Case report: Iatrogenic brachial artery dissection with complete anterograde occlusion during elective arterial line placement
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A B S T R A C T

INTRODUCTION: Brachial arterial catheters provide a more accurate reflection of central aortic arterial pressure compared to their radial counterparts [1–5]. Although use of brachial artery catheters for continuous haemodynamic monitoring is becoming more common in cardiac and vascular anaesthesia settings, particularly for complex patients, complications of line insertion are relatively uncommon [6–8]. We report a case of a rare iatrogenic brachial artery dissection with complete anterograde occlusion from elective arterial line placement in an academic tertiary referral hospital. We review our diagnostic pathway and treatment of this rare complication. Recommendations to minimise the risks of complications from brachial arterial line insertion are also overviewed.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: A 41-year-old female presented for a right upper and middle lobe resection of a large neuroendocrine lung cancer. A brachial arterial line was inserted for continuous blood pressure monitoring using clinical landmarks. Six hours postoperatively the left hand was noted to be pale, cool and pulseless with complete paraesthesia. Thrombus was initially suspected on computed tomography angiography. Upon return to theatre, extensive dissection of the posterior brachial arterial wall was identified.

CONCLUSION: We review our diagnostic pathway and treatment of this rare complication. Recommendations to minimise the risks of complications from brachial arterial line insertion are also overviewed. We recommend the routine utilization of ultrasound-guided technique and regular post-insertion neurovascular monitoring for the prevention and early recognition of complications from brachial arterial catheter insertion.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Background

Several studies have concluded that brachial arterial catheters provide a more accurate reflection of central aortic arterial pressure compared to their radial counterparts [1–5]. Although use of brachial artery catheters for continuous haemodynamic monitoring is becoming more common in cardiac and vascular anaesthesia settings, particularly for complex patients, complications of line insertion are relatively uncommon [6–8]. We report a case of a rare iatrogenic brachial artery dissection with complete anterograde occlusion from elective arterial line placement in an academic tertiary referral hospital. We review our diagnostic pathway and treatment of this rare complication. Recommendations to minimise the risks of complications from brachial arterial line insertion are also overviewed.

2. Case presentation

A 41-year-old female caucasian presented for a right upper and middle lobe resection of a neuroendocrine lung cancer. She had no other relevant past medical or family history, and took no medications. The procedure was planned via a hemi-sternotomy surgical incision likely requiring cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) due to tumour compression of the brachiocephalic and azygos veins, superior vena cava, pulmonary artery and heart (Fig. 1). On arrival to the operating room, a brachial arterial line was inserted by an experienced cardiac anaesthetist for continuous blood pressure monitoring. A 5 mL syringe attached to a 19Gx5.6 cm thin wall short bevel needle with a clear flashback Luer Hub (Argon Medical Devices, Texas, USA) was advanced into the brachial artery using clinical landmarks. Two access passes were required. A 0.028Gx40 cm metal guidewire was then advanced through the needle. There was minor resistance on initial insertion and the wire was withdrawn and reinserted through the needle without further complication. The needle was removed and an 18Gx15 cm Fluoropolymer catheter (Argon Medical Devices, Texas, USA) fed over the guidewire into the artery; the guidewire was removed and the
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3. Discussion
For this case, we chose the brachial artery as the preferred site for continuous haemodynamic monitoring. In the setting of complex surgery and CPB, central-peripheral arterial pressure gradients are well described with unpredictable variances in arterial catheter blood pressure measurements reported [1–4]. Stern et al. reported aortic-radial systolic pressure gradients as great as 32 mmHg post CPB, questioning the reliability of radial artery catheterisation measurements as an accurate source of haemodynamic information [5]. While brachial artery measurements are subject to some pressure gradient, there is less variability, prompting several studies to conclude that brachial arterial catheters provide a more accurate reflection of central aortic arterial pressure compared to their radial counterparts [1]. Although brachial arterial lines are widely used in cardiac and vascular anaesthesia, complications relating to brachial artery catheterisation are fortunately uncommon [3,8–10]. In the largest series to date, the records of 21,597 patients receiving brachial arterial catheters in a university hospital were evaluated [6]. Direct brachial artery catheter related complications were reported in only 41 patients, with an incidence of complications of 0.19% (95% confidence interval 0.14 to 0.26%). The majority of these complications were vascular and no consequent neurologic complications were reported. In another large series of 6185 patients, by Okeson et al., the incidence rate of brachial line complications was 2.0% [7]. Neither of these large series reported dissection, which were findings confirmed in other smaller series of arterial line complications [8,9]. Brachial artery dissection is rare with very few reported cases. In a single case report, Rusza et al. reported brachial
**Fig. 2.** Radiological findings. Coronal computed tomography demonstrating abrupt cut off of contrast after the profunda brachial artery.
artery dissection extending retrograde into the subclavian artery induced by catheter placement in the context of angiography [10]; however, insertion details were limited.

Comparison with other peripheral sites of arterial cannulation is difficult due to the rarity of complications from arterial line insertion, with complication rates for femoral arterial catheters ranging from a mean incidence of 0.18% for permanent ischaemia to 6.1% for haematoma [11]. However, anatomical differences in collateral supply may predispose the brachial artery to greater risk of permanent ischaemia. The femoral artery has also been shown to be an accurate measure of central aortic pressure, therefore it is a viable alternative to brachial arterial line placement if accurate aortic pressure measurements are required [12].

Our case demonstrated several important clinical lessons. First, although brachial artery dissection is rare, to minimise risk of catheter related complications, we advocate the use of 20G cannula arterial kits for all brachial artery catheter insertions. In the present case, an 18G cannula kit was used, and the larger needle and metal guidewire may have contributed to the severity of the dissection. While studies confirm biological plausibility that rates of arterial occlusion are greater with larger diameter cannulae [13], there are no studies to suggest whether use of a smaller diameter cannula would reduce a rare complication such as dissection. Second, there is increasing evidence that dynamic ultrasonography may reduce risks of line insertion complications compared to a “blind-bedside” procedure. While this has been well-established in practice for central venous cannulation [14], the literature-base regarding the role of ultrasound in reducing complications in adults is scarce. In the absence of specific evidence, we suggest that a reduction in each pass of the cannula may also lead to a reduction in complications. Indeed, ultrasound has been established to reduce number of arterial cannulation attempts [15]. In cardiac catheterization, which much larger diameter catheters are used, the addition of ultrasound has been shown to increase first attempt success rates and decrease complication rates [16,17]. In a paediatric setting, moderate-quality evidence suggests that ultrasound guidance for arterial cannulation improves first and second attempt success rates and decreases the rate of complications as compared with palpation or Doppler auditory assistance [18]. There are clear clinical guidelines advocating the use of real-time ultrasound scanning for central venous catheter placement [19,20]. This recommendation has not yet been integrated into any practice guideline for arterial line insertion in adults. Until further evidence demonstrates otherwise, consensus recognition of the benefits of real-time ultrasonographic guidance and its inclusion into the practice guidelines for brachial artery catheter insertion should be the primary prevention of complications in line placement.

In this case, point of care ultrasonography may have enhanced diagnostic and procedural accuracy by identifying the dissection during needle puncture or guidewire placement. Open surgical exploration clearly identified a posterior brachial artery wall tear, suggestive of an iatrogenic injury from the needle or guidewire at the time of insertion. Using ultrasonography, the short axis (out of plane) view may have allowed the establishment of the appropriate needle angulation at needle insertion; while a long axis view (in-plane) may have provided the depth perception as the needle and guidewire passed through the anterior wall of the brachial vessel. Thirdly, although complications of peripheral arterial catheters are rare, the femoral artery can be considered as an alternative to the brachial artery if more consistent accurate aortic pressures are required. Finally, neurological monitoring should always be performed in the setting of brachial line catheterisation, not only when the catheter is in situ, but after removal, to identify timely assessment and management of catheter complications. As in this case, although there was complete dissection and absence of flow in the brachial artery, progression to motor weakness did not occur, indicating some collateral flow to radial and ulnar arteries (Fig. 3); this further reaffirms the need for detailed sensory neurological hand assessment. This report was written in accordance with the SCARE guidelines for clinical case reporting [21,22].
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