Permanent Molar Trait Expression in the Late Neolithic Cave Burials of the Meuse Basin, Belgium
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ABSTRACT At least 250 cave burials along the Meuse river basin of Belgium yield prehistoric remains, and most date from the Late Neolithic period. Several of these collective burials have been radiocarbon dated, including the early/late Neolithic deposits of Hastière Caverne M and Hastière Trou Garçon C and the final/late Neolithic caves of Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame. An additional cave burial, Maurenne Caverne de la Cave, has been radiocarbon dated to the Middle Neolithic and final/late Neolithic periods, circa 4,635 to 3,830 years BP, which encompasses the entire range of dates for the other collective burials. Individuals (n = 127) are represented by fragmentary gnathic remains with in situ dental elements. Although the remains have been studied in detail, researchers have yet to compare dental morphology across cave sites. Arizona State University Dental Anthropology System (ASUDAS) scores of permanent molar morphology are employed to examine whether differences within and between the cave burials exist, and whether chronology and geography can account for the variation in traits. Affirming our expectations, the final/late Neolithic cave of Sclaigneaux, the most geographically distant cave burial, and secondarily Hastière Caverne M, possibly the earliest site, emerge as the most distinctive. The final/late Neolithic sites of Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame exhibit the greatest variability of trait expression. This research contributes to the understanding of the relatedness of early farming communities, and these findings bear on the mobility and continuity of human groups in the Meuse basin of Belgium during the terminus of the Neolithic before the onset of the Bronze Age in northern Europe.

The Meuse River basin of central Belgium extends along a semi-continuous karstic uplift featuring numerous cliff walls, rock formations and at least 3,000 caverns. More than 250 of these caves preserve the remains of prehistoric humans. Although these caves have been known for centuries, formal exploration of the sites commenced in the winter of 1829-1830 and has continued to the present (Polet, 2011). Close to 200 of these funerary sites have been radiocarbon dated to the Late Neolithic period (Toussaint et al., 2001). Many of these are collective burials and contain five to 15 individuals (Polet, 2011), however, some are larger, such as the caves of Bois Madame and Sclaigneaux (Dumbruch, 2003; De Paep & Polet, 2007). Only eight percent of these funerary sites contain between 55 and 60 individuals (Polet, 2011).

Hundreds of skeletal fragments and dental elements have been investigated from Hastière Caverne M (Hastière M), Hastière Trou Garçon C (Trou Garçon), Sclaigneaux, Bois Madame and Maurenne Caverne de la Cave (Maurenne) (Figure 1), and adults of both sexes and children are represented, suggesting familial, kin or descent groups used the caves for burial. These five cave deposits are all radiocarbon dated to the Late Neolithic (Table 1). However, Maurenne is associated with three dates from the terminus of the Late Neolithic (4,160 ± 45; 3,950 ± 70; 3,830 ± 90 years BP), and one date, 4,635 ± 45 years BP, from the Middle Neolithic.
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Figure 1. Map of Belgium showing the location of five Late Neolithic collective burials along the Meuse River system.

Table 1. Radiocarbon dates associated with five Neolithic collective burials of Belgium, arranged by site and by distance from Hastière rockshelter; dating was conducted using Accelerated Mass Spectrometry (AMS) at Oxford University, UK (OxA) and the University of Groningen (GrA), and conventional methods at the University of Louvain, Belgium (Lv).

| Collective burial | Sample number   | Dates in years BP | Reference                        |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Hastière M        | AMS OxA-6558    | 4,345 ± 60\(^a\)  | Bronk-Ramsey et al. (2002)       |
| Trou Garçon       | AMS OxA-6853    | 4,220 ± 45\(^a\)  | Bronk-Ramsey et al. (2002)       |
| Maurenne          | AMS OxA-9025    | 4,635 ± 45\(^b\)  | Bronk-Ramsey et al. (2002)       |
| Maurenne          | AMS OxA-9026    | 4,160 ± 45\(^c\)  | Bronk-Ramsey et al. (2002)       |
| Maurenne          | Lv-1483         | 3,950 ± 70\(^c\)  | Toussaint (2007)                 |
| Maurenne          | Lv-1482         | 3,830 ± 90\(^c\)  | Toussaint (2007)                 |
| Bois Madame       | AMS OxA 10831   | 4,075 ± 38\(^c\)  | Dumbruch (2003)                  |
| Bois Madame       | AMS OxA 10830   | 3,910 ± 40\(^c\)  | Dumbruch (2003)                  |
| Sclaigneaux       | GrA-32975       | 4,155 ± 35\(^c\)  | De Paepe & Polet (2007)          |

\(^a\) early/late Neolithic; \(^b\) Middle Neolithic; \(^c\) final/late Neolithic
ic, implying its use for more than 800 years (Vanderveken, 1997; Bronk-Ramsey et al., 2002; Toussaint, 2007).

The Maurenne burial is adjacent to Hastière rockshelter formation (see Figure 1). Two other collective burials at this site include Hastière M and Trou Garçon. Hastière M is one of the oldest Late Neolithic cave sites and dates to 4,345 ± 60 years BP, followed by Trou Garçon, which has yielded a date of 4,220 ± 45 years BP (Bronk-Ramsey et al., 2002; Toussaint, 2007). These two can be described as early/late Neolithic.

Two large, well-studied final/late Neolithic cave burials are Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame. Sclaigneaux is associated with a single radiocarbon date of 4,155 ± 35 years BP (De Paepe, 2007; De Paepe & Polet, 2007). At Bois Madame in the Burnot Valley, two dates have been obtained. Both of these derive from the boundary of the fourth millennium prior to the Bronze Age, 4,075 ± 38 years BP and 3,910 ± 40 years BP, suggesting the collective burial of Bois Madame may have been utilized for more than 150 years (Bronk-Ramsey et al., 2002; Dumbruch, 2003, 2007).

**Funerary context**

Given the scarcity of habitation sites, these prehistoric peoples are primarily known from their remains in funerary caves and rockshelters. A range of burial practices has been inferred, including cremation, burial, a simple deposition of individuals on cave floors and cu-marks with flint implements. Commingled remains comprise a majority of the funerary deposits (Toussaint et al., 2001; Toussaint, 2007; Polet, 2011). At some caves, such as Bois Madame, the bones are found in a haphazard order as if the individuals were left unburied and later disturbed by human or non-anthropogenic agents (Dumbruch, 2003). The mixture of individuals within these collective burials could have arisen from bioturbation. However, the deliberate movement, occasional regrouping and comingling of bodies is more likely to be the result of burial rites, reburial and/or adding additional individuals (Toussaint et al., 2001; Toussaint, 2007).

**Comparing individuals across cave burials**

Although several well-preserved Late Neolithic crania are present, most individuals are represented by fragmentary gnathic remains with associated molars in situ, permitting an investigation of variation within and between sites in nonmetric dental trait expression using the Arizona State University Dental Anthropology System (ASUDAS) (Turner et al., 1991; Scott and Irish, 2017). Prior studies of the inhabitants of these Late Neolithic caves have found a lack of differentiation in diet (García Martín, 1999; Semal et al., 1999), internment behavior (Vanderveken, 1997; Toussaint et al., 2001, 2003) and stature was estimated to be largely unimodal (Orban et al., 2000). However, chronological distinctions are apparent from radiocarbon dating. On the basis of chronology, we expect the early/late Neolithic sites to be more similar to each other in dental morphological expression than to the final/late cave burials, and vice versa. The three final/late Neolithic dates from Maurenne suggest this collective burial is more likely to resemble later sites than earlier ones.

It is also possible that differences in dental morphology will be patterned with respect to geography. Based on distance, individuals from Hastière rockshelter (Hastière M and Trou Garçon) and Maurenne should be more similar to one another, and secondarily to Bois Madame, whereas Sclaigneaux should be the most distinctive (see Figure 1).

**Materials and Methods**

A total of 127 individuals from the five caves were examined (Vanderveken, 1997; Toussaint et al., 2007).

| Neolithic cave site | Maxillae | Mandibles | Total |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Hastière M          | 10       | 10        | 20    |
| Trou Garçon         | 6        | 1         | 7     |
| Maurenne            | 9        | 21        | 30    |
| Bois Madame         | 13       | 15        | 28    |
| Sclaigneaux         | 12       | 30        | 42    |
| **Total**           | **50**   | **77**    | **127** |

**Table 2. Neolithic samples by cave, element, and number of individuals.**
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Although the sample size for M2 is limited, the individual from Hastière M has a large metacone with a score of 5, whereas individuals from both Maurenne and Bois Madame exhibit a smaller cusp and have scores of 3. The other two sites are intermediate and have scores of 4 for the M2 metacone (Table 3).

Results

All scores ascribed to individuals are presented in the context of the ASUDAS.

Maxillary molars

Metacone

For M1, individuals from Hastière M and Trou Garçon often exhibit a metacone with a score of 4 (see Table 3). Fewer individuals have a larger metacone with a score of 5. In contrast, individuals from Maurenne and Bois Madame frequently present a metacone with a score of 5 and have a lower frequency of individuals with a score of 4. Scelaigneaux shows an equal prevalence of individuals with metacone scores of 4 and 5.

For the second molar (M2), the dominant pattern across sites is a score of 3 or 4, though there is some variation in expression (Table 3). For instance, Hastière M and Trou Garçon are nearly divided equally between these two scores, whereas the final/late Neolithic burials present a greater tendency for a metacone with a score of 4. Individuals from Bois Madame show a greater range of expression in their metacone scores as they range from 2 to 5.

Although the sample size for M3 is limited, the individual from Hastière M has a large metacone with a score of 5, whereas individuals from both Maurenne and Bois Madame exhibit a smaller cusp and have scores of 3. The other two sites are intermediate and have scores of 4 for the M3 metacone (Table 3).
Table 3. Frequencies of maxillary traits.

| Site       | n   | Trait & Tooth | 0    | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    |
|------------|-----|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Hastière M | 8   | Metacone (M)  | 0.875| 0.125|      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Trou Garçon| 5   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 1.000|
| Sclaigneaux| 10  | Metacone (M)  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Maurenne   | 8   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 1.000|
| Bois Madame| 11  |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Hastière M | 5   | Hypocone (M)  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.429|
| Trou Garçon| 4   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.500|
| Sclaigneaux| 10  | Hypocone (M)  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.400|
| Maurenne   | 8   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.125|
| Bois Madame| 11  |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.273|
| Hastière M | 6   | Metaconule (M) |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Trou Garçon| 2   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Sclaigneaux| 3   | Metaconule (M) |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Maurenne   | 1   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Bois Madame| 2   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Hastière M | 8   | Carabelli’s Trait (M) |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Trou Garçon| 1   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Sclaigneaux| 2   | Carabelli’s Trait (M) |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Maurenne   | 3   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Bois Madame| 2   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Hastière M | 3   | Parastyle (M) |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Trou Garçon| 2   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Sclaigneaux| 3   | Parastyle (M) |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Maurenne   | 2   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Bois Madame| 2   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Hastière M | 4   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Trou Garçon| 3   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Sclaigneaux| 3   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Maurenne   | 2   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Bois Madame| 8   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Hastière M | 5   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Trou Garçon| 3   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Sclaigneaux| 4   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Maurenne   | 7   |               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
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Hypocone
The M\textsuperscript{2} hypocone is primarily scored as a 4 or 5 nearly evenly across three of the sites (see Table 3). Most individuals from Maurenne, though, are scored as 5 and more than a quarter of the M\textsuperscript{3} samples from Bois Madame (27.3%) exhibit a smaller hypocone and are characterized by scores of 3.

For M\textsuperscript{2}, the hypocone tends to be expressed most strongly at Maurenne and Sclaigneaux as most individuals at these sites have scores of 4. For Bois Madame and Hastière M, a smaller hypocone with a score of 3 is the most frequent expression, with considerable variation (see able 3).

The M\textsuperscript{3} hypocone is variably expressed at Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame. In comparison, the M\textsuperscript{3} hypocone is most frequently larger at Trou Garçon and Maurenne with scores of 4 (see Table 3).

Metaconule (Cusp 5)
The metaconule is absent at Sclaigneaux across the molars (see Table 3). This is not the case at the other sites with the exception of M\textsuperscript{3} in which individuals from Hastière M and Maurenne also lack Cusp 5. For M\textsuperscript{1}, three individuals from Bois Madame present small metaconules with a score of 2. The early/late Neolithic cave burials of Hastière M and Trou Garçon both exhibit substantial variation in the expression of the metaconule across the molars (see Table 3). Variation at the early/late Neolithic sites is particularly marked for the M\textsuperscript{2} at Hastière M where the expression of Cusp 5 ranges from absent in half of the individuals to moderately expressed with scores of 1-3 in the other half. Trou Garçon is mostly associated with scores of 1 and 2. Maurenne and Bois Madame are similar in their low to absent expression of the metaconule on M\textsuperscript{2} and M\textsuperscript{3} (Table 3). In contrast, a prominent metaconule is expressed on the M\textsuperscript{3} of Hastière M 29, presenting a score of 5 (Figure 2).

Carabelli’s trait
Carabelli’s trait is relatively well represented across these Neolithic sites on M\textsuperscript{1} and M\textsuperscript{2} but is absent entirely on M\textsuperscript{3} (see Table 3). However, there is considerable variation within and between burials (Figures 3 and 4). For M\textsuperscript{1}, Bois Madame exhibits the strongest expression of this trait, with one individual having a prominent Carabelli’s cusp with a score of 7. Bois Madame present the greatest degree of variation, with expressions ranging from 2-5. One individual from Sclaigneaux has a large Carabelli’s trait with a score of 5 and another is even larger with a score of 6 (Figure 4). In comparison, this trait on M\textsuperscript{1} is expressed as a 1 or absent altogether at the early/late Neolithic sites of Hastière M and Trou Garçon (see Table 3).

For M\textsuperscript{2}, Hastière M and Trou Garçon C lack expressions of Carabelli’s trait while the final/late sites of Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame show substantial variation ranging from scores 1-3 (see Table 3). Maurenne resembles the Hastière M and Trou Garçon, in lacking evidence of a Carabelli’s trait on M\textsuperscript{2} (see Table 3).

Parastyle
As at other locations worldwide (Scott et al. 2018), the expression of a parastyle is rarely observed in these Neolithic collective burials and is completely absent on M\textsuperscript{1} (see Table 3). However, a large M\textsuperscript{1} parastyle is scored as a 3 on Sclaigneaux 119. A smaller M\textsuperscript{2} parastyle is scored as a 2 on Sclaigneaux 99. In addition, a limited expression of a parastyle is noted for one M\textsuperscript{2} from Trou Garçon (I.G. 3873) characterized as a buccal pit (score of 1).

Mandibular molars
Anterior fovea
The anterior fovea on M\textsubscript{1} is most frequently expressed as a score of 1 across the cave burials when it is present (Table 4; Figure 5). There is one individual, Maurenne 92, who presents a larger anterior fovea with a score of 3.

Groove pattern
The groove pattern for M\textsubscript{1} is primarily the Y pattern, with the exception of one individual from Hastière M and another from Sclaigneaux that exhibit an X pattern. The near ubiquity of the Y pattern, particularly at the final/late Neolithic cave burials, is further evidenced by the relatively large number of individuals with this configuration. This includes all of the Maurenne (n = 12) and Bois Madame (n = 8) assemblages, and nine out of 10 individuals from Sclaigneaux (see Table 4).

The groove patterns for M\textsubscript{2} and M\textsubscript{3} are more variable (see Table 4). For M\textsubscript{2}, the groove pattern for the early/late Neolithic cave burial of Trou Garçon presents as an X. Individuals from Hastière M most often exhibit the plus groove pattern with some expression of the Y pattern. At Maurenne, all three groove pattern variants are evident (see Table 4). For M\textsubscript{3}, Hastière M 10 exhibits an X groove pattern, as do most individuals from Maurenne.

The final/late Neolithic sites exhibit more variability in groove patterning for both M\textsubscript{2} and M\textsubscript{3} than is observed for these teeth in the earlier cave burials. All three configurations are visible at Sclaigneaux, although the Y pattern is the least
Figure 2. Hastière Trou Garçon C 20Z, a right M\textsuperscript{1} shows (a) a large metaconule or Cusp 5 (ASUDAS score = 2) and (b) a pit form of Carabelli’s trait (ASUDAS score = 1); scale bar = 1 cm.

Figure 3. Bois Madame, BM Mx 11, a right maxillary fragment, demonstrates a large Carabelli’s trait (ASUDAS score = 7), identified by a white arrow on M\textsuperscript{1}; scale bar = 1 cm.

Figure 4. Sclaigneaux 119, a left M\textsuperscript{1}, exhibits (a) a pronounced Carabelli’s cusp (ASUDAS score = 6), and (b) a large metacone (ASUDAS score = 4); scale bar = 1 cm.

Figure 5. Bois Madame BM Md 32, a left M\textsubscript{1}, shows (a) an anterior fovea (ASUDAS score = 1) and (b) a protostylid (ASUDAS score = 1), both of which are commonly found across cave sites; scale bar = 1 cm.
| Site          | n | Trait & tooth | 0 | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | X  | Y  | +  |
|---------------|---|---------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Hastière M   | 1 | Groove (M₂)   | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.900 | 1.000 | 0.375 | 0.250 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Sclaigneaux  | 10| Number (M₂)   | 0.091 | 0.727 | 0.182 | 0.091 | 0.333 | 0.383 | 0.081 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.600 |
| Maurenne     | 12| Number (M₂)   | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 |
| Bois Madame  | 4 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Trou Garçon  | 1 | Number (M₂)   | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 |
| Sclaigneaux  | 15| Number (M₂)   | 0.250 | 0.400 | 0.600 | 0.400 | 0.250 | 0.375 | 0.250 | 0.375 | 0.250 | 0.375 |
| Maurenne     | 7 | Number (M₂)   | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 |
| Bois Madame  | 8 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Hastière M   | 4 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Sclaigneaux  | 11| Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Maurenne     | 12| Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Bois Madame  | 9 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Hastière M   | 5 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Sclaigneaux  | 7 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Maurenne     | 3 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Bois Madame  | 2 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Hastière M   | 5 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Sclaigneaux  | 6 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Maurenne     | 5 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| Bois Madame  | 4 | Number (M₂)   | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.600 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.727 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |

**Table 4. Frequencies of mandibular traits.**
prevalent on M₂ and the most frequent expression on M₃ (see Table 4). All three groove patterns are present at Bois Madame for M₂ as they are at Sclaigneaux and Maurenne. However, only at Sclaigneaux are the three groove patterns present on M₃.

Cusp number
Only five or six cusps are observed on M₁ at the early/late Neolithic cave burial of Hastière M and the final/late site of Bois Madame, whereas Sclaigneaux and Maurenne both present 4-6 cusps. However, the predominant number is five cusps across the cave burials (see Table 4).

This pattern differs for M₂ in which four cusps is the most frequently observed. For the individuals from Maurenne (n = 8) and the individual from Trou Garçon, this is the only pattern observed for M₂. In comparison, there are some M₂ from Hastière M, Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame that present five cusps (see Table 4).

For M₃, there are primarily four cusps, with the exception of Maurenne and Sclaigneaux in which the expression of four and five cusps are equally represented (Table 4). Furthermore, at Sclaigneaux, more variation is observed for M₃ cusp number which includes the expression of four, five and six cusps.

Mid-trigonid crest
The mid-trigonid crest is eliminated for M₁ since no presence was recorded across sites for this molar. The mid-trigonid crest is also largely absent on M₂ and M₃ at these Neolithic cave burials. One exception is at Sclaigneaux where it is present, although rarely, on both M₂ and M₃. The only other site where a mid-trigonid crest is observable is at Maurenne and only the M₂ of Maurenne 18 (see Table 4).

Protostylid
A buccal pit (score of 1) is common at these Neolithic cave deposits and across the mandibular molar row (Figure 5). At Sclaigneaux, the buccal pit is found on all individuals examined (n = 10). Similarly, a buccal pit is more often present than absent on M₁ at Hastière M and Bois Madame. In contrast, at Maurenne a buccal pit on M₁ is more often absent than present; this feature is also absent in the single individual from Trou Garçon (Table 4).

On M₂ a buccal pit is visible at all sites and is more often expressed than not, particularly at Sclaigneaux and Maurenne (see Table 4). One exception is Trou Garçon 3, where a protostylid is scored as a 3.

Any variation of the protostylid is less frequently exhibited on M₃ than on the other molars. At Sclaigneaux, it is expressed as a buccal pit across the molar row. A much stronger expression of a protostylid is evidenced on one individual, Maurenne 15, where it is scored as a 6.

Hypoconulid (Cusp 5)
For M₁, Hastière M exhibits a moderate to large hypoconulid, expressed at scores of 3 and 4. Sclaigneaux presents the greatest degree of variation in the expression of the hypoconulid, ranging across the full spectrum of scores from 0-5, although the majority of individuals from this site are skewed towards the higher end of the scoring spectrum. This variation is similar at Bois Madame and Maurenne where the scores range from 0-5. However, most individuals from Bois Madame exhibit a larger hypoconulid with a correspondingly higher score and nearly a third of the individuals from Maurenne lack a cusp 5 entirely (see Table 4).

For M₂, the hypoconulid is more often absent than present across cave burials, and at Maurenne and Trou Garçon it is absent altogether. When it is expressed, the final/late Neolithic caves of Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame both show greater variation and the presence of a larger cusp 5. For example, when the hypoconulid is expressed at Hastière M, it ranges in score from 1-3. At the final/late Neolithic sites of Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame, a larger hypoconulid is evident, reflected in one individual from each site scoring a 4 and 5, respectively (see Table 4).

Like M₂, the variation in M₃ is more variable than observed in M₁ especially for the final/late Neolithic cave burials. The hypoconulid is completely absent in the one individual from Hastière M and the three individuals from Maurenne. In contrast, at the final/late Neolithic cave of Sclaigneaux, the greatest extent of variation is observed, with scores ranging from a low of 1 to a high of 5. Bois Madame has similar variability of expression of the hypoconulid, with scores extending from 0-4.

Enthaconulid (Cusp 6)
A buccal pit (score of 1) is common at these Neolithic cave deposits and across the mandibular molar row (Figure 5). At Sclaigneaux, the entoconulid is expressed at scores of 3 and 4. Sclaigneaux presents the greatest degree of variation in the expression of the entoconulid, ranging across the full spectrum of scores from 0-5, although the majority of individuals from this site are skewed towards the higher end of the scoring spectrum. This variation is similar at Bois Madame and Maurenne where the scores range from 0-5. However, most individuals from Bois Madame exhibit a larger entoconulid with a correspondingly higher score and nearly a third of the individuals from Maurenne lack a cusp 6 entirely (see Table 4).

For M₂, the entoconulid is more often absent than present across cave burials, and at Maurenne and Trou Garçon it is absent altogether. When it is expressed, the final/late Neolithic caves of Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame both show greater variation and the presence of a larger cusp 6. For example, when the entoconulid is expressed at Hastière M, it ranges in score from 1-3. At the final/late Neolithic sites of Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame, a larger entoconulid is evident, reflected in one individual from each site scoring a 4 and 5, respectively (see Table 4).

Like M₂, the variation in M₃ is more variable than observed in M₁ especially for the final/late Neolithic cave burials. The entoconulid is completely absent in the one individual from Hastière M and the three individuals from Maurenne. In contrast, at the final/late Neolithic cave of Sclaigneaux, the greatest extent of variation is observed, with scores ranging from a low of 1 to a high of 5. Bois Madame has similar variability of expression of the entoconulid, with scores extending from 0-4.
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It was also anticipated that Sclaigneaux—situated about 35 km from the Hastière rockshelter—would be distinct if differences in morphology can be explained by geographic distance (Figure 1). Sclaigneaux does differ from the other cave burials in some respects, for example, showing the Y groove pattern for M₂. However, like the other final/late Neolithic site of Bois Madame, Sclaigneaux is quite variable in the expression of traits. These findings suggest variability is more pronounced in the final/late than the early/late Neolithic. The final/late Neolithic sites exhibit greater variation in the expression of traits, particularly the hypoconulid, protostylid, parastyle and Carabelli’s trait across the molar row. However, the sample sizes are also substantially larger at the final/late Neolithic sites. This is particularly true of Sclaigneaux. It is unknown the extent to which the uneven sample sizes influenced the results.

It was expected that the two early/late Neolithic cave burials of Hastière M and Trou Garçon should resemble one another as they are similar chronologically and geographically. Yet there is no convincing evidence that they are similar. In fact, it appears that Trou Garçon resembles the final/late Neolithic sites of Bois Madame and secondarily Maurenne more than these individuals resemble Hastière M. Trou Garçon has a greater number of whole crania available but is represented by a smaller number of individuals compared to the other sites (Table 2). The limited sample size for Trou Garçon precludes definitive statements on its relationship to the other cave burials. However, Trou Garçon individuals are at times extreme in the expression of traits which separates this site from the others, such as a large protostylid on M₂ in Trou Garçon 3. Meanwhile, Hastière M is an outlier in other ways, such as the pronounced metaconule on M³ in Hastière M 29.

The prediction that Maurenne would resemble the final/late Neolithic sites of Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame more than Hastière M was largely confirmed by the results. For this reason, it is more likely that the individuals buried at Maurenne are primarily associated with the three final/late Neolithic radiocarbon dates. The single Middle Neolithic date obtained from Maurenne may be an exception. Supporting this assertion is the observation of similarities between Maurenne and Bois Madame. Three of the dates for the former and the two dates for the latter overlap one another and the two burial chambers are about 10 km from one another suggesting, perhaps, closer contact existed between these two groups than between the earlier...
and the more geographically distant individuals living close to Sclaigneaux cave.

There are also similarities between the caves, such as the large prevalence of a protostylid and Carabelli’s trait, and the near absence of a metaconulid. There are most frequently five cusps on M1 but often four on M2 and M3. The lack of discrete differences in these Belgian Neolithic caves is supported by archaeological evidence that suggests common lifeways, an undifferentiated economy and phenotypic homogeneity. Carbon and nitrogen isotope analysis imply similarities in diet across the Late Neolithic period in which terrestrial resources were relied upon more than aquatic ones (Semal et al., 1999). The dental microwear of several Late Neolithic caves suggests similarities in diet which comprised a large amount of vegetable fiber (Garcia-Martín, 2000), but fish may have also been consumed (Toussaint et al., 2001). Stature regression formulae from available Neolithic long bones and the first metatarsal indicate that most of the individuals were of short stature. It is also possible that the majority of the long bones come from a single sex (female) as the sample lacks a bimodal distribution of values typical of recent Belgians of both sexes (Orban et al., 2000).

Comparison with other prehistoric burials
A number of studies have been conducted using dental morphology as a proxy for affinity at Neolithic and other prehistoric sites. Studies of kinship within and across burials and cemeteries rely on phenotypic similarity as a proxy for genetic relationships and rare traits are often utilized to identify familial relations (Bentley, 1991; Howell and Kintigh, 1996; Alt et al., 1997; Jacoby, 1997; Corrucini and Shimada, 2002; Stojanowski & Schillaci, 2006; Pilloud, 2009; Lukacs & Pal, 2013). Familial, and possibly sibling relations among a triple burial at Dolní Věstonice from the Upper Paleolithic of the Czech Republic were evidenced by a sharing of groove pattern, number of cusps, accessory cusps and the presence of an entoconulid and parastyle for at least two of the three individuals for each trait (Alt et al., 1997). The Neolithic cave burials of Belgium probably do not represent individuals from the same family as noted at Dolní Věstonice. In fact, it appears that there is a greater degree of variation within the Belgian Meuse Neolithic burials than between them.

Dental traits of early Neolithic Mediterranean sites
The dental morphology of several burial sites in the Mediterranean region have been explored. For example, at early Neolithic Çatalhöyük in Turkey, the protostylid, Carabelli’s cusp, groove pattern, the hypoconulid, entoconulid, hypocone and deflecting wrinkle are significantly different from expected (Pilloud, 2009; Pilloud and Larsen, 2011). Iberian and Italian Neolithic burials differ in Carabelli’s trait and the protostylid among other dental traits (López-Onaindia & Subirá, 2017). The protostylid on M2 and M3, the hypoconulid of M1 and M2, and the entoconulid on M2 and to a lesser extent, groove pattern and cusp number on M3, are suggested to be the most informative in separating Iberian from Italian Neolithic burials (López-Onaindia et al., 2018). The Neolithic cave burials of Belgium exhibit substantial variation in all of these traits, particularly the size of the hypocone and the expression of Carabelli’s trait, and remarkable uniformity in the presence of a protostylid.

Dental morphology of Late Neolithic cave burials of Eurasia
Numerous Late Neolithic collective burials exist across Eurasia, such as the Late Neolithic-Chalcolithic collective tombs of Catalonia in which natural crevices and recesses include adults of both sexes and all ages with few grave goods (López-Onaindia et al., 2018). However, the dental morphology of only a few Late Neolithic sites have been studied in detail. An important exception concerns those surrounding Lake Baikal, Siberia where an increasingly greater percentage of Carabelli’s trait occurs during the Neolithic period (Waters-Rist et al., 2016). Compared to the Late Neolithic collective burials of Belgium, a lower expression of this trait is observed and only at Bois Madame and Sclaigneaux is a large Carabelli’s cusp evident (Table 3). Hastiére M and Bois Madame have higher frequencies of a Y groove pattern on M3 (0.250) compared to those observed in Late Neolithic Siberians (0.140) (Waters-Rist et al., 2016), although Sclaigneaux has a much lower value of 0.067 (see Table 4). For cusp number of M3, 71.4% of the Siberian Late Neolithic peoples of Lake Baikal exhibit 5+ cusps whereas the Late Neolithic burials from Belgium can be characterized as expressing fewer cusps on the second mandibular molar. In fact, mostly only four cusps are observed on M2. However, Hastiére M, and to a lesser extent, Bois Madame and Sclaigneaux, show some expression of five cusps on M3 ranging from 0.400 to 0.250 (see Table 4). Expression of a protostylid on M1 is present in half of Late Neolithic peoples of Lake Baikal, Siberia (Waters-Rist et al., 2016), whereas for this temporal period in the Meuse Riv-
er basin of Belgium is it present more often than it is absent, and at Sclaigneaux it is observed in 100% of individuals ($n = 10$) (Table 4). More than a quarter of individuals (27%) of the Late Neolithic of Siberia exhibit an entoconulid (Cusp 6) on $M_1$ (Waters-Rist et al., 2016). Comparable frequencies for the collective burials of Late Neolithic Belgium for this trait exist at Hastière M and to a lesser extent, Bois Madame (Table 4). Unlike their counterparts to the east who exhibit a low occurrence of a metaconulid (Cusp 7) on $M_1$ at 6.5% (Waters-Rist et al., 2016), at the Late Neolithic caves of Belgium, it is nearly absent with the exception of BM Md 13 from Bois Madame (Figure 6).

Conclusions

The five well-studied collective burials examined are somewhat discrete in terms of chronology based on radiocarbon dates. Although only limited samples are available for each cave burial, it appears that our predictions were confirmed. Hastière M is only partly distinct from the other cave deposits in the expression of traits, corroborating an analysis of deciduous molar morphology from the Late Neolithic caves of the Belgian Meuse basin (Williams et al., 2018). The final/late collective burials of Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame exhibit a greater range of expression of the hypoconulid, entoconulid, protostylid, Carabelli’s cusp, metacone and metaconulid. Although differences between the final/late Neolithic cave burials of Sclaigneaux and Bois Madame and the others from Hastière rockshelter are evidenced by dental morphology, these sites likely represent ephemeral communities that experienced only limited continuity over time and were perhaps bounded as a function of distance, and to a lesser degree, by chronology. Alternatively, this lack of partitioning of discrete dental traits per burial location may signal that internment was not strictly kin-based as is observed at Neolithic Çatalhöyük (Pilloud & De Paepe, M. (2007). Studie van de laat-Ice Age family? American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 102, 123-131.

Bailey, S. E. (2008). Inter- and intra-specific variation in Pan tooth crown morphology: implications for Neandertal taxonomy. In J. D. Irish & G. C. Nelson (Eds.), Technique and application in dental anthropology (pp. 293-316). Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.

Bentley, G. R. (1991). A bioarchaeological reconstruction of the social and kinship systems at early Bronze Age Bab Edh-Dhra, Jordan. In S. A. Gregg (Ed.), Between bands and states (pp. 5-34). Carbondale: Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale Occasional Paper No.9.

Bronk-Ramsey, C., Higham, T. F. G., Owen, D. C., Pike, W. G. & Hedges, R. E. M. (2002). Radiocarbon dates from the Oxford AMS system: datelist 31. Archaeometry, 44(3) Supplement 1, 1-149.

Corruccini, R. S. & Shimada, I. (2002). Dental relatedness corresponding to mortuary patterning at Huaca Loro, Peru. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 117, 118-121.

De Paepe, M. (2007). Studie van de laat-
neolithische menselijke resten uit een collectief graf te Sclaigneaux (provincie Namen, B.). MA thesis, Universiteit Gent.

De Paepe, M. & Polet, C. (2007). ‘Numerous and tall’: A revision of the Late Neolithic human remains found in a collective burial site at Claigneaux (prov. Namur), Belgium. Notae Praehistoricae, 27, 163-168.

Dumbruch, I. (2003). Edute du site de l’abri-sous-roche du “Bois-Madame”, Néolithique, à Arbre, dans la vallée du Burnot (Province de Namur). Etude anthropologique et archéologique, Volume I et II. MA thesis, Université Libre de Bruxelles.

Dumbruch, I. (2007). Le Site de l’Abri-sous-Roche du "Bois-Madame" à Arbre (Province de Namur, Belgique). Archaeologia Mosellana, 7, 609-612.

García-Martin, C. (2000). Reconstitution du régime alimentaire par l’étude des micro-traces d’usure dentaire. Master Européen en Anthropologie, Université Libre de Bruxelles.

Hardin, A. M. & Legge, S. S. (2013). Geographic variation in nonmetric dental traits of the deciduous molars of Pan and Gorilla. International Journal of Primatology, 34, 1000-1019.

Howell, T. L. & Kintigh, K. W. (1996). Archaeological identification of kin groups using mortuary and biological data: an example from the American Southwest. American Antiquity, 61, 537-554.

Irish, J. D. (2006). Who were the ancient Egyptians? Dental affinities among Neolithic through postdynastic peoples. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 22, 529-543.

Jacobi, K. P. (1997). Dental genetic structuring of a colonial Maya cemetery, Tipu, Belize. In S. L. Whittington & D. M. Reed (Eds.), Bones of the Maya: studies of ancient skeletons (pp. 138-153). Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press.

López-Onaindia, D. & Subirà, M. E. (2017). Prehistoric funerary complexity in northern Iberia studied using dental morphology. Homo: Journal of Comparative Human Biology, 68, 122-133.

López-Onaindia, D., Coca, M., Gibaja, J. F. & Subirà, M. E. (2018). Biological differences related to cultural variability during the Neolithic in a micro-geographical area of the Iberian Peninsula. Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences, 10, 1957-1969.

Lukacs, J. R. & Pal, J. N. (2013). Dental morphology of early Holocene foragers of North India: non-metric trait frequencies and biological affinities. Homo: Journal of Comparative Human Biology, 64, 411-436.

McHugh, M. L. (2012). Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. Biochemica Medica 22, 276-282.

Orban, R., Polet, C., Semal, P. & Leguebe, A. (2000). La stature des Néolithiques mosans. Bulletin de l’Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique-Sciences de la Terre, 70, 207-222.

Pilloud, M. A. (2009). Community structure at Neolithic Çatalhöyük: biological distance analysis of household, neighborhood, and settlement. Ph.D. dissertation. The Ohio State University.

Pilloud, M. A. & Larsen, C. S. (2011). “Official” and “practical” kin: inferring social and community structure from dental phenotype at Neolithic Çatalhöyük, Turkey. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 145, 519-530.

Polet, C. (2011). Les squelettes néolithiques découverts dans les grottes du bassin mosan. In N. Cauwe, A. Hauzeur, I. Jadin, C. Polet & B. Vanmontfort (Eds.), 5200-2000 av. J.-C. Premiers Agriculteurs en Belgique (pp. 85-94). Éditions du Cedarc.

Scott, G. R. & Turner, C. G., II (1997). The anthropology of modern human teeth: dental morphology and its variation in recent human populations. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.

Scott, G. R., Turner, C. G., II, Townsend, G. C. & Martinón-Torres, M. (2018). The anthropology of modern human teeth: dental morphology and its variation in recent and fossil Homo sapiens, 2nd ed. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.

Semal, P., García Martin, C., Polet, C. & Richards, M. P. (1999). Considération sur l’alimentation des Néolithiques du Bassin mosan: usures dentaires et analyses isotopiques du collagène osseux. Notae Praehistoricae, 19, 127-135.

Smith, B. H. (1984). Patterns of molar wear in hunter-gatherers and agriculturalists. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 63, 39-56.

Stojanowski, C. M., & Schillaci, M. A. (2006). Phenotypic approaches for understanding patterns of intracemetery biological variation. Yearbook of Physical Anthropology, 49, 49-88.

Subirà, M. E., López-Onaindia, D. & Yll, R. (2014). Cultural changes in funeral rites during the Neolithic in the northeast of the Iberian Peninsula? The Cave of Pantà de Foix (Barcelona). International Journal of Osteoarchaeology, 26, 104-113.

Toussaint, M. (2007). Les sépultures néolithiques du bassin mosan Wallon et leurs relations avec les bassins de la Seine et du Rhin. Archaeologia Mosellana, 7, 507-549.
Toussaint, M., Orban, R., Polet, C., Semal, P., Bocherens, H., Masy, P. & García Martín, C. (2001). Apports récents sur l’anthropologie des Mésolithiques et des Néolithiques mosans. *Anthropologica et Præhistorica*, 112, 91-105.

Toussaint, M., Lacroix, P., Lambermont, S., Lemarie, J.-F., Bruzzese, L. & Beaujean, J.-F. (2003). La sépulture d’enfant néolithique des nouveaux réseaux du Trou du Moulin, à Goyet (Gesves, province de Namur). *Anthropologica et Præhistorica*, 116, 179-210.

Turner, C. G., II, Nichol, C. & Scott, G. R. (1991). Scoring procedures for key morphological traits of the permanent dentition: the Arizona State University dental anthropology system. In M. A. Kelley & C. S. Larsen (Eds.), *Advances in dental anthropology* (pp. 13–31). New York: Wiley-Liss.

Vanderveken, S. (1997). Etude anthropologique des sépultures néolithiques de Maurenne et Hastière (province de Namur). MA thesis, Université Libre de Bruxelles.

Vanderveken, S. (2007). Les ossements humains néolithiques de Maurenne et Hastière (Province de Namur). *Notæ Præhistoricæ*, 17, 177-184.

Waters-Rist, A., Bazaliiskii, V. I., Gorunova, O. I., Weber, A. W. & Katzenberg, M. A. (2016). Evaluating the biological discontinuity hypothesis of Cis-Baikal Early versus Late Neolithic-Early Bronze Age populations using dental nonmetric traits. *Quaternary International*, 405, 122-133.

Williams, F. L., George, R. L. & Polet, C. (2018). Deciduous molar morphology from the Neolithic caves of the Meuse River Basin, Belgium. *Dental Anthropology*, 31, 18-26.