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We describe a coupled-chain construction for chiral spin liquids in two-dimensional spin systems. Starting from a one-dimensional zigzag spin chain and imposing SU(2) symmetry in the framework of non-Abelian bosonization, we first show that our approach faithfully describes the low-energy physics of an exactly solvable model with a three-spin interaction. Generalizing the construction to the two-dimensional case, we obtain a theory that incorporates the universal properties of the chiral spin liquid predicted by Kalmeyer and Laughlin: charge-neutral edge states, gapped spin-1/2 bulk excitations, and ground state degeneracy on the torus signalling the topological order of this quantum state. In addition, we show that the chiral spin liquid phase is more easily stabilized in frustrated lattices containing corner-sharing triangles, such as the extended kagome lattice, than in the triangular lattice. Our field theoretical approach invites generalizations to more exotic chiral spin liquids and may be used to assess the existence of the chiral spin liquid as the ground state of specific lattice systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the ground states of frustrated quantum spin systems—in which the local energetic constraints cannot all be simultaneously satisfied—is a fascinating topic in condensed matter physics.1 One of the central proposed ground states is Anderson’s resonating valence bond state,2 a collective spin singlet not breaking any symmetry and possessing neutral spin-1/2 excitations. This idea opened the way for topological phases with fractionalized excitations emerging in frustrated spin systems.3–11 In 1987, Kalmeyer and Laughlin12 proposed a different spin singlet state in the triangular Heisenberg antiferromagnet that breaks time reversal and parity symmetries, called the chiral spin liquid (CSL). In 1989, Wen, Zee and Wilczek,13 and also Baskaran,14 proposed to use the expectation value of the “spin chirality operator” \( S_i \cdot (S_j \times S_k) \), where \( i, j, k \) belong to an elementary triangle, as an order parameter for CSLs. Despite preserving spin SU(2) symmetry, the Kalmeyer-Laughlin CSL shares basic properties of quantum Hall states, such as a bulk gap and chiral edge states.12,15,16

While it was shown later that the CSL is not realized in the Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the triangular lattice, a few models have been proposed17–20 for which the CSL state is an exact ground state. However, the question remained as to whether the CSL can be realized in more realistic spin models. Recently, along with related implementations using ultracold atoms in optical lattices,21 Bauer et al.22 studied a model of a Mott insulator on the kagome lattice using exact diagonalization and density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) and found unambiguous evidence for realization of the Kalmeyer-Laughlin CSL. The model explicitly includes the three-spin interaction \( S_i \cdot (S_j \times S_k) \), which is generated by an applied magnetic field. Furthermore, He, Sheng and Chen23, as well as Gong, Zhu, and Sheng24, reported a numerical observation of a CSL in an extended spin-1/2 kagome Heisenberg model including up to next-next-nearest-neighbor interactions. The observation was again based on DMRG simulations on cylinder geometries, but in this case the spin chirality order emerged from spontaneous breaking of time reversal symmetry. Remarkably, when second and third-neighbor couplings are small, instead of a CSL one finds24,25 a gapped \( Z_2 \) spin liquid which had been identified in previous studies.26 Quite recently, variational Monte Carlo results have confirmed that the CSL state is energetically favored in a large region of the phase diagram of the extended kagome lattice and has significant overlap with the exact ground state obtained by exact diagonalization.27,28

In order to determine whether the ground state of a specific lattice model is a CSL, nonperturbative approaches not restricted to finite systems are desirable. Here we present a new field-theoretic approach which captures all universal properties of the Kalmeyer-Laughlin state, including fractional quasiparticle excitations and degeneracy on the torus. Our approach is based on the “sliding Luttinger liquid” or “coupled-wire approach” to the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE)29,30. Similar constructions based on arrays of one-dimensional (1D) subsystems have proven powerful in the description of exotic quantum Hall states and non-Abelian anyons,31–36 fractional topological insulators,37–40 liquids of interacting anyons41,42 and purely 1D systems.43–45

We construct a two-dimensional (2D) CSL from an array of antiferromagnetic Heisenberg spin chains. Leaving the detailed derivation to the bulk of the paper, here we describe the construction pictorially. In the limit where the spin chains are decoupled, each chain has gapless spin wave excitations moving either to the left (L) or to the right (R). A topologically trivial gapped phase of the 2D spin system arises if an energy gap is produced due to coupling of L and R movers within the same chain. On
the other hand, a topologically nontrivial phase arises if the energy gap stems from coupling of the \( L \) and \( R \) modes of neighboring chains. As we demonstrate later, this picture implies the emergence of edge states for a geometry with open boundaries, consisting of the unpaired \( L \) and \( R \) modes in the spatially separated edge chains. Since these edge states are charge neutral, the Hall conductivity vanishes; yet, they are able to conduct heat as well as spin currents.

The bulk Hamiltonian in the topological phase locks the \( L \) and \( R \) spin modes on neighboring chains into an SU(2) symmetric spin singlet state. The theory predicts that the elementary excitations carry spin 1/2 and are charge neutral; these are the quasiparticles of the CSL. Since the excited states in the lattice with an even number of sites must have integer spin, the spin 1/2 elementary excitations are fractional and the ground state has topological order compatible with filling factor \( \nu = 1/2 \) in the FQHE description of the CSL\(^{12} \). As compared to the electronic FQHE, the extra spin SU(2) symmetry implies that quasiparticles and quasiholes—equivalent to spin-up and spin-down states—are degenerate.

The topological nature of the phase, which accounts for its long-range entanglement\(^{46} \), can be tested by placing the 2D surface on the torus and counting the ground state degeneracy\(^{47} \). This degeneracy emerges when the operators that transport an elementary quasiparticle along the two non-contractible directions of the torus do not commute. In our construction these operators have a natural bosonized expression which shows their noncommutativity and the resulting doubly degenerate ground state, again consistent with the defining properties of the \( \nu = 1/2 \) FQHE.

The most crucial condition for the applicability of our approach is that the coupling between \( L \) and \( R \) spin modes of neighboring chains opens an energy gap. To establish the feasibility of this condition, we start by analyzing a model of a zigzag chain containing chiral three-spin interactions, for which exact results by Frahm and Rödenbeck\(^{48} \) provide direct support to our approach. This agreement invites the extension to frustrated 2D lattices, in which recent numerical calculations observed signatures of the CSL. The field theory construction similarly opens the way for generalizations to more exotic chiral spin liquid phases beyond the Kalmeyer-Laughlin state. We shall discuss this in the outlook section and leave a detailed study for future work.

The paper is organized as follows. We start in Sec. II with one spatial dimension. We first review the exactly solvable lattice model introduced by Frahm and Rödenbeck\(^{48} \) and then apply non-Abelian bosonization techniques to recover its low-energy physics, forming the basis of our wire construction in the simplest context of two chains. We show that the spin chirality operator opens only a partial gap in the spectrum, leaving out two gapless modes which are the seed of the chiral edge modes in the 2D case. The 2D construction is done in Sec. III, where a renormalization group analysis is carried out to study the competition between the CSL and other conventional instabilities. In Sec. IV the properties of the ground state obtained in this chain construction are discussed, starting with the edge states. Then the quasi-particles and their creation operator are constructed in Sec. IV A, and the algebra leading to the ground state degeneracy is described in Sec. IV B. Finally, in Sec. V we conclude and discuss future directions.

## II. ONE-DIMENSIONAL CHIRAL SPIN LIQUID

In this section we use field theory methods to analyze a spin-1/2 zigzag model which (i) captures the physics of the CSL in one spatial dimension and (ii) is exactly solvable\(^{48} \). This model corroborates that our field theory construction of CSLs, which will become more abstract in the next section on 2D generalizations, can indeed describe concrete lattice realizations.

### A. Spin model

We analyze a spin-1/2 zigzag chain as shown in Fig. 1, described by the Hamiltonian \( H = H_J + H_\chi \). Here

\[
H_J = \sum_j [J_S j \cdot S_{j+1} + JS_j \cdot S_{j+2}].
\]

For dominating nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic exchange \( J' > 0 \), this system behaves as a single chain perturbed by next-nearest-neighbor coupling \( J \). The 1D Heisenberg model with \( J = 0 \) is exactly solvable by Bethe ansatz and the ground state is in a critical phase with quasi-long-range order\(^{49} \). It is known that upon inclusion of a small next-nearest-neighbor coupling \( J \), the system remains critical, till an energy gap opens for \( J/J' \geq 0.241167 \)\(^{30-32} \). On the other hand, in the limit \( J \gg J' \) on which we will focus, the system can be thought of as two chains weakly coupled by the zigzag term \( J' \). To force the system into a chiral spin state, we add terms breaking parity and time reversal symmetry explicitly (but preserving the SU(2) symmetry)

\[
H_\chi = \sum_j \chi_2 \left[ S_{2j} \cdot (S_{2j+1} \times S_{2j-1}) + S_{2j+1} \cdot (S_{2j} \times S_{2j+2}) \right].
\]

In both terms in Eq. (2) the spin operators appear clockwise in the triple product (as read from left to right) with respect to every elementary triangle in Fig. 1. Thus, this interaction favours uniform spin chirality. Note that the system is not invariant under translation by one site \( j \to j + 1 \), but only under \( j \to j + 2 \).

It is worth mentioning that such chiral three-spin interactions arise naturally in the Hubbard model in the presence of a magnetic flux. Following Ref. [22], consider
spin-1/2 electrons hopping on the same zigzag lattice with nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude \(t_1\) and next-nearest-neighbor hopping \(t_2\). At half filling and for strong on-site Hubbard interaction \(U \gg t_1, t_2\), we obtain the usual exchange coupling in Eq. (1) with \(J' = 4t_1^2/J, J = 4t_2^2/U\). Adding a magnetic flux \(0 < \Phi < \pi\) through each triangle breaks time reversal symmetry and gives rise to an interaction involving the spin chirality operator as in Eq. (2), with \(\chi \sim \frac{t_1 t_2}{2U} \sin(\Phi)\). Higher orders in \(t/U\), which are required to describe weak Mott insulators, tends to enhance the ratio \(\chi/J\).

### B. Exact spin-wave spectrum

The Hamiltonian \(H = H_J + H_\chi\) is integrable if one parametrizes the three coupling constants as \(48\)

\[
J' = 2(1 - \kappa), \quad J = \kappa, \quad \chi = 2\sqrt{\kappa(1 - \kappa)}. \tag{3}
\]

Varying the parameter \(\kappa\) from 0 to 1 interpolates between a single Heisenberg chain and a pair of decoupled chains. The excitation spectrum \(\epsilon(k)\) of elementary excitations — called spinons — has a closed-form expression extracted from the Bethe ansatz solution \(48\), and is plotted in Fig. 2 for different values of \(\kappa\) near 1. For \(\kappa = 1\) the system reduces to two decoupled chains and one observes two branches of excitations containing left- \((L)\) and right- \((R)\) moving gapless modes at \(k\) mod \(2\pi = 0\) and \(k = \pi\) (in units where the lattice spacing \(a = 1\)). For arbitrarily small deviation of \(\kappa\) from unity, the pair of \(R\) and \(L\) movers at \(k = \pi\) acquires an energy gap \(48\)

\[
\Delta = \epsilon(k = \pi) = 2\pi J e^{-\frac{1}{\chi}}, \tag{4}
\]

while the pair at \(k\) mod \(2\pi = 0\) remains gapless.

Below we will obtain this behavior using field theory methods. It will also be possible to explain the scaling of the energy gap with the interchain coupling. We begin by setting the notation, starting from the Hubbard model.

### C. Bosonization notation

We follow the notation of Ref. [53] and for completeness include the main formulas here. We start from the Hubbard model, where the operator \(c_{j,\sigma}\) destroys an electron with spin \(\sigma\) on site \(j\). At long distances compared to the lattice spacing \(a = 1\), we expand the fermion field around the left and right Fermi points \(k \approx \pm \pi/2\) and introduce chiral fermions \(\psi_{L,R,\sigma}\)

\[
c_{j,\sigma} \rightarrow \Psi_{\sigma}(x) \sim e^{i\pi x/2}\psi_{R,\sigma} + e^{-i\pi x/2}\psi_{L,\sigma}. \tag{5}
\]

The chiral fermions can be subsequently bosonized as

\[
\psi_{\sigma,\alpha}(x) \sim e^{-i\sqrt{\pi}\varphi_{\sigma,\alpha}(x)}, \quad \alpha = L, R = +, -, \tag{6}
\]

where \(\varphi_{\alpha,\sigma}\) are chiral bosons that obey the commutation relations

\[
[\varphi_{\sigma,\alpha}(x), \partial_x \varphi_{\sigma',\alpha'}(x')] = i\alpha\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta(x - x'). \tag{7}
\]

We then introduce charge and spin degrees of freedom

\[
\varphi_{\alpha,c}(x) = \frac{\varphi_{\alpha,\uparrow}(x) + \varphi_{\alpha,\downarrow}(x)}{\sqrt{2}},
\]

\[
\varphi_{\alpha,s}(x) = \frac{\varphi_{\alpha,\uparrow}(x) - \varphi_{\alpha,\downarrow}(x)}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{8}
\]

At half filling, an arbitrarily small \(U > 0\) gaps out the charge mode; this happens through the umklapp operator, whereby two electrons of opposite spin scatter from the right to the left Fermi point and vice versa. The low-energy properties are then described by the spin dynamics. From now on we will omit the spin index \(s\) from the spin boson, \(\varphi_{\alpha,s} \rightarrow \varphi_{\alpha}\).

The expansion of the spin operator \(S_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} c_{j,\uparrow} c_{j,\downarrow} c_{j,\sigma}', s\rightarrow c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger \sigma_{\sigma'} c_{j,\sigma}'\), reads

\[
S_j \rightarrow S(x) \sim J_R(x) + J_L(x) + (-1)^x n(x). \tag{9}
\]

The spin field contains two parts. The uniform part is given by the chiral currents \(J_{R,L}(x)\) of the SU(2)\(_1\) Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) model with central charge \(c = 1\). In Abelian bosonization notation,

\[
J^\pm_{\sigma}(x) = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \theta_x \varphi_{\sigma}(x),
\]

\[
J^0_{\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{\pm i\sqrt{4\pi}\varphi_{\sigma}(x)}. \tag{10}
\]
The staggered part of the spin operator can be written as

\[ \mathbf{n}(x) \propto \text{tr}[g(x)\mathbf{\sigma}], \quad (11) \]

where \( \mathbf{\sigma} \) is the vector of Pauli matrices and

\[ g(x, \tau) = g_l(x) \otimes g_R^\dagger(\bar{\xi}), \quad (12) \]

is the matrix field of the WZW model, with components

\[ g_{\sigma}(x, \tau) = g_l(x)g_R^\dagger(\bar{\xi}). \]

Here \( \bar{\xi} = v_s \tau + ix \) and \( \bar{\xi} = v_s \tau - ix \) are complex coordinates in Euclidean spacetime, with \( v_s \) the velocity of the spin mode. The spinor fields \( g_{L,R} \) fields have conformal dimensions\(^{55} \left(1/2, 0\right) \) and \( \left(0, 1/2\right) \), respectively; in Abelian bosonization they can be written

\[ g_\alpha(x) = \left( e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\pi \phi_\alpha(x)} e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi \phi_\alpha(x)} \right). \]

**D. Interchain coupling**

We now turn to the coupling between two Heisenberg chains in the zigzag geometry and the resulting phases. This has been the subject of extensive theoretical work, see for example Refs.\(^{52,56,57}\). Here we focus on the role of the spin chirality operator \( H_X \).

In the continuum limit for two weakly coupled chains \( \left(J', \chi \ll J\right) \), we write the spin operator in even (e) and odd (o) chains as

\[ S_{2i+1} \to S_{e}(x) \sim J_{e,L}(x) + J_{e,R}(x) + (-1)^x n_{e}(x), \]
\[ S_{2i} \to S_{o}(x) \sim J_{o,L}(x) + J_{o,R}(x) + (-1)^x n_{o}(x), \]

and write the free Hamiltonian in Sugawara form

\[ H_0 = \sum_{i=e,o} \frac{2\pi v_s}{3} \int dx (J^2_{i,L} + J^2_{i,L}). \quad (15) \]

The Hamiltonian in Eq. (15) describes two pairs of gapless right- and left-moving bosonic fields, each pair propagating in one chain.

To analyze the perturbations to Hamiltonian (15), let us discuss the operator content of the theory and the symmetries of the lattice model. All local operators in the WZW model can be expressed in terms of the dimension-1 chiral currents \( J_{l,o}(x) \), the dimension-1/2 staggered magnetization \( n_l(x) \) and the dimension-1/2 (SU(2) scalar) dimerization operator \( \varepsilon_l(x) \propto \text{tr}[g_l(x)] \)\(^{56,58}\). These operators transform under translation \( x \to x + 1 \) (i.e. \( j \to j + 2 \) in the zigzag chain) in the form

\[ \mathcal{L} : J_{l,o} \to J_{l,o}, \quad n_l \to -n_l, \quad \varepsilon_l \to -\varepsilon_l. \]

Time reversal \( \mathcal{T} \) acts as follows:

\[ \mathcal{T} : J_{l,R} \leftrightarrow -J_{l,L}, \quad n_l \to -n_l, \quad \varepsilon_l \to \varepsilon_l. \]

Reflection \( \mathcal{P} \) about an axis perpendicular to the chains that goes through an even site (site parity for the even chain and link parity for the odd chain) takes \( x \to -x \) and

\[ \mathcal{P} : J_{l,R} \leftrightarrow J_{l,L}, \quad n_e \to n_e, \quad n_o \to -n_o, \quad \varepsilon_e \to -\varepsilon_e, \quad \varepsilon_o \to \varepsilon_o. \]

In the absence of the chiral three-spin interaction \( \chi = 0 \), the interchain couplings must respect \( \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P} \) and \( \mathcal{T} \) symmetries, as well as SU(2) invariance. It is known\(^{56,57,59}\) that in this case the leading perturbations to Eq. (15) are all marginal operators. First, even the decoupled-chain Hamiltonian is perturbed by the marginal “backscattering”\(^{58}\) operator

\[ \delta H_{bs} = 2\pi v_s \gamma_{bs} \sum_{l=e,o} \int dx J_{l,L} \cdot J_{l,R}, \quad (19) \]

with \( \gamma_{bs} < 0 \); in addition \( \gamma_{bs} = O(1) \) since it stems from the intrachain exchange coupling \( J \). Second, there is the interchain current coupling

\[ \delta H_g = 2\pi v_s g \int dx (J_{e,R} \cdot J_{o,L} + J_{o,R} \cdot J_{e,L}). \]

Finally, there is the “twist” operator\(^{57,59}\)

\[ \delta H_{tw} = 2\pi v_s \gamma_{tw} \int dx n_e \cdot \partial_x n_o, \quad (21) \]

which carries nonzero conformal spin. The dimensionless coupling constant is \( \gamma_{tw} \sim O(J'/J) \). One can then analyze the renormalization group (RG) flow of the marginal coupling constants. Here we have neglected the marginal current-current coupling of the form \( J_{e,R} J_{o,R} + (R \to L) \), which does not renormalize to one-loop order\(^{52}\). For a single Heisenberg chain, the intrachain coupling \( \gamma_{bs} < 0 \) is marginally irrelevant. On the other hand, for antiferromagnetic interchain coupling \( J' > 0 \), both \( g \) and \( \gamma_{tw} \) flow to strong coupling, but \( g \) reaches strong coupling first\(^{57}\). In this case the zigzag chain is in a topologically trivial dimerized phase in which both pairs of right and left movers within each chain are gapped out.

To recover the spectrum discussed in Sec. II B, we now consider the effects of a nonzero three-spin interaction. In this case we must allow for perturbations that are odd under \( \mathcal{P} \) and \( \mathcal{T} \), but invariant under the product \( \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{T} \). We find that there is only one new marginal perturbation to the decoupled-chain Hamiltonian (and still no relevant perturbations). The latter can be obtained by taking the continuum limit in the spin chirality operator in Eq. (2):
We can use the OPE for chiral currents \( n^a \) on the same chain. The combination of the OPEs \( J_{i,L}(z) J_{j,L}^a(0) \sim \frac{\delta^{ab}}{8\pi^2 z^2} + \frac{i}{2\pi z} \epsilon^{abc} J_{j,L}^c(0) + \cdots, \)
\( J_{i,R}(\bar{z}) J_{j,R}^a(0) \sim \frac{\delta^{ab}}{8\pi^2 z^2} + \frac{i}{2\pi z} \epsilon^{abc} J_{j,R}^c(0) + \cdots \),
where \( \epsilon^{abc} \) is the Levi-Civita antisymmetric tensor. This leads to
\[
S_i(x + 1) \times S_i(x) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} [J_{i,L}(x) - J_{i,R}(x)] + \cdots \tag{24}
\]
as the leading term. Keeping only nonoscillating terms in Eq. (22), we obtain the marginal perturbation
\[
\delta H_\chi = 2\pi v_s \hat{\chi} \int dx (J_{e,R} \cdot J_{o,L} - J_{e,L} \cdot J_{o,R}), \tag{25}
\]
with dimensionless coupling constant \( \hat{\chi} \sim O(\chi/J) \). As expected, the operator in Eq. (25) is odd under both \( \mathcal{P} \) and \( \mathcal{T} \). We remark that we have also considered the OPE of the \( n(x) \) field with itself and with the chiral currents, but found no additional perturbations at the level of marginal operators.

Using the OPEs \( a^{56,61} \) among the fields \( J_{i,o}, n_i \) and \( \epsilon_1 \), we derive a set of coupled RG equations for the marginal coupling constants in the presence of the chirality operator:
\[
\frac{d\gamma_{bs}}{d\ell} = \gamma_{bs}^2, \tag{26}
\]
\[
\frac{dg}{d\ell} = g^2 + \hat{\chi}^2 + 2\pi^2 C^2 \gamma_{tw}^2, \tag{27}
\]
\[
\frac{d\hat{\chi}}{d\ell} = 2g\hat{\chi}, \tag{28}
\]
\[
\frac{d\gamma_{tw}}{d\ell} = (g + \gamma_{bs})\gamma_{tw}, \tag{29}
\]
where \( C \) is a nonuniversal prefactor of order unity appearing in the OPE of \( n(x) \) and \( d\ell = d\ln(\Lambda_0/\Lambda) \) with \( \Lambda \) the ultraviolet momentum cutoff.

Let us now discuss the RG flow. First note that \( \gamma_{bs} \) starts off with a bare value of order 1, but is marginally irrelevant for \( \gamma_{bs} < 0 \). To analyze the remaining equations, let us assume \( \hat{\chi} > 0 \) without loss of generality. It is convenient to define
\[
\lambda_{\pm} = \hat{\chi} \pm g. \tag{30}
\]
The combination of the \( g \) and \( \hat{\chi} \) marginal perturbations can be written in the form
\[
\delta H_g + \delta H_{\chi} = 2\pi v_s \lambda_+ \int dx J_{e,R} \cdot J_{o,L} + 2\pi v_s \lambda_- \int dx J_{e,L} \cdot J_{o,R}. \tag{31}
\]
\[\text{FIG. 3: RG flow of marginal coupling constants } \lambda_{\pm} \text{ (solid line), } \lambda_{\mp} \text{ (dashed line) and } \gamma_{tw} \text{ (dot-dashed line) in the zigzag chain, according to Eq. (32). Here we set the initial conditions } \hat{\chi}(0) = 0.1, g(0) = \gamma_{tw}(0) = 0.05 \text{ and } \gamma_{bs} = -0.5. \text{ The nonuniversal prefactor is set to } C = 1. \]

The RG equations Eqs. (27)-(29) become
\[
\frac{d\lambda_+}{d\ell} = \lambda_+^2 + 2\pi^2 C^2 \gamma_{tw}^2, \tag{32}
\]
\[
\frac{d\lambda_-}{d\ell} = -\lambda_-^2 - 2\pi^2 C^2 \gamma_{tw}^2, \tag{32}
\]
\[
\frac{d\gamma_{tw}}{d\ell} = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_+ - \lambda_- + \gamma_{bs}) \gamma_{tw}. \tag{32}
\]
We are interested in the regime \( \hat{\chi} > g \), as follows from Eq. (3) with \( 1 - \kappa \ll 1 \). Physically, a sizeable three-spin interaction \( \hat{\chi} \) can be generated from virtual electron hoppings in a Mott insulator in the vicinity of the metal-insulator transition \( a^{22,62} \). In this case \( \lambda_+ > 0 \) flows to strong coupling while \( \lambda_- < 0 \) flows to zero. Notice that the twist operator contributes to enhancing this trend.

Figure 3 shows a typical example of RG flow for a given choice of bare coupling constants. The important point is that \( \lambda_+ \) reaches strong coupling (i.e. becomes of order 1) first. This behavior is robust for a wide range of initial values in the regime \( \hat{\chi} > g \). This implies that, in order to understand the properties of the low-energy fixed point, we can analyze the effects of large \( \lambda_+ \) while dropping the other competing marginal operators. According to Eq. (31), the limit of coupling \( \lambda_+ \to \infty \) gaps out right movers in the even chain and left movers in the odd chain, but leaves the pair of modes \( J_{e,L}, J_{o,R} \) gapless. Furthermore, for small bare \( \lambda_+ (\ell = 0) \) the gap in the \( J_{e,R}, J_{o,L} \) pair (see Eq. (4)) is exponentially small since the perturbation is only marginally relevant. For the opposite chirality, \( \hat{\chi} < 0 \), the same picture holds upon interchanging \( \lambda_+ \leftrightarrow \lambda_- \) and reversing the pair of gapless modes.

The picture we have just described agrees with the low-energy spectrum for the integrable model discussed in Sec. II B if we identify the gapped modes with the excitations at \( k = \pi \) in Fig. 2. Moreover, the field theory analysis shows that the 1D chiral spin liquid phase is generic (i.e. does not depend on the fine tuning of coupling constants in Eq. (3)) and is governed by the \( \lambda_+ \).

\[\text{FIG. 3: } \ell = \ln(\Lambda_0/\Lambda) \]
operator, which gaps out a pair of left- and right-moving spin currents in neighboring chains. This result suggests a generalization to two dimensions, which we shall discuss in the next section.

III. TWO-DIMENSIONAL CHIRAL SPIN LIQUID

In the previous section we showed that the combination of the time-reversal-even interchain coupling $\delta H_g$ and time-reversal-odd $\delta H_\chi$ can lead to a phase with gapless chiral modes propagating in different legs of the zigzag chain. We now extend the argument to frustrated 2D lattices built out of weakly coupled chains, such as the spatially anisotropic triangular lattice depicted in Fig. 4. The Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H = H_J + H_\chi,$$

where

$$H_J = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$$

contains the exchange couplings $J_{ij} = J$ for $i, j$ nearest-neighbor sites along horizontal links of the lattice (i.e. within the same chain) and $J_{ij} = J' \ll J$ for $i, j$ nearest-neighbor sites along diagonal links (i.e. in neighboring chains). In addition, the Hamiltonian contains three-spin operators with uniform chirality $\chi$,

$$H_\chi = \frac{\chi}{2} \sum_{i,j,k \in \triangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k),$$

with the indices $i, j, k$ appearing clockwise in each elementary triangle.

The phase diagram of the spatially anisotropic triangular lattice has been studied in great detail in the case of time-reversal-invariant interchain coupling $59,63$. The starting point is a collection of $N$ decoupled antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chains, each of which is described by an SU(2)$_1$ WZW theory. The spin operator at position $x$ in chain $l$ is represented by

$$\mathbf{S}_l(x) \sim \mathbf{J}_{l,L}(x) + \mathbf{J}_{l,R}(x) + (-1)^x \mathbf{n}_l(x),$$

where now $l = 1, \ldots, N$ is the chain (or leg) index. The free Hamiltonian for decoupled chains reads

$$H_0 = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{2 \pi v_g}{3} \int dx (\mathbf{J}_{l,R}^2 + \mathbf{J}_{l,L}^2).$$

Now consider the perturbations that are allowed by symmetry. Besides SU(2), translation $\mathcal{L}$ and time reversal $\mathcal{T}$, it is important to take into account the $\mathcal{P}$ symmetry defined in Eq. (18), which for an arbitrary number of chains takes $x \rightarrow -x$ and

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathbf{J}_{l,R} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{J}_{l,L}, \mathbf{n}_l \rightarrow (-1)^l \mathbf{n}_l, \varepsilon_l \rightarrow (-1)^{l+1} \varepsilon_l.$$ (37)

As before, the intrachain backscattering process gives rise to the marginal perturbation

$$\delta H_{bs} = 2 \pi v_s \gamma_{bs} \sum_l \int dx \mathbf{J}_{l,R} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{l,L},$$

where $\gamma_{bs} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. The leading perturbations coupling first-neighbor chains are the generalizations of the marginal operators discussed in Sec. II D:

$$\delta H_g = 2 \pi v_s g \sum_l \int dx (\mathbf{J}_{l,R} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{l+1,L} + R \leftrightarrow L),$$ (39)

$$\delta H_{tw} = 2 \pi v_s \gamma_{tw} \sum_l (-1)^l \int dx \mathbf{n}_l \cdot \partial_x \mathbf{n}_{l+1},$$ (40)

$$\delta H_\chi = 2 \pi v_s \chi \sum_l \int dx (\mathbf{J}_{l,L} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{l+1,R} - R \leftrightarrow L),$$ (41)

where we have included the $\mathcal{T}$-breaking perturbation that stems from the three-spin interaction $\chi$. The perturbative RG equations for these marginal coupling constants are the same as in the 1D case, Eqs. (26) through (29).

However, there is an important difference between the 1D and 2D cases. As discussed by Starykh and Balents $59$, for $N > 2$ there appear two strongly relevant (dimension-1) perturbations that are allowed by symmetry and couple next-nearest-neighbor chains:

$$\delta H_n = v_s g_n A \sum_l \int dx \mathbf{n}_l \cdot \mathbf{n}_{l+2},$$ (42)

$$\delta H_\varepsilon = v_s g_\varepsilon A \sum_l \int dx \varepsilon_l \varepsilon_{l+2},$$ (43)

where $g_n$ and $g_\varepsilon$ are dimensionless. Note that these operators respect the $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{T}$ symmetry with $\mathcal{P}$ defined in Eq. (37). These are the only allowed relevant perturbations even in our case where $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are separately broken by the spin chirality operator. The RG equations for $g_n$ and $g_\varepsilon$ read

$$\frac{dg_n}{d\ell} = \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_{bs}}{2}\right) g_n,$$ (44)

$$\frac{dg_\varepsilon}{d\ell} = \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \gamma_{bs}\right) g_\varepsilon,$$ (45)

where we have included the correction due to the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ marginal coupling $\gamma_{bs}$. As argued in Ref. [59], the effect of $\gamma_{bs} < 0$ is to enhance the growth of $g_n$; for $g_n > 0$, this favors an instability towards a collinear antiferromagnetic phase in which the $\mathbf{n}_l(x)$ fields are pinned. By
contrast, for $\gamma_{bs} > 0$ (which can happen if one adds a sufficiently large intrachain next-nearest-neighbor exchange coupling) a dimerization instability driven by the $\varepsilon(x)$ fields becomes dominant.

Naively, one would expect either one of the relevant operators $g_n$ or $g_t$ to overtake the marginal couplings in Eqs. (39-41) and govern the low-energy physics, leading to more conventional, long-range-ordered phases. However, the fate of the system also depends on the bare values of the coupling constants, and cases in which a marginal operator reaches strong coupling before relevant ones have been discussed in the literature. In the following we shall assume $\gamma_{bs} < 0$ and focus our discussion on $g_n$ as the most relevant operator that competes with $\lambda_+$. For instance, Fig. 5 shows the RG flow for $g_n$ and $\lambda_+$ for two different values of the bare $g_n(\ell = 0) \ll \lambda_+(\ell = 0)$. We see that which operator reaches strong coupling first is a quantitative question, whose answer is sensitive to the precise initial conditions of the RG flow. Nevertheless, in the following we shall attempt to make statements about the typical qualitative behavior depending on how $g_n(0)$ scales with the interchain couplings $J', \chi \ll J$.

Let us then estimate the magnitude of the bare $g_n(0)$ in Eq. (42). Our original lattice model does not contain direct coupling between next-nearest-neighbor chains. However, since the operator is allowed by symmetry, we expect it to be generated by the RG flow at higher orders in $J', \chi$. For the triangular lattice without the three-spin interaction, Starykh and Balents found that $g_n > 0$ is generated during the initial stages of the RG only at order $(J'/J)^4$. On the other hand, it has been suggested that a ferromagnetic $g_n(0) < 0$ is expected from fluctuations at short length scales at order $(J'/J)^2$. The latter is more consistent with existing numerical results which have not observed the collinear antiferromagnetic phase, but rather incommensurate spiral order.

In our case, the chiral spin interaction provides another source of the relevant coupling between second-neighbor chains. Assuming that the initial value $g_n(0)$ is set by fluctuations at short length scales, we can argue that in the triangular lattice $g_n$ is generated at order $\chi^2$. The argument is based on a perturbative calculation in a real-space picture. We proceed along the lines of Refs. [58, 66]. Let $H_0$ denote the Hamiltonian of decoupled chains and $|0\rangle$ be the corresponding ground state with energy $E_0$. We regard $H_\chi$ in Eq. (34) as a perturbation to $H_0$. We define the projectors $P = |0\rangle\langle 0|$ and $Q = 1 - P$, and write $|\Psi_0\rangle = P|\Psi\rangle$ for the projection of an arbitrary state $|\Psi\rangle$. One can then derive an eigenvalue equation for $|\Psi_0\rangle$ in the form $H_{\text{eff}}|\Psi_0\rangle = E|\Psi_0\rangle$, with an effective Hamiltonian given by:

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_0 + PH_\chi(1 - RQH_\chi)^{-1}RH_\chi,$$

where $R = (E - H_0)^{-1}$ is the resolvent operator. To second order in perturbation theory, we can approximate $R \approx R_0 = (E_0 - H_0)^{-1}$ and $H_{\text{eff}} \approx H_0 + V^{(2)}$ with the effective interaction

$$V^{(2)} = PH_\chi R_0 H_\chi.$$

Consider now the edge-sharing triangles represented in Fig. 6(a). The spins $S_1$ in the lower chain and $S_4$ in the upper chain both interact with the spins $S_2$ and $S_3$ in the middle chain via the chiral three-spin interaction. The exchange coupling between $S_1$ and $S_4$ can be generated at second order in $H_\chi$ using Eq. (47) and projecting out the middle chain. We find

$$V^{(2)} \sim \chi^2 G^{-+} S_1 \cdot S_4,$$

where

$$G^{-+} = -i \int_0^\infty dt \langle T^{+-}_{2,3}(t)T^{+-}_{2,3}(0) \rangle$$

is the zero-frequency retarded Green’s function for the two-spin operator

$$T^{+-}_{2,3} = \frac{i}{2} (S_2^- S_3^- - S_2^+ S_3^+).$$
Note that $T_{i,j}^{+\perp}$ is equivalent to the $z$ component of the spin current flowing between sites 2 and 3 (the choice of the component is arbitrary due to SU(2) symmetry). Alternatively, via Kramers-Kronig relations\textsuperscript{58} $G^{+\perp}$ can be expressed in terms of the dynamical structure factor for the operator $T_{i,j}^{+\perp}$ involving nearest-neighbor sites $i,j$ in a single Heisenberg chain. Note that $T_{i,j}^{+\perp}$ can be viewed as the antisymmetric part (a vector related to the spin current operator) of the two-spin tensor operator $T_{i,j}^{ab}$; $a,b \in \{x,y,z\}$. To our knowledge, only the dynamical structure factor for the scalar part of this tensor, $\sum_{\alpha} T_{i,j}^{\alpha} \sim \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$, has been calculated by exact methods\textsuperscript{67}. In any case, the main point is that by taking the staggered parts of the spin operators in Eq. (48), we obtain the interaction $V_{\text{eff}}^{(2)} \sim g_n \mathbf{n}_l \cdot \mathbf{n}_{l+2}$ (where $l$ and $l+2$ denote the chains that contain $\mathbf{S}_1$ and $\mathbf{S}_2$, respectively) with $g_n$ of order $\chi^2$.

From the above discussion, we conclude that for the triangular lattice with $\chi > J'$ we should in general expect $g_n(0) \sim O(\chi/J)^2$. Since the relevant operator is generated already at this level of perturbation theory and $g_n(\ell)$ grows exponentially fast with $\ell$, regardless of the sign of $g_n(0)$, it seems rather unlikely that the marginal coupling $\lambda_+$ will reach strong coupling first and lead to the CSL phase in the triangular lattice, and instead one expects a more conventional order\textsuperscript{68}.

More propitious conditions for stabilizing the CSL are found in lattices where the chiral three-spin interaction is confined to corner-sharing triangles. Consider a set of spins connected as in Fig. 6(b). Repeating the perturbative analysis at short-length scales, we verify that projecting out the spin $\mathbf{S}_1$ in the intermediate corner gives rise to a coupling $\propto \sum_{a,b} \left( S^a_1 S^b_2 - S^b_1 S^a_2 \right) (S^a_4 S^b_5 - S^b_4 S^a_5)$. Importantly, the operator $S^a_1 S^b_2 - S^b_1 S^a_2$ involving the spins in the lower chain is odd under link parity but even under time reversal. Thus, taking the continuum limit cannot produce $\mathbf{n}_l(x)$ in the chain containing $\mathbf{S}_1$ and $\mathbf{S}_2$. Therefore, this $O(\chi^2)$ perturbative calculation does not generate the relevant coupling $g_n \mathbf{n}_l \cdot \mathbf{n}_{l+2}$. At the same time, second order in $J'$ generates an operator $\propto \left( \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 \right) \cdot \left( \mathbf{S}_4 + \mathbf{S}_5 \right)$. The operator in the lower chain $\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2$ is odd under time reversal but even under link parity; thus, in the continuum limit it does not generate $\mathbf{n}_l$ at order $(J')^2$ either. While our argument is based on a lattice picture, the same conclusion can be reached by integrating out fast modes in the initial steps of the RG in the continuum limit (as done e.g. in Ref. [59]). Note also that the same arguments can be used to rule out $g_n(0)$ at order $\chi^2, (J')^2$. We conclude that the corner-sharing triangular geometry has a higher degree of frustration in the sense that the relevant coupling between second-neighbor chains is pushed to higher orders in $\chi$ and $J'$. In this case we expect $g_n \sim O(\chi/J)^4$.

In Fig. 7 we show an example of an anisotropic 2D lattice constructed by coupling chains with only corner-sharing triangles. This lattice differs from the anisotropic kagome lattice\textsuperscript{58} by an additional exchange coupling $J_3$ between third neighbors in the horizontal direction across the hexagons. Moreover, our model includes the three-spin interaction in each triangle. For $J', \chi \ll J_3 \lesssim J$, the starting point for our analysis is that all spins on this lattice belong to a Heisenberg chain. But in this case there are two types of chains with different site densities, which we call dense chains (with coupling $J$) and dilute chains (with coupling $J_3$). It is worth mentioning that another motivation for considering the $J_3$ coupling is that the extended kagome lattice studied in recent DMRG simulations\textsuperscript{23-25} required relatively large (spatially isotropic) second- and third-neighbor couplings in order to stabilize the CSL phase.

We can directly apply the perturbative argument about corner-sharing triangles to show that between second-neighbor dense chains the relevant $g_n$ coupling is not generated at order $\chi^2, (J')^2$. Meanwhile, the sites on the dilute chains form a triangular sublattice; thus, the $g_n, g_c$ couplings between nearest dilute chains are ruled out by symmetry. Therefore, $g_n$ and $g_c$ must be fourth order in $\chi, J'$. In this case, we expect the scenario represented by the dot-dashed line in Fig. 5, i.e. the initial values of $g_n, g_c$ are so small that the marginally relevant coupling $\lambda_+$ reaches strong coupling first and gaps out pairs of $R$ and $L$ modes in neighboring chains.

In the next section we shall study the properties of a 2D state dominated by the operator $\lambda_+ \sum_l \mathbf{J}_{l,R} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{l+1,L}$, showing that this is indeed the Kalmeyer-Laughlin CSL.

**IV. TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES**

Building on the results of the previous section, in this part we will assume that the perturbation

$$\delta H_+ = 2\pi v_\pi \lambda_+ \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \int dx \mathbf{J}_{l,L} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{l+1,R}$$

is the leading relevant operator and gaps out pairs of chiral currents $\mathbf{J}_{l,L}, \mathbf{J}_{l+1,R}$ in first-neighbor chains. This is
similar to the case of the zigzag chain discussed in Sec. IID, except that now the modes that remain gapless are spatially separated edge states, composed of the right-moving spin mode in the $l = 1$ chain and the left-moving spin mode in the $l = N$ chain. This is a concrete realization of the idea of merging triangular puddles to form a 2D topological phase in the network model perspective. Thus, the low-energy theory of each edge is described by a chiral WZW SU(2) model. Note that there are still symmetry-allowed relevant perturbations that can couple these edge modes, but their coupling constants decrease exponentially with $N$ and the effect can be neglected in the 2D limit.

Since the edge states do not carry charge, the Hall conductivity vanishes. However, at low temperature $T$ the chiral edge modes carry an energy current flowing counterclockwise around the edge, given by $J_Q = \frac{e}{4\pi} T^2$, where $e = 1$ is the central charge in this case.

This critical theory of the edge is consistent with the properties of the Kalmeyer-Laughlin CSL state. To completely characterize a 2D topological state, one needs to account for the correct bulk physics in addition to the properties of the Kalmeyer-Laughlin CSL state. To consider the bulk quasiparticle excitations, we will discuss the bulk quasiparticles and show that they correspond to spin-1 anyons with statistical phase change statistics of these spin-1/2 quasiparticles, implying that they are anyons with statistical phase $\pi/2$.

### A. Bulk quasiparticle excitations

Quasiparticle (QP) excitations can be constructed using a semiclassical picture that follows from the strong coupling limit of the interchain interaction Eq. (51). This strong coupling picture is easily understood using the methods of Kane, Mukhopadhyay, and Lubensky for the FQHE. In this description, each 1D chain consists of $L$ and $R$ bosonic fields, $\varphi_{l,R}$, subject to a cosine perturbation of the form $\cos[A(\varphi_{l,R} - \varphi_{l+1,R})]$, with some constant $A$ that depends on the scaling dimension of local operators. In the strong coupling limit, the field difference $\varphi_{l,R} - \varphi_{l+1,L}$ is localized in one of the minima of the cosine potential, and QP excitations are solitonic solutions corresponding to jumps between adjacent minima.

It is instructive to begin our construction of QPs using the above method, even though it does not display the SU(2) symmetry of the Kalmeyer-Laughlin CSL. This symmetry gives rise to extra degeneracies and implies that the QPs must transform under an irreducible representation of SU(2). In fact, this restricts the possible fractionalization of quantum numbers in systems with SU(2) symmetry. As described below, non-Abelian bosonization is the natural language to construct the bulk QPs with explicit symmetry properties.

Let us separate the longitudinal and transverse parts of the interchain interaction, $J_{l,L} \cdot J_{l+1,R} = O_{l+1/2}^z + O_{l+1/2}^{xy}$, where

$$O_{l+1/2}^z = J_{l,L}^z J_{l+1,R}^z,$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)

$$O_{l+1/2}^{xy} = \frac{1}{2} (J_{l,L}^x J_{l+1,R}^x + h.c.).$$ \hspace{1cm} (53)

Here $l + 1/2$ represents the link between chains $l$ and $l + 1$. We use Eq. (10) to represent the components of spin currents in terms of bosonic fields. The transverse part of the interchain coupling yields

$$O_{l+1/2}^{xy} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}(\varphi_{l,L} - \varphi_{l+1,R})].$$ \hspace{1cm} (54)

Upon flowing to strong coupling, this operator pins the field difference $\varphi_{l,L} - \varphi_{l+1,R}$ to the minimum of the cosine potential; hence in the ground state of the gapped phase

$$\sqrt{4\pi}(\varphi_{l,L} - \varphi_{l+1,R}) = 2\pi n + \pi, \hspace{0.5cm} n \in \mathbb{N}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (55)

Consider an excitation in which the argument of the cosine in Eq. (54) jumps by $\pm 2\pi$ over some finite region in space (the size of the QP, which depends on the energy gap). The total spin $\Delta S^z$ accumulated over that region is

$$\Delta S^z = \sum_l \int dx (J_{l,L}^z J_{l,R}^z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_l \int dx \partial_x (\varphi_{l,L} - \varphi_{l+1,R}) = \pm \frac{1}{2}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (56)

Thus, QPs have eigenvalues of $S^z$ equal to $\pm 1/2$.

In the above discussion we have ignored the longitudinal operator $O_{l+1/2}^z$. We now check that this was legitimate. Summing over chain index, we can write

$$\sum_l O_{l+1/2}^z = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_l [(\partial_x \varphi_{l,L} - \partial_x \varphi_{l+1,R})^2 - (\partial_x \varphi_{l,R})^2 - (\partial_x \varphi_{l,L})^2].$$ \hspace{1cm} (57)

In the SU(2) symmetric case, the longitudinal and transverse parts of the marginally relevant operator flow together to strong coupling. As the transverse part $O_{l+1/2}^{xy}$ locks the difference $\varphi_{l,L} - \varphi_{l+1,R}$ in the low-energy limit, the first term in Eq. (57) vanishes. The remaining terms are equivalent to a renormalization of the spin velocity which does not change the qualitative features of the RG flow. Thus, the operator $O_{l+1/2}^z$ does not affect the strong-coupling picture of pinning the fields as in Eq. (55).

We can also write down the QP creation operator. The latter should create a $\pm 2\pi$ kink in the field difference $\sqrt{4\pi}(\varphi_{l,L} - \varphi_{l+1,R})$ at link $l + 1/2$. Using the commutation relations in Eq. (7), we can easily identify this
with the vertex operators $e^{\pm i\sqrt{\pi}\varphi_{i,L}(x)}$ (or equivalently $e^{\mp i\sqrt{\pi}\varphi_{i+1,R}(x)}$, since the chiral bosons are locked together in the ground state). Taking the SU(2) symmetry into account, we recognize that the vertex operators that create QPs with $\Delta S^z = +1/2$ or $\Delta S^z = -1/2$ are the two components of the chiral spinor in the WZW model (cf. Eq. (13)):

$$\Psi_{\frac{1}{2}\pm}^{QP}(x) \propto g_{i,L}(x) = \left( e^{-i\sqrt{\pi}\varphi_{i,L}(x)} e^{i\sqrt{\pi}\varphi_{i+1,R}(x)} \right).$$ (58)

The spinor structure of the QP operator makes it explicit that it forms a spin-1/2 representation of the SU(2) spin-rotation symmetry. This also implies that “particle” ($\Delta S^z = +1/2$) and “hole” ($\Delta S^z = -1/2$) excitations can be continuously rotated into each other, which of course is only possible because the QPs are charge neutral. Furthermore, local physical operators can only create pairs of QPs. For instance, the dimerization operator $\varepsilon_1(x) \propto \text{tr}[g_i(x)]$, which is an SU(2) scalar, creates spin singlet excitations, whereas the staggered magnetization $n_i(x) \propto \text{tr}[\sigma g_i(x)]$ creates triplet excitations.

### B. Topological degeneracy

The imprint of topological order is a ground state degeneracy which is sensitive to the topology of the space. To establish the ground state degeneracy with the Hamiltonian but not with each other. This implies that the ground-state manifold must form a representation of the algebra obeyed by $U_x$ and $U_y$ which is necessarily multidimensional. More generally, the number of such operators grows with the genus of the surface. In the FQHE case, this algebra is of the form $U_x U_y = U_y U_x e^{2\pi i/m}$ with $m$ integer corresponding to the filling factor $\nu = 1/m$. If we work in the basis of the $U_x$ operator and label a ground state by $|x\rangle$, such that $U_x|x\rangle = x|\langle x|\rangle$, then the state $U_y|x\rangle$ is also a ground state but has $U_x$ eigenvalue of $x e^{2\pi i/m} \neq x$. Only after applying $U_y$ $m$ times do we return to the same value of $x$, implying that there are at least $m$ different ground states. Recently the coupled-wire model was used to construct the $U_x$ and $U_y$ operators in the FQHE. In the following we demonstrate this structure for $m = 2$ in our case of a CSL governed by the interaction in Eq. (51).

One operator that obviously commutes with any lattice spin Hamiltonian is $e^{i2\pi S^z_{i0}}$, where $S^z_{i0}$ is the $z$ component of the total spin operator in an arbitrary chain $l = l_0$. This operator is either equal to 1 for an even number of spins in the chain or to $-1$ for an odd number. Upon coupling with other chains, the total spin of the $l_0$-th chain can only change by an integer; thus, $e^{i2\pi S^z_{i0}}$ stays invariant. As a result, $[e^{i\frac{2\pi}{m}S^z_{i0}}, H] = 0$.

Focusing on the low-energy theory, we write our Hamiltonian simply as $H = H_0 + \delta H_+$, with $H_0$ in Eq. (36)

and $\delta H_+$ in Eq. (51). Now consider the operator

$$U_x = e^{i2\pi \int dx j_{i0,L}(x)}. \quad (59)$$

This is almost the same as above, except that it involves the total spin in the left-moving chiral sector of the $l_0$-th chain, i.e. $U_x = e^{i2\pi S^z_{i0,L}}$ with $S^z_{i0,L} = \int dx j_{i0,L}(x)$. In abelian bosonization notation,

$$U_x = e^{i\sqrt{\pi} \int dx \partial_x \varphi_{i0,L}(x)}. \quad (60)$$

One can check explicitly that $[H, U_x] = 0$ since $H$ is written in terms of $J_{i,L}$ and $J_{i,R}$. Indeed, using the commutation relations Eq. (7) and the expressions in Eq. (10), we verify that $J_{i0,L}$ changes $S^z_{i0,L}$ by $\pm 1$, so it does not affect $U_x$.

We can then work in the basis of $U_x$. We label states by the eigenvalue of $S^z_{i0,L}$, which can be split into integer and fractional parts, $|S^z_{i0,L} \rangle = |N + f \rangle$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f = S^z_{i0,L} \bmod 1$. Since the eigenvalues of $U_x$ do not depend on $N$ but only on $f$, we denote these states only by the fractional part $|f \rangle$.

If $U_x$ commutes with all components of $J_{i,\alpha}$, how can one find a physical operator that does not commute with $U_x$? The answer is that the theory allows for physical operators which do not appear in $H$ but change the spin in a chiral sector of a given chain by a fractional value. In the WZW model, one such operator is the staggered magnetization $n_i(x) = \text{tr}[g_i(x)\sigma]$. In abelian bosonization, we can write the $z$ component of $n_i$ as

$$n^z_i(x) \propto \sin\sqrt{\pi}[\varphi_{i,L}(x) - \varphi_{i,R}(x)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} e^{i\sqrt{\pi}[\varphi_{i,L}(x) - \varphi_{i,R}(x)]} + \text{h.c.}. \quad (61)$$

This is a dimension-1/2 vertex operator, clearly distinct from the dimension-1 chiral currents. Using the commutation relations Eq. (7), we can verify that $n^z_i(x)$ changes the eigenvalue of $S^z_{i0,L}$ by $\pm 1/2$. Thus, the fractional part $f$ that labels the eigenstates changes by $1/2$, i.e. $n^z_i(x)$ switches between the two sectors with $|f = 0\rangle$ and $|f = 1/2\rangle$.

We can write down a linear combination of $n^z_i(x)$ and $\varepsilon_1(x) \propto \cos\sqrt{\pi}[\varphi_{i,L}(x) - \varphi_{i,R}(x)]$ to construct an operator that commutes with $H$ but does not commute with $U_x$. Consider

$$U_y = \prod_j e^{i\sqrt{\pi}[\varphi_{j,L}(x_0) - \varphi_{j,R}(x_0)]}, \quad (62)$$

where $x_0$ is an arbitrary position in the chain direction. Note that $U_y$ does not change the fractional part of the total $S^z_{i0}$ for any given chain, but it changes the fractional part of each chiral sector $S^z_{i0,\alpha}$ separately. The algebra of $U_x, U_y$ can be obtained using $e^A e^B = e^{B e^A}$ and Eq. (7). Since

$$[i\sqrt{\pi} \int dx \partial_x \varphi_{i0,L}(x), \sqrt{\pi} \sum_j \varphi_{i,L}(x_0)] = i\pi, \quad (63)$$

...
FIG. 8: Chiral spin liquid on a torus. The operators $U_x$ and $U_y$ transport a spin-1/2 quasiparticle along the $x$ direction (parallel to the chains) and $y$ direction (perpendicular to the chains), respectively.

we find

$$U_x U_y = -U_y U_x. \quad (64)$$

Rather than demanding $[H, U_y] = 0$, it is actually sufficient to show that $U_y$ does not change the energy starting from any ground state. As long as the system is closed into a torus in the $y$ direction and the fields are locked in the ground-state manifold according to Eq. (55), we can write

$$U_y = \prod_l e^{i\sqrt{\pi} (\tilde{\psi}_{l+1,L} - \tilde{\psi}_{l,L})}. \quad (65)$$

But in the phase dominated by the relevant perturbation Eq. (51) the difference appearing in the exponential in Eq. (65) is just a constant; thus, this operator acts as a constant in the ground-state manifold. It follows from Eq. (64) that $|f = 1/2 \rangle \propto U_y |f = 0 \rangle$ is a ground state orthogonal to $|f = 0 \rangle$, and our CSL state has the same topological degeneracy on the torus as the $\nu = 1/2$ FQHE state.

The operators $U_x$ and $U_y$ have the physical interpretation of transporting QPs around the torus in the $x$ or $y$ directions, respectively (see Fig. 8). Moreover, it was demonstrated in Ref. [71] that for anyons with statistical phase $\theta$ the commutation relation between $U_x$ and $U_y$ is $U_x U_y = e^{2i\theta} U_y U_x$. In our case, this implies that the spin-1/2 QPs in the CSL are anyons with statistical phase $\theta = \pi/2$ (i.e. semions).

Having established the correspondence between the edge states, quasiparticle properties and topological degeneracy, we conclude that the state described in this section is equivalent to the Kalmeyer-Laughlin CSL.

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We presented a coupled-chain construction of chiral spin liquids. It was first applied as a long-wavelength description to an exactly solvable lattice model in one dimension, and then generalized to two dimensions. In the latter case our formulation assumed a dominant relevant interchain coupling given by Eq. (51), which stems from a chiral three-spin interaction. This formulation yields all the universal properties of the Kalmeyer-Laughlin CSL state, suggesting their equivalence.

The energy gap $\Delta$ of the CSL state is exponentially small in the chiral interchain coupling $\tilde{\chi}$, i.e. $\Delta \propto e^{-\tilde{\chi}}$, reflecting the nonperturbative nature of the present approach. On the other hand, the smallness of the gap raises the concern that there could be other competing instabilities that might dominate the low-energy physics. By means of a careful renormalization group analysis, we found that the interaction responsible for stabilizing the CSL can reach strong coupling before other relevant perturbations with parametrically small coefficients. This scenario is expected in the kagome lattice rather than the triangular lattice. While we have considered the spatially anisotropic limit of weakly coupled Heisenberg chains, we expect the phase discussed here to be adiabatically connected with the CSL observed in recent numerical work on the isotropic extended kagome lattice [22–25,27,28].

We have not explored here the possibility of spontaneous breaking of time reversal symmetry giving rise to the spin chirality order parameter. This possibility can in principle be investigated using a mean-field decoupling of time-reversal-invariant interchain interactions. Another interesting question is whether one can use the present coupled-chain approach to construct a $Z_2$ quantum spin liquid [73], which was shown to be stabilized on the kagome lattice [26]. Many additional possibilities are offered by the current approach, including generalizations to more exotic chiral spin liquids, for example SU(N) CSLs with proposed realizations for ultracold fermionic alkaline earth atoms [74]; other exotic states can be obtained by starting from SU(2)$_L$ WZW models, which can be realized in higher-S spin chains [54,75–77]. We leave the development of these ideas for future study.
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Note added: In the final stages of this work, we became aware that a similar idea is being pursued by T. Meng, T. Neupert, M. Greiter, and R. Thomale [78].
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