Free-living greylag geese adjust their heart rates and body core temperatures to season and reproductive context

Claudia A. F. Wascher1,2, Kurt Kotrschal1,3 & Walter Arnold4

Animals adaptively regulate their metabolic rate and hence energy expenditure over the annual cycle to cope with energetic challenges. We studied energy management in greylag geese. In all geese, profound seasonal changes of heart rate ($f_H$) and body temperature ($T_b$) showed peaks in summer and troughs during winter, and also daily modulation of $f_H$ and $T_b$. Daily mean $f_H$ was on average 22% lower at the winter trough than at the summer peak, whereas daily mean $T_b$ at the winter trough was only about 1 °C below the summer peak. Daily means of $T_b$ together with those of air temperature and day length were the most important predictors of daily mean $f_H$, which was further modulated by precipitation, reproductive state, and, to a minor degree, social rank. Peaks of $f_H$ and $T_b$ occurred earlier in incubating females compared to males. Leading goslings increased daily mean $f_H$. Our results suggest that in greylag geese, pronounced changes of $f_H$ over the year are caused by photoperiod-induced changes of endogenous heat production. Similar to large non-hibernating mammals, tolerance of lower $T_b$ during winter seems the major factor permitting this. On top of these major seasonal changes, $f_H$ and $T_b$ are elevated in incubating females.

In endothermic animals, low ambient temperatures increase energy requirements to maintain high core body temperature ($T_b$). This is particularly problematic for herbivores which often simultaneously face severe food shortages. The result is a direct survival risk that may affect fitness in following seasons. Daily torpor or hibernation are strategies for down-regulating metabolic rate (MR) and $T_b$, thereby adjusting energy management when faced with food shortage and/or low ambient temperatures (for review see9,10). An evident cost to both strategies is constrained manoeuvrability and behavioural reactivity. Therefore, daily torpor and hibernation are typically associated with the availability of shelters. However, large non-hibernating mammals, such as red deer (Cervus elaphus), Przewalski's horse (Equus ferus przewalskii), Alpine ibex (Capra ibex), or Shetland pony (Equus caballus) also show substantial reduction of winter energy expenditure. These mammals do so by employing similar physiological mechanisms compared to hibernators or daily heterotherms.

In birds, reduced basal metabolic rate (BMR) and associated facultative hypothermia occurs in many species as a reaction to food shortage or low ambient temperatures; one species, the common poorwill (Phalaenoptilus nuttallii) is even known to hibernate (reviewed in12,13). However, most studies of seasonal metabolic adjustments in birds found that BMR is up-regulated during winter (reviewed in14). It has been suggested that seasonal changes in standard metabolic rate (SMR) depend on body size, i.e., increasing during winter in small birds, but decreasing in large birds (>200 g). Hitherto, the empirical evidence for this remains equivocal and the picture is even more obscured by seasonal migration, a strategy to escape harsh winter conditions.
Besides extreme temperatures and food shortage, reproduction and moult pose major energetic challenges\textsuperscript{16}. Birds are known to increase energy expenditure during different phases of reproduction, e.g. egg production, incubation, nesting provisioning\textsuperscript{17–19}. Two competing hypotheses about energy allocation in seasonally breeding birds have been suggested: The ‘increased demand hypothesis’ predicts that the total energy demand is greater during reproduction than during winter and hence, a seasonal peak in MR is expected during the reproductive phase. Crucially, changes in energy expenditure over the annual cycle strongly depend, according to this hypothesis, on seasonal changes in activity patterns and the energy content of food\textsuperscript{20,21}. However, the geese in our study were \textit{ad libitum} fed also during winter. Therefore, we can rule out the availability and energy content of the food to be the driver for changes in MR. In line with the ‘increase demand hypothesis’ we would expect little Tb variation across the year, but an increase in MR during the reproductive period.

In contrast, the ‘reallocation hypothesis’ predicts relative little variation in Tb and MR during the annual cycle. During winter, birds are expected to have higher energy expenditure due to increased thermoregulatory needs. This additional energy expenditure is, according to the ‘reallocation hypothesis’, reallocated to reproductive activity during the breeding season, because birds breed when ambient temperatures are moderate\textsuperscript{22}. Hitherto, little empirical support has been produced for either hypothesis\textsuperscript{21,22}. Based on what is known about the winter physiology of non-hibernating mammals, we here suggest ‘winter hypometabolism’ as a third hypothesis for explaining seasonal changes of MR. For large birds, such as geese, this hypothesis predicts a decrease in MR during winter mainly due to reduced endogenous heat production and a tolerance of lower Tb\textsuperscript{6,15}, particularly during the nocturnal rest phase\textsuperscript{5,7}. Further the winter hypometabolism hypothesis predicts higher MR and Tb during summer independent of reproduction, i.e., also occurring in non-reproducing birds. Therefore, in contrast to previous studies which mostly considered changes in activity patterns and energy content of food as drivers for changes in MR, we here predict that a change of endogenous heat production, accompanied by a down-regulation of Tb, is the most important modulator of MR.

In the present study, we test these hypotheses via data loggers implanted into 25 individuals of a flock of free-living, non-migrating, individually marked greylag goose (\textit{Anser anser}). The loggers stored 2-minute means of \( f_{B} \), an acknowledged proxy of field metabolic rate (FMR)\textsuperscript{25–28}, and abdominal Tb for a maximum of 18 months. FMR is measured in free-living animals under natural conditions, in contrast to BMR and SMR, which measure MR under standardized conditions, e.g., during rest, in a specific environment regarding temperature.

**Results**

Daily mean heart rate (\( f_{H} \)) and body core temperature (\( T_{b} \)) both varied profoundly over the year, with peaks during summer and troughs during winter. The magnitude of these peaks and troughs was similar in reproductive and non-reproductive females and males (Fig. 1). Compared to the summer peaks, mean \( f_{H} \) was about 22\% lower at the winter troughs. Conversely, despite virtually identical seasonal patterns, average \( T_{b} \) at the winter troughs was only about 1°C below the summer peaks (Fig. 1). Troughs of \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \) occurred during the period of December to March. Peak \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \) occurred between July and September for most birds, with the exception of reproductive females, which had highest \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \) during the incubation period in April/May (Fig. 1). The period of moult coincided largely with the period of highest \( f_{H} \) for males and non-reproductive females (Fig. 1), although this was not a statistically significant effect (\( p = 0.636 \), Table 1).

Among all variables and factors tested for independent associations with daily mean \( f_{H} \), daily mean \( T_{b} \) was by far the strongest predictor of \( f_{H} \). \( T_{b} \) correlated positively with \( f_{H} \) in both sexes with a slightly stronger effect in females (Table 1). In females the second strongest predictor of \( f_{H} \) was photoperiod and the magnitude of this effect was much greater than in males (Table 1). Mean air temperature and the amount of precipitation per day were negatively related to daily mean \( f_{H} \) in both sexes, but again with stronger effects of air temperature observed for females (Table 1). During incubation females showed comparatively lower \( f_{H} \) after correcting for their higher \( T_{b} \) during this period the same effect was found in the attending male partner (Table 1). Leading goslings after hatching in both sexes was associated with higher \( f_{H} \) (Table 1). Age had no discernible effect on \( f_{H} \) in either sex. The percentage of won interactions during aggressive interactions had only weak effects. Interestingly, this indicator of rank in the flock was positively associated with \( f_{H} \) in males but negatively in females (Table 1).

The correspondence between \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \) on an annual scale (Fig. 1) was also evident on a daily scale (Fig. 2). The pattern found in hourly means of \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \) during summer (July/August) and winter days (December/January) resembled the typical courses of \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \) of diurnal animals, i.e., lower \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \) during the night. However, \( T_{b} \) was significantly lower over the day during December/January as compared to July/August. The same difference was present in \( f_{H} \) except during early morning and late afternoon hours in December/January when pronounced peaks of \( f_{H} \) occurred (Fig. 2). Both, \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \) were highest in incubating females with apparently minor differences between day and night, in contrast to the patterns found in their mates over the same time period (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

The changes in heart rate (\( f_{H} \)) recorded over the annual cycle indicate a reduction of field metabolic rate (FMR) during winter in the observed population of greylag geese. Among all variables and factors tested for independent associations with daily mean \( f_{H} \), daily mean \( T_{b} \) was by far the strongest predictor of \( f_{H} \). Such a correlated winter drop in \( T_{b} \) and \( f_{H} \) contradicts both, the ‘increased demand hypothesis’ and the ‘reallocation hypothesis’; but supports our novel hypothesis of ‘winter hypometabolism.’ Among the potential predictors of \( f_{H} \) tested, \( T_{b} \) had by far the strongest effect on \( f_{H} \) in both sexes (Table 1). This result suggests that the reduction of \( f_{H} \) is mainly due to a decreased endogenous heat production and simultaneous down-regulation of \( T_{b} \). The closely associated patterns of \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \) evident over the day and over the year support this view. Changes in locomotor activity may also have contributed to changes of \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \),\textsuperscript{10,21,29} As we have no quantitative simultaneous measurements of activity along with the measurement of \( f_{H} \) and \( T_{b} \), we could not include this factor into our analysis. Still, activity may...
significantly contribute to seasonal changes of $f_{H}$, although the contribution was presumably minor as compared to that of $T_{b}$ as has been found in other species. Furthermore, the higher morning and afternoon peaks of $f_{H}$ during January and December, as compared to July and August, most likely resulted from increased activity triggered by our feeding schedule. The food provided during the winter months was particularly attractive to the geese and likely caused increased locomotor activity during the two daily feeding periods. Together with heat increment of feeding this may have constrained the otherwise more continuous metabolic depression during winter.

A profound decrease of FMR during winter, however, was found despite our ad libitum feeding, indicating that photoperiod rather than food availability is the major cue governing the annual cycle of $f_{H}$ and $T_{b}$. After correcting for other factors, photoperiod indeed showed the second-strongest influence on $f_{H}$ in females and the third-strongest influence in males. Independent of these effects, unfavourable weather conditions, i.e. low air temperatures and considerable precipitation also increased $f_{H}$. However, high precipitation increased mean $f_{H}$ similarly in both sexes, whereas low air temperatures had a greater effect on female than male $f_{H}$. This sex difference may be due to lower body mass of females, rendering them more prone to heat loss.

We observed a modulation of endogenous heat production not only as a result of photoperiod-induced seasonal acclimatization and unfavourable weather conditions, but also in response to other energetically costly situations, such as reproduction. For example, we found a significantly earlier annual peak of $f_{H}$ in incubating females as compared to non-incubating females. The higher energy expenditure of incubating females in contrast to non-incubating birds apparently results from the need to maintain high endogenous heat production and $T_{b}$ even through the night in order to keep eggs warm. Furthermore, the energetic cost of, again photoperiod-induced,
Moulting 0.00283 0.473 0.636 0.00122 0.366 0.714
Incubating −−−
Age −−−
Precipitation −−−
Daily mean air temperature 0.06222 0.728 21.171
Daily mean body temperature 0.00368 −0.00002 −0.00266

| Females (df for age = 5, all others = 2248) | Males (df for age = 15, all others = 5727) | difference between sexes (df for age = 20, all others = 7975) |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Partial coefficient | Standardized coefficient | t-value | p-value | Partial coefficient | Standardized coefficient | t-value | p-value | Partial coefficient | Standardized coefficient | t-value | p-value |
| Intercept | 0.44594 | 3.814 | <0.001 | −0.42111 | −5.455 | <0.001 | 4.030 | <0.001 |
| Daily mean body temperature | 0.06222 | 0.728 | 21.171 | <0.001 | 0.08578 | 0.719 | 44.754 | <0.001 | 4.621 | <0.001 |
| Daily mean air temperature | −0.00266 | −0.500 | −11.705 | <0.001 | −0.00195 | −0.320 | −17.271 | <0.001 | 3.253 | 0.001 |
| Photoperiod | 0.01363 | 0.738 | 5.726 | <0.001 | 0.00511 | 0.251 | 4.032 | <0.001 | −3.280 | 0.001 |
| Precipitation | −0.00002 | −0.038 | −3.363 | <0.001 | −0.00003 | −0.043 | −8.399 | <0.001 | −0.613 | 0.540 |
| Age | −0.00368 | −0.235 | −1.622 | 0.166 | −0.00432 | −0.194 | −1.214 | 0.243 | −0.030 | 0.976 |
| % won interactions | −0.00042 | −0.209 | −2.123 | 0.034 | 0.00019 | 0.104 | 1.550 | 0.121 | 2.540 | 0.011 |
| Incubating | −0.03873 | −0.907 | <0.001 | −0.02775 | −3.115 | 0.002 | 0.911 | 0.362 |
| Leading goslings | 0.03105 | 3.330 | 0.001 | −0.02278 | −2.404 | 0.016 | −4.207 | <0.001 |
| Moulting | 0.00283 | 0.473 | 0.636 | 0.00122 | 0.366 | 0.714 | −0.270 | 0.787 |

Table 1. Results of mixed linear modelling of power transformed daily means of heart rate. Continuous predictors are listed in the order of effect size according to standardized coefficients, categorical predictors in the order of effect size according to t-values. Reported p-values are the type I error probabilities of the effect of each predictor after adjusting for the effects of all other predictors in the statistical model.

In conclusion, our results indicate that the pronounced changes of \( f_{H} \) during the year are mainly caused by photoperiod-induced changes of endogenous heat production. Tolerance of lower \( T_{b} \) during winter is a major factor in this. Hence, our results support our ‘hypometabolism hypothesis’. Comparison with males and non-reproducing females demonstrates that in reproducing females \( T_{b} \) and FMR is further elevated during incubation, superimposed on baseline seasonal changes.

**Methods**

**Study population.** A non-migratory flock of greylag geese was introduced in the Almtal (Upper Austria) by late Konrad Lorenz in 1973. The geese are unrestrained and roam the valley between the Konrad Lorenz Forschungsstelle (47°48′N, 13°56′E) and a lake approximately 10km to the South (Almssee; 47°44′N 13°57′E), where they roost at night. At the time of our study the flock consisted of approximately 170 individuals, marked with coloured leg bands for identification. The flock was supplemented with pellets and grain twice daily (0800–0900; 1500–1700), creating a condition of nearly *ad libitum* food provisioning year round. Both hand-raised and goose-raised flock members were habituated to the close presence of humans and neither show avoidance if approached up to 1 m distance, nor did they significantly change \( f_{H} \) when familiar humans approached.[47]
Heart rate and body temperature measurements. Twenty-five individuals (8 females/17 males) were fitted with a battery-powered electronic package (60 × 30 × 11 mm, ~60 g; for further details see46), fully implanted into the abdominal cavity. Packages contained a VHF-transmitter, battery, antenna, a temperature sensor, memory, and were encapsulated in a physiologically inert medical-grade silicone rubber. Two electrode plates (surgical steel, approximately 8 mm in diameter and 1 mm thick), fixed towards the lower rib cage in the vicinity of the heart, detected the QRS complex of the electrocardiogram and were connected to the electronic package with approximately 10 cm long coiled silicone rubber-insulated wire of multistranded stainless steel, fitted into silicone rubber tubing to form an elastic and flexible lead. Heartbeats were counted by a microcontroller and average values for consecutive 2-minute intervals were stored on board. Accuracy of $f_H$ measurement was ±2 beats per minute (bpm). Output of the temperature sensor was also stored on board at the end of each consecutive 2-minute interval. Each sensor was calibrated before implantation in the range of 35–41 °C. We were able to re-calibrate 6 devices after surgical removal upon termination of the experiment. We could not detect a significant drift in any of these devices.

Ethics. All procedures performed in this study involving animals were in accordance with Austrian law and the institutional guidelines of the University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna. Implantations were performed by an experienced team of veterinarians46 under the animal experiment license issued by the Austrian Ministry of Science GZ68.210/41-BrGT/2003).

Weather data. Daily mean air temperature and per day-accumulated precipitation was provided by a weather station at the Almsee, run by the ‘Hyrdographischer Dienst’ of Upper Austria.
Photoperiod. For each day of the year we calculated onset and end of civil twilight at the roosting site Almsee according to http://lexikon.astronomie.info/zeitgleichung/ to determine minutes of daylight and night and to calculate the length of photoperiod.

Observations. Presence of each individual goose and pair-bond status was monitored every other day in a standard way by experienced observers. Additionally, during the reproductive season, nests were monitored and information on numbers of eggs, onset of incubation, hatching date and numbers of hatchlings was collected. For each family, the number of young (goslings) was recorded every day until fledging of the young, at approximately three months of age. When focal individuals had dependent offspring, this parental period of the year was defined as ‘leading goslings’. Lengths of the incubation periods were determined from these observations for each breeding female and its partner. We considered a female and its pair-partner as reproductive during a year when the female was incubating, regardless of whether goslings hatched and survived. Data from reproductive individuals were not available for the months September to December (Fig. 1). A couple of weeks after hatching of the young, geese moult their wing feathers and are unable to fly for a few weeks. Duration of the moulting period was determined for each individual of the flock by observations every other day.

The percentage of won aggressive encounters of each individual was evaluated during summer, fall, and mating season by observing social interactions before and during the daily feedings on five days per period (for details see59). The overall percentage was used as an indicator of an individual’s dominance rank in the flock in a given period.

Data analyses. For the analyses presented here, we used \( f_{31} \) and \( T_b \) data downloaded from the surgically removed electronic packages. We discarded the first days of measurements after implantation of a device because of potential aftermaths of surgery on \( f_{31} \) and \( T_b \). Periods before surgical removal of the transmitters, containing obvious outlier values, which are likely due to dwindling battery power, were also discarded. Raw \( f_{31} \) data were purged with a moving average filter to remove biologically implausible outlier values. All statistical analyses were preformed using R 3.3.0.

We tested continuous and categorical variables potentially associated with seasonal changes of \( f_{31} \) in linear mixed models (R-package ‘nlme’), with daily mean \( f_{31} \) calculated for each individual as response variable. We explicitly included photoperiod as a predictor of \( f_{31} \) to correct for the influence of seasonal differences in day length and hence the period of daily activity and associated \( f_{31} \) and \( T_b \) in these diurnal birds. By including 2-way interactions of all predictors with sex we further tested whether effects differed between females and males. For these analyses, we power-transformed daily mean \( f_{31} \) in order to achieve a normal distribution of residuals.

For visualizing seasonal changes of \( f_{31} \) and \( T_b \) we calculated general additive mixed models (R-package ‘mgcv’44 with spline fits to day of the year as predictor variable of \( f_{31} \) and \( T_b \) for reproductive and non-reproductive females and males, and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of these fits. CI of the timing and height of local trough and peak \( f_{31} \) and \( T_b \), respectively, were determined by bootstrapping from respective distributions of troughs and peaks produced by simulating 10000 replicates of model coefficient vectors from the posterior using ‘mvrnorm’ from R-package MASS.

In all models, we accounted for repeated measures by including individual identity as a random intercept factor and corrected for temporal correlation detected in the residual error term of models by including an auto-regressive correlation structure (‘corARMA’ from R-package ‘nlme’).
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