Effect of rapid maxillary expansion on the dimension of the nasal cavity and on facial morphology assessed by acoustic rhinometry and rhinomanometry
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Objective: To assess the effects of rapid maxillary expansion on facial morphology and on nasal cavity dimensions of mouth breathing children by acoustic rhinometry and computed rhinomanometry.

Methods: Cohort; 29 mouth breathing children with posterior crossbite were evaluated. Orthodontic and otorhinolaryngologic documentation were performed at three different times, i.e., before expansion, immediately after and 90 days following expansion.

Results: The expansion was accompanied by an increase of the maxillary and nasal bone transversal width. However, there were no significant differences in relation to mucosal area of the nose. Acoustic rhinometry showed no difference in the minimal cross-sectional area at the level of the valve and inferior turbinate between the periods analyzed, although rhinomanometry showed a statistically significant reduction in nasal resistance right after expansion, but were similar to pre-treatment values 90 days after expansion.

Conclusion: The maxillary expansion increased the maxilla and nasal bony area, but was inefficient to increase the nasal mucosal area, and may lessen the nasal resistance, although there was no difference in nasal geometry. Significance: Nasal bony expansion is followed by a mucosal compensation.
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INTRODUCTION

Mouth breathing alters facial muscles and bones.16 Mouth breathing children present maxillary atresia and dentoalveolar changes associated to hypotonia in tongue, lips and cheeks. These changes, in turn, lead to: 1) compression of the alveolar processes,17 2) retrusion of the nasal cavity and of paranasal sinuses, 3) increase of anterior facial height and of palatal and mandible planes,15 and 4) maxillary and mandible retrusion.

Rapid maxillary expansion is one of the most frequently used techniques in orthodontics to correct the atresia in superior dental arch and palate, as well as posterior crossbite with normal inclination of the teeth.14 Its success is mostly affected by the age of activation (best between 7 and 10 years of age),11 and the breathing pattern of the patient.

The objectives of the present study were to determine the morphological pattern of the face and the nasal area, and resistance on mouth breathing children with mixed dentition before and after rapid maxillary expansion by acoustic rhinometry and computed rhinomanometry.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Twenty-nine mouth breathing patients of both genders, with ages ranging from 7 to 10 years, were studied. The patients were in the mixed dentition phase and had uni- or bilateral posterior crossbite including the deciduous canines and molars and the first permanent molars.

The children were evaluated and diagnosed as mouth breathers by the same otorhinolaryngologist, at the Service of Pediatric Rhinosinusology, Division of Otorhinolaryngology, School of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, USP.

After the first evaluation, all the children underwent acoustic rhinometry (ARM) and rhinomanometry (RMM). For these exams, the patients remained in an acoustic-treated room, with a constant temperature of 25°C for 15 minutes. Topical vasoconstrictor was not administered previously to these exams, since the objective of these studies was to observe mucosal changes to the bone expansion. SR2000 equipment, Rhinometrics, (Denmark) with nasal adaptors were used to analyze the results.18

For ARM, the minimal cross-sectional area (MCA) 1 (corresponding to nasal valve) and 2 (corresponding to inferior turbinate) were examined for each nostril. In RMM, the resistance during both inspiration and expiration was verified for each nostril.

Complete orthodontic documentation (lateral and posteroanterior cephalometric radiographs, study models, extraoral front and profile photographs, and intraoral photographs) was also obtained.

The following measurements were made in the posteroanterior radiographs (Fig 1): NC-NC, which corresponds to the nasal width,10,12 JL-JR, which corresponds to the maxillary width,10,12 JL-ZAgL, which corresponds to the maxillary-mandibular width left side, and JR-ZAgR which corresponds to the maxillary-mandibular width right side.

After analysis of the initial documentation and planning of orthodontic treatment, tooth- and mucosa-supported orthodontic braces - Haas disjunctor - were placed to correct uni- or bilateral crossbite. The braces were installed and activated according to the principles presented by Haas.4 Briefly, patients were instructed to activate their
RME twice daily until posterior crossbite was corrected (observed when superior first molars were naturally opposed to inferior ones). After retention period (90 days), Haas disjunctor was replaced to a superior functional appliance, stabilizing the occlusion and peri-oral muscles.

The RMM, ARM and cephalometric exams were performed before (T₁), immediately after (T₂) and 90 days after (T₃) rapid maxillary treatment.

The Hotelling T² test was used to compare the mean values of each variable at the three time points in the study.

The present study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Dental School of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo (process n° 2003.1.25.58.0), and all the patients’ parents gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

RESULTS

The results in ARM and RMM are presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3. There was a reduction in nasal resistance immediately after nasal expansion, which returned to pretreatment levels 90 days after RME. There was no statistical difference between times for MCA 1 and 2.

The results of each cephalometric variable obtained at the three time points in the study are presented in Tables 4 and 5. There was a significant increase in lateral width of nasal bone (NC-NC), maxilla (JL-JR), JL-ZAgL, and JR-ZAgR. Mean NC-NC, JL-JR, JL-ZAgL and JR-ZAgR differed significantly between T₁ and T₂ and between T₁ and T₃, but not between T₂ and T₃.

DISCUSSION

Posterior crossbite is considered to be one of the most common malocclusions³ and its prevalence is approximately 8% in the deciduous dentition⁸ and 27%⁹ in mixed dentition. This condition tends to get worse during facial growth, and rapid maxillary expansion should be instituted as early as possible.

In the present study, mouth breathing children were selected after been diagnosed by an otorhinolaryngologist after the clinical examination, including nasoendoscopy. All of them also presented uni- or bilateral posterior crossbite associated to palatal atresia. The use of the Haas disjunctor was indicated with the specific objective of promoting orthopedic expansion of the maxilla in order to correct the posterior crossbite. Weekly monitoring of the activators was done during this procedure in order to avoid excessive expansion.

Frontal radiographs revealed an skeletal increase in the lateral dimension of the nasal cavity and maxillary bone after palatal disjunction, and this increase was stable 90 days after correction. These results agree with those reported by other authors.¹⁰,²,⁶ The bone corrections are more easily achieved if treatment is initiated before complete

Table 1 - Mean values and standard deviations of acoustic MCA 1 and 2 before orthodontic treatment, immediately after and 90 days after treatment, calculated F value and value of the Hotelling T² test for equality of the means.

| Measure | Time | Mean | Standard deviation | F,2,27 | Test value |
|---------|------|------|--------------------|--------|------------|
| MCA 1   | T₁   | 0.987| 0.191              |        |            |
|         | T₂   | 1.006| 0.182              | 0.596  | 0.558      |
|         | T₃   | 0.973| 0.196              |        |            |
| MCA 2   | T₁   | 0.732| 0.223              |        |            |
|         | T₂   | 0.780| 0.200              | 1.025  | 0.372      |
|         | T₃   | 0.763| 0.248              |        |            |

Table 2 - Mean values and standard deviations of resistance during inspiration and expiration before orthodontic treatment, immediately after and 90 days after treatment, calculated F value and value of the Hotelling T² test for equality of the means.

| Measure | Time | Mean | Standard deviation | F,2,27 | Test value |
|---------|------|------|--------------------|--------|------------|
| Inspiration | T₁   | 3.368| 1.226              |        |            |
|          | T₂   | 2.859| 1.460              | 11.570 | < 0.001    |
|          | T₃   | 2.231| 0.763              |        |            |
| Expiration | T₁   | 2.675| 0.943              |        |            |
|           | T₂   | 2.271| 1.365              | 10.064 | 0.000      |
|           | T₃   | 1.828| 0.599              |        |            |

Table 3 - Mean values and standard deviations of differences between respiratory measures in two different moments, and Bonferroni’s value for multiple comparisons.

| Measure | Time | Mean of Differences | Standard error | Test value |
|---------|------|---------------------|----------------|------------|
| Inspiration | T₁ - T₂ | -0.509 | 0.368 | 0.535 |
|           | T₁ - T₃° | -1.136 | 0.261 | < 0.001 |
|           | T₂ - T₃ | -0.628 | 0.266 | 0.076 |
| Expiration | T₁ - T₂° | -0.404 | 0.310 | 0.612 |
|           | T₁ - T₃ | -0.846 | 0.201 | 0.001 |
|           | T₂ - T₃ | -0.442 | 0.239 | 0.225 |
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permanent dental eruption, when calcification of the craniofacial sutures is not achieved, and maxillary expansion is consequently easier.

The importance of respiratory function for facial and occlusal development has become clear in Orthodontics. For this reason, quantifying methods for nasal patency are important to better understand its influence on orthodontic measures.

The radiological results showed that rapid maxillary expansion increased the bony nasal cavity (confirmed by the cephalometric measures NC-NC), and on maxilla, evaluating by JL-ZAgL, and JR-ZAgR. We could observe that, although there was a significant increase in bony width of nasal cavity and maxilla and slight decrease of nasal resistance, there was not an increase in mucosal nasal cavity.

Bicakci et al also evaluated the effects of rapid maxillary expansion on nasal cavity by acoustic rhinometry. Instead of our results, they observed an increase in MCA after rapid maxillary expansion. In the present study we did not detect significant differences in minimal cross-sectional area, neither in the region of the nasal valve nor in the inferior turbinate at the three time points studied.

Our results suggest that there is an increase of transverse width of maxilla and nasal cavity, which leads to lateralization of inferior turbinates. However, differently from other studies, this bony lateralization induced a mucosal compensation, and the improvement in nasal mucosa was not so marked as bony expansion. We believe that is “compensation” could be due to edema or hypertrophy of inferior turbinates, for example.

Hartgerink et al evaluated a sample of 38 patients aged 7.5 to 22.3 years treated with a Haas disjunctor or an occlusal splint by rhinomanometry at the same time points as used in the present study, but after nasal decongestion. Hershey et al studied 17 patients aged 11 to 14 years treated with a Haas disjunctor, and Timms evaluated 26 patients aged 10 to 19, with and without the use of a nasal decongestion and with rhinomanometry before and after expansion in both series. All investigators detected a reduction in nasal resistance after expansion.

In agreement with these authors, the results of the present study showed that mean nasal resistance during inspiration (inspiration at $T_1 = 2.231$ l/m/cm $H_2O$) and expiration (expiration at $T_3 = 1.828$ l/m/cm $H_2O$) were significantly lower after treatment than before treatment (inspiration at $T_1 = 3.368$ l/m/cm $H_2O$ and expiration at $T_1 = 2.675$ l/m/cm $H_2O$).

Our results may differ from others because we opted not to use topical vasoconstrictor while performing the RMM and ARM. As we had already the bony dimension through cephalometry, and we wanted to observe the mucosal response to this bony expansion, we opted not to use vasoconstrictor.
Orthodontists indicate and perform expansion in order to correct uni- or bilateral crossbite, believing that it also relieves the respiratory difficulties in these patients. However, the results of the present study do not support that rapid maxillary expansion could be employed merely in order to improve nasal function of individuals with respiratory difficulties. The present study detected an increase in the bony dimension of the nasal cavity and a significant decrease in nasal resistance, although there was no significant difference in MCA on nasal valve or inferior turbinate. Even though, the results of the present study do not support the indication of rapid maxillary expansion merely in order to provide benefits for the nasal function of individuals with respiratory difficulties, since mucosal benefits were much slighter than bony ones.

**CONCLUSION**

On the basis of the present results, it was possible to conclude that there was a significant increase in nasal and maxillary transversal bony width. These bony changes were followed by discrete alterations in nasal resistance, and no difference in nasal volume was detected, showing that the mucosal changes are slighter that bony ones when maxillary expansion is employed.
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