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Abstract—Acute lung injury (ALI) usually causes acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), or even death in critical ill patients. Immune cell infiltration in inflamed lungs is an important hallmark of ARDS. Macrophages are a type of immune cell that participate in the entire pathogenic trajectory of ARDS and most prominently via their interactions with lung alveolar epithelial cells (AECs). In the early stage of ARDS, classically activated macrophages secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines to clearance of the pathogens which may damage alveolar AECs cell structure and result in cell death. Paradoxically, in late stage of ARDS, anti-inflammatory cytokines secreted by alternatively activated macrophages dampen the inflammation response and promote epithelial regeneration and alveolar structure remodeling. In this review, we discuss the important role of macrophages and AECs in the progression of ARDS.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is characterized by acute, diffuse, inflammatory lung injury leading to increased alveolar capillary permeability and loss of aerated lung tissue. Hypoxemia is the major judgement criteria for ARDS, with estimated mortality rates of 27%, 32%, and 45% in mild, moderate, and severe hypoxemia cases, respectively [1]. ARDS arises from a variety of direct causes including pneumonia (bacterial and viral), inhalational lung injury, lung contusion, and chest trauma and indirect causes such as sepsis, shock, pancreatitis, cardiopulmonary bypass, and burns [2].

Lung injury and recovery are tightly coordinated processes requiring the orchestration of multiple cellular processes to restore tissue homeostasis. These processes include absorption of alveolar fluid, recovery of vascular permeability, reformation of epithelial monolayers, and maturation of resident macrophages. As alteration of tissue microenvironment in ARDS, alveolar macrophages (AM) can dynamically acquire pro-inflammatory phenotype (M1 type) or anti-inflammatory/tissue remodeling phenotype (M2 type) to meet functional demand [3]. Alveolar epithelial cells (AECs) can be characterized into two subtypes: (i) type I AECs which promote gaseous exchange and (ii) type II AECs which synthesis and secrete surfactant proteins to maintain alveolar tension.

Both macrophages and AECs are highly plastic cells with their function governed by a series of complex signaling networks. Understanding their phenotypic
characteristics will produce valuable insights on biological processes of ARDS. Therefore, we’d like to review the role of macrophages and AECs engaged in the inflammatory response and tissue repair processes in ARDS.

THE MACROPHAGES INVOLVED IN THE ARDS

The immune system monitors the microenvironment and exhibits tolerance to self-tissues while effective against invading pathogens. AMs consist of tissue-resident or recruited cells that form the first line of defense to foreign invaders. Resident AMs are identified by the expression of SiglecF+CD11c+CD64+F4/80+CD11b− are long-lived, self-renewable cells of predominantly embryonic origin. AMs are located at the air-tissue interface and act as an immunosuppressive M2-like phenotype in their normal state [4, 5]. Resident AMs can also originate from fetal monocytes and differentiate into mature AMs under the influence of GM-CSF, TGF-β, and PPARγ after birth [6–8], indicating the vital role of the local microenvironment on the shaping of specific tissue-resident macrophages. A previous study reports sessile AMs communicate with the alveolar epithelium to modulate immunity [9]; notably, not every alveolus contained an AM, only a small percentage of AMs (10%) were completely sessile population that communicated with epithelial via gap junctions, the majority of cells often crawled between alveoli, and these PKH26+ patrolling AMs utilize pores of Kohn to monitor and rapidly scavenge inhaled bacteria [10].

ARDS can be pathologically classified into an exudative phase, rehabilitation phase, and fibrotic phase. In the exudative phase of ARDS, pathogens activated macrophages via pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) including Toll-like receptors (TLRs), nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain Leucine-rich repeats containing receptors (NLRs), transmembrane C-type lectin receptors (CLRs), and retinoic acid-inducible gene-I-like receptors (RLRs), which promote the shift of resident AMs cells to the predominant M1 phenotype [11], and then these resident AMs coordinate with two types of circulating peripheral blood monocytes: GR-1lowCCR2lowCX3CR1high monocytes which participate in resolution of inflammation and tissue repair and GR-1highCCR2highCX3CR1low monocytes which are predominantly inflammatory and migrate to injured and infected sites to clear microorganisms. The signaling transduction pathway proteins NF-κB, JAK/STAT, JNK are likewise critical for M1 macrophage polarization, and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines including TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, IL-15, IL-23, reactive oxygen species (ROS), reactive nitrogen species (RNS), and proteolytic enzymes, as reviewed previously [12, 13]. Functionally, this population of macrophages recruit neutrophils and lymphocytes to clear of pathogens, but an excessive secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines can have a detrimental effect on alveolar structure, leading to increased alveolar permeability and pulmonary edema (Fig. 1).

The second phase of ARDS is the rehabilitation phase. After clearance of pathogenic factors, inflammation resolution and tissue repair initiate a “pro-resolution” CD11blow macrophages, which are characterized by the enhanced apoptotic leukocyte engulfment, unresponsiveness to TLR ligands, and increased migration to draining lymph nodes following by a reduction of M1 macrophage subtypes and a corresponding increase in M2 phenotype cells. The M2 macrophages are classified into the M2a, CD163+CD200R+CXCX1+Arg1+ wound healing macrophage subtypes, which are induced by IL-4, IL-13, express high levels of mannose receptor, decoy IL-1 receptor and CCL17, and secretion of TGF-β, IGF, and fibronectin to support tissue repair; M2b macrophage subset, namely CD86+IL4Rα+MHCII+ regulatory macrophages, are induced by immune complexes together with IL-1β or LPS, and regulate the immune response by varied expression of both pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine like IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-10; M2c subset, also known as the CD206+CD163+CCR2+CD150+ deactivation macrophages, is induced by IL-10, TGF-β, or glucocorticoids and has strong anti-inflammatory properties and profibrotic activity [13]. M2 macrophages can promote lung tissue repair, alleviate epithelial cell damage, restore pulmonary barrier function, and produce anti-inflammatory response. Phagocytosis also plays an important role in the removal of necrotic cells and detritus, recruitment of apoptotic neutrophils, and enhancement of efferocytosis through release of IL-4, IL-13, and IL-10 [3, 14–16] (Fig. 1). These results suggest that M2 macrophages are key orchestrators in the regulation of immune response to lung injury and repair during the rehabilitation phase.

In the last stage of ARDS, the destroyed pulmonary alveoli attempt to restore tissue homeostasis by supporting alveolar structure reconstruction, with this period being characterized by the expansion of fibroblasts,
matrix reformation, and proliferation and differentiation of AEC II cells into AEC I cells. However, extensive basement membrane damage and inadequate or delayed re-epithelialization can still lead to fibrosis formation in this stage [17]. M1 macrophages play an important role in resolving pathological fibroproliferative response by producing of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and a variety of antifibrotic cytokines such as CXCL10. In parallel, M2 macrophages express arginase 1 and resistin-like α to limit fibrosis, but excessive expression of IL-4 and IL-13 can induce collagen deposition via TGF-β pathways [18–20]. A recent study in COVID-19 by using scRNA-seq revealed six populations of monocyte/macrophage with distinct gene expression signatures and identify CD163/LGMN profibrotic macrophage that expression of fibrotic genes SPP1, TGFβ1, LGMN, and CCL18 [21]. Taken together, macrophages participate in every single stage of ARDS. In the exudative phase of ARDS, AMs shift into the M1 phenotype to clear microorganisms, induce severe inflammatory responses, and damage lung tissue. Following entry into the rehabilitation stage, M2 macrophages take center task to resolve tissue damage and promote repair processes. However, excessive M2 polarization becomes detrimental in later stage ARDS and contributes to the pulmonary fibrosis processes.

THE ROLE OF M1/M2 MACROPHAGES IN SPECIFIC CAUSES OF ARDS

Pneumonia is the most common risk factor for ARDS, a study from Mayo Clinic revealed that bacteria, fungi, and viral infection can lead to pneumonia-associated ARDS. Among these pathogens, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) pneumonia, pneumocystis jiroveci, blastomyces species, and streptococcus pneumonia are the most common causes of ARDS [22]. The COVID-19 leads to ARDS cases predominately in recent years [23]. While most patients with COVID-19 infection exhibited mild symptoms, some patients developed a severe
immune reaction to ARDS known as a cytokine storm or “macrophage activation syndrome,” which is a systemic reaction to massive macrophage activation [24, 25]. This reaction is not due to the viral infection directly, but rather caused by the overreaction of the immune system. In patients with macrophage activation syndrome, increased pro-inflammatory cytokines are correlated with fever, disseminated intravascular coagulation, ARDS, and damaged lung structure [26]. In the severely damaged lung, monocyte-derived macrophages are the predominant macrophage lineages, and infiltrate alveolar cavities along with neutrophils and lymphocytes [27–30]. In the fibrotic phase, SARS-CoV-2 triggers newly recruited monocyte-derived macrophages which adopt a fibrosis-associated phenotype and express genes with well-known pathogenic functions in fibrosis [21]. These provoking studies provide a unique opportunity to explore new therapeutic methods for ARDS that focus on macrophage activation, cytokine storms, and fibrotic formation.

For bacterial infection in ARDS, gram-positive and negative bacterial infections had a similar frequency of ALI (20% versus 15%, respectively) [31, 32]. The resident AMs initiate an immune response against pathogens by release of proinflammatory cytokines, and stimulation of neighboring AECs and resident macrophages to produce chemokine to recruit neutrophils, monocytes, and lymphocyte cells to the site of infection. After clearance of pathogens, the M1 macrophages shift to the M2 macrophages to dampen the inflammatory response and promote tissue remodeling. The reprogrammed macrophages are thus polarized upon specific signals to meet function demands during infection, resolution, and repair, as reviewed by previous studies [3, 33].

RSV infection, another factor that contributes to ARDS, can significantly increase AMs, neutrophil, eosinophil, and lymphocyte infiltration and induce proinflammatory factors such as IL-1, IL-6, IL-12, IL-13, TNF-α, IFN-γ, MCP1, and CCL3 [34–36]. Moreover, RSV infection stimulates Gas6/Axl and prompts a shift of M1-like to an M2-like phenotype, suppressing caspase-1 activation, and IL-18 production. The reduced IL-18 levels inhibit NK cell-mediated IFN-γ production, NO, and TNF-α production, impairing the control of bacterial infection [37]. It has been hypothesized that these interlinked processes could be a potential mechanism in a mixed viral and bacterial infection scenario. Indeed, complex macrophage–pathogen interplay in RSV infection is an area of particular interest, with macrophages interacting with the NK cells/T cells for clearance of viruses and bacteria on the one hand, and the virus supporting macrophage function to maintain the pathogen coexistence and corresponding transmission for the host on the other hand.

The opportunistic fungal pathogen pneumocystis is of particular interest to healthcare practitioner due to its association with life-threatening pneumonia or ARDS in immune-compromised individuals, such as AIDS, organ transplants, cancer, and congenital immunodeficiency patients. A study from complicated with positive HIV revealed that the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid cell population comprises of more than 10% lymphocytes and 5% neutrophils in an activated macrophage phenotype setting [38], indicating that CD4+ T cells rather than macrophages play the most important role in the progression of ARDS. Other studies suggest that macrophage phenotype status dictate the outcome of the pneumocystis infection, with M2 macrophages associated with pneumocystis clearance in immunocompetent hosts, while M1 phenotype are associated with pneumocystis infection in susceptible immunosuppressed individuals. The adoptive transfer of both M1 and M2 macrophages has been observed in pneumocystis infected immunosuppressed hosts, with the best outcomes observed following M2 transfer [39]. However, this finding was not supported by another study that found either M1 or M2 polarization is required to initiate pneumocystis host defense [40]. The above findings have prompted many questions on mechanisms by which host immunity governs the outcome of pneumocystis infection. While M1 macrophages are involved in the immune defense via proinflammatory cytokines induction/production by inducing an adaptive immune response, M2 macrophages are engaged in efferocytosis to clear pneumocystis viral particles. However, it is important to note that the M1/M2 classification has only been explored in vitro paradigms that do not reflect the heterogeneity of macrophages in vivo. In fact, different forms of infection by pathogens such as pneumonia, SARS-CoV-2, bacterial infections, and respiratory virus infections may produce a mixed population of macrophages that have dual function in healing processes such as the clearance of microorganisms and resolution of inflammation, as well as pathogenic processes such as fibrosis. Better understanding of the mechanism underlying this delicate/complex balancing act in lung injury and repair will greatly benefit future research efforts in this field.
**AECs AND ARDS**

The hallmark pathogenic event in ARDS is an injury to the AECs, with the recovery and fate of AECs directly determining patient outcomes. There are two types of AECs. Type I AECs are terminally differentiated cells, covering most areas of the alveolar surface. These cells primarily participate in gaseous exchange and help maintain ion and fluid balance at the air–liquid interface. Additionally, AEC I cell express receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) involved in a variety of functions including pro-inflammatory cytokines and apoptosis [41–43].

Type II AECs retain progenitor cell properties, produce surfactant complex, and are responsible for lowering the superficial tension to prevent alveolar collapse and stabilize the airways epithelial barrier [3, 44]. Pulmonary surfactant is a complex substance containing about 90% lipids and 10% surfactant proteins (SP). There are four types of SP including SP-A, SP-B, SP-C, and SP-D. SP-A and SP-D are pulmonary collections that are able to bind to viruses to facilitate pathogen removal [45].

ARDS involves both innate and adaptive host responses that can further damage the alveolar endo/epithelial barrier. Alveolar leakage is associated with AEC I apoptosis through the Fas, P53, Bcl signaling and decline of the AEC I pool [46, 47]. Some studies point to cell necrosis as the dominant pathogenic event in AEC cell death in LPS-induced lung injury [48]. Ferroptosis, a new form of cell death, is also involved in the ARDS [49]. After AEC I cells death, AEC II cells proliferate and differentiate into AEC I cells, and the potential mechanism to initiate the AEC II activation and proliferation may be associated with the injury, inflammatory milieu, hyperoxia, and the oxidants [50, 51]. As such, re-epithelialization is a critical step in restoring normal alveolar structure and re-established gas exchange. Gene profiling shows that proliferating AEC II cells possess high levels of cell cycle markers such as mKi67 and Pena, with an intermediate cell state characterized by reduced cell cycle genes and downregulation of AEC II cell markers but enhanced levels of AEC I cell markers which are further upregulated in maturation processes [52].

Multiple signaling pathways promote AEC II cell proliferation. Wnt/β-catenin is expressed in a small subset of AEC II cells that function as alveolar stem cells during homeostasis. Following lung injury, these stem cells quickly divide and proliferate via autocrine Wnt signaling [53, 54]. Notch signaling is sequentially upregulated in AEC II cells and downregulated by Dlk1 during the proliferation phase, prompting differentiation of AEC I cells [55]. The TGF-β signaling pathway also undergoes a similar low–high-low expression pattern during AEC II cells proliferation and enters an intermediate state, and during differentiation of AEC I cells [56]. Similarly, bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), which belong to the TGF-β superfamily of proteins, maintain active in AEC II cell during homeostasis, while deactivate to promote AEC II cell proliferation during regeneration and then reactivate to promote AEC I cell differentiation [57]. These findings indicate that signaling pathway plays a critical role in determine the cell fate. Finally, the transcription factors YAP/TAZ play a critical role in AEC II-to-AEC I differentiation in alveolar repair, with TAZ nuclear localization being observed in AEC I but not AEC II cells, while study also found that YAP/TAZ-specific knockout AEC II cells exhibit prolonged inflammation and fibrosis processes [58, 59]. The erythroblast transformation-specific (ETS) transcription factor family member ETV5 is additionally necessary for AEC II cell proliferation and maintenance [60]. Though amount of the signaling pathways involved in the epithelial regeneration, how would a better understanding of AEC differentiation and re-epithelialization will lead to an improved outcome for patients with ARDS.

**INTERACTION BETWEEN AMS AND AECs IN ARDS**

In a steady-state microenvironment setting, AECs and AMs interact with each other to maintain a quiescent state through ligands–receptors interaction, such as CD200R, PD-1, and SIRP1α on AMS and their ligands CD200, PDL-1, and CD47 on AECs [61–63]. While under pathological conditions, macrophages contribute to both epithelial injury and repair. Macrophage-derived TNF-α stimulates the production of GM-CSF in AEC II and promotes AEC II cell proliferation via autocrine signaling [47]. Macrophage TFF2 signalling induces Wnt expression essential for AEC II cell proliferation [64]. Moreover, AMs interact with the alveolar wall through connexin 43 (Cx43)-containing gap junction channels and participate in cell intercommunicate through synchronized Ca++ wave activity during bacterial pneumonia [9]. Our previous study showed that M1 macrophages-derived amphiregulin (AREG) can protect against AECs injury during ARDS [65] (Fig. 2).
In addition to these signaling pathways, metabolites also serve as cell–cell interaction messengers and affect outcomes of ARDS. Study showed that glutamate is increased in ARDS, taurine can lead to the release of free radical products, and arginine upregulation can promote NO production and alleviate the pulmonary hypertension associated with hypoxia, threonine and gluconeogenic amino acid can regulate immune responses by antibody production, and arginine upregulation has also been associated with sepsis [66].

How these metabolites determine the polarization of immune cells infiltrated in the lung tissues react with neighboring cells and finally drive the disease progression remain largely unknown. M1 macrophages express iNOS which catabolized arginine to NO as an inflammation hallmark, while M2 macrophages express Arg-1 which catabolized arginine to produce L-ornithine and additional catabolic processes that produce polyamines and L-proline products for cell proliferation and collagen production [67, 68]. This metabolic reprogramming, on one hand, provides the support for macrophages’ proliferation and, on the other hand, promotes the synthesis of the cytokines to support their function. Previous studies have found that healthy AEC II cells are highly oxidative and preferentially utilize lactate as a metabolic substrate for mitochondrial ATP production [69]; moreover, LDH enzyme activity can affect lactate oxidation and promote the formation of pulmonary fibrosis [70]. A recent study explored that in serious deceased COVID-19 patients, an upregulated glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation are observed in AEC II cells, which may suppress alveolar epithelial differentiation and surfactant production; furthermore, increased glycolysis, oxidative phosphorylation, and inositol phosphate metabolism may also promote macrophages activation and contribute to lung injury [71]. Above all, metabolites can serve as an energy source for the cell survival as well as vital

---

**Fig. 2** The M1 macrophages interact with the AEC I to induce the cell death and the same way contribute to the AEC II expansion, M2 macrophages primary promote the AEC II proliferation as well as induce fibrosis, and the AEC II to AEC I differentiation is an important checkpoint for driving the alveoli structure reconstruction, which is a crucial step for returning structural and functional homeostasis.
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substrates for signal regulation, fine-tuning cell fate via metabolic processes, gene expression, or post-transcriptional modifications.

**FUTURE DIRECTION**

In this study, we reviewed the role of AMs and AECs in the development of ARDS; notably, many other cells like the endothelial cells and adaptive immune cells also engage in the pathological changes in the ARDS. Future studies should explore more mechanisms on cell–cell interactions and phenotypic switching and investigate their impact on pathogens elimination and alveolar structure regeneration. As cell interactions have the potential to influence cell fate through receptors–ligand binding, signaling pathways, energetic demands, and metabolites coordination via juxtacrine, paracrine, or autocrine signaling. Thus, advanced technological methods like RNA-seq, ATAC-seq, mass spectrometry should be applied to investigate genetic, epigenetic, proteomic, and metabolic regulation in ARDS, to explain how these cells undergo activation, cell death, proliferation, and differentiation and finally maintaining structural and functional homeostasis.
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