We report the synthesis, crystal structures and magnetic properties of the giant heterometallic \([\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Ni}_4]^{0/2-}\) (compounds 1, 2)/[\text{Mn}_{32}\text{Co}_8] (compound 3) “loops-of-loops-and-supertetrahedra” molecular aggregates and of a \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Ni}_6]^{2+}\) compound (cation of 4) that is structurally related with the cation co-crystallizing with the anion of 1. In particular, after the initial preparation and characterization of compound \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Ni}_6(\mu_4-\text{O})(\mu_3-\text{OH})(\mu_3-\text{Cl})(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_6(\text{py})_8]^{2+}\) \([\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Ni}_4(\mu_4-\text{O})(\mu_3-\text{O})(\mu_3-\text{Cl})_8\text{Cl}_4(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2\text{pd}(\text{py})_8]^{2-}\) (1) we targeted the isolation of (i) both the cationic and the anionic aggregates of 1 in a discrete form and (ii) the Mn/Co analog of \([\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Ni}_4]^{2-}\) aggregate. Our synthetic efforts toward these directions afforded the discrete \([\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Ni}_4]^{2-}\) “loops-of-loops-and-supertetrahedra” aggregate \([\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Ni}_4(\mu_4-\text{O})(\mu_3-\text{O})(\mu_3-\text{Cl})_8\text{Cl}_4(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2\text{pd}(\text{py})_8]^{2-}\) (2), the heterometallic Mn/Co analog \([\text{Mn}_{32}\text{Co}_8(\mu_4-\text{O})(\mu_3-\text{Cl})_8\text{Cl}_4(\mu_3-\text{OH}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2-}\) (3) and the discrete \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Ni}_6]^{2+}\) cation \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Ni}_6(\mu_4-\text{O})(\mu_3-\text{OH})(\mu_3-\text{Cl})_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_6(\text{py})_3(\text{ClO}_4))(\text{OH})\) (4). The structure of 1 consists of a mixed valence \([\text{Mn}_{28}\text{Ni}_{16}][\text{Ni}_{16}]^{2-}\) molecular aggregate that contains two \([\text{Mn}_{28}\text{Ni}_{16}]^{2-}\) loops separated by two \([\text{Mn}_{28}\text{Ni}_{16}]^{2+}\) supertetrahedral units and a \([\text{Mn}_{28}\text{Ni}_{16}]^{2+}\) cation based on two \([\text{Mn}_{28}\text{Ni}_{16}]^{2+}\) sub-units connected through both mono- and tri-atomic bridges provided by the \(\mu_4-\text{O}^{2-}\) and carboxylate anions. The structures of 2-4 are related to those of the compounds co-crystallized in 1 exhibiting however some differences that shall be discussed in detail in the manuscript. Magnetism studies revealed the presence of dominant ferromagnetic interactions in 1-3 that lead to large ground state spin (S\(_T\)) values for the “loops-of-loops-and-supertetrahedra” aggregates and antiferromagnetic exchange interactions in 4 that lead to a low (and possibly zero) S\(_T\) value. In particular, dc and ac magnetic susceptibility studies revealed that the discrete \([\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Ni}_4]\) aggregate exhibits a large S\(_T\) value ~ 26 but is not a new SMM. The ac magnetic susceptibility studies of the \([\text{Mn}_{32}\text{Co}_8]\) analog revealed an extremely weak beginning of an out-of-phase tail indicating the presence of a very small relaxation barrier assignable to the anisotropic Co\(^{2+}\) ions and a resulting out-of-phase ac signal whose peak is at very low T.
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INTRODUCTION

High nuclearity Mn carboxylate clusters continue to attract significant attention mainly because of their structural characteristics and physical properties (Bagai and Christou, 2009; Kostakis et al., 2010; Escuer et al., 2014). In particular, such compounds often exhibit interesting magnetic properties including high spin ground state values (Ako et al., 2006; Moushi et al., 2009) and single—molecule magnetism behavior (Sessoli et al., 1993; Bagai and Christou, 2009; Inglis et al., 2012; Millios and Wippeney, 2015). The latter appears in molecules exhibiting a large spin ground state (S\(_T\)) value and significant easy axis magnetooisotropy (Christou et al., 2000; Nakano and Oshio, 2011; Ferrando-Soria et al., 2017). In addition, Mn complexes have attracted significant attention since they are involved in the search for structural and functional analogs of the tetranuclear Mn complex that is present in the active site of photosystem II and is responsible for the photosynthetic oxidation of H\(_2\)O to molecular O\(_2\) (Mukherjee et al., 2012; Yano and Yachandra, 2014; Gerey et al., 2016). Thus, Mn clusters have been proposed for various applications in diverse areas including magnetic refrigeration (Zheng et al., 2014), molecular spintronics (Bogani, 2015), quantum computation (Aromi et al., 2012), and catalysis (Maayan et al., 2018).

This interest has resulted in the development of several synthetic methods to Mn carboxylate clusters and the isolation of numerous high nuclearity complexes possessing a wide variety of shapes (wheels, disks, icosahedra, cuboctahedra, spheres, rods, etc.) and nuclearities (Kostakis et al., 2010). Some of these compounds exhibit very high nuclearities and dimensions with the list of giant, nanosized Mn clusters containing [Mn\(_{29}\)] (Alexandropoulos et al., 2016), [Mn\(_{30}\)] (Sol et al., 2004), [Mn\(_{31}\)] (Abbasi et al., 2017), [Mn\(_{32}\)] (Scott et al., 2005; Langley et al., 2011; Manoli et al., 2011), [Mn\(_{40}\)Na\(_4\)] (Moushi et al., 2007, 2010a), [Mn\(_{41}\)] (Moushi et al., 2010a), [Mn\(_{49}\)] (Manoli et al., 2016), [Mn\(_{79}\)] (Vinslava et al., 2016), and [Mn\(_{84}\)] (Tasiopoulos et al., 2004) aggregates. Although there are several homometallic nanosized Mn clusters reported, a [Mn\(_{28}\)Cu\(_{17}\)] aggregate is the only example of giant heterometallic Mn/M (M = any paramagnetic metal ion) compounds (Wang et al., 2007). Apart from their exciting crystal structures some of these nanosized aggregates exhibit interesting magnetic properties. For example, compounds [Mn\(_{30}\)], [Mn\(_{31}\)], [Mn\(_{32}\)], [Mn\(_{70}\)], and [Mn\(_{84}\)] possess SMM behavior with an appreciable energy barrier to magnetization reorientation and represent a meeting of the bottom-up and top-down approaches to nanomagnetism (Papatriantafyllopoulos et al., 2016). In addition, compounds [Mn\(_{49}\)] and [Mn\(_{28}\)Cu\(_{17}\)] display dominant ferromagnetic exchange interactions leading to giant S\(_T\) values S = 61/2 and 51/2, respectively (Wang et al., 2007; Manoli et al., 2016). Note that the record S\(_T\) values have appeared in other giant homometallic Fe\(_{12}\) (Kang et al., 2015) and heterometallic [Ni\(_{12}\)Gd\(_{20}\)] (Chen et al., 2018) clusters and are S\(_T\) = 45 and 91, respectively. Interestingly although Mn cluster chemistry has proven to be the most fruitful source of giant metal clusters among other 3d metal ions there is only one heterometallic Mn/M (M = any metal ion) reported in contrast to the situation with other metal ions. For example, in Ni\(^{2+}\) or Cu\(^{2+}\) chemistry there are only a few giant homometallic clusters but there are several heterometallic ones and especially Ni\(^{2+}/4f\) and Cu\(^{2+}/4f\) aggregates (Papatriantafyllopoulos et al., 2016).

Our group has been exploring reactions of diols with Mn—containing precursor compounds targeting to new high nuclearity Mn clusters and SMMs (Tasiopoulos and Perlepes, 2008). These investigations have afforded a series of giant Mn carboxylate clusters including [Mn\(_{25}\)Na\(_4\)] and [Mn\(_{49}\)] aggregates consisting of eight and four decametallic supertetrahedral repeating sub-units (Manoli et al., 2016). Note that discrete metal clusters exhibiting a [Mn\(_{84}\)Mn\(_{11}\)P(4-OH)\(_4\)]\(^{14+}\) supertetrahedral core analogous to that appeared in [Mn\(_{25}\)Na\(_4\)] and [Mn\(_{49}\)] aggregates have been stabilized in several cases, especially with polyol-type ligands and in most cases these compounds exhibited entirely ferromagnetic exchange interactions and S\(_T\) = 22 (Stamatatos et al., 2006; Manoli et al., 2007, 2008; Wu et al., 2011). The use of 1, 3-propanediol (pdH\(_2\)) and its derivatives in Mn carboxylate chemistry afforded a family of [Mn\(_{44}\)] and [Mn\(_{40}\)Na\(_4\)] loops consisting of four [Mn\(_{10}\)M(\(\mu\)-3-O\(_2\))\(_2\)(O\(_2\)CCH\(_3\))\(_3\)](pd\(_6\)(py)\(_2\))\(^{2+}\) ([Mn\(_{40}\)M\(_4\)]; M = Na\(^+\), x = 0; M = Mn\(^{2+}\), x = 1) loops linked through Na\(^+\) or Mn\(^{2+}\) ions (called “loops-of-loops”) and have a saddle-like topology. The [Mn\(_{44}\)] analog of this family displays a spin S\(_T\) = 6 ground state and SMM behavior (Moushi et al., 2007, 2010a).

Further investigation of the reactions that afforded the [Mn\(_{40}\)M\(_4\)] loops-of-loops aggregates involved the use of various 3d paramagnetic metal ions in an attempt to isolate a series of heterometallic Mn/3d analogs and/or other large aggregates composed of smaller clusters. These investigations afforded compounds [Mn\(_2\)Ni(\(\mu\)-O\(_2\))\(_2\)(\(\mu\)-3-OH)\(_3\))(\(\mu\)-Cl)\(_3\) (O\(_2\)CCH\(_3\))\(_6\)(py)\(_8\)]\(^{2+}\)[Mn\(_{38}\)Ni\(_4\)(\(\mu\)-4-O)\(_8\)(\(\mu\)-3-O)\(_4\)(\(\mu\)-3-Cl)\(_8\)Cl\(_4\) (O\(_2\)CCH\(_3\))\(_2\)(pd)\(_2\)(py)\(_4\)]\(^{15−}\) (1) [Mn\(_{36}\)Ni\(_4\)(\(\mu\)-4-O)\(_9\)(\(\mu\)-3-O)\(_3\)(\(\mu\)-3-Cl)\(_3\)Cl\(_2\)(O\(_2\)CCH\(_3\))\(_2\)(pd)\(_2\)(py)\(_2\)(H\(_2\)O)\(_2\)] \(_2\) (2) and [Mn\(_{32}\)Co\(_4\)(\(\mu\)-4-O)\(_9\)(\(\mu\)-3-O)\(_4\)(\(\mu\)-3-Cl)\(_8\)Cl\(_2\)(O\(_2\)CCH\(_3\))\(_2\)(pd)\(_2\)(py)\(_3\)] \(_3\) (3). The last compound discussed herein is the discrete [Mn\(_2\)Ni\(_6\)]\(^{2+}\) cation [Mn\(_2\)Ni\(_6\)(\(\mu\)-4-O)\(_3\)(\(\mu\)-3-OH)\(_4\))(\(\mu\)-3-Cl)\(_3\)(O\(_2\)CCH\(_3\))\(_6\)(py)\(_4\)](ClO\(_4\))(OH) \(_4\) (4), i.e., an analog of the complex co-crystallizing with the [Mn\(_{36}\)Ni\(_4\)]\(^{2−}\) anion of 1. Compounds 1–3 are rare examples of giant heterometallic Mn/M clusters and possess an unprecedented “loop-of-loops-and-supertetrahedra” structural topology. Complexes 2 and 3 exhibit dominant ferromagnetic exchange interactions and large S\(_T\) values which in the case of 2 is 26 ± 1. The [Mn\(_{36}\)Ni\(_4\)] aggregates (compounds 1 and 2) do not display SMM behavior as is also the case for their [Mn\(_8\)Mn\(_{11}\)P(4-OH)\(_4\)]\(^{14+}\) supertetrahedral sub-unit, whereas for their [Mn\(_{32}\)Co\(_4\)] analog (complex 3) the existence of an out-of-phase tail is an indication of SMM behavior, however, further studies are required to confirm this conclusion. Part of this work, involving the synthesis and characterization of compound 2 has been communicated previously (Charalambous et al., 2012).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and Physical Measurements

All manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions using chemicals and solvents as received, unless otherwise stated. [Mn3O(O2CCCH3)6(py)3]·py was prepared as previously described (Vincent et al., 1987).

IR spectra were recorded in the solid state (KBr pellets) in the 4,000–400 cm⁻¹ range using a Shimadzu Prestige-21 spectrometer. Elemental analysis (C, H, and N) were performed by the in-house facilities of the Chemistry Department at the University of Florida.

Variable-temperature dc magnetic susceptibility data down to 1.80 K were collected on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometer equipped with a 70 kG (7 T) dc magnet at the University of Florida. Diamagnetic corrections were applied to the observed paramagnetic susceptibilities using Pascal’s constants. Samples were embedded in solid eicosane to prevent torquing. AC magnetic susceptibility data were collected on the same instrument employing a 3.5 G AC field oscillating at frequencies up to 1,500 Hz. Magnetization vs. field and temperature data were fit using the program MAGNET (Davidson, E. R.)¹.

Experimental

[Mn2Ni6(µ4-O)2(µ3-OH)3(µ3-Cl)3(O2CCH3)6(py)8]2⁺
[Mn3Ni4(µ4-O)6(µ3-O)4(µ3-Cl)8Cl4(O2CCH3)26 (pd)24(py)4]2⁺·6H2O, (1)·6H2O

To a stirred brown solution of [Mn3O(O2CCCH3)6(py)3]·py (0.23 g, 0.27 mmol) in 15 mL CH3CN were added pdH2 (100 µL, 0.105 g, 1.38 mmol) and solid NiCl2·6H2O (0.066 g, 0.27 mmol). The reaction mixture was left under magnetic stirring for 10 min, filtered off and the filtrate was left undisturbed at room temperature. After 1 week brown X-ray quality crystals of 1 suitable for X-ray structural determination were formed. The crystals were isolated by filtration, washed with CH3CN and dried in vacuo; the yield was ~36%. The crystals for X-ray studies were maintained in contact with mother liquor to prevent solvent loss. % C H N Anal. for C158H296Ni12O33Cl15Mn32Ni8O ([1]·6H2O): calcd: C 28.69, H 3.87, N 2.05; found: C 29.02, H 3.75, N 2.35. Metal analysis was performed by ICP-OES. Anal. Calc. for (1)·6H2O: Mn 25.44, Ni 7.15; found: Mn 25.64, Ni 7.38%. Selected IR data (cm⁻¹, KBr pellet): 3,420 (s, br), 2,931 (m), 2,854 (m), 1,593 (s, br), 1,553 (w), 1,085 (s), 945 (w), 629 (s, br).

[Mn32Co8(µ4-O)8(µ3-O)4(µ3-Cl)8Cl2(µ2-OCH2CH3)2 (O2CCH3)28(pd)22(py)6]·2H2O, (3)·2H2O

To a stirred brown solution of [Mn3O(O2CCCH3)6(py)3]·py (0.23 g, 0.27 mmol) in 12 mL of EtOH were added pdH2 (300 µL, 0.316 g, 4.15 mmol) and solid CoCl2·6H2O (0.066 g, 0.27 mmol) and the reaction mixture was left under magnetic stirring for 2 h. The resulting red-brown slurry was filtered off and the filtrate was layered with Et2O (1:3 v/v). After 2 weeks brown crystals of (3)·3.84 EtOH·6H2O were formed suitable for X-ray structural determination. The crystals were isolated by filtration, washed with EtOH and dried in vacuo; the yield was 29%. The crystals for X-ray studies were maintained in contact with mother liquor to prevent solvent loss. % C H N Anal. for C158H296Ni12O33Cl15Mn32Co8 ([3]·2H2O): calcd: C 26.83, H 4.27, N 1.20; found: C 26.55, H 4.01, N 0.97. Metal analysis was performed via ICP-OES. Anal. Calc. for (3)·2H2O: Mn 25.17, Co 6.75; found: Mn 25.38, Co 6.88%. Selected IR data (cm⁻¹, KBr pellet): 3,414 (s, br), 3,110 (s, br), 2,843 (w), 2,770 (w), 1,610 cm⁻¹ (m), 1,556 (w), 1,396 (s), 1,078 (m), 621 (m).

[Mn2Ni6(µ4-O)2(µ3-OH)4(µ3-Cl)2(O2CCH3)6(py)8] (ClO4)4(OH)-2H2O, (4)·2H2O

To a stirred brown solution of [Mn3O(O2CCCH3)6(py)3]·py (0.20 g, 0.24 mmol) in 15 mL EtOH were added solid NiCl2·6H2O (0.06 g, 0.24 mmol) and NaClO4 (0.03 g, 0.24 mmol) and the reaction mixture was left under magnetic stirring at room temperature for 2 h. The resulting brown slurry was filtered off and the dark brown filtrate was layered with Et2O (1:3 v/v) and left undisturbed at room temperature for a period of 1 week, upon which yellow-brown crystals of 4 suitable for X-ray structural determination were formed. The crystals were isolated by filtration, washed with EtOH and dried in vacuo; the yield was ~21%. % C H N Anal. for C158H296Ni12O33Cl15Mn32Ni8Co8 ([4]·2H2O): calcd: C 35.24, H 3.81, N 6.32; found: C 35.54, H 3.55, N 6.74. Metal analysis was performed via ICP-OES. Anal. Calc. for (4)·2H2O: Mn 6.70, Ni 19.87; found: Mn 6.52, Ni 20.28 %. Selected IR data (cm⁻¹, KBr pellet): 3,549 (w, br), 3,078 (w, br), 1,584 (s), 1,443 (s), 1,413 (s), 1,223 (m), 1,105 (s), 698 (s), 627 (m).

Single Crystal X-Ray Crystallography

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data for (1), (2)-2CH3CN·12.3OH2O, (3)·3.84EtOH·6H2O, and (4) were collected on an Oxford-Diffraction Supernova diffractometer, equipped with a CCD detector utilizing Mo Ka (λ = 0.71073 Å) radiation. A suitable crystal was mounted on a

¹MAGNET, Indiana University.
Hampton cryoloop with Paratone-N oil and transferred to a goniostat where it was cooled for data collection. Empirical absorption corrections (multiscan based on symmetry-related measurements) were applied using CrystAlis RED software (Oxford Diffraction, 2008). The structures were solved by direct methods using SIR2004 (Burla et al., 2005) and refined on F² using full-matrix least-squares with SHELXL-2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2015). Software packages used were as follows: CrystAlis CCD for data collection (Oxford Diffraction 2008). CrystAlis RED for cell refinement and data reduction (Oxford Diffraction, 2010b; Skordi et al., 2018). Absorption corrections (multiscan based on symmetry-related measurements) were applied using CrysAlis RED software for cell refinement and data reduction (Oxford Diffraction 2008). WINGX for geometric calculations (Farrugia, 1999), and DIAMOND (Branden, 2006) for molecular graphics. The non-H atoms were treated anisotropically, whereas the aromatic H atoms were placed in calculated, ideal positions and refined as riding on their respective carbon atoms. Electron density contributions from disordered guest molecules were handled using the SQUEEZE procedure from the PLATON software suit (Van der Sluis and Spek, 1990; Spek, 2003). Selected crystal data for (1), (2)-2CH₃CN·12.30H₂O, (3)-3.84 EtOH·6H₂O, and (4) are summarized in Table S1, whereas selected bond lengths and angles are given in Tables S2–S5.

CCDC 1859793, CCDC 862029, CCDC 1859811, and CCDC 1859814 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for (1), (2)-2CH₃CN·12.30H₂O, (3)-3.84 EtOH·6H₂O, and (4), respectively. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

RESULTS

Syntheses
We have been systematically studying reactions of Mn salts and preformed clusters with diols as a route to new polynuclear clusters with novel structural characteristics and interesting magnetism (Tasiopoulos and Perlepes, 2008; Moushi et al., 2009, 2010b; Skordi et al., 2018). These studies have focused on the use of simple aliphatic diols such as pdH₂ and its derivatives which due to their alkoxide arms exhibit a high bridging capability and a fruitful coordination chemistry. We recently reported a family of large molecular aggregates consisting of four smaller clusters linked through Na⁺ or Mn²⁺ ions (Moushi et al., 2010a). These large tetrameric [{[Mn₁₀M(μ₃-O)]_2(O₂CCH₃)₃(pd)(py)]₂⁺ ([Mn₄₀M₄]; M = Na⁺; x = 0; M = Mn²⁺; x = 1), clusters contain four Mn₁₀ loops linked through Na⁺ or Mn²⁺ ions and have a saddle-like topology. They were prepared from reactions of [Mn₃O(O₂CMe)₆(py)]⁻ (py = pyridine) with H₂pd in the presence of NaN₃ [Mn₄₀Na₄] or Mn(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O [Mn₄₄]. We were interested to extend this study by preparing analogous heterometallic Mn₄ₓM₅ₙ (M = a 3d metal ion) complexes. These studies involved the investigation of similar reactions to those led to the [Mn₄₄] or [Mn₄₀Na₄] aggregates which however, in the place of Mn(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O or NaN₃ contained a 3d metal ion salt. The initial result of these studies was compound [Mn₂Ni₄(μ₄-O)₂(μ₃-OH)₃(μ₃-Cl)₂(O₂CCH₃)₃(py)]₂⁺ [Mn₃₈Ni₃(μ₄-O)₃(μ₃-O)₃(μ₃-Cl)]₈Cl₄(O₂CCH₃)₃6(pd)₂(py)₄]₂⁻ (1) that was obtained in ~36% yield from the reaction of [Mn₃O(O₂CCH₃)₆(py)]₃·py with 1,3-propanediol (pdH₂) in the presence of NiCl₂·6H₂O in 1:5:1 molar ratio in CH₃CN. The formation of compound 1 is summarized in Equation (1):

\[
\begin{align*}
38[Mn₃O(O₂CCH₃)₆(py)]_3 \cdot py + 72H₂L + 30NiCl₂ \cdot 6H₂O \\
\quad \quad + 7/2O₂ \longrightarrow 3[Mn₃₈Ni₃O₁₂Cl₁₂(O₂CCH₃)₃6(pd)₂(py)₄]₂⁻ \\
\quad \quad - [Mn₂Ni₄O₂(OH)₃(Cl₁)(O₂CCH₃)₆(py)]₈²⁺ \\
\quad \quad + 15HCl + 116py + 132CH₃COOH + 174H₂O
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

Since compound 1 crystallized as a mixture of a cationic and an anionic complexes, we targeted the isolation of both of its components, and especially of [Mn₃₈Ni₃] aggregate, in a discrete form. From the molecular formula of 1 it was observed that in the [Mn₂Ni₄]²⁺ cation of 1 there are no pd²⁻ ligands in contrast to the [Mn₃₈Ni₄]²⁻ anion of 1 which contains 24 pd²⁻ groups. We thus decided to increase the ratio of pd²⁻ in the reaction mixture in order to facilitate the formation of the complex that contains pd²⁻ groups and this modification resulted in the isolation of the discrete [Mn₃₈Ni₄] analog. In particular, the reaction of [Mn₃O(O₂CCH₃)₆(py)]₃·py with pdH₂ and NiCl₂·6H₂O in a 1:10:1 molar ratio in CH₃CN afforded the discrete [Mn₃₈Ni₄] complex [Mn₃₈Ni₄O₁₂Cl₁₀(O₂CCH₃)₂₆(pd)₂₄(py)₄(H₂O)]·10H₂O in 35% yield. The formation of compound 2 is summarized in Equation (2):

\[
12[Mn₃O(O₂CMe)₆(py)]_3 \cdot py + 24H₂pd + 5NiCl₂ \cdot 6H₂O \\
+ O₂ \quad \quad \Rightarrow \quad [Mn₃₈Ni₄O₁₂Cl₁₀(O₂CCH₃)₂₆(pd)₂₄(py)₄(H₂O)]·10H₂O
\]

(2)

After the isolation of compound 2, our efforts were focused on the synthesis of other Mn₄₀–₉Mₓ (M = a 3d metal ion) heterometallic “loops-of-loops-and-supertetrahedra” molecular aggregates and also of the [Mn₂Ni₄] cation of 1 in a discrete form. The preparation of a Mn₄₀–₉Co₈ analog was our initial target since the incorporation in this structure of the highly anisotropic Co³⁺ ions could result in the appearance of a different magnetic behavior in the resulting compound than those shown in 1 and 2. The formation of the Mn/Co species was achieved from the reaction of [Mn₃O(O₂CCH₃)₆(py)]₃·py with pdH₂ and CoCl₂·6H₂O in a 1:15:1 molar ratio in C₂H₅OH in ~29% yield. The formation of compound 3 is summarized in Equation (3):

\[
32[Mn₃O(O₂CCH₃)₆(py)]₃ \cdot py + 66H₂pd + 24CoCl₂ \cdot 4H₂O \\
+ 6CH₃CH₂OH + 5O₂ \quad \quad \Rightarrow \quad 3[Mn₃₃Co₉O₁₂Cl₁₀(OC₃H₇)₃₂(O₂CCH₃)₂₈(pd)₂₃(py)₆] + 18HCl + 110py \\
\quad \quad + 108CH₃COOH + 102H₂O
\]

(3)

The discrete [Mn₂Ni₄] cluster was also isolated by following a similar synthetic procedure to the one that led to the discrete [Mn₃₈Ni₄] aggregate which however, did not involve the use of pdH₂ which is not present in this compound. Thus, the reaction
of \([\text{Mn}_3\text{O}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_6(\text{py})_3]\)·py with \(\text{NiCl}_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}\) in the presence of \(\text{NaClO}_4\) in a 1:1:1 molar ratio in \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}\) afforded complex 4 in \(~21\%\) yield. The formation of compound 4 is summarized in Equation (4):

\[
\text{[Mn}_3\text{O}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_6(\text{py})_3] \cdot \text{py} + 6\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{Na(ClO}_4) \\
4\text{py} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{[Mn}_2\text{Ni}_6\text{O}_2(\text{OH})_4(\text{Cl})_2 - \\
(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_6(\text{py})_3]\text{([ClO}_4)\text{(OH)} + 7\text{HCl} + 3\text{NaCl} \\
+ \text{Mn(ClO}_4)_2 + 30\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

(4)

**Description of the Structures**

Complexes (1) and (3)-3.84 \(\text{EtOH}\)-6\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) crystallize in the triclinic space group \(\text{PT}\) and (2)-2\(\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\)-12.30\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and (4) in the monoclinic \(\text{I 2/a}\) one. The \([\text{Mn}_3\text{Ni}_4]^{2+}\), \([\text{Mn}_3\text{Ni}_4]^{2+}\), and \([\text{Mn}_3\text{Co}_4]\) aggregates of 1, 2, and 3, respectively exhibit related structures. Similarly, the molecular structures of the \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Ni}_6]^{2+}\) cation of 1 and 4 are also related. Thus, the structures of the \([\text{Mn}_3\text{Ni}_4]^{-}\) anion and the \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Ni}_6]^{2+}\) cation will be discussed in detail and compared to those of their related analogs 2–4. The molecular structures of the cation and the anion of 1, and the \([\text{Mn}_3\text{Co}_4]\) aggregate and the sub-units of 3 are shown in Figures 1, 2, respectively. Structural figures and tables for 1–4 (bond lengths and angles, \(\text{Mn/Co/BVS}\) calculations are reported in the Supplementary Material).

The molecular structure of 1 consists of a \([\text{Mn}_3\text{Ni}_4]^{-}\) anionic aggregate (Figure 1, top) the charge of which is balanced from a cationic \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Ni}_6]^{2+}\) cluster (Figure 1, bottom). Note that the oxidation states of the \(\text{Mn/NI}\) ions and the protonation levels of the ligands were determined by bond valence sum calculations (Brown and Altermatt, 1985; Liu and Thorp, 1993), charge considerations and inspection of metric parameters. The giant \([\text{Mn}_3\text{Ni}_4]^{2+}\) aggregate contains two \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2(\text{μ}-\text{O})_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2]_2(\text{py})_2\) loops (Figure S1, top) and two \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2\text{Cl}]_2(\text{pdH}_2\text{O})\) supertetrahedral sub-units (Figure S1, bottom) which are related to compounds either appeared as fragments in high nuclearity clusters or in a discrete form. In particular the \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2\text{Cl}_4]\) loop exhibits analogous structure to the \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2]\) sub-unit of the tetrameric \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Cl}]\) or \([\text{Mn}_3\text{Na}_4]\) “loops-of-loops” aggregates (vide supra) with their main difference being the presence of two \(\text{Ni}^{2+}\) ions in the place of two \(\text{Mn}^{2+}\) ions (Moushi et al., 2010a). In addition, the \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2\text{Cl}_4]\) supertetrahedral core that is present in 1 appears in several compounds either in discrete form (Stamatatos et al., 2006; Manoli et al., 2007, 2008; Wu et al., 2011) or as fragment of higher nuclearity clusters (Manoli et al., 2016). The \(\text{Mn}^{III}\) and \(\text{Ni}^{II}\) ions are hexacoordinated exhibiting a distorted octahedral coordination geometry whereas the \(\text{Mn}^{III}\) ions adopt various coordination numbers and geometries. As expected, the \(\text{Mn}^{III}\) ions display the expected Jahn-Teller (JT) elongations although the JT axes are not co-parallel.

Thus, each \([\text{Mn}_8\text{Ni}_2]\) unit consists of two \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2(\text{μ}-\text{O})_4]\) \(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3\) triangles and two dinuclear \(\text{Mn}^{III}\text{Ni}^{II}\) moieties linked by \(\text{pd}^{2-}\) \(\text{RO}^-\) groups, and bridging \(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2^-\) ligands. The \(\text{Mn}\) and \(\text{Ni}\) ions are held together through 12 acetate and six \(\text{pd}^{2-}\) bridging ligands. The acetate groups adopt syn, syn- \(\eta^1\text{-}\eta^1\) \(\mu\) (six \(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2^-\) ligands), \(\eta^1\text{-}\eta^1\text{-}\mu_3\) (four \(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2^-\) ligands) and \(\eta^2\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\mu_4\) (two \(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2^-\) ligands) bridging modes whereas the \(\text{pd}^{2-}\) ligands link metal ions in a \(\eta^2\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\mu_3\) fashion. The peripheral ligation of the \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2\text{Cl}_4]\) loop is completed by two terminal py molecules. The \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2\text{Cl}_4]\) loops are connected to the \([\text{Mn}_6\text{Ni}_4\text{Cl}_4]\) supertetrahedral sub-units through two \(\mu_3\) and one \(\mu_4\) \(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2^-\) ligands bridging the \(\text{Mn}\) ions of each \([\text{Mn}_3\text{II}\text{Cl}_4]\) \(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3\) triangle to a \(\text{Mn}^{III}\) ion of a supertetrahedral sub-unit (Figure S3) constructing the nearly—planar \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2\text{Cl}_4]\) “loop-of-loops and-supertetrahedral” aggregate. The \(\text{Mn}^{III}\) ions of the \([\text{Mn}_6\text{Ni}_4\text{Cl}_4]\) sub-unit occupy the apex positions of a tetrahedron and the \(\text{Mn}^{III}\) ions are located on its edges. The metal ions are connected by four \(\mu_4\text{-}\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3\) \(\text{Cl}^-\) ions forming the \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{μ}_4\text{-O}_2\text{CCH}_3)\text{Cl}]_2\) 18+ core which consists of four \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2(\text{μ}_4\text{-O}_2\text{CCH}_3)\text{Cl}]_4\) \(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3\) vertex-sharing tetrahedra. The \(\text{Mn}^{III}\) ions are bridged through four \(\mu_3\) \(\text{Cl}^-\) ions which occupy their JT axes. The two \(\text{Mn}^{II}\) and one \(\text{Mn}^{III}\) ions located in each edge of the tetrahedron are connected through six \(\text{pd}^{2-}\) ligands bridging in a \(\eta^2\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\mu_3\) mode. The peripheral ligation of the \([\text{Mn}_4\text{Ni}_2(\text{μ}_4\text{-O}_2\text{CCH}_3)\text{Cl}]_4(\text{pd})_6(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)\text{Cl}_2\) subunit (Figure S1) is completed by two terminal \(\text{Cl}^-\) anions.

**FIGURE 1** | Representations of the molecular structures of the \([\text{Mn}_3\text{Ni}_4]^{2-}\) anion (top) and the \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Ni}_6]^{2+}\) cation (bottom) of 1 Color code: \(\text{Mn}^{III}\) purple, \(\text{Mn}^{II}\) blue, \(\text{Ni}^{II}\) orange, O red, N light green, Cl green, C gray. H atoms are omitted for clarity.
The molecular structure of the [Mn\textsuperscript{III}Ni\textsuperscript{II}] cation consists of two mixed metal [Mn\textsuperscript{II}Ni\textsuperscript{II}(μ\textsubscript{4}-O)(μ\textsubscript{3}-OH)\textsubscript{1.5}(μ\textsubscript{3}-Cl)\textsubscript{1.5}(O\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{3})(py)\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{+} cubanes linked through oxide and carboxylate ligands. In particular, the three Ni\textsuperscript{II} and one Mn\textsuperscript{III} ions are connected through one μ\textsubscript{4}-O\textsuperscript{2−}, one μ\textsubscript{3}-OH\textsuperscript{−}, one μ\textsubscript{3}-Cl\textsuperscript{−} and a mixed 0.5 Cl\textsuperscript{−}/0.5 OH\textsuperscript{−} site. The μ\textsubscript{3}-OH\textsuperscript{−} and μ\textsubscript{3}-Cl\textsuperscript{−} bridge two 2 Ni\textsuperscript{II} and one Mn\textsuperscript{III} ions, the mixed 0.5 Cl\textsuperscript{−}/0.5 OH\textsuperscript{−} connects 3 Ni\textsuperscript{II} ions of the cubane whereas the μ\textsubscript{4}-O\textsuperscript{2−} links 2 Ni\textsuperscript{II} and one Mn\textsuperscript{III} ions of the cubane thus forming the [Mn\textsuperscript{III}Ni\textsuperscript{II}(μ\textsubscript{4}-O)(μ\textsubscript{3}-OH)\textsubscript{1.5}(μ\textsubscript{3}-Cl)\textsubscript{1.5}]\textsuperscript{4+} cubane core and one additional Mn\textsuperscript{III} ion of the second cubane of the cation of 1. The Mn/Ni ions of each cubane are also bridged by three carboxylate ligands two of which bridge in the common syn, syn- η\textsuperscript{1}:η\textsuperscript{1}:μ fashion and the third one in a η\textsuperscript{1}:η\textsuperscript{2}:μ\textsubscript{3} one. Their peripheral ligation is completed by four terminal pyridine molecules linked to the Ni\textsuperscript{II} ions. The two cubanes of the cation of 1 are linked apart from the μ\textsubscript{4}-O\textsuperscript{2−} ions from two syn, syn- η\textsuperscript{1}:η\textsuperscript{1}:μ and two η\textsuperscript{1}:η\textsuperscript{2}:μ\textsubscript{3} carboxylate ligands constructing the [Mn\textsubscript{2}Ni\textsubscript{2}(μ\textsubscript{4}-O)(μ\textsubscript{3}-OH)\textsubscript{3}(μ\textsubscript{3}-Cl)\textsubscript{3}(O\textsubscript{2}CCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}(py)\textsubscript{8}]\textsuperscript{2+} cation of 1.

The molecular structure of the [Mn\textsubscript{36}Ni\textsubscript{4}] cluster of 2:2CH\textsubscript{3}CN:12:3H\textsubscript{2}O exhibits a striking similarity to the anion of 1. The main difference between the two complexes is their overall charge since compound 1 is anionic with a 2- charge and 2 is neutral. This difference in the charges appears because a terminal Cl\textsuperscript{−} ligand of 1 linked to a Mn\textsuperscript{II} ion of the supertetrahedral sub-units has been replaced in 2 by a terminal H\textsubscript{2}O molecule. As a result, compound 2 contains two less Cl\textsuperscript{−} anions and is neutral and thus, the [Mn\textsubscript{36}Ni\textsubscript{4}] aggregate is the only metal cluster appearing in the crystal structure.

The molecular structure of (3)-3.84 EtOH:6H\textsubscript{2}O (Figure 2, top) is also related to the anion of 1 and to 2:2CH\textsubscript{3}CN:12:3H\textsubscript{2}O with the main difference obviously being the presence in 3 of 32 Mn/8 Co ions instead of 36 Mn/4 Ni ions that appear in the anion of 1 and in 2. These eight Co\textsuperscript{II} ions are located in the decametallic [Mn\textsubscript{8}Co\textsubscript{8}] loops (Figure 2, bottom left) in the same positions that the Ni\textsuperscript{II} ions of the [Mn\textsubscript{36}Ni\textsubscript{4}] aggregates are found and also in the [Mn\textsubscript{8}Co\textsubscript{8}] supertetrahedral sub-units (Figure 2, bottom right). In the latter the Co\textsuperscript{II} ions occupy two apex positions in which Mn\textsuperscript{II} ions are located in the known [Mn\textsubscript{8}Co\textsubscript{8}] supertetraedra including the sub-units of the anion of 1 and in 2. In fact, this is a major difference between the [Mn\textsubscript{36}Ni\textsubscript{4}] and [Mn\textsubscript{32}Co\textsubscript{8}] since the former ones consist of one heterometallic [Mn\textsubscript{8}Ni\textsubscript{4}] and one homometallic [Mn\textsubscript{8}Co\textsubscript{8}] sub-units, whereas the latter is based on two different types of heterometallic sub-units. Apart from these major differences in the structures of the [Mn\textsubscript{36}Ni\textsubscript{4}] and [Mn\textsubscript{32}Co\textsubscript{8}] compounds there are also some minor ones. These include the presence in the structure of [Mn\textsubscript{32}Co\textsubscript{8}] aggregate of two less pd\textsuperscript{2−} ligands and two additional CH\textsubscript{3}CO\textsubscript{2} and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3}O\textsuperscript{−} groups compared to the structures of the [Mn\textsubscript{36}Ni\textsubscript{4}] complexes. This is because one pd\textsuperscript{2−} group bridging 2 Mn\textsuperscript{II}/1Mn\textsuperscript{III} ions of one edge of each supertetrahedron in [Mn\textsubscript{36}Ni\textsubscript{4}] complexes has been replaced by a syn, syn- η\textsuperscript{1}:η\textsuperscript{1}:μ- CH\textsubscript{3}CO\textsubscript{2} and a μ- EtO ligands in [Mn\textsubscript{32}Co\textsubscript{8}] aggregate. In addition, [Mn\textsubscript{32}Co\textsubscript{8}] aggregate contains a terminal py ligand bound to a Co\textsuperscript{II} ion located in the [Mn\textsubscript{8}Co\textsubscript{8}] supertetraedra in the place of a Cl\textsuperscript{−} ligand or a H\textsubscript{2}O molecule in the anion of 1 or 2, respectively.

The molecular structure of compound 4 is related to that of the cation of 1. In fact, there are only very minor differences between the two compounds. These include the replacement in 4 of the mixed 0.5 Cl\textsuperscript{−}/0.5 OH\textsuperscript{−} site that bridges 3 Ni\textsuperscript{III} ions of each cubane by a μ\textsubscript{3}-OH\textsuperscript{−} anion. In addition, in complex 4 the positive charge of the [Mn\textsubscript{III}Ni\textsubscript{II}]\textsuperscript{2+} cation is balanced by a ClO\textsuperscript{−} and a OH\textsuperscript{−} lattice anions instead of the [Mn\textsubscript{36}Ni\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{2−} anionic aggregate.

**Magnetic Properties**

Solid-state dc magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on polycrystalline samples of complexes 1-6 H\textsubscript{2}O, 2:10 H\textsubscript{2}O, 3:20 H\textsubscript{2}O, and 4:2 H\textsubscript{2}O under a magnetic field of 0.1 T in the temperature range 5-300 K. The obtained data are shown as χ\textsubscript{M}T vs. T plot in Figure 3. For complexes 1-6 H\textsubscript{2}O, 2:10 H\textsubscript{2}O, and 3:20 H\textsubscript{2}O the χ\textsubscript{M}T value increases continuously from 172.1, 118.6, and 130.5 cm\textsuperscript{3} mol\textsuperscript{−1} K at 300 K to a maximum value of 492.0 (at 20 K), 325.6 (at 15 K), and 273.7 cm\textsuperscript{3} mol\textsuperscript{−1} K (at 25 K) and then decreases at low T to 465.1, 304.3, and 226.1 cm\textsuperscript{3} mol\textsuperscript{−1} K at 5 K, respectively. For 4:2 H\textsubscript{2}O, the χ\textsubscript{M}T value at 300 K is 18.03 cm\textsuperscript{3} mol\textsuperscript{−1} K and decreases continuously with decreasing temperature reaching a value of ~15.03 cm\textsuperscript{3} mol\textsuperscript{−1} K at 100 K and then rapidly to 2.94 cm\textsuperscript{3} mol\textsuperscript{−1} K at 50 K (Figure 3 and Figure S6). The increase of the χ\textsubscript{M}T values with decreasing T in 1:6 H\textsubscript{2}O—3:20 H\textsubscript{2}O indicates the presence of dominant
ferromagnetic exchange interactions. The maximum $\chi_M T$ values of 2·10 H$_2$O and 3·20 H$_2$O suggest $S_T$ values of ~26 ± 1 and 22 ± 1, respectively. However, we note that in the case of 3·20 H$_2$O it may not be safe to exclude any conclusions for the spin ground state using the spin-only formula due to the presence of Co$^{II}$ ions which is well known that exhibit strong spin-orbit coupling. The decrease in the $\chi_M T$ value at the lowest temperatures is attributed to zero-field splitting (ZFS), Zeeman effects from the applied field, and/or any weak intermolecular antiferromagnetic exchange interactions. In the case of 4·2 H$_2$O, the continuous decrease of $\chi_M T$ with decreasing temperature, the small $\chi_M T$ value at 5 K and the fact that the curve heads to 0 at 0 K suggests the presence of antiferromagnetic exchange interactions possibly leading to a diamagnetic ground state. This may be rationalized assuming that the two [Mn$^{III}$Ni$^{II}$] are antiferromagnetically coupled leading to a diamagnetic ground state.

Given the size and the complexity of the structures of 1–3, it is not possible to apply the Kambe method to determine the individual pairwise Mn-Mn, Mn-Ni, and Mn-Co exchange interaction parameters. In addition, the existence of two complexes co-crystallizing together in the structure of 1·6 H$_2$O does not allow to obtain information for the D and $S_T$ values of these complexes. Furthermore, in 3·20 H$_2$O, the presence of Co$^{II}$ ions exhibiting strong spin-orbit coupling and of many low lying excited states appearing due to the complexity of the giant [Mn$_{32}$Co$_8$] aggregate did not allow to obtain reliable S and D values from reduced magnetization fitting.

In the case of compound 2·10 H$_2$O magnetization vs. dc field measurements at applied magnetic fields and temperatures in the 1–10 K and 1.8–4.0 K ranges, respectively were performed. Low field data ($\leq 1.0$ T) were used, as we have previously done for many Mn clusters containing Mn$^{II}$ atoms, to avoid problems from low-lying excited states. The data for complex 2·10 H$_2$O are shown in Figure S7 as reduced magnetization (M/$N\mu_B$) vs. H/T plot, where M is the magnetization, N is Avogadro’s number, $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton, and H is the magnetic field.

The $M/N\mu_B$ vs. $H/T$ data were fit by assuming that only the ground state is populated and by including axial zero-field splitting (D$S^2$) and isotropic Zeeman interactions. The corresponding spin Hamiltonian is given by Equation (5),

$$\textstyle H = D S^2 + g\mu_B H_0 S \cdot H$$

where D is the axial ZFS parameter, $\hat{S}$ is the easy-axis spin operator, $\mu_0$ is the vacuum permeability, and H is the applied field. Equal quality fits, shown as the solid lines in Figure S7, were obtained for $S = 25, 26,$ and $27$ with parameters $g = 2.03(1)/D = -0.007(1) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g = 1.96(1)/D = -0.004(1) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and $g = 1.91(1)/D = -0.004(1) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively. Based on the obtained fits, we conclude that 2·10 H$_2$O has a ground state of $S_T = 26 \pm 1$, and a very small D value.

Alternating current (ac) magnetic susceptibility data were collected for compounds 1–3 to obtain additional information about their $S_T$ values and the possibility to exhibit slow relaxation of the magnetization phenomena indicative of SMM behavior. The temperature dependence of the in-phase ($\chi_M'$), shown as $\chi_M' T$ and out-of-phase ($\chi_M''$) ac signals for 1–3 is shown in Figures S8–S10. These studies revealed that there are not any out-of-phase ac signals in 1 and 2 suggesting that these compounds are not new SMMs. In the case of 3, there is a barely visible beginning in the 1.8 K data of a frequency dependence in the in-phase plot and a consequent very weak tail of an out-of-phase signal ($\chi_M' / \chi_M' \sim 20$% at 1.8 K) representing the beginning of a $\chi_M''$ signal whose peak is clearly far below the 1.8 K limit of our SQUID instrument, i.e., the anisotropy barrier is extremely small. In addition, ac data are in line with the conclusions obtained from dc studies concerning the $S_T$ values of 2 and 3. In particular, extrapolation of the $\chi_M' T$ signal of compounds 2 and 3 to 0 K from above ~8 K (to avoid the effects of intermolecular interactions at lower temperatures) gives values of ~340 and 255 cm$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, respectively. These values are consistent with $S_T$ in the range 25–27 ($S_T = 25, g = 2.05; S_T = 26, g = 1.97; S_T = 27, g = 1.90$) for 2 and 21–23 ($S_T = 21, g = 2.10; S_T = 22, g = 2.01; S_T = 23, g = 1.92$) for 3.

DISCUSSION

We described herein the synthesis, crystal structures and magnetic properties of a series of high nuclearity mixed metal Mn/Ni and Mn/Co clusters. The first member of this family of complexes, compound 1, was prepared by targeted modifications in the reaction procedures that afforded the family of [Mn$_{40}$] and [Mn$_{44}$Na$_4$] “loops-of-loops” aggregates reported by our group previously (Moushi et al., 2010a). Since complex 1 consists of a [Mn$_{36}$Ni$_4$]$^{2-}$ anion which co-crystallized together with a [Mn$_{2}$Ni$_6$]$^{2+}$ cation, we targeted and achieved, following synthetic procedures containing elements of rational design, the isolation of the discrete [Mn$_{32}$Ni$_4$] (compound 2) and [Mn$_{2}$Ni$_6$]$^{2+}$ (the cation of 4) clusters and also of another Mn/3d analog the [Mn$_{32}$Co$_8$] aggregate of 3. Arguably most of the known giant molecular aggregates have been afforded from serendipitous assembly synthetic procedures although recently there have been a few reports about elegant, rationally designed
synthetic strategies that led to such high nuclearity clusters (Papatriantafyllopoulou et al., 2016 and references therein). The isolation of 2–4 thus, represents a rare example of targeted synthesis of high nuclearity metal–organic complexes from procedures that contain elements of rational design.

The heterometallic \([\text{Mn}_6\text{Ni}_x]\) and \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Co}_8]\) “loops-of-loops-and-supertetrahedra” molecular aggregates are giant clusters exhibiting nanosized dimensions and large molecular weights approaching those of small proteins (for example the MW of compound 1 is \(\sim 8,095 \text{ g/mol}\) and is comparable to those of small proteins which are \(\sim 10 \text{ kDa}\)). In fact these are the second highest nuclearity heterometallic \(\text{Mn}_n\text{M}_y\) (\(M = \text{any metal ion}\)) clusters and \(M_n\text{M}'_y\) (\(M, M' = \text{any 3d metal ion}\)) with the highest nuclearity heterometallic Mn-containing complex and mixed 3d metal/3d metal cluster being a \([\text{Mn}_{28}\text{Cu}_{17}]\) aggregate (Wang et al., 2007). Interestingly, the \([\text{Mn}_8\text{Ni}_4]\) and \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Co}_8]\) aggregates are based on polyuclear sub-units that have appeared either as fragments and/or in a discrete form previously (Stamatatos et al., 2006; Manoli et al., 2007, 2008, 2016; Moushi et al., 2010a; Wu et al., 2011). This structural feature makes them a member of a very small family of large metal–organic clusters based on known in a discrete form polynuclear repeating units (Manoli et al., 2016; Papatriantafyllopoulou et al., 2016). Their \(\text{Mn}_6\text{Ni}_4\text{Co}_{14}\) supertetrahedral repeating unit is very well-known in Mn cluster chemistry and has attracted significant interest due to its symmetric structure and the fact that most of the compounds containing this core exhibit entirely ferromagnetic exchange interactions and the maximum possible, for a \([\text{Mn}_8\text{Ni}_4]\) complex, \(S_T = 22\) spin ground state value.

The presence of this repeating unit in the \([\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Ni}_4]\) and \([\text{Mn}_{32}\text{Co}_8]\) “loops-of-loops-and-supertetrahedra” aggregates ensures the appearance of dominant ferromagnetic exchange interactions between their metal ions and of a large \(S_T\) value. Notably there are no \(\text{Ni}^{III}\) ions located in this sub-unit in the \([\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Ni}_4]\) clusters since all of them are found in the decametallic loops. However, in the \([\text{Mn}_{32}\text{Co}_8]\) aggregate there are two \(\text{Co}^{III}\) ions in each decametallic supertetrahedron located in the apex positions replacing two \(\text{Mn}^{II}\) ions. In fact, there have been reported some heterometallic \(\text{Mn}_{10-x}\text{M}_x\) (\(M = \text{any metal ion}\)) supertetrahedra where the \(\text{Mn}^{II}\) ions are partially or completely replaced, however, to the best of our knowledge there are no mixed metal \(\text{Mn}_{10-x}\text{Co}_x\) analogs in the literature. Such compounds could be very attractive magnetically since they could possibly combine the ferromagnetic exchange interactions and large \(S_T\) values appearing in the decametallic Mn-based supertetrahedra with a significant anisotropy due to the presence of \(\text{Co}^{III}\) ions. The appearance of the \(\text{Co}^{III}\) ions in the apex positions of the supertetrahedra is not surprising, not only because they replace a metal ion being in the same oxidation state (\(\text{Mn}^{II}\)) and as a result there are no charge variations in the new compound but also these positions have proven to be the most labile in this family of \([\text{Mn}_8\text{Ni}_4]\) complexes. This is also supported from the isolation a series of heterometallic \([\text{Mn}_6\text{Ni}_x\text{M}_{4-x}]\) (\(M = \text{any metal ion}\)) supertetrahedra, appearing in a discrete form and also as fragments of high nuclearity clusters, in which the \(\text{Mn}^{II}\) ions have been completely or partially replaced by other metal ions (Skordi et al., 2018 and references therein). The \([\text{Mn}_2\text{Ni}_4]\) cation appears also for the first time in heterometallic cluster chemistry although there are homometallic \([\text{Mn}_8\text{Ni}_4]\) clusters reported exhibiting an analogous structural core (Boskovic et al., 2002).

Magnetism studies revealed that the \([\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Ni}_4]^{2-}/[\text{Mn}_{32}\text{Co}_8]\) aggregates exhibit dominant ferromagnetic exchange interactions and large spin ground state values \(S_T = 26 \pm 1\) \(([\text{Mn}_8\text{Ni}_4]\) and \(22 \pm 1\) \(([\text{Mn}_{32}\text{Co}_8]\))), although in the latter it is not safe to conclude for the \(S_T\) value due to the presence of the anisotropic \(\text{Co}^{III}\) ions. On the other hand, the \([\text{Mn}_8\text{Ni}_4]^{2+}\) cation of 4 exhibits dominant antiferromagnetic exchange interactions leading to a diamagnetic \(S_T\) value. In fact, a diamagnetic ground state has also been reported for the analogous homometallic \([\text{Mn}_8\text{Co}_8]\) cluster (Boskovic et al., 2002). This behavior could result from the presence of antiferromagnetic exchange interactions between the two \([\text{Mn}^{III}\text{Ni}_4]\) (in 4) or \([\text{Mn}^{III}\text{Mn}_4]\) (in the \([\text{Mn}_8\text{Ni}_4]\)) cubane sub-units leading to diamagnetic ground states. The reported \(S_T\) values for 2 and 3 are among the larger ones for heterometallic aggregates with the \(S_T \approx 26\) being the second highest value reported for a heterometallic cluster (Chen et al., 2018). Clearly the overall magnetic behavior of 2 exhibits remarkable analogies with that of its \([\text{Mn}_8\text{Co}_8]\) building block since they both display ferromagnetic exchange interactions, large \(S_T\) and small \(D\) values and are not SMMs. On the other hand, in the case of 3 this is not safe to conclude about the \(S_T\) value, however, it is clear that the spin ground state value in 3 is smaller than that of 2. This can be attributed to the existence of more heterometal ions, since in 3 there are 8 \(\text{Co}^{III}\) ions whereas in 2 only 4 \(\text{Ni}^{II}\) ions and also to the presence of stronger antiferromagnetic exchange interactions as expected for \(\text{Mn}/\text{Co}\) and \(\text{Mn}/\text{Ni}\) heterometallic compounds. In addition, the out-of-phase ac signals at low \(T\) in 3 appear due to the presence of the anisotropic \(\text{Co}^{III}\) ions leading to the increase of the anisotropy and possibly to SMM behavior.

Summarizing, a series of heterometallic \([\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Ni}_4]\) and \([\text{Mn}_{32}\text{Co}_8]\) “loops-of-loops-and-supertetrahedra” molecular aggregates and the cationic \([\text{Mn}_8\text{Ni}_4]\) cluster were prepared by employing synthetic procedures containing elements of rational design. The “loops-of-loops-and-supertetrahedra” molecular aggregates of 1–3 are among the largest heterometallic Mn-containing clusters exhibiting dimensions and molecular weights comparable to those of small proteins. In addition, they exhibit dominant ferromagnetic exchange interactions and very large \(S_T\) values. These compounds are new additions in the very small family of giant \(\text{Mn}_n\text{M}_y\) (\(M = \text{any metal ion}\)) aggregates. Since this area is merely unexplored, further studies targeting to “loops-of-loops-and-supertetrahedra” analogs with various 3d and 4f metal ions and other high nuclearity heterometallic Mn/M clusters are in progress and the results will be reported in due course.
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