Oncolytic activity of HF10 in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas
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Abstract
Recent developments in therapeutic strategies have improved the prognosis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). Nevertheless, 5-year survival rate remains only 40%, necessitating new therapeutic agents. Oncolytic virotherapy entails use of replication-competent viruses to selectively kill cancer cells. We aimed to explore the potential of HF10 as an oncolytic virus against human or mouse HNSCC cell lines, and primary-cultured HNCC cells. HF10 replicated well in all the HNSCC cells, in which it induced cytopathic effects and cell killing. Next, we investigated the oncolytic effects of HF10 in ear tumor models with human or mouse tumor cells. We detected HF10-infected cells within the ear tumors based on their expression of green fluorescent protein. HF10 injection suppressed ear tumor growth and prolonged overall survival. In the syngeneic model, HF10 infection induced tumor necrosis with infiltration of CD8-positive cells. Moreover, the splenocytes of HF10-treated mice released antitumor cytokines, IL-2, IL-12, IFN-alpha, IFN-beta, IFN-gamma, and TNF-alpha, after stimulation with tumor cells in vitro. The HF10-treated mice that survived their original tumor burdens rejected tumor cells upon re-challenge. These results suggested that HF10 killed HNSCC cells and induced antitumoral immunity, thereby establishing it as a promising agent for the treatment of HNSCC patients.

Introduction
Head and neck cancer, includes cancers of the mouth, nose, sinuses, ear, salivary glands, throat, and lymph nodes in the neck. Head and neck cancer accounts for approximately 5% of all cancers and is the 6th most common cause of cancer-related death [1]. In 2017, head and neck cancer was estimated to affect more than 50,000 people and resulted in more than 10,000 deaths in the United States [2]. In Japan, 24,000 people were diagnosed with head and neck cancer and 8000 people died of head and neck cancer in 2012 [3]. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) accounts for more than 90% of head and neck cancers. Recent developments in therapeutic strategies, which include surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy, have markedly improved outcomes for HNSCC patients. Despite current therapeutic strategies, the 5-year survival rate for HNSCC patients is only 40%, necessitating the development of new therapeutic agents.

Oncolytic viruses are genetically engineered or naturally occurring viruses that can infect and kill malignant cells while sparing surrounding normal cells. The concept for
oncolytic virotherapy is based on clinical reports of cancer regression caused by viral infection [4]. Recently, oncolytic virotherapy has been recognized as a promising new therapeutic approach for cancer treatment. In 2015, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved the first oncolytic herpes simplex virus (HSV), talimogene laherparepvec (T-VEC), for the treatment of advanced inoperable malignant melanoma [5]. In an open-label phase III trial, intratumoral treatment with T-VEC resulted in a significantly higher durable response rate, overall response rate, and median overall survival than treatment with GM-CSF [6]. Moreover, direct injection of T-VEC induces local and systemic antigen-specific T-cell responses and decreases immune suppression in therapy-responsive patients [7].

Oncolytic virotherapy is a candidate therapy for patients with HNSCC as, like malignant melanoma, HNSCC is amenable to intratumoral injection. HSV has many advantages over other viruses for oncolytic virotherapy [8]. First, it has a broad host range and high efficiency of infection of both replicating and nonreplicating cells. Second, HSV can be engineered to deliver even large transgenes because of its large genomic capacity. Preclinical evaluation using small animals is easy because HSV infects mice, guinea pigs, and monkeys. HSV infections can be controlled by antiviral drugs. Furthermore, most of the disease-related genes in HSV have been identified. First human studies have shown virus replication and oncolytic effects against HNSCC tumors upon intratumoral injection of the oncolytic HSVs, HF10 (now called canerparev) [9] and HSV1716 (now called seprehvir) [10]. Thereafter, previously untreated HNSCC patients showed favorable responses to T-VEC in combination with radiotherapy and cisplatin in a phase I/II trial in the United Kingdom [11]. In the United States, a phase I trial of HSV1716 in patients with nontcentral nervous system solid tumors (NCT00931931) and a phase I/II trial of HF10 in patients with refractory head and neck cancer or solid cutaneous tumors have been conducted (NCT01017185). In that trial, 33% of the patients had stable disease (SD), and some showed a reduction in tumor size and fewer adverse events compared with those treated with T-Vec [12]. A phase II study of HF10 and ipilimumab, an anti-CTLA-4 immune checkpoint inhibitor, is ongoing for melanoma in the United States and Japan (NCT02272855 and NCT03153085).

Before these clinical trials, mouse models were used to investigate oncolytic activity. Most previous preclinical studies used human HNSCC cell lines implanted into the flank of immunodeficient mice [13–21], or murine SCC-VII cells [22–25]; however, they did not investigate the immune response. Furthermore, no study to date has used primary-cultured cells obtained from surgical specimens. In the current study, we investigated the oncolytic activity of HF10 on HNSCC in vitro and in vivo using cell lines and cultured primary cells. Thereafter, we investigated local and systemic HF10-induced antitumor immunity in a syngeneic mouse model.

**Materials and methods**

**Animals**

Six-week-old specific-pathogen-free female C3H/HeJ mice and BALB/c-nu/nu mice (Japan SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan) were used as syngeneic and immunodeficient mice, respectively. All mice were given food and water ad libitum. All experiments were approved by the University Committee in accordance with the Guidelines for Animal Experimentation at Nagoya University.

**Virus**

HF10 is a nonselected clone derived from the naturally occurring attenuated HSV type 1 strain HF [26]. The properties of HF10 have been previously described [27]. HF10 was propagated in Vero cells, then stored as aliquots at −80 °C. Viral titers (plaque-forming units [pfu/mL]) were determined by plaque assays in Vero cells. To facilitate the visualization of HF10 infection, the green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene was inserted into HF10 under the control of the cytomegalovirus promoter, resulting in the strain HF10-GFP [28].

**Cells**

Two human HNSCC cell lines, FaDu and Detroit 562 cells (CCL# HTB-43 and CCL-138), were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA). FaDu cells were derived from human hypopharynx cancer and Detroit 562 cells were derived from human pharynx cancer. Both cell lines were negative for human papilloma virus (HPV) [29]. SCC-VII cells, a squamous cell carcinoma line derived from a C3H mouse, were kindly provided by Dr. Shibamoto at Nagoya City University [30, 31]. African green monkey kidney cells (Vero cells) were obtained from Riken Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan). FaDu, Detroit 562, and SCC-VII cells were maintained in Eagle’s minimum essential medium (EMEM; Nissui Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, South Logan, UT, USA) and the antibiotics penicillin–streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 37 °C in 5% CO2. Vero cells were grown in EMEM containing 10% calf serum (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and antibiotics. All commercial cells were obtained was passaged for fewer than 6 months after receipt or resuscitation.

Patient-derived HNSCC cell lines, NSCC-1F and NSCC-2F, were isolated from surgical specimens of...
hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas. Tissue collection and culture were approved by the Institutional Review Board at Nagoya City University Hospital. To establish the human HNSCC lines, minced tissues were digested with 0.1% trypsin at 37°C for 30 min, washed with Hank’s balanced salt solution, and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan) supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics.

Mouse-derived HNSCC cell lines, TC4T+ and TC5s, were isolated from the tongue tumor of a C3H mouse after multiple injections of 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide (Wako Pure Chemical Industries). The tongue tumor was removed, minced, and digested with 0.5% trypsin at 37°C for 15 min. After they were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics.

All primary cell lines were used for experiments before passage 10. All cells were routinely tested for mycoplasma using TaKaRa PCR Mycoplasma Detection Set (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan). HPV status was examined using the HPV primers in 2F cells) using ISOGENOME (Nippon Gene, Toyama, Japan). HPV status was examined using the HPV primers in the TaKaRa PCR Human Papillomavirus Typing Set (Takara Bio) with a CFX96 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) statuses of the cells were examined, as previously reported [32].

**HPV and Epstein–Barr virus status of human HNSCC cells**

We extracted DNA from the human squamous cell carcinoma cell lines (FaDu, Detroit 562, NSCC-1F, and NSCC-2F cells) using ISOGENOME (Nippon Gene, Toyama, Japan). HPV status was examined using the HPV primers in the TaKaRa PCR Human Papillomavirus Typing Set (Takara Bio) with a CFX96 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) statuses of the cells were examined, as previously reported [32].

**Cytotoxicity assay**

The cells were seeded in 96-well plates (1 × 10⁴ cells/well). The next day, they were infected with HF10 at various multiplicities of infection (MOIs). After 72 h of infection, we measured cell viability in an MTS assay with CellTiter 96® AQueous One Solution Reagent (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cytotoxicity was expressed as the decrease in viability of the cells subjected to each treatment relative to the viability of the mock-infected cells. All assays were performed in triplicate.

**Replication assay**

The cells were plated on 35-mm dishes (2 × 10⁵ cells/dish in 2 mL EMEM). The next day, the cells were infected with HF10 at an MOI of 3 or 0.03. To examine the time course of viral production from infected cells, we collected supernatants at the indicated time points. Then, we determined the viral titers by plaque assays in Vero cells. Cell images were obtained with a microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with a CCD camera system.

**Mouse xenograft models of ear cancer**

FaDu cells (1 × 10⁶ cells/20 μL) were injected into the right ear auricles of nude mice (n = 10). After 3 days, when the tumors were palpable (approximately 2 mm in diameter), the mice were randomly divided into mock and HF10 groups. On days 3 and 6, HF10 (1.5 × 10⁶ pfu/20 μL) or PBS (20 μL) was inoculated into the right ear tumor. The general conditions of the mice were monitored daily and tumor diameter was measured with an external caliper twice per week. The mice were sacrificed when their tumors exceeded 15 mm in diameter or became ulcerated.

**Syngeneic mouse model of ear cancer**

SCC-VII cells (2 × 10⁵ cells/20 μL) were injected into the right ear auricles of the C3H mice (n = 10). When the tumors were palpable (day 4), the mice were randomly divided into mock and HF10 groups. On days 4 and 7, HF10 (1.5 × 10⁶ pfu/20 μL) or PBS (20 μL) was inoculated into the right ear tumor. The general conditions were monitored daily and tumor diameter was measured twice per week. The mice were sacrificed when their tumors exceeded 15 mm in diameter or became ulcerated.

To investigate the induction of antitumor immunity, HF10-pretreated mice (n = 3) and naïve C3H mice (n = 3) were subcutaneously inoculated on their right flanks with SCC-VII cells (1 × 10⁶ cells/100 μL). Tumors were measured twice per week; tumor volume was calculated using the formula: (π × short axis × long axis × height)/6. The mice were sacrificed when their tumors exceeded 20 mm in diameter.

**Localization of virus-associated GFP expression in mice**

To visualize the spread of HF10 in the tumor masses, FaDu cells (1 × 10⁶ cells/20 μL) or SCC-VII cells (2 × 10⁵ cells/20 μL) were injected into the right ear auricles of mice. When the diameter of a tumor reached 5 mm, HF10-GFP (1.5 × 10⁶ pfu/20 μL) or PBS (20 μL) was inoculated into the right ear tumor. GFP-expressing cells were visualized under anesthesia by chloral hydrate (360 mg/kg) 24 h after injection using a fluorescence stereomicroscope with a standard GFP filter set (Leica Mikrosysteme Vertrieb, Wetzlar, Germany).
Histopathological and immunohistochemical analysis

To examine the oncolytic effects of HF10 and the infiltrating immune cells in the tumors, SCC-VII tumors were histologically analyzed after injections of HF10 or PBS on days 4 and 7. Twenty-four hours after the final injection, the ear tumors were removed under anesthesia by chloral hydrate and soaked in 10% buffered formalin. Paraffin-embedded tissues were sectioned (3 μm) serially using a microslicer. Serial sections were subjected to hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining as well as immunohistochemical staining: rat monoclonal anti-CD4 antibody (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), rabbit monoclonal anti-CD8, (Burlingame, CA, USA), rabbit polyclonal anti-HSV-1 antibody (Dako A/S, Glostrup, Denmark), and rat monoclonal anti-F4/80 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Then, the following secondary antibodies were used: goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin conjugated to peroxidase-labeled dextran polymer (Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan), both of which were visualized with the chromogenic indicator dye DAB + (Dako A/S). Antibody specificity was confirmed using a universal negative control immunoglobulin (Dako A/S), and the staining was confirmed in slides of the spleen. Images of the serial sections were obtained by the microscopy (Olympus Corp.).

Antitumor and immune-stimulatory cytokine secretion in tumors

To investigate secreted cytokines in the tumors, we removed SCC-VII tumors under anesthesia by chloral hydrate 3 and 24 h after the second injections of HF10 or PBS on day 7. The tumors (n = 3) were weighed, rinsed with PBS, soaked in 5 mL/mg cold PBS with Protease Inhibitor Mix (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK), and sonicated on ice. The levels of IL-2, IFN-γ, and TNF-α were measured by ELISA with the Quantikine Mouse IL-2 Immunoassay, Quantikine Mouse IFN-γ Immunoassay, and Quantikine Mouse TNF-α Immunoassay (R&D Systems), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Flow cytometric analysis of splenic leukocytes

To analyze systemic immune responses, we removed spleens at the same time as SCC-VII tumors on day 8. The splenocytes were isolated, halved, and subjected to flow cytometry. After lysis of red blood cells with Pharm Lyse™ (Biosciences Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA), splenocytes were washed twice with 1 mL PBS containing 0.5% FBS and incubated for 20 min in the dark with 0.25 μg of the following antibodies diluted in PBS: fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-mouse CD45 (clone 30-F11), PerCP/Cy5.5-conjugated anti-mouse CD11b (clone M1/70), PerCP/Cy5.5-conjugated anti-mouse CD3 (clone 17A2), PE-conjugated anti-mouse CD4 (clone 145-2C11), PE-conjugated anti-mouse CD45R/B220 (clone RA3-6B2), Alexa Fluor® 488-conjugated anti-mouse Gr-1 (clone RB6-8C5), Alexa Fluor® 488-conjugated anti-mouse CD8a (clone 53-6.7), and Alexa Fluor® 488-conjugated anti-mouse CD49b (clone HMA2) (all purchased from BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA). After two washes with PBS, the CD45 + gated lymphocytes were analyzed by flow cytometry using a FACScanto II (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

Antitumor and immune-stimulatory cytokine release by splenocytes co-cultured with SCC-VII cells

The SCC-VII cells were plated on 35-mm dishes (2 × 10⁵ cells/dish in 2 mL of EMEM) on the previous day, and the rest of the splenocytes were co-cultured with SCC-VII cells (20:1) for 24 or 72 h. We measured the cytokines released into the supernatants with the VeriKine Mouse Interferon Alpha ELISA Kit, VeriKine Mouse Interferon Beta ELISA Kit (PBL Assay Science, Piscataway, NJ, USA), Quantikine Mouse IL-2 Immunoassay, Quantikine Mouse IFN-γ Immunoassay, and Quantikine Mouse TNF-α Immunoassay and Quantikine Mouse IL-12 p70 Immunoassay (R&D Systems), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using JMP for Windows version 10.0.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and are shown as the mean ± SEM. The tumor diameters and volumes were analyzed using two-way factorial ANOVA. The survival data were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test. The remaining data were analyzed by unpaired Student’s t-test. Differences with a probability value of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

HF10 killed human and mouse HNSCC cells in vitro

First, we examined the HPV and EBV statuses of the human HNSCC cells. No human HNSCC cells used in this study were positive for HPV or EBV (Supplementary Table 1). Next, we investigated the oncolytic activity of HF10 on
human HNSCC cell lines. At 72 h post infection, HF10 killed the human HNSCC cell lines FaDu and Detroit 562 in an MOI-dependent manner (Fig. 1). SCC-VII is a squamous cell carcinoma line derived from a C3H mouse [37]. Although SCC-VII cells were derived from the abdominal wall, they were the only murine cells available. Also, subcutaneous implantation of SCC-VII cells is the most frequently used syngeneic transplantation model of HNSCC [38, 39]. HF10 also killed SCC-VII in an MOI-dependent manner (Fig. 1). To confirm the oncolytic activity on the HNSCC tumors, we isolated and cultured human HNSCC cells, called NSCC-1F and NSCC-2F, from surgical hypopharyngeal carcinoma specimens. We also isolated and cultured mouse HNSCC cells, called TC4T+ and TC5s, from two surgical specimens of a tongue tumor that arose after multiple injections of 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide, a chemical oral carcinogen [40]. HF10 killed all cultured primary HNSCC cells in an MOI-dependent manner (Fig. 1).

HF10 replicated well in human and mouse HNSCC cells

Next, we examined the replication of HF10 in HNSCC cells. We infected all HNSCC cells with HF10 at an MOI of 3 to investigate one-step virus growth. Vero cells were infected as a positive control. HF10 replicated well in all HNSCCs. Next, we infected the HNSCC cells at an MOI of 0.03 to investigate multistep virus growth. HF10 also replicated well after infection at the lower MOI in all HNSCCs. During the replication assay, cytopathic effects (CPEs) were observed in FaDu, SCC-VII, and Vero cells (Fig. 2) and the other HNSCC cells (data not shown).

HF10 treatment inhibited FaDu ear tumor growth and prolonged overall survival

Then, we investigated the oncolytic effects of HF10 in a xenograft tumor model. In this study, we established a head

**Fig. 1** HF10 killed human and mouse HNSCC cells in vitro. The indicated cells were infected with HF10 at various MOIs and viability was assessed at 72 h post infection. Cell viability, calculated from the mean of samples tested in triplicate, is presented as the percent of live cells in the test group relative to in the uninfected control.
Fig. 2 HF10 replicated in human and mouse HNSCC cells and induced CPEs. a One-step (MOI = 3) and multistep (MOI = 0.03) growth curves of HF10 in the indicated cells. b Representative images of FaDu, SCC-VII, and Vero cells after HF10 infection (MOI = 3 or 0.03). Scale bars, 50 μm
and neck tumor model using FaDu cells, which formed the biggest tumor of the four human HNSCC cell lines when injected subcutaneously in a preliminary experiment (data not shown). We injected FaDu cells into the right ear auricles of nude mice on day 0. We observed tumor masses in all mice on day 3. To observe viral spread, we injected HF10-GFP into the FaDu tumors on day 6. At 24 h after the injection, we observed GFP-positive cells in the ear tumors (Fig. 3a). Next, we investigated the antitumor activity of HF10 in vivo. HF10 or PBS was injected into the ear tumors on days 3 and 6. On day 14, all control mice had ear tumors; all HF10-injected mice had smaller tumors than those in the control mice.

Fig. 3 HF10 suppressed the growth of FaDu ear tumors. a HF10-GFP was injected into FaDu ear tumors. GFP-positive cells were observed at 24 h after injection. The tumor is indicated by the arrow. Scale bars, 1 mm. b Photographs of the mice on day 14 after control or HF10 treatment. The arrows indicate the ear tumors. c–e HF10 or PBS was intratumorally injected on days 3 and 6 after tumor inoculation (n = 5). HF10 injection suppressed tumor growth (p < 0.001) and significantly prolonged survival (p < 0.01)
HF10 treatment significantly reduced tumor diameter and prolonged overall survival without causing weight loss (Fig. 3c–e) or major complications (p < 0.001).

HF10 treatment suppressed SCC-VII ear tumor growth, prolonged overall survival, and promoted the rejection of subcutaneous tumors upon rechallenge

Then, we used a syngeneic ear tumor model to investigate antitumor immunity. We injected SCC-VII cells into the right auricles of the ears of C3H mice on day 0. We observed tumor masses in all mice on day 4. We injected HF10-GFP into the SCC-VII tumors on day 7. GFP-positive cells in the tumors were observed 24 h after injection (Fig. 4a). Next, we injected HF10 or PBS into the ear tumors on days 4 and 7. On day 17, all control mice had ear tumors; however, after two injections of HF10, the ear tumors were smaller in 2 of 5 mice than in the controls and were absent from the other 3 mice (Fig. 4b). HF10 treatment significantly reduced tumor diameter and prolonged the survival of the mice without inducing weight loss (Fig. 4c–e) or major complications (p < 0.001). Three HF10-treated mice completely rejected the tumors and survived for more than 4 months.

Then, we investigated if antitumor immunity was induced after HF10 treatment. We subcutaneously injected SCC-VII cells into the three mice that rejected their tumors after HF10 treatment. As a control, we subcutaneously injected SCC-VII cells into three naive mice that had not previously been injected with tumor cells. Although subcutaneous tumors grew in all naive mice, no subcutaneous tumors were observed in the HF10-pretreated mice. The complete rejection of the SCC-VII cells indicated the development of acquired antitumor immunity after HF10 treatment.

HF10 treatment-induced tumor necrosis and CD8+ T-cell infiltration in and around the tumor, suppressed tumor growth, and promoted IL-2, IFN-γ, and TNF-α secretion

To investigate the oncolytic effects and immune responses induced by HF10, we pathologically examined the SCC-VII ear tumors after treatment. Twenty-four hours after the second injections of HF10 or PBS, HF10 injection induced tumor necrosis, whereas PBS injection did not (Fig. 5a). HSV-infected cells were detected in and around the necrotic area only after HF10 injection. CD8+ T cells accumulated around the necrotic area and inside and at the edge of the ear tumor after HF10 injection, but not after PBS injection. However, no CD4+ T cells or F4/80+ macrophages were detected in either group (data not shown).

Then, we investigated antitumor and immune-stimulatory cytokine production in the tumor. At 3 and 24 h after the second injections, ear tumors were removed and weighed (n = 3 each). Both at 3 and 24 h, tumor weight was significantly lower in the HF10 treatment group than in the PBS group (Fig. 5b). Moreover, HF10 treatment significantly increased immunostimulatory IL-2 and antitumor IFN-γ and TNF-α production in the tumor compared with the levels in the controls at 3 and 24 h after treatment (Fig. 5b). At 24 h, the level of IL-2 remained high, but the levels of IFN-γ and TNF-α were lower than at 3 h.

HF10 treatment-induced CD8+ T cell and granulocyte accumulation in the spleen

To investigate systemic immune responses, we collected the spleens of the mice for histopathological examination. We analyzed CD45+ splenocytes by flow cytometry (Fig. 6a). HF10 treatment significantly increased CD8+ T cell and Gr-1+CD11b- granulocyte counts in the spleen compared with counts after control treatment. HF10 treatment also increased CD3+ pan-T cell, CD4+ T cell, B220+ B cell, and CD49b+CD3+ natural killer cell counts compared to control treatment, but the increases did not reach statistical significance.

Antitumor and immune-stimulatory cytokine secretion by splenocytes co-cultured with tumor cells

To investigate the induction of antitumor immunity, we co-cultured splenocytes from tumor-challenged mice with SCC-VII cells. After 24 h of co-culture, the levels of the major antitumor cytokines IFN-α, IFN-β, IFN-γ, and TNF-α produced by HF10-treated splenocytes were significantly higher than the levels in the cultures of the control-treated splenocytes (Fig. 6b, c). Furthermore, the levels of the immune-stimulatory cytokines IL-2 and IL-12 were significantly higher in the HF10-treated group than in the control group. Our previous studies demonstrated that increased production of IFN-γ and TNF-α after 72 h of co-culture contributed to enhanced antitumoral immunity [35, 36]. Although the level of IFN-γ was lower at 72 than 24 h, the levels of IFN-γ and TNF-α produced by HF10-treated splenocytes remained significantly higher than those produced by control splenocytes (Fig. 6c). When the splenocytes were cultured without SCC-VII cells, the levels of all cytokines were below the limit of detection.

Discussion

Most patients with HNSCC present with advanced stage III/IV disease with high incidences of local and regional
Since primary and acquired resistance to conventional treatment are suspected reasons for tumor recurrence, the development of a new therapeutic agent is necessary. Most HNSCCs arise from the mucosa of the upper respiratory tract or superficial portion of the neck, which makes the tumors accessible for the injection of agents like oncolytic viruses. Oncolytic virotherapy is a promising anticancer therapy because it uses a distinct mechanism from other therapies to destroy cancer cells [42]. To date, several kinds of viruses have been assessed in

Fig. 4 HF10-suppressed SCC-VII ear tumor growth and induced antitumor immunity. a HF10-GFP was injected into SCC-VII ear tumors. GFP-positive cells were observed at 24 h after injection. The tumor is indicated by the arrow. Scale bars, 1 mm. b Photographs of the mice after each treatment on day 17. The arrows indicate the ear tumors. c–e HF10 or PBS was intratumorally injected on days 4 and 7 after tumor inoculation (n = 5). HF10 injection significantly suppressed tumor growth (p < 0.001) and significantly prolonged survival (p < 0.05). After HF10 treatment, 3 of 5 mice rejected their tumors and survived long-term. f SCC-VII cells were subcutaneously injected into naïve mice (n = 3) or the 3 mice that rejected their tumors after HF10 injection.
clinical trials for the treatment of HNSCC, including HSV (HF10, seprehvir, and T-Vec), adenoviruses (ONYX-015 and NCT00006106), vaccinia viruses (GL-ONC1, NCT01584284, Pexa-Vec, and NCT00625456), coxsackievirus A21 (CAVATAK and NCT00832559), and fowlpox virus (TRICOM and NCT00021424). HF10 was isolated in our laboratory, and we have been exploring the potential use of HF10 as an oncolytic agent using various tumor models [28, 35, 36, 43–49]. In the current study, we investigated the oncolytic effects for HNSCCs and antitumor immunity induced by HF10 injection.

Fig. 5 HF10 injection induced tumor necrosis, CD8+ T cell accumulation in and around the tumor, and antitumor and immune-stimulatory cytokine production. a After HF10 injection into SCC-VII tumors, we performed H&E, anti-HSV, and anti-CD8 staining. Scale bars, 100 μm. b The SCC-VII tumors were removed at 3 and 24 h after the second injections of HF10 or PBS. We analyzed IL-2, IFN-γ, and TNF-α in the tumors (*p < 0.05)

Previous studies of oncolytic viruses for the treatment of HNSCC used commercial cell lines. In the current study, we also used primary HNSCC cells from human hypopharyngeal cancer and mouse tongue cancer, which we suppose would have more similar characteristics of HNSCC tumors. HF10 replicated well and caused cell death in the primary-cultured HNSCC cells as well as in HNSCC cell lines. In a prior study of ovarian cancer, tumorigenicity differed according to the site of implantation [50]. Therefore, we believe our ear tumor model to be more clinically relevant than flank models. The previous studies mentioned above
used subcutaneous HNSCC tumors in the murine flank [13–25]. In the current study, we established a more clinically relevant head and neck cancer model to investigate oncolytic effects in HNSCC tumors. By using the ear tumor model, we could observe the spread of HF10 within the tumors and suppression of tumor growth without any complications or weight loss. Taken together, HF10 selectively replicate in tumor cells and cause cell death without harming normal cells, which is in agreement with the concept of oncolytic virotherapy.

Oncolytic viral infection also affects the immune system. Innate immune cells like macrophages and neutrophils remove virus-infected or dying tumor cells by phagocytosis [51]. After tumor destruction, tumor-associated antigen is released and adaptive immunity is activated. Macrophages and dendritic cells, so-called professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs), present foreign antigens to helper T cells using MHC class II molecules, and other cells except APCs can present foreign antigens to CD8+ CTLs using MHC class I molecules. Type 1 helper T (Th1) cells, but not granulocytes in the spleen. a, b The splenocytes were co-cultured with the SCC-VII cells for 24 and 72 h. We analyzed the release of IFN-α, IFN-β, IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2, and IL-12 in the supernatants after 24 h (*p < 0.05) and IFN-γ and TNF-α after 72 h (*p < 0.05)

Fig. 6 HF10 treatment-induced CD8+ T cell and granulocyte accumulation in the spleen and the production of antitumor and immune-stimulatory cytokines. a CD45+ leukocytes in the spleen were analyzed by flow cytometry for CD3+CD8+ T cells and Gr-1+CD11b− granulocytes. b, c The splenocytes were co-cultured with the SCC-VII cells for 24 and 72 h. We analyzed the release of IFN-α, IFN-β, IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2, and IL-12 in the supernatants after 24 h (*p < 0.05) and IFN-γ and TNF-α after 72 h (*p < 0.05)
produce, for example, GM-CSF and IL-12, are being cultured cells, and we investigated antitumor immunity. Also, oncolytic viruses in combination with chemotherapeutic agents can be used to evaluate the antitumor effect. In conclusion, this study showed that HF10 injection induced necrosis in the ear tumor where HSV-infected cells were observed and induced accumulation of CD8+ T cells. Tumor infiltration of CD8+ cytotoxic T cells is associated with longer disease-free survival and/or overall survival of patients with many different tumors, including head and neck cancers [53, 54]. CD4+ T cells were not found in or around the ear tumor. In our previous study, CD4+ T cells were found in the peritumoral stroma [35], where T-cell migration occurs [55]. No peritumoral stroma was detected in the tumors formed by SCC-VII cells, which accounts for the lack of CD4+ T cells in or around the tumors.

The increased production of IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2 in the tumor was also indicative of immune activation. Then, we investigated the induction of systemic immunity. After HF10 treatment, granulocytes and CD8+ T cells accumulated in the spleen. When co-cultured with SCC-VII cells, the splenic cells released IFN-α, IFN-β, IFN-γ, IL-2, IL-12, and TNF-α. Recent preclinical studies have demonstrated the use of oncolytic HSVs as cancer vaccines [48, 56]. Based on our observations, HF10 injection activates antitumor immunity and protects against secondary tumor challenge, further supporting the potential of HSVs as in situ cancer vaccines.

Several clinical trials using oncolytic virus against HNSCCs are ongoing. Over a decade ago, intratumoral injection of two oncolytic HSVs (G207 and 1716) into glioblastomas decreased tumor size and prolonged patient survival [57, 58]. Ongoing clinical trials are assessing oncolytic viruses in combination with chemotherapeutic agents or immune checkpoint inhibitors to enhance the antitumor effect. Also, ‘armed’ oncolytic viruses, which produce, for example, GM-CSF and IL-12, are being evaluated in preclinical studies [59]. To show the benefit of oncolytic HSVs in the treatment of head and neck cancers, we must investigate methods to enhance the oncolytic effects of HSVs in mouse models.

In conclusion, this study showed that HF10 exerts an oncolytic effect on primary-cultured HNSCCs as well as HNSCC cell lines, and induced antitumoral immunity. The significance of this study lies in the fact that it is the first to focus on the oncolytic effect on HNSCC using primary-cultured cells, and we investigated antitumor immunity.

However, this study was limited by our inability to evaluate oncolytic activity simultaneously against primary-cultured HNSCCs and antitumor immunity. Implantation of primary-cultured tumors and hematopoietic cells from the same patient to immunodeficient mice can be used to evaluate oncolytic activity and antitumoral immunity against patient-derived tumors; however, no study to date has addressed this issue. In future, we plan to evaluate oncolytic activity and the immune response using a mouse model prior to a clinical trial of this “precision medicine”.
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