for PRC2 activity. We employed three different siRNAs for EED knockdown in MCF-7 cells, which all led to a marked increase in CBX6 expression (Fig. 3B). In addition, EZH2 overexpression no longer affected CBX6 expression after EED knockdown in MCF-7 cells (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, H3K27me3 enrichment at the CBX6 promoter was detected using ChIP-qPCR (Fig. 3D), indicating regulation of CBX6 expression by EZH2 is PRC2-dependent.

CBX6 inhibits proliferation and induces cell cycle arrest in MCF-7 cells. To determine the biological significance of CBX6 dysregulation, we generated stable MCF-7 cell lines expressing vector alone or FLAG-tagged CBX6 (Fig. 4A) and examined the effects of CBX6 overexpression on cell growth. As shown in Fig. 4B, CBX6-overexpressing MCF-7 cells grew moderately slower, compared with control cells transfected with the empty vector. Moreover, CBX6-overexpressing cells formed fewer and smaller colonies relative to the control group (empty vector) (Fig. 4C, upper panel). This effect was further confirmed by measuring absorbance after solubilization of cell-bound crystal violet (Fig. 4C, lower panel). To assess whether the lower growth rate of CBX6-overexpressing cells is attributable to alterations in the cell cycle, we examined cell cycle distribution via flow cytometry. Our data showed a higher percentage of CBX6-overexpressing cells in the G1 phase and a lower percentage in the S phase, compared with control cells expressing empty vector (Fig. 4D).

CBX6 inhibits migration and invasion of MCF-7 cells. Metastasis is an essential hallmark of cancer. To investigate the effects of CBX6 on metastasis and invasion, we performed cell migration and invasion assays in vitro. In the wound healing assay, CBX6-overexpressing MCF-7 cells showed delayed wound healing closure, compared to control cells (Fig. 5A). Similarly, CBX6 overexpression induced a dramatic reduction in migration and invasion of MCF-7 cells in a Transwell assay (Fig. 5B,C).

Gene expression changes induced by CBX6 overexpression. To clarify the mechanisms underlying CBX6 activity in breast cancer progression, MCF-7 cells stably overexpressing the gene were subjected to microarray analysis. In total, 525 genes were differentially expressed between CBX6-overexpressing and vector control cells, among which 234 were downregulated (log, fold change < −0.6, P < 0.05) and 291 were upregulated (log, fold change > 0.6, P < 0.05) in CBX6-overexpressing cells (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Table S2). Gene ontology analysis revealed that upregulated genes in CBX6-overexpressing cells are involved in epithelial cell differentiation and epithelium development whereas downregulated genes participate in the cell cycle, cell division, cell migration, cell development, regulation of intracellular signal transduction and cellular response to stress (Fig. 6B).

To gain more insights into the functional consequences of restoring CBX6 expression in MCF7 cells, we performed gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA), and found that multiple cell cycle genes, included
Figure 2. CBX6 is negatively regulated by EZH2. (A) Overexpression of EZH2 leads to downregulation of CBX6. Left and middle panels: qRT-PCR analysis of EZH2 and CBX6 mRNA in control (empty vector) and EZH2-overexpressing cells using GAPDH for normalization. Data are presented as means ± S.D. from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05. Right panel: Western blot analysis of EZH2 and CBX6 protein levels in control (empty vector) and EZH2-overexpressing cells using GAPDH as a loading control. MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with an empty or EZH2 vector for 48 hours, then cells were harvested, and total RNA and proteins were extracted for analysis. (B) Knockdown of EZH2 using siRNA leads to upregulation of CBX6. Left and middle panels: qRT-PCR analysis of EZH2 and CBX6 mRNA levels in siRNA control and EZH2 knockdown cells using GAPDH for normalization. Data are presented as means ± S.D. from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05. Right: Western blot analysis of protein levels in siRNA control and EZH2 knockdown cells using GAPDH as a loading control. MCF-7 cells were transfected with control siRNA or siEZH2, total RNA and protein were extracted 48 h post-transfection for analysis. (C) Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient indicating a negative correlation between CBX6 and EZH2 mRNA levels in breast cancer tissues based on The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) RNA-Seq data.
in the gene matrices of the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Cell cycle, REACTOME cell cycle checkpoints and REACTOME G2_M checkpoints, are downregulated in CBX6-overexpressing (CBX6-OE) MCF-7 cells (Fig. 6C). Specifically, several cyclins including G1/S Cyclin CCND1 and CCNE1 are downregulated in CBX6-OE MCF-7 cells, consistent with the slight G1-arrest in CBX6-OE cells. Meanwhile, the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor gene, including CDKN1B (p27), CDKN1A (p21) are upregulated in CBX6-OE MCF-7 cells.

Paradoxically, CDK2B, the neighboring gene of CDKN2A, is upregulated in CBX6-OE MCF-7 cells. MYC, the oncogene which amplifies the output of the existing gene expression program, and promotes tumorigenesis by regulating cell growth, proliferation and metabolism, is downregulated by CBX6 overexpression (Fig. 6D). All these results indicate that CBX6 governs a complex gene expression program.

BST2 is directly downregulated by CBX6. PcG proteins have context-dependent actions on gene expression. We reasoned high-confidence direct targets of CBX6 should have a greater tendency to be modulated by CBX6 in different cell line models. To ascertain potentially significant genes in the CBX6-associated tumorigenesis, we performed microarray analysis on a U251MG glioma cell line overexpressing CBX6 that we previously...
established (Deng et al., unpublished results). Searching for genes affected led to the identification of multiple genes repressed by CBX6’s overexpression in both cell lines, including bone marrow stromal cell antigen 2 (BST2). BST2, which encodes a type II integral membrane protein that inhibits the release of enveloped viruses through its homodimerization\(^36,37\), is overexpressed in multiple cancers including breast cancer\(^37\). BST2 was demonstrated to promote tumor survival, invasion and metastasis through a plethora of mechanisms\(^37,38\).

We applied qRT-PCR and western blot analyses to confirm the regulation of BST2 by CBX6. As expected, overexpression of CBX6 in MCF-7 cells was associated with decreased levels of BST2 mRNA and protein (Fig. 7A). Examination of the CBX6-OE U251MG cells and its control revealed that overexpression of CBX6 also caused a significant decrease in BST2 in U251MG cells (Fig. 7B). We further determined whether CBX6 directly targets BST2. As shown in Fig. 7C, both CBX6 and H3K27me3 were significantly enriched at the BST2 promoter in CBX6-OE MCF-7 cells. Moreover, examination of TCGA dataset disclosed a marked increase in BST2 expression in breast cancer (Supplementary Fig. S5) and CBX6 and BST2 were negatively correlated in breast cancer (Spearman \(r = -0.1804, P < 0.0001\)) (Fig. 7D). Based on these findings, we propose that CBX6 potentially plays a tumor suppressor role through repression of BST2 in breast cancer.
Discussion
PcG-mediated gene silencing plays a critical role in cancer development. CBX6, a subunit of PRC1, reads the crucial epigenetic marker, H3K27me3, written by PRC2. Recent studies have reported that CBX6 assembles into PRC1 and its knockdown via shRNA induces spontaneous differentiation of embryonic stem cells (ESC), supporting a role as an essential regulator of ESC identity. Ectopic expression of CBX6 is reported to block reprogramming and its dysregulation implicated in different types of malignancies. Experiments in the current study revealed significant downregulation of CBX6 in breast cancer. CBX6 inhibited cell proliferation and induced cell cycle arrest in MCF-7 in vitro. Moreover, cell migration and invasion rates were significantly reduced upon CBX6 overexpression.

We examined changes in gene expression profiles caused by CBX6 overexpression via microarray analysis. Consistent with functional experimental results, genes downregulated in association with CBX6 overexpression are involved in the cell cycle, division and migration. For example, CBX6 represses expressions of G1/S Cyclin CCND1 and CCNE1 in MCF-7 cells. Both CCND1 and CCNE1 were reported to be repressed by PcG proteins: CBX7, a paralog of CBX6, binds the CCNE1 promter and represses the expression of CCNE1 partly through HDAC2 in mice and human lung carcinomas and gliomas; JARID2, a co-factor facilitating genomic targeting of PRC2, represses CCND1’s expression in cardiomyocytes and leukemia. In this study, we also found CBX6 repressed MYC expression in MCF-7 cells. Kaur et al. reported that MYC limits its own expression by a feedback loop mediated by EZH2, the finding here suggests CBX6 might participate in the process. In addition to amplifying transcription, paradoxically, MYC represses discrete sets of genes through direct and indirect mechanisms. Best characterized MYC-repressed genes include CDKN1A, CDKN1B and CDKN2B, all of which are upregulated in the MCF-7 cell upon CBX6 over-expression, suggesting a potential CBX6-MYC-CDK inhibitors pathway regulating cell cycle progression. Nevertheless, the results here indicate that CBX6 regulates complex regulatory circuits, further research is needed to ascertain the effects of CBX6 on gene expression, elucidate the detailed mechanisms by which CBX6 controls gene expression, directly or indirectly.
Figure 6. Effects of CBX6 overexpression on gene expression in MCF-7 cells. (A) Gene expression was examined by HumanHT-12 v4Expression BeadChip microarrays (Illumina). The volcano plot shows statistical significance (−log_{10} (P-value) plotted against log_{2} fold change of genes for CBX6-overexpressing cells against control (empty vector) cells. Differentially expressed genes were selected based on criteria of P < 0.05 and absolute log_{2} fold change >0.6. (B) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of significantly altered genes in CBX6-overexpressing cells. (C) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of gene expression profiles of MCF-7 cells overexpressing CBX6 (CBX6-OE) versus control. Shown are results using REACTOME “Cell Cycle”, “Cell Cycle Checkpoints”, “G2-M Checkpoints” and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) “Cell Cycle” as the interrogating gene sets. **P ≤ 0.01. (D) The expression heatmap of genes included in the gene set of KEGG “Cell Cycle” in MCF-7 cells overexpressing CBX6 (CBX6-OE), and control MCF-7 cells (Ctrl) which are stably transfected with the empty vector.
In addition to breast cancer, CBX6 is reported to be downregulated in glioblastomas although the underlying mechanisms are still unknown. EZH2 is highly expressed in multiple cancers, including glioblastoma and breast cancer. Moreover, high expression of EZH2 is associated with aggressive disease and poor outcome. To date, several small molecule inhibitors targeting EZH2 have been investigated in preclinical and clinical trials. PcG proteins are suggested to auto-regulate their own expression in human embryonic fibroblasts. Overexpression and knockdown experiments in the current study showed that EZH2, the catalytic subunit of PRC2, repressed the expression of CBX6. Earlier studies suggest that gene expression regulated by EZH2 is either PRC2-dependent or independent. Here, we observed upregulation of CBX6 expression by the EZH2 inhibitor EPZ-6438. Knockdown of EED led to upregulation of CBX6 in MCF-7 cells. Additionally, EZH2 overexpression did not affect CBX6 expression after knockdown of EED in MCF-7 cells. Our data collectively indicate that downregulation of CBX6 by EZH2 requires intact PRC2 activity.

Bone marrow stromal cell antigen 2 (BST2), also known as CD317 or tetherin, is a lipid raft-associated type 2 transmembrane glycoprotein. BST2 promotes activation of NF-kB, leading to the production of proinflammatory factors involved in inhibition of viral replication and additionally implicated in blocking virus release via physically tethering to the cell surface. BST2 may be involved in cell-to-cell interactions in view of the finding that purified extracellular domains of the protein inhibit the adhesion of human monocytes to HUVECs. Inflammatory responses and cell adhesion contribute to tumorigenesis, indicating a role in cancer progression. Accumulating studies have provided evidence that BST2 is involved in tumor progression in many cancer types, including breast cancer. Yi et al. demonstrated that BST2 is upregulated in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer.
cells and enhances the invasion and migration capacities of tumor cells. Mahauad-Fernandez’s research group reported that knockdown of BST2 inhibits mammary tumor growth and metastasis, both in vitro and in vivo, and high BST2 expression in breast tumors is positively associated with tumor size and aggressiveness as well as poor patient survival. In addition, monoclonal antibodies against BST2 possess significant antitumor activity in lymphoma and endometrial cancers. In view of the combined results, BST2 is proposed as an ideal therapeutic target for breast cancer. In the current study, CBX6 significantly suppressed BST2 expression in both MCF-7 and U251MG cells, implying that this process is independent of cell type. Additionally, CBX6 bound directly to the BST2 promoter and increased enrichment of H3K27me3.

In conclusion, our experiments provide evidence of significant downregulation of CBX6 expression in breast cancer. CBX6 was negatively regulated by EZH2 in a PRC2-dependent manner. Overexpression of CBX6 inhibited the proliferation and metastasis capacity in breast cancer cells in vitro, altered the expression of genes involved in cell cycle regulation and other pathways. Moreover, CBX6 exerted a marked suppressive effect on expression of BST2 through binding to its promoter and altering histone modification. To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate a tumor suppressor role of CBX6 in breast cancer.

Methods

Bioinformatic analysis. mRNA expression profiles and clinical information on the 1119 breast carcinomas and 113 normal samples were obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data portal. Gene set enrichment analysis was performed as described. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6 or the Kaplan-Meier plotter (www.kmplot.com). Cell culture and drug treatment. MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 were kindly provided by Professor Edwin Chong Wing Cheung (Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Macau) and MCF-10A by Professor Lijun Di (Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Macau). C2C12 was purchased from the Cell Resources Center of Shanghai Institute for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). MCF-7, MDA-MB-231 and C2C12 cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco) containing 10% FBS. MCF10A cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 0.5 μg/mL hydrocortisone (Sigma), 10 μg/mL insulin (Thermo Fisher), and 20 ng/mL HEGF (Thermo Fisher). All cells were tested negative for mycoplasma contamination and were maintained at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. For drug treatment, MCF-7 cells were seeded in 6-well plates and incubated overnight, followed by treatment with 50 μM EPZ6438 (Selleck Chemicals). Control cells were treated with 0.1% DMSO. All cells were treated for 5 consecutive days followed by extraction of protein and RNA for analysis.

Plasmids and transfection. Human CBX6-Myc-DDK cloned into pCMV6-Entry was purchased from OriGene (RC204166). Human EZH2 was amplified using cDNA derived from MCF-7 cells as a template and cloned into pcDNA3.2/GW/D-TOPO expression vector (Invitrogen). Expression vectors were transfected into MCF-7 cells with Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells transfected with the corresponding empty expression vector served as the controls. At 48 h post-transfection, protein and RNA were isolated for analysis. For generating a CBX6-expressing stable cell line, 1 mg/mL G418 (Gibco) was added to the culture medium to screen for stable clones. Expression of CBX6 mRNA and protein was verified via qRT-PCR and western blot analysis, respectively. For knockdown experiments, a pool of siRNAs targeting EZH2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc35312) and three EED siRNAs (Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT)) were employed. MCF-7 cells were transfected with test or control siRNA at a final concentration of 50 nM using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX transfection reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. At 48 h post-transfection, protein and RNA were harvested for analysis.

Cell proliferation assay. MCF-7 cells (2 × 10⁴) were plated in 96-well plates in 100 μL medium. Cell proliferation was analyzed using the CellTiter-Glo® Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) for five consecutive days.

Colony formation assay. MCF-7 cells were seeded into 6-well plates at a density of 500 cells per well. At 15 days after seeding, each well was stained with 0.1% crystal violet and methanol added to solubilize the dye. Absorbance at 540 nm was read using a Spark Multimode microplate reader (Tecan).

Flow cytometry analysis of the cell cycle. MCF-7 cells were seeded into 6-well plates and synchronized via starvation (without serum) for 24 h, followed by culturing under normal conditions for 24 h. Cells were harvested and stained using cell cycle and apoptosis analysis kits (Beyotime) and analyzed with a FACS scan flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). The relative ratios of G1, S, and G2 phases were analyzed using the FlowJo 2.8 software.

Wound healing assay. MCF-7 cells were seeded into 6-well plates. After cells reached 90% confluence, cross lines were generated using a sterile pipette tip. Images of cells were obtained after 0, 12 and 36 h post-wounding using the EVOS FL cell imaging system (Thermo Fisher).

Transwell assay. The Transwell assay was performed in 24-well chambers (Corning). For the migration assay, 2.5 × 10⁵ cells were resuspended in serum-free medium and added to the upper chamber. The bottom chamber was filled with 500 μL culture medium containing 10% FBS. After culturing for 24 hours, cells on the lower surface of the membrane were stained with 0.1% crystal violet and photographed using the Carl Zeiss Axio Observer microscope. For the invasion assay, the upper chamber surface of the basement membrane of the Transwell was coated with Matrigel (Corning). Aliquots of cells (1 × 10⁶) were resuspended in serum-free
medium and added to the upper chamber. The bottom chamber was filled with 500 μL culture medium containing 10% FBS. After culturing for 36 hours, cells on the lower surface of the membrane were stained with 0.1% crystal violet and photographed using the Carl Zeiss Axio Observer microscope.

**Total RNA extraction.** Total RNA was extracted using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Genomic DNA was digested with an RNase-free DNase kit (Qiagen) and subsequently purified using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration and quality of RNA were determined using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies).

**Gene expression profiling and analysis.** Total RNA was amplified and labeled using the TargetAmp™–Nano Labeling Kit for Illumina Expression BeadChip (Epicentre Biotechnologies). Labeled cRNA was purified with the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and hybridized on HumanHT-12 v4 Expression BeadChip microarrays (Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Hybridized arrays were scanned using the Illumina iScan, and the image data was extracted using the Illumina Genome Studio software. Gene ontology analysis was conducted using the PANTHER Overrepresentation Test (http://www.geneontology.org/).

**Quantitative real-time reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).** Total RNA (1 μg) was used to synthesize cDNA using a PrimeScript™ RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was conducted using the iTaq™ Universal SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) in the CFX 96 thermocycler (Bio-Rad). Assays were performed in triplicate and repeated three times. The relative expression level of the gene of interest was normalized to that of GAPDH and calculated according to the 2–ddCt method. The primers used in this study are presented in Supplementary Table S1.

**Western blot.** Whole cell lysates were harvested using RIPA Lysis and Extraction Buffer (Invitrogen) supplemented with the protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). The extracted protein was separated via SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Pall Corporation). Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk and incubated with the following antibodies: CBX6 (Millipore 05-1320), FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich F1804), EZH2 (Cell Signaling Technology 5246S), H3K27me3 (Abcam ab6002), EED (Millipore 05-1320), BST2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-390719), GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology 5174s), H3 (Abcam ab1791), followed by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 1:5000) for 60 min at room temperature. Signals were detected with the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) detection system (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP).** Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed using the EZ-Magna ChIP™ A/G Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were cross-linked with 1% paraformaldehyde and sonicated to obtain fragments between 0.2 and 0.5 kb. Chromatin was incubated with anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich F1804), anti-H3K27me3 (Millipore 07-449) or control mouse IgG (Cell Signal Technology 5415) antibodies and protein A/G beads over-night. DNA was extracted and used for ChIP-qPCR analysis. Enrichment levels are presented as a percentage of input chromatin. The primers are presented in Supplementary Table S1.

**References**

1. Li, G. et al. Jarid2 and PRC2, partners in regulating gene expression. *Genes Dev.* **24**, 368–380, https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1886410 (2010).
2. Cao, R. & Zhang, Y. SUZ12 is required for both the histone methyltransferase activity and the silencing function of the EED-EZH2 complex. *Molecular cell* **15**, 57–67, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2004.06.020 (2004).
3. Cai, L. et al. An H3K36 methylation–engaging Tudor motif of polycomb-like proteins mediates PRC2 complex targeting. *Molecular cell* **49**, 571–582, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.11.026 (2013).
4. Li, H. et al. Polycomb-like proteins link the PRC2 complex to CpG islands. *Nature* **549**, 287–291, https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature23881 (2017).
5. Cao, R. & Zhang, Y. The functions of E(Z)/EZH2: mediated methylation of lysine 27 in histone H3. *Current opinion in genetics & development* **14**, 155–164, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2004.02.001 (2004).
6. Blackledge, N. P. et al. Variant PRC1 complex-dependent H2A ubiquitination drives PRC2 recruitment and polycomb domain formation. *Cell* **157**, 1445–1459, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.004 (2014).
7. Endoh, M. et al. Histone H2A mono-ubiquitination is a crucial step to mediate PRC1-dependent repression of developmental genes to maintain ES cell identity. *PLoS genetics* **8**, e1002774, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002774 (2012).
8. Cao, R. et al. Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in Polycomb-group silencing. *Science* (New York, N.Y.) **298**, 1039–1043, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1076997 (2002).
9. Tavares, L. et al. RYBP-PRC1 complexes mediate H2A ubiquitination at polycomb target sites independently of PRC2 and H3K27me3. *Cell* **148**, 664–678, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.12.029 (2012).
10. Shan, Y. et al. PRC2 specifies ectoderm lineages and maintains pluripotency in primed but not naive ESCs. *Nature communications* **8**, 672, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14976 (2017).
11. Rajasekhar, V. K. & Begemann, M. Concise review: roles of polycomb group proteins in development and disease: a stem cell perspective. *Stem cells (Dayton, Ohio)* **25**, 2498–2510, https://doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2006-06080 (2007).
12. Entrevan, M., Schuettengruber, B. & Cavalli, G. Regulation of Genome Architecture and Function by Polycomb Proteins. *Trends in cell biology* **26**, 511–525, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2016.04.009 (2016).
13. Vizan, P., Beringer, M., Ballard, C. & Di Croce, L. Role of PRC2-associated factors in stem cells and disease. *The FEBBS journal* **282**, 1723–1735, https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.13083 (2015).
14. Richly, H., Aloia, L. & Di Croce, L. Roles of the Polycomb group proteins in stem cells and cancer. *Cell death & disease* **2**, e204, https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2011.84 (2011).
15. de Nigris, F. Epigenetic regulators: Polycomb-miRNA circuits in cancer. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* **1859**, 697–704, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2016.03.005 (2016).
16. Kuustov, L. et al. Recognition and specificity determinants of the human cbx chromodomains. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **286**, 521–529, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.191411 (2011).
17. Clermont, P. L. et al. Genotranscriptomic meta-analysis of the Polycomb gene CBX2 in human cancers: initial evidence of an oncogenic role. *British journal of cancer* **111**, 1663–1672, https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2014.474 (2014).
18. Scales, M., Jager, R., Migliorini, G., Houlston, R. S. & Henrion, M. Y. visPGIC—A web tool for producing multi-region, multi-track, multi-scale plots of genetic data. *PloS one* **9**, e107497, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107497 (2014).
19. Wang, X. et al. CBX4 Suppresses Metastasis via Recruitment of HDAC3 to the Runx2 Promoter in Colorectal Carcinoma. *Cancer research* **76**, 7277–7289, https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.can-16-2100 (2016).
20. Pallante, P., Forzati, F., Federico, A., Arra, C. & Fusco, A. Polycomb protein family member CBX7 plays a critical role in cancer progression. *American journal of cancer research* **5**, 1594–1601 (2015).
21. Zhang, C. Z. et al. CBX8 exhibits oncogenic activity via AKT/beta-Catenin activation in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer research, https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.can-17-0700 (2017).
22. Wang, G. et al. CBX8 Suppresses Tumor Metastasis via Repressing Snail in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Theranostics* **7**, 3478–3488, https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.20717 (2017).
23. Li, G. et al. Altered expression of polycomb group genes in glioblastoma multiforme. *PloS one* **8**, e80970, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080970 (2013).
24. Mei, S. et al. Cistrome Data Browser: a data portal for ChIP-Seq and chromatin accessibility data in human and mouse. *Nucleic acids research* **45**, D658–D662, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw803 (2017).
25. Chen, W. Y. et al. Chromobox homology 2 protein: A novel biomarker for predicting prognosis and Taxol sensitivity in patients with breast cancer. *Oncology letters* **13**, 1149–1156, https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2016.5529 (2017).
26. Zeng, J. S. et al. CBX4 exhibits oncogenic activity in breast cancer via Notch1 signaling. *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2017.12.006 (2017).
27. Kim, H. Y., Park, J. H., Won, H. Y., Lee, J. Y. & Kong, G. CBX7 inhibits breast tumorigenicity through DKK-1-mediated suppression of the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. *FASEB journal: official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology* **29**, 300–313, https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.14-253997 (2015).
28. Chung, C. Y. et al. Cbx8 Acts Non-actually with Wdr5 to Promote Mammary Tumorogenesis. *Cell reports* **16**, 472–486, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.06.002 (2016).
29. Gyorffy, B. et al. An online survival analysis tool to rapidly assess the effect of 22,277 genes on breast cancer prognosis using microarray data of 1,809 patients. *Breast cancer research and treatment* **123**, 723–731, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-009-0674-9 (2010).
30. Liang, Y. K., Lin, H. Y. & Chen, C. F. & Zeng. Prognostic values of distinct CBX family members in breast cancer. *Oncogene* **35**, 1341–1352, https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.195 (2016).
31. Simon, V., Bloch, N. & Landau, N. R. Intrinsic host restrictions to HIV-1 and mechanisms of viral escape. *Cell reports* **3478–3488, https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.20717 (2017).
Author Contributions

G.L. and H.D. conceived of the study, designed the experiments. H.D., X.G. and L.G. carried out the experiments. H.D., J.Z. and G.L. analyzed data. H.Z. and M.Y. contributed materials. H.D. and G.L. drafted the manuscript. G.L. and H.D. conceived of the study, designed the experiments. H.D., X.G. and L.G. carried out the experiments.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Science and Technology Development Fund of Macau [137/2014/A3, 095/2015/A3] and the Research & Development Administration Office of the University of Macau [SRG201400015, MYRG201500232, MYRG201700099] awarded to G.L.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36560-4.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019