**Molecular mechanisms of Treg-mediated T cell suppression**
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CD4+CD25highFoxp3+ regulatory T cells (Tregs) can suppress other immune cells and, thus, are critical mediators of peripheral self-tolerance. On the one hand, Tregs avert autoimmune disease and allergies. On the other hand, Tregs can prevent immune reactions against tumors and pathogens. Despite the importance of Tregs, the molecular mechanisms of suppression remain incompletely understood and controversial. Proliferation and cytokine production of CD4+CD25− conventional T cells (Tcons) can be inhibited directly by Tregs. In addition, Tregs can indirectly suppress Tcon activation via inhibition of the stimulatory capacity of antigen presenting cells. Direct suppression of Tcons by Tregs can involve immunosuppressive soluble factors or cell contact. Different mechanisms of suppression have been described, so far with no consensus on one universal mechanism. Controversies might be explained by the fact that different mechanisms may operate depending on the site of the immune reaction, on the type and activation state of the suppressed target cell as well as on the Treg activation status. Further, inhibition of T cell effector function can occur independently of suppression of proliferation. In this review, we summarize the described molecular mechanisms of suppression with a particular focus on suppression of Tcons and rapid suppression of T cell receptor-induced calcium (Ca2+), NFAT, and NFκB signaling in Tcons by Tregs.
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**INTRODUCTION: DISCOVERY AND PROPERTIES OF REGULATORY T CELLS**

Regulatory T cells (Tregs) play an indispensable role in the immune system as they are involved in the prevention of autoimmune diseases, allergies, infection-induced organ pathology, transplant rejection as well as graft versus host disease (GVHD) by suppression of effector T cells and other immune cells (Sakaguchi, 2004). However, Tregs can also dampen immune responses against tumors, as described for various types of cancer (Zou, 2006; Curiel, 2008). Conversely, in certain situations, Tregs can also protect against cancer by controlling cancer-associated inflammation (Gounaris et al., 2009). Therefore, Tregs are subject to intense investigations.

The history of Tregs begins in 1970 (Gershon and Kondo, 1970). Unfortunately, due to lack of a molecular marker, research on suppressor T cells was stopped, until many years later Sakaguchi et al. (1995) identified CD25 as a phenotypic marker for suppressive CD4+ T cells in mice. These suppressive T cells were named Tregs and were later also found in humans within the CD4+CD25high T cell population (Baecher-Allan et al., 2001; Dieckmann et al., 2001; Jonuleit et al., 2001; Levings et al., 2001; Stephens et al., 2001; Taams et al., 2001). Constitutive expression of CD25 is restricted to Tregs in naive mice while in humans, only cells that highly express CD25 designate Tregs, and activated T cells acquire intermediate levels of CD25 (Sakaguchi et al., 1995; Baecher-Allan et al., 2001). Importantly, Foxp3 was identified as a lineage-defining transcription factor for Tregs in mice (Fontenot et al., 2003; Hori et al., 2003; Khattri et al., 2003) and humans (Yagi et al., 2004; Roncador et al., 2005). In mice, up to 90% of Tregs express Foxp3, while resting as well as activated conventional T cells do not show detectable levels of Foxp3. Analogous to mice, the majority of human CD4+CD25high Tregs also express Foxp3. However, in contrast to mice, human conventional T cells (Tcons) transiently express intermediate levels of Foxp3 upon activation (Pillai et al., 2007), questioning Foxp3 as a specific marker for human Tregs. While the necessity of Foxp3 for suppressive function of Tregs is undisputed, contradictory reports leave it unclear whether (temporary) Foxp3 expression is sufficient to confer suppressive abilities to human activated T cells. Some studies demonstrated suppressive capacities of Foxp3+ activated human T cells (Walker et al., 2003; Pillai et al., 2007) while others did not (Gavin et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007; Allan et al., 2007). However, activation-induced transient Foxp3 induction in human Tcons was not observed in other studies (Yagi et al., 2004). These discrepancies might be due to differences in culture conditions, such as strength of T cell receptor (TCR) stimulation and presence of IL-2 or TGF-β. For example, Shevach’s group found that TCR-induced Foxp3 expression in human naïve Tcons is indeed dependent on TGF-β, but does not result in a suppressive phenotype (Tran et al., 2007). In addition, his group claimed that a certain antibody clone used for staining of human Foxp3 results in unspecific staining of activated T cells, although this is controversial (Pillai and Karandikar, 2008). Concluding results may also be ascribed to differences in kinetics and level of Foxp3 expression and, consequently, expression of Foxp3 target genes (Allan et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2008).

Foxp3+ Tregs are not a uniform population but rather comprise several subphenotypes. The majority of human Tregs displays...
a CCR7−CD45RA− effector/memory phenotype (Beyer and Schultze, 2007). However, only the naïve CD45RA+ Treg subset maintains Treg phenotype and function upon ex vivo expansion (Hoffmann et al., 2006). A detailed characterization of the human Foxp3+ Treg repertoire suggested a further grouping into CD45RA+Foxp3low resting Tregs, CD45RA−Foxp3high activated Tregs, and CD45RA− Foxp3low cytokine-secreting Tregs, the latter being non-suppressive (Miyara et al., 2009). Further, several subphenotypes of murine Tregs with distinct transcriptional signatures were found in different anatomical locations (Feuerer et al., 2009, 2010). Diverse Treg subpopulations may apply different suppression mechanisms and specifically control certain effector cell types. In this review, we mainly focus on naturally occurring Tregs (nTregs), which are thymus-derived. Yet peripheral tolerance is not only ensured by nTregs but also involves various induced Treg populations (iTregs), which seem to play a role mainly in the intestine (Curotto de Lafaille and Lafaille, 2009).

Molecular Mechanisms of Treg-Mediated Suppression

Tregs can suppress a variety of immune cells including B cells, NK cells, NKT cells, CD4+, and CD8+ T cells, as well as monocytes and dendritic cells (DCs). In the following, we will focus on suppression of CD4+CD25+ conventional T cells (Tcons).

Upon cell–cell contact, Tregs inhibit TCR-induced proliferation and IL-2 transcription of Tcons, as shown for murine Tregs already in 1998 (Thornton and Shevach, 1998). Suppression of murine (Ermann et al., 2001) or human (Dieckmann et al., 2001) Tcon proliferation by Tregs can occur directly, i.e., in the absence of antigen presenting cells (APCs). This direct suppression can involve immunosuppressive cytokines or other factors; however, contact-dependent direct suppression has also been described. In addition, Tregs can inhibit Tcons indirectly by influencing the activation status of APCs and therefore activation of Tcons. Based on these properties, a standard assay to assess Treg function is inhibition of responder Tcon proliferation upon stimulation via the TCR in the presence of APCs. A main function of Tregs is suppression of activation and expansion of naïve Tcons, but they can also inhibit activated effector T cells and memory CD4+ (Levings et al., 2001) and CD8+ (Suvas et al., 2003) T cells. To be suppressive, Tregs themselves have to be TCR-activated in the presence of IL-2 (Takahashi et al., 1998; Thornton and Shevach, 1998; de la Rosa et al., 2004; Thornton et al., 2004a,b) while costimulation via CD28 is dispensable (Takahashi et al., 2000). However, a more recent study questioned the requirement for Treg activation: Szymczak-Workman et al. (2009) showed that TCR-transgenic Tregs were able to suppress Tcons with different antigen specificity in the absence of the Treg-cognate antigen. Differences in the type of APCs used in the assays, the transgenic system, or “pre-activation” by the cell purification procedure might provide an explanation for the controversy. Yet, the study is in line with other reports that show that Tregs, once active, can suppress Tcons independently of antigen, leading to so-called bystander suppression (Thornton and Shevach, 2000; Karim et al., 2005).

Different mechanisms of Treg-mediated suppression have been described, mostly on the basis of in vitro suppression assays. These mechanisms may also operate in vivo depending on the target cell type and activation status as well as the location and cytokine and microorganism milieu of the immune reaction. Thus, the contribution of suppressive mechanisms might be interpreted differently depending on the cell types and their activation state used in in vitro suppression assays. In addition, differences may occur depending on the readout, as suppressing the production of certain effector cytokines or the release of cytotoxic granules in vivo and in vitro can occur without concomitant suppression of proliferation (Memel et al., 2006; Schmidt et al., 2011; Sojka and Fowell, 2011). For example, using another in vivo model, it was shown that Tcon expansion in response to antigen was suppressed by Tregs relatively late, yet the remaining Tcons still cycled and produced effector cytokines at these late time points (Klein et al., 2003). It was suggested that activation and/or expansion of antigen-specific Tregs may be a prerequisite for suppression of Tcons in vivo, as it might result in sufficient Treg numbers to enable contact with target Tcons at the site of the immune response (Klein et al., 2003).

The described mechanisms of Treg-mediated T cell suppression are summarized in Figure 1 and will be described in more detail in the following sections.

Modulation of APC Function by Tregs Through CTLA-4 and Other Molecules

The coinhibitory molecule CTLA-4 is constitutively expressed in murine and human Tregs and exposed on the cell surface upon activation (Read et al., 2000; Takahashi et al., 2000; Dieckmann et al., 2001). A role for CTLA-4 in suppression in vivo has been suggested, since CTLA-4 deficiency or blockade in mice results in spontaneous autoimmune, which can be ameliorated by Tregs (Bachmann et al., 1999; Takahashi et al., 2000). In addition, CTLA-4 blockade abrogates the protective effects of Tregs in murine colitis models (Read et al., 2000). Also in vitro suppression of murine Tcon proliferation (in the presence of APCs) was shown to be abrogated in some studies by CTLA-4 blockage (Takahashi et al., 2000; Tang et al., 2004). However, CTLA-4 deficient Tregs could still suppress through compensatory mechanisms involving TGF-β and IL-10 in vitro and in vivo (Tang et al., 2004; Read et al., 2006). Similarly, studies with human Tregs do not show a uniform picture: some in vitro studies did not find an involvement of CTLA-4 in Treg-mediated suppression of Tcon proliferation (Baecher-Allan et al., 2001; Levings et al., 2001), while others could partially abrogate suppression by CTLA-4 blockade (Birebent et al., 2004). These discrepancies may be explained by the involvement of CTLA-4 in some but not all aspects of suppression. Our results showed that rapid Treg-mediated suppression of cytokine transcription in human Tcons was unaffected by CTLA-4 blockage, irrespective of the presence or absence of APCs, while suppression of proliferation in the presence of APCs was partially dependent on CTLA-4 (Schmidt et al., 2011).

Regarding CTLA-4 blockade in long-term experiments, it has to be considered that CTLA-4 is also expressed by activated Tcons after 1–2 days of TCR stimulation (Walunas et al., 1994) and thus cell-intrinsic negative signals are involved. These include competition with the costimulatory molecule CD28 for binding to the B7 molecules CD80 (B7.1) and CD86 (B7.2); van der Merwe et al.
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FIGURE 1 | Mechanisms of T reg-mediated Tcon suppression. Tregs have been described to suppress Tcons by different mechanisms, depending on the experimental setup, site and type of immune response. Tregs can generate immunosuppressive adenosine or transfer cAMP to Tcons. Tregs can rapidly suppress TCR-induced Ca\(^{2+}\), NFAT, and NF-κB signaling. Tregs can also produce immunosuppressive cytokines (IL-10, TGF-β, IL-35), and they can suppress by IL-2 consumption or induce effector cell death via granzyme and perforin. Furthermore, Tregs can suppress Tcons indirectly by downregulating costimulatory molecules on APCs (such as DCs) via CTLA-4. Details are described in the text.

1997), transduction of negative signals that induce cell cycle arrest and prevent IL-2 secretion (Krummel and Allison, 1996; Walunas et al., 1996), and limiting T cell contact with APCs (Schneider et al., 2006). Recently, the molecular mechanism of Treg-mediated suppression via CTLA-4 was elucidated in more detail. Downregulation of CD80/CD86 expression on APCs by Tregs (Cederbom et al., 2000) was shown to be partly dependent on CTLA-4 and the adhesion molecule LFA-1, thereby indirectly inhibiting Tcon activation by APCs in vitro (Oderup et al., 2006; Onishi et al., 2008) and in vivo (Wing et al., 2008). Mechanistically, downregulation of CD80/CD86 on target cells by CTLA-4-expressing cells can involve capture of these ligands by CTLA-4, a process called trans-endocytosis (Qureshi et al., 2011). Another study supports the importance of CTLA-4 in in vivo suppression without direct effects on the responder T cells (Friedline et al., 2009). Strikingly, mice with Treg-specific ablation of CTLA-4 spontaneously develop fatal autoimmune disease (Wing et al., 2008), underlining the importance of CTLA-4 expression on Tregs for the maintenance of peripheral tolerance.

In contrast to the studies showing necessity of CTLA-4 for Treg-mediated suppression, Tran et al. (2009) applied a mixed coculture system with murine and human cells and showed that human Tregs can suppress proliferation of murine Tcons by targeting murine DCs through LFA-1:ICAM-1 interaction between Tregs and DCs. Suppression was only evident in the presence of APCs, and it was not abrogated when human CTLA-4 was blocked. Interestingly, murine Tregs could not suppress human Tcons in the presence of human APCs. These results argue for species-specific differences in suppressive molecules, especially regarding CTLA-4.

 Certain human and murine DC subsets express the enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) that catalyzes degradation of tryptophan to kynurenine, leading to starvation of effector T cells and also to direct cell cycle arrest. At the same time, IDO leads to iTreg generation (Fallarino et al., 2003, 2006; Curti et al., 2007). Tregs themselves can, via CTLA-4-induced signaling, further increase IDO expression in DCs. Additionally it was shown that murine Tregs diminish glutathione synthesis in DCs, presumably CTLA-4-mediated, which leads to a redox environment...
unfavorable for Tcon proliferation (Yan et al., 2009, 2010). In conclusion, the importance of CTLA-4 in Treg-mediated suppression is undisputed, but it does not seem to be the only suppressive mechanism, and also species differences may exist. Importantly, CTLA-4 blockage has already shown promising outcomes in clinical trials for metastatic melanoma, presumably due to its effects on both effector T cells and Tregs (Peggs et al., 2009). Despite the prominent role for CTLA-4 in Treg-mediated inhibition of DC activation, involvement of the costimulatory molecule CD40, the deubiquitinase A20 acting as antigen-presentation attenuator, and the surface receptor neuropilin-1 has also been described (Hanig and Lutz, 2008; Sarris et al., 2008; Song et al., 2008). Further, the adhesion molecule LAG-3 is expressed on Tregs, binds to MHC class II molecules and was proposed to be involved in suppression, since deficiency or blockage of LAG-3 resulted in disturbance of T cell homeostasis in vivo and reduced suppressive activity of Tregs in vitro (Huang et al., 2004; Workman and Vignali, 2005). These effects might be mediated by LAG-3-induced inhibition of DC activation (Liang et al., 2008). However, LAG-3 deficient mice do not show signs of autoimmune disease. Further, MHC class II expression on an activated human Treg subpopulation that exerts rapid contact-dependent suppression seems to be important (Baecher-Allan et al., 2006) as blockage of MHC class II on activated Tregs was shown to abrogate suppression (Peiser et al., 2007)

Tregs do not only reduce antigen presenting activity of APCs but also support an immunosuppressive cytokine milieu by reducing IL-6 while increasing IL-10 production by DCs (Veldhoen et al., 2006). In addition to these mechanisms, Tregs can inhibit Tcon activation by reduction of contact formation between Tcons and DCs (Tadokoro et al., 2006). As already mentioned, there is mutual interplay between Tregs and tolerogenic DCs, the latter acting in induction and expansion of Tregs.

**SUPPRESSION VIA THE IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE CYTOKINES TGF-β, IL-10, AND IL-35**

The role of immunosuppressive cytokines in Treg-mediated suppression is still incompletely understood. Despite the importance of TGF-β and IL-10 in several in vivo models, these cytokines seem to be dispensable for other disease models such as autoimmune gastritis (Suri-Payer and Fritzsching, 2006) as well as for most in vitro systems. Treg-mediated suppression via cytokines is described in more detail below.

**TGF-β**

TGF-β1 deficient mice develop T cell-mediated autoimmune disease within several weeks after birth (Li et al., 2006b). A similar phenotype is observed in mice lacking TGF-β responsiveness specifically in T cells (Li et al., 2006a; Marie et al., 2006). Tregs can produce high amounts of membrane-bound and soluble TGF-β, and blocking TGF-β partially abrogated suppression of T cell proliferation in vitro using murine or human T cells (Nakamura et al., 2001, 2004; Levings et al., 2002), suggesting that Treg-produced TGF-β controls autoimmunity. However, other groups did not find involvement of TGF-β in Treg-mediated suppression of T cells (Baecher-Allan et al., 2002; Piccirillo et al., 2002; Godfrey et al., 2005; Oberle et al., 2007). Although TGF-β deficient Tregs could suppress Tcon proliferation in vitro, TGF-β1 production by Tregs was necessary to prevent colitis in several studies (Read et al., 2000; Izzue et al., 2009). In addition, TGF-βRII knockout Tcons could not be suppressed in vivo in colitis models, but TGF-β produced by other cells than Tregs was likely involved in these models (Mamura et al., 2004; Fahlen et al., 2005). Along that line, TGF-β produced by DCs in the gut was critical to prevent colitis by Treg induction (Travis et al., 2007). Nevertheless, mice with T cell-specific TGF-β1 deficiency showed enhanced Th1 and Th2 responses and immunopathology including colitis, underscoring the importance of T cell-produced TGF-β; however, these mice were resistant to the induction of experimental autoimmune encephalitis (EAE) likely due to impaired Th17 induction (Li et al., 2007). Therefore, the role of TGF-β in Treg-mediated suppression might depend very much on the type of effector cell and the site of the immune response, and TGF-β may even promote proinflammatory Th17 responses. Interestingly, human Tregs could confer suppressive activity to target Tcons in a cell-contact-dependent manner (so-called infectious tolerance). Suppressive cells generated by infectious tolerance use a mechanism involving TGF-β (Jonuleit et al., 2002). A more recent study shows that infectious tolerance in mice requires membrane-bound TGF-β on Tregs (Andersson et al., 2008). Thus, TGF-β function in vivo probably does not only involve direct suppression of effector T cell signaling but also induction of Tregs, consistent with lethal autoimmune disease and reduced peripheral Treg numbers in TGF-β1 deficient mice (Marie et al., 2003).

**IL-10**

The cytokine IL-10 exerts mainly immunosuppressive effects on various cell types (Moore et al., 2001). IL-10 plays an important role in Treg-mediated suppression of intestinal inflammation, since blocking IL-10 or using IL-10 deficient Tregs abrogates the protective effect of Tregs on T cell transfer-induced colitis (Asseman et al., 1999). Importantly, while the control of memory/antigen-experienced T cells during prevention or cure of colitis required IL-10, Treg-dependent prevention of naïve T cell-mediated colitis does not require IL-10 (Asseman et al., 2003; Uhlig et al., 2006), highlighting the involvement of different suppression mechanisms depending on the activation status of the target cell. The importance of IL-10 in Treg function in vivo has been extended to infection and EAE models (McGeachy and Anderton, 2005; Belkaid, 2007). Further, another study showed that Treg-derived IL-10 is important for control of inflammation at environmental interfaces but seems to be dispensable for control of systemic autoimmunity (Rubtsov et al., 2008). Along that line, IL-10 or IL-10 receptor deficient mice do not develop autoimmunity, but are susceptible to colitis in the presence of triggering flora (Kuhn et al., 1993; Spencer et al., 1998). Importantly, the IL-10 locus was identified as a susceptibility locus in ulcerative colitis (Franke et al., 2008), a form of human inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Furthermore, IL-10RA or IL-10RB mutations result in severe early onset IBD (Glocker et al., 2009). Although IL-10 can suppress various immune cells including DCs, direct effects of IL-10 on effector/memory T cells are important in prevention of T cell-mediated colitis (Kamanaka et al., 2011). Two recent studies further delineate that IL-10R signaling is needed in Tregs as well as in Th17 cells in order to suppress colonic Th17
responses (Chaudhry et al., 2011; Huber et al., 2011). Adding to the complexity of IL-10 function in Treg-mediated suppression, it was recently shown that Tregs need IL-10 (and not IL-35 and TGF-β) to control IFN-γ production by T cells in the inflamed skin, while IL-10 was dispensable for regulation of IFN-γ and T cell expansion in the lymph node (Sojka and Fowell, 2011).

Of note, anti-inflammatory IL-10 might not be produced only by T cells including Tregs and Foxp3+ T regulatory 1 (Tr1) cells (Roncarolo et al., 2006), but also by other cells such as regulatory B cells (Mauri and Ehrenstein, 2008) and macrophages. The latter were shown to indirectly influence suppression by acting on Tregs to maintain Foxp3 (Murai et al., 2009).

IL-35

IL-35 is a recently discovered cytokine implicated in Treg-mediated suppression and was shown to directly inhibit Tcon proliferation (Collison et al., 2007). Tregs deficient in one of the IL-35 chains had reduced suppressive ability in vitro and in vivo in an IBD model, although these mice did not show autoimmune disease. In contrast to murine Tregs, human Tregs do not constitutively express IL-35 (Bardel et al., 2008). Nevertheless, IL-35 may play a role also in human immunosuppression, as treatment of naive human or mouse T cells with IL-35 induced a so-called iTreg regulatory population that mediated suppression via IL-35 but did not require IL-10, TGF-β, or Foxp3 (Collison et al., 2010). These iTregs were strongly suppressive in several in vitro mouse models. Although naive human Tregs did not express high amounts of IL-35, long-term activation of human Tregs led to upregulation of the IL-35 subunits starting at 3 days of activation (Chaturvedi et al., 2011). These long-term activated Tregs exerted contact-independent in vitro suppression in an IL-35-dependent manner and also induced iTreg cells. Thus, IL-35 may contribute to infectious tolerance.

SUPPRESSION OF EFFECTOR CELLS BY IL-2 CONSUMPTION AND APOPTOSIS INDUCTION

One efficient way to repress immune responses would be killing of effector cells by Tregs, which was indeed observed in certain settings. It was shown that upon CD3/CD46 activation, human nTregs express the serine protease granzyme (Gzm) A and kill CD4+ T cells and other target cells in a perforin-dependent manner, yet independent of the death receptor CD95 (Grossman et al., 2004). In another report, contact-dependent suppression of Tcon proliferation by activated murine Tregs in vitro was described to be partially GzmB-dependent; however, in contrast to the results of Grossman et al. perforin was not involved here (Gondek et al., 2005). As suggested from mouse studies, GzmB-mediated suppression might be important in vivo to maintain transplant tolerance (Gondek et al., 2008), but it might counteract tumor clearance by killing tumor-reactive NK cells and CD8+ T cells (Cao et al., 2007). Involvement of the death receptor TRAIL in Treg-mediated suppression of activated mouse Tcons was shown in vitro and in vivo in a transplantation model, but required strong pre-activation of Tcons and Tregs (Ren et al., 2007).

The importance of IL-2 consumption by Tregs due to their high CD25 expression remains controversial. A study by Lenardo’s group proposed that Tregs induce IL-2 deprivation-mediated apoptosis in mouse Tcons, which was dependent on close proximity between the cells (Pandiyan et al., 2007). However, the authors did not observe suppression of IL-2 production during the first 48 h of coculture, which is not in line with many other studies (Thornton and Shevach, 1998; Barthlott et al., 2005; Sojka et al., 2005). Pandiyan et al. (2007) found that T cells deficient in the proapoptotic protein Bim could not be suppressed in vitro and in vivo in a T cell transfer-induced IBD model. In contrast to these results, a recent study by Vignal’s group did not find involvement of cell death induction by Tregs (Szmyczak-Workman et al., 2011). Szmyczak-Workman et al. used responder Tcons that are resistant to cytokine withdrawal-induced apoptosis due to Bim deficiency or Bim/Puma double knockout or Bcl-2 overexpression and performed in vitro and in vivo assays to conclude that Tregs do not suppress via induction of apoptosis in responder Tcons. In addition to these mouse studies, we (Oberle et al., 2007) and others (Vercoulen et al., 2009) also did not observe apoptosis induction in human Tcons by human Tregs.

IL-2 does not only promote survival but also proliferation of T cells, and IL-2 consumption seems to be involved in reducing the positive effects of IL-2 on proliferation and IL-2 expression, because exogenous IL-2 could abrogate Treg-mediated suppression of proliferation and/or of IL-2 production in vitro (Thornton and Shevach, 1998; Baecher-Allan et al., 2001; de la Rosa et al., 2004). However, during inhibition of murine Tcons by human Tregs, IL-2 consumption was not causative for suppression of proliferation, as blocking human CD25 did not abrogate suppression under optimal stimulation conditions for the human Tregs (Tran et al., 2009). Of note, under suboptimal stimulation conditions, human Tregs required CD25 signals in order to be functionally suppressive, yet the suppression mechanism under these conditions remains elusive and may or may not involve IL-2 consumption (Tran et al., 2009). We found that, although exogenous IL-2 partially abrogated suppression of human Tcon proliferation by human Tregs, rapid suppression of IL-2 transcription by human pre-activated Tregs was not affected (Oberle et al., 2007), which argues for different suppression mechanisms for proliferation and cytokine transcription. Here, IL-2 itself led to increased IL-2 mRNA expression (Oberle et al., 2007), however, for later time points, negative feedback of IL-2 on IL-2 mRNA and protein expression was described (Villarino et al., 2007). These differences in the effect of IL-2 on IL-2 expression may depend on time point, species, amount of IL-2 and cellular activation status and may contribute to the inconsistent results on the role of IL-2 consumption in Treg-mediated suppression. Together, the role of IL-2 consumption remains controversial and may depend on the specific setting and stimulation conditions of the Tregs. IL-2 consumption by Tregs may even enhance certain effector T cell reactions, which was shown for Th17 responses (Pandiyan et al., 2011). In addition, the link of IL-2 consumption to apoptosis is unclear, as exogenous IL-2 could rescue proliferation of suppressed human Tcons without reducing background apoptosis (Vercoulen et al., 2009).

Together, it can be concluded from these studies that IL-2 consumption by Tregs and apoptosis induction in suppressed target cells may only play a role in suppression under certain circumstances.
SUPPRESSION VIA EXPRESSION OF EFECTOR T CELL-SPECIFIC TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS

Tregs may be specialized to suppress a certain CD4+ T cell subset in particular by expression of the hallmark transcription factor of this very subset. In this regard, it was shown that a Treg subset upregulated T-bet in response to IFN-γ, which was essential for the control of Th1-mediated inflammation (Koch et al., 2009). Similarly, IRF-4 (which is typically made by Th2 and Th17 cells) expression in Tregs was required for suppression of Th2 responses (Zheng et al., 2009). Moreover, expression of STAT3 (which drives Th17 differentiation) in Tregs was increased and crucial during control of Th17-mediated intestinal pathology (Chaudhry et al., 2009). Adding to that list, loss of the Th2-associated transcription factor GATA-3 in Tregs led to autoimmune disease, in that case accompanied by destabilization of Foxp3 expression and elevated Th1, Th2, and Th17 cytokine levels (Wang et al., 2011). GATA-3 deficient Tregs tended to convert into a Th17 phenotype, and it was further shown that GATA-3 was upregulated upon stimulation in murine as well as human Tregs (Wohlfert et al., 2011). However, the mechanism through which Tregs suppress via expression of effector T cell-specific transcription factors is currently unclear but might involve competition for limiting factors. The transcription factors could directly interact with Foxp3 and elevated Th1, Th2, and Th17 cytokine levels (Wang et al., 2011). GATA-3 deficient Tregs tended to convert into a Th17 phenotype, and it was further shown that GATA-3 was upregulated upon stimulation in murine as well as human Tregs (Wohlfert et al., 2011). However, the mechanism through which Tregs suppress via expression of effector T cell-specific transcription factors is currently unclear but might involve competition for limiting factors. The transcription factors could directly interact with Foxp3, as described for IRF-4, STAT3, and RORγt. Expression of the effector T cell-specific transcription factors and their regulated genes such as chemokine receptors might also enable Tregs to migrate to and proliferate at the site of immune response. Accordingly, suppressor activity of IRF-4 or STAT3 knockout Tregs in vitro was shown to be unimpaired, and GATA-3 was selectively expressed by Tregs at environmental interfaces.

In contrast, expression or activation of Th-specific transcription factors in Tregs might lead to a loss of Foxp3 expression and suppressive function. For example, STAT3 was described to mediate downregulation of Foxp3, and intriguingly, STAT3 is activated by several cytokines which negatively regulate Tregs and Foxp3, such as IL-6, IL-23, or IL-27 (Yang et al., 2007, 2008; Yao et al., 2007; Huber et al., 2008; Ahern et al., 2010). Thus, loss of STAT3 expression in T cells during T cell transfer-induced colitis and systemic inflammation increased Treg induction and ameliorated disease (Durant et al., 2010).

Plasticity between CD4+ T cell subsets might be higher than originally anticipated, although the stability of the Treg lineage in vivo is highly controversial (Zhou et al., 2009; Rubtsov et al., 2010). Yet several lines of evidence indicate that plasticity is particularly pronounced between Th17 cells and iTregs (Lee et al., 2009), and an intermediate state with expression of both RORγt and Foxp3 at the same time was observed (Lochner et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2008). In certain cytokine milieu, even induction of IL-17 was described in murine and human Tregs, which might retain suppressive function (Xu et al., 2007; Koenen et al., 2008; Osorio et al., 2008; Beriou et al., 2009; Voo et al., 2009).

ADENOSINE AS IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE MOLECULE

Hydrolysis of extracellular ATP to ADP or AMP by the ectoenzyme CD39, expressed by all murine Tregs and by about 50% of human Tregs, represents another Treg-mediated anti-inflammatory mechanism (Borsellino et al., 2007). CD39 knockout Tregs showed reduced suppressive capacities in vitro and in vivo (Deaglio et al., 2007). In human Tregs, CD39 expression was suggested to identify a highly suppressive Treg subset (Mandapathil et al., 2009), and suppression of Tcon proliferation by this subset could be partially abrogated by blockade of ectonucleotidase activity (Mandapathil et al., 2010). CD73, also expressed by Tregs, further degrades AMP to adenosine (Kobie et al., 2006). Adenosine signals via the A2A adenosine receptor and may inhibit DCs as well as activated T cells, e.g., by elevation of cyclic AMP (cAMP; Ernst et al., 2010). In human but not mouse T cells, ATP can also directly increase cAMP levels through the P2Y11 receptor (Abbracchio et al., 2006). In vivo, A2A receptor signaling might induce anergy and promote iTreg generation (Zarek et al., 2008). In conclusion, generation of adenosine seems to be important for the suppressive function of certain Treg subsets.

SUPPRESSION VIA cAMP, ICER, AND NFAT

Tregs produce high levels of cAMP and cAMP amounts were shown to rise in suppressed Tcons upon contact with Tregs, presumably via transfer through gap junctions from murine Tregs to Tcons (Bopp et al., 2007). Accumulation of high cAMP amounts in Tregs might require Treg pre-activation (Bazhin et al., 2010). cAMP seems to be an important component of Treg-mediated suppression, since suppression of IL-2 transcription and proliferation could be partly abrogated by a cAMP antagonist or a gap junction inhibitor (Bopp et al., 2007). The same group recently also showed that induction of cAMP in murine DCs upon Treg coulture contributes to suppression of DCs (Fassbender et al., 2010). The authors suggest that in suppressed Tcons, cAMP acts by inducing expression of the inducible cAMP early repressor (ICER), which functions as a repressor, e.g., at the IL-2 and IL-4 gene loci (Bodor et al., 2000). ICER protein and mRNA were previously shown to be increased in suppressed mouse T cells after 17–20 h of Tcon:Treg coulture stimulation (Bodor et al., 2007a) which correlated with an increase in cAMP (Bopp et al., 2007). In these studies, an increase in ICER and cAMP was not yet detectable after 4–5 h. In human Tcons, we also did not observe ICER upregulation upon coulture with Tregs compared to coculture with Tcons within 5 h (Oberle et al., 2007; Oberle and Schmidt, unpublished data). Since NFAT1/4 double knockout Tcons cannot be fully suppressed by Tregs in long-term suppression assays, such as proliferation or IL-2 mRNA and protein expression after 18 h (Bopp et al., 2005), it was proposed that NFAT forms inhibitory complexes on cytokine promoters with transcriptional repressors such as ICER, PPRγ, or p21SNFT (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2007; Bodor et al., 2007a,b). However, by calculating the percentage of suppression, partial inhibition can be observed although NFAT1/4 double knockout T cells display hyperproduction of IL-2, suggesting that NFAT1/4 double knockout Tcons are less susceptible, but not completely resistant to Treg-mediated suppression. Furthermore, although ICER failed to accumulate in suppression assays with B7 deficient responder T cells (as ICER induction in suppressed T cells was CTLA-4/B7 and GITR-dependent), residual suppression was still detectable (Bodor et al., 2007a). These results argue for additional suppressive mechanisms together with those mediated by CTLA-4 and ICER/NFAT. More recently, it was proposed that NFAT2 together with ICER translocates to the nucleus...
to confer repression of the IL-2 promoter in murine T cells upon coculture with Tregs (Vaeth et al., 2011). However, depending on the experimental setting, either increased or decreased NFAT2 nuclear translocation was observed in suppressed compared to control mouse T cells, while ICER clearly translocated into the nucleus upon Treg-mediated suppression (Vaeth et al., 2011).

Together with a report showing that Cbl-b deficient T cells are less sensitive to suppression by Tregs (Wohlfert et al., 2004), data on inhibitory NFAT complexes imply that Treg-suppressed Tcons display features of anergy, as both NFAT1 and Cbl-b are crucial in anergy induction (Macian et al., 2002; Soto-Nieves et al., 2009). Anergy induction in suppressed Tcons might occur later than inhibition of cytokine transcription since we did not detect accumulation of ICER mRNA or other anergy-related genes up to 5 h after TCR stimulation in suppressed compared to control human Tcons (Oberle et al., 2007). By comparing gene expression of suppressed and anergized murine Tcons, Sukienicki and Fowell (2006) found only partial overlap of NFAT-dependent gene expression while most genes did not overlap. However in their study, changes in gene expression were not yet pronounced 12 h after coculture, but only evident after 36 h. This is in contrast to the gene array performed with human T cells in our group, in which, e.g., NFAT and NF-kB target genes were already suppressed in human Tcons within 1.5–3 h of coculture; however, this gene array was performed with pre-activated Tregs (Schmidt et al., 2011). Thus, discrepancies may be due to Treg pre-activation or species.

In contrast to its role in anergy induction, NFAT also plays an important activating role in TCR-induced transcription of T cell effector cytokines. This rapid TCR-induced NFAT activation in Tcons is suppressed by Tregs, which will be described in the next section.

Rapid Suppression of TCR-induced Calcium, NFAT and NF-κB Signaling and Consequently Cytokine Transcription in Tcons Upon Suppression by Tregs

Signaling events and in particular TCR signaling in responder Tcons upon suppression by Tregs has not been studied extensively so far. Yet detailed knowledge might be important for therapeutic interventions in certain T cell-mediated diseases, as resistance of effector T cells to Treg-mediated suppression frequently occurs in autoimmune diseases (Buckner, 2010). However, kinetics of cytokine suppression has been analyzed in detail. Our group previously showed that inhibition of cytokine transcription occurs rapidly within 1–3 h (Oberle et al., 2007). This suppression of cytokine transcription was also observed when stronger TCR/CD28 stimulation was applied and occurred within 30–45 min when pre-activated Tregs were used (Schmidt et al., 2011). Thornton and Shevach (1998) showed potent inhibition of IL-2 mRNA production in murine Tcons by Tregs, though the authors did not analyze earlier than 15 h. Further experiments with murine Tcon:Treg cocultures showed that IL-2 mRNA suppression starts between 5 and 6 h of coculture stimulation (Barthlott et al., 2005) or even later, after 6–12 h (Sojka et al., 2005). In a subsequent paper, the latter group did not observe IL-2 mRNA suppression after 12 h of coculture (Sukienicki and Fowell, 2006). In contrast to our experiments, these studies were conducted in the presence of APCs and with murine T cells. Thus, discrepancies in IL-2 mRNA suppression kinetics might be due to species differences or experimental setup regarding strength of stimulation and presence of APCs. There may also be differences in responder Tcon susceptibility to suppression, which could be influenced by the cell purification procedure and resting time between purification and initiation of cocultures. In addition, we used pre-activated Tregs, while the others did not. Nevertheless, when Sojka et al. used pre-activated Tregs, they still observed suppression of IL-2 secretors not earlier than 4–6 h after initiation of coculture. However, at earlier points in time, the induction of IL-2 also was still very low (Sojka et al., 2005).

To analyze Treg-mediated effects on Tcons, we favored an in vitro cell culture system without APCs. Thus, we could analyze direct effects of Tregs on Tcons and we obtained pure populations of responder Tcons after coculture. However, it is still a matter of debate whether the main targets of Treg-mediated suppression in vivo are T cells or rather APCs. It remains possible that Tregs utilize distinct mechanisms to directly suppress Tcons or APCs, and both mechanisms might play a role in vivo. Although several studies have shown that murine as well as human Tregs inhibit TCR-induced proliferation of Tcons in the absence of APCs (Dieckmann et al., 2001; Ermann et al., 2001), others found that murine Tregs fail to inhibit Tcons stimulated by anti-CD3 antibodies (Thornton and Shevach, 2000). In general, significant suppression in the absence of APCs is mostly observed at high (1:1) Treg to Tcon ratios, which makes cell density very important to control. Nevertheless, as APC-containing cocultures may represent a more physiological setting than Tcon:Treg cocultures without APCs, we also used another in vitro cell culture system to show that rapid suppression of cytokine transcription can occur in the presence of APCs (Schmidt et al., 2011).

Transcription of several effector T cell cytokines is initiated upon TCR stimulation and costimulation by the cognate antigenic peptide presented on a mature APC or, artificially, by agonistic anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies. As we observed rapid suppression of cytokine transcription, we questioned whether Tregs directly influence TCR signaling. Until recently, there was no comprehensive study on TCR signaling events in Tcons upon suppression by Tregs. TCR stimulation leads to a cascade of protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation events, which ultimately activates transcription factors to initiate a distinct transcriptional program (Lin and Weiss, 2001; Smith-Garvin et al., 2009). The most important transcription factors for the induction of cytokine expression are NF-κB, NFAT, and AP-1, which together mediate activation of several cytokine genes, e.g., IL-2 and IFN-γ (Jain et al., 1995; Young, 1996; Sica et al., 1997). Before we describe our results regarding TCR signaling in Treg-suppressed Tcons, we will give a brief introduction into TCR signaling.

Overview: TCR Signaling

Activation of the NF-κB, NFAT, and AP-1 signaling pathways starts with TCR stimulation-induced phosphorylation of TCR-associated CD3 chains by the Src family kinases Fyn and Lck, leading to binding of ZAP-70. ZAP-70 phosphorylates the adapter protein LAT, which is critical for recruitment of important signaling proteins, leading to activation of Phospholipase Cγ1 (PLCγ1;
Qi and August, 2007). Active PLCγ1 cleaves phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) to generate the second messengers diacylglycerol (DAG) and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3). DAG mediates its effects via membrane recruitment and, thus, activation of signaling proteins, whereas IP3 induces calcium (Ca2+) influx into the cytosol. Activation of both NF-kB and NFAT requires the activity of PLCγ1, while the AP-1 pathway is largely PLCγ1-independent. These signaling pathways are summarized in Figure 2 and will be described in more detail, although still simplified, below.

**Ca2+ signaling**

The main pathway to initiate a Ca2+ signal in T cells is via IP3 binding to IP3 receptors (IP3Rs) in the endoplasmatic reticulum (ER) membrane, which leads to release of Ca2+ from the ER into the cytoplasm. Depletion of the ER Ca2+ store induces Ca2+ influx from outside the cell by a process called store-operated calcium entry (SOCE). The stromal interaction molecule (STIM) senses ER Ca2+ store depletion and in turn triggers opening of calcium release-activated calcium (CRAC) channels in the plasma membrane, leading to Ca2+ entry from the extracellular space into the cytoplasm (Quintana et al., 2005; Feske, 2007).

T cells express three types of IP3Rs to varying degrees depending on their stage of differentiation (Grafton and Thwaite, 2001). It is not clear which IP3R is the most important receptor in peripheral T cells, and knowledge of regulation of these receptors by molecules other than IP3 is very limited. IP3-mediated Ca2+ store depletion appears to be the main mode of Ca2+ entry in lymphocytes (Oh-Hora and Rao, 2008). However, Ca2+ release from intracellular stores can be initiated not only by IP3, but cyclic ADP ribose (cADPR) seems to be important for long-lasting release of Ca2+ from stores (Guse et al., 1999; Schwarzmann et al., 2002). Further, nicotinic acid adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NAADP) was described to act prior to IP3 and cADPR to provide sufficient Ca2+ amounts to sensitize IP3Rs (Gasser et al., 2006). Regarding plasma membrane Ca2+ channels, there is evidence that CRAC channels are most critical for TCR signal transduction (Feske et al., 2005), but the L-type voltage-gated Ca2+ channel Cav1.4 also seems to be important for TCR signaling and T cell homeostasis (Omilusik et al., 2011). STIM1 seems to be the predominant player for Ca2+ mobilization in T cells.
of SOCE in T cells although both STIM1 and STIM2 can reconstitute SOCE in STIM1 deficient T cells (Oh-Hora et al., 2008). However, STIM1 as well as STIM2 knockout T cells show severely impaired Ca\(^{2+}\) entry, impaired NFAT activation and cytokine production. Of note, these cells can still proliferate in vivo (Oh-Hora et al., 2008). Interestingly STIM1, which is very abundant in lymphocytes but not in excitable cells, inhibits voltage-gated CaV1.2 channels while it activates the CRAC constituent ORAI (Park et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010).

**NFAT activation**

NFAT activation in T cells is dependent on the generation of a Ca\(^{2+}\) signal, which activates the Ca\(^{2+}\):calmodulin-dependent phosphatase calcineurin. Calcineurin dephosphorylates NFAT, thus unmasking its nuclear localization sequence and enabling transport of NFAT into the nucleus. The NFAT family consists of five members, three of which are expressed by T cells: NFAT1 (also called NFATp or NFATc2), NFAT2 (NFATc or NFATc1), and NFAT4 (NFATx or NFATc3; Macian, 2005), and each of them appears in several isoforms. The constitutively expressed NFAT1 represents the main NFAT isoform in resting T cells (Macian et al., 2002). Although NFAT can act as a transcription factor alone or in homodimers, many transcription factors interact with NFAT to activate or inhibit transcription, amongst them AP-1. Various cytokine promoters, e.g., IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IFN-\(\gamma\), and TNF-\(\alpha\) contain cooperative NFAT:AP-1 binding sites which greatly enhance transcription of the respective cytokine (Rao et al., 1997; Chinenov and Kerppola, 2001; Macian et al., 2001; Hermann-Kleiter and Baier, 2010). Of note, AP-1 binding to the IL-2 promoter becomes undetectable in the absence of NFAT (Jain et al., 1993). Interestingly, it could be shown that differences in Ca\(^{2+}\) influx change the number of IL-2 expressing human CD4\(^{+}\) T cells rather than the amount of IL-2 expression per cell, suggesting an all-or-nothing response in Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced IL-2 (and IFN-\(\gamma\)) production. NFAT was identified as the molecular switch being either in or outside the nucleus, while nuclear translocation of NF-\(\kappa\)B and expression of exclusive NF-\(\kappa\)B target genes was graded (Podtorschak et al., 2007).

**NF-\(\kappa\)B activation**

In resting T cells, the NF-\(\kappa\)B heterodimer is associated with the cytosolic inhibitor of \(\kappa\)B (I\(\kappa\)B), which masks its nuclear localization sequence (Vallabhapurapu and Karin, 2009). Several NF-\(\kappa\)B and I\(\kappa\)B family members exist, from which the p65:p50:I\(\kappa\)B\(\alpha\) complex is the most prominent NF-\(\kappa\)B:I\(\kappa\)B\(\alpha\) complex in T cells. After TCR triggering, DAG is important for localization and, thus, activation of PKC\(\theta\) (Isakov and Altman, 2002). PKC\(\theta\) activates the NF-\(\kappa\)B pathway by modulation of the CARMA1:Blc-10:MAIT1 (CBM) complex, which then activates the trimeric IKK complex comprising the catalytic subunits IKK\(\alpha\) and IKK\(\beta\) as well as the regulatory subunit IKK\(\gamma\). The catalytic subunits of the active IKK complex phosphorylate I\(\kappa\)B\(\alpha\), leading to I\(\kappa\)B\(\alpha\) polyubiquitination and subsequently its proteosomal degradation, allowing for translocation of NF-\(\kappa\)B to the nucleus. Importantly, NF-\(\kappa\)B activation is also influenced by Ca\(^{2+}\) (see below).

The NF-\(\kappa\)B complex itself can be phosphorylated and acetylated, which regulates its transcriptional activity and association with other transcription factors (Li and Verma, 2002; Perkins, 2006). For example, phosphorylation of p65 on serine (Ser)529 and Ser536 in its transactivation domain by IKKiB may enhance activity (Sakurai et al., 1999).

**The AP-1 pathway**

The AP-1 pathway is initiated by a MAPK cascade leading to activation of MAPKs, such as ERK, JNK, and p38 (Dong et al., 2002). LAT recruits certain proteins, which are important for MAPK activation via Ras and Rac. Recent data led to the assumption that in T cells, p38 is not only activated by the classical MAPK cascade but also, and primarily, via an alternative pathway characterized by direct phosphorylation of p38 by ZAP-70 and subsequent p38 autophosphorylation (Mittelstadt et al., 2009). MAPKs promote expression, phosphorylation, and activation of Fos and Jun, which together comprise the AP-1 transcription factor (Johnson and Lapadat, 2002). In contrast to NF-\(\kappa\)B and NFAT, the AP-1 pathway is largely independent of PLC\(\gamma\), but DAG can enhance Ras recruitment and activation and, thus, ERK1/2 signaling. In addition, calcineurin can contribute to JNK activation (Werlen et al., 1998), however, JNK1/2 seem to be dispensable for primary activation and IL-2 production of T cells (Sabapathy et al., 2001).

**TCSR SIGNALING IN Tcons UPON Treg-MEDIATED SUPPRESSION**

Previously, our group showed that rapid suppression of Thl cytokine transcription in human Tcons by Tregs occurs immediately after induction of mRNA transcription (Oberle et al., 2007). The suppression mechanism was independent of TGF-\(\beta\) and IL-10, IL-2 consumption, initiation of apoptosis, and induction of anergy-related genes in suppressed Tcons. As Tcon inhibition was detected very rapidly, we asked whether Tregs may directly disrupt key components of TCR signaling which could impede Tcon cytokine transcription and, consequently, Tcon function. Indeed, our recent data show that pre-activated human Tregs rapidly suppressed TCR-induced signaling events in Tcons (Schmidt et al., 2011). In detail, TCR-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) release from intracellular stores and, consequently, Ca\(^{2+}\) influx was blocked immediately after TCR stimulation in suppressed Tcons. Inhibition of Ca\(^{2+}\) signals resulted in decreased NFAT1 dephosphorylation and NFAT target gene expression. Also NF-\(\kappa\)B activation, as detected by phosphorylation of IKK, I\(\kappa\)B\(\alpha\), and p65 as well as target gene expression, was strongly and rapidly inhibited. Ca\(^{2+}\) suppression appears to be causative for suppression of NFAT, NF-\(\kappa\)B, and IL-2, as suppression of either one could be abrogated by artificial Ca\(^{2+}\) store depletion. In contrast, Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent events such as TCR-proximal signaling and the AP-1 pathway were not affected in suppressed Tcons. Interestingly, PLC\(\gamma\)1 phosphorylation as well as IP\(\gamma\) generation were not altered in suppressed Tcons. Therefore, Tregs inhibit Ca\(^{2+}\) store depletion in Tcons via so far unknown mechanisms.

A summary of these results is shown in Figure 3 and discussed in more detail below.

**TCSR-proximal and AP-1 signaling**

To our knowledge, there are no studies regarding phosphorylation of TCR signaling molecules in CD4\(^{+}\) Tcons upon suppression by Tregs. An approach using human CD8\(^{+}\) T cells demonstrated that CD3\(\gamma\) and ZAP-70 phosphorylation was unaffected by Tregs, though activation of ERK was inhibited (Baatar et al., 2009). In
FIGURE 3 | Tregs suppress particular TCR signaling pathways in Tcons. Activated Tregs rapidly suppress cytokine expression in Tcons via inhibition of Ca^{2+} signals and consequently reduced NF-κB and NFAT activation. Suppression by Tregs requires at least 30 min of coculture, is favored by cell contact and sustained after Treg removal.

Red indicates Treg-mediated suppression of TCR-induced activation in Tcons. Molecules displayed in green were not inhibited during Treg-mediated rapid suppression of cytokine transcription. Molecules in gray were not analyzed or TCR-induced activation was not detectable.

contrast, during rapid suppression of CD4^{+} Tcons, we did not find inhibition of the AP-1 pathway. This discrepancy might be due to differences between CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cells or to the coculture setup as Baatar et al. used non-pre-activated Tregs and cocultures were set up 6 h before stimulation.

**Suppression of calcium signaling in Tcons**

At first glance, our results seem to be in contrast with two other studies which claim that Tregs do not inhibit initial TCR signaling in target T cells. However, the experimental setup differs. Tang and Krummel (2006) analyzed TCR-induced Ca^{2+} signals in suppressed murine T cells in contact with freshly isolated Tregs by microscopic Ca^{2+} measurements. The authors used a TCR-transgenic system and stimulated with peptide-pulsed B cells. They did not observe suppression of Ca^{2+} signals in Tcons and concluded that Tregs do not influence TCR-proximal and Ca^{2+} signaling. However, using non-pre-activated Tregs, Ca^{2+} suppression may not be detectable as Tregs might not be sufficiently activated within the short time period of these experiments to obtain suppressive capacities (unpublished observation). In addition, species differences might account for the discrepancy between these results and ours. Another study found that sustained Ca^{2+} increase in human T helper cells was not affected by Tregs when they were stimulated with superantigen-pulsed B cells (Esquerre et al., 2008). A main difference between these experiments and ours is that the authors explored the effect of expanded Tregs on the effector phase of the immune response and, thus, as target cells they used pre-activated T helper cells which were expanded at least 14 days *in vitro*. These cells might be less susceptible to Treg-mediated Ca^{2+} suppression and/or more reactive to stimulation than resting CD4^{+}CD25^{-} Tcons as used in our study. In addition, stimulation by superantigen-pulsed B cells might be stronger than the anti-CD3/anti-CD28 antibody stimulation used by us. As the authors do not provide data on the initial stimulation-induced increase in Ca^{2+} concentrations in T helper cells but focus on the sustained Ca^{2+} signal, it cannot be discussed whether Tregs would influence the initial increase in Ca^{2+} immediately after being stimulated by the APC, as we observe for Tcons stimulated with crosslinked anti-CD3/anti-CD28 antibodies. Of note, we also detected rapid suppression of NF-κB signaling and IL-2 transcription when we used APCs plus anti-CD3 antibodies for stimulation, although we did not perform Ca^{2+} analyses.
in this setting. Interestingly, Esquerre et al. found that human Tregs (which were in vitro expanded for at least 14 days) inhibited polarization of T helper cell IFN-γ toward the APC in a TGF-β-dependent manner, while inhibition of proliferation and IFN-γ production was not affected by TGF-β blocking. Together, these data again emphasize that Tregs seem to employ different mechanisms of suppression, depending on the activation state of the Tregs themselves as well as of the responder T cells and suggest differences in inhibition of priming versus inhibition of effector function.

We found that Tregs inhibited Ca²⁺ signaling without involvement of TCR-proximal signaling molecules, including PLCγ1. Similarly, a report by Gri et al. (2008) shows Treg-mediated suppression of Ca²⁺ signals in murine mast cells in a PLCγ2-independent fashion. However, suppression of Ca²⁺ signals in mast cells did not affect Ca²⁺ store depletion but rather involved a cAMP-dependent suppression of Ca²⁺ influx through the plasma membrane. The authors proposed that cAMP alters the membrane potential through unknown mechanisms and decreases permeability of Ca²⁺ channels, as demonstrated for mast cells previously. This suppression was dependent on interaction of OX40 on Tregs with OX40L on mast cells. In our setup, OX40 is likely not involved since OX40L is not expressed by T cells, but mainly by APCs. Though suppression of Ca²⁺ signals might be a general mechanism of Treg action, we describe a cAMP-independent rapid suppression of Ca²⁺ store depletion in human T cells. This Ca²⁺ suppression resulted in immediate inhibition of NF-κB and NFAT activation and, consequently, IL-2 transcription. However, we still observed a late effect with a cAMP antagonist on IL-2 mRNA suppression upon stimulation for approximately 5 h (unpublished observation), which is in line with results by others using murine Tcons (Bopp et al., 2007). Interestingly, we found that IFN-γ suppression was not affected by the cAMP antagonist (unpublished observation). In general, suppression mechanisms might be diverse regarding different cytokines, e.g., by the influence of promoter-specific repressors such as ICER.

The exact mechanism through which Tregs inhibit Ca²⁺ store depletion in Tcons remains elusive. Since IP3 amounts were unaltered, IP3 metabolism seems unchanged. Treg pre-activation was required to observe this rapid suppression, yet it is unknown which molecular changes take place in Tregs during pre-activation. Furthermore, the receptor for Tregs on Tcons remains elusive; however, our results indicate that triggering of this unknown receptor must lead to a suppressive signal within approximately 30 min. It is tempting to speculate that this rapid process involves signaling via phosphatases or kinases in Tcons. Suppression might involve yet unknown modifications of the IP3R which hinder its interaction with IP3. Future studies will be needed to address these questions and decipher the mechanism how Tregs inhibit Ca²⁺ signals in Tcons. In addition, it would be interesting to analyze potential differences in Ca²⁺ suppression when distinct Treg subsets are used. Further, there may be differences in suppression of diverse target T cell types. Although Tregs can suppress Th1, Th2, and Th17 cytokines, one could speculate that Ca²⁺ suppression may be irrelevant for Th17 suppression, since IP3R-mediated Ca²⁺ release is needed for initial IL-2 and IFN-γ production, but negatively regulates IL-17 production (Nagalakkar et al., 2008). Of note, several studies found that Th17 cells tend to be refractory to Treg-mediated suppression (Stummvoll et al., 2008; Chauhan et al., 2009; Vercoulen et al., 2009), although the underlying mechanism remains to be investigated.

**NFAT1 suppression**

In addition to the rapid suppression of NFAT1 dephosphorylation we described, it is possible that after several hours of Treg:Tcon interaction, NFAT also exerts a repressive role by forming inhibitory complexes with other transcription factors on cytokine promoters, as described for NFAT:ICER complexes. Different roles of NFAT depending on the experimental readout are also implied by data from Vaeth et al. (2011) who observed increased NFAT2 nuclear translocation upon contact to Tregs in an adoptive T cell transfer model while in an in vitro coculture assay of murine Tcons and Tregs in the presence of APCs, they show decreased NFAT2 nuclear translocation. The latter result corresponds to our data for human NFAT1. Vaeth et al. analyzed NFAT2:ICER translocation not earlier than 24 h after stimulation. We could not detect NFAT2 in primary human Tcons upon short-term stimulation (unpublished observation), in line with reports describing that NFAT2/αA, the most prominent NFAT2 isoform in peripheral T cells, is only weakly expressed in the naïve state and induced following CD3/CD28 stimulation (Serfling et al., 2006). In conclusion, NFAT2:ICER complexes may play a role in suppression of pre-activated T cells or within long-term suppression assays, but do not seem to be involved in resting T cells. In addition, other NFAT family members may have a dual role in early versus late suppression mechanisms.

**Suppression of NF-κB signaling in Tcons downstream of PKCθ**

To our knowledge, except for our report (Schmidt et al., 2011) there are no publications comparing IKK and IκBζ phosphorylation or NF-κB activation in suppressed and control Tcons. Yet one study showed that Tregs inhibit TLR-induced NF-κB activation in murine macrophages, suggesting suppression of NF-κB as a common mechanism of Treg-mediated suppression (Li et al., 2010). However, Li et al. cannot exclude that NF-κB suppression is a secondary effect due to increased IL-10 and TGF-β levels in the suppressed macrophages.

As artificial Ca²⁺ store depletion could abrogate Treg-mediated suppression, we hypothesize that suppression of Ca²⁺ signals causes suppression of NF-κB. However, the exact mechanism through which Ca²⁺ influences NF-κB in T cells and how Tregs interfere here remains to be resolved. Calcineurin, which is activated by Ca²⁺, synergistically contributes to degradation of IκBζ when PKCθ is active (Frantz et al., 1994; Feske, 2007). As shown in Jurkat and primary T cells, this effect is probably due to activation of IκBζ by calcineurin and PKCθ (Trushin et al., 1999). The effects of Ca²⁺ on the CBM complex are less clear and involve positive as well as negative regulation. On the one hand, the Ca²⁺:calmodulin-dependent kinase CaMKII was shown to enhance NF-κB activation by phosphorylation of CARMA1 (Ishiguro et al., 2006) and Bcl-10 (Oruganti et al., 2011). Furthermore, calcineurin was recently reported to enhance CBM complex formation by dephosphorylation of Bcl-10 at (a) different phosphosite(s) (Frischbutter et al., 2011; Palkowitsch et al., 2011).
the other hand, Ca\(^{2+}\):calmodulin binding to Bcl-10 was shown to reduce association of Bcl-10 with CARMA1 (Edin et al., 2010). Interestingly, we have indications pointing to an unknown and calcineurin-independent role for IKK in rapid TCR-mediated and Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent NF-κB activation. In Tcons which were treated with the calcineurin inhibitor cyclosporin A, NFAT activation could be completely abrogated while IKK phosphorylation was not and IkBα phosphorylation was only slightly affected (unpublished observation). In contrast, when we treated Tcons with the Ca\(^{2+}\)-chelator EGTA, IKK and IkBα phosphorylation was markedly reduced, similar to what we observe upon coculture with Tregs (Schmidt et al., 2011). Yet calcineurin inhibition might add to NF-κB suppression, as p65 phosphorylation was shown to be sensitive to calcineurin inhibitors (Frischbutter et al., 2011).

It remains possible that NF-κB suppression is not only mediated by Ca\(^{2+}\) suppression, but other components of the NF-κB pathway might be inhibited as well. Our data indicate that PKCθ activity is unchanged as PKCθ (Thr538) phosphorylation was not suppressed. Thr538 phosphorylation is important for PKC activity, interaction with IKK, and NF-κB activation. This phosphorylation is generated by the kinase GLK, which is activated downstream of the TCR (Chuang et al., 2011), and also involves PDK1 activation downstream of the costimulatory receptor CD28 (Liu et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2005). However, other phosphorylation sites in PKCθ have been described. Furthermore, PKCθ might also act upstream of PLCγ (Manicassamy et al., 2006a) and may also influence Ca\(^{2+}\) flux though there are controversies in two different studies with PKCθ deficient mice (Sun et al., 2000; Pleilhofer et al., 2003; Manicassamy et al., 2006b). It should be subject to further investigations whether PKCθ recruitment and/or activity or formation of the CBM complex might be changed in suppressed T cells.

Regarding PKC recruitment, one study demonstrated reduced recruitment of PKCθ to the immunological synapse in suppressed murine Tcons (Sumoza-Toledo et al., 2006), yet this was only true when the Treg and the Tcon were of the same antigen specifici ty and had contact to the same APC. Even when a Treg and a Tcon with different antigen specificity had contact to the same APC, which presented both antigens, no suppression of PKCθ recruitment was observed. However, findings of Sumoza-Toledo’s group are not in accordance with many other studies in that they observed suppression of IL-2 and proliferation only when Treg and Tcon were of the same antigen specificity and had contact to the same APC (Tanchot et al., 2004). We used polyclonal human Tcons and Tregs in the absence of APCs, which represents a different setup than the system used by Sumoza-Toledo et al. and renders it unlikely that suppression of PKCθ recruitment is involved in our study regarding direct Treg-mediated rapid cytokine suppression. Accordingly, DAG generation, which is crucial for PKCθ recruitment, was unaffected in suppressed Tcons (Schmidt et al., 2011).

**CONTACT-DEPENDENT CYTOKINE SUPPRESSION IS RETAINED AFTER REMOVAL OF Tregs**

Direct cell contact seemed to be required for suppression of TCR signaling in Tcons, yet the inhibited state of Tcons was sustained after removal of Tregs from the coculture (Schmidt et al., 2011). To be suppressed, Tcons must have had cell contact with pre-activated Tregs for only about 45 min. This implies that Tregs, despite being present in lower numbers than Tcons in vivo, may have the capacity to suppress several Tcons in a sequential fashion. Interestingly, we found that suppression of TCR signaling, IL-2 and IFN-γ transcription as well as IFN-γ secretion was retained upon Treg removal, while suppression of proliferation was not. Also Sojka et al. (2005) found that murine Tregs can be removed from the coculture with Tcons and still render Tcons suppressed, yet in contrast to our results, suppression of proliferation was observed. However, pre-cocultures were done in the presence of APCs, so additional effects of Tregs on B7 expression of APCs cannot be excluded. Our human Tcon:Treg pre-cocultures were done without APCs for 30–90 min. In the study by Sojka et al., 2 h of coculture was the shortest time period investigated, which already resulted in approximately 50% suppression while pre-coculture of ≥12 h resulted in 90% suppression of proliferation.

**SUPPRESSION OF PROLIFERATION VERSUS CYTOKINE PRODUCTION – DIFFERENT MECHANISMS?**

As suggested by others (Tang and Bluestone, 2008), we propose that different mechanisms of suppression might be used by Tregs depending on their activation state, the site of inflammation, effector cell types and point in time of suppression. Furthermore, direct suppression of T cells or indirect suppression via APC inhibition both may be relevant in vivo. Along that line, in addition to suppression of T cell priming and proliferation through inhibition of APCs by Tregs as described by many groups, direct suppression of CD8+T cell effector function independently of repressed proliferation in vivo has been described (Mempel et al., 2006), underscoring the relevance of direct T cell suppression by Tregs. Further, it was shown that Treg-mediated suppression of IFN-γ production by CD4+T cells can occur without concomitant suppression of proliferation in vivo (Sojka and Fowell, 2011). However, the importance of direct suppression of TCR signaling and, consequently, rapid suppression of IL-2 and IFN-γ transcription in vivo remains elusive to date.

Our data might suggest that at least three different mechanisms of human Tcon suppression may operate. First, rapid suppression of Ca\(^{2+}\), NFAT, and NF-κB signaling results in inhibition of cytokine production, which requires Treg pre-activation, is favored by cell contact, retained upon Treg removal and seems to be independent of CTLA-4, APCs, and IL-2 deprivation (Oberle et al., 2007; Schmidt et al., 2011). Second, based on our data, we propose that direct suppression of human Tcon proliferation seems to require prolonged contact to Tregs and may be independent of Ca\(^{2+}\) and cytokine suppression. In line with that, mice with CD4+ T cell-specific double deficiency of STIM1 and STIM2 display massive T cell proliferation despite undetectable store-operated Ca\(^{2+}\) influx (Oh-Hora et al., 2008). In addition, a marked decrease in Treg numbers contributes to lymphoproliferation in these mice. However, alternative modes of Ca\(^{2+}\) entry might compensate for the absence of STIM, e.g., Ca\(_{er}\) calcium channels might operate when their inhibition by STIM1 is lacking (Park et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010). It remains to be shown whether, despite suppression of IL-2 transcription, residual IL-2 might be sufficient to drive proliferation several days after Treg removal. Depending on the strength of stimulation, IL-2 amounts and, thus, the effect on...
proliferation may differ. Third, suppression of APCs by Tregs via CTLA-4 contributes to suppression of Tcon proliferation in the presence of APCs.

**IS CD28 SIGNALING AFFECTED IN Tcons UPON SUPPRESSION BY Tregs?**

Proliferation and activation of T cells does not only require TCR stimulation but also a second, costimulatory signal (Acuto and Michel, 2003). CD28, the most prominent costimulatory receptor, is expressed on naïve and activated T cells and is triggered by its ligands CD80 and CD86, which are expressed on activated APCs and at low levels on long-term activated T cells. Phosphoinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), which generates phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3), is one of the key effectors downstream of CD28 and facilitates activation of PDK1 and one of its targets, the kinase Akt (protein kinase B, PKB). PTEN is a lipid phosphatase that negatively regulates the PI3K/Akt pathway by dephosphorylation of PIP3 into PIP2. TCR-induced activation of the transcription factors AP-1, NFAT, and in particular NF-kB is directly influenced and amplified by CD28 signaling.

Thus far, the influence of Tregs on CD28 signaling in Tcons is unclear, although several hints from the literature support the hypothesis that Tregs might suppress CD28 signaling in addition to TCR signaling: strong activation or strong CD28 costimulation can (partially) overcome suppression of Tcons by Tregs. This was shown for suppression of IL-2 mRNA and protein as well as for proliferation of murine T cells (Thornton et al., 2004a; Sojka et al., 2005), and also for proliferation and IFN-γ secretion of human Tcons (Baecher-Allan et al., 2002), all performed in the presence of APCs. We used CD28 costimulation to achieve detectable phosphorylation of TCR signaling proteins and still observed Treg-mediated suppression. Yet, we have indications that suppression of Ca2+ and of proliferation was abrogated upon increasing the strength of stimulation (unpublished observation).

Reversal of Treg-mediated suppression by CD28 costimulation might involve activation of PI3K/Akt, since different studies imply that hyperactivation of the PI3K/Akt pathway renders Tcons resistant to Treg-mediated suppression (Wohlfert and Clark, 2007). For example, T cell-specific TRAF6 deletion lead to hyperactivation of the PI3K/Akt pathway, resistance to Treg-mediated suppression and T cell-mediated autoimmune disease (King et al., 2006). However, PI3K/Akt-induced autoimmune disease might also be attributed to impaired CD95-mediated T cell apoptosis (Ohashi and Yeh, 2006). In addition, partial resistance to Treg-mediated suppression was conferred by expression of constitutively active Akt in T cells (Pierau et al., 2009) or PI3K/Akt activation by IL-15 (Ben Ahmed et al., 2009). These authors did not find involvement of apoptosis in contrast to Pandiyan et al. (2007) who claim that Tregs deprive responder Tcons of IL-2 or IL-15 and, thus, reduced Akt activation and survival of murine Tcons was observed. Similarly, a slight downregulation of Akt phosphorylation could be demonstrated in CD8+ T cells upon coculture with Tregs, while ZAP-70 was unaffected (Kojima et al., 2005). Furthermore, it was found that effector T cells from juvenile arthritis patients are resistant to suppression due to Akt hyperactivation (Wehrens et al., 2011). In addition, Cbl-b knockout T cells are resistant to suppression (Wohlfert et al., 2004), which might involve functions of Cbl-b in anergy as well as its negative influence on CD28/PI3K signaling. Also NFAT1/4 double knockout T cells, which are partially resistant to suppression (Bopp et al., 2005), do not require CD28 costimulation to be activated (Ranger et al., 1998), suggesting PI3K/Akt hyperactivation in these cells.

Since the PI3K/Akt pathway crosstalks with all main TCR signaling pathways, it might be involved in Treg-mediated rapid suppression. It remains to be analyzed whether hyperactivation of the PI3K/Akt pathway also abrogates Treg-mediated suppression of Ca2+, NF-kB, and NFAT. Further, it remains to be shown whether Tregs might act via inhibition of PI3K/Akt signaling in human Tcons. However, it is unclear how this would directly translate into reduced Ca2+ store depletion without concomitant reduction of, e.g., PLCγ activity. Analyses of known and unknown pathways downstream of CD28 in Tcons upon suppression by Tregs remain subject to further investigation.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

A growing body of evidence indicates that Tregs do not use only one universal mechanism of suppression, but rather an arsenal of different ones. So far, it is unclear how a Treg “decides” which mechanism to apply, and whether it can switch from one to the other and/or apply several modes of suppression simultaneously. Mechanisms of suppression as well as target T cell susceptibility to suppression likely differ depending on tissue site, cell types involved and activation status of target Tcell and Treg. Furthermore, different Treg subsets exist and further research should reveal whether these are specialized on a particular suppressive mechanism.

In the commonly used in vitro suppression assays, not all aspects of suppression can be detected with a single readout or point in time, as suppression of proliferation does not necessarily reflect suppression of effector cytokine production. In addition, effects of Tregs on APCs have to be addressed if these are included in the assay. Furthermore, it was shown by several groups that Tregs have to be TCR-activated in order to be suppressive, which might be particularly important for assays assessing rapid suppression and, thus, leaving little time for the Tregs to get activated during stimulation of the coculture. Therefore, it is important to control for potential effects of the pre-activating reagent itself. A possible control for effects of the pre-activating reagent is the use of pre-activated Tcons in comparison with pre-activated Tregs, however, human pre-activated Tcons themselves might upregulate Foxp3 and/or acquire suppressive function. Another possibility is the use of pre-activating reagents which can be removed completely after Treg pre-activation, such as covalently platebound anti-CD3 antibodies.

The knowledge of molecules and signaling pathways affected in Tcons upon suppression by Tregs might be crucial for their therapeutic manipulation in the future. In cancer, suppression of effector T cells is deleterious and breaking the suppressive state is highly desirable. In contrast, a suppressed state of autoreactive T cells is warranted during autoimmune disease. Further research is required to elucidate which mechanisms of Treg-mediated suppression or of target T cell resistance to suppression are most important in a particular disease, and possible therapeutic interventions have to be performed extremely carefully.
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