The quantum group, Harper equation and structure of Bloch eigenstates on a honeycomb lattice
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Abstract

The tight-binding model of quantum particles on a honeycomb lattice is investigated in the presence of a homogeneous magnetic field. Provided the magnetic flux per unit hexagon is a rational of the elementary flux, the one-particle Hamiltonian is expressed in terms of the generators of the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$. Employing the functional representation of the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$, the Harper equation is rewritten as a system of two coupled functional equations in the complex plane. For the special values of quasi-momentum, the entangled system admits solutions in terms of polynomials. The system is shown to exhibit a certain symmetry allowing us to resolve the entanglement, and a basic single equation determining the eigenvalues and eigenstates (polynomials) is obtained. Equations specifying the locations of the roots of polynomials in the complex plane are found. Employing numerical analysis, the roots of polynomials corresponding to different eigenstates are solved and diagrams exhibiting the ordered structure of one-particle eigenstates are depicted.
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1. Introduction

The problem of quantum particles in the presence of a periodic potential and a uniform magnetic field has been the subject of intensive studies for decades. Azbel [1] was the first to point out that the spectral properties of two-dimensional lattice particles have a sensitive dependence on the flux through the plaquette. This observation was later exploited by Hofstadter [2] who found the exotic structure of the one-particle energy spectrum of planar particles on a square lattice in the magnetic field. The same study was later extended for the triangular lattice [3], generalized square lattices [4, 5] and honeycomb lattice [6, 7]. These studies firmly established
the fractal structure of the aforementioned energy spectrum, whose rich and complex nature originates from the presence of two, not necessarily commensurate periods. The first is given by the lattice structure and the second is determined by the magnetic field. The relevant parameter which determines the band structure is the ratio $\Phi/\Phi_0$, where $\Phi$ is the magnetic flux per elementary plaquette and $\Phi_0 = 2\pi (\hbar/e)$ is the magnetic flux quantum.

In 1994, Wiegmann and Zabrodin pointed out [8] that the Hamiltonian responsible for the original result of Hofstadter is closely related to the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$ (for mathematical treatment see [9]). Namely it was shown that the Hamiltonian is expressible in terms of $X^\pm$ generators of the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$: $H = X^+ + X^-$ with a deformation parameter $q$ determined by the applied magnetic field. Employing the functional representation of $U_q(sl_2)$ in the space of polynomials, the Harper equation was reformulated into the functional form where the one-particle eigenstates appeared as polynomials. The zeros of polynomials unambiguously specifying the one-particle wavefunctions were shown to be determined by the Bethe ansatz equations [8]. The fact that eigenstates are related to certain polynomials associated with the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$ and with the Bethe ansatz equations provides us with the possibility of systematically studying the structure of eigenstates. It should be noted that, so far, studies have mainly concentrated on the structure of the spectrum.

Hatsugai et al [10] investigated the Bethe ansatz equations derived in [8] and found that the zeros of those polynomials are not scattered randomly, but are located along concentric circles on a complex plane, thereby exhibiting the nontrivial and ordered structure of eigenstates.

Alongside with the eigenvalue problem on a square lattice, the analogous problem has also been considered for a honeycomb lattice [6, 7]. The common observation is that the energy spectrum differs from one of the square lattices and is equally highly nontrivial. After the connection between the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$ and the problem of square lattice particles in the magnetic field was found in [8], the similar approach was applied to the honeycomb lattice by Kohmoto and Sedrakyan [11].

In contrast to the square lattice, the honeycomb is not a Bravais lattice, but consists of two interpenetrating triangular lattices (‘$A$’ and ‘$B$’ sublattices) with one lattice point of each type per unit cell. Therefore, the one-particle Hamiltonian possesses an additional $(2 \times 2)$-matrix structure. In terms of the generators of $U_q(sl_2)$ it appears as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I + X^- \\ I + X^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(1)

and carries the anti-diagonal structure with respect to $(2 \times 2)$-matrix indices. This causes a certain inconvenience (eigenvalue problem consists of two entangled equations) which can be overcome by considering $H^2$ instead of $H$, since the former is a diagonal in $(2 \times 2)$-matrix indices. Taking advantage of this observation, the authors of [11] obtained the analogue of the Bethe ansatz equation derived for the square lattice in [8]. The Hamiltonian (1) describes particle hoppings from a site to the three nearest-neighbouring ones. The squared operator $H^2$ contains second-order terms describing double hoppings $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$ and $B \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$. As a result, the Bethe-like equations derived in [11] look quite cumbersome, and the nesting procedure has been applied with the aim of reducing them to a set of simpler equations.

In this paper, we develop a distinct approach to the eigenvalue equation for the Hamiltonian (1) by revealing its novel symmetry. This symmetry allows us to carry out certain analytic calculations right for $H$ with no necessity to involve $H^2$. Based on this symmetry, we reduce the system of entangled equations to a single equation, thus avoiding the necessity of involving $H^2$. The obtained single equation corresponds to the elementary hoppings $A \equiv B$ and appears perceptibly simpler than the one derived in [11] from $H^2$. 
Figure 1. Honeycomb lattice (left) consists of two Bravais sublattices A and B. The A-sites are located at \( r = j_1 a_1 + j_2 a_2 \) where \( a_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} (\pm 1, \sqrt{3}) a, \) and \( j_{1,2} \) are integers. The three B-sites nearest to a given A-site are located at \( r + \delta_{1,2} \). Brillouin zone (right) is arranged in the form of a rectangle. The vectors \( \tilde{a}_{1,2} \) set by \( \mathbf{a}_i \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_j = 2 \pi \delta_{ij} \) determine the structure of the Brillouin zone.

The paper is organized as follows. In the following section, we comment on the derivation of one-particle Hamiltonian from the tight-binding model on the honeycomb lattice in the magnetic field. In section 3, we rewrite this Hamiltonian in terms of the quantum group \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \) and discuss the representations of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \). Section 4 deals with the Harper equation in polynomial representation. Since the honeycomb consists of two triangle Bravais sublattices, the Harper equation appears in the form of the system of two entangled equations. This system is shown to possess a certain type of symmetry which permits us to decouple them and to obtain a single equation describing the elementary process of nearest-neighbouring hopping. Solutions to this equation are polynomials with real coefficients and Bethe-like equations determining the zeros of polynomials are derived. In section 5, the results of the numerical analysis are presented and the locations of zeros in the complex plane are depicted exhibiting the nontrivial and ordered structure of eigenstates.

2. A quantum particle on a honeycomb lattice in a magnetic field

The honeycomb lattice is built up of a two-dimensional array of hexagonal unit cells of sides \( a / \sqrt{3} \), with atoms at the vertices. Such a structure is encountered in solid state physics in various crystals, while the ideal realization of the two-dimensional honeycomb lattice is graphene [12]. The unit cell is a rhombus of sides \( a \) with angles \( \pi / 3 \) and \( 2 \pi / 3 \) at its vertices. Each unit cell consists of two atoms, A and B, as indicated in figure 1.

We study the tight-binding model on a honeycomb lattice with the nearest-neighbouring hoppings only. In the presence of a homogeneous magnetic field, the Hamiltonian under consideration is given by

\[
H = \sum_{n,r} [e^{i \mathbf{b}(r) \cdot \mathbf{a}_1} c_A^\dagger(r) c_B(r + \delta_n) + \text{h.c.}],
\]

where the sum with respect to \( r \) is implied over the sites \( r = j_1 a_1 + j_2 a_2 \). Here \( c_A^\dagger(r) \) (\( c_A(r) \)) and \( c_B^\dagger(r + \delta_n) \) (\( c_B(r + \delta_n) \)) are the particle creation (annihilation) operators on site \( r \) of the sublattice ‘A’ and on site \( r + \delta_n \) of the sublattice ‘B’, respectively.
The magnetic field $B$ is included in the Hamiltonian via the Peierls phases

$$\gamma_n(r) = \frac{e}{\hbar} \int_r^{r+\delta_n} \mathbf{A} \, dl,$$

where the vector potential is taken in the Landau gauge $\mathbf{A} = (-By, 0)$.

Rewriting (2) in the Fourier representation, we find

$$H = \int \left[ c_A^\dagger(k) c_B(k) + e^{ika_1} c_A^\dagger(k + k_0) c_B(k) + e^{ika_2} c_A^\dagger(k) c_B(k + k_0) \right] \, dk + \text{h.c.},$$

where $c_A(k)$ and $c_B(k)$ are the Fourier transforms of $c_A(r)$ and $c_B(r + \delta_n)$, respectively, and the integration covers the first Brillouin zone (FBZ).

The vector $k_0$ is related to the magnetic field

$$k_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}a} \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} (0, 1),$$

where $\Phi$ and $\Phi_0$ are the magnetic flux per elementary hexagon and the magnetic flux quantum, respectively.

We consider

$$\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} = \frac{\nu}{N},$$

where $\nu$ and $N$ are the co-prime integers, and concentrate on the odd values of $N$.

Then the Hamiltonian (4) can be presented as follows (see appendix A):

$$H = \int_{MBZ} \Psi^\dagger(k) \mathcal{H}(k) \Psi(k) \, dk,$$

where $\Psi(k)$ is a $(2N)$-component column, and the integration covers the magnetic Brillouin zone, which is the $N$th part of the first Brillouin zone.

The $N \times N$ matrices $X^\pm(k)$ are given by

$$X^+(k) = e^{-ika_1} \beta^+ Q + e^{-ika_2} Q \beta,$$

$$X^-(k) = e^{ika_1} \beta^+ Q + e^{ika_2} \beta^+ Q^\dagger,$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$Q = \text{diag}(q^1, q^2, \ldots, q^N)$$

with

$$q = e^{\pm i\pi (\nu/N)}.$$
3. The quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$

Using the relation $Q^\dagger Q = q^2 Q \beta Q^\dagger$ we find

$$[X^+, X^-] = i (q - q^{-1})(K - K^{-1}), \quad (12a)$$

$$KX^\pm K^{-1} = q^{\pm 2} X^\pm, \quad (12b)$$

where

$$K(k) = q^{+i(a_1 - a_2)} Q \beta Q^\dagger, \quad (13a)$$

$$K^{-1}(k) = q^{-1} e^{-i(a_1 - a_2)} \beta^\dagger Q^\dagger Q^\dagger, \quad (13b)$$

Relations (12) constitute the definition of the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$ which is the deformation of usual $sl_2$ (see [13]), with $q$ being referred to as the deformation parameter. (We adopt the normalization of $X^\pm$ which slightly differs from the standard one.) Note that the particular values of $k$ and $a_{1,2}$ do not affect the structure (12).

3.1. Cyclic and highest weight representations

For every complex $q \neq \pm 1$, the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$ possesses the highest weight representations analogous to those of ordinary $sl_2$. Furthermore, when $q$ is the root of unity, the so-called cyclic representation does also exist. Due to $q^N = e^{i\pi \nu} = (-1)^\nu$, the cases when $\nu$ is either even or odd slightly differ from each other. Hereafter, we discuss the even values of $\nu$. The case of odd values leads to the identical outcome, and the corresponding technical details are collected in appendix B.

Introduce the states $\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_N$ in the form of the $N$-component columns

$$\psi_j = N^{-1/2} (q^j, q^{2j}, q^{3j}, \ldots, q^{Nj})^\top. \quad (14)$$

These states form the orthonormal complete set

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (\psi_i^\dagger)_a (\psi_j)_a = \delta_{ij}, \quad (15a)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^N (\psi_j^\dagger)_m (\psi_j)_n = \delta_{mn}, \quad (15b)$$

and can be used as a basis in the space of representation.

The action of matrices $\beta$ and $Q$ on the states (14) is expressed by the following relations:

$$\beta \psi_j = q^j \psi_j, \quad (16a)$$

$$\beta^\dagger \psi_j = q^{-j} \psi_j, \quad (16b)$$

$$Q \psi_j = \psi_{j+1}, \quad (16c)$$

$$Q^\dagger \psi_j = \psi_{j-1}, \quad (16d)$$

where the cyclic identification $\psi_{N+j} = \psi_j$ is understood in (16c) and (16d). This is possible due to $q^N = 1$.

Using (16), we find

$$X^+ \psi_j = q^{j+1/2} e^{-i\sqrt{3} a^a} t_{j+1} \psi_{j+1}, \quad (17a)$$

$$X^- \psi_j = q^{j+1/2} e^{i\sqrt{3} a^a} t_j \psi_{j-1}, \quad (17b)$$
For those values of \( f \), consider an \( N \)-component vector \( \mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_N) \). Writing out the action of \( X^\pm, K, K^{-1} \) on \( \mathbf{f} \) in the component form we obtain

\[
(X^+ f)_n = e^{+ik_{n-1}} q^{n-1} f_{n-1} + e^{-ik_n} q^n f_{n+1},
\]

(17a)

\[
(X^- f)_n = e^{+ik_{n+1}} q^{n} f_{n+1} + e^{-ik_n} q^{n+1} f_{n-1},
\]

(17b)

\[
(K f)_n = e^{+ik_n} f_{n+1},
\]

(17c)

\[
(K^{-1} f)_n = e^{-ik_n} f_{n+1},
\]

(17d)

where

\[
t_j = e^{+\frac{1}{2}k_n} q^{j+\frac{1}{2}} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_n} q^{-j+\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

(18)

Due to (17a) and (17b), the operators \( X^+ \) and \( X^- \) can be regarded as rising and lowering ones, respectively.

From (17a) and (17b), we find

\[
\text{Det} X^\pm = q^{\mp \frac{1}{2}k_n} e^{\mp \frac{1}{2}k_0} \sqrt{q^k} (t_1 t_2 \cdots t_N).
\]

(19)

For those values of \( k \) when \( t_j \neq 0 \) for any \( j \), the operators \( X^\pm \) possess only nonvanishing eigenvalues. In other words, there are neither highest nor lowest weight states. Hence, (8) and (13) form the cyclic representation of (12). Acting on \( \psi_j \) by \( X^+ \) we obtain \( \psi_{j+1} \), and so on until we arrive at \( \psi_N \). The subsequent action takes us back to \( \psi_1 \). The similar is true for \( X^- \).

On the other hand, for the value of \( k \) set by \( e^{\pm \frac{1}{2}k} = \pm i q^{\mp j_0} \) we have \( t_j = 0 \), and the cyclic representation turns into the highest weight representation, e.g. setting \( e^{\pm ik_n} = i q^{\mp \frac{1}{2}} \), we find that \( t_1 = t_{N-1} = 0 \). Then (17a) and (17b) yield \( X^+ \psi_N = 0 \) and \( X^- \psi_1 = 0 \), so that \( \psi_N \) and \( \psi_1 \) appear as the highest and the lowest weight vectors, respectively.

An important comment is in order: manipulating with quasi-momentum, the cyclic and highest weight representations can be transformed into one another. Such an interplay has been known for a long time [14].

### 3.2. Functional representation

Consider an \( N \)-component vector \( \psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_N) \). Writing out the action of \( X^\pm, K, K^{-1} \) on \( \psi \) in the component form we obtain

\[
(X^+ \psi)_0 = e^{+ik_0} \psi_{n+1} + q^{n+1} \psi_{n-1},
\]

(20a)

\[
(X^- \psi)_0 = e^{+ik_0} \psi_{n+1} + q^{n+1} \psi_{n-1},
\]

(20b)

\[
(K \psi)_0 = e^{+ik_0} \psi_{n+1},
\]

(20c)

\[
(K^{-1} \psi)_0 = e^{-ik_0} \psi_{n+1},
\]

(20d)

where the identification \( f_k = \psi_0 \) is assumed.

Introduce the interpolating function of the complex variable \( f(z) \) such that

\[
f_n = f(e^{-\frac{1}{2}ik_n} q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}).
\]

(21)

Then relations (20) can be written as

\[
X^+ f(z) = e^{+\frac{1}{2}k_n} q^{\frac{1}{2}} z f(q^{-1} z) + e^{+\frac{1}{2}k_n} q^{\frac{1}{2}} z f(q z),
\]

(22a)

\[
X^- f(z) = e^{+\frac{1}{2}k_n} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} z^{-1} f(q^{-1} z) + e^{+\frac{1}{2}k_n} q^{\frac{1}{2}} z^{-1} f(q^{-1} z),
\]

(22b)

\[
K f(z) = e^{ik_n} f(q^2 z),
\]

(22c)

\[
K^{-1} f(z) = e^{-ik_n} f(q^{-2} z).
\]

(22d)

These relations determine the functional representation of \( U_q(sl_2) \). The functional representation for the odd values of \( v \) is constructed in appendix B.
4. The eigenvalue equation

We study the eigenvalue equation

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 + X^-(k) \\
1 + X^+(k) & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\xi \\
\zeta
\end{bmatrix} = E
\begin{bmatrix}
\xi \\
\zeta
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (23)

Employing the functional representation (22) the eigenvalue equation (23) can be rewritten in the form of two coupled equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi(z) + e^{+\frac{i}{2}k_\alpha a} q^{\frac{1}{2}} z \xi(qz) + e^{-\frac{i}{2}k_\alpha a} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} z \xi(q^{-1}z) &= E \xi(z), \\
\zeta(z) + e^{+\frac{i}{2}k_\alpha a} q^{\frac{1}{2}} z^{-1} \zeta(qz) + e^{-\frac{i}{2}k_\alpha a} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} z^{-1} \zeta(q^{-1}z) &= E \zeta(z).
\end{align*}
\] (24a)

If any pair \((\xi, \zeta)\) is the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue \(E = \lambda\), then the pair \((\xi, -\zeta)\) is the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue \(E = -\lambda\).

The main disadvantage of (24) is the entanglement of \(\xi(z)\) and \(\zeta(z)\). This difficulty has been overcome in [11] by ‘squaring up’ equations (23): the repeated application of \(H\) to (23) gives \((1 + X^-(1 + X^+) )\xi = E^2 \xi\) and \((1 + X^+)\xi = E^2 \zeta\) which in the functional representation appear as

\[
\begin{align*}
(3 - E^2) \xi(z) + e^{+ i k_\alpha a} q z \xi(qz) + e^{+ i k_\alpha a} (q^{1/2} z + q^{-1/2} z^{-1}) \xi(qz) \\
+ e^{- i k_\alpha a} (q^{1/2} z + q^{-1/2} z^{-1}) \xi(q^{-1}z) + e^{- i k_\alpha a} q^{-1} \xi(q^{-2}z) &= 0, \\
(3 - E^2) \zeta(z) + e^{+ i k_\alpha a} q^{-1} \zeta(qz) + e^{+ i k_\alpha a} (q^{1/2} z + q^{-1/2} z^{-1}) \zeta(qz) \\
+ e^{- i k_\alpha a} (q^{1/2} z + q^{-1/2} z^{-1}) \zeta(q^{-1}z) + e^{- i k_\alpha a} q \zeta(q^{-2}z) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\] (25a)

Due to the ‘square up’ trick some portion of information encoded in (24) is lost in (25). Here we propose an essentially different approach allowing us to avoid this drawback (disadvantage) of the ‘square up’ procedure. The key point of our consideration is the observation that the system (24) is invariant under the following transformation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi(z) &\rightarrow (iz)^{\omega} \xi(-z^{-1}), \\
\zeta(z) &\rightarrow (iz)^{\omega} \zeta(-z^{-1}),
\end{align*}
\] (26a)

where the parameter \(\omega\) is set by \(q^\omega e^{+ik_\alpha a} = -1\). This symmetry allows us to express the solutions to (24) as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\xi(z) \\
\zeta(z)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
f(z) \\
\pm (iz)^{\omega} f(-z^{-1})
\end{bmatrix},
\] (27)

where \(f(z)\) satisfies the equation

\[
f(z) + e^{+\frac{i}{2}k_\alpha a} q^{\frac{1}{2}} z f(qz) + e^{-\frac{i}{2}k_\alpha a} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} z f(q^{-1}z) = \lambda (iz)^{\omega} f(-z^{-1}).
\] (28)

The signs ‘±’ in (27) correspond to \(E = \pm \lambda\), respectively.

We have thus reduced the system of equations (24) to a single equation (28), while \(\xi(z)\) and \(\zeta(z)\) are now expressed via the unique function \(f(z)\). In appendix C, we show that the system of equations (25) appears as a corollary of (28) meaning that the latter is the basic equation relevant to the problem under consideration.
We proceed to study equation (28) at special values of momenta reducing the functional representation to the polynomial one. Consider the monomials \( f_j(z) = z^j \) forming the basis in the space of analytic functions. We then find
\[
\begin{align*}
X^+ f_j(z) &= t_{j+1} f_{j+1}(z), \\
X^- f_j(z) &= t_{j} f_{j-1}(z),
\end{align*}
\]
where \( t_j \) has been defined by (18).

Setting \( e^{i \frac{1}{2} k a} = \frac{i q^2}{2} \), we find \( X^- f_0 = X^+ f_{N-1} = 0 \) yielding that the subspace of \((N-1)\)th-order polynomials \( f(z) = e_0 + e_1 z + \cdots + e_{N-1} z^{N-1} \) is the invariant subspace of the group \( U_q(sl_2) \).

Then equations (24) appear as
\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda(z) + i q z \xi(qz) - i q^{-1} z \xi(q^{-1} z) &= E \xi(z), \\
\xi(z) + i z^{-1} \xi(qz) - i z^{-1} \xi(q^{-1} z) &= E \xi(z),
\end{align*}
\]
and admit the solutions in the form of \((N-1)\)th-order polynomials. These polynomials are real, i.e. with real coefficients. This statement can be verified by expanding \( \xi(z) \) and \( \xi(qz) \) in a series of \( z^j \) and rewriting (30) for the expansion coefficients.

Invariance (26) holds for (30) provided \( \omega = 2 J \equiv N - 1 \), while equation (28) turns into
\[
f(z) + i q z f(qz) - i q^{-1} z f(q^{-1} z) = (-1)^J \lambda z^{2 J} f(-z^{-1}),
\]

generating \( N \) eigenvalues \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N \). The factor of \((-1)^J\) can be absorbed in \( \lambda \) and therefore we may drop it in what follows.

Equation (31) is derived for \( \nu = \text{even} \). For \( \nu = \text{odd} \), we come to a similar functional equation, but with the deformation parameter \( \tilde{q} = e^{i \frac{\pi \nu}{N-1}} \) instead of \( q \) (see appendix B). Therefore, provided \( N = \text{odd} \) and \( \nu = \text{odd} \) we have \( N - \nu = \text{even} \), i.e. \( \tilde{q}^N = 1 \). For that reason, below we discuss only \( \nu = \text{even} \).

Since \( f(z) \) is a polynomial we can write
\[
f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{2J} (z - z_j),
\]
where \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2J} \) are the zeros of \( f(z) \).

Substituting (32) into (31) and taking \( z = -z_n^{-1} \) we obtain
\[
i z_n^{-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{2J} \frac{1 + q z_n z_j}{1 + z_n z_j} - \prod_{j=1}^{2J} \frac{1 + q^{-1} z_n z_j}{1 + z_n z_j},
\]
which is the honeycomb analogue of the Bethe ansatz equation obtained in [8] for the square lattice. Due to the fact that (30) is solvable in terms of real polynomials, the solutions to equation (31) are also real polynomials. Correspondingly, if \( z_n \) is a root, then \( z_n^* \) must be a root as well. This is in agreement with the invariance of (33) under the complex conjugation.

Rewriting (31) as
\[
\lambda = \prod_{j=1}^{2J} \frac{z - z_j}{1 + z z_j} + i q z \prod_{j=1}^{2J} \frac{q z - z_j}{1 + z z_j} - i z \prod_{j=1}^{2J} \frac{q^{-1} z - z_j}{1 + z z_j},
\]
and taking the limit $z \to 0$ we find

$$\lambda = \prod_{j=1}^{2J} z_j - \sum_{j=1}^{2J} \left( \frac{1}{z_j} + z_j \right) - i q + i \frac{1}{q J} \prod_{j=1}^{2J} z_j + O(z^2), \quad (35)$$

where the right-hand side must be $z$-independent. Then

$$\lambda = \prod_{j=1}^{2J} z_j \quad (36)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2J} \left( z_j + \frac{1}{z_j} \right) = i q + \frac{1}{i q} \quad (37)$$

The analogous ‘sum rule’ does exist in the case of the square lattice. Namely, the functional relation derived in [8] leads to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2J} \left( \tilde{Z}_j + \frac{1}{\tilde{Z}_j} \right) = 0, \quad (38)$$

where $\tilde{Z}_j$ are the zeros of the square lattice polynomials.

Taking now the limit $z \to \infty$ in (34) we find

$$\lambda^2 = 1 + i(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{2J} z_j + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad (39)$$

where again the right-hand side is $z$-independent, yielding

$$\lambda^2 = 1 + i(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{2J} z_j. \quad (40)$$

Using (37) we can also rewrite (40) as

$$\lambda^2 = 3q^2 - q^{-2} - i(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{2J} \frac{1}{z_j}. \quad (41)$$

We have thus derived the relation among an eigenvalue and the roots of the corresponding polynomial. The set of roots is determined by (33). Once the roots of a polynomial are known, the corresponding eigenvalue can be calculated using any of (36), (40) and (41).

Remark that the aforementioned invariance of (30) may be interpreted in terms of the special conformal transformation

$$z \to w = w(z) = -\frac{1}{z}. \quad (42)$$

Under this conformal map a quasi-primary field $\Phi(z)$ transforms as

$$\Phi(z) \to \Phi'(w) = \left( \frac{dw}{dz} \right)^{-h} \Phi(z) \quad (43)$$

where $h$ is the corresponding conformal weight [15].

Taking $h = -J$ and expressing non-primed fields via the primed ones we find

$$\Phi(z) = z^{2J} \Phi'(w), \quad (44a)$$

$$\Phi(qz) = q^{-1} z^{2J} \Phi'(q^{-1} w). \quad (44b)$$
Figure 2. Root distributions in the complex plane for $\Phi_1 = \Phi_0$. There are 89 eigenvalues and the 89 corresponding polynomials, each of the order of $N - 1 = 88$. For each eigenvalue, we have a separate set comprising 88 roots of the corresponding polynomial. Panel (a) exhibits the locations of the 88 roots for the eigenvalue of maximal magnitude. For the ones of less magnitude, the typical root distribution is shown in panel (b). Panel (c) corresponds to the eigenvalue of minimal magnitude where the spirals are converted and the roots located along two ovals.

\[
\Phi(q^{-1}z) = q^{2J} \Phi(qw). \tag{44c}
\]

Using (44) and rewriting the systems (30) in terms of primed fields we obtain

\[
\zeta'(w) + iqw\zeta'(qw) - iq^{-1}w\zeta'(q^{-1}w) = E\zeta'(w), \tag{45a}
\]

\[
\xi'(w) + iw^{-1}\xi'(qw) - iw^{-1}\xi'(q^{-1}w) = E\xi'(w). \tag{45b}
\]

Hence, we may identify $\zeta'(z) = \xi(z)$ and $\zeta'(z) = \zeta(z)$, i.e., $\zeta(z) = z^{2J} z(-z^{-1})$ and $\xi(z) = z^{2J} z(-z^{-1})$.

5. Numerical analysis

We discuss the results of numerical analysis on how the roots are distributed over the complex plane. These studies are helpful for the visualization of the peculiarities hidden in the Bethe-like equation (33).

We first present the case of $\Phi_1 = \Phi_0$ where we have 89 eigenvalues and the corresponding 89 polynomials determined by (31). Each polynomial is of the order of $N - 1 = 88$, i.e., there are 88 roots for each eigenvalue. For demonstrative purposes, we present several characteristic cases, exhibiting how the root locations in the complex plane depend on eigenvalues. For the eigenvalue of maximal magnitude the roots are arranged along two spirals related via the complex conjugation (figure 2(a)). For the eigenvalue of less magnitude, some of the roots
Figure 3. Root distributions in the complex plane for $\Phi_1/\Phi_1 \approx 1\frac{1}{3}$. There are 89 eigenvalues and 89 corresponding polynomials, each of the order of $N-1=88$. For each eigenvalue, we have a separate set comprising 88 roots of the corresponding polynomial. Panel (a) exhibits the locations of 88 roots for the eigenvalue of maximal magnitude. Panel (b) corresponds to the eigenvalue of minimal magnitude.

(out of total 88) regroup into the new branch of circular form (figure 2(b)). For the eigenvalue of minimal magnitude, the spirals convert and the roots become arranged along two ovals (figure 2(c)).

The case of $\Phi_1/\Phi_1 \approx 1\frac{1}{3}$ is presented in figure 3. In the case of maximal $\lambda^2$, the roots are arranged in two groups (figure 3(a)): straight lines with equiangular separations of $\pi/3$ and the six points resembling hexagon vertices. A few points are deviated from the straight lines, what can be explained by a relatively small value of $N$. Passing to $\lambda^2$ of less magnitude, the roots regroup from the straight lines into a circle which subsequently transforms into a hexagon (figure 3(b)) for the eigenvalue of minimal magnitude. The points left beyond the hexagon can be treated as remnants of the straight lines.

We finally present the case of $\Phi_1/\Phi_1 \approx 1\frac{1}{4}$ in figure 4. Interplay between the two groups of roots is again the case. One group consists of eight straight lines with an equiangular separation of $\pi/4$, and the other one resembling a certain closed contour. Changing $\lambda^2$ we observe that the roots rearrange from one group into another and vice versa. For the eigenvalue of minimal magnitude (figure 4(b)), the roots are located basically along the closed contour which appears to be an octagon.

The figures will become more accurate as expected if $N$ is increased up to a sufficient value.

Figures 3 ($\Phi_1/\Phi_1 \approx 1\frac{1}{3}$) and 4 ($\Phi_1/\Phi_1 \approx 1\frac{1}{4}$) demonstrate that the root distributions are of high-accuracy symmetric with respect to the rotations by $\pi/3$ and $\pi/4$, respectively. These observations provoke one to think that in the limit $\nu \to \infty$, $N \to \infty$ with $\Phi_1/\Phi_1 \to 1\frac{1}{3}, 1\frac{1}{4}$, the approximate symmetry can become exact. In this light, the limiting case of $\nu \to \infty$, $N \to \infty$.
Figure 4. Root distributions in the complex plane for \( \Phi_1 = \Phi_0 \approx 1.4 \). There are 89 eigenvalues and 89 corresponding polynomials each of the order of \( N - 1 = 88 \). For each eigenvalue, we have a separate set comprising 88 roots of the corresponding polynomial. Panel (a) exhibits the locations of 88 roots for the eigenvalue of maximal magnitude. Panel (b) corresponds to the eigenvalue of minimal magnitude.

\( N \to \infty \) with \( \nu \to \) finite is of special interest. We presume that in this limit equation (31) may comprise rich symmetry properties. We consider this issue as a matter for separate studies.

6. Conclusions

We have considered the tight-binding model of spinless particles on a honeycomb lattice in a magnetic field. The corresponding one-particle Hamiltonian turns out to be expressible in terms of the generators of the quantum group \( U_q(sl_2) \). We have shown that varying the momentum \( k \) the cyclic representation of \( U_q(sl_2) \) can be continuously deformed into the highest weight one. Using the functional representation of \( U_q(sl_2) \), the Harper equation is reformulated as a system of two entangled functional equations. Tracing a certain symmetry of the entangled system we reduce the two equations to a single equation (31) admitting solutions in terms of real polynomials. Bethe-like equations determining the roots of polynomials are obtained and the roots are solved numerically for the different eigenvalues \( \lambda \) and different magnetic fluxes \( \Phi \). Using graphical plots we have shown that the root distributions are highly organized and arranged in regular geometric figures.

We have stated the quantum group \( U_q(sl_2) \) in terms of \( q \)-deformed commutation relations (12), which are compatible with the \( q \)-deformed co-product structure providing tensor product representations. The representations discussed in sections 3 and 4 correspond to one-particle states, while the higher representations constructed via the aforementioned \( q \)-deformed product structures will be helpful for describing many-body quantum states.

The procedure described in section 4 enables us to explicitly construct the complete set of orthogonal one-particle wavefunctions \( |\Psi_{k,j}\rangle \) \( (j = 1, \ldots, N) \) at specific values of momenta set by \( e^{+\frac{i}{2}k^a} = \pm i q^\frac{1}{2} \), where the functional representation of the quantum group becomes reduced to the polynomial representation. As pointed out in subsection 3.1, a similar reduction takes place at other values of \( k \) given by \( e^{+\frac{i}{2}k^a} = \pm i q^{\frac{1}{2} - j_0} \), where \( j_0 = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \). Consequently, for each aforementioned value of \( k \) we are able to construct a set of
one-particle wavefunctions which can be subsequently employed for calculating a variety of matrix elements $\langle \Psi_{k_1} j_1 | \cdots | \Psi_{k_2} j_2 \rangle$. In particular, calculations of the matrix elements of the Coulomb operator are in progress.
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Appendix A

Consider first the case of $\nu = \text{even}$. We then have

$$|k_0| = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4\pi \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}a}},$$

(A.1)

where $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4\pi \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}a}}$ is an integer, i.e. $|k_0|$ is the multiple of the $N$th part of the Brillouin zone. We then split the integration area in (4) into $N$ horizontal strips of the height $\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}a}$. Introducing $N$-component vectors $(\mu = A, B)$

$$\Psi_\mu(k) = \{c_\mu(k), c_\mu(k - k_0), \ldots, c_\mu(k - Nk_0 + k_0)\},$$

(A.2)

and combining $\Psi_A$ and $\Psi_B$ into $\Psi = \{\Psi_A, \Psi_B\}$ we eventually come to (7).

In the case of $\nu = \text{odd}$ we have to write (A.1) as

$$|k_0| = \frac{\nu}{2N} \sqrt{4\pi \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}a}},$$

(A.3)

i.e. $|k_0|$ is now the multiple of the $(2N)$th part of the Brillouin zone. Correspondingly, we split the integration area of (4) into $2N$ equal horizontal strips and rewrite (4) as

$$H = \int_{\text{FBZ}/(2N)} [\mathbb{H}^\dagger(k) + \mathbb{H}(k)] \, dk,$$

(A.4)

where $\text{FBZ}/(2N)$ denotes any separate strip and

$$\mathbb{H} = \sum_{n=1}^{2N} c_A^\dagger(k - nk_0 + k_0) c_B(k - nk_0 + k_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \xi_n(k) c_A^\dagger(k - nk_0 + k_0) c_B(k - nk_0),$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \zeta_n(k) c_A^\dagger(k - nk_0) c_B(k - nk_0 + k_0),$$

(A.5)

with $\xi_n(k) = q^{-n} e^{i k a_1}$ and $\zeta_n(k) = q^{-n} e^{i k a_2}$.

We then decouple the summations into $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $N + 1 \leq n \leq 2N$ and introduce $(\mu = A, B)$

$$\chi_{\mu n}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} c_\mu(k - nk_0 + k_0) + \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}} c_\mu(k - Nk_0 - nk_0 + k_0).$$

(A.6)

Constructing $\Psi_\mu = \{\chi_{\mu 1}, \chi_{\mu 2}, \ldots, \chi_{\mu N}\}$ and $\Psi = \{\Psi_A, \Psi_B\}$ we come to (7).
Appendix B

Discussing the case of \( \nu = \text{even} \) in subsection 3.2, we write down (20) reflecting the action of the group generators on a vector \( f = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_N) \). The same relations are also valid for \( \nu = \text{odd} \) only if the aperiodic conditions \( f_{n+N} = (-1)^{n+1} f_n \) are assumed. Provided these conditions are accepted, the functional representation can no longer be constructed as \( f_n = f(q^n) \).

In order to overcome this difficulty, we introduce the basis where \( K \) and \( K^{-1} \) are diagonal. For \( \nu = \text{odd} \) these basis states are given by

\[
u_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (\alpha_j, -\alpha_j^{j+2}, \alpha_j^{2}, -\alpha_j^{j+3}, \alpha_j^{3}, \ldots, -\alpha_j^{2j+1}, \alpha_j^{j+1})^T,
\]

where \( \alpha_j = q^{j+1} \) and \( N = 2J + 1 \).

The actions of group generators on \( u_j \) read as

\[
X^+ u_j = \kappa (e^{-ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^j v_{j+1} + e^{-ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^{-j} u_{j+1}),
\]

\[
X^- u_j = \kappa^{-1} (e^{ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^{-j} v_{j+1} + e^{ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^j u_{j-1}),
\]

\[
K u_j = -e^{ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^{-2j+1} u_j,
\]

\[
K^{-1} u_j = -e^{-ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^{2j+1} u_j,
\]

where \( \tilde{q} = -q^* = e^{i\pi(1-\nu/N)} \) and \( \kappa = (-\tilde{q})^{-1} \).

Remark that due to \( N = \text{odd} \) we have \( \tilde{q}^N = 1 \), i.e. the obstacle related to \( q^N = -1 \) is removed by reformulating the action of \( X^\pm \) in terms of \( \tilde{q} \). Correspondingly, relations (B.2)–(B.5) require the periodic conditions \( u_{j+N} = u_j \) which on the other hand are really met by (B.1). Physically, this is related to \( \Phi \rightarrow \Phi_0 - \Phi \).

We now pass to another basis \( v_j \) set by

\[
u_j = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \tilde{q}^n v_n.
\]

Then relations (B.2) and (B.3) turn into

\[
\kappa^{-1} X^+ v_j = e^{-ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^j v_{j+1} + e^{-ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^{-j} v_{j+1},
\]

\[
\kappa X^- v_j = e^{ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^{-j} v_{j+1} + e^{ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^j v_{j-1},
\]

which are the analogues of (20a) and (20b).

Every \( N \)-component column can be expanded as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 f_1 \\
 \vdots \\
 f_N 
\end{pmatrix}
 = \phi_1 v_1 + \phi_2 v_2 + \cdots + \phi_N v_N,
\]

so the action of group generators on \( f \) is now understood as acting on the columns \( v_j \).

Alternatively, the action of group operators on \( f \) can be understood as modifying the corresponding coordinates \( \phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_N) \). Provided \( X^\pm \) act on \( v_j \) as set by (B.7) and (B.8), the equivalent actions on \( \phi \) are given by

\[
\kappa^{-1} (X^+ \phi)_j = e^{-ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^j \phi_{j+1} + e^{-ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^{-j} \phi_{j-1},
\]

\[
\kappa (X^- \phi)_j = e^{ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^{-j} \phi_{j+1} + e^{ik\varphi} \tilde{q}^j \phi_{j-1}.
\]
Introducing here
\[ \phi_n = f(\kappa e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}a} q^n), \quad (B.12) \]
we come to the functional representation
\[ X^+ f(z) = e^{-i\bar{k}_a q} f(\bar{q}z) + e^{i\lambda a} q^{-1} f(z), \quad (B.13) \]
\[ X^- f(z) = e^{i\lambda a} q^{-1} f(q^{-1}z) + e^{-i\bar{k}_a q} f(z). \quad (B.14) \]
Setting \( e^{-i\bar{k}_a q} = i \), the eigenvalue equation appears as
\[ \xi(z) + i\bar{q}z\xi(qz) - i\bar{q}^{-1}z\xi(q^{-1}z) = E\xi(z), \quad (B.15) \]
and coincides with (30).

**Appendix C**

Performing the replacement \( z \rightarrow -z^{-1} \) in (28) we obtain
\[ f(-z^{-1}) - e^{i\lambda a} q^{-1} z^2 f(-qz^{-1}) = \lambda (iz)^{-\omega} f(z). \quad (C.1) \]
Multiplying both sides by \( \lambda (iz)^\omega \) we pass to
\[ \lambda (iz)^\omega f(-z^{-1}) - e^{i\lambda a} q^{-1} z^2 \lambda (iz)^\omega f(-qz^{-1}), \]
\[ -e^{-i\bar{k}_a q} q^{-1} z^2 \lambda (iz)^\omega f(qz^{-1}) = \lambda^2 f(z), \quad (C.2) \]
where the first terms on the left-hand side can be replaced using (28). The second and third terms can be replaced in accordance with
\[ \lambda (iz)^\omega f(-z^{-1}) = q^{-\omega} f(qz) + q^{\omega} e^{i\lambda a} q^{-1} z f(z) + q^{-\omega} e^{-i\lambda a} q^{-1} z f(qz), \quad (C.3) \]
\[ \lambda (iz)^\omega f(-qz^{-1}) = q^{-\omega} f(qz) + q^{-\omega} e^{i\lambda a} q^{-1} z f(z) + q^{-\omega} e^{-i\lambda a} q^{-1} z f(qz), \quad (C.4) \]
which can be obtained from (28) by \( z \rightarrow qz \) and \( z \rightarrow q^{-1}z \), respectively.

Performing these manipulations in (C.1) and taking into account \( q^{\omega} e^{i\lambda a} = -1 \) we obtain
\[ f(z) = e^{i\lambda a} q f(qz) + e^{i\lambda a} q^{-1} z f(z) + e^{-i\lambda a} q^{-1} f(z) \equiv (iz)^\omega f(-z^{-1}), \quad (C.5) \]
which coincides with (25a). Reformulating for \( F(z) \equiv (iz)^\omega f(-z^{-1}) \) we come to (25b).
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