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ABSTRACT: We explicitly construct the holographic dual configuration for the four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal block containing half-BPS scalar primary operators by considering its full $AdS_5 \times S^5$ dual geometry. We extend the embedding space formalism and the related Harmonic analysis to general $d$-dimensional sphere $S^d$, and obtain precisely the $R$-symmetry contribution to the half-BPS scalar superconformal blocks, which we refer as “$R$-symmetry block”. We also observe that the $R$-symmetry quadratic Casimir operator can be mapped to BC$_2$ Calogero-Sutherland system Hamiltonian, such that $R$-symmetry block is in terms identified as its bound state wave function.
1 Introduction and Summary

Among the various developments in studying AdS/CFT correspondence, one of the most common approaches has been reformulating various field theoretical observables into geometrical objects in their dual gravitational theories. This approach is particularly powerful when the class of observables considered are constrained by their underlying symmetries,
and often provides more efficient and intuitive ways to compute them. Moreover, such a geometrization of field theoretical observables usually yields interesting alternative representations which lead to new physical insights or non-trivial mathematical connections.

The so-called “conformal blocks” or the closely related “conformal partial waves”\(^1\), which are the universal building ingredients of the conformal correlation functions, precisely belong to this class of observables. They play the role of harmonic functions in conformal field theories, and their explicit form is governed kinematically by the well-known conformal Casimir equation [1], [2]. Finding the holographic dual configuration of \(d\)-dimensional conformal partial wave in the \(d+1\) dimensional Anti-de Sitter space (AdS) can be regarded as geometrizing the conformal Casimir equation, indeed it has close relation with the AdS harmonic equation. This task was performed in an elegant paper [3], and the resultant configuration is now known as “geodesic Witten diagram”. As its name suggests, the interaction vertices of geodesic Witten diagrams are restricted to move along the AdS geodesics connecting the boundary insertion points of the CFT primary operators. In a somewhat parallel but equally exciting development, it was discovered that conformal Casimir equation can be directly identified with the eigenvalue equation of BC\(_2\) Calogero-Sutherland quantum integrable system [4–6], hence the conformal block and the eigenfunction. The BC\(_2\) Calogero-Sutherland eigenvalue equation can be further mapped to so-called Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric equation, whose solution can be constructed in terms of the Harish-Chandra series. Using this chain of relations, the conformal blocks can be constructed as the scattering solutions to the BC\(_2\) Calogero-Sutherland system and their properties such as monodromy can be systematically studied. We will review some of these details in the later section.

It is interesting to ask if we can extend the story we summarize so far about the conformal block/partial wave into full string theoretic constructions, in other words we need to consider the compact manifolds as well as the non-compact AdS spaces. This also requires us to generalize the conformal symmetries to much richer superconformal symmetries, and consider the holographic dual configurations which reproduce the superconformal blocks/partial waves. In this work, we focus on the prototype of AdS/CFT correspondence, namely the exact correspondence between four dimensional \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) super Yang-Mills theory in the planar limit and Type-IIB superstring theory on \(AdS_5 \times S^5\) background. In the nascent days of AdS/CFT, various Witten diagram computations have been performed and matched with the appropriate correlation functions, see e.g. [7], [8] and [9] for review. Here we would like to fill the gap in the literature by explicitly constructing the holographic dual configuration that reproduce the superconformal blocks involving exclusively half-BPS operators. This is the simplest type of the four dimensional \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) superconformal blocks, whose corresponding superconformal Casimir equation has been constructed in [10, 11]. For this particularly simple choice, the superconformal Casimir equation can be separated into two parts: one for global conformal symmetries, and the other one for \(R\)-symmetries.

\(^1\)To be precise, in this work we are considering “global conformal block”, rather than the much more intricate “Virasoro conformal blocks” in two dimensional CFTs.
To geometrize this equation, we first extend the embedding formalism for AdS space \cite{12} to general \( d \)-dimensional sphere, while some of the details are quite similar there remain various interesting subtleties as we will discuss\(^2\). In particular, we will generalize the split integral representation of AdS harmonic function to the spherical one for arbitrary spin, and demonstrate it satisfies the appropriate equation of motion. Using this and combining with the AdS contribution to explicitly construct the holographic dual of the desired superconformal partial waves. Moreover, as an interesting observation, we also notice that the quadratic Casimir operator for the \( R \)-symmetries can also be mapped to BC\(_2\) Calogero-Sutherland system Hamiltonian. This times due to the compact \( R \)-symmetry group, the eigenfunctions correspond to the bound state solutions instead of the scattering ones.

This work is organized as follows: In section 2, we review the the necessary details about the superconformal partial waves/blocks for half-BPS scalar primary operators in four dimensional \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM, and their superconformal Casimir equations. This also allows us to fix the notations used throughout this work. In section 3, we systematically construct the holographic dual of the half-BPS superconformal block by extending the embedding space formalism to general \( d \)-dimensional sphere. Using the split representation of spherical Harmonic function, we obtain what we called the \( R \)-symmetry block which is a solution to the \( R \)-symmetry part of superconformal Casimir equation. In Section 4, we discuss how superconformal Casimir equation for half-BPS primary operators can be mapped to two copies of BC\(_2\) Calogero-Sutherland system. We also review related details about Heckman-Opdam systems and Harish-Chandra hypergeometric functions. We have streamlined our main text, and relegated various computational details in several appendices.

2 Four-point functions with half-BPS operators in \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM

In this section, we summarize the essential details about four-point correlation functions in four dimensional \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) Super Yang-Mills (SYM) with \( SU(N) \) gauge group in the so-called planar limit such that \( N \to \infty \) and ‘t Hooft coupling \( \lambda = g_{YM}^2 N \) is kept fixed and arbitrary.

2.1 Four-point functions of half-BPS operators

Let us thus focus on the single-trace half-BPS operators in four dimensional \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) \( SU(N) \) SYM, which are the gauge invariant operators constructed from the elementary scalar fields \( \phi_{\hat{A}}(x) (\hat{A} = 1, \ldots, 6) \) transforming in the adjoint representation of \( SU(N) \):

\[
O_p(x,T) \equiv T^{A_1} \ldots T^{A_p} \, \text{tr} \left( \phi_{\hat{A}_1}(x) \ldots \phi_{\hat{A}_p}(x) \right), \quad p \geq 2. \tag{2.1}
\]

Here \( T^{\hat{A}} \in \mathbb{C}^6 \) is an auxiliary complex null vector for performing the tensor contraction and ensuring the traceless condition. Since the scalar fields \( \phi_{\hat{A}}(x) \) transform as the vectors of

\(^2\)We should mention that some simpler bulk computations were also done in an earlier literature \cite{13}, here we fully generalize them using embedding formalism and construct the split representation of spherical harmonics.
The conformal cross ratios $G(x_i, T_i)$ denotes four-point correlation function for $O_{pi}(x_i, T_i)$, i.e.
\[ G(x_i, T_i) = \langle O_{p_1}(x_1, T_1)O_{p_2}(x_2, T_2)O_{p_3}(x_3, T_3)O_{p_4}(x_4, T_4) \rangle . \] (2.2)
This is the lowest component of a four-point correlation function of superfields considered in [14]. If we expand the four-point function (2.2) in the $s$-channel OPE, the conformal and the $R$-symmetries allow us to fix the form of $G(x_i, T_i)$ into
\[ G(x_i, T_i) = \left( \frac{T_{12}}{x_{12}^2} \right)^{p_1 + p_2} \left( \frac{T_{34}}{x_{34}^2} \right)^{p_3 + p_4} \left( \frac{x_{14}^2 T_{24}}{x_{24}^2 T_{14}} \right)^a \left( \frac{x_{12}^2 T_{13}}{x_{13}^2 T_{14}} \right)^b G^{a,b}(u, v, \sigma, \tau) , \] (2.3)
where $x_{ij} \equiv x_i - x_j$ and $T_{ij} \equiv -2T_i \cdot T_j$ and we defined
\[ a = -\frac{p_1 - p_2}{2}, \quad b = \frac{p_3 - p_4}{2}, \quad p_{ij} = p_i - p_j. \] (2.4)
The conformal cross ratios $u$ and $v$ are defined as
\[ u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} = z_1 z_2 , \quad v = \frac{x_{23}^2 x_{14}^2}{x_{24}^2 x_{13}^2} = (1 - z_1)(1 - z_2) . \] (2.5)
Similarly, we can introduce the $R$-symmetry cross ratios $\sigma, \tau$ as
\[ \sigma = \frac{T_{12} T_{34}}{T_{13} T_{24}} = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 , \quad \tau = \frac{T_{23} T_{14}}{T_{13} T_{24}} = (1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_2) . \] (2.6)
Here for the $R$-symmetry cross ratios, we follow the notation of [14] 4.

The cross-ratio dependent function $G^{a,b}(u, v, \sigma, \tau)$ can be expanded in terms of the lowest component $G^{a,b}_{\Delta, J, l, s}(u, v, \sigma, \tau)$ of the superconformal block associated with the superconformal primary operator with scaling dimension $\Delta$, spin $J$ and the $SU(4)$ Cartan numbers $[s, l - s, s]$. More explicitly, the operator product expansion has the form:
\[ G^{a,b}(u, v, \sigma, \tau) = \sum_{\Delta, J, l, s} c(\Delta, J, l, s) G^{a,b}_{\Delta, J, l, s}(u, v, \sigma, \tau) . \] (2.9)

3 We can also use the Poincaré embedding to express $T_{ij}^2$ as $y_{ij}^2$ (A.19).
4 Note that the definitions of $\sigma, \tau$ are slightly different from the conventional one introduced in [10]. The two different $R$-symmetry cross ratios are related by
\[ \sigma|_\text{here} = \frac{1}{\sigma}_\text{Nirschl-Osborn} , \quad \tau|_\text{here} = \frac{\tau}{\sigma}_\text{Nirschl-Osborn} . \] (2.7)
In $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, this relation is translated to
\[ \alpha_i|_\text{here} = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}_\text{Nirschl-Osborn} . \] (2.8)

The advantage of the definition is that the conformal block and the $R$-symmetry block can be treated on the equal footing.
The exchanged operators should be in the irreducible representations of $SU(4)_R$-symmetry which appear in both decompositions of $[0, p_1, 0] \otimes [0, p_2, 0]$ and $[0, p_3, 0] \otimes [0, p_4, 0]$. From the decomposition rule (3.52) of $SU(4)$, the summations of $l$ and $s$ are taken over the values:

$$0 \leq l \leq \min(p_i), \quad \max(|p_{12}|, |p_{34}|) + l \leq s \leq \min(p_1 + p_2, p_3 + p_4) - l. \quad (2.10)$$

The coefficient $c(\Delta, J, l, s)$ is the product of the three-point function coefficients of two external half-BPS operators and one intermediate superconformal primary operator.

### 2.2 Superconformal Casimir equation for the lowest component

The superconformal block is defined as an eigenfunction of the suitable quadratic Casimir operator equation derived from $PSU(2, 2|4)$ superconformal group. As explained in [14], the superconformal Ward identities can then relate the lowest component $c^{a,b}_{\Delta, J, l, s}(u, v, \sigma, \tau)$ of the superconformal block with its superconformal descendants. By combining the superconformal Ward identities and the quadratic superconformal Casimir equation, we obtain the quadratic differential equation of $G^{a,b}_{\Delta, J, l, s}(u, v, \sigma, \tau)$,

$$\mathcal{D}_b^{(a,b)} G^{a,b}_{\Delta, J, l, s} + \mathcal{D}_l G^{a,b}_{\Delta, J, l, s} = \frac{1}{2} C^{\Delta, J, l, s} G^{a,b}_{\Delta, J, l, s}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $C^{\Delta, J, l, s}$ is the eigenvalue of the quadratic Casimir operator $C$ for $PSU(2, 2|4)$ given by

$$C^{\Delta, J, l, s} = \Delta(\Delta + 4) + J(J + 2) - l(l + 4) - s(s + 2). \quad (2.12)$$

Note that the eigenvalue of the conformal part is the one of the bosonic Casimir operator for $SO(2, 4)$ shifted by $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta + 4$.

Let us give explicit expressions of the differential operators $\mathcal{D}_b^{(a,b)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_l$. For convenience, we will decompose the differential operator $\mathcal{D}_b^{(a,b)}$ into the contributions from $SO(2, 4)$ and $SO(6)$ subgroups,

$$\mathcal{D}_b^{(a,b)} = \mathcal{D}_b^{(a,b)} - \mathcal{D}_d^{(a,b)}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_d^{(a,b)}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_d^{(a,b)}$ are the quadratic Casimir equations for $SO(2, d)$ and $SO(d + 2)$ given by [14]:

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_d^{(a,b)} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( z_i^2 (1 - z_i) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i^2} - (a + b + 1) z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - a b z_i \right)$$

$$+ (d - 2) \frac{z_1 z_2}{z_1 - z_2} \left( (1 - z_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - (1 - z_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \right), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_d^{(a,b)} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \alpha_i^2 (1 - \alpha_i) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_i^2} + (a + b - 1) \alpha_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} - a b \alpha_i \right)$$

$$+ (d - 2) \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \left( (1 - \alpha_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} - (1 - \alpha_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2} \right). \quad (2.15)$$

$^5$See equation (23) in [14], for the $SU(4)$ presentation $[r_1, q, r_2]$. 
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The differential operator $D_f$ consists of the first order derivatives with respect to $z_i$ and $\alpha_i$,

$$D_f = \frac{2z_1(z_1 - 1)(z_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2)}{(z_1 - \alpha_1)(z_1 - \alpha_2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \frac{2z_2(z_2 - 1)(z_2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2)}{(z_2 - \alpha_1)(z_2 - \alpha_2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \frac{2\alpha_1(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_1(z_1 + z_2) - 2z_1z_2)}{(\alpha_1 - z_1)(\alpha_1 - z_2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} - \frac{2\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1)(\alpha_2(z_1 + z_2) - 2z_1z_2)}{(\alpha_2 - z_1)(\alpha_2 - z_2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2}.$$  

(2.16)

This operator comes from the fermionic part of the quadratic superconformal Casimir equation and is anti-symmetric under the exchange of variables $z_i \leftrightarrow \alpha_i$.

### 2.3 Superconformal block for the long multiplets

As described in [10, 11] (see also [14, 15]), the solutions to the quadratic superconformal Casimir equation are classified by the representations of the exchanged operator under the superconformal symmetry group $PSU(2,2|4)$. In this paper, we focus on the case that the exchanged state belongs to the so-called long multiplet with $\Delta, J$ and the $SU(4)$ representation $[s, l - s, s]$.

The solution for a long multiplet has the form [10, 11, 14, 15]

$$G_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_i, \alpha_i) \bigg|_{\text{Long}} = F(z_i, \alpha_i)H_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_i, \alpha_i),$$  

(2.17)

where the explicit overall factor $F(z_i, \alpha_i)$ is given by

$$F(z_i, \alpha_i) = \frac{(z_1 - \alpha_1)(z_1 - \alpha_2)(z_2 - \alpha_1)(z_2 - \alpha_2)}{(z_1z_2)^2(z_1\alpha_1\alpha_2)^2}.$$  

(2.18)

By the similarity transformation with $F(z_i, \alpha_i)$, the summation of $D_b^{a,b}$ and $D_f$ is transformed as

$$F(z_i, \alpha_i)^{-1} \left(D_b^{a,b} + D_f\right) F(z_i, \alpha_i) = D_b^{a,b}.$$  

(2.19)

Therefore, the reduced superconformal block $H_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_i, \alpha_i)$ satisfies the quadratic Casimir equation for $SO(2,4) \times SO(6)$,

$$\left(D_4^{a,b} - \bar{D}_4^{a,b}\right) H_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_i, \alpha_i) = \frac{1}{2}C_{\Delta, J, l, s}H_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_i, \alpha_i).$$  

(2.20)

This fact implies that $H_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_i, \alpha_i)$ can be decomposed into

$$H_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_i, \alpha_i) \equiv \tilde{G}_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_i, \alpha_i).$$  

(2.21)

The conformal part $\tilde{G}_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_i, \alpha_i)$ is the usual four dimensional conformal block given by

$$\tilde{G}_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_i) = \frac{z_1z_2}{z_1 - z_2} \left[k_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_1)k_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_2) - k_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_1)k_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a,b}(z_2)\right],$$  

(2.22)

$$k_{a,b}^{a,b}(z) \equiv z^n F_1(h + a, h + b; 2h; z).$$  

(2.23)
On the other hand, the $R$-symmetry part $\hat{G}_{a,b}^{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha_i)$ is called $R$-symmetry block, and the explicit expression is [10, 14, 15]

$$
\hat{G}_{a,b}^{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma, \tau) \propto (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^a P_{l+a-a+b, l+a-b}^a(\hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2). \tag{2.24}
$$

Here, $P_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2)$ can be expressed in terms of the Jacobi polynomial

$$
P_{m,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2) = \frac{P_{m+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\hat{w}_1)P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\hat{w}_2) - P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\hat{w}_1)P_{m+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\hat{w}_2)}{\hat{w}_1 - \hat{w}_2}, \tag{2.25}
$$

where $\hat{w}_1$ and $\hat{w}_2$ are defined by

$$
\alpha_i = \frac{2}{1 - \hat{w}_i}. \tag{2.27}
$$

The solution (2.24) to the quadratic Casimir equation is obtained by specifying the boundary condition,

$$
\hat{G}_{a,b}^{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma, \tau) \sim (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}l} C_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2\sqrt{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}} \right) + O \left( (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}+1} \right), \tag{2.28}
$$

where $C^{(m)}_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is the Gegenbauer polynomial. The holographic dual to the global conformal block has been constructed by considering the so-called geodesic Witten diagram in five dimensional AdS space [3], our main goal in this paper is to extend this result and construct a holographic dual configuration along the spherical space which reproduce to the $R$-symmetry block (2.24), hence the full superconformal block $H_{a,b}^{\Delta,\lambda,l,s}(z_i, \alpha_i)$ (2.21).

3 $R$-symmetry block from Harmonic Analysis on $S^{d+1}$

In this section, we would like to generalize the widely used embedding space formalism for AdS space to the spherical geometry to construct the holographic dual to the $R$-symmetry block, for an introduction see [16]. In particular, we will present an analogue of split representations of the spherical harmonic function and the formulas of three-point functions on $S^{d+1}$. As we will see, they are ingredients for constructing a holographic dual to the $R$-symmetry block. Moreover we should stress here that while our main focus will be AdS$_5 \times$ S$^5$ geometry, our analysis however is valid for the sphere of arbitrary dimensions $S^{d+1}$.

3.1 Embedding formalism for $S^{d+1}$

As in the AdS case, it is convenient to employ the embedding formalism to describe functions on $S^{d+1}$ for the construction of a holographic dual to the $R$-symmetry block. As one can easily expect, the embedding formalism for sphere can mostly be constructed by appropriate replacements of the physical quantities in AdS one. Therefore, here we only summarize the major differences between the AdS and the spherical embedding formalism. For more details of the spherical case, see appendix A.
Embedding space coordinates

In the embedding formalism, \( AdS_{d+1} \) and \( S^{d+1} \) are realized as hyper-surfaces in \( \mathbb{R}^{2,d} \) and \( \mathbb{R}^{d+2} \), respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AdS} & : \quad \tilde{X}^2 \equiv \eta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} \tilde{X}^\tilde{A} \tilde{X}^\tilde{B} = -1, \quad \tilde{A} = 1, \ldots, d + 2, \\
\text{sphere} & : \quad \tilde{X}^2 \equiv \delta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} \tilde{X}^\tilde{A} \tilde{X}^\tilde{B} = +1, \quad \tilde{A} = 1, \ldots, d + 2,
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1)

(3.2)

where \( \eta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} = (-1,-1,1,\ldots,1) \) and \( \delta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} \) is the Kronecker delta. The boundary of \( AdS_{d+1} \) is expressed as a null cone surface in \( \mathbb{R}^{2,d} \),

\[
\text{AdS} : \quad P^\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{2,d}, \quad P \cdot P \equiv \eta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} P^\tilde{A} P^\tilde{B} = 0,
\]

(3.3)

which is how we realize \( d \)-dimensional Minkowski space \( \mathbb{R}^{1,d-1} \) after taking the Poincare slice.

On the other hand, the sphere does not have the boundary, but let us recall that in the previous section, the \( R \)-symmetry cross ratios are constructed from the complex null vectors \( T^\tilde{A} \) associated with the half-BPS operators. Therefore, we will regard the complex null cone as a counterpart of the AdS boundary [17]:

\[
\text{sphere} : \quad T^\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{d+2}, \quad T \cdot T \equiv \delta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} T^\tilde{A} T^\tilde{B} = 0.
\]

(3.4)

Note the complex null cone is invariant under the (complex) dilatation transformation,

\[
T \sim \lambda T, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times \equiv \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.
\]

(3.5)

The main difference between the AdS-space and the spherical embedding formalisms is that the bulk space \( S^{d+1} \) does not directly interpolate to the complex null cone in contrast to the AdS case. Namely, there does not exist a notion of interpolating \( \tilde{X}^\tilde{A} \) to \( T^\tilde{A} \) on the sphere.

This distinction plays an important role to construct a holographic dual of the \( R \)-symmetry block.

Bulk-to-boundary propagator

Having clarified what we meant by the “boundary” and “bulk’ coordinates in the embedding space formalism for sphere, let us next consider the counterparts of the AdS bulk-to-boundary propagators. The AdS scalar bulk-to-boundary propagator is given by

\[
\text{AdS} : \quad K_{\Delta,0}^\Delta(\tilde{X};P) = \hat{C}_{\Delta,0}(\tilde{X} \cdot P)^{-\Delta},
\]

(3.6)

which \( \hat{C}_{l,0} \) is an overall normalization constant. This function satisfies the quadratic Casimir equation for \( SO(2,d) \) with the eigenvalue \( \Delta(\Delta - d) \). The eigenvalue can be mapped to the spherical one by a direct replacement \( \Delta \leftrightarrow -l \). Therefore, through replacements of embedding space coordinates \( \tilde{X}^\tilde{A} \leftrightarrow \tilde{X}^\tilde{A}, P^\tilde{A} \leftrightarrow T^\tilde{A} \) and \( \Delta \leftrightarrow -l \), a natural counterpart of the AdS scalar bulk-to-boundary propagator is obtained as

\[
\text{sphere} : \quad K_{l,0}^l(\tilde{X};T) = \hat{C}_{l,0}(\tilde{X} \cdot T)^l,
\]

(3.7)
where $\hat{C}_{l,0}$ is an overall normalization constant remained to be fixed. The null condition (3.4) is required in order to describe the symmetric traceless tensors which belong to the irreducible representations of $SO(d + 2)$. This polynomial is known as the scalar spherical harmonic (SSH) function. The space of such homogeneous polynomials has been extensively discussed in [17]. When $d = 4$, the SSH function corresponds to the $SU(4)$ symmetric traceless representation labelled by the Dynkin label $[0, l, 0]$.

The generalization to the spinning case can easily be done. In the AdS case, if we introduce the additional null vectors $W_A, Z_A \in \mathbb{R}^{2,d}$ satisfying

$$\text{AdS} : \quad \hat{X} \cdot W = 0, \quad P \cdot Z = 0,$$

(3.8)

the AdS spinning bulk-to-boundary propagator is given by

$$\text{AdS} : \quad K^A_{\Delta,J}(\hat{X},W;P,Z) = \hat{C}_{\Delta,J}(\hat{X} \cdot P)^{-\Delta-J},$$

$$\hat{C}_{AB} = P_A Z_B - Z_A P_B.$$  

(3.9)

As in the AdS case, we can further introduce additional auxiliary complex null vectors $U^A, R^A \in \mathbb{C}^{d+2}$ satisfying

$$\text{sphere} : \quad \hat{X} \cdot U = 0, \quad T \cdot R = 0.$$  

(3.10)

The spherical spinning bulk-to-boundary propagators are given by

$$\text{sphere} : \quad K^A_{l,s}(\hat{X},U;T,R) = \hat{C}_{l,s}(\hat{X} \cdot U)^s(\hat{X} \cdot T)^{l-s},$$

$$\hat{C}_{AB} = T_A R_B - R_A T_B.$$  

(3.11)

where the parameter $s$ now plays the role of “spin” and $\hat{C}_{l,s}$ is an overall normalization constant. When $d = 4$, the tensor harmonic function corresponds to the $SU(4)$ representation labelled by the Dynkin label $[s, l - s, s]$.

### 3.2 Split representations of harmonic functions

Now we would like to construct the spherical harmonic functions using the spherical bulk to boundary propagators we just listed, this can be regarded as a generalization of split representation of AdS harmonic function [12]. First of all, let us consider a harmonic function on the sphere $S^{d+1}$ which depends on two bulk points $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2$ and two polarization tensors $U_1, U_2$. The function satisfies

$$(\hat{\nabla}_1^2 + l(l + d) - s)\hat{\Omega}_{l,s}(\hat{X}_1, U_1; \hat{X}_2, U_2) = 0,$$

(3.12)

where $\hat{\nabla}_{1,A}$ is the covariant derivative with respect to $\hat{X}_1, U_1$ defined in (A.14). For general $s$, a solution to the equation (3.12) can be constructed by using the split representation of $\hat{\Omega}_{l,s}(\hat{X}_1, U_1; \hat{X}_2, U_2)$ as in the AdS case [12]. In this subsection, we will generalize this construction to the spherical case.
Scalar case

As a warm up, let us consider the scalar $s = 0$ case. In this case, the harmonic function $\hat{\Omega}_{l,0}(\hat{X}_1; \hat{X}_2)$ only depends on $z \equiv \hat{X}_{12} \equiv \hat{X}_1 \cdot \hat{X}_2$ from the $SO(d + 2)$ symmetry. The equation of motion (3.12) becomes

$$\left[ (1 - z^2) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - (d + 1)z \frac{d}{dz} + l(l + d) \right] \hat{\Omega}_{l,0}(z) = 0,$$

and a solution to this equation is given by the Gegenbauer polynomial

$$\hat{\Omega}_{l,0}(\hat{X}_1; \hat{X}_2) \propto C_l^{(h)}(z), \quad h \equiv \frac{d}{2}.$$ (3.14)

This solution can be reproduced by a split representation of $\hat{\Omega}_{l,0}(\hat{X}_1; \hat{X}_2)$,

$$\hat{\Omega}_{l,0}(\hat{X}_1; \hat{X}_2) = \int_{C^{d+2}} D^d T K^s_{l,0}(\hat{X}_1; T) K^s_{l,0}(\hat{X}_2; T).$$ (3.15)

Here the integration measure is defined by

$$\int_{C^{d+2}} D^d T \equiv \int_{C^{d+2}} \frac{d^{d+2}T \delta(T \cdot T)}{Vol(C^\times)} \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+2} \times \mathbb{R}^{d+2}} \frac{d^{d+2}T_r d^{d+2}T_i \delta(T^2_r - T^2_i) \delta(2T_r \cdot T_i)}{Vol(C^\times)},$$ (3.16)

where $T_r$ and $T_i$ are the real and imaginary parts of $T$, respectively. Here, $\tilde{l}$ is a negative integer defined as $\tilde{l} \equiv -d - l$ which is a counterpart of the shadow scaling dimension $\tilde{\Delta} = d - \Delta$. The definition of the shadow operation of $l$ is fixed by using the complexified dilatation symmetry $C^\times$ to obtain the analogue of a conformal integral 6. In fact, the eigenvalue of the quadratic Casimir operator is invariant under the replacement $l \rightarrow \tilde{l}$. Furthermore, the use of the bulk-to-boundary propagator with $\tilde{l}$ in the split representation guarantees that the integral (3.15) is invariant under the action of $SO(d + 2)$. The integral (3.15) is performed in appendix D, and the final result is again proportional to the Gegenbauer polynomial

$$\hat{\Omega}_{l,0}(\hat{X}_1; \hat{X}_2) = \frac{Vol(S^d) \hat{C}_l^{(h)}(1) \hat{C}_l^{(h)}(z)}{C_l^{(h)}(1)} C_l^{(h)}(z).$$ (3.18)

In this way, the split representation (3.16) reproduces (3.14).

Tensor case

The extension to the tensor case is straightforward. By using the tensor bulk-to-boundary propagator (3.11), we can construct the split representation of $\hat{\Omega}_{l,s}$:

$$\hat{\Omega}_{l,s}(\hat{X}_1, U_1; \hat{X}_2, U_2) = \frac{1}{(h - 1)_s s!} \int_{C^{d+2}} D^d T K^s_{l,s}(\hat{X}_1, U_1; T, \hat{D}_R) K^s_{l,s}(\hat{X}_2, U_2; T, R),$$ (3.19)

6Another motivation to use $\tilde{l}$ is that the the Gegenbauer function satisfies the following relation [18]:

$$C_l^{(h)}(z) = \frac{\sin \pi \tilde{l}}{\sin \pi l} C_l^{(h)}(z).$$ (3.17)
where the differential operator $\hat{D}_R$ responsible for index contraction is defined in (A.23). As shown in appendix D, the harmonic function (3.19) after the integration takes the form:

$$\Omega_{l,s}(\hat{X}_1, U_1; \hat{X}_2, U_2) = \sum_{r=0}^s U_{12}^{s-r}(U_1 \cdot \hat{X}_2)^r(U_2 \cdot \hat{X}_1)^r g_{l,s}^{(r)}(z),$$  \(3.20\)

and by construction, satisfies the equation of motion (3.12), as the bulk to boundary propagators in the integrand do.

Let us see the $s = 1$ case in more details. The equation of motion (3.12) can be rewritten as

$$0 = U_{12} \left( \nabla^2_{1} g_{l,1}^{(0)}(z) + (l(l + d) - 2) g_{l,1}^{(0)}(z) - 2z g_{l,1}^{(1)}(z) \right) + (U_1 \cdot \hat{X}_2)(U_2 \cdot \hat{X}_1) \times \nabla^2_{1} g_{l,1}^{(1)}(z) - 4z \frac{d}{dz} g_{l,1}^{(1)}(z) + (l(l + d) - (d + 3)) g_{l,1}^{(1)}(z) - 2g_{l,1}^{(0)}(z),$$  \(3.21\)

where the action of $\nabla^2_{1}$ on any function $f(z)$ is

$$\left(1 - z^2\right) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - (d + 1)z \frac{d}{dz} f(z).$$  \(3.22\)

The above integral can be performed in appendix D that the procedure is a similar way as described in appendix C of [12]. By performing the integral (3.19), we obtain

$$\hat{\Omega}_{l,1}(\hat{X}_1, U_1; \hat{X}_2, U_2) = U_{12} g_{l,1}^{(0)}(z) + (U_1 \cdot \hat{X}_2)(U_2 \cdot \hat{X}_1) g_{l,1}^{(1)}(z),$$  \(3.23\)

where $g_{l,1}^{(0)}(z)$ and $g_{l,1}^{(1)}(z)$ are given by

$$g_{l,1}^{(0)}(z) = \mathcal{N} \left( \frac{C_{l}^{(h)}(z)}{C_{l}^{(h)}(1)} - \frac{z}{2h + 1} \frac{C_{l-1}^{(h+1)}(z)}{C_{l-1}^{(h+1)}(1)} \right),$$

$$g_{l,1}^{(1)}(z) = -\mathcal{N} \left( \frac{2(h + 1)(l - 1)(2h + l + 1)z}{(2h + 1)^3} \frac{C_{l-2}^{(h+2)}(z)}{C_{l-2}^{(h+2)}(1)} + \frac{(2h)^2}{l(2h + l)} \frac{C_{l-1}^{(h+1)}(z)}{C_{l-1}^{(h)}(1)} \right).$$  \(3.24\)

$$\mathcal{N} = \text{Vol}(S^d)\hat{C}_{l,s}\hat{C}_{l,s}. $$

We can check that (3.23) and (3.24) together satisfy the equation of motion for $s = 1$ (3.21).

In general, performing the integral in (3.19) requires cumbersome calculations. However, when we take a special choice of the polarization vectors $U_1, U_2$ such that $\hat{X}_2 \cdot U_1 = 0 = \hat{X}_1 \cdot U_2$, we can easily perform the integral and obtain

$$\hat{\Omega}_{l,s}(\hat{X}_1, U_1; \hat{X}_2, U_2) = U_{12} g_{l,s}^{(0)}(z),$$  \(3.25\)

$$g_{l,s}^{(0)}(z) = \text{Vol}(S^d)\hat{C}_{l,s}\hat{C}_{l,s}\sum_{r=0}^s \frac{(-1)^r s! r! \Gamma(2h)(h) C_{l-r}^{(h+r)}(z)}{(s - r)! \Gamma(2(h + r)) C_{l-r}^{(h+r)}(1)} \times (h - 1 + s - r) C_{r}^{(h-1+s-r)}(z).$$  \(3.26\)

The details of the calculation are given in appendix D. The expression (3.26) reproduces the $s = 0$ case (3.18) and $g_{l,1}^{(0)}(z)$ in (3.24). Note that the expression (3.26) does not solve the equations of motion (3.21) because we chose specific choices of $U_1$ and $U_2$. 
3.3 Three-point functions

Next, we will consider the simplest three-point functions involving only three spherical bulk-to-boundary propagators, this is the spherical analogue of three-point contact Witten diagram in AdS space, and serves as the building block for higher point correlation functions.

3.3.1 Scalar-scalar-scalar case

Let us first see three-point functions involving three scalar bulk-to-boundary propagators, which are given by

\[ \hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3}(T_i) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \hat{X} \, K^s_{l_1,0}(\hat{X}; T_1) K^s_{l_2,0}(\hat{X}; T_2) K^s_{l_3,0}(\hat{X}; T_3). \]  

(3.27)

Temporarily, we assume that all quantum numbers \( l_i (i = 1, 2, 3) \) are positive integers, and we will analytically continue to continuous values \( l_i \) in (3.32) obtained after the integration. As shown in appendix B, the above integral can be evaluated as

\[ \hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3}(T_i) = \hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3} T_{31}^{\alpha_{231}} T_{12}^{\alpha_{123}}, \]  

(3.28)

where the overall constant \( \hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3} \) and \( \alpha_{ijk} \) are given by

\[ \hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3} = \text{Vol}(S^{d+1}) \frac{(-1)^{L_{123}} \Gamma(h + 1)}{\Gamma(h + 1 + L_{123})} \frac{l_1! l_2! l_3!}{\alpha_{231}! \alpha_{312}! \alpha_{123}!} \hat{C}_{l_1,0} \hat{C}_{l_2,0} \hat{C}_{l_3,0}, \]  

(3.29)

\[ L_{ijk} = \frac{l_i + l_j + l_k}{2}, \quad \alpha_{ijk} = \frac{l_i + l_j - l_k}{2}. \]  

(3.30)

The above formula (3.28) can also be expressed in terms of the anti-symmetric \( C \)-tensor [7, 8]. From the overall coefficient \( \hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3} \), the integration (3.27) with positive integers \( l_i \) does not vanish only if each \( \alpha_{ijk} \) satisfies

\[ \alpha_{123}, \quad \alpha_{231}, \quad \alpha_{321} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \]  

(3.31)

In the next subsection, we will evaluate an integration representation of the \( R \)-symmetry block by employing the split representation (3.19) of the harmonic function. For this purpose, it is useful to rewrite the overall constant (3.29) in terms of gamma functions as

\[ \hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3} = \text{Vol}(S^{d+1}) \frac{(-1)^{L_{123}} \Gamma(h + 1)}{\Gamma(h + 1 + L_{123})} \frac{\Gamma(l_1 + 1) \Gamma(l_2 + 1) \Gamma(l_3 + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_{231} + 1) \Gamma(\alpha_{312} + 1) \Gamma(\alpha_{123} + 1)} \hat{C}_{l_1,0} \hat{C}_{l_2,0} \hat{C}_{l_3,0}. \]  

(3.32)

This expression can be analytically continued to complex values \( l_i \) except for the poles \( l_i = -n - 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) of the gamma functions appearing in the numerator. Note that the Gamma functions appearing in (3.32) are consistent with (127) in [12] with the replacement \( -l_i = \Delta_i \) by using the reflection formula \( \Gamma(z) \Gamma(1 - z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z} \), we obtain:

\[ \hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3} = \frac{(-1)^{L_{123}} \sin \pi (h + L_{123})}{\sin \pi l_1 \sin \pi l_2 \sin \pi l_3} \quad \times \frac{\sin \pi \alpha_{231} \sin \pi \alpha_{312} \sin \pi \alpha_{123}}{\Gamma(-\alpha_{231}) \Gamma(-\alpha_{312}) \Gamma(-\alpha_{123})} \hat{C}_{l_1,0} \hat{C}_{l_2,0} \hat{C}_{l_3,0}. \]  

(3.33)
3.3.2 Scalar-scalar-tensor case

Next, let us consider three-point functions involving tensor spherical bulk-to-boundary propagator. Here we will consider the scalar-scalar-tensor case given by

\[
\hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3;0,0,s_3} \equiv \int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \mathbf{X} \left( \mathbf{\mathcal{Y}} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{A} \right) K_{l_2,0}^s(\mathbf{X};T_2) K_{l_3,s_3}^s(\mathbf{X},U_3;T_3,R_3), \tag{3.34}
\]

where \( \mathbf{\mathcal{Y}} \equiv \partial U_3 \cdot \partial \hat{X} \) and we assume \( l_2 \geq l_1 \geq s_3 \). We can also consider other three-point functions that the derivatives act on either of \( K_{l_1,0}^s(\hat{X};T_1) \) and \( K_{l_2,0}^s(\hat{X};T_2) \). By performing partial integrals, these vertices are reduced to the form (3.34) because \( K_{l_1,s_3}^s(\hat{X},U_3;T_3,R_3) \) is divergence free, and the sphere does not have the boundary. The \( s = 1,2 \) cases have been computed in [8]. This integral can be easily computed by using the relation (B.24). In fact, the integral (3.34) is written as

\[
\hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3;0,0,s_3}(T_1, R_3) = \frac{s_3! (l_1 - s_3 + 1) s_3 \bar{C}_{l_2,s_3} C_{l_1,0} \hat{D}_{T_3}(T_1, R_3)^{s_3} \hat{A}_{l_1-s_3,l_2,l_3}(T_1)}{(l_3 - s_3 + 1) s_3 \bar{C}_{l_3,0} C_{l_1-s_3,0}}
\]

\[
= \hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3;0,0,s_3} \hat{V}_{3,12}^{s_3 T_{31}^{\alpha_{231}-\alpha_{312}} T_{12}^{\alpha_{123}+\frac{3}{2}}} T_{123}^{2 \alpha_{123}+\frac{3}{2} \alpha_{312}-\frac{3}{2} \alpha_{231}}, \tag{3.35}
\]

where we defined

\[
\hat{V}_{i,j,k} = - \frac{T_j \cdot \hat{C}_i \cdot T_k}{T_j \cdot T_k}, \tag{3.36}
\]

and in the second equation, we used the fact

\[
\hat{D}_{T_3}(T_1, R_3) \hat{V}_{3,12} = 0. \tag{3.37}
\]

The overall constant \( \hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3;0,0,s_3} \) is given by

\[
\hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3;0,0,s_3} = \frac{s_3! (l_1 - s_3 + 1) s_3 \Gamma(\alpha_{231} + \frac{s_3}{2} + 1) \bar{C}_{l_1,0} C_{l_3,s_3} \hat{A}_{l_1-s_3,l_2,l_3} \hat{D}_{T_3}(T_1, R_3)^{s_3}}{(l_3 - s_3 + 1) s_3 \Gamma(\alpha_{231} - \frac{s_3}{2} + 1) \bar{C}_{l_1-s_3,0} C_{l_3,0}}
\]

\[
= \text{Vol}(S^{d+1}) \frac{(-1)^{L_{123}-\frac{s_3}{2}} \Gamma(h+1) s_3 \Gamma(l_1+1) \Gamma(l_2+1) \Gamma(l_3-s_3+1) \bar{C}_{l_1,0} C_{l_2,0} C_{l_3,s_3}}{\Gamma(h+1+L_{123}-\frac{s_3}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha_{231} - \frac{s_3}{2} + 1) \Gamma(\alpha_{312} - \frac{s_3}{2} + 1) \Gamma(\alpha_{123} - \frac{s_3}{2} + 1)}. \tag{3.38}
\]

The Gamma function factors of the three-point function above are also consistent with (131) in [12].

3.4 Holographic dual to the \( R \)-symmetry block

Finally, let us use the three-point functions we just obtained to construct a holographic dual to the \( R \)-symmetry block.

For this purpose, we first give a holographic dual to the \( R \)-symmetry partial waves. Suppose that the external and exchanged states transform in the \( R \)-symmetry representations.
where the summation and the product over the symbol $k$ of indices rewritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{W}_{l,s}^{(l_i,s_i)}(T_i, R_i) &\equiv \frac{1}{\pi^h A_{l_1l_2l_3l_4, s_1s_2s_3s_4}} \int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \hat{X} \int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \hat{X}' \\
&\times \mathcal{Y}_{0}^{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{Y}_{0}^{\mathcal{G}} K_{l_1s_1}^3(\hat{X}_1, U_1; T_1, R_1) K_{l_2s_2}^3(\hat{X}_2, U_2; T_2, R_2) \mathcal{A}_{l_3l_4s_3s_4}(\hat{X}_3, U_3; T_3, R_3) K_{l_4s_4}^3(\hat{X}_4, U_4; T_4, R_4) \bigg|_{X_1, 2, 3 = \hat{X}, X_4, 5, 6 = \hat{X}_1},
\end{align*}
$$

(3.39)

where $\mathcal{Y}_{0}^{\mathcal{G}} \equiv \partial_{U_0} \cdot \partial_{\hat{X}_1}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{0}^{\mathcal{G}} \equiv \partial_{U_6} \cdot \partial_{\hat{X}_4}$. For $l_i = s_i = 0$, this four-point function is an eigenfunction of the quadratic Casimir equation for $SO(d + 2)$ by construction. As we will see, after performing integrals the $R$-symmetry partial wave (3.39) has a form that as in the AdS case, which is a linear combination of the $R$-symmetry block and its shadow. Remarkably, we will observe that the shadow part vanishes after imposing the selection rule on the exchanged operator after taking an appropriate normalization. In this way, our $R$-symmetry partial wave (3.39) also describes the $R$-symmetry block. This situation is different from the AdS case. In particular, we do not need to restrict the interaction vertices to move along the geodesics on the sphere as integral regions to describe the $R$-symmetry block holographically. This follows from the fact that there does not exist a notion of interpolating $\hat{X}_i^{\mathcal{A}}$ to $T_i^{\mathcal{A}}$ on the sphere.

Let us give a brief sketch that how we perform the integrals of (3.39) with $s_i = 0$ which is the same method applied in [1]. For this purpose, we first use the split representation (3.19) of the harmonic function $\mathcal{A}_{l, s}$ and the formula (3.35) of three-point functions. Then the $R$-symmetry partial wave becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{W}_{l,s}^{(l_i,0)} &\equiv \frac{1}{\pi^h A_{l_1l_2l_3l_4, 0s} A_{l_1l_2l_3l_4, 00}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{d+2}} D^{d} T_0 A_{l_1l_2l_3l_4, 0s}(T_1; T_2; T_0, D_R) \mathcal{A}_{l_1l_2l_3l_4, 00}(T_0, R; T_3; T_4).
\end{align*}
$$

(3.40)

By using the explicit expression (3.35) of the three-point functions, this integral can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{W}_{l,s}^{(l_i,0)} &\equiv \frac{1}{\pi^h} \sum_{r, k_{ij}} T_{12}^{l_i+l_2-\frac{1+s}{2}+r} T_{34}^{l_3+l_4-\frac{1+s}{2}+r} \prod_{(ij)} T_{ij}^{k_{ij}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{d+2}} \frac{D^{d} T_0}{T_0^{\delta_{1}^{+}+r} T_0^{\delta_{2}^{+}+r} T_0^{\delta_{3}^{+}+r} T_0^{\delta_{4}^{+}+r}},
\end{align*}
$$

(3.41)

where the summation and the product over the symbol $(ij)$ only take four combinations of indices $i, j$ i.e. $(ij) = (13), (14), (23), (24)$. The summations over $r$ and non-negative integers $k_{ij} = k_{ji} (i, j = 1, \ldots, 4)$ are defined as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{r, k_{ij}} &\equiv \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor s/2\rfloor} \sum_{k_{ij}} (-1)^{s+r} \frac{(s + h - 1)}{r!} \sum_{(ij) k_{ij}} \frac{(-1)^{k_{14}+k_{13}}}{\prod_{(ij)} k_{ij}!}.
\end{align*}
$$

(3.42)
We defined $\kappa_i^{1,2}$ and $\kappa_i^{3,4}$ as

\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa_i^1 &= \frac{l + s}{2} + \hat{a} + k_{13} + k_{14}, & \kappa_i^2 &= -\frac{l + s}{2} - \hat{a} + k_{24} + k_{23}, \\
\kappa_i^3 &= -\frac{l + s}{2} - \hat{b} + k_{13} + k_{23}, & \kappa_i^4 &= -\frac{l + s}{2} + \hat{b} + k_{14} + k_{24},
\end{align*}
\]

(3.43)

where $\hat{a} = -(l_1 - l_2)/2$ and $\hat{b} = (l_3 - l_4)/2$. They satisfy a relation:

\[
\kappa_i^1 + \kappa_i^2 + \kappa_i^3 + \kappa_i^4 + 4r = d.
\]

(3.44)

Therefore, the integral in (3.41) may be regarded as the conformal integral except that the integration region is now over a complex null cone. In fact, as performed in [1], we can also evaluate it through a Mellin-Barnes transform by assuming

\[
l \in \mathbb{C}, \quad -d \leq \text{Re} \, l \leq 0.
\]

(3.45)

Here we will skip the details of the calculations as the steps are somewhat similar to the derivation of AdS Mellin amplitudes (See e.g. [19, 20]) and only present the final result which is expressed as a linear combination of the $R$-symmetry block and its shadow, and can be regarded as one of the main results in our work:

\[
\hat{W}_{l,s}^{(l,0)}(T_i) = T_{12}^{l+12} T_{34}^{l+14} \left( T_{13}^{l} T_{14}^{l} \right)^{\hat{a}} \left( T_{13}^{l} T_{14}^{l} \right)^{\hat{b}} \left[ c_i^1 \hat{G}_{i,s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) + c_i^2 \hat{G}_{i,s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) \right],
\]

(3.46)

where the coefficients $c_i^1$ and $c_i^2$ are given by

\[
c_i^1 = \frac{\Gamma(l + h)}{\Gamma(-l)} \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{l-s}{2} \pm \hat{b}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{l-s}{2} \pm \hat{a}\right)}, \quad c_i^2 = \frac{\Gamma(l + h)}{\Gamma(-l)} \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{l-s}{2} \pm \hat{a}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{l-s}{2} \pm \hat{b}\right)}.
\]

(3.47)

Here we introduced the notation $\Gamma(x \pm y) = \Gamma(x + y)\Gamma(x - y)$. The $R$-symmetry block $\hat{G}_{i,s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau)$ and its shadow $\hat{G}_{i,s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau)$ are:

\[
\hat{G}_{i,s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) = \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{l-s}{2} \pm \hat{b}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{l-s}{2} \pm \hat{a}\right)} \sum_{r,k_i} \frac{\Gamma(\kappa_i^3 + r)\Gamma(\kappa_i^4 + r)}{\Gamma(\kappa_i^2 + r)\Gamma(\kappa_i^1 + r)} e^{-i\frac{x}{2}r} \times G(\kappa_i^2 + r, \kappa_i^3 + r, 1 - \hat{b} - h, -l; \sigma, 1 - \tau),
\]

(3.48)

\[
\hat{G}_{i,s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) = \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{l-s}{2} \pm \hat{a}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{l-s}{2} \pm \hat{b}\right)} \sum_{r,k_i} \frac{\Gamma(\kappa_i^3 + r)\Gamma(\kappa_i^4 + r)}{\Gamma(\kappa_i^2 + r)\Gamma(\kappa_i^1 + r)} e^{-i\frac{x}{2}r} \times G(\kappa_i^2 + r, \kappa_i^3 + r, 1 - \hat{a} - h, -l; \sigma, 1 - \tau),
\]

(3.49)

where the two variable function $G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; x, y)$ is defined as the double power series expansion

\[
G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta - \alpha)_m(\delta - \beta)_m(\alpha)_{m+n}(\beta)_{m+n}}{m!n!(\delta)_{2m+n}} x^m y^n.
\]

(3.50)
This function was originally introduced by Exton in [21] and we will call it the Exton function in this paper. Its properties are summarized in appendix C. When \( s = 0 \), the \( R \)-symmetry block (3.48) has a simple form

\[
\hat{G}^{\hat{a}, \hat{b}}_{1,0} (\sigma, \tau) = \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} G \left( -\frac{l}{2} - \hat{a}, -\frac{l}{2} - \hat{b}; 1 - l - h, -l; \sigma, 1 - \tau \right). \tag{3.51}
\]

We can explicitly check that this function is an eigenfunction of the quadratic Casimir equation for \( SO(d+2) \) (see appendix C for details). In the case of the conformal block, the same expression has been derived in [1].

**The \( d = 4 \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM case**

Let us consider the \( R \)-symmetry partial wave (3.46) for four-point correlation function (2.2) of the half-BPS operators in \( d = 4 \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM.

As noted in subsection 2.1, we are interested in the exchanged states that belong to the irreducible representations of \( SU(4) \) appearing in both operator product expansions of \([0, p_1, 0] \otimes [0, p_2, 0] \) and \([0, p_3, 0] \otimes [0, p_4, 0]\). The tensor product of \( SU(4) \) representations is decomposed as

\[
[0, l_1, 0] \otimes [0, l_2, 0] = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\min(l_1, l_2) - \min(l_1, l_2) - \min(l_1, l_2)} \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\min(l_1, l_2)} [m, l_2 - l_1 + 2n, m], \tag{3.52}
\]

where we assumed \( l_2 \geq l_1 \). The right-hand side contains the following exchanged states:

\[
[0, l_1, 0] \otimes [0, l_2, 0] \supset \bigoplus_{n=0}^{l_1 - s} [s, l_2 - l_1 + 2n, s]. \tag{3.53}
\]

This indicates that the range of \( l - s \) for the exchanged state is given by

\[
l - s = l_2 - l_1 + 2n \quad (n = 0, \ldots, l_1 - s), \tag{3.54}
\]

or equivalently, the combinations of \( l_1, l_2 \), and \((l, s)\) satisfies

\[
\frac{l_1 + l_2 - l - s}{2}, \quad \frac{l_2 + l + s - l_1}{2}, \quad \frac{l - s + l_1 - l_2}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \tag{3.55}
\]

Note that the integrals (3.27), (3.34) with positive integers \( l_i \) would vanish if the quantum numbers \( l_i \) do not satisfy the condition (3.55). Therefore, the \( R \)-symmetry partial wave (3.46) should be evaluated on the condition

\[
l - s = \begin{cases} 
l_2 - l_1 + 2n & (n = 0, \ldots, l_1 - s) \\
l_4 - l_3 + 2m & (m = 0, \ldots, l_3 - s) \end{cases}. \tag{3.56}
\]

This rule implies \( \hat{a} - \hat{b} \in \mathbb{Z} \). The condition (3.56) is understood as the selection rule of \( SO(6) \simeq SU(4) \) representations.

In addition to the selection rule (3.56), we have to consider the boundary condition of the \( R \)-symmetry partial wave (3.46). Here we further require that the \( R \)-symmetry partial
wave (3.46) has the same boundary condition (2.28) of the R-symmetry block after imposing the selection rule (3.56). To this end, we normalize the R-symmetry partial wave (3.46) by the factor \(1/c_{l,s}\). We next impose the selection rule (3.56) leads to R-symmetry partial wave (3.46),

\[
\frac{1}{c_{l,s}} \hat{W}_{l,s}^{[l,(l,0)]}(T_i) \bigg|_{(3.56)} = T_{12}^{\hat{t}a,\hat{t}b} T_{34}^{l+1+4} \left( \frac{T_{24}^{l+1+4}}{T_{14}^{l+1+4}} \right)^{\hat{b}} \left( \frac{T_{13}^{l+1}}{T_{14}^{l+1}} \right)_{(3.56)} \hat{G}_{l,s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau),
\]

because the ratio \(c_{l,s}/c_{l,s}\) vanishes due to the condition (3.56). In this sense, the R-symmetry partial wave (3.39) also encodes the R-symmetry block. It is important to note the similarity between the double trace operator condition \(\tau = \Delta - J = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + 2n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\) and the selection rule (3.56). An equivalent condition to (3.56) is \(-l - s = -(l_1 + l_2), \ldots, -(l_1 + l_2) + 2(l_1 - s)\), which is analogous to the double trace operators, if we identify \(-l\) and \(s\) with \(\Delta\) and \(J\), also \(-l_{1,2}\) with \(\Delta_{1,2}\) etc., but with a cutoff on the descendants due to the compact group SO\((d + 2)\).

If we take \(s = 0\), we can show that the R-symmetry block (3.51) reduces to the known result (2.24). In order to see the equivalence between this expression and (2.24), we assume \(\hat{a} \leq \hat{b}\). By using the formula (C.8), (3.51) can be rewritten as

\[
\hat{G}_{l,0}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \left[ k^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\alpha_1) k^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\alpha_2) - k^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\alpha_2) k^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\alpha_1) \right].
\]

If \(l\) satisfies the selection rule (3.56), the function \(k^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\alpha)\) is also expressed in terms of the Jacobi polynomial,

\[
k^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\alpha) = N_l^{\hat{a},\hat{b}} \alpha^\hat{a} P^{(\hat{b} - \hat{a}, -\hat{a} - \hat{b})}(w), \quad \alpha = \frac{2}{1 - w},
\]

where the overall constant is given by

\[
N_l^{\hat{a},\hat{b}} = (-1)^{l+\hat{a}} \left( \frac{b - \hat{a} + 1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(l + \hat{a})!}{(1 + l + b) \frac{b - \hat{a} + 1}{2} \hat{a} \hat{b} (l + \hat{a})!}.
\]

Therefore, the R-symmetry block (3.51) becomes

\[
\hat{G}_{l,0}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) = 2N_l^{\hat{a},\hat{b}} N_{l+2}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}} (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{\hat{a}} P^{(\hat{b} - \hat{a}, -\hat{a} - \hat{b})}(w_1, w_2),
\]

where \(w_i (i = 1, 2)\) are defined in (2.27). This is the usual expression (2.24) of the \(d = 4\) R-symmetry block [10, 14, 15].

Our R-symmetry block (3.48) with \(s > 0\) also reproduces all the known results up to an overall factor,

\[
\hat{G}_{l,s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) \propto (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{\hat{a}} P^{(\hat{b} - \hat{a}, -\hat{a} - \hat{b})}(w_1, w_2),
\]
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where we assumed \( \hat{a} \leq \hat{b} \) again. While we have not been able to show analytically the equivalence between (3.48), (3.49) and (3.62) for arbitrary \( l \) and \( s \), we have explicitly checked their equivalence in appendix E for \( s \leq l \leq 4, 0 \leq s \leq 2 \), up to the overall factors. This explicit check is somewhat non-trivial as we also need to perform the summation over the four fold partition of integers for given \( l \) (For the explicit expressions, see (E.2)-(E.39)). Note that \( \hat{a}, \hat{b} \) are taken to satisfy the selection rule (3.56).

**The \( d = 3 \) case**

Finally, let us comment on the connection of our result with superconformal field theories in other dimensions. We focus here on a six dimensional (2, 0) superconformal theory which is holographically dual to eleven dimensional supergravity on \( AdS_7 \times S^4 \). The associated superconformal algebra is \( \mathfrak{osp}(8^*|4) \) that contains a bosonic subgroup \( \mathfrak{usp}(4) \simeq \mathfrak{so}(5) \) as the \( R \)-symmetry.

The four-point functions of the half-BPS operators, that transform in the \( [2, 0] \) representation of \( \mathfrak{so}(5) \), and its OPE structures have been considered by many authors \([11, 22–25]\). As in the previous case, the four-point functions are expanded in terms of the \( \mathfrak{so}(5) \) spherical harmonic functions. For a consistency check, we confirmed that our \( R \)-symmetry block (3.48) reproduces the known results listed in (B.14) of \([10]\) up to overall constants:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{G}^{0,0}_{1,1}(\sigma, \tau) &= -\frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right) = -\frac{2}{3} Y_{10} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right), \\
\hat{G}^{0,0}_{2,0}(\sigma, \tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{\tau}{\sigma} - \frac{2}{5} \right) = \frac{1}{2} Y_{11} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right), \\
\hat{G}^{0,0}_{2,2}(\sigma, \tau) &= \frac{32}{45} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \left( \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right)^2 - \frac{2\tau}{\sigma^2} - \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{\tau}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \right) = \frac{32}{45} Y_{20} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right), \\
\hat{G}^{0,0}_{3,1}(\sigma, \tau) &= -\frac{28}{105} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \left( \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right)^2 - 4 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right) \right) = -\frac{28}{105} Y_{21} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right), \\
\hat{G}^{0,0}_{4,0}(\sigma, \tau) &= \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \left( \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right)^2 + \frac{4\tau}{\sigma^2} - \frac{8(1 + \tau)}{9\sigma} + \frac{8}{63} \right) = \frac{1}{6} Y_{22} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

The \( R \)-symmetry block \( \hat{G}^{0,0}_{l,s}(\sigma, \tau) \) corresponds to the \( \mathfrak{so}(5) \) spherical harmonic function \( Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}, s+\frac{1}{2}}(1/\sigma, \tau/\sigma) \) associated with the \( \mathfrak{usp}(4) \) Dynkin labels \([l - s, 2s]\). In this way, the \( R \)-symmetry block (3.48) works well for the odd \( d \) dimension cases.

**4 Superconformal Casimir equation and the Heckman-Opdam systems**

In this section, we will establish the relation between the quadratic superconformal Casimir equation (2.20) and the Heckman-Opdam (HO) hypergeometric systems associated with the BC\(_2\) root system. This is a generalization of the similar relation for the conformal Casimir equation discovered in \([4, 5, 26]\).

The HO hypergeometric function associated with the BC\(_2\) root system is a solution to the partial differential equation (for more details, see \([4, 5, 27–30]\)),

\[
L_{\text{BC}_2}(k) \varphi(k; w_i) = (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle - \langle \rho(k), \rho(k) \rangle) \varphi(k; w_i),
\]

(4.1)
where the differential operator $L_{BC_2}(k)$ is

$$L_{BC_2}(k) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ (1 - w_i^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_i^2} - (k_s + (k_s + 2k_l + 1) w_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \right] - \frac{2k_m}{w_1 - w_2} \left( (1 - w_1^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} - (1 - w_2^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2} \right).$$

The equation (4.1) is characterized by three complex parameters $k_s, k_m, \text{ and } k_l$ which are associated with the short, middle, and long positive roots of BC$_2$ root system, respectively. The bracket $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product in the two-dimensional Euclidean space, and $\lambda$ and $\rho_{BC_2}(k)$ are defined as

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2, \quad \rho_{BC_2}(k) = \rho_{BC_2}(k) = \frac{1}{2} (k_s + 2k_l),$$

where $e_i$ are orthonormal vectors satisfying $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

As observed in [4, 5], any $d$-dimensional scalar conformal block can be described in the HO system (4.1) associated with the BC$_2$ root system. This observation can easily be extended to the case of the superconformal block for the long multiplets. In the following discussions, we will explain it.

### 4.1 Superconformal blocks as HO hypergeometric function

We will consider the reduced part of the superconformal blocks $H_{\Delta,l,s}^{ab}(z_1, \alpha_i)$ for the long multiplets with the SU(4) Dynkin index $[s, l - s, s]$ satisfying (2.20).

The differential operators $\hat{D}_4^{(a,b)}$ and $\hat{D}_4^{(a,b)}$ defined in (2.14) and (2.15) are related to the differential operator $L_{BC_2}$ by performing the following similarity transformations\(^7\),

$$\hat{D}_4^{(a,b)}(z_1 z_2) = \hat{L}_{BC_2}(k) = a (2a + 4),$$

$$\hat{D}_4^{(a,b)}(\alpha_1 \alpha_2) = \hat{L}_{BC_2}(k) = a (2a - 4),$$

where variables $z_i$ and $\alpha_i$ are related to $\hat{w}_i$ and $\hat{w}_i$ in $\hat{L}_{BC_2}$ and $\hat{L}_{BC_2}$ by

$$z_i = \frac{2}{1 - \hat{w}_i}, \quad \alpha_i = \frac{2}{1 - \hat{w}_i}.$$

In these HO systems, the each multiplicity functions $\hat{k}_s, \hat{k}_l, \hat{k}_m$ take values

$$\hat{k}_s = -2b, \quad \hat{k}_l = a + b + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \hat{k}_m = 1,$$

$$\hat{k}_s = 2b, \quad \hat{k}_l = -a - b + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \hat{k}_m = 1.$$

From the similarity transformations (4.6), let us define

$$\hat{G}_{\Delta+4,l,s}^{a,b}(z_i) \equiv (z_1 z_2)^{-a} \hat{\varphi}(\hat{w}_i), \quad \hat{G}_{\Delta,l,s}^{a,b}(\alpha_i) \equiv (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{-a} \hat{\varphi}(\hat{w}_i),$$

\(^7\)In this case, we take $\tilde{a} = a, b = b$ and $\tilde{a} = a, \hat{b} = b$. 
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- 19 -
or equivalently,
\[ H_{\Delta, J, l, s}^{a, b}(z_1, \alpha_i) \equiv (z_1 z_2)^{-a}(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{a}\hat{\varphi}(\hat{w}_i)\hat{\varphi}(\hat{w}_i). \] (4.11)

Then, the quadratic superconformal Casimir equation (2.20) can be rewritten as
\[ \hat{L}_{BC_2}(\hat{k})\hat{\varphi}(\hat{w}_i) = \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\Delta(\Delta + 4) + J(J + 2)) - a(2a + 4) \right] \hat{\varphi}(\hat{w}_i), \] (4.12)
\[ \tilde{L}_{BC_2}(\tilde{k})\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{w}_i) = \left[ \frac{1}{2}(l(l + 4) + s(s + 2)) - a(2a - 4) \right] \tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{w}_i). \] (4.13)

By comparing the HO systems (4.1) with the above equations, the spectral parameters \( \hat{\lambda}, \tilde{\lambda} \) for each system are taken as
\[ \hat{\lambda}_1 = -\frac{\Delta - J + 1}{2}, \quad \hat{\lambda}_2 = -\frac{\Delta + J + 3}{2}, \] (4.14)
\[ \tilde{\lambda}_1 = \frac{l + s + 3}{2}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_2 = \frac{l - s + 1}{2}. \] (4.15)

In this way, the superconformal Casimir equation (2.20) can be translated to two copies of BC_2 HO hypergeometric equations.

Finally, let us comment on the relation between HO system and Calogero-Sutherland (CS) model. It is well known that a given HO system is related to a CS model associated with the same root system by a similarity transformation [27]. We can show that the eigenvalues of the CS Hamiltonians corresponding to \( \hat{L}_{BC_2} \) and \( \tilde{L}_{BC_2} \) are given by
\[ E_{CS}(\hat{\lambda}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{2}(\hat{\lambda}_i)^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(C_{\Delta+4, J} + 5), \] (4.16)
\[ E_{CS}(\tilde{\lambda}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{2}(\tilde{\lambda}_i)^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(C_{l, s} + 5). \] (4.17)
Since the eigenvalue of the conformal Casimir operator takes continuous values, the conformal case corresponds to the scattering problem of the CS model. On the other hand, the \( R \)-symmetry case describes a bound state problem because its eigenvalue (4.17) takes discrete values.

### 4.2 \( R \)-symmetry part

The relation between the conformal block and the HO hypergeometric system has been discussed in [5], so we will skip it and focus on a solution of the HO hypergeometric system (4.13) for the \( R \)-symmetry part. As we will show, the generalized Jacobi polynomial (2.26) or equivalently the \( R \)-symmetry block can also be described in the HO hypergeometric system associated with the BC_2 root system.

The solutions of the HO hypergeometric system are constructed from the linear combinations of the Harish-Chandra series \( \Phi_{BC_2}(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{k}; \hat{w}) \) and its BC_2 Weyl transformed ones [27] (See also [5]). The BC_2 Weyl group is generated by
\[ \hat{\omega}_1 : (\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2) \rightarrow (\hat{\lambda}_2, \hat{\lambda}_1), \quad \hat{\omega}_2 : (\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2) \rightarrow (\hat{\lambda}_1, -\hat{\lambda}_2), \] (4.18)
or equivalently,
\[
\tilde{\omega}_1 : (l, s) \rightarrow (l, -2 - s), \quad \tilde{\omega}_2 : (l, s) \rightarrow (-1 + s, 1 + l),
\]
where we used the relation (4.13)\(^8\).

As in the conformal case, we consider a linear combination of \(\Phi_{BC_2}(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{k}; \hat{w})\) and the Weyl transformed HO series \(\Phi_{BC_2}(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{k}; \tilde{\omega}_1 \hat{w})\) with \(\hat{k}_m = 1,\)
\[
\hat{\varphi}(\hat{w}_1) = c_{BC_2}(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{k})\Phi_{BC_2}(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{k}; \hat{w}) + c_{BC_2}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \hat{\lambda}, \hat{k})\Phi_{BC_2}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \hat{\lambda}, \hat{k}; \hat{w})
\]
\[
= \frac{4(\hat{k}_s + \hat{k}_l + \frac{1}{2})}{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{2} c_{BC_1}(\hat{\lambda}_j, \hat{k}) \right) \times \frac{\Phi_{BC_1}(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{k}; \hat{w}_1)\Phi_{BC_1}(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{k}; \hat{w}_2) - \Phi_{BC_1}(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{k}; \hat{w}_1)\Phi_{BC_1}(\hat{\lambda}_2, \hat{k}; \hat{w}_2)}{\hat{w}_1 - \hat{w}_2}
\]
\[
\propto \frac{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{1-a}}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \left[ k^{-a/b}(\alpha_1) k^{-b/a} - k^{-a/b}(\alpha_2) k^{-b/a} \right].
\]
Since the BC\(_1\) Harish-Chandra series \(\Phi_{BC_1}(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{k}; \hat{w}_1)\) is proportional to the Jacobi polynomial,
\[
c_{BC_1}(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{k})\Phi_{BC_1}(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{k}; \hat{w}_1) \propto \alpha_1^{-a} P_{\frac{a+b}{2}+a+1}^{l-a-b} (\hat{w}_1),
\]
\[
c_{BC_1}(\hat{\lambda}_2, \hat{k})\Phi_{BC_1}(\hat{\lambda}_2, \hat{k}; \hat{w}_2) \propto \alpha_2^{-a} P_{\frac{a+b}{2}+a}^{l-a-b} (\hat{w}_2),
\]
the HO hypergeometric function (4.20) is shown to be the generalized Jacobi polynomial
\[
\hat{\varphi}(\hat{w}_1) \propto (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{-a} P_{\frac{a+b}{2}+a, \frac{a+b}{2}+a}^{l-a-b} (\hat{w}_1),
\]
after requiring that \(l\) and \(s\) satisfy the selection rule (3.56). In this way, the \(R\)-symmetry blocks (2.24) for the long multiplets can be described in the HO hypergeometric system associated with the BC\(_2\) root system.
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\(^8\)\(\tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_2\) gives the shadow transformation of \(l\) as introduced in subsection 3.2
The Appendices

A The details of embedding formalism of $S^{d+1}$

In this appendix, we will present more details of the embedding formalism on $S^{d+1}$ used in the main text.

A.1 Bulk side

Let us first consider a symmetric traceless rank-$s$ tensor field on $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$ with the components $h_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X})$. The tensor field can be restricted to $S^{d+1}$ by using the projection operator:

$$(\mathcal{P} h)_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X}) \equiv \hat{G}_{\{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s\}} \hat{G}_{\dot{B}_1 \ldots \dot{B}_s} h_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X}),$$

(A.1)

where $\hat{G}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}$ is the induced metric

$$\hat{G}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} = \delta_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} - \hat{X}_{\dot{A}} \hat{X}_{\dot{B}}.$$  

(A.2)

Such that a null vector with respect to the induced metric:

$$\hat{G}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} \hat{X}_{\dot{A}} \hat{X}_{\dot{B}} = 0 \leftrightarrow \hat{X}^2 = 1$$

(A.3)

describes a unit sphere. In fact, the projection operator guarantees that $(\mathcal{P} h)_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X})$ is transverse to the hypersurface $\hat{X}^2 = 1$ i.e.

$$X^\dot{A}_1 (\mathcal{P} h)_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X}) = 0.$$  

(A.4)

In other words, $(\mathcal{P} h)_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X})$ is the spherical analogue of so-called symmetric, traceless and transverse (STT) tensor. This condition also implies the tensor field $h_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X})$ has a gauge symmetry

$$h_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X}) \sim h_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X}) + \hat{X}_{\{\dot{A}_1} \Psi_{\dot{A}_2 \ldots \dot{A}_s\}}(\hat{X}),$$

(A.5)

where $\Psi_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_{s-1}}(\hat{X})$ is any rank-$(s-1)$ tensor field on $S^{d+1}$ and $\{\ldots\}$ denotes traceless symmetrization of indices, as they project to the same symmetric traceless tensor on $S^{d+1}$.

In this sense, the term containing sub-leading $\Psi_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_{s-1}}(\hat{X})$ is regarded as an un-physical mode of $h_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X})$.

In the direct analogy with the AdS space tensor, there is an efficient way to implement index contraction on tensor fields on $S^{d+1}$. That is to express such a field as a polynomial of the auxiliary null vector $U^\dot{A}$:

$$h_s(\hat{X}, U) \equiv \frac{1}{s!} h_{\dot{A}_1 \ldots \dot{A}_s}(\hat{X}) U^{\dot{A}_1} \ldots U^{\dot{A}_s}, \quad U \cdot U \equiv \sum_{\dot{A}, \dot{B}=1}^{d+2} \delta_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} U^{\dot{A}} U^{\dot{B}} = 0.$$  

(A.6)
Since \( S^{d+1} \) is embedded in the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^{d+2} \), the null vector \( U^\hat{A} \) should be complex i.e. \( U^\hat{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{d+2} \). Furthermore, in order to restrict the tensor field on \( S^{d+1} \), we assume
\[
U \cdot \hat{X} = \sum_{\hat{A}, \hat{B}=1}^{d+2} \delta_{\hat{A}\hat{B}} U^\hat{A} X^\hat{B} = 0 .
\]
(A.7)
The rank of the tensor field \( h_{\hat{A}_1 \cdots \hat{A}_s}(\hat{X}) \) is then translated into the homogeneity of the polynomial \( h_s(\hat{X}, U) \), as encoded in the equation:
\[
(U \cdot \partial_U - s) h_s(\hat{X}, U) = 0 .
\]
(A.8)
The (projected) components \( (\mathcal{P} h)_{\hat{A}_1 \cdots \hat{A}_s}(\hat{X}) \) can be reproduced by using the differential operator:
\[
\hat{K}_\hat{A} = \left( \frac{d - 1}{2} + U \cdot \partial_U \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial U^\hat{A}} - \hat{X}_\hat{A} \left( \hat{X} \cdot \partial_U \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} U^\hat{A} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial U \cdot \partial U} - \left( \hat{X} \cdot \partial_U \right) \left( \hat{X} \cdot \partial_U \right) \right) .
\]
(A.9)
This operator is constructed by requiring
\[
\text{transverse: } \hat{X}^\hat{A} \hat{K}_\hat{A} = 0 , \quad \text{traceless: } \hat{K}^\hat{A}_\hat{A} \hat{K}_\hat{A} = 0 , \quad \text{symmetric: } [\hat{K}_\hat{A}, \hat{K}_\hat{B}] = 0 .
\]
(A.10)
We can check that the above differential operator (A.9) satisfies
\[
\hat{G}_{(\hat{A}_1 \hat{B}_1)} \cdots \hat{G}_{(\hat{A}_s \hat{B}_s)} = \frac{1}{s! \left( \frac{d-1}{2} \right)_s} \hat{K}_{\hat{A}_1} \cdots \hat{K}_{\hat{A}_s} U^{\hat{B}_1} \cdots U^{\hat{B}_s} ,
\]
(A.11)
where \( (x)_n \) is defined as \( (x)_n = \Gamma(x+n)/\Gamma(x) \). Therefore, we obtain
\[
(\mathcal{P} h)_{\hat{A}_1 \cdots \hat{A}_s}(\hat{X}) = \frac{1}{s! \left( \frac{d-1}{2} \right)_s} \hat{K}_{\hat{A}_1} \cdots \hat{K}_{\hat{A}_s} h_s(\hat{X}, U) .
\]
(A.12)
Note that if the operator \( \hat{K}_\hat{A} \) acts on such a polynomial \( h_s(\hat{X}, U) \), this operator is effectively simplified to
\[
\hat{K}_\hat{A} = \left( \frac{d - 1}{2} + U \cdot \partial_U \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial U^\hat{A}} .
\]
(A.13)
Finally, let us introduce the covariant derivative on \( S^{d+1} \) defined by
\[
\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{A}} \equiv \hat{G}_{\hat{A} \hat{B}} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\hat{B}} - \frac{\hat{X}^\hat{B}}{X^2} \hat{\Sigma}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}} , \quad \hat{\Sigma}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}} = U^\hat{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial U^\hat{B}} - U^\hat{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial U^\hat{A}} .
\]
(A.14)
Here \( \hat{\Sigma}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}} \) are Lorentz generators in the differential representation acting on null vectors \( U^\hat{A} \). The covariant derivative acting on the projected tensor field \( (\mathcal{P} h)_{\hat{A}_1 \cdots \hat{A}_s}(\hat{X}) \) can be written as
\[
\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{B}} (\mathcal{P} h)_{\hat{A}_1 \cdots \hat{A}_s}(\hat{X}) = \hat{G}_{\hat{B} \hat{C}_1} \cdots \hat{G}_{\hat{A}_s} \hat{C}_s \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\hat{B}} h_{\hat{C}_1 \cdots \hat{C}_s}(\hat{X}) ,
\]
(A.15)
where we used the transversality (A.4) of \( (\mathcal{P} h)_{\hat{A}_1 \cdots \hat{A}_s}(\hat{X}) \).
A.2 “Boundary” side

Next, we will discuss the embedding formalism for the “boundary” side of the sphere, which is described by the complex null cone (3.3) with complex coordinates \( \{ T_\hat{A} \} \).

Let \( F_{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s}(T) \) be an arbitrary tensor field on the complex plane \( \mathbb{C}^{d+2} \). The physical tensor fields on the complex null cone surface (3.3) can be obtained by restricting the tensor fields \( F_{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s}(T) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^{d+2} \) to the complex null cone surface (3.3). This is performed by using the projection operator

\[
\hat{\Pi}_{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s} \equiv \hat{\Pi}_{i_1 \ldots i_s}^{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s} = \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} \delta_{i_1 \ldots i_s}^{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s} \delta_{\hat{A}_1 \hat{A}_2 \ldots \hat{A}_s}.
\]

(A.16)

In fact, from the fact \( T^\hat{A} \frac{\partial T_\hat{A}}{\partial y} = 0 \), the projected tensor field satisfies the transversality condition

\[
T^{\hat{A}_1}(\hat{\Pi} F)_{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s}(T) = 0.
\]

(A.18)

Here for convenience, we introduced a parameterization of the null vector

\[
T^{\hat{A}} = \left( T^1, T^2, T^k \right) = \left( \frac{i}{2} \left( 1 + y^2 \right), \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - y^2 \right), y^k \right).
\]

(A.19)

where \( y^2 \in \mathbb{R}^d \), \( y^2 \equiv \sum_{k=1}^d (y^k)^2 \). This parameterization is regarded as the counterpart of the Poincaré boundary coordinates for \( AdS_{d+1} \), but notice that we now have complex entries instead. The tensor fields on the complex null cone can be obtained by using the projection:

\[
F_{j_1 \ldots j_s}(y) = \frac{\partial T_\hat{A}_1}{\partial y^{j_1}} \ldots \frac{\partial T_\hat{A}_s}{\partial y^{j_s}} F_{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s}(T), \quad \frac{\partial T^{\hat{A}}}{\partial y^j} = \left( iy_{j_2}, -y_{j_1}, \delta_{j}^{j_1} \right).
\]

(A.20)

In particular, the induced metric is

\[
\delta^{(C)}_{jk} = \frac{\partial T^\hat{A}}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial T^{\hat{B}}}{\partial y^k} \delta_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}.
\]

(A.21)

The tensor fields on the embedding space can also be described as a homogeneous polynomial of the null vector \( R^{\hat{A}} \) satisfying \( T \cdot R = 0 \),

\[
F_s(T, R) = \frac{1}{s!} F_{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s}(T) R^{\hat{A}_1} \ldots R^{\hat{A}_s}.
\]

(A.22)

As in the previous subsection, we can construct a differential operator similar to \( \hat{K}_{\hat{A}} \) defined in (A.9)

\[
\hat{D}_{R, \hat{A}} = \left( \frac{d - 2}{2} + R \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial R^{\hat{A}}} - \frac{1}{2} R^{\hat{A}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R \cdot \partial R}.
\]

(A.23)
which satisfies
\[ \hat{D}_R \cdot \hat{D}_R = 0, \quad [\hat{D}_{R \hat{A}}, \hat{D}_{R \hat{B}}] = 0, \]
\[ \hat{I}_{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s \hat{B}_1 \ldots \hat{B}_s} = \frac{1}{s! \left( \frac{d-2}{2} \right)_s} \hat{D}_{R \hat{A}_1} \cdots \hat{D}_{R \hat{A}_s} R^{\hat{B}_1} \cdots R^{\hat{B}_s}. \]

Therefore, the (projected) components \((\hat{\Pi} F_s)_{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s}\) can be reproduced from \(F_s(T, R)\)
\[ (\hat{\Pi} F_s)_{\hat{A}_1 \ldots \hat{A}_s} = \frac{1}{s! \left( \frac{d-2}{2} \right)_s} \hat{D}_{R \hat{A}_1} \cdots \hat{D}_{R \hat{A}_s} F_s(T, R). \]

**B  Spherical harmonic functions**

In this appendix, we will give some properties of the scalar and tensor spherical harmonic functions.

**B.1 Scalar case**

Let us first see the scalar spherical harmonic (SSH) function \(K^s_{l,0}(\hat{X}; T)\) given by (3.7). By using the expression of the covariant derivative (A.14), we can check that (3.7) is a solution to the equation
\[ \hat{\nabla}^2 K^s_{l,0}(\hat{X}; T) = -l(l + d)K^s_{l,0}(\hat{X}; T), \]
or equivalently satisfies the quadratic Casimir equation for \(SO(d + 2)\) with the eigenvalue \(l(l + d)\). In the following discussion, we will show the orthogonality relation and three-point functions involving only the SSH functions.

**Orthogonality relation**

The SSH function (3.7) satisfies the orthogonality relation,
\[ I_{l\nu}(T_1, T_2) = \int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \hat{X} K^s_{l,0}(\hat{X}; T_1) K^s_{\nu,0}(\hat{X}; T_2) = Z_l \tilde{C}^2 l_0 \delta_{l, \nu} T^l_{12}, \]
where the overall constant \(Z_l\) is given by
\[ Z_l = (-1)^l l! \text{Vol}(S^{d+1}) \left( \frac{d+1}{2} \right) l, \quad h = \frac{d}{2}. \]

The integration measure is symmetric under \(SO(d + 2)\) transformations and defined as
\[ \int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \hat{X} = \int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \hat{X} \delta(\hat{X}^2 - 1). \]

The relation (B.2) is regarded as the spherical counterpart of joining two bulk to boundary AdS propagators to obtain the two-point CFT correlation functions.

The orthogonality of the SSH functions easily follows from the \(SO(d + 2)\) symmetry. In fact, if we let \(g\) be an element of \(SO(d + 2)\), \(I_{l\nu}(T_1, T_2)\) transform as
\[ I_{l\nu}(g \cdot T_1, g \cdot T_2) = I_{l\nu}(T_1, T_2). \]
This implies $I_{ll}(T_1, T_2)$ only depends on $T_{12}$ and vanishes if $l \neq l'$. Next, let us compute the overall constant $Z_l$. To this end, we will consider the following integration:

$$I^{A_1 \ldots A_{2l}} = \int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \hat{X} \hat{X}^{A_1} \ldots \hat{X}^{A_{2l}} .$$

(B.6)

By using the $SO(d + 2)$ symmetry, this integration should have the form

$$I^{A_1 \ldots A_{2l}} = N_l \delta^{(A_1} \delta_{A_2} \ldots \delta_{A_{2l-1} A_{2l})} ,$$

(B.7)

where the constant $N_l$ is determined from a contraction:

$$\text{Vol}(S^{d+1}) = \delta_{A_1 A_2} \ldots \delta_{A_{2l-1} A_{2l}} I^{A_1 \ldots A_{2l}} = N_l \frac{2^{2l}!}{(2l)!} (h + 1)_l .$$

(B.8)

From this result, the overall constant $Z_l$ can be evaluated as

$$I_{ll} = C_{l,0}^2 T_1^{A_1} \ldots T_2^{A_{2l}} I^{A_1 \ldots A_{2l}} = N_l C_{l,0}^2 (-1)^l \frac{(h)_l^2}{2^{2l} (h + 1)_l} T_{12}^l ,$$

(B.9)

Three-point functions

Next let us evaluate the spherical scalar three-point function given by the following integral:

$$\hat{A}_{l_1 l_2 l_3}(T_l) = \int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \hat{X} K_{l_1,0}^s(\hat{X}; T_1) K_{l_2,0}^s(\hat{X}; T_2) K_{l_3,0}^s(\hat{X}; T_3) .$$

(B.10)

This integral can be evaluated by using the formula (B.7). Instead of doing this, we will perform the integration by employing the partial wave expansion

$$e^{k \cdot \hat{X}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{d + 2l}{d} \frac{|k|}{l} s_l(|k|) \frac{C_l^{(h)}}{|k|} \left( \frac{k \cdot \hat{X}}{|k|} \right) ,$$

(B.11)

$$s_l(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(h + 1)}{\Gamma(h + 1 + j)} x^{2(j-1)} 2^{2j-l}(j-l)! .$$

(B.12)

From the partial wave expansion (B.11), any function $f(\hat{X})$ can be formally expanded as

$$f(\hat{X}) = \left( e^{X \cdot \partial_y} f(y) \right) \bigg|_{y=0} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{d + 2l}{d} |\partial_y|^l s_l(|\partial_y|) C_l^{(h)} \left( \frac{|\partial_y |}{|k|} \right) \frac{\partial_y ^l \hat{X}}{|\partial_y |} f(y) \bigg|_{y=0} ,$$

(B.13)

where $|\partial_y|^2 \equiv \partial_y \cdot \partial_y$. By using this expansion and the integration formula

$$\int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \hat{X} C_l^{(h)} \left( \frac{k \cdot \hat{X}}{|k|} \right) K_{l,0}^s(\hat{X}; T) = \frac{d}{d + 2l} \text{Vol}(S^{d+1}) |k|^{-l} K_{l,0}^s(k; T) \delta_{kk} ,$$

(B.14)
The eigenvalue (B.19) of the Laplacian precisely matches with the one of the rank-
We can show that the polynomial (3.11) satisfies the harmonic equation:
Since this formula is symmetric under the permutations of
A
we obtain
The three-point function (B.10) corresponds to the case \( f(\hat{X}) = K_{l,0}^{s}(\hat{X}; T_{2})K_{l,0}^{s}(\hat{X}; T_{3}) \).
Now let us use the formula (B.15) to evaluate (B.10). Note that the term in the
expansion of \( s_{l}(|\partial_{g}|) \) gives non-vanishing contribution only if \( j = L_{123} = \frac{l_{1}+l_{3}+l_{3}}{2} \). Therefore,
\( \hat{A}_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}(T_{i}) \) can be rewritten as
\[
\hat{A}_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}(T_{i}) = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d+1})2^{l_{i}}\Gamma(h+1)}{\Gamma(h+1+L_{123})} \frac{1}{2^{2L_{123}}\alpha_{231}!} \\
\times \left( (\partial_{g})^{\alpha_{231}}K_{l_{1},0}(\partial_{g}; T_{1})K_{l_{2},0}(y; T_{2})K_{l_{3},0}(y; T_{3}) \right) \bigg|_{y=0}.
\] (B.16)
Note that this expression does not vanish when \( \alpha_{231} \) is zero or positive integers. Since \( \partial_{g}^{2}K_{l_{2},0}(y; T_{2}) = \partial_{g}^{2}K_{l_{3},0}(y; T_{3}) = 0 \) for \( y \in \mathbb{R}^{d+2} \), we obtain
\[
\hat{A}_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}(T_{i}) = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d+1})2^{l_{i}}\Gamma(h+1)}{\Gamma(h+1+L_{123})} \frac{1}{2^{2L_{123}}\alpha_{231}!} \\
\times \left( (T_{1} \cdot \partial_{g})^{l_{1}}[(T_{2} \cdot y)^{\alpha_{123}}(T_{3} \cdot y)^{\alpha_{312}}] \right) \bigg|_{y=0} \\
= \hat{C}_{l_{1},0}^{l_{2}l_{4}}(\hat{X}, U) \frac{(-1)^{L_{123}}\text{Vol}(S^{d+1})\Gamma(h+1)}{\Gamma(h+1+L_{123})} \frac{l_{2}!l_{4}!}{\alpha_{231}!\alpha_{312}!\alpha_{123}!} \\
\times T_{23}^{\alpha_{231}}T_{34}^{\alpha_{312}}T_{12}^{\alpha_{123}}. 
\] (B.17)
Since this formula is symmetric under the permutations of \( l_{i} \), when \( l_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), \( \hat{A}_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}(T_{i}) \) does not vanish only if \( \alpha_{231} , \alpha_{312} , \alpha_{123} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). The above proof is a higher dimensional
generalization of the proof given in [31].

B.2 Spinning case
Next, let us see the tensor spherical harmonic function \( K_{l,s}^{s}(\hat{X}, U; T) \) given in (3.11),
\[
K_{l,s}^{s}(\hat{X}, U; T) = \hat{C}_{l,s}(\hat{X} \cdot \hat{U})^{s}(\hat{X} \cdot T)^{l-s},
\] (B.18)
We can show that the polynomial (3.11) satisfies the harmonic equation:
\[
\hat{\nabla}^{2}K_{l,s}^{s}(\hat{X}, U; T) = -((l+d)-s)K_{l,s}^{s}(\hat{X}, U; T),
\] (B.19)
and the homogeneity and the transversality condition
\[
(\hat{X} \cdot \partial_{\hat{X}} - l)K_{l,s}^{s}(\hat{X}, U; T) = 0, \quad \hat{X} \cdot \partial_{U}K_{l,s}^{s}(\hat{X}, U; T) = 0.
\] (B.20)
The eigenvalue (B.19) of the Laplacian precisely matches with the one of the rank-
spatial spherical harmonic function on \( S^{d+1} \) [32]. The harmonic function (3.11) also obeys the orthogonality relation (B.26).
The equation (B.19) implies that the harmonic function is an eigenfunction of the quadratic Casimir operator constructed from the \(SO(d + 2)\) generators

\[
\hat{L}_{AB} \equiv \hat{X}_A \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{X}_B} - \hat{X}_B \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{X}_A} + \hat{\Sigma}_{AB}.
\] (B.21)

In fact, the action of the quadratic Casimir operator for \(SO(d + 2)\) can be evaluated as

\[
\frac{1}{2} \hat{L}_{AB} \hat{L}^{AB} K_{l,s}(\hat{X}, U; T, R) = \left(-\nabla^2 - s + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Sigma}_{AB} \hat{\Sigma}^{AB}\right) K_{l,s}(\hat{X}, U; T, R) = (l(l + d) + s(s + d - 2)) K_{l,s}(\hat{X}, U; T, R),
\] (B.22)

where we used the relation

\[
\frac{1}{2} \hat{\Sigma}_{AB} \hat{\Sigma}^{AB} K_{l,s}(\hat{X}, U; T, R) = -s(s + d - 2) K_{l,s}(\hat{X}, U; T, R).
\] (B.23)

Note that when \(d = 4\), the eigenvalue (B.22) of the \(SO(6)\) quadratic Casimir operator corresponds to the \(SU(4)\) representation \([s, l - s, s]\).

Finally, as in the AdS case [33], we will give a formula which connects the tensor spherical harmonic function (3.11) to the SSH (3.7). Such formula is given by

\[
K_{l,s}(\hat{X}, U; T, R) = \frac{\Gamma(l - s + 1)\hat{C}_{l,s}}{\Gamma(l + 1)\hat{C}_{l,0}} \left(\hat{D}_T(U, R)\right)^s K_{l,0}(\hat{X}; T),
\] (B.24)

where the differential operator \(\hat{D}_T(U, R)\) is defined as

\[
\hat{D}_T(U, R) = -(U \cdot R) \left( R \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial R} - T \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right) - (U \cdot T) \left( R \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right).
\] (B.25)

Note that \(\hat{D}_T(U, R)(\hat{X} \cdot \hat{C} \cdot U)^k = 0\) for any constant \(k\).

**Orthogonality relation**

Finally, let us show the orthogonality relation of the tensor spherical harmonic functions,

\[
\frac{1}{(d^2 - 1)^s} \int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+2} \hat{X} K_{l,s}(\hat{X}, \hat{K}; T_1, R_1) K_{l', s'}(\hat{X}, U; T_2, R_2) \propto \delta_{ll'} \delta_{ss'} \hat{H}_{12} T_{12}^{l - s},
\] (B.26)

where \(\hat{H}_{12}\) is defined as

\[
\hat{H}_{12} = \hat{C}_2 \cdot \hat{C}_1^T = \hat{C}_{1,AB} \hat{C}_2^{AB} = 2[(T_1 \cdot T_2)(R_1 \cdot R_2) - (R_1 \cdot T_1)(T_1 \cdot R_2)].
\] (B.27)

Note that the orthogonality relation (B.26) has the similar form with spinning two-point functions of CFT.

In order to show (B.26), we first note that since \(K_{l,s}(\hat{X}, \hat{K}; T_1, R_1)\) satisfies the transversality condition (B.20), the differential operator \(\hat{K}\) in the integral (B.26) effectively acts on
$K^s_{t,s}(\hat{X},U;T_2,R_2)$ like $\frac{d-1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial U^A}$. By applying (B.24) to the left-hand side of (B.26) and using (B.2), we obtain:

RHS of (B.26)

\[ Z_l \delta_{ll} \delta_{ss'} \frac{\Gamma(l-s+1)^2 \hat{C}_{l,s}^2}{s! \Gamma(l+1)^2} (\partial_U \cdot \partial_{U'})^s \left( \hat{D}_{T_1}(\hat{U},R_1) \right)^s \left( \hat{D}_{T_2}(U,R_2) \right)^s (T_1 \cdot T_2)^l \]

\[ = Z_l \delta_{ll} \delta_{ss'} \frac{\Gamma(l-s+1)^2 \hat{C}_{l,s}^2}{s! \Gamma(l+1)^2} (\partial_U \cdot \partial_{U'})^s \left( \hat{D}_{T_1}(\hat{U},R_1) \right)^s \left( (T_1 \cdot \hat{C}_2 \cdot U)^s(T_1 \cdot T_2)^{l-s} \right) \]

\[ = Z_l \delta_{ll} \delta_{ss'} \frac{\Gamma(l-s+1)^2 \hat{C}_{l,s}^2}{s! \Gamma(l+1)^2} \sum_{r=0}^{s} \frac{s!}{r!(s-r)!} \frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(l-s+1)\Gamma(l+r+1)}{\Gamma(l+1)^2} \]

\[ \times (\partial_U \cdot \partial_{U'})^s[(\hat{U} \cdot \hat{C}_1^T \cdot \hat{C}_2 \cdot U)^s(T_1 \cdot \hat{C}_2 \cdot U)^{s-r}((U \cdot \hat{C}_1^T \cdot T_2)^{s-r}(T_1 \cdot T_2)^{l+r-2s} \]

\[ \propto \delta_{ll} \delta_{ss'} \hat{H}_{12}^s T_{12}^{l-s}. \]  

(B.28)

In the final equation, we used (B.27) and

\[ (T_1 \cdot \hat{C}_2 \cdot \hat{C}_1^T)_{\hat{A}} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{H}_{12} T_{1,\hat{A}}, \]  

(B.29)

\[ (T_1 \cdot \hat{C}_2) \cdot (\hat{C}_1^T \cdot \hat{C}_2)^p \cdot (\hat{C}_1^T \cdot T_2) = \frac{1}{2^p} \hat{H}_{12}^p T_1 \cdot T_2. \]  

(B.30)

C Exton function

In this appendix, we will summarize some properties of the so called Exton function [21] (see also [34]), which recently becomes increasingly relevant in constructing conformal blocks [35]. This function is defined as a double power series

\[ G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta - \alpha_m)(\delta - \beta)_m}{m!(\gamma)_m} \frac{\alpha_{m+n}(\beta)_{m+n}}{n!(\delta)_{2m+n}} x^m y^n. \]  

(C.1)

This double power series can be expressed as a linear combination of two Appell hypergeometric function $F_4$,

\[ G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; x, 1-y) = \frac{\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(\delta - \alpha - \beta)}{\Gamma(\delta - \alpha)\Gamma(\delta - \beta)} F_4(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \alpha + \beta + 1 - \delta; x, y) \]

\[ + \frac{\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta - \delta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} y^{\delta - \alpha - \beta} F_4(\delta - \alpha, \delta - \beta; \gamma, \delta - \alpha - \beta + 1; x, y), \]  

(C.2)

where Appell hypergeometric function $F_4$ is defined as

\[ F_4(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \gamma'; x, y) \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{m+n}(\beta)_{m+n}}{m!n!(\gamma)_m(\gamma')_n} x^m y^n, \quad |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |y|^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1. \]  

(C.3)

This hypergeometric function satisfies the following partial differential equations:

\[ D_1^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} F_4(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \gamma'; x, y) = 0, \quad D_2^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} F_4(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \gamma'; x, y) = 0, \]  

(C.4)
where the differential operators $D_1^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ and $D_2^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma'}$ are defined by

$$D_1^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = x(1-x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - 2xy \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + (\gamma - (\alpha + \beta + 1)y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \alpha \beta,$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.5)

$$D_2^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma'} = y(1-y)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - 2xy \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + (\gamma' - (\alpha + \beta + 1)x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \alpha \beta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.6)

In the special parameters, the Exton function (C.1) has simple forms which are expressed in terms of the hypergeometric functions

$$G(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; x, 1-y) = 2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z)_{2F_1}(\alpha, \beta; w),$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.7)

$$G(\alpha,\beta,\gamma+1; x, 1-y) = z \frac{2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma+1; z)_{2F_1}(\alpha - 1, \beta - 1; w) - (z \leftrightarrow w)}{z - w},$$

where $z$ and $w$ are defined by

$$x = zw, \quad y = (1-z)(1-w).$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.9)

For derivations of (C.7) and the first equality of (C.8), see Appendix C in [36]. The second equality of (C.8) can easily be shown by considering the difference between the first and second equations.

The Exton function and the quadratic Casimir equation

As shown in subsection 3.4, the $R$-symmetry block (and the conformal block) are expressed by the Exton function. For future reference, we will explicitly show that the expression (3.51) of the $R$-symmetry block with $s = 0$ satisfies the quadratic Casimir equation,

$$\hat{D}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}_{\hat{d},\sigma,\tau} \hat{G}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}_{\hat{d},l,0}(\sigma, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} l(l+d) \hat{G}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}_{\hat{d},l,0}(\sigma, \tau).$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.10)

Here, the differential operator $\hat{D}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}_{\hat{d},\sigma,\tau}$ for the $SO(d+2)$ part is defined by

$$-\hat{D}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}_{\hat{d},\sigma,\tau} = \frac{\hat{L}^2}{2} - (\hat{a} + \hat{b}) \left( (1+\sigma - \tau) \left( \frac{\sigma}{\partial \sigma} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right) - (1-\sigma - \tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right)$$

$$+ \hat{a}\hat{b}(1+\sigma - \tau),$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.11)

where $\hat{L}^2$ is the quadratic Casimir operator for $SO(d+2)$, and the action on any function $f(\sigma, \tau)$ is

$$\frac{1}{2} \hat{L}^2 f(\sigma, \tau) = -((1-\tau)^2 - \sigma(1+\tau)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} f(\sigma, \tau) - (1-\sigma + \tau)\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} f(\sigma, \tau)$$

$$+ 2(1+\sigma - \tau)\sigma \tau \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma \partial \tau} f(\sigma, \tau) + d \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} f(\sigma, \tau).$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.12)
In order to show (C.10), we first use the formula (C.2) of the Exton function. Then, the $R$-symmetry block (3.51) with $s = 0$ becomes

\[
\hat{D}_{t,0}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) = \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(-l)\Gamma(\hat{a} + \hat{b})}{\Gamma(-\frac{l}{2} + \hat{a})\Gamma(-\frac{l}{2} + \hat{b})} F_4\left( -\frac{l}{2} - \hat{a} - \frac{l}{2} - \hat{b}; 1 - l - h, 1 - \hat{a} - \hat{b}; \sigma, \tau \right) \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{\Gamma(-l)\Gamma(-\hat{a} - \hat{b})}{\Gamma(-\frac{l}{2} - \hat{a})\Gamma(-\frac{l}{2} - \hat{b})} \tau^{\hat{a} + \hat{b}} F_4\left( -\frac{l}{2} + \hat{a} - \frac{l}{2} + \hat{b}; 1 - l - h, 1 + \hat{a} + \hat{b}; \sigma, \tau \right) \right] . \tag{C.13}
\]

In fact, applying the differential operator $\hat{D}_{d,\sigma,\tau}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}$ to (C.13), we obtain

\[
\hat{D}_{d,\sigma,\tau}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}} \hat{D}_{t,0}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) = \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ 2\sigma \frac{D}{D_1} - \frac{1}{2} - \hat{a} - \frac{1}{2} - \hat{b}; 1 - l - h + (1 - \sigma - \tau) \frac{D}{D_2} - \frac{1}{2} - \hat{a} - \frac{1}{2} - \hat{b}; l \right] \\
\times F_4\left( -\frac{l}{2} - \hat{a} - \frac{l}{2} - \hat{b}; 1 - l - h, 1 - \hat{a} - \hat{b}; \sigma, \tau \right) \\
+ \frac{\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\frac{D}{D_1}} \left[ 2\sigma \frac{D}{D_1} - \frac{1}{2} + \hat{a} - \frac{1}{2} + \hat{b}; 1 - l - h + (1 - \sigma - \tau) \frac{D}{D_2} - \frac{1}{2} + \hat{a} - \frac{1}{2} + \hat{b}; l \right] \\
\times F_4\left( -\frac{l}{2} + \hat{a} - \frac{l}{2} + \hat{b}; 1 - l - h, 1 + \hat{a} + \hat{b}; \sigma, \tau \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} l(l + d) \hat{C}_{t,0}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} l(l + d) \hat{C}_{t,0}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) , \tag{C.14}
\]

where $D_{1,\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $D_{2,\gamma,\tau}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ are differential operators defined in (C.5), (C.6), and in the second equality we used the relations (C.4) of $F_4$.

\section{D Computation of the split representations of harmonic functions}

In this appendix, we will perform the integrals of our split representation (3.19) of the harmonic function $\hat{H}_{t,s}(\bar{X}_1, U; \bar{X}_2, U')$, and show that the resulting expression takes the form (3.20). As a byproduct of the evaluation of (3.19), we obtain explicit expressions (3.18), (3.23) of the harmonic functions with $s = 0, 1$. Furthermore, we will present the coefficient $g_{t,s}^{(0)}(z)$ (3.26) of $\hat{H}_{t,s}(\bar{X}_1, U; \bar{X}_2, U')$ which is proportional to $U_{t}^{l_2}$.

Let us start with the integration (3.19)

\[
\hat{H}_{t,s}(\bar{X}_1, U; \bar{X}_2, U') = \frac{1}{(h - 1)_s s!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{d+2}} D^d T \hat{K}_{t,s}^{\hat{a}}(\bar{X}_1, U; T; \hat{D_R}) \hat{K}_{t,s}^{\hat{b}}(\bar{X}_2, U'; T, R) . \tag{D.1}
\]

In order to evaluate this integral, we use the following formula:

\[
\frac{1}{s! (h - 1)_s} (f \cdot \hat{D_R})^s (f' \cdot R)^s = \frac{s!}{2^s (h - 1)_s} (f^2 f'')^s C_s^{(h-1)} \left( \frac{f \cdot f'}{|f||f'|} \right) , \tag{D.2}
\]

where two arbitrary vectors $f, f'$ are independent of the null vector $R$. Then, we obtain

\[
\hat{H}_{t,s} = \frac{2^{2h+s} s! \hat{C}_{t,s}^{\hat{a}} \hat{C}_{t,s}^{\hat{b}}}{(h - 1)_s} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{d+2}} D^d T \left( T \cdot U_1 \right)^s \left( T \cdot U_2 \right)^s C_s^{(h-1)}(t) \left( 2X_1 \cdot T \right)^{-l+s} \left( 2X_2 \cdot T \right)^{-l+s} , \tag{D.3}
\]
where \( t = \tilde{X}_1 \cdot \tilde{X}_2 + 2^{-2} \tilde{t} \) and \( \tilde{t} \) is defined as

\[
\tilde{t} = \frac{(2T \cdot \tilde{X}_2)(2T \cdot \tilde{X}_1)}{(T \cdot \tilde{U}_1)(T \cdot \tilde{U}_2)} U_{12} - 2 \frac{(2T \cdot \tilde{X}_1)(\tilde{X}_2 \cdot U_1)}{(T \cdot \tilde{U}_1)} - 2 \frac{(2T \cdot \tilde{X}_2)(\tilde{X}_1 \cdot U_2)}{(T \cdot \tilde{U}_2)}.
\] (D.4)

This integral has a similar form as (202) in [12].

Next, let us use the finite series form of the Gegenbauer polynomial

\[
C_s^{(h-1)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[s/2]} (-1)^k \frac{(h-1)_{s-k}}{k!(s-2k)!} (2x)^{s-2k}.
\] (D.5)

Then, the integral (D.3) becomes

\[
\hat{\Omega}_{l,s} = \hat{C}_{l,s} \hat{C}_{l,s} \sum_{r=0}^{s} \frac{2^{h+r} \cdot s!}{(s-r)!} W_{l,s,r} \left( \frac{1}{(h-1)_s} \sum_{k=0}^{[r/2]} (-1)^k \frac{(h-1)_{s-k}}{k!(r-2k)!} (2z)^{r-2k} \right)
\]
\[
= \hat{C}_{l,s} \hat{C}_{l,s} \sum_{r=0}^{s} \frac{2^{h+r} \cdot s!}{(s-r)!} W_{l,s,r} (h-1+s-r) C_r^{(h-1+s-r)}(z),
\] (D.6)

where we defined the integral

\[
W_{l,s,r} \equiv \int_{\mathbb{C}^{d+2}} D^d T \frac{(T \cdot U_1)^s(T \cdot U_2)^{s-r}}{(2X_1 \cdot T)^{-l+s}(2X_2 \cdot T)^{-l+s}}.
\] (D.7)

This integral can be rewritten as

\[
W_{l,s,r} = \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=s-r} \frac{(s-r)!}{k_1!k_2!k_3!} (-2)^{k_2+k_3} U_{12}^{k_2}(U_1 \cdot \tilde{X}_2)^{k_2}(U_2 \cdot \tilde{X}_1)^{k_3}
\]
\[
\times \int_{\mathbb{C}^{d+2}} D^d T \frac{(T \cdot U_1)^{s-k_1-k_2}(T \cdot U_2)^{s-k_1-k_3}}{(2X_1 \cdot T)^{-l+s-k_1-k_2}(2X_2 \cdot T)^{-l+s-k_1-k_3}}
\]
\[
= \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=s-r} \frac{(s-r)!}{k_1!k_2!k_3!} (-1)^{k_2+k_3} U_{12}^{k_2}(U_1 \cdot \tilde{X}_2)^{k_2}(U_2 \cdot \tilde{X}_1)^{k_3}
\]
\[
\times \left( U_1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1} \right)^{k_2} \left( U_2 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_2} \right)^{k_2} W_{l,r},
\] (D.8)

where the integral \( W_{l,r} \) is defined as

\[
W_{l,r} \equiv \int_{\mathbb{C}^{d+2}} D^d T \frac{(T \cdot U_1)^r(T \cdot U_2)^r}{(2X_1 \cdot T)^{-l+r}(2X_2 \cdot T)^{-l+r}}.
\] (D.9)

As we will show later, the integral (D.9) is given by (D.15), (D.17). By substituting (D.8) and (D.17) into (D.8), we can see that the general form of the harmonic function \( \hat{\Omega}_{l,s} \) is

\[
\hat{\Omega}_{l,s}(\tilde{X}_1; U_1; \tilde{X}_2; U_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{s} U_{12}^{s-r}(U_1 \cdot \tilde{X}_2)^r(U_2 \cdot \tilde{X}_1)^r g_{l,s}^{(r)}(z),
\] (D.10)
where the coefficients $g_{l,s}^{(r)}(z)$ are functions of $z$. When $s = 1$, the explicit expressions of
$g_{l,1}^{(0)}(z)$ and $g_{l,1}^{(1)}(z)$ are presented in (3.24), and we can show that the resulting harmonic
function $\hat{\Omega}_{l,1}$ satisfies the equation of motion (3.21).

In general, the explicit expressions of the coefficients $g_{l,s}^{(r)}(z)$ have complicated forms
as expected from (D.6), (D.8), (D.15) and (D.17). Therefore, for simplicity, let us focus
on the leading coefficient $g_{l,s}^{(0)}(z)$ that corresponds to take polarization vectors $U_1 , U_2$ such
that $\hat{X}_1 \cdot U_2 = 0 = \hat{X}_2 \cdot U_1$. This choice is equivalent to set $k_1 = s - r$ and $k_2 = k_3 = 0$
in (D.8). By using (D.6), (D.8) and (D.17), we can see that the coefficient $g_{l,s}^{(0)}(z)$ has the form

\[
g_{l,s}^{(0)}(z) = \text{Vol}(S^d)\hat{\Omega}_{l,s}\hat{C}_{l,s}^r \sum_{r=0}^s \frac{(-1)^s s! \Gamma(2h)(h)_r C_l^{(h+r)}(z)}{(s-r)! \Gamma(2(h+r)) C_l^{(h+r)}(1)}
\times (h - 1 + s)_{-r} C_r^{(h-1+s-r)}(z). \quad (D.11)
\]

**A proof of (D.15) and (D.17)**

Now, let us perform the integration in (D.9). For this purpose, we introduce a Feynman parameter $\alpha$,

\[
W_{l,r} = \frac{\Gamma(2h+2r)}{\Gamma(-l+r)\Gamma(-l+r)} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \alpha^{-l+r} \int_{C_{d+2}} D^d T \frac{(T \cdot U_1)^r (T \cdot U_2)^r}{(2T \cdot Q)^{2h+2r}},
\quad (D.12)
\]

where we defined $Q^A \equiv \hat{X}_1^A + \alpha \hat{X}_2^A$. The integral over the null vector $T^A$ in (D.12) can
be performed by using the formula

\[
\int_{C_{d+2}} D^d T \frac{(T \cdot U_1)^r (T \cdot U_2)^r}{(2T \cdot Q)^{2h+2r}} = \begin{cases}
\text{Vol}(S^d) & (r = 0) \\
\frac{\Gamma(2h)(h)_r!}{2^{h+r} \Gamma(2(h+r))} \left[ (-1)^r U_{r2} \frac{\Gamma(2h)(h)_r!}{2^{h+r} \Gamma(2(h+r))} + 2^r (h+r)(h+r)_r (U_1 \cdot \hat{X}_2)^r (U_2 \cdot \hat{X}_1)^r \right] & (r \geq 1)
\end{cases}
\quad (D.13)
\]

where Vol$(S^d) = \frac{2^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)^d}$. The above integration formula is derived from

\[
\int_{C_{d+2}} D^d T \frac{T^{A_1} \ldots T^{A_r}}{(2T \cdot Q)^{2h+2r}} = \frac{\pi^h (2h+2r)_{-h} Q^{A_1} \ldots Q^{A_r}}{(Q^2)^{h+2r}} \quad \text{traces,} \quad (D.14)
\]

Then, $W_{l,r}$ with $r \geq 1$ is expressed as

\[
W_{l,r} = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^d) \Gamma(2h)(h)_r!}{2^{2h+r} \Gamma(-l+r)\Gamma(-l+r)} \left[ (-1)^r U_{r2} \frac{\Gamma(2h)(h)_r!}{2^{h+r} \Gamma(2(h+r))} + 2^r (h+r)_r (U_1 \cdot \hat{X}_2)^r (U_2 \cdot \hat{X}_1)^r \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \alpha^{-l+2r} \right]
\]

\[
= (-1)^r \text{Vol}(S^d) \frac{\Gamma(2h)(h)_r!}{2^{2h+r} \Gamma(2(h+r))} C_l^{(h+r)}(1) U_{r2} + \text{Vol}(S^d) \frac{\Gamma(2h)(h)_r!}{2^{2h+r} \Gamma(2(h+r))} \frac{C_l^{(h+r)}(z)}{C_l^{(h+r)}(1)} (U_1 \cdot \hat{X}_2)^r (U_2 \cdot \hat{X}_1)^r,
\quad (D.15)
\]
where \(C_n^\alpha(1) = \frac{(2\alpha_1)n!}{n!}\), and we used the integration formula

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\alpha^{b-c}}{(1+2\alpha+\alpha^2)^b} = \frac{\Gamma(b+c)\Gamma(b-c)}{\Gamma(2b)} _2F_1 \left( b+c, b-c; b+\frac{1}{2}; 1-\frac{x}{2} \right) .
\]  

(D.16)

When \(r = 0\), \(W_{l,0}\) is given by

\[
W_{l,0} = \text{Vol}(S^d) \frac{C_l^{(h)}(1)}{2^{2h}} C_l^{(h)}(z).
\]  

(D.17)

**A proof of (D.14)**

Finally, we will show the formula (D.14). First of all, let us note that (D.14) can be expressed in terms of the most simplest case

\[
I(Q) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{C}^{d+2}} D^dT \frac{1}{(2T \cdot Q)^{2h}} = \frac{\pi^h (2h)_h}{(Q^2)^h} .
\]  

(D.18)

Indeed, by differentiating \(I(Q)\) with respect to \(Q^A\), we obtain

\[
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{d+2}} D^dT \frac{T^{A_1} \cdots T^{A_r}}{(2T \cdot Q)^{2h+2r}} = \frac{\Gamma(2h)}{2^r \Gamma(2h + r)} \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{A_i}} \right) I(Q)
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^h (2h + 2r - h) Q^{A_1} \cdots Q^{A_{2r}}}{(Q^2)^{h+2r}} - \text{traces} .
\]  

(D.19)

Therefore, in the following discussion, we focus on a proof of the formula (D.18).

To this end, we shall choose a gauge. By using the scaling symmetry and the rotation symmetry \(I(gQ) = I(Q), g \in SO(d+1)\), the null vector \(T^A\) can be taken as

\[
T^A = e_1^A + i\xi^A, \quad e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0), \quad e_1 \cdot \xi = 0, \quad \xi^2 = 1 .
\]  

(D.20)

Then the integral \(I(Q)\) becomes

\[
I(Q) = \int d^{d+1} \tilde{\xi} \delta(\tilde{\xi}^2 - 1) \frac{(2Q^1)^{-2h}}{(1+i\tilde{\xi}Q^2)^{2h}} \sum_{r=0}^\infty \left( \frac{2h + r - 1}{r} \right) (-i)^r F_r(Q) \frac{(Q^1)^r}{(Q^2)^r} ,
\]  

(D.21)

\[
F_r(Q) = \int d^{d+1} \tilde{\xi} \delta(\tilde{\xi}^2 - 1) (\tilde{\xi} \cdot \tilde{Q})^r .
\]  

(D.22)

Here we introduced \((d+1)\)-dimensional vectors \(\xi, Q\) which satisfy \(\xi = (0, \tilde{\xi})\), \(Q = (Q^1, \tilde{Q})\), respectively. The integral is computed as follows. From the \(SO(d+1)\) symmetry \(F_r(Q) = F_r(\tilde{Q})\), \(g \in SO(d+1)\), this integral vanishes for odd \(r\) and should take the following form:

\[
F_{2k}(\tilde{Q}) = F_{2k}^{(0)}(Q^2)^k , \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} ,
\]  

(D.23)
where $F_{2k}^{(0)}$ is the overall constant. In order to determine this constant, let us evaluate the integral $F_{2k}(\bar{Q})$ for a particular choice of $\bar{Q}$ i.e. $\bar{Q} = \bar{e}_1 = (1, \ldots, 0)$. If we define $t \equiv \bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{e}_1$, we obtain

$$F_{2k}^{(0)} = F_{2k}(\bar{e}_1) = \text{Vol}(S^{d-1}) \int_{-1}^{1} dt t^{2k}(1 - t^2)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1}) \Gamma \left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left(h \right)}{\Gamma \left(h + k + \frac{1}{2} \right)} = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d}) \Gamma \left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left(h + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma \left(h + k + \frac{1}{2} \right)},$$

where in the final equality we used

$$\text{Vol}(S^{d-1}) = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d}) \Gamma \left(h + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma \left(h \right)}.$$ (D.25)

Finally, by using the relation

$$2^{-2h} \left(\frac{2h + 2k - 1}{2k} \right) \frac{\Gamma \left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left(h \right)}{\Gamma \left(h + k + \frac{1}{2} \right)} = \left(\frac{h + k - 1}{k} \right) \frac{\Gamma \left(h \right)}{2 \Gamma \left(2h \right)},$$

we obtain

$$I(Q) = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d})}{2\pi^\frac{d}{2}} (Q^1)^{-2h} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h + k - 1}{k} \right) \left(-\frac{\bar{Q} \cdot \bar{Q}}{(Q^1)^2} \right)^k = \frac{\pi^{-h} \left(2h \right)}{(Q^2)^h}.$$ (D.27)

This is the formula (D.18) we want.

### E Explicit expressions of the $R$-symmetry block

In this appendix, we will give some explicit expressions of the $R$-symmetry blocks with $d = 4$. For future reference, we will compare our expression (3.48) with the known result [10, 11]

$$\hat{G}_{l,s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau) = 2N_{l+s+2}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}N_{l-s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}} (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^\hat{a} P^{(-\hat{a}+\hat{b},-\hat{a}-\hat{b})}_{\frac{1}{2}+\hat{a},\frac{1}{2}+\hat{a}}(\hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2),$$ (E.1)

where we have set $\hat{a} \leq \hat{b}$. Our $R$-symmetry block (3.48) with $s = 0$ is equivalent to (E.1) (see subsection 3.4), below we list it for few special values of $(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b})$ for illustrations. When $s > 0$, (3.48) is same as (E.1) up the overall constant. As we will observe, the difference between (3.48) and (E.1) does not depend on $\hat{a}^9$.

---

9It would be interesting to understand this independence of $\hat{a}$ a bit better, we suspect it is related to our choice of overall normalization for $\hat{G}_{l,s}^{\hat{a},\hat{b}}(\sigma, \tau)$ which is also independent of $\hat{a}$. 
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\( s = 0 \) case

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \quad \frac{(1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_2)}{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{1/2}}.
\] (E.2)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (2, 0, 0) \quad \frac{2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - 3(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 6}{6\alpha_1 \alpha_2}.
\] (E.3)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (2, 0, 1) \quad \frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}.
\] (E.4)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \quad \frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 6)}{6(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{3/2}}.
\] (E.5)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) \quad \frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)^2(\alpha_2 - 1)^2}{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{3/2}}.
\] (E.6)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (3, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) \quad \frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)^3(\alpha_2 - 1)^3}{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{3/2}}.
\] (E.7)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, 0, 0) \quad \frac{\alpha_1^2(3(\alpha_2 - 4)\alpha_2 + 10) - 4\alpha_1(3\alpha_2(3\alpha_2 - 16) + 15) + 10((\alpha_2 - 6)\alpha_2 + 6)}{60(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2}.
\] (E.8)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, 0, 1) \quad \frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)(3\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - 5(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 10)}{10(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2}.
\] (E.9)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, 1, 1) \quad \frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)^2(\alpha_2 - 1)^2(2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - 5(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 20)}{20(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2}.
\] (E.10)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, 0, 2) \quad \frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)^2(\alpha_2 - 1)^2}{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2}.
\] (E.11)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, 1, 2) \quad \frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)^3(\alpha_2 - 1)^3}{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2}.
\] (E.12)

\[
(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, 2, 2) \quad \frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)^4(\alpha_2 - 1)^4}{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2}.
\] (E.13)
\( s = 1 \) case

\((l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (1, 0, 0)\)

\[
(E.1) = \frac{-\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2},
\]

\[
(3.48) = -\frac{1}{2} (E.1) .
\]

\((l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\)

\[
(E.1) = -\frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)[4 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - 5(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)]}{5(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{3/2}},
\]

\[
(3.48) = -\frac{5}{12} (E.1) .
\]

\((l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (3, 0, 0)\)

\[
(E.1) = -\frac{(\alpha_1 - 2)(\alpha_2 - 2)[\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)]}{4(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2},
\]

\[
(3.48) = -\frac{4}{9} (E.1) .
\]

\((l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (3, 0, 1)\)

\[
(E.1) = -\frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)[\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)]}{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2},
\]

\[
(3.48) = -\frac{3}{8} (E.1) .
\]

\((l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (3, 1, 1)\)

\[
(E.1) = -\frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)^2(\alpha_2 - 1)^2[2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - 3(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)]}{3(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2},
\]

\[
(3.48) = -\frac{3}{8} (E.1) .
\]

\((l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\)

\[
(E.1) = -\frac{1}{105(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{5/2}} (1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_2) [35(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) + 12(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2

- 45\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 170\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - 105(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)] ,
\]

\[
(3.48) = -\frac{7}{16} (E.1) .
\]

\((l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})\)

\[
(E.1) = -\frac{(1 - \alpha_1)^2(1 - \alpha_2)^2(6\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - 7(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}{7(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{5/2}},
\]

\[
(3.48) = -\frac{7}{20} (E.1) .
\]
\[(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})\]

(E.1) = \[- \frac{(1 - \alpha_1)^3(1 - \alpha_2)^3(4\alpha_1\alpha_2 - 7(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}{7(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^{5/2}}, \quad (E.28)\]

\[(3.48) = - \frac{7}{20} (E.1). \quad (E.29)\]

\[s = 2 \text{ case}\]

\[(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (2, 0, 0)\]

(E.1) = \[\frac{6(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^2 - 15\alpha_1\alpha_2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 10(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2)}{10(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^2}, \quad (E.30)\]

(3.48) = \[\frac{5}{12} (E.1). \quad (E.31)\]

\[(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\]

(E.1) = \[\frac{(1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_2) \left[3(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^2 - 9\alpha_1\alpha_2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 7(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2)\right]}{7(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^{5/2}}, \quad (E.32)\]

(3.48) = \[\frac{7}{24} (E.1). \quad (E.33)\]

\[(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, 0, 0)\]

(E.1) = \[\frac{1}{70(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^3} \left[9(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^3 - 35(\alpha_1^3 + \alpha_2^3) - 45(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^2 + 70\alpha_1\alpha_2(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) + 160(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^2 - 175(\alpha_1\alpha_2)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 70(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2)\right], \quad (E.34)\]

(3.48) = \[\frac{7}{24} (E.1). \quad (E.35)\]

\[(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, 0, 1)\]

(E.1) = \[\frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1) \left[9(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^2 - 21(\alpha_1\alpha_2)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 14(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_2^2)\right]}{14(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^3}, \quad (E.36)\]

(3.48) = \[\frac{7}{30} (E.1). \quad (E.37)\]

\[(l, \hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (4, 1, 1)\]

(E.1) = \[\frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)^2(\alpha_2 - 1)^2 \left[18(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^2 - 63\alpha_1\alpha_2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 56(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_2^2)\right]}{56(\alpha_1\alpha_2)^3}, \quad (E.38)\]

(3.48) = \[\frac{7}{30} (E.1). \quad (E.39)\]
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