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Abstract  
In this paper, we establish the Fujita type theorem for a homogeneous Neumann outer problem of the coupled quasilinear convection–diffusion equations and formulate the critical Fujita exponent. Besides, the influence of diffusion term, reaction term, and convection term on the global existence and the blow-up property of the problem is revealed. Finally, we discuss the large time behavior of the solution to the outer problem in the critical case and describe the asymptotic behavior of the solution.
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1 Introduction  
In this paper, we consider the critical Fujita exponent of the following coupled quasilinear convection–diffusion equations:

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^m + \kappa \frac{x}{|x|^2} \cdot \nabla u^m + |x|^q v^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1, t > 0, \]  

\[ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v^m + \kappa \frac{x}{|x|^2} \cdot \nabla v^m + |x|^\mu u^q, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1, t > 0, \]  

\[ \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial \nu}(x,t) = \frac{\partial v^m}{\partial \nu}(x,t), \quad x \in \partial B_1, t > 0, \]  

\[ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x,0) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1, \]  

where \( p, q > m > 1, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \geq 0, \mu = \frac{\lambda(p-m)q+2(q-p)}{p-m} \geq 0. \) In addition, \( B_1 \) denotes the unit ball in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( v \) denotes the unit inner normal vector to \( \partial B_1 \), and \( 0 \leq u_0, v_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) are nontrivial.

In 1966, the first result of the exponent of the quasilinear diffusion equation was introduced by Fujita [5]. Precisely, he investigated the Cauchy problem of the semilinear equation

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + u^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \]
and showed that the problem does not have any nontrivial global nonnegative solution if $1 < p < p_c = 1 + 2/n$, whereas there exist both nontrivial global (with small initial data) and nonglobal nonnegative (with large initial data) solutions when $p > p_c = 1 + 2/n$. Among many other results, it proved $p = p_c$ belonged to the blow-up case by Hayakawa [12], Kobayashi et al. [13], and Weissler [27]. This is what we know as the blow-up theorem of Fujita type, and $p_c$ is called the critical Fujita exponent. Early results of the Fujita type theorem can be seen in the review articles of Deng [2], Levine [15], and relevant references. In recent years, there are a lot of Fujita's results, such as [2, 3, 8, 10, 11, 14, 16–21, 24–26, 30, 31] and the references therein.

Among those works, Galaktionov et al. [6, 7] considered the critical Fujita exponent of the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^m + u^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0 \ (p, m > 1)$$

and proved that the critical Fujita exponent is $p_c = m + 2/n$. Aguirre and Escobedo [1] demonstrated the Fujita type theorem of the following convective–diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^m + b_0 \cdot \nabla u^q + u^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0,$$

where $q \geq 1$, $p > 1$, $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. They demonstrated that the critical Fujita exponent was

$$p_c = \min \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{n}, 1 + \frac{2q}{n+1} \right\}.$$

Zheng and Wang [29] studied more general nonlinear convection–diffusion systems

$$|x|^{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^m + \kappa \frac{x}{|x|^2} \cdot \nabla u^m + |x|^{\lambda_2} u^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, t > 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial u^m}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, t > 0,$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega},$$

where $p > m \geq 1$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $-2 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, $\Omega$ is the bounded area in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $B_{R_1} \subset \Omega \subset B_{R_2}$ for some $0 < R_1 \leq R_2$, and $B_R$ denotes the ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with radius $R$ and center at the origin, and $\nu$ is a unit outer normal vector to $\partial B_1$. It displayed that the critical Fujita exponent is

$$p_c = \begin{cases} m + \frac{2\lambda_2}{n \kappa + 1}, & \kappa > -n - \lambda_1, \\ +\infty, & \kappa \leq -n - \lambda_1. \end{cases}$$

Following from a lot of results, it shows that critical Fujita exponent of a single equation is usually a constant, while the critical Fujita exponent of the coupled equations is usually a curve which is called the critical Fujita curve. In 1991, Escobedo and Herrero [4] investigated the following coupled systems:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + v^p, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v + u^q, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0,$$
where \( p, q > 0 \), and showed that the Fujita curve is

\[
(pq)_c = 1 + \frac{2}{n} \max\{p + 1, q + 1\}.
\]

In [22], the authors studied the following Newtonian filtration system:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^m + v^p, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v^m + u^q, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0,
\]

where \( 0 < m < 1, p, q \geq 1 \), and \( pq > 1 \). It was proved that the critical Fujita curve is

\[
(pq)_c = m^2 + \frac{2}{n} \max\{p + m, q + m\}.
\]

In [9], the authors studied the Fujita type theorem for the outer problem of the following coupled nonlinear diffusion equations with convective terms:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^m + \frac{x}{|x|^2} \cdot \nabla u + |x|^{2+\lambda} v^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \overline{B}_1, t > 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v^m + \frac{x}{|x|^2} \cdot \nabla v + |x|^{2+\lambda} u^q, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \overline{B}_1, t > 0,
\]

and obtained

\[
(pq)_c = \begin{cases} 
1 + \frac{\max\{2(2+\lambda)+2(2+\lambda)+2(2+\lambda)\}}{n+1}, & \kappa > -n, \\
\infty, & \kappa \leq -n.
\end{cases}
\]

In this paper, we prove that the critical Fujita exponent is

\[
p_c = \begin{cases} 
m + \frac{2+2}{n+\kappa}, & \kappa > -n, \\
\infty, & \kappa \leq -n.
\end{cases}
\]

The main attention of this paper is to prove the global existence and blow-up properties of solutions. For the global existence of the problem solution, we use the method of constructing the self-similar solution and the comparison principle to prove our conclusion. For the blow-up properties of solutions, we adopt the integral estimation method. It is noted that when discussing the global existence of solutions, we construct the self-similar upper solution to the system. In order to let the self-similar solutions have the same compact supported set, we introduce the perturbation term \((|x| + 1)^\mu\). But the disturbance term has a negative impact on our results, which is the problem we need to solve.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we state some definitions and some theorems. Then, several useful auxiliary lemmas are given. In Sect. 4, we derive a Fujita type theorem for problem (1)–(4). At last, we study the asymptotic behavior of the solution to problem (1)–(4) in the critical case.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the definition of the solutions to problem (1)–(4) that will be useful for the rest of the paper.
**Definition 2.1** Let \(0 < T \leq +\infty\). A pair of nonnegative functions \((u, v)\) is called a super (sub) solution to problem (1)–(4) in \((0, T)\) if

\[
u, v \in C([0, T), L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)),
\]

and the following integral inequalities

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(x, t) \, dx \, dt &+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \Delta \psi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \\
&- \kappa \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \nabla u^{m}(x, t) \cdot \nabla \psi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \\
&+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} |x|^2 \nabla v^{m}(x, t) \cdot \nabla \psi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \\
&\leq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

are fulfilled for any \(0 \leq \varphi, \psi \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])\) vanishing when \(t\) is near \(T\) or \(|x|\) is sufficiently large. \((u, v)\) is called a solution to problem (1)–(4) in \((0, T)\) if it is both a supersolution and a subsolution.

**Definition 2.2** A solution \((u, v)\) to problem (1)–(4) is said to blow up in a finite time \(0 < T < +\infty\) if

\[
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1)} + \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1)} \to +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad t \to T^-,
\]

which \(T\) is called the blow-up time. Otherwise, \((u, v)\) is said to be global.

The following existence theorem and the comparison principle to problem (1)–(4) play an important role in proving our main results.

**Theorem 2.1** (Local existence) When \(0 \leq u_0, v_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\), the Cauchy problem (1)–(4) admits at least one solution locally in time.
Theorem 2.2 (Comparison principle) For $0 < T \leq +\infty$, assume that $(u^*, v^*)$ and $(u^{**}, v^{**})$ are two solutions to system (1) and (2) with nonnegative initial data $u_0^*(x)$, $v_0^*(x)$ and $u_0^{**}(x)$, $v_0^{**}(x)$ in $(0, T)$, respectively. If $(u_0^*(x), v_0^*(x)) \leq (u_0^{**}(x), v_0^{**}(x))$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then $(u^*, v^*) \leq (u^{**}, v^{**})$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$.

The proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 are the same as the one in [23, 25, 28] and are omitted here.

3 Auxiliary lemmas

In order to research the blow-up property of solutions to problem (1)–(4), we need the following auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 Assume that $(u, v)$ is a solution to problem (1)–(4). Then there exists $R_0 > 0$ depending only on $n$ and $\kappa$ such that, for any $l > R_0$,

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} u(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \geq -C_0 r^{-2} \int_{B(l) \setminus B_l} u^m(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^\delta u^\delta(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx, \tag{7}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} v(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \geq -C_0 r^{-2} \int_{B(l) \setminus B_l} v^m(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^\delta v^\delta(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx, \tag{8}
\]

where

\[
\delta = \begin{cases} 2, & n + \kappa - 1 \leq 0, \\ \frac{\pi}{n + \kappa - 1} + 1, & n + \kappa - 1 > 0, \end{cases} \quad C_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{(\delta - 1)^2},
\]

and

\[
\psi_l(r) = \begin{cases} r^\kappa, & 1 \leq r \leq l, \\ \frac{1}{2} r^\kappa (1 + \cos \frac{(r-l)\pi}{(\delta-1)l}), & l < r < \delta l, \\ 0, & r \geq \delta l. \end{cases}
\]

Proof It follows from Definition 2.1 that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} u(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx = \int_{B(l) \setminus B_l} u^m(x, t) \left( \Delta \psi_l(|x|) - \kappa \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^2} \psi_l(|x|) \right) \right) \, dx \\
- \int_{\partial B_l} u^m(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial \psi_l(|x|)}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\kappa}{|x|^2} \psi_l(|x|) \cdot \nu \right) \, d\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} v^\delta(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \\
= \int_{B(l) \setminus B_l} u^m(x, t) \left( \Delta \psi_l(|x|) - \kappa \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^2} \psi_l(|x|) \right) \right) \, dx
\]
\[ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} v^p(x,t)\psi_I(|x|) \, dx, \quad t > 0, \tag{9} \]

where \( \psi_I(r) \in C^1([0, +\infty)) \) satisfies \( \psi_I(0) = 0 \) and
\[
\frac{\partial \psi_I(|x|)}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\kappa}{|x|^2} \psi_I(|x|) \cdot \nu = 0, \quad x \in \partial B_1.
\]

For \( 0 \leq |x| \leq l \), it is easily verified that
\[
\Delta \psi_I(|x|) - \kappa \text{div} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^2} \psi_I(|x|) x \right)
\]
\[
= \psi''_I(|x|) + \frac{n - \kappa - 1}{|x|} \psi'_I(|x|) - \kappa \frac{n - 2}{|x|^2} \psi_I(|x|) = 0. \tag{10} \]

While for \( l \leq |x| \leq \delta l \), a direct calculation gives
\[
\Delta \psi_I(|x|) - \kappa \text{div} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^2} \psi_I(|x|) x \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} (\delta - 1)^{-1} \pi (n + \kappa - 1) l^{n-1} |x|^{n-1} \sin \left( \frac{|x| - l \pi}{\delta - 1} \right)
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} (\delta - 1)^{-2} \pi^2 l^2 |x|^n \cos \left( \frac{|x| - l \pi}{\delta - 1} \right).
\]

If \( n + \kappa - 1 \leq 0 \), one gets
\[
\Delta \psi_I(|x|) - \kappa \text{div} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^2} \psi_I(|x|) x \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} (\delta - 1)^{-2} \pi^2 l^2 |x|^n \cos \left( \frac{|x| - l \pi}{\delta - 1} \right)
\]
\[
\geq \frac{1}{2} (\delta - 1)^{-2} \pi^2 l^2 \psi_I(|x|). \tag{11} \]

If \( n + \kappa - 1 > 0 \), we have
\[
\Delta \psi_I(|x|) - \kappa \text{div} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^2} \psi_I(|x|) x \right)
\]
\[
\geq - \frac{1}{2} (\delta - 1)^{-2} \pi^2 l^2 |x|^n \sin \left( \frac{|x| - l \pi}{\delta - 1} \right)
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} (\delta - 1)^{-2} \pi^2 l^2 |x|^n \cos \left( \frac{|x| - l \pi}{\delta - 1} \right)
\]
\[
\geq - \frac{1}{2} (\delta - 1)^{-2} \pi^2 l^2 \psi_I(|x|). \tag{12} \]

By (9)–(12), we obtain (7). Similarly, one can prove that (8) holds. \( \square \)

To prove the existence of a nontrivial global solution to problem (1)–(4), we introduce the following form of self-similar supersolutions to system (1) and (2):
\[
u(x,t) = (t + 1)^{-\alpha} U((t + 1)^{-\beta}|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1, t \geq 0, \tag{13} \]
\[
u(x,t) = (t + 1)^{-\alpha} V((t + 1)^{-\beta}|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1, t \geq 0, \tag{14} \]

where
\[
\alpha = \frac{\lambda + 2}{\lambda (m - 1) + 2(p - 1)}, \quad \beta = \frac{(p - m)\alpha}{\lambda + 2}.
\]
By a simple calculation, we show that
\[
(U^m)^\nu(r) + \frac{n + \kappa - 1}{r} (U^m)'(r) + \beta r U'(r) + \alpha U(r) + r^\mu V^p(r) \leq 0, 
\]
(15)
\[
(V^m)^\nu(r) + \frac{n + \kappa - 1}{r} (V^m)'(r) + \beta r V'(r) + \alpha V(r) + r^\mu V^q(r) \leq 0, 
\]
(16)
for any \( r > 0 \). Then the self-similar function \((u, v)\) with the structure (13)–(14) is a supersolution to (1) and (2).

**Lemma 3.2** Assume that \( m > 1, \kappa > -n, p > p_c \) and set
\[
U(r) = V(r) = \left( \eta - Ar^2 \right)^{1/(m-1)}, \quad r \geq 0, 
\]
where \( s_* = \max\{0, s\}, \eta > 0, \) and
\[
A = \frac{(m-1)(p-m)\alpha}{m(n+\kappa)(p+p_c-2m)}. 
\]
Then there exists sufficiently small \( \eta > 0 \) such that \((u, v)\) given by (13), (14), and (17) is a supersolution to system (1) and (2).

**Proof** It is clear that \( U^m \) and \( V^m \) satisfy (15) and (16) when \( r \geq (\eta/A)^{1/2} \). For \( 0 < r < (\eta/A)^{1/2} \), a simple computation can obtain
\[
(U^m)^\nu(r) + \frac{n + \kappa - 1}{r} (U^m)'(r) + \beta r U'(r) + \alpha U(r) = \left( \frac{2A}{m-1} \frac{2Am}{m-1} - \beta \right) U^{1-m}(r) + \left( \alpha - \frac{2Am(n + \kappa)}{m-1} \right) U(r) 
\]
and
\[
(V^m)^\nu(r) + \frac{n + \kappa - 1}{r} (V^m)'(r) + \beta r V'(r) + \alpha V(r) = \left( \frac{2A}{m-1} \frac{2Am}{m-1} - \beta \right) V^{1-m}(r) + \left( \alpha - \frac{2Am(n + \kappa)}{m-1} \right) V(r). 
\]
Due to \( \frac{2Am}{m-1} < \beta \), there exists sufficiently small \( \eta_1 > 0 \) such that, for \( 0 < \eta < \eta_1 \),
\[
(U^m)^\nu(r) + \frac{n + \kappa - 1}{r} (U^m)'(r) + \beta r U'(r) + \alpha U(r) < \frac{(p-p_c)\alpha U(r)}{2(p+p_c-2m)}, 
\]
(18)
\[
(V^m)^\nu(r) + \frac{n + \kappa - 1}{r} (V^m)'(r) + \beta r V'(r) + \alpha V(r) < \frac{(p-p_c)\alpha V(r)}{2(p+p_c-2m)}. 
\]
(19)
Then, due to \( \lambda, \mu > 0 \) and the definition of \( U, V \), there exists \( \eta_2 > 0 \) such that, for any \( 0 < \eta < \eta_2 \),
\[
r^\mu U^{q-1}(r) \leq A^{-\mu/2} \eta^{(q-1)/(m-1)+\mu/2} < \frac{(p-p_c)\alpha}{2(p+p_c-2m)}, \quad 0 < r < \left( \frac{\eta}{A} \right)^{1/2}, 
\]
\[
r^\lambda V^{p-1}(r) \leq A^{-\lambda/2} \eta^{(p-1)/(m-1)+\lambda/2} < \frac{(p-p_c)\alpha}{2(p+p_c-2m)}, \quad 0 < r < \left( \frac{\eta}{A} \right)^{1/2}. 
\]
Combining the above inequations with (18) and (19), we can see that for sufficiently small $0 < \eta_2 < \eta_1$ and $0 < \eta < \eta_2 < \eta_1$, one gets (15) and (16). Thus, $(u, v)$ given by (13), (14), and (17) is a supersolution of system (1) and (2).

\[ \square \]

4 Blow-up theorems of Fujita type

In this section, we establish the blow-up theorems of Fujita type for problem (1)–(4). First, we consider the case $\kappa \leq -n$.

Theorem 4.1 Assume that $p, q > m$, $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$, $\kappa \leq -n$, and $0 \leq u_0, v_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1)$ are nontrivial, the solution to problem (1)–(4) blows up in a finite time.

Proof Let $(u, v)$ be the solution to problem (1)–(4). Denote

\[ w_l(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{20} \]

For any $l > R_0$, Lemma 3.1 shows that

\[ \frac{d}{dt} w_l(t) \geq - \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} u^m(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx + \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} |x|^\kappa u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \]

\[ - \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} v^m(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx + \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} |x|^\kappa v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx. \tag{21} \]

The Hölder inequality leads to

\[ \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} u^m(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \]

\[ \leq C_1 l^{-m \kappa - m(n+\kappa+\mu)/q} \left( \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} |x|^\mu u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m/q}, \tag{22} \]

\[ \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} v^m(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \]

\[ \leq C_1 l^{-m \kappa - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)/p} \left( \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} |x|^\mu v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m/p}, \tag{23} \]

where $C_1 > 0$ is a positive constant independent of $l$. Substituting (22) and (23) into (21) shows that

\[ \frac{d}{dt} w_l(t) \geq \left( \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} |x|^\mu u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m/q} \left( \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} |x|^\mu u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{(q-m)/q} \]

\[ - C_0 C_1 l^{-2+2+\kappa - m(n+\kappa+\mu)/q} \]

\[ + \left( \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} |x|^\lambda v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m/p} \left( \int_{B_1 \setminus B_l} |x|^\lambda v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{(p-m)/p} \]

\[ - C_0 C_1 l^{-2+2+\kappa - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)/p} \tag{24} \]
Owing to the Hölder inequality, for any $t > 0$, we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} u(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \\
\leq \left( \int_{B_l \setminus B_1} |x|^{-\mu/(q-1)} \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{(q-1)/q} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} |x|^\mu u^q(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{1/q},
\]
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} v(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \\
\leq \left( \int_{B_l \setminus B_1} |x|^{-\lambda/(p-1)} \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} |x|^\lambda v^p(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{1/p},
\]
which imply
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^\mu u^q(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \geq \begin{cases} 
C_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{p(n+\kappa-q(n+\kappa)} & \text{if } A(q, \mu) < 0, \\
C_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{(\ln t)^{-1}}, & \text{if } A(q, \mu) = 0, \\
C_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{\mu}, & \text{if } A(q, \mu) > 0,
\end{cases}
\]
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^\lambda v^p(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \geq \begin{cases} 
C_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} v(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{p(n+\lambda)-p(n+\kappa)} & \text{if } A(p, \lambda) < 0, \\
C_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} v(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{(\ln t)^{-1}}, & \text{if } A(p, \lambda) = 0, \\
C_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} v(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{p}, & \text{if } A(p, \lambda) > 0,
\end{cases}
\]
where $C_2 > 0$ is a positive constant independent of $l$ and $A(q, \mu) = n + \kappa + \mu - q(n+\kappa)$, $A(p, \lambda) = n + \kappa + \lambda - p(n+\kappa)$. Here, it should be pointed out that the above discussion only requires $p, q > m$.

Due to $\kappa \leq -n$, it is easy to verify that $A(q, \mu) > 0, A(p, \lambda) > 0$. From (24)–(26),
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| v(t) \|_{L^q} \\
\geq C_2^{m/q} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} u(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{q-m} \\
- C_0 C_1 l^{-2n+\kappa+m(n+\kappa+\mu)/q} \\
+ C_2^{m/p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} v(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} v(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{p-m} \\
- C_0 C_1 l^{-2n+\kappa-m(n+\kappa+\lambda)/p}.
\]

For sufficiently large $l_i > 1$, and note that $-2 + n + \kappa - m(n+\kappa+\mu)/q < 0, -2 + n + \kappa - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)/p < 0$, one can get
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| v(t) \|_{L^q} \\
\geq C_2^{m/q} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} u(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m}
\]
Obviously, $\psi(x, t) = B_{2l_1}$. Then one gets
\[
\left\| u(x, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1)} + \left\| v(x, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1)} \rightarrow +\infty, \quad t \rightarrow T^-. 
\]
That is to say, $(u, v)$ blows up in a finite time. \hfill \square

Next, we discuss the case $\kappa > -n$.

**Theorem 4.2** Assume that $p, q > m > 1$, $\lambda, \mu > 0$, $\kappa > -n$, and $0 \leq u_0, v_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1)$ are nontrivial. Then, for $p < p_c$, any nontrivial solution to problem (1)–(4) blows up in a finite time.

**Proof** Let $(u, v)$ be a nontrivial solution to problem (1)–(4). Set
\[
w_l(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} (u(x, t) + lv(x, t)) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{28}
\]
where $\theta$ is a constant determined below. According to Lemma 3.1, for any $l > R_0$,
\[
\frac{d}{dt} w_l(t)
\]
\[
\geq -C_0 t^{-2} \int_{B_{2l} \setminus B_l} u^m(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx + lk^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} |x|^\mu u^p(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx
\]
\[
- C_0 t^{-2 + \theta} \int_{B_{2l} \setminus B_l} v^n(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} |x|^\lambda v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx. \tag{29}
\]
Substituting (22) and (23) into (29) shows that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} w_l(t)
\]
\[
\geq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} |x|^\mu u^p(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m/q}
\]
By a simple calculation,

\[ \frac{\dd w_l(t)}{\dd t} \geq \left( -C_0 C_4 m(\theta) w_l^m(t) + C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_1(|x|) \, \dd x \right)^q + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \lambda^\theta \nu(x, t) \psi_1(|x|) \, \dd x \right)^p \]

where \( C_4 = \max\{c_2^m/p, c_2^m/q\} > 0 \), and

\[ m(\theta) = \max\{1, 2(1 - m)(n + \kappa) - 2 - (m - 1)\} \]

Set

\[ \theta = \frac{q - p}{p + 1} \left( n + \kappa - \frac{\lambda + 2}{p - m} \right), \]

which implies that

\[ -p(n + \kappa) + n + \kappa + \lambda - p\theta = -(n + \kappa) + n + \kappa + \mu + \theta = \Theta, \]

namely,

\[ \Theta = \left( \frac{-p^2 q + pqm + p - m - m(n + \kappa) + (\lambda + 2)(pq - p^2)}{(p + 1)(p - m)} \right) + \lambda. \]

By a simple calculation,

\[ \frac{\dd w_l(t)}{\dd t} \geq \left( -C_0 C_4 m(\theta) w_l^m(t) + C_2 \Theta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_1(|x|) \, \dd x \right)^q + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \lambda^\theta \nu(x, t) \psi_1(|x|) \, \dd x \right)^p \right) \]

Note that if \( p < p_c \), then \( m(\theta) < \Theta \). Further, \( w_l(0) \) is nondecreasing with respect to \( l \in (0, +\infty) \) and

\[ \sup\{w_l(0) : l \in (0, +\infty)\} > 0. \]
Then there exists sufficiently large $l_2 > 1$ such that
\[ C_0 C_2^{m^0(0)} \leq 2^{-(p + q + 1)} C_2^{\theta^0} \cdot \min \{ w_{l_2}^{p-m}(0), w_{l_2}^{q}(0) \}. \] (33)

Combining (32) with (33), we get
\[
\frac{dw_{l_2}(t)}{dt} \geq 2^{-(p + q + 1)} C_2^{\theta^0} \cdot \min \{ w_{l_2}^{p}(t), w_{l_2}^{q}(t) \}.
\]

Just like the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can obtain that $(u, \nu)$ blows up in a finite time.
For $A(q, \mu) = 0$, $A(p, \lambda) < 0$, we set $\theta = 0$. It follows from (25), (26), and (30) that
\[
\frac{d\nu(t)}{dt} \geq \left( C_2 (\ln l)^{-(q-1)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_1(|x|) \, dx \right)^{q/m} \right)^{m/q} \times \left( C_2^{\theta^0} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_1(|x|) \, dx \right)^{q-m} \right) - C_0 C_4^{p \omega - 2 - m(n + \mu + \lambda)/q} \]
\[ + \left( C_2^{-p(n + \sigma) + n + \lambda} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} v(x, t) \psi_1(|x|) \, dx \right)^{p/m} \right) \times \left( C_2^{\theta^0} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} v(x, t) \psi_1(|x|) \, dx \right)^{p-m} \right) - C_0 C_4^{p \omega - 2 - m(n + \lambda)/p}. \] (34)

Here
\[
n + \sigma - 2 - m(n + \mu + \lambda)/q < 0, \]
\[
n + \sigma - 2 - m(n + \mu + \lambda)/p < (\omega p(n + \sigma) + n + \lambda)(p - m)/p.
\]

Then there exists sufficiently large $l_3$ such that
\[
\frac{dw_{l_3}(t)}{dt} \geq C_2^{m^0(q)} (\ln l_3)^{m^1(1-q)/q} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_{l_3}(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m} \times \frac{1}{2} C_2^{\theta^0} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_{l_3}(|x|) \, dx \right)^{q-m} \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} v(x, t) \psi_{l_3}(|x|) \, dx \right)^{p-m} \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} v(x, t) \psi_{l_3}(|x|) \, dx \right)^{p-m} \geq C_0 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} u(x, t) \psi_{l_3}(|x|) \, dx \right)^{q} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} v(x, t) \psi_{l_3}(|x|) \, dx \right)^{p}
\]
\[ \geq 2^{-(p+q)} C_5 \cdot \min \{ w^p_{13}(t), w^q_{13}(t) \}, \]

where \( C_5 > 0 \) is a positive constant depending only on \( I_3 \). Therefore, we can obtain that \((u, v)\) blows up in a finite time by a similar proof process of Theorem 4.1.

For other cases, select \( \theta = 0 \). By the similar argument as \( A(q, \mu) = 0, A(p, \lambda) < 0 \), we can also prove that any nontrivial solution blows up in a finite time. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.3** Assume that \( p, q > m > 1, \lambda, \mu > 0, k > -n, \) and \( 0 \leq \mu_0, v_0 \in C_0(R^n \setminus B_1) \) are nontrivial. Then, if \( p > p_c \), there exist both nontrivial global and blow-up solutions to problem (1)–(4).

**Proof** The comparison principle and Lemma 3.1 can prove the existence of the nontrivial global solution to problem (1)–(4) with sufficiently small initial value. Next, we study the blow-up solution to problem (1)–(4) when the initial value is sufficiently large.

For \( l > 1 \) and \((u, v)\) is the solution to problem (1)–(4), set

\[ \tilde{w}_l(t) = \int_{R^n \setminus B_1} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx, \quad t \geq 0. \]

According to the Hölder inequality and (30), we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{w}_l(t) \geq \left( \int_{R^n \setminus B_1} |x|^\mu \, u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{\frac{m}{q}} \left( \int_{R^n \setminus B_1} |x|^\mu \, u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-m}{q}} \\
- C_0 C_1 l^{-2s+nk-m(n+k+\mu)/q} \\
+ \left( \int_{R^n \setminus B_1} |x|^\mu \, v^p(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{\frac{m}{p}} \left( \int_{R^n \setminus B_1} |x|^\mu \, v^p(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{\frac{(p-m)/p}{q}} \\
- C_0 C_1 l^{-2s+nk-m(n+k+\lambda)/p} \\
\geq \tilde{w}_l^m(t) \left( -C_0 C_1 C_6 + 2^{-(p+q)} C_7 \cdot \min \{ \tilde{w}_l^{p-m}(t), \tilde{w}_l^{q-m}(t) \} \right), \tag{35} \]

where

\[
C_6 = \max \left\{ l^{2s+nk-m(n+k+\mu)/q} \left( \int_{R^n \setminus B_1} |x|^{-\mu/(q-1)} \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{1-q} \frac{m}{q}, \right. \\
l^{-2s+nk-m(n+k+\lambda)/p} \left( \int_{R^n \setminus B_1} |x|^{-\lambda/(p-1)} \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{1-p} \frac{m}{p} \}
\]

\[
C_7 = \min \left\{ \left( \int_{R^n \setminus B_1} |x|^{\mu/(1-q)} \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{1-q}, \left( \int_{R^n \setminus B_1} |x|^{\lambda/(1-p)} \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{1-p} \right\}. \]

If \((u_0, v_0)\) is so large that

\[
C_0 C_1 C_6 \leq 2^{-(p+q+1)} C_7 \cdot \min \{ \tilde{w}_l^{p-m}(0), \tilde{w}_l^{q-m}(0) \},
\]
then (35) leads to
\[
\frac{d\tilde{w}_l(t)}{dt} \geq 2^{-(p+q+1)} C_2 \cdot \min\{\tilde{w}_l^p(t), \tilde{w}_l^q(t)\}, \quad t > 0.
\]

By a similar argument in the proof of Theorem 4.1, one can show that \((u, v)\) blows up in a finite time. \qed

5 The critical case

In this section, we consider the critical case
\[
p = p_c = m + \frac{2 + \lambda}{n + \kappa}.
\]

Obviously, we can prove that (29), (32) still hold, and
\[
n + \kappa + \mu - q(n + \kappa) = n + \kappa + \lambda - p_c(n + \kappa) = (1 - m)(n + \kappa) - 2.
\]

The result of the critical case is based on the following three lemmas.

Lemma 5.1 Assume that \((u, v)\) is a nontrivial global solution to problem (1)–(4) with \(p = p_c\), then there exists \(M_0 > 0\) independent of \(t\) such that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} (u(x, t) + v(x, t))|x|^\kappa \, dx \leq M_0, \quad t > 0.
\]

Proof For any sufficiently large \(l > 1\), it follows from (32) that
\[
\frac{dw_l(t)}{dt} \geq w_l^p(t)l^{-(m-1)(n+\kappa)-2} \left(-C_0 C_4 \cdot \min\{w_l^{p-\kappa}(t), w_l^{q-\kappa}(t)\}\right),
\]
where \(w_l\) is defined by (28) with \(\theta = 0\). Similar to the end of the proof of Theorem 4.1, there exists some \(l_3 > 1\) such that, for any \(l > l_3,\)
\[
2^{-(p_c - q + 1)} C_2 \cdot \min\{w_l^{p-\kappa}(t), w_l^{q-\kappa}(t)\} \leq C_0 C_4,
\]
which implies
\[
w_l(t) \leq \max\left\{|(C_0 C_4 C_2^{-1} 2^{p_c - q + 1})^{1/(p_c - m)}, (C_0 C_4 C_2^{-1} 2^{p_c - q + 1})^{1/(q - m)}\right\}.
\]
Let \(l \to +\infty\) in the above inequality, then we can obtain (38). \qed

Lemma 5.2 Under the assumption of Lemma 5.1, there exist three positive constants \(M_1, M_2, M_3 > 0\) independent of \(l\) and \(t\) such that, for any sufficiently large \(l > 1,\)
\[
\frac{dw_l(t)}{dt} \geq M_l^{m-\tau} l^{1-m(n+\kappa)-2} w_l^{p_c-\tau}(t)
\]
\[
\times \left(-M_2 \left(\int_{B_{l2}} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx\right)^\tau\right)
\]
where
\[
0 < \tau < \min \left\{ \frac{p_c - m}{p_c - 1}, \frac{q - m}{q - 1} \right\}.
\]

**Proof** It is easy to verify that
\[
\begin{align*}
n + \kappa - 2 - m(n + \kappa + \mu)/q + \tau \left( \mu - (q - 1)(n + \kappa) \right)/q \\
= \left( (1 - q)(n + \kappa + \mu)/q - m + \tau \right)/q,
\end{align*}
\]
(40)
\[
\begin{align*}
n + \kappa - 2 - m(n + \kappa + \lambda)/p_c + \tau \left( \lambda - (p_c - 1)(n + \kappa) \right)/p_c \\
= \left( (1 - p_c)(n + \kappa + \lambda)/p_c - m + \tau \right)/p_c.
\end{align*}
\]
(41)

For any sufficiently large \( l > 1 \), it follows from the Hölder inequality that
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{l^\theta}\setminus B_l} u^m(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx &\leq \left( \int_{B_{l^\theta}\setminus B_l} |x|^{-(m-\tau)\mu}/q \, dx \right)^{(q-m)(q-1)\tau}/q \\
&\times \left( \int_{B_{l^\theta}\setminus B_l} \nu^\theta(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m(\tau-q)}/q \\
&\leq C_d^l \left( \int_{B_{l^\theta}\setminus B_l} \nu^\theta(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m(\tau-q)}/q,
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{l^\theta}\setminus B_l} v^n(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx &\leq \left( \int_{B_{l^\theta}\setminus B_l} |x|^{-(m-\tau)\lambda}/p_c \, dx \right)^{(p_c-m)(p_c-1)\tau}/p_c \\
&\times \left( \int_{B_{l^\theta}\setminus B_l} \psi^\lambda(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m(\tau-q)}/p_c \\
&\leq C_d^l \left( \int_{B_{l^\theta}\setminus B_l} \psi^\lambda(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m(\tau-q)}/p_c,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( C_d > 0 \) is a constant independent of \( l \). Substituting the above two inequalities into (29) with \( \theta = 0 \), it follows from (25), (26), (37), (40), and (41) that
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} w(t) &\geq -C_0 C_d l^{-\kappa} l^{-2} (M_1 w(t))^{m-\tau} \left( \int_{B_{l^\theta}\setminus B_l} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{\tau} \\
&+ 2^{-\kappa q} C_d^l \left( \int_{B_{l^\theta}\setminus B_l} w^\theta(x, t) \psi_t(|x|) \, dx \right)^{\tau} \cdot \min \left\{ \nu^\theta(x, t), \nu^\theta(x, t) \right\},
\end{align*}
\]
(39)
Lemma 5.3 Under the assumption of Lemma 5.1, there exists a constant $M_4 > 0$ independent of $l$ and $t$ such that, for any sufficiently large $l > 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \geq -M_4 l^d (n + \kappa - 2 - m(n + \kappa + \lambda))(q - m).$$

Proof Owing to the Hölder inequality, one obtains

$$\int_{B_{2l} \setminus B_l} u^m(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \leq \left( \int_{B_{2l} \setminus B_l} |x|^{m/q} \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{(q-m)/q} \left( \int_{B_{2l} \setminus B_l} |x|^m u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m/q},$$

$$\int_{B_{2l} \setminus B_l} v^m(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \leq \left( \int_{B_{2l} \setminus B_l} |x|^{m/q} \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{(q-m)/q} \left( \int_{B_{2l} \setminus B_l} |x|^m v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m/q},$$

where $C_0 > 0$, independent of $l$. Substitute the above results into (29) and

$$\frac{q(n + \kappa - 2) - m(n + \kappa + \mu)}{q - m} = \frac{p_c(n + \kappa - 2) - m(n + \kappa + \lambda)}{p_c - m},$$

then it follows from the Young inequality that

$$\frac{d}{dt} w_l(t) \geq -C_0 C_1 l^d (n + \kappa + \lambda)(q - m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^m u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \left( \int_{B_{2l} \setminus B_l} |x|^m u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m/q}$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^m u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx$$

$$- C_0 C_1 l^d (n + \kappa + \lambda)(p_c - m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^m v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \left( \int_{B_{2l} \setminus B_l} |x|^m v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx \right)^{m/p_c}$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^m v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx$$

$$\geq -\frac{m}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^m u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^m u^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx$$

$$- \frac{q - m}{q} (C_0 C_1)^{p_c/(q - m)} l^d (n + \kappa + \lambda)/(q - m)$$

$$- \frac{m}{p_c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^m v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_l} |x|^m v^q(x, t) \psi_l(|x|) \, dx.$$
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\[ w_l \] monotonicity of

We prove the theorem by contradiction. Assume that (Proof

where

Let \( l \) be a positive constant. From Lemma 5.3, for any \( 0 < l \), we have

\[
\int_{B_l} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) \psi_{\delta/2}(|x|) \, dx \\
\geq M_4 (l_0/\delta) \int_{B_l} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) \psi_{\delta/2}(|x|) \, dx \\
- M_4 (l_0/\delta) \left( \frac{p}{(n+\kappa-2) - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)} \right) (p - m)(s - t_0) \\
\geq \Lambda - \epsilon - M_4 (l_0/\delta) \left( \frac{p}{(n+\kappa-2) - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)} \right) (p - m)(s - t_0),
\]

which yields that

\[
\int_{B_l} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) \psi_{\delta/2}(|x|) \, dx \\
\leq \int_{B_l} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) |x|^\epsilon \, dx - \int_{B_l} (u(x, s) + v(x, s)) \psi_{\delta/2}(|x|) \, dx \\
\leq \epsilon + M_4 (l_0/\delta) \left( \frac{p}{(n+\kappa-2) - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)} \right) (p - m)(s - t_0),
\]

Let \( l = l_0 \) in (39), from the above inequality, one gets that

\[
\frac{d w_{l_0}(t)}{dt} \geq M_1 l_0^{1-m} \left( \frac{1}{(n+\kappa-2) - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)} \right) w_{l_0}^{m-\epsilon}(t)
\]

Now we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1** Assume that \( \kappa > -n \). Then any nontrivial solution to problem (1)–(4) with \( p = p_c \) blows up in a finite time.

**Proof** We prove the theorem by contradiction. Assume that \((u, v)\) is a nontrivial global solution to problem (1)–(4) with \( p = p_c \). Set

\[
\Lambda = \sup_{t \geq t_0} w_l(t) = \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{B_l} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) |x|^\epsilon \, dx.
\]

(43)

It follows from (38) and the nontriviality of \((u, v)\) that \( 0 < \Lambda < +\infty \). Owing to (43) and the monotonicity of \( w_l(t) \) with respect to \( l \in (0, +\infty) \), there exist \( l_0 > 1 \) and \( t_0 > 0 \) such that, for any \( 0 < \epsilon < \Lambda \),

\[
w_{l_0}(t_0) \geq \Lambda - \epsilon.
\]

From Lemma 5.3, for \( s \geq t_0 \), we obtain

\[
\int_{B_{l_0}} (u(x, s) + v(x, s)) \psi_{\delta/2}(|x|) \, dx \\
\geq M_4 (l_0/\delta) \left( \frac{p}{(n+\kappa-2) - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)} \right) (p - m)(s - t_0) \\
\geq \Lambda - \epsilon - M_4 (l_0/\delta) \left( \frac{p}{(n+\kappa-2) - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)} \right) (p - m)(s - t_0),
\]

\[
\geq \Lambda - \epsilon - M_4 (l_0/\delta) \left( \frac{p}{(n+\kappa-2) - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)} \right) (p - m)(s - t_0),
\]

which yields that

\[
\int_{B_{l_0}} (u(x, s) + v(x, s)) \psi_{\delta/2}(|x|) \, dx \\
\leq \int_{B_{l_0}} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) |x|^\epsilon \, dx - \int_{B_{l_0}} (u(x, s) + v(x, s)) \psi_{\delta/2}(|x|) \, dx \\
\leq \epsilon + M_4 (l_0/\delta) \left( \frac{p}{(n+\kappa-2) - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)} \right) (p - m)(s - t_0),
\]

Let \( l = l_0 \) in (39), from the above inequality, one gets that

\[
\frac{d w_{l_0}(t)}{dt} \geq M_1 l_0^{1-m} \left( \frac{1}{(n+\kappa-2) - m(n+\kappa+\lambda)} \right) w_{l_0}^{m-\epsilon}(t)
\]
\[ \times \left( -M_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) \psi_0 \, dx \right)^\tau + M_1^{-(m-\tau)} M_3 \cdot \min \{ w_0^{p_{c-m+\tau}}(t), w_0^{q-m+\tau}(t) \} \right) \]

\[ \geq M_1^{m-\tau} I_0^{1-m(n+\kappa) - 2} w_0^{m-\tau}(t) \]

\[ \times \left( -M_2 \left( \varepsilon + M_4 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\delta m(n+\kappa - 2) + m(n+\kappa + \lambda)} / \left( p_{c-m} \right) (s - t_0) \right)^\tau + M_1^{-(m-\tau)} M_3 \cdot \min \{ w_0^{p_{c-m+\tau}}(t), w_0^{q-m+\tau}(t) \} \right). \]

Take \( \varepsilon_0 \in (0, \Lambda) \) and \( M_5 > 0 \) to get

\[ M_2(\varepsilon_0 + M_5)^\tau \leq \frac{1}{2} M_1^{-(m-\tau)} M_3 \cdot \min \{ (\Lambda - \varepsilon)^{p_{c-m+\tau}}(t), (\Lambda - \varepsilon)^{q-m+\tau}(t) \}, \]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) and \( M_5 \) are independent of \( I_0 \), \( 0 < \tau < \min \{ \frac{p_{c-m}}{\lambda_1 - 1}, \frac{q-m}{\delta - 1} \} \). Then we obtain

\[ \frac{d w_0(t)}{dt} \geq \frac{1}{2} M_3 \varepsilon_0^{1-m(n+\kappa) - 2} \cdot \min \{ w_0^{p_{c}}(t), w_0^{q}(t) \}, \quad t_0 < t < t_1, \tag{44} \]

where

\[ t_1 = t_0 + \frac{M_5}{M_4} (I_0)^{\delta} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_0^{(n+\kappa - 2) - m(n+\kappa + \lambda)}}{\left( p_{c-m} \right)} \right]. \]

Integrating (44) over \((t_0, t_1)\) with respect to \( t \) and using

\[ \left( p_{c}(n + \kappa - 2) - m(n + \kappa + \lambda) \right) / \left( p_{c} - m \right) = (1 - m)(n + \kappa) - 2, \]

one gets that

\[ w_0(t_1) \]

\[ \geq w_0(t_0) + \frac{1}{2} M_3 \varepsilon_0^{1-m(n+\kappa) - 2} \cdot \min \{ (\Lambda - \varepsilon_0)^{p_{c}}(t_1 - t_0) \} \]

\[ \geq w_0(t_0) + \frac{1}{2} M_3 \varepsilon_0^{1-m(n+\kappa) - 2} \cdot \min \{ (\Lambda - \varepsilon_0)^{p_{c}} \} \]

\[ \times M_5 \frac{t_1 - t_0}{M_4} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_0^{(n+\kappa - 2) - m(n+\kappa + \lambda)}}{\left( p_{c-m} \right)} \right) \]

\[ = w_0(t_0) \]

\[ + \frac{M_3 M_5}{2M_4} \varepsilon_0^{p_{c}(n+\kappa - 2) - m(n+\kappa + \lambda)} / \left( p_{c-m} \right) \cdot \min \{ (\Lambda - \varepsilon_0)^{p_{c}}, (\Lambda - \varepsilon_0)^{q} \}. \]

That is to say,

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \left( u(x, t_1) + v(x, t_1) \right) \left| x \right|^{\tau} \, dx \geq w_0(t_1) \geq w_0(t_0) + \gamma_0 \geq \Lambda - \varepsilon_0 + \gamma_0, \]

where

\[ \gamma_0 = \frac{M_3 M_5}{2M_4} \varepsilon_0^{p_{c}(n+\kappa - 2) - m(n+\kappa + \lambda)} / \left( p_{c-m} \right) \cdot \min \{ (\Lambda - \varepsilon_0)^{p_{c}}, (\Lambda - \varepsilon_0)^{q} \} \]
is a positive constant independent of $l_0$. It is obviously verified that

$$w_{\delta l_0}(t_1) = w_{l_0}(t_1) \geq \Lambda - \delta_0 + \gamma_0 \geq \Lambda - \delta_0.$$ 

Using the same method, one gets

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) |x|^\kappa \, dx \geq w_{\delta l_0}(t_2) \geq w_{l_0}(t_1) + \gamma_0 \geq \Lambda - \delta_0 + 2\gamma_0,$$

where

$$t_2 = t_1 + \frac{M_5}{M_4} \frac{l_0}{\delta_0(l_0 - m(n + \kappa + \lambda))}.$$

Similarly, for any positive integer $i$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} (u(x, t_i) + v(x, t_i)) |x|^\kappa \, dx \geq w_{\delta i l_0}(t_i) \geq w_{\delta i-2 l_0}(t_{i-1}) + \delta_0 \geq \Lambda - \delta_0 + i\gamma_0,$$ (45)

where

$$t_i = t_{i-1} + \frac{M_5}{M_4} \frac{\delta i-2 l_0}{\delta i-2 l_0 (l_0 - m(n + \kappa + \lambda))}.$$

Letting $i \to +\infty$ in (45) implies

$$\sup_{t > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} (u(x, t) + v(x, t)) |x|^\kappa \, dx = +\infty,$$

which contradicts (38). □

Acknowledgements
The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which improved the original manuscript.

Funding
This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11871133), by the Department of Science and Technology of Jilin Province (YDZJ202101ZYTS044).

Availability of data and materials
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
All the authors contributed to each part of this study equally and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Author details
1School of Mathematics, Jilin University, 130012 Changchun, China. 2School of Science, Northeast Electric Power University, 132012 Jilin, China.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 3 January 2021   Accepted: 19 March 2021   Published online: 26 March 2021
References
1. Aguirre, J., Escobedo, M.: On the blow-up of solutions of a convective reaction diffusion equation. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A 123(3), 433–460 (1993)
2. Deng, K., Levine, H.: The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems: the sequel. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 243, 85–126 (2000)
3. Díaz, J.I., Hernández, J., Ilyasov, Y.Sh.: On the exact multiplicity of stable ground states of non-Lipschitz semilinear elliptic equations for some classes of starshaped sets. Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 9(1), 1046–1065 (2020)
4. Escobedo, M., Herrero, M.: Boundedness and blow up for a semilinear reaction–diffusion system. J. Differ. Equ. 89, 176–202 (1991)
5. Fujita, H.: On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$. J. Fac. Sci., Univ. Tokyo, Sect. I 13, 109–124 (1966)
6. Galaktionov, V.: Blow-up for quasilinear heat equations with critical Fujita's exponents. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A 124, 517–525 (1994)
7. Galaktionov, V., Kurdjumov, S., Mikhailov, A., Samarskii, A.: On unbounded solutions of the Cauchy problem for the parabolic equation $\partial u/\partial t = \text{div}(u^\sigma \nabla u) + u^\beta$. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 252, 1362–1364 (1980)
8. Ghoul, T.-E., Nguyen, V.T., Zaag, H.: Construction of type I blowup solutions for a higher order semilinear parabolic equation. Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 9(1), 388–412 (2020)
9. Guo, W., Guo, Y.: Critical Fujita curves for a coupled reaction–convection–diffusion system with singular coefficients. J. Jilin Univ. Sci. Ed. 58(2), 271–276 (2020)
10. Guo, W., Lei, M.: Critical Fujita curves for a coupled reaction–convection–diffusion system with singular coefficients. J. Jilin Univ. Sci. Ed. 54, 183–188 (2016)
11. Guo, W., Wang, X., Zhou, M.: Asymptotic behavior of solutions to a class of semilinear parabolic equations. Bound. Value Probl. 2016, 68, 1–9 (2016)
12. Hayakawa, K.: On nonexistence of global solutions of some semilinear parabolic equations. Proc. Jpn. Acad. 49, 503–525 (1973)
13. Kobayashi, K., Staro, T., Tanaka, H.: On the blowing up problem for semilinear heat equations. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 29, 407–424 (1977)
14. Leng, Y., Nie, Y., Zhou, Q.: Asymptotic behavior of solutions to a class of coupled nonlinear parabolic systems. Bound. Value Probl. 2019, 68, 1–11 (2019)
15. Levine, H.: The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems. SIAM Rev. 32(2), 262–288 (1990)
16. Li, L., Liu, H., Liu, B.: Blow-up analysis in reaction–diffusion equations with Fujita exponents. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. 50, 386–412 (2019)
17. Li, H., Wang, X., Nie, Y., He, H.: Asymptotic behavior of solutions to a degenerate quasilinear parabolic equation with a gradient term. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2015, 295, 1–12 (2015)
18. Liu, W., Wang, J., Xu, R.: Global existence and blow up of solutions for pseudo-parabolic equation with singular potential. J. Differ. Equ. 269(6), 4914–4959 (2020)
19. Liu, C., Wang, H.: Global existence and nonexistence of solutions for Newtonian filtration equation. Appl. Anal. 96(8), 1379–1389 (2017)
20. Martynenko, A., Tedeve, A.: Cauchy problem for a quasilinear parabolic equation with a source term and an inhomogeneous density. Comput. Math. Math. Phys. 47(2), 238–248 (2007)
21. Na, Y., Zhou, M., Zhou, X., Gai, G.: Blow-up theorems of Fujita type for a semilinear parabolic equation with a gradient term. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2018, 128, 1–12 (2018)
22. Qi, Y., Levine, H.: The critical exponent of degenerate parabolic systems. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 44, 249–265 (1993)
23. Quittner, P., Souplet, P.: Superlinear Parabolic Problems. Blow-up, Global Existence and Steady States. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. Birkhäuser, Basel (2007)
24. Rault, J.: The Fujita phenomenon in exterior domains under dynamical boundary conditions. Asymptot. Anal. 66(1), 1–8 (2010)
25. Wang, C., Zheng, S.: Critical Fujita exponents of degenerate and singular parabolic equations. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A 136(2), 415–430 (2006)
26. Wang, C., Zheng, S., Wang, Z.: Critical Fujita exponents of a class of quasilinear equations with homogeneous Neumann boundary data. Nonlinearity 20, 1343–1359 (2007)
27. Weissler, F.: Existence and non-existence of global solutions for semilinear equation. Isr. J. Math. 61(1), 85–126 (2000)
28. Wu, Z., Zhao, J., Yin, J., Li, H.: Nonlinear Diffusion Equations. World Scientific, River Edge (2001)
29. Zheng, S., Wang, C.: Large time behaviour of solutions to a class of quasilinear parabolic equations with convection terms. Nonlinearity 21(9), 2179–2200 (2008)
30. Zhou, M., Li, H., Guo, W., Zhou, X.: Critical Fujita exponents to a class of non-Newtonian filtration equations with fast diffusion. Bound. Value Probl. 2016, 146, 1–16 (2016)
31. Zhou, Q., Nie, Y., Han, X.: Large time behavior of solutions to semilinear parabolic equations with gradient. J. Dyn. Control Syst. 22(1), 191–205 (2016)