In vitro and in vivo assessment of the proresolutive and antiresorptive actions of resolvin D1: relevance to arthritis
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Abstract

Background: Resolvin D1 (RvD1), an important member of resolvins, exerts a wide spectrum of biological effects, including resolution of inflammation, tissue repair, and preservation of cell viability. The aim of the present study is to investigate the anti-arthritic potential and clarify the bone protective actions of RvD1 in vitro and in vivo.

Methods: RAW264.7 cells were treated with 50 ng/ml LPS for 72 h in the presence or absence of RvD1 (0–500 nM). Primary human monocytes were treated with M-CSF + RANKL for 14 days ± RvD1 (0–500 nM) with or without siRNA against RvD1 receptor FPR2. Expressions of inflammatory mediators, degrading enzymes, osteoclasts (OC) formation, and bone resorption were analyzed. The therapeutic effect of RvD1 (0–1000 ng) was carried out in murine collagen antibody-induced arthritis. Arthritis scoring, joint histology, and inflammatory and bone turnover markers were measured.

Results: RvD1 is not toxic and inhibits OC differentiation and activation. It decreases bone resorption, as assessed by the inhibition of TRAP and cathepsin K expression, hydroxyapatite matrix resorption, and bone loss. In addition, RvD1 reduces TNF-α, IL-1β, IFN-γ, PGE2, and RANK and concurrently enhances IL-10 in OC. Moreover, in arthritic mice, RvD1 alleviates clinical score, paw inflammation, and bone and joint destructions. Besides, RvD1 reduces inflammatory mediators and markedly decreases serum markers of bone and cartilage turnover.

Conclusion: Our results provide additional evidence that RvD1 plays a key role in preventing bone resorption and other pathophysiological changes associated with arthritis. The study highlights the clinical relevance of RvD1 as a potential compound for the treatment of inflammatory arthritis and related bone disorders.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic immune-mediated inflammatory disease that features persistent inflammation leading to joint tissue destruction [1]. Afflicting up to 1% of the general population worldwide, women are three to five times more likely to develop RA than men [2, 3]. Highly debilitating, RA leads to impair joint function, in addition to severe pulmonary, renal, and cardiovascular dysfunctions, thereby affecting patients’ quality of life and significantly decreasing their life expectancy [3, 4]. Joint injuries are associated with excessive synovial inflammation and hyperplasia which promotes recruitment of inflammatory cells [5]. These activated cells will further induce excessive release of pro-inflammatory and catabolic mediators within the joint, thereby causing cartilage and bone breakdown [5–7].

Due to the central role of the immune system and inflammation in the pathogenesis of RA, current therapies mainly target inflammatory mediators such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and interleukin-6 (IL6) [8]. However, even if the currently available therapies such as disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs improve RA symptoms, they are effective in only half of the treated patients [9, 10]. Moreover, the severe side effects attributed to the long-term and high dosage usage limit their
use [8]. Most importantly, none of these agents repair or even control joint damage [10, 11]. This underlines the importance of finding alternative pathophysiological pathways that could identify a new target leading, in terms, to a more adequate management of RA.

Hence, 2015 international recommendations for RA treatment set a dozen goals, the main one being to improve patients’ long-term quality of life, by reducing symptoms and preventing irreversible joint damage. They have pointed out not only controlling, but eliminating inflammation as the most effective way to achieve it [12]. Promoting the resolution of inflammation could be one effective way to reach this goal. Indeed, the identification of the resolution phase of inflammation as an active process, once believed to be passive, has highlighted the fact that there is a fine line between sufficiently strong inflammation and uncontrolled chronic inflammatory responses seen in inflammatory diseases such as RA. Failure in the resolution responses may therefore be responsible for that line being overpassed [13, 14].

The resolution process is orchestrated by a number of mediators such as resolvins [15]. They are derived from omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, with well-described anti-inflammatory and proresolutive activities [16, 17]. By counter-regulating pro-inflammatory mediators and decreasing neutrophil recruitment in inflammatory sites, these mediators actively trigger the resolution of inflammation and promote the return to homeostasis [18, 19]. Resolvin D1 (RvD1), an important member of resolvins, is biosynthesized from the omega-3 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; C22:6) via 15-lipoxygenase (15-LOX) and 5-LOX interactions in humans [20, 21]. RvD1 properties encompass a wide spectrum, ranging from potent anti-inflammatory and proresolutive actions to analgesic properties in inflammatory pain [20–23]. Moreover, we previously reported that RvD1 level is higher in knee synovial fluid from patients with osteoarthritis [24]. We, furthermore, demonstrated the potency of RvD1 in controlling inflammatory and catabolic responses, in human osteoarthritic chondrocytes.

Aiming to extend our research on the potential of RvD1 effects in inflammatory osteoarticular conditions, the purpose of this study is to determine the effects of RvD1 on RA onset and progression in vivo using a mouse model that shares many features with human RA as well as the molecular mechanism involved, using an in vitro model.

Materials and methods

Materials

RvD1, LDH ELISA kit, and PGE2 EIA kit were obtained from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). AMEM, RPMI 1640 1X medium, FBS, and antibiotics were purchased from Wisent Bio Products (Montreal, QC, Canada). LPS (Escherichia coli 0111:B4), RANKL, M-CSF, TRAP staining kit, and mouse anti-β-actin antibody were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON, Canada). MTS assay kit was purchased from Promega Corporation (Madison, WI, USA). Primary antibodies against mouse TRAP and cathepsin K, von Kossa (calcium stain) kit, and rabbit polyclonal anti-Beclin-1 were obtained from Abcam Inc. (Toronto, ON, Canada). Peroxidase IgG secondary antibody was purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories (West Grove, PA, USA). TNF-α and IL-10 ELISA kits were purchased from R&D systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA). Th17-6 plex cytokine assay kit was purchased from Bio-Rad (Mississauga, ON, Canada). CTX-II ELISA kit and anti-mouse FPR2 antibody were purchased from Mybiosource (San Diego, CA, USA). CTX-I EIA kit was purchased from Immunodiagnostic Systems Limited (Boldon, UK). Ficoll-Paque PLUS was obtained from GE Healthcare (Mississauga, ON, CA). Osteo Assay Stripwell plates were purchased from Corning Inc. (New York, NY, USA). Arthrogen-CIA Arthrogen Monoclonal Antibody was purchased from Chondrex (Redmond, WA, USA). FPR2 siRNA and scramble siRNA were purchased from Santa-Cruz Biotechnology (Santa-Cruz, CA, USA).

Cell culture

Murine macrophage RAW 264.7 (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) were cultured with αMEM/10% FBS and antibiotics at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2. Primary human monocytes were isolated from whole blood obtained from healthy volunteers. Briefly, blood was centrifuged on a Ficoll-Paque density gradient, as described previously [25]. Isolated monocytes were then cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, and antibiotics. All donors provided written, informed consent for the use of their blood for research purposes. Experimental protocols were approved by the Research Ethics Board of the “Hôpital du Sacré-Cœur de Montréal.”

Animals

Thirty 8-week-old female DBA/1J mice, weighing approximately 18–20 g, were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). Animal handling and experimental procedures were conducted in compliance with the Canadian Council on Animal Care guidelines. The experimental protocol was adapted from previously reported methods [26] and approved by the Animal Research Ethics Committee of Hôpital du Sacré-Cœur de Montréal.

Viability assay and LDH release

RAW 264.7 cells were cultured as described above then seeded in a 96-well plate at 4 x 10^4 cells/well then treated with RvD1 (0–500 nM) with or without LPS (50 ng/ml) for 48 h. Cell viability and LDH release were assessed with
commercial kits under the manufacturer’s instructions. The absorbance was measured at 590 nm with EL800 universal micro-plate readers (Bio-Tek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA).

**TRAP staining**
RAW 264.7 cells were cultured as described previously, seeded in chambered cell culture slides at 8 × 10⁴ cells/well, and transfected or not with 100 nM FPR2 siRNA or scramble siRNA. Osteoclast formation was induced by treatment of cells with LPS (50 ng/ml) ± RvD1 (0–500 nM) for 72 h. TRAP staining was performed as recommended by the manufacturer. Nuclei were counter stained with Gill’s hematoxylin and TRAP-positive multinucleated osteoclast staining (≥ 3 nuclei) was counted in 10 randomly selected high-power fields using digital EVOS light microscopy (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) at × 20 magnification.

**Western blot**
RAW 264.7 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate at 2 × 10⁵ cells/well then treated with RvD1 (0–500 nM) with or without LPS (50 ng/ml) for 72 h. Approximately 20 µg total proteins was loaded onto a 4–12% gradient SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Mississauga, ON, Canada). The primary antibodies were anti-mouse TRAP, anti-mouse cathepsin K, anti-mouse beclin-1, anti-FPR2, and anti-mouse β-actin primary antibodies. Revelation of immunoreactive bands and semi-quantitative analysis were performed as described in our previous report [24].

**TNF-α, IL-10, PGE₂, and RANK quantification in cell culture supernatant**
TNF-α, IL-10, and RANK levels were assessed in cell culture supernatants by ELISA, and PGE₂ level was determined by EIA, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All assays were performed in duplicate. The absorbance was quantified with the micro-ELISA Vmax photometer at 405 nm (Bio-Tek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA).

**Hydroxyapatite resorption assay pit formation assay**
Mononuclear cells were seeded in an Osteo Assay Stripwell plate at 5 × 10⁵ cells/well and allowed to adhere (2 h at 37°C) in RPMI/10% FBS in a humidified atmosphere and 5% CO₂. The nonadherent cells were removed by vigorous washes with PBS, and adherent cells (mainly monocytes/macrophages) were cultured in RPMI/10% FBS containing 10 ng/ml M-CSF and 50 ng/ml sRANKL. They were concurrently treated with increasing concentrations of RvD1 (0–500 nM) over 2 weeks. The culture medium was renewed every 3 days.

The resorption area in each plate was analyzed by von Kossa staining following the manufacturer’s instruction, then visualized and measured in 10 randomly selected high-power fields using digital EVOS light microscopy at × 20 magnification.

**Bone resorption in an ex vivo model**
Bone explants from normal mouse knee joints were rinsed in PBS containing antibiotics and cultured in BGJb medium supplemented with 20% FBS and antibiotics. Samples were treated or not with 10 ng/ml of M-CSF and 50 ng/ml of RANKL for 28 days in the presence or absence of 500 ng/ml RvD1. Culture medium were renewed two times per week. Bone histomorphometry was performed as described in our previous report [27] by measuring bone surface (µm²) and marrow cavity area (µm²).

**Collagen antibody-induced arthritis (CAIA)**
Arthritis was induced using an arthritogenic cocktail of five monoclonal antibodies anti-type II collagen (mAb) combined to LPS as previously describe [26]. Briefly, on day 1, mice were immunized by an intra-peritoneal (i.p.) injection of 1.5 mg of mAb, followed on day 4 by an i.p. injection of 50 µg of LPS (E. coli 0111:B4). Mice were monitored daily for body weight and disease scoring and then sacrificed on day 10. Animals were randomly separated into six groups: group 1, non-immunized mice; group 2, CAIA mice; groups 3–5, CAIA mice received a daily i.p. injection of 100, 500, and 1000 ng RvD1 since day 1, respectively; and group 6, CAIA mice received a daily i.p. injection of 1000 ng RvD1 at day 4. Groups 1 and 2 were given a saline solution with 0.1% EtOH instead. On day 10, mice were anesthetized by isoflurane inhalation, and blood was collected by cardiac puncture and then euthanized. Serum samples were stored at − 80°C, and knees and ankles were conserved in formalin until analyzed.

**Clinical evaluation of induced arthritis**
Arthritis onset and progression were monitored daily by recording hind paw thickness using a caliper, arthritic score, and body weight, by two independent observers blinded to treatment groups. The arthritic score was graded using a 4-point clinical index for each paw, based on macroscopic signs (0 = no evidence of inflammation, 1 = inflammation (swelling and/or redness) in one joint, 2 = inflammation in two joints, 3 = inflammation in more than two joints, and 4 = severe arthritis of the entire paw and joints) as described by Lee et al. [28], for a total score of 0–16 for all four paws.

**Serum inflammatory mediator’s determination**
TNF-α, IL-17, IL-1β, IL-6, and IFN-γ were quantified in serum using a convenient bioplex kit assay, according to...
the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentrations of cytokines were measured using Bio-Plex™ 200 System instrument (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) and Bio-Plex manager 4.1 Software.

**Bone and cartilage remodeling assessment**

CTX-I and CTX-II were quantified in mice serum by an EIA and ELISA kit, respectively, under the manufacturer’s instructions. The sensitivity of each kit is 4.5 and 10 pg/ml, respectively, and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm with EL800 universal micro-plate readers (Bio-Tek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA).

**Histopathology study**

Histopathologic sections of the knees were prepared following a conventional histopathologic processing of fixation, decalcification, and paraffin embedding, as we previously reported [29]. The joint sections were stained with safranin-O to assess cartilage degradation. Cartilage destruction was evaluated by two blinded observers, as described in detail in [29] using a previously described scoring system [30]. Osteoclast recruitment and activation were revealed by TRAP staining, as described in “TRAP staining” section. Cell proliferation in synovial membrane was performed by hematoxylin and eosin staining.

**Micro-CT (μ-CT) analysis**

After the sacrifice, the ankles from each mice group were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h and placed in PBS for three-dimensional (3D) μ-CT analysis. Each sample was scanned using Skyscan 1176-High Resolution in vivo X-ray Microtomography (Bruker-MicroCT, Kartuizer Weg 3B, Kontich 2550, Belgium) at 50 kVp and 500 μA [26]. The 3D reconstruction was carried out using NRecon software, and the images were then after processed with μ-CT analyzer software.

**Statistics**

All experiments were at least triplicated. Mean comparisons were performed by the analysis of variance (ANOVA) with appropriate post hoc analysis or two-way ANOVA with repeated measures where appropriate. Data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. p values < 0.05 were regarded as significant.

**Results**

**Rvd1 does not affect murine RAW 264.7 macrophage viability**

We first evaluated the potential toxicity of Rvd1 in murine RAW 264.7 macrophages by performing an MTS assay. In contrast to H2O2, Rvd1 did not alter the cell viability and LDH release after 48 h of incubation at tested doses ranging from 0 to 500 nM (Fig. 1a, b). Finally, the expression of Beclin-1, which is regulated in autophagy program cell death [31], was also unaffected by Rvd1, in the presence or absence of LPS (Fig. 1c). Together, these data suggest that Rvd1 does not affect cell viability.

**Rvd1 attenuates osteoclast differentiation and inflammatory mediator expression in RAW264.7 macrophages**

Here, we evaluated the impact of Rvd1 treatment on RAW 264.7 macrophage-LPS-derived osteoclasts, by measuring their phenotypic markers, namely TRAP and cathepsin K. Western blot data show a strong inhibition of LPS-induced TRAP and cathepsin K expression by Rvd1 at different concentrations (Fig. 2a) as well as TRAP activity and osteoclast differentiation (three to fourfold of inhibition, p < 0.05, Fig. 2b). Interestingly, downregulation of FPR2 expression by a specific siRNA abrogated the inhibitory effect of Rvd1 as compared to LPS and LPS + scrambled (Sc) control siRNA (Fig. 2b). Of note, cell viability of transfected RAW264.7 with FPR2 siRNA or Sc siRNA was not affected and reached ~90–95% in comparison to untransfected cells.

We next investigated the anti-inflammatory of Rvd1 in RAW 264.7 macrophage-LPS-derived osteoclasts. When used alone, Rvd1 does not affect PGE2, TNF-α, and IL-10 releases neither RANK expression (Fig. 2c–f). In contrast, Rvd1 significantly reduces LPS-induced PGE2, TNF-α, and RANK expression in a dose-dependent manner. At 500 nM, Rvd1 significantly decreased LPS-induced PGE2, TNF-α, and RANK by three to fourfold (Fig. 2c, d, f; p < 0.001). In contrast, IL-10 level is significantly enhanced in Rvd1-treated cells during LPS-induced osteoclast differentiation (Fig. 2e, p < 0.0001). Together, these results suggest that Rvd1 inhibits osteoclast differentiation and activation, therefore reducing their pro-inflammatory mediator releases.

**Rvd1 prevents bone erosion using primary human monocyte-derived osteoclasts**

Next, we studied the effects of Rvd1 on resorptive activity of human monocyte-derived osteoclasts. As shown by von Kossa staining, a significantly higher erosion surface is observed when cells were M-CSF/ RANKL (R-M) differentiated when compared to control, while Rvd1 at 500 nM drastically reduces hydroxypatite matrix erosion to almost background level (p < 0.01, Fig. 3a). Rvd1 at 100 and 1000 nM inhibits bone resorption in a similar pattern (data not shown). Interestingly, FPR2 silencing by siRNA abolished the inhibitory action of Rvd1 (p < 0.05).

Then after, additional experiments were conducted using whole mouse femoral bone cultured during 4 weeks. The addition of 500 nM Rvd1 reverses bone resorption induced by the R-M treatments to almost baseline level, as observed by hematoxylin and eosin staining (Fig. 3b, p < 0.05). This observation is
sustained by a reduction in marrow cavity area and an increase in bone surface. Collectively, these data clearly demonstrate the proresolutive and antiresorptive potential of RvD1 on osteoclast differentiation in vitro and in ex vivo.

RvD1 improves RA clinical endpoints in arthritic mice
As expected, CAIA mice develop arthritis on day 4 and exhibit maximum inflammation between days 7 and 10 which was reflected by an increased arthritic score and swelling of paws as well as concomitant weight loss.

Fig. 1 RvD1 does not impair RAW264.7 cell viability. RAW264.7 cells were incubated with different concentrations of RvD1 (0–500 nM) without or with 50 ng/ml LPS for 72 h. Cell viability percentages were obtained by 3-(4,5-dimethyl-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-SH-tetrazolium bromide assay (a), and LDH release was measured in cell supernatants by a commercial kit (b). Cell death and LDH release were induced by the addition of 0.5 mM H₂O₂. Beclin-1 protein expression was assessed in cell extracts by western blot (c). ANOVA test was performed to compare each condition. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM for n = 4. Data are means ± SEM, and one-way ANOVA was performed to compare the results. **p < 0.01 compared to untreated cells.
Fig. 2 (See legend on next page.)
(Fig. 4a–c). All RvD1 treatment groups exhibit a reduced arthritic score when compared to CAIA group (p < 0.05, Fig. 4a). Moreover, RvD1 mice had a lesser hind paw thickness over the disease period and reach a maximum effect at the end of the experiment (30% compared to CAIA group, p < 0.05, Fig. 4b). Furthermore, CAIA mice exhibit a trend toward weight loss over the disease period as compared to normal mice, with significant (up to 16%) weight loss at the end of the experiment. RvD1 treatment at 500 ng protected the mice from weight loss and reaches a maximum effect at day 10 (30% less than CAIA mice, p < 0.05, Fig. 4c). However, no significant differences were found in the measured parameters when 1000 ng RvD1 were given at day 4. Altogether, these findings underscore the clinical therapeutic potential of RvD1 for arthritis management. They indicate that RvD1 treatment clearly alleviates RA main clinical endpoints, suggesting that RvD1 effectively delays RA onset, progression, and severity in an arthritic mouse model.

Rvd1 attenuates synovial proliferation and bone resorption in arthritic mice
This part of the experiment was designed to verify the ability of RvD1 to attenuate histological changes in bone and synovial membrane. As shown in Fig. 4d, RvD1 slightly reduced cell proliferation in synovium and strongly inhibited osteoclast recruitment and activation in arthritic mice. Taken together, these data confirm the in vitro findings and indicate the biological efficacy of RvD1 in suppressing bone and joint damage.

Rvd1 prevents cartilage degradation in knee joints of arthritic mice
As expected, knee sections from RA mice show signs of histopathologic changes, such as fibrillation with loss of chondrocytes and proteoglycans, as compared to control mice (CTL) (Fig. 5a). In contrast, daily RvD1 treatment (100 and 500 ng) of the arthritic mice significantly reduced cartilage destruction and prevents chondrocytes and proteoglycan loss, when compared to RA, suggesting that RvD1 preserves normal homeostasis of the knee joint. Similar findings were obtained in animals treated with 1000 nM RvD1 given at days 1 and 4 (data not shown). These results are coherent with the arthritic score, and the thickness of the paw that were measured.

Rvd1 inhibits bone destruction in RA mice
We next investigate the ability of RvD1 to prevent bone loss in our RA mice model using μ-CT imaging. μ-CT analyses of the ankles from control, RA mice, and RA mice treated with RvD1 were performed in order to obtain a detailed image of the eroded mineralized bone tissues. As illustrated in Fig. 5b, the intra-peritoneal injection of 500 ng of RvD1 results in a marked reduction of bone erosion across several tarsal bones, as compared to RA mice ankles. These results suggest that RvD1 treatment prevents bone erosion in vivo as our results in vitro.

Rvd1 reduces serum markers of cartilage and bone damage
In order to evaluate by which mechanism RvD1 prevented bone erosion, we investigated the effect of RvD1 administration on the expression of bone destruction markers in RA mouse model. First, our data indicated that RvD1 level increased in serum from RA mice and its administration at different doses enhanced its serum biodisponibility (Fig. 5c). Second, our findings revealed that RvD1 significantly reduced serum cartilage degradation markers, such as CTX-II (Fig. 5d), and serum bone resorption markers, such as CTX-I and TRAP (Fig. 5e, f), as compared to RA mice. Together, these findings suggest the protective effect of RvD1 against cartilage and bone destruction in RA disease and possibly in other bone- and joint-related disorders.

Rvd1 attenuates inflammatory mediators in arthritic mouse serum
This part of our study was designed to investigate the anti-inflammatory effect of RvD1 in the CAIA mouse model. As shown in Fig. 6, RvD1 significantly downregulates the production of the most important inflammatory mediators playing crucial roles in RA. In fact, RvD1 given at 100 ng at days 1 and 4 significantly inhibits serum levels of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α (Fig. 6a, p < 0.01), IL-17 (Fig. 6b, p < 0.001),
Fig. 3. RvD1 inhibits hydroxyapatite matrix degradation as well as bone resorption induced by primary human monocyte-derived osteoclasts.

a. Human monocytes were incubated on a hydroxyapatite matrix. They were first treated without or with sc-siRNA or siRNA FPR2 (50 nM) for 24 h and then stimulated over 2 weeks with RANKL (50 ng/ml) and M-CSF (10 ng/ml) with or without RvD1 treatment (500 nM). Culture medium was changed every 3 days. Von Kossa staining was performed, then pit areas were observed under an inverted microscope (× 200) and measured using ImageJ software.

b. Normal mouse femoral bone explants were incubated with RANKL (50 ng/ml) and M-CSF (10 ng/ml) with or without RvD1 treatment (100 or 500 nM) over 28 days. Culture medium was changed every 3 days. Hematoxylin-eosin staining was performed, and resorption areas were observed and scored under an inverted microscope (× 200). Data are means ± SEM for n = 3, and one-way ANOVA was performed to compare the results. **p < 0.01 compared to untreated cells; *p < 0.05 compared to M-CSF/RANKL-activated cells; @p < 0.05 compared to RvD1-stimulated cells.
IL-1β (Fig. 6c, \( p < 0.01 \)), IL-6 (Fig. 6d, \( p < 0.01 \)), IFN-γ (Fig. 6e, \( p < 0.01 \)), and PGE2 (Fig. 6f, \( p < 0.01 \)) by nearly 50% compared to CAIA group. Altogether, these findings confirm our expectations that RvD1 exerts an anti-inflammatory action in arthritic mice.

**Discussion**

As a chronic autoimmune inflammatory condition, RA has been extensively studied. The well-defined and consistent impairment in all RA patients is the severe joint dysfunction, associated with synovial inflammation,
Fig. 5 (See legend on next page.)
cartilage degradation, and osteoporosis [32]. At present, even if some treatments have improved RA therapy, they are still erratic and can cause notable side effects to the patient [33]. Finding a novel therapy could potentially enhance patient quality of life.

In this perspective, we studied an alternative approach for RA therapy using RvD1. RvD1 is an important factor in the resolution phase of inflammation, with well-known pro-resolving and anti-inflammatory properties. We have demonstrated that RvD1 is higher in inflammatory conditions [24], probably in an attempt to reduce the inflammation as an endogenous mechanism. Herein, we describe promising results about the contribution of RvD1 in improving RA symptoms and support the notion that RvD1 prevents arthritic joint disorders, caused by excessive cartilage degradation and bone resorption.

We initially studied the effects of RvD1 on macrophages and osteoclasts, which are key players in promoting and expanding inflammation in RA joint tissues. Macrophages play a pivotal role in promoting and maintaining RA pathogenesis. In concert with chondrocytes and fibroblast-like synoviocytes, they drive cartilage metabolism through the release of catabolic and inflammatory factors. Here, we demonstrated in vitro that RvD1 significantly inhibited osteoclast differentiation and activation as well as the release of pro-inflammatory mediators. In contrast, IL-10, an anti-inflammatory cytokine, was upregulated. Besides, the osteoclast inhibition by
RvD1 treatment was associated with TRAP and cathepsin K inhibition. Cathepsin K actions are crucial in normal skeletal physiology [6] and have been implicated in bone and cartilage pathological degradation [34]. In fact, overexpressing cathepsin K in mouse has led to synovitis, as well as cartilage and bone destruction, similar to the manifestation of RA [35]. Otherwise, it has been established that RA serum and synovial fluid present high levels of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-17, and TNF-α, as well as cathepsin K, which triggers osteoclast differentiation and activation in the early phases of the disease [36]. Consistent with our findings, results have indicated that RvD1 reduces osteoclastogenesis through inhibition of the dendritic cell–specific transmembrane protein expression which is essential for cell fusion during osteoclastogenesis [37]. Likewise, DHA showed significant inhibitory effects on osteoclastogenesis, which was reversed by LOX inhibition, suggesting that DHA properties are essentially mediated by RvD1 [37, 38]. Similarly, Boeyens et al. indicated that RANKL-induced and DHA-treated RAW264.7 cells showed suppressed expression of cathepsin K and TRAP [39]. Moreover, Gu et al. showed that RvD1, in addition to other resolution mediators, is a strong inhibitor of pro-inflammatory cytokines produced by monocytes in response to stimulation with LPS [40], while Hsiao et al. suggested that RvD1 increased IL-10 levels in smoke-exposed mouse lungs [41].

In the present study, we also put special emphasis on the potential of RvD1 to prevent bone erosion. Our results demonstrated that RvD1 strongly inhibited hydroxyapatite matrix degradation induced by RANKL + M-CSF using human primary monocytes. Indeed, many authors have reported that TRAP and cathepsin K-positive osteoclasts are largely found in areas of pannus invasion into the bone in RA [5, 42, 43]. Bone damage arises from complex interactions involving osteoclast maturation, mainly mediated via RANKL, M-CSF, and TNF-α pathways [44]. It has been established that RvD1 and its aspirin-triggered epimer switch gene regulation profiles from M1-type pro-inflammatory macrophages into M2-type pro-resolution macrophages involved in homeostasis and bone repair [45, 46]. Hence, our findings contribute to the data dealing about the potential of RvD1 in bone protection.

Furthermore, in order to harness and clarify our findings, we focused on analyzing the involvement of FPR2/ALX receptors in RvD1 effects. Our results demonstrated that FPR2/ALX silencing inhibited RvD1 effects and led to significant osteoclast differentiation as well as important hydroxyapatite matrix erosion, suggesting that RvD1 exerts its actions in part by interacting with FPR2/ALX receptors. These findings are consistent with the literature data indicating that RvD1 actions are mediated by two GPCRs, FPR2/ALX and GPR32 [47]. In fact, Krishnamoorthy et al. have shown that in self-limited peritonitis, RvD1 treatment reduced neutrophil infiltration in human FPR2/ALX-overexpressing transgenic mice, while this effect was not observed in FPR2/ALX knockout mice [48].

In an attempt to refine the in vivo effect of RvD1, another set of experiments was conducted using CAIA mouse model. Our findings showed that daily administration of RvD1 provides its serum bioavailability that is consistent and predictable at every dose. As expected, the arthritic group displayed significant swelling and redness of paws as assessed by macroscopic clinical evaluation and also showed high levels of inflammatory mediators in mouse serum, whereas daily treatment with RvD1 reduced paw swelling and redness and limited mouse weight loss. More importantly, RvD1 strongly reduces TNF-α, IL-17, IL-6, IL-1β, IFN-γ, and PGE₂, in mouse serum. TNF-α, IL-17, and IL-6 have been shown to be closely correlated with RA activity [36], and IL-1β was involved in cartilage degradation [44].

In accordance with these effects, RvD1 reduced synovial proliferation, osteoclast recruitment, and cartilage destruction in RA mice as depicted by the histological examination. Interestingly, the protective effect of RvD1 in the cartilage and bone was confirmed by the decrease of serum levels of their respective biomarkers, namely CTX-II and CTX-I. Additional findings were obtained on bone resorption, as indicated by μ-CT analysis. Our findings support previous data on cartilage and bone metabolism. In chondrocytes, we demonstrated that RvD1 protects cartilage from degradation and preserves its homeostasis by inhibiting IL-1β-induced matrix metalloproteinase-13 production in human chondrocytes [24].

Additionally, a significant amount of evidence revealed the presence of resolvins, in addition to FPR2/ALX in RA synovial tissues [10, 49, 50]. The interest for RvD1, in general, seems not only due to its potential to neutralize inflammatory and catabolic tissue insults, but also because of increasing data showing that it has the ability to repair injured tissues and most importantly to promote their regeneration. In the context of RA, Norling et al. [10] provided promising finding on RvD1 and its aspirin-triggered epimer. They identified its remarkable efficiency to relieve arthritis symptoms in the K/BxN mouse model. Furthermore, they identified the potential of RvD1 for chondroprotection since it promotes direct cartilage anabolic responses in vitro. Their findings are highly valuable and contribute to the advancement of knowledge on RvD1 and most importantly on how it could be beneficial for RA therapy. The ultimate objective being to build as complete knowledge as possible on a very promising therapy for inflammatory osteoarticular diseases, our study aimed to expand the
knowledge by addressing other facets of RvD1 in other important hallmarks of RA. We showed that RvD1 preserves joint structure and prevents bone destruction and erosion by inhibiting osteoclast recruitment.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that RA is indeed positively affected by RvD1 and contributes to other studies clarifying the implication of RvD1 in improving signs and symptoms of RA. This lends support to the notion that RvD1 acts as a potent resolution mediator to control arthritis inflammatory manifestations and may hold promise for use as a potent agent in RA therapy.
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