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ABSTRACT

Sediment sampling and longitudinal river-bottom surveys were conducted along the thalweg on the Tanana River near the city of Nenana, Alaska, USA, to provide basic information for the engineering design requirements of hydrokinetic devices to be deployed in the area. The study reach was located at approximately 64°33′50″N and 149°04′W. The Tanana is a large glacier-fed river, with open-water flow conditions from May to October. The river presents a single channel in the study area. Granulometric analyses of sediment moving near the riverbed reveals the coexistence of three distinctive types of sediment along the study reach: 1) nearly uniform fine sand; 2) bimodal distributions containing fine sand and medium gravel; and 3) medium gravel. Preliminary relationships between sediment loads and discharge were developed. Dunes with small superimposed dunes were found along the reach. The basic geometric parameters (i.e., wavelength and height) of dunes were measured, and steepness was calculated. In general, dune wavelength increased with increasing discharge. Dune wavelengths ranged from 41 to 67 m, while small-dune wavelengths ranged from 13 to 16 m. Steepness increased slightly with increasing discharge.
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1. Introduction

For decades, river mechanics have received the attention of numerous researchers. Studies have involved field, laboratory, and theoretical work, or combinations thereof. Aspects covered in the literature range from basic hydraulics to fish habitat conditions. An excellent summary of the state of the art in river studies is provided in the recently published ASCE Manual 110 [1].

Generally, a particular set of equations is used for estimating the geometric parameters, roughness coefficient, and sediment transport of sand-bed rivers and gravel-bed rivers. Literature on the different processes of sand-bed rivers is extensive [2-5]. Empirical and mechanistic analyses of gravel-bed rivers are widely available [6-8].

Extensive research has been conducted on dunes as a particular type of bed form. Fernandez et al. [9] reported that dunes are found on sand-bed and gravel-bed rivers. Best [10] completed a comprehensive review of dunes. Numerical work on dune evolution and dune migration is also available [11-13]. Linking dunes and eco-hydrology, Amsler et al. [14] discussed the influence of dunes on macro-invertebrates on the Parana River (Argentina).

Batalla and Martin-Vide [15] studied the coexistence of sand and gravel sediment in rivers. Kleinhans [16] discussed the importance of dunes in sand-gravel river transport processes. Other authors [17-19] presented sediment-transport equations for sand-gravel rivers.

Several publications are available on the variability of bed load [20-24]. Similarly, the literature is vast on samplers, such as the Helley-Smith sampler [25], and/or instruments, such as piezoelectric sensors and geophones, used in the field to calculate bed load [26-29]. The cross-sectional variation in suspended sediment load is relatively small compared with the variation in bed load. Several approaches are available for estimating the suspended sediment load. These methodologies consider measurements in a single vertical or in multiple verticals across the entire river width [30]. Thus, the error increases with decreasing number of verticals in the river cross section.

Engineering work conducted in a river setting requires knowledge of local sediment transport conditions and bed form characteristics. With this in mind, basic sediment and bedform data along the thalweg on the Tanana River near the city of Nenana, Alaska, are presented here. The information provided in this article is key to the design of anchoring systems and studies related to blade abrasion of hydrokinetic devices. The characterization of this river reach is part of the ongoing research focused on hydrokinetic resource assessment [31].
2. Study Site

Fieldwork was carried out on the Tanana River near Nenana, Alaska, USA. The approximate midpoint of the study reach is located at 64°33'50"N and 149°04'W. The river presents a single channel in the study area, with a relatively straight reach that encompasses the upstream and middle zones, followed by a sharp bend in the downstream area (Figure 1). The riverbank along the reach is formed by relatively fine and cohesive sediment. The outer bank along the river bend is formed by hard rocks, naturally available in that area.

The Tanana River, a tributary of the Yukon River, is a large glacier-fed river that drains approximately 113,959 km². Glacier-fed rivers are characterized by high sediment loads. The annual suspended-sediment load for the Tanana River at Nenana is estimated at $38 \times 10^6$ tons [32].

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) operates a gauging station (ID 15515500) near the study site. Historical average monthly discharge during the open-water season (i.e., May to October) ranges from 495.5 m³/s to 1704.7 m³/s. Figure 2 shows the seasonal hydrograph for year 2010. Winter discharge ranges from 184.6 m³/s to 269.9 m³/s.

3. Equipment

River bottom surveys (and velocity measurements) were carried out using a Teledyne RDI Rio Grande 1200 KHz Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP). The ADCP unit was mounted onto a 6-meter-long aluminum boat equipped with a 30 HP outboard motor. A sounding reel (type B-56, Model 3260) and a retractable boom were located at the bow of the boat for sediment sampling. The boat was also equipped with a radio and a NovAtel GPS rover station. A second NovAtel GPS was used as an onshore base station. This GPS system provided real-time kinematic (RTK) positioning to the ADCP.

Suspended-sediment samples were taken with a depth-integrating suspended-sediment sampler type US D-77. Sediment to estimate bed load was captured with a Helley-Smith sampler, Model 8055. These two samplers proved adequate for sampling the Tanana River during the summer months. Smaller and lighter samplers such as a depth-integrating hand-line type US DH-59 and a hand-held bed load sampler type US BLH-84 were used in winter (i.e., when the river was ice-covered).
4. Methodology

As mentioned before, this work is part of a broader research effort that is focused on hydrokinetic resource assessment. As such, and because of budget and logistical constraints, sediment sampling was done along the thalweg, where kinetic energy is strongest, and thus where deployment of hydrokinetic devices is expected. Initial fieldwork started in September 2009 and consisted only of sediment sampling on a nearly monthly basis during the open-water season. Hence, two field campaigns were carried out in 2009 (in September and October). Suspended sediments were sampled using the US D-77 at different points near the upstream, middle, and downstream portions of the study reach. The Helley-Smith sampler was used to capture sediment moving over the riverbed. Sampling time, based on field conditions, ranged from 2 to 5 minutes. While a limited number of bed load samples were taken during each field visit, it is argued here that the available samples could capture the variability of riverbed sediment load along the thalweg.

Minimal sampling was done during the winter months. In fact, a field crew gathered sediment data in ice-covered conditions on 15 April 2010. The smaller sediment samplers (US DH-59 and US BLH-84) were deployed through holes drilled in the ice. Sampling was done in a couple of holes along a river transect in the study area.

The ADCP was used during the 2010 field season. The first open-water campaign was carried out on 24 June 2010. On that day, dunes were found in the center of the river, immediately upstream of the river bend. This sector was surveyed in subsequent field visits. Sediment sampling was also done. Additional field campaigns were performed on 28 July, 9 August, 26 August, and 23 September. Table 1 provides a summary of dates and the types of data collected each day.

On 9 August 2010, water-surface elevations along the river reach were surveyed by personnel from TerraSond, a hydrographic survey company. These elevations were used to calculate the water-surface slope for that day.

Suspended-sediment concentrations, $C$, were calculated following the ASTM standard D 3977-97, Method B [33]. Analyses were not performed to establish the sediment grain-size distributions of suspended sediment. However, sieving analyses following the ASTM standard C136-06 [34] were conducted to establish the grain-size distributions of sediment captured by the Helley-Smith sampler. The analyses were conducted at the University of Alaska Fairbanks, Water and Environmental Research Center.

Finally, bed and suspended loads were calculated. It should be noted here that the term suspended load refers to bed material in suspension and wash load. The basic geometric dimensions ($i.e.$, wavelength, $\lambda$, and height, $\Delta$) of the dunes were measured, and the steepness, $\Delta/\lambda$ of each dune was calculated. ADCP-generated measurements ($i.e.$, velocity distributions and river cross-section geometries) for transects located in the vicinity of the dunes were used to estimate the average cross-sectional
water depth, $H_c$. Based on the previous information, Froude, $F_R$, numbers were also calculated. A discussion of additional measurement details, such as identification of secondary flow cells and forces generated by the flow, is outside the scope of this article. These specifics will be presented and discussed in another manuscript.

5. Results

Suspended-sediment concentrations and local bed sediment loads (i.e., loads calculated using the Helley-Smith sampler) are shown in Table 2. Specifically, minimum, average, and maximum values for each sampled day are provided in the table. River discharge and the number of collected samples are also included in Table 2. A quick inspection of results for a given day indicates that variation in suspended-sediment concentration along the thalweg in the study reach is somewhat limited during summer months, while variation in bed load is enormous. Preliminary bed load and suspended-sediment rating curves along the thalweg based on average values are shown in Figure 3. Both trend lines in the figure are power functions. The corresponding R-squared for each data set is significant, especially for the suspended-sediment concentration.

Preliminary estimates of total suspended load, $Q_{ss}$, can be easily calculated from the available data (i.e., $Q_{ss} = Q \cdot C$). Maximum and minimum calculated values during open-water flow conditions were 4135 and 48 kg/s, respectively. Winter conditions proved to be very close to “clear water” (i.e., $Q_{ss} = 4$ kg/s). Only rough estimates of total bed load, $Q_{sb}$, can be made using the limited information available. Maximum computed $Q_{sb}$ was in the order of 90 kg/s.

Grain-size distributions for sediment collected during 2010 using the Helley-Smith sampler are shown in Figure 4. No data are available for samples collected in 2009, because they were inadvertently misplaced. The horizontal scale in the figure is given in the $\Psi$ scale [35], which is defined as $\ln D / \ln 2$, where $D$ is the diameter in millimeters. An analysis of results reveals three distinctive types of sediments: 1) nearly uniform fine sand; 2) bimodal distributions containing fine sand and medium gravel; and 3) medium gravel. Samples gathered on 24 June contain sediment type 1). Samples taken on 28 July, 26 August, and 23 September show the coexistence of sediment types 1) and 2). Samples collected on 9 August indicate the presence of sediment types 1) and 3).

Well-defined dunes with small superimposed dunes characterized the riverbed in an area located upstream of the river bend. Figure 5 shows some of the bed forms detected on 23 September 2010. The geometric dimensions of dunes and small superimposed dunes were measured, and steepness ratios were calculated. In general, dune wavelength increased with increasing discharge.

### Table 2. Suspended sediment concentrations and bed sediment loads.

| Date                | Discharge \(^1\) (m\(^3\)/s) | Susp. sed. number of samples | Suspended sediment concentration (g/l) | Bed sed. number of samples | Bed Load \(^2\) (g/s) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| 26 September 2009   | 651                           | 3                           | 0.217 0.423 0.744                      | 5 0.040 1.130 4.059       |
| 23 October 2009     | 419                           | 3                           | 0.067 0.115 0.200                      | 5 0.001 0.579 1.111       |
| 15 April 2010       | 200 \(^3\)                   | 2                           | 0.011 0.020 0.029                      | 2 0.007 0.015 0.022       |
| 24 June 2010        | 1215                          | 4                           | 0.817 0.892 0.964                      | 4 4.875 23.059 44.386     |
| 28 July 2010        | 1985                          | 4                           | 0.964 1.564 1.944                      | 5 1.862 17.601 37.883     |
| 9 August 2010       | 1841                          | 5                           | 2.031 2.246 2.649                      | 9 0.034 9.176 32.316      |
| 26 August 2010      | 1291                          | 4                           | 1.068 1.245 1.482                      | 5 1.223 10.404 22.652     |
| 23 September 2010   | 1039                          | 5                           | 0.560 0.956 1.393                      | 5 0.028 0.267 0.360       |

\(^1\)USGS reported values; \(^2\)Point value, from the Helley-Smith sampler, calculated as the ratio between dry sediment weight and sampling time; \(^3\)Ice-covered conditions, data collected by UAF crew.
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Average values were 67, 56, 41, and 46 m on 24 June, 9 August, 26 August, and 23 September, respectively. Additional geometric characteristics of dunes are provided in Table 3. Average values of small-superimposed dune wavelength ranged from 13 to 16 m. Additional geometric characteristics of small superimposed dunes are given in Table 4. Computed minimum, average, and maximum steepness ratios are provided in Table 5. Results indicate that small superimposed dunes are always steeper than dunes. Figure 6 shows the temporal variation of river discharge and the average values reported in Table 5. Figure 7 shows velocity distributions, collected by the ADCP, along river cross sections located in the proximity of the area where dunes were found.

Finally, calculated water-surface slope, $S$, for the study reach on 9 August 2010 was 0.00019.

6. Discussion

As described previously (see Table 2), the variation in suspended-sediment concentration along the thalweg in the river reach is relatively small, while the variation in bed load is big, in spite of the fact that sediment sampling was limited in terms of number of samples and location. These results agree with findings previously published [1,20,36,37].

The ADCP-generated measurements of channel area, width, and velocity (see Figure 7) were used to calculate the Froude number given by the following equation:

$$F_r = \frac{U}{\sqrt{g H o}}$$

where $U$ denotes the cross-sectional average velocity and $g$ denotes gravity. The average cross-sectional depth is obtained as

$$H_o = \frac{A}{B}$$

where $A$ denotes the cross-sectional area and $B$ denotes the channel width. Calculated Froude numbers were 0.21, 0.23, 0.21, and 0.24 for 24 June, 9 August, 26 August, and 23 September, respectively.

In addition, the dimensionless wave-number, $k$, [1] was calculated as

$$k = 2\pi H/\lambda$$

where $H$ denotes the average water depth over dunes. Wave-number values were 0.5 - 0.79 (see Table 6), which were in the range of reported values [1]. These numbers and Froude numbers were plotted in a phase diagram for dunes and antidunes based on linear potential theory (see Figure 2.37 on page 83 in [1]). The points fall in the lower area of the graph where dunes are possible. Thus, the field data are in agreement with a previously published [1,20,36,37].
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Figure 4. Sediment grain-size distributions along the study reach. (a) 24 June; (b) 28 July; (c) 9 August; (d) 26 August; (e) 23 September.

Julien and Klaassen [38] reported the following relationships for dune height and wavelength:

\[ \Delta = C_h \left( \frac{D_{50}}{H} \right)^{0.3} \quad (4) \]

\[ \lambda = C_1 \Delta \left( \frac{D_{50}}{H} \right)^{-0.3} \quad (5) \]

where \( D_{50} \) denotes the median grain size, and \( C_h \) and \( C_1 \) denote coefficients ranging from 0.8 to 8 and 0.5 to 8, respectively. Calculated values for these coefficients,
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Figure 5. Bed forms surveyed on 23 September 2010.

Table 3. Geometric dimensions of dunes.

| Date            | Wavelength (m) | Height (m) |
|-----------------|----------------|------------|
|                 | Minimum       | Average    | Maximum   | Minimum | Average   | Maximum |
| 24 June 2010    | 51.4          | 66.7       | 84.4      | 0.6     | 0.7       | 0.8     |
| 9 August 2010   | 43.0          | 56.4       | 67.1      | 0.6     | 1.2       | 1.7     |
| 26 August 2010  | 30.3          | 41.3       | 53.6      | 0.4     | 0.6       | 0.9     |
| 23 September 2010| 26.9         | 46.5       | 67.8      | 0.5     | 0.7       | 1.0     |

Table 4. Geometric dimensions of small superimposed dunes.

| Date            | Wavelength (m) | Height (m) |
|-----------------|----------------|------------|
|                 | Minimum       | Average    | Maximum   | Minimum | Average   | Maximum |
| 24 June 2010    | 6.4           | 15.7       | 31.8      | 0.2     | 0.3       | 0.6     |
| 9 August 2010   | 7.8           | 14.1       | 22.0      | 0.2     | 0.3       | 0.4     |
| 26 August 2010  | 8.1           | 13.3       | 17.9      | 0.2     | 0.3       | 0.6     |
| 23 September 2010| 8.1         | 15.7       | 24.4      | 0.1     | 0.3       | 0.7     |

Table 5. Steepness ratio of dunes and small superimposed dunes.

| Date            | Dunes          | Superimposed Dunes |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|
|                 | Minimum       | Average            | Maximum   | Minimum | Average | Maximum |
| 24 June 2010    | 0.007          | 0.010              | 0.013     | 0.008    | 0.024   | 0.042   |
| 9 August 2010   | 0.007          | 0.018              | 0.031     | 0.011    | 0.019   | 0.030   |
| 26 August 2010  | 0.008          | 0.016              | 0.030     | 0.010    | 0.027   | 0.056   |
| 23 September 2010| 0.008      | 0.017              | 0.029     | 0.013    | 0.021   | 0.040   |
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Figure 6. Temporal variation of river discharge and dune steepness ratio.

Figure 7. Velocity distributions along river cross-sections. A: 24 June 2010; B: 9 August 2010; C: 26 August 2010; D: 23 September 2010. Cross-sections are viewed looking downstream with left bank on the left-hand side. Velocities are in m/s.
The temporal evolution of dune steepness and discharge (Figure 6) also agrees with trends found in the Rhine River [38].

Table 6. Dune parameters and coefficients for different relationships.

| Date               | λ (m) | Δ (m) | H (m) | i/ΔH | ΔH | k  | C0  | C1  |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-----|----|-----|-----|
| 24 June 2010       | 66.7  | 0.7   | 5.27  | 12.66| 0.14| 0.50| 2.77| 4.56|
| 9 August 2010      | 56.4  | 1.2   | 5.90  | 9.56 | 0.19| 0.66| 4.04| 2.37|
| 26 August 2010     | 41.3  | 0.6   | 5.14  | 8.04 | 0.11| 0.78| 2.24| 3.59|
| 23 September 2010  | 46.5  | 0.7   | 5.81  | 8.00 | 0.13| 0.79| 2.58| 3.11|

The same ratio was calculated for the small superimposed dunes (Table 4). Obtained results were 18% and 0% for wavelength and height, respectively. Thus, one could argue that some links between sediment sizes and steepness may exist.

The knowledge gained in this work, which is mainly related to geometric dimensions of bedforms, near-bed sediment characteristics, and suspended sediment load, provides basic information for the engineering design of anchoring devices and abrasion of components exposed to the water flow in power generation devices. Specifically, geometric bedform dimensions (wavelength and height) give insights on the variation of bed material coverage for subsurface anchor platforms. Suspended sediment loads provide information for maintenance/replacement operations due to sediment abrasion.

7. Conclusions

This work presents preliminary sediment-rating curves and bed form characteristics of the Tanana River near Nenana, Alaska, USA. Fieldwork efforts focused along the thalweg, where hydrokinetic devices are expected to be deployed. The study site is located at 64°33'50"N and 149°04'W. With information from the field and lab, it is possible to report grain-size distributions of sediment moving near the bed as well as the temporal evolution of dune steepness.

Suspended-sediment concentrations are highly correlated with river discharge. The estimated R-squared coefficient is above 0.96, while the same coefficient between local bed load and river discharge is 0.77.

Based on all data collected, one can define the river in the study area as a sand-gravel riverbed, with several types of sediment coexistent in the region: namely, nearly uniform fine sands, fine gravels, and sediment showing a bimodal distribution.

Dunes with small superimposed dunes were found in the center of the river immediately upstream of the river bend. Dune wavelengths ranged from 41 to 67 m, while small-dune wavelengths ranged from 13 to 16 m. Steepness increased a little with increasing discharge. Field data agreed with several published relationships for this type of bed form.

The information provided here can be used for designing anchoring systems and estimating blade abrasion on hydrokinetic devices to be deployed along the study reach.

Based on average data gathered in the Tanana River, ranged from 2.24 to 4.04 and from 2.37 to 4.56 (see Table 6). Thus, the calculated coefficients are in the range of reported values [38].

Bridge [39]—see original work done by Ashley [40]—reported the following empirical relationship for dune height as a function of the wavelength:

\[
\Delta = 0.0677\lambda^{0.8989} \tag{6}
\]

It was found that Equation (6) consistently overpredicted the dune height by a factor of approximately two. Thus, Equation (6) should not be applied to the study area. However, the data agree with the graphs reporting \( \lambda \) and \( \Delta \) as a function of water depth (see Figure 4.13 on page 88 in [39]).

Table 3 indicates that the percentage of change for average wavelengths and dune heights, which are defined here as \( \lambda_{av, max} / \lambda_{av, min} \) and \( \Delta_{av, max} / \Delta_{av, min} \), are 61% and 100% for wavelength and height, respectively. The same ratios were calculated for the small superimposed dunes (Table 4). Obtained results were 18% and 0% for wavelength and height, respectively. Thus, one could argue that in the case of dunes, height controls the steepness ratio; while in the case of small superimposed dunes, wavelength controls the steepness ratio.

Available sediment grain-size distributions indicate that nearly uniform fine sand (see Figure 4(a)—24 June 2010) corresponded to the minimum value of average steepness ratio of dunes (Table 5). Furthermore, when the majority of sediment samples were in the medium-gravel ranges (see Figure 4(c)—9 August 2010), the value of average steepness ratio of dunes was maximum (Table 5). Thus, one could argue that some links between sediment sizes and steepness may exist.

The knowledge gained in this work, which is mainly related to geometric dimensions of bedforms, near-bed sediment characteristics, and suspended sediment load, provides basic information for the engineering design of anchoring devices and abrasion of components exposed to the water flow in power generation devices. Specifically, geometric bedform dimensions (wavelength and height) give insights on the variation of bed material coverage for subsurface anchor platforms. Suspended sediment loads provide information for maintenance/replacement operations due to sediment abrasion.

7. Conclusions

This work presents preliminary sediment-rating curves and bed form characteristics of the Tanana River near Nenana, Alaska, USA. Fieldwork efforts focused along the thalweg, where hydrokinetic devices are expected to be deployed. The study site is located at 64°33'50"N and 149°04'W. With information from the field and lab, it is possible to report grain-size distributions of sediment moving near the bed as well as the temporal evolution of dune steepness.

Suspended-sediment concentrations are highly correlated with river discharge. The estimated R-squared coefficient is above 0.96, while the same coefficient between local bed load and river discharge is 0.77.

Based on all data collected, one can define the river in the study area as a sand-gravel riverbed, with several types of sediment coexistent in the region: namely, nearly uniform fine sands, fine gravels, and sediment showing a bimodal distribution.

Dunes with small superimposed dunes were found in the center of the river immediately upstream of the river bend. Dune wavelengths ranged from 41 to 67 m, while small-dune wavelengths ranged from 13 to 16 m. Steepness increased a little with increasing discharge. Field data agreed with several published relationships for this type of bed form.

The information provided here can be used for designing anchoring systems and estimating blade abrasion on hydrokinetic devices to be deployed along the study reach.
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Notations

The following symbols were used in this article:

\(A\): cross-sectional area;

\(B\): channel width;

\(C\): suspended-sediment concentration;

\(C_a, C_i\): empirical coefficients;

\(D\): sediment diameter;

\(D_m\): median sediment size;

\(F_R\): Froude number;

\(H\): average water depth over dunes;

\(H_{av}\): average cross-sectional water depth;

\(k\): wave-number;

\(Q\): discharge;

\(Q_{bd}\): total bed load;

\(Q_{ss}\): total suspended load;

\(U\): cross-sectional average velocity;

\(S\): water-surface slope;

\(\Delta\): dune (small superimposed dune) height;

\(\Delta_{Av \text{-} \text{max}}\): maximum value of average dune (small superimposed dune) height;

\(\Delta_{Av \text{-} \text{min}}\): minimum value of average dune (small superimposed dune) height;

\(\lambda\): dune (small superimposed dune) wavelength;

\(\lambda_{Av \text{-} \text{max}}\): maximum value of average dune (small superimposed dune) wavelength;

\(\lambda_{Av \text{-} \text{min}}\): minimum value of average dune (small superimposed dune) wavelength;

\(\Delta\): steepness;

\(\Psi\): sediment scale, which is defined as \(\ln(D)/\ln2\).