HEMORRHAGIC PROGRESSION OF CONTUSION IN PATIENTS WITH MILD TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY ON THE ROUTINE REPEAT HEAD COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY
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Abstract
Computed tomography (CT) scan is a standard for the diagnosis of intracranial pathology after traumatic brain injury (TBI). Hemorrhagic progression of contusion (HPC) is frequently seen on repeat CT, but its clinical and radiological significance in case of mild TBI is not well define.

The aim of the study: to evaluate the result of routine repeat head CT in patients with mild TBI and brain contusions.

Materials and methods: retrospective analysis of management of patients with mild TBI (Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score – 13 to 15) and cerebral contusion. All patients were treated at the Kyiv City Clinical Emergency Hospital between 2016 and 2017.

Results: within 202 patients with mild TBI, 87 (43.1 %) met the inclusion criteria and were selected for detailed analysis. There were 69 (79.3 %) men and 18 (20.7 %) women. The mean age of the patients was 43.8±12.7 years (17–82 years). The average time between trauma and CT was 3.3 hours. The average volume of contusion on the initial CT was 1.9±0.6 cm³ (0.2–9.6 cm³). The average time of routine CT was 6.8 hours (range 4–24 hours) after the initial scans. HPC was found in 24 (30.7 %) of 87 cases. The average volume of brain contusion on the repeat CT was 2.3±0.5 cm³ (0.2–17.1 cm³). In 3 (3.4 %) patients the size of the hematoma increased. Clinical deterioration occurred in 10 (11.5 %) patients. Six (6.9 %) patients were operated after a CT scan due to HPC with midline shift in 4 cases and increasing of subdural hematoma – 2 cases. Four of these patients had clinical deterioration, and 2 patients were neurological stable. Patients with HPC at admission had lower points of GCS, fractures of the skull (both p<0.001), subdural blood collection (p=0.002), a higher average duration of treatment 8.1±4.2 vs 14.3±5.2 days (p=0.0001), and mortality rate 0 vs. 3 (12.5 %) (p=0.02).

Conclusions: Routine repeat CT in patients with mild TBI with brain contusions is aimed to find a patients with s high risk for clinical deterioration. One third of patients with mild TBI and brain contusion experienced HPC. Patients with HPC often have unfavorable clinical course with higher average duration of treatment, delay surgical treatment and mortality rate.
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1. Introduction
A significant improvement in survival rate from 1970 to 1990 among patients with severe TBI was the result of introduction of CT and intracranial pressure monitoring and strict guidelines of treatment [1]. Neuroimaging is one of the most important stage in the treatment of patients with TBI. In recent decades, the number of CT scans has increased hundreds of times, with about half of them being head CT scans [2]. Indications for primary head CT in patients with trauma are clearly defined, but routine repeat head CT in medically managed patients with head injury is still controversial [3]. Studies have shown that normal neurologic status eliminates the necessity for routine repeat CT scan [4, 5]. And repeat imaging is recommended only in case of neurological decline [6, 7]. In a prospective single-center study, more that 2/3 of the patients with mild brain contusions had
unremarkable neurological examination during the repeat CT scan, and none of these patients had further neurological deterioration and did not require neurosurgical intervention [8].

The data has shown a very low level of neurosurgical interventions in patients without signs of neurological deterioration and indicates that there is no need for repeated CT scans for patients returning to normal state of consciousness after head trauma [4, 5]. It can reduce the number of repeat studies in the population of patients with mild TBI, which has the potential to reduce costs of the treatment [9, 10]. Brain contusion is a frequent finding in patients with TBI and has unpredictable course in case of its enlargement, which is known as HPC [11, 12] and often is the cause of clinical deterioration [13, 14]. Clinical deterioration brings huge negative impact on TBI course after the initial trauma, when patients are in the hospital [11]. Health care providers often want to lower the risk of deterioration with routine repeat CT, however this strategy should be carefully evaluated.

**The aim of the study:** to evaluate the result of routine repeat head CT in patients with mild TBI and brain contusions.

2. Materials and methods.

We performed a retrospective study on mild head-injured patients with brain contusion who underwent CT scans at admission and routine repeat CT during the hospital stay.

We found 202 patients with mild TBI and brain contusion, who were hospitalized in neurosurgical units of the Kyiv City Clinical Hospital from March 2016 to September 2017.

Inclusion criteria were patients with mild TBI (Glasgow come scale (13-15 score at admission), brain contusion on CT scan, at least one control CT scan during the treatment.

Exclusion criteria were immediate neurosurgical procedure after a primary CT scan, associated severe injury, coagulopathy (coagulation panel abnormality or history of anticoagulation or anti-platelet therapy), pregnancy, psychiatric or neurological disorders, incomplete medical records.

The study was based on the ethical principles of scientific research with the inclusion of people (Helsinki Declaration). The design of the study was approved by the Ethics Commission of P. L. Shupyk National medical academy of postgraduate education (protocol No. 15, 03.11.2016).

**Radiological evaluation.**

Primary CT was performed immediately after hospitalization, in patients with GCS – 13–14 on initial assessment in the emergency department, any sign of neurological deficit or basal skull fracture, anterograde amnesia, post-traumatic seizure, severe headache, vomiting, intoxication.

Control CT was performed during the first 24 hours depending on the neurological state and volume of contusion. The volume of contusion was calculated using the formula: \( V = \frac{ABC}{2} \) (cm³), where A, B, C were the maximum diameters of the contusion in three projections. In the presence of multiple contusions, the size of each was determined individually and their volumes were combined.

The images were stored in DICOM (Medicine) digital format and analyzed on a personal computer. OsiriX software (OsiriX Lite, Pixmeeo, Switzerland) was used for image processing and analyzed.

We evaluated the following parameters:

1. The appearance of HPC, after contusion enlargement ≥30 % of the initial size, or the appearance of a new contusion removed from the site of the primary damage on the repeat CT [10]. (Fig. 1), presents of acute epi-subdural haematomas, that do not require evacuation, cranial or skull base fracture.

2. Clinical deterioration (worsening of neurological state (lowering of GCS more that 2 points, appearance of the new neurological deficits).

3. Discharge outcome according to Glasgow outcome scale.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed for all participants who were enrolled in the study. All statistical analyses were conducted using two-tailed tests at the 5 % significance level. The Mann-Whitney test for quantitative measures and the Fisher chi-square test for qualitative parameters were used. All analyses were conducted using Stata 12.
Fig. 1. Head CT scan of 82 years-old women after minor trauma, at the time of admission GCS – 14 points (A, B, C), revealed left temporal lobe contusion (A, Arrow), tSAH (B, C). Repeat CT scan (D,E,F) after clinical deterioration with altered mental status revealed increasing density of the left temporal contusion (Arrow, D), new left counter-coup occipital contusion (Arrow, E), and subdural CSF collection (Arrow, F).

3. Results

Two hundred two patients met the inclusion criteria, 115 (56.9%) patients were excluded because of coagulopathy, pre-existing neurological conditions, urgent neurosurgical interventions after initial CT, and incomplete medical records and repeat neuroimaging data. Overall, 87 (43.1%) patients were included for statistical analysis. There were 69 (79.3%) men and 18 (20.7%) women. The mean age of the patients was 43.8±12.7 years (17–82 years). The average time between trauma and CT was 3.3 hours. Clinical and radiological findings in Table 1.

A second repeat CT scan was performed an average of 6.8 hours (range 4–24 hours) after the initial scans. HPC was found in every third, 24 (30.7%) of 87 cases (Table 2). The average volume of brain contusion on the repeat CT was 2.3±0.5 cm3 (0.2–17.1 cm3). In 3 (3.4%) patients the size of the hematoma increased. Clinical worsening, with a decrease in the level of consciousness of more than 2 points for GCS was detected in 10 (11.5%) patients. 8 of them worsening during first 6 hours after admission and underwent urgent CT scan. Two patients worsening on day 4 and 8 respectively after admission without any radiological evidence of contusion or hematoma enlargement on the routine CT repeat. They were medical treated without indication for surgery, however they showed evidence of delay contusion enlargement and brain edema.

Patients with HPC at admission had lower points of GCS, fractures of the skull (both p<0.001), subdural blood collection (p=0.002), a higher average duration of treatment 8.1±4.2 vs 14.3±5.2 days (p<0.0001) and mortality rate 0 vs. 3 (12.5%) (p=0.02) (Table 2).

Six patients were operated after a CT scan due to an increasing of the contusion size with brain herniation in 4 cases and increasing of subdural hematoma – 2 cases. Four of these patients had clinical deterioration (Fig. 2), and 2 (2.3%) patients were neurological stable since hospitalization and the decision about the surgery was made after repeat neuroimaging.

Three patients died, all of them had hemorrhagic progression of contusion, two patients died after surgery and one non-operated 78 years-old patient with associated cardiac pathology.
Table 1
General parameters of the study group

| Parameter                        | Number of the patients (%) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Number of patients               | 87                         |
| Age (mean)                       | 17–82 (43.8±12.7)          |
| Sex                              |                            |
| Male                             | 69 (79.3 %)                |
| Female                           | 18 (20.7 %)                |
| Type of TBI                      |                            |
| Road traffic accident            | 39 (44.8 %)                |
| Fall                             | 29 (33.3 %)                |
| Violence                         | 19 (21.9 %)                |
| GCS score                        |                            |
| 15 points                        | 41(47.1 %)                 |
| 14 points                        | 24 (27.6 %)                |
| 13 points                        | 22 (25.3 %)                |
| CT findings                      |                            |
| brain contusion                  | 61(70.1 %)                 |
| tSAH                             | 57 (65.5 %)                |
| cranial fracture                 | 23 (26.4 %)                |
| Subdural blood collection        | 8 (9.2 %)                  |
| Epidural blood collection        | 4 (4.6 %)                  |
| Repeat CT                        |                            |
| Haemorrhagic contusion progression| 24 (30.7 %)                |
| Haematoma enlargement            | 3 (3.4 %)                  |
| Surgical treatment               | 6 (8.0 %)                  |
| Discharge outcome                |                            |
| Glasgow outcome scale (point)    |                            |
| 5                                | 74 (85.1 %)                |
| 4                                | 7 (8.0 %)                  |
| 3                                | 2 (2.3 %)                  |
| 2                                | 1 (1.5 %)                  |
| 1                                | 3 (3.4 %)                  |
| Hospital stay (days)             | 11±4                       |
Table 2
Clinical and radiological findings in patients with and without HPC.

| Parameters                                    | Without progression (n=63) | Hemorrhagic progression of contusion (n=24) | P (χ²) | OR (95 %CI) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------|-------------|
| Mean age (years M±SD)                         | 43.4±13.1                  | 45.0±12.2                                  | 0.602  |             |
| Sex, n (%)                                    |                            |                                            |        |             |
| male                                          | 50 (79.3 %)                | 19 (79.1 %)                                | 0.984  |             |
| GSC, n (%)                                    |                            |                                            |        |             |
| 15 points                                     | 33 (52.4 %)                | 8 (33.3 %)                                 |        |             |
| 14 points                                     | 17 (26.9 %)                | 7(29.2 %)                                  | 0.190  |             |
| 13 points                                     | 13 (20.7)                  | 9 (37.5 %)                                 |        |             |
| CT findings, n (%)                            |                            |                                            |        |             |
| brain contusion                               | 45(71.4 %)                 | 16 (66.7 %)                                | 0.665  |             |
| tSAH                                          | 42 (66.7 %)                | 15 (62.5 %)                                | 0.715  | 0.8 (0.3–2.5) |
| skull fracture                                 | 10 (15.9 %)                | 13 (54.2 %)                                | <0.0001* | 6.3 (1.9–20.3) |
| subdural blood collection                     | 2 (3.2 %)                  | 6 (25.0 %)                                 | 0.002* | 10.2 (1.6–107.7) |
| epidural blood collection                     | 2 (3.2 %)                  | 2 (8.3 %)                                  | 0.304  | 2.8 (0.2–39.8) |
| Clinical deterioration, n (%)                 | 3 (4.8 %)                  | 7 (29.2 %)                                 | 0.001* | 8.2 (1.6–53.0) |
| Delay surgery, n (%)                          | 2 (3.2 %)                  | 4 (16.7 %)                                 | 0.026* | 6.1 (1.04–35.8) |
| Mean hospital stay (days) (M±SD)              | 8±4                        | 14±5                                       | <0.0001* |             |
| Mortality, n (%)                              | 0                          | 3 (12.5 %)                                 | 0.02*  |             |

Note: (P) – estimate of the significance of the difference between groups (* – p<0.05). For the indicators (M±SD) – the Mann-Whitney test; for n (%) the test (χ²) (Fisher). OR (95 %CI) – odds ratio and 95 % confidence interval for group with HPC vs without progression. OR (95 % CI) for the mortality rate was calculated with Haldane-Anscombe correction.

Fig. 2. Head CT scan of 35 years-old men after RTA, at the time of admission GCS – 13 points (A, B, C), revealed left frontal (A, Arrow) and temporal contusions (B, Arrow), and any sign of traumatic hematoma. Repeat CT scan (D, E, F) after rapid clinical deterioration after 5 hours since admission revealed delay traumatic intracerebral hematoma of the left temporal lobe (Arrow, D, E, F), without any evidence of progression of the left frontal lobe contusion.
4. Discussion

Nowadays, neurosurgeons often perform repeat CT scan in the acute period of TBI to evaluate for possible delayed complications, including haemorrhagic progression of contusion or hematoma enlargement, post-traumatic hydrocephalus, detection and increase of brain oedema. However, there are no definitive data that support or refuse this practice [5, 15].

HPC is frequently seen on repeat CT (nearly one third of the case in our series) after head trauma however its clinical significant in case of mild TBI is not well defined [16]. Contusion enlargement can cause delay traumatic hematoma and surgical emergency, even in patients without favourable conditions such as coagulopathy, or it can remain silent without any clinical and radiological changes. To date, it is clear that treatment of any TBI without CT is not possible. However, there is no clear understanding of which group of patients will benefit most from this control investigation [17].

In one of the largest studies, Brown et al. analysis of 354 patients with TBI, and found that only patients with neurologic deterioration require treatment modification or surgery after CT. Therefore, the authors recommended a routine control CT scan only in patients with GCS≤8 points [4]. In our study, 2 patients were operated without clinical deterioration, but with worsening of radiological findings, and in these cases the volume of delay traumatic hematomas were significant. Besides GCS does not often provide enough information about morphological severity of TBI, doubt the selection of the group of patients with mild TBI, whose condition subsequently deteriorates [16].

The disadvantages of overuse of CT are significant. Even the smallest dose of radiation increases the risk of cancer. Considering adaptive nature of radiation, which can quickly overcome 10 mSv in the case of repeat scans or other X-ray imaging, in case of multiple trauma, diagnostic evaluation can causes 1 case of the cancer in every 1,000 patients [18].

Cost and saving resources are another reasons why repeat head CT in a stable patients after TBI is problem, especially in low income countries. Stein et al. reported about more expansive treatment in patients with mild TBI, with equal outcome, when CT was routinely performed, comparing the cases when CT scan was done only after clinical deterioration [19].

Our study found that patients in conscious (15 GCS points), without clinical deterioration, where repeat CT is done due to the potential threat for possible HPC and neurological deterioration did not find any significant radiological worthingen and can postponed especially in case of limited resources. However further studies are necessary for accurate determination of control neuroimaging and predictive score for HPC in case of mild TBI [20].

Study limitations. The study was retrospective without any neuroimaging protocol. And a lot of patients with mild TBI with brain contusion were discharge from the hospital without repeat head CT, who were exclude from our study.

Prospects for further research. Routine repeat head CT can find radiological deterioration before clinical and improve treatment results in patients with aggressive course of mild TBI with brain contusion, we plan to standardized the algorithm of repeat head CT and evaluate its cost-effectiveness in patients with mild TBI and brain contusions.

5. Conclusions

Routine repeat CT in patients with mild TBI with brain contusions is aimed to find a patients with s high risk for clinical deterioration. One third of patients with mild TBI and brain contusion experienced HPC. Patients with HPC often have unfavourable clinical course with higher average duration of treatment, delay surgical treatment and mortality rate. The search of clinical and radiological risk factors of deterioration is crucial to standardize safe imaging protocol for control CT scan, which will reduce the number of unreasonable examinations in patients with mild TBI and brain contusion.
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