EFFECT OF SPRING GRASS FIRES ON INDOOR AIR QUALITY IN AIR-CONDITIONED OFFICE BUILDING
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Open biomass burning (OBB) is a significant air pollution source, but it is still not clear to what extent OBB events affect indoor air quality [1]. Outdoor and indoor measurements of submicron particulate matter (PM1) were conducted on 25–29 April (2019) in the capital city Vilnius (Lithuania). Fires from neighbouring countries (Belarus, Ukraine and Russia) and in the vicinity of Vilnius broke out during the measurement campaign. The temporal evolution and transport of OBB plume were investigated by combining the air mass backward trajectory analysis and fire satellite observation (MODIS) database. Measurements of the PM1 chemical composition in real-time were performed using an aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM) and an aethalometer. Organic matter was the clearly dominant component, accounting for >70%, in both indoor and outdoor PM1. Despite a significantly lower PM1 pollution level in the office, highly acidic indoor PM1 could have harmful effects on the human health. Source apportionment of particulate carbonaceous matter revealed a significant importance of OBB-related particles (average 56%) to indoor air.
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1. Introduction

Spring grass fires (or open biomass burning – OBB) is a significant air pollution source, with adverse impacts on global, regional and local air quality, public health and climate [2–5]. OBB occurs globally with highly variable biomass types (wood, shrub, grass, vegetation and crop residues) and burning conditions [6]. OBB generally emits a high amount of gaseous compounds (CO₂, CO, NOx, volatile organic compounds – VOCs) and carbonaceous aerosols (e.g. equivalent black carbon (eBC) and organic carbon (OC)) to the atmosphere in a short period of time [7, 8]. However, it was estimated that OBB globally contributed to ~40% of the annual average submicron eBC emission and ~65% of primary OC emission [9]. Quantitative assessment of the contribution of biomass burning to carbonaceous aerosol has focused on the molecular markers, such as levoglucosan, approach [9–11]. Recently, the characterization of chemical composition and potential sources of submicron aerosol particles (PM1) has improved with the advent of aerosol mass spectrometric technique (AMS, aerosol chemical speciation monitor – ACSM). Previous studies demonstrated that the application of factor analysis approach on ACSM derived mass spectra of organic species permits the identification of various organic aerosol (OA) sources and photochemical ageing processes [11, 12]. The source apportionment model based on light absorption properties of carbonaceous materials (aethalometer model) has been widely used to separate combustion (fossil fuel and biomass burning) and non-combustion sources (secondary organic aerosol) of carbonaceous aerosol [13, 14].

Lithuania and surrounding countries are frequently affected by high-pollution events in early
spring due to illegal grass burning for land clearing [15, 16]. Long-term measurements of carbonaceous aerosols revealed the yearly occurrence of intense biomass/grass burning events during March–April related to the regional aerosol transport from the Kaliningrad region, Ukraine and the southwestern part of Russia surrounding the Black Sea [15–18]. Moreover, forest and grassland burning phenomena could significantly influence indoor pollution levels [19].

The quality of indoor air has become a global concern during last decades as people spend most part of the day inside of buildings [20, 21]. Studies indicate that the indoor air quality is influenced by the outdoor air quality mainly due to the building ventilation factor [21, 23]. Modern energy-conserving buildings have improved energy conservation through reducing exchanges between outdoor and indoor air, and synthetic materials and chemical products have been extensively used in these airtight buildings. Therefore, the selection of ventilation regimes and monitoring of indoor sources become important [24, 25]. Numerous studies focused on indoor air quality within residential buildings [23, 26–28]. However, the impact of short-term air pollution events caused by open biomass/grass burning on the office building microenvironment has not been extensively investigated.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of local/regional open biomass burning events on indoor air quality and sources distribution in a mechanically ventilated office building.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Measurement site

Complex measurements were performed on 25–26 April in indoor air and on 26–29 April 2019 in outdoor air. The sampling site was ~370 km northwest from active fire regions. The investigation was carried out at a newly built (2015) low-energy (B class) building of the Center for Physical Sciences and Technology in Vilnius, Lithuania (54°43′24″N, 25°19′36″E). The instruments were placed in the vacant meeting room on the 2nd floor. The door of the meeting room was kept closed to avoid air exchange with other rooms and minimize the impact of indoor PM$_1$ sources. During the outdoor measurement period inlets were positioned outside. The mechanical/forced air ventilation system in this room is equipped with G4/F7 filters. The pre-filter G4 removes particles in the >10 μm size range. The F7 filter removes particles within 0.3–10 μm. The rate of air flow into and out of the meeting room was 320 and 120–320 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ (depending on CO$_2$ amount), respectively. The sampling site is located 6–7 km northeast from the centre of Vilnius. The local traffic is usually an important source of PM$_1$ in Vilnius (25%) [29]. Because of the heating season termination 10 days earlier, during this period the influence of domestic heating was negligible. The biomass burning (BB) source could be related to OBB (e.g. grassland burning, forest and garden waste burning) that routinely shows a significant contribution during spring in Lithuania.

2.2. Instrumentation

Equivalent black carbon (eBC) measurements were performed using an aethalometer (Model AE31 Spectrum, manufactured by Optotek, Slovenia). The optical transmission of carbonaceous aerosol particles was measured sequentially at seven wavelengths $\lambda$ (0.37, 0.45, 0.52, 0.59, 0.66, 0.88 and 0.95 μm) with 2 min intervals and 3.9 l/min flow rate. The aethalometer model [13] was applied in order to attribute eBC mass concentration to biomass burning and fossil fuel combustion (eBC$_{bb}$ and eBC$_{fp}$ respectively). Filter-based optical measurements contain systematic errors due to filter loading, ‘shadowing’, multiple scattering and other effects [30]. Thus, the correction suggested by Weingartner was applied in this study; this method is described in more detail in the original article by the author [31].

During this study, the concentration of organic aerosol (OA) together with secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA) was measured by an aerosol chemical speciation monitor ACSM (Aerodyne Research, Inc., Billerica, MA, USA) which operated with a time resolution of ~30 min. A detailed description of the ACSM operation and data analysis are given in Ref. [17], Ulevicius et al. (2016).

2.3. Models and additional tools

Due to short both indoor and outdoor measurement campaigns, positive matrix factorization
analysis could not be statistically robust. Therefore, an alternative source apportionment was implemented. The analysis consisted of the assessment of different carbonaceous species (CM) and OA mass spectra attribution for each of them.

The aethalometer model was originally developed in order to quantify eBC sources (fossil fuel and biomass burning). However, a few studies adapted an aethalometer model to quantitatively estimate the contribution of particulate carbonaceous matter (eBC and organic mass (OM)) from fossil fuel and biomass burning emissions, using the different light absorption parameters of these sources [32–35]. We applied this approach to apportion the total carbonaceous material (CM) mass concentration to biomass burning (CM_{BB}), fossil fuel (CM_{FF}) and non-absorbing material (CM_{NA}) according to the following equations:

\[ CM = OM + eBC, \]  
\[ CM = CM_{FF} + CM_{BB} + CM_{NA}, \]  
\[ CM = c_1 \cdot b_{absFF} (950 \text{ nm}) + c_2 \cdot b_{absBB} (470 \text{ nm}) + c_3. \]  

In our study, the total CM is the sum of eBC, measured by the aethalometer, and OM measured by ACSM. The CM mass concentration was regressed against \( b_{absFF} \) (950 nm) and \( b_{absBB} \) (470 nm) for the estimation of contribution of transport emissions (CM_{FF}) and biomass burning (CM_{BB}) sources. The coefficients \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \), calculated by Eq. 3, are related to the light absorbing CM mass of both sources. The intercept \( c_3 \) reflects the contribution of non-absorbing carbonaceous materials (CM_{NA}).

In our study, the mass spectra of different CM species were estimated by attributing the time series of OA to the related \( m/z \) signals profiles. Based on bilinear modelling [11], OA can be presented as the product of two matrices. The first one comprises the time series of mass concentration (TS) while the second one is the mass spectrum (MS) or source profiles of individual OA factors

\[ OA_j = \sum_{p=1}^{P} TS_{ip} MS_{pj} + E_j, \]  

Here \( j \) refers to an ion fragment at time step \( i \) for a given factor \( p \). As a result, individual MS of OA for each CM species were obtained. The ME-2 engine tool [36] was used for the calculation.

The mass spectra of outdoor and indoor identified factors have been compared using the \( \theta \) angle analysis introduced by Kostenidou et al. (2009) [37]. The \( \theta \) angle is a measure of similarity between two mass spectra (\( \theta < 15^o \) high similarity; \( 15^o < \theta < 30^o \) partial similarity; \( \theta > 30^o \) different spectra).

Wildfire events were explored using the fire information for the resource management system (FIRMS) which distributes satellite observation from the NASA's moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) and the visible infrared imaging radiometer suite (VIIRS).

Air mass backward trajectories were calculated using the hybrid single-particle Lagrangian integrated trajectory (HYSPLIT4) [38] model with the global data assimilation system (GDAS) meteorological databases at the NOAA Air Resources Laboratory’s web server (NOAA HYSPLIT Trajectory Model. Ready.Noaa.Gov, 2020, https://www.ready.noaa.gov/hypub-bin/trajectories.pl?runtype=archive). To provide a better view of which air masses had influenced the rise of aerosol concentrations, trajectory frequencies were calculated using the NOAA HYSPLIT model, where the 72 h backward trajectory frequency was calculated from a single location (sampling site) at 500 m height every 6 h. The sum of frequency of trajectories passed over a grid cell (1.0° × 1.0°) was normalized by the total number of trajectories [39].

In our study, aerosol pH was evaluated by a thermodynamic equilibrium model ISORROPIA-II [40]. This method calculates the equilibrium partitioning the given total concentration of different species. ISORROPIA-II determines the system of equilibrium equations and solves them for the equilibrium state using the chemical potential method [40]. pH was evaluated using aerosol secondary inorganic chemical components (\( \text{NH}_4^+, \text{NO}_3^-, \text{SO}_4^{2-}, \text{Cl}^- \)) measured by ACSM together with temperature (\( T \)) and relative humidity (RH).

RH, \( T \) and time series of NO\textsubscript{2} were measured at the nearby (2 km away) located monitoring station.
2.4. Meteorological condition during the study period

The average daytime temperature on 25–29 April was about +22°C (positive 1.2–2.9° anomaly). The highest daytime temperature was fixed at +29°C. The average night-time temperature was +10–12°C. The direction of the wind was mainly from east during the whole study period. The wind speed was relatively low and varied between 1 and 7 m s\(^{-1}\). The air pressure ranged from 1040 to 1200 hPa. 2019 April was one of the driest months in the last decade. During the day, most of the time slightly or moderately unstable conditions with a boundary layer depth up to 1.5–2.0 km were dominant. On 25–29 April, in most of Lithuania there was no precipitation at all or the amount of precipitation did not reach 5.0 mm (0.0–0.1 standard precipitation rate – SPR). Due to the abovementioned meteorological conditions, the high fire risk (Level 4) was registered in all Lithuanian municipalities. On 25–29 April 2019, there were 266 (about 125 ha burnt area) fires in the open territory (forest, grass, etc.) in Lithuania. 100 of them (about 52.5 ha) were in the Vilnius District (http://pagd.lrv.lt/lt/parosivykiai/2019-m-1/2019-m-balandis, last visited on 13 April 2021). The following indoor meteorological conditions were fixed: RH = 40% and \(T = 21°C\).

2.5. Fire event

The active fire map created using the FIRMS MODIS database confirms the great abundance of active fire events in the regional area eastwards from Vilnius for the period of 25–29 April (Fig. 1(a)). The highest density of the active fire locations was present in the Kaliningrad Region of Russia that is 300–400 km to east from the measurement station. Meanwhile, another OBB located near the border of Belarus and Ukraine was ~370 km southeast from Vilnius.

Figure 1(b) shows the smoke surface concentration measured on 25 April. It is worth noting that the smoke concentration was enhanced due to large quantities of active fires as the highest concentrations are observed over the regions with denser locations of active fires. Furthermore, Fig. 1(c) presents a backward 72 h trajectory frequency plot where the arrival of air parcels originating aloft over wildfire regions and other regions with a high number of active fire locations is apparent. Thus, the influence of smoke transport for surface-level air quality in Vilnius is evident. On 25 April, air parcels crossed the central part of Ukraine and then southwest of Belarus which demonstrates that the air parcels passed over the OBB region and other active fire locations and later descended to the surface level in the area of Vilnius where enhanced PM levels were recorded. The backward trajectories of air parcels arriving to Vilnius show a very similar path on 25–27 April where the air masses originate aloft presence of wildfires. The mass concentration of particulate matter with a diameter up to 10 \(\mu\)m (PM\(_{10}\)) in the capital city Vilnius (Lithuania) rose up to 90 \(\mu\)g m\(^{-3}\) on 25–27 April. The daily PM\(_{10}\) concentration exceeded the European Union’s...
established limit value (50 $\mu g m^{-3}$) up to 1.6 times (http://oras.gamta.lt/files/Oras_190426v.pdf, last visited on 13 April 2021). Later on 28–29 April, the air mass transport from northeast prevailed. During the following period, the density of active fire was significantly lower, resulting in the decrease of $PM_{10}$ concentration (average 30 $\mu g m^{-3}$). However, this $PM_{10}$ level exceeded the typical non-episodic condition in Vilnius (16.8±8.4 $\mu g m^{-3}$) (average ± standard deviation). Consequently, it can be concluded that air parcels from the southeast region had a significant influence on enhanced pollutant concentrations in Vilnius on 25–29 April.

We used the records of cloud-aerosol lidar and infrared pathfinder satellite observations (CALIPSO) to investigate the sources of aerosol particles and the vertical distribution of the 25 April 2019 pollution episode in Vilnius. The satellite observation from CALIPSO showed (Fig. 2) that aerosol load below 3 km was classified as polluted dust, smoke and polluted continental. These findings indicated that the regional/local biomass burning events were most likely the cause of enhanced pollution levels in Vilnius, Lithuania.

3. Results

3.1. $PM_1$ variation, chemical composition and acidity

The time series of $PM_{10}$ and $PM_1$ chemical composition (organic compounds, sulphate, nitrate, ammonium and black carbon) and their relative contributions are presented in Fig. 3. The average mass concentration of $PM_1$ of outdoor measurements was 43.2±22.3 $\mu g m^{-3}$ while the average $PM_1$ concentration of indoor air reached 8.8±2.7 $\mu g m^{-3}$. The concentration of $PM_1$ (in both outdoor and indoor measurements) was strongly correlating with $PM_{10}$ (measured only outdoors), $r = 0.7$, indicating a substantial contribution of outdoor sources to $PM_1$ levels in office buildings. In order to evaluate the indoor air filtering, the $PM_1$ dataset was compared to the outdoor $PM_{10}$ measurements. For the outdoor dataset, $PM_1$ showed a significant contribution to $PM_{10}$ (58±23%) while the indoor $PM_1$ was 26±6% of the outdoor $PM_{10}$. Thus, the air filtering system of the building removed approximately up to 55% of $PM_1$.

The outdoor $PM_1$ mass concentration was dominated by organic compounds (37.9±19.2 $\mu g m^{-3}$), followed by $SO_4^{2-}$ (2.2±0.5 $\mu g m^{-3}$), $NO_3^-$ (2.1±1.3 $\mu g m^{-3}$), eBC (0.9±0.6 $\mu g m^{-3}$) and $NH_4^+$ (0.8±0.3 $\mu g m^{-3}$) (Fig. 3(a)). During the intense OBB event (25–26 April), a significant enhancement of organic compounds (up to 67.7 $\mu g m^{-3}$), $NO_3^-$ (4.1 $\mu g m^{-3}$) and eBC (3.1 $\mu g m^{-3}$) mass concentration was registered. The average mass contribution of $PM_1$ species during the OBB event was organic aerosol (87%), $NO_3^-$ (5%), $SO_4^{2-}$ (5%), $NH_4^+$ (2%) and eBC (2%) (Fig. 3(b)).

The average mass concentrations of $PM_1$ chemical components in office air were significantly lower (7.0±2.2 $\mu g m^{-3}$ for organics, 1.1±0.3 $\mu g m^{-3}$ for sulphate, 0.3±0.2 $\mu g m^{-3}$ nitrate, 0.3±0.1 $\mu g m^{-3}$ ammonium and 0.2±0.1 $\mu g m^{-3}$ eBC). However, the chemical

Fig. 2. CALIPSO-derived vertical profile of aerosol subtypes over Vilnius on 25 April 2019.
composition of PM$_1$ was similar in both outdoor and indoor environments (Fig. 3). The highest difference in the PM$_1$ composition between outdoor and indoor measurements was observed for SO$_4^{2-}$ contribution (5 and 13%, respectively).

Such enhancement in the contribution of sulfate in the office building resulted in changes of PM$_1$ acidity. As seen in Fig. 4, the average aerosol pH of indoor aerosol was significantly lower than the outdoor one. In our study, in both outdoor and indoor environments, aerosol pH negatively correlated with SO$_4^{2-}$ ($r = -0.63$ and $r = -0.62$, respectively). This is in agreement with previous studies [41, 42], which have shown that under low aerosol liquid water content conditions the increase in SO$_4^{2-}$ levels was followed by decreased aerosol pH. Furthermore, the same studies showed that SO$_4^{2-}$ had a greater effect on aerosol pH than NO$_3^-$. Meantime in our study, only in the outdoor measurements a possible link between aerosol pH and NO$_3^-$ was observed ($r = 0.82$) while no such correlation was observed in the indoor measurements.

A similar pattern was observed between the aerosol pH and NH$_4^+$ concentration. Chen et al. (2019) [41] concluded that increased NH$_4^+$ resulted in a slight increase of aerosol pH. In agreement with the latter, in our study of outdoor measurements, the closest to neutral aerosol pH (pH 4.0–4.8) was observed with the highest NH$_4^+$ concentrations. The same link was not observed for the indoor dataset (Fig. 4(b)).

Differences between indoor and outdoor aerosol pH could be caused by several factors. First of all, while outside the building the $T$ and RH were on the average 16.8±4.2°C and 44±9%, respectively, the indoor air conditions were set to be constant with higher $T$ (21°C) and significantly lower RH (25%). Ding et al. (2019) [42] showed that there was a significant decrease in pH with increased $T$, while

Fig. 3. Time series of PM$_1$ species (a) and their contributions to total PM$_1$ (b) for outdoor and indoor measurements. Time series of PM$_{10}$ (a) was measured only outdoor.

Fig. 4. Aerosol pH versus SO$_4^{2-}$ mass concentration for outdoor (a) and indoor (b) measurements. Colour (online) plotting by NH$_4^+$ mass concentration.
the effect due to RH varied over different seasons. Meantime, the modelling results [41] revealed that warmer and dryer atmosphere led to lower aerosol pH because partitioning of ammonia to the condensed phase is less favoured. In addition, other parameters such as size distribution and aerosol liquid water content could play a significant role in aerosol pH alterations [12]. Guo et al. (2015) [43] underlined that low pH could affect the solubility of metals such as Fe and Cu which might increase the toxicity of redox metals. Furthermore, a recent study [41] underlined that pH ranging from 0 to 3 could be called 'sensitive window' where nitrate related gas-to-particle partitioning is sensitive to pH alterations. Thus, low levels of indoor aerosol pH could cause differences in particle formation processes and cause alterations in other parameters, such as toxicity. Despite the significantly lower PM$_{1}$ and concentrations of its chemical components in the office, highly acidic indoor PM$_{1}$ could have harmful effects on the human health. Therefore, additional study of various parameters of indoor air is needed.

3.2. Ageing properties of organic aerosol

Organic compounds were the most important constituents of PM$_{1}$, with a fraction of ~80% during the investigated period. The triangle plot [12] was used as a tool to explore possible sources and to assess OA atmospheric ageing properties. The triangle plot consists of $f_{43}$ (intensity of m/z 43 normalized to OA) and $f_{44}$ (intensity of m/z 44 normalized to OA) space with additional lines for the visualization (Fig. 5). Since photochemical ageing leads to the increase in m/z 44 fraction, the $f_{44}$ axis can be considered as a proxy of ageing parameterization. Several studies showed that different positions in the triangle can be connected to specific OA sources [12, 44, 45]. The triangle space with $f_{43}$ vs $f_{44}$ range 0.03–0.07 and 0.10–0.14, respectively, could be related to long-range transport (LRT) biomass burning OA [45]. The area with the same $f_{44}$ range but lower $f_{44}$ values was assigned to local and fresh biomass burning OA while the area with higher $f_{44}$ was linked to aged low-volatile OA [45]. In our study, most of outdoor data points were located at the area assigned to LRT biomass burning OA proving the previous hypothesis of a strong influence of OBB events. Several points were lower indicating the fresh biomass burning related emissions. 92% of the outdoor data points had $f_{60}$ (intensity of m/z 60 normalized to OA) above the background level (no significant presence of the main signal of biomass burning tracer levoglucosan) of 0.003 [46], indicating that a great part of OA in Vilnius can be related to OBB emissions (OA-OBB). The average outdoor $f_{60}$ values of OA-OBB were 0.005±0.001, additionally supporting that OA related to biomass burning from distance sources (LRT OA-OBB) exceeded those of local (fresh) biomass burning emissions.

Particles with even more advanced ageing processes were observed in the indoor measurements ($f_{44}$ up to 0.25) (Fig. 5). Only 26% of indoor OA had $f_{60}$ above 0.003 and could be attributed to biomass burning related OA (OA-BB). The mean indoor $f_{60}$ values (0.002±0.001) of OA-BB were close to

Fig. 5. Triangle plot of $f_{43}$ versus $f_{44}$ coloured (online) by $f_{60}$ for outdoor (a) and indoor (b) measurements.
the background level. These could be explained by the dilution effect when the OBB plume is mixed with air masses containing organic aerosol particles of other origin. On the other hand, the gradual decay of $f_{60}$ could return $f_{60}$ to background values [47]. Recent studies highlighted that aged OA-BB had a weak signal of $m/z$ 60, suggesting that $f_{60}$ is not an effective tracer of aged OA-BB [44, 48].

3.3. Apportionment of carbonaceous aerosol sources

The apportionment of eBC sources based on the aerthalameter model showed that the eBC$_{BB}$ contribution to the eBC mass concentration was dominant for both outdoor and indoor measurements (68 and 67%, respectively) (Fig. 6). eBC$_{FF}$ made up only 32–33% of eBC mass during the investigated period. The time series of eBC$_{BB}$ correlated best with $f_{60}$ ($r = 0.66$). Furthermore, the intensity of signal $f_{60}$ was higher than 0.003. Therefore, increased outdoor levels of eBC$_{BB}$ were associated with OBB events. Meanwhile, eBC$_{FF}$ showed a moderate correlation with NO$_2$ in the gas phase ($r = 0.54$) strengthening the hypothesis of possible origin from fossil fuel combustion. In addition, the diurnal plot showed that the highest values of eBC$_{FF}$ were reached during morning rush hours (from 7 to 10 am). Thus, these observations allowed to link eBC$_{FF}$ to the traffic related emissions (Fig. 6). The previous study of eBC in Vilnius during the warm season under normal conditions showed a dominant influence of eBC$_{FF}$ (92%) [19]. That finding suggested that the PM$_1$ chemical composition on 25–29 May 2019 was clearly unusual for Vilnius and was related with the OBB event. In our study, the contribution of eBC$_{FF}$ and eBC$_{BB}$ to the total eBC mass concentration remained unchanged in outdoor and indoor environments. Thus, both eBC$_{FF}$ and eBC$_{BB}$ were filtered in the indoor air with the same efficiency and therefore a high influence of OBB related eBC$_{BB}$ remained significant.

In the case of CM, biomass burning (CM$_{BB}$) and non-absorbing carbonaceous materials (CM$_{NA}$) were found to account, respectively, for 87 and 13% of the total CM during the outdoor measurements. The average relative contribution

![Fig. 6. Time series of the eBC$_{BB}$ and eBC$_{FF}$ mass concentrations (a) and the diurnal cycles (b) of eBC$_{BB}$, eBC$_{FF}$, $f_{60}$ and NO$_2$ during the OBB event.](image-url)
of indoor CM$_{BB}$, CM$_{FF}$ and CM$_{NA}$ was 56, 6 and 38%, respectively. The results of the model revealed a significant contribution of absorbing organic matter or brown carbon (BrC) to the CM mass concentration.

During the measurement campaign CM$_{FF}$ contribution to the total CM was the lowest (0% in outdoor and 6% (0.47±0.29 µg m$^{-3}$) in indoor air). Due to low concentrations of CM$_{FF}$ and no meaningful or robust aerosol mass spectra were attributed to this aerosol. The time series of CM$_{BB}$ correlated well with eBC$_{BB}$ ($r = 0.85$) and $f_{60}$ ($r = 0.71$), suggesting that the CM source apportionment method is valid for our study and is an appropriate method for characterizing BB emissions. Furthermore, the CM$_{BB}$ mass spectrum showed characteristics of both OA-BB (m/z 60) and oxygenated OA (m/z 18 and 44) (Fig. 7). This factor represents aged OA-BB or a mixture of primary OA-BB and secondary OA from other sources. It is possible that the traffic derived secondary OA can partially contribute to CM$_{BB}$.

![Fig. 7. Time series of each CM component obtained using an advanced aethalometer model (a) and its mass spectra for outdoor and indoor measurements (b).](image-url)
However, the indoor data showed a negligible signal of $f_{60}$ in the CM$_{BB}$ profile (0.002±0.001) (Fig. 7). Moreover, a poor correlation between $f_{60}$ and CM$_{BB}$ was observed in the indoor samples, likely due to the photochemical degradation of levoglucosan [50]. Recent studies [44, 49] demonstrate that the mass spectral fingerprint of levoglucosan ($f_{60}$) cannot be used as BB tracer in aged BB emissions (>1 day). These findings suggest that the method of CM source apportionment based on optical properties is indeed a good BB indicator. Hence, the advanced aethalometer model was useful for the CM source apportionment of aged BB aerosol.

The CM$_{NA}$ component, represented by the highest contribution of $f_{44}$ (18 and 25% in outdoor and indoor air, respectively), was attributed to the processed OA. This is associated with the chemical ageing of OA during long-range transported OA.

The theta angle analysis showed that outdoor and indoor CM$_{BB}$ mass spectra were slightly different ($\theta = 16^\circ$). This is consistent with the chemical ageing of OA-OBB indoors. The CM$_{NA}$ mass spectra in outdoor and indoor air were quite similar ($\theta = 11^\circ$). Many similarities of the outdoor and indoor spectra of both CM$_{BB}$ and CM$_{NA}$ profiles indicated that carbonaceous particles originating outdoors were an important contributor to the indoor CM mass concentration.

These results demonstrate that even if the indoor air had lower CM levels, the influence of outdoor air was evident and therefore a significant importance of OBB related particles to indoor air was observed.

4. Conclusions

During the measurement campaign (25–29 April 2019), open biomass burning OBBS occurred in the vicinity of Vilnius (Lithuania) and surrounding areas. The analysis of air mass backward trajectories and the results of satellite fire observation showed that the elevated concentration of PM$_1$ in Vilnius was related to large quantities of active fires in Belarus and Ukraine. During the whole measurement campaign, PM$_1$ mass concentration was dominated by organic aerosol OA in both outdoor and indoor environments the mass concentration of which on the average decreased from 37.9 to 7.0 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively.

The pH of PM$_1$ ranged between 2–4 and 1.7–2.5 outdoor and indoor, respectively. Lower pH was detected in PM$_1$, with a higher load of SO$_4^{2-}$. The aerosol pH analysis showed that due to specific meteorological conditions (low RH, 25%, and high $T$, 21°C) of the office air, pH levels were significantly lower and therefore could have led to changes in several PM processes and properties, including particle composition, reactivity, gas-particle partitioning and toxicity. Our observations revealed that the air filtering system of the building removed approximately up to 55% of PM$_1$.

The variation of $f_{44}$, $f_{43}$ and $f_{60}$ parameters allows us to discriminate BB from other sources and examine the evolution of biomass burning organic aerosol OA-BB. At the beginning of the OBB episode, long-range transported BB emissions contributed significantly to OA. On 27–29 April, organic aerosol was characterized by high $f_{44}$ and low $f_{60}$ values, due to the oxidation processes and ageing of BB plume. Due to the OBB event, biomass burning derived carbonaceous aerosol was dominant for both outdoor (eBC$_{BB} = 68\%$, CM$_{BB} = 87\%$) and indoor (eBC$_{BB} = 67\%$, CM$_{BB} = 56\%$) measurements. It can be concluded that the OBB event had a strong influence on the indoor air quality. Yet, additional studies of simultaneous indoor and outdoor air quality over OBB events are required before firm conclusions could be drawn.
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Pavasarį deginama žolė tampa oro taršos šaltiniu, tačiau deginimo įtaka pastatų oro kokybei nėra iki galo ištirta. 1 μm skersmens kietųjų dalelių (KD 1) masės koncentracija buvo matuojama biuro Vilniuje patalpoje ir pastato išorėje (lauke) atitinkamai balandžio 25–26 ir 26–29 dienomis. Šiomis dienomis dėl žolės deginimo buvo kilę gaisrai kaimyninėse šalyse (Baltarusijoje, Ukrainoje ir Rusijoje), taip pat Vilniaus miesto prieigose esančiose vietovėse. Su gaisrais siejami išmetimai į aplinką buvo tiriami naudojant atgalinių oro masių traektorių (HYSPLIT) ir gaisrų žemėlapio (MODIS) metodus. KD 1 cheminė sudėtis buvo išmatuota aerozolio cheminės sudėties monitoriumi (ACSM) ir aetalometru. Organinės aerozolio dalelės fiksuotos tiek biure, tiek ir lauke (>70 % viso KD 1). Biure oro filtravimo sistema sušalė iki 55 % KD 1, frakcijos masės koncentracijos Nors KD, masės koncentracijos biure buvo mažesnės, tačiau nustatytos žemesnio pH aerozolio dalelės galėjo turėti neigiamą poveikį žmogaus sveikatai. Anglies turinčių aerozolio dalelių šaltinių analizė parodė, kad vidutiniškai 56 % šių dalelių masės koncentracijos biure galimai susidarė dėl deginamos žolės.