Abstract

Background: In patients with chronic hepatitis C virus, liver biopsy is the gold standard for assessing liver disease stage; nevertheless, it is prone to complications, some of them serious. Non-invasive methods have been proposed as surrogate markers for liver fibrosis. It was shown that serum hyaluronic acid (HA) level increases with the development for liver fibrosis. The aim of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic value of HA as well as to determine the HA level cut-off for predicting the presence or absence of fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis.

Results: 405 patients with chronic hepatitis C were prospectively included with biomarker measurement and liver biopsy done the same day: 151 in the training set (only biopsy lengths of 25 mm or more) and 254 in the validation set. For the discrimination of significant fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis in the training set, the areas under curve (AUCs) were 0.75 ± 0.03, 0.82 ± 0.02, and 0.89 ± 0.03, respectively. Absence of significant fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis can be predicted by HA levels of 16, 25, and 50 µg/l, respectively (with negative predictive values of 82%, 89%, and 100%, in the same order). Presence of significant fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis can be predicted by HA levels of 121, 160, and 237 µg/l, respectively (with positive predictive values of 94%, 100%, and 57%, in the same order).

Conclusion: In the validation set, HA was accurate in predicting significant fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis with AUCs of 0.73, 0.77, and 0.97, respectively. Moreover, accurate HA level cut-offs were defined for predicting significant fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis. Thus, the study supports that HA level may be clinically useful as a non-invasive marker for liver fibrosis and/or cirrhosis.
Background
Liver biopsy is currently recommended as the gold standard method of staging fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C [1,2]. The risk of developing cirrhosis depends on the stage (degree of fibrosis) and the grade (degree of inflammation and necrosis) observed in the initial liver biopsy [3,4]. This procedure, however, is invasive and has potential complications [5,6]. Non-invasive approaches developed to assess histological samples include clinical symptoms, routine laboratory tests, and radiological imaging [7-10]. Several clinical studies have attempted to identify serum markers that correlate with the degree of fibrosis and thus could be used, with feasibility, in conjunction with or in place of a liver biopsy [2-4,6,8,9]. The serum markers of fibrogenesis include platelet count [11], prothrombin time [12], the ratio of alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase levels [8], gamma-glutamyl transferase level [13], and serum albumin level [14]. Fibrotest (FT) is a simple non-invasive panel of biochemical markers for fibrosis and activity [15].

Another non-invasive approach relies on the measurement of substances that regulate fibrosis or participate in the generation of the liver extracellular matrix. The most applicable include hyaluronic acid (HA) [16], type IV collagen [17], N-terminal propeptide of type III procollagen [18], metalloproteinases [19], inhibitors of metalloproteinases [19], and growth-transforming factor beta [20]. HA is a high molecular weight glycosaminoglycan, which is an essential component of extracellular matrix in virtually every tissue in the body [21]. In the liver, HA is mostly synthesized by the hepatic stellate cells and degraded by the sinusoidal endothelial cells [6]. HA levels are increased in chronic liver diseases [6]. In patients with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV), HA levels increase with the development of liver fibrosis. Moreover, in patients with cirrhosis, HA levels correlate with clinical severity [7,10,11].

The first aim of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic value of HA for significant fibrosis (F2-F4), severe fibrosis, (F3F4) and cirrhosis (F4), in patients with HCV infection. The second aim was to determine the serum HA level cut-off to predict both presence and absence of F2-F4, F3F4, and F4.

Results
Patients
The cohort included 405 patients. Table 1 shows the patient characteristics at the time of liver biopsy. The training and validation sets did not significantly differ in any of the assessed variables. Of the patients, 47% (190/405) had significant fibrosis (F2-F4), 24% (99/405) had severe fibrosis (F3F4), and 6% (25/405) had cirrhosis.

**Hyaluronic acid and fibrosis in the training set**
Figure 1 shows HA levels and stages of fibrosis are well correlated (Spearman r = 0.55 – p < .0001). Although there is an overlap between HA levels and fibrosis determined by liver biopsy, there is a significant increase in HA levels when considering F0 to F4 scores (Kruskal-Wallis – p < 0.0001).

**Fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis diagnosis**
Figure 2 and 3 shows receiver operating characteristic curves of discriminatory values of HA according to the severity of liver fibrosis in the training and validation sets. For the discrimination of fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis in the training set, areas under curve (AUCs) were (Mean ± SE) 0.75 ± 0.03, 0.82 ± 0.02, and 0.89 ± 0.03, respectively. In the validation set, AUCs were 0.73 ± 0.03, 0.77 ± 0.04, and 0.97 ± 0.04, respectively.

Table 1: Characteristics of the 405 patients at the time of liver biopsy (comparison between the training and the validation sets).

| Characteristics | Training set (n = 151) | Validation set (n = 254) | All patients (n = 405) |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Age (Mean ± SD) | 51 ± 14                | 47 ± 12                  | 49 ± 13               |
| Male (n (%))    | 82 (54)                | 133 (52)                 | 215 (53)              |
| HA (µg/l) (Mean [95% CI]) | 63 [47;79]            | 53 [41;65]               | 57 [47;67]            |
| Stage of fibrosis (n (%)) |                      |                          |                       |
| 0               | 28 (19)                | 33 (13)                  | 61 (15)               |
| 1               | 51 (34)                | 103 (41)                 | 154 (38)              |
| 2               | 33 (22)                | 58 (23)                  | 91 (23)               |
| 3               | 27 (18)                | 47 (18)                  | 74 (18)               |
| 4               | 12 (7)                 | 13 (5)                   | 25 (6)                |
Fibrosis

Two cut-off values were chosen for identifying absence (less than 16 µg/l) and presence (greater than 121 µg/l) of significant fibrosis (F2-F4). Applying the lower cut-off, the presence of significant fibrosis could be excluded with a high certainty as only 3 (17%) of the 18 patients with an HA level below 16 µg/l had significant fibrosis, with a negative predictive value (NPV) of 83%. In the validation set, 11 (18%) of 60 patients with a score below 16 µg/l had significant fibrosis (NPV of 82%). Applying the high cut-off to the training group (HA greater than 121 µg/l), only 2 (13%) of the 15 patients with HA greater than 121 µg/l had no fibrosis, with a positive predictive value (PPV) of 87%. In the validation set, 16 of the 17 patients with HA greater than 121 µg/l had significant fibrosis (PPV of 94%) (Table 2).

Severe fibrosis

As for significant fibrosis diagnosis, 2 cut-off values were chosen for identifying absence (less than 25 µg/l) and presence (greater than 160 µg/l) of severe fibrosis (F3F4). Applying the lower cut-off, only 3 (5%) of the 64 patients with HA lower than 25 µg/l had severe fibrosis (NPV of 95%). In the validation set, only 13 (11%) of the 123 patients with HA lower than 25 µg/l had severe fibrosis (NPV of 89%). Applying the higher cut-off (HA greater...
Figure 2
Receiver operating characteristic curves of HA for the prediction of significant fibrosis (F2-F4), severe fibrosis (F3F4), and cirrhosis (F4) in the training set.

Figure 3
Receiver operating characteristic curves of HA for the prediction of significant fibrosis (F2-F4), severe fibrosis (F3F4), and cirrhosis (F4) in the validation set.
than 160 µg/l) to the training set, 10 (NPV of 91%) out of 11 patients with HA greater than 160 µg/l had severe fibrosis, and none of the 13 patients with HA greater than 160 µg/l from the validation set had severe fibrosis (PPV of 100%) (Table 2).

Cirrhosis

Two cut-off values were chosen for identifying absence (less than 50 µg/l) and presence (greater than 237 µg/l) of cirrhosis (F4). Applying the lower cut-off, 100 (NPV of 99%) of the 101 patients with HA lower than 264 µg/l had no cirrhosis. In the validation set, none of the patients with HA lower than 50 µg/l had cirrhosis (NPV of 100%). When applying the higher cut-off (237 µg/l) to the training set, a fair PPV of 71% was obtained for predicting the presence of cirrhosis. The PPV was lower when applying the cut-off to the validation set (PPV of 57%) (Table 2).

Discussion

Combining HA level with other serum markers for assessing liver fibrosis has been considered in some other studies [22,23]. One of the interests of our study was to focus on the diagnostic accuracy of HA alone in predicting fibrosis and cirrhosis in HCV-infected patients.

In the present study, HA level was accurate in predicting significant fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis, with AUCs of 0.75, 0.82, and 0.89, respectively, in the training set; and of 0.73, 0.77, and 0.97, respectively, in the validation set. Using values below the lower cut-off level or above the higher cut-off level, one could predict absence or presence of significant fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis in 31%, 51%, and 78%, respectively, in the validation set patients. Therefore, it is likely that the association of HA level with the degree of hepatic fibrosis represents an indirect one, expressing the functional correlation between fibrosis and both the concomitant capillarization and hepatic hemodynamic changes.

Significant fibrosis can be predicted by a HA level of <16 µg/l for its absence (NPV of 82%) and of >121 µg/l for its presence (PPV of 94%) in the validation set. Severe fibrosis can be predicted by a HA level of ≤ 25 µg/l for its absence (NPV of 89%) and of >160 µg/l for its presence (PPV of 100%). Cirrhosis can be predicted by an HA level of ≤ 50 µg/l for its absence (NPV of 100%) and of > 237 µg/l for its presence (PPV of 57%). Considering a serum HA cut-off of 60 µg/l for absence of cirrhosis diagnosis, our data are in the same range as those of other studies [3,24].

A cut-off value of 110 µg/l was suggested for separating patients with and without cirrhosis [18]. Taking in consideration this cut-off in our cohort of patients, a misclassification of cirrhotic patients was observed in 23% (3/13) (sensitivity of 77%) of patients with proven cirrhosis. This difference may be due to the use of a different HA assay.

Our study included a sufficient proportion of patients with significant fibrosis: 47% in the training set and 46% in the validation set; however, the proportion of patients with cirrhosis was low in the two sets: 7% and 5%, respectively. The second limitation of this study is the number of unclassified patients (between 22% and 69%).

Conclusion

This study showed that significant fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis can be predicted by serum HA levels in patients with HCV infection. The notion of routinely measuring a marker that reflects the function of the sinusoidal endothelial cells, rather than the hepatocytes themselves, is an exciting concept. Serum HA would be clinically useful as a non-invasive marker of liver fibrosis or cirrhosis in HCV-infected patients. It suffers from the need to limit, as much as possible, potential confounding variables such as the effects of exercise and eating.

Further studies conducted in a large cohort of cirrhosis patients are needed to corroborate this study, namely because few of our patients had cirrhosis and the cut-off levels must be considered in an independent study. Moreover, a comparison of HA levels with other non-invasive...
obtained on the day of liver biopsy. All samples were measured in duplicate (range 1 to 871 µg/l; patients in fasting conditions, no physical effort). Each sample was histologically assessed in the local centers and a second assessment of each biopsy was done in a reference laboratory. The second assessments were done by the same pathologist. In this study, all liver biopsies were re-staged by the central reference laboratory (n = 405). No liver biopsies were found with more than one-stage difference between the local pathologist and the reference pathologist. One-stage discordance is considered pathology-dependent and thus not considered significant. Fibrosis was staged on a scale of 0 to 4: F0 = no fibrosis, F1 = portal fibrosis without septa, F2 = portal fibrosis and few septa, F3 = numerous septa without cirrhosis, F4 = cirrhosis.

Statistical analysis

The association between HA levels and liver biopsy staging was measured with the Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparison test and with the Spearman rank correlation coefficient. A p < 0.05 was considered significant. Respective diagnostic values were reported by the area under the receiver operating characteristic curves (AUC) (± standard error mean), NPV, PPV, sensitivity, and specificity.
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