Carboplatin combined with amifostine, a bone marrow protectant, in the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer: a randomised phase II study
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Summary Amifostine (WR-2721), a thiol compound, has been shown to protect normal tissue from alkylating agents and cisplatin-induced toxicity without loss of anti-tumour effects. To confirm this result, we conducted a phase II randomised trial to determine if the addition of amifostine reduces the toxicity of carboplatin without loss of anti-tumour activity in patients with inoperable non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). After the first course of carboplatin (600 mg m⁻² i.v. infusion), 21 patients were randomised to receive three cycles of carboplatin alone (C arm) or three infusions of amifostine at 910 mg m⁻² (CA arm) at 28 day intervals. The amifostine was given 20 min before and at 2 and 4 h after carboplatin. Since the 910 mg m⁻² amifostine infusion led to hypotension in six patients, the dosage was reduced by 25%, to 683 mg m⁻² t.i.d., in the other four patients. Amifostine was well tolerated at this dose level. Five patients in the CA arm and three in the C arm had their planned treatment discontinued owing to progressive disease (n = 3), amifostine side-effects (hypotension, sneezing and sickness, n = 4), and carboplatin-induced thrombocytopenia (n = 1). Bone marrow and renal function at study entry and after the first course of carboplatin before randomisation were similar in both treatment arms. Two courses of carboplatin + amifostine have been compared with 25 courses of carboplatin alone. Although there was no statistically significant difference with respect to haematological values comparing both arms, the median time to platelet recovery (>100 x 10⁹ l⁻¹) (13.5 days vs 21 days; P = 0.04) and the need for hospitalisation for i.v. antibiotic and other supportive treatment tended to be reduced in the CA arm (0/20 vs 6/25 patient courses; P = 0.06). Response rates as well as survival were no different, excluding tumour protection activity by amifostine. These results with a small number of patients suggest that amifostine given with carboplatin may reduce the duration of thrombocytopenia and hospitalisation.
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Amifostine (Ethylol), previously referred to as WR-2721, is an organic thiophosphate which was developed by the US army during the cold war as a radioprotective agent (McCulloch et al., 1991; Capizzi et al., 1993). In animal models, it also protects normal tissue against the toxicity of cytotoxic agents such as platinum and alkylating compounds (Yuhas et al., 1980; Patchen et al., 1992; van der Wilt et al., 1992; van Laar et al., 1994; Treske et al., 1994; van der Vlijgh et al., 1994). Amifostine is a prodrug that is dephosphorylated to its active metabolite, a free thiol, by alkaline phosphatase at the tissue site. Coupled with the fact that normal tissue concentrates the free thiol metabolite, it is immediately available to bind and detoxify alkylating and platinum agents (Treske et al., 1994; van der Vlijgh et al., 1994). The administration of amifostine together with chemotherapeutics suggests that it may have value in protecting patients from myelosuppression (Glover et al., 1986; Glick et al., 1992; Budd et al., 1993; Capizzi, 1994; Poplin et al., 1994) and, in the case of cisplatin-containing schedules, from neurotoxicity and nephrotoxicity (Mollman et al., 1988; Glick et al., 1992).

Carboplatin as a single agent therapy has been shown to have activity in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with response rates from 8% to 21% in four trials testing carboplatin 400 mg m⁻² as a single dose or fractionated over 3 days (Bonomi, 1991). In a recent phase I dose-escalating study in patients with lung tumour, carboplatin was administered in a dosage of 800, 1200 and 1600 mg m⁻² (Smith, 1992). The major toxicity noted was myelosuppression, and nephropathy, neuropathy, severe nausea and vomiting were rare. To investigate the extent of bone marrow protection by amifostine in patients with NSCLC on treatment with single dose carboplatin, we performed a randomised phase II trial. The extent and duration of myelosuppression, the incidence of infection and the use of antibiotics were the primary parameters of the study.

Patients and methods

Patient selection

The patients enrolled in this trial had to meet all the following criteria: histologically proven NSCLC, inoperability, age 18 to 70 years, performance status ≤ 2 (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group scale), measurable and/or evaluable lesions, no prior cytotoxic treatment, absence of other malignancies, no hypertension requiring therapy other than diuretics and a life expectancy greater than 2 months. An adequate bone marrow reserve (white blood count (WBC) > 4 x 10⁹ l⁻¹; platelet > 100 x 10⁹ l⁻¹), adequate liver function (AST, ALT and bilirubin < 2 x upper limit of normal range), and adequate renal function (serum creatinine < 1.25 x upper limit of normal range; creatinine clearance > 65 ml min⁻¹) were also required. In the case of previous major surgery, the patient had to have fully recovered. This study was carried out with the approval of the South Manchester ethics committee, and all patients accepted into this study signed an informed consent statement in accordance with the Food and Drug Administration guidelines and The Declaration of Helsinki.

Treatment plan

Four weeks after a first course of carboplatin (600 mg m⁻², 30 min i.v. infusion) as a single agent, eligible patients were randomised to receive three further courses of carboplatin (600 mg m⁻² i.v.) with or without amifostine 910 mg m⁻² t.i.d. Because of the amifostine toxicity in six patients, in particular hypotension, the dose was reduced to 683 mg m⁻² i.v. t.i.d. (75% of the scheduled dose). Amifostine was given 20 min before and at 2 and 4 h after each carboplatin course as a 15 min i.v. infusion. Three courses were planned at 4 weekly intervals, if the creatinine clearance was > 65 ml
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min⁻¹ and if the following haematological criteria were met: WBC > 3 x 10⁹ l⁻¹, platelet > 100 x 10⁹ l⁻¹. Platelet and/or red blood cell transfusions were given in the case of thrombocytopenia (< 20 x 10⁹ l⁻¹) and/or anaemia (< 95 g l⁻¹). Drugs, which would have affected bone marrow function and/or blood cell count (e.g. steroids) and blood pressure (e.g. phenothiazine), were not prescribed.

Response assessment

Pretreatment evaluation included a medical history, physical examination, full blood count, biochemical profile, chest radiograph, computerised tomography (CT) scan and any other diagnostic procedure appropriate to assess the extent of the disease. Blood counts were performed weekly. Physical examination and biochemical profile were carried out according to WHO criteria every two courses (World Health Organization, 1979).

Toxicity

Side-effects were reported according to the standard WHO criteria. If patients developed fever in association with neutropenia and/or platelet count < 20 x 10⁹ l⁻¹ (in the case of bleeding < 50 x 10⁹ l⁻¹) the subsequent carboplatin dose could be reduced by 25%. All patients treated with amifostine had their blood pressure measured immediately before and every 5 min during the amifostine infusion until 5 min after the infusion was completed. If the systolic blood pressure dropped more than 20% from the baseline value or if the patient developed symptoms related to decreased cerebral perfusion, the infusion of amifostine was interrupted. As soon as the patient had recovered (absence of symptoms, blood pressure above the threshold value within 5 min of stopping the infusion), the amifostine treatment was re-started. In the case of prolonged blood pressure drop, all subsequent doses of amifostine were reduced by 20%.

Statistical analysis

Log-rank, Wilcoxon rank sum and Fisher's exact tests were used for comparing the haematological values, the occurrence of infections, the need for transfusions and antibiotics and times to platelet, WBC and neutrophil recovery within each treatment arm and between the two arms. The worst nadir blood counts taken from the weekly counts were used for analysis. A Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to assess the median time to platelet/blood transfusion for each treatment arm and survival.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 21 patients were enrolled in this randomised study. Their clinical characteristics are summarised in Table I. One patient declined the amifostine treatment after randomisation (CA arm) and was therefore followed up only for response and survival. Major prognostic factors were well balanced between both arms and measurements of the renal function (serum creatinine and creatinine clearance) as well as the blood cell counts revealed no statistically significant difference before randomisation.

Treatment and toxicity (Table II)

Five of the ten patients in the carboplatin/amifostine arm (CA arm) and seven of the ten patients in the carboplatin alone arm (C arm) received all four courses according to the protocol. Five patients who received amifostine at a dose of 910 mg m⁻² t.i.d. had their treatment interrupted because of amifostine toxicity (hypotension, sickness, retching and sneezing). One of these patients was removed from the study owing to severe hypotension accompanied by bifascicular block, which was present before treatment. In three patients (one in the CA arm and two in the C arm), the chemotherapy was suspended because of progressive disease, and in one patient (C arm) treatment was interrupted because of thrombocytopenia and cerebral haemorrhage. The most common side-effects associated with amifostine were nausea and vomiting (90%) despite antiemetic therapy with ondansetron (Table II). Flushing, episodic sneezing and dizziness were reported in five, three and two patients respectively, and hypotension occurred in 15 of 20 patient courses (75%). The latter was the most important event and led in 15 courses to an interruption of the amifostine infusion. In 12 courses the amifostine infusion could be restarted, in three patients, however, no further infusion was given for the course as the hypotension lasted for longer than 5 min after interruption of the infusion. The carboplatin dose as assessed by calculation of the area under the curve (AUC) (Calvert et al., 1989) did not indicate any difference between both arms for courses 1 and 2. However, the AUC was 7% greater in the CA arm for courses 3 and 4 (P = 0.03), owing to a carboplatin dose reduction in one patient in the C arm because of grade IV thrombocytopenia.

Efficacy of amifostine

After the first course of carboplatin the haematological values were similar in both arms (Table III). This control indicated that the bone marrow function before amifostine was similar in both patient populations. Haemoglobin, leucocyte, neutrophil and platelet counts on courses 2–4 showed no statistically significant advantage for the amifostine arm. The median nadir was similar in both arms. In addition, the incidence of grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopenia and neutropenia was not statistically different between the two treatment arms. However, the time to platelet recovery (> 100 x 10⁹ l⁻¹) after carboplatin was reduced in the CA arm (13.5 days vs 21 days, P = 0.04) (Table III). No statistically significant differences were seen between the two arms with respect to the time to total WBC and neutrophil recovery. The platelet transfusion requirement was similar in both arms: an average of 5.6 units course⁻¹ and 5.7 units course⁻¹ of platelets were transfused in the CA arm and C arm respectively. Red blood cell transfusions were given as an average of 2.6 units course⁻¹ in both arms. However, one patient in the C arm had his carboplatin dose reduced owing to grade 4 thrombocytopenia and another patient, also in the C arm, had his treatment interrupted because of haemorrhage with severe thrombocytopenia. In contrast, no patient

### Table I Patient characteristics

|                | Carboplatin/amifostine | Carboplatin alone |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Sex            | Male                   | Female            |
|                | 8                      | 8                 |
|                | 3                      | 2                 |
| Age            | Median                 | Range             |
|                | 64                     | 45–69             |
|                | 61                     | 41–70             |
| ECOG performance status | 0 | 1 |
|                | 2                      | 1                 |
|                | 1                      | 7                 |
|                | 2                      | 4                 |
| Histology      | Squamous              | 5                  |
|                | Adenocarcinoma         | 5                  |
|                | Large cell             | 1                  |
| Stage          | III B                 | 8                  |
|                | IV                     | 3                  |
|                |                        | 5*                 |
| Courses        | Course 1              | 11                 |
|                | Courses 2–4            | 20                 |
|                |                        | 25                 |

*One patient with lung metastases had undergone pneumonectomy before chemotherapy.
in the CA arm had the carboplatin dose reduced or discontinued owing to pancytopenia.

Although there was no statistically significant difference in the infection incidence comparing both treatment groups (10/25 and 3/20 for C and CA respectively), patients in the C arm tended to be hospitalised more frequently mainly for i.v. antibiotics and other supportive treatment (6/25 vs 0/20 patient courses, P = 0.06).

**Tumour response and survival**

The response rate was evaluable in 19 patients (in two patients, one in each arm, tumour size was not assessable owing to lung atelectasis; seven patients had a partial response, five in the CA arm (5/10), and two in the C arm (2/9). Four patients in the CA arm and one patient in the C arm who responded had limited disease. The median survival was 14 months and 9 months in the CA and C groups respectively.

**Discussion**

Amifostine is a thiol compound that is thought to protect normal bone marrow against the toxic effects of chemotherapy while not diminishing the antineoplastic efficacy of the cytotoxic agent (Gandara et al., 1990, 1991; McCullough et al., 1991; Schuchter et al., 1992; Capizzi et al., 1993, 1994; Treskes et al., 1993). Recently published studies have shown a lessening of pancytopenia and/or a shortened time to recovery for neutrophils and platelets if amifostine was given with chemotherapy (Glover et al., 1986; Glick et al., 1992, 1994; Budd et al., 1993; Poplin et al., 1994). These therapies included agents such as cyclophosphamide (Glover et al., 1986; Glick et al., 1994), cisplatinum (Glick et al., 1987; Mollman et al., 1988; Glick et al., 1992, 1994; Schiller et al., 1994), mitomycin (Poplin et al., 1994) and vinblastine (Poplin et al., 1994). In addition, a reduction in infections requiring antibiotics and days in hospital has been reported in a large randomised phase III study of patients with ovarian cancer treated with cisplatinum and cyclophosphamide (Glick et al., 1994). A possible protection of amifostine with carboplatin has been recently suggested in a phase I investigation (Budd et al., 1993).

Pharmacokinetic studies in phase I clinical trials revealed that amifostine is cleared from the plasma within 6 min of the completion of a 15 min infusion (half-life time $T_{1/2}$: 0.9 min and 0.09 min) (Shaw et al., 1986). These pharmacokinetic data, therefore, are important in planning clinical protection trials and support the rationale for repeated amifostine administration particularly when used with carboplatin for which the half-life is relatively long (1; 30-60 min and 8; 450-1200 min) (Van Echo et al., 1989).

In the present randomised phase II study, we investigated the haematological toxicity after monotherapy with carboplatin for inoperable NSCLC. The predominant haematolog-
ical toxicity associated with carboplatin is thrombocytopenia (Canetta et al., 1985). Although the severity of thrombocytopenia was not influenced by amifostine, the time to recovery appeared to be shortened compared with patients treated with carboplatin alone (P = 0.04) (Table III). The need for hospitalisation for i.v. antibiotic and other supportive treatment tended to be less in the amifostine group (P = 0.06). Neutrophil nadir count and time to recovery were similar, perhaps because of the analysis having been performed on the worst counts rather than the median values of the weekly counts, no other statistically significant differences were seen and the need for transfusions were similar in both patient arms. The results could also be due to the cumulative nature of carboplatin's haematological toxicity and a possible 'carry over' effect from the first course of carboplatin.

Although renal and bone marrow function were well balanced between both groups, the carboplatin AUC as back calculated from the dose given and creatinine clearances (Calvert et al., 1989) was significantly greater (7%) in the CA arm on courses 3–4. This difference is due, at least to some extent, to dose reduction of carboplatin in one patient in the C arm. This finding strengthens the results with respect to amifostine effect on platelet recovery duration and incidence of severe infection.

Amifostine led to important side-effects such as hypotension, malaise, retching and sneezing at a dose level of 910 mg m⁻² t.i.d. Subsequent doses, therefore, were reduced by 25% to 683 mg m⁻² t.i.d. At this dose level, amifostine was well tolerated and, as in other studies, nausea, vomiting, flushing, episodic sneezing, dizziness and hypotension were mild to moderate in intensity. No hypocalcaemia observed previously (Wadlet et al., 1993; O'Rourke et al., 1994) was noticed and there was no evidence of cumulative toxicity from the three daily doses of amifostine.
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