Urinary Tract Infection in Children: Diagnostic and Prognostic Utility of Biomarkers
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Abstract

In the diagnostic evaluation of urinary tract infection (UTI) in children, dipstick urinalysis and urine microscopy/culture are the initial steps. Although these investigations are not without their drawbacks, urine culture remains the gold standard. Biomarkers may also be used as diagnostic tools, predictors of complications, and renal parenchymal injury. This paper aims to review the studies reporting the utility of biomarkers in the diagnosis and prognostication of UTI. Using the key words- ‘urinary tract infection’, ‘children’, and ‘biomarkers’ - the PubMed database was searched for systematic reviews/meta-analyses and original studies, which were published within the past 25 years. Information was also gathered from relevant textbooks published within the same period. Serum procalcitonin, urine/serum interleukin 6 (IL-6) and 8 (IL-8), as well as urine neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) are the major biomarkers of febrile UTI. In addition, procalcitonin and these cytokines are reported to be early predictors of vesico-ureteric reflux (VUR) and renal parenchymal injury, while procalcitonin and IL-6 can also help to differentiate between lower UTI and upper UTI (pyelonephritis). Despite the wide range in the sensitivity and specificity of these biomarkers reported in the reviewed studies, the values are still acceptable. Some of these biomarkers may, in the future, obviate the need for invasive radiological tests, although their utility still require validation by further research. However, given the ability of imaging studies to detect structural abnormalities of the urinary tract, their use still remains relevant in the investigation of UTI despite the radiation risks associated with majority of them.
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1. Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) refers to any bacterial, viral, or fungal infection affecting any part of the urinary tract - either the upper tracts (pyelonephritis) or the lower tracts (cystitis/urethritis). In children, bacteria (especially coliform bacteria such as Escherichia coli) constitute the major etiologic agents; however, in immunocompromised children and premature babies, fungi are occasionally seen (1).

The clinical presentation of UTI varies with age as the symptoms are non-specific in the neonates and infants, while the classical symptoms of dysuria and frequency assume more prominence with increasing age, particularly in pre-schoolers (2). UTI ranks third on the list of common pediatric infections in developing countries (1) and is one of the most common causes of febrile illness in children (3, 4). Up to 11.3% of girls and 3.6% of boys will have had a UTI by the age of 16 years; recurrence of infection is a usual problem (5).

The health implications of UTI are enormous in children due to repeated involvement of the upper tracts that potentially lead to scar formation, which predisposes to hypertension and chronic kidney disease (CKD) (2). Thus, instituting early and appropriate treatment can prevent the development of these complications. In fact, the common mistake in the management of UTI in pediatric practice is the failure to establish the diagnosis promptly in the first place; there will either be a missed opportunity to prevent renal injury if UTI is not diagnosed at all, or unnecessary invasive tests if it is not correctly diagnosed (2).

In the diagnostic evaluation of UTI, dipstick urinalysis and urine microscopy/culture are the initial basic steps. Urine culture remains the ‘gold standard’ for confirming the infection. These investigations are not without their drawbacks. For instance, as a screening test, dipstick urinalysis (leucocyte esterase and nitrite tests) may give false negative or false positive results while a reliable urine culture result is highly dependent on the method and the aseptic technique of collecting the urine sample, which underscores the importance of a proper interpretation of a positive culture result.

Subsequently, novel biomarkers are emerging as alternative tools in the diagnosis of UTI (6-13), and as predictors of its complications such as vesico-ureteric reflux...
finding. Pyuria refers to the presence of >10 white blood cells/L in an uncentrifuged urine specimen or > 5 × 10⁶ white blood cells/L in a centrifuged urine specimen (2). Nevertheless, pyuria can also occur in other conditions other than a UTI such as urolithiasis and renal tuberculosis: the so-called ‘sterile pyuria’ (1). Its poor predictive value as an isolated result thus makes it unreliable for the presumptive diagnosis of UTI.

Positive nitrite test is based on the conversion of dietary nitrates to nitrite by coliform bacteria. Again, a negative test does not preclude a UTI, as it may indicate an infection with non-coliform bacteria such as Streptococcus faecalis and Staphylococcus epidermidis. Secondly, inadequate dietary nitrate may result in a false negative test.

The Pediatrician is then confronted with critical decisions on key management options such as giving empirical antibiotics or performing these routine urine tests. This management dilemma led to the development of some global guidelines on UTI in children. One of them is the national institute of health and care excellence (NICE) guidelines, which was published in 2007, and have greatly influenced clinical practice with respect to the diagnosis, clinical management, and radiological investigation of the child with UTI (26). The other is the American academy of pediatrics (AAP) guidelines, which was first published in 1999, however, was revised in 2011 (27). In the NICE guidelines the following management options have been recommended depending on the results of urine dipstick tests (Figure 1): if leukocyte esterase and nitrite tests are positive, antibiotic treatment for UTI is recommended, as well as urine culture if risk of serious illness is high or intermediate, and if there is past history of UTI; if leukocyte esterase test is negative and nitrite test is positive, antibiotic treatment and urine culture are recommended; if leukocyte esterase test is positive and nitrite test is negative, antibiotic treatment-only with good clinical evidence of UTI as well as urine culture and treatment (based on results) are recommended (26).

In the radiological evaluation of a child with UTI, the scope of investigations remains unresolved because of the invasive nature and radiation exposure of the tests as well as a dearth of evidence to indicate that outcome is improved (2). There is now a paradigm shift from traditional protocols that employ age alone as the determinant for radiological investigations to protocols that enroll children when they are at high risk of renal injury (2). Nevertheless, the current NICE guidelines recommend that different radiological tests should be done according to the age of the child; whether there is good response to treatment within 48 hours; whether there is evidence of atypical UTI (seriously ill child, poor urine flow, abdominal or bladder mass, azotemia, septicemia, non-response to treatment within 48 hours and non-Escherichia coli UTI) and; whether there is evidence of recurrent UTI (26). The essential radiological tests are Ultrasonography (US) at the time of acute infection and within 6 weeks. Dimercapto-succinic acid (DMSA) scan at 4 - 6 months, and Mictuirating Cystourethrogram (MCUG). The initial US is supposed to detect severe structural abnormalities and urinary obstruction, bladder wall thickness and emptying, as well as renal defects; the DMSA scan is meant for the diagnosis of renal parenchymal injury; while MCGU is meant for identifying urethral abnormalities and VUR. For instance, in the NICE guidelines for radiological investigations following UTI in children aged
Figure 1. Management Options for UTI in Children Based on NICE Guidelines (2007)
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6 months to 3 years, initial and later US, DMSA scan at 4-6 weeks, and MCUG are not recommended if there is good response within 48 hours; for atypical UTI, initial US and DMSA scan at 4-6 weeks are recommended (the caveat being that later US can be done if there is non- *Escherichia coli* not responding well to treatment) while US within 6 weeks and MCUG are not recommended (the caveat being that MCUG can be done if dilatation is noted on US, and if there is poor urine flow, non-*Escherichia coli* UTI and family history of VUR); and for recurrent UTI, initial US and MCUG are not recommended while later US and DMSA scan at 4-6 weeks are recommended (26). The AAP guidelines recommend urinary tract imaging in a febrile infant or young children between the ages of 2 months and 2 years with a first documented UTI (27). The imaging studies typically comprise MCUG, as well as renal and bladder US (RBUS). In the revised AAP, routine MCUG is no longer recommended after the first episode of UTI because of radiation exposure, financial cost, and considerable test-related discomfort. However, MCUG is indicated if RBUS shows hydronephrosis, renal scar or VUR. Although both NICE and AAP guidelines have their strengths, which include high specificities for VUR, their obvious weaknesses are the high financial and radiation costs to the patient.

4. Biomarkers as Diagnostic and Prognostic Tools in UTI

Generally, proteomic biomarkers are potential tools for improving the diagnosis and prognostication in patients with kidney diseases as they ensure more accurate and earlier identification of renal pathology (28). The characteristic features of an ideal biomarker include its secretion by the injured cells and its organ specificity, its level being proportionate to the extent of injury, its early expression after a potentially reversible organ injury, its prompt reduction in level after injury to enable its use as a monitoring parameter for treatment, and its quick and reliable estimation (29). Furthermore, it is important to establish the sensitivity and specificity of each biomarker for UTI. The sensitivity of the biomarkers refers to their ability to detect patients who have a UTI (i.e. the proportion of the patients who test positive for UTI among those who have the disease) while their specificity refers to their ability to correctly detect patients without a UTI (i.e. the proportion of healthy children known not to have UTI who will test negative for it). Remarkably, procalcitonin and interleukins are the major biomarkers reported in the evaluation of the disease in children (Figure 2).
5. Interleukins (IL) and Acute Phase Reactants

UTI stimulates local and systemic cytokine responses (30-34). In one study that compared the set of cytokines produced by uroepithelial cell lines and human peripheral blood monocytes in response to *Escherichia coli*, the authors demonstrated that bacteria activated a cytokine response in the epithelial cell lines and monocytes (30). Specifically, they found that the cell lines stained for IL-8 with a peak at 2 hours and IL-6 with a peak at 6 hours after *Escherichia coli* stimulation. Peripheral blood monocytes also stained for the cytokines IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-8, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor- alpha (TNF-α) after stimulation with the same organism. These findings were corroborated by another study, which compared the cytokine production of uroepithelial cell lines in response to gram-negative bacteria and inflammatory cytokines (31). *Escherichia coli* IL-1α and TNF-α were found to activate the de novo synthesis and secretion of IL-6 and IL-8 in uroepithelial cells: highlighting the role of these cells in cytokine-mediated responses during the early phases of infection (31). Furthermore, it has also been reported that Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* elicits a host response that determines the severity of UTI, as specific adherence mechanisms permit the bacteria to initiate this process by targeting epithelial cells in the urinary tract mucosa (32). Other authors confirmed these observations in their report when they noted that the adherence of Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* to mucosal sites in the urinary tract is followed by inflammation, including a mucosal cytokine response (33). The uroepithelial cells are activated to secrete IL-6, while IL-8, as the former, may cause the fever and acute phase response that accompany systemic UTIs, while the latter may function as a neutrophil chemoattractant (33). Finally, one report indicates that *Escherichia coli* elicited a mucosal IL-8 response in humans, and suggests the involvement of the cytokine in the onset of pyuria: concluding that uroepithelial cells may be an important source of IL-8 during UTI (34).

Other group of investigators focused on IL-6 response in 114 children with suspected UTI. The results of their study showed that infections of the urinary tract activate an IL-6 response in children, the magnitude of which is influenced by the properties of the infecting strain, such as the possession of P fimbriae (23). Given the fact that IL-6 and IL-8 are expressed early after a UTI episode and the fact that they are secreted by uroepithelial cells, these cytokines have clearly fulfilled some of the 5 characteristics of an ideal biomarker.

The importance of acute phase reactants in the diagnosis of UTI has also been highlighted in the study done by Benson et al. (10). The authors showed that urine and serum IL-6 and IL-8 responses were higher in children with febrile UTI than in those with asymptomatic bacteriuria (10). By univariate analysis, the cytokine levels were found to be related to age, gender, VUR, renal scarring, urine leukocytes, acute phase reactants [such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR)], as well as bacterial properties (such as P fimbriae). Multivariate analysis revealed that urine IL-6 responses were higher in girls than boys, increased with age, and were positively correlated with CRP, ESR, serum IL-6, and urine leukocyte counts. The urine IL-8 response was not influenced by age.
but was influenced by P fimbriae, and was related to ESR, CRP, urine leukocytes, as well as female gender. Thus, interleukins and acute phase reactants synergistically reflect the inflammation associated with UTI. The authors also concluded that cytokine responses to UTI vary with the severity of infection and that cytokine activation is affected by a variety of host and bacterial variables (10).

In a prospective, case-control study of children aged 0 to 12 years, Jantausch and co-workers also evaluated urinary IL-6 and IL-8 as biomarkers for UTI (35). The study control group consisted of febrile children, matched for age and sex, who were used as controls. Serum and urine IL-6 and IL-8 were collected at presentation. Acute pyelonephritis was confirmed with DMSA scan. The initial value of serum and urine IL-6 and IL-8 was significantly higher in patients with acute pyelonephritis than in those with lower UTI and in healthy controls. Remarkably, serum and urine IL-6 had a higher sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis compared to serum and urine IL-8 (24). Sensitivity and specificity for serum IL-6 was noted to be 88% and 83%, respectively, while for urine IL-6, sensitivity and specificity was 86% and 81%, respectively, using specific cut-off values in both instances.

In another study conducted in children aged less than 1 year, Gurgöz et al. showed that at the time of presentation, median serum IL-6 was higher in the pyelonephritis group compared with the lower UTI group (36). The diagnosis of pyelonephritis with serum IL-6 yielded a sensitivity of 88% and a specificity of 74%. Interestingly, the researchers also reported that serum IL-1β had a sensitivity of 97% and a specificity of 59% for detecting pyelonephritis (36).

6. Procalcitonin

Procalcitonin had long been recognized as a biomarker of severe bacterial infection (37). In a prospective study of 80 children aged 1 month to 16 years of age, a group of investigators compared the procalcitonin level, CRP level and white blood cell count in children with pyelonephritis and lower UTI (38). There were statistically significant differences between the pyelonephritis and lower UTI groups for all 3 parameters; but only procalcitonin had a good correlation with increasing severity of changes noted on DMSA scan. Their findings are in keeping with those of Gurgöz et al. who noted that the median procalcitonin level obtained at presentation was significantly higher in the acute pyelonephritis group than in the lower UTI group (36).

In another prospective study of 64 children, Smolkin et al. demonstrated that in acute pyelonephritis, the median procalcitonin level was significantly higher than that obtained in lower UTI (39). Moreover, using a specific cut-off value, procalcitonin had a sensitivity of 94.1% and specificity of 89.7% for detecting pyelonephritis. Other workers also reported a similar diagnostic utility of procalcitonin as they showed that could serve as a marker of severity of acute pyelonephritis in children (40). Remarkably, a cut-off value of 0.8 ng/mL gave the best diagnostic accuracy with a sensitivity of 83.3% and specificity of 93.7% for the diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis. Nevertheless, other investigators were not able to demonstrate a significant correlation between renal parenchymal injury on one hand and procalcitonin level, CRP level, and white blood cell count on the other hand (41). In their study, the subjects were recruited based on presenting symptoms, while diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis was confirmed with DMSA scan.

In a retrospective hospital-based cohort study on children (aged 1 month to 4 years) who had a first febrile UTI, Leroy et al. reported that high procalcitonin was a strong and independent predictor of VUR, and could be used to identify low-risk patients in order to avoid unnecessary MCUG (14). The authors arrived at this conclusion based on their study findings, which showed that high procalcitonin was not only associated with VUR but that the relationship was stronger for high-grade reflux than for low-grade reflux. In addition, high procalcitonin sensitivities were 85% and 92% for all-grade and high-grade reflux respectively, with only 44% specificity (14).

To corroborate these findings, Sun et al. also assessed the utility of procalcitonin as a biomarker for predicting high-grade VUR in young children with a first febrile UTI (16). Children aged less than 2 years and had a first febrile UTI, were prospectively evaluated at the time of admission using serum procalcitonin, renal US, DMSA renal scan, and MCUG. Similarly, the authors were able to establish the following: the significantly higher median procalcitonin level in children with VUR than in those without VUR, the sensitivity and negative predictive value of 94.3% and 95.4%, respectively for predicting high-grade VUR using procalcitonin, and 97.1% as well as 97.8%, respectively using combined procalcitonin and US studies. When
they further subjected their results to multivariate analysis and high procalcitonin values, abnormalities on US were found to be independent predictors of high-grade VUR. The authors then concluded that procalcitonin was a useful biomarker for the diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis and for the prediction of high-grade VUR in young children with first febrile UTI (16).

In Iran, Rahimzadeh et al. also investigated the role of serum procalcitonin as a predictor of VUR in children admitted for acute pyelonephritis (17). They noted that there was a significant relationship between high-grade VUR and higher levels of procalcitonin. For the diagnosis of high-grade VUR, the sensitivity of a procalcitonin level of > 0.31 ng/mL was 90% while the specificity was 32%, making the researchers to conclude that serum procalcitonin level was a sensitive and promising predictor of high-grade VUR (17). In tandem with these findings were those of Mortazavi and Ghojazadeh who also evaluated the predictive value of procalcitonin in the diagnosis of VUR in 108 children with febrile UTI (18). In these children aged 2 months to 12 years, serum procalcitonin was estimated before commencing antibiotics, while standard MCUG was performed to detect VUR. When the authors compared procalcitonin levels with MCUG results, a sensitivity of 97% and a specificity of 75% were obtained at a procalcitonin level of 0.59 ng/mL for the diagnosis of VUR. Thus, it was suggested that a high procalcitonin level may not only be used for all grades of VUR in children with febrile UTI, however, a low level of the biomarker may also be used to preclude unnecessary MCUG in some low-risk patients (18).

Finally, in a more recent report, Barati et al. showed that procalcitonin was an early predictor of renal parenchymal injury in children with UTI (42). A prospective study was carried out in 95 patients who were admitted in the hospital with the first febrile UTI. Serum procalcitonin of all patients was measured while sensitivity, specificity, positive, and negative predictive value of this biomarker were analyzed compared to DMSA scan. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of procalcitonin reported in optimum cut off were 70%, 88.1%, 88.1%, and 70%, respectively (42).

7. Other Novel Biomarkers

Apart from the interleukins and procalcitonin, other novel biomarkers have also been reported as diagnostic and prognostic tools for UTI in children. In a descriptive, cross-sectional study on children (aged 2 months to 14 years) with UTIs’ who were admitted in provincial hospital in Iran, the authors evaluated the utility of urine NGAL in predicting renal parenchymal injury (43). Their findings however suggest that urine NGAL was not sensitive enough for the prediction of renal parenchymal injury, but proved to be a specific biomarker.

In a related study, Yilmaz et al. assessed whether urine NGAL could represent a novel, reliable biomarker of UTI, as well as determined the optimal cut-off level for urine NGAL to predict UTI in children (9). The investigators enrolled 60 patients with a symptomatic UTI and 29 healthy controls. DMSA scan was done in patients with a UTI in order to differentiate pyelonephritis from a lower UTI. The major findings include the significantly higher mean urine NGAL level in the UTI group compared to that in the controls, and its sensitivity and specificity of 97% and 76%, respectively using a cut-off value of 20 ng/mL for the diagnosis of UTI.

Another novel biomarker, which has been evaluated, is urine IL-1β. In a study of 75 children aged 1-121 months with a diagnosis of first-time febrile UTI, the authors assessed some inflammatory markers including CRP and urine IL-1β (19). Again, the findings of the study indicate that the urine IL-1β level may serve as a useful biomarker for the early detection of acute pyelonephritis in febrile children.

Finally, Chien et al. studied the usefulness of urine 8-hydroxy-2’-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG) and total antioxidant capacity (TAC) in the prediction of renal parenchymal injury in children with UTI (22). Seventy-three children under the age of 5 years were enlisted, in whom DMSA scan was performed to establish any renal injury. Interestingly, patients with positive DMSA scan had higher levels of urine 8-oxodG and higher urine TAC than patients with normal DMSA scan: a finding which suggests that high level of urine 8-oxodG may be a risk factor of severe renal injury (22).

8. Conclusions

Although there is now abundant evidence showing the reliability of these biomarkers for evaluating UTI in children (including their acceptable sensitivity and specificity), there are still inconsistent results in the utility of urine IL-6, serum and urine IL-8 for the diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis. Procalcitonin has not only to been shown to be a dependable biomarker in the diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis but also a predictor of renal parenchymal injury and VUR. Obviously, this biomarker can be used in settings where radiological tests like DMSA and MCUG may not be readily available, as well as when there is the need to avoid radiation exposure. Nevertheless, given the wide ranges in sensitivity and specificity values reported for procalcitonin and IL-6 in the differentiation of lower UTI from pyelonephritis, the diagnostic and prognostic utility of these biomarkers require validation by further research. Thus, the use of imaging studies has not been completely
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eliminated, given their usefulness in detecting structural abnormalities of the urinary tract, which potentially predispose to UTI.
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