potential opportunity for vaccination of high-risk patients in order to promote primary prevention in future waves of pandemics.
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Background: Two formulations of investigational bivalent Clostridoides (Clostridium) difficile vaccine (QS-21 adjuvanted toxoid and toxoid-alone) were assessed for safety and immunogenicity in randomized studies in healthy adults 50–85 years of age.

Methods: The Phase 1 study of QS-21 adjuvanted toxoid vaccine randomized subjects 3:1 to 100 μg QS-21-containing C difficile vaccine or placebo; 3 doses were given according to 2 different schedules: a shortened month (Months 0, 1, 3) or day (Days 1, 8, 30) regimen. The Phase 2 toxoid-alone vaccine study randomized subjects 3:1 to receive 100 or 200 μg unadjuvanted C difficile vaccine formulation or placebo in Stages 1 and 2 (sentinel cohorts of different age groups), and 3:1 to receive the selected dose of unadjuvanted C difficile vaccine formulation or placebo in Stage 3. Three doses were given on a day (Days 1, 8, 30) regimen. Safety was the primary outcome for both studies. Immunogenicity was determined by measuring serum toxin A- and B–specific neutralizing antibodies.

Results: In the day regimen, 10 reports across both studies of grade 3 injection site redness postdose 2 triggered predefined stopping rules. Local reactions in both studies were more common among vaccine versus placebo recipients. Injection site pain predominated and was generally mild in severity. Systemic events were infrequent and generally mild-to-moderate in severity. Adverse events were reported by 50.0%/75.0%/16.7%/50.0% of subjects in the QS-21 and toxoid-alone studies, respectively. Immune responses peaked around Day 37 (shortened-month regimen) or between Day 15 and Month 2 (day regimen), and remained above baseline throughout follow-up.

Conclusion: Both formulations demonstrated robust immunogenicity. However, both studies stopped early due to grade 3 injection site redness postdose 2 of the day (Days 1, 8, 30) regimen; neither formulation progressed to later stage development. Instead, an aluminum hydroxide-containing formulation of the vaccine candidate (Days 1, 8, 30) regimen; neither formulation progressed to later stage development.
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Background: Shingrix is a non-live recombinant vaccine approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2017 to prevent shingles and postherpetic neuralgia in immunocompetent adults age 50 years and older. A myriad of local and systemic reactions due to the vaccine have been reported, but diffuse erythematous maculopapular rash and neurological symptoms have not yet been reported in English literature.

Methods: Using Google and PubMed, we searched for relevant case reports and journal articles describing adverse effects related to shingrix vaccination.

Results: A 54-year female without significant past medical history presented with diffuse erythematous maculopapular rash, itching and a feeling of weakness in both legs. Her symptoms started with itching and erythematous macular rash at the site of shingles shot followed by headache, myalgia, and malaise which did not improve much with Benadryl. On examination, she was found to have diffuse erythematous maculopapular rash as shown in Fig 1, but no sensory, motor, cranial nerve or cerebellar signs. Infectious disease was consulted who recommended IV acyclovir considering early varicella with given morphology. The morphology of lesions did not change over a period of times and VZV PCR of lesions came negative hence acyclovir was discontinued after three days. Her symptoms and rash improved over the hospital stay with supportive treatment and was discharged home on day fifth of admission.

Conclusion: The safety of shingrix was evaluated by the pool data from eight clinical trials of more than 10,000 participants. Among the study population, 9.4% had local injection-site reactions including pain, redness, and swelling and 10.8% had systemic events including myalgia, fatigue, headache, shivering, fever, and gastrointestinal symptoms. The nature and duration of rash described in our patient has not been reported in English literature including these clinical trials. Noticing new reactions with broad use of new vaccine is conceivable.
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Background: Influenza vaccine effectiveness decreases with increasing age due to the senescence of immune function and a reduced immune response to antigens. There is also considerable vaccine effectiveness heterogeneity depending on the influenza activity time period, especially in seasons where two different circulating strains predominated, such as the 2018–19 season. This research aimed to assess the effect of age and high influenza activity period (HAIP) on the relative vaccine effectiveness (rVE) of adjuvanted trivalent influenza vaccine (aTIV) vs. trivalent high-dose influenza vaccine (HD-TIV) among elderly (≥65y) recipients in the U.S.

Methods: During the 2018–19 influenza season, a retrospective cohort analysis was conducted using professional fee, prescription claims and hospital charge master data in the U.S. The first sub-analysis evaluated rVE for different age groups (65–74 years, 75–84 years, ≥85 years). The second sub-analysis evaluated rVE overall, restricting the observation period from to HAIP: Dec 2018-Mar 2019 (August 2018–July 2019 in the main analysis). Adjusted analyses were conducted through inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) to control for selection bias. Poisson regression was used to estimate the adjusted pairwise rVE for influenza-related hospitalizations/emergency room (ER) visits and office visits.

Results: Following IPTW, 561,315 recipients of aTIV and 1,672,779 of TIV-HD were identified. Following IPTW adjustment and Poisson regression, aTIV was more effective in reducing influenza-related office visits compared to TIV-HD (7.0% vs 5.5% difference in the 14.1% compared to the 12.1%) in the HAIP sub-analyses. In the age sub-analyses, the rVE favoring aTIV ranged from 5.1% (95% CI: -0.17%–10.1%) for the youngest group (65–74) up to 11.4% (95% CI: 0.6%–21.1%) for the oldest group (≥85y) for influenza-related office visits. No