The C-terminal region of Bfl-1 sensitzes non-small cell lung cancer to gemcitabine-induced apoptosis by suppressing NF-κB activity and down-regulating Bfl-1
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Abstract

Gemcitabine is used to treat several cancers including lung cancer. However, tumor cells often escape gemcitabine-induced cell death via various mechanisms, which include modulating bcl-2 family members and NF-κB activation. We previously reported that the C-terminal region of Bfl-1 fused with GFP (BC) is sufficient to induce apoptosis in 293T cells. In the present study, we investigated the anti-tumor effect of combined BC gene therapy and gemcitabine chemotherapy in vitro and in vivo using non-small cell lung cancer cell lines and a xenograft model. Cell lines were resistant to low dose gemcitabine (4-40 ng/ml), which induced NF-κB activation and concomitant up-regulation of Bfl-1 (an NF-κB-regulated anti-apoptotic protein). BC induced the apoptosis of A549 and H157 cells with caspase-3 activation. Furthermore, co-treatment with BC and low dose gemcitabine synergistically and efficiently induced mitochondria-mediated apoptosis in these cells. When administered alone or with low dose gemcitabine, BC suppressed NF-κB activity, inhibited the nuclear translocation of p65/relA, and down-regulated Bfl-1 expression. Furthermore, direct suppression of Bfl-1 by RNA interference sensitized cells to gemcitabine-induced cell death, suggesting that Bfl-1 importantly regulates lung cancer cell sensitivity to gemcitabine. BC and gemcitabine co-treatment also showed a strong anti-tumor effect in a nude mouse/A549 xenograft model. These results suggest that lung cancer cells become resistant to gemcitabine via NF-κB activation and the subsequent overexpression of Bfl-1, and that BC, which has both pro-apoptotic and NF-κB inhibitory effects, could be harnessed as a gene therapy to complement gemcitabine chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer.
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Introduction

Lung cancer remains a leading cause of cancer-related death [1] despite the introduction of several types of cytotoxic agents. In non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), chemotherapy often achieves limited clinical improvements due to acquired drug resistance and intolerable toxicities. Gemcitabine (difluorodeoxycytidine hydrochloride, dFdC) is a deoxycytidine analogue that is converted in vivo into the active metabolites, difluorodeoxycytidine di- and triphosphate (dFdCDP, dFdCTP). DFdCDP acts by inhibiting ribonucleotide reductase, whereas dFdCTP is incorporated into DNA and prevents DNA synthesis, thereby inducing apoptosis. Gemcitabine has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a treatment for advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer, ovarian cancer, breast cancer, and NSCLC, alone or in combination with other drugs http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/druginfo/gemcitabinehydrochloride. Clinical trials have demonstrated that gemcitabine prolongs survival and improves the quality of life of advanced NSCLC patients [2]. In fact,
gemcitabine is considered to be one of the most effective agents for treating NSCLC. Previous studies have concluded that when used as a single agent, gemcitabine consistently yields response rates exceeding 20%. Furthermore, preclinical data indicate that when used with platinum compounds, such as, cisplatin or carboplatin, gemcitabine has synergistic anti-tumor effects [34].

However, gemcitabine often fails to achieve adequate disease control due to intrinsic or acquired resistance of tumor cells. The following are representative examples of putative resistance mechanisms; NF-κB and PI3K/Akt pathway activation in pancreatic and breast cancer [5;6] the up-regulation of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein in pancreatic cancer [7;8] the deficiency of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 in NSCLC [9] and alterations of gemcitabine metabolizing enzymes [10-13]. Many of these chemo-resistant mechanisms involve interrupting the apoptotic pathway [14]. In particular, increased expression of anti-apoptotic bcl-2 family proteins stabilizes the mitochondrial membrane, and thus, elevates the apoptotic threshold. Accordingly, the suppression of Bcl-2 using siRNA (small interfering RNA) restores gemcitabine sensitivity in pancreatic cancer cells [8]. The anti-apoptotic effects of chemotherapy-induced NF-κB activation are mediated by a series of molecules regulated by NF-κB, and by inhibiting NF-κB tumor cells can be sensitized to chemotherapeutic agents, such as, gemcitabine [15-17]. For example, the inhibition of NF-κB by bortezomib (a proteasome inhibitor) sensitized NSCLC to gemcitabine-induced apoptosis, and the silencing of the NF-κB p65/relA subunit with siRNA increased the effectiveness of gemcitabine in a subset of pancreatic cancer cells [18,19]. In addition, resistance to gemcitabine may be overcome by using in combination with cytotoxic agents with different modes of action [7].

Of note, combined gene therapy has been proposed as a means of overcoming resistance to chemotherapy, as demonstrated by enhanced chemosensitivity via the targeted down-regulation of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL [12,20;22]

Recently, Bfl-1 was found to be a direct transcriptional target of NF-κB [23-25]. Bfl-1 interacts and sequestrates the pro-apoptotic molecules, Bid and Bim, and thereby suppresses apoptosis and inhibits cytochrome c release from mitochondria [26,27]. We previously observed high levels of Bfl-1 mRNA in blood, lung, and stomach cancer cell lines [28], and separately reported that a Bfl-1/green fluorescent protein (GFP) adduct induces caspase activation and disrupts mitochondrial permeability in 293T cells. Furthermore, the domain responsible for this apoptotic function of Bfl-1 fused with GFP was mapped to 20 amino acids in its C-terminal region [17]. Therefore, we hypothesized that gene therapy based on the expression of a protein containing the C-terminal of Bfl-1 and GFP (BC) might sensitize cancer cells to gemcitabine.

In the present study, we demonstrate that low dose gemcitabine activates NF-κB and subsequently up-regulates Bfl-1 in NSCLC cells, which has been suggested to be a major mechanism of resistance to gemcitabine. We found that BC gene therapy inhibited NF-κB activity and decreased Bfl-1 expression, and restored sensitivity to gemcitabine. Furthermore, combined BC and gemcitabine therapy effectively suppressed tumor growth in a xenograft model. Accordingly, our findings suggest that combined BC gene therapy and gemcitabine chemotherapy offers a potential means of overcoming resistance to gemcitabine chemotherapy in NSCLC.

Materials and methods
Cell lines and reagent
HEK 293 (human embryonic kidney fibroblasts, ATCC CRL-1573™, passage-13) was grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (Invitrogen, Groningen, The Netherlands). Human NSCLC cell lines, A549 (adenocarcinoma, ATCC CCL-185, passage-23), H460 (large-cell carcinoma, ATCC HTB-177, passage-7), H157 (squamous cell carcinoma, ATCC CRL-5802, passage-18) and PC-9 (adenocarcinoma, was kindly provided by Prof. Tae-You Kim, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea) were maintained in RPMI1640 containing 10% FBS. All cells were verified by morphology, growth curve analysis and tested for Mycoplasma. All cell lines except for PC-9 were obtained from and characterized by the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). No further authentication was done by the authors. Gemcitabine was purchased from Eli Lilly (Suresnes, France).

Recombinant adenovirus with Tet-off system
The cDNA fragments of human Bfl-1 corresponding to amino acids 147-175 and mouse Bax were amplified by PCR and subcloned into pEGFPc1 vector (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) to generate GFP-Bfl-1-C-terminal (BC) and GFP-Bax, respectively, as previously described [17,29]. Bfl-1-C-terminal was fused with multi-cloning site of pEGFPc1 (not involve GFP) for external charged residues, and subcloned into pcDNA vector to generated pMBC. GFP- Bfl-1-C-terminal (BC), GFP, and Bfl-1 were subcloned into the Nhel/Sall site of pTRE shuttle vector (Clontech) to generate pTRE-BC, pTRE-GFP, and pTRE-Bfl-1. GFP-Bax (gBax) was subcloned into the BgIII/HindIII site of pTRE-GFP shuttle vector to generate pTRE-gBax. The pTRE-BC, gBax, Bfl-1 and -GFP constructs were linearized by PI-SceI and I-CeuI digestion, inserted into adenoviral vector pAdenoX (Clontech), digested with PacI, and then transfected into 293
cells to generate GFP, BC, Bfl-1 and Bax-viruses. Ten days after transfection, when the cytopathic effect became evident, clear culture supernatants were obtained, and viruses were then propagated in 293 cells and purified by standard methods [30].

We used the Tet-off system to regulate gene expression, which responds equally well to either tetracycline or doxycycline [31,32]. Briefly, both BC and Tet-off adenovirus were simultaneously infected into cells, in which BC expression was inhibited in the presence of doxycycline, but induced in its absence. The efficiency of the Tet-off system was tested by examining GFP expression and cell viability. Cells were cultured in 24-well plates at a density of 1 × 10^5 per well. 24 h after plating, variable concentrations of Tet-off and control or BC viruses were added. Immediately after infection, doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) was added to a final concentration of 1 mg/ml. Multiplicity of infection (MOI) was determined by measuring the absorbance of dissociated viruses at 260 nm; one absorbance was arbitrarily set at 10^{12} viral particles per milliliter. The particle-to-infectious unit ratio was 100:1.

**Cell viability, apoptosis, and cytochrome C release assays**

The viabilities of treated cells were measured using a Cell Counting Kit (CCK)-8 (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Kumamoto, Japan). All assays were performed in triplicate. For subG1 analysis, cells were harvested, washed, and fixed overnight with 70% ethanol. Cell pellets were re-suspended in staining buffer (10 μg/ml propidium iodide and 0.2 mg/mL RNase A in PBS), and analyzed by flow cytometry (Epics XL; Coulter, Mar- selle, France). Apoptotic cell death was determined by Annexin V and/or PI staining followed by flow cytome- try using Annexin V-FITC (or PE) kits (BD Pharmingen, Seoul, Korea). Rabbit polyclonal antibody against human Bfl-1 was produced by a commercial antibody production service (Youngin Frontier, Seoul, Korea).

**Reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR)**

Total RNA was isolated from cells using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen). 5 μg aliquots of total RNA were subjected to reverse transcription using a RT-PCR kit (Promega, Madison, WI). cDNAs were amplified using primers as follows; Bfl-1, forward 5’-GCTCAAGACTTTTGCTCTC CACC-3’ and reverse 5’-TGGAGTGTTTCTTCTTGCTT CAAACAG-3’; Bcl-xL, forward 5’-GGATGGCCACT TACCTGA-3’ and reverse 5’-CGGTGGAAGCGCTCA CTG-3’; Bcl-2, forward 5’-GTGGTGGCAGCGTCA ACC-3’ and reverse 5’-GGCTTTTAGGATGGCAAGG GAC-3’.

**NF-κB activity assessment**

NF-κB activity was assessed by detecting the nuclear translocation of NF-κB subunit or luciferase assay. To prepare nuclear extracts, cells were washed in ice cold PBS and resuspended in Buffer A (10 mM HEPES (pH7.9), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1
were normalized versus lysate protein contents and BioTechnology Inc, Rockford, IL). Luciferase activities
mined using the bicinchoninic acid technique (Pierce Ridge, TN), and cellular protein contents were deter-
determined using the bicinechonic acid technique (Pierce Biotechnology Inc, Rockford, IL). Luciferase activities
were normalized versus lyses protein contents and β-galactosidase activity used as an internal control to
determine transduction efficiency.

For luciferase assay, cells were transiently transfected with pNF-κB-Luc or pβ-gal-lacZ plasmids using Lipo-
fectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Luciferase and β-galactosidase activities were measured using an
Orion luminometer (Berthold Detection Systems. Oak Ridge, TN), and cellular protein contents were deter-
mixed using the bicinechonic acid technique (Pierce Biotechnology Inc, Rockford, IL). Luciferase activities
were normalized versus lyse protein contents and β-galactosidase activity used as an internal control to
determine transduction efficiency.

RNA interference
Three types of siRNAs were synthesized by Bioneer (Daejon, Korea) to silence Bfl-1, as follows: siRNA1, sense 5'-AAGGAGUUGAAGAGGGCAUC-3' and anti-
sense 3'-GAUGCCGCUCUCAAACUCUU-5' [25]; siRNA2, sense 5'-CCUAAACUCCUGGUAAGACU-3' and anti-sense 3'-AGUCAUCCGACGAAUUAGG-5'; siRNA3, sense 5'-GCUACUCUCUCCGAGCAUA-
CUUGUAG-3' and anti-sense 3'-UCAACAGAUUGC-
CUACAGAGAAGAUG-5'. The sequences of the scambled siRNAs used were sense: 5'-AAGGUCACA-
GUAGAUGAGC-3' and anti-sense 3'-GCCAAU-
CUACUGUAGACCT-3'. Transfection was performed in 6-well plates at 70% confluence at a final siRNA con-
centration of 10 nM over 72 h using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

Animal experiments
To evaluate the in vivo anti-tumor effect of combined BC and low dose gemcitabine therapy, we established a
umor xenograft model in nude mice. Nude mice (6 to 8-week-old; Charles River Japan Inc., Yokohama) were
ubcutaneously injected in the flank with 2×10^6 A549 cells in 100 μl PBS. When tumor volumes reached 5 to
0 mm in diameter, mice were randomly divided into four groups with 5 animals per group. Tumor-bearing
ice were injected intratumorally with control (GFP) or BC adenovirus and Tet-off-adenovirus at the same con-
centrations (5×10^9 PFU in 50 μl of PBS), and intraperi-
toneally administered with PBS or 10 mg/kg gemcitabine. In brief, four experimental groups were
treated as follows: group 1, with control adenovirus and PBS; group 2, control adenovirus and gemcitabine;
group 3, BC adenovirus and PBS; group 4, BC adenovirus and gemcitabine. These co-treatments were per-
formed three times with three days intervals. Tumor
growthes were evaluated twice or three times weekly for
4 weeks after the last treatment by measuring the length
and width of each tumor using a caliper. Tumor
volumes were calculated using m_1^2 × m_2 × 0.5236
(where m_1 and m_2 are the short and long tumor axes).
Tumor weights were obtained using an analytical balance.

Experiments and maintenance of mice were performed
according to the animal experiment guidelines at the
Center for Animal Resource Development (ethical com-
mittee, Seoul National University College of Medicine.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using the Student’s t test, and p
values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically
significant.

Results
Low dose gemcitabine treatment leads to NF-κB
activation and Bfl-1 up-regulation in lung cancer cells
Initially, we examined the sensitivity of NSCLC cell lines
(A549, H157, PC9 and H460) to gemcitabine using
CCK-8 assays and subG1 analysis after incubating cells
with 0, 0.4, 4, 40, 400, or 4000 ng/ml of gemcitabine for
72 h. High dose (4000 ng/ml) gemcitabine reduced cell viabilities by up to 50% and increased subG1
fractions to about 50%; however, low dose (4 to 40 ng/ml) gemcitabine decreased cell viability by only ≤ 10%
and subG1 fractions to about 20% (Figures 1A and 1B), indicating
that these lung cancer cells are relatively resistant to
low dose gemcitabine.

Because Bcl-2 family members play a role in determin-
ing the sensitivity of cancer cells to gemcitabine [34,35],
we examined the expressions of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2,
Bcl-x_L, and Bfl-1 by RT-PCR. As shown in Figure 1C,
low dose gemcitabine markedly up-regulated Bfl-1 with
little effect on Bcl-2 and Bcl-x_L. At the concentration of
4000 ng/ml gemcitabine, where cell viability was mark-
edly reduced, the Bfl-1 expression was decreased to the
basal level in all cell lines except for H460 cells. Real-
time RT-PCR analysis also demonstrated that low-dose
gemcitabine led to up-regulation of Bfl-1 with no
changes on the level of Bcl-2, Bcl-x_L and Mcl-1 (Addi-
tional File 1, Figure S1).

NF-κB activation is associated with gemcitabine resis-
tance, and Bfl-1 is a direct transcriptional target of NF-
κB [36]. Therefore, to determine whether NF-κB is
involved in the above-mentioned gemcitabine-induced
up-regulation of Bfl-1, we evaluated NF-κB activities in
A549 and H157 cells using luciferase assay. NF-κB
Figure 1 Low dose gemcitabine cannot efficiently induce cell death in lung cancer cells, and leads to NF-kB activation and Bfl-1 overexpression. A and B, A549, H157, PC9 and H460 cells were treated with 0, 0.4, 4, 40, 400, or 4000 ng/ml of gemcitabine for 72 h. Cell viabilities were determined using CCK-8 assays (A), and subG1 populations were quantified by PI staining and flow cytometry (B). The values shown are mean percentages of cells relative to untreated cells in three independent experiments performed in triplicate; error bars represent SDs. C, A549, H157, PC9 and H460 cells were incubated in the presence of 0, 4, 40, 400, or 4000 ng/ml of gemcitabine for 24 h. Total RNAs were extracted and the mRNA levels of Bfl-1, Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, and β-actin were determined by RT-PCR. D, A549 and H157 cells were transiently transfected with pNF-kB-Luc (firefly) plasmid for 16 h, and subsequently treated with 0, 4, 40, 400, or 4000 ng/ml of gemcitabine for an additional 6 h. Cell were then lysed and analyzed for firefly luciferase activity. Data are mean luciferase activities normalized versus β-gal expression obtained from three independent experiments; error bars represent SDs. Results are presented as means ± SDs of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *p < 0.001 by the Student’s t test for difference in tumor volumes between experimental groups.
activities were elevated by gemcitabine treatment at 4 to 400 ng/ml for 6 h (Figure 1D), which were relatively well correlated with the transcriptional induction of Bfl-1, as shown in Figure 1C. Of note, at a concentration of 4000 ng/ml which efficiently compromised cell viability (Figures 1A and 1B), NF-κB activity was returned to the basal level, which was consistent with the change of Bfl-1 expression (Figures 1C and 1D). These data suggest that lung cancer cells resist low dose gemcitabine via NF-κB activation and subsequent Bfl-1 overexpression.

The borderline dose of 400 ng/ml gemcitabine induces a decrease of cell viability whereas Bfl-1 and NF-kB are up-regulated. This discrepancy might result from the different time points of assays for each factor; namely, luciferase assay for NF-kB activity at 6 h after gemcitabine treatment, RNA extraction for Bfl-1 RT-PCR at 24 h, and CCK-8 assay for cell viability at 72 h. DNA damage caused by gemcitabine 400 ng/ml functions as a form of stress and can lead to the activation of NF-kB within 12 h [37]. However, in spite of initial activation of NF-kB, gemcitabine exerts delayed cytotoxic effects which can take longer than 72 h [38,39]

The C-terminal region of Bfl-1 fused with GFP (BC) induced caspase-mediated apoptosis in lung cancer cells

We previously reported that a protein containing the C-terminal region of anti-apoptotic Bfl-1 and GFP is able to efficiently induce apoptosis in 293T cells [17,29]. In the present study, we utilized an adenoviral system for BC gene therapy in lung cancer cells and a Tet-inducible system, as described in Materials and Methods. Initially, we examined whether BC delivered via adenoviral vector could induce lung cancer cell apoptosis. Three days after infection, cell viabilities were assessed by fluorescence microscopy and CCK-8 assays. When A549 and H157 cells were co-infected with control (GFP) and Tet-off adenoviruses, more than 90% of the cells emitted green fluorescence, which suggested successful gene delivery by the adenovirus. Furthermore, whereas the control vector showed little cytotoxicity, the BC containing vector suppressed cell viability and induced cell death (Figures 2A and 2B). This cell death was thought to be apoptotic in nature, as indicated by the appearance of active caspase 3 and DNA laddering (Figures 2C, D and 2E). These findings indicate that BC is able to induce the apoptosis of lung cancer cells.

BC restored lung cancer cell sensitivity to low dose gemcitabine

Next, we investigated whether BC could sensitize lung cancer cells to low dose gemcitabine. Commonly annexin V-FITC (green)/PI (red) is used to confirm apoptosis. However in this particular situation, the fluorescence emitted from GFP and FITC are both green and cannot be distinguished from each other. Therefore, instead of using annexin V-FITC, we used annexin-PE to obtain Figure 3C, and showed Annexin V/GFP + gating cells numbers in percentage by histogram. Whereas low dose (0.4 to 40 ng/ml) gemcitabine treatment for 72 h led to subG1 arrest in only 10-20% of A549 cells and BC monotherapy induced subG1 arrest in about 40% of cells, combined treatment with BC and low dose gemcitabine increased the subG1 population to 70-100% (Figure 3A). Cytochrome C release assays and annexin V staining also demonstrated that BC and low dose (40 ng/ml) gemcitabine acted additively or synergistically to cause mitochondria-mediated apoptosis in A549 and H157 cells (Figure 3B and 3C).

Because Bax gene therapy is widely used to induce chemosensitization and promote tumor cell apoptosis by disrupting mitochondrial membrane integrity [37], we compared the efficacies of BC and Bax gene therapies in combination with gemcitabine. As determined by subG1 analysis, BC was superior to Bax in sensitizing A549 cells to gemcitabine (p < 0.001) (Figure 3D and Figure Additional file 2, Figure S2). In addition, the C-terminal region of Bfl-1-fused with external charged residues from potential efficiency vector sequence (not GFP) (MBC) also showed proapoptotic function and sensitized A549 cells to gemcitabine (Figure 3E). Collectively, these findings suggest that A549 cells could be sensitized to low dose gemcitabine by co-administering BC via its additive or synergistic cytotoxic effect.

BC suppressed NF-κB activity and down-regulated Bfl-1 expression, thereby sensitizing lung cancer cells to gemcitabine

Figure 1 and Figure Additional file 1, Figure S1 showed that low dose gemcitabine activated NF-κB and up-regulated Bfl-1 expression in lung cancer cells, which might be one of the underlying resistant mechanisms to low dose gemcitabine. Above observation that BC sensitized lung cancer cells to gemcitabine led us to investigate the effects of BC on NF-κB activity and Bfl-1 expression. As shown in Figure 4A, low dose (40 ng/ml) gemcitabine-induced Bfl-1 overexpression was inhibited by BC co-treatment in A549 cells. Bfl-1 protein expression was also suppressed by BC (Figure 4B). BC also down-regulated the Bcl-2 construct but increased the Bax expression (Figure 4B). These data suggested that BC might alter the balance of Bcl-2 family proteins towards the pro-apoptotic state, which includes down-regulation of Bfl-1. We next assessed the effect of BC on NF-κB activity in A549 cells. BC treatment was found to reduce NF-κB activity to half its basal level; furthermore, NF-κB activity, which had been elevated by more than 3-fold by gemcitabine at 40 ng/ml, markedly declined to a very low level when cells were co-treated with BC and
Figure 2 C-terminal of Bfl-1 fused with GFP (BC) inhibits proliferation and induces lung cancer cell apoptosis. A and B, A549 and H157 cells were infected with 10 MOI of the C-terminal of Bfl-1-GFP (BC) or control-GFP (Con) adenovirus and Tet-off adenovirus, and maintained with or without doxycycline for 72 h. In these environments, the expression of BC or GFP was inhibited in the presence of doxycycline and induced in its absence. Cell viabilities were determined using CCK-8 assays, and are expressed the mean percentages of control adenovirus infected cells as determined by three independent experiments. Error bars represent SDs (A). Cellular morphologies were examined by fluorescence microscopy (B). C, D and E, A549 cells were transfected with BC or Con and Tet-off adenoviruses, and cultured in the absence of doxycycline for 72 h. Active caspase-3 levels were measured by flow cytometry using PE-conjugated anti-active caspase-3 antibody (C). After infecting cells for 48 h, they were lysed and 100 μg of whole cell lysates were treated with caspase activity assay buffer containing the peptide caspase substrate DEVD-pNA, for 4 h. Results are the average absorbance at 405 nm of experiments conducted in triplicate, and error bars represent SDs (D). After infecting cells for 72 h, total genomic DNA was extracted and subjected to electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel to examine DNA fragmentation patterns (E).
Figure 3 BC sensitizes lung cancer cells to low dose gemcitabine. **A**, A549 cells infected with BC (filled bars) or control (Con, hatched bars) adenovirus were treated with 0, 0.4, 4, 40, or 400 ng/ml of gemcitabine for 72 h. Cell deaths were determined by subG1 analysis. Open bars represent non-infected A549 cells. The graph was plotted using the means ± SDs of three experiments. *p < 0.001 by the Student’s t test for difference in tumor volumes between experimental groups. **B and C**, A549 and H157 cells infected with BC or control adenovirus were cultured with or without 40 ng/ml gemcitabine for 72 h. Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for cytochrome C release **(B)**. Apoptotic cell death was determined by FACS analysis of annexin V-PE stained cells among GFP expressing cells. Representative data (upper) and means ± SDs of three experiments (lower) are shown. *p < 0.001 by the Student’s t test for differences between amounts of annexin V-PE (+) cells by gemcitabine in control and BC infected cells **(C)**. **D**, A549 cells infected with BC (filled bars: BC only effect) or Bax (hatched bars) adenovirus were maintained in the presence or absence of 40 ng/ml gemcitabine for 72 h. SubG1 fractions were quantified by PI staining and FACS. Representative data (upper) and the means ± SDs of three experiments (lower) are shown. *p < 0.001 by the Student’s t test for differences between amounts of subG1 fraction increases induced by gemcitabine in BC and Bax infected cells. **E**, A549 cells were transfected with pcDNA, pcDNA-MBC(no tag), or pcDNA-BAX; 24 hours later, the cells were stained with annexin V-FITC and PI, and cell death was analyzed by flow cytometry. Annexin V-FITC positive cell (without/with-gem), pcDNA: 0.8/1.4(%), MBC: 13.4/20.8(%) and BAX: 22.4/30.6(%). PI positive cell (without/with-gem): pCDNA: 1.7/3.5(%), MBC:13.8/13.7(%) and BAX:11.4/9.4(%). Annexin V-FITC/PI double positive cell (without/with-gem): pCDNA: 0.1/0.3(%), MBC: 11.4/41.7(%) and BAX: 8.3/10.5(%).
Figure 4 BC down-regulates Bfl-1 expression by inhibiting NF-κB activity. A, A549 cells infected by BC or control (Con) adenovirus were treated with 40 ng/ml gemcitabine for 72 h. Total RNA was isolated and subjected to RT-PCR for Bfl-1 and β-actin. B, A549 cells were infected with BC or control adenovirus and treated with 40 ng/ml gemcitabine or not. 50 μg of total cellular proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE and then immunoblotted using anti-Bfl-1, -Bax, -Bcl-xL, -Bcl-2, and -α-tubulin antibodies. Data are representative of three independent experiments. C, A549 cells, transfected with the pNF-κB-Luc reporter gene and β-gal-lacZ plasmid, were infected with BC or control adenovirus and then treated with 40 or 400 ng/ml of gemcitabine for 48 h. Luciferase and β-galactosidase activities were determined using a luminometer. The values shown represent luciferase activities relative to β-gal, and the means ± SDs from three independent experiments are plotted. *p < 0.001 by the Student’s t test for difference in tumor volumes between experimental groups. D, A549 cells infected with BC or control adenovirus were treated with 0, 40, or 400 ng/ml gemcitabine for 48 h. Proteins from nuclear and cytosolic fractions were separately isolated, and 50 μg aliquots were subjected to SDS-PAGE and then immunoblotted using anti-p65/relA, -lamin B, and -α-tubulin antibodies. Data are representative of three independent experiments.
gemcitabine (40 ng/ml) (Figure 4C). Immunoblotting also revealed that low dose gemcitabine caused the translocation of p65/relA from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, which was prevented by BC (Figure 4D). These findings together suggest that BC might suppress NF-κB activity and subsequently down-regulate Bfl-1, and thus, sensitizes lung cancer cells to gemcitabine-induced cell death.

However, it still remained unclear whether the BC-induced down-regulation of Bfl-1 caused the observed sensitization to gemcitabine or just was a coincidence. To address this, we examined whether the direct suppression of Bfl-1 by siRNA could sensitize A549 cells to gemcitabine-induced apoptosis. It was found that whereas the direct down-regulation of Bfl-1 had little effect on cell viability, Bfl-1 siRNA and gemcitabine co-treatment increased apoptotic cell death (Figures 5A and 5B). These data suggest that Bfl-1 might play a role in gemcitabine resistance of lung cancer cells, and that the down-regulation of Bfl-1, either directly or using BC, offers an effective means of making lung cancer cells sensitive to gemcitabine.

**BC and low dose gemcitabine co-treatment efficiently suppressed tumor growth and induced tumor cell death in a lung cancer xenograft model**

Finally, to evaluate the in vivo anti-tumor effect of BC and low dose gemcitabine therapy, we established a human lung cancer xenograft model in nude mice using A549 cells as described in Materials and Methods. As shown in Figure 6, in mice treated with control adenovirus or gemcitabine, tumors reached mean volume of 2488 mm³ ± 1282 mm³ at 30 days after the last treatment. However, tumor growth was significantly inhibited in mice co-treated with BC and gemcitabine; the average tumor volume was 380 ± 97 mm³ at 30 days (a reduction of 85% versus control mice treated with adenovirus and PBS), which consistent with more than an 80% reduction in tumor weight (Figures 6A and 6B). Histologic examination of tumors revealed more extensive necrosis and frequent apoptotic cells with nuclear condensation and fragmentation in mice co-treated with BC and gemcitabine than in control mice or those treated with gemcitabine only (Figure 6C and Additional File 3, Figure S3). These findings suggest that co-treatment with BC and low dose gemcitabine could efficiently suppress tumor growth and induced tumor cell death in vivo.

**Discussion**

Gemcitabine has been previously shown to be effective in NSCLC, but acquired resistance after prolonged and repeated exposure was found to reduce response rates in clinical trials [7,40]. Moreover, because high therapeutic doses of gemcitabine cause bone marrow suppression and reduce general health status, optimal dosages and medication schedules are required to achieve tumor control and improve quality of life [41]. For example, low doses of gemcitabine could be continuously administered by infusion-based to patients with locally advanced disseminated cancer, because of its lower toxicity than the standard gemcitabine regimen [42]. However, chemotherapeutic stresses elicited by low concentrations of gemcitabine lead to NF-κB activation and enhance Akt signaling, and thereby cause drug resistance [43]. Otherwise, in practice, to overcome low response rates in NSCLC, gemcitabine is used in combination with other cytostatic agents with different modes of action [44]. Therefore, it is conceivable that combinational approaches based on gene therapy targeting the drug resistance pathway and gemcitabine, as shown by the present study, might have a merit for tumor control.

NF-κB activation status of cancer cells can be represented by its basal level or chemotherapy-induced up-regulation of NF-κB activity. Which of them is more important for chemoresistance in cancer remains controversial [45]. In previous reports, NF-κB activity was high at the basal level, and was also elevated by gemcitabine treatment in pancreatic cancer, breast cancer, and NSCLC. NF-κB activation and the subsequent up-regulations of NF-κB-regulated genes have been suggested to attenuate the efficiency of gemcitabine. In fact, several studies have reported that the suppression of NF-κB activity can sensitize cancer cells to gemcitabine [5,6,18]. On the other hand, Pan et al. recently reported that there was no significant correlation between basal NF-κB activity and gemcitabine sensitivity, and that gemcitabine did not activate NF-κB in pancreatic cancer cells [5]. Furthermore, silencing of p65/relA increased apoptosis in gemcitabine-sensitive pancreatic cancer cells, but not in resistant cells [19]. These conflicting observations suggest that the association between gemcitabine efficacy and NF-κB activity might not be identical among cancers, but should be considered in a cell type-specific manner.

In agreement with previous studies by Denlinger et al. using NSCLC cell lines (A549 and H157) [18], we observed that low dose gemcitabine enhanced NF-κB activity in A549 and H157 cells. In line with the gemcitabine-induced NF-κB activation, its target gene Bfl-1 was found to be markedly up-regulated, with little effect on the levels of other anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins, including Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL; furthermore, this was observed in all NSCLC cell lines examined. Bfl-1overexpression has been reported to underlie resistance to various apoptotic stimuli [23], and we previously observed that Bfl-1 is frequently over-expressed in lung cancer cell lines [28]. Therefore, we speculated that Bfl-1 might
Figure 5 Bfl-1 siRNA sensitized lung cancer cells to low dose gemcitabine. **A**, A549 cells were transfected with three types of Bfl-1 siRNA and then treated with 40 ng/ml gemcitabine for 48 h. Cells were then stained with Annexin V-FITC/PI and analyzed by flow cytometry. Experiments were performed three times and yielded comparable results. **B**, Total protein and RNA were extracted from A549 cells transfected with three types of Bfl-1 siRNA. 50 μg of total cellular proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE and then immunoblotted using anti-Bfl-1 and α-tubulin antibodies (upper panel), and RNAs were subjected to RT-PCR to determine Bfl-1 expression. β-actin was used as an internal control (lower panel).
Combined BC and low dose gemcitabine therapy efficiently suppressed tumor growth and induced tumor cell death in a lung cancer xenograft model. 

A, A549 cells (2x10⁶) were injected into the flank of 6- to 8-week-old male nude mice to establish a tumor xenograft model. Three weeks later when tumor volumes had reached about 100 mm³, BC or control adenovirus and Tet-off adenovirus (5x10⁶ PFU) were directly injected into tumors, and 10 mg/kg gemcitabine or PBS were administered intraperitoneally. These therapies were performed three times with two days intervals. Tumor volumes were measured every 2 to 3 days for one month. Each experimental group included 5 mice and means ± SDs are plotted. *p < 0.001 and **p < 0.05 by the Student’s t test for differences between tumor volumes between experimental groups.

B, On day 30 after the last treatment, mice were sacrificed and tumors were extracted. The histogram shows the means ± SDs of tumor weights in each group (n = 5). (B) Tumor sections were analyzed by hematoxylin and eosin staining for histological features, such as, tumor necrosis and apoptosis. Numbers at the top of column indicates magnification.
regulate the sensitivity of NSCLC to gemcitabine. As was expected, direct Bfl-1 suppression using siRNA sensitized cells to gemcitabine-induced apoptosis in A549 cells. In a study conducted by Brien et al. in B lymphoblastoid and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma cell lines, Bfl-1 silencing was found to potently induce apoptosis, and sensitize cells to rituximab-mediated cell death and apoptosis by doxorubicin, vincristine, cisplatin, or fludarabine [25]. In the present study using lung cancer cell lines, although siRNA-mediated Bfl-1 suppression per se did not affect cell viability, it sensitized cells to gemcitabine. Therefore, the present and our previous findings suggest that Bfl-1 is a feasible molecular target for enhancing the efficacy of gemcitabine in lung cancer. Furthermore, in view of the fact that BC itself alone has a cytotoxic effect, it would appear that BC is likely to be better than siRNA at chemosensitizing cells. Although previous studies have reported that NF-κB regulates the expression of Bcl-xL and/or Bcl-2 in various cell types [46], we observe little changes in the level of Bcl-xL and Bcl-2 in cells treated with gemcitabine at low concentrations inducing NF-κB activation either by RT-PCR and real-time RT-PCR. It is possible that Bfl-1, a direct target of NF-κB, might function as an important and sensitive anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family protein reflecting the alteration of NF-κB activity in NSCLC cells, particularly in terms of response to gemcitabine.

Although Bfl-1 is an anti-apoptotic bcl-2 family member and primarily prevents apoptosis, its protective effect depends on cell type and apoptotic stimulus [26,27,47]. Furthermore, Bfl-1 can be converted into a pro-apoptotic molecule when processed by proteasome or TNF receptor signaling in pro-B cells [27,47]. In this system, the Bfl-1 C-terminal domain was important for the pro-apoptotic function of Bfl-1, because it was required for Bfl-1 ubiquitination and its localization at the mitochondrial membrane[29]. Our group, previously, demonstrated that anti-apoptotic Bfl-1 is converted to a pro-apoptotic protein when fused with GFP, and that the 29 amino acids of its C-terminal region are sufficient to induce apoptotic cell death via the mitochondrial pathway and caspase activation in 293T cells [17,29,48]. An amphipathic tail-anchoring domain of the Bfl-1 C-terminal region has been implicated in the targeting of Bfl-1 to the mitochondrial membrane to induce apoptosis [17,27,47]. These findings led us to hypothesize that the Bfl-1 C-terminal region fused with GFP (BC) could be harnessed as a gene therapy in combination with chemotherapeutic agents to achieve management of cancer.

Previously, we used a plasmid vector system to deliver BC to 293T cells to investigate its apoptotic effects. However, BC-plasmid showed a little cytotoxicity in A549 cells as compared in 293T cells, which was considered to be due to low transfection efficiencies in the cancer cell lines examined. Therefore, in the present study, we utilized an adenoviral system to examine the possibilities of BC gene therapy. Accordingly, we generated a replication-deficient adenovirus expressing BC, GFP only (Control), or Bax-GFP, and employed a Tet-inducible system. As was expected, transfection of BC using this adenoviral system efficiently induced apoptosis in A549 and H157 cells. Moreover, we found that BC remarkably suppressed NF-κB activity. Although transfection with pro-apoptotic Bax induced cell death at higher levels than BC alone, BC sensitized cells to gemcitabine more than Bax, which we attribute to the NF-κB suppressing effect of BC. Taken together, we consider that BC inhibits NF-κB and subsequently down-regulates Bfl-1, thereby sensitizing cells to gemcitabine-induced apoptosis in an additive or synergistic manner. We also found that co-treatment with BC delivered by adenovirus using a Tet-inducible system in combination with low dose gemcitabine efficiently inhibited tumor growth and induced tumor cell apoptosis and necrosis with little systemic toxicity in a xenograft mouse model. These results cautiously raise the possibility that BC gene therapy could be used to lower gemcitabine doses in order to control cancer and avoid toxic side effects. Because its intrinsic cytotoxic effect of BC, we were cautious to reach a conclusion that BC sensitized lung cancer cells to gemcitabine-induced cell death in a synergistic rather than just additive manner. Although the results of apoptosis assay by FACS (Figure 3C) showed an additive cytotoxic effect of BC and gemcitabine rather than synergistic, subG1 analysis (Figure 3D) and in vivo tumor suppressive effect (Figure 6A) of BC and gemcitabine was synergistic rather than additive.

The way how BC suppresses NF-κB activity remains to be elucidated, and if BC could directly down-regulated Bfl-1 by mechanisms other than inhibition of NF-κB is open to further study. We currently just have some speculations on this subject. One of them is that because Bfl-1 is constitutively ubiquitinized at its C-terminal region and processed by proteasomal degradation, BC might function as a kind of competitive inhibitor of proteasome, thereby leading to NF-κB suppression and cell death. We have a clue that p53 pathway might be involving; we have observed that BC causes NF-κB inhibition through p53 activation (data not shown). However, more precise mechanism should be clarified by further study.

BC and low dose gemcitabine also showed synergistic cytotoxic effects in the MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 breast cancer cell lines (Additional file 4, Figures S4A and B). Furthermore, to test if BC can overcome resistance to high dose gemcitabine, we established acquired gemcitabine resistant cell lines, A549GR, which resist gemcitabine up to 40000 ng/ml, and included intrinsic
gemcitabine-resistant pancreas cancer cell lines, Panc1. As shown in Additional file 4, Figure S4A, BC co-treatment induced cell death in high dose gemcitabine-resistant cells. We also observed that BC was able to sensitize A549 and H157 cells to sublethal doses of etoposide, doxorubicin, or staurosporine again in an additive or synergistic manner (Additional File 4, Figure S4C). These data suggest that BC gene therapy might be used to overcome resistance to chemotherapeutic agents other than gemcitabine in variable cancers. However, our main focuses were gemcitabine- NF-κB-Bfl-1 axis implicated in low gemcitabine resistance of lung cancer cells, and the utility of BC to overcome gemcitabine resistance in this system. Therefore we tried to show the efficient of combined BC and gemcitabine therapy and to dissect the underlying mechanism. If the same axis of gemcitabine- NF-κB-Bfl-1 regulation would be working in the above mentioned additive or synergistic cytotoxicity of BC in other system remains to be clarified.

Summarizing, the present study demonstrates that lung cancer cells resist low dose gemcitabine because NF-κB is activated by gemcitabine and Bfl-1 is subsequently up-regulated. BC transfection was found to sensitize cells to gemcitabine by suppressing NF-κB activity and Bfl-1; and moreover co-treatment by BC transfection and gemcitabine chemotherapy showed a strong anti-tumor effect in vivo. Our findings indicate that targeting the NF-κB/Bfl-1 pathway with BC might be utilized for improving the chemotherapeutic effects of gemcitabine in lung cancer.
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Additional material

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Low dose gemcitabine markedly up-regulated Bfl-1 mRNA level. Total RNA was isolated from cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 5 μg aliquots of total RNA were reversely transcribed to cDNAs using a RT-PCR kit (Promega, Madison, WI). Then real-time PCR was carried out using Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA) with a Bio-Rad iCycler iQ system, and gene-specific primers as follows; Bfl-1, forward 5′-CCAGCAGTGATCATACACGG-3′ and reverse 5′-TCCAGATGCTTGGCCAGC-3′; Bcl-xL, forward 5′-GAGCGAGCAAGGGTCTTGA-3′ and reverse 5′-GGGTTGGGAGTAGTGGAG-3′; McI-1, forward 5′-AGGCGATGGCCACCT-3′ and reverse 5′-TGCCAGGTATTGAGGTATGAG-3′; β-actin, forward 5′-GGGAAAGCGTCGCTG-3′ and reverse 5′-GGTTCGTCAGGACGATC-3′. Each sample was run in triplicate; error bars represent SDs. C, A549 and H157 cells infected by BC or control adenovirus and Tet-off adenovirus were treated with 40 ng/ml gemcitabine for 72 h. Cytochrome C release was analyzed by flow cytometry. Values are expressed as mean percentages of untreated cells in three independent experiments performed in triplicate; error bars represent SDs. A, MDA-MB-231 (MDA) and MCF7 (MCF) (human breast cancer cell lines), A549, H460, PC9 (human lung adenocarcinoma cell lines), and H157 (a human lung squamous cell carcinoma cell line) cells infected by BC or control adenovirus and Tet-off adenovirus, and then treated with 40 ng/ml gemcitabine for 72 h (lower panel). A549, gemcitabine-resistant A549 cells (A549GR) were generated by exposure to increasing concentrations of gemcitabine from the first concentration of 40 ng/ml to final selection concentration of 4 μg/ml. A549GR cells were passaged 4 to 5 times in the absence of drug about for 2 weeks, and maintained in the final selection concentration. Cell viabilities were measured using CCK-8 assays. Values are expressed as mean percentages of untreated cells in three independent experiments performed in triplicate; error bars represent SDs. B, A549, H157, H460 and MDA-MB-231 cells infected by BC or control adenovirus and Tet-off adenovirus treated with 40 ng/ml gemcitabine for 72 h. Cell viabilities were determined using CCK-8 assays and are expressed relative to numbers of untreated cells. Results are presented as means ± SDs of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *p < 0.001 by the Student’s t test for differences between tumor volumes between experimental groups.

Additional file 2: Figure S2. BC was better in sensitizing lung cancer cells to gemcitabine than H157 cells infected with BC or BAX adenovirus were maintained in the presence or absence of 40 ng/ml gemcitabine for 72 h. SubG1 fractions were quantified by PI staining and FACS. GFP (%) represented infection efficiency of adenovirus constructs.

Additional file 3: Figure S3. Staining for Histological features. On day 30 after the last treatment, mice were sacrificed and tumors were extracted. The histogram shows the means ± SDs of tumor weights in each group (n = 3). Tumor sections were analyzed by hematoxylin and eosin staining for histologic features, such as: tumor necrosis and apoptosis. Numbers at the top of column indicates magnification.

Additional file 4: Figure S4. BC synergistically compromised cell viability when administered with different chemotherapeutics to cancer cell lines. Human breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231 (ATCC HTB-26, passage-8) and MCF7 (ATCC HTB-22, passage-13) and PAN-1 (ATCC CR1-1469, passage-5) cells were verified by morphology, growth curve analysis and tested for Mycoplasma. All cell lines were obtained from and characterized by the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). No further authentication was done by the authors. Etoposide, doxorubicin and staurosporine were purchased from LC Laboratories (Woburn, MA). A, MDA-MB-231 (MDA) and MCF7 (MCF) (human breast cancer cell lines), A549, H460, PC9 (human lung adenocarcinoma cell lines), and H157 (a human lung squamous cell carcinoma cell line) cells infected by BC or control adenovirus and Tet-off adenovirus, and then treated with 40, 0, or 40000 ng/ml gemcitabine for 72 h (lower panel). Gemcitabine-resistant A549 cells (A549GR) were generated by exposure to increasing concentrations of gemcitabine from the first concentration of 40 ng/ml to final selection concentration of 4 μg/ml. A549GR cells were passaged 4 to 5 times in the absence of drug about for 2 weeks, and maintained in the final selection concentration. Cell viabilities were measured using CCK-8 assays. Values are expressed as mean percentages of untreated cells in three independent experiments performed in triplicate; error bars represent SDs. C, A549 and H157 cells infected by BC or control adenovirus and Tet-off adenovirus treated with 40 ng/ml gemcitabine for 72 h. Cytochrome C release was analyzed by flow cytometry. Values are expressed as mean percentages of untreated cells in three independent experiments performed in triplicate; error bars represent SDs. B, A549, H157, H460 and MDA-MB-231 cells infected by BC or control adenovirus and Tet-off adenovirus treated with 40 ng/ml gemcitabine for 72 h. Cell viabilities were determined using CCK-8 assays and are expressed relative to numbers of untreated cells. Results are presented as means ± SDs of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *p < 0.001 by the Student’s t test for differences between tumor volumes between experimental groups.
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