Smoking during pregnancy: Childbirth and Health Study in Primary Care in Iceland
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Abstract
Objective. To study the prevalence and possible predictors for smoking during pregnancy in Iceland. Design. A cross-sectional study. Setting. Twenty-six primary health care centres in Iceland 2009–2010. Subjects. Women attending antenatal care in the 11th–16th week of pregnancy were invited to participate by convenient consecutive manner, stratified according to residency. A total of 1111 women provided data in this first phase of the cohort study. Main outcome measures. Smoking habits before and during early pregnancy were assessed with a postal questionnaire, which also included questions about socio-demographic background, physical and emotional well-being, and use of medications. Results. The prevalence of smoking prior to pregnancy was 20% (223/1111). During early pregnancy, it was 5% (53/1111). In comparison with women who stopped smoking during early pregnancy, those who continued to smoke had on average a significantly lower level of education, had smoked more cigarettes per day before pregnancy, and were more likely to use nicotine replacement therapy in addition to smoking during pregnancy. A higher number of cigarettes consumed per day before pregnancy and a lower level of education were the strongest predictors for continued smoking during pregnancy. Conclusion. The majority of Icelandic women who smoke stop when they become pregnant, and the prevalence of smoking during pregnancy in Iceland is still about 5%. Our results indicate stronger nicotine dependence in women who do not stop smoking during pregnancy. Awareness of this can help general practitioners (GPs) and others providing antenatal care to approach these women with more insight and empathy, which might theoretically help them to quit.
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Introduction
Smoking during pregnancy is considered a major preventable risk factor for foetal and neonatal morbidity and mortality [1]. Smoking during pregnancy increases the risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, and many other adverse pregnancy outcomes, and smoking cessation early in pregnancy has been shown to decrease these risks [2–4].

About half of women who smoke before becoming pregnant stop during pregnancy [5,6]. Previous studies have shown that the proportion of women who smoke throughout pregnancy is 7–17% in high-income countries like Sweden, Norway, Germany, Canada, the USA, and Australia [2,7–11]. Most women who stop do so in the first trimester without any treatment [5]. Interventions to promote smoking cessation during pregnancy have not proved to be very effective. In a large meta-analysis, Lumley et al. concluded that the overall success of interventions was a 6% increase in smoking cessation during pregnancy, with the most effective intervention being to provide incentives, which increased the cessation rate during pregnancy by 24%. Other methods, including cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), nicotine-replacement therapy (NRT), and “stages of change” theory strategies each appeared to increase the cessation rate by only 6% [12].

Younger women [6,9,13,14], multiparous women [13–15], women of lower socioeconomic and...
edical status [6,8,13], and women who are not cohabiting with the father [8,13,14] have been found more likely than others to smoke throughout pregnancy. Women continuing to smoke while pregnant are more likely than those who quit to have depressive symptoms [16], and to have experienced stressful life events [6,8]. Preconception smoking intensity [6,14] and passive smoking during pregnancy also correlate positively with smoking during pregnancy [8,13].

Midwives and general practitioners in primary care are responsible for antenatal care in uncomplicated pregnancies in Iceland and many other countries [17,18]. It is therefore their task to identify women at risk of smoking during pregnancy in an effort to help them to stop smoking, for their own benefit and that of their baby.

Recent studies on the aforementioned risk factors are lacking in the Nordic countries. The aim of this study was therefore to investigate the prevalence and possible predictors for smoking during pregnancy in Iceland.

Material and methods

Demography and organisational structure of healthcare service

The size of the Icelandic population is 320,000, 70% of which lives in and around the capital city of Reykjavik. Primary healthcare is carried out at 45 healthcare centres, staffed by general practitioners (GPs), nurses, midwives, and other ancillary staff. Obstetricians are working part-time at the larger healthcare centres. The health care providers at the centres are responsible for the antenatal and well-baby care in Iceland. They work closely with the obstetric units at the nearest hospital, where more specialized care is provided for women who are considered to be at high risk during pregnancy. The status of healthcare is similar to that of the other Nordic countries [19].

Design

This study is a part of the Childbirth and Health Study in Primary Care in Iceland, which has been described in more detail elsewhere [20]. Its design and questionnaires were based on a similar cohort study on pregnant women carried out in Sweden in 1999–2000, *Kvinnors upplevelse av barnafödande* (the “KUB” study) [21]. The Childbirth and Health Study is a population-based cross-sectional and cohort study of pregnant women, who answered postal questionnaires around the 16th week of pregnancy (Phase I), at 5–6 months after childbirth (Phase II), and 18–24 months after delivery (Phase III). The women answered a comprehensive questionnaire about socio-demographic and obstetric background, physical and emotional well-being, use of medications, social support, and expectations about antenatal and delivery care. The women were asked about their smoking habits before and during pregnancy (yes or no) and, if yes, how many cigarettes per day they smoked. We used the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) questionnaire to identify depressive symptoms with a score cut-off point > 15. Convenient consecutive sampling was used for phase I, stratified according to residency, to attain a distribution similar to the distribution in the entire country, with the ratio 70:30 for urban and rural residency, respectively. Education was defined as follows: elementary school covering 10 years; college or similar covering 3–4 years after elementary school; technical education or university less than four years; university more than four years.

Study population

The first phase (Phase I) was carried out over a period of 12 months, from February 2009 to March 2010. A total of 26 healthcare centres (out of 45) were selected, distributed evenly around the country, with a catchment area covering around 60% of all maternity care provided by primary care, counting around 3000 pregnancies per year. Consecutively, midwives providing antenatal care informed women 18 years and older of the study on their first antenatal visit and invited them to participate with the aim of reaching around 1500 participants. One week later the questionnaire was sent to those who responded positively to the first invitation. One letter of reminder was sent to all participants.

A total of 1111 of 1765 pregnant women invited (63%) filled out the questionnaire, thus participating
in Phase I. This constituted approximately 23% of all pregnant women in Iceland in 2009. In this part of our study, we will refer only to the first phase.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive data are presented as mean values with standard deviations and percentages. Statistical significance was deemed to be at p-values of less than 0.05, with a two-sided significance test. A Pearson’s chi-squared test was used for assessing significance between groups, and a t-test was used to check for difference in significance between continuous variables. A logistic regression analysis was done, with current smoking as the dependent variable, and we used several variables as independent variables, beginning with those significant in Table II. In the end the model with marital status, education, number of cigarettes smoked prior to pregnancy, and the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) as independent variables was used. A normality assumptions test was done before running the regression analysis. The statistical software package IBM, SPSS version 20, was used for all data analysis.

**Ethical considerations**

The study was approved by the National Bioethical Committee in Iceland (VSNb2008010023/03-1) and reported to the Data Protection Authority (S3695/2008 LSL/). The study was also approved by the professional authorities of the healthcare centres approached.

**Results**

The prevalence of smoking prior to pregnancy was 20% (223/1111) and 5% (53/1111) during early pregnancy in the study sample. Of those who smoked before becoming pregnant, 76% (170/223) had stopped smoking at 16 weeks, but 24% (53/223) continued smoking during pregnancy.

Table I gives the participants’ background characteristics. For comparison, similar data are shown for all pregnant women in 2009 in Iceland. Table II illustrates the prevalence of various demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related characteristics potentially associated with smoking in the group of women who stopped smoking during pregnancy and the group

### Table I. Characteristics of participants in the Childbirth and Health Study 2009–2010 (percentages and absolute figures in parentheses or as otherwise described): Similar variables are shown for the entire birth cohort in Iceland in 2009.

| Participants (n = 1111) | Iceland (n = 4939) |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| **Parity:**            |                   |
| Primipara              | 40 (439)          | 40 (2005)         |
| Multipara              | 60 (671)          | 60 (3021)         |
| **Age:**               |                   |
| 18–19                  | 2 (18)            | 3 (185)           |
| 20–24                  | 15 (168)          | 17 (841)          |
| 25–29                  | 36 (405)          | 34 (1691)         |
| 30–34                  | 30 (328)          | 29 (1434)         |
| 35–39                  | 14 (155)          | 14 (722)          |
| > 40                   | 3 (37)            | 3 (168)           |
| **Mean age (yrs)**     | 29.4              | 29.7              |
| **Education:**         |                   |
| Elementary             | 11 (123)          | 28¹ (18 000)      |
| High school or similar | 26 (291)          | 36³ (23 100)      |
| Technical education or university < 4 years | 26 (291) | X⁴ |
| University > 4 years   | 36 (404)          | 36³,¹ (23 100)    |
| Combined higher education⁵ | 89 (986) | 72⁶ (46 200)    |
| **Residence:**         |                   |
| Capital area           | 69 (763)          | 67 (3378)         |
| Rural area             | 31 (347)          | 33 (1648)         |
| **Marital status:**    |                   |
| Married/cohabiting     | 93 (1032)         | 84 (4241)         |
| Single                 | 3 (31)            | X⁴                |
| Other                  | 4 (48)            | 16 (785)          |

Notes: ¹Statistics Iceland, http://www.hagstofa.is (last checked on 2 April 2013). ²All deliveries in Iceland in 2009 (Icelandic Birth Register for 2009). ³Figures for pregnant women not available, but only for the total sample (n = 64 000) of all Icelandic women aged 20–49 years. ⁴No figures for comparison available. ⁵Counts all university education regardless of duration. ⁶All education after elementary school.
that continued smoking during pregnancy. As shown, women in the group that continued to smoke had on average a significantly lower level of education, had on average smoked more cigarettes per day before pregnancy, and were more likely to use nicotine replacement therapy during pregnancy than women in the group that stopped smoking (Table II).

Before pregnancy 45% (55/123) of women who had elementary education only smoked, and 40% (22/55) of them were still smoking at the 16th week of pregnancy. Among women with higher education, the prevalence of smoking was 17% (167/984) before pregnancy and 19% (31/167) of them were still smoking at the 16th week of pregnancy.

The number of cigarettes consumed per day before pregnancy and a lower level of education were the strongest predictors for continued smoking during pregnancy (Table III). The predictability of the model was 80.8% and the variance measured as Nagelkerke R-square was 0.335.

**Discussion**

This comprehensive study on pregnancy and antenatal care carried out in the primary care setting in Iceland shows that the prevalence of smoking among women prior to pregnancy was around 20% and dropped to 5% in early pregnancy. A lower level of

| Stopped smoking during pregnancy (n = 170) | Smoked during early pregnancy (n = 53) | p-values |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Number of cigarettes per day before pregnancy; mean (SD) | 8 (5.3) | 15 (5.2) | <0.001 |
| Mean age, years (SD) | 28 (4.8) | 28 (6.0) | 0.466 |
| Multiparous | 48 (82/170) | 60 (32/53) | 0.123 |
| Marital status: | 84 (142/170) | 79 (42/53) | 0.473 |
| Married/co-habiting | 17 (28/170) | 21 (11/53) | 0.736 |
| Single | 20 (33/169) | 42 (22/53) | <0.001 |
| Education: | 81 (136/169) | 59 (31/53) | 0.065 |
| Elementary school | 9 (15/170) | 19 (10/53) | 0.318 |
| Higher education | 21 (10/170) | 55 (29/53) | 0.306 |
| Employment status: | 1 (2/170) | 4 (2/53) | 0.508 |
| Receiving welfare | 17 (28/170) | 15 (8/53) | 0.306 |
| Other | 3 (5/170) | 8 (4/53) | 0.133 |
| Use of medications during pregnancy: | | | |
| Nicotine replacement therapy | 3 (4/163) | 21 (10/47) | <0.001 |
| Psychotropic medications | 7 (11/163) | 14 (7/49) | 0.097 |
| Alcohol consumption during pregnancy | 2 (3/170) | 2 (1/53) | 0.953 |
| Alcohol consumption prior to pregnancy: | | | |
| Rarely | 55 (93/170) | 68 (36/53) | 0.133 |
| Once a week or less | 35 (60/170) | 23 (12/53) | 0.311 |
| More than once a week | 7 (11/170) | 9 (5/53) | 0.326 |
| Never | 4 (6/170) | 0 | 0.423 |
| Major life events last 12 months | 42 (71/170) | 34 (18/53) | 0.311 |
| Depressive symptoms | 7 (12/169) | 11 (6/53) | 0.311 |
| Own perceived health: | | | |
| Excellent/very good | 79 (135/170) | 74 (39/53) | 0.312 |
| Fair | 17 (29/170) | 25 (13/53) | 0.312 |
| Poor/very poor | 4 (6/170) | 2 (1/53) | 0.312 |
| Planned pregnancy: | | | |
| Yes | 56 (94/168) | 45 (24/53) | 0.312 |
| No, but welcome | 31 (52/168) | 43 (23/53) | 0.312 |
| No, the timing could have been better | 8 (14/168) | 9 (5/53) | 0.312 |
| No, I considered termination of the pregnancy | 5 (8/168) | 2 (1/53) | 0.312 |
| Information about harm of smoking in pregnancy: | | | |
| None/not enough | 17 (28/167) | 8 (4/53) | 0.312 |
| Enough/too much | 83 (139/167) | 93 (49/53) | 0.312 |

Notes: 1Psychotropic medications: hypnotic, antidepressant, or sedative medications. 2Major life events: ≥ 2 of the following life events: having been in a serious accident or had serious illness, serious illness, accident to or death of a family member, serious concerns about a family member, divorce or separation, forced to move household or change jobs, made redundant, had feelings of insecurity at work, serious financial problems, been legally prosecuted. 3Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) ≥ 15.
education and intensive nicotine dependence seem to be the main predictors of smoking in this group.

The main strength of this study is the size of the study sample; it included 23% of all pregnant women in Iceland. The study sample is broadly representative of the general pregnant population in Iceland. Most of the pregnant women in our sample were between 24 and 34 years old, which means a potentially longer period for higher education in our study group. National data on the educational level of pregnant women were not available. Our classification of education was furthermore not quite comparable to the national statistics. Therefore, comparison between our data and the national data on education requires caution. However, women with a higher level of education seem to be overrepresented in our study [20]. Since education is one of the factors predictive of smoking, in both this and other studies [6,8,13], the prevalence of smoking among pregnant women in Iceland might be higher than our results suggest.

The study has some limitations. The questionnaire focused more on attitudes and expectations about pregnancy and delivery than comprehensive questions regarding smoking itself. For example, questions on passive smoking in a social or work environment were not included. Furthermore, the study was carried out in the beginning of the second trimester, so we do not have information on women who may have stopped smoking later in pregnancy or those who may have relapsed before giving birth.

In our study, a lower level of education was the only demographic factor that was significantly more common amongst women who continued to smoke during pregnancy than amongst those who did stop. This result is in accordance with results of previous studies [6,8,13]. There was, however, no difference in other factors that have previously been shown to characterize pregnant smokers, such as marital status, age, socio-economic status, or parity [6,8,9,13–15].

In our study factors associated with stress or symptoms of depression, such as the EPDS, an
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