Attractive $N$-$\phi$ interaction and two-pion tail from lattice QCD near physical point
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First results on the interaction between the $\phi$-meson and the nucleon ($N$) are presented based on the $(2+1)$-flavor lattice QCD simulations with nearly physical quark masses. Using the HAL QCD method, the spacetime correlation of the $N$-$\phi$ system in the spin 3/2 channel is converted into the $N$-$\phi$ scattering phase shift through the interaction potential. The $N$-$\phi$ potential appears to be a combination of a short-range attractive core and a long-range attractive tail. The latter is found to be consistent with the two-pion exchange (TPE) obtained from the interaction between a color-dipole and the nucleon. The resultant scattering length and effective range for $m_\pi = 146.4$ MeV are $a_{s(3/2)} = -1.43(23)_{\text{stat}}(^{+36}_{-29})_{\text{syst.}}$ fm and $r_{s(3/2)} = 2.36(10)_{\text{stat}}(^{+22}_{-48})_{\text{syst.}}$ fm, respectively. The magnitude of the scattering length is shown to have nontrivial dependence of $m_\pi$ and is sensitive to the existence of the long-range tail from TPE.

I. INTRODUCTION

The interaction between vector mesons and the nucleon ($N$) is one of the most fundamental quantities to study the meson properties in nuclear matter \[1\]. Among others, the $\phi$-meson attracts particular interest, since the interactions of the $ss$ pair with the nucleon and nucleus are not well understood at low energies.

Experimentally, the production and absorption of the $\phi$-meson in nuclear matter has been actively studied through photon and proton($p$)-induced reactions with various nuclear targets (Spring8-LEPS \[2\], KEK-PS E325 \[3\], JLab-CLAS \[4\], and COSY-ANKE \[5\]) as summarized in Ref. \[6\]. Recently, the ALICE Collaboration at LHC presented a new result on the $p$-$\phi$ interaction through the femtoscopic analysis of the $p$-$\phi$ pair produced in the $pp$ reaction: They reported a spin-averaged scattering length $a_{s\phi} = -0.85(34)_{\text{stat.}}(14)_{\text{syst.}}$ fm \[7\], an order of magnitude larger than that obtained from the photoproduction data by the CLAS Collaboration at JLab \[8\] combined with the vector meson dominance \[9\].

Theoretically, the properties of the $\phi$-meson in nuclear matter and its relation to the in-medium $ss$ condensate have been extensively discussed \[10–13\]. The microscopic origin of the force between $\phi$ and $N$ is also an important open problem. In particular, the two-pion exchange (TPE) as the major long-range contribution between a color-dipole and the nucleon \[14\] is of strong relevance to this problem and will shed new light on the important role of pion dynamics in hadron interactions.

Under these experimental and theoretical circumstances, it is most desirable to carry out realistic lattice QCD simulations of the $N$-$\phi$ interaction. In this paper, we report a first simulation of the $N$-$\phi$ system in a large lattice volume $\simeq (8.1 \text{ fm})^3$ with light dynamical quarks near the physical point. We focus on the highest-spin $N$-$\phi$ system, the $4S_{3/2}$ channel, with the notation $2s+1LJ$ ($s = \text{total spin}$, $L = \text{orbital angular momentum}$, $J = \text{total angular momentum}$). This is because its coupling to two-body open channels $\Delta K(2D_{3/2})$ and $\Sigma K(2D_{3/2})$ are kinematically suppressed at low energies due to their $D$-wave nature, similar to the situation for the highest-spin $N$-$\Omega$ system (the $3S_2$ channel) \[15\]. Moreover, decay processes with three or more particles in the final state such as $\Sigma\pi K$, $\Lambda\pi K$, and $\Lambda\pi\pi K$ are expected to be suppressed due to the small phase space. Indeed, we will show below that such decays are not visible from our lattice data in the $4S_{3/2}$ channel. By using the HAL QCD method \[16–18\], which converts the spacetime correlation of hadrons to the physical observables, we obtain the $N$-$\phi$ scattering phase shift, the $S$-wave scattering length $a_0^{(3/2)}$ and the effective range $r_{\text{eff}}^{(3/2)}$ in the $4S_{3/2}$ channel. (For recent applications of the HAL QCD method to the baryon-baryon interactions, see Refs. \[15, 19, 21\].)

This paper is organized as follows. Section \[II\] provides a brief review of the HAL QCD method. Details of our lattice setup are given in Sec. \[III\]. Numerical results on the $N$-$\phi$ potential and scattering properties are presented in Sec. \[IV\]. Section \[V\] is devoted to the summary. The detailed systematic analysis on the $N$-$\phi$ potential is given
in Appendix A and B.

II. HAL QCD METHOD

Let us consider normalized correlation function of interacting $N$ and $\phi$ as a function of the spatial coordinate $r$ and the Euclidean time $t$ [10],

$$R(r,t) = \frac{\sum_{x} \langle N | r + x, t \rangle \phi(x, t) | J(0) \rangle | 0 \rangle}{\sqrt{Z_N} Z_N^{-1} e^{-(m_N + m_\phi)t}}$$

$$= \sum_n a_n \psi_n(r) e^{-(\Delta E_n)t} + O(e^{-(\Delta E^*)t}). \quad (1)$$

Here the energy eigenvalue and the energy shift for the elastic scattering state of $N$–$\phi$ are given by $E_n = \sqrt{m_N^2 + k_n^2}$ and $\Delta E_n = E_n - (m_N + m_\phi)$, respectively, with $k_n$ being the relative momentum in the center of mass frame. The equal-time Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter (NBS) wave function is denoted by $\psi_n(r)$. The wave function renormalization constant for $N$–$\phi$ is given by $Z_N$ ($Z_a$). The contributions from the inelastic scattering states are exponentially suppressed as $O(e^{-(\Delta E^*)t})$ with $\Delta E^*$ being the energy of the inelastic threshold relative to $m_N + m_\phi$. The overlapping factor between the N–$\phi$ source operator $\mathcal{J}(0)$ and the $n$th eigenstate $|n\rangle$ is given by $a_n = \langle n | \mathcal{J}(0) | 0 \rangle$. We employ the wall-type source operator with the Coulomb gauge fixing to enhance the overlap of the source with the N–$\phi$ scattering state. For the sink operators, the following local composite operators are adopted to define the potential,

$$N_a(x) = \epsilon_{abc} \left[ u^a(x) C \gamma_5 d^c(x) \right] u^c(x),$$

$$\phi_i(x) = \delta_{ab} s^a(x) \gamma_i s^b(x). \quad (2)$$

Here $a$, $b$, and $c$ are the color indices, $i$ is the vector index, $\alpha$ is the Dirac index restricted to the upper two components, and $C = \gamma_5 \gamma_2$ is the charge conjugation. Thanks to Haag-Nishijima-Zimmermann’s theorem [22], it is sufficient to consider these local operators to extract the observables from the correlation function. The Misner’s method for approximate partial wave decomposition on a cubic grid is used for the $S$-wave projection on the lattice [23, 24]. The normalized four-point functions $R(r, t)$ with the spin projection to $J = 3/2$ are used to extract the potential.

As shown in Ref. [17], $R(r, t)$ satisfies the integrodifferential equation,

$$\left[ \frac{1 + 3 \delta^2}{8 \mu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H_0 + O(\delta^2 \partial^3 t) \right] R(r, t)$$

$$= \int dr' U(r, r') R(r', t), \quad (3)$$

with $H_0 = -\nabla^2 / (2\mu)$, $\mu = m_N m_\phi / (m_N + m_\phi)$ being the reduced mass, $\delta = (m_N - m_\phi) / (m_N + m_\phi)$ being the mass asymmetry. We neglect the term of $O(\delta^2 \partial^3 t)$, since it is found to be consistent with zero within the statistical error in our simulation. In practical calculations, a derivative expansion of the nonlocal potential is employed [25, 26],

$$U(r, r') = V(r) \delta(r - r') + \sum_{n=1} \frac{V_n(r) \nabla^n \delta(r - r')}{n!} \quad (4)$$

Then, we obtain the the leading-order (LO) central potential as

$$V(r) = R^{-1}(r, t) \left[ \frac{1 + 3 \delta^2}{8 \mu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H_0 \right] R(r, t). \quad (5)$$

The truncation error of the derivative expansion is found to be small at low energies by using the finite-volume spectral analysis [27, 28] (See Appendix A). We note that the NBS wave function $\psi_n(r)$, whose asymptotic form provides the two-body $S$-matrix, obeys the Klein-Gordon equation [29],

$$(k_n^2 + \nabla^2) \psi_n(r) = 2 \mu \int dr' U(r, r') \psi_n(r'). \quad (6)$$

Therefore, we calculate the phase shift by solving this equation in the infinite volume with the LO potential in Eq. (5).

III. LATTICE SETUP

The $(2+1)$-flavor gauge configurations are generated with the Iwasaki gauge action at $\beta = 1.82$ and the nonperturbatively $O(a)$-improved Wilson quark action with stout smearing at nearly physical quark masses [30]. The lattice spacing is $a \approx 0.0846$ fm ($a^{-1} \approx 2333$ MeV), and the lattice volume is $L^4 = 96^4$, corresponding to $La \approx 8.1$ fm. Listed in Table I together with the experimental values [31] are the isospin-averaged masses of $\pi$, $K$, $\phi$, and $N$ obtained from the single-state fitting in the interval $t/a = 15–25$ (mesons) and $11–18$ (the nucleon) with the statistical errors in the parentheses. The lattice results are about 6% larger than the experimental values for $\pi$ and $K$, and about 3% (2%) larger for $\phi$ ($N$). Since our quark masses are slightly heavier than the physical masses, the decay $\phi \to KK$ is kinematically forbidden, while the $\phi \to 3\pi$ is allowed. We found that the effective mass $m_\phi(t)$ is stable even up to $t/a = 40$, so that $\phi$ behaves as an approximate asymptotic state.

| Hadron | Lattice [MeV] | Expt. [MeV] |
|--------|---------------|-------------|
| $\pi$  | 146.4(4)      | 138.0       |
| $K$    | 524.7(2)      | 495.6       |
| $\phi$ | 1048.0(4)     | 1019.5      |
| $N$    | 954.0(2.9)    | 938.9       |

TABLE I. Isospin-averaged hadron masses with statistical errors obtained from $(2+1)$-flavor lattice QCD simulations together with the experimental values.
We use 200 gauge configurations separated by 10 trajectories. To reduce the statistical fluctuation, the forward and backward propagations are averaged in each configuration, the hypercubic symmetry on the lattice (four rotations) is utilized, and 80 measurements are performed by shifting the source position in a temporal direction. In total, 128,000 measurements were taken. Quark propagators are calculated by the domain-decomposed solver \[32\] with the periodic boundary condition for all directions. Hadron correlation functions are obtained by the unified contraction algorithm \[33\]. The OZI (Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka) violating \(ss\) annihilation is not considered. The statistical errors are evaluated by the jackknife method with a bin size of 20 configurations throughout this paper, and a comparison with a bin size of 40 configurations shows that the bin size dependence is small. The major systematic error stems from the variation of the potential with respect to \(t/a\) as discussed below.
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**FIG. 1.** (Color online). The \(N\)-\(\phi\) potential \(V(r)\) in the \(4S_{1/2}\) channel as a function of separation \(r\) at Euclidean time \(t/a\) = 12 (red squares), 13 (green circles), and 14 (blue triangles).

**IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

The \(N\)-\(\phi\) potential \(V(r)\) in the \(4S_{1/2}\) channel defined in Eq. \[6\] with the lattice measurement of \(R(r,t)\) is shown in Fig. 1 for Euclidean times, \(t/a\) = 12, 13, and 14. (See Appendix B for the \(t\) dependence of \(V(r)\) in a wider range of \(t\).) These Euclidean times are chosen such that they are large enough to suppress contaminations from excited states in the single-hadron correlator and simultaneously small enough to avoid exponentially increasing statistical errors. The variation of the potential between different \(t/a\) is due to the contamination of inelastic states and the truncation of the derivative expansion. Such a variation is taken into account as a major source of the systematic error in our final results. A relatively small variation of \(V(r)\) as a function of \(t/a\) indicates that the \(N\)-\(\phi\) correlation function is mostly dominated by the elastic scattering states in the \(4S_{1/2}\) channel without significant effects from the two-body open channels (\(\Lambda K(2D_{3/2})\) and \(\Sigma K(2D_{3/2})\)) and the three-body open channels including \(N\phi \rightarrow \{\Sigma^*K, \Lambda(1405)K\} \rightarrow \{\Lambda\pi K, \Sigma\pi K\}\). This is in sharp contrast to the \(2S_{1/2}\) case where we found that the \(N\)-\(\phi\) potential shows a clear \(t\) dependence, as expected from the \(S\)-wave fall-apart decay into \(\Lambda K(2S_{1/2})\) and \(\Sigma K(2S_{1/2})\).

The potential \(V(r)\) in the \(4S_{1/2}\) channel shown in Fig. 1 is attractive for all distances and has a characteristic two-component structure, the attractive core at short distance and the attractive tail at long distance, similar to the case of the \(N\Omega(5\Sigma_2^+)\) potential \[15\]. We note that the Pauli exclusion principle between quarks, which partially gives rise to the repulsive core in the \(NN\) interaction \[34, 35\], does not operate in the present case, since \(N\) and \(\phi\) have no common valence quarks.

As has been discussed for the interaction between color dipoles \[36, 38\], nonperturbative gluon exchange is expected to appear in the form of the TPE at long distance. The idea was generalized to the interaction between a color-dipole and the nucleon with the result, \(V(r \gg 2m_\pi^{-1}) = -\alpha \exp(-2m_\pi r)\), where \(\alpha\) is proportional to \(m_\pi^4\) \[14\]. To check such a long distance behavior of \(V(r)\), we show in Fig. 2 the spatial effective energy as a function of \(r\),

\[
E_{\text{eff}}(r) = -\frac{\ln[-V(r)r/\alpha]}{r},
\]

with \(\alpha \simeq 91 \text{ MeV} \cdot \text{fm}^2\) determined by fitting the lattice data of \(V(r)\) at long distance. We find that \(E_{\text{eff}}(r)\) has a plateau at \(2m_\pi = 292.8 \text{ MeV}\) for \(r > 1.0 \text{ fm}\), which indicates that the long-range part of the \(N\)-\(\phi\) potential is indeed dominated by the TPE.
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**FIG. 2.** (Color online). The spatial effective energy \(E_{\text{eff}}(r)\) as a function of separation \(r\) at Euclidean time \(t/a\) = 12 (red squares), 13 (green circles) and 14 (blue triangles). The orange dashed line corresponds to \(2m_\pi\) with lattice pion mass \(m_\pi = 146.4 \text{ MeV}\).
In order to convert the potential to physical observables, we perform an uncorrelated fit of the lattice QCD potential by using two different functional forms,

\[
A : V_{\text{fit}}(r) = \sum_{i=1,2} a_i e^{-(r/b_i)^2} + a_3 m_\pi f(r; b_3) e^{-2m_\pi r / \Lambda^2}, \\
B : V_{\text{fit}}(r) = \sum_{i=1,2,3} a_i e^{-(r/b_i)^2}.
\]  

(8)

The fit A is motivated by the TPE tail at long distance with an overall strength proportional to \(m_\pi^2\) \cite{11}, while the fit B is a purely phenomenological Gaussian form for comparison. In fit A, we consider two types of form factors commonly used in the \(NN\) potentials: (i) the Nijmegen-type form factor \(f_{\text{erfc}}(r; b_3)\) \cite{39}, and (ii) the Argonne-type form factor \(f_{\text{exp}}(r; b_3)\) \cite{40}. They are defined as

\[
f_{\text{erfc}}(r; b_3) = \left[ \text{erfc} \left( \frac{m_\pi - \Lambda r}{\Lambda^2} \right) - e^{2m_\pi r} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{m_\pi + \Lambda r}{\Lambda^2} \right) \right] / 4, \\
f_{\text{exp}}(r; b_3) = \left( 1 - e^{-(r/b_3)^2} \right)^2.
\]  

(9)

Here \(\Lambda = 2/b_3\) and \(\text{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-z^2} \, dz\). The Nijmegen-type form factor is motivated by the exponential-type regularization of the pion propagator in the momentum space, \(1/(k^2 + m_\pi^2) \rightarrow e^{-(k^2 + m_\pi^2) / (k^2 + m_\omega^2)}\). We refer to fit A with (i) and (ii) as fit \(A_{\text{erfc}}\) and fit \(A_{\text{exp}}\), respectively. The pion mass in fit \(A_{\text{erfc}}\) is taken to be \(m_\pi = 146.4\) MeV, and the fit range is chosen as \(0 < r < 3.0\) fm. We found that all fits provide an equally good result (\(\chi^2_{d.o.f.} = 0.3-0.4\) and are stable against the choice of \(t\). In Table II we show the fit results for \(t/a = 14\), which are expected to have least contamination from the inelastic states. Changing the fit range of the potential to \(0.1 < r < 2.5\) fm does not affect the results within statistical errors. Also we found that the simple fitting functions such as the Yukawa form \(\sim -2m_\pi \rho(r) / r\) \cite{11} \cite{12}, and the van der Waals (Casimir-Polder) form \(\sim -1/r^6\) with \(k = 6\) \cite{13} cannot reproduce the lattice data.

**TABLE II.** The fit parameters in Eq. (8) with statistical errors quoted in the parentheses at \(t/a = 14\). The fit range is \(0 < r < 3.0\) fm. In \(a_3 m_\pi^4\), we take \(n = 1\) and \(n = 0\) for fit A and B, respectively. \(A_{\text{erfc}}\) (\(A_{\text{exp}}\)) denotes fit A with the Nijmegen-type (Argonne-type) form factor.

| fit  | \(A_{\text{erfc}}\) | \(A_{\text{exp}}\) | B |
|------|------------------|------------------|---|
| \(a_1\) [MeV] | -37(20) | -37(27) | -37(19) |
| \(b_1\) [fm] | 0.14(1) | 0.13(1) | 0.15(3) |
| \(a_2\) [MeV] | 306(122) | -119(39) | -50(35) |
| \(b_2\) [fm] | 0.46(4) | 0.30(5) | 0.66(61) |
| \(a_3 m_\pi^4\) [MeV-fm^2] | -95(13) | -97(14) | -31(53) |
| \(b_3\) [fm] | 0.41(7) | 0.63(4) | 1.09(41) |

FIG. 3. The \(N-\phi\) scattering phase shifts \(\delta_{0}^{3/2}\) in the \(4S_{3/2}\) channel obtained from \(V_{\text{fit}}(r)\) at \(t/a = 12\) (red squares), 13 (green circles), and 14 (blue triangles).

Figure 3 shows the \(N-\phi\) scattering phase shifts \(\delta_{0}^{3/2}\) in the \(4S_{3/2}\) channel as a function of the center of mass kinetic energy \(E_{CM} = \sqrt{m_N^2 + k^2} + \sqrt{m_\phi^2 + k^2} - (m_N + m_\phi)\) obtained using \(V_{\text{fit}}(r)\) with the fit \(A_{\text{erfc}}\). The scattering phase shifts from different \(t\) are consistent with each other within statistical errors. The scattering length \(a_0^{3/2}\) and the effective range \(r_{\text{eff}}^{3/2}\) can be extracted from the effective range expansion for small \(k\)

\[
k \cot \delta_{0}^{3/2}(k) = -\frac{1}{a_0^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{2} r_{\text{eff}}^{3/2} k^2 + O(k^4).
\]  

(10)

In Table III \(a_0^{3/2}\) and \(r_{\text{eff}}^{3/2}\) are shown for the present pion mass \(m_\pi = 146.4\) MeV; the central values and the statistical errors of about 15% are obtained from the data at \(t/a = 14\) with the fit \(A_{\text{erfc}}\), while the systematic errors of about 25% in the second parentheses are estimated by comparing results for \(t/a = 12-14\) with \(A_{\text{erfc exp}}\) and B. Other possible systematic errors are as follows: (i) The finite volume effect, which is expected to be small as \(\exp(-2m_\pi L/2a) \lesssim 0.3\%\) due to the large volume; (ii) The finite cutoff effect, which is also expected to be small as \(O((a A_{QCD})^2) \sim O(1)\%\) due to the nonperturbative \(O(a)\)-improvement; (iii) As an alternative estimate of the cutoff effect, we remove the potential at \(r < 0.1\) fm, and found that the scattering parameters change only \(\sim 2\%\); (iv) The effect of \(s\bar{s}\) annihilation is known to be less than 1% correction to the \(\phi\)-meson mass and the mixing to non-\(s\bar{s}\) mesons \cite{14} \cite{15}. Assuming that the \(s\bar{s}\) annihilation effect on \(R(rt)\) is the similar magnitude of about 1%, the resultant systematic error to the final scattering parameters is found to be less than 1%.

To estimate how the scattering parameters change toward the physical quark mass, we keep \(a_{1,2,3}\) and \(b_{1,2,3}\) in \(V_{\text{fit}}(r)\) fixed in fit \(A_{\text{erfc exp}}\) and smoothly change the long-range potential by taking the isospin-averaged physical pion mass \(m_\pi = 138.0\) MeV in the region where the
TABLE III. The scattering length $a_0^{(3/2)}$ and the effective range $r_\text{eff}^{(3/2)}$ obtained by using $V_{\text{fit}}(r)$ at $m_\pi = 146.4$ MeV with statistical and systematic errors. Estimated central values using a model-dependent extrapolation of $V_{\text{fit}}(r)$ to $m_\pi = 138.0$ MeV are also shown for comparison.

| $m_\pi$ [MeV] | $a_0^{(3/2)}$ [fm] | $r_\text{eff}^{(3/2)}$ [fm] |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 146.4         | $-1.43(23)_{\text{stat}}^{+06}_{-48}^{+06}_{-48}$ syst. | $2.36(10)_{\text{stat}}^{+06}_{-48}$ syst. |
| 138.0         | $\simeq -1.25$ | $\simeq 2.49$ |

TPE is dominated ($r > 1.0$ fm from Fig. 2). By calculating the scattering phase shifts with such a potential with the physical masses of $\phi$ and $N$, we obtain estimated values of $a_0^{(3/2)}$ and $r_\text{eff}^{(3/2)}$ for $m_\pi = 138.0$ MeV in Table III. Although the range of the TPE is increased by the smaller pion mass, the characteristic $m_\pi^4$ behavior of the TPE strength makes the overall attraction weaker. Note that this is only a model-dependent qualitative estimate and needs to be confirmed by future physical-point simulations.

FIG. 4. The scattering length $a_0^{(3/2)}$ obtained from $V(r; r_c) = \theta(r_c - r)V_{\text{fit}}(r)$ as a function of cutoff length $r_c$ at $t/a = 12$ (red squares), 13 (green circles), and 14 (blue triangles).

Since we do not have reliable information on the $N\phi(2S_{1/2})$ potential from lattice QCD at the moment due to the effect of the open channels, a comparison of our results with spin-averaged scattering parameters should be made with caution. With this reservation in mind, our $a_0^{(3/2)}$ is found to be one or two orders of magnitude larger than the previous theoretical results in QCD sum rules [46, 47]. Such a discrepancy may be due to the difficulty of obtaining the long-range TPE contribution from the low-order truncation of the operator product expansion in QCD sum rules. In fact, the magnitude of $a_0^{(3/2)}$ becomes considerably smaller when the long-range potential is cut off. Shown in Fig. 4 is $a_0^{(3/2)}$ as a function of cutoff length $r_c$ obtained by the potential, $V(r; r_c) = \theta(r_c - r)V_{\text{fit}}(r)$ with the fit $A_{\text{eff}}$. Considerable decrease of $|a_0^{(3/2)}|$ from 1.43 fm at $r_c = \infty$ to about 0.1 fm at $r_c = 0.5$ fm can be seen.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper, we present a first lattice QCD calculation on the interaction of the $N-\phi$ system in the $4S_{3/2}$ channel based on the $(2 + 1)$-flavor simulations with nearly physical quark masses. The interaction potential in the $N\phi(4S_{3/2})$ channel is extracted from lattice data of the hadronic spacetime correlation using the HAL QCD method. The potential is found to be attractive for all distances and appears to be a combination of an attractive core at short distances and a two-pion exchange (TPE) tail at long distances ($r > 1$ fm). The latter is well fitted by the characteristic form of the TPE obtained by the interaction of a color-dipole and the nucleon. The scattering parameters obtained from our potential at $m_\pi = 146.4$ MeV is summarized in Table III. By examining the potential fitted to the lattice data, we find that the scattering length $a_0^{(3/2)}$ is sensitive to the length scale of $r > 0.5$ fm. Also, we suggest that the $N-\phi$ attraction could be weaker at the physical pion mass due to the characteristic $m_\pi^4$ dependence of the strength of the TPE.

Our $a_0^{(3/2)}$ is substantially larger in magnitude than the previous calculations of the spin-averaged $a_0$ using QCD sum rules but is comparable to the spin-averaged $a_0$ by ALICE Collaboration within the error bar [7]. Also, our $r_\text{eff}^{(3/2)}$ is about three times smaller than the spin-averaged $r_\text{eff}$ by ALICE Collaboration. To make a solid comparison between theory and experiments, we need to extract complex-valued scattering parameters in the $2S_{1/2}$ and $4S_{3/2}$ channels through the coupled-channel analysis of the data from physical-point simulations. The present lattice QCD study near the physical point provides a first step to exploring the interaction of $s \bar{s}$ with the nucleon from the first principles. The heavier system such as $c \bar{c}$ interacting with the nucleon pioneered in [48, 49] is also an important problem to be studied.
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which is expected to overlap largely to the nth state. The temporal correlation function with such an optimized sink operator can be obtained as

$$R_n(t) = \sum_r \psi_n^\dagger(r) R(r, t) = a_n e^{-(\Delta E_n)t} + O(e^{-(\Delta E^*t)}).$$

(A3)

The effective energy for the nth state can be defined from $R_n(t)$ as

$$\Delta E_{\text{eff}}^n = \frac{1}{a} \ln \left( \frac{R_n(t)}{R_n(t+1)} \right).$$

(A4)

Thus, by comparing $\Delta E_n$ from the LO potential $V(r)$ and $\Delta E_{\text{eff}}^n$ from the projected temporal correlation function, we can make a highly nontrivial check on the systematic errors in the LO potential $V(r)$.

Shown in Fig. 5 [are] $\Delta E_{0,1}$ from the LO potential $V(r)$ (colored bands), and $\Delta E_{\text{eff}}^0,1$ from the projected temporal correlation functions (colored points). We also show the effective energy from the temporal correlation function without projection, i.e., $R(t) = \sum_r R(r, t)$, for comparison (black points). We found that $\Delta E_{0,1}$ and $\Delta E_{\text{eff}}^0,1$ are consistent with each other within statistical errors, which indicates that the systematic errors in the LO potential $V(r)$ are well under control.

Appendix B: TIME DEPENDENCE OF THE N-\(\phi\) POTENTIAL

![FIG. 6. The t dependence of the N-\(\phi\) potential V(r) in the \(4S_{3/2}\) channel for several distances r. For visibility, data are a little shifted horizontally.](image)

In Fig. 6, we show the $t$ dependence of $V(r)$ for several distances $r = 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0$, and 2.5 fm in a wide range of $t$, $9 \leq t/a \leq 17$. We found the potential at given $r$ varies slowly with $t$: This provides alternative evidence that the truncation error due to the LO approximation is small. Also it indicates that the elastic scattering states in $N\phi(4S_{3/2})$ play a dominant role...

Let us consider the truncation error of the derivate expansion. For this purpose, we construct a Hamiltonian $H$ in the three-dimensional lattice box with the LO potential $V(r)$ [27, 28],

$$H = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu} + V(r), \quad H\psi_n(r) = \varepsilon_n \psi_n(r).$$

(A1)

Here $\varepsilon_n$ is related to $\Delta E_n = \sqrt{m_N^2 + 2\mu \varepsilon_n} + \sqrt{m_\phi^2 + 2\mu \varepsilon_n - (m_N + m_\phi)}$. From the NBS wave function $\psi_n(r)$, one can construct an optimized sink operator as a projection to each nth state,

$$S_n = \sum_r \psi_n^\dagger(r) \left[ \sum_x N(r + x, t) \phi(x, t) \right].$$

(A2)
at these Euclidean times. In other words, if $N\phi(4S_{3/2})$ strongly couples to open channels such as $\Lambda K(2D_{3/2})$, $\Sigma K(2D_{3/2})$, $\Lambda\pi K$, and $\Sigma\pi K$, the potential at given $r$ would decrease monotonically with $t$ increasing.
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