Study of the structural, electronic, magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample: first-principles calculation (DFT–MFT)
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This paper presents a correlation between experimental and theoretical approaches to study the structural, electronic, magnetic, and magnetocaloric properties of La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$. The studied compound crystalizes in the Pbnm orthorhombic space group. The calculated DOS using the DFT + U method proves that La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample exhibits semi-metallic behavior, which is preferred in spintronic applications. The calculated PDOS proves that the high hydration among Mn 3d, V 3d and O 2p at the Fermi energy level is responsible for the FM behavior of La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$. The magnetic moment has been calculated using DFT results by estimating the valence electron population. The optical properties show high light absorption in the UV region. By using the Bean–Rodbell method, the magnetic phase shows a second-order transition where $\eta = 0.85$, and the exchange parameter $\lambda$ is found to be 1.19 T g$^{-1}$ emu$^{-1}$. Based on the mean-field theory, the saturation magnetization ($M_s$), the Landé factor ($g$), and the total angular momentum ($\ell$) were determined. These parameters were used to simulate magnetization as a function of the magnetic field at different temperatures as well as the variation of the magnetic entropy change $\Delta S_M$.

1. Introduction

Manganites have been used as promising candidates for different technologies, such as sensors, magnetic storage media, magnetic refrigeration and photocatalysis, owing to their diverse and interesting properties. Among the different families of manganites, the (LaCa)MnO$_3$ system has attracted much interest, since it presents a high-temperature colossal magneto-resistance (CMR) effect and metal–insulator transition (MI). The coupling between the charge, spin, orbit, and distorted lattice is the origin of its special properties. The double exchange (DE) effect is responsible for the ferromagnetic (metallic behavior)/paramagnetic (insulator) behavior, where Mn ions transfer electrons through the middle oxygen ions to change their valence.

Manganites are known for their potential magnetocaloric effect (MCE) and their use as refrigerants for magnetic refrigeration applications, which replace the conventional refrigeration based on the compression and expansion of toxic gases such as CFC and HCFC. The investigation of green refrigerants is of great interest, and different parameters should be defined and optimized for such magnetocaloric materials. The magnetic entropy change, adiabatic temperature change, and relative cooling power (RCP) are key parameters that could define a good magnetic refrigerant and its performance.

Many researchers have investigated the first-principles calculations to study the electronic structure and the magnetic and magnetocaloric properties. A better concordance has been obtained between theoretical and experimental properties of manganites using the SGGA + U method. Phenomenological theories have been developed to study the performance of different magnetocaloric materials. Mean-field theory has been used in the study of magnetic materials. Amaral et al. have developed a scaling method based on this model, and have shown that the exchange parameter ($\lambda$), the total angular momentum ($\ell$), the gyromagnetic factor ($g$), and the saturation magnetization ($M_s$) can simulate the magnetic isotherms $M (H, T)$, thus simulating the variation of the magnetic entropy $(-\Delta S_m(T))$ by utilizing the Bean–Rodbell model.

In this work, the structural, electronic, optical, and magnetic properties of the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ compound are investigated. The novelty of the current work includes two important aspects: first, the electronic, optical and magnetic properties of the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample are studied for the first time using DFT calculations and mean-field theory; second, a correlation among the DFT results, mean-field theory, and the experimental results has been performed.
2. Experimental details

The La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample was prepared using a solid-state reaction. High purity powders (99.9%) of La$_2$O$_3$, CaCO$_3$, V$_2$O$_5$, and MnO$_2$ were mixed in stoichiometric proportions, and the obtained mixture was heated at 800 °C for 12 h. The as-obtained powder was then pressed into pellets of 13 mm diameter and 2 mm thickness and sintered at 1150 °C for 24 h. The final sintering was performed at 1400 °C for 8 h after the regrinding and pelleting processes. The structural properties were determined using the X-ray diffraction method and have been well studied in a previous work. Magnetic measurements were realized using a Vibrating Sample Magnetometer.

3. Theoretical considerations

3.1. Ab initio calculation details

DFT calculations were performed using the hybrid spin polarized generalized gradient approximation (SGGA(PBE) + U). Due to the high Coulombian interaction behavior between 3d electrons in the Mn ion, the orbital-dependent potential (Hubbard U) $U_{\text{eff}} = U - J = 4.0$ eV was included. This value has been optimized by other researchers. The calculation was affected via the hybrid full-potential FP L/ APW + lo method implemented in the Wien2k package. The spin–orbit coupling effect was not included in the calculation because it had little effect in this case. We chose 500 K points in the irreducible Brillouin zone, which corresponded to a $11 \times 11 \times 3$ grid mesh. The convergence of the self-consistent cycles (SCF) was considered when the energy and charge difference between them was $10^{-4}$ eV.

3.2. Mean-field theory (MFT)

Based on the MFT, for a ferromagnetic material the magnetization can be simulated by using the Brillouin functions $B_j(x)$ by the following equation:

$$M = f \left( \frac{H + H_{\text{exch}}}{T} \right) = M_0 B_j(x)$$

where $M_0 = N g \mu_B$ is the saturation magnetization and $B_j(x)$ is the Brillouin function, which is defined by the following expression:

$$B_j(x) = \frac{2J + 1}{2J} \coth \left( \frac{2J + 1}{2J} x \right) - \frac{1}{2J} \coth \left( \frac{1}{2J} x \right)$$

with $x = \frac{g \mu_B B}{k_B T}$, $J = |L \pm S|$ as the total angular momentum and $g = 1 + \frac{J(J + 1) + S(S + 1) - L(L + 1)}{2J(J + 1)}$ as the Lande factor, where $L$ is the orbital momentum and $S$ is the spin momentum.

The exchange mean field $H_{\text{exch}}$ (Weiss field) is linked to magnetization by the following relation:

$$\frac{H}{T} = B_j^{-1}(M) - \frac{H_{\text{exch}}}{T}$$

Table 1 Experimental and calculated structural parameters of the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample.

| Parameter | Experimental | DFT + U |
|-----------|--------------|---------|
| a (Å)     | 5.4442       | 4.4803  |
| b (Å)     | 5.4568       | 5.4929  |
| c (Å)     | 7.6870       | 7.7379  |
| (Mn–O) (Å) | 1.9936     | 1.99425 |
| (Mn–O–Mn) (°) | 160.4132 | 158.2266 |
This model assumes a linear variation of the system’s Curie temperature ($T_C$) on a relative volume ($v$) change (with a proportionality factor $\beta$) in the following way:

$$T_C = T_0[1 + \beta \omega]$$  \(7\)

where $\omega = \frac{(v - v_0)}{v_0}$ presents the cell deformation, and $v$ and $v_0$ present the cell volume and the equilibrium volume obtained in the absence of magnetic interaction, respectively. $T_0$ is the Curie temperature of the incompressible system.

4. Results

4.1. Structural properties

The La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample crystallized in the orthorhombic structure with a $Pbnm$ space group. To investigate the electronic properties and to clearly understand the origin of the magnetic and optical properties of this compound, a DFT calculation was adopted.

Firstly, we adopted the structural parameters of orthorhombic LaMnO$_3$. Then, a $1 \times 1 \times 5$ supercell containing 20 atoms of La, 20 atoms of Mn and 60 atoms of oxygen was created. To modulate the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample, 10 La atoms were replaced with 10 Ca atoms and two Mn atoms with V atoms (Fig. 1a). The structure was then optimized and all atomic positions were relaxed.

Generally, the structure of perovskite materials can be distorted when it is doped with larger or smaller ions. To evaluate the stability of La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample, the Goldschmidt tolerance factor ($t$), $t = \frac{\langle R_A \rangle + \langle R_X \rangle}{\sqrt{2} \langle R_B \rangle}$ was calculated, where $\langle R_A \rangle$ and $\langle R_B \rangle$ are the average cation ionic radii of A and B, respectively, and $\langle R_X \rangle$ is the anion radius. The ionic radius of the elements was obtained from the Shannon table.

The perovskite structures are stable when the value of the tolerance factor is $0.75 < t < 1.06$. The structure is cubic if $0.99 < t < 1.06$, rhombohedral if $0.96 < t < 0.99$ and orthorhombic if $0.76 < t < 0.96$. According to this definition, the calculated value of the tolerance factor for La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample is $t = 0.918$, indicating an orthorhombic distortion for the sample, which is consistent with the XRD experimental result.

![Fig. 2 Partial DOS of the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample.](image-url)
Table 1 depicts the experimental and theoretical structural parameters. A good accordance between the theoretical and the experimental values was noted.

4.2. Electronic properties

Fig. 1 shows the total electronic density states (TDOS), which proves that the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ material presents a metallic behavior for the spin up state, while the material comportment in spin-down states is a semiconductor. The coexistence of these two behaviors proves the semi-metal comportment; consequently, this compound can be a good candidate for electronic applications. The non-symmetry of the total DOS indicates the ferromagnetic character of the compound. The calculated total magnetic moment is 3 $\mu_B$.

It is shown in Fig. 1b and 2 that, below $-4$ eV and above $3$ eV, the electron energy states are composed of the La 3d, Ca 2p, and O 2p states. Remarkably, near the Fermi energy ($E_F$) level for spin-up, the electron energy states are composed of O 2p, Mn 3d, and V 3d. The strong hybridization at the $E_F$ level between these orbitals indicates a stronger covalent interaction between Mn/V and O ions, which is responsible for the metallic behavior.

The magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample. The Kramer relation was used.

4.3. Optical properties

In order to study the optical properties of the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample, the Kramer–Kronig relation was used. In the field of linear optics, all optical parameters are described using the dielectric functions $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_2$.

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_1(\omega) + i\varepsilon_2(\omega)$$

The variation of the real dielectric constant as a function of photon energy is depicted in Fig. 4a. It is shown that the static real dielectric constant $\varepsilon_1(0)$ presents a high value $\varepsilon_1(0) = 19.27$, which corresponds to the plasmon resonance. Then, $\varepsilon_1$ dramatically decreases to reach a minimum of $\varepsilon_1 = 3.73$ at 0.87 eV, which may be related to the metallic character for the spin-up states and the ferroelectric nature of the perovskite.

The variation of $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ vs. the photon energy. The existence of four dielectric peaks $P_1, P_2, P_3$ and $P_4$ was noticed which agree with the photon energy values of 0.62 eV, 2.85 eV, 6.5 eV, and 8.16 eV.

According to the DOS results, the $P_1$ and $P_2$ dielectric peaks are related to the electronic transition between the top of the valence band and the bottom of the conduction band. The transition electrons come mainly from the electrons of Mn 3d, V 3d, and O 2p. However, the other peaks come mainly from the electronic transition between the La 5p, Ca 2p and O 2s orbitals.

The absorption coefficient was calculated directly using the following relation:

$$\alpha(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{c} \frac{\omega}{\varepsilon(\omega)} \sqrt{\varepsilon_1(\omega) + \sqrt{\varepsilon_1(\omega)^2 + \varepsilon_2(\omega)^2}}$$

(9)

The study showed that the present compound exhibits a second order magnetic transition from a FM phase to a PM phase when the temperature increases. The Curie temperature, TC1 is found to be 228 K.

4.4. Magnetic properties

The magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample were investigated in detail. The study showed that the present compound exhibits a second order magnetic transition from a FM phase to a PM phase when the temperature increases. The Curie temperature, TC1 is found to be 228 K.

By correlating the magnetic phase transition with the electronic properties, it can be concluded that, in the ordered phase (FM), the properties of the studied material are essentially described by the behavior of the spin-up states, in which the materials have a metallic behavior, whereas, in the disordered
phase (PM), the material has a semiconductor behavior that is related to the behavior of the spin-down states.

Based on the available DOS results, the population of the 2p and 3d bands can be estimated. Consequently, the valence electron numbers can be computed by the integration of DOS. The difference between the numbers of spin-up and spin-down states leads to calculate the spin magnetic moment based on the following equations:

\[
N_{\text{val}}^{\uparrow} = \int n^{\uparrow}(\epsilon) f(\epsilon) d(\epsilon) \tag{10}
\]

\[
N_{\text{val}}^{\downarrow} = \int n^{\downarrow}(\epsilon) f(\epsilon) d(\epsilon) \tag{11}
\]

where \( n^{\uparrow} \) and \( n^{\downarrow} \) are the DOS of spin up and down, respectively. \( f(\epsilon) \) is the Fermi Dirac distribution.

Then, the magnetic moment is given by:

\[
m = g \cdot S \cdot \mu_B = (N_{\text{val}}^{\uparrow} - N_{\text{val}}^{\downarrow}) \mu_B \tag{12}
\]

The magnetization of saturation per molecule formula was found to be 3.05214 \( \mu_B \), which corresponds to 88.767 emu. The obtained results are summarized in Table 2.

To simulate the magnetization isotherms and \( \Delta S \) curves, a new method based on MFT has been developed by Amaral et al.\textsuperscript{15,24} This method determines the total momentum \( J \) and focuses on the saturation magnetization \( M_S \) by adjusting the scaling plot curves of magnetization \( (M \text{ versus } H + H_{\text{exch}}) \) using eqn (1).

To determine the \( H_{\text{exch}} \) values, the variation of \( \mu_0 H/T \) was firstly plotted as a function of \( 1/T \) with a constant value of magnetization equal to 5 emu g\(^{-1}\), as shown in Fig. 5.

According to eqn (4), a linear fit applied in the PM region allowed the determination of the \( H_{\text{exch}} \) values (slope of each curve). Fig. 6 presents the variation of \( H_{\text{exch}} \) as a function of magnetization \( M \) adjusted by the following equation:

\[
H_{\text{exch}} = \lambda_1 M + \lambda_3 M^3 \tag{13}
\]

It is found that \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) are equal to 1.344 T g\(^{-1}\) emu\(^{-1}\) and \(-1.8987 \times 10^{-4}\) T g\(^{-3}\) emu\(^{-3}\), respectively.

In comparison to \( \lambda_3 \), the \( \lambda_1 \) value is extremely high. For this reason, \( \lambda_3 \) was disregarded. Consequently, the exchange field can be written as follows:

\[
H_{\text{exch}} = \lambda_1 M \approx \lambda M \tag{14}
\]

Then, using a scaling plot, \( M \text{ vs. } (H + H_{\text{exch}})/T \) was plotted, as shown in Fig. 7. It is remarked that all magnetization isotherms

| Table 2 | Calculated magnetic properties of La\(_{0.5}\)Ca\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.9}\)V\(_{0.1}\)O\(_3\) at 0 K |
|---------|---------------------------------|
|         | \( M_S (\mu_B) \) | \( M_S \) (emu) | \( m \) Mn (\( \mu_B \)) | \( m \) V (\( \mu_B \)) |
| DFT     | 3.05214             | 88.767          | 3.3724                     | 0.1698                  |
are reduced in a single curve, which has been adjusted using eqn (1) under the MATLAB software to extract the experimental $M_S$, $J$, and $g$ values. The obtained values are summarized in Table 3.

According to the neutrality formula and Hund rules, the developed formula of the studied material is given as $\text{La}_{0.5}\text{Ca}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.9}\text{V}_{0.1}\text{O}_3$. Due to the effect of the crystal field, for transition metals, the orbital moment ($L$) is blocked ($L = 0$). Only the spin moments of ions of manganese ($\text{Mn}^{3+}$, $\text{Mn}^{4+}$) are responsible for the magnetism in the compound. Therefore, the total moment $J$ was calculated as $J = 0.6 \times S(\text{Mn}^{3+}) + 0.3 \times S(\text{Mn}^{4+}) + 0.1 \times S(\text{V}^{5+}) = 1.65$, and the Lande factor was

$$g = 0.6g(\text{Mn}^{3+}) + 0.3g(\text{Mn}^{4+}) + 0.1g(\text{V}^{5+}) = 1.9$$

where $S(\text{Mn}^{3+}) = 2$, $S(\text{Mn}^{4+}) = 1.5$, $S(\text{V}^{5+}) = 0$, $g(\text{Mn}^{3+}) = 2$, $g(\text{Mn}^{4+}) = 2$, and $g(\text{V}^{5+}) = 1$.

The large difference between the theoretical and adjusted $J$ value (Table 3) can probably be attributed to the presence of magnetic spin clustering as a result of the presence of another...
magnetic phase.\textsuperscript{35} This phase was detected using $dM/dT$, which corresponds to another FM/PM phase transition at $T_{C2} = 263$ K. The extracted $M_s$, $J$, and $g$ values (Table 3) were then used to modulate the $M(H, T)$ and $-\Delta S_M$ curves (Fig. 8 and 9) using the MFSS software running the MATLAB program.

It can be seen in Fig. 8 that, below $T_{C1}$, the magnetization rapidly reaches saturation. In fact, a small applied magnetic field arranges the Weiss domains in their direction. Meanwhile, below $T_{C2}$, the magnetization becomes increasingly linear, and the material enters the PM state. This phenomenon is responsible for the interesting magnetocaloric properties seen in this type of material. The good agreement between the experimental and the simulated curves proves the pertinence of the employed model for the prediction of the magnetocaloric properties of La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample.

However, at lower fields, a difference between the experimental and simulated data which could be attributed to the magnetic, anisotropy, and demagnetization effects, which were not accounted for in this model.\textsuperscript{36-38}

Table 3  Theoretical and adjusted parameters of the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample

| Sample                  | Method         | $J$   | $g$   | $M_s$ (emu g$^{-1}$) | $T_0$ (T$^{-1}$ emu$^{-1}$) | $\lambda$ (T$^{-1}$ emu$^{-1}$) | $\eta$ |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ | Theoretical values | 1.65  | 1.9   | 1.65                | 228                         | 0.85                            | 0.85   |
|                         | Adjusted values | 5.6   | 2.0023| 65.5               | 228                         | 1.344                           | 0.85   |

5. Conclusion

In summary, the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample was prepared by a solid-state reaction. The structural studies show that our material crystallized in the orthorhombic $Pbnm$ phase. To better understand the origin of the physical properties of La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$, the theoretical approaches DFT and MFT were used. The calculated TDOS proved the coexistence of metallic and p-type semiconductor behaviors for the spin-up and spin-down states, respectively. Therefore, the material La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ can be a good candidate for electronic applications. By estimating the valence electron population, the magnetization of saturation at 0 K was calculated, which equaled 3.05214 $\mu_B$. The magnetic and magnetocaloric properties were investigated using MFT. Firstly, the application of the scaling method on the experimental magnetization data allowed the estimation of the values of the saturation magnetization $M_s$, the exchange parameters $\lambda$, $J$, and $g$. Then, these factors were used to modulate the magnetization isotherms and the variation of the magnetic entropy. The Bean–Rodbell model confirmed that the La$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample presented a second order magnetic phase transition with $\eta$ parameter = 0.85.
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