Capillary-gravity waves on depth-dependent currents: Consequences for the wave resistance

M. Benzaquen and E. Raphaël

Laboratoire PCT - UMR Gulliver CNRS 7083, ESPCI - 10 rue Vauquelin, 75005 Paris, France, EU

Received 5 September 2011; accepted in final form 25 November 2011

PACS 47.35.-i – Hydrodynamic waves
PACS 68.03.-g – Gas-liquid and vacuum-liquid interfaces

Abstract – We study theoretically the capillary-gravity waves created at the water-air interface by a small two-dimensional perturbation in the frequently encountered case where a depth-dependent current is present in the fluid. Assuming linear wave theory, we derive a general expression of the wave resistance experienced by the perturbation as a function of the current profile in the case of an inviscid fluid. We then illustrate the use of this expression in the case of constant vorticity.

Water waves are both fascinating and of great practical importance [1–3]. For these reasons, they have attracted the attention of scientists for many centuries [4]. Water waves can for instance be generated by the wind at sea, by a moving boat on a calm lake, or simply by throwing a pebble into a pond. Their propagation at the surface of water is driven by a balance between the liquid inertia and its tendency, under the action of gravity and surface tension (or a combination of both in the case of capillary-gravity waves), to return to a state of stable equilibrium [5]. Neglecting the viscosity of water, the dispersion relation of linear capillary-gravity waves relating the angular frequency \( \omega \) to the wavenumber \( k \) is given by

\[
\omega = \sqrt{gk \tanh(kh)},
\]

where \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity, \( h \) the depth of water [1].

The above equation may also be written as a dependence of the phase velocity \( c = \omega/k \) on the wave number:

\[
c(k) = \frac{g(k + \gamma k^3/\rho)}{(k + \gamma k^3/\rho)^{1/2} \tanh(kh)^{1/2}}.
\]

The dispersive nature of capillary-gravity waves is responsible for the complicated wave pattern generated at the free surface of a still liquid by a moving disturbance such as a partially immersed object (e.g. a boat or an insect) or an external surface pressure source. The propagating waves generated by the moving disturbance continuously remove energy to infinity. Consequently, the disturbance will experience a drag, \( R \), called the wave resistance [6]. In the case of boats and large ships, this drag is known to be a major source of resistance and important efforts have been devoted to the design of hulls minimizing it [7]. The case of objects small relative to the capillary length \( \kappa^{-1} = (\gamma/(\rho g))^{1/2} \) has only recently been considered [8–14] and has attracted strong interest in the context of insect locomotion on water surfaces [15,16]. In the case of a pressure distribution of amplitude \( p \) localized along a line and traveling over the surface with speed \( V \) perpendicularly to its length, the wave resistance for deep water (\( h \to +\infty \)) is given by

\[
R = \frac{p^2}{\gamma}(1 - (c_{\text{min}}/V)^4)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } V > c_{\text{min}}, \quad \text{and } R = 0 \quad \text{for } V < c_{\text{min}} [2,8].
\]

Here

\[
c_{\text{min}} = \left( \frac{4g\gamma}{\rho} \right)^{1/4}
\]

is the minimum of the wave velocity \( c(k) \) for deep-water capillary-gravity waves. In the limit \( V \gg c_{\text{min}} \), the wave resistance reduces to \( p^2/\gamma \). Note that as \( V \) approaches \( c_{\text{min}} \) (from above), the wave resistance becomes unbounded. This diverging behavior of the wave resistance (in the case of a two-dimensional pressure distribution) is related to the fact that when \( V \) approaches \( c_{\text{min}} \), the phase velocity \( \omega(k)/k \) (equal to \( V \), see [8]) and the group velocity \( d\omega(k)/dk \) tend towards the same value. It was shown in [9] that in the presence of viscosity, the wave resistance remains bounded as \( V \) approaches \( c_{\text{min}} \). A similar regularization also exists when one takes into account nonlinear effects [17].

In many cases of physical interest (like wind-generated flows [18,19]), the waves propagate on shear currents rather than in still water (see, e.g., the seminal work of Miles [20]). The general problem of the interaction between water waves and arbitrary steady current is of great physical significance [21]. It is, however, rather difficult and remains largely unsolved [22–26]. In this letter, we consider how the above predictions for the wave resistance are modified when the pressure distribution...
Fig. 1: Schematic diagram: an external surface pressure distribution moves with speed $V$ in the $x$-direction above the free surface of a liquid of depth $h$ in which a velocity profile $U(z)$ preexists.

This implies that all physical quantities depend spatially on only one horizontal coordinate denoted by $x$, and on the vertical coordinate denoted by $z$. The flat bottom is given by $z = -h$, while the free surface (in the absence of waves, see below) corresponds to $z = 0$. We assume the existence of a steady shear current below the free surface characterized by a velocity component $U(z)$ in the horizontal $x$-direction, and a velocity component equal to zero in the vertical $z$-direction. In addition to this flow, capillary-gravity waves are generated by a pressure distribution (invariant along the $y$-direction) moving with a constant speed $V$ along the $x$-direction, as indicated in Fig. 1. Let $z = \zeta(x,t)$ denote the displacement of the free surface (in the presence of waves). The velocity component in the horizontal $x$-direction is now given by $U(z) + u(x,z,t)$, and the velocity component in the vertical $z$-direction by $w(x,z,t)$. The additional velocity field $(u,w)$ is assumed to be a first-order correction to the undisturbed flow. Associated with the wave-induced motion is a stream function $\psi(x,z,t)$ so that $u = \partial_z \psi$ and $w = -\partial_x \psi$. Note that the use of the stream function does not impose an irrotational fluid motion.

Having in mind that the phase velocity is imposed by the velocity $V$ of the pressure disturbance [1,8], we shall seek a stream function of the form

$$\psi(x,z,t) = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \hat{A}(k) f_k(z) e^{ik(x-Vt)}.$$  \hfill (1)

We shall now determine the function $f_k(z)$ (characterizing the $z$-dependence of the stream function) using the equation of motion and the boundary conditions. According to Euler’s equation we have

$$\rho(\partial_t u + U(z)\partial_x u + wU'(z)) = -\partial_x p,$$  \hfill (2)

$$\rho(\partial_t w + U(z)\partial_z w) = -\partial_z p - \rho g.$$  \hfill (3)

Using (1), the above two equations can be rewritten as

$$\int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \hat{A}(k) ik \rho \{ (V-U) f_k'(z) + U' f_k(z) \} e^{ik(x-Vt)} = -\partial_x p,$$  \hfill (4)

$$\int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \hat{A}(k) k^2 \{ (V-U) f_k(z) \} e^{ik(x-Vt)} = -\partial_z p + \rho g.$$  \hfill (5)

Eliminating pressure between eqs. (4) and (5) yields

$$(V-U(z)) \{ f_k''(z) - k^2 f_k(z) \} + U''(z) f_k(z) = 0.$$  \hfill (6)

Equation (6), which relates the function $f_k(z)$ to the current profile $U(z)$, is known as the inviscid Orr-Sommerfeld or Rayleigh equation [22,26]. It has to be supplemented with boundary conditions. At the bottom, the fluid velocity vanishes and so $f_k(z = -h) = 0$. Let $U_0 \equiv U(z = 0)$ and $U_0' \equiv \partial_z U(z = 0)$. The dynamic free surface boundary condition [2] can be written as

$$\int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \hat{A}(k) \rho \left\{ -(U_0 - V) f_k'(0) + U_0' f_k(0) \right\} e^{ik(x-Vt)} = p + \rho \dot{\zeta},$$  \hfill (7)

where, according to Laplace’s formula, the pressure $p$ equals $p_{ext}(x,t) - \gamma \partial_t^2 \zeta$ [5]. Note that $f_k(0)$ and $f_k'(0)$ depend on the full profile $U(z)$ with $z \in [-h,0]$ (see (6)). Let $p_{ext}(x,t) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int dk \hat{P}_{ext}(k) e^{ik(x-Vt)}$ and $\dot{\zeta}(x,t) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int dk \hat{\zeta}(k) e^{ik(x-Vt)}$. Using then the kinematic free surface boundary condition $w = \partial_t \zeta + U_0 \partial_z \zeta$ we obtain

$$\hat{A}(k) = -(U_0 - V) \hat{\zeta}(k)/f_k(0)$$

and

$$\rho \left\{ (U_0 - V)^2 \frac{f_k'(0)}{f_k(0)} - U_0' (U_0 - V) - \left( g + \frac{\gamma k^2}{\rho} \right) \right\} \hat{\zeta}(k) = \hat{p}_{ext}(k).$$  \hfill (8)

Equation (8) is of physical importance since for a given current profile it relates the Fourier component $\hat{\zeta}(k)$ of the surface displacement to the Fourier component $\hat{P}_{ext}(k)$ of the pressure disturbance.

Let us emphasize that despite the fact that we are working within the frame of a linear wave theory, a linear combination of solutions corresponding to different current profiles will generally not be a solution of the problem at hand. See [22,26] for further details on this issue.
Wave resistance. – We can now investigate the wave resistance $R$ experienced by the disturbance. According to Havelock [6], we may imagine a rigid cover fitting the surface everywhere. The pressure $p_{\text{ext}}(x)$ is applied to the liquid surface by means of this cover; hence the wave resistance is simply the total resolved pressure in the $x$-direction. This leads to $R = -\int dz\, p(x) \partial_z \zeta(x)$ [6]. According to (8) the wave resistance can then be written as

$$R = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi \rho} \frac{-ik|\hat{P}_{\text{ext}}(k)|^2}{(U_0 - V)^2 \frac{f_k'(0)}{f_k(0)} - U_0'(U_0 - V) - \left( g + \frac{\gamma k^2}{\rho} \right)},$$

which is the central result of the present letter. It allows one to calculate the wave resistance experienced by the moving disturbance for any current profile $U(z)$. Note that if one replaces $V$ by $\omega/k$ and sets the denominator of (9) to zero, one gets a general formula for the dispersion relation of capillary-gravity waves on depth-dependent current.

The integral in eq. (9) cannot be evaluated unambiguously because the poles of the integrand are on the domain of integration. This ambiguity can be removed by imposing the radiation condition that there be no wave coming in from infinity. There are several mathematical procedures equivalent to this radiation condition. One way is to consider that the amplitude of the disturbance has increased slowly to its present value in the interval $-\infty < t < 0$: $p_{\text{ext}}(x, t) = \exp(\epsilon t) p_{\text{ext}}(x, t)$, where $\epsilon$ is a small positive number that will ultimately be allowed to tend to zero. The poles of the integrand have been shifted over and above the real axis. Since the poles are now out of the domain of integration, the integral can be evaluated numerically unambiguously.

For a nonlinear current profile, i.e., $U''(z) \neq 0$, one cannot in general find explicit solutions to the Orr-Sommerfeld equation (6). In that case the wave resistance has to be evaluated numerically. For further details on approximation methods for the Orr-Sommerfeld equation, readers may refer to [22,26]. In what follows we will thus assume the shear current $U(z)$ to be linear: $U(z) = U_0(h + z)/h$, corresponding to a constant vorticity $\omega = -U_0/h$ [27]. This might be of relevance for tidal waves [23,28] and constitutes a first step towards more general cases. In that case $U''(z) = 0$ and the Orr-Sommerfeld equation (6) admits an exact solution

$$f_k(z) = (V - U_0) \frac{\sinh(k(z + h))}{\sinh(kh)},$$

Inserting (10) into (9), the wave resistance is then given by

$$R = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi \rho} \frac{-ik|\hat{P}_{\text{ext}}(k)|^2}{(U_0 - V)^2 \frac{f_k'(0)}{f_k(0)} - U_0'(U_0 - V) - \left( g + \frac{\gamma k^2}{\rho} \right)} \ldots.$$
We may also emphasize the fact that for both finite depth cases with uniform and linear current the minimum phase velocity is shifted from its value $c_{\text{min}} = (4g\gamma/\rho)^{1/4}$ defined in the introduction of this letter for infinite depth. Therefore, in fig. 2, the solid blue curve as well as the dashed black curve do not diverge exactly at abscissa $U_0/c_{\text{min}} = 1$ but for quantities slightly superior to it. Nevertheless, for $h$ larger than say $3\kappa^{-1}$, the minimum phase velocity for the uniform current and finite depth case becomes very close to $c_{\text{min}}$.

For completeness, we have displayed in fig. 3 the elevation of the free surface in the case of a linear current as obtained from (8) (assuming a well localized pressure distribution of the form $p(x) = p\delta(x)$) and compared it with the surface elevation for uniform currents (finite and infinite depth).

Conclusion. – We have shown that non-uniform currents have important effects on capillary-gravity waves generated by a two-dimensional perturbation. Both the waves properties and the corresponding wave resistance are significantly modified when a current exists in the fluid. It would be of great interest to extend the results of the present letter to three-dimensional perturbation. A deeper understanding of the physical response of the wave system near $c_{\text{min}}$ will also require the introduction of nonlinear effects [17,29,30]. We have recently analyzed the influence of the finite size of the pressure source on the wave resistance in the case of uniform currents [31]. Similar effects are expected here for depth-dependent currents.

We are grateful to F. Chevy and G. Rousseau for useful comments on the manuscript.
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