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Quantifying the Elasticity Properties of the Median Nerve during the Upper Limb Neurodynamic Test 1
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Background. The upper limb neurodynamic test 1 (ULNT1) consists of a series of movements that are thought to detect an increase in neuromechanical sensitivity. In vivo, no trial was made to quantify the association between the nerve elasticity and different limb postures during ULNT1. Objectives. (1) To investigate the relationship between nerve elasticity and limb postures during ULNT1 and (2) to investigate the intra- and interoperator reliabilities of shear wave elastography (SWE) in quantifying the elasticity of median nerve. Methods. Twenty healthy subjects (mean age: 19.9 ± 1.4 years old) participated in this study. The median nerve was imaged during elbow extension in the following postures: (1) with neutral posture, (2) with wrist extension (WE), (3) with contralateral cervical flexion (CCF), and (4) with both WE and CCF. The intra- and interoperator reliabilities measured by two operators at NP and CCF+WE and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were calculated. Results. The intraoperator (ICC = 0.72 – 0.75) and interoperator (ICC = 0.89 – 0.94) reliabilities for measuring the elasticity of the median nerve ranged from good to excellent. The mean shear modulus of the median nerve increased by 53.68% from NP to WE+CCF. Conclusion. SWE is a reliable tool to quantify the elasticity of the median nerve. There was acute modulation in the elasticity of the median nerve during the ULNT1 when healthy participants reported substantial discomfort. Further studies need to focus on the elasticity properties of the median nerve in patients with peripheral neuropathic pain.

1. Introduction

The upper limb neurodynamic test 1 (ULNT1) consists of a series of movements constructed to stress various parts of the nervous system and is regarded to be capable of detecting increased nerve mechanosensitivity [1, 2]. Clinicians use range of motion (ROM) and sensory responses to evaluate neurodynamic tests and compare sides and/or relate results to normal values in order to diagnose upper extremity peripheral neuropathic pain [3, 4]. Furthermore, musculoskeletal physiotherapists evaluate ULNT1 (median nerve) to discover changes in mechanosensitivity in the neural system, hence measuring function gain for patients [5]. The ULNT1 is very widely used in clinical settings.

Previous research has combined ULNT1 with psychological questionnaires such as the visual analogue scale (VAS) to assess prognosis and treatment response [6, 7]. However, these psychological surveys are unable to rule out the effects of placebos, cognitive, and other psychophysiological alterations. Researchers were expected to establish a more objective reference standard for peripheral neuropathic pain in order to validate the efficacy of ULNT1 [8]. The biomechanics of neurodynamic studies in vitro may be characterized by a combination of stress, strain, and movement [9]. According to a systematic review of research [10], frame-by-frame cross-correlation software was utilized to detect nerve motion in all trials. Limb movement induces complex biomechanical effects such as nerve elongation,
nerve longitudinal and transverse excursion, and changes in diameter [9, 10]. These trials are performed using ultrasound techniques to detect nerve displacement while changing joint posture and lack the detection of nerve stress (tension) in vivo. In addition, the ultrasonographer measured the displacement and cross-sectional area of nerve movements by hand, and the measurement’s reliability (ICC = 0.542) was determined by the ultrasonographer’s own experience [11]. The displacement is insensitive to the nerve’s tissue stress. A suitable instrument with adequate properties was not available. Therefore, it is necessary to seek a device that can detect stress to standardize the neurophysiological stress range in vivo.

In recent years, shear wave elastography (SWE) technology has produced a measurable depiction of “elasticity” in tissues [12–14]. Hooke’s law, which establishes the relationship between strain, stress, and elasticity only in isotropic and purely elastic media, is the foundation of SWE: $s = E \cdot d$ (E: elasticity; s: stress; and d: strain) [15]. Elasticity is defined as the ratio of stress to strain, which reflects the structural stress of tissue indirectly [16]. The biomechanical parameter used to characterize elasticity is the shear modulus. However, there are few trials using SWE devices to assess the elastic properties of the nerves. There is no consensus on the physiological parameters of neuroelasticity. To the authors’ knowledge, SWE has not been used to observe the modulation of elasticity in the median nerve during ULNT1. It is necessary to validate the repeatability of the SWE technique applied to nerve elasticity detection in ULNT1.

Therefore, the goals of this study were to (1) assess the reliability of SWE in quantifying the elasticity of the median nerve and (2) analyze the elasticity modifications of the median nerve among four variations of ULNT1.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethics Statement. The Ethics Committee of Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine (YE2020-329-01) authorized all procedures for this study, which was conducted out in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. The goal of the study was clearly disclosed to all subjects. The experimental protocols and the safety of SWE were explained in detail in the experimental statement, and each participant completed an informed permission form.

2.2. Subjects. Twenty healthy college students (7 males and 13 females; age: 19.9 ± 1.4 years) were recruited in this study: height: 165.00 ± 6.75 cm and weight: 54.07 ± 6.85 kg. Healthy subjects did not have any indication of nerve involvement and were excluded if they presented a history of systemic neurological disorders, posttraumatic changes to the nerve, nerve tumors, nerve entrapment syndromes, musculoskeletal disorders, or other systemic metabolic diseases.

2.3. Equipment. All elastography examinations were performed by the ultrasound SWE system (Aixplorer Supersonic Imagine, France) with a 4–15 MHz and 40 mm linear transducer. Other settings of the SWE systems were as follows for best image quality: The opacity was 85% in the musculoskeletal mode, and the depth of the B-scan ultrasound was 2.5 cm. The diameter of the regions of interest (ROIs) was adjusted to 2 mm. The color scale ranged from 0 to 600 kPa. The change is from blue (soft) to red (hard) based on the shear modulus.

2.4. Procedure. The subjects sit upright on a chair with their upper arms positioned horizontally, with the shoulder abducted 90 degrees and 90 degrees externally rotated. There are no relevant studies to prove that lower extremity joint movements affect the elasticity of the upper extremity nerves. Their arms were supported by the table, relaxed, and extended toward the experimenter. Keep the fingers still to avoid nerve displacement caused by other joint movements [17]. An adjustable aluminum head restraint was positioned against the side of the head in the temporal region to adjust the contralateral cervical flexion angle. An adjustable wrist hand splint was designed to maintain the hand and wrist passively in the chosen position. When the participant reported substantial discomfort, the maximum angle of the extended wrist in the cervical neutral position and the maximum angle of contralateral cervical flexion in the wrist neutral position were recorded, respectively, with 5 min of rest between the different variants (see Figure 1).

Elasticity measurement was performed 30 minutes after the angle measurement. The elasticity measurement was positioned at the midpoint of the forearm (from transverse wrist to transverse elbow). Light pressure was defined as placing the transducer lightly on top of a generous amount of coupling agents on the skin [18]. Nerves were verified in the transverse plane by the honeycomblike structure. The transverse imaging plane of the nerve was identified by B-mode. Then, the transducer was rotated 90° to obtain the longitudinal imaging plane, which was a parallel orientation to the nerve. The transducer remained stationary for more than 5 seconds until the color in the ROI was uniform. The image was frozen and placed in the Q-box to obtain the shear modulus. The shear modulus of the median nerve was measured in 4 variants of the upper limb neurodynamic test 1, which included the following positions: (1) neutral posture (NP), (2) wrist extension (WE), (3) contralateral cervical flexion (CCF), and (4) both wrist extension and contralateral cervical flexion (WE+CCF), with a 3-minute rest between each measurement. The mean of three values in each measurement was used for further analysis.

Repeatability was assessed by measuring SWE of the median at the NP and WE+CCF. Operator A and operator B participated in the interoperability survey. Two operators (A and B), both trained in SWE, conducted the full examinations, respectively. The operators took turns examining each subject’s median nerve over a 1-hour period and by operator B with a 2-hour interval (test 1st). Five days after the first measurement, the same subject was rechecked by operator A (test 2nd), and the shear modulus was used to calculate the intraoperator repeatability. Twenty healthy people were chosen at random to be tested for inter- and intraoperator reliability. The two operators were blinded to the results.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
intra- and interoperator reliabilities, in which the intuitively indicated the degree of consistency in assessing MDC = 1 change (MDC) was computed using the following formula:

\[
\text{SEM} = \text{standard deviation} \times \sqrt{1 - \text{ICC}}
\]

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to check the normal distribution of all stiffness data. The mean ± standard deviation was used to express all stiffness data. A one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the variability of the shear modulus of the four variants of the ULNT1. Multiple comparisons were accounted for by using Bonferroni corrections. The intra- and interoperator reliabilities were calculated using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and a 95% confidence interval. The ICC (3,1) (two-way mixed-effect model, consistency) was obtained to evaluate the agreement between the two tests for operator A. The ICC (2,2) (two-way random effects model, absolute agreement) was obtained to evaluate the agreement between operator A and operator B. The standard error measurement (SEM) was calculated based on the following formula: SEM = standard deviation × √(1 – ICC). The minimal detectable change (MDC) was computed using the following formula: MDC = 1.96 × SEM × √2. The Bland-Altman plots further intuitively indicated the degree of consistency in assessing intra- and interoperator reliabilities, in which the x-axis represented the average [(K1 + K2)/2] and the y-axis represented the difference (K1 – K2) of the two measurements. The ICC was classified as poor (0.00–0.20), fair (0.21–0.40), good (0.41–0.75), or excellent (>0.75) [19]. The statistical significance level was set an alpha level of \( p < 0.05 (\alpha = 0.05) \).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Intra- and Interoperator Reliabilities

The intra- and interoperator reliabilities of shear modulus of the median nerve is presented in Table 1. The ICC values reveal good intraoperator reliabilities at NP (ICC = 0.75; 95% CI = 0.58 – 0.86; SEM = 10.54 kPa; and MDC = 29.21 kPa) and CCF+WE (ICC = 0.72; 95% CI = 0.53 – 0.84; SEM = 33.19 kPa; and MDC = 91.99 kPa). The ICC values reveal excellent interoperator reliabilities at NP (ICC = 0.94; 95% CI = 0.90 – 0.97; SEM = 5.44 kPa; and MDC = 15.07 kPa) and CCF+WE (ICC = 0.89; 95% CI = 0.79 – 0.94; SEM = 20.64 kPa; and MDC = 57.21 kPa). The Bland-Altman plot for reliability of SWE measurement between 5 days at NP and
CCF+WE is presented in Figures 2(a) and 3(a). The Bland-Altman plot for reliability of SWE measurement between two operators at NP and CCF+WE is shown in Figures 2(b) and 3(b).

3.2. Changes in Median Nerve during ULNT1. The mean shear modulus of the median nerve in the middle forearm was 137.71 ± 22.72 kPa at the neutral posture, only contralateral cervical flexion was 211.00 ± 30.49 kPa, and only wrist extension was 252.34 ± 40.30 kPa and 297.35 ± 64.60 kPa at contralateral cervical flexion+wrist extension (see Figure 4). The mean shear modulus of the median nerve increased by 53.68% from neutral position to contralateral cervical flexion+wrist extension.

4. Discussion

This study was to investigate the modulation of the shear modulus of the median nerve at the midpoint of the forearm during ULNT1. The main findings of this study were that SWE has good to excellent intra- and interoperator reliabilities in quantifying the shear modulus of the median nerve. When the participant reports substantial discomfort, there were differences in the shear modulus of the median nerve in different joint variations.

4.1. Intra- and Interoperator Reliabilities. The intraoperator reliabilities (ICC = 0.72 – 0.75) in quantifying the median nerve elasticity by SWE at NP and CCF+WE were good.
unavoidably engaged in a variety of activities that strained elastography at an interval of 5 days. The subjects are (operator reliabilities in NP (ICC = 0.89 - 0.94)) were relatively high compared to the ICC values for intraoperator reliabilities in NP (ICC = 0.75) and CCF+WE (ICC = 0.72). We considered that the operator measured elastography at an interval of 5 days. The subjects are unavoidably engaged in a variety of activities that strained the nerve tissue. It might have an effect on the nerve's biomechanical structure. The ICC values for neutral posture might have been higher than those for contralateral cervical flexion+wrist extension. Nerve tissue, like other soft tissues, has complicated viscoelastic and creeping properties. Neck flexion and wrist extension were done at the same time. Because the nerve tissue had been stretched, severe mechanical stresses were more likely to cause creeping behavior. The Bland-Altman plot provides visual evaluation for limits of agreement. Almost all the points that were included in the 95% confidence interval were shown in Figures 2 and 3, which indicates that the intra- and interoperator reliabilities have high consistency at NP. Besides, an ideal agreement is zero difference between two measurements [23].

The interoperator reliabilities (ICC = 0.89 - 0.94) in quantifying the median nerve elasticity by SWE at NP and CCF+WE were excellent. SWE is a reliable and reproducible noninvasive method for the assessment of tissue elasticity [20, 21]. According to one research, the ICC of interobserver measures of liver elasticity on several dates was 0.84 [20]. The interoperator and intraoperator reliabilities for measuring median nerve elasticity by SWE were excellent (ICC: 0.852-0.930), according to Zhu et al. [21]. However, operators must be well trained; the ICC of unskilled testers was just 0.65 [22]. In conclusion, SWE is a noninvasive and acceptable tool for quantifying the elasticity of the median nerve during ULNT1.

In the current study, the ICC values for interoperator reliabilities in NP (ICC = 0.94) and CCF+WE (ICC = 0.89) were relatively high compared to the ICC values for intraoperator reliabilities in NP (ICC = 0.75) and CCF+WE (ICC = 0.72). We considered that the operator measured elastography at an interval of 5 days. The subjects are unavoidably engaged in a variety of activities that strained the nerve tissue. It might have an effect on the nerve’s biomechanical structure. The ICC values for neutral posture might have been higher than those for contralateral cervical flexion+wrist extension. Nerve tissue, like other soft tissues, has complicated viscoelastic and creeping properties. Neck flexion and wrist extension were done at the same time. Because the nerve tissue had been stretched, severe mechanical stresses were more likely to cause creeping behavior. The Bland-Altman plot provides visual evaluation for limits of agreement. Almost all the points that were included in the 95% confidence interval were shown in Figures 2 and 3, which indicates that the intra- and interoperator reliabilities have high consistency at NP. Besides, an ideal agreement is zero difference between two measurements [23].

The Bland-Altman plots of interoperator (Mean = 2.0) has smaller mean difference than the intraoperators’ (Mean = 4.5) in NP. The Bland-Altman plots of interoperator (Mean = 5.2) has smaller mean difference than the intraoperators’ (Mean = 6.8) in CCF+WE. In the present study, two operators underwent training prior to the experiment, which included the anatomy of the tissue investigated, basic biomechanical concepts of the tissue, and the limitations of the SWE tool. So two operators were measuring in a way that met the SWE guideline. SWE uses an acoustic radiation force impulse, which does not require specific experience of the examiner and contributes to the consistent reliability [15]. As a result, the Bland-Altman plots further verified the reliability of our study data.

In this study, we also calculated the MDC of neutral posture; the MDC provides an objective threshold that can be used to determine whether values obtained are beyond measurement variability. Our study results showed that the MDC of the median nerve was 29.21 kPa (the same operator) and 15.07 kPa (different operator). Therefore, the shear modulus of the median nerve should be larger than 15.07 kPa to reflect changes with retested tests.

4.2. Immediate Alterations of Median Nerve of Joint Rotation. According to the findings of the current investigation, the shear modulus of the median nerve at neutral posture was 137.71 kPa. At the CCF, this value climbed to 211.00 kPa. The median nerve’s shear modulus rose by 34.73%. The structure of peripheral nerves offers significant adaptability for joint mobility due to the continuity of the complete body’s neural system [24]. The current study found that the elasticity of the median nerve at the forearm’s midpoint may be modulated in vivo during cervical lateral flexion. The length of the nerve bed varies in response to joint movement [25, 26]. The longitudinal displacement of the median nerve in the forearm was 2.3 mm when cervical lateral flexion was applied [27]. Movement of the joint can modify the elasticity property of the median nerve when enough mechanical stress is applied to it [28, 29].

The results have shown that the shear modulus of the median nerve was 252.34 ± 40.30 kPa at only wrist extension. From NP to WE, the shear modulus of the median nerve increased by 46.21%. When subjects reported substantial discomfort, the shear modulus of the median nerve was higher in WE than in CCF. One explanation was the anatomical location of the median nerve. The median nerve was squeezed by the wrist flexors during wrist extension [30]. The superimposed action of squeezing increased the nerve’s shear modulus. No changes in strain or excursion of the flexor digitorum superficialis are caused by neck movement [31]. Another argument was that the subject’s discomfort was not caused by the nerves being stretched. The pain might be coming from the muscles or the skin being stretched.

Furthermore, the total of WE and CCF was greater than the shear modulus of WE+CCF. Complex viscoelastic behavior is observed in nerve tissues. During movement, peripheral nerves are put under mechanical stress of skeletal muscle. First, the consistent tissue structure of the median
nerve might justify this variance [32, 33], which has greater folded torsion at the joint. Second, consider the possibility of a cause in the soft tissue relaxation stress curve. There is a crucial strain associated with each fiber tissue (corresponding to its length). The nerve fibers change their elasticity only when they are taut [34]. No more elastic strain occurred when the extension reached a yield point. As a result, even within the physiological range of joint motion, simultaneous movement of the extremities should not be too rapid.

SWE can be used to investigate the elasticity property of the median nerve. In addition, neural mobilization was based on the anatomical structure, physiological functions, and neurodynamics of the peripheral nervous system [35, 36]. Neurodynamic assessments developed into nerve mobilization for therapeutic rehabilitation applications. Neto et al. [37] observed that following nerve mobilization, the shear modulus of the sciatic nerve was lowered by 16.1% in individuals with sciatica. Driscoll et al. [38] showed that applying suitable mechanical stress might increase the blood circulation of ascending and descending branches of nutrition arteries on the neuron connective tissue membrane, hence improving nerve function and promoting rehabilitation.

5. Limitations

This study does have some limitations. First, in a healthy young person, the shear modulus of the median nerve was not fully constant. Other factors’ influence on neural characteristics were not investigated. Second, this study only looked at the elasticity of the median nerve in healthy individuals. It was hard to foresee whether the surgery would worsen the clinical symptoms of peripheral nerve disease patients. Further study will be done on the repeatability of individuals with peripheral nerve disease. Finally, the sample size of subjects in this study was relatively small. The next study will collect a large sample to determine the elasticity range of the median nerve, which would help to identify the pathological elasticity of the nerve in a clinical setting.

6. Conclusion

SWE has the potential to be a viable instrument for measuring the elastic properties of the median nerve during ULNT1. The elasticity of the median nerve in the forearm changed during ULNT1 when healthy volunteers felt substantial discomfort. Shear modulus can provide a quantitative indicator of the physiological structure of nerve. It also can be a straightforward and easy therapy for primary care patients.
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