Focal liver lesions in cirrhosis: Role of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography
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Abstract

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) represents a great innovation for the evaluation of focal liver lesions (FLLs). The main advantage of CEUS is the real-time imaging examination and the very low toxicity in patients with renal failure. Liver cirrhosis has been recognized as a major risk factor for the onset of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC). HCC in liver cirrhosis develops as the last step of a complex that leads to the gradual transformation from regenerative nodule through dysplastic nodule to HCC. In patients with liver cirrhosis, a surveillance program is recommended consisting of ultrasound (US) for detecting small focal lesions. A wide spectrum of benign and malignant lesions other than HCC may be found in the cirrhotic liver and their differentiation is important to avoid errors in staging diseases that may preclude potentially curative therapies. Several published studies have explored the value of CEUS in liver cirrhosis and they have been shown to have excellent diagnostic and prognostic performances for the evaluation of non-invasive and efficient diagnosis of FLLs in patients at high risk for liver malignancies. The purpose of this article is to describe and discuss CEUS imaging findings of FLLs including HCC and ICC, all of which occur in cirrhotic livers with varying prevalence.
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Core Tip: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) represents a breakthrough in the evaluation of focal liver lesions (FLLs). Currently, CEUS is included as a part of the suggested diagnostic work-up of FLLs in cirrhotic patients and in their follow-up for an accurate assessment of therapeutic response. After a brief description of the basis of different CEUS techniques, several liver lesions that can be found in the cirrhotic liver including benign, malignant or pseudo-lesions, will be described and discussed on the basis of our experience and literature data.

INTRODUCTION

Liver cirrhosis
 Liver cirrhosis represents the final stage of chronic inflammation through the establishment of necrosis and fibrogenesis up to a total subversion of the hepatic parenchyma and it has systemic repercussions and a fatal outcome in the absence of a liver transplant. Liver cirrhosis is the 14th most common cause of death worldwide[1].

Etiologically, liver cirrhosis recognizes infectious causes (hepatitis B, hepatitis C, schistosoma japonicum), autoimmune (primary biliary cirrhosis, autoimmune hepatitis, primary sclerosing cholangitis), alcohol abuse, metabolic causes (Wilson disease, hemochromatosis) and vascular or cryptogenic causes[2]. The combination of imaging and serological investigation (transaminases and cholestasis indices) is often sufficient for the diagnosis; however, the gold standard remains the liver biopsy which also allows physicians to identify the noxa that led to the stage of cirrhosis[1]. In the clinical setting, ultrasound (US) allows a morphological assessment of the liver and portal circulation. US also plays a major role as the recommended tool for surveillance every 6 mo at early detection of small hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[3].

Imaging characterization of focal lesions in cirrhosis is crucial for appropriate patient management[4,5]. To this end, US is a non-specific technique used to characterize focal liver lesions (FLLs).

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound
 At the end of the 1990s, the introduction of contrast agents based on intravenous microbubbles to contrast-specific gray-scale US techniques has enabled contrast-enhanced ultrasonography (CEUS) to represent macro-vascularity and also microcirculation (vessels up to 40 μm). Starting in the 2000s, the advent of low-solubility gas bubbles (like sulfur hexafluoride) with phospholipid shells for their flexibility has led to a full real time CEUS examination[6].

CEUS, throughout the vascular phase with its blood-pool contrast agent, allows real-time recording with non-invasive assessment of liver perfusion without resorting to expensive and not very common equipment such as Computed Tomography (CT) or Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) that require the use of ionizing radiation or nephrotoxic contrast agents. Of note, when gas microbubbles are injected into the vein, they remain in the intravascular space (blood-pool agents), Only one of marketed contrast agents shows a late phase with uptake by hepatic Kupffer cells (Table 1)[7].

CEUS is safe and well tolerated: Renal or pulmonary diseases do not present contraindications for this use and no blood tests are needed to check kidney function. In a study of about 23000 patients, less than 0.01% of the patient population reported a serious adverse event with no death events[8].

Actually, CEUS is included in the diagnostic work-up of FLLs detected in the healthy population and to study metastases in patients with cancer and to identify HCC in cirrhotic patients, allowing for better management of the disease with effective and advantageous therapies[9-10]. A recent meta-analysis showed that specificity and sensitivity for CEUS in the characterization of FLLs were respectively 87% and 92%[10]. CEUS is gaining an increasing role in the imaging work-up of HCC and many international guidelines are now considering CEUS as a diagnostic tool for HCC as well as CT and MRI with encouraging results and is positive in terms of the cost-benefit analysis[11-12]. Based on literature data and our experience in our center, the recent innovations in the CEUS of FLLs in cirrhotic patients will be presented and discussed.

TECHNICAL NOTE

The US cases illustrated in this article are acquired through various ultrasound equipment provided
Table 1: Characteristics of contrast agents SonoVue and Sonazoid for contrast-enhanced ultrasound

| Agent       | SonoVue                        | Sonazoid                      |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Gas         | Sulfurhexafluoride (SF₆)       | Perfluorobutane (C₄F₁₀)       |
| Envelope    | Monolayer of phospholipid (DSPC, DPPG-Na) | Monolayer of phospholipid (Hydrogenated egg phosphatidyl serine Na) |
| MI          | Low MI (< 0.1)                 | Intermediate MI (> 0.2)       |
| Mean size   | 1.5-2.5 µm                     | 2.3-2.9 µm                    |
| Distribution after injection | Pure blood pool agent | Blood pool agent with uptake by hepatic Kupffer cells after 1 min by injection |

DSPC: 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; DPPG-Na: 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-rac-glycerol sodium; MI: Mechanical index.

with multifrequency convex array probes and contrast-specific imaging software: MyLab Twice (Esaote, Genova, Italy), RS80A and RS85A (Samsung Medison, Co. Ltd., Seoul, Korea) and iU22 unit (Philips Ultrasound, Bothell, WA, USA). Before the injection of bolus contrast, a standard exam together with color/power and pulsed Doppler valuation was always performed to optimize lesion images and define the best plane for its visualization. The contrast agent used was composed of gas microbubbles filled with sulfur hexafluoride (SonoVue, Bracco, Milan, Italy) that was injected using a 20- or 22-gauge needle in a cubital vein and a 2.4-mL bolus with a 5-10 ml of saline flush. Low mechanical index (MI) from 0.05 to 0.08 and low frame rate (5 Hz) were used for real-time imaging to avoid microbubble breakdown. The level of the lesion was the focus of examination and the duration of each exam was about 5 min after contrast agent injection.

The digital cine-loops were acquired before and after performing the contrast at different times in the arterial phase (from 10 s to 35 s after the injection), portal phase (from 55 s to 80 s after the injection) and delayed phase (from 235 s to 260 s after the injection).

Basal echogenicity and the dynamic modality of enhancement of each lesion in all vascular phases and among the near liver parenchyma were compared.

CIRRHOTIC NODULES

Liver cirrhosis has been recognized as a major risk factor for the onset of HCC and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) compared to the non-cirrhotic population, of 30 and 20 times, respectively[13]. In the management of hepatic nodules in liver cirrhosis, early diagnosis and treatment is mandatory. HCC in liver cirrhosis develops as the last step of a complex, multi-step hepatocarcinogenesis process during several molecular and tissue alterations leading to the gradual transformation from regenerative nodule (RN) through low- and high-grade dysplastic nodule (DN) to HCC[14]. Changes of intranodular blood supply is the main transformation for imaging diagnosis: RN show similar blood supply to a normal liver. As a consequence, RNs are typically non-hypervascular. They can be seen as numerous tiny hypoechoic or hyperechoic nodules throughout the liver on grayscale US whereas at CEUS they usually are iso-enhancing to the adjacent liver parenchyma throughout the vascular phase, even if they may show transient hypo-vascularity in the arterial phase[4] (Figure 1).

DN are the next step towards HCC. Often multiple, DN are classified as low or high grade according to the presence of cytological atypia. These borderline lesions show wide variations of blood supply with overlaps of vascular supply between DN and well-differentiated HCC, with the vast majority of RN and DN being isoechogenic to the adjacent liver parenchyma in portal venous and late phase at CEUS [15].

Of note, in a study encompassing 215 FLLs in cirrhotic patients and comparing the CEUS features of RN and DN, 95.1% of RN lesions showed delayed or simultaneous enhancement in the arterial phase in comparison to surrounding liver parenchyma. On the other hand, DN lesions resembled this contrast-enhancement pattern only partially, due to the presence of intralesional areas of arterial enhancement followed by a wash out in the late phase. In pathology, these areas of arterial contrast-enhancement within the DN have proven to be early HCC[16]. Hence, any enhancement in the arterial phase within a nodule should be regarded as suspicious for HCC, resembling a “nodule in a nodule” appearance.

MALIGNANT LESIONS

Hepatocellular carcinoma

HCC is the fifth most common cancer in men and the ninth in women showing a greater incidence in developing countries where over 80% of all estimated new cases worldwide occurred in 2012[17].
Figure 1 Regenerative nodule. A: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound examination in the arterial phase (29 s after the i.v. injection of contrast agent) shows two millimetric hypoechoic nodules, showing lack of contrast enhancement (right, arrows); B: In the late phase (142 s after the injection) both nodules are isoechoic to the adjacent liver parenchyma.

Almost 90% of HCCs originate through a stepway progression from RN to HCC which may take place in a quite variable period, even though it may take only a few months [18]. On the other hand, the estimated doubling time of HCC ranges between 4 and 6 mo [19].

At CEUS, the typical enhancement pattern of HCC is hyperenhancement in the arterial phase followed by gradual and mild wash-out in the portal venous and/or late phases [10] (Figure 2). Washout is represented as a relatively hypoechoic aspect compared to healthy liver parenchyma in the later stages of the study with any type of contrast-enhancement in the arterial phase. In general, at CEUS, the presence of the wash-out sign is highly suggestive of malignancy. In HCC, washout begins over 60-90 s after injection of contrast agent, whereas metastases or intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma usually show a rapid washout (< 60 s) (Table 2) (Figure 3) [20]. Therefore, in CEUS, an observation period of up to approximately 5 min is required to easily visualize the typically subtle and late (> 1 min) washout of HCC (Figure 2).

Noteworthy, a study showed that arterial enhancement patterns of HCC at CEUS are related to the degree of histologic differentiation: moderately differentiated HCC exhibits a classic behavior after contrast agent injection compared to well-differentiated HCC. Extended observation in the portal phase is important for reporting late washout that in HCC occurs more frequently later than in the portal venous phase [21]. As a caveat, well-differentiated HCC may appear iso-enhancing in the portal-venous or late phase [9].

On the other hand, in a study by Tada et al [22], 63 of 68 (92.6%) small HCCs (< 3 cm in size) showed a mainly diffuse and homogeneous enhancement in the arterial-phase whereas large HCCs presented a heterogeneous arterial-phase enhancement pattern mainly related to non-enhancing areas of fibrosis, necrosis or internal hemorrhage.

In general, thanks to the real-time nature of CEUS, its high spatial and temporal resolution, the sensitivity of CEUS in the detection of hypervascularization of cirrhotic nodules was found to be higher compared to CT/MRI [23].

Overall, CEUS showed a sensitivity of 88.8%, a specificity of 89.2% and a PPV of 91.3% in the characterization of HCC [24].

Although it is still a matter of debate, several international guidelines are now endorsing the use of CEUS as a first or second-line diagnostic tool for the diagnosis of HCC [12, 25]. In 2016, the American College of Radiology included CEUS in its comprehensive Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System (LI-RADS): a unique scoring system for CEUS examinations in patients with increased risk of HCC. A systematic review comparing the cost-effectiveness of CEUS with CT and MRI confirmed that CEUS is cost-effective in the surveillance of patients with liver cirrhosis [11].

Table 3 shows the main recommendations on the use of CEUS in cirrhotic patients according to the World Federation for Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology [26].

CEUS has shown high sensitivity for the evaluation of portal vein patency and in the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant portal vein thrombosis, this latter occurring in cirrhotic patients at various stages [27]. A thrombus showing hypervascularity in the arterial phase, irrespective of the presence of subsequent washout, is deemed to be malignant [10].

CEUS can also be used with valid results in guidance, response and detection of complications of interventional procedures [28] (Figure 4). CEUS may be of help during or after the interventional procedure [29]. Intraprocedural use of CEUS showed a relevant clinical impact, reducing the number of re-treatments and the related costs per patient [30].
Table 2 Imaging enhancement pattern of cirrhotic nodules and malignant focal liver lesions on Contrast-enhanced ultrasound

| Lesion       | Arterial phase               | Portal venous phase | Late phase                  | Post-vascular phase |
|--------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| RN           | Hypo-enhance                 | Iso-enhance         | Iso-enhance                 | Iso-enhance         |
| DN           | Hypo-enhance or partial hyper-enhanced within lesion (early-HCC) | Iso-enhance         | Iso-enhance                 | Iso-enhance         |
| HCC          | Hyper-enhance                | Hypo-enhance or iso-enhance | Hypo-enhance or iso-enhance | Hypo-enhance (mild and late washout) or iso-enhance* |
| ICC          | Rim-enhance or Hyper-enhance with early washout (< 60 seconds) | Hypo-enhance         | Hypo-enhance                 | Hypo-enhance         |
| Metastasis   | Rim-enhance or Hyper-enhance with early washout (< 60 seconds) | Hypo-enhance         | Hypo-enhance                 | Hypo-enhance         |

*Well differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma. RN: Regenerative nodules; DN: Dysplastic nodules; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; ICC: Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

Table 3 Recommendations contrast-enhanced ultrasound in cirrhotic liver

| Recommendations                                      | Notes                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Characterization FLLs found in patients with liver cirrhosis to establish a diagnosis of malignancy | CT or MR imaging is required for a complete staging |
| In nodules not suitable for biopsy                   | When CT or MR are inconclusive             |
| Selection of FLLs with different contrast pattern in a cirrhotic liver to be biopsied |                                            |
| Monitoring changes in enhancement patterns in FLLs in cirrhotic liver requiring follow-up | To compare to B-mode US                     |
| Guiding percutaneous biopsies to increase the diagnostic outcome |                                            |

FLLs: Focal liver lesions; CT: Computed tomography; MR: Magnetic resonance; US: Ultrasounds.

The three-dimensional evaluation through the CEUS of the tumor lesion allows more accurate planning and the treatment with locoregional therapies[31,32] (Figure 5).

**Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma**

Intrahepatic peripheral cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) constitutes the second most common primary liver malignant tumor in cirrhotic patients and accounts for 1%-3% of newly developed tumors[32,33]. Differentiating ICC from HCC is of clinical relevance since liver transplantation is contraindicated in patients with ICC given poorly reported outcomes[34].

At CEUS, ICC shows heterogeneous contrast enhancement in the arterial phase with a substantially hypoechoic appearance in the extended portal-venous phase[35]. A rim-like contrast-enhancement has been reported but with a quite variable range (8-51% of cases)[9]. The presence and the quantity of fibrotic tissue and necrotic areas may strongly influence the CEUS appearance of ICC. This latter may present at CEUS overlapping features with HCC[36]. At CEUS, a clue suggestive for ICC is the presence of a wash out occurring earlier than 60 s, whereas HCC usually washes out later on (Figure 6)[37,38]. The same temporal difference in wash-out between HCC and other malignancies, including ICC, is also used by the CEUS LI-RADS lexicon for the diagnosis of ICC[10].

In a multicenter study of 1,006 nodules from 848 patients, the use of CEUS LI-RADS criteria for HCC - namely, arterial phase hyperenhancement and late washout (onset ≥ 60 s after contrast injection) of mild degree - was 98.5% predictive of HCC with no risk of misdiagnosis for pure cholangiocarcinoma[39]. To this purpose, contrast-enhanced CT and MRI may provide useful information due to the different contrast agent kinetic. Microbubbles are essentially blood pool agents and remain confined to the vascular space, whereas iodinated contrast agent and gadolinium chelates are essentially extra-cellular contrast agents and progressively accumulate in the fibrotic spaces of ICC[39].

The presence of both ICC and HCC components in the same lesion can make the lesion even more difficult and biopsy may be eventually needed in equivocal cases.

**Metastasis**

Metastatic liver deposits are relatively uncommon in the cirrhotic liver. This finding may probably be due to alteration of hemodynamics and the microstructural environment in the liver[40]. In particular, the hepatofugal portal venous flow may prevent neoplastic cells from seeding and flourishing in the liver[41]. Liver metastases from colorectal carcinoma are infrequently reported to spread to the cirrhotic
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Figure 2 Hepatocellular carcinoma. A: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound examination in the arterial phase (30 s after the i.v. injection of contrast agent) shows a 3 cm sized hypoechoic nodule, showing a marked contrast enhancement (right, arrow); B: In the portal phase (70 s after the injection) the lesion is still hyper-enhancing in comparison to the adjacent liver parenchyma (arrows); C: Only waiting for the extended portal phase (i.e. 122 s after the injection) the lesion shows a mild and late wash-out and appears moderately hypoechoic (arrows).

Metastases from non-Hodgkin B-cell lymphoma may also involve the liver in patients with hepatitis C virus and typically consist of multiple small nodules.[43] On CEUS, liver metastases show a sharp and early washout within 60 s of contrast administration, irrespective of the contrast enhancement type in the arterial phase (Figure 3).[44] This latter may present various patterns, such as rim-like, dotted, heterogeneous or even homogeneous, depending on the size and the grade of cellularity, vascularity, fibrosis and necrosis accompanying the development of the lesion.

BENIGN LESIONS

A wide spectrum of benign lesions may arise in a cirrhotic liver. Hence, it is crucial to avoid the misdiagnosis of benign liver lesions as HCC (i.e. minimize false positives) because this diagnostic interpretation may incorrectly increase the tumor burden.[43]

Generally, at CEUS, a benign lesion presents a progressive and sustained enhancement in all phases of the study[45] (Table 4, Figures 7 and 8). Although tumor lesions may have similar characteristics, a clinical context of oncological or cirrhotic pathology allows differentiating the nature of the lesions.[21] Further aspects that are decisive for the diagnosis are detected by observing the arterial phase[4].

Hemangioma

Hemangioma is seen less frequently in cirrhotic patients than in the general population. In general, imaging features remain similar to those of hemangiomas observed in non-cirrhotic patients.[46]

At CEUS, hemangioma has a characteristic globular, progressive, peripheral and discontinuous enhancement (Figure 7). However, with progressive cirrhosis, hemangiomas are likely to decrease in size, become more fibrotic and may appear as a hypo vascular lesion with a lack of peripheral globular contrast-enhancement.[47,48] Furthermore, flash filling hemangiomas may pose a diagnostic dilemma with well-differentiated HCC not showing wash-out, thus needing further radiological workup with CT or MRI for the final diagnosis.
**Table 4 Imaging enhancement pattern of benign focal liver lesions on contrast-enhanced ultrasound**

| Lesion                        | Arterial phase | Portal venous phase | Late phase | Post-vascular phase |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
| Hepatic cysts                 | Non-enhance    | Non-enhance         | Non-enhance| Non-enhance         |
| Cystic hydatid disease        | Non-enhance cysts and septa | Non-enhance cysts and septa | Non-enhance cysts and septa | Non-enhance cysts and septa |
| Abscess                       | Rim-enhance with enhanced septa; no central enhancement | Rim-enhance with enhanced septa; no central enhancement | Hypo-enhance rim; no central enhancement | Hypo-enhance rim; no central enhancement |
| Hemangioma                    | Peripheral, discontinuous and globular hyper-enhance | Peripheral, globular iso enhance and fill-in | Iso-enhance or hypo-enhance | Iso-enhance or hypo-enhance |
| FHN                           | Hyper-enhance from the center to peripheral region spoke-wheel vascularity | Hyper-enhance with/without un-enhanced central scar | Iso-enhance or hyper-enhance with/without un-enhanced central scar | Iso-enhance or hypo-enhance |
| HA                            | Hyper-enhance  | Iso-enhance         | Iso-enhance | Iso-enhance or hypo-enhance |
| Pseudo lesions                | Hyper-enhance  | Hyper-enhance or iso-enhance | Iso-enhance | Iso-enhance |

FNH: Focal nodular hyperplasia; HA: Hepatocellular adenoma.

**Figure 3** Liver metastasis from gastrointestinal stromal tumor. A: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound examination in the arterial phase (10 s after the i.v. injection of contrast agent) shows a large (11 cm) mass, showing heterogeneous contrast enhancement (left, arrow); B: Still in the arterial phase (40 s after the injection) the mass shows early wash-out.

**Focal nodular hyperplasia**

Although Focal nodular hyperplasia (FNH) is the second most common benign liver tumor after hemangioma, the report of FNH-like nodules in the cirrhotic liver is only sporadic and imaging appearance is similar to FNH arising in the non-cirrhotic liver[43,49]. At CEUS, the typical findings of FNH are a centrifugal contrast-enhancement pattern with a spoke-wheel appearance in the arterial phase followed by sustained contrast-enhancement and iso or hyperechoic appearance in portal-venous and late phase[50] (Figure 8). A central avascular area in the arterial phase is often appreciable in FNH larger than 3 cm with a hypoechoic appearance.
Figure 4 One-month post-procedural assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma after TACE. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound examination in the arterial phase (26 s after the i.v. injection of contrast agent) shows a clear cut intralesional area of contrast-enhancement indicating still viable tumor.

Figure 5 Contrast-enhanced ultrasound 3D. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound of a hepatocellular carcinoma in the arterial phase: Three-dimensional rendering and volume calculation.

Figure 6 Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound examination in the arterial phase (43 s after the i.v. injection of contrast agent) shows an ill-defined lesion showing heterogeneous washout (left, arrow).

**Hepatocellular adenoma**

The incidence of hepatocellular adenoma (HA) in the cirrhotic liver is exceedingly rare with a few reports in the literature[51].
Figure 7 Hemangioma. A: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound examination in the arterial phase (28 s after the i.v. injection of contrast agent) shows a peripheral globular contrast-enhancement pattern (left, arrow); B: In the portal venous phase (89 s after the injection) a centripetal and complete fill-in is appreciable.

Figure 8 Focal nodular hyperplasia. A: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound examination in the early arterial phase (16 s after the i.v. injection of contrast agent) shows a spoke-wheel appearance (left, arrow); B: Four seconds later, still in the arterial phase the lesion is homogeneously and strongly enhancing (left, arrow); C: In the late phase (180 s after the injection) the lesion is still slightly hyperechoic to the adjacent liver parenchyma.

At CEUS, a peripheral enhancement with centripetal filling and sustained hypervascularization, suggests the diagnosis of HA\cite{10,52}. However, as a warning, HA may show a hypoechoic appearance in the portal-venous and late phase\cite{52}.

Cystic lesions
Simple biliary and peribiliary cysts have similar features in cirrhotic and noncirrhotic livers. They present a homogenous anechoic appearance, a very thin wall and through transmission with posterior acoustic enhancement and no contrast enhancement at CEUS\cite{43}. CEUS may be a problem-solving technique in diagnosing complicated non-anechoic cyst or a rare form of Co-existence of hepatocellular carcinoma and cystic echinococcosis\cite{53}. Usually, CEUS shows a lack of enhancement of septa separating daughter cysts\cite{54}.

Hepatic abscesses, pyogenic, fungal and amebic have similar CEUS features in cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic livers. Abscesses do not have a significant internal enhancement after contrast ultrasound administration but septations within the lesion may enhance as well as an irregular peripheral rim\cite{55}. 
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**Pseudo lesions**

Focal fatty changes or confluent hepatic fibrosis can mimic malignancies. Focal fatty changes are an increase or decrease in fat content in a focal area of the liver parenchyma owing to an aberrant portal-venous vascularization.[55].

Confluent hepatic fibrosis is usually shown in patients with alcohol-related cirrhosis. It involves peripheral parenchymal replacement by thick fibrotic bands that appear as focal wedge-shaped areas with thick fibrotic bands causing retraction of the overlying capsule; the presence of inflammation can lead to inhomogeneous arterial phase hyperenhancement.[40].

At CEUS, these pseudo lesions present isoenhanced in comparison with the surrounding liver parenchyma during the extended portal-venous phase,[55] furthermore, fibrosis is usually seen in a typical position (medial segment of the left lobe or anterior segment of the right lobe)[40].

**CONCLUSION**

A wide spectrum of benign and malignant lesions other than HCC may be found in the cirrhotic liver. More than several years after its release, CEUS is being used for safe diagnostic imaging which enables real-time recognition of enhancement characteristics of focal liver lesions arising in cirrhotic patients. Currently, CEUS is increasingly being performed on a routine basis and is included as a part of the recommended diagnostic work-up of HCC as well as in the follow-up.
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