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SUMMARY

Influenza virus intimately associates with host RNA polymerase II (Pol II) and mRNA processing machinery. Here, we use mammalian native elongating transcript sequencing (mNET-seq) to examine Pol II behavior during viral infection. We show that influenza virus executes a two-pronged attack on host transcription. First, viral infection causes decreased Pol II gene occupancy downstream of transcription start sites. Second, virus-induced cellular stress leads to a catastrophic failure of Pol II termination at poly(A) sites, with transcription often continuing for tens of kilobases. Defective Pol II termination occurs independently of the ability of the viral NS1 protein to interfere with host mRNA processing. Instead, this termination defect is a common effect of diverse cellular stresses and underlies the production of previously reported downstream-of-gene transcripts (DoGs). Our work has implications for understanding not only host-virus interactions but also fundamental aspects of mammalian transcription.

INTRODUCTION

Influenza virus remains a challenge for global health and is responsible for both seasonal and pandemic outbreaks (Taubenberger and Kash, 2010). It has a negative-sense single-stranded segmented RNA genome and encodes its own RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (FluPol) that is responsible for carrying out transcription and replication of the viral RNA genome in the nucleus of host cells (te Velthuis and Fodor, 2016). Both replication and transcription of the viral RNA genome are carried out by FluPol in the context of viral ribonucleoprotein (vRNP) complexes (Eisfeld et al., 2015). For transcription, the virus is dependent on the host RNA polymerase II (Pol II) to supply 5’ capped transcripts, which are bound and cleaved by the cap-binding and endonuclease domains of FluPol. The resultant 5’ capped RNA fragments are then used as primers for viral mRNA synthesis (Krug et al., 1979). Accordingly, FluPol maintains a coordinated, intimate association with host Pol II.

The C-terminal domain of the large subunit of host Pol II (CTD) contains multiple repeats of the amino acid heptad: Tyr1-Ser2-Pro3-Thr4-Ser5-Pro6-Ser7, which are post-translationally modified in order to regulate and coordinate the transcription cycle and RNA processing and act as an assembly platform for various accessory enzymes (Zaborowska et al., 2016a). FluPol also binds to the Pol II CTD phosphorylated on Ser5 (Engelhardt et al., 2005; Lukarska et al., 2017; Martinez-Alonso et al., 2016). Phosphorylation of the Ser5 CTD residue (Ser5P) is a key marker for initiating transcription and is specifically bound by the capping enzyme (Ho and Shuman, 1999), making the specificity of FluPol for the Ser5P form an efficient mechanism to target the pool of Pol II molecules associated with nascent, capped RNA substrates.

Viruses exploit a variety of mechanisms to prevent the host from responding to viral infection and often block transcription and translation of host genes (Herbert and Nag, 2016). Although there appears to be no preferential translation of influenza mRNA (Bercovich-Kinori et al., 2016), influenza virus infection interferes with transcription and mRNA processing to efficiently achieve a “takeover” of the mRNA pool. Although influenza virus cannot block transcription initiation and still obtain 5’ capped mRNA, infection inhibits Pol II elongation on β-actin and DHFR genes early in infection (Chan et al., 2006) and induces cellular Pol II degradation later in infection (Rodriguez et al., 2007; Vreede and Fodor, 2010; Vrede and Fodor, 2010). A further opportunity for interference with host transcription lies in mRNA 3’ end processing, as influenza virus does not depend on the host cleavage and polyadenylation (CPA) machinery: FluPol synthesizes the poly(A) tail of viral mRNAs by repeated slippage on a poly(U) tract near the 5’ end of the viral RNA template (Poon et al., 1999). The nonstructural protein 1 (NS1) of some influenza virus strains interacts with human cleavage-polyadenylation specificity factor 30 (CPSF30) to restrict CPA of nascent host transcripts (Das et al., 2008; Davidson et al., 2014; Nemeroff et al., 1998), though this interaction is not conserved in other strains, including the well-studied A/Puerto Rico/8/34 influenza virus (Wang et al., 2017) and the 2009 pandemic H1N1 influenza A virus (Hale et al., 2010).

Although the studies above indicate that influenza virus infection can interfere with the Pol II transcription cycle at multiple stages, the global consequences for Pol II transcription remain unknown. Inhibition of transcriptional elongation and CPA suggest an effect on termination of Pol II, which is a highly coordinated and regulated process (Buratowski, 2005; Proudfoot, 2016; Richard and Manley, 2009). Indeed, recent genome-wide studies have shown that small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated depletion of CPSF73 or CstF64 results both in failure to process the 3’ ends of transcripts and in failure of Pol II to pause downstream of poly(A) sites, with Pol II continuing to transcribe past ends of transcripts and in failure of Pol II to pause downstream of poly(A) sites, with Pol II continuing to transcribe past ends of transcripts and in failure of Pol II to pause downstream of poly(A) sites, with Pol II continuing to transcribe past
normal transcription termination sites (Nojima et al., 2015). Infection with herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1), a double-stranded DNA virus, also induces a failure of Pol II to terminate, though the mechanism of action is unknown (Rutkowski et al., 2015). Surprisingly, multiple cellular stressors appear to generate defects in RNA 3′ end formation, with osmotic shock, heat shock, and oxidative stress all inducing the production of polyadenylated “downstream-of-gene” transcripts (DoGs) that extend for kilobases beyond normal poly(A) sites (Vilborg and Steitz, 2017; Vilborg et al., 2015, 2017). Although the purpose of this response and the function of these transcripts is unknown, it is suspected that defects in Pol II termination underlie their production (Mahat et al., 2016).

Given the intimate association between influenza virus and host transcription, we have explored the dynamics of Pol II during influenza virus infection using mammalian native elongating transcript sequencing (mNET-seq). We show that host transcription is drastically altered as a result of influenza virus infection and find that influenza virus mounts a two-pronged attack on host transcription. First, infection leads to decreased Pol II occupancy downstream of transcription start sites (TSSs), and second, interference with 3′ end processing leads to marked defects in termination of Pol II transcription at the end of genes.

RESULTS

Influenza Virus Infection Dramatically Alters the Transcription Dynamics of Host Pol II

To examine the effect of influenza virus infection on host Pol II transcription in human lung epithelial cells, we infected A549 cells at a high MOI (MOI = 5) with an H1N1 influenza virus (A/WSN/33) and carried out mammalian native elongating transcript sequencing (mNET-seq) to provide a single-nucleotide resolution map of Pol II activity genome-wide (Figures 1A and Figure S1). Examination of both mNET-seq meta-profiles of >13,000 protein-coding genes (Figure 1B) and profiles of individual genes (Figures 1C–1E) reveals two distinct effects. First, Pol II occupancy is markedly decreased in gene bodies relative to the TSS. Second, Pol II that has reached the gene end fails to terminate downstream of the poly(A) site and instead continues transcribing, often for tens of kilobases. We have systematically investigated these two effects in order to better understand the underlying molecular mechanisms with respect to both viral function and host cell transcription.

Influenza Virus Infection Causes Depletion of Pol II in Gene Bodies

We have examined the apparent depletion of Pol II downstream of TSSs in more detail to determine whether the effect is limited to particular genes and to understand the mechanism underlying the defect. We computed the Pol II occupancy (Nojima et al., 2015) observed by mNET-seq around the TSS of each gene and found that the mNET-seq Pol II signal is significantly depleted downstream of the TSS (Figure 2A). We hypothesized that this effect might arise as the result of FluPol association with Pol II, which specifically targets the Ser5P form of the Pol II CTD (Martinez-Alonso et al., 2016). We therefore carried out mNET-seq specifically for the Ser5P form of Pol II during influenza virus infection and examined the ratio of Ser5P Pol II to total Pol II along gene bodies (Figure 2B). Both infected and uninfected cells have an identical peak of Ser5P Pol II immediately downstream of the TSS, but influenza virus infection results in depletion of the Ser5P form of Pol II from chromatin further downstream, with Pol II occupancy tailing off into gene bodies, rather than occurring at a particular site (Figures S2A and S2B). We also found a more than 1,000-fold enrichment of influenza virus RNA co-immunoprecipitating with Ser5P Pol II relative to total Pol II in mNET-seq analysis (Figure 2C), mostly from the FluPol-bound termini of the vRNA that associated with Pol II and were protected from nuclease treatment during mNET-seq library preparation. This result confirms that FluPol colocalizes with Ser5P Pol II on chromatin in the context of vRNP complexes.

Widespread Failure of Pol II Termination in Influenza Virus-Infected Cells Is Linked to Canonical 3′ End Processing

We next turned our attention to the marked defect in host transcription termination during influenza virus infection. Pol II occupancy normally increases immediately downstream of the poly(A) sites of protein-coding genes as a result of Pol II pausing before termination (Glover-Cutter et al., 2008; Nojima et al., 2015). However, this is not the case during influenza virus infection (Figure 3A). We computed a Pol II read-through index for each gene to measure the degree to which Pol II fails to stop downstream poly(A) sites by calculating the ratio of mNET-seq reads in the 2 kb before and after the poly(A) site. We found that termination is significantly defective on protein-coding genes during influenza virus infection. On the other hand, termination is not defective on histone genes (Figures 3B and 3C), which are not processed by the canonical CPA complex (Kolev and Steitz, 2005). Together, these results suggest that the Pol II termination defect we observe arises from a result of interference with canonical mRNA 3′ end processing during influenza virus infection.

Expression of the Influenza Virus NS1 Protein Causes Widespread Failure of Pol II Termination

We considered whether the well-studied interaction between the influenza virus NS1 protein and host CPSF30 (Nemeroff et al., 1998) was responsible for the observed termination defect. We repeated our mNET-seq experiments with a HEK293 cell line expressing the viral NS1 protein under the control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter (Davidson et al., 2014) and compared the effects of NS1 protein alone with influenza virus infection (Figures 4A and 4B). We found that the expression of wild-type NS1 (but not that of a CPSF30-binding mutant) induced a similar Pol II termination defect as influenza virus infection, consistent with our results above. However, NS1 expression does not result in depletion of Pol II from gene bodies, suggesting that the termination defect at the ends of genes and the depletion of Pol II downstream of the TSS observed during influenza virus infection are distinct phenotypes. In line with these results, siRNA-mediated knockdown of CPSF30 also resulted in a significant Pol II termination defect (Figure 4C). Together, our data support the long-standing model of influenza interference with host transcription via an NS1-CPSF30 interaction (Nemeroff et al., 1998) and models of Pol II termination in which successful cleavage of...
the transcript is a prerequisite (Proudfoot, 2016; West et al., 2004).

**Influenza Virus Infection Causes a Widespread Pol II Termination Defect Independently of NS1-CPSF30 Interaction**

Despite the considerable attention given to the canonical interaction between influenza virus NS1 and host CPSF30, the NS1 proteins of many influenza strains have mutations that prevent their binding to CPSF30 (Hale et al., 2010). In order to verify that the Pol II termination defect we observed during our influenza virus infections above was wholly due to the action of the viral NS1 protein, we carried out infections with an H1N1 influenza A virus strain (A/PR/8/34), closely related to A/WSN/33, expressing an NS1 protein that does not bind to CPSF30 (Das et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2017).

Figure 1. Influenza Virus Infection Dramatically Alters Transcription Dynamics of Host RNA Polymerase II

(A) (i) Influenza virus interferes with the host Pol II transcription complex, both at the start of genes where the viral polymerase (FluPol) binds to the Ser5P form of the Pol II CTD to carry out cap snatching, generating capped RNA primers for viral mRNA synthesis, and at the end of genes where the viral NS1 interferes with host 3’ end processing by inhibiting CPSF30. pAS, poly(A) site. (ii) Pol II behavior was profiled genome-wide using mNET-seq (see STAR Methods for details and Figure S1).

(B) Meta-profile of Pol II occupancy on all non-overlapping protein-coding genes (n = 13,851) as measured by mNET-seq shows that influenza virus infection simultaneously results in decreased Pol II occupancy downstream of the transcription start site (TSS), as well as a failure of Pol II to terminate downstream of the poly(A) site. FPKM, fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads.

(C) Heatmap of Pol II occupancy of non-overlapping protein-coding genes, with the TSS and poly(A) site of each gene aligned to each other, as illustrated at the top of the panel. Each horizontal line represents a single gene.

(D and E) mNET-seq profiles of Pol II occupancy along protein-coding genes KRT7 (D) and MAZ (E). Downstream unexpressed genes (KRT87P and PRRT2) are not shown in the annotation.

In (B)–(E), data shown are from a single, representative biological replicate (see Figures S1B–S1D and Table S1 for comparison). See also Figure S1.
influenza B virus (B/Florida/04), which expresses an NS1 protein with an effector domain that bears no homology to that of the influenza A virus NS1 and does not bind to CPSF30 (Ma et al., 2016). We carried out mNET-seq on these infected cells and compared the profiles of Pol II with our previous influenza virus infections (A/WSN/33) and uninfected cells (Figures 5A, 5B, and S3). Surprisingly, we found that Pol II termination was significantly defective in all cases, irrespective of virus strain. To confirm these findings, we carried out infections and mNET-seq analysis with an H3N2 strain of influenza A virus with a strong NS1-CPSF30 interaction (A/Udorn/72) (Das et al., 2008) and with a mutant virus of the same strain in which the NS1 protein is truncated and lacks the CPSF30-binding effector domain (NS1Δ99) (Jackson et al., 2010). The wild-type H3N2 virus induces a significant termination defect, similar to that observed previously with H1N1. The mutant virus with a truncated NS1 protein causes a similar defect in Pol II termination (Figures 5C and 5D), even though its growth is restricted, and very little depletion of Pol II occurs in gene bodies. We therefore conclude that the termination defect we observe can arise from influenza virus infection alone, irrespective of direct interaction between the viral NS1 protein and CPSF30.

Osmotic Shock Induces a Pol II Termination Defect Similar to Influenza Virus Infection

We next considered whether the Pol II termination defect we observe might be similar to a more generalized cellular stress response. Osmotic shock, for example, has been reported to cause the production of downstream-of-gene transcripts (DoGs) that arise from continued transcription tens of kilobases downstream of normal poly(A) sites (Vilborg et al., 2015). These DoGs, which occur on a limited set of genes and are polyadenylated, appear to be substantially less abundant than their properly terminated counterparts in nuclear RNA poly(A)+ RNA (Vilborg et al., 2015). We examined Pol II behavior directly during osmotic shock by performing mNET-seq on cells treated with 80 mM KCl for 1 hr (Figure 6A). Remarkably, osmotic shock also produces a strong termination defect (Figure 6B), and the effect we observe using mNET-seq is greater and more widespread than previously described on the basis of RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Vilborg et al., 2015). This termination defect, resulting from osmotic shock, appeared comparable with that resulting from influenza virus infection, so we compared the read-through index of each gene during influenza virus infection and osmotic shock and found that the extent of Pol II read-through was well correlated (Spearman’s r = 0.73; Figure 6C). On the basis of this finding, we compared mNET-seq profiles of Pol II occupancy downstream of genes with nuclear RNA-seq profiles of DoGs generated from published data (Figure 6D) and found that DoGs match well to regions of Pol II read-through.
caused by influenza virus infection. Using RT-qPCR of total cellular RNA, we found that we could detect the production of DoGs specifically in response to both influenza virus infection and NS1 protein expression (Figure S4). Similarly, we found that the Pol II read-through indices for genes with identified DoGs are significantly higher than for genes without DoGs (Figure 6E). We conclude that DoGs produced during cellular stress likely arise from catastrophically altered termination (CAT) in which Pol II proceeds into regions that would normally remain un-transcribed. This same phenomenon can be triggered by diverse stimuli, including osmotic stress or viral infection as we show here, as well as heat shock (Mahat et al., 2016) and oxidative stress (Giannakakis et al., 2015).

**DISCUSSION**

We have analyzed the behavior of host Pol II during influenza virus infection and show that influenza virus executes a two-pronged attack on host transcription, with (1) FluPol association with Pol II leading to depletion of Pol II in gene bodies and (2) viral stress and viral protein-mediated interference of CPA leading to a marked termination defect at normal poly(A) sites. Our results have implications for understanding both viral effects and fundamental aspects of mammalian transcription that have consequences for virus-host interactions.

**Viral Interference with Pol II Leads to Host Shutoff**

Our observation that influenza virus infection leads to decreased Pol II gene occupancy downstream of the TSS suggests that FluPol association with Pol II can act as a simple mechanism of host shutoff by dysregulating host transcription. In contrast to the termination defect at the end of genes (which can be induced by viral NS1 protein or by cellular stress), this depletion of Pol II downstream of the TSS is specific to influenza virus infection. A number of mechanisms could underlie the decreased Pol II occupancy we observe. One model would involve FluPol inducing extremely premature termination of Pol II, perhaps mediated by cleavage of nascent host transcripts by FluPol that renders the exposed 5’ end of the nascent Pol II transcript a suitable substrate for degradation by Xrn2. This would lead to premature termination as a result of Pol II being “torpedoed” (Kim et al., 2004; West et al., 2004) off gene bodies during influenza virus infection. Such a mechanism, involving stochastic binding of Xrn2 to the exposed cleaved transcript, would also be consistent with the tailing-off of Pol II occupancy we observe downstream of the TSS (Figure S2). Thus, FluPol-induced termination would mimic canonical Pol II termination at the poly(A) site (pAS), in which both transcript cleavage (here performed by FluPol instead of CPSF73) and Xrn2 activity are required (Eaton et al., 2018). Such a process is also reminiscent of Nrd1-mediated termination in yeast (Vasiljeva et al., 2008) and previous results showing that mRNA decapping in mammals leads to Pol II termination via Xrn2 action (Brannan et al., 2012).

A second model would involve FluPol blocking access of Pol II regulatory factors to Pol II and its CTD (Jonkers and Lis, 2015). Such a process could involve the inhibition of productive Pol II elongation, for example by preventing P-TEFb-dependent phosphorylation of Ser2 of the Pol II CTD, leading to more promoteronproximal pausing (Zaborowska et al., 2016b). Our mNET-seq data, however, show similar initial peaks of paused Pol II levels downstream of the TSS before the signal decreases in the gene body (Figures 1B, 2B, and S2). Alternatively, the presence of FluPol could result in an increase in the elongation rate of Pol II (and consequently a decrease in observed mNET-seq Pol II occupancy), for example by preventing the binding of repressive factors or by increasing the recruitment of processivity factors such as elongin (Kwak and Lis, 2013).

In both cases, dysregulation of Pol II transcription downstream of the TSS will have functional consequences for host
shutoff. In the context of a cell in which antiviral gene expression has been triggered, the recruitment of Pol II to these genes will also consequently recruit FluPol, allowing the virus to counteract the activation of cellular defenses. Any subsequent extremely premature Pol II termination that occurs as a result of cap snatching could also benefit viral transcription in cases where Pol II is more rapidly cleared from promoters and gene bodies, because free Pol II would now be free to re-initiate another round of transcription to feed further capped nascent RNA to FluPol. Such a relationship between apparent Pol II depletion in gene bodies and host shutoff is consistent with observations that most infected cells fail to induce a detectable interferon response even in the absence of NS1 (Kallfass et al., 2013; Killip et al., 2015, 2017; Russell et al., 2018). It seems plausible that FluPol association with Pol II could itself contribute to host shutoff, independently of any interference with host mRNA 3' end processing.

Although it appears advantageous for a virus such as influenza to prevent expression of antiviral genes by interfering with CPA, it is also possible that failure to disengage Pol II at the end of genes during influenza virus infection provides a benefit to the host cell. Thus, continued transcription by Pol II might decrease the pool of Pol II available to re-initiate transcription and thereby starve influenza virus of the nascent, capped transcripts it needs to prime its own viral mRNA production. Interestingly, analysis of circulating H1N1 viruses suggests that CPSF30 inhibition is advantageous during adaptation to human (but not necessarily other mammalian) hosts (Clark et al., 2017). Additional work will be needed to understand how interference with host transcript CPA and its effects on global Pol II behavior affect viral function, adaptation, and evolution.

Figure 4. The Influenza Virus NS1 Protein Induces a Host Pol II Termination Defect
(A) Induced expression of the viral NS1 protein (NS1wt) or a CPSF30-binding mutant (NS1mut) in HEK293 cells was compared with influenza virus infection (H1N1) or uninduced and uninfected cells (Mock). Meta-profiles of Pol II occupancy at the 3' end of expressed protein-coding genes with a single poly(A) site as well as statistical analysis of Pol II read-through on each gene reveal that the viral NS1 protein alone induces a Pol II termination defect downstream of poly(A) sites. Note that in contrast to viral infection, NS1 expression does not deplete Pol II in gene bodies, as reflected by the difference in baseline Pol II occupancy prior to the poly(A) site.
(B) mNET-seq profiles of Pol II occupancy at the 3' end of a protein-coding gene, MAZ. The unexpressed downstream PRRT2 gene is not shown in the annotation.
(C) siRNA knockdown of CPSF30 also produces a Pol II termination defect similar to NS1 protein expression. The meta-profiles of Pol II occupancy at the 3' end of expressed protein-coding genes with a single poly(A) site are shown, as well as statistical analysis of Pol II read-through on each gene are shown, and a western blot (see STAR Methods) confirming successful CPSF30 knockdown.
Data shown are from a single, representative biological replicate.
Figure 5. Influenza Virus Infection Causes a Host Pol II Termination Defect Independently of NS1-CPSF30 Interaction

(A) The closely related H1N1 strains (A/WSN/33 and A/PR/8/34) encode NS1 proteins that differ in the ability of their C-terminal effector domains to bind CPSF30. Evolutionarily distinct influenza B viruses, including B/Florida/04/2006 (B/FL/04), encode an unrelated effector domain that does not bind CPSF30. Meta-profiles of Pol II occupancy at the 3' end of expressed protein-coding genes with a single poly(A) site as well as statistical analysis of Pol II read-through on each gene during viral infection show that all three viruses induce a significant failure of Pol II to terminate downstream of poly(A) sites.

(B) mNET-seq profiles of Pol II occupancy at the 3' end of a protein-coding gene, KRT7. The unexpressed downstream gene, KRT87P, is not shown in the annotation.

(C) The H3N2 influenza virus (A/Udorn/72) encodes an NS1 protein with an effector domain that binds CPSF30. Infections were performed in parallel with a mutant virus of the same strain in which the NS1 protein is truncated to remove the effector domain (NS1Δ99). Meta-profiles of Pol II occupancy at the 3' end of expressed protein-coding genes with a single poly(A) site as well as statistical analysis of Pol II read-through on each gene during viral infection show that both the wild-type and the mutant viruses induce a strong termination defect.

(D) mNET-seq profiles of Pol II occupancy during H3N2 viral infection at the 3' end of a protein-coding gene, KRT7. The unexpressed downstream gene, KRT87P, is not shown in the annotation.

Data shown are from a single mNET-seq biological replicate. See also Figure S3.
regions downstream of genes where Pol II fails to terminate during viral infection and osmotic shock overlap with regions of DoG production. DoGs are produced during influenza virus infection (Vilborg et al., 2015), the Pol II termination defect caused by influenza virus infection and osmotic stress appears widespread. It is likely that DoGs are universally produced by Pol II but that only those released from chromatin are detected by conventional RNA-seq. This is consistent with the observation that DoGs are less likely to contain a consensus poly(A) signal in their body (Vilborg et al., 2017). DoGs might escape when Pol II encounters a feature, such as a cryptic poly(A) site or a secondary structure-rich region (e.g., facilitating backtracking or R-loop formation) (Proudfoot, 2016), which might then promote transcript release or allow it to escape degradation by the nuclear exosome. Although the production of DoGs may simply be incidental, it is plausible that some transcripts have evolved to serve a function.

The observed Pol II termination defect during influenza virus infection could restrict the amount capped nascent RNA available to FluPol and therefore be a protective cellular response. However, viral infection might simply be causing general cellular stress conditions that induce a Pol II termination defect or affect pathways related to these conditions. Influenza virus is known to induce oscillations in calcium ion concentrations (Fujiocka et al., 2013), which might trigger an osmotic shock response. Similarly, viral activation of inflammation (Tavares et al., 2017) could generate reactive oxygen species (ROS). Also, RIG-I binding to the mitochondrial antiviral-signaling protein (MAVS) (Hou et al., 2013) might allow ROS release from the mitochondria (Koshiba, 2013) to trigger an oxidative stress response. Last, heat shock proteins and pathways intersect with various aspects of influenza virus infection. For example, Hsp90 is reported to be hijacked for the nuclear import of the viral polymerase subunits (Naito et al., 2007), and SUMO remodeling in response to heat shock is reminiscent of the changes in host SUMOylation induced during influenza virus infection (Domíngues et al., 2015).
It is also possible that the influenza virus-induced termination defect relates to a more general stress response, for example to maintain chromatin structural integrity (Vilborg et al., 2015) during osmotic or heat shock. A similar role in the preservation of genomic integrity might also be at play during oxidative stress, whereby Pol II may be pressed into acting as a sentry for oxidative lesions or ssDNA breaks, with the termination defect allowing Pol II to travel into normally inaccessible regions of the chromatin. More broadly, the question remains as to why the general cellular response to a wide variety of “stresses” appears to be the dysregulation of CPA and Pol II termination. Might there be a simple reason underlying this common phenotype? A possible explanation is that prevention of transcript CPA is a fail-safe mechanism to eliminate now unwanted transcripts arising from already elongating Pol II. We expect that future work on both cellular stress and influenza virus infection will further inform our understandings of the mechanism and coordination of transcription termination.
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## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies** | | |
| Mouse IgG1 Anti-Pol II CTD mAb | MBL Life Science | MAB0601 |
| Anti-Phospho Pol II CTD (Ser5) mAb | MBL Life Science | MAB0603 |
| Anti-Actin antibody produced in rabbit | Sigma | A2066 RRID:AB_476693 |
| Rabbit anti-CPSF30 Antibody, Affinity Purified | Bethyl Laboratories | A301-584A RRID:AB_1078872 |
| **Bacterial and Virus Strains** | | |
| H1N1 Influenza Virus, A/WSN/33 | (Fodor et al., 1999) | WSN |
| H1N1 Influenza Virus, A/Puerto Rico/8/34 | (Subbarao et al., 2003) | PR8 |
| H3N2 Influenza Virus, A/Desmond/72 | (Jackson et al., 2010) | Ud |
| Influenza B Virus, B/Florida/04/2006 | (Jackson et al., 2011) | B/FL |
| H3N2 Influenza Virus, A/Desmond/72: NS1 | | |
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** | | |
| RNAiMAX transfection reagent | Invitrogen | 13778-150 |
| Reagents for mNET-seq sample preparation | (Nojima et al., 2016) | See paper for details |
| **Critical Commercial Assays** | | |
| Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix | Agilent | 600889 |
| Reagents for mNET-seq sample preparation | (Nojima et al., 2016) | See paper for details |
| Quick-RNA microprep kit | Zymo Research | R1050 |
| TruSeq Small RNA Library Preparation kit | Illumina | RS-200-0012 |
| NEBNext Small RNA Library Preparation kit | NEB | E7300 |
| Bioanalyzer Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit | Agilent | 5067-4626 |
| Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit | Invitrogen | Q32851 |
| **Deposited Data** | | |
| Raw sequencing data | This paper; NCBI SRA | SRP132032 |
| Poly(A) Site usage | (Neve et al., 2016) | See source SI |
| Lists of DoG transcript genes during osmotic shock | (Vilborg et al., 2015) | See source SI |
| **Experimental Models: Cell Lines** | | |
| Human adenocarcinoma alveolar basal epithelial cells (A549) | ATCC | A549 RRID:CVCL_0023 |
| Madin-Darby Bovine Kidney cells (MDBK) | ATCC | MDBK RRID:CVCL_0421 |
| Madin-Darby Canine Kidney cells (MDCK) | ATCC | MDCK RRID:CVCL_0422 |
| Human embryonic kidney 293 Flp-In TReX: NS1wt | (Davidson et al., 2014) | NS1wt |
| Human embryonic kidney 293 Flp-In TReX: NS1mut | (Davidson et al., 2014) | NS1mut |
| **Oligonucleotides** | | |
| Control siRNA (siLuc) Sense (5’-3’): GAUUAUGUCCGGUUAUGUAUU | (Nojima et al., 2015) | siLuc |
| Antisense (5’-3’): [p]UACAUACGGGACAUAAUCCU | | |
| SMARTpool ON-TARGETplus CPSF4 siRNA | Dharmacon | 012292-01 |
| Random primers for reverse transcription | Invitrogen | 48190011 |
| qPCR primers | This paper and (Vilborg et al., 2015) | See Table S2 |
| **Software and Algorithms** | | |
| STAR | (Dobin et al., 2013) | https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR |
| mNET_sn | (Nojima et al., 2016) | https://github.com/tomasgomes/mNET_sn |
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CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Prof. Ervin Fodor (ervin.fodor@path.ox.ac.uk).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Human adenocarcinoma alveolar basal epithelial (A549) cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). Madin-Darby Bovine Kidney (MDBK) and Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) epithelial cells were maintained in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM, Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine and 10% FCS. Human embryonic kidney 293TRex/NS1 and 293TRex/NS1mut cell lines (Davidson et al., 2014) were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS. Viral stocks of influenza A/WSN/33 (WSN) (H1N1) and A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) were produced by infecting MDBK cells at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.001 in DMEM. Stocks of A/Udorn/72 (H3N2) and B/Florida/04/2006 were produced by infecting MDCK cells at an MOI of 0.001. Trypsin was added to culture media at a concentration of 1 ug/ml for the production of A/PR/8/34, and 2 ug/ml for the production of B/Florida/04/2006 and A/Udorn/72. Stocks of mutant A/Udorn/72:NS1Δ99 virus were grown as described previously (Jackson et al., 2010).

METHOD DETAILS

Infection, Expression, and Osmotic Shock Experiments

For infection experiments, A549 cells were grown to 70%–80% confluency in 15 cm dishes, and infected at an MOI of 5 in DMEM. For all experiments, uninfected control cells were included which were mock-infected using DMEM. Cells were harvested at 12 hours post-infection for all viruses except WSN, which was harvested at 6 hours post-infection. Cells were harvested by washing with 20 mL of ice-cold PBS, collected by scraping, and transferred to 15 mL tubes. Cells were pelleted by centrifuging at 1000 x g at 4°C for 5 minutes, aspirating the supernatant, and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were stored at −80°C until use. Infections of 293TRex/NS1 cells with WSN were also carried out at an MOI of 5, with cells harvested at 4.5 hours post-infection by scraping first, then pelleting and resuspending twice in ice-cold PBS before flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen. For NS1 expression experiments, culture media was supplemented with 1 μg/ml tetracycline (Sigma) and cells were harvested after 24 hours. Osmotic shock experiments were carried out as described (Vilborg et al., 2015) by supplementing media with 80 mM potassium chloride and harvesting cells after 1 hour. Knockdown of CPSF30 was performed by transfecting 293TRex/NS1 cells at 25% confluency with SMARTpool ON-TARGETplus CPSF4 siRNA (L-012292-01, Dharmacon) at a final concentration of 30 nM using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Control knockdowns (siLuc) were performed in parallel. Cells were harvested after 72 hours. Knockdown was confirmed by western blot for CPSF30 (A301-584A, Bethyl Laboratories) and actin as a control (A2006, Sigma). For the detection of DoG transcripts, total RNA was extracted from infected cells with TRIzol, and 125ng RNA was reverse transcribed with SuperScript III and 1.5ug random hexamers (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. qPCR was performed on a StepOnePlus instrument (ABI) using Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Agilent) and primer pairs for DoG transcripts (see Table S2 for sequences).

Sample Preparation for mNET-seq Experiments

Sample preparation for mNET-seq was performed as previously described (Nojima et al., 2016). Briefly, A549 cells were thawed on ice, lysed to pellet nuclei, and the nuclei were then lysed to precipitate chromatin. The chromatin pellet was digested with

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| deepTools           | (Ramirez et al., 2014) | https://github.com/deeptools/deepTools |
| Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) | (Robinson et al., 2011) | http://software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/ |
| R: A language and environment for statistical computing | R Foundation for Statistical Computing | https://www.R-project.org/ |
| Genome sequence, primary assembly (GRCh38) | Genome Reference Consortium | ftp://ftp.sanger.ac.uk/pub/gencode/Gencode_human/release_27/GRCh38.primary_assembly.genome.fa.gz |
| GENCODE v27 human genome annotations | (Harrow et al., 2012) | https://www.gencodegenes.org/releases/27.html |

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Prof. Ervin Fodor (ervin.fodor@path.ox.ac.uk).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Human adenocarcinoma alveolar basal epithelial (A549) cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). Madin-Darby Bovine Kidney (MDBK) and Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) epithelial cells were maintained in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM, Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine and 10% FCS. Human embryonic kidney 293TRex/NS1 and 293TRex/NS1mut cell lines (Davidson et al., 2014) were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS. Viral stocks of influenza A/WSN/33 (WSN) (H1N1) and A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) were produced by infecting MDBK cells at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.001 in DMEM. Stocks of A/Udorn/72 (H3N2) and B/Florida/04/2006 were produced by infecting MDCK cells at an MOI of 0.001. Trypsin was added to culture media at a concentration of 1 ug/ml for the production of A/PR/8/34, and 2 ug/ml for the production of B/Florida/04/2006 and A/Udorn/72. Stocks of mutant A/Udorn/72:NS1Δ99 virus were grown as described previously (Jackson et al., 2010).

METHOD DETAILS

Infection, Expression, and Osmotic Shock Experiments

For infection experiments, A549 cells were grown to 70%–80% confluency in 15 cm dishes, and infected at an MOI of 5 in DMEM. For all experiments, uninfected control cells were included which were mock-infected using DMEM. Cells were harvested at 12 hours post-infection for all viruses except WSN, which was harvested at 6 hours post-infection. Cells were harvested by washing with 20 mL of ice-cold PBS, collected by scraping, and transferred to 15 mL tubes. Cells were pelleted by centrifuging at 1000 x g at 4°C for 5 minutes, aspirating the supernatant, and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were stored at −80°C until use. Infections of 293TRex/NS1 cells with WSN were also carried out at an MOI of 5, with cells harvested at 4.5 hours post-infection by scraping first, then pelleting and resuspending twice in ice-cold PBS before flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen. For NS1 expression experiments, culture media was supplemented with 1 μg/ml tetracycline (Sigma) and cells were harvested after 24 hours. Osmotic shock experiments were carried out as described (Vilborg et al., 2015) by supplementing media with 80 mM potassium chloride and harvesting cells after 1 hour. Knockdown of CPSF30 was performed by transfecting 293TRex/NS1 cells at 25% confluency with SMARTpool ON-TARGETplus CPSF4 siRNA (L-012292-01, Dharmacon) at a final concentration of 30 nM using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Control knockdowns (siLuc) were performed in parallel. Cells were harvested after 72 hours. Knockdown was confirmed by western blot for CPSF30 (A301-584A, Bethyl Laboratories) and actin as a control (A2006, Sigma). For the detection of DoG transcripts, total RNA was extracted from infected cells with TRIzol, and 125ng RNA was reverse transcribed with SuperScript III and 1.5ug random hexamers (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. qPCR was performed on a StepOnePlus instrument (ABI) using Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Agilent) and primer pairs for DoG transcripts (see Table S2 for sequences).

Sample Preparation for mNET-seq Experiments

Sample preparation for mNET-seq was performed as previously described (Nojima et al., 2016). Briefly, A549 cells were thawed on ice, lysed to pellet nuclei, and the nuclei were then lysed to precipitate chromatin. The chromatin pellet was digested with
micrococcal nuclease (NEB) for 4-5 minutes at 37 °C and the supernatant containing the open chromatin fraction was incubated for 1 hour with magnetic bead-bound antibodies to either Pol II total CTD (MABI601, MBL) or Pol II Ser5P CTD (MABI603, MBL). After washing, Pol II-bound RNA was 3’-phosphorylated in situ, extracted using TRizol (Invitrogen), and size-selected on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel to obtain fragments 35-100nt long. For mNET-seq, 293TRex/NS1 cells were prepared in the same manner, except micrococcal nuclease digestion was performed for 140 s, and RNA was isolated following 3’ phosphorylation using the Quick-RNA microprep kit (Zymo Research) and 17-200nt RNA was size-selected according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Library Preparation and Sequencing for mNET-seq Experiments**

Libraries suitable for Illumina sequencing were prepared from RNA extracts following mNET-seq sample preparation using the TruSeq Small RNA Library Preparation kit (Illumina) for A549 cells, or the NEBNext Small RNA Library Preparation kit (NEB) for 293TRex/NS1 cells. Sequencing libraries were amplified by 15 cycles of PCR and size-selected on a 6% Novex TBE PAGE gel according to the manufacturers’ instructions to remove primer-dimers. Paired-end sequencing (2x75bp) was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 in Rapid Mode, or an Illumina HiSeq 4000 instrument at the Oxford Genomics Centre, Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics (Oxford, UK).

**Data Processing for mNET-seq**

The overall pipeline for processing mNET-seq data was followed as previously described (Nojima et al., 2016), with some modifications. Briefly, sequencing reads were trimmed to remove any contaminating adaptor sequences using cutadapt 1.8.3 (Martin, 2011), and the resulting reads were mapped to the GRCh38 genome using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013). The resulting BAM files were processed to extract the precise 3’ end position of each sequenced RNA fragment, and single-nucleotide mNET-seq profiles of Pol II occupancy were produced using the bamCoverage function of deepTools (Ramı´rez et al., 2014).

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

mNET-seq profiles were visualized with Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) (Robinson et al., 2011). Metagene analysis of Pol II occupancy was performed using transcription units as determined from the GENCODE v27 genome annotations (Harrow et al., 2012), using the computeMatrix and plotProfile functions of deepTools. The full list of all genes used for meta-profile analysis is given in Table S1; some genes were excluded from the meta-profiles on the basis that they are not expressed in the given cell line or do not have a single, dominant poly(A) site based on published data (Neve et al., 2016). The exact numbers of genes used for all meta-profiles and statistical analyses are given in each figure. Gene-wise Pol II occupancy of regions downstream of TSSs, and up and downstream of poly(A) sites was computed using the computeMatrix function of deepTools. A Pol II Depletion Index for each gene was calculated by taking the log₂ ratio between Pol II occupancy in the interval TSS+1kb to TSS+2kb and the Pol II occupancy in the interval TSS+4kb to TSS+6kb. Gene body occupancy and a Pol II Pause Escape Index for each gene was calculated by as previously described (Schlackow et al., 2017). A Read-Thru Index for each gene was calculated by taking the log₂ ratio between Pol II occupancy in the 2 kb downstream of the poly(A) site and the Pol II occupancy in the 2kb upstream of the poly(A) site. Genes with less than 10 reads in either case were excluded from analysis. A long-range Read-Thru Index was calculated by taking the log₂ ratio between Pol II occupancy in the 2kb upstream of the poly(A) site and the Pol II occupancy in the interval poly(A) site+8kb to poly(A) site+10kb. The level of Pol II occupancy and the statistical indices above were compared between samples, and P values computed, using the Wilcoxon Rank Sum (Mann-Whitney) Test via the wilcox.test function in R. Published lists of DoG transcript genes during osmotic shock (Vilborg et al., 2017) were used for comparisons to influenza virus infection, in addition to the osmotic shock mNET-seq data presented here. Scatterplots were generated using the ggplot2 and hexbin packages in R, and the and gene-wise Pearson’s correlation between samples was calculated using the cor.test function in R.

**DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

The accession number for the sequencing data reported in this paper is NCBI SRA: SRP132032.