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Abstract
For the nonlinear matrix equations arising in the analysis of M/G/1-type and GI/M/1-type Markov chains, the minimal nonnegative solution $G$ or $R$ can be found by Newton-like methods. Recently a fast Newton’s iteration is proposed in [14]. We apply the Newton-Shamanskii iteration to the equations. Starting with zero initial guess or some other suitable initial guess, the Newton-Shamanskii iteration provides a monotonically increasing sequence of nonnegative matrices converging to the minimal nonnegative solution. We use the technique in [?] to accelerate the Newton-Shamanskii iteration. Numerical examples illustrate the effectiveness of the Newton-Shamanskii iteration.
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1 Introduction

Some necessary notation for this article is as follows. For any matrix $B = [b_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \geq 0$ ($B > 0$) if $b_{ij} \geq 0$ ($b_{ij} > 0$) for all $i, j$; for any matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $A \geq B$ ($A > B$) if $a_{ij} \geq b_{ij}$ ($a_{ij} > b_{ij}$) for all $i, j$; the vector with all entries one is denoted by $e$ — i.e. $e = (1, 1, \cdots, 1)^T$; and the identity matrix is denoted by $I$. An M/G/1-type Markov Chain (MC) is defined by a transition probability matrix of the form

$$P = \begin{bmatrix}
B_0 & B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & \cdots \\
C & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & \cdots \\
&A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots \\
&A_0 & A_1 & \cdots \\
& & & \cdots \\
0 & & & \cdots 
\end{bmatrix},$$

while the transition probability matrix of a GI/M/1-type MC is as follows

$$P = \begin{bmatrix}
B_0 & C & 0 \\
B_1 & A_1 & A_0 \\
B_2 & A_2 & A_1 & A_0 \\
B_3 & A_3 & A_2 & A_1 & \cdots \\
& & & \cdots & \cdots 
\end{bmatrix},$$

where $B_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_0 \times m_0}$ and $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, respectively. $N$ is the smallest index $i$ such that $A_i$, for $i > N$, is (numerically) zero. The steady state probability vector of an M/G/1-type MC, if it exists,
can be expressed in terms of a matrix $G$ that is the element-wise minimal nonnegative solution to the nonlinear matrix equation  

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} A_i G^i \quad (1.1)$$

Similarly, for the GI/M/1-type MC a matrix $R$ is of practical interest, which is the element-wise minimal nonnegative solution to the nonlinear matrix equation  

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} R^i A_i \quad (1.2)$$

It’s known that any M/G/1-type MC can be transformed into a GI/M/1-type MC and vice versa through either the Ramaswami [11] or Bright [12] dual, and the $G(R)$ matrix can be obtained directly in terms of the $R(G)$ matrix of the dual chain. The drift of the chain is defined by  

$$\rho = p^T \beta \quad (1.3)$$

where $p$ is the stationary probability vector of the irreducible stochastic matrix $A = \sum_{i=0}^{N} A_i$, $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} i A_i e$. The MC is positive recurrent if $\rho < 1$, null recurrent if $\rho = 1$ and transient if $\rho > 1$ — and throughout this article it is assumed that $\rho \neq 1$.

Available algorithms for finding the minimal nonnegative solution to Eq. (1.1) include functional iterations [7], pointwise cyclic reduction (CR) [3], the invariant subspace approach (IS) [2], the Ramaswami reduction (RR) [4], and the Newton iteration (NI) [15, 6, 10, 14]. For the detailed comparison of these algorithms, we refer the readers to [14] and the references therein. Recently, a fast Newton’s iteration is proposed in [14] and results in substantial improvement on CPU time compared with its predecessors. From numerical experience, the fast Newton’s iteration is a very competitive algorithm.

In this paper, the Newton-Shamanskii iteration is applied to the Eq. (1.1). Starting with a suitable initial guess, the sequence generated by the Newton-Shamanskii iteration is monotonically increasing and converges to the minimal nonnegative solution of Eq. (1.1). Similar with Newton’s iteration, equation involved in the Newton-Shamanskii step is also a linear equation of the form $\sum_{j=0}^{N} B_j X C^j = E$, which can be solved by a Schur-decomposition method. The Newton-Shamanskii iteration differs from Newton’s iteration as the Fréchet derivative is not updated at each iteration, therefore the special coefficient matrix structure form can be reused.

The paper is organized as follows. The Newton-Shamanskii iteration and its accelerated iterative procedure using a Schur-decomposition method are given in Section 2. Then M/G/1-type MCs with low-rank downward transitions and low-rank local and upward transitions are considered in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively. Numerical results in Section 5 show that the fast Newton-Shamanskii iteration can be more efficient than the fast Newton’s iteration proposed in [14]. Final conclusions are presented in Section 6.

## 2 Newton-Shamanskii Iteration

In this section we present the Newton-Shamanskii iteration for the Eq. (1.1). First we rewrite (1.1) as  

$$G(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} A_i X^i - X = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

The function $G$ is a mapping from $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ into itself and the Fréchet derivative of $G$ at $X$ is a linear map $G'_X : \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ given by  

$$G'_X(Z) = \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} A_v X^j Z X^{v-1-j} - Z. \quad (2.2)$$
The second derivative at $X$, $G''_X : \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, is given by

$$
G''_X(Z_1, Z_2) = \sum_{v=2}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} A_v X^i Z_1 X^{v-1-i} Z_1 + \sum_{v=2}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-2} A_v X^j Z_1 (X^j Z_2 X^{v-2-j}).
$$

(2.3)

For a given initial guess $G_{0,0}$, the Newton-Shamanskii iteration for the solution of $G(x) = 0$ is as follows:

for $k = 0, 1, \cdots$

$$
G'_{k,s} X_{k,s-1} = -G(G_{k,s-1}), \quad G_{k,s} = G_{k,s-1} + X_{k,s-1}, \quad s = 1, 2, \cdots, n_k,
$$

(2.4)

$$
G_{k+1} = G_{k+1,0} = G_{k,n_k}.
$$

(2.5)

$X_{k,s-1}$ is the solution to

$$
X_{k,s-1} - \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_v G_k^{v-1-j} X_{k,s-1} G_k^{v-1-j} = \sum_{v=0}^{N} A_v G_k^{v-1-j} - G_k^{v-1-j},
$$

which, after rearranging the terms, can be rewritten as

$$
X_{k,s-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{v=0}^{N} A_v G_k^{v-1-j} X_{k,s-1} G_k^{v-1-j} = \sum_{v=0}^{N} A_v G_k^{v-1-j} - G_k^{v-1-j}.
$$

(2.6)

Following the notation of [14], we define $S_{k,i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_j G_k^{j-i}$, then the above equation is

$$
(S_{k,1} - I) X_{k,s-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{k,j+1} X_{k,s-1} G_k^{j} = G_{k,s-1} - \sum_{v=0}^{N} A_v G_k^{v-1-j},
$$

(2.7)

which is a linear equation of the same form $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} B_j X C^j = E$ as the Newton’s iteration step. It can be solved fast by applying a Schur decomposition on the matrix $C$, which is the $m \times m$ matrix $G_k$ here, and then solving $m$ linear systems with $m$ unknowns and equations. For the detailed description for solving $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} B_j X C^j = E$, we refer the reader to [13] [14]. We stress that for Newton-Shamanskii iteration, the coefficient matrices are updated once after every $n_k$ iteration steps and the special coefficient structure can be reused, so the cost per iteration step is reduced significantly.

### 3 The Case of Low-Rank Downward Transitions

When the matrix $A_0$ is of rank $r$, meaning it can be decomposed as $A_0 = \tilde{A}_0 \Gamma$ with $\tilde{A}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$ and $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m}$, we refer to the MC as having low-rank downward transitions. If Newton-Shamanskii iteration is applied to this case, all the matrices $X_{k,s-1}$ can be written as $\tilde{X}_{k,s-1} \Gamma$. This can be shown by make induction on the index $s$. $X_{0,0}$ can be written as $\tilde{X}_{0,0} \Gamma$ and we assume that it is true for all $X_{l,j-1}$ for $l = 0, \ldots, k$ and $j = 1, \ldots, s - 1$. Hence $G_{k,s-1}$ can be written as $\tilde{G}_{k,s-1} \Gamma$, since $G_{k,s-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} X_{l,j-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} X_{k,j-1} = (\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \tilde{X}_{l,j-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \tilde{X}_{k,j-1}) \Gamma$. Then (2.10) can be rewritten as

$$
X_{k,s-1} = \tilde{A}_0 \Gamma + \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_j G_{k,s-1}^{j-1} \tilde{G}_{k,s-1} \Gamma - \tilde{G}_{k,s-1} \Gamma + \sum_{v=1}^{N} A_v G_k^{v-1} X_{k,s-1}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{v=0}^{N} A_v G_k^{v-1-j} X_{k,s-1} G_k^{v-1-j} \tilde{G} \Gamma,
$$

(2.10)
For the case of low-rank local and upward transitions, we can rewrite which means

\[ (I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} A_v G_v^{v-1})^{-1} \]

\[ \times (\hat{A}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_j G_j^{j-1} \hat{G}_{k,s-1} - \hat{G}_{k,s-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{v=j+1}^{N} A_v G_v^{v-1-j} X_{k,s-1} G_j^{j-1} \hat{G}_{k}) \Gamma, \]

therefore \( X_{k,s-1} \) can be decomposed as the product of an \( m \times r \) matrix \( \hat{X}_{k,s-1} \) and an \( r \times m \) matrix \( \Gamma \). The inverse on the right-hand-side exists since \( 0 \leq \sum_{v=1}^{N} A_v G_v^{v-1} \leq \sum_{v=1}^{N} A_v G_v^{v-1} \) and the spectral radius of \( \sum_{v=1}^{N} A_v G_v^{v-1} \) is strictly than one \([5]\). Therefore we will concentrate on finding \( \hat{X}_{k,s-1} \) as the solution to

\[
\hat{X}_{k,s-1} = \hat{A}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_j G_j^{j-1} \hat{G}_{k,s-1} + \sum_{v=1}^{N} A_v G_v^{v-1} \hat{X}_{k,s-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{v=j+1}^{N} A_v G_v^{v-1-j} \hat{G}_{k,s-1} \Gamma G_k^{j-1} \hat{G}_{k},
\]

which can be rewritten as

\[
(S_{k,1} - I) \hat{X}_{k,s-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} S_{k,j+1} \hat{X}_{k,s-1} (\Gamma \hat{G}_k)^j = (I - \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_j G_j^{j-1}) \hat{G}_{k,s-1} - \hat{A}_0. \quad (3.1)
\]

We can use the Schur decomposition method in \([13, 14]\) to solve the above equation. Different from the Newton’s iteration in \([14]\), the special coefficient structure can be reused here, thus saving the overall computational cost. We will report the numerical performance of the Newton-Shamanski iteraiton in Section 3.

4 The Case of Low-Rank Local and Upward Transitions

In this section, the case of low-rank local and upward transitions is considered, where the \( m \times m \) matrices \( \{A_i, 1 \leq i \leq N\} \) can be decomposed as \( A_i = \Gamma \hat{A}_i \) with \( \Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r} \) and \( \hat{A}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m} \). To exploit low-rank local and upward transitions, we introduce the matrix \( U \), which is the generator of the censored Markov chain on level \( i \), starting from level \( i \), before the first transition on level \( i-1 \). The following equality holds based on a level crossing argument:

\[
U = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i G_i^{i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i ((I - U)^{-1} A_0)^{i-1}. \quad (4.1)
\]

For the case of low-rank local and upward transitions, we can rewrite \( U \) as

\[
U = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i ((I - U)^{-1} A_0)^{i-1} = \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{A}_i ((I - U)^{-1} A_0)^{i-1},
\]

which means \( U \) is of rank \( r \), while \( G = (I - U)^{-1} A_0 \) is generally of rank \( m \).

Therefore we find \( U \) as the solution to

\[
F(X) = X - \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i ((I - X)^{-1} A_0)^{i-1} = 0, \quad (4.2)
\]
and get $G$ from $G = (I - U)^{-1}A_0$ [16] [13]. The Newton-Shamanskii iteration step for Eq. (1.2) is as follows:

for $k = 0, 1, \cdots$

$$
\mathcal{F}'_{U_k} Y_{k,s-1} = -\mathcal{F}(U_{k,s-1}), \quad U_{k,s} = U_{k,s-1} + Y_{k,s-1}, \quad s = 1, 2, \cdots, n_k,
$$

$$
U_{k+1} = U_{k+1,0} = U_{k,n_k}.
$$

$Y_{k,s-1}$ is the solution to

$$
Y_{k,s-1} = \sum_{i=2}^{N} A_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} ((I - U_k)^{-1} A_0)^{i-j}(I - U_k)^{-1} Y_{k,s-1} ((I - U_k)^{-1} A_0)^{i-j}
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i ((I - U_{k,s-1})^{-1} A_0)^{i-1} - U_{k,s-1}.
$$

(4.3)

If we define $R_{k,j} = \sum_{i=j+1}^{N} A_i ((I - U_k)^{-1} A_0)^{i-j}(I - U_k)^{-1}$ and rearrange the terms, Eq. (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$
Y_{k,s-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} R_{k,j} Y_{k,s-1} ((I - U_k)^{-1} A_0)^{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i ((I - U_{k,s-1})^{-1} A_0)^{i-1} - U_{k,s-1},
$$

which is of the form $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} B_j X C^j = E$. This iteration enables us to exploit low-rank local and upward transitions. The iterates $U_{k,s} = U_{k,s-1} + Y_{k,s-1}$, where $Y_{k,s-1}$ solves Eq. (1.3), can be rewritten as $U_{k,s} = \Gamma \tilde{U}_{k,s}$. This can be shown by make induction on the index $s$. It obviously holds for $U_{0,0}$. Assuming $U_{k,s-1} = \Gamma \tilde{U}_{k,s-1}$, from Eq. (1.3) we get

$$
Y_{k,s-1} = \Gamma \sum_{i=2}^{N} \tilde{A}_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} ((I - U_k)^{-1} A_0)^{j-1}(I - U_k)^{-1} Y_{k,s-1} ((I - U_k)^{-1} A_0)^{i-j}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{A}_i ((I - U_{k,s-1})^{-1} A_0)^{i-1} - \tilde{U}_{k,s-1},
$$

which tell us that $Y_{k,s-1}$ can be decomposed as $\Gamma \tilde{Y}_{k,s-1}$, and the same holds for $U_{k,s} = U_{k,s-1} + Y_{k,s-1}$. Therefore from Eq. (4.3) we will focus on finding $\tilde{Y}_{k,s-1}$ as the solution to

$$
\tilde{Y}_{k,s-1} = \sum_{i=2}^{N} \tilde{A}_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} ((I - U_k)^{-1} A_0)^{j-1}(I - U_k)^{-1} \tilde{Y}_{k,s-1} ((I - U_k)^{-1} A_0)^{i-j}
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{A}_i ((I - U_{k,s-1})^{-1} A_0)^{i-1} - \tilde{U}_{k,s-1}.
$$

Defining $\tilde{R}_{k,j} = \sum_{i=j+1}^{N} \tilde{A}_i ((I - U_k)^{-1} A_0)^{i-j}(I - U_k)^{-1}$, we can rewrite the above equation as

$$
\tilde{Y}_{k,s-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \tilde{R}_{k,j} \tilde{Y}_{k,s-1} ((I - U_k)^{-1} A_0)^{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{A}_i ((I - U_{k,s-1})^{-1} A_0)^{i-1} - \tilde{U}_{k,s-1},
$$

(4.4)

which is of the form $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} B_j X C^j = E$.

## 5 Convergence Analysis

There is monotone convergence when the Newton-Shamanskii method is applied to the Eq. (1.1).
5.1 Preliminary

Let us first recall that a real square matrix $A$ is a $Z$-matrix if all its off-diagonal elements are nonpositive, and can be written as $sI - B$ with $B \geq 0$. Moreover, a $Z$-matrix $A$ is called an $M$-matrix if $s \geq \rho(B)$, where $\rho(\cdot)$ is the spectral radius; it is a singular $M$-matrix if $s = \rho(B)$, and a nonsingular $M$-matrix if $s > \rho(B)$. The following result from Ref. [17] is to be exploited.

**Lemma 5.1.** For a $Z$-matrix $A$, the following statements are equivalent:

(a) $A$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix;

(b) $A^{-1} \geq 0$;

(c) $Av > 0$ for some vector $v > 0$;

(d) All eigenvalues of $A$ have positive real parts.

The following result is also well known [17].

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $A$ be a nonsingular $M$-matrix. If $B \geq A$ is a $Z$-matrix, then $B$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix. Moreover, $B^{-1} \leq A^{-1}$.

The minimal nonnegative solution $S$ for the Eq. (1.1) may also be recalled — cf. Ref. [15] for details.

**Theorem 5.1.** If the rate $\rho$ defined by Eq. (1.3) satisfies $\rho \neq 1$, then the matrix

$$ I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (G^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v G^j $$

is a nonsingular $M$-matrix.

5.2 Monotone convergence

The following lemma displays the monotone convergence properties of the Newton iteration for the Eq. (1.1).

**Lemma 5.3.** Consider a matrix $X$ such that

(i) $G(X) \geq 0$,

(ii) $0 \leq X \leq G$,

(iii) $I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (X^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v X^j$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix.

Then the matrix

$$ Y = X - (G_X)^{-1} G(X) $$

(5.1)

is well defined, and

(a) $G(Y) \geq 0$,

(b) $0 \leq X \leq Y \leq G$,

(c) $I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (Y^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v Y^j$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix.
Proof. $G_X$ is invertible and the matrix $Y$ is well defined, from (iii) and Lemma 5.1. Since
\[
[I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (X^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v X^j]^{-1} \geq 0
\]
from (iii) and Lemma 5.1 and $G(X) \geq 0$, we get that $vec(Y) \geq vec(X)$ and thus $Y \geq X$. From Eq. (5.1) and the Taylor formula, there exists a number $\theta$, $0 < \theta < 1$, such that
\[
G(Y) = G(X) + G_X (Y - X) + \frac{1}{2} G''_X (\theta_1 (Y - X), \theta_1 (Y - X)) \\
\geq 0,
\]
so (a) is proven. (b) may be proven as follows. From
\[
0 = G(G) = G(X) + G_X (G - X) + \frac{1}{2} G''_X (\theta_2 (G - X), \theta_2 (G - X)),
\]
where $0 < \theta_2 < 1$, we have
\[
- G'_X (G - Y) = G'_X (Y - X) - G'_X (G - X) \\
= \frac{1}{2} G''_X (\theta_2 (G - X), \theta_2 (G - X)) \\
\geq 0,
\]
where the last inequality is from $G - X \geq 0$ by (ii). It is notable that
\[
I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (X^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v X^j
\]
is a nonsingular $M$-matrix, so $vec(G - Y) \geq 0$ from Lemma 5.1, i.e. $G - Y \geq 0$. Now $Y \geq X$, so (b) follows. Next we prove (c). Since $0 \leq Y \leq G$,
\[
I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (Y^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v Y^j \geq I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (G^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v G^j,
\]
and $I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (G^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v G^j$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix. Consequently from Lemma 5.2, $I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (Y^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v Y^j$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix.

A generalization of Lemma 5.3 provides the theoretical basis for the monotone convergence of the Newton-Shamanskii method for the Eq. (1.1).

Lemma 5.4. Consider a matrix $X$ such that
\[(i) \ G(X) \geq 0 ,
\]
\[(ii) \ 0 \leq X \leq G ,
\]
\[(iii) \ I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (X^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v X^j \text{ is a nonsingular } M\text{-matrix}.
\]
Then for any matrix $Z$ where $0 \leq Z \leq X$, the matrix
\[Y = X - (G'_Z)^{-1} G(X)
\]
exists such that
(a) \( \mathcal{G}(Y) \geq 0 \),

(b) \( 0 \leq X \leq Y \leq G \),

(c) \( I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (Y^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v Y^j \) is a nonsingular \( M \)-matrix.

Proof. Since \( 0 \leq Z \leq X \),

\[
I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (Z^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v Z^j \geq I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (X^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v X^j.
\]

From (iii) and Lemma 5.2, \( \mathcal{G}_Z \) is invertible and the matrix \( Y \) is well defined such that \( 0 \leq X \leq Y \). Let

\[ \hat{Y} = X - (\mathcal{G}_X^{-1}) \mathcal{G}(X), \]

such that \( \hat{Y} \geq Y \) from Lemma 5.2. As also \( \hat{Y} \leq G \) from Lemma 5.3 (b) follows. Now

\[
I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (\hat{Y}^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v \hat{Y}^j
\]

is a nonsingular \( M \)-matrix from Lemma 5.3 and \( \hat{Y} \geq Y \), therefore \( I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (Y^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v Y^j \) is a nonsingular \( M \)-matrix from Lemma 5.2. Next we show (a) is true. From the Taylor formula, there exists two numbers \( \theta_3 \) and \( \theta_4 \), where \( 0 < \theta_3, \theta_4 < 1 \), such that

\[
\mathcal{G}(Y) = \mathcal{G}(X) + \mathcal{G}_X'(Y-X) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_X''(\theta_3(Y-X), \theta_3(Y-X))
\]

\[
= \mathcal{G}(X) + \mathcal{G}_X'(Y-X) + (\mathcal{G}_Z - \mathcal{G}_X')'(Y-X) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_X''(\theta_3(Y-X), \theta_3(Y-X))
\]

\[
= \mathcal{G}_Z''((Y-X), \theta_4(X-Z)) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_X''(\theta_3(Y-X), \theta_3(Y-X))
\]

\[
\geq 0,
\]

where the last inequality holds since \( X - Z \geq 0 \) and \( Y - X \geq 0 \).

The monotone convergence result for the Newton-Shamanskii method applied to the Eq. (1.1) follows.

**Theorem 5.2.** Suppose that a matrix \( G_0 \) is such that

(i) \( \mathcal{G}(G_0) \geq 0 \),

(ii) \( 0 \leq G_0 \leq G \),

(iii) \( I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (G_0^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v G_0^j \) is a nonsingular \( M \)-matrix.

Then the Newton-Shamanskii algorithm (2.4)-(2.6) generates a sequence \( \{G_k\} \) such that \( G_k \leq G_{k+1} \leq G \) for all \( k \geq 0 \), and \( \lim_{k \to \infty} G_k = G \).

Proof. The proof is by mathematical induction. From Lemma 5.4

\[
G_0 = G_{0,0} \leq \cdots \leq G_{0,n_0} = G_1 \leq G,
\]

\[ \mathcal{G}(G_1) \geq 0, \]

and

\[
I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (G_1^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v G_1^j
\]
is a nonsingular $M$-matrix. Assuming  
\[ G(G_i) \geq 0 , \]
\[ G_0 = G_{0,0} \leq \cdots \leq G_{0,n_0} = G_1 \leq \cdots \leq G_{i-1,n_{i-1}} = G_i \leq G , \]
and that  
\[ I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (G_i^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v X^j \]
is a nonsingular $M$-matrix, from Lemma 5.3  
\[ G(G_{i+1}) \geq 0 , \]
\[ G_i = G_{i,0} \leq \cdots \leq G_{i,n_i} = G_{i+1} \leq G , \]
and  
\[ I - \sum_{v=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (G_i^{v-1-j})^T \otimes A_v G_{i+1}^j \]
is a nonsingular $M$-matrix. By induction, the sequence  
\{G_k\} is therefore monotonically increasing and bounded above by $G$, and so has a limit $G^*$ such that  
\[ G^* \leq G . \]
Letting $i \to \infty$ in  
\[ G_{i+1} \geq G_{i,1} = G_i - (G(G_i))^{-1} G(G_i) \geq 0 , \]
it follows that  
\[ G(G^*) = 0 . \]
Consequently,  
\[ G^* = G \] since  
\[ G^* \leq G \] and $G$ is the minimal nonnegative solution of Eq. (1.1).

6 Numerical Experiments

So, while more iterations will be needed than for Newton’s method, the overall cost of the fast Newton-Shamanskii iteration will be much less.

7 Conclusions
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