Serum ammonia is a strong prognostic factor for patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure
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Ammonia is thought to be central to the pathogenesis of hepatic encephalopathy (HE), but its prognostic role in acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) is still unknown. We aimed to determine the association between serum ammonia level and short-term prognosis in ACLF. Furthermore, we performed an in-depth evaluation of the independent effect of serum ammonia level on the short-term prognosis of hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation-induced ACLF patients. We identified 174 patients as part of prospective observational studies in patients with ACLF. Plasma ammonia levels were measured on admission, and several prognostic scores were used to determine the prognostic effect of ammonia. The 28-day patient survival was determined. Receiver operating characteristic analysis was used to identify the cut-off points for ammonia values, and multivariable analysis was performed using the Cox proportional hazard regression model. Plasma ammonia was significantly higher in nonsurvivors (83.53 ± 43.78 versus 67.13 ± 41.77 µmol/L, P = 0.013), and ACLF patients with hyperammonemia had significantly higher 28-day mortality than those without hyperammonemia. Ammonia was also closely related to ACLF grade (P < 0.001) and organ failure, including liver (P = 0.048), coagulation (P < 0.001) and brain (P < 0.001). HBV reactivation serves as the main precipitating factor in the ACLF population. Subgroup analysis showed that ammonia is also a strong prognostic factor in the HBV reactivation-induced ACLF population. Ammonia level is closely correlated with failure of other organs and is an independent risk factor for mortality in ACLF and the special population defined as HBV reactivation-related ACLF. Based on the results from our study, we measured serum ammonia in the population with ACLF, which strongly indicates their prognosis. It serves as an important biomarker and a therapeutic target.

Multiple studies have highlighted that hyperammonemia plays a critical role in the development of hepatic encephalopathy (HE) in patients with liver cirrhosis and other liver diseases. A large amount of serum ammonia escapes liver metabolism in acute liver failure (ALF) patients, and high ammonia concentrations are closely related to a high incidence of cerebral edema and herniation\textsuperscript{1}. Hyperammonemia may aggravate liver injury by impairing liver cells, accelerating immune dysfunction, activating hepatic stellate cell proliferation and reducing liver recovery\textsuperscript{2}. Although ammonia is not closely related to HE grade/coma score in patients with liver cirrhosis, those patients with Grade 4 HE have higher infection and systemic inflammation in vivo as they had a higher systemic inflammation score and SOFA score\textsuperscript{1}. Ammonia is highly related to the risk and frequency of HE episodes, while glycerol phenylbutyrate can decrease the level of ammonia and risk of HE episodes regardless of the basal level of ammonia\textsuperscript{3}. Regardless of ACLF severity, patients with HE had higher mortality. Moreover, they demonstrated that ammonia, abnormal cerebral oxygen consumption and systemic inflammation may play critical roles in HE episodes\textsuperscript{3}. In a recent study, Shalimar et al. included 498 patients with liver cirrhosis and showed that the serum ammonia level is highly correlated with the severity of HE and the incidence of 28.
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deaths in patients with liver cirrhosis. In patients with acutely decompensated cirrhosis, an admission ammonia level > 60 µmol/L had a higher 90-day and 30-day risk of death or transplantation and a lower 90-day transplant-free survival rate. The progression of acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) based on chronic liver diseases is hard to control since these patients are characterized by precipitating events, acute deterioration, and severe hepatic abnormalities. The Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver (APASL) defined ACLF as “an acute hepatic insult manifesting as jaundice and coagulopathy, complicated within 4 weeks by ascites and/or HE in 2019.” Patients with chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection easily progress into ACLF since chronic liver diseases rapidly deteriorate, accompanied by multiorgan failure and high short-term mortality. Current studies clarified that approximately 40% to 60% of ACLF cases occur in patients with flare-up of chronic HBV and that hepatitis B flare-up is commonly spontaneous according to further analysis. HBV reactivation always occurs in patients with inappropriate withdrawal or usage of nucleoside analogs, resistance to nucleoside analogs, immunosuppressors, chemotherapy and other reasons. According to current studies, the relationship between serum ammonia levels and patients with ACLF is still undetermined, especially in those populations with HBV reactivation-induced ACLF.

In this study, we aimed to determine the association between serum ammonia level and short-term prognosis in ACLF. Furthermore, we performed an in-depth evaluation of the independent effect of serum ammonia level on the short-term prognosis of HBV reactivation-induced ACLF patients.

Methods

Patients. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients or their legal surrogates prior to enrollment. All patients were screened according to the APASL ACLF definition in 2019 at admission, and they were subsequently hospitalized and treated at the Department of Infectious Disease Department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University from February 2017 to January 2020 (Fig. 1). The exclusion criteria included (1) age < 18; (2) pregnancy; (3) chronic renal diseases and other severe comorbidities, such as myocardial infarction, subarachnoid or cerebral hemorrhage, severe trauma and chronic corpulmonale; (4) human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)
infection; (5) acceptance of liver transplantation; and (5) no detection of serum ammonia. HBV reactivation was defined as a ≥2 log increase in the HBV DNA level from a previously stable baseline level or a level ≥100 IU/mL in patients whose HBV DNA had been undetectable or ≥20,000 IU/mL in those negative for HBV DNA at baseline11,12. We used CLIF criteria for further classification13. Briefly, liver failure (TBil ≥ 12 mg/dL), brain failure (grade III–IV HE), kidney failure (serum creatinine ≥2 mg/dL), coagulation failure (INR ≥ 2.5 or platelet count ≤ 20 × 109/L), circulation failure (mean arterial pressure < 70 mmHg or treatment with a vasoactive agent), and lung failure (PaO2/FiO2 ≤ 200 or SpO2/FiO2 ≤ 214) were recorded. This study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committees of the First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine. After exclusion, a total of 174 patients were recruited, and their baseline venous ammonia was measured at admission. We started the follow-up of all included patients at the onset of hospital admission and obtained all prognostic information from medical records or telephone contact after they were discharged. The primary endpoint of the study was 28-day mortality, and we recorded their survival time up to 28 days.

Measurement of parameters/data collection. We collected the following clinical and demographic information in a prespecified datasheet: age; sex; etiologies of cirrhosis; precipitating factors; complications and laboratory parameters; organ failure events; ACLF grade and prognosis. Acute precipitating factors were categorized into HBV reactivation, hepatotoxic drugs, hepatitis A virus (HAV) or hepatitis E virus (HEV) superimposed infection, alcohol drinking, surgery, and unknown cause. Liver cirrhosis was diagnosed based on symptoms and signs of portal hypertension and findings on ultrasonography, computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. Ascites was confirmed via paracentesis, abdominal imaging and other clinical evidence. HE assessment and grading employed the West Haven criteria14. Gastrointestinal hemorrhage was diagnosed by a positive fecal occult blood test or the presence of blood in vomit. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis was explored via ascites examination or laboratory culture. Infection was diagnosed as follows: (1) spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, polymorphonuclear cell count in ascitic fluid > 250/mL; (2) pneumonia, new pulmonary infiltrate in radiologic imaging plus the presence of any respiratory symptoms (cough, sputum, dyspnea, or pleuritic pain), any findings on auscultation (rales or crepitation), core body temperature ≥ 38 °C or ≤ 36 °C, and WBC count > 10,000/mm³ or < 4000/mm³; (3) urinary tract infection, WBC count in urine > 10/high power field with positive urine culture and urinary irritation symptoms; and (4) other bacterial infections, including catheter-related infection, osteoarticular infection, skin infection, and bacteremia of unknown cause15. As previous studies highlighted that there was no significant difference between venous and arterial ammonia values with respect to HE16, we collected venous ammonia for data analysis. ACLF grade was further identified based on the European Association for the Study of the Liver-Chronic Liver Failure (EASL-CLIF) criteria as follows: Grade 0: without organ function failure; nonkidney single organ function failure manifesting as a serum creatinine level of <1.5 mg/dL without HE; noncerebral single organ function failure manifesting as a serum creatinine level <1.5 mg/dL; Grade 1: single kidney function failure; single organ function failure either of liver, blood coagulation, circulatory or respiratory function manifesting as a serum creatinine level ≥1.5 mg/dL but < 2 mg/dL and/or grade 1 or grade 2 HE; single cerebral function failure manifesting as a serum creatinine level ≥1.5 mg/dL but < 2 mg/dL; Grade 2: double organ function failures; Grade 3: triple organ function failures17.

ACLF treatments. The ACLF patients were managed according to established guidelines8,17,18. To eliminate or control precipitating factors/complications, patients who were HBV DNA positive were immediately given nucleoside analogs daily according to their previous usage of nucleoside analogs. Patients using hepatotoxic drugs or actively drinking alcohol were required to stop using or abstain from alcohol. Patients with bacterial infection were immediately treated with empirical antibiotic therapy, and adjustment of antibiotic therapy was based on bacterial culture and antibiotic sensitivity tests. In addition, weight-based intravenous albumin was used, especially in patients with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP); furthermore, all patients with acute variceal bleeding received IV somatostatin, proton pump inhibitors, and antibiotic prophylaxis. For those with uncontrolled hemorrhage resulting from pharmacological therapy, Sengstaken-Blackmore tube or urgent therapeutic endoscopy were performed. Diagnostic abdominocentesis was performed in patients with ascites to examine whether SBP was present. Those with moderate ascites were treated with restriction of sodium intake and/or diuretics. Paracentesis combined with IV albumin was used in those with large or refractory ascites. Patients with renal failure were treated with IV albumin, vasoconstrictors and even renal replacement therapy. Patients with HE were given L-ornithine aspartate, lactulose, antibiotics, and discontinuation of potential precipitating events. Fluid replacement was performed in patients with mean arterial pressure (MAP) < 70 mmHg, and vasoactive agents were used when necessary. Oxygen therapy was performed in patients with decreased PaO₂ or SpO₂. The choice of nasal catheter, mask, or venturi mask oxygen inhalation or mechanical ventilation was based on the severity of respiratory dysfunction. Nutritional support is also the basis of all patients.

Statistical analysis. Prognostic models used in predicting the 28-day mortality of ACLF patients included the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD); MELD sodium (MELD-Na); the integrated MELD (iMELD); CLIF Consortium Organ Failure score (CLIF-C OFs); and CLIF-Consortium-ACLF (CLIF-C-ACLF) score. The MELD score (range 6–40) was calculated as follows: 9.6*loge[creatinine (mg/dL)] + 3.8*loge[bilirubin (mg/dL)] + 11.2*loge(INR) + 6.43*(etiologic: 0 if cholestatic or alcoholic, 1 otherwise)19. MELD-Na = MELD + 1.59 (135 – Na)20. The iMELD model for ACLF patients was recently proposed and calculated as follows: MELD + 0.3*Age [years] – 0.7*Na [mmol/L] + 10021. The CLIF-C-ACLF score was calculated as follows: 10*(0.33*CLIF-C OFs + 0.04*Age + 0.63*Ln (white-cell count) – 2)22. Organ failures were determined according to the CLIF Consortium Organ Failure score (CLIF-C OFs)22.
Continuous variables that were normally distributed were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation, and other variables were expressed as the median with interquartile ranges. Categorical data are presented as proportions. Comparison of demographics and clinical features was performed using the chi-square or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Continuous data between two groups were compared by Student’s t test or Mann–Whitney’s U test. Candidate variables (P < 0.10) after a bivariate analysis were entered into multivariable analysis for categorical variables. Continuous data between two groups were compared by Student’s t test or chi-square test. Comparison of demographics and clinical features was performed using the chi-square or Fisher’s exact test. Other variables were expressed as the median with interquartile ranges. Categorical data are presented as proportions.

Results

Patients' baseline characteristics. Baseline demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. Overall mortality at 28 days was 41.4% (n = 72). Nonsurvivors were older (55.74 ± 12.51 versus 51.61 ± 10.30, P = 0.018). The most common precipitating event of ACLF was HBV reactivation (52.8% in nonsurvivors and 67.6% in survivors), and the overall rate of HBV reactivation in the ACLF population was 61.5% (n = 107). Nonsurvivors had a higher frequency of severe ACLF and organ failures, including liver, brain, and coagulation (P < 0.05). The complications did not differ between the survivors and nonsurvivors. Ammonia, total bilirubin (TB), direct bilirubin (DB), and prothrombin time (PT) levels were higher in nonsurvivors than in survivors (83.53 ± 43.78 µmol/L versus 67.13 ± 41.77 µmol/L, P = 0.013; 23.42 ± 8.17 versus 18.19 ± 8.66, P < 0.001; 17.11 ± 6.04 versus 12.90 ± 6.32, P < 0.001; 27.15 ± 9.10 versus 22.93 ± 4.49, P < 0.001), while thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) and lymphocyte levels were lower in nonsurvivors than in survivors (0.68 ± 1.22 versus 1.07 ± 1.00, P = 0.023; 0.90 ± 0.59 versus 1.25 ± 1.01, P = 0.009). Baseline MELD, MELD-Na, iMELD, CLIF-C and CLIF-C ACLF scores were all higher in nonsurvivors than in survivors (P ≤ 0.001).

Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics in ACLF patients with and without elevated ammonia. Overall, 58 patients (33.3%) had baseline ammonia ≥ 89 µmol/L (Table 2). In the group with ammonia ≥ 89 µmol/L, the incidence of overt HE did not differ from patients with ammonia ≤ 89 µmol/L (P = 0.081). An ammonia level ≥ 89 µmol/L was associated with a higher frequency of organ failure (cerebral 36.2% versus 5.2% (P < 0.001); coagulation 39.7% versus 16.4% (P < 0.001)). In addition, an ammonia level ≥ 89 µmol/L was associated with severe ACLF in our study (P < 0.001). In the group with ammonia ≥ 89 µmol/L, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and PT levels were higher than those with ammonia ≤ 89 µmol/L (172.43 ± 105.49 versus 136.97 ± 54.55, P = 0.019; 26.28 ± 6.52 versus 23.88 ± 7.23, P = 0.035), while triglyceride (TG) and very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) levels were lower than lower those with ammonia ≤ 89 µmol/L (1.17 ± 0.45 versus 1.34 ± 0.57, P = 0.049; 0.86 ± 0.57 versus 1.07 ± 0.66, P = 0.04). The prognostic scores, including CLIF-C OF and CLIF-C ACLF, were higher in patients with ammonia ≥ 89 µmol/L than in those with ammonia ≤ 89 µmol/L (9.88 ± 2.24 versus 8.36 ± 1.76, P = 0.001; 47.04 ± 10.57 versus 40.49 ± 8.55, P < 0.001).

Associations of clinical parameters and prognostic scoring systems with serum ammonia in ACLF patients. The correlations between baseline serum ammonia level and laboratory data, organ failure and prognostic scores were explored (Table 3). Serum ammonia levels were inversely correlated with TG levels (r = −0.156, P = 0.04) and VLDL levels (r = −0.197, P = 0.009). The serum ammonia level was positively correlated with the PT level (r = 0.216, P = 0.004). The serum ammonia level was positively correlated with organ failure (liver, r = 0.129, P = 0.04; cerebral, r = 0.338, P < 0.001; coagulation, r = 0.241, P < 0.001) and ACLF grade (r = 0.342, P < 0.001). The serum ammonia level was positively correlated with prognostic predictive scores (CLIF-OF: r = 0.382, P < 0.001; CLIF-C ACLFs: r = 0.369, P < 0.001).

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis and comparisons in the ACLF and HBV reactivation-induced ACLF subgroups. The predictive value of serum ammonia level and other prognostic scores were examined by ROC curve analysis and comparisons. The area under the curve (AUC) for ammonia alone was 0.614 (0.528–0.699), which was comparable to the MELD-Na score (0.669 (0.586–0.752)), MELD score (0.701 (0.620–0.782)), iMELD score (0.707 (0.627–0.787)), CLIF-C OF score (0.709 (0.631–0.788)) and CLIF-C ACLF score (0.715 (0.637–0.793)) (Fig. 3a). The predictive value of serum ammonia level and other prognostic scores were examined by ROC curve analysis and comparisons. The AUC for ammonia alone was 0.669 (0.56–0.778), which was comparable to the MELD-Na score (0.755 (0.655–0.856)), MELD score (0.816 (0.729–0.903)), iMELD score (0.806 (0.714–0.898)), CLIF-C OF score (0.807 (0.719–0.895)) and CLIF-C ACLF score (0.793 (0.702–0.885)) (Fig. 3b).

Patients' baseline characteristics for HBV reactivation-induced ACLF. Baseline demographic characteristics are shown in Table 4. Overall mortality at 28 days was 35.8% (n = 38). Nonsurvivors were older (54.58 ± 11.85 versus 49.71 ± 10.05, P = 0.027). Nonsurvivors had a higher frequency of organ failure (liver, brain, coagulation; P < 0.01). The incidence of HE was higher in nonsurvivors than survivors (73.7% versus 26.5%, P < 0.001). Ammonia, white blood cell (WBC), neutrophil, TB, DB, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase (HBDH), international normalized ratio (INR), PT, and D-dimer levels were
| Baseline characteristics | Survivors (N = 102) | Nonsurvivors (N = 72) | P  |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----|
| **Predisposition**       |                     |                      |    |
| Age                      | 51.61 ± 10.30       | 55.74 ± 12.51        | 0.018 |
| Male sex                 | 72 (70.6%)          | 48 (66.7%)           | 0.582 |
| **Etiology, N (%)**      |                     |                      |    |
| HBV                      | 88 (86.3%)          | 57 (79.2%)           | 0.215 |
| Autoimmune               | 1 (1%)              | 1 (1.4%)             | 1   |
| PBC                      | 3 (2.9%)            | 3 (4.2%)             | 0.693 |
| HCV                      | 1 (1%)              | 1 (1.4%)             | 1   |
| Alcohol                  | 31 (30.4%)          | 30 (41.7%)           | 0.125 |
| HBV + alcohol            | 21 (20.6%)          | 20 (27.8%)           | 0.271 |
| Wilson disease           | 0 (0%)              | 1 (1.4%)             | 0.414 |
| Schistosomes             | 1 (1%)              | 5 (6.9%)             | 0.083 |
| Others                   | 2 (2%)              | 1 (1.4%)             | 1   |
| **Precipitating event, N (%)** |                 |                      |    |
| HBV reactivation         | 69 (67.6%)          | 38 (52.8%)           | 0.047 |
| Drug use                 | 10 (9.8%)           | 12 (16.7%)           | 0.18 |
| HAV or HEV               | 1 (1%)              | 0 (0%)               | 1   |
| Alcoholism               | 4 (3.9%)            | 2 (2.8%)             | 1   |
| Surgery                  | 0 (0%)              | 0 (0%)               | N/A |
| Others                   | 16 (15.7%)          | 20 (27.8%)           | 0.052 |
| **Complications, N (%)** |                     |                      |    |
| SBP                      | 22 (21.6%)          | 13 (18.1%)           | 0.569 |
| Gastrointestinal hemorrhage | 9 (8.8%)        | 11 (15.3%)           | 0.189 |
| Ascites                  | 99 (97.1%)          | 71 (98.6%)           | 0.643 |
| Infection                | 10 (9.8%)           | 14 (19.4%)           | 0.069 |
| HE                       | 41 (40.2%)          | 30 (41.7%)           | 0.846 |
| **Organ failure, N (%)** |                     |                      |    |
| Liver                    | 72 (70.6%)          | 64 (88.9%)           | 0.004 |
| Kidney                   | 2 (2%)              | 3 (4.2%)             | 0.65 |
| Cerebral                 | 6 (5.9%)            | 21 (29.2%)           | < 0.001 |
| Coagulation              | 18 (17.6%)          | 24 (33.3%)           | 0.017 |
| Circulation              | 6 (5.9%)            | 11 (15.3%)           | 0.04 |
| Lung                     | 11 (10.8%)          | 7 (9.7%)             | 0.821 |
| **ACLF grade**           |                     |                      |    |
| ACLF grade 0             | 76 (74.5%)          | 31 (43.1%)           | < 0.001 |
| ACLF grade 1             | 0 (0%)              | 2 (2.8%)             |    |
| ACLF grade 2             | 19 (18.6%)          | 19 (26.4%)           |    |
| ACLF grade 3             | 7 (6.9%)            | 20 (27.8%)           |    |
| **Laboratory parameters**|                     |                      |    |
| Ammonia (μmol/L)         | 67.13 ± 41.77       | 83.53 ± 43.78        | 0.013 |
| TSH (mIU/L)              | 1.07 ± 1.00         | 0.68 ± 1.22          | 0.023 |
| Lymphocyte (10^9/L)      | 1.25 ± 1.01         | 0.90 ± 0.59          | 0.009 |
| TB (mg/dL)               | 18.19 ± 8.66        | 23.42 ± 8.17         | < 0.001 |
| DB (mg/dL)               | 12.90 ± 6.32        | 17.11 ± 6.04         | < 0.001 |
| PT (s)                   | 22.93 ± 4.49        | 27.15 ± 9.10         | < 0.001 |
| **Prognostic score**     |                     |                      |    |
| MELD                     | 22.82 ± 5.70        | 26.45 ± 7.36         | < 0.001 |
| MELD-Na                  | 20.01 ± 9.83        | 25.52 ± 11.25        | 0.001 |
| iMELD                    | 42.23 ± 7.43        | 48.26 ± 9.76         | < 0.001 |
| CLIF-C OF                | 8.24 ± 1.78         | 9.76 ± 2.11          | < 0.001 |
| CLIF-C ACLF              | 39.59 ± 8.06        | 47.05 ± 10.29        | < 0.001 |

Table 1. Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics of patients at diagnosis of ACLF.
| Variable                        | Ammonia < 89 (n = 116) | Ammonia ≥ 89 (n = 58) | P     |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Male sex                       | 82.70 ± 5.35          | 86.5 ± 7.03          | 0.487 |
| Complications, N (%)           |                        |                       |       |
| SBP                            | 81 (21.2%)            | 11 (19%)             | 0.141 |
| Gastrointestinal hemorrhage    | 12 (38.7%)            | 10 (16%)             | 0.239 |
| Ascites                        | 112 (96.6%)           | 58 (100%)            | 0.303 |
| Infection                      | 12 (10.3%)            | 12 (20.7%)           | 0.062 |
| HE                             | 49 (36.2%)            | 29 (50%)             | 0.081 |
| Laboratory parameters          |                        |                       |       |
| ALP (U/L)                      | 136.97 ± 54.55        | 172.43 ± 105.49      | 0.019 |
| Triglyceride (mmol/L)          | 1.34 ± 0.57           | 1.17 ± 0.45          | 0.049 |
| VLDL (mmol/L)                  | 1.07 ± 0.66           | 0.86 ± 0.57          | 0.04  |
| PT (s)                         | 23.88 ± 7.23          | 26.28 ± 6.52         | 0.035 |
| Organ failures                 |                        |                       |       |
| Liver                          | 86 (74.1%)            | 50 (86.2%)           | 0.069 |
| Kidney                         | 3 (2.6%)              | 2 (3.4%)             | 1     |
| Cerebral                       | 6 (5.2%)              | 21 (36.2%)           | <0.001|
| Coagulation                    | 19 (16.4%)            | 23 (39.7%)           | 0.001 |
| Circulation                    | 8 (6.9%)              | 9 (15.5%)            | 0.071 |
| Lung                           | 10 (8.6%)             | 8 (13.8%)            | 0.291 |
| ACLF grade                     |                        |                       | <0.001|
| ACLF grade 0                   | 85 (73.3%)            | 22 (37.9%)           |       |
| ACLF grade 1                   | 2 (1.7%)              | 0 (0%)               |       |
| ACLF grade 2                   | 20 (17.2%)            | 18 (31%)             |       |
| ACLF grade 3                   | 9 (7.8%)              | 18 (31%)             |       |
| 28-day mortality               | 38 (32.8%)            | 34 (58.6%)           | 0.001 |
| Survival time                  | 23.12 ± 8.07          | 20.07 ± 8.88         | 0.024 |
| Prognostic score               |                        |                       |       |
| CLIF-C OF                      | 8.36 ± 1.76           | 9.88 ± 2.24          | <0.001|
| CLIF-C ACLF                    | 40.49 ± 8.55          | 47.04 ± 10.57        | <0.001|

Table 2. Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics in ACLF patients with and without elevated ammonia.

| Variable                        | Regression coefficient | 95% CI           | P     |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Laboratory parameter           |                        |                 |       |
| TG (mmol/L)                    | −0.156                 | −0.298 to −0.014| 0.04  |
| VLDL (mmol/L)                  | −0.197                 | −0.320 to −0.053| 0.009 |
| PT (s)                         | 0.216                  | 0.101 to 0.372  | 0.004 |
| Organ failure                  |                        |                 |       |
| Liver                          | 0.129                  | 0.004 to 0.240  | 0.04  |
| Kidney                         | 0.003                  | −0.119 to 0.106 | 0.957 |
| Cerebral                       | 0.338                  | 0.239 to 0.426  | <0.001|
| Coagulation                    | 0.241                  | 0.128 to 0.342  | <0.001|
| Circulation                    | 0.036                  | −0.104 to 0.172 | 0.567 |
| Lung                           | 0.041                  | −0.094 to 0.169 | 0.509 |
| ACLF grade                     | 0.342                  | 0.21 to 0.486   | <0.001|
| Prognostic score               |                        |                 |       |
| MELD                           | 0.134                  | 0.015 to 0.261  | 0.078 |
| MELD-Na                        | 0.063                  | −0.062 to 0.189 | 0.410 |
| iMELD                          | 0.135                  | 0.011 to 0.261  | 0.076 |
| CLIF-C OF                      | 0.382                  | 0.264 to 0.504  | <0.001|
| CLIF-C ACLF                    | 0.369                  | 0.246 to 0.49   | <0.001|
| 28-day mortality               | 0.159                  | 0.283 to 0.209  | 0.011 |

Table 3. Associations of clinical parameters and prognostic scoring systems with serum ammonia.
higher in nonsurvivors than in survivors (P < 0.05). TSH, lymphocytes, albumin, triglycerides and VLDL were lower in nonsurvivors than in survivors (P < 0.05). All prognostic scores, including MELD, MELD-Na, iMELD, CLIF-OF and CLIF-C ACLF scores, were higher in nonsurvivors than in survivors (P ≤ 0.001).

**Associations of clinical parameters and prognostic scoring systems with serum ammonia in HBV reactivation-induced ACLF patients.** Overall, 37 patients (33.3%) had baseline ammonia ≥ 92.5 µmol/L (Table 5). In the group with ammonia ≥ 92.5 µmol/L, the incidence of overt HE was higher than that in patients with ammonia ≤ 92.5 µmol/L (P < 0.001). An ammonia level ≥ 92.5 µmol/L was associated with a higher frequency of organ failures (cerebral 44.4% versus 7.1% (P < 0.001); coagulation 50% versus 15.7% (P < 0.001)). In the group with ammonia ≥ 92.5 µmol/L, ferritin, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), WBC, INR, and PT levels were higher than those the group with ammonia ≤ 92.5 µmol/L (P < 0.05), while TSH, TG, and VLDL were

**Figure 2.** Ammonia levels and organ injury. Comparisons of ammonia levels among subjects within subgroups of ACLF subjects according to CLIF-OF score and EASL-CLIF: (a) liver; (b) kidney; (c) coagulation; (d) brain; (e) ACLF grade.

**Figure 3.** ROC curves of prognostic models in predicting 28-day mortality in ACLF groups (a) and HBV reactivation-induced ACLF groups (b).
lower than those in the group with ammonia ≤ 92.5 μmol/L (P < 0.05). The prognostic scores, including MELD, iMELD, CLIF-C OF and CLIF-C ACLF, were higher in patients with ammonia ≥ 92.5 μmol/L than in those with ammonia ≤ 92.5 μmol/L (P < 0.01).

Patients with higher levels of ammonia had increased mortality at 28 days compared to those with normal ammonia; the phenomenon can also be observed after classification of the population into HBeAg-positive subgroup and HBeAg-negative subgroup. The survival curves stratified by ammonia level are presented in Fig. 4.

### Table 4. Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics of patients at diagnosis of ACLF induced by HBV reactivation.

| Baseline characteristics                      | Survivors (N = 68) | Nonsurvivors (N = 38) | P    |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|
| **Predisposition**                           |                   |                       |      |
| Age                                          | 49.71 ± 10.05     | 54.58 ± 11.85         | 0.027|
| Male sex                                     | 51 (75%)          | 28 (73.7%)            | 0.881|
| **Complications, N (%)**                     |                   |                       |      |
| SBP                                          | 12 (17.6%)        | 11 (28.9%)            | 0.176|
| Gastrointestinal hemorrhage                  | 5 (7.4%)          | 2 (5.3%)              | 1    |
| Ascites                                      | 66 (97.1%)        | 38 (100%)             | 0.536|
| HE                                           | 4 (5.9%)          | 5 (13.2%)             | 0.355|
| **Organ failure, N (%)**                     |                   |                       |      |
| Liver                                        | 49 (72.1%)        | 36 (94.7%)            | 0.005|
| Kidney                                       | 0 (0%)            | 1 (2.6%)              | 0.358|
| Cerebral                                     | 4 (5.9%)          | 17 (44.4%)            | <0.001|
| Coagulation                                  | 11 (16.2%)        | 18 (47.4%)            | 0.001|
| Circulation                                  | 4 (5.9%)          | 5 (13.2%)             | 0.355|
| Lung                                         | 6 (8.8%)          | 1 (2.6%)              | 0.417|
| **Laboratory parameters**                    |                   |                       |      |
| Serum ammonia (μmol/L)                       | 69.76 ± 42.78     | 96.05 ± 46.48         | 0.004|
| TSH (mIU/L)                                  | 0.91 ± 0.69       | 0.53 ± 0.65           | 0.006|
| WBC (10e9/L)                                 | 6.76 ± 3.70       | 8.18 ± 3.21           | 0.049|
| Neutrophil (10e9/L)                          | 4.80 ± 3.35       | 6.43 ± 3.12           | 0.015|
| Lymphocyte (10e9/L)                          | 1.24 ± 0.60       | 0.96 ± 0.48           | 0.013|
| Albumin (g/L)                                | 31.48 ± 4.89      | 29.60 ± 3.48          | 0.024|
| TB (mg/dL)                                   | 17.68 ± 7.64      | 21.80 ± 6.82          | <0.001|
| DB (mg/dL)                                   | 12.59 ± 5.64      | 17.18 ± 4.96          | <0.001|
| TG (mmol/L)                                  | 1.36 ± 0.56       | 1.14 ± 0.37           | 0.029|
| VLDL (mmol/L)                                | 1.10 ± 0.61       | 0.86 ± 0.44           | 0.027|
| LDH (U/L)                                    | 237.31 ± 93.67    | 284.53 ± 89.27        | 0.013|
| HBDH (U/L)                                   | 192.13 ± 76.20    | 228.61 ± 71.50        | 0.017|
| INR                                          | 2.03 ± 0.42       | 2.62 ± 0.86           | <0.001|
| PT (s)                                       | 22.86 ± 4.53      | 29.60 ± 9.28          | <0.001|
| D-dimer (µg/L)                               | 2792.06 ± 2773.08 | 5674.29 ± 8310.25    | 0.044|
| **Prognostic score**                         |                   |                       |      |
| MELD                                         | 21.38 ± 4.37      | 28.07 ± 7.11          | <0.001|
| MELD-Na                                      | 17.54 ± 7.22      | 25.81 ± 10.23         | <0.001|
| iMELD                                        | 40.10 ± 5.67      | 48.95 ± 10.06         | <0.001|
| CLIF-C OF                                    | 8.15 ± 1.76       | 10.53 ± 2.09          | <0.001|
| CLIF-C ACLF                                  | 38.09 ± 7.75      | 49.35 ± 11.30         | <0.001|

**Univariate analyses and multivariable analysis of risk factors from laboratory tests.** The results showed that in univariate analyses, relatively older age (OR 1.043, P = 0.032) and higher levels of ammonia (OR 1.013, P = 0.006), neutrophils (OR 1.162, P = 0.024), TB (OR 1.119, P < 0.001), DB (OR 1.166, P < 0.001), creatinine (Cr) (OR 5.893, P = 0.009), LDH (OR 1.006, P = 0.017), HBDH (OR 1.007, P = 0.022), creatine kinase (CK) (OR 1.005, P < 0.001), INR (OR 5.377, P < 0.001), and PT (OR 1.178, P < 0.001) were high-risk factors for 28 mortality in patients with HBV reactivation-induced ACLF. On the other hand, the relatively lower levels of TSH (OR 0.381, P = 0.01), lymphocytes (OR 0.359, P = 0.017), albumin (OR 0.904, P = 0.043), TG (OR 0.33, P = 0.035), VLDL (OR 0.445, P = 0.047) and D-dimer (OR 1, P = 0.04) were the high-risk factors for 28-day mortality in patients with HBV reactivation-induced ACLF. However, in multivariable Cox regression, only age
(OR 1.042, P = 0.026), serum ammonia (OR 1.010, P = 0.016), lymphocytes (OR 0.396, P = 0.033), Cr (OR 4.189, P = 0.003), INR (OR 0, P = 0.003), PT (OR 2.44, P = 0.002), and D-dimer (OR 1, P = 0.02) were independent risk factors for 28 mortality in patients with HBV reactivation-induced ACLF (Table 6).

**Discussion**

Early in 2003, Nicolao et al. stated that there is only a rough and inconstant correlation between hyperammonemia and the clinical severity of HE. EASL/AASLD clinical practice guidelines state that high blood-ammonia levels do not add any diagnostic staging or prognostic value in HE patients with chronic liver disease. They also state that HE cannot be diagnosed according to serum ammonia level since the ammonia level is in the normal range in some patients with clinical HE and neurological abnormalities. Recently, another study debated this opinion and stated that serum ammonia is related to the clinical grade of HE and serves as a prognostic factor in patients with liver cirrhosis. The high mortality of ACLF patients with hyperammonemia is potentially related to the toxicity of ammonia in the circulatory system, which extends beyond the brain, including a role in the pathophysiology of portal hypertension. It is worth noting that the usefulness of measuring serum ammonia in routine practice remains controversial, although multiple studies highlighted that ammonia is a prognostic factor in patients with liver cirrhosis. Based on the results from our study, we measured serum ammonia in the population with ACLF, which strongly indicates their prognosis.

Hyperammonemia occurs in patients with liver cirrhosis due to reduced activity of urea cycle enzymes in the liver or portosystemic shunting. Ravi et al. demonstrated that a higher level of ammonia at admission served as an important indicator of in-hospital survival in patients with alcoholic hepatitis. A certain proportion of cirrhotic patients develop HE, 43% of HE patients die within 1 year, and the short-term mortality rate is extremely high in patients with more advanced grades of HE. A quality meta-analysis from the Cochrane Database System Review revealed that the prevention and treatment of HE with l-ornithine l-aspartate significantly reduced the mortality of patients with cirrhosis with acute decompensation when compared to patients treated with placebo, and this treatment decreased the serum level of ammonia to improve the survival rates of these patients. In addition, several studies found that the incidence of HE is closely related to the mortality of patients with chronic liver diseases. However, they speculated that a significant correlation exists between increasing ammonia levels and the development of HE or the severity of HE. Two recent studies highlighted that ammonia levels on admission are important predictive factors of in-hospital mortality in decompensated cirrhosis.

Currently, no study has directly analyzed the prognostic effect of ammonia in populations with APASL ACLF. Our study adds to these previous studies through inclusion of a defined ACLF population and further analysis.

| Ammonia < 92.5 (n = 69) | Ammonia ≥ 92.5 (n = 37) | P |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Male sex               | 52 (74.3%)              | 27 (75%)               | 0.936 |
| Complications, N (%)   |                         |                         |     |
| HE                     | 20 (28.6%)              | 26 (72.2%)              | < 0.001 |
| Laboratory parameters  |                         |                         |     |
| Ferritin (ng/mL)       | 2184.18 ± 1900.79       | 3894.96 ± 3519.22       | 0.008 |
| TSH (mIU/L)            | 0.88 ± 0.74             | 0.56 ± 0.56             | 0.014 |
| WBC (10^9/L)           | 6.75 ± 3.33             | 8.24 ± 3.86             | 0.041 |
| AST                    | 220.64 ± 179.33         | 311.73 ± 252.35         | 0.034 |
| TG (mmol/L)            | 1.36 ± 0.55             | 1.13 ± 0.40             | 0.024 |
| VLDL (mmol/L)          | 1.12 ± 0.59             | 0.82 ± 0.48             | 0.01  |
| INR                    | 2.14 ± 0.73             | 2.43 ± 0.50             | 0.032 |
| PT (s)                 | 24.14 ± 7.95            | 27.40 ± 5.57            | 0.029 |
| Organ failures         |                         |                         |     |
| Liver                  | 53 (75.7%)              | 32 (88.9%)              | 0.107 |
| Kidney                 | 0 (0%)                  | 1 (2.8%)                | 0.34  |
| Cerebral               | 5 (7.1%)                | 16 (44.4%)              | < 0.001 |
| Coagulation            | 11 (15.7%)              | 18 (50%)                | < 0.001 |
| Circulation            | 3 (4.3%)                | 6 (16.7%)               | 0.072 |
| Lung                   | 4 (5.7%)                | 3 (8.3%)                | 0.687 |
| Prognostic score       |                         |                         |     |
| MELD                   | 22.48 ± 5.83            | 26.19 ± 6.67            | 0.004 |
| MELD-Na                | 19.23 ± 8.30            | 22.88 ± 10.59           | 0.053 |
| iMELD                  | 41.68 ± 7.60            | 46.24 ± 9.68            | 0.009 |
| CLIF-C OF              | 7.78 ± 1.63             | 10.19 ± 1.98            | < 0.001 |
| CLIF-C ACLF            | 37.29 ± 8.18            | 47.59 ± 10.33           | < 0.001 |
| 28-day mortality       | 17 (24.3%)              | 21 (58.3%)              | 0.001 |

Table 5. Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics in ACLF patients induced by HBV reactivation with and without elevated ammonia.
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of HBV reactivation-related ACLF, allowing for analysis of the relationship between ammonia and various prognostic factors in the population. We proved that hyperammonemia is a strong indicator in the prognosis of patients with ACLF, which indicated that lowering ammonia in these patients may prolong the survival time of ACLF regardless of the incidence of HE. Although the data were obtained retrospectively from the studies, all patients were prospectively recruited. In the total ACLF population, an ammonia level of ≥ 89 µmol/L is closely correlated with liver, coagulation, and brain failure, although our data did not find a relationship between higher ammonia and kidney, circulation or respiration failure. Although there is no difference in HE incidence between ACLF with ammonia level of ≥ 89 µmol/L and those without ammonia level of ≥ 89 µmol/L, we found that ammonia level is positively related to HE grade according to CLIF-OF scores. This is in accordance with the most recent study by Shalimar et al.2. HBV infection is still an important public health problem since 3/4 of people with HBV infection and chronic carriers with positive HBV surface antigens (HBsAg) are from China. On the other hand, nearly 50,000 people die because of HBV infection every year in China31. This reminds us that HBV reactivation is a life-threatening case in the current status. After we analyzed the data from our hospital, we found that most patients underwent progression into ACLF when they withdrew or accepted irregular usage of nucleoside analogs. In addition, HBV reactivation commonly occurs in other patients undergoing impairment of their antiviral immunity, such as chemotherapy, immunosuppressive treatment or biological therapy32. Without considering clinical signs of HE or other parameters in ACLF patients, a high level of ammonia indicates a significantly higher risk of death at 28 days. We found that ammonia is still a strong prognostic factor in HBV reactivation-induced ACLF and is closely related to more prognostic scores according to our study. The Kaplan–Meier survival curves further demonstrated that ammonia was still a strong prognostic factor after division of the population into subgroups.

In vivo and in vitro studies showed that ornithine phenylacetate significantly decreased the cell death rate of hepatocytes and alleviated the progression of fibrosis after downregulation of serum ammonia levels33. Although the pathophysiology of HE is multifactorial and undetermined according to current studies, hyperammonemia, inflammation and genetic factors are three main pathways in the pathogenetic process.

Figure 4. Kaplan–Meier graph of 28-day survival stratified by ammonia level. Cumulative survival across groups was compared using the log-rank test. (a) Twenty-eight-day survival of HBV reactivation-induced ACLF. (b) Twenty-eight-day survival of HBV reactivation-induced ACLF with HBeAg positivity. (c) Twenty-eight-day survival of HBV reactivation-induced ACLF patients who were HBeAg negative.
rats, hyperammonemia-induced brain edema and brain swelling can be reduced by a reduction in ammonia. In another model of liver cirrhosis, downregulation of ammonia levels protected the brain from a subsequent challenge with lipopolysaccharide. This indicates that ammonia is a potential goal of treatment to prolong the survival time of ACLF patients.

However, there are still limitations in this study, as we appealed to expand the study population in future study. The cut-off of the actual ammonia level should be further determined according to additional larger studies around the world. In an Indian study, a cut-off value of 124 µmol/L upon initial evaluation predicted death in 76% of cases. In 2007, Bernal et al. showed that an arterial ammonia level above 100 µmol/L is the cut-off that sensitively and specifically predicts the occurrence of severe HE in 70% of cases. In 2019, another study demonstrated that an arterial ammonia level above 79.5 µmol/L is the cut-off that sensitively and specifically predicts the outcome of patients with liver cirrhosis.

To this end, this study of ACLF patients adds significantly to the evidence that ammonia levels correlate not only with the failure of other organs but also with 28-day mortality. A reduction in ammonia levels may serve as a potential therapeutic target in patients with ACLF.

Table 6. Univariate and multivariate analysis of predictors of 28-day mortality.

| Laboratory parameter | Unadjusted HR | P       | Adjusted HR | P       |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Age                   | 1.043         | 0.032   | 1.042       | 0.026   |
| Serum ammonia (µmol/L) | 1.013         | 0.006   | 1.010       | 0.016   |
| TSH (mIU/L)           | 0.381         | 0.01    |             |         |
| WBC (10^9/L)          | 1.118         | 0.059   |             |         |
| Neutrophil (10^9/L)   | 1.162         | 0.024   |             |         |
| Lymphocyte (10^9/L)   | 0.359         | 0.017   | 0.396       | 0.033   |
| Albumin               | 0.904         | 0.043   |             |         |
| TB (mg/dL)            | 1.119         | <0.001  |             |         |
| DB (mg/dL)            | 1.166         | <0.001  |             |         |
| Cr (mg/dL)            | 5.893         | 0.009   | 4.189       | 0.003   |
| TG (mmol/L)           | 0.33          | 0.035   |             |         |
| VLDL (mmol/L)         | 0.445         | 0.047   |             |         |
| LDH (U/L)             | 1.006         | 0.017   |             |         |
| HBDH (U/L)            | 1.007         | 0.022   |             |         |
| CK (U/L)              | 1.005         | 0.04    |             |         |
| INR                   | 5.377         | <0.001  | 0           | 0.003   |
| PT (s)                | 1.178         | <0.001  | 2.44        | 0.002   |
| D-dimer (µg/L)        | 1             | 0.04    | 1           | 0.02    |
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