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In this article, I review recent measurements of the production of the top quark in \( p\bar{p} \) collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of \( \sqrt{s} = 1.96 \) TeV in Run II of the Fermilab Tevatron Collider, recorded by the CDF and D0 Collaborations. I will present the Tevatron combination of measurements of the \( \bar{t}t \) production cross section and its differential measurement, the first evidence for and observation of the production of single top quarks in the \( s \)-channel, as well as the final Tevatron combination of the production of single top quarks the \( s \)- and \( t \)-channels. Furthermore, I will review the measurements of the forward-backward asymmetry in \( \bar{t}t \) events, which can be experimentally uniquely accessed in the \( CP \)-invariant \( p\bar{p} \) initial state at the Tevatron, and conclude with the measurements of this asymmetry in the \( b\bar{b} \) system.

The pair-production of the top quark was discovered in 1995 by the CDF and D0 experiments\(^1\) at the Fermilab Tevatron proton-antiproton collider. Observation of the electroweak production of single top quarks was presented only six years ago\(^2\). The large top quark mass\(^3\) indicates that the top quark could play a crucial role in electroweak symmetry breaking. Precise measurements of the production of the top quark and of its properties provide a crucial test of the consistency of the SM and could hint at physics beyond the standard model (BSM). This article discusses recent precision measurements of the top production at the Tevatron, while recent measurements of the intrinsic properties of the top quark, including the world’s most precise single measurement of the top quark mass \( m_t \)\(^6\), are covered in Ref.\(^7\). All Tevatron results in the top sector can be found in Refs.\(^4\),\(^5\). The corresponding measurements by the LHC experiments are discussed in Refs.\(^8\).

Top quarks are mostly produced in pairs via the strong interaction. At the Tevatron, the production process \( q\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{t}t \) dominates with a contribution of \( \approx 85\% \) over the \( gg \rightarrow \bar{t}t \) reaction, while at the LHC their respective fractions are approximately reversed. Another striking difference between the two colliders is that the \( s \)-channel to the total production cross section of single top quarks \( \sigma_s \) is \( \approx 30\% \) at the Tevatron, making it experimentally easier to access than at the LHC, where it contributes only \( \approx 5\% \). In the SM, the top quark decays to a \( W \) boson and a \( b \) quark nearly 100\% of the time. Thus, \( \bar{t}t \) events decay to a \( W^+W^-\bar{b}b \) final state, which is further classified according to the \( W \) boson decays into “dileptonic”, “\( \ell +\)jets”, or “all–jets” channels. Accordingly, single top production in the \( s \)-channel proceeds dominantly through \( q\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{t}b \), while the \( gg \rightarrow q'tb \) process dominates in the \( t \)-channel.

1 Production of \( t\bar{t} \) pairs

The CDF and D0 Collaborations combined their most precise measurements of the \( t\bar{t} \) production cross section \( \sigma_{t\bar{t}} \) in Run II of the Tevatron\(^9\), summarised in Fig. 1 (a). The combination is
performed using the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) technique, considering all sources of systematic uncertainties and their correlations. The combined value of $\sigma_{\bar{t}t}$ is $7.60 \pm 0.41$ pb in good agreement with the SM prediction of $7.35^{+0.28}_{-0.33}$ pb, which is calculated at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) with next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic (NNLL) corrections.

D0 recently measured $\sigma_{\bar{t}t}$ differentially in various kinematic distributions in the $\ell+$jets channel using 9.7 fb$^{-1}$ of data. After subtraction of backgrounds, the distributions are corrected for detector effects through a regularised matrix unfolding. A representative selection of results is shown in Fig. 1 (b) for $d\sigma_{\bar{t}t}/d\eta$ and in (c) for $d\sigma_{\bar{t}t}/dp_T^{top}$. The SM MC simulations considered are able to describe the differential dependence up to an overall normalisation factor.

## 2 Production of single top quarks

The production of single top quarks in the $s$-channel is difficult to observe at the LHC given its small cross section of $\sigma_{s-ch.} = 5.5 \pm 0.2$ pb. The experimental situation is less challenging at the Tevatron given that $\sigma_{s-ch.} = 1.05 \pm 0.06$ pb contributes about 1/3 of the total $\sigma_{t}$.

The first evidence for single top production was provided by D0 in the $\ell+$jets channel using 9.7 fb$^{-1}$ of data. The sensitivity of the analysis was enhanced by categorising events into the Tevatron given that $\sigma_{s-ch.} = 1.05 \pm 0.06$ pb contributes about 1/3 of the total $\sigma_{t}$.

The first evidence for single top production was provided by D0 in the $\ell+$jets channel using 9.7 fb$^{-1}$ of data. The sensitivity of the analysis was enhanced by categorising events into detector effects through a regularised matrix unfolding. A representative selection of results is shown in Fig. 1 (b) for $d\sigma_{\bar{t}t}/d\eta$ and in (c) for $d\sigma_{\bar{t}t}/dp_T^{top}$. The SM MC simulations considered are able to describe the differential dependence up to an overall normalisation factor.
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data. They combined the analyses in two channels, ℓ+jets and E_{T}+jets (where the lepton is missed), both using a discriminant optimised to the s-channel only. By combining these three measurements, CDF and D0 discovered single top production in the s-channel with an observed (expected) significance of 6.3 (5.1) SDs.

CDF and D0 performed the final combination of their best measurements in the s- and t channels by constructing a joint discriminant and considering all uncertainties and their correlations. The simultaneously extracted σ_{s-ch} = 1.29 ± 0.25 pb and σ_{t-ch} = 2.25 ± 0.30 pb, shown in Fig. 2 (a), agree with the SM expectation and, combined, can exclude a number of BSM scenarios. The overview of single top measurements at the Tevatron is given in Fig. 2 (b).

3 Forward-backward asymmetry in t̅t events

In the SM, the pair production of top quarks in p̅p collisions exhibits a forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB}^{t̅t} ≡ \frac{N_{y>0} - N_{y<0}}{N_{y>0} + N_{y<0}} of ≈ 10% at NNLO with NNLL corrections in the t̅t rest frame, where ∆y ≡ y_{t} − y_{t̅} and y_{t} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E_{t} + p_{z,t}}{E_{t} - p_{z,t}} is the rapidity of the t quark.

D0 measured A_{FB}^{t̅t} = 10.6 ± 3.0% in the ℓ+jets channel using events with at least one b-tagged jet in 9.7 fb^{-1} of data, as shown in Fig. 3 (a). The kinematic fitter used for the reconstruction was extended to cover events with only three jets. A similar measurement was performed by CDF in the ℓ+jets channel using 9.4 fb^{-1} of data. Their result of A_{FB}^{t̅t} = 16.4 ± 4.7% is ≈ 1.5 SD away from the SM expectation. Both Collaborations also investigated the dependence of A_{FB}^{t̅t} on the invariant mass of the t̅t system m_{t̅t} which is compared to SM expectation in Fig. 3 (b), and on |∆y|.

The lepton-based asymmetry A_{FB}^{ℓ} ≡ \frac{N_{qη>0} - N_{qη<0}}{N_{qη>0} + N_{qη<0}} is sensitive to A_{FB}^{t̅t} through the charge-sign pseudorapidity qη of charged leptons in t → ℓνb decays. CDF measured A_{FB}^{ℓ} = 7.2 ± 6.0% in the dilepton channel using 9.1 fb^{-1} of data, as shown in Fig. 3 (c), while D0 found A_{FB}^{ℓ} = 4.4 ± 3.9% using 9.7 fb^{-1}. Both results are consistent with SM expectation.

D0 applied the matrix element method, which calculates the probability of each event to come from t̅t production as a function of ∆y, to reconstruct A_{FB}^{t̅t} in kinematically underconstrained dilepton events, and found A_{FB}^{t̅t} = 18.0 ± 8.6%. The distribution in ∆y is shown in Fig. 4 (a).

An overview of all measurements of the forward-backward asymmetry is given in Fig. 4 (b). Both Collaborations have investigated A_{FB} in the b̅b system at high M_{b̅b}, where q̅q → b̅b dominates. These results are discussed in detail in Ref. 26.
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Figure 4 – (a) The distribution in $\Delta y$ in data compared to simulations after reconstruction with the ME method and before calibration in the dilepton channel using 9.7 fb$^{-1}$ of D0 data. (b) The overview of the measurements of $A_{FB}^{L}$, $A_{FB}^{T}$, and $A_{FB}^{LL}$ (not discussed here) by CDF and D0, compared to theory predictions.
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