The Femoral Head “Divot” Sign

A Useful Arthroscopic Sign of Hip Microinstability
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Background: A femoral head “divot” is a rare finding during hip arthroscopy. A linear chondral indentation can be observed on the femoral head, just lateral and parallel to the acetabular labrum.

Purpose/Hypothesis: The purpose of this study was to describe a novel arthroscopic sign and retrospectively review patients with this finding. We hypothesized that this sign would be found in patients with characteristics consistent with hip microinstability.

Study Design: Case series; Level of evidence, 4.

Methods: Intraoperative images of patients undergoing primary hip arthroscopy between July 2017 and July 2019 were reviewed for evidence of a femoral head divot. Preoperative characteristics, physical examination findings, radiographic measurements, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings were described.

Results: Of 690 available cases, 14 cases (13 patients; 2.0%) had evidence of a femoral head divot. The mean patient age was 29.1 years, and all but 1 patient (92.3%) were female. Ligamentous laxity was present in 81.8% of patients, anterior apprehension test was positive in 78.6%, and painful internal snapping was present in 50.0%. The mean lateral center-edge angle, anterior center-edge angle, and Tönnis angle were 19.2°, 20.3°, and 12.4°, respectively. The divot was identified in 5 of 12 available MRI scans, most commonly on axial proton density sequence. Intraoperatively, all hips had labral tears, iliopsoas bursitis was demonstrated in 78.6%, and the ligamentum teres was damaged in 42.9%. Labral repair was performed in 12 of the 14 hips, with 2 patients undergoing labral reconstruction. Iliopsoas fractional lengthening was performed in 50.0%, capsular plication was performed in 78.6%, and capsular repair was performed in the remainder.

Conclusion: The femoral head divot sign is a rare arthroscopic finding during hip arthroscopy. The results of this study demonstrated that patients who have a divot also present with characteristic radiographic or physical examination findings of hip microinstability due to either acetabular dysplasia or ligamentous laxity. Recognition of a femoral head divot may be valuable for the diagnosis of microinstability during hip arthroscopy and may help guide appropriate management, such as capsular plication. Further studies are needed to determine the exact prevalence of the femoral head divot in patients with microinstability and to evaluate the effect of this finding on patient outcomes.
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Hip microinstability is gaining recognition as a major contributor to hip pain in adults and as a possible risk factor for the development of early arthritis. Traditionally considered an inherently stable joint, the hip may develop microinstability, defined as extraphysiologic motion that is associated with pain, with or without a feeling of instability.24 Possible causes of microinstability can be classified into 1 of 6 categories: (1) bony abnormalities (eg, developmental dysplasia), (2) connective tissue disorders (eg, Ehlers-Danlos), (3) posttraumatic, (4) microtraumatic (eg, labral tears), (5) iatrogenic, and (6) idiopathic.22

The diagnosis of hip microinstability may be challenging, especially when the primary concern is pain without a sensation of joint instability. The most prevalent forms of microinstability are bony abnormalities and ligamentous laxity. However, microinstability may exist in the setting of normal, or near-normal, radiographic imaging or in a patient without a history of a connective tissue disorder. To our knowledge, no diagnostic tests or physical examination findings are pathognomonic with hip microinstability.22

We have identified an arthroscopic finding of a linear chondral or osteochondral indentation on the anterior surface of the femoral head, running roughly in parallel with the acetabular rim and labrum. This indentation appears on the femoral head, just lateral to the labrum, with the hip in flexion and neutral rotation. Upon flexion and rotation of
the hip joint, the divot can be seen entering under the labrum and into the functional joint.

The purpose of this study was to (1) retrospectively identify this finding in patients undergoing primary hip arthroscopy, (2) provide a description of the patients in whom the finding was identified, and (3) attempt to identify the indentation on preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans. Our hypothesis was that patients demonstrating this indentation would also exhibit characteristic signs of microinstability.

METHODS

Patient Selection

After institutional review board approval, a retrospective review of the institutional hip preservation registry was performed. Patients who underwent primary arthroscopic hip surgery by the senior author (B.G.D.) between July 2017 and July 2019 were identified. Exclusion criteria included a history of avascular necrosis, slipped capital femoral epiphysis, Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease, or rheumatologic conditions and unwillingness to participate in the institutional research database. Intraoperative arthroscopic photographs were reviewed for all eligible patients for evidence of a femoral head divot. Patients in whom a characteristic femoral head divot could be clearly identified were included in the final series. Patients with a divot were compared with a historical cohort of patients who underwent primary hip arthroscopy between April 2010 and October 2016. Patients were not included in this cohort if they had previous hip conditions.

Data Collection

Demographic data as well as preoperative physical examination findings were retrieved from the surgical database. Demographic information included sex; age at time of surgery; and preoperative height, weight, and body mass index (BMI). Preoperative physical examination findings included presence of antalgic gait, generalized ligamentous laxity as graded by the Beighton score, hip range of motion (flexion, internal rotation, external rotation, and abduction), presence of anterior or lateral impingement signs, presence of positive anterior or posterior apprehension test, presence of a painful internal snapping, and ligamentum teres test.

Arthroscopic Evaluation and Surgical Technique

Each operation was performed by the senior author. Patients were positioned on a traction table in a modified supine position. Standard anterolateral and midanterior accessory portals were used to access the joint and perform the diagnostic portion of the procedure, and an interportal capsulotomy was completed. Additional portals were added as necessary to complete other portions of the operation. A diagnostic arthroscopy was performed, and several intraoperative findings were routinely photographed and recorded: labral condition (Seldes type) and width, acetabular labrum articular disruption (ALAD) grade, chondral damage (Outerbridge score), ligamentum teres condition, presence of iliopsoas bursitis indicated by inflamed tissue, and presence of an iliopsoas impingement lesion. After the diagnostic portion of the operation, any indicated procedures were performed. The capsule was elevated from the labrum through use of electrocautery. A 5.5-mm round bur was used to address any bony abnormalities as indicated by preoperative and fluoroscopic imaging. Debridement of the ligamentum teres was performed if tears were evident. Patients who had painful internal snapping preoperatively underwent iliopsoas fractional lengthening using the method described by El Bitar et al; special care was taken to preserve the capsule for subsequent closure or plication and to avoid extending into the muscle belly. Full-thickness chondral lesions of either the acetabulum or the femoral head were treated using microfracture. Labral repair or reconstruction was performed by use of previously described techniques.

Because all patients had risk factors for microinstability, all capsules were closed, whereas plication of the capsule was performed based on clinical evaluation of hyperlaxity as previously described.
Radiographic and MRI Analysis

For patients with the identified divot sign, preoperative radiographs and MRI scans were evaluated by 2 independent orthopaedic surgeons (P.J.R. and B.C.M.). Any disagreements in findings were deferred to the senior author for final determination. Standing and supine anteroposterior pelvic radiographs, as well as false-profile, modified 45° Dunn, and cross-table lateral images of the pathologic hip, were performed on all patients as is standard protocol at our institution. Multiple radiographic characteristics and measurements were recorded, including Tönnis grade, joint space at 3 points along the acetabular sourcil, anterior center-edge angle (ACEA), lateral center-edge angle (LCEA), acetabular index (Tönnis angle), neck shaft angle, alpha angle, coxa profunda, and cliff sign, as described by Packer et al. Additionally, each patient underwent MRI of the pathologic hip before surgery, and the MRI scan was retrospectively reviewed for evidence of the femoral divot.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by use of Stata/MP 13.1 for Mac (StataCorp LP). Categorical variables were presented as percentages, whereas means and standard deviations were calculated for continuous variables. Continuous variables were assessed for normality and variance using the Shapiro-Wilk test and F test respectively. A Student’s t-test or a nonparametric equivalent was then used to analyze the data. Categorical variables were examined using a Chi-squared or Fisher’s exact test. A P value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Preoperative Characteristics

The femoral head divot sign was identified in a total of 14 hips (13 patients) out of 690 available cases of hip arthroscopy (2%) (Figure 1).

For the patients with a femoral head divot, the average age was 29.1 years, and nearly all (92.3%) were female. Compared with the historical cohort, the femoral head divot group was significantly younger and had a higher proportion of female patients (P = .0319 and P < .0001, respectively). The mean BMI was 25.0 (Table 1). On physical examination, a majority (76.9%) of patients had no gait disturbance, whereas the others had an antalgic gait. Generalized ligamentous laxity (Beighton score ≥4) was present in 81.8% (n = 9/11) of patients. Signs of anterior and lateral impingement were present in 92.9% and 64.29% of hips, respectively. Positive anterior apprehension test was reported in 78.6% of hips. Range of motion measurements can be found in Table 2. Internal snapping was present in 50.0% of hips. The ligamentum teres test was positive in 2 hips (16.7%). Tönnis grade 0 was found in 12 cases (85.7%); Tönnis grade 1 in the remaining 2 cases (Table 3). Radiographic measurements of dysplasia demonstrated a mean LCEA of 19.2° ± 5.5° and ACEA of 20.3° ± 5.1°. Mean Tönnis angle was 12.4° ± 4.8° (Table 4). When compared with the historical cohort, the femoral head divot group demonstrated significantly lower values for LCEA and ACEA and a higher value for Tönnis angle.

Intraoperative Findings and Procedures

All hips had labral tears evident during surgery, and 50% had an ALAD grade of 2. The Outerbridge score for acetabular chondral damage was grade 2 in 50.0% of hips and grade 1 in 28.6%. There were 2 hips that demonstrated femoral head chondral damage (14.3%), located at the apex of the femoral head and distinct from the femoral divot. The ligamentum teres was damaged in 42.9% of hips. Iliopsoas bursitis was noted in 78.5% of hips, with an iliopsoas impingement lesion present in 21.4%. Complete intraoperative findings can be found in Table 5.

Labral repair was performed in 12 of the 14 hips, with the other 2 hips undergoing labral reconstruction. Capsular repair was completed in all cases, with an additional plication performed in 78.6% of hips. Iliopsoas fractional lengthening was performed in the hips of patients who presented with painful internal snapping (50.0%). The remainder of surgical procedure data are reported in Table 6.

Femoral Divot Characteristics

During the diagnostic arthroscopy, the divot was best observed from the anterolateral viewing portal while the arthroscope was aimed toward the anterior portion of the femoral head. The typical presentation of the divot was a smooth, linear, chondral or osteochondral groove or indentation (Figure 2).

Upon flexion of the leg in neutral rotation, the groove was oriented approximately parallel to the acetabular rim and just lateral to the labrum on the anterior aspect of the femoral head (Figure 2). During rotation of the hip, it was observed that the divot could enter the hip joint by passing underneath the labrum and creating a break in the continuity of the labral-femoral head suction seal (Figure 3). During this maneuver, we have occasionally observed synovial fluid extravasating from within the joint as the indentation disrupts the suction seal of the labrum.

Some variability was noted in the appearance of the indentation seen intraoperatively. We found that 2 of the divots had a more degenerative appearance with noted chondral fraying and damage (Figure 4).

The femoral head divot was identifiable on 5 of the 12 available MRI scans, findings were equivocal on 4 scans, and the femoral head divot was not seen in the remaining 3 scans. The divot was best seen on the axial cut of proton density–weighted MRI sequences. When seen, the divot was identified on the anterior surface of the femoral head on axial views. It was identifiable as either a flattening of the head sphericity or concavity, often with a small area of hyperintensity of the cartilage or underlying bone (Figures 5 and 6).
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DISCUSSION

In this study we have described the femoral head divot sign as an arthroscopically observed indentation of the femoral head chondral surface. The divot is identified as a linear chondral indentation running parallel and lateral to the acetabulum when the hip is in approximately 60° of flexion and neutral rotation. The divot sign was observed in 2.0% of primary hip arthroscopies (14/690). All patients in whom the sign was observed had characteristic clinical or radiographic findings of hip microinstability, such as increased Beighton score or decreased LCEA angles.

Microinstability has gained recognition as an important cause of pain and disability in the adult hip. Microinstability has been classified based on bony or soft tissue origin, with 2 of the most common causes being acetabular undercoverage and ligamentous laxity. Signs of microinstability on physical examination were extremely prevalent in this
TABLE 2
Physical Examination Findings in Cases of Femoral Head Divot

| Physical Examination Finding | Femoral Head Divot Cohort (n = 14) | Historical Cohort (n = 1796) | P Value |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Gait                        | Normal 76.9 (10)                  | 85.7 (1525)                 | >.9999  |
|                             | Antalgic 23.1 (3)                 |                             |         |
| Beighton score              | 0 18.2 (2)                        |                             |         |
|                             | 4 27.3 (3)                        |                             |         |
|                             | 6 45.5 (5)                        |                             |         |
|                             | 8 9.0 (1)                         |                             |         |
| Range of motion, deg        | Hip flexion 118.0 ± 13             |                             |         |
|                             | Internal rotation 32.0 ± 14        |                             |         |
|                             | External rotation 47.0 ± 18        |                             |         |
| Impingement sign            | Anterior 92.9 (13)                |                             |         |
|                             | Lateral 64.3 (9)                  |                             |         |
| Apprehension sign           | Anterior apprehension test 78.6 (11) |                             |         |
|                             | Posterior apprehension test 0.0 (0) |                             |         |
| Painful internal snapping   | 50.0 (7)                          |                             |         |
| Ligamentum teres test       | 16.7 (2)                          |                             |         |

*Results are presented as percentage (n) or mean ± SD. N = 14 cases (13 patients). Findings without a laterality are reported by patient and not by hip.

Two patients did not have complete physical examination data recorded for the indicated tests.

TABLE 3
Radiographic Measurements

| Femoral Head Divot Cohort (n = 14) | Historical Cohort (n = 1796) | P Value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Tönnis grade                      | 0 85.7 (12)                 | 84.9 (1525) | >.9999  |
|                                  | 1 14.3 (2)                  | 15.1 (271)  |         |
| Average joint space, mm          | 4.6 ± 0.6                   | 4.3 ± 0.1 | .0008   |
| Neck shaft angle, deg            | 130.4 ± 7.3                 | 133.3 ± 6.4 | .0198  |
| Alpha angle, deg                 | 57.9 ± 9.7                  | 60.0 ± 21.8 | .9747  |
| Coxa profunda                    | 28.6 (4)                    | 23.4 (421) | .8950   |
| LCEA, deg                        | 19.2 ± 5.5                  | 30.5 ± 6.4 | <.0001  |
| ACEA, deg                        | 20.3 ± 5.1                  | 31.3 ± 7.4 | <.0001  |
| Tönnis angle, deg                | 12.4 ± 4.8                  | 5.0 ± 4.7 | <.0001  |

*Results are presented as percentage (n) or mean ± SD. ACEA, anterior center-edge angle; LCEA, lateral center-edge angle.

As such, it is intuitive that women are at higher risk of microinstability given that laxity is a predominant risk factor for microinstability. The only male patient in this cohort had an extreme case of hip dysplasia and femoral anteversion and underwent hip arthroscopy with concomitant periacetabular osteotomy (PAO).

Radiographic findings suggesting hip instability have previously been described. In this study, the average LCEA was 19.2°, with 12 of the 14 hips exhibiting dysplasia or borderline dysplasia. Anterior undercoverage can be assessed by using the ACEA, with angles <20° suggesting instability. In this cohort, the average ACEA was 20.3°, with 7 of 14 (50%) hips having ACEAs <20°. Further, 6 of the remaining 7 hips had ACEAs <25°, placing them in the low range of normal. The upsloping lateral sourcil (ULS) is a radiographic sign in which the lateral aspect of the sourcil assumes a cranial inclination with loss of the normal lateral acetabular concavity. The ULS has previously been associated with ligamentous laxity as well as hip dysplasia defined by a smaller LCEA. In this patient series, the ULS was found in 85.7% of hips. Another radiographic sign of instability is the cliff sign, in which a steep drop-off on the lateral edge of the femoral head can be observed on an anteroposterior radiograph. In the present study’s cohort, the cliff sign was present in 71% of hips. Previous literature has reported the cliff sign in 89% of patients with microinstability compared with only 27% of patients without microinstability. The radiographic findings in our patient series supported the hypothesis that dysplasia-induced microinstability would be a dominant cause for formation of the divot.
The retrospective nature of this study limited the ability to determine the exact pathogenesis of the femoral head divot. However, we propose a number of possible explanations for its formation.

One possibility is that in patients with microinstability, the femoral head subluxates from the acetabular socket and impinges on the acetabular rim at this location. This repetitive edge loading may lead to deformation of the femoral head over time. In 1 of the cases presented, the divot was especially prominent in a patient with severe dysplasia and femoral anteversion, and on MRI scan the divot could be seen engaging the acetabular rim (Figure 7). This patient as well as 1 other patient underwent a concomitant PAO and hip arthroscopy. Previous biomechanical studies have shown reduction in contact stresses of the hip joint in patients undergoing PAO and transfer of the load to more medial areas of the acetabulum and femoral head.26,30 The reduced loads laterally as well as the change in the orientation of the acetabulum likely reduce the continued engagement and edge-loading of the divot lesion.

Another possible explanation is that in patients with anterior undercoverage, the iliopsoas tendon causes abrasion of the femoral head as it traverses down to the lesser trochanter. Although the iliopsoas may be a minor

---

### TABLE 4
Radiographic Measurements of Dysplasia

| Case No. | LCEA, deg | ACEA, deg | Tonnis Angle, deg | Cliff Sign | Upsloping Lateral Sourcil |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| 1         | 8         | 19        | 22                | No         | Yes                       |
| 2         | 22        | 22        | 12                | Yes        | Yes                       |
| 3         | 21        | 15        | 11                | No         | Yes                       |
| 4         | 26        | 23        | 8                 | Yes        | No                        |
| 5         | 24        | 24        | 4                 | Yes        | Yes                       |
| 6         | 18        | 17.5      | 10                | Yes        | Yes                       |
| 7         | 22        | 25        | 9.5               | Yes        | No                        |
| 8         | 19        | 15.7      | 10.2              | Yes        | Yes                       |
| 9         | 16        | 18        | 8.3               | Yes        | Yes                       |
| 10        | 8         | 14        | 18                | No         | Yes                       |
| 11        | 21        | 33        | 15                | No         | Yes                       |
| 12        | 26        | 21        | 14                | Yes        | Yes                       |
| 13        | 19        | 15        | 14.2              | Yes        | Yes                       |
| 14        | 19        | 22        | 18                | Yes        | Yes                       |
| Mean ± SD or n/N (%) | 19.2 ± 5.5 | 20.3 ± 5.1 | 12.4 ± 4.8 | 10/14 (71.4) | 12/14 (85.7) |

aACEA, anterior center-edge angle; LCEA, lateral center-edge angle.
bPatients who underwent concomitant periacetabular osteotomy and arthroscopy.

### TABLE 5
Summary of Intraoperative Findings

| Intraoperative Finding | Labral tear | Seldes 1 | Seldes 2 | Combined |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Labral width, mmb      | 5.0 ± 1.0   | 5.0      | 5.0      | 5.0      |
| Acetabular labrum cartilage disruption | Grade 0 | 21.4 (3) | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Grade 1                | 28.6 (4)    | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| Grade 2                | 50.0 (7)    | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Outerbridge score       | Acetabular  | Grade 0 | 21.4 (3) | 21.4 |
| Grade 1                | 28.6 (4)    | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| Grade 2                | 50.0 (7)    | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Femoralc               | Grade 0     | 85.7 (12)| 85.7 | 85.7 |
| Grade 3                | 7.1 (1)     | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Grade 4                | 7.1 (1)     | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Ligamentum teres tear  | 42.9 (6)    | 42.9 | 42.9 |
| Iliopsoas bursitis     | 78.6 (11)   | 78.6 | 78.6 |
| Iliopsoas impingement lesion | 21.4 (3) | 21.4 | 21.4 |

aResults are presented as percentage (n) or mean ± SD. N = 14 cases (13 patients).
bOne patient had missing labral width data.
cThe femoral head damage in these patients was apical and distinct from the femoral divot.

### TABLE 6
Procedures Performed

| Procedure                        | Labral treatment | Repair | 85.7 (12) | Reconstruction | 14.3 (2) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|-----------|----------------|----------|
| Capsular treatment               | Repair only     | 21.4 (3)| Repair and plication | 78.6 (11) |
| Outerbridge score                | No. of sutures used | 3.6 ± 1.1 | Femoroplasty | 100.0 (14) |
| Acetabulum                       | Acetabulum      | 14.3 (2)| Femoral    | 7.1 (1)        |
| Femoral                          | Ligamentum teres debridement | 28.6 (4) | Iliopsoas fractional lengthening | 50.0 (7) |
| Ligamentum teres tear            | 42.9 (6)        | 42.9 | 42.9 |
| Iliopsoas bursitis               | 78.6 (11)       | 78.6 | 78.6 |
| Iliopsoas impingement lesion     | 21.4 (3)        | 21.4 | 21.4 |

aResults are presented as percentage (n) or mean ± SD. N = 14 cases (13 patients).
stabilizer of the normal hip joint, it has been postulated that in cases of microinstability, the iliopsoas tendon assumes a pathological hyperactivity. This increased strain on the iliopsoas may lead to impingement, pain, and snapping, which could further damage the underlying structures, such as the anterior labrum (ie, labral impingement sign). It is possible that this same mechanism of shear stress by the iliopsoas tendon may be a cause for the observed femoral head divot. This may be particularly true in patients with anterior under coverage, who have fewer acetabular bony and labral anatomic features to shield the femoral head from repetitive forces from the iliopsoas that could over time result in a divot. In our cohort, 50% of hips had painful internal snapping, which may attest to the plausibility of this etiological factor.

A similar mechanism has been proposed for a finding of a chondral divot in the humeral head. Castagna et al described a chondral print sign on the humeral head as a similar finding of a linear imprint on the humeral head.
cartilage. The authors postulated that this is due to biceps instability and that its finding can assist in confirming equivocal cases, although other studies have not found an association of this sign with biceps abnormality. In contrast to the femoral head divot sign, the chondral print sign in the shoulder has a higher reported prevalence, with 1 study noting a prevalence of nearly 25% in patients undergoing shoulder arthroscopy. We found the femoral head divot sign to be relatively rare, with a prevalence of 2%, although this may have been an underestimate of the true prevalence. The iliopsoas is a more robust structure than the biceps tendon and is not reliant on other structures, such as the subscapularis tendon and glenoid labrum, for stability, which may be a contributing factor to the lower incidence of the femoral divot.

Another possible cause of the femoral head divot is indentation due to a displaced labral flap causing chronic pressure on the cartilage. A similar mechanism was proposed to describe the “wave sign,” a chondral injury to the medial femoral condyle that is seen in association with occult longitudinal peripheral meniscal tears of the posterior horn. The meniscus was believed to displace anteriorly and cause the injury to the cartilage.

The presence of a femoral head divot has some potential clinical implications. First, it can corroborate a clinical diagnosis of hip microinstability and can aid in treatment decisions, such as capsular plication. Because this study was retrospective and the divot was not initially identified as a clear indicator of microinstability, 3 of the hips (21.4%) underwent capsular closure without plication. Second, the incongruency of the femoral head may have a detrimental effect on the suction seal of the joint. As the divot passes the labrum, synovial fluid may escape the joint, further inducing microinstability. This continued instability may limit patient improvement despite appropriate treatment of other conditions, such as labral tearing or bony deformity. Future research is warranted to evaluate whether tightening of the ligamentous stabilizers in these patients is sufficient to reduce symptoms of instability or whether further procedures that directly address the lesion, such as osteochondral implantation, are required.

This study has several limitations. Although we believe the divot to be specific for microinstability, it is not a sensitive sign, and most patients with microinstability do not exhibit this sign. However, although we identified only 14 cases, this may still be an underrepresentation of the true prevalence given the retrospective nature of the study. We retrospectively reviewed operative images and therefore were limited to the views recorded during surgery. Because this sign was not prospectively identified, we have only recently begun consistently evaluating for its presence, and some patients with the divot may have not been detected. Additionally, images were missing for nearly 10% of patients undergoing surgery during this time period. Some MRI procedures were performed at outside facilities, without consistent imaging protocols, and therefore not all of the images were of sufficient quality to identify the divot. Additionally, because the femoral divot is relatively small, it may be missed on MRI due to the thickness of each slice. Last, this study entailed patients who underwent surgery within the past 2 years, and therefore the study did not include an outcome analysis. Future studies should be performed to assess the clinical importance of this finding.

CONCLUSION

The femoral head divot sign is a rare arthroscopic finding during hip arthroscopy. The results of this study demonstrated that patients who have a divot also present with characteristic radiographic or physical examination findings of hip microinstability due to either acetabular dysplasia or ligamentous laxity. Recognition of a femoral head divot may be valuable for the diagnosis of microinstability during hip arthroscopy and may help guide appropriate management, such as capsular plication. Further studies are needed to determine the exact prevalence of the femoral head divot in patients with microinstability and to evaluate the effect of this finding on patient outcomes.
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