ON THE CONTINUITY OF THE HUTCHINSON OPERATOR

MICHAEL F. BARNSLEY, KRZYSZTOF LEŚNIAK

ABSTRACT. We investigate whether the Hutchinson operator associated with the iterated function system (IFS) is continuous. It clarifies several partial results scattered across recent literature. While the main example for IFS with strict attractor was provided by the family of contractions (the so-called hyperbolic system), the accent was put on ensuring that various contractivity-like conditions are preserved when the Hutchinson operator is induced, unless very recently it was discovered that strict attractors are quite often present for a large class of noncontractive maps, namely projective maps. This sets substantial motivation for the study whether in general continuity of functions guarantees continuity of the induced Hutchinson operator.

1. Hyperspaces and multifunctions

We shall assume throughout the paper that \((X, d)\) stands for the complete metric space with metric \(d\). The closure of \(B \subset X\) will be denoted by \(\overline{B}\). The distance from point \(b \in X\) to set \(C \subset X\) is

\[
d(b, C) := \inf_{c \in C} d(b, c).
\]

By \(\varepsilon\)-neighbourhood of \(B \subset X\) we understand

\[
N_\varepsilon B := \{x \in X : d(x, B) < \varepsilon \}.
\]

Note that \(N_\varepsilon \{b\}\) is an open \(\varepsilon\)-ball at \(b \in X\). The Hausdorff distance between \(B \subset X\) and \(C \subset X\) is given by

\[
h(B, C) := \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : B \subset N_\varepsilon(C) \land C \subset N_\varepsilon(B)\}.
\]

The family of all nonempty subsets of \(X\) is denoted \(\mathcal{P}(X)\), and becomes an infinite-valued semimetric space when endowed with \(h\) (i.e. \(h(B, C) = \infty\) is allowed when at least one of the sets \(B, C\) is unbounded, and \(h(B, C) = 0\) implies only that \(\overline{B} = \overline{C}\)). The hyperspace of compacta is a metric space \((\mathcal{K}(X), h)\), where \(\mathcal{K}(X)\) consists of nonempty compact subsets of \(X\). It is complete, since constructing the hyperspace (of closed sets) preserves completeness and precompact if the base space \(X\) is so (e.g. [HuPa 1997, Be 1993, IlNa 1999]).

Some handy properties of neighborhoods and the Hausdorff distance are collected below.
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Proposition 1. For $\emptyset \neq B, B_j, C, C_j \subset X$, $j \in J$, $\varepsilon > \eta > 0$, hold

(i) $\overline{B} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} N_{\varepsilon} B$,
(ii) $N_{\varepsilon} \left( \bigcup_{j \in J} B_j \right) = \bigcup_{j \in J} N_{\varepsilon} B_j$,
(iii) $N_{\varepsilon} B = N_{\varepsilon} B$,
(iv) $N_{\eta} B \subset N_{\varepsilon} B$,
(v) $h(B, C) < \varepsilon \Rightarrow B \subset N_{\varepsilon} C$,
(vi) $B \subset N_{\varepsilon} C \land C \subset N_{\varepsilon} B \Rightarrow h(B, C) \leq \varepsilon$,
(vii) $h \left( \bigcup_{j \in J} B_j, \bigcup_{j \in J} C_j \right) \leq \sup_{j \in J} h(B_j, C_j)$,
(viii) $h(B, C) = h(B, C)$.

The proofs are scattered across various sources, e.g. [HuPa 1997, Be 1993, IlNa 1999, Ba 2006, Wi 1991, Hut 1981].

One striking property of compacta we need later is the following well-known but very useful fact from general topology.

Lemma 1 ([En 1989 Thm 4.3.31]). Let $A \subset X$ be a compact set and $U$ its open cover, i.e. a family of open sets with $\bigcup U \supset A$. Then there exists $\lambda > 0$, called a Lebesgue number, such that the family of balls $\{N_{\lambda} \{a\} : a \in A\}$ refines $U$, i.e.

$$\forall a \in A \exists U_a \in U \ N_{\lambda} \{a\} \subset U_a.$$

Any map $\varphi : X \to P(X)$ shall be called a multifunction. The image of $\emptyset \neq B \subset X$ under $\varphi$ is given by

$$\varphi(B) := \bigcup_{b \in B} \varphi(b).$$

It is the image of a set via relation $\varphi \subset X \times X$ and it should not be confused with the usual image of map

$$R_{\varphi}(B) := \{\varphi(b) : b \in B\} \subset P(X).$$

Obviously $\varphi(B) = \bigcup R_{\varphi}(B)$. Additionally there holds relation $\varphi(\{b\}) = \varphi(b)$ between the image and value of multifunction at $b \in B$, which also should not lead to ambiguity.

If the multifunction $\varphi : X \to P(X)$ has compact values, then it is written as $\varphi : X \to K(X)$ to remind it. Usual function $f : X \to X$ is identified with the multifunction $\{f\} : X \to K(X)$, $\{f\}(x) := \{f(x)\}$ for $x \in X$.

The set-theoretic union of multifunctions $\varphi_i : X \to P(X)$, $i \in I$, is defined as $\bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi_i : X \to P(X)$, $\left( \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi_i \right)(x) := \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi_i(x)$ for $x \in X$. The closure of multifunction $\varphi : X \to P(X)$ is defined as $\overline{\varphi} : X \to P(X)$, $\overline{\varphi}(x) := \varphi(x)$ for $x \in X$.

We can speak about (uniform) continuity and contractivity of the multifunction $\varphi : X \to P(X)$ if we equip $P(X)$ with the Hausdorff distance $h$. For the readers convenience we recall (after [HuPa 1997, Be 1993, AuCe 1984]) these definitions.

A multifunction $\varphi : X \to P(X)$ is
(I) **contraction**, if there exists a Lipschitz constant $0 \leq L < 1$ s.t.
\[
\forall x_1, x_2 \in X \quad h(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2)) \leq L \cdot d(x_1, x_2),
\]

(II) **weak contraction**, if there exists a comparison function $\eta : (0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ s.t.
\[
\forall x_1, x_2 \in X \quad h(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2)) \leq \eta(d(x_1, x_2)),
\]

(III) **uniformly continuous**, if
\[
\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x_1, x_2 \in X \quad d(x_1, x_2) < \delta \Rightarrow h(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2)) < \varepsilon,
\]

(IV) **continuous** at $x_0 \in X$, if
\[
\forall s > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in X \quad d(x, x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow h(\varphi(x), \varphi(x_0)) < \varepsilon,
\]

(V) **upper semicontinuous** at $x_0 \in X$, if
\[
\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \quad \varphi(N_{\delta}(\{x_0\})) \subseteq N_{\varepsilon} \varphi(x_0).
\]

A comparison function $\eta : (0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ should satisfy for $t, t' > 0$:

$(\eta 1)$ \quad $t \leq t' \Rightarrow \eta(t) \leq \eta(t')$

$(\eta 2)$ \quad $\limsup_{r \to t^+} \eta(r) < t$

$(\eta 3)$ \quad $\lim_{r \to \infty} (r - \eta(r)) = \infty$

Directly from the definition it follows that $\eta$ fulfills also:

$(\eta 4)$ \quad $\eta(t) < t$, $\limsup_{r \to t^+} \eta(r) < t$ for $t > 0$ (e.g. [AnFiGaLe 2005]); see [Ja 1997, Mat 1993] for further discussion of conditions put on comparison functions.

**Remark 1.** The above continuities are meant in the Hausdorff sense and in general should be distinguished from continuities in the Vietoris sense. Multivalued contractions are sometimes called Nadler contractions.

We have the following hierarchy:

\[
[(I) \Rightarrow (II) \Rightarrow (III) \Rightarrow (IV) \Rightarrow (V)]
\]

For example contraction with Lipschitz constant $L$ is weak contraction under $\eta(t) := L \cdot t$

One also should remember that upper semicontinuity is a notion different from the one used in real analysis; hence upper hemicontinuity has been also coined for multifunctions but in turn to make things worse it is often reserved for multifunctions which are upper semicontinuous w.r.t. the weak topology, so we stack here with a more wide spread term. Upper semicontinuity is essentially a multivalued concept, since for $f : X \to X$ and $\{f\} : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ it holds that $\{f\}$ is upper semicontinuous if and only if it is continuous which is equivalent to continuity of $f$.

We remind that the above continuity conditions are preserved under taking the closure and finite union of multifunctions (which can be for example deduced from Proposition [I]). It is extended by Theorem [I] to infinite families which are compact.
To be able to deal with compact infinite families of maps as generalization of finite ones we recall after [Le 2004] the notion of the space of multifunctions. The function space $\mathcal{M}(X, X)$ of multifunctions $\varphi : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ shall be equipped with an infinite-valued semimetric, namely the Chebyshev distance of uniform convergence

$$h_{\sup}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) := \sup_{x \in X} h(\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x)) = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(X)} h(\varphi_1(B), \varphi_2(B))$$

for $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X, X)$. Of course $h_{\sup}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) < \infty$ for bounded multifunctions $\varphi_i : X \to \mathcal{P}(X), i = 1, 2$, i.e. $\varphi_i(X)$ – bounded, and $h_{\sup}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = 0$ implies that $\overline{\varphi_1} = \overline{\varphi_2}$. Hence the subspace of bounded (multi)functions (with closed values) constitutes standard metric function space.

Whenever we speak about (pre)compactness of a family of multifunctions we view it in $(\mathcal{M}(X, X), h_{\sup})$.

**Theorem 1** (comp. [Le 2004] Thm.1, [Ki 2002] Prop.3.1.3). Let $\varphi_i : X \to \mathcal{P}(X), i \in I,$ be a precompact family of continuous multifunctions. Then its union $\bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi_i : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a continuous multifunction.

**Proof.** Fix $x_0 \in X, \varepsilon > 0$ and find a finite $\varepsilon/3$-net $\{\varphi_{j_1}, \ldots, \varphi_{j_m}\}$, i.e.

(1) $\forall i \in I \exists k(i) \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \forall x \in X \ h(\varphi_i(x), \varphi_{j_k(i)}(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

Since $\varphi_{j_k} : X \to \mathcal{P}(X), k = 1, \ldots, m$, are continuous, there exist $\delta_k > 0$ s.t.

(2) $h(\varphi_{j_k}(x), \varphi_{j_k}(x_0)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$

for $d(x, x_0) < \delta_k$.

Put $\delta := \min\{\delta_k : k = 1, \ldots, m\} > 0$. Then according to (1) and (2) we obtain

$$h(\varphi_i(x), \varphi_i(x_0)) \leq h(\varphi_i(x), \varphi_{j_k(i)}(x)) + h(\varphi_{j_k(i)}(x), \varphi_{j_k(i)}(x_0)) + h(\varphi_{j_k(i)}(x_0), \varphi_i(x_0)) < \varepsilon$$

for $d(x, x_0) < \delta, i \in I$. Summing up

$$h \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi_i(x), \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi_i(x_0) \right) \leq \sup_{i \in I} h(\varphi_i(x), \varphi_i(x_0)) \leq \varepsilon.$$

$\Diamond$

Similarly a compact family of uniformly continuous multifunctions [resp. $L$-contractions, $\eta$-weak contractions] has set-theoretic union again of this type. Nevertheless if the Lipschitz constant $L$ or the comparison function $\eta$ is not common for all multifunctions in the family, then we got a serious obstacle (e.g. [Wi 1991] [Ki 2002]).
2. Iterated function systems

The system \((X, f_i : i \in I)\), consisting of a family of maps \(f_i : X \to X\), will be called **iterated function system**, shortly IFS on \(X\). When \(I\) is finite we speak about finite IFS. Another interesting instance is the compact family of maps (compactness understood in the space of functions with the uniform metric). One can generalize this notion to **multivalued IFS** (e.g. [PeRu 2001, Ki 2002, Le 2002, AnFi 2004, Ok 2004, AnFiGaLe 2005]) substituting family of maps by multifunction \(\varphi : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)\). Then any IFS becomes multivalued IFS, if we define \(\varphi(x) := \{f_i(x) : i \in I\}\), \(x \in X\). Of course this leads to abstract investigations (which appear for the first time perhaps in [St 1955]), but the benefit of this approach is clarity, scrutiny and unification of various types of IFSs, e.g. IFSs with condensation and associated inhomogeneous fractals from [BaDe 1985, Ha 1985] can be cast in this framework just by adding one constant multifunction. Nevertheless the symbolic dynamics cannot be directly used in the study of multivalued IFS, unlike for usual IFS, since the multifunctions rarely possess decompositions into selectors with enough good properties (cf. [AnCe 1984, HuPa 1997]). This is the main drawback in analyzing the structure of fractals generated by multifunctions.

The **Hutchinson operator** \(F : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)\), associated with a system given by multifunction \(\varphi : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)\) is defined as

\[
F(B) := \bigcup_{b \in B} \varphi(b)
\]

for \(B \in \mathcal{P}(X)\). In the case of IFS \((X, f_i : i \in I)\) this means that

\[
F(B) = \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i(B) = \{f_i(b) : i \in I, b \in B\}
\]

for \(B \in \mathcal{P}(X)\).

The \(n\)-fold composition of \(F\) is written as \(F^n\). Whenever we speak about abstract IFS, possibly multivalued, the letter \(F\) denotes its associated Hutchinson operator.

The most important instance of the Hutchinson operator is its restriction to the hyperspace of compacta \(F : \mathcal{K}(X) \to \mathcal{K}(X)\), since usually the hyperspace \(\mathcal{K}(X)\) is perceived as habitat for fractals generated by IFSs. To be more precise one has to assume that \(F\) sends compacta onto compacta. Indeed this is fulfilled, when the system \(\{f_i\}_{i \in I}\) consists of continuous maps and is finite, or more generally compact (in the space of functions equipped with the metric of uniform convergence). Still more general condition can be provided for multivalued IFSs.

**Proposition 2.** Let \(\varphi : X \to \mathcal{K}(X)\) be an upper semicontinuous multifunction with compact values. Then the induced Hutchinson operator \(F : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)\) transforms compacta into compacta. In particular the restriction \(F : \mathcal{K}(X) \to \mathcal{K}(X)\)
$\mathcal{K}(X)$ is well-defined and
\[ F(B) = \varphi(B) \]
for $B \in \mathcal{K}(X)$.

**Proof.** It is well known that under our assumptions the image of a compact set is again compact ([HuPa 1997, Be 1993]).

Observe yet that $\varphi$ and its closure $\overline{\varphi}$ yield the same operator Hutchinson operator ($\bigcup_{b \in B} \varphi(b) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \varphi(b)$ for $B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$), so one can always assume that the values of considered multifunctions are closed sets.

### 3. Attractors and Continuity

Let $F : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ be the Hutchinson operator induced by (possibly multivalued) IFS. We shall say that a compact nonempty set $A \subset X$ is

(I) a **strict attractor**, following [AnFi 2004, BaVi 2011a], when there exists an open neighbourhood $U(A) \supset A$ (called basin of attraction) s.t.
\[ F^n(B) \longrightarrow A, \]
\[ n \to \infty \]
\[ F^n(B) \subset N_\varepsilon A \]

w.r.t. $h$ for all nonempty compact $U(A) \supset B \in \mathcal{K}(X)$,

(II) a **global maximal attractor**, following [Ge 1992, Ak 1993, MeVa 1998, CaRuRo 2003, Le 2003], when
\[ \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n_0 \forall n \geq n_0 \quad F^n(B) \subset N_\varepsilon A \]

for all nonempty $B \subset X$, and the set $A$ is minimal w.r.t. the property (3).

**Remark 2.** In a compact space $X$ strict attractor $A$ with full basin $U(A) = X$ is also global maximal attractor. △

Only very recently it has been observed that aside standard hyperbolic (= contractive) and weakly contractive IFSs ([Hut 1981, Ha 1985, An Fi 2004]) also elliptic (= projective) IFSs possess unique strict attractor; see [BaVi 2011a].

It can be proved that a global maximal attractor of IFS given by upper semicontinuous multifunction is invariant (Proposition 5 [Le 2003]; see it also for the case of noncompact closed attractor). In the same vein

**Proposition 3.** A strict attractor $A$ of the IFS given by an upper semicontinuous multifunction $\varphi : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is invariant i.e. $F(A) = A$, where $F : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is the induced Hutchinson operator.

**Proof.** Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By Proposition 2 in [Le 2003] (comp. Lemma 3 further) we know that for some $\delta > 0$
\[ \varphi(N_\delta A) \subset N_\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \varphi(A), \]
so
\[ F(N_\delta A) \subset N_\varepsilon F(A). \]
From the definition of strict attractor there exists \( n_0 \) s.t. \( h(F^n(A), A) < \delta \) for \( n \geq n_0 \), so

\[
F^n(A) \subset N_\delta A.
\]

Combining (1) and (3) gives for \( n \geq n_0 \)

\[
F^{n+1}(A) \subset F(N_\delta A) \subset N_\varepsilon F(A).
\]

Thus

\[
A = \lim_{n \to \infty} F^{n+1}(A) \subset N_{2\varepsilon} F(A)
\]

and since \( \varepsilon \) was arbitrary \( A \subset F(A) \). Due to monotonicity of \( F \) then

\[
A \subset F(A) \subset \ldots \subset F^n(A) \longrightarrow A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n,
\]

which means \( F(A) = A \).

We want to emphasize that if the Hutchinson operator \( F \) is continuous, then the invariance of the strict attractor is immediate:

\[
A = \lim_{n \to \infty} F^{n+1}(A) = F \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} F^n(A) \right) = F(A).
\]

This great simplification may be added to a list of typical general interest arguments supporting the search for conditions under which \( F \) is continuous. It is known that even very simple upper semicontinuous multifunctions on compact spaces need not induce continuous Hutchinson operator (AnFi 2004 Counter-Example 1, Ki 2002 Prop.1.5.3). Another reason to establish continuity of \( F \) provides BaVi 2011.

4. Known results

This review section is based on the careful study of articles Mat 1993, Ki 2002, Le 2003, AnFi 2004, AnFiGaLe 2005 supported by Theorem 1 and Proposition 4.

Let \( \varphi : X \to \mathcal{P}(X) \) be a multifunction and \( F : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X) \) its associated Hutchinson operator. We gather below informations how the continuity of \( \varphi \) is preserved when inducing \( F \).

| \( \varphi \) contraction | \( \varphi \) weak contraction | \( \varphi \) uniformly continuous | \( \varphi \) continuous |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| \( \iff \)               | \( \iff \)                  | \( \iff \)                      | \( \subseteq \)  |

Additionally upper semicontinuity of a multifunction \( \varphi : X \to \mathcal{K}(X) \) with (pre)compact values is equivalent to upper Vietoris continuity of \( F \).

Compact infinite system of (multi)functions, due to Theorem 4 with accompanying comments, can be turned into a system generated by a single multifunction.
and various continuity conditions are preserved during this process as shown in the following table:

| Condition                        | Implication |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| $L$-contraction                  | $\Rightarrow$ |
| $\eta$-weak contraction          | $\Rightarrow$ |
| uniformly continuous             | $\Rightarrow$ |
| continuous                       | $\Rightarrow$ |

The family of multifunctions $\varphi_i : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$, $i \in I$, is assumed to be (pre)compact. In principle both tables cover all situations met in applications until very recently ([BaVi 2011a]). The problems with continuity apparent from the first table are solved in the main section of this article.

Finally we should also note that the Hutchinson operator is often order-continuous w.r.t. the inclusion $\supset$ (see [Hay 1985], [JaGajPo 2000] for details) but from the point of view of applications to invariant sets of IFSs monotonicity together with some amount of compactness suffices (which is met in a large class of systems as showed in [Le 2002], [Ok 2004], [Le 2004], [AnFiGaLe 2005]).

5. Main results

Proposition 4 (Necessary condition). Let $\varphi : (X, d) \to (\mathcal{P}(X), h)$ be a multifunction and $F : (\mathcal{P}(X), h) \to (\mathcal{P}(X), h)$ its induced Hutchinson operator. If $F$ is continuous (at singletons), then $\varphi$ is continuous too.

Proof. It is enough to observe that $h(\varphi(x), \varphi(x_0)) = h(F(\{x\}), F(\{x_0\}))$ for $x, x_0 \in X$. □

Lemma 2 (Cantor–Weierstrass uniform continuity). Let $\varphi : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a continuous multifunction and $C \subset X$ a compact set. Then for every $\eta > 0$

$$\exists \lambda > 0 \ \forall e \in C \ \exists z \in N_{\lambda(c)} \ h(\varphi(z), \varphi(c)) < \eta.$$  

Proof. For $\eta/2 > 0$ by continuity of $\varphi$ with every $x \in C$ we can associate $\lambda(x) > 0$ s.t.

$$\forall z \in N_{\lambda(c)} \ h(\varphi(z), \varphi(x)) < \frac{\eta}{2}.$$  

The open cover $\mathcal{U} := \{N_{\lambda(c)} : c \in C\}$ of compact $C$ admits by Lemma [1] a Lebesgue number $\lambda > 0$ i.e.

$$\forall e \in C \ \exists x \in C \ c \in N_{\lambda(c)} \subset N_{\lambda(x)} \{x\}.$$  

Thus for all $c \in C$ and $z \in N_{\lambda(c)}$

$$h(\varphi(z), \varphi(c)) \leq h(\varphi(z), \varphi(x)) + h(\varphi(x), \varphi(c)) < \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} = \eta.$$  

Remark 3. The proof can be also performed exactly as the one for Theorem

...
4.3.32 in [En 1989] if we take $\mathcal{U} := \{ x \in X : h(\varphi(x), \varphi(c)) < \eta/2 \}_{c \in C}$ to be an open covering of compact $C$ and $\lambda > 0$ its Lebesgue number. $\triangle$

**Lemma 3** (Cantor–Weierstrass uniform continuity II). Let $\varphi : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a continuous multifunction and $\emptyset \neq C \subset X$ a nonempty compact set. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\emptyset \neq B \subset N_\delta C$ holds

$$\varphi(N_\delta B) \subset N_{\varepsilon}\varphi(B).$$

**Proof.** Put $\eta := \varepsilon/2$ in Lemma 2 and find $\lambda > 0$ so that

$$h(\varphi(z), \varphi(c)) < \eta$$

for all $c \in C$, $z \in N_\lambda \{c\}$. Next define $\delta := \lambda/3$ and fix nonempty $B \subset N_\delta C$. We shall verify that for $b \in B$ holds $\varphi(N_\delta \{b\}) \subset N_{\varepsilon}\varphi(b)$, which in turn gives

$$\varphi(N_\delta B) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \varphi(N_\delta \{b\}) \subset \bigcup_{b \in B} N_{\varepsilon}\varphi(b) = N_{\varepsilon}\varphi(B).$$

Take $z \in \varphi(N_\delta B)$. Hence $z \in \varphi(u)$, $d(u, b) < \delta$ for some $b \in B$ and $u \in N_\delta B$. Moreover there exists $c \in C$ satisfying: $d(b, c) < \delta < \lambda$ (because $B \subset N_\delta C$) and $d(u, c) \leq d(u, b) + d(b, c) < 2\delta < \lambda$. Applying (6) yields

$$h(\varphi(u), \varphi(b)) \leq h(\varphi(u), \varphi(c)) + h(\varphi(c), \varphi(b)) < 2\eta = \varepsilon,$$

so $z \in \varphi(u) \subset N_{\varepsilon}\varphi(b)$. $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Let $\varphi : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a continuous multifunction. Then the induced Hutchinson operator $F : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is continuous in the Hausdorff metric at every point $C \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ in the hyperspace of compacta.

**Proof.** Fix $C \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Find via Lemma 3 $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(N_\delta B) \subset N_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\varphi(B)$$

for $B \subset N_\delta C$, $B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Thus

$$(7) \quad F(N_\delta B) = \overline{\varphi(N_\delta B)} \subset \overline{N_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\varphi(B)} \subset N_{\varepsilon}\varphi(B) \subset N_{\varepsilon}F(B)$$

for all $B \subset N_\delta C$. In particular, for every nonempty $B \subset X$, if $h(B, C) < \delta$, then $C \subset N_\delta B$, $B \subset N_\delta C$. Hence due to (7) $F(C) \subset F(N_\delta B) \subset N_{\varepsilon}F(B)$, $F(B) \subset F(N_\delta C) \subset N_{\varepsilon}F(C)$. Altogether $h(F(B), F(C)) \leq \varepsilon$. $\square$

From the above, due to Theorem 1 we obtain

**Theorem 3.** Let $(X, f_i : i \in I)$ be a compact (in particular finite) IFS consisting of continuous functions. Then the induced Hutchinson operator $F : \mathcal{K}(X) \to \mathcal{K}(X)$ is continuous.
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