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ABSTRACT

Does spirometric tests meet the acceptability criteria? Data from a tertiary chest hospital in Turkey

Introduction: First step is to evaluate the quality of the test in interpreting spirometries. International societies have defined the acceptability criteria for spirometric tests. With this study, it was aimed to evaluate spirometric tests in terms of compliance with international acceptability criteria and to determine error types.

Materials and Methods: Spirometric manoeuvres held at a tertiary chest diseases hospital were evaluated as to whether the acceptability criteria of “American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society (ATS/ERS)” guideline were maintained or not. Errors determined were classified as unexplosive start of expiration, submaximal effort, cough, closure of glottis, missing closure of the loop ring and early termination of expiration.

Results: Among tests evaluated (n= 510), 276 (54.1%) belonged to female and 234 (45.9%) to male subjects. Average age of subjects was 56.1 ± 15.0 years. It was noted that 318 (62.4%) of the tests met acceptability criteria, whereas 192 (37.6%) did not. The most frequently noted error type is submaximal effort by 30.2% followed by missing closure of the loop, early termination of expiration, coughing, closure of glottis, missing closure of the loop ring and early termination of expiration.

Conclusion: Within this study it is the first time in our country that evaluation of spirometric studies has been made to see the rate of conformity with international standards. Although it is thought that spirometric examinations are not technically appropriate in clinical practice throughout our country, there is no data in the literature. Although the center where the study is conducted is a...
INTRODUCTION

Spirometry is one of the basic diagnostic tools used in measuring lung functions. Performing a proper spirometric test is essential for identification and management of respiratory diseases (1).

The most basic requirement when performing spirometry is appropriate technique to assure quality of the test. Spirometry quality assurance involves examining test values for proof of technical values and evaluating both volume-time and flow-volume curves. Technically improper spirometry may have little value and may even provide misleading information (2). International communities have established standards and requirements for performing spirometric tests (3). These international criteria has also been stated in national guidelines on spirometry and laboratory standards (4).

Spirometric manoeuvres consist of three phases which are: maximal inspiration, blast of exhalation and continued complete exhalation to the end of test (3).
Does spirometric tests meet the acceptability criteria?

Spirometric tests were examined on the basis of spirometric manoeuvre being appropriate to guidelines or containing one of the errors identified in the guidelines. Accordingly, the errors were classified as unexplosive start of expiration, submaximal effort, coughing in the first second, closure of glottis, missing closure of the loop ring and early termination of expiration. Spirometric tests were evaluated as ‘non-conforming’ if they contain any of the specified errors, and ‘conforming’ if they do not contain any of the errors. The proportion of spirometric tests that meet and do not meet international acceptability criteria is noted. Error types were determined in nonconforming spirometric tests. The proportion of conforming and non-conforming spirometric tests was evaluated according to the age and gender of the patients.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation whereas categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages. For comparison of continuous variables Student’s t-test and for comparison of categorical variables Chi-square test was used. All statistical tests were two-sided and a p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analyses were performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences)® version 22.

**RESULTS**

Five-hundred and ten spirometric tests were evaluated in means of conforming the international acceptability criteria. Of these tests 276 (54.1%) belonged to female and 234 (45.9%) to male subjects. Average age of subjects was 56.1 ± 15.0 years. It was noted that 318 (62.4%) of the tests provided acceptability criteria, 192 (37.6%) did not. The most frequently noted error type is submaximal effort by 30.2% followed by missing closure of the loop, early termination of expiration, coughing, unexplosive start of expiration and closure of glottis (Table 1).

| Table 1. Frequencies of error types in spirometric tests (n= 192) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Error Type                                      | Frequency (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Submaximal effort                               | 58 (30.2%)    |
| Missing closure of the loop                     | 42 (21.9%)    |
| Early termination of expiration                 | 42 (21.9%)    |
| Coughing                                       | 29 (15.1%)    |
| Unexplosive start of expiration                 | 19 (9.9%)     |
| Closure of glottis                              | 2 (1.0%)      |
| Data are expressed as n (%).                    |               |

There is no data on the rate of compliance of spirometric evaluations in our country. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the conformity of internationally accepted criteria to spirometric tests and to determine the type of errors.

**MATERIALS and METHODS**

The study was approved by local hospital committee (30.05.2019/630).

**Study Design and Conformity Assessment of Spirometric Tests**

Spirometric tests held in a tertiary chest diseases hospital spirometry laboratory with different spirometers by different technicians were assessed in means of international acceptability criteria (3). The spirometric test results taken into consideration were randomly selected from all examinations performed in January-December 2017.
When conforming and non-conforming cases regarding spirometric manoeuvres were compared, it is noted that the mean age was statistically higher in non-conforming cases. There is no statistically significant difference in gender distribution of the two groups (Table 2). When the cases were grouped according to their age, it was observed that the rate of conformity of spirometric examinations decreased significantly as the age range increased (p<0.001) (Figure 1).

**DISCUSSION**

As spirometry is mostly used in chest diseases, the conformity of this test mostly affects the practical clinic of this branch. In our country, in general, it is thought that spirometric evaluation in clinical practice do not generally conform and that this issue is a general problem. However there is no data available in means of rate of spirometric test being carried out correctly (5).

With this study it is the first time in our country that evaluation of spirometric studies has been made to see the rate of conformity with the international standards. It was seen that spirometric tests which do not conform acceptability criteria was at significant rates. The most common type of error recorded was sub-maximal effort. The rate of spirometric tests that did not meet the criteria was higher in elderly cases.

Krowka et al. reported, in 1987, that accurate spirometric measurements are essential for diagnosis of airway obstruction. According to their report, sub-maximal manoeuvres as well as manoeuvres with artefacts may lead to an underestimation of vital

---

**Table 2. Distribution of age and gender in cases of conforming and non-conforming spirometric manoeuvres**

| Spirometric manoeuvres conforming cases (n= 318) | Spirometric manoeuvres non-conforming cases (n= 192) | p |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Age (year) 53.0 ± 14.6 | 61.3 ± 14.3 | < 0.001 |
| Gender | | 0.361 |
| Female | 167 (52.5%) | 109 (56.8%) |
| Male | 151 (47.5%) | 83 (43.2%) |

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation; unless otherwise stated.

**Figure 1. Ratio of conforming and non-conforming spirometric tests as per age distribution.**
capacity and forced expiratory volume in one second. Also, rarely overestimation of forced expiratory volume in one second might result in case of submaximal effort during test, due to a decrease in dynamic compression of the airways. They have concluded that, values from spirometry manoeuvres that demonstrate submaximal effort be discarded (6).

Although international guidelines and standards are identified for quality of spirometric tests, unconformity of tests has been reported to be a clinical problem (7).

In primary care settings, the rate of spirometric tests meeting the acceptability and reproducibility criteria is low (8). Training of the staff performing spirometry improved quality of spirometric manoeuvres; with the fact that the longer and more intensive the courses, the better the results (8-10). In previous studies older age was found to be a independent factor which influences the quality of spirometric evaluations (11).

Spirometric evaluations are also essential for prevalence studies in chronic lung diseases. In Ministry of Health, Public Health Institute Chronic Diseases and Risk Factors Prevalence Study, which investigated the prevalence of chronic respiratory diseases in our country, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease prevalence was investigated in two different ways to be based on pulmonary function test results and doctors diagnosis. For the aim of this study, family physicians referred individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease to a health institution to have spirometric evaluation. The test result brought to the family physician by the subjects were written in the electronic questionnaire. In the data analysis of the collected data, FEV1/FVC% below 5 and above 120 and FEV1% below 5 were considered as incorrect data and excluded from the analysis. Accordingly 35.7% of the study group’s initial spirometry and 22.6% of post-bronchodilator spirometry were found to be within the appropriate limits (5). However in this study, no evaluation has been made as to whether the spirometric tests performed in this study meet the international standards.

For the aim of the present study, randomly selected spirometric tests were evaluated in means of conforming or non-conforming international acceptability criteria. The prerequisites of a proper spirometric evaluation such as correct calibration of the spirometer and whether a minimum of three FVC manoeuvres were performed and whether repeatability is achieved were out of the scope of the study. Since the center where the study was conducted is a tertiary chest diseases hospital, it was aimed to reveal to what extent the spirometric tests directed to the physician meet the acceptability criteria, considering that other prerequisites should already be met.

According to the data of the present study, despite the fact that the study was carried out in a tertiary chest hospital, within the spirometric evaluation a significant rate does not comply with the standards. So it is clear that measures should be taken in order to yield better spirometric evaluations. Within this, measurements should be improved in carrying out efforts for standardizing spirometric measurements technically. Subjects undergoing spirometric evaluations need coaching and feedback on maneuvering. Trained technicians should administer and monitor tests to maneuver patients correctly to warrant clinically valid spirometric measurements. Lastly the study data also reveals that tests for senior cases should be carried out more carefully.
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