Low-temperature electrical transport in bilayer manganite La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$
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The temperature $T$ and magnetic field $H$ dependence of anisotropic in-plane $\rho_{ab}$ and out-of-plane $\rho_c$ resistivities have been investigated in single crystals of the bilayer manganite La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$. Below the Curie transition temperature $T_C = 125$ K, $\rho_{ab}$ and $\rho_c$ display almost the same temperature dependence with an up-turn around 50 K. In the metallic regime (50 K $\leq T \leq 110$ K), both $\rho_{ab}(T)$ and $\rho_c(T)$ follow a $T^{9/2}$ dependence, consistent with the two-magnon scattering. We found that the value of the proportionality coefficient $B_{ab}^1$ and the ratio of the exchange interaction $J_{ab}/J_c$ obtained by fitting the data are in excellent agreement with the calculated $B_{ab}$ based on the two-magnon model and $J_{ab}/J_c$ deduced from neutron scattering, respectively. This provides further support for this scattering mechanism. At even lower $T$, in the non-metallic regime ($T < 50$ K), both the in-plane $\sigma_{ab}$ and out-of-plane $\sigma_c$ conductivities obey a $T^{1/2}$ dependence, consistent with weak localization effects. Hence, this demonstrates the three-dimensional metallic nature of the bilayer manganite La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ at $T < T_c$.

PACS numbers: 75.30.Vn, 72.15.-v, 72.10.-d

I. INTRODUCTION

There is growing interest in the low-temperature electrical transport phenomena of perovskite manganites in order to elucidate the microscopic origin of the colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) effect. Recent studies on single crystals of the three-dimensional 3D pseudocubic compounds R$_{1-x}$A$_x$MnO$_3$ ($R$ is a trivalent rare-earth ion and $A$ is a divalent alkaline-earth ion) show a $T^2$ dependence of the resistivity, which has been interpreted as either electron-electron for one-magnon scattering. However, low-temperature resistivity measurements of epitaxial thin films of La$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$MnO$_3$ provide support for the presence of small-polaron conduction in the ferromagnetic (FM) state. In the same system, a $T^{9/2}$ term attributed to electron-magnon scattering was also found in the $T$ dependence of resistivity at low-temperatures. Therefore, the low-temperature electrical transport mechanism of manganites remains controversial and is far from being fully understood.

The bilayer manganite La$_{2-2x}$Sr$_{1+2x}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ has proven to be a fruitful system for understanding the CMR and has become the focus of many recent investigations. This is an ideal system for the study of the low-temperature conduction mechanism. Its reduced dimensionality gives rise to anisotropic characteristics of charge transport and magnetic properties and also enhances the CMR effect near the magnetic transition temperature, although at the cost of reducing it to about 100 K. The magnetic structure of heavily doped bilayer compounds always shows the coexistence of FM and antiferromagnetic (AFM) correlations. The magnetic correlations are predominantly FM within the two-dimensional MnO$_2$ layers, while the magnetic coupling between the MnO$_2$ layers changes from FM for $x \leq 0.4$ to canted AFM for $x > 0.4$. The interplay between FM double exchange and AFM superexchange interactions between Mn ions in these compounds becomes more subtle and is expected to be responsible for the unusual transport properties observed in the bilayer manganites. For examples, (i) an up-turn in the resistivity at low temperatures is generally observed for the $x = 0.30$, 0.35, 0.38, and 0.40 compound samples; (ii) despite the anisotropic crystal structure, $\rho_{ab}$ and $\rho_c$ of the $x = 0.38$ compound display virtually identical temperature dependences at low-temperatures, indicating the same conduction mechanism in both $ab$ and $c$ directions.

A lot of effort has been devoted to understanding the low-temperature electrical transport properties of bilayer manganites. Okuda, Kimura, and Tokura found that the in-plane conductivity $\sigma_{ab}$ of $x = 0.35$ single crystals is almost proportional to $T^{1/2}$ for $T < 4$ K, indicating weak localization. This square-root temperature dependence of $\sigma_{ab}$ was also observed in the $x = 0.40$ samples. Recently, Abrikosov, based on the theory of quantum interference, has shown that $\sigma_{ab}(T)$ and $\sigma_c(T)$ should be isotropic and proportional to $\tau^{-1/2}$ (the phase coherence destruction probability $\tau_x^{-1} \propto T$ for the 3D conduction) in the 3D (low-temperature) regime. It is, therefore, desirable from the experimental point of view to determine $\sigma_c(T)$ of bilayer manganites and, hence, to establish which scattering mechanism is mainly responsible for the low-temperature transport properties of this system. An important question is also whether there is...
any common conduction mechanism responsible for the low-temperature electrical transport in both the infinite-layer and bilayer manganites.

In this paper, we address the above issues through resistivity measurements on La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ single crystals, performed at low temperatures and in magnetic fields applied parallel to the $ab$ plane. The anisotropic resistivities $\rho_{ab}(T)$ and $\rho_c(T)$ are proportional to $T^{\delta/2}$ in the intermediate temperature regime below $T_c$. This points toward a two-magnon scattering mechanism responsible for the electrical dissipation in this $T$ range. The validity of this scattering mechanism is further supported by the $H$ dependence of the proportionality coefficients $B_{ab,c}^{fit}$ obtained by fitting the data and by the fact that the value of $B_{ab}^{fit}$ and the ratio of the exchange interaction $J_{ab}/J_c$ obtained by fitting the data are in excellent agreement with the calculated $B_{ab}$ based on the two-magnon model and $J_{ab}/J_c$ deduced from neutron scattering, respectively. At lower temperatures, in the non-metallic regime ($\partial\rho/\partial T < 0$), both anisotropic conductivities $\sigma_{ab}(T)$ and $\sigma_c(T)$ exhibit a $T^{\gamma/2}$ dependence, a result of electron-electron correlations, which is consistent with the weak localization effect in a 3D disordered metal. The common mechanisms responsible for both the in-plane and out-of-plane electrical transport indicate the 3D metallic nature of the bilayer manganite La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ at $T < T_c$.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ were grown from sintered rods of the same nominal composition by the floating zone method using a mirror furnace, as described in detail elsewhere. Plate-shaped crystals were separated mechanically from the bar. X-ray diffraction confirmed that the surfaces of the plates are parallel to the crystallographic $ab$ plane. The crystal chosen for systematic transport measurements had mirror surfaces on both faces. We determined $\rho_{ab}$ and $\rho_c$ as functions of temperature $T$ ($1.9 \leq T \leq 400$ K) and magnetic field $H$ ($0 \leq H \leq 14$ T) by performing multi-terminal transport measurements using the electrical contact configuration of the flux transformer, as described previously. The magnetic field $H$ was applied parallel to the MnO$_2$ layers ($H \parallel ab$ plane).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The $T$ dependence of the magnetic susceptibility $\chi$ measured in a low $H$ ($H = 10$ Oe) is shown in the inset to Fig. 1(b). The sample exhibits a paramagnetic (PM) - FM transition at the Curie transition temperature $T_c = 125$ K, which is consistent with previous reports. At $T < 50$ K, the susceptibility slightly decreases with decreasing $T$, in good agreement with recent reports. As typically seen in other studies, an additional transition appears around 290 K, most likely due to trace amounts of impurities (intergrowth) that, however, represent only about 0.1% of the volume fraction of the sample.

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the temperature profiles of $\rho_{ab}$ and $\rho_c$, and of the anisotropy $\rho_c/\rho_{ab}$ for La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$. Inset: ac susceptibility $\chi$ as a function of temperature measured in a magnetic field $H = 10$ Oe.
non-metallic behavior for $T < T_1$ field. H dependence [see inset to Fig. 2(b)]. An interesting feature of $\rho_T$ vs temperature $T$ for La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ measured in applied magnetic fields $H$ up to 14 T. Inset: Anisotropy $\rho_c/\rho_{ab}$ vs $T$ in the temperature range from 2 to 120 K measured under various $H$.

The $H$ and $T$ dependences of $\rho_{ab}$ and $\rho_c$ are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively, for the temperature range from 2 to 120 K. The characteristic negative magnetoresistivity in both $\rho_{ab}$ and $\rho_c$ is clearly seen over the whole $T$ range. At the same time, $\rho_c/\rho_{ab}$ has a weaker $H$ dependence [see inset to Fig. 2(b)]. An interesting feature of $\rho_{ab}(T)$ and $\rho_c(T)$ is the appearance of a weak non-metallic behavior for $T < 50$ K in zero field, which shifts to higher temperatures with increasing magnetic field.

In the infinite-layer La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ ($0.10 \leq x \leq 0.17$) samples, a similar low-temperature up-turn in resistivity has been identified with the vestiges of the structural $O^*$ to $O'$ transition in the FM phase. Temperature-dependent neutron diffraction studies on La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ do not show any structural transition occurring at low-temperatures. The up-turn in the resistivity of La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ at low temperatures is accompanied by a decrease of the ac susceptibility, which is related to the reentrant spin glass phase. An indication of a crossover from a FM to a canted state. The canted structure at low temperatures comes from the competing interactions along the c-axis Mn-O-Mn bonds, i.e., the AFM superexchange interaction between half-filled $t_{2g}$ orbitals and the FM double-exchange interaction via $e_g$ conduction electrons. In ordinary FM metals, the contribution to resistance from the $s$-$d$ interaction is known to be proportional to $T^2$ at low temperatures. This $T^2$ dependence of resistivity has been generally observed in single crystals of La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ ($x > 0.18$), La$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$MnO$_3$ ($x \geq 0.22$), and La$_{0.87}$Pb$_{0.13}$Ca$_{0.93}$MnO$_3$, and has been attributed to either electron-electron or one-magnon scattering. However, our low-temperature resistivities data (50 K $\leq T \leq 110$ K) of La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ single crystals measured in various applied magnetic fields do not follow a $T^2$ dependence of the form $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^2$. Therefore, the electron-electron scattering mechanism does not contribute to $\rho_{ab,c}(T)$. This indicates that the conduction mechanism in this bilayer manganite is different from that in the infinite-layer compounds. In fact, specific heat measurements on bilayer manganites show that their reduced dimensionality, compared to infinite-layer manganites, enhances the magnetic specific heat, but does not affect the electronic specific heat coefficient $\gamma$, indicating the presence of an anomalous carrier scattering process such as electron-phonon, electron-magnon, or a combination of them.

Polaronic transport has been recently shown to be a possible conduction mechanism in R$_{1-x}$A$_x$MnO$_3$ at $T < T_c$. Zhao et al. reported that the resistivity below 100 K in epitaxial thin films of La$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$MnO$_3$ ($x = 0.25$ and 0.4) grown on (100) LaAlO$_3$ substrates can be well fitted with $\rho = \rho_0 + E\omega_s/\sinh^2(\hbar\omega_s/2k_BT)$ ($\omega_s$ is the frequency of a soft optical mode), providing evidence for small-polaron metallic conduction in the FM state. We found that our resistivity data of La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ can not be adequately fitted with this expression. Presently, there is no experimental evidence for the presence of small polarons in the FM state of bilayer manganites. In fact, recent Raman scattering data on La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ single crystals suggest the formation of small polarons only at $T > T_c$. Moreover, X-ray and neutron scattering measurements on La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ directly demonstrate that the polarons disappear abruptly at the FM transition because of the sudden charge delocalization. On the other hand, the giant magnetothermoelectric conductivity observed in La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ indicates that spin-fluctuation scattering or magnon contribution is dominant over other carrier scattering processes.

Kubo and Ohata calculated the low-temperature resistance produced by scattering of holes by spin waves, on the basis of an effective Hamiltonian for double exchange.
in the spin wave approximation. They have found that the contribution from the two-magnon scattering process is proportional to $T^{9/2}$ and that the proportionality coefficient has, in the case of a simple parabolic band, the analytical expression given by

$$B = \frac{3hR^6k_B^2}{32\pi^2\varepsilon^2S^2(\frac{m}{M})^{9/2}}(\frac{k_B^2}{E_F})^{9/2}(2.52 + 0.0017\frac{M}{m}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

Here $R$ is the hopping distance of the $\varepsilon$ electrons in the in-plane or out-of-plane direction, $S$ is the effective spin of a Mn ion, the Fermi energy $E_F$ is measured from the band center, and $M$ and $m$ are the effective masses of a hole and a spin wave, respectively. In terms of the hole concentration per unit cell $n$, the effective hopping integral $t^*$, and the average spin stiffness $D^*$, the coefficient $B$ can be rewritten as

$$B = \frac{Rh}{48\pi^2\varepsilon^2S^2}(\frac{(6\pi^2n)^{5/3}}{0.5^{2/3}-n^{2/3}})(\frac{R^2k_B}{D^*})^{9/2}(2.52+0.0017\frac{D^*}{R^2t^*}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

Here the following simple relationships had been used: $Rk_F = (6\pi^2n)^{1/3}$, $M/m = D^*/(R^2t^*)$, and $E_F = t^*(6\pi^2n)^{2/3}(0.5^{2/3}-n^{2/3})$.

To reveal the two-magnon scattering nature of the resistivity in La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$, we plot in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) the measured $\rho_{ab}(T)$ and $\rho_c(T)$, respectively, along with the fits of these data with $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + BT^{9/2}$. Both $\rho_{ab}(T)$ and $\rho_c(T)$ follow remarkably well a $T^{9/2}$ dependence (solid lines in the figures) in the $T$ range of 50 to 110 K for different applied magnetic fields. The fitting parameters for $\rho_{ab}(T)$ and $\rho_c(T)$ measured in zero $H$ are $B_{ab}^{fit} = 4.04 \times 10^{-13}$ $\Omega$ cm/K$^{9/2}$ and $B_c^{fit} = 2.83 \times 10^{-11}$ $\Omega$ cm/K$^{9/2}$, respectively.

We determine next the zero-field value of $B_{ab}$ from Eq. (2) and compare it with the value of the corresponding fitting parameter. In La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$, the hole concentration $n \equiv x = 0.40$, the in-plane hopping distance is the Mn-Mn distance $R_{ab} = 3.37$ Å and the magnitude of the effective spin is $S = 1.8$ [5]. The effective stiffness constant $D^*_{ab} = 151$ meVÅ$^2$ based on recent neutron scattering measurements [5] and $t^* = 40$ meV as estimated from the measured effective plasma frequency [5]. With these values for different physical quantities, Eq. (2) gives $B_{ab} = 1.01 \times 10^{-13}$ $\Omega$ cm/K$^{9/2}$. This value of $B_{ab}$ has the same order of magnitude as the fitting parameter $B_{ab}^{fit}$, showing that the two-magnon scattering can account for the $T$ dependence of resistivity of La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ in the metallic range of temperatures.

In a conventional Heisenberg system, the spin-wave stiffness $D$ scales with the strength of the magnetic exchange coupling $J$ and can be expressed as $D = JSR^2$. Since $0.0017D^*/R^2t^* \ll 2.52$, one can ignore this term from Eq. (2). Hence, one obtains the following expression for the ratio of the in-plane $J_{ab}$ and interlayer $J_c$ exchange interactions:

$$\frac{J_{ab}}{J_c} = \frac{(B_c R_{ab} R_c)^{2/9}}{(B_{ab} R_{ab} R_c)}. \hspace{1cm} (3)$$
toresistance for $50 \leq T \leq 110$ K. Their $H$ dependence is also consistent with the spin wave scattering mechanism. Indeed, the spin-wave scattering itself should decrease with increasing $H$. The effect of an applied field is to open an energy gap $\Delta = g\mu(H + 4\pi M_s)$ in the magnon spectrum. This can be argued simply on the basis of a reduction in the spin-wave density by the applied field due to an increase in the energy gap appearing in the dispersion relation for the magnon energy, $\varepsilon_p = Dq^2 + \Delta$, where $q$ is the magnon-wave vector.

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) are plots of $\sigma_{ab}(T)$ and $\sigma_c(T)$, respectively, of La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ in the low-$T$ range, down to 1.9 K, measured in $H = 0$ and 14 T. Both $\sigma_{ab}$ and $\sigma_c$ follow a $T^{1/2}$ dependence below a certain $T$, which increases with increasing $H$. This is the first report of a $T^{1/2}$ dependence of $\sigma_c$ of a bilayer manganite. The $T^{1/2}$ dependence of $\sigma_{ab}$ is in agreement with previous reports both in La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ and in La$_{1.3}$Sr$_{1.7}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ for $30$ mK $\leq T \leq 2$ K.

It has been suggested that the observed $T^{1/2}$ dependence of $\sigma_{ab}$ is consistent with weak localization effects in ordered 3D metals, where the density of states at the Fermi level has a $T^{1/2}$ singularity due to the influence of interference of the inelastic electron-electron interaction and the elastic impurity scattering of the electrons. In the present case, the electrons are diffusive instead of freely propagating, leading to a profound modification of the traditional view based on the Fermi-liquid theory of metals. Considering the strong anisotropy of the crystal structure and of the conductivity, the bilayer manganites appear to be more 2D like. However, $\sigma_c(T)$ clearly follows the same $T^{1/2}$ dependence at low temperatures, consistent with 3D weak localization effects in disordered metals. This $T^{1/2}$ behavior in both $\sigma_{ab}(T)$ and $\sigma_c(T)$ confirms the 3D nature of the manganites.
of the metallic state and is in good agreement with the theory of quantum interference in highly anisotropic layered metals developed by Abrikosov.

IV. CONCLUSION

We performed simultaneous in-plane and out-of-plane resistivity measurements on bilayer manganite La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ single crystal in magnetic fields applied parallel to the ab-plane. Both $\rho_{ab}(T)$ and $\rho_c(T)$ display a $T^{9/2}$ dependence for 50 $\leq T \leq$ 110 K. This $T$ dependence and the magnitude of the fitting parameter are consistent with two-magnon scattering mechanism. The excellent agreement between the ratio of the exchange interactions $J_{ab}/J_c$ obtained by fitting the data and that deduced from neutron scattering provides further support for the validity of this scattering mechanism. Below 50 K, both $\sigma_{ab}(T)$ and $\sigma_c(T)$ follow a $T^{1/2}$ dependence, which is consistent with the theory of quantum interference or weak-localization effects in 3D disordered metals. The same temperature dependence for both conductivities strongly indicates that the bilayer La$_{1.2}$Sr$_{1.8}$Mn$_2$O$_7$ has a 3D metallic nature at $T < T_c$.
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