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Abstract

A new class of cylindrically symmetric inhomogeneous string cosmological models is investigated. To get the deterministic solution, it has been assumed that the expansion (θ) in the model is proportional to the eigen value \( \sigma_{11} \) of the shear tensor \( \sigma_{ij} \). The physical and geometric aspects of the model are also discussed.
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1 Introduction

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in string cosmology because cosmic strings play an important role in the study of the early universe. These strings arise during the phase transition after the big bang explosion as the temperature goes down below some critical temperature as predicted by grand unified theories (Zel’dovich et al., 1975; Kibble, 1976, 1980; Everett, 1981; Vilenkin, 1981). Moreover, the investigation of cosmic strings and their physical processes near such strings has received wide attention because it is believed that cosmic strings give rise to density perturbations which lead to formation of galaxies (Zel’dovich, 1980; Vilenkin, 1981). These cosmic strings have stress energy and couple to the gravitational field. Therefore, it is interesting to study the gravitational effect which arises from strings by using Einstein’s equations.
The general treatment of strings was initiated by Letelier (1979, 1983) and Stachel (1980). Letelier (1979) obtained the general solution of Einstein's field equations for a cloud of strings with spherical, plane and a particular case of cylindrical symmetry. Letelier (1983) also obtained massive string cosmological models in Bianchi type-I and Kantowski-Sachs space-times. Benerjee et al. (1990) have investigated an axially symmetric Bianchi type I string dust cosmological model in presence and absence of magnetic field using a supplementary condition $\alpha = a\beta$ between metric potential where $\alpha = \alpha(t)$ and $\beta = \beta(t)$ and $a$ is constant. Exact solutions of string cosmology for Bianchi type-II, -V, -VII and -IX space-times have been studied by Krori et al. (1990) and Wang (2003). Wang (2004, 2005, 2006) has investigated bulk viscous string cosmological models in different space-times. Bali et al. (2001, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2007) have obtained Bianchi type-I, -III, -V and type-IX string cosmological models in general relativity. The string cosmological models with a magnetic field are discussed by Chakraborty (1991), Tikekar and Patel (1992, 1994), Patel and Maharaj (1996). Ram and Singh (1995) obtained some new exact solution of string cosmology with and without a source free magnetic field for Bianchi type I space-time in the different basic form considered by Carminati and McIntosh (1980). Singh and Singh (1999) investigated string cosmological models with magnetic field in the context of space-time with $G_3$ symmetry. Singh (1995) has studied string cosmology with electromagnetic fields in Bianchi type-II, -VII and -IX space-times. Lidsey, Wands and Copeland (2000) have reviewed aspects of super string cosmology with the emphasis on the cosmological implications of duality symmetries in the theory. Yavuz et al. (2005) have examined charged strange quark matter attached to the string cloud in the spherical symmetric space-time admitting one-parameter group of conformal motion. Recently Kaluza-Klein cosmological solutions are obtained by Yilmaz (2006) for quark matter attached to the string cloud in the context of general relativity.

Cylindrically symmetric space-time play an important role in the study of the universe on a scale in which anisotropy and inhomogeneity are not ignored. Inhomogeneous cylindrically symmetric cosmological models have significant contribution in understanding some essential features of the universe such as the formation of galaxies during the early stages of their evolution. Bali and Tyagi (1989) and Pradhan et al. (2001, 2006) have investigated cylindrically symmetric inhomogeneous cosmological models in presence of electromagnetic field. Barrow and Kunze (1997, 1998) found a wide class of exact cylindrically symmetric flat and open inhomogeneous string universes. In their solutions all physical quantities depend on at most one space coordinate and the time. The case of cylindrical symmetry is natural because of the mathematical simplicity of the field equations whenever there exists a direction in which the pressure equal to energy density.

Recently Baysal et al. (2001), Kilinc and Yavuz (1996) have investigated some string cosmological models in cylindrically symmetric inhomogeneous universe. In this paper, we have revisited their solutions and obtained a new
class of solutions. Here, we extend our understanding of inhomogeneous string cosmologies by investigating the simple models of non-linear cylindrically symmetric inhomogeneities outlined above. This paper is organized as follows: The metric and field equations are presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we deal with the solution of the field equations in three different cases. Finally, the results are discussed in Section 4. The solutions obtained in this paper are new and different from the other author’s solutions.

2 The Metric and Field Equations

We consider the metric in the form

\[ ds^2 = A^2(dx^2 - dt^2) + B^2dy^2 + C^2dz^2, \]  

where \( A, B \) and \( C \) are functions of \( x \) and \( t \). The Einstein’s field equations for a cloud of strings read as (Letelier, 1983)

\[ G_{ij} = R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}R = -(\rho u_iu_j - \lambda x_ix_j), \]  

where \( u_i \) and \( x_i \) satisfy conditions

\[ u_iu_i = -x_i x_i = -1, \]  

and

\[ u_i x_i = 0. \]  

Here, \( \rho \) is the rest energy of the cloud of strings with massive particles attached to them. \( \rho = \rho_p + \lambda \), \( \rho_p \) being the rest energy density of particles attached to the strings and \( \lambda \) the density of tension that characterizes the strings. The unit space-like vector \( x^i \) represents the string direction in the cloud, i.e. the direction of anisotropy and the unit time-like vector \( u^i \) describes the four-velocity vector of the matter satisfying the following conditions

\[ g_{ij}u^iu^j = -1. \]  

In the present scenario, the comoving coordinates are taken as

\[ u^i = \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{A}\right) \]  

and choose \( x^i \) parallel to \( x \)-axis so that

\[ x^i = \left(\frac{1}{A}, 0, 0, 0\right). \]  

The Einstein’s field equations for the line-element lead to the following system of equations:

\[ G_1 = \frac{B_{44}}{B} + \frac{C_{44}}{C} - \frac{A_4}{A} \left(\frac{B_4}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C}\right) - \frac{A_1}{A} \left(\frac{B_1}{B} + \frac{C_1}{C}\right) - \frac{B_1C_1}{BC} + \frac{B_4C_4}{BC} \]  
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\[ G_2^2 \equiv \left( \frac{A_4}{A} \right)_4 - \left( \frac{A_1}{A} \right)_1 + \frac{C_{44}}{C} - \frac{C_{11}}{C} = 0, \quad (8) \]

\[ G_3^3 \equiv \left( \frac{A_4}{A} \right)_4 - \left( \frac{A_1}{A} \right)_1 + \frac{B_{44}}{B} - \frac{B_{11}}{B} = 0, \quad (9) \]

\[ G_4^4 \equiv -\frac{B_{11}}{B} - \frac{C_{11}}{C} + \frac{A_1}{A} \left( \frac{B_1}{B} + \frac{C_1}{C} \right) + \frac{A_4}{A} \left( \frac{B_4}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C} \right) = 0, \quad (10) \]

\[ G_1^4 \equiv \frac{B_{14}}{B} + \frac{C_{14}}{C} - \frac{A_4}{A} \left( \frac{B_1}{B} + \frac{C_1}{C} \right) - \frac{A_1}{A} \left( \frac{B_4}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C} \right) = 0, \quad (11) \]

where the sub indices 1 and 4 in A, B, C and elsewhere denote differentiation with respect to \( x \) and \( t \), respectively.

The velocity field \( u^i \) is irrotational. The scalar expansion \( \theta \), shear scalar \( \sigma^2 \), acceleration vector \( \dot{u}^i \) and proper volume \( V^3 \) are respectively found to have the following expressions:

\[ \theta = u^i_{;i} = \frac{1}{A} \left( \frac{A_4}{A} + \frac{B_4}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C} \right), \quad (13) \]

\[ \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{ij} \sigma^{ij} = \frac{1}{3} \theta^2 - \frac{1}{A^2} \left( \frac{A_4 B_4}{AB} + \frac{B_4 C_4}{BC} + \frac{C_4 A_4}{CA} \right), \quad (14) \]

\[ \dot{u}_i = u_{i;j} u^j = \left( \frac{A_1}{A}, 0, 0, 0 \right), \quad (15) \]

\[ V^3 = \sqrt{-g} = A^2 BC, \quad (16) \]

where \( g \) is the determinant of the metric (1). Using the field equations and the relations (13) and (14) one obtains the Raychaudhuri’s equation as

\[ \dot{\theta} = \dot{u}^i_{;i} - \frac{1}{3} \theta^2 - 2\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2} \rho_p, \quad (17) \]

where dot denotes differentiation with respect to \( t \) and

\[ R_{ij} u^i u^j = \frac{1}{2} \rho_p. \quad (18) \]

With the help of equations (11) - (17), the Bianchi identity \( T^{ij}_{;j} \) reduced to two equations:

\[ \rho_4 - \frac{A_4}{A} \lambda + \left( \frac{A_4}{A} + \frac{B_4}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C} \right) \rho = 0 \quad (19) \]

and

\[ \lambda_1 - \frac{A_1}{A} \rho + \left( \frac{A_1}{A} + \frac{B_1}{B} + \frac{C_1}{C} \right) \lambda = 0. \quad (20) \]

Thus due to all the three (strong, weak and dominant) energy conditions, one finds \( \rho \geq 0 \) and \( \rho_p \geq 0 \), together with the fact that the sign of \( \lambda \) is unrestricted, it may take values positive, negative or zero as well.
3 Solutions of the Field Equations

As in the case of general-relativistic cosmologies, the introduction of inhomogeneities into the string cosmological equations produces a considerable increase in mathematical difficulty: non-linear partial differential equations must now be solved. In practice, this means that we must proceed either by means of approximations which render the non-linearities tractable, or we must introduce particular symmetries into the metric of the space-time in order to reduce the number of degrees of freedom which the inhomogeneities can exploit.

Here to get a determinate solution, let us assume that expansion ($\theta$) in the model is proportional to the eigen value $\sigma_{11}$ of the shear tensor $\sigma^i_j$. This condition leads to

\[ A = (BC)^n, \]

where $n$ is a constant. Equations (9) and (10) lead to

\[ \frac{B_{44}}{B} - \frac{B_{11}}{C} = \frac{C_{44}}{C} - \frac{C_{11}}{C}. \]

Using (21) in (12), yields

\[ \frac{B_{41}}{B} + \frac{C_{41}}{C} - 2n \left( \frac{B_1}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C} \right) \left( \frac{B_1}{B} + \frac{C_1}{C} \right) = 0. \]

To find out deterministic solutions, we consider the following three cases:

(i) $B = f(x)g(t)$ and $C = h(x)k(t)$,

(ii) $B = f(x)g(t)$ and $C = f(x)k(t)$,

(iii) $B = f(x)g(t)$ and $C = h(x)g(t)$.

The two cases (i) and (ii) are discussed by Baysal et al. (2001) and the last case (iii) is discussed by Kilinc and Yavuz (1996). We revisit their solutions and obtain a new class of solutions for all these cases and discuss their consequences separately below in this paper. Our solutions are different from these author’s solutions.

3.1 Case(i):

$B = f(x)g(t)$ and $C = h(x)k(t)$

In this case equation (23) reduces to

\[ \frac{f_1}{f} = - \frac{(2n - 1)(k_4/k) + 2n(g_4/g)}{(2n - 1)(g_4/g) + 2n(k_4/k)} = K(\text{constant}), \]

which leads to

\[ \frac{f_1}{f} = K \frac{h_1}{h}. \]
and 
\[
\frac{k_4/k}{g_4/g} = \frac{K - 2nK - 2n}{2nK + 2n - 1} = a(\text{constant}).
\]  
(26)

From Eqs. (25) and (26), we obtain
\[
f = \alpha h^K \quad \text{(27)}
\]
and
\[
k = \delta g^a, \quad \text{(28)}
\]
where \(\alpha\) and \(\delta\) are integrating constants. Eq. (22) reduces to
\[
\frac{g_{44}}{g} - \frac{k_{44}}{k} = \frac{f_{11}}{f} - \frac{h_{11}}{h} = N,
\]  
(29)

where \(N\) is a constant. Using the functional values of \(B\) and \(C\) in (22), we obtain
\[
gg_{44} + ag_4^2 = -\frac{N}{a - 1}g^2, \quad \text{(30)}
\]
which leads to
\[
g = \beta^{\frac{1}{a+1}} \cosh^{\frac{1}{a+1}}(bt + t_0), \quad \text{(31)}
\]
where \(\beta\) and \(t_0\) are constants of integration and
\[
b = \sqrt{a(a + 1)}.
\]

Thus from Eq. (28) we get
\[
k = \delta \beta^{\frac{1}{a+1}} \cosh^{\frac{1}{a+1}}(bt + t_0). \quad \text{(32)}
\]

From Eqs. (25) and (29), we obtain
\[
hh_{11} + Kh_1^2 = \frac{N}{K - 1}h^2, \quad \text{(33)}
\]
which leads to
\[
h = \ell^{\frac{1}{K+1}} \cosh^{\frac{1}{K+1}}(rx + x_0), \quad \text{(34)}
\]
where \(\ell\) and \(x_0\) are constants of integration and
\[
r = \sqrt{K(K + 1)}.
\]

Hence from Eq. (27) we have
\[
f = \alpha \ell^{\frac{K}{K+1}} \cosh^{\frac{K}{K+1}}(rx + x_0). \quad \text{(35)}
\]
It is worth mentioned here that equations (30) and (33) are fundamental basic differential equations for which we have reported new solutions given by equations (31) and (34).
Thus, we obtain
\[ B = fg = Q \cosh^{\frac{K}{a+1}}(rx + x_0) \cosh^{\frac{1}{a+1}}(bt + t_0), \] (36)

\[ C = hk = R \cosh^{\frac{K}{a+1}}(rx + x_0) \cosh^{\frac{1}{a+1}}(bt + t_0), \] (37)

and
\[ A = (BC)^n = M \cosh^n(rx + x_0) \cosh^n(bt + t_0), \] (38)

where
\[ Q = \alpha \beta \frac{a}{(a+1)^2}, \]
\[ R = \delta \beta \frac{a}{(a+1)^2}, \]
\[ M = (QR)^n. \]

Hence the metric (1) takes the form
\[ ds^2 = M^2 \cosh^2n(rx + x_0) \cosh^2n(bt + t_0)(dx^2 - dt^2) + \]
\[ Q^2 \cosh^{\frac{2K}{a+1}}(rx + x_0) \cosh^{\frac{2}{a+1}}(bt + t_0)dy^2 + \]
\[ R^2 \cosh^{\frac{2K}{a+1}}(rx + x_0) \cosh^{\frac{2}{a+1}}(bt + t_0)dz^2. \] (39)

By using the following transformation
\[ rX = rx + x_0, \]
\[ Y = Qy, \]
\[ Z = Rz \]
\[ bT = bt + t_0 \] (40)

the metric (39) reduces to
\[ ds^2 = M^2 \cosh^{2n}(rX) \cosh^{2n}(bT)(dX^2 - dT^2) + \]
\[ \cosh^{\frac{2K}{a+1}}(rX) \cosh^{\frac{2}{a+1}}(bT)dY^2 + \cosh^{\frac{2K}{a+1}}(rX) \cosh^{\frac{2}{a+1}}(bT)dZ^2. \] (41)

In this case the physical parameters, i.e. the energy density (\( \rho \)), the string tension density (\( \lambda \)), the particle density (\( \rho_p \)) and kinematical parameters, i.e. the scalar of expansion (\( \theta \)), shear tensor (\( \sigma \)), the acceleration vector (\( \dot{\vec{u}}_i \)) and the proper volume (\( V^3 \)) for the model (41) are given by

\[ \rho = \frac{\left[ b^2 \left( n + \frac{a}{(a+1)^2} \right) \tanh^{2n}(bT) + r^2 \left( n + \frac{K}{(K+1)^2} \right) \tanh^2(rX) - r^2 \right]}{M^2 \cosh^{2n}(rX) \cosh^{2n}(bT)}, \] (42)

\[ \lambda = \frac{\left[ -b^2 \left( n + \frac{a}{(a+1)^2} \right) \tanh^{2n}(bT) - r^2 \left( n + \frac{K}{(K+1)^2} \right) \tanh^2(rX) + b^2 \right]}{M^2 \cosh^{2n}(rX) \cosh^{2n}(bT)}, \] (43)
\[
\rho_p = \left[ 2b^2 \left( n + \frac{K}{(n+1)^2} \right) \tanh^{2n} (bT) + 2r^2 \left( n + \frac{K}{(n+1)^2} \right) \tanh^2 (rX) - b^2 - r^2 \right]
\frac{M^2 \cosh^{2n} (rX) \cosh^{2n} (bT)}{M^2 \cosh^{2n} (rX) \cosh^{2n} (bT)},
\]
(44)

\[
\theta = \frac{b(n+1) \tanh (bT)}{M \cosh^n (rX) \cosh^n (bT)}.
\]
(45)

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{b^2 \tanh^2 (bT) [(a+1)^2 (n^2 - n + 1) - 3a]}{3(a+1)^2 M^2 \cosh^{2n} (rX) \cosh^{2n} (bT)}.
\]
(46)

\[
\dot{u}_i = \left( nr \tanh (rX), 0, 0, 0 \right),
\]
(47)

\[
V^3 = \sqrt{-g} = \cosh^{2n+1} (rX) \cosh^{2n+1} (bT).
\]
(48)

From equations (45) and (46), we obtain

\[
\frac{\sigma^2}{g^2} = \frac{(a+1)^2 (n^2 - n + 1) - 3a}{3(n+1)^2 (a+1)^2} = \text{(constant)}.
\]
(49)

The models (41) represents expanding, shearing and non-rotating universe. If we choose the suitable values of constants \( K \) and \( M \), we find that energy conditions \( \rho \geq 0, \rho_p \geq 0 \) are satisfied. Since \( \frac{\sigma^2}{g^2} \) is constant throughout, hence the model does not approach isotropy. In this solution all physical and kinematical quantities depend on at most one space coordinate and the time.

### 3.2 Case(ii):

\( B = f(x)g(t) \) and \( C = f(x)k(t) \)

In this case equation (23) reduces to

\[
(4n-1) \int \frac{f_1}{f} \left( \frac{g_4}{g} + \frac{k_4}{k} \right) = 0.
\]
(50)

The equation (50) leads to three cases:

1. \( a \) \( n = \frac{1}{4} \),
2. \( b \) \( \frac{f_1}{f} = 0 \),
3. \( c \) \( \frac{g_4}{g} + \frac{k_4}{k} = 0 \).

The case (a) reduces the number of equation to four but, with five unknowns which requires additional assumption for a viable solution. In the case (b), the model turns to be a particular case to the Bianchi type-I model. Therefore we consider the case (c) only.
Using condition (c) in equation (22) leads to

\[ \frac{g_{44}}{g} = \frac{k_{44}}{k}, \quad (51) \]

By using condition (c) in (51), we get

\[ g = e^{LT}, \quad k = e^{-LT}, \quad (52) \]

where \( T = t + \frac{t_0}{b} \), \( t_0 \), \( b \), and \( L \) are constants. From equations (41) or (42) and (52), we have

\[ f f_{11} - \frac{2n}{2n + 1} f_1^2 - \frac{L^2}{2n + 1} f^2 = 0. \quad (53) \]

Solving (53), we obtain

\[ f = \ell^{2n+1} \cosh^{2n+1}(M_0 x + x_0), \quad (54) \]

where

\[ M_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{2n + 1}. \]

and \( \ell \) and \( x_0 \) are constants of integration.

It is important to mention here that (53) is the basic equation for which new solution is obtained as given by (54).

Hence, we obtain

\[ B = fg = Q_0 e^{LT} \cosh^{2n+1}(M_0 x + x_0) \quad (55) \]

and

\[ C = fk = Q_0 e^{-LT} \cosh^{2n+1}(M_0 x + x_0), \quad (56) \]

where \( Q_0 = \ell^{2n+1} \). Therefore

\[ A = (BC)^n = N_0 \cosh^{2n(2n+1)}(M_0 x + x_0), \quad (57) \]

where \( N_0 = Q_0^{2n} \).

After suitable transformation of coordinates the metric (1) reduces to the form

\[ ds^2 = N_0^2 \cosh^{2m}(M_0 x)(dX^2 - dT^2) + Q_0^2 \cosh^m(M_0 X)(e^{2LT}dY^2 + e^{-2LT}dZ^2), \quad (58) \]

where \( m = 2(2n + 1) \).

In this case the physical parameters \( \rho, \lambda, \rho_p \) and kinematical parameters \( \theta, \sigma, \dot{u}_i \) and \( V^3 \) for the model (58) are given by

\[ \rho = \frac{L^2}{N_0^2(2n + 1) \cosh^{2m}(M_0 X)} \left[ (4n + 1)(2n - 1) \tanh^2(M_0 X) - (2n + 3) \right], \quad (59) \]
\[ \lambda = -\frac{L^2}{N_0^2 \cosh^{2nm}(M_0 X)} \left[ (4n + 1) \tanh^2(M_0 X) - 1 \right], \]  
\[ \rho_p = \frac{4L^2}{N_0^2(2n + 1) \cosh^{2nm}(M_0 X)} \left[ n(4n + 1) \tanh^2(M_0 X) - (n + 1) \right], \]  
\[ \theta = 0, \]  
\[ \sigma^2 = \frac{L^2}{N_0^2 \cosh^{2nm}(M_0 X)}, \]  
\[ \dot{u}_i \left( nmM_0 \tanh(M_0 X), 0, 0, 0 \right), \]  
\[ V^3 = \sqrt{-g} = Q_0^m \cosh^{m(2n+1)}(M_0 X). \]

In this case the expansion \( \theta \), in model (58), is zero. With the help of physical and kinematical parameters, we can determine some physical and geometric features of the model. All kinematical quantities are independent of \( T \). In general, the model represents non-expanding, non-rotating and shearing universe. The acceleration vector \( \dot{u} \) is zero for \( n = 0, n = -\frac{1}{2} \). Choosing suitable values for \( n \), we find that energy conditions \( \rho \geq 0, \rho_p \geq 0 \) are satisfied. The solutions identically satisfy the Bianchi identities given by (19) and (20). In this solution all physical and kinematical quantities depend on at most one space coordinate.

### 3.3 Case(iii):

\[ B = f(x)g(t) \quad \text{and} \quad C = h(x)g(t) \]

In this case equation (23) reduces to

\[ (4n - 1)\frac{g_4}{g} \left( \frac{f_1}{f} + \frac{h_1}{h} \right) = 0. \]  

The equation (66) leads to three cases:

1. \( n = \frac{1}{4} \),
2. \( \frac{g_4}{g} = 0 \),
3. \( \frac{f_1}{f} + \frac{h_1}{h} = 0 \).

The case (a) reduces the number of equation to four but, with five unknowns which requires additional assumption for a viable model. In the case (b), which infers a constant \( g \) refers to the static solution. Therefore we consider the case

\[ \frac{f_1}{f} + \frac{h_1}{h} = 0. \]  
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which produces non-static and physically meaningful solution as follows. Equation (67) leads to
\[
\frac{f^{11}}{f} = \frac{h^{11}}{h}.
\] (68)

Equation (68), after integrating, gives
\[
f = e^{L_0 X}, \quad h = e^{-L_0 X},
\] (69)
where \(X = x + \frac{x_0}{r}\) and \(x_0, r, L_0\) are constants. From equations (9) or (10) and (69), we have
\[
g_{44} - \frac{2n}{2n+1}g_4^2 - \frac{L_0^2}{2n+1}g^2 = 0,
\] (70)
which after integration gives
\[
g = \ell_0^{2n+1} \cosh^{2n+1}(K_0 t + t_0),
\] (71)
where \(K_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n+1}}\) and \(\ell_0, t_0\) are constants of integration. It is important to mention here that (70) is the basic equation for which new solution is obtained as given by (71).

Thus we obtain
\[
B = f g = D e^{L_0 X} \cosh^{2n+1}(K_0 t + t_0)
\] (72)
and
\[
C = h g = D e^{-L_0 X} \cosh^{2n+1}(K_0 t + t_0),
\] (73)
where \(D = \ell^{2n+1}\). Therefore
\[
A = (BC)^n = P \cosh^{2n(2n+1)}(K_0 t + t_0),
\] (74)
where \(P = D^{2n}\).

After suitable transformation of coordinates the metric (11) reduces to the form
\[
ds^2 = P^2 \cosh^{2ns}(K_0 T)(dX^2 - dT^2) + D^2 \cosh^s(K_0 T) (e^{2L_0 X} dY^2 + e^{-2L_0 X} dZ^2),
\] (75)
where \(s = 2(2n + 1)\).

In this case the physical parameters \(\rho, \lambda, \rho_p\) and kinematical parameters \(\theta, \sigma, \dot{u}_i\) and \(V^3\) for the model (75) are given by
\[
\rho = \frac{K_0^3 (4n + 1)(2n + 1)^2 \tanh^2(K_0 T) - L_0^2}{P^2 \cosh^{2n^2}(K_0 T)},
\] (76)
\[
\lambda = \frac{K_0^2 [(4n + 2) - (4n + 1)(4n^2 - 1) \tanh^2(K_0 T)] + L_0^2}{P^2 \cosh^{2ns}(K_0 T)},
\] (77)
\[ \rho_p = \frac{K_0^2[4n(2n + 1)(4n + 1) \tanh^2(K_0 T) - (4n + 2)] - 2L_0^2}{P^2 \cosh^{2n_s}(K_0 T)}, \quad (78) \]

\[ \theta = \frac{K_0 s(n + 1) \tanh(K_0 T)}{P \cosh^{n_s}(K_0 T)}, \quad (79) \]

\[ \sigma^2 = \frac{K_0 s^2(2n - 1)^2 \tanh^2(K_0 T)}{12P^2 \cosh^{2n_s}(K_0 T)}, \quad (80) \]

\[ \dot{u}_i = (0, 0, 0, 0) \quad (81) \]

\[ V^3 = \sqrt{-g} = (PD)^2 \cosh^{(2n+1)s}(K_0 T). \quad (82) \]

Therefore

\[ \frac{\sigma^2}{\bar{g}^2} = \frac{4n^2 - 4n + 1}{12(n + 1)^2} = \text{constant}. \quad (83) \]

The models (75) represents an expanding, shearing and non-rotating universe. We find that energy conditions \( \rho \geq 0, \rho_p \geq 0 \) are satisfied if we choose \( n > -\frac{1}{4} \) and \( L_0 \neq 0 \) and we get physically significant string cosmology model. The energy density \( \rho \), the string tension density \( \lambda \) and particle density \( \rho_p \) at all finite spatial location tend to constant value as \( T \to 0 \). Since we observe that \( \frac{\sigma^2}{\bar{g}^2} \) is constant throughout, hence the model does not approach isotropy. The solutions identically satisfy the Bianchi identities given by (19) and (20). In this solution all physical and kinematical quantities depend on at most one time coordinate.

### 4 Concluding Remarks

In the study, we have presented a new class of exact solutions of Einstein’s field equations for inhomogeneous cylindrically symmetric space-time with string sources which are different from the other author’s solutions. In these solutions all physical quantities depend on at most one space coordinate and the time.

In case (i), the models (41) represents an expanding, shearing and non-rotating universe and all physical and kinematical parameters depend on at most one space coordinate and the time.

In case (ii), the model (58) represents non-expanding, non-rotating and shearing universe. The solutions identically satisfy the Bianchi identities given by (19) and (20). In this solution all physical and kinematical quantities depend on at most one space coordinate.

In case (iii), the models (75) represents expanding, shearing and non-rotating universe. The solutions identically satisfy the Bianchi identities given by (19) and (20). In this solution all physical and kinematical quantities depend on at most one time coordinate.
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