This paper examined the effect of Absorptive Capacity (ABC) on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in optimum internal utilization using Auto Regressive Distributed Lag Approach (ARDL). The results reveal the relevancy of human capital, patent application, electricity consumption, inflation, number of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.), and trademark as determinants of FDI in Pakistan. In long run FDI is determined by human capital, patent right application, number of PhDs, electric power consumption, inflation, and trademark application while in short run lagged inflation and lagged trademark applications are the relevant arguments. An increase in human capital, patent application, and electricity consumption augments growth process of Pakistan, whereas a rise in the number of PhDs and trademark application retards growth process. Based on empirical evidence, it is recommended that the relevant authorities should formulate policies that augment factors causing FDI inflows and control those that retard it.

**ABSOLUTE**

**INTRODUCTION**

FDI refers to long-term investment made by residents of foreign countries in a host country. It results in the transfer of technology, managerial skills and improvement in production techniques. FDI can be horizontal or vertical, horizontal FDI takes place when multinational companies' start same production techniques and activities in multiple countries and is driven by market seeking motives. Whereas Vertical FDI, on the other hand, refers to multinational company investing in a foreign firm doing the same kind of business that multinational operates domestically. FDI inflows to a majority of countries are vertical in nature due to lack of well-established markets and institutions and are driven by rent or efficiency seeking motives.

FDI has been considered an engine of economic growth for developing economies (Borensztein, De Gregorio and Lee, 1998; De Mello 1999; Chandana and Parantap 2002; Liu et al. 2002; Akinlo 2004; Eller, Haiss and Steiner, 2005; Moses 2011; Tintin 2012; Hussain and Haque 2016; Choi and Baek 2017; Ridzuan, Ismail and Hamat (2017) and has potential to generate employment opportunities, increase productivity, transfers latest managerial skills and modern technology, promote exports and contribute to host country economic development. It acts as a medium for transforming and mobilizing real and intangible resources and can result in economic growth of the country beyond imagination (Bosworth and Collins, 1999).
Since its inception, Pakistan has been faced with social and economic problems that have worsened over time. Economic issues that hamper economic growth of the country and need to be tackled on priority basis include lack of technical and managerial skills, poor infrastructure, high inflation, low literacy level, low research and development (R&D) investment, high poverty, lack of industrialization, rapidly increasing population, and external debts (Government of Pakistan, 2015). Insufficient supply of domestic resources makes it necessary for the country to attract FDI in sufficient amount to address these issues.

Figure 1.1 shows that Pakistan has remained an important destination for FDI inflows over a time. However, the country share in Global FDI has remained volatile and reached its lowest level in 2000 followed by ups and downs in subsequent years.

Country absorptive capacity is one of many factors that attract FDI (Agiomirgianakis, Asteriou, and Papathoma, 2003). Due to its importance, every country tries to attract FDI to its maximum level (United Nation Conference on Trade and Development, 1998). However, with few exceptions, most of the countries have miserably failed in attracting FDI in the required amount. Figures show that four countries namely China, Hong Kong, Netherland and the United States of America attracted more than 50 percent of world FDI, 17 countries attracted FDI between one and five percent and the remaining 20 percent of World’s net FDI was shared by 97 countries (WDI, 2018). This raises the question what factors determine FDI inflows to a particular destination?

FDI results in economic growth due to technological innovation, known as ABC because its availability in required amount helps firms’ embrace new knowledge (Girma, 2005; Blalock & Gertler, 2009; Shamsub, 2014). Despite its importance, the earlier empirical literature on determinants of FDI in Pakistan has not given proper attention to ABC as its determinant (see Iqbal and Ahmad, 2014; Khan and Khan, 2011; and Shahbaz et al., 2011). This study bridges that gap and examines the effect of ABC in attracting FDI in Pakistan using time series data from 1973 to 2014 and ARDL model.

The remaining paper proceeds as: in section 2 past studies evaluating the effect of ABC on FDI are reviewed, followed by a discussion on data and its sources in section 3. Detailed discussion on the model is given in section 4 followed by methodology and results in section 5. Section 6 concludes.
LITERATURE REVIEW

ABC is a broader concept and is considered moderator of productivity and growth. ABC and economic development have non-linear relationship and benefits generated from a marginal increase in ABC vary over a time. It is argued that in order to achieve higher growth, countries or firms must be capable of absorbing, internalizing and utilizing available knowledge. Human capital in sufficient supply generates new knowledge or technologies and translate them into productivity growth. Capacity to absorb external knowledge or technology is determined by the country's stage of economic development. It acts as a catalyst in mobilizing technology flow. FDI, intermediate goods and capital equipment do personify technology flows and in absence of ABC they have no or minimal effect on growth of the country.

FDI and knowledge transfer are positively associated with the growth of the recipient country (Khordagui and Saleh, 2016). However, majority of earlier empirical literature using sectoral level panel and time series data found that Emerging and Middle Eastern Economies failed in taking advantage of FDI due to their insufficient attention on ABC as a determinant of these flows. These studies use human capital as a proxy for ABC. Contrary to these studies, Farole and Winkler (2015) found ABC as a major determinant of extent and direction of spillover effects of FDI on local firm productivity in 78 low- and middle-income countries. Kim, Lee, and Lee (2015) show that ABC mitigates negative spillover effects of FDI by capturing its positive effects in the South Korean manufacturing sector. However, ABC appears insignificant in alleviating negative spillover effect, once endogeneity arising from ABC such as R&D was considered. FDI does not affect income distribution in absence of ABC in the required level (Wu and Hsu, 2012). Hence lack of host country capacity utilization discourages FDI and is therefore harmful for fair distribution of income.

Kinoshita & Lu (2006) show that insufficient and low-quality infrastructure acts as a deterrent to FDI and stagnate economy despite demand for technology consumption. Krogstrup and Matar (2005) using four features of ABC such as (a) financial development, (b) quality of institutions, (c) workforce education, and (d) technology gap found their significant effect on FDI. Girma & Gorg (2005) calculated ABC as the technology gap in terms of Total Factor Productivity (TFP) between domestic establishment and industry leader. Using the UK data and allowing non-linear relationship, they found that ABC is an important factor that determines whether a domestic establishment can benefit from FDI or not.

Bloomstrm and Kokko (2003) show that the level of human capital determines FDI and transfer of technology. Hence countries with strong human capital base attract large FDI and transfer of technology. Berg (2001) noted that quality of labor, their level of experience and education system determine host country capacity to create space for new ideas and adapt old ones. Hence quality education system and strong human capital are essentials for absorbing and adapting foreign technology for attaining sustainable long-run economic growth. Moreover, he illustrates that FDI and human capital interact with each other in complex manners, foreign inflows create the potential for knowledge spill over to the host country's labor force. Human capital, on the other hand, determines domestic firms' extent to absorb potential benefits of FDI. The study concludes that a sufficient level of human capital attracts technology intensive FDI which in turn enhance labor productivity. Noorbakhsh, Paloni, and Yousif (2001) found a positive link between human capital and FDI for developing economies. Kinoshita (2000) argued that there are two channels through which R&D affects productivity growth. First, it directly increases the technology level by adding new
information. The second R&D enhances the ABC of the firm. Based on empirical evidence from Czech Republic manufacturing firms, he concludes that FDI recipient must have the ability to absorb, internalize and adopt the technology. Further, R&D activities help in increasing technology spillover by enhancing the firm’s ABC. De Gregorio and Lee (1998) using human capital as a proxy for ABC show that sufficient availability of human capital results in a positive association between FDI and economic growth of the host country. Borensztein, De Gregorio and Lee (1998) conclude that FDI is an important tool for the transfer of technology and contributes more to economic growth than domestic investment. However, productivity spillover of these inflows is conditioned with host country stock of human capital. Using human capital as a proxy for ABC for 69 developing countries they suggest that introduction of more advanced technology and ABC of host economy determine its economic growth. According to Romer (1990), human capital instead of population size determines the economic growth of the country on a sustainable basis. Countries having a strong human capital stock experience faster growth than those with unskilled human capital.

Data
Time series data from 1973 to 2014 for Pakistan is used for conducting regression analysis. The data is taken from World Bank World Development Indicators, The Global Economy of Pakistan, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), International Monetary Fund International Financial Statistics, and Bureau of Statistics Pakistan. Data on the number of PhDs in Pakistan is taken from the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan Annual Report (2013-14). All variables are used in log form. It enables us to interpret estimated parameters in terms of elasticities (Ajmair, Gilal, Farooq and Hussain 2018).

Model
Asiedu (2006) examined the role of natural resources, market size, government policy, institutions and political instability in attracting FDI for Sub Saharan African countries. Asiedu (2006) approach is augmented for examining the interaction between ABC and FDI inflows. The equation estimated in this paper is given as:

$$\Delta \text{fdi}_t = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i \Delta \text{fdi}_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i \Delta p \text{ar}_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i \Delta \text{phds}_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i \Delta \text{epc}_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i \Delta \text{inf}_{t-i}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i \Delta \text{tmr}_{t-i} + \beta_{f 0} \Delta \text{hc}_{t-i} + \beta_{p 0} \text{par}_{t-1} + \beta_{f 1} \Delta \text{phds}_{t-1} + \beta_{e 1} \Delta \text{epc}_{t-1} + \beta_{i 1} \Delta \text{inf}_{t-1} + \beta_{i 4} \Delta \text{tmr}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (4.1)$$

Where $\text{fdi}_t =$ foreign direct investment, $\text{hc}_t =$ human capital index, $\text{par}_t =$ patent application by residents, $\text{phds}_t =$ number of Doctor of Philosophy, $\text{epc}_t =$ electricity consumption, $\text{inf}_t =$ growth in consumer price index (a proxy for inflation) and $\text{tmr}_t =$ trademark application by residents. $\Delta$ represents difference operator $\varepsilon_t$, is stochastic disturbance which is normally distributed with zero mean and constant variance. $\beta_i$ to $\beta_{14}$ represent short-run dynamics of the model and $\beta_{14}$ to $\beta_{14}$ our long-run estimates of slope parameters. Null hypothesis tested is:

$$H_0: \beta_{8}, \beta_{9}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{12}, \beta_{13}, \beta_{14} = 0 \text{ against alternative hypothesis }$$

$$H_a: \beta_{8} \neq \beta_{9} \neq \beta_{10} \neq \beta_{11} \neq \beta_{12} \neq \beta_{13} \neq \beta_{14} \neq 0$$
Wald or F-statistic is used for testing the presence of long-run cointegrating vector among the variables. Null of no cointegration among the variables is rejected if calculated statistics exceed its upper bound critical values. Null of no cointegration cannot be rejected if calculated F-statistics is lower than the lower bound critical value and results remain inconclusive if calculated F-statistics fall between upper and lower bound critical values.

Following relevant literature on the determinants of FDI, this study uses four features of ABC and they include (a) human capital index (An index showing capacity of skilled and experienced individuals or population in terms of their value or cost to an organization or country), (b) patent applications (A system throughout the world, where people patent their inventions: a product or a process that provides a new way of doing something and this patenting is usually done by patent corporation or national patent office), (c) number of PhDs produced per year) and (d) Trade Mark Applications by residents (Trademark is a distinctive sign that identifies specific good or services by an individual or enterprise). Human capital refers to an embodiment of knowledge of country labor force and is acquired through schooling (Dorozynska and Dorozynska, 2014). It enhances the nation’s ability to absorb and initiate technology and result in more capital inflows in the country (Barro and Sala-i-Martin). Patent right (PR) is part of infrastructure investment in research and development (R&D). PRs are important for research and innovation and grant the right holder to sell their product on price above marginal to recover initial expenditures incurred on research and innovation. Effective implementation of patent rights influence firms' business location decision and market structure. Hence effective implementation of patent rights affect FDI inflows in the country positively (Hsu and Tiao Yu-En, 2015). According to Markusen (1995), multinationals prefer firms and industries having research and development larger than sales, technical and professional labor force, new and complex products, and product differentiation. Since doctorate is the highest professional degree and it is expected that the economies having a large number of doctorates will attract FDI in large amount. Electricity consumption affects FDI through economic growth. Inadequate energy supply results in the low manufacturing output which retards FDI inflows. Hence positive association between energy consumption and FDI inflows is expected. A rising inflation represents macroeconomic uncertainty distort economic activities and leads to lesser inflows of FDI in the country (Khan and Mitra, 2014). Hence the effect of inflation on FDI is negative. Trademarks are component of intellectual property rights. Inadequate protection of intellectual property rights makes the investment in research and development less attractive thus affect global economic growth and international trade. Multinational corporations do not consider the location where intellectual property rights are not strictly implemented in their investment decisions (Seyoum, 1996). Since all these factors except inflation enhance country/firms capacity to absorb FDI and associated knowledge transfer, hence any improvement in these variables will attract FDI in the country. Electric power consumption per capita is used for available infrastructure in the country. It is argued that FDI is associated with development of major sectors of the country economy. Hence in order to achieve such benefit of FDI availability of electricity is sufficient level is inevitable. Hence a positive association between electricity consumption per capita and FDI inflows is expected here (Alam, 2013). High inflation is associated with macroeconomic uncertainty. An increase in inflation distort investors' perception and have a negative effect on the profitability of future investment projects. Hence the negative effect of inflation on FDI is expected (Erramilli and D’Souza, 1995)
EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

ARDL approach is employed for evaluating the interaction between FDI and its covariates for Pakistan. Contrary to Engle and Granger (1987), Johansen (1991) and Phillips and Hansen (1990), Pesaran, Smith, and Shin (2001), ARDL does not require testing integrating order of variables, perform better in small samples and estimates short run and long run relationship simultaneously. Although ARDL does not require to test cointegrating order of the variables, still it is important to do so to make sure none of the variables is I(2) because critical F-statistic was given by Pesaran, Smith, and Shin (2001) become invalid if some of the variables are I(2) or beyond (Oyakhilomen and Zibah, 2014).

Note: ***, **, and * indicates the rejection of Null hypothesis at 1%, 5% and 10% level of significance respectively. Critical values are MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

ADF test that has been widely used in empirical literature to test integrating order of variables is also applied here for testing time series properties of the variables. Results given in table 5.1 indicate that \( fdi_t, par_t, hc_t, tmr_t \) and \( epc_t \) are nonstationary in level and stationary at first difference, whereas \( inf_t \) and \( phdst \) are level stationarity. Since none of the variables is second difference stationary hence using ARDL for examining the importance of ABC in attracting FDI in the country is valid.

ARDL is sensitive to lag length hence information criterion was estimated for selecting an optimal lag length for estimating equation (4.1) using ARDL approach. Based on the Akaike Information Criterion, two lags were used for estimating the equation. Table 5.2 shows that calculated F-statistic exceed upper bound one, five and ten percent critical values hence null of no cointegration among the variables is rejected.

| Variables | Intercept Level | Intercept and Trend Level | Intercept and Trend 1st Difference | Outcome |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| \( fdi_t \) | -2.07          | -5.85***                  | -2.75                             | I(1)    |
| \( phds_t \) | -3.67          | --                        | -4.69***                          | I(0)    |
| \( par_t \) | 0.125          | -6.29***                  | -1.60                             | I(1)    |
| \( hc_t \) | -1.92          | -1.72**                   | -2.94                             | I(1)    |
| \( tmr_t \) | -0.27          | -9.39***                  | -4.73                             | I(1)    |
| \( epc_t \) | -2.80          | -4.54***                  | 0.19                              | I(1)    |
| \( inf_t \) | -2.97**        | _                         | -3.87**                           | I(0)    |

Note: ***, **, and * indicates the rejection of Null hypothesis at 1%, 5% and 10% level of significance respectively. Critical values are MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

| Significance level | Calculated F Statistic 6.609984 |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|
|                    | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| 1 percent           | 2.88        | 3.99        |
| 2.5 percent         | 2.55        | 3.61        |
| 5 percent           | 2.27        | 3.82        |
| 10 percent          | 1.99        | 2.94        |
Results of the normalized cointegrating vector are given in table 5.3. It is clear from the table that in the long run human capital, patent right application, electricity power consumption and inflation have a significant positive effect on FDI. However, the number of Doctor of Philosophy and trademark application effect on FDI in long run is negative.

Table 5.3
Estimates of Long Run Cointegrating Vector.

| Regressor | Coefficients | T-Statistics |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| C         | -4.575       | -5.364       |
| hc_t      | 3.628        | 2.007*       |
| par_t     | 0.536        | 2.722*       |
| phds_t    | -0.404       | -2.132*      |
| epc_t     | 2.459        | 6.372*       |
| inf_t     | 0.514        | 4.991*       |
| tmr_t     | -1.103       | -3.138*      |

Note: superscript * shows estimated parameters significance at 5 percent significance level.

Short run estimates of equation 4.1 are given in table 5.4. It reveals that current FDI is positively affected by its own up to three lags which may show persistence in country’s FID inflows. Current and lagged inflation have a positive and negative effect on FDI inflows. Contrary to the long run, the short run estimate of the trademark application is significant and positively signed emphasizing the importance of trademark application in attracting FDI in the country. Error correction term show deviation of FDI from its equilibrium level. Its estimate is significant and negatively signed and its more than unity value suggest the speedy adjustment of deviation of FDI towards its long-run equilibrium.

Table 5.4
Error Correction Representation of ARDL Model.

| Regressor | Coefficients | T-statistic |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| ∆fdi_t-1  | 0.934        | 6.549*      |
| ∆fdi_t-2  | 0.323        | 2.755*      |
| ∆fdi_t-3  | 0.573        | 4.610*      |
| ∆inf_t    | 0.267        | 2.239*      |
| ∆inf_t-1  | -0.441       | -3.412*     |
| ∆tmr_t-1  | 0.710        | 2.241*      |
| ect_t-1   | -1.635       | -8.329*     |

Note: superscript * shows significance of estimated parameters at 5 percent significance level.

Table 5.5
Residual Properties.

|                | 0.81 | 0.78(0.47) |
|----------------|------|------------|
| R²             | 0.63 | F-Stat     |
|               | 4.79(0.00) | White      |
| F-Stat         | 2.00 | JB          |
| DW test        |      |             |

Note: LM test is the Breusch-Godfrey test. Hetr and ARCH refer to heteroscedasticity and autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity tests respectively. Values in parentheses are probability values of obtaining these test statistics.
In this paper, we evaluated the effect of ABC in attracting FDI in the country. ABC was proxied with a number of PhDs, patent application, trademark applications, and human capital index. Other variables used as determinants of FDI were inflation and electricity power consumption. ARDL estimation procedure covering the time span 1973 to 2014 was used for estimating the interaction among these variables.

Results indicate nonstationarity of all the variables in level and stationarity at first difference. Cointegration results reveal that in long run human capital, patent right application, number of PhDs, electric power consumption, inflation and trademark application are the relevant determinants of FDI in the country. Short run estimates indicate persistence in FDI inflows.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we evaluated the effect of ABC in attracting FDI in the country. ABC was proxied with a number of PhDs, patent application, trademark applications, and human capital index. Other variables used as determinants of FDI were inflation and electricity power consumption. ARDL estimation procedure covering the time span 1973 to 2014 was used for estimating the interaction among these variables.

Diagnostic tests are given in table 5.5. It indicates that residuals are normally distributed and do not suffer from autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity issues. Since the residuals satisfy their properties, hence it can be concluded that the estimated model is well fitted. Further, the cumulative sum of recursive residuals and the cumulative sum of recursive residuals square test statistics are used for testing the model stability. Null of

**Figure 5.1 Cumulative Sum of Recursive Residuals.**

**Figure 5.2 Cumulative Sum of Recursive Residuals Square.**
Current and lagged inflation attract and augment foreign investment while lagged trademark application has a positive association with FDI inflows.

On the basis of the above findings, it is recommended that government should focus on developing human capital and ensure application of patent rights if it wants to attract FDI for sustainable economic growth. Further, it should also focus on the provision of uninterrupted power supply and allow a gradual increase in prices for augmenting FDI. This will help in the absorption and utilization of FDI and will increase employment opportunities in long run. Hence the government and policymakers must formulate a policy framework and build human capital if they want to attract large FDI inflows in the country.
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