RNA binding properties of conserved protein subunits of human RNase P
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ABSTRACT

Human nuclear RNase P is required for transcription and processing of tRNA. This catalytic RNP has an H1 RNA moiety associated with ten distinct protein subunits. Five (Rpp20, Rpp21, Rpp25, Rpp29 and Pop5) out of eight of these protein subunits, prepared in refolded recombinant forms, bind to H1 RNA in vitro. Rpp20 and Rpp25 bind jointly to H1 RNA, even though each protein can interact independently with this transcript. Nuclease footprinting analysis reveals that Rpp20 and Rpp25 recognize overlapping regions in the P2 and P3 domains of H1 RNA. Rpp21 and Rpp29, which are sufficient for reconstitution of the endonucleolytic activity, bind to separate regions in the catalytic domain of H1 RNA. Common themes and discrepancies in the RNA-protein interactions between human nuclear RNase P and its related yeast and archaeal counterparts provide a rationale for the assembly of the fully active form of this enzyme.

INTRODUCTION

RNase P is an ubiquitous ribonucleoprotein (RNP) enzyme that is involved in the biogenesis of tRNA (1,2). The RNA subunit of RNase P is the catalytic moiety of the enzyme (3–6). In human, nuclear RNase P is composed of H1 RNA and at least ten distinct protein subunits, termed Rpp14, Rpp20, Rpp21, Rpp25, Rpp29, Rpp30, Rpp38, Rpp40, Pop5 and Pop1 (7–11). These proteins have homologs in nuclear RNase P of Saccharomyces cerevisiae (12) and other eukaryotes (13). Rpp21, Rpp29, Rpp30, Pop5 and Rpp38 have functional homologs in archaea (14,15), an indication that the RNP core of archaeal/eukaryal RNase P is made up in part of highly conserved proteins. H1 RNA can be folded into a two-dimensional structure that consists of four potential co-axial helices (Figure 1). This proposed structure is based on models of eukaryal RNase P RNAs (16) and the crystal structures of bacterial counterparts (17,18). H1 RNA has two prominent structural features, the P3 and P4 domains (Figure 1) (16). The P3 domain is a helix–loop–helix RNA shown to be functional in nucleolar localization and its equivalent in yeast constitutes a major docking site for binding of various proteins (19–21). The P4 domain is a conserved pseudoknot critical for H1 RNA function in precursor tRNA cleavage (22).

The endonucleolytic activity of human RNase P has been reconstituted in vitro (22). Thus, H1 RNA with recombinant Rpp21 and Rpp29 proteins, prepared in denatured forms, has endonucleolytic activity in a reaction buffer that contains 10 mM Mg2+ ions at pH 7.5 (22; this study). However, H1 RNA itself can remove the 5’ leader of precursor tRNA in the presence of high concentrations of Mg2+ ions at pH 6 (5). Reconstitution of the full activity of related archaeal RNase P RNPs has been obtained using recombinant Rpp21, Rpp29, Rpp30 and Pop5 proteins (15,23–28). A fifth protein subunit, Rpp38, also known as L7Ae, enhances the optimal reaction temperature of the reconstituted enzyme and increases its catalysis (15,26). Archaeal Rpp30/Pop5 and Rpp21/Rpp29 form heterodimers (28–30). Rpp30/Pop5 binds to the catalytic domain of its corresponding RNA moiety and acts on enhancing the catalytic efficiency of the reconstituted RNP, whereas the Rpp21/Rpp29 heterodimer recognizes the specificity domain and increases enzyme affinity (28–30).

Yeast three-hybrid genetic screens and UV crosslinking analysis using purified RNase P reveal that Rpp21, Rpp29, Rpp30 and Rpp38 interact with H1 RNA (31). Moreover, RNA–protein interaction studies using GST-fused recombinant proteins demonstrate that Rpp20, Rpp21, Rpp25, Rpp29, Rpp38 and Pop1, but not ...
Rpp14 and Pop5, bind to H1 RNA in pull-down assays (32). Streptavidin pull-down experiments show that Rpp20, which was translated in vitro, does not interact with a biotinylated P3 RNA fragment, whereas Rpp25 weakly interacts with this piece of RNA (33). Similarly, Rpp20 does not interact with P3 RNA of human MRP RNA, while Rpp25 displays negligible binding affinity (34). Nonetheless, these two proteins form heterodimers that synergistically bind to this P3 RNA domain (33,34).

In S. cerevisiae, three-hybrid screens demonstrate that Pop1 and Pop4 (Rpp29) interact with Rpr1 RNA of nuclear RNase P (35), while filter binding assays show that recombinant Pop1, Pop3, Pop4, Pop6, Pop7 and Snm1 proteins bind to MRP RNA (36); Pop1 recognizes the P3 domain of Rpr1 RNA (19). Biochemical and structural studies establish that Pop6 and Pop7, the homologs of Rpp25 and Rpp20, contact the large internal loop and stem of the P3 domain of the MRP RNA and Rpr1 RNA (20,21). As is the case with its human counterpart, Pop6 binds to the P3 domain of these RNA transcripts only in the presence of Pop7, with which it forms a heterodimer (20,34).

To better define RNA–protein interactions in human RNase P, we have purified eight of its protein subunits in refolded recombinant forms and tested the properties of these polypeptides in binding to H1 RNA by gel shift assays and nuclease mapping analysis. Our findings reveal that the intermolecular interactions between H1 RNA and its cognate protein subunits exhibit common themes when compared with those shown for the archaeal and other eukaryal counterparts but some differences are observed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation of recombinant protein subunits of human RNase P**

Rpp14, Rpp20, Rpp21, Rpp25, Rpp29, Rpp30, Rpp38, Rpp40 and hPop5 were overexpressed as histidine-tagged proteins in Escherichia coli and were purified as previously described (8) but in refolded and soluble forms (Demma, M., Aliya-Mor, N. and Wesolowski D., unpublished data). Briefly, each overexpressed recombinant protein was dissolved in 6 M urea before it was loaded onto a...
nickel-charged resin column (8). The columns with the bound proteins were sequentially washed with buffers that contained 4, 2 and 0 M urea to allow gradual protein folding. Elution of the proteins was done with an imidazole gradient in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl and 2 mM MgCl₂. DTT was added to the eluted fractions at a final concentration of 2 mM. Substantial amounts of Pop5 and Rpp29 were lost during the refolding stages (data not shown). In some cases, Pop5 and Rpp14 were purified on Sephacryl S100 gel filtration columns (Figure 2C). The purity (>95%) of the polypeptides was determined by SDS–PAGE followed by Coomassie blue staining or Ponceau red dye staining of equal concentrations of proteins transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were subjected to Western blot analysis as described previously (37).

Gel shift assays
An internally 32P-labeled H1 RNA (5–10 × 10^3 cpm) and excess amounts (0.5–1 µg) of poly(I:C) RNA were incubated in 100 µl total volumes with recombinant proteins in 1 × MK buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl, 0–10 mM MgCl₂, 1-5 mM DTT and 5% glycerol) for 15 min on ice and then for 20 min at room temperature. For binding of Rpp21 or Rpp29, incubation was in 2 × TNE buffer (37) for 30 min on ice and 10 min at room temperature. 10 µl of 10× DNA loading dye (50% glycerol, 0.05% bromophenol blue and 0.05% xylene cyanol) were added, tubes were centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 rpm and protein–RNA complexes were separated in native 4–5% polyacrylamide gels (40:0.5 of acrylamide/bis), which contained 1 mM MgCl₂ and 2% glycerol, in 1 × TBE running buffer. These gels have low resolution capacity of RNA–protein complexes. H1 RNA appears in two separate bands, which most likely represent two conformers, even though it was extracted as a single band from denaturing gels. Nonetheless, the two bands of H1 RNA are shifted to a single complex in the presence of interacting protein, an inference that these conformers are equally bound by the protein. Bands were visualized by autoradiography and quantitated. A DEAE-purified HeLa nuclear RNase P was used as positive control for substrate cleavage. Freshly prepared DEAE-purified RNase P has a specific activity of ~15 × 10^7 U/mg protein (7,8). One unit is defined as the amount of enzyme that is required to process 1 µmol of pSupS1 in 1 min at 37°C. This activity declines with time of storage of the enzyme.

RESULTS

Preparation of functional recombinant protein subunits of human RNase P in refolded states

A Ponceau red dye staining of recombinant Rpp14, Rpp20, Rpp21, Rpp25, Rpp29, Rpp30, Rpp40 and Pop5 proteins (8) revealed that seven of these proteins were purified to homogeneity (Figure 2A, lanes 2 and 4–9; see ‘Materials and Methods’ section). Rpp14 was not stained by this dye (Figure 2A, lane 3) but was detectable by silver staining or Western blot analysis using its corresponding antibodies (Alfiya-Mor, N. and N. Jarrous, data not shown).

To check if the polypeptides were functional, we tested Rpp14 for its known 3′–5′ exoribonucleolytic activity on an internally 32P-labeled precursor tRNA^SupS1 (pSupS1) (38), whereas Rpp21 and Rpp29 were assessed in the activation of the 5′-endonucleolytic activity of H1 RNA (22) using pSupS1 as substrate. These ribonucleolytic activities of the individual subunits are relevant to RNase P function (22,38). Rpp30 and Rpp40 were not examined in any assay since these proteins are not known to exhibit any particular function. As expected, Rpp14 degraded pSupS1 in the absence of Mg²⁺ ions (Figure 2C, lanes 5–8; 38). Rpp21 and Rpp29 mediated the correct cleavage of the 5′ leader of pSupS1 by H1 RNA (Figure 2D, lane 8). H1 RNA, Rpp21 or Rpp29 alone were inactive (Figure 2D,
lanes 3 and 4), an indication that these three subunits are needed for the cleavage reaction. This reconstituted activity was <0.01% of that exhibited by a DEAE-purified HeLa nuclear RNase P (Figure 2D, lane 2 versus 8) and <1% of the activity exhibited by 1:100 diluted enzyme (Figure 2E, lanes 1–6 versus 7–12). A slight increase in substrate cleavage was observed using Rpp29K179N (Figure 2D, lane 8 versus 9), a mutant protein shown previously to activate the M1 RNA subunit of E. coli RNase P (37). Lysine 179 is a conserved residue critical for protein function (37). Rpp29K179N worked optimally with H1 RNA and Rpp21 at a molar ratio of 2:1:1, respectively, while a less activity was obtained at an equimolar ratio of these subunits (Figure 2D, lane 9 versus 12), assuming that these subunits were equally soluble and functional. The truncated Rpp29Δ1–124 protein, which lacks the first 124 amino acids of Rpp29, and the mutant Rpp29KH (37) were both deficient in reconstitution of RNase P activity (Figure 2D, lanes 10 and 11).

These results confirm that Rpp21 and Rpp29 are functional in activation of H1 RNA, which mediates the removal of the 5’ leader of precursor tRNA (22). This result has been first reported in 2003 (22), and since then the assay has been repeated numerous times. For unknown reasons, not every preparation of H1 RNA was able to reconstitute the endonucleolytic activity of RNase P. About one of four independent preparations of H1 RNA synthesized in vitro was functional. Nonetheless, reconstitution assays performed with a functional preparation of H1 RNA were all successful.

Interaction of Rpp20, Rpp25, Rpp21, Rpp29 and Pop5 with H1 RNA

A rapid screen for potential interactions between H1 RNA and the eight recombinant protein subunits described above was performed by Northwestern blot analysis using a labeled H1 RNA as probe (37; see ‘Materials and Methods’ section). This analysis revealed binding of H1 RNA to each of these subunits.
RNA to Rpp21 and Rpp29 in a hybridization buffer that contained 200 mM NaCl (Figure 2B, lanes 5 and 7). Positive binding signals of this transcript with Rpp20, Rpp25, Pop5 and Rpp30, but not with Rpp14 and Rpp40, were also detected (Figure 2B).

Gel shift assays corroborated the above findings that Rpp20, Rpp25 and Pop5 interact with H1 RNA (Figures 3A–C; see ‘Materials and Methods’ section). Rpp20 and Rpp25 form monomers and oligomers thus generating large complexes. H1 RNA appears as two bands in native gels (see C, lane 1) but both bands were shifted as one complex in the presence of Rpp25. (D) A gel shift assay using H1 RNA (lane 1) and increasing concentrations of Rpp25 alone (lanes 2–8) or in combination with Rpp20 added at indicated equimolar ratios (lanes 9–15). Proteins were pre-incubated for 30 min before addition of H1 RNA. Complexes are indicated by a bracket. (E and F) Quantitation of H1 RNA–Rpp20 and H1 RNA–Rpp25 complexes, which include single and multiple proteins bound to H1 RNA (37). The $K_{1/2}$ was calculated as the protein concentration at which 50% binding of protein to H1 RNA was seen. (G) Quantitation of Rpp25/H1 RNA and Rpp20/Rpp25/H1 RNA complexes seen in (D).

RNA to Rpp21 and Rpp29 in a hybridization buffer that contained 200 mM NaCl (Figure 2B, lanes 5 and 7). Positive binding signals of this transcript with Rpp20, Rpp25, Pop5 and Rpp30, but not with Rpp14 and Rpp40, were also detected (Figure 2B).

Gel shift assays corroborated the above findings that Rpp20, Rpp25 and Pop5 interact with H1 RNA (Figures 3A–C; see ‘Materials and Methods’ section). Rpp20 and Rpp25 bind to H1 RNA in the form of monomers and multimers, thus generating small and large complexes (Figure 3A and C). The large complexes were generated as a result of protein-protein interaction (self-oligomerization) at high protein concentrations (see below). A total of 50% binding of H1 RNA by Rpp20 and Rpp25, expressed as $K_{1/2}$, was obtained at 0.5 and 0.23 μM, respectively (Figure 3E and F). These assays were performed in a buffer that contained 2 mM MgCl$_2$, 150 mM KCl and excess amounts of poly I:C, which was used as non-specific competitor (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section). Similarly, Rpp21 and Rpp29 bound to H1 RNA but formed large complexes that remained in the gel wells (Figure 4A). These complexes could be released by the addition of unlabeled H1 RNA used as a specific competitor (data not shown). The calculated $K_{1/2}$ for binding of Rpp21 and Rpp29 to H1 RNA were ~0.5 and 0.14 μM, respectively (Figure 4B). Thus, these two proteins exhibit moderate binding affinity to naked H1 RNA. By contrast, Rpp14, Rpp30 or Rpp40 did not interact with this transcript (Supplementary Figure S1).

The combination of increasing concentrations of Rpp20 with Rpp25, which were pre-incubated together, increased their binding affinity to H1 RNA, when compared with the affinity exhibited by Rpp25 (Figure 3D, lanes 2–8 versus 9–15; Supplementary Table S1) or by Rpp20 (Figure 3A and Supplementary Table S1) alone. These two proteins bind jointly to H1 RNA with 50% binding seen at protein concentration of ~0.1 μM of each protein (Figure 3G and Supplementary Table S1). Rpp20 and Rpp25 functioned optimally at equimolar ratios (data not shown), thus supporting the concept that they heterodimerize (34) as soluble and functional proteins.

**Effect of Mg$^{2+}$ ions on H1 RNA–protein interactions**

Mg$^{2+}$ ions are essential for substrate cleavage by H1 RNA (5) and by human RNase P at concentrations of ~20–30 mM (22). This divalent ion is also critical for H1 RNA folding (5). However, higher concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$ ions impede the activity of RNase P (data not shown). We tested the effect of Mg$^{2+}$ ions on the binding of H1 RNA by Rpp20, Rpp21, Rpp25, Rpp29 and Pop5 (Supplementary Table S1). The presence of 10 mM Mg$^{2+}$ ions impeded the binding of Rpp20 and Rpp25 to H1 RNA (Figure 5A, lane 5 versus 12 and 5B, lane 4 versus 11; Supplementary Table S1) and inhibited the interaction.
of Pop5 with this transcript (Figure 5C, lane 7 versus 14). In contrast, binding of H1 RNA by Rpp21 was enhanced in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ ions (Figure 4C; Supplementary Table S1), while the interaction of Rpp29 with this RNA was optimal at 2 mM Mg$^{2+}$ ions (Figure 4E; Supplementary Table S1). Severe inhibition of complex formation of Rpp20/Rpp25 with H1 RNA was seen at 32 mM of this divalent ion (Figure 5D), a concentration that is favorable for the activity of human RNase P (22). The presence of Zn$^{2+}$ ions had a strong inhibitory effect on binding of H1 RNA by Rpp21 (Figure 4D; Supplementary Table S1), which has a potential zinc metal-binding motif (11).

These findings reveal profound effects of high concentrations of a divalent ion on the intermolecular interaction between RNA and protein subunits of RNase P. These effects could be direct or indirect through alteration of RNA folding.

**Rpp20, Rpp25, Rpp21 and Rpp29 bind to the catalytic domain of H1 RNA**

Footprinting cleavage analysis using RNase T2 revealed that binding of Rpp20 to H1 RNA protected this transcript at several positions in the P2 and P3 domains (Figure 6B, lanes 5–7 versus 8–10). The most prominent positions protected from nuclease attacks were U45, which is located in the apical loop of P3, and the A22 and U20 residues positioned in P2 (Figure 6B, lane 7 versus 8–10). C21 became more sensitive to cleavage in the presence of this protein (Figure 6B, lane 7 versus 9). Cleavage of A22 and U20 indicates that they are not base paired in P2, as is predicted by the two-dimensional model of H1 RNA (Figure 1). In a longer exposure of the film, we noticed that the sequence that spans positions U45 to A22 was shielded from nuclease attacks at several positions in the presence of Rpp20 (Figure 6B, lane 3 versus 5–7). In contrast, binding of Rpp25 to H1 RNA was more confined, as manifested by protection of U20, C21 and A22 from nuclease cleavages (Figure 6B, lanes 3 versus 8–10). As expected, the presence of both Rpp20 and Rpp25 primarily protected U20, C21 and A22 (Figure 6B, lane 3 versus 7 and 11). Footprinting analysis using RNase T1 was not informative, as this nuclease exclusively attacks guanosine residues in single stranded regions in refolded H1 RNA that seem not to be protected by Rpp20 and Rpp25 (data not shown).

The nuclease mapping analyses show that Rpp20 and Rpp25 recognize the P2 and P3 domains, both of which are found on the same co-axial helix in H1 RNA (Figure 6A). The observation that similar patterns of protection were seen in the presence of low and high concentration of Rpp20 and Rpp25 suggests that the large complexes formed with H1 RNA (Figure 3A and C, lanes 6 and 7) are generated as a result of protein–protein interaction (self-oligomerization) and not due to RNA–protein interactions with distinct sites in this transcript. The protection of U45 by these two proteins may be due to alteration in H1 RNA structure when bound by these proteins, even though direct contact of Rpp20/Rpp25 with the apical loop of P3 is not excluded (39). The binding of Rpp20 and Rpp25 to the P3 domain of H1 RNA agrees with the mapping analyses that...

---

**Figure 4.** Binding of recombinant Rpp21 and Rpp29 to H1 RNA. (A) Gel shift assays using increasing concentrations (0.03-0.92 μM) of Rpp21 and Rpp29 were done as described in Figure 3. These two soluble proteins formed complexes with H1 RNA that were trapped in the gel wells. (B) Gel shift assays were done as in panel A but protein concentrations were as indicated and percentage of binding to H1 RNA was quantitated and plotted. (C–E) Binding of H1 RNA by Rpp21 and Rpp29 in the presence or absence of 10 mM MgCl$_2$ or ZnCl$_2$ in the binding buffer.
demonstrate that the yeast homologs Pop7 and Pop6 contact the equivalent P3 domain in the MRP RNA (20,21).

The nuclease mapping patterns of H1 RNA bound by Rpp21 and Rpp29 were rather distinct from those obtained with Rpp20 and Rpp25. Thus, RNase T2 footprinting analysis showed that Rpp21 protected in a dose-dependent manner the cleavage of A13, which is located in the junction that links P1 with P2 (Figure 7B, lanes 5–7 versus 4 and Supplementary Figure S2, lanes 3–5 versus 1). Cleavage of positions A22, C21 and U20 in P2 was also reduced (Figures 7B and Supplementary Figure S3). No additional cleavage sites, particularly those in the specificity domain of H1 RNA, were protected by Rpp21 (Figure 7B and Supplementary Figure S2; S-domain). These results are in accordance with the observation that endogenous Rpp21 could be crosslinked to an RNA fragment that is composed of the first 74 nt of H1 RNA (31). In contrast to Rpp21, which mainly protected A13, Rpp29 shielded A85, which was one of the foremost residues protected from nuclease attacks (Figure 7C, lanes 1–3 versus 4–7 and 8–10; Supplementary Figure S3, lane 5 versus 1). The residue A85 is located in the junction that links P4 with eP5/7 (Figure 1). A decrease in the cleavage of the region spanning positions A85 to A13, which corresponds to the P2 and P3 domains, was evident in the presence of Rpp29 (Figure 7C, lanes 1–3 versus 4–7 and 8–10; Supplementary Figure S3, lanes 3–5 and lanes 7–10 versus lanes 1 and 2). Rpp29 did not affect the cleavage pattern of the specificity domain (Figure 7C and Supplementary Figure S3), even after fine resolution of this region by longer runs in sequencing gels (data not shown). As expected, the combination of Rpp21 and Rpp29 increased the protection of the entire region from A85 to A13 in the catalytic domain of H1 RNA, whereas no alteration in the nuclease sensitivity pattern was seen in the specificity domain (Supplementary Figure S2, lanes 7–8 versus lane 1).

The nuclease mapping analyses demonstrate that Rpp20 and Rpp25 bind to the P2/P3 domain (Figure 1), while Rpp21 and Rpp29 mainly interact with two distinct junctions in the catalytic domain of H1 RNA (Figure 1).

**DISCUSSION**

We have studied intermolecular interactions between the RNA and protein subunits of human nuclear RNase P using defined recombinant components. Five proteins,
Rpp20, Rpp25, Rpp21, Rpp29 and Pop5, bind directly to H1 RNA in vitro. We have also demarcated the binding regions of Rpp20, Rpp21, Rpp25 and Rpp29 in this catalytic RNA. Other protein subunits of RNase P, such as Rpp14, Rpp30 and Rpp40, exhibit no binding capability to H1 RNA and therefore they may assemble with the RNP through protein–protein interactions. Elucidation of these RNA–protein interactions could be helpful in understanding the assembly process of human RNase P and the development of efficient reconstitution systems for this nuclear RNP complex.

The two Alba-like protein subunits, Rpp20 and Rpp25, recognize regions in the P3 and P2 domain in H1 RNA. This result is consistent with findings that show that these two conserved proteins bind to the P3 domain of human MRP RNA (33,34,39) and that the yeast homologs, Pop7 and Pop6, bind as heterodimers to the equivalent P3 domain in the MRP RNA with comparable affinities (20,21). Accordingly, these evolutionary related proteins exhibit common properties in binding to this functional domain in eukaryal RNase P and RNase MRP RNAs. Moreover, Rpp20 and Rpp25 and their yeast homologs heterodimerize and bind jointly to their cognate RNAs. However, while Rpp25 can bind to H1 RNA (this study), the yeast counterpart Pop6 binds to its Rpr1 RNA only in the presence of Pop7, with which it forms heterodimers (20,34). In the case of the P3 RNA of human MRP RNA, Rpp20 does not bind to this RNA fragment and Rpp25 recognizes this RNA with an insignificant affinity, \(K_d = 1\text{mM}\) (34). However, the combination of Rpp20 and Rpp25 elicits their heterodimerization and the resulting heterodimer binds to the P3 RNA with high affinity, which was 1000-fold higher than that obtained with Rpp25 alone, thus demonstrating a synergistic effect (34). In the case of H1 RNA, however, Rpp20 and Rpp25 individually bind to this transcript with moderate affinity, while the combination of both proteins enhances further their binding affinity. The final binding affinities of

---

**Figure 6.** RNase T2 footprint analysis of H1 RNA bound by Rpp20 and Rpp25. (A) A model of H1 RNA structure. (B) RNase T2 footprint analysis of 5′-end 32P-labeled H1 RNA bound by 0.5, 1 and 2μM of Rpp20 (lanes 5–7) or Rpp25 (lanes 8–10) or both proteins at a final concentration of 1μM each (lane 11). Alkali ladder (lane 1) and partial digestion of H1 RNA using 0.4 units of RNase T1 (lane 2) or RNase T2 without protein (lane 3) were used as size markers. Lane 4 shows RNase T1 cleavage of H1 RNA that produced G ladder. Positions of nucleotides and the approximate location of the P2 and P3 domains are shown. The overlapping region protected by Rpp20 and Rpp25 is boxed.
The ability of Rpp20 or Rpp25 to recognize H1 RNA seems to be valid also for binding to DNA, as both proteins belong to a superfamily of chromatin binding proteins. This conclusion is supported by observation that demonstrates that Rpp20 binds to chromatin of rRNA genes at early G1 phase in synchronized human cells, while Rpp25 occupies these genetic loci at late G1 or early S phase (40). The dual ability of Rpp20 and Rpp25 in binding to RNA and DNA implicates these subunits in structural roles, such as in the assembly of RNase P and its connection to chromatin (41). The P3 domain of H1 RNA, to which Rpp20 and Rpp25 bind, has been shown to be critical for nucleolar localization of this transcript (11,32,33). The differences in the nucleic acid binding properties between Rpp20 and Rpp25 and their yeast homologs could reflect on the mode of assembly of their corresponding RNP complexes and by this means on their biological roles.

Rpp21 and Rpp29 have the capability to reconstitute the endonucleolytic activity of H1 RNA in tRNA processing in vitro (Figure 2; ref. 22). These two conserved proteins act as cofactors in RNA-based catalysis by playing a key role in recognition of precursor tRNA substrates (22; see below). Nuclease footprinting analyses indicate that Rpp21 and Rpp29 contact the catalytic domain of H1 RNA, consistent with previous reports (31,32). Specifically, Rpp21 seems to interact with the junction that connects P1 with P2, a finding that agrees with other studies in which it has been shown that endogenous Rpp21 could be crosslinked to an RNA fragment that consists of the first 74 nt of H1 RNA (31). Rpp29 protects the junction that bridges the P4 with the specificity domain (Figure 7 and Supplementary Figure S3). These two junctions link co-axial helices in H1 RNA (Figure 1) and thereby their recognition by Rpp21 and Rpp29 involves proper folding of H1 RNA. In fact, the proximity of binding of Rpp29 to P4, which is essential for the formation of the catalytic center of RNase P RNA (16), is consistent with this protein being a co-factor in catalysis. This role is corroborated by the ability of Rpp29 from human and Dictyostelium discoideum to associate and activate M1 RNA in vitro.

Figure 7. RNase T2 footprint analysis of H1 RNA bound by Rpp21 and Rpp29. (A) A model of H1 RNA structure. (B) The 5'-end 32P-labeled H1 RNA was incubated without or with Rpp21 at final concentrations of 0.5, 1 and 2 μM (lane 4 versus 5–7) in a binding buffer for 20 min and then digested with 1 U of RNase T2 for 5 min at 25°C. The specificity (S) domain is indicated. Positions of key nucleotides and RNA size markers (lanes 1 and 2) are shown. Position of A13 protected by Rpp21 is boxed. (C) Digestion of 5'-end-labeled H1 RNA with RNase T2 for the indicated times in the absence (lanes 1–3) or presence of increasing concentrations of Rpp29 (lanes 4–7). In lanes 8–10, identical concentrations of Rpp29 were used but digestion with the nuclease was for the indicated times. Position of A85 protected by Rpp29 is boxed.
UV crosslinking experiments and oligonucleotide interference assays reveal that Rpp29 recognizes precursor tRNA and not its mature tRNA form (37). Moreover, nuclease mapping analysis shows that Rpp29 protects position G(-5) in pSup51 (Supplementary Figure S4), similarly to protein cofactors of bacterial RNase P that bind to the catalytic domain of the RNA (43,44) and contact the 5' leader of precursor tRNA (44,45). Archaeal Rpp21 and Rpp29, which recognize the specificity domain of their cognate RNAs (30,46), also play a role in substrate recognition and cleavage site selection by reconstituted archaeal RNPs (47). Accordingly, the function of these two protein cofactors seems to have been preserved from archaea to humans, even though their arrangement in their corresponding RNPs may differ (48). In this context, it will be interesting to map the binding sites of the protein subunits associated with the Crenarchaeon Pyrobaculum aerophilum RNase P RNA shown to have a miniature specificity domain, if any (49).
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