A Terphenyl Supported Dioxophosphorane Dimer: the Light Congener of Lawesson’s and Woollins’ Reagents
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Abstract: Thermolysis of a 1,3-dioxaphospholane supported by the terphenyl ligand ArPOX (ArPOX = [C6H4–2,6–(CH3)2–2–iPr3]) at 150 °C gives [ArPOX]2 via loss of ethene. [ArPOX]2 was characterised by X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy; it contains a 4-membered P–O–P–O ring and is the isostructural oxygen analogue of Lawesson’s and Woollins’ reagents. The dimeric structure of [ArPOX]2 was found to persist in solution through VT NMR spectroscopy and DOSY, supported by DFT calculations. The addition of DMAP to the 1,3-dioxaphospholane facilitates the loss of ethene to give ArP(=O)(DMAP)PO2 after days at room temperature, with this product also characterised by X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy. Replacement of the DMAP with pyridine induces ethene loss from the 1,3-dioxaphospholane to provide gram-scale samples of [ArPOX]2 in 75% yield in 2 days at only 100 °C.

Chalcogens of phosphorus are widely utilised reactive molecules, finding applications in diverse fields such as desiccation,[1] chalcogenation[2] and as ligands.[3] Their utility and ubiquity has even resulted in the development of eponymous compounds such as Lawesson’s (LR, LR: Ar= 4–MeOC6H4, X=S) and Woollins’ reagents (WR, WR: Ar=Ph, X=Se); aryl phosphorus disulfide and diselenide respectively. These reagents have been intensively studied, and widely applied in the thiolation[4] and selenation[5] of carbonyl compounds, amongst other related reactions. Mechanistically, these reactions have been proposed to proceed via the formation of ArPX monomers which react with C=O bonds to form C=X and [ArP(O)X]n products, the latter containing strong P–O bonds which provide a reaction driving force. One such ArPX monomer (Ar=2,4,6–Bu3C6H2, X=S) was reported by Appel and co-workers in 1983.[6]

In contrast to the heavier congeners, no ArP(=O)(t2–O),PO(=O)Ar system has been structurally characterised. Instead, [ArPOX]2 trimers, as well as higher oligomers and polymeric have been observed.[7] The monomeric [RPO]2 fragment itself is highly reactive, likely a consequence of its unsaturated σ5 structure and the limited steric demand provided by the lightest chalcogen, oxygen. In light of this potential, there have been forays into the generation of [RPO]2 species. The heavy pnictogen analogues of nitromethane, MePO2,[8] and nitrobenzene, PhPO2,[9] have been detected via matrix isolation infrared spectroscopy. This method has also been used to characterise the monomeric forms of LR[10] and WR[11] via infrared and UV/Vis spectroscopy. Coordinative saturation by Lewis base addition has been used to isolate related species. In this vein the oxidation of ArP(NHC) to Ar(NHC)PO2 has begun to emerge as a generalisable route towards coordinated RPO2 fragments which are isolable under an inert atmosphere.[12]

Alongside these oxidative methods, a number of other routes have been described to generate ArPO2 in situ. Flash vacuum pyrolysis of aryl substituted 1,3-dioxaphospholanes, Ar(OC)2, (Ar=Ph, 2,Ph–Ph, 2,4,6–Bu3C6H2) has been reported to generate aryldioxophosphoranes via loss of ethene, although the harsh conditions involved often result in decomposition of the PO2 moiety.[13] Cyclic phosphonates have been employed as starting materials in the generation of base-stabilised aryldioxophosphoranes by the way of the direct reaction between DMAP (DMAP=NC6H4–4–N(CH3)2) and [PhPO2]2 to yield Ph(DMAP)PO2. This compound reacted with B(C6F5)3 to yield the “push–pull” adduct Ph(DMAP)P(=O)–B(C6F5)3.[14]

In the flash vacuum pyrolysis of 1,3-dioxaphospholane itself, the observed product was thought to be the result of activation of a flanking tert-butyl group by the highly reactive dioxophosphorane.[15] This reactivity is often observed for aryl ligands substituted with large alkyl groups as a consequence of the localisation of these groups in the coordination sphere of the reactive centre, which is also the origin of their steric protection (Scheme 1).

Over the last three decades, terphenyls have emerged as pre-eminence ligands in the stabilisation of reactive main group centres as a consequence of their flanking steric bulk which serves to prevent access to the ligated main group atom without orienting groups directly within its coordination sphere.
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More recently, there has been an increasing awareness of the extent to which attractive dispersion forces between the ligands in terphenyl derivatives contributes to their structures and stability. Recent work has shown that the action of DMAP upon a 1,3-dioxo-2-elementolane derivative, L\text{MgBO}_2, can induce the ejection of alkenes and generate L\text{MgBO}_2(DMAP) (L = [HC(\text{HCCN}(2,6-iPr}_2-C}_6H}_4]). We thus set out to investigate the capacity of terphenyl ligands to support dioxophosphoranes and of Lewis bases to induce ethene loss from substituted 1,3-dioxo-2-phospholanes in the hope that access via mild conditions would prevent unwanted side reactivity of the -PO₂ moiety. We herein report the reaction of Ar\text{P(OCH}_2)_2 with either pyridine or DMAP affords the evolution of ethene at a reduced temperature relative to thermolysis and provides access to a light analogue of LR and WR. We began by synthesising Ar\text{P(OCH}_2)_2, via the reaction of CIP(OCH}_2) and Ar\text{Li}, the identity of which was confirmed by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and single crystal X-ray crystallography (See Supporting Information). The thermolysis of 1 was then investigated by differential scanning calorimetry. This analysis indicated an event consistent with melting at ca. 150 °C followed by a steady exothermic reaction which continues to ca. 270 °C containing a sharp endothermic kink. Notably, a second calorimetric run on this sample was bereft of any such features. We interpreted this as implication that an irreversible reaction had occurred, and rationalised the endothermic kink as a measurement artefact reflecting the loss of a gas (See Supporting Information, Figure S2).

We thus thermolyzed a neat sample of 1 in an NMR tube at 150 °C hoping to identify the product of this reaction. After 36 h, the reaction mixture was dissolved in C\text{D}_6 and analysed by 'H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. The 'H NMR spectrum suggested the presence of one major new Ar\text{P} containing system as well as ethene, identified by a peak at 5.25 ppm (see Figure 1), which may have been trapped in the solid during thermolysis and liberated upon dissolution.

Furthermore, the resonances associated with the (-CH\text{CH}_2-) bridge of 1 were absent. The ³¹P NMR spectrum contained a major resonance at 17.5 ppm (See Supporting Information, Figures S3 and S4). Recrystallisation of the reaction mixture from C\text{D}_6 provided material suitable for single crystal X-ray crystallography (Figure 2) confirming the loss of ethene from 1 and the generation of a new phosphorus oxide, 2.

Compound 2 is a dimeric, terphenyl-supported dioxophosphorane and as the first such 4-membered P² ring structurally characterised, it constitutes the light analogue of LR and WR. 2 can be considered a dimer of Ar\text{P(O)}², (Figure 3) containing two P-O-P bridges comprising single bonds (P-μ₂-O = 1.6391(8) Å)
as well as a terminal P=O fragment on each phosphorus atom (P=O_{\text{omega}} = 1.4442(9) Å) which are trans-disposed across the P-O-P ring. The phosphorus chalcogen bonds are, unsurprisingly, shorter than those found in an unsubstituted analogue of LR_{10}^{[9]} and in WR_{20}^{[20]} reflecting the larger size and weaker bonding found for the heavier chalcogenes, whilst the P-X-P angles are similar in all three cases (X=O, 86.35(4) in 2; S, 86.58(5);_{19]^{[20]} Se, 85.45(9)_{19]^{[20]}). In comparison to [MesPO]_{3}\_\_ the P-O bond lengths were similar however, the P-O-P angles were significantly narrower, ([MesPO]_{3} P-O-P=101.2^\circ on average)._{9]^{[n]}

We then undertook studies to confirm the solution speciation of 2. Its $^{31}$P NMR spectrum, taken in $d_{3}$-toluene, was unchanged with varied temperature between 298 and 358 K (See Supporting Information, Section 5). The $^{1}$H NMR DOSY of 2 provided a hydrodynamic radius of 6.14 Å which more closely correlated with the calculated radius of [Ar$^{53}$PO]$^{3}\_\_ (\text{[Ar}$^{53}$PO]$^{3}\_\_ n=1$, r_{calc}=4.70 Å; n=2, r_{calc}=5.90 Å). These data were interpreted to indicate that 2 persisted as a dimer in solution (See Supporting Information, Sections 6 and 7).

Attempts were then made to trap any Ar$^{53}$PO$_{2}$ present in solution via the addition of Lewis acidic and basic reagents, as has been shown for Woollins’ reagent$^{[21]}$ DFT calculations (BP86-D3BJ(C$_{6}$H$_{6}$/BS2//BP86/BS1, see Figure 3 and Supporting Information for full computational details) showed that the monomer was 14.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ higher in free energy than the dimer suggesting a very low concentration of this species, if any, was likely. Addition of an equivalent of B(C$_{6}$F$_{5}$)$_{3}$ to a C$_{6}$D$_{6}$ solution of 2 provided no evidence of reaction, even with extended time and elevated temperature. In contrast, addition of an equimolar amount of DMAP to 2 provided two resonances in the $^{31}$P NMR spectrum; that of pure 2 and a resonance at 6.8 ppm (Figure 4a), which we attributed to the DMAP adduct of Ar$^{53}$PO$_{2}$ Ar$^{53}$PO$_{2}$DMAP(PO)PO$_{3}$ (Compound 3). We interpret these data to suggest that rather than trapping free Ar$^{53}$PO$_{2}$ that might be present in solution, the DMAP is capable of cracking the dimer in compound 2, as we would expect any free Ar$^{53}$PO$_{2}$ to react readily with both B(C$_{6}$F$_{5}$)$_{3}$ and DMAP. Furthermore, no reaction was observed between 2 and a diene$^{[22]}$, which also indicates that the spontaneous monomerization of 2 is unlikely and that, essentially, no free Ar$^{53}$PO$_{2}$ exists in solutions thereof.

The NMR spectra of the reaction of compound 2 and DMAP contained evidence of compound 2, free DMAP and compound 3 suggesting the former two compounds are in equilibrium with the latter. Nevertheless, repeated attempts to force the equilibrium completely towards compound 3 via heating were unsuccessful, yielding only mixtures of 2 and 3 according to the $^{31}$P NMR spectrum (See Supporting Information, Figures S5 and S6). In order to isolate an analytically pure sample of 3, we investigated the in situ generation of 2 in the presence of DMAP. Addition of DMAP to 1 showed signs of ethene release even at room temperature on an NMR scale (See Figure 4b and Supporting Information, Figures S7 and S8).

On a gram-scale, an equimolar mixture of 1 and DMAP left at room temperature in THF for 5 days resulted in the precipitation of a fine white material. This material was found to be analytically pure 3, which was produced in 72% yield and could be recrystallised from isopropanol to yield material suitable for SC-XRD (Figure 5). The metric data for 3 are similar to those described for Ph(DMAP)PO$_{3}$ by Manners and co-workers$^{[9]}$. The P-O bond lengths are similarly short (P-O: 3, 1.4738(8) and 1.4781(8); Ph(DMAP)PO$_{3}$, 1.4752(17) and 1.4742(14) Å) indicating a degree of multiple bonding and the geometrical parameters$^{[23]}$ for the P atoms align closely (τ: 3, 0.90; Ph(DMAP)PO$_{3}$, 0.88) reflecting the similar, distorted tetrahedral configurations. Activation of the WR dimer by pyridine has also been reported to yield Ph(C$_{6}$H$_{5}$N)PS$_{3}$, which
that the replacement of DMAP by a less nucleophilic analogue, pyridine, might allow this transformation to occur in a more efficacious time frame but provide material which could be readily converted to 2. Hence, we were thus extremely gratified to find that reaction of 1 with an equivalent of pyridine in C₆D₆ provided a good yield of analytically pure 2 in 4 days at 100 °C (See Supporting Information, Figures S13 and S14). This reaction was scaled up to 1 g in toluene, providing an isolated yield of 75%, with a reduction of reaction time to 2 days.

Whilst 2 showed no propensity to react with boranes, we expected the terminal P–O moieties in 3 to readily undertake this reaction. Addition of one equivalent of B(C₆F₅)₃ to a suspension of 2 in C₆D₆ gave rise to a number of resonances in the ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra (See Supporting Information, Figures S15–S17). Full attribution of these peaks was not possible. These results are a contrast to Ph(DMAP)PO₂ which cleanly formed an adduct, Ph(DMAP)P(=O)O–B(C₆F₅)₃, with B(C₆F₅)₃ and most likely reflect the extreme steric crowding in 3. As noted, 3 is in equilibrium with 2 and DMAP, and it is likely that the borane reacts not only with the P–O bonds but as a sequestering agent for the DMAP driving the equilibrium towards 2 and resulting in mixtures of compounds.

In conclusion, we have developed a new method for the low-temperature synthesis of [RPO₂]₃ moieties (Scheme 2). This approach has allowed us to extend the range of arenes supporting [ArPO₂]₃ systems to include terphenyls. Moreover, the exquisite control of the coordination environment provided by the ArP₃₄ ligand has facilitated the generation of a novel dimeric structure. The nucleophile-catalysed release of ethene from 1,3-dioxa-2-phospholanes provides convenient access to a light chalcogen congeners 8 of Lawesson’s and Woolfins’ reagents, Ar⁺[P(=O)(µ₂-O)p(=O)Ar]⁺ (2), as well as its DMAP adduct, Ar⁺[P(=O)(DMAP)O]Ar⁻ (3). NMR spectroscopy supported by density functional theory calculations has provided evidence of the persistence of the dimeric nature of 2 in solution. We believe this new approach to generate dioxophosphoranes will enhance the range of such species accessible under mild conditions and lead, eventually, to the synthesis of an isolable mononeric RPO₂ species as was established for a dithioxophosphorane almost forty years ago.

Infrared spectroscopy was applied to compounds 2 and 3 (see Supporting Information, Figures S29 and S30). The ATR FTIR spectrum of 2 contains an absorption at 1182 cm⁻¹ which was tentatively attributed to a P–O stretch, as well as a stretch at 799 cm⁻¹ which was assigned as associated with the P–O–P–O ring based on its absence in the spectrum of 3. This can be compared to similar modes reported for [PhP(O)O]₃ by Manners and co-workers, which occurred at 974 cm⁻¹. The ATR FTIR spectrum of compound 3 contained a number of stretches present for 2 implying some dissociation of the DMAP in the solid state. It also contained a new absorbance at 1025 cm⁻¹ which, if reflecting a P–O stretching mode, would imply marginally weaker P–O bonding in 3 compared to 2, corresponding to the crystallographically defined P–O bond parameters.

Heating of 3 showed some evidence of DMAP decomplexation by NMR spectroscopy, through the reappearance of resonances associated with both 2 and free DMAP (See Figure 4c and Supporting Information, Figures S9 and S10). These results hinted that the release of DMAP from 3 was a facile reaction, and that 1 released ethene at lower temperatures in the presence of DMAP, suggesting that access to 2 via the extrusion of ethene from 1 catalysed by DMAP might be viable. Addition of 20 mol% DMAP to a solution of 1 in C₆D₆ followed by heating overnight at 100 °C provided firm evidence of this catalysis with complete loss of ethene observed, however 2 produced by this method was contaminated with 3 (See Supporting Information, Figures S11 and S12). We hoped
Deposition Numbers 2149561 (for 1), 2149562 (for 2), 2149563 (for 3) contain(s) the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
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