INTRODUCTION

Contingent Negative Variation (CNV), first reported by Grey Walter, \(^1\) consists of slow surface negativity that mostly peaks at central brain locations. CNV is typically studied using the S1-S2 paradigm in which the first stimulus (S1) serves as a preparatory signal for an “imperative” stimulus (S2), to which a motor response is made. CNV, although believed to reveal motor preparation, has also been found to reflect non-motoric processes. In fact, studies done in the past suggested that CNV may be a sensitive indicator of attention and arousal processes, \(^2,3\) particularly focused attention, \(^4\) and sustained attention, \(^5\) stimulus anticipation and visuo-spatial working memory. \(^6,7\)

Adult subjects with Bipolar Disorder (BD) have been consistently found to have cognitive deficits in the form of verbal memory, sustained attention, \(^8,9\) even during the euthymic phase. Such deficits have also been found to be one of the core deficits in Paediatric Bipolar Disorder (PBD) patients. \(^10,12\)

Although the Contingent Negative Variation (CNV) paradigm has been useful in schizophrenia, limited research involving such paradigm in subjects with Bipolar Disorder (BD) has produced contradictory findings. To the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated CNV in Paediatric Bipolar Disorder (PBD) subjects. Thirty remitted PBD patients and thirty matched healthy control group subjects participated in the study. No significant between group main effect could be found for either CNV latency or amplitude. We propose that CNV is unlikely to be a true endophenotype of BD. However, absence of CNV finding during euthymic phase in BD may help us in advancing our understanding of BD and such finding may, in fact, have some specificity with regard to differentiating BD from schizophrenia.
aware of any ERP study that has used CNV paradigm in PBD subjects. Our group investigated the presence or absence of cognitive deficits in a pure sample of PBD subjects during full remission using a battery of neuropsychological and electrophysiological tools and one of our additional objectives was to investigate CNV parameters in remitted PBD subjects, in comparison to matched healthy controls. This work was mainly exploratory; therefore, we tested null hypothesis.

METHODS

Subjects

21 boys and 9 girls (n=30) with the DSM-IV18 diagnosis of bipolar disorder or manic episode (single episode) and age-, sex-, full scale IQ and handeness-matched 30 normal healthy (no score on the 5-item General Health Questionnaire -519) controls (21 boys, 9 girls) were included in the current study. Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL)20 was used to diagnose PBD subjects and exclude comorbidities. PBD subjects were recruited if, at the point of intake, had been symptom free (Young Mania Rating Scale21 <6; Hamilton Depression Rating Scale22 <7) for at least 2 months. The study was carried out at Central Institute of Psychiatry; Ranchi, India and PBD subjects were recruited from the outpatient department of the division of child and adolescent psychiatry. Informed consent was obtained from parents and guardians. The study had already obtained approval of the Institute Ethics Committee.

CNV data acquisition

All subjects were asked not to smoke or take caffeine three hours prior to the recording. The participants were explained the procedures before beginning the experiment. The recording was carried out in a sound- and light-attenuated air conditioned room (average room temperature 18 degree C) with participants being seated comfortably in a chair with eyes closed but alert condition. The recording was done between 9.00 a.m. and 3.00 p.m. and at the time of recording no participant had fever or fasting for more than 2-3 hours.

CNV data acquisition was done with Neuropack Sigma 8 (Nihon Kohden, Japan) through silver chloride disk electrodes positioned according to 10/20 electrode placement system. The electrode impedance was kept below 5000 ohm. The linked ear-lobe electrodes were used as the reference and the EOG was recorded from a separate electrode which was placed over FP1 site.

Subjects were provided with the warning stimulus (S1), which consisted of an auditory stimulus provided through a head phone bilaterally. The intensity of the auditory stimulus was 75 dB; tone frequency was 1.5 kHz with a plateau time of 30 mil-

RESULTS

As matched control group was taken, there was no significant difference in terms of age (p=0.841), sex (p=1.000) and full scale IQ (p=0.115). Mean age of onset in the PBD group was 13.73 years (SD=1.36) and mean duration of illness (months) was 13.33 months (SD=8.74). In the PBD patients, mean number of depressive and manic episodes was 0.33 (SD=0.66) and 1.3 (SD=0.65) respectively. The patient group had spent an average of 1.06 months (SD=4.37) in depressed phase and 3.93 (SD=2.44) months in manic phase while remaining symptomatic. Ten out of 30 patients had a history of psy-
Psychotic symptoms. Among those who had psychotic symptoms, 9 had concomitant manic/mixed episode and 1 had depressive episode. The average number of hospitalizations was 0.46 times (SD=0.50). The patient group had a mean YMRS score of 0.96 (SD=0.80) and HDRS score of 0.76 (SD=0.72) at the time of assessment. The mean duration of remission was 6.66 months (SD=7.57) at the time of testing. There was no significant group difference between patient and control groups in terms of education (p=0.323), family type (p=0.602), family income (p=0.292), and residence (p=0.426). While 10 patients were receiving either lithium (16.66%; n=5) or sodium valproate (16.66%; n=5) monotherapy, 20 patients (66.66%) had been taking combination therapy in the form of mood stabilizers and antipsychotics or >1 mood stabilizers.

Multivariate analysis failed to detect any main effect on CNV amplitude (Pillai’s Trace 0.211) or latency (Pillai’s Trace 0.111). Both groups were comparable in terms of CNV parameters (Table 1).

### DISCUSSION

Our study of paediatric bipolar disorder patients during strict euthymic phase did not reveal any abnormality of the contingent negative variation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first CNV study done on PBD population. Moreover, we had higher sample size, used structured interviews for diagnosing patients and also recruited a pure sample of PBD during strict euthymia. Our study design was such that would allow us to investigate any potential ‘trait marker’ of this debilitating condition.

With the available limited literature on CNV in bipolar disorder population, we considered our negative finding as quite significant. Our finding appears to be consistent with the already existing limited literature that has failed to find CNV as a useful tool to investigate this condition. However, we would like to put forward several potential testable explanations to discuss this negative finding:

Firstly, PBD or Bipolar Disorder subjects as such, may not have any specific abnormality in motor preparation or anticipation of events. Impairment in anticipation of events has been documented in Schizophrenia\(^\text{15}\); therefore, this negative CNV finding, in fact, could help in differentiating between the two major psychiatric conditions.

Secondly, the neuro-anatomical substrate of the CNV waveform in Bipolar Disorder may be different. This is only a tentative hypothesis, as we could not find any specific CNV-fMRI study on BD patients. Nagai et al.\(^\text{23}\) simultaneously recorded fMRI and EEG to specifically investigate CNV related brain activities. The authors found contributions from Supplementary Motor Area, cingulate, thalamus, and bilateral insula in anticipatory attention and motor preparatory processes indexed electrophysiologically by generation of the CNV.

On the other hand, studies looking at the functional neuroanatomy of BD have consistently pointed towards altered activation of the anterior limbic network that comprises of the prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate, amygdala, basal ganglia

### Table 1. General linear model-multivariate test of between subject (patient group vs. control group) Omnibus effects for contingent negative variation (CNV) amplitude & latency

| CNV        | Patients (Mean±SD (N=30)) | Normal control (Mean±SD (N=30)) | Pillai’s Trace | p    |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------|
|            |                          |                                 |                |      |
| N1 latency (ms) |                        |                                 |                |      |
| Fz         | 1901.33±33.39            | 1910.66±37.59                   | 0.111          | 0.622|
| Cz         | 1902.00±33.36            | 1908.00±38.98                   |                |      |
| Pz         | 1902.00±31.99            | 1908.00±38.18                   |                |      |
| C3         | 1898.33±28.29            | 1909.66±38.19                   |                |      |
| C4         | 1904.33±28.12            | 1910.33±39.34                   |                |      |
| Fp1        | 1899.00±33.25            | 1907.00±39.57                   |                |      |
| F3         | 1900.00±32.69            | 1907.33±37.22                   |                |      |
| F4         | 1902.66±33.00            | 1911.00±37.63                   |                |      |
| N1 amplitude (μV) |                        |                                 |                |      |
| Fz         | 9.72±9.04                | 11.29±8.25                      | 0.211          | 0.128|
| Cz         | 7.83±6.38                | 10.54±6.39                      |                |      |
| Pz         | 5.49±5.84                | 5.58±5.69                       |                |      |
| C3         | 7.91±6.37                | 9.91±5.90                       |                |      |
| C4         | 6.98±6.45                | 9.27±6.07                       |                |      |
| Fp1        | 11.59±8.54               | 10.55±8.09                      |                |      |
| F3         | 7.77±8.01                | 10.13±8.09                      |                |      |
| F4         | 9.12±8.54                | 8.68±7.64                       |                |      |
and thalamus. In fact the most consistent finding is the over-activation of the thalamus, amygdala and striatum.

Thirdly, it is also possible that any CNV abnormality in PBD or BD may not be state-independent and since we studied during strict euthymia, we failed to detect any significant finding. In the past, hopelessness and suicidal thoughts (affect-laden thoughts) as well as psychotic symptoms such as first-rank Schneiderian symptoms (FRS) were found to be negatively correlated with CNV amplitude. However, such findings need replication. Ghadirian and Dubrovski did not find any association even during symptomatic phase, although the sample size was too small.

**Limitation**

One potential limitation of our study was the potential confounding effect of medication on CNV parameters in the PBD population. Moreover, we also considered whether our one time case control design, very much used in the contemporary Event Related Potential research, was appropriate to investigate CNV in BD population. In the mid-70s, authors like Abraham et al. commented that CNV provides an indication of enduring abnormal personality traits rather than subject’s mental state at the time of testing. However, we are also aware that studies with a longitudinal design, albeit limited by small sample size, also have been inconclusive.

The other potential limitation of our study could be the choice of reference method. In our study, we chose to use linked-ear reference, opposed to average reference that is known to improve signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). However, we would argue that, in our study, the use of average reference may not be an ideal choice because a) we only gathered data from 7 electrodes and b) the distribution of electrodes was uneven (mostly anterior distribution).

In summary, existing research using CNV paradigm in BD population remains controversial and in our study, we did not find any evidence of abnormality of the CNV in a pure sample of remitted paediatric bipolar patients. We propose that CNV is unlikely to be a true endophenotype of BD. However, absence of CNV finding during euthymic phase in BD may still help us in advancing our understanding of BD and such finding may, in fact, have some specificity with regard to differentiating BD from schizophrenia. Future research may explore this issue further.
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