Middle aged γ-ray pulsar J1957+5033 in X-rays: pulsations, thermal emission, and nebula
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Abstract

We analyse new XMM–Newton and archival Chandra observations of the middle-aged γ-ray radio-quiet pulsar J1957+5033. We detect, for the first time, X-ray pulsations with the pulsar spin period of the point-like source coinciding by position with the pulsar. This confirms the pulsar nature of the source. In the 0.15–0.5 keV band, there is a single pulse per period and the pulsed fraction is ≈18 ± 6 per cent. In this band, the pulsar spectrum is dominated by a thermal emission component that likely comes from the entire surface of the neutron star, while at higher energies (≥0.7 keV) it is described by a power law with the photon index Γ ≈ 1.6. We construct new hydrogen atmosphere models for neutron stars with dipole magnetic fields and non-uniform surface temperature distributions with relatively low effective temperatures. We use them in the spectral analysis and derive the pulsar average effective temperature of ≈(2–3) × 10^5 K. This makes J1957+5033 the coldest among all known thermally emitting neutron stars with ages below 1 Myr. Using the interstellar extinction–distance relation, we constrain the distance to the pulsar in the range of 0.1–1 kpc. We compare the obtained X-ray thermal luminosity with those for other neutron stars and various neutron star cooling models and set some constraints on the latter. We observe a faint trail-like feature, elongated ~8 arcmin from J1957+5033. Its spectrum can be described by a power law with a photon index Γ = 1.9 ± 0.5 suggesting that it is likely a pulsar wind nebula powered by J1957+5033.
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1 Introduction

Neutron stars (NSs) are born in supernova explosions at very high temperatures ~10^11 K (e.g. Müller 2020, and references therein). They lose the initial thermal energy via neutrino emission from their interiors and then via photon emission from their surfaces (e.g. Yakovlev & Pethick 2004, and references therein). The cooling rate is sensitive to the physical properties of the superdense matter inside NSs, which are still poorly known (e.g. Yakovlev et al. 2005). The equation of state (EoS) of such matter can be constrained by comparison of cooling theories with NSs surface temperatures derived from observational data.

The middle-aged PSR J1957+5033 (hereafter J1957) is a radio-quiet γ-ray pulsar discovered with the Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT) (Saz Parkinson et al. 2010). Its spin period \( P = 375 \) ms and period derivative \( \dot{P} = 7.1 \times 10^{-15} \) s s^{-1} imply the characteristic age \( t_c \approx P/2\dot{P} \approx 840 \) kyr, the spin-down luminosity \( E = 5.3 \times 10^{33} \) erg s^{-1} and the characteristic (spin-down) magnetic field \( B = 1.65 \times 10^{12} \) G. The distance to the pulsar is poorly known. The only available estimate, 0.8 kpc, is the so-called ‘pseudo-distance’ obtained using empirical correlation between the distance and the γ-ray flux above 100 MeV (Abdo et al. 2013). It is known to be uncertain by a factor of 2–3. Analysing the γ-ray pulse profile, Pierbattista et al. (2015) estimated the magnetic inclination and line-of-sight angles of J1957 for different γ-ray emission geometries.

The pulsar X-ray counterpart was identified by position in the 25-ks Chandra Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS-S) observation (Marelli et al. 2015). Its spectrum in the 0.3–10 keV band was found to be well described by the absorbed single power law (PL) with a photon index \( \Gamma = 2.1 \pm 0.3 \), an energy integrated absorbed flux \( F_X = (3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-14} \) erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} and an absorption column density \( N_H < 2.5 \times 10^{20} \) cm^{-2} (Marelli et al. 2015).

We reanalysed the Chandra data and confirmed the results by Marelli et al. (2015). However, we found an unexpectedly large count number in the 0.1–0.3 keV band – 30 against 90 counts detected in the 0.3–10 keV band. This indicates the presence of a second soft component in the pulsar spectrum likely related to the thermal emission from the surface of the NS with a very low effective temperature. In this case, J1957 becomes especially interesting for comparison with NS cooling theories according to which at its characteristic age the pulsar should have already passed from a relatively slow neutrino cooling stage to a significantly faster photon stage where observational
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Parameters are calculated using the timing solution for J1957 based on five years of the Fermi data obtained from https://confluence.slac.stanford.edu/display/GLAMCOG/LAT+Gamma-ray+Pulsar+Timing+Models. See also Kerr et al. (2015) for details.

# ABSTRACT

We analyse new XMM–Newton and archival Chandra observations of the middle-aged γ-ray radio-quiet pulsar J1957+5033. We detect, for the first time, X-ray pulsations with the pulsar spin period of the point-like source coinciding by position with the pulsar. This confirms the pulsar nature of the source. In the 0.15–0.5 keV band, there is a single pulse per period and the pulsed fraction is ≈18 ± 6 per cent. In this band, the pulsar spectrum is dominated by a thermal emission component that likely comes from the entire surface of the neutron star, while at higher energies (≥0.7 keV) it is described by a power law with the photon index Γ ≈ 1.6. We construct new hydrogen atmosphere models for neutron stars with dipole magnetic fields and non-uniform surface temperature distributions with relatively low effective temperatures. We use them in the spectral analysis and derive the pulsar average effective temperature of ≈(2–3) × 10^5 K. This makes J1957+5033 the coldest among all known thermally emitting neutron stars with ages below 1 Myr. Using the interstellar extinction–distance relation, we constrain the distance to the pulsar in the range of 0.1–1 kpc. We compare the obtained X-ray thermal luminosity with those for other neutron stars and various neutron star cooling models and set some constraints on the latter. We observe a faint trail-like feature, elongated ~8 arcmin from J1957+5033. Its spectrum can be described by a power law with a photon index Γ = 1.9 ± 0.5 suggesting that it is likely a pulsar wind nebula powered by J1957+5033.
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1 Parameters are calculated using the timing solution for J1957 based on five years of the Fermi data obtained from https://confluence.slac.stanford.edu/display/GLAMCOG/LAT+Gamma-ray+Pulsar+Timing+Models. See also Kerr et al. (2015) for details.
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Middle aged γ-ray pulsar J1957+5033 in X-rays

Figure 1. Images of the J1957 field. Left-hand panel: Chandra ACIS-S3 chip field-of-view in the 0.5–2 keV band. Right-hand panel: 18 arcmin × 18 arcmin XMM–Newton combined MOS1+MOS2+PN image (red = 0.2–0.5 keV, green = 0.5–2 keV). J1957, the nearby star ‘A’ and the presumed trail-like nebula are marked. Compasses correspond to the equatorial coordinate system.

data on thermal emission from cooling NSs are particularly scarce. Unfortunately, the soft component cannot be confirmed using the Chandra data since the ACIS energy scale is not calibrated below 0.3 keV. 3.2 s time resolution of the observations does not also allow to detect pulsations with the pulsar period. Therefore, we performed dedicated XMM–Newton observations of J1957. Here we present the analysis of these data. The X-ray data are described in Section 2. Timing and spectral analysis of J1957 are presented in Sections 3 and 4. The results are discussed in Section 5 and summarized in Section 6. Some details of the analysis are given in the Appendices.

2 X-RAY DATA AND IMAGING

The J1957 field was observed with XMM–Newton on 2019 October 5 (ObsID 0844930101, PI D. Zyuzin). The total exposure was about 87 ks. The European Photon Imaging Camera Metal Oxide Semiconductor (EPIC-MOS) detectors were operated in the full-frame mode with the imaging area of about 28 arcmin × 28 arcmin and the medium filter and the EPIC-pn camera – in the large window mode with the imaging area of about 13.5 arcmin × 26 arcmin and the thin filter. We also used the Chandra/ACIS-S archival data set (ObsID 14828) where the pulsar was exposed on the S3 chip. To analyse the data, we utilized the XMM–Newton Science Analysis Software (XMM-SAS) v. 17.0.0 and Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO) v. 4.12 packages.

The Chandra data set was reprocessed using the CHANDRA_REPRO tool. Applying the FLUXIMAGE task, we created the exposure-corrected image of the ACIS-S3 chip which is presented in the left-hand panel of Fig. 1 where the pulsar counterpart and the nearby star ‘A’ are marked. The WAVDETECT command was used to obtain coordinates of point-like sources. For J1957, we derived R.A. = 19h57m38.390(6) and Dec. = +50°33′21.02′′(5) (numbers in parentheses are 1σ pure statistical uncertainties).

We combined the data from both MOS and PN detectors to obtain deeper X-ray images using the ‘images’ script3 (Willatt & Ehle 2016). The resulting image is shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 1. Since XMM–Newton has lower spatial resolution than Chandra, J1957 is somewhat blurred with the star ‘A’. One can see a faint thin feature protruding from J1957 almost perpendicularly to the Galactic plane. It has a clumpy structure and is also visible in the Chandra image where it extends up to the edge of the ACIS-S detector (≈6 arcmin). In the XMM–Newton image its length seems to be longer, at least up to ~8 arcmin, though its faintness and some blurring with other sources preclude accurate measurements. This maybe a trail-like pulsar wind nebula (PWN) powered by J1957. One can see that the pulsar is indeed a rather soft source while the presumed PWN is produced by harder photons.

For the further analysis, we filtered out the background flares inspecting high-energy light curves extracted from the field of views (FoVs) of all EPIC detectors. We chose the following threshold count rates to define good time intervals: 0.5 counts s⁻¹ for pn and 0.6 counts s⁻¹ for both MOS cameras (see Fig. 2). The resulting effective exposures are about 79.8, 79.8, and 48.7 ks for the MOS1, MOS2, and pn detectors, respectively. We selected single to quadruple pixel events (PATTERN ≤12) for the MOS data and single and double pixel events (PATTERN ≤4) for the pn data.

3 TIMING

The timing resolution of the EPIC-pn detector operating in the large window mode is ≈48 ms which allows us to search for pulsations from J1957. We used the event list unfiltered from background flares since multiple time gaps in the data may hamper signal detection. The barycenter correction was applied by the XMM–SAS BARYCEN

3https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/images.
command using DE405 ephemeris and the pulsar coordinates derived from the Chandra data. We extracted events in the 0.15–0.5 keV band using the 12.5 arcsec radius circle around the Chandra position of J1957. Such a small aperture was chosen to eliminate the contribution from the star ‘A’ located at about 20 arcsec from the pulsar. We searched for pulsations utilizing $Z^2_1$-test (Buccheri et al. 1983) and 2.667–2.669 Hz frequency range, encapsulating the predicted pulsar rotation frequency of about 2.6680281 Hz obtained from extrapolation of the Fermi timing solution to the epoch of the XMM–Newton observations (MJD 58761), and with a step of 0.1 μHz.

The resulting periodogram is shown in Fig. 3. The maximum $Z^2_1$ is $\approx 30.7$. This implies the confidence level of a detection $C = [1 - N \exp(-Z^2_{1 \max}/2)] \times 100$ per cent = 99.996 per cent (or $\approx 4\sigma$) where $N = \Delta f \times T_{\text{obs}}$ is the number of statistically independent trials, $\Delta f$ is the frequency range and $T_{\text{obs}}$ is the duration of the observation. The corresponding frequency is 2.6680249(12) Hz (the frequency 1σ uncertainty was calculated using the formula from Chang et al. 2012). This is consistent within 3σ with the predicted value from Fermi timing solution and firmly establishes the pulsar nature of the X-ray source.

The J1957 X-ray pulse profile obtained using the derived frequency is presented in Fig. 4. We see that pulsations are clearly detected only in the very soft band. Non-detection in harder bands is likely due to the low number of counts from the pulsar. The background-corrected pulsed fraction in the 0.15–0.5 keV band $PF = (f_{\text{max}} - f_{\text{min}})/(f_{\text{max}} + f_{\text{min}})$, where $f_{\text{max}}$ and $f_{\text{min}}$ are the maximum and minimum intensity of the folded light curve, is $\approx 18 \pm 6$ per cent. As an additional check, we fitted the pulse profile in the 0.15–0.5 keV band with a sine function (fundamental component; see Fig. 4) and got the same result. Following the method from Brazier (1994), we estimated the 99 per cent upper limits on the pulsed fraction of $\approx 44$ per cent in the 0.5–1 keV band and $\approx 64$ per cent in the 1–10 keV band.

4 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

4.1 J1957

We extracted the time-integrated pulsar spectra from both the XMM–Newton and Chandra data. In the latter case we utilized
the SPECEXTRACT routine and the 2.5-arcsec radius aperture. The XMM–Newton spectra were extracted from the 12.5-arcsec radius circle using EVSELECT task and redistribution matrix and ancillary response files were created by RMFGEN and ARFGEN commands. The background spectrum was obtained from the source-free region (see Fig. 5). For the interstellar medium (ISM) absorption, we applied TBABS model with the WILM abundances (Wilms, Allen & McCray 2000). The spectra were fitted simultaneously in the X-Ray Spectral Fitting Package XSPEC v.12.10.14 (Arnaud, Gordon & Dorman 2018).

We used the following energy ranges: 0.3–10 keV for the MOS and 0.15–10 keV for the pn spectra. The 2-statistic and grouped the data to ensure 25 counts per energy bin and therefore used

\[ W = \frac{2}{n_1 + n_2} \left( \frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2} \right) \]

from spectral fits, we regrouped all spectra to ensure at least 1 count per energy bin and therefore used W-statistic (Arnaud et al. 2018) which is C-statistic (Cash 1979) suitable for Poisson data with Poisson background. We then performed the fitting using a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling procedure. We employed the affine-invariant MCMC sampler developed by Goodman & Weare (2010) and implemented in a PYTHON package EMCEE by Foreman-Mackey et al. (2013). In addition, to estimate the distance to J1957, we used the interstellar absorption–distance relation towards the field strength at the equator \( B_\text{eq} \approx 6 \times 10^{11} \) G, which is the moment of inertia in units of \( 10^{45} \) g cm\(^2\) (e.g. Manchester & Taylor 1977).

Figure 5. Chandra ACIS image of the J1957 field in the 0.5–2 keV band. J1957 and the nearby star ‘A’ also marked. The background for the pulsar was extracted from the dashed circle. The solid rectangle shows the region used to extract the spectrum of the trail-like nebula while the dashed rectangles were used for the background. Stars excluded from the regions are shown by crossed circles.

Since the number of source counts is not large, in order to get the most robust estimates of the model parameters and their uncertainties from spectral fits, we regrouped all spectra to ensure at least 1 count per energy bin and therefore used W-statistic (Arnaud et al. 2018) which is C-statistic (Cash 1979) suitable for Poisson data with Poisson background. We then performed the fitting using a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling procedure. We employed the affine-invariant MCMC sampler developed by Goodman & Weare (2010) and implemented in a PYTHON package EMCEE by Foreman-Mackey et al. (2013). In addition, to estimate the distance to J1957, we used the interstellar absorption–distance relation towards the pulsar as a prior in the spectral fitting. As an example, 1D and 2D posteriors obtained from the posterior distribution, we obtained the best-fitting model parameters and their uncertainties using the sampled posterior distribution.

For the thermal component, we also tried the NS magnetized atmosphere models NSMAXG (Ho, Potekhin & Chabrier 2008) presented in the XSPEC package assuming an NS mass \( M_{\text{NS}} = 1.4 M_\odot \) and radius \( R = 13 \) km. However, in this case the temperature tends to the lowest value available for these models \( T_{\text{eff}}(\text{K}) = 5.5 \), and here and hereafter let \( \log g = \log g_{\odot} \). Therefore, we calculated another grid of NS atmosphere models, NSMIP, which include lower temperatures for the magnetic fields that seem to be likely for this pulsar. We assumed a dipole magnetic field (taking the effects of General Relativity into account) and a corresponding distribution of the local effective temperature over the stellar surface. In these models, the angle \( \alpha \) between the rotation and the magnetic axes and the angle \( \zeta \) between the rotation axis and the line of sight can be used as free parameters. The total thermal luminosity \( L^\text{th} \) that would be measured by a distant observer is calculated by a proper surface integration and converted into the global effective temperature \( T^\text{eff} \). The details of calculations are presented in Appendix A.

We have tested several NSMIP models (Table A1). In model NSMIP1, we have assumed the canonical NS mass \( M = 1.4 M_\odot \) and magnetic field at the pole \( B_\text{p} = 3 \times 10^{12} \) G, which corresponds to the characteristic magnetic field \( B = 1.65 \times 10^{12} \) G of J1957 at the equator derived from the spin-down. The radius \( R = 12.6 \) km was taken according to the EoS BSk24 (Pearson et al. 2018); the corresponding gravitational redshift is \( \zeta_\text{g} = 0.22 \), and surface gravity \( g_s = 1.43 \times 10^{13} \) cm s\(^{-2}\). In order to test a higher redshift, we consider a more compact NS model (NSMIP3) with \( M = 2 M_\odot \) and \( R = 11.4 \) km (\( \zeta_\text{g} = 0.44 \) and \( g_s = 3 \times 10^{14} \) cm s\(^{-2}\)), which approximately corresponds to the EoS BSk26. Model NSMIP3 has the same \( \zeta_\text{g} \) and \( R \) as model 1 but a more consistent magnetic field estimate. The canonical characteristic magnetic field is the equatorial field of an orthogonal rotating dipole in vacuum with the given spin period and its derivative, assuming \( R = 10 \) km and \( I_{\text{NS}} = 1 \), where \( I_{\text{NS}} \) is the moment of inertia in units of \( 10^{45} \) g cm\(^2\). According to the EoS BSk24, for \( \alpha = 90^\circ \), which implies a 2–3 times weaker field compared to the characteristic one. Thus we adopted \( B_\text{p} = 1.1 \times 10^{12} \) G in model NSMIP3 which corresponds to the field strength at the equator \( B_\text{eq} \approx 6 \times 10^{11} \) G, that is 2.7 times smaller than the pulsar characteristic (spin-down) field. We find that all three absorbed NSMIP + PL models describe the data equally well as the BB + PL model giving \( \chi^2_\text{red} \approx 1.17 \) (51 dof).

Since the number of source counts is not large, in order to get the most robust estimates of the model parameters and their uncertainties from spectral fits, we regrouped all spectra to ensure at least 1 count per energy bin and therefore used W-statistic (Arnaud et al. 2018) which is C-statistic (Cash 1979) suitable for Poisson data with Poisson background. We then performed the fitting using a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling procedure. We employed the affine-invariant MCMC sampler developed by Goodman & Weare (2010) and implemented in a PYTHON package EMCEE by Foreman-Mackey et al. (2013). In addition, to estimate the distance to J1957, we used the interstellar absorption–distance relation towards the pulsar as a prior in the spectral fitting. As an example, 1D and 2D marginal posterior parameter distribution functions for the NSMIP1 + PL model are shown in Fig. 6. One can see that the angles, in contrast to other parameters, cannot be well constrained from the fit.

4https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xanadu/xspec/
Figure 6. 1D and 2D marginal posterior distribution functions (p.d.f.) for parameters of the NSMDIP1 + PL model (see Table 1). The vertical dashed lines in 1D distributions indicate the best-fitting values while light grey strips show 1σ credible intervals. In 2D distributions, 40, 68, 90, and 99 per cent confidence contours are shown.

Their formal best-fitting values are close to 0°. Our fits show that the similar situation occurs for the NSMDIP2 + PL and NSMDIP3 + PL models. However, for any of the models the probability density function for angles remains relatively high for the whole meaningful ranges of 0°–90°. This results in a very large formal uncertainties of the angles, and in fact they can accept any value. For these reasons, angles are not included in the parameter list of Table 1 presenting the fit results. We found that other fit parameters depend on a specific value of angles only weakly. We also fitted spectra using two models of the J1957 γ-ray emission geometry, polar cap (PC), and slot-gap (SG), obtained from the Fermi data by Pierbattista et al. (2015) which satisfy the condition $\alpha + \zeta \leq 90^\circ$. The results for the SG with $\alpha = 66^\circ$ and $\zeta = 24^\circ$ are presented in Table 2 and Fig. 7. This model geometry is more preferable as it gives an acceptable X-ray pulse shape and pulsed fraction (see Section 5 for details).

To understand which of the models is statistically more preferable, Tables 1 and 2 also present a Bayesian evidence $\mathcal{L}$ and information criteria $\text{BIC} = n \ln N_b - 2 \ln \mathcal{L}$, where $n$ is the number of free model parameters and $N_b$ is the number of spectral bins (which is 373). When picking from several models, the one with the lowest BIC is preferred. As seen, the BB + PL model has the smallest BIC and appears to be preferable. The strength of the evidence against NSMDIP + PL models with the higher BICs is defined by $\Delta \text{BIC} \geq 15$ for atmosphere models with free angles which is evaluated as a very strong evidence. At the same time, $\Delta \text{BICs}$ for any pair of NSMDIP + PL models in Table 1 is $\lesssim 2$, which is qualified either as weakly

5Pierbattista et al. (2015) used polar cap (PC), slot gap (SG), outer gap (OG), and one pole caustic (OPC) models which assume different regions of the pulsar magnetosphere where particles are accelerated and emit γ-rays. In the PC model this occurs at low altitudes near the magnetic poles and in the SG model – in a slot gap, which is a narrow gap extending from the polar cap surface to the light cylinder. In the OG model the gap extends from the null charge surface to high altitudes along the last-open-field lines. The OPC is a variation of the OG model which suggests different gap width and energetics. The SG and OG can provide wide γ-ray beams and imply exponential spectral cut-off at high energies while the PC provides narrow beams and predicts super-exponential spectral cut-off due to magnetic pair production process.
from very strong to decisive. Moreover, the models NSMDIP+ indicated by different colours as indicated in the panel. Spectra were grouped Chandra For the spectral analysis of the trail-like nebula, we used only the

\[ \text{Figure 7.} \] The J1957 unfolded spectrum and the model NSMDIP + PL with fixed angles at \( \alpha = 66^\circ \) and \( \xi = 24^\circ \). (see the first line in Table 2). The dotted lines show the model components. Data from different instruments are indicated by different colours as indicated in the panel. Spectra were grouped to ensure at least 10 counts per energy bin for illustrative purposes.

positive or not worth more than a bare mention. On the other hand, fixing of \( \alpha \) and \( \xi \) almost does not change \( \mathcal{L} \) while BICs values become smaller. In this case, the difference between NSMDIP + PL and BB + PL models \( \Delta \text{BIC} \leq 6 \) which changes the strength of evidence from very strong to decisive. Moreover, the models NSMDIP + PL are preferred from the physics point of view, because they assume the plausible NS radii and temperature distributions, whereas the BB + PL model results in a best-fitting radius incompatible with thermal emission from the entire NS surface (see the discussion in Section 5).

\[ \text{4.2 The trail-like nebula} \]

For the spectral analysis of the trail-like nebula, we used only the Chandra data set since in the XMM–Newton data it is somewhat
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![Energy spectrum](image)

blurred with several stars. We extracted spectrum of the trail using the 30 × 300 arcsec\(^2\) rectangle region shown in Fig. 5 together with the regions used for the background. It was binned to ensure at least 25 counts per energy bin and fitted in the 0.3–10 keV band. The total number of counts in the spectrum is 811 while only 105 of them come from the source. We tried the PL model and found that the column density is highly uncertain. Thus, we fixed it at 3 \( \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\) which is compatible with all pulsar models (see Table 1). The resulting parameters \( \Gamma = 1.9 \pm 0.5, K = 7.3^{+1.9}_{-1.5} \times 10^{-6} \) ph cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) keV\(^{-1}\), the unabsorbed flux in the 0.3–10 keV band \( F_X = 4.5^{+1.6}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-14} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), and \( \chi^2 = 1.17 \) (dof = 29).

Such spectrum can have a synchrotron nature. The spectrum and the best-fitting model are shown in Fig. 8. The spectrum can also be equally well described (\( \chi^2 = 1.16 \), dof = 29) by the thermal bremsstrahlung model with a temperature 3.6\(^{+1.2}_{-1.1}\) keV and the unabsorbed flux in the 0.3–10 keV band \( F_X = 3.7^{+1.8}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-14} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\).

\[ \text{Figure 8.} \] Chandra spectrum of the trail-like nebula, the best-fitting PL model and residuals.

\[ \text{Table 2.} \] The same as in Table 1 but for fixed angles at \( \alpha = 66^\circ \) and \( \xi = 24^\circ \), \footnote{Notes. \( \mathcal{N}_\text{H} \) is the absorbing column density, \( T_\infty = T(1 + \xi \xi) \) is the effective temperature as measured by a distant observer, \( R_\infty = R(1 + \xi \xi) \) is the radius of the equivalent emitting sphere as seen by a distant observer. \( L_\infty = L(1 + \xi \xi)^2 \) is the bolometric luminosity as measured by a distant observer (\( \sigma_{SB} \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant). \( \Gamma \) is the photon index, \( K \) is the PL normalization, and \( D \) is the distance. For the gravitational redshift \( \xi \xi \) and unredshifted radius \( R \), see Table A1. The last two columns give the values of the maximum log-likelihood \( \ln \mathcal{L} \) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). All errors are at 1σ credible interval.}

\[ \text{Fixed parameters.} \]

\[ \text{Table 1.} \] Best-fitting parameters for different models with thawed \( \alpha \) and \( \xi \) angles and accounting for \( \alpha + \xi \leq 90^\circ \).

| Model       | \( \mathcal{N}_\text{H} \) \( \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\) | \( k_B T_\infty \) eV | \( R_\infty \) km | \( \lg L_\infty \) erg s\(^{-1}\) | \( \Gamma \) | \( K \) ph cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) keV\(^{-1}\) | \( D \) pc | \( - \ln \mathcal{L} \) | BIC |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----|----------------|-----|
| BB + PL     | 2.2\(^{+1.6}_{-1.0}\) | 54\(^{+5}_{-7}\) | 2.2\(^{+5.0}_{-2.0}\) | 30.6\(^{+0.85}_{-0.72}\) | 1.76\(^{+0.11}_{-0.11}\) | 5.4\(^{+0.4}_{-0.4}\) \( \times 10^{-6}\) | 545\(^{+463}_{-445}\) | 193.5 | 416.6 |
| NSMDIP1 + PL| 3.6\(^{+0.6}_{-0.5}\) | 21.7\(^{+2.0}_{-1.7}\) | 15\(^{-0.6}_{0.1}\) | 30.83\(^{+0.16}_{-0.14}\) | 1.65\(^{+0.11}_{-0.10}\) | 4.8\(^{+0.5}_{-0.3}\) \( \times 10^{-6}\) | 260\(^{+109}_{-82}\) | 195.3 | 432 |
| NSMDIP2 + PL| 3.2\(^{+0.2}_{-0.2}\) | 19.8\(^{+0.2}_{-0.1}\) | 16\(^{-0.6}_{0.1}\) | 30.72\(^{+0.16}_{-0.14}\) | 1.66\(^{+0.11}_{-0.10}\) | 4.9\(^{+0.4}_{-0.3}\) \( \times 10^{-6}\) | 218\(^{+164}_{-64}\) | 195.9 | 433 |
| NSMDIP3 + PL| 2.6\(^{+0.3}_{-0.3}\) | 18.4\(^{+1.1}_{-2.0}\) | 15\(^{-0.6}_{0.1}\) | 30.56\(^{+0.19}_{-0.21}\) | 1.64\(^{+0.10}_{-0.10}\) | 4.9\(^{+0.3}_{-0.3}\) \( \times 10^{-6}\) | 210\(^{+108}_{-106}\) | 196.1 | 433.7 |

\[ \text{Note.} \] \footnote{\( \gamma \) Notations are the same as in Table 1.}
5 DISCUSSION

5.1 The pulsar spectral and timing properties

The time-integrated X-ray spectrum of J1957 the in 0.15–10 keV range can be well described by the composite model consisting of the thermal and PL components while the single PL model suggested previously by Marelli et al. (2015) for a more narrow range of 0.3–10 keV is statistically unacceptable in the extended range. In the case of the BB + PL model, the obtained effective temperature \( T^\infty \approx 54 \, eV (6.3 \times 10^3 \, K) \) is typical for the emission from the bulk of an NS surface but the radius of the emitting area is smaller than an expected NS radius of 10–15 km (see Table 1). The thermal emission could be produced by hot polar caps of the NS heated by relativistic particles from pulsar magnetosphere. For J1957, the ‘standard’ pulsar polar cap radius is about 0.3 km (Sturrock 1971) which is compatible with the lower bound of the derived radius. However, the derived temperature is too low for the polar cap emission (cf. Potekhin et al. 2020), rejecting this possibility. Thus, the BB + PL model might describe some hotter part of the pulsar surface while the other part is cooler and not observed in X-rays as takes place, e.g. for the middle-aged PSR B1055–52 (Mignani, Pavlov & Kargaltsev 2010). Note, however, that such interpretation implies that the obtained BB temperature cannot be used for a comparison with the predictions of the cooling theory; instead, the bolometric thermal luminosity should be used for such a comparison (as discussed, e.g. in Potekhin et al. 2020). Remarkably, the best-fitting thermal luminosities given by the BB + PL and NSMDIP + PL models are compatible within uncertainties (see Table 1).

The NSMDIP + PL models also give acceptable fits. Although they are possibly less preferable according to the Bayesian criteria that disregard prior theoretical constraints on NS radii, they are more physically motivated. In particular, they are based on the magnetic atmosphere models, computed for realistic NS parameters, and they consistently take into account the distributions of temperature and magnetic field over the NS surface. Combining the results from all these models (Table 1), the estimated redshifted NS effective temperature \( T^\infty \approx 20 \pm 4 \, eV (0.23 \pm 0.05 \, MK) \) makes the pulsar one of the coldest among all known NSs with estimated thermal luminosities, whose measured thermal emission from the surface is powered by cooling (see Section 5.3). We cannot constrain the pulsar viewing geometry (angles \( \alpha \) and \( \zeta \)) from the time-integrated spectra.

For the first time, we detected X-ray pulsations with the pulsar spin period. The pulsations are significant only in the soft band of 0.15–0.5 keV where the thermal emission component strongly dominates in the spectrum of the pulsar. The pulse profile is a sine-like with a single pulse per period and the pulsed fraction of \( \approx 18 \pm 6 \) per cent, which is typical for thermal emission from a bulk of the surface of NSs (e.g. Pavlov & Zavlin 2000a; Zavlin 2009). This is independent confirmation of the results of the spectral analysis. The pulsations can be due to non-uniform temperature distribution over the surface of the NS due to magnetic anisotropy of the internal heat transfer to the star surface and the magnetic beaming of the radiation in its atmosphere. Both factors are accounted in our NSMDIP models, while only the first one can provide pulsations for the BB model which may be reminiscent of the emission from a solid state surface of the NS. In any case, using the BB model with a single temperature is a very rude simplification at the non-uniform temperature distribution over the star surface.

The observed soft X-ray pulsations can be used to constrain the angles \( \alpha \) and \( \zeta \) that the rotation axis makes with the magnetic axis and the line of sight (magnetic obliquity and pulsar inclination), assuming that the flux in the photon energy band 0.15–0.5 keV is dominated by thermal emission. In the left-hand panel of Fig. 9, the hatched regions correspond to the \( \alpha \) and \( \zeta \) values that provide the observed pulsed fractions of thermal radiation from 0.12 to 0.24 in the 0.15–0.5 keV energy band for the atmosphere models NSMDIP 1–3, according to the legend. We see that for all the considered cases \( \alpha + \zeta \gtrsim 30^\circ \). Because of a higher redshift in model NSMDIP 2, it shows a stronger gravitational light bending, which leads to a stronger smearing of the light curves, compared with the two other models. Therefore, higher \( \alpha \) and \( \zeta \) are needed to obtain the same pulsed fraction. In particular, the upper limit of 24 per cent is never reached (that is why the hatched area has a shape of a bell rather than a horseshoe in this case). The absence of an interpulse on the observed light curve in Fig. 4 suggests that \( \alpha + \zeta \lesssim 90^\circ \). The curves in Fig. 9, left, show the combinations of \( \alpha \) and \( \zeta \) that provide the pulsed fraction 18 per cent. For comparison, we show an analogous line for the best-fitting BB model from Table 1 (\( R^\infty = 2.2 \, km \)), assuming that thermal radiation comes from two uniformly heated circular regions around the poles on the surface of a star with the same \( M = 1.4 \, M_\odot \) and \( R = 12.6 \, km \) as in models NSMDIP 1 and 3. In contrast to the atmosphere radiation, which is has a peaked angular distribution, the BB radiation obeys the Lambert’s cosine law. For this reason, the same pulsed fraction is reached for higher \( \alpha + \zeta \) values. For NSMDIP 2, the abovementioned smearing of the light curves due to the light bending effect is so strong that the pulsed fraction does not exceed 5 per cent, meaning that the BB model is inapplicable in this case. We also show the tentative \( \alpha \) and \( \zeta \) values obtained by Pierbattista et al. (2015), who fitted geometrical models to the observed \( \gamma \)-ray pulse profile, using different theoretical models of \( \gamma \)-ray pulse formation. We see that one of the models (the slot gap model) is compatible with NSMDIP 1 and NSMDIP 2. Examples of theoretical light curves, calculated as described in Appendix A, are shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 9. For each model we show three cases, marked by letters A, B, and C, corresponding to the magnetic obliquity \( \alpha \) and inclination \( \zeta \) values shown in the left-hand panel. Note, that case C does not agree with the observed profile since it predicts the presence of an interpulse and thus can be excluded.

The low number of counts does not allow us to perform the phase-resolved spectral analysis which could help us to distinguish between different geometries and spectral models. Deeper X-ray observations are necessary to do that. Ultraviolet (UV) observations could also clarify the situation whether the thermal emission is best described by the NSMDIP or by the BB (solid state) spectral models as they predict different fluxes in this range.

Implementation of the extinction–distance relation in the fitting procedure allowed us to estimate the distance to J1957. We note, that due to the large relative uncertainties in this relation especially at low distances (see Appendix B), the constraints on \( D \) are rather weak especially for the BB + PL model where \( N_H \) uncertainties are larger than for the atmosphere models. Thus, the BB + PL model gives the distance up to \( \approx 1 \, kpc \) while the NSMDIP + PL models resulted in the range of 0.1–0.4 kpc. The former estimate is compatible with the ‘pseudo’-distance of 0.8 kpc (Marelli et al. 2015). Note, that the obtained distance range gives a reasonable \( \gamma \)-ray efficiency \( \eta_\gamma = L_\gamma / E_\gamma \) of \( \approx 0.006–0.6 \) where \( L_\gamma = 4\pi D^2G_{100} \) is the \( \gamma \)-ray luminosity and \( G_{100} = 2.6 \times 10^{-11} \, erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2} \) (Marelli et al. 2015) is the \( \gamma \)-ray flux above 100 MeV.

As for the PL spectral component, the derived photon index range of 1.5–1.9 is typical for pulsars (e.g. Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2008). For all the models, the unabsorbed non-thermal flux in the 2–10 keV band is \( F_X \approx 2 \times 10^{-16} \, erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2} \). This corresponds to X-ray luminosity \( L_X \approx (0.24–3.83) \times 10^{39} \, erg \, s^{-1} \) for the distance range of 100–
400 pc provided by the atmosphere models and up to $2.4 \times 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$erg s$^{-1}$ with the upper bound on the distance of 1 kpc as provided by the BB model. The corresponding X-ray efficiency of $\eta_{X} = L_{X}/E = 10^{-5.34} - 10^{-4.34}$ and up to $10^{-3.35}$, respectively. These values are compatible with empirical dependencies of pulsar X-ray non-thermal luminosity and efficiency versus characteristic age (see e.g. Zharikov, Shibanov & Komarova 2006; Zharikov & Mignani 2013). Upper limits on the pulsed fraction in harder bands and thus the feature is a trail-like PWN as observed e.g. for PSR J1741–2054 (Auchettl et al. 2015). On the other hand, this can be attributed with it. Its spectrum can be well described by the PL model associated with it. Its length is compatible with lengths of other PWNe (e.g. $\approx 8$ arcmin which corresponds to $\approx 0.23$–2.3 pc for the obtained distance range of 0.1–1 kpc (see Table 1). This is compatible with lengths of other PWNe (e.g. Kargaltsev et al. 2017).

We can assume that the J1957 moves in the southeast direction and thus the feature is a trail-like PWN as observed e.g. for PSR J1741–2054 (Auchettl et al. 2015). On the other hand, this can be a misaligned outflow which is not aligned with the pulsars’s proper motion (p.m.) direction (see e.g. Reynolds et al. 2017; Kargaltsev et al. 2017, and references therein). If so, we do not see any hint of a ‘normal’ tail-like PWN protruding behind the pulsar in X-ray images. Torus or jet structures typical for PWNe of younger pulsars are also not detected. However, the situation can be similar to the Guitar nebula powered by PSR B2224+65: the guitar-shaped bowshock nebula was detected in H α while no head-tail PWN was found within the shock in X-rays (Reynolds et al. 2017). Instead, X-ray observations revealed a jet-like feature inclined by $\approx 11^\circ$ to the p.m. direction of B2224+65. For our pulsar, no H α emission was detected around it with the 3.6 m WIYN telescope at a 300 s exposure (Brownberger & Romani 2014). However, the exposure may be too short to detect a fainter bow-shock at a high Galactic latitude of $\approx 1^\circ$ where the density of the interstellar matter is small and deeper observations are needed.

The tail interpretation suggests that J1957 should move towards the Galactic plane (Fig. 1) raising a question about its birth site somewhere in the Galactic halo. For the misaligned outflow, the direction of p.m. remains unclear. In the latter case, we can assume that J1957 was born in the Galactic disc since this possibility is more plausible than the birth in the halo. Due to the natal kick in the supernova explosion it could achieve high velocities and move away from the disc. In such a case, we can estimate the J1957 p.m. perpendicular to the Galactic plane. At the pulsar Galactic latitude of $\approx 11^\circ$ the p.m. $\mu \approx 47 t_{60 \text{ kyr}}^{-1}$ mas yr$^{-1}$, where $t_{60 \text{ kyr}}$ is the pulsar age normalized to its characteristic age $t_{c}$. This corresponds to the transverse velocity $v \approx 220 t_{60 \text{ kyr}}^{-1} D_{\text{kpc}}$ km s$^{-1}$ which is compatible with the pulsars velocity distribution (Hobbs et al. 2005) for the estimated distance range even if the true age is $\sim 5$ times smaller.

The nebula spectrum can be also described by a thermal bremsstrahlung emission model with a temperature of $3.6^{+1.9}_{-1.2}$ keV. In this case the nebula emission comes from the shocked ISM.
and the pulsar p.m. must be aligned with the nebula axis. Such
situation appears for the tail of PSR J0357+3205 (Marelli et al.
2013). However, due to low count statistics it is hard to distinguish
between the PL and the thermal models in our case.
Measurement of the pulsar’s p.m. is necessary to understand the
nature of the feature and deeper X-ray observations are needed to
constrain its shape and spectral properties.

5.3 J1957 and the cooling theory
As noted above, J1957 can be one of the coldest cooling NSs
with measured surface temperatures (e.g. Potekhin et al. 2020
and references therein). In Fig. 10 we compare the estimated thermal
luminosities of different isolated NSs with theoretical cooling curves
(i.e. redshifted luminosities as functions of ages). For J1957 we use
the luminosity estimates reported in Table 1. The error bar unites
the uncertainties for the models NSMDIP 1–3. The model NSMDIP 1
is adopted as the best estimate, because it provides the lowest BIC
value among these three models. The observational estimates for
the other cooling NSs are taken from Potekhin et al. (2020). For
the NSs lacking timing-independent age estimates, including J1957,
we plot \( L_{\infty} \) against their characteristic ages \( t_c \). In these cases the
leftward arrows indicate that \( t_c \) is likely to be larger than the true age,
which is the common case, although there are exceptions where \( t_c \) is
somewhat lower than the true age (see, e.g. examples, discussion and
references in Potekhin et al. 2020). We see that J1957 has the lowest
thermal luminosity among all cooling NSs with ages < 1 Myr.

The theoretical cooling curves in Fig. 10 are calculated using the
numerical code presented by Potekhin & Chabrier (2018). The BSk24
model (Pearson et al. 2018) is used for the composition and EoS of
the NS matter. The NSs are supposed to have either non-accreted
(ground state) heat blanketing envelopes or accreted envelopes
composed of helium, carbon, and oxygen up to the densities and
temperatures where these chemical elements can survive (see e.g.
Potekhin et al. 2003; Potekhin & Chabrier 2018). The accreted
envelopes are more heat-transparent than the ground-state ones. For
this reason, the stars with the accreted envelopes are brighter at the
early stage of their evolution (at \( t \lesssim 10^4 \) yr), but they cool down
faster and become colder at the late stage (\( t \gtrsim 10^7 \) yr). An envelope
may consist of the accreted material only partially. In such cases the
cooling rate is intermediate between the non-accreted and fully
accreted extremes shown in the figure.

The critical temperatures for singlet neutron superfluidity in the
inner crust and for proton and triplet neutron types of superfluidity
in the core of an NS are evaluated, as functions of density, using the
MSH, BS, and TTav parametrizations of Ho et al. (2015), which are
based on theoretical models computed, respectively, by Margueron,
Sagawa & Hagino (2008), Baldo & Schulze (2007), and Takatsuka
& Tamagaki (2004). As can be seen from Fig. 10, thermal luminosity
of J1957 is higher than the predictions of the cooling model for the
age \( t = t_c \), so that theoretical cooling curves pass to the left of the
error bar in this figure. However, as we mentioned above, it is likely
that the true age \( t \) is smaller than \( t_c \). If we treat \( t \) as an upper limit
to the true age, than thermal luminosity of J1957 is compatible with
the considered theoretical model. The smallest discrepancy between
the best-fitting point and the theoretical cooling curves in Fig. 10
is observed for the model of an NS with \( M \approx 1.4 M_\odot \), covered by the
non-accreted heat-blanketing envelope. In this case, an agreement
between the model and observations is reached, if we assume that \( t 
\approx 0.5 \) Myr \( \sim 0.6 t_c \).

However, the cooling NS models shown in Fig. 10, being non-
magnetic, are not fully consistent with the models of strongly
magnetized atmosphere spectra (Appendix A). To produce cooling
curves fully consistent with the spectral fitting, we employ a model
of magnetized heat-blanketing envelope with mass \( 10^{-3} M_\odot \).
The bottom of such an envelope lies in a deep layer of the outer crust
(at densities \( \sim 10^{13} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \)), which is nearly isothermal at the
considered NS ages. The interior of an NS is treated as spherically symmetric,
but temperature distribution in the envelope is essentially anisotropic.
At the magnetic pole, the mechanical and thermal structure of the
envelope is computed numerically, following Potekhin et al. (2003)
and using updated microphysics input as per Potekhin & Chabrier
(2018). Thermal conductivity treatment in the partially degenerate H
and He layers of the accreted envelope has been updated following
Blouin et al. (2020). The distribution of the effective temperature over
the surface is then taken consistent with the dipole field, including
the effects of General Relativity, as described in Appendix A.
The interior of the star at densities \( \gtrsim 10^{11} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \) is treated as
spherically symmetric, with the microphysics (in particular, thermal
conductivities, synchrotron neutrino emission rates, etc.) pertinent
to the average magnetic field strength for each model. In the cases
NSMDIP 1 and 3 (\( M = 1.4 M_\odot \)), we use the composition and EoS
model BSK24, while for NSMDIP 2 we use BSk26 (Pearson et al.
2018). The latter EoS is softer and provides the core composition
preventing neutron star from the enhanced cooling at the assumed
redshift \( z = 0.44 \), which corresponds to \( M \approx 2 M_\odot \).
The results are shown in Fig. 11. To test the effects of baryon superfluidity we show, in addition to the models with the BS and TTav superfluidity of protons and neutrons (as in Fig. 10), also the cooling curves computed using alternative proton and neutron superfluidity models in the core. For an alternative proton superfluidity, we use the EEHOr parametrization by Ho et al. (2015) to the microscopic calculations of proton critical temperature by Elgarøy et al. (1996), which predicted substantially stronger proton superfluidity than BS. For an alternative superfluidity of neutrons, we use the ‘Av18 SRC+P’ model of Ding et al. (2016), which is marked ‘D+av’ in Fig. 11. In the latter case, the triplet neutron superfluidity is suppressed due to the effects of many-body correlations (although the maximum critical temperatures are similar in the TTav and D+av models, the latter model predicts a narrower range of densities where the neutrons are superfluid).

We see that the obtained estimates of thermal luminosity of J1957 can constrain the theoretical NS cooling models, constructed consistently with the fitting. Assuming that the true age of the pulsar does not exceed its characteristic age, we can conclude that the NS model NSMDIP 1 is compatible with all employed models of superfluidity and envelope composition, but the NS models NSMDIP 2 and 3 are hardly compatible with the suppressed neutron superfluidity (D+av), if the envelope is non-accreted. If we assume that the true age is close to \(t_c\), then for each spectral model we can select the best-fitting superfluidity and heat-blanketing envelope models in Fig. 11, for which the cooling curves are in a good agreement with the spectral fitting results. This reinforces the suggestion that the thermal-like part of the X-ray radiation of J1957 comes from the entire surface and is powered by passive cooling. Large uncertainties of the thermal luminosity provided by the BB model do not allow us to constrain cooling models.

**6 SUMMARY**

Using the *XMM-Newton* and *Chandra* observations of the middle-aged γ-ray pulsar J1957, we detected, for the first time, the thermal spectral component and X-ray pulsations. We performed self-consistent modelling of thermal spectrum and cooling for models of NSs with strong dipole magnetic field. We applied it to the observations and estimated the J1957 thermal luminosity. It is consistent with the NS cooling theory and provides certain constraints on the NS model parameters. We found that J1957 is one of the coldest middle-aged NSs with measured effective temperatures: its redshifted thermal luminosity is \(L^\gamma \approx (0.2 – 1.0) \times 10^{30}\) erg s\(^{-1}\). This indicates that J1957 has already passed from a relatively slow neutrino cooling stage to a significantly faster photon stage. The X-ray pulse-profile with a single pulse per period and with the pulse fraction of 18 ± 6 per cent constrains the pulsar viewing geometry \(30^\circ \lesssim \alpha + \zeta \lesssim 90^\circ\).

Using the interstellar extinction–distance relation, we estimated the distance to the pulsar to be of \(0.1\–1\) kpc. Spectral fits with atmosphere models imply a most probable distance of 200–300 pc.

We also detected a weak \(\sim 8\) arcmin long trail-like feature connected with the pulsar. It most likely can be a PWN or a misaligned outflow powered by the pulsar.

Deeper X-ray observations are needed for more stringent constraints on the properties of the pulsar and the trail-like nebula. Phase-resolved analysis of such data could be helpful to understand the pulsar geometry. Measurement of the pulsar’s p.m. in X-rays is necessary to understand the nature of the nebula. Due to the low extinction and the likely proximity of J1957, it seems to be a good candidate for UV/optical observations. This could confirm the low temperature and lead to more accurate distance estimate.
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APPENDIX A: ATMOSPHERE MODELS FOR NEUTRON STARS WITH DIPOLE MAGNETIC FIELDS

Magnetized plane-parallel NS atmosphere models are computed using an advanced version of the code described in Suleimanov, Potekhin & Werner (2009). The code has been modified to account for different inclinations $\theta_B$ of the magnetic field with respect to the local surface normal. Hydrogen composition is considered, taking into account incomplete ionization at relatively low temperatures. The effects of the strong magnetic field and the atomic thermal motion across the field on the plasma opacities are treated following Potekhin & Chabrier (2003) with the improvements described in Potekhin, Chabrier & Ho (2014). Polarization vectors and opacities of normal electromagnetic modes are calculated as in Potekhin et al. (2004).

Physical models of emission from NSs should take into account magnetic field and temperature distributions over the surface. We assume a dipolar magnetic field, after accounting for the effect of General Relativity, according to Ginzburg & Ozernoy (1965) (see also Pavlov & Zavlin 2000b):

$$B = B_0 \sqrt{\cos^2 \gamma + f^2 \sin^2 \gamma / \delta}, \quad \cos \theta_B = (B_0 / B) \cos \gamma, \quad (A1)$$
where $B$ is the field strength, $\theta_B$ is the field inclination to the surface normal at a magnetic colatitude $\gamma$, 
\[ f = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 - u}} \frac{u^2 - 2u - 2(1 - u) \ln(1 - u)}{u^2 + 2u + 2 \ln(1 - u)}, \]  
\[ u = r_g/R \]  

is the compactness parameter, $r_g = 2GMc^2$ is the gravitational radius, $G$ is the gravitational constant, and $c$ is the speed of light. The distribution of local effective temperature $T_s$ over the stellar surface is calculated using the results of Potekhin et al. (2003). In order to minimize model dependence, we assume the $T_s$-distribution of an iron heat-blanketing envelope. This assumption does not change our results since, for any chemical composition of the envelope, the dependence of $T_s$ on $\theta_B$ is similar to that given in Greenstein & Hartke (1983).

Model atmospheres for an inclined magnetic field require solving the transfer problem in two dimensions. The optical properties of the magnetized plasma depend on the angle $\eta$ between the photon wave vector $k$ and the local magnetic field $B$. On the other hand, under the plane-parallel approximation the radiation field naturally depends on the angles ($\theta_k, \phi_k$), where $\theta_k$ is the angle between $k$ and the surface normal and $\phi_k$ is the angle between the projections of $k$ and $B$ on the surface. To avoid interpolation of the opacities over such a 2D grid, the code solves the transfer problem over an angular grid, where $\psi$ is the azimuth associated to the polar angle $\eta$ and then the transformation between the angular coordinates ($\eta, \psi$) and ($\theta_k, \phi_k$) is used (see Taverna et al. 2020 for more details):

\[ \cos \eta = \sin \theta_B \sin \theta_k \cos \phi_k + \cos \theta_B \cos \phi_k, \]
\[ \cos \psi = \frac{\cos \theta_k - \cos \eta \cos \theta_B}{\sin \eta \sin \theta_B}. \]

The photon wavevector at infinity $k'$ differs from $k$ at the surface due to gravitational redshift and light-bending (Pechenick, Ftaclas & Cohen 1983; Pavlov & Zavlin 2000b). The photon energy at infinity is smaller than the photon energy at the surface by factor $\sqrt{1 - u} = 1/(1 + z_g)$, where $z_g$ is gravitational redshift. The period of PSR J1957+5033 is sufficiently long so that we can assume that the stellar surface is spherical and neglect the effects of rotation (see e.g. Poutanen & Beloborodov 2006 for description of these effects).

Let us consider a surface element $dS = R^2 \cos \theta \cos \phi \, d\phi \, d\theta$, where $\theta$ and $\phi$ are the polar and azimuthal angles. For the relation between $\theta$ and $\theta_k$ we use approximation (Beloborodov 2002)
\[ 1 - \cos \theta = (1 - \cos \theta_k)/(1 - u). \]  

The flux observed from this surface element is proportional to the specific intensity $I_E^\infty$ and the solid angle $d\Omega$ occupied by this element on the observer’s sky. This solid angle equals (Beloborodov 2002)
\[ d\Omega = \frac{D^2 \cos \theta_k}{D^2} \frac{1}{1 - u} \frac{d \cos \theta_k}{d \cos \theta_k}, \]
\[ \text{where } \theta_k' = \theta. \]

Since $I_E^\infty$ is invariant (Misner, Thorne & Wheeler 1973, Section 22.6), the observed specific intensity $I_E^\infty$ is related to the emitted intensity $I_E$ by a constant redshift factor $I_E^\infty = I_E/(1 + z_g)^3$. Thus the flux is
\[ dF_E^\infty = I_E^\infty d\Omega = \frac{R^2 \cos \theta_k}{D^2} \frac{I_E}{(1 + z_g)^3} \cos \phi \, d \cos \theta, \]
\[ \text{where } \theta_k' = \theta. \]  

The monochromatic spectral flux density is then computed by integrating the emission from different local patches over the stellar surface. Making use of equation (A6), we obtain
\[ F_E^\infty = \frac{R^2}{D^2(1 + z_g)} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\phi_k \int_0^{\pi/2} I_E(\theta_k, \phi_k) \cos \theta_k \sin \theta_k \, d\theta_k. \]  

For an axisymmetric magnetic field, the angle $\phi_k$ and the magnetic colatitude $\gamma$ are determined at every $\theta_k$ and $\psi$ by the relations (Ho et al. 2008)

\[ \cos \gamma = \cos \psi \sin \theta \sin \Theta_m + \cos \theta \cos \Theta_m, \]
\[ \cos \phi_k = \frac{\cos \gamma \cos \theta - \cos \Theta_m}{|\sin \gamma \sin \theta|}, \]
\[ \text{where } \Theta_m \text{ is the angle between the magnetic axis and line of sight. The integration in equation (A8) is restricted by those angles $\theta_k$ that correspond to real values of } \theta. \]

For each neutron star parameter set, the local plane-parallel atmosphere models are computed at the magnetic pole and at three magnetic latitudes between the pole and the equator, according to Table A1. The atmosphere model at the equator needs not to be calculated, because temperature at low latitudes is so low that it cannot noticeably affect the observed flux (in practice, we use the blackbody spectrum at the equator, but we have checked that with alternative models $F_E^\infty$ remains the same within 3 per cent). At each fixed magnetic colatitude $\gamma$, the opacities, polarizabilities, and EoS of the hydrogen plasma were computed on a fixed grid of plasma temperature and density, from which the values required during the radiative-transfer calculation were obtained by interpolation.

To calculate the integral (A8), the specific intensity $I_E$ is evaluated at arbitrary $\gamma$, $E$, $\theta_k$, and $\phi_k$ from the computed values by interpolation. It should be noted that $B$-dependent absorption features would produce series of lines, if we kept $E$ fixed during this interpolation. In reality, such features are broadened due to the smooth variation of $B$ with $\gamma$. In order to reproduce this broadening and thus get rid of the non-physical series of lines, we first remap our calculated $I_E$ as a function of ratio $E/B$ and then interpolate it in $\theta_k, \phi_k,$ and $B(\gamma)$ for every fixed $E/B$ (cf. Ho et al. 2008). The result of such integration is shown in Fig. A1.

The local effective temperature $T_s$ and the global effective temperature $T_{eff}$ are defined by the Stefan–Boltzmann law
\[ \sigma_{SB} T_s^4 = F_r, \quad 4 \pi R^2 \sigma_{SB} T_{eff}^4 = L, \]
\[ \text{where } F_r = \int_0^\infty dE \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_k \int_0^{\pi/2} I_E(\theta_k, \phi_k) \cos \theta_k \sin \theta_k \, d\theta_k \]
\[ \text{is the local flux density, which depends on the magnetic colatitude } \gamma, \text{ and } \]
\[ L_r = R^2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} F_r(\gamma) \sin \theta \, d\theta \]
\[ \text{is the local bolometric luminosity. The redshifted ('apparent') luminosity, effective temperature and radius as detected by a distant observer are (e.g. Thorne 1977)} \]
\[ L^\infty = L_r/(1 + z_g) = 4 \pi \sigma_{SB}(T^\infty)^4(R^\infty)^2, \]
\[ T^\infty = T_{eff}/(1 + z_g), \quad R^\infty = R(1 + z_g). \]  

Footnote 7 This is equivalent to equation (8) of Ho et al. (2008), where we have restored the missed factor $\cos \theta_k$. 
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Table A1. Parameter sets used in calculation of atmosphere models: cosines of magnetic colatitude $\gamma$ and magnetic field inclination $\theta_B$, logarithms of magnetic field strength $B$, and local effective temperatures $T_\text{e}$.  

| Parameter Sets | Cos $\gamma$ | Cos $\theta_B$ | Log $B$ (G) | Log $T_\text{e}$ (K) |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|
| NSMDIP 1: $M = 1.4 \ M_\odot$, $R = 12.6 \ km$ ($z_g = 0.22$), $B_p = 3 \times 10^{12}$ G | 0.25 | 0.424 | 12.25 | 5.075 | 5.183 | 5.285 | 5.383 | 5.483 | 5.583 | 5.684 |
| | 0.50 | 0.724 | 12.32 | 5.188 | 5.292 | 5.393 | 5.492 | 5.591 | 5.691 | 5.791 |
| | 0.75 | 0.900 | 12.40 | 5.238 | 5.337 | 5.437 | 5.537 | 5.637 | 5.737 | 5.837 |
| | 1.00 | 1.000 | 12.48 | 5.266 | 5.361 | 5.459 | 5.560 | 5.661 | 5.762 | 5.861 |
| NSMDIP 2: $M = 2 \ M_\odot$, $R = 11.4 \ km$ ($z_g = 0.44$), $B_p = 3 \times 10^{13}$ G | 0.25 | 0.398 | 12.28 | 5.139 | 5.246 | 5.348 | 5.447 | 5.546 | 5.647 | 5.748 |
| | 0.44 | 0.633 | 12.32 | 5.236 | 5.340 | 5.442 | 5.541 | 5.640 | 5.740 | 5.840 |
| | 0.73 | 0.875 | 12.40 | 5.308 | 5.408 | 5.508 | 5.608 | 5.708 | 5.807 | 5.907 |
| | 1.00 | 1.000 | 12.48 | 5.341 | 5.437 | 5.535 | 5.636 | 5.737 | 5.837 | 5.937 |
| NSMDIP 3: $M = 1.4 \ M_\odot$, $R = 12.6 \ km$ ($z_g = 0.22$), $B_p = 1.1 \times 10^{12}$ G | 0.19 | 0.335 | 11.80 | 5.037 | 5.137 | 5.236 | 5.337 | 5.439 | 5.542 | 5.645 |
| | 0.56 | 0.775 | 11.90 | 5.206 | 5.306 | 5.405 | 5.505 | 5.605 | 5.705 | 5.805 |
| | 0.87 | 0.953 | 12.00 | 5.249 | 5.349 | 5.450 | 5.550 | 5.650 | 5.750 | 5.850 |

Figure A1. Unabsorbed redshifted spectral flux density as function of redshifted energy, calculated according to equation (A8) for models 1, 2, and 3 listed in Table A1 (left-hand, middle, and right-hand panels, respectively) for different inclinations $\theta_m$ of magnetic dipole axis (drawn with different line styles, according to the legend) and redshifted effective temperatures ($\log T^\infty$ (K)) = 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, and 5.7, from bottom to top). For comparison, the BB spectra at the same temperatures are shown by dotted lines.

For each model (NSMDIP 1, 2 or 3), we fix radius $R$, redshift $z_g$ and $B_p$ to the values indicated in Table A1 and treat the effective temperature $T^\infty$, magnetic axis inclination $\theta_B$ and distance $D$ as continuous adjustable parameters to fit the observed spectral fluxes using calculated grids of $F^\infty_{\text{e}}$.

In the axisymmetric model, the pulsar geometry is determined by the angles $\alpha$ and $\zeta$ that the spin axis makes with the magnetic axis and with the line of sight, respectively (e.g. Pavlov & Zavlin 2000b). To produce phase-resolved spectra, it is sufficient to calculate

$$\cos \theta_m = \sin \zeta \sin \alpha \cos \phi + \cos \alpha \cos \zeta$$

(A16)

for each rotation phase $\phi$. Some light curves computed by integration of such phase-resolved spectra are shown in Fig. 9.

APPENDIX B: INTERSTELLAR ABSORPTION–DISTANCE RELATION

We estimated the distance to J1957 including the interstellar absorption–distance relation shown in Fig. B1 as a prior in the fitting procedure. The relation was derived in the following way. We used the 3D map of the local interstellar medium presented in https://stilism.obspm.fr/ (see Lallement et al. 2014; Capitano et al. 2017; Lallement et al. 2018 for details) to obtain the relation between the interstellar extinction $E(B-V)$ and the distance $D$ in the pulsar direction. Then $E(B-V)$ was converted to the X-ray absorbing column density $N_H$ utilizing the relation by Foight et al. (2016). We used linear interpolation to derive the $N_H$–$D$ dependence between the obtained points.

We also independently estimated the maximum absorption in the pulsar direction using two brightest extragalactic X-ray sources in the J1957 field with coordinates R.A., Dec. = (19h57m16s491, +50°40'17''280) and R.A., Dec. = (19h56m48s205, +50°39'32''101). They have optical counterparts in the Pan-STARRS (Flewelling et al. 2016) and WISE (Cutri et al. 2014; Schlafly, Meisner & Green 2019) catalogues and are detected with the optical monitor (OM) on-board XMM–Newton. According to their spectral energy distributions, the sources are active galactic nuclei (AGNs). We extracted their X-ray spectra, grouped them to ensure at least 25
counts per energy bin and fitted with the absorbed model for AGN OPTXAGN. The resulting column density \(N_H\) shown by the dashed black line in Fig. B1 is about \(10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) which is in agreement with the value obtained from the \(E(B - V) - D\) relation.

The spectral models which we used to describe the pulsar thermal emission includes the ratio of the emitting area radius and the distance as a parameter. Implementation of the \(N_H - D\) relation allowed us to separate it into two independent parameters.

**Figure B1.** The relation between the interstellar absorption \(N_H\) and the distance \(D\) (the dark grey line) with uncertainties shown in light grey in the direction towards J1957. The dashed black line shows the maximum \(N_H\) derived from the spectral analysis of two AGNs in the pulsar field (see the text for details).