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The combination therapy of oncolytic HSV-1 armed with anti-PD-1 antibody and IL-12 enhances anti-tumor efficacy
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ABSTRACT

Cancer immunotherapy is a new therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment that targets tumors by improving or restoring immune system function. Therapies targeting immune checkpoint molecules have exerted potent anti-tumor effects and prolonged the overall survival rate of patients. However, only a small number of patients benefit from the treatment. Oncolytic viruses exert anti-tumor effects by regulating the tumor microenvironment and affecting multiple steps of tumor immune circulation. In this study, we engineered two oncolytic viruses that express mouse anti-PD-1 antibody (VT1093M) or mouse IL-12 (VT1092M). We found that both oncolytic viruses showed significant anti-tumor effects in a murine CT26 colon adenocarcinoma model. Importantly, the intra-tumoral combined injection with VT1092M and VT1093M inhibited growth of the primary tumor, prevented growth of the contralateral untreated tumor, produced a vaccine-like response, activated antigen-specific T cell responses and prolonged the overall survival rate of mice. These results indicate that combination therapy with the engineered oncolytic virus may represent a potent immunotherapy strategy for cancer patients, especially those resistant to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade therapy.

Introduction

Immune escape is a key characteristic of cancer cells, which survive during all stages of the anti-tumor immune response. Immune escape of cancer cells is usually achieved by upregulation of the expression of immune checkpoint receptor ligands and the secretion of immunosuppressive cytokines [1]. Cancer immunotherapy is a strategy for targeting tumors by restarting and maintaining the tumor immune cycle and restoring the normal anti-tumor immune response [2]. To overcome the immune evasion mechanisms of tumors, immunotherapy involves the introduction of immunomodulatory molecules or genes at tumor sites. In recent years, immunotherapies, such as immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) [3–5], have achieved significant clinical efficacy as anti-tumor therapies by enhancing the effect of the host immune system effect on tumor cells and are considered a new era of cancer treatment [6,7].

Cytokines play key functions in regulating the immune response and participate in the inflammatory response, wound healing, and tumor growth and decline [8]. Interleukin-12 (IL-12) is considered to have good anti-tumor activity and activates natural killer cells, T lymphocytes, and macrophages, promotes dendritic cell maturation, and inhibits vascular formation. IL-12 also induces the production of interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and other immune factors, thus exerting strong anti-tumor effects [9,10].

The programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) antibody blocks the interaction between programmed cell death-Ligand 1 (PD-L1) and programmed cell death-Ligand 2 (PD-L2) and their ligands and thus prevents PD-1 pathway-mediated immunosuppressive responses and anti-tumor immune responses [11]. However, most cancer patients are resistant or partially insensitive to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade because of low T cell infiltration [12,13].

Multiple ICIs have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for a variety of advanced malignancies and have significantly improved patient survival and quality of life [14–17]. However, many patients do not respond after immunotherapy or do not show a durable response [18]. To overcome immunotherapy resistance, improved treatment methods in combination with ICIs should be
explored to obtain long-term anti-tumor effects.

Oncolytic virotherapy has shown a broad application prospect [19–21]. Oncolytic viruses (OVs) selectively infect and lyse tumor cells, which then release progeny viruses to further infect and replicate in nearby cancer cells. OV infection also stimulates the host immune response, which leads to the production of an adaptive anti-tumor immune response [22]. In addition, studies have shown that OVs can be used as a cancer vaccine and acts as a therapeutic vaccine without

---

**Fig. 1.** Construction and infection of VT1092M and VT1093M. (a) The structure of VT1092M and VT1093M. (b-e) CT26 cells were plated in 24-well plates and infected with viruses at the indicated MOIs for the indicated times. The expression levels of IL-12 (b) and PD-1 antibody (d) were detected by ELISA. VT09X and CT26 cell supernatant (cell only) were used as negative controls (c, e). (f) Cells were plated in 96-well plates and treated with viruses at the indicated MOIs; the virus-mediated killing of CT26 cells was examined by CCK8 assay. Data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was calculated by one-way ANOVA. (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).
recognizing tumor-specific neoantigens [23–25]. The OVs drug talimovep (T-VEC) was approved for the treatment of advanced unsectable melanoma.

In this study, we reported that the combined treatment of OVs encoding IL-12 (VT1092M) and anti-PD-1 antibody (VT1093M) inhibited the growth of the primary tumor, completely prevented the growth of the contralateral untreated tumor, and produced a vaccine-like response. In all assays, the combination of VT1092M and VT1093M showed a higher response rate than the single treatment groups.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

The colon cancer cell line CT26.WT and liver cancer cell line H22 were obtained from the National Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (Shanghai, China) and cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS in an incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO2.

Viruses

We used three viruses in the current study. VT09X was based on the wild-type herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) virus strain; the copies of the h34.5 gene and the a47 gene in the genome were deleted by homologous recombination. Recombinant VT09X virus was obtained after purification and isolation. The VT1092M virus, which expresses the mouse-derived IL-12 gene, was constructed using the VT09X vector (Fig. 1 a). We also used the VT09X vector to construct the VT1093M virus, which expresses the mouse-derived anti-PD-1 antibody gene (Fig. 1 a).

VT09X and VT09X-GFP were obtained from WellGen and the copies of the h34.5 gene and the a47 gene were deleted by homologous recombination. The gene encoding GFP was inserted into both ICP34.5 loci in VT09XGFP. To generate VT1093M and VT1092M, VT09XGFP was co-transfected with p-34.5PD1 or p-34.5IL12 into Vero cells. After several rounds of plaque purification, positive clones that did not express GFP were selected and confirmed by PCR and sequencing. All recombinant viruses were amplified in Vero cells and purified as described previously.

Reagents

Cyclophosphamide was purchased from Selleck (Beijing, China). The anti-mouse PD-1 antibody (m-PD-1 antibody) was purchased from Bio X Cell (Beijing, China).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

CT26 cells were seeded at 2 × 10^5 cells per well into a 24-well plate and cultured overnight. Cells were infected with virus at MOIs of 0.1, 1, 5 and 20 for 24, 48 or 72 h. VT09X and CT26 cell supernatant were used as negative controls. IL-12 and PD-1 antibody were measured using ELISA kits (Solarbio, Beijing, China).

In vitro cell cytotoxicity assay

CT26 cells were seeded at 5 × 10^6 cells per well into a 96-well plate and incubated overnight. Cells were infected with virus at MOIs of 0, 0.1, 1 and 5 for 24, 48 or 72 h. Proliferation was measured using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) (Beyotime, Shanghai, China).

Animal experiments

All animal experimental protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of Yantai University (IACUC No. 2018-DA-12) and conducted according to the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. Female BALB/c mice (5–6 weeks old) were purchased from Jinan Pengyue Laboratory Animal Breeding Co. Ltd. (Jinan, China). CT26 cells were resuspended in serum-free medium with Matrigel basement membrane matrix (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) at a 1:1 ratio and then subcutaneously injected into the right flank of each animal (5 × 10^6 cells per mouse). After tumors grew to approximately 250–300 mm^3 in size, mice were randomly divided into six groups (n = 22/group). Mice received a single intratumoral injection treatment of sodium chloride (NaCl), 1 × 10^7 PFU of VT09X, 1 × 10^7 PFU of VT092M, or 1 × 10^7 PFU of VT1093M in a volume of 50 µL at days 1,2,3,6,9,12,15 and 18 or an intratumoral injection treatment of VT1092M (at days 1,3,9,15 in 50 µL) and VT1093M (at days 2,6,12,18 in 50 µL); a single intraperitoneal injection of m-PD-1 antibody at 5 mg/kg twice a week and a single intraperitoneal injection of cyclophosphamide at 100 mg/kg once a week.

Tumor dimensions and body weights were recorded every three days. For analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells, six animals from each group were sacrificed at day 20 for flow cytometry assay and three animals per group were sacrificed from each group for quantitative PCR assay. For survival analyses, six animals were used and tumors were monitored for 46 days as described above.

For the re-challenge experiment, the animals that survived in the survival study were subcutaneously injected with 5 × 10^6 CT26 cells in the upper left of the back and 2 × 10^5 H22 cells in the bottom left of the back on day 46. Eight untreated mice were injected with cells for the control group. The tumor volumes were monitored for 20 days as described above.

Flow cytometry

Tumors were harvested and digested, and single-cell suspensions were produced using the Tumor Dissociation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Gladbach, Germany) with gentleMACS™ Octo Dissociator with Heaters (Miltenyi Biotec) for surface labeling. Mouse blood was collected by removing eyeball and lysed with Red Blood Cell Lysis Buffer to exclude the red cells. Cells were incubated in 0.5 µg Fc Block (Invitrogen, San Jose, CA, USA) for 5 min at 4 °C, and Fixable Viability Stain 510 (Invitrogen) was used to distinguish live cells and dead cells. Cells were incubated with an antibody cocktail including Brilliant Violet 605-CD4, PE-CD11b, PerCP-CD3e, APC-H7-CD4 and FITC–CD8 (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) in the dark for 30 min at room temperature. Cell surface molecule expressions were measured and analyzed on an BD FACSCelesta flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) equipped with 405, 488 and 640 nm excitation lasers.

Quantitative PCR assay

Total RNA was extracted from tumors using the RNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) and converted to cDNA using the cDNA reverse transcription kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was performed using the Roche LightCycler 480 PCR System with the following reaction components: 0.8 µL cDNA sample, 10 µL of 2 × SuperReal Premix (probe), and 0.4 µL TaqMan probes in a final volume of 20 µL. Gene expression levels were normalized to levels of the β-actin housekeeping gene.

Statistics

Data were analyzed by a t test for comparison of two groups and one-way ANOVA for comparison of multiple groups using GraphPad Prism 5. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Fig. 2. Anti-tumor activities of OVs against primary tumors. (a) CT26 cells were injected into the right flank of mice. After tumors grew to approximately 250–300 mm³ in size, mice were randomly divided into six groups: the NaCl group (e), m-PD-1 antibody group (f), VT09X group (g), VT1093M group (h), VT1092M group (i) and VT1092M+VT1093M group (j). Tumor volume (b), body weights (d) and relative tumor inhibition rate (k) were recorded every three days. Tumor weight was compared at day 20 after treatment (c). The blue dotted lines in panels (e) through (g) indicate that mice died that day. Data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 21 mice). Statistical significance was calculated by one-way ANOVA. (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001) (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.).
Results

Transgene expression in VT1092M- and VT1093M-infected CT26 cells

The mouse IL12 gene and anti-PD-1 antibody gene were cloned into two ICP34.5 regions of HSV-1 with deletion of ICP47 to obtain VT1092M and VT1093M, respectively, as described in the Materials and Methods (Fig. 1a). We analyzed the expression level of IL-12 and PD-1 antibody in CT26 cells infected with VT1092M or VT1093M compared with cells infected with VT09X or control cells using ELISA. Cells were infected with viruses at MOI of 0.1, 1, 5 and 20 for 24, 48, and 72. The expressions of IL-12 and PD-1 antibody at 48 and 72 h were significantly higher than expression at 24 h \((P < 0.001)\) (Fig. 1b, d). In the VT09X-infected cells and CT26 cell negative controls, no expressions of IL-12 and PD-1 antibody were detected (Fig. 1c,e). These results indicated that the transgenes were expressed from the recombinant HSV-1 virus.

Insertion of transgene to influence viral replication and oncolytic ability

We next examined the killing of CT26 cells after infection with VT1092M or VT1093M compared with VT09X by CCK8 assay. The results showed that both VT1092M and VT1093M efficiently lyse CT26 cells at the same level as VT09X (Fig. 1f).

Enhanced anti-tumor activities against primary tumors

We next analyzed the killing of CT26 cells after infection with VT1092M or VT1093M as described in the Materials and Methods, and mice were intratumorally injected with various viruses, NaCl and intraperitoneal injection with m-PD-1 antibody. The tumor volumes in the groups injected with VT09X, VT1092M, VT1093M, and the combination of VT1092M and VT1093M were remarkably smaller compared with the NaCl control group (Fig. 2b). Tumor weights in the VT1092M, VT1093M and the combination groups were significantly reduced compared with the VT09X group \((P < 0.05)\); however, there was no significant difference among the VT1092M, VT1093M and the combination groups (Fig. 2c). The average tumor weight in the VT1093M group was significantly lower than the m-PD-1 antibody group \((P < 0.001)\) (Fig. 2c). No changes in body weight were observed among groups during the experiment (Fig. 2d).

All animals in the four virus-treated groups, except one in the VT09X group, were alive at the end of the experiment, and the tumor growth rate was much slower in the VT1092M group, VT1093M group and VT1092M + VT1093M group than the NaCl and m-PD-1 antibody groups (Fig. 2e-j). These findings indicate that the single VT1092M or VT1093M as well as the combined therapy effectively inhibited tumor growth, with a tumor growth inhibition rate of more than 80% (Fig. 2k). The combined therapy group showed the highest tumor growth inhibition (Fig. 2).

Enhanced immune cell proportions in peripheral blood by the combined treatment compared with single treatments

We next analyzed the immune cell infiltration in peripheral blood and local tumor after intratumoral injections with the OV or NaCl or intraperitoneal injection with m-PD-1 antibody. The results indicated that the combination treatment prolonged the survival time of tumor-bearing mice (Fig. 3).

Prolonged survival by VT1092M in combination with VT1093M therapy

Six mice per group were used in the survival study. Animals were monitored for tumor burden and euthanized when tumors reached to 2000 mm³ according to the guidelines for animal ethics. By day 20, all animals in the NaCl group were euthanized. Two mice each in the VT1093M and VT1092M groups demonstrated tumor progression during the survival study, and all animal tumor volumes in the combined group for survival study were well controlled until the experiment endpoint (Fig. 4a-f). The results indicated that the combination treatment prolonged the survival time of tumor-bearing mice (Fig. 4g).

In the comparison of the survival rate between VT1093M and the m-PD-1 antibody groups, all animals in the m-PD-1 antibody group were euthanatized on day 28, while two mice in the VT1093M group demonstrated tumor progression and were euthanized on day 28 and day 36 (Fig. 4b and d). The remaining three mice survived and effective inhibition of tumor growth was observed (the tumors were cured and could not be measured).

Tumor-specific anti-tumor immune response from virus therapy

To determine whether intratumoral injection of the OV causes a systemic anti-tumor immune response, we performed a re-challenge experiment and inoculated two different tumor cell lines on the opposite side on the back of mice (upper left side, CT26 cells; lower left side, H22 cells) on day 46 (28 days after the termination of administration).

A schematic for the experiment is shown in Fig. 2a. Tumor-specific anti-tumor immune response of the virus. Mice were inoculated with CT26 cells on the right back at day 1. On day 46, the mice were inoculated with CT26 cells on the upper left and H22 cells on the lower left for the re-challenge experiment. Animals were sacrificed at day 65. (a) Right CT26 cell-derived tumor. (b) Left CT26 cell-derived tumor. (c) Left H22 cell-derived tumor. Data are presented as the mean ± SD \((n = 6\) mice). **\(P < 0.01\) or ***\(P < 0.001\) compared with the control group, determined by one-way ANOVA.

Fig. 3. Tumor-specific anti-tumor immune response of the virus. Mice were inoculated with CT26 cells on the right back at day 1. On day 46, the mice were inoculated with CT26 cells on the upper left and H22 cells on the lower left for the re-challenge experiment. Animals were sacrificed at day 65. (a) Right CT26 cell-derived tumor. (b) Left CT26 cell-derived tumor. (c) Left H22 cell-derived tumor. Data are presented as the mean ± SD \((n = 6\) mice). **\(P < 0.01\) or ***\(P < 0.001\) compared with the control group, determined by one-way ANOVA.
VT1092M group (Fig. 5h), most other immune cells showed a small increase in the virus administration group compared with the NaCl group. These results suggest that the combined virus treatment showed superior potency in enhancing immune cell infiltration in peripheral blood compared with the single treatments.

**Modulation of the expression of genes related to T cell response**

The above findings suggest that the combination therapy induced intratumoral immune cell infiltration. Next, we analyzed the expression level of several genes related to T cell response by qRT-PCR. Beta-2-
microglobulin (β2 M) and major histocompatibility complex I (MHC I) gene expressions were upregulated in the combined group compared with NaCl group, although differences in β2 M gene expression were not significant (Fig. 6a,b). This result indicated that oncolytic therapy could affect the antigen-presentation pathway. We also observed a tendency of higher gene expression of IFN-γ and PD-L1 in the virus administration groups compared with the NaCl groups (Fig. 6c,d). IFN-γ and PD-L1 gene expressions were significantly enhanced in the VT1092M+VT1093M group compared with the NaCl group (P < 0.05).

Enhanced T cell proportion in peripheral blood in the re-challenge experiment

We further collected mouse blood for flow analysis in the re-challenge mouse groups. The virus administration group showed enhanced CD3⁺/CD45⁺ and CD4⁺/CD45⁺ immune cell proportions compared with the control group, but without statistical significance (Fig. 7b,c). The groups administered VT09X, VT1092M and VT1093M showed significantly increased percentages of CD8⁺/CD45⁺ cells compared with the control group (P < 0.05). The combined group showed significantly enhanced percentages of CD11b⁺/CD45⁺ (P < 0.05) and CD8⁺/CD45⁺ (P < 0.001) T cells compared with the control group (Fig. 7a,d). These data suggest that the combined treatment enhanced T cell proportions in peripheral blood in the re-challenge experiment.

Discussion

Although ICIs, especially anti-PD-1 antibody, have shown great success in tumor treatment in the past 10 years, some patients do not respond to treatment [11,13,26]. In the tumor microenvironment, anti-PD-1 antibody activates T cells to induce anti-tumor effects; however, tumors without T cell infiltration do not respond to anti-PD-1 treatment [12,27]. OVs function as a cancer vaccine that attracts T cell infiltration, making it an effective combination treatment with anti-PD-1 therapy [23]. Insertion of the anti-PD-1 antibody gene into an OV enables its expression in situ to block the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction. Indeed, some studies showed that OV encoding the anti-PD-1 antibody gene exerted strong anti-tumor effects [28,29]. To achieve better anti-tumor efficacy, we selected IL-12, which shows potent effects in T cell stimulation, for expression in OVs. In the combination treatment strategy using both recombinant OVs in this study, the OV and expressed anti-PD-1 antibody and IL-12 all induce anti-tumor effects by different mechanisms.

The abilities of ICIs and IL-12 to treat cancers through immune activation have been demonstrated [9,12,30–32]. Oncolytic virus infects tumor cells to release tumor antigen and induce killing of cytotoxic T cells, and IL-12 can stimulate proliferative and induces the production of interfero-γ of activated T cells, but the negative feedback regulation mechanism of PD-L1 will inhibit T cell activation. The addition of PD-1 antibody will break this inhibition, significantly enhance anti-tumor effect and increase the response rate of test mice. Two studies reported a synergistic anti-tumor efficacy of IL-12 in combination with anti-PD-1 antibody [33,34]. Yan et al. reported that the oncolytic herpes simplex virus T3855 expressing murine IL-12 and anti-PD-1 antibody was superior to systemic administration of IL-12 and PD-1 antibody [33]. The tumor growth inhibition rate of T3855 on A20, MC38, MFC and SCC7 tumors was approximately 60%. In this study, we evaluated

![Fig. 6. Expression of genes related to the T cell response. qRT-PCR determination of expression of β2M (a), MHC I (b), IFN-γ (c) and PD-L1 (d) genes in tumor specimens from mice at day 20. Data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was calculated using the t-test. (*) P < 0.05.)](image-url)
the effects of our combination treatment of OV-driven IL-12 and PD-1 antibody expression on a CT26 cell-derived tumor model in BALB/c mice. Local injection of VT1092M and VT1093M resulted in a tumor inhibition rate of more than 90%. The difference between our results and the previous study (90% and 60%, respectively) may be from the different expression levels of IL-12 and PD-1 antibody; IL-12 and PD-1 antibody are expressed from VT1092M and VT1093M in a range of different expression levels of IL-12 and PD-1 antibody; IL-12 and PD-1 inhibition rate of more than 90%. The difference between our results in mice. Local injection of VT1092M and VT1093M resulted in a tumor antobody expression on a CT26 cell-derived tumor model in BALB/c groups. Statistical analyses of the proportions of CD11b+, CD3+, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells to CD45 cells. Data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 5). Statistical significance was calculated by one-way ANOVA. (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001).

Fig. 7. Enhanced T cell proportions in peripheral blood in the re-challenge experiment. Mouse blood was collected for flow analysis in the re-challenge mouse groups. Statistical analyses of the proportions of CD11b+, CD3+, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells to CD45 cells. Data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 5). Statistical significance was calculated by one-way ANOVA. (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001).

Contralateral or distal tumor after local intratumoral injection of OVs, indicating that OVs are not distributed throughout the body [36]. However, in this study, the CT26 and H22 cell-derived tumors on the non-administration side of the mouse completely disappeared in the re-challenge experiment. This observation suggests that the OV not only causes an antigen-specific anti-tumor immune response, but it may also induce a systemic antigen non-specific immune response. This possibility requires further investigation and should be examined in future studies.

In summary, our data shows that the OVs VT1092M and VT1093M have significant anti-tumor effects when used in combination, and the combination treatment significantly extended the survival time of tumor-bearing mice. These findings provide evidence for a novel combination therapy against colon cancer.
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