1. INTRODUCTION

A large fraction of edge-on spiral galaxies have been observed to have boxy or peanut-shaped (hereafter B/PS) bulges. As the observations have improved and the samples have grown, the percentage of galaxies with these bulge shapes has increased from less than 20% (Jarvis 1986; Shaw 1987; de Souza & dos Anjos 1987) to up to 45% (Lütticke et al. 2000a). Because the observed frequency of B/PS bulges is so high, significant effort has been invested in determining the formation mechanism responsible for these bulge shapes.

Binney & Petrou (1985) and Rowley (1988) demonstrated that the accretion of satellite galaxies could result in a galaxy bulge looking B/PS. The currently favored formation mechanism, however, is the buckling and subsequent vertical thickening of a bar (e.g., Combes & Sanders 1981; Combes et al. 1990; Raha et al. 1991).

This is a plausible explanation, as bars are known to be common features in disk galaxies. Whyte et al. (2002) found that 79% of disk galaxies are barred in the near-infrared and 74% are barred in the optical (see also Eskridge et al. 2000; Marinova & Jogee 2007). Barred galaxies are also known to occur in a variety of environments. Using a sample of 930 galaxies, van den Bergh (2002) showed that the presence of a bar does not depend on whether the galaxy is in the field or is a member of a group or a cluster. Similarly, Verley et al. (2007) studied the bar frequency in isolated galaxies and found roughly equal numbers of barred and unbarred systems.

To confirm the bar buckling hypothesis, bars must be detected in edge-on galaxies with B/PS bulges. While bars can be easily seen in face-on galaxies, it is much more difficult, however, to unambiguously photometrically confirm the presence of a bar in an edge-on galaxy. This problem was simplified when Kuijken & Merrifield (1995) and Merrifield & Kuijken (1999) demonstrated that bars in edge-on galaxies could be detected kinematically from features like parallelograms and figures-of-eight in the position–velocity diagrams (PVD). Subsequent observations and simulations (e.g., Bureau & Freeman 1999; Bureau & Athanassoula 1999, 2005; Athanassoula & Bureau 1999; Chung & Bureau 2004) have confirmed the relationship between B/PS bulges, complex kinematics, and bars.

2. PREVIOUS OBSERVATIONS

Because of its prominent B/PS bulge and interesting kinematics, NGC 2683 has been a well-studied object. de Vaucouleurs (1974) classified the bulge as PS. Ford et al. (1971) obtained a long-slit spectrum of the galaxy and noted that outside of the nucleus, the [N\textsc{ii}]\lambda6584 emission was fainter than the H\alpha emission, whereas inside the nucleus, it was brighter than the H\alpha emission. Barbon & Capaccioli (1975) presented B-band photometry and optical emission line spectroscopy. Based on the galaxy image, they found the bulge to be PS and the dust-obscured NW side of the galaxy to be the near side. The spectroscopic data showed trailing spiral arms, a [N\textsc{ii}]/H\alpha ratio in the outer parts of the galaxy consistent with what is seen in H\textsc{ii} regions ([N\textsc{ii}] emission that is fainter than H\alpha emission), and strong evidence for deviations from circular motions.

We have obtained the optical long-slit spectrum and SparsePak Integral Field Unit (IFU) velocity field, as well as optical and near-IR images, of NGC 2683, an isolated, nearly edge-on Sb galaxy with a B/PS bulge. NGC 2683 is highly inclined; Funes et al. (2002) report an inclination of 78°, while others suggest a minimum inclination of 80° (e.g., Barbon et al. 1975; Broeils et al. 1994). The complex kinematic and photometric features of the data are suggestive of a bar in the galaxy. Although previous authors have observed NGC 2683 and linked its complex velocity structure and bulge shape with a bar, there has been little discussion about the bar orientation.

In this paper, we present our spectroscopic and photometric observations as evidence that NGC 2683 contains a bar that is 6° on the sky away from the galaxy major axis. In Section 2, we review the data for NGC 2683 in the literature. Our new observations and data reduction are discussed in Section 3. We present the spectroscopic data in Section 4 and the photometric data in Section 5. We describe in each section how these data support the hypothesis that a bar is present in the galaxy, and use the features of the kinematic and photometric signatures to constrain the orientation of the bar. The results for NGC 2683 are compared in Section 6 with the results for M31 by Athanassoula & Beaton (2006). A comparison to M31 is insightful, as it has photometric and kinematic characteristics, as well as an inclination, that are similar to NGC 2683. Finally, a summary is presented in Section 7.
de Souza & dos Anjos (1987) classified the bulge+disk shapes of 72 galaxies and categorized NGC 2683 as a BS-II galaxy: a system in which the disk and bulge components are not sharply distinct and the bulge is an elongated ellipsoid that is sometimes rectangular. An H\f\alpha rotation curve of the galaxy was presented by Casertano & van Gorkom (1991), and noncircular motions were noted. J- and K-band photometry, as well as J−K color, eccentricity, position angle (P.A.), and cos 4\theta profiles as functions of radius were presented by Shaw (1993), as were models of the observed luminosity distribution. Rubin (1993) found the H\f\alpha and [N\textsc{ii}] emission to show multi-valued, figure-of-eight velocity structure in the inner 60" of the galaxy. Light from bulge stars or foreground gas warping out of the galaxy plane and into the line of sight were suggested as possible explanations for the observed complex velocity structure. An H\f\alpha position–velocity map of NGC 2683 was presented by Broeils & van Woerden (1994).

The link between boxy bulges and bars was investigated by Merrifield & Kuijken (1999) using a sample of 10 galaxies, including NGC 2683. They found that the emission line kinematics of galaxies with boxy bulges show complex velocity structure; the PVDs can be described as being X-shaped or containing features similar to figures-of-eight or parallelograms. The complex kinematics of NGC 2683 were also observed by Pompei & Terndrup (1999) who noted the presence of a counterrotating stellar system and two gas components, one of which was rotating in the same sense as the stellar component, and the other rotating in the opposite direction. A nuclear bar close to the minor axis or a merger event were two scenarios given to explain the counterrotation. Additionally, Pompei & Terndrup (1999) observed the bulge isophotes to be boxy inside 50". Optical and NIR photometry also led Lütüticke et al. (2000a, 2000b) to classify the bulge of NGC 2683 as boxy. Stellar and ionized gas velocity curves as well as velocity dispersions were presented by Vega Beltrán et al. (2001). They, too, detected kinematically distinct stellar and gas components, but remarked that they were unable to resolve the fast and slow rotating components in the gas. Funes et al. (2002) presented a PVD for NGC 2683 derived from H\f\alpha, [N\textsc{ii}],[N\textsc{ii}]+,[S\textsc{ii}], and [O\textsc{iii}]. The maximum velocity reached is comparable to the velocities reached in the outer parts of the galaxy. The T2KB CCD was used, and the spatial resolution was 0.305 pixel\(^{-1}\). These data were taken under non-photometric conditions. The optical broadband images were bias subtracted, flattened, and combined using standard IRAF routines. Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) J- and K-band images of NGC 2683 are also available, and were downloaded from the online 2MASS catalog (Skrutskie et al. 2006).

3. NEW OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

3.1. Spectroscopy

We observed NGC 2683 with the RC Spectrograph on the Kitt Peak National Observatory (KPNO) 4 m telescope during the nights of 2007 October 16 and 18. We used the T2KB CCD with the 860 line mm\(^{-1}\) grating in second order, centered near H\f\alpha. The slit width was 1.5", giving \(\sim 1.0\) Å spectral resolution and a spatial scale of 0.69 pixel\(^{-1}\). We centered the slit on a nearby bright star and then offset to the optical center of the galaxy. Two 600 s exposures were taken with the slit aligned along the major axis of the galaxy (P.A. = 41.5\(^\circ\)). A HeNeAr lamp was observed before and after each science exposure to provide wavelength calibration.

We also observed NGC 2683 with the SparsePak IFU on the 3.5 m WIYN \(^4\) telescope at KPNO on the night of 2009 February 18. SparsePak is a 70" × 70" fixed array of 82 5"

\(^4\) Based on observations obtained at the WIYN Observatory. The WIYN Observatory is a joint facility of the University of Wisconsin-Madison, Indiana University, Yale University, and the National Optical Astronomy Observatory.

\(^5\) IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA), Inc., under agreement with the National Science Foundation.

\(^6\) The link between boxy bulges and bars was investigated by Merrifield & Kuijken (1999) using a sample of 10 galaxies, including NGC 2683. They found that the emission line kinematics of galaxies with boxy bulges show complex velocity structure; the PVDs can be described as being X-shaped or containing features similar to figures-of-eight or parallelograms. The complex kinematics of NGC 2683 were also observed by Pompei & Terndrup (1999) who noted the presence of a counterrotating stellar system and two gas components, one of which was rotating in the same sense as the stellar component, and the other rotating in the opposite direction. A nuclear bar close to the minor axis or a merger event were two scenarios given to explain the counterrotation. Additionally, Pompei & Terndrup (1999) observed the bulge isophotes to be boxy inside 50". Optical and NIR photometry also led Lütüticke et al. (2000a, 2000b) to classify the bulge of NGC 2683 as boxy. Stellar and ionized gas velocity curves as well as velocity dispersions were presented by Vega Beltrán et al. (2001). They, too, detected kinematically distinct stellar and gas components, but remarked that they were unable to resolve the fast and slow rotating components in the gas. Funes et al. (2002) presented a PVD for NGC 2683 derived from H\f\alpha, [N\textsc{ii}],[N\textsc{ii}]+,[S\textsc{ii}], and [O\textsc{iii}]. The maximum velocity reached is comparable to the velocities reached in the outer parts of the galaxy. The T2KB CCD was used, and the spatial resolution was 0.305 pixel\(^{-1}\). These data were taken under non-photometric conditions. The optical broadband images were bias subtracted, flattened, and combined using standard IRAF routines. Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) J- and K-band images of NGC 2683 are also available, and were downloaded from the online 2MASS catalog (Skrutskie et al. 2006).

3.2. Photometry

The galaxy was also imaged at the KPNO 2.1 m telescope during the night of 2007 March 17. The galaxy was imaged in B, V, R, and I, with total exposure times of 600 s, 600 s, 300 s, and 300 s, respectively. Two exposures were taken in each band to correct for cosmic rays. The T2KB CCD was used, and the spatial resolution was 0.305 pixel\(^{-1}\). These data were taken under non-photometric conditions. The optical broadband images were bias subtracted, flattened, and combined using standard IRAF routines. Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) J- and K-band images of NGC 2683 are also available, and were downloaded from the online 2MASS catalog (Skrutskie et al. 2006).

4. KINEMATIC SIGNATURES

4.1. Long-slit Position–Velocity Diagram

In Figure 1, we show the observed H\f\alpha and [N\textsc{ii}][\lambda\lambda 6548, 6584 region of the long-slit PVD of NGC 2683. The PVD shows complex structure out to radii of \(\sim 45\)\(^\circ\), almost half of its entire length. The H\f\alpha and [N\textsc{ii}] emission (as well as the [S\textsc{ii}]\(\lambda\lambda 6717\) and [S\textsc{ii}]\(\lambda 6731\) emission that are not shown) trace a parallelogram shape extending to \(\sim 45\)\(^\circ\) on each side. The edges of this region are relatively bright compared to the faint interior emission. At radii \(\lesssim 45\)\(^\circ\), the velocities are double, sometimes triple, valued. The maximum velocity reached is comparable to the velocities reached in the outer parts of the galaxy. The parallelogram velocities are seen to populate the “forbidden” quadrants of the PVD; there are redshifted velocities on the blueshifted side of the galaxy and vice versa.
on the redshifted side of the galaxy (lower right quadrant). The emission traces two parallelogram shapes: an outer parallelogram extending to about ±45° and a compact, inner parallelogram extending to about ±5°. Bottom: observed velocities of the Hα, [N II]λ6584, [S II]λ6717, and [S II]λ6731 emission lines. The error on the velocity at a given position is equal to the scatter in the measured velocities of the observed emission lines, ∼10 km s⁻¹. At radii < 45°, the velocities are multi-valued. The measured velocities occupy the two forbidden quadrants of the PVD; there is redshifted emission on the blueshifted side of the galaxy (upper left quadrant) and blueshifted emission on the redshifted side of the galaxy (lower right quadrant).

There is also a second parallelogram feature seen in the PVD. In approximately the inner ± 5°, there is a steeper and more compact parallelogram that is brighter in the [N II]λ6584 emission than Hα. The velocities reached in this region do not exceed the velocities in the more extended parallelogram or those of the outer disk, and there is a smooth transition between the compact, inner and extended, outer parallelogram-shaped features.

This complex “figure-of-eight” PVD can be explained by the presence of a bar in the galaxy. In a series of papers, Bureau & Athanassoula (1999, hereafter BA99), Athanassoula & Bureau (1999, hereafter AB99), and Bureau & Athanassoula (2005, hereafter BA05) used simulations of edge-on (i = 90°) galaxies to demonstrate that features in PVDs like those shown in Figure 1 can be explained by gas (and stars) moving in the \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) families of periodic orbits of barred potentials. Each of these orbit families produces unique signatures in the PVD and, by virtue of the orientations of the orbits with respect to the bar, the appearance of the PVD features can be used to put constraints on the P.A. of the bar.

BA99, AB99 and BA05 showed that in edge-on galaxies \( x_1 \) orbits produce extended features in the PVD that range from bow tie shaped to parallelogram shaped depending on the orientation of the bar with respect to the observer’s line of sight (see Figure 2). The \( x_1 \) orbits are elongated parallel to the major axis of the bar, so that when the bar is viewed end-on, the line of sight is parallel to the major axis of both the bar and \( x_1 \) orbits. Viewed at this angle, the “fast” parts of the elongated \( x_1 \) orbits are pointing directly toward or away from the observer and very high radial velocities at small projected distances are observed. This produces a bow tie-shaped feature in the PVD.

When the bar is viewed side-on, the elongated axis of the \( x_1 \) orbits is perpendicular to the line of sight. The “slow” parts of the \( x_1 \) orbits are thus pointing directly toward or away from the observer, and as a result, much lower radial velocities are observed. In addition, because the long axis of the orbits is across the line of sight, these observed velocities will extend to larger projected distances than when the bar (and \( x_1 \) orbits) is viewed end-on. The resulting feature in the PVD is therefore a relatively thin, diagonal band of velocities extending to large projected distances.

When the bar in an edge-on galaxy is not viewed directly end-on or side-on, the PVD will contain a parallelogram-shaped feature with velocities appearing in the “forbidden” quadrants. This velocity structure arises because the orbits are elongated and not circular. The line of sight does not fall along either the major axis or minor axis of the orbits. Thus, if they are viewed at an angle other than end-on or side-on, the positions along the orbits where the tangential velocity is greatest (and radial velocity is zero) will be offset from the center. This means that the velocities transition from being blueshifted to redshifted, and vice versa, at radii greater than zero. This leads to red(blue)shifted velocities being observed on the blue(red)shifted side of the galaxy, thus falling in the “forbidden” quadrants. The closer the bar is to end-on, the sharper and more peaked the parallelogram is at small projected radii (and the more it looks like a bow tie). The interior of these PVDs also tends to be brighter than the edges. As the bar angles closer to side-on, the corners of the parallelogram round out and the parallelogram becomes thinner as it extends to larger projected distances and rises to lower radial velocities.

In the observed PVD of NGC 2683, the broad (±45°) parallelogram shape corresponds to the \( x_1 \) feature. The simulated
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**Figure 1.** Top: observed Hα and [N II] region of the long-slit position–velocity diagram. The emission traces two parallelogram shapes: an outer parallelogram extending to about ±45° and a compact, inner parallelogram extending to about ±5°. Bottom: observed velocities of the Hα, [N II]λ6584, [S II]λ6717, and [S II]λ6731 emission lines. The error on the velocity at a given position is equal to the scatter in the measured velocities of the observed emission lines, ∼10 km s⁻¹. At radii < 45°, the velocities are multi-valued. The measured velocities occupy the two forbidden quadrants of the PVD; there is redshifted emission on the blueshifted side of the galaxy (upper left quadrant) and blueshifted emission on the redshifted side of the galaxy (lower right quadrant).

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Schematic representation of the shape of the position–velocity diagram produced by \( x_1 \) orbits for different lines of sight. The major axes of both the bar and \( x_1 \) orbits are parallel to the observer’s line of sight when the bar is viewed end-on, and are perpendicular to the observer’s line of sight when the bar is viewed side-on.
PVDs of BA99, AB99, and BA05 can serve only as a guide to the bar orientation in our galaxy because (1) NGC 2683 is not exactly edge-on ($i \gtrsim 78^\circ$) and (2) the models are not tailored to specifically match NGC 2683 (bar strength, pattern speed, mass distribution, etc.). With that caveat in mind, both the bright emission along the edges of the $x_1$ parallelogram and a lack of clear central peaks suggest that the bar is oriented at an intermediate angle (perhaps between $10^\circ$ and $45^\circ$) on the sky away from the galaxy major axis and therefore viewed closer to side-on than end-on.

At the center of the observed PVD of NGC 2683 is the signature of the $x_2$ orbits: a compact feature that is brighter in [N\textsc{ii}] emission than H\textalpha\ emission (Figure 1; see also Ford et al. 1971). This relatively large [N\textsc{ii}] emission may be caused by the shocks that develop at the transition between the $x_1$ and $x_2$ orbits (Baldwin et al. 1981; Dopita & Sutherland 1996; AB99), as well as the active galactic nucleus (AGN) that is known to be at the center of NGC 2683 (Irwin et al. 2000). Without additional diagnostic emission lines such as [O\textsc{i}] or [O\textsc{iii}], we cannot say for certain that it is the AGN rather than shocks that is causing the bright [N\textsc{ii}] emission. It is not uncommon for barred galaxies to host AGN. Philips et al. (1983) observed a number of relatively face-on SB galaxies with large [N\textsc{ii}]/H\textalpha\ ratios and confirmed the presence of active nuclei. Knapen et al. (2000) have also found that galaxies with active centers are more often barred (79\%) than galaxies without active nuclei (59\%) (see also Laurikainen et al. 2004).

The $x_2$ orbits are elongated perpendicular to the bar (making them also perpendicular to the $x_1$ orbits) and are less radially extended than the $x_1$ orbits. Because the major axes of the $x_2$ and $x_1$ orbits are perpendicular, when one orbit family reaches its maximum radial velocity, the other is at its minimum. Thus, the radial velocities of the $x_2$ orbits peak when the bar is viewed side-on and are lowest when the bar is viewed end-on.

Rather than using the shape of the $x_2$ feature in the PVD, AB99 advocate the ratio of the maximum observed radial velocity of the $x_2$ orbits to the maximum velocity observed in the outer parts of the galaxy ($V_{x_2}/V_{\text{disk}}$) as the best measure of bar viewing angle. When the bar is viewed close to end-on, the maximum velocity of the $x_2$ orbits will be lower compared to the outer disk (the line of sight is perpendicular to the elongated axis of the $x_2$ orbits and the “slow” parts of the orbit are observed); $V_{x_2}/V_{\text{disk}} \lesssim 1$ in this case. The “fast” parts of the $x_2$ orbits are observed when the bar is viewed side-on; it therefore follows that $V_{x_2}/V_{\text{disk}} \gtrsim 1$ in this orientation. In NGC 2683, we find that $V_{x_2}/V_{\text{disk}} \approx 0.6$. This value of $V_{x_2}/V_{\text{disk}}$ suggests that the bar is viewed nearer to end-on than side-on.

This result contrasts with the other indicators we have, both photometric and kinematic, that suggest a more side-on orientation. The $V_{x_2}/V_{\text{disk}}$ indicator advocated by AB99 appears to be one of the more promising indicators of bar orientation. However, it must depend on the details of the disk mass distribution. Some galaxies have a distinct peak in their rotation curves before declining to a flat level while others rise continuously. Consequently, $V_{\text{disk}}$ of this particular galaxy may not correspond well to that of the AB99 model. More generally, it may be an indication that we still do not have a complete understanding of the complex kinematics in NGC 2683.

### 4.2. SparsePak IFU Velocity Field

In Figure 3, we show the observed H\textalpha\ SparsePak velocity field of NGC 2683. The major and minor kinematic axes are not perpendicular and there is an S-shaped twist to the minor axis. These are characteristic of velocity fields with oval distortions or bars (e.g., Bosma 1981). Similar S-shaped velocity fields can be seen in observations of more face-on barred galaxies such as NGC 6300 ($i = 52^\circ$; Buta 1987) and NGC 5383 ($i = 50^\circ$; Peterson et al. 1978). As in the long-slit spectrum, double and triple-valued H\textalpha\ velocities (as well as [N\textsc{ii}] and [S\textsc{ii}] velocities) are detected in some of the IFU fibers. The multi-valued velocities are more striking in the long-slit spectrum than in the velocity field.
Analysis of the velocity field with the tilted-ring fitting program RÖTCUR (Teuben 1995; Begeman 1989) shows that the P.A. varies by $\sim 25^\circ$ across the velocity field, with the largest deviation from the P.A. of the outer galaxy occurring at $\sim 45^\circ$, the length of the $x_1$ parallelogram in the long-slit PVD (see Figure 4). If we assume that there should be a steep velocity gradient (i.e., the isovelocity contours bunch up) across the bar and that the central minor axis velocity contours run along the length of the bar, then the center of the velocity field qualitatively indicates (visually) that the bar is angled not far (perhaps $\sim 15^\circ$) from the galaxy major axis on the sky, running from northeast to southwest. This is supported by the change in P.A. determined by RÖTCUR; the bar is a maximum of $25^\circ$ from the major axis. This angle implies that the bar is viewed more side-on than end-on, in agreement with the constraints from the parallelogram in the long-slit PVD produced by $x_1$ orbits.

In Figure 5, we show the H$\alpha$ image of NGC 2683 constructed from the IFU data with isovelocity contours from the velocity field overplotted. We find that while there is diffuse emission throughout the galaxy, the brightest concentrations of emission coincide with the bends in the S-shape (the ends of the bar). The NE (upper) end of the bar is slightly brighter than the SW (lower) end and there is no strong emission along the length of the bar.

Strong H$\alpha$ emission at the bar ends and relatively weak emission along its length has also been seen in the relatively face-on galaxies NGC 1530 (Zurita et al. 2004), NGC 5383 (Sheth et al. 2000), and NGC 6300 and NGC 1433 (Buta et al. 2001). Similar to what is seen in NGC 2683, Zurita et al. (2004) found that the brightest H$\alpha$ emission in NGC 1530 coincides with the regions of lowest velocity gradient perpendicular to the bar (regions were the isovelocity contours are not bunched up).

5. PHOTOMETRIC SIGNATURES

B/PS bulges in edge-on galaxies have been shown to correlate with the presence of a bar (e.g., Combes & Sanders 1981; Combes et al. 1990; Bureau & Freeman 1999). It is thought that these bulge shapes are a result of a bar that has buckled and thickened due to vertical instabilities (e.g., Combes et al. 1990). Depending on the bar strength and the orientation of the bar with respect to the line of sight, the bulge may look round (the bar is viewed end-on), boxy (the bar is viewed at an intermediate angle), or PS (the bar is viewed side-on; e.g., Chung & Bureau 2004; BA05).

In Figure 6, we show contour plots of the bulge region of the $B$, $V$, $R$, $I$, $J$, and $K$ images of NGC 2683. Lüttingke et al. (2000a) define PS bulges as having isophotes that pinch inward along the minor axis on both sides of the galaxy major axis, and boxy bulges as having isophotes that remain parallel to the major axis. Applying these definitions to Figure 6, it is clear that the bulge of NGC 2683 is boxy. This is most easily seen in the $K$-band image of the galaxy where the obscuration from dust is at a minimum. On both sides of the major axis, the isophotes are parallel and remain flat as they cross the minor axis. Even as the galaxy is viewed through progressively bluer filters and the effects of dust on the northwest side of the galaxy become more severe, the isophotes on the less-obscured side of the galaxy do not show signs of pinching along the minor axis that are characteristic of a PS bulge. The classification of the bulge shape is independent of wavelength (see also Lüttingke et al. 2000a).

Two of us (R.K.D. and S.S.M.) made independent ellipse fits with different fitting routines (ellipse in IRAF and ARCHANGEL; Schombert 2007). While these ellipse fits are broadly consistent, they give slightly different results for the boxiness/pointiness parameter $b_2$. Thorough examination of the images and residuals of the fits shows that this can be traced to isophotes that are both boxy and pointy. This is most apparent in the $J$- and $K$-band images in Figure 6. Along the minor axis of the bulge, the isophotes are flatter than a pure ellipse, indicating a boxy bulge. Along the major axis, the isophotes become pointy, so that the entire isophote shape is both pointy and boxy. We interpret the pointiness to be due to the bar itself extending out into the disk (see Figures 7 and 8). The length of the boxy region, corresponding to the thick inner part of the bar, is about $\pm 23''$ in the $K$ band. The pointy isophotes extend to roughly $\pm 54''$ and correspond to the thin outer part of the bar.

It is not uncommon for barred galaxies to have features that connect to the ends of the bar such as ansae (“handles”) or rings (e.g., Martinez-Valpuesta et al. 2007; Buta et al. 2001). Because NGC 2683 is so highly inclined, it is difficult to determine if these structures are also present.

The boxy bulge shape of NGC 2683 indicates that the bar is not so strong as to induce the pinched isophotes of a peanut and that the bar is positioned at an intermediate viewing angle. To determine the general orientation of the bar, we plot in Figure 7 the observed surface brightness measured along $5'' \times 140''$
Figure 6. Contour plots of the bulge region of NGC 2683, ordered by wavelength from longest to shortest. For comparison, isophotes are at the same relative levels for each frame. The bulge of NGC 2683 is boxy: the isophotes are parallel to the major axis and do not show a pinch along the minor axis. The arrows indicate ±45″, the length of the s1 extended parallelogram feature in the position–velocity diagram. North is toward the upper left corner, east to the lower left corner. In the optical images, there is significant dust on the northwest side of the galaxy.

slits positioned parallel to the galaxy major axis. We find that the surface brightness profiles are asymmetric around the minor axis. Specifically, in the slices above the major axis (the northwest slices), there is a “hump” in the profile indicating excess light to the left (north) of the galaxy minor axis and in the slices below the major axis (the southeast slices), the enhancement in the light is to the right (south) of the galaxy minor axis. We interpret this asymmetry in the light profiles as an indication that the bar is aligned in a N–S direction, consistent with the orientation derived from the SparsePak velocity field. Consistent with the approximate lengths of the boxy and pointy isophote regions, the excess light begins around ±20″–25″, peaks around 45″, and drops off between 50″ and 60″. Based on the photometry, we estimate the length of the bar to be ∼55″.

In Figure 8, we confirm this N–S orientation by plotting surface brightness profiles along slits oriented at different P.A.s. We define 0° as the minor axis and 90° as the major axis. There is an enhancement in the light along the slits rotated counterclockwise (positive angles) from the galaxy minor axis. The humps in the profile reach a maximum above the level of the light along the major axis at a P.A. of +84° from the minor axis (or, equivalently, 6° from the major axis). This angle coincides with the asymmetric, elongated isophotes seen most clearly in the K-band image. In agreement with the kinematic data, the humps in the surface brightness profiles extend to ∼45″–50″. Based on the photometric signatures, we conclude that the bar is oriented ∼6° from the galaxy major axis on the sky, running from north to south.

6. COMPARISON TO M31

It is instructive to compare the observations of NGC 2683 with observations of other galaxies having similar inclinations (i ≳ 78°) and bar orientations (ϕphot ≳ 6°, ϕkin ≳ 10°–25°). One such example is M31. Athanassoula & Beaton (2006) recently used kinematic and photometric data to argue for the presence of a bar in the galaxy (see also Lindblad 1956; Stark & Binney 1994). They find that M31 (i = 77°) contains a medium-strength bar that is on the order of ϕ ∼ 7° on the sky away from the galaxy major axis (P.A.disk = 38°, P.A.bar = 45°).

The observed PVDs of NGC 2683 and M31 show similar complex kinematics. The observed H I PVD of M31 (their Figure 9) is more bow tie shaped than the long-slit Hα PVD of NGC 2683. As discussed in Section 4.1, in edge-on galaxies, the PVD appears more bow tie like as the bar angles farther away from the major axis. Taken at face value, the observed
PVDs would therefore suggest that the bar in M31 is seen more end-on than the bar in NGC 2683. The simulations described in Section 4.1, however, are ideal and in reality, the observed kinematics are highly influenced by physical conditions in the galaxy, such as the presence of dust or the density of the gas. Without further modeling, we cannot say whether the differences in the PVDs originate from these physical differences or from different bar orientations or some combination of both.

The isophotes seen in the images of M31 (their Figure 2) are similar to the isophotes of the $K$-band image of NGC 2683; the isophotes are boxy rather than pinched in a peanut shape. Based on isophote shapes in $N$-body simulations tailored to the orientation of M31, they argue that the absence of PS isophotes...
means the bar is not a strong bar (see also Lütticke et al. 2000b; Athanassoula & Misiriotis 2002). By this standard, the bar in NGC 2683 is not strong. Similar to NGC 2683, M31 has elongated isophotes that are angled away from the major axis and indicate the P.A. of the bar. Additionally, they find similar asymmetric enhancements in the light profile in slits parallel to the major axis (their Figures 5 and 6).

It is encouraging that there are so many similarities between the observations of these two galaxies. Bars in nearly edge-on galaxies cannot be unambiguously visually confirmed as such, but strong evidence for their presence comes from the comparison of observed kinematic and photometric signatures to the results of numerical simulations. That simulations are consistent with numerous observed galaxies increases our confidence in using bars to explain boxy/PS bulges and figure-of-eight PVDs.

7. SUMMARY

We have presented new spectroscopic and photometric observations of the near edge-on spiral galaxy NGC 2683. The long-slit PVD displays a complex, figure-of-eight distribution of velocities, and the SparsePak IFU velocity field shows characteristics of an oval distortion. Isophotes in optical and near-IR images of the galaxy are boxy. We find an asymmetric enhancement in the light profile in slits placed parallel to, and offset from, the major axis.

We argue that the kinematic and photometric signatures are evidence that the galaxy hosts a bar. We find that the data support a bar that is viewed closer to side-on than end-on (i.e., closer to the galaxy major axis than the minor axis). Based on kinematic and photometric constraints, we determine that the bar is 6° away from the major axis on the sky. Our results are consistent with previous observations of NGC 2683 in the literature, as well as recent results for M31, and add to the growing body of evidence linking B/PS bulges and complex PVDs with bars in edge-on galaxies.
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